

The pi-calculus

Jesper Bengtson

March 17, 2025

Abstract

Contents

1 Overview	1
2 Formalisation	2

1 Overview

These theories formalise the following results for psi-calculi. Note that there is only an early semantics for psi-calculi, although a late one may appear later.

- strong bisimilarity is preserved by all operators except the input-prefix
- strong equivalence is a congruence
- weak bisimilarity is preserved by all operators except case and the input-prefix
- weak congruence is a congruence
- strong equivalence respect the laws of structural congruence
- all strongly equivalent agents are also weakly congruent which in turn are weakly bisimilar. Moreover, strongly equivalent agents are also strongly bisimilar
- as a corollary of the last two points, all mentioned equivalences respect the law of structural congruence
- for instances of psi-calculi where assertion composition satisfies weakening, the definition of weak bisimilarity can be simplified significantly and proven equivalent to the version that applies when weakening does not hold

- for certain versions of psi-calculi, sum can be encoded
- for certain versions of psi-calculi, the tau-prefix can be encoded and when weakening is satisfied, all of the tau-laws hold.

The file naming convention is hopefully self explanatory, where the prefixes *Strong* and *Weak* denote that the file covers theories required to formalise properties of strong and weak bisimilarity respectively; files with the prefix *Weaken* cover theories where weakening holds for the static implication; if the file name contains *Sim* the theories cover simulation, file names containing *Bisim* cover bisimulation, and file names containing *Cong* cover weak congruence; files with the suffix *Pres* deal with theories that reason about preservation properties of operators such as a simulation or bisimulation being preserved by a certain operator; files with the suffix *StructCong* reason about structural congruence.

For a complete exposition of all of theories, please consult Bengtson's Ph. D. thesis [1]. A shorter presentation can be found in our TPHOLs paper 'Psi-calculi in Isabelle' from 2009 [3]. There are also two LICS-papers that focus on the mathematical theories, rather than the Isabelle formalisations [2, 4].

2 Formalisation

```

theory Chain
  imports HOL-Nominal.Nominal
begin

lemma pt-set-nil:
  fixes Xs :: 'a set
  assumes pt: pt TYPE('a) TYPE ('x)
  and at: at TYPE('x)

  shows ([::'x prm)·Xs = Xs
  <proof>

lemma pt-set-append:
  fixes pi1 :: 'x prm
  and pi2 :: 'x prm
  and Xs :: 'a set
  assumes pt: pt TYPE('a) TYPE ('x)
  and at: at TYPE('x)

  shows (pi1@pi2)·Xs = pi1·(pi2·Xs)
  <proof>

lemma pt-set-prm-eq:

```

```

fixes  $pi1 :: 'x\ prm$ 
and    $pi2 :: 'x\ prm$ 
and    $Xs :: 'a\ set$ 
assumes  $pt: pt\ TYPE('a)\ TYPE('x)$ 
and     $at: at\ TYPE('x)$ 

shows  $pi1 \triangleq pi2 \implies pi1 \cdot Xs = pi2 \cdot Xs$ 
<proof>

lemma  $pt\ set\ inst:$ 
assumes  $pt: pt\ TYPE('a)\ TYPE('x)$ 
and     $at: at\ TYPE('x)$ 

shows  $pt\ TYPE('a\ set)\ TYPE('x)$ 
<proof>

lemma  $pt\ ball\ eqvt:$ 
fixes  $pi :: 'a\ prm$ 
and    $Xs :: 'b\ set$ 
and    $P :: 'b \Rightarrow bool$ 

assumes  $pt: pt\ TYPE('b)\ TYPE('a)$ 
and     $at: at\ TYPE('a)$ 

shows  $(pi \cdot (\forall x \in Xs. P\ x)) = (\forall x \in (pi \cdot Xs). pi \cdot P\ (rev\ pi \cdot x))$ 
<proof>

lemma  $perm\ cart\ prod:$ 
fixes  $Xs :: 'b\ set$ 
and    $Ys :: 'c\ set$ 
and    $p :: 'a\ prm$ 

assumes  $pt1: pt\ TYPE('b)\ TYPE('a)$ 
and     $pt2: pt\ TYPE('c)\ TYPE('a)$ 
and     $at: at\ TYPE('a)$ 

shows  $(p \cdot (Xs \times Ys)) = (((p \cdot Xs) \times (p \cdot Ys))::('b \times 'c)\ set)$ 
<proof>

lemma  $supp\ member:$ 
fixes  $Xs :: 'b\ set$ 
and    $x :: 'a$ 

assumes  $pt: pt\ TYPE('b)\ TYPE('a)$ 
and     $at: at\ TYPE('a)$ 
and     $fs: fs\ TYPE('b)\ TYPE('a)$ 
and     $finite\ Xs$ 
and     $x \in ((supp\ Xs)::'a\ set)$ 

```

obtains X **where** $(X::'b) \in Xs$ **and** $x \in \text{supp } X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *supp-cart-prod-empty*[simp]:
fixes $Xs :: 'b \text{ set}$

shows $\text{supp } (Xs \times \{\}) = (\{\}::'a \text{ set})$
and $\text{supp } (\{\} \times Xs) = (\{\}::'a \text{ set})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *supp-cart-prod*:
fixes $Xs :: 'b \text{ set}$
and $Ys :: 'c \text{ set}$

assumes $pt1: pt \text{ TYPE}('b) \text{ TYPE}('a)$
and $pt2: pt \text{ TYPE}('c) \text{ TYPE}('a)$
and $fs1: fs \text{ TYPE}('b) \text{ TYPE}('a)$
and $fs2: fs \text{ TYPE}('c) \text{ TYPE}('a)$
and $at: at \text{ TYPE}('a)$
and $f1: \text{finite } Xs$
and $f2: \text{finite } Ys$
and $a: Xs \neq \{\}$
and $b: Ys \neq \{\}$

shows $((\text{supp } (Xs \times Ys))::'a \text{ set}) = ((\text{supp } Xs) \cup (\text{supp } Ys))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fresh-cart-prod*:
fixes $x :: 'a$
and $Xs :: 'b \text{ set}$
and $Ys :: 'c \text{ set}$

assumes $pt1: pt \text{ TYPE}('b) \text{ TYPE}('a)$
and $pt2: pt \text{ TYPE}('c) \text{ TYPE}('a)$
and $fs1: fs \text{ TYPE}('b) \text{ TYPE}('a)$
and $fs2: fs \text{ TYPE}('c) \text{ TYPE}('a)$
and $at: at \text{ TYPE}('a)$
and $f1: \text{finite } Xs$
and $f2: \text{finite } Ys$
and $a: Xs \neq \{\}$
and $b: Ys \neq \{\}$

shows $(x \# (Xs \times Ys)) = (x \# Xs \wedge x \# Ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fresh-star-cart-prod*:
fixes $Zs :: 'a \text{ set}$
and $xvec :: 'a \text{ list}$
and $Xs :: 'b \text{ set}$

and $Ys :: 'c \text{ set}$

assumes $pt1: pt \text{ TYPE}('b) \text{ TYPE}('a)$

and $pt2: pt \text{ TYPE}('c) \text{ TYPE}('a)$

and $fs1: fs \text{ TYPE}('b) \text{ TYPE}('a)$

and $fs2: fs \text{ TYPE}('c) \text{ TYPE}('a)$

and $at: at \text{ TYPE}('a)$

and $f1: \text{finite } Xs$

and $f2: \text{finite } Ys$

and $a: Xs \neq \{\}$

and $b: Ys \neq \{\}$

shows $(Zs \#* (Xs \times Ys)) = (Zs \#* Xs \wedge Zs \#* Ys)$

and $(xvec \#* (Xs \times Ys)) = (xvec \#* Xs \wedge xvec \#* Ys)$
(*proof*)

lemma *permCommute*:

fixes $p :: 'a \text{ prm}$

and $q :: 'a \text{ prm}$

and $P :: 'x$

and $Xs :: 'a \text{ set}$

and $Ys :: 'a \text{ set}$

assumes $pt: pt \text{ TYPE}('x) \text{ TYPE}('a)$

and $at: at \text{ TYPE}('a)$

and $a: (\text{set } p) \subseteq Xs \times Ys$

and $b: Xs \#* q$

and $c: Ys \#* q$

shows $p \cdot q \cdot P = q \cdot p \cdot P$

(*proof*)

definition

$\text{distinctPerm} :: 'a \text{ prm} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\text{distinctPerm } p \equiv \text{distinct}((\text{map } \text{fst } p) @ (\text{map } \text{snd } p))$

lemma *at-set-avoiding-aux'*:

fixes $Xs :: 'a \text{ set}$

and $As :: 'a \text{ set}$

assumes $at: at \text{ TYPE}('a)$

and $a: \text{finite } Xs$

and $b: Xs \subseteq As$

and $c: \text{finite } As$

and $d: \text{finite } ((\text{supp } c) :: 'a \text{ set})$

shows $\exists (Ys :: 'a \text{ set}) (pi :: 'a \text{ prm}). Ys \#* c \wedge Ys \cap As = \{\} \wedge (pi \cdot Xs = Ys) \wedge$
 $\text{set } pi \subseteq Xs \times Ys \wedge \text{finite } Ys \wedge (\text{distinctPerm } pi)$

(*proof*)

lemma *at-set-avoiding*:
fixes $Xs :: 'a \text{ set}$
assumes $at: at \text{ TYPE}('a)$
and $a: \text{finite } Xs$
and $b: \text{finite } ((\text{supp } c) :: 'a \text{ set})$
obtains $pi :: 'a \text{ prm}$ **where** $(pi \cdot Xs) \#* c$ **and** $\text{set } pi \subseteq Xs \times (pi \cdot Xs)$ **and**
distinctPerm pi
<proof>

lemma *pt-swap*:
fixes $x :: 'a$
and $a :: 'x$
and $b :: 'x$

assumes $pt: pt \text{ TYPE}('a) \text{ TYPE}('x)$
and $at: at \text{ TYPE}('x)$

shows $[(a, b)] \cdot x = [(b, a)] \cdot x$
<proof>

atom-decl *name*

lemma *supp-subset*:
fixes $Xs :: 'a :: \text{fs-name set}$
and $Ys :: 'a :: \text{fs-name set}$

assumes $Xs \subseteq Ys$
and $\text{finite } Xs$
and $\text{finite } Ys$

shows $(\text{supp } Xs) \subseteq ((\text{supp } Ys) :: \text{name set})$
<proof>

abbreviation *mem-def* $:: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ $(\leftarrow \text{mem } \rightarrow [80, 80] 80)$ **where**
 $x \text{ mem } xs \equiv x \in \text{set } xs$

lemma *memFresh*:
fixes $x :: \text{name}$
and $p :: 'a :: \text{fs-name}$
and $l :: ('a :: \text{fs-name}) \text{ list}$

assumes $x \# l$
and $p \text{ mem } l$

shows $x \# p$
<proof>

lemma *memFreshChain*:

```

fixes xvec :: name list
and p :: 'a::fs-name
and l :: 'a::fs-name list
and Xs :: name set

assumes p mem l

shows xvec #* l  $\implies$  xvec #* p
and Xs #* l  $\implies$  Xs #* p
<proof>

lemma fresh-star-list-append[simp]:
fixes A :: name list
and B :: name list
and C :: name list

shows (A #* (B @ C)) = ((A #* B)  $\wedge$  (A #* C))
<proof>

lemma unionSimps[simp]:
fixes Xs :: name set
and Ys :: name set
and C :: 'a::fs-name

shows ((Xs  $\cup$  Ys) #* C) = ((Xs #* C)  $\wedge$  (Ys #* C))
<proof>

lemma substFreshAux[simp]:
fixes C :: 'a::fs-name
and xvec :: name list

shows xvec #* (supp C - set xvec)
<proof>

lemma fresh-star-perm-app[simp]:
fixes Xs :: name set
and xvec :: name list
and p :: name prm
and C :: 'd::fs-name

shows [Xs #* p; Xs #* C]  $\implies$  Xs #* (p  $\cdot$  C)
and [xvec #* p; xvec #* C]  $\implies$  xvec #* (p  $\cdot$  C)
<proof>

lemma freshSets[simp]:
fixes x :: name
and y :: name
and xvec :: name list
and X :: name set

```

```

and  $C :: 'a$ 

shows  $([]::\text{name list}) \#* C$ 
and  $([]::\text{name list}) \#* [y].C$ 
and  $(\{\}::\text{name set}) \#* C$ 
and  $(\{\}::\text{name set}) \#* [y].C$ 
and  $((x\#xvec) \#* C) = (x \# C \wedge xvec \#* C)$ 
and  $((x\#xvec) \#* ([y].C)) = (x \# ([y].C) \wedge xvec \#* ([y].C))$ 
and  $((\text{insert } x X) \#* C) = (x \# C \wedge X \#* C)$ 
and  $((\text{insert } x X) \#* ([y].C)) = (x \# ([y].C) \wedge X \#* ([y].C))$ 
<proof>

lemma freshStarAtom[simp]:  $(xvec::\text{name list}) \#* (x::\text{name}) = x \# xvec$ 
<proof>

lemma name-list-set-fresh[simp]:
  fixes  $xvec :: \text{name list}$ 
  and  $x :: 'a::\text{fs-name}$ 

  shows  $(\text{set } xvec) \#* x = xvec \#* x$ 
<proof>

lemma name-list-supp:
  fixes  $xvec :: \text{name list}$ 

  shows  $\text{set } xvec = \text{supp } xvec$ 
<proof>

lemma abs-fresh-list-star:
  fixes  $xvec :: \text{name list}$ 
  and  $a :: \text{name}$ 
  and  $P :: 'a::\text{fs-name}$ 

  shows  $(xvec \#* [a].P) = ((\text{set } xvec) - \{a\}) \#* P$ 
<proof>

lemma abs-fresh-set-star:
  fixes  $X :: \text{name set}$ 
  and  $a :: \text{name}$ 
  and  $P :: 'a::\text{fs-name}$ 

  shows  $(X \#* [a].P) = (X - \{a\}) \#* P$ 
<proof>

lemmas abs-fresh-star = abs-fresh-list-star abs-fresh-set-star

lemma abs-fresh-list-star'[simp]:
  fixes  $xvec :: \text{name list}$ 
  and  $a :: \text{name}$ 

```

and $P :: 'a::fs\text{-name}$
assumes $a \# xvec$
shows $xvec \#* [a].P = xvec \#* P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *freshChainSym*[*simp*]:
fixes $xvec :: name\ list$
and $yvec :: name\ list$
shows $xvec \#* yvec = yvec \#* xvec$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*eqvt*] = *perm-cart-prod*[*OF pt-name-inst, OF pt-name-inst, OF at-name-inst*]

lemma *name-set-avoiding*:
fixes $c :: 'a::fs\text{-name}$
and $X :: name\ set$
assumes *finite X*
and $\bigwedge pi::name\ prm. \llbracket (pi \cdot X) \#* c; distinctPerm\ pi; set\ pi \subseteq X \times (pi \cdot X) \rrbracket$
 $\implies thesis$
shows *thesis*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *simps*[*simp*] = *fresh-atm fresh-prod*
 $pt3$ [*OF pt-name-inst, OF at-ds1, OF at-name-inst*]
 $pt\text{-fresh-fresh}$ [*OF pt-name-inst, OF at-name-inst*]
 $pt\text{-rev-pi}$ [*OF pt-name-inst, OF at-name-inst*]
 $pt\text{-pi-rev}$ [*OF pt-name-inst, OF at-name-inst*]

lemmas *name-supp-cart-prod* = *supp-cart-prod*[*OF pt-name-inst, OF pt-name-inst, OF fs-name-inst, OF fs-name-inst, OF at-name-inst*]
lemmas *name-fresh-cart-prod* = *fresh-cart-prod*[*OF pt-name-inst, OF pt-name-inst, OF fs-name-inst, OF fs-name-inst, OF at-name-inst*]
lemmas *name-fresh-star-cart-prod* = *fresh-star-cart-prod*[*OF pt-name-inst, OF pt-name-inst, OF fs-name-inst, OF fs-name-inst, OF at-name-inst*]

lemmas *name-swap-bij*[*simp*] = *pt-swap-bij*[*OF pt-name-inst, OF at-name-inst*]
lemmas *name-swap* = *pt-swap*[*OF pt-name-inst, OF at-name-inst*]
lemmas *name-set-fresh-fresh*[*simp*] = *pt-freshs-freshs*[*OF pt-name-inst, OF at-name-inst*]
lemmas *list-fresh*[*simp*] = *fresh-list-nil fresh-list-cons fresh-list-append*

definition *eqvt* :: $'a::fs\text{-name}\ set \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $eqvt\ X \equiv \forall x \in X. \forall p::name\ prm. p \cdot x \in X$

lemma *eqvtUnion*[*intro*]:
fixes *Rel* :: ('d::fs-name) set
and *Rel'* :: 'd set

assumes *EqvtRel*: eqvt *Rel*
and *EqvtRel'*: eqvt *Rel'*

shows eqvt (*Rel* \cup *Rel'*)
<proof>

lemma *eqvtPerm*[*simp*]:
fixes *X* :: ('d::fs-name) set
and *x* :: name
and *y* :: name

assumes eqvt *X*

shows $[(x, y)] \cdot X = X$
<proof>

lemma *eqvtI*:
fixes *X* :: 'd::fs-name set
and *x* :: 'd
and *p* :: name prm

assumes eqvt *X*
and $x \in X$

shows $(p \cdot x) \in X$
<proof>

lemma *fresh-star-list-nil*[*simp*]:
fixes *xvec* :: name list
and *Xs* :: name set

shows *xvec* $\#^*$ []
and *Xs* $\#^*$ []
<proof>

lemma *fresh-star-list-cons*[*simp*]:
fixes *xvec* :: name list
and *Xs* :: name set
and *x* :: 'a::fs-name
and *xs* :: 'a list

shows $(xvec \#^* (x\#xs)) = ((xvec \#^* x) \wedge xvec \#^* xs)$
and $(Xs \#^* (x\#xs)) = ((Xs \#^* x) \wedge (Xs \#^* xs))$
<proof>

```

lemma freshStarPair[simp]:
  fixes  $X$  :: name set
  and  $xvec$  :: name list
  and  $x$  :: 'a::fs-name'
  and  $y$  :: 'b::fs-name'

  shows  $(X \#* (x, y)) = (X \#* x \wedge X \#* y)$ 
  and  $(xvec \#* (x, y)) = (xvec \#* x \wedge xvec \#* y)$ 
  <proof>

lemma name-list-avoiding:
  fixes  $c$  :: 'a::fs-name'
  and  $xvec$  :: name list

  assumes  $\bigwedge pi::name\ prm. \llbracket (pi \cdot xvec) \#* c; distinctPerm\ pi; set\ pi \subseteq (set\ xvec) \times (set\ (pi \cdot xvec)) \rrbracket \implies thesis$ 

  shows thesis
  <proof>

lemma distinctPermSimps[simp]:
  fixes  $p$  :: name prm
  and  $a$  :: name
  and  $b$  :: name

  shows  $distinctPerm([], name\ prm)$ 
  and  $(distinctPerm((a, b)\#p)) = (distinctPerm\ p \wedge a \neq b \wedge a \# p \wedge b \# p)$ 
  <proof>

lemma map-eqvt[eqvt]:
  fixes  $p$  :: name prm
  and  $lst$  :: 'a::pt-name list'

  shows  $(p \cdot (map\ f\ lst)) = map\ (p \cdot f)\ (p \cdot lst)$ 
  <proof>

lemma consPerm:
  fixes  $x$  :: name
  and  $y$  :: name
  and  $p$  :: name prm
  and  $C$  :: 'a::pt-name'

  shows  $((x, y)\#p) \cdot C = [(x, y)] \cdot p \cdot C$ 
  <proof>

  <ML>

lemma distinctEqvt[eqvt]:
  fixes  $p$  :: name prm
  and  $xs$  :: 'a::pt-name list'

```

shows $(p \cdot (\text{distinct } xs)) = \text{distinct } (p \cdot xs)$
<proof>

lemma *distinctClosed[simp]*:
fixes $p :: \text{name prm}$
and $xs :: 'a::\text{pt-name list}$

shows $\text{distinct } (p \cdot xs) = \text{distinct } xs$
<proof>

lemma *lengthEqvt[eqvt]*:
fixes $p :: \text{name prm}$
and $xs :: 'a::\text{pt-name list}$

shows $p \cdot (\text{length } xs) = \text{length } (p \cdot xs)$
<proof>

lemma *lengthClosed[simp]*:
fixes $p :: \text{name prm}$
and $xs :: 'a::\text{pt-name list}$

shows $\text{length } (p \cdot xs) = \text{length } xs$
<proof>

lemma *subsetEqvt[eqvt]*:
fixes $p :: \text{name prm}$
and $S :: ('a::\text{pt-name}) \text{ set}$
and $T :: ('a::\text{pt-name}) \text{ set}$

shows $(p \cdot (S \subseteq T)) = ((p \cdot S) \subseteq (p \cdot T))$
<proof>

lemma *subsetClosed[simp]*:
fixes $p :: \text{name prm}$
and $S :: ('a::\text{pt-name}) \text{ set}$
and $T :: ('a::\text{pt-name}) \text{ set}$

shows $((p \cdot S) \subseteq (p \cdot T)) = (S \subseteq T)$
<proof>

lemma *subsetClosed'[simp]*:
fixes $p :: \text{name prm}$
and $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $P :: 'a::\text{fs-name}$

shows $(\text{set } (p \cdot xvec) \subseteq \text{supp } (p \cdot P)) = (\text{set } xvec \subseteq \text{supp } P)$
<proof>

```

lemma memEqvt[eqvt]:
  fixes p :: name prm
  and x :: 'a::pt-name
  and xs :: ('a::pt-name) list

  shows (p · (x mem xs)) = ((p · x) mem (p · xs))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma memClosed[simp]:
  fixes p :: name prm
  and x :: 'a::pt-name
  and xs :: ('a::pt-name) list

  shows (p · x) mem (p · xs) = (x mem xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma memClosed'[simp]:
  fixes p :: name prm
  and x :: 'a::pt-name
  and y :: 'b::pt-name
  and xs :: ('a × 'b) list

  shows ((p · x, p · y) mem (p · xs)) = ((x, y) mem xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma freshPerm:
  fixes x :: name
  and p :: name prm

  assumes x ‡ p

  shows p · x = x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma freshChainPermSimp:
  fixes xvec :: name list
  and p :: name prm

  assumes xvec ‡* p

  shows p · xvec = xvec
  and rev p · xvec = xvec
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma freshChainAppend[simp]:
  fixes xvec :: name list
  and yvec :: name list
  and C :: 'a::fs-name

```

shows $(xvec@yvec) \#* C = ((xvec \#* C) \wedge (yvec \#* C))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subsetFresh*:
fixes $xvec :: name\ list$
and $yvec :: name\ list$
and $C :: 'd::fs-name$

assumes $set\ xvec \subseteq set\ yvec$
and $yvec \#* C$

shows $xvec \#* C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *distinctPermCancel[simp]*:
fixes $p :: name\ prm$
and $T :: 'a::pt-name$

assumes *distinctPerm* p

shows $(p \cdot (p \cdot T)) = T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

fun *composePerm* :: $name\ list \Rightarrow name\ list \Rightarrow name\ prm$
where

Base: $composePerm\ []\ [] = []$
| *Step*: $composePerm\ (x\#xs)\ (y\#ys) = (x, y)\#(composePerm\ xs\ ys)$
| *Empty*: $composePerm\ -\ - = []$

lemma *composePermInduct[consumes 1, case-names cBase cStep]*:
fixes $xvec :: name\ list$
and $yvec :: name\ list$
and $P :: name\ list \Rightarrow name\ list \Rightarrow bool$

assumes $L: length\ xvec = length\ yvec$
and $rBase: P\ []\ []$
and $rStep: \bigwedge x\ yvec\ y\ yvec. \llbracket length\ xvec = length\ yvec; P\ xvec\ yvec \rrbracket \implies P\ (x\ \# xvec)\ (y\ \# yvec)$

shows $P\ xvec\ yvec$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *composePermEqvt[eqvt]*:
fixes $p :: name\ prm$
and $xvec :: name\ list$
and $yvec :: name\ list$

shows $(p \cdot (composePerm\ xvec\ yvec)) = composePerm\ (p \cdot xvec)\ (p \cdot yvec)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation

$composePermJudge \ (\langle [- \] \cdot_v \rightarrow [80, 80, 80] \ 80) \ \mathbf{where} \ [xvec \ yvec] \cdot_v \ p \equiv (composePerm \ xvec \ yvec) \cdot p$

abbreviation

$composePermInvJudge \ (\langle [- \]^- \cdot_v \rightarrow [80, 80, 80] \ 80) \ \mathbf{where} \ [xvec \ yvec]^- \cdot_v \ p \equiv (rev \ (composePerm \ xvec \ yvec)) \cdot p$

lemma $permChainSimps[simp]$:

fixes $xvec :: name \ list$
and $yvec :: name \ list$
and $perm :: name \ prm$
and $p :: 'a::pt-name$

shows $((composePerm \ xvec \ yvec) \ @ \ perm) \cdot p = [xvec \ yvec] \cdot_v \ (perm \cdot p)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $permChainEqvt[eqvt]$:

fixes $p :: name \ prm$
and $xvec :: name \ list$
and $yvec :: name \ list$
and $x :: 'a::pt-name$

shows $(p \cdot ([xvec \ yvec] \cdot_v \ x)) = [(p \cdot xvec) \ (p \cdot yvec)] \cdot_v \ (p \cdot x)$
and $(p \cdot ([xvec \ yvec]^- \cdot_v \ x)) = [(p \cdot xvec) \ (p \cdot yvec)]^- \cdot_v \ (p \cdot x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $permChainBij$:

fixes $xvec :: name \ list$
and $yvec :: name \ list$
and $p :: 'a::pt-name$
and $q :: 'a::pt-name$

assumes $length \ xvec = length \ yvec$

shows $(([xvec \ yvec] \cdot_v \ p) = ([xvec \ yvec] \cdot_v \ q)) = (p = q)$
and $(([xvec \ yvec]^- \cdot_v \ p) = ([xvec \ yvec]^- \cdot_v \ q)) = (p = q)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $permChainAppend$:

fixes $xvec1 :: name \ list$
and $yvec1 :: name \ list$
and $xvec2 :: name \ list$
and $yvec2 :: name \ list$
and $p :: 'a::pt-name$

assumes $length \ xvec1 = length \ yvec1$

shows $[(xvec1 @ xvec2) (yvec1 @ yvec2)] \cdot_v p = [xvec1 \ yvec1] \cdot_v [xvec2 \ yvec2] \cdot_v p$
and $[(xvec1 @ xvec2) (yvec1 @ yvec2)]^- \cdot_v p = [xvec2 \ yvec2]^- \cdot_v [xvec1 \ yvec1]^- \cdot_v p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *calcChainAtom*:

fixes $xvec :: name \ list$
and $yvec :: name \ list$
and $x :: name$

assumes $length \ xvec = length \ yvec$
and $x \# xvec$
and $x \# yvec$

shows $[xvec \ yvec] \cdot_v x = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *calcChainAtomRev*:

fixes $xvec :: name \ list$
and $yvec :: name \ list$
and $x :: name$

assumes $length \ xvec = length \ yvec$
and $x \# xvec$
and $x \# yvec$

shows $[xvec \ yvec]^- \cdot_v x = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *permChainFresh[simp]*:

fixes $x :: name$
and $xvec :: name \ list$
and $yvec :: name \ list$
and $p :: 'a::pt-name$

assumes $x \# xvec$
and $x \# yvec$
and $length \ xvec = length \ yvec$

shows $x \# [xvec \ yvec] \cdot_v p = x \# p$
and $x \# [xvec \ yvec]^- \cdot_v p = x \# p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *chainFreshFresh*:

fixes $x :: name$
and $y :: name$
and $xvec :: name \ list$

and $p :: 'a::pt\text{-}name$
assumes $x \# xvec$
and $y \# xvec$
shows $xvec \#* [(x, y)] \cdot p = (xvec \#* p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *permChainFreshFresh*:
fixes $xvec :: name\ list$
and $yvec :: name\ list$
and $p :: 'a::pt\text{-}name$

assumes $xvec \#* p$
and $yvec \#* p$
and $length\ xvec = length\ yvec$

shows $[xvec\ yvec] \cdot_v p = p$
and $[xvec\ yvec]^- \cdot_v p = p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *setFresh[simp]*:
fixes $x :: name$
and $xvec :: name\ list$

shows $x \notin set\ xvec = x \# xvec$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *calcChain*:
fixes $xvec :: name\ list$
and $yvec :: name\ list$

assumes $yvec \#* xvec$
and $length\ xvec = length\ yvec$
and $distinct\ xvec$
and $distinct\ yvec$

shows $[xvec\ yvec] \cdot_v xvec = yvec$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *freshChainPerm*:
fixes $xvec :: name\ list$
and $yvec :: name\ list$
and $x :: name$
and $C :: 'a::pt\text{-}name$

assumes $length\ xvec = length\ yvec$
and $yvec \#* C$
and $xvec \#* yvec$

```

and    x mem xvec
and    distinct yvec

shows  $x \# [xvec\ yvec] \cdot_v C$ 
<proof>

lemma memFreshSimp[simp]:
  fixes y    :: name
  and   yvec :: name list

  shows  $(\neg(y\ mem\ yvec)) = y \# yvec$ 
<proof>

lemma freshChainPerm':
  fixes xvec :: name list
  and   yvec :: name list
  and   p    :: 'a::pt-name'

  assumes  $length\ xvec = length\ yvec$ 
  and     yvec  $\#^* p$ 
  and     xvec  $\#^* yvec$ 
  and     distinct\ yvec

  shows  $xvec \#^* ([xvec\ yvec] \cdot_v p)$ 
<proof>

lemma permSym:
  fixes x    :: name
  and   y    :: name
  and   xvec :: name list
  and   yvec :: name list
  and   p    :: 'a::pt-name'

  assumes  $x \# xvec$ 
  and      $x \# yvec$ 
  and      $y \# xvec$ 
  and      $y \# yvec$ 
  and      $length\ xvec = length\ yvec$ 

  shows  $[(x, y)] \cdot [xvec\ yvec] \cdot_v p = [xvec\ yvec] \cdot_v [(x, y)] \cdot p$ 
<proof>

lemma distinctPermClosed[simp]:
  fixes p :: name prm
  and   q :: name prm

  assumes distinctPerm p

  shows distinctPerm(q · p)

```

<proof>

lemma *freshStarSimps*:

fixes $x :: \textit{name}$
and $Xs :: \textit{name set}$
and $Ys :: \textit{name set}$
and $C :: \textit{'a::fs-name}$
and $p :: \textit{name prm}$

assumes $\textit{set } p \subseteq Xs \times Ys$
and $Xs \#* x$
and $Ys \#* x$

shows $x \# (p \cdot C) = x \# C$

<proof>

lemma *freshStarChainSimps*:

fixes $xvec :: \textit{name list}$
and $Xs :: \textit{name set}$
and $Ys :: \textit{name set}$
and $C :: \textit{'a::fs-name}$
and $p :: \textit{name prm}$

assumes $\textit{set } p \subseteq Xs \times Ys$
and $Xs \#* xvec$
and $Ys \#* xvec$

shows $xvec \#* (p \cdot C) = xvec \#* C$

<proof>

lemma *permStarFresh*:

fixes $xvec :: \textit{name list}$
and $p :: \textit{name prm}$
and $T :: \textit{'a::pt-name}$

assumes $xvec \#* p$

shows $xvec \#* (p \cdot T) = xvec \#* T$

<proof>

lemma *swapStarFresh*:

fixes $x :: \textit{name}$
and $p :: \textit{name prm}$
and $T :: \textit{'a::pt-name}$

assumes $x \# p$

shows $x \# (p \cdot T) = x \# T$

<proof>

lemmas *freshChainSimps* = *freshStarSimps freshStarChainSimps permStarFresh swapStarFresh chainFreshFresh freshPerm subsetFresh*

lemma *freshAlphaPerm*:

fixes *xvec* :: *name list*
and *Xs* :: *name set*
and *Ys* :: *name set*
and *p* :: *name prm*

assumes *S*: *set p* \subseteq *Xs* \times *Ys*
and *Xs* $\#^*$ *xvec*
and *Ys* $\#^*$ *xvec*

shows *xvec* $\#^*$ *p*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *freshAlphaSwap*:

fixes *x* :: *name*
and *Xs* :: *name set*
and *Ys* :: *name set*
and *p* :: *name prm*

assumes *S*: *set p* \subseteq *Xs* \times *Ys*
and *Xs* $\#^*$ *x*
and *Ys* $\#^*$ *x*

shows *x* $\#$ *p*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *setToListFresh[simp]*:

fixes *xvec* :: *name list*
and *C* :: '*a*::*fs-name*
and *yvec* :: *name list*
and *Xs* :: *name set*
and *x* :: *name*

shows *xvec* $\#^*$ (*set yvec*) = *xvec* $\#^*$ *yvec*
and *Xs* $\#^*$ (*set yvec*) = *Xs* $\#^*$ *yvec*
and *x* $\#$ (*set yvec*) = *x* $\#$ *yvec*
and *set xvec* $\#^*$ *Xs* = *xvec* $\#^*$ *Xs*

\langle *proof* \rangle

end

theory *Subst-Term*

imports *Chain*

begin

```

locale substType =
  fixes subst :: 'a::fs-name  $\Rightarrow$  name list  $\Rightarrow$  'b::fs-name list  $\Rightarrow$  'a ( $\langle$ -[::=-] $\rangle$ ) [80, 80, 80] 130)

  assumes eq[eqt]:  $\bigwedge p::name\ prm. (p \cdot (M[xvec::=Tvec])) = ((p \cdot M)[(p \cdot xvec)::=(p \cdot Tvec)])$ 

  and subst3:  $\bigwedge xvec\ Tvec\ T\ x. \llbracket length\ xvec = length\ Tvec; distinct\ xvec; set(xvec) \subseteq supp(T); (x::name) \# T[xvec::=Tvec] \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \# Tvec$ 

  and renaming:  $\bigwedge xvec\ Tvec\ p\ T. \llbracket length\ xvec = length\ Tvec; (set\ p) \subseteq set\ xvec \times set\ (p \cdot xvec); distinctPerm\ p; (p \cdot xvec) \#* T \rrbracket \Longrightarrow T[xvec::=Tvec] = (p \cdot T)[(p \cdot xvec)::=Tvec]$ 

begin

lemma suppSubst:
  fixes M :: 'a
  and xvec :: name list
  and Tvec :: 'b list

  shows (supp(M[xvec::=Tvec])::name set)  $\subseteq ((supp\ M) \cup (supp\ xvec) \cup (supp\ Tvec))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma subst2[intro]:
  fixes x :: name
  and M :: 'a
  and xvec :: name list
  and Tvec :: 'b list

  assumes x  $\#$  M
  and x  $\#$  xvec
  and x  $\#$  Tvec

  shows x  $\#$  M[xvec::=Tvec]
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma subst2Chain[intro]:
  fixes yvec :: name list
  and M :: 'a
  and xvec :: name list
  and Tvec :: 'b list

  assumes yvec  $\#*$  M
  and yvec  $\#*$  xvec
  and yvec  $\#*$  Tvec

  shows yvec  $\#*$  M[xvec::=Tvec]

```

<proof>

lemma *fs[simp]: finite ((supp subst)::name set)*
<proof>

lemma *subst3Chain:*
fixes *xvec :: name list*
and *Tvec :: 'b list*
and *Xs :: name set*
and *T :: 'a*

assumes *length xvec = length Tvec*
and *distinct xvec*
and *set xvec \subseteq supp T*
and *Xs $\#^*$ T[xvec::=Tvec]*

shows *Xs $\#^*$ Tvec*
<proof>

lemma *subst4Chain:*
fixes *xvec :: name list*
and *Tvec :: 'b list*
and *T :: 'a*

assumes *length xvec = length Tvec*
and *distinct xvec*
and *xvec $\#^*$ Tvec*

shows *xvec $\#^*$ T[xvec::=Tvec]*
<proof>

definition *seqSubst :: 'a \Rightarrow (name list \times 'b list) list \Rightarrow 'a (\langle -[<->] \rangle [80, 80] 130)*
where *M[< σ >] \equiv foldl ($\lambda N. \lambda(xvec, Tvec). N[xvec::=Tvec]$) M σ*

lemma *seqSubstNil[simp]:*
seqSubst M [] = M
<proof>

lemma *seqSubstCons[simp]:*
shows *seqSubst M ((xvec, Tvec)# σ) = seqSubst(M[xvec::=Tvec]) σ*
<proof>

lemma *seqSubstTermAppend[simp]:*
shows *seqSubst M ($\sigma @ \sigma'$) = seqSubst (seqSubst M σ) σ'*
<proof>

definition *wellFormedSubst :: (('d::fs-name) list \times ('e::fs-name) list) list \Rightarrow bool*
where *wellFormedSubst $\sigma = ((filter (\lambda(xvec, Tvec). \neg (length xvec = length Tvec \wedge distinct xvec)) σ) = [])$*

lemma *wellFormedSubstEqvt[eqvt]*:
fixes $\sigma :: ('d::fs\text{-name})\ list \times ('e::fs\text{-name})\ list)\ list$
and $p :: name\ prm$

shows $p \cdot (wellFormedSubst\ \sigma) = wellFormedSubst(p \cdot \sigma)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wellFormedSimp[simp]*:
fixes $\sigma :: ('d::fs\text{-name})\ list \times ('e::fs\text{-name})\ list)\ list$
and $p :: name\ prm$

shows $wellFormedSubst(p \cdot \sigma) = wellFormedSubst\ \sigma$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wellFormedNil[simp]*:
 $wellFormedSubst\ []$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wellFormedCons[simp]*:
shows $wellFormedSubst((xvec, Tvec)\#\sigma) = (length\ xvec = length\ Tvec \wedge distinct\ xvec \wedge wellFormedSubst\ \sigma)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wellFormedAppend[simp]*:
fixes $\sigma :: ('d::fs\text{-name})\ list \times ('e::fs\text{-name})\ list)\ list$
and $\sigma' :: ('d::fs\text{-name})\ list \times ('e::fs\text{-name})\ list)\ list$

shows $wellFormedSubst(\sigma @ \sigma') = (wellFormedSubst\ \sigma \wedge wellFormedSubst\ \sigma')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *seqSubst2[intro]*:
fixes $\sigma :: (name\ list \times 'b\ list)\ list$
and $T :: 'a$
and $x :: name$

assumes $x \# \sigma$
and $x \# T$

shows $x \# T[\langle \sigma \rangle]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *seqSubst2Chain[intro]*:
fixes $\sigma :: (name\ list \times 'b\ list)\ list$
and $T :: 'a$
and $xvec :: name\ list$

assumes $xvec \#* \sigma$
and $xvec \#* T$

```

  shows xvec #* T[ $\langle\sigma\rangle$ ]
  <proof>

end

end

theory Agent
  imports Subst-Term
begin

nominal-datatype ('term, 'assertion, 'condition) psi =
  PsiNil (⟨0⟩ 190)

| Output 'term::fs-name 'term ('term, 'assertion::fs-name, 'condition::fs-name) psi
  (⟨(-)⟩ -> [120, 120, 110] 110)
| Input 'term ('term, 'assertion, 'condition) input
  [120, 120] 110) (⟨(-)⟩ ->
| Case (('term, 'assertion, 'condition) psiCase)
  -> [120] 120) (⟨Case
| Par ('term, 'assertion, 'condition) psi ('term, 'assertion, 'condition) psi
  <||> 90) (infixl
| Res «name» (('term, 'assertion, 'condition) psi)
  [120, 120] 110) (⟨(|ν-|)⟩ ->
| Assert 'assertion
  [120] 120) (⟨{ }⟩ ->
| Bang ('term, 'assertion, 'condition) psi
  [110] 110) (⟨!⟩ ->

and ('term, 'assertion, 'condition) input =
  Trm 'term (('term, 'assertion, 'condition) psi)
  [130, 130] 130) (⟨()⟩ ->
| Bind «name» (('term, 'assertion, 'condition) input)
  [120, 120] 120) (⟨ν-->

and ('term, 'assertion, 'condition) psiCase =
  EmptyCase
  (⟨⊥c⟩ 120)
| Cond 'condition (('term, 'assertion, 'condition) psi)
  (('term, 'assertion, 'condition) psiCase)
  - => - -> [120, 120, 120] 120) (⟨□

lemma psiFreshSet[simp]:
  fixes X :: name set
  and M :: 'a::fs-name
  and N :: 'a
  and P :: ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi
  and I :: ('a, 'b, 'c) input

```

and $C :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psiCase}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $x :: \text{name}$
and $\Psi :: 'b$
and $\Phi :: 'c$

shows $X \#* (M\langle N \rangle.P) = (X \#* M \wedge X \#* N \wedge X \#* P)$
and $X \#* M \langle I = (X \#* M \wedge X \#* I)$
and $X \#* \text{Case } C = X \#* C$
and $X \#* (P \parallel Q) = (X \#* P \wedge X \#* Q)$
and $X \#* (\nu x)P = (X \#* [x].P)$
and $X \#* \{\Psi\} = X \#* \Psi$
and $X \#* !P = X \#* P$
and $X \#* \mathbf{0}$
and $X \#* \text{Trm } N P = (X \#* N \wedge X \#* P)$
and $X \#* \text{Bind } x I = X \#* ([x].I)$

and $X \#* \perp_c$
and $X \#* \square \Phi \Rightarrow P C = (X \#* \Phi \wedge X \#* P \wedge X \#* C)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{psiFreshVec}[\text{simp}]$:

fixes $\text{vec} :: \text{name list}$

shows $\text{vec} \#* (M\langle N \rangle.P) = (\text{vec} \#* M \wedge \text{vec} \#* N \wedge \text{vec} \#* P)$
and $\text{vec} \#* M \langle I = (\text{vec} \#* M \wedge \text{vec} \#* I)$
and $\text{vec} \#* \text{Case } C = \text{vec} \#* C$
and $\text{vec} \#* (P \parallel Q) = (\text{vec} \#* P \wedge \text{vec} \#* Q)$
and $\text{vec} \#* (\nu x)P = (\text{vec} \#* [x].P)$
and $\text{vec} \#* \{\Psi\} = \text{vec} \#* \Psi$
and $\text{vec} \#* !P = \text{vec} \#* P$
and $\text{vec} \#* \mathbf{0}$

and $\text{vec} \#* \text{Trm } N P = (\text{vec} \#* N \wedge \text{vec} \#* P)$
and $\text{vec} \#* \text{Bind } x I = \text{vec} \#* ([x].I)$

and $\text{vec} \#* \perp_c$
and $\text{vec} \#* \square \Phi \Rightarrow P C = (\text{vec} \#* \Phi \wedge \text{vec} \#* P \wedge \text{vec} \#* C)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun $\text{psiCases} :: ('c :: \text{fs-name} \times ('a :: \text{fs-name}, 'b :: \text{fs-name}, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psiCase}$

where

$\text{base: } \text{psiCases } [] = \perp_c$

$|\text{step: } \text{psiCases } ((\Phi, P) \# xs) = \text{Cond } \Phi P (\text{psiCases } xs)$

lemma $\text{psiCasesEqvt}[\text{eqvt}]$:

fixes $p :: \text{name prm}$

and $Cs :: ('c :: \text{fs-name} \times ('a :: \text{fs-name}, 'b :: \text{fs-name}, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ list}$

shows $(p \cdot (\text{psiCases } Cs)) = \text{psiCases}(p \cdot Cs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *psiCasesFresh[simp]*:
fixes $x :: \text{name}$
and $Cs :: ('c::\text{fs-name} \times ('a::\text{fs-name}, 'b::\text{fs-name}, 'c) \text{psi}) \text{list}$

shows $x \# \text{psiCases } Cs = x \# Cs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *psiCasesFreshChain[simp]*:
fixes $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $Cs :: ('c::\text{fs-name} \times ('a::\text{fs-name}, 'b::\text{fs-name}, 'c) \text{psi}) \text{list}$
and $Xs :: \text{name set}$

shows $(xvec \#* \text{psiCases } Cs) = xvec \#* Cs$
and $(Xs \#* \text{psiCases } Cs) = Xs \#* Cs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation

psiCasesJudge $(\langle \text{Cases} \rightarrow [80] 80 \rangle)$ **where** $\text{Cases } Cs \equiv \text{Case}(\text{psiCases } Cs)$

primrec *resChain* $:: \text{name list} \Rightarrow ('a::\text{fs-name}, 'b::\text{fs-name}, 'c::\text{fs-name}) \text{psi} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ **where**
 $\text{base: } \text{resChain } [] P = P$
 $| \text{step: } \text{resChain } (x\#xs) P = (\nu x)(\text{resChain } xs P)$

notation *resChain* $(\langle (\nu*-)\rightarrow [80, 80] 80 \rangle)$

lemma *resChainEqvt[eqvt]*:
fixes $\text{perm} :: \text{name prm}$
and $\text{lst} :: \text{name list}$
and $P :: ('a::\text{fs-name}, 'b::\text{fs-name}, 'c::\text{fs-name}) \text{psi}$

shows $\text{perm} \cdot ((\nu*xvec)P) = (\nu*(\text{perm} \cdot xvec))(\text{perm} \cdot P)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *resChainSupp*:
fixes $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $P :: ('a::\text{fs-name}, 'b::\text{fs-name}, 'c::\text{fs-name}) \text{psi}$

shows $\text{supp}((\nu*xvec)P) = (\text{supp } P) - \text{set } xvec$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *resChainFresh*:
fixes $x :: \text{name}$
and $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $P :: ('a::\text{fs-name}, 'b::\text{fs-name}, 'c::\text{fs-name}) \text{psi}$

shows $x \# (\nu^*xvec)P = (x \in \text{set } xvec \vee x \# P)$
 <proof>

lemma *resChainFreshSet*:

fixes $Xs :: \text{name set}$
and $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $yvec :: \text{name list}$
and $P :: ('a::\text{fs-name}, 'b::\text{fs-name}, 'c::\text{fs-name}) \text{psi}$

shows $Xs \#^* ((\nu^*xvec)P) = (\forall x \in Xs. x \in \text{set } xvec \vee x \# P)$
and $yvec \#^* ((\nu^*xvec)P) = (\forall x \in (\text{set } yvec). x \in \text{set } xvec \vee x \# P)$
 <proof>

lemma *resChainFreshSimps[simp]*:

fixes $Xs :: \text{name set}$
and $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $P :: ('a::\text{fs-name}, 'b::\text{fs-name}, 'c::\text{fs-name}) \text{psi}$
and $yvec :: \text{name list}$

shows $Xs \#^* xvec \implies Xs \#^* ((\nu^*xvec)P) = (Xs \#^* P)$
and $yvec \#^* xvec \implies yvec \#^* ((\nu^*xvec)P) = (yvec \#^* P)$
and $xvec \#^* ((\nu^*xvec)P)$
 <proof>

lemma *resChainAlpha*:

fixes $p :: \text{name prm}$
and $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $P :: ('a::\text{fs-name}, 'b::\text{fs-name}, 'c::\text{fs-name}) \text{psi}$

assumes $xvec\text{Fresh}P: (p \cdot xvec) \#^* P$
and $S: \text{set } p \subseteq \text{set } xvec \times \text{set } (p \cdot xvec)$

shows $(\nu^*xvec)P = (\nu^*(p \cdot xvec))(p \cdot P)$
 <proof>

lemma *resChainAppend*:

fixes $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $yvec :: \text{name list}$
and $P :: ('a::\text{fs-name}, 'b::\text{fs-name}, 'c::\text{fs-name}) \text{psi}$

shows $(\nu^*(xvec@yvec))P = (\nu^*xvec)((\nu^*yvec)P)$
 <proof>

lemma *resChainSimps[dest]*:

fixes $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $P :: ('a::\text{fs-name}, 'b::\text{fs-name}, 'c::\text{fs-name}) \text{psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

and $Q' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

shows $((\nu * \text{xvec}) (P \parallel Q)) = P' \parallel Q' \implies (P = P' \wedge Q = Q')$
and $(P \parallel Q = (\nu * \text{xvec}) (P' \parallel Q')) \implies (P = P' \wedge Q = Q')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

primrec $\text{inputChain} :: \text{name list} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{fs-name} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b::\text{fs-name}, 'c::\text{fs-name})$
 $\text{psi} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ input where}$
 $\text{base: inputChain [] } N P = ()(N).P$
 $| \text{step: inputChain } (x\#xs) N P = \nu x (\text{inputChain } xs N P)$

abbreviation
 $\text{inputChainJudge } (\lambda * \text{-} -) \cdot \rightarrow [80, 80, 80, 80] 80) \text{ where } M(\lambda * \text{xvec } N).P \equiv$
 $M((\text{inputChain } \text{xvec } N P))$

lemma $\text{inputChainEqvt}[\text{eqvt}]$:
fixes $p :: \text{name prm}$
and $\text{xvec} :: \text{name list}$
and $N :: 'a::\text{fs-name}$
and $P :: ('a, 'b::\text{fs-name}, 'c::\text{fs-name}) \text{ psi}$

shows $p \cdot (\text{inputChain } \text{xvec } N P) = \text{inputChain } (p \cdot \text{xvec}) (p \cdot N) (p \cdot P)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma inputChainFresh :
fixes $x :: \text{name}$
and $\text{xvec} :: \text{name list}$
and $N :: 'a::\text{fs-name}$
and $P :: ('a, 'b::\text{fs-name}, 'c::\text{fs-name}) \text{ psi}$

shows $x \# (\text{inputChain } \text{xvec } N P) = (x \in \text{set } \text{xvec} \vee (x \# N \wedge x \# P))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{inductChainSimps}[\text{simp}]$:
fixes $\text{xvec} :: \text{name list}$
and $N :: 'a::\text{fs-name}$
and $P :: ('a, 'b::\text{fs-name}, 'c::\text{fs-name}) \text{ psi}$

shows $\text{xvec} \#* (\text{inputChain } \text{xvec } N P)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{inputChainFreshSet}$:
fixes $Xs :: \text{name set}$
and $\text{xvec} :: \text{name list}$
and $N :: 'a::\text{fs-name}$
and $P :: ('a, 'b::\text{fs-name}, 'c::\text{fs-name}) \text{ psi}$

shows $Xs \#* (\text{inputChain } \text{xvec } N P) = (\forall x \in Xs. x \in \text{set } \text{xvec} \vee (x \# N \wedge x \# P))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inputChainAlpha*:
fixes $p :: \text{name prm}$
and $Xs :: \text{name set}$
and $Ys :: \text{name set}$

assumes $Xs\text{Fresh}P: Xs \#* (\text{inputChain } xvec \ N \ P)$
and $Ys\text{Fresh}N: Ys \#* N$
and $Ys\text{Fresh}P: Ys \#* P$
and $S: \text{set } p \subseteq Xs \times Ys$

shows $(\text{inputChain } xvec \ N \ P) = (\text{inputChain } (p \cdot xvec) \ (p \cdot N) \ (p \cdot P))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inputChainAlpha'*:
fixes $p :: \text{name prm}$
and $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $N :: 'a::\text{fs-name}$
and $P :: ('a, 'b::\text{fs-name}, 'c::\text{fs-name}) \text{psi}$

assumes $xvec\text{Fresh}P: (p \cdot xvec) \#* P$
and $xvec\text{Fresh}N: (p \cdot xvec) \#* N$
and $S: \text{set } p \subseteq \text{set } xvec \times \text{set } (p \cdot xvec)$

shows $(\text{inputChain } xvec \ N \ P) = (\text{inputChain } (p \cdot xvec) \ (p \cdot N) \ (p \cdot P))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *alphaRes*:
fixes $M :: 'a::\text{fs-name}$
and $x :: \text{name}$
and $P :: ('a, 'b::\text{fs-name}, 'c::\text{fs-name}) \text{psi}$
and $y :: \text{name}$

assumes $y\text{Fresh}P: y \# P$

shows $(\nu x)P = (\nu y)([(x, y)] \cdot P)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *alphaInput*:
fixes $x :: \text{name}$
and $I :: ('a::\text{fs-name}, 'b::\text{fs-name}, 'c::\text{fs-name}) \text{input}$
and $c :: \text{name}$

assumes $A1: c \# I$

shows $\nu x \ I = \nu c \ ([(x, c)] \cdot I)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inputChainLengthEq*:

```

fixes xvec :: name list
and yvec :: name list
and M :: 'a::fs-name
and P :: ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi

assumes length xvec = length yvec
and xvec #* yvec
and distinct yvec
and yvec #* M
and yvec #* P

obtains N Q where inputChain xvec M P = inputChain yvec N Q
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma inputChainEq:
fixes xvec :: name list
and M :: 'a::fs-name
and P :: ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi
and yvec :: name list
and N :: 'a
and Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi

assumes inputChain xvec M P = inputChain yvec N Q
and xvec #* yvec
and distinct xvec
and distinct yvec

obtains p where  $(\text{set } p) \subseteq (\text{set } xvec) \times \text{set } (p \cdot xvec)$  and distinctPerm p and
yvec = p · xvec and N = p · M and Q = p · P
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma inputChainEqLength:
fixes xvec :: name list
and M :: 'a::fs-name
and P :: ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi
and yvec :: name list
and N :: 'a
and Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi

assumes inputChain xvec M P = inputChain yvec N Q

shows length xvec = length yvec
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma alphaInputChain:
fixes yvec :: name list
and xvec :: name list
and M :: 'a::fs-name
and P :: ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi

```

```

assumes  $length\ xvec = length\ yvec$ 
and  $yvec \#* M$ 
and  $yvec \#* P$ 
and  $yvec \#* xvec$ 
and  $distinct\ yvec$ 

shows  $inputChain\ xvec\ M\ P = inputChain\ yvec\ ([xvec\ yvec] \cdot_v M) ([xvec\ yvec] \cdot_v P)$ 
<proof>

```

lemma *inputChainInject[simp]*:

```

shows  $(inputChain\ xvec\ M\ P = inputChain\ xvec\ N\ Q) = ((M = N) \wedge (P = Q))$ 
<proof>

```

lemma *alphaInputDistinct*:

```

fixes  $xvec :: name\ list$ 
and  $M :: 'a::fs-name$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name)\ psi$ 
and  $yvec :: name\ list$ 
and  $N :: 'a$ 
and  $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$ 

```

```

assumes  $Eq: inputChain\ xvec\ M\ P = inputChain\ yvec\ N\ Q$ 
and  $xvecDist: distinct\ xvec$ 
and  $Mem: \bigwedge x. x \in set\ xvec \implies x \in supp\ M$ 
and  $xvecFreshyvec: xvec \#* yvec$ 
and  $xvecFreshN: xvec \#* N$ 
and  $xvecFreshQ: xvec \#* Q$ 

```

```

shows  $distinct\ yvec$ 
<proof>

```

lemma *psiCasesInject[simp]*:

```

fixes  $CsP :: ('c::fs-name \times ('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c)\ psi)\ list$ 
and  $CsQ :: ('c \times ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi)\ list$ 

```

```

shows  $(psiCases\ CsP = psiCases\ CsQ) = (CsP = CsQ)$ 
<proof>

```

lemma *casesInject[simp]*:

```

fixes  $CsP :: ('c::fs-name \times ('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c)\ psi)\ list$ 
and  $CsQ :: ('c \times ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi)\ list$ 

```

```

shows  $(Cases\ CsP = Cases\ CsQ) = (CsP = CsQ)$ 
<proof>

```

nominal-primrec

guarded :: ('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi ⇒ bool
and *guarded'* :: ('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) input ⇒ bool
and *guarded''* :: ('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psiCase ⇒ bool

where

guarded (**0**) = True
| *guarded* (M⟨N⟩.P) = True
| *guarded* (M⟨I⟩) = True
| *guarded* (Case C) = *guarded''* C
| *guarded* (P || Q) = (*guarded* P) ∧ (*guarded* Q)
| *guarded* ((νx)P) = (*guarded* P)
| *guarded* (⟦Ψ⟧) = False
| *guarded* (!P) = *guarded* P

| *guarded'* (Trm M P) = False
| *guarded'* (ν y I) = False

| *guarded''* (⊥_c) = True
| *guarded''* (□φ ⇒ P C) = (*guarded* P ∧ *guarded''* C)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *guardedEqvt*[*eqvt*]:

fixes p :: name prm
and P :: ('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi
and I :: ('a, 'b, 'c) input
and C :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psiCase

shows (p · (*guarded* P)) = *guarded* (p · P)
and (p · (*guarded'* I)) = *guarded'* (p · I)
and (p · (*guarded''* C)) = *guarded''* (p · C)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *guardedClosed*[*simp*]:

fixes P :: ('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi
and p :: name prm

assumes *guarded* P

shows *guarded*(p · P)
⟨proof⟩

locale *substPsi* =

substTerm?: *substType* *substTerm* +
substAssert?: *substType* *substAssert* +
substCond?: *substType* *substCond*

for *substTerm* :: ('a::fs-name) ⇒ name list ⇒ 'a::fs-name list ⇒ 'a
and *substAssert* :: ('b::fs-name) ⇒ name list ⇒ 'a::fs-name list ⇒ 'b
and *substCond* :: ('c::fs-name) ⇒ name list ⇒ 'a::fs-name list ⇒ 'c

begin

nominal-primrec

$subs :: ('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi \Rightarrow name\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow ('a,$
 $'b, 'c) psi$
and $subs' :: ('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) input \Rightarrow name\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow$
 $('a, 'b, 'c) input$
and $subs'' :: ('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psiCase \Rightarrow name\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ list$
 $\Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) psiCase$

where

$subs\ \mathbf{0} \ xvec\ Tvec = \mathbf{0}$
 $| (subs\ (M\langle N \rangle.P) \ xvec\ Tvec) = (substTerm\ M\ xvec\ Tvec)\langle (substTerm\ N\ xvec\ Tvec)\rangle.(subs\ P\ xvec\ Tvec)$
 $| (subs\ (M\langle I \rangle) \ xvec\ Tvec) = (substTerm\ M\ xvec\ Tvec)\langle (subs'\ I\ xvec\ Tvec)$

 $| (subs\ (Case\ C) \ xvec\ Tvec) = (Case\ (subs''\ C\ xvec\ Tvec))$
 $| (subs\ (P\ \parallel\ Q) \ xvec\ Tvec) = (subs\ P\ xvec\ Tvec) \parallel (subs\ Q\ xvec\ Tvec)$
 $| \llbracket y\ \#\ xvec; y\ \#\ Tvec \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (subs\ (\nu y)P) \ xvec\ Tvec = (\nu y)(subs\ P\ xvec\ Tvec)$
 $| (subs\ (\!\Psi\!) \ xvec\ Tvec) = \!\!(substAssert\ \Psi\ xvec\ Tvec)\!$
 $| (subs\ (!P) \ xvec\ Tvec) = !(subs\ P\ xvec\ Tvec)$

 $| (subs'\ ((Trm\ M\ P)::('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) input) \ xvec\ Tvec) =$
 $(\langle (substTerm\ M\ xvec\ Tvec).(subs\ P\ xvec\ Tvec)\rangle)$
 $| \llbracket y\ \#\ xvec; y\ \#\ Tvec \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (subs'\ (\nu y\ I) \ xvec\ Tvec) = (\nu y\ (subs'\ I\ xvec\ Tvec))$

 $| (subs''\ (\perp_c::('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psiCase) \ xvec\ Tvec) = \perp_c$
 $| (subs''\ (\Box\Phi \Rightarrow P\ C) \ xvec\ Tvec) = (\Box(substCond\ \Phi\ xvec\ Tvec) \Rightarrow (subs\ P\ xvec$
 $Tvec)\ (subs''\ C\ xvec\ Tvec))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $substEqvt[eqvt]$:

fixes $p :: name\ prm$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $xvec :: name\ list$
and $Tvec :: 'a\ list$
and $I :: ('a, 'b, 'c) input$
and $C :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psiCase$

shows $(p \cdot (subs\ P\ xvec\ Tvec)) = subs\ (p \cdot P)\ (p \cdot xvec)\ (p \cdot Tvec)$
and $(p \cdot (subs'\ I\ xvec\ Tvec)) = subs'\ (p \cdot I)\ (p \cdot xvec)\ (p \cdot Tvec)$
and $(p \cdot (subs''\ C\ xvec\ Tvec)) = subs''\ (p \cdot C)\ (p \cdot xvec)\ (p \cdot Tvec)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $subst2[intro]$:

fixes $xvec :: name\ list$
and $Tvec :: 'a\ list$
and $x :: name$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

and $I :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{input}$
and $C :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psiCase}$

assumes $x \# Tvec$
and $x \# xvec$

shows $x \# P \implies x \# (\text{subs } P \text{ } xvec \text{ } Tvec)$
and $x \# I \implies x \# (\text{subs}' I \text{ } xvec \text{ } Tvec)$
and $x \# C \implies x \# (\text{subs}'' C \text{ } xvec \text{ } Tvec)$

<proof>

lemma *subst2Chain*[*intro*]:

fixes $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $Tvec :: 'a \text{ list}$
and $Xs :: \text{name set}$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $I :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{input}$
and $C :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psiCase}$

assumes $Xs \#* xvec$
and $Xs \#* Tvec$

shows $Xs \#* P \implies Xs \#* (\text{subs } P \text{ } xvec \text{ } Tvec)$
and $Xs \#* I \implies Xs \#* (\text{subs}' I \text{ } xvec \text{ } Tvec)$
and $Xs \#* C \implies Xs \#* (\text{subs}'' C \text{ } xvec \text{ } Tvec)$

<proof>

lemma *renaming*:

fixes $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $Tvec :: 'a \text{ list}$
and $p :: \text{name prm}$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $I :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{input}$
and $C :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psiCase}$

assumes $\text{length } xvec = \text{length } Tvec$
and $\text{set } p \subseteq \text{set } xvec \times \text{set } (p \cdot xvec)$
and $\text{distinctPerm } p$

shows $\llbracket (p \cdot xvec) \#* P \rrbracket \implies (\text{subs } P \text{ } xvec \text{ } Tvec) = \text{subs } (p \cdot P) (p \cdot xvec) \text{ } Tvec$
and $\llbracket (p \cdot xvec) \#* I \rrbracket \implies (\text{subs}' I \text{ } xvec \text{ } Tvec) = \text{subs}' (p \cdot I) (p \cdot xvec) \text{ } Tvec$
and $\llbracket (p \cdot xvec) \#* C \rrbracket \implies (\text{subs}'' C \text{ } xvec \text{ } Tvec) = \text{subs}'' (p \cdot C) (p \cdot xvec) \text{ } Tvec$

<proof>

lemma *subst4Chain*:

fixes $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $Tvec :: 'a \text{ list}$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $I :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{input}$

and $C :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psiCase}$
assumes $\text{length } xvec = \text{length } Tvec$
and $\text{distinct } xvec$
and $xvec \#* Tvec$
shows $xvec \#* (\text{subs } P \ xvec \ Tvec)$
and $xvec \#* (\text{subs}' I \ xvec \ Tvec)$
and $xvec \#* (\text{subs}'' C \ xvec \ Tvec)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{guardedSubst}[\text{simp}]$:
fixes $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $I :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{input}$
and $C :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psiCase}$
and $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $Tvec :: 'a \text{ list}$

assumes $\text{length } xvec = \text{length } Tvec$
and $\text{distinct } xvec$
shows $\text{guarded } P \implies \text{guarded}(\text{subs } P \ xvec \ Tvec)$
and $\text{guarded}' I \implies \text{guarded}'(\text{subs}' I \ xvec \ Tvec)$
and $\text{guarded}'' C \implies \text{guarded}''(\text{subs}'' C \ xvec \ Tvec)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\text{seqSubs} :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi} \Rightarrow (\text{name list} \times 'a \text{ list}) \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
 $(\langle \text{[-<->] \rangle [80, 80] 130)$
where $P[\langle \sigma \rangle] \equiv \text{foldl } (\lambda Q. \lambda(xvec, Tvec). \text{subs } Q \ xvec \ Tvec) \ P \ \sigma$

definition $\text{seqSubs}' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{input} \Rightarrow (\text{name list} \times 'a \text{ list}) \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{input}$
where $\text{seqSubs}' I \ \sigma \equiv \text{foldl } (\lambda Q. \lambda(xvec, Tvec). \text{subs}' Q \ xvec \ Tvec) \ I \ \sigma$

definition $\text{seqSubs}'' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psiCase} \Rightarrow (\text{name list} \times 'a \text{ list}) \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psiCase}$
where $\text{seqSubs}'' C \ \sigma \equiv \text{foldl } (\lambda Q. \lambda(xvec, Tvec). \text{subs}'' Q \ xvec \ Tvec) \ C \ \sigma$

lemma $\text{substInputChain}[\text{simp}]$:
fixes $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $yvec :: \text{name list}$
and $Tvec :: 'a \text{ list}$

assumes $xvec \#* yvec$
and $xvec \#* Tvec$

shows $\text{subs}' (\text{inputChain } xvec \ N \ P) \ yvec \ Tvec = \text{inputChain } xvec \ (\text{substTerm } N$

$yvec\ Tvec) (subs\ P\ yvec\ Tvec)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

fun $caseListSubst :: ('c \times ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi)\ list \Rightarrow name\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow ('c \times ('a,$
 $'b, 'c)\ psi)\ list$

where

$caseListSubst\ []\ -\ -\ =\ []$
 $| caseListSubst\ ((\varphi, P)\#Cs)\ xvec\ Tvec = (substCond\ \varphi\ xvec\ Tvec, (subs\ P\ xvec$
 $Tvec))\#(caseListSubst\ Cs\ xvec\ Tvec)$

lemma $substCases[simp]:$

fixes $Cs :: ('c \times ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi)\ list$
and $xvec :: name\ list$
and $Tvec :: 'a\ list$

shows $subs\ (Cases\ Cs)\ xvec\ Tvec = Cases(caseListSubst\ Cs\ xvec\ Tvec)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $substCases'[simp]:$

fixes $Cs :: ('c \times ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi)\ list$
and $xvec :: name\ list$
and $Tvec :: 'a\ list$

shows $(subs''\ (psiCases\ Cs)\ xvec\ Tvec) = psiCases(caseListSubst\ Cs\ xvec\ Tvec)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $seqSubstSimps[simp]:$

shows $seqSubs\ \mathbf{0}\ \sigma = \mathbf{0}$
and $(seqSubs\ (M\langle N\rangle.P)\ \sigma) = (substTerm.seqSubst\ M\ \sigma)\langle (substTerm.seqSubst$
 $N\ \sigma)\rangle.(seqSubs\ P\ \sigma)$
and $(seqSubs\ (M\langle I\rangle)\ \sigma) = (substTerm.seqSubst\ M\ \sigma)\langle (seqSubs'\ I\ \sigma)$

and $(seqSubs\ (Case\ C)\ \sigma) = (Case\ (seqSubs''\ C\ \sigma))$
and $(seqSubs\ (P\ \parallel\ Q)\ \sigma) = (seqSubs\ P\ \sigma)\ \parallel\ (seqSubs\ Q\ \sigma)$
and $\llbracket y\ \#\ \sigma \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (seqSubs\ (\langle \nu y \rangle P)\ \sigma) = \langle \nu y \rangle (seqSubs\ P\ \sigma)$
and $(seqSubs\ (\langle \Psi \rangle)\ \sigma) = \langle (substAssert.seqSubst\ \Psi\ \sigma) \rangle$
and $(seqSubs\ (!P)\ \sigma) = !(seqSubs\ P\ \sigma)$

and $(seqSubs'\ ((Trm\ M\ P)::('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name)\ input)\ \sigma) =$
 $\langle (substTerm.seqSubst\ M\ \sigma)\rangle.(seqSubs\ P\ \sigma)$

and $\llbracket y\ \#\ \sigma \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (seqSubs'\ (\nu\ y\ I)\ \sigma) = (\nu\ y\ (seqSubs'\ I\ \sigma))$

and $(seqSubs''\ (\perp_c::('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name)\ psiCase)\ \sigma) = \perp_c$

and $(seqSubs''\ (\square\Phi \Rightarrow P\ C)\ \sigma) = (\square(substCond.seqSubst\ \Phi\ \sigma) \Rightarrow (seqSubs\ P$
 $\sigma))\ (seqSubs''\ C\ \sigma)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $seqSubsNil[simp]:$

$seqSubs\ P\ [] = P$

<proof>

lemma *seqSubsCons*[*simp*]:

shows $seqSubs\ P\ ((xvec,\ Tvec)\#\sigma) = seqSubs(subs\ P\ xvec\ Tvec)\ \sigma$
<proof>

lemma *seqSubsTermAppend*[*simp*]:

shows $seqSubs\ P\ (\sigma\@\sigma') = seqSubs\ (seqSubs\ P\ \sigma)\ \sigma'$
<proof>

fun *caseListSeqSubst* :: $('c \times ('a,\ 'b,\ 'c)\ psi)\ list \Rightarrow (name\ list \times 'a\ list)\ list \Rightarrow ('c$
 $\times ('a,\ 'b,\ 'c)\ psi)\ list$

where

$caseListSeqSubst\ []\ - = []$
 $| caseListSeqSubst\ ((\varphi,\ P)\#Cs)\ \sigma = (substCond.seqSubst\ \varphi\ \sigma,\ (seqSubs\ P\ \sigma))\#(caseListSeqSubst\ Cs\ \sigma)$

lemma *seqSubstCases*[*simp*]:

fixes $Cs :: ('c \times ('a,\ 'b,\ 'c)\ psi)\ list$
and $\sigma :: (name\ list \times 'a\ list)\ list$

shows $seqSubs\ (Cases\ Cs)\ \sigma = Cases(caseListSeqSubst\ Cs\ \sigma)$
<proof>

lemma *seqSubstCases'*[*simp*]:

fixes $Cs :: ('c \times ('a,\ 'b,\ 'c)\ psi)\ list$
and $\sigma :: (name\ list \times 'a\ list)\ list$

shows $(seqSubs''\ (psiCases\ Cs)\ \sigma) = psiCases(caseListSeqSubst\ Cs\ \sigma)$
<proof>

lemma *seqSubstEqvt*[*eqvt*]:

fixes $P :: ('a,\ 'b,\ 'c)\ psi$
and $\sigma :: (name\ list \times 'a\ list)\ list$
and $p :: name\ prm$

shows $(p \cdot (P[\langle\sigma\rangle])) = (p \cdot P)[\langle(p \cdot \sigma)\rangle]$
<proof>

lemma *guardedSeqSubst*:

assumes *guarded* P
and *wellFormedSubst* σ

shows *guarded*($seqSubs\ P\ \sigma$)
<proof>

end

lemma *inter-eqvt*:

shows $(pi::name\ prm) \cdot ((X::name\ set) \cap Y) = (pi \cdot X) \cap (pi \cdot Y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *delete-eqvt*:

fixes $p :: name\ prm$
and $X :: name\ set$
and $Y :: name\ set$

shows $p \cdot (X - Y) = (p \cdot X) - (p \cdot Y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *perm-singleton[simp]*:

shows $(p::name\ prm) \cdot \{(x::name)\} = \{p \cdot x\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

theory *Frame*

imports *Agent*

begin

lemma *permLength[simp]*:

fixes $p :: name\ prm$
and $xvec :: 'a::pt-name\ list$

shows $length(p \cdot xvec) = length\ xvec$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

nominal-datatype *'assertion frame* =

$FAssert\ 'assertion::fs-name$
 $| FRes\ \langle name \rangle\ ('assertion\ frame)\ (\langle \nu - \rangle\ [80, 80]\ 80)$

primrec *frameResChain* :: $name\ list \Rightarrow ('a::fs-name)\ frame \Rightarrow 'a\ frame$ **where**

base: $frameResChain\ []\ F = F$
step: $frameResChain\ (x\#\ xs)\ F = (\nu x)(frameResChain\ xs\ F)$

notation *frameResChain* $(\langle \nu * - \rangle\ [80, 80]\ 80)$

notation *FAssert* $(\langle \langle \varepsilon, - \rangle \rangle\ [80]\ 80)$

abbreviation *FAssertJudge* $(\langle \langle -, - \rangle \rangle\ [80, 80]\ 80)$ **where** $\langle A_F, \Psi_F \rangle \equiv frameResChain\ A_F\ (FAssert\ \Psi_F)$

lemma *frameResChainEqvt[eqvt]*:

fixes $perm :: name\ prm$
and $lst :: name\ list$
and $F :: 'a::fs-name\ frame$

shows $perm \cdot ((\nu * xvec)F) = (\nu *(perm \cdot xvec))(\nu * F)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frameResChainFresh*:

fixes x :: name
and $xvec$:: name list
and F :: 'a::fs-name frame

shows $x \# (\nu * xvec) F = (x \in \text{set } xvec \vee x \# F)$
(proof)

lemma *frameResChainFreshSet*:

fixes Xs :: name set
and $xvec$:: name list
and F :: 'a::fs-name frame

shows $Xs \#* ((\nu * xvec) F) = (\forall x \in Xs. x \in \text{set } xvec \vee x \# F)$
(proof)

lemma *frameChainAlpha*:

fixes p :: name prm
and $xvec$:: name list
and F :: 'a::fs-name frame

assumes $xvecFreshF$: $(p \cdot xvec) \#* F$
and S : $\text{set } p \subseteq \text{set } xvec \times \text{set } (p \cdot xvec)$

shows $(\nu * xvec) F = (\nu * (p \cdot xvec)) (p \cdot F)$
(proof)

lemma *frameChainAlpha'*:

fixes p :: name prm
and A_P :: name list
and Ψ_P :: 'a::fs-name

assumes $(p \cdot A_P) \#* \Psi_P$
and S : $\text{set } p \subseteq \text{set } A_P \times \text{set } (p \cdot A_P)$

shows $\langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle = \langle (p \cdot A_P), p \cdot \Psi_P \rangle$
(proof)

lemma *alphaFrameRes*:

fixes x :: name
and F :: 'a::fs-name frame
and y :: name

assumes $y \# F$

shows $(\nu x) F = (\nu y) ([x, y] \cdot F)$
(proof)

lemma *frameChainAppend*:

```

fixes  $xvec :: name\ list$ 
and  $yvec :: name\ list$ 
and  $F :: 'a::fs-name\ frame$ 

shows  $(\nu*(xvec@yvec))F = (\nu*xvec)((\nu*yvec)F)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma frameChainEqLength:
fixes  $xvec :: name\ list$ 
and  $\Psi :: 'a::fs-name$ 
and  $yvec :: name\ list$ 
and  $\Psi' :: 'a::fs-name$ 

assumes  $\langle xvec, \Psi \rangle = \langle yvec, \Psi' \rangle$ 

shows  $length\ xvec = length\ yvec$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma frameEqFresh:
fixes  $F :: ('a::fs-name)\ frame$ 
and  $G :: 'a\ frame$ 
and  $x :: name$ 
and  $y :: name$ 

assumes  $(\nu x)F = (\nu y)G$ 
and  $x \# F$ 

shows  $y \# G$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma frameEqSupp:
fixes  $F :: ('a::fs-name)\ frame$ 
and  $G :: 'a\ frame$ 
and  $x :: name$ 
and  $y :: name$ 

assumes  $(\nu x)F = (\nu y)G$ 
and  $x \in supp\ F$ 

shows  $y \in supp\ G$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma frameChainEqSuppEmpty[dest]:
fixes  $xvec :: name\ list$ 
and  $\Psi :: 'a::fs-name$ 
and  $yvec :: name\ list$ 
and  $\Psi' :: 'a::fs-name$ 

assumes  $\langle xvec, \Psi \rangle = \langle yvec, \Psi' \rangle$ 

```

and $\text{supp } \Psi = (\{\} :: \text{name set})$

shows $\Psi = \Psi'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *frameChainEq*:
fixes $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $\Psi :: 'a::\text{fs-name}$
and $yvec :: \text{name list}$
and $\Psi' :: 'a::\text{fs-name}$

assumes $\langle xvec, \Psi \rangle = \langle yvec, \Psi' \rangle$
and $xvec \#* yvec$

obtains p **where** $(\text{set } p) \subseteq (\text{set } xvec) \times \text{set } (yvec)$ **and** $\text{distinctPerm } p$ **and** $\Psi' = p \cdot \Psi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *frameChainEq'*:
fixes $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $\Psi :: 'a::\text{fs-name}$
and $yvec :: \text{name list}$
and $\Psi' :: 'a::\text{fs-name}$

assumes $\langle xvec, \Psi \rangle = \langle yvec, \Psi' \rangle$
and $xvec \#* yvec$
and $\text{distinct } xvec$
and $\text{distinct } yvec$

obtains p **where** $(\text{set } p) \subseteq (\text{set } xvec) \times \text{set } (p \cdot xvec)$ **and** $\text{distinctPerm } p$ **and** $yvec = p \cdot xvec$ **and** $\Psi' = p \cdot \Psi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *frameEq[simp]*:
fixes $A_F :: \text{name list}$
and $\Psi :: 'a::\text{fs-name}$
and $\Psi' :: 'a$

shows $\langle A_F, \Psi \rangle = \langle \varepsilon, \Psi' \rangle = (A_F = [] \wedge \Psi = \Psi')$
and $\langle \varepsilon, \Psi' \rangle = \langle A_F, \Psi \rangle = (A_F = [] \wedge \Psi = \Psi')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinctFrame*:
fixes $A_F :: \text{name list}$
and $\Psi_F :: 'a::\text{fs-name}$
and $C :: 'b::\text{fs-name}$

assumes $A_F \#* C$

obtains A_F' **where** $\langle A_F, \Psi_F \rangle = \langle A_F', \Psi_F \rangle$ **and** *distinct* A_F' **and** $A_F' \#^* C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *freshFrame*:

fixes $F :: ('a::fs-name)$ *frame*
and $C :: 'b :: fs-name$

obtains $A_F \Psi_F$ **where** $F = \langle A_F, \Psi_F \rangle$ **and** *distinct* A_F **and** $A_F \#^* C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

locale *assertionAux* =

fixes $SCompose :: 'b::fs-name \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b$ (**infixr** $\langle \otimes \rangle$ 80)
and $SImp :: 'b \Rightarrow 'c::fs-name \Rightarrow bool$ ($\langle \vdash \rightarrow \rangle$ [70, 70] 70)
and $SBottom :: 'b$ ($\langle \perp \rangle$ 90)
and $SChanEq :: 'a::fs-name \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'c$ ($\langle \leftrightarrow \rightarrow \rangle$ [80, 80] 80)

assumes *statEqvt*[*eqvt*]: $\bigwedge p::name\ prm. p \cdot (\Psi \vdash \Phi) = (p \cdot \Psi) \vdash (p \cdot \Phi)$
and *statEqvt'*[*eqvt*]: $\bigwedge p::name\ prm. p \cdot (\Psi \otimes \Psi') = (p \cdot \Psi) \otimes (p \cdot \Psi')$
and *statEqvt''*[*eqvt*]: $\bigwedge p::name\ prm. p \cdot (M \leftrightarrow N) = (p \cdot M) \leftrightarrow (p \cdot N)$
and *permBottom*[*eqvt*]: $\bigwedge p::name\ prm. (p \cdot SBottom) = SBottom$

begin

lemma *statClosed*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $\varphi :: 'c$
and $p :: name\ prm$

assumes $\Psi \vdash \varphi$

shows $(p \cdot \Psi) \vdash (p \cdot \varphi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *compSupp*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $\Psi' :: 'b$

shows $(supp(\Psi \otimes \Psi')::name\ set) \subseteq ((supp\ \Psi) \cup (supp\ \Psi'))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *chanEqSupp*:

fixes $M :: 'a$
and $N :: 'a$

shows $(supp(M \leftrightarrow N)::name\ set) \subseteq ((supp\ M) \cup (supp\ N))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *freshComp*[*intro*]:

fixes $x :: name$

```

and  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $\Psi' :: 'b$ 

assumes  $x \# \Psi$ 
and  $x \# \Psi'$ 

shows  $x \# \Psi \otimes \Psi'$ 
<proof>

lemma freshCompChain[intro]:
  fixes  $xvec :: name\ list$ 
  and  $Xs :: name\ set$ 
  and  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and  $\Psi' :: 'b$ 

  shows  $\llbracket xvec \#* \Psi; xvec \#* \Psi' \rrbracket \implies xvec \#* (\Psi \otimes \Psi')$ 
  and  $\llbracket Xs \#* \Psi; Xs \#* \Psi' \rrbracket \implies Xs \#* (\Psi \otimes \Psi')$ 
<proof>

lemma freshChanEq[intro]:
  fixes  $x :: name$ 
  and  $M :: 'a$ 
  and  $N :: 'a$ 

  assumes  $x \# M$ 
  and  $x \# N$ 

  shows  $x \# M \leftrightarrow N$ 
<proof>

lemma freshChanEqChain[intro]:
  fixes  $xvec :: name\ list$ 
  and  $Xs :: name\ set$ 
  and  $M :: 'a$ 
  and  $N :: 'a$ 

  shows  $\llbracket xvec \#* M; xvec \#* N \rrbracket \implies xvec \#* (M \leftrightarrow N)$ 
  and  $\llbracket Xs \#* M; Xs \#* N \rrbracket \implies Xs \#* (M \leftrightarrow N)$ 
<proof>

lemma suppBottom[simp]:
  shows  $((supp\ SBottom)::name\ set) = \{\}$ 
<proof>

lemma freshBottom[simp]:
  fixes  $x :: name$ 

  shows  $x \# \perp$ 
<proof>

```

lemma *freshBottoChain*[simp]:

fixes *xvec* :: *name list*
and *Xs* :: *name set*

shows *xvec* $\#^*$ (\perp)
and *Xs* $\#^*$ (\perp)

<proof>

lemma *chanEqClosed*:

fixes Ψ :: '*b*
and *M* :: '*a*
and *N* :: '*a*
and *p* :: *name prm*

assumes $\Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow N$

shows $(p \cdot \Psi) \vdash (p \cdot M) \leftrightarrow (p \cdot N)$

<proof>

definition

AssertionStatImp :: '*b* \Rightarrow '*b* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** $\langle \leftrightarrow \rangle$ 70)
where $(\Psi \leftrightarrow \Psi') \equiv (\forall \Phi. \Psi \vdash \Phi \longrightarrow \Psi' \vdash \Phi)$

definition

AssertionStatEq :: '*b* \Rightarrow '*b* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** $\langle \simeq \rangle$ 70)
where $(\Psi \simeq \Psi') \equiv \Psi \leftrightarrow \Psi' \wedge \Psi' \leftrightarrow \Psi$

lemma *statImpEnt*:

fixes Ψ :: '*b*
and Ψ' :: '*b*
and Φ :: '*c*

assumes $\Psi \leftrightarrow \Psi'$
and $\Psi \vdash \Phi$

shows $\Psi' \vdash \Phi$

<proof>

lemma *statEqEnt*:

fixes Ψ :: '*b*
and Ψ' :: '*b*
and Φ :: '*c*

assumes $\Psi \simeq \Psi'$
and $\Psi \vdash \Phi$

shows $\Psi' \vdash \Phi$

<proof>

lemma *AssertionStatImpClosed*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $\Psi' :: 'b$
and $p :: \text{name prm}$

assumes $\Psi \leftrightarrow \Psi'$

shows $(p \cdot \Psi) \leftrightarrow (p \cdot \Psi')$
<proof>

lemma *AssertionStatEqClosed*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $\Psi' :: 'b$
and $p :: \text{name prm}$

assumes $\Psi \simeq \Psi'$

shows $(p \cdot \Psi) \simeq (p \cdot \Psi')$
<proof>

lemma *AssertionStatImpEqvt[eqvt]*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $\Psi' :: 'b$
and $p :: \text{name prm}$

shows $(p \cdot (\Psi \leftrightarrow \Psi')) = ((p \cdot \Psi) \leftrightarrow (p \cdot \Psi'))$
<proof>

lemma *AssertionStatEqEqvt[eqvt]*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $\Psi' :: 'b$
and $p :: \text{name prm}$

shows $(p \cdot (\Psi \simeq \Psi')) = ((p \cdot \Psi) \simeq (p \cdot \Psi'))$
<proof>

lemma *AssertionStatImpRefl[simp]*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

shows $\Psi \leftrightarrow \Psi$
<proof>

lemma *AssertionStatEqRefl[simp]*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

shows $\Psi \simeq \Psi$
<proof>

lemma *AssertionStatEqSym*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $\Psi' :: 'b$

assumes $\Psi \simeq \Psi'$

shows $\Psi' \simeq \Psi$

<proof>

lemma *AssertionStatImpTrans*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $\Psi' :: 'b$

and $\Psi'' :: 'b$

assumes $\Psi \hookrightarrow \Psi'$

and $\Psi' \hookrightarrow \Psi''$

shows $\Psi \hookrightarrow \Psi''$

<proof>

lemma *AssertionStatEqTrans*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $\Psi' :: 'b$

and $\Psi'' :: 'b$

assumes $\Psi \simeq \Psi'$

and $\Psi' \simeq \Psi''$

shows $\Psi \simeq \Psi''$

<proof>

definition

FrameImp :: $'b::fs\text{-name } frame \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow bool$ (**infixl** $\langle \vdash_F \rangle$ 70)

where $(F \vdash_F \Phi) = (\exists A_F \Psi_F. F = \langle A_F, \Psi_F \rangle \wedge A_F \#* \Phi \wedge (\Psi_F \vdash \Phi))$

lemma *frameImpI*:

fixes $F :: 'b \text{ frame}$

and $\varphi :: 'c$

and $A_F :: \text{name list}$

and $\Psi_F :: 'b$

assumes $F = \langle A_F, \Psi_F \rangle$

and $A_F \#* \varphi$

and $\Psi_F \vdash \varphi$

shows $F \vdash_F \varphi$

<proof>

lemma *frameImpAlphaEnt*:

fixes $A_F :: \text{name list}$
and $\Psi_F :: 'b$
and $A_{F'} :: \text{name list}$
and $\Psi_{F'} :: 'b$
and $\varphi :: 'c$

assumes $\langle A_F, \Psi_F \rangle = \langle A_{F'}, \Psi_{F'} \rangle$
and $A_F \#^* \varphi$
and $A_{F'} \#^* \varphi$
and $\Psi_{F'} \vdash \varphi$

shows $\Psi_F \vdash \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *frameImpEAux*:

fixes $F :: 'b \text{ frame}$
and $\Phi :: 'c$

assumes $F \vdash_F \Phi$
and $F = \langle A_F, \Psi_F \rangle$
and $A_F \#^* \Phi$

shows $\Psi_F \vdash \Phi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *frameImpE*:

fixes $F :: 'b \text{ frame}$
and $\Phi :: 'c$

assumes $\langle A_F, \Psi_F \rangle \vdash_F \Phi$
and $A_F \#^* \Phi$

shows $\Psi_F \vdash \Phi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *frameImpClosed*:

fixes $F :: 'b \text{ frame}$
and $\Phi :: 'c$
and $p :: \text{name prm}$

assumes $F \vdash_F \Phi$

shows $(p \cdot F) \vdash_F (p \cdot \Phi)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *frameImpEqvt[eqvt]*:

fixes $F :: 'b \text{ frame}$
and $\Phi :: 'c$
and $p :: \text{name prm}$

shows $(p \cdot (F \vdash_F \Phi)) = (p \cdot F) \vdash_F (p \cdot \Phi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frameImpEmpty[simp]*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $\varphi :: 'c$

shows $\langle \varepsilon, \Psi \rangle \vdash_F \varphi = \Psi \vdash \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

FrameStatImp :: $'b \text{ frame} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ frame} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** $\langle \hookrightarrow_F \rangle$ 70)
where $(F \hookrightarrow_F G) \equiv (\forall \varphi. F \vdash_F \varphi \longrightarrow G \vdash_F \varphi)$

definition

FrameStatEq :: $'b \text{ frame} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ frame} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** $\langle \simeq_F \rangle$ 70)
where $(F \simeq_F G) \equiv F \hookrightarrow_F G \wedge G \hookrightarrow_F F$

lemma *FrameStatImpClosed*:

fixes $F :: 'b \text{ frame}$
and $G :: 'b \text{ frame}$
and $p :: \text{name prm}$

assumes $F \hookrightarrow_F G$

shows $(p \cdot F) \hookrightarrow_F (p \cdot G)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *FrameStatEqClosed*:

fixes $F :: 'b \text{ frame}$
and $G :: 'b \text{ frame}$
and $p :: \text{name prm}$

assumes $F \simeq_F G$

shows $(p \cdot F) \simeq_F (p \cdot G)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *FrameStatImpEqvt[eqvt]*:

fixes $F :: 'b \text{ frame}$
and $G :: 'b \text{ frame}$
and $p :: \text{name prm}$

shows $(p \cdot (F \hookrightarrow_F G)) = ((p \cdot F) \hookrightarrow_F (p \cdot G))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *FrameStatEqEqvt[eqvt]*:

fixes $F :: 'b \text{ frame}$

and $G :: 'b \text{ frame}$
and $p :: \text{name prm}$
shows $(p \cdot (F \simeq_F G)) = ((p \cdot F) \simeq_F (p \cdot G))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *FrameStatImpRefl[simp]*:
fixes $F :: 'b \text{ frame}$

shows $F \hookrightarrow_F F$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *FrameStatEqRefl[simp]*:
fixes $F :: 'b \text{ frame}$

shows $F \simeq_F F$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *FrameStatEqSym*:
fixes $F :: 'b \text{ frame}$
and $G :: 'b \text{ frame}$

assumes $F \simeq_F G$

shows $G \simeq_F F$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *FrameStatImpTrans*:
fixes $F :: 'b \text{ frame}$
and $G :: 'b \text{ frame}$
and $H :: 'b \text{ frame}$

assumes $F \hookrightarrow_F G$
and $G \hookrightarrow_F H$

shows $F \hookrightarrow_F H$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *FrameStatEqTrans*:
fixes $F :: 'b \text{ frame}$
and $G :: 'b \text{ frame}$
and $H :: 'b \text{ frame}$

assumes $F \simeq_F G$
and $G \simeq_F H$

shows $F \simeq_F H$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fsCompose[simp]: finite((supp SCompose)::name set)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

nominal-primrec

insertAssertion :: 'b frame \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b frame

where

insertAssertion (FAssert Ψ) Ψ' = FAssert ($\Psi' \otimes \Psi$)

$| x \# \Psi' \Longrightarrow insertAssertion ((\nu x)F) \Psi' = (\nu x)(insertAssertion F \Psi')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *insertAssertionEqvt[eqvt]:*

fixes *p :: name prm*

and *F :: 'b frame*

and *$\Psi :: 'b$*

shows $p \cdot (insertAssertion F \Psi) = insertAssertion (p \cdot F) (p \cdot \Psi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

nominal-primrec

mergeFrame :: 'b frame \Rightarrow 'b frame \Rightarrow 'b frame

where

mergeFrame (FAssert Ψ) G = insertAssertion G Ψ

$| x \# G \Longrightarrow mergeFrame ((\nu x)F) G = (\nu x)(mergeFrame F G)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

notation *mergeFrame (infixr $\langle \otimes_F \rangle$ 80)*

abbreviation

frameBottomJudge ($\langle \perp_F \rangle$) where $\perp_F \equiv (FAssert SBottom)$

lemma *mergeFrameEqvt[eqvt]:*

fixes *p :: name prm*

and *F :: 'b frame*

and *G :: 'b frame*

shows $p \cdot (mergeFrame F G) = mergeFrame (p \cdot F) (p \cdot G)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

nominal-primrec

extractFrame :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \Rightarrow 'b frame

and *extractFrame' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) input \Rightarrow 'b frame*

and *extractFrame'' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psiCase \Rightarrow 'b frame*

where

extractFrame (0) = $\langle \varepsilon, \perp \rangle$

$| extractFrame (M(I)) = \langle \varepsilon, \perp \rangle$

$| extractFrame (M(N).P) = \langle \varepsilon, \perp \rangle$

$| extractFrame (Case C) = \langle \varepsilon, \perp \rangle$

$| \text{extractFrame } (P \parallel Q) = (\text{extractFrame } P) \otimes_F (\text{extractFrame } Q)$
 $| \text{extractFrame } (\{\Psi\}::('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi})) = \langle \varepsilon, \Psi \rangle$

$| \text{extractFrame } (\nu x)P = (\nu x)(\text{extractFrame } P)$
 $| \text{extractFrame } (!P) = \langle \varepsilon, \perp \rangle$

$| \text{extractFrame}' ((\text{Trm } M P)::('a::\text{fs-name}, 'b::\text{fs-name}, 'c::\text{fs-name}) \text{input}) = \langle \varepsilon, \perp \rangle$

$| \text{extractFrame}' (\text{Bind } x I) = \langle \varepsilon, \perp \rangle$

$| \text{extractFrame}'' (\perp_c::('a::\text{fs-name}, 'b::\text{fs-name}, 'c::\text{fs-name}) \text{psiCase}) = \langle \varepsilon, \perp \rangle$
 $| \text{extractFrame}'' (\Box \Phi \Rightarrow P C) = \langle \varepsilon, \perp \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *extractFrameSimps* = *extractFrame-extractFrame'-extractFrame''.simps*

lemma *extractFrameEqvt*[*eqvt*]:

fixes $p :: \text{name prm}$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $I :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{input}$
and $C :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psiCase}$

shows $p \cdot (\text{extractFrame } P) = \text{extractFrame } (p \cdot P)$
and $p \cdot (\text{extractFrame}' I) = \text{extractFrame}' (p \cdot I)$
and $p \cdot (\text{extractFrame}'' C) = \text{extractFrame}'' (p \cdot C)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *insertAssertionFresh*[*intro*]:

fixes $F :: 'b \text{ frame}$
and $\Psi :: 'b$
and $x :: \text{name}$

assumes $x \# F$
and $x \# \Psi$

shows $x \# (\text{insertAssertion } F \Psi)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *insertAssertionFreshChain*[*intro*]:

fixes $F :: 'b \text{ frame}$
and $\Psi :: 'b$
and $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $Xs :: \text{name set}$

shows $\llbracket xvec \#* F; xvec \#* \Psi \rrbracket \Longrightarrow xvec \#* (\text{insertAssertion } F \Psi)$
and $\llbracket Xs \#* F; Xs \#* \Psi \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Xs \#* (\text{insertAssertion } F \Psi)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mergeFrameFresh*[*intro*]:

```

fixes  $F :: 'b \text{ frame}$ 
and  $G :: 'b \text{ frame}$ 
and  $x :: \text{ name}$ 

shows  $\llbracket x \# F; x \# G \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \# (\text{mergeFrame } F \ G)$ 
<proof>

lemma mergeFrameFreshChain[intro]:
fixes  $F :: 'b \text{ frame}$ 
and  $G :: 'b \text{ frame}$ 
and  $xvec :: \text{ name list}$ 
and  $Xs :: \text{ name set}$ 

shows  $\llbracket xvec \#* F; xvec \#* G \rrbracket \Longrightarrow xvec \#* (\text{mergeFrame } F \ G)$ 
and  $\llbracket Xs \#* F; Xs \#* G \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Xs \#* (\text{mergeFrame } F \ G)$ 
<proof>

lemma extractFrameFresh:
fixes  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$ 
and  $I :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ input}$ 
and  $C :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psiCase}$ 
and  $x :: \text{ name}$ 

shows  $x \# P \Longrightarrow x \# \text{extractFrame } P$ 
and  $x \# I \Longrightarrow x \# \text{extractFrame}' I$ 
and  $x \# C \Longrightarrow x \# \text{extractFrame}'' C$ 
<proof>

lemma extractFrameFreshChain:
fixes  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$ 
and  $I :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ input}$ 
and  $C :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psiCase}$ 
and  $xvec :: \text{ name list}$ 
and  $Xs :: \text{ name set}$ 

shows  $xvec \#* P \Longrightarrow xvec \#* \text{extractFrame } P$ 
and  $xvec \#* I \Longrightarrow xvec \#* \text{extractFrame}' I$ 
and  $xvec \#* C \Longrightarrow xvec \#* \text{extractFrame}'' C$ 
and  $Xs \#* P \Longrightarrow Xs \#* \text{extractFrame } P$ 
and  $Xs \#* I \Longrightarrow Xs \#* \text{extractFrame}' I$ 
and  $Xs \#* C \Longrightarrow Xs \#* \text{extractFrame}'' C$ 
<proof>

lemma guardedFrameSupp[simp]:
fixes  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$ 
and  $I :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ input}$ 
and  $C :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psiCase}$ 
and  $x :: \text{ name}$ 

```

shows $\text{guarded } P \implies x \# (\text{extractFrame } P)$
and $\text{guarded}' I \implies x \# (\text{extractFrame}' I)$
and $\text{guarded}'' C \implies x \# (\text{extractFrame}'' C)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *frameResChainFresh'*:

fixes $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $yvec :: \text{name list}$
and $F :: 'b \text{ frame}$

shows $(xvec \#* ((\nu * yvec) F)) = (\forall x \in \text{set } xvec. x \in \text{set } yvec \vee x \# F)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *frameChainFresh[simp]*:

fixes $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $\Psi :: 'b$
and $Xs :: \text{name set}$

shows $xvec \#* (F\text{Assert } \Psi) = xvec \#* \Psi$
and $Xs \#* (F\text{Assert } \Psi) = Xs \#* \Psi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *frameResChainFresh''[simp]*:

fixes $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $yvec :: \text{name list}$
and $F :: 'b \text{ frame}$

assumes $xvec \#* yvec$

shows $xvec \#* ((\nu * yvec) F) = xvec \#* F$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *frameResChainFresh'''[simp]*:

fixes $x :: \text{name}$
and $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $F :: 'b \text{ frame}$

assumes $x \# xvec$

shows $x \# ((\nu * xvec) F) = x \# F$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *FFreshBottom[simp]*:

fixes $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $Xs :: \text{name set}$

shows $xvec \#* (\perp_F)$

and $Xs \#* (\perp_F)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $SFreshBottom[simp]$:
fixes $xvec :: name\ list$
and $Xs :: name\ set$

shows $xvec \#* (SBottom)$
and $Xs \#* (SBottom)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $freshFrameDest[dest]$:
fixes $A_F :: name\ list$
and $\Psi_F :: 'b$
and $xvec :: name\ list$

assumes $xvec \#* (\langle A_F, \Psi_F \rangle)$

shows $xvec \#* A_F \implies xvec \#* \Psi_F$
and $A_F \#* xvec \implies xvec \#* \Psi_F$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $insertAssertionSimps[simp]$:
fixes $A_F :: name\ list$
and $\Psi_F :: 'b$
and $\Psi :: 'b$

assumes $A_F \#* \Psi$

shows $insertAssertion (\langle A_F, \Psi_F \rangle) \Psi = \langle A_F, \Psi \otimes \Psi_F \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $mergeFrameSimps[simp]$:
fixes $A_F :: name\ list$
and $\Psi_F :: 'b$
and $\Psi :: 'b$

assumes $A_F \#* \Psi$

shows $(\langle A_F, \Psi_F \rangle) \otimes_F \langle \varepsilon, \Psi \rangle = \langle A_F, \Psi_F \otimes \Psi \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $mergeFrames[simp]$:
fixes $A_F :: name\ list$
and $\Psi_F :: 'b$
and $A_G :: name\ list$
and $\Psi_G :: 'b$

assumes $A_F \#* A_G$

and $A_F \#^* \Psi_G$
and $A_G \#^* \Psi_F$

shows $(\langle A_F, \Psi_F \rangle) \otimes_F (\langle A_G, \Psi_G \rangle) = (\langle A_F @ A_G, \Psi_F \otimes \Psi_G \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frameImpResFreshLeft*:

fixes $F :: 'b \text{ frame}$
and $x :: name$

assumes $x \# F$

shows $(\nu x)F \hookrightarrow_F F$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frameImpResFreshRight*:

fixes $F :: 'b \text{ frame}$
and $x :: name$

assumes $x \# F$

shows $F \hookrightarrow_F (\nu x)F$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frameResFresh*:

fixes $F :: 'b \text{ frame}$
and $x :: name$

assumes $x \# F$

shows $(\nu x)F \simeq_F F$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frameImpResPres*:

fixes $F :: 'b \text{ frame}$
and $G :: 'b \text{ frame}$
and $x :: name$

assumes $F \hookrightarrow_F G$

shows $(\nu x)F \hookrightarrow_F (\nu x)G$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frameResPres*:

fixes $F :: 'b \text{ frame}$
and $G :: 'b \text{ frame}$
and $x :: name$

assumes $F \simeq_F G$

shows $(\nu x)F \simeq_F (\nu x)G$
<proof>

lemma *frameImpResComm:*

fixes $x :: name$
and $y :: name$
and $F :: 'b frame$

shows $(\nu x)((\nu y)F) \hookrightarrow_F (\nu y)((\nu x)F)$
<proof>

lemma *frameResComm:*

fixes $x :: name$
and $y :: name$
and $F :: 'b frame$

shows $(\nu x)((\nu y)F) \simeq_F (\nu y)((\nu x)F)$
<proof>

lemma *frameImpResCommLeft':*

fixes $x :: name$
and $xvec :: name list$
and $F :: 'b frame$

shows $(\nu x)((\nu *xvec)F) \hookrightarrow_F (\nu *xvec)((\nu x)F)$
<proof>

lemma *frameImpResCommRight':*

fixes $x :: name$
and $xvec :: name list$
and $F :: 'b frame$

shows $(\nu *xvec)((\nu x)F) \hookrightarrow_F (\nu x)((\nu *xvec)F)$
<proof>

lemma *frameResComm':*

fixes $x :: name$
and $xvec :: name list$
and $F :: 'b frame$

shows $(\nu x)((\nu *xvec)F) \simeq_F (\nu *xvec)((\nu x)F)$
<proof>

lemma *frameImpChainComm:*

fixes $xvec :: name list$
and $yvec :: name list$
and $F :: 'b frame$

shows $(\nu*xvec)(\nu*yvec)F \hookrightarrow_F (\nu*yvec)(\nu*xvec)F$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frameResChainComm*:

fixes $xvec :: name\ list$
and $yvec :: name\ list$
and $F :: 'b\ frame$

shows $(\nu*xvec)(\nu*yvec)F \simeq_F (\nu*yvec)(\nu*xvec)F$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frameImpNilStatEq[simp]*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $\Psi' :: 'b$

shows $(\langle \varepsilon, \Psi \rangle \hookrightarrow_F \langle \varepsilon, \Psi' \rangle) = (\Psi \hookrightarrow \Psi')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frameNilStatEq[simp]*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $\Psi' :: 'b$

shows $(\langle \varepsilon, \Psi \rangle \simeq_F \langle \varepsilon, \Psi' \rangle) = (\Psi \simeq \Psi')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *extractFrameChainStatImp*:

fixes $xvec :: name\ list$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$

shows $extractFrame(\nu*xvec)P \hookrightarrow_F (\nu*xvec)(extractFrame\ P)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *extractFrameChainStatEq*:

fixes $xvec :: name\ list$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$

shows $extractFrame(\nu*xvec)P \simeq_F (\nu*xvec)(extractFrame\ P)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *insertAssertionExtractFrameFreshImp*:

fixes $xvec :: name\ list$
and $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$

assumes $xvec \#* \Psi$

shows $insertAssertion(extractFrame(\nu*xvec)P) \Psi \hookrightarrow_F (\nu*xvec)(insertAssertion(extractFrame\ P)\ \Psi)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *insertAssertionExtractFrameFresh*:

fixes $xvec :: name\ list$
and $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$

assumes $xvec \#* \Psi$

shows $insertAssertion(extractFrame((\nu*xvec)P)) \Psi \simeq_F (\nu*xvec)(insertAssertion(extractFrame P) \Psi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frameImpResChainPres*:

fixes $F :: 'b\ frame$
and $G :: 'b\ frame$
and $xvec :: name\ list$

assumes $F \hookrightarrow_F G$

shows $(\nu*xvec)F \hookrightarrow_F (\nu*xvec)G$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frameResChainPres*:

fixes $F :: 'b\ frame$
and $G :: 'b\ frame$
and $xvec :: name\ list$

assumes $F \simeq_F G$

shows $(\nu*xvec)F \simeq_F (\nu*xvec)G$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *insertAssertionE*:

fixes $F :: ('b::fs-name)\ frame$
and $\Psi :: 'b$
and $\Psi' :: 'b$
and $A_F :: name\ list$

assumes $insertAssertion F \Psi = \langle A_F, \Psi \rangle$
and $A_F \#* F$
and $A_F \#* \Psi$
and $distinct A_F$

obtains Ψ_F **where** $F = \langle A_F, \Psi_F \rangle$ **and** $\Psi' = \Psi \otimes \Psi_F$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mergeFrameE*:

fixes $F :: 'b\ frame$

and $G :: 'b$ frame
and $A_{FG} ::$ name list
and $\Psi_{FG} :: 'b$

assumes $\text{mergeFrame } F \ G = \langle A_{FG}, \Psi_{FG} \rangle$
and $\text{distinct } A_{FG}$
and $A_{FG} \#^* F$
and $A_{FG} \#^* G$

obtains $A_F \ \Psi_F \ A_G \ \Psi_G$ **where** $A_{FG} = A_F @ A_G$ **and** $\Psi_{FG} = \Psi_F \otimes \Psi_G$ **and** $F = \langle A_F, \Psi_F \rangle$ **and** $G = \langle A_G, \Psi_G \rangle$ **and** $A_F \#^* \Psi_G$ **and** $A_G \#^* \Psi_F$
<proof>

lemma $\text{mergeFrameRes1}[\text{simp}]$:

fixes $A_F ::$ name list
and $\Psi_F :: 'b$
and $x ::$ name
and $A_G ::$ name list
and $\Psi_G :: 'b$

assumes $A_F \#^* \Psi_G$
and $A_F \#^* A_G$
and $x \# A_F$
and $x \# \Psi_F$
and $A_G \#^* \Psi_F$

shows $(\langle A_F, \Psi_F \rangle) \otimes_F ((\nu x)(\langle A_G, \Psi_G \rangle)) = (\langle A_F @ x \# A_G, \Psi_F \otimes \Psi_G \rangle)$
<proof>

lemma $\text{mergeFrameRes2}[\text{simp}]$:

fixes $A_F ::$ name list
and $\Psi_F :: 'b$
and $x ::$ name
and $A_G ::$ name list
and $\Psi_G :: 'b$

assumes $A_F \#^* \Psi_G$
and $A_G \#^* A_F$
and $x \# A_F$
and $x \# \Psi_F$
and $A_G \#^* \Psi_F$

shows $(\langle A_F, \Psi_F \rangle) \otimes_F ((\nu x)(\langle A_G, \Psi_G \rangle)) = (\langle A_F @ x \# A_G, \Psi_F \otimes \Psi_G \rangle)$
<proof>

lemma $\text{insertAssertionResChain}[\text{simp}]$:

fixes $xvec ::$ name list
and $F :: 'b$ frame
and $\Psi :: 'b$

```

assumes  $xvec \#* \Psi$ 

shows  $insertAssertion (\nu*xvec)F \Psi = (\nu*xvec)(insertAssertion F \Psi)$ 
<proof>

lemma extractFrameResChain[simp]:
fixes  $xvec :: name\ list$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 

shows  $extractFrame((\nu*xvec)P) = (\nu*xvec)(extractFrame P)$ 
<proof>

lemma frameResFreshChain:
fixes  $xvec :: name\ list$ 
and  $F :: 'b\ frame$ 

assumes  $xvec \#* F$ 

shows  $(\nu*xvec)F \simeq_F F$ 
<proof>

end

locale assertion = assertionAux SCompose SImp SBottom SChanEq
for  $SCompose :: 'b::fs-name \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b$ 
and  $SImp :: 'b \Rightarrow 'c::fs-name \Rightarrow bool$ 
and  $SBottom :: 'b$ 
and  $SChanEq :: 'a::fs-name \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'c +$ 

assumes chanEqSym:  $SImp \Psi (SChanEq M N) \Longrightarrow SImp \Psi (SChanEq N M)$ 
and chanEqTrans:  $\llbracket SImp \Psi (SChanEq M N); SImp \Psi (SChanEq N L) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$ 
 $SImp \Psi (SChanEq M L)$ 
and Composition:  $assertionAux.AssertionStatEq SImp \Psi \Psi' \Longrightarrow asser-$ 
 $tionAux.AssertionStatEq SImp (SCompose \Psi \Psi') (SCompose \Psi' \Psi')$ 
and Identity:  $assertionAux.AssertionStatEq SImp (SCompose \Psi SBottom)$ 
 $\Psi$ 
and Associativity:  $assertionAux.AssertionStatEq SImp (SCompose (SCompose$ 
 $\Psi \Psi') \Psi') (SCompose \Psi (SCompose \Psi' \Psi'))$ 
and Commutativity:  $assertionAux.AssertionStatEq SImp (SCompose \Psi \Psi')$ 
 $(SCompose \Psi' \Psi)$ 

begin

notation  $SCompose$  (infixr  $\langle \otimes \rangle$  90)
notation  $SImp$  ( $\langle \vdash \rightarrow \rangle$  [85, 85] 85)
notation  $SChanEq$  ( $\langle \leftrightarrow \rightarrow \rangle$  [90, 90] 90)
notation  $SBottom$  ( $\langle \perp \rangle$  90)

```

lemma *compositionSym*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $\Psi' :: 'b$
and $\Psi'' :: 'b$

assumes $\Psi \simeq \Psi'$

shows $\Psi'' \otimes \Psi \simeq \Psi'' \otimes \Psi'$
<proof>

lemma *Composition'*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $\Psi' :: 'b$
and $\Psi'' :: 'b$
and $\Psi''' :: 'b$

assumes $\Psi \simeq \Psi'$
and $\Psi'' \simeq \Psi'''$

shows $\Psi \otimes \Psi'' \simeq \Psi' \otimes \Psi'''$
<proof>

lemma *composition'*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $\Psi' :: 'b$
and $\Psi'' :: 'b$
and $\Psi''' :: 'b$

assumes $\Psi \simeq \Psi'$

shows $(\Psi \otimes \Psi'') \otimes \Psi''' \simeq (\Psi' \otimes \Psi'') \otimes \Psi'''$
<proof>

lemma *associativitySym*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $\Psi' :: 'b$
and $\Psi'' :: 'b$

shows $(\Psi \otimes \Psi') \otimes \Psi'' \simeq (\Psi \otimes \Psi'') \otimes \Psi'$
<proof>

lemma *frameIntAssociativity*:

fixes $A_F :: \text{name list}$
and $\Psi :: 'b$
and $\Psi' :: 'b$
and $\Psi'' :: 'b$

shows $\langle A_F, (\Psi \otimes \Psi') \otimes \Psi'' \rangle \simeq_F \langle A_F, \Psi \otimes (\Psi' \otimes \Psi'') \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frameIntCommutativity*:

fixes $A_F :: name\ list$

and $\Psi :: 'b$

and $\Psi' :: 'b$

shows $\langle A_F, \Psi \otimes \Psi' \rangle \simeq_F \langle A_F, \Psi' \otimes \Psi \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frameIntIdentity*:

fixes $A_F :: name\ list$

and $\Psi_F :: 'b$

shows $\langle A_F, \Psi_F \otimes SBottom \rangle \simeq_F \langle A_F, \Psi_F \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frameIntComposition*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $\Psi' :: 'b$

and $A_F :: name\ list$

and $\Psi_F :: 'b$

assumes $\Psi \simeq \Psi'$

shows $\langle A_F, \Psi \otimes \Psi_F \rangle \simeq_F \langle A_F, \Psi' \otimes \Psi_F \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frameIntCompositionSym*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $\Psi' :: 'b$

and $A_F :: name\ list$

and $\Psi_F :: 'b$

assumes $\Psi \simeq \Psi'$

shows $\langle A_F, \Psi_F \otimes \Psi \rangle \simeq_F \langle A_F, \Psi_F \otimes \Psi' \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frameCommutativity*:

fixes $F :: 'b\ frame$

and $G :: 'b\ frame$

shows $F \otimes_F G \simeq_F G \otimes_F F$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frameScopeExt*:

fixes $x :: name$

and $F :: 'b\ frame$

```

and G :: 'b frame

assumes x # F

shows ( $\nu x$ )(F  $\otimes_F$  G)  $\simeq_F$  F  $\otimes_F$  ( $\nu x$ )G
<proof>

lemma insertDoubleAssertionStatEq:
  fixes F :: 'b frame
  and  $\Psi$  :: 'b
  and  $\Psi'$  :: 'b

  shows insertAssertion(insertAssertion F  $\Psi$ )  $\Psi' \simeq_F$  (insertAssertion F) ( $\Psi \otimes \Psi'$ )
  <proof>

lemma guardedStatEq:
  fixes P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and I :: ('a, 'b, 'c) input
  and C :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psiCase
  and AP :: name list
  and  $\Psi_P$  :: 'b

  shows [guarded P; extractFrame P = ⟨AP,  $\Psi_P$ ⟩]  $\implies \Psi_P \simeq \perp \wedge \text{supp } \Psi_P =$ 
  ({}::name set)
  and [guarded' I; extractFrame' I = ⟨AP,  $\Psi_P$ ⟩]  $\implies \Psi_P \simeq \perp \wedge \text{supp } \Psi_P =$ 
  ({}::name set)
  and [guarded'' C; extractFrame'' C = ⟨AP,  $\Psi_P$ ⟩]  $\implies \Psi_P \simeq \perp \wedge \text{supp } \Psi_P =$ 
  ({}::name set)
  <proof>

end

end

theory Semantics
  imports Frame
  begin

nominal-datatype ('a, 'b, 'c) boundOutput =
  BOut 'a::fs-name ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi ( $\leftarrow$   $\prec''$   $\rightarrow$ ) [110, 110] 110)
| BStep «name» ('a, 'b, 'c) boundOutput ( $\leftarrow$  ( $\nu$ - $\rightarrow$ ) [110, 110] 110)

primrec BOresChain :: name list  $\Rightarrow$  ('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) bound-
Output  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a, 'b, 'c) boundOutput where
  Base: BOresChain [] B = B
| Step: BOresChain (x#xs) B = ( $\nu x$ )(BOresChain xs B)

```

abbreviation

$BOresChain.Judge$ ($\langle \nu^* \cdot \rangle$) [80, 80] 80 **where** $(\nu^* xvec)B \equiv BOresChain xvec B$

lemma $BOresChainEqvt[eqvt]$:

fixes $perm :: name prm$
and $lst :: name list$
and $B :: ('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) boundOutput$

shows $perm \cdot ((\nu^* xvec)B) = (\nu^*(perm \cdot xvec))(\nu^*(perm \cdot B))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $BOresChainSimps[simp]$:

fixes $xvec :: name list$
and $N :: 'a::fs-name$
and $P :: ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi$
and $N' :: 'a$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $B :: ('a, 'b, 'c) boundOutput$
and $B' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) boundOutput$

shows $((\nu^* xvec)N \prec' P = N' \prec' P') = (xvec = [] \wedge N = N' \wedge P = P')$
and $(N' \prec' P' = (\nu^* xvec)N \prec' P) = (xvec = [] \wedge N = N' \wedge P = P')$
and $(N' \prec' P' = N \prec' P) = (N = N' \wedge P = P')$
and $((\nu^* xvec)B = (\nu^* xvec)B') = (B = B')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $outputFresh[simp]$:

fixes $Xs :: name set$
and $xvec :: name list$
and $N :: 'a::fs-name$
and $P :: ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi$

shows $(Xs \#* (N \prec' P)) = ((Xs \#* N) \wedge (Xs \#* P))$
and $(xvec \#* (N \prec' P)) = ((xvec \#* N) \wedge (xvec \#* P))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $boundOutputFresh$:

fixes $x :: name$
and $xvec :: name list$
and $B :: ('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) boundOutput$

shows $(x \# ((\nu^* xvec)B)) = (x \in set xvec \vee x \# B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $boundOutputFresh.Set$:

fixes $Xs :: name set$
and $xvec :: name list$
and $B :: ('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) boundOutput$

and $yvec :: name\ list$
and $x :: name$

shows $Xs \#* (\nu * xvec) B = (\forall x \in Xs. x \in set\ xvec \vee x \# B)$
and $yvec \#* (\nu * xvec) B = (\forall x \in (set\ yvec). x \in set\ xvec \vee x \# B)$
and $Xs \#* (\nu x) B = Xs \#* [x].B$
and $xvec \#* (\nu x) B = xvec \#* [x].B$

<proof>

lemma *BOresChainSupp*:
fixes $xvec :: name\ list$
and $B :: ('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name)\ boundOutput$

shows $(supp(\nu * xvec) B)::name\ set) = (supp\ B) - (supp\ xvec)$

<proof>

lemma *boundOutputFreshSimps[simp]*:
fixes $Xs :: name\ set$
and $xvec :: name\ list$
and $B :: ('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name)\ boundOutput$
and $yvec :: name\ list$
and $x :: name$

shows $Xs \#* xvec \implies (Xs \#* (\nu * xvec) B) = (Xs \#* B)$
and $yvec \#* xvec \implies yvec \#* (\nu * xvec) B = yvec \#* B$
and $xvec \#* (\nu * xvec) B$
and $x \# xvec \implies x \# (\nu * xvec) B = x \# B$

<proof>

lemma *boundOutputChainAlpha*:
fixes $p :: name\ prm$
and $xvec :: name\ list$
and $B :: ('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name)\ boundOutput$
and $yvec :: name\ list$

assumes $xvecFreshB: (p \cdot xvec) \#* B$
and $S: set\ p \subseteq set\ xvec \times set\ (p \cdot xvec)$
and $(set\ xvec) \subseteq (set\ yvec)$

shows $(\nu * yvec) B = (\nu * (p \cdot yvec)) (p \cdot B)$

<proof>

lemma *boundOutputChainAlpha'*:
fixes $p :: name\ prm$
and $xvec :: name\ list$
and $B :: ('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name)\ boundOutput$
and $yvec :: name\ list$
and $zvec :: name\ list$

```

assumes  $xvecFreshB: xvec \#* B$ 
and  $S: set\ p \subseteq set\ xvec \times set\ yvec$ 
and  $yvec \#* (\nu*zvec)B$ 

shows  $(\nu*zvec)B = (\nu*(p \cdot zvec))(p \cdot B)$ 
<proof>

lemma boundOutputChainAlpha'':
fixes  $p :: name\ prm$ 
and  $xvec :: name\ list$ 
and  $M :: 'a::fs-name$ 
and  $P :: ('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name)\ psi$ 
and  $yvec :: name\ list$ 

assumes  $(p \cdot xvec) \#* M$ 
and  $(p \cdot xvec) \#* P$ 
and  $set\ p \subseteq set\ xvec \times set\ (p \cdot xvec)$ 
and  $(set\ xvec) \subseteq (set\ yvec)$ 

shows  $(\nu*yvec)M \prec' P = (\nu*(p \cdot yvec))(p \cdot M) \prec' (p \cdot P)$ 
<proof>

lemma boundOutputChainSwap:
fixes  $x :: name$ 
and  $y :: name$ 
and  $N :: 'a::fs-name$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name)\ psi$ 
and  $xvec :: name\ list$ 

assumes  $y \# N$ 
and  $y \# P$ 
and  $x \in (set\ xvec)$ 

shows  $(\nu*xvec)N \prec' P = (\nu*([(x, y)] \cdot xvec))([(x, y)] \cdot N) \prec' ([x, y] \cdot P)$ 
<proof>

lemma alphaBoundOutput:
fixes  $x :: name$ 
and  $y :: name$ 
and  $B :: ('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name)\ boundOutput$ 

assumes  $y \# B$ 

shows  $(\nu x)B = (\nu y)([x, y] \cdot B)$ 
<proof>

lemma boundOutputEqFresh:
fixes  $B :: ('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name)\ boundOutput$ 
and  $C :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ boundOutput$ 

```

```

and  $x :: name$ 
and  $y :: name$ 

assumes  $(\nu x)B = (\nu y)C$ 
and  $x \# B$ 

shows  $y \# C$ 
<proof>

lemma boundOutputEqSupp:
fixes  $B :: ('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) boundOutput$ 
and  $C :: ('a, 'b, 'c) boundOutput$ 
and  $x :: name$ 
and  $y :: name$ 

assumes  $(\nu x)B = (\nu y)C$ 
and  $x \in supp B$ 

shows  $y \in supp C$ 
<proof>

lemma boundOutputChainEq:
fixes  $xvec :: name list$ 
and  $B :: ('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) boundOutput$ 
and  $yvec :: name list$ 
and  $B' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) boundOutput$ 

assumes  $(\nu *xvec)B = (\nu *yvec)B'$ 
and  $xvec \#* yvec$ 
and  $length xvec = length yvec$ 

shows  $\exists p. (set p) \subseteq (set xvec) \times set (yvec) \wedge distinctPerm p \wedge B = p \cdot B' \wedge$ 
 $(set (map fst p)) \subseteq (supp B) \wedge xvec \#* B' \wedge yvec \#* B$ 
<proof>

lemma boundOutputChainEqLength:
fixes  $xvec :: name list$ 
and  $M :: 'a::fs-name$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi$ 
and  $yvec :: name list$ 
and  $N :: 'a::fs-name$ 
and  $Q :: ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi$ 

assumes  $(\nu *xvec)M \prec' P = (\nu *yvec)N \prec' Q$ 

shows  $length xvec = length yvec$ 
<proof>

lemma boundOutputChainEq':

```

```

fixes xvec :: name list
and M :: 'a::fs-name
and P :: ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi
and yvec :: name list
and N :: 'a
and Q :: ('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi

assumes ( $\nu$ *xvec)M <' P = ( $\nu$ *yvec)N <' Q
and xvec #* yvec

shows  $\exists p. (set\ p) \subseteq (set\ xvec) \times set\ (yvec) \wedge distinctPerm\ p \wedge M = p \cdot N \wedge$ 
 $P = p \cdot Q \wedge xvec\ \#*\ N \wedge xvec\ \#*\ Q \wedge yvec\ \#*\ M \wedge yvec\ \#*\ P$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma boundOutputChainEq'':
fixes xvec :: name list
and M :: 'a::fs-name
and P :: ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi
and yvec :: name list
and N :: 'a
and Q :: ('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi

assumes ( $\nu$ *xvec)M <' P = ( $\nu$ *yvec)N <' Q
and xvec #* yvec
and distinct xvec
and distinct yvec

```

```

obtains p where (set p)  $\subseteq (set\ xvec) \times set\ (p \cdot xvec)$  and distinctPerm p and
 $yvec = p \cdot xvec$  and  $N = p \cdot M$  and  $Q = p \cdot P$  and xvec #* N and xvec #* Q
and (p · xvec) #* M and (p · xvec) #* P
<proof>

```

```

lemma boundOutputEqSupp':
fixes x :: name
and xvec :: name list
and M :: 'a::fs-name
and P :: ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi
and y :: name
and yvec :: name list
and N :: 'a
and Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi

assumes Eq: ( $\nu$ x)( $\nu$ *xvec)M <' P = ( $\nu$ y)( $\nu$ *yvec)N <' Q
and  $x \neq y$ 
and  $x \# yvec$ 
and  $x \# xvec$ 
and  $y \# xvec$ 
and  $y \# yvec$ 
and xvec #* yvec

```

and $x \in \text{supp } M$

shows $y \in \text{supp } N$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *boundOutputChainOpenIH*:

fixes $xvec :: \text{name list}$

and $x :: \text{name}$

and $B :: ('a::\text{fs-name}, 'b::\text{fs-name}, 'c::\text{fs-name}) \text{boundOutput}$

and $yvec :: \text{name list}$

and $y :: \text{name}$

and $B' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{boundOutput}$

assumes $Eq: (\nu*xvec)(\nu x)B = (\nu*yvec)(\nu y)B'$

and $L: \text{length } xvec = \text{length } yvec$

and $xFreshB': x \# B'$

and $xFreshxvec: x \# xvec$

and $xFreshyvec: x \# yvec$

shows $(\nu*xvec)B = (\nu*yvec)((x, y) \cdot B')$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *boundOutputPar1Dest*:

fixes $xvec :: \text{name list}$

and $M :: 'a::\text{fs-name}$

and $P :: ('a, 'b::\text{fs-name}, 'c::\text{fs-name}) \text{psi}$

and $yvec :: \text{name list}$

and $N :: 'a$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

assumes $(\nu*xvec)M \prec' P = (\nu*yvec)N \prec' (Q \parallel R)$

and $xvec \#* R$

and $yvec \#* R$

obtains T **where** $P = T \parallel R$ **and** $(\nu*xvec)M \prec' T = (\nu*yvec)N \prec' Q$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *boundOutputPar1Dest'*:

fixes $xvec :: \text{name list}$

and $M :: 'a::\text{fs-name}$

and $P :: ('a, 'b::\text{fs-name}, 'c::\text{fs-name}) \text{psi}$

and $yvec :: \text{name list}$

and $N :: 'a$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

assumes $(\nu*xvec)M \prec' P = (\nu*yvec)N \prec' (Q \parallel R)$

and $xvec \#* yvec$

obtains T p **where** $set\ p \subseteq set\ xvec \times set\ yvec$ **and** $P = T \parallel (p \cdot R)$ **and**
 $(\nu*xvec)M \prec' T = (\nu*yvec)N \prec' Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *boundOutputPar2Dest*:

fixes $xvec :: name\ list$
and $M :: 'a::fs-name$
and $P :: ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name)\ psi$
and $yvec :: name\ list$
and $N :: 'a$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$

assumes $(\nu*xvec)M \prec' P = (\nu*yvec)N \prec' (Q \parallel R)$
and $xvec \#* Q$
and $yvec \#* Q$

obtains T **where** $P = Q \parallel T$ **and** $(\nu*xvec)M \prec' T = (\nu*yvec)N \prec' R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *boundOutputPar2Dest'*:

fixes $xvec :: name\ list$
and $M :: 'a::fs-name$
and $P :: ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name)\ psi$
and $yvec :: name\ list$
and $N :: 'a$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$

assumes $(\nu*xvec)M \prec' P = (\nu*yvec)N \prec' (Q \parallel R)$
and $xvec \#* yvec$

obtains T p **where** $set\ p \subseteq set\ xvec \times set\ yvec$ **and** $P = (p \cdot Q) \parallel T$ **and**
 $(\nu*xvec)M \prec' T = (\nu*yvec)N \prec' R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *boundOutputApp*:

fixes $xvec :: name\ list$
and $yvec :: name\ list$
and $B :: ('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name)\ boundOutput$

shows $(\nu*(xvec@yvec))B = (\nu*xvec)((\nu*yvec)B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *openInjectAuxAuxAux*:

fixes $x :: name$
and $xvec :: name\ list$

shows $\exists y \text{ yvec}. x \# \text{xvec} = \text{yvec} @ [y] \wedge \text{length } \text{xvec} = \text{length } \text{yvec}$
 <proof>

lemma *openInjectAuxAux*:

fixes $\text{xvec1} :: \text{name list}$
and $\text{xvec2} :: \text{name list}$
and $\text{yvec} :: \text{name list}$

assumes $\text{length}(\text{xvec1} @ \text{xvec2}) = \text{length } \text{yvec}$

shows $\exists \text{yvec1 } \text{yvec2}. \text{yvec} = \text{yvec1} @ \text{yvec2} \wedge \text{length } \text{xvec1} = \text{length } \text{yvec1} \wedge \text{length } \text{xvec2} = \text{length } \text{yvec2}$
 <proof>

lemma *openInjectAux*:

fixes $\text{xvec1} :: \text{name list}$
and $x :: \text{name}$
and $\text{xvec2} :: \text{name list}$
and $\text{yvec} :: \text{name list}$

assumes $\text{length}(\text{xvec1} @ x \# \text{xvec2}) = \text{length } \text{yvec}$

shows $\exists \text{yvec1 } y \text{ yvec2}. \text{yvec} = \text{yvec1} @ y \# \text{yvec2} \wedge \text{length } \text{xvec1} = \text{length } \text{yvec1} \wedge \text{length } \text{xvec2} = \text{length } \text{yvec2}$
 <proof>

lemma *boundOutputOpenDest*:

fixes $\text{yvec} :: \text{name list}$
and $M :: 'a :: \text{fs-name}$
and $P :: ('a, 'b :: \text{fs-name}, 'c :: \text{fs-name}) \text{ psi}$
and $\text{xvec1} :: \text{name list}$
and $x :: \text{name}$
and $\text{xvec2} :: \text{name list}$
and $N :: 'a$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $Eg: (\nu^*(\text{xvec1} @ x \# \text{xvec2})) M \prec' P = (\nu^* \text{yvec}) N \prec' Q$

and $x \# \text{xvec1}$
and $x \# \text{yvec}$
and $x \# N$
and $x \# Q$
and $\text{distinct } \text{yvec}$

obtains $\text{yvec1 } y \text{ yvec2}$ **where** $\text{yvec} = \text{yvec1} @ y \# \text{yvec2}$ **and** $\text{length } \text{xvec1} = \text{length } \text{yvec1}$ **and** $\text{length } \text{xvec2} = \text{length } \text{yvec2}$

and $(\nu^*(\text{xvec1} @ \text{xvec2})) M \prec' P = (\nu^*(\text{yvec1} @ \text{yvec2})) ((x, y) \cdot N) \prec' ((x, y) \cdot Q)$
 <proof>

lemma *boundOutputOpenDest'*:

fixes *yvec* :: *name list*
and *M* :: '*a*::*fs-name*
and *P* :: ('*a*, '*b*::*fs-name*, '*c*::*fs-name*) *psi*
and *xvec1* :: *name list*
and *x* :: *name*
and *xvec2* :: *name list*
and *N* :: '*a*
and *Q* :: ('*a*, '*b*, '*c*) *psi*

assumes *Eq*: $(\nu^*(xvec1 @ x \# xvec2))M \prec' P = (\nu^*yvec)N \prec' Q$
and $x \# xvec1$
and $x \# yvec$
and $x \# N$
and $x \# Q$

obtains *yvec1 y yvec2* **where** $yvec = yvec1 @ y \# yvec2$ **and** $length\ xvec1 = length\ yvec1$ **and** $length\ xvec2 = length\ yvec2$
and $(\nu^*(xvec1 @ xvec2))M \prec' P = (\nu^*(yvec1 @ [(x, y)] \cdot yvec2))([(x, y)] \cdot N) \prec' ([[(x, y)] \cdot Q]$
<proof>

lemma *boundOutputScopeDest*:

fixes *xvec* :: *name list*
and *M* :: '*a*::*fs-name*
and *P* :: ('*a*, '*b*::*fs-name*, '*c*::*fs-name*) *psi*
and *yvec* :: *name list*
and *N* :: '*a*
and *x* :: *name*
and *Q* :: ('*a*, '*b*, '*c*) *psi*

assumes $(\nu^*xvec)M \prec' P = (\nu^*yvec)N \prec' (\nu z)Q$
and $z \# xvec$
and $z \# yvec$

obtains *R* **where** $P = (\nu z)R$ **and** $(\nu^*xvec)M \prec' R = (\nu^*yvec)N \prec' Q$
<proof>

nominal-datatype ('*a*, '*b*, '*c*) *residual* =

RIn '*a*::*fs-name* '*a* ('*a*, '*b*::*fs-name*, '*c*::*fs-name*) *psi*
| *ROut* '*a* ('*a*, '*b*, '*c*) *boundOutput*
| *RTau* ('*a*, '*b*, '*c*) *psi*

nominal-datatype '*a* *action* = *In* '*a*::*fs-name* '*a* ($\langle - \rangle$) [90, 90] 90
| *Out* '*a*::*fs-name* *name list* '*a* ($\langle - \rangle$) [90, 90, 90] 90
| *Tau* ($\langle \tau \rangle$) 90

nominal-primrec $bn :: ('a::fs-name) \text{ action} \Rightarrow \text{ name list}$

where
 $bn (M(N)) = []$
 $| bn (M(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle) = xvec$
 $| bn (\tau) = []$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $bnEvt[eqvt]$:

fixes $p :: \text{ name prm}$
and $\alpha :: ('a::fs-name) \text{ action}$

shows $(p \cdot bn \alpha) = bn(p \cdot \alpha)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

nominal-primrec $create-residual :: ('a::fs-name) \text{ action} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name)$
 $psi \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ residual } (\prec \prec \rightarrow [80, 80] 80)$

where
 $(M(N)) \prec P = RIn M N P$
 $| M(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P = ROut M ((\nu*xvec)\langle N \prec' P \rangle)$
 $| \tau \prec P = (RTau P)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

nominal-primrec $subject :: ('a::fs-name) \text{ action} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ option}$

where
 $subject (M(N)) = \text{Some } M$
 $| subject (M(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle) = \text{Some } M$
 $| subject (\tau) = \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

nominal-primrec $object :: ('a::fs-name) \text{ action} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ option}$

where
 $object (M(N)) = \text{Some } N$
 $| object (M(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle) = \text{Some } N$
 $| object (\tau) = \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $optionFreshChain[simp]$:

fixes $xvec :: \text{ name list}$
and $X :: \text{ name set}$

shows $xvec \#* (\text{Some } x) = xvec \#* x$
and $X \#* (\text{Some } x) = X \#* x$
and $xvec \#* \text{None}$
and $X \#* \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas $[simp] = \text{fresh-some fresh-none}$

lemma $actionFresh[simp]$:

```

fixes  $x :: name$ 
and  $\alpha :: ('a::fs-name) action$ 

shows  $(x \# \alpha) = (x \# (subject \alpha) \wedge x \# (bn \alpha) \wedge x \# (object \alpha))$ 
<proof>

lemma actionFreshChain[simp]:
fixes  $X :: name set$ 
and  $\alpha :: ('a::fs-name) action$ 
and  $xvec :: name list$ 

shows  $(X \#* \alpha) = (X \#* (subject \alpha) \wedge X \#* (bn \alpha) \wedge X \#* (object \alpha))$ 
and  $(xvec \#* \alpha) = (xvec \#* (subject \alpha) \wedge xvec \#* (bn \alpha) \wedge xvec \#* (object \alpha))$ 
<proof>

lemma subjectEqvt[eqvt]:
fixes  $p :: name prm$ 
and  $\alpha :: ('a::fs-name) action$ 

shows  $(p \cdot subject \alpha) = subject(p \cdot \alpha)$ 
<proof>

lemma objectEqvt[eqvt]:
fixes  $p :: name prm$ 
and  $\alpha :: ('a::fs-name) action$ 

shows  $(p \cdot object \alpha) = object(p \cdot \alpha)$ 
<proof>

lemma create-residualEqvt[eqvt]:
fixes  $p :: name prm$ 
and  $\alpha :: ('a::fs-name) action$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi$ 

shows  $(p \cdot (\alpha \prec P)) = (p \cdot \alpha) \prec (p \cdot P)$ 
<proof>

lemma residualFresh:
fixes  $x :: name$ 
and  $\alpha :: ('a::fs-name) action$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi$ 

shows  $(x \# (\alpha \prec P)) = (x \# (subject \alpha) \wedge (x \in (set(bn(\alpha))) \vee (x \# object(\alpha) \wedge x \# P)))$ 
<proof>

lemma residualFresh2[simp]:
fixes  $x :: name$ 
and  $\alpha :: ('a::fs-name) action$ 

```

and $P :: ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi$
assumes $x \# \alpha$
and $x \# P$
shows $x \# \alpha \prec P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *residualFreshChain2*[simp]:
fixes $xvec :: name list$
and $X :: name set$
and $\alpha :: ('a::fs-name) action$
and $P :: ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi$
shows $\llbracket xvec \#* \alpha; xvec \#* P \rrbracket \implies xvec \#* (\alpha \prec P)$
and $\llbracket X \#* \alpha; X \#* P \rrbracket \implies X \#* (\alpha \prec P)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *residualFreshSimp*[simp]:
fixes $x :: name$
and $M :: 'a::fs-name$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P :: ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi$
shows $x \# (M \langle N \rangle \prec P) = (x \# M \wedge x \# N \wedge x \# P)$
and $x \# (M \langle \nu*xvec \rangle \langle N \rangle \prec P) = (x \# M \wedge x \# (\langle \nu*xvec \rangle \langle N \rangle \prec' P))$
and $x \# (\tau \prec P) = (x \# P)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *residualInject'*:
shows $(\alpha \prec P = RIn M N Q) = (P = Q \wedge \alpha = M \langle N \rangle)$
and $(\alpha \prec P = ROut M B) = (\exists xvec N. \alpha = M \langle \nu*xvec \rangle \langle N \rangle \wedge B = \langle \nu*xvec \rangle \langle N \rangle \prec' P)$
and $(\alpha \prec P = RTau Q) = (\alpha = \tau \wedge P = Q)$
and $(RIn M N Q = \alpha \prec P) = (P = Q \wedge \alpha = M \langle N \rangle)$
and $(ROut M B = \alpha \prec P) = (\exists xvec N. \alpha = M \langle \nu*xvec \rangle \langle N \rangle \wedge B = \langle \nu*xvec \rangle \langle N \rangle \prec' P)$
and $(RTau Q = \alpha \prec P) = (\alpha = \tau \wedge P = Q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *residualFreshChainSimp*[simp]:
fixes $xvec :: name list$
and $X :: name set$
and $M :: 'a::fs-name$
and $N :: 'a$
and $yvec :: name list$
and $P :: ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi$

shows $xvec \#* (M(N) \prec P) = (xvec \#* M \wedge xvec \#* N \wedge xvec \#* P)$
and $xvec \#* (M(\nu*yvec)(N) \prec P) = (xvec \#* M \wedge xvec \#* ((\nu*yvec)(N \prec' P)))$
and $xvec \#* (\tau \prec P) = (xvec \#* P)$
and $X \#* (M(N) \prec P) = (X \#* M \wedge X \#* N \wedge X \#* P)$
and $X \#* (M(\nu*yvec)(N) \prec P) = (X \#* M \wedge X \#* ((\nu*yvec)(N \prec' P)))$
and $X \#* (\tau \prec P) = (X \#* P)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *residualFreshChainSimp2*[simp]:

fixes $xvec :: name\ list$
and $X :: name\ set$
and $M :: 'a::fs-name$
and $N :: 'a$
and $yvec :: name\ list$
and $P :: ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi$

shows $xvec \#* (RIn\ M\ N\ P) = (xvec \#* M \wedge xvec \#* N \wedge xvec \#* P)$
and $xvec \#* (ROut\ M\ B) = (xvec \#* M \wedge xvec \#* B)$
and $xvec \#* (RTau\ P) = (xvec \#* P)$
and $X \#* (RIn\ M\ N\ P) = (X \#* M \wedge X \#* N \wedge X \#* P)$
and $X \#* (ROut\ M\ B) = (X \#* M \wedge X \#* B)$
and $X \#* (RTau\ P) = (X \#* P)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *freshResidual3*[dest]:

fixes $x :: name$
and $\alpha :: ('a::fs-name) action$
and $P :: ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi$

assumes $x \# bn\ \alpha$
and $x \# \alpha \prec P$

shows $x \# \alpha$ **and** $x \# P$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *freshResidualChain3*[dest]:

fixes $xvec :: name\ list$
and $\alpha :: ('a::fs-name) action$
and $P :: ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi$

assumes $xvec \#* (\alpha \prec P)$
and $xvec \#* bn\ \alpha$

shows $xvec \#* \alpha$ **and** $xvec \#* P$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *freshResidual4*[dest]:

```

fixes  $x :: name$ 
and  $\alpha :: ('a::fs-name) action$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi$ 

assumes  $x \# \alpha \prec P$ 

shows  $x \# subject \alpha$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma freshResidualChain4[dest]:
fixes  $xvec :: name list$ 
and  $\alpha :: ('a::fs-name) action$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi$ 

assumes  $xvec \#* (\alpha \prec P)$ 

shows  $xvec \#* subject \alpha$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma alphaOutputResidual:
fixes  $M :: 'a::fs-name$ 
and  $xvec :: name list$ 
and  $N :: 'a$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi$ 
and  $p :: name prm$ 

assumes  $(p \cdot xvec) \#* N$ 
and  $(p \cdot xvec) \#* P$ 
and  $set p \subseteq set xvec \times set(p \cdot xvec)$ 
and  $set xvec \subseteq set yvec$ 

shows  $M(\nu*yvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P = M(\nu*(p \cdot yvec))\langle (p \cdot N) \rangle \prec (p \cdot P)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemmas[simp del] = create-residual.simps

lemma residualInject'':

assumes  $bn \alpha = bn \beta$ 

shows  $(\alpha \prec P = \beta \prec Q) = (\alpha = \beta \wedge P = Q)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemmas residualInject = residual.inject create-residual.simps residualInject' residualInject''

lemma bnFreshResidual[simp]:
fixes  $\alpha :: ('a::fs-name) action$ 

```

shows $(bn\ \alpha)\ \#\ast\ (\alpha \prec P) = bn\ \alpha\ \#\ast\ (subject\ \alpha)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *actionCases*[*case-names cInput cOutput cTau*]:
fixes $\alpha :: ('a::fs\ name)\ action$

assumes $\bigwedge M\ N. \alpha = M(N) \implies Prop$
and $\bigwedge M\ xvec\ N. \alpha = M(\nu\ast xvec)(N) \implies Prop$
and $\alpha = \tau \implies Prop$

shows *Prop*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *actionPar1Dest*:

fixes $\alpha :: ('a::fs\ name)\ action$
and $P :: ('a, 'b::fs\ name, 'c::fs\ name)\ psi$
and $\beta :: ('a::fs\ name)\ action$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$

assumes $\alpha \prec P = \beta \prec (Q \parallel R)$
and $bn\ \alpha\ \#\ast\ bn\ \beta$

obtains $T\ p$ **where** $set\ p \subseteq set(bn\ \alpha) \times set(bn\ \beta)$ **and** $P = T \parallel (p \cdot R)$ **and**
 $\alpha \prec T = \beta \prec Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *actionPar2Dest*:

fixes $\alpha :: ('a::fs\ name)\ action$
and $P :: ('a, 'b::fs\ name, 'c::fs\ name)\ psi$
and $\beta :: ('a::fs\ name)\ action$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$

assumes $\alpha \prec P = \beta \prec (Q \parallel R)$
and $bn\ \alpha\ \#\ast\ bn\ \beta$

obtains $T\ p$ **where** $set\ p \subseteq set(bn\ \alpha) \times set(bn\ \beta)$ **and** $P = (p \cdot Q) \parallel T$ **and**
 $\alpha \prec T = \beta \prec R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *actionScopeDest*:

fixes $\alpha :: ('a::fs\ name)\ action$
and $P :: ('a, 'b::fs\ name, 'c::fs\ name)\ psi$
fixes $\beta :: ('a::fs\ name)\ action$
and $x :: name$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$

assumes $\alpha \prec P = \beta \prec (\nu x)Q$

and $x \# bn \alpha$
and $x \# bn \beta$

obtains R **where** $P = (\nu x)R$ **and** $\alpha \prec R = \beta \prec Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation
 $outputJudge \langle \langle - \rangle \rangle [110, 110] 110$ **where** $M \langle N \rangle \equiv M(\nu^*(\square)) \langle N \rangle$

declare $[[unify-trace-bound=100]]$

locale $env = substPsi \ substTerm \ substAssert \ substCond +$
 $assertion \ SCompose' \ SImp' \ SBottom' \ SChanEq'$
for $substTerm :: ('a::fs-name) \Rightarrow name \ list \Rightarrow 'a::fs-name \ list \Rightarrow 'a$
and $substAssert :: ('b::fs-name) \Rightarrow name \ list \Rightarrow 'a::fs-name \ list \Rightarrow 'b$
and $substCond :: ('c::fs-name) \Rightarrow name \ list \Rightarrow 'a::fs-name \ list \Rightarrow 'c$
and $SCompose' :: 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b$
and $SImp' :: 'b \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow bool$
and $SBottom' :: 'b$
and $SChanEq' :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'c$

begin
notation $SCompose'$ (**infixr** $\langle \otimes \rangle$ 90)
notation $SImp'$ ($\langle - \vdash - \rangle$ [85, 85] 85)
notation $FrameImp$ ($\langle - \vdash_F - \rangle$ [85, 85] 85)

abbreviation
 $FBottomJudge \langle \langle \perp_F \rangle \rangle 90$ **where** $\perp_F \equiv (FAssert \ SBottom')$
notation $SChanEq'$ ($\langle - \leftrightarrow - \rangle$ [90, 90] 90)
notation $substTerm$ ($\langle -[-::=] \rangle$ [100, 100, 100] 100)
notation $subs$ ($\langle -[-::=] \rangle$ [100, 100, 100] 100)
notation $AssertionStatEq$ ($\langle - \simeq - \rangle$ [80, 80] 80)
notation $FrameStatEq$ ($\langle - \simeq_F - \rangle$ [80, 80] 80)
notation $SBottom'$ ($\langle 1 \rangle$ 190)

abbreviation $insertAssertion'$ ($\langle insertAssertion \rangle$) **where** $insertAssertion' \equiv as-$
 $sertionAux.insertAssertion \ (\otimes)$

inductive semantics $:: 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \ psi \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \ residual \Rightarrow bool$
 $\langle \langle - \triangleright - \mapsto - \rangle \rangle [50, 50, 50] 50$

where
 $cInput: \llbracket \Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow K; \text{distinct } xvec; \text{set } xvec \subseteq \text{supp } N; xvec \#* Tvec; \text{length } xvec = \text{length } Tvec; xvec \#* \Psi; xvec \#* M; xvec \#* K \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Psi \triangleright M(\lambda * xvec \ N).P \mapsto$
 $K(\llbracket (N[xvec::=Tvec]) \rrbracket) \prec P[xvec::=Tvec]$
 $| \text{Output: } \llbracket \Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow K \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Psi \triangleright M \langle N \rangle .P \mapsto K \langle N \rangle \prec P$
 $| \text{Case: } \llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto Rs; (\varphi, P) \text{ mem } Cs; \Psi \vdash \varphi; \text{guarded } P \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Psi \triangleright \text{Cases } Cs \mapsto Rs$

$| \text{cPar1: } \llbracket (\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q) \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'; \text{extractFrame } Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle; \text{distinct } A_Q; A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* \alpha; A_Q \#* P'; \text{distinct}(bn \ \alpha); bn \ \alpha \#* \Psi; bn \ \alpha \#* \Psi_Q; bn \ \alpha \#* Q; bn \ \alpha \#* P; bn \ \alpha \#* (\text{subject } \alpha) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$\Psi \triangleright P \parallel Q \mapsto \alpha \prec (P' \parallel Q)$
| *cPar2*: $\llbracket (\Psi \otimes \Psi_P) \triangleright Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q'; \text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; \text{distinct } A_P;$
 $A_P \#^* P; A_P \#^* Q; A_P \#^* \Psi; A_P \#^* \alpha; A_P \#^* Q'; \text{distinct}(bn \alpha);$
 $bn \alpha \#^* \Psi; bn \alpha \#^* \Psi_P; bn \alpha \#^* P; bn \alpha \#^* Q; bn \alpha \#^* (\text{subject } \alpha) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel Q \mapsto \alpha \prec (P \parallel Q')$
| *cComm1*: $\llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M(N) \prec P'; \text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; \text{distinct}$
 $A_P;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto K(\nu^*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec Q'; \text{extractFrame } Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle;$
distinct $A_Q;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q \vdash M \leftrightarrow K;$
 $A_P \#^* \Psi; A_P \#^* \Psi_Q; A_P \#^* P; A_P \#^* M; A_P \#^* N; A_P \#^* P';$
 $A_P \#^* Q; A_P \#^* Q'; A_P \#^* A_Q; A_P \#^* xvec;$
 $A_Q \#^* \Psi; A_Q \#^* \Psi_P; A_Q \#^* P; A_Q \#^* N; A_Q \#^* P';$
 $A_Q \#^* Q; A_Q \#^* K; A_Q \#^* Q'; A_Q \#^* xvec; \text{distinct } xvec;$
 $xvec \#^* \Psi; xvec \#^* \Psi_P; xvec \#^* \Psi_Q; xvec \#^* P; xvec \#^* M;$
 $xvec \#^* Q; xvec \#^* K \rrbracket \implies$
 $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel Q \mapsto \tau \prec (\nu^*xvec)(P' \parallel Q')$
| *cComm2*: $\llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu^*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P'; \text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P,$
 $\Psi_P \rangle; \text{distinct } A_P;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto K(N) \prec Q'; \text{extractFrame } Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle; \text{distinct}$
 $A_Q;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q \vdash M \leftrightarrow K;$
 $A_P \#^* \Psi; A_P \#^* \Psi_Q; A_P \#^* P; A_P \#^* M; A_P \#^* N; A_P \#^* P';$
 $A_P \#^* Q; A_P \#^* Q'; A_P \#^* A_Q; A_P \#^* xvec;$
 $A_Q \#^* \Psi; A_Q \#^* \Psi_P; A_Q \#^* P; A_Q \#^* N; A_Q \#^* P';$
 $A_Q \#^* Q; A_Q \#^* K; A_Q \#^* Q'; A_Q \#^* xvec; \text{distinct } xvec;$
 $xvec \#^* \Psi; xvec \#^* \Psi_P; xvec \#^* \Psi_Q; xvec \#^* P; xvec \#^* M;$
 $xvec \#^* Q; xvec \#^* K \rrbracket \implies$
 $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel Q \mapsto \tau \prec (\nu^*xvec)(P' \parallel Q')$
| *cOpen*: $\llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu^*(xvec@yvec))\langle N \rangle \prec P'; x \in \text{supp } N; x \#^* xvec; x \#^*$
 $yvec; x \#^* M; x \#^* \Psi;$
 $\text{distinct } xvec; \text{distinct } yvec;$
 $xvec \#^* \Psi; xvec \#^* P; xvec \#^* M; xvec \#^* yvec; yvec \#^* \Psi; yvec \#^* P;$
 $yvec \#^* M \rrbracket \implies$
 $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)P \mapsto M(\nu^*(xvec@x\#yvec))\langle N \rangle \prec P'$
| *cScope*: $\llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'; x \#^* \Psi; x \#^* \alpha; bn \alpha \#^* \Psi; bn \alpha \#^* P; bn \alpha \#^* (\text{subject}$
 $\alpha); \text{distinct}(bn \alpha) \rrbracket \implies \Psi \triangleright (\nu x)P \mapsto \alpha \prec ((\nu x)P')$
| *Bang*: $\llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \parallel !P \mapsto Rs; \text{guarded } P \rrbracket \implies \Psi \triangleright !P \mapsto Rs$

abbreviation

semanticsBottomJudge ($\langle \cdot \mapsto \cdot \rangle [50, 50] 50$) **where** $P \mapsto Rs \equiv \mathbf{1} \triangleright P \mapsto Rs$

equivariance *env.semantics*

nominal-inductive2 *env.semantics*

avoids *cInput*: set *xvec*

| *cPar1*: set $A_Q \cup \text{set}(bn \alpha)$

| *cPar2*: set $A_P \cup \text{set}(bn \alpha)$

```

| cComm1: set AP ∪ set AQ ∪ set xvec
| cComm2: set AP ∪ set AQ ∪ set xvec
| cOpen: {x} ∪ set xvec ∪ set yvec
| cScope: {x} ∪ set(bn α)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *nilTrans*[*dest*]:

```

fixes Ψ :: 'b
and Rs :: ('a, 'b, 'c) residual
and M :: 'a
and xvec :: name list
and N :: 'a
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and K :: 'a
and yvec :: name list
and N' :: 'a
and P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and CsP :: ('c × ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) list
and Ψ' :: 'b

```

```

shows Ψ ▷ 0 ⟶ Rs ⟹ False
and Ψ ▷ M⟨λ*xvec N⟩.P ⟶K⟨ν*yvec⟩⟨N'⟩ < P' ⟹ False
and Ψ ▷ M⟨λ*xvec N⟩.P ⟶τ < P' ⟹ False
and Ψ ▷ M⟨N⟩.P ⟶K⟨N'⟩ < P' ⟹ False
and Ψ ▷ M⟨N⟩.P ⟶τ < P' ⟹ False
and Ψ ▷ {Ψ'} ⟶ Rs ⟹ False

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma *residualEq*:

```

fixes α :: 'a action
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and β :: 'a action
and Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi

```

```

assumes α < P = β < Q
and bn α #* (bn β)
and distinct(bn α)
and distinct(bn β)
and bn α #* (α < P)
and bn β #* (β < Q)

```

obtains *p* **where** $set\ p \subseteq set(bn\ \alpha) \times set(bn(p \cdot \alpha))$ **and** *distinctPerm* *p* **and** $\beta = p \cdot \alpha$ **and** $Q = p \cdot P$ **and** $bn\ \alpha\ \#*\ \beta$ **and** $bn\ \alpha\ \#*\ Q$ **and** $bn(p \cdot \alpha)\ \#*\ \alpha$ **and** $bn(p \cdot \alpha)\ \#*\ P$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *semanticsInduct*[*consumes* 3, *case-names* *cAlpha* *cInput* *cOutput* *cCase* *cPar1* *cPar2* *cComm1* *cComm2* *cOpen* *cScope* *cBang*]:

```

fixes Ψ :: 'b

```

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\alpha :: 'a \text{ action}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\text{Prop} :: 'd::\text{fs-name} \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \Rightarrow$
 $'a \text{ action} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
and $C :: 'd::\text{fs-name}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'$
and $\text{bn } \alpha \#* (\text{subject } \alpha)$
and $\text{distinct}(\text{bn } \alpha)$
and $r\text{Alpha}: \bigwedge \Psi P \alpha P' p C. \llbracket \text{bn } \alpha \#* \Psi; \text{bn } \alpha \#* P; \text{bn } \alpha \#* (\text{subject } \alpha);$
 $\text{bn } \alpha \#* C; \text{bn } \alpha \#* (\text{bn}(p \cdot \alpha));$
 $\text{set } p \subseteq \text{set}(\text{bn } \alpha) \times \text{set}(\text{bn}(p \cdot \alpha)); \text{distinctPerm } p;$
 $(\text{bn}(p \cdot \alpha)) \#* \alpha; (\text{bn}(p \cdot \alpha)) \#* P'; \text{Prop } C \Psi P \alpha$

$P \rrbracket \Rightarrow$

$\text{Prop } C \Psi P (p \cdot \alpha) (p \cdot P')$

and $r\text{Input}: \bigwedge \Psi M K \text{ xvec } N \text{ Tvec } P C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow K; \text{distinct } \text{xvec}; \text{set } \text{xvec} \subseteq \text{supp } N;$
 $\text{length } \text{xvec} = \text{length } \text{Tvec}; \text{xvec} \#* \Psi;$
 $\text{xvec} \#* M; \text{xvec} \#* K; \text{xvec} \#* C \rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $\text{Prop } C \Psi (M(\lambda * \text{xvec } N).P)$
 $(K(\llbracket (N[\text{xvec}::=\text{Tvec}]) \rrbracket)) (P[\text{xvec}::=\text{Tvec}])$

and $r\text{Output}: \bigwedge \Psi M K N P C. \llbracket \Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow K \rrbracket \Rightarrow \text{Prop } C \Psi (M\langle N \rangle.P)$

$(K\langle N \rangle) P$

and $r\text{Case}: \bigwedge \Psi P \alpha P' \varphi Cs C. \llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'; \bigwedge C. \text{Prop } C \Psi P \alpha P';$
 $(\varphi, P) \text{ mem } Cs; \Psi \vdash \varphi; \text{guarded } P \rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $\text{Prop } C \Psi (\text{Cases } Cs) \alpha P'$

and $r\text{Par1}: \bigwedge \Psi \Psi_Q P \alpha P' A_Q Q C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'; \text{extractFrame } Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle; \text{distinct}$
 $A_Q;$
 $\bigwedge C. \text{Prop } C (\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q) P \alpha P';$
 $A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* \alpha; A_Q \#* P'; A_Q \#* C;$
 $\text{distinct}(\text{bn } \alpha); \text{bn } \alpha \#* Q;$
 $\text{bn } \alpha \#* \Psi; \text{bn } \alpha \#* \Psi_Q; \text{bn } \alpha \#* P; \text{bn } \alpha \#* \text{subject } \alpha; \text{bn } \alpha \#* C \rrbracket$

\Rightarrow

$\text{Prop } C \Psi (P \parallel Q) \alpha (P' \parallel Q)$

and $r\text{Par2}: \bigwedge \Psi \Psi_P Q \alpha Q' A_P P C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q'; \text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; \text{distinct}$
 $A_P;$
 $\bigwedge C. \text{Prop } C (\Psi \otimes \Psi_P) Q \alpha Q';$
 $A_P \#* P; A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* \alpha; A_P \#* Q'; A_P \#* C;$
 $\text{distinct}(\text{bn } \alpha); \text{bn } \alpha \#* Q;$
 $\text{bn } \alpha \#* \Psi; \text{bn } \alpha \#* \Psi_P; \text{bn } \alpha \#* P; \text{bn } \alpha \#* \text{subject } \alpha; \text{bn } \alpha \#* C \rrbracket$

\Rightarrow

$\text{Prop } C \Psi (P \parallel Q) \alpha (P \parallel Q')$

and $r\text{Comm1}: \bigwedge \Psi \Psi_Q P M N P' A_P \Psi_P Q K \text{ xvec } Q' A_Q C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M\langle N \rangle \prec P'; \bigwedge C. \text{Prop } C (\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q) P (M\langle N \rangle)$
 $P';$
 $\text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; \text{distinct } A_P;$

$\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto K(\nu * xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec Q'; \wedge C. Prop C (\Psi \otimes \Psi_P) Q$
 $(K(\nu * xvec)\langle N \rangle) Q';$
 $extractFrame Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle; distinct A_Q;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q \vdash M \leftrightarrow K;$
 $A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* \Psi_Q; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* M; A_P \#* N; A_P \#* P';$
 $A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* Q'; A_P \#* A_Q; A_P \#* xvec; A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* \Psi_P;$
 $A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* N; A_Q \#* P'; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* K; A_Q \#* Q';$
distinct xvec;
 $A_Q \#* xvec; xvec \#* \Psi; xvec \#* \Psi_P; xvec \#* \Psi_Q; xvec \#* P; xvec \#*$
 $M;$
 $xvec \#* Q; xvec \#* K; A_P \#* C; A_Q \#* C; xvec \#* C \implies$
 $Prop C \Psi (P \parallel Q) (\tau) ((\nu * xvec)\langle P' \parallel Q' \rangle)$
and $rComm2: \wedge \Psi \Psi_Q P M xvec N P' A_P \Psi_P Q K Q' A_Q C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu * xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P'; \wedge C. Prop C (\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q) P$
 $(M(\nu * xvec)\langle N \rangle) P';$
 $extractFrame P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; distinct A_P;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto K\langle N \rangle \prec Q'; \wedge C. Prop C (\Psi \otimes \Psi_P) Q (K\langle N \rangle)$
 $Q';$
 $extractFrame Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle; distinct A_Q;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q \vdash M \leftrightarrow K;$
 $A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* \Psi_Q; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* M; A_P \#* N; A_P \#* P';$
 $A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* Q'; A_P \#* A_Q; A_P \#* xvec; A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* \Psi_P;$
 $A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* N; A_Q \#* P'; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* K; A_Q \#* Q';$
distinct xvec;
 $A_Q \#* xvec; xvec \#* \Psi; xvec \#* \Psi_P; xvec \#* \Psi_Q; xvec \#* P; xvec \#*$
 $M;$
 $xvec \#* Q; xvec \#* K; A_P \#* C; A_Q \#* C; xvec \#* C \implies$
 $Prop C \Psi (P \parallel Q) (\tau) ((\nu * xvec)\langle P' \parallel Q' \rangle)$
and $rOpen: \wedge \Psi P M xvec yvec N P' x C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu * (xvec @ yvec))\langle N \rangle \prec P'; x \in supp N; \wedge C. Prop C$
 $\Psi P (M(\nu * (xvec @ yvec))\langle N \rangle) P';$
 $x \#* \Psi; x \#* M; x \#* xvec; x \#* yvec; xvec \#* \Psi; xvec \#* P; xvec \#* M;$
distinct xvec; distinct yvec;
 $yvec \#* \Psi; yvec \#* P; yvec \#* M; yvec \#* C; x \#* C; xvec \#* C \implies$
 $Prop C \Psi ((\nu x)P) (M(\nu * (xvec @ x \# yvec))\langle N \rangle) P'$
and $rScope: \wedge \Psi P \alpha P' x C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'; \wedge C. Prop C \Psi P \alpha P';$
 $x \#* \Psi; x \#* \alpha; bn \alpha \#* \Psi;$
 $bn \alpha \#* P; bn \alpha \#* (subject \alpha); x \#* C; bn \alpha \#* C; distinct(bn \alpha) \rrbracket$
 \implies
 $Prop C \Psi ((\nu x)P) \alpha ((\nu x)P')$
and $rBang: \wedge \Psi P \alpha P' C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \parallel !P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'; guarded P; \wedge C. Prop C \Psi (P \parallel !P) \alpha$
 $P \rrbracket \implies$
 $Prop C \Psi (!P) \alpha P'$
shows $Prop C \Psi P \alpha P'$
<proof>

lemma *outputInduct*[*consumes 1, case-names cOutput cCase cPar1 cPar2 cOpen cScope cBang*]:

fixes Ψ :: 'b
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and M :: 'a
and B :: ('a, 'b, 'c) boundOutput
and $Prop$:: 'd::fs-name \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \Rightarrow
' a \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) boundOutput \Rightarrow bool
and C :: 'd::fs-name

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto ROut M B$
and $rOutput$: $\bigwedge \Psi M K N P C. [\Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow K] \Longrightarrow Prop C \Psi (M \langle N \rangle . P) K$
($N \prec' P$)
and $rCase$: $\bigwedge \Psi P M B \varphi Cs C.$
 $[\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto (ROut M B); \bigwedge C. Prop C \Psi P M B; (\varphi, P) mem Cs;$
 $\Psi \vdash \varphi; guarded P] \Longrightarrow$
 $Prop C \Psi (Cases Cs) M B$
and $rPar1$: $\bigwedge \Psi \Psi_Q P M xvec N P' A_Q Q C.$
 $[\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu * xvec) \langle N \rangle \prec P'; extractFrame Q = \langle A_Q,$
 $\Psi_Q \rangle; distinct A_Q;$
 $\bigwedge C. Prop C (\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q) P M ((\nu * xvec) N \prec' P');$
 $A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* M;$
 $A_Q \#* xvec; A_Q \#* N; A_Q \#* P'; A_Q \#* C; xvec \#* Q;$
 $xvec \#* \Psi; xvec \#* \Psi_Q; xvec \#* P; xvec \#* M; xvec \#* C] \Longrightarrow$
 $Prop C \Psi (P \parallel Q) M ((\nu * xvec) N \prec' (P' \parallel Q))$
and $rPar2$: $\bigwedge \Psi \Psi_P Q M xvec N Q' A_P P C.$
 $[\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto M(\nu * xvec) \langle N \rangle \prec Q'; extractFrame P = \langle A_P,$
 $\Psi_P \rangle; distinct A_P;$
 $\bigwedge C. Prop C (\Psi \otimes \Psi_P) Q M ((\nu * xvec) N \prec' Q');$
 $A_P \#* P; A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* M;$
 $A_P \#* xvec; A_P \#* N; A_P \#* Q'; A_P \#* C; xvec \#* P;$
 $xvec \#* \Psi; xvec \#* \Psi_P; xvec \#* Q; xvec \#* M; xvec \#* C] \Longrightarrow$
 $Prop C \Psi (P \parallel Q) M ((\nu * xvec) N \prec' (P \parallel Q'))$
and $rOpen$: $\bigwedge \Psi P M xvec yvec N P' x C.$
 $[\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu * (xvec @ yvec)) \langle N \rangle \prec P'; x \in supp N; \bigwedge C. Prop C$
 $\Psi P M ((\nu * (xvec @ yvec)) N \prec' P');$
 $x \# \Psi; x \# M; x \# xvec; x \# yvec; xvec \# \Psi; xvec \# P; xvec \# M;$
 $xvec \# yvec; yvec \# \Psi; yvec \# P; yvec \# M; yvec \# C; x \# C;$
 $xvec \# C] \Longrightarrow$
 $Prop C \Psi ((\nu x) P) M ((\nu * (xvec @ x \# yvec)) N \prec' P')$
and $rScope$: $\bigwedge \Psi P M xvec N P' x C.$
 $[\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu * xvec) \langle N \rangle \prec P'; \bigwedge C. Prop C \Psi P M ((\nu * xvec) N$
 $\prec' P');$
 $x \# \Psi; x \# M; x \# xvec; x \# N; xvec \# \Psi; xvec \# P; xvec \# M;$
 $x \# C; xvec \# C] \Longrightarrow$
 $Prop C \Psi ((\nu x) P) M ((\nu * xvec) N \prec' (\nu x) P')$
and $rBang$: $\bigwedge \Psi P M B C.$
 $[\Psi \triangleright P \parallel !P \mapsto (ROut M B); guarded P; \bigwedge C. Prop C \Psi (P \parallel$
 $!P) M B] \Longrightarrow$

$Prop\ C\ \Psi\ (!P)\ M\ B$

shows $Prop\ C\ \Psi\ P\ M\ B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *boundOutputBindObject*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $M :: 'a$
and $yvec :: name\ list$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $y :: name$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'$
and $bn\ \alpha \#*\ subject\ \alpha$
and $distinct(bn\ \alpha)$
and $y \in set(bn\ \alpha)$

shows $y \in supp(object\ \alpha)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *alphaBoundOutputChain'*:

fixes $yvec :: name\ list$
and $xvec :: name\ list$
and $B :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ boundOutput$

assumes $length\ xvec = length\ yvec$
and $yvec \#*\ B$
and $yvec \#*\ xvec$
and $distinct\ yvec$

shows $(\nu*xvec)B = (\nu*yvec)([xvec\ yvec] \cdot_v B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *alphaBoundOutputChain''*:

fixes $yvec :: name\ list$
and $xvec :: name\ list$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$

assumes $length\ xvec = length\ yvec$
and $yvec \#*\ N$
and $yvec \#*\ P$
and $yvec \#*\ xvec$
and $distinct\ yvec$

shows $(\nu*xvec)(N \prec' P) = (\nu*yvec)(([xvec\ yvec] \cdot_v N) \prec' ([xvec\ yvec] \cdot_v P))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *alphaDistinct*:
fixes *xvec* :: *name list*
and *N* :: '*a*
and *P* :: ('*a*, '*b*, '*c*) *psi*
and *yvec* :: *name list*
and *M* :: '*a*
and *Q* :: ('*a*, '*b*, '*c*) *psi*

assumes $\alpha \prec P = \beta \prec Q$
and *distinct*(*bn* α)
and $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set}(\text{bn } \alpha) \implies x \in \text{supp}(\text{object } \alpha)$
and *bn* $\alpha \#* \text{bn } \beta$
and *bn* $\alpha \#* (\text{object } \beta)$
and *bn* $\alpha \#* Q$

shows *distinct*(*bn* β)
<*proof*>

lemma *boundOutputDistinct*:
fixes Ψ :: '*b*
and *P* :: ('*a*, '*b*, '*c*) *psi*
and α :: '*a* *action*
and *P'* :: ('*a*, '*b*, '*c*) *psi*

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'$

shows *distinct*(*bn* α)
<*proof*>

lemma *inputDistinct*:
fixes Ψ :: '*b*
and *M* :: '*a*
and *xvec* :: *name list*
and *N* :: '*a*
and *P* :: ('*a*, '*b*, '*c*) *psi*
and *Rs* :: ('*a*, '*b*, '*c*) *residual*

assumes $\Psi \triangleright M(\lambda * xvec N).P \mapsto Rs$

shows *distinct* *xvec*
<*proof*>

lemma *outputInduct'*[*consumes 2*, *case-names cAlpha cOutput cCase cPar1 cPar2 cOpen cScope cBang*]:
fixes Ψ :: '*b*
and *P* :: ('*a*, '*b*, '*c*) *psi*
and *M* :: '*a*
and *yvec* :: *name list*
and *N* :: '*a*

and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\text{Prop} :: 'd::\text{fs-name} \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \Rightarrow$
 $'a \Rightarrow \text{name list} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
and $C :: 'd::\text{fs-name}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu^* \text{vec}) \langle N \rangle \prec P'$
and $\text{vec} \#* M$
and $r\text{Alpha}: \bigwedge \Psi P M \text{vec} N P' p C. \llbracket \text{vec} \#* \Psi; \text{vec} \#* P; \text{vec} \#* M; \text{vec} \#* C; \text{vec} \#* (p \cdot \text{vec}) \rrbracket$
 $\text{set } p \subseteq \text{set } \text{vec} \times \text{set}(p \cdot \text{vec}); \text{distinctPerm } p;$
 $(p \cdot \text{vec}) \#* N; (p \cdot \text{vec}) \#* P'; \text{Prop } C \Psi P M$
 $\text{vec } N P' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$\text{Prop } C \Psi P M (p \cdot \text{vec}) (p \cdot N) (p \cdot P')$
and $r\text{Output}: \bigwedge \Psi M K N P C. \llbracket \Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow K \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{Prop } C \Psi (M \langle N \rangle . P) K$
 $(\parallel) N P$
and $r\text{Case}: \bigwedge \Psi P M \text{vec} N P' \varphi Cs C. \llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu^* \text{vec}) \langle N \rangle \prec P';$
 $\bigwedge C. \text{Prop } C \Psi P M \text{vec} N P'; (\varphi, P) \text{ mem } Cs; \Psi \vdash \varphi; \text{guarded } P \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{Prop } C \Psi (Cases Cs) M \text{vec} N P'$
and $r\text{Par1}: \bigwedge \Psi \Psi_Q P M \text{vec} N P' A_Q Q C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu^* \text{vec}) \langle N \rangle \prec P'; \text{extractFrame } Q = \langle A_Q,$
 $\Psi_Q \rangle; \text{distinct } A_Q;$
 $\bigwedge C. \text{Prop } C (\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q) P M \text{vec} N P';$
 $A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* M;$
 $A_Q \#* \text{vec}; A_Q \#* N; A_Q \#* P'; A_Q \#* C; \text{vec} \#* Q;$
 $\text{vec} \#* \Psi; \text{vec} \#* \Psi_Q; \text{vec} \#* P; \text{vec} \#* M; \text{vec} \#* C \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{Prop } C \Psi (P \parallel Q) M \text{vec} N (P' \parallel Q)$
and $r\text{Par2}: \bigwedge \Psi \Psi_P Q M \text{vec} N Q' A_P P C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto M(\nu^* \text{vec}) \langle N \rangle \prec Q'; \text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P,$
 $\Psi_P \rangle; \text{distinct } A_P;$
 $\bigwedge C. \text{Prop } C (\Psi \otimes \Psi_P) Q M \text{vec} N Q';$
 $A_P \#* P; A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* M;$
 $A_P \#* \text{vec}; A_P \#* N; A_P \#* Q'; A_P \#* C; \text{vec} \#* Q;$
 $\text{vec} \#* \Psi; \text{vec} \#* \Psi_P; \text{vec} \#* P; \text{vec} \#* M; \text{vec} \#* C \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{Prop } C \Psi (P \parallel Q) M \text{vec} N (P \parallel Q')$
and $r\text{Open}: \bigwedge \Psi P M \text{vec} yvec N P' x C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu^*(\text{vec}@yvec)) \langle N \rangle \prec P'; x \in \text{supp } N; \bigwedge C. \text{Prop } C$
 $\Psi P M (\text{vec}@yvec) N P';$
 $x \# \Psi; x \# M; x \# \text{vec}; x \# yvec; \text{vec} \#* \Psi; \text{vec} \#* P; \text{vec} \#* M;$
 $yvec \#* \Psi; yvec \#* P; yvec \#* M; yvec \#* C; x \# C; \text{vec} \#* C \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{Prop } C \Psi ((\nu x)P) M (\text{vec}@x\#yvec) N P'$
and $r\text{Scope}: \bigwedge \Psi P M \text{vec} N P' x C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu^* \text{vec}) \langle N \rangle \prec P'; \bigwedge C. \text{Prop } C \Psi P M \text{vec} N P';$
 $x \# \Psi; x \# M; x \# \text{vec}; x \# N; \text{vec} \#* \Psi;$
 $\text{vec} \#* P; \text{vec} \#* M; x \# C; \text{vec} \#* C \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{Prop } C \Psi ((\nu x)P) M \text{vec} N ((\nu x)P')$
and $r\text{Bang}: \bigwedge \Psi P M \text{vec} N P' C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \parallel !P \mapsto M(\nu^* \text{vec}) \langle N \rangle \prec P'; \text{guarded } P; \bigwedge C. \text{Prop } C$
 $\Psi (P \parallel !P) M \text{vec} N P' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{Prop } C \Psi (!P) M \text{vec} N P'$

shows $Prop\ C\ \Psi\ P\ M\ xvec\ N\ P'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $inputInduct[consumes\ 1, case-names\ cInput\ cCase\ cPar1\ cPar2\ cScope\ cBang]$:

fixes Ψ $:: 'b$
and P $:: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and M $:: 'a$
and N $:: 'a$
and P' $:: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $Prop$ $:: 'd::fs-name \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi \Rightarrow bool$
and C $:: 'd::fs-name$

assumes $Trans: \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\downarrow N) \prec P'$
and $rInput: \bigwedge \Psi\ M\ K\ xvec\ N\ Tvec\ P\ C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow K; distinct\ xvec; set\ xvec \subseteq supp\ N;$
 $length\ xvec = length\ Tvec; xvec \#* \Psi;$
 $xvec \#* M; xvec \#* K; xvec \#* C \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $Prop\ C\ \Psi\ (M(\lambda * xvec\ N).P)$
 $K\ (N[xvec ::= Tvec])\ (P[xvec ::= Tvec])$

and $rCase: \bigwedge \Psi\ P\ M\ N\ P'\ \varphi\ Cs\ C. \llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\downarrow N) \prec P'; \bigwedge C. Prop\ C\ \Psi$
 $P\ M\ N\ P'; (\varphi, P)\ mem\ Cs; \Psi \vdash \varphi; guarded\ P \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $Prop\ C\ \Psi\ (Cases\ Cs)\ M\ N\ P'$

and $rPar1: \bigwedge \Psi\ \Psi_Q\ P\ M\ N\ P'\ A_Q\ Q\ C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M(\downarrow N) \prec P'; extractFrame\ Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle;$
 $distinct\ A_Q;$
 $\bigwedge C. Prop\ C\ (\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q)\ P\ M\ N\ P'; distinct\ A_Q;$
 $A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* M; A_Q \#* N;$
 $A_Q \#* P'; A_Q \#* C \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $Prop\ C\ \Psi\ (P \parallel Q)\ M\ N\ (P' \parallel Q)$

and $rPar2: \bigwedge \Psi\ \Psi_P\ Q\ M\ N\ Q'\ A_P\ P\ C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto M(\downarrow N) \prec Q'; extractFrame\ P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle;$
 $distinct\ A_P;$
 $\bigwedge C. Prop\ C\ (\Psi \otimes \Psi_P)\ Q\ M\ N\ Q'; distinct\ A_P;$
 $A_P \#* P; A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* M; A_P \#* N;$
 $A_P \#* Q'; A_P \#* C \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $Prop\ C\ \Psi\ (P \parallel Q)\ M\ N\ (P \parallel Q')$

and $rScope: \bigwedge \Psi\ P\ M\ N\ P'\ x\ C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\downarrow N) \prec P'; \bigwedge C. Prop\ C\ \Psi\ P\ M\ N\ P'; x \# \Psi; x \#$
 $M; x \# N; x \# C \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $Prop\ C\ \Psi\ ((\nu x)P)\ M\ N\ ((\nu x)P')$

and $rBang: \bigwedge \Psi\ P\ M\ N\ P'\ C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \parallel !P \mapsto M(\downarrow N) \prec P'; guarded\ P; \bigwedge C. Prop\ C\ \Psi\ (P \parallel$
 $!P)\ M\ N\ P' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Prop\ C\ \Psi\ (!P)\ M\ N\ P'$

shows $Prop\ C\ \Psi\ P\ M\ N\ P'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $tauInduct[consumes\ 1, case-names\ cCase\ cPar1\ cPar2\ cComm1\ cComm2]$

cScope cBang]:

fixes Ψ :: 'b

and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) *psi*

and Rs :: ('a, 'b, 'c) *residual*

and $Prop$:: 'd::fs-name \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) *psi* \Rightarrow
('a, 'b, 'c) *psi* \Rightarrow *bool*

and C :: 'd::fs-name

assumes $Trans$: $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \tau \prec P'$

and $rCase$: $\bigwedge \Psi P P' \varphi Cs C. [\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \tau \prec P'; \bigwedge C. Prop C \Psi P P'; (\varphi, P) mem Cs; \Psi \vdash \varphi; guarded P] \Longrightarrow$
 $Prop C \Psi (Cases Cs) P'$

and $rPar1$: $\bigwedge \Psi \Psi_Q P P' A_Q Q C.$
 $[\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto \tau \prec P'; extractFrame Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle; distinct$
 $A_Q;$
 $\bigwedge C. Prop C (\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q) P P';$
 $A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* \Psi;$
 $A_Q \#* P'; A_Q \#* C] \Longrightarrow$
 $Prop C \Psi (P \parallel Q) (P' \parallel Q)$

and $rPar2$: $\bigwedge \Psi \Psi_P Q Q' A_P P C.$
 $[\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto \tau \prec Q'; extractFrame P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; distinct$
 $A_P;$
 $\bigwedge C. Prop C (\Psi \otimes \Psi_P) Q Q';$
 $A_P \#* P; A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* \Psi;$
 $A_P \#* Q'; A_P \#* C] \Longrightarrow$
 $Prop C \Psi (P \parallel Q) (P \parallel Q')$

and $rComm1$: $\bigwedge \Psi \Psi_Q P M N P' A_P \Psi_P Q K xvec Q' A_Q C.$
 $[\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M(N) \prec P'; extractFrame P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle;$
 $distinct A_P;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto K(\nu * xvec) \langle N \rangle \prec Q'; extractFrame Q = \langle A_Q,$
 $\Psi_Q \rangle; distinct A_Q;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q \vdash M \leftrightarrow K;$
 $A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* \Psi_Q; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* M; A_P \#* N; A_P \#* P';$
 $A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* Q'; A_P \#* A_Q; A_P \#* xvec; A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* \Psi_P;$
 $A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* N; A_Q \#* P'; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* K; A_Q \#* Q';$
 $A_Q \#* xvec; xvec \#* \Psi; xvec \#* \Psi_P; xvec \#* \Psi_Q; xvec \#* P; xvec \#*$
 $M;$
 $xvec \#* Q; xvec \#* K; A_P \#* C; A_Q \#* C; xvec \#* C] \Longrightarrow$
 $Prop C \Psi (P \parallel Q) ((\nu * xvec) \langle P' \parallel Q' \rangle)$

and $rComm2$: $\bigwedge \Psi \Psi_Q P M xvec N P' A_P \Psi_P Q K Q' A_Q C.$
 $[\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu * xvec) \langle N \rangle \prec P'; extractFrame P = \langle A_P,$
 $\Psi_P \rangle; distinct A_P;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto K(N) \prec Q'; extractFrame Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle;$
 $distinct A_Q;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q \vdash M \leftrightarrow K;$
 $A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* \Psi_Q; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* M; A_P \#* N; A_P \#* P';$
 $A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* Q'; A_P \#* A_Q; A_P \#* xvec; A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* \Psi_P;$
 $A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* N; A_Q \#* P'; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* K; A_Q \#* Q';$
 $A_Q \#* xvec; xvec \#* \Psi; xvec \#* \Psi_P; xvec \#* \Psi_Q; xvec \#* P; xvec \#*$

$M;$
 $xvec \#* Q; xvec \#* K; A_P \#* C; A_Q \#* C; xvec \#* C \implies$
 $Prop C \Psi (P \parallel Q) ((\nu * xvec)(P' \parallel Q'))$
and $rScope: \bigwedge \Psi P P' x C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \tau \prec P'; \bigwedge C. Prop C \Psi P P'; x \# \Psi; x \# C \rrbracket \implies$
 $Prop C \Psi ((\nu x)P) ((\nu x)P')$
and $rBang: \bigwedge \Psi P P' C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \parallel !P \mapsto \tau \prec P'; guarded P; \bigwedge C. Prop C \Psi (P \parallel !P) P' \rrbracket$
 $\implies Prop C \Psi (!P) P'$
shows $Prop C \Psi P P'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *semanticsFrameInduct*[*consumes 3, case-names cAlpha cInput cOutput cCase cPar1 cPar2 cComm1 cComm2 cOpen cScope cBang*]:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Rs :: ('a, 'b, 'c) residual$
and $A_P :: name list$
and $\Psi_P :: 'b$
and $Prop :: 'd::fs-name \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \Rightarrow$
 $('a, 'b, 'c) residual \Rightarrow name list \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool$
and $C :: 'd::fs-name$

assumes $Trans: \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto Rs$
and $FrP: extractFrame P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle$
and $distinct A_P$
and $rAlpha: \bigwedge \Psi P A_P \Psi_P p Rs C. \llbracket A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* (p \cdot A_P); A_P$
 $\#* Rs; A_P \#* C;$

$set p \subseteq set A_P \times set(p \cdot A_P); distinctPerm p;$
 $Prop C \Psi P Rs A_P \Psi_P \rrbracket \implies Prop C \Psi P Rs (p$

$\cdot A_P) (p \cdot \Psi_P)$
and $rInput: \bigwedge \Psi M K xvec N Tvec P C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow K; distinct xvec; set xvec \subseteq supp N;$
 $length xvec = length Tvec; xvec \#* \Psi;$
 $xvec \#* M; xvec \#* K; xvec \#* C \rrbracket \implies$
 $Prop C \Psi (M(\lambda * xvec N).P)$
 $(K((N[xvec::=Tvec])) \prec (P[xvec::=Tvec])) (\parallel) (1)$

and $rOutput: \bigwedge \Psi M K N P C. \Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow K \implies Prop C \Psi (M \langle N \rangle . P) (K \langle N \rangle$
 $\prec P) (\parallel) (1)$

and $rCase: \bigwedge \Psi P Rs \varphi Cs A_P \Psi_P C. \llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto Rs; extractFrame P =$
 $\langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; distinct A_P; \bigwedge C. Prop C \Psi P Rs A_P \Psi_P;$
 $(\varphi, P) mem Cs; \Psi \vdash \varphi; guarded P; \Psi_P \simeq \mathbf{1};$
 $(supp \Psi_P) = (\{ \}::name set);$
 $A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* Rs; A_P \#* C \rrbracket \implies$
 $Prop C \Psi (Cases Cs) Rs (\parallel) (1)$

and $rPar1: \bigwedge \Psi \Psi_Q P \alpha P' A_Q Q A_P \Psi_P C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P';$
 $extractFrame P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; distinct A_P;$
 $extractFrame Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle; distinct A_Q;$

$\wedge C. Prop C (\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q) P (\alpha \prec P') A_P \Psi_P; distinct(bn \alpha);$
 $A_P \#* P; A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* \alpha; A_P \#* P'; A_P \#* A_Q; A_P$
 $\#* \Psi_Q;$
 $A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* \alpha; A_Q \#* P'; A_Q \#* \Psi_P;$
 $bn \alpha \#* \Psi; bn \alpha \#* P; bn \alpha \#* Q; bn \alpha \#* subject \alpha; bn \alpha \#* \Psi_P;$
 $bn \alpha \#* \Psi_Q;$
 $A_P \#* C; A_Q \#* C; bn \alpha \#* C \implies$
 $Prop C \Psi (P \parallel Q) (\alpha \prec (P' \parallel Q)) (A_P @ A_Q) (\Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q)$
and $rPar2: \wedge \Psi \Psi_P Q \alpha Q' A_P P A_Q \Psi_Q C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q' \rrbracket;$
 $extractFrame P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; distinct A_P;$
 $extractFrame Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle; distinct A_Q;$
 $\wedge C. Prop C (\Psi \otimes \Psi_P) Q (\alpha \prec Q') A_Q \Psi_Q; distinct(bn \alpha);$
 $A_P \#* P; A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* \alpha; A_P \#* Q'; A_P \#* A_Q; A_P$
 $\#* \Psi_Q;$
 $A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* \alpha; A_Q \#* Q'; A_Q \#* \Psi_P;$
 $bn \alpha \#* \Psi; bn \alpha \#* P; bn \alpha \#* Q; bn \alpha \#* subject \alpha; bn \alpha \#* \Psi_P;$
 $bn \alpha \#* \Psi_Q;$
 $A_P \#* C; A_Q \#* C; bn \alpha \#* C \implies$
 $Prop C \Psi (P \parallel Q) (\alpha \prec (P \parallel Q')) (A_P @ A_Q) (\Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q)$
and $rComm1: \wedge \Psi \Psi_Q P M N P' A_P \Psi_P Q K xvec Q' A_Q C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M(N) \prec P'; extractFrame P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle;$
 $distinct A_P;$
 $\wedge C. Prop C (\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q) P ((M(N)) \prec P') A_P \Psi_P;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto K(\nu * xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec Q'; extractFrame Q = \langle A_Q,$
 $\Psi_Q \rangle; distinct A_Q;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q \vdash M \leftrightarrow K;$
 $\wedge C. Prop C (\Psi \otimes \Psi_P) Q (K(\nu * xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec Q') A_Q \Psi_Q; distinct$
 $xvec;$
 $A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* \Psi_Q; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* M; A_P \#* N; A_P \#* P';$
 $A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* Q'; A_P \#* A_Q; A_P \#* xvec; A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* \Psi_P;$
 $A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* N; A_Q \#* P'; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* K; A_Q \#* Q';$
 $A_Q \#* xvec; xvec \#* \Psi; xvec \#* \Psi_P; xvec \#* \Psi_Q; xvec \#* P; xvec \#*$
 $M;$
 $xvec \#* Q; xvec \#* K; A_P \#* C; A_Q \#* C; xvec \#* C \implies$
 $Prop C \Psi (P \parallel Q) (\tau \prec (\nu * xvec)(P' \parallel Q')) (A_P @ A_Q) (\Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q)$
and $rComm2: \wedge \Psi \Psi_Q P M xvec N P' A_P \Psi_P Q K Q' A_Q C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu * xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P'; extractFrame P = \langle A_P,$
 $\Psi_P \rangle; distinct A_P;$
 $\wedge C. Prop C (\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q) P (M(\nu * xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P') A_P \Psi_P;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto K(N) \prec Q'; extractFrame Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle;$
 $distinct A_Q;$
 $\wedge C. Prop C (\Psi \otimes \Psi_P) Q (K(N) \prec Q') A_Q \Psi_Q;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q \vdash M \leftrightarrow K; distinct xvec;$
 $A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* \Psi_Q; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* M; A_P \#* N; A_P \#* P';$
 $A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* Q'; A_P \#* A_Q; A_P \#* xvec; A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* \Psi_P;$
 $A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* N; A_Q \#* P'; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* K; A_Q \#* Q';$
 $A_Q \#* xvec; xvec \#* \Psi; xvec \#* \Psi_P; xvec \#* \Psi_Q; xvec \#* P; xvec \#*$
 $M;$

$vec \#* Q; vec \#* K; A_P \#* C; A_Q \#* C; vec \#* C \implies$
 $Prop C \Psi (P \parallel Q) (\tau \prec (\nu * vec)(P' \parallel Q')) (A_P @ A_Q) (\Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q)$

and $rOpen: \bigwedge \Psi P M vec yvec N P' x A_P \Psi_P C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu *(vec @ yvec)) \langle N \rangle \prec P'; extractFrame P = \langle A_P,$
 $\Psi_P \rangle; distinct A_P;$
 $\bigwedge C. Prop C \Psi P (M(\nu *(vec @ yvec)) \langle N \rangle \prec P') A_P \Psi_P; x \in supp$
 $N; x \# \Psi; x \# M;$
 $x \# A_P; x \# vec; x \# yvec; A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* M; A_P \#*$
 $N; A_P \#* P';$
 $A_P \#* vec; A_P \#* yvec; vec \#* yvec; distinct vec; distinct yvec;$
 $vec \#* \Psi; vec \#* P; vec \#* M; vec \#* \Psi_P; yvec \#* \Psi_P;$
 $yvec \#* \Psi; yvec \#* P; yvec \#* M; A_P \#* C; x \# C; vec \#* C; yvec$
 $\#* C \implies$

$Prop C \Psi ((\nu x)P) (M(\nu *(vec @ x \# yvec)) \langle N \rangle \prec P') (x \# A_P) \Psi_P$

and $rScope: \bigwedge \Psi P \alpha P' x A_P \Psi_P C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'; extractFrame P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; distinct A_P;$
 $\bigwedge C. Prop C \Psi P (\alpha \prec P') A_P \Psi_P;$
 $x \# \Psi; x \# \alpha; x \# A_P; A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* P;$
 $A_P \#* \alpha; A_P \#* P'; distinct(bn \alpha);$
 $bn \alpha \#* \Psi; bn \alpha \#* P; bn \alpha \#* subject \alpha; bn \alpha \#* \Psi_P;$
 $A_P \#* C; x \# C; bn \alpha \#* C \implies$
 $Prop C \Psi ((\nu x)P) (\alpha \prec ((\nu x)P')) (x \# A_P) \Psi_P$

and $rBang: \bigwedge \Psi P Rs A_P \Psi_P C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \parallel !P \mapsto Rs; guarded P; extractFrame P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle;$
 $distinct A_P;$
 $\bigwedge C. Prop C \Psi (P \parallel !P) Rs A_P (\Psi_P \otimes \mathbf{1}); \Psi_P \simeq \mathbf{1}; supp \Psi_P =$
 $(\{\} :: name set);$
 $A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* Rs; A_P \#* C \implies Prop C \Psi (!P) Rs$

(\square) (**1**)
shows $Prop C \Psi P Rs A_P \Psi_P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *semanticsFrameInduct'*[consumes 5, case-names *cAlpha cFrameAlpha cInput cOutput cCase cPar1 cPar2 cComm1 cComm2 cOpen cScope cBang*]:

fixes $\Psi \quad :: 'b$
and $P \quad :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Rs \quad :: ('a, 'b, 'c) residual$
and $A_P \quad :: name list$
and $\Psi_P \quad :: 'b$
and $Prop \quad :: 'd :: fs-name \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \Rightarrow 'a action \Rightarrow$
 $('a, 'b, 'c) psi \Rightarrow name list \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool$
and $C \quad :: 'd :: fs-name$

assumes *Trans*: $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'$

and *FrP*: $extractFrame P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle$

and *distinct* A_P

and $bn \alpha \#* subject \alpha$

and *distinct* $(bn \alpha)$

and *rAlpha*: $\bigwedge \Psi P \alpha P' p A_P \Psi_P C. \llbracket bn \alpha \#* \Psi; bn \alpha \#* P; bn \alpha \#* subject$

$\alpha; bn \alpha \#* \Psi_P;$
 $A_P \#* \alpha; A_P \#* P'; A_P \#* C;$
 $bn \alpha \#* C; bn \alpha \#* (p \cdot \alpha); A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* P;$
 $set p \subseteq set(bn \alpha) \times set(bn(p \cdot \alpha)); distinctPerm$
 $p;$
 $bn(p \cdot \alpha) \#* \alpha; (bn(p \cdot \alpha)) \#* P'; Prop C \Psi P \alpha$
 $P' A_P \Psi_P \implies$
 $Prop C \Psi P (p \cdot \alpha) (p \cdot P') A_P \Psi_P$
and $rFrameAlpha: \bigwedge \Psi P A_P \Psi_P p \alpha P' C. \llbracket A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* (p \cdot$
 $A_P); A_P \#* \alpha; A_P \#* P'; A_P \#* C;$
 $set p \subseteq set A_P \times set(p \cdot A_P); distinctPerm$
 $p; A_P \#* subject \alpha;$
 $Prop C \Psi P \alpha P' A_P \Psi_P \implies Prop C \Psi P$
 $\alpha P' (p \cdot A_P) (p \cdot \Psi_P)$
and $rInput: \bigwedge \Psi M K xvec N Tvec P C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow K; distinct xvec; set xvec \subseteq supp N;$
 $length xvec = length Tvec; xvec \#* \Psi;$
 $xvec \#* M; xvec \#* K; xvec \#* C \rrbracket \implies$
 $Prop C \Psi (M(\lambda * xvec N).P)$
 $(K(\llbracket (N[xvec::=Tvec]) \rrbracket)) (P[xvec::=Tvec]) (\mathbb{1}) (\mathbf{1})$
and $rOutput: \bigwedge \Psi M K N P C. \Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow K \implies Prop C \Psi (M(N).P) (K(N))$
 $P (\mathbb{1}) (\mathbf{1})$
and $rCase: \bigwedge \Psi P \alpha P' \varphi Cs A_P \Psi_P C. \llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'; extractFrame$
 $P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; distinct A_P; \bigwedge C. Prop C \Psi P \alpha P' A_P \Psi_P;$
 $(\varphi, P) mem Cs; \Psi \vdash \varphi; guarded P; \Psi_P \simeq \mathbf{1};$
 $(supp \Psi_P) = (\{\}::name set);$
 $A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* \alpha; A_P \#* P'; A_P \#*$
 $C \rrbracket \implies Prop C \Psi (Cases Cs) \alpha P' (\mathbb{1}) (\mathbf{1})$
and $rPar1: \bigwedge \Psi \Psi_Q P \alpha P' A_Q Q A_P \Psi_P C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P';$
 $extractFrame P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; distinct A_P;$
 $extractFrame Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle; distinct A_Q;$
 $\bigwedge C. Prop C (\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q) P \alpha P' A_P \Psi_P;$
 $A_P \#* P; A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* \alpha; A_P \#* P'; A_P \#* A_Q; A_P$
 $\#* \Psi_Q;$
 $A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* \alpha; A_Q \#* P'; A_Q \#* \Psi_P;$
 $bn \alpha \#* \Psi; bn \alpha \#* P; bn \alpha \#* Q; bn \alpha \#* subject \alpha; bn \alpha \#* \Psi_P;$
 $bn \alpha \#* \Psi_Q;$
 $A_P \#* C; A_Q \#* C; bn \alpha \#* C \rrbracket \implies$
 $Prop C \Psi (P \parallel Q) \alpha (P' \parallel Q) (A_P @ A_Q) (\Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q)$
and $rPar2: \bigwedge \Psi \Psi_P Q \alpha Q' A_P P A_Q \Psi_Q C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q';$
 $extractFrame P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; distinct A_P;$
 $extractFrame Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle; distinct A_Q;$
 $\bigwedge C. Prop C (\Psi \otimes \Psi_P) Q \alpha Q' A_Q \Psi_Q;$
 $A_P \#* P; A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* \alpha; A_P \#* Q'; A_P \#* A_Q; A_P$
 $\#* \Psi_Q;$
 $A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* \alpha; A_Q \#* Q'; A_Q \#* \Psi_P;$
 $bn \alpha \#* \Psi; bn \alpha \#* P; bn \alpha \#* Q; bn \alpha \#* subject \alpha; bn \alpha \#* \Psi_P;$

$bn \ \alpha \ \#* \ \Psi_Q;$
 $A_P \ \#* \ C; A_Q \ \#* \ C; bn \ \alpha \ \#* \ C \implies$
 $Prop \ C \ \Psi \ (P \parallel Q) \ \alpha \ (P \parallel Q') \ (A_P @ A_Q) \ (\Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q)$
and $rComm1: \bigwedge \Psi \ \Psi_Q \ P \ M \ N \ P' \ A_P \ \Psi_P \ Q \ K \ xvec \ Q' \ A_Q \ C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M \langle N \rangle \prec P'; extractFrame \ P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle;$
 $distinct \ A_P;$
 $\bigwedge C. Prop \ C \ (\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q) \ P \ (M \langle N \rangle) \ P' \ A_P \ \Psi_P;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto K \langle \nu * xvec \rangle \langle N \rangle \prec Q'; extractFrame \ Q = \langle A_Q,$
 $\Psi_Q \rangle; distinct \ A_Q;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q \vdash M \leftrightarrow K; distinct \ xvec;$
 $\bigwedge C. Prop \ C \ (\Psi \otimes \Psi_P) \ Q \ (K \langle \nu * xvec \rangle \langle N \rangle) \ Q' \ A_Q \ \Psi_Q;$
 $A_P \ \#* \ \Psi; A_P \ \#* \ \Psi_Q; A_P \ \#* \ P; A_P \ \#* \ M; A_P \ \#* \ N; A_P \ \#* \ P';$
 $A_P \ \#* \ Q; A_P \ \#* \ Q'; A_P \ \#* \ A_Q; A_P \ \#* \ xvec; A_Q \ \#* \ \Psi; A_Q \ \#* \ \Psi_P;$
 $A_Q \ \#* \ P; A_Q \ \#* \ N; A_Q \ \#* \ P'; A_Q \ \#* \ Q; A_Q \ \#* \ K; A_Q \ \#* \ Q';$
 $A_Q \ \#* \ xvec; xvec \ \#* \ \Psi; xvec \ \#* \ \Psi_P; xvec \ \#* \ \Psi_Q; xvec \ \#* \ P; xvec \ \#* \$
 $M;$
 $xvec \ \#* \ Q; xvec \ \#* \ K; A_P \ \#* \ C; A_Q \ \#* \ C; xvec \ \#* \ C \implies$
 $Prop \ C \ \Psi \ (P \parallel Q) \ (\tau) \ (\langle \nu * xvec \rangle \langle P' \parallel Q' \rangle) \ (A_P @ A_Q) \ (\Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q)$
and $rComm2: \bigwedge \Psi \ \Psi_Q \ P \ M \ xvec \ N \ P' \ A_P \ \Psi_P \ Q \ K \ Q' \ A_Q \ C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M \langle \nu * xvec \rangle \langle N \rangle \prec P'; extractFrame \ P = \langle A_P,$
 $\Psi_P \rangle; distinct \ A_P;$
 $\bigwedge C. Prop \ C \ (\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q) \ P \ (M \langle \nu * xvec \rangle \langle N \rangle) \ P' \ A_P \ \Psi_P;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto K \langle N \rangle \prec Q'; extractFrame \ Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle;$
 $distinct \ A_Q;$
 $\bigwedge C. Prop \ C \ (\Psi \otimes \Psi_P) \ Q \ (K \langle N \rangle) \ Q' \ A_Q \ \Psi_Q;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q \vdash M \leftrightarrow K; distinct \ xvec;$
 $A_P \ \#* \ \Psi; A_P \ \#* \ \Psi_Q; A_P \ \#* \ P; A_P \ \#* \ M; A_P \ \#* \ N; A_P \ \#* \ P';$
 $A_P \ \#* \ Q; A_P \ \#* \ Q'; A_P \ \#* \ A_Q; A_P \ \#* \ xvec; A_Q \ \#* \ \Psi; A_Q \ \#* \ \Psi_P;$
 $A_Q \ \#* \ P; A_Q \ \#* \ N; A_Q \ \#* \ P'; A_Q \ \#* \ Q; A_Q \ \#* \ K; A_Q \ \#* \ Q';$
 $A_Q \ \#* \ xvec; xvec \ \#* \ \Psi; xvec \ \#* \ \Psi_P; xvec \ \#* \ \Psi_Q; xvec \ \#* \ P; xvec \ \#* \$
 $M;$
 $xvec \ \#* \ Q; xvec \ \#* \ K; A_P \ \#* \ C; A_Q \ \#* \ C; xvec \ \#* \ C \implies$
 $Prop \ C \ \Psi \ (P \parallel Q) \ (\tau) \ (\langle \nu * xvec \rangle \langle P' \parallel Q' \rangle) \ (A_P @ A_Q) \ (\Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q)$
and $rOpen: \bigwedge \Psi \ P \ M \ xvec \ yvec \ N \ P' \ x \ A_P \ \Psi_P \ y \ C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M \langle \nu * (xvec @ yvec) \rangle \langle N \rangle \prec P'; extractFrame \ P = \langle A_P,$
 $\Psi_P \rangle; distinct \ A_P;$
 $\bigwedge C. Prop \ C \ \Psi \ P \ (M \langle \nu * (xvec @ yvec) \rangle \langle N \rangle) \ P' \ A_P \ \Psi_P; x \in supp$
 $N; x \ \# \ \Psi; x \ \# \ M;$
 $x \ \# \ A_P; x \ \# \ xvec; x \ \# \ yvec; A_P \ \#* \ \Psi; A_P \ \#* \ P; A_P \ \#* \ M; A_P \ \#* \$
 $N; A_P \ \#* \ P';$
 $A_P \ \#* \ xvec; A_P \ \#* \ yvec; xvec \ \#* \ yvec; distinct \ xvec; distinct \ yvec;$
 $xvec \ \#* \ \Psi; xvec \ \#* \ P; xvec \ \#* \ M; xvec \ \#* \ \Psi_P;$
 $yvec \ \#* \ \Psi; yvec \ \#* \ P; yvec \ \#* \ M; A_P \ \#* \ C; x \ \# \ C; xvec \ \#* \ C; yvec$
 $\#* \ C;$
 $y \neq x; y \ \# \ \Psi; y \ \# \ P; y \ \# \ M; y \ \# \ xvec; y \ \# \ yvec; y \ \# \ N; y \ \# \ P'; y \ \# \$
 $A_P; y \ \# \ \Psi_P; y \ \# \ C \implies$
 $Prop \ C \ \Psi \ (\langle \nu x \rangle P) \ (M \langle \nu * (xvec @ y \# yvec) \rangle \langle [(x, y)] \cdot N \rangle) \ (\langle [(x,$
 $y)] \cdot P' \rangle) \ (x \# A_P) \ \Psi_P$
and $rScope: \bigwedge \Psi \ P \ \alpha \ P' \ x \ A_P \ \Psi_P \ C.$

$\llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'; \text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; \text{distinct } A_P;$
 $\wedge C. \text{Prop } C \Psi P \alpha P' A_P \Psi_P;$
 $x \# \Psi; x \# \alpha; x \# A_P; A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* P;$
 $A_P \#* \alpha; A_P \#* P';$
 $bn \alpha \#* \Psi; bn \alpha \#* P; bn \alpha \#* \text{subject } \alpha; bn \alpha \#* \Psi_P;$
 $A_P \#* C; x \# C; bn \alpha \#* C \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{Prop } C \Psi (\nu x)P \alpha (\nu x)P' (x \# A_P) \Psi_P$
and $rBang: \wedge \Psi P \alpha P' A_P \Psi_P C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \parallel !P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'; \text{guarded } P; \text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle;$
 $\text{distinct } A_P;$
 $\wedge C. \text{Prop } C \Psi (P \parallel !P) \alpha P' A_P (\Psi_P \otimes \mathbf{1}); \Psi_P \simeq \mathbf{1}; \text{supp } \Psi_P$
 $= (\{\}::\text{name set});$
 $A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* \alpha; A_P \#* P'; A_P \#* C \rrbracket \implies \text{Prop } C \Psi$
 $(!P) \alpha P' (\{\}) \text{ (1)}$
shows $\text{Prop } C \Psi P \alpha P' A_P \Psi_P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{inputFrameInduct}[\text{consumes } 3, \text{case-names } cAlpha \text{ } cInput \text{ } cCase \text{ } cPar1$
 $cPar2 \text{ } cScope \text{ } cBang]:$

fixes $\Psi \quad :: 'b$
and $P \quad :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $M \quad :: 'a$
and $N \quad :: 'a$
and $P' \quad :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $\text{Prop} \quad :: 'd::\text{fs-name} \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi} \Rightarrow$
 $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi} \Rightarrow \text{name list} \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
and $C \quad :: 'd::\text{fs-name}$

assumes $\text{Trans}: \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(N) \prec P'$
and $\text{FrP}: \text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle$
and $\text{distinct } A_P$
and $rAlpha: \wedge \Psi P M N P' A_P \Psi_P p C. \llbracket A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* M; A_P$
 $\#* N; A_P \#* P'; A_P \#* (p \cdot A_P); A_P \#* C \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{set } p \subseteq \text{set } A_P \times \text{set}(p \cdot A_P); \text{distinctPerm } p;$
 $\text{Prop } C \Psi P M N P' A_P \Psi_P \rrbracket \implies \text{Prop } C \Psi$
 $P M N P' (p \cdot A_P) (p \cdot \Psi_P)$
and $rInput: \wedge \Psi M K \text{vec } N \text{Tvec } P C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow K; \text{distinct } \text{vec}; \text{set } \text{vec} \subseteq \text{supp } N;$
 $\text{length } \text{vec} = \text{length } \text{Tvec}; \text{vec} \#* \Psi;$
 $\text{vec} \#* M; \text{vec} \#* K; \text{vec} \#* C \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{Prop } C \Psi (M(\lambda * \text{vec } N).P)$
 $K (N[\text{vec}::=\text{Tvec}]) (P[\text{vec}::=\text{Tvec}]) (\{\}) \text{ (1)}$
and $rCase: \wedge \Psi P M N P' \varphi Cs A_P \Psi_P C. \llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(N) \prec P';$
 $\text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; \text{distinct } A_P; \wedge C. \text{Prop } C \Psi P M N P' A_P \Psi_P;$
 $(\varphi, P) \text{mem } Cs; \Psi \vdash \varphi; \text{guarded } P; \Psi_P \simeq \mathbf{1};$
 $(\text{supp } \Psi_P) = (\{\}::\text{name set});$
 $A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* M; A_P \#* N; A_P$
 $\#* P'; A_P \#* C \rrbracket \implies \text{Prop } C \Psi (\text{Cases } Cs) M N P' (\{\}) \text{ (1)}$
and $rPar1: \wedge \Psi \Psi_Q P M N P' A_Q Q A_P \Psi_P C.$

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M(N) \prec P' \rrbracket; \\ & \text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; \text{ distinct } A_P; \\ & \text{extractFrame } Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle; \text{ distinct } A_Q; \\ & \bigwedge C. \text{ Prop } C (\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q) P M N P' A_P \Psi_P; \\ & A_P \#* P; A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* M; A_P \#* N; A_P \#* P'; A_P \#* \\ & A_Q; A_P \#* \Psi_Q; \\ & A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* M; A_Q \#* N; A_Q \#* P'; A_Q \\ & \#* \Psi_P; \\ & A_P \#* C; A_Q \#* C \rrbracket \implies \\ & \text{Prop } C \Psi (P \parallel Q) M N (P' \parallel Q) (A_P @ A_Q) (\Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q) \\ \text{and } & \text{rPar2: } \bigwedge \Psi \Psi_P Q M N Q' A_P P A_Q \Psi_Q C. \\ & \llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto M(N) \prec Q' \rrbracket; \\ & \text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; \text{ distinct } A_P; \\ & \text{extractFrame } Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle; \text{ distinct } A_Q; \\ & \bigwedge C. \text{ Prop } C (\Psi \otimes \Psi_P) Q M N Q' A_Q \Psi_Q; \\ & A_P \#* P; A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* M; A_P \#* N; A_P \#* Q'; A_P \\ & \#* A_Q; A_P \#* \Psi_Q; \\ & A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* M; A_Q \#* N; A_Q \#* Q'; A_Q \\ & \#* \Psi_P; \\ & A_P \#* C; A_Q \#* C \rrbracket \implies \\ & \text{Prop } C \Psi (P \parallel Q) M N (P \parallel Q') (A_P @ A_Q) (\Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q) \\ \text{and } & \text{rScope: } \bigwedge \Psi P M N P' x A_P \Psi_P C. \\ & \llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(N) \prec P'; \text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; \text{ distinct } A_P; \\ & \bigwedge C. \text{ Prop } C \Psi P M N P' A_P \Psi_P; x \# \Psi; x \# M; x \# N; \\ & x \# A_P; A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* M; A_P \#* N; A_P \#* P'; \\ & A_P \#* C; x \# C \rrbracket \implies \\ & \text{Prop } C \Psi ((\nu x)P) M N ((\nu x)P') (x \# A_P) \Psi_P \\ \text{and } & \text{rBang: } \bigwedge \Psi P M N P' A_P \Psi_P C. \\ & \llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \parallel !P \mapsto M(N) \prec P'; \text{guarded } P; \text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \\ & \Psi_P \rangle; \text{ distinct } A_P; \\ & \bigwedge C. \text{ Prop } C \Psi (P \parallel !P) M N P' A_P (\Psi_P \otimes \mathbf{1}); \Psi_P \simeq \mathbf{1}; (\text{supp} \\ & \Psi_P) = (\{\} :: \text{name set}); \\ & A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* M; A_P \#* N; A_P \#* P'; A_P \#* C \rrbracket \implies \\ & \text{Prop } C \Psi (!P) M N P' (\mathbf{1}) (\mathbf{1}) \\ & \text{shows Prop } C \Psi P M N P' A_P \Psi_P \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *outputFrameInduct[consumes 3, case-names cAlpha cOutput cCase cPar1 cPar2 cOpen cScope cBang]:*

fixes Ψ $:: 'b$
and P $:: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and M $:: 'a$
and B $:: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{boundOutput}$
and A_P $:: \text{name list}$
and Ψ_P $:: 'b$
and $\text{Prop} :: 'd :: \text{fs-name} \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi} \Rightarrow$
 $'a \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{boundOutput} \Rightarrow \text{name list} \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
and C $:: 'd :: \text{fs-name}$

assumes *Trans*: $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto ROut\ M\ B$
and *FrP*: $extractFrame\ P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle$
and *distinct* A_P
and *rAlpha*: $\bigwedge \Psi\ P\ M\ A_P\ \Psi_P\ p\ B\ C. \llbracket A_P \#^* \Psi; A_P \#^* P; A_P \#^* M; A_P \#^* (p \cdot A_P); A_P \#^* B; A_P \#^* C; \rrbracket$
 $set\ p \subseteq set\ A_P \times set(p \cdot A_P); distinctPerm\ p;$
 $Prop\ C\ \Psi\ P\ M\ B\ A_P\ \Psi_P \rrbracket \implies Prop\ C\ \Psi\ P\ M\ B$
 $(p \cdot A_P)\ (p \cdot \Psi_P)$
and *rOutput*: $\bigwedge \Psi\ M\ K\ N\ P\ C. \Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow K \implies Prop\ C\ \Psi\ (M \langle N \rangle . P)\ K\ (N \prec' P)\ (\Box)\ (\mathbf{1})$
and *rCase*: $\bigwedge \Psi\ P\ M\ B\ \varphi\ Cs\ A_P\ \Psi_P\ C. \llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto (ROut\ M\ B); extractFrame\ P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; distinct\ A_P; \bigwedge C. Prop\ C\ \Psi\ P\ M\ B\ A_P\ \Psi_P; (\varphi, P)\ mem\ Cs; \Psi \vdash \varphi; guarded\ P; \Psi_P \simeq \mathbf{1}; (supp\ \Psi_P) = (\{\}::name\ set); A_P \#^* \Psi; A_P \#^* P; A_P \#^* M; A_P \#^* B; A_P \#^* C \rrbracket \implies Prop\ C\ \Psi\ (Cases\ Cs)\ M\ B\ (\Box)\ (\mathbf{1})$
and *rPar1*: $\bigwedge \Psi\ \Psi_Q\ P\ M\ xvec\ N\ P'\ A_Q\ Q\ A_P\ \Psi_P\ C. \llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu^* xvec) \langle N \rangle \prec P'; extractFrame\ P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; distinct\ A_P; extractFrame\ Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle; distinct\ A_Q; \bigwedge C. Prop\ C\ (\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q)\ P\ M\ ((\nu^* xvec) N \prec' P')\ A_P\ \Psi_P; A_P \#^* P; A_P \#^* Q; A_P \#^* \Psi; A_P \#^* M; A_P \#^* xvec; A_P \#^* N; A_P \#^* P'; A_P \#^* A_Q; A_P \#^* \Psi_Q; A_Q \#^* P; A_Q \#^* Q; A_Q \#^* \Psi; A_Q \#^* M; A_Q \#^* xvec; A_Q \#^* N; A_Q \#^* P'; A_Q \#^* \Psi_P; xvec \#^* \Psi; xvec \#^* P; xvec \#^* Q; xvec \#^* M; xvec \#^* \Psi_P; xvec \#^* \Psi_Q; A_P \#^* C; A_Q \#^* C; xvec \#^* C \rrbracket \implies Prop\ C\ \Psi\ (P \parallel Q)\ M\ ((\nu^* xvec) N \prec' (P' \parallel Q))\ (A_P @ A_Q)\ (\Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q)$
and *rPar2*: $\bigwedge \Psi\ \Psi_P\ Q\ M\ xvec\ N\ Q'\ A_P\ P\ A_Q\ \Psi_Q\ C. \llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto M(\nu^* xvec) \langle N \rangle \prec Q'; extractFrame\ P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; distinct\ A_P; extractFrame\ Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle; distinct\ A_Q; \bigwedge C. Prop\ C\ (\Psi \otimes \Psi_P)\ Q\ M\ ((\nu^* xvec) N \prec' Q')\ A_Q\ \Psi_Q; A_P \#^* P; A_P \#^* Q; A_P \#^* \Psi; A_P \#^* M; A_P \#^* xvec; A_P \#^* N; A_P \#^* Q'; A_P \#^* A_Q; A_P \#^* \Psi_Q; A_Q \#^* P; A_Q \#^* Q; A_Q \#^* \Psi; A_Q \#^* M; A_Q \#^* xvec; A_Q \#^* N; A_Q \#^* Q'; A_Q \#^* \Psi_P; xvec \#^* \Psi; xvec \#^* P; xvec \#^* Q; xvec \#^* M; xvec \#^* \Psi_P; xvec \#^* \Psi_Q; A_P \#^* C; A_Q \#^* C; xvec \#^* C \rrbracket \implies Prop\ C\ \Psi\ (P \parallel Q)\ M\ ((\nu^* xvec) N \prec' (P \parallel Q'))\ (A_P @ A_Q)\ (\Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q)$
and *rOpen*: $\bigwedge \Psi\ P\ M\ xvec\ yvec\ N\ P'\ x\ A_P\ \Psi_P\ C. \llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu^*(xvec @ yvec)) \langle N \rangle \prec P'; extractFrame\ P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; distinct\ A_P; \bigwedge C. Prop\ C\ \Psi\ P\ M\ ((\nu^*(xvec @ yvec)) N \prec' P')\ A_P\ \Psi_P; x \in supp\ N; x \# \Psi; x \# M; x \# A_P; x \# xvec; x \# yvec; A_P \#^* \Psi; A_P \#^* P; A_P \#^* M; A_P \#^* N; A_P \#^* P'; \rrbracket$

$A_P \#* \text{vec}; A_P \#* \text{yvec};$
 $\text{vec} \#* \Psi; \text{vec} \#* P; \text{vec} \#* M; \text{vec} \#* \Psi_P;$
 $\text{yvec} \#* \Psi; \text{yvec} \#* P; \text{yvec} \#* M; A_P \#* C; x \# C; \text{vec} \#* C; \text{yvec}$
 $\#* C \implies$
 $\text{Prop } C \Psi ((\nu x)P) M ((\nu*(\text{vec}@x\#\text{yvec}))N \prec' P') (x\#A_P) \Psi_P$
and $rScope: \bigwedge \Psi P M \text{vec} N P' x A_P \Psi_P C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu*\text{vec}) \langle N \rangle \prec P'; \text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle;$
 $\text{distinct } A_P;$
 $\bigwedge C. \text{Prop } C \Psi P M ((\nu*\text{vec})N \prec' P') A_P \Psi_P;$
 $x \# \Psi; x \# M; x \# \text{vec}; x \# N; x \# A_P; A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* P;$
 $A_P \#* M; A_P \#* N; A_P \#* P'; A_P \#* \text{vec};$
 $\text{vec} \#* \Psi; \text{vec} \#* P; \text{vec} \#* M; \text{vec} \#* \Psi_P;$
 $A_P \#* C; x \# C; \text{vec} \#* C \implies$
 $\text{Prop } C \Psi ((\nu x)P) M ((\nu*\text{vec})N \prec' ((\nu x)P')) (x\#A_P) \Psi_P$
and $rBang: \bigwedge \Psi P M B A_P \Psi_P C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \parallel !P \mapsto ROut M B; \text{guarded } P; \text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P,$
 $\Psi_P \rangle; \text{distinct } A_P;$
 $\bigwedge C. \text{Prop } C \Psi (P \parallel !P) M B A_P (\Psi_P \otimes \mathbf{1}); \Psi_P \simeq \mathbf{1}; \text{supp } \Psi_P$
 $= (\{\}::\text{name set});$
 $A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* M; A_P \#* C \implies \text{Prop } C \Psi (!P) M$
 $B (\parallel) (\mathbf{1})$
shows $\text{Prop } C \Psi P M B A_P \Psi_P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{tauFrameInduct}[\text{consumes } 3, \text{case-names } cAlpha \ cCase \ cPar1 \ cPar2 \ cComm1 \ cComm2 \ cScope \ cBang]:$

fixes $\Psi \quad :: 'b$
and $P \quad :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $P' \quad :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $\text{Prop} \quad :: 'd::\text{fs-name} \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi} \Rightarrow$
 $('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi} \Rightarrow \text{name list} \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
and $C \quad :: 'd::\text{fs-name}$

assumes $\text{Trans}: \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \tau \prec P'$
and $\text{FrP}: \text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle$
and $\text{distinct } A_P$
and $rAlpha: \bigwedge \Psi P P' A_P \Psi_P p C. \llbracket A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* P'; A_P \#* (p$
 $\cdot A_P); A_P \#* C;$
 $\text{set } p \subseteq \text{set } A_P \times \text{set } (p \cdot A_P); \text{distinctPerm } p;$
 $\text{Prop } C \Psi P P' A_P \Psi_P \rrbracket \implies \text{Prop } C \Psi P P' (p \cdot$
 $A_P) (p \cdot \Psi_P)$
and $rCase: \bigwedge \Psi P P' \varphi Cs A_P \Psi_P C. \llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \tau \prec P'; \text{extractFrame } P =$
 $\langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; \text{distinct } A_P; \bigwedge C. \text{Prop } C \Psi P P' A_P \Psi_P;$
 $(\varphi, P) \text{mem } Cs; \Psi \vdash \varphi; \text{guarded } P; \Psi_P \simeq \mathbf{1};$
 $(\text{supp } \Psi_P) = (\{\}::\text{name set});$
 $A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* P'; A_P \#* C \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{Prop } C \Psi (Cases \ Cs) P' (\parallel) (\mathbf{1})$
and $rPar1: \bigwedge \Psi \Psi_Q P P' A_Q Q A_P \Psi_P C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto \tau \prec P';$

$extractFrame P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; distinct A_P;$
 $extractFrame Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle; distinct A_Q;$
 $\bigwedge C. Prop C (\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q) P P' A_P \Psi_P;$
 $A_P \#* P; A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* P'; A_P \#* A_Q; A_P \#* \Psi_Q;$
 $A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* P'; A_Q \#* \Psi_P;$
 $A_P \#* C; A_Q \#* C \implies$
 $Prop C \Psi (P \parallel Q) (P' \parallel Q) (A_P @ A_Q) (\Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q)$

and $rPar2: \bigwedge \Psi \Psi_P Q Q' A_P P A_Q \Psi_Q C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto \tau \prec Q' \rrbracket;$
 $extractFrame P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; distinct A_P;$
 $extractFrame Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle; distinct A_Q;$
 $\bigwedge C. Prop C (\Psi \otimes \Psi_P) Q Q' A_Q \Psi_Q;$
 $A_P \#* P; A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* Q'; A_P \#* A_Q; A_P \#* \Psi_Q;$
 $A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* Q'; A_Q \#* \Psi_P;$
 $A_P \#* C; A_Q \#* C \implies$
 $Prop C \Psi (P \parallel Q) (P \parallel Q') (A_P @ A_Q) (\Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q)$

and $rComm1: \bigwedge \Psi \Psi_Q P M N P' A_P \Psi_P Q K xvec Q' A_Q C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M(N) \prec P'; extractFrame P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle;$
 $distinct A_P;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto K(\nu * xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec Q'; extractFrame Q = \langle A_Q,$
 $\Psi_Q \rangle; distinct A_Q;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q \vdash M \leftrightarrow K; distinct xvec;$
 $A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* \Psi_Q; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* M; A_P \#* N; A_P \#* P';$
 $A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* Q'; A_P \#* A_Q; A_P \#* xvec; A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* \Psi_P;$
 $A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* N; A_Q \#* P'; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* K; A_Q \#* Q';$
 $A_Q \#* xvec; xvec \#* \Psi; xvec \#* \Psi_P; xvec \#* \Psi_Q; xvec \#* P; xvec \#*$

$M;$
 $xvec \#* Q; xvec \#* K; A_P \#* C; A_Q \#* C; xvec \#* C \implies$
 $Prop C \Psi (P \parallel Q) ((\nu * xvec)(P' \parallel Q')) (A_P @ A_Q) (\Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q)$

and $rComm2: \bigwedge \Psi \Psi_Q P M xvec N P' A_P \Psi_P Q K Q' A_Q C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu * xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P'; extractFrame P = \langle A_P,$
 $\Psi_P \rangle; distinct A_P;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto K(N) \prec Q'; extractFrame Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle;$
 $distinct A_Q;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q \vdash M \leftrightarrow K; distinct xvec;$
 $A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* \Psi_Q; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* M; A_P \#* N; A_P \#* P';$
 $A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* Q'; A_P \#* A_Q; A_P \#* xvec; A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* \Psi_P;$
 $A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* N; A_Q \#* P'; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* K; A_Q \#* Q';$
 $A_Q \#* xvec; xvec \#* \Psi; xvec \#* \Psi_P; xvec \#* \Psi_Q; xvec \#* P; xvec \#*$

$M;$
 $xvec \#* Q; xvec \#* K; A_P \#* C; A_Q \#* C; xvec \#* C \implies$
 $Prop C \Psi (P \parallel Q) ((\nu * xvec)(P' \parallel Q')) (A_P @ A_Q) (\Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q)$

and $rScope: \bigwedge \Psi P P' x A_P \Psi_P C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \tau \prec P'; extractFrame P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; distinct A_P;$
 $\bigwedge C. Prop C \Psi P P' A_P \Psi_P; x \#* \Psi;$
 $x \#* A_P; A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* P';$
 $A_P \#* C; x \#* C \implies$
 $Prop C \Psi ((\nu x)P) ((\nu x)P') (x \# A_P) \Psi_P$

and $rBang: \bigwedge \Psi P P' A_P \Psi_P C.$

$\llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \parallel !P \mapsto \tau \prec P'; \text{ guarded } P; \text{ extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle;$
distinct $A_P;$
 $\bigwedge C. \text{ Prop } C \Psi (P \parallel !P) P' A_P (\Psi_P \otimes \mathbf{1}); \Psi_P \simeq \mathbf{1}; \text{ supp } \Psi_P =$
 $(\{\}::\text{ name set});$
 $A_P \#^* \Psi; A_P \#^* P; A_P \#^* P'; A_P \#^* C \rrbracket \implies \text{ Prop } C \Psi (!P) P'$
 $(\square) (1)$
shows $\text{ Prop } C \Psi P P' A_P \Psi_P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inputFreshDerivative:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $M :: 'a$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $x :: \text{ name}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(N) \prec P'$
and $x \# P$
and $x \# N$

shows $x \# P'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inputFreshChainDerivative:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $M :: 'a$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $xvec :: \text{ name list}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(N) \prec P'$
and $xvec \#^* P$
and $xvec \#^* N$

shows $xvec \#^* P'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *outputFreshDerivative:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $M :: 'a$
and $xvec :: \text{ name list}$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $x :: \text{ name}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu^* xvec)(N) \prec P'$

and $xvec \#* M$
and $distinct\ xvec$
and $x \# P$
and $x \# xvec$

shows $x \# N$
and $x \# P'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *outputFreshChainDerivative*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $M :: 'a$
and $xvec :: name\ list$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $yvec :: name\ list$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P'$
and $xvec \#* M$
and $distinct\ xvec$
and $yvec \#* P$
and $yvec \#* xvec$

shows $yvec \#* N$
and $yvec \#* P'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tauFreshDerivative*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $x :: name$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \tau \prec P'$
and $x \# P$

shows $x \# P'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tauFreshChainDerivative*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $M :: 'a$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $xvec :: name\ list$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \tau \prec P'$

```

and    $xvec \#* P$ 

shows  $xvec \#* P'$ 
<proof>

lemma freeFreshDerivative:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and    $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
  and    $\alpha :: 'a action$ 
  and    $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
  and    $x :: name$ 

  assumes  $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'$ 
  and    $bn \alpha \#* subject \alpha$ 
  and    $distinct(bn \alpha)$ 
  and    $x \# \alpha$ 
  and    $x \# P$ 

  shows  $x \# P'$ 
<proof>

lemma freeFreshChainDerivative:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and    $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
  and    $\alpha :: 'a action$ 
  and    $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
  and    $xvec :: name list$ 

  assumes  $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'$ 
  and    $bn \alpha \#* subject \alpha$ 
  and    $distinct(bn \alpha)$ 
  and    $xvec \#* P$ 
  and    $xvec \#* \alpha$ 

  shows  $xvec \#* P'$ 
<proof>

lemma Input:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and    $M :: 'a$ 
  and    $K :: 'a$ 
  and    $xvec :: name list$ 
  and    $N :: 'a$ 
  and    $Tvec :: 'a list$ 

  assumes  $\Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow K$ 
  and    $distinct xvec$ 
  and    $set xvec \subseteq supp N$ 
  and    $length xvec = length Tvec$ 

```

shows $\Psi \triangleright M(\lambda * xvec\ N).P \mapsto K(N[xvec ::= Tvec]) \prec P[xvec ::= Tvec]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *residualAlpha*:

fixes $p :: name\ prm$
and $\alpha :: 'a\ action$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$

assumes $bn(p \cdot \alpha) \#* object\ \alpha$
and $bn(p \cdot \alpha) \#* P$
and $bn\ \alpha \#* subject\ \alpha$
and $bn(p \cdot \alpha) \#* subject\ \alpha$
and $set\ p \subseteq set(bn\ \alpha) \times set(bn(p \cdot \alpha))$

shows $\alpha \prec P = (p \cdot \alpha) \prec (p \cdot P)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Par1*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $\Psi_Q :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $\alpha :: 'a\ action$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $A_Q :: name\ list$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$

assumes $Trans: \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'$
and $extractFrame\ Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle$
and $bn\ \alpha \#* Q$
and $A_Q \#* \Psi$
and $A_Q \#* P$
and $A_Q \#* \alpha$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel Q \mapsto \alpha \prec (P' \parallel Q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Par2*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $\Psi_P :: 'b$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $\alpha :: 'a\ action$
and $Q' :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $A_P :: name\ list$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$

assumes $Trans: \Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q'$
and $extractFrame\ P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle$
and $bn\ \alpha \#* P$

and $A_P \#^* \Psi$
and $A_P \#^* Q$
and $A_P \#^* \alpha$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel Q \mapsto \alpha \prec (P \parallel Q')$
<proof>

lemma *Open*:

fixes $\Psi \quad :: 'b$
and $P \quad :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $M \quad :: 'a$
and $xvec \quad :: \text{name list}$
and $yvec \quad :: \text{name list}$
and $N \quad :: 'a$
and $P' \quad :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $x \quad :: \text{name}$

assumes *Trans*: $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu^*(xvec@yvec))\langle N \rangle \prec P'$
and $x \in \text{supp } N$
and $x \# \Psi$
and $x \# M$
and $x \# xvec$
and $x \# yvec$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)P \mapsto M(\nu^*(xvec@x\#yvec))\langle N \rangle \prec P'$
<proof>

lemma *Scope*:

fixes $\Psi \quad :: 'b$
and $P \quad :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\alpha \quad :: 'a \text{ action}$
and $P' \quad :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $x \quad :: \text{name}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'$
and $x \# \Psi$
and $x \# \alpha$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)P \mapsto \alpha \prec (\nu x)P'$
<proof>

lemma *inputSwapFrameSubject*:

fixes $\Psi \quad :: 'b$
and $P \quad :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $M \quad :: 'a$
and $N \quad :: 'a$
and $P' \quad :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $x \quad :: \text{name}$
and $y \quad :: \text{name}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(N) \prec P'$
and $x \# P$
and $y \# P$

shows $([(x, y)] \cdot \Psi) \triangleright P \mapsto ([(x, y)] \cdot M)(N) \prec P'$
<proof>

lemma *inputPermFrameSubject*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $M :: 'a$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $p :: \text{name prm}$
and $Xs :: \text{name set}$
and $Ys :: \text{name set}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(N) \prec P'$
and $S: \text{set } p \subseteq Xs \times Ys$
and $Xs \#* P$
and $Ys \#* P$

shows $(p \cdot \Psi) \triangleright P \mapsto (p \cdot M)(N) \prec P'$
<proof>

lemma *inputSwapSubject*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $M :: 'a$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $x :: \text{name}$
and $y :: \text{name}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(N) \prec P'$
and $x \# P$
and $y \# P$
and $x \# \Psi$
and $y \# \Psi$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto ([(x, y)] \cdot M)(N) \prec P'$
<proof>

lemma *inputPermSubject*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $M :: 'a$
and $N :: 'a$

and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $p :: \text{ name prm}$
and $Xs :: \text{ name set}$
and $Ys :: \text{ name set}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(N) \prec P'$
and $S: \text{ set } p \subseteq Xs \times Ys$
and $Xs \#* P$
and $Ys \#* P$
and $Xs \#* \Psi$
and $Ys \#* \Psi$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto (p \cdot M)(N) \prec P'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inputSwapFrame:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $M :: 'a$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $x :: \text{ name}$
and $y :: \text{ name}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(N) \prec P'$
and $x \# P$
and $y \# P$
and $x \# M$
and $y \# M$

shows $([(x, y)] \cdot \Psi) \triangleright P \mapsto M(N) \prec P'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inputPermFrame:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $M :: 'a$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $p :: \text{ name prm}$
and $Xs :: \text{ name set}$
and $Ys :: \text{ name set}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(N) \prec P'$
and $S: \text{ set } p \subseteq Xs \times Ys$
and $Xs \#* P$
and $Ys \#* P$
and $Xs \#* M$
and $Ys \#* M$

shows $(p \cdot \Psi) \triangleright P \mapsto M(N) \prec P'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inputAlpha*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $M :: 'a$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $p :: \text{name prm}$
and $xvec :: \text{name list}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(N) \prec P'$
and $\text{set } p \subseteq (\text{set } xvec) \times (\text{set } (p \cdot xvec))$
and $\text{distinctPerm } p$
and $xvec \#* P$
and $(p \cdot xvec) \#* P$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M((p \cdot N)) \prec (p \cdot P')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frameFresh[dest]*:

fixes $x :: \text{name}$
and $A_F :: \text{name list}$
and $\Psi_F :: 'b$

assumes $x \# A_F$
and $x \# \langle A_F, \Psi_F \rangle$

shows $x \# \Psi_F$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *outputSwapFrameSubject*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $M :: 'a$
and $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $N :: 'a$
and $x :: \text{name}$
and $y :: \text{name}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu * xvec) \langle N \rangle \prec P'$
and $xvec \#* M$
and $x \# P$
and $y \# P$

shows $([(x, y)] \cdot \Psi) \triangleright P \mapsto ([(x, y)] \cdot M)(\nu * xvec) \langle N \rangle \prec P'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *outputPermFrameSubject*:

fixes Ψ :: 'b
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) *psi*
and M :: 'a
and $xvec$:: *name list*
and N :: 'a
and P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) *psi*
and p :: *name prm*
and $yvec$:: *name list*
and $zvec$:: *name list*

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P'$
and $S: set\ p \subseteq set\ yvec \times set\ zvec$
and $yvec \#* P$
and $zvec \#* P$

shows $(p \cdot \Psi) \triangleright P \mapsto (p \cdot M)(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P'$
<proof>

lemma *outputSwapSubject*:

fixes Ψ :: 'b
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) *psi*
and M :: 'a
and B :: ('a, 'b, 'c) *boundOutput*
and x :: *name*
and y :: *name*

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P'$
and $xvec \#* M$
and $x \# P$
and $y \# P$
and $x \# \Psi$
and $y \# \Psi$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto ((x, y) \cdot M)(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P'$
<proof>

lemma *outputPermSubject*:

fixes Ψ :: 'b
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) *psi*
and M :: 'a
and B :: ('a, 'b, 'c) *boundOutput*
and p :: *name prm*
and $yvec$:: *name list*
and $zvec$:: *name list*

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P'$
and $S: set\ p \subseteq set\ yvec \times set\ zvec$

and $yvec \#* P$
and $zvec \#* P$
and $yvec \#* \Psi$
and $zvec \#* \Psi$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto (p \cdot M)(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P'$
<proof>

lemma *outputSwapFrame*:

fixes $\Psi \quad :: 'b$
and $P \quad :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $M \quad :: 'a$
and $B \quad :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ boundOutput}$
and $x \quad :: \text{ name}$
and $y \quad :: \text{ name}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P'$
and $xvec \#* M$
and $x \# P$
and $y \# P$
and $x \# M$
and $y \# M$

shows $([(x, y)] \cdot \Psi) \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P'$
<proof>

lemma *outputPermFrame*:

fixes $\Psi \quad :: 'b$
and $P \quad :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $M \quad :: 'a$
and $B \quad :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ boundOutput}$
and $p \quad :: \text{ name prm}$
and $yvec \quad :: \text{ name list}$
and $zvec \quad :: \text{ name list}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P'$
and $S: \text{ set } p \subseteq \text{ set } yvec \times \text{ set } zvec$
and $yvec \#* P$
and $zvec \#* P$
and $yvec \#* M$
and $zvec \#* M$

shows $(p \cdot \Psi) \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P'$
<proof>

lemma *Comm1*:

fixes $\Psi \quad :: 'b$
and $\Psi_Q \quad :: 'b$
and $P \quad :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

```

and M :: 'a
and N :: 'a
and P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and A_P :: name list
and Ψ_P :: 'b
and Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and K :: 'a
and xvec :: name list
and Q' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and A_Q :: name list

assumes Ψ ⊗ Ψ_Q ▷ P ⟶ M(|N|) < P'
and extractFrame P = ⟨A_P, Ψ_P⟩
and Ψ ⊗ Ψ_P ▷ Q ⟶ K(|ν*xvec|)(N) < Q'
and extractFrame Q = ⟨A_Q, Ψ_Q⟩
and Ψ ⊗ Ψ_P ⊗ Ψ_Q ⊢ M ↔ K
and A_P #* Ψ
and A_P #* P
and A_P #* Q
and A_P #* M
and A_P #* A_Q
and A_Q #* Ψ
and A_Q #* P
and A_Q #* Q
and A_Q #* K
and xvec #* P

```

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel Q \mapsto \tau \prec (|\nu*xvec|)(P' \parallel Q')$
<proof>

lemma *Comm2*:

```

fixes Ψ :: 'b
and Ψ_Q :: 'b
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and M :: 'a
and xvec :: name list
and N :: 'a
and P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and A_P :: name list
and Ψ_P :: 'b
and Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and K :: 'a
and Q' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and A_Q :: name list

```

```

assumes Ψ ⊗ Ψ_Q ▷ P ⟶ M(|ν*xvec|)(N) < P'
and extractFrame P = ⟨A_P, Ψ_P⟩
and Ψ ⊗ Ψ_P ▷ Q ⟶ K(|N|) < Q'
and extractFrame Q = ⟨A_Q, Ψ_Q⟩

```

and $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q \vdash M \leftrightarrow K$
and $A_P \#* \Psi$
and $A_P \#* P$
and $A_P \#* Q$
and $A_P \#* M$
and $A_P \#* A_Q$
and $A_Q \#* \Psi$
and $A_Q \#* P$
and $A_Q \#* Q$
and $A_Q \#* K$
and $xvec \#* Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel Q \mapsto_{\tau} \prec (\nu * xvec)(P' \parallel Q')$
<proof>

lemma *semanticsCasesAux*[*consumes 1, case-names cInput cOutput cCase cPar1*
cPar2 cComm1 cComm2 cOpen cScope cBang]:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $cP :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $cRs :: ('a, 'b, 'c) residual$
and $C :: 'd::fs-name$
and $x :: name$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright cP \mapsto cRs$

and $rInput: \bigwedge M K xvec N Tvec P. \llbracket cP = M(\lambda * xvec N).P; cRs = K((N[xvec::=Tvec]) \prec P[xvec::=Tvec]) \rrbracket$;

$\Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow K; distinct\ xvec; set\ xvec \subseteq supp\ N;$

$length\ xvec = length\ Tvec;$

$xvec \#* Tvec; xvec \#* \Psi; xvec \#* M; xvec \#* K;$

$xvec \#* C \rrbracket \implies Prop$

and $rOutput: \bigwedge M K N P. \llbracket cP = M\langle N \rangle.P; cRs = K\langle N \rangle \prec P; \Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow K \rrbracket \implies Prop$

and $rCase: \bigwedge Cs P \varphi. \llbracket cP = Cases\ Cs; \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto cRs; (\varphi, P) mem\ Cs; \Psi \vdash \varphi; guarded\ P \rrbracket \implies Prop$

and $rPar1: \bigwedge \Psi_Q P \alpha P' Q A_Q. \llbracket cP = P \parallel Q; cRs = \alpha \prec (P' \parallel Q);$

$(\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q) \triangleright P \mapsto (\alpha \prec P'); extractFrame\ Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle; distinct$

$A_Q;$

$A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* \alpha; A_Q \#* C; A_Q \#* P'; bn\ \alpha \#* \Psi; bn\ \alpha \#* \Psi_Q;$

$bn\ \alpha \#* Q; bn\ \alpha \#* P; bn\ \alpha \#* subject\ \alpha; bn\ \alpha \#* C; distinct(bn\ \alpha) \rrbracket$

\implies

$Prop$

and $rPar2: \bigwedge \Psi_P Q \alpha Q' P A_P. \llbracket cP = P \parallel Q; cRs = \alpha \prec (P \parallel Q');$

$(\Psi \otimes \Psi_P) \triangleright Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q'; extractFrame\ P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; distinct$

$A_P;$

$A_P \#* P; A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* \alpha; A_P \#* C;$

$A_P \#* Q'; bn\ \alpha \#* \Psi; bn\ \alpha \#* \Psi_P; bn\ \alpha \#* P; bn\ \alpha \#* Q; bn\ \alpha \#* subject\ \alpha; bn\ \alpha \#* C; distinct(bn\ \alpha) \rrbracket \implies Prop$

and $rComm1: \bigwedge \Psi_Q P M N P' A_P \Psi_P Q K xvec Q' A_Q.$
 $\llbracket cP = P \parallel Q; cRs = \tau \prec (\nu * xvec) P' \parallel Q' \rrbracket;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M(N) \prec P'; extractFrame P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle;$
distinct $A_P;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto K(\nu * xvec) \langle N \rangle \prec Q'; extractFrame Q = \langle A_Q,$
 $\Psi_Q \rangle;$ *distinct* $A_Q;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q \vdash M \leftrightarrow K; A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* \Psi_Q; A_P \#* P; A_P \#*$
 $M; A_P \#* N;$
 $A_P \#* P'; A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* Q'; A_P \#* A_Q; A_P \#* xvec; A_Q \#* \Psi;$
 $A_Q \#* \Psi_P;$
 $A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* K; A_Q \#* N; A_Q \#* P'; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* Q'; A_Q$
 $\#* xvec;$
 $xvec \#* \Psi; xvec \#* \Psi_P; xvec \#* \Psi_Q; xvec \#* P; xvec \#* M; xvec \#*$
 $Q;$
 $xvec \#* K; A_P \#* C; A_Q \#* C; xvec \#* C; distinct xvec \rrbracket \implies Prop$
and $rComm2: \bigwedge \Psi_Q P M xvec N P' A_P \Psi_P Q K Q' A_Q.$
 $\llbracket cP = P \parallel Q; cRs = \tau \prec (\nu * xvec) P' \parallel Q' \rrbracket;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu * xvec) \langle N \rangle \prec P'; extractFrame P = \langle A_P,$
 $\Psi_P \rangle;$ *distinct* $A_P;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto K(N) \prec Q'; extractFrame Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle;$
distinct $A_Q;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q \vdash M \leftrightarrow K; A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* \Psi_Q; A_P \#* P; A_P \#*$
 $M; A_P \#* N;$
 $A_P \#* P'; A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* Q'; A_P \#* A_Q; A_P \#* xvec; A_Q \#* \Psi;$
 $A_Q \#* \Psi_P;$
 $A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* K; A_Q \#* N; A_Q \#* P'; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* Q'; A_Q$
 $\#* xvec;$
 $xvec \#* \Psi; xvec \#* \Psi_P; xvec \#* \Psi_Q; xvec \#* P; xvec \#* M; xvec \#*$
 $Q;$
 $xvec \#* K; A_P \#* C; A_Q \#* C; xvec \#* C; distinct xvec \rrbracket \implies Prop$
and $rOpen: \bigwedge P M xvec yvec N P' x.$
 $\llbracket cP = (\nu x) P; cRs = M(\nu * (xvec @ x \# yvec)) \langle N \rangle \prec P';$
 $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu * (xvec @ yvec)) \langle N \rangle \prec P'; x \in supp N; x \# xvec; x \#$
 $yvec; x \# M; x \# \Psi; distinct xvec; distinct yvec;$
 $xvec \#* \Psi; xvec \#* P; xvec \#* M; xvec \#* yvec; yvec \#* \Psi; yvec \#* P;$
 $yvec \#* M; xvec \#* C; x \# C; yvec \#* C \rrbracket \implies$
Prop
and $rScope: \bigwedge P \alpha P' x. \llbracket cP = (\nu x) P; cRs = \alpha \prec (\nu x) P';$
 $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'; x \# \Psi; x \# \alpha; x \# C; bn \alpha \#* \Psi; bn \alpha$
 $\#* P; bn \alpha \#* subject \alpha; bn \alpha \#* C; distinct(bn \alpha) \rrbracket \implies Prop$
and $rBang: \bigwedge P. \llbracket cP = !P; \Psi \triangleright P \parallel !P \mapsto cRs; guarded P \rrbracket \implies Prop$
shows *Prop*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

nominal-primrec

$inputLength :: ('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi \Rightarrow nat$
and $inputLength' :: ('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) input \Rightarrow nat$
and $inputLength'' :: ('a::fs-name, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psiCase \Rightarrow nat$

where

$inputLength \mathbf{0} = 0$
| $inputLength (M(N).P) = 0$
| $inputLength (M(I) = inputLength' I)$
| $inputLength (Case C) = 0$
| $inputLength (P \parallel Q) = 0$
| $inputLength (\nu x)P = 0$
| $inputLength (\Psi) = 0$
| $inputLength (!P) = 0$

| $inputLength' (Trm M P) = 0$
| $inputLength' (\nu y I) = 1 + (inputLength' I)$

| $inputLength'' (\perp_c) = 0$
| $inputLength'' (\Box \Phi \Rightarrow P C) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

nominal-primrec $boundOutputLength :: ('a, 'b, 'c) boundOutput \Rightarrow nat$

where

$boundOutputLength (BOut M P) = 0$
| $boundOutputLength (BStep x B) = (boundOutputLength B) + 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

nominal-primrec $residualLength :: ('a, 'b, 'c) residual \Rightarrow nat$

where

$residualLength (RIn M N P) = 0$
| $residualLength (ROut M B) = boundOutputLength B$
| $residualLength (RTau P) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $inputLengthProc[simp]$:

shows $inputLength(M(\lambda*xvec N).P) = length\ xvec$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $boundOutputLengthSimp[simp]$:

shows $residualLength(M(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P) = length\ xvec$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $boundOutputLengthSimp2[simp]$:

shows $residualLength(\alpha \prec P) = length(bn\ \alpha)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $[simp\ del] = inputLength-inputLength'-inputLength''.simps\ residualLength.simps\ boundOutputLength.simps$

lemma $constructPerm$:

fixes $xvec :: name\ list$
and $yvec :: name\ list$

```

assumes length xvec = length yvec
and xvec #* yvec
and distinct xvec
and distinct yvec

obtains p where set p ⊆ set xvec × set(p · xvec) and distinctPerm p and yvec
= p · xvec
⟨proof⟩

lemma distinctApend[simp]:
fixes xvec :: name list
and yvec :: name list

shows (set xvec ∩ set yvec = {}) = xvec #* yvec
⟨proof⟩

lemma lengthAux:
fixes xvec :: name list
and y :: name
and yvec :: name list

assumes length xvec = length(y#yvec)

obtains z yvec where xvec = z#yvec and length zvec = length yvec
⟨proof⟩

lemma lengthAux2:
fixes xvec :: name list
and yvec :: name list
and zvec :: name list

assumes length xvec = length(yvec@y#zvec)

obtains xvec1 xvec2 where xvec = xvec1@x#xvec2 and length xvec1 = length
yvec and length xvec2 = length zvec
⟨proof⟩

lemma semanticsCases[consumes 11, case-names cInput cCase cPar1 cPar2 cComm1
cComm2 cScope cBang]:
fixes Ψ :: 'b
and cP :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and cRs :: ('a, 'b, 'c) residual
and C :: 'd::fs-name
and x1 :: name
and x2 :: name
and xvec1 :: name list
and xvec2 :: name list
and xvec3 :: name list

```

and $xvec4 :: name\ list$
and $xvec5 :: name\ list$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright cP \mapsto cRs$
and $length\ xvec1 = inputLength\ cP$ **and** $distinct\ xvec1$
and $length\ xvec2 = residualLength\ cRs$ **and** $distinct\ xvec2$
and $length\ xvec3 = residualLength\ cRs$ **and** $distinct\ xvec3$
and $length\ xvec4 = residualLength\ cRs$ **and** $distinct\ xvec4$
and $length\ xvec5 = residualLength\ cRs$ **and** $distinct\ xvec5$
and $rInput: \bigwedge M\ K\ N\ Tvec\ P. (\llbracket xvec1 \#* \Psi; xvec1 \#* cP; xvec1 \#* cRs \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $cP = M(\lambda * xvec1\ N).P \wedge cRs = K(\langle N[xvec1 ::= Tvec] \rangle) \prec P[xvec1 ::= Tvec] \wedge$
 $\Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow K \wedge distinct\ xvec1 \wedge set\ xvec1 \subseteq$
 $supp\ N \wedge length\ xvec1 = length\ Tvec \wedge$
 $xvec1 \#* Tvec \wedge xvec1 \#* \Psi \wedge xvec1 \#* M \wedge$
 $xvec1 \#* K) \Longrightarrow Prop$
and $rOutput: \bigwedge M\ K\ N\ P. \llbracket cP = M\langle N \rangle.P; cRs = K\langle N \rangle \prec P; \Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow K \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow Prop$
and $rCase: \bigwedge Cs\ P\ \varphi. \llbracket cP = Cases\ Cs; \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto cRs; (\varphi, P)\ mem\ Cs; \Psi$
 $\vdash \varphi; guarded\ P \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Prop$
and $rPar1: \bigwedge \Psi_Q\ P\ \alpha\ P'\ Q\ A_Q. (\llbracket xvec2 \#* \Psi; xvec2 \#* cP; xvec2 \#* cRs \rrbracket$
 \Longrightarrow
 $cP = P \parallel Q \wedge cRs = \alpha \prec (P' \parallel Q) \wedge xvec2 =$
 $bn\ \alpha \wedge$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P' \wedge extractFrame\ Q =$
 $\langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle \wedge distinct\ A_Q \wedge$
 $A_Q \#* P \wedge A_Q \#* Q \wedge A_Q \#* \Psi \wedge A_Q \#* \alpha \wedge$
 $A_Q \#* P' \wedge A_Q \#* C) \Longrightarrow Prop$
and $rPar2: \bigwedge \Psi_P\ Q\ \alpha\ Q'\ P\ A_P. (\llbracket xvec3 \#* \Psi; xvec3 \#* cP; xvec3 \#* cRs \rrbracket$
 \Longrightarrow
 $cP = P \parallel Q \wedge cRs = \alpha \prec (P \parallel Q') \wedge xvec3 =$
 $bn\ \alpha \wedge$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q' \wedge extractFrame\ P =$
 $\langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle \wedge distinct\ A_P \wedge$
 $A_P \#* P \wedge A_P \#* Q \wedge A_P \#* \Psi \wedge A_P \#* \alpha \wedge$
 $A_P \#* Q' \wedge A_P \#* C) \Longrightarrow Prop$
and $rComm1: \bigwedge \Psi_Q\ P\ M\ N\ P'\ A_P\ \Psi_P\ Q\ K\ xvec\ Q'\ A_Q.$
 $\llbracket cP = P \parallel Q; cRs = \tau \prec (\nu * xvec)P' \parallel Q';$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M\langle N \rangle \prec P'; extractFrame\ P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle;$
 $distinct\ A_P;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto K(\nu * xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec Q'; extractFrame\ Q = \langle A_Q,$
 $\Psi_Q \rangle; distinct\ A_Q;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q \vdash M \leftrightarrow K; A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* \Psi_Q; A_P \#* P; A_P \#*$
 $M; A_P \#* N;$
 $A_P \#* P'; A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* Q'; A_P \#* A_Q; A_P \#* xvec; A_Q \#* \Psi;$
 $A_Q \#* \Psi_P;$
 $A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* K; A_Q \#* N; A_Q \#* P'; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* Q'; A_Q$
 $\#* xvec;$
 $xvec \#* \Psi; xvec \#* \Psi_P; xvec \#* \Psi_Q; xvec \#* P; xvec \#* M; xvec \#*$
 $Q;$

$\text{and } rComm2: \bigwedge \Psi_Q P M \text{ xvec } N P' A_P \Psi_P Q K Q' A_Q. \\
\llbracket cP = P \parallel Q; cRs = \tau \prec (\nu * \text{xvec}) P' \parallel Q' \rrbracket; \\
\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu * \text{xvec}) \langle N \rangle \prec P'; \text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \\
\Psi_P \rangle; \text{distinct } A_P; \\
\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto K \langle N \rangle \prec Q'; \text{extractFrame } Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle; \\
\text{distinct } A_Q; \\
\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q \vdash M \leftrightarrow K; A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* \Psi_Q; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* \\
M; A_P \#* N; \\
A_P \#* P'; A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* Q'; A_P \#* A_Q; A_P \#* \text{xvec}; A_Q \#* \Psi; \\
A_Q \#* \Psi_P; \\
A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* K; A_Q \#* N; A_Q \#* P'; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* Q'; A_Q \\
\#* \text{xvec}; \\
\text{xvec} \#* \Psi; \text{xvec} \#* \Psi_P; \text{xvec} \#* \Psi_Q; \text{xvec} \#* P; \text{xvec} \#* M; \text{xvec} \#* \\
Q; \\
\text{and } rOpen: \bigwedge P M \text{ xvec } y \text{ yvec } N P'. \\
\llbracket \text{xvec4} \#* \Psi; \text{xvec4} \#* cP; \text{xvec4} \#* cRs; x1 \#* \Psi; x1 \#* cP; x1 \#* \\
cRs; x1 \#* \text{xvec4} \rrbracket \implies \\
cP = (\nu x1) P \wedge cRs = M(\nu * (\text{xvec} @ x1 \# \text{yvec})) \langle N \rangle \prec P' \wedge \\
\text{xvec4} = \text{xvec} @ y \# \text{yvec} \wedge \\
\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu * (\text{xvec} @ \text{yvec})) \langle N \rangle \prec P' \wedge x1 \in \text{supp } N \wedge x1 \# \\
\text{xvec} \wedge x1 \# \text{yvec} \wedge \\
\text{distinct } \text{xvec} \wedge \text{distinct } \text{yvec} \wedge \text{xvec} \#* \Psi \wedge \text{xvec} \#* P \wedge \text{xvec} \#* M \\
\wedge \text{xvec} \#* \text{yvec} \wedge \\
\text{yvec} \#* \Psi \wedge \text{yvec} \#* P \wedge \text{yvec} \#* M) \implies Prop \\
\text{and } rScope: \bigwedge P \alpha P'. (\llbracket \text{xvec5} \#* \Psi; \text{xvec5} \#* cP; \text{xvec5} \#* cRs; x2 \#* \Psi; x2 \#* \\
cP; x2 \#* cRs; x2 \#* \text{xvec5} \rrbracket \implies \\
cP = (\nu x2) P \wedge cRs = \alpha \prec (\nu x2) P' \wedge \text{xvec5} = \text{bn } \alpha \wedge \\
\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P' \wedge x2 \#* \Psi \wedge x2 \#* \alpha \wedge \text{bn } \alpha \#* \text{subject } \alpha \\
\wedge \text{distinct}(\text{bn } \alpha)) \implies Prop \\
\text{and } rBang: \bigwedge P. \llbracket cP = !P; \Psi \triangleright P \parallel !P \mapsto cRs; \text{guarded } P \rrbracket \implies Prop \\
\text{shows } Prop \\
\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *parCases*[*consumes 5, case-names cPar1 cPar2 cComm1 cComm2*]:

fixes Ψ $:: 'b$
and P $:: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and Q $:: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and α $:: 'a \text{action}$
and T $:: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and C $:: 'd::\text{fs-name}$

assumes *Trans*: $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel Q \mapsto \alpha \prec T$

and $\text{bn } \alpha \#* \Psi$

and $\text{bn } \alpha \#* P$

and $\text{bn } \alpha \#* Q$

and $\text{bn } \alpha \#* \text{subject } \alpha$

and *rPar1*: $\bigwedge P' A_Q \Psi_Q. \llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'; \text{extractFrame } Q = \langle A_Q,$

Ψ_Q); *distinct* A_Q ;
 $A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* \alpha; A_Q \#* P'; A_Q$
 $\#* C] \implies \text{Prop } \alpha (P' \parallel Q)$
and $rPar2: \bigwedge Q' A_P \Psi_P. [\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q'; \text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P,$
 $\Psi_P \rangle; \text{distinct } A_P;$
 $A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* \alpha; A_P \#* Q'; A_P \#*$
 $C] \implies \text{Prop } \alpha (P \parallel Q')$
and $rComm1: \bigwedge \Psi_Q M N P' A_P \Psi_P K \text{vec } Q' A_Q.$
 $[\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M(N) \prec P'; \text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; \text{distinct } A_P;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto K(\nu * \text{vec})(N) \prec Q'; \text{extractFrame } Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle;$
distinct $A_Q;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q \vdash M \leftrightarrow K; \text{distinct } \text{vec};$
 $A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* \Psi_Q; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* M; A_P \#* N; A_P \#* P'; A_P$
 $\#* Q; A_P \#* \text{vec}; A_P \#* Q'; A_P \#* A_Q; A_P \#* C;$
 $A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* \Psi_P; A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* K; A_Q \#* N; A_Q \#* P'; A_Q \#*$
 $Q; A_Q \#* \text{vec}; A_Q \#* Q'; A_Q \#* C;$
 $\text{vec } \#* \Psi; \text{vec } \#* \Psi_P; \text{vec } \#* P; \text{vec } \#* M; \text{vec } \#* K; \text{vec } \#* Q;$
 $\text{vec } \#* \Psi_Q; \text{vec } \#* C] \implies$
 $\text{Prop } (\tau) ((\nu * \text{vec})(P' \parallel Q'))$
and $rComm2: \bigwedge \Psi_Q M \text{vec } N P' A_P \Psi_P K Q' A_Q.$
 $[\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu * \text{vec})(N) \prec P'; \text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle;$
distinct $A_P;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto K(N) \prec Q'; \text{extractFrame } Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle; \text{distinct } A_Q;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q \vdash M \leftrightarrow K; \text{distinct } \text{vec};$
 $A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* \Psi_Q; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* M; A_P \#* N; A_P \#* P'; A_P$
 $\#* Q; A_P \#* \text{vec}; A_P \#* Q'; A_P \#* A_Q; A_P \#* C;$
 $A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* \Psi_P; A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* K; A_Q \#* N; A_Q \#* P'; A_Q \#*$
 $Q; A_Q \#* \text{vec}; A_Q \#* Q'; A_Q \#* C;$
 $\text{vec } \#* \Psi; \text{vec } \#* \Psi_P; \text{vec } \#* P; \text{vec } \#* M; \text{vec } \#* K; \text{vec } \#* Q;$
 $\text{vec } \#* \Psi_Q; \text{vec } \#* C] \implies$
 $\text{Prop } (\tau) ((\nu * \text{vec})(P' \parallel Q'))$

shows $\text{Prop } \alpha T$

<proof>

lemma $parInputCases[\text{consumes } 1, \text{case-names } cPar1 \ cPar2]:$

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $M :: 'a$
and $N :: 'a$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $C :: 'd::fs\text{-name}$

assumes $\text{Trans}: \Psi \triangleright P \parallel Q \mapsto M(N) \prec R$

and $rPar1: \bigwedge P' A_Q \Psi_Q. [\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M(N) \prec P'; \text{extractFrame } Q =$
 $\langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle; \text{distinct } A_Q;$
 $A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* M; A_Q \#* N; A_Q \#* C]$
 $\implies \text{Prop } (P' \parallel Q)$

and $rPar2: \bigwedge Q' A_P \Psi_P. [\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto M(N) \prec Q'; \text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; \text{distinct } A_P;$
 $A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* M; A_P \#* N; A_P \#* C]$
 $\implies \text{Prop } (P \parallel Q')$
shows $\text{Prop } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{parOutputCases}[\text{consumes } 5, \text{case-names } cPar1 \ cPar2]:$

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $M :: 'a$
and $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $N :: 'a$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $C :: 'd::\text{fs-name}$

assumes $\text{Trans}: \Psi \triangleright P \parallel Q \mapsto M(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec R$
and $xvec \#* \Psi$
and $xvec \#* P$
and $xvec \#* Q$
and $xvec \#* M$
and $rPar1: \bigwedge P' A_Q \Psi_Q. [\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P'; \text{extractFrame } Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle; \text{distinct } A_Q;$
 $A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* M; A_Q \#* xvec; A_Q \#* N;$
 $A_Q \#* C; A_Q \#* xvec; \text{distinct } xvec] \implies \text{Prop } (P' \parallel Q)$
and $rPar2: \bigwedge Q' A_P \Psi_P. [\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto M(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec Q'; \text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; \text{distinct } A_P;$
 $A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* M; A_P \#* xvec; A_P \#* N;$
 $A_P \#* C; A_P \#* xvec; \text{distinct } xvec] \implies \text{Prop } (P \parallel Q')$
shows $\text{Prop } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{theEqvt}[\text{eqvt-force}]:$

fixes $p :: \text{name prm}$
and $\alpha :: 'a \text{ action}$

assumes $\alpha \neq \tau$

shows $(p \cdot \text{the}(\text{subject } \alpha)) = \text{the}(p \cdot (\text{subject } \alpha))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{theSubjectFresh}[\text{simp}]:$

fixes $\alpha :: 'a \text{ action}$
and $x :: \text{name}$

assumes $\alpha \neq \tau$

shows $x \# \text{the}(\text{subject } \alpha) = x \# \text{subject } \alpha$

<proof>

lemma *theSubjectFreshChain[simp]*:

fixes α :: 'a action
and $xvec$:: name list

assumes $\alpha \neq \tau$

shows $xvec \#* the(subject\ \alpha) = xvec \#* subject\ \alpha$
<proof>

lemma *obtainPrefix*:

fixes Ψ :: 'b
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and α :: 'a action
and P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and A_P :: name list
and Ψ_P :: 'b
and B :: name list

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'$
and $extractFrame\ P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle$
and $distinct\ A_P$
and $bn\ \alpha \#* subject\ \alpha$
and $distinct(bn\ \alpha)$
and $\alpha \neq \tau$
and $B \#* P$
and $A_P \#* \Psi$
and $A_P \#* B$
and $A_P \#* P$
and $A_P \#* subject\ \alpha$

obtains M **where** $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \vdash the(subject\ \alpha) \leftrightarrow M$ **and** $B \#* M$
<proof>

lemma *inputRenameSubject*:

fixes Ψ :: 'b
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and M :: 'a
and N :: 'a
and P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and A_P :: name list
and Ψ_P :: 'b

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(N) \prec P'$
and $extractFrame\ P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle$
and $distinct\ A_P$
and $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \vdash M \leftrightarrow K$
and $A_P \#* \Psi$

and $A_P \#* P$
and $A_P \#* M$
and $A_P \#* K$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto K \langle N \rangle \prec P'$
<proof>

lemma *outputRenameSubject*:

fixes $\Psi \quad :: 'b$
and $P \quad :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $M \quad :: 'a$
and $xvec \quad :: \text{ name list}$
and $N \quad :: 'a$
and $P' \quad :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $A_P \quad :: \text{ name list}$
and $\Psi_P \quad :: 'b$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M \langle \nu * xvec \rangle \langle N \rangle \prec P'$
and $\text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle$
and $\text{distinct } A_P$
and $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \vdash M \leftrightarrow K$
and $A_P \#* \Psi$
and $A_P \#* P$
and $A_P \#* M$
and $A_P \#* K$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto K \langle \nu * xvec \rangle \langle N \rangle \prec P'$
<proof>

lemma *parCasesSubject*[*consumes 7, case-names cPar1 cPar2 cComm1 cComm2*]:

fixes $\Psi \quad :: 'b$
and $P \quad :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q \quad :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\alpha \quad :: 'a \text{ action}$
and $R \quad :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $C \quad :: 'd :: \text{fs-name}$
and $yvec \quad :: \text{ name list}$

assumes *Trans*: $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel Q \mapsto \alpha \prec R$
and $bn \ \alpha \ \#* \ \Psi$
and $bn \ \alpha \ \#* \ P$
and $bn \ \alpha \ \#* \ Q$
and $bn \ \alpha \ \#* \ \text{subject } \alpha$
and $yvec \ \#* \ P$
and $yvec \ \#* \ Q$
and $rPar1: \bigwedge P' A_Q \Psi_Q. \llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'; \text{extractFrame } Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle; \text{distinct } A_Q; A_Q \ \#* \ \Psi; A_Q \ \#* \ P; A_Q \ \#* \ \alpha; A_Q \ \#* \ C \rrbracket \implies \text{Prop } \alpha \ (P' \parallel Q)$
and $rPar2: \bigwedge Q' A_P \Psi_P. \llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q'; \text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P,$

Ψ_P); *distinct* A_P ;
 $A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* \alpha; A_P \#* C] \implies \text{Prop } \alpha (P \parallel Q')$
and $rComm1: \bigwedge \Psi_Q M N P' A_P \Psi_P K \text{vec } Q' A_Q.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M(N) \prec P'; \text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; \text{distinct } A_P;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto K(\nu * \text{vec})(N) \prec Q'; \text{extractFrame } Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle;$
distinct A_Q ;
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q \vdash M \leftrightarrow K; \text{vec } \#* M; \text{vec } \#* K; \text{distinct } \text{vec};$
 $A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* \Psi_Q; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* M; A_P \#* N; A_P \#* P'; A_P$
 $\#* Q; A_P \#* \text{vec}; A_P \#* Q'; A_P \#* A_Q; A_P \#* C;$
 $A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* \Psi_P; A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* K; A_Q \#* N; A_Q \#* P'; A_Q \#*$
 $Q; A_Q \#* \text{vec}; A_Q \#* Q'; A_Q \#* C;$
 $\text{vec } \#* \Psi; \text{vec } \#* \Psi_P; \text{vec } \#* P; \text{vec } \#* M; \text{vec } \#* K; \text{vec } \#* Q;$
 $\text{vec } \#* \Psi_Q; \text{vec } \#* C] \implies$
 $\text{Prop } (\tau) ((\nu * \text{vec})(P' \parallel Q'))$
and $rComm2: \bigwedge \Psi_Q M \text{vec } N P' A_P \Psi_P K Q' A_Q.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu * \text{vec})(N) \prec P'; \text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle;$
distinct A_P ;
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto K(N) \prec Q'; \text{extractFrame } Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle; \text{distinct } A_Q;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q \vdash M \leftrightarrow K; \text{vec } \#* M; \text{vec } \#* K; \text{distinct } \text{vec};$
 $A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* \Psi_Q; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* M; A_P \#* N; A_P \#* P'; A_P$
 $\#* Q; A_P \#* \text{vec}; A_P \#* Q'; A_P \#* A_Q; A_P \#* C;$
 $A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* \Psi_P; A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* K; A_Q \#* N; A_Q \#* P'; A_Q \#*$
 $Q; A_Q \#* \text{vec}; A_Q \#* Q'; A_Q \#* C;$
 $\text{vec } \#* \Psi; \text{vec } \#* \Psi_P; \text{vec } \#* P; \text{vec } \#* M; \text{vec } \#* K; \text{vec } \#* Q;$
 $\text{vec } \#* \Psi_Q; \text{vec } \#* C] \implies$
 $\text{Prop } (\tau) ((\nu * \text{vec})(P' \parallel Q'))$

shows $\text{Prop } \alpha R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{inputCases}[\text{consumes } 1, \text{case-names } cInput]:$

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $M :: 'a$
and $\text{vec} :: \text{name list}$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $\alpha :: 'a \text{ action}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

assumes $\text{Trans}: \Psi \triangleright M(\lambda * \text{vec } N).P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'$
and $rInput: \bigwedge K Tvec. \llbracket \Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow K; \text{set } \text{vec} \subseteq \text{supp } N; \text{length } \text{vec} = \text{length } Tvec; \text{distinct } \text{vec} \rrbracket \implies \text{Prop } (K(N[\text{vec} ::= Tvec])) (P[\text{vec} ::= Tvec])$

shows $\text{Prop } \alpha P'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{outputCases}[\text{consumes } 1, \text{case-names } cOutput]:$

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $M :: 'a$

and $N :: 'a$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\alpha :: 'a \text{ action}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright M\langle N \rangle.P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'$
and $\bigwedge K. \Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow K \implies \text{Prop } (K\langle N \rangle) P$

shows $\text{Prop } \alpha P'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{caseCases}[consumes 1, \text{case-names } c\text{Case}]$:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $Cs :: ('c \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ list}$
and $\alpha :: 'a \text{ action}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $\text{Trans}: \Psi \triangleright (\text{Cases } Cs) \mapsto R_s$
and $r\text{Case}: \bigwedge \varphi P. \llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto R_s; (\varphi, P) \text{ mem } Cs; \Psi \vdash \varphi; \text{ guarded } P \rrbracket \implies \text{Prop}$

shows Prop
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{resCases}[consumes 7, \text{case-names } c\text{Open } c\text{Res}]$:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $x :: \text{ name}$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\alpha :: 'a \text{ action}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $C :: 'd::\text{fs-name}$

assumes $\text{Trans}: \Psi \triangleright (\nu x)P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'$
and $x \# \Psi$
and $x \# \alpha$
and $x \# P'$
and $bn \alpha \#* \Psi$
and $bn \alpha \#* P$
and $bn \alpha \#* \text{ subject } \alpha$
and $r\text{Open}: \bigwedge M \text{ xvec } yvec \ y \ N \ P'. \llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu*(\text{xvec}@yvec))\langle [(x, y)] \cdot N \rangle \prec ([x, y] \cdot P'); y \in \text{supp } N;$
 $x \# N; x \# P'; x \neq y; y \# \text{xvec}; y \# yvec; y \# M;$
 $\text{distinct } \text{xvec}; \text{ distinct } yvec;$
 $\text{xvec} \#* \Psi; y \# \Psi; yvec \#* \Psi; \text{xvec} \#* P; y \# P;$
 $yvec \#* P; \text{xvec} \#* M; y \# M;$
 $yvec \#* M; \text{xvec} \#* yvec \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{Prop } (M(\nu*(\text{xvec}@y\#yvec))\langle N \rangle) P'$

and $r\text{Scope}: \bigwedge P'. \llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P' \rrbracket \implies \text{Prop } \alpha ((\nu x)P')$

shows $Prop \alpha P'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $resCases'$ [*consumes* γ , *case-names* $cOpen$ $cRes$]:

fixes Ψ :: 'b
and x :: *name*
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) *psi*
and α :: 'a *action*
and P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) *psi*
and C :: 'd::fs-name

assumes $Trans: \Psi \triangleright (\nu x)P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'$

and $x \# \Psi$

and $x \# \alpha$

and $x \# P'$

and $bn \alpha \#* \Psi$

and $bn \alpha \#* P$

and $bn \alpha \#* subject \alpha$

and $rOpen: \bigwedge M \ xvec \ yvec \ y \ N \ P'. \llbracket \Psi \triangleright ((x, y) \cdot P) \mapsto M(\nu*(xvec@yvec)) \langle N \rangle \prec P'; y \in supp \ N; \right.$

$x \# N; x \# P'; x \neq y; y \# xvec; y \# yvec; y \# M;$

$distinct \ xvec; distinct \ yvec;$

$xvec \#* \Psi; y \# \Psi; yvec \#* \Psi; xvec \#* P; y \# P;$

$yvec \#* P; xvec \#* M; y \# M;$

$yvec \#* M; xvec \#* yvec \rrbracket \implies$

$Prop (M(\nu*(xvec@y\#yvec)) \langle N \rangle) P'$

and $rScope: \bigwedge P'. \llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P' \rrbracket \implies Prop \alpha ((\nu x)P')$

shows $Prop \alpha P'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation

$statImpJudge (\prec \leftrightarrow \rightarrow [80, 80] 80)$

where $\Psi \leftrightarrow \Psi' \equiv Assertion.StatImp \Psi \Psi'$

lemma $statEqTransition$:

fixes Ψ :: 'b

and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) *psi*

and Rs :: ('a, 'b, 'c) *residual*

and Ψ' :: 'b

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto Rs$

and $\Psi \simeq \Psi'$

shows $\Psi' \triangleright P \mapsto Rs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $actionPar1Dest'$:

fixes α :: ('a::fs-name) *action*

and $P :: ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi$
and $\beta :: ('a::fs-name) action$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $\alpha \prec P = \beta \prec (Q \parallel R)$
and $bn \alpha \#* R$
and $bn \beta \#* R$

obtains T **where** $P = T \parallel R$ **and** $\alpha \prec T = \beta \prec Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *actionPar2Dest'*:
fixes $\alpha :: ('a::fs-name) action$
and $P :: ('a, 'b::fs-name, 'c::fs-name) psi$
and $\beta :: ('a::fs-name) action$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $\alpha \prec P = \beta \prec (Q \parallel R)$
and $bn \alpha \#* Q$
and $bn \beta \#* Q$

obtains T **where** $P = Q \parallel T$ **and** $\alpha \prec T = \beta \prec R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *expandNonTauFrame*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $\alpha :: 'a action$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $A_P :: name list$
and $\Psi_P :: 'b$
and $C :: 'd::fs-name$
and $C' :: 'e::fs-name$

assumes *Trans*: $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'$
and $extractFrame P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle$
and $distinct A_P$
and $bn \alpha \#* subject \alpha$
and $A_P \#* P$
and $A_P \#* \alpha$
and $A_P \#* C$
and $A_P \#* C'$
and $bn \alpha \#* P$
and $bn \alpha \#* C'$
and $\alpha \neq \tau$

obtains $p \Psi' A_P' \Psi_{P'}$ **where** $set p \subseteq set(bn \alpha) \times set(bn(p \cdot \alpha))$ **and** $(p \cdot \Psi_P)$

$\otimes \Psi' \simeq \Psi_{P'}$ and *distinctPerm* p and
 $\text{extractFrame } P' = \langle A_{P'}, \Psi_{P'} \rangle$ and $A_{P'} \#* P'$ and $A_{P'} \#*$
 α and $A_{P'} \#* (p \cdot \alpha)$ and
 $A_{P'} \#* C$ and $(bn(p \cdot \alpha)) \#* C'$ and $(bn(p \cdot \alpha)) \#* \alpha$ and
 $(bn(p \cdot \alpha)) \#* P'$ and *distinct* $A_{P'}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *expandTauFrame*:

fixes Ψ :: 'b
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and A_P :: name list
and Ψ_P :: 'b
and C :: 'd::fs-name

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto_{\tau} \prec P'$
and $\text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle$
and *distinct* A_P
and $A_P \#* P$
and $A_P \#* C$

obtains $\Psi' A_{P'} \Psi_{P'}$ where $\text{extractFrame } P' = \langle A_{P'}, \Psi_{P'} \rangle$ and $\Psi_P \otimes \Psi' \simeq$
 $\Psi_{P'}$ and $A_{P'} \#* C$ and $A_{P'} \#* P'$ and *distinct* $A_{P'}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *expandFrame*:

fixes Ψ :: 'b
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and α :: 'a action
and P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and A_P :: name list
and Ψ_P :: 'b
and C :: 'd::fs-name
and C' :: 'e::fs-name

assumes *Trans*: $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto_{\alpha} \prec P'$
and $\text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle$
and *distinct* A_P
and $bn \alpha \#*$ subject α
and *distinct*($bn \alpha$)
and $A_P \#* \alpha$
and $A_P \#* P$
and $A_P \#* C$
and $A_P \#* C'$
and $bn \alpha \#* P$
and $bn \alpha \#* C'$

obtains $p \Psi' A_{P'} \Psi_{P'}$ where $set p \subseteq set(bn \alpha) \times set(bn(p \cdot \alpha))$ and $(p \cdot \Psi_P)$
 $\otimes \Psi' \simeq \Psi_{P'}$ and *distinctPerm* p and

α and $A_{P'} \#* (p \cdot \alpha)$ and $\langle \text{extractFrame } P' = \langle A_{P'}, \Psi_{P'} \rangle \text{ and } A_{P'} \#* P' \text{ and } A_{P'} \#* \langle \text{bn}(p \cdot \alpha) \rangle \#* P' \text{ and } \text{distinct } A_{P'} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation

$\text{frameImpJudge } (\langle - \hookrightarrow_F - \rangle [80, 80] 80)$
where $F \hookrightarrow_F G \equiv \text{FrameStatImp } F G$

lemma *FrameStatEqImpCompose:*

fixes $F :: 'b \text{ frame}$
and $G :: 'b \text{ frame}$
and $H :: 'b \text{ frame}$
and $I :: 'b \text{ frame}$

assumes $F \simeq_F G$
and $G \hookrightarrow_F H$
and $H \simeq_F I$

shows $F \hookrightarrow_F I$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *transferNonTauFrame:*

fixes $\Psi_F :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\alpha :: 'a \text{ action}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $A_F :: \text{name list}$
and $A_G :: \text{name list}$
and $\Psi_G :: 'b$

assumes $\Psi_F \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'$
and $\langle \text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle$
and $\text{distinct } A_P$
and $\text{distinct}(\text{bn } \alpha)$
and $\langle A_F, \Psi_F \otimes \Psi_P \rangle \hookrightarrow_F \langle A_G, \Psi_G \otimes \Psi_P \rangle$
and $A_F \#* P$
and $A_G \#* P$
and $A_F \#* \text{subject } \alpha$
and $A_G \#* \text{subject } \alpha$
and $A_P \#* A_F$
and $A_P \#* A_G$
and $A_P \#* \Psi_F$
and $A_P \#* \Psi_G$
and $\alpha \neq \tau$

shows $\Psi_G \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *transferTauFrame*:

fixes $\Psi_F :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $A_F :: \text{ name list}$
and $A_G :: \text{ name list}$
and $\Psi_G :: 'b$

assumes $\Psi_F \triangleright P \mapsto \tau \prec P'$
and $\text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle$
and $\text{distinct } A_P$
and $\langle A_F, \Psi_F \otimes \Psi_P \rangle \hookrightarrow_F \langle A_G, \Psi_G \otimes \Psi_P \rangle$
and $A_F \#^* P$
and $A_G \#^* P$
and $A_P \#^* A_F$
and $A_P \#^* A_G$
and $A_P \#^* \Psi_F$
and $A_P \#^* \Psi_G$

shows $\Psi_G \triangleright P \mapsto \tau \prec P'$
<proof>

lemma *transferFrame*:

fixes $\Psi_F :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\alpha :: 'a \text{ action}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $A_F :: \text{ name list}$
and $A_G :: \text{ name list}$
and $\Psi_G :: 'b$

assumes $\Psi_F \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'$
and $\text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle$
and $\text{distinct } A_P$
and $\langle A_F, \Psi_F \otimes \Psi_P \rangle \hookrightarrow_F \langle A_G, \Psi_G \otimes \Psi_P \rangle$
and $A_F \#^* P$
and $A_G \#^* P$
and $A_F \#^* \text{subject } \alpha$
and $A_G \#^* \text{subject } \alpha$
and $A_P \#^* A_F$
and $A_P \#^* A_G$
and $A_P \#^* \Psi_F$
and $A_P \#^* \Psi_G$

shows $\Psi_G \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'$
<proof>

lemma *parCasesInputFrame*[*consumes 7, case-names cPar1 cPar2*]:

```

fixes  $\Psi$   :: 'b
and   $P$    :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and   $Q$    :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and   $M$    :: 'a
and   $xvec$  :: name list
and   $N$    :: 'a
and   $T$    :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and   $C$    :: 'd::fs-name

assumes Trans:  $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel Q \mapsto M(N) \prec T$ 
and    extractFrame( $P \parallel Q$ ) =  $\langle A_{PQ}, \Psi_{PQ} \rangle$ 
and    distinct  $A_{PQ}$ 
and     $A_{PQ} \#* \Psi$ 
and     $A_{PQ} \#* P$ 
and     $A_{PQ} \#* Q$ 
and     $A_{PQ} \#* M$ 
and    rPar1:  $\bigwedge P' A_P \Psi_P A_Q \Psi_Q. [\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M(N) \prec P'; \text{extractFrame}$ 
 $P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; \text{extractFrame } Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle;$ 
 $\text{distinct } A_P; \text{distinct } A_Q; A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* P; A_P \#*$ 
 $Q; A_P \#* M; A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* M;$ 
 $A_P \#* \Psi_Q; A_Q \#* \Psi_P; A_P \#* A_Q; A_{PQ} = A_P @ A_Q;$ 
 $\Psi_{PQ} = \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q] \implies \text{Prop } (P' \parallel Q)$ 
and    rPar2:  $\bigwedge Q' A_P \Psi_P A_Q \Psi_Q. [\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto M(N) \prec Q'; \text{extractFrame}$ 
 $P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; \text{extractFrame } Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle;$ 
 $\text{distinct } A_P; \text{distinct } A_Q; A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* P; A_P \#*$ 
 $Q; A_P \#* M; A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* M;$ 
 $A_P \#* \Psi_Q; A_Q \#* \Psi_P; A_P \#* A_Q; A_{PQ} = A_P @ A_Q;$ 
 $\Psi_{PQ} = \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q] \implies \text{Prop } (P \parallel Q')$ 
shows Prop T
<proof>

```

lemma *parCasesOutputFrame*[*consumes 11, case-names cPar1 cPar2*]:

```

fixes  $\Psi$   :: 'b
and   $P$    :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and   $Q$    :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and   $M$    :: 'a
and   $xvec$  :: name list
and   $N$    :: 'a
and   $T$    :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and   $C$    :: 'd::fs-name

```

```

assumes Trans:  $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel Q \mapsto M(\nu * xvec)(N) \prec T$ 
and     $xvec \#* \Psi$ 
and     $xvec \#* P$ 
and     $xvec \#* Q$ 
and     $xvec \#* M$ 
and    extractFrame( $P \parallel Q$ ) =  $\langle A_{PQ}, \Psi_{PQ} \rangle$ 
and    distinct  $A_{PQ}$ 
and     $A_{PQ} \#* \Psi$ 

```

and $A_{PQ} \#* P$
and $A_{PQ} \#* Q$
and $A_{PQ} \#* M$
and $rPar1: \bigwedge P' A_P \Psi_P A_Q \Psi_Q. [\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P';$
 $extractFrame P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; extractFrame Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle;$
 $distinct A_P; distinct A_Q; A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* P; A_P \#*$
 $Q; A_P \#* M; A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* M;$
 $A_P \#* \Psi_Q; A_Q \#* \Psi_P; A_P \#* A_Q; A_{PQ} = A_P @ A_Q;$
 $\Psi_{PQ} = \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q] \implies Prop (P' \parallel Q)$
and $rPar2: \bigwedge Q' A_P \Psi_P A_Q \Psi_Q. [\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto M(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec Q';$
 $extractFrame P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; extractFrame Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle;$
 $distinct A_P; distinct A_Q; A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* P; A_P \#*$
 $Q; A_P \#* M; A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* M;$
 $A_P \#* \Psi_Q; A_Q \#* \Psi_P; A_P \#* A_Q; A_{PQ} = A_P @ A_Q;$
 $\Psi_{PQ} = \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q] \implies Prop (P \parallel Q')$
shows $Prop T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

inductive $bangPred :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \Rightarrow bool$

where

$aux1: bangPred P (!P)$
 $| aux2: bangPred P (P \parallel !P)$

lemma $bangInduct[consumes 1, case-names cPar1 cPar2 cComm1 cComm2 cBang]:$

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Rs :: ('a, 'b, 'c) residual$
and $Prop :: 'd :: fs-name \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) residual \Rightarrow bool$
and $C :: 'd$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright !P \mapsto Rs$

and $rPar1: \bigwedge \alpha P' C. [\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'; bn \alpha \#* \Psi; bn \alpha \#* P; bn \alpha \#*$
 $subject \alpha; bn \alpha \#* C; distinct(bn \alpha)] \implies Prop C \Psi (P \parallel !P) (\alpha \prec (P' \parallel !P))$

and $rPar2: \bigwedge \alpha P' C. [\Psi \triangleright !P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'; bn \alpha \#* \Psi; bn \alpha \#* P; bn \alpha \#*$
 $subject \alpha; bn \alpha \#* C; distinct(bn \alpha);$

$\bigwedge C. Prop C \Psi (!P) (\alpha \prec P')] \implies Prop C \Psi (P \parallel !P) (\alpha$
 $\prec (P \parallel P'))$

and $rComm1: \bigwedge M N P' K xvec P'' C. [\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P'; \Psi \triangleright !P$
 $\mapsto K(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P''; \bigwedge C. Prop C \Psi (!P) (K(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P''); \Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow$
 $K;$

$xvec \#* \Psi; xvec \#* P; xvec \#* M; xvec \#* K;$
 $xvec \#* C; distinct xvec] \implies Prop C \Psi (P \parallel !P) (\tau \prec (\nu*xvec)\langle P' \parallel P'' \rangle)$

and $rComm2: \bigwedge M xvec N P' K P'' C. [\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P'; \Psi$
 $\triangleright !P \mapsto K(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P''; \bigwedge C. Prop C \Psi (!P) (K(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P''); \Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow K;$

$xvec \#* \Psi; xvec \#* P; xvec \#* M; xvec \#* K;$
 $xvec \#* C; distinct xvec] \implies Prop C \Psi (P \parallel !P) (\tau \prec (\nu*xvec)\langle P' \parallel P'' \rangle)$

and $rBang: \bigwedge Rs C. [\Psi \triangleright P \parallel !P \mapsto Rs; \bigwedge C. Prop C \Psi (P \parallel !P) Rs;$
 $guarded P] \implies Prop C \Psi (!P) Rs$

shows $Prop C \Psi (!P) Rs$

<proof>

lemma *bangInputInduct*[*consumes 1, case-names cPar1 cPar2 cBang*]:

fixes Ψ :: 'b
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) *psi*
and M :: 'a
and N :: 'a
and P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) *psi*
and $Prop$:: 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) *psi* \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) *psi* \Rightarrow *bool*

assumes $\Psi \triangleright !P \mapsto M(N) \prec P'$
and $rPar1: \bigwedge P'. \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(N) \prec P' \Rightarrow Prop \Psi (P \parallel !P) M N (P' \parallel !P)$
and $rPar2: \bigwedge P'. [\Psi \triangleright !P \mapsto M(N) \prec P'; Prop \Psi (!P) M N P'] \Rightarrow Prop \Psi (P \parallel !P) M N (P \parallel P')$
and $rBang: \bigwedge P'. [\Psi \triangleright P \parallel !P \mapsto M(N) \prec P'; Prop \Psi (P \parallel !P) M N P'; guarded P] \Rightarrow Prop \Psi (!P) M N P'$
shows $Prop \Psi (!P) M N P'$
<proof>

lemma *bangOutputInduct*[*consumes 1, case-names cPar1 cPar2 cBang*]:

fixes Ψ :: 'b
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) *psi*
and M :: 'a
and $xvec$:: *name list*
and N :: 'a
and P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) *psi*
and $Prop$:: 'd::*fs-name* \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) *psi* \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) *boundOutput* \Rightarrow *bool*
and C :: 'd

assumes $\Psi \triangleright !P \mapsto M(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P'$
and $rPar1: \bigwedge xvec N P' C. [\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P'; xvec \#* \Psi; xvec \#* P; xvec \#* M; xvec \#* C; distinct xvec] \Rightarrow Prop C \Psi (P \parallel !P) M ((\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec' (P' \parallel !P))$
and $rPar2: \bigwedge xvec N P' C. [\Psi \triangleright !P \mapsto M(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P'; \bigwedge C. Prop C \Psi (!P) M ((\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec' P'); xvec \#* \Psi; xvec \#* P; xvec \#* M; xvec \#* C; distinct xvec] \Rightarrow Prop C \Psi (P \parallel !P) M ((\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec' (P \parallel P'))$
and $rBang: \bigwedge B C. [\Psi \triangleright P \parallel !P \mapsto (ROut M B); \bigwedge C. Prop C \Psi (P \parallel !P) M B; guarded P] \Rightarrow Prop C \Psi (!P) M B$

shows $Prop C \Psi (!P) M ((\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec' P')$
<proof>

lemma *bangTauInduct*[*consumes 1, case-names cPar1 cPar2 cComm1 cComm2 cBang*]:

fixes Ψ :: 'b
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) *psi*

and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\text{Prop} :: 'd::\text{fs-name} \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
and $C :: 'd$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright !P \mapsto \tau \prec P'$
and $rPar1: \bigwedge P' C. \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \tau \prec P' \Longrightarrow \text{Prop } C \Psi (P \parallel !P) (P' \parallel !P)$
and $rPar2: \bigwedge P' C. \llbracket \Psi \triangleright !P \mapsto \tau \prec P'; \bigwedge C. \text{Prop } C \Psi (!P) P \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{Prop } C \Psi (P \parallel !P) (P \parallel P')$
and $rComm1: \bigwedge M N P' K \text{ xvec } P'' C. \llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(N) \prec P'; \Psi \triangleright !P \mapsto K(\nu * \text{xvec})(N) \prec P''; \Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow K;$
 $\text{xvec} \#* \Psi; \text{xvec} \#* P; \text{xvec} \#* M; \text{xvec} \#* K;$
 $\text{xvec} \#* C \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{Prop } C \Psi (P \parallel !P) ((\nu * \text{xvec})(P' \parallel P''))$
and $rComm2: \bigwedge M N P' K \text{ xvec } P'' C. \llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu * \text{xvec})(N) \prec P'; \Psi \triangleright !P \mapsto K(N) \prec P''; \Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow K;$
 $\text{xvec} \#* \Psi; \text{xvec} \#* P; \text{xvec} \#* M; \text{xvec} \#* K;$
 $\text{xvec} \#* C \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{Prop } C \Psi (P \parallel !P) ((\nu * \text{xvec})(P' \parallel P''))$
and $rBang: \bigwedge P' C. \llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \parallel !P \mapsto \tau \prec P'; \bigwedge C. \text{Prop } C \Psi (P \parallel !P) P';$
 $\text{guarded } P \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{Prop } C \Psi (!P) P'$

shows $\text{Prop } C \Psi (!P) P'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{bangInduct}'[\text{consumes } 2, \text{case-names } cAlpha \text{ cPar1 } cPar2 \text{ cComm1 } cComm2 \text{ cBang}]$:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\alpha :: 'a \text{ action}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\text{Prop} :: 'd::\text{fs-name} \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ action} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
and $C :: 'd::\text{fs-name}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright !P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'$
and $bn \alpha \#* \text{subject } \alpha$
and $rAlpha: \bigwedge \alpha P' p C. \llbracket bn \alpha \#* \Psi; bn \alpha \#* P; bn \alpha \#* \text{subject } \alpha; bn \alpha \#* C;$
 $\text{set } p \subseteq \text{set}(bn \alpha) \times \text{set}(bn(p \cdot \alpha)); \text{distinctPerm } p;$
 $bn(p \cdot \alpha) \#* \alpha; bn(p \cdot \alpha) \#* P'; \text{Prop } C \Psi (P \parallel !P) \alpha$
 $P \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{Prop } C \Psi (P \parallel !P) (p \cdot \alpha) (p \cdot P')$
and $rPar1: \bigwedge \alpha P' C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'; bn \alpha \#* \Psi; bn \alpha \#* P; bn \alpha \#* \text{subject } \alpha; bn \alpha \#* C; \text{distinct}(bn \alpha) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{Prop } C \Psi (P \parallel !P) \alpha (P' \parallel !P)$
and $rPar2: \bigwedge \alpha P' C.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \triangleright !P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'; \bigwedge C. \text{Prop } C \Psi (!P) \alpha P';$
 $bn \alpha \#* \Psi; bn \alpha \#* P; bn \alpha \#* \text{subject } \alpha; bn \alpha \#* C; \text{distinct}(bn$
 $\alpha) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{Prop } C \Psi (P \parallel !P) \alpha (P \parallel P')$

and $rComm1: \bigwedge M N P' K \text{ xvec } P'' C. \llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M \langle N \rangle \prec P'; \Psi \triangleright !P \mapsto K \langle \nu * \text{xvec} \rangle \langle N \rangle \prec P''; \bigwedge C. \text{ Prop } C \Psi (!P) (K \langle \nu * \text{xvec} \rangle \langle N \rangle) P''; \Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow K;$
 $\text{xvec} \#* C; \text{ distinct xvec} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{ Prop } C \Psi (P \parallel !P) (\tau) (\langle \nu * \text{xvec} \rangle (P' \parallel P''))$
and $rComm2: \bigwedge M \text{ xvec } N P' K P'' C. \llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M \langle \nu * \text{xvec} \rangle \langle N \rangle \prec P'; \Psi \triangleright !P \mapsto K \langle N \rangle \prec P''; \bigwedge C. \text{ Prop } C \Psi (!P) (K \langle N \rangle) P''; \Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow K;$
 $\text{xvec} \#* C; \text{ distinct xvec} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{ Prop } C \Psi (P \parallel !P) (\tau) (\langle \nu * \text{xvec} \rangle (P' \parallel P''))$
and $rBang: \bigwedge \alpha P' C. \llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \parallel !P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'; \text{ guarded } P; \bigwedge C. \text{ Prop } C \Psi (P \parallel !P) \alpha P'; \text{ guarded } P; \text{ distinct } (bn \alpha) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{ Prop } C \Psi (!P) \alpha P'$
shows $\text{ Prop } C \Psi (!P) \alpha P'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $comm1Aux:$

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $\Psi_Q :: 'b$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $K :: 'a$
and $\text{ xvec} :: \text{ name list}$
and $N :: 'a$
and $R' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $A_R :: \text{ name list}$
and $\Psi_R :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $M :: 'a$
and $L :: 'a$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $A_P :: \text{ name list}$
and $\Psi_P :: 'b$
and $A_Q :: \text{ name list}$

assumes $RTrans: \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright R \mapsto K \langle \nu * \text{xvec} \rangle \langle N \rangle \prec R'$
and $FrR: \text{ extractFrame } R = \langle A_R, \Psi_R \rangle$
and $PTrans: \Psi \otimes \Psi_R \triangleright P \mapsto M \langle L \rangle \prec P'$
and $MeqK: \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \otimes \Psi_R \vdash M \leftrightarrow K$
and $PeqQ: \langle A_Q, (\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q) \otimes \Psi_R \rangle \hookrightarrow_F \langle A_P, (\Psi \otimes \Psi_P) \otimes \Psi_R \rangle$
and $FrP: \text{ extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle$
and $FrQ: \text{ extractFrame } Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle$
and $\text{ distinct } A_P$
and $\text{ distinct } A_R$
and $A_R \#* A_P$
and $A_R \#* A_Q$
and $A_R \#* \Psi$
and $A_R \#* P$
and $A_R \#* Q$
and $A_R \#* R$

and $A_R \#* K$
and $A_P \#* \Psi$
and $A_P \#* R$
and $A_P \#* P$
and $A_P \#* M$
and $A_Q \#* R$
and $A_Q \#* M$

obtains K' **where** $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright R \mapsto K'(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec R'$ **and** $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_R$
 $\vdash M \leftrightarrow K'$ **and** $A_R \#* K'$
<proof>

lemma *comm2Aux*:

fixes Ψ $:: 'b$
and Ψ_Q $:: 'b$
and R $:: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*
and K $:: 'a$
and N $:: 'a$
and R' $:: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*
and A_R $::$ *name list*
and Ψ_R $:: 'b$
and P $:: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*
and M $:: 'a$
and $xvec$ $::$ *name list*
and P' $:: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*
and A_P $::$ *name list*
and Ψ_P $:: 'b$
and A_Q $::$ *name list*

assumes $RTrans$: $\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright R \mapsto K\langle N \rangle \prec R'$
and FrR : $extractFrame R = \langle A_R, \Psi_R \rangle$
and $PTrans$: $\Psi \otimes \Psi_R \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P'$
and $MeqK$: $\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \otimes \Psi_R \vdash M \leftrightarrow K$
and $QimpP$: $\langle A_Q, (\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q) \otimes \Psi_R \rangle \hookrightarrow_F \langle A_P, (\Psi \otimes \Psi_P) \otimes \Psi_R \rangle$
and FrP : $extractFrame P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle$
and FrQ : $extractFrame Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle$
and *distinct* A_P
and *distinct* A_R
and $A_R \#* A_P$
and $A_R \#* A_Q$
and $A_R \#* \Psi$
and $A_R \#* P$
and $A_R \#* Q$
and $A_R \#* R$
and $A_R \#* K$
and $A_P \#* \Psi$
and $A_P \#* R$
and $A_P \#* P$
and $A_P \#* M$

```

and    $A_Q \#* R$ 
and    $A_Q \#* M$ 
and    $A_R \#* xvec$ 
and    $xvec \#* M$ 

obtains  $K'$  where  $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright R \mapsto K'(|N|) \prec R'$  and  $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_R \vdash M \leftrightarrow$ 
 $K'$  and  $A_R \#* K'$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

end

theory Simulation
  imports Semantics
begin

context env begin

definition
  simulation ::  $'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \Rightarrow$ 
     $('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set \Rightarrow$ 
     $('a, 'b, 'c) psi \Rightarrow bool (\prec \triangleright - \rightsquigarrow [-] \rightarrow [80, 80, 80, 80] 80)$ 

where
   $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow[Rel] Q \equiv \forall \alpha Q'. \Psi \triangleright Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q' \longrightarrow bn \alpha \#* \Psi \longrightarrow bn \alpha \#* P$ 
 $\longrightarrow (\exists P'. \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P' \wedge (\Psi, P', Q') \in Rel)$ 

abbreviation
  simulationNilJudge  $(\prec \rightsquigarrow [-] \rightarrow [80, 80, 80] 80)$  where  $P \rightsquigarrow[Rel] Q \equiv SBottom'$ 
 $\triangleright P \rightsquigarrow[Rel] Q$ 

lemma simI[consumes 1, case-names cSim]:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
  and  $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$ 
  and  $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
  and  $C :: 'd::fs-name$ 

  assumes Eqvt: eqvt Rel
  and Sim:  $\bigwedge \alpha Q'. \llbracket \Psi \triangleright Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q'; bn \alpha \#* P; bn \alpha \#* Q; bn \alpha \#* \Psi;$ 
distinct( $bn \alpha$ );
 $bn \alpha \#* (subject \alpha); bn \alpha \#* C \rrbracket \implies \exists P'. \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'$ 
 $\wedge (\Psi, P', Q') \in Rel$ 

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow[Rel] Q$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma simI2[case-names cSim]:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 

```

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $C :: 'd::\text{fs-name}$

assumes $Sim: \bigwedge \alpha Q'. [\Psi \triangleright Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q'; \text{bn } \alpha \#* P; \text{bn } \alpha \#* \Psi; \text{distinct}(\text{bn } \alpha)] \implies \exists P'. \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P' \wedge (\Psi, P', Q') \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow[Rel] Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{simIChainFresh}[\text{consumes } 4, \text{case-names } cSim]:$

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $C :: 'd::\text{fs-name}$

assumes $Eqvt: \text{eqvt } Rel$

and $xvec \#* \Psi$
and $xvec \#* P$
and $xvec \#* Q$
and $Sim: \bigwedge \alpha Q'. [\Psi \triangleright Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q'; \text{bn } \alpha \#* P; \text{bn } \alpha \#* Q; \text{bn } \alpha \#* \Psi; \text{bn } \alpha \#* \text{subject } \alpha; \text{distinct}(\text{bn } \alpha); \text{bn } \alpha \#* C; xvec \#* \alpha; xvec \#* Q'] \implies$

$\exists P'. \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P' \wedge (\Psi, P', Q') \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow[Rel] Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{simIFresh}[\text{consumes } 4, \text{case-names } cSim]:$

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $x :: \text{name}$
and $C :: 'd::\text{fs-name}$

assumes $Eqvt: \text{eqvt } Rel$

and $x \# \Psi$
and $x \# P$
and $x \# Q$
and $\bigwedge \alpha Q'. [\Psi \triangleright Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q'; \text{bn } \alpha \#* P; \text{bn } \alpha \#* Q; \text{bn } \alpha \#* \Psi; \text{bn } \alpha \#* \text{subject } \alpha; \text{distinct}(\text{bn } \alpha); \text{bn } \alpha \#* C; x \# \alpha; x \# Q'] \implies$

$\exists P'. \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P' \wedge (\Psi, P', Q') \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow[Rel] Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *simE*:

fixes $F :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow[Rel] Q$

shows $\bigwedge \alpha Q'. [\Psi \triangleright Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q'; \text{bn } \alpha \#* \Psi; \text{bn } \alpha \#* P] \implies \exists P'. \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \alpha \prec P' \wedge (\Psi, P', Q') \in Rel$
<proof>

lemma *simClosedAux*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

and $p :: \text{name prm}$

assumes *EqvtRel*: $\text{eqvt } Rel$

and *PSimQ*: $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow[Rel] Q$

shows $(p \cdot \Psi) \triangleright (p \cdot P) \rightsquigarrow[Rel] (p \cdot Q)$
<proof>

lemma *simClosed*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

and $p :: \text{name prm}$

assumes *EqvtRel*: $\text{eqvt } Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow[Rel] Q \implies (p \cdot \Psi) \triangleright (p \cdot P) \rightsquigarrow[Rel] (p \cdot Q)$
and $P \rightsquigarrow[Rel] Q \implies (p \cdot P) \rightsquigarrow[Rel] (p \cdot Q)$
<proof>

lemma *reflexive*:

fixes $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$

and $\Psi :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $\{(\Psi, P, P) \mid \Psi P. \text{True}\} \subseteq Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow[Rel] P$
<proof>

lemma *transitive*:

fixes Ψ :: 'b
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and Rel :: ('b × ('a, 'b, 'c) psi × ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set
and Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and Rel' :: ('b × ('a, 'b, 'c) psi × ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set
and R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and Rel'' :: ('b × ('a, 'b, 'c) psi × ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set

assumes $PSimQ$: $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow[Rel] Q$
and $QSimR$: $\Psi \triangleright Q \rightsquigarrow[Rel'] R$
and $Eqvt$: eqvt Rel''
and Set : $\{(\Psi, P, R) \mid \Psi P R. \exists Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in Rel \wedge (\Psi, Q, R) \in Rel'\} \subseteq Rel''$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow[Rel''] R$
 <proof>

lemma *statEqSim*:

fixes Ψ :: 'b
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and Rel :: ('b × ('a, 'b, 'c) psi × ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set
and Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and Ψ' :: 'b

assumes $PSimQ$: $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow[Rel] Q$
and eqvt Rel'
and $\Psi \simeq \Psi'$
and $C1$: $\bigwedge \Psi'' R S \Psi''' . [(\Psi'', R, S) \in Rel; \Psi'' \simeq \Psi'''] \implies (\Psi''', R, S) \in Rel'$

shows $\Psi' \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow[Rel'] Q$
 <proof>

lemma *monotonic*:

fixes Ψ :: 'b
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and A :: ('b × ('a, 'b, 'c) psi × ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set
and Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and B :: ('b × ('a, 'b, 'c) psi × ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow[A] Q$
and $A \subseteq B$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow[B] Q$
 <proof>

end

end

theory *Tau-Chain*
imports *Semantics*
begin

context *env* **begin**

abbreviation *tauChain* :: 'b ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) psi ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) psi ⇒ bool (⟨- ▷ -
⇒_τ -⟩ [80, 80, 80] 80)

where Ψ ▷ P ⇒_τ P' ≡ (P, P') ∈ {(P, P'). Ψ ▷ P ⟶_τ < P'}^{∧*}

abbreviation *tauStepChain* :: 'b ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) psi ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) psi ⇒ bool (⟨- ▷
- ⇒_τ -⟩ [80, 80, 80] 80)

where Ψ ▷ P ⇒_τ P' ≡ (P, P') ∈ {(P, P'). Ψ ▷ P ⟶_τ < P'}^{∧+}

abbreviation *tauContextChain* :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) psi ⇒ bool (⟨- ⇒_τ
-⟩ [80, 80] 80)

where P ⇒_τ P' ≡ **1** ▷ P ⇒_τ P'

abbreviation *tauContextStepChain* :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) psi ⇒ bool (⟨-
⇒_τ -⟩ [80, 80] 80)

where P ⇒_τ P' ≡ **1** ▷ P ⇒_τ P'

lemmas *tauChainInduct*[consumes 1, case-names *TauBase TauStep*] = *rtrancl.induct*[of
- - {(P, P'). Ψ ▷ P ⟶_τ < P'}, *simplified*] **for** Ψ

lemmas *tauStepChainInduct*[consumes 1, case-names *TauBase TauStep*] = *trancl.induct*[of
- - {(P, P'). Ψ ▷ P ⟶_τ < P'}, *simplified*] **for** Ψ

lemma *tauActTauStepChain*:

fixes Ψ :: 'b

and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi

and P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi

assumes Ψ ▷ P ⟶_τ < P'

shows Ψ ▷ P ⇒_τ P'

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *tauActTauChain*:

fixes Ψ :: 'b

and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi

and P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi

assumes Ψ ▷ P ⟶_τ < P'

shows Ψ ▷ P ⇒_τ P'

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *tauStepChainEqvt*[*eqvt*]:

```

fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
and  $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
and  $p :: name prm$ 

assumes  $\Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'$ 

shows  $(p \cdot \Psi) \triangleright (p \cdot P) \Longrightarrow_{\tau} (p \cdot P')$ 
<proof>

lemma tauChainEqvt[eqvt]:
fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
and  $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
and  $p :: name prm$ 

assumes  $\Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'$ 

shows  $(p \cdot \Psi) \triangleright (p \cdot P) \Longrightarrow_{\tau} (p \cdot P')$ 
<proof>

lemma tauStepChainEqvt'[eqvt]:
fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
and  $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
and  $p :: name prm$ 

shows  $(p \cdot (\Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P')) = (p \cdot \Psi) \triangleright (p \cdot P) \Longrightarrow_{\tau} (p \cdot P')$ 
<proof>

lemma tauChainEqvt'[eqvt]:
fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
and  $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
and  $p :: name prm$ 

shows  $(p \cdot (\Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P')) = (p \cdot \Psi) \triangleright (p \cdot P) \Longrightarrow_{\tau} (p \cdot P')$ 
<proof>

lemma tauStepChainFresh:
fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
and  $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
and  $x :: name$ 

assumes  $\Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'$ 
and  $x \# P$ 

shows  $x \# P'$ 

```

<proof>

lemma *tauChainFresh*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $x :: \text{ name}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \implies_{\tau} P'$
and $x \# P$

shows $x \# P'$

<proof>

lemma *tauStepChainFreshChain*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $xvec :: \text{ name list}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \implies_{\tau} P'$
and $xvec \#* P$

shows $xvec \#* P'$

<proof>

lemma *tauChainFreshChain*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $xvec :: \text{ name list}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \implies_{\tau} P'$
and $xvec \#* P$

shows $xvec \#* P'$

<proof>

lemma *tauStepChainCase*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\varphi :: 'c$
and $Cs :: ('c \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ list}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \implies_{\tau} P'$
and $(\varphi, P) \text{ mem } Cs$
and $\Psi \vdash \varphi$
and *guarded* P

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\text{Cases } Cs) \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'$
<proof>

lemma *tauStepChainResPres:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $x :: \text{name}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'$
and $x \# \Psi$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} (\nu x)P'$
<proof>

lemma *tauChainResPres:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $x :: \text{name}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'$
and $x \# \Psi$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} (\nu x)P'$
<proof>

lemma *tauStepChainResChainPres:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $xvec :: \text{name list}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'$
and $xvec \#* \Psi$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu * xvec)P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} (\nu * xvec)P'$
<proof>

lemma *tauChainResChainPres:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $xvec :: \text{name list}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'$
and $xvec \#* \Psi$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu * xvec)P \Longrightarrow_{\tau}^{\wedge} (\nu * xvec)P'$
<proof>

lemma *tauStepChainPar1*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
 and $\Psi_Q :: 'b$
 and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
 and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
 and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
 and $A_Q :: name list$

assumes $\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'$
 and $extractFrame Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle$
 and $A_Q \#* \Psi$
 and $A_Q \#* P$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel Q \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P' \parallel Q$
<proof>

lemma *tauChainPar1*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
 and $\Psi_Q :: 'b$
 and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
 and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
 and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
 and $A_Q :: name list$

assumes $\Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau}^{\wedge} P'$
 and $extractFrame Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle$
 and $A_Q \#* \Psi$
 and $A_Q \#* P$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel Q \Longrightarrow_{\tau}^{\wedge} P' \parallel Q$
<proof>

lemma *tauStepChainPar2*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
 and $\Psi_P :: 'b$
 and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
 and $Q' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
 and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
 and $A_P :: name list$

assumes $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \Longrightarrow_{\tau} Q'$
 and $extractFrame P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle$
 and $A_P \#* \Psi$
 and $A_P \#* Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel Q \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P \parallel Q'$

<proof>

lemma *tauChainPar2*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $\Psi_P :: 'b$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $A_P :: \text{ name list}$

assumes $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \Longrightarrow_{\tau} \hat{Q}'$
and $\text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle$
and $A_P \#* \Psi$
and $A_P \#* Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel Q \Longrightarrow_{\tau} \hat{P} \parallel Q'$
<proof>

lemma *tauStepChainBang*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel !P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'$
and $\text{guarded } P$

shows $\Psi \triangleright !P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'$
<proof>

lemma *tauStepChainStatEq*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\Psi' :: 'b$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'$
and $\Psi \simeq \Psi'$

shows $\Psi' \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'$
<proof>

lemma *tauChainStatEq*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\Psi' :: 'b$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} \hat{P}'$
and $\Psi \simeq \Psi'$

shows $\Psi' \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $weakTransition :: 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \Rightarrow 'a action$
 $\Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \Rightarrow bool (\langle - : - \triangleright - \Longrightarrow - \langle - \rangle [80, 80, 80, 80] 80)$

where

$\Psi : Q \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\alpha} \langle P' \equiv \exists P''. \Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'' \wedge (insertAssertion (extractFrame Q) \Psi) \hookrightarrow_F (insertAssertion (extractFrame P'') \Psi) \wedge$
 $\Psi \triangleright P'' \hookrightarrow_{\alpha} \langle P'$

lemma $weakTransitionI$:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $P'' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $\alpha :: 'a action$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P''$
and $insertAssertion (extractFrame Q) \Psi \hookrightarrow_F insertAssertion (extractFrame P'') \Psi$
 Ψ
and $\Psi \triangleright P'' \hookrightarrow_{\alpha} \langle P'$

shows $\Psi : Q \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\alpha} \langle P'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $weakTransitionE$:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $\alpha :: 'a action$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $\Psi : Q \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\alpha} \langle P'$

obtains P'' **where** $\Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P''$ **and** $insertAssertion (extractFrame Q) \Psi$
 $\hookrightarrow_F insertAssertion (extractFrame P'') \Psi$
and $\Psi \triangleright P'' \hookrightarrow_{\alpha} \langle P'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $weakTransitionClosed[eqvt]$:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $\alpha :: 'a action$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $p :: name prm$

assumes $\Psi : Q \triangleright P \Longrightarrow \alpha \prec P'$

shows $(p \cdot \Psi) : (p \cdot Q) \triangleright (p \cdot P) \Longrightarrow (p \cdot \alpha) \prec (p \cdot P')$
(*proof*)

lemma *weakOutputAlpha*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $M :: 'a$
and $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $p :: \text{name prm}$
and $yvec :: \text{name list}$

assumes $PTrans: \Psi : Q \triangleright P \Longrightarrow M(\nu^*(p \cdot xvec)) \langle (p \cdot N) \rangle \prec P'$
and $S: \text{set } p \subseteq \text{set } xvec \times \text{set}(p \cdot xvec)$
and $\text{distinctPerm } p$
and $xvec \#^* P$
and $xvec \#^* (p \cdot xvec)$
and $(p \cdot xvec) \#^* M$
and $\text{distinct } xvec$

shows $\Psi : Q \triangleright P \Longrightarrow M(\nu^*xvec) \langle N \rangle \prec (p \cdot P')$
(*proof*)

lemma *weakFreshDerivative*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\alpha :: 'a \text{ action}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $x :: \text{name}$

assumes $PTrans: \Psi : Q \triangleright P \Longrightarrow \alpha \prec P'$
and $x \# P$
and $x \# \alpha$
and $\text{bn } \alpha \#^* \text{subject } \alpha$
and $\text{distinct}(\text{bn } \alpha)$

shows $x \# P'$
(*proof*)

lemma *weakFreshChainDerivative*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\alpha :: 'a \text{ action}$

and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $yvec :: name list$

assumes $PTrans: \Psi : Q \triangleright P \Longrightarrow \alpha \prec P'$
and $yvec \#* P$
and $yvec \#* \alpha$
and $bn \alpha \#* subject \alpha$
and $distinct(bn \alpha)$

shows $yvec \#* P'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakInputFreshDerivative*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $M :: 'a$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $x :: name$

assumes $PTrans: \Psi : Q \triangleright P \Longrightarrow M(N) \prec P'$
and $x \# P$
and $x \# N$

shows $x \# P'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakInputFreshChainDerivative*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $M :: 'a$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $xvec :: name list$

assumes $PTrans: \Psi : Q \triangleright P \Longrightarrow M(N) \prec P'$
and $xvec \#* P$
and $xvec \#* N$

shows $xvec \#* P'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakOutputFreshDerivative*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $M :: 'a$

and $xvec :: name\ list$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $x :: name$

assumes $PTrans: \Psi : Q \triangleright P \implies M(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P'$
and $x \# P$
and $x \# xvec$
and $xvec \#* M$
and $distinct\ xvec$

shows $x \# N$
and $x \# P'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakOutputFreshChainDerivative:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $M :: 'a$
and $xvec :: name\ list$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $yvec :: name\ list$

assumes $PTrans: \Psi : Q \triangleright P \implies M(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P'$
and $yvec \#* P$
and $xvec \#* yvec$
and $xvec \#* M$
and $distinct\ xvec$

shows $yvec \#* N$
and $yvec \#* P'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakOutputPermSubject:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $M :: 'a$
and $xvec :: name\ list$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $p :: name\ prm$
and $yvec :: name\ list$
and $zvec :: name\ list$

assumes $PTrans: \Psi : Q \triangleright P \implies M(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P'$
and $S: set\ p \subseteq set\ yvec \times set\ zvec$
and $yvec \#* \Psi$

```

and   zvec #*  $\Psi$ 
and   yvec #*  $P$ 
and   zvec #*  $P$ 

shows  $\Psi : Q \triangleright P \implies (p \cdot M)(\nu * xvec) \langle N \rangle \prec P'$ 
<proof>

lemma weakInputPermSubject:
fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and    $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
and    $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
and    $M :: 'a$ 
and    $N :: 'a$ 
and    $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
and    $p :: name prm$ 
and    $yvec :: name list$ 
and    $zvec :: name list$ 

assumes PTrans:  $\Psi : Q \triangleright P \implies M \langle N \rangle \prec P'$ 
and    $S: set p \subseteq set yvec \times set zvec$ 
and   yvec #*  $\Psi$ 
and   zvec #*  $\Psi$ 
and   yvec #*  $P$ 
and   zvec #*  $P$ 

shows  $\Psi : Q \triangleright P \implies (p \cdot M) \langle N \rangle \prec P'$ 
<proof>

lemma weakInput:
fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and    $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
and    $M :: 'a$ 
and    $K :: 'a$ 
and   xvec :: name list
and    $N :: 'a$ 
and   Tvec :: 'a list
and    $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 

assumes  $\Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow K$ 
and   distinct xvec
and    $set xvec \subseteq supp N$ 
and    $length xvec = length Tvec$ 
and   QeqPsi: insertAssertion (extractFrame  $Q$ )  $\Psi \leftrightarrow_F \langle \varepsilon, \Psi \otimes \mathbf{1} \rangle$ 

shows  $\Psi : Q \triangleright M(\lambda * xvec N).P \implies K \langle (N[xvec ::= Tvec]) \rangle \prec P[xvec ::= Tvec]$ 
<proof>

lemma weakOutput:
fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 

```

```

and Q  :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and M  :: 'a
and K  :: 'a
and N  :: 'a
and P  :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi

assumes Ψ ⊢ M ↔ K
and QeqΨ: insertAssertion (extractFrame Q) Ψ ↪F ⟨ε, Ψ ⊗ 1⟩

shows Ψ : Q ▷ M⟨N⟩.P ⇒ K⟨N⟩ ◁ P
⟨proof⟩

lemma insertGuardedAssertion:
fixes P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi

assumes guarded P

shows insertAssertion(extractFrame P) Ψ ≃F ⟨ε, Ψ ⊗ 1⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma weakCase:
fixes Ψ  :: 'b
and Q   :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and P   :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and α   :: 'a action
and P'  :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and R   :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi

assumes PTrans: Ψ : Q ▷ P ⇒ α ◁ P'
and (φ, P) mem CsP
and Ψ ⊢ φ
and guarded P
and RImpQ: insertAssertion (extractFrame R) Ψ ↪F insertAssertion (extractFrame
Q) Ψ
and ImpR: insertAssertion (extractFrame R) Ψ ↪F ⟨ε, Ψ⟩

shows Ψ : R ▷ Cases CsP ⇒ α ◁ P'
⟨proof⟩

lemma weakOpen:
fixes Ψ  :: 'b
and Q   :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and P   :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and M   :: 'a
and xvec :: name list
and yvec :: name list
and N   :: 'a
and P'  :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi

```

assumes $PTrans: \Psi : Q \triangleright P \implies M(\nu^*(xvec@yvec))\langle N \rangle \prec P'$
and $x \in \text{supp } N$
and $x \# \Psi$
and $x \# M$
and $x \# xvec$
and $x \# yvec$

shows $\Psi : (\nu x)Q \triangleright (\nu x)P \implies M(\nu^*(xvec@x\#yvec))\langle N \rangle \prec P'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *weakScope*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\alpha :: 'a \text{ action}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $PTrans: \Psi : Q \triangleright P \implies \alpha \prec P'$
and $x \# \Psi$
and $x \# \alpha$

shows $\Psi : (\nu x)Q \triangleright (\nu x)P \implies \alpha \prec (\nu x)P'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *weakPar1*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\alpha :: 'a \text{ action}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $A_Q :: \text{name list}$
and $\Psi_Q :: 'b$

assumes $PTrans: \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q : R \triangleright P \implies \alpha \prec P'$
and $FrQ: \text{extractFrame } Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle$
and $bn \alpha \#* Q$
and $A_Q \#* \Psi$
and $A_Q \#* P$
and $A_Q \#* \alpha$
and $A_Q \#* R$

shows $\Psi : R \parallel Q \triangleright P \parallel Q \implies \alpha \prec P' \parallel Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *weakPar2*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

and $M :: 'a$
and $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $N :: 'a$
and $Q' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $A_P :: \text{name list}$
and $\Psi_P :: 'b$

assumes $QTrans: \Psi \otimes \Psi_P : R \triangleright Q \Longrightarrow \alpha \prec Q'$
and $FrP: \text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle$
and $bn \ \alpha \ \#^* P$
and $A_P \ \#^* \Psi$
and $A_P \ \#^* Q$
and $A_P \ \#^* \alpha$
and $A_P \ \#^* R$

shows $\Psi : P \parallel R \triangleright P \parallel Q \Longrightarrow \alpha \prec P \parallel Q'$
<proof>

lemma *weakComm1*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $\alpha :: 'a \text{ action}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $A_Q :: \text{name list}$
and $\Psi_Q :: 'b$

assumes $PTrans: \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q : R \triangleright P \Longrightarrow M(|N|) \prec P'$
and $FrR: \text{extractFrame } R = \langle A_R, \Psi_R \rangle$
and $QTrans: \Psi \otimes \Psi_R \triangleright Q \mapsto K(|\nu * xvec|)(|N|) \prec Q'$
and $FrQ: \text{extractFrame } Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle$
and $MeqK: \Psi \otimes \Psi_R \otimes \Psi_Q \vdash M \leftrightarrow K$
and $A_R \ \#^* \Psi$
and $A_R \ \#^* P$
and $A_R \ \#^* Q$
and $A_R \ \#^* R$
and $A_R \ \#^* M$
and $A_R \ \#^* A_Q$
and $A_Q \ \#^* \Psi$
and $A_Q \ \#^* P$
and $A_Q \ \#^* Q$
and $A_Q \ \#^* R$
and $A_Q \ \#^* K$
and $xvec \ \#^* P$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel Q \Longrightarrow_{\tau} (|\nu * xvec|)(P' \parallel Q')$
<proof>

lemma *weakComm2*:

fixes Ψ $:: 'b$
and R $:: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*
and P $:: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*
and α $:: 'a$ *action*
and P' $:: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*
and Q $:: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*
and A_Q $::$ *name list*
and Ψ_Q $:: 'b$

assumes $PTrans: \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q : R \triangleright P \implies M(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P'$

and $FrR: extractFrame R = \langle A_R, \Psi_R \rangle$
and $QTrans: \Psi \otimes \Psi_R \triangleright Q \mapsto K\langle N \rangle \prec Q'$
and $FrQ: extractFrame Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle$
and $MeqK: \Psi \otimes \Psi_R \otimes \Psi_Q \vdash M \leftrightarrow K$
and $A_R \#* \Psi$
and $A_R \#* P$
and $A_R \#* Q$
and $A_R \#* R$
and $A_R \#* M$
and $A_R \#* A_Q$
and $A_Q \#* \Psi$
and $A_Q \#* P$
and $A_Q \#* Q$
and $A_Q \#* R$
and $A_Q \#* K$
and $xvec \#* Q$
and $xvec \#* M$
and $xvec \#* A_Q$
and $xvec \#* A_R$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel Q \implies_{\tau} ((\nu*xvec)\langle P' \parallel Q' \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frameImpIntComposition*:

fixes Ψ $:: 'b$
and Ψ' $:: 'b$
and A_F $::$ *name list*
and Ψ_F $:: 'b$

assumes $\Psi \simeq \Psi'$

shows $\langle A_F, \Psi \otimes \Psi_F \rangle \hookrightarrow_F \langle A_F, \Psi' \otimes \Psi_F \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *insertAssertionStatImp*:

fixes F $:: 'b$ *frame*
and Ψ $:: 'b$

```

and  $G :: 'b \text{ frame}$ 
and  $\Psi' :: 'b$ 

assumes  $\text{FeqG}: \text{insertAssertion } F \Psi \hookrightarrow_F \text{insertAssertion } G \Psi$ 
and  $\Psi \simeq \Psi'$ 

shows  $\text{insertAssertion } F \Psi' \hookrightarrow_F \text{insertAssertion } G \Psi'$ 
<proof>

lemma  $\text{insertAssertionStatEq}$ :
fixes  $F :: 'b \text{ frame}$ 
and  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $G :: 'b \text{ frame}$ 
and  $\Psi' :: 'b$ 

assumes  $\text{FeqG}: \text{insertAssertion } F \Psi \simeq_F \text{insertAssertion } G \Psi$ 
and  $\Psi \simeq \Psi'$ 

shows  $\text{insertAssertion } F \Psi' \simeq_F \text{insertAssertion } G \Psi'$ 
<proof>

lemma  $\text{weakTransitionStatEq}$ :
fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$ 
and  $\alpha :: 'a \text{ action}$ 
and  $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$ 
and  $\Psi' :: 'b$ 

assumes  $P\text{Trans}: \Psi : Q \triangleright P \Longrightarrow \alpha \prec P'$ 
and  $\Psi \simeq \Psi'$ 

shows  $\Psi' : Q \triangleright P \Longrightarrow \alpha \prec P'$ 
<proof>

lemma  $\text{transitionWeakTransition}$ :
fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$ 
and  $\alpha :: 'a \text{ action}$ 
and  $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$ 

assumes  $\Psi \triangleright P \longmapsto \alpha \prec P'$ 
and  $\text{insertAssertion}(\text{extractFrame } Q) \Psi \hookrightarrow_F \text{insertAssertion}(\text{extractFrame } P)$ 
 $\Psi$ 

shows  $\Psi : Q \triangleright P \Longrightarrow \alpha \prec P'$ 
<proof>

```

lemma *weakPar1Guarded*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\alpha :: 'a \text{ action}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $PTrans: \Psi : R \triangleright P \Longrightarrow \alpha \prec P'$
and $bn \ \alpha \ \#^* \ Q$
and $guarded \ Q$

shows $\Psi : (R \parallel Q) \triangleright P \parallel Q \Longrightarrow \alpha \prec P' \parallel Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *weakBang*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\alpha :: 'a \text{ action}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $PTrans: \Psi : R \triangleright P \parallel !P \Longrightarrow \alpha \prec P'$
and $guarded \ P$

shows $\Psi : R \triangleright !P \Longrightarrow \alpha \prec P'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *weakTransitionFrameImp*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $M :: 'a$
and $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $PTrans: \Psi : Q \triangleright P \Longrightarrow \alpha \prec P'$
and $insertAssertion(\text{extractFrame } R) \ \Psi \hookrightarrow_F \text{insertAssertion}(\text{extractFrame } Q) \ \Psi$

shows $\Psi : R \triangleright P \Longrightarrow \alpha \prec P'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *guardedFrameStatEq*:
fixes $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes *guarded P*

shows $\text{extractFrame } P \simeq_F \langle \varepsilon, \mathbf{1} \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *weakGuardedTransition:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\alpha :: 'a \text{ action}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $PTrans: \Psi : Q \triangleright P \implies \alpha \prec P'$
and *guarded Q*

shows $\Psi : \mathbf{0} \triangleright P \implies \alpha \prec P'$
<proof>

lemma *expandTauChainFrame:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $A_P :: \text{name list}$
and $\Psi_P :: 'b$
and $C :: 'd::\text{fs-name}$

assumes $PChain: \Psi \triangleright P \implies \hat{\tau} P'$
and $FrP: \text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle$
and *distinct A_P*
and $A_P \#* P$
and $A_P \#* C$

obtains $\Psi' A_{P'} \Psi_{P'}$ **where** $\text{extractFrame } P' = \langle A_{P'}, \Psi_{P'} \rangle$ **and** $\Psi_P \otimes \Psi' \simeq \Psi_{P'}$
and $A_{P'} \#* P'$ **and** $A_{P'} \#* C$ **and** *distinct A_{P'}*
<proof>

lemma *frameIntImpComposition:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $\Psi' :: 'b$
and $A_F :: \text{name list}$
and $\Psi_F :: 'b$

assumes $\Psi \simeq \Psi'$

shows $\langle A_F, \Psi \otimes \Psi_F \rangle \hookrightarrow_F \langle A_F, \Psi' \otimes \Psi_F \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *tauChainInduct2*[*consumes 1, case-names TauBase TauStep*]:

```

fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
and  $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 

assumes  $PChain: \Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'$ 
and  $cBase: \bigwedge P. Prop P P$ 
and  $cStep: \bigwedge P P' P''. [\Psi \triangleright P' \mapsto_{\tau} P''; \Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'; Prop P P']$ 
 $\Longrightarrow Prop P P''$ 

shows  $Prop P P'$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $tauStepChainInduct2[consumes 1, case-names TauBase TauStep]:$ 
fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
and  $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 

assumes  $PChain: \Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'$ 
and  $cBase: \bigwedge P P'. \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto_{\tau} P' \Longrightarrow Prop P P'$ 
and  $cStep: \bigwedge P P' P''. [\Psi \triangleright P' \mapsto_{\tau} P''; \Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'; Prop P P'] \Longrightarrow$ 
 $Prop P P''$ 

shows  $Prop P P'$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $weakTransferTauChainFrame:$ 
fixes  $\Psi_F :: 'b$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
and  $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
and  $A_P :: name list$ 
and  $\Psi_P :: 'b$ 
and  $A_F :: name list$ 
and  $A_G :: name list$ 
and  $\Psi_G :: 'b$ 

assumes  $PChain: \Psi_F \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'$ 
and  $FrP: extractFrame P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle$ 
and  $distinct A_P$ 
and  $FeqG: \bigwedge \Psi. insertAssertion (\langle A_F, \Psi_F \otimes \Psi_P \rangle) \Psi \leftrightarrow_F insertAssertion$ 
 $(\langle A_G, \Psi_G \otimes \Psi_P \rangle) \Psi$ 
and  $A_F \#* \Psi_G$ 
and  $A_G \#* \Psi$ 
and  $A_G \#* \Psi_F$ 
and  $A_F \#* A_G$ 
and  $A_F \#* P$ 
and  $A_G \#* P$ 
and  $A_P \#* A_F$ 
and  $A_P \#* A_G$ 
and  $A_P \#* \Psi_F$ 

```

```

and    $A_P \#^* \Psi_G$ 
and    $A_P \#^* P$ 

shows  $\Psi_G \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'$ 
⟨proof⟩

coinductive quiet :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi ⇒ bool
  where  $\llbracket \forall \Psi. (\text{insertAssertion } (\text{extractFrame } P) \Psi \simeq_F \langle \varepsilon, \Psi \rangle \wedge$ 
     $(\forall Rs. \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto Rs \longrightarrow (\exists P'. Rs = \tau \prec P' \wedge \text{quiet } P')) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{quiet}$ 
   $P$ 

lemma quietFrame:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and    $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$ 

  assumes quiet  $P$ 

  shows  $\text{insertAssertion } (\text{extractFrame } P) \Psi \simeq_F \langle \varepsilon, \Psi \rangle$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma quietTransition:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and    $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$ 
  and    $R_s :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ residual}$ 

  assumes quiet  $P$ 
  and    $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto R_s$ 

  obtains  $P'$  where  $R_s = \tau \prec P'$  and quiet  $P'$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma quietEqvt:
  fixes  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$ 
  and    $p :: \text{ name prm}$ 

  assumes quiet  $P$ 

  shows quiet( $p \cdot P$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma quietOutput:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and    $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$ 
  and    $M :: 'a$ 
  and    $xvec :: \text{ name list}$ 
  and    $N :: 'a$ 
  and    $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$ 

```

```

assumes  $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu*xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P'$ 
and quiet P

shows False
<proof>

lemma quietInput:
fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
and  $M :: 'a$ 
and  $N :: 'a$ 
and  $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 

assumes  $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M\langle N \rangle \prec P'$ 
and quiet P

shows False
<proof>

lemma quietTau:
fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
and  $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 

assumes  $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto \tau \prec P'$ 
and insertAssertion (extractFrame P)  $\Psi \simeq_F \langle \varepsilon, \Psi \rangle$ 
and quiet P

shows quiet P'
<proof>

lemma tauChainCases[consumes 1, case-names TauBase TauStep]:
fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
and  $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 

assumes  $\Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow \hat{\tau} P'$ 
and  $P = P' \Longrightarrow Prop$ 
and  $\Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P' \Longrightarrow Prop$ 

shows Prop
<proof>

end

end

theory Weak-Simulation
imports Simulation Tau-Chain

```

begin

context *env* **begin**

definition

weakSimulation :: 'b ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) psi ⇒
('b × ('a, 'b, 'c) psi × ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set ⇒
('a, 'b, 'c) psi ⇒ bool (λ- ▷ - ~<-> -> [80, 80, 80, 80] 80)

where

$\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q \equiv (\forall \Psi' \alpha Q'. \Psi \triangleright Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q' \longrightarrow \text{bn } \alpha \#* \Psi \longrightarrow \text{bn } \alpha \#* P \longrightarrow \alpha \neq \tau \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists P''. \Psi : Q \triangleright P \Longrightarrow \alpha \prec P'' \wedge (\exists P'. \Psi \otimes \Psi' \triangleright P'' \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P' \wedge (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P', Q') \in Rel))) \wedge$
 $(\forall Q'. \Psi \triangleright Q \mapsto \tau \prec Q' \longrightarrow (\exists P'. \Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P' \wedge (\Psi, P', Q') \in Rel))$

abbreviation

weakSimulationNilJudge (λ- ~<-> -> [80, 80, 80] 80) **where** $P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q \equiv$
 $SBottom' \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q$

lemma *weakSimI*[*consumes 1, case-names cAct cTau*]:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $C :: 'd::fs-name$

assumes *Eqvt*: *eqvt Rel*

and $rAct: \bigwedge \Psi' \alpha Q'. [\Psi \triangleright Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q'; \text{bn } \alpha \#* \Psi; \text{bn } \alpha \#* P; \text{bn } \alpha \#* Q;$
 $\text{bn } \alpha \#* \text{subject } \alpha; \text{bn } \alpha \#* C; \text{distinct}(\text{bn } \alpha); \alpha \neq \tau] \Longrightarrow$

$\exists P''. \Psi : Q \triangleright P \Longrightarrow \alpha \prec P'' \wedge (\exists P'. \Psi \otimes \Psi' \triangleright P'' \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P' \wedge (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P', Q') \in Rel)$

and $rTau: \bigwedge Q'. \Psi \triangleright Q \mapsto \tau \prec Q' \Longrightarrow \exists P'. \Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P' \wedge (\Psi, P', Q') \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q$

<proof>

lemma *weakSimI2*[*case-names cAct cTau*]:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $C :: 'd::fs-name$

assumes *rOutput*: $\bigwedge \Psi' \alpha Q'. [\Psi \triangleright Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q'; \text{bn } \alpha \#* \Psi; \text{bn } \alpha \#* P; \alpha \neq \tau] \Longrightarrow$

$\exists P''. \Psi : Q \triangleright P \Longrightarrow \alpha \prec P'' \wedge (\exists P'. \Psi \otimes \Psi' \triangleright P''$

$\Longrightarrow_{\tau} \hat{P}' \wedge (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P', Q') \in Rel$
and $rTau: \bigwedge Q'. \Psi \triangleright Q \mapsto_{\tau} \prec Q' \Longrightarrow \exists P'. \Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} \hat{P}' \wedge (\Psi, P', Q') \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow_{\langle Rel \rangle} Q$
<proof>

lemma *weakSimIChainFresh*[*consumes 4, case-names cOutput cInput*]:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $yvec :: name list$
and $C :: 'd::fs-name$

assumes *Eqvt: eqvt Rel*
and $yvec \#* \Psi$
and $yvec \#* P$
and $yvec \#* Q$
and $rAct: \bigwedge \Psi' \alpha Q'. [\Psi \triangleright Q \mapsto_{\alpha} \prec Q'; bn \alpha \#* \Psi; bn \alpha \#* P; bn \alpha \#* Q; \alpha \neq \tau;$
 $bn \alpha \#* subject \alpha; bn \alpha \#* C; yvec \#* \alpha; yvec \#* Q'; yvec \#* \Psi'] \Longrightarrow$
 $\exists P''. \Psi : Q \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\alpha} \prec P'' \wedge (\exists P'. \Psi \otimes \Psi' \triangleright P'' \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P' \wedge (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P', Q') \in Rel)$
and $rTau: \bigwedge Q'. [\Psi \triangleright Q \mapsto_{\tau} \prec Q'; yvec \#* Q'] \Longrightarrow \exists P'. \Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P' \wedge (\Psi, P', Q') \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow_{\langle Rel \rangle} Q$
<proof>

lemma *weakSimIFresh*[*consumes 4, case-names cAct cTau*]:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $x :: name$
and $C :: 'd::fs-name$

assumes *Eqvt: eqvt Rel*
and $x \# \Psi$
and $x \# P$
and $x \# Q$
and $\bigwedge \alpha Q' \Psi'. [\Psi \triangleright Q \mapsto_{\alpha} \prec Q'; bn \alpha \#* \Psi; bn \alpha \#* P; bn \alpha \#* Q; \alpha \neq \tau;$
 $bn \alpha \#* subject \alpha; bn \alpha \#* C; x \# \alpha; x \# Q'; x \# \Psi'] \Longrightarrow$
 $\exists P''. \Psi : Q \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\alpha} \prec P'' \wedge (\exists P'. \Psi \otimes \Psi' \triangleright P'' \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P' \wedge (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P', Q') \in Rel)$
and $\bigwedge Q'. [\Psi \triangleright Q \mapsto_{\tau} \prec Q'; x \# Q'] \Longrightarrow \exists P'. \Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P' \wedge (\Psi, P', Q') \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *weakSimE*:

fixes $F :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q$

shows $\bigwedge \Psi' \alpha Q'. [\Psi \triangleright Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q'; \text{bn } \alpha \#* \Psi; \text{bn } \alpha \#* P; \alpha \neq \tau] \implies$
 $\exists P''. \Psi : Q \triangleright P \implies \alpha \prec P'' \wedge (\exists P'. \Psi \otimes \Psi' \triangleright P'' \implies \hat{\tau}$
 $P' \wedge (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P', Q') \in Rel)$
and $\bigwedge Q'. \Psi \triangleright Q \mapsto \tau \prec Q' \implies \exists P'. \Psi \triangleright P \implies \hat{\tau} P' \wedge (\Psi, P', Q') \in Rel$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *weakSimClosedAux*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $p :: \text{name prm}$

assumes *EqvtRel*: *eqvt Rel*

and *PSimQ*: $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q$

shows $(p \cdot \Psi) \triangleright (p \cdot P) \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle (p \cdot Q)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *weakSimClosed*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $p :: \text{name prm}$

assumes *EqvtRel*: *eqvt Rel*

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q \implies (p \cdot \Psi) \triangleright (p \cdot P) \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle (p \cdot Q)$
and $P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q \implies (p \cdot P) \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle (p \cdot Q)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *weakSimReflexive*:

fixes $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$
and $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $\{(\Psi, P, P) \mid \Psi P. \text{True}\} \subseteq \text{Rel}$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle \text{Rel} \rangle P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *weakSimTauChain*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $Q' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $\text{Rel} :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}) \text{set}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright Q \Longrightarrow_{\tau} \hat{Q}'$
and $(\Psi, P, Q) \in \text{Rel}$
and $\text{Sim}: \bigwedge \Psi' R S. (\Psi', R, S) \in \text{Rel} \Longrightarrow \Psi' \triangleright R \rightsquigarrow \langle \text{Rel} \rangle S$

obtains P' **where** $\Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} \hat{P}'$ **and** $(\Psi, P', Q') \in \text{Rel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *weakSimE2*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $\text{Rel} :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}) \text{set}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

assumes $\text{PRelQ}: (\Psi, P, Q) \in \text{Rel}$
and $\text{Sim}: \bigwedge \Psi' R S. (\Psi', R, S) \in \text{Rel} \Longrightarrow \Psi' \triangleright R \rightsquigarrow \langle \text{Rel} \rangle S$
and $\text{QTrans}: \Psi : R \triangleright Q \Longrightarrow \alpha \prec Q'$
and $\text{bn } \alpha \#* \Psi$
and $\text{bn } \alpha \#* P$
and $\alpha \neq \tau$

obtains $P'' P'$ **where** $\Psi : R \triangleright P \Longrightarrow \alpha \prec P''$ **and** $\Psi \otimes \Psi' \triangleright P'' \Longrightarrow_{\tau} \hat{P}'$ **and**
 $(\Psi \otimes \Psi', P', Q') \in \text{Rel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *weakSimTransitive*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $\text{Rel} :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}) \text{set}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $\text{Rel}' :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}) \text{set}$
and $T :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $\text{Rel}'' :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}) \text{set}$

assumes $\text{PRelQ}: (\Psi, P, Q) \in \text{Rel}$
and $\text{QSimR}: \Psi \triangleright Q \rightsquigarrow \langle \text{Rel}' \rangle R$
and $\text{Eqvt}: \text{eqvt } \text{Rel}''$
and $\text{Set}: \{(\Psi, P, R) \mid \Psi P R. \exists Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in \text{Rel} \wedge (\Psi, Q, R) \in \text{Rel}'\} \subseteq$

Rel''
and $Sim: \bigwedge \Psi' R S. (\Psi', R, S) \in Rel \implies \Psi' \triangleright R \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle S$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel'' \rangle R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakSimStatEq*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $\Psi' :: 'b$

assumes $PSimQ: \Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q$
and $eqvt Rel'$
and $\Psi \simeq \Psi'$
and $CI: \bigwedge \Psi' R S \Psi''. [(\Psi', R, S) \in Rel; \Psi' \simeq \Psi''] \implies (\Psi'', R, S) \in Rel'$

shows $\Psi' \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel' \rangle Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakSimMonotonic*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $A :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $B :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle A \rangle Q$
and $A \subseteq B$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle B \rangle Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *strongSimWeakSim*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$

assumes $PRelQ: (\Psi, P, Q) \in Rel$
and $StatImp: \bigwedge \Psi' R S. (\Psi', R, S) \in Rel \implies insertAssertion(extractFrame S) \Psi' \hookrightarrow_F insertAssertion(extractFrame R) \Psi'$
and $Sim: \bigwedge \Psi' R S. (\Psi', R, S) \in Rel \implies \Psi' \triangleright R \rightsquigarrow [Rel] S$
and $Ext: \bigwedge \Psi' R S \Psi''. (\Psi', R, S) \in Rel \implies (\Psi' \otimes \Psi'', R, S) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma strongAppend:
  fixes  $\Psi$  :: 'b
  and  $P$  :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and  $Q$  :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and  $R$  :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and  $Rel$  :: ('b  $\times$  ('a, 'b, 'c) psi  $\times$  ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set
  and  $Rel'$  :: ('b  $\times$  ('a, 'b, 'c) psi  $\times$  ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set
  and  $Rel''$  :: ('b  $\times$  ('a, 'b, 'c) psi  $\times$  ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set

  assumes  $PSimQ$ :  $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q$ 
  and  $QSimR$ :  $\Psi \triangleright Q \rightsquigarrow [Rel'] R$ 
  and  $Eqvt''$ :  $eqvt\ Rel''$ 
  and  $RimpQ$ :  $insertAssertion\ (extractFrame\ R)\ \Psi \hookrightarrow_F\ insertAssertion\ (extractFrame\ Q)\ \Psi$ 
  and  $Set$ :  $\{(\Psi, P, R) \mid \Psi\ P\ R.\ \exists Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in Rel \wedge (\Psi, Q, R) \in Rel'\} \subseteq Rel''$ 
  and  $C1$ :  $\bigwedge \Psi\ P\ Q\ \Psi'. (\Psi, P, Q) \in Rel' \implies (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in Rel'$ 

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel'' \rangle R$ 
  <proof>

```

```

lemma quietSim:
  fixes  $\Psi$  :: 'b
  and  $P$  :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi

  assumes quiet  $P$ 
  and  $eqvt\ Rel$ 
  and  $cQuiet$ :  $\bigwedge P. quiet\ P \implies (\Psi, \mathbf{0}, P) \in Rel$ 

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright \mathbf{0} \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle P$ 
  <proof>

```

end

end

```

theory Weak-Stat-Imp
  imports Tau-Chain
begin

```

```

context env begin

```

```

definition

```

```

  weakStatImp :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b, 'c) psi  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ('b  $\times$  ('a, 'b, 'c) psi  $\times$  ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ('a, 'b, 'c) psi  $\Rightarrow$   $bool\ (\leftarrow \triangleright - \lesssim \langle - \rangle - \rightarrow [80, 80, 80, 80]\ 80)$ 
where  $\Psi \triangleright P \lesssim \langle Rel \rangle Q \equiv \forall \Psi'. \exists Q' Q''. \Psi \triangleright Q \implies_{\tau} Q' \wedge insertAssertion(extractFrame\ P)\ \Psi \hookrightarrow_F\ insertAssertion(extractFrame\ Q')\ \Psi \wedge \Psi \otimes \Psi' \triangleright Q'$ 

```

$\Longrightarrow_{\tau} Q'' \wedge (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q'') \in Rel$

lemma *weakStatImpMonotonic*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $A :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $B :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \lesssim \langle A \rangle Q$
and $A \subseteq B$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \lesssim \langle B \rangle Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakStatImpI[case-names cStatImp]*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $\Psi' :: 'b$

assumes $\bigwedge \Psi'. \exists Q' Q''. \Psi \triangleright Q \Longrightarrow_{\tau} Q' \wedge insertAssertion(extractFrame P) \Psi$
 $\hookrightarrow_F insertAssertion(extractFrame Q') \Psi \wedge \Psi \otimes \Psi' \triangleright Q' \Longrightarrow_{\tau} Q'' \wedge (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P,$
 $Q'') \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \lesssim \langle Rel \rangle Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakStatImpE*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $\Psi' :: 'b$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \lesssim \langle Rel \rangle Q$

obtains $Q' Q''$ **where** $\Psi \triangleright Q \Longrightarrow_{\tau} Q'$ **and** $insertAssertion(extractFrame P)$
 $\Psi \hookrightarrow_F insertAssertion(extractFrame Q') \Psi$ **and** $\Psi \otimes \Psi' \triangleright Q' \Longrightarrow_{\tau} Q''$ **and** $(\Psi$
 $\otimes \Psi', P, Q'') \in Rel$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakStatImpClosed*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

and $p :: \text{name prm}$

assumes $\text{EqvtRel}: \text{eqvt Rel}$

and $\text{PStatImpQ}: \Psi \triangleright P \lesssim \langle \text{Rel} \rangle Q$

shows $(p \cdot \Psi) \triangleright (p \cdot P) \lesssim \langle \text{Rel} \rangle (p \cdot Q)$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{weakStatImpReflexive}$:

fixes $\text{Rel} :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}) \text{ set}$

and $\Psi :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

assumes $\{(\Psi, P, P) \mid \Psi P. \text{True}\} \subseteq \text{Rel}$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \lesssim \langle \text{Rel} \rangle P$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{weakStatImpTransitive}$:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

and $\text{Rel} :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}) \text{ set}$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

and $\text{Rel}' :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}) \text{ set}$

and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

and $\text{Rel}'' :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}) \text{ set}$

assumes $\text{PStatImpQ}: \Psi \triangleright P \lesssim \langle \text{Rel} \rangle Q$

and $\text{QRelR}: (\Psi, Q, R) \in \text{Rel}'$

and $\text{Set}: \{(\Psi', S, U) \mid \Psi' S U. \exists T. (\Psi', S, T) \in \text{Rel} \wedge (\Psi', T, U) \in \text{Rel}'\}$

 $\subseteq \text{Rel}''$

and $C1: \bigwedge \Psi' S T. (\Psi', S, T) \in \text{Rel}' \implies \Psi' \triangleright S \lesssim \langle \text{Rel}' \rangle T$

and $C2: \bigwedge \Psi' S T S'. \llbracket (\Psi', S, T) \in \text{Rel}'; \Psi' \triangleright S \implies \hat{\tau} S \rrbracket \implies \exists T'. \Psi' \triangleright T \implies \hat{\tau} T' \wedge (\Psi', S', T') \in \text{Rel}'$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \lesssim \langle \text{Rel}'' \rangle R$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma weakStatImpStatEq :

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

and $\text{Rel} :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}) \text{ set}$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

and $\Psi' :: 'b$

assumes $\text{PSimQ}: \Psi \triangleright P \lesssim \langle \text{Rel} \rangle Q$

and $\Psi \simeq \Psi'$

and $C1: \bigwedge \Psi' R S \Psi''. \llbracket (\Psi', R, S) \in \text{Rel}; \Psi' \simeq \Psi'' \rrbracket \implies (\Psi'', R, S) \in \text{Rel}'$

shows $\Psi' \triangleright P \lesssim \langle Rel' \rangle Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *statImpWeakStatImp*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$

assumes $P \text{Imp} Q: \text{insertAssertion}(\text{extractFrame } P) \Psi \hookrightarrow_F \text{insertAssertion}(\text{extractFrame } Q) \Psi$
and $CI: \bigwedge \Psi'. (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \lesssim \langle Rel \rangle Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

theory *Bisimulation*
imports *Simulation*
begin

context *env* **begin**

lemma *monoCoinduct*: $\bigwedge x y xa xb xc P Q \Psi.$

$$x \leq y \implies$$

$$(\Psi \triangleright Q \rightsquigarrow [\{(xc, xb, xa). x xc xb xa\}] P) \longrightarrow$$

$$(\Psi \triangleright Q \rightsquigarrow [\{(xb, xa, xc). y xb xa xc\}] P)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

coinductive-set *bisim* :: $('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$

where

step: $\llbracket (\text{insertAssertion}(\text{extractFrame } P)) \Psi \simeq_F (\text{insertAssertion}(\text{extractFrame } Q) \Psi) \rrbracket;$

$\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow [\text{bisim}] Q;$

$\forall \Psi'. (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in \text{bisim}; (\Psi, Q, P) \in \text{bisim} \implies (\Psi, P, Q) \in \text{bisim}$

monos *monoCoinduct*

abbreviation

bisimJudge $(\leftarrow \triangleright - \rightsquigarrow \rightarrow [70, 70, 70] 65)$ **where** $\Psi \triangleright P \sim Q \equiv (\Psi, P, Q) \in \text{bisim}$

abbreviation

bisimNilJudge $(\leftarrow \rightsquigarrow \rightarrow [70, 70] 65)$ **where** $P \sim Q \equiv S\text{Bottom}' \triangleright P \sim Q$

lemma *bisimCoinductAux*[*consumes 1*]:

fixes $F :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $X :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$

assumes $(\Psi, P, Q) \in X$
and $\bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies \text{insertAssertion } (\text{extractFrame } P) \Psi \simeq_F$
 $\text{insertAssertion } (\text{extractFrame } Q) \Psi \wedge$
 $(\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow [(X \cup \text{bisim})] Q) \wedge$
 $(\forall \Psi'. (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in X \vee (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in$
 $\text{bisim}) \wedge$
 $((\Psi, Q, P) \in X \vee (\Psi, Q, P) \in \text{bisim})$

shows $(\Psi, P, Q) \in \text{bisim}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bisimCoinduct*[*consumes 1, case-names cStatEq cSim cExt cSym*]:

fixes $F :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $X :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$

assumes $(\Psi, P, Q) \in X$
and $\bigwedge \Psi' R S. (\Psi', R, S) \in X \implies \text{insertAssertion } (\text{extractFrame } R) \Psi' \simeq_F$
 $\text{insertAssertion } (\text{extractFrame } S) \Psi'$
and $\bigwedge \Psi' R S. (\Psi', R, S) \in X \implies \Psi' \triangleright R \rightsquigarrow [(X \cup \text{bisim})] S$
and $\bigwedge \Psi' R S \Psi''. (\Psi', R, S) \in X \implies (\Psi' \otimes \Psi'', R, S) \in X \vee (\Psi' \otimes \Psi'', R,$
 $S) \in \text{bisim}$
and $\bigwedge \Psi' R S. (\Psi', R, S) \in X \implies (\Psi', S, R) \in X \vee (\Psi', S, R) \in \text{bisim}$

shows $(\Psi, P, Q) \in \text{bisim}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bisimWeakCoinductAux*[*consumes 1*]:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $X :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$

assumes $(\Psi, P, Q) \in X$
and $\bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies \text{insertAssertion } (\text{extractFrame } P) \Psi \simeq_F$
 $\text{insertAssertion } (\text{extractFrame } Q) \Psi \wedge$
 $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow [X] Q \wedge$
 $(\forall \Psi'. (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in X) \wedge (\Psi, Q, P) \in X$

shows $(\Psi, P, Q) \in \text{bisim}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bisimWeakCoinduct*[*consumes 1, case-names cStatEq cSim cExt cSym*]:

fixes $F :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $X :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$

assumes $(\Psi, P, Q) \in X$
and $\bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies \text{insertAssertion } (\text{extractFrame } P) \Psi \simeq_F$
 $\text{insertAssertion } (\text{extractFrame } Q) \Psi$
and $\bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies \Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow[X] Q$
and $\bigwedge \Psi P Q \Psi'. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in X$
and $\bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies (\Psi, Q, P) \in X$

shows $(\Psi, P, Q) \in \text{bisim}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bisimE*:
fixes $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\Psi :: 'b$
and $\Psi' :: 'b$

assumes $(\Psi, P, Q) \in \text{bisim}$

shows $\text{insertAssertion } (\text{extractFrame } P) \Psi \simeq_F \text{insertAssertion } (\text{extractFrame } Q)$
 Ψ
and $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow[\text{bisim}] Q$
and $(\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in \text{bisim}$
and $(\Psi, Q, P) \in \text{bisim}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bisimI*:
fixes $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\Psi :: 'b$

assumes $\text{insertAssertion } (\text{extractFrame } P) \Psi \simeq_F \text{insertAssertion } (\text{extractFrame } Q)$
 Ψ
and $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow[\text{bisim}] Q$
and $\forall \Psi'. (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in \text{bisim}$
and $(\Psi, Q, P) \in \text{bisim}$

shows $(\Psi, P, Q) \in \text{bisim}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bisimReflexive*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \sim P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bisimClosed*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $p :: name prm$

assumes $PBisimQ: \Psi \triangleright P \sim Q$

shows $(p \cdot \Psi) \triangleright (p \cdot P) \sim (p \cdot Q)$
<proof>

lemma *bisimEqvt[simp]*:
shows *eqvt bisim*
<proof>

lemma *statEqBisim*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $\Psi' :: 'b$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \sim Q$
and $\Psi \simeq \Psi'$

shows $\Psi' \triangleright P \sim Q$
<proof>

lemma *bisimTransitive*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $PQ: \Psi \triangleright P \sim Q$
and $QR: \Psi \triangleright Q \sim R$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \sim R$
<proof>

lemma *weakTransitiveCoinduct[case-names cStatEq cSim cExt cSym, case-conclusion bisim step, consumes 2]*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $X :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$

assumes $p: (\Psi, P, Q) \in X$
and *Eqvt: eqvt X*

and $rStatEq: \bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies insertAssertion (extractFrame P) \Psi$
 $\simeq_F insertAssertion (extractFrame Q) \Psi$
and $rSim: \bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies \Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow [(\{\Psi, P, Q\} \mid \Psi P P' Q' Q.$
 $\Psi \triangleright P \sim P' \wedge$

$(\Psi, P', Q') \in X \wedge$
 $\Psi \triangleright Q' \sim Q\})] Q$

and $rExt: \bigwedge \Psi P Q \Psi'. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in X$
and $rSym: \bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies (\Psi, Q, P) \in X$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \sim Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $weakTransitiveCoinduct'$ [*case-names cStatEq cSim cExt cSym, case-conclusion bisim step, consumes 2*]:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $X :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$

assumes $p: (\Psi, P, Q) \in X$
and $Eqvt: eqvt X$
and $rStatEq: \bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies insertAssertion (extractFrame P) \Psi$
 $\simeq_F insertAssertion (extractFrame Q) \Psi$
and $rSim: \bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies \Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow [(\{\Psi, P, Q\} \mid \Psi P P' Q' Q.$
 $\Psi \triangleright P \sim P' \wedge$

$(\Psi, P', Q') \in X \wedge$
 $\Psi \triangleright Q' \sim Q\})] Q$

and $rExt: \bigwedge \Psi P Q \Psi'. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in X$
and $rSym: \bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies$
 $(\Psi, Q, P) \in \{(\Psi, P, Q) \mid \Psi P P' Q' Q. \Psi \triangleright P \sim P' \wedge (\Psi, P',$
 $Q') \in X \wedge \Psi \triangleright Q' \sim Q\}$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \sim Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $weakTransitiveCoinduct''$ [*case-names cStatEq cSim cExt cSym, case-conclusion bisim step, consumes 2*]:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $X :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$

assumes $p: (\Psi, P, Q) \in X$
and $Eqvt: eqvt X$
and $rStatEq: \bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies insertAssertion (extractFrame P) \Psi$
 $\simeq_F insertAssertion (extractFrame Q) \Psi$
and $rSim: \bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies \Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow [(\{\Psi, P, Q\} \mid \Psi P P' Q' Q.$
 $\Psi \triangleright P \sim P' \wedge$

$(\Psi, P', Q') \in X \wedge$

$$\Psi \triangleright Q' \sim Q\}}] Q$$
and $rExt: \bigwedge \Psi P Q \Psi'. (\Psi, P, Q) \in \{(\Psi, P, Q) \mid \Psi P P' Q' Q. \Psi \triangleright P \sim P' \wedge (\Psi, P', Q') \in X \wedge \Psi \triangleright Q' \sim Q\} \implies$

$$(\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in \{(\Psi, P, Q) \mid \Psi P P' Q' Q. \Psi \triangleright P \sim P' \wedge (\Psi, P', Q') \in X \wedge \Psi \triangleright Q' \sim Q\}$$
and $rSym: \bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in \{(\Psi, P, Q) \mid \Psi P P' Q' Q. \Psi \triangleright P \sim P' \wedge (\Psi, P', Q') \in X \wedge \Psi \triangleright Q' \sim Q\} \implies$

$$(\Psi, Q, P) \in \{(\Psi, P, Q) \mid \Psi P P' Q' Q. \Psi \triangleright P \sim P' \wedge (\Psi, P', Q') \in X \wedge \Psi \triangleright Q' \sim Q\}$$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \sim Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *transitiveCoinduct*[*case-names* $cStatEq$ $cSim$ $cExt$ $cSym$, *case-conclusion* *bisim step, consumes 2*]:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $X :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$

assumes $p: (\Psi, P, Q) \in X$
and $Eqvt: eqvt X$
and $rStatEq: \bigwedge \Psi' R S. (\Psi', R, S) \in X \implies insertAssertion (extractFrame R) \Psi' \simeq_F insertAssertion (extractFrame S) \Psi'$
and $rSim: \bigwedge \Psi' R S. (\Psi', R, S) \in X \implies \Psi' \triangleright R \rightsquigarrow [(\{\Psi', R, S\} \mid \Psi' R R' S' S. \Psi' \triangleright R \sim R' \wedge$

$$R' \sim S') \wedge ((\Psi', R', S') \in X \vee \Psi' \triangleright$$

$$\Psi' \triangleright S' \sim S)] S$$
and $rExt: \bigwedge \Psi' R S \Psi''. (\Psi', R, S) \in X \implies (\Psi' \otimes \Psi'', R, S) \in X \vee \Psi' \otimes \Psi'' \triangleright R \sim S$
and $rSym: \bigwedge \Psi' R S. (\Psi', R, S) \in X \implies (\Psi', S, R) \in X \vee \Psi' \triangleright S \sim R$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \sim Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *transitiveCoinduct*[*case-names* $cStatEq$ $cSim$ $cExt$ $cSym$, *case-conclusion* *bisim step, consumes 2*]:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $X :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$

assumes $p: (\Psi, P, Q) \in X$
and $Eqvt: eqvt X$
and $rStatEq: \bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies insertAssertion (extractFrame P) \Psi \simeq_F insertAssertion (extractFrame Q) \Psi$
and $rSim: \bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies \Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow [(\{\Psi, P, Q\} \mid \Psi P P' Q' Q.$

$\Psi \triangleright P \sim P' \wedge$
 $(\Psi, P', Q') \in (X \cup \text{bisim}) \wedge$
 $\Psi \triangleright Q' \sim Q\} \] Q$
and *rExt*: $\bigwedge \Psi P Q \Psi'. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in X \vee \Psi \otimes \Psi' \triangleright P$
 $\sim Q$
and *rSym*: $\bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies$
 $(\Psi, Q, P) \in \{(\Psi, P, Q) \mid \Psi P P' Q' Q. \Psi \triangleright P \sim P' \wedge ((\Psi, P',$
 $Q') \in (X \cup \text{bisim})) \wedge \Psi \triangleright Q' \sim Q\}$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \sim Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bisimSymmetric*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \sim Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright Q \sim P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eqtTrans[intro]*:

assumes *eqt X*

shows *eqt* $\{(\Psi, P, Q) \mid \Psi P P' Q' Q. \Psi \triangleright P \sim P' \wedge ((\Psi, P', Q') \in X \vee \Psi \triangleright$
 $P' \sim Q') \wedge \Psi \triangleright Q' \sim Q\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eqtWeakTrans[intro]*:

assumes *eqt X*

shows *eqt* $\{(\Psi, P, Q) \mid \Psi P P' Q' Q. \Psi \triangleright P \sim P' \wedge (\Psi, P', Q') \in X \wedge \Psi \triangleright$
 $Q' \sim Q\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

theory *Sim-Pres*

imports *Simulation*

begin

context *env* **begin**

lemma *inputPres*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $M :: 'a$
and $xvec :: name list$
and $N :: 'a$

assumes $PRelQ: \bigwedge Tvec. length\ xvec = length\ Tvec \implies (\Psi, P[xvec::=Tvec], Q[xvec::=Tvec]) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright M(\lambda*xvec\ N).P \rightsquigarrow[Rel] M(\lambda*xvec\ N).Q$
<proof>

lemma *outputPres:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $M :: 'a$
and $N :: 'a$

assumes $PRelQ: (\Psi, P, Q) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright M\langle N \rangle.P \rightsquigarrow[Rel] M\langle N \rangle.Q$
<proof>

lemma *casePres:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $CsP :: ('c \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) list$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$
and $CsQ :: ('c \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) list$
and $M :: 'a$
and $N :: 'a$

assumes $PRelQ: \bigwedge \varphi Q. (\varphi, Q) mem\ CsQ \implies \exists P. (\varphi, P) mem\ CsP \wedge guarded\ P \wedge (\Psi, P, Q) \in Rel$

and $Sim: \bigwedge \Psi' R S. (\Psi', R, S) \in Rel \implies \Psi' \triangleright R \rightsquigarrow[Rel] S$
and $Rel \subseteq Rel'$

shows $\Psi \triangleright Cases\ CsP \rightsquigarrow[Rel'] Cases\ CsQ$
<proof>

lemma *resPres:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $x :: name$
and $Rel' :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$

assumes $PSimQ: \Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow[Rel] Q$
and $eqvt\ Rel'$
and $x \# \Psi$
and $Rel \subseteq Rel'$
and $C1: \bigwedge \Psi' R S y. \llbracket (\Psi', R, S) \in Rel; y \# \Psi' \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', (\nu y)R, (\nu y)S) \in Rel'$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)P \rightsquigarrow[Rel'] (\nu x)Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $resChainPres:$

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi)\ set$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $xvec :: name\ list$

assumes $PSimQ: \Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow[Rel] Q$
and $eqvt\ Rel$
and $xvec \#* \Psi$
and $C1: \bigwedge \Psi' R S y. \llbracket (\Psi', R, S) \in Rel; y \# \Psi' \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', (\nu y)R, (\nu y)S) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu *xvec)P \rightsquigarrow[Rel] (\nu *xvec)Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $parPres:$

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi)\ set$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $Rel' :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi)\ set$

assumes $PRelQ: \bigwedge A_R \Psi_R. \llbracket extractFrame\ R = \langle A_R, \Psi_R \rangle; A_R \#* \Psi; A_R \#* P; A_R \#* Q \rrbracket \implies (\Psi \otimes \Psi_R, P, Q) \in Rel$

and $Eqvt: eqvt\ Rel$
and $Eqvt': eqvt\ Rel'$

and $StatImp: \bigwedge \Psi' S T. (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel \implies insertAssertion\ (extractFrame\ T)\ \Psi' \hookrightarrow_F insertAssertion\ (extractFrame\ S)\ \Psi'$

and $Sim: \bigwedge \Psi' S T. (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel \implies \Psi' \triangleright S \rightsquigarrow[Rel] T$

and $Ext: \bigwedge \Psi' S T \Psi''. \llbracket (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel \rrbracket \implies (\Psi' \otimes \Psi'', S, T) \in Rel$

and $C1: \bigwedge \Psi' S T A_U \Psi_U U. \llbracket (\Psi' \otimes \Psi_U, S, T) \in Rel; extractFrame\ U = \langle A_U, \Psi_U \rangle; A_U \#* \Psi'; A_U \#* S; A_U \#* T \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', S \parallel U, T \parallel U) \in Rel'$

and $C2: \bigwedge \Psi' S T xvec. \llbracket (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel'; xvec \#* \Psi' \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', (\nu *xvec)S, (\nu *xvec)T) \in Rel'$

and $C3: \bigwedge \Psi' S T \Psi''. \llbracket (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel; \Psi' \simeq \Psi'' \rrbracket \implies (\Psi'', S, T) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel R \rightsquigarrow [Rel'] Q \parallel R$

<proof>

unbundle *no relcomp-syntax*

lemma *bangPres*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$

and $Rel' :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$

assumes $(\Psi, P, Q) \in Rel$

and *eqvt* Rel'

and *guarded* P

and *guarded* Q

and $cSim: \bigwedge \Psi' S T. (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel \implies \Psi' \triangleright S \rightsquigarrow [Rel] T$

and $cExt: \bigwedge \Psi' S T \Psi''. (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel \implies (\Psi' \otimes \Psi'', S, T) \in Rel$

and $cSym: \bigwedge \Psi' S T. (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel \implies (\Psi', T, S) \in Rel$

and $StatEq: \bigwedge \Psi' S T \Psi''. \llbracket (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel; \Psi' \simeq \Psi'' \rrbracket \implies (\Psi'', S, T) \in Rel$

and $Closed: \bigwedge \Psi' S T p. (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel \implies ((p::name prm) \cdot \Psi', p \cdot S, p \cdot T) \in Rel$

and $Assoc: \bigwedge \Psi' S T U. (\Psi', S \parallel (T \parallel U), (S \parallel T) \parallel U) \in Rel$

and $ParPres: \bigwedge \Psi' S T U. (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel \implies (\Psi', S \parallel U, T \parallel U) \in Rel$

and $FrameParPres: \bigwedge \Psi' \Psi_U S T U A_U. \llbracket (\Psi' \otimes \Psi_U, S, T) \in Rel; extractFrame U = \langle A_U, \Psi_U \rangle; A_U \#* \Psi'; A_U \#* S; A_U \#* T \rrbracket \implies$

$(\Psi', U \parallel S, U \parallel T) \in Rel$

and $ResPres: \bigwedge \Psi' S T xvec. \llbracket (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel; xvec \#* \Psi' \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', (\nu * xvec) S, (\nu * xvec) T) \in Rel$

and $ScopeExt: \bigwedge xvec \Psi' S T. \llbracket xvec \#* \Psi'; xvec \#* T \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', (\nu * xvec)(S \parallel T), (\nu * xvec) S) \parallel T) \in Rel$

and $Trans: \bigwedge \Psi' S T U. \llbracket (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel; (\Psi', T, U) \in Rel \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', S, U) \in Rel$

and $Compose: \bigwedge \Psi' S T U O. \llbracket (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel; (\Psi', T, U) \in Rel'; (\Psi', U, O) \in Rel \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', S, O) \in Rel'$

and $C1: \bigwedge \Psi S T U. \llbracket (\Psi, S, T) \in Rel; guarded S; guarded T \rrbracket \implies (\Psi, U \parallel !S, U \parallel !T) \in Rel'$

and $Der: \bigwedge \Psi' S \alpha S' T. \llbracket \Psi' \triangleright !S \mapsto \alpha \prec S'; (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel; bn \alpha \#* \Psi'; bn \alpha \#* S; bn \alpha \#* T; guarded T; bn \alpha \#* subject \alpha \rrbracket \implies$

$\exists T' U O. \Psi' \triangleright !T \mapsto \alpha \prec T' \wedge (\Psi', S', U \parallel !S) \in Rel \wedge (\Psi', T', O \parallel !T) \in Rel \wedge$

$(\Psi', U, O) \in Rel \wedge ((supp U)::name set) \subseteq$

$supp S' \wedge$

$((supp O)::name set) \subseteq supp T'$

shows $\Psi \triangleright R \parallel !P \rightsquigarrow [Rel'] R \parallel !Q$

<proof>

```

unbundle relcomp-syntax
end

end

theory Bisim-Pres
  imports Bisimulation Sim-Pres
begin

context env begin

lemma bisimInputPres:
  fixes  $\Psi$  :: 'b
  and  $P$  :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and  $Q$  :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and  $M$  :: 'a
  and  $xvec$  :: name list
  and  $N$  :: 'a

  assumes  $\bigwedge Tvec. \text{length } xvec = \text{length } Tvec \implies \Psi \triangleright P[xvec ::= Tvec] \sim Q[xvec ::= Tvec]$ 

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright M(\lambda * xvec N).P \sim M(\lambda * xvec N).Q$ 
  <proof>

lemma bisimOutputPres:
  fixes  $\Psi$  :: 'b
  and  $P$  :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and  $Q$  :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and  $M$  :: 'a
  and  $N$  :: 'a

  assumes  $\Psi \triangleright P \sim Q$ 

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright M\langle N \rangle.P \sim M\langle N \rangle.Q$ 
  <proof>

lemma bisimCasePres:
  fixes  $\Psi$  :: 'b
  and  $CsP$  :: ('c  $\times$  ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) list
  and  $CsQ$  :: ('c  $\times$  ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) list

  assumes  $\bigwedge \varphi P. (\varphi, P) \text{ mem } CsP \implies \exists Q. (\varphi, Q) \text{ mem } CsQ \wedge \text{guarded } Q \wedge \Psi \triangleright P \sim Q$ 
  and  $\bigwedge \varphi Q. (\varphi, Q) \text{ mem } CsQ \implies \exists P. (\varphi, P) \text{ mem } CsP \wedge \text{guarded } P \wedge \Psi \triangleright P \sim Q$ 

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright \text{Cases } CsP \sim \text{Cases } CsQ$ 
  <proof>

```

lemma *bisimResPres*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $x :: \text{ name}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \sim Q$
and $x \# \Psi$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)P \sim (\nu x)Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bisimResChainPres*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $xvec :: \text{ name list}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \sim Q$
and $xvec \#* \Psi$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu *xvec)P \sim (\nu *xvec)Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bisimParPresAux*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $\Psi_R :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $A_R :: \text{ name list}$

assumes $\Psi \otimes \Psi_R \triangleright P \sim Q$
and $FrR: \text{ extractFrame } R = \langle A_R, \Psi_R \rangle$
and $A_R \#* \Psi$
and $A_R \#* P$
and $A_R \#* Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel R \sim Q \parallel R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bisimParPres*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \sim Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel R \sim Q \parallel R$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

end

theory *Sim-Struct-Cong*
 imports *Simulation*
 begin

lemma *partitionListLeft*:
 assumes $xs@ys=xs'@y\#ys'$
 and $y \text{ mem } xs$
 and $\text{distinct}(xs@ys)$

obtains zs where $xs = xs'@y\#zs$ and $ys'=zs@ys$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *partitionListRight*:
 assumes $xs@ys=xs'@y\#ys'$
 and $y \text{ mem } ys$
 and $\text{distinct}(xs@ys)$

obtains zs where $xs' = xs@zs$ and $ys=zs@y\#ys'$
 ⟨proof⟩

context *env* begin

lemma *resComm*:
 fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
 and $x :: \text{name}$
 and $y :: \text{name}$
 and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}) \text{ set}$
 and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

assumes $x \# \Psi$
 and $y \# \Psi$
 and $\text{eqvt } Rel$
 and $R1: \bigwedge \Psi' Q. (\Psi', Q, Q) \in Rel$
 and $R2: \bigwedge \Psi' a b Q. \llbracket a \# \Psi'; b \# \Psi \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', (\nu a)((\nu b)Q), (\nu b)((\nu a)Q)) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)((\nu y)P) \rightsquigarrow[Rel] (\nu y)((\nu x)P)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *parAssocLeft*:
 fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
 and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$

assumes $\text{eqvt } Rel$

and $C1: \bigwedge \Psi' S T U. (\Psi, (S \parallel T) \parallel U, S \parallel (T \parallel U)) \in Rel$
and $C2: \bigwedge \text{xvec } \Psi' S T U. [\text{xvec } \#* \Psi'; \text{xvec } \#* S] \implies (\Psi', (\nu * \text{xvec})(S \parallel T) \parallel U, S \parallel ((\nu * \text{xvec})(T \parallel U))) \in Rel$
and $C3: \bigwedge \text{xvec } \Psi' S T U. [\text{xvec } \#* \Psi'; \text{xvec } \#* U] \implies (\Psi', ((\nu * \text{xvec})(S \parallel T)) \parallel U, (\nu * \text{xvec})(S \parallel (T \parallel U))) \in Rel$
and $C4: \bigwedge \Psi' S T \text{xvec}. [(\Psi', S, T) \in Rel; \text{xvec } \#* \Psi'] \implies (\Psi', (\nu * \text{xvec})S, (\nu * \text{xvec})T) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (P \parallel Q) \parallel R \rightsquigarrow[Rel] P \parallel (Q \parallel R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma parNilLeft :

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$

assumes $\text{eqvt } Rel$

and $C1: \bigwedge Q. (\Psi, Q \parallel \mathbf{0}, Q) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (P \parallel \mathbf{0}) \rightsquigarrow[Rel] P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma parNilRight :

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$

assumes $\text{eqvt } Rel$

and $C1: \bigwedge Q. (\Psi, Q, (Q \parallel \mathbf{0})) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow[Rel] (P \parallel \mathbf{0})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma resNilLeft :

fixes $x :: \text{name}$
and $\Psi :: 'b$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)\mathbf{0} \rightsquigarrow[Rel] \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma resNilRight :

fixes $x :: \text{name}$
and $\Psi :: 'b$

and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$

shows $\Psi \triangleright \mathbf{0} \rightsquigarrow[Rel] (\nu x)\mathbf{0}$
<proof>

lemma *inputPushResLeft*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $x :: name$
and $M :: 'a$
and $xvec :: name list$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes *eqvt Rel*
and $x \# \Psi$
and $x \# M$
and $x \# xvec$
and $x \# N$
and $C1: \bigwedge Q. (\Psi, Q, Q) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)(M(\lambda*xvec N).P) \rightsquigarrow[Rel] M(\lambda*xvec N).(\nu x)P$
<proof>

lemma *inputPushResRight*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $x :: name$
and $M :: 'a$
and $xvec :: name list$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes *eqvt Rel*
and $x \# \Psi$
and $x \# M$
and $x \# xvec$
and $x \# N$
and $C1: \bigwedge Q. (\Psi, Q, Q) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright M(\lambda*xvec N).(\nu x)P \rightsquigarrow[Rel] (\nu x)(M(\lambda*xvec N).P)$
<proof>

lemma *outputPushResLeft*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $x :: name$
and $M :: 'a$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes *eqvt Rel*

and $x \# \Psi$
and $x \# M$
and $x \# N$
and $C1: \bigwedge Q. (\Psi, Q, Q) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)(M\langle N \rangle.P) \rightsquigarrow[Rel] M\langle N \rangle.(\nu x)P$
<proof>

lemma *outputPushResRight:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $x :: name$
and $M :: 'a$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes *eqvt Rel*
and $x \# \Psi$
and $x \# M$
and $x \# N$
and $C1: \bigwedge Q. (\Psi, Q, Q) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright M\langle N \rangle.(\nu x)P \rightsquigarrow[Rel] (\nu x)(M\langle N \rangle.P)$
<proof>

lemma *casePushResLeft:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $x :: name$
and $Cs :: ('c \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) list$

assumes *eqvt Rel*
and $x \# \Psi$
and $x \# map\ fst\ Cs$
and $C1: \bigwedge Q. (\Psi, Q, Q) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)(Cases\ Cs) \rightsquigarrow[Rel] Cases\ (map\ (\lambda(\varphi, P). (\varphi, (\nu x)P))\ Cs)$
<proof>

lemma *casePushResRight:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $x :: name$
and $Cs :: ('c \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) list$

assumes *eqvt Rel*
and $x \# \Psi$
and $x \# map\ fst\ Cs$
and $C1: \bigwedge Q. (\Psi, Q, Q) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright Cases\ (map\ (\lambda(\varphi, P). (\varphi, (\nu x)P))\ Cs) \rightsquigarrow[Rel] (\nu x)(Cases\ Cs)$
<proof>

lemma *resInputCases*[consumes 5, case-names *cRes*]:

fixes Ψ :: 'b
and x :: name
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and M :: 'a
and N :: 'a
and P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and C :: 'd::fs-name

assumes *Trans*: $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)P \mapsto M(N) \prec P'$
and $x \# \Psi$
and $x \# M$
and $x \# N$
and $x \# P'$
and *rScope*: $\bigwedge P'. \llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(N) \prec P' \rrbracket \implies Prop ((\nu x)P')$

shows *Prop P'*

<proof>

lemma *scopeExtLeft*:

fixes x :: name
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and Ψ :: 'b
and Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and *Rel* :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set

assumes $x \# P$
and $x \# \Psi$
and *eqvt Rel*
and *C1*: $\bigwedge \Psi' R. (\Psi', R, R) \in Rel$
and *C2*: $\bigwedge y \Psi' R S zvec. \llbracket y \# \Psi'; y \# R; zvec \#* \Psi' \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', (\nu y)((\nu *zvec)(R \parallel S)), (\nu *zvec)(R \parallel (\nu y)S)) \in Rel$
and *C3*: $\bigwedge \Psi' zvec R y. \llbracket y \# \Psi'; zvec \#* \Psi' \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', (\nu y)((\nu *zvec)R), (\nu *zvec)((\nu y)R)) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)(P \parallel Q) \rightsquigarrow[Rel] P \parallel (\nu x)Q$

<proof>

lemma *scopeExtRight*:

fixes x :: name
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and Ψ :: 'b
and Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and *Rel* :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set

assumes $x \# P$

and $x \# \Psi$

and *eqvt Rel*

and $C1: \bigwedge \Psi' R. (\Psi, R, R) \in Rel$
and $C2: \bigwedge y \Psi' R S zvec. \llbracket y \# \Psi'; y \# R; zvec \#* \Psi \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', (\nu * zvec)(R \parallel (\nu y)S), (\nu y)((\nu * zvec)(R \parallel S))) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel (\nu x)Q \rightsquigarrow[Rel] (\nu x)(P \parallel Q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *simParComm*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$

assumes *eqvt Rel*

and $C1: \bigwedge \Psi' R S. (\Psi', R \parallel S, S \parallel R) \in Rel$
and $C2: \bigwedge \Psi' R S xvec. \llbracket (\Psi', R, S) \in Rel; xvec \#* \Psi \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', (\nu * xvec)R, (\nu * xvec)S) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel Q \rightsquigarrow[Rel] Q \parallel P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bangExtLeft*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes *guarded P*

and $\bigwedge \Psi' Q. (\Psi', Q, Q) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright !P \rightsquigarrow[Rel] P \parallel !P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bangExtRight*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $C1: \bigwedge \Psi' Q. (\Psi', Q, Q) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel !P \rightsquigarrow[Rel] !P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

theory *Structural-Congruence*

imports *Agent*

begin

```

inductive structCong :: (('a::fs-name), ('b::fs-name), ('c::fs-name)) psi => ('a, 'b,
'c) psi => bool (↔ ≡s → [70, 70] 70)
where
  Refl: P ≡s P
| Sym: P ≡s Q => Q ≡s P
| Trans: [P ≡s Q; Q ≡s R] => P ≡s R

| ParComm: P || Q ≡s Q || P
| ParAssoc: (P || Q) || R ≡s P || (Q || R)
| ParId: P || 0 ≡s P

| ResNil: (νx)0 ≡s 0
| ResComm: (νx)((νy)P) ≡s (νy)((νx)P)
| ScopeExtPar: x # P => (νx)(P || Q) ≡s P || (νx)Q
| InputRes: [x # M; x # xvec; x # N] => (νx)(M(λ*xvec N).P) ≡s M(λ*xvec
N).(νx)P
| OutputRes: [x # M; x # N] => (νx)(M⟨N⟩.P) ≡s M⟨N⟩.(νx)P
| CaseRes: x # (map fst Cs) => (νx)(Cases Cs) ≡s Cases(map (λ(φ, P). (φ,
(νx)P)) Cs)

| BangUnfold: guarded P => !P ≡s P || !P

```

end

theory Bisim-Struct-Cong

imports Bisim-Pres Sim-Struct-Cong Structural-Congruence

begin

context env **begin**

lemma bisimParComm:

fixes Ψ :: 'b

and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi

and Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi

shows Ψ ▷ P || Q ~ Q || P

⟨proof⟩

lemma bisimResComm:

fixes x :: name

and Ψ :: 'b

and y :: name

and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi

shows Ψ ▷ (νx)((νy)P) ~ (νy)((νx)P)

⟨proof⟩

lemma bisimResComm':

fixes x :: name

and $\Psi :: 'b$
and $xvec :: name\ list$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$

assumes $x \# \Psi$
and $xvec \#* \Psi$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)(\nu *xvec)P \sim (\nu *xvec)(\nu x)P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bisimScopeExt*:

fixes $x :: name$
and $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$

assumes $x \# P$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)(P \parallel Q) \sim P \parallel (\nu x)Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bisimScopeExtChain*:

fixes $xvec :: name\ list$
and $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$

assumes $xvec \#* \Psi$
and $xvec \#* P$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu *xvec)(P \parallel Q) \sim P \parallel (\nu *xvec)Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bisimParAssoc*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (P \parallel Q) \parallel R \sim P \parallel (Q \parallel R)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bisimParNil*:

fixes $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel \mathbf{0} \sim P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bisimResNil*:

```

fixes  $x :: name$ 
and  $\Psi :: 'b$ 

shows  $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)\mathbf{0} \sim \mathbf{0}$ 
<proof>

lemma bisimOutputPushRes:
fixes  $x :: name$ 
and  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $M :: 'a$ 
and  $N :: 'a$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 

assumes  $x \# M$ 
and  $x \# N$ 

shows  $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)(M\langle N \rangle.P) \sim M\langle N \rangle.(\nu x)P$ 
<proof>

lemma bisimInputPushRes:
fixes  $x :: name$ 
and  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $M :: 'a$ 
and  $xvec :: name list$ 
and  $N :: 'a$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 

assumes  $x \# M$ 
and  $x \# xvec$ 
and  $x \# N$ 

shows  $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)(M(\lambda * xvec N).P) \sim M(\lambda * xvec N).(\nu x)P$ 
<proof>

lemma bisimCasePushRes:
fixes  $x :: name$ 
and  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $Cs :: ('c \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) list$ 

assumes  $x \# (map fst Cs)$ 

shows  $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)(Cases Cs) \sim Cases(map (\lambda(\varphi, P). (\varphi, (\nu x)P)) Cs)$ 
<proof>

lemma bangExt:
fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 

assumes guarded P

```

shows $\Psi \triangleright !P \sim P \parallel !P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bisimParPresSym*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \sim Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright R \parallel P \sim R \parallel Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bisimScopeExtSym*:
fixes $x :: name$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $x \# \Psi$
and $x \# Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)(P \parallel Q) \sim ((\nu x)P) \parallel Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bisimScopeExtChainSym*:
fixes $xvec :: name list$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $xvec \#* \Psi$
and $xvec \#* Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu *xvec)(P \parallel Q) \sim ((\nu *xvec)P) \parallel Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bisimParPresAuxSym*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $\Psi \otimes \Psi_R \triangleright P \sim Q$
and $extractFrame R = \langle A_R, \Psi_R \rangle$
and $A_R \#* \Psi$
and $A_R \#* P$
and $A_R \#* Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright R \parallel P \sim R \parallel Q$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *bangDerivative*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
 and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
 and $\alpha :: 'a action$
 and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright !P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'$
 and $\Psi \triangleright P \sim Q$
 and $bn \ \alpha \ \#* \ \Psi$
 and $bn \ \alpha \ \#* \ P$
 and $bn \ \alpha \ \#* \ Q$
 and $bn \ \alpha \ \#* \ subject \ \alpha$
 and *guarded* Q

obtains $Q' R T$ where $\Psi \triangleright !Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q'$ and $\Psi \triangleright P' \sim R \parallel !P$ and $\Psi \triangleright Q' \sim T \parallel !Q$ and $\Psi \triangleright R \sim T$
 and $((supp \ R)::name \ set) \subseteq supp \ P'$ and $((supp \ T)::name \ set) \subseteq supp \ Q'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *structCongBisim*:

fixes $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
 and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $P \equiv_s Q$

shows $P \sim Q$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *bisimBangPres*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
 and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
 and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \sim Q$
 and *guarded* P
 and *guarded* Q

shows $\Psi \triangleright !P \sim !Q$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

end

theory *Weak-Bisimulation*

imports *Weak-Simulation Weak-Stat-Imp Bisim-Struct-Cong*
begin

context *env* **begin**

lemma *monoCoinduct*: $\bigwedge x y xa xb xc P Q \Psi$.

$$\begin{aligned} x \leq y &\implies \\ (\Psi \triangleright Q \rightsquigarrow \langle \{(xc, xb, xa). x xc xb xa\} \rangle P) &\longrightarrow \\ (\Psi \triangleright Q \rightsquigarrow \langle \{(xb, xa, xc). y xb xa xc\} \rangle P) & \end{aligned}$$

<proof>

lemma *monoCoinduct2*: $\bigwedge x y xa xb xc P Q \Psi$.

$$\begin{aligned} x \leq y &\implies \\ (\Psi \triangleright Q \lesssim \langle \{(xc, xb, xa). x xc xb xa\} \rangle P) &\longrightarrow \\ (\Psi \triangleright Q \lesssim \langle \{(xb, xa, xc). y xb xa xc\} \rangle P) & \end{aligned}$$

<proof>

coinductive-set *weakBisim* :: $('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}) \text{ set}$
where

step: $\llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \lesssim \langle \text{weakBisim} \rangle Q; \Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle \text{weakBisim} \rangle Q;$

$\forall \Psi'. (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in \text{weakBisim}; (\Psi, Q, P) \in \text{weakBisim} \rrbracket \implies (\Psi, P, Q) \in \text{weakBisim}$

monos *monoCoinduct monoCoinduct2*

abbreviation

weakBisimJudge $(\leftarrow \triangleright - \approx \rightarrow [70, 70, 70] 65)$ **where** $\Psi \triangleright P \approx Q \equiv (\Psi, P, Q) \in \text{weakBisim}$

abbreviation

weakBisimNilJudge $(\leftarrow \approx \rightarrow [70, 70] 65)$ **where** $P \approx Q \equiv \mathbf{1} \triangleright P \approx Q$

lemma *weakBisimCoinductAux*[*consumes 1*]:

fixes $F :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

and $X :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}) \text{ set}$

assumes $(\Psi, P, Q) \in X$

and $\bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies (\Psi \triangleright P \lesssim \langle (X \cup \text{weakBisim}) \rangle Q) \wedge$

$(\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle (X \cup \text{weakBisim}) \rangle Q) \wedge$

$(\forall \Psi'. (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in X \vee (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in$

weakBisim) \wedge

$((\Psi, Q, P) \in X \vee (\Psi, Q, P) \in \text{weakBisim})$

shows $(\Psi, P, Q) \in \text{weakBisim}$

<proof>

lemma *weakBisimCoinduct*[*consumes 1, case-names cStatImp cSim cExt cSym*]:

fixes $F :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $X :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$

assumes $(\Psi, P, Q) \in X$
and $\bigwedge \Psi' R S. (\Psi', R, S) \in X \implies \Psi' \triangleright R \lesssim \langle X \cup \text{weakBisim} \rangle S$
and $\bigwedge \Psi' R S. (\Psi', R, S) \in X \implies \Psi' \triangleright R \rightsquigarrow \langle X \cup \text{weakBisim} \rangle S$
and $\bigwedge \Psi' R S \Psi''. (\Psi', R, S) \in X \implies (\Psi' \otimes \Psi'', R, S) \in X \vee \Psi' \otimes \Psi'' \triangleright R \approx S$
and $\bigwedge \Psi' R S. (\Psi', R, S) \in X \implies (\Psi', S, R) \in X \vee \Psi' \triangleright S \approx R$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \approx Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *weakBisimWeakCoinductAux*[*consumes 1*]:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $X :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$

assumes $(\Psi, P, Q) \in X$
and $\bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies \Psi \triangleright P \lesssim \langle X \rangle Q \wedge \Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle X \rangle Q \wedge$
 $(\forall \Psi'. (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in X) \wedge (\Psi, Q, P) \in X$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \approx Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *weakBisimWeakCoinduct*[*consumes 1, case-names cStatImp cSim cExt cSym*]:

fixes $F :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $X :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$

assumes $(\Psi, P, Q) \in X$
and $\bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies \Psi \triangleright P \lesssim \langle X \rangle Q$
and $\bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies \Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle X \rangle Q$
and $\bigwedge \Psi P Q \Psi'. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in X$
and $\bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies (\Psi, Q, P) \in X$

shows $(\Psi, P, Q) \in \text{weakBisim}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *weakBisimE*:

fixes $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\Psi :: 'b$
and $\Psi' :: 'b$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \approx Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \lesssim \langle \text{weakBisim} \rangle Q$
and $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle \text{weakBisim} \rangle Q$
and $\Psi \otimes \Psi' \triangleright P \approx Q$
and $\Psi \triangleright Q \approx P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *weakBisimI*:
fixes $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $\Psi :: 'b$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \lesssim \langle \text{weakBisim} \rangle Q$
and $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle \text{weakBisim} \rangle Q$
and $\forall \Psi'. \Psi \otimes \Psi' \triangleright P \approx Q$
and $\Psi \triangleright Q \approx P$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \approx Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *weakBisimReflexive*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \approx P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *weakBisimClosed*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $p :: \text{name prm}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \approx Q$

shows $(p \cdot \Psi) \triangleright (p \cdot P) \approx (p \cdot Q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *weakBisimEqvt[simp]*:
shows *eqvt weakBisim*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *statEqWeakBisim*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $\Psi' :: 'b$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \approx Q$

and $\Psi \simeq \Psi'$

shows $\Psi' \triangleright P \approx Q$
<proof>

lemma *weakBisimTransitive:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $PQ: \Psi \triangleright P \approx Q$
and $QR: \Psi \triangleright Q \approx R$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \approx R$
<proof>

lemma *strongBisimWeakBisim:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \sim Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \approx Q$
<proof>

lemma *structCongWeakBisim:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $P \equiv_s Q$

shows $P \approx Q$
<proof>

lemma *simTauChain:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $(\Psi, P, Q) \in \text{Rel}$
and $\Psi \triangleright Q \Longrightarrow_{\tau} Q'$
and $\text{Sim}: \bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in \text{Rel} \Longrightarrow \Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow[\text{Rel}] Q$

obtains P' **where** $\Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'$ **and** $(\Psi, P', Q') \in \text{Rel}$
<proof>

lemma *quietBisimNil*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes *quiet P*

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \approx \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma *weakTransitiveWeakCoinduct*[*case-names cStatImp cSim cExt cSym, case-conclusion bisim step, consumes 2*]:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $X :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$

assumes $p: (\Psi, P, Q) \in X$

and *Eqvt: eqvt X*

and *rStatImp: $\bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies \Psi \triangleright P \lesssim_{\langle X \rangle} Q$*

and *rSim: $\bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies \Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow_{\langle \{(\Psi, P, Q) \mid \Psi P Q. \exists P' Q'. \Psi \triangleright P \sim P' \wedge$*

$(\Psi, P', Q') \in X \wedge$
 $\Psi \triangleright Q' \sim Q \rangle} Q$

and *rExt: $\bigwedge \Psi P Q \Psi'. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in X$*

and *rSym: $\bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies (\Psi, Q, P) \in X$*

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \approx Q$

<proof>

lemma *weakTransitiveCoinduct*[*case-names cStatImp cSim cExt cSym, case-conclusion bisim step, consumes 2*]:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $X :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$

assumes $p: (\Psi, P, Q) \in X$

and *Eqvt: eqvt X*

and *rStatImp: $\bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies \Psi \triangleright P \lesssim_{\langle X \cup \text{weakBisim} \rangle} Q$*

and *rSim: $\bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies \Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow_{\langle \{(\Psi, P, Q) \mid \Psi P Q. \exists P' Q'. \Psi \triangleright P \sim P' \wedge$*

$(\Psi, P', Q') \in (X \cup$

weakBisim) \wedge

$\Psi \triangleright Q' \sim Q \rangle} Q$

and *rExt: $\bigwedge \Psi P Q \Psi'. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in X \cup \text{weakBisim}$*

and *rSym: $\bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies (\Psi, Q, P) \in X \cup \text{weakBisim}$*

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \approx Q$

<proof>

lemma *weakTransitiveCoinduct2*[*case-names cStatImp cSim cExt cSym, case-conclusion bisim step, consumes 2*]:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $X :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$

assumes $p: (\Psi, P, Q) \in X$
and $\text{Eqvt}: \text{eqvt } X$
and $r\text{StatImp}: \bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies \Psi \triangleright P \lesssim \langle X \rangle Q$
and $r\text{Sim}: \bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies \Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle \{(\Psi, P, Q) \mid \Psi P Q. \exists P' Q'. \Psi \triangleright P \approx P' \wedge (\Psi, P', Q') \in X \wedge \Psi \triangleright Q' \sim Q\} \rangle Q$
and $r\text{Ext}: \bigwedge \Psi P Q \Psi'. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in X$
and $r\text{Sym}: \bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies (\Psi, Q, P) \in X$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \approx Q$
<proof>

end

end

theory *Weak-Sim-Pres*

imports *Sim-Pres Weak-Simulation Weak-Stat-Imp*
begin

context *env* **begin**

lemma *weakInputPres*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\text{Rel} :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $M :: 'a$
and $\text{xvec} :: \text{name list}$
and $N :: 'a$

assumes $P\text{Rel}Q: \bigwedge T\text{vec } \Psi'. \text{length } \text{xvec} = \text{length } T\text{vec} \implies (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P[\text{xvec} ::= T\text{vec}], Q[\text{xvec} ::= T\text{vec}]) \in \text{Rel}$

shows $\Psi \triangleright M(\lambda * \text{xvec } N).P \rightsquigarrow \langle \text{Rel} \rangle M(\lambda * \text{xvec } N).Q$
<proof>

lemma *weakOutputPres*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\text{Rel} :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$

and Q $:: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*
and M $:: 'a$
and N $:: 'a$

assumes $PRelQ: \bigwedge \Psi'. (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright M\langle N \rangle.P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle M\langle N \rangle.Q$
<proof>

lemma *resTauCases*[*consumes 3, case-names cRes*]:

fixes Ψ $:: 'b$
and x $:: name$
and P $:: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*
and P' $:: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*
and C $:: 'd::fs-name$

assumes $Trans: \Psi \triangleright (\nu x)P \mapsto_{\tau} \prec P'$
and $x \# \Psi$
and $x \# P'$
and $rScope: \bigwedge P'. [\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto_{\tau} \prec P'] \implies Prop ((\nu x)P')$

shows $Prop P'$
<proof>

lemma *weakResPres*:

fixes Ψ $:: 'b$
and P $:: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*
and Rel $:: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi* $\times ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*) *set*
and Q $:: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*
and x $:: name$
and Rel' $:: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi* $\times ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*) *set*

assumes $PSimQ: \Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q$
and $eqvt Rel'$
and $x \# \Psi$
and $Rel \subseteq Rel'$
and $C1: \bigwedge \Psi' R S y. [(\Psi', R, S) \in Rel; y \# \Psi'] \implies (\Psi', (\nu y)R, (\nu y)S) \in Rel'$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel' \rangle (\nu x)Q$
<proof>

lemma *weakResChainPres*:

fixes Ψ $:: 'b$
and P $:: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*
and Rel $:: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi* $\times ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*) *set*
and Q $:: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*
and $xvec$ $:: name$ *list*

assumes $PSimQ: \Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q$
and $eqvt Rel$
and $xvec \#* \Psi$
and $C1: \bigwedge \Psi' R S yvec. \llbracket (\Psi', R, S) \in Rel; yvec \#* \Psi' \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', (\nu * yvec)R, (\nu * yvec)S) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu * xvec)P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle (\nu * xvec)Q$
<proof>

lemma $parTauCases[consumes 1, case-names cPar1 cPar2 cComm1 cComm2]:$

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $C :: 'd::fs-name$

assumes $Trans: \Psi \triangleright P \parallel Q \mapsto \tau \prec R$
and $rPar1: \bigwedge P' A_Q \Psi_Q. \llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto \tau \prec P'; extractFrame Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle; distinct A_Q;$

$A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* C \rrbracket \implies Prop (P' \parallel Q)$
and $rPar2: \bigwedge Q' A_P \Psi_P. \llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto \tau \prec Q'; extractFrame P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; distinct A_P;$

$A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* C \rrbracket \implies Prop (P \parallel Q')$
and $rComm1: \bigwedge \Psi_Q M N P' A_P \Psi_P K xvec Q' A_Q.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M(N) \prec P'; extractFrame P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; distinct A_P;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto K(\nu * xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec Q'; extractFrame Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle;$
 $distinct A_Q;$

$\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q \vdash M \leftrightarrow K; distinct xvec;$
 $A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* \Psi_Q; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* M; A_P \#* N; A_P \#* P'; A_P \#* Q;$
 $A_P \#* xvec; A_P \#* Q'; A_P \#* A_Q; A_P \#* C;$
 $A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* \Psi_P; A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* K; A_Q \#* N; A_Q \#* P'; A_Q \#* Q;$
 $A_Q \#* xvec; A_Q \#* Q'; A_Q \#* C;$
 $xvec \#* \Psi; xvec \#* \Psi_P; xvec \#* P; xvec \#* M; xvec \#* K; xvec \#* Q;$
 $xvec \#* \Psi_Q; xvec \#* C \rrbracket \implies$
 $Prop ((\nu * xvec)(P' \parallel Q'))$

and $rComm2: \bigwedge \Psi_Q M xvec N P' A_P \Psi_P K Q' A_Q.$
 $\llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu * xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P'; extractFrame P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle;$
 $distinct A_P;$

$\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto K(N) \prec Q'; extractFrame Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle; distinct A_Q;$
 $\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q \vdash M \leftrightarrow K; distinct xvec;$
 $A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* \Psi_Q; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* M; A_P \#* N; A_P \#* P'; A_P \#* Q;$
 $A_P \#* xvec; A_P \#* Q'; A_P \#* A_Q; A_P \#* C;$
 $A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* \Psi_P; A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* K; A_Q \#* N; A_Q \#* P'; A_Q \#* Q;$
 $A_Q \#* xvec; A_Q \#* Q'; A_Q \#* C;$
 $xvec \#* \Psi; xvec \#* \Psi_P; xvec \#* P; xvec \#* M; xvec \#* K; xvec \#* Q;$
 $xvec \#* \Psi_Q; xvec \#* C \rrbracket \implies$
 $Prop ((\nu * xvec)(P' \parallel Q'))$

shows $Prop R$

<proof>

lemma *weakParPres*:

fixes Ψ :: 'b
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set
and Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and Rel' :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set

assumes $PRelQ$: $\bigwedge A_R \Psi_R. \llbracket extractFrame R = \langle A_R, \Psi_R \rangle; A_R \#* \Psi; A_R \#* P; A_R \#* Q \rrbracket \implies (\Psi \otimes \Psi_R, P, Q) \in Rel$

and $Eqvt$: *eqvt* Rel
and $Eqvt'$: *eqvt* Rel'

and Sim : $\bigwedge \Psi' S T. (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel \implies \Psi' \triangleright S \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle T$
and Sym : $\bigwedge \Psi' S T. (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel \implies (\Psi', T, S) \in Rel$
and Ext : $\bigwedge \Psi' S T \Psi''. \llbracket (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel \rrbracket \implies (\Psi' \otimes \Psi'', S, T) \in Rel$
and $StatImp$: $\bigwedge \Psi' S T. (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel \implies \Psi' \triangleright S \lesssim \langle Rel \rangle T$
and $C1$: $\bigwedge \Psi' S T A_U \Psi_U U. \llbracket (\Psi' \otimes \Psi_U, S, T) \in Rel; extractFrame U = \langle A_U, \Psi_U \rangle; A_U \#* \Psi'; A_U \#* S; A_U \#* T \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', S \parallel U, T \parallel U) \in Rel'$
and $C2$: $\bigwedge \Psi' S T xvec. \llbracket (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel'; xvec \#* \Psi \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', (\nu * xvec) S, (\nu * xvec) T) \in Rel'$
and $C3$: $\bigwedge \Psi' S T \Psi''. \llbracket (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel; \Psi' \simeq \Psi'' \rrbracket \implies (\Psi'', S, T) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel R \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel' \rangle Q \parallel R$

<proof>

unbundle *no relcomp-syntax*

lemma *weakSimBangPres*:

fixes Ψ :: 'b
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set
and Rel' :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set
and Rel'' :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set

assumes $(\Psi, P, Q) \in Rel$

and *eqvt* Rel''
and *guarded* P
and *guarded* Q
and $Rel'Rel$: $Rel' \subseteq Rel$

and $FrameParPres$: $\bigwedge \Psi' \Psi_U S T U A_U. \llbracket (\Psi' \otimes \Psi_U, S, T) \in Rel; extractFrame U = \langle A_U, \Psi_U \rangle; A_U \#* \Psi'; A_U \#* S; A_U \#* T \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', U \parallel S, U \parallel T) \in Rel$

and $C1$: $\bigwedge \Psi' S T U. \llbracket (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel; guarded S; guarded T \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', U \parallel !S, U \parallel !T) \in Rel''$

and $ResPres$: $\bigwedge \Psi' S T xvec. \llbracket (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel; xvec \#* \Psi \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', (\nu * xvec) S, (\nu * xvec) T) \in Rel$

and $ResPres'$: $\bigwedge \Psi' S T xvec. \llbracket (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel'; xvec \#* \Psi' \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', (\nu * xvec)S, (\nu * xvec)T) \in Rel'$

and $Closed$: $\bigwedge \Psi' S T p. (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel \implies ((p::name prm) \cdot \Psi', p \cdot S, p \cdot T) \in Rel$

and $Closed'$: $\bigwedge \Psi' S T p. (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel' \implies ((p::name prm) \cdot \Psi', p \cdot S, p \cdot T) \in Rel'$

and $StatEq$: $\bigwedge \Psi' S T \Psi''. \llbracket (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel; \Psi' \simeq \Psi'' \rrbracket \implies (\Psi'', S, T) \in Rel$

and $StatEq'$: $\bigwedge \Psi' S T \Psi''. \llbracket (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel'; \Psi' \simeq \Psi'' \rrbracket \implies (\Psi'', S, T) \in Rel'$

and $Trans$: $\bigwedge \Psi' S T U. \llbracket (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel; (\Psi', T, U) \in Rel \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', S, U) \in Rel$

and $Trans'$: $\bigwedge \Psi' S T U. \llbracket (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel'; (\Psi', T, U) \in Rel' \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', S, U) \in Rel'$

and $cSim$: $\bigwedge \Psi' S T. (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel \implies \Psi' \triangleright S \rightsquigarrow_{\langle Rel \rangle} T$

and $cExt$: $\bigwedge \Psi' S T \Psi''. (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel \implies (\Psi' \otimes \Psi'', S, T) \in Rel$

and $cExt'$: $\bigwedge \Psi' S T \Psi''. (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel' \implies (\Psi' \otimes \Psi'', S, T) \in Rel'$

and $cSym$: $\bigwedge \Psi' S T. (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel \implies (\Psi', T, S) \in Rel$

and $cSym'$: $\bigwedge \Psi' S T. (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel' \implies (\Psi', T, S) \in Rel'$

and $ParPres$: $\bigwedge \Psi' S T U. (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel \implies (\Psi', S \parallel U, T \parallel U) \in Rel$

and $ParPres2$: $\bigwedge \Psi' S T. (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel \implies (\Psi', S \parallel S, T \parallel T) \in Rel$

and $ParPres'$: $\bigwedge \Psi' S T U. (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel' \implies (\Psi', U \parallel S, U \parallel T) \in Rel'$

and $Assoc$: $\bigwedge \Psi' S T U. (\Psi', S \parallel (T \parallel U), (S \parallel T) \parallel U) \in Rel$

and $Assoc'$: $\bigwedge \Psi' S T U. (\Psi', S \parallel (T \parallel U), (S \parallel T) \parallel U) \in Rel'$

and $ScopeExt$: $\bigwedge xvec \Psi' T S. \llbracket xvec \#* \Psi'; xvec \#* T \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', (\nu * xvec)(S \parallel T), ((\nu * xvec)S) \parallel T) \in Rel$

and $ScopeExt'$: $\bigwedge xvec \Psi' T S. \llbracket xvec \#* \Psi'; xvec \#* T \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', (\nu * xvec)(S \parallel T), ((\nu * xvec)S) \parallel T) \in Rel'$

and $Compose$: $\bigwedge \Psi' S T U O. \llbracket (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel; (\Psi', T, U) \in Rel''; (\Psi', U, O) \in Rel' \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', S, O) \in Rel''$

and $rBangActE$: $\bigwedge \Psi' S \alpha S'. \llbracket \Psi' \triangleright !S \mapsto \alpha \prec S'; guarded S; bn \alpha \#* S; \alpha \neq \tau; bn \alpha \#* subject \alpha \rrbracket \implies \exists T. \Psi' \triangleright S \mapsto \alpha \prec T \wedge (\mathbf{1}, S', T \parallel !S) \in Rel'$

and $rBangTauE$: $\bigwedge \Psi' S S'. \llbracket \Psi' \triangleright !S \mapsto \tau \prec S'; guarded S \rrbracket \implies \exists T. \Psi' \triangleright S \parallel S \mapsto \tau \prec T \wedge (\mathbf{1}, S', T \parallel !S) \in Rel'$

and $rBangTauI$: $\bigwedge \Psi' S S'. \llbracket \Psi' \triangleright S \parallel S \implies \hat{\tau} S'; guarded S \rrbracket \implies \exists T. \Psi' \triangleright !S \implies \hat{\tau} T \wedge (\Psi', T, S' \parallel !S) \in Rel'$

shows $\Psi \triangleright R \parallel !P \rightsquigarrow_{\langle Rel'' \rangle} R \parallel !Q$

<proof>

unbundle *relcomp-syntax*

end

end

```

theory Weak-Stat-Imp-Pres
  imports Weak-Stat-Imp
begin

```

```

context env begin

```

```

lemma weakStatImpInputPres:

```

```

  fixes  $\Psi$    :: 'b
  and    $P$      :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and    $Rel$    :: ('b  $\times$  ('a, 'b, 'c) psi  $\times$  ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set
  and    $Q$      :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and    $M$      :: 'a
  and    $xvec$   :: name list
  and    $N$      :: 'a

```

```

  assumes  $PRelQ$ :  $\bigwedge \Psi'. (\Psi \otimes \Psi', M(\lambda*xvec N).P, M(\lambda*xvec N).Q) \in Rel$ 

```

```

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright M(\lambda*xvec N).P \lesssim_{<Rel>} M(\lambda*xvec N).Q$ 
  <proof>

```

```

lemma weakStatImpOutputPres:

```

```

  fixes  $\Psi$    :: 'b
  and    $P$      :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and    $Rel$    :: ('b  $\times$  ('a, 'b, 'c) psi  $\times$  ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set
  and    $Q$      :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and    $M$      :: 'a
  and    $N$      :: 'a

```

```

  assumes  $PRelQ$ :  $\bigwedge \Psi'. (\Psi \otimes \Psi', M\langle N \rangle.P, M\langle N \rangle.Q) \in Rel$ 

```

```

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright M\langle N \rangle.P \lesssim_{<Rel>} M\langle N \rangle.Q$ 
  <proof>

```

```

lemma weakStatImpResPres:

```

```

  fixes  $\Psi$    :: 'b
  and    $P$      :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and    $Rel$    :: ('b  $\times$  ('a, 'b, 'c) psi  $\times$  ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set
  and    $Q$      :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and    $x$      :: name
  and    $Rel'$  :: ('b  $\times$  ('a, 'b, 'c) psi  $\times$  ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set

```

```

  assumes  $PSimQ$ :  $\Psi \triangleright P \lesssim_{<Rel>} Q$ 

```

```

  and   eqvt  $Rel$ 

```

```

  and    $x \# \Psi$ 

```

```

  and    $C1$ :  $\bigwedge \Psi' R S y. \llbracket (\Psi', R, S) \in Rel; y \# \Psi \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', (\nu y)R, (\nu y)S) \in Rel'$ 

```

```

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)P \lesssim_{<Rel'>} (\nu x)Q$ 
  <proof>

```

lemma *weakStatImpParPres*:

fixes Ψ :: 'b
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set
and Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and Rel' :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set

assumes $PStatImpQ$: $\bigwedge A_R \Psi_R. \llbracket extractFrame R = \langle A_R, \Psi_R \rangle; A_R \#* \Psi; A_R \#* P; A_R \#* Q \rrbracket \implies \Psi \otimes \Psi_R \triangleright P \lesssim_{\langle Rel \rangle} Q$

and $xvec \#* \Psi$
and $Eqvt$: $eqvt Rel$

and $C1$: $\bigwedge \Psi' S T A_U \Psi_U U. \llbracket (\Psi' \otimes \Psi_U, S, T) \in Rel; extractFrame U = \langle A_U, \Psi_U \rangle; A_U \#* \Psi'; A_U \#* S; A_U \#* T \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', S \parallel U, T \parallel U) \in Rel'$

and $C2$: $\bigwedge \Psi' S T yvec. \llbracket (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel'; yvec \#* \Psi \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', (\nu * yvec) S, (\nu * yvec) T) \in Rel'$

and $C3$: $\bigwedge \Psi' S T \Psi''. \llbracket (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel; \Psi' \simeq \Psi'' \rrbracket \implies (\Psi'', S, T) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu * xvec)(P \parallel R) \lesssim_{\langle Rel' \rangle} (\nu * xvec)(Q \parallel R)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

theory *Weak-Bisim-Pres*

imports *Weak-Bisimulation Weak-Sim-Pres Weak-Stat-Imp-Pres*

begin

context *env* **begin**

lemma *weakBisimInputPres*:

fixes Ψ :: 'b
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and M :: 'a
and $xvec$:: name list
and N :: 'a

assumes $\bigwedge Tvec. length xvec = length Tvec \implies \Psi \triangleright P[xvec ::= Tvec] \approx Q[xvec ::= Tvec]$

shows $\Psi \triangleright M(\lambda * xvec N).P \approx M(\lambda * xvec N).Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakBisimOutputPres*:

fixes Ψ :: 'b
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi

```

and    $Q$    :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and    $M$    :: 'a
and    $xvec$  :: name list
and    $N$    :: 'a

assumes  $\Psi \triangleright P \approx Q$ 

shows  $\Psi \triangleright M\langle N \rangle.P \approx M\langle N \rangle.Q$ 
<proof>

lemma weakBisimResPres:
  fixes  $\Psi$  :: 'b
  and    $P$  :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and    $Q$  :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and    $x$  :: name

  assumes  $\Psi \triangleright P \approx Q$ 
  and      $x \# \Psi$ 

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)P \approx (\nu x)Q$ 
<proof>

lemma weakBisimResChainPres:
  fixes  $\Psi$  :: 'b
  and    $P$  :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and    $Q$  :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and    $xvec$  :: name list

  assumes  $\Psi \triangleright P \approx Q$ 
  and      $xvec \#* \Psi$ 

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright (\nu *xvec)P \approx (\nu *xvec)Q$ 
<proof>

lemma weakBisimParPresAux:
  fixes  $\Psi$  :: 'b
  and    $\Psi_R$  :: 'b
  and    $P$  :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and    $Q$  :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and    $R$  :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and    $A_R$  :: name list

  assumes  $\Psi \otimes \Psi_R \triangleright P \approx Q$ 
  and     FrR: extractFrame  $R = \langle A_R, \Psi_R \rangle$ 
  and      $A_R \#* \Psi$ 
  and      $A_R \#* P$ 
  and      $A_R \#* Q$ 

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel R \approx Q \parallel R$ 

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma weakBisimParPres:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ 
  and  $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ 
  and  $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ 

  assumes  $\Psi \triangleright P \approx Q$ 

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel R \approx Q \parallel R$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

end

theory Weak-Bisim-Struct-Cong
  imports Weak-Bisim-Pres Bisim-Struct-Cong
begin

context env begin

lemma weakBisimParComm:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ 
  and  $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ 

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel Q \approx Q \parallel P$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma weakBisimResComm:
  fixes  $x :: \text{name}$ 
  and  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and  $y :: \text{name}$ 
  and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ 

  assumes  $x \# \Psi$ 
  and  $y \# \Psi$ 

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)(\nu y)P \approx (\nu y)(\nu x)P$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma weakBisimResComm':
  fixes  $x :: \text{name}$ 
  and  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and  $xvec :: \text{name list}$ 
  and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ 

```

assumes $x \# \Psi$
and $xvec \#* \Psi$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)((\nu * xvec)P) \approx (\nu * xvec)((\nu x)P)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakBisimScopeExt*:

fixes $x :: name$
and $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $x \# \Psi$
and $x \# P$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)(P \parallel Q) \approx P \parallel (\nu x)Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakBisimScopeExtChain*:

fixes $xvec :: name list$
and $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $xvec \#* \Psi$
and $xvec \#* P$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu * xvec)(P \parallel Q) \approx P \parallel ((\nu * xvec)Q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakBisimParAssoc*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (P \parallel Q) \parallel R \approx P \parallel (Q \parallel R)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakBisimParNil*:

fixes $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel \mathbf{0} \approx P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakBisimResNil*:

fixes $x :: name$
and $\Psi :: 'b$

```

assumes  $x \# \Psi$ 

shows  $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)\mathbf{0} \approx \mathbf{0}$ 
<proof>

lemma weakBisimOutputPushRes:
fixes  $x :: \text{name}$ 
and  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $M :: 'a$ 
and  $N :: 'a$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ 

assumes  $x \# \Psi$ 
and  $x \# M$ 
and  $x \# N$ 

shows  $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)(M\langle N \rangle.P) \approx M\langle N \rangle.(\nu x)P$ 
<proof>

lemma weakBisimInputPushRes:
fixes  $x :: \text{name}$ 
and  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $M :: 'a$ 
and  $xvec :: \text{name list}$ 
and  $N :: 'a$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ 

assumes  $x \# \Psi$ 
and  $x \# M$ 
and  $x \# xvec$ 
and  $x \# N$ 

shows  $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)(M(\lambda * xvec N).P) \approx M(\lambda * xvec N).(\nu x)P$ 
<proof>

lemma weakBisimCasePushRes:
fixes  $x :: \text{name}$ 
and  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $Cs :: ('c \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}) \text{list}$ 

assumes  $x \# \Psi$ 
and  $x \# (\text{map } \text{fst } Cs)$ 

shows  $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)(\text{Cases } Cs) \approx \text{Cases}(\text{map } (\lambda(\varphi, P). (\varphi, (\nu x)P)) Cs)$ 
<proof>

lemma weakBangExt:
fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ 

```

```

assumes guarded P

shows  $\Psi \triangleright !P \approx P \parallel !P$ 
<proof>

lemma weakBisimParSym:
fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
and  $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
and  $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 

assumes  $\Psi \triangleright P \approx Q$ 

shows  $\Psi \triangleright R \parallel P \approx R \parallel Q$ 
<proof>

lemma weakBisimScopeExtSym:
fixes  $x :: name$ 
and  $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 

assumes  $x \# \Psi$ 
and  $x \# Q$ 

shows  $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)(P \parallel Q) \approx ((\nu x)P) \parallel Q$ 
<proof>

lemma weakBisimScopeExtChainSym:
fixes  $xvec :: name list$ 
and  $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 

assumes  $xvec \#* \Psi$ 
and  $xvec \#* Q$ 

shows  $\Psi \triangleright (\nu *xvec)(P \parallel Q) \approx ((\nu *xvec)P) \parallel Q$ 
<proof>

lemma weakBisimParPresAuxSym:
fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
and  $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
and  $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 

assumes  $\Psi \otimes \Psi_R \triangleright P \approx Q$ 
and  $extractFrame R = \langle A_R, \Psi_R \rangle$ 
and  $A_R \#* \Psi$ 
and  $A_R \#* P$ 

```

and $A_R \#* Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright R \parallel P \approx R \parallel Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakBisimParPresSym*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \approx Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright R \parallel P \approx R \parallel Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *guardedFrameStatEq*:
fixes $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes *guarded* P

shows *extractFrame* $P \simeq_F \langle \varepsilon, \mathbf{1} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *guardedInsertAssertion*:
fixes $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $\Psi :: 'b$

assumes *guarded* P

shows *insertAssertion* (*extractFrame* P) $\Psi \simeq_F \langle \varepsilon, \Psi \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *insertDoubleAssertionStatEq'*:
fixes $F :: 'b frame$
and $\Psi :: 'b$
and $\Psi' :: 'b$

shows *insertAssertion*(*insertAssertion* $F \Psi$) $\Psi' \simeq_F$ (*insertAssertion* F) ($\Psi' \otimes \Psi$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bangActE*:
assumes $\Psi \triangleright !P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'$
and *bn* $\alpha \#* subject \alpha$
and *guarded* P
and $\alpha \neq \tau$
and *bn* $\alpha \#* P$

obtains Q **where** $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto_{\alpha} \prec Q$ **and** $P' \sim Q \parallel !P$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *bangTauE*:
assumes $\Psi \triangleright !P \mapsto_{\tau} \prec P'$
and *guarded* P

obtains Q **where** $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel P \mapsto_{\tau} \prec Q$ **and** $P' \sim Q \parallel !P$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *tauBangI*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel P \mapsto_{\tau} \prec P'$
and *guarded* P

obtains Q **where** $\Psi \triangleright !P \mapsto_{\tau} \prec Q$ **and** $Q \sim P' \parallel !P$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *tauChainBangI*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} \hat{P}'$
and *guarded* P

obtains Q **where** $\Psi \triangleright !P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} \hat{Q}$ **and** $\Psi \triangleright Q \sim P' \parallel !P$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *weakBisimBangPresAux*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \approx Q$
and *guarded* P
and *guarded* Q

shows $\Psi \triangleright R \parallel !P \approx R \parallel !Q$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *weakBisimBangPres*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*

```

and    $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$ 

assumes  $\Psi \triangleright P \approx Q$ 
and    $\text{guarded } P$ 
and    $\text{guarded } Q$ 

shows  $\Psi \triangleright !P \approx !Q$ 
<proof>

end

end

theory Close-Subst
  imports Agent
begin

context substPsi
begin

definition closeSubst ::  $('b::\text{fs-name} \times ('a::\text{fs-name}, 'b, 'c::\text{fs-name}) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$ 
where  $\text{closeSubst Rel} \equiv \{(\Psi, P, Q) \mid \Psi P Q. (\forall \sigma. \text{wellFormedSubst } \sigma \longrightarrow (\Psi, P[\langle \sigma \rangle], Q[\langle \sigma \rangle]) \in \text{Rel})\}$ 

lemma closeSubstI:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and    $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$ 
  and    $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$ 

  assumes  $\bigwedge \sigma. \text{wellFormedSubst } \sigma \Longrightarrow (\Psi, P[\langle \sigma \rangle], Q[\langle \sigma \rangle]) \in \text{Rel}$ 

  shows  $(\Psi, P, Q) \in \text{closeSubst Rel}$ 
<proof>

lemma closeSubstE:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and    $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$ 
  and    $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$ 
  and    $\sigma :: (\text{name list} \times 'a \text{ list}) \text{ list}$ 

  assumes  $(\Psi, P, Q) \in \text{closeSubst Rel}$ 
  and    $\text{wellFormedSubst } \sigma$ 

  shows  $(\Psi, P[\langle \sigma \rangle], Q[\langle \sigma \rangle]) \in \text{Rel}$ 
<proof>

lemma closeSubstClosed:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 

```

```

and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and p :: name prm

assumes eqvt Rel
and (Ψ, P, Q) ∈ closeSubst Rel

shows (p · Ψ, p · P, p · Q) ∈ closeSubst Rel
⟨proof⟩

lemma closeSubstEqvt:
assumes eqvt Rel

shows eqvt(closeSubst Rel)
⟨proof⟩

lemma closeSubstUnfold:
fixes Ψ :: 'b
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and σ :: (name list × 'a list) list

assumes (Ψ, P, Q) ∈ closeSubst Rel
and wellFormedSubst σ

shows (Ψ, P[<σ>], Q[<σ>]) ∈ closeSubst Rel
⟨proof⟩

end

end

theory Bisim-Subst
imports Bisim-Struct-Cong Close-Subst
begin

context env begin

abbreviation
  bisimSubstJudge (⟦- ▷ - ~s -> [70, 70, 70] 65) where Ψ ▷ P ~s Q ≡ (Ψ, P,
  Q) ∈ closeSubst bisim
abbreviation
  bisimSubstNilJudge (⟦- ~s -> [70, 70] 65) where P ~s Q ≡ SBottom' ▷ P ~s
  Q

lemmas bisimSubstClosed[eqvt] = closeSubstClosed[OF bisimEqvt]
lemmas bisimSubstEqvt[simp] = closeSubstEqvt[OF bisimEqvt]

lemma bisimSubstOutputPres:

```

```

fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$ 
and  $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$ 
and  $M :: 'a$ 
and  $N :: 'a$ 

assumes  $\Psi \triangleright P \sim_s Q$ 

shows  $\Psi \triangleright M\langle N \rangle.P \sim_s M\langle N \rangle.Q$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma seqSubstInputChain[simp]:
fixes  $xvec :: \text{ name list}$ 
and  $N :: 'a$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$ 
and  $\sigma :: (\text{ name list} \times 'a \text{ list}) \text{ list}$ 

assumes  $xvec \#* \sigma$ 

```

```

shows  $\text{seqSubs}' (\text{inputChain } xvec \ N \ P) \ \sigma = \text{inputChain } xvec \ (\text{substTerm.seqSubst } N \ \sigma) \ (\text{seqSubs } P \ \sigma)$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma bisimSubstInputPres:
fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$ 
and  $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$ 
and  $M :: 'a$ 
and  $xvec :: \text{ name list}$ 
and  $N :: 'a$ 

```

```

assumes  $\Psi \triangleright P \sim_s Q$ 
and  $xvec \#* \Psi$ 
and distinct  $xvec$ 

```

```

shows  $\Psi \triangleright M(\lambda*xvec \ N).P \sim_s M(\lambda*xvec \ N).Q$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma bisimSubstCasePresAux:

```

```

fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $CsP :: ('c \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ list}$ 
and  $CsQ :: ('c \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ list}$ 

```

```

assumes  $C1: \bigwedge \varphi \ P. (\varphi, P) \text{ mem } CsP \implies \exists Q. (\varphi, Q) \text{ mem } CsQ \wedge \text{guarded } Q$ 
 $\wedge \Psi \triangleright P \sim_s Q$ 
and  $C2: \bigwedge \varphi \ Q. (\varphi, Q) \text{ mem } CsQ \implies \exists P. (\varphi, P) \text{ mem } CsP \wedge \text{guarded } P \wedge$ 
 $\Psi \triangleright P \sim_s Q$ 

```

shows $\Psi \triangleright \text{Cases } CsP \sim_s \text{Cases } CsQ$
<proof>

lemma *bisimSubstReflexive*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \sim_s P$
<proof>

lemma *bisimSubstTransitive*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \sim_s Q$
and $\Psi \triangleright Q \sim_s R$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \sim_s R$
<proof>

lemma *bisimSubstSymmetric*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \sim_s Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright Q \sim_s P$
<proof>

lemma *bisimSubstParPres*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \sim_s Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel R \sim_s Q \parallel R$
<proof>

lemma *bisimSubstResPres*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $x :: \text{name}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \sim_s Q$
and $x \# \Psi$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)P \sim_s (\nu x)Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bisimSubstBangPres*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \sim_s Q$
and *guarded* P
and *guarded* Q

shows $\Psi \triangleright !P \sim_s !Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *substNil[simp]*:

fixes $xvec :: name list$
and $Tvec :: 'a list$

assumes *wellFormedSubst* σ
and *distinct* $xvec$

shows $(\mathbf{0}[\langle \sigma \rangle]) = \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bisimSubstParNil*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel \mathbf{0} \sim_s P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bisimSubstParComm*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel Q \sim_s Q \parallel P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bisimSubstParAssoc*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (P \parallel Q) \parallel R \sim_s P \parallel (Q \parallel R)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bisimSubstResNil*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $x :: name$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)\mathbf{0} \sim_s \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *seqSubst2*:

fixes $x :: name$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes *wellFormedSubst* σ
and $x \# \sigma$
and $x \# P$

shows $x \# P[\langle \sigma \rangle]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

notation *substTerm.seqSubst* ($\langle -[\langle - \rangle] \rangle$) [100, 100] 100)

lemma *bisimSubstScopeExt*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $x :: name$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $x \# P$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)(P \parallel Q) \sim_s P \parallel (\nu x)Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bisimSubstCasePushRes*:

fixes $x :: name$
and $\Psi :: 'b$
and $Cs :: ('c \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) list$

assumes $x \# map fst Cs$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)(Cases Cs) \sim_s Cases map (\lambda(\varphi, P). (\varphi, (\nu x)P)) Cs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bisimSubstOutputPushRes*:

fixes $x :: name$
and $\Psi :: 'b$
and $M :: 'a$
and $N :: 'a$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $x \# M$
and $x \# N$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)(M\langle N \rangle.P) \sim_s M\langle N \rangle.(\nu x)P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bisimSubstInputPushRes*:
fixes $x :: \text{name}$
and $\Psi :: 'b$
and $M :: 'a$
and $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $N :: 'a$

assumes $x \# M$
and $x \# xvec$
and $x \# N$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)(M(\lambda * xvec N).P) \sim_s M(\lambda * xvec N).(\nu x)P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bisimSubstResComm*:
fixes $x :: \text{name}$
and $y :: \text{name}$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)((\nu y)P) \sim_s (\nu y)((\nu x)P)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bisimSubstExtBang*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes *guarded P*

shows $\Psi \triangleright !P \sim_s P \parallel !P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *structCongBisimSubst*:
fixes $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $P \equiv_s Q$

shows $P \sim_s Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

theory *Weak-Bisim-Subst*

imports *Weak-Bisim-Struct-Cong Weak-Bisim-Pres Bisim-Subst*

begin

context *env* **begin**

abbreviation

weakBisimSubstJudge ($\langle \cdot \triangleright \cdot \approx_s \cdot \rangle$ [70, 70, 70] 65) **where** $\Psi \triangleright P \approx_s Q \equiv (\Psi, P, Q) \in \text{closeSubst } \text{weakBisim}$

abbreviation

weakBisimSubstNilJudge ($\langle \cdot \approx_s \cdot \rangle$ [70, 70] 65) **where** $P \approx_s Q \equiv \mathbf{1} \triangleright P \approx_s Q$

lemmas *weakBisimSubstClosed*[*eqvt*] = *closeSubstClosed*[*OF weakBisimEqvt*]

lemmas *weakBisimEqvt*[*simp*] = *closeSubstEqvt*[*OF weakBisimEqvt*]

lemma *strongBisimSubstWeakBisimSubst*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \approx_s Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \approx_s Q$

<proof>

lemma *weakBisimSubstOutputPres*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

and $M :: 'a$

and $N :: 'a$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \approx_s Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright M \langle N \rangle . P \approx_s M \langle N \rangle . Q$

<proof>

lemma *bisimSubstInputPres*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

and $M :: 'a$

and $xvec :: \text{name list}$

and $N :: 'a$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \approx_s Q$

and $xvec \#* \Psi$

and *distinct xvec*

shows $\Psi \triangleright M(\lambda*xvec N).P \approx_s M(\lambda*xvec N).Q$
<proof>

lemma *weakBisimSubstReflexive:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \approx_s P$
<proof>

lemma *bisimSubstTransitive:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \approx_s Q$
and $\Psi \triangleright Q \approx_s R$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \approx_s R$
<proof>

lemma *weakBisimSubstSymmetric:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \approx_s Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright Q \approx_s P$
<proof>

lemma *weakBisimSubstParPres:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \approx_s Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel R \approx_s Q \parallel R$
<proof>

lemma *weakBisimSubstResPres:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

and $x :: name$
assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \approx_s Q$
and $x \# \Psi$
shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)P \approx_s (\nu x)Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakBisimSubstParNil*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
shows $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel \mathbf{0} \approx_s P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakBisimSubstParComm*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
shows $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel Q \approx_s Q \parallel P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakBisimSubstParAssoc*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
shows $\Psi \triangleright (P \parallel Q) \parallel R \approx_s P \parallel (Q \parallel R)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakBisimSubstResNil*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $x :: name$
shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)\mathbf{0} \sim_s \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakBisimSubstScopeExt*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $x :: name$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
assumes $x \# P$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)(P \parallel Q) \approx_s P \parallel (\nu x)Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakBisimSubstCasePushRes:*

fixes $x :: name$
and $\Psi :: 'b$
and $Cs :: ('c \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) list$

assumes $x \# map fst Cs$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)(Cases Cs) \approx_s Cases map (\lambda(\varphi, P). (\varphi, (\nu x)P)) Cs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakBisimSubstOutputPushRes:*

fixes $x :: name$
and $\Psi :: 'b$
and $M :: 'a$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $x \# \Psi$

and $x \# M$

and $x \# N$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)(M \langle N \rangle . P) \approx_s M \langle N \rangle . (\nu x)P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakBisimSubstInputPushRes:*

fixes $x :: name$
and $\Psi :: 'b$
and $M :: 'a$
and $xvec :: name list$
and $N :: 'a$

assumes $x \# M$

and $x \# xvec$

and $x \# N$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)(M(\lambda * xvec N).P) \approx_s M(\lambda * xvec N).(\nu x)P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakBisimSubstResComm:*

fixes $x :: name$

and $y :: name$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)((\nu y)P) \approx_s (\nu y)((\nu x)P)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakBisimSubstExtBang:*

```

fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 

assumes guarded P

shows  $\Psi \triangleright !P \approx_s P \parallel !P$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

end

theory Weakening
imports Weak-Bisimulation
begin

locale weak = env +
assumes weaken:  $\Psi \hookrightarrow \Psi \otimes \Psi'$ 
begin

lemma entWeaken:
fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $\varphi :: 'c$ 

assumes  $\Psi \vdash \varphi$ 

shows  $\Psi \otimes \Psi' \vdash \varphi$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma assertWeaken:
fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $\Psi' :: 'b$ 

shows  $\Psi \hookrightarrow \Psi \otimes \Psi'$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma frameWeaken:
fixes  $F :: 'b frame$ 
and  $G :: 'b frame$ 

shows  $F \hookrightarrow_F F \otimes_F G$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma unitAssertWeaken:
fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 

shows  $1 \hookrightarrow \Psi$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemma *unitFrameWeaken*:

fixes $F :: 'b$ frame

shows $\langle \varepsilon, \mathbf{1} \rangle \hookrightarrow_F F$

<proof>

lemma *insertAssertionWeaken*:

fixes $F :: 'b$ frame

and $\Psi :: 'b$

shows $\langle \varepsilon, \Psi \rangle \hookrightarrow_F \text{insertAssertion } F \Psi$

<proof>

lemma *frameImpStatEq*:

fixes $A_F :: \text{name list}$

and $\Psi :: 'b$

and $\Psi' :: 'b$

and $\varphi :: 'c$

assumes $(\langle A_F, \Psi \rangle) \vdash_F \varphi$

and $\Psi \simeq \Psi'$

shows $(\langle A_F, \Psi' \rangle) \vdash_F \varphi$

<proof>

lemma *statImpTauDerivative*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ psi

and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ psi

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto_{\tau} \prec P'$

shows $\text{insertAssertion } (\text{extractFrame } P) \Psi \hookrightarrow_F \text{insertAssertion } (\text{extractFrame } P') \Psi$

<proof>

lemma *weakenTransition*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ psi

and $Rs :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ residual

and $\Psi' :: 'b$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto Rs$

shows $\Psi \otimes \Psi' \triangleright P \mapsto Rs$

<proof>

end

end

theory *Weaken-Transition*
 imports *Weakening*
begin

context *weak*
begin

definition *weakenTransition* :: '*b* \Rightarrow ('*a*, '*b*, '*c*) *psi* \Rightarrow '*a* action \Rightarrow ('*a*, '*b*, '*c*) *psi*
 \Rightarrow *bool* ($\langle \cdot \triangleright \cdot \Longrightarrow \cdot \prec \cdot \rangle$ [*80*, *80*, *80*, *80*] *80*)

where

$\Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow \alpha \prec P' \equiv (\exists P''' P''. \Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P''' \wedge \Psi \triangleright P''' \mapsto \alpha \prec P'' \wedge \Psi$
 $\triangleright P'' \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P') \vee (P = P' \wedge \alpha = \tau)$

lemma *weakenTransitionCases*[*consumes 1*, *case-names cBase cStep*]:

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow \alpha \prec P'$
 and *Prop* (τ) *P*
 and $\bigwedge P''' P''. [\Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'''; \Psi \triangleright P''' \mapsto \alpha \prec P''; \Psi \triangleright P'' \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'] \Longrightarrow$
 Prop $\alpha P'$

shows *Prop* $\alpha P'$

<proof>

lemma *statImpTauChainDerivative*:

fixes Ψ :: '*b*
 and *P* :: ('*a*, '*b*, '*c*) *psi*
 and *P'* :: ('*a*, '*b*, '*c*) *psi*

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'$

shows *insertAssertion* (*extractFrame P*) $\Psi \hookrightarrow_F$ *insertAssertion* (*extractFrame*
P') Ψ

<proof>

lemma *weakenTauChain*:

fixes Ψ :: '*b*
 and *P* :: ('*a*, '*b*, '*c*) *psi*
 and *P'* :: ('*a*, '*b*, '*c*) *psi*
 and Ψ' :: '*b*

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'$

shows $\Psi \otimes \Psi' \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P'$

<proof>

end

end

```

theory Weaken-Stat-Imp
  imports Weaken-Transition
begin

context weak begin

definition
  weakenStatImp :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b, 'c) psi  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ('b  $\times$  ('a, 'b, 'c) psi  $\times$  ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ('a, 'b, 'c) psi  $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $\langle \cdot \triangleright \cdot \rangle \approx_w \langle \cdot \triangleright \cdot \rangle \rightarrow$  [80, 80, 80, 80] 80)
where  $\Psi \triangleright P \approx_w \langle Rel \rangle Q \equiv \exists Q'. \Psi \triangleright Q \Longrightarrow_{\tau} Q' \wedge insertAssertion(extractFrame P) \Psi \hookrightarrow_F insertAssertion(extractFrame Q') \Psi \wedge (\Psi, P, Q') \in Rel$ 

lemma weakenStatImpMonotonic:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
  and  $A :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$ 
  and  $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
  and  $B :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$ 

  assumes  $\Psi \triangleright P \approx_w \langle A \rangle Q$ 
  and  $A \subseteq B$ 

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright P \approx_w \langle B \rangle Q$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma weakenStatImpI:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
  and  $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$ 
  and  $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
  and  $\Psi' :: 'b$ 

  assumes  $\Psi \triangleright Q \Longrightarrow_{\tau} Q'$ 
  and  $insertAssertion(extractFrame P) \Psi \hookrightarrow_F insertAssertion(extractFrame Q') \Psi$ 
  and  $(\Psi, P, Q') \in Rel$ 

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright P \approx_w \langle Rel \rangle Q$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma weakenStatImpE:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
  and  $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$ 
  and  $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
  and  $\Psi' :: 'b$ 

  assumes  $\Psi \triangleright P \approx_w \langle Rel \rangle Q$ 

```

obtains Q' **where** $\Psi \triangleright Q \Longrightarrow_{\tau}^{\wedge} Q'$ **and** $\text{insertAssertion}(\text{extractFrame } P) \Psi \hookrightarrow_F \text{insertAssertion}(\text{extractFrame } Q') \Psi$ **and** $(\Psi, P, Q') \in \text{Rel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *weakStatImpWeakenStatImp*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $\text{Rel} :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}) \text{set}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

assumes $c\text{Sim}: \Psi \triangleright P \lesssim_{\langle \text{Rel} \rangle} Q$
and $c\text{StatEq}: \bigwedge \Psi' R S \Psi''. \llbracket (\Psi', R, S) \in \text{Rel}; \Psi' \simeq \Psi'' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (\Psi'', R, S) \in \text{Rel}$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \lesssim_w \langle \text{Rel} \rangle Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *weakenStatImpWeakStatImp*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $\text{Rel} :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}) \text{set}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \lesssim_w \langle \text{Rel} \rangle Q$
and $c\text{Ext}: \bigwedge \Psi' R S \Psi''. (\Psi', R, S) \in \text{Rel} \Longrightarrow (\Psi' \otimes \Psi'', R, S) \in \text{Rel}$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \lesssim_{\langle \text{Rel} \rangle} Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

theory *Weaken-Simulation*

imports *Weaken-Stat-Imp*

begin

context *weak*

begin

definition

$\text{weakenSimulation} :: 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi} \Rightarrow$
 $('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}) \text{set} \Rightarrow$
 $('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi} \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\langle \cdot \triangleright \cdot \rightsquigarrow_w \langle \cdot \rangle \cdot \rangle \rightarrow [80, 80, 80, 80] 80)$

where

$\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow_w \langle \text{Rel} \rangle Q \equiv \forall \alpha Q'. \Psi \triangleright Q \longmapsto_{\alpha} \prec Q' \longrightarrow \text{bn } \alpha \#* \Psi \longrightarrow \text{bn } \alpha \#*$
 $P \longrightarrow (\exists P'. \Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\alpha} \prec P' \wedge (\Psi, P', Q') \in \text{Rel})$

lemma *weakenSimI*[*case-names cAct*]:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $C :: 'd::\text{fs-name}$

assumes $rOutput: \bigwedge \alpha Q'. [\Psi \triangleright Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q'; \text{bn } \alpha \#* \Psi; \text{bn } \alpha \#* P] \implies$
 $\exists P'. \Psi \triangleright P \implies \alpha \prec P' \wedge (\Psi, P', Q') \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow_w \langle Rel \rangle Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakenSimWeakSim*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $(\Psi, P, Q) \in Rel$
and $cStatImp: \bigwedge \Psi' R S. (\Psi, R, S) \in Rel \implies \Psi \triangleright R \lesssim_w \langle Rel \rangle S$
and $cSim: \bigwedge \Psi' R S. (\Psi, R, S) \in Rel \implies \Psi \triangleright R \rightsquigarrow_w \langle Rel' \rangle S$
and $cExt: \bigwedge \Psi' R S \Psi'. (\Psi, R, S) \in Rel' \implies (\Psi \otimes \Psi', R, S) \in Rel'$
and $cSym: \bigwedge \Psi' R S. (\Psi, R, S) \in Rel \implies (\Psi, S, R) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel' \rangle Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakSimWeakenSim*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $cSim: \Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q$
and $cStatEq: \bigwedge \Psi' R S \Psi''. [(\Psi', R, S) \in Rel; \Psi' \simeq \Psi''] \implies (\Psi'', R, S) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow_w \langle Rel \rangle Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakenSimE*:

fixes $F :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow_w \langle Rel \rangle Q$

shows $\bigwedge \alpha \ Q'. \llbracket \Psi \triangleright Q \mapsto \alpha \prec Q'; \text{bn } \alpha \ \#* \ \Psi; \text{bn } \alpha \ \#* \ P \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists P'. \Psi \triangleright P \implies \alpha \prec P' \wedge (\Psi, P', Q') \in \text{Rel}$

<proof>

lemma *weakenSimMonotonic*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

and $A :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

and $B :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow_w \langle A \rangle Q$

and $A \subseteq B$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow_w \langle B \rangle Q$

<proof>

end

end

theory *Weaken-Bisimulation*

imports *Weaken-Simulation Weaken-Stat-Imp*

begin

context *weak*

begin

lemma *weakenMonoCoinduct*: $\bigwedge x \ y \ x_a \ x_b \ x_c \ P \ Q \ \Psi.$

$x \leq y \implies$

$(\Psi \triangleright Q \rightsquigarrow_w \langle \{(x_c, x_b, x_a). x \ x_c \ x_b \ x_a \} \rangle P) \longrightarrow$

$(\Psi \triangleright Q \rightsquigarrow_w \langle \{(x_b, x_a, x_c). y \ x_b \ x_a \ x_c \} \rangle P)$

<proof>

lemma *weakenMonoCoinduct2*: $\bigwedge x \ y \ x_a \ x_b \ x_c \ P \ Q \ \Psi.$

$x \leq y \implies$

$(\Psi \triangleright Q \lesssim_w \langle \{(x_c, x_b, x_a). x \ x_c \ x_b \ x_a \} \rangle P) \longrightarrow$

$(\Psi \triangleright Q \lesssim_w \langle \{(x_b, x_a, x_c). y \ x_b \ x_a \ x_c \} \rangle P)$

<proof>

coinductive-set *weakenBisim* :: $('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$

where

step: $\llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \lesssim_w \langle \text{weakenBisim} \rangle Q; \Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow_w \langle \text{weakenBisim} \rangle Q;$

$\forall \Psi'. (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in \text{weakenBisim}; (\Psi, Q, P) \in \text{weakenBisim} \rrbracket \implies (\Psi,$

$P, Q) \in \text{weakenBisim}$

monos *weakenMonoCoinduct weakenMonoCoinduct2*

abbreviation

weakenBisimJudge $(\prec \triangleright - \approx_w \rightarrow [70, 70, 70] \ 65)$ **where** $\Psi \triangleright P \approx_w Q \equiv (\Psi,$

$P, Q) \in \text{weakenBisim}$

abbreviation

$\text{weakenBisimNilJudge} (\leftarrow \approx_w \rightarrow [70, 70] 65)$ **where** $P \approx_w Q \equiv \mathbf{1} \triangleright P \approx_w Q$

lemma $\text{weakenBisimCoinductAux}[\text{consumes } 1]$:

fixes $F :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

and $X :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}) \text{ set}$

assumes $(\Psi, P, Q) \in X$

and $\bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies (\Psi \triangleright P \lesssim_w \langle (X \cup \text{weakenBisim}) \rangle Q) \wedge$
 $(\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow_w \langle (X \cup \text{weakenBisim}) \rangle Q) \wedge$
 $(\forall \Psi'. (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in X \vee (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in$

$\text{weakenBisim}) \wedge$

$((\Psi, Q, P) \in X \vee (\Psi, Q, P) \in \text{weakenBisim})$

shows $(\Psi, P, Q) \in \text{weakenBisim}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{weakenBisimCoinduct}[\text{consumes } 1, \text{case-names } cStatImp \ cSim \ cExt \ cSym]$:

fixes $F :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

and $X :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}) \text{ set}$

assumes $(\Psi, P, Q) \in X$

and $\bigwedge \Psi' R S. (\Psi', R, S) \in X \implies \Psi' \triangleright R \lesssim_w \langle (X \cup \text{weakenBisim}) \rangle S$

and $\bigwedge \Psi' R S. (\Psi', R, S) \in X \implies \Psi' \triangleright R \rightsquigarrow_w \langle (X \cup \text{weakenBisim}) \rangle S$

and $\bigwedge \Psi' R S \Psi''. (\Psi', R, S) \in X \implies (\Psi' \otimes \Psi'', R, S) \in X \vee \Psi' \otimes \Psi'' \triangleright$
 $R \approx_w S$

and $\bigwedge \Psi' R S. (\Psi', R, S) \in X \implies (\Psi', S, R) \in X \vee \Psi' \triangleright S \approx_w R$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \approx_w Q$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{weakenBisimWeakCoinductAux}[\text{consumes } 1]$:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

and $X :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}) \text{ set}$

assumes $(\Psi, P, Q) \in X$

and $\bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies \Psi \triangleright P \lesssim_w \langle X \rangle Q \wedge$

$\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow_w \langle X \rangle Q \wedge (\forall \Psi'. (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in X) \wedge$

$(\Psi, Q, P) \in X$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \approx_w Q$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *weakenBisimE*:

fixes $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\Psi :: 'b$
and $\Psi' :: 'b$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \approx_w Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \lesssim_w \langle \text{weakenBisim} \rangle Q$
and $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow_w \langle \text{weakenBisim} \rangle Q$
and $\Psi \otimes \Psi' \triangleright P \approx_w Q$
and $\Psi \triangleright Q \approx_w P$

<proof>

lemma *weakenBisimWeakCoinduct*[*consumes 1, case-names cStatImp cSim cExt cSym*]:

fixes $F :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $X :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$

assumes $(\Psi, P, Q) \in X$
and $\bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies \Psi \triangleright P \lesssim_w \langle X \rangle Q$
and $\bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies \Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow_w \langle X \rangle Q$
and $\bigwedge \Psi P Q \Psi'. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in X$
and $\bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies (\Psi, Q, P) \in X$

shows $(\Psi, P, Q) \in \text{weakenBisim}$

<proof>

lemma *weakenBisimEqWeakBisim*[*simp*]: *weakenBisim = weakBisim*

<proof>

lemma *weakenTransitiveWeakCoinduct*[*case-names cStatImp cSim cExt cSym, case-conclusion bisim step, consumes 2*]:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $X :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$

assumes $p: (\Psi, P, Q) \in X$
and *Eqvt*: *eqvt X*
and *rStatImp*: $\bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies \Psi \triangleright P \lesssim_w \langle X \rangle Q$
and *rSim*: $\bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies \Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow_w \langle \{(\Psi, P, Q) \mid \Psi P Q. \exists P' Q'. \Psi \triangleright P \sim P' \wedge$

$(\Psi, P', Q') \in X \wedge$
 $\Psi \triangleright Q' \sim Q\} \rangle Q$

and *rExt*: $\bigwedge \Psi P Q \Psi'. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in X$

and $rSym: \bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies (\Psi, Q, P) \in X$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \approx_w Q$
<proof>

lemma *weakenTransitiveCoinduct*[*case-names cStatImp cSim cExt cSym, case-conclusion bisim step, consumes 2*]:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $X :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$

assumes $p: (\Psi, P, Q) \in X$
and $Eqvt: eqvt X$
and $rStatImp: \bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies \Psi \triangleright P \lesssim_w \langle (X \cup weakenBisim) \rangle Q$
and $rSim: \bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies \Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow_w \langle \{(\Psi, P, Q) \mid \Psi P Q. \exists P' Q'. \Psi \triangleright P \sim P' \wedge (\Psi, P', Q') \in (X \cup weakenBisim) \wedge \Psi \triangleright Q' \sim Q\} \rangle Q$

and $rExt: \bigwedge \Psi P Q \Psi'. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in X \cup weakenBisim$
and $rSym: \bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in X \implies (\Psi, Q, P) \in X \cup weakenBisim$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \approx_w Q$
<proof>

end

end

theory *Weak-Cong-Simulation*
imports *Weak-Simulation Tau-Chain*
begin

context *env* **begin**

definition
 $weakCongSimulation :: 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \Rightarrow ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \Rightarrow bool$ ($\langle - \triangleright - \rightsquigarrow \langle - \rangle - \rangle \rightarrow [80, 80, 80] 80$)

where
 $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q \equiv \forall Q'. \Psi \triangleright Q \mapsto_{\tau} \prec Q' \longrightarrow (\exists P'. \Psi \triangleright P \implies_{\tau} P' \wedge (\Psi, P', Q') \in Rel)$

abbreviation
 $weakCongSimulationNilJudge$ ($\langle - \rightsquigarrow \langle - \rangle - \rangle \rightarrow [80, 80, 80] 80$) **where** $P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q \equiv SBottom' \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q$

lemma *weakCongSimI*[*case-names cTau*]:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $C :: 'd::\text{fs-name}$

assumes $rTau: \bigwedge Q'. \Psi \triangleright Q \mapsto_{\tau} \prec Q' \implies \exists P'. \Psi \triangleright P \implies_{\tau} P' \wedge (\Psi, P', Q') \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow_{\langle Rel \rangle} Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakCongSimE*:

fixes $F :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow_{\langle Rel \rangle} Q$
and $\Psi \triangleright Q \mapsto_{\tau} \prec Q'$

obtains P' **where** $\Psi \triangleright P \implies_{\tau} P'$ **and** $(\Psi, P', Q') \in Rel$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakCongSimClosedAux*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $p :: \text{name prm}$

assumes $EqvtRel: \text{eqvt } Rel$
and $PSimQ: \Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow_{\langle Rel \rangle} Q$

shows $(p \cdot \Psi) \triangleright (p \cdot P) \rightsquigarrow_{\langle Rel \rangle} (p \cdot Q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakCongSimClosed*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $p :: \text{name prm}$

assumes $EqvtRel: \text{eqvt } Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow_{\langle Rel \rangle} Q \implies (p \cdot \Psi) \triangleright (p \cdot P) \rightsquigarrow_{\langle Rel \rangle} (p \cdot Q)$

and $P \rightsquigarrow\langle Rel \rangle Q \implies (p \cdot P) \rightsquigarrow\langle Rel \rangle (p \cdot Q)$
 <proof>

lemma *weakCongSimReflexive*:

fixes $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$
and $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $\{(\Psi, P, P) \mid \Psi P. True\} \subseteq Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow\langle Rel \rangle P$
 <proof>

lemma *weakStepSimTauChain*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright Q \implies_{\tau} Q'$
and $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow\langle Rel \rangle Q$
and $Sim: \bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in Rel \implies \Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow\langle Rel \rangle Q$

obtains P' **where** $\Psi \triangleright P \implies_{\tau} P'$ **and** $(\Psi, P', Q') \in Rel$
 <proof>

lemma *weakCongSimTransitive*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Rel' :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$
and $T :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Rel'' :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \times ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set$

assumes $PRelQ: (\Psi, P, Q) \in Rel$
and $PSimQ: \Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow\langle Rel \rangle Q$
and $QSimR: \Psi \triangleright Q \rightsquigarrow\langle Rel' \rangle R$
and $Set: \{(\Psi, P, R) \mid \Psi P R. \exists Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in Rel \wedge (\Psi, Q, R) \in Rel'\} \subseteq Rel''$
and $Sim: \bigwedge \Psi P Q. (\Psi, P, Q) \in Rel \implies \Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow\langle Rel \rangle Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow\langle Rel'' \rangle R$
 <proof>

lemma *weakCongSimStatEq*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

```

and Rel :: ('b × ('a, 'b, 'c) psi × ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set
and Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and Ψ' :: 'b

assumes PSimQ: Ψ ▷ P ~>«Rel» Q
and Ψ ≈ Ψ'
and C1: ∧Ψ P Q Ψ'. [(Ψ, P, Q) ∈ Rel; Ψ ≈ Ψ'] ⇒ (Ψ', P, Q) ∈ Rel'

shows Ψ' ▷ P ~>«Rel'» Q
⟨proof⟩

lemma weakCongSimMonotonic:
  fixes Ψ :: 'b
  and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and A :: ('b × ('a, 'b, 'c) psi × ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set
  and Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and B :: ('b × ('a, 'b, 'c) psi × ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set

  assumes Ψ ▷ P ~>«A» Q
  and A ⊆ B

  shows Ψ ▷ P ~>«B» Q
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma strongSimWeakCongSim:
  fixes Ψ :: 'b
  and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and Rel :: ('b × ('a, 'b, 'c) psi × ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) set
  and Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi

  assumes Ψ ▷ P ~>[Rel] Q
  and Rel ⊆ Rel'

  shows Ψ ▷ P ~>«Rel'» Q
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

theory Weak-Psi-Congruence
  imports Weak-Cong-Simulation Weak-Bisimulation
begin

context env begin

definition weakPsiCongruence :: 'b ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) psi ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) psi ⇒ bool (‹-
▷ - ≐ -> [70, 70, 70] 65)
where

```

$\Psi \triangleright P \doteq Q \equiv \Psi \triangleright P \approx Q \wedge \Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow\langle\langle\text{weakBisim}\rangle\rangle Q \wedge \Psi \triangleright Q \rightsquigarrow\langle\langle\text{weakBisim}\rangle\rangle P$

abbreviation

weakPsiCongNilJudge ($\langle\cdot \doteq \cdot\rangle$ [70, 70] 65) **where** $P \doteq Q \equiv \mathbf{1} \triangleright P \doteq Q$

lemma *weakPsiCongSym*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \doteq Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright Q \doteq P$

<proof>

lemma *weakPsiCongE*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\Psi' :: 'b$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \doteq Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \approx Q$

and $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow\langle\langle\text{weakBisim}\rangle\rangle Q$

and $\Psi \triangleright Q \rightsquigarrow\langle\langle\text{weakBisim}\rangle\rangle P$

<proof>

lemma *weakPsiCongI*[*case-names cWeakBisim cSimLeft cSimRight*]:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\Psi' :: 'b$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \approx Q$

and $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow\langle\langle\text{weakBisim}\rangle\rangle Q$

and $\Psi \triangleright Q \rightsquigarrow\langle\langle\text{weakBisim}\rangle\rangle P$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \doteq Q$

<proof>

lemma *weakPsiCongSymI*[*consumes 1, case-names cSym cWeakBisim cSim*]:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: 'd::\text{fs-name}$
and $Q :: 'd$
and $\Psi' :: 'b$

```

assumes Prop P Q
and     $\bigwedge P Q. \text{Prop } P Q \implies \text{Prop } Q P$ 

and     $\bigwedge P Q. \text{Prop } P Q \implies \Psi \triangleright (C P) \approx (C Q)$ 

and     $\bigwedge P Q. \text{Prop } P Q \implies \Psi \triangleright (C P) \rightsquigarrow \ll \text{weakBisim} \gg (C Q)$ 

shows  $\Psi \triangleright (C P) \doteq (C Q)$ 
<proof>

lemma weakPsiCongSym2[consumes 1, case-names cWeakBisim cSim]:
fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and    $\Psi' :: 'b$ 

assumes  $\Psi \triangleright P \doteq Q$ 

and     $\bigwedge P Q. \Psi \triangleright P \doteq Q \implies \Psi \triangleright (C P) \approx (C Q)$ 

and     $\bigwedge P Q. \Psi \triangleright P \doteq Q \implies \Psi \triangleright (C P) \rightsquigarrow \ll \text{weakBisim} \gg (C Q)$ 

shows  $\Psi \triangleright (C P) \doteq (C Q)$ 
<proof>

lemma statEqWeakCong:
fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and    $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ 
and    $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ 
and    $\Psi' :: 'b$ 

assumes  $\Psi \triangleright P \doteq Q$ 
and      $\Psi \simeq \Psi'$ 

shows  $\Psi' \triangleright P \doteq Q$ 
<proof>

lemma weakPsiCongReflexive:
fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and    $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ 

shows  $\Psi \triangleright P \doteq P$ 
<proof>

lemma weakPsiCongClosed:
fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and    $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ 
and    $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ 
and    $p :: \text{name prm}$ 

```

```

assumes  $\Psi \triangleright P \doteq Q$ 

shows  $(p \cdot \Psi) \triangleright (p \cdot P) \doteq (p \cdot Q)$ 
<proof>

lemma weakPsiCongTransitive:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ 
  and  $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ 
  and  $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ 

  assumes  $\Psi \triangleright P \doteq Q$ 
  and  $\Psi \triangleright Q \doteq R$ 

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright P \doteq R$ 
<proof>

lemma strongBisimWeakPsiCong:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ 
  and  $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ 

  assumes  $\Psi \triangleright P \sim Q$ 

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright P \doteq Q$ 
<proof>

lemma structCongWeakPsiCong:
  fixes  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ 
  and  $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ 

  assumes  $P \equiv_s Q$ 

  shows  $P \doteq Q$ 
<proof>

end

end

theory Weak-Cong-Sim-Pres
  imports Weak-Sim-Pres Weak-Cong-Simulation
begin

context env begin

lemma caseWeakSimPres:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and  $CsP :: ('c \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}) \text{list}$ 

```

and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$
and $CsQ :: ('c \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ list}$
and $M :: 'a$
and $N :: 'a$

assumes $PRelQ: \bigwedge \varphi Q. (\varphi, Q) \text{ mem } CsQ \implies \exists P. (\varphi, P) \text{ mem } CsP \wedge \text{ guarded } P \wedge Eq \Psi P Q$

and $Sim: \bigwedge \Psi' P Q. (\Psi', P, Q) \in Rel \implies \Psi' \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q$
and $EqRel: \bigwedge \Psi' P Q. Eq \Psi' P Q \implies (\Psi', P, Q) \in Rel$
and $EqSim: \bigwedge \Psi' P Q. Eq \Psi' P Q \implies \Psi' \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle \langle Rel \rangle \rangle Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright \text{Cases } CsP \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle \text{Cases } CsQ$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *weakCongSimCasePres:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $CsP :: ('c \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ list}$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$
and $CsQ :: ('c \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ list}$
and $M :: 'a$
and $N :: 'a$

assumes $PRelQ: \bigwedge \varphi Q. (\varphi, Q) \text{ mem } CsQ \implies \exists P. (\varphi, P) \text{ mem } CsP \wedge \text{ guarded } P \wedge Eq \Psi P Q$

and $EqSim: \bigwedge \Psi' P Q. Eq \Psi' P Q \implies \Psi' \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle \langle Rel \rangle \rangle Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright \text{Cases } CsP \rightsquigarrow \langle \langle Rel \rangle \rangle \text{Cases } CsQ$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *weakCongSimResPres:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $x :: \text{name}$
and $Rel' :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$

assumes $PSimQ: \Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle \langle Rel \rangle \rangle Q$

and $eqvt Rel'$

and $x \# \Psi$

and $Rel \subseteq Rel'$

and $C1: \bigwedge \Psi' R S x. \llbracket (\Psi', R, S) \in Rel; x \# \Psi \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', (\nu x)R, (\nu x)S) \in Rel'$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)P \rightsquigarrow \langle \langle Rel' \rangle \rangle (\nu x)Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *weakCongSimResChainPres:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $xvec :: \text{ name list}$

assumes $PSimQ: \Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q$
and $\text{eqvt } Rel$
and $xvec \#* \Psi$
and $C1: \bigwedge \Psi' R S \text{ xvec. } \llbracket (\Psi', R, S) \in Rel; xvec \#* \Psi' \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', (\nu * xvec) R, (\nu * xvec) S) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu * xvec) P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle (\nu * xvec) Q$
<proof>

lemma *weakCongSimParPres:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Rel' :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$

assumes $PSimQ: \bigwedge \Psi'. \Psi' \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q$
and $PSimQ': \bigwedge \Psi'. \Psi' \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q$
and $StatImp: \bigwedge \Psi'. \Psi' \triangleright Q \lesssim \langle Rel \rangle P$

and $\text{eqvt } Rel$
and $\text{eqvt } Rel'$

and $Sym: \bigwedge \Psi' S T. \llbracket (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', T, S) \in Rel$
and $Ext: \bigwedge \Psi' S T \Psi''. \llbracket (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel \rrbracket \implies (\Psi' \otimes \Psi'', S, T) \in Rel$

and $C1: \bigwedge \Psi' S T A_U \Psi_U U. \llbracket (\Psi' \otimes \Psi_U, S, T) \in Rel; \text{extractFrame } U = \langle A_U, \Psi_U \rangle; A_U \#* \Psi'; A_U \#* S; A_U \#* T \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', S \parallel U, T \parallel U) \in Rel'$
and $C2: \bigwedge \Psi' S T \text{ xvec. } \llbracket (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel'; xvec \#* \Psi' \rrbracket \implies (\Psi', (\nu * xvec) S, (\nu * xvec) T) \in Rel'$
and $C3: \bigwedge \Psi' S T \Psi''. \llbracket (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel; \Psi' \simeq \Psi'' \rrbracket \implies (\Psi'', S, T) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel R \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel' \rangle Q \parallel R$
<proof>

unbundle *no relcomp-syntax*

lemma *weakCongSimBangPres:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Rel :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$
and $Rel' :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$
and $Rel'' :: ('b \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi} \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ set}$

assumes $PEqQ: Eq P Q$
and $PRelQ: (\Psi, P, Q) \in Rel$
and $guarded P$
and $guarded Q$
and $Rel'Rel: Rel' \subseteq Rel$
and $FrameParPres: \bigwedge \Psi' \Psi_U S T U A_U. [(\Psi' \otimes \Psi_U, S, T) \in Rel; extractFrame U = \langle A_U, \Psi_U \rangle; A_U \#* \Psi'; A_U \#* S; A_U \#* T] \implies (\Psi', U \parallel S, U \parallel T) \in Rel$
and $C1: \bigwedge \Psi' S T U. [(\Psi', S, T) \in Rel; guarded S; guarded T] \implies (\Psi', U \parallel !S, U \parallel !T) \in Rel'$
and $Closed: \bigwedge \Psi' S T p. (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel \implies ((p::name prm) \cdot \Psi', p \cdot S, p \cdot T) \in Rel$
and $Closed': \bigwedge \Psi' S T p. (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel' \implies ((p::name prm) \cdot \Psi', p \cdot S, p \cdot T) \in Rel'$
and $StatEq: \bigwedge \Psi' S T \Psi''. [(\Psi', S, T) \in Rel; \Psi' \simeq \Psi''] \implies (\Psi'', S, T) \in Rel$
and $StatEq': \bigwedge \Psi' S T \Psi''. [(\Psi', S, T) \in Rel'; \Psi' \simeq \Psi''] \implies (\Psi'', S, T) \in Rel'$
and $Trans: \bigwedge \Psi' S T U. [(\Psi', S, T) \in Rel; (\Psi', T, U) \in Rel] \implies (\Psi', S, U) \in Rel$
and $Trans': \bigwedge \Psi' S T U. [(\Psi', S, T) \in Rel'; (\Psi', T, U) \in Rel'] \implies (\Psi', S, U) \in Rel'$
and $EqSim: \bigwedge \Psi' S T. Eq S T \implies \Psi' \triangleright S \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle T$
and $cSim: \bigwedge \Psi' S T. (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel \implies \Psi' \triangleright S \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle T$
and $cSym: \bigwedge \Psi' S T. (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel \implies (\Psi', T, S) \in Rel$
and $cSym': \bigwedge \Psi' S T. (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel' \implies (\Psi', T, S) \in Rel'$
and $cExt: \bigwedge \Psi' S T \Psi''. (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel \implies (\Psi' \otimes \Psi'', S, T) \in Rel$
and $cExt': \bigwedge \Psi' S T \Psi''. (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel' \implies (\Psi' \otimes \Psi'', S, T) \in Rel'$
and $ParPres: \bigwedge \Psi' S T U. (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel \implies (\Psi', S \parallel U, T \parallel U) \in Rel$
and $ParPres': \bigwedge \Psi' S T U. (\Psi', S, T) \in Rel' \implies (\Psi', U \parallel S, U \parallel T) \in Rel'$
and $ParPres2: \bigwedge \Psi' S T. Eq S T \implies Eq (S \parallel S) (T \parallel T)$
and $ResPres: \bigwedge \Psi' S T xvec. [(\Psi', S, T) \in Rel; xvec \#* \Psi] \implies (\Psi', (\nu * xvec) S, (\nu * xvec) T) \in Rel$
and $ResPres': \bigwedge \Psi' S T xvec. [(\Psi', S, T) \in Rel'; xvec \#* \Psi] \implies (\Psi', (\nu * xvec) S, (\nu * xvec) T) \in Rel'$
and $Assoc: \bigwedge \Psi' S T U. (\Psi', S \parallel (T \parallel U), (S \parallel T) \parallel U) \in Rel$
and $Assoc': \bigwedge \Psi' S T U. (\Psi', S \parallel (T \parallel U), (S \parallel T) \parallel U) \in Rel'$
and $ScopeExt: \bigwedge xvec \Psi' T S. [xvec \#* \Psi'; xvec \#* T] \implies (\Psi', (\nu * xvec)(S \parallel T), ((\nu * xvec) S) \parallel T) \in Rel$
and $ScopeExt': \bigwedge xvec \Psi' T S. [xvec \#* \Psi'; xvec \#* T] \implies (\Psi', (\nu * xvec)(S \parallel T), ((\nu * xvec) S) \parallel T) \in Rel'$
and $Compose: \bigwedge \Psi' S T U O. [(\Psi', S, T) \in Rel; (\Psi', T, U) \in Rel''; (\Psi', U, O) \in Rel'] \implies (\Psi', S, O) \in Rel''$
and $rBangActE: \bigwedge \Psi' S \alpha S'. [\Psi' \triangleright !S \mapsto \alpha \prec S'; guarded S; bn \alpha \#* S; \alpha \neq \tau; bn \alpha \#* subject \alpha] \implies \exists T. \Psi' \triangleright S \mapsto \alpha \prec T \wedge (\mathbf{1}, S', T \parallel !S) \in Rel'$
and $rBangTauE: \bigwedge \Psi' S S'. [\Psi' \triangleright !S \mapsto \tau \prec S'; guarded S] \implies \exists T. \Psi' \triangleright S \parallel S \mapsto \tau \prec T \wedge (\mathbf{1}, S', T \parallel !S) \in Rel'$
and $rBangTauI: \bigwedge \Psi' S S'. [\Psi' \triangleright S \parallel S \implies \tau S'; guarded S] \implies \exists T. \Psi' \triangleright$

```

!S  $\implies_{\tau}$  T  $\wedge$  ( $\Psi', T, S' \parallel !S$ )  $\in$  Rel'
  shows  $\Psi \triangleright R \parallel !P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel'' \rangle R \parallel !Q$ 
<proof>
unbundle relcomp-syntax

end

end

theory Weak-Cong-Pres
  imports Weak-Psi-Congruence Weak-Cong-Sim-Pres Weak-Bisim-Pres
begin

context env begin

lemma weakPsiCongInputPres:
  fixes  $\Psi$    :: 'b
  and   P    :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and   Q    :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and   M    :: 'a
  and   xvec :: name list
  and   N    :: 'a

  assumes  $\bigwedge Tvec. \text{length } xvec = \text{length } Tvec \implies \Psi \triangleright P[xvec ::= Tvec] \approx Q[xvec ::= Tvec]$ 

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright M(\lambda * xvec N).P \doteq M(\lambda * xvec N).Q$ 
<proof>

lemma weakPsiCongOutputPres:
  fixes  $\Psi$    :: 'b
  and   P    :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and   Q    :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and   M    :: 'a
  and   xvec :: name list
  and   N    :: 'a

  assumes  $\Psi \triangleright P \doteq Q$ 

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright M\langle N \rangle.P \doteq M\langle N \rangle.Q$ 
<proof>

lemma weakBisimCasePres:
  fixes  $\Psi$    :: 'b
  and   CsP  :: ('c  $\times$  ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) list
  and   CsQ  :: ('c  $\times$  ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) list

  assumes A:  $\bigwedge \varphi P. (\varphi, P) \text{ mem } CsP \implies \exists Q. (\varphi, Q) \text{ mem } CsQ \wedge \text{guarded } Q \wedge$ 
 $(\forall \Psi. \Psi \triangleright P \doteq Q)$ 
  and   B:  $\bigwedge \varphi Q. (\varphi, Q) \text{ mem } CsQ \implies \exists P. (\varphi, P) \text{ mem } CsP \wedge \text{guarded } P \wedge$ 

```

$(\forall \Psi. \Psi \triangleright P \doteq Q)$

shows $\Psi \triangleright \text{Cases } CsP \approx \text{Cases } CsQ$
<proof>

lemma *weakPsiCongCasePres:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $CsP :: ('c \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ list}$
and $CsQ :: ('c \times ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}) \text{ list}$

assumes $A: \bigwedge \varphi P. (\varphi, P) \text{ mem } CsP \implies \exists Q. (\varphi, Q) \text{ mem } CsQ \wedge \text{guarded } Q \wedge$
 $(\forall \Psi. \Psi \triangleright P \doteq Q)$
and $B: \bigwedge \varphi Q. (\varphi, Q) \text{ mem } CsQ \implies \exists P. (\varphi, P) \text{ mem } CsP \wedge \text{guarded } P \wedge$
 $(\forall \Psi. \Psi \triangleright P \doteq Q)$

shows $\Psi \triangleright \text{Cases } CsP \doteq \text{Cases } CsQ$
<proof>

lemma *weakPsiCongResPres:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $x :: \text{name}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \doteq Q$
and $x \# \Psi$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)P \doteq (\nu x)Q$
<proof>

lemma *weakPsiCongResChainPres:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $xvec :: \text{name list}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \doteq Q$
and $xvec \#* \Psi$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu *xvec)P \doteq (\nu *xvec)Q$
<proof>

lemma *weakPsiCongParPres:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $\forall \Psi. \Psi \triangleright P \doteq Q$

```

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel R \doteq Q \parallel R$ 
  <proof>

end

end

theory Weak-Cong-Struct-Cong
  imports Weak-Cong-Pres Weak-Bisim-Struct-Cong
begin

context env begin

lemma weakPsiCongParComm:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
  and  $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel Q \doteq Q \parallel P$ 
  <proof>

lemma weakPsiCongResComm:
  fixes  $x :: name$ 
  and  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and  $y :: name$ 
  and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 

  assumes  $x \# \Psi$ 
  and  $y \# \Psi$ 

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)((\nu y)P) \doteq (\nu y)((\nu x)P)$ 
  <proof>

lemma weakPsiCongResComm':
  fixes  $x :: name$ 
  and  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and  $xvec :: name list$ 
  and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 

  assumes  $x \# \Psi$ 
  and  $xvec \#* \Psi$ 

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)((\nu *xvec)P) \doteq (\nu *xvec)((\nu x)P)$ 
  <proof>

lemma weakPsiCongScopeExt:
  fixes  $x :: name$ 
  and  $\Psi :: 'b$ 

```

```

and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ 
and  $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ 

assumes  $x \# \Psi$ 
and  $x \# P$ 

shows  $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)(P \parallel Q) \doteq P \parallel (\nu x)Q$ 
<proof>

lemma weakPsiCongScopeExtChain:
fixes  $xvec :: \text{name list}$ 
and  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ 
and  $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ 

assumes  $xvec \#* \Psi$ 
and  $xvec \#* P$ 

shows  $\Psi \triangleright (\nu *xvec)(P \parallel Q) \doteq P \parallel ((\nu *xvec)Q)$ 
<proof>

lemma weakPsiCongParAssoc:
fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ 
and  $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ 
and  $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ 

shows  $\Psi \triangleright (P \parallel Q) \parallel R \doteq P \parallel (Q \parallel R)$ 
<proof>

lemma weakPsiCongParNil:
fixes  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$ 

shows  $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel \mathbf{0} \doteq P$ 
<proof>

lemma weakPsiCongResNil:
fixes  $x :: \text{name}$ 
and  $\Psi :: 'b$ 

assumes  $x \# \Psi$ 

shows  $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)\mathbf{0} \doteq \mathbf{0}$ 
<proof>

lemma weakPsiCongOutputPushRes:
fixes  $x :: \text{name}$ 
and  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $M :: 'a$ 

```

```

and   N :: 'a
and   P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi

assumes x # Ψ
and     x # M
and     x # N

shows Ψ ▷ (νx)(M⟨N⟩.P) ≐ M⟨N⟩.(νx)P
⟨proof⟩

lemma weakPsiCongInputPushRes:
  fixes x  :: name
  and   Ψ  :: 'b
  and   M  :: 'a
  and   xvec :: name list
  and   N  :: 'a
  and   P  :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi

  assumes x # Ψ
  and     x # M
  and     x # xvec
  and     x # N

  shows Ψ ▷ (νx)(M(λ*xvec N).P) ≐ M(λ*xvec N).(νx)P
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma weakPsiCongCasePushRes:
  fixes x :: name
  and   Ψ :: 'b
  and   Cs :: ('c × ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) list

  assumes x # Ψ
  and     x # (map fst Cs)

  shows Ψ ▷ (νx)(Cases Cs) ≐ Cases(map (λ(φ, P). (φ, (νx)P)) Cs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma weakBangExt:
  fixes Ψ :: 'b
  and   P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi

  assumes guarded P

  shows Ψ ▷ !P ≐ P || !P
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma weakPsiCongParSym:
  fixes Ψ :: 'b
  and   P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi

```

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $\forall \Psi. \Psi \triangleright P \doteq Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright R \parallel P \doteq R \parallel Q$
<proof>

lemma *weakPsiCongScopeExtSym*:
fixes $x :: \text{name}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $x \# \Psi$
and $x \# Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)(P \parallel Q) \doteq ((\nu x)P) \parallel Q$
<proof>

lemma *weakPsiCongScopeExtChainSym*:
fixes $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $xvec \#* \Psi$
and $xvec \#* Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright (\nu *xvec)(P \parallel Q) \doteq ((\nu *xvec)P) \parallel Q$
<proof>

lemma *weakPsiCongParPresSym*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $\bigwedge \Psi. \Psi \triangleright P \doteq Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright R \parallel P \doteq R \parallel Q$
<proof>

lemma *tauCongChainBangI*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel P \implies_{\tau} P'$
and *guarded P*

obtains Q where $\Psi \triangleright !P \implies_{\tau} Q$ and $\Psi \triangleright Q \sim P' \parallel !P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakPsiCongBangPres*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $PeqQ: \forall \Psi. \Psi \triangleright P \doteq Q$
and *guarded* P
and *guarded* Q

shows $\Psi \triangleright !P \doteq !Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

theory *Weak-Congruence*

imports *Weak-Cong-Struct-Cong Bisim-Subst*
begin

context *env* **begin**

definition *weakCongruence* :: $('a, 'b, 'c) psi \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \Rightarrow bool$ ($\langle \cdot \doteq_c \cdot \rangle$
 $[70, 70] 65$)

where

$P \doteq_c Q \equiv \forall \Psi \sigma. wellFormedSubst \sigma \longrightarrow \Psi \triangleright P[\langle \sigma \rangle] \doteq Q[\langle \sigma \rangle]$

lemma *weakCongE*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $\sigma :: (name\ list \times 'a\ list)\ list$

assumes $P \doteq_c Q$
 $wellFormedSubst \sigma$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P[\langle \sigma \rangle] \doteq Q[\langle \sigma \rangle]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakCongI[case-names cWeakPsiCong]*:

fixes $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $\bigwedge \Psi \sigma. wellFormedSubst \sigma \implies \Psi \triangleright P[\langle \sigma \rangle] \doteq Q[\langle \sigma \rangle]$

shows $P \doteq_c Q$

<proof>

lemma *weakCongClosed:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

and $p :: name prm$

assumes $P \dot{=}^c Q$

shows $(p \cdot P) \dot{=}^c (p \cdot Q)$

<proof>

lemma *weakCongReflexive:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

shows $P \dot{=}^c P$

<proof>

lemma *weakCongSym:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $P \dot{=}^c Q$

shows $Q \dot{=}^c P$

<proof>

lemma *weakCongTransitive:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

and $R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \dot{=}^c Q$

and $\Psi \triangleright Q \dot{=}^c R$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \dot{=}^c R$

<proof>

lemma *weakCongWeakBisim:*

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $P \dot{=}^c Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \approx Q$
<proof>

lemma *weakCongWeakPsiCong*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $P \dot{=}^c Q$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \dot{=} Q$
<proof>

lemma *strongBisimWeakCong*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $P \sim_s Q$

shows $P \dot{=}^c Q$
<proof>

lemma *structCongWeakCong*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $P \equiv_s Q$

shows $P \dot{=}^c Q$
<proof>

lemma *weakCongUnfold*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\sigma :: (\text{name list} \times 'a \text{ list}) \text{ list}$

assumes $P \dot{=}^c Q$
and *wellFormedSubst* σ

shows $P[<\sigma>] \dot{=}^c Q[<\sigma>]$
<proof>

lemma *weakCongOutputPres*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

```

and M :: 'a
and N :: 'a

assumes P  $\dot{=}^c$  Q

shows M⟨N⟩.P  $\dot{=}^c$  M⟨N⟩.Q
⟨proof⟩

lemma weakCongInputPres:
  fixes Ψ :: 'b
  and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and M :: 'a
  and xvec :: name list
  and N :: 'a

  assumes P  $\dot{=}^c$  Q
  and distinct xvec

  shows M(λ*xvec N).P  $\dot{=}^c$  M(λ*xvec N).Q
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma weakCongCasePresAux:
  fixes Ψ :: 'b
  and CsP :: ('c × ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) list
  and CsQ :: ('c × ('a, 'b, 'c) psi) list

  assumes C1:  $\bigwedge \varphi P. (\varphi, P) \text{ mem } CsP \implies \exists Q. (\varphi, Q) \text{ mem } CsQ \wedge \text{ guarded } Q$ 
   $\wedge P \dot{=}^c Q$ 
  and C2:  $\bigwedge \varphi Q. (\varphi, Q) \text{ mem } CsQ \implies \exists P. (\varphi, P) \text{ mem } CsP \wedge \text{ guarded } P \wedge$ 
   $P \dot{=}^c Q$ 

  shows Cases CsP  $\dot{=}^c$  Cases CsQ
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma weakCongParPres:
  fixes Ψ :: 'b
  and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and R :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi

  assumes P  $\dot{=}^c$  Q

  shows P || R  $\dot{=}^c$  Q || R
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma weakCongResPres:
  fixes P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi

```

```

and  $x :: name$ 

assumes  $P \dot{=}_c Q$ 

shows  $(\nu x)P \dot{=}_c (\nu x)Q$ 
<proof>

lemma weakCongBangPres:
fixes  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
and  $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 

assumes  $P \dot{=}_c Q$ 
and guarded  $P$ 
and guarded  $Q$ 

shows  $!P \dot{=}_c !Q$ 
<proof>

end

end

theory Tau
imports Weak-Congruence Bisim-Struct-Cong
begin

locale tau = env +
fixes nameTerm ::  $name \Rightarrow 'a$ 

assumes ntEqvt[eqvt]:  $(p::name prm) \cdot (nameTerm\ x) = nameTerm(p \cdot x)$ 
and ntSupp:  $supp(nameTerm\ x) = \{x\}$ 
and ntEq:  $\Psi \vdash (nameTerm\ x) \leftrightarrow M = (M = nameTerm\ x)$ 
and subst4:  $\llbracket length\ xvec = length\ Tvec; distinct\ xvec; xvec \#* (M::'a) \rrbracket \implies$ 
 $M[xvec::=Tvec] = M$ 
begin

lemma ntChanEq[simp]:
fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
and  $x :: name$ 

shows  $\Psi \vdash (nameTerm\ x) \leftrightarrow (nameTerm\ x)$ 
<proof>

lemma nameTermFresh[simp]:
fixes  $x :: name$ 
and  $y :: name$ 

shows  $x \# (nameTerm\ y) = (x \neq y)$ 
<proof>

```

lemma *nameTermFreshChain[simp]*:

fixes *xvec* :: *name list*
and *x* :: *name*

shows $xvec \#* (\text{nameTerm } x) = x \# xvec$
<proof>

definition *tauPrefix* :: (*'a, 'b, 'c*) *psi* \Rightarrow (*'a, 'b, 'c*) *psi* ($\langle \tau. \rightarrow$ [85] 85)

where $\text{tauPrefix } P \equiv \text{THE } P'. \exists x::\text{name}. x \# P \wedge P' = \langle \nu x \rangle (\langle \langle \text{nameTerm } x \rangle (\lambda* (\langle \rangle) (\text{nameTerm } x)) \rangle . \mathbf{0}) \parallel (\langle \langle \text{nameTerm } x \rangle (\langle \text{nameTerm } x \rangle . P) \rangle)$

lemma *tauActionUnfold*:

fixes *P* :: (*'a, 'b, 'c*) *psi*
and *C* :: *'d::fs-name*

obtains $x::\text{name}$ **where** $x \# P$ **and** $x \# C$ **and** $\tau.(P) = \langle \nu x \rangle (\langle \langle \text{nameTerm } x \rangle (\lambda* (\langle \rangle) (\text{nameTerm } x)) \rangle . \mathbf{0}) \parallel (\langle \langle \text{nameTerm } x \rangle (\langle \text{nameTerm } x \rangle . P) \rangle)$
<proof>

lemma *tauActionI*:

fixes *P* :: (*'a, 'b, 'c*) *psi*

shows $\exists P'. \Psi \triangleright \tau.(P) \mapsto \tau \prec P' \wedge \Psi \triangleright P \sim P'$
<proof>

lemma *outputEmpty[dest]*:

assumes $\Psi \triangleright M \langle N \rangle . P \mapsto K \langle \nu * xvec \rangle \langle N \rangle \prec P'$

shows $xvec = \langle \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *tauActionE*:

fixes *P* :: (*'a, 'b, 'c*) *psi*

assumes $\Psi \triangleright \tau.(P) \mapsto \tau \prec P'$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \sim P'$ **and** $\text{supp } P' = ((\text{supp } P)::\text{name set})$
<proof>

lemma *tauActionEqvt[eqvt]*:

fixes *P* :: (*'a, 'b, 'c*) *psi*
and *p* :: *name prm*

shows $(p \cdot \tau.(P)) = \tau.(p \cdot P)$
<proof>

lemma *resCases'[consumes 7, case-names cOpen cRes]*:

fixes Ψ :: *'b*

and x :: *name*
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) *psi*
and α :: 'a *action*
and P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) *psi*
and C :: 'd::fs-name

assumes *Trans*: $\Psi \triangleright (\nu x)P \mapsto x\alpha \prec xP'$
and $x \# \Psi$
and $x \# x\alpha$
and $x \# xP'$
and $bn\ x\alpha \#* \Psi$
and $bn\ x\alpha \#* P$
and $bn\ x\alpha \#* \text{subject } x\alpha$
and *rOpen*: $\bigwedge M\ xvec\ yvec\ y\ N\ P'. \llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto M(\nu*(xvec@yvec)) \langle [(x, y)] \cdot N \rangle \prec \langle [(x, y)] \cdot P' \rangle; y \in \text{supp } N;$
 $x \# N; x \# P'; x \neq y; y \# xvec; y \# yvec; y \# M;$
distinct xvec; distinct yvec;
 $xvec \#* \Psi; y \# \Psi; yvec \#* \Psi; xvec \#* P; y \# P;$
 $yvec \#* P; xvec \#* M; y \# M;$
 $yvec \#* M; xvec \#* yvec; x\alpha = M(\nu*(xvec@y\#yvec)) \langle N \rangle;$
 $xP' = P \Downarrow \implies$

Prop

and *rScope*: $\bigwedge P'. \llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto x\alpha \prec P'; xP' = (\nu x)P \Downarrow \implies \text{Prop}$

shows *Prop*
<proof>

lemma *parCases'*[*consumes 5, case-names cPar1 cPar2 cComm1 cComm2*]:
fixes Ψ :: 'b
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) *psi*
and Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) *psi*
and α :: 'a *action*
and T :: ('a, 'b, 'c) *psi*
and C :: 'd::fs-name

assumes *Trans*: $\Psi \triangleright P \parallel Q \mapsto x\alpha \prec xT$
and $bn\ x\alpha \#* \Psi$
and $bn\ x\alpha \#* P$
and $bn\ x\alpha \#* Q$
and $bn\ x\alpha \#* \text{subject } x\alpha$
and *rPar1*: $\bigwedge P' A_Q \Psi_Q. \llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto x\alpha \prec P'; \text{extractFrame } Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle; \text{distinct } A_Q;$
 $A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* Q; A_Q \#* x\alpha; A_Q \#* P'; A_Q$
 $\#* C; xT = P' \parallel Q \Downarrow \implies \text{Prop}$
and *rPar2*: $\bigwedge Q' A_P \Psi_P. \llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto x\alpha \prec Q'; \text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; \text{distinct } A_P;$
 $A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* Q; A_P \#* x\alpha; A_P \#* Q'; A_P$
 $\#* C; xT = P \parallel Q \Downarrow \implies \text{Prop}$
and *rComm1*: $\bigwedge \Psi_Q\ M\ N\ P'\ A_P\ \Psi_P\ K\ xvec\ Q'\ A_Q.$

$$\llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M(\downarrow N) \prec P'; \text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle; \text{distinct } A_P;$$

$$\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto K(\downarrow \nu * \text{vec}) \langle N \rangle \prec Q'; \text{extractFrame } Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle;$$
distinct A_Q ;

$$\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q \vdash M \leftrightarrow K; \text{distinct } \text{vec};$$

$$A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* \Psi_Q; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* M; A_P \#* N; A_P \#* P'; A_P$$

$$\#* Q; A_P \#* \text{vec}; A_P \#* Q'; A_P \#* A_Q; A_P \#* C;$$

$$A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* \Psi_P; A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* K; A_Q \#* N; A_Q \#* P'; A_Q \#*$$

$$Q; A_Q \#* \text{vec}; A_Q \#* Q'; A_Q \#* C;$$

$$\text{vec} \#* \Psi; \text{vec} \#* \Psi_P; \text{vec} \#* P; \text{vec} \#* M; \text{vec} \#* K; \text{vec} \#* Q;$$

$$\text{vec} \#* \Psi_Q; \text{vec} \#* C; x\alpha = \tau; xT = (\downarrow \nu * \text{vec})(P' \parallel Q') \rrbracket \implies \text{Prop}$$
and $rComm2: \bigwedge \Psi_Q M \text{vec } N P' A_P \Psi_P K Q' A_Q.$

$$\llbracket \Psi \otimes \Psi_Q \triangleright P \mapsto M(\downarrow \nu * \text{vec}) \langle N \rangle \prec P'; \text{extractFrame } P = \langle A_P, \Psi_P \rangle;$$
distinct A_P ;

$$\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \triangleright Q \mapsto K(\downarrow N) \prec Q'; \text{extractFrame } Q = \langle A_Q, \Psi_Q \rangle; \text{distinct } A_Q;$$

$$\Psi \otimes \Psi_P \otimes \Psi_Q \vdash M \leftrightarrow K; \text{distinct } \text{vec};$$

$$A_P \#* \Psi; A_P \#* \Psi_Q; A_P \#* P; A_P \#* M; A_P \#* N; A_P \#* P'; A_P$$

$$\#* Q; A_P \#* \text{vec}; A_P \#* Q'; A_P \#* A_Q; A_P \#* C;$$

$$A_Q \#* \Psi; A_Q \#* \Psi_P; A_Q \#* P; A_Q \#* K; A_Q \#* N; A_Q \#* P'; A_Q \#*$$

$$Q; A_Q \#* \text{vec}; A_Q \#* Q'; A_Q \#* C;$$

$$\text{vec} \#* \Psi; \text{vec} \#* \Psi_P; \text{vec} \#* P; \text{vec} \#* M; \text{vec} \#* K; \text{vec} \#* Q;$$

$$\text{vec} \#* \Psi_Q; \text{vec} \#* C; x\alpha = \tau; xT = (\downarrow \nu * \text{vec})(P' \parallel Q') \rrbracket \implies \text{Prop}$$

shows *Prop*

<proof>

lemma *inputCases'*[*consumes 1, case-names cInput*]:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $M :: 'a$
and $\text{vec} :: \text{name list}$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $\alpha :: 'a \text{ action}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

assumes *Trans*: $\Psi \triangleright M(\downarrow \lambda * \text{vec } N).P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'$

and *rInput*: $\bigwedge K Tvec. \llbracket \Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow K; \text{set } \text{vec} \subseteq \text{supp } N; \text{length } \text{vec} =$
 $\text{length } Tvec; \text{distinct } \text{vec}; \alpha = K(\downarrow N[\text{vec} ::= Tvec]); P' = P[\text{vec} ::= Tvec] \rrbracket \implies \text{Prop}$
 $(K(\downarrow N[\text{vec} ::= Tvec])) (P[\text{vec} ::= Tvec])$

shows *Prop* $\alpha P'$

<proof>

lemma *outputCases'*[*consumes 1, case-names cOutput*]:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $M :: 'a$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $\alpha :: 'a \text{ action}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright M\langle N \rangle.P \mapsto \alpha \prec P'$
and $\bigwedge K. \llbracket \Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow K; \text{subject } \alpha = \text{Some } K \rrbracket \implies \text{Prop } (K\langle N \rangle) P$

shows $\text{Prop } \alpha P'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tauOutput[dest]*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $M :: 'a$
and $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright \tau.(P) \mapsto M(\nu * xvec)\langle N \rangle \prec P'$

shows *False*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tauInput[dest]*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $M :: 'a$
and $N :: 'a$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright \tau.(P) \mapsto M\langle N \rangle \prec P'$

shows *False*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tauPrefixFrame*:
fixes $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

shows $\text{extractFrame}(\tau.(P)) \simeq_F \langle \varepsilon, \mathbf{1} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *insertTauAssertion*:
fixes $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\Psi :: 'b$

shows $\text{insertAssertion } (\text{extractFrame}(\tau.(P))) \Psi \simeq_F \langle \varepsilon, \Psi \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *seqSubst4*:
assumes $y \# \sigma$
and $\text{wellFormedSubst } \sigma$

shows $\text{substTerm.seqSubst} (\text{nameTerm } y) \sigma = \text{nameTerm } y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{tauSeqSubst}[\text{simp}]$:
fixes $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $\sigma :: (\text{name list} \times 'a \text{ list}) \text{list}$

assumes $\text{wellFormedSubst } \sigma$

shows $(\tau.(P))[\langle \sigma \rangle] = \tau.(P[\langle \sigma \rangle])$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{tauSubst}[\text{simp}]$:
fixes $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $xvec :: \text{name list}$
and $Tvec :: 'a \text{ list}$

assumes $\text{distinct } xvec$
and $\text{length } xvec = \text{length } Tvec$

shows $(\tau.(P))[xvec ::= Tvec] = \tau.(P[xvec ::= Tvec])$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{tauFresh}[\text{simp}]$:
fixes $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $x :: \text{name}$

shows $x \# \tau.(P) = x \# P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{tauFreshChain}[\text{simp}]$:
fixes $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $xvec :: \text{name list}$

shows $xvec \#* (\tau.(P)) = (xvec \#* P)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma guardedTau :
fixes $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

shows $\text{guarded}(\tau.(P))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma tauChainBisim :
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$
and $P'' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{psi}$

```

assumes  $\Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau}^{\wedge} P'$ 
and  $\Psi \triangleright P \sim P''$ 

obtains  $P'''$  where  $\Psi \triangleright P'' \Longrightarrow_{\tau}^{\wedge} P'''$  and  $\Psi \triangleright P' \sim P'''$ 
<proof>

lemma tauChainStepCons:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
  and  $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 

  assumes PChain:  $\Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau}^{\wedge} P'$ 

  obtains  $P''$  where  $\Psi \triangleright \tau.(P) \Longrightarrow_{\tau} P''$  and  $\Psi \triangleright P' \sim P''$ 
<proof>

lemma tauChainCons:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
  and  $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 

  assumes  $\Psi \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\tau}^{\wedge} P'$ 

  obtains  $P''$  where  $\Psi \triangleright \tau.(P) \Longrightarrow_{\tau}^{\wedge} P''$  and  $\Psi \triangleright P' \sim P''$ 
<proof>

lemma weakTransitionTau:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and  $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
  and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 
  and  $\alpha :: 'a$  action
  and  $P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$ 

  assumes PTrans:  $\Psi : Q \triangleright P \Longrightarrow_{\alpha} \prec P'$ 
  and  $bn \ \alpha \ \#* \ \Psi$ 
  and  $bn \ \alpha \ \#* \ P$ 

  obtains  $P''$  where  $\Psi : Q \triangleright \tau.(P) \Longrightarrow_{\alpha} \prec P''$  and  $\Psi \triangleright P' \sim P''$ 
<proof>

end

end

theory Sum
  imports Semantics Close-Subst
begin

context env

```

begin

abbreviation *sumAssertJudge* ($\langle \cdot \oplus \cdot \rightarrow [150, 50, 50] 150 \rangle$)
 where ($P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$) $\oplus_{\varphi} Q \equiv \text{Cases } [(\varphi, P), (\varphi, Q)]$

lemma *SumAssert1*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
 and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
 and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \mapsto Rs$
 and $\Psi \vdash \varphi$
 and *guarded P*

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \oplus_{\varphi} Q \mapsto Rs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SumAssert2*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
 and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
 and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright Q \mapsto Rs$
 and $\Psi \vdash \varphi$
 and *guarded Q*

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \oplus_{\varphi} Q \mapsto Rs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sumAssertCases*[*consumes 2, case-names cSum1 cSum2*]:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
 and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
 and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
 and $\varphi :: 'c$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \oplus_{\varphi} Q \mapsto Rs$
 and $\Psi \vdash \varphi$
 and $rSum1: \llbracket \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto Rs; \text{guarded } P \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Prop$
 and $rSum2: \llbracket \Psi \triangleright Q \mapsto Rs; \text{guarded } Q \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Prop$

shows *Prop*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sumElim*[*dest*]:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
 and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
 and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
 and $\varphi :: 'c$

```

assumes  $\Psi \triangleright P \oplus_{\varphi} Q \longleftrightarrow Rs$ 
and  $\neg(\Psi \vdash \varphi)$ 

shows False
<proof>

end

locale sum = env +
fixes  $T :: 'c$ 

assumes Top:  $\Psi \vdash T$ 
and TopEqvt[eqvt]:  $((p::name\ prm) \cdot T) = T$ 
and TopSubst[simp]:  $substCond\ T\ xvec\ Tvec = T$ 
begin

abbreviation topJudge ( $\langle \top \rangle$  150) where  $\top \equiv T$ 
abbreviation sumJudge (infixr  $\langle \oplus \rangle$  80) where  $P \oplus Q \equiv P \oplus_{\top} Q$ 

lemma topSeqSubst[simp]:
shows  $(substCond.seqSubst\ T\ \sigma) = T$ 
<proof>

lemma suppTop:
shows  $((supp(\top))::name\ set) = \{\}$ 
<proof>

lemma freshTop[simp]:
fixes  $x :: name$ 
and  $xvec :: name\ list$ 
and  $Xs :: name\ set$ 

shows  $x \# \top$  and  $xvec \#* \top$  and  $Xs \#* \top$ 
<proof>

lemma sumSubst[simp]:
fixes  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$ 
and  $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$ 
and  $xvec :: name\ list$ 
and  $Tvec :: 'a\ list$ 

assumes  $length\ xvec = length\ Tvec$ 
and distinct  $xvec$ 

shows  $(P \oplus Q)[xvec::=Tvec] = (P[xvec::=Tvec] \oplus Q[xvec::=Tvec])$ 
<proof>

lemma sumSeqSubst[simp]:
fixes  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ psi$ 

```

```

and Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and σ :: (name list × 'a list) list

assumes wellFormedSubst σ

shows (P ⊕ Q)[<σ>] = ((P[<σ>]) ⊕ (Q[<σ>]))
⟨proof⟩

lemma Sum1:
  fixes Ψ :: 'b
  and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi

  assumes Ψ ▷ P ⟶ Rs
  and guarded P

  shows Ψ ▷ P ⊕ Q ⟶ Rs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Sum2:
  fixes Ψ :: 'b
  and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi

  assumes Ψ ▷ Q ⟶ Rs
  and guarded Q

  shows Ψ ▷ P ⊕ Q ⟶ Rs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sumCases[consumes 1, case-names cSum1 cSum2]:
  fixes Ψ :: 'b
  and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
  and Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi

  assumes Ψ ▷ P ⊕ Q ⟶ Rs
  and rSum1: [Ψ ▷ P ⟶ Rs; guarded P] ⟹ Prop
  and rSum2: [Ψ ▷ Q ⟶ Rs; guarded Q] ⟹ Prop

  shows Prop
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

theory Tau-Sim
  imports Tau Sum
begin

```

nominal-datatype 'a prefix =
 pInput 'a::fs-name name list 'a
 | pOutput 'a 'a
 | pTau

context tau
begin

nominal-primrec bindPrefix :: 'a prefix \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) psi \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) psi $\langle \dots \rangle$
 [100, 100] 100)

where

bindPrefix (pInput M xvec N) P = M(λ *xvec N).P
 | bindPrefix (pOutput M N) P = M \langle N \rangle .P
 | bindPrefix (pTau) P = τ .(P)
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma bindPrefixEqvt[eqvt]:

fixes p :: name prm
and α :: 'a prefix
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi

shows (p \cdot (α ·P)) = (p \cdot α)·(p \cdot P)
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma prefixCases[consumes 1, case-names cInput cOutput cTau]:

fixes Ψ :: 'b
and α :: 'a prefix
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and β :: 'a action
and P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi

assumes $\Psi \triangleright \alpha \cdot P \mapsto \beta \prec P'$
and rInput: $\bigwedge M \ xvec \ N \ K \ Tvec. [\Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow K; \text{set } xvec \subseteq \text{supp } N; \text{length } xvec = \text{length } Tvec; \text{distinct } xvec] \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{Prop } (pInput \ M \ xvec \ N) \ (K(\lambda N[xvec::=Tvec]))$
 $(P[xvec::=Tvec])$
and rOutput: $\bigwedge M \ N \ K. \Psi \vdash M \leftrightarrow K \Longrightarrow \text{Prop } (pOutput \ M \ N) \ (K\langle N \rangle) \ P$
and rTau: $\Psi \triangleright P \sim P' \Longrightarrow \text{Prop } (pTau) \ (\tau) \ P'$

shows Prop α β P'
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma prefixTauCases[consumes 1, case-names cTau]:

fixes α :: 'a prefix
and P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi
and P' :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi

assumes $\Psi \triangleright \alpha \cdot P \mapsto \tau \prec P'$

and $rTau: \Psi \triangleright P \sim P' \implies Prop (pTau) P'$
shows $Prop \alpha P'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *hennesySim1*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q$
and $C1: \bigwedge \Psi P Q R. [\Psi \triangleright P \sim Q; (\Psi, Q, R) \in Rel] \implies (\Psi, P, R) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright \tau.(P) \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *hennesySim2*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $PTrans: \Psi \triangleright P \mapsto_{\tau} \prec P'$
and $P'RelQ: (\Psi, P', Q) \in Rel$
and $C1: \bigwedge \Psi P Q R. [(\Psi, P, Q) \in Rel; \Psi \triangleright Q \sim R] \implies (\Psi, P, R) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle \tau.(Q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *hennesySim3*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q$
and $C1: \bigwedge Q'. \Psi \triangleright Q \mapsto_{\tau} \prec Q' \implies (\Psi, P, Q') \notin Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tauLaw1SimLeft*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) psi$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q$
and $eqvt Rel$
and $C1: \bigwedge \Psi P Q R. [\Psi \triangleright P \sim Q; (\Psi, Q, R) \in Rel] \implies (\Psi, P, R) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright \tau.(P) \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle Q$

<proof>

lemma *tauLaw1SimRight*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes *eqvt Rel*

and $(\Psi, P, Q) \in \text{Rel}$

and $C1: \bigwedge \Psi P Q R. [(\Psi, P, Q) \in \text{Rel}; \Psi \triangleright Q \sim R] \implies (\Psi, P, R) \in \text{Rel}$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \rightsquigarrow_{\langle \text{Rel} \rangle} \tau.(Q)$

<proof>

lemma *tauLaw3SimLeft*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

and $\alpha :: 'a \text{ prefix}$

assumes *eqvt Rel*

and $(\Psi, P, Q) \in \text{Rel}$

and $\text{Subst}: \bigwedge xvec \ Tvec. \text{length } xvec = \text{length } Tvec \implies (\Psi, P[xvec ::= Tvec], Q[xvec ::= Tvec]) \in \text{Rel}$

and $C1: \bigwedge \Psi P Q R S. [(\Psi \triangleright P \sim Q; (\Psi, Q, R) \in \text{Rel}; \Psi \triangleright R \sim S)] \implies (\Psi, P, S) \in \text{Rel}$

and $rExt: \bigwedge \Psi P Q \Psi'. (\Psi, P, Q) \in \text{Rel} \implies (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in \text{Rel}$

shows $\Psi \triangleright \alpha.(\tau.(P)) \rightsquigarrow_{\langle \text{Rel} \rangle} \alpha.Q$

<proof>

lemma *tauLaw3SimRight*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes *eqvt Rel*

and $\text{Subst}: \bigwedge \Psi \ xvec \ Tvec. \text{length } xvec = \text{length } Tvec \implies (\Psi, P[xvec ::= Tvec], \tau.(Q[xvec ::= Tvec])) \in \text{Rel}$

and $C1: \bigwedge \Psi P Q R S. [(\Psi \triangleright P \sim Q; (\Psi, Q, R) \in \text{Rel}; \Psi \triangleright R \sim S)] \implies (\Psi, P, S) \in \text{Rel}$

and $\bigwedge \Psi. (\Psi, P, \tau.(Q)) \in \text{Rel}$

shows $\Psi \triangleright \alpha.P \rightsquigarrow_{\langle \text{Rel} \rangle} \alpha.(\tau.(Q))$

<proof>

lemma *tauLaw3CongSimLeft*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $(\Psi, P, Q) \in \text{Rel}$

and $C1: \bigwedge \Psi P Q R S. [\Psi \triangleright P \sim Q; (\Psi, Q, R) \in \text{Rel}; \Psi \triangleright R \sim S] \implies (\Psi, P, S) \in \text{Rel}$

and $rExt: \bigwedge \Psi P Q \Psi'. (\Psi, P, Q) \in \text{Rel} \implies (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P, Q) \in \text{Rel}$

shows $\Psi \triangleright \alpha \cdot (\tau.(P)) \rightsquigarrow \langle \text{Rel} \rangle \alpha \cdot Q$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tauLaw3CongSimRight*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $(\Psi, P, Q) \in \text{Rel}$

and $C1: \bigwedge \Psi P Q R S. [\Psi \triangleright P \sim Q; (\Psi, Q, R) \in \text{Rel}; \Psi \triangleright R \sim S] \implies (\Psi, P, S) \in \text{Rel}$

and $\bigwedge \Psi. (\Psi, P, \tau.(Q)) \in \text{Rel}$

shows $\Psi \triangleright \alpha \cdot P \rightsquigarrow \langle \text{Rel} \rangle \alpha \cdot (\tau.(Q))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

locale *tauSum = tau + sum*

begin

lemma *tauLaw2SimLeft*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $Id: \bigwedge \Psi P. (\Psi, P, P) \in \text{Rel}$

and $C1: \bigwedge \Psi P Q R S. [\Psi \triangleright P \sim Q; (\Psi, Q, R) \in \text{Rel}; \Psi \triangleright R \sim S] \implies (\Psi, P, S) \in \text{Rel}$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \oplus \tau.(P) \rightsquigarrow \langle \text{Rel} \rangle \tau.(P)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tauLaw2CongSimLeft*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $Id: \bigwedge \Psi P. (\Psi, P, P) \in \text{Rel}$

and $C1: \bigwedge \Psi P Q R S. [\Psi \triangleright P \sim Q; (\Psi, Q, R) \in \text{Rel}; \Psi \triangleright R \sim S] \implies (\Psi, P, S) \in \text{Rel}$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \oplus \tau.(P) \rightsquigarrow \langle \text{Rel} \rangle \tau.(P)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tauLaw2SimRight*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*

assumes $C1: \bigwedge \Psi P Q. \Psi \triangleright P \sim Q \implies (\Psi, P, Q) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright \tau.(P) \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle P \oplus \tau.(P)$
<proof>

lemma *tauLaw2CongSimRight*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*

assumes $C1: \bigwedge \Psi P Q. \Psi \triangleright P \sim Q \implies (\Psi, P, Q) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright \tau.(P) \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle P \oplus \tau.(P)$
<proof>

lemma *tauLaw4SimLeft*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*
and $M :: 'a$
and $N :: 'a$

assumes $\bigwedge \Psi P. (\Psi, P, P) \in Rel$
and $C1: \bigwedge \Psi P Q. \Psi \triangleright P \sim Q \implies (\Psi, P, Q) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright \alpha.P \oplus \alpha.(\tau.(P) \oplus Q) \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle \alpha.(\tau.(P) \oplus Q)$
<proof>

lemma *tauLaw4CongSimLeft*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*
and $M :: 'a$
and $N :: 'a$

assumes $\bigwedge \Psi P. (\Psi, P, P) \in Rel$
and $C1: \bigwedge \Psi P Q. \Psi \triangleright P \sim Q \implies (\Psi, P, Q) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright \alpha.P \oplus \alpha.(\tau.(P) \oplus Q) \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle \alpha.(\tau.(P) \oplus Q)$
<proof>

lemma *tauLaw4SimRight*:
fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$ *psi*

and $\alpha :: 'a \text{ prefix}$
assumes $C1: \bigwedge \Psi P Q. \Psi \triangleright P \sim Q \implies (\Psi, P, Q) \in Rel$
and $\bigwedge \Psi P. (\Psi, P, P) \in Rel$
shows $\Psi \triangleright \alpha \cdot (\tau.(P) \oplus Q) \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle \alpha \cdot P \oplus \alpha \cdot (\tau.(P) \oplus Q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tauLaw4CongSimRight*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\alpha :: 'a \text{ prefix}$

assumes $C1: \bigwedge \Psi P Q. \Psi \triangleright P \sim Q \implies (\Psi, P, Q) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright \alpha \cdot (\tau.(P) \oplus Q) \rightsquigarrow \langle Rel \rangle \alpha \cdot P \oplus \alpha \cdot (\tau.(P) \oplus Q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

theory *Tau-Stat-Imp*

imports *Tau-Sim Weaken-Stat-Imp*

begin

locale *weakTauLaws = weak + tau*

begin

lemma *tauLaw1StatImpLeft*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \lesssim_w \langle Rel \rangle Q$
and $(\Psi, \tau.(P), Q) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright \tau.(P) \lesssim_w \langle Rel \rangle Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tauLaw1StatImpRight*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $\Psi \triangleright P \lesssim \langle Rel \rangle Q$

and $C1: \bigwedge \Psi P Q R. [(\Psi, P, Q) \in Rel; \Psi \triangleright Q \sim R] \implies (\Psi, P, R) \in Rel'$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \lesssim_{\langle Rel' \rangle} \tau.(Q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

context *tau* **begin**

lemma *tauLaw3StatImpLeft*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $\alpha :: 'a \text{ prefix}$

assumes $C1: \bigwedge \Psi'. (\Psi \otimes \Psi', \alpha \cdot (\tau.(P)), \alpha \cdot Q) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright \alpha \cdot (\tau.(P)) \lesssim_{\langle Rel \rangle} \alpha \cdot Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tauLaw3StatImpRight*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$
and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $C1: \bigwedge \Psi'. (\Psi \otimes \Psi', \alpha \cdot P, \alpha \cdot (\tau.(Q))) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright \alpha \cdot P \lesssim_{\langle Rel \rangle} \alpha \cdot (\tau.(Q))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

context *tauSum* **begin**

lemma *tauLaw2StatImpLeft*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $C1: \bigwedge \Psi'. (\Psi \otimes \Psi', P \oplus \tau.(P), \tau.(P)) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright P \oplus \tau.(P) \lesssim_{\langle Rel \rangle} \tau.(P)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tauLaw2StatImpRight*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$
and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

assumes $C1: \bigwedge \Psi'. (\Psi \otimes \Psi', \tau.(P), P \oplus \tau.(P)) \in Rel$

shows $\Psi \triangleright \tau.(P) \lesssim_{\langle Rel \rangle} P \oplus \tau.(P)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma tauLaw4StatImpLeft:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$  psi
  and  $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$  psi
  and  $M :: 'a$ 
  and  $N :: 'a$ 

  assumes  $C1: \bigwedge \Psi'. (\Psi \otimes \Psi', \alpha \cdot P \oplus \alpha \cdot (\tau.(P) \oplus Q), \alpha \cdot (\tau.(P) \oplus Q)) \in Rel$ 

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright \alpha \cdot P \oplus \alpha \cdot (\tau.(P) \oplus Q) \lesssim_{\langle Rel \rangle} \alpha \cdot (\tau.(P) \oplus Q)$ 
  <proof>

lemma tauLaw4StatImpRight:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$  psi
  and  $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$  psi
  and  $\alpha :: 'a$  prefix

  assumes  $C1: \bigwedge \Psi'. (\Psi \otimes \Psi', \alpha \cdot (\tau.(P) \oplus Q), \alpha \cdot P \oplus \alpha \cdot (\tau.(P) \oplus Q)) \in Rel$ 

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright \alpha \cdot (\tau.(P) \oplus Q) \lesssim_{\langle Rel \rangle} \alpha \cdot P \oplus \alpha \cdot (\tau.(P) \oplus Q)$ 
  <proof>

end

end

theory Tau-Laws-Weak
  imports Weaken-Bisimulation Weak-Congruence Tau-Sim Tau-Stat-Imp
begin

context weakTauLaws begin

lemma tauLaw1:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$  psi

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright \tau.(P) \approx P$ 
  <proof>

lemma tauLaw3:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and  $\alpha :: 'a$  prefix
  and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$  psi

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright \alpha \cdot (\tau.(P)) \approx \alpha \cdot P$ 
  <proof>

```

```

lemma tauLaw3PsiCong:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$  psi

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright \alpha \cdot (\tau.(P)) \doteq \alpha \cdot P$ 
  <proof>

lemma tauLaw3Cong:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$  psi

  shows  $\alpha \cdot (\tau.(P)) \doteq_c \alpha \cdot P$ 
  <proof>

end

end

theory Tau-Laws-No-Weak
  imports Tau-Sim Tau-Stat-Imp
begin

context tauSum
begin

lemma tauLaw2:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$  psi

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright P \oplus \tau.(P) \approx \tau.(P)$ 
  <proof>

lemma tauLawPsiCong2:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$  psi

  shows  $\Psi \triangleright P \oplus \tau.(P) \doteq \tau.(P)$ 
  <proof>

lemma tauLawCong2:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$  psi

  shows  $P \oplus \tau.(P) \doteq_c \tau.(P)$ 
  <proof>

lemma tauLaw4:
  fixes  $\Psi :: 'b$ 
  and  $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c)$  psi

```

and $\alpha :: 'a \text{ prefix}$

shows $\Psi \triangleright \alpha \cdot P \oplus \alpha \cdot (\tau.(P) \oplus Q) \approx \alpha \cdot (\tau.(P) \oplus Q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tauLaw4PsiCong*:

fixes $\Psi :: 'b$

and $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

and $\alpha :: 'a \text{ prefix}$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

shows $\Psi \triangleright \alpha \cdot P \oplus \alpha \cdot (\tau.(P) \oplus Q) \doteq \alpha \cdot (\tau.(P) \oplus Q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tauLaw4Cong*:

fixes $P :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

and $\alpha :: 'a \text{ prefix}$

and $Q :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ psi}$

shows $\alpha \cdot P \oplus \alpha \cdot (\tau.(P) \oplus Q) \doteq_c \alpha \cdot (\tau.(P) \oplus Q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

References

- [1] J. Bengtson. *Formalising process calculi*, volume 94. Uppsala Dissertations from the Faculty of Science and Technology, 2010.
- [2] J. Bengtson, M. Johansson, J. Parrow, and B. Victor. Psi-calculi: Mobile processes, nominal data, and logic. In *LICS*, pages 39–48. IEEE Computer Society, 2009.
- [3] J. Bengtson and J. Parrow. Psi-calculi in isabelle. In S. Berghofer, T. Nipkow, C. Urban, and M. Wenzel, editors, *TPHOLs*, volume 5674 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 99–114. Springer, 2009.
- [4] M. Johansson, J. Bengtson, J. Parrow, and B. Victor. Weak equivalences in psi-calculi. In *LICS*, pages 322–331. IEEE Computer Society, 2010.