

Formalizing Push-Relabel Algorithms

Peter Lammich and S. Reza Sefidgar

March 17, 2025

Abstract

We present a formalization of push-relabel algorithms for computing the maximum flow in a network. We start with Goldberg's et al. generic push-relabel algorithm, for which we show correctness and the time complexity bound of $O(V^2E)$. We then derive the relabel-to-front and FIFO implementation. Using stepwise refinement techniques, we derive an efficient verified implementation.

Our formal proof of the abstract algorithms closely follows a standard textbook proof, and is accessible even without being an expert in Isabelle/HOL—the interactive theorem prover used for the formalization.

Contents

1	Introduction	5
2	Generic Push Relabel Algorithm	5
2.1	Labeling	5
2.2	Basic Operations	6
2.2.1	Augmentation of Edges	6
2.2.2	Push Operation	10
2.2.3	Relabel Operation	11
2.2.4	Initialization	11
2.3	Abstract Correctness	11
2.3.1	Maintenance of Invariants	12
2.3.2	Maxflow on Termination	14
2.4	Convenience Lemmas	14
2.5	Complexity	18
2.5.1	Auxiliary Lemmas	18
2.5.2	Height Bound	18
2.5.3	Formulation of the Abstract Algorithm	23
2.5.4	Saturating and Non-Saturating Push Operations	24
2.5.5	Refined Labeled Transition System	25
2.5.6	Bounding the Relabel Operations	28
2.5.7	Bounding the Saturating Push Operations	28
2.5.8	Bounding the Non-Saturating Push Operations	33
2.5.9	Assembling the Final Theorem	38
2.6	Main Theorem: Correctness and Complexity	39
2.7	Convenience Tools for Implementation	39
2.8	Gap Heuristics	42
2.8.1	Termination with Gap Heuristics	43
3	FIFO Push Relabel Algorithm	45
3.1	Implementing the Discharge Operation	45
3.2	Main Algorithm	51
4	Topological Ordering of Graphs	52
4.1	List-Before Relation	52
4.2	Topological Ordering	54
5	Relabel-to-Front Algorithm	55
5.1	Admissible Network	56
5.2	Neighbor Lists	59
5.3	Discharge Operation	61
5.4	Main Algorithm	65

6 Tools for Implementing Push-Relabel Algorithms	69
6.1 Basic Operations	70
6.1.1 Excess Map	70
6.1.2 Labeling	70
6.1.3 Label Frequency Counts for Gap Heuristics	71
6.2 Refinements to Basic Operations	71
6.2.1 Explicit Computation of the Excess	71
6.2.2 Algorithm to Compute Initial Excess and Flow	72
6.2.3 Computing the Minimal Adjacent Label	74
6.2.4 Refinement of Relabel	77
6.2.5 Refinement of Push	77
6.2.6 Adding frequency counters to labeling	79
6.2.7 Refinement of Gap-Heuristics	81
6.3 Refinement to Efficient Data Structures	84
6.3.1 Registration of Abstract Operations	84
6.3.2 Excess by Array	84
6.3.3 Labeling by Array	85
6.3.4 Label Frequency by Array	86
6.3.5 Combined Frequency Count and Labeling	87
6.3.6 Push	88
6.3.7 Relabel	88
6.3.8 Gap-Relabel	88
6.3.9 Initialization	89
7 Implementation of the FIFO Push/Relabel Algorithm	89
7.1 Basic Operations	89
7.1.1 Queue	90
7.2 Refinements to Basic Operations	90
7.2.1 Refinement of Push	90
7.2.2 Refinement of Gap-Relabel	92
7.2.3 Refinement of Discharge	93
7.2.4 Computing the Initial Queue	98
7.2.5 Refining the Main Algorithm	99
7.3 Separating out the Initialization of the Adjacency Matrix . .	101
7.4 Refinement To Efficient Data Structures	102
7.4.1 Registration of Abstract Operations	102
7.4.2 Queue by Two Stacks	102
7.4.3 Push	103
7.4.4 Gap-Relabel	104
7.4.5 Discharge	104
7.4.6 Computing the Initial State	104
7.4.7 Main Algorithm	105
7.5 Combining the Refinement Steps	106

7.6	Combination with Network Checker and Main Correctness	
	Theorem	106
7.6.1	Justification of Splitting into Prepare and Run Phase	108
7.7	Usage Example: Computing Maxflow Value	109
8	Implementation of Relabel-to-Front	109
8.1	Basic Operations	110
8.1.1	Neighbor Lists	110
8.2	Refinement to Basic Operations	111
8.2.1	Discharge	111
8.2.2	Initialization of Queue	113
8.2.3	Main Algorithm	113
8.3	Refinement to Efficient Data Structures	116
8.3.1	Neighbor Lists by Array of Lists	116
8.3.2	Discharge	117
8.3.3	Initialization of Queue	117
8.3.4	Main Algorithm	118
8.4	Combination with Network Checker and Correctness	118
9	Conclusion	120

1 Introduction

Computing the maximum flow of a network is an important problem in graph theory. Many other problems, like maximum-bipartite-matching, edge-disjoint-paths, circulation-demand, as well as various scheduling and resource allocating problems can be reduced to it.

The practically most efficient algorithms to solve the maximum flow problem are push-relabel algorithms [3]. In this entry, we present a formalization of Goldberg's et al. generic push-relabel algorithm [5], and two instances: The relabel-to-front algorithm [4] and the FIFO push-relabel algorithm [5]. Using stepwise refinement techniques [9, 1, 2], we derive efficient verified implementations. Moreover, we show that the generic push-relabel algorithm has a time complexity of $O(V^2E)$.

This entry re-uses and extends theory developed for our formalization of the Edmonds-Karp maximum flow algorithm [6, 7].

While there exists another formalization of the Ford-Fulkerson method in Mizar [8], we are, to the best of our knowledge, the first that verify a polynomial maximum flow algorithm, prove a polynomial complexity bound, or provide a verified executable implementation.

2 Generic Push Relabel Algorithm

```
theory Generic-Push-ReLabel
imports
  Flow-Networks.Fofu-Abs-Base
  Flow-Networks.Ford-Fulkerson
begin
```

2.1 Labeling

The central idea of the push-relabel algorithm is to add natural number labels $l : node \Rightarrow nat$ to each node, and maintain the invariant that for all edges (u,v) in the residual graph, we have $l u \leq l v + 1$.

```
type-synonym labeling = node ⇒ nat

locale Labeling = NPreflow +
  fixes l :: labeling
  assumes valid:  $(u,v) \in cf.E \implies l(u) \leq l(v) + 1$ 
  assumes lab-src[simp]:  $l s = card V$ 
  assumes lab-sink[simp]:  $l t = 0$ 
begin

Generalizing validity to paths

lemma gen-valid:  $l(u) \leq l(x) + length p \text{ if } cf.isPath u p x$ 
  using that by (induction p arbitrary: u; fastforce dest: valid)
```

In a valid labeling, there cannot be an augmenting path [Cormen 26.17]. The proof works by contradiction, using the validity constraint to show that any augmenting path would be too long for a simple path.

```
theorem no-augmenting-path:  $\neg \text{isAugmentingPath } p$ 
proof
  assume  $\text{isAugmentingPath } p$ 
  hence  $SP: cf.\text{isSimplePath } s \ p \ t$  unfolding  $\text{isAugmentingPath-def}$  .
  hence  $cf.\text{isPath } s \ p \ t$  unfolding  $cf.\text{isSimplePath-def}$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
  from  $\text{gen-valid}[OF \ this]$  have  $\text{length } p \geq \text{card } V$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
  with  $cf.\text{simplePath-length-less-V}[OF - SP]$  show  $\text{False}$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
qed
```

The idea of push relabel algorithms is to maintain a valid labeling, and, ultimately, arrive at a valid flow, i.e., no nodes have excess flow. We then immediately get that the flow is maximal:

```
corollary no-excess-imp-maxflow:
  assumes  $\forall u \in V - \{s, t\}. \text{excess } f u = 0$ 
  shows  $\text{isMaxFlow } f$ 
proof -
  from  $\text{assms}$  interpret  $NFlow$ 
  apply  $\text{unfold-locales}$ 
  using  $\text{no-deficient-nodes}$  unfolding  $\text{excess-def}$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
  from  $\text{noAugPath-iff-maxFlow}$  no-augmenting-path show  $\text{isMaxFlow } f$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
qed
```

end — Labeling

2.2 Basic Operations

The operations of the push relabel algorithm are local operations on single nodes and edges.

2.2.1 Augmentation of Edges

```
context Network
begin
```

We define a function to augment a single edge in the residual graph.

```
definition augment-edge :: 'capacity flow  $\Rightarrow$  -'
  where  $\text{augment-edge } f \equiv \lambda(u, v). \Delta.$ 
    if  $(u, v) \in E$  then  $f((u, v)) := f(u, v) + \Delta$ 
    else if  $(v, u) \in E$  then  $f((v, u)) := f(v, u) - \Delta$ 
    else  $f$ 
```

```
lemma augment-edge-zero[simp]:  $\text{augment-edge } f \ e \ 0 = f$ 
  unfolding  $\text{augment-edge-def}$  by  $(\text{auto split: prod.split})$ 
```

```

lemma augment-edge-same[simp]:  $e \in E \implies \text{augment-edge } f e \Delta e = f e + \Delta$ 
  unfolding augment-edge-def by (auto split!: prod.splits)

lemma augment-edge-other[simp]:  $\llbracket e \in E; e' \neq e \rrbracket \implies \text{augment-edge } f e \Delta e' = f e'$ 
  unfolding augment-edge-def by (auto split!: prod.splits)

lemma augment-edge-rev-same[simp]:
   $(v, u) \in E \implies \text{augment-edge } f (u, v) \Delta (v, u) = f (v, u) - \Delta$ 
  using no-parallel-edge
  unfolding augment-edge-def by (auto split!: prod.splits)

lemma augment-edge-rev-other[simp]:
   $\llbracket (u, v) \notin E; e' \neq (v, u) \rrbracket \implies \text{augment-edge } f (u, v) \Delta e' = f e'$ 
  unfolding augment-edge-def by (auto split!: prod.splits)

lemma augment-edge-cf[simp]:  $(u, v) \in E \cup E^{-1} \implies$ 
   $\text{cf-of } (\text{augment-edge } f (u, v) \Delta)$ 
   $= (\text{cf-of } f)( (u, v) := \text{cf-of } f (u, v) - \Delta, (v, u) := \text{cf-of } f (v, u) + \Delta)$ 
  apply (intro ext; cases  $(u, v) \in E$ )
  subgoal for  $e'$ 
    apply (cases  $e' = (u, v)$ )
    subgoal by (simp split!: if-splits add: no-self-loop residualGraph-def)
    apply (cases  $e' = (v, u)$ )
    subgoal by (simp split!: if-splits add: no-parallel-edge residualGraph-def)
    subgoal by (simp
      split!: if-splits prod.splits
      add: residualGraph-def augment-edge-def)
    done
  subgoal for  $e'$ 
    apply (cases  $e' = (u, v)$ )
    subgoal by (simp split!: if-splits add: no-self-loop residualGraph-def)
    apply (cases  $e' = (v, u)$ )
    subgoal by (simp split!: if-splits add: no-self-loop residualGraph-def)
    subgoal by (simp
      split!: if-splits prod.splits
      add: residualGraph-def augment-edge-def)
    done
  done

lemma augment-edge-cf':  $(u, v) \in \text{cfE-of } f \implies$ 
   $\text{cf-of } (\text{augment-edge } f (u, v) \Delta)$ 
   $= (\text{cf-of } f)( (u, v) := \text{cf-of } f (u, v) - \Delta, (v, u) := \text{cf-of } f (v, u) + \Delta)$ 
proof -
  assume  $(u, v) \in \text{cfE-of } f$ 
  hence  $(u, v) \in E \cup E^{-1}$  using cfE-of-ss-invE ..
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed

```

The effect of augmenting an edge on the residual graph

```

definition (in -) augment-edge-cf :: - flow  $\Rightarrow$  - where
  augment-edge-cf cf
   $\equiv \lambda(u,v). \Delta. (cf)(u,v) := cf(u,v) - \Delta, (v,u) := cf(v,u) + \Delta$ 

lemma cf-of-augment-edge:
  assumes A:  $(u,v) \in cfE\text{-of } f$ 
  shows cf-of (augment-edge f (u,v)  $\Delta$ ) = augment-edge-cf (cf-of f) (u,v)  $\Delta$ 
  proof -
    show cf-of (augment-edge f (u,v)  $\Delta$ ) = augment-edge-cf (cf-of f) (u,v)  $\Delta$ 
      by (simp add: augment-edge-cf-def A augment-edge-cf')
  qed

```

```

lemma cfE-augment-ss:
  assumes EDGE:  $(u,v) \in cfE\text{-of } f$ 
  shows cfE-of (augment-edge f (u,v)  $\Delta$ )  $\subseteq$  insert (v,u) (cfE-of f)
  using EDGE
  apply (clar simp simp: augment-edge-cf')
  unfolding Graph.E-def
  apply (auto split: if-splits)
  done

```

end — Network

context NPreflow **begin**

Augmenting an edge (u,v) with a flow Δ that does not exceed the available edge capacity, nor the available excess flow on the source node, preserves the preflow property.

```

lemma augment-edge-preflow-preserve:  $\llbracket 0 \leq \Delta; \Delta \leq cf(u,v); \Delta \leq excess f u \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies$  Preflow c s t (augment-edge f (u,v)  $\Delta$ )
  apply unfold-locales
  subgoal
    unfolding residualGraph-def augment-edge-def
    using capacity-const
    by (fastforce split!: if-splits)
  subgoal
    proof (intro ballI; clar simp)
    assume  $0 \leq \Delta$   $\Delta \leq cf(u,v)$   $\Delta \leq excess f u$ 
    fix  $v'$ 
    assume  $V' : v' \in V$   $v' \neq s$   $v' \neq t$ 

    show sum (augment-edge f (u, v)  $\Delta$ ) (outgoing  $v'$ )
       $\leq$  sum (augment-edge f (u, v)  $\Delta$ ) (incoming  $v'$ )
    proof (cases)
      assume  $\Delta = 0$ 
      with no-deficient-nodes show ?thesis using V' by auto

```

```

next
  assume  $\Delta \neq 0$  with  $\langle 0 \leq \Delta \rangle$  have  $0 < \Delta$  by auto
  with  $\langle \Delta \leq cf(u,v) \rangle$  have  $(u,v) \in cf.E$  unfolding Graph.E-def by auto

  show ?thesis
  proof (cases)
    assume [simp]:  $(u,v) \in E$ 
    hence AE: augment-edge  $f(u,v) \Delta = f((u,v) := f(u,v) + \Delta)$ 
      unfolding augment-edge-def by auto
    have 1:  $\forall e \in outgoing v'. augment-edge f(u,v) \Delta e = f e$  if  $v' \neq u$ 
      using that unfolding outgoing-def AE by auto
    have 2:  $\forall e \in incoming v'. augment-edge f(u,v) \Delta e = f e$  if  $v' \neq v$ 
      using that unfolding incoming-def AE by auto

    from  $\langle (u,v) \in E \rangle$  no-self-loop have  $u \neq v$  by blast

    {
      assume  $v' \neq u$   $v' \neq v$ 
      with 1 2 V' no-deficient-nodes have ?thesis by auto
    } moreover {
      assume [simp]:  $v' = v$ 
      have sum (augment-edge  $f(u,v) \Delta$ ) (outgoing  $v'$ )
        = sum  $f(outgoing v)$ 
        using 1  $\langle u \neq v \rangle$  V' by auto
      also have ...  $\leq$  sum  $f(incoming v)$ 
        using V' no-deficient-nodes by auto
      also have ...  $\leq$  sum (augment-edge  $f(u,v) \Delta$ ) (incoming  $v$ )
        apply (rule sum-mono)
        using  $\langle 0 \leq \Delta \rangle$ 
        by (auto simp: incoming-def augment-edge-def split!: if-split)
      finally have ?thesis by simp
    } moreover {
      assume [simp]:  $v' = u$ 
      have A1: sum (augment-edge  $f(u,v) \Delta$ ) (incoming  $v'$ )
        = sum  $f(incoming u)$ 
        using 2  $\langle u \neq v \rangle$  by auto
      have  $(u,v) \in outgoing u$  using  $\langle (u,v) \in E \rangle$ 
        by (auto simp: outgoing-def)
      note AUX = sum.remove[OF - this, simplified]
      have A2: sum (augment-edge  $f(u,v) \Delta$ ) (outgoing  $u$ )
        = sum  $f(outgoing u) + \Delta$ 
        using AUX[of augment-edge  $f(u,v) \Delta$ ] AUX[of f] by auto
      from A1 A2  $\langle \Delta \leq excess f u \rangle$  no-deficient-nodes V' have ?thesis
        unfolding excess-def by auto
    } ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  next
    assume [simp]:  $\langle (u,v) \notin E \rangle$ 
    hence [simp]:  $(v,u) \in E$  using cfE-ss-invE  $\langle (u,v) \in cf.E \rangle$  by auto
    from  $\langle (u,v) \notin E \rangle$   $\langle (v,u) \in E \rangle$  have  $u \neq v$  by blast
  
```

```

have AE: augment-edge f (u,v) Δ = f ( (v,u) := f (v,u) - Δ )
  unfolding augment-edge-def by simp
have 1: ∀ e∈outgoing v'. augment-edge f (u,v) Δ e = f e if v'≠v
  using that unfolding outgoing-def AE by auto
have 2: ∀ e∈incoming v'. augment-edge f (u,v) Δ e = f e if v'≠u
  using that unfolding incoming-def AE by auto

{
  assume v' ≠ u   v' ≠ v
  with 1 2 V' no-deficient-nodes have ?thesis by auto
} moreover {
  assume [simp]: v'=u
  have A1: sum (augment-edge f (u, v) Δ) (outgoing v')
    = sum f (outgoing u)
    using 1 ⟨u≠v⟩ V' by auto

  have (v,u) ∈ incoming u
    using ⟨(v,u)∈E⟩ by (auto simp: incoming-def)
  note AUX = sum.remove[OF - this, simplified]
  have A2: sum (augment-edge f (u,v) Δ) (incoming u)
    = sum f (incoming u) - Δ
    using AUX[of augment-edge f (u,v) Δ] AUX[of f] by auto

  from A1 A2 ⟨Δ ≤ excess f u⟩ no-deficient-nodes V' have ?thesis
    unfolding excess-def by auto
} moreover {
  assume [simp]: v'=v
  have sum (augment-edge f (u,v) Δ) (outgoing v')
    ≤ sum f (outgoing v')
    apply (rule sum-mono)
    using ⟨0<Δ⟩
    by (auto simp: augment-edge-def)
  also have ... ≤ sum f (incoming v)
    using no-deficient-nodes V' by auto
  also have ... ≤ sum (augment-edge f (u,v) Δ) (incoming v')
    using 2 ⟨u≠v⟩ by auto
  finally have ?thesis by simp
} ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
done
end — Network with Preflow

```

2.2.2 Push Operation

```

context Network
begin

```

The push operation pushes as much flow as possible flow from an active node over an admissible edge.

A node is called *active* if it has positive excess, and an edge (u,v) of the residual graph is called admissible, if $l u = l v + 1$.

```
definition push-precond :: 'capacity flow  $\Rightarrow$  labeling  $\Rightarrow$  edge  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where push-precond f l
 $\equiv \lambda(u,v). \text{excess } f u > 0 \wedge (u,v) \in \text{cfE-of } f \wedge l u = l v + 1$ 
```

The maximum possible flow is determined by the available excess flow at the source node and the available capacity of the edge.

```
definition push-effect :: 'capacity flow  $\Rightarrow$  edge  $\Rightarrow$  'capacity flow
where push-effect f
 $\equiv \lambda(u,v). \text{augment-edge } f (u,v) (\min(\text{excess } f u) (\text{cf-of } f (u,v)))$ 
```

```
lemma push-precondI[intro?]:
 $\llbracket \text{excess } f u > 0; (u,v) \in \text{cfE-of } f; l u = l v + 1 \rrbracket \implies \text{push-precond } f l (u,v)$ 
unfolding push-precond-def by auto
```

2.2.3 Relabel Operation

An active node (not the sink) without any outgoing admissible edges can be relabeled.

```
definition relabel-precond :: 'capacity flow  $\Rightarrow$  labeling  $\Rightarrow$  node  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where relabel-precond f l u
 $\equiv u \neq t \wedge \text{excess } f u > 0 \wedge (\forall v. (u,v) \in \text{cfE-of } f \longrightarrow l u \neq l v + 1)$ 
```

The new label is computed from the neighbour's labels, to be the minimum value that will create an outgoing admissible edge.

```
definition relabel-effect :: 'capacity flow  $\Rightarrow$  labeling  $\Rightarrow$  node  $\Rightarrow$  labeling
where relabel-effect f l u
 $\equiv l(u := \text{Min} \{ l v \mid v. (u,v) \in \text{cfE-of } f \} + 1)$ 
```

2.2.4 Initialization

The initial preflow exhausts all outgoing edges of the source node.

```
definition pp-init-f  $\equiv \lambda(u,v). \text{if } (u=s) \text{ then } c(u,v) \text{ else } 0$ 
```

The initial labeling labels the source with $|V|$, and all other nodes with 0.

```
definition pp-init-l  $\equiv (\lambda x. 0)(s := \text{card } V)$ 
```

end — Network

2.3 Abstract Correctness

We formalize the abstract correctness argument of the algorithm. It consists of two parts:

1. Execution of push and relabel operations maintain a valid labeling
2. If no push or relabel operations can be executed, the preflow is actually a flow.

This section corresponds to the proof of [Cormen 26.18].

2.3.1 Maintenance of Invariants

```

context Network
begin

lemma pp-init-invar: Labeling c s t pp-init-f pp-init-l
  apply (unfold-locales;
    ((auto simp: pp-init-f-def pp-init-l-def cap-non-negative; fail)
     | (intro ballI)?))
  proof -
    fix v
    assume v ∈ V - {s,t}
    hence ∀ e ∈ outgoing v. pp-init-f e = 0
      by (auto simp: outgoing-def pp-init-f-def)
    hence [simp]: sum pp-init-f (outgoing v) = 0 by auto
    have 0 ≤ pp-init-f e for e
      by (auto simp: pp-init-f-def cap-non-negative split: prod.split)
    from sum-bounded-below[of incoming v 0 pp-init-f, OF this]
    have 0 ≤ sum pp-init-f (incoming v) by auto
    thus sum pp-init-f (outgoing v) ≤ sum pp-init-f (incoming v)
      by auto

  next
    fix u v
    assume (u, v) ∈ Graph.E (residualGraph c pp-init-f)
    thus pp-init-l u ≤ pp-init-l v + 1
      unfolding pp-init-l-def Graph.E-def pp-init-f-def residualGraph-def
      by (auto split: if-splits)

  qed

```

```

lemma pp-init-f-preflow: NPreflow c s t pp-init-f
  proof -
    from pp-init-invar interpret Labeling c s t pp-init-f pp-init-l .
    show ?thesis by unfold-locales
  qed

```

end — Network

```

context Labeling
begin

```

Push operations preserve a valid labeling [Cormen 26.16].

```

theorem push-pres-Labeling:
  assumes push-precond f l e
  shows Labeling c s t (push-effect f e) l
  unfolding push-effect-def
  proof (cases e; clarsimp)
    fix u v
    assume [simp]:  $e = (u, v)$ 
    let ?f' = (augment-edge f (u, v) (min (excess f u) (cf (u, v))))
    from assms have
      ACTIVE: excess f u > 0
      and EDGE:  $(u, v) \in cf.E$ 
      and ADM:  $l_u = l_v + 1$ 
      unfolding push-precond-def by auto
      interpret cf': Preflow c s t ?f'
      apply (rule augment-edge-preflow-preserve)
      using ACTIVE resE-nonNegative
      by auto
      show Labeling c s t ?f' l
      apply unfold-locales using valid
      using cfE-augment-ss[OF EDGE] ADM
      apply (fastforce)
      by auto
    qed

lemma finite-min-cf-outgoing[simp, intro!]: finite {l v | v. (u, v) ∈ cf.E}
  proof -
    have {l v | v. (u, v) ∈ cf.E} = l'snd'cf.outgoing u
    by (auto simp: cf.outgoing-def)
    moreover have finite (l'snd'cf.outgoing u) by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis by auto
  qed

Relabel operations preserve a valid labeling [Cormen 26.16]. Moreover, they increase the label of the relabeled node [Cormen 26.15].

```

theorem

- assumes** PRE: relabel-precond f l u
- shows** relabel-increase-u: relabel-effect f l u u > l u (**is** ?G1)
- and** relabel-pres-Labeling: Labeling c s t f (relabel-effect f l u) (**is** ?G2)

proof -

- from** PRE **have**
- NOT-SINK: $u \neq t$
- and** ACTIVE: excess f u > 0
- and** NO-ADM: $\bigwedge v. (u, v) \in cf.E \implies l_u \neq l_v + 1$
- unfolding** relabel-precond-def **by** auto

from ACTIVE **have** [simp]: $s \neq u$ **using** excess-s-non-pos **by** auto

```

from active-has-cf-outgoing[OF ACTIVE] have [simp]:  $\exists v. (u, v) \in cf.E$ 
  by (auto simp: cf.outgoing-def)

from NO-ADM valid have  $l u < l v + 1$  if  $(u, v) \in cf.E$  for  $v$ 
  by (simp add: nat-less-le that)
hence LU-INCR:  $l u \leq \text{Min} \{ l v \mid v. (u, v) \in cf.E \}$ 
  by (auto simp: less-Suc-eq-le)
with valid have  $\forall u'. (u', u) \in cf.E \longrightarrow l u' \leq \text{Min} \{ l v \mid v. (u, v) \in cf.E \} + 1$ 
  by (smt ab-semigroup-add-class.add.commute add-le-cancel-left le-trans)
moreover have  $\forall v. (u, v) \in cf.E \longrightarrow \text{Min} \{ l v \mid v. (u, v) \in cf.E \} + 1 \leq l v + 1$ 
  using Min-le by auto
ultimately show ?G1 ?G2
  unfolding relabel-effect-def
  apply (clar simp-all simp: PRE)
  subgoal using LU-INCR by (simp add: less-Suc-eq-le)
  apply (unfold-locales)
  subgoal for  $u' v'$  using valid by auto
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal using NOT-SINK by auto
  done
qed

lemma relabel-preserve-other:  $u \neq v \implies \text{relabel-effect } f l u v = l v$ 
  unfolding relabel-effect-def by auto

```

2.3.2 Maxflow on Termination

If no push or relabel operations can be performed any more, we have arrived at a maximal flow.

```

theorem push-relabel-term-imp-maxflow:
  assumes no-push:  $\forall (u, v) \in cf.E. \neg \text{push-precond } f l (u, v)$ 
  assumes no-relabel:  $\forall u. \neg \text{relabel-precond } f l u$ 
  shows isMaxFlow  $f$ 
proof -
  from assms have  $\forall u \in V - \{t\}. \text{excess } f u \leq 0$ 
  unfolding push-precond-def relabel-precond-def
  by force
  with excess-non-negative have  $\forall u \in V - \{s, t\}. \text{excess } f u = 0$  by force
  with no-excess-imp-maxflow show ?thesis .
qed

end — Labeling

```

2.4 Convenience Lemmas

We define a locale to reflect the effect of a push operation

```

locale push-effect-locale = Labeling +
  fixes  $u v$ 

```

```

assumes PRE: push-precond f l (u,v)
begin
  abbreviation f' ≡ push-effect f (u,v)
  sublocale l': Labeling c s t f' l
    using push-pres-Labeling[OF PRE] .

lemma uv-cf-edge[simp, intro!]: (u,v) ∈ cf.E
  using PRE unfolding push-precond-def by auto
lemma excess-u-pos: excess f u > 0
  using PRE unfolding push-precond-def by auto
lemma l-u-eq[simp]: l u = l v + 1
  using PRE unfolding push-precond-def by auto

lemma uv-edge-cases:
  obtains (par) (u,v) ∈ E (v,u) ∉ E
    | (rev) (v,u) ∈ E (u,v) ∉ E
  using uv-cf-edge cfE-ss-invE no-parallel-edge by blast

lemma uv-nodes[simp, intro!]: u ∈ V v ∈ V
  using E-ss-VxV cfE-ss-invE no-parallel-edge by auto

lemma uv-not-eq[simp]: u ≠ v v ≠ u
  using E-ss-VxV cfE-ss-invE[THEN subsetD, OF uv-cf-edge] no-parallel-edge
  by auto

definition Δ = min (excess f u) (cf-of f (u,v))

lemma Δ-positive: Δ > 0
  unfolding Δ-def
  using excess-u-pos uv-cf-edge[unfolded cf.E-def] resE-positive
  by auto

lemma f'-alt: f' = augment-edge f (u,v) Δ
  unfolding push-effect-def Δ-def by auto

lemma cf'-alt: l'.cf = augment-edge-cf cf (u,v) Δ
  unfolding push-effect-def Δ-def augment-edge-cf-def
  by (auto simp: augment-edge-cf')

lemma excess'-u[simp]: excess f' u = excess f u - Δ
  unfolding excess-def[where f=f']
proof -
  show sum f' (incoming u) - sum f' (outgoing u) = excess f u - Δ
  proof (cases rule: uv-edge-cases)
    case [simp]: par
    hence UV-ONI:(u,v) ∈ outgoing u - incoming u
      by (auto simp: incoming-def outgoing-def no-self-loop)
    have 1: sum f' (incoming u) = sum f (incoming u)
      apply (rule sum.cong[OF refl])

```

```

using UV-ONI unfolding f'-alt
apply (subst augment-edge-other)
by auto

have sum f' (outgoing u)
= sum f (outgoing u) + (∑ x∈outgoing u. if x = (u, v) then Δ else 0)
  unfolding f'-alt augment-edge-def sum.distrib[symmetric]
    by (rule sum.cong) auto
also have ... = sum f (outgoing u) + Δ
  using UV-ONI by (auto simp: sum.delta)
finally show ?thesis using 1 unfolding excess-def by simp
next
case [simp]: rev
have UV-INO:(v,u)∈incoming u – outgoing u
  by (auto simp: incoming-def outgoing-def no-self-loop)
have 1: sum f' (outgoing u) = sum f (outgoing u)
  apply (rule sum.cong[OF refl])
  using UV-INO unfolding f'-alt
  apply (subst augment-edge-rev-other)
  by (auto)
have sum f' (incoming u)
= sum f (incoming u) + (∑ x∈incoming u. if x = (v, u) then -Δ else 0)
  unfolding f'-alt augment-edge-def sum.distrib[symmetric]
    by (rule sum.cong) auto
also have ... = sum f (incoming u) - Δ
  using UV-INO by (auto simp: sum.delta)
finally show ?thesis using 1 unfolding excess-def by auto
qed
qed

lemma excess'-v[simp]: excess f' v = excess f v + Δ
  unfolding excess-def[where f=f]
proof –
  show sum f' (incoming v) – sum f' (outgoing v) = excess f v + Δ
  proof (cases rule: uv-edge-cases)
    case [simp]: par
    have UV-INO: (u,v)∈incoming v – outgoing v
      unfolding incoming-def outgoing-def by (auto simp: no-self-loop)
    have 1: sum f' (outgoing v) = sum f (outgoing v)
      using UV-INO unfolding f'-alt
      by (auto simp: augment-edge-def intro: sum.cong)

    have sum f' (incoming v)
    = sum f (incoming v) + (∑ x∈incoming v. if x=(u,v) then Δ else 0)
      unfolding f'-alt augment-edge-def sum.distrib[symmetric]
        apply (rule sum.cong)
        using UV-INO unfolding f'-alt by auto
    also have ... = sum f (incoming v) + Δ
      using UV-INO by (auto simp: sum.delta)

```

```

finally show ?thesis using 1 by (auto simp: excess-def)
next
  case [simp]: rev
  have UV-INO:(v,u)∈outgoing v – incoming v
    by (auto simp: incoming-def outgoing-def no-self-loop)

  have 1: sum f' (incoming v) = sum f (incoming v)
    using UV-INO unfolding f'-alt
    by (auto simp: augment-edge-def intro: sum.cong)

  have sum f' (outgoing v)
    = sum f (outgoing v) + (∑ x∈outgoing v. if x=(v,u) then – Δ else 0)
    unfolding f'-alt augment-edge-def sum.distrib[symmetric]
    apply (rule sum.cong)
    using UV-INO unfolding f'-alt by auto
  also have ... = sum f (outgoing v) – Δ
    using UV-INO by (auto simp: sum.delta)
  finally show ?thesis using 1 by (auto simp: excess-def)
qed
qed

lemma excess'-other[simp]:
  assumes x ≠ u x ≠ v
  shows excess f' x = excess f x
proof –
  have NE: (u,v)∉incoming x (u,v)∉outgoing x
    (v,u)∉incoming x (v,u)∉outgoing x
    using assms unfolding incoming-def outgoing-def by auto
  have
    sum f' (outgoing x) = sum f (outgoing x)
    sum f' (incoming x) = sum f (incoming x)
    by (auto
      simp: augment-edge-def f'-alt NE
      split!: if-split
      intro: sum.cong)
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding excess-def by auto
qed

lemma excess'-if:
  excess f' x = (
    if x=u then excess f u – Δ
    else if x=v then excess f v + Δ
    else excess f x)
  by simp

```

end — Push Effect Locale

2.5 Complexity

Next, we analyze the complexity of the generic push relabel algorithm. We will show that it has a complexity of $O(V^2E)$ basic operations. Here, we often trade precise estimation of constant factors for simplicity of the proof.

2.5.1 Auxiliary Lemmas

```
context Network
begin

lemma cardE-nz-aux[simp, intro!]:
  card E ≠ 0   card E ≥ Suc 0   card E > 0
proof -
  show card E ≠ 0 by (simp add: E-not-empty)
  thus card E ≥ Suc 0 by linarith
  thus card E > 0 by auto
qed
```

The number of nodes can be estimated by the number of edges. This estimation is done in various places to get smoother bounds.

```
lemma card-V-est-E: card V ≤ 2 * card E
proof -
  have card V ≤ card (fst'E) + card (snd'E)
    by (auto simp: card-Un-le V-alt)
  also note card-image-le[OF finite-E]
  also note card-image-le[OF finite-E]
  finally show card V ≤ 2 * card E by auto
qed
```

```
end
```

2.5.2 Height Bound

A crucial idea of estimating the complexity is the insight that no label will exceed $2|V|-1$ during the algorithm.

We define a locale that states this invariant, and show that the algorithm maintains it. The corresponds to the proof of [Cormen 26.20].

```
locale Height-Bounded-Labeling = Labeling +
  assumes height-bound: ∀ u∈V. l u ≤ 2*card V - 1
begin
  lemma height-bound': u∈V ==> l u ≤ 2*card V - 1
    using height-bound by auto
end

lemma (in Network) pp-init-height-bound:
```

```

Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t pp-init-f pp-init-l
proof -
  interpret Labeling c s t pp-init-f pp-init-l by (rule pp-init-invar)
  show ?thesis by unfold-locales (auto simp: pp-init-l-def)
qed

```

```

context Height-Bounded-Labeling
begin

```

As push does not change the labeling, it trivially preserves the height bound.

```

lemma push-pres-height-bound:
  assumes push-precond f l e
  shows Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t (push-effect f e) l
proof -
  from push-pres-Labeling[OF assms]
  interpret l': Labeling c s t push-effect f e l .
  show ?thesis using height-bound by unfold-locales
qed

```

In a valid labeling, any active node has a (simple) path to the source node in the residual graph [Cormen 26.19].

```

lemma (in Labeling) excess-imp-source-path:
  assumes excess f u > 0
  obtains p where cf.isSimplePath u p s
proof -
  obtain U where U-def: U = {v|p v. cf.isSimplePath u p v} by blast
  have fct1: U ⊆ V
  proof
    fix v
    assume v ∈ U
    then have (u, v) ∈ cf.E*
      using U-def cf.isSimplePath-def cf.isPath-rte by auto
    then obtain u' where u = v ∨ ((u, u') ∈ cf.E* ∧ (u', v) ∈ cf.E)
      by (meson rtranclE)
    thus v ∈ V
  proof
    assume u = v
    thus ?thesis using excess-nodes-only[OF assms] by blast
  next
    assume (u, u') ∈ cf.E* ∧ (u', v) ∈ cf.E
    then have v ∈ cf.V unfolding cf.V-def by blast
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed

have s ∈ U
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume s ∉ U
  obtain U' where U'-def: U' = V - U by blast

```

```

have ( $\sum_{u \in U} excess f u$ )
   $= (\sum_{u \in U} (\sum_{v \in U'} f(v, u))) - (\sum_{u \in U} (\sum_{v \in U'} f(u, v)))$ 
proof -
  have ( $\sum_{u \in U} excess f u$ )
     $= (\sum_{u \in U} (\sum_{v \in incoming u} f v)) - (\sum_{u \in U} (\sum_{v \in outgoing u} f v))$ 
    (is - = ?R1 - ?R2) unfolding excess-def by (simp add: sum-subtractf)
  also have ?R1 = ( $\sum_{u \in U} (\sum_{v \in V} f(v, u))$ )
    using sum-incoming-alt-flow fct1 by (meson subsetCE sum.cong)
  also have ... = ( $\sum_{u \in U} (\sum_{v \in U} f(v, u))$ ) + ( $\sum_{u \in U} (\sum_{v \in U'} f(v, u))$ )
proof -
  have ( $\sum_{v \in V} f(v, u)$ ) = ( $\sum_{v \in U} f(v, u)$ ) + ( $\sum_{v \in U'} f(v, u)$ ) for u
    using U'-def fct1 finite-V
    by (metis ab-semigroup-add-class.add.commute sum.subset-diff)
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: sum.distrib)
qed
also have ?R2 = ( $\sum_{u \in U} (\sum_{v \in V} f(u, v))$ )
  using sum-outgoing-alt-flow fct1 by (meson subsetCE sum.cong)
  also have ... = ( $\sum_{u \in U} (\sum_{v \in U} f(u, v))$ ) + ( $\sum_{u \in U} (\sum_{v \in U'} f(u, v))$ )
proof -
  have ( $\sum_{v \in V} f(u, v)$ ) = ( $\sum_{v \in U} f(u, v)$ ) + ( $\sum_{v \in U'} f(u, v)$ ) for u
    using U'-def fct1 finite-V
    by (metis ab-semigroup-add-class.add.commute sum.subset-diff)
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: sum.distrib)
qed
also have ( $\sum_{u \in U} (\sum_{v \in U} f(u, v))$ ) = ( $\sum_{u \in U} (\sum_{v \in U} f(v, u))$ )
proof -
  {
    fix A :: nat set
    assume finite A
    then have ( $\sum_{u \in A} (\sum_{v \in A} f(u, v))$ ) = ( $\sum_{u \in A} (\sum_{v \in A} f(v, u))$ )
    proof (induction card A arbitrary: A)
      case 0
      then show ?case by auto
    next
      case (Suc x)
      then obtain A' a
        where o1:A = insert a A' and o2:x = card A' and o3:finite A'
        by (metis card-insert-disjoint card-le-Suc-iff le-refl nat.inject)
      then have lm:( $\sum_{e \in A} g e$ ) = ( $\sum_{e \in A'} g e$ ) + g a
        for g :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a
        using Suc.hyps(2)
        by (metis card-insert-if n-not-Suc-n
          semiring-normalization-rules(24) sum.insert)

    have ( $\sum_{u \in A} (\sum_{v \in A} f(u, v))$ )
       $= (\sum_{u \in A'} (\sum_{v \in A} f(u, v))) + (\sum_{v \in A} f(a, v))$ 
  }

```

```

(is - = ?R1 + ?R2) using lm by auto
also have ?R1 = (∑ u∈A'. (∑ v∈A'. f (u, v))) + (∑ u∈A'. f(u, a))
  (is - = ?R1-1 + ?R1-2) using lm sum.distrib by force
also note add.assoc
also have ?R1-2 + ?R2 = (∑ u∈A'. f(a, u)) + (∑ v∈A. f(v, a))
  (is - = ?R1-2' + ?R2') using lm by auto
also have ?R1-1 = (∑ u∈A'. (∑ v∈A'. f (v, u)))
  (is - = ?R1-1') using Suc.hyps(1)[of A'] o2 o3 by auto
also note add.assoc[symmetric]
also have ?R1-1' + ?R1-2' = (∑ u∈A'. (∑ v∈A. f (v, u)))
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) lm sum.cong sum.distrib)
finally show ?case using lm[symmetric] by auto
qed
} note this[of U]
thus ?thesis using fct1 finite-V finite-subset by auto
qed
finally show ?thesis by arith
qed
moreover have (∑ u∈U. excess f u) > 0
proof -
  have u ∈ U using U-def by simp
  moreover have u ∈ U ==> excess f u ≥ 0 for u
    using fct1 excess-non-negative' `s ∈ U` by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using assms fct1 finite-V
    by (metis Diff-cancel Diff-eq-empty iff
        Diff-infinite-finite finite-Diff sum-pos2)
qed
ultimately have
  fct2: (∑ u∈U. (∑ v∈U'. f (v, u))) - (∑ u∈U. (∑ v∈U'. f (u, v))) > 0
  by simp

have fct3: (∑ u∈U. (∑ v∈U'. f (v, u))) > 0
proof -
  have (∑ u∈U. (∑ v∈U'. f (v, u))) ≥ 0
    using capacity-const by (simp add: sum-nonneg)
  moreover have (∑ u∈U. (∑ v∈U'. f (u, v))) ≥ 0
    using capacity-const by (simp add: sum-nonneg)
  ultimately show ?thesis using fct2 by simp
qed

have ∃ u' v'. (u' ∈ U ∧ v' ∈ U' ∧ f (v', u') > 0)
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume ¬ (∃ u' v'. u' ∈ U ∧ v' ∈ U' ∧ f (v', u') > 0)
  then have (∀ u' v'. (u' ∈ U ∧ v' ∈ U' → f (v', u') = 0))
    using capacity-const by (metis le-neq-trans)
  thus False using fct3 by simp
qed
then obtain u' v' where u' ∈ U and v' ∈ U' and f (v', u') > 0
  by blast

```

```

obtain p1 where cf.isSimplePath u p1 u' using U-def ⟨u' ∈ U⟩ by auto
moreover have (u', v') ∈ cf.E
proof -
  have (v', u') ∈ E
    using capacity-const ⟨f (v', u') > 0⟩
    by (metis not-less zero-flow-simp)
  then have cf (u', v') > 0 unfolding cf-def
    using no-parallel-edge ⟨f (v', u') > 0⟩ by (auto split: if-split)
  thus ?thesis unfolding cf.E-def by simp
qed
ultimately have cf.isPath u (p1 @ [(u', v')]) v'
  using Graph.isPath-append-edge Graph.isSimplePath-def by blast
then obtain p2 where cf.isSimplePath u p2 v'
  using cf.isSPath-pathLE by blast
then have v' ∈ U using U-def by auto
thus False using ⟨v' ∈ U'⟩ and U'-def by simp
qed
then obtain p' where cf.isSimplePath u p' s using U-def by auto
thus ?thesis ..
qed

```

Relabel operations preserve the height bound [Cormen 26.20].

```

lemma relabel-pres-height-bound:
  assumes relabel-precond f l u
  shows Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f (relabel-effect f l u)
proof -
  let ?l' = relabel-effect f l u

  from relabel-pres-Labeling[OF assms]
  interpret l': Labeling c s t f ?l' .

  from assms have excess f u > 0 unfolding relabel-precond-def by auto
  with l'.excess-imp-source-path obtain p where p-obt: cf.isSimplePath u p s .

  have u ∈ V using excess-nodes-only ⟨excess f u > 0⟩ .
  then have length p < card V
    using cf.simplePath-length-less-V[of u p] p-obt by auto
  moreover have ?l' u ≤ ?l' s + length p
    using p-obt l'.gen-valid[of u p s] p-obt
    unfolding cf.isSimplePath-def by auto
  moreover have ?l' s = card V
    using l'.Labeling-axioms Labeling-def Labeling-axioms-def by auto
  ultimately have ?l' u ≤ 2*card V - 1 by auto
  thus Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f ?l'
    apply unfold-locales
    using height-bound relabel-preserve-other
    by metis
qed

```

Thus, the total number of relabel operations is bounded by $O(V^2)$ [Cor-men 26.21].

We express this bound by defining a measure function, and show that it is decreased by relabel operations.

definition (in Network) *sum-heights-measure* $l \equiv \sum_{v \in V} 2 * \text{card } V - l v$

corollary *relabel-measure*:

assumes *relabel-precond* $f l u$

shows *sum-heights-measure* (*relabel-effect* $f l u$) < *sum-heights-measure* l

proof –

let $?l' = \text{relabel-effect } f l u$

from *relabel-pres-height-bound*[OF *assms*]

interpret l' : Height-Bounded-Labeling $c s t f ?l'$.

from *assms* have $u \in V$

by (simp add: excess-nodes-only *relabel-precond-def*)

hence *V-split*: $V = \text{insert } u V$ by auto

show $?thesis$

using *relabel-increase-u*[OF *assms*] *relabel-preserve-other*[of u]

using $l'.height-bound$

unfolding *sum-heights-measure-def*

apply (rewrite at $\sum_{v \in V} -$ *V-split*) +

apply (subst *sum.insert-remove*[OF *finite-V*]) +

using $\langle u \in V \rangle$

by auto

qed

end — Height Bounded Labeling

lemma (in Network) *sum-height-measure-is-OV2*:

sum-heights-measure $l \leq 2 * (\text{card } V)^2$

unfolding *sum-heights-measure-def*

proof –

have $2 * \text{card } V - l v \leq 2 * \text{card } V$ for v by auto

then have $(\sum_{v \in V} 2 * \text{card } V - l v) \leq (\sum_{v \in V} 2 * \text{card } V)$

by (meson *sum-mono*)

also have $(\sum_{v \in V} 2 * \text{card } V) = \text{card } V * (2 * \text{card } V)$

using *finite-V* by auto

finally show $(\sum_{v \in V} 2 * \text{card } V - l v) \leq 2 * (\text{card } V)^2$

by (simp add: *power2-eq-square*)

qed

2.5.3 Formulation of the Abstract Algorithm

We give a simple relational characterization of the abstract algorithm as a labeled transition system, where the labels indicate the type of operation (push or relabel) that have been executed.

```

context Network
begin

datatype pr-operation = is-PUSH: PUSH | is-RELABEL: RELABEL
inductive-set pr-algo-lts
  :: ('capacity flow×labeling) × pr-operation × ('capacity flow×labeling)) set
where
  push: [[push-precond f l e]]
     $\implies ((f,l), \text{PUSH}, (\text{push-effect } f e, l)) \in \text{pr-algo-lts}$ 
  | relabel: [[relabel-precond f l u]]
     $\implies ((f,l), \text{RELABEL}, (f, \text{relabel-effect } f l u)) \in \text{pr-algo-lts}$ 

```

end — Network

We show invariant maintenance and correctness on termination

```

lemma (in Height-Bounded-Labeling) pr-algo-maintains-hb-labeling:
  assumes ((f,l),a,(f',l')) ∈ pr-algo-lts
  shows Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f' l'
  using assms
  by cases (simp-all add: push-pres-height-bound relabel-pres-height-bound)

```

```

lemma (in Height-Bounded-Labeling) pr-algo-term-maxflow:
  assumes (f,l) ∉ Domain pr-algo-lts
  shows isMaxFlow f
proof -
  from assms have  $\nexists e. \text{push-precond } f l e$  and  $\nexists u. \text{relabel-precond } f l u$ 
  by (auto simp: Domain-iff dest: pr-algo-lts.intros)
  with push-relabel-term-imp-maxflow show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

2.5.4 Saturating and Non-Saturating Push Operations

```

context Network
begin

```

For complexity estimation, it is distinguished whether a push operation saturates the edge or not.

```

definition sat-push-precond :: 'capacity flow ⇒ labeling ⇒ edge ⇒ bool
  where sat-push-precond f l
     $\equiv \lambda(u,v). \text{excess } f u > 0$ 
     $\wedge \text{excess } f u \geq \text{cf-off } (u,v)$ 
     $\wedge (u,v) \in \text{cfE-of } f$ 
     $\wedge l u = l v + 1$ 

definition nonsat-push-precond :: 'capacity flow ⇒ labeling ⇒ edge ⇒ bool
  where nonsat-push-precond f l
     $\equiv \lambda(u,v). \text{excess } f u > 0$ 
     $\wedge \text{excess } f u < \text{cf-off } (u,v)$ 

```

```

 $\wedge (u,v) \in cfE\text{-of } f$ 
 $\wedge l u = l v + 1$ 

lemma push-precond-eq-sat-or-nonsat:
  push-precond  $f l e \longleftrightarrow sat\text{-}push\text{-}precond f l e \vee nonsat\text{-}push\text{-}precond f l e$ 
  unfolding push-precond-def sat-push-precond-def nonsat-push-precond-def
  by auto

lemma sat-nonsat-push-disj:
  sat-push-precond  $f l e \implies \neg nonsat\text{-}push\text{-}precond f l e$ 
  nonsat-push-precond  $f l e \implies \neg sat\text{-}push\text{-}precond f l e$ 
  unfolding sat-push-precond-def nonsat-push-precond-def
  by auto

lemma sat-push-alt: sat-push-precond  $f l e$ 
   $\implies push\text{-}effect f e = augment\text{-}edge f e (cf\text{-}off f e)$ 
  unfolding push-effect-def push-precond-eq-sat-or-nonsat sat-push-precond-def
  by (auto simp: min-absorb2)
  
lemma nonsat-push-alt: nonsat-push-precond  $f l (u,v)$ 
   $\implies push\text{-}effect f (u,v) = augment\text{-}edge f (u,v) (excess f u)$ 
  unfolding push-effect-def push-precond-eq-sat-or-nonsat nonsat-push-precond-def
  by (auto simp: min-absorb1)
  
end — Network

context push-effect-locale
begin
  lemma nonsat-push-Δ: nonsat-push-precond  $f l (u,v) \implies \Delta = excess f u$ 
    unfolding  $\Delta\text{-def}$  nonsat-push-precond-def by auto
  lemma sat-push-Δ: sat-push-precond  $f l (u,v) \implies \Delta = cf (u,v)$ 
    unfolding  $\Delta\text{-def}$  sat-push-precond-def by auto
  
end

```

2.5.5 Refined Labeled Transition System

```

context Network
begin

```

For simpler reasoning, we make explicit the different push operations, and integrate the invariant into the LTS

```

datatype pr-operation' =
  is-RELABEL': RELABEL'
  | is-NONSAT-PUSH': NONSAT-PUSH'
  | is-SAT-PUSH': SAT-PUSH' edge

inductive-set pr-algo-lts' where

```

```

nonsat-push': [[Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l; nonsat-push-precond f l e]]
  ==> ((f,l),NONSAT-PUSH',(push-effect f e,l)) ∈ pr-algo-lts'
| sat-push': [[Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l; sat-push-precond f l e]]
  ==> ((f,l),SAT-PUSH' e,(push-effect f e,l)) ∈ pr-algo-lts'
| relabel': [[Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l; relabel-precond f l u ]]
  ==> ((f,l),RELABEL',(f,relabel-effect f l u)) ∈ pr-algo-lts'

fun project-operation where
  project-operation RELABEL' = RELABEL
| project-operation NONSAT-PUSH' = PUSH
| project-operation (SAT-PUSH' -) = PUSH

lemma is-RELABEL-project-conv[simp]:
  is-RELABEL ∘ project-operation = is-RELABEL'
  apply (clar simp intro!: ext) subgoal for x by (cases x) auto done

lemma is-PUSH-project-conv[simp]:
  is-PUSH ∘ project-operation = (λx. is-SAT-PUSH' x ∨ is-NONSAT-PUSH' x)
  apply (clar simp intro!: ext) subgoal for x by (cases x) auto done

end — Network

context Height-Bounded-Labeling
begin

lemma (in Height-Bounded-Labeling) xfer-run:
  assumes ((f,l),p,(f',l')) ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts
  obtains p' where ((f,l),p',(f',l')) ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts'
    and p = map project-operation p'

proof –
  have ∃ p'.
    Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f' l'
    ∧ ((f,l),p',(f',l')) ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts'
    ∧ p = map project-operation p'
    using assms
  proof (induction p arbitrary: f' l' rule: rev-induct)
    case Nil thus ?case using Height-Bounded-Labeling-axioms by simp
  next
    case (snoc a p)
    from snoc.prems obtain fh lh
      where PP: ((f, l), p, fh, lh) ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts
        and LAST: ((fh,lh),a,(f',l')) ∈ pr-algo-lts
      by (auto dest!: trcl-rev-uncons)

    from snoc.IH[OF PP] obtain p'
      where HBL: Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t fh lh
        and PP': ((f, l), p', fh, lh) ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts'
        and [simp]: p = map project-operation p'
      by blast

```

```

from LAST obtain a'
  where LAST': ((fh,lh),a',(f',l')) ∈ pr-algo-lts'
    and [simp]: a = project-operation a'
  apply cases
  by (auto
    simp: push-precond-eq-sat-or-nonsat
    dest: relabel'[OF HBL] nonsat-push'[OF HBL] sat-push'[OF HBL])

note HBL' =
  Height-Bounded-Labeling.pr-algo-maintains-hb-labeling[OF HBL LAST]

  from HBL' trcl-rev-cons[OF PP' LAST'] show ?case by auto
  qed
  with assms that show ?thesis by blast
  qed

lemma xfer-relabel-bound:
  assumes BOUND:  $\forall p'. ((f,l),p',(f',l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}'$ 
     $\rightarrow \text{length}(\text{filter is-RELABEL}' p') \leq B$ 
  assumes RUN:  $((f,l),p,(f',l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}$ 
  shows length(filter is-RELABEL p)  $\leq B$ 

  proof -
    from xfer-run[OF RUN] obtain p'
      where RUN':  $((f,l),p',(f',l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}'$ 
        and [simp]: p = map project-operation p'.

    have length(filter is-RELABEL p) = length(filter is-RELABEL' p')
      by simp
    also from BOUND[rule-format, OF RUN']
    have length(filter is-RELABEL' p')  $\leq B$  .
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed

lemma xfer-push-bounds:
  assumes BOUND-SAT:  $\forall p'. ((f,l),p',(f',l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}'$ 
     $\rightarrow \text{length}(\text{filter is-SAT-PUSH}' p') \leq B1$ 
  assumes BOUND-NONSAT:  $\forall p'. ((f,l),p',(f',l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}'$ 
     $\rightarrow \text{length}(\text{filter is-NONSAT-PUSH}' p') \leq B2$ 
  assumes RUN:  $((f,l),p,(f',l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}$ 
  shows length(filter is-PUSH p)  $\leq B1 + B2$ 

  proof -
    from xfer-run[OF RUN] obtain p'
      where RUN':  $((f,l),p',(f',l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}'$ 
        and [simp]: p = map project-operation p'.

    have [simp]: length[x ← p'. is-SAT-PUSH' x ∨ is-NONSAT-PUSH' x]
      = length(filter is-SAT-PUSH' p') + length(filter is-NONSAT-PUSH' p')
    by (induction p') auto
  
```

```

have length (filter is-PUSH p)
  = length (filter is-SAT-PUSH' p') + length (filter is-NONSAT-PUSH' p')
  by simp
also note BOUND-SAT[rule-format,OF RUN']
also note BOUND-NONSAT[rule-format,OF RUN']
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

end — Height Bounded Labeling

2.5.6 Bounding the Relabel Operations

```

lemma (in Network) relabel-action-bound':
  assumes A: (fxl,p,fxl') ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts'
  shows length (filter (is-RELABEL') p) ≤ 2 * (card V)2
proof –
  from A have length (filter (is-RELABEL') p) ≤ sum-heights-measure (snd fxl)
    apply (induction rule: trcl.induct)
    apply (auto elim!: pr-algo-lts'.cases)
    apply (drule (1) Height-Bounded-Labeling.relabel-measure)
    apply auto
    done
  also note sum-height-measure-is-OV2
  finally show length (filter (is-RELABEL') p) ≤ 2 * (card V)2 .
qed

```

```

lemma (in Height-Bounded-Labeling) relabel-action-bound:
  assumes A: ((f,l),p,(f',l')) ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts'
  shows length (filter (is-RELABEL) p) ≤ 2 * (card V)2
  using xfer-relabel-bound relabel-action-bound' A by meson

```

2.5.7 Bounding the Saturating Push Operations

```

context Network
begin

```

The basic idea is to estimate the saturating push operations per edge: After a saturating push, the edge disappears from the residual graph. It can only re-appear due to a push over the reverse edge, which requires relabeling of the nodes.

The estimation in [Cormen 26.22] uses the same idea. However, it invests some extra work in getting a more precise constant factor by counting the pushes for an edge and its reverse edge together.

```

lemma labels-path-increasing:
  assumes ((f,l),p,(f',l')) ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts'
  shows l u ≤ l' u

```

```

using assms
proof (induction p arbitrary: f l)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons a p)
  then obtain fh lh
    where FIRST: ((f,l),a,(fh,lh)) ∈ pr-algo-lts'
    and PP: ((fh,lh),p,(f',l')): trcl pr-algo-lts'
    by (auto simp: trcl-conv)

from FIRST interpret Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l
  by cases auto

from FIRST Cons.IH[OF PP] show ?case
  apply (auto elim!: pr-algo-lts'.cases)
  using relabel-increase-u relabel-preserve-other
  by (metis le-trans nat-le-linear not-less)
qed

lemma edge-reappears-at-increased-labeling:
  assumes ((f,l),p,(f',l')) ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts'
  assumes l u ≥ l v + 1
  assumes (u,v) ∉ cfE-of f
  assumes E': (u,v) ∈ cfE-of f'
  shows l v < l' v
  using assms(1–3)
proof (induction p arbitrary: f l)
  case Nil thus ?case using E' by auto
next
  case (Cons a p)
  then obtain fh lh
    where FIRST: ((f,l),a,(fh,lh)) ∈ pr-algo-lts'
    and PP: ((fh,lh),p,(f',l')): trcl pr-algo-lts'
    by (auto simp: trcl-conv)

from FIRST interpret Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l
  by cases auto

consider
  (push) u' v'
  where push-precond f l (u',v')    fh = push-effect f (u',v')    lh=l
  | (relabel) u'
    where relabel-precond f l u'    fh=f    lh=relabel-effect f l u'
  using FIRST
  by (auto elim!: pr-algo-lts'.cases simp: push-precond-eq-sat-or-nonsat)
  then show ?case proof cases
    case push
    note [simp] = push(2,3)

```

The push operation cannot go on edge (u,v) or (v,u)

```

from push(1) have  $(u',v') \neq (u,v)$   $(u',v') \neq (v,u)$   $(u',v') \in cf.E$ 
  using  $\langle l u \geq l v + 1 \rangle \langle (u,v) \notin cf.E \rangle$ 
  by (auto simp: push-precond-def)
hence  $NE': (u,v) \notin cf.E$ -of  $fh$  using  $\langle (u,v) \notin cf.E \rangle$ 
  using  $cfE\text{-augment-ss}[of u' v' f]$ 
  by (auto simp: push-effect-def)
from Cons.IH[ $OF PP - NE'$ ]  $\langle l u \geq l v + 1 \rangle$  show ?thesis by simp
next
  case relabel
  note [simp] = relabel(2)

  show ?thesis
  proof (cases  $u'=v$ )
    case False
    from False relabel(3) relabel-preserve-other have [simp]:  $lh v = l v$ 
      by auto
    from False relabel(3)
      relabel-preserve-other relabel-increase-u[ $OF relabel(1)$ ]
    have  $lh u \geq l u$  by (cases  $u'=u$ ) auto
    with  $\langle l u \geq l v + 1 \rangle$  have LHG:  $lh u \geq lh v + 1$  by auto

    from Cons.IH[ $OF PP LHG$ ]  $\langle (u,v) \notin cf.E \rangle$  show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case True
    note [simp] = relabel(3)
    from True relabel-increase-u[ $OF relabel(1)$ ]
    have  $l v < lh v$  by simp
    also note labels-path-increasing[ $OF PP$ , of  $v$ ]
    finally show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  qed
qed

lemma sat-push-edge-action-bound':
  assumes  $((f,l),p,(f',l')) \in trcl pr-algo-lts'$ 
  shows  $length(\text{filter}((=)(SAT\text{-PUSH}' e)) p) \leq 2 * \text{card } V$ 
proof -
  obtain  $u v$  where [simp]:  $e = (u,v)$  by (cases e)

  have  $length(\text{filter}((=)(SAT\text{-PUSH}' (u,v))) p) \leq 2 * \text{card } V - l v$ 
    if  $((f,l),p,(f',l')) \in trcl pr-algo-lts'$  for  $p$ 
    using that
  proof (induction p arbitrary: f l rule: length-induct)
    case (1 p) thus ?case
    proof (cases p)
      case Nil thus ?thesis by auto
    next
      case [simp]: ( $Cons a p'$ )
        from 1.prems obtain fh lh

```

where FIRST: $((f,l),a,(fh,lh)) \in pr\text{-algo-lts}'$
and PP: $((fh,lh),p',(f',l')) \in trcl\ pr\text{-algo-lts}'$
by (auto dest!: trcl-uncons)

```

from FIRST interpret Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l
by cases auto

show ?thesis
proof (cases a = SAT-PUSH' (u,v))
  case [simp]: False
  from 1.IH PP have
    length (filter ((=) (SAT-PUSH' (u, v))) p')
    ≤ 2 * card V - lh v
    by auto
  with FIRST show ?thesis
    apply (cases; clarsimp)
  proof -
    fix ua :: nat
    assume a1: length (filter ((=) (SAT-PUSH' (u, v))) p')
      ≤ 2 * card V - relabel-effect f l ua v
    assume a2: relabel-precond f l ua
    have 2 * card V - relabel-effect f l ua v ≤ 2 * card V - l v
    → length (filter ((=) (SAT-PUSH' (u, v))) p') ≤ 2 * card V - l v
    using a1 order-trans by blast
    then show length (filter ((=) (SAT-PUSH' (u, v))) p')
      ≤ 2 * card V - l v
    using a2 a1 by (metis (no-types) Labeling.relabel-increase-u
      Labeling-axioms diff-le-mono2 nat-less-le
      relabel-preserve-other)
  qed
next
  case [simp]: True

  from FIRST have
    [simp]: fh = push-effect f (u,v) lh = l
    and PRE: sat-push-precond f l (u,v)
    by (auto elim !: pr-algo-lts'.cases)

  from PRE have (u,v) ∈ cf.E l u = l v + 1
  unfolding sat-push-precond-def by auto
  hence u ∈ V v ∈ V u ≠ v using cfE-ss-invE E-ss- VxV by auto

  have UVNEH: (u,v) ∉ cfE-of fh
  using ⟨u≠v⟩
  apply (simp
    add: sat-push-alt[OF PRE] augment-edge-cf'[OF ⟨(u,v) ∈ cf.E⟩])
  unfolding Graph.E-def by simp

```

```

show ?thesis
proof (cases SAT-PUSH' (u,v) ∈ set p')
  case False
  hence [simp]: filter ((=) (SAT-PUSH' (u,v))) p' = []
    by (induction p') auto
  show ?thesis
    using bspec[OF height-bound ‹u∈V›]
    using bspec[OF height-bound ‹v∈V›]
    using card-V-ge2
    by simp
next
  case True
  then obtain p1 p2
    where [simp]: p'=p1@SAT-PUSH' (u,v)#p2
      and NP1: SAT-PUSH' (u,v) ∉ set p1
    using in-set-conv-decomp-first[of - p'] by auto

  from NP1 have [simp]: filter ((=) (SAT-PUSH' (u,v))) p1 = []
    by (induction p1) auto

  from PP obtain f2 l2 f3 l3
    where P1: ((fh,lh),p1,(f2,l2)) ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts'
      and S: ((f2,l2),SAT-PUSH' (u,v),(f3,l3)) ∈ pr-algo-lts'
      and P2: ((f3,l3),p2,(f',l')) ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts'
      by (auto simp: trcl-conv)
  from S have (u,v) ∈ cfE-of f2 and [simp]: l3=l2
    by (auto elim!: pr-algo-lts'.cases simp: sat-push-precond-def)
  with edge-reappears-at-increased-labeling[OF P1 - UVNEH]
    ‹l u = l v + 1›
  have AUX1: l v < l2 v by auto

  from S interpret l2: Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f2 l2
    by (auto elim!: pr-algo-lts'.cases)

  from spec[OF 1.IH, of SAT-PUSH' (u,v)#p2] S P2 have
    Suc (length (filter ((=) (SAT-PUSH' (u,v))) p2))
    ≤ 2 * card V - l2 v
    by (auto simp: trcl-conv)
  also have ... + 1 ≤ 2 * card V - l v
    using AUX1
    using bspec[OF l2.height-bound ‹u∈V›]
    using bspec[OF l2.height-bound ‹v∈V›]
    by auto
  finally show ?thesis
    by simp
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

```

thus ?thesis using assms by fastforce
qed

lemma sat-push-action-bound':
assumes A:  $((f,l),p,(f',l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}'$ 
shows length (filter is-SAT-PUSH' p)  $\leq 4 * \text{card } V * \text{card } E$ 
proof -
from A have IN-E:  $e \in E \cup E^{-1}$  if SAT-PUSH' e in set p for e
using that cfE-of-ss-invE
apply (induction p arbitrary: f l)
apply (auto
  simp: trcl-conv sat-push-precond-def
  elim!: pr-algo-lts'.cases
  ; blast)+
done

have AUX: length (filter ( $\lambda a. \exists e \in S. a = \text{SAT-PUSH}' e$ ) p)
=  $(\sum_{e \in S} \text{length} (\text{filter} ((=) (\text{SAT-PUSH}' e)) p))$  if finite S for S
using that
apply induction
apply simp
apply clarsimp
apply (subst length-filter-disj-or-conv; clarsimp)
apply (fo-rule arg-cong)
subgoal premises by (induction p) auto
done

have is-SAT-PUSH' a =  $(\exists e \in E \cup E^{-1}. a = \text{SAT-PUSH}' e)$  if a in set p for a
using IN-E that by (cases a) auto
hence length (filter is-SAT-PUSH' p)
= length (filter ( $\lambda a. \exists e \in E \cup E^{-1}. a = \text{SAT-PUSH}' e$ ) p)
by (auto cong: filter-cong)
also have ... =  $(\sum_{e \in E \cup E^{-1}} \text{length} (\text{filter} ((=) (\text{SAT-PUSH}' e)) p))$ 
by (auto simp: AUX)
also have ...  $\leq (\sum_{i \in E \cup E^{-1}} 2 * \text{card } V)$ 
using sum-mono[OF sat-push-edge-action-bound'[OF A], where K=E ∪ E-1] .
also have ...  $\leq 4 * \text{card } V * \text{card } E$  using card-Un-le[of E E-1] by simp
finally show length (filter is-SAT-PUSH' p)  $\leq 4 * \text{card } V * \text{card } E$  .
qed

```

end — Network

2.5.8 Bounding the Non-Saturating Push Operations

For estimating the number of non-saturating push operations, we define a potential function that is the sum of the labels of all active nodes, and examine the effect of the operations on this potential:

- A non-saturating push deactivates the source node and may activate

the target node. As the source node's label is higher, the potential decreases.

- A saturating push may activate a node, thus increasing the potential by $O(V)$.
- A relabel operation may increase the potential by $O(V)$.

As there are at most $O(V^2)$ relabel and $O(VE)$ saturating push operations, the above bounds suffice to yield an $O(V^2E)$ bound for the non-saturating push operations.

This argumentation corresponds to [Cormen 26.23].

Sum of heights of all active nodes

definition (in Network) *nonsat-potential* $f l \equiv \text{sum } l \{v \in V. \text{excess } f v > 0\}$

context Height-Bounded-Labeling
begin

The potential does not exceed $O(V^2)$.

```

lemma nonsat-potential-bound:
  shows nonsat-potential  $f l \leq 2 * (\text{card } V)^2$ 
proof -
  have nonsat-potential  $f l = (\sum_{v \in V. 0 < \text{excess } f v} l v)$ 
    unfolding nonsat-potential-def by auto
  also have ...  $\leq (\sum_{v \in V. l v})$ 
  proof -
    have  $f1 : \{v \in V. 0 < \text{excess } f v\} \subseteq V$  by auto
    thus ?thesis using sum.subset-diff[OF f1 finite-V, of l] by auto
  qed
  also have ...  $\leq (\sum_{v \in V. 2 * \text{card } V - 1})$ 
    using height-bound by (meson sum-mono)
  also have ...  $= \text{card } V * (2 * \text{card } V - 1)$  by auto
  also have  $\text{card } V * (2 * \text{card } V - 1) \leq 2 * \text{card } V * \text{card } V$  by auto
  finally show ?thesis by (simp add: power2-eq-square)
qed

```

A non-saturating push decreases the potential.

```

lemma nonsat-push-decr-nonsat-potential:
  assumes nonsat-push-precond  $f l e$ 
  shows nonsat-potential (push-effect  $f e$ )  $l < \text{nonsat-potential } f l$ 
proof (cases e)
  case [simp]: ( $\text{Pair } u v$ )
    show ?thesis
    proof simp
    interpret push-effect-locale c s t f l u v
    apply unfold-locales using assms

```

```

by (simp add: push-precond-eq-sat-or-nonsat)

note [simp] = nonsat-push- $\Delta$ [OF assms[simplified]]

define S where S={x $\in$ V. x $\neq$ u  $\wedge$  x $\neq$ v  $\wedge$  0<excess f x}
have S-alt: S = {x $\in$ V. x $\neq$ u  $\wedge$  x $\neq$ v  $\wedge$  0<excess f' x}
  unfolding S-def by auto

have NES: s $\notin$ S u $\notin$ S v $\notin$ S
  and [simp, intro!]: finite S
  unfolding S-def using excess-s-non-pos
  by auto

have 1: {v $\in$ V. 0 < excess f' v} = (if s=v then S else insert v S)
  unfolding S-alt
  using excess-u-pos excess-non-negative' l'.excess-s-non-pos
  by (auto intro!: add-nonneg-pos)

have 2: {v $\in$ V. 0 < excess f v}
  = insert u S  $\cup$  (if excess f v>0 then {v} else {})
  unfolding S-def using excess-u-pos by auto

show nonsat-potential f' l < nonsat-potential f l
  unfolding nonsat-potential-def 1 2
  by (cases s=v; cases 0<excess f v; auto simp: NES)
qed
qed

```

A saturating push increases the potential by $O(V)$.

```

lemma sat-push-nonsat-potential:
  assumes PRE: sat-push-precond f l e
  shows nonsat-potential (push-effect f e) l
     $\leq$  nonsat-potential f l + 2 * card V
proof -
  obtain u v where [simp]: e = (u, v) by (cases e) auto

  interpret push-effect-locale c s t f l u v
  using PRE
  by unfold-locales (simp add: push-precond-eq-sat-or-nonsat)

  have [simp, intro!]: finite {v $\in$ V. excess f v > 0}
  by auto

```

Only target node may get activated

```

have {v $\in$ V. excess f' v > 0}  $\subseteq$  insert v {v $\in$ V. excess f v > 0}
  using  $\Delta$ -positive
  by (auto simp: excess'-if)

```

Thus, potential increases by at most $l v$

```

with sum-mono2[OF - this, of l]
have nonsat-potential  $f' l \leq \text{nonsat-potential } f l + l v$ 
  unfolding nonsat-potential-def
  by (auto simp: sum.insert-if-split: if-splits)

```

Which is bounded by $O(V)$

```

also note height-bound'[of  $v$ ]
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

A relabeling increases the potential by at most $O(V)$

```

lemma relabel-nonsat-potential:
  assumes PRE: relabel-precond  $f l u$ 
  shows nonsat-potential  $f$  (relabel-effect  $f l u$ )
     $\leq \text{nonsat-potential } f l + 2 * \text{card } V$ 
proof -
  have [simp, intro!]: finite { $v \in V$ . excess  $f v > 0$ }
    by auto

```

```

let ? $l' = \text{relabel-effect } f l u$ 

```

```

interpret  $l'$ : Height-Bounded-Labeling  $c s t f ?l'$ 
  using relabel-pres-height-bound[OF assms] .

```

```

from PRE have U-ACTIVE:  $u \in \{v \in V. \text{excess } f v > 0\}$  and [simp]:  $u \in V$ 
  unfolding relabel-precond-def using excess-nodes-only
  by auto

```

```

have nonsat-potential  $f ?l'$ 
   $= \text{sum } ?l' (\{v \in V. 0 < \text{excess } f v\} - \{u\}) + ?l' u$ 
  unfolding nonsat-potential-def
  using U-ACTIVE by (auto intro: sum-ARB)
  also have sum  $?l' (\{v \in V. 0 < \text{excess } f v\} - \{u\})$ 
     $= \text{sum } l (\{v \in V. 0 < \text{excess } f v\} - \{u\})$ 
    using relabel-preserve-other by auto
  also have  $?l' u \leq l u + 2 * \text{card } V$ 
    using  $l'.\text{height-bound}'[\text{OF } \langle u \in V \rangle]$  by auto
  finally have nonsat-potential  $f ?l'$ 
     $\leq \text{sum } l (\{v \in V. 0 < \text{excess } f v\} - \{u\}) + l u + 2 * \text{card } V$ 
    by auto
  also have sum  $l (\{v \in V. 0 < \text{excess } f v\} - \{u\}) + l u$ 
     $= \text{nonsat-potential } f l$ 
  unfolding nonsat-potential-def
  using U-ACTIVE by (auto intro: sum-ARB[symmetric])
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

end — Height Bounded Labeling

```

context Network
begin

lemma nonsat-push-action-bound':
  assumes A:  $((f,l),p,(f',l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}'$ 
  shows length (filter is-NONSAT-PUSH' p)  $\leq 18 * (\text{card } V)^2 * \text{card } E$ 
  proof -
    have B1: length (filter is-NONSAT-PUSH' p)
     $\leq \text{nonsat-potential } f l$ 
     $+ 2 * \text{card } V * (\text{length } (\text{filter is-SAT-PUSH}' p))$ 
     $+ 2 * \text{card } V * (\text{length } (\text{filter is-RELABEL}' p))$ 
    using A
    proof (induction p arbitrary: f l)
    case Nil thus ?case by auto
    next
      case [simp]: (Cons a p)
      then obtain fh lh
        where FIRST:  $((f,l),a,(fh,lh)) \in \text{pr-algo-lts}'$ 
        and PP:  $((fh,lh),p,(f',l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}'$ 
        by (auto simp: trcl-conv)
      note IH = Cons.IH[OF PP]

      from FIRST interpret Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l
      by cases auto

      show ?case using FIRST IH
        apply (cases a)
        apply (auto
          elim!: pr-algo-lts'.cases
          dest!: relabel-nonsat-potential nonsat-push-decr-nonsat-potential
          dest!: sat-push-nonsat-potential
        )
        done
    qed

show ?thesis proof (cases p)
  case Nil thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    case (Cons a' p')
    then interpret Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l using A
    by (auto simp: trcl-conv elim!: pr-algo-lts'.cases)
    note B1
    also note nonsat-potential-bound
    also note sat-push-action-bound'[OF A]
    also note relabel-action-bound'[OF A]
    finally have length (filter is-NONSAT-PUSH' p)

```

```

 $\leq 2 * (\text{card } V)^2 + 8 * (\text{card } V)^2 * \text{card } E + 4 * (\text{card } V)^3$ 
  by (simp add: power2-eq-square power3-eq-cube)
also have  $(\text{card } V)^3 \leq 2 * (\text{card } V)^2 * \text{card } E$ 
  by (simp add: card-V-est-E power2-eq-square power3-eq-cube)
finally have length (filter is-NONSAT-PUSH' p)
   $\leq 2 * (\text{card } V)^2 + 16 * (\text{card } V)^2 * \text{card } E$ 
  by linarith
also have  $2 * (\text{card } V)^2 \leq 2 * (\text{card } V)^2 * \text{card } E$  by auto
finally show length (filter is-NONSAT-PUSH' p)  $\leq 18 * (\text{card } V)^2 * \text{card } E$ 
  by linarith
qed
qed

```

end — Network

2.5.9 Assembling the Final Theorem

We combine the bounds for saturating and non-saturating push operations.

```

lemma (in Height-Bounded-Labeling) push-action-bound:
assumes A:  $((f,l),p,(f',l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}$ 
shows length (filter (is-PUSH) p)  $\leq 22 * (\text{card } V)^2 * \text{card } E$ 
apply (rule order-trans[OF xfer-push-bounds[OF - - A]]; (intro allI impI) ?)
  apply (erule sat-push-action-bound'; fail)
  apply (erule nonsat-push-action-bound'; fail)
  apply (auto simp: power2-eq-square)
done

```

We estimate the cost of a push by $O(1)$, and of a relabel operation by $O(V)$

```

fun (in Network) cost-estimate :: pr-operation  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  cost-estimate RELABEL = card V
| cost-estimate PUSH = 1

```

We show the complexity bound of $O(V^2E)$ when starting from any valid labeling [Cormen 26.24].

```

theorem (in Height-Bounded-Labeling) pr-algo-cost-bound:
assumes A:  $((f,l),p,(f',l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}$ 
shows  $(\sum a \leftarrow p. \text{cost-estimate } a) \leq 26 * (\text{card } V)^2 * \text{card } E$ 
proof -
  have  $(\sum a \leftarrow p. \text{cost-estimate } a)$ 
     $= \text{card } V * \text{length} (\text{filter is-RELABEL } p) + \text{length} (\text{filter is-PUSH } p)$ 
  proof (induction p)
    case Nil
    then show ?case by simp
  next
    case (Cons a p)
    then show ?case by (cases a) auto
  qed
  also have  $\text{card } V * \text{length} (\text{filter is-RELABEL } p) \leq 2 * (\text{card } V)^3$ 

```

```

using relabel-action-bound[OF A]
by (auto simp: power2-eq-square power3-eq-cube)
also note push-action-bound[OF A]
finally have sum-list (map cost-estimate p)
   $\leq 2 * \text{card } V \wedge 3 + 22 * (\text{card } V)^2 * \text{card } E$ 
by simp
also have ( $\text{card } V$ ) $\wedge 3 \leq 2 * (\text{card } V)^2 * \text{card } E$ 
by (simp add: card-V-est-E power2-eq-square power3-eq-cube)
finally show ?thesis by linarith
qed

```

2.6 Main Theorem: Correctness and Complexity

Finally, we state the main theorem of this section: If the algorithm executes some steps from the beginning, then

1. If no further steps are possible from the reached state, we have computed a maximum flow [Cormen 26.18].
2. The cost of these steps is bounded by $O(V^2E)$ [Cormen 26.24]. Note that this also implies termination.

```

theorem (in Network) generic-preflow-push-OV2E-and-correct:
assumes A: ((pp-init-f, pp-init-l), p, (f, l))  $\in$  trcl pr-algo-lts
shows ( $\sum x \leftarrow p. \text{cost-estimate } x\right) \leq 26 * (\text{card } V) \wedge 2 * \text{card } E$  (is ?G1)
  and (f,l) $\notin$ Domain pr-algo-lts  $\longrightarrow$  isMaxFlow f (is ?G2)
proof -
  show ?G1
    using pp-init-height-bound Height-Bounded-Labeling.pr-algo-cost-bound A
    by blast

  show ?G2
  proof -
    from A interpret Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l
    apply (induction p arbitrary: f l rule: rev-induct)
    apply (auto
      simp: pp-init-height-bound trcl-conv
      intro: Height-Bounded-Labeling.pr-algo-maintains-hb-labeling)
    done
    from pr-algo-term-maxflow show ?G2 by simp
  qed
qed

```

2.7 Convenience Tools for Implementation

```

context Network
begin

```

In order to show termination of the algorithm, we only need a well-founded relation over push and relabel steps

```

inductive-set pr-algo-rel where
  push:  $\llbracket \text{Height-Bounded-Labeling } c s t f l; \text{push-precond } f l e \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies ((\text{push-effect } f e, l), (f, l)) \in \text{pr-algo-rel}$ 
  | relabel:  $\llbracket \text{Height-Bounded-Labeling } c s t f l; \text{relabel-precond } f l u \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies ((\text{relabel-effect } f l u), (f, l)) \in \text{pr-algo-rel}$ 

lemma pr-algo-rel-alt: pr-algo-rel =
  {  $((\text{push-effect } f e, l), (f, l)) \mid f e l.$ 
     $\text{Height-Bounded-Labeling } c s t f l \wedge \text{push-precond } f l e \}$ 
   $\cup \{ ((f, \text{relabel-effect } f l u), (f, l)) \mid f u l.$ 
     $\text{Height-Bounded-Labeling } c s t f l \wedge \text{relabel-precond } f l u \}$ 
  by (auto elim!: pr-algo-rel.cases intro: pr-algo-rel.intros)

definition pr-algo-len-bound  $\equiv 2 * (\text{card } V)^2 + 22 * (\text{card } V)^2 * \text{card } E$ 

lemma (in Height-Bounded-Labeling) pr-algo-lts-length-bound:
  assumes A:  $((f, l), p, (f', l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}$ 
  shows length p  $\leq$  pr-algo-len-bound
  proof -
    have length p = length (filter is-PUSH p) + length (filter is-RELABEL p)
    proof (induction p)
      case Nil then show ?case by simp
    next
      case (Cons a p) then show ?case by (cases a) auto
    qed
    also note push-action-bound[OF A]
    also note relabel-action-bound[OF A]
    finally show ?thesis unfolding pr-algo-len-bound-def by simp
  qed

lemma (in Height-Bounded-Labeling) path-set-finite:
  finite {p.  $\exists f' l'. ((f, l), p, (f', l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}$ }
  proof -
    have FIN-OPS: finite (UNIV::pr-operation set)
    apply (rule finite-subset[where B={PUSH,RELABEL}])
    using pr-operation.exhaust by auto

    have {p.  $\exists f' l'. ((f, l), p, (f', l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}$ }
       $\subseteq \{p. \text{length } p \leq \text{pr-algo-len-bound}\}$ 
      by (auto simp: pr-algo-lts-length-bound)
    also note finite-lists-length-le[OF FIN-OPS, simplified]
    finally (finite-subset) show ?thesis .
  qed

definition pr-algo-measure
   $\equiv \lambda(f, l). \text{Max } \{\text{length } p \mid p. \exists aa ba. ((f, l), p, aa, ba) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}\}$ 

```

```

lemma pr-algo-measure:
  assumes (fl',fl) ∈ pr-algo-rel
  shows pr-algo-measure fl' < pr-algo-measure fl
  using assms
proof (cases fl'; cases fl; simp)
  fix f l f' l'
  assume A: ((f',l'),(f,l)) ∈ pr-algo-rel
  then obtain a where LTS-STEP: ((f,l),a,(f',l')) ∈ pr-algo-lts
    by cases (auto intro: pr-algo-lts.intros)

  from A interpret Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l by cases auto
  from pr-algo-maintains-hb-labeling[OF LTS-STEP]
  interpret f': Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f' l' .

  let ?S1 = {length p | p. ∃fx lx. ((f, l), p, fx, lx) ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts}
  let ?S2 = {length p | p. ∃fx lx. ((f', l'), p, fx, lx) ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts}

  have finite ?S1 using finite-image-set path-set-finite by blast
  moreover have ?S1 ≠ {} by (auto intro: exI[where x=()])
  ultimately obtain p fx lx where
    length p = Max ?S1
    ((f, l), p, fx, lx) ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts
    apply –
    apply (drule (1) Max-in)
    by auto

  have finite ?S2 using finite-image-set f'.path-set-finite by blast
  have ?S2 ≠ {} by (auto intro: exI[where x=()])
  {
    assume MG: Max ?S2 ≥ Max ?S1

    from Max-in[OF ‹finite ?S2› ‹?S2≠{}›] obtain p fx lx where
      length p = Max ?S2
      ((f', l'), p, fx, lx) ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts
      by auto
    with MG LTS-STEP have
      LEN: length (a#p) > Max ?S1
      and P: ((f,l),a#p,(fx,lx)) ∈ trcl pr-algo-lts
      by (auto simp: trcl-conv)
    from P have length (a#p) ∈ ?S1 by blast
    from Max-ge[OF ‹finite ?S1› this] LEN have False by simp
    } thus pr-algo-measure (f', l') < pr-algo-measure (f, l)
      unfolding pr-algo-measure-def by (rule ccontr) auto
  qed

lemma wf-pr-algo-rel[simp, intro!]: wf pr-algo-rel
  apply (rule wf-subset)
  apply (rule wf-measure[where f=pr-algo-measure])

```

```
by (auto simp: pr-algo-measure)
```

```
end — Network
```

2.8 Gap Heuristics

```
context Network
begin
```

If we find a label value k that is assigned to no node, we may relabel all nodes v with $k < l v < \text{card } V$ to $\text{card } V + 1$.

```
definition gap-precond l k ≡ ∀ v∈V. l v ≠ k
definition gap-effect l k
  ≡ λv. if k < l v ∧ l v < card V then card V + 1 else l v
```

The gap heuristics preserves a valid labeling.

```
lemma (in Labeling) gap-pres-Labeling:
  assumes PRE: gap-precond l k
  defines l' ≡ gap-effect l k
  shows Labeling c s t f l'
proof
  from lab-src show l' s = card V unfolding l'-def gap-effect-def by auto
  from lab-sink show l' t = 0 unfolding l'-def gap-effect-def by auto
  have l'-incr: l' v ≥ l v for v unfolding l'-def gap-effect-def by auto
  fix u v
  assume A: (u,v) ∈ cf.E
  hence u ∈ V v ∈ V using cfE-ss-invE E-ss-VxV by auto
  thus l' u ≤ l' v + 1
    unfolding l'-def gap-effect-def
    using valid[OF A] PRE
    unfolding gap-precond-def
    by auto
qed
```

The gap heuristics also preserves the height bounds.

```
lemma (in Height-Bounded-Labeling) gap-pres-hb-labeling:
  assumes PRE: gap-precond l k
  defines l' ≡ gap-effect l k
  shows Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l'
proof -
  from gap-pres-Labeling[OF PRE] interpret Labeling c s t f l'
    unfolding l'-def .
  show ?thesis
    apply unfold-locales
    unfolding l'-def gap-effect-def using height-bound by auto
```

qed

We combine the regular relabel operation with the gap heuristics: If relabeling results in a gap, the gap heuristics is applied immediately.

definition *gap-relabel-effect* $f l u \equiv \text{let } l' = \text{relabel-effect } f l u \text{ in}$
 $\quad \text{if } (\text{gap-precond } l' (l u)) \text{ then } \text{gap-effect } l' (l u) \text{ else } l'$

The combined gap-relabel operation preserves a valid labeling.

lemma (in Labeling) *gap-relabel-pres-Labeling*:
assumes *PRE*: *relabel-precond* $f l u$
defines $l' \equiv \text{gap-relabel-effect } f l u$
shows *Labeling* $c s t f l'$
unfolding $l'\text{-def}$ *gap-relabel-effect-def*
using *relabel-pres-Labeling*[*OF PRE*] *Labeling.gap-pres-Labeling*
by (*fastforce simp: Let-def*)

The combined gap-relabel operation preserves the height-bound.

lemma (in Height-Bounded-Labeling) *gap-relabel-pres-hb-labeling*:
assumes *PRE*: *relabel-precond* $f l u$
defines $l' \equiv \text{gap-relabel-effect } f l u$
shows *Height-Bounded-Labeling* $c s t f l'$
unfolding $l'\text{-def}$ *gap-relabel-effect-def*
using *relabel-pres-height-bound*[*OF PRE*] *Height-Bounded-Labeling.gap-pres-hb-labeling*
by (*fastforce simp: Let-def*)

2.8.1 Termination with Gap Heuristics

Intuitively, the algorithm with the gap heuristics terminates because relabeling according to the gap heuristics preserves the invariant and increases some labels towards their upper bound.

Formally, the simplest way is to combine a heights measure function with the already established measure for the standard algorithm:

lemma (in Height-Bounded-Labeling) *gap-measure*:
assumes *gap-precond* $l k$
shows *sum-heights-measure* (*gap-effect* $l k$) \leq *sum-heights-measure* l
unfolding *gap-effect-def* *sum-heights-measure-def*
by (*auto intro!: sum-mono*)

lemma (in Height-Bounded-Labeling) *gap-relabel-measure*:
assumes *PRE*: *relabel-precond* $f l u$
shows *sum-heights-measure* (*gap-relabel-effect* $f l u$) $<$ *sum-heights-measure* l
unfolding *gap-relabel-effect-def*
using *relabel-measure*[*OF PRE*] *relabel-pres-height-bound*[*OF PRE*] *Height-Bounded-Labeling.gap-measure*
by (*fastforce simp: Let-def*)

Analogously to *pr-algo-rel*, we provide a well-founded relation that over-approximates the steps of a push-relabel algorithm with gap heuristics.

```

inductive-set gap-algo-rel where
  push:  $\llbracket \text{Height-Bounded-Labeling } c s t f l; \text{push-precond } f l e \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies ((\text{push-effect } f e, l), (f, l)) \in \text{gap-algo-rel}$ 
  | relabel:  $\llbracket \text{Height-Bounded-Labeling } c s t f l; \text{relabel-precond } f l u \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies ((\text{gap-relabel-effect } f l u), (f, l)) \in \text{gap-algo-rel}$ 

lemma wf-gap-algo-rel[simp, intro!]: wf gap-algo-rel
proof -
  have gap-algo-rel  $\subseteq$  inv-image (less-than <*lex*> less-than) ( $\lambda(f, l). (\text{sum-heights-measure } l, \text{pr-algo-measure } (f, l))$ )
  using pr-algo-measure
  using Height-Bounded-Labeling.gap-relabel-measure
  by (fastforce elim!: gap-algo-rel.cases intro: pr-algo-rel.intros )
  thus ?thesis
  by (rule-tac wf-subset; auto)
qed

end — Network

end
theory Prpu-Common-Inst
imports
  Flow-Networks.Refine-Add-Fofu
  Generic-Push-Relabel
begin

context Network
begin
  definition relabel f l u  $\equiv$  do {
    assert (Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l);
    assert (relabel-precond f l u);
    assert (u  $\in$  V - {s, t});
    return (relabel-effect f l u)
  }

  definition gap-relabel f l u  $\equiv$  do {
    assert (u  $\in$  V - {s, t});
    assert (Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l);
    assert (relabel-precond f l u);
    assert (l u < 2 * card V  $\wedge$  relabel-effect f l u u < 2 * card V);
    return (gap-relabel-effect f l u)
  }

  definition push f l  $\equiv$   $\lambda(u, v).$  do {
    assert (push-precond f l (u, v));
    assert (Labeling c s t f l);
    return (push-effect f (u, v))
  }

```

```
end
```

```
end
```

3 FIFO Push Relabel Algorithm

```
theory Fifo-Push-Relabel
imports
  Flow-Networks.Refine-Add-Fofu
  Generic-Push-Relabel
begin
```

The FIFO push-relabel algorithm maintains a first-in-first-out queue of active nodes. As long as the queue is not empty, it discharges the first node of the queue.

Discharging repeatedly applied push operations from the node. If no more push operations are possible, and the node is still active, it is relabeled and enqueueued.

Moreover, we implement the gap heuristics, which may accelerate relabeling if there is a gap in the label values, i.e., a label value that is assigned to no node.

3.1 Implementing the Discharge Operation

```
context Network
begin
```

First, we implement push and relabel operations that maintain a queue of all active nodes.

```
definition fifo-push f l Q ≡ λ(u,v). do {
  assert (push-precond f l (u,v));
  assert (Labeling c s t f l);
  let Q = (if v ≠ s ∧ v ≠ t ∧ excess f v = 0 then Q@[v] else Q);
  return (push-effect f (u,v),Q)
}
```

For the relabel operation, we assume that only active nodes are relabeled, and enqueue the relabeled node.

```
definition fifo-gap-relabel f l Q u ≡ do {
  assert (u ∈ V - {s,t});
  assert (Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l);
  let Q = Q@[u];
  assert (relabel-precond f l u);
  assert (l u < 2 * card V ∧ relabel-effect f l u u < 2 * card V);
  let l = gap-relabel-effect f l u;
```

```

    return (l,Q)
}

```

The discharge operation iterates over the edges, and pushes flow, as long as then node is active. If the node is still active after all edges have been saturated, the node is relabeled.

```

definition fifo-discharge  $f_0 \ l \ Q \equiv do \{$ 
  assert ( $Q \neq []$ );
  let  $u = hd \ Q$ ; let  $Q = tl \ Q$ ;
  assert ( $u \in V \wedge u \neq s \wedge u \neq t$ );

   $(f, l, Q) \leftarrow FOREACHc \{v . (u, v) \in cfE\text{-of } f_0\} (\lambda(f, l, Q). excess f u \neq 0) (\lambda v$ 
   $(f, l, Q). do \{$ 
    if ( $l \ u = l \ v + 1$ ) then do {
       $(f', Q) \leftarrow fifo-push f \ l \ Q \ (u, v);$ 
      assert ( $\forall v'. v' \neq v \longrightarrow cf\text{-of } f' \ (u, v') = cf\text{-of } f \ (u, v')$ );
      return  $(f', l, Q)$ 
    } else return  $(f, l, Q)$ 
  }  $) \ (f_0, l, Q);$ 

  if excess f u  $\neq 0$  then do {
     $(l, Q) \leftarrow fifo-gap-relabel f \ l \ Q \ u;$ 
    return  $(f, l, Q)$ 
  } else do {
    return  $(f, l, Q)$ 
  }
}

```

We will show that the discharge operation maintains the invariant that the queue is disjoint and contains exactly the active nodes:

```
definition Q-invar  $f \ Q \equiv distinct \ Q \wedge set \ Q = \{ v \in V - \{s, t\} . excess f v \neq 0 \}$ 
```

Inside the loop of the discharge operation, we will use the following version of the invariant:

```
definition QD-invar  $u \ f \ Q \equiv u \in V - \{s, t\} \wedge distinct \ Q \wedge set \ Q = \{ v \in V - \{s, t, u\} . excess f v \neq 0 \}$ 
```

```
lemma Q-invar-when-discharged1:  $\llbracket QD\text{-invar } u \ f \ Q; excess f u = 0 \rrbracket \implies Q\text{-invar } f \ Q$ 
unfolding Q-invar-def QD-invar-def by auto
```

```
lemma Q-invar-when-discharged2:  $\llbracket QD\text{-invar } u \ f \ Q; excess f u \neq 0 \rrbracket \implies Q\text{-invar } f \ (Q@[u])$ 
unfolding Q-invar-def QD-invar-def
by auto
```

```
lemma (in Labeling) push-no-activate-pres-QD-invar:
```

```

fixes v
assumes INV: QD-invar u f Q
assumes PRE: push-precond f l (u,v)
assumes VC: s=v ∨ t=v ∨ excess f v ≠ 0
shows QD-invar u (push-effect f (u,v)) Q
proof –
  interpret push-effect-locale c s t f l u v
  using PRE by unfold-locales

  from excess-non-negative Δ-positive have excess f v + Δ ≠ 0 if v∉{s,t}
  using that by force
  thus ?thesis
    using VC INV
    unfolding QD-invar-def
    by (auto simp: excess'-if split!: if-splits)
qed

```

```

lemma (in Labeling) push-activate-pres-QD-invar:
fixes v
assumes INV: QD-invar u f Q
assumes PRE: push-precond f l (u,v)
assumes VC: s≠v t≠v and [simp]: excess f v = 0
shows QD-invar u (push-effect f (u,v)) (Q@[v])
proof –
  interpret push-effect-locale c s t f l u v
  using PRE by unfold-locales

  show ?thesis
  using VC INV Δ-positive
  unfolding QD-invar-def
  by (auto simp: excess'-if split!: if-splits)
qed

```

Main theorem for the discharge operation: It maintains a height bounded labeling, the invariant for the FIFO queue, and only performs valid steps due to the generic push-relabel algorithm with gap-heuristics.

```

theorem fifo-discharge-correct[THEN order-trans, refine-vcg]:
assumes DINV: Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l
assumes QINV: Q-invar f Q and QNE: Q≠[]
shows fifo-discharge f l Q ≤ SPEC (λ(f',l',Q')).
  Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f' l'
  ∧ Q-invar f' Q'
  ∧ ((f',l'),(f,l)) ∈ gap-algo-rel+
)
proof –
  from QNE obtain u Qr where [simp]: Q=u#Qr by (cases Q) auto

  from QINV have U: u∈V-{s,t} QD-invar u f Qr and XU-orig: excess f u ≠ 0

```

```

by (auto simp: Q-invar-def QD-invar-def)

have [simp, intro!]: finite {v. (u, v) ∈ cfE-of f}
  apply (rule finite-subset[where B=V])
  using cfE-of-ss- VxV
  by auto

show ?thesis
  using U
  unfolding fifo-discharge-def fifo-push-def fifo-gap-relabel-def
  apply (simp only: split nres-monad-laws)
  apply (rewrite in FOREACHc - - □ - vcg-intro-frame)
  apply (rewrite in if excess - - ≠ 0 then □ else - vcg-intro-frame)
  apply (refine-vcg FOREACHc-rule[where
    I=λit (f',l',Q').
    Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f' l'
    ∧ QD-invar u f' Q'
    ∧ ((f',l'),(f,l))∈gap-algo-rel*
    ∧ it ⊆ {v. (u,v) ∈ cfE-of f'}
    ∧ (excess f' u≠0 —→ (∀ v∈{v. (u,v) ∈ cfE-of f'} – it. l' u ≠ l' v + 1)
  )
  ])
apply (vc-solve simp: DINV QINV it-step-insert-iff split del: if-split)
subgoal for v it f' l' Q' proof -
  assume HBL: Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f' l'
  then interpret l': Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f' l' .

  assume X: excess f' u ≠ 0 and UI: u ∈ V u ≠ s u ≠ t
  and QDI: QD-invar u f' Q'

  assume v ∈ it and ITSS: it ⊆ {v. (u, v) ∈ l'.cf.E}
  hence UVE: (u,v) ∈ l'.cf.E by auto

  assume REL: ((f', l'), f, l) ∈ gap-algo-rel*

  assume SAT-EDGES: ∀ v∈{v. (u, v) ∈ cfE-of f'} – it. l' u ≠ Suc (l' v)

  from X UI l'.excess-non-negative have X': excess f' u > 0 by force

  have PP: push-precond f' l' (u, v) if l' u = l' v + 1
    unfolding push-precond-def using that UVE X' by auto

  show ?thesis
    apply (rule vcg-rem-frame)
    apply (rewrite in if - then (assert - ⇒ □) else - vcg-intro-frame)
    apply refine-vcg
    apply (vc-solve simp: REL solve: PP l'.push-pres-height-bound HBL QDI
      split del: if-split)

```

```

subgoal proof -
  assume [simp]:  $l' u = \text{Suc } (l' v)$ 
  assume PRE: push-precond  $f' l' (u, v)$ 
  then interpret pe: push-effect-locale c s t  $f' l' u v$  by unfold-locales

  have UVNE':  $l'.cf (u, v) \neq 0$ 
  using  $l'.resE$ -positive by fastforce

  show ?thesis
    apply (rule vcg-rem-frame)
    apply refine-vcg
    apply (vc-solve simp:  $l'.push-pres-height-bound[OF \text{PRE}]$ )
    subgoal by unfold-locales
    subgoal by (auto simp: pe.cf'-alt augment-edge-cf-def)
    subgoal
      using  $l'.push-activate-pres-QD-invar[OF QDI \text{PRE}]$ 
      using  $l'.push-no-activate-pres-QD-invar[OF QDI \text{PRE}]$ 
      by auto
    subgoal
      by (meson gap-algo-rel.push REL PRE converse-rtranc1-into-rtranc1
HBL)
    subgoal for x proof -
      assume  $x \in it \quad x \neq v$ 
      with ITSS have  $(u, x) \in l'.cf.E$  by auto
      thus ?thesis
        using  $\langle x \neq v \rangle$ 
        unfolding pe.f'-alt
        apply (simp add: augment-edge-cf')
        unfolding Graph.E-def
        by (auto)
    qed
    subgoal for v' proof -
      assume excess  $f' u \neq pe.\Delta$ 
      hence PED:  $pe.\Delta = l'.cf (u, v)$ 
      unfolding pe.Δ-def by auto
      hence E'SS:  $pe.l'.cf.E \subseteq (l'.cf.E \cup \{(v, u)\}) - \{(u, v)\}$ 
      unfolding pe.f'-alt
      apply (simp add: augment-edge-cf')
      unfolding Graph.E-def
      by auto

      assume  $v' \in it \longrightarrow v' = v$  and UV'E:  $(u, v') \in pe.l'.cf.E$  and LUSLV':
 $l' v = l' v'$ 
      with E'SS have  $v' \notin it$  by auto
      moreover from UV'E E'SS pe.uv-not-eq(2) have  $(u, v') \in l'.cf.E$  by
      auto
      ultimately have  $l' u \neq \text{Suc } (l' v')$  using SAT-EDGES by auto
      with LUSLV' show False by simp
    qed

```

```

    done
qed
subgoal using ITSS by auto
subgoal using SAT-EDGES by auto
done
qed
subgoal premises prems for  $f' l' Q'$  proof -
from prems interpret  $l': \text{Height-Bounded-Labeling } c s t f' l'$  by simp
from prems have  $UI: u \in V \quad u \neq s \quad u \neq t$ 
  and  $X: \text{excess } f' u \neq 0$ 
  and  $QDI: \text{QD-invar } u f' Q'$ 
  and  $REL: ((f', l'), f, l) \in \text{gap-algo-rel}^*$ 
  and  $\text{NO-ADM}: \forall v. (u, v) \in l'.cf.E \longrightarrow l' u \neq \text{Suc } (l' v)$ 
  by simp-all

from  $X$  have  $X': \text{excess } f' u > 0$  using  $l'.\text{excess-non-negative } UI$  by force

from  $X' UI \text{NO-ADM}$  have PRE: relabel-precond  $f' l' u$ 
  unfolding relabel-precond-def by auto

from  $l'.\text{height-bound } \langle u \in V \rangle \text{ card-}V\text{-ge2}$  have [simp]:  $l' u < 2 * \text{card } V$  by
auto

from  $l'.\text{relabel-pres-height-bound}[\text{OF PRE}]$ 
interpret  $l'': \text{Height-Bounded-Labeling } c s t f' \text{ relabel-effect } f' l' u$  .

from  $l''.\text{height-bound } \langle u \in V \rangle \text{ card-}V\text{-ge2}$  have [simp]: relabel-effect  $f' l' u u$ 
 $< 2 * \text{card } V$  by auto

show ?thesis
  apply (rule vcg-rem-frame)
  apply refine-vcg
  apply (vc-solve)
    simp: UI PRE
    simp:  $l'.\text{gap-relabel-pres-hb-labeling}[\text{OF PRE}]$ 
    simp:  $Q\text{-invar-when-discharged2}[\text{OF QDI } X]$ 
  subgoal by unfold-locales
  subgoal
    by (meson PRE REL gap-algo-rel.relabel l'.Height-Bounded-Labeling-axioms
rtrancl-into-trancl2)
  done
qed
subgoal by (auto simp:  $Q\text{-invar-when-discharged1 } Q\text{-invar-when-discharged2}$ )
subgoal using XU-orig by (metis Pair-inject rtranclD)
subgoal by (auto simp:  $Q\text{-invar-when-discharged1}$ )
subgoal using XU-orig by (metis Pair-inject rtranclD)
done
qed

```

end — Network

3.2 Main Algorithm

context *Network*
begin

The main algorithm initializes the flow, labeling, and the queue, and then applies the discharge operation until the queue is empty:

```
definition fifo-push-relabel  $\equiv$  do {  

    let  $f = pp\text{-init-}f$ ;  

    let  $l = pp\text{-init-}l$ ;  

  

 $Q \leftarrow spec\ l.\ distinct\ l \wedge set\ l = \{v \in V - \{s,t\} . excess\ f\ v \neq 0\}$ ; — TODO: This  

    is exactly  $E^{\cup}\{s\} - \{t\}!$   

  

 $(f,l,-) \leftarrow while_T (\lambda(f,l,Q). Q \neq []) (\lambda(f,l,Q). do\ {$   

    fifo-discharge  $f\ l\ Q$   

 $)\ (f,l,Q);$   

  

assert (Height-Bounded-Labeling  $c\ s\ t\ f\ l$ );  

return  $f$   

}
```

Having proved correctness of the discharge operation, the correctness theorem of the main algorithm is straightforward: As the discharge operation implements the generic algorithm, the loop will terminate after finitely many steps. Upon termination, the queue that contains exactly the active nodes is empty. Thus, all nodes are inactive, and the resulting preflow is actually a maximal flow.

```
theorem fifo-push-relabel-correct:  

fifo-push-relabel  $\leq SPEC\ isMaxFlow$   

unfolding fifo-push-relabel-def  

apply (refine-vcg  

    WHILET-rule[where  

     $I = \lambda(f,l,Q).$  Height-Bounded-Labeling  $c\ s\ t\ f\ l \wedge Q\text{-invar } f\ Q$   

    and  $R = \text{inv-image } (\text{gap-algo-rel}^+)(\lambda(f,l,Q). ((f,l)))$   

    ]  

    )  

apply (vc-solve solve: pp-init-height-bound)  

subgoal by (blast intro: wf-lex-prod wf-trancl)  

subgoal unfolding Q-invar-def by auto  

subgoal for initQ f l proof —  

assume Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l  

then interpret Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l.  

assume Q-invar f []  

hence  $\forall u \in V - \{s,t\}. excess\ f\ u = 0$  unfolding Q-invar-def by auto
```

```

thus isMaxFlow f by (rule no-excess-imp-maxflow)
qed
done

end — Network

```

```
end
```

4 Topological Ordering of Graphs

```

theory Graph-Topological-Ordering
imports
  Refine-Imperative-HOL.Seref-Misc
  List-Index.List-Index
begin

```

4.1 List-Before Relation

Two elements of a list are in relation if the first element comes (strictly) before the second element.

```
definition list-before-rel  $l \equiv \{ (a,b). \exists l1\ l2\ l3. l = l1@a#l2@b#l3 \}$ 
```

list-before only relates elements of the list

```
lemma list-before-rel-on-elems: list-before-rel  $l \subseteq \text{set } l \times \text{set } l$ 
  unfolding list-before-rel-def by auto
```

Irreflexivity of list-before is equivalent to the elements of the list being disjoint.

```
lemma list-before-irrefl-eq-distinct: irrefl (list-before-rel  $l$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  distinct  $l$ 
  using not-distinct-decomp[of l]
  by (auto simp: irrefl-def list-before-rel-def)
```

Alternative characterization via indexes

```
lemma list-before-rel-alt: list-before-rel  $l = \{ (l!i, l!j) \mid i\ j. i < j \wedge j < \text{length } l \}$ 
  unfolding list-before-rel-def
  apply (rule; clarsimp)
  subgoal for  $a\ b\ l1\ l2\ l3$ 
    apply (rule exI[of - length l1]; simp)
    apply (rule exI[of - length l1 + Suc (length l2)]; auto simp: nth-append)
    done
  subgoal for  $i\ j$ 
    apply (rule exI[of - take i l])
    apply (rule exI[of - drop (Suc i) (take j l)])
    apply (rule exI[of - drop (Suc j) l])
    by (simp add: Cons-nth-drop-Suc drop-take-drop-unsplit)
  done
```

list-before is a strict ordering, i.e., it is transitive and asymmetric.

```

lemma list-before-trans[trans]: distinct l  $\implies$  trans (list-before-rel l)
  by (clar simp simp: trans-def list-before-rel-alt) (metis index-nth-id less-trans)

lemma list-before-asym: distinct l  $\implies$  asym (list-before-rel l)
  by (meson asymI irrefl-def list-before-irrefl-eq-distinct list-before-trans transE)

Structural properties on the list

lemma list-before-rel-empty[simp]: list-before-rel [] = {}
  unfolding list-before-rel-def by auto

lemma list-before-rel-cons: list-before-rel (x#l) = ({x}  $\times$  set l)  $\cup$  list-before-rel l
  apply (intro equalityI subsetI; simp add: split-paired-all)
  subgoal for a b proof -
    assume (a,b)  $\in$  list-before-rel (x # l)
    then obtain i j where IDX-BOUND: i < j  $\quad$  j < Suc (length l) and [simp]:
    a = (x#l)!i  $\quad$  b = (x#l)!j
    unfolding list-before-rel-alt by auto

    {
      assume i=0
      hence x=a  $\quad$  b  $\in$  set l using IDX-BOUND
        by (auto simp: nth-Cons split: nat.splits)
    } moreover {
      assume i $\neq$ 0
      with IDX-BOUND have a = l!(i-1)  $\quad$  b = l!(j-1)  $\quad$  i-1 < j-1  $\quad$  j-1 <
      length l
        by auto
      hence (a, b)  $\in$  list-before-rel l unfolding list-before-rel-alt by blast
    } ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  subgoal premises prems for a b
  proof -
    {
      assume [simp]: a=x and b  $\in$  set l
      then obtain j where b = l!j  $\quad$  j < length l by (auto simp: in-set-conv-nth)
      hence a = (x#l)!0  $\quad$  b = (x#l)!Suc j  $\quad$  0 < Suc j  $\quad$  Suc j < length (x#l) by
      auto
      hence ?thesis unfolding list-before-rel-alt by blast
    } moreover {
      assume (a, b)  $\in$  list-before-rel l
      hence ?thesis unfolding list-before-rel-alt
        by clar simp (metis Suc-mono nth-Cons-Suc)
    } ultimately show ?thesis using prems by blast
  qed
  done

```

4.2 Topological Ordering

A topological ordering of a graph (binary relation) is an enumeration of its nodes, such that for any two nodes x, y with x being enumerated earlier than y , there is no path from y to x in the graph.

We define the predicate *is-top-sorted* to capture the sortedness criterion, but not the completeness criterion, i.e., the list needs not contain all nodes of the graph.

```
definition is-top-sorted R l ≡ list-before-rel l ∩ (R*)-1 = {}
lemma is-top-sorted-alt: is-top-sorted R l ↔ (∀x y. (x,y) ∈ list-before-rel l →
(y,x) ∉ R*)
unfolding is-top-sorted-def by auto
```

```
lemma is-top-sorted-empty-rel[simp]: is-top-sorted {} l ↔ distinct l
by (auto simp: is-top-sorted-def list-before-irrefl-eq-distinct[symmetric] irrefl-def)
```

```
lemma is-top-sorted-empty-list[simp]: is-top-sorted R []
by (auto simp: is-top-sorted-def)
```

A topological sorted list must be distinct

```
lemma is-top-sorted-distinct:
assumes is-top-sorted R l
shows distinct l
proof (rule ccontr)
assume ¬distinct l
with list-before-irrefl-eq-distinct[of l] obtain x where (x,x) ∈ (list-before-rel l)
by (auto simp: irrefl-def)
with assms show False unfolding is-top-sorted-def by auto
qed
```

```
lemma is-top-sorted-cons: is-top-sorted R (x#l) ↔ ({x} × set l ∩ (R*)-1 = {})
∧ is-top-sorted R l
unfolding is-top-sorted-def
by (auto simp: list-before-rel-cons)
```

```
lemma is-top-sorted-append: is-top-sorted R (l1@l2)
↔ (set l1 × set l2 ∩ (R*)-1 = {}) ∧ is-top-sorted R l1 ∧ is-top-sorted R l2
by (induction l1) (auto simp: is-top-sorted-cons)
```

```
lemma is-top-sorted-remove-elem: is-top-sorted R (l1 @ x # l2) ⇒ is-top-sorted R
(l1 @ l2)
by (auto simp: is-top-sorted-cons is-top-sorted-append)
```

Removing edges from the graph preserves topological sorting

```
lemma is-top-sorted-antimono:
assumes R ⊆ R'
assumes is-top-sorted R' l
```

```

shows is-top-sorted R l
using assms
unfolding is-top-sorted-alt
by (auto dest: rtrancl-mono-mp)

Adding a node to the graph, which has no incoming edges preserves topological ordering.

lemma is-top-sorted-isolated-constraint:
assumes R' ⊆ R ∪ {x} × X    R' ∩ UNIV × {x} = {}
assumes x ∉ set l
assumes is-top-sorted R l
shows is-top-sorted R' l
proof -
{
  fix a b
  assume (a,b) ∈ R'^*    a ≠ x    b ≠ x
  hence (a,b) ∈ R^*
  proof (induction rule: converse-rtrancl-induct)
    case base
    then show ?case by simp
  next
    case (step y z)
    with assms(1,2) have z ≠ x    (y,z) ∈ R by auto
    with step show ?case by auto
  qed
} note AUX=this

show ?thesis
using assms(3,4) AUX list-before-rel-on-elems
unfolding is-top-sorted-def by fastforce
qed

end

```

5 Relabel-to-Front Algorithm

```

theory Relabel-To-Front
imports
  Prpu-Common-Inst
  Graph-Topological-Ordering
begin

```

As an example for an implementation, Cormen et al. discuss the relabel-to-front algorithm. It iterates over a queue of nodes, discharging each node, and putting a node to the front of the queue if it has been relabeled.

5.1 Admissible Network

The admissible network consists of those edges over which we can push flow.

```

context Network
begin
  definition adm-edges :: 'capacity flow  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  -
    where adm-edges f l  $\equiv$  {(u,v)  $\in$  cfE-of f. l u = l v + 1}

  lemma adm-edges-inv-disj: adm-edges f l  $\cap$  (adm-edges f l) $^{-1}$  = {}
    unfolding adm-edges-def by auto

  lemma finite-adm-edges[simp, intro!]: finite (adm-edges f l)
    apply (rule finite-subset[of - cfE-of f])
    by (auto simp: adm-edges-def)

```

end — Network

The edge of a push operation is admissible.

```

lemma (in push-effect-locale) uv-adm: (u,v)  $\in$  adm-edges f l
  unfolding adm-edges-def by auto

```

A push operation will not create new admissible edges, but the edge that we pushed over may become inadmissible [Cormen 26.27].

```

lemma (in Labeling) push-adm-edges:
  assumes push-precond f l e
  shows adm-edges f l - {e}  $\subseteq$  adm-edges (push-effect f e) l (is ?G1)
    and adm-edges (push-effect f e) l  $\subseteq$  adm-edges f l (is ?G2)
proof -
  from assms consider (sat) sat-push-precond f l e
    | (nonsat) nonsat-push-precond f l e
    by (auto simp: push-precond-eq-sat-or-nonsat)
  hence ?G1  $\wedge$  ?G2
  proof cases
    case sat have adm-edges (push-effect f e) l = adm-edges f l - {e}
      unfolding sat-push-alt[OF sat]
    proof -
      let ?f'=(augment-edge f e (cf e))
      interpret l': Labeling c s t ?f' l
        using push-pres-Labeling[OF assms]
        unfolding sat-push-alt[OF sat] .

    from sat have G1: e  $\in$  adm-edges f l
      unfolding sat-push-precond-def adm-edges-def by auto

```

```

have l'.cf.E  $\subseteq$  insert (prod.swap e) cf.E - {e}    l'.cf.E  $\supseteq$  cf.E - {e}
  unfolding l'.cf-def cf-def

```

```

unfolding augment-edge-def residualGraph-def Graph.E-def
  by (auto split!: if-splits prod.splits)
hence l'.cf.E = insert (prod.swap e) cf.E - {e} ∨ l'.cf.E = cf.E - {e}
  by auto
thus adm-edges ?f' l = adm-edges f l - {e}
proof (cases rule: disjE[consumes 1])
  case 1
  from sat have e ∈ adm-edges f l unfolding sat-push-precond-def adm-edges-def
  by auto
    with adm-edges-inv-disj have prod.swap e ≠ adm-edges f l by (auto simp:
      swap-in-iff-inv)
      thus adm-edges ?f' l = adm-edges f l - {e} using G1
        unfolding adm-edges-def 1
        by auto
  next
    case 2
    thus adm-edges ?f' l = adm-edges f l - {e}
      unfolding adm-edges-def 2
      by auto
    qed
  qed
  thus ?thesis by auto
next
  case nonsat
  hence adm-edges (push-effect f e) l = adm-edges f l
  proof (cases e; simp add: nonsat-push-alt)
    fix u v assume [simp]: e=(u,v)

    let ?f'=(augment-edge f (u,v) (excess f u))
    interpret l': Labeling c s t ?f' l
      using push-pres-Labeling[OF assms] nonsat-push-alt nonsat
      by auto

    from nonsat have e ∈ adm-edges f l
      unfolding nonsat-push-precond-def adm-edges-def by auto
      with adm-edges-inv-disj have AUX: prod.swap e ≠ adm-edges f l
      by (auto simp: swap-in-iff-inv)

    from nonsat have
      excess f u < cf (u,v) 0 < excess f u
      and [simp]: l u = l v + 1
      unfolding nonsat-push-precond-def by auto
    hence l'.cf.E ⊆ insert (prod.swap e) cf.E l'.cf.E ⊇ cf.E
      unfolding l'.cf-def cf-def
      unfolding augment-edge-def residualGraph-def Graph.E-def
      apply (safe)
      apply (simp split: if-splits)
      apply (simp split: if-splits)
      subgoal

```

```

by (metis (full-types) capacity-const diff-0-right
    diff-strict-left-mono not-less)
subgoal
  by (metis add-le-same-cancel1 f-non-negative linorder-not-le)
  done
hence  $l'.cf.E = \text{insert}(\text{prod.swap } e) cf.E \vee l'.cf.E = cf.E$ 
  by auto
thus adm-edges  $?f' l = \text{adm-edges } f l$  using AUX
  unfolding adm-edges-def
  by auto
qed
thus ?thesis by auto
qed
thus ?G1 ?G2 by auto
qed

```

After a relabel operation, there is at least one admissible edge leaving the relabeled node, but no admissible edges do enter the relabeled node [Cormen 26.28]. Moreover, the part of the admissible network not adjacent to the relabeled node does not change.

```

lemma (in Labeling) relabel-adm-edges:
assumes PRE: relabel-precond f l u
defines  $l' \equiv \text{relabel-effect } f l u$ 
shows adm-edges  $f l' \cap cf.outgoing u \neq \{\}$  (is ?G1)
  and adm-edges  $f l' \cap cf.incoming u = \{\}$  (is ?G2)
  and adm-edges  $f l' - cf.adjacent u = \text{adm-edges } f l - cf.adjacent u$  (is ?G3)
proof -
from PRE have
  NOT-SINK:  $u \neq t$ 
  and ACTIVE: excess f u > 0
  and NO-ADM:  $\bigwedge v. (u,v) \in cf.E \implies l u \neq l v + 1$ 
  unfolding relabel-precond-def by auto

have NE:  $\{l v \mid v. (u, v) \in cf.E\} \neq \{\}$ 
  using active-has-cf-outgoing[OF ACTIVE] cf.outgoing-def by blast
obtain v
  where VUE:  $(u,v) \in cf.E$  and [simp]:  $l v = \text{Min} \{l v \mid v. (u, v) \in cf.E\}$ 
  using Min-in[OF finite-min-cf-outgoing[of u] NE] by auto
hence  $(u,v) \in \text{adm-edges } f l' \cap cf.outgoing u$ 
  unfolding l'-def relabel-effect-def adm-edges-def cf.outgoing-def
  by (auto simp: cf-no-self-loop)
thus ?G1 by blast

{
fix uh
assume  $(uh,u) \in \text{adm-edges } f l'$ 
hence 1:  $l' uh = l' u + 1$  and UHUE:  $(uh,u) \in cf.E$ 
  unfolding adm-edges-def by auto
hence  $uh \neq u$  using cf-no-self-loop by auto
}

```

```

hence [simp]:  $l' \cdot uh = l \cdot uh$  unfolding  $l'$ -def relabel-effect-def by simp
from 1 relabel-increase-u[OF PRE, folded  $l'$ -def] have  $l \cdot uh > l \cdot u + 1$ 
by simp
with valid[OF UHUE] have False by auto
}
thus ?G2 by (auto simp: cf.incoming-def)

show ?G3
  unfolding adm-edges-def
  by (auto
    simp:  $l'$ -def relabel-effect-def cf.adjacent-def
    simp: cf.incoming-def cf.outgoing-def
    split: if-splits)
qed

```

qed

5.2 Neighbor Lists

For each node, the algorithm will cycle through the adjacent edges when discharging. This cycling takes place across the boundaries of discharge operations, i.e. when a node is discharged, discharging will start at the edge where the last discharge operation stopped.

The crucial invariant for the neighbor lists is that already visited edges are not admissible.

Formally, we maintain a function $n :: node \Rightarrow node set$ from each node to the set of target nodes of not yet visited edges.

```

locale neighbor-invar = Height-Bounded-Labeling +
  fixes n :: node ⇒ node set
  assumes neighbors-adm:  $\llbracket v \in \text{adjacent-nodes } u - n \cdot u \rrbracket \implies (u, v) \notin \text{adm-edges } f_l$ 
  assumes neighbors-adj:  $n \cdot u \subseteq \text{adjacent-nodes } u$ 
  assumes neighbors-finite[simp, intro!]: finite (n u)
begin

lemma nbr-is-hbl: Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l by unfold-locales

lemma push-pres-nbr-invar:
  assumes PRE: push-precond f l e
  shows neighbor-invar c s t (push-effect f e) l n
proof (cases e)
  case [simp]: (Pair u v)
  show ?thesis proof simp
    from PRE interpret push-effect-locale c s t f l u v
    by unfold-locales simp
    from push-pres-height-bound[OF PRE]
    interpret l': Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f' l .

```

```

show neighbor-invar c s t f' l n
  apply unfold-locales
  using push-adm-edges[OF PRE] neighbors-adm neighbors-adj
  by auto
qed
qed

lemma relabel-pres-nbr-invar:
  assumes PRE: relabel-precond f l u
  shows neighbor-invar c s t f (relabel-effect f l u) (n(u:=adjacent-nodes u))
proof -
  let ?l' = relabel-effect f l u
  from relabel-pres-height-bound[OF PRE]
  interpret l': Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f ?l'.
    show ?thesis
      using neighbors-adj
    proof (unfold-locales; clar simp split: if-splits)
      fix a b
      assume A: a ≠ u b ∈ adjacent-nodes a b ∉ n a (a,b) ∈ adm-edges f ?l'
      hence (a,b) ∈ cf.E unfolding adm-edges-def by auto
      with A relabel-adm-edges(2,3)[OF PRE] neighbors-adm
      show False
        apply (auto)
        by (smt DiffD2 Diff-triv adm-edges-def cf.incoming-def
            mem-Collect-eq prod.simps(2) relabel-preserve-other)
    qed
  qed

lemma excess-nz-iff-gz: [ u ∈ V; u ≠ s ] ==> excess f u ≠ 0 <=> excess f u > 0
  using excess-non-negative' by force

lemma no-neighbors-relabel-precond:
  assumes n u = {} u ≠ t u ≠ s u ∈ V excess f u ≠ 0
  shows relabel-precond f l u
  using assms neighbors-adm cfE-ss-invE
  unfolding relabel-precond-def adm-edges-def
  by (auto simp: adjacent-nodes-def excess-nz-iff-gz)

lemma remove-neighbor-pres-nbr-invar: (u,v) ∉ adm-edges f l
  ==> neighbor-invar c s t f l (n (u := n u - {v}))
  apply unfold-locales
  using neighbors-adm neighbors-adj
  by (auto split: if-splits)

end

```

5.3 Discharge Operation

```
context Network
begin
```

The discharge operation performs push and relabel operations on a node until it becomes inactive. The lemmas in this section are based on the ideas described in the proof of [Cormen 26.29].

```
definition discharge f l n u ≡ do {
    assert (u ∈ V – {s,t});
    whileT (λ(f,l,n). excess f u ≠ 0) (λ(f,l,n). do {
        v ← select v. v ∈ n u;
        case v of
            None ⇒ do {
                l ← relabel f l u;
                return (f,l,n(u := adjacent-nodes u))
            }
            | Some v ⇒ do {
                assert (v ∈ V ∧ (u,v) ∈ E ∪ E⁻¹);
                if ((u,v) ∈ cfE-of f ∧ l u = l v + 1) then do {
                    f ← push f l (u,v);
                    return (f,l,n)
                } else do {
                    assert ((u,v) ∉ adm-edges f l );
                    return (f,l,n(u := n u – {v})) )
                }
            }
        }
    })
}
```

```
}
```

```
end — Network
```

Invariant for the discharge loop

```
locale discharge-invar =
    neighbor-invar c s t f l n
    + lo: neighbor-invar c s t fo lo no
    for c s t and u :: node and fo lo no f l n +
    assumes lu-incr: lo u ≤ l u
    assumes u-node: u ∈ V – {s,t}
    assumes no-relabel-adm-edges: lo u = l u ⇒ adm-edges f l ⊆ adm-edges fo lo
    assumes no-relabel-excess:
        [[lo u = l u; u ≠ v; excess fo v ≠ excess f v]] ⇒ (u,v) ∈ adm-edges fo lo
    assumes adm-edges-leaving-u: (u',v) ∈ adm-edges f l – adm-edges fo lo ⇒ u' = u
    assumes relabel-u-no-incoming-adm: lo u ≠ l u ⇒ (v,u) ∉ adm-edges f l
    assumes algo-rel: ((f,l),(fo,lo)) ∈ pr-algo-rel*
begin
```

```
lemma u-node-simp1[simp]: u ≠ s    u ≠ t    s ≠ u    t ≠ u using u-node by auto
lemma u-node-simp2[simp, intro!]: u ∈ V using u-node by auto
```

```

lemma dis-is-lbl: Labeling c s t f l by unfold-locales
lemma dis-is-hbl: Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l by unfold-locales
lemma dis-is-nbr: neighbor-invar c s t f l n by unfold-locales

lemma new-adm-imp-relabel:
  ( $u',v)$  ∈ adm-edges f l – adm-edges fo lo  $\implies$  lo u ≠ l u
  using no-relabel-adm-edges adm-edges-leaving-u by auto

lemma push-pres-dis-invar:
  assumes PRE: push-precond f l (u,v)
  shows discharge-invar c s t u fo lo no (push-effect f (u,v)) l n
proof –
  from PRE interpret push-effect-locale by unfold-locales
  from push-pres-nbr-invar[OF PRE] interpret neighbor-invar c s t f' l n .

  show discharge-invar c s t u fo lo no f' l n
  apply unfold-locales
  subgoal using lu-incr by auto
  subgoal by auto
  subgoal using no-relabel-adm-edges push-adm-edges(2)[OF PRE] by auto
  subgoal for v' proof –
    assume LOU: lo u = l u
    assume EXNE: excess fo v' ≠ excess f' v'
    assume UNV': u ≠ v'
    {
      assume excess fo v' ≠ excess f v'
      from no-relabel-excess[OF LOU UNV' this] have ?thesis .
    } moreover {
      assume excess fo v' = excess f v'
      with EXNE have excess f v' ≠ excess f' v' by simp
      hence v' = v using UNV' by (auto simp: excess'-if split: if-splits)
      hence ?thesis using no-relabel-adm-edges[OF LOU] uv-adm by auto
    } ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  subgoal
  by (meson Diff-iff push-adm-edges(2)[OF PRE] adm-edges-leaving-u subsetCE)

  subgoal
  using push-adm-edges(2)[OF PRE] relabel-u-no-incoming-adm by blast
  subgoal
  using converse-rtrancl-into-rtrancl[
    OF pr-algo-rel.push[OF dis-is-hbl PRE] algo-rel]
  .
  done
qed

lemma relabel-pres-dis-invar:

```

```

assumes PRE: relabel-precond f l u
shows discharge-invar c s t u fo lo no f
      (relabel-effect f l u) (n(u := adjacent-nodes u))
proof -
  let ?l' = relabel-effect f l u
  let ?n' = n(u := adjacent-nodes u)
  from relabel-pres-nbr-invar[OF PRE]
  interpret l': neighbor-invar c s t f ?l' ?n'.
  note lu-incr
  also note relabel-increase-u[OF PRE]
  finally have INCR: lo u < ?l' u .

  show ?thesis
  apply unfold-locales
  using INCR
  apply simp-all
  subgoal for u' v
  proof clarsimp
    assume IN': (u', v) ∈ adm-edges f ?l'
    and NOT-INO: (u', v) ∉ adm-edges fo lo
    {
      assume IN: (u', v) ∈ adm-edges f l
      with adm-edges-leaving-u NOT-INO have u'=u by auto
    } moreover {
      assume NOT-IN: (u', v) ∉ adm-edges f l
      with IN' relabel-adm-edges[OF PRE] have u'=u
      unfolding cf.incoming-def cf.outgoing-def cf.adjacent-def
      by auto
    } ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  subgoal
    using relabel-adm-edges(2)[OF PRE]
    unfolding adm-edges-def cf.incoming-def
    by fastforce
  subgoal
    using converse-rtrancl-into-rtrancl[
      OF pr-algo-rel.relabel[OF dis-is-hbl PRE] algo-rel]
    .
  done
qed

lemma push-precondI-nz:
  [excess f u ≠ 0; (u,v) ∈ cfE-of f; l u = l v + 1] ⇒ push-precond f l (u,v)
  unfolding push-precond-def by (auto simp: excess-nz-iff-gz)

lemma remove-neighbor-pres-dis-invar:
  assumes PRE: (u,v) ∉ adm-edges f l

```

```

defines  $n' \equiv n (u := n u - \{v\})$ 
shows discharge-invar  $c s t u fo lo no f l n'$ 
proof –
  from remove-neighbor-pres-nbr-invar[OF PRE]
  interpret neighbor-invar  $c s t f l n'$  unfolding  $n'$ -def .
  show ?thesis
    apply unfold-locales
    using lu-incr no-relabel-adm-edges no-relabel-excess adm-edges-leaving-u
      relabel-u-no-incoming-adm algo-rel
    by auto
qed

lemma neighbors-in-V:  $v \in n u \implies v \in V$ 
  using neighbors-adj[of u] E-ss- VxV unfolding adjacent-nodes-def by auto

lemma neighbors-in-E:  $v \in n u \implies (u,v) \in E \cup E^{-1}$ 
  using neighbors-adj[of u] E-ss- VxV unfolding adjacent-nodes-def by auto

lemma relabel-node-has-outgoing:
  assumes relabel-precond  $f l u$ 
  shows  $\exists v. (u,v) \in cfE$ -of  $f$ 
  using assms unfolding relabel-precond-def
  using active-has-cf-outgoing unfolding cf.outgoing-def by auto

end

lemma (in neighbor-invar) discharge-invar-init:
  assumes  $u \in V - \{s,t\}$ 
  shows discharge-invar  $c s t u f l n f l n$ 
  using assms
  by unfold-locales auto

```

context *Network begin*

The discharge operation preserves the invariant, and discharges the node.

```

lemma discharge-correct[THEN order-trans, refine-vcg]:
  assumes DINV: neighbor-invar  $c s t f l n$ 
  assumes NOT-ST:  $u \neq t \quad u \neq s$  and UIV:  $u \in V$ 
  shows discharge  $f l n u$ 
     $\leq SPEC (\lambda(f',l',n'). discharge-invar c s t u f l n f' l' n'$ 
     $\quad \wedge excess f' u = 0)$ 
  unfolding discharge-def push-def relabel-def
  apply (refine-vcg WHILET-rule[where
     $I = \lambda(f',l',n'). discharge-invar c s t u f l n f' l' n'$ 
    and  $R = inv-image (pr-algo-rel <*lex*> finite-psubset)$ 
     $(\lambda(f',l',n'). ((f',l'),n' u))]$ 

```

```

)
apply (vc-solve
  solve: wf-lex-prod DINV
  solve: neighbor-invar.discharge-invar-init[OF DINV]
  solve: neighbor-invar.no-neighbors-relabel-precond
  solve: discharge-invar.relabel-pres-dis-invar
  solve: discharge-invar.push-pres-dis-invar
  solve: discharge-invar.push-precondI-nz pr-algo-rel.relabel
  solve: pr-algo-rel.push[OF discharge-invar.dis-is-hbl]
  solve: discharge-invar.remove-neighbor-pres-dis-invar
  solve: discharge-invar.neighbors-in-V
  solve: discharge-invar.relabeled-node-has-outgoing
  solve: discharge-invar.dis-is-hbl
  intro: discharge-invar.dis-is-nbr
  solve: discharge-invar.dis-is-lbl
  simp: NOT-ST
  simp: neighbor-invar.neighbors-finite[OF discharge-invar.dis-is-nbr] UIV)
subgoal by (auto dest: discharge-invar.neighbors-in-E)
subgoal unfolding adm-edges-def by auto
subgoal by (auto)
done

end — Network

```

5.4 Main Algorithm

We state the main algorithm and prove its termination and correctness

context Network

begin

Initially, all edges are unprocessed.

definition rtf-init-n $u \equiv$ if $u \in V - \{s, t\}$ then adjacent-nodes u else {}

lemma rtf-init-n-finite[simp, intro!]: finite (rtf-init-n u)
unfolding rtf-init-n-def
by auto

lemma init-no-adm-edges[simp]: adm-edges pp-init-f pp-init-l = {}
unfolding adm-edges-def pp-init-l-def
using card-V-ge2
by auto

lemma rtf-init-neighbor-invar:
 neighbor-invar $c s t$ pp-init-f pp-init-l rtf-init-n
proof –
from pp-init-height-bound
interpret Height-Bounded-Labeling $c s t$ pp-init-f pp-init-l .

have [simp]: rtf-init-n $u \subseteq$ adjacent-nodes u **for** u

```

by (auto simp: rtf-init-n-def)

show ?thesis by unfold-locales auto
qed

definition relabel-to-front  $\equiv$  do {
  let  $f = pp\text{-init}\text{-}f$ ;
  let  $l = pp\text{-init}\text{-}l$ ;
  let  $n = rtf\text{-init}\text{-}n$ ;

  let  $L\text{-left} = []$ ;
   $L\text{-right} \leftarrow spec\ l. distinct\ l \wedge set\ l = V - \{s,t\}$ ;

   $(f, l, n, L\text{-left}, L\text{-right}) \leftarrow while_T$ 
     $(\lambda(f, l, n, L\text{-left}, L\text{-right}). L\text{-right} \neq [])$ 
     $(\lambda(f, l, n, L\text{-left}, L\text{-right}). do \{$ 
      let  $u = hd\ L\text{-right}$ ;
      assert ( $u \in V$ );
      let  $old\text{-}lu = l\ u$ ;

       $(f, l, n) \leftarrow discharge\ f\ l\ n\ u$ ;

      if ( $l\ u \neq old\text{-}lu$ ) then do {
        — Move  $u$  to front of  $l$ , and restart scanning  $L$ 
        let  $(L\text{-left}, L\text{-right}) = ([u], L\text{-left} @ tl\ L\text{-right})$ ;
        return  $(f, l, n, L\text{-left}, L\text{-right})$ 
      } else do {
        — Goto next node in  $l$ 
        let  $(L\text{-left}, L\text{-right}) = (L\text{-left} @ [u], tl\ L\text{-right})$ ;
        return  $(f, l, n, L\text{-left}, L\text{-right})$ 
      }
    })  $(f, l, n, L\text{-left}, L\text{-right})$ ;
  assert (neighbor-invar c s t f l n);
  return f
}

end — Network

```

Invariant for the main algorithm:

1. Nodes in the queue left of the current node are not active
2. The queue is a topological sort of the admissible network
3. All nodes except source and sink are on the queue

```

locale rtf-invar = neighbor-invar +
  fixes L-left L-right :: node list
  assumes left-no-excess:  $\forall u \in \text{set } (L\text{-left}). \text{excess } f u = 0$ 
  assumes L-sorted: is-top-sorted (adm-edges f l) (L-left @ L-right)
  assumes L-set: set L-left  $\cup$  set L-right =  $V - \{s, t\}$ 
begin
  lemma rtf-is-nbr: neighbor-invar c s t f l n by unfold-locales

  lemma L-distinct: distinct (L-left @ L-right)
    using is-top-sorted-distinct[OF L-sorted] .

  lemma terminated-imp-maxflow:
    assumes [simp]: L-right = []
    shows isMaxFlow f
    proof -
      from L-set left-no-excess have  $\forall u \in V - \{s, t\}. \text{excess } f u = 0$  by auto
      with no-excess-imp-maxflow show ?thesis .
    qed

  end

  context Network begin
    lemma rtf-init-invar:
      assumes DIS: distinct L-left and L-set: set L-left =  $V - \{s, t\}$ 
      shows rtf-invar c s t pp-init-f pp-init-l rtf-init-n [] L-left
      proof -
        from rtf-init-neighbor-invar
        interpret neighbor-invar c s t pp-init-f pp-init-l rtf-init-n .
        show ?thesis using DIS L-set by unfold-locales auto
      qed

    theorem relabel-to-front-correct:
      relabel-to-front  $\leq$  SPEC isMaxFlow
      unfolding relabel-to-front-def
      apply (rewrite in whileT -  $\square$  vcg-intro-frame)
      apply (refine-vcg
        WHILET-rule[where
          I= $\lambda(f, l, n, L\text{-left}, L\text{-right}). \text{rtf-invar } c s t f l n L\text{-left } L\text{-right}$ 
          and R=inv-image
            (pr-algo-rel+ <*lex*> less-than)
            ( $\lambda(f, l, n, L\text{-left}, L\text{-right}). ((f, l), \text{length } L\text{-right})$ )
          ]
        )
      )
      apply (vc-solve simp: rtf-init-invar rtf-invar.rtf-is-nbr)
      subgoal by (blast intro: wf-lex-prod wf-trancl)
      subgoal for - f l n L-left L-right proof -
        assume rtf-invar c s t f l n L-left L-right
        then interpret rtf-invar c s t f l n L-left L-right .
  
```

```

assume L-right ≠ [] then obtain u L-right'
where [simp]: L-right = u#L-right' by (cases L-right) auto

from L-set have [simp]: u∈V u≠s u≠t s≠u t≠u by auto

from L-distinct have [simp]: u∉set L-left u∉set L-right' by auto

show ?thesis
apply (rule vcg-rem-frame)
apply (rewrite in do {(-,-,-) ← discharge ---; □} vcg-intro-frame)
apply refine-vcg
apply (vc-solve simp: rtf-is-nbr split del: if-split)
subgoal for f' l' n' proof -
assume discharge-invar c s t u f l n f' l' n'
then interpret l': discharge-invar c s t u f l n f' l' n' .

assume [simp]: excess f' u = 0

show ?thesis
apply (rule vcg-rem-frame)
apply refine-vcg
apply (vc-solve)
subgoal proof -
assume RELABEL: l' u ≠ l u

have AUX1: x=u if (x, u) ∈ (adm-edges f' l')* for x
using that l'.relabel-u-no-incoming-adm[OF RELABEL[symmetric]]
by (auto elim: rtranclE)

have TS1: is-top-sorted (adm-edges f l) (L-left @ L-right')
using L-sorted by (auto intro: is-top-sorted-remove-elem)

— Intuition:
— new edges come from u, but u has no incoming edges, nor is it in
L-left@L-right'.
— thus, these new edges cannot add effective constraints.
from l'.adm-edges-leaving-u
and l'.relabel-u-no-incoming-adm[OF RELABEL[symmetric]]
have adm-edges f' l' ⊆ adm-edges f l ∪ {u} × UNIV
and adm-edges f' l' ∩ UNIV × {u} = {} by auto
from is-top-sorted-isolated-constraint[OF this - TS1]
have AUX2: is-top-sorted (adm-edges f' l') (L-left @ L-right')
by simp

show rtf-invar c s t f' l' n' [u] (L-left @ L-right')
apply unfold-locales
subgoal by simp
subgoal using AUX2 by (auto simp: is-top-sorted-cons dest!: AUX1)

```

```

    subgoal using L-set by auto
    done
qed
subgoal using l'.algo-rel by (auto dest: rtranclD)
subgoal proof -
  assume NO-RELABEL[simp]: l' u = l u
  — Intuition: non-zero excess would imply an admissible edge contrary to
  top-sorted.
  have AUX: excess f' v = 0 if v ∈ set L-left for v
  proof (rule ccontr)
    from that <u ∉ set L-left> have u ≠ v by blast
    moreover assume excess f' v ≠ 0
    moreover from that left-no-excess have excess f v = 0 by auto
    ultimately have (u,v) ∈ adm-edges f l
    using l'.no-relabel-excess[OF NO-RELABEL[symmetric]]
    by auto

  with L-sorted that show False
  by (auto simp: is-top-sorted-append is-top-sorted-cons)
qed
show rtf-invar c s t f' l' n' (L-left @ [u]) L-right'
apply unfold-locales
subgoal by (auto simp: AUX)
subgoal
  apply (rule is-top-sorted-antimono[
    OF l'.no-relabel-adm-edges[OF NO-RELABEL[symmetric]]])
  using L-sorted by simp
subgoal using L-set by auto
done
qed
subgoal using l'.algo-rel by (auto dest: rtranclD)
done
qed
done
qed
subgoal by (auto intro: rtf-invar.terminated-imp-maxflow)
done

end — Network

end

```

6 Tools for Implementing Push-Relabel Algorithms

```

theory Prpu-Common-Impl
imports
  Prpu-Common-Inst
  Flow-Networks.Network-Impl
  Flow-Networks.NetCheck

```

```
begin
```

6.1 Basic Operations

```
type-synonym excess-impl = node ⇒ capacity-impl
```

```
context Network-Impl
begin
```

6.1.1 Excess Map

Obtain an excess map with all nodes mapped to zero.

```
definition x-init :: excess-impl nres where x-init ≡ return (λ-. 0)
```

Get the excess of a node.

```
definition x-get :: excess-impl ⇒ node ⇒ capacity-impl nres
  where x-get x u ≡ do {
    assert (u ∈ V);
    return (x u)
  }
```

Add a capacity to the excess of a node.

```
definition x-add :: excess-impl ⇒ node ⇒ capacity-impl ⇒ excess-impl nres
  where x-add x u Δ ≡ do {
    assert (u ∈ V);
    return (x(u := x u + Δ))
  }
```

6.1.2 Labeling

Obtain the initial labeling: All nodes are zero, except the source which is labeled by $|V|$. The exact cardinality of V is passed as a parameter.

```
definition l-init :: nat ⇒ (node ⇒ nat) nres
  where l-init C ≡ return ((λ-. 0)(s := C))
```

Get the label of a node.

```
definition l-get :: (node ⇒ nat) ⇒ node ⇒ nat nres
  where l-get l u ≡ do {
    assert (u ∈ V);
    return (l u)
  }
```

Set the label of a node.

```
definition l-set :: (node ⇒ nat) ⇒ node ⇒ nat ⇒ (node ⇒ nat) nres
  where l-set l u a ≡ do {
    assert (u ∈ V);
    assert (a < 2 * card V);
```

```

    return (l(u := a))
}

```

6.1.3 Label Frequency Counts for Gap Heuristics

Obtain the frequency counts for the initial labeling. Again, the cardinality of $|V|$, which is required to determine the label of the source node, is passed as an explicit parameter.

```

definition cnt-init :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat) nres
where cnt-init C  $\equiv$  do {
  assert (C < 2*N);
  return (( $\lambda$ . 0)(0 := C - 1, C := 1))
}

```

Get the count for a label value.

```

definition cnt-get :: (nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat nres
where cnt-get cnt lv  $\equiv$  do {
  assert (lv < 2*N);
  return (cnt lv)
}

```

Increment the count for a label value by one.

```

definition cnt-incr :: (nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat) nres
where cnt-incr cnt lv  $\equiv$  do {
  assert (lv < 2*N);
  return (cnt (lv := cnt lv + 1))
}

```

Decrement the count for a label value by one.

```

definition cnt-decr :: (nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat) nres
where cnt-decr cnt lv  $\equiv$  do {
  assert (lv < 2*N  $\wedge$  cnt lv > 0);
  return (cnt (lv := cnt lv - 1))
}

```

end — Network Implementation Locale

6.2 Refinements to Basic Operations

```

context Network-Impl
begin

```

In this section, we refine the algorithm to actually use the basic operations.

6.2.1 Explicit Computation of the Excess

```

definition xf-rel  $\equiv$  { ((excess f, cf-of f).f)  $|$  f. True }
lemma xf-rel-RELATES[refine-dref-RELATES]: RELATES xf-rel

```

```

by (auto simp: RELATES-def)

definition pp-init-x
  ≡ λu. (if u=s then (∑ (u,v)∈outgoing s. − c(u,v)) else c (s,u))

lemma excess-pp-init-f[simp]: excess pp-init-f = pp-init-x
  apply (intro ext)
  subgoal for u
    unfolding excess-def pp-init-f-def pp-init-x-def
    apply (cases u=s)
    subgoal
      unfolding outgoing-def incoming-def
      by (auto intro: sum.cong simp: sum-negf)
    subgoal proof -
      assume [simp]: u≠s
      have [simp]:
        (case e of (u, v) ⇒ if u = s then c (u, v) else 0) = 0
        if e∈outgoing u for e
        using that by (auto simp: outgoing-def)
      have [simp]: (case e of (u, v) ⇒ if u = s then c (u, v) else 0)
        = (if e = (s,u) then c (s,u) else 0)
        if e∈incoming u for e
        using that by (auto simp: incoming-def split: if-splits)
      show ?thesis by (simp add: sum.delta) (simp add: incoming-def)
    qed
    done
  done

```

```

definition pp-init-cf
  ≡ λ(u,v). if (v=s) then c (v,u) else if u=s then 0 else c (u,v)
lemma cf-of-pp-init-f[simp]: cf-of pp-init-f = pp-init-cf
  apply (intro ext)
  unfolding pp-init-cf-def pp-init-f-def residualGraph-def
  using no-parallel-edge
  by auto

```

```

lemma pp-init-x-rel: ((pp-init-x, pp-init-cf), pp-init-f) ∈ xf-rel
  unfolding xf-rel-def by auto

```

6.2.2 Algorithm to Compute Initial Excess and Flow

```

definition pp-init-xcf2-aux ≡ do {
  let x=(λ-. 0);
  let cf=c;
  foreach (adjacent-nodes s) (λv (x,cf). do {
    assert ((s,v)∈E);
    assert (s ≠ v);

```

```

let a = cf (s,v);
assert (x v = 0);
let x = x( s := x s - a, v := a );
let cf = cf( (s,v) := 0, (v,s) := a);
return (x,cf)
}) (x,cf)
}

lemma pp-init-xcf2-aux-spec:
  shows pp-init-xcf2-aux  $\leq$  SPEC ( $\lambda(x,cf). x = pp\text{-init}\text{-}x \wedge cf = pp\text{-init}\text{-}cf$ )
proof -
  have ADJ-S-AUX: adjacent-nodes s = {v . (s,v)  $\in$  E}
    unfolding adjacent-nodes-def using no-incoming-s by auto

  have CSU-AUX: c (s,u) = 0 if u  $\notin$  adjacent-nodes s for u
    using that unfolding adjacent-nodes-def by auto

  show ?thesis
    unfolding pp-init-xcf2-aux-def
    apply (refine-vcg FOREACH-rule[where I =  $\lambda it (x,cf)$ .
      x s = ( $\sum v \in \text{adjacent-nodes } s - it. - c(s,v)$ )
       $\wedge (\forall v \in \text{adjacent-nodes } s. x v = (\text{if } v \in it \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } c(s,v)))$ 
       $\wedge (\forall v \in -\text{insert } s \text{ (adjacent-nodes } s). x v = 0)$ 
       $\wedge (\forall v \in \text{adjacent-nodes } s.$ 
         $\text{if } v \notin it \text{ then } cf(s,v) = 0 \wedge cf(v,s) = c(s,v)$ 
         $\text{else } cf(s,v) = c(s,v) \wedge cf(v,s) = c(v,s))$ 
       $\wedge (\forall u v. u \neq s \wedge v \neq s \longrightarrow cf(u,v) = c(u,v))$ 
       $\wedge (\forall u. u \notin \text{adjacent-nodes } s \longrightarrow cf(u,s) = 0 \wedge cf(s,u) = 0)$ 
    ])
    apply (vc-solve simp: it-step-insert-iff simp: CSU-AUX)
    subgoal for v it by (auto simp: ADJ-S-AUX)
    subgoal for u it - v by (auto split: if-splits)
    subgoal by (auto simp: ADJ-S-AUX)
    subgoal by (auto simp: ADJ-S-AUX)
    subgoal by (auto split: if-splits)

  subgoal for x
    unfolding pp-init-x-def
    apply (intro ext)
    subgoal for u
      apply (clar simp simp: ADJ-S-AUX outgoing-def; intro conjI)
      applyS (auto intro!: sum.reindex-cong[where l = snd] intro: inj-onI)
      applyS (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Compl-iff Graph.zero-cap-simp insertE
        mem-Collect-eq)
      done
    done
    subgoal for x cf
      unfolding pp-init-cf-def
      apply (intro ext)

```

```

apply (clar simp; auto simp: CSU-AUX)
done
done
qed

definition pp-init-xcf2 am ≡ do {
  x ← x-init;
  cf ← cf-init;

  assert (s ∈ V);
  adj ← am-get am s;
  nfoldli adj (λ_. True) (λv (x,cf). do {
    assert ((s,v) ∈ E);
    assert (s ≠ v);
    a ← cf-get cf (s,v);
    x ← x-add x s (-a);
    x ← x-add x v a;
    cf ← cf-set cf (s,v) 0;
    cf ← cf-set cf (v,s) a;
    return (x,cf)
  }) (x,cf)
}

```

```

lemma pp-init-xcf2-refine-aux:
  assumes AM: is-adj-map am
  shows pp-init-xcf2 am ≤ ↓Id (pp-init-xcf2-aux)
  unfolding pp-init-xcf2-def pp-init-xcf2-aux-def
  unfolding x-init-def cf-init-def am-get-def cf-get-def cf-set-def x-add-def
  apply (simp only: nres-monad-laws)
  supply LFO-refine[OF am-to-adj-nodes-refine[OF AM], refine]
  apply refine-rec
  using E-ss-VxV
  by auto

```

```

lemma pp-init-xcf2-refine[refine2]:
  assumes AM: is-adj-map am
  shows pp-init-xcf2 am ≤ ↓xf-rel (RETURN pp-init-f)
  using pp-init-xcf2-refine-aux[OF AM] pp-init-xcf2-aux-spec pp-init-x-rel
  by (auto simp: pw-le-iff refine-pw-simps)

```

6.2.3 Computing the Minimal Adjacent Label

```

definition (in Network) min-adj-label-aux cf l u ≡ do {
  assert (u ∈ V);
  x ← foreach (adjacent-nodes u) (λv x. do {
    assert ((u,v) ∈ E ∪ E⁻¹);
    assert (v ∈ V);
  })
}

```

```

if (cf (u,v) ≠ 0) then
  case x of
    None ⇒ return (Some (l v))
  | Some xx ⇒ return (Some (min (l v) (xx)))
else
  return x
}) None;

assert (x≠None);
return (the x)
}

lemma (in –) set-filter-xform-aux:
{ f x | x. ( x = a ∨ x∈S ∧ x∉it ) ∧ P x }
= (if P a then {f a} else {}) ∪ {f x | x. x∈S-it ∧ P x}
by auto

lemma (in Labeling) min-adj-label-aux-spec:
assumes PRE: relabel-precond f l u
shows min-adj-label-aux cf l u ≤ SPEC (λx. x = Min { l v | v. (u,v)∈cf.E })
proof –
have AUX: cf (u,v) ≠ 0 ←→ (u,v)∈cf.E for v unfolding cf.E-def by auto

have EQ: { l v | v. (u,v)∈cf.E }
= { l v | v. v∈adjacent-nodes u ∧ cf (u,v)≠0 }
unfolding AUX
using cfE-ss-invE
by (auto simp: adjacent-nodes-def)

define Min-option :: nat set → nat
where Min-option X ≡ if X={} then None else Some (Min X) for X

from PRE active-has-cf-outgoing have cf.outgoing u ≠ {}
unfolding relabel-precond-def by auto
hence [simp]: u∈V unfolding cf.outgoing-def using cfE-of-ss-VxV by auto
from <cf.outgoing u ≠ {}>
have AUX2: ∃ v. v ∈ adjacent-nodes u ∧ cf (u, v) ≠ 0
by (smt AUX Collect-empty-eq Image-singleton-iff UnCI adjacent-nodes-def
cf.outgoing-def cf-def converse-iff prod.simps(2))

show ?thesis unfolding min-adj-label-aux-def EQ
apply (refine-vcg
FOREACH-rule[where
I=λit x. x = Min-option
{ l v | v. v∈adjacent-nodes u – it ∧ cf (u,v)≠0 }]

$$)$$

apply (vc-solve
simp: Min-option-def it-step-insert-iff set-filter-xform-aux

```

```

split: if-splits)
subgoal unfolding adjacent-nodes-def by auto
subgoal unfolding adjacent-nodes-def by auto
subgoal using adjacent-nodes-ss-V by auto
subgoal using adjacent-nodes-ss-V by auto
subgoal by (auto simp: Min.insert-remove)
subgoal using AUX2 by auto
done
qed

definition min-adj-label am cf l u ≡ do {
  assert ( $u \in V$ );
  adj ← am-get am u;
   $x \leftarrow nfoldli adj (\lambda \_. \text{True}) (\lambda v x. \text{do} \{$ 
    assert  $((u,v) \in E \cup E^{-1})$ ;
    assert ( $v \in V$ );
    cfuv ← cf-get cf (u,v);
    if (cfuv ≠ 0) then do {
      lv ← l-get l v;
      case x of
        None ⇒ return (Some lv)
        | Some xx ⇒ return (Some (min lv xx))
    } else
      return x
  }) None;
  assert ( $x \neq \text{None}$ );
  return (the x)
}

lemma min-adj-label-refine[THEN order-trans, refine-vcg]:
assumes Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l
assumes AM:  $(am, \text{adjacent-nodes}) \in \text{nat-rel} \rightarrow \langle \text{nat-rel} \rangle \text{list-set-rel}$ 
assumes PRE: relabel-precond f l u
assumes [simp]:  $cf = cf\text{-of } f$ 
shows min-adj-label am cf l u ≤ SPEC  $(\lambda x. x = \text{Min} \{ l v \mid v. (u,v) \in cfE\text{-of } f \})$ 
proof –
  interpret Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l by fact

  have min-adj-label am (cf-of f) l u ≤ ↓Id (min-adj-label-aux (cf-of f) l u)
  unfolding min-adj-label-def min-adj-label-aux-def Let-def
  unfolding am-get-def cf-get-def l-get-def
  apply (simp only: nres-monad-laws)
  supply LFO-refine[OF fun-relD[OF AM IdI] - IdI, refine]
  apply (refine-rcg)
  apply refine-dref-type
  by auto
  also note min-adj-label-aux-spec[OF PRE]

```

```

finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

6.2.4 Refinement of Relabel

Utilities to Implement Relabel Operations

```

definition relabel2 am cf l u ≡ do {
  assert (u ∈ V − {s,t});
  nl ← min-adj-label am cf l u;
  l ← l-set l u (nl+1);
  return l
}

lemma relabel2-refine[refine]:
  assumes ((x,cf),f) ∈ xf-rel
  assumes AM: (am,adjacent-nodes) ∈ nat-rel → ⟨nat-rel⟩ list-set-rel
  assumes [simplified,simp]: (li,l) ∈ Id   (ui,u) ∈ Id
  shows relabel2 am cf li ui ≤ ↓Id (relabel f l u)
proof -
  have [simp]: {l v | v. v ∈ V ∧ cf-off (u, v) ≠ 0} = {l v | v. cf-off (u, v) ≠ 0}
    using cfE-of-ss-VxV[of f]
    by (auto simp: Graph.E-def)

  show ?thesis
    using assms
    unfolding relabel2-def relabel-def
    unfolding l-set-def
    apply (refine-vcg AM)
    apply (vc-solve (nopre) simp: xf-rel-def relabel-effect-def solve: asm-rl)
    subgoal premises prems for a proof -
      from prems interpret Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l by simp
      interpret l': Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f relabel-effect f l u
        by (rule relabel-pres-height-bound) (rule prems)
      from prems have u ∈ V by simp
      from prems have a + 1 = relabel-effect f l u u
        by (auto simp: relabel-effect-def)
      also note l'.height-bound[THEN bspec, OF ‘u ∈ V’]
      finally show a + 1 < 2 * card V using card-V-ge2 by auto
    qed
    done
qed

```

6.2.5 Refinement of Push

```

definition push2-aux x cf ≡ λ(u,v). do {
  assert ( (u,v) ∈ E ∪ E⁻¹ );
  assert ( u ≠ v );
  let Δ = min (x u) (cf (u,v));
  return ((x( u := x u - Δ, v := x v + Δ ), augment-edge-cf cf (u,v) Δ))

```

}

```

lemma push2-aux-refine:
   $\llbracket ((x, cf), f) \in xf\text{-rel}; (ei, e) \in Id \times_r Id \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{push2-aux } x \text{ cf } ei \leq \Downarrow_{xf\text{-rel}} (\text{push } f l e)$ 
  unfolding push-def push2-aux-def
  apply refine-vcg
  apply (vc-solve simp: xf-rel-def no-self-loop)
  subgoal for u v
    unfolding push-precond-def using cfE-of-ss-invE by auto
  subgoal for u v
  proof -
    assume [simp]: Labeling c s t f l
    then interpret Labeling c s t f l .
    assume push-precond f l (u, v)
    then interpret l': push-effect-locale c s t f l u v by unfold-locales
    show ?thesis
      apply (safe intro!: ext)
      using l'.excess'-if l'. $\Delta$ -def l'.cf'-alt l'.uv-not-eq(1)
      by (auto)
    qed
    done

definition push2 x cf  $\equiv \lambda(u, v). \text{do} \{$ 
  assert ( (u, v)  $\in E \cup E^{-1}$ );
  xu  $\leftarrow x\text{-get } x \text{ } u;$ 
  cfuv  $\leftarrow cf\text{-get } cf \text{ } (u, v);$ 
  cfvu  $\leftarrow cf\text{-get } cf \text{ } (v, u);$ 
  let  $\Delta = \min xu \text{ } cfuv;$ 
  x  $\leftarrow x\text{-add } x \text{ } u \text{ } (-\Delta);$ 
  x  $\leftarrow x\text{-add } x \text{ } v \text{ } \Delta;$ 

  cf  $\leftarrow cf\text{-set } cf \text{ } (u, v) \text{ } (cfuv - \Delta);$ 
  cf  $\leftarrow cf\text{-set } cf \text{ } (v, u) \text{ } (cfvu + \Delta);$ 

  return (x, cf)
}

lemma push2-refine[refine]:
  assumes ((x, cf), f)  $\in xf\text{-rel}$  (ei, e)  $\in Id \times_r Id$ 
  shows push2 x cf ei  $\leq \Downarrow_{xf\text{-rel}} (\text{push } f l e)$ 
  proof -
    have push2 x cf ei  $\leq (\text{push2-aux } x \text{ cf } ei)$ 
    unfolding push2-def push2-aux-def
    unfolding x-get-def x-add-def cf-get-def cf-set-def
    unfolding augment-edge-cf-def
    apply (simp only: nres-monad-laws)
    apply refine-vcg

```

```

using E-ss- $VxV$ 
by auto
also note push2-aux-refine[OF assms]
finally show ?thesis .
qed

6.2.6 Adding frequency counters to labeling

definition l-invar  $l \equiv \forall v. l v \neq 0 \longrightarrow v \in V$ 

definition clc-invar  $\equiv \lambda(cnt, l).$ 
 $(\forall lv. cnt lv = card \{ u \in V . l u = lv \})$ 
 $\wedge (\forall u. l u < 2*N) \wedge l\text{-invar } l$ 
definition clc-rel  $\equiv br\ snd\ clc\text{-invar}$ 

definition clc-init  $C \equiv do \{$ 
 $l \leftarrow l\text{-init } C;$ 
 $cnt \leftarrow cnt\text{-init } C;$ 
 $return (cnt, l)$ 
 $\}$ 

definition clc-get  $\equiv \lambda(cnt, l) u. l\text{-get } l u$ 
definition clc-set  $\equiv \lambda(cnt, l) u a. do \{$ 
 $assert (a < 2*N);$ 
 $lu \leftarrow l\text{-get } l u;$ 
 $cnt \leftarrow cnt\text{-decr } cnt lu;$ 
 $l \leftarrow l\text{-set } l u a;$ 
 $lu \leftarrow l\text{-get } l u;$ 
 $cnt \leftarrow cnt\text{-incr } cnt lu;$ 
 $return (cnt, l)$ 
 $\}$ 

definition clc-has-gap  $\equiv \lambda(cnt, l) lu. do \{$ 
 $nlu \leftarrow cnt\text{-get } cnt lu;$ 
 $return (nlu = 0)$ 
 $\}$ 

lemma cardV-le-N:  $card V \leq N$  using card-mono[OF - V-ss] by auto
lemma N-not-Z:  $N \neq 0$  using card-V-ge2 cardV-le-N by auto
lemma N-ge-2:  $2 \leq N$  using card-V-ge2 cardV-le-N by auto

lemma clc-init-refine[refine]:
assumes [simplified,simp]:  $(Ci, C) \in nat\text{-rel}$ 
assumes [simp]:  $C = card V$ 
shows clc-init  $Ci \leq \Downarrow clc\text{-rel } (l\text{-init } C)$ 
proof -
have AUX:  $\{u. u \neq s \wedge u \in V\} = V - \{s\}$  by auto
show ?thesis

```

```

unfolding clc-init-def l-init-def cnt-init-def
apply refine-vcg
unfolding clc-rel-def clc-invar-def
using cardV-le-N N-not-Z
by (auto simp: in-br-conv V-not-empty AUX l-invar-def)
qed

lemma clc-get-refine[refine]:
 $\llbracket (clc,l) \in clc\text{-}rel; (ui,u) \in nat\text{-}rel \rrbracket \implies clc\text{-}get\ clc\ ui \leq \Downarrow Id\ (l\text{-}get\ l\ u)$ 
unfolding clc-get-def clc-rel-def
by (auto simp: in-br-conv split: prod.split)

definition l-get-rlx :: (node  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  node  $\Rightarrow$  nat nres
where l-get-rlx l u  $\equiv$  do {
  assert (u < N);
  return (l u)
}
definition clc-get-rlx  $\equiv$   $\lambda(cnt,l)\ u.\ l\text{-}get\text{-}rlx\ l\ u$ 

lemma clc-get-rlx-refine[refine]:
 $\llbracket (clc,l) \in clc\text{-}rel; (ui,u) \in nat\text{-}rel \rrbracket \implies clc\text{-}get\text{-}rlx\ clc\ ui \leq \Downarrow Id\ (l\text{-}get\text{-}rlx\ l\ u)$ 
unfolding clc-get-rlx-def clc-rel-def
by (auto simp: in-br-conv split: prod.split)

lemma card-insert-disjointI:
 $\llbracket finite\ Y; X = insert\ x\ Y; x \notin Y \rrbracket \implies card\ X = Suc\ (card\ Y)$ 
by auto

lemma clc-set-refine[refine]:
 $\llbracket (clc,l) \in clc\text{-}rel; (ui,u) \in nat\text{-}rel; (ai,a) \in nat\text{-}rel \rrbracket \implies$ 
 $clc\text{-}set\ clc\ ui\ ai \leq \Downarrow clc\text{-}rel\ (l\text{-}set\ l\ u\ a)$ 
unfolding clc-set-def l-set-def l-get-def cnt-decr-def cnt-incr-def
apply refine-vcg
apply vc-solve
unfolding clc-rel-def in-br-conv clc-invar-def l-invar-def
subgoal using cardV-le-N by auto
applyS auto
applyS (auto simp: simp: card-gt-0-iff)

subgoal for cnt ll
apply clarsimp
apply (intro impI conjI;clarsimp?)
subgoal
apply (subst le-imp-diff-is-add; simp)
apply (rule card-insert-disjointI[where x=u])
by auto
subgoal
apply (rule card-insert-disjointI[where x=u, symmetric])

```

```

    by auto
  subgoal
    by (auto intro!: arg-cong[where f=card])
  done
done

lemma clc-has-gap-correct[THEN order-trans, refine-vcg]:
  [(clc,l) ∈ clc-rel; k < 2*N]
  ==> clc-has-gap clc k ≤ (spec r. r ↔ gap-precond l k)
  unfolding clc-has-gap-def cnt-get-def gap-precond-def
  apply refine-vcg
  unfolding clc-rel-def clc-invar-def in-br-conv
  by auto

```

6.2.7 Refinement of Gap-Heuristics

Utilities to Implement Gap-Heuristics

```

definition gap-aux C l k ≡ do {
  nfoldli [0..<N] (λ-. True) (λv l. do {
    lv ← l-get-rlx l v;
    if (k < lv ∧ lv < C) then do {
      assert (C+1 < 2*N);
      l ← l-set l v (C+1);
      return l
    } else return l
  }) l
}

```

```

lemma gap-effect-invar[simp]: l-invar l ==> l-invar (gap-effect l k)
  unfolding gap-effect-def l-invar-def
  by auto

```

```

lemma relabel-effect-invar[simp]: [l-invar l; u ∈ V] ==> l-invar (relabel-effect f l u)

```

unfolding relabel-effect-def l-invar-def by auto

```

lemma gap-aux-correct[THEN order-trans, refine-vcg]:
  [l-invar l; C = card V] ==> gap-aux C l k ≤ SPEC (λr. r = gap-effect l k)
  unfolding gap-aux-def l-get-rlx-def l-set-def
  apply (simp only: nres-monad-laws)
  apply (refine-vcg nfoldli-rule[where I = λit1 it2 l'. ∀ u. if u ∈ set it2 then l' u =
  l u else l' u = gap-effect l k u])
  apply (vc-solve simp: upt-eq-lel-conv)
  subgoal
    apply (frule gap-effect-invar[where k=k])
    unfolding l-invar-def using V-ss by force
    subgoal using N-not-Z cardV-le-N by auto
    subgoal unfolding l-invar-def by auto
    subgoal unfolding gap-effect-def by auto

```

```

subgoal for v l' u
  apply (drule spec[where x=u])
  by (auto split: if-splits simp: gap-effect-def)
subgoal by auto
done

definition gap2 C clc k ≡ do {
  nfoldli [0.. $< N$ ] ( $\lambda$ . True) ( $\lambda v$  clc. do {
    lv  $\leftarrow$  clc-get-rlx clc v;
    if (k < lv  $\wedge$  lv < C) then do {
      clc  $\leftarrow$  clc-set clc v (C+1);
      return clc
    } else return clc
  }) clc
}

lemma gap2-refine[refine]:
  assumes [simplified,simp]: ( $Ci, C \in \text{nat-rel}$ ) ( $ki, k \in \text{nat-rel}$ )
  assumes CLC: ( $clc, l \in \text{clc-rel}$ )
  shows gap2  $Ci$  clc  $ki \leq \Downarrow_{clc\text{-rel}} (\text{gap-aux } C l k)$ 
  unfolding gap2-def gap-aux-def
  apply (refine-rec CLC)
  apply refine-dref-type
  by auto

definition gap-relabel-aux C f l u ≡ do {
  lu  $\leftarrow$  l-get l u;
  l  $\leftarrow$  relabel f l u;
  if gap-precond l lu then
    gap-aux C l lu
  else return l
}

lemma gap-relabel-aux-refine:
  assumes [simp]:  $C = \text{card } V$  l-invar l
  shows gap-relabel-aux C f l u  $\leq$  gap-relabel f l u
  unfolding gap-relabel-aux-def gap-relabel-def relabel-def
    gap-relabel-effect-def l-get-def
  apply (simp only: Let-def nres-monad-laws)
  apply refine-vcg
  by auto

definition min-adj-label-clc am cf clc u ≡ case clc of (-,l)  $\Rightarrow$  min-adj-label am cf l u

definition clc-relabel2 am cf clc u ≡ do {

```

```

assert ( $u \in V - \{s, t\}$ );
 $nl \leftarrow \text{min-adj-label-clc } am \text{ cf clc } u;$ 
 $clc \leftarrow \text{clc-set clc } u \ (nl+1);$ 
return  $clc$ 
}

lemma  $\text{clc-relabel2-refine}[\text{refine}]$ :
assumes  $XF: ((x, cf), f) \in xf\text{-rel}$ 
assumes  $CLC: (clc, l) \in clc\text{-rel}$ 
assumes  $AM: (am, \text{adjacent-nodes}) \in nat\text{-rel} \rightarrow \langle nat\text{-rel} \rangle \text{list-set-rel}$ 
assumes [simplified,simp]:  $(ui, u) \in Id$ 
shows  $\text{clc-relabel2 } am \text{ cf clc } ui \leq \Downarrow \text{clc-rel } (\text{relabel } f \ l \ u)$ 
proof -
  have  $\text{clc-relabel2 } am \text{ cf clc } ui \leq \Downarrow \text{clc-rel } (\text{relabel2 } am \text{ cf } l \ ui)$ 
  unfolding  $\text{clc-relabel2-def relabel2-def}$ 
  apply (refine-rcg)
  apply (refine-dref-type)
  apply (vc-solve simp: CLC)
  subgoal
    using CLC
    unfolding  $\text{clc-rel-def in-br-conv min-adj-label-clc-def}$ 
    by (auto split: prod.split)
  done
  also note  $\text{relabel2-refine}[OF \ XF \ AM, of \ l \ l \ ui \ u]$ 
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

definition  $\text{gap-relabel2 } C \ am \ cf \ clc \ u \equiv \text{do} \{$ 
   $lu \leftarrow \text{clc-get clc } u;$ 
   $clc \leftarrow \text{clc-relabel2 } am \text{ cf clc } u;$ 
   $\text{has-gap} \leftarrow \text{clc-has-gap clc } lu;$ 
   $\text{if has-gap then gap2 } C \ clc \ lu$ 
   $\text{else}$ 
    RETURN  $clc$ 
}

```

```

lemma  $\text{gap-relabel2-refine-aux}$ :
assumes  $XCF: ((x, cf), f) \in xf\text{-rel}$ 
assumes  $CLC: (clc, l) \in clc\text{-rel}$ 
assumes  $AM: (am, \text{adjacent-nodes}) \in nat\text{-rel} \rightarrow \langle nat\text{-rel} \rangle \text{list-set-rel}$ 
assumes [simplified,simp]:  $(Ci, C) \in Id \quad (ui, u) \in Id$ 
shows  $\text{gap-relabel2 } Ci \ am \ cf \ clc \ ui \leq \Downarrow \text{clc-rel } (\text{gap-relabel-aux } C \ f \ l \ u)$ 
unfolding  $\text{gap-relabel2-def gap-relabel-aux-def}$ 
apply (refine-vcg XCF AM CLC if-bind-cond-refine bind-refine')
apply (vc-solve solve: refl)
subgoal for -  $lu$ 
using CLC
unfolding  $\text{clc-get-def l-get-def clc-rel-def in-br-conv clc-invar-def}$ 

```

```

by (auto simp: refine-pw-simps split: prod.splits)
done

lemma gap-relabel2-refine[refine]:
assumes XCF:  $((x, cf), f) \in xf\text{-}rel$ 
assumes CLC:  $(clc, l) \in clc\text{-}rel$ 
assumes AM:  $(am, adjacent\text{-}nodes) \in nat\text{-}rel \rightarrow \langle nat\text{-}rel \rangle list\text{-}set\text{-}rel$ 
assumes [simplified,simp]:  $(ui, u) \in Id$ 
assumes CC:  $C = card V$ 
shows gap-relabel2 C am cf clc ui  $\leq\downarrow clc\text{-}rel (gap\text{-}relabel f l u)$ 
proof -
  from CLC have LINV:  $l\text{-}invar l$  unfolding clc-rel-def in-br-conv clc-invar-def
  by auto

  note gap-relabel2-refine-aux[OF XCF CLC AM IdI IdI]
  also note gap-relabel-aux-refine[OF CC LINV]
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

6.3 Refinement to Efficient Data Structures

6.3.1 Registration of Abstract Operations

We register all abstract operations at once, auto-rewriting the capacity matrix type

```

context includes Network-Impl-Sepref-Register
begin
sepref-register x-get x-add

sepref-register l-init l-get l-get-rlx l-set

sepref-register clc-init clc-get clc-set clc-has-gap clc-get-rlx

sepref-register cnt-init cnt-get cnt-incr cnt-decr
sepref-register gap2 min-adj-label min-adj-label-clc

sepref-register push2 relabel2 clc-relabel2 gap-relabel2

sepref-register pp-init-xcf2

end — Anonymous Context

```

6.3.2 Excess by Array

definition $x\text{-}assn} \equiv is\text{-}nf N (0::capacity\text{-}impl)$

```

lemma x-init-hnr[sepref.fr-rules]:
  (uncurry0 (Array.new N 0), uncurry0 x-init)  $\in unit\text{-}assn^k \rightarrow_a x\text{-}assn$ 
  apply sepref-to-hoare unfolding x-assn-def x-init-def

```

```

by (sep-auto heap: nf-init-rule)

lemma x-get-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  (uncurry Array.nth, uncurry (PR-CONST x-get))
  ∈ x-assnk *a node-assnk →a cap-assn
  apply sepref-to-hoare
  unfolding x-assn-def x-get-def by (sep-auto simp: refine-pw-simps)

definition (in -) x-add-impl x u Δ ≡ do {
  xu ← Array.nth x u;
  x ← Array.upd u (xu+Δ) x;
  return x
}
lemma x-add-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  (uncurry2 x-add-impl, uncurry2 (PR-CONST x-add))
  ∈ x-assnd *a node-assnk *a cap-assnk →a x-assn
  apply sepref-to-hoare
  unfolding x-assn-def x-add-impl-def x-add-def
  by (sep-auto simp: refine-pw-simps)

```

6.3.3 Labeling by Array

```

definition l-assn ≡ is-nf N (0::nat)
definition (in -) l-init-impl N s cardV ≡ do {
  l ← Array.new N (0::nat);
  l ← Array.upd s cardV l;
  return l
}
lemma l-init-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  (l-init-impl N s, (PR-CONST l-init)) ∈ nat-assnk →a l-assn
  apply sepref-to-hoare
  unfolding l-assn-def l-init-def l-init-impl-def
  by (sep-auto heap: nf-init-rule)

lemma l-get-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  (uncurry Array.nth, uncurry (PR-CONST l-get))
  ∈ l-assnk *a node-assnk →a nat-assn
  apply sepref-to-hoare
  unfolding l-assn-def l-get-def by (sep-auto simp: refine-pw-simps)

lemma l-get-rlx-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  (uncurry Array.nth, uncurry (PR-CONST l-get-rlx))
  ∈ l-assnk *a node-assnk →a nat-assn
  apply sepref-to-hoare
  unfolding l-assn-def l-get-rlx-def by (sep-auto simp: refine-pw-simps)

lemma l-set-hnr[sepref-fr-rules]:
  (uncurry2 (λa i x. Array.upd i x a), uncurry2 (PR-CONST l-set))

```

$\in l\text{-}assn^d *_a node\text{-}assn^k *_a nat\text{-}assn^k \rightarrow_a l\text{-}assn$
apply sepref-to-hoare
unfolding $l\text{-}assn\text{-}def$ $l\text{-}set\text{-}def$
by (sep-auto simp: refine-pw-simps split: prod.split)

6.3.4 Label Frequency by Array

definition $cnt\text{-}assn (f::node \Rightarrow nat) a \equiv \exists_A l. a \mapsto_a l * \uparrow(\text{length } l = 2*N \wedge (\forall i < 2*N. l!i = f i) \wedge (\forall i \geq 2*N. f i = 0))$

definition (in $-$) $cnt\text{-}init\text{-}impl N C \equiv do \{$
 $a \leftarrow \text{Array.new } (2*N) (0::nat);$
 $a \leftarrow \text{Array.upd } 0 (C-1) a;$
 $a \leftarrow \text{Array.upd } C 1 a;$
 $return a$
 $\}$

definition (in $-$) $cnt\text{-}incr\text{-}impl a k \equiv do \{$
 $freq \leftarrow \text{Array.nth } a k;$
 $a \leftarrow \text{Array.upd } k (freq+1) a;$
 $return a$
 $\}$

definition (in $-$) $cnt\text{-}decr\text{-}impl a k \equiv do \{$
 $freq \leftarrow \text{Array.nth } a k;$
 $a \leftarrow \text{Array.upd } k (freq-1) a;$
 $return a$
 $\}$

lemma $cnt\text{-}init\text{-}hnk[\text{sepref-fr-rules}]: (cnt\text{-}init\text{-}impl N, PR\text{-}CONST cnt\text{-}init) \in nat\text{-}assn^k \rightarrow_a cnt\text{-}assn$
apply sepref-to-hoare
unfolding $cnt\text{-}init\text{-}def$ $cnt\text{-}init\text{-}impl\text{-}def$ $cnt\text{-}assn\text{-}def$
by (sep-auto simp: refine-pw-simps)

lemma $cnt\text{-}get\text{-}hnk[\text{sepref-fr-rules}]: (\text{uncurry } \text{Array.nth}, \text{uncurry } (\text{PR-CONST } cnt\text{-}get)) \in cnt\text{-}assn^k *_a nat\text{-}assn^k \rightarrow_a nat\text{-}assn$
apply sepref-to-hoare
unfolding $cnt\text{-}get\text{-}def$ $cnt\text{-}assn\text{-}def$
by (sep-auto simp: refine-pw-simps)

lemma $cnt\text{-}incr\text{-}hnk[\text{sepref-fr-rules}]: (\text{uncurry } cnt\text{-}incr\text{-}impl, \text{uncurry } (\text{PR-CONST } cnt\text{-}incr)) \in cnt\text{-}assn^d *_a nat\text{-}assn^k \rightarrow_a cnt\text{-}assn$
apply sepref-to-hoare
unfolding $cnt\text{-}incr\text{-}def$ $cnt\text{-}incr\text{-}impl\text{-}def$ $cnt\text{-}assn\text{-}def$
by (sep-auto simp: refine-pw-simps)

lemma $cnt\text{-}decr\text{-}hnk[\text{sepref-fr-rules}]: (\text{uncurry } cnt\text{-}decr\text{-}impl, \text{uncurry } (\text{PR-CONST }$

```

 $cnt-decr)) \in cnt-assn^d *_a nat-assn^k \rightarrow_a cnt-assn$ 
apply sepref-to-hoare
unfolding cnt-decr-def cnt-decr-impl-def cnt-assn-def
by (sep-auto simp: refine-pw-simps)

```

6.3.5 Combined Frequency Count and Labeling

```
definition clc-assn  $\equiv$  cnt-assn  $\times_a$  l-assn
```

```

sepref-thm clc-init-impl is PR-CONST clc-init ::  $nat-assn^k \rightarrow_a clc-assn$ 
unfolding clc-init-def PR-CONST-def clc-assn-def
by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) clc-init-impl
uses Network-Impl.clc-init-impl.refine-raw
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = clc-init-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

```

```

sepref-thm clc-get-impl is uncurry (PR-CONST clc-get)
::  $clc-assn^k *_a node-assn^k \rightarrow_a nat-assn$ 
unfolding clc-get-def PR-CONST-def clc-assn-def
by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) clc-get-impl
uses Network-Impl.clc-get-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry ?f,-)∈-
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = clc-get-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

```

```

sepref-thm clc-get-rlx-impl is uncurry (PR-CONST clc-get-rlx)
::  $clc-assn^k *_a node-assn^k \rightarrow_a nat-assn$ 
unfolding clc-get-rlx-def PR-CONST-def clc-assn-def
by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) clc-get-rlx-impl
uses Network-Impl.clc-get-rlx-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry ?f,-)∈-
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = clc-get-rlx-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

```

```

sepref-thm clc-set-impl is uncurry2 (PR-CONST clc-set)
::  $clc-assn^d *_a node-assn^k *_a nat-assn^k \rightarrow_a clc-assn$ 
unfolding clc-set-def PR-CONST-def clc-assn-def
by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) clc-set-impl
uses Network-Impl.clc-set-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry2 ?f,-)∈-
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = clc-set-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

```

```

sepref-thm clc-has-gap-impl is uncurry (PR-CONST clc-has-gap)
::  $clc-assn^k *_a nat-assn^k \rightarrow_a bool-assn$ 
unfolding clc-has-gap-def PR-CONST-def clc-assn-def
by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) clc-has-gap-impl
uses Network-Impl.clc-has-gap-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry ?f,-)∈-
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = clc-has-gap-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

```

6.3.6 Push

```
sepref-thm push-impl is uncurry2 (PR-CONST push2)
  ::  $x\text{-assn}^d *_a cf\text{-assn}^d *_a edge\text{-assn}^k \rightarrow_a (x\text{-assn} \times_a cf\text{-assn})$ 
  unfolding push2-def PR-CONST-def
  by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) push-impl
  uses Network-Impl.push-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry2 ?f,-)∈-
  lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = push-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]
```

6.3.7 Relabel

```
sepref-thm min-adj-label-impl is uncurry3 (PR-CONST min-adj-label)
  ::  $am\text{-assn}^k *_a cf\text{-assn}^k *_a l\text{-assn}^k *_a node\text{-assn}^k \rightarrow_a nat\text{-assn}$ 
  unfolding min-adj-label-def PR-CONST-def
  by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) min-adj-label-impl
  uses Network-Impl.min-adj-label-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry3 ?f,-)∈-
  lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = min-adj-label-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]
```

```
sepref-thm relabel-impl is uncurry3 (PR-CONST relabel2)
  ::  $am\text{-assn}^k *_a cf\text{-assn}^k *_a l\text{-assn}^d *_a node\text{-assn}^k \rightarrow_a l\text{-assn}$ 
  unfolding relabel2-def PR-CONST-def
  by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) relabel-impl
  uses Network-Impl.relabel-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry3 ?f,-)∈-
  lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = relabel-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]
```

6.3.8 Gap-Relabel

```
sepref-thm gap-impl is uncurry2 (PR-CONST gap2)
  ::  $nat\text{-assn}^k *_a clc\text{-assn}^d *_a nat\text{-assn}^k \rightarrow_a clc\text{-assn}$ 
  unfolding gap2-def PR-CONST-def
  by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) gap-impl
  uses Network-Impl.gap-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry2 ?f,-)∈-
  lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = gap-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]
```

```
sepref-thm min-adj-label-clc-impl is uncurry3 (PR-CONST min-adj-label-clc)
  ::  $am\text{-assn}^k *_a cf\text{-assn}^k *_a clc\text{-assn}^k *_a nat\text{-assn}^k \rightarrow_a nat\text{-assn}$ 
  unfolding min-adj-label-clc-def PR-CONST-def clc-assn-def
  by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) min-adj-label-clc-impl
  uses Network-Impl.min-adj-label-clc-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry3 ?f,-)∈-
  lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = min-adj-label-clc-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]
```

```
sepref-thm clc-relabel-impl is uncurry3 (PR-CONST clc-relabel2)
  ::  $am\text{-assn}^k *_a cf\text{-assn}^k *_a clc\text{-assn}^d *_a node\text{-assn}^k \rightarrow_a clc\text{-assn}$ 
```

```

unfolding clc-relabel2-def PR-CONST-def
by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) clc-relabel-impl
uses Network-Impl.clc-relabel-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry3 ?f,-)∈-
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = clc-relabel-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

sepref-thm gap-relabel-impl is uncurry4 (PR-CONST gap-relabel2)
:: nat-assnk*a am-assnk*a cf-assnk*a clc-assnd*a node-assnk
→a clc-assn
unfolding gap-relabel2-def PR-CONST-def
by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) gap-relabel-impl
uses Network-Impl.gap-relabel-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry4 ?f,-)∈-
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = gap-relabel-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

```

6.3.9 Initialization

```

sepref-thm pp-init-xcf2-impl is (PR-CONST pp-init-xcf2)
:: am-assnk →a x-assn ×a cf-assn
unfolding pp-init-xcf2-def PR-CONST-def
by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) pp-init-xcf2-impl
uses Network-Impl.pp-init-xcf2-impl.refine-raw is (?f,-)∈-
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = pp-init-xcf2-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

```

end — Network Implementation Locale

end

7 Implementation of the FIFO Push/Relabel Algorithm

```

theory Fifo-Push-Relabel-Impl
imports
Fifo-Push-Relabel
Prpu-Common-Impl
begin

```

7.1 Basic Operations

```

context Network-Impl
begin

```

7.1.1 Queue

Obtain the empty queue.

```
definition q-empty :: node list nres where
  q-empty ≡ return []
```

Check whether a queue is empty.

```
definition q-is-empty :: node list ⇒ bool nres where
  q-is-empty Q ≡ return ( Q = [] )
```

Enqueue a node.

```
definition q-enqueue :: node ⇒ node list ⇒ node list nres where
  q-enqueue v Q ≡ do {
    assert (v ∈ V);
    return (Q@[v])
  }
```

Dequeue a node.

```
definition q-dequeue :: node list ⇒ (node × node list) nres where
  q-dequeue Q ≡ do {
    assert (Q ≠ []);
    return (hd Q, tl Q)
  }
```

end — Network Implementation Locale

7.2 Refinements to Basic Operations

```
context Network-Impl
begin
```

In this section, we refine the algorithm to actually use the basic operations.

7.2.1 Refinement of Push

```
definition fifo-push2-aux x cf Q ≡ λ(u,v). do {
  assert ( (u,v) ∈ E ∪ E-1 );
  assert ( u ≠ v );
  let Δ = min (x u) (cf (u,v));
  let Q = (if v ≠ s ∧ v ≠ t ∧ x v = 0 then Q@[v] else Q);
  return ((x( u := x u - Δ, v := x v + Δ ), augment-edge-cf cf (u,v) Δ), Q)
}
```

lemma fifo-push2-aux-refine:

```
[((x,cf),f) ∈ xf-rel; (ei,e) ∈ Id ×r Id; (Qi,Q) ∈ Id]
  ⇒ fifo-push2-aux x cf Qi ei ≤ ↓(xf-rel ×r Id) (fifo-push f l Q e)
  unfolding fifo-push-def fifo-push2-aux-def
```

```

apply refine-vcg
apply (vc-solve simp: xf-rel-def no-self-loop)
subgoal for u v
  unfolding push-precond-def using cfE-of-ss-invE by auto
subgoal for u v
proof -
  assume [simp]: Labeling c s t f l
  then interpret Labeling c s t f l .
  assume push-precond f l (u, v)
  then interpret l': push-effect-locale c s t f l u v by unfold-locales
  show ?thesis
    apply (safe intro!: ext)
    using l'.excess'-if l'.Δ-def l'.cf'-alt l'.uv-not-eq(1)
    by (auto)
  qed
  done

definition fifo-push2 x cf Q ≡ λ(u,v). do {
  assert ( (u,v) ∈ E ∪ E⁻¹ );
  xu ← x-get x u;
  xv ← x-get x v;
  cfuv ← cf-get cf (u,v);
  cfvu ← cf-get cf (v,u);
  let Δ = min xu cfuv;
  x ← x-add x u (−Δ);
  x ← x-add x v Δ;
  cf ← cf-set cf (u,v) (cfuv − Δ);
  cf ← cf-set cf (v,u) (cfvu + Δ);

  if v ≠ s ∧ v ≠ t ∧ xv = 0 then do {
    Q ← q-enqueue v Q;
    return ((x,cf),Q)
  } else
    return ((x,cf),Q)
}

lemma fifo-push2-refine[refine]:
  assumes ((x,cf),f) ∈ xf-rel (ei,e) ∈ Id ×r Id (Qi,Q) ∈ Id
  shows fifo-push2 x cf Qi ei ≤ ↓(xf-rel ×r Id) (fifo-push f l Q e)

proof -
  have fifo-push2 x cf Qi ei ≤ (fifo-push2-aux x cf Qi ei)
  unfolding fifo-push2-def fifo-push2-aux-def
  unfolding x-get-def x-add-def cf-get-def cf-set-def q-enqueue-def
  unfolding augment-edge-cf-def
  apply (simp only: nres-monad-laws)
  apply refine-vcg
  using E-ss-VxV
  by auto

```

also note *fifo-push2-aux-refine*[*OF assms*]
finally show *?thesis* .

qed

7.2.2 Refinement of Gap-Relabel

definition *fifo-gap-relabel-aux* $C f l Q u \equiv do \{$
 $Q \leftarrow q\text{-enqueue } u \ Q;$
 $lu \leftarrow l\text{-get } l \ u;$
 $l \leftarrow relabel \ f \ l \ u;$
 $if \ gap\text{-precond } l \ lu \ then \ do \{$
 $l \leftarrow gap\text{-aux } C \ l \ lu;$
 $return \ (l, Q)$
 $\} \ else \ return \ (l, Q)$
 $\}$

lemma *fifo-gap-relabel-aux-refine*:

assumes [*simp*]: $C = card \ V \quad l\text{-invar } l$
shows *fifo-gap-relabel-aux* $C f l Q u \leq \text{fifo-gap-relabel } f l Q u$
unfolding *fifo-gap-relabel-aux-def* *fifo-gap-relabel-def* *relabel-def*
 $gap\text{-relabel-effect-def } l\text{-get-def } q\text{-enqueue-def}$
apply (*simp only*: *Let-def nres-monad-laws*)
apply *refine-vcg*
by *auto*

definition *fifo-gap-relabel2* $C am cf clc Q u \equiv do \{$

$Q \leftarrow q\text{-enqueue } u \ Q;$
 $lu \leftarrow clc\text{-get } clc \ u;$
 $clc \leftarrow clc\text{-relabel2 } am \ cf \ clc \ u;$
 $has\text{-gap} \leftarrow clc\text{-has-gap } clc \ lu;$
 $if \ has\text{-gap} \ then \ do \{$
 $clc \leftarrow gap2 \ C \ clc \ lu;$
 $RETURN \ (clc, Q)$
 $\} \ else$
 $RETURN \ (clc, Q)$
 $\}$

lemma *fifo-gap-relabel2-refine-aux*:

assumes *XCF*: $((x, cf), f) \in xf\text{-rel}$
assumes *CLC*: $(clc, l) \in clc\text{-rel}$
assumes *AM*: $(am, adjacent\text{-nodes}) \in nat\text{-rel} \rightarrow \langle nat\text{-rel} \rangle list\text{-set-rel}$
assumes [*simplified,simp*]: $(Ci, C) \in Id \quad (Qi, Q) \in Id \quad (ui, u) \in Id$
shows *fifo-gap-relabel2* $Ci am cf clc Qi ui$
 $\leq \Downarrow (clc\text{-rel} \times_r Id) \ (fifo-gap-relabel-aux \ C f l Q u)$
unfolding *fifo-gap-relabel2-def* *fifo-gap-relabel-aux-def*
apply (*refine-vcg XCF AM CLC if-bind-cond-refine bind-refine'*)

```

apply refine-dref-type
apply (vc-solve solve: refl)
subgoal for - lu
  using CLC
  unfolding clc-get-def l-get-def clc-rel-def in-br-conv clc-invar-def
  by (auto simp: refine-pw-simps split: prod.splits)
done

lemma fifo-gap-relabel2-refine[refine]:
  assumes XCF:  $((x, cf), f) \in xf\text{-}rel$ 
  assumes CLC:  $(clc, l) \in clc\text{-}rel$ 
  assumes AM:  $(am, adjacent\text{-}nodes) \in nat\text{-}rel \rightarrow \langle nat\text{-}rel \rangle list\text{-}set\text{-}rel$ 
  assumes [simplified,simp]:  $(Qi, Q) \in Id \quad (ui, u) \in Id$ 
  assumes CC:  $C = card V$ 
  shows fifo-gap-relabel2 C am cf clc Qi ui
     $\leq \Downarrow (clc\text{-}rel \times_r Id) (fifo\text{-}gap\text{-}relabel f l Q u)$ 
proof -
  from CLC have LINV:  $l\text{-invar } l$ 
    unfolding clc-rel-def in-br-conv clc-invar-def by auto

  note fifo-gap-relabel2-refine-aux[OF XCF CLC AM IdI IdI IdI]
  also note fifo-gap-relabel-aux-refine[OF CC LINV]
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

7.2.3 Refinement of Discharge

context begin

Some lengthy, multi-step refinement of discharge, changing the iteration to iteration over adjacent nodes with filter, and showing that we can do the filter wrt. the current state, rather than the original state before the loop.

```

lemma am-nodes-as-filter:
  assumes is-adj-map am
  shows  $\{v . (u, v) \in cfE\text{-}of f\} = set (filter (\lambda v. cf\text{-}of } f (u, v) \neq 0) (am u))$ 
  using assms cfE-of-ss-invE
  unfolding is-adj-map-def Graph.E-def
  by fastforce

```

```

private lemma adjacent-nodes-iterate-refine1:
  fixes ff u f
  assumes AMR:  $(am, adjacent\text{-}nodes) \in Id \rightarrow \langle Id \rangle list\text{-}set\text{-}rel$ 
  assumes CR:  $\bigwedge s si. (si, s) \in Id \implies cci si \longleftrightarrow cc s$ 
  assumes FR:  $\bigwedge v vi s si. [(vi, v) \in Id; v \in V; (u, v) \in E \cup E^{-1}; (si, s) \in Id] \implies$ 
     $ffi vi si \leq \Downarrow Id (do \{$ 
       $if (cf\text{-}of } f (u, v) \neq 0) then ff v s else RETURN s$ 
     $\}) (is \bigwedge v vi s si. [[-, -, -, -]] \implies - \leq \Downarrow (?ff' v s))$ 
  assumes S0R:  $(s0i, s0) \in Id$ 
  assumes UR:  $(ui, u) \in Id$ 

```

```

shows nfoldli (am ui) cci ffi s0i
≤↓Id (FOREACHc {v . (u,v)∈cfE-of f} cc ff s0)
proof -
from fun-relD[OF AMR] have AM: is-adj-map am
  unfolding is-adj-map-def
  by (auto simp: list-set-rel-def in-br-conv adjacent-nodes-def)

from AM have AM-SS-V: set (am u) ⊆ V   {u}×set (am u) ⊆ E ∪ E-1
  unfolding is-adj-map-def using E-ss-VxV by auto

thm nfoldli-refine
have nfoldli (am ui) cci ffi s0 ≤ ↓Id (nfoldli (am ui) cc ?ff' s0)
  apply (refine-vcg FR)
  apply (rule list-rel-congD)
  apply refine-dref-type
  using CR
  apply vc-solve
  using AM-SS-V UR by auto
also have nfoldli (am ui) cc ?ff' s0
  ≤↓Id (FOREACHc (adjacent-nodes u) cc ?ff' s0)
  by (rule LFOc-refine[OF fun-relD[OF AMR UR]]; simp)
also have FOREACHc (adjacent-nodes u) cc ?ff' s0
  ≤ FOREACHc {v . (u,v)∈cfE-of f} cc ff s0
  apply (subst am-nodes-as-filter[OF AM])
  apply (subst FOREACHc-filter-deforestation2)
  subgoal using AM unfolding is-adj-map-def by auto
  subgoal
    apply (rule eq-refl)
    apply ((fo-rule cong)+; (rule refl)?)
    subgoal
      using fun-relD[OF AMR IdI[of u]]
      by (auto simp: list-set-rel-def in-br-conv)
    done
  done
finally show ?thesis using S0R by simp
qed

private definition dis-loop-aux am f0 l Q u ≡ do {
  assert (u ∈ V - {s,t});
  assert (distinct (am u));
  nfoldli (am u) (λ(f,l,Q). excess f u ≠ 0) (λv (f,l,Q). do {
    assert ((u,v) ∈ E ∪ E-1 ∧ v ∈ V);
    if (cf-of f0 (u,v) ≠ 0) then do {
      if (l u = l v + 1) then do {
        (f',Q) ← fifo-push f l Q (u,v);
        assert (forall v'. v' ≠ v → cf-of f' (u,v') = cf-of f (u,v'));
        return (f',l,Q)
      } else return (f,l,Q)
    } else return (f,l,Q)
  })
}
```

```

}) (f0,l,Q)
}

private definition fifo-discharge-aux am f0 l Q ≡ do {
  (u,Q) ← q-dequeue Q;
  assert (u ∈ V ∧ u ≠ s ∧ u ≠ t);

  (f,l,Q) ← dis-loop-aux am f0 l Q u;

  if excess f u ≠ 0 then do {
    (l,Q) ← fifo-gap-relabel f l Q u;
    return (f,l,Q)
  } else do {
    return (f,l,Q)
  }
}

```

```

private lemma fifo-discharge-aux-refine:
assumes AM: (am,adjacent-nodes) ∈ Id → ⟨Id⟩list-set-rel
assumes [simplified,simp]: (fi,f) ∈ Id (li,l) ∈ Id (Qi,Q) ∈ Id
shows fifo-discharge-aux am fi li Qi ≤ ↓Id (fifo-discharge f l Q)
unfolding fifo-discharge-aux-def fifo-discharge-def dis-loop-aux-def
unfolding q-dequeue-def
apply (simp only: nres-monad-laws)
apply (refine-rcg adjacent-nodes-iterate-refine1 [OF AM])
apply refine-dref-type
apply vc-solve
subgoal
  using fun-relD[OF AM IdI[of hd Q]]
  unfolding list-set-rel-def by (auto simp: in-br-conv)
done

```

```

private definition dis-loop-aux2 am f0 l Q u ≡ do {
  assert (u ∈ V - {s,t});
  assert (distinct (am u));
  nfoldli (am u) (λ(f,l,Q). excess f u ≠ 0) (λv (f,l,Q). do {
    assert ((u,v) ∈ E ∪ E-1 ∧ v ∈ V);
    if (cf-of f (u,v) ≠ 0) then do {
      if (l u = l v + 1) then do {
        (f',Q) ← fifo-push f l Q (u,v);
        assert (∀ v'. v' ≠ v → cf-of f' (u,v') = cf-of f (u,v'));
        return (f',l,Q)
      } else return (f,l,Q)
    } else return (f,l,Q)
  }) (f0,l,Q)
}

```

```

private lemma dis-loop-aux2-refine:
shows dis-loop-aux2 am f0 l Q u ≤ ↓Id (dis-loop-aux am f0 l Q u)

```

```

unfolding dis-loop-aux2-def dis-loop-aux-def
apply (intro ASSERT-refine-right ASSERT-refine-left; assumption?)
apply (rule nfoldli-invar-refine[where
     $I = \lambda it1\ it2\ (f, -, -). \forall v \in set\ it2. cf\text{-}of\ f\ (u, v) = cf\text{-}of\ f_0\ (u, v)$ ])
apply refine-dref-type
apply vc-solve
apply (auto simp: pw-leof-iff refine-pw-simps fifo-push-def; metis)
done

private definition dis-loop-aux3 am x cf l Q u ≡ do {
  assert (u ∈ V ∧ distinct (am u));
  monadic-nfoldli (am u)
  (λ((x,cf),l,Q). do { xu ← x-get x u; return (xu ≠ 0) })
  (λv ((x,cf),l,Q). do {
    cfuv ← cf-get cf (u,v);
    if (cfuv ≠ 0) then do {
      lu ← l-get l u;
      lv ← l-get l v;
      if (lu = lv + 1) then do {
        ((x,cf),Q) ← fifo-push2 x cf Q (u,v);
        return ((x,cf),l,Q)
      } else return ((x,cf),l,Q)
    } else return ((x,cf),l,Q)
  }) ((x,cf),l,Q)
}
}

private lemma dis-loop-aux3-refine:
assumes [simplified,simp]: (ami,am) ∈ Id (li,l) ∈ Id (Qi,Q) ∈ Id (ui,u) ∈ Id
assumes XF: ((x,cf),f) ∈ xf-rel
shows dis-loop-aux3 ami x cf li Qi ui
  ≤ ∃(xf-rel ×r Id ×r Id) (dis-loop-aux2 am f l Q u)
unfolding dis-loop-aux3-def dis-loop-aux2-def
unfolding x-get-def cf-get-def l-get-def
apply (simp only: nres-monad-laws nfoldli-to-monadic)
apply (refine-rcg)
apply refine-dref-type
using XF
by (vc-solve simp: xf-rel-def in-br-conv)

definition dis-loop2 am x cf clc Q u ≡ do {
  assert (distinct (am u));
  amu ← am-get am u;
  monadic-nfoldli amu
  (λ((x,cf),clc,Q). do { xu ← x-get x u; return (xu ≠ 0) })
  (λv ((x,cf),clc,Q). do {
    cfuv ← cf-get cf (u,v);
    if (cfuv ≠ 0) then do {
      lu ← clc-get clc u;
      lv ← clc-get clc v;
    }
  })
}

```

```

if ( $lu = lv + 1$ ) then do {
   $((x,cf),Q) \leftarrow \text{fifo-push2 } x \text{ cf } Q \ (u,v);$ 
  return  $((x,cf),clc,Q)$ 
} else return  $((x,cf),clc,Q)$ 
} else return  $((x,cf),clc,Q)$ 
})  $((x,cf),clc,Q)$ 
}

private lemma dis-loop2-refine-aux:
assumes [simplified,simp]:  $(xi,x) \in Id \quad (cfi,cf) \in Id \quad (ami,am) \in Id$ 
assumes [simplified,simp]:  $(li,l) \in Id \quad (Qi,Q) \in Id \quad (ui,u) \in Id$ 
assumes CLC:  $(clc,l) \in clc\text{-rel}$ 
shows dis-loop2 ami xi cf i clc Qi ui
 $\leq \Downarrow(Id \times_r clc\text{-rel} \times_r Id) \ (\text{dis-loop-aux3 am } x \text{ cf } l \ Q \ u)$ 
unfolding dis-loop2-def dis-loop-aux3-def am-get-def
apply (simp only: nres-monad-laws)
apply refine-rcg
apply refine-dref-type
apply (vc-solve simp: CLC)
done

lemma dis-loop2-refine[refine]:
assumes XF:  $((x,cf),f) \in xf\text{-rel}$ 
assumes CLC:  $(clc,l) \in clc\text{-rel}$ 
assumes [simplified,simp]:  $(ami,am) \in Id \quad (Qi,Q) \in Id \quad (ui,u) \in Id$ 
shows dis-loop2 ami x cf clc Qi ui
 $\leq \Downarrow(xf\text{-rel} \times_r clc\text{-rel} \times_r Id) \ (\text{dis-loop-aux am } f \ l \ Q \ u)$ 
proof –
have [simp]:
 $((Id \times_r clc\text{-rel} \times_r Id) \ O \ (xf\text{-rel} \times_r Id)) = xf\text{-rel} \times_r clc\text{-rel} \times_r Id$ 
by (auto simp: prod-rel-comp)

note dis-loop2-refine-aux[OF IdI IdI IdI IdI IdI IdI CLC]
also note dis-loop-aux3-refine[OF IdI IdI IdI IdI IdI XF]
also note dis-loop-aux2-refine
finally show ?thesis
by (auto simp: conc-fun-chain monoD[OF conc-fun-mono])
qed

```

```

definition fifo-discharge2 C am x cf clc Q  $\equiv$  do {
   $(u,Q) \leftarrow q\text{-dequeue } Q;$ 
  assert  $(u \in V \wedge u \neq s \wedge u \neq t);$ 
   $((x,cf),clc,Q) \leftarrow \text{dis-loop2 am } x \text{ cf } clc \ Q \ u;$ 
   $xu \leftarrow x\text{-get } x \ u;$ 
  if  $xu \neq 0$  then do {
     $(clc,Q) \leftarrow \text{fifo-gap-relabel2 } C \ am \ cf \ clc \ Q \ u;$ 
  }
}

```

```

    return ((x,cf),clc,Q)
} else do {
    return ((x,cf),clc,Q)
}
}

lemma fifo-discharge2-refine[refine]:
assumes AM: (am,adjacent-nodes) ∈ nat-rel → ⟨nat-rel⟩ list-set-rel
assumes XCF: ((x, cf), f) ∈ xf-rel
assumes CLC: (clc,l) ∈ clc-rel
assumes [simplified,simp]: (Qi,Q) ∈ Id
assumes CC: C = card V
shows fifo-discharge2 C am x cf clc Qi
    ≤↓(xf-rel ×r clc-rel ×r Id) (fifo-discharge f l Q)
proof –
have fifo-discharge2 C am x cf clc Q
    ≤↓(xf-rel ×r clc-rel ×r Id) (fifo-discharge-aux am f l Q)
unfolding fifo-discharge2-def fifo-discharge-aux-def
unfolding x-get-def
apply (simp only: nres-monad-laws)
apply (refine-reg XCF CLC AM IdI)
apply (vc-solve simp: CC)
subgoal unfolding xf-rel-def in-br-conv by auto
applyS assumption
done
also note fifo-discharge-aux-refine[OF AM IdI IdI IdI]
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

end — Anonymous Context

7.2.4 Computing the Initial Queue

```

definition q-init am ≡ do {
    Q ← q-empty;
    ams ← am-get am s;
    nfoldli ams (λ-. True) (λv Q. do {
        if v ≠ t then q-enqueue v Q else return Q
    }) Q
}

lemma q-init-correct[THEN order-trans, refine-vcg]:
assumes AM: is-adj-map am
shows q-init am
    ≤ (spec l. distinct l ∧ set l = {v ∈ V - {s, t}. excess pp-init-f v ≠ 0})
proof –
from am-to-adj-nodes-refine[OF AM] have set (am s) ⊆ V
using adjacent-nodes-ss-V
by (auto simp: list-set-rel-def in-br-conv)

```

```

hence  $q\text{-init } am \leq \text{RETURN } (\text{filter } ((\neq) t) (am s))$ 
  unfolding  $q\text{-init-def } q\text{-empty-def } q\text{-enqueue-def } am\text{-get-def}$ 
  apply (refine-vcg nfoldli-rule[where  $I = \lambda l1 - l. l = \text{filter } ((\neq) t) l1$ ])
  by auto
also have ...
 $\leq (\text{spec } l. \text{distinct } l \wedge \text{set } l = \{v \in V - \{s, t\}. \text{excess pp-init-f } v \neq 0\})$ 
proof -
  from  $am\text{-to-adj-nodes-refine}[OF AM]$ 
  have [simp]:  $\text{distinct } (am s) \quad \text{set } (am s) = \text{adjacent-nodes } s$ 
    unfolding  $list\text{-set}\text{-rel}\text{-def}$ 
    by (auto simp: in-br-conv)

show ?thesis
  using  $E\text{-ss- } VxV$ 
  apply (auto simp: pp-init-x-def adjacent-nodes-def)
  unfolding Graph.E-def by auto
qed
finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

7.2.5 Refining the Main Algorithm

```

definition fifo-push-relabel-aux  $am \equiv \text{do } \{$ 
   $cardV \leftarrow \text{init-}C am;$ 
  assert ( $cardV = \text{card } V$ );
  let  $f = \text{pp-init-f};$ 
   $l \leftarrow l\text{-init } cardV;$ 

   $Q \leftarrow q\text{-init } am;$ 

   $(f, l, -) \leftarrow \text{monadic-WHILEIT } (\lambda -. \text{ True})$ 
   $(\lambda(f, l, Q). \text{ do } \{qe \leftarrow q\text{-is-empty } Q; \text{return } (\neg qe)\})$ 
   $(\lambda(f, l, Q). \text{ do } \{$ 
    fifo-discharge  $f l Q$ 
   $\})$ 
   $(f, l, Q);$ 

  assert ( $\text{Height-Bounded-Labeling } c s t f l$ );
  return  $f$ 
}

lemma fifo-push-relabel-aux-refine:
assumes  $AM: \text{is-adj-map } am$ 
shows  $\text{fifo-push-relabel-aux } am \leq \Downarrow \text{Id } (\text{fifo-push-relabel})$ 
unfolding  $\text{fifo-push-relabel-aux-def } \text{fifo-push-relabel-def}$ 
unfolding  $l\text{-init-def } pp\text{-init-l-def } q\text{-is-empty-def } bind\text{-to-let-conv}$ 
apply (rule specify-left[ $OF \text{ init-}C\text{-correct}[OF AM]$ ])
apply (refine-recg  $q\text{-init-correct}[OF AM]$ )
apply refine-dref-type

```

```

apply vc-solve
done

definition fifo-push-relabel2 am ≡ do {
  cardV ← init-C am;
  (x,cf) ← pp-init-xcf2 am;
  clc ← clc-init cardV;
  Q ← q-init am;

  ((x,cf),clc,Q) ← monadic-WHILEIT (λ-. True)
    (λ((x,cf),clc,Q). do {qe ← q-is-empty Q; return (¬qe)})
    (λ((x,cf),clc,Q). do {
      fifo-discharge2 cardV am x cf clc Q
    })
  ((x,cf),clc,Q);

  return cf
}

lemma fifo-push-relabel2-refine:
  assumes AM: is-adj-map am
  shows fifo-push-relabel2 am
    ≤ ↓(br (flow-of-cf) (RPreGraph c s t)) fifo-push-relabel
proof -
{
  fix f l n
  assume Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l
  then interpret Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l .
  have G1: flow-of-cf cf = f by (rule fo-rg-inv)
  have G2: RPreGraph c s t cf by (rule is-RPreGraph)
  note G1 G2
} note AUX1=this

have fifo-push-relabel2 am
  ≤ ↓(br (flow-of-cf) (RPreGraph c s t)) (fifo-push-relabel-aux am)
unfolding fifo-push-relabel2-def fifo-push-relabel-aux-def
apply (refine-rct)
apply (refine-dref-type)
apply (vc-solve simp: AM am-to-adj-nodes-refine[OF AM])
subgoal using AUX1 by (auto simp: in-br-conv xf-rel-def AM)
done
also note fifo-push-relabel-aux-refine[OF AM]
finally show ?thesis .

qed

end — Network Impl. Locale

```

7.3 Separating out the Initialization of the Adjacency Matrix

context *Network-Impl*
begin

We split the algorithm into an initialization of the adjacency matrix, and the actual algorithm. This way, the algorithm can handle pre-initialized adjacency matrices.

```

definition fifo-push-relabel-init2  $\equiv$  cf-init
definition pp-init-xcf2' am cf  $\equiv$  do {
  x  $\leftarrow$  x-init;
  assert (s  $\in$  V);
  adj  $\leftarrow$  am-get am s;
  nfoldli adj ( $\lambda$ . True) ( $\lambda v$  (x,cf). do {
    assert ((s,v)  $\in$  E);
    assert (s  $\neq$  v);
    a  $\leftarrow$  cf-get cf (s,v);
    x  $\leftarrow$  x-add x s (-a);
    x  $\leftarrow$  x-add x v a;
    cf  $\leftarrow$  cf-set cf (s,v) 0;
    cf  $\leftarrow$  cf-set cf (v,s) a;
    return (x,cf)
  }) (x,cf)
}

definition fifo-push-relabel-run2 am cf  $\equiv$  do {
  cardV  $\leftarrow$  init-C am;
  (x,cf)  $\leftarrow$  pp-init-xcf2' am cf;
  clc  $\leftarrow$  clc-init cardV;
  Q  $\leftarrow$  q-init am;
  ((x,cf), clc, Q)  $\leftarrow$  monadic-WHILEIT ( $\lambda$ . True)
  ( $\lambda$ ((x,cf), clc, Q). do { qe  $\leftarrow$  q-is-empty Q; return ( $\neg qe$ ) })
  ( $\lambda$ ((x,cf), clc, Q). do {
    fifo-discharge2 cardV am x cf clc Q
  })
  ((x,cf), clc, Q);
  return cf
}

lemma fifo-push-relabel2-alt:
fifo-push-relabel2 am = do {
  cf  $\leftarrow$  fifo-push-relabel-init2;
  fifo-push-relabel-run2 am cf
}
unfolding fifo-push-relabel-init2-def fifo-push-relabel-run2-def
fifo-push-relabel2-def pp-init-xcf2-def pp-init-xcf2'-def
```

cf-init-def
by *simp*

end — Network Impl. Locale

7.4 Refinement To Efficient Data Structures

context *Network-Impl*
begin

7.4.1 Registration of Abstract Operations

We register all abstract operations at once, auto-rewriting the capacity matrix type

context includes *Network-Impl-Sepref-Register*
begin

sepref-register *q-empty q-is-empty q-enqueue q-dequeue*

sepref-register *fifo-push2*

sepref-register *fifo-gap-relabel2*

sepref-register *dis-loop2 fifo-discharge2*

sepref-register *q-init pp-init-xcf2'*

sepref-register *fifo-push-relabel-run2 fifo-push-relabel-init2*

sepref-register *fifo-push-relabel2*

end — Anonymous Context

7.4.2 Queue by Two Stacks

definition (**in** *-*) *q- α* $\equiv \lambda(L,R). L @ rev R$
definition (**in** *-*) *q-empty-impl* $\equiv ([],[])$
definition (**in** *-*) *q-is-empty-impl* $\equiv \lambda(L,R). is-Nil L \wedge is-Nil R$
definition (**in** *-*) *q-enqueue-impl* $\equiv \lambda x (L,R). (L,x#R)$
definition (**in** *-*) *q-dequeue-impl*
 $\equiv \lambda(x#L,R) \Rightarrow (x,(L,R)) \mid ([],R) \Rightarrow case rev R of (x#L) \Rightarrow (x,(L,[]))$

lemma *q-empty-impl-correct*[*simp*]: *q- α q-empty-impl* = []
by (*auto simp: q- α -def q-empty-impl-def*)

lemma *q-enqueue-impl-correct*[*simp*]: *q- α (q-enqueue-impl x Q)* = *q- α Q @ [x]*
by (*auto simp: q- α -def q-enqueue-impl-def split: prod.split*)

lemma $q\text{-is-empty-impl-correct}[simp]$: $q\text{-is-empty-impl } Q \longleftrightarrow q\text{-}\alpha\ Q = []$
unfolding $q\text{-}\alpha\text{-def } q\text{-is-empty-impl-def}$
by (cases Q) (auto split: list.splits)

lemma $q\text{-dequeue-impl-correct-aux}$:
 $\llbracket q\text{-}\alpha\ Q = x \# xs \rrbracket \implies \text{apsnd } q\text{-}\alpha\ (q\text{-dequeue-impl } Q) = (x, xs)$
unfolding $q\text{-}\alpha\text{-def } q\text{-dequeue-impl-def}$
by (cases Q) (auto split!: list.split)

lemma $q\text{-dequeue-impl-correct}[simp]$:
assumes $q\text{-dequeue-impl } Q = (x, Q')$
assumes $q\text{-}\alpha\ Q \neq []$
shows $x = \text{hd } (q\text{-}\alpha\ Q)$ **and** $q\text{-}\alpha\ Q' = \text{tl } (q\text{-}\alpha\ Q)$
using assms $q\text{-dequeue-impl-correct-aux}[of Q]$
by – (cases $q\text{-}\alpha\ Q$; auto) +

definition $q\text{-assn} \equiv \text{pure } (\text{br } q\text{-}\alpha\ (\lambda_. \text{True}))$

lemma $q\text{-empty-impl-hnr}[sepref-fr-rules]$:
 $(\text{uncurry0 } (\text{return } q\text{-empty-impl}), \text{uncurry0 } q\text{-empty}) \in \text{unit-assn}^k \rightarrow_a q\text{-assn}$
apply (sepref-to-hoare)
unfolding $q\text{-assn-def } q\text{-empty-def pure-def}$
by (sep-auto simp: in-br-conv)

lemma $q\text{-is-empty-impl-hnr}[sepref-fr-rules]$:
 $(\text{return } o \ q\text{-is-empty-impl}, q\text{-is-empty}) \in q\text{-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{bool-assn}$
apply (sepref-to-hoare)
unfolding $q\text{-assn-def } q\text{-is-empty-def pure-def}$
by (sep-auto simp: in-br-conv)

lemma $q\text{-enqueue-impl-hnr}[sepref-fr-rules]$:
 $(\text{uncurry } (\text{return } oo \ q\text{-enqueue-impl}), \text{uncurry } (\text{PR-CONST } q\text{-enqueue}))$
 $\in \text{nat-assn}^k *_a q\text{-assn}^d \rightarrow_a q\text{-assn}$
apply (sepref-to-hoare)
unfolding $q\text{-assn-def } q\text{-enqueue-def pure-def}$
by (sep-auto simp: in-br-conv refine-pw-simps)

lemma $q\text{-dequeue-impl-hnr}[sepref-fr-rules]$:
 $(\text{return } o \ q\text{-dequeue-impl}, q\text{-dequeue}) \in q\text{-assn}^d \rightarrow_a \text{nat-assn} \times_a q\text{-assn}$
apply (sepref-to-hoare)
unfolding $q\text{-assn-def } q\text{-dequeue-def pure-def prod-assn-def}$
by (sep-auto simp: in-br-conv refine-pw-simps split: prod.split)

7.4.3 Push

sepref-thm fifo-push-impl **is** $\text{uncurry3 } (\text{PR-CONST } \text{fifo-push2})$

```

::  $x\text{-assn}^d *_a cf\text{-assn}^d *_a q\text{-assn}^d *_a edge\text{-assn}^k$ 
    $\rightarrow_a ((x\text{-assn} \times_a cf\text{-assn}) \times_a q\text{-assn})$ 
unfolding fifo-push2-def PR-CONST-def
by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) fifo-push-impl
uses Network-Impl fifo-push-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry3 ?f,-)∈-
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = fifo-push-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

```

7.4.4 Gap-Relabel

```

sepref-thm fifo-gap-relabel-impl is uncurry5 (PR-CONST fifo-gap-relabel2)
::  $nat\text{-assn}^k *_a am\text{-assn}^k *_a cf\text{-assn}^k *_a clc\text{-assn}^d *_a q\text{-assn}^d *_a node\text{-assn}^k$ 
    $\rightarrow_a clc\text{-assn} \times_a q\text{-assn}$ 
unfolding fifo-gap-relabel2-def PR-CONST-def
by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) fifo-gap-relabel-impl
uses Network-Impl fifo-gap-relabel-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry5 ?f,-)∈-
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = fifo-gap-relabel-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

```

7.4.5 Discharge

```

sepref-thm fifo-dis-loop-impl is uncurry5 (PR-CONST dis-loop2)
::  $am\text{-assn}^k *_a x\text{-assn}^d *_a cf\text{-assn}^d *_a clc\text{-assn}^d *_a q\text{-assn}^d *_a node\text{-assn}^k$ 
    $\rightarrow_a (x\text{-assn} \times_a cf\text{-assn}) \times_a clc\text{-assn} \times_a q\text{-assn}$ 
unfolding dis-loop2-def PR-CONST-def
by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) fifo-dis-loop-impl
uses Network-Impl fifo-dis-loop-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry5 ?f,-)∈-
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = fifo-dis-loop-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

```

```

sepref-thm fifo-fifo-discharge-impl is uncurry5 (PR-CONST fifo-discharge2)
::  $nat\text{-assn}^k *_a am\text{-assn}^k *_a x\text{-assn}^d *_a cf\text{-assn}^d *_a clc\text{-assn}^d *_a q\text{-assn}^d$ 
    $\rightarrow_a (x\text{-assn} \times_a cf\text{-assn}) \times_a clc\text{-assn} \times_a q\text{-assn}$ 
unfolding fifo-discharge2-def PR-CONST-def
by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) fifo-fifo-discharge-impl
uses Network-Impl fifo-fifo-discharge-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry5 ?f,-)∈-
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] =
  fifo-fifo-discharge-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

```

7.4.6 Computing the Initial State

```

sepref-thm fifo-init-C-impl is (PR-CONST init-C)
::  $am\text{-assn}^k \rightarrow_a nat\text{-assn}$ 
unfolding init-C-def PR-CONST-def
by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) fifo-init-C-impl
uses Network-Impl fifo-init-C-impl.refine-raw is (?f,-)∈-
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = fifo-init-C-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

```

```

sepref-thm fifo-q-init-impl is (PR-CONST q-init)
  :: am-assnk →a q-assn
  unfolding q-init-def PR-CONST-def
  by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) fifo-q-init-impl
  uses Network-Impl.fifo-q-init-impl.refine-raw is (?f,-)∈-
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = fifo-q-init-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

sepref-thm pp-init-xcf2'-impl is uncurry (PR-CONST pp-init-xcf2')
  :: am-assnk *a cf-assnd →a x-assn ×a cf-assn
  unfolding pp-init-xcf2'-def PR-CONST-def
  by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) pp-init-xcf2'-impl
  uses Network-Impl.pp-init-xcf2'-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry ?f,-)∈-
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = pp-init-xcf2'-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

```

7.4.7 Main Algorithm

```

sepref-thm fifo-push-relabel-run-impl
  is uncurry (PR-CONST fifo-push-relabel-run2)
  :: am-assnk *a cf-assnd →a cf-assn
  unfolding fifo-push-relabel-run2-def PR-CONST-def
  by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) fifo-push-relabel-run-impl
  uses Network-Impl.fifo-push-relabel-run-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry ?f,-)∈-
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] =
  fifo-push-relabel-run-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

```

```

sepref-thm fifo-push-relabel-init-impl
  is uncurry0 (PR-CONST fifo-push-relabel-init2)
  :: unit-assnk →a cf-assn
  unfolding fifo-push-relabel-init2-def PR-CONST-def
  by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) fifo-push-relabel-init-impl
  uses Network-Impl.fifo-push-relabel-init-impl.refine-raw
  is (uncurry0 ?f,-)∈-
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] =
  fifo-push-relabel-init-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

```

```

sepref-thm fifo-push-relabel-impl is (PR-CONST fifo-push-relabel2)
  :: am-assnk →a cf-assn
  unfolding fifo-push-relabel2-alt PR-CONST-def
  by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) fifo-push-relabel-impl
  uses Network-Impl.fifo-push-relabel-impl.refine-raw is (?f,-)∈-
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = fifo-push-relabel-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

```

```

end — Network Impl. Locale

export-code fifo-push-relabel-impl checking SML-imp

```

7.5 Combining the Refinement Steps

theorem (**in** *Network-Impl*) *fifo-push-relabel-impl-correct[sep-heap-rules]*:

assumes *AM*: *is-adj-map am*

shows

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle am\text{-}assn\ am\ ami \rangle \\
 & \quad fifo\text{-}push\text{-}relabel\text{-}impl\ c\ s\ t\ N\ ami \\
 & \quad \langle \lambda cfi. \exists_A cfi. \\
 & \quad \quad am\text{-}assn\ am\ ami * cf\text{-}assn\ cf\ cfi \\
 & \quad \quad * \uparrow(isMaxFlow\ (flow-of-cf\ cf) \wedge RGraph\text{-}Impl\ c\ s\ t\ N\ cf) \rangle_t \\
 \end{aligned}$$

proof —

note *fifo-push-relabel2-refine[OF AM]*
also note *fifo-push-relabel-correct*
finally have *R1*:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{fifo-push-relabel2}\ am \\
 & \leq \Downarrow(br\ flow-of-cf\ (RPreGraph\ c\ s\ t))\ (SPEC\ isMaxFlow) .
 \end{aligned}$$

have [*simp*]: *nofail* ($\Downarrow R$ (*RES X*)) **for** *R X* **by** (*auto simp: refine-pw-simps*)

note *R2 = fifo-push-relabel-impl.refine[*
OF Network-Impl-axioms, to-hnr, unfolded autoref-tag-defs]
note *R3 = hn-refine-ref[OF R1 R2, of ami]*
note *R4 = R3[unfolded hn-ctxt-def pure-def, THEN hn-refineD, simplified]*

note *RGII = rgraph-and-network-impl-imp-rgraph-impl[OF*
RPreGraph.maxflow-imp-rgraph
Network-Impl-axioms
 $]$

show *?thesis*
by (*sep-auto*
heap: R4
simp: RGII
simp: pw-le-iff refine-pw-simps in-br-conv)

qed

7.6 Combination with Network Checker and Main Correctness Theorem

```

definition fifo-push-relabel-impl-tab-am c s t N am  $\equiv$  do {  

  ami  $\leftarrow$  Array.make N am; — TODO/DUP: Called init-ps in Edmonds-Karp  

  impl  

  cfi  $\leftarrow$  fifo-push-relabel-impl c s t N ami;  

  return (ami,cfi)
}

```

```

theorem fifo-push-relabel-impl-tab-am-correct[sep-heap-rules]:
  assumes NW: Network c s t
  assumes VN: Graph.V c ⊆ {0.. $< N$ }
  assumes ABS-PS: Graph.is-adj-map c am
  shows
    <emp>
      fifo-push-relabel-impl-tab-am c s t N am
      < $\lambda(ami,cfi).$   $\exists_A cf.$ 
        am-assn N am ami * cf-assn N cf cfi
        *  $\uparrow(\text{Network}.isMaxFlow c s t (\text{Network}.flow-of-cf c cf)$ 
           $\wedge R\text{Graph-Impl} c s t N cf$ 
        )>t
  proof –
    interpret Network c s t by fact
    interpret Network-Impl c s t N using VN by unfold-locales

    from ABS-PS have [simp]: am u = [] if  $u \geq N$  for u
      unfolding is-adj-map-def
      using E-ss-VxV VN that
      apply (subgoal-tac  $u \notin V$ )
      by (auto simp del: inV-less-N)

    show ?thesis
      unfolding fifo-push-relabel-impl-tab-am-def
      apply vcg
      apply (rule Hoare-Triple.cons-rule[
        OF -- fifo-push-relabel-impl-correct[OF ABS-PS]])
      subgoal unfolding am-assn-def is-nf-def by sep-auto
      apply (rule ent-refl)
      subgoal by sep-auto
      done
  qed

definition fifo-push-relabel el s t ≡ do {
  case prepareNet el s t of
    None ⇒ return None
  | Some (c,am,N) ⇒ do {
    (ami,cf) ← fifo-push-relabel-impl-tab-am c s t N am;
    return (Some (c,ami,N,cf))
  }
}

export-code fifo-push-relabel checking SML-imp

```

Main correctness statement:

- If *fifo-push-relabel* returns *None*, the edge list was invalid or described an invalid network.

- If it returns *Some* (c, am, N, cfi), then the edge list is valid and describes a valid network. Moreover, cfi is an integer square matrix of dimension N , which describes a valid residual graph in the network, whose corresponding flow is maximal. Finally, am is a valid adjacency map of the graph, and the nodes of the graph are integers less than N .

```
theorem fifo-push-relabel-correct[sep-heap-rules]:
  <emp>
  fifo-push-relabel el s t
  <λ
    None ⇒ ↑(¬ln-invar el ∨ ¬Network (ln-α el) s t)
  | Some (c, am, N, cfi) ⇒
    ↑(c = ln-α el ∧ ln-invar el ∧ Network c s t)
    * (exists_A am cf. am-assn N am ami * cf-assn N cf cfi
      * ↑(RGraph-Impl c s t N cf ∧ Graph.is-adj-map c am
        ∧ Network.isMaxFlow c s t (Network.flow-of-cf c cf)))
    )
  >t

  unfolding fifo-push-relabel-def
  using prepareNet-correct[of el s t]
  by (sep-auto simp: ln-rel-def in-br-conv)
```

7.6.1 Justification of Splitting into Prepare and Run Phase

```
definition fifo-push-relabel-prepare-impl el s t ≡ do {
  case prepareNet el s t of
    None ⇒ return None
  | Some (c, am, N) ⇒ do {
    ami ← Array.make N am;
    cfi ← fifo-push-relabel-init-impl c N;
    return (Some (N, ami, c, cfi))
  }
}
```

```
theorem justify-fifo-push-relabel-prep-run-split:
  fifo-push-relabel el s t =
  do {
    pr ← fifo-push-relabel-prepare-impl el s t;
    case pr of
      None ⇒ return None
    | Some (N, ami, c, cf) ⇒ do {
      cf ← fifo-push-relabel-run-impl s t N ami cf;
      return (Some (c, ami, N, cf))
    }
  }
  unfolding fifo-push-relabel-def fifo-push-relabel-prepare-impl-def
  fifo-push-relabel-impl-tab-am-def fifo-push-relabel-impl-def
  by (auto split: option.split)
```

7.7 Usage Example: Computing Maxflow Value

We implement a function to compute the value of the maximum flow.

```
definition fifo-push-relabel-compute-flow-val el s t ≡ do {
    r ← fifo-push-relabel el s t;
    case r of
        None ⇒ return None
    | Some (c,am,N,cf) ⇒ do {
        v ← compute-flow-val-impl s N am cf;
        return (Some v)
    }
}
```

The computed flow value is correct

```
theorem fifo-push-relabel-compute-flow-val-correct:
<emp>
    fifo-push-relabel-compute-flow-val el s t
<λ
    None ⇒ ↑(¬ln-invar el ∨ ¬Network (ln-α el) s t)
    | Some v ⇒ ↑( ln-invar el
        ∧ (let c = ln-α el in
            Network c s t ∧ Network.is-max-flow-val c s t v
        )))
>t
proof –
{
    fix cf N
    assume RGraph-Impl (ln-α el) s t N cf
    then interpret RGraph (ln-α el) s t cf by (rule RGraph-Impl.axioms)
    have f = flow-of-cf cf unfolding f-def by simp
} note aux=this

show ?thesis
unfolding fifo-push-relabel-compute-flow-val-def
    by (sep-auto simp: Network.is-max-flow-val-def aux)

qed

export-code fifo-push-relabel-compute-flow-val checking SML-imp
```

end

8 Implementation of Relabel-to-Front

```
theory Relabel-To-Front-Impl
imports
    Relabel-To-Front
```

Prpu-Common-Impl
begin

8.1 Basic Operations

context *Network-Impl*
begin

8.1.1 Neighbor Lists

definition *n-init* :: $(node \Rightarrow node \ list) \Rightarrow (node \Rightarrow node \ list) \ nres$
where *n-init am* \equiv *return (am(s := [], t := []))*

definition *n-at-end* :: $(node \Rightarrow node \ list) \Rightarrow node \Rightarrow bool \ nres$
where *n-at-end n u* \equiv *do { assert (u ∈ V - {s, t}); return (n u = []) }*

definition *n-get-hd* :: $(node \Rightarrow node \ list) \Rightarrow node \Rightarrow node \ nres$
where *n-get-hd n u* \equiv *do { assert (u ∈ V - {s, t} \wedge n u ≠ []); return (hd (n u)) }*

definition *n-move-next*
 $:: (node \Rightarrow node \ list) \Rightarrow node \Rightarrow (node \Rightarrow node \ list) \ nres$
where *n-move-next n u* \equiv *do { assert (u ∈ V - {s, t} \wedge n u ≠ []); return (n (u := tl (n u))) }*

definition *n-reset*
 $:: (node \Rightarrow node \ list) \Rightarrow (node \Rightarrow node \ list) \Rightarrow node$
 $\Rightarrow (node \Rightarrow node \ list) \ nres$
where *n-reset am n u* \equiv *do { assert (u ∈ V - {s, t}); return (n (u := am u)) }*

lemma *n-init-refine[refine2]*:
assumes *AM: is-adj-map am*
shows *n-init am*
 $\leq (spec \ c. (c, rtf-init-n) \in (nat-rel \rightarrow \langle nat-rel \rangle list-set-rel))$
proof –
have[simp]: *am v = [] if v ∉ V for v*
proof –
from *that have adjacent-nodes v = {}*
unfolding *adjacent-nodes-def* **using** *E-ss-VxV by auto*
thus *?thesis using am-to-adj-nodes-refine[OF AM]*

```

    by (auto simp: list-set-rel-def in-br-conv)
qed
show ?thesis
  unfolding n-init-def rtf-init-n-def
  by (auto
      simp: pw-le-iff refine-pw-simps list-set-autoref-empty
      simp: am-to-adj-nodes-refine[OF AM])
qed

```

8.2 Refinement to Basic Operations

8.2.1 Discharge

```

definition discharge2 am x cf l n u ≡ do {
  assert (u ∈ V);
  monadic-WHILEIT (λ-. True)
  (λ((x,cf),l,n). do { xu ← x-get x u; return (xu ≠ 0) } )
  (λ((x,cf),l,n). do {
    at-end ← n-at-end n u;
    if at-end then do {
      l ← relabel2 am cf l u;
      n ← n-reset am n u;
      return ((x,cf),l,n)
    } else do {
      v ← n-get-hd n u;
      cfuv ← cf-get cf (u,v);
      lu ← l-get l u;
      lv ← l-get l v;
      if (cfuv ≠ 0 ∧ lu = lv + 1) then do {
        (x,cf) ← push2 x cf (u,v);
        return ((x,cf),l,n)
      } else do {
        n ← n-move-next n u;
        return ((x,cf),l,n)
      }
    }
  })
}

```

```

lemma discharge-structure-refine-aux:
  assumes SR: (ni,n) ∈ nat-rel → ⟨nat-rel⟩ list-set-rel
  assumes SU: (ui,u) ∈ Id
  assumes fNR: fNi ≤ ↓R fN
  assumes UIV: u ∈ V - {s,t}
  assumes fSR: ⋀ v vi vs. [
    (vi,v) ∈ Id; v ∈ n u; ni u = v # vs; (v # vs, n u) ∈ ⟨nat-rel⟩ list-set-rel
  ] ⇒ fSi vi ≤ ↓R (fS v)
  shows
  ( do {
    at-end ← n-at-end ni ui;

```

```

if at-end then fNi
else do {
  v ← n-get-hd ni ui;
  fSi v
}
) ≤ ↴R (
do {
  v ← select v. v ∈ n u;
  case v of
    None ⇒ fN
  | Some v ⇒ fS v
}) (is ?lhs ≤ ↴R ?rhs)
unfolding n-at-end-def n-get-hd-def
apply (simp only: nres-monad-laws)
apply (cases ni u)
subgoal
  using fun-relD[OF SR SU] SU UIV fNR
  by (auto simp: list-set-rel-def in-br-conv pw-le-iff refine-pw-simps)

subgoal for v vs
  using fun-relD[OF SR SU] SU UIV
  using fSR[OF IdI[of v], of vs]
  apply (clarify)
    simp: list-set-rel-def in-br-conv pw-le-iff refine-pw-simps
    split: option.splits)
  by fastforce
done

lemma xf-rel-RELATES[refine-dref-RELATES]: RELATES xf-rel
  by (auto simp: RELATES-def)

lemma discharge2-refine[refine]:
  assumes A: ((x, cf), f) ∈ xf-rel
  assumes AM: (am, adjacent-nodes) ∈ nat-rel → ⟨nat-rel⟩ list-set-rel
  assumes [simplified, simp]: (li, l) ∈ Id (ui, u) ∈ Id
  assumes NR: (ni, n) ∈ nat-rel → ⟨nat-rel⟩ list-set-rel
  shows discharge2 am x cf li ni ui
    ≤ ↴(xf-rel ×r Id ×r (nat-rel → ⟨nat-rel⟩ list-set-rel)) (discharge f l n u)
unfolding discharge2-def discharge-def
apply (rewrite in monadic WHILEIT - - □ - vcg-intro-frame)
apply refine-rcg
apply (vc-solve simp: A NR)
subgoal by (simp add: xf-rel-def x-get-def)
subgoal for f l n x cf ni
  apply (subst vcg-rem-frame)
unfolding n-reset-def cf-get-def l-get-def n-move-next-def
apply (simp only: nres-monad-laws)
apply (rule discharge-structure-refine-aux; (refine-vcg AM)?; (assumption)?)

```

```

apply (vc-solve simp: fun-relD fun-relD[OF AM])
subgoal for v vs unfolding xf-rel-def Graph.E-def by auto
subgoal for v vs by (auto simp: list-set-rel-def in-br-conv)
done
done

```

8.2.2 Initialization of Queue

```

lemma V-is-adj-nodes:  $V = \{v . \text{adjacent-nodes } v \neq \{\}\}$ 
unfolding V-def adjacent-nodes-def by auto

```

```

definition init-CQ am  $\equiv$  do {
  let cardV=0;
  let Q=[];
  nfldli [0..<N] ( $\lambda\_. \text{True}$ ) ( $\lambda v (cardV, Q)$ ). do {
    assert ( $v < N$ );
    inV  $\leftarrow$  am-is-in- V am v;
    if inV then do {
      let cardV = cardV + 1;
      if  $v \neq s \wedge v \neq t$  then
        return (cardV, v#Q)
      else
        return (cardV, Q)
    } else
      return (cardV, Q)
  }) (cardV, Q)
}

```

```

lemma init-CQ-correct[THEN order-trans, refine-vcg]:
assumes AM: is-adj-map am
shows init-CQ am  $\leq$  SPEC ( $\lambda(C, Q). C = \text{card } V \wedge \text{distinct } Q \wedge \text{set } Q = V - \{s, t\}$ )
unfolding init-CQ-def
apply (refine-vcg
  nfldli-rule[where
    I= $\lambda l1 . (C, Q)$ .
    C = card (V ∩ set l1)  $\wedge$  distinct Q  $\wedge$  set Q = (V ∩ set l1) - {s, t} ]
  )
apply (clar simp-all simp: am-to-adj-nodes-refine[OF AM])
using V-ss by (auto simp: upt-eq-lel-conv Int-absorb2)

```

8.2.3 Main Algorithm

```

definition relabel-to-front2 am  $\equiv$  do {
  (cardV, L-right)  $\leftarrow$  init-CQ am;
  xcf  $\leftarrow$  pp-init-xcf2 am;
  l  $\leftarrow$  l-init cardV;
  n  $\leftarrow$  n-init am;
}

```

```

let L-left=[];

((x,cf),l,n,L-left,L-right) ← whileT
  (λ((x,cf),l,n,L-left,L-right). L-right ≠ [])
  (λ((x,cf),l,n,L-left,L-right). do {
    assert (L-right ≠ []);
    let u = hd L-right;
    old-lu ← l-get l u;

    ((x,cf),l,n) ← discharge2 am x cf l n u;

    lu ← l-get l u;
    if (lu ≠ old-lu) then do {
      — Move u to front of l, and restart scanning L. The cost for
      — rev-append is amortized by going to next node in L
      let (L-left,L-right) = ([u],rev-append L-left (tl L-right));
      return ((x,cf),l,n,L-left,L-right)
    } else do {
      — Goto next node in L
      let (L-left,L-right) = (u#L-left, tl L-right);
      return ((x,cf),l,n,L-left,L-right)
    }
  })
  (xcf,l,n,L-left,L-right);

return cf
}

```

lemma relabel-to-front2-refine[refine]:
assumes AM: is-adj-map am
shows relabel-to-front2 am
 $\leq \Downarrow(br (flow-of-cf) (RPreGraph c s t))$ relabel-to-front
proof –
define s-rel
 $:: (- \times ($
capacity-impl flow
 $\times (nat \Rightarrow nat)$
 $\times (node \Rightarrow node set)$
 $\times node list$
 $\times node list))$ set
where s-rel ≡
 $\quad xf\text{-rel}$
 $\quad \times_r Id$
 $\quad \times_r (nat\text{-rel} \rightarrow \langle nat\text{-rel} \rangle list\text{-set}\text{-rel})$
 $\quad \times_r br rev (\lambda_. True)$
 $\quad \times_r Id$

have [refine-dref-RELATES]: RELATES s-rel unfolding RELATES-def ..

```

{
fix f l n
assume neighbor-invar c s t f l n
then interpret neighbor-invar c s t f l n .
have G1: flow-of-cf cf = f by (rule fo-rg-inv)
have G2: RPreGraph c s t cf by (rule is-RPreGraph)
note G1 G2
} note AUX1=this

have AUXR: do {
  (cardV, L-right) ← init-CQ am;
  xcf ← pp-init-xcf2 am;
  l ← l-init cardV;
  n ← n-init am;
  Fi L-right xcf l n
}
≤ ↓R (do {
  L-right ← spec l. distinct l ∧ set l = V - {s, t};
  F L-right
})

if ∧L-right xcf n.
  [ (xcf,pp-init-f) ∈ xf-rel; (n,rtf-init-n) ∈ nat-rel → ⟨nat-rel⟩list-set-rel ]
  ⇒ Fi L-right xcf pp-init-l n ≤ ↓R (F L-right)
for Fi F R
  unfolding l-init-def
  apply (rule refine2specI)
  supply pp-init-xcf2-refine
    [ OF AM, unfolded conc-fun-RETURN, THEN order-trans, refine-vcg]
  supply n-init-refine[ OF AM, THEN order-trans, refine-vcg]
  apply (refine-vcg AM V-ss)
  apply clar simp
  subgoal for L-right x cf n
    using that[of (x,cf) n L-right]
    unfolding pp-init-l-def
    by (clar simp simp: pw-le-iff refine-pw-simps; meson)
  done
show ?thesis
  unfolding relabel-to-front2-def relabel-to-front-def Let-def l-get-def
  apply (simp only: nres-monad-laws)
  apply (rule AUXR)
  apply (refine-rcg)
  apply refine-dref-type
  unfolding s-rel-def
  apply (vc-solve
    simp: in-br-conv rev-append-eq xf-rel-def AUX1 fun-relD
    simp: am-to-adj-nodes-refine[ OF AM])
done

```

qed

8.3 Refinement to Efficient Data Structures

```
context includes Network-Impl-Sepref-Register
begin
  sepref-register n-init
  sepref-register n-at-end
  sepref-register n-get-hd
  sepref-register n-move-next
  sepref-register n-reset
  sepref-register discharge2
  sepref-register init-CQ
  sepref-register relabel-to-front2
end
```

8.3.1 Neighbor Lists by Array of Lists

definition $n\text{-assn} \equiv is\text{-nf } N \ ([::nat\ list])$

```
definition (in  $-$ )  $n\text{-init-impl } s\ t\ am \equiv do \{$ 
   $n \leftarrow array\text{-copy } am;$ 
   $n \leftarrow Array.\text{upd } s \ [ ]\ n;$ 
   $n \leftarrow Array.\text{upd } t \ [ ]\ n;$ 
   $return\ n$ 
}
```

lemma [sepref-fr-rules]:
 $(n\text{-init-impl } s\ t, PR\text{-CONST } n\text{-init}) \in am\text{-assn}^k \rightarrow_a n\text{-assn}$
apply sepref-to-hoare
unfolding am-assn-def n-assn-def n-init-impl-def n-init-def
by (sep-auto)

```
definition (in  $-$ )  $n\text{-at-end-impl } n\ u \equiv do \{$ 
   $nu \leftarrow Array.\text{nth } n\ u;$ 
   $return\ (is\text{-Nil } nu)$ 
}
```

lemma [sepref-fr-rules]:
 $(uncurry\ n\text{-at-end-impl}, uncurry\ (PR\text{-CONST } n\text{-at-end})) \in n\text{-assn}^k *_a node\text{-assn}^k \rightarrow_a bool\text{-assn}$
apply sepref-to-hoare **unfolding** n-at-end-impl-def n-at-end-def n-assn-def
by (sep-auto simp: refine-pw-simps split: list.split)

```
definition (in  $-$ )  $n\text{-get-hd-impl } n\ u \equiv do \{$ 
   $nu \leftarrow Array.\text{nth } n\ u;$ 
   $return\ (hd\ nu)$ 
}
```

lemma [sepref-fr-rules]:
 $(uncurry\ n\text{-get-hd-impl}, uncurry\ (PR\text{-CONST } n\text{-get-hd}))$

```

 $\in n\text{-assn}^k *_a \text{node-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{node-assn}$ 
apply sepref-to-hoare unfolding n-get-hd-impl-def n-get-hd-def n-assn-def
by (sep-auto simp: refine-pw-simps)

definition (in -) n-move-next-impl n u ≡ do {
  nu ← Array.nth n u;
  n ← Array.upd u (tl nu) n;
  return n
}
lemma [sepref-fr-rules]:
  (uncurry n-move-next-impl, uncurry (PR-CONST n-move-next))
 $\in n\text{-assn}^d *_a \text{node-assn}^k \rightarrow_a n\text{-assn}$ 
apply sepref-to-hoare
unfolding n-move-next-impl-def n-move-next-def n-assn-def
by (sep-auto simp: refine-pw-simps)

definition (in -) n-reset-impl am n u ≡ do {
  nu ← Array.nth am u;
  n ← Array.upd u nu n;
  return n
}
lemma [sepref-fr-rules]:
  (uncurry2 n-reset-impl, uncurry2 (PR-CONST n-reset))
 $\in am\text{-assn}^k *_a n\text{-assn}^d *_a \text{node-assn}^k \rightarrow_a n\text{-assn}$ 
apply sepref-to-hoare
unfolding n-reset-impl-def n-reset-def n-assn-def am-assn-def
by (sep-auto simp: refine-pw-simps)

```

8.3.2 Discharge

```

sepref-thm discharge-impl is uncurry5 (PR-CONST discharge2)
:: am-assnk *a x-assnd *a cf-assnd *a l-assnd *a n-assnd *a node-assnk
  →a (x-assn ×a cf-assn) ×a l-assn ×a n-assn
unfolding discharge2-def PR-CONST-def
by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) discharge-impl
uses Network-Impl.discharge-impl.refine-raw is (uncurry5 ?f,-)∈-
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = discharge-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

```

8.3.3 Initialization of Queue

```

sepref-thm init-CQ-impl is (PR-CONST init-CQ)
:: am-assnk →a nat-assn ×a list-assn nat-assn
unfolding init-CQ-def PR-CONST-def
apply (rewrite HOL-list.fold-custom-empty)
by sepref
concrete-definition (in -) init-CQ-impl
uses Network-Impl.init-CQ-impl.refine-raw is (?f,-)∈-
lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = init-CQ-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

```

8.3.4 Main Algorithm

```

sepref-thm relabel-to-front-impl is
  (PR-CONST relabel-to-front2) :: am-assnk →a cf-assn
  unfolding relabel-to-front2-def PR-CONST-def
  supply [[goals-limit = 1]]
  apply (rewrite in Let [] - HOL-list.fold-custom-empty)
  apply (rewrite in [-] HOL-list.fold-custom-empty)
  by sepref
  concrete-definition (in -) relabel-to-front-impl
  uses Network-Impl.relabel-to-front-impl.refine-raw is (?f,-)∈-
  lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = relabel-to-front-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

end — Network Implementation Locale

```

```
export-code relabel-to-front-impl checking SML-imp
```

8.4 Combination with Network Checker and Correctness

```
context Network-Impl begin
```

```

theorem relabel-to-front-impl-correct[sep-heap-rules]:
  assumes AM: is-adj-map am
  shows
    <am-assn am ami>
    relabel-to-front-impl c s t N ami
    <λcfi. ∃A cf. cf-assn cf cf
    * ↑(isMaxFlow (flow-of-cf cf) ∧ RGraph-Impl c s t N cf)>t
proof -
  note relabel-to-front2-refine[OF AM]
  also note relabel-to-front-correct
  finally have R1:
    relabel-to-front2 am
    ≤ ↓ (br flow-of-cf (RPreGraph c s t)) (SPEC isMaxFlow) .

have [simp]: nofail (↓R (RES X)) for R X by (auto simp: refine-pw-simps)

note R2 = relabel-to-front-impl.refine[
  OF Network-Impl-axioms, to-hnr, unfolded autoref-tag-defs]
note R3 = hn-refine-ref[OF R1 R2, of ami]
note R4 = R3[unfolded hn-ctxt-def pure-def, THEN hn-refineD, simplified]

note RGII = rgraph-and-network-impl-imp-rgraph-impl[OF
  RPreGraph.maxflow-imp-rgraph
  Network-Impl-axioms
  ]]

show ?thesis
  by (sep-auto heap: R4 simp: pw-le-iff refine-pw-simps in-br-conv RGII)
qed

```

```

end

definition relabel-to-front-impl-tab-am c s t N am ≡ do {
  ami ← Array.make N am; — TODO/DUP: Called init-ps in Edmonds-Karp
  impl
  relabel-to-front-impl c s t N ami
}

theorem relabel-to-front-impl-tab-am-correct[sep-heap-rules]:
  assumes NW: Network c s t
  assumes VN: Graph.V c ⊆ {0.. $< N$ }
  assumes ABS-PS: Graph.is-adj-map c am
  shows
    <emp>
    relabel-to-front-impl-tab-am c s t N am
    < $\lambda$ cfi.  $\exists_A$ cf.
      asmtx-assn N id-assn cf cf
      *  $\uparrow$ (Network.isMaxFlow c s t (Network.flow-of-cf c cf)
         $\wedge$  RGraph-Impl c s t N cf
      )>t
proof –
  interpret Network c s t by fact
  interpret Network-Impl c s t N using VN by unfold-locales

  from ABS-PS have [simp]: am u = [] if  $u \geq N$  for u
  unfolding is-adj-map-def
  using E-ss-VxV VN that
  apply (subgoal-tac  $u \notin V$ )
  by (auto simp del: inV-less-N)

  show ?thesis
  unfolding relabel-to-front-impl-tab-am-def
  apply vcg
  apply (rule
    Hoare-Triple.cons-rule[OF - - relabel-to-front-impl-correct[OF ABS-PS]])
  subgoal unfolding am-assn-def is-nf-def by sep-auto
  subgoal unfolding cf-assn-def by sep-auto
  done
qed

definition relabel-to-front el s t ≡ do {
  case prepareNet el s t of
  None ⇒ return None
  | Some (c,am,N) ⇒ do {
    cf ← relabel-to-front-impl-tab-am c s t N am;
    return (Some (c,am,N,cf))
  }
}

export-code relabel-to-front checking SML-imp

```

Main correctness statement:

- If *relabel-to-front* returns *None*, the edge list was invalid or described an invalid network.
- If it returns *Some* (c, am, N, cfi), then the edge list is valid and describes a valid network. Moreover, cfi is an integer square matrix of dimension N , which describes a valid residual graph in the network, whose corresponding flow is maximal. Finally, am is a valid adjacency map of the graph, and the nodes of the graph are integers less than N .

theorem *relabel-to-front-correct*:

```
<emp>
relabel-to-front el s t
<λ
  None ⇒ ↑(¬ln-invar el ∨ ¬Network (ln-α el) s t)
| Some (c,am,N,cfi) ⇒
  ↑(c = ln-α el ∧ ln-invar el)
  * (exists A cf. asmtx-assn N int-assn cf cfi
    * ↑(RGraph-Impl c s t N cf
      ∧ Network.isMaxFlow c s t (Network.flow-of-cf c cf)))
  * ↑(Graph.is-adj-map c am)
>t
```

```
unfolded relabel-to-front-def
using prepareNet-correct[of el s t]
by (sep-auto simp: ln-rel-def in-br-conv)
```

end

9 Conclusion

We have presented a verification of two push-relabel algorithms for solving the maximum flow problem. Starting with a generic push-relabel algorithm, we have used stepwise refinement techniques to derive the relabel-to-front and FIFO push-relabel algorithms. Further refinement yields verified efficient imperative implementations of the algorithms.

References

- [1] R.-J. Back. *On the correctness of refinement steps in program development*. PhD thesis, Department of Computer Science, University of Helsinki, 1978.

- [2] R.-J. Back and J. von Wright. *Refinement Calculus — A Systematic Introduction*. Springer, 1998.
- [3] B. V. Cherkassky and A. V. Goldberg. On implementing the push—relabel method for the maximum flow problem. *Algorithmica*, 19(4):390–410, 1997.
- [4] T. H. Cormen, C. E. Leiserson, R. L. Rivest, and C. Stein. *Introduction to Algorithms, Third Edition*. The MIT Press, 3rd edition, 2009.
- [5] A. V. Goldberg and R. E. Tarjan. A new approach to the maximum-flow problem. *J. ACM*, 35(4), Oct. 1988.
- [6] P. Lammich and S. R. Sefidgar. Formalizing the edmonds-karp algorithm. In *Interactive Theorem Proving*. Springer, 2016. to appear.
- [7] P. Lammich and S. R. Sefidgar. Formalizing the edmonds-karp algorithm. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, Aug. 2016. http://isa-afp.org/entries/EdmondsKarp_Maxflow.shtml, Formal proof development.
- [8] G. Lee. Correctnesss of ford-fulkersons maximum flow algorithm1. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(2):305–314, 2005.
- [9] N. Wirth. Program development by stepwise refinement. *Commun. ACM*, 14(4), Apr. 1971.