

# Public Announcement Logic

Asta Halkjær From

March 17, 2025

## Abstract

This work is a formalization of public announcement logic with countably many agents. It includes proofs of soundness and completeness for variants of the axiom system PA + DIST! + NEC! [1]. The completeness proofs build on the Epistemic Logic theory.

## Contents

<b>1 Syntax</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2 Semantics</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3 Soundness of Reduction</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4 Chains of Implications</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>5 Proof System</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>6 Soundness</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>7 Strong Soundness</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>8 Completeness</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>9 System PAL + K</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>10 System PAL + T</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>11 System PAL + KB</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>12 System PAL + K4</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>13 System PAL + K5</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>14 System PAL + S4</b>	<b>22</b>

**15 System PAL + S5** **22**

**16 System PAL + S5'** **23**

```
theory PAL imports Epistemic-Logic.Epistemic-Logic begin
```

## 1 Syntax

```
datatype 'i pfm
= FF (⊥!)
| Pro' id (Pro!)
| Dis ⟨'i pfm⟩ ⟨'i pfm⟩ (infixr ∨! 60)
| Con ⟨'i pfm⟩ ⟨'i pfm⟩ (infixr ∧! 65)
| Imp ⟨'i pfm⟩ ⟨'i pfm⟩ (infixr →! 55)
| K' 'i ⟨'i pfm⟩ (K!)
| Ann ⟨'i pfm⟩ ⟨'i pfm⟩ (⟨[-]! → [80, 80] 80)
```

**abbreviation PIff** :: ⟨'i pfm ⇒ 'i pfm ⇒ 'i pfm⟩ (infixr ↔! 55) where  
 $\langle p \leftrightarrow! q \equiv (p \rightarrow! q) \wedge! (q \rightarrow! p) \rangle$

**abbreviation PNeg** (⟨¬! → [70] 70) where  
 $\langle \neg! p \equiv p \rightarrow! \perp! \rangle$

**abbreviation PL** (⟨L!⟩) where  
 $\langle L! i p \equiv (\neg! (K! i (\neg! p))) \rangle$

**primrec anns** :: ⟨'i pfm ⇒ 'i pfm set⟩ where  
 $\langle \text{anns } \perp! = \{\} \rangle$   
 $\mid \text{anns } (\text{Pro! } -) = \{\} \rangle$   
 $\mid \text{anns } (p \vee! q) = (\text{anns } p \cup \text{anns } q) \rangle$   
 $\mid \text{anns } (p \wedge! q) = (\text{anns } p \cap \text{anns } q) \rangle$   
 $\mid \text{anns } (p \rightarrow! q) = (\text{anns } p \cup \text{anns } q) \rangle$   
 $\mid \text{anns } (K! i p) = \text{anns } p \rangle$   
 $\mid \text{anns } ([r]! p) = \{r\} \cup \text{anns } r \cup \text{anns } p \rangle$

## 2 Semantics

```
fun
psemantics :: ⟨('i, 'w) kripke ⇒ 'w ⇒ 'i pfm ⇒ bool⟩ (⟨-, - |=! → [50, 50, 50] 50) and
restrict :: ⟨('i, 'w) kripke ⇒ 'i pfm ⇒ ('i, 'w) kripke⟩ (⟨-[-!] → [50, 50] 50) where
⟨M, w |=! ⊥! ↔ False⟩
| ⟨M, w |=! Pro! x ↔ π M w x⟩
| ⟨M, w |=! p ∨! q ↔ M, w |=! p ∨ M, w |=! q⟩
| ⟨M, w |=! p ∧! q ↔ M, w |=! p ∧ M, w |=! q⟩
| ⟨M, w |=! p →! q ↔ M, w |=! p → M, w |=! q⟩
| ⟨M, w |=! K! i p ↔ (forall v ∈ W M ∩ K M i w. M, v |=! p)⟩
| ⟨M, w |=! [r]! p ↔ M, w |=! r → M[r!], w |=! p⟩
| ⟨M[r!] = M (W := {w. w ∈ W M ∧ M, w |=! r})⟩
```

```

abbreviation validPStar :: <((i, w) kripke  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'i pfm set  $\Rightarrow$  'i pfm  $\Rightarrow$  bool>
  (<-; -  $\models_{!} \star \rightarrow [50, 50, 50] 50$ ) where
    <P; G  $\models_{!} \star p \equiv \forall M. P M \longrightarrow (\forall w \in \mathcal{W} M. (\forall q \in G. M, w \models_{!} q) \longrightarrow M, w \models_{!} p)>$ 
```

```

primrec static :: <'i pfm  $\Rightarrow$  bool> where
  <static  $\perp_{!} = \text{True}$ >
  | <static (Pro! -) = True>
  | <static (p  $\vee_{!} q$ ) = (static p  $\wedge$  static q)>
  | <static (p  $\wedge_{!} q$ ) = (static p  $\wedge$  static q)>
  | <static (p  $\longrightarrow_{!} q$ ) = (static p  $\wedge$  static q)>
  | <static (K! i p) = static p>
  | <static ([r]! p) = False>

```

```

primrec lower :: <'i pfm  $\Rightarrow$  'i fm> where
  <lower  $\perp_{!} = \perp$ >
  | <lower (Pro! x) = Pro x>
  | <lower (p  $\vee_{!} q$ ) = (lower p  $\vee$  lower q)>
  | <lower (p  $\wedge_{!} q$ ) = (lower p  $\wedge$  lower q)>
  | <lower (p  $\longrightarrow_{!} q$ ) = (lower p  $\longrightarrow$  lower q)>
  | <lower (K! i p) = K i (lower p)>
  | <lower ([r]! p) = undefined>

```

```

primrec lift :: <'i fm  $\Rightarrow$  'i pfm> where
  <lift  $\perp = \perp_{!}$ >
  | <lift (Pro x) = Pro x>
  | <lift (p  $\vee q$ ) = (lift p  $\vee_{!}$  lift q)>
  | <lift (p  $\wedge q$ ) = (lift p  $\wedge_{!}$  lift q)>
  | <lift (p  $\longrightarrow q$ ) = (lift p  $\longrightarrow_{!}$  lift q)>
  | <lift (K i p) = K! i (lift p)>

```

```

lemma lower-semantics:
  assumes <static p>
  shows <(M, w  $\models$  lower p)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (M, w  $\models_{!}$  p)>
  using assms by (induct p arbitrary: w) simp-all

```

```

lemma lift-semantics: <(M, w  $\models$  p)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (M, w  $\models_{!}$  lift p)>
  by (induct p arbitrary: w) simp-all

```

```

lemma lower-lift: <lower (lift p) = p>
  by (induct p) simp-all

```

```

lemma lift-lower: <static p  $\Longrightarrow$  lift (lower p) = p>
  by (induct p) simp-all

```

### 3 Soundness of Reduction

```

primrec reduce' :: <'i pfm  $\Rightarrow$  'i pfm  $\Rightarrow$  'i pfm> where

```

```

⟨reduce' r ⊥! = (r →! ⊥!)⟩
| ⟨reduce' r (Pro! x) = (r →! Pro! x)⟩
| ⟨reduce' r (p ∨! q) = (reduce' r p ∨! reduce' r q)⟩
| ⟨reduce' r (p ∧! q) = (reduce' r p ∧! reduce' r q)⟩
| ⟨reduce' r (p →! q) = (reduce' r p →! reduce' r q)⟩
| ⟨reduce' r (K! i p) = (r →! K! i (reduce' r p))⟩
| ⟨reduce' r ([p]! q) = undefined⟩

primrec reduce :: ⟨'i pfm ⇒ 'i pfm⟩ where
  ⟨reduce ⊥! = ⊥!⟩
| ⟨reduce (Pro! x) = Pro! x⟩
| ⟨reduce (p ∨! q) = (reduce p ∨! reduce q)⟩
| ⟨reduce (p ∧! q) = (reduce p ∧! reduce q)⟩
| ⟨reduce (p →! q) = (reduce p →! reduce q)⟩
| ⟨reduce (K! i p) = K! i (reduce p)⟩
| ⟨reduce ([r]! p) = reduce' (reduce r) (reduce p)⟩

lemma static-reduce': ⟨static p ⇒ static r ⇒ static (reduce' r p)⟩
  by (induct p) simp-all

lemma static-reduce: ⟨static (reduce p)⟩
  by (induct p) (simp-all add: static-reduce')

lemma reduce'-semantics:
  assumes ⟨static q⟩
  shows ⟨(M, w ⊨! [p]! q) = (M, w ⊨! reduce' p q)⟩
  using assms by (induct q arbitrary: w) auto

lemma reduce-semantics: ⟨M, w ⊨! p ←→ M, w ⊨! reduce p⟩
proof (induct p arbitrary: M w)
  case (Ann p q)
  then show ?case
    using reduce'-semantics static-reduce by fastforce
qed simp-all

```

## 4 Chains of Implications

```

primrec implyP :: ⟨'i pfm list ⇒ 'i pfm ⇒ 'i pfm⟩ (infixr ⟨~~!⟩ 56) where
  ⟨([] ~~! q) = q⟩
| ⟨(p # ps ~~! q) = (p →! ps ~~! q)⟩

lemma lift-implyP: ⟨lift (ps ~~ q) = (map lift ps ~~! lift q)⟩
  by (induct ps) auto

lemma reduce-implyP: ⟨reduce (ps ~~! q) = (map reduce ps ~~! reduce q)⟩
  by (induct ps) auto

```

## 5 Proof System

```
primrec peval :: <(id  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('i pfm  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'i pfm  $\Rightarrow$  bool> where
| <peval - -  $\perp_!$  = False>
| <peval g - (Pro! x) = g x>
| <peval g h (p  $\vee_!$  q) = (peval g h p  $\vee$  peval g h q)>
| <peval g h (p  $\wedge_!$  q) = (peval g h p  $\wedge$  peval g h q)>
| <peval g h (p  $\rightarrow_!$  q) = (peval g h p  $\rightarrow$  peval g h q)>
| <peval - h (K! i p) = h (K! i p)>
| <peval - h ([r]! p) = h ([r]! p)>
```

**abbreviation** <ptautology p  $\equiv$   $\forall g h.$  peval g h p>

```
inductive PAK :: <('i pfm  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('i pfm  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'i pfm  $\Rightarrow$  bool>
| <-; -  $\vdash_!$   $\rightarrow$  [50, 50, 50] 50>
for A B :: <'i pfm  $\Rightarrow$  bool> where
| PA1: <ptautology p  $\implies$  A; B  $\vdash_!$  p>
| PA2: <A; B  $\vdash_!$  K! i p  $\wedge_!$  K! i (p  $\rightarrow_!$  q)  $\rightarrow_!$  K! i q>
| PAx: <A p  $\implies$  A; B  $\vdash_!$  p>
| PR1: <A; B  $\vdash_!$  p  $\implies$  A; B  $\vdash_!$  p  $\rightarrow_!$  q  $\implies$  A; B  $\vdash_!$  q>
| PR2: <A; B  $\vdash_!$  p  $\implies$  A; B  $\vdash_!$  K! i p>
| PAnn: <A; B  $\vdash_!$  p  $\implies$  B r  $\implies$  A; B  $\vdash_!$  [r]! p>
| PFF: <A; B  $\vdash_!$  [r]!  $\perp_!$   $\longleftrightarrow_!$  (r  $\rightarrow_!$   $\perp_!$ )>
| PPro: <A; B  $\vdash_!$  [r]! Pro! x  $\longleftrightarrow_!$  (r  $\rightarrow_!$  Pro! x)>
| PDis: <A; B  $\vdash_!$  [r]! (p  $\vee_!$  q)  $\longleftrightarrow_!$  [r]! p  $\vee_!$  [r]! q>
| PCon: <A; B  $\vdash_!$  [r]! (p  $\wedge_!$  q)  $\longleftrightarrow_!$  [r]! p  $\wedge_!$  [r]! q>
| PImp: <A; B  $\vdash_!$  [r]! (p  $\rightarrow_!$  q)  $\longleftrightarrow_!$  ([r]! p  $\rightarrow_!$  [r]! q)>
| PK: <A; B  $\vdash_!$  [r]! K! i p  $\longleftrightarrow_!$  (r  $\rightarrow_!$  K! i ([r]! p))>
```

**abbreviation** PAK-assms (<-; -; -  $\vdash_!$   $\rightarrow$  [50, 50, 50] 50) **where**  
 $\langle A; B; G \vdash_! p \equiv \exists qs. set\ qs \subseteq G \wedge (A; B \vdash_! qs \rightsquigarrow_! p) \rangle$

**lemma** eval-peval: <eval h (g o lift) p = peval h g (lift p)>  
**by** (induct p) simp-all

**lemma** tautology-ptautology: <tautology p  $\implies$  ptautology (lift p)>  
**using** eval-peval **by** blast

**theorem** AK-PAK: <A o lift  $\vdash$  p  $\implies$  A; B  $\vdash_!$  lift p>  
**by** (induct p rule: AK.induct) (auto intro: PAK.intros(1–5) simp: tautology-ptautology)

**abbreviation** validP  
:: <((('i, 'i fm set) kripke  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'i pfm set  $\Rightarrow$  'i pfm  $\Rightarrow$  bool>  
| <-; -  $\models_!$   $\rightarrow$  [50, 50, 50] 50>  
**where** <P; G  $\models_!$  p  $\equiv$  P; G  $\models_!*$  p>

**lemma** set-map-inv:  
**assumes** <set xs  $\subseteq$  f ‘ X>  
**shows** < $\exists ys.$  set ys  $\subseteq$  X  $\wedge$  map f ys = xs>

```

using assms
proof (induct xs)
  case (Cons x xs)
    then obtain ys where ‹set ys ⊆ X› ‹map f ys = xs›
      by auto
    moreover obtain y where ‹y ∈ X› ‹f y = x›
      using Cons.preds by auto
    ultimately have ‹set (y # ys) ⊆ X› ‹map f (y # ys) = x # xs›
      by simp-all
    then show ?case
      by meson
  qed simp

lemma strong-static-completeness':
  assumes ‹static p› and ‹∀ q ∈ G. static q› and ‹P; G ⊨! p›
  and ‹P; lower `G ⊨! lower p ==> A o lift; lower `G ⊢ lower p›
  shows ‹A; B; G ⊢! p›
proof –
  have ‹P; lower `G ⊨! lower p›
  using assms by (simp add: lower-semantics)
  then have ‹A o lift; lower `G ⊢ lower p›
  using assms(4) by blast
  then obtain qs where ‹set qs ⊆ G› and ‹A o lift ⊢ map lower qs ~> lower p›
  using set-map-inv by blast
  then have ‹A; B ⊢! lift (map lower qs ~> lower p)›
  using AK-PAK by fast
  then have ‹A; B ⊢! map lift (map lower qs) ~>! lift (lower p)›
  using lift-implyP by metis
  then have ‹A; B ⊢! map (lift o lower) qs ~>! lift (lower p)›
  by simp
  then show ?thesis
  using assms(1–2) ‹set qs ⊆ G› lift-lower
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) comp-apply map-idI subset-eq)
qed

theorem strong-static-completeness:
  assumes ‹static p› and ‹∀ q ∈ G. static q› and ‹P; G ⊨! p›
  and ‹¬G p. P; G ⊨ p ==> A o lift; G ⊢ p›
  shows ‹A; B; G ⊢! p›
  using strong-static-completeness' assms .

corollary static-completeness':
  assumes ‹static p› and ‹P; {} ⊨! p›
  and ‹P; {} ⊨ lower p ==> A o lift ⊢ lower p›
  shows ‹A; B ⊢! p›
  using assms strong-static-completeness'[where G=⟨{}⟩ and p=p] by simp

corollary static-completeness:
  assumes ‹static p› and ‹P; {} ⊨! p› and ‹¬p. P; {} ⊨ p ==> A o lift ⊢ p›

```

**shows**  $\langle A; B \vdash! p \rangle$   
**using** static-completeness' assms .

**corollary**

**assumes**  $\langle \text{static } p \rangle \langle (\lambda\text{-}. \text{ True}); \{\} \models! p \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle A; B \vdash! p \rangle$   
**using** assms static-completeness[**where**  $P = \langle \lambda\text{-}. \text{ True} \rangle$  and  $p = p$ ] completeness<sub>A</sub>  
**by** blast

## 6 Soundness

**lemma** peval-semantics:

$\langle \text{peval} (val w) (\lambda q. (\mathcal{W} = W, \mathcal{K} = r, \pi = val), w \models! q) p = ((\mathcal{W} = W, \mathcal{K} = r, \pi = val), w \models! p) \rangle$   
**by** (induct p) simp-all

**lemma** ptautology:

**assumes**  $\langle \text{ptautology } p \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle M, w \models! p \rangle$

**proof** –

**from** assms **have**  $\langle \text{peval} (g w) (\lambda q. (\mathcal{W} = W, \mathcal{K} = r, \pi = g), w \models! q) p \rangle$  **for**  $W g r$   
**by** simp  
**then have**  $\langle (\mathcal{W} = W, \mathcal{K} = r, \pi = g), w \models! p \rangle$  **for**  $W g r$   
**using** peval-semantics **by** fast  
**then show**  $\langle M, w \models! p \rangle$   
**by** (metis kripke.cases)  
**qed**

**theorem** soundness<sub>P</sub>:

**assumes**

$\langle \bigwedge M p \quad A \ p \implies P \ M \implies w \in \mathcal{W} \ M \implies M, w \models! p \rangle$   
 $\langle \bigwedge M r. \ P \ M \implies B \ r \implies P (M[r!]) \rangle$

**shows**  $\langle A; B \vdash! p \implies P \ M \implies w \in \mathcal{W} \ M \implies M, w \models! p \rangle$

**proof** (induct p arbitrary: M w rule: PAK.induct)

**case** (PAnn p r)

**then show** ?case

**using** assms **by** simp

**qed** (simp-all add: assms ptautology)

**corollary**  $\langle (\lambda\text{-}. \text{ False}); B \vdash! p \implies w \in \mathcal{W} \ M \implies M, w \models! p \rangle$   
**using** soundness<sub>P</sub>[**where**  $P = \langle \lambda\text{-}. \text{ True} \rangle$ ] **by** metis

## 7 Strong Soundness

**lemma** ptautology-imp-ssuperset:

**assumes**  $\langle \text{set } ps \subseteq \text{set } qs \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle \text{ptautology} (ps \rightsquigarrow! r \longrightarrow! qs \rightsquigarrow! r) \rangle$

```

proof (rule ccontr)
assume  $\neg \text{?thesis}$ 
then obtain  $g h$  where  $\neg \text{peval } g h (ps \rightsquigarrow! r \longrightarrow! qs \rightsquigarrow! r)$ 
by blast
then have  $\langle \text{peval } g h (ps \rightsquigarrow! r) \rangle \langle \neg \text{peval } g h (qs \rightsquigarrow! r) \rangle$ 
by simp-all
then consider ( $np$ )  $\langle \exists p \in \text{set } ps. \neg \text{peval } g h p \rangle \mid (r) \langle \forall p \in \text{set } ps. \text{peval } g h p \rangle$ 
 $\langle \text{peval } g h r \rangle$ 
by (induct ps) auto
then show False
proof cases
case  $np$ 
then have  $\langle \exists p \in \text{set } qs. \neg \text{peval } g h p \rangle$ 
using  $\langle \text{set } ps \subseteq \text{set } qs \rangle$  by blast
then have  $\langle \text{peval } g h (qs \rightsquigarrow! r) \rangle$ 
by (induct qs) simp-all
then show ?thesis
using  $\langle \neg \text{peval } g h (qs \rightsquigarrow! r) \rangle$  by blast
next
case  $r$ 
then have  $\langle \text{peval } g h (qs \rightsquigarrow! r) \rangle$ 
by (induct qs) simp-all
then show ?thesis
using  $\langle \neg \text{peval } g h (qs \rightsquigarrow! r) \rangle$  by blast
qed
qed

```

**lemma** *PK-implify-weaken*:

**assumes**  $\langle A; B \vdash! ps \rightsquigarrow! q \rangle \langle \text{set } ps \subseteq \text{set } ps' \rangle$

**shows**  $\langle A; B \vdash! ps' \rightsquigarrow! q \rangle$

**proof** –

**have**  $\langle \text{ptautology } (ps \rightsquigarrow! q \longrightarrow! ps' \rightsquigarrow! q) \rangle$

**using**  $\langle \text{set } ps \subseteq \text{set } ps' \rangle$  *ptautology-implify-superset* **by** *blast*

**then have**  $\langle A; B \vdash! ps \rightsquigarrow! q \longrightarrow! ps' \rightsquigarrow! q \rangle$

**using** *PA1* **by** *blast*

**then show** *?thesis*

**using**  $\langle A; B \vdash! ps \rightsquigarrow! q \rangle$  *PR1* **by** *blast*

**qed**

**lemma** *implifyP-append*:  $\langle (ps @ ps' \rightsquigarrow! q) = (ps \rightsquigarrow! ps' \rightsquigarrow! q) \rangle$

**by** (*induct ps*) *simp-all*

**lemma** *PK-ImplI*:

**assumes**  $\langle A; B \vdash! p \# G \rightsquigarrow! q \rangle$

**shows**  $\langle A; B \vdash! G \rightsquigarrow! (p \longrightarrow! q) \rangle$

**proof** –

**have**  $\langle \text{set } (p \# G) \subseteq \text{set } (G @ [p]) \rangle$

**by** *simp*

**then have**  $\langle A; B \vdash! G @ [p] \rightsquigarrow! q \rangle$

```

using assms PK-imply-weaken by blast
then have ⟨A; B ⊢! G ~~~! [p] ~~~! q⟩
  using implyP-append by metis
  then show ?thesis
    by simp
qed

corollary soundness-implyP:
assumes
  ⟨⟨M p w. A p ==> P M ==> w ∈ W M ==> M, w ⊢! p⟩
  ⟨⟨M r. P M ==> B r ==> P (M[r!])⟩
shows ⟨A; B ⊢! qs ~~~! p ==> P M ==> w ∈ W M ==> ∀ q ∈ set qs. M, w ⊢! q
  ==> M, w ⊢! p⟩
proof (induct qs arbitrary: p)
  case Nil
  then show ?case
    using soundnessP[of A P B p M w] assms by simp
next
  case (Cons q qs)
  then show ?case
    using PK-ImpI by fastforce
qed

theorem strong-soundnessP:
assumes
  ⟨⟨M w p. A p ==> P M ==> w ∈ W M ==> M, w ⊢! p⟩
  ⟨⟨M r. P M ==> B r ==> P (M[r!])⟩
shows ⟨A; B; G ⊢! p ==> P; G ⊨!★ p⟩
proof safe
  fix qs w and M :: ⟨('a, 'b) kripke⟩
  assume ⟨A; B ⊢! qs ~~~! p⟩
  moreover assume ⟨set qs ⊆ G⟩ ⟨∀ q ∈ G. M, w ⊢! q⟩
  then have ⟨∀ q ∈ set qs. M, w ⊢! q⟩
    using ⟨set qs ⊆ G⟩ by blast
  moreover assume ⟨P M⟩ ⟨w ∈ W M⟩
  ultimately show ⟨M, w ⊢! p⟩
    using soundness-implyP[of A P B qs p] assms by blast
qed

```

## 8 Completeness

```

lemma ConE:
assumes ⟨A; B ⊢! p ∧! q⟩
shows ⟨A; B ⊢! p⟩ ⟨A; B ⊢! q⟩
using assms by (metis PA1 PR1 peval.simps(4–5))+

lemma Iff-Dis:
assumes ⟨A; B ⊢! p ↔! p'⟩ ⟨A; B ⊢! q ↔! q'⟩
shows ⟨A; B ⊢! ((p ∨! q) ↔! (p' ∨! q'))⟩

```

**proof –**  
**have**  $\langle A; B \vdash_! (p \longleftrightarrow_! p') \longrightarrow_! (q \longleftrightarrow_! q') \longrightarrow_! ((p \vee_! q) \longleftrightarrow_! (p' \vee_! q')) \rangle$   
**by** (*simp add: PA1*)  
**then show** ?thesis  
**using assms PR1 by blast**  
**qed**

**lemma Iff-Con:**  
**assumes**  $\langle A; B \vdash_! p \longleftrightarrow_! p' \rangle \langle A; B \vdash_! q \longleftrightarrow_! q' \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle A; B \vdash_! (p \wedge_! q) \longleftrightarrow_! (p' \wedge_! q') \rangle$   
**proof –**  
**have**  $\langle A; B \vdash_! (p \longleftrightarrow_! p') \longrightarrow_! (q \longleftrightarrow_! q') \longrightarrow_! ((p \wedge_! q) \longleftrightarrow_! (p' \wedge_! q')) \rangle$   
**by** (*simp add: PA1*)  
**then show** ?thesis  
**using assms PR1 by blast**  
**qed**

**lemma Iff-Imp:**  
**assumes**  $\langle A; B \vdash_! p \longleftrightarrow_! p' \rangle \langle A; B \vdash_! q \longleftrightarrow_! q' \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle A; B \vdash_! ((p \longrightarrow_! q) \longleftrightarrow_! (p' \longrightarrow_! q')) \rangle$   
**proof –**  
**have**  $\langle A; B \vdash_! (p \longleftrightarrow_! p') \longrightarrow_! (q \longleftrightarrow_! q') \longrightarrow_! ((p \longrightarrow_! q) \longleftrightarrow_! (p' \longrightarrow_! q')) \rangle$   
**by** (*simp add: PA1*)  
**then show** ?thesis  
**using assms PR1 by blast**  
**qed**

**lemma Iff-sym:**  $\langle (A; B \vdash_! p \longleftrightarrow_! q) = (A; B \vdash_! q \longleftrightarrow_! p) \rangle$   
**proof –**  
**have**  $\langle A; B \vdash_! (p \longleftrightarrow_! q) \longleftrightarrow_! (q \longleftrightarrow_! p) \rangle$   
**by** (*simp add: PA1*)  
**then show** ?thesis  
**using PR1 ConE by blast**  
**qed**

**lemma Iff-Iff:**  
**assumes**  $\langle A; B \vdash_! p \longleftrightarrow_! p' \rangle \langle A; B \vdash_! p \longleftrightarrow_! q \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle A; B \vdash_! p' \longleftrightarrow_! q \rangle$   
**proof –**  
**have**  $\langle \text{ptautology } ((p \longleftrightarrow_! p') \longrightarrow_! (p \longleftrightarrow_! q) \longrightarrow_! (p' \longleftrightarrow_! q)) \rangle$   
**by** (*metis peval.simps(4-5)*)  
**with PA1 have**  $\langle A; B \vdash_! (p \longleftrightarrow_! p') \longrightarrow_! (p \longleftrightarrow_! q) \longrightarrow_! (p' \longleftrightarrow_! q) \rangle$  .  
**then show** ?thesis  
**using assms PR1 by blast**  
**qed**

**lemma K'-A2':**  $\langle A; B \vdash_! K_! i (p \longrightarrow_! q) \longrightarrow_! K_! i p \longrightarrow_! K_! i q \rangle$   
**proof –**

```

have ⟨A; B ⊢! K! i p ∧! K! i (p →! q) →! K! i q⟩
  using PA2 by fast
moreover have ⟨A; B ⊢! (P ∧! Q →! R) →! (Q →! P →! R)⟩ for P Q
R
  by (simp add: PA1)
ultimately show ?thesis
  using PR1 by fast
qed

lemma K'-map:
assumes ⟨A; B ⊢! p →! q⟩
shows ⟨A; B ⊢! K! i p →! K! i q⟩
proof -
  note ⟨A; B ⊢! p →! q⟩
  then have ⟨A; B ⊢! K! i (p →! q)⟩
    using PR2 by fast
  moreover have ⟨A; B ⊢! K! i (p →! q) →! K! i p →! K! i q⟩
    using K'-A2' by fast
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using PR1 by fast
qed

lemma ConI:
assumes ⟨A; B ⊢! p⟩ ⟨A; B ⊢! q⟩
shows ⟨A; B ⊢! p ∧! q⟩
proof -
  have ⟨A; B ⊢! p →! q →! p ∧! q⟩
    by (simp add: PA1)
  then show ?thesis
    using assms PR1 by blast
qed

lemma Iff-wk:
assumes ⟨A; B ⊢! p ↔! q⟩
shows ⟨A; B ⊢! (r →! p) ↔! (r →! q)⟩
proof -
  have ⟨A; B ⊢! (p ↔! q) →! ((r →! p) ↔! (r →! q))⟩
    by (simp add: PA1)
  then show ?thesis
    using assms PR1 by blast
qed

lemma Iff-reduce':
assumes ⟨static p⟩
shows ⟨A; B ⊢! [r]! p ↔! reduce' r p⟩
using assms
proof (induct p rule: pfm.induct)
  case FF
  then show ?case

```

```

    by (simp add: PFF)
next
  case (Pro' x)
  then show ?case
    by (simp add: PPro)
next
  case (Dis p q)
  then have <A; B ⊢! [r]! p ∨! [r]! q ⟷! reduce' r (p ∨! q)>
    using Iff-Dis by fastforce
  moreover have <A; B ⊢! ([r]! p ∨! [r]! q) ⟷! ([r]! (p ∨! q))>
    using PDis Iff-sym by fastforce
  ultimately show ?case
    using PA1 PR1 Iff-Iff by blast
next
  case (Con p q)
  then have <A; B ⊢! [r]! p ∧! [r]! q ⟷! reduce' r (p ∧! q)>
    using Iff-Con by fastforce
  moreover have <A; B ⊢! ([r]! p ∧! [r]! q) ⟷! ([r]! (p ∧! q))>
    using PCon Iff-sym by fastforce
  ultimately show ?case
    using PA1 PR1 Iff-Iff by blast
next
  case (Imp p q)
  then have <A; B ⊢! ([r]! p →! [r]! q) ⟷! reduce' r (p →! q)>
    using Iff-Imp by fastforce
  moreover have <A; B ⊢! ([r]! p →! [r]! q) ⟷! ([r]! (p →! q))>
    using PImp Iff-sym by fastforce
  ultimately show ?case
    using PA1 PR1 Iff-Iff by blast
next
  case (K' i p)
  then have <A; B ⊢! [r]! p ⟷! reduce' r p>
    by simp
  then have <A; B ⊢! K! i ([r]! p) ⟷! K! i (reduce' r p)>
    using K'-map ConE ConI by metis
  moreover have <A; B ⊢! [r]! K! i p ⟷! r →! K! i ([r]! p)>
    using PK .
  ultimately have <A; B ⊢! [r]! K! i p ⟷! r →! K! i (reduce' r p)>
    by (meson Iff-Iff Iff-sym Iff-wk)
  then show ?case
    by simp
next
  case (Ann r p)
  then show ?case
    by simp
qed

lemma Iff-Ann1:
  assumes r: <A; B ⊢! r ⟷! r'> and <static p>

```

```

shows ⟨A; B ⊢! [r]! p ↔! [r']! p⟩
using assms(2--)
proof (induct p)
  case FF
  have ⟨A; B ⊢! (r ↔! r') →! ((r →! ⊥!) ↔! (r' →! ⊥!))⟩
    by (auto intro: PA1)
  then have ⟨A; B ⊢! (r →! ⊥!) ↔! (r' →! ⊥!))⟩
    using r PR1 by blast
  then show ?case
    by (meson PFF Iff-Iff Iff-sym)
  next
    case (Pro' x)
    have ⟨A; B ⊢! (r ↔! r') →! ((r →! Pro! x) ↔! (r' →! Pro! x))⟩
      by (auto intro: PA1)
    then have ⟨A; B ⊢! (r →! Pro! x) ↔! (r' →! Pro! x))⟩
      using r PR1 by blast
    then show ?case
      by (meson PPro Iff-Iff Iff-sym)
  next
    case (Dis p q)
    then have ⟨A; B ⊢! [r]! p ∨! [r]! q ↔! [r']! p ∨! [r']! q⟩
      by (simp add: Iff-Dis)
    then show ?case
      by (meson PDis Iff-Iff Iff-sym)
  next
    case (Con p q)
    then have ⟨A; B ⊢! [r]! p ∧! [r]! q ↔! [r']! p ∧! [r']! q⟩
      by (simp add: Iff-Con)
    then show ?case
      by (meson PCon Iff-Iff Iff-sym)
  next
    case (Imp p q)
    then have ⟨A; B ⊢! ([r]! p →! [r]! q) ↔! ([r']! p →! [r']! q))⟩
      by (simp add: Iff-Imp)
    then show ?case
      by (meson PImp Iff-Iff Iff-sym)
  next
    case (K' i p)
    then have ⟨A; B ⊢! [r]! p ↔! [r']! p⟩
      by simp
    then have ⟨A; B ⊢! K! i ([r]! p) ↔! K! i ([r']! p))⟩
      using K'-map Cone ConI by metis
    then show ?case
      by (meson Iff-Iff Iff-Imp Iff-sym PK r)
  next
    case (Ann s p)
    then show ?case
      by simp
qed

```

```

lemma Iff-Ann2:
  assumes  $\langle A; B \vdash_! p \longleftrightarrow_! p' \rangle$  and  $\langle B r \rangle$ 
  shows  $\langle A; B \vdash_! [r]_! p \longleftrightarrow_! [r]_! p' \rangle$ 
  using assms PAnn Cone ConI PImp PR1 by metis

lemma Iff-reduce:
  assumes  $\forall r \in \text{anns } p. B r$ 
  shows  $\langle A; B \vdash_! p \longleftrightarrow_! \text{reduce } p \rangle$ 
  using assms
  proof (induct p)
    case (Dis p q)
    then show ?case
      by (simp add: Iff-Dis)
  next
    case (Con p q)
    then show ?case
      by (simp add: Iff-Con)
  next
    case (Imp p q)
    then show ?case
      by (simp add: Iff-Imp)
  next
    case ( $K' i p$ )
    then have
       $\langle A; B \vdash_! K_! i p \longrightarrow_! K_! i (\text{reduce } p) \rangle$ 
       $\langle A; B \vdash_! K_! i (\text{reduce } p) \longrightarrow_! K_! i p \rangle$ 
      using  $K'$ -map Cone by fastforce+
    then have  $\langle A; B \vdash_! K_! i p \longleftrightarrow_! K_! i (\text{reduce } p) \rangle$ 
      using ConI by blast
    then show ?case
      by simp
  next
    case (Ann r p)
    then have  $\langle B r \rangle$ 
      by simp
    have  $\langle A; B \vdash_! [\text{reduce } r]_! \text{reduce } p \longleftrightarrow_! \text{reduce}' (\text{reduce } r) (\text{reduce } p) \rangle$ 
      using Iff-reduce' static-reduce by blast
    moreover have  $\langle A; B \vdash_! [r]_! \text{reduce } p \longleftrightarrow_! [\text{reduce } r]_! \text{reduce } p \rangle$ 
      using Ann Iff-Ann1 static-reduce by fastforce
    ultimately have  $\langle A; B \vdash_! [r]_! \text{reduce } p \longleftrightarrow_! \text{reduce}' (\text{reduce } r) (\text{reduce } p) \rangle$ 
      using Iff-Iff Iff-sym by blast
    moreover have  $\langle \forall r \in \text{anns } p. B r \rangle$ 
      using Ann.prefs by simp
    then have  $\langle A; B \vdash_! p \longleftrightarrow_! \text{reduce } p \rangle$ 
      using Ann.hyps(2) by blast
    then have  $\langle A; B \vdash_! [r]_! \text{reduce } p \longleftrightarrow_! [r]_! p \rangle$ 
      using  $\langle B r \rangle$  Iff-Ann2 Iff-sym by blast
    ultimately have  $\langle A; B \vdash_! [r]_! p \longleftrightarrow_! \text{reduce}' (\text{reduce } r) (\text{reduce } p) \rangle$ 

```

```

using Iff-Iff by blast
then show ?case
  by simp
qed (simp-all add: PA1)

lemma anns-implyP [simp]:
  ⟨anns (ps ~>! q) = anns q ∪ (⋃ p ∈ set ps. anns p)⟩
  by (induct ps) auto

lemma strong-completenessP':
assumes ⟨P; G ⊨! p⟩
  and ⟨∀ r ∈ anns p. B r⟩ ⟨∀ q ∈ G. ∀ r ∈ anns q. B r⟩
  and ⟨P; lower ‘ reduce ‘ G ⊨★ lower (reduce p) ⟹
    A o lift; lower ‘ reduce ‘ G ⊢ lower (reduce p)⟩
shows ⟨A; B; G ⊢! p⟩
proof –
have ⟨P; reduce ‘ G ⊨!★ reduce p⟩
  using assms(1) reduce-semantics by fast
moreover have ⟨static (reduce p)⟩ ⟨∀ q ∈ reduce ‘ G. static q⟩
  using static-reduce by fast+
ultimately have ⟨A; B; reduce ‘ G ⊢! reduce p⟩
  using assms(4) strong-static-completeness'[where G=⟨reduce ‘ G⟩ and p=⟨reduce p⟩]
  by presburger
then have ⟨∃ qs. set qs ⊆ G ∧ (A; B ⊢! map reduce qs ~>! reduce p)⟩
  using set-map-inv by fast
then obtain qs where qs: ⟨set qs ⊆ G⟩ and ⟨A; B ⊢! map reduce qs ~>! reduce p⟩
  by blast
then have ⟨A; B ⊢! reduce (qs ~>! p)⟩
  using reduce-implyP by metis
moreover have ⟨∀ r ∈ anns (qs ~>! p). B r⟩
  using assms(2–3) qs by auto
then have ⟨A; B ⊢! qs ~>! p ⟷! reduce (qs ~>! p)⟩
  using Iff-reduce by blast
ultimately have ⟨A; B ⊢! qs ~>! p⟩
  using ConE(2) PR1 by blast
then show ?thesis
  using qs by blast
qed

theorem strong-completenessP:
assumes ⟨P; G ⊨! p⟩
  and ⟨∀ r ∈ anns p. B r⟩ ⟨∀ q ∈ G. ∀ r ∈ anns q. B r⟩
  and ⟨¬ G p. P; G ⊨★ p ⟹ A o lift; G ⊢ p⟩
shows ⟨A; B; G ⊢! p⟩
  using strong-completenessP' assms .

```

**theorem** main<sub>P</sub>:

**assumes**  $\langle \bigwedge M w. A p \implies P M \implies w \in \mathcal{W} M \implies M, w \models! p \rangle$   
**and**  $\langle \bigwedge M r. P M \implies B r \implies P(M[r!]) \rangle$   
**and**  $\langle \forall r \in \text{anns } p. B r \rangle \langle \forall q \in G. \forall r \in \text{anns } q. B r \rangle$   
**and**  $\langle \bigwedge G p. P; G \models! p \implies A \circ \text{lift}; G \vdash p \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle P; G \models! p \longleftrightarrow A; B; G \vdash! p \rangle$   
**using**  $\text{strong-soundness}_P[\text{of } A P B G p]$   $\text{strong-completeness}_P[\text{of } P G p B A]$   
**assms by** *blast*

**corollary**  $\text{strong-completeness}_{PB}$ :

**assumes**  $\langle P; G \models! p \rangle$   
**and**  $\langle \bigwedge G p. P; G \models! p \implies A \circ \text{lift}; G \vdash p \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle A; (\lambda-. \text{ True}); G \vdash! p \rangle$   
**using**  $\text{strong-completeness}_P[\text{where } B = \langle \lambda-. \text{ True} \rangle]$  **assms by** *blast*

**corollary**  $\text{completeness}_P'$ :

**assumes**  $\langle P; \{ \} \models! p \rangle$   
**and**  $\langle \forall r \in \text{anns } p. B r \rangle$   
**and**  $\langle \bigwedge p. P; \{ \} \models lower p \implies A \circ \text{lift} \vdash lower p \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle A; B \vdash! p \rangle$   
**using**  $\text{assms strong-completeness}_P'[\text{where } P = P \text{ and } G = \langle \{ \} \rangle]$  **by** *simp*

**corollary**  $\text{completeness}_P$ :

**assumes**  $\langle P; \{ \} \models! p \rangle$   
**and**  $\langle \forall r \in \text{anns } p. B r \rangle$   
**and**  $\langle \bigwedge p. P; \{ \} \models p \implies A \circ \text{lift} \vdash p \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle A; B \vdash! p \rangle$   
**using**  $\text{completeness}_P'$  **assms**.

**corollary**  $\text{completeness}_{PA}$ :

**assumes**  $\langle (\lambda-. \text{ True}); \{ \} \models! p \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle A; (\lambda-. \text{ True}) \vdash! p \rangle$   
**using**  $\text{assms completeness}_P[\text{of } \langle \lambda-. \text{ True} \rangle p \langle \lambda-. \text{ True} \rangle]$   $\text{completeness}_A$  **by** *blast*

## 9 System PAL + K

**abbreviation**  $\text{SystemPK} (\langle \dashv \vdash_{!K} \dashrightarrow [50, 50] 50 \rangle)$  **where**  
 $\langle G \vdash_{!K} p \equiv (\lambda-. \text{ False}); (\lambda-. \text{ True}); G \vdash! p \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{strong-soundness}_{PK}$ :  $\langle G \vdash_{!K} p \implies (\lambda-. \text{ True}); G \models! p \rangle$   
**using**  $\text{strong-soundness}_P[\text{of } \langle \lambda-. \text{ False} \rangle \langle \lambda-. \text{ True} \rangle]$  **by** *fast*

**abbreviation**  $\text{validPK} (\langle \dashv \models_{!K} \dashrightarrow [50, 50] 50 \rangle)$  **where**  
 $\langle G \models_{!K} p \equiv (\lambda-. \text{ True}); G \models! p \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{strong-completeness}_{PK}$ :

**assumes**  $\langle G \models_{!K} p \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle G \vdash_{!K} p \rangle$   
**using**  $\text{strong-completeness}_{PB}$  **assms**  $\text{strong-completeness}_K$  **unfolding** *comp-apply*

**theorem**  $\text{main}_{PK}$ :  $\langle G \Vdash_{!K} p \longleftrightarrow G \vdash_{!K} p \rangle$   
**using**  $\text{strong-soundness}_{PK}[\text{of } G p]$   $\text{strong-completeness}_{PK}[\text{of } G p]$  **by** *fast*

**corollary**  $\langle G \Vdash_{!K} p \implies (\lambda\_. \text{True}); G \Vdash_{!K} p \rangle$   
**using**  $\text{strong-soundness}_{PK}[\text{of } G p]$   $\text{strong-completeness}_{PK}[\text{of } G p]$  **by** *fast*

## 10 System PAL + T

Also known as System PAL + M

**inductive**  $AxPT :: \langle i \text{ pfm} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$  **where**  
 $\langle AxPT (K! i p \longrightarrow_! p) \rangle$

**abbreviation**  $\text{SystemPT} (\dashv \vdash_{!T} \dashrightarrow [50, 50] 50)$  **where**  
 $\langle G \vdash_{!T} p \equiv AxPT; (\lambda\_. \text{True}); G \vdash_! p \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{soundness-AxPT}$ :  $\langle AxPT p \implies \text{reflexive } M \implies w \in \mathcal{W} M \implies M, w \models_! p \rangle$   
**unfolding**  $\text{reflexive-def}$  **by** (*induct p rule: AxPT.induct*) *simp*

**lemma**  $\text{reflexive-restrict}$ :  $\langle \text{reflexive } M \implies \text{reflexive } (M[r!]) \rangle$   
**unfolding**  $\text{reflexive-def}$  **by** *simp*

**lemma**  $\text{strong-soundness}_{PT}$ :  $\langle G \vdash_{!T} p \implies \text{reflexive}; G \Vdash_{!K} p \rangle$   
**using**  $\text{strong-soundness}_P[\text{of } AxPT \text{ reflexive } \langle \lambda\_. \text{True} \rangle G p]$   
 $\text{soundness-AxPT reflexive-restrict}$  **by** *fast*

**lemma**  $\text{AxT-AxPT}$ :  $\langle AxT = AxPT \circ \text{lift} \rangle$   
**unfolding**  $\text{comp-apply}$  **using**  $\text{lower-lift}$   
**by** (*metis AxPT.simps AxT.simps lift.simps(5–6) lower.simps(5–6)*)

**abbreviation**  $\text{validPT} (\dashv \Vdash_{!T} \dashrightarrow [50, 50] 50)$  **where**  
 $\langle G \Vdash_{!T} p \equiv \text{reflexive}; G \Vdash_! p \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{strong-completeness}_{PT}$ :  
**assumes**  $\langle G \Vdash_{!T} p \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle G \vdash_{!T} p \rangle$   
**using**  $\text{strong-completeness}_B$  *assms*  $\text{strong-completeness}_T$  **unfolding**  $\text{AxT-AxPT}$   
.

**theorem**  $\text{main}_{PT}$ :  $\langle G \Vdash_{!T} p \longleftrightarrow G \vdash_{!T} p \rangle$   
**using**  $\text{strong-soundness}_{PT}[\text{of } G p]$   $\text{strong-completeness}_{PT}[\text{of } G p]$  **by** *fast*

**corollary**  $\langle G \Vdash_{!T} p \implies \text{reflexive}; G \Vdash_{!K} p \rangle$   
**using**  $\text{strong-soundness}_{PT}[\text{of } G p]$   $\text{strong-completeness}_{PT}[\text{of } G p]$  **by** *fast*

## 11 System PAL + KB

```

inductive AxPB :: <'i pfm  $\Rightarrow$  bool> where
  <AxPB (p  $\longrightarrow_!$  K! i (L! i p))>

abbreviation SystemPKB (<-  $\vdash_{!KB} \rightarrow$  [50, 50] 50) where
  <G  $\vdash_{!KB}$  p  $\equiv$  AxPB; ( $\lambda$ - True); G  $\vdash_!$  p>

lemma soundness-AxPB: <AxPB p  $\implies$  symmetric M  $\implies$  w  $\in$  W M  $\implies$  M, w
   $\models_!$  p>
    unfolding symmetric-def by (induct p rule: AxPB.induct) auto

lemma symmetric-restrict: <symmetric M  $\implies$  symmetric (M[r!])>
  unfolding symmetric-def by simp

lemma strong-soundnessPKB: <G  $\vdash_{!KB}$  p  $\implies$  symmetric; G  $\models_{!}^{\star}$  p>
  using strong-soundnessP[of AxPB symmetric < $\lambda$ - True> G p]
  soundness-AxPB symmetric-restrict by fast

lemma AxB-AxPB: <AxB = AxPB o lift>
proof
  fix p :: <'i fm>
  show <AxB p = (AxPB o lift) p>
    unfolding comp-apply using lower-lift
    by (smt (verit, best) AxB.simps AxPB.simps lift.simps(1, 5-6) lower.simps(5-6))
qed

abbreviation validPKB (<-  $\models_{!KB} \rightarrow$  [50, 50] 50) where
  <G  $\models_{!KB}$  p  $\equiv$  symmetric; G  $\models_!$  p>

lemma strong-completenessPKB:
  assumes <G  $\models_{!KB}$  p>
  shows <G  $\vdash_{!KB}$  p>
  using strong-completenessP assms strong-completenessKB unfolding AxB-AxPB
  .

theorem mainPKB: <G  $\models_{!KB}$  p  $\longleftrightarrow$  G  $\vdash_{!KB}$  p>
  using strong-soundnessPKB[of G p] strong-completenessPKB[of G p] by fast

corollary <G  $\models_{!KB}$  p  $\implies$  symmetric; G  $\models_{!}^{\star}$  p>
  using strong-soundnessPKB[of G p] strong-completenessPKB[of G p] by fast

```

## 12 System PAL + K4

```

inductive AxP4 :: <'i pfm  $\Rightarrow$  bool> where
  <AxP4 (K! i p  $\longrightarrow_!$  K! i (K! i p))>

abbreviation SystemPK4 (<-  $\vdash_{!K4} \rightarrow$  [50, 50] 50) where
  <G  $\vdash_{!K4}$  p  $\equiv$  AxP4; ( $\lambda$ - True); G  $\vdash_!$  p>

```

```

lemma pos-introspection:
  assumes ⟨transitive M⟩ ⟨w ∈ W M⟩
  shows ⟨M, w |=! (K! i p —→! K! i (K! i p))⟩
proof –
  { assume ⟨M, w |=! K! i p⟩
    then have ⟨∀ v ∈ W M ∩ K M i w. M, v |=! p⟩
      by simp
    then have ⟨∀ v ∈ W M ∩ K M i w. ∀ u ∈ W M ∩ K M i v. M, u |=! p⟩
      using ⟨transitive M⟩ ⟨w ∈ W M⟩ unfolding transitive-def by blast
    then have ⟨∀ v ∈ W M ∩ K M i w. M, v |=! K! i p⟩
      by simp
    then have ⟨M, w |=! K! i (K! i p)⟩
      by simp }
    then show ?thesis
      by fastforce
  qed

lemma soundness-AxP4: ⟨AxP4 p ⟹ transitive M ⟹ w ∈ W M ⟹ M, w |=! p⟩
by (induct p rule: AxP4.induct) (metis pos-introspection)

lemma transitive-restrict: ⟨transitive M ⟹ transitive (M[r!])⟩
unfolding transitive-def by (cases M) (metis (no-types, lifting) frame.select-convs(1–2)
frame.update-convs(1) mem-Collect-eq restrict.simps)

lemma strong-soundnessPK4: ⟨G ⊢!K4 p ⟹ transitive; G ⊨!★ p⟩
using strong-soundnessP[of AxP4 transitive ⟨λ-. True⟩ G p]
soundness-AxP4 transitive-restrict by fast

lemma Ax4-AxP4: ⟨Ax4 = AxP4 o lift⟩
proof
  fix p :: ⟨'i fm⟩
  show ⟨Ax4 p = (AxP4 o lift) p⟩
    unfolding comp-apply using lower-lift
    by (smt (verit, best) Ax4.simps AxP4.simps lift.simps(1, 5–6) lower.simps(5–6))
  qed

abbreviation validPK4 (‐ |=!K4 → [50, 50] 50) where
  ⟨G |=!K4 p ≡ transitive; G |=! p⟩

lemma strong-completenessPK4:
  assumes ⟨G |=!K4 p⟩
  shows ⟨G ⊢!K4 p⟩
  using strong-completenessPB assms strong-completenessK4 unfolding Ax4-AxP4
  .

theorem mainPK4: ⟨G |=!K4 p ⟺ G ⊢!K4 p⟩
  using strong-soundnessPK4[of G p] strong-completenessPK4[of G p] by fast

```

**corollary**  $\langle G \models_{!K4} p \implies \text{transitive}; G \models_{!★} p \rangle$   
**using**  $\text{strong-soundness}_{PK4}[\text{of } G p]$   $\text{strong-completeness}_{PK4}[\text{of } G p]$  **by** *fast*

## 13 System PAL + K5

**inductive**  $AxP5 :: \langle 'i pfm \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$  **where**  
 $\langle AxP5 (L_! i p \longrightarrow_! K_! i (L_! i p)) \rangle$

**abbreviation**  $SystemPK5 (\langle - \vdash_{!K5} \rightarrow [50, 50] 50 \rangle)$  **where**  
 $\langle G \vdash_{!K5} p \equiv AxP5; (\lambda -. \text{True}); G \vdash_! p \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{soundness-}AxP5: \langle AxP5 p \implies \text{Euclidean } M \implies w \in \mathcal{W} M \implies M, w \models_! p \rangle$   
**by** (*induct p rule: AxP5.induct*) (*unfold Euclidean-def psemantics.simps, blast*)

**lemma**  $\text{Euclidean-restrict}: \langle \text{Euclidean } M \implies \text{Euclidean } (M[r!] \rangle)$   
**unfolding**  $\text{Euclidean-def}$  **by** *auto*

**lemma**  $\text{strong-soundness}_{PK5}: \langle G \vdash_{!K5} p \implies \text{Euclidean}; G \models_{!★} p \rangle$   
**using**  $\text{strong-soundness}_P[\text{of } AxP5 \text{ Euclidean } \langle \lambda -. \text{True} \rangle G p]$   
 $\text{soundness-}AxP5 \text{ Euclidean-restrict}$  **by** *fast*

**lemma**  $Ax5-AxP5: \langle Ax5 = AxP5 \circ lift \rangle$   
**proof**  
**fix**  $p :: \langle 'i fm \rangle$   
**show**  $\langle Ax5 p = (AxP5 \circ lift) p \rangle$   
**unfolding**  $\text{comp-apply}$  **using** *lower-lift*  
**by** (*smt (verit, best) Ax5.simps AxP5.simps lift.simps(1, 5-6) lower.simps(5-6)*)  
**qed**

**abbreviation**  $validPK5 (\langle - \models_{!K5} \rightarrow [50, 50] 50 \rangle)$  **where**  
 $\langle G \models_{!K5} p \equiv \text{Euclidean}; G \models_! p \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{strong-completeness}_{PK5}:$   
**assumes**  $\langle G \models_{!K5} p \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle G \vdash_{!K5} p \rangle$   
**using**  $\text{strong-completeness}_B$  **assms**  $\text{strong-completeness}_{K5}$  **unfolding**  $Ax5-AxP5$   
 $\cdot$

**theorem**  $main_{PK5}: \langle G \models_{!K5} p \longleftrightarrow G \vdash_{!K5} p \rangle$   
**using**  $\text{strong-soundness}_{PK5}[\text{of } G p]$   $\text{strong-completeness}_{PK5}[\text{of } G p]$  **by** *fast*

**corollary**  $\langle G \models_{!K5} p \implies \text{Euclidean}; G \models_{!★} p \rangle$   
**using**  $\text{strong-soundness}_{PK5}[\text{of } G p]$   $\text{strong-completeness}_{PK5}[\text{of } G p]$  **by** *fast*

## 14 System PAL + S4

**abbreviation**  $SystemPS4$  ( $\langle \cdot \vdash_{!S4} \rightarrow [50, 50] \cdot 50 \rangle$  **where**  
 $\langle G \vdash_{!S4} p \equiv AxPT \oplus AxP4; (\lambda \cdot. True); G \vdash_! p \rangle$

**lemma**  $soundness-AxPT4$ :  $\langle (AxPT \oplus AxP4) p \implies refltrans M \implies w \in \mathcal{W} M \implies M, w \models_! p \rangle$   
**using**  $soundness-AxPT$   $soundness-AxP4$  **by** *fast*

**lemma**  $refltrans-restrict$ :  $\langle refltrans M \implies refltrans (M[r!]') \rangle$   
**using**  $reflexive-restrict$   $transitive-restrict$  **by** *blast*

**lemma**  $strong-soundness_{PS4}$ :  $\langle G \vdash_{!S4} p \implies refltrans; G \Vdash_{!S4} p \rangle$   
**using**  $strong-soundness_P$ [of  $\langle AxPT \oplus AxP4 \rangle$ ]  $refltrans \langle \lambda \cdot. True \rangle G p$   
 $soundness-AxPT4$   $refltrans-restrict$  **by** *fast*

**lemma**  $AxT4-AxPT4$ :  $\langle (AxT \oplus Ax4) = (AxPT \oplus AxP4) o lift \rangle$   
**using**  $AxT-AxPT$   $Ax4-AxP4$  **unfolding**  $comp-apply$  **by** *metis*

**abbreviation**  $validPS4$  ( $\langle \cdot \Vdash_{!S4} \rightarrow [50, 50] \cdot 50 \rangle$  **where**  
 $\langle G \Vdash_{!S4} p \equiv refltrans; G \Vdash_! p \rangle$

**theorem**  $strong-completeness_{PS4}$ :  
**assumes**  $\langle G \Vdash_{!S4} p \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle G \vdash_{!S4} p \rangle$   
**using**  $strong-completeness_P$   $assms$   $strong-completeness_{S4}$  **unfolding**  $AxT4-AxPT4$   
.

**theorem**  $main_{PS4}$ :  $\langle G \Vdash_{!S4} p \longleftrightarrow G \vdash_{!S4} p \rangle$   
**using**  $strong-soundness_{PS4}$ [of  $G p$ ]  $strong-completeness_{PS4}$ [of  $G p$ ] **by** *fast*

**corollary**  $\langle G \Vdash_{!S4} p \implies refltrans; G \Vdash_{!S4} p \rangle$   
**using**  $strong-soundness_{PS4}$ [of  $G p$ ]  $strong-completeness_{PS4}$ [of  $G p$ ] **by** *fast*

## 15 System PAL + S5

**abbreviation**  $SystemPS5$  ( $\langle \cdot \vdash_{!S5} \rightarrow [50, 50] \cdot 50 \rangle$  **where**  
 $\langle G \vdash_{!S5} p \equiv AxPT \oplus AxPB \oplus AxP4; (\lambda \cdot. True); G \vdash_! p \rangle$

**abbreviation**  $AxPTB4 :: \langle 'i pfm \Rightarrow bool \rangle$  **where**  
 $\langle AxPTB4 \equiv AxPT \oplus AxPB \oplus AxP4 \rangle$

**lemma**  $soundness-AxPTB4$ :  $\langle AxPTB4 p \implies equivalence M \implies w \in \mathcal{W} M \implies M, w \models_! p \rangle$   
**using**  $soundness-AxPT$   $soundness-AxPB$   $soundness-AxP4$  **by** *fast*

**lemma**  $equivalence-restrict$ :  $\langle equivalence M \implies equivalence (M[r!]') \rangle$   
**using**  $reflexive-restrict$   $symmetric-restrict$   $transitive-restrict$  **by** *blast*

**lemma**  $\text{strong-soundness}_{PS5}$ :  $\langle G \vdash_{!S5} p \implies \text{equivalence}; G \Vdash_{!S5} p \rangle$   
**using**  $\text{strong-soundness}_P[\text{of } AxPTB4 \text{ equivalence } \langle \lambda\_. \text{True} \rangle G p]$   
**soundness-AxPTB4 equivalence-restrict by fast**

**lemma**  $AxTB4$ - $AxPTB4$ :  $\langle AxTB4 = AxPTB4 \circ \text{lift} \rangle$   
**using**  $AxT$ - $AxPT$   $AxB$ - $AxPB$   $Ax4$ - $AxP4$  **unfolding comp-apply by metis**

**abbreviation**  $\text{validPS5}$  ( $\langle \cdot \Vdash_{!S5} \cdot \rangle [50, 50] 50$ ) **where**  
 $\langle G \Vdash_{!S5} p \equiv \text{equivalence}; G \Vdash_{!} p \rangle$

**theorem**  $\text{strong-completeness}_{PS5}$ :  
**assumes**  $\langle G \Vdash_{!S5} p \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle G \vdash_{!S5} p \rangle$   
**using**  $\text{strong-completeness}_{PB}$  **assms**  $\text{strong-completeness}_{S5}$  **unfolding**  $AxTB4$ - $AxPTB4$   
.

**theorem**  $\text{main}_{PS5}$ :  $\langle G \Vdash_{!S5} p \longleftrightarrow G \vdash_{!S5} p \rangle$   
**using**  $\text{strong-soundness}_{PS5}[\text{of } G p]$   $\text{strong-completeness}_{PS5}[\text{of } G p]$  **by fast**

**corollary**  $\langle G \Vdash_{!S5} p \implies \text{equivalence}; G \Vdash_{!} p \rangle$   
**using**  $\text{strong-soundness}_{PS5}[\text{of } G p]$   $\text{strong-completeness}_{PS5}[\text{of } G p]$  **by fast**

## 16 System PAL + S5'

**abbreviation**  $\text{SystemPS5}'$  ( $\langle \cdot \vdash_{!S5}'' \cdot \rangle [50, 50] 50$ ) **where**  
 $\langle G \vdash_{!S5}' p \equiv AxPT \oplus AxP5; (\lambda\_. \text{True}); G \vdash_{!} p \rangle$

**abbreviation**  $AxPT5 :: \langle 'i pfm \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$  **where**  
 $\langle AxPT5 \equiv AxPT \oplus AxP5 \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{soundness-AxPT5}$ :  $\langle AxPT5 p \implies \text{equivalence } M \implies w \in \mathcal{W} M \implies M, w \models_{!} p \rangle$   
**using**  $\text{soundness-AxPT}$   $\text{soundness-AxPT}$   $\text{soundness-AxP5}$  **symm-trans-Euclid by fast**

**lemma**  $\text{strong-soundness}_{PS5}'$ :  $\langle G \vdash_{!S5}' p \implies \text{equivalence}; G \Vdash_{!} p \rangle$   
**using**  $\text{strong-soundness}_P[\text{of } AxPT5 \text{ equivalence } \langle \lambda\_. \text{True} \rangle G p]$   
**soundness-AxPT5 equivalence-restrict by fast**

**lemma**  $AxT5$ - $AxPT5$ :  $\langle AxT5 = AxPT5 \circ \text{lift} \rangle$   
**using**  $AxT$ - $AxPT$   $Ax5$ - $AxP5$  **unfolding comp-apply by metis**

**theorem**  $\text{strong-completeness}_{PS5}'$ :  
**assumes**  $\langle G \Vdash_{!S5} p \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle G \vdash_{!S5}' p \rangle$   
**using**  $\text{strong-completeness}_{PB}$  **assms**  $\text{strong-completeness}_{S5}'$  **unfolding**  $AxT5$ - $AxPT5$   
.

**theorem**  $\text{main}_{PS5}'$ :  $\langle G \Vdash_{!S5} p \longleftrightarrow G \vdash_{!S5}' p \rangle$

**using**  $\text{strong-soundness}_{PS5}'[\text{of } G \ p]$   $\text{strong-completeness}_{PS5}'[\text{of } G \ p]$  **by** *fast*  
**end**

## References

- [1] Y. Wang and Q. Cao. On axiomatizations of public announcement logic. *Synthese*, 190(Supplement-1):103–134, 2013.