

Proof Terms for Term Rewriting

Christina Kirk (Kohl)

University of Innsbruck, Austria

April 13, 2025

Abstract

Proof terms are first-order terms that represent reductions in term rewriting. They were initially introduced in [6] and [5, Chapter 8] by van Oostrom and de Vrijer to study equivalences of reductions in left-linear rewrite systems. This entry formalizes proof terms for multi-steps in first-order term rewrite systems. We define simple proof terms (i.e., without a composition operator) and establish the correspondence to multi-steps: each proof term represents a multi-step with the same source and target, and every multi-step can be expressed as a proof term. The formalization moreover includes operations on proof terms, such as residuals, join, and deletion and a method for labeling proof term sources to identify overlaps between two proof terms.

This formalization is part of the *Isabelle Formalization of Rewriting* `lsaFoR` and is an essential component of several formalized confluence and commutation results involving multi-steps [2, 3, 4, 1].

Contents

1	Preliminaries	2
1.1	Utilities for Lists	2
1.1.1	Lists of <i>option</i>	8
1.2	Results About Linear Terms	9
1.3	Results About Substitutions and Contexts	12
1.3.1	Utilities for <i>mk-subst</i>	17
1.4	Matching Terms	19
1.4.1	Matching of Linear Terms	21
2	Proof Terms	25
2.1	Definitions	25
2.2	Frequently Used Locales/Contexts	27
2.3	Proof Term Predicates	28
2.4	'Normal' Terms vs. Proof Terms	32

2.5	Substitutions	33
2.6	Contexts	37
2.7	Source and Target	39
2.8	Additional Results	49
2.9	Proof Terms Represent Multi-Steps	51
3	Operations on Proof Terms	53
3.1	Residuals	57
3.2	Join	73
3.2.1	N-Fold Join	89
3.3	Deletion	125
3.4	Computations With Single Redexes	128
4	Orthogonal Proof Terms	138
5	Labels and Overlaps	150
5.1	Labeled Proof Terms	150
5.2	Measuring Overlap	199
5.3	Collecting Overlapping Positions	208
6	Redex Patterns	212

1 Preliminaries

```

theory Proof-Term-Utils
imports
  First-Order-Terms.Matching
  First-Order-Rewriting.Term-Impl
begin

```

1.1 Utilities for Lists

```

lemma obtain-list-with-property:
  assumes  $\forall x \in \text{set } xs. \exists a. P a x$ 
  shows  $\exists as. \text{length } as = \text{length } xs \wedge (\forall i < \text{length } xs. P (as!i) (xs!i))$ 
  using assms proof (induct xs)
  case (Cons a xs)
  then show ?case
    by (metis length-map nth-map nth-mem)
qed simp

lemma card-Union-Sum:
  assumes is-partition (map f [0..\forall i < \text{length } xs. \text{finite } (f i)
  shows  $\text{card } (\bigcup_{i < \text{length } xs} f i) = (\sum_{i < \text{length } xs} \text{card } (f i))$ 
proof -
  from assms(1) have disj:pairwise ( $\lambda s t. \text{disjnt } (f s) (f t)$ ) {..

```

unfolding *pairwise-def is-partition-alt is-partition-alt-def disjnt-def* **by** *simp*
then have *pairwise disjnt* ($f \text{ ' } \{..<\text{length } xs\}$)
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) pairwiseD pairwise-imageI*)
then have $\text{card } (\bigcup_{i<\text{length } xs} f \ i) = \text{sum } \text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{..<\text{length } xs\})$
using *assms(2) card-Union-disjoint* **by** (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) imageE lessThan-iff*)
with *disj show ?thesis*
using *sum-card-image* **by** (*metis finite-lessThan*)
qed

lemma *sum-sum-concat*: $(\sum_{i<\text{length } xs} \sum_{x \leftarrow f \ (xs!i)} g \ x) = (\sum_{x \leftarrow \text{concat } (\text{map } f \ xs)} g \ x)$
proof(*induct xs*)
case (*Cons a xs*)
then show *?case* **unfolding** *list.map concat.simps map-append sum-list-append*
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) length-nth-simps(2) nth-Cons-0 nth-Cons-Suc sum.cong sum.lessThan-Suc-shift*)
qed *simp*

lemma *concat-map2-zip*:
assumes $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys$
and $\forall i < \text{length } xs. \text{length } (xs!i) = \text{length } (ys!i)$
shows $\text{concat } (\text{map2 } \text{zip } xs \ ys) = \text{zip } (\text{concat } xs) (\text{concat } ys)$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct xs arbitrary:ys rule:rev-induct*)
case (*snoc x xs*)
from *snoc(2)* **obtain** $y \ ys'$ **where** $y:ys = ys'@[y]$
by (*metis append-is-Nil-conv length-0-conv neq-Nil-conv rev-exhaust*)
moreover with *snoc(2)* **have** $l:\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys'$ **by** *simp*
moreover with *snoc(3)* **have** $l':\forall i < \text{length } xs. \text{length } (xs!i) = \text{length } (ys'!i)$
unfolding y **by** (*metis (no-types, lifting) Ex-less-Suc add-Suc-right append.right-neutral append-Cons-nth-left length-Cons length-append order-less-trans*)
ultimately have $IH:\text{concat } (\text{map2 } \text{zip } xs \ ys') = \text{zip } (\text{concat } xs) (\text{concat } ys')$
using *snoc(1)* **by** *presburger*
have $*: \text{concat } (\text{map2 } \text{zip } (xs \ @ \ [x]) \ ys) = \text{concat } (\text{map2 } \text{zip } xs \ ys') \ @ \ (\text{zip } x \ y)$
unfolding y *zip-append[OF l]* **by** *simp*
have $\text{length } (\text{concat } xs) = \text{length } (\text{concat } ys')$
using $l \ l'$ *eq-length-concat-nth* **by** *blast*
then show *?case*
unfolding $*$ *IH* **unfolding** y *concat-append* **using** *zip-append* **by** *simp*
qed *simp*

lemma *sum-list-less*:
assumes $\text{less}:i < j$
and $i'j':i' < \text{length } xs \ j' < \text{length } xs$
and $j'':j'' < \text{length } (xs!j')$
and $\text{sums}:i = \text{sum-list } (\text{map } \text{length } (\text{take } i' \ xs)) + i'' \ j = \text{sum-list } (\text{map } \text{length } (\text{take } j' \ xs)) + j''$
shows $i' \leq j'$
proof(*rule ccontr*)

assume $!:\neg i' \leq j'$
then have $subsums:sum-list (map length (take i' xs)) = sum-list (map length (take j' xs)) + sum-list (map length (take (i'-j') (drop j' xs)))$
by (*metis le-add-diff-inverse map-append nat-le-linear sum-list-append take-add*)

from $*$ **have** $take (i' - j') (drop j' xs) = xs!j' \# (take (i' - (Suc j')) (drop (Suc j') xs))$
using $i'j'$ **by** (*metis Cons-nth-drop-Suc Suc-diff-Suc linorder-le-less-linear take-Suc-Cons*)

with j'' **have** $j'' < sum-list (map length (take (i'-j') (drop j' xs)))$ **by** *simp*
then show *False*
using *sums subsums less* **by** *linarith*
qed

lemma *zip-symm*: $(x, y) \in set (zip xs ys) \implies (y, x) \in set (zip ys xs)$
by (*induct xs ys rule:list-induct2'*) *auto*

lemma *sum-list-elem*:
 $(\sum x \leftarrow [y]. f x) = f y$
by *simp*

lemma *sum-list-zero*:
assumes $\forall i < length xs. f (xs!i) = 0$
shows $(\sum x \leftarrow xs. f x) = 0$
by (*metis assms map-eq-conv' monoid-add-class.sum-list-0*)

lemma *distinct-is-partition*:
assumes *distinct (concat ts)*
shows *is-partition (map set ts)*
using *assms* **proof** (*induct ts*)
case *Nil*
then show *?case*
using *is-partition-Nil* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Cons t ts*)
{fix $i j$ **assume** $j:j < length (t\#ts)$ **and** $ij:i < j$
have $(map set (t\#ts))!i \cap (map set (t\#ts))!j = \{\}$ **proof** (*cases i*)
case 0
show *?thesis* **using** *Cons(2) unfolding 0*
using $ij j$ **by** *force*
next
case (*Suc n*)
from *Cons* **have** *is-partition (map set ts)* **by** *simp*
then show *?thesis*
unfolding *Suc is-partition-def* **using** $j ij$ **using** *Suc Suc-less-eq2* **by** *fastforce*
qed
}
then show *?case* **unfolding** *is-partition-def* **by** *simp*
qed

```

lemma filter-ex-index:
  assumes  $x = \text{filter } f \text{ } xs \ ! \ i \ i < \text{length } (\text{filter } f \text{ } xs)$ 
  shows  $\exists j. j < \text{length } xs \wedge x = xs!j$ 
  using assms proof(induct xs arbitrary:i)
  case (Cons y ys)
  show ?case proof(cases f y)
    case True
    then have filter:filter f (y#ys) = y#(filter f ys) by simp
    show ?thesis proof(cases i)
      case 0
      from Cons(2) show ?thesis unfolding filter 0 by auto
    next
    case (Suc n)
    from Cons(2) have  $x = \text{filter } f \text{ } ys \ ! \ n$ 
      unfolding Suc filter by simp
    moreover from Cons(3) have  $n < \text{length } (\text{filter } f \text{ } ys)$ 
      unfolding Suc filter by simp
    ultimately obtain j where  $j < \text{length } ys$  and  $x = ys \ ! \ j$ 
      using Cons(1) by blast
    then show ?thesis by auto
  qed
next
  case False
  then have filter:filter f (y#ys) = filter f ys by simp
  from Cons obtain j where  $j < \text{length } ys$  and  $x = ys \ ! \ j$ 
    unfolding filter by blast
  then show ?thesis by auto
qed
qed simp

```

```

lemma filter-index-neq':
  assumes  $i < j \ j < \text{length } (\text{filter } f \text{ } xs)$ 
  shows  $\exists i' j'. i' < \text{length } xs \wedge j' < \text{length } xs \wedge i' < j' \wedge xs \ ! \ i' = (\text{filter } f \text{ } xs) \ ! \ j$ 
  using assms proof(induct xs arbitrary: i j)
  case (Cons x xs)
  then show ?case proof(cases f x)
    case True
    show ?thesis proof(cases i)
      case 0
      then have  $i0:\text{filter } f \text{ } (x\#xs) \ ! \ i = (x\#xs) \ ! \ 0$ 
        using  $\langle f \ x \rangle$  by simp
      from Cons(2) obtain  $j'$  where  $j = \text{Suc } j'$ 
        unfolding 0 using gr0-implies-Suc by blast
      with Cons(3) have  $j' < \text{length } (\text{filter } f \text{ } xs)$ 
        unfolding filter.simps using  $\langle f \ x \rangle$  by simp
      then obtain  $j''$  where  $j'' : j'' < \text{length } xs \ \text{filter } f \text{ } xs \ ! \ j' = xs \ ! \ j''$ 
        by (meson filter-ex-index)
    case False
    show ?thesis by blast
  qed

```

```

then have filter f (x#xs) ! j = (x#xs) ! (Suc j')
  using ⟨f x⟩ ⟨j = Suc j'⟩ by simp
with i0 j''(1) show ?thesis
  by (metis length-nth-simps(2) not-less-eq zero-less-Suc)
next
  case (Suc i')
  from Cons(2) obtain j' where j:j = Suc j'
  unfolding Suc using Suc-lessE by auto
  from Cons(1)[of i' j'] Cons(2,3) obtain i'' j'' where i'' < length xs j'' <
length xs i'' < j'' xs ! i'' = filter f xs ! i' xs ! j'' = filter f xs ! j'
  using Suc True j by auto
  then show ?thesis
  by (smt (verit) Suc Suc-less-eq True filter.simps(2) j length-nth-simps(2)
nth-Cons-Suc)
  qed
next
  case False
  then have filter f (x#xs) = filter f xs by simp
  with Cons show ?thesis
  by (metis Suc-less-eq length-nth-simps(2) nth-Cons-Suc)
  qed
qed simp

```

lemma filter-index-neq:

```

assumes i ≠ j i < length (filter f xs) j < length (filter f xs)
shows ∃ i' j'. i' < length xs ∧ j' < length xs ∧ i' ≠ j' ∧ xs ! i' = (filter f xs) !
i ∧ xs ! j' = (filter f xs) ! j
using assms filter-index-neq' proof(cases i < j)
  case False
  then have *:j < i using assms(1) by simp
  then show ?thesis using filter-index-neq'[OF * assms(2)] by blast
qed blast

```

lemma nth-drop-equal:

```

assumes length xs = length ys
  and ∀j < length xs. j ≥ i ⟶ xs!j = ys!j
shows drop i xs = drop i ys
using assms proof (induct i arbitrary: xs ys)
  case 0
  then show ?case
  using nth-equalityI by blast
next
  case (Suc i)
  then show ?case proof(cases Suc i < length xs)
  case True
  then obtain x xs' where x:xs = x # xs'
  by (metis Suc-length-conv Suc-lessE)
  with Suc(2) obtain y ys' where y:ys = y # ys'
  by (metis length-greater-0-conv nth-drop-0)

```

```

from  $Suc(1)[of\ xs'\ ys']$  have  $drop\ i\ xs' = drop\ i\ ys'$ 
  using  $Suc(2,3)$  unfolding  $x\ y$ 
  by ( $metis\ Suc-le-mono\ length-nth-simps(2)\ linorder-not-le\ nat.inject\ nth-Cons-Suc$ )
  then show  $?thesis$  unfolding  $x\ y$  by  $simp$ 
qed  $simp$ 
qed

lemma  $union-take-drop-list$ :
  assumes  $i < length\ xs$ 
  shows  $(set\ (take\ i\ xs)) \cup (set\ (drop\ (Suc\ i)\ xs)) = \{xs!j \mid j < length\ xs \wedge j \neq i\}$ 
proof -
  from  $assms$  have  $i \leq length\ xs$  by  $simp$ 
  have  $set1: set\ (take\ i\ xs) = \{xs ! j \mid j < i\}$ 
    using  $nth-image[OF\ i]$  unfolding  $image-def$  by  $fastforce$ 
  from  $assms$  have  $i: Suc\ i \leq length\ xs$  by  $simp$ 
  have  $set2: set\ (drop\ (Suc\ i)\ xs) = \{xs ! j \mid j < length\ xs \wedge j \neq i\}$  proof
    {fix  $x$  assume  $x \in set\ (drop\ (Suc\ i)\ xs)$ 
      then have  $x \in \{xs ! j \mid j < length\ xs \wedge j \neq i\}$ 
        unfolding  $set-conv-nth\ nth-drop[OF\ i]\ length-drop$  by  $auto$ 
    }
  then show  $set\ (drop\ (Suc\ i)\ xs) \subseteq \{xs ! j \mid j < length\ xs \wedge j \neq i\}$  by  $auto$ 
  {fix  $x$  assume  $x \in \{xs ! j \mid j < length\ xs \wedge j \neq i\}$ 
    then have  $x \in set\ (drop\ (Suc\ i)\ xs)$ 
      unfolding  $set-conv-nth\ nth-drop[OF\ i]\ length-drop$ 
      by ( $smt\ (verit,\ best)\ Suc-leI\ add-diff-inverse-nat\ i\ mem-Collect-eq\ nat-add-left-cancel-less\ not-less-eq-eq$ )
    }
  then show  $\{xs ! j \mid j < length\ xs \wedge j \neq i\} \subseteq set\ (drop\ (Suc\ i)\ xs)$  by  $auto$ 
qed
{fix  $x$  assume  $x \in set\ (take\ i\ xs) \cup set\ (drop\ (Suc\ i)\ xs)$ 
  then consider  $x \in set\ (take\ i\ xs) \mid x \in set\ (drop\ (Suc\ i)\ xs)$  by  $blast$ 
  then have  $x \in \{xs ! j \mid j < length\ xs \wedge j \neq i\}$  proof( $cases$ )
    case 1
      with  $set1$  show  $?thesis$  using  $in-set-idx$  by  $fastforce$ 
    next
      case 2
        with  $set2$  show  $?thesis$  using  $in-set-idx$  by  $fastforce$ 
  }
qed
}moreover
{fix  $x$  assume  $x \in \{xs ! j \mid j < length\ xs \wedge j \neq i\}$ 
  then obtain  $j$  where  $x = xs!j$  and  $j < length\ xs \wedge j \neq i$ 
    by  $blast$ 
  then have  $x \in set\ (take\ i\ xs) \cup set\ (drop\ (Suc\ i)\ xs)$ 
    using  $set1\ set2$  using  $nat-neq-iff$  by  $auto$ 
  }
ultimately show  $?thesis$  by  $auto$ 
qed

```

lemma *list-tl-eq*:
assumes $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys$ $xs \neq []$
and $\forall i < \text{length } xs. i > 0 \longrightarrow xs!i = ys!i$
shows $\text{tl } xs = \text{tl } ys$
by (*metis Suc-le-lessD assms(1) assms(3) length-greater-0-conv list.sel(3) nth-drop-0 nth-drop-equal*)

1.1.1 Lists of option

lemma *length-those*:
assumes $\text{those } xs = \text{Some } ys$
shows $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys$
using *assms* **proof** (*induction xs arbitrary:ys*)
case *Nil*
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Cons a xs*)
from *Cons(2)* **obtain** ys' **where** $ys':\text{those } xs = \text{Some } ys'$
by (*smt not-None-eq option.case-eq-if option.simps(8) those.simps(2)*)
from *Cons(2)* **obtain** y **where** $y:\text{Some } y = a$
by (*metis option.case-eq-if option.exhaust-sel option.simps(3) those.simps(2)*)
from $y \ ys'$ **have** $\text{those } (\text{Cons } a \ xs) = \text{Some } (\text{Cons } y \ ys')$
by *auto*
then show *?case* **using** *Cons ys'*
by *auto*
qed

lemma *those-not-none-x*: $\text{those } xs = \text{Some } ys \implies x \in \text{set } xs \implies x \neq \text{None}$
proof (*induction xs arbitrary: x ys*)
case (*Cons a xs*)
from *Cons(2)* **have** $a \neq \text{None}$ **using** *option.simps(4)* **by** *fastforce*
from *this Cons(2)* **have** $\text{those } xs \neq \text{None}$ **by** *auto*
then show *?case* **using** *Cons(1,3) <a ≠ None>* **by** *auto*
qed (*simp*)

lemma *those-not-none-xs*: $\text{list-all } (\lambda x. x \neq \text{None}) \ xs \implies \text{those } xs \neq \text{None}$
by (*induction xs*) *auto*

lemma *those-some*:
assumes $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys$ $\forall i < \text{length } xs. xs!i = \text{Some } (ys!i)$
shows $\text{those } xs = \text{Some } ys$
using *assms* **by** (*induct rule:list-induct2*) (*simp, force*)

lemma *those-some2*:
assumes $\text{those } xs = \text{Some } ys$
shows $\forall i < \text{length } xs. xs!i = \text{Some } (ys!i)$
proof –
from *assms* **have** $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys$ **by** (*simp add: length-those*)
then show *?thesis* **using** *assms* **proof** (*induction xs ys rule:list-induct2*)

case (*Cons* x xs y ys)
from *Cons*(3) **have** $x \neq \text{None}$ **by** (*metis list.set-intros*(1) *those-not-none-x*)
with *Cons*(3) **have** $*:x = \text{Some } y$ **by force**
with *Cons*(3) **have** *those* $xs = \text{Some } ys$ **by force**
with $* \text{Cons}(2)$ **show** *?case* **by** (*simp add: nth-Cons'*)
qed *simp*
qed

lemma *exists-some-list*:
assumes $\forall i < \text{length } xs. (\exists y. xs!i = \text{Some } y)$
shows $\exists ys. (\forall i < \text{length } xs. xs!i = \text{Some } (ys!i)) \wedge \text{length } ys = \text{length } xs$
by (*metis assms length-map nth-map option.sel*)

1.2 Results About Linear Terms

lemma *linear-term-var-vars-term-list*:
assumes *linear-term* t
shows *vars-term-list* $t = \text{vars-distinct } t$
using *assms linear-term-distinct-vars*
by (*metis comp-apply distinct-rev remdups-id-iff-distinct rev-rev-ident*)

lemma *linear-term-unique-vars*:
assumes *linear-term* s
and $p \in \text{poss } s$ **and** $s|-p = \text{Var } x$
and $q \in \text{poss } s$ **and** $s|-q = \text{Var } x$
shows $p = q$
proof(*rule ccontr*)
assume $p \neq q$
with *assms*(2-) **obtain** i j **where** $ij:i < \text{length } (\text{var-poss-list } s)$ $j < \text{length } (\text{var-poss-list } s)$ $i \neq j$
 $\text{var-poss-list } s ! i = p$ $\text{var-poss-list } s ! j = q$
by (*metis in-set-idx var-poss-iff var-poss-list-sound*)
with *assms*(3,5) **have** *vars-term-list* $s ! i = \text{vars-term-list } s ! j$
by (*metis length-var-poss-list term.inject*(1) *vars-term-list-var-poss-list*)
moreover from *assms*(1) **have** *distinct* (*vars-term-list* s)
by (*metis distinct-remdups distinct-rev linear-term-var-vars-term-list o-apply*)
ultimately show *False* **using** *ij*(1,2,3)
by (*metis distinct-Ex1 length-var-poss-list nth-mem*)
qed

lemma *linear-term-ctxt*:
assumes *linear-term* t
and $p \in \text{poss } t$
shows *vars-ctxt* (*ctxt-of-pos-term* p t) \cap *vars-term* ($t|-p$) = {}
using *assms* **proof**(*induct* p *arbitrary:t*)
case (*Cons* i p)
from *Cons*(3) **obtain** f ts **where** $t:t = \text{Fun } f$ ts $i < \text{length } ts$ $p \in \text{poss } (ts!i)$
using *args-poss* **by** *blast*
with *Cons*(1,2) **have** *IH:vars-ctxt* (*ctxt-of-pos-term* p $(ts!i)$) \cap *vars-term* ($((ts!i)$)

```

|- p) = {}
  by simp
  {fix j assume j:j < length ts j ≠ i
   with Cons(2) have vars-term (ts!j) ∩ vars-term (ts!i |-p) = {}
   unfolding t using var-in-linear-args t(2,3) by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting)
Int-Un-distrib disjoint-iff sup-bot.neutr-eq-iff vars-ctxt-pos-term)
  }
  then have ∪ {vars-term (ts ! j) |j. j < length ts ∧ j ≠ i} ∩ vars-term (ts!i |-p)
= {}
  by blast
  moreover have (∪ (vars-term ' set (take i ts)) ∪ ∪ (vars-term ' set (drop (Suc
i) ts))) =
    ∪ {vars-term (ts ! j) |j. j < length ts ∧ j ≠ i}
  unfolding set-map[symmetric] take-map[symmetric] drop-map[symmetric] Union-Un-distrib[symmetric]
  using union-take-drop-list[where xs=(map vars-term ts)] unfolding length-map
using t(2) by auto
  ultimately show ?case unfolding t ctxt-of-pos-term.simps subt-at.simps using
IH
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) bot-eq-sup-iff inf-sup-distrib2 vars-ctxt.simps(2))

```

qed simp

lemma linear-term-obtain-subst:

```

assumes linear-term (Fun f ts) and l:length ts = length ss
  and subst: ∀ i < length ts. (∃ σ. ts!i · σ = ss!i)
shows ∃ σ. Fun f ts · σ = Fun f ss
using assms proof (induct ts arbitrary: ss)
case (Cons t ts)
from Cons(3) obtain s ss' where ss:ss = s#ss'
  by (metis length-Suc-conv)
from Cons(2) have lin:linear-term (Fun f ts)
  unfolding linear-term.simps by (simp add: is-partition-Cons)
from Cons(4) have ∀ i < length ts. ∃ σ. ts ! i · σ = ss' ! i
  unfolding ss by (metis length-nth-simps(2) not-less-eq nth-Cons-Suc)
then obtain σ where σ:Fun f ts · σ = Fun f ss'
  using Cons(1)[OF lin, of ss'] using Cons.prem(2) ss by auto
from Cons(4) obtain σ1 where σ1:t · σ1 = s
  using ss by auto
let ?σ=λx. if x ∈ vars-term t then σ1 x else σ x
have t:t · ?σ = s
  by (simp add: σ1 term-subst-eq)
{fix i assume i < length ts
  then have ts!i · ?σ = ss!i
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) Cons.prem(1) Cons.prem(2) Suc-inject Suc-leI σ
σ1 eval-term.simps(2) le-imp-less-Suc length-nth-simps(2) map-nth-eq-conv nth-Cons-0
nth-Cons-Suc ss term.sel(4) term-subst-eq var-in-linear-args zero-less-Suc)
  }
with t have Fun f (t#ts) · ?σ = Fun f ss
  using Cons.prem(2) map-nth-eq-conv ss by auto

```

then show *?case* **by** *blast*
qed *simp*

lemma *linear-ctxt-of-pos-term*:

assumes *linear-term* t **and** *lin-s:linear-term* s **and** $p:p \in \text{poss } t$
and *vars-term* $t \cap \text{vars-term } s = \{\}$
shows *linear-term* (*replace-at* t p s)
using *assms* **proof**(*induct* t *arbitrary:p*)
case (*Var* x)
with p **have** $p = []$ **by** *simp*
with *lin-s* **show** *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Fun* f ts)
from *lin-s* **show** *?case* **proof**(*cases* p)
case (*Cons* i p')
with *Fun*(4) **have** $i:i < \text{length } ts$ **by** *simp*
with *Fun*(4) **have** $p':p' \in \text{poss } (ts!i)$ **unfolding** *Cons* **by** *simp*
{fix n **assume** $n:n < \text{length } ts$ $n \neq i$
with *Fun*(2) **have** *vars-term* $(ts!n) \cap \text{vars-term } (ts!i) = \{\}$
by (*metis disjoint-iff* *var-in-linear-args*)
then **have** *vars-term* $(ts!n) \cap \text{vars-ctxt } (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p' (ts!i)) = \{\}$
using p' *vars-ctxt-pos-term* **by** *fastforce*
moreover **from** n *Fun*(5) **have** *vars-term* $(ts!n) \cap \text{vars-term } s = \{\}$
by (*meson disjoint-iff nth-mem term.set-intros*(4))
ultimately **have** *vars-term* $(ts!n) \cap \text{vars-term } ((\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p' (ts !$
 $i))\langle s \rangle) = \{\}$
unfolding *vars-term-ctxt-apply* **by** *blast*
}
with *Fun*(2) **have** *is-partition* (*map* *vars-term* (*take* i ts @ (*ctxt-of-pos-term* p'
 $(ts ! i)\langle s \rangle \# \text{drop } (\text{Suc } i) ts$))
unfolding *linear-term.simps is-partition-def* **by** (*smt* (*z3*) *Int-commute* *append-Cons-nth-not-middle* *id-take-nth-drop*
length-append length-map length-nth-simps(2) *linorder-neq-iff nth-append-take*
nth-map order.strict-implies-order order.strict-trans)
moreover **have** *linear-term* $((\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p' (ts ! i))\langle s \rangle)$
using *Fun* p' **by** (*meson disjoint-iff* *linear-term.simps*(2) *nth-mem term.set-intros*(4))

ultimately **show** *?thesis*
using *Fun*(2) **unfolding** *Cons* *ctxt-of-pos-term.simps* *intp-actxt.simps* *linear-term.simps*
by (*metis Un-iff in-set-dropD in-set-takeD set-ConsD set-append*)
qed *simp*
qed

lemma *distinct-vars*:

assumes $\bigwedge p q x y. p \neq q \implies p \in \text{poss } t \implies q \in \text{poss } t \implies t|_p = \text{Var } x \implies$
 $t|_q = \text{Var } y \implies x \neq y$
shows *distinct* (*vars-term-list* t)
proof –

```

{fix i j assume ij:i ≠ j and i:i < length (vars-term-list t) and j:j < length
(vars-term-list t)
  let ?p=var-poss-list t ! i and ?q=var-poss-list t ! j
  let ?x=vars-term-list t ! i and ?y=vars-term-list t ! j
  from ij i j have pq:?p ≠ ?q
    by (simp add: distinct-var-poss-list length-var-poss-list nth-eq-iff-index-eq)
  have p:?p ∈ poss t
    by (metis i length-var-poss-list nth-mem var-poss-imp-poss var-poss-list-sound)

  have q:?q ∈ poss t
    by (metis j length-var-poss-list nth-mem var-poss-imp-poss var-poss-list-sound)

  have ?x ≠ ?y
    using assms[OF pq p q] i j by (simp add: vars-term-list-var-poss-list)
}
then show ?thesis by (meson distinct-conv-nth)
qed

```

```

lemma distinct-vars-linear-term:
  assumes distinct (vars-term-list t)
  shows linear-term t
  using assms proof (induct t)
  case (Fun f ts)
  {fix t assume t:t ∈ set ts
    with Fun(2) have distinct (vars-term-list t)
      unfolding vars-term-list.simps by (simp add: distinct-concat-iff)
    with t Fun(1) have linear-term t
      by auto
  }
  moreover have is-partition (map vars-term ts)
    using Fun(2) unfolding vars-term-list.simps using distinct-is-partition set-vars-term-list
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) length-map map-nth-eq-conv)
  ultimately show ?case by simp
qed simp

```

```

lemma distinct-vars-eq-linear: linear-term t = distinct (vars-term-list t)
  using distinct-vars-linear-term linear-term-distinct-vars by blast

```

1.3 Results About Substitutions and Contexts

```

lemma ctxt-apply-term-subst:
  assumes linear-term t and i < length (vars-term-list t)
  and p = (var-poss-list t)!i
  shows (ctxt-of-pos-term p (t · σ))⟨s⟩ = t · σ((vars-term-list t)!i := s)
proof -
  from assms(2,3) have t|-p = Var ((vars-term-list t)!i)
    by (metis vars-term-list-var-poss-list)
  with assms show ?thesis
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) filter-cong fun-upd-other fun-upd-same length-var-poss-list)

```

linear-term-replace-in-subst nth-mem var-poss-imp-poss var-poss-list-sound)
qed

lemma *ctxt-subst-apply*:

assumes $p \in \text{poss } t$ **and** $t|-p = \text{Var } x$
and *linear-term* t
shows $((\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ t) \cdot_c \sigma)\langle s \rangle = t \cdot \sigma(x := s)$
unfolding *ctxt-of-pos-term-subst[symmetric, OF assms(1)]*
using *assms*
by (*smt (verit) fun-upd-apply linear-term-replace-in-subst*)

lemma *ctxt-of-pos-term-hole-subst*:

assumes *linear-term* t
and $i < \text{length } (\text{var-poss-list } t)$ **and** $p = \text{var-poss-list } t ! i$
and $\forall x \in \text{vars-term } t. x \neq \text{vars-term-list } t ! i \longrightarrow \sigma x = \tau x$
shows $\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ (t \cdot \sigma) = \text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ (t \cdot \tau)$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct p arbitrary: t i*)
case (*Cons j p*)
from *Cons(3,4)* **have** $j\#p \in \text{var-poss } t$
using *nth-mem* **by** *force*
then obtain $f \ ts$ **where** $ts.j < \text{length } ts \ t = \text{Fun } f \ ts \ p \in \text{var-poss } (ts!j)$
by (*metis args-poss subt-at.simps(2) var-poss-iff*)
then obtain i' **where** $i':i' < \text{length } (\text{var-poss-list } (ts!j)) \ p = \text{var-poss-list } (ts!j)!i'$
using *var-poss-list-sound* **by** (*metis in-set-conv-nth*)
from *Cons(3,4)* **have** $\text{Var } (\text{vars-term-list } t ! i) = t|-j\#p$
by (*metis length-var-poss-list vars-term-list-var-poss-list*)
also have $\dots = (ts!j)|-p$
unfolding *ts(2)* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = \text{Var } (\text{vars-term-list } (ts!j) ! i')$
using i' **by** (*simp add: length-var-poss-list vars-term-list-var-poss-list*)
finally have $*\text{:vars-term-list } t ! i = \text{vars-term-list } (ts ! j) ! i'$ **by** *simp*
with *Cons(5)* **have** $\forall x \in \text{vars-term } (ts!j). x \neq \text{vars-term-list } (ts!j) ! i' \longrightarrow \sigma x = \tau x$
 τx
unfolding *ts(2)* **using** *ts(1)* **by** *auto*
with *Cons(2)* $i' \ ts$ **have** $IH:\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ ((ts!j) \cdot \sigma) = \text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ ((ts!j) \cdot \tau)$
using *Cons(1)[of ts!j i']* **by** (*meson linear-term.simps(2) nth-mem*)
{fix j' **assume** $j':j' < \text{length } ts \ j' \neq j$
with *Cons(2)* **have** $\text{vars-term } (ts ! j') \cap \text{vars-term } (ts!j) = \{\}$
unfolding *ts(2)* **by** (*metis disjoint-iff ts(1) var-in-linear-args*)
then have $\forall x \in \text{vars-term } (ts!j'). \sigma x = \tau x$
using *Cons(5) j' ** **by** (*metis disjoint-iff i'(1) length-var-poss-list nth-mem set-vars-term-list term.set-intros(4) ts(2)*)
then have $(ts!j') \cdot \sigma = (ts!j') \cdot \tau$
by (*meson term-subst-eq*)
} **note** $t'=this$
with *ts(1)* **have** $\text{take } j \ (\text{map } (\lambda t. t \cdot \sigma) \ ts) = \text{take } j \ (\text{map } (\lambda t. t \cdot \tau) \ ts)$
using *nth-take-lemma[of j (map (\lambda t. t \cdot \sigma) ts) (map (\lambda t. t \cdot \tau) ts)]* **by** *simp*
moreover from $t' \ ts(1)$ **have** $(\text{drop } (\text{Suc } j) \ (\text{map } (\lambda t. t \cdot \sigma) \ ts)) = (\text{drop } (\text{Suc } j) \ (\text{map } (\lambda t. t \cdot \tau) \ ts))$

j) ($\text{map } (\lambda t. t \cdot \tau) \text{ ts}$)
 using nth-drop-equal [of ($\text{map } (\lambda t. t \cdot \sigma) \text{ ts}$) ($\text{map } (\lambda t. t \cdot \tau) \text{ ts}$) $\text{Suc } j$] by *auto*
 ultimately show *?case*
 unfolding $\text{ts}(2)$ eval-term.simps $\text{ctxt-of-pos-term.simps}$ using IH by (simp
 $\text{add: ts}(1)$)
 qed *simp*

lemma $\text{ctxt-apply-ctxt-apply}$:

assumes $p \in \text{poss } t$
 shows $(\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } (p@q) ((\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ t) \langle s \rangle)) \langle u \rangle = (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ t) \langle (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } q \ s) \langle u \rangle \rangle$
 by (metis assms ctxt-ctxt $\text{ctxt-of-pos-term-append}$ $\text{hole-pos-ctxt-of-pos-term}$ hole-pos-id-ctxt hole-pos-poss $\text{replace-at-subst-at}$)

lemma $\text{replace-at-append-subst}$:

assumes $\text{linear-term } t$
 and $p \in \text{poss } t \mid \text{-} p = \text{Var } x$
 shows $(\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } (p@q) (t \cdot \sigma)) \langle s \rangle = t \cdot \sigma(x := (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } q \ (\sigma \ x))) \langle s \rangle$
 using assms **proof**($\text{induct } p \ \text{arbitrary:} \ t$)
 case ($\text{Cons } i \ p$)
 then obtain $f \ \text{ts}$ where $t:t = \text{Fun } f \ \text{ts}$ and $i:i < \text{length } \text{ts}$ and $p:p \in \text{poss } (\text{ts}!i)$
 by (meson args-poss)
 from $\text{Cons}(4)$ have $x:(\text{ts}!i) \mid \text{-} p = \text{Var } x$
 unfolding t by *simp*
 from $\text{Cons}(2)$ have $\text{lin:linear-term } (\text{ts}!i)$
 using $i \ t$ by *simp*
 have $\text{IH}:(\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } (p@q) ((\text{ts}!i) \cdot \sigma)) \langle s \rangle = (\text{ts}!i) \cdot \sigma(x := (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } q \ (\sigma \ x))) \langle s \rangle$
 using $\text{Cons}(1)[\text{OF } \text{lin } p \ x]$.
 let $?s = \sigma(x := (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } q \ (\sigma \ x))) \langle s \rangle$
 {**fix** j **assume** $j:j < \text{length } \text{ts}$ $j \neq i$
 from x have $x \in \text{vars-term } (\text{ts}!i)$
 by (metis $p \ \text{subsetD}$ $\text{term.set-intros}(3)$ $\text{vars-term-subst-at}$)
 then have $x \notin \text{vars-term } (\text{ts}!j)$
 using $j \ \text{Cons}(2)$ **unfolding** t by ($\text{meson } i \ \text{var-in-linear-args}$)
 then have $(\text{ts}!j) \cdot \sigma = (\text{ts}!j) \cdot ?s$
 by (simp $\text{add: term-subst-eq-conv}$)
 } **note** sigma=this
 then have $\text{take } i \ (\text{map } (\lambda t. t \cdot \sigma) \ \text{ts}) = \text{take } i \ (\text{map } (\lambda t. t \cdot ?s) \ \text{ts})$
 using nth-take-lemma [of $i \ (\text{map } (\lambda t. t \cdot \sigma) \ \text{ts}) \ (\text{map } (\lambda t. t \cdot ?s) \ \text{ts})$] i by *simp*
 moreover from sigma have $\text{drop } (\text{Suc } i) \ (\text{map } (\lambda t. t \cdot \sigma) \ \text{ts}) = \text{drop } (\text{Suc } i) \ (\text{map } (\lambda t. t \cdot ?s) \ \text{ts})$
 using nth-drop-equal [of ($\text{map } (\lambda t. t \cdot \sigma) \ \text{ts}$) ($\text{map } (\lambda t. t \cdot ?s) \ \text{ts}$)] i by *simp*
 ultimately show *?case*
 unfolding t append-Cons eval-term.simps $\text{ctxt-of-pos-term.simps}$ intp-actxt.simps $\text{nth-map}[\text{OF } i] \ \text{IH}$
 by ($\text{metis } i \ \text{id-take-nth-drop}$ length-map nth-map)
 qed *simp*

```

lemma replace-at-fun-poss-not-below:
  assumes  $\neg p \leq_p q$ 
    and  $p \in \text{poss } t$  and  $q \in \text{fun-poss } (\text{replace-at } t \ p \ s)$ 
  shows  $q \in \text{fun-poss } t$ 
  using assms by (metis ctxt-supt-id fun-poss-ctxt-apply-term hole-pos-ctxt-of-pos-term less-eq-pos-def)

lemma substitution-subterm-at:
  assumes  $\forall j < \text{length } (\text{vars-term-list } l). \sigma (\text{vars-term-list } l \ ! \ j) = s \ | - (\text{var-poss-list } l \ ! \ j)$ 
    and  $\exists \tau. l \cdot \tau = s$ 
  shows  $l \cdot \sigma = s$ 
  using assms proof(induct l arbitrary:s)
  case (Var x)
  then show ?case
    unfolding vars-term-list.simps poss-list.simps var-poss.simps eval-term.simps
by simp
next
  case (Fun f ts)
  from Fun( $\exists$ ) obtain ss where  $s:s = \text{Fun } f \ ss$  and  $l:\text{length } ts = \text{length } ss$ 
  by fastforce
  {fix i assume  $i:i < \text{length } ts$ 
    {fix j assume  $j:j < \text{length } (\text{vars-term-list } (ts!i))$ 
      let  $?p = \text{var-poss-list } (ts!i) \ ! \ j$ 
      let  $?x = \text{vars-term-list } (ts!i) \ ! \ j$ 
      let  $?k = \text{sum-list } (\text{map } (\text{length} \circ \text{vars-term-list}) (\text{take } i \ ts)) + j$ 
      from i j have  $x: ?x = \text{vars-term-list } (\text{Fun } f \ ts) \ ! \ ?k$ 
      unfolding vars-term-list.simps by (simp add: concat-nth take-map)
      have  $p:\text{var-poss-list } (\text{Fun } f \ ts) \ ! \ ?k = i \ \# \ ?p$  proof–
        from i have  $i':i < \text{length } (\text{map2 } (\lambda x. \text{map } ((\#) \ x)) [0..<\text{length } ts] (\text{map } \text{var-poss-list } ts))$ 
          by simp
        from i j have  $j < \text{length } ((\text{map } \text{var-poss-list } ts) \ ! \ i)$ 
          using length-var-poss-list by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) nth-map)
        with i have  $j':j < \text{length } (\text{map2 } (\lambda x. \text{map } ((\#) \ x)) [0..<\text{length } ts] (\text{map } \text{var-poss-list } ts) \ ! \ i)$ 
          by simp
        {fix l assume  $l < \text{length } ts$ 
          then have  $(\text{map } \text{length } (\text{map2 } (\lambda x. \text{map } ((\#) \ x)) [0..<\text{length } ts] (\text{map } \text{var-poss-list } ts)))) \ ! \ l = (\text{map } (\text{length} \circ \text{vars-term-list}) (ts) \ ! \ l$ 
            using length-var-poss-list by simp
          }
        }
      then have  $\text{map } \text{length } (\text{map2 } (\lambda x. \text{map } ((\#) \ x)) [0..<\text{length } ts] (\text{map } \text{var-poss-list } ts)) = \text{map } (\text{length} \circ \text{vars-term-list}) \ ts$ 
        using nth-equalityI[where  $ys = \text{map } (\text{length} \circ \text{vars-term-list}) \ ts$ ] by simp
        with i have  $k:\text{sum-list } (\text{map } \text{length } (\text{take } i (\text{map2 } (\lambda x. \text{map } ((\#) \ x)) [0..<\text{length } ts] (\text{map } \text{var-poss-list } ts)))) + j = ?k$ 
          by (metis take-map)
    }
  }

```

```

then have var-poss-list (Fun f ts) ! ?k = (map2 (λi. map ((#) i)) [0..<length
ts] (map var-poss-list ts))!i !j
  unfolding var-poss-list.simps using concat-nth[OF i' j'] by presburger
  also have ... = (map ((#) i) (var-poss-list (ts!i))!j using i by simp
  also have ... = i # ?p using nth-map j length-var-poss-list by metis
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
from i j have k: ?k < length (vars-term-list (Fun f ts))
  unfolding vars-term-list.simps by (metis concat-nth-length length-map
map-map nth-map take-map)
  from Fun(2) k have σ ?x = (ss!i) |- (var-poss-list (ts!i) ! j)
  unfolding x s using p by simp
}
then have ∀ j < length (vars-term-list (ts!i)).σ (vars-term-list (ts!i) ! j) = (ss!i)
|- var-poss-list (ts!i) ! j
  by simp
moreover from Fun(3) have ∃ τ. (ts!i) · τ = ss!i
  unfolding eval-term.simps s using i l by (metis nth-map term.inject(2))
  ultimately have (ts!i) · σ = ss!i
  using i Fun(1) nth-mem by blast
}
then show ?case unfolding eval-term.simps s
using l by (simp add: map-nth-eq-conv)
qed

```

lemma vars-map-vars-term:

```

map f (vars-term-list t) = vars-term-list (map-vars-term f t)
unfolding map-vars-term-eq proof(induct t)
  case (Fun g ts)
  then have map (λxs. map f xs)(map vars-term-list ts) = map vars-term-list (map
(λt. t · (Var o f)) ts)
  by fastforce
  then show ?case unfolding vars-term-list.simps eval-term.simps map-map map-concat
by presburger
qed (simp add: vars-term-list.simps)

```

lemma ctxt-apply-subt-at:

```

assumes q ∈ poss s
shows (ctxt-of-pos-term p (s|-q)) ⟨t⟩ = (ctxt-of-pos-term (q@p) s) ⟨t⟩ |- q
using assms proof(induct q arbitrary: s)
  case (Cons i q)
  from Cons(2) obtain f ss where i:i < length ss and s:s = Fun f ss
  by (meson args-poss)
  from i Cons show ?case unfolding s
  by (metis ctxt-apply-ctxt-apply ctxt-supt-id replace-at-subt-at)
qed simp

```


1.3.1 Utilities for *mk-subst*

We consider the special case of applying *mk-subst* when the variables involved form a partition.

lemma *mk-subst-same*:

assumes $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ts$ *distinct* xs
shows $\text{map } (\text{mk-subst } f \ (\text{zip } xs \ ts)) \ xs = ts$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: mk-subst-distinct map-nth-eq-conv*)

lemma *map2-zip*: $\text{set } (\text{map } \text{fst } (\text{concat } (\text{map2 } \text{zip } xs \ ys))) \subseteq \text{set } (\text{concat } xs)$

proof

fix x **assume** $x : x \in \text{set } (\text{map } \text{fst } (\text{concat } (\text{map2 } \text{zip } xs \ ys)))$
let $?l = \min (\text{length } xs) (\text{length } ys)$
from x **obtain** i **where** $i : i < ?l \ x \in \text{set } (\text{map } \text{fst } (\text{zip } (xs!i) (ys!i)))$
by (*smt (verit) case-prod-conv in-set-conv-nth length-map length-zip min.strict-boundedE nth-concat-split nth-map nth-zip*)
then have $x \in \text{set } (xs!i)$
by (*metis in-set-takeD map-fst-zip-take*)
then show $x \in \text{set } (\text{concat } xs)$
using $i(1)$ **by** (*metis concat-nth concat-nth-length in-set-conv-nth min.strict-boundedE*)

qed

lemma *mk-subst-partition*:

fixes $xs :: 'a \ \text{list}$ *list*
assumes $l : \text{length } xs = \text{length } ss$
and *part:is-partition* ($\text{map } \text{set } xs$)
shows $\forall i < \text{length } xs. \forall x \in \text{set } (xs!i). (\text{mk-subst } f \ (\text{zip } (xs!i) (ss!i))) \ x = (\text{mk-subst } f \ (\text{concat } (\text{map2 } \text{zip } xs \ ss))) \ x$
proof–
{fix i **assume** $i : i < \text{length } xs$
{fix x **assume** $x : x \in \text{set } (xs!i)$
have $\text{concat } (\text{map2 } \text{zip } xs \ ss) = \text{concat } (\text{map2 } \text{zip } (\text{take } i \ xs) (\text{take } i \ ss)) \ @ \ \text{concat } (\text{map2 } \text{zip } (\text{drop } i \ xs) (\text{drop } i \ ss))$
by (*metis append-take-drop-id concat-append drop-map drop-zip take-map take-zip*)
moreover have $\text{concat } (\text{map2 } \text{zip } (\text{drop } i \ xs) (\text{drop } i \ ss)) = \text{concat } (\text{zip } (xs!i) (ss!i) \ # \ (\text{map2 } \text{zip } (\text{drop } (\text{Suc } i) \ xs) (\text{drop } (\text{Suc } i) \ ss)))$
using $i \ l$ **by** (*smt (verit, del-Insts) Cons-nth-drop-Suc list.map(2) prod.simps(2) zip-Cons-Cons*)
ultimately have $cc : \text{concat } (\text{map2 } \text{zip } xs \ ss) = \text{concat } (\text{map2 } \text{zip } (\text{take } i \ xs) (\text{take } i \ ss)) \ @ \ \text{concat } (\text{zip } (xs!i) (ss!i) \ # \ (\text{map2 } \text{zip } (\text{drop } (\text{Suc } i) \ xs) (\text{drop } (\text{Suc } i) \ ss)))$ **by** *presburger*
{fix j **assume** $j < \text{length } xs$ **and** $j \neq i$
with $i \ x$ **part** **have** $x \notin \text{set } (xs!j)$
unfolding *is-partition-alt is-partition-alt-def* **by** *auto*
} note *part=this*
then have $x \notin \text{set } (\text{concat } (\text{take } i \ xs))$

```

    by (smt (verit) in-set-conv-nth length-take less-length-concat min.strict-boundedE
nth-take order-less-irrefl)
    then have  $x \notin \text{set} (\text{map fst} (\text{concat} (\text{map2 zip} (\text{take } i \text{ } xs) (\text{take } i \text{ } ss))))$ 
      using map2-zip in-mono by fastforce
    then have  $\text{subst}:(\text{mk-subst } f (\text{concat} (\text{map2 zip } xs \text{ } ss))) \text{ } x = (\text{mk-subst } f$ 
 $(\text{concat} (\text{zip } (xs!i) (ss!i) \#$ 
 $(\text{map2 zip} (\text{drop} (\text{Suc } i) \text{ } xs)$ 
 $(\text{drop} (\text{Suc } i) \text{ } ss)))))) \text{ } x$ 
      unfolding cc using mk-subst-concat by metis
    have  $\text{mk-subst } f (\text{zip } (xs ! i) (ss ! i)) \text{ } x = (\text{mk-subst } f (\text{concat} (\text{map2 zip } xs$ 
 $ss))) \text{ } x$ 
    proof (cases  $x \in \text{set} (\text{map fst} (\text{zip } (xs!i) (ss!i)))$ )
      case True
        then show ?thesis
          using mk-subst-concat-Cons subst by metis
      next
        case False
          {fix j assume  $j:j < \text{length} (\text{drop} (\text{Suc } i) \text{ } xs)$ 
            then have  $(\text{drop} (\text{Suc } i) \text{ } xs)!j = xs!(\text{Suc } i + j)$ 
              using Suc-leI i nth-drop by blast
            moreover from i j have  $\text{Suc } i + j < \text{length } xs$ 
              by (metis add.commute length-drop less-diff-conv)
            ultimately have  $x \notin \text{set} ((\text{drop} (\text{Suc } i) \text{ } xs)!j)$ 
              using part by (metis Suc-n-not-le-n le-add1)
          }
          then have  $x \notin \text{set} (\text{concat} (\text{drop} (\text{Suc } i) \text{ } xs))$ 
            by (smt (verit) in-set-conv-nth length-map length-take less-not-refl2
min.strict-boundedE nth-concat-split nth-map nth-take)
          then have  $x \notin \text{set} (\text{map fst} (\text{concat} (\text{map2 zip} (\text{drop} (\text{Suc } i) \text{ } xs) (\text{drop}$ 
 $(\text{Suc } i) \text{ } ss))))$ 
            using map2-zip in-mono by fastforce
          with False have  $x \notin \text{set} (\text{map fst} (\text{concat} (\text{zip } (xs!i) (ss!i) \# (\text{map2 zip}$ 
 $(\text{drop} (\text{Suc } i) \text{ } xs) (\text{drop} (\text{Suc } i) \text{ } ss))))$ 
            unfolding concat.simps by (metis Un-iff map-append set-append)
          with False show ?thesis
            unfolding subst using mk-subst-not-mem' by metis
          qed
        }
      }
    then show ?thesis by simp
  qed

```

The following lemma is used later to show that $A = (\text{to-pterm } (lhs \ \alpha)) \cdot \sigma$ implies $A = (\text{to-pterm } (lhs \ \alpha)) \cdot \langle As \rangle_\alpha$ for some suitable As .

lemma *subst-imp-mk-subst*:

```

  assumes  $s = t \cdot \sigma$ 
  shows  $\exists ss. t \cdot \sigma = t \cdot (\text{mk-subst } \text{Var} (\text{zip} (\text{vars-distinct } t) \text{ } ss)) \wedge \text{length } ss =$ 
 $\text{length} (\text{vars-distinct } t) \wedge (\forall i < \text{length } ss. \sigma (\text{vars-distinct } t!i) = ss!i)$ 
  proof –

```

```

let ?ss=map  $\sigma$  (vars-distinct t)
let ? $\tau$ =(mk-subst Var (zip (vars-distinct t) ?ss))
{fix x assume  $x \in$  vars-term t
  then have  $\sigma x = ?\tau x$  unfolding mk-subst-def
  by (simp add: map-of-zip-map)
}
then have  $t \cdot \sigma = t \cdot ?\tau$ 
  using term-subst-eq by blast
then show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

lemma *mk-subst-rename*:

```

assumes length (vars-distinct t) = length xs and inj f
shows  $t \cdot (mk-subst Var (zip (vars-distinct t) xs)) = (map-vars-term f t) \cdot$ 
 $(mk-subst Var (zip (vars-distinct (map-vars-term f t)) xs))$ 
proof -
{fix x assume  $x \in$  vars-term t
  then obtain i where  $i:x = (vars-distinct t)!i$   $i < length (vars-distinct t)$ 
  by (metis in-set-conv-nth set-vars-term-list vars-term-list-vars-distinct)
  with assms have  $1:(mk-subst Var (zip (vars-distinct t) xs)) x = xs!i$ 
  using mk-subst-distinct by (metis comp-apply distinct-remdups distinct-rev)
  have  $vars-distinct (map-vars-term f t) = map f (vars-distinct t)$ 
  unfolding vars-map-vars-term[symmetric] comp-apply using assms(2)
  by (metis distinct-map distinct-remdups distinct-remdups-id inj-on-inverseI
remdups-map-remdups rev-map the-inv-f-f)
  with assms i have  $2:(mk-subst Var (zip (vars-distinct (map-vars-term f t))$ 
 $xs)) (f x) = xs!i$ 
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) comp-apply distinct-remdups distinct-rev length-map
mk-subst-same nth-map)
  from 1 2 have  $(mk-subst Var (zip (vars-distinct t) xs)) x = (mk-subst Var (zip$ 
 $(vars-distinct (map-vars-term f t)) xs)) (f x)$ 
  by presburger
}
then show ?thesis
  by (simp add: apply-subst-map-vars-term term-subst-eq-conv)
qed

```

1.4 Matching Terms

The goal is showing that $match (t \cdot \sigma) t = Some \sigma$ whenever the domain of σ is a subset of the variables in t . For that we need some helper lemmas.

lemma *decompose-fst*:

```

assumes decompose (Fun f ss) t = Some us
shows map fst us = ss
proof -
  from assms obtain ts where  $t:t = Fun f ts$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) decompose-def option.distinct(1) decompose-Some
is-FunE old.prod.case term.case-eq-if)
  with assms have  $length ss = length ts$ 

```

by *blast*
 with *assms(1) t show ?thesis*
 by *auto*
 qed

lemma *decompose-vars-term*:
 assumes *decompose (Fun f ss) t = Some us*
 shows *vars-term (Fun f ss) = (⋃ (a, b) ∈ set us. vars-term a)*
proof –
 have *vars-term (Fun f ss) = (⋃ s ∈ set ss. vars-term s)*
 by (*meson Term.term.simps(18)*)
 also have *... = (⋃ s ∈ set (map fst us). vars-term s)*
 using *assms decompose-fst by metis*
 finally show *?thesis*
 using *image-image by auto*
 qed

lemma *match-term-list-domain*:
 assumes *match-term-list P σ = Some τ*
 shows $\forall x. x \notin (\bigcup (a, b) \in \text{set } P. \text{vars-term } a) \wedge \sigma x = \text{None} \longrightarrow \tau x = \text{None}$
 using *assms proof(induct P σ rule:match-term-list.induct)*
 case (*2 x t P σ*)
 then show *?case*
 by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) Sup-insert Un-iff case-prod-conv fun-upd-idem-iff fun-upd-triv fun-upd-twist image-insert list.simps(15) match-term-list.simps(2) option.simps(3) term.set-intros(3)*)
next
 case (*3 f ss g ts P σ*)
 from *3(2) obtain us where us:decompose (Fun f ss) (Fun g ts) = Some us*
 using *match-term-list.simps(3) option.distinct(1) option.simps(4) by fastforce*
 with *3(2) have *:match-term-list (us @ P) σ = Some τ*
 by *auto*
 from *us have (⋃ (a, b) ∈ set ((Fun f ss, Fun g ts) # P). vars-term a) = (⋃ s ∈ set ss. vars-term s) ∪ (⋃ (a, b) ∈ set P. vars-term a)*
 by *simp*
 also have *... = (⋃ (a, b) ∈ set (us@P). vars-term a)*
 using *us by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Term.term.simps(18) UN-Un decompose-vars-term set-append)*
 finally show *?case*
 using *3(1) us * by metis*
 qed *simp-all*

lemma *match-subst-domain*:
 assumes *match a b = Some σ*
 shows *subst-domain σ ⊆ vars-term b*
proof –
 from *assms have ∀ x. x ∉ vars-term b ⟶ σ x = Var x*
 unfolding *match-def match-list-def subst-of-map-def* using *match-term-list-domain*
 by *fastforce*

```

then show ?thesis
  using subst-domain-def by fastforce
qed

lemma match-trivial:
  assumes subst-domain  $\sigma \subseteq$  vars-term  $t$ 
  shows match  $(t \cdot \sigma) t =$  Some  $\sigma$ 
proof –
  obtain  $\tau$  where tau:match  $(t \cdot \sigma) t =$  Some  $\tau$  and 1:( $\forall x \in$  vars-term  $t. \sigma x =$ 
 $\tau x$ )
    by (meson match-complete')
  from assms have 2: $\forall x. x \notin$  vars-term  $t \longrightarrow \sigma x =$  Var  $x$ 
    by (meson notin-subst-domain-imp-Var subset-eq)
  from tau have 3: $\forall x. x \notin$  vars-term  $t \longrightarrow \tau x =$  Var  $x$ 
    using match-subst-domain notin-subst-domain-imp-Var by fastforce
  from 1 2 3 show ?thesis
    by (metis subst-term-eqI tau term-subst-eq)
qed

end

```

1.4.1 Matching of Linear Terms

```

theory Linear-Matching
  imports Proof-Term-Utils
begin

```

For a linear term the matching substitution can simply be computed with the following definition.

```

definition match-substs :: ('f, 'v) term  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v) term  $\Rightarrow$  ('v  $\times$  ('f, 'v) term) list
  where match-substs  $t s =$  (zip (vars-term-list  $t$ ) (map ( $\lambda p. s|_p$ ) (var-poss-list  $t$ )))

```

```

lemma mk-subst-partition-special:
assumes length  $ss =$  length  $ts$ 
  and is-partition (map vars-term  $ts$ )
shows  $\forall i <$  length  $ts. (ts!i) \cdot$  (mk-subst  $f$  (zip (vars-term-list (ts!i)) (ss!i))) =
  (ts!i)  $\cdot$  (mk-subst  $f$  (concat (map2 zip (map vars-term-list  $ts$ )  $ss$ )))
proof –
  let ?xs=map vars-term-list  $ts$ 
  have xs:map vars-term  $ts =$  map set (map vars-term-list  $ts$ ) by simp
  from assms(1) have l:length ?xs = length  $ss$  by simp
  {fix  $i$  assume  $i <$  length  $ts$ 
    {fix  $x$  assume  $x \in$  vars-term (ts!i)
      then have mk-subst  $f$  (zip (map vars-term-list  $ts ! i$ ) (ss !  $i$ ))  $x =$  mk-subst  $f$ 
      (concat (map2 zip (map vars-term-list  $ts$ )  $ss$ ))  $x$ 
        using  $i$  mk-subst-partition[OF l assms(2)][unfolded xs] by simp
    }
  }
  then have ts!i  $\cdot$  (mk-subst  $f$  (zip (vars-term-list (ts!i)) (ss!i))) = (ts!i)  $\cdot$ 

```

```

(mk-subst f (concat (map2 zip (map vars-term-list ts) ss)))
  by (simp add: i term-subst-eq-conv)
}
then show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

lemma *match-substs-Fun*:

```

assumes l:length ts = length ss
shows match-substs (Fun f ts) (Fun g ss) = concat (map2 zip (map vars-term-list
ts) (map2 (λt s. map ((|-) s) (var-poss-list t)) ts ss))
  (is match-substs (Fun f ts) (Fun g ss) = concat (map2 zip ?xs ?terms))

```

proof –

```

have l':length ?xs = length ?terms using l by simp
{fix i assume i < length ?xs
  then have i:i < length ts by simp
  with l have zip:(zip ts ss)!i = (ts!i, ss!i) by simp
  from i l have length (map vars-term-list ts ! i) = length (map (λp. (ss!i)|-p)
(var-poss-list (ts!i)))
  by (simp add: length-var-poss-list)
  with zip have length (?xs!i) = length (?terms!i)
  using i l' by auto
}note l-i=this

```

```

have vars-term-list (Fun f ts) = concat ?xs
  unfolding vars-term-list.simps by simp
moreover have map ((|-) (Fun g ss)) (var-poss-list (Fun f ts)) = concat ?terms
proof –

```

```

have l-map2:length (map2 (λi. map ((#) i)) [0..<length ts] (map var-poss-list
ts)) = length ts
  unfolding length-map length-zip by simp
{fix i assume i:i < length ts
  with l have length (map2 (λi. map ((#) i)) [0..<length ts] (map var-poss-list
ts) !i) = length (map var-poss-list ts!i)
  unfolding nth-map[OF i] by simp
}

```

```

with l-map2 have length (map ((|-) (Fun g ss)) (var-poss-list (Fun f ts))) =
length (concat (map var-poss-list ts))
  unfolding var-poss-list.simps length-map length-concat by (smt (verit, del-insts)
length-map map-nth-eq-conv)
moreover have length (concat ?terms) = length (concat (map var-poss-list ts))

```

proof –

```

{fix i assume i < length ts
  with l have length (map2 (λt s. map ((|-) s) (var-poss-list t)) ts ss ! i) =
length (map var-poss-list ts!i) by simp
}
moreover have length (map2 (λt s. map ((|-) s) (var-poss-list t)) ts ss) =
length ts using l by simp
ultimately show ?thesis unfolding length-concat by (smt (verit, del-insts)
length-map map-nth-eq-conv)
qed

```

```

ultimately have l'':length (map ((|-) (Fun g ss)) (var-poss-list (Fun f ts))) =
length (concat ?terms) by presburger
{fix i assume i:i < length (var-poss-list (Fun f ts))
let ?ps=map2 (λi. map ((#) i)) [0..<length ts] (map var-poss-list ts)
let ?p=var-poss-list (Fun f ts) ! i
from l have l-terms:length ?terms = length ts by auto
from l have l-ps:length ?ps = length ts by auto
obtain j k where j:j < length ts and k:k < length (var-poss-list (ts!j)) and
i-sum-list:i = sum-list (map length (take j ?ps)) + k
and *:?p = map2 (λi. map ((#) i)) [0..<length ts] (map var-poss-list ts) !
j ! k
using less-length-concat[OF i[unfolding var-poss-list.simps]] by auto
let ?p'=(var-poss-list (ts!j)) ! k
from * k j have p:?p = j # ?p' by simp
from j l have 1:(Fun g ss) |- ?p = (ss!j) |- ?p' unfolding p by simp
have i-sum-list2:i = sum-list (map length (take j ?terms)) + k proof -
{fix n assume n < length ts
with l have length (?terms!n) = length (?ps!n) by auto
}
then have map length ?terms = map length ?ps
using l-terms l-ps by (simp add: map-eq-conv')
then show ?thesis unfolding i-sum-list by (metis take-map)
qed
from j k have k < length (?terms ! j) by (smt (verit) l-i length-map
length-var-poss-list nth-map)
with j i-sum-list2 have concat ?terms ! i = ?terms ! j ! k
using concat-nth[of j ?terms k i] unfolding length-map length-zip l min.idem
by auto
then have 2:concat ?terms ! i = (ss!j) |- ?p' using k j l by auto
from 1 2 have map ((|-) (Fun g ss)) (var-poss-list (Fun f ts)) ! i = concat
?terms ! i
unfolding var-poss-list.simps nth-map[OF i[unfolding var-poss-list.simps]]
by simp
}
with l'' show ?thesis by (metis length-map nth-equalityI)
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
unfolding match-substs-def using concat-map2-zip[OF l'] l-i by presburger
qed

```

If all function symbols in term t coincide with function symbols in term s , then t matches s .

lemma *fun-poss-eq-imp-matches*:

```

fixes s t :: ('f, 'v) term
assumes linear-term t and ∀ p ∈ poss t. ∀ f ts. t|-p = Fun f ts → (∃ ss. length
ts = length ss ∧ s|-p = Fun f ss)
shows t · (mk-subst Var (match-substs t s)) = s
using assms proof (induct t arbitrary: s)
case (Var x)

```

```

have match-substs (Var x) s = [(x, s)]
  unfolding match-substs-def var-poss-list.simps vars-term-list.simps by simp
then show ?case by simp
next
case (Fun f ts)
from Fun(3) obtain ss where l:length ts = length ss and s:s = Fun f ss by
auto
let ?σ=mk-subst Var (match-substs (Fun f ts) (Fun f ss))
let ?xs=map vars-term-list ts
let ?ss=map (λ(t, s). map (λp. s|-p) (var-poss-list t)) (zip ts ss)
have concat-zip:match-substs (Fun f ts) (Fun f ss) = concat (map2 zip ?xs ?ss)
  unfolding match-substs-Fun[OF l] by simp
from Fun(2) have part:is-partition (map set ?xs)
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) length-map linear-term.elims(2) map-nth-eq-conv
set-vars-term-list term.distinct(1) term.sel(4))
have l':length ?xs = length ?ss using l by simp
{fix i assume i:i < length ts
  with Fun(2) have lin:linear-term (ts!i) by simp
  let ?σi=mk-subst Var (match-substs (ts!i) (ss!i))
  {fix p f' ts' assume p:p ∈ poss (ts!i) ts!i |- p = Fun f' ts'
    from p(1) i have i#p ∈ poss (Fun f ts) by simp
    moreover from p(2) i have (Fun f ts)|-(i#p) = Fun f' ts' by simp
    ultimately obtain ss' where length ts' = length ss' and s|-(i#p) = Fun f'
ss' using Fun(3) by blast
    then have ∃ ss'. length ts' = length ss' ∧ (ss!i)|-p = Fun f' ss' unfolding s
by simp
  }
  then have ∀ p ∈ poss (ts!i). ∀ f' ts'. (ts!i)|-p = Fun f' ts' → (∃ ss'. length ts'
= length ss' ∧ (ss!i)|-p = Fun f' ss') by simp
  with Fun(1) lin i have IH:(ts!i) · ?σi = ss!i using nth-mem by blast
  have (ts!i) · ?σ = (ts!i) · ?σi proof -
    {fix x assume x:x ∈ vars-term (ts!i)
      from i l have *:map2 (λt s. map ((|-) s) (var-poss-list t)) ts ss ! i = map
((|-) (ss ! i)) (var-poss-list (ts ! i)) by auto
      with i x have ?σ x = ?σi x
        unfolding concat-zip using mk-subst-partition[OF l' part] unfolding s
match-substs-Fun[OF l] match-substs-def length-map
        by (smt (verit, best) nth-map set-vars-term-list)
    }
  }
  then show ?thesis by (simp add: term-subst-eq-conv)
qed
then have (ts!i) · ?σ = ss!i using IH i by presburger
}
then show ?case unfolding s by (simp add: l map-nth-eq-conv)
qed
end

```


2 Proof Terms

```

theory Proof-Terms
  imports
    First-Order-Terms.Matching
    First-Order-Rewriting.Multistep
    Proof-Term-Utils
begin

```

2.1 Definitions

A rewrite rule consists of a pair of terms representing its left-hand side and right-hand side. We associate a rule symbol with each rewrite rule.

```

datatype ('f, 'v) prule =
  Rule (lhs: ('f, 'v) term) (rhs: ('f, 'v) term) (- → - [51, 51] 52)

```

Translate between *prule* defined here and *rule* as defined in IsaFoR.

```

abbreviation to-rule :: ('f, 'v) prule ⇒ ('f, 'v) rule
  where to-rule r ≡ (lhs r, rhs r)

```

Proof terms are terms built from variables, function symbols, and rules.

```

type-synonym
  ('f, 'v) pterm = (('f, 'v) prule + 'f, 'v) term
type-synonym
  ('f, 'v) pterm-ctxt = (('f, 'v) prule + 'f, 'v) ctxt

```

We provides an easier notation for proof terms (avoiding *Inl* and *Inr*).

```

abbreviation Prule :: ('f, 'v) prule ⇒ ('f, 'v) pterm list ⇒ ('f, 'v) pterm
  where Prule α As ≡ Fun (Inl α) As
abbreviation Pfun :: 'f ⇒ ('f, 'v) pterm list ⇒ ('f, 'v) pterm
  where Pfun f As ≡ Fun (Inr f) As

```

Also for contexts.

```

abbreviation Crule :: ('f, 'v) prule ⇒ ('f, 'v) pterm list ⇒ ('f, 'v) pterm-ctxt ⇒
  ('f, 'v) pterm list ⇒ ('f, 'v) pterm-ctxt
  where Crule α As1 C As2 ≡ More (Inl α) As1 C As2
abbreviation Cfun :: 'f ⇒ ('f, 'v) pterm list ⇒ ('f, 'v) pterm-ctxt ⇒ ('f, 'v)
  pterm list ⇒ ('f, 'v) pterm-ctxt
  where Cfun f As1 C As2 ≡ More (Inr f) As1 C As2

```

Case analysis on proof terms.

```

lemma pterm-cases [case-names Var Pfun Prule, cases type: pterm]:
  (∧x. A = Var x ⇒ P) ⇒ (∧f As. A = Pfun f As ⇒ P) ⇒ (∧α As. A =
  Prule α As ⇒ P) ⇒ P
proof (cases A)
  case (Fun x21 x22)
  show ∧x21 x22. (∧x. A = Var x ⇒ P) ⇒ (∧f As. A = Pfun f As ⇒ P)
  ⇒ (∧α As. A = Prule α As ⇒ P) ⇒ A = Fun x21 x22 ⇒ P

```

using *sum.exhaust* **by** *auto*
qed

Induction scheme for proof terms.

lemma

fixes $P :: ('f, 'v) \text{pterm} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
assumes $\bigwedge x. P (\text{Var } x)$
and $\bigwedge f \text{ As}. (\bigwedge a. a \in \text{set As} \Longrightarrow P a) \Longrightarrow P (\text{Pfun } f \text{ As})$
and $\bigwedge \alpha \text{ As}. (\bigwedge a. a \in \text{set As} \Longrightarrow P a) \Longrightarrow P (\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ As})$
shows *pterm-induct* [*case-names* *Var Pfun Prule*, *induct type: pterm*]: $P A$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct A*)
case (*Fun f ts*)
then show *?case* **by**(*cases f*) *auto*
qed *simp*

Induction scheme for contexts of proof terms.

lemma

fixes $P :: ('f, 'v) \text{pterm-ctxt} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
assumes $P \square$
and $\bigwedge f \text{ ss1 } C \text{ ss2}. P C \Longrightarrow P (\text{Cfun } f \text{ ss1 } C \text{ ss2})$
and $\bigwedge \alpha \text{ ss1 } C \text{ ss2}. P C \Longrightarrow P (\text{Crule } \alpha \text{ ss1 } C \text{ ss2})$
shows *pterm-ctxt-induct* [*case-names* *Hole Cfun Crule*, *induct type: pterm-ctxt*]:
 $P C$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct C*)
case (*More f ss1 C ss2*)
then show *?case* **by**(*cases f*) *auto*
qed

Obtain the distinct variables occurring on the left-hand side of a rule in the order they appear.

abbreviation $\text{var-rule} :: ('f, 'v) \text{prule} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ list}$ **where** $\text{var-rule } \alpha \equiv \text{vars-distinct} (\text{lhs } \alpha)$

abbreviation $\text{lhs-subst} :: ('g, 'v) \text{term list} \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) \text{prule} \Rightarrow ('g, 'v) \text{subst}$ ($\langle _ \rangle$ -
 $[0,99]$)
where $\text{lhs-subst } \text{As } \alpha \equiv \text{mk-subst } \text{Var} (\text{zip } (\text{var-rule } \alpha) \text{As})$

A proof term using only function symbols and variables is an empty step.

fun *is-empty-step* $:: ('f, 'v) \text{pterm} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{is-empty-step } (\text{Var } x) = \text{True}$
 $|\ \text{is-empty-step } (\text{Pfun } f \text{ As}) = \text{list-all } \text{is-empty-step } \text{As}$
 $|\ \text{is-empty-step } (\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ As}) = \text{False}$

fun *is-Prule* $:: ('f, 'v) \text{pterm} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{is-Prule } (\text{Prule } -) = \text{True}$
 $|\ \text{is-Prule } - = \text{False}$

Source and target

```

fun source :: ('f, 'v) pterm ⇒ ('f, 'v) term where
  source (Var x) = Var x
| source (Pfun f As) = Fun f (map source As)
| source (Prule α As) = lhs α · ⟨map source As⟩α

```

```

fun target :: ('f, 'v) pterm ⇒ ('f, 'v) term where
  target (Var x) = Var x
| target (Pfun f As) = Fun f (map target As)
| target (Prule α As) = rhs α · ⟨map target As⟩α

```

Source also works for proof term contexts in left-linear TRSs.

```

fun source-ctxt :: ('f, 'v) pterm-ctxt ⇒ ('f, 'v) ctxt where
  source-ctxt □ = □
| source-ctxt (Cfun f As1 C As2) = More f (map source As1) (source-ctxt C) (map
source As2)
| source-ctxt (Crule α As1 C As2) =
  (let ctxt-pos = (var-poss-list (lhs α))!(length As1);
    placeholder = Var ((vars-term-list (lhs α)) ! (length As1)) in
  ctxt-of-pos-term (ctxt-pos) (lhs α · ⟨map source (As1 @ ((placeholder # As2))))α)
  ◦c (source-ctxt C)

```

abbreviation *co-initial* A B ≡ (source A = source B)

Transform simple terms to proof terms.

```

fun to-pterm :: ('f, 'v) term ⇒ ('f, 'v) pterm where
  to-pterm (Var x) = Var x
| to-pterm (Fun f ts) = Pfun f (map to-pterm ts)

```

Also for contexts.

```

fun to-pterm-ctxt :: ('f, 'v) ctxt ⇒ ('f, 'v) pterm-ctxt where
  to-pterm-ctxt □ = □
| to-pterm-ctxt (More f ss1 C ss2) = Cfun f (map to-pterm ss1) (to-pterm-ctxt C)
(map to-pterm ss2)

```

2.2 Frequently Used Locales/Contexts

Often certain properties about proof terms only hold when the underlying TRS does not contain variable left-hand sides and/or variables on the right are a subset of the variables on the left and/or the TRS is left-linear.

```

locale left-lin =
  fixes R :: ('f, 'v) trs
  assumes left-lin:left-linear-trs R

```

```

locale no-var-lhs =
  fixes R :: ('f, 'v) trs
  assumes no-var-lhs:Ball R (λ(l, r). is-Fun l)

```

```

locale var-rhs-subset-lhs =

```

```

fixes  $R :: ('f, 'v) \text{trs}$ 
assumes  $\text{varcond:Ball } R (\lambda(l, r). \text{vars-term } r \subseteq \text{vars-term } l)$ 

locale  $\text{wf-trs} = \text{no-var-lhs} + \text{var-rhs-subset-lhs}$ 
locale  $\text{left-lin-no-var-lhs} = \text{left-lin} + \text{no-var-lhs}$ 
locale  $\text{left-lin-wf-trs} = \text{left-lin} + \text{wf-trs}$ 

context  $\text{wf-trs}$ 
begin
lemma  $\text{wf-trs-alt}$ :
  shows  $\text{Trs.wf-trs } R$ 
  unfolding  $\text{wf-trs-def'}$  using  $\text{no-var-lhs varcond}$  by auto
end

context  $\text{left-lin}$ 
begin
lemma  $\text{length-var-rule}$ :
  assumes  $\text{to-rule } \alpha \in R$ 
  shows  $\text{length (var-rule } \alpha) = \text{length (vars-term-list (lhs } \alpha))$ 
  using  $\text{assms}$ 
  by ( $\text{metis case-prodD left-lin left-linear-trs-def linear-term-var-vars-term-list}$ )
end

```

2.3 Proof Term Predicates

The number of arguments of a well-defined proof term over a TRS R using a rule symbol α corresponds to the number of variables in $\text{lhs } \alpha$. Also the rewrite rule for α must belong to the TRS R .

```

inductive-set  $\text{wf-pterm} :: ('f, 'v) \text{trs} \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) \text{pterm set}$ 
for  $R$  where
  [ $\text{simp}$ ]:  $\text{Var } x \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  | [ $\text{intro}$ ]:  $\forall t \in \text{set } ts. t \in \text{wf-pterm } R \Longrightarrow \text{Pfun } f \text{ } ts \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  | [ $\text{intro}$ ]:  $(\text{lhs } \alpha, \text{rhs } \alpha) \in R \Longrightarrow \text{length } As = \text{length (var-rule } \alpha) \Longrightarrow$ 
     $\forall a \in \text{set } As. a \in \text{wf-pterm } R \Longrightarrow \text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } As \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 

```

```

inductive-set  $\text{wf-pterm-ctxt} :: ('f, 'v) \text{trs} \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) \text{pterm-ctxt set}$ 
for  $R$  where
  [ $\text{simp}$ ]:  $\square \in \text{wf-pterm-ctxt } R$ 
  | [ $\text{intro}$ ]:  $\forall s \in (\text{set } ss1) \cup (\text{set } ss2). s \in \text{wf-pterm } R \Longrightarrow C \in \text{wf-pterm-ctxt } R \Longrightarrow$ 
     $\text{Cfun } f \text{ } ss1 \text{ } C \text{ } ss2 \in \text{wf-pterm-ctxt } R$ 
  | [ $\text{intro}$ ]:  $(\text{lhs } \alpha, \text{rhs } \alpha) \in R \Longrightarrow (\text{length } ss1) + (\text{length } ss2) + 1 = \text{length (var-rule } \alpha)$ 
     $\Longrightarrow$ 
     $\forall s \in (\text{set } ss1) \cup (\text{set } ss2). s \in \text{wf-pterm } R \Longrightarrow C \in \text{wf-pterm-ctxt } R \Longrightarrow$ 
     $\text{Crule } \alpha \text{ } ss1 \text{ } C \text{ } ss2 \in \text{wf-pterm-ctxt } R$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{fun-well-arg}$ [ $\text{intro}$ ]:
  assumes  $\text{Fun } f \text{ } As \in \text{wf-pterm } R \text{ } a \in \text{set } As$ 
  shows  $a \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  using  $\text{assms wf-pterm.cases}$  by auto

```

```

lemma trs-well-ctxt-arg[intro]:
  assumes More f ss1 C ss2  $\in$  wf-pterm-ctxt R s  $\in$  (set ss1)  $\cup$  (set ss2)
  shows s  $\in$  wf-pterm R
  using assms wf-pterm-ctxt.cases by blast

lemma trs-well-ctxt-C[intro]:
  assumes More f ss1 C ss2  $\in$  wf-pterm-ctxt R
  shows C  $\in$  wf-pterm-ctxt R
  using assms wf-pterm-ctxt.cases by auto

context no-var-lhs
begin
lemma lhs-is-Fun:
  assumes Prule  $\alpha$  Bs  $\in$  wf-pterm R
  shows is-Fun (lhs  $\alpha$ )
  by (metis Inl-inject assms case-prodD is-FunI is-Prule.simps(1) is-Prule.simps(3))
is-VarI
  no-var-lhs.no-var-lhs no-var-lhs-axioms term.inject(2) wf-pterm.simps)
end

lemma lhs-subst-var-well-def:
  assumes  $\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  shows  $(\langle As \rangle_\alpha) x \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
proof (cases map-of (zip (var-rule  $\alpha$ ) As) x)
  case None
    then show ?thesis unfolding mk-subst-def by simp
next
  case (Some a)
    then have a  $\in$  set As
    by (meson in-set-zipE map-of-SomeD)
    with assms Some show ?thesis
    unfolding mk-subst-def using in-set-idx by force
qed

lemma lhs-subst-well-def:
  assumes  $\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \in \text{wf-pterm } R$  B  $\in$  wf-pterm R
  shows B  $\cdot$   $(\langle As \rangle_\alpha) \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  using assms proof(induction B arbitrary: As)
  case (Var x)
    then show ?case using lhs-subst-var-well-def by simp
next
  case (Pfun f Bs)
    from Pfun(3) have  $\forall b \in \text{set } Bs. b \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
    by blast
    with Pfun show ?case by fastforce
next
  case (Prule  $\beta$  Bs)
    from Prule(3) have  $\forall b \in \text{set } Bs. b \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 

```

by *blast*
moreover have $\text{length} (\text{map} (\lambda t. t \cdot \langle As \rangle_\alpha) Bs) = \text{length} (\text{var-rule } \beta)$
using *Prule(3) wf-pterm.simps* **by** *fastforce*
moreover from *Prule(3)* **have** *to-rule* $\beta \in R$
using *Inl-inject sum.distinct(1) wf-pterm.cases* **by** *force*
ultimately show *?case unfolding eval-term.simps(2)* **using** *Prule*
by (*simp add: wf-pterm.intros(3)*)
qed

lemma *subt-at-is-wf-pterm:*
assumes $p \in \text{poss } A$ **and** $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
shows $A|_p \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
using *assms proof(induct p arbitrary:A)*
case (*Cons i p*)
then obtain $f As$ **where** $a:A = \text{Fun } f As$ **and** $i:i < \text{length } As$ **and** $p:p \in \text{poss}$
 $(As!i)$
using *args-poss* **by** *blast*
with *Cons(3)* **have** $As!i \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
using *nth-mem* **by** *blast*
with *Cons.hyps p a* **show** *?case* **by** *simp*
qed *simp*

lemma *ctxt-of-pos-term-well:*
assumes $p \in \text{poss } A$ **and** $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
shows *ctxt-of-pos-term p A* $\in \text{wf-pterm-ctxt } R$
using *assms proof(induct p arbitrary:A)*
case (*Cons i p*)
then obtain $fs As$ **where** $a:A = \text{Fun } fs As$ **and** $i:i < \text{length } As$ **and** $p:p \in \text{poss}$
 $(As!i)$
using *args-poss* **by** *blast*
with *Cons(3)* **have** $as:\forall j < \text{length } As. As!j \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
using *nth-mem* **by** *blast*
with *Cons.hyps p i* **have** $IH:\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p (As!i) \in \text{wf-pterm-ctxt } R$
by *blast*
then show *?case proof(cases fs)*
case (*Inl* α)
from *Cons(3)* **have** *to-rule* $\alpha \in R$ **unfolding** *a Inl*
using *wf-pterm.cases* **by** *auto*
moreover from *Cons(3) i* **have** $\text{length} (\text{take } i As) + \text{length} (\text{drop} (Suc\ i) As)$
 $+ 1 = \text{length} (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$
unfolding *a Inl* **using** *wf-pterm.cases* **by** *force*
ultimately show *?thesis*
unfolding *a ctxt-of-pos-term.simps Inl* **using** *as IH wf-pterm-ctxt.intros(3)*
by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) UnE in-set-conv-nth in-set-dropD in-set-takeD*)
next
case (*Inr* f)
show *?thesis*
unfolding *a ctxt-of-pos-term.simps Inr* **using** *as IH wf-pterm-ctxt.intros(2)*
by (*metis Cons.prem(2) UnE a fun-well-arg in-set-dropD in-set-takeD*)

qed
qed simp

Every normal term is a well-defined proof term.

lemma *to-pterm-wf-pterm[simp]*: *to-pterm* $t \in$ *wf-pterm* R
by (*induction* t) (*simp-all* *add*: *wf-pterm.intros*(2,3))

lemma *to-pterm-trs-ctxt*:
assumes $p \in$ *poss* (*to-pterm* s)
shows *ctxt-of-pos-term* p (*to-pterm* s) \in *wf-pterm-ctxt* R
by (*simp* *add*: *assms* *ctxt-of-pos-term-well*)

lemma *to-pterm-ctxt-wf-pterm-ctxt*:
shows *to-pterm-ctxt* $C \in$ *wf-pterm-ctxt* R
proof(*induct* C)
case (*More* f xs C ys)
then show *?case* **unfolding** *to-pterm-ctxt.simps*
by (*metis* *Un-iff* *fun-well-arg* *to-pterm.simps*(2) *to-pterm-wf-pterm* *wf-pterm-ctxt.intros*(2))
qed simp

lemma *ctxt-wf-pterm*:
assumes $A \in$ *wf-pterm* R **and** $p \in$ *poss* A
and $B \in$ *wf-pterm* R
shows (*ctxt-of-pos-term* p A) \langle B $\rangle \in$ *wf-pterm* R
using *assms* **proof**(*induct* p *arbitrary*: A)
case (*Cons* i p)
from *Cons*(3) **obtain** f As **where** $A:A =$ *Fun* f As $i <$ *length* As $p \in$ *poss* ($As!$ i)
using *args-poss* **by** *blast*
moreover with *Cons*(2) **have** $As!$ $i \in$ *wf-pterm* R
using *nth-mem* **by** *blast*
ultimately have *IH*:(*ctxt-of-pos-term* p ($As!$ i)) \langle B $\rangle \in$ *wf-pterm* R
using *Cons.hyps* *assms*(3) **by** *presburger*
from *Cons*(2) **have** $as:\forall a \in$ *set* $As. a \in$ *wf-pterm* R
unfolding A **by** *auto*
show *?case* **proof**(*cases* f)
case (*Inl* α)
from *Cons*(2) **have** *alpha*:*to-rule* $\alpha \in$ R
unfolding A *Inl* **using** *wf-pterm.simps* **by** *fastforce*
moreover from *Cons*(2) **have** *length* $As =$ *length* (*var-rule* α)
unfolding A *Inl* **using** *wf-pterm.simps* **by** *fastforce*
ultimately show *?thesis*
unfolding *Inl* A *ctxt-of-pos-term.simps* *intp-actxt.simps* **using** *wf-pterm.intros*(3)[*OF*
alpha] *IH* *as* A (2)
by (*smt* (*verit*, *ccfv-SIG*) *id-take-nth-drop* *in-set-conv-nth* *le-simps*(1) *length-append*
list.size(4) *nth-append-take* *nth-append-take-drop-is-nth-conv*)
next
case (*Inr* b)
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *Inr* A *ctxt-of-pos-term.simps* *intp-actxt.simps* **using**
wf-pterm.intros(2) *IH* *as* A (2)

by (*smt* (*verit*, *ccfv-SIG*) *Cons-nth-drop-Suc append-take-drop-id in-set-conv-nth*
length-append length-nth-simps(2) less-imp-le-nat nth-append-take nth-append-take-drop-is-nth-conv)
qed
qed *simp*

2.4 'Normal' Terms vs. Proof Terms

lemma *to-pterm-empty: is-empty-step (to-pterm t)*
proof (*induction t*)
case (*Fun f ts*)
then have *list-all is-empty-step (map to-pterm ts) using list-all-iff by force*
then show *?case by simp*
qed *simp*

Variables remain unchanged.

lemma *vars-to-pterm: vars-term-list (to-pterm t) = vars-term-list t*
proof (*induction t*)
case (*Fun f ts*)
then have **:map vars-term-list ts = map (vars-term-list \circ to-pterm) ts by simp*
show *?case by (simp add: * vars-term-list.simps(2))*
qed (*simp add: vars-term-list.simps(1)*)

lemma *poss-list-to-pterm: poss-list t = poss-list (to-pterm t)*
proof (*induction t*)
case (*Fun f ts*)
then have **:map poss-list ts = map (poss-list \circ to-pterm) ts by simp*
show *?case by (simp add: * poss-list.simps(2))*
qed (*simp add: poss-list.simps(1)*)

lemma *p-in-poss-to-pterm:*
assumes *p \in poss t*
shows *p \in poss (to-pterm t)*
using *assms poss-list-to-pterm by (metis poss-list-sound)*

lemma *var-poss-to-pterm: var-poss t = var-poss (to-pterm t)*
proof (*induction t*)
case (*Fun f ts*)
then have **:map var-poss ts = map (var-poss \circ to-pterm) ts by simp*
then show *?case unfolding var-poss.simps to-pterm.simps*
by *auto*
qed *simp*

lemma *var-poss-list-to-pterm: var-poss-list (to-pterm t) = var-poss-list t*
proof (*induct t*)
case (*Fun f ts*)
then show *?case unfolding var-poss-list.simps to-pterm.simps*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) length-map map-nth-eq-conv nth-mem*)
qed *simp*

to-pterm distributes over application of substitution.

lemma *to-pterm-subst*:
 $to\text{-pterm } (t \cdot \sigma) = (to\text{-pterm } t) \cdot (to\text{-pterm } \circ \sigma)$
by (*induct t, auto*)

to-pterm distributes over context.

lemma *to-pterm-ctxt-of-pos-apply-term*:
assumes $p \in poss\ s$
shows $to\text{-pterm } ((ctxt\text{-of-pos-term } p\ s) \langle t \rangle) = (ctxt\text{-of-pos-term } p\ (to\text{-pterm } s)) \langle to\text{-pterm } t \rangle$
using *assms* **proof** (*induct p arbitrary:s*)
case (*Cons i p*)
then obtain $f\ ss$ **where** $s:s = Fun\ f\ ss$ **and** $i:i < length\ ss$ **and** $p:p \in poss\ (ss!i)$
using *args-poss* **by** *blast*
then show $?case$ **unfolding** $s\ to\text{-pterm.simps}\ ctxt\text{-of-pos-term.simps}\ intp\text{-actxt.simps}$
using *Cons(1)*
by (*simp add: drop-map take-map*)
qed *simp*

Linear terms become linear proof terms.

lemma *to-pterm-linear*:
assumes *linear-term t*
shows *linear-term (to-pterm t)*
using *assms* **proof** (*induction t*)
case (*Fun f ts*)
have $*:map\ vars\text{-term } ts = map\ vars\text{-term } (map\ to\text{-pterm } ts)$
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) length-map map-nth-eq-conv set-vars-term-list vars-to-pterm*)
with *Fun* **show** $?case$ **by** *auto*
qed *simp*

lemma *lhs-subst-trivial*:
shows $match\ (to\text{-pterm } (lhs\ \alpha) \cdot \langle As \rangle_\alpha)\ (to\text{-pterm } (lhs\ \alpha)) = Some\ \langle As \rangle_\alpha$
using *match-trivial*
by (*smt comp-def mem-Collect-eq mk-subst-not-mem set-remdups set-rev set-vars-term-list subsetI subst-domain-def vars-to-pterm*)

lemma *to-pterm-ctxt-apply-term*:
 $to\text{-pterm } C \langle t \rangle = (to\text{-pterm-ctxt } C) \langle to\text{-pterm } t \rangle$
by (*induct C*) *simp-all*

2.5 Substitutions

lemma *fun-mk-subst[*simp*]*:
assumes $\forall x. f\ (Var\ x) = Var\ x$
shows $f \circ (mk\text{-subst } Var\ (zip\ vs\ ts)) = mk\text{-subst } Var\ (zip\ vs\ (map\ f\ ts))$
proof –
have $\forall a. f\ (case\ map\text{-of } (zip\ vs\ ts)\ a\ of\ None \Rightarrow Var\ a \mid Some\ t \Rightarrow t)$
 $= (case\ map\text{-of } (zip\ vs\ ts)\ a\ of\ None \Rightarrow Var\ a \mid Some\ t \Rightarrow f\ t)$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: option.case-eq-if*)

moreover have $\forall a. (\text{case map-of } (\text{zip vs } (\text{map f ts})) \text{ a of None} \Rightarrow \text{Var a} \mid \text{Some } x \Rightarrow x)$
 $= (\text{case } (\text{map-of } (\text{zip vs ts})) \text{ a of None} \Rightarrow \text{Var a} \mid \text{Some } t \Rightarrow f t)$
by (*simp add: zip-map2 map-of-map option.case-eq-if option.map-sel*)
ultimately show *?thesis unfolding mk-subst-def unfolding comp-def by auto*
qed

lemma *apply-lhs-subst-var-rule:*
assumes *length ts = length (var-rule α)*
shows *map ($\langle ts \rangle_\alpha$) (var-rule α) = ts*
using *assms by (simp add: mk-subst-distinct map-nth-eq-conv)*

lemma *match-lhs-subst:*
assumes *match B (to-pterm (lhs α)) = Some σ*
shows $\exists Bs. \text{length } Bs = \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha) \wedge$
 $B = (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \cdot \langle Bs \rangle_\alpha \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in \text{set } (\text{var-rule } \alpha). \sigma x = (\langle Bs \rangle_\alpha) x)$

proof –
obtain *Bs where Bs: length Bs = length (var-rule α)*
 $\forall i < \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha). Bs!i = \sigma ((\text{var-rule } \alpha)!i)$
using *length-map nth-map by blast*
then have $2: (\forall x \in \text{set } (\text{var-rule } \alpha). \sigma x = (\langle Bs \rangle_\alpha) x)$
by (*smt apply-lhs-subst-var-rule in-set-idx nth-map*)
have *v: vars-term (to-pterm (lhs α)) = set (var-rule α)*
by (*metis comp-apply set-remdups set-rev set-vars-term-list vars-to-pterm*)
from *assms have* $B = (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \cdot \sigma$
using *match-matches by blast*
also have $\dots = (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \cdot \langle Bs \rangle_\alpha$
by (*intro term-subst-eq, insert 2 v, auto*)
finally show *?thesis using Bs 2 by auto*
qed

lemma *apply-subst-wf-pterm:*
assumes $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
and $\forall x \in \text{vars-term } A. \sigma x \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
shows $A \cdot \sigma \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
using *assms proof(induct A)*
case (2 ts f)
{fix t assume $t: t \in \text{set } ts$
with $2(2)$ **have** $(\forall x \in \text{vars-term } t. \sigma x \in \text{wf-pterm } R)$
by (*meson term.set-intros(4)*)
with $t \ 2(1)$ **have** $t \cdot \sigma \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
by *blast*
}
then show *?case unfolding eval-term.simps by (simp add: wf-pterm.intros(2))*
next
case ($3 \alpha As$)
{fix a assume $a: a \in \text{set } As$
with $3(4)$ **have** $(\forall x \in \text{vars-term } a. \sigma x \in \text{wf-pterm } R)$

```

    by (meson term.set-intros(4))
  with a  $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{I})$  have  $a \cdot \sigma \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  by blast
}
with  $\mathcal{I}(1,2)$  show ?case unfolding eval-term.simps by (simp add: wf-pterm.intros(3))
qed simp

```

```

lemma subst-well-def:
  assumes  $B \in \text{wf-pterm } R$   $A \cdot \sigma = B$   $x \in \text{vars-term } A$ 
  shows  $\sigma x \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  using assms by (metis (no-types, lifting) poss-imp-subst-poss eval-term.simps(1)
  subst-at-is-wf-pterm subst-at-subst vars-term-poss-subst-at)

```

```

lemma lhs-subst-args-wf-pterm:
  assumes  $\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha) \cdot \langle \text{As} \rangle_\alpha \in \text{wf-pterm } R$  and  $\text{length } \text{As} = \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$ 
  shows  $\forall a \in \text{set } \text{As}. a \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
proof -
  from assms have  $\text{map } (\langle \text{As} \rangle_\alpha) (\text{var-rule } \alpha) = \text{As}$ 
  using apply-lhs-subst-var-rule by blast
  with assms show ?thesis
  by (smt comp-apply in-set-idx map-nth-eq-conv nth-mem set-remdups set-rev
  set-vars-term-list subst-well-def vars-to-pterm)
qed

```

```

lemma match-well-def:
  assumes  $B \in \text{wf-pterm } R$   $\text{match } B A = \text{Some } \sigma$ 
  shows  $\forall i < \text{length } (\text{vars-distinct } A). \sigma ((\text{vars-distinct } A) ! i) \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  using assms subst-well-def match-matches
  by (smt comp-apply nth-mem set-remdups set-rev set-vars-term-list)

```

```

lemma subst-imp-well-def:
  assumes  $A \cdot \sigma \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  shows  $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  using assms proof(induct A)
  case (Pfun f As)
  {fix i assume  $i < \text{length } \text{As}$ 
  with Pfun(2) have  $(\text{As}!i) \cdot \sigma \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  by auto
  with Pfun(1) i have  $\text{As}!i \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  by simp
}
then show ?case using wf-pterm.intros(2)
  by (metis in-set-idx)

```

```

next
  case (Prule  $\alpha$  As)
  {fix i assume  $i < \text{length } \text{As}$ 
  with Prule(2) have  $(\text{As}!i) \cdot \sigma \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  by auto

```

```

    with Prule(1) i have As!i ∈ wf-pterm R
      by simp
  }
  moreover from Prule(2) have to-rule α ∈ R length As = length (var-rule α)
    using wf-pterm.cases by force+
  ultimately show ?case using wf-pterm.intros(3) Prule(2)
    by (metis in-set-idx)
qed simp

```

```

lemma lhs-subst-var-i:
  assumes x = (var-rule α)!i and i < length (var-rule α) and i < length As
  shows ⟨As⟩α x = As!i
  using assms mk-subst-distinct distinct-remdups by (metis comp-apply distinct-rev)

```

```

lemma lhs-subst-not-var-i:
  assumes ¬(∃ i < length As. i < length (var-rule α) ∧ x = (var-rule α)!i)
  shows ⟨As⟩α x = Var x
  using assms proof(rule contrapos-np)
  {assume ⟨As⟩α x ≠ Var x
   then obtain i where i < length (zip (var-rule α) As) and (var-rule α)!i = x
   unfolding mk-subst-def by (smt assms imageE in-set-zip map-of-eq-None-iff
option.case-eq-if)
   then show ∃ i < length As. i < length (var-rule α) ∧ x = (var-rule α)!i
   by auto
  }
qed

```

```

lemma lhs-subst-upd:
  assumes length ss1 < length (var-rule α)
  shows ((⟨ss1 @ t # ss2⟩α) ((var-rule α)!(length ss1) := s)) = ⟨ss1 @ s # ss2⟩α
proof
  fix x
  show ((⟨ss1 @ t # ss2⟩α)(var-rule α ! length ss1 := s)) x = (⟨ss1 @ s # ss2⟩α)
x proof(cases x = (var-rule α)!(length ss1))
    case True
      with assms have ((⟨ss1 @ t # ss2⟩α)(var-rule α ! length ss1 := s)) x = s
      by simp
      moreover from assms have (⟨ss1 @ s # ss2⟩α) x = s unfolding True
      by (smt (verit, del-insts) add commute add-Suc-right le-add-same-cancel2
le-imp-less-Suc length-append length-nth-simps(2) lhs-subst-var-i nth-append-length
zero-order(1))
      ultimately show ?thesis by simp
    next
      case False
      then show ?thesis
      by (smt (verit, del-insts) append-Cons-nth-not-middle fun-upd-apply length-append
length-nth-simps(2) lhs-subst-not-var-i lhs-subst-var-i)
  qed
qed

```

lemma *eval-lhs-subst*:

assumes $l: \text{length} (\text{var-rule } \alpha) = \text{length } As$

shows $(\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \cdot \langle As \rangle_\alpha \cdot \sigma = (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \cdot \langle \text{map } (\lambda a. a \cdot \sigma) As \rangle_\alpha$

proof –

{fix x **assume** $x \in \text{vars-term } (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha))$

then obtain i **where** $i: i < \text{length} (\text{var-rule } \alpha) \text{ (var-rule } \alpha) !i = x$

using *vars-to-pterm* **by** (*metis in-set-conv-nth set-vars-term-list vars-term-list-vars-distinct*)

with l **have** $(\langle As \rangle_\alpha) x = As!i$

by (*metis lhs-subst-var-i*)

then have $1: (\langle As \rangle_\alpha \circ_s \sigma) x = As!i \cdot \sigma$

unfolding *subst-compose-def* **by** *simp*

from i l **have** $(\langle \text{map } (\lambda a. a \cdot \sigma) As \rangle_\alpha) x = \text{map } (\lambda a. a \cdot \sigma) As ! i$

using *lhs-subst-var-i* **by** (*metis length-map*)

with 1 i l **have** $(\langle As \rangle_\alpha \circ_s \sigma) x = (\langle \text{map } (\lambda a. a \cdot \sigma) As \rangle_\alpha) x$ **by** *simp*

}

then show *?thesis*

by (*smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) eval-same-vars-cong subst-subst-compose*)

qed

lemma *var-rule-pos-subst*:

assumes $i < \text{length} (\text{var-rule } \alpha) \text{ length } ss = \text{length} (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$

and $p \in \text{poss } (\text{lhs } \alpha) \text{ Var } ((\text{var-rule } \alpha) !i) = (\text{lhs } \alpha) |-p$

shows $\text{lhs } \alpha \cdot \langle ss \rangle_\alpha |- (p @ q) = (ss ! i) |- q$

proof –

from *assms(1,2)* **have** $(\langle ss \rangle_\alpha) ((\text{var-rule } \alpha) !i) = ss ! i$

using *lhs-subst-var-i* **by** *force*

with *assms(3,4)* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *lhs-subst-var-rule*:

assumes $\text{vars-term } t \subseteq \text{vars-term } (\text{lhs } \alpha)$

shows $t \cdot \langle \text{map } \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha) \rangle_\alpha = t \cdot \sigma$

using *assms* **by** (*smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) apply-lhs-subst-var-rule comp-apply length-map map-eq-conv set-remdups set-rev set-vars-term-list subsetD term-subst-eq-conv*)

2.6 Contexts

lemma *match-lhs-context*:

assumes $i < \text{length} (\text{vars-term-list } t) \wedge p = (\text{var-poss-list } t) !i$

and *linear-term* t

and *match* $((\langle \text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p (t \cdot \sigma) \rangle(B)) t = \text{Some } \tau$

shows $\text{map } \tau (\text{vars-term-list } t) = (\text{map } \sigma (\text{vars-term-list } t)) [i := B]$

proof –

from *assms* **have** $(\langle \text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p (t \cdot \sigma) \rangle(B)) = t \cdot (\sigma (\text{vars-term-list } t) !i := B)$

using *ctxt-apply-term-subst* **by** *blast*

with *assms*(3) **have** $*(\forall x \in \text{vars-term } t. (\sigma(\text{vars-term-list } t!i := B)) x = \tau x)$
using *match-complete'* **by** (*metis option.inject*)
from *assms*(2) **have** *distinct* (*vars-term-list* *t*)
by (*metis distinct-remdups distinct-rev linear-term-var-vars-term-list o-apply*)
with $* \text{assms}(1)$ **show** *?thesis*
by (*smt* (*verit*, *ccfv-threshold*) *fun-upd-other fun-upd-same length-list-update*
length-map map-nth-eq-conv nth-eq-iff-index-eq nth-list-update nth-mem set-vars-term-list)
qed

lemma *ctxt-lhs-subst*:

assumes $i:i < \text{length}(\text{var-poss-list}(\text{lhs } \alpha))$ **and** $l:\text{length } As = \text{length}(\text{var-rule } \alpha)$

and $p1:p1 = \text{var-poss-list}(\text{lhs } \alpha)!i$ **and** $lin:\text{linear-term}(\text{lhs } \alpha)$
and $p2 \in \text{poss}(As!i)$

shows $(\text{ctxt-of-pos-term}(p1 @ p2)(\text{to-pterm}(\text{lhs } \alpha) \cdot \langle As \rangle_\alpha))\langle A \rangle =$
 $(\text{to-pterm}(\text{lhs } \alpha)) \cdot \langle \text{take } i \text{ } As @ (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p2 (As!i))\langle A \rangle \# \text{drop}$
 $(\text{Suc } i) \text{ } As \rangle_\alpha$

proof –

have $l2:\text{length}(\text{var-poss-list}(\text{lhs } \alpha)) = \text{length}(\text{var-rule } \alpha)$

using *lin* **by** (*metis length-var-poss-list linear-term-var-vars-term-list*)

from $p1$ *i* **have** $p1\text{-pos}:p1 \in \text{poss}(\text{to-pterm}(\text{lhs } \alpha))$

by (*metis nth-mem var-poss-imp-poss var-poss-list-sound var-poss-to-pterm*)

have $\text{sub}:(\text{to-pterm}(\text{lhs } \alpha))|_{-p1} = \text{Var}(\text{vars-term-list}(\text{lhs } \alpha)!i)$

by (*metis i length-var-poss-list p1 var-poss-list-to-pterm vars-term-list-var-poss-list*
vars-to-pterm)

have $**:(\text{to-pterm}(\text{lhs } \alpha) \cdot \langle As \rangle_\alpha)|_{-p1} = As!i$

unfolding *subt-at-subst[OF p1-pos]* *sub eval-term.simps* **using** *i l l2* **by** (*metis*
lhs-subst-var-i lin linear-term-var-vars-term-list)

then **have** $*(\text{ctxt-of-pos-term}(p1 @ p2)(\text{to-pterm}(\text{lhs } \alpha) \cdot \langle As \rangle_\alpha)) = ((\text{ctxt-of-pos-term}$
 $p1(\text{to-pterm}(\text{lhs } \alpha))) \cdot_c \langle As \rangle_\alpha) \circ_c (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p2 (As!i))$

using *ctxt-of-pos-term-append ctxt-of-pos-term-subst* **by** (*metis p1-pos poss-imp-subst-poss*)

show *?thesis*

by (*smt* (*verit*, *ccfv-threshold*) $* ** \text{ctxt-ctxt-compose}$

ctxt-subst-apply lhs-subst-upd append-Cons-nth-not-middle

i id-take-nth-drop l l2 less-imp-le-nat lin linear-term-var-vars-term-list

nth-append-take p1-pos sub to-pterm-linear

ctxt-of-pos-term-append ctxt-supt-id eval-term.simps(1) poss-imp-subst-poss

replace-at-append-subst subt-at-subst)

qed

lemma *ctxt-rule-obtain-pos*:

assumes $iq:i\#q \in \text{poss}(\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } As)$

and $p\text{-pos}:p \in \text{poss}(\text{source}(\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } As))$

and $\text{ctxt}:\text{source-ctxt}(\text{ctxt-of-pos-term}(i\#q)(\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } As)) = \text{ctxt-of-pos-term}$
 $p(\text{source}(\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } As))$

and $lin:\text{linear-term}(\text{lhs } \alpha)$

and $l:\text{length } As = \text{length}(\text{var-rule } \alpha)$

shows $\exists p1 p2. p = p1 @ p2 \wedge p1 = \text{var-poss-list}(\text{lhs } \alpha)!i \wedge p2 \in \text{poss}(\text{source}$

(*As!i*)
proof –
 from *iq* have *i:i < length As*
 by *simp*
 let *?p1=var-poss-list (lhs α)!i*
 have *p1:(var-poss-list (lhs α) ! length (take i As)) = ?p1*
 using *i* by *fastforce*
 have *p1-pos:?p1 ∈ poss (lhs α)*
 by (*metis i l length-var-poss-list lin linear-term-var-vars-term-list nth-mem*
var-poss-imp-poss var-poss-list-sound)
 then have **:source-ctxt (ctxt-of-pos-term (i # q) (Prule α As)) = ((ctxt-of-pos-term*
?p1 (lhs α)) ·_c ⟨map source (take i As @ Var (vars-term-list (lhs α) ! length (take
i As)) # drop (Suc i) As)⟩_α) ∘_c
source-ctxt (ctxt-of-pos-term q (As ! i))
 unfolding *ctxt-of-pos-term.simps source-ctxt.simps Let-def p1* by (*simp add:*
ctxt-of-pos-term-subst)
 from *ctxt* have *?p1 ≤_p p*
 unfolding *** using *p1-pos p-pos unfolding source.simps using ctxt-subst-comp-pos*
 by *blast*
 then obtain *p2* where *p:p = ?p1@p2*
 using *less-eq-pos-def* by *force*
 have *(lhs α)|-?p1 = Var (vars-term-list (lhs α) !i)*
 by (*metis i l lin linear-term-var-vars-term-list vars-term-list-var-poss-list*)
 moreover have *Var (vars-term-list (lhs α) !i) · ⟨map source As⟩_α = source*
(As!i)
 unfolding *eval-term.simps* using *lhs-subst-var-i i l* by (*smt (verit, best)*
length-map lin linear-term-var-vars-term-list nth-map)
 ultimately have *p2 ∈ poss (source (As!i))*
 using *p-pos unfolding p* using *p1-pos* by *auto*
 with *p* show *?thesis* by *simp*
 qed

2.7 Source and Target

lemma *source-empty-step*:
 assumes *is-empty-step t*
 shows *to-pterm (source t) = t*
 using *assms* by (*induction t*) (*simp-all add: list-all-length map-nth-eq-conv*)

lemma *empty-coinitial*:
 shows *co-initial A t ⇒ is-empty-step t ⇒ to-pterm (source A) = t*
 by (*simp add: source-empty-step*)

lemma *source-to-pterm[simp]*: *source (to-pterm t) = t*
 by (*induction t*) (*simp-all add: map-nth-eq-conv*)

lemma *target-to-pterm[simp]*: *target (to-pterm t) = t*
 by (*induction t*) (*simp-all add: map-nth-eq-conv*)

```

lemma vars-term-source:
  assumes  $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  shows  $\text{vars-term } A = \text{vars-term } (\text{source } A)$ 
  using assms proof(induct  $A$ )
  case ( $\exists \alpha \text{ } As$ )
  show ?case proof
    {fix  $x$  assume  $x \in \text{vars-term } (Prule \alpha \text{ } As)$ 
      then obtain  $i$  where  $i:i < \text{length } As \text{ } x \in \text{vars-term } (As!i)$ 
        by (metis term.sel(4) var-imp-var-of-arg)
      from  $i(1) \exists(2)$  obtain  $j$  where  $j:j < \text{length } (\text{vars-term-list } (lhs \alpha)) \text{ } \text{vars-term-list } (lhs \alpha)!j = \text{var-rule } \alpha !i$ 
        by (metis comp-apply in-set-idx nth-mem set-remdups set-rev)
        let  $?p = (\text{var-poss-list } (lhs \alpha)!j)$ 
        from  $j$  have  $p: ?p \in \text{poss } (lhs \alpha)$ 
        by (metis in-set-conv-nth length-var-poss-list var-poss-imp-poss var-poss-list-sound)

      with  $\exists(2) i(1) j$  have  $\text{source } (Prule \alpha \text{ } As) \mid- ?p = \text{source } (As!i)$ 
        using mk-subst-distinct unfolding source.simps
        by (smt (verit, best) comp-apply distinct-remdups distinct-rev filter-cong
          length-map map-nth-conv mk-subst-same eval-term.simps(1) subt-at-subst vars-term-list-var-poss-list)

      with  $\exists(3)$  have  $x \in \text{vars-term } (\text{source } (Prule \alpha \text{ } As))$ 
        unfolding source.simps using vars-term-subt-at  $p$ 
        by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) i nth-mem poss-imp-subst-poss subsetD)
    }
  then show  $\text{vars-term } (Prule \alpha \text{ } As) \subseteq \text{vars-term } (\text{source } (Prule \alpha \text{ } As))$ 
    by blast
  {fix  $x$  assume  $x \in \text{vars-term } (\text{source } (Prule \alpha \text{ } As))$ 
    then obtain  $y$  where  $y:y \in \text{vars-term } (lhs \alpha) \text{ } x \in \text{vars-term } ((\langle \text{map } \text{source } As \rangle_\alpha) y)$ 
      using vars-term-subst by force
      then obtain  $i$  where  $i:i < \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha) \text{ } y = \text{var-rule } \alpha !i$ 
        by (metis in-set-idx set-vars-term-list vars-term-list-vars-distinct)
      with  $y(2) \exists(2)$  have  $x \in \text{vars-term } (\text{source } (As!i))$ 
        by (simp add: mk-subst-distinct)
      with  $\exists i(1)$  have  $x \in \text{vars-term } (Prule \alpha \text{ } As)$ 
        by (metis nth-mem term.set-intros(4))
    }
  then show  $\text{vars-term } (\text{source } (Prule \alpha \text{ } As)) \subseteq \text{vars-term } (Prule \alpha \text{ } As)$ 
    by blast
  }
qed
qed auto

context var-rhs-subset-lhs
begin
lemma vars-term-target:
  assumes  $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  shows  $\text{vars-term } (\text{target } A) \subseteq \text{vars-term } A$ 
  using assms proof(induct  $A$ )

```



```

case (3 α As)
show ?case proof
fix x assume x ∈ vars-term (target (Prule α As))
then obtain y where y:y ∈ vars-term (rhs α) x ∈ vars-term ((map target
As)α) y)
  using vars-term-subst by force
  then have y ∈ vars-term (lhs α)
  using 3.hyps(1) varcond by auto
  then obtain i where i:i < length (var-rule α) y = var-rule α!i
  by (metis in-set-idx set-vars-term-list vars-term-list-vars-distinct)
  with y(2) 3(2) have x ∈ vars-term (target (As!i))
  by (simp add: mk-subst-distinct)
  with 3 i(1) show x ∈ vars-term (Prule α As)
  by fastforce
qed
qed auto
end

lemma linear-source-imp-linear-pterm:
  assumes A ∈ wf-pterm R linear-term (source A)
  shows linear-term A
  using assms proof (induct A)
  case (2 As f)
  then show ?case unfolding source.simps linear-term.simps using vars-term-source
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) in-set-idx length-map map-equality-iff nth-map nth-mem)
next
case (3 α As)
{fix a assume a:a ∈ set As
  with 3(2) obtain i where i:i < length (var-rule α) As!i = a
  by (metis in-set-idx)
  let ?x=var-rule α ! i
  from i have ?x ∈ vars-term (lhs α)
  by (metis comp-apply nth-mem set-remdups set-rev set-vars-term-list)
  then obtain p where p ∈ poss (lhs α) lhs α |-p = Var ?x
  by (meson vars-term-poss-subt-at)
  then have source (Prule α As) ⊇ source a
  unfolding source.simps using lhs-subst-var-i[of ?x α i As] i 3(2)
  by (smt (verit, best) ⟨var-rule α ! i ∈ vars-term (lhs α)⟩ apply-lhs-subst-var-rule
eval-term.simps(1) length-map map-nth-conv supseq-subst vars-term-supseq)
  then have linear-term (source a)
  using 3(4) by (metis subt-at-linear supseq-imp-subt-at)
  with 3(3) a have linear-term a by simp
}
}
moreover have is-partition (map vars-term As) proof-
{fix i j assume i:i < length As and j:j < length As and ij:i ≠ j
  let ?x=var-rule α ! i and ?y=var-rule α ! j
  from i j ij 3(2) have xy:?x ≠ ?y
  by (simp add: nth-eq-iff-index-eq)
  from i 3(2) have ?x ∈ vars-term (lhs α)

```

```

    by (metis comp-apply nth-mem set-remdups set-rev set-vars-term-list)
  then obtain p where p:p ∈ poss (lhs α) lhs α |-p = Var ?x
    by (meson vars-term-poss-subst-at)
  from j 3(2) have ?y ∈ vars-term (lhs α)
    by (metis comp-apply nth-mem set-remdups set-rev set-vars-term-list)
  then obtain q where q:q ∈ poss (lhs α) lhs α |-q = Var ?y
    by (meson vars-term-poss-subst-at)
  from xy p q have p ⊥ q
    using less-eq-pos-def parallel-pos by auto
  moreover have source (Prule α As) |-p = source (As!i)
  unfolding source.simps by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) 3.hyps(2) eval-term.simps(1)
i length-map lhs-subst-var-i nth-map p subst-at-subst)
  moreover have source (Prule α As) |-q = source (As!j)
  unfolding source.simps by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) 3.hyps(2) eval-term.simps(1)
j length-map lhs-subst-var-i nth-map q subst-at-subst)
  ultimately have vars-term (source (As!i)) ∩ vars-term (source (As!j)) = {}
    using 3(4) by (metis linear-subterms-disjoint-vars p(1) poss-imp-subst-poss
q(1) source.simps(3))
  then have vars-term (As!i) ∩ vars-term (As!j) = {}
    using vars-term-source 3(3) i j using nth-mem by blast
}
then show ?thesis
  unfolding is-partition-alt is-partition-alt-def by simp
qed
ultimately show ?case unfolding source.simps linear-term.simps by simp
qed simp

context var-rhs-subset-lhs
begin
lemma target-apply-subst:
  assumes A ∈ wf-pterm R
  shows target (A · σ) = (target A) · (target ∘ σ)
using assms(1) proof(induct A)
  case (2 ts f)
  then have (map target (map (λt. t · σ) ts)) = (map (λt. t · (target ∘ σ)) (map
target ts))
    unfolding map-map o-def by auto
  then show ?case unfolding eval-term.simps target.simps by simp
next
  case (3 α As)
  have id:∀ x ∈ vars-term (rhs α). (⟨map (target ∘ (λt. t · σ)) As⟩α) x = (⟨map
target As⟩α ∘s (target ∘ σ)) x
  proof-
    have vars:vars-term (rhs α) ⊆ set (var-rule α)
      using 3(1) varcond by auto
    { fix i assume i:i < length (var-rule α)
      with 3 have (⟨map (target ∘ (λt. t · σ)) As⟩α) ((var-rule α)!i) = target
((As!i) · σ)
        by (simp add: mk-subst-distinct)
    }
  }

```

```

also have ... = target (As!i) · (target ∘ σ)
  using 3 i by (metis nth-mem)
also have ... = (⟨map target As⟩α ∘s (target ∘ σ)) ((var-rule α)!i)
  using 3 i unfolding subst-compose-def by (simp add: mk-subst-distinct)
finally have (⟨map (target ∘ (λt. t · σ)) As⟩α) ((var-rule α)!i) = (⟨map target
As⟩α ∘s (target ∘ σ)) ((var-rule α)!i) .
} with vars show ?thesis by (smt (z3) in-mono in-set-conv-nth)
qed
have target ((Prule α As) · σ) = (rhs α) · ⟨map (target ∘ (λt. t · σ)) As⟩α
unfolding eval-term.simps(2) by simp
also have ... = (rhs α) · (⟨map target As⟩α ∘s (target ∘ σ))
  using id by (meson term-subst-eq)
also have ... = (target (Prule α As)) · (target ∘ σ) by simp
finally show ?case .
qed simp
end

```

context *var-rhs-subset-lhs*

begin

lemma *tgt-subst-simp*:

assumes $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

shows $\text{target } (A \cdot \sigma) = \text{target } ((\text{to-pterm } (\text{target } A)) \cdot \sigma)$

by (*metis assms target-apply-subst target-to-pterm to-pterm-wf-pterm*)

end

lemma *target-empty-apply-subst*:

assumes *is-empty-step t*

shows $\text{target } (t \cdot \sigma) = (\text{target } t) \cdot (\text{target } \circ \sigma)$

using *assms* **proof**(*induction t*)

case (*Var x*)

then show ?case **by** (*metis comp-apply eval-term.simps(1) target.simps(1)*)

next

case (*Pfun f As*)

from *Pfun(2)* **have** $\forall a \in \text{set } As. \text{is-empty-step } a$

by (*simp add: Ball-set-list-all*)

with *Pfun(1)* **show** ?case **by** *simp*

next

case (*Prule* α As)

then show ?case

using *is-empty-step.simps(3)* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *source-ctxt-comp:source-ctxt* ($C1 \circ_c C2$) = *source-ctxt* $C1 \circ_c$ *source-ctxt* $C2$

by(*induct C1*) (*simp-all add:ctxt-monoid-mult.mult-assoc*)

lemma *context-source*: $\text{source } (A \langle B \rangle) = \text{source } (A \langle \text{to-pterm } (\text{source } B) \rangle)$

proof(*induct A rule:actxt.induct*)

case (*More f ss1 A ss2*)

then show *?case* **by**(cases f) *simp-all*
qed *simp*

lemma *context-target*: $target (A\langle B \rangle) = target (A\langle to_pterm (target B) \rangle)$
proof(*induct A rule:actxt.induct*)
case (*More f ss1 A ss2*)
then show *?case* **by**(cases f) *simp-all*
qed *simp*

lemma *source-to-pterm-ctxt*:
 $source ((to_pterm_ctxt C)\langle A \rangle) = C\langle source A \rangle$
by (*metis context-source source-to-pterm to-pterm-ctxt-apply-term*)

lemma *target-to-pterm-ctxt*:
 $target ((to_pterm_ctxt C)\langle A \rangle) = C\langle target A \rangle$
by (*metis context-target target-to-pterm to-pterm-ctxt-apply-term*)

lemma *source-ctxt-to-pterm*:
assumes $p \in poss\ s$
shows $source_ctxt (ctxt_of_pos_term\ p (to_pterm\ s)) = ctxt_of_pos_term\ p\ s$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct p arbitrary:s*)
case (*Cons i p*)
then obtain $f\ ss$ **where** $s:s = Fun\ f\ ss$ **and** $i < length\ ss$ **and** $p \in poss (ss!i)$
using *args-poss* **by** *blast*
then show *?case*
unfolding $s\ to_pterm.simps\ ctxt_of_pos_term.simps\ source_ctxt.simps$ **using**
 $Cons(1)$
by (*smt (verit, best) drop-map nth-map source.simps(2) source-to-pterm take-map*
 $term.inject(2)\ to_pterm.simps(2)$)
qed *simp*

lemma *to-pterm-ctxt-at-pos*:
assumes $p \in poss\ s$
shows $ctxt_of_pos_term\ p (to_pterm\ s) = to_pterm_ctxt (ctxt_of_pos_term\ p\ s)$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct p arbitrary:s*)
case (*Cons i p*)
then obtain $f\ ss$ **where** $s:s = Fun\ f\ ss$
using *args-poss* **by** *blast*
with *Cons* **show** *?case*
using *drop-map s take-map* **by** *force*
qed *simp*

lemma *to-pterm-ctxt-hole-pos*: $hole_pos\ C = hole_pos (to_pterm_ctxt\ C)$
by(*induct C*) *simp-all*

lemma *source-to-pterm-ctxt'*:
assumes $q \in poss\ s$
shows $source_ctxt (to_pterm_ctxt (ctxt_of_pos_term\ q\ s)) = ctxt_of_pos_term\ q\ s$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct q arbitrary: s*)

```

case (Cons i q)
then obtain f ss where s:s = Fun f ss and i:i < length ss
  by (meson args-poss)
  with Cons have IH:source-ctxt (to-ptermin-ctxt (ctxt-of-pos-term q (ss!i))) =
  ctxt-of-pos-term q (ss!i)
  by auto
  with i show ?case unfolding s ctxt-of-pos-term.simps to-ptermin-ctxt.simps source-ctxt.simps
  using source-to-ptermin by (metis source.simps(2) term.sel(4) to-ptermin.simps(2))

```

qed simp

lemma to-ptermin-ctxt-comp: to-ptermin-ctxt (C \circ_c D) = to-ptermin-ctxt C \circ_c to-ptermin-ctxt D

by(induct C) simp-all

lemma source-apply-subst:

assumes A \in wf-ptermin R

shows source (A \cdot σ) = (source A) \cdot (source \circ σ)

using assms **proof**(induct A)

case (\exists α As)

have id: \forall x \in vars-term (lhs α). (\langle map (source \circ (λ t. t \cdot σ)) As \rangle_α) x = (\langle map source As $\rangle_\alpha \circ_s$ (source \circ σ)) x

proof–

have vars:vars-term (lhs α) = set (var-rule α) **by** simp

{ **fix** i **assume** i:i < length (var-rule α)

with \exists **have** (\langle map (source \circ (λ t. t \cdot σ)) As \rangle_α) ((var-rule α)!i) = source ((As!i) \cdot σ)

by (simp add: mk-subst-distinct)

also **have** ... = source (As!i) \cdot (source \circ σ)

using \exists i **by** (metis nth-mem)

also **have** ... = (\langle map source As $\rangle_\alpha \circ_s$ (source \circ σ)) ((var-rule α)!i)

using \exists i **unfolding** subst-compose-def **by** (simp add: mk-subst-distinct)

finally **have** (\langle map (source \circ (λ t. t \cdot σ)) As \rangle_α) ((var-rule α)!i) = (\langle map source As $\rangle_\alpha \circ_s$ (source \circ σ)) ((var-rule α)!i) .

} **with** vars **show** ?thesis **by** (metis in-set-idx)

qed

have source ((Prule α As) \cdot σ) = (lhs α) \cdot \langle map (source \circ (λ t. t \cdot σ)) As \rangle_α

unfolding eval-term.simps(2) **by** simp

also **have** ... = (lhs α) \cdot (\langle map source As $\rangle_\alpha \circ_s$ (source \circ σ))

using id **by** (meson term-subst-eq)

also **have** ... = (source (Prule α As)) \cdot (source \circ σ) **by** simp

finally **show** ?case .

qed simp-all

lemma ctxt-of-pos-term-at-var-subst:

assumes linear-term t

and p \in poss t **and** t|-p = Var x

and \forall y \in vars-term t. y \neq x \longrightarrow τ y = σ y

shows ctxt-of-pos-term p (t \cdot τ) = ctxt-of-pos-term p (t \cdot σ)

```

using assms proof(induct t arbitrary:p)
case (Fun f ts)
from Fun(3,4) obtain i p' where p:p = i#p' and i:i < length ts and p':p' ∈
poss (ts!i)
  by auto
with Fun(4) have x:ts!i |-p' = Var x
  by simp
  {fix j assume j:j < length ts j ≠ i
   from Fun(2) have x ∉ vars-term (ts!j)
   by (metis i j p' subset-eq term.set-intros(3) var-in-linear-args vars-term-subt-at
x)
   with Fun(5) j have ts!j · τ = ts!j · σ
   by (metis (no-types, lifting) nth-mem term.set-intros(4) term-subst-eq)
   then have (map (λt. t · τ) ts)!j = (map (λt. t · σ) ts)!j
   by (simp add: j)
  }note args=this
from args have args1:take i (map (λt. t · τ) ts) = take i (map (λt. t · σ) ts)
  using nth-take-lemma[of i (map (λt. t · τ) ts) (map (λt. t · σ) ts)] i by simp
from args have args2:drop (Suc i) (map (λt. t · τ) ts) = drop (Suc i) (map (λt.
t · σ) ts)
  using nth-drop-equal[of (map (λt. t · τ) ts) (map (λt. t · σ) ts) Suc i] i by
simp
from Fun(1,2,5) i have IH:ctxt-of-pos-term p' ((ts!i) · τ) = ctxt-of-pos-term p'
((ts!i) · σ)
  by (simp add: p' x)
with args1 args2 show ?case
  unfolding p eval-term.simps ctxt-of-pos-term.simps by (simp add: i)
qed simp

```

```

context left-lin
begin

```

```

lemma source-ctxt-apply-subst:
  assumes C ∈ wf-pterm-ctxt R
  shows source-ctxt (C ·c σ) = (source-ctxt C) ·c (source ∘ σ)
using assms proof(induct C)
  case (2 ss1 ss2 C f)
  then show ?case
  unfolding source-ctxt.simps actxt.simps 2 using source-apply-subst by auto
next
  case (3 α ss1 ss2 C)
  let ?p=(var-poss-list (lhs α) ! length ss1)
  let ?x=(vars-term-list (lhs α) ! length ss1)
  have var-at-p:(lhs α)|-?p = Var ?x
  by (metis 3.hyps(2) add-lessD1 length-remdups-leq length-rev less-add-one
o-apply order-less-le-trans vars-term-list-var-poss-list)
  from 3(2) have pos1:?p ∈ poss (lhs α)
  by (metis add-lessD1 comp-apply length-remdups-leq length-rev length-var-poss-list
less-add-one nth-mem order-less-le-trans var-poss-imp-poss var-poss-list-sound)

```

```

then have pos: ?p ∈ poss (lhs α · ⟨map source (ss1 @ Var (vars-term-list (lhs α)
! length ss1) # ss2)⟩α)
  using poss-imp-subst-poss by blast
have lin: linear-term (lhs α)
  using 3(1) left-lin using left-linear-trs-def by fastforce
{fix y assume y ∈ vars-term (lhs α) and x: y ≠ ?x
  then obtain i where i: i < length (var-rule α) var-rule α ! i = y
    by (metis in-set-idx lin linear-term-var-vars-term-list set-vars-term-list)
  with x consider i < length ss1 | i > length ss1 ∧ i < length (var-rule α)
    using lin linear-term-var-vars-term-list nat-neq-iff by fastforce
  then have ((⟨map source (map (λt. t · σ) ss1 @ Var ?x # map (λt. t · σ)
ss2)⟩α) y = ((⟨map source (ss1 @ Var ?x # ss2)⟩α) y) · (source ∘ σ)
  proof (cases)
    case 1
      with i have ((⟨map source (map (λt. t · σ) ss1 @ Var ?x # map (λt. t · σ)
ss2)⟩α) y = source ((ss1!i) · σ)
        by (smt (z3) 3.hyps(2) One-nat-def add.right-neutral add-Suc-right ap-
pend-Cons-nth-left comp-apply distinct-remdups distinct-rev length-append length-map
length-nth-simps(2) map-nth-eq-conv mk-subst-same)
      moreover from i 1 have ((⟨map source (ss1 @ Var ?x # ss2)⟩α) y = source
(ss1!i)
        by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) 3.hyps(2) One-nat-def ab-semigroup-add-class.add-ac(1)
append-Cons-nth-left comp-apply distinct-remdups distinct-rev length-append length-map
list.size(4) map-nth-eq-conv mk-subst-distinct)
      moreover have ss1!i ∈ wf-pterm R
        using 3(3) 1 by (meson UnCI nth-mem)
      ultimately show ?thesis
        using source-apply-subst by auto
    next
      case 2
        let ?i = i - ((length ss1) + 1)
        have i': ?i < length ss2
          using 3(2) 2 by (simp add: less-diff-conv2)
        have i1: (map source (map (λt. t · σ) ss1 @ Var ?x # map (λt. t · σ) ss2))!i
= source ((ss2!i) · σ) proof –
          have i'': i = length (map source (map (λt. t · σ) ss1 @ [Var ?x])) + ?i
            unfolding length-append length-map using 2 by force
          show ?thesis unfolding map-append list.map
            using i' i'' nth-append-length-plus[of (map source (map (λt. t · σ) ss1 @
[Var (vars-term-list (lhs α) ! length ss1])) map source (map (λt. t · σ) ss2))]
            by (smt (verit, del-Insts) Cons-eq-appendI append-Nil append-assoc
length-map list.simps(9) map-append nth-map)
          qed
          have i2: map source (ss1 @ Var ?x # ss2) ! i = source (ss2!i) proof –
            have i'': i = length (map source (ss1 @ [Var ?x])) + ?i
              unfolding length-append length-map using 2 by force
            show ?thesis unfolding map-append list.map
              using i' i'' nth-append-length-plus[of (map source (ss1 @ [Var (vars-term-list
(lhs α) ! length ss1])) map source ss2)]

```

```

      by (smt (verit, del-insts) append.left-neutral append-Cons append-assoc
length-map list.simps(9) map-append nth-map)
    qed
    from i1 2 have ((map source (map (λt. t · σ) ss1 @ Var ?x # map (λt. t ·
σ) ss2))α) y = source ((ss2! ?i) · σ)
      by (smt (verit, ccfu-threshold) 3.hyps(2) One-nat-def ab-semigroup-add-class.add-ac(1)
comp-def distinct-remdups distinct-rev i(2) length-append length-map list.size(4)
mk-subst-distinct)
    moreover from i2 2 have ((map source (ss1 @ Var ?x # ss2))α) y = source
(ss2! ?i)
      by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) 3.hyps(2) One-nat-def add.right-neutral
add-Suc-right comp-apply distinct-remdups distinct-rev i(2) length-append length-map
length-nth-simps(2) mk-subst-distinct)
    moreover have ss2! ?i ∈ wf-pterm R
      using 3(3) 2 < ?i < length ss2 > by (metis UnCI nth-mem)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using source-apply-subst by auto
    qed
  }
  then have ctxt-of-pos-term ?p (lhs α · ⟨map source (map (λt. t · σ) ss1 @ Var
?x # map (λt. t · σ) ss2))α) =
    ctxt-of-pos-term ?p (lhs α · ⟨map source (ss1 @ Var ?x # ss2))α · (source
◦ σ))
    using ctxt-of-pos-term-at-var-subst[OF lin pos1 var-at-p] unfolding subst-subst
by (smt (verit) subst-compose)
  then show ?case unfolding source-ctxt.simps actxt.simps Let-def 3 subst-compose-ctxt-compose-distrib
length-map ctxt-of-pos-term-subst[OF pos, symmetric]
    by presburger
  qed simp

```

Needs left-linearity to avoid multihole contexts.

```

lemma source-ctxt-apply-term:
  assumes C ∈ wf-pterm-ctxt R
  shows source (C(A)) = (source-ctxt C)⟨source A⟩
using assms proof(induct C)
  case (3 α ss1 ss2 C)
  from 3(1) left-lin have lin:linear-term (lhs α)
    using left-linear-trs-def by fastforce
  from 3(2) have len:length ss1 < length (vars-term-list (lhs α))
    by (metis add-lessD1 less-add-one lin linear-term-var-vars-term-list)
  have (source-ctxt (Crule α ss1 C ss2))⟨source A⟩ =
    lhs α · ((map source ss1) @ (source-ctxt C)⟨source A⟩ # (map source ss2))α
  unfolding source-ctxt.simps Let-def intp-actxt.simps source.simps ctxt-ctxt-compose
  using ctxt-apply-term-subst[OF lin len] lhs-subst-upd
  by (smt (verit) len length-map lin linear-term-var-vars-term-list list.simps(9)
map-append)
  with 3(5) show ?case by simp
  qed simp-all
end

```



```

lemma rewrite-tgt:
  assumes rstep: $(t,v) \in (rstep\ R)^*$ 
  shows  $(target\ (C\ \langle\langle to\text{-}p\text{-}term\ t \rangle\rangle \cdot \sigma)),\ target\ (C\ \langle\langle to\text{-}p\text{-}term\ v \rangle\rangle \cdot \sigma)) \in (rstep\ R)^*$ 
proof(induct C)
  case Hole
  then show ?case
  by (simp add: local.rstep rsteps-closed-subst target-empty-apply-subst to-pterm-empty)

next
  case (Cfun f ss1 C ss2)
  then show ?case by (simp add: ctxt-closed-one ctxt-closed-rsteps)
next
  case (Crule  $\alpha$  ss1 C ss2)
  {fix x assume  $x \in vars\text{-}term\ (rhs\ \alpha)$ 
  from Crule have  $(\langle\langle map\ target\ (ss1\ @\ C\ \langle\langle to\text{-}p\text{-}term\ t \rangle\rangle \cdot \sigma) \rangle\rangle \# ss2)\rangle_\alpha\ x,\ (\langle\langle map\ target\ (ss1\ @\ C\ \langle\langle to\text{-}p\text{-}term\ v \rangle\rangle \cdot \sigma) \rangle\rangle \# ss2)\rangle_\alpha\ x) \in (rstep\ R)^*$ 
  proof(cases x  $\in$  vars-term (lhs  $\alpha$ ))
  case True
  then obtain i where  $i < length\ (vars\text{-}distinct\ (lhs\ \alpha))\ x = vars\text{-}distinct\ (lhs\ \alpha)\!i$ 
  by (metis in-set-idx set-vars-term-list vars-term-list-vars-distinct)
  then show ?thesis using Crule
  by (smt (z3) append-Cons-nth-not-middle length-append length-map length-nth-simps(2) lhs-subst-not-var-i lhs-subst-var-i map-nth-eq-conv nth-append-length rtrancl.simps)

  next
  case False
  then show ?thesis
  by (simp add: mk-subst-not-mem)
  qed
}
then show ?case by (simp add: subst-rsteps-imp-rsteps)
qed

```

2.8 Additional Results

```

lemma length-args-well-Prule:
  assumes Prule  $\alpha\ As \in wf\text{-}p\text{-}term\ R\ Prule\ \alpha\ Bs \in wf\text{-}p\text{-}term\ S$ 
  shows  $length\ As = length\ Bs$ 
proof–
  from assms(1) have  $length\ As = length\ (var\text{-}rule\ \alpha)$  using wf-pterm.simps by
fastforce
  moreover from assms(2) have  $length\ Bs = length\ (var\text{-}rule\ \alpha)$  using wf-pterm.simps
by fastforce
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

lemma co-initial-Var:

```

assumes *co-initial* ($\text{Var } x$) B
shows $B = \text{Var } x \vee (\exists \alpha \ b' \ y. B = \text{Prule } \alpha \ b' \wedge \text{lhs } \alpha = \text{Var } y)$
proof –
 {**assume** $B \neq \text{Var } x$
 with *assms* **obtain** $\alpha \ b'$ **where** $B = \text{Prule } \alpha \ b'$
 by (*metis is-empty-step.elims*(3) *source.elims source-empty-step term.distinct*(1))
 moreover with *assms* **have** $\exists \ y. \text{lhs } \alpha = \text{Var } y$
 by (*metis source.simps*(1) *source.simps*(3) *subst-apply-eq-Var*)
 ultimately have ($\exists \alpha \ b' \ y. B = \text{Prule } \alpha \ b' \wedge \text{lhs } \alpha = \text{Var } y$)
 by *blast*
 }
then show *?thesis*
 by *blast*
qed

lemma *source-poss*:

assumes $p:p \in \text{poss}(\text{source}(\text{Pfun } f \ As))$ **and** $iq:i\#q \in \text{poss}(\text{Pfun } f \ As)$
and $\text{ctxt}:\text{source-ctxt}(\text{ctxt-of-pos-term}(i\#q)(\text{Pfun } f \ As)) = \text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p$
($\text{source}(\text{Pfun } f \ As)$)
shows $\exists \ p'. p = i\#p' \wedge p' \in \text{poss}(\text{source}(As!i))$
proof –
 obtain p' **where** $\text{hole-pos}(\text{source-ctxt}(\text{ctxt-of-pos-term}(i\#q)(\text{Pfun } f \ As))) =$
 $i\#p'$
 $p' = \text{hole-pos}(\text{source-ctxt}(\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } q \ (As \ ! \ i)))$
 unfolding *ctxt-of-pos-term.simps source-ctxt.simps take-map drop-map* **using**
iq by auto
 with *ctxt* **have** $p = i\#p'$
 by (*metis hole-pos-ctxt-of-pos-term* p)
 with p **show** *?thesis*
 by *auto*
qed

lemma *simple-pterm-match*:

assumes $\text{source } A = t \cdot \sigma$
and *linear-term* t
and $A \cdot \tau 1 = \text{to-pterm } t \cdot \tau 2$
shows *matches* A (*to-pterm* t)
using *assms* **proof**(*induct* t *arbitrary*: A)
case ($\text{Var } x$)
then show *?case*
 using *matches-iff* **by** *force*
next
case ($\text{Fun } f \ ts$)
from $\text{Fun}(2,4)$ **show** *?case* **proof**(*cases* A)
 case ($\text{Pfun } g \ As$)
 with $\text{Fun}(2)$ **have** $f:f = g$ **by** *simp*
 from $\text{Fun}(2)$ **have** $l:\text{length } ts = \text{length } As$
 unfolding Pfun *source.simps f eval-term.simps* **by** (*simp add: map-equality-iff*)

```

{fix i assume i:i < length ts
  with Fun(2) have source (As ! i) = ts ! i · σ
  unfolding Pfun source.simps f eval-term.simps by (simp add: map-equality-iff)
  moreover from i Fun(4) have As ! i · τ1 = to-ptermin (ts ! i) · τ2
  unfolding Pfun f to-ptermin.simps eval-term.simps using l map-nth-conv by
fastforce
  ultimately have matches (As!i) (to-ptermin (ts!i))
  using Fun(1)[of ts!i As!i] l i Fun(3) by force
  then have ∃σ. As!i = (to-ptermin (ts!i)) · σ
  by (metis matches-iff)
}note IH=this
from Fun(3) have lin:linear-term (to-ptermin (Fun f ts))
  using to-ptermin-linear by blast
from linear-term-obtain-subst[OF lin[unfolded to-ptermin.simps]] show ?thesis
  unfolding Pfun f by (smt (verit, del-insts) IH l length-map matches-iff
nth-map to-ptermin.simps(2))
qed simp-all
qed

```

2.9 Proof Terms Represent Multi-Steps

```

context var-rhs-subset-lhs
begin
lemma mstep-to-ptermin:
  assumes (s, t) ∈ mstep R
  shows ∃A. A ∈ wf-ptermin R ∧ source A = s ∧ target A = t
  using assms(1) proof(induct)
  case (Var x)
  then show ?case
  by (meson source.simps(1) target.simps(1) wf-ptermin.intros(1))
next
  case (args f n ss ts)
  then have ∀i∈set [0..<n]. ∃a. a ∈ wf-ptermin R ∧ source a = ss ! i ∧ target a
= ts ! i
  by simp
  then obtain As where as:length As = n ∧ (∀i < n. (As!i) ∈ wf-ptermin R ∧
source (As!i) = ss ! i ∧ target (As!i) = ts ! i)
  using obtain-list-with-property[where P=λa i. a ∈ wf-ptermin R ∧ source a =
ss!i ∧ target a = ts!i and xs=[0..<n]]
  by (metis add.left-neutral diff-zero length-upt nth-upt set-upt)
  with args(1) have source (Pfun f As) = Fun f ss
  unfolding source.simps by (simp add: map-nth-eq-conv)
  moreover from as args(2) have target (Pfun f As) = Fun f ts
  unfolding target.simps by (simp add: map-nth-eq-conv)
  ultimately show ?case
  using as by (metis in-set-idx wf-ptermin.intros(2))
next
  case (rule l r σ τ)
  let ?α =(l → r)

```

```

have set (vars-distinct l) = vars-term l
by simp
with rule(2) obtain As where as:length As = length (vars-distinct l) ∧
  (∀ i < length (vars-distinct l). (As!i) ∈ wf-pterm R ∧
  source (As!i) = σ ((vars-distinct l) ! i) ∧ target (As!i) = τ ((vars-distinct l) !
i))
using obtain-list-with-property[where P=λa x. a ∈ wf-pterm R ∧ source a =
σ x ∧ target a = τ x] by blast
with rule(1) have well:Prule ?α As ∈ wf-pterm R
by (metis in-set-idx prule.sel(1) prule.sel(2) wf-pterm.simps)
from as have ∀ x ∈ vars-term l. ((map source As)?α) x = σ x
by (smt (z3) apply-lhs-subst-var-rule in-set-idx length-map map-nth-conv prule.sel(1)
set-vars-term-list vars-term-list-vars-distinct)
then have s:source (Prule ?α As) = l · σ
by (simp add: term-subst-eq-conv)
from as varcond have ∀ x ∈ vars-term r. ((map target As)?α) x = τ x
by (smt (verit, best) apply-lhs-subst-var-rule fst-conv in-set-conv-nth length-map
nth-map prule.sel(1)
rule.hyps(1) set-vars-term-list snd-conv split-beta subsetD vars-term-list-vars-distinct)

then have target (Prule ?α As) = r · τ
by (simp add: term-subst-eq-conv)
with well s show ?case
by blast
qed
end

```

lemma pterm-to-mstep:

```

assumes A ∈ wf-pterm R
shows (source A, target A) ∈ mstep R
using assms proof(induct)
case (2 As f)
then show ?case
by (simp add: mstep.args)
next
case (3 α As)
then have ∀ x ∈ vars-term (lhs α). (((map source As)α) x, ((map target As)α) x)
∈ mstep R
by (smt (verit, best) apply-lhs-subst-var-rule comp-def in-set-idx length-map
map-nth-conv nth-mem set-remdups set-rev set-vars-term-list)
with 3(1) show ?case
by (simp add: mstep.rule)
qed simp

```

lemma co-init-prule:

```

assumes co-initial (Prule α As) (Prule α Bs)
and Prule α As ∈ wf-pterm R and Prule α Bs ∈ wf-pterm R
shows ∀ i < length As. co-initial (As!i) (Bs!i)
proof–

```

```

from assms have  $l1:\text{length } As = \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$ 
  using wf-pterm.simps by fastforce
from assms have  $l2:\text{length } Bs = \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$ 
  using wf-pterm.simps by fastforce
{fix i assume  $i:i < \text{length } As$  and  $co:\neg (\text{co-initial } (As!i) (Bs!i))$ 
  then have  $(\langle \text{map source } As \rangle_\alpha) ((\text{var-rule } \alpha)!i) \neq (\langle \text{map source } Bs \rangle_\alpha) ((\text{var-rule } \alpha)!i)$ 
    by (metis l1 l2 length-map lhs-subst-var-i nth-map)
  with assms(1) have False unfolding source.simps
    by (smt (z3) comp-apply i l1 nth-mem set-remdups set-rev set-vars-term-list term-subst-eq-rev)
  } then show ?thesis
    by blast
qed

```

3 Operations on Proof Terms

The operations residual, deletion, and join on proof terms all fulfill $A \star (\text{source } A) = A$ which implies several useful results.

```

locale op-proof-term = left-lin-no-var-lhs +
  fixes  $f :: (('a, 'b) \text{prule} + 'a, 'b) \text{Term.term} \Rightarrow (('a, 'b) \text{prule} + 'a, 'b) \text{Term.term}$ 
   $\Rightarrow (('a, 'b) \text{prule} + 'a, 'b) \text{Term.term option}$ 
  assumes  $f\text{-src}: A \in \text{wf-pterm } R \Longrightarrow f A (\text{to-pterm } (\text{source } A)) = \text{Some } A$ 
  and  $f\text{-pfun}: f (\text{Pfun } g As)(\text{Pfun } g Bs) = (\text{if } \text{length } As = \text{length } Bs \text{ then}$ 
     $(\text{case those } (\text{map2 } f As Bs) \text{ of}$ 
       $\text{Some } xs \Rightarrow \text{Some } (\text{Pfun } g xs)$ 
       $| \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None}) \text{ else None})$ 
  and  $f\text{-prule}: f (\text{Prule } \alpha As) (\text{Pfun } g Bs) = (\text{case match } (\text{Pfun } g Bs) (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \text{ of}$ 
     $\text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None}$ 
     $| \text{Some } \sigma \Rightarrow$ 
       $(\text{case those } (\text{map2 } f As (\text{map } \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha))) \text{ of}$ 
         $\text{Some } xs \Rightarrow \text{Some } (\text{Prule } \alpha xs)$ 
         $| \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None}))$ 

```

begin

notation

```

 $f ((' \star '))$  and
 $f ((- \star -) [51, 51] 50)$ 

```

lemma *apply-f-ctxt*:

```

assumes  $C \in \text{wf-pterm-ctxt } R$ 
  and  $A \star B = \text{Some } D$ 
shows  $C \langle A \rangle \star (\text{to-pterm-ctxt } (\text{source-ctxt } C)) \langle B \rangle = \text{Some } (C \langle D \rangle)$ 
using assms proof (induct C rule:pterm-ctxt-induct)
case (Cfun f ss1 C ss2)
  have  $l:\text{length } ((\text{map } (\text{to-pterm } \circ \text{source}) ss1) @ (\text{to-pterm-ctxt } (\text{source-ctxt } C)) \langle A \rangle \# (\text{map } (\text{to-pterm } \circ \text{source}) ss2))$ 

```

```

    = length (ss1 @ C⟨B⟩ # ss2) by auto
from Cfun(2) have well1:∀ i < length ss1. (ss1!i) ∈ wf-pterm R by auto
from Cfun(2) have well2:∀ i < length ss2. (ss2!i) ∈ wf-pterm R by auto
from Cfun have fC:C⟨A⟩ ★ (to-pterm-ctxt (source-ctxt C))⟨B⟩ = Some (C⟨D⟩)

by auto
from well1 have f1:∀ i < length ss1. ((map2 (★) ss1 (map (to-pterm ∘ source)
ss1))!i = Some (ss1!i))
    using f-src to-pterm-empty by fastforce
from well2 have f2:∀ i < length ss2. ((map2 (★) ss2 (map (to-pterm ∘ source)
ss2))!i = Some (ss2!i))
    using f-src to-pterm-empty by fastforce
fix i assume i:i < (length ss1) + (length ss2) + 1
have (map2 (★) (ss1 @ (C⟨A⟩ # ss2))
    (map (to-pterm ∘ source) ss1 @ ((to-pterm-ctxt (source-ctxt C))⟨B⟩ #
map (to-pterm ∘ source) ss2)))!i
    = Some ((ss1 @ C⟨D⟩ # ss2)!i)
proof -
consider i < length ss1 | i = length ss1 | i > length ss1
    using nat-neq-iff by blast
then show ?thesis proof(cases)
    case 1
    then show ?thesis using f1
    by (simp add: append-Cons-nth-left)
    next
    case 2
    then show ?thesis using fC
    by (simp add: append-Cons-nth-middle)
    next
    case 3
    with i have l:(map (to-pterm ∘ source) ss1 @ (to-pterm-ctxt (source-ctxt
C))⟨B⟩ # map (to-pterm ∘ source) ss2)!i
    = (map (to-pterm ∘ source) ss2)!(i-(length ss1 + 1))
    by (metis add.commute length-map less-SucI not-less-eq nth-append-Cons
plus-1-eq-Suc)
    from 3 i have r:(ss1 @ (C⟨to-pterm (source B)⟩ # ss2))!i = ss2!(i-(length
ss1 + 1))
    by (metis add.commute less-SucI not-less-eq nth-append-Cons plus-1-eq-Suc)

from l r 3 show ?thesis using f2
by (smt One-nat-def add.right-neutral add-Suc add-Suc-right add-diff-inverse-nat
add-less-cancel-left append-Cons-nth-right i length-append length-map length-zip list.size(4)
min-less-iff-conj not-less-eq nth-map nth-zip)
    qed
    qed
  }
with l have those ((map2 (★) (ss1 @ (C⟨A⟩ # ss2))
    (map (to-pterm ∘ source) ss1 @ ((to-pterm-ctxt (source-ctxt C))⟨B⟩ #
map (to-pterm ∘ source) ss2))))

```

```

      = Some (ss1 @ C⟨D⟩ # ss2) by (simp add: those-some)
with l show ?case using f-pfun by simp
next
case (Crule α ss1 C ss2)
from Crule(2) have alpha:to-rule α ∈ R
  using wf-pterm-ctxt.cases by auto
then have linear:linear-term (lhs α)
  using left-lin left-linear-trs-def by fastforce
then have linear':linear-term (to-pterm (lhs α))
  using to-pterm-linear by blast
have l1:length ((map (to-pterm ∘ source) ss1) @ (to-pterm-ctxt (source-ctxt
C))⟨A⟩ # (map (to-pterm ∘ source) ss2))
  = length (ss1 @ C⟨B⟩ # ss2) by auto
from Crule(2) have l2:length (ss1 @ C⟨B⟩ # ss2) = length (var-rule α)
  using wf-pterm-ctxt.simps by fastforce
from Crule(2) have well1:∀ i < length ss1. (ss1!i) ∈ wf-pterm R by auto
from Crule(2) have well2:∀ i < length ss2. (ss2!i) ∈ wf-pterm R by auto
from Crule have fC:C⟨A⟩ ★ (to-pterm-ctxt (source-ctxt C))⟨B⟩ = Some (C⟨D⟩)

  by auto
from well1 have f1:∀ i < length ss1. ((map2 (★) ss1 (map (to-pterm ∘ source)
ss1))!i = Some (ss1!i))
  using f-src to-pterm-empty by fastforce
from well2 have f2:∀ i < length ss2. ((map2 (★) ss2 (map (to-pterm ∘ source)
ss2))!i = Some (ss2!i))
  using f-src to-pterm-empty by fastforce
{fix i assume i:i < (length ss1) + (length ss2) + 1
  have (map2 (★) (ss1 @ (C⟨A⟩ # ss2)) (map (to-pterm ∘ source) ss1 @
((to-pterm-ctxt (source-ctxt C))⟨B⟩ #
(map (to-pterm ∘ source) ss2))))!i = Some ((ss1 @ C⟨D⟩ # ss2)!i)
proof-
  consider i < length ss1 | i = length ss1 | i > length ss1
  using nat-neq-iff by blast
  then show ?thesis proof(cases)
  case 1
  then show ?thesis using f1
  by (simp add: append-Cons-nth-left)
  next
  case 2
  then show ?thesis using fC
  by (simp add: append-Cons-nth-middle)
  next
  case 3
  with i have l:(map (to-pterm ∘ source) ss1 @ (to-pterm-ctxt (source-ctxt
C))⟨B⟩ # map (to-pterm ∘ source) ss2)!i
    = (map (to-pterm ∘ source) ss2)!(i-(length ss1 + 1))
  by (metis add.commute length-map less-SucI not-less-eq nth-append-Cons
plus-1-eq-Suc)
  from 3 i have r:(ss1 @ (C⟨to-pterm (source B)⟩ # ss2))!i = ss2!(i-(length

```

```

ss1 + 1))
  by (metis add.commute less-SucI not-less-eq nth-append-Cons plus-1-eq-Suc)

  from l r 3 show ?thesis using f2
  by (smt One-nat-def add.right-neutral add-Suc add-Suc-right add-diff-inverse-nat
add-less-cancel-left append-Cons-nth-right i length-append length-map length-zip list.size(4)
min-less-iff-conj not-less-eq nth-map nth-zip)
  qed
  qed
}
with l1 have IH:those (map2 (★) (ss1 @ (C⟨A⟩ # ss2)) (map (to-pterminc ∘
source) ss1 @ ((to-pterminc-ctxt (source-ctxt C))⟨B⟩ #
(map (to-pterminc ∘ source) ss2))) ) = Some (ss1 @ C⟨D⟩ #
ss2) by (simp add: those-some)
let ?p = (var-poss-list (lhs α) ! length ss1)
let ?x = vars-term-list (lhs α) ! length ss1
let ?σ = ⟨map source (ss1 @ Var (vars-term-list (lhs α) ! length ss1) # ss2)⟩α
from l2 linear have l3:length ss1 < length (var-poss-list (lhs α))
by (metis (no-types, lifting) add-Suc-right append-Cons-nth-left le-imp-less-Suc
length-append length-var-poss-list linear-term-var-vars-term-list linorder-neqE-nat
list.size(3) list.size(4) not-add-less1 nth-equalityI self-append-conv zero-order(1))
then have ?p ∈ poss (lhs α)
using nth-mem var-poss-imp-poss var-poss-list-sound by blast
then have ctxt:(to-pterminc-ctxt (source-ctxt (Crule α ss1 C ss2)))⟨B⟩ =
(ctxt-of-pos-term ?p (to-pterminc (lhs α) ∙ (to-pterminc ∘ ?σ)))⟨(to-pterminc-ctxt
(source-ctxt C))⟨B⟩⟩
unfolding source-ctxt.simps intp-actxt.simps Let-def ctxt-ctxt-compose to-pterminc-ctxt-comp

using to-pterminc-ctxt-at-pos[where ?p=?p and ?s=lhs α ∙ ?σ] by (simp add:
to-pterminc-subst)
from l3 have l4:length ss1 < length (vars-term-list (to-pterminc (lhs α)))
by (metis length-var-poss-list vars-to-pterminc)
have (to-pterminc-ctxt (source-ctxt (Crule α ss1 C ss2)))⟨B⟩ =
to-pterminc (lhs α) ∙ ((to-pterminc ∘ ?σ)(?x := (to-pterminc-ctxt (source-ctxt
C))⟨B⟩))
unfolding ctxt using ctxt-apply-term-subst[where ?p=?p and ?t=to-pterminc
(lhs α) and ?i=length ss1 and ?s=(to-pterminc-ctxt (source-ctxt C))⟨B⟩ and ?σ=(to-pterminc
∘ ?σ)]
linear' l4 var-poss-list-to-pterminc vars-to-pterminc by metis
then obtain τ where τ:match (to-pterminc-ctxt (source-ctxt (Crule α ss1 C
ss2)))⟨B⟩ (to-pterminc (lhs α)) = Some τ
unfolding ctxt using ctxt-apply-term-subst linear' match-complete' option.distinct(1)
by force
have varr:(var-rule α) = vars-term-list (to-pterminc (lhs α))
using linear linear-term-var-vars-term-list unfolding vars-to-pterminc by force
have (map (to-pterminc ∘ ?σ) (vars-term-list (to-pterminc (lhs α)))) = map (to-pterminc
∘ source) (ss1 @ Var (vars-term-list (lhs α) ! length ss1) # ss2)
using apply-lhs-subst-var-rule l2 unfolding varr[symmetric] by force
then have (map (to-pterminc ∘ ?σ) (vars-term-list (to-pterminc (lhs α))))[length ss1

```



```

:= (to-ptermt-ctxt (source-ctxt C))⟨B⟩ =
    (map (to-ptermt ∘ source) ss1 @ (to-ptermt-ctxt (source-ctxt C))⟨B⟩ #
    (map (to-ptermt ∘ source) ss2))
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) length-map list.simps(9) list-update-length map-append)

    with τ have map-tau:map τ (var-rule α) = (map (to-ptermt ∘ source) ss1 @
    (to-ptermt-ctxt (source-ctxt C))⟨B⟩ #
    (map (to-ptermt ∘ source) ss2))
    using match-lhs-context[where ?t=to-ptermt (lhs α) and ?τ=τ and ?σ=(to-ptermt
    ∘ ?σ)]
    l4 var-poss-list-to-ptermt linear' ctxt varr by metis
    from alpha no-var-lhs obtain f ts where f:lhs α = Fun f ts
    by blast
    have [] ∉ var-poss (lhs α)
    unfolding f var-poss.simps by force
    then obtain i q where iq:?p = i # q using l3
    by (metis in-set-conv-nth subt-at.elims var-poss-list-sound)
    then obtain ts' where root-not-rule:(to-ptermt-ctxt (source-ctxt (Crule α ss1 C
    ss2)))⟨B⟩ = Pfun f ts'
    unfolding ctxt iq unfolding f by simp
    then show ?case
    using τ f-prule map-tau IH by force
qed simp

end

end

theory Residual-Join-Deletion

imports
  Proof-Terms
  Linear-Matching
begin

```

3.1 Residuals

Auxiliary lemma in preparation of termination simp rule.

```

lemma match-vars-term-size:
  assumes match s t = Some σ
    and x ∈ vars-term t
  shows size (σ x) ≤ size s
  using assms vars-term-size by (metis match-matches)

```

```

lemma [termination-simp]:
  assumes match (Fun f ss) (to-ptermt l) = Some σ
    and *: (s, t) ∈ set (zip (map σ (vars-distinct l)) ts)
  shows size s ≤ Suc (size-list size ss)
proof -
  from * have s ∈ set (map σ (vars-distinct l)) by (blast elim: in-set-zipE)

```

then obtain x **where** $[simp]: s = \sigma x$
and $x: x \in \text{vars-term } (to\text{-pterm } l)$ **by** $(induct\ l)$ *auto*
from $match\text{-vars-term-size } [OF\ assms(1)\ x]$
show $?thesis$ **by** $simp$
qed

Additional simp rule because we allow variable left-hand sides of rewrite rules at this point. Then $Var\ x / \alpha$ and $\alpha / Var\ x$ are also possible when evaluating residuals. This might become important when we want to introduce the error rule for residuals of composed proof terms.

lemma $[termination\text{-simp}]$:
assumes $match\ (Var\ x)\ (to\text{-pterm } l) = Some\ \sigma$
and $(a, b) \in set\ (zip\ (map\ \sigma\ (vars\text{-distinct } l))\ ts)$
shows $size\ a = 1$
proof –
from $assms(1)$ **have** $*(to\text{-pterm } l) \cdot \sigma = Var\ x$ **by** $(simp\ add: match\text{-matches})$
then obtain y **where** $y:l = Var\ y$ **by** $(metis\ subst\text{-apply}\ eq\ Var\ term.\text{distinct}(1)\ to\text{-pterm}.\text{elims})$
with $*$ **have** $**:\sigma\ y = Var\ x$ **by** $simp$
from y **have** $vars\text{-distinct } l = [y]$ **by** $(simp\ add: vars\text{-term}\text{-list}.\text{sims}(1))$
with $assms(2)$ y **have** $a = Var\ x$ **by** $(simp\ add: **\ in\text{-set}\text{-zip})$
then show $?thesis$ **by** $simp$
qed

fun $residual :: ('f, 'v)\ pterm \Rightarrow ('f, 'v)\ pterm \Rightarrow ('f, 'v)\ pterm\ option$ (**infixr** re 70)
where
 $Var\ x\ re\ Var\ y =$
 $(if\ x = y\ then\ Some\ (Var\ x)\ else\ None)$
 $| Pfun\ f\ As\ re\ Pfun\ g\ Bs =$
 $(if\ (f = g \wedge length\ As = length\ Bs)\ then$
 $(case\ those\ (map2\ residual\ As\ Bs)\ of$
 $Some\ xs \Rightarrow Some\ (Pfun\ f\ xs)$
 $| None \Rightarrow None)$
 $else\ None)$
 $| Prule\ \alpha\ As\ re\ Prule\ \beta\ Bs =$
 $(if\ \alpha = \beta\ then$
 $(case\ those\ (map2\ residual\ As\ Bs)\ of$
 $Some\ xs \Rightarrow Some\ ((to\text{-pterm } (rhs\ \alpha)) \cdot \langle xs \rangle_\alpha)$
 $| None \Rightarrow None)$
 $else\ None)$
 $| Prule\ \alpha\ As\ re\ B =$
 $(case\ match\ B\ (to\text{-pterm } (lhs\ \alpha))\ of$
 $None \Rightarrow None$
 $| Some\ \sigma \Rightarrow$
 $(case\ those\ (map2\ residual\ As\ (map\ \sigma\ (var\text{-rule } \alpha)))\ of$
 $Some\ xs \Rightarrow Some\ (Prule\ \alpha\ xs)$
 $| None \Rightarrow None))$
 $| A\ re\ Prule\ \alpha\ Bs =$

```

    (case match A (to-pterms (lhs α)) of
      None ⇒ None
    | Some σ ⇒
      (case those (map2 residual (map σ (var-rule α)) Bs) of
        Some xs ⇒ Some ((to-pterms (rhs α)) · ⟨xs⟩α)
        | None ⇒ None))
  | A re B = None

```

Since the interesting proofs about residuals always follow the same pattern of induction on the definition, we introduce the following 6 lemmas corresponding to the step cases.

lemma *residual-fun-fun*:

```

assumes (Pfun f As) re (Pfun g Bs) = Some C
shows f = g ∧ length As = length Bs ∧
  (∃ Cs. C = Pfun f Cs ∧
    length Cs = length As ∧
    (∀ i < length As. As!i re Bs!i = Some (Cs!i)))

```

proof –

```

have *:f = g ∧ length As = length Bs
  using assms residual.simps(2) by (metis option.simps(3))
then obtain Cs where Cs:those (map2 (re) As Bs) = Some Cs
  using assms residual.simps(2) option.simps(3) option.simps(4) by fastforce
hence ∀ i < length As. As!i re Bs!i = Some (Cs!i)
  using * those-some2 by fastforce
with * Cs assms(1) show ?thesis
  using length-those by fastforce

```

qed

lemma *residual-rule-rule*:

```

assumes (Prule α As) re (Prule β Bs) = Some C
  (Prule α As) ∈ wf-pterm R
  (Prule β Bs) ∈ wf-pterm S
shows α = β ∧ length As = length Bs ∧
  (∃ Cs. C = to-pterms (rhs α) · ⟨Cs⟩α ∧
    length Cs = length As ∧
    (∀ i < length As. As!i re Bs!i = Some (Cs!i)))

```

proof –

```

have α = β
  using assms(1) residual.simps(3) by (metis option.simps(3))
with assms(2,3) have l: length As = length Bs
  using length-args-well-Prule by blast
from ⟨α = β⟩ obtain Cs where Cs:those (map2 (re) As Bs) = Some Cs
  using assms by fastforce
hence ∀ i < length As. As!i re Bs!i = Some (Cs!i)
  using l those-some2 by fastforce
with ⟨α = β⟩ l Cs assms(1) show ?thesis
  using length-those by fastforce

```

qed

lemma *residual-rule-var*:

assumes $(Prule\ \alpha\ As)\ re\ (Var\ x) = Some\ C$
 $(Prule\ \alpha\ As) \in wf\text{-pterm}\ R$
shows $\exists\sigma. match\ (Var\ x)\ (to\text{-pterm}\ (lhs\ \alpha)) = Some\ \sigma \wedge$
 $(\exists\ Cs. C = Prule\ \alpha\ Cs \wedge$
 $length\ Cs = length\ As \wedge$
 $(\forall i < length\ As. As!i\ re\ (\sigma\ (var\text{-rule}\ \alpha\ !\ i)) = Some\ (Cs!i)))$

proof –

from *assms(2)* **have** $l: length\ As = length\ (var\text{-rule}\ \alpha)$
using *wf-pterm.simps* **by** *fastforce*
obtain σ **where** $\sigma: match\ (Var\ x)\ (to\text{-pterm}\ (lhs\ \alpha)) = Some\ \sigma$
using *assms(1)* **by** *fastforce*
then obtain Cs **where** $Cs: those\ (map2\ residual\ As\ (map\ \sigma\ (var\text{-rule}\ \alpha))) =$
 $Some\ Cs$
using *assms(1)* **by** *fastforce*
with l **have** $l2: length\ Cs = length\ As$
using *length-those* **by** *fastforce*
from Cs **have** $\forall i < length\ As. As!i\ re\ (\sigma\ (var\text{-rule}\ \alpha\ !\ i)) = Some\ (Cs!i)$
using *l those-some2* **by** *fastforce*
with $\sigma\ Cs$ *assms(1)* $l2$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *residual-rule-fun*:

assumes $(Prule\ \alpha\ As)\ re\ (Pfun\ f\ Bs) = Some\ C$
 $(Prule\ \alpha\ As) \in wf\text{-pterm}\ R$
shows $\exists\sigma. match\ (Pfun\ f\ Bs)\ (to\text{-pterm}\ (lhs\ \alpha)) = Some\ \sigma \wedge$
 $(\exists\ Cs. C = Prule\ \alpha\ Cs \wedge$
 $length\ Cs = length\ As \wedge$
 $(\forall i < length\ As. As!i\ re\ (\sigma\ (var\text{-rule}\ \alpha\ !\ i)) = Some\ (Cs!i)))$

proof –

from *assms(2)* **have** $l: length\ As = length\ (var\text{-rule}\ \alpha)$
using *wf-pterm.simps* **by** *fastforce*
obtain σ **where** $\sigma: match\ (Pfun\ f\ Bs)\ (to\text{-pterm}\ (lhs\ \alpha)) = Some\ \sigma$
using *assms(1)* **by** *fastforce*
then obtain Cs **where** $Cs: those\ (map2\ residual\ As\ (map\ \sigma\ (var\text{-rule}\ \alpha))) =$
 $Some\ Cs$
using *assms(1)* **by** *fastforce*
with l **have** $l2: length\ Cs = length\ As$
using *length-those* **by** *fastforce*
from Cs **have** $\forall i < length\ As. As!i\ re\ (\sigma\ (var\text{-rule}\ \alpha\ !\ i)) = Some\ (Cs!i)$
using *l those-some2* **by** *fastforce*
with $\sigma\ Cs$ *assms(1)* $l2$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *residual-var-rule*:

assumes $(Var\ x)\ re\ (Prule\ \alpha\ As) = Some\ C$
 $(Prule\ \alpha\ As) \in wf\text{-pterm}\ R$
shows $\exists\sigma. match\ (Var\ x)\ (to\text{-pterm}\ (lhs\ \alpha)) = Some\ \sigma \wedge$
 $(\exists\ Cs. C = (to\text{-pterm}\ (rhs\ \alpha)) \cdot \langle Cs \rangle_\alpha \wedge$

$length\ Cs = length\ As \wedge$
 $(\forall i < length\ As. (\sigma\ (var\text{-}rule\ \alpha\ !\ i)\ re\ As!i) = Some\ (Cs!i))$

proof –

from $assms(2)$ **have** $l: length\ As = length\ (var\text{-}rule\ \alpha)$
using $wf\text{-}pterm.simps$ **by** $fastforce$
obtain σ **where** $\sigma: match\ (Var\ x)\ (to\text{-}pterm\ (lhs\ \alpha)) = Some\ \sigma$
using $assms(1)$ **by** $fastforce$
then obtain Cs **where** $Cs: those\ (map2\ residual\ (map\ \sigma\ (var\text{-}rule\ \alpha))\ As) =$
 $Some\ Cs$
using $assms(1)$ **by** $fastforce$
with l **have** $l2: length\ Cs = length\ As$
using $length\text{-}those$ **by** $fastforce$
from Cs **have** $\forall i < length\ As. (\sigma\ (var\text{-}rule\ \alpha\ !\ i))\ re\ As!i = Some\ (Cs!i)$
using $l\ those\text{-}some2$ **by** $fastforce$
with $\sigma\ Cs\ assms(1)\ l2$ **show** $?thesis$ **by** $auto$

qed

lemma $residual\text{-}fun\text{-}rule:$

assumes $(Pfun\ f\ Bs)\ re\ (Prule\ \alpha\ As) = Some\ C$
 $(Prule\ \alpha\ As) \in wf\text{-}pterm\ R$
shows $\exists \sigma. match\ (Pfun\ f\ Bs)\ (to\text{-}pterm\ (lhs\ \alpha)) = Some\ \sigma \wedge$
 $(\exists Cs. C = (to\text{-}pterm\ (rhs\ \alpha)) \cdot \langle Cs \rangle_\alpha \wedge$
 $length\ Cs = length\ As \wedge$
 $(\forall i < length\ As. (\sigma\ (var\text{-}rule\ \alpha\ !\ i))\ re\ As!i = Some\ (Cs!i))$

proof –

from $assms(2)$ **have** $l: length\ As = length\ (var\text{-}rule\ \alpha)$
using $wf\text{-}pterm.simps$ **by** $fastforce$
obtain σ **where** $\sigma: match\ (Pfun\ f\ Bs)\ (to\text{-}pterm\ (lhs\ \alpha)) = Some\ \sigma$
using $assms(1)$ **by** $fastforce$
then obtain Cs **where** $Cs: those\ (map2\ residual\ (map\ \sigma\ (var\text{-}rule\ \alpha))\ As) =$
 $Some\ Cs$
using $assms(1)$ **by** $fastforce$
with l **have** $l2: length\ Cs = length\ As$
using $length\text{-}those$ **by** $fastforce$
with Cs **have** $\forall i < length\ As. (\sigma\ (var\text{-}rule\ \alpha\ !\ i))\ re\ As!i = Some\ (Cs!i)$
using $l\ those\text{-}some2$ **by** $fastforce$
with $\sigma\ Cs\ assms(1)\ l2$ **show** $?thesis$ **by** $auto$

qed

$t / A = tgt(A)$

lemma $res\text{-}empty1:$

assumes $is\text{-}empty\text{-}step\ t\ co\text{-}initial\ A\ t\ A \in wf\text{-}pterm\ R$
shows $t\ re\ A = Some\ (to\text{-}pterm\ (target\ A))$

proof –

from $assms(1,2)$ **have** $t = to\text{-}pterm\ (source\ A)$
by $(simp\ add: empty\text{-}coinitial)$
then show $?thesis$ **using** $assms(3)$ **proof** $(induction\ A\ arbitrary: t)$
case $(Var\ x)$
then show $?case$ **by** $simp$

```

next
  case (Pfun f As)
  let ?ts = (map (to-ptermin source) As)
  from Pfun(3) have  $\forall a \in \text{set } As. a \in \text{wf-ptermin } R$  by blast
  with Pfun(1) have those (map2 residual ?ts As) = Some (map (to-ptermin  $\circ$ 
target) As) by (simp add:those-some)
  then show ?case unfolding Pfun(2) by simp
next
  case (Prule  $\alpha$  As)
  let ?ts = (map (to-ptermin source) As)
  from Prule(3) have l:length ?ts = length (var-rule  $\alpha$ ) using wf-ptermin.simps
by fastforce
  moreover from Prule(3) have well: $\forall a \in \text{set } As. a \in \text{wf-ptermin } R$  by blast
  from Prule(1) have args:those (map2 residual ?ts As) = Some (map (to-ptermin
 $\circ$  target) As) using well by (simp add:those-some)
  from Prule(2) have t:t = (to-ptermin (lhs  $\alpha$ ))  $\cdot$   $\langle ?ts \rangle_\alpha$  by (simp add: to-ptermin-subst)

  then obtain  $\sigma$  where  $\sigma$ :
    match t (to-ptermin (lhs  $\alpha$ )) = Some  $\sigma$ 
    ( $\forall x \in \text{set } (\text{var-rule } \alpha). (\langle ?ts \rangle_\alpha) x = \sigma x$ )
    using lhs-subst-trivial by blast
  from  $\sigma(2)$  l have ts:map  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ ) = ?ts by (smt apply-lhs-subst-var-rule
map-eq-conv)
  from Prule(1) have those (map2 residual ?ts As) = Some (map (to-ptermin  $\circ$ 
target) As) using well by (simp add:those-some)
  with ts have args:those (map2 residual (map  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ )) As) = Some (map
(to-ptermin  $\circ$  target) As) by simp
  show ?case proof (cases t rule:source.cases)
  case (1 x)
  then show ?thesis using args  $\sigma(1)$  by (simp add: to-ptermin-subst)
  next
  case (2 f As)
  then show ?thesis using args  $\sigma(1)$  by (simp add: to-ptermin-subst)
  next
  case (3  $\alpha$  As)
  then show ?thesis using Prule(2) by (metis is-empty-step.simps(3) to-ptermin-empty)
qed
qed
qed

```

$A / t = A$

lemma res-empty2:

```

assumes  $A \in \text{wf-ptermin } R$ 
shows  $A \text{ re } (\text{to-ptermin } (\text{source } A)) = \text{Some } A$ 
using assms proof (induction A)
  case (2 As f)
  then have those (map2 residual As (map (to-ptermin  $\circ$  source) As)) = Some As
by (simp add:those-some)
  then show ?case by simp

```

```

next
  case ( $\exists \alpha As$ )
  then have  $\sigma$ : match (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha \cdot \langle \text{map source } As \rangle_\alpha$ )) (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ )) =
Some ( $\langle \text{map } (\text{to-pter}m \circ \text{source}) As \rangle_\alpha$ )
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) fun-mk-subst lhs-subst-trivial map-map to-pterm.simps(1)
to-pterm-subst)
  from  $\exists$  have those (map2 residual As (map (to-pterm  $\circ$  source) As)) = Some
As
  by (simp add:those-some)
  then have args:those (map2 residual As (map ( $\langle \text{map } (\text{to-pter}m \circ \text{source}) As \rangle_\alpha$ )
(var-rule  $\alpha$ ))) = Some As
  by (metis  $\exists$ .hyps(2) apply-lhs-subst-var-rule length-map)
  show ?case proof(cases source (Prule  $\alpha$  As))
  case (Var x)
  then show ?thesis
  using  $\sigma$  residual.simps(4)[of  $\alpha$  As x] args by auto
next
  case (Fun f ts)
  then show ?thesis
  using  $\sigma$  residual.simps(5)[of  $\alpha$  As f] args by auto
qed
qed simp

A / A = tgt(A)

lemma res-same: A re A = Some (to-pterm (target A))
proof(induction A)
case (Var x)
then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Pfun f As)
  then have list-all ( $\lambda x. x \neq \text{None}$ ) (map2 residual As As) by (simp add: list-all-length)
  then obtain xs where xs:those (map2 residual As As) = Some xs using those-not-none-xs
by fastforce
  then have l:length As = length xs using length-those by fastforce
  from xs have IH:i < length As  $\implies$  xs!i = to-pterm (target (As!i)) for i using
Pfun those-some2 by force
  from IH l have map (to-pterm  $\circ$  target) As = xs by (simp add: map-nth-eq-conv)
  then have to-pterm (target (Pfun f As)) = Pfun f xs by simp
  then show ?case using xs by simp
next
  case (Prule  $\alpha$  As)
  then have list-all ( $\lambda x. x \neq \text{None}$ ) (map2 residual As As) by (simp add: list-all-length)
  then obtain xs where xs:those (map2 residual As As) = Some xs using those-not-none-xs
by fastforce
  then have l:length As = length xs using length-those by fastforce
  from xs have IH:i < length As  $\implies$  xs!i = to-pterm (target (As!i)) for i using
Prule those-some2 by force
  from IH l have  $*$ :map (to-pterm  $\circ$  target) As = xs by (simp add: map-nth-eq-conv)
  have to-pterm (target (Prule  $\alpha$  As)) = to-pterm (rhs  $\alpha \cdot \langle \text{map target } As \rangle_\alpha$ ) by

```

simp
also have ... = (to-ptermin (rhs α)) · (to-ptermin \circ \langle map target As \rangle_α) **by** (simp add: to-ptermin-subst)
also have ... = (to-ptermin (rhs α)) · \langle xs \rangle_α **using** * **by** simp
finally show ?case **using** xs **by** simp
qed

lemma residual-src-tgt:

assumes A re B = Some C A \in wf-ptermin R B \in wf-ptermin S
shows source C = target B
using assms **proof**(induction A B arbitrary: C rule: residual.induct)
case (1 x y)
then show ?case
by (metis option.distinct(1) option.sel residual.simps(1) source.simps(1) target.simps(1))
next
case (2 f As g Bs)
then obtain Cs **where** *:f = g \wedge length As = length Bs \wedge
C = Pfun f Cs \wedge length Cs = length As \wedge
($\forall i <$ length As. As ! i re Bs ! i = Some (Cs ! i))
by (meson residual-fun-fun)
then have length (zip As Bs) = length As **by** simp
moreover from 2(3) **have** $\forall a \in$ set As. a \in wf-ptermin R **by** blast
moreover from 2(4) **have** $\forall b \in$ set Bs. b \in wf-ptermin S **by** blast
ultimately have $\forall i <$ length As. source (Cs!i) = target (Bs!i)
using * 2 **by** (metis nth-mem nth-zip)
with * **show** ?case **by** (simp add: nth-map-conv)
next
case (3 α As β Bs)
then obtain Cs **where** *: α = β \wedge length As = length Bs \wedge
C = to-ptermin (rhs α) · \langle Cs \rangle_α \wedge length Cs = length As \wedge
($\forall i <$ length As. As ! i re Bs ! i = Some (Cs ! i))
by (meson residual-rule-rule)
then have length (zip As Bs) = length As **by** simp
moreover from 3(3) **have** $\forall a \in$ set As. a \in wf-ptermin R **by** blast
moreover from 3(4) **have** $\forall b \in$ set Bs. b \in wf-ptermin S **by** blast
ultimately have IH: $\forall i <$ length As. source (Cs!i) = target (Bs!i)
using * 3 **by** (metis nth-mem nth-zip)
from * **have** source C = (rhs β) · \langle map source Cs \rangle_β
by (simp add: source-apply-subst)
also have ... = (rhs β) · \langle map target Bs \rangle_β
using * IH **by** (metis nth-map-conv)
finally show ?case **by** simp
next
case (4-1 α As v)
then obtain Cs σ **where** *:match (Var v) (to-ptermin (lhs α)) = Some σ \wedge
C = Prule α Cs \wedge length Cs = length As \wedge
($\forall i <$ length As. As ! i re σ (var-rule α ! i) = Some (Cs ! i))
by (meson residual-rule-var)

then obtain Bs **where** $Bs: \text{length } Bs = \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha) \wedge$
 $\text{Var } v = (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \cdot \langle Bs \rangle_\alpha \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in \text{set } (\text{var-rule } \alpha). \sigma x = (\langle Bs \rangle_\alpha) x)$
using *match-lhs-subst* **by** *blast*
from 4-1(3) **have** $as: \forall a \in \text{set } As. a \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ **by** *blast*
from 4-1(3) **have** $l: \text{length } As = \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$
using *wf-pterm.simps* **by** *fastforce*
with $*$ **have** $\text{well}: \forall i < \text{length } As. \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha ! i) \in \text{wf-pterm } S$
using 4-1(4) **by** (*metis match-well-def vars-to-pterm*)
from l **have** $\text{length } (\text{zip } As (\text{map } \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha))) = \text{length } As$ **by** *simp*
with 4-1(1,3) $\text{well} * l$ **as** **have** $IH: \forall i < \text{length } As. \text{source } (Cs ! i) = \text{target } (\text{map}$
 $(\langle Bs \rangle_\alpha) (\text{var-rule } \alpha) ! i)$
using Bs **by** (*smt length-map nth-map nth-mem nth-zip*)
from $*$ **have** $\text{source } C = (\text{lhs } \alpha) \cdot \langle \text{map source } Cs \rangle_\alpha$
by (*simp add: source-apply-subst*)
also have $\dots = (\text{lhs } \alpha) \cdot \langle \text{map } (\text{target } \circ (\langle Bs \rangle_\alpha)) (\text{var-rule } \alpha) \rangle_\alpha$
using $* l IH$ **by** (*smt map-eq-conv' map-map*)
also have $\dots = (\text{lhs } \alpha) \cdot (\text{target } \circ (\langle Bs \rangle_\alpha))$
using Bs **by** (*metis (no-types, lifting) apply-lhs-subst-var-rule fun-mk-subst*
map-map target.simps(1))
also have $\dots = \text{target } (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha) \cdot \langle Bs \rangle_\alpha)$
by (*metis target-empty-apply-subst target-to-pterm to-pterm-empty*)
finally show *?case*
using Bs **by** *fastforce*
next
case (4-2 $\alpha As f Bs$)
then obtain $Cs \sigma$ **where** $*: \text{match } (\text{Pfun } f Bs) (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) = \text{Some } \sigma \wedge$
 $C = \text{Prule } \alpha Cs \wedge \text{length } Cs = \text{length } As \wedge$
 $(\forall i < \text{length } As. As ! i \text{ re } \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha ! i) = \text{Some } (Cs ! i))$
by (*meson residual-rule-fun*)
then obtain Bs' **where** $Bs': \text{length } Bs' = \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha) \wedge$
 $\text{Pfun } f Bs = (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \cdot \langle Bs' \rangle_\alpha \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in \text{set } (\text{var-rule } \alpha). \sigma x = (\langle Bs' \rangle_\alpha) x)$
using *match-lhs-subst* **by** *blast*
from 4-2(3) **have** $as: \forall a \in \text{set } As. a \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ **by** *blast*
from 4-2(3) **have** $l: \text{length } As = \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$
using *wf-pterm.simps* **by** *fastforce*
with $*$ **have** $\text{well}: \forall i < \text{length } As. \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha ! i) \in \text{wf-pterm } S$
using 4-2(4) **by** (*metis match-well-def vars-to-pterm*)
from l **have** $\text{length } (\text{zip } As (\text{map } \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha))) = \text{length } As$ **by** *simp*
with 4-2(1,3) $\text{well} * l$ **as** **have** $IH: \forall i < \text{length } As. \text{source } (Cs ! i) = \text{target } (\text{map}$
 $(\langle Bs' \rangle_\alpha) (\text{var-rule } \alpha) ! i)$
using Bs' **by** (*smt length-map nth-map nth-mem nth-zip*)
from $*$ **have** $\text{source } C = (\text{lhs } \alpha) \cdot \langle \text{map source } Cs \rangle_\alpha$
by (*simp add: source-apply-subst*)
also have $\dots = (\text{lhs } \alpha) \cdot \langle \text{map } (\text{target } \circ (\langle Bs' \rangle_\alpha)) (\text{var-rule } \alpha) \rangle_\alpha$
using $* l IH$ **by** (*smt map-eq-conv' map-map*)
also have $\dots = (\text{lhs } \alpha) \cdot (\text{target } \circ (\langle Bs' \rangle_\alpha))$
using Bs' **by** (*metis (no-types, lifting) apply-lhs-subst-var-rule fun-mk-subst*)

```

map-map target.simps(1))
  also have ... = target (to-pterms (lhs α) · ⟨Bs⟩α)
    by (metis target-empty-apply-subst target-to-terms to-terms-empty)
  finally show ?case
    using Bs' by fastforce
next
case (5-1 v α As)
then obtain Cs σ where *:match (Var v) (to-terms (lhs α)) = Some σ ∧
  C = to-terms (rhs α) · ⟨Cs⟩α ∧ length Cs = length As ∧
  (∀ i < length As. σ (var-rule α ! i) re As ! i = Some (Cs ! i))
  by (meson residual-var-rule)
from 5-1(4) have as:∀ a ∈ set As. a ∈ wf-terms S by blast
from 5-1(4) have l:length As = length (var-rule α)
  using wf-terms.simps by fastforce
with * have well:∀ i < length As. σ (var-rule α ! i) ∈ wf-terms R
  using 5-1(3) by (metis match-well-def vars-to-terms)
from l have length (zip (map σ (var-rule α)) As) = length As by simp
with 5-1(1,4) well * l as have IH:∀ i < length As. source (Cs ! i) = target (As ! i)

  by (smt length-map nth-map nth-mem nth-zip)
from * have source C = (rhs α) · ⟨map source Cs⟩α
  by (simp add: source-apply-subst)
also have ... = (rhs α) · ⟨map target As⟩α
  using * IH by (metis (no-types, lifting) map-eq-conv')
finally show ?case by simp
next
case (5-2 f Bs α As)
then obtain Cs σ where *:match (Pfun f Bs) (to-terms (lhs α)) = Some σ ∧
  C = to-terms (rhs α) · ⟨Cs⟩α ∧ length Cs = length As ∧
  (∀ i < length As. σ (var-rule α ! i) re As ! i = Some (Cs ! i))
  by (meson residual-fun-rule)
from 5-2(4) have as:∀ a ∈ set As. a ∈ wf-terms S by blast
from 5-2(4) have l:length As = length (var-rule α)
  using wf-terms.simps by fastforce
with * have well:∀ i < length As. σ (var-rule α ! i) ∈ wf-terms R
  using 5-2(3) by (metis match-well-def vars-to-terms)
from l have length (zip (map σ (var-rule α)) As) = length As by simp
with 5-2(1,4) well * l as have IH:∀ i < length As. source (Cs ! i) = target (As ! i)

  by (smt length-map nth-map nth-mem nth-zip)
from * have source C = (rhs α) · ⟨map source Cs⟩α
  by (simp add: source-apply-subst)
also have ... = (rhs α) · ⟨map target As⟩α
  using * IH by (metis (no-types, lifting) map-eq-conv')
finally show ?case by simp
qed simp-all

```

The following two lemmas are used inside the induction proof for the result $tgt(A / B) = tgt(B / A)$. Defining them here, outside the main proof makes

them reusable for the symmetric cases of the proof.

lemma *tgt-tgt-rule-var*:

assumes $\bigwedge \sigma a b c d. \text{match } (\text{Var } v) (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) = \text{Some } \sigma \implies$
 $(a, b) \in \text{set } (\text{zip } As (\text{map } \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha))) \implies$
 $a \text{ re } b = \text{Some } c \implies b \text{ re } a = \text{Some } d \implies a \in \text{wf-pterm } R \implies b \in$
 $\text{wf-pterm } S \implies$
 $\text{target } c = \text{target } d$
 $\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ As re Var } v = \text{Some } C$
 $\text{Var } v \text{ re Prule } \alpha \text{ As} = \text{Some } D$
 $\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ As} \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
shows $\text{target } C = \text{target } D$

proof –

from *assms(4)* **have** $l: \text{length } As = \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$
using *wf-pterm.simps* **by** *fastforce*
from *assms(4)* **have** $as: \forall a \in \text{set } As. a \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ **by** *blast*
from *assms(2,4)* **obtain** σ **where** $\sigma: \text{match } (\text{Var } v) (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) = \text{Some } \sigma$
by (*meson residual-rule-var*)
with l **have** $\text{well-def}: \forall i < \text{length } As. \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha ! i) \in \text{wf-pterm } S$
using *match-well-def* **by** (*metis vars-to-pterm wf-pterm.intros(1)*)
from *assms(2,4)* σ **obtain** Cs **where** $Cs:$
 $C = \text{Prule } \alpha \text{ Cs} \wedge \text{length } Cs = \text{length } As$
 $(\forall i < \text{length } As. As ! i \text{ re } \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha ! i) = \text{Some } (Cs ! i))$
by (*metis option.inject residual-rule-var*)
from *assms(3,4)* σ **obtain** Ds **where** $Ds:$
 $D = \text{to-pterm } (\text{rhs } \alpha) \cdot \langle Ds \rangle_\alpha \wedge \text{length } Ds = \text{length } As$
 $(\forall i < \text{length } As. \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha ! i) \text{ re } As ! i = \text{Some } (Ds ! i))$
by (*metis option.inject residual-var-rule*)
from l **have** $\text{length } As = \text{length } (\text{zip } As (\text{map } \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha)))$
by *simp*
with *assms(1,4)* $\sigma \ l \ Cs(2) \ Ds(2)$ **well-def** **have** $IH: \forall i < \text{length } As. \text{target } (Cs ! i) = \text{target } (Ds ! i)$
using *as* **by** (*smt length-map nth-map nth-mem nth-zip*)
from Cs **have** $\text{target } C = (\text{rhs } \alpha) \cdot \langle \text{map target } Cs \rangle_\alpha$ **by** *simp*
moreover from $Ds(1)$ **have** $\text{target } D = (\text{rhs } \alpha) \cdot \langle \text{map target } Ds \rangle_\alpha$
using *target-empty-apply-subst to-pterm-empty* **by** (*metis fun-mk-subst target.simps(1) target-to-pterm*)
ultimately show *?thesis*
using $IH \ Cs(1) \ Ds(1)$ **by** (*metis nth-map-conv*)
qed

lemma *tgt-tgt-rule-fun*:

assumes $\bigwedge \sigma a b c d. \text{match } (\text{Pfun } f \ Bs) (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) = \text{Some } \sigma \implies$
 $(a, b) \in \text{set } (\text{zip } As (\text{map } \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha))) \implies$
 $a \text{ re } b = \text{Some } c \implies b \text{ re } a = \text{Some } d \implies a \in \text{wf-pterm } R \implies b \in$
 $\text{wf-pterm } S \implies$
 $\text{target } c = \text{target } d$
 $\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ As re Pfun } f \ Bs = \text{Some } C$
 $\text{Pfun } f \ Bs \text{ re Prule } \alpha \text{ As} = \text{Some } D$

$Prule \alpha As \in wf\text{-pterm } R$
 $Pfun f Bs \in wf\text{-pterm } S$
shows $target C = target D$
proof –
from $assms(4)$ **have** $l: length As = length (var\text{-rule } \alpha)$
using $wf\text{-pterm.simps}$ **by** $fastforce$
from $assms(4)$ **have** $as: \forall a \in set As. a \in wf\text{-pterm } R$ **by** $blast$
from $assms(2,4)$ **obtain** σ **where** $\sigma: match (Pfun f Bs) (to\text{-pterm } (lhs \alpha)) =$
 $Some \sigma$
by $(meson residual\text{-rule-fun})$
with $assms(2,5)$ l **have** $well\text{-def}: \forall i < length As. \sigma (var\text{-rule } \alpha ! i) \in wf\text{-pterm}$
 S
using $match\text{-well-def}$ **by** $(metis vars\text{-to-pterm})$
from $assms(2,4)$ σ **obtain** Cs **where** $Cs:$
 $C = Prule \alpha Cs \wedge length Cs = length As$
 $(\forall i < length As. As ! i re \sigma (var\text{-rule } \alpha ! i) = Some (Cs ! i))$
by $(metis option.inject residual\text{-rule-fun})$
from $assms(3,4)$ σ **obtain** Ds **where** $Ds:$
 $D = to\text{-pterm } (rhs \alpha) \cdot \langle Ds \rangle_\alpha \wedge length Ds = length As$
 $(\forall i < length As. \sigma (var\text{-rule } \alpha ! i) re As ! i = Some (Ds ! i))$
by $(metis option.inject residual\text{-fun-rule})$
from l **have** $length As = length (zip As (map \sigma (var\text{-rule } \alpha)))$
by $simp$
with $assms(1,4,5)$ σ l $Cs(2)$ $Ds(2)$ $well\text{-def}$ **have** $IH: \forall i < length As. target$
 $(Cs!i) = target (Ds!i)$
using as **by** $(smt length-map nth-map nth-mem nth-zip)$
from Cs **have** $target C = (rhs \alpha) \cdot \langle map target Cs \rangle_\alpha$ **by** $simp$
moreover from $Ds(1)$ **have** $target D = (rhs \alpha) \cdot \langle map target Ds \rangle_\alpha$
using $target\text{-empty-apply-subst to-pterm-empty}$ **by** $(metis fun-mk-subst tar-$
 $get.simps(1) target\text{-to-pterm})$
ultimately show $?thesis$
using $IH Cs(1) Ds(1)$ **by** $(metis nth-map-conv)$
qed

lemma $residual\text{-tgt-tgt}:$

assumes $A re B = Some C$ $B re A = Some D$ $A \in wf\text{-pterm } R$ $B \in wf\text{-pterm } S$
shows $target C = target D$
using $assms$ **proof** $(induction A B arbitrary: C D rule: residual.induct)$
case $(1 x y)$
then show $?case$ **by** $(metis option.sel residual.simps(1))$
next
case $(2 f As g Bs)$
from $2(4)$ **have** $as: \forall a \in set As. a \in wf\text{-pterm } R$ **by** $blast$
from $2(5)$ **have** $bs: \forall b \in set Bs. b \in wf\text{-pterm } S$ **by** $blast$
let $?l = length As$
from $2(2)$ **have** $*$: $f = g \wedge ?l = length Bs$
by $(meson residual\text{-fun-fun})$
from $2(2)$ **obtain** Cs **where** $Cs:$
 $C = Pfun f Cs \wedge length Cs = ?l \wedge (\forall i < ?l. As ! i re Bs ! i = Some (Cs ! i))$

```

  by (meson residual-fun-fun)
from 2(3) obtain Ds where Ds:
  D = Pfun g Ds ∧ length Ds = ?l ∧ (∀ i < ?l. Bs ! i re As ! i = Some (Ds ! i))
  using * by (metis residual-fun-fun)
from * have length (zip As Bs) = ?l by simp
with 2(1,4,5) * Cs Ds have ∀ i < ?l. target (Cs!i) = target (Ds!i)
  using as bs by (metis nth-mem nth-zip)
with * Cs Ds show ?case
  by (simp add: map-nth-eq-conv)
next
case (3 α As β Bs)
from 3(4) have as: ∀ a ∈ set As. a ∈ wf-pterm R by blast
from 3(5) have bs: ∀ b ∈ set Bs. b ∈ wf-pterm S by blast
let ?l = length As
from 3(2,4,5) have *: α = β ∧ ?l = length Bs
  by (meson residual-rule-rule)
from 3(2,4,5) obtain Cs where Cs:
  C = to-pterm (rhs α) · ⟨Cs⟩α ∧ length Cs = ?l ∧ (∀ i < ?l. As ! i re Bs ! i =
Some (Cs ! i))
  by (meson residual-rule-rule)
from 3(3,4,5) obtain Ds where Ds:
  D = to-pterm (rhs α) · ⟨Ds⟩α ∧ length Ds = ?l ∧ (∀ i < ?l. Bs ! i re As ! i =
Some (Ds ! i))
  using * by (metis residual-rule-rule)
from * have length (zip As Bs) = ?l by simp
with 3(1,4,5) * Cs Ds have IH: ∀ i < ?l. target (Cs!i) = target (Ds!i)
  using as bs by (metis nth-mem nth-zip)
from Cs have target C = (rhs α) · ⟨map target Cs⟩α
  using target-empty-apply-subst to-pterm-empty by (metis fun-mk-subst tar-
get.simps(1) target-to-pterm)
moreover from Ds have target D = (rhs α) · ⟨map target Ds⟩α
  using target-empty-apply-subst to-pterm-empty by (metis fun-mk-subst tar-
get.simps(1) target-to-pterm)
ultimately show ?case
  using IH Cs Ds by (metis nth-map-conv)
next
case (4-1 α As v)
then show ?case using tgt-tgt-rule-var by fastforce
next
case (4-2 α As f Bs)
then show ?case using tgt-tgt-rule-fun by fastforce
next
case (5-1 v α As)
from 5-1(1) have match (Var v) (to-pterm (lhs α)) = Some σ ⇒
  (a,b) ∈ set (zip As (map σ (var-rule α))) ⇒
  a re b = Some c ⇒ b re a = Some d ⇒ a ∈ wf-pterm S ⇒ b ∈ wf-pterm
R ⇒
  target c = target d for σ a b c d
  using zip-symm by fastforce

```

with 5-1(2,3,5) **have** target $D = \text{target } C$ **using** *tgt-tgt-rule-var* **by** *fastforce*
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case (5-2 $f Bs \alpha As$)
from 5-2(1) **have** $\text{match } (Pfun f Bs) (to\text{-pterm } (lhs \alpha)) = \text{Some } \sigma \implies$
 $(a,b) \in \text{set } (zip As (map \sigma (var\text{-rule } \alpha))) \implies$
 $a \text{ re } b = \text{Some } c \implies b \text{ re } a = \text{Some } d \implies a \in wf\text{-pterm } S \implies b \in wf\text{-pterm}$
 $R \implies$
 $\text{target } c = \text{target } d$ **for** $\sigma a b c d$
using *zip-symm* **by** *fastforce*
with 5-2(2,3,4,5) **have** target $D = \text{target } C$ **using** *tgt-tgt-rule-fun* **by** *fastforce*
then show *?case* **by** *simp*
qed *simp-all*

lemma *rule-residual-lhs*:

assumes *args:those* $(map2 (re) As Bs) = \text{Some } Cs$
and *is-Fun:is-Fun* $(lhs \alpha)$ **and** *l:length* $Bs = \text{length } (var\text{-rule } \alpha)$
shows $Prule \alpha As re (to\text{-pterm } (lhs \alpha) \cdot \langle Bs \rangle_\alpha) = \text{Some } (Prule \alpha Cs)$
proof –
from *is-Fun* **obtain** $f ts$ **where** $lhs \alpha = Fun f ts$
by *auto*
then have $f:to\text{-pterm } (lhs \alpha) \cdot \langle Bs \rangle_\alpha = Pfun f (map (\lambda t. t \cdot \langle Bs \rangle_\alpha) (map$
 $to\text{-pterm } ts))$
by *simp*
then have $\text{match:match } ((to\text{-pterm } (lhs \alpha)) \cdot \langle Bs \rangle_\alpha) (to\text{-pterm } (lhs \alpha)) = \text{Some}$
 $(\langle Bs \rangle_\alpha)$
using *lhs-subst-trivial* **by** *blast*
from *l* **have** $map (\langle Bs \rangle_\alpha) (var\text{-rule } \alpha) = Bs$
using *apply-lhs-subst-var-rule* **by** *blast*
with *args* **have** $\text{those } (map2 (re) As (map (\langle Bs \rangle_\alpha) (var\text{-rule } \alpha))) = \text{Some } Cs$
by *presburger*
then show *?thesis*
using *residual.simps(5)* **match unfolding** f **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *residual-well-defined*:

assumes $A \in wf\text{-pterm } R B \in wf\text{-pterm } S A \text{ re } B = \text{Some } C$
shows $C \in wf\text{-pterm } R$
using *assms* **proof** (*induction* $A B$ *arbitrary:C* *rule:residual.induct*)
case (1 $x y$)
then show *?case*
by (*metis* *option.distinct(1)* *option.sel* *residual.simps(1)*)
next
case (2 $f As g Bs$)
then obtain Cs **where** $f = g \wedge \text{length } As = \text{length } Bs \wedge$
 $C = Pfun f Cs \wedge$
 $\text{length } Cs = \text{length } As \wedge$
 $(\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \text{ re } Bs!i = \text{Some } (Cs!i))$
by (*meson* *residual-fun-fun*)

moreover with 2 have $i < \text{length } As \implies Cs!i \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ **for** i
using *fun-well-arg* **by** (*metis* (*no-types*, *opaque-lifting*) *fst-conv in-set-zip nth-mem*
snd-conv)
ultimately show *?case*
by (*metis in-set-conv-nth wf-pterm.intros*(2))
next
case ($\exists \alpha As \beta Bs$)
then obtain Cs **where** $\alpha = \beta \wedge \text{length } As = \text{length } Bs \wedge$
 $(C = \text{to-pterm } (\text{rhs } \alpha) \cdot \langle Cs \rangle_\alpha \wedge$
 $\text{length } Cs = \text{length } As \wedge$
 $(\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \text{ re } Bs!i = \text{Some } (Cs!i)))$
by (*meson residual-rule-rule*)
moreover with 3 have $i < \text{length } As \implies Cs!i \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ **for** i
using *fun-well-arg* **by** (*metis* (*no-types*, *opaque-lifting*) *fst-conv in-set-zip nth-mem*
snd-conv)
ultimately show *?case*
by (*metis to-pterm-wf-pterm lhs-subst-well-def*)
next
case ($\lambda\text{-1 } \alpha As v$)
then obtain $Cs \sigma$ **where** $∗\text{:match } (\text{Var } v) (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) = \text{Some } \sigma \wedge$
 $C = \text{Prule } \alpha Cs \wedge$
 $\text{length } Cs = \text{length } As \wedge$
 $(\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \text{ re } (\sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha ! i)) = \text{Some } (Cs!i))$
by (*meson residual-rule-var*)
from $\lambda\text{-1}(2)$ **have** $\text{wellA}:\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
by *auto*
from $\lambda\text{-1}(2)$ **have** $l:\text{length } As = \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$
using *wf-pterm.simps* **by** *fastforce*
then have $l2:\text{length } As = \text{length } (\text{zip } As (\text{map } \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha)))$
by *simp*
from $l ∗$ **have** $\forall i < \text{length } As. \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha ! i) \in \text{wf-pterm } S$
using $\lambda\text{-1}(3)$ **by** (*metis match-well-def vars-to-pterm*)
with $\lambda\text{-1}(1) ∗ \text{wellA } l2$ **have** $\forall i < \text{length } As. Cs!i \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
by (*smt* (*z3*) $l \text{ length-map nth-map nth-mem nth-zip}$)
with $\lambda\text{-1}(2) ∗$ **show** *?case*
by (*smt* (*verit*) *Inr-not-Inl in-set-conv-nth term.distinct*(1) *term.inject*(2)
wf-pterm.cases wf-pterm.intros(3))
next
case ($\lambda\text{-2 } \alpha As f Bs$)
then obtain σCs **where** $∗\text{:match } (\text{Pfun } f Bs) (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) = \text{Some } \sigma \wedge$
 $(C = \text{Prule } \alpha Cs \wedge$
 $\text{length } Cs = \text{length } As \wedge$
 $(\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \text{ re } (\sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha ! i)) = \text{Some } (Cs!i)))$
by (*meson residual-rule-fun*)
from $\lambda\text{-2}(2)$ **have** $\text{wellA}:\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
by *auto*
from $\lambda\text{-2}(2)$ **have** $l:\text{length } As = \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$
using *wf-pterm.simps* **by** *fastforce*
then have $l2:\text{length } As = \text{length } (\text{zip } As (\text{map } \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha)))$

```

  by simp
  from l * have  $\forall i < \text{length } As. \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha ! i) \in \text{wf-pterm } S$ 
    using 4-2(3) by (metis match-well-def vars-to-pterm)
  with 4-2(1) * wellA l2 have  $\forall i < \text{length } As. Cs!i \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
    by (smt l length-map nth-map nth-mem nth-zip)
  with 4-2(2) * show ?case
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) Inr-not-Inl in-set-conv-nth term.distinct(1)
term.inject(2) wf-pterm.cases wf-pterm.intros(3))
next
case (5-1 v  $\alpha$  As)
then obtain Cs  $\sigma$  where *:match (Var v) (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ )) = Some  $\sigma \wedge$ 
  C = to-pterm (rhs  $\alpha$ )  $\cdot \langle Cs \rangle_\alpha \wedge$ 
  length Cs = length As  $\wedge$ 
  ( $\forall i < \text{length } As. (\sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha ! i)) \text{ re } As!i = \text{Some } (Cs!i)$ )
  by (meson residual-var-rule)
from 5-1(3) have wellA: $\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \in \text{wf-pterm } S$ 
  by auto
from 5-1(3) have l: length As = length (var-rule  $\alpha$ )
  using wf-pterm.simps by fastforce
then have l2:length As = length (zip (map  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ )) As)
  by simp
from l * have  $\forall i < \text{length } As. \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha ! i) \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  using 5-1(2) by (metis match-well-def vars-to-pterm)
with 5-1(1) * wellA l2 have  $\forall i < \text{length } As. Cs!i \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  by (smt l length-map nth-map nth-mem nth-zip)
with * show ?case
  by (metis lhs-subst-well-def to-pterm-wf-pterm)
next
case (5-2 f Bs  $\alpha$  As)
then obtain Cs  $\sigma$  where *:match (Pfun f Bs) (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ )) = Some  $\sigma \wedge$ 
  C = to-pterm (rhs  $\alpha$ )  $\cdot \langle Cs \rangle_\alpha \wedge$ 
  length Cs = length As  $\wedge$ 
  ( $\forall i < \text{length } As. (\sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha ! i)) \text{ re } As!i = \text{Some } (Cs!i)$ )
  by (meson residual-fun-rule)
from 5-2(3) have wellA: $\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \in \text{wf-pterm } S$ 
  by auto
from 5-2(3) have l: length As = length (var-rule  $\alpha$ )
  using wf-pterm.simps by fastforce
then have l2:length As = length (zip (map  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ )) As)
  by simp
from l * have  $\forall i < \text{length } As. \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha ! i) \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  using 5-2(2) by (metis match-well-def vars-to-pterm)
with 5-2(1) * wellA l2 have  $\forall i < \text{length } As. Cs!i \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  by (smt l length-map nth-map nth-mem nth-zip)
with * show ?case
  by (metis lhs-subst-well-def to-pterm-wf-pterm)
qed simp-all

no-notation sup (infixl  $\sqcup$  65)

```


3.2 Join

fun *join* :: ('f, 'v) pterm ⇒ ('f,'v) pterm ⇒ ('f,'v) pterm option (**infixr** \sqcup 70)

where

Var *x* \sqcup *Var* *y* =

(if *x* = *y* then *Some* (*Var* *x*) else *None*)

| *Pfun* *f* *As* \sqcup *Pfun* *g* *Bs* =

(if (*f* = *g* ∧ *length* *As* = *length* *Bs*) then

(case those (*map2* (\sqcup) *As* *Bs*) of

Some *xs* ⇒ *Some* (*Pfun* *f* *xs*)

| *None* ⇒ *None*)

else *None*)

| *Prule* α *As* \sqcup *Prule* β *Bs* =

(if α = β then

(case those (*map2* (\sqcup) *As* *Bs*) of

Some *xs* ⇒ *Some* (*Prule* α *xs*)

| *None* ⇒ *None*)

else *None*)

| *Prule* α *As* \sqcup *B* =

(case match *B* (*to-pterm* (*lhs* α)) of

None ⇒ *None*

| *Some* σ ⇒

(case those (*map2* (\sqcup) *As* (*map* σ (*var-rule* α))) of

Some *xs* ⇒ *Some* (*Prule* α *xs*)

| *None* ⇒ *None*))

| *A* \sqcup *Prule* α *Bs* =

(case match *A* (*to-pterm* (*lhs* α)) of

None ⇒ *None*

| *Some* σ ⇒

(case those (*map2* (\sqcup) (*map* σ (*var-rule* α)) *Bs*) of

Some *xs* ⇒ *Some* (*Prule* α *xs*)

| *None* ⇒ *None*))

| *A* \sqcup *B* = *None*

lemma *join-sym*: *A* \sqcup *B* = *B* \sqcup *A*

proof(*induct* rule:*join.induct*)

case (2 *f* *As* *g* *Bs*)

then show ?*case* **proof**(*cases* *f* = *g* ∧ *length* *As* = *length* *Bs*)

case *True*

with 2 **have** $\forall (a,b) \in \text{set } (\text{zip } \text{As } \text{Bs}). a \sqcup b = b \sqcup a$

by *auto*

with *True* **have** (*map2* (\sqcup) *As* *Bs*) = (*map2* (\sqcup) *Bs* *As*)

by (*smt* *case-prod-unfold* *map-eq-conv'* *map-fst-zip* *map-snd-zip* *nth-mem*)

then show ?*thesis* **using** 2 **unfolding** *join.simps*

by *auto*

qed *auto*

next

case (3 α *As* β *Bs*)

then show ?*case* **proof**(*cases* α = β)

case *True*

```

with  $\beta$  have  $*\forall(a,b) \in \text{set}(\text{zip } As \ Bs). a \sqcup b = b \sqcup a$ 
  by auto
have  $\text{length}(\text{map2 } (\sqcup) \ As \ Bs) = \text{length}(\text{map2 } (\sqcup) \ Bs \ As)$ 
  by auto
with  $*$  have  $(\text{map2 } (\sqcup) \ As \ Bs) = (\text{map2 } (\sqcup) \ Bs \ As)$ 
  by (smt fst-conv length-map length-zip map-eq-conv' min-less-iff-conj nth-mem
nth-zip prod.case-eq-if snd-conv)
  then show ?thesis using  $\beta$  unfolding join.simps
  by auto
qed auto
next
case (4-1  $\alpha \ As \ v$ )
then show ?case proof(cases match (Var v) (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ )) = None)
  case False
  then obtain  $\sigma$  where sigma:match (Var v) (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ )) = Some  $\sigma$ 
  by blast
  with 4-1 have  $*\forall(a,b) \in \text{set}(\text{zip } As \ (\text{map } \sigma \ (\text{var-rule } \alpha))). a \sqcup b = b \sqcup a$ 
  by auto
  have  $\text{length}(\text{map2 } (\sqcup) \ As \ (\text{map } \sigma \ (\text{var-rule } \alpha))) = \text{length}(\text{map2 } (\sqcup) \ (\text{map } \sigma \ (\text{var-rule } \alpha)) \ As)$ 
  by auto
  with  $*$  have  $(\text{map2 } (\sqcup) \ As \ (\text{map } \sigma \ (\text{var-rule } \alpha))) = (\text{map2 } (\sqcup) \ (\text{map } \sigma \ (\text{var-rule } \alpha)) \ As)$ 
  by (smt fst-conv length-map length-zip map-eq-conv' min-less-iff-conj nth-mem
nth-zip prod.case-eq-if snd-conv)
  then show ?thesis unfolding join.simps sigma
  by simp
qed simp
next
case (4-2  $\alpha \ As \ f \ Bs$ )
then show ?case proof(cases match (Pfun f Bs) (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ )) = None)
  case False
  then obtain  $\sigma$  where sigma:match (Pfun f Bs) (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ )) = Some  $\sigma$ 
  by blast
  with 4-2 have  $*\forall(a,b) \in \text{set}(\text{zip } As \ (\text{map } \sigma \ (\text{var-rule } \alpha))). a \sqcup b = b \sqcup a$ 
  by auto
  have  $\text{length}(\text{map2 } (\sqcup) \ As \ (\text{map } \sigma \ (\text{var-rule } \alpha))) = \text{length}(\text{map2 } (\sqcup) \ (\text{map } \sigma \ (\text{var-rule } \alpha)) \ As)$ 
  by auto
  with  $*$  have  $(\text{map2 } (\sqcup) \ As \ (\text{map } \sigma \ (\text{var-rule } \alpha))) = (\text{map2 } (\sqcup) \ (\text{map } \sigma \ (\text{var-rule } \alpha)) \ As)$ 
  by (smt fst-conv length-map length-zip map-eq-conv' min-less-iff-conj nth-mem
nth-zip prod.case-eq-if snd-conv)
  then show ?thesis unfolding join.simps sigma
  by simp
qed simp
next
case (5-1  $v \ \alpha \ Bs$ )
then show ?case proof(cases match (Var v) (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ )) = None)

```

```

case False
then obtain  $\sigma$  where  $\text{sigma:match (Var } v) (to\text{-pterm (lhs } \alpha)) = \text{Some } \sigma$ 
by blast
with 5-1 have  $*\forall (a,b) \in \text{set (zip (map } \sigma \text{ (var-rule } \alpha)) Bs). a \sqcup b = b \sqcup a$ 
by auto
have  $\text{length (map2 } (\sqcup) \text{ (map } \sigma \text{ (var-rule } \alpha)) Bs) = \text{length (map2 } (\sqcup) Bs \text{ (map } \sigma \text{ (var-rule } \alpha)))$ 
by auto
with  $*$  have  $\text{(map2 } (\sqcup) \text{ (map } \sigma \text{ (var-rule } \alpha)) Bs) = \text{(map2 } (\sqcup) Bs \text{ (map } \sigma \text{ (var-rule } \alpha)))$ 
by (smt fst-conv length-map length-zip map-eq-conv' min-less-iff-conj nth-mem nth-zip prod.case-eq-if snd-conv)
then show ?thesis unfolding join.simps sigma
by simp
qed simp
next
case (5-2  $f$   $As$   $\alpha$   $Bs$ )
then show ?case proof(cases match (Pfun f As) (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ )) = None)
case False
then obtain  $\sigma$  where  $\text{sigma:match (Pfun } f \text{ } As) (to\text{-pterm (lhs } \alpha)) = \text{Some } \sigma$ 
by blast
with 5-2 have  $*\forall (a,b) \in \text{set (zip (map } \sigma \text{ (var-rule } \alpha)) Bs). a \sqcup b = b \sqcup a$ 
by auto
have  $\text{length (map2 } (\sqcup) \text{ (map } \sigma \text{ (var-rule } \alpha)) Bs) = \text{length (map2 } (\sqcup) Bs \text{ (map } \sigma \text{ (var-rule } \alpha)))$ 
by auto
with  $*$  have  $\text{(map2 } (\sqcup) \text{ (map } \sigma \text{ (var-rule } \alpha)) Bs) = \text{(map2 } (\sqcup) Bs \text{ (map } \sigma \text{ (var-rule } \alpha)))$ 
by (smt fst-conv length-map length-zip map-eq-conv' min-less-iff-conj nth-mem nth-zip prod.case-eq-if snd-conv)
then show ?thesis unfolding join.simps sigma
by simp
qed simp
qed simp-all

lemma join-with-source:
assumes  $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
shows  $A \sqcup \text{to-pterm (source } A) = \text{Some } A$ 
using assms proof(induct A)
case (2  $As$   $f$ )
then have  $\forall i < \text{length } As. (\text{map2 } (\sqcup) As \text{ (map to-pterm (map source } As)))!i = \text{Some (As!i)}$ 
by simp
then have those (map2 } (\sqcup) As \text{ (map to-pterm (map source } As))) = \text{Some } As
by (simp add: those-some)
then show ?case
by simp
next
case (3  $\alpha$   $As$ )

```

```

then have  $\forall i < \text{length } As. (\text{map2 } (\sqcup) As (\text{map to-pterm } (\text{map source } As)))!i =$ 
Some (As!i)
  by simp
then have IH:those (map2 (⊔) As (map to-pterm (map source As))) = Some As
  by (simp add: those-some)
from  $\exists(1)$  have match:match (to-pterm (source (Prule  $\alpha$  As))) (to-pterm (lhs
 $\alpha$ )) = Some ((map (to-pterm  $\circ$  source) As) $\alpha$ )
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) fun-mk-subst lhs-subst-trivial map-map source.simps(3)
to-pterm.simps(1) to-pterm-subst)
from  $\exists(2)$  have (map ((map (to-pterm  $\circ$  source) As) $\alpha$ ) (var-rule  $\alpha$ ) = map
(to-pterm  $\circ$  source) As)
  by (metis apply-lhs-subst-var-rule length-map)
with IH match join.simps(4,5) show ?case by(cases source (Prule  $\alpha$  As))
simp-all
qed simp

```

```

context no-var-lhs
begin

```

lemma *join-subst:*

```

assumes  $B \in \text{wf-pterm } R$  and  $\forall x \in \text{vars-term } B. \varrho x \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  and  $\forall x \in \text{vars-term } B. \text{source } (\varrho x) = \sigma x$ 
shows  $(B \cdot (\text{to-pterm } \circ \sigma)) \sqcup ((\text{to-pterm } (\text{source } B)) \cdot \varrho) = \text{Some } (B \cdot \varrho)$ 
using assms proof(induct B)
  case ( $1 x$ )
then show ?case unfolding eval-term.simps source.simps to-pterm.simps o-apply
  using join-with-source by (metis term.set-intros(3) join-sym)
next
  case ( $2 ts f$ )
  {fix  $i$  assume  $i < \text{length } ts$ 
    with  $2$  have  $((ts!i) \cdot (\text{to-pterm } \circ \sigma)) \sqcup ((\text{to-pterm } (\text{source } (ts!i))) \cdot \varrho) = \text{Some}$ 
 $(ts!i \cdot \varrho)$ 
    by (meson nth-mem term.set-intros(4))
    then have  $\text{map2 } (\sqcup) (\text{map } (\lambda t. t \cdot (\text{to-pterm } \circ \sigma)) ts) (\text{map } (\lambda t. t \cdot \varrho) (\text{map}$ 
 $\text{to-pterm } (\text{map source } ts)))!i = \text{Some } ((\text{map } (\lambda t. t \cdot \varrho) ts)!i)$ 
    using  $i$  by fastforce
  }
  then have those (map2 (⊔) (map (λt. t · (to-pterm  $\circ$   $\sigma$ )) ts) (map (λt. t ·  $\varrho$ )
(map to-pterm (map source ts)))) = Some (map (λt. t ·  $\varrho$ ) ts)
  using those-some by (smt (verit) length-map length-zip min.idem)
then show ?case
  unfolding source.simps to-pterm.simps eval-term.simps using join.simps(2)
by auto
next
  case ( $3 \alpha As$ )
from  $\exists(1)$  no-var-lhs obtain  $f ts$  where  $f:\text{lhs } \alpha = \text{Fun } f ts$ 
  by fastforce
obtain  $\tau$  where match:match (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha \cdot \langle \text{map source } As \rangle_\alpha) \cdot \varrho)$ 
(to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ )) = Some  $\tau$ 

```

```

    and  $\tau: (\forall x \in \text{vars-term } (\text{lhs } \alpha). \tau x = ((\text{to-pterm} \circ \langle \text{map source } As \rangle_\alpha) \circ_s \varrho) x)$ 
    using match-complete' unfolding to-pterm-subst by (smt (verit, best) set-vars-term-list
subst-subst vars-to-pterm)
  {fix i assume  $i: i < \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$ 
    let  $?x = \text{var-rule } \alpha ! i$ 
    have  $((\text{to-pterm} \circ \langle \text{map source } As \rangle_\alpha) \circ_s \varrho) ?x = \text{to-pterm } (\text{source } (As!i)) \cdot \varrho$ 
      using i  $\exists(2)$  by (simp add: mk-subst-distinct subst-compose-def)
    moreover from  $\exists$  have  $((As!i) \cdot (\text{to-pterm} \circ \sigma)) \sqcup (\text{to-pterm } (\text{source } (As!i))$ 
       $\cdot \varrho) = \text{Some } ((As!i) \cdot \varrho)$ 
      by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) i nth-mem term.set-intros(4))
    ultimately have  $((As!i) \cdot (\text{to-pterm} \circ \sigma)) \sqcup (\tau ?x) = \text{Some } ((As!i) \cdot \varrho)$ 
      using  $\tau$  by (metis comp-apply i nth-mem set-remdups set-rev set-vars-term-list)
    then have  $(\text{map2 } (\sqcup) (\text{map } (\lambda t. t \cdot (\text{to-pterm} \circ \sigma)) As) (\text{map } \tau (\text{var-rule } \alpha)))!i$ 
       $= \text{Some } ((\text{map } (\lambda t. t \cdot \varrho) As)!i)$ 
      using  $\exists(2)$  i by auto
    }
  then have those  $(\text{map2 } (\sqcup) (\text{map } (\lambda t. t \cdot (\text{to-pterm} \circ \sigma)) As) (\text{map } \tau (\text{var-rule } \alpha))) = \text{Some } (\text{map } (\lambda t. t \cdot \varrho) As)$ 
    by (simp add:  $\exists(2)$  those-some)
  then show ?case
    using match unfolding source.simps to-pterm.simps eval-term.simps f using
join.simps(5) f by auto
qed

```

end

lemma *join-same*:

```

  shows  $A \sqcup A = \text{Some } A$ 
proof (induct A)
  case (Pfun f As)
  {fix i assume  $i: i < \text{length } As$ 
    with Pfun have  $As!i \sqcup As!i = \text{Some } (As!i)$  by simp
    with i have  $(\text{map2 } (\sqcup) As As)!i = \text{Some } (As!i)$  by simp
  }
  then have those  $(\text{map2 } (\sqcup) As As) = \text{Some } As$ 
    by (simp add: those-some)
  then show ?case unfolding join.simps by simp
next
  case (Prule  $\alpha$  As)
  {fix i assume  $i: i < \text{length } As$ 
    with Prule have  $As!i \sqcup As!i = \text{Some } (As!i)$  by simp
    with i have  $(\text{map2 } (\sqcup) As As)!i = \text{Some } (As!i)$  by simp
  }
  then have those  $(\text{map2 } (\sqcup) As As) = \text{Some } As$ 
    by (simp add: those-some)
  then show ?case unfolding join.simps by simp
qed simp

```

Analogous to residuals there are 6 lemmas corresponding to the step cases

in induction proofs for joins.

lemma *join-fun-fun*:

assumes $(Pfun\ f\ As) \sqcup (Pfun\ g\ Bs) = Some\ C$
shows $f = g \wedge length\ As = length\ Bs \wedge$
 $(\exists\ Cs.\ C = Pfun\ f\ Cs \wedge$
 $length\ Cs = length\ As \wedge$
 $(\forall\ i < length\ As.\ As!i \sqcup Bs!i = Some\ (Cs!i)))$

proof –

have $*:f = g \wedge length\ As = length\ Bs$
using *assms join.simps(2)* **by** *(metis option.simps(3))*
then obtain Cs **where** $Cs:those\ (map2\ (\sqcup)\ As\ Bs) = Some\ Cs$
using *assms option.simps(3) option.simps(4)* **by** *fastforce*
hence $\forall\ i < length\ As.\ As!i \sqcup Bs!i = Some\ (Cs!i)$
using $*\ those\ some2$ **by** *fastforce*
with $*\ Cs\ assms(1)$ **show** *?thesis*
using *length-those* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *join-rule-rule*:

assumes $(Prule\ \alpha\ As) \sqcup (Prule\ \beta\ Bs) = Some\ C$
 $(Prule\ \alpha\ As) \in wf\ pterm\ R$
 $(Prule\ \beta\ Bs) \in wf\ pterm\ R$
shows $\alpha = \beta \wedge length\ As = length\ Bs \wedge$
 $(\exists\ Cs.\ C = Prule\ \alpha\ Cs \wedge$
 $length\ Cs = length\ As \wedge$
 $(\forall\ i < length\ As.\ As!i \sqcup Bs!i = Some\ (Cs!i)))$

proof –

have $\alpha = \beta$
using *assms(1) join.simps(3)* **by** *(metis option.simps(3))*
with *assms(2,3)* **have** $l: length\ As = length\ Bs$
using *length-args-well-Prule* **by** *blast*
from $\langle\alpha = \beta\rangle$ **obtain** Cs **where** $Cs:those\ (map2\ (\sqcup)\ As\ Bs) = Some\ Cs$
using *assms* **by** *fastforce*
hence $\forall\ i < length\ As.\ As!i \sqcup Bs!i = Some\ (Cs!i)$
using $l\ those\ some2$ **by** *fastforce*
with $\langle\alpha = \beta\rangle\ l\ Cs\ assms(1)$ **show** *?thesis*
using *length-those* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *join-rule-var*:

assumes $(Prule\ \alpha\ As) \sqcup (Var\ x) = Some\ C$
 $(Prule\ \alpha\ As) \in wf\ pterm\ R$
shows $\exists\ \sigma.\ match\ (Var\ x)\ (to\ pterm\ (lhs\ \alpha)) = Some\ \sigma \wedge$
 $(\exists\ Cs.\ C = Prule\ \alpha\ Cs \wedge$
 $length\ Cs = length\ As \wedge$
 $(\forall\ i < length\ As.\ As!i \sqcup (\sigma\ (var\ rule\ \alpha\ !\ i)) = Some\ (Cs!i)))$

proof –

from *assms(2)* **have** $l: length\ As = length\ (var\ rule\ \alpha)$
using *wf-pterm.cases* **by** *auto*

```

obtain  $\sigma$  where  $\sigma$ :match (Var  $x$ ) (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ )) = Some  $\sigma$ 
  using assms(1) by fastforce
then obtain  $Cs$  where  $Cs$ :those (map2 ( $\sqcup$ )  $As$  (map  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ ))) = Some
 $Cs$ 
  using assms(1) by fastforce
with  $l$  have  $l2$ :length  $Cs$  = length  $As$ 
  using length-those by fastforce
from  $Cs$  have  $\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \sqcup (\sigma \text{ (var-rule } \alpha ! i)) = \text{Some } (Cs!i)$ 
  using  $l$  those-some2 by fastforce
with  $\sigma$   $Cs$  assms(1)  $l2$  show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

lemma *join-rule-fun*:

```

assumes (Prule  $\alpha$   $As$ )  $\sqcup$  (Pfun  $f$   $Bs$ ) = Some  $C$ 
  (Prule  $\alpha$   $As$ )  $\in$  wf-pterm  $R$ 
shows  $\exists \sigma. \text{match } (\text{Pfun } f \text{ } Bs) \text{ (to-} \textit{pterm} \text{ (lhs } \alpha)) = \text{Some } \sigma \wedge$ 
  ( $\exists Cs. C = \text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } Cs \wedge$ 
  length  $Cs = \text{length } As \wedge$ 
  ( $\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \sqcup (\sigma \text{ (var-rule } \alpha ! i)) = \text{Some } (Cs!i)$ ))

```

proof–

```

from assms(2) have  $l$ :length  $As = \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$ 
  using wf-pterm.simps by fastforce
obtain  $\sigma$  where  $\sigma$ :match (Pfun  $f$   $Bs$ ) (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ )) = Some  $\sigma$ 
  using assms(1) by fastforce
then obtain  $Cs$  where  $Cs$ :those (map2 ( $\sqcup$ )  $As$  (map  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ ))) = Some
 $Cs$ 
  using assms(1) by fastforce
with  $l$  have  $l2$ :length  $Cs = \text{length } As$ 
  using length-those by fastforce
from  $Cs$  have  $\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \sqcup (\sigma \text{ (var-rule } \alpha ! i)) = \text{Some } (Cs!i)$ 
  using  $l$  those-some2 by fastforce
with  $\sigma$   $Cs$  assms(1)  $l2$  show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

lemma *join-wf-pterm*:

```

assumes  $A \sqcup B = \text{Some } C$ 
  and  $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$  and  $B \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
shows  $C \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
using assms proof (induct  $A$   $B$  arbitrary: $C$  rule:join.induct)
case (1  $x$   $y$ )
then show ?case
  by (metis join.simps(1) option.distinct(1) option.sel)

```

next

```

case (2  $f$   $As$   $g$   $Bs$ )
then obtain  $Cs$  where  $f = g \wedge \text{length } As = \text{length } Bs \wedge$ 
   $C = \text{Pfun } f \text{ } Cs \wedge$ 
  length  $Cs = \text{length } As \wedge$ 
  ( $\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \sqcup Bs!i = \text{Some } (Cs!i)$ )
by (meson join-fun-fun)

```

moreover with 2 have $i < \text{length } As \implies Cs!i \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ **for** i
using *fun-well-arg* **by** (*metis* (*no-types*, *opaque-lifting*) *fst-conv in-set-zip nth-mem snd-conv*)
ultimately show *?case*
by (*metis in-set-conv-nth wf-pterm.intros*(2))
next
case ($\exists \alpha As \beta Bs$)
then obtain Cs **where** $\alpha = \beta \wedge \text{length } As = \text{length } Bs \wedge$
 $(C = \text{Prule } \alpha Cs \wedge$
 $\text{length } Cs = \text{length } As \wedge$
 $(\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \sqcup Bs!i = \text{Some } (Cs!i)))$
by (*meson join-rule-rule*)
moreover with 3 have $i < \text{length } As \implies Cs!i \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ **for** i
using *fun-well-arg* **by** (*metis* (*no-types*, *opaque-lifting*) *fst-conv in-set-zip nth-mem snd-conv*)
moreover from $\exists(3)$ **have** *to-rule* $\alpha \in R$
using *wf-pterm.simps* **by** *fastforce*
ultimately show *?case*
by (*smt* (*verit*, *best*) *3.prem*s(2) *in-set-idx old.sum.distinct*(2) *term.distinct*(1) *term.inject*(2) *wf-pterm.cases wf-pterm.intros*(3))
next
case ($4-1 \alpha As v$)
then obtain $Cs \sigma$ **where** $∗: \text{match } (\text{Var } v) (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) = \text{Some } \sigma \wedge$
 $C = \text{Prule } \alpha Cs \wedge$
 $\text{length } Cs = \text{length } As \wedge$
 $(\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \sqcup (\sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha ! i)) = \text{Some } (Cs!i))$
by (*meson join-rule-var*)
from $4-1(3)$ **have** *wellA*: $\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
by *auto*
from $4-1(3)$ **have** $l: \text{length } As = \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$
using *wf-pterm.simps* **by** *fastforce*
then have $l2: \text{length } As = \text{length } (\text{zip } As (\text{map } \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha)))$
by *simp*
from $l *$ **have** $\forall i < \text{length } As. \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha ! i) \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
using $4-1(4)$ **by** (*metis match-well-def vars-to-pterm*)
with $4-1(1) * \text{wellA } l2$ **have** $\forall i < \text{length } As. Cs!i \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
by (*smt* (*z3*) l *length-map nth-map nth-mem nth-zip*)
with $4-1(3) *$ **show** *?case*
by (*smt* (*verit*) *Inr-not-Inl in-set-conv-nth term.distinct*(1) *term.inject*(2) *wf-pterm.cases wf-pterm.intros*(3))
next
case ($4-2 \alpha As f Bs$)
then obtain σCs **where** $∗: \text{match } (\text{Pfun } f Bs) (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) = \text{Some } \sigma \wedge$
 $(C = \text{Prule } \alpha Cs \wedge$
 $\text{length } Cs = \text{length } As \wedge$
 $(\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \sqcup (\sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha ! i)) = \text{Some } (Cs!i)))$
by (*meson join-rule-fun*)
from $4-2(3)$ **have** *wellA*: $\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
by *auto*


```

from 4-2(3) have l: length As = length (var-rule α)
  using wf-pterm.simps by fastforce
then have l2:length As = length (zip As (map σ (var-rule α)))
  by simp
from l * have ∀ i < length As. σ (var-rule α ! i) ∈ wf-pterm R
  using 4-2(4) by (metis match-well-def vars-to-pterm)
with 4-2(1) * wellA l2 have ∀ i < length As. Cs!i ∈ wf-pterm R
  by (smt l length-map nth-map nth-mem nth-zip)
with 4-2(3) * show ?case
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) Inr-not-Inl in-set-conv-nth term.distinct(1)
term.inject(2) wf-pterm.cases wf-pterm.intros(3))
next
case (5-1 v α As)
then obtain Cs σ where *:match (Var v) (to-pterm (lhs α)) = Some σ ∧
  C = Prule α Cs ∧
  length Cs = length As ∧
  (∀ i < length As. (σ (var-rule α ! i)) ⊔ As!i = Some (Cs!i))
  using join-rule-var by (metis join-sym)
from 5-1(4) have wellA:∀ i < length As. As!i ∈ wf-pterm R
  by auto
from 5-1(4) have l: length As = length (var-rule α)
  using wf-pterm.simps by fastforce
then have l2:length As = length (zip As (map σ (var-rule α)))
  by simp
from l * have ∀ i < length As. σ (var-rule α ! i) ∈ wf-pterm R
  using 5-1(3) by (metis match-well-def vars-to-pterm)
with 5-1(1) * wellA l2 l have ∀ i < length As. Cs!i ∈ wf-pterm R
  by (smt (verit, del-insts) length-map nth-map nth-mem nth-zip zip-symm)
with 5-1(4) * show ?case
  by (smt (verit) Inr-not-Inl in-set-conv-nth term.distinct(1) term.inject(2)
wf-pterm.cases wf-pterm.intros(3))
next
case (5-2 f Bs α As)
then obtain Cs σ where *:match (Pfun f Bs) (to-pterm (lhs α)) = Some σ ∧
  C = Prule α Cs ∧
  length Cs = length As ∧
  (∀ i < length As. (σ (var-rule α ! i)) ⊔ As!i = Some (Cs!i))
  using join-sym join-rule-fun by metis
from 5-2(4) have wellA:∀ i < length As. As!i ∈ wf-pterm R
  by auto
from 5-2(4) have l: length As = length (var-rule α)
  using wf-pterm.simps by fastforce
then have l2:length As = length (zip (map σ (var-rule α)) As)
  by simp
from l * have ∀ i < length As. σ (var-rule α ! i) ∈ wf-pterm R
  using 5-2(3) by (metis match-well-def vars-to-pterm)
with 5-2(1) * wellA l2 have ∀ i < length As. Cs!i ∈ wf-pterm R
  by (smt l length-map nth-map nth-mem nth-zip)
with * show ?case

```

by (metis 5-2.premis(3) Inl-inject Inr-not-Inl in-set-idx l term.distinct(1) term.sel(2) wf-pterm.cases wf-pterm.intros(3))

qed auto

lemma source-join:

assumes $A \sqcup B = \text{Some } C$

and $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ and $B \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

shows co-initial $A C$

using assms proof(induct A B arbitrary:C rule:join.induct)

case (1 x y)

then show ?case

by (metis join.simps(1) option.discI option.sel)

next

case (2 f As g Bs)

then obtain Cs where $f = g \wedge \text{length } As = \text{length } Bs \wedge$

$C = \text{Pfun } f \text{ } Cs \wedge$

$\text{length } Cs = \text{length } As \wedge$

$(\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \sqcup Bs!i = \text{Some } (Cs!i))$

by (meson join-fun-fun)

moreover with 2 have $i < \text{length } As \implies \text{co-initial } (As!i) (Cs!i)$ for i

using fun-well-arg by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) fst-conv in-set-zip nth-mem snd-conv)

ultimately show ?case

by (simp add: nth-map-conv)

next

case (3 α As β Bs)

then obtain Cs where $\alpha = \beta \wedge \text{length } As = \text{length } Bs \wedge$

$(C = \text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } Cs \wedge$

$\text{length } Cs = \text{length } As \wedge$

$(\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \sqcup Bs!i = \text{Some } (Cs!i)))$

by (meson join-rule-rule)

moreover with 3 have $i < \text{length } As \implies \text{co-initial } (As!i) (Cs!i)$ for i

using fun-well-arg by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) fst-conv in-set-zip nth-mem snd-conv)

ultimately show ?case

by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) map-eq-conv' source.simps(3))

next

case (4-1 α As v)

then obtain Cs σ where $*:\text{match } (Var v) (to-pterm (lhs \alpha)) = \text{Some } \sigma \wedge$

$C = \text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } Cs \wedge$

$\text{length } Cs = \text{length } As \wedge$

$(\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \sqcup (\sigma (var-rule \alpha ! i)) = \text{Some } (Cs!i))$

by (meson join-rule-var)

from 4-1(3) have wellA: $\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

by auto

from 4-1(3) have l: $\text{length } As = \text{length } (var-rule \alpha)$

using wf-pterm.simps by fastforce

then have l2: $\text{length } As = \text{length } (\text{zip } As (\text{map } \sigma (var-rule \alpha)))$

by simp

from $l * \text{have } \forall i < \text{length } As. \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha ! i) \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
using $4-1(4)$ **by** $(\text{metis match-well-def vars-to-pterm})$
with $4-1(1) * \text{wellA } l2$ **have** $\forall i < \text{length } As. \text{co-initial } (As!i) (Cs!i)$
by $(\text{smt } (z3) l \text{ length-map nth-map nth-mem nth-zip})$
with $4-1(3) * \text{show } ?\text{case}$
by $(\text{metis nth-map-conv source.simps}(3))$
next
case $(4-2 \alpha As f Bs)$
then obtain σCs **where** $*: \text{match } (Pfun f Bs) (\text{to-pterm } (lhs \alpha)) = \text{Some } \sigma \wedge$
 $(C = Prule \alpha Cs \wedge$
 $\text{length } Cs = \text{length } As \wedge$
 $(\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \sqcup (\sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha ! i)) = \text{Some } (Cs!i)))$
by $(\text{meson join-rule-fun})$
from $4-2(3)$ **have** $\text{wellA}:\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
by auto
from $4-2(3)$ **have** $l: \text{length } As = \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$
using wf-pterm.simps **by** fastforce
then have $l2: \text{length } As = \text{length } (\text{zip } As (\text{map } \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha)))$
by simp
from $l * \text{have } \forall i < \text{length } As. \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha ! i) \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
using $4-2(4)$ **by** $(\text{metis match-well-def vars-to-pterm})$
with $4-2(1) * \text{wellA } l2$ **have** $\forall i < \text{length } As. \text{co-initial } (As!i) (Cs!i)$
by $(\text{smt } l \text{ length-map nth-map nth-mem nth-zip})$
with $4-2(3) * \text{show } ?\text{case}$
by $(\text{metis nth-map-conv source.simps}(3))$
next
case $(5-1 v \alpha As)$
then obtain $Cs \sigma$ **where** $*: \text{match } (Var v) (\text{to-pterm } (lhs \alpha)) = \text{Some } \sigma$
 $C = Prule \alpha Cs$
 $\text{length } Cs = \text{length } As \wedge$
 $(\forall i < \text{length } As. (\sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha ! i)) \sqcup As!i = \text{Some } (Cs!i))$
using join-rule-var **by** (metis join-sym)
from $5-1(4)$ **have** $\text{wellA}:\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
by auto
from $5-1(4)$ **have** $l: \text{length } As = \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$
using wf-pterm.simps **by** fastforce
then have $l2: \text{length } As = \text{length } (\text{zip } As (\text{map } \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha)))$
by simp
from $l * \text{have } \forall i < \text{length } As. \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha ! i) \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
using $5-1(3)$ **by** $(\text{metis match-well-def vars-to-pterm})$
with $5-1(1) * \text{wellA } l2 l$ **have** $IH:\forall i < \text{length } As. \text{co-initial } ((\text{map } \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha))!i) (Cs!i)$
by $(\text{smt } (\text{verit, del-insts}) \text{ length-map nth-map nth-mem nth-zip zip-symm})$
moreover have $v: Var v = (\text{to-pterm } (lhs \alpha)) \cdot \langle (\text{map } \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha)) \rangle_\alpha$
using $*$ **by** $(\text{smt } (\text{verit, ccfv-threshold}) \text{ apply-lhs-subst-var-rule map-eq-conv match-lhs-subst})$
show $?\text{case}$ **using** $IH l \text{ unfolding } v *(2) \text{ source.simps}$
by $(\text{metis } *(1,3) \text{ fun-mk-subst length-map lhs-subst-trivial nth-map-conv option.inject source.simps}(1) \text{ source-apply-subst source-to-pterm to-pterm-wf-pterm})$

v)
next
case (5-2 $f Bs \alpha As$)
then obtain $Cs \sigma$ **where** $∗:match (Pfun f Bs) (to-ptermin (lhs \alpha)) = Some \sigma$
 $C = Prule \alpha Cs$
 $length Cs = length As \wedge$
 $(\forall i < length As. (\sigma (var-rule \alpha ! i)) \sqcup As!i = Some (Cs!i))$
using $join-rule-fun$ **by** ($metis join-sym$)
from 5-2(4) **have** $wellA:\forall i < length As. As!i \in wf-ptermin R$
by $auto$
from 5-2(4) **have** $l: length As = length (var-rule \alpha)$
using $wf-ptermin.simps$ **by** $fastforce$
then have $l2:length As = length (zip As (map \sigma (var-rule \alpha)))$
by $simp$
from $l ∗$ **have** $\forall i < length As. \sigma (var-rule \alpha ! i) \in wf-ptermin R$
using 5-2(3) **by** ($metis match-well-def vars-to-ptermin$)
with 5-2(1) $∗ wellA l2 l$ **have** $IH:\forall i < length As. co-initial ((map \sigma (var-rule \alpha))!i) (Cs!i)$
by ($smt (verit, del-insts) length-map nth-map nth-mem nth-zip zip-symm$)
moreover have $f:Pfun f Bs = (to-ptermin (lhs \alpha)) \cdot \langle (map \sigma (var-rule \alpha)) \rangle_\alpha$
using $∗$ **by** ($smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) apply-lhs-subst-var-rule map-eq-conv match-lhs-subst$)
show $?case$ **using** $IH l unfolding f ∗(2) source.simps$
by ($metis ∗(3) fun-mk-subst length-map nth-map-conv source.simps(1) source-apply-subst source-to-ptermin to-ptermin-wf-ptermin$)
qed $auto$

lemma $join-ptermin-subst-Some$:

fixes $A B::('f, 'v) pterm$
assumes $(A \cdot \sigma) \sqcup (A \cdot \tau) = Some B$
shows $\exists \rho. (\forall x \in vars-term A. \sigma x \sqcup \tau x = Some (\rho x)) \wedge B = A \cdot \rho \wedge match B A = Some \rho$

proof–

let $?join-var=\lambda x. the (\sigma x \sqcup \tau x)$
let $? \rho = mk-subst Var (zip (vars-distinct A) (map ?join-var (vars-distinct A)))$
from $assms$ **have** $B = A \cdot ? \rho \wedge (\forall x \in vars-term A. \sigma x \sqcup \tau x = Some (? \rho x))$
 $\wedge match B A = Some ? \rho$ **proof** ($induct A arbitrary: B$)

case ($Var x$)

then show $?case$

by ($smt (verit) comp-apply eval-term.simps(1) in-set-conv-nth in-set-simps(2) length-map map-nth-conv match-trivial mem-Collect-eq mk-subst-not-mem mk-subst-same option.sel remdups-id-iff-distinct set-vars-term-list single-var singleton-rev-conv subsetI subst-domain-def vars-term-list.simps(1)$)

next

case ($Pfun f As$)

let $? \rho = mk-subst Var (zip (vars-distinct (Pfun f As)) (map ?join-var (vars-distinct (Pfun f As))))$

have $\rho\text{-domain}:subst-domain ? \rho \subseteq vars-term (Pfun f As)$

by ($smt (verit, del-insts) comp-apply mem-Collect-eq mk-subst-not-mem$)

```

set-remdups set-rev set-vars-term-list subsetI subst-domain-def)
  from Pfun(2) have those (map2 (⊔) (map (λa. a · σ) As) (map (λa. a · τ)
As)) ≠ None
  unfolding eval-term.simps join.simps using option.simps(4) by fastforce
  then obtain Bs where Bs:those (map2 (⊔) (map (λa. a · σ) As) (map (λa. a
· τ) As)) = Some Bs length As = length Bs
  using length-those by fastforce
  {fix i assume i < length As
  with Bs have Bs-i:((As!i) · σ) ⊔ ((As!i) · τ) = Some (Bs!i)
  using those-some2 by fastforce
  }note Bs-i=this
  {fix i assume i:i < length As
  let ?qi=mk-subst Var (zip (vars-distinct (As!i)) (map ?join-var (vars-distinct
(As!i))))
  have (As!i) · ?q = (As!i) · ?qi
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) comp-apply i map-of-zip-map mk-subst-def nth-mem
set-remdups set-rev set-vars-term-list term.set-intros(4) term-subst-eq-conv)
  with Pfun(1)[of As!i] i Bs-i have (As!i) · ?q = Bs!i
  by fastforce
  }note As-Bs=this
  with Bs(2) have map-q:map (λa. a · ?q) As = Bs
  by (simp add: map-nth-eq-conv)
  {fix x assume x:x ∈ vars-term (Pfun f As)
  then obtain i where i < length As x ∈ vars-term (As!i)
  by (metis term.sel(4) var-imp-var-of-arg)
  with Pfun(1)[of As!i] Bs-i As-Bs have σ x ⊔ τ x = Some (?q x)
  using term-subst-eq-rev by fastforce
  }
  moreover then have B = Pfun f As · ?q
  using Pfun(2) unfolding eval-term.simps join.simps Bs using map-q by
auto
  moreover then have match B (Pfun f As) = Some ?q
  using match-trivial rho-domain by blast
  ultimately show ?case by simp
next
  case (Prule α As)
  let ?q=mk-subst Var (zip (vars-distinct (Prule α As)) (map ?join-var (vars-distinct
(Prule α As))))
  have rho-domain:subst-domain ?q ⊆ vars-term (Prule α As)
  by (smt (verit, del-insts) comp-apply mem-Collect-eq mk-subst-not-mem
set-remdups set-rev set-vars-term-list subsetI subst-domain-def)
  from Prule(2) have those (map2 (⊔) (map (λa. a · σ) As) (map (λa. a · τ)
As)) ≠ None
  unfolding eval-term.simps join.simps using option.simps(4) by fastforce
  then obtain Bs where Bs:those (map2 (⊔) (map (λa. a · σ) As) (map (λa. a
· τ) As)) = Some Bs length As = length Bs
  using length-those by fastforce
  {fix i assume i < length As
  with Bs have Bs-i:((As!i) · σ) ⊔ ((As!i) · τ) = Some (Bs!i)

```

```

    using those-some2 by fastforce
  }note Bs-i=this
  {fix i assume i:i < length As
    let ?qi=mk-subst Var (zip (vars-distinct (As!i)) (map ?join-var (vars-distinct
(As!i))))
    have (As!i) · ?q = (As!i) · ?qi
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) comp-apply i map-of-zip-map mk-subst-def nth-mem
set-remdups set-rev set-vars-term-list term.set-intros(4) term-subst-eq-conv)
    with Prule(1)[of As!i] i Bs-i have (As!i) · ?q = Bs!i
    by fastforce
  }note As-Bs=this
  with Bs(2) have map-q:map (λa. a · ?q) As = Bs
  by (simp add: map-nth-eq-conv)
  {fix x assume x:x ∈ vars-term (Prule α As)
    then obtain i where i < length As x ∈ vars-term (As!i)
    by (metis term.sel(4) var-imp-var-of-arg)
    with Prule(1)[of As!i] Bs-i As-Bs have σ x ⊔ τ x = Some (?q x)
    using term-subst-eq-rev by fastforce
  }
  moreover then have B = Prule α As · ?q
  using Prule(2) unfolding eval-term.simps join.simps Bs using map-q by
auto
  moreover then have match B (Prule α As) = Some ?q
  using match-trivial rho-domain by blast
  ultimately show ?case by simp
qed
then show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

```

lemma join-pterm-subst-None:
  fixes A::('f, 'v) pterm
  assumes (A · σ) ⊔ (A · τ) = None
  shows ∃ x ∈ vars-term A. σ x ⊔ τ x = None
using assms proof(induct A rule:pterm-induct)
  case (Pfun f As)
  from Pfun(2) obtain i where i:i < length As (map2 (⊔) (map (λs. s · σ) As)
(map (λs. s · τ) As))!i = None
  unfolding eval-term.simps join.simps length-map using those-not-none-xs
  by (smt (verit) length-map list-all-length map2-map-map option.case-eq-if op-
tion.distinct(1))
  then have (As!i · σ) ⊔ (As!i · τ) = None by simp
  with Pfun(1) i(1) obtain x where x ∈ vars-term (As!i) and σ x ⊔ τ x = None
  using nth-mem by blast
  then show ?case using i(1) by auto
next
  case (Prule α As)
  from Prule(2) obtain i where i:i < length As (map2 (⊔) (map (λs. s · σ) As)
(map (λs. s · τ) As))!i = None
  unfolding eval-term.simps join.simps length-map using those-not-none-xs

```

by (*smt* (*verit*) *length-map list-all-length map2-map-map option.case-eq-if option.distinct(1)*)
then have $(As!i \cdot \sigma) \sqcup (As!i \cdot \tau) = \text{None}$ **by** *simp*
with *Prule(1) i(1)* **obtain** x **where** $x \in \text{vars-term } (As!i)$ **and** $\sigma x \sqcup \tau x = \text{None}$
using *nth-mem* **by** *blast*
then show *?case* **using** *i(1)* **by** *auto*
qed *simp*

fun *mk-subst-from-list* :: $('v \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) \text{ term}) \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('v \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) \text{ term})$ **where**
mk-subst-from-list [] = Var
| *mk-subst-from-list* ($\sigma \# \sigma s$) = $(\lambda x. \text{case } \sigma x \text{ of}$
 $\text{Var } x \Rightarrow \text{mk-subst-from-list } \sigma s x$
 | $t \Rightarrow t)$

lemma *join-is-Fun*:

assumes $\text{join}:A \sqcup B = \text{Some } (Pfun f Cs)$
shows $\exists As. A = Pfun f As \wedge \text{length } As = \text{length } Cs$
proof –
 {assume $\exists x. A = Var x$
 then obtain x **where** $x:A = Var x$ **by** *blast*
 from *join* **consider** $B = Var x \mid \exists \alpha Bs. B = Prule \alpha Bs$
 unfolding x **by** (*metis is-Prule.elims(1) join.simps(1) join.simps(9) option.distinct(1)*)
 then have *False*
 using *join* **unfolding** x **by**(*cases*) (*simp, metis (mono-tags, lifting) Inl-Inr-False join.simps(6) option.case-eq-if option.distinct(1) option.sel term.inject(2)*)
 } **moreover** **{assume** $\exists \alpha As. A = Prule \alpha As$
 then obtain αAs **where** $A:A = Prule \alpha As$ **by** *blast*
 from *join* **consider** $\exists x. B = Var x \mid \exists g Bs. B = Pfun g Bs$
 unfolding A **by** (*smt (verit, del-Insts) is-Prule.elims(1) join.simps(3) option.case-eq-if option.distinct(1) option.sel term.inject(2)*)
 then have *False*
 using *join* **unfolding** A **by**(*cases*) (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) Inl-Inr-False join.simps(4,5) option.case-eq-if option.distinct(1) option.sel term.inject(2)*)
 }
 ultimately obtain $g As$ **where** $A:A = Pfun g As$
 by (*meson is-Prule.cases*)
 from *join* **have** $f = g$ **and** $\text{length } As = \text{length } Cs$ **unfolding** A
 by (*smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) Inl-Inr-False Residual-Join-Deletion.join-sym join.simps(5) join.simps(8) join-fun-fun not-arg-cong-Inr option.case-eq-if option.inject option.simps(3) pterm-cases term.inject(2)*)
 with A **show** *?thesis* **by** *force*
qed

lemma *join-obtain-subst*:

assumes $\text{join}:A \sqcup B = \text{Some } (to-pterms t \cdot \sigma)$ **and** *linear-term t*
shows $(to-pterms t) \cdot \text{mk-subst } Var (\text{match-substs } (to-pterms t) A) = A$
proof –

```

from assms(2) have lin:linear-term (to-pterm t)
  using to-pterm-linear by blast
  have  $\forall p \in \text{poss} \text{ (to-pterm } t). \forall f \text{ ts. (to-pterm } t) \mid\text{- } p = \text{Fun } f \text{ ts} \longrightarrow (\exists \text{As. length } ts = \text{length } \text{As} \wedge A \mid\text{- } p = \text{Fun } f \text{ As})$ 
  using assms proof(induct t arbitrary: A B)
  case (Fun f ts)
  from Fun(2) obtain As where A:A = Pfun f As and l-As:length ts = length As
  using join-is-Fun by force
  from Fun(2) obtain Bs where B:B = Pfun f Bs and l-Bs:length ts = length Bs
  using join-is-Fun join-sym by (smt (verit) eval-term.simps(2) length-map to-pterm.simps(2))
  {fix p g ts' assume p:p  $\in$  poss (to-pterm (Fun f ts)) (to-pterm (Fun f ts))  $\mid\text{-}$  p = Fun g ts'
  have  $\exists \text{As}'. \text{length } ts' = \text{length } \text{As}' \wedge A \mid\text{-} p = \text{Fun } g \text{ As}'$  proof(cases p)
    case Nil
    from p(2) show ?thesis unfolding A Nil using l-As by force
  next
  case (Cons i p')
  from p(1) have i:i < length ts unfolding Cons by simp
  with p(1) have p':p'  $\in$  poss (ts!i) unfolding Cons
    by (metis poss-Cons-poss poss-list-sound poss-list-to-pterm term.sel(4))
  from Fun(2) have As!i  $\sqcup$  Bs!i = Some (to-pterm (ts!i)  $\cdot$   $\sigma$ )
    unfolding A B to-pterm.simps eval-term.simps using i l-As l-Bs
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) args-poss join-fun-fun local.Cons nth-map p(1) term.sel(4) to-pterm.simps(2))
  moreover from Fun(3) i have linear-term (ts!i) by simp
  ultimately obtain As' where length ts' = length As' and (As!i) $\mid\text{-}$ p' = Fun g As'
    using Fun(1) i p' by (smt (verit) local.Cons nth-map nth-mem p(2) p-in-poss-to-pterm subt-at.simps(2) to-pterm.simps(2))
    with i l-As show ?thesis unfolding A Cons by simp
  qed
}
then show ?case by simp
qed simp
then show ?thesis using fun-poss-eq-imp-matches[OF lin] by presburger
qed

```

lemma *join-pterm-linear-subst*:

```

assumes join:A  $\sqcup$  B = Some (to-pterm t  $\cdot$   $\sigma$ ) and lin:linear-term t
shows  $\exists \sigma_A \sigma_B. A = (\text{to-pterm } t \cdot \sigma_A) \wedge B = (\text{to-pterm } t \cdot \sigma_B) \wedge (\forall x \in \text{vars-term } t. \sigma_A x \sqcup \sigma_B x = \text{Some } (\sigma x))$ 

```

proof –

```

let  $\sigma_A = \text{mk-subst Var (match-substs (to-pterm } t) A)$ 
let  $\sigma_B = \text{mk-subst Var (match-substs (to-pterm } t) B)$ 
from join-obtain-subst[OF join lin] have A:A = (to-pterm t)  $\cdot$   $\sigma_A$  by simp
from join lin have B:B = (to-pterm t)  $\cdot$   $\sigma_B$  using join-sym join-obtain-subst

```



```

by metis
  from join-pterm-subst-Some join A B obtain  $\varrho$ 
    where  $(\forall x \in \text{vars-term } t. ?\sigma_A x \sqcup ?\sigma_B x = \text{Some } (\varrho x))$  and  $\text{to-pterm } t \cdot \sigma =$ 
 $\text{to-pterm } t \cdot \varrho$ 
    by  $(\text{metis set-vars-term-list vars-to-pterm})$ 
  then show ?thesis
    by  $(\text{smt (verit, best) A B set-vars-term-list term-subst-eq-rev vars-to-pterm})$ 
qed

```

```

context no-var-lhs

```

```

begin

```

```

lemma join-rule-lhs:

```

```

  assumes  $\text{wf}: \text{Prule } \alpha \text{ As} \in \text{wf-pterm } R$  and  $\text{args}: \forall i < \text{length As}. \text{As}!i \sqcup \text{Bs}!i \neq$ 
 $\text{None}$  and  $l: \text{length Bs} = \text{length As}$ 

```

```

  shows  $\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ As} \sqcup (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha) \cdot \langle \text{Bs} \rangle_\alpha) \neq \text{None}$ 

```

```

proof -

```

```

  from wf no-var-lhs obtain  $f \text{ ts}$  where  $\text{lhs}: \text{lhs } \alpha = \text{Fun } f \text{ ts}$ 

```

```

  by  $(\text{metis Inl-inject Term.term.simps(2) Term.term.simps(4) case-prodD is-Prule.simps(1)}$ 
 $\text{is-Prule.simps(3) term.collapse(2) wf-pterm.simps})$ 

```

```

  from  $\text{args } l$  have  $\text{those } (\text{map2 } (\sqcup) \text{ As Bs}) \neq \text{None}$ 

```

```

  by  $(\text{simp add: list-all-length those-not-none-xs})$ 

```

```

  with  $\text{wf } l$  have  $\text{those } (\text{map2 } (\sqcup) \text{ As } (\text{map } (\langle \text{Bs} \rangle_\alpha) (\text{vars-distinct } (\text{Fun } f \text{ ts})))) \neq$ 
 $\text{None}$ 

```

```

  using apply-lhs-subst-var-rule by  $(\text{metis Inl-inject is-Prule.simps(1) is-Prule.simps(3)}$ 
 $\text{lhs term.distinct(1) term.inject(2) wf-pterm.simps})$ 

```

```

  with lhs-subst-trivial[of  $\alpha \text{ Bs}$ ] show ?thesis

```

```

  unfolding lhs to-pterm.simps eval-term.simps join.simps by force

```

```

qed

```

```

end

```

3.2.1 N-Fold Join

We define a function to recursively join a list of n proof terms. Since each individual join produces a $((f, 'v) \text{ prule} + f, 'v) \text{ Term.term option}$ we first introduce the following helper function.

```

fun join-opt ::  $(f, 'v) \text{ pterm} \Rightarrow (f, 'v) \text{ pterm option} \Rightarrow (f, 'v) \text{ pterm option}$ 

```

```

  where

```

```

     $\text{join-opt } A (\text{Some } B) = A \sqcup B$ 

```

```

    |  $\text{join-opt } - = \text{None}$ 

```

```

fun join-list ::  $(f, 'v) \text{ pterm list} \Rightarrow (f, 'v) \text{ pterm option } (\sqcup)$ 

```

```

  where

```

```

     $\text{join-list } [] = \text{None}$ 

```

```

    |  $\text{join-list } (A \# []) = \text{Some } A$ 

```

```

    |  $\text{join-list } (A \# \text{As}) = \text{join-opt } A (\text{join-list } \text{As})$ 

```

```

context left-lin-no-var-lhs

```

```

begin

```

```

lemma join-var-rule:
  assumes to-rule  $\alpha \in R$ 
  shows  $\text{Var } x \sqcup \text{Prule } \alpha \text{ As} = \text{None}$ 
proof –
  from assms obtain f ts where  $\text{lhs } \alpha = \text{Fun } f \text{ ts}$ 
    using no-var-lhs by fastforce
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Residual-Join-Deletion.join-sym eval-term.simps(2)
join.simps(4) match-lhs-subst option.case-eq-if option.exhaust term.distinct(1) to-pterm.simps(2))
qed

```

```

lemma var-join:
  assumes  $\text{Var } x \sqcup B = \text{Some } C$  and  $B \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  shows  $B = \text{Var } x \wedge C = \text{Var } x$ 
  using assms proof(cases B)
  case (Var y)
  with assms(1) show ?thesis
    by (metis join.simps(1) option.sel option.simps(3))
next
  case (Prule  $\alpha \text{ As}$ )
  with assms show ?thesis
    by (metis Residual-Join-Deletion.join-sym Term.term.simps(4) case-prodD
co-initial-Var is-VarI join.simps(9)
no-var-lhs.no-var-lhs no-var-lhs-axioms option.distinct(1) source-join sum.inject(1)
term.inject(2) wf-pterm.simps)
qed simp

```

```

lemma fun-join:
  assumes  $\text{Pfun } f \text{ As} \sqcup B = \text{Some } C$ 
  shows  $(\exists g \text{ Bs. } B = \text{Pfun } g \text{ Bs}) \vee (\exists \alpha \text{ Bs. } B = \text{Prule } \alpha \text{ Bs})$ 
  using assms by(cases B) (simp-all)

```

```

lemma rule-join:
  assumes  $\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ As} \sqcup B = \text{Some } C$  and  $\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ As} \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  shows  $(\exists g \text{ Bs. } B = \text{Pfun } g \text{ Bs}) \vee (\exists \beta \text{ Bs. } B = \text{Prule } \beta \text{ Bs})$ 
  using assms proof(cases B)
  case (Var x)
  from assms have False unfolding Var
    by (metis Residual-Join-Deletion.join-sym term.distinct(1) var-join)
  then show ?thesis by simp
qed simp-all

```

Associativity of join is currently not used in any proofs. But it is still a valuable result, hence included here.

```

lemma join-opt-assoc:
  assumes  $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$   $B \in \text{wf-pterm } R$   $C \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  shows  $\text{join-opt } A (B \sqcup C) = \text{join-opt } C (A \sqcup B)$ 
  using assms proof(induct A arbitrary:B C rule:subterm-induct)
  case (subterm A)

```

```

from subterm(2) show ?case proof(cases A rule:wf-pterm.cases[case-names
VarA FunA RuleA])
  case (VarA x)
    with subterm(3) show ?thesis proof(cases B rule:wf-pterm.cases[case-names
VarB FunB RuleB])
      case (VarB y)
        show ?thesis proof(cases x = y)
          case True
            then have AB:A  $\sqcup$  B = Some (Var y) unfolding VarA VarB by simp
            with subterm(4) VarA VarB show ?thesis proof(cases C rule:wf-pterm.cases[case-names
VarC FunC RuleC])
              case (VarC z)
                with AB VarA VarB  $\langle x = y \rangle$  show ?thesis by(cases y = z) simp-all
              next
                case (RuleC  $\alpha$  As)
                  then have (Var y)  $\sqcup$  C = None
                  using join-var-rule by presburger
                  then show ?thesis unfolding AB unfolding VarA VarB by (simp
add:join-sym)
                qed simp
              next
                case False
                  then have AB:A  $\sqcup$  B = None unfolding VarA VarB by simp
                  with subterm(4) VarA VarB show ?thesis proof(cases C rule:wf-pterm.cases[case-names
VarC FunC RuleC])
                    case (VarC z)
                      with AB VarA VarB  $\langle x \neq y \rangle$  show ?thesis by(cases y = z) simp-all
                    next
                      case (RuleC  $\alpha$  As)
                        then have (Var y)  $\sqcup$  C = None
                        using join-var-rule by presburger
                        then show ?thesis unfolding AB unfolding VarA VarB by (simp
add:join-sym)
                      qed simp
                    qed
                  next
                    case (FunB Bs f)
                      then have AB:A  $\sqcup$  B = None
                      unfolding VarA by simp
                      with subterm(4) VarA show ?thesis proof(cases C rule:wf-pterm.cases[case-names
VarC FunC RuleC])
                        case (VarC z)
                          with AB VarA FunB show ?thesis by(cases x = z) simp-all
                        next
                          case (FunC Cs g)
                            from AB VarA show ?thesis proof(cases B  $\sqcup$  C)
                              case (Some BC)
                                then obtain BCs where BC = Pfun f BCs
                                by (metis FunB(1) FunC(1) join-fun-fun)
                              next
                                case (None)
                                  show ?thesis by simp
                                qed
                              qed
                            qed
                          qed
                        qed
                      qed
                    qed
                  qed
                qed
              qed
            qed
          qed
        qed
      qed
    qed
  qed

```

```

    then show ?thesis unfolding AB unfolding VarA Some by simp
  qed simp
next
case (RuleC  $\alpha$  Cs)
from AB VarA show ?thesis proof(cases B  $\sqcup$  C)
  case (Some BC)
  then obtain BCs where BC = Prule  $\alpha$  BCs
  by (metis FunB(1) Residual-Join-Deletion.join-sym RuleC(1) join-rule-fun
subterm.prem(3))
  then have Var x  $\sqcup$  BC = None
  using RuleC(2) join-var-rule by presburger
  then show ?thesis unfolding AB unfolding VarA Some by simp
  qed simp
qed
next
case (RuleB  $\alpha$  Bs)
then have AB:A  $\sqcup$  B = None
  using VarA join-var-rule by presburger
with subterm(4) VarA show ?thesis proof(cases C rule:wf-pterm.cases[case-names
VarC FunC RuleC])
  case (VarC z)
  with RuleB have B  $\sqcup$  C = None
  using join-var-rule join-sym by metis
  with AB show ?thesis by simp
next
case (FunC Cs f)
from AB VarA show ?thesis proof(cases B  $\sqcup$  C)
  case (Some BC)
  then obtain BCs where BC = Prule  $\alpha$  BCs
  by (metis FunC(1) RuleB(1) join-rule-fun subterm.prem(2))
  then have Var x  $\sqcup$  BC = None
  using RuleB(2) join-var-rule by presburger
  then show ?thesis unfolding AB unfolding VarA Some by simp
  qed simp
next
case (RuleC  $\beta$  Cs)
from AB VarA show ?thesis proof(cases B  $\sqcup$  C)
  case (Some BC)
  then obtain BCs where BC = Prule  $\alpha$  BCs
  using RuleB(1) RuleC(1) join-rule-rule subterm.prem(2) subterm.prem(3)
by blast
  then have Var x  $\sqcup$  BC = None
  using RuleB(2) join-var-rule by presburger
  then show ?thesis unfolding AB unfolding VarA Some by simp
  qed simp
qed
qed
next
case (FunA As f)

```

```

from subterm(3) show ?thesis proof(cases B rule:wf-pterm.cases[case-names
VarB FunB RuleB])
  case (VarB x)
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis FunA(1) join.simps(1) join.simps(8) join.simps(9) join-opt.elims
join-opt.simps(2) join-var-rule option.sel subterm.premis(3) wf-pterm.simps)
  next
  case (FunB Bs g)
  then show ?thesis proof(cases A  $\sqcup$  B)
    case None
    with subterm(4) FunB show ?thesis proof(cases C rule:wf-pterm.cases[case-names
VarC FunC RuleC])
      case (FunC Cs h)
      from None show ?thesis proof(cases B  $\sqcup$  C)
        case (Some BC)
        then have gh:g = h and l-B-C:length Bs = length Cs
          unfolding FunB FunC by (meson join-fun-fun)+
        from Some obtain BCs where BC:BC = Pfun g BCs and l-BC-B:length
BCs = length Bs
          and args-BC:( $\forall i < \text{length } Bs. Bs!i \sqcup Cs!i = \text{Some } (BCs ! i)$ )
          unfolding FunC FunB using join-fun-fun by force
        {assume fg:f = g and l-A-B:length As = length Bs
          {fix i assume i:i < length As
            with subterm(1) FunA FunB(2) FunC(2) args-BC l-A-B l-B-C
              have join-opt (As!i) ((Bs!i)  $\sqcup$  (Cs!i)) = join-opt (Cs!i) ((As!i)  $\sqcup$ 
(Bs!i))
                by (metis nth-mem supt.arg)
            } note IH=this
          } from fg l-A-B None have those (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) As Bs) = None
          unfolding FunB FunA by (smt (verit) join.simps(2) option.case-eq-if
option.distinct(1))
          then obtain i where i:i < length (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) As Bs) (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) As
Bs)!i = None
            using those-not-none-xs list-all-length by blast
          with l-A-B have A-B-i:(As!i)  $\sqcup$  (Bs!i) = None by simp
          with IH i(1) l-B-C have As!i  $\sqcup$  BCs!i = None using args-BC by
fastforce
            with i(1) l-BC-B l-B-C l-A-B have those (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) As BCs) = None
            using list-all-length those-some2 by fastforce
          }
        } then show ?thesis
          using l-B-C l-BC-B FunA FunB FunC BC gh None Some by auto
        qed simp
      }
    }
  }

```

and $l\text{-BCs}:\text{length } BCs = \text{length } Cs$ **and** $\text{args-BC}:\forall i < \text{length } Cs. Cs!i$
 $\sqcup \tau (\text{var-rule } \alpha ! i) = \text{Some } (BCs ! i)$
by (*metis* *FunB(1)* *join-sym* *RuleC(1)* *join-rule-fun* *subterm.premis(3)*)

with *None* *Some* *FunA* **show** *?thesis* **proof**(*cases match A (to-pterm*
(lhs α)))

case (*Some* σ)
with τ *None* **obtain** x **where** $x:x \in \text{vars-term } (lhs \ \alpha) \ \sigma \ x \sqcup \tau \ x =$
None

using *join-pterm-subst-None* **by** (*metis* *lhs-subst-trivial* *match-lhs-subst*
option.sel *set-vars-term-list* *vars-to-pterm*)
then obtain i **where** $i:i < \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha) \ \text{var-rule } \alpha ! i = x$
by (*metis* *RuleC(2)* *case-prodD* *in-set-idx* *left-lin* *left-linear-trs-def*
linear-term-var-vars-term-list *set-vars-term-list*)
have $\text{subt}:A \triangleright \sigma \ x$ **proof**–
obtain $g \ ts$ **where** $\text{lhs}:\text{lhs } \alpha = \text{Fun } g \ ts$
using *RuleC(2)* *no-var-lhs* **by** *fastforce*
from *Some* i **show** *?thesis*
unfolding lhs **by** (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *lhs* *match-matches*
set-vars-term-list *subst-image-subterm* *to-pterm.simps(2)* *vars-to-pterm* $x(1)$)
qed
have $\text{wf-}\tau\text{-}x:\tau \ x \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
using *FunB* $\tau \ i$ **by** (*metis* *match-well-def* *subterm.premis(2)*)
vars-to-pterm)

have $IH:\text{join-opt } (\sigma \ x) \ (\tau \ x \sqcup (Cs ! i)) = \text{join-opt } (Cs!i) \ (\sigma \ x \sqcup \tau \ x)$
using *subterm(1)* *RuleC(3,4)* i *wf-}\tau\text{-}x **by** (*metis* *Some* *match-well-def*
nth-mem *subt* *subterm.premis(1)* *vars-to-pterm*)
have $\tau \ x \sqcup (Cs ! i) = \text{Some } (BCs ! i)$
using $\text{args-BC } i$ **by** (*metis* *Residual-Join-Deletion*.*join-sym* *RuleC(3)*)*

with $IH \ x(2)$ **have** $(\sigma \ x) \sqcup (BCs ! i) = \text{None}$ **by** *simp*
then have $(\text{map2 } (\sqcup) \ (\text{map } \sigma \ (\text{var-rule } \alpha)) \ BCs) ! i = \text{None}$
using *l-BCs* i **by** (*simp* *add: RuleC(3)*)
then have $\text{those } (\text{map2 } (\sqcup) \ (\text{map } \sigma \ (\text{var-rule } \alpha)) \ BCs) = \text{None}$
using *l-BCs* i **by** (*metis* (*no-types*, *opaque-lifting*) *RuleC(3)* *length-map*
length-zip *min.idem* *not-Some-eq* *nth-mem* *those-not-none-x*)
then have $A \sqcup BC = \text{None}$
using *Some* i **unfolding** BC *FunA* *join.simps* **by** *simp*
then show *?thesis*
unfolding *None* $\langle B \sqcup C = \text{Some } BC \rangle$ **by** *auto*
qed *simp*
qed *simp*
qed *simp*

next
case (*Some* AB)
then have $fg:f = g$ **and** $l\text{-}A\text{-}B:\text{length } As = \text{length } Bs$
unfolding *FunA* *FunB* **by** (*meson* *join-fun-fun*)
from *Some* **obtain** ABs **where** $AB:AB = \text{Pfun } f \ ABs$ **and** $l\text{-}AB\text{-}A:\text{length}$
 $ABs = \text{length } As$

```

and args-AB:( $\forall i < \text{length } Bs. As!i \sqcup Bs!i = \text{Some } (ABs ! i)$ )
unfolding FunA FunB using join-fun-fun by force
from subterm(4) show ?thesis proof(cases C rule:wf-pterm.cases[case-names
VarC FunC RuleC])
  case (VarC x)
  show ?thesis unfolding Some AB unfolding FunA FunB VarC by simp
next
  case (FunC Cs h)
  show ?thesis proof(cases B  $\sqcup$  C)
    case None
    {assume gh:g = h and l-B-C:length Bs = length Cs
     {fix i assume i:i < length As
      with subterm(1) FunA FunB(2) FunC(2) args-AB l-A-B l-B-C
      have join-opt (As!i) ((Bs!i)  $\sqcup$  (Cs!i)) = join-opt (Cs!i) ((As!i)  $\sqcup$ 
(Bs!i))
      by (metis nth-mem supt.arg)
     } note IH=this
     from gh l-B-C None have those (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) Bs Cs) = None
     unfolding FunB FunC by (smt (verit) join.simps(2) option.case-eq-if
option.distinct(1))
     then obtain i where i:i < length (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) Bs Cs) (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) Bs
Cs)!i = None
     using those-not-none-xs list-all-length by blast
     with l-B-C have B-C-i:(Bs!i)  $\sqcup$  (Cs!i) = None by simp
     with IH i(1) l-A-B have Cs!i  $\sqcup$  ABs!i = None using args-AB by
fastforce
     with i(1) l-AB-A l-B-C l-A-B have those (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) Cs ABs) = None
     using list-all-length those-some2 by fastforce
     }
    then show ?thesis
    using l-A-B l-AB-A FunA FunB FunC AB fg None Some by auto
  next
  case (Some BC)
  then have gh:g = h and l-B-C:length Bs = length Cs
  unfolding FunB FunC by(meson join-fun-fun)+
  from Some obtain BCs where BC:BC = Pfun g BCs and l-BC-B:length
BCs = length Bs
  and args-BC:( $\forall i < \text{length } Cs. Bs!i \sqcup Cs!i = \text{Some } (BCs ! i)$ )
  unfolding FunB FunC using join-fun-fun by force
  {fix i assume i:i < length As
   with subterm(1) FunA FunB(2) FunC(2) args-AB l-A-B l-B-C
   have join-opt (As!i) ((Bs!i)  $\sqcup$  (Cs!i)) = (Cs!i)  $\sqcup$  (ABs!i)
   by (metis join-opt.simps(1) nth-mem supt.arg)
   with args-BC i l-A-B l-B-C have (As!i)  $\sqcup$  (BCs!i) = (Cs!i)  $\sqcup$  (ABs!i)
  }
by simp
  } note IH=this
  then have those (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) As BCs) = those (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) Cs ABs)
  by (smt (verit, del-insts) l-AB-A l-A-B l-BC-B l-B-C length-zip
map-equality-iff min-less-iff-conj nth-zip old.prod.case)

```

```

      then show ?thesis unfolding Some BC ⟨A ⊔ B = Some AB⟩ AB
unfolding gh FunA FunC fg join-opt.simps using l-BC-B l-AB-A l-A-B l-B-C by
simp
    qed
  next
    case (RuleC α Cs)
    from RuleC(2) have lin:linear-term (lhs α)
      using left-lin left-linear-trs-def by fastforce
    from RuleC(2) obtain f' ts where lhs:lhs α = Fun f' ts
      using no-var-lhs by fastforce
    consider match A (to-pterms (lhs α)) = None | match B (to-pterms (lhs
α)) = None
      | (matches) match A (to-pterms (lhs α)) ≠ None ∧ match B (to-pterms
(lhs α)) ≠ None by linarith
    then show ?thesis proof(cases)
      case 1
      then have match:match AB (to-pterms (lhs α)) = None
        using lin by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) Some join-pterms-linear-subst
match-complete' match-matches not-Some-eq)
      then have C ⊔ AB = None
        unfolding RuleC AB join.simps by simp
      moreover have join-opt A (B ⊔ C) = None proof-
        consider (∃ BCs. B ⊔ C = Some (Prule α BCs)) | B ⊔ C = None
          unfolding FunB RuleC join.simps by (metis (no-types, lifting)
option.case-eq-if)
      then show ?thesis using 1 FunA(1) by(cases) (force, simp)
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis using Some by simp
  next
    case 2
    then have match:match AB (to-pterms (lhs α)) = None
      using lin by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) Some join-pterms-linear-subst
match-complete' match-matches not-Some-eq)
    then have C ⊔ AB = None
      unfolding RuleC AB join.simps by simp
    moreover from 2 have B ⊔ C = None
      unfolding FunB RuleC join.simps by simp
    ultimately show ?thesis using Some by simp
  next
    case matches
    from matches obtain σ where sigma:match A (to-pterms (lhs α)) =
Some σ by force
    from matches obtain τ where tau:match B (to-pterms (lhs α)) = Some
τ by force
    from sigma tau obtain ρ where rho:(∀ x∈vars-term (to-pterms (lhs α)).
σ x ⊔ τ x = Some (ρ x))
      and AB-rho:AB = (to-pterms (lhs α)) · ρ and match-rho:match AB
(to-pterms (lhs α)) = Some ρ
      using join-pterms-subst-Some match-matches Some by blast

```



```

    {fix i assume i:i < length Cs
      with sigma RuleC(3) have (map σ (var-rule α))!i < A
      using lhs by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) lin linear-term-var-vars-term-list
match-matches nth-map nth-mem set-vars-term-list subst-image-subterm to-pterm.simps(2)
vars-to-pterm)
      moreover have (map σ (var-rule α))!i ∈ wf-pterm R
      using i match-well-def[OF subterm(2) sigma] RuleC(3) by (simp
add: vars-to-pterm)
      moreover have (map τ (var-rule α))!i ∈ wf-pterm R
      using i match-well-def[OF subterm(3) tau] RuleC(3) by (simp add:
vars-to-pterm)
      ultimately have join-opt (map σ (var-rule α) ! i) (map τ (var-rule
α) ! i ⊔ Cs!i) = Cs ! i ⊔ map ρ (var-rule α) ! i
      using subterm(1) RuleC(3,4) i by (smt (verit, best) join-opt.simps(1)
lin linear-term-var-vars-term-list nth-map nth-mem rho set-vars-term-list vars-to-pterm)

    }note IH=this
    show ?thesis proof(cases those (map2 (⊔) (map τ (var-rule α)) Cs))
      case None
        then obtain i where i:i < length Cs (map τ (var-rule α))!i ⊔ Cs!i =
None
          using those-not-none-xs by (smt (verit) length-map length-zip
list-all-length map-nth-eq-conv min-less-iff-conj nth-zip old.prod.case)
          with IH have Cs!i ⊔ map ρ (var-rule α) ! i = None by force
          with i RuleC(3) have i < length (map2 (⊔) Cs (map ρ (var-rule α)))
(map2 (⊔) Cs (map ρ (var-rule α))) ! i = None by simp-all
          then have those (map2 (⊔) Cs (map ρ (var-rule α))) = None
          by (metis nth-mem option.exhaust those-not-none-x)
          with None show ?thesis unfolding Some unfolding FunA FunB
RuleC join.simps tau[unfolded FunB] using AB match-rho by auto
        next
          case (Some BCs)
            then have BC:B ⊔ C = Some (Prule α BCs)
            unfolding FunB RuleC join.simps tau[unfolded FunB] by simp
            from Some have l-BCs:length BCs = length Cs
            using RuleC(3) length-those by fastforce
            {fix i assume i < length Cs
              with Some IH have (map σ (var-rule α)) ! i ⊔ BCs ! i = Cs ! i ⊔
(map ρ (var-rule α)) ! i
              using RuleC(3) those-some2 by fastforce
            }
            then have map2 (⊔) (map σ (var-rule α)) BCs = map2 (⊔) Cs (map
ρ (var-rule α))
              using l-BCs by (simp add: RuleC(3) map-eq-conv')
            then show ?thesis unfolding BC <A ⊔ B = Some AB> unfolding FunA
FunB RuleC join-opt.simps join.simps sigma[unfolded FunA] using AB match-rho
by auto
          qed
        qed

```

```

qed
qed
next
case (RuleB  $\alpha$  Bs)
from RuleB(2) have lin:linear-term (lhs  $\alpha$ )
  using left-lin left-linear-trs-def by fastforce
from RuleB(2) obtain f' ts where lhs:lhs  $\alpha$  = Fun f' ts
  using no-var-lhs by fastforce
show ?thesis proof(cases A  $\sqcup$  B)
  case None
  with subterm(4) RuleB show ?thesis proof(cases C rule:wf-pterm.cases[case-names
VarC FunC RuleC])
    case (VarC x)
    with subterm(4) RuleB FunA show ?thesis
      by (metis None join-sym join-opt.simps(2) join-var-rule)
    next
    case (FunC Cs h)
    from None show ?thesis proof(cases B  $\sqcup$  C)
      case (Some BC)
      obtain BCs  $\tau$  where  $\tau$ :match C (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ )) = Some  $\tau$  and
BC:BC = Prule  $\alpha$  BCs
      and l-BCs:length BCs = length Bs and args-BC: $\forall i < \text{length } Bs. Bs!i$ 
 $\sqcup \tau$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  ! i) = Some (BCs ! i)
      using join-rule-fun[OF Some[unfolded RuleB FunC] subterm(3)[unfolded
RuleB]] FunC(1) by blast
      with None Some FunA show ?thesis proof(cases match A (to-pterm
(lhs  $\alpha$ )))
        case (Some  $\sigma$ )
        from None obtain i where  $i:i < \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$  map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) (map
 $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ )) Bs ! i = None
        unfolding FunA RuleB join.simps Some[unfolded FunA] option.case
        by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) length-map length-zip list-all-length
min-less-iff-conj option.case-eq-if option.distinct(1) those-not-none-xs)
        let ?x=var-rule  $\alpha$  ! i
        have subt:A  $\triangleright \sigma$  ?x using lhs i Some
        by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) lin linear-term-var-vars-term-list match-matches
nth-mem set-vars-term-list subst-image-subterm to-pterm.simps(2) vars-to-pterm)

        have wf- $\tau$ -x: $\tau$  ?x  $\in$  wf-pterm R
        using subterm(4)  $\tau$  i(1) by (metis match-well-def vars-to-pterm)
        have IH:join-opt ( $\sigma$  ?x) (Bs ! i  $\sqcup \tau$  ?x) = join-opt ( $\tau$  ?x) ( $\sigma$  ?x  $\sqcup$  Bs
! i)
        using subterm(1) i wf- $\tau$ -x by (metis RuleB(3) RuleB(4) Some
match-well-def nth-mem subt subterm.premis(1) vars-to-pterm)
        have (Bs ! i  $\sqcup \tau$  ?x) = Some (BCs ! i)
        using args-BC i RuleB(3) by auto
        with IH i have ( $\sigma$  ?x)  $\sqcup$  (BCs ! i) = None
        by (simp add: RuleB(3))
        then have (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) (map  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ )) BCs) ! i = None

```

```

      using l-BCs i by (simp add: RuleB(3))
      then have those (map2 (⊔) (map σ (var-rule α)) BCs) = None
      using l-BCs i by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) RuleB(3) length-map
length-zip min.idem nth-mem option.exhaust those-not-none-x)
      then have A ⊔ BC = None
      using Some i unfolding BC FunA join.simps by simp
      then show ?thesis
      unfolding None ⟨B ⊔ C = Some BC⟩ by auto
    qed simp
  qed simp
next
case (RuleC β Cs)
from None show ?thesis proof(cases B ⊔ C)
  case (Some BC)
  then have αβ:α = β and l-B-C:length Bs = length Cs
  using join-rule-rule[OF Some[unfolded RuleB RuleC] subterm(3,4)[unfolded
RuleB RuleC]] by simp+
  from Some obtain BCs where BC:BC = Prule α BCs and l-BC-B:length
BCs = length Bs
  and args-BC:(∀ i < length Cs. Bs!i ⊔ Cs!i = Some (BCs ! i))
  using join-rule-rule[OF Some[unfolded RuleB RuleC] subterm(3,4)[unfolded
RuleB RuleC]] by force
  from Some FunA RuleB BC show ?thesis proof(cases match A (to-pter
(lhs α)))
    case (Some σ)
    from None obtain i where i:i < length (var-rule α) map2 (⊔) (map
σ (var-rule α)) Bs ! i = None
    unfolding FunA RuleB join.simps Some[unfolded FunA] option.case
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) length-map length-zip list-all-length
min-less-iff-conj option.case-eq-if option.distinct(1) those-not-none-xs)
    let ?x=var-rule α ! i
    have subt:A ▷ σ ?x using lhs i Some
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) lin linear-term-var-vars-term-list match-matches
nth-mem set-vars-term-list subst-image-subterm to-pter.simps(2) vars-to-pter)

    have IH:join-opt (σ ?x) (Bs ! i ⊔ Cs ! i) = join-opt (Cs ! i) (σ ?x ⊔
Bs ! i)
    using subterm(1) i RuleC by (metis RuleB(3) RuleB(4) Some αβ
match-well-def nth-mem subt subterm.prem(1) vars-to-pter)
    from IH i have (σ ?x) ⊔ (BCs ! i) = None
    using RuleB(3) args-BC l-B-C by auto
    then have (map2 (⊔) (map σ (var-rule α)) BCs) ! i = None
    using RuleB(3) i(1) l-BC-B by force
    then have those (map2 (⊔) (map σ (var-rule α)) BCs) = None
    by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) RuleB(3) i(1) l-BC-B length-map
length-zip min.idem not-Some-eq nth-mem those-not-none-x)
    then have A ⊔ BC = None
    using Some i unfolding BC FunA join.simps by simp
    then show ?thesis
  
```

```

      unfolding None ⟨B ⊔ C = Some BC⟩ by auto
    qed simp
  qed simp
qed
next
case (Some AB)
then obtain σ ABs where sigma:match A (to-pterms (lhs α)) = Some σ
  and AB:AB = Prule α ABs and l-ABs:length ABs = length Bs
  and args-AB:(∀ i < length Bs. σ (var-rule α ! i) ⊔ Bs ! i = Some (ABs ! i))
unfolding FunA RuleB using join-sym join-rule-fun subterm(2,3)[unfolded
FunA RuleB] RuleB(3) by (smt (verit, del-insts))
{fix i assume i: i < length Bs
  with sigma RuleB(3) have (map σ (var-rule α))!i < A
  using lhs by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) lin linear-term-var-vars-term-list
match-matches nth-map nth-mem set-vars-term-list subst-image-subterm to-pterms.simps(2)
vars-to-pterms)
}note A-sub=this
from subterm(4) show ?thesis proof(cases C rule:wf-pterms.cases[case-names
VarC FunC RuleC])
  case (VarC x)
  have match (Var x) (to-pterms (lhs α)) = None
  unfolding lhs to-pterms.simps using match-matches not-None-eq by
fastforce
  then show ?thesis
    unfolding Some unfolding RuleB VarC AB by simp
  next
  case (FunC Cs g)
  show ?thesis proof(cases match C (to-pterms (lhs α)))
    case None
    then have B ⊔ C = None
      unfolding RuleB FunC by simp
    moreover from None have AB ⊔ C = None
      unfolding AB FunC by simp
    ultimately show ?thesis
      unfolding Some by (simp add: join-sym)
  next
  case (Some τ)
  {fix i assume i: i < length Bs
    have (map σ (var-rule α))!i ∈ wf-pterms R
      using i match-well-def[OF subterm(2) sigma] RuleB(3) by (simp
add: vars-to-pterms)
    moreover have (map τ (var-rule α))!i ∈ wf-pterms R
      using i match-well-def[OF subterm(4) Some] RuleB(3) by (simp
add: vars-to-pterms)
    ultimately have join-opt (map σ (var-rule α) ! i) (Bs!i ⊔ map τ
(var-rule α) ! i) = ABs ! i ⊔ map τ (var-rule α) ! i
      using subterm(1) RuleB(3,4) i args-AB A-sub join-sym by fastforce
  }note IH=this
  show ?thesis proof(cases those (map2 (⊔) Bs (map τ (var-rule α))))

```

```

      case None
      then obtain i where i:i < length Bs Bs!i  $\sqcup$  (map  $\tau$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ ))!i =
None
      using those-not-none-xs by (smt (verit) length-map length-zip
list-all-length map-nth-eq-conv min-less-iff-conj nth-zip old.prod.case)
      with IH have map  $\tau$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ ) ! i  $\sqcup$  ABs ! i = None
      using join-sym by (metis join-opt.simps(2))
      with i RuleB(3) l-ABs have i < length (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) (map  $\tau$  (var-rule
 $\alpha$ )) ABs) (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) (map  $\tau$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ )) ABs) ! i = None by simp-all
      then have those (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) (map  $\tau$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ )) ABs) = None
      by (metis nth-mem option.exhaust those-not-none-x)
      with None show ?thesis
      unfolding  $\langle A \sqcup B = \text{Some } AB \rangle$  AB unfolding RuleB FunC
join-opt.simps join.simps Some[unfolded FunC] option.case None by simp
    next
      case (Some BCs)
      then have BC:B  $\sqcup$  C = Some (Prule  $\alpha$  BCs)
      unfolding RuleB FunC join.simps  $\langle \text{match } C \text{ (to-pterm (lhs } \alpha)) =$ 
Some  $\tau \rangle$ [unfolded FunC] by simp
      from Some have l-BCs:length BCs = length Bs
      using RuleB(3) length-those by fastforce
      {fix i assume i < length Bs
      with Some IH have (map  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ )) ! i  $\sqcup$  BCs!i = (map  $\tau$ 
(var-rule  $\alpha$ )) ! i  $\sqcup$  ABs ! i
      using RuleB(3) those-some2 join-sym by fastforce
      }
      then have map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) (map  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ )) BCs = map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) (map  $\tau$ 
(var-rule  $\alpha$ )) ABs
      using l-BCs l-ABs by (simp add: RuleB(3) map-eq-conv')
      then show ?thesis unfolding BC  $\langle A \sqcup B = \text{Some } AB \rangle$  AB unfolding
FunA RuleB FunC AB join-opt.simps join.simps sigma[unfolded FunA]
 $\langle \text{match } C \text{ (to-pterm (lhs } \alpha)) = \text{Some } \tau \rangle$ [unfolded FunC] option.case
by simp
    qed
  qed
next
  case (RuleC  $\beta$  Cs)
  show ?thesis proof(cases  $\alpha = \beta$ )
  case True
  with RuleB(3) RuleC(3) have l-Bs-Cs:length Bs = length Cs by simp
  {fix i assume i:i < length Bs
  have (map  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ ))!i  $\in$  wf-pterm R
  using i match-well-def[OF subterm(2) sigma] RuleB(3) by (simp
add: vars-to-pterm)
  then have join-opt (map  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ ) ! i) (Bs!i  $\sqcup$  Cs ! i) = Cs ! i
 $\sqcup$  ABs ! i
  using subterm(1) RuleB(3,4) RuleC(3,4) i args-AB A-sub True by
simp
  }note IH=this

```

```

show ?thesis proof(cases those (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) Bs Cs))
  case None
  then obtain i where i:i < length Bs Bs!i  $\sqcup$  Cs!i = None
    using those-not-none-xs by (smt (verit) length-map length-zip
list-all-length map-nth-eq-conv min-less-iff-conj nth-zip old.prod.case)
    with IH have Cs ! i  $\sqcup$  ABs ! i = None by force
    with i RuleB(3) l-ABs l-Bs-Cs have i < length (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) Cs ABs)
(map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) Cs ABs) ! i = None by simp-all
    then have those (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) Cs ABs) = None
      by (metis nth-mem option.exhaust those-not-none-x)
    with None show ?thesis unfolding  $\langle A \sqcup B = \text{Some } AB \rangle$  AB unfolding
RuleB RuleC by simp
  next
  case (Some BCs)
  then have BC:B  $\sqcup$  C = Some (Prule  $\alpha$  BCs)
    unfolding RuleB RuleC True by simp
  from Some have l-BCs:length BCs = length Bs
    using l-Bs-Cs length-those by fastforce
  {fix i assume i < length Bs
    with Some IH have (map  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ )) ! i  $\sqcup$  BCs!i = Cs ! i  $\sqcup$ 
ABs ! i
    using those-some2 l-Bs-Cs by fastforce
  }
  then have map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) (map  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ )) BCs = map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) Cs ABs
    using l-Bs-Cs RuleB(3) l-ABs l-BCs by (simp add: RuleC(3)
map-eq-conv')
  then show ?thesis
    unfolding BC  $\langle A \sqcup B = \text{Some } AB \rangle$  AB unfolding FunA RuleB
RuleC join-opt.simps join.simps sigma[unfolded FunA] unfolding True by simp
  qed
  next
  case False
  then show ?thesis
    unfolding  $\langle A \sqcup B = \text{Some } AB \rangle$  unfolding RuleB RuleC AB join.simps
by simp
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  next
  case (RuleA  $\alpha$  As)
  from RuleA(2) have lin:linear-term (lhs  $\alpha$ )
    using left-lin left-linear-trs-def by fastforce
  from RuleA(2) obtain f' ts where lhs:lhs  $\alpha$  = Fun f' ts
    using no-var-lhs by fastforce
  from subterm(3,2) show ?thesis proof(cases B rule:wf-pterm.cases[case-names
VarB FunB RuleB])
    case (VarB x)
    have match (Var x) (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ )) = None

```

```

    unfolding lhs using match-matches not-Some-eq by fastforce
  then show ?thesis unfolding RuleA VarB
    by (metis join-sym RuleA(2) join.simps(1) join.simps(9) join-opt.simps(1)
    join-opt.simps(2)
    left-lin-no-var-lhs.join-var-rule left-lin-no-var-lhs-axioms subterm.premis(3)
    wf-pterm.simps)
  next
    case (FunB Bs f)
    show ?thesis proof(cases A  $\sqcup$  B)
      case None
      with subterm(4) FunB show ?thesis proof(cases C rule:wf-pterm.cases[case-names
      VarC FunC RuleC])
        case (VarC x)
        with subterm(4) FunB RuleA None show ?thesis
          by auto
      next
        case (FunC Cs h)
        from None show ?thesis proof(cases B  $\sqcup$  C)
          case (Some BC)
          have fh:f = h and l-B-C:length Bs = length Cs
            using join-fun-fun[OF Some[unfolded FunB FunC]] by simp+
          obtain BCs where BC:BC = Pfun f BCs and l-BC-B:length BCs =
            length Bs
            and args-BC:( $\forall i < \text{length } Bs. Bs!i \sqcup Cs!i = \text{Some } (BCs ! i)$ )
            using join-fun-fun[OF Some[unfolded FunB FunC]] by blast
          show ?thesis proof(cases match B (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ )))
            case None
            then have  $\neg$  matches BC (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ ))
              using join-pterm-linear-subst  $\langle B \sqcup C = \text{Some } BC \rangle$  lin by (metis
            match-complete' matches-iff option.simps(3))
            then have A  $\sqcup$  BC = None unfolding RuleA BC
              by (smt (verit) join.simps(5) match-matches matches-iff not-Some-eq
            option.simps(4))
            then show ?thesis
              unfolding  $\langle A \sqcup B = \text{None} \rangle \langle B \sqcup C = \text{Some } BC \rangle$  by simp
          next
            case (Some  $\sigma$ )
            with None have those (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) As (map  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ ))) = None
              unfolding RuleA FunB using not-None-eq by fastforce
            then obtain i where i:i < length (var-rule  $\alpha$ ) map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) As (map  $\sigma$ 
            (var-rule  $\alpha$ )) ! i = None
              by (smt (verit, best) RuleA(3) length-map length-zip list-all-length
            min.idem those-not-none-xs)
            let ?x=var-rule  $\alpha$  ! i
            from i have none-at-i:As ! i  $\sqcup$   $\sigma$  ?x = None
              using RuleA(3) by simp
            show ?thesis proof(cases match C (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ )))
              case None
              then have  $\neg$  matches BC (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ ))

```

```

      using join-ptermlinear-subst ⟨B ⊔ C = Some BC⟩ lin by (metis
match-complete' matches-iff option.simps(3))
      then have A ⊔ BC = None unfolding RuleA BC
      by (smt (verit) join.simps(5) match-matches matches-iff not-Some-eq
option.simps(4))
      then show ?thesis
      unfolding ⟨A ⊔ B = None⟩ ⟨B ⊔ C = Some BC⟩ by simp
next
case (Some τ)
then obtain ρ where rho:(∀ x∈vars-term (to-ptermlhs α)). σ x ⊔
τ x = Some (ρ x)
and BC-rho:BC = (to-ptermlhs α) · ρ and match-rho:match BC
(to-ptermlhs α) = Some ρ
using join-ptermlinear-subst-Some match-matches ⟨match B (to-ptermlhs
α) = Some σ⟩ ⟨B ⊔ C = Some BC⟩ by blast
have σ ?x ∈ wf-ptermlhs R
using i(1) ⟨match B (to-ptermlhs α) = Some σ⟩ subterm(3) by
(metis match-well-def vars-to-ptermlhs)
moreover have τ ?x ∈ wf-ptermlhs R
using i(1) Some subterm(4) by (metis match-well-def vars-to-ptermlhs)

ultimately have IH:join-opt (As ! i) (σ ?x ⊔ τ ?x) = join-opt (τ
?x) (As ! i ⊔ σ ?x)
using subterm(1) i(1) RuleA(3) by (metis RuleA(1) RuleA(4)
nth-mem supt.arg)
then have (As ! i) ⊔ (ρ ?x) = None
using none-at-i rho by (metis i(1) join-opt.simps(1) join-opt.simps(2)
lin linear-term-var-vars-term-list nth-mem set-vars-term-list vars-to-ptermlhs)
then have (map2 (⊔) As (map ρ (var-rule α))) ! i = None
using RuleA(3) i(1) by auto
then have those (map2 (⊔) As (map ρ (var-rule α))) = None
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) RuleA(3) i(1) length-map
length-zip min.idem not-Some-eq nth-mem those-not-none-x)
then have A ⊔ BC = None
using BC RuleA(1) match-rho by force
then show ?thesis
unfolding ⟨A ⊔ B = None⟩ ⟨B ⊔ C = Some BC⟩ by simp
qed
qed
qed simp
next
case (RuleC β Cs)
from None show ?thesis proof(cases B ⊔ C)
case (Some BC)
obtain BCs τ where τ:match B (to-ptermlhs β) = Some τ and
BC:BC = Prule β BCs
and l-BCs:length BCs = length Cs and args-BC:∀ i < length Cs. τ
(var-rule β ! i) ⊔ Cs!i = Some (BCs ! i)
using join-rule-fun Some[unfolded RuleC FunB] subterm(4)[unfolded

```



```

RuleC] FunB(1) by (metis Residual-Join-Deletion.join-sym)
  show ?thesis proof(cases match B (to-ptermin (lhs  $\alpha$ )))
    case None
      with  $\langle A \sqcup B = \text{None} \rangle$  Some BC RuleA(1)  $\tau$  show ?thesis by fastforce
    next
      case (Some  $\sigma$ )
        from None obtain  $i$  where  $i:i < \text{length}(\text{var-rule } \alpha)$  map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) As
        (map  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ )) !  $i = \text{None}$ 
          unfolding FunB RuleA join.simps Some[unfolded FunB] option.case
          by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) length-map length-zip list-all-length
min-less-iff-conj option.case-eq-if option.distinct(1) those-not-none-xs)
          let  $?x = \text{var-rule } \alpha ! i$ 
          have  $\text{wf-}\sigma\text{-}x:\sigma ?x \in \text{wf-ptermin } R$ 
          using subterm(3) Some  $i(1)$  by (metis match-well-def vars-to-ptermin)

        from BC None  $\langle B \sqcup C = \text{Some } BC \rangle$  RuleA show ?thesis proof(cases
 $\alpha = \beta$ )
          case True
            then have  $\sigma = \tau$ 
            using Some  $\tau$  by auto
            have IH:join-opt (As !  $i$ ) ( $\tau ?x \sqcup Cs ! i$ ) = join-opt (Cs !  $i$ ) (As !  $i$ 
 $\sqcup \tau ?x$ )
              using subterm(1)  $i$  wf- $\sigma$ - $x$  args-BC by (metis RuleA(1) RuleA(3)
RuleA(4) RuleC(3) RuleC(4) True  $\langle \sigma = \tau \rangle$  nth-mem supt.arg)
              have  $\tau ?x \sqcup Cs ! i = \text{Some} (BCs ! i)$ 
              using args-BC  $i$  RuleC(3) True by force
              with IH  $i$  have (As !  $i$ )  $\sqcup (BCs ! i) = \text{None}$ 
              by (simp add: RuleA(3)  $\langle \sigma = \tau \rangle$ )
              then have (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) As BCs) !  $i = \text{None}$ 
              using l-BCs  $i$  by (simp add: RuleA(3) RuleC(3) True)
              then have those (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) As BCs) = None
              using l-BCs  $i$  those-not-none- $x$  by (metis RuleA(3) RuleC(3) True
length-map length-zip min.idem nth-mem option.exhaust)
              then have  $A \sqcup BC = \text{None}$ 
              by (simp add: BC RuleA(1))
              then show ?thesis
              unfolding None  $\langle B \sqcup C = \text{Some } BC \rangle$  by auto
            qed simp
          qed
        qed simp
      qed
    next
      case (Some AB)
        obtain  $\sigma$  ABs where  $\text{sigma:match } B (\text{to-ptermin} (\text{lhs } \alpha)) = \text{Some } \sigma$ 
        and  $AB:AB = \text{Prule } \alpha$  ABs and  $l\text{-ABs:length } ABs = \text{length } As$ 
        and  $\text{args-AB}:(\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \sqcup \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha ! i) = \text{Some} (ABs ! i))$ 
          using join-sym join-rule-fun[OF Some[unfolded FunB RuleA]] using
FunB(1) RuleA(1) subterm.prem(1) by blast
        from subterm(4) FunB(1) show ?thesis proof(cases C rule:wf-ptermin.cases[case-names

```

```

VarC FunC RuleC])
  case (VarC x)
  have match (Var x) (to-pterms (lhs α)) = None
  unfolding lhs using match-matches not-Some-eq by fastforce
  then show ?thesis unfolding Some unfolding RuleA FunB AB VarC
by simp
next
  case (FunC Cs g)
  show ?thesis proof(cases f = g ∧ length Bs = length Cs)
  case True
  show ?thesis proof(cases match C (to-pterms (lhs α)))
  case None
  then have *: C ⊔ AB = None
  unfolding AB FunC by simp
  with Some show ?thesis proof(cases B ⊔ C)
  case (Some BC)
  with None have match BC (to-pterms (lhs α)) = None
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) domD domIff join-pterms-linear-subst
lin match-complete' match-lhs-subst option.simps(3))
  moreover obtain BCs where BC = Pfun f BCs
  by (metis FunB(1) FunC(1) Some join-fun-fun)
  ultimately show ?thesis
  using * unfolding ⟨A ⊔ B = Some AB⟩ AB unfolding RuleA
Some unfolding FunC by (simp add: join-sym)
  qed simp
next
  case (Some τ)
  {fix i assume i: i < length As
  have (map σ (var-rule α)) ! i ∈ wf-pterms R
  using i match-well-def[OF subterm(3) sigma] RuleA(3) by (simp
add: vars-to-pterms)
  moreover have (map τ (var-rule α)) ! i ∈ wf-pterms R
  using i match-well-def[OF subterm(4) Some] RuleA(3) by (simp
add: vars-to-pterms)
  ultimately have join-opt (As ! i) ((map σ (var-rule α)) ! i) ⊔ (map
τ (var-rule α) ! i) = (map τ (var-rule α) ! i) ⊔ ABs ! i
  using subterm(1) RuleA(1,3,4) i args-AB True by (metis (no-types,
lifting) join-opt.simps(1) nth-map nth-mem supt.arg)
  }note IH=this
  show ?thesis proof(cases B ⊔ C)
  case None
  with sigma Some obtain x where x ∈ vars-term (lhs α) and σ x ⊔
τ x = None
  using join-pterms-subst-None by (metis lhs-subst-trivial match-lhs-subst
option.sel set-vars-term-list vars-to-pterms)
  then obtain i where i: i < length (var-rule α) (map σ (var-rule α)
! i) ⊔ (map τ (var-rule α) ! i) = None
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) in-set-idx lin linear-term-var-vars-term-list
nth-map set-vars-term-list)

```

```

    with IH have map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) (map  $\tau$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ )) ABs ! i = None
    using RuleA(3) l-ABs by fastforce
    with i(1) have those (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) (map  $\tau$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ )) ABs) = None
    using those-not-none-x by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) RuleA(3)
l-ABs length-map length-zip min.idem nth-mem option.exhaust)
    with Some show ?thesis unfolding  $\langle A \sqcup B = \text{Some } AB \rangle$  AB None
unfolding FunC by simp
    next
    case (Some BC)
    from sigma Some obtain  $\varrho$  where rho:( $\forall x \in \text{vars-term}$  (to-pterms (lhs
 $\alpha$ )).  $\sigma x \sqcup \tau x = \text{Some } (\varrho x)$ )
    and BC-rho:BC = (to-pterms (lhs  $\alpha$ ))  $\cdot \varrho$  and match-rho:match BC
(to-pterms (lhs  $\alpha$ )) = Some  $\varrho$ 
    using join-pterms-subst-Some match-matches  $\langle \text{match } C \text{ (to-pterms}
(\text{lhs } \alpha)) = \text{Some } \tau \rangle$  by blast
    {fix i assume i:i < length As
    with rho have (map  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ )) ! i  $\sqcup$  (map  $\tau$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ )) ! i
= Some ((map  $\varrho$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ )) ! i)
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) RuleA(3) lin linear-term-var-vars-term-list
nth-map nth-mem set-vars-term-list vars-to-pterms)
    with i IH have As ! i  $\sqcup$  (map  $\varrho$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ )) ! i = (map  $\tau$  (var-rule
 $\alpha$ )) ! i  $\sqcup$  ABs ! i
    by force
    }
    then have map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) As (map  $\varrho$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ )) = map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) (map  $\tau$ 
(var-rule  $\alpha$ )) ABs
    by (simp add: RuleA(3) l-ABs map-equality-iff)
    with match-rho  $\langle \text{match } C \text{ (to-pterms (lhs } \alpha)) = \text{Some } \tau \rangle$ 
    show ?thesis unfolding  $\langle A \sqcup B = \text{Some } AB \rangle$  AB Some
    unfolding RuleA BC-rho join-opt.simps to-pterms.simps FunC by
(simp add: lhs)
    qed
    qed
    next
    case False
    then consider (fg) f  $\neq$  g | (length) length Bs  $\neq$  length Cs by fastforce
    then show ?thesis proof(cases)
    case fg
    from sigma have f' = f
    unfolding FunB lhs to-pterms.simps using match-matches by fastforce

    with fg have match C (to-pterms (lhs  $\alpha$ )) = None
    unfolding lhs FunC using domIff match-matches by fastforce
    with fg show ?thesis unfolding  $\langle A \sqcup B = \text{Some } AB \rangle$  unfolding
RuleA FunB FunC AB by simp
    next
    case length
    from sigma have length ts = length Bs
    using FunB(1) lhs match-matches by fastforce

```

```

      then have match C (to-pterms (lhs α)) = None
        unfolding FunC lhs using length
      by (smt (verit, del-consts) eval-term.simps(2) length-map match-matches
option.exhaust term.inject(2) to-pterms.simps(2))
      with length show ?thesis unfolding ⟨A ⊔ B = Some AB⟩ unfolding
RuleA FunB FunC AB by simp
    qed
  qed
next
case (RuleC β Cs)
show ?thesis proof (cases α = β)
case True
  {fix i assume i:i < length As
   have (map σ (var-rule α))!i ∈ wf-pterm R
     using i match-well-def[OF subterm(3) sigma] RuleA(3) by (simp
add: vars-to-pterms)
   then have join-opt (As!i) ((map σ (var-rule α) ! i) ⊔ Cs ! i) = Cs ! i
⊔ ABs ! i
     using subterm(1) RuleA(1,3,4) RuleC i args-AB True by (metis
(no-types, lifting) join-opt.simps(1) nth-map nth-mem supt.arg)
   }note IH=this
  show ?thesis proof (cases those (map2 (⊔) (map σ (var-rule α)) Cs))
case None
  with sigma have BC:B ⊔ C = None
    unfolding FunB RuleC True by simp
  from None obtain i where i:i < length (map2 (⊔) (map σ (var-rule
α)) Cs) and (map2 (⊔) (map σ (var-rule α)) Cs) ! i = None
    using list-all-length those-not-none-xs by blast
  with IH have (map2 (⊔) Cs ABs)!i = None
    using RuleA(3) l-ABs RuleC(3) by fastforce
  with i(1) have those (map2 (⊔) Cs ABs) = None
    using l-ABs RuleA(3) RuleC(3) those-not-none-x unfolding True
by (metis length-map length-zip not-Some-eq nth-mem)
  then show ?thesis unfolding BC ⟨A ⊔ B = Some AB⟩ unfolding
AB RuleC True by simp
case Some BCs
  with sigma have BC:B ⊔ C = Some (Prule α BCs)
    unfolding FunB RuleC True by simp
  {fix i assume i:i < length As
   with Some have (map σ (var-rule α)) ! i ⊔ Cs ! i = Some (BCs ! i)
     using RuleA(3) RuleC(3) True those-some2 by fastforce
   with i IH have As ! i ⊔ BCs ! i = Cs ! i ⊔ ABs ! i
     by force
   }
  moreover have length Cs = length BCs
    using RuleC(3) Some True length-those by fastforce
  ultimately have map2 (⊔) As BCs = map2 (⊔) Cs ABs
    using RuleA(3) l-ABs map-equality-iff

```

```

      by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) RuleC(3) Some True length-map
length-those length-zip nth-zip old.prod.case)
    then show ?thesis unfolding ⟨A ⊔ B = Some AB⟩ BC AB unfolding
RuleA RuleC True by simp
    qed
  next
    case False
    show ?thesis proof(cases B ⊔ C)
      case None
      show ?thesis unfolding None ⟨A ⊔ B = Some AB⟩ unfolding AB
RuleC using False by simp
    next
      case (Some BC)
      then obtain BCs where BC = Prule β BCs
      unfolding RuleC FunB by (metis Residual-Join-Deletion.join-sym
RuleC(1) join-rule-fun subterm.prem(3))
      with False show ?thesis unfolding ⟨A ⊔ B = Some AB⟩ Some AB
unfolding RuleC RuleA by simp
    qed
  qed
  qed
  next
    case (RuleB β Bs)
    show ?thesis proof(cases A ⊔ B)
      case None
      then show ?thesis proof(cases α = β)
        case True
        then have l-As-Bs:length As = length Bs
        by (simp add: RuleA(3) RuleB(3))
        with None obtain i where i:i < length As As ! i ⊔ Bs ! i = None
        unfolding True RuleA RuleB unfolding join.simps by (smt (verit)
RuleB(3) length-map length-zip list-all-length
map-nth-eq-conv min-less-iff-conj nth-zip old.prod.case option.case-eq-if
option.distinct(1) those-not-none-xs)
        from subterm(4) show ?thesis proof(cases C rule:wf-pterm.cases[case-names
VarC FunC RuleC])
          case (VarC x)
          show ?thesis unfolding RuleA RuleB VarC
          by (metis None Residual-Join-Deletion.join-sym RuleA(1) RuleB(1)
RuleB(2) join-opt.simps(2) left-lin-no-var-lhs.join-var-rule left-lin-no-var-lhs-axioms)
        next
          case (FunC Cs f)
          from None show ?thesis proof(cases B ⊔ C)
            case (Some BC)
            obtain BCs τ where τ:match C (to-pterm (lhs β)) = Some τ and
BC:BC = Prule β BCs
            and l-BCs:length BCs = length Bs and args-BC:∀ i < length Bs. Bs !
i ⊔ τ (var-rule β ! i) = Some (BCs ! i)

```

```

      using join-rule-fun Some[unfolded RuleB FunC] subterm(3)[unfolded
RuleB] FunC(1) by metis
      let ?x=var-rule β ! i
      have IH:join-opt (As ! i) (Bs ! i ⊔ τ ?x) = join-opt (τ ?x) (As ! i ⊔
Bs ! i)
      by (metis RuleA(1) RuleA(3) RuleA(4) RuleB(3) RuleB(4) True τ i(1)
match-well-def nth-mem subterm.hyps subterm.prem(3) supt.arg vars-to-pterm)
      with i have join-opt (As ! i) (Bs ! i ⊔ τ ?x) = None
      by simp
      then have As ! i ⊔ BCs ! i = None
      using args-BC i(1) l-As-Bs by auto
      then have (map2 (⊔) As BCs) ! i = None
      using i(1) l-As-Bs l-BCs by force
      then have those (map2 (⊔) As BCs) = None
      by (metis i(1) l-As-Bs l-BCs length-map length-zip min.idem not-None-eq
nth-mem those-not-none-x)
      then show ?thesis
      using None RuleA(1) True BC Some by auto
      qed simp
    next
      case (RuleC γ Cs)
      from None show ?thesis proof(cases B ⊔ C)
        case (Some BC)
        then have β = γ
        unfolding RuleB RuleC by (metis join.simps(3) option.distinct(1))
        from Some obtain BCs where BC:BC = Prule β BCs and l-BCs:length
BCs = length Bs and
          args-BC:∀ i < length Bs. Bs ! i ⊔ Cs ! i = Some (BCs ! i)
          using RuleB(1) RuleC(1) join-rule-rule subterm.prem(2) sub-
term.prem(3) by blast
          have IH:join-opt (As ! i) (Bs ! i ⊔ Cs ! i) = join-opt (Cs ! i) (As ! i
⊔ Bs ! i)
          using subterm(1) by (metis RuleA(1) RuleA(3) RuleA(4) RuleB(4)
RuleC(3) RuleC(4) True ⟨β = γ⟩ i(1) l-As-Bs nth-mem supt.arg)
          then have As ! i ⊔ BCs ! i = None
          using args-BC i(1) l-As-Bs i(2) by fastforce
          then have (map2 (⊔) As BCs) ! i = None
          using i(1) l-As-Bs l-BCs by force
          then have those (map2 (⊔) As BCs) = None
          by (metis i(1) l-As-Bs l-BCs length-map length-zip min.idem not-None-eq
nth-mem those-not-none-x)
          then show ?thesis
          using None RuleA(1) True BC Some by auto
          qed simp
        qed
      next
      case False
      then show ?thesis proof(cases C)
        case (Var x)

```

```

      show ?thesis unfolding RuleA RuleB Var
        by (metis None Residual-Join-Deletion.join-sym RuleA(1) RuleB(1)
            RuleB(2) join-opt.simps(2) join-var-rule)
    next
      case (Pfun f Cs)
      show ?thesis unfolding RuleA RuleB Pfun
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) False RuleB(1) join.simps(3) join-opt.elims
            join-opt.simps(2) join-rule-fun subterm.prem(2))
    next
      case (Prule  $\gamma$  Cs)
      show ?thesis unfolding RuleA RuleB Prule
        by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) False Prule RuleA(1) RuleB(1)
            join-opt.elims join-rule-rule join-wf-pterm subterm.prem(1) subterm.prem(2) sub-
            term.prem(3))
    qed
  qed
next
case (Some AB)
then have alpha-beta: $\beta = \alpha$ 
  unfolding RuleA RuleB by (metis join.simps(3) option.distinct(1))
  with Some obtain ABs where AB:AB = Prule  $\alpha$  ABs and l-AB-A:length
  ABs = length As
  and args-AB:( $\forall i < \text{length } Bs. As!i \sqcup Bs!i = \text{Some } (ABs ! i)$ )
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) RuleA(1) RuleB(1) join-rule-rule subterm.prem(1)
      subterm.prem(2))
  from subterm(4) show ?thesis proof (cases C rule:wf-pterm.cases[case-names
  VarC FunC RuleC])
    case (VarC x)
    have match (Var x) (to-pterm (Fun f' ts)) = None
    by (metis case-optionE match-matches option.disc-eq-case(2) subst-apply-eq-Var
        term.distinct(1) to-pterm.simps(2))
    then show ?thesis unfolding Some AB unfolding RuleB VarC join.simps
        alpha-beta by (simp add: lhs)
  next
    case (FunC Cs f)
    show ?thesis proof (cases match C (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ )))
      case None
      then show ?thesis unfolding Some AB unfolding RuleB alpha-beta
        FunC by simp
    next
      case (Some  $\sigma$ )
      {fix i assume  $i < \text{length } As$ 
        have (map  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ ))!i  $\in$  wf-pterm R
          using i match-well-def[OF subterm(4) Some] RuleA(3) by (simp
            add: vars-to-pterm)
        with i have join-opt (As ! i) (Bs ! i  $\sqcup$  (map  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ ) ! i)) =
          map  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ ) ! i  $\sqcup$  ABs ! i
          using subterm(1) RuleA RuleB by (metis alpha-beta args-AB
            join-opt.simps(1) nth-mem supt.arg)
      }
  }

```

```

}note IH=this
show ?thesis proof(cases those (map2 (⊔) Bs (map σ (var-rule α))))
  case None
  from None obtain i where i:i < length (map2 (⊔) Bs (map σ (var-rule
α))) and (map2 (⊔) Bs (map σ (var-rule α))) ! i = None
    using list-all-length those-not-none-xs by blast
    with IH have (map2 (⊔) (map σ (var-rule α)) ABs)!i = None
      using RuleA(3) l-AB-A by fastforce
    with i(1) have those (map2 (⊔) (map σ (var-rule α)) ABs) = None
      using l-AB-A RuleA(3) those-not-none-x by (metis RuleB(3) alpha-beta
length-map length-zip nth-mem option.exhaust)
    with ⟨A ⊔ B = Some AB⟩ Some None show ?thesis unfolding AB
RuleB FunC alpha-beta by fastforce
  next
  case (Some BCs)
  then have BC:B ⊔ C = Some (Prule α BCs)
    unfolding RuleB FunC alpha-beta using ⟨match C (to-pterm (lhs
α)) = Some σ⟩ by (simp add: FunC(1))
  then have l-BCs:length BCs = length As
    using RuleA(3) RuleB(3) Some alpha-beta length-those by force
  {fix i assume i < length As
    then have As!i ⊔ BCs!i = (map σ (var-rule α)) ! i ⊔ ABs ! i
      using IH Some l-BCs length-those those-some2 by fastforce
    }
  then have map2 (⊔) As BCs = map2 (⊔) (map σ (var-rule α)) ABs
    by (simp add: RuleA(3) l-AB-A l-BCs map-equality-iff)
  then show ?thesis using ⟨match C (to-pterm (lhs α)) = Some σ⟩
    unfolding ⟨A ⊔ B = Some AB⟩ AB BC unfolding RuleA FunC
join-opt.simps join.simps by simp
  qed
  qed
  next
  case (RuleC γ Cs)
  show ?thesis proof(cases α = γ)
    case True
    {fix i assume i:i < length As
      then have join-opt (As ! i) (Bs ! i ⊔ Cs ! i) = Cs ! i ⊔ ABs ! i
        using subterm(1) RuleA RuleB RuleC True alpha-beta args-AB by
(metis join-opt.simps(1) nth-mem supt.arg)
      }note IH=this
    show ?thesis proof(cases those (map2 (⊔) Bs Cs))
      case None
      then obtain i where i:i < length (map2 (⊔) Bs Cs) (map2 (⊔) Bs
Cs)!i = None
        using list-all-length those-not-none-xs by blast
      with IH have map2 (⊔) Cs ABs ! i = None
        using RuleA(3) RuleC(3) True l-AB-A by fastforce
      with i(1) have those (map2 (⊔) Cs ABs) = None
        by (metis RuleA(3) RuleB(3) RuleC(3) True alpha-beta l-AB-A

```



```

length-map length-zip not-Some-eq nth-mem those-not-none-x)
  with None show ?thesis unfolding Some AB unfolding RuleC RuleB
True alpha-beta by simp
  next
  case (Some BCs)
  then have BC:B  $\sqcup$  C = Some (Prule  $\alpha$  BCs)
  by (simp add: RuleB(1) RuleC(1) True alpha-beta)
  {fix i assume i < length As
  with IH have As!i  $\sqcup$  BCs!i = Cs!i  $\sqcup$  ABs!i
  using RuleA(3) RuleB(3) RuleC(3) Some True alpha-beta those-some2
by fastforce
  }
  moreover have length BCs = length As
  using RuleA(3) RuleB(3) RuleC(3) Some True alpha-beta length-those
by force
  ultimately have those (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) As BCs) = those (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) Cs
ABs)
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) RuleA(3) RuleB(3) RuleC(3) Some
True alpha-beta l-AB-A length-map length-those length-zip map-equality-iff nth-zip
old.prod.case)
  then show ?thesis unfolding  $\langle A \sqcup B = \text{Some } AB \rangle$  AB BC unfolding
RuleA RuleC True alpha-beta by simp
  qed
  next
  case False
  then show ?thesis unfolding  $\langle A \sqcup B = \text{Some } AB \rangle$  AB unfolding
RuleA RuleB RuleC
  by (simp add: alpha-beta)
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
qed

```

Preparation for well-definedness result for \sqcup .

lemma *join-triple-defined*:

```

assumes A  $\in$  wf-pterm R B  $\in$  wf-pterm R C  $\in$  wf-pterm R
  and A  $\sqcup$  B  $\neq$  None B  $\sqcup$  C  $\neq$  None A  $\sqcup$  C  $\neq$  None
shows join-opt A (B  $\sqcup$  C)  $\neq$  None
using assms proof (induct A arbitrary: B C rule: subterm-induct)
  case (subterm A)
  from subterm(5) obtain AB where joinAB: A  $\sqcup$  B = Some AB by blast
  from subterm(6) obtain BC where joinBC: B  $\sqcup$  C = Some BC by blast
  from subterm(7) obtain AC where joinAC: A  $\sqcup$  C = Some AC by blast
  from subterm(2) show ?case proof (cases A rule: wf-pterm.cases[case-names
VarA FunA RuleA])
    case (VarA x)
    from subterm(3,5) show ?thesis proof (cases B rule: wf-pterm.cases[case-names

```

```

VarB FunB RuleB])
  case (VarB y)
  from subterm(5) have  $x:x = y$  unfolding VarA VarB by (meson join.simps(1))

  from subterm(4,6) show ?thesis proof (cases C rule:wf-pterm.cases[case-names
VarC FunC RuleC])
    case (VarC z)
    from subterm(6) show ?thesis unfolding VarA VarB x VarC
    by (metis join.simps(1) join-opt.simps(1))
  next
  case (RuleC  $\alpha$  Cs)
  from subterm(5-) show ?thesis unfolding VarA VarB RuleC x
  by (metis Residual-Join-Deletion.join-sym RuleC(1) VarA join-opt.elims
join-with-source option.sel source.simps(1) source-join subterm.premis(1) subterm.premis(3)
to-pterm.simps(1) x)
  qed (simp add: VarB)
next
  case (RuleB  $\alpha$  Bs)
  from subterm(2-) VarA no-var-lhs RuleB show ?thesis
  by (metis join-sym join-opt.elims join-wf-pterm join-with-source source.simps(1)
source-join to-pterm.simps(1))
  qed (simp add: VarA)
next
  case (FunA As f)
  from subterm(3,5) show ?thesis proof (cases B rule:wf-pterm.cases[case-names
VarB FunB RuleB])
    case (FunB Bs g)
    from subterm(5) have  $fg:f = g$  and  $l-A-B:\text{length } As = \text{length } Bs$ 
    unfolding FunA FunB by (meson join.simps(2))+
    obtain ABs where  $AB:AB = Pfun f ABs$  and  $l-AB-A:\text{length } ABs = \text{length }
As$ 
    and  $args-AB:(\forall i < \text{length } Bs. As!i \sqcup Bs!i = \text{Some } (ABs ! i))$ 
    using join-fun-fun[OF joinAB[unfolded FunA FunB]] by fastforce
  from subterm(4,6) show ?thesis proof (cases C rule:wf-pterm.cases[case-names
VarC FunC RuleC])
    case (FunC Cs h)
    from subterm(6) have  $gh:g = h$  and  $l-B-C:\text{length } Bs = \text{length } Cs$ 
    unfolding FunB FunC by (meson join.simps(2))+
    from subterm(7) have  $fh:f = h$  and  $l-A-C:\text{length } As = \text{length } Cs$ 
    unfolding FunA FunC by (meson join.simps(2))+
    obtain BCs where  $BC:BC = Pfun g BCs$  and  $l-BC-B:\text{length } BCs = \text{length }
Bs$ 
    and  $args-BC:(\forall i < \text{length } BCs. Bs!i \sqcup Cs!i = \text{Some } (BCs ! i))$ 
    using join-fun-fun[OF joinBC[unfolded FunB FunC]] by fastforce
    obtain ACs where  $AC:AC = Pfun h ACs$  and  $l-AC-C:\text{length } ACs = \text{length }
Cs$ 
    and  $args-AC:(\forall i < \text{length } ACs. As!i \sqcup Cs!i = \text{Some } (ACs ! i))$ 
    using join-fun-fun[OF joinAC[unfolded FunA FunC]] by fastforce
  have those (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) As BCs)  $\neq$  None proof -

```

```

    {fix i assume i:i < length (zip As BCs)
     from FunA FunB FunC i have join-opt (As!i) ((Bs!i)  $\sqcup$  (Cs!i))  $\neq$  None
      using subterm(1) l-A-B l-B-C l-AC-C by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold)
args-AB args-AC args-BC length-zip min-less-iff-conj nth-mem option.distinct(1)
supt.arg)
      then have (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) As BCs)!i  $\neq$  None
        using i args-BC by simp
    }
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: list-all-length those-not-none-xs)
qed
then show ?thesis
  unfolding joinBC BC unfolding FunA fg gh join-opt.simps
  by (simp add: l-A-B l-BC-B option.case-eq-if)
next
case (RuleC  $\alpha$  Cs)
  from joinBC subterm(4) obtain  $\sigma$  BCs where match-lhs-B:match B
(to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ )) = Some  $\sigma$ 
  and BC:BC = Prule  $\alpha$  BCs and l-BC-C:length BCs = length Cs
  and args-BC:( $\forall i < \text{length } Cs. Cs ! i \sqcup \sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha ! i$ ) = Some (BCs ! i))
  unfolding FunB RuleC using join-rule-fun RuleC(1,2,3) join-sym by
metis
  from joinAC subterm(4) obtain  $\tau$  ACs where match-lhs-A:match A
(to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ )) = Some  $\tau$ 
  and AC:AC = Prule  $\alpha$  ACs and l-AC-C:length ACs = length Cs
  and args-AC:( $\forall i < \text{length } Cs. Cs ! i \sqcup \tau$  (var-rule  $\alpha ! i$ ) = Some (ACs ! i))
  unfolding FunA RuleC using join-rule-fun RuleC(3) join-sym by metis
  have those (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) (map  $\tau$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ )) BCs)  $\neq$  None proof–
  {fix i assume i:i < length (zip (map  $\tau$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ )) BCs)
   from i obtain x where x:var-rule  $\alpha ! i = x$   $x \in \text{vars-term}$  (to-pterm
(lhs  $\alpha$ ))
   by (metis (no-types, lifting) comp-apply length-map length-zip
min-less-iff-conj nth-mem set-remdups set-rev set-vars-term-list vars-to-pterm)
   have  $\tau$  (var-rule  $\alpha ! i$ )  $\triangleleft$  A proof–
   from RuleC(2) no-var-lhs obtain f' ts where lhs  $\alpha = \text{Fun } f' \text{ ts}$  by
fastforce
   with x show ?thesis
   using subst-image-subterm[of x] match-lhs-A unfolding FunA
   by (smt (verit) match-matches to-pterm.simps(2))
  }
  qed
  moreover have  $\tau$  (var-rule  $\alpha ! i$ )  $\in$  wf-pterm R
    using i match-well-def[OF subterm(2) match-lhs-A] by (simp add:
vars-to-pterm)
  moreover have  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha ! i$ )  $\in$  wf-pterm R
    using i match-well-def[OF subterm(3) match-lhs-B] by (simp add:
vars-to-pterm)
  moreover have  $\tau$  (var-rule  $\alpha ! i$ )  $\sqcup$   $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha ! i$ )  $\neq$  None
    using join-pterm-subst-Some x match-lhs-B match-lhs-A match-matches
subterm.premis(4) x by blast

```

```

    moreover have  $\tau$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  !  $i$ )  $\sqcup$  (Cs! $i$ )  $\neq$  None
      using args-AC by (metis join-sym RuleC(3)  $i$  length-map length-zip
min-less-iff-conj option.distinct(1))
    moreover have  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  !  $i$ )  $\sqcup$  (Cs! $i$ )  $\neq$  None
      using args-BC by (metis join-sym RuleC(3)  $i$  length-map length-zip
min-less-iff-conj option.distinct(1))
    ultimately have IH:join-opt ( $\tau$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  !  $i$ )) ( $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  !  $i$ )  $\sqcup$ 
(Cs! $i$ ))  $\neq$  None
      using RuleC(3,4) subterm(1)  $i$  by simp
      from IH have ( $\tau$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  !  $i$ )  $\sqcup$  (BCs! $i$ ))  $\neq$  None
        using  $i$  args-BC l-BC-C join-sym by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting)
join-opt.simps(1) length-zip min-less-iff-conj)
        then have (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) (map  $\tau$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ )) BCs!) $i$   $\neq$  None
          unfolding nth-map[OF  $i$ ] using  $i$  by auto
        }
      then show ?thesis by (simp add: list-all-length those-not-none-xs)
    qed
  with match-lhs-A show ?thesis
    unfolding joinBC BC FunA unfolding fg join-opt.simps join.simps(7)
  by force
  qed (simp add:FunB)
  next
  case (RuleB  $\alpha$  Bs)
  from joinAB have *:Prule  $\alpha$  Bs  $\sqcup$  Pfun  $f$  As = Some AB unfolding FunA
RuleB using join-sym by metis
  obtain  $\sigma$  ABs where match-lhs-A:match A (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ )) = Some  $\sigma$ 
    and AB:AB = Prule  $\alpha$  ABs and l-A-AB:length ABs = length Bs
    and args-AB:( $\forall i < \text{length } Bs. Bs ! i \sqcup \sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  !  $i$ ) = Some (ABs !  $i$ ))
  unfolding FunA RuleB using join-rule-fun[OF * subterm(3)] [unfolded FunA
RuleB] RuleB(3) by fastforce
  from subterm(4,7) show ?thesis proof (cases C rule:wf-pterm.cases [case-names
VarC FunC RuleC])
    case (FunC Cs  $g$ )
    from joinBC have *:Prule  $\alpha$  Bs  $\sqcup$  Pfun  $g$  Cs = Some BC unfolding FunC
RuleB by metis
    from subterm(3) obtain  $\tau$  BCs where match-lhs-C:match C (to-pterm (lhs
 $\alpha$ )) = Some  $\tau$ 
      and BC:BC = Prule  $\alpha$  BCs and l-BC-B:length BCs = length Bs
      and args-BC:( $\forall i < \text{length } Bs. Bs ! i \sqcup \tau$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  !  $i$ ) = Some (BCs !  $i$ ))
    unfolding FunC RuleB using join-rule-fun[OF joinBC] [unfolded FunC
RuleB] RuleB(3) by fastforce
    have those (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) (map  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ )) BCs)  $\neq$  None proof –
      {fix  $i$  assume  $i : i < \text{length } (zip (map \tau (var-rule \alpha)) BCs)$ 
        from  $i$  obtain  $x$  where  $x : \text{var-rule } \alpha ! i = x \ x \in \text{vars-term } (to-pterm$ 
(lhs  $\alpha$ ))
          by (metis (no-types, lifting) comp-apply length-map length-zip
min-less-iff-conj nth-mem set-remdups set-rev set-vars-term-list vars-to-pterm)
        have  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  !  $i$ )  $\triangleleft$  A proof –
          from RuleB(2) no-var-lhs obtain  $f'$  ts where lhs  $\alpha$  = Fun  $f'$  ts by

```

```

fastforce
  with x show ?thesis
    using subst-image-subterm[of x] match-lhs-A unfolding FunA
    by (smt (verit) match-matches to-pterm.simps(2))
  qed
  moreover have  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  ! i)  $\in$  wf-pterm R
    using i match-well-def[OF subterm(2) match-lhs-A] by (simp add:
vars-to-pterm)
  moreover have  $\tau$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  ! i)  $\in$  wf-pterm R
    using i match-well-def[OF subterm(4) match-lhs-C] by (simp add:
vars-to-pterm)
  moreover have  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  ! i)  $\sqcup$   $\tau$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  ! i)  $\neq$  None
    using join-pterm-subst-Some x match-lhs-C match-lhs-A match-matches
subterm.premis(6) x by blast
  moreover have (Bs!i)  $\sqcup$   $\tau$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  ! i)  $\neq$  None
    using args-BC i by (metis RuleB(3) i length-map length-zip min-less-iff-conj
option.distinct(1))
  moreover have  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  ! i)  $\sqcup$  (Bs!i)  $\neq$  None
    using args-AB by (metis join-sym RuleB(3) i length-map length-zip
min-less-iff-conj option.distinct(1))
  moreover have  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  ! i)  $\sqcup$   $\tau$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  ! i)  $\neq$  None
    using join-pterm-subst-Some x match-lhs-C match-lhs-A match-matches
subterm.premis(6) x by blast
  ultimately have IH:join-opt ( $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  ! i)) ((Bs!i)  $\sqcup$   $\tau$  (var-rule
 $\alpha$  ! i))  $\neq$  None
    using RuleB(3,4) subterm(1) i by simp
  then have (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) (map  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ )) BCs)!i  $\neq$  None
    using i args-BC l-BC-B unfolding nth-map[OF i] using i by auto
  }
  then show ?thesis by (simp add: list-all-length those-not-none-xs)
  qed
  with match-lhs-A show ?thesis
    unfolding joinBC BC FunA unfolding join-opt.simps join.simps(7) by
force
  next
  case (RuleC  $\beta$  Cs)
  obtain BCs where  $\alpha$ : $\alpha = \beta$  and l-B-C:length Bs = length Cs
    and BC:BC = Prule  $\alpha$  BCs and l-BC-B:length BCs = length Bs and
args-BC:( $\forall i < \text{length } Bs. Bs ! i \sqcup Cs ! i = \text{Some } (BCs ! i)$ )
    using join-rule-rule joinBC subterm(3,4) unfolding RuleB(1) RuleC(1)
  by blast
  from joinAC match-lhs-A have args-AC: $\forall i < \text{length } Cs. Cs ! i \sqcup \sigma$  (var-rule
 $\alpha$  ! i)  $\neq$  None
    using join-rule-fun by (metis (no-types, lifting) FunA(1) Residual-Join-Deletion.join-sym
RuleC(1)  $\alpha$  option.distinct(1) option.inject subterm.premis(3))
  have those (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) (map  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ )) BCs)  $\neq$  None proof–
    {fix i assume  $i:i < \text{length } (\text{zip } (\text{map } \sigma \text{ (var-rule } \alpha)) \text{ BCs})$ 
      from i obtain x where  $x$ :var-rule  $\alpha$  ! i = x  $x \in$  vars-term (to-pterm
(lhs  $\alpha$ ))

```

```

      by (metis (no-types, lifting) comp-apply length-map length-zip
min-less-iff-conj nth-mem set-remdups set-rev set-vars-term-list vars-to-pterm)
      have  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  !  $i$ )  $\triangleleft$   $A$  proof–
        from RuleB(2) no-var-lhs obtain  $f'$   $ts$  where  $lhs$   $\alpha = Fun$   $f'$   $ts$  by
fastforce
      with  $x$  show ?thesis
      using subst-image-subterm[of  $x$ ] match-lhs-A unfolding  $FunA$ 
      by (smt (verit) match-matches to-pterm.simps(2))
    qed
    moreover have  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  !  $i$ )  $\in$  wf-pterm  $R$ 
      using  $i$  match-well-def[OF subterm(2) match-lhs-A] by (simp add:
vars-to-pterm)
    moreover have  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  !  $i$ )  $\sqcup$  ( $Bs!$  $i$ )  $\neq$  None
      using args- $AB$  by (metis join-sym RuleB(3)  $i$  length-map length-zip
min-less-iff-conj option.distinct(1))
    moreover have ( $Bs!$  $i$ )  $\sqcup$  ( $Cs!$  $i$ )  $\neq$  None
      using args- $BC$   $i$  by (simp add: l-BC-B)
    moreover have  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  !  $i$ )  $\sqcup$  ( $Cs!$  $i$ )  $\neq$  None
      using args- $AC$  by (metis join-sym RuleC(3)  $\alpha$   $i$  length-map length-zip
min-less-iff-conj)
    ultimately have IH:join-opt ( $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  !  $i$ )) (( $Bs!$  $i$ )  $\sqcup$  ( $Cs!$  $i$ ))  $\neq$ 
None
      using RuleB(3,4) RuleC(3,4) subterm(1)  $i$  l-B-C by auto
      then have (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) (map  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ ))  $BCs!$  $i$ )  $\neq$  None
      using  $i$  args- $BC$  l-BC-B unfolding nth-map[OF  $i$ ] using  $i$  by auto
    }
  then show ?thesis by (simp add: list-all-length those-not-none-xs)
qed
with match-lhs-A show ?thesis
  unfolding joinBC BC FunA unfolding join-opt.simps join.simps(7) by
force
  qed (simp add: FunA)
  qed (simp add: FunA)
next
  case (RuleA  $\alpha$   $As$ )
  from subterm(3,5) show ?thesis proof(cases  $B$  rule:wf-pterm.cases[case-names
VarB FunB RuleB])
    case (VarB  $x$ )
    from subterm(2–) show ?thesis
    by (metis join-sym VarB joinBC join-opt.simps(1) join-with-source source.simps(1)
source-join to-pterm.simps(1))
  next
    case (FunB  $Bs$   $f$ )
    from subterm(2) obtain  $\sigma$   $ABs$  where match-lhs-B:match  $B$  (to-pterm ( $lhs$ 
 $\alpha$ )) = Some  $\sigma$ 
      and  $AB:AB = Prule$   $\alpha$   $ABs$  and l-A-AB:length  $ABs = length$   $As$ 
      and args- $AB:(\forall i < length$   $As. As$  !  $i \sqcup \sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  !  $i$ ) = Some ( $ABs$  !  $i$ ))
      unfolding RuleA FunB using join-rule-fun[OF joinAB[unfolded RuleA
FunB]] RuleA(1,3) by fastforce

```

```

from subterm(4,6) show ?thesis proof(cases C rule:wf-pterm.cases[case-names
VarC FunC RuleC])
  case (FunC Cs g)
    from subterm(2) obtain  $\tau$  ACs where match-lhs-C:match C (to-pterm (lhs
 $\alpha$ )) = Some  $\tau$ 
      and AC:AC = Prule  $\alpha$  ACs and l-A-AC:length ACs = length As
      and args-AC:( $\forall$  i < length As. As ! i  $\sqcup$   $\tau$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  ! i) = Some (ACs ! i))
      unfolding RuleA FunC using join-rule-fun[OF joinAC[unfolded RuleA
FunC]] RuleA(1,3) by fastforce
      from joinBC obtain  $\varrho$  where  $\forall x \in \text{vars-term}$  (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ )).  $\sigma$   $x \sqcup \tau$   $x$ 
= Some ( $\varrho$   $x$ ) and BC = to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ )  $\cdot$   $\varrho$ 
      using join-pterm-subst-Some[of to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ )] match-lhs-C match-lhs-B
by (smt (verit) match-matches)
      then obtain BCs where args-BC:( $\forall$  i < length As.  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  ! i)  $\sqcup$   $\tau$ 
(var-rule  $\alpha$  ! i) = Some (BCs ! i))
      and BC:BC = (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ ))  $\cdot$   $\langle$ BCs $\rangle_{\alpha}$  and l-A-BC:length As = length
BCs
      using subst-imp-mk-subst[of BC to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ )] RuleA(3)
      by (smt (verit, del-insts) comp-apply nth-mem set-remdups set-rev
set-vars-term-list vars-to-pterm)
      from RuleA(2) no-var-lhs obtain f' ts where lhs:lhs  $\alpha$  = Fun f' ts by
fastforce
      {fix i assume i: i < length As
      from i obtain  $x$  where x:var-rule  $\alpha$  ! i =  $x$   $x \in \text{vars-term}$  (to-pterm (lhs
 $\alpha$ ))
      by (metis RuleA(3) comp-apply nth-mem set-remdups set-rev set-vars-term-list
vars-to-pterm)
      have  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  ! i)  $\in$  wf-pterm R
      using RuleA(3) i match-well-def[OF subterm(3) match-lhs-B] by (simp
add: vars-to-pterm)
      moreover have  $\tau$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  ! i)  $\in$  wf-pterm R
      using RuleA(3) i match-well-def[OF subterm(4) match-lhs-C] by (simp
add: vars-to-pterm)
      moreover have As!i  $\sqcup$   $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  ! i)  $\neq$  None
      using args-AB i by auto
      moreover have As!i  $\sqcup$   $\tau$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  ! i)  $\neq$  None
      using args-AC i by auto
      moreover have  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  ! i)  $\sqcup$   $\tau$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  ! i)  $\neq$  None
      using args-BC i by auto
      ultimately have IH:join-opt (As!i) ( $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  ! i)  $\sqcup$   $\tau$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  !
i))  $\neq$  None
      using RuleA(3,4) subterm(1) i by (metis RuleA(1) nth-mem supt.arg)
      then have As!i  $\sqcup$  BCs!i  $\neq$  None
      using i args-BC by auto
      }
    with subterm(2) show ?thesis
    unfolding joinBC BC RuleA(1) unfolding join-opt.simps using join-rule-lhs
l-A-BC by auto
  next

```

```

case (RuleC  $\beta$  Cs)
  from joinBC subterm(4) obtain  $\tau$  BCs where match-lhs-B2:match B
  (to-pterms (lhs  $\beta$ )) = Some  $\tau$ 
  and BC:BC = Prule  $\beta$  BCs and l-BC-C:length BCs = length Cs
  and args-BC:( $\forall i < \text{length } Cs. Cs ! i \sqcup \tau$  (var-rule  $\beta ! i$ ) = Some (BCs ! i))
  unfolding FunB RuleC using join-rule-fun RuleC(1,2,3) join-sym by
metis
from joinAC have  $\alpha:\alpha = \beta$  and l-A-C:length As = length Cs
  unfolding RuleA RuleC by(metis join.simps(3) option.distinct(1))+
have those (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) As BCs)  $\neq$  None proof–
  { fix  $i$  assume  $i:i < \text{length } (zip \text{ As } \text{ BCs})$ 
    moreover have  $\tau$  (var-rule  $\beta ! i$ )  $\in$  wf-pterms R
    using  $i$  match-well-def[OF subterm(3) match-lhs-B2] by (simp add:
RuleA(3)  $\alpha$  vars-to-pterms)
    moreover have As! $i \sqcup \tau$  (var-rule  $\beta ! i$ )  $\neq$  None
    using join-pterms-subst-Some match-lhs-B subterm(5)  $\alpha$  args-AB  $i$ 
match-lhs-B2 by auto
    moreover have (As! $i$ )  $\sqcup$  (Cs! $i$ )  $\neq$  None
    using joinAC RuleA(1) RuleC(1)  $i$  join-rule-rule subterm.prem(1)
subterm.prem(3) by fastforce
    moreover have  $\tau$  (var-rule  $\beta ! i$ )  $\sqcup$  (Cs! $i$ )  $\neq$  None
    using args-BC  $i$  by (simp add: join-sym l-A-C)
    ultimately have IH:join-opt (As! $i$ ) ( $\tau$  (var-rule  $\beta ! i$ )  $\sqcup$  (Cs! $i$ ))  $\neq$  None

    using RuleA(1,3,4) RuleC(3,4) subterm(1)  $i$   $\alpha$  by simp
    from IH have (As! $i$ )  $\sqcup$  (BCs! $i$ )  $\neq$  None
    using  $i$  args-BC by (simp add: join-sym l-BC-C)
    then have (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) As BCs)! $i \neq$  None
    unfolding nth-map[OF  $i$ ] using  $i$  by auto
  }
then show ?thesis by (simp add: list-all-length those-not-none-xs)
qed
then show ?thesis
  unfolding joinBC BC RuleA  $\alpha$  unfolding join-opt.simps join.simps(7)
by force
  qed (simp add:FunB)
next
case (RuleB  $\beta$  Bs)
from joinAB have  $\alpha:\alpha = \beta$  and l-A-B:length As = length Bs
  unfolding RuleA RuleB by(metis join.simps(3) option.distinct(1))+
obtain ABs where AB:AB = Prule  $\alpha$  ABs and l-AB-B:length ABs = length
Bs
  and args-AB:( $\forall i < \text{length } ABs. As ! i \sqcup Bs ! i = \text{Some } (ABs ! i)$ )
  using join-rule-rule[OF joinAB[unfolded RuleA RuleB]] subterm(2,3)
RuleA(1) RuleB(1) by metis
  from subterm(4,6) show ?thesis proof(cases C rule:wf-pterms.cases[case-names
VarC FunC RuleC])
  case (VarC  $x$ )
  from joinBC RuleB(2) no-var-lhs show ?thesis unfolding VarC RuleB

```


by (*metis Residual-Join-Deletion.join-sym RuleB(1) VarC join-opt.simps(1)*
join-with-source source.simps(1) source-join subterm.prem(2) subterm.prem(3)
subterm.prem(4) to-pterm.simps(1))

next

case (*FunC Cs f*)

from *subterm(3)* **obtain** σ *BCs* **where** *match-lhs-C:match C (to-pterm*
(lhs β)) = Some σ

and *BC:BC = Prule β BCs* **and** *l-BC-C:length BCs = length Bs*

and *args-BC:($\forall i < \text{length } Bs. Bs ! i \sqcup \sigma$ (var-rule $\beta ! i$) = Some (BCs ! i))*

unfolding *RuleB* **using** *join-rule-fun[OF joinBC[unfolded RuleB FunC]]*
RuleB(1,2,3) **by** (*metis FunC(1)*)

have *those (map2 (\sqcup) As BCs) \neq None* **proof**–

{fix *i* **assume** *i:i < length (zip As BCs)*

have σ (var-rule $\beta ! i$) \in *wf-pterm R*

using *i match-well-def[OF subterm(4) match-lhs-C]* **by** (*simp add:*
RuleA(3) $\alpha\beta$ vars-to-pterm)

moreover **have** *As!i \sqcup σ (var-rule $\beta ! i$) \neq None*

using *match-lhs-C joinAC $\alpha\beta$ args-AB i* **unfolding** *RuleA(1) FunC*

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) RuleA(1) join-rule-fun length- zip*
min-less-iff-conj option.distinct(1) option.sel subterm.prem(1))

ultimately **have** *IH:join-opt (As!i) ((Bs!i) \sqcup (σ (var-rule $\beta ! i$))) \neq*
None

using *RuleA(1,3,4) subterm(1) i args-AB args-BC*

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) RuleB(4) l-AB-B l-A-B length- zip*
min-less-iff-conj nth-mem option.distinct(1) supt.arg)

from *IH* **have** *(As!i) \sqcup (BCs!i) \neq None*

using *i args-BC* **by** (*simp add: join-sym l-BC-C*)

then **have** *(map2 (\sqcup) As BCs)!i \neq None*

unfolding *nth-map[OF i]* **using** *i* **by** *auto*

}

then **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: list-all-length those-not-none-xs*)

qed

then **show** *?thesis*

unfolding *joinBC BC RuleA $\alpha\beta$* **unfolding** *join-opt.simps join.simps(7)*

by *force*

next

case (*RuleC γ Cs*)

from *joinBC* **have** $\beta\gamma:\beta = \gamma$ **and** *l-B-C:length Bs = length Cs*

using *RuleB RuleC join-rule-rule* **by** *blast+*

obtain *BCs* **where** *BC:BC = Prule β BCs* **and** *l-BC-B:length BCs =*
length Bs

and *args-BC:($\forall i < \text{length } BCs. Bs!i \sqcup Cs!i = \text{Some } (BCs ! i)$)*

using *join-rule-rule[OF joinBC[unfolded RuleB RuleC]]* *subterm(3,4)*
RuleB(1) RuleC(1) **by** *metis*

obtain *ACs* **where** *AC:AC = Prule α ACs* **and** *l-AC-C:length ACs =*
length Cs

and *args-AC:($\forall i < \text{length } ACs. As!i \sqcup Cs!i = \text{Some } (ACs ! i)$)*

using *join-rule-rule[OF joinAC[unfolded RuleA RuleC]]* *subterm(2,4)*
RuleA(1) RuleC(1) **by** *metis*

```

have those (map2 (⊔) As BCs) ≠ None proof–
  {fix i assume i:i < length (zip As BCs)
   from RuleA(1,4) RuleB(1,4) RuleC(1,4) i have join-opt (As!i) ((Bs!i)
⊔ (Cs!i)) ≠ None
   using subterm(1) l-A-B l-B-C l-AC-C l-AB-B args-AB args-AC args-BC
   by (smt (verit) length-zip min-less-iff-conj nth-mem option.distinct(1)
supt.arg)
   then have (map2 (⊔) As BCs)!i ≠ None
   using i args-BC by simp
  }
then show ?thesis
  by (simp add: list-all-length those-not-none-xs)
qed
then show ?thesis
  unfolding joinBC BC unfolding RuleA αβ join-opt.simps by (simp add:
option.case-eq-if)
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma join-list-defined:

```

assumes ∀ a1 a2. a1 ∈ set As ∧ a2 ∈ set As → a1 ⊔ a2 ≠ None
  and ∀ a ∈ set As. a ∈ wf-pterm R and As ≠ []
shows ∃ D. join-list As = Some D ∧ D ∈ wf-pterm R
using assms proof(induct length As arbitrary:As rule:full-nat-induct)
case 1
then show ?case proof(cases As rule:list.exhaust[case-names empty As])
  case (As A1 As')
  with 1 show ?thesis proof(cases As' rule:list.exhaust[case-names empty As'])
    case (As' A2 As'')
    have A1-wf:A1 ∈ wf-pterm R and A2-wf:A2 ∈ wf-pterm R
    using 1(3) unfolding As As' by auto
    from As' 1(2) obtain A12 where A12:A1 ⊔ A2 = Some A12
    unfolding As by fastforce
    with A1-wf A2-wf have A12-wf:A12 ∈ wf-pterm R
    by (simp add: join-wf-pterm)
    show ?thesis proof(cases As'' = [])
      case True
      show ?thesis
      unfolding As As' True join-list.simps using A12 A12-wf by simp
    next
    case False
    from 1 obtain D' where D':join-list As'' = Some D' D' ∈ wf-pterm R
    unfolding As As' by (metis False Suc-le-length-iff impossible-Cons
list.set-intros(2) nat-le-linear)
    from 1(2) have ∀ a1 a2. a1 ∈ set (A2 # As'') ∧ a2 ∈ set (A2 # As'')
    → a1 ⊔ a2 ≠ None
    unfolding As As' by force
  
```

moreover have $Suc (length (A2 \# As'')) \leq length As$
unfolding $As As'$ **by** *simp*
moreover have $(\forall a \in set (A2 \# As'')). a \in wf\text{-}pterm R$
using $1(3)$ **unfolding** $As As'$ **by** *simp*
moreover have $A2 \# As'' \neq []$ **by** *simp*
ultimately obtain D **where** $join\text{-}list (A2 \# As'') = Some D$ **and** $D\text{-}wf:D$
 $\in wf\text{-}pterm R$
using $1(1)$ **by** *blast*
then have $D:A2 \sqcup D' = Some D$
using $D' False$ **using** *join-list.elims* **by** *force*
moreover have $A1 \sqcup D' \neq None$ **proof** –
from $1(2)$ **have** $\forall a1 a2. a1 \in set (A1 \# As'') \wedge a2 \in set (A1 \# As'')$
 $\rightarrow a1 \sqcup a2 \neq None$
unfolding $As As'$ **by** *force*
moreover have $Suc (length (A1 \# As'')) \leq length As$
unfolding $As As'$ **by** *simp*
moreover have $(\forall a \in set (A1 \# As'')). a \in wf\text{-}pterm R$
using $1(3)$ **unfolding** $As As'$ **by** *simp*
moreover have $A1 \# As'' \neq []$ **by** *simp*
ultimately have $join\text{-}list (A1 \# As'') \neq None$
using $1(1)$ **by** *(metis option.simps(3))*
with D' **show** *?thesis*
by *(metis False join-list.simps(3) join-opt.simps(1) list.exhaust)*
qed
moreover have $A1 \sqcup A2 \neq None$
using $1(2)$ **unfolding** $As As'$ **by** *simp*
ultimately have $join\text{-}opt A1 (A2 \sqcup D') \neq None$
using *join-triple-defined* $D' A1\text{-}wf A2\text{-}wf$ **unfolding** *join-list.simps* **by**
blast
moreover have $join\text{-}list As = join\text{-}opt A1 (A2 \sqcup D')$
unfolding $As As'$ **using** $False$ **by** *(metis D'(1) join-list.simps(3)*
join-opt.simps(1) neq-Nil-conv)
ultimately show *?thesis*
unfolding D *join-opt.simps* **using** $D\text{-}wf A1\text{-}wf$ *join-wf-pterm* **by** *fastforce*
qed
qed *simp*
qed *simp*
qed

lemma *join-list-wf-pterm*:

assumes $\forall a \in set As. a \in wf\text{-}pterm R$
and $join\text{-}list As = Some B$
shows $B \in wf\text{-}pterm R$
using *assms* **proof** *(induct As arbitrary:B)*
case *(Cons A As)*
then show *?case* **proof** *(cases As = [])*
case *True*
from $Cons(2,3)$ **show** *?thesis* **unfolding** *join-list.simps* *True* **by** *simp*
next

```

case False
with Cons(3) obtain B' where B':join-list As = Some B'
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) join-list.elims join-opt.elims list.inject)
with Cons have B' ∈ wf-pterm R
  by simp
then show ?thesis using B' Cons
  by (metis False join-list.simps(3) join-opt.simps(1) join-wf-pterm list.set-intros(1))
neq-Nil-conv
qed
qed simp

lemma source-join-list:
  assumes join-list As = Some B and  $\forall a \in \text{set } As. a \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  shows  $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{set } As \implies \text{source } A = \text{source } B$ 
proof -
  fix Ai assume Ai ∈ set As
  then show co-initial Ai B using assms proof(induct As arbitrary: B)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by (simp add: source-join)
next
  case (Cons A As)
  show ?case proof(cases As = [])
  case True
  from Cons show ?thesis unfolding True
  by (simp add: source-join)
next
  case False
  have wf:A ∈ wf-pterm R  $\forall a \in \text{set } As. a \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  using Cons(4) by simp-all
  from Cons(2,3) obtain B' where B':join-list As = Some B' join-list (A#As)
  = join-opt A (Some B')
  by (metis False join-list.simps(3) join-opt.simps(2) list.exhaust option.exhaust)
  show ?thesis proof(cases Ai = A)
  case True
  show ?thesis unfolding True
  using B' Cons(3) False source-join wf by (metis join-list-wf-pterm)
  join-opt.simps(1)
next
  case False
  then have Ai ∈ set As
  using Cons(2) by simp
  with Cons(1) B'(1) wf(2) have co-initial Ai B'
  by simp
  moreover from B'(1) wf have B' ∈ wf-pterm R
  using join-list-wf-pterm by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis
  by (metis B'(2) Cons.prem(2) Residual-Join-Deletion.join-sym join-opt.simps(1))
  local.wf(1) source-join
qed

```

```

    qed
  qed
qed
end

```

3.3 Deletion

fun *deletion* :: (*f*, *'v*) *pterm* ⇒ (*f*,*'v*) *pterm* ⇒ (*f*,*'v*) *pterm option* (**infixr** $-_p$ 70)

```

where
  Var x  $-_p$  Var y =
    (if x = y then Some (Var x) else None)
| Pfun f As  $-_p$  Pfun g Bs =
  (if (f = g ∧ length As = length Bs) then
    (case those (map2 ( $-_p$ ) As Bs) of
      Some xs ⇒ Some (Pfun f xs)
    | None ⇒ None)
  else None)
| Prule α As  $-_p$  Prule β Bs =
  (if α = β then
    (case those (map2 ( $-_p$ ) As Bs) of
      Some xs ⇒ Some ((to-pterm (lhs α)) · ⟨xs⟩α)
    | None ⇒ None)
  else None)
| Prule α As  $-_p$  B =
  (case match B (to-pterm (lhs α)) of
    None ⇒ None
  | Some σ ⇒
    (case those (map2 ( $-_p$ ) As (map σ (var-rule α))) of
      Some xs ⇒ Some (Prule α xs)
    | None ⇒ None))
| A  $-_p$  B = None

```

lemma *del-empty*:

```

assumes A ∈ wf-pterm R
shows A  $-_p$  (to-pterm (source A)) = Some A
using assms proof (induction A)
case (2 As f)
then have those (map2 deletion As (map (to-pterm ∘ source) As)) = Some As
by (simp add:those-some)
then show ?case by simp
next
case (3 α As)
then have σ: match (to-pterm (lhs α · ⟨map source As⟩α)) (to-pterm (lhs α)) =
Some (⟨map (to-pterm ∘ source) As⟩α)
by (metis (no-types, lifting) fun-mk-subst lhs-subst-trivial map-map to-pterm.simps(1)
to-pterm-subst)
from 3 have those (map2 deletion As (map (to-pterm ∘ source) As)) = Some

```

```

As
  by (simp add:those-some)
  then have args:those (map2 deletion As (map ((map (to-pterms ∘ source) As) α)
(var-rule α))) = Some As
  by (metis 3.hyps(2) apply-lhs-subst-var-rule length-map)
  show ?case proof(cases source (Prule α As))
  case (Var x)
  then show ?thesis
  using σ residual.simps(4)[of α As x] args by auto
next
case (Fun f ts)
then show ?thesis
using σ residual.simps(5)[of α As f] args by auto
qed
qed simp

context no-var-lhs
begin
lemma deletion-source:
assumes A ∈ wf-pterm R B ∈ wf-pterm R
  and A p B = Some C
shows source C = source A
using assms proof(induct A arbitrary:B C)
case (1 x)
then show ?case proof (cases B)
case (1 y)
then show ?thesis
by (metis 1.prem(2) deletion.simps(1) option.distinct(1) option.inject)
next
case (3 α As)
with 1 no-var-lhs show ?thesis
by simp
qed simp
next
case (2 As f)
then show ?case proof(cases B)
case (Pfun g Bs)
from 2(3) have f:f = g
  unfolding Pfun by (metis deletion.simps(2) not-None-eq)
from 2(3) have l:length As = length Bs
  unfolding Pfun by (metis deletion.simps(2) not-None-eq)
from 2(3) obtain Cs where cs:those (map2 (p) As Bs) = Some Cs
  unfolding Pfun f using l by fastforce
with 2(3) have c:C = Pfun g Cs
  unfolding Pfun by (simp add: f l)
from cs l have l-cs:length Cs = length As
  using length-those by force
{fix i assume i:i < length As
with 2(2) have Bs!i ∈ wf-pterm R

```

```

    by (metis Pfun fun-well-arg l nth-mem)
  moreover from 2(3) i cs have As!i  $-_p$  Bs!i = Some (Cs!i)
    using l those-some2 by fastforce
  ultimately have source (Cs!i) = source (As!i)
    using 2(1) using i nth-mem by blast
}
then show ?thesis unfolding c f
  using l-cs by (simp add: map-nth-eq-conv)
qed simp-all
next
case (3  $\alpha$  As)
from 3(1) no-var-lhs obtain f ts where f:lhs  $\alpha$  = Fun f ts
  by blast
then show ?case proof(cases B)
  case (Var x)
  have match (Var x) (to-pterms (lhs  $\alpha$ )) = None
    unfolding f by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) Term.term.simps(4) match-matches
not-Some-eq source.simps(1) source-to-pterms subst-apply-eq-Var)
  with 3(5) show ?thesis
    unfolding Var using f deletion.simps(4) by simp
next
case (Pfun g Bs)
from 3(5) obtain  $\sigma$  where sigma:match B (to-pterms (lhs  $\alpha$ )) = Some  $\sigma$ 
  unfolding Pfun using deletion.simps(5) by fastforce
with 3(5) obtain Cs where cs:those (map2  $-_p$ ) As (map  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ ))) =
Some Cs
  unfolding Pfun by fastforce
with 3(5) have c:C = Prule  $\alpha$  Cs
  using sigma unfolding Pfun by simp
from cs 3(2) have l-cs:length Cs = length As
  using length-those by force
{fix x assume x  $\in$  vars-term (lhs  $\alpha$ )
  then obtain i where i:i < length (var-rule  $\alpha$ ) var-rule  $\alpha$  !i = x
    by (metis in-set-conv-nth set-vars-term-list vars-term-list-vars-distinct)
  then have  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  !i)  $\in$  wf-pterms R
    using match-well-def[OF 3(4) sigma] by (metis vars-to-pterms)
  moreover from i cs have As!i  $-_p$   $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  !i) = Some (Cs!i)
    using those-some2 3.hyps(2) by fastforce
  ultimately have source (Cs!i) = source (As!i)
    using 3(3) using i nth-mem 3.hyps(2) by force
  then have source ((As) $_\alpha$  x) = source ((Cs) $_\alpha$  x) using i
    by (metis 3.hyps(2) l-cs lhs-subst-var-i)
}
```

then show ?thesis unfolding c using l-cs 3(2) unfolding source.simps
by (smt (verit, best) apply-lhs-subst-var-rule comp-def in-set-conv-nth length-map
nth-map set-remdups set-rev set-vars-term-list term-subst-eq-conv)

```

next
case (Prule  $\beta$  Bs)
from 3(5) have alpha: $\alpha$  =  $\beta$ 
```

```

  unfolding Prule by (metis deletion.simps(3) option.distinct(1))
with 3 have l:length As = length Bs
  unfolding Prule using wf-pterm.cases by force
from 3(5) obtain Cs where cs:those (map2 (-p) As Bs) = Some Cs
  unfolding Prule alpha by fastforce
with 3(5) have c:C = to-pterm (lhs alpha) · ⟨Cs⟩α
  unfolding Prule alpha by simp
from cs l have l-cs:length Cs = length As
  using length-those by force
{fix i assume i:i < length As
  with 3(4) have Bs!i ∈ wf-pterm R
    unfolding Prule by (metis fun-well-arg l nth-mem)
  moreover from i cs have As!i -p Bs!i = Some (Cs!i)
    using l those-some2 by fastforce
  ultimately have source (Cs!i) = source (As!i)
    using 3(3) using i nth-mem by blast
}
then show ?thesis
  unfolding c using l-cs unfolding source.simps using source-apply-subst
by (metis fun-mk-subst nth-map-conv source.simps(1) source-to-pterm to-pterm-wf-pterm)
qed
qed
end

```

3.4 Computations With Single Redexes

When a proof term contains only a single rule symbol, we say it is a **single redex*.

definition *ll-single-redex* :: ('f, 'v) term ⇒ pos ⇒ ('f, 'v) prule ⇒ ('f, 'v) pterm
where *ll-single-redex* s p α = (ctxt-of-pos-term p (to-pterm s))⟨Prule α (map (to-pterm ∘ (λpi. s|-(p@pi))) (var-poss-list (lhs α)))⟩

The *ll* in *ll-single-redex* stands for **left-linear*, since this definition only makes sense for left-linear rules.

lemma *source-single-redex*:

assumes p ∈ poss s
shows source (ll-single-redex s p α) = (ctxt-of-pos-term p s)⟨(lhs α) · ⟨map (λpi. s|-(p@pi)) (var-poss-list (lhs α))⟩⟩_α

proof –

have source (Prule α (map (to-pterm ∘ (λpi. s|-(p@pi))) (var-poss-list (lhs α))))
= (lhs α) · ⟨map (λpi. s|-(p@pi)) (var-poss-list (lhs α))⟩_α

unfolding source.simps **using** map-nth-eq-conv **by** fastforce

with assms **show** ?thesis

unfolding ll-single-redex-def **by** (metis context-source source-to-pterm to-pterm-ctxt-of-pos-apply-term)

qed

lemma *target-single-redex*:

assumes $p \in \text{poss } s$
shows $\text{target } (\text{ll-single-redex } s \ p \ \alpha) = (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ s) \langle (\text{rhs } \alpha) \cdot \langle \text{map } (\lambda pi. s \mid -(p @ pi)) \ (\text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \rangle \rangle_\alpha$
proof –
have $\text{target } (\text{Prule } \alpha \ (\text{map } (\text{to-pterm} \circ (\lambda pi. s \mid -(p @ pi))) \ (\text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \alpha)))) = (\text{rhs } \alpha) \cdot \langle \text{map } (\lambda pi. s \mid -(p @ pi)) \ (\text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \rangle_\alpha$
unfolding target.simps **by** $(\text{metis } (\text{no-types, lifting}) \ \text{fun-mk-subst} \ \text{map-map} \ \text{target-empty-apply-subst} \ \text{target-to-pterm} \ \text{to-pterm.simps}(1) \ \text{to-pterm-empty} \ \text{to-pterm-subst})$
with assms **show** $?thesis$
unfolding $\text{ll-single-redex-def}$ **using** $\text{target-to-pterm-ctxt} \ \text{to-pterm-ctxt-at-pos}$
by metis
qed

lemma $\text{single-redex-rstep}$:

assumes $\text{to-rule } \alpha \in R \ p \in \text{poss } s$
shows $(\text{source } (\text{ll-single-redex } s \ p \ \alpha), \text{target } (\text{ll-single-redex } s \ p \ \alpha)) \in \text{rstep } R$
using $\text{source-single-redex} \ \text{target-single-redex} \ \text{assms}$ **by** blast

lemma single-redex-neq :

assumes $(\alpha, p) \neq (\beta, q) \ p \in \text{poss } s \ q \in \text{poss } s$
shows $\text{ll-single-redex } s \ p \ \alpha \neq \text{ll-single-redex } s \ q \ \beta$
proof –
from $\text{assms}(1)$ **consider** $\alpha \neq \beta \wedge p = q \mid p \neq q$
by fastforce
then show $?thesis$ **proof**(cases)
case 1
then have $\text{Prule } \alpha \ (\text{map } (\text{to-pterm} \circ (\lambda pi. s \mid -(p @ pi))) \ (\text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \alpha))) \neq \text{Prule } \beta \ (\text{map } (\text{to-pterm} \circ (\lambda pi. s \mid -(p @ pi))) \ (\text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \alpha)))$
by simp
with 1 **show** $?thesis$
using $\text{assms}(2,3)$ **unfolding** $\text{ll-single-redex-def}$ **by** simp
next
case 2
show $?thesis$ **proof**($\text{cases } p \in \text{poss } (\text{ll-single-redex } s \ q \ \beta)$)
case True
from 2 **consider** $(qp) \ q <_p \ p \mid (par) \ q \perp p \mid (pq) \ p <_p \ q$
using pos-cases **by** force
then show $?thesis$ **proof**(cases)
case qp
then obtain $i \ r$ **where** $r:q@(i\#r) = p$
using less-pos-def' **by** $(\text{metis } \text{neq-Nil-conv})$
with $\langle p \in \text{poss } (\text{ll-single-redex } s \ q \ \beta) \rangle$ **have** $i\#r \in \text{poss } (\text{Prule } \beta \ (\text{map } (\text{to-pterm} \circ (\lambda pi. s \mid -(q @ pi))) \ (\text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \beta))))$
unfolding $\text{ll-single-redex-def}$ **using** $\text{assms}(3)$ **by** $(\text{metis } \text{hole-pos-ctxt-of-pos-term} \ \text{hole-pos-poss-conv} \ \text{poss-list-sound} \ \text{poss-list-to-pterm})$
then have $i:i < \text{length } (\text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \beta))$ **and** $r \in \text{poss } (\text{map } (\text{to-pterm} \circ (\lambda pi. s \mid -(q @ pi))) \ (\text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \beta)))!i$
by auto
then have $r \in \text{poss } (\text{to-pterm } (s \mid -(q @ (\text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \beta)!i))))$

```

    by simp
    then obtain s' where (Prule β (map (to-ptermin ∘ (λpi. s |- (q @ pi)))
    (var-poss-list (lhs β))))|-(i#r) = to-ptermin s'
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) comp-apply ctxt-supt-id i nth-map poss-list-sound
    poss-list-to-ptermin subt-at.simps(2) subt-at-hole-pos to-ptermin-ctxt-of-pos-apply-term)

    then have (Prule β (map (to-ptermin ∘ (λpi. s |- (q @ pi))) (var-poss-list
    (lhs β))))|-(i#r) ≠ Prule α (map (to-ptermin ∘ (λpi. s |- (p @ pi))) (var-poss-list
    (lhs α)))
    using to-ptermin.elims by auto
    then show ?thesis using r assms(2,3) unfolding ll-single-redex-def
    by (smt (verit, del-insts) hole-pos-ctxt-of-pos-term hole-pos-poss p-in-poss-to-ptermin
    replace-at-subt-at subt-at-append)
  next
  case par
  then have ll-single-redex s q β |- p = to-ptermin s |-p
    using True unfolding ll-single-redex-def
    by (simp add: assms(2,3) p-in-poss-to-ptermin parallel-replace-at-subt-at)
  then show ?thesis
    using assms(2,3) unfolding ll-single-redex-def
    by (metis ctxt-supt-id hole-pos-ctxt-of-pos-term is-empty-step.simps(3)
    p-in-poss-to-ptermin subt-at-hole-pos to-ptermin-ctxt-of-pos-apply-term to-ptermin-empty)
  next
  case pq
  then obtain r where r:q = p@r r ≠ []
    using less-pos-def' by blast
    then have *:ll-single-redex s q β |- p = (ctxt-of-pos-term r (to-ptermin
    (s|-p)))⟨Prule β (map (to-ptermin ∘ (λpi. s |- (q @ pi))) (var-poss-list (lhs β)))⟩
    using True unfolding ll-single-redex-def r by (metis (no-types, lift-
    ing) assms(2) ctxt-apply-subt-at ctxt-supt-id p-in-poss-to-ptermin replace-at-subt-at
    to-ptermin-ctxt-of-pos-apply-term)
    from r(2) assms(3) obtain f ts i r' where f:s|-p = Fun f ts and r':r =
    i#r'
    unfolding r by (metis args-poss neq-Nil-conv poss-append-poss)
    have ll-single-redex s q β |- p ≠ Prule α (map (to-ptermin ∘ (λpi. s |- (p @
    pi))) (var-poss-list (lhs α)))
    unfolding * unfolding ll-single-redex-def f to-ptermin.simps r' ctxt-of-pos-term.simps
    intp-actxt.simps by simp
    then show ?thesis
      using assms(2) unfolding ll-single-redex-def
      by (metis p-in-poss-to-ptermin replace-at-subt-at)
  qed
next
case False
then show ?thesis unfolding ll-single-redex-def using assms(2)
  by (metis hole-pos-ctxt-of-pos-term hole-pos-poss p-in-poss-to-ptermin)
qed
qed
qed

```

```

context left-lin-wf-trs
begin
lemma rstep-exists-single-redex:
  assumes (s, t) ∈ rstep R
  shows ∃ A p α. A = (ll-single-redex s p α) ∧ source A = s ∧ target A = t ∧
  to-rule α ∈ R ∧ p ∈ poss s
proof –
  from assms obtain C σ l r where lr:(l, r) ∈ R and s:s = C⟨l · σ⟩ and t: t =
  C⟨r · σ⟩
  by blast
  from s obtain p where p:p ∈ poss s and C:C = ctxt-of-pos-term p s
  using hole-pos-poss by fastforce
  let ?subst=(map (λpi. s |- (p @ pi)) (var-poss-list l))⟨l →r⟩
  {fix x assume x ∈ vars-term l
  then obtain i where i:i < length (vars-term-list l) vars-term-list l ! i = x
  by (metis in-set-idx set-vars-term-list)
  with left-lin lr have var-l:vars-distinct l ! i = x
  using linear-term-var-vars-term-list left-linear-trs-def by fastforce
  let ?p=var-poss-list l ! i
  from i have l |- ?p = Var x using vars-term-list-var-poss-list by auto
  moreover have l · σ = s|-p using s C p replace-at-subt-at by fastforce
  ultimately have left:σ x = (s |-p) |- ?p
  by (metis eval-term.simps(1) i(1) length-var-poss-list nth-mem subt-at-subst
  var-poss-imp-poss var-poss-list-sound)
  from i have map (λpi. s |- (p @ pi)) (var-poss-list l) !i = (s |-p) |- ?p
  by (simp add: length-var-poss-list p)
  with left var-l have σ x = ?subst x unfolding mk-subst-def prule.sel
  by (smt (verit, best) case-prodE comp-apply distinct-rev i(1) left-lin left-linear-trs-def
  length-map length-var-poss-list linear-term-var-vars-term-list lr mk-subst-def mk-subst-distinct
  prod.sel(1) remdups-id-iff-distinct rev-rev-ident)
  }note subst=this
  then have (ctxt-of-pos-term p s)⟨l · ⟨map (λpi. s |- (p @ pi)) (var-poss-list
  l)⟩⟨l→r⟩⟩ = C⟨l · σ⟩
  using C by (simp add: eval-same-vars)
  then have source (ll-single-redex s p (Rule l r)) = s
  using source-single-redex[OF p] s by auto
  moreover have target (ll-single-redex s p (l → r)) = t
  using subst varcond lr target-single-redex[OF p] eval-same-vars-cong unfolding
  t C
  by (smt (verit) case-prodD prule.sel(1) prule.sel(2) vars-term-subset-subst-eq)
  ultimately show ?thesis using lr p by fastforce
qed
end

lemma single-redex-wf-pterm:
  assumes to-rule α ∈ R and lin:linear-term (lhs α)
  and p:p ∈ poss s
  shows ll-single-redex s p α ∈ wf-pterm R

```

proof–
from lin **have** $l: length (map (to-ptermin \circ (\lambda pi. s \mid- (p @ pi))) (var-poss-list (lhs \alpha))) = length (var-rule \alpha)$
using $length-var-poss-list$ $linear-term-var-vars-term-list$ **by** $fastforce$
have $Prule \alpha (map (to-ptermin \circ (\lambda pi. s \mid- (p @ pi))) (var-poss-list (lhs \alpha))) \in wf-ptermin R$
using $wf-ptermin.intros(3)[OF assms(1) l]$ $to-ptermin-wf-ptermin$ **by** $force$
then show $?thesis$ **unfolding** $ll-single-redex-def$
using $ctxt-wf-ptermin p to-ptermin-wf-ptermin$ **by** $(metis p-in-poss-to-ptermin)$
qed

Interaction of a single redex Δ , contained in A with the proof term A .

locale $single-redex = left-lin-no-var-lhs +$
fixes $A \Delta p q \alpha$
assumes $a-well: A \in wf-ptermin R$
and $p: p \in poss (source A)$ **and** $q: q \in poss A$
and $pq: ctxt-of-pos-term p (source A) = source-ctxt (ctxt-of-pos-term q A)$
and $delta: \Delta = ll-single-redex (source A) p \alpha$
and $aq: A \mid- q = Prule \alpha (map (\lambda i. A \mid- (q @ [i])) [0..<length (var-rule \alpha)])$
begin

interpretation $residual-op: op-proof-term R residual$
using $op-proof-term.intro[OF left-lin-no-var-lhs-axioms]$ $op-proof-term-axioms.intro[of R residual]$ $res-empty2$ **by** $force$

interpretation $deletion-op: op-proof-term R deletion$
using $op-proof-term.intro[OF left-lin-no-var-lhs-axioms]$ $op-proof-term-axioms.intro[of R deletion]$ $del-empty$ **by** $force$

abbreviation $As \equiv (map (\lambda i. A \mid- (q @ [i])) [0..<length (var-rule \alpha)])$

lemma $length-as: length As = length (var-rule \alpha)$
by $simp$

lemma $as-well: \forall i < length As. As \mid i \in wf-ptermin R$
using $subt-at-is-wf-ptermin a-well aq q$
by $(metis fun-well-arg nth-mem)$

lemma $a: A = (ctxt-of-pos-term q A) \langle Prule \alpha As \rangle$
using aq **by** $(simp add: q replace-at-ident)$

lemma $rule-in-TRS: to-rule \alpha \in R$

proof–
from $a-well a q$ **have** $Prule \alpha As \in wf-ptermin R$
by $(metis subt-at-ctxt-of-pos-term subt-at-is-wf-ptermin)$
then show $?thesis$
using $wf-ptermin.cases$ **by** $force$
qed

lemma *lin-lhs:linear-term* (*lhs* α)
using *rule-in-TRS left-lin left-linear-trs-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *source-at-pq:source* ($A|-q$) = (*source* A) $|-p$
proof –
from *a-well* q **have** (*ctxt-of-pos-term* q A) \in *wf-pterm-ctxt* R
by (*simp add: ctxt-of-pos-term-well*)
then have *source* A = (*source-ctxt* (*ctxt-of-pos-term* q A)) \langle *source* ($A|-q$) \rangle
using *source-ctxt-apply-term* q **by** (*metis ctxt-supt-id*)
moreover from p **have** *source* A = (*ctxt-of-pos-term* p (*source* A)) \langle (*source* A) $|-p$ \rangle
by (*simp add: replace-at-ident*)
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *pq p q* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *single-redex-pterm:*
shows Δ = (*ctxt-of-pos-term* p (*to-pterm* (*source* A)))(*Prule* α (*map* (*to-pterm* \circ *source*) As))
proof –
from *lin-lhs* **have** $l2$:*length* (*var-poss-list* (*lhs* α)) = *length* (*var-rule* α)
by (*metis length-var-poss-list linear-term-var-vars-term-list*)
{fix i **assume** $i <$ *length* (*var-poss-list* (*lhs* α))
let $?pi$ = *var-poss-list* (*lhs* α)! i
from i **have** $*$:(*lhs* α) $|-?pi$ = *Var* ((*var-rule* α)! i)
using *lin-lhs* **by** (*metis linear-term-var-vars-term-list length-var-poss-list vars-term-list-var-poss-list*)
from *source-at-pq* **have** *source* A $|-$ (p $\@$ $?pi$) = *source* (*Prule* α As) $|-?pi$
by (*metis a p q subt-at-append subt-at-ctxt-of-pos-term*)
also have \dots = *Var* ((*var-rule* α)! i) \cdot \langle *map* *source* As \rangle_{α}
unfolding *source.simps* **using** *subt-at-subst * i nth-mem var-poss-imp-poss*
by *fastforce*
also have \dots = *source* (As ! i)
unfolding *eval-term.simps* **using** i *lhs-subst-var-i length-as l2* **by** (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *length-map nth-map*)
finally have *source* A $|-$ (p $\@$ $?pi$) = *source* (As ! i) .
}
with $l2$ **show** *?thesis*
unfolding *delta ll-single-redex-def* **by** (*simp add: nth-map-conv*)
qed

lemma *delta-trs-wf-pterm:*
shows $\Delta \in$ *wf-pterm* R
proof –
have $well2$:*Prule* α (*map* (*to-pterm* \circ *source*) As) \in *wf-pterm* R **proof** –
from *a-well* a q **have** *Prule* α $As \in$ *wf-pterm* R
by (*metis subt-at-ctxt-of-pos-term subt-at-is-wf-pterm*)
then have *to-rule* $\alpha \in R$
using *wf-pterm.cases* **by** *auto*

then show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: wf-pterm.intros(3)*)
qed
show *?thesis unfolding single-redex-pterm*
using *p well2* **by** (*simp add: p-in-poss-to-pterm ctxt-wf-pterm*)
qed

lemma *source-delta*: *source Δ = source A*

proof –

have *src:source (Prule α (map (to-pterm ∘ source) As)) = source (Prule α As)*
unfolding *source.simps* **by** (*metis (no-types, lifting) comp-eq-dest-lhs list.map-comp list.map-cong0 source-to-pterm*)
moreover **have** *source-ctxt (ctxt-of-pos-term p (to-pterm (source A))) = source-ctxt (ctxt-of-pos-term q A)*
using *pq* **by** (*metis p source-to-pterm-ctxt' to-pterm-ctxt-at-pos*)
ultimately show *?thesis unfolding single-redex-pterm*
using *p p q* **by** (*metis aq p-in-poss-to-pterm pq replace-at-ident source-at-pq source-ctxt-apply-term to-pterm-trs-ctxt*)
qed

lemma *residual*:

shows *A re Δ = Some ((ctxt-of-pos-term q A)((to-pterm (rhs α)) · ⟨As⟩_α))*

proof –

have *l:length (map2 (re) As (map (to-pterm ∘ source) As)) = length As*
by *simp*
{fix *i* **assume** *i:i < length As*
with *as-well* **have** *As!i re (to-pterm ∘ source) (As!i) = Some (As!i)*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) o-apply res-empty2*)
then **have** *map2 (re) As (map (to-pterm ∘ source) As) ! i = Some (As ! i)*
using *i* **by** *force*
}
then **have** **:those (map2 (re) As (map (to-pterm ∘ source) As)) = Some As*
using *those-some[OF l]* **using** *l* **by** *presburger*
then **have** *(Prule α As) re (Prule α (map (to-pterm ∘ source) As)) = Some ((to-pterm (rhs α)) · ⟨As⟩_α)*
using *residual.simps(3)[of α As α (map (to-pterm ∘ source) As)]* **by** *simp*
moreover **from** *single-redex-pterm* **have** *Δ = (to-pterm-ctxt (source-ctxt (ctxt-of-pos-term q A)))((Prule α (map (to-pterm ∘ source) As)))*
unfolding *delta ll-single-redex-def pq[symmetric]* **by** (*simp add: p to-pterm-ctxt-at-pos*)
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *a residual-op.apply-f-ctxt* **by** (*metis a-well ctxt-of-pos-term-well q*)
qed

lemma *residual-well*:

the (A re Δ) ∈ wf-pterm R

using *a-well* **by** (*metis delta-trs-wf-pterm option.sel residual residual-well-defined*)

lemma *target-residual*:*target (the (A re Δ)) = target A*

apply (*subst (2) a*)

unfolding *residual option.sel*
apply(*subst* (1 2) *context-target*)
by (*metis fun-mk-subst target.simps(1) target.simps(3) target-empty-apply-subst target-to-pterm to-pterm-empty*)

lemma *deletion*:

shows $A \text{ } \text{-}_p \Delta = \text{Some } ((\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } q \ A) \langle (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \cdot \langle \text{As} \rangle_\alpha \rangle)$

proof –

have $l:\text{length } (\text{map2 } (-_p) \ \text{As } (\text{map } (\text{to-pterm } \circ \ \text{source}) \ \text{As})) = \text{length } \ \text{As}$

by *simp*

{fix i **assume** $i < \text{length } \ \text{As}$

with *as-well* **have** $\text{As}!i \text{ } \text{-}_p (\text{to-pterm } \circ \ \text{source}) (\text{As}!i) = \text{Some } (\text{As}!i)$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) o-apply del-empty*)

then **have** $\text{map2 } (-_p) \ \text{As } (\text{map } (\text{to-pterm } \circ \ \text{source}) \ \text{As}) ! i = \text{Some } (\text{As} ! i)$

using i **by** *force*

}

then **have** $*:\text{those } (\text{map2 } (-_p) \ \text{As } (\text{map } (\text{to-pterm } \circ \ \text{source}) \ \text{As})) = \text{Some } \ \text{As}$

using *those-some[OF l]* **using** l **by** *presburger*

then **have** $(\text{Prule } \alpha \ \text{As}) \text{ } \text{-}_p (\text{Prule } \alpha \ (\text{map } (\text{to-pterm } \circ \ \text{source}) \ \text{As})) = \text{Some } ((\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \cdot \langle \text{As} \rangle_\alpha)$

using *deletion.simps(3)*[*of* $\alpha \ \text{As} \ \alpha \ (\text{map } (\text{to-pterm } \circ \ \text{source}) \ \text{As})]$ **by** *simp*

moreover **from** *single-redex-pterm* **have** $\Delta = (\text{to-pterm-ctxt } (\text{source-ctxt } (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } q \ A))) \langle (\text{Prule } \alpha \ (\text{map } (\text{to-pterm } \circ \ \text{source}) \ \text{As})) \rangle$

unfolding *delta ll-single-redex-def pq[symmetric]* **by** (*simp add: p to-pterm-ctxt-at-pos*)

ultimately **show** *?thesis*

using *a deletion-op.apply-f-ctxt* **by** (*metis a-well ctxt-of-pos-term-well q*)

qed

lemma *deletion-well*:

shows $\text{the } (A \text{ } \text{-}_p \Delta) \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

proof –

have $\forall a \in \text{set } \ \text{As}. \ a \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

by (*metis a a-well fun-well-arg q subt-at-ctxt-of-pos-term subt-at-is-wf-pterm*)

then **have** $\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha) \cdot \langle \text{As} \rangle_\alpha \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

by (*meson lhs-subst-well-def nth-mem to-pterm-wf-pterm*)

then **show** *?thesis* **unfolding** *deletion option.sel*

by (*simp add: a-well ctxt-wf-pterm q*)

qed

end

locale *single-redex'* = *left-lin-wf-trs* +

fixes $A \ \Delta \ p \ q \ \alpha \ \sigma$

assumes *a-well*: $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ **and** *rule-in-TRS*: $\text{to-rule } \alpha \in R$

and $p:p \in \text{poss } (\text{source } A)$ **and** $q:q \in \text{poss } A$

and $pq:\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ (\text{source } A) = \text{source-ctxt } (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } q \ A)$

and $\text{delta}:\Delta = \text{ll-single-redex } (\text{source } A) \ p \ \alpha$

and $aq:A|-q = (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \cdot \sigma$

```

begin

interpretation residual-op:op-proof-term R residual proof–
  have *:left-lin-no-var-lhs R
    by (simp add: left-lin-axioms left-lin-no-var-lhs.intro no-var-lhs-axioms)
  then show op-proof-term R (re)
    using op-proof-term.intro[OF *] op-proof-term-axioms.intro[of R residual] res-empty2
  by force
qed

lemma a:A = (ctxt-of-pos-term q A)⟨(to-pterms (lhs α)) · σ⟩
  using aq by (simp add: q replace-at-ident)

lemma lin-lhs:linear-term (lhs α)
  using rule-in-TRS left-lin left-linear-trs-def by fastforce

lemma is-fun-lhs:is-Fun (lhs α)
  using rule-in-TRS using no-var-lhs by blast

abbreviation As ≡ map σ (var-rule α)

lemma lhs-subst: (to-pterms (lhs α)) · σ = (to-pterms (lhs α)) · ⟨As⟩α
proof–
  {fix x assume x ∈ vars-term (to-pterms (lhs α))
    then obtain i where x = var-rule α!i and i < length (var-rule α)
    by (metis in-set-idx set-vars-term-list vars-term-list-vars-distinct vars-to-pterms)
    then have σ x = ⟨As⟩α x
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) apply-lhs-subst-var-rule length-map nth-map)
  }
  then show ?thesis
    using term-subst-eq-conv by blast
qed

lemma rhs-subst: (to-pterms (rhs α)) · σ = (to-pterms (rhs α)) · ⟨As⟩α
proof–
  {fix x assume x ∈ vars-term (to-pterms (rhs α))
    then have x ∈ vars-term (to-pterms (lhs α))
    using no-var-lhs varcond rule-in-TRS set-vars-term-list subsetD vars-to-pterms
  by (metis case-prodD)
    then obtain i where x = var-rule α!i and i < length (var-rule α)
    by (metis in-set-idx set-vars-term-list vars-term-list-vars-distinct vars-to-pterms)
    then have σ x = ⟨As⟩α x
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) apply-lhs-subst-var-rule length-map nth-map)
  }
  then show ?thesis
    using term-subst-eq-conv by blast
qed

lemma as-well:∀ i < length As. As!i ∈ wf-pterms R

```


using *a-well aq* **by** (*metis length-map lhs-subst lhs-subst-args-wf-pterm nth-mem q subt-at-is-wf-pterm*)

lemma *source-at-pq*: $\text{source } (A|-q) = (\text{source } A)|-p$

proof –

from *a-well q* **have** $(\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } q \ A) \in \text{wf-pterm-ctxt } R$

by (*simp add: ctxt-of-pos-term-well*)

then have $\text{source } A = (\text{source-ctxt } (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } q \ A)) \langle \text{source } (A|-q) \rangle$

using *source-ctxt-apply-term q* **by** (*metis ctxt-supt-id*)

moreover from *p* **have** $\text{source } A = (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ (\text{source } A)) \langle (\text{source } A)|-p \rangle$

by (*simp add: replace-at-ident*)

ultimately show *?thesis*

using *pq p q* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *single-redex-pterm*:

shows $\Delta = (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ (\text{to-pterm } (\text{source } A))) (\text{Prule } \alpha \ (\text{map } (\text{to-pterm } \circ \ \text{source}) \ As))$

proof –

from *lin-lhs* **have** $l2:\text{length } (\text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) = \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$

by (*metis length-var-poss-list linear-term-var-vars-term-list*)

{fix *i* **assume** $i:i < \text{length } (\text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \alpha))$

let $?pi = \text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \alpha)!i$

from *i* **have** $*(\text{lhs } \alpha)|-?pi = \text{Var } ((\text{var-rule } \alpha)!i)$

using *lin-lhs* **by** (*metis linear-term-var-vars-term-list length-var-poss-list vars-term-list-var-poss-list*)

from *source-at-pq* **have** $\text{source } A \ |- \ (p \ @ \ ?pi) = \text{source } ((\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \cdot \langle \text{As} \rangle_\alpha)|-?pi$

using *lhs-subst* **by** (*metis a p q subt-at-append subt-at-ctxt-of-pos-term*)

also have $\dots = \text{Var } ((\text{var-rule } \alpha)!i) \cdot \langle \text{map } \text{source } \text{As} \rangle_\alpha$

using *subt-at-subst **

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) fun-mk-subst i nth-mem source.simps(1) source-apply-subst source-to-pterm to-pterm-wf-pterm var-poss-imp-poss var-poss-list-sound*)

also have $\dots = \text{source } (\text{As}!i)$

unfolding *eval-term.simps* **using** *i lhs-subst-var-i l2* **by** (*metis (no-types, lifting) length-map nth-map*)

finally have $\text{source } A \ |- \ (p \ @ \ ?pi) = \text{source } (\text{As}!i) \ .$

}

with *l2* **show** *?thesis*

unfolding *delta ll-single-redex-def* **by** (*simp add: map-eq-conv'*)

qed

lemma *residual*:

shows $A \ \text{re } \Delta = \text{Some } ((\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } q \ A) \langle (\text{to-pterm } (\text{rhs } \alpha)) \cdot \sigma \rangle)$

proof –

have $l:\text{length } (\text{map2 } (\text{re}) \ As \ (\text{map } (\text{to-pterm } \circ \ \text{source}) \ As)) = \text{length } \text{As}$

by *simp*

{fix *i* **assume** $i:i < \text{length } \text{As}$

```

with as-well have  $As!i \text{ re } (to\text{-}pterm \circ source) (As!i) = Some (As!i)$ 
  by (metis comp-apply res-empty2)
then have  $map2 (re) As (map (to\text{-}pterm \circ source) As) ! i = Some (As ! i)$ 
  using i by force
}
then have  $*:those (map2 (re) As (map (to\text{-}pterm \circ source) As)) = Some As$ 
  using those-some[OF l] using l by presburger
from is-fun-lhs obtain  $f As'$  where  $f:(to\text{-}pterm (lhs \alpha) \cdot \langle As \rangle_\alpha) = Pfun f As'$ 
  by fastforce
then have  $match:match (Pfun f As') (to\text{-}pterm (lhs \alpha)) = Some (\langle As \rangle_\alpha)$ 
  by (metis lhs-subst-trivial)
have  $map:map (\langle As \rangle_\alpha) (var\text{-}rule \alpha) = As$ 
  using apply-lhs-subst-var-rule length-map by blast
have  $((to\text{-}pterm (lhs \alpha)) \cdot \sigma) \text{ re } (Prule \alpha (map (to\text{-}pterm \circ source) As)) = Some$ 
 $((to\text{-}pterm (rhs \alpha)) \cdot \sigma)$ 
  unfolding rhs-subst lhs-subst using residual.simps(7)[of f As' \alpha (map (to\text{-}pterm
 $\circ source) As)]$ 
  unfolding match f using * map by (metis option.simps(5))
moreover from single-redex-pterm have  $\Delta = (to\text{-}pterm\text{-}ctxt (source\text{-}ctxt (ctxt\text{-}of\text{-}pos\text{-}term$ 
 $q A)))(Prule \alpha (map (to\text{-}pterm \circ source) As))$ 
  unfolding delta ll-single-redex-def pq[symmetric] by (simp add: p to\text{-}pterm\text{-}ctxt\text{-}at\text{-}pos)
ultimately show ?thesis
  using a residual-op.apply-f-ctxt by (metis a-well ctxt-of-pos-term-well q)
qed

end

end

```

4 Orthogonal Proof Terms

```

theory Orthogonal-PT
imports
  Residual-Join-Deletion
begin

```

```

inductive orthogonal:: $(f, 'v) pterm \Rightarrow (f, 'v) pterm \Rightarrow bool$  (infixl  $\perp_p$  50)
  where
     $Var x \perp_p Var x$ 
  |  $length As = length Bs \Longrightarrow \forall i < length As. As!i \perp_p Bs!i \Longrightarrow Fun f As \perp_p Fun f Bs$ 
  |  $length As = length Bs \Longrightarrow \forall (a,b) \in set(zip As Bs). a \perp_p b \Longrightarrow (Prule \alpha As) \perp_p (to\text{-}pterm (lhs \alpha) \cdot \langle Bs \rangle_\alpha)$ 
  |  $length As = length Bs \Longrightarrow \forall (a,b) \in set(zip As Bs). a \perp_p b \Longrightarrow (to\text{-}pterm (lhs \alpha) \cdot \langle As \rangle_\alpha) \perp_p (Prule \alpha Bs)$ 
lemmas orthogonal.intros[intro]

```

```

lemma orth-symp:  $symp (\perp_p)$ 
proof

```

```

{fix A B::('f, 'v) pterm assume A  $\perp_p$  B
  then show B  $\perp_p$  A proof(induct)
    case ( $\exists$  As Bs  $\alpha$ )
      then show ?case using orthogonal.intros( $\lambda$ )[where  $\alpha=\alpha$  and Bs=As and
As=Bs]
        using zip-symm by fastforce
      next
        case ( $\lambda$  As Bs  $\alpha$ )
          then show ?case using orthogonal.intros( $\exists$ )[where  $\alpha=\alpha$  and As=Bs and
Bs=As]
            using zip-symm by fastforce
          qed (simp-all add:orthogonal.intros)
        }
  }
qed

```

```

lemma equal-imp-orthogonal:
  shows A  $\perp_p$  A
  by(induct A) (simp-all add: orthogonal.intros)

```

```

lemma source-orthogonal:
  assumes source A = t
  shows A  $\perp_p$  to-pterm t
  using assms proof(induct A arbitrary:t)
    case (Prule  $\alpha$  As)
      then have t:to-pterm t = (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ ))  $\cdot$  (map (to-pterm  $\circ$  source) As) $_\alpha$ 
        by (metis fun-mk-subst list.map-comp source.simps( $\exists$ ) to-pterm.simps(1) to-pterm-subst)
      from Prule(1) have  $\forall (a,b) \in \text{set } (\text{zip } \text{As } (\text{map } (\text{to-pterm } \circ \text{source}) \text{As})). a \perp_p b$ 
        by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) case-prod-beta' comp-def in-set-zip nth-map
zip-fst)
      with t show ?case
        using orthogonal.intros( $\exists$ ) by (metis length-map)
    qed (simp-all add:orthogonal.intros)

```

```

lemma orth-imp-co-initial:
  assumes A  $\perp_p$  B
  shows co-initial A B
  using assms proof(induct rule: orthogonal.induct)
    case (2 As Bs f)
      show ?case proof(cases f)
        case (Inr g)
          with 2 show ?thesis unfolding Inr
            by (simp add: nth-map-conv)
        next
          case (Inl  $\alpha$ )
            with 2 show ?thesis unfolding Inl
              by (metis nth-map-conv source.simps( $\exists$ ))
      qed
    next
      case ( $\exists$  As Bs  $\alpha$ )

```

```

then have l:length (zip As Bs) = length As
  by simp
with 3 have IH:∀ i < length As. source (As!i) = source (Bs!i)
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) case-prod-conv nth-mem nth-zip)
have src:source ((to-pterms (lhs α)) · ⟨Bs⟩α) = (lhs α) · ⟨map source Bs⟩α
  by (simp add: source-apply-subst)
from 3(1) l IH show ?case unfolding src source.simps
  by (metis nth-map-conv)
next
case (4 As Bs α)
then have l:length (zip As Bs) = length As
  by simp
with 4 have IH:∀ i < length As. source (As!i) = source (Bs!i)
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) case-prod-conv nth-mem nth-zip)
have src:source ((to-pterms (lhs α)) · ⟨As⟩α) = (lhs α) · ⟨map source As⟩α
  by (simp add: source-apply-subst)
from 4(1) l IH show ?case unfolding src source.simps
  by (metis nth-map-conv)
qed simp

```

If two proof terms are orthogonal then residual and join are well-defined.

lemma *orth-imp-residual-defined*:

```

assumes varcond:∧ l r. (l, r) ∈ R ⇒ is-Fun l ∧ l r. (l, r) ∈ S ⇒ is-Fun l
  and A ⊥p B
  and A ∈ wf-pterm R and B ∈ wf-pterm S
shows A re B ≠ None
using assms(3-) proof(induct)
case (2 As Bs f)
from 2(3) have wellAs:∀ a ∈ set As. a ∈ wf-pterm R
  by blast
from 2(4) have wellBs:∀ b ∈ set Bs. b ∈ wf-pterm S
  by blast
from 2(1,2) wellAs wellBs have c:∀ i < length As. (∃ C. As!i re Bs!i = Some C)
  by auto
from 2(1) have l:length As = length (map2 (re) As Bs)
  by simp
from 2(1) have ∀ i < length As. As!i re Bs!i = (map2 (re) As Bs)!i
  by simp
with c obtain Cs where ∀ i < length As. As!i re Bs!i = Some (Cs!i) and length Cs = length As
  using exists-some-list l by (metis (no-types, lifting))
with 2 have *:those (map2 (re) As Bs) = Some Cs
  by (simp add: those-some)
show ?case proof(cases f)
  case (Inr g)
  show ?thesis unfolding Inr residual.simps 2(1) * by simp
next
  case (Inl α)

```

```

    show ?thesis unfolding Inl residual.simps 2(1) * by simp
qed
next
case (3 As Bs α)
from 3(3) varcond obtain g ts where g:lhs α = Fun g ts
by (metis Inl-inject is-Fun-Fun-conv sum.simps(4) term.distinct(1) term.sel(2)
wf-pterm.cases)
then have *:to-pterm (lhs α) · ⟨Bs⟩α = Pfun g (map (λt. t · ⟨Bs⟩α) (map
to-pterm ts))
by simp
from 3(3) have l1:length As = length (var-rule α)
using wf-pterm.simps by fastforce
from 3(3) have wellAs:∀ a ∈ set As. a ∈ wf-pterm R
by blast
from 3(1,4) l1 have wellBs:∀ b ∈ set Bs. b ∈ wf-pterm S
by (simp add: lhs-subst-args-wf-pterm)
from 3(1) have l2:length (zip As Bs) = length As
by simp
with 3(1,2) wellAs wellBs have ∀ i < length As. As ! i re Bs ! i ≠ None
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) case-prod-conv nth-mem nth-zip)
then have c:∀ i < length As. (∃ C. As!i re Bs!i = Some C)
by blast
from 3(1) have ∀ i < length As. As!i re Bs!i = (map2 (re) As Bs)!i
by simp
with c obtain Cs where ∀ i < length As. As!i re Bs!i = Some (Cs!i) and length
Cs = length As
using exists-some-list l2 by (metis (no-types, lifting) length-map)
with 3 have cs:those (map2 (re) As Bs) = Some Cs
by (simp add: those-some)
have bs:match (to-pterm (lhs α) · ⟨Bs⟩α) (to-pterm (lhs α)) = Some (⟨Bs⟩α)
using lhs-subst-trivial by blast
then have (map (⟨Bs⟩α) (var-rule α)) = Bs
using 3(1) l1 apply-lhs-subst-var-rule by force
then show ?case using residual.simps(5) using bs cs g unfolding *
by simp
next
case (4 As Bs α)
from 4(4) varcond obtain g ts where g:lhs α = Fun g ts
by (metis Inl-inject is-Fun-Fun-conv sum.simps(4) term.distinct(1) term.sel(2)
wf-pterm.cases)
then have *:to-pterm (lhs α) · ⟨As⟩α = Pfun g (map (λt. t · ⟨As⟩α) (map
to-pterm ts))
by simp
from 4(4) have l1:length Bs = length (var-rule α)
using wf-pterm.simps by fastforce
from 4(1,3) l1 have wellAs:∀ a ∈ set As. a ∈ wf-pterm R
by (simp add: lhs-subst-args-wf-pterm)
from 4(4) have wellBs:∀ b ∈ set Bs. b ∈ wf-pterm S
by blast

```

```

from 4(1) have l2:length (zip As Bs) = length As
  by simp
with 4(1,2) wellAs wellBs have  $\forall i < \text{length } As. As ! i \text{ re } Bs ! i \neq \text{None}$ 
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) case-prod-conv nth-mem nth-zip)
then have c: $\forall i < \text{length } As. (\exists C. As!i \text{ re } Bs!i = \text{Some } C)$ 
  by blast
from 4(1) have  $\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \text{ re } Bs!i = (\text{map2 } (re) \text{ As } Bs)!i$ 
  by simp
with c obtain Cs where  $\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \text{ re } Bs!i = \text{Some } (Cs!i)$  and length
Cs = length As
  using exists-some-list l2 by (metis (no-types, lifting) length-map)
with 4 have cs:those (map2 (re) As Bs) = Some Cs
  by (simp add: those-some)
have bs:match (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ ) ·  $\langle As \rangle_\alpha$ ) (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ )) = Some ( $\langle As \rangle_\alpha$ )
  using lhs-subst-trivial by blast
have (map ( $\langle As \rangle_\alpha$ ) (var-rule  $\alpha$ )) = As
  using 4(1) l1 apply-lhs-subst-var-rule by force
then show ?case using residual.simps(7) using bs cs g unfolding *
  by simp
qed simp

```

lemma orth-imp-join-defined:

```

assumes varcond: $\bigwedge l r. (l, r) \in R \implies \text{is-Fun } l$ 
  and A  $\perp_p$  B
  and A  $\in$  wf-pterm R and B  $\in$  wf-pterm R
shows A  $\sqcup$  B  $\neq$  None
using assms(2-) proof(induct)
case (2 As Bs f)
from 2(3) have wellAs: $\forall a \in \text{set } As. a \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  by blast
from 2(4) have wellBs: $\forall b \in \text{set } Bs. b \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  by blast
from 2(1,2) wellAs wellBs have c: $\forall i < \text{length } As. (\exists C. As!i \sqcup Bs!i = \text{Some } C)$ 
  by auto
from 2(1) have l:length As = length (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) As Bs)
  by simp
from 2(1) have  $\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \sqcup Bs!i = (\text{map2 } (\sqcup) \text{ As } Bs)!i$ 
  by simp
with c obtain Cs where  $\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \sqcup Bs!i = \text{Some } (Cs!i)$  and length
Cs = length As
  using exists-some-list l by (metis (no-types, lifting))
with 2 have *:those (map2 ( $\sqcup$ ) As Bs) = Some Cs
  by (simp add: those-some)
show ?case proof(cases f)
  case (Inr g)
  show ?thesis unfolding Inr join.simps 2(1) * by simp
next

```

```

    case (Inl α)
    show ?thesis unfolding Inl join.simps 2(1) * by simp
qed
next
case (3 As Bs α)
from 3(3) varcond obtain g ts where g:lhs α = Fun g ts
by (metis Inl-inject is-Fun-Fun-conv sum.simps(4) term.distinct(1) term.sel(2)
wf-pterm.cases)
then have *:to-pterm (lhs α) · ⟨Bs⟩α = Pfun g (map (λt. t · ⟨Bs⟩α) (map
to-pterm ts))
by simp
from 3(3) have l1:length As = length (var-rule α)
using wf-pterm.simps by fastforce
from 3(3) have wellAs:∀ a ∈ set As. a ∈ wf-pterm R
by blast
from 3(1,4) l1 have wellBs:∀ b ∈ set Bs. b ∈ wf-pterm R
by (simp add: lhs-subst-args-wf-pterm)
from 3(1) have l2:length (zip As Bs) = length As
by simp
with 3(1,2) wellAs wellBs have ∀ i < length As. As ! i ⊔ Bs ! i ≠ None
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) case-prod-conv nth-mem nth-zip)
then have c:∀ i < length As. (∃ C. As!i ⊔ Bs!i = Some C)
by blast
from 3(1) have ∀ i < length As. As!i ⊔ Bs!i = (map2 (⊔) As Bs)!i
by simp
with c obtain Cs where ∀ i < length As. As!i ⊔ Bs!i = Some (Cs!i) and length
Cs = length As
using exists-some-list l2 by (metis (no-types, lifting) length-map)
with 3 have cs:those (map2 (⊔) As Bs) = Some Cs
by (simp add: those-some)
have bs:match (to-pterm (lhs α) · ⟨Bs⟩α) (to-pterm (lhs α)) = Some (⟨Bs⟩α)
using lhs-subst-trivial by blast
then have (map (⟨Bs⟩α) (var-rule α)) = Bs
using 3(1) l1 apply-lhs-subst-var-rule by force
then show ?case using residual.simps(5) using bs cs g unfolding *
by simp
next
case (4 As Bs α)
from 4(4) varcond obtain g ts where g:lhs α = Fun g ts
by (metis Inl-inject is-Fun-Fun-conv sum.simps(4) term.distinct(1) term.sel(2)
wf-pterm.cases)
then have *:to-pterm (lhs α) · ⟨As⟩α = Pfun g (map (λt. t · ⟨As⟩α) (map
to-pterm ts))
by simp
from 4(4) have l1:length Bs = length (var-rule α)
using wf-pterm.simps by fastforce
from 4(1,3) l1 have wellAs:∀ a ∈ set As. a ∈ wf-pterm R
by (simp add: lhs-subst-args-wf-pterm)
from 4(4) have wellBs:∀ b ∈ set Bs. b ∈ wf-pterm R

```

```

  by blast
  from 4(1) have l2:length (zip As Bs) = length As
  by simp
  with 4(1,2) wellAs wellBs have  $\forall i < \text{length } As. As ! i \sqcup Bs ! i \neq \text{None}$ 
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) case-prod-conv nth-mem nth-zip)
  then have c: $\forall i < \text{length } As. (\exists C. As!i \sqcup Bs!i = \text{Some } C)$ 
  by blast
  from 4(1) have  $\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \sqcup Bs!i = (\text{map2 } (\sqcup) \text{ As Bs})!i$ 
  by simp
  with c obtain Cs where  $\forall i < \text{length } As. As!i \sqcup Bs!i = \text{Some } (Cs!i)$  and length
  Cs = length As
  using exists-some-list l2 by (metis (no-types, lifting) length-map)
  with 4 have cs:those (map2 (sqcup) As Bs) = Some Cs
  by (simp add: those-some)
  have bs:match (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ ) ·  $\langle As \rangle_\alpha$ ) (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ )) = Some ( $\langle As \rangle_\alpha$ )
  using lhs-subst-trivial by blast
  have (map ( $\langle As \rangle_\alpha$ ) (var-rule  $\alpha$ )) = As
  using 4(1) l1 apply-lhs-subst-var-rule by force
  then show ?case using residual.simps(7) using bs cs g unfolding *
  by simp
qed simp

```

context no-var-lhs

begin

lemma orth-imp-residual-defined:

```

  assumes  $A \perp_p B$  and  $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$  and  $B \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  shows  $A \text{ re } B \neq \text{None}$ 
  using orth-imp-residual-defined assms no-var-lhs by fastforce

```

lemma orth-imp-join-defined:

```

  assumes  $A \perp_p B$  and  $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$  and  $B \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  shows  $A \sqcup B \neq \text{None}$ 
  using orth-imp-join-defined assms no-var-lhs by fastforce

```

lemma orthogonal-ctxt:

```

  assumes  $C\langle A \rangle \perp_p C\langle B \rangle$   $C \in \text{wf-pterm-ctxt } R$ 
  shows  $A \perp_p B$ 
  using assms proof(induct C)
  case (Cfun f ss1 C ss2)
  from Cfun(2) have  $\forall i < \text{length } (ss1 @ C\langle A \rangle \# ss2). (ss1 @ C\langle A \rangle \# ss2) ! i$ 
   $\perp_p (ss1 @ C\langle B \rangle \# ss2) ! i$ 
  unfolding intp-actxt.simps using orthogonal.simps by (smt (verit) is-Prule.simps(1)
  is-Prule.simps(3) term.distinct(1) term.sel(4))
  then have  $C\langle A \rangle \perp_p C\langle B \rangle$ 
  by (metis append.right-neutral length-append length-greater-0-conv length-nth-simps(1)
  list.discI nat-add-left-cancel-less nth-append-length)
  with Cfun(1,3) show ?case by auto
next
  case (Crule  $\alpha$  ss1 C ss2)

```



```

from Crule(3) obtain f ts where lhs  $\alpha = Fun$  f ts
  using no-var-lhs by (smt (verit, del-insts) Inl-inject Inr-not-Inl case-prodD
actxt.distinct(1) actxt.inject term.collapse(2) wf-pterm-ctxt.simps)
  with Crule(2) have  $\forall i < length (ss1 @ C\langle A \rangle \# ss2). (ss1 @ C\langle A \rangle \# ss2) ! i$ 
 $\perp_p (ss1 @ C\langle B \rangle \# ss2) ! i$ 
  unfolding intp-actxt.simps using orthogonal.simps
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) Inl-inject Inr-not-Inl eval-term.simps(2) term.distinct(1)
term.inject(2) to-pterm.simps(2))
  then have  $C\langle A \rangle \perp_p C\langle B \rangle$ 
  by (metis intp-actxt.simps(2) hole-pos.simps(2) hole-pos-poss nth-append-length
poss-Cons-poss term.sel(4))
  with Crule(1,3) show ?case by auto
qed simp

```

end

```

context left-lin-no-var-lhs
begin

```

lemma orthogonal-subst:

```

assumes  $A \cdot \sigma \perp_p B \cdot \sigma$  source  $A = source$   $B$ 
  and  $A \in wf-pterm$   $R$   $B \in wf-pterm$   $R$ 
shows  $A \perp_p B$ 
using assms(3,4,1,2) proof(induct  $A$  arbitrary: $B$  rule:subterm-induct)
case (subterm  $A$ )
show ?case proof(cases  $A$ )
  case (Var  $x$ )
  with subterm no-var-lhs have  $B = Var$   $x$ 
  by (metis Inl-inject Inr-not-Inl case-prodD co-initial-Var is-VarI term.distinct(1)
term.inject(2) wf-pterm.simps)
  then show ?thesis
  unfolding Var by (simp add: orthogonal.intros(1))
next
case (Pfun  $f$   $As$ )
with subterm(5) show ?thesis proof(cases  $B$ )
  case (Pfun  $g$   $Bs$ )
  from subterm(5) have  $f:f = g$ 
  unfolding  $\langle A = Pfun$   $f$   $As \rangle$  Pfun by simp
  from subterm(5) have  $l:length$   $As = length$   $Bs$ 
  unfolding  $\langle A = Pfun$   $f$   $As \rangle$  Pfun using map-eq-imp-length-eq by auto
  {fix  $i$  assume  $i:i < length$   $As$ 
  with subterm(4) have  $As!i \cdot \sigma \perp_p Bs!i \cdot \sigma$ 
  unfolding  $\langle A = Pfun$   $f$   $As \rangle$  Pfun eval-term.simps  $f$ 
  by (smt (verit) is-Prule.simps(1) is-Prule.simps(3) length-map nth-map
orthogonal.simps term.distinct(1) term.sel(4))
  moreover from  $i$  subterm(5) have source  $(As!i) = source$   $(Bs!i)$ 
  unfolding  $\langle A = Pfun$   $f$   $As \rangle$  Pfun eval-term.simps  $f$  by (simp add:
map-equality-iff)
  moreover from  $i$   $l$  subterm(2,3) have  $As!i \in wf-pterm$   $R$   $Bs!i \in wf-pterm$ 

```

```

R
  unfolding ⟨A = Pfun f As⟩ Pfun by auto
  moreover from i have As!i < A
  unfolding ⟨A = Pfun f As⟩ by simp
  ultimately have As!i ⊥p Bs!i
  using subterm(1) by simp
}
with l show ?thesis
  unfolding f ⟨A = Pfun f As⟩ Pfun by (simp add: orthogonal.intros(2))
next
case (Prule β Bs)
with subterm(3) have lin:linear-term (lhs β)
  using left-lin left-linear-trs-def wf-pterm.cases by fastforce
from subterm(3) obtain g ts where lhs:lhs β = Fun g ts
  unfolding Prule using no-var-lhs by (metis Inl-inject case-prodD is-FunE
is-Prule.simps(1) is-Prule.simps(3) term.distinct(1) term.inject(2) wf-pterm.simps)

  with subterm(4) obtain τ1 where A · σ = to-pterm (lhs β) · τ1
  unfolding Prule Pfun eval-term.simps using orthogonal.simps by (smt
(verit, ccfv-SIG) Inl-inject Inr-not-Inl term.inject(2))
  with subterm(4,5) obtain τ where τ2:A = to-pterm (lhs β) · τ
  unfolding Prule Pfun source.simps using simple-pterm-match lin by (metis
matches-iff source.simps(2))
  let ?As=map τ (var-rule β)
  have l:length Bs = length (var-rule β)
  using Prule subterm.prem(2) wf-pterm.simps by fastforce
  from τ2 have A:A = to-pterm (lhs β) · ⟨?As⟩β
  by (metis lhs-subst-var-rule set-vars-term-list subsetI vars-to-pterm)
  {fix i assume i:i < length Bs
  have subt:?As!i < A
  using i l by (metis (no-types, lifting) τ2 comp-apply lhs nth-map nth-mem
set-remdups set-rev set-vars-term-list subst-image-subterm to-pterm.simps(2) vars-to-pterm)
  have wf:?As!i ∈ wf-pterm R
  using i l by (metis A length-map lhs-subst-args-wf-pterm nth-mem sub-
term.prem(1))
  have l':length (var-rule β) = length ?As
  by simp
  from subterm(4) A Prule lhs have orth:?As!i · σ ⊥p Bs!i · σ proof(cases)
  case (4 As' Bs' β')
  then have Bs':Bs' = map (λs. s · σ) Bs and β':β' = β
  unfolding Prule by simp-all
  have As':As' = map (λs. s · σ) ?As proof-
  have l'':length As' = length ?As using 4(3) l unfolding Bs' length-map
by simp
  {fix j assume j:j < length As' and neq:As!j ≠ map (λs. s · σ) ?As ! j
  let ?x=var-rule β!j
  from j have x:?x ∈ vars-term (lhs β)
  by (metis comp-apply l' l'' nth-mem set-remdups set-rev set-vars-term-list)

```

```

then obtain p where p:p ∈ poss (lhs β) lhs β |-p = Var ?x
  by (meson vars-term-poss-subt-at)
from j have 1:(⟨As⟩β) ?x = As!j
  using β' l'' lhs-subst-var-i by force
from j have 2:(⟨map (λs. s · σ) ?As⟩β) ?x = map (λs. s · σ) ?As ! j
  using lhs-subst-var-i by (metis l'' length-map)
then have False using 4(1) 1 2 p unfolding A eval-lhs-subst[OF l']
β'
  by (smt (verit, del-insts) x neq set-vars-term-list term-subst-eq-rev
vars-to-pterm)
}
then show ?thesis
  using l'' by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) map-nth-eq-conv nth-map)
qed
have i':i < length (zip (map (λa. a · σ) (map τ (var-rule β))) (map (λb.
b · σ) Bs))
  using i l by simp
from 4(4) have map (λa. a · σ) (map τ (var-rule β)) ! i ⊥p map (λb. b
· σ) Bs !i
  unfolding As' Bs' using i' by (metis case-prodD i l length-map nth-mem
nth-zip)
then show ?thesis
  using i l by auto
qed simp-all
have co-init:source (?As!i) = source (Bs!i) proof(rule ccontr)
  assume neq:source (?As!i) ≠ source (Bs!i)
  let ?x=var-rule β!i
  from i l have x:?x ∈ vars-term (lhs β)
    by (metis comp-apply nth-mem set-remdups set-rev set-vars-term-list)
  then obtain p where p:p ∈ poss (lhs β) lhs β |-p = Var ?x
    by (meson vars-term-poss-subt-at)
  from i have 1:(⟨map source ?As⟩β) ?x = source (?As!i)
    using l lhs-subst-var-i by (metis length-map nth-map)
  from i have 2:(⟨map source Bs⟩β) ?x = source (Bs!i)
    using l lhs-subst-var-i by (metis length-map nth-map)
  from subterm(5) show False using neq 1 2 p
  unfolding Prule A source.simps source-apply-subst[OF to-pterm-wf-pterm[of
lhs β]] source-to-pterm
    using term-subst-eq-rev x by fastforce
  qed
  from subterm(1) subt wf orth co-init have ?As!i ⊥p Bs!i
    using i subterm(3) unfolding Prule by (meson fun-well-arg nth-mem)
}
then show ?thesis unfolding A Prule
  by (smt (verit, best) case-prodI2 in-set-idx l length-map length-zip min-less-iff-conj
nth-zip orthogonal.intros(4) prod.sel(1) snd-conv)
qed simp
next
case (Prule α As)

```

```

with subterm(2) have lin.linear-term (lhs  $\alpha$ )
  using left-lin left-linear-trs-def wf-pterm.cases by fastforce
from subterm(2) obtain f ts where lhs:lhs  $\alpha = \text{Fun } f \text{ ts}$ 
  by (metis Inr-not-Inl Prule case-prodD is-FunE no-var-lhs sum.inject(1)
term.distinct(1) term.inject(2) wf-pterm.simps)
with subterm(5) show ?thesis proof(cases B)
  case (Var x)
  then show ?thesis
    using source-orthogonal subterm.prems(4) by fastforce
next
  case (Pfun g Bs)
  with subterm(4) obtain  $\tau 1$  where  $B \cdot \sigma = \text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha) \cdot \tau 1$ 
    unfolding Prule Pfun eval-term.simps using orthogonal.simps by (smt
(verit, ccfv-SIG) Inl-inject Inr-not-Inl term.inject(2))
  with subterm(4,5) obtain  $\tau$  where  $\tau 2: B = \text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha) \cdot \tau$ 
    unfolding Prule Pfun source.simps using simple-pterm-match lin by (metis
matches-iff source.simps(2))
  let  $?Bs = \text{map } \tau \text{ (var-rule } \alpha)$ 
  have  $l: \text{length } As = \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$ 
    using Prule subterm.prems(1) wf-pterm.simps by fastforce
  from  $\tau 2$  have  $B: B = \text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha) \cdot \langle ?Bs \rangle_\alpha$ 
    by (metis lhs-subst-var-rule set-vars-term-list subsetI vars-to-pterm)
  have  $l': \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha) = \text{length } ?Bs$ 
    by simp
  {fix i assume  $i: i < \text{length } As$ 
  from subterm(4) B Prule lhs have  $\text{orth}: As!i \cdot \sigma \perp_p ?Bs!i \cdot \sigma$  proof(cases)
    case ( $\exists As' Bs' \alpha'$ )
    then have  $As': As' = \text{map } (\lambda s. s \cdot \sigma) As$  and  $\alpha': \alpha' = \alpha$ 
      unfolding Prule by simp-all
    have  $Bs': Bs' = \text{map } (\lambda s. s \cdot \sigma) ?Bs$  proof–
    have  $l': \text{length } Bs' = \text{length } ?Bs$  using  $\exists(\exists) l$  unfolding As' length-map
by simp
    {fix j assume  $j: j < \text{length } Bs'$  and  $\text{neg}: Bs!j \neq \text{map } (\lambda s. s \cdot \sigma) ?Bs ! j$ 
    let  $?x = \text{var-rule } \alpha!j$ 
    from j have  $x: x \in \text{vars-term } (\text{lhs } \alpha)$ 
      by (metis comp-apply l'' length-map nth-mem set-remdups set-rev
set-vars-term-list)
    then obtain p where  $p: p \in \text{poss } (\text{lhs } \alpha) \text{ lhs } \alpha \mid -p = \text{Var } ?x$ 
      by (meson vars-term-poss-subt-at)
    from j have  $1: (\langle Bs' \rangle_\alpha) ?x = Bs!j$ 
      using  $\alpha' l''$  lhs-subst-var-i by force
    from j have  $2: (\langle \text{map } (\lambda s. s \cdot \sigma) ?Bs \rangle_\alpha) ?x = \text{map } (\lambda s. s \cdot \sigma) ?Bs ! j$ 
      using lhs-subst-var-i by (metis l'' length-map)
    then have False using  $\exists(1) 1 2 p$  unfolding B eval-lhs-subst[OF l']
 $\alpha'$ 
      by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) \exists(2) B \alpha' eval-lhs-subst l' map-eq-conv neg
set-vars-term-list term-subst-eq-rev vars-to-pterm x)
    }
  }
  then show ?thesis

```

```

      using l'' by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) map-nth-eq-conv nth-map)
    qed
    have i':i < length (zip (map (λb. b · σ) As) (map (λa. a · σ) (map τ
(var-rule α))))
      using i l by simp
    from 3(4) have map (λb. b · σ) As ! i ⊥p map (λa. a · σ) (map τ (var-rule
α)) ! i
      unfolding As' Bs' using i' by (metis case-prodD i l length-map nth-mem
nth-zip)
    then show ?thesis
      using i l by auto
    qed simp-all
  have co-init:source (As!i) = source (?Bs!i) proof(rule ccontr)
    assume neq:source (As!i) ≠ source (?Bs!i)
    let ?x=var-rule α!i
    from i l have x:?x ∈ vars-term (lhs α)
      by (metis comp-apply nth-mem set-remdups set-rev set-vars-term-list)
    then obtain p where p:p ∈ poss (lhs α) lhs α |-p = Var ?x
      by (meson vars-term-poss-subt-at)
    from i have 1:(⟨map source ?Bs⟩α) ?x = source (?Bs!i)
      using l lhs-subst-var-i by (metis length-map nth-map)
    from i have 2:(⟨map source As⟩α) ?x = source (As!i)
      using l lhs-subst-var-i by (metis length-map nth-map)
    from subterm(5) show False using neq 1 2 p
  unfolding Prule B source.simps source-apply-subst[OF to-pterm-wf-pterm[of
lhs α]] source-to-pterm
    using term-subst-eq-rev x by fastforce
  qed
  from subterm(1,2,3) co-init have As!i ⊥p ?Bs!i
  using i l' orth unfolding Prule by (metis B fun-well-arg l lhs-subst-args-wf-pterm
nth-mem orth supt.arg)
}
then show ?thesis unfolding B Prule
  by (smt (verit, best) case-prodI2 fst-conv in-set-zip l l' orthogonal.intros(3)
snd-conv)
next
case (Prule β Bs)
from subterm(4) have α:α = β
  unfolding Prule ⟨A = Prule α As⟩ eval-term.simps using orthogonal.simps
  by (smt (verit) Inl-inject Prule eval-term.simps(2) is-Prule.simps(1)
is-Prule.simps(3) lhs no-var-lhs.lhs-is-Fun
no-var-lhs-axioms subterm.prem(2) term.collapse(2) term.sel(2)
to-pterm.simps(2))
from subterm(2,3) have l:length As = length Bs
  unfolding ⟨A = Prule α As⟩ Prule using α length-args-well-Prule by blast
{fix i assume i:i < length As
  with subterm(4) have As!i · σ ⊥p Bs!i · σ
    unfolding ⟨A = Prule α As⟩ Prule eval-term.simps α by (smt (verit,
ccfv-threshold) Inl-inject

```

```

      Inr-not-Inl  $\alpha$  eval-term.simps(2) length-map lhs nth-map orthogonal.simps
term.distinct(1) term.inject(2) to-pterms.simps(2))
    moreover from i subterm(5) have source (As!i) = source (Bs!i)
      using Prule  $\alpha$   $\langle A = Prule \alpha As \rangle$  co-init-prule subterm.prem(1) sub-
term.prem(2) by blast
    moreover from i l subterm(2,3) have As!i  $\in$  wf-pterm R Bs!i  $\in$  wf-pterm
R
      unfolding Prule  $\langle A = Prule \alpha As \rangle$  by auto
    moreover from i have As!i  $\triangleleft$  A
      unfolding  $\langle A = Prule \alpha As \rangle$  by simp
    ultimately have As!i  $\perp_p$  Bs!i
      using subterm(1) by simp
  }
with l show ?thesis
  unfolding  $\alpha$   $\langle A = Prule \alpha As \rangle$  Prule by (simp add: orthogonal.intros(2))
qed
qed
qed
end
end

```

5 Labels and Overlaps

```

theory Labels-and-Overlaps
imports
  Orthogonal-PT
  Well-Quasi-Orders.Almost-Full-Relations
begin

```

5.1 Labeled Proof Terms

The idea is to label function symbols in the source of a proof term that are affected by a rule symbol α with α and the distance from the root to α . Therefore, a label is a pair consisting of a rule symbol and a natural number, or it can be *None*. A labeled term is a term, where each function symbol additionally has a label associated with it.

```

type-synonym
('f, 'v) label = (('f, 'v) prule  $\times$  nat) option

```

```

type-synonym
('f, 'v) term-lab = ('f  $\times$  ('f, 'v) label, 'v) term

```

```

fun label-term :: ('f, 'v) prule  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v) term  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v) term-lab
where

```

```

  label-term  $\alpha$  i (Var x) = Var x
| label-term  $\alpha$  i (Fun f ts) = Fun (f, Some ( $\alpha$ , i)) (map (label-term  $\alpha$  (i+1)) ts)

```

abbreviation $\text{labeled-lhs} :: ('f, 'v) \text{prule} \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) \text{term-lab}$
where $\text{labeled-lhs } \alpha \equiv \text{label-term } \alpha \ 0 \ (\text{lhs } \alpha)$

fun $\text{labeled-source} :: ('f, 'v) \text{pterm} \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) \text{term-lab}$
where
 $\text{labeled-source } (\text{Var } x) = \text{Var } x$
 $|\ \text{labeled-source } (\text{Pfun } f \ As) = \text{Fun } (f, \text{None}) \ (\text{map } \text{labeled-source } \ As)$
 $|\ \text{labeled-source } (\text{Prule } \alpha \ As) = (\text{labeled-lhs } \alpha) \cdot \langle \text{map } \text{labeled-source } \ As \rangle_\alpha$

fun $\text{term-lab-to-term} :: ('f, 'v) \text{term-lab} \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) \text{term}$
where
 $\text{term-lab-to-term } (\text{Var } x) = \text{Var } x$
 $|\ \text{term-lab-to-term } (\text{Fun } f \ ts) = \text{Fun } (\text{fst } f) \ (\text{map } \text{term-lab-to-term } \ ts)$

fun $\text{term-to-term-lab} :: ('f, 'v) \text{term} \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) \text{term-lab}$
where
 $\text{term-to-term-lab } (\text{Var } x) = \text{Var } x$
 $|\ \text{term-to-term-lab } (\text{Fun } f \ ts) = \text{Fun } (f, \text{None}) \ (\text{map } \text{term-to-term-lab } \ ts)$

fun $\text{get-label} :: ('f, 'v) \text{term-lab} \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) \text{label}$
where
 $\text{get-label } (\text{Var } x) = \text{None}$
 $|\ \text{get-label } (\text{Fun } f \ ts) = \text{snd } f$

fun $\text{labelposs} :: ('f, 'v) \text{term-lab} \Rightarrow \text{pos set}$
where
 $\text{labelposs } (\text{Var } x) = \{\}$
 $|\ \text{labelposs } (\text{Fun } (f, \text{None}) \ ts) = (\bigcup_{i < \text{length } ts} \{i \# p \mid p. p \in \text{labelposs } (ts ! i)\})$
 $|\ \text{labelposs } (\text{Fun } (f, \text{Some } l) \ ts) = \{\} \cup (\bigcup_{i < \text{length } ts} \{i \# p \mid p. p \in \text{labelposs } (ts ! i)\})$

abbreviation $\text{possL} :: ('f, 'v) \text{pterm} \Rightarrow \text{pos set}$
where $\text{possL } A \equiv \text{labelposs } (\text{labeled-source } A)$

lemma $\text{labelposs-term-to-term-lab}$: $\text{labelposs } (\text{term-to-term-lab } t) = \{\}$
by $(\text{induct } t) \ \text{simp-all}$

lemma $\text{term-lab-to-term-lab[simp]}$: $\text{term-lab-to-term } (\text{term-to-term-lab } t) = t$

proof $(\text{induct } t)$

case $(\text{Fun } f \ ts)$

then show $?case$

unfolding $\text{term-lab-to-term.simps } \text{term-to-term-lab.simps } \text{fst-conv}$ **by** $(\text{simp add: map-nth-eq-conv})$

qed simp

lemma $\text{term-lab-to-term-subt-at}$:

assumes $p \in \text{poss } t$

shows $\text{term-lab-to-term } t \ |-p = \text{term-lab-to-term } (t|-p)$

using assms **proof** $(\text{induct } p \ \text{arbitrary}:t)$

case (*Cons i p*)
from *args-poss[OF Cons(2)]* **obtain** *f ts* **where** $f:t = \text{Fun } f \text{ } ts$ **and**
 $p:p \in \text{poss } (ts \ ! \ i)$ **and** $i:i < \text{length } ts$ **by** *blast*
from *Cons(1)[OF p]* *i* **show** *?case*
unfolding *f term-lab-to-term.simps* **by** *simp*
qed *simp*

lemma *vars-term-labeled-lhs*: $\text{vars-term } (\text{label-term } \alpha \ i \ t) = \text{vars-term } t$
by (*induct t arbitrary:i*) *simp-all*

lemma *vars-term-list-labeled-lhs*: $\text{vars-term-list } (\text{label-term } \alpha \ i \ t) = \text{vars-term-list } t$

proof (*induct t arbitrary:i*)
case (*Fun f ts*)
show *?case* **unfolding** *label-term.simps vars-term-list.simps* **using** *Fun*
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) length-map map-nth-eq-conv nth-mem*)
qed (*simp add: vars-term-list.simps(1)*)

lemma *var-poss-list-labeled-lhs*: $\text{var-poss-list } (\text{label-term } \alpha \ i \ t) = \text{var-poss-list } t$

proof (*induct t arbitrary:i*)
case (*Fun f ts*)
then have $ts:\text{map } (\text{var-poss-list } \circ \ \text{label-term } \alpha \ (i + 1)) \ ts = \text{map } \text{var-poss-list } ts$
by *auto*
then show *?case*
unfolding *label-term.simps var-poss-list.simps map-map ts* **by** *simp*
qed (*simp add: poss-list.simps(1)*)

lemma *var-labeled-lhs[simp]*: $\text{vars-distinct } (\text{label-term } \alpha \ i \ t) = \text{vars-distinct } t$
by (*simp add: vars-term-list-labeled-lhs*)

lemma *labelposs-subt-at*:

assumes $q \in \text{poss } t \ p \in \text{labelposs } (t|-q)$
shows $q@p \in \text{labelposs } t$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct t arbitrary:q*)
case (*Fun f ts*)
then show *?case* **proof**(*cases q*)
case (*Cons i q'*)
with *Fun(2)* **have** $i:i < \text{length } ts$ **and** $q':q' \in \text{poss } (ts!i)$
by *simp+*
with *Fun(3)* **have** $p \in \text{labelposs } ((ts!i)|-q')$
unfolding *Cons* **by** *simp*
with *Fun(1)* *i q'* **have** $IH:q'@p \in \text{labelposs } (ts!i)$
using *nth-mem* **by** *blast*
obtain *f' lab* **where** $f:f = (f', \text{lab})$
by *fastforce*
then show *?thesis* **proof**(*cases lab*)
case *None*
show *?thesis*


```

      unfolding f Cons None labelposs.simps using i IH by simp
    next
      case (Some a)
      then show ?thesis
        unfolding f Cons Some labelposs.simps using i IH by simp
      qed
    qed simp
  qed simp

```

lemma *var-label-term*:

```

  assumes p ∈ poss t and t|-p = Var x
  shows label-term α n t |-p = Var x
  using assms proof (induct t arbitrary:p n)
  case (Fun f ts)
  then obtain i p' where p':i < length ts p = i#p' p' ∈ poss (ts!i)
    by auto
  then show ?case
    unfolding label-term.simps p'(2) subt-at.simps using Fun(1,3) p'(2) by force
  qed simp

```

lemma *get-label-label-term*:

```

  assumes p ∈ fun-poss t
  shows get-label (label-term α n t|-p) = Some (α, n + size p)
  using assms proof (induct t arbitrary:n p)
  case (Fun f ts)
  show ?case proof (cases p)
  case (Cons i p')
    with Fun(2) have i:i < length ts and p':p' ∈ fun-poss (ts!i) by simp+
    with Fun(1) have get-label (label-term α (n+1) (ts!i) |- p') = Some (α, n +
    1 + size p') by simp
    then show ?thesis unfolding Cons label-term.simps subt-at.simps using i by
    auto
  qed simp
  qed simp

```

lemma *linear-label-term*:

```

  assumes linear-term t
  shows linear-term (label-term α n t)
  using assms proof (induct t arbitrary:n)
  case (Fun f ts)
  from Fun(2) have (is-partition (map vars-term ts))
    by simp
  then have is-partition (map vars-term (map (label-term α (Suc n)) ts))
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) length-map map-nth-eq-conv vars-term-labeled-lhs)
  moreover {fix t assume t:t ∈ set ts
    with Fun(2) have linear-term t
      by simp
    with Fun(1) have linear-term (label-term α (Suc n) t)
      using t by blast
  }

```

```

}
ultimately show ?case unfolding label-term.simps by simp
qed simp

```

```

lemma var-term-lab-to-term:
  assumes  $p \in \text{poss } t$  and  $t|-p = \text{Var } x$ 
  shows  $\text{term-lab-to-term } t |-p = \text{Var } x$ 
  using assms proof(induct t arbitrary:p)
  case (Fun f ts)
  then obtain  $i \ p'$  where  $p':i < \text{length } ts$   $p = i\#p'$   $p' \in \text{poss } (ts!i)$ 
    by auto
  then show ?case
    unfolding term-lab-to-term.simps  $p'(2)$  subt-at.simps using Fun(1,3)  $p'(2)$ 
  by force
qed simp

```

```

lemma poss-term-lab-to-term[simp]:  $\text{poss } t = \text{poss } (\text{term-lab-to-term } t)$ 
  by(induct t) auto

```

```

lemma fun-poss-term-lab-to-term[simp]:  $\text{fun-poss } t = \text{fun-poss } (\text{term-lab-to-term } t)$ 
  by(induct t) auto

```

```

lemma vars-term-list-term-lab-to-term:  $\text{vars-term-list } t = \text{vars-term-list } (\text{term-lab-to-term } t)$ 
  proof(induct t)
  case (Var x)
  then show ?case
    by (simp add: vars-term-list.simps(1))
  next
  case (Fun f ts)
  then show ?case unfolding vars-term-list.simps term-lab-to-term.simps
    by (smt (verit, best) length-map map-eq-conv' nth-map nth-mem)
  qed

```

```

lemma vars-term-list-term-to-term-lab:  $\text{vars-term-list } (\text{term-to-term-lab } t) = \text{vars-term-list } t$ 
  proof(induct t)
  case (Var x)
  then show ?case
    by (simp add: vars-term-list.simps(1))
  next
  case (Fun f ts)
  then show ?case unfolding vars-term-list.simps term-to-term-lab.simps
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) length-map map-nth-eq-conv nth-mem)
  qed

```

```

lemma linear-term-to-term-lab:
  assumes linear-term t

```

```

shows linear-term (term-to-term-lab t)
using assms proof(induct t)
case (Fun f ts)
then show ?case unfolding term-to-term-lab.simps linear-term.simps
  by (smt (verit, best) imageE length-map list.set-map map-nth-eq-conv set-vars-term-list
vars-term-list-term-to-term-lab)
qed simp

```

```

lemma var-poss-list-term-lab-to-term: var-poss-list t = var-poss-list (term-lab-to-term
t)
proof(induct t)
  case (Var x)
    then show ?case
      by (simp add: poss-list.simps(1))
  next
    case (Fun f ts)
      then have *(map var-poss-list ts) = (map var-poss-list (map term-lab-to-term
ts))
        by auto
      then show ?case unfolding term-lab-to-term.simps var-poss-list.simps length-map
*
        by blast
qed

```

```

lemma label-poss-labeled-lhs:
  assumes p ∈ fun-poss (label-term α n t)
  shows p ∈ labelposs (label-term α n t)
  using assms proof(induct t arbitrary:p n)
  case (Fun f ts)
  then show ?case proof(cases p)
    case (Cons i p')
      from Fun(2) have i:i < length ts
        unfolding Cons by simp
      with Fun(2) have p' ∈ fun-poss (label-term α (n+1) (ts!i))
        unfolding Cons by auto
      with i have p' ∈ labelposs (label-term α (n+1) (ts!i))
        using Fun(1) by simp
      with i show ?thesis
        unfolding Cons label-term.simps labelposs.simps by simp
    qed simp
qed simp

```

```

lemma labeled-var:
  assumes source A = Var x
  shows labeled-source A = Var x
  using assms proof(induct A)
  case (Prule α As)
  then show ?case proof(cases As = [])
    case True

```

```

from Prule(2) have lhs  $\alpha = \text{Var } x$ 
  unfolding source.simps True list.map by simp
with True show ?thesis
  by simp
next
case False
then obtain a as where as:As = a # as
  using list.exhaust by blast
from Prule(2) obtain y where y:lhs  $\alpha = \text{Var } y$ 
  using is-Var-def by fastforce
from Prule(2) have source a = Var x
  unfolding source.simps y as single-var by simp
with Prule(1) as have labeled-source a = Var x
  by simp
then show ?thesis
  unfolding labeled-source.simps as y single-var by simp
qed
qed simp-all

lemma labelposs Subs fun-poss: labelposs t  $\subseteq$  fun-poss t
proof(induct t)
case (Fun fl ts)
then show ?case proof(cases snd fl)
  case None
  then obtain f where f:fl = (f, None)
  by (metis prod.collapse)
  then have labelposs (Fun fl ts) =  $(\bigcup_{i < \text{length } ts} \{i \# p \mid p. p \in \text{labelposs } (ts ! i)\})$ 
  by simp
  also have ...  $\subseteq (\bigcup_{i < \text{length } ts} \{i \# p \mid p. p \in \text{fun-poss } (ts ! i)\})$  using Fun
  by (smt SUP-mono basic-trans-rules(31) lessThan-iff mem-Collect-eq nth-mem subsetI)
  finally show ?thesis
  by auto
next
case (Some l)
then obtain f where f:fl = (f, Some l)
  by (metis prod.collapse)
  then have labelposs (Fun fl ts) =  $\{\} \cup (\bigcup_{i < \text{length } ts} \{i \# p \mid p. p \in \text{labelposs } (ts ! i)\})$ 
  by simp
  also have ...  $\subseteq \{\} \cup (\bigcup_{i < \text{length } ts} \{i \# p \mid p. p \in \text{fun-poss } (ts ! i)\})$  using
Fun
  by (smt SUP-mono basic-trans-rules(31) lessThan-iff mem-Collect-eq nth-mem subsetI sup-mono)
  finally show ?thesis
  by auto
qed
qed simp

```

```

lemma labelposs-subs-poss[simp]: labelposs t  $\subseteq$  poss t
  using labelposs-subs-fun-poss fun-poss-imp-poss by blast

lemma get-label-imp-labelposs:
  assumes  $p \in \text{poss } t$  and get-label (t|-p)  $\neq$  None
  shows  $p \in \text{labelposs } t$ 
  using assms proof(induct p arbitrary:t)
  case Nil
  then show ?case unfolding subt-at.simps
    by (smt UnCI get-label.elims insert-iff labelposs.elims prod.sel(2) term.distinct(1)
term.inject(2))
  next
  case (Cons i p)
  then obtain f ts where  $t:t = \text{Fun } f \text{ } ts$  and  $p \in \text{poss } (ts ! i)$  and  $i:i < \text{length } ts$ 
    using args-poss by blast
  with Cons(1,3) have  $p \in \text{labelposs } (ts!i)$ 
    by simp
  with i have  $p:i \# p \in (\bigcup_{i < \text{length } ts. \{i \# p \mid p. p \in \text{labelposs } (ts ! i)\})$ 
    by blast
  then show ?case proof(cases snd f)
    case None
    with p show ?thesis unfolding t using labelposs.simps(2)
      by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) prod.collapse)
    next
    case (Some a)
    with p show ?thesis unfolding t using labelposs.simps(3)
      by (smt UN-iff Un-iff mem-Collect-eq prod.collapse)
  qed
qed

lemma labelposs-obtain-label:
  assumes  $p \in \text{labelposs } t$ 
  shows  $\exists \alpha m. \text{get-label } (t|-p) = \text{Some}(\alpha, m)$ 
  using assms proof(induct t arbitrary: p)
  case (Fun fl ts)
  then show ?case proof(cases p)
    case Nil
    {fix f assume  $f:fl = (f, \text{None})$ 
      from Fun(2) have False unfolding Nil f labelposs.simps(2)
        by blast
    }
  with Nil show ?thesis
    by (metis eq-snd-iff get-label.simps(2) option.exhaust subt-at.simps(1))
  next
  case (Cons i q)
  with Fun(2) have  $iq:i \# q \in \text{labelposs } (\text{Fun } fl \text{ } ts)$ 
    by simp
  then have  $i:i < \text{length } ts$ 

```

```

    using labelposs-subs-poss by fastforce
  with iq have  $i \# q \in \{i \# p \mid p. p \in \text{labelposs } (ts \ ! \ i)\}$  proof (cases snd fl)
  case (Some a)
  then obtain  $f \ \alpha \ n$  where  $f:fl = (f, \text{Some } (\alpha, n))$ 
    by (metis eq-snd-iff)
  from iq show ?thesis unfolding f labelposs.simps
    by blast
  qed (smt UN-iff labelposs.simps(2) list.inject mem-Collect-eq prod.collapse)
  with i Fun(1) Cons show ?thesis
    by simp
  qed
qed simp

```

```

lemma possL-obtain-label:
  assumes  $p \in \text{possL } A$ 
  shows  $\exists \alpha \ m. \text{get-label } ((\text{labeled-source } A)|-p) = \text{Some}(\alpha, m)$ 
  using assms labelposs-obtain-label by blast

```

```

lemma labeled-source-apply-subst:
  assumes  $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  shows  $\text{labeled-source } (A \cdot \sigma) = (\text{labeled-source } A) \cdot (\text{labeled-source } \circ \sigma)$ 
using assms proof (induct A)
  case ( $\exists \alpha \ As$ )
  have  $id:\forall x \in \text{vars-term } (\text{labeled-lhs } \alpha). (\langle \text{map } (\text{labeled-source } \circ (\lambda t. t \cdot \sigma)) \ As \rangle_\alpha)$ 
 $x = (\langle \text{map } \text{labeled-source } As \rangle_\alpha \circ_s (\text{labeled-source } \circ \sigma)) x$ 
  proof –
  have  $\text{vars:vars-term } (\text{labeled-lhs } \alpha) = \text{set } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$  using vars-term-labeled-lhs
  by simp
  { fix  $i$  assume  $i < \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$ 
    with  $\exists$  have  $(\langle \text{map } (\text{labeled-source } \circ (\lambda t. t \cdot \sigma)) \ As \rangle_\alpha) ((\text{var-rule } \alpha)!i) =$ 
 $\text{labeled-source } ((As)!i) \cdot \sigma$ 
    by (simp add: mk-subst-distinct)
    also have  $\dots = \text{labeled-source } (As)!i \cdot (\text{labeled-source } \circ \sigma)$ 
    using  $\exists \ i$  by (metis nth-mem)
    also have  $\dots = (\langle \text{map } \text{labeled-source } As \rangle_\alpha \circ_s (\text{labeled-source } \circ \sigma)) ((\text{var-rule } \alpha)!i)$ 
    using  $\exists \ i$  unfolding subst-compose-def by (simp add: mk-subst-distinct)
    finally have  $(\langle \text{map } (\text{labeled-source } \circ (\lambda t. t \cdot \sigma)) \ As \rangle_\alpha) ((\text{var-rule } \alpha)!i) =$ 
 $(\langle \text{map } \text{labeled-source } As \rangle_\alpha \circ_s (\text{labeled-source } \circ \sigma)) ((\text{var-rule } \alpha)!i)$  .
  } with vars show ?thesis by (metis in-set-idx)
  qed
  have  $\text{labeled-source } ((\text{Prule } \alpha \ As) \cdot \sigma) = (\text{labeled-lhs } \alpha) \cdot \langle \text{map } (\text{labeled-source } \circ$ 
 $(\lambda t. t \cdot \sigma)) \ As \rangle_\alpha$ 
    unfolding eval-term.simps(2) by simp
  also have  $\dots = (\text{labeled-lhs } \alpha) \cdot (\langle \text{map } \text{labeled-source } As \rangle_\alpha \circ_s (\text{labeled-source } \circ$ 
 $\sigma))$ 
    using id by (meson term-subst-eq)
  also have  $\dots = (\text{labeled-source } (\text{Prule } \alpha \ As)) \cdot (\text{labeled-source } \circ \sigma)$  by simp
  finally show ?case .

```

qed *simp-all*

lemma *labelposs-apply-subst*:

$labelposs (s \cdot \sigma) = labelposs s \cup \{p@q \mid p \ q \ x. \ p \in \text{var-poss } s \wedge s|-p = \text{Var } x \wedge q \in labelposs (\sigma \ x)\}$

proof(*induct s*)

case (*Fun f ts*)

obtain $f' \ l$ **where** $f:f = (f', l)$ **by** *fastforce*

let $?lp1 = \bigcup_{i < \text{length } ts}. \{i \# p \mid p. \ p \in labelposs (ts \ ! \ i)\}$

let $?lp2 = \bigcup_{i < \text{length } ts}. \{i \# (p@q) \mid p \ q \ x. \ p \in \text{var-poss } (ts!i) \wedge (ts!i)|-p = \text{Var } x \wedge q \in labelposs (\sigma \ x)\}$

{fix i **assume** $i:i < \text{length } ts$

with *Fun* **have** $\{i \# p \mid p. \ p \in labelposs (ts \ ! \ i \cdot \sigma)\} = \{i \# p \mid p. \ p \in labelposs (ts!i) \cup \{p@q \mid p \ q \ x. \ p \in \text{var-poss } (ts!i) \wedge (ts!i)|-p = \text{Var } x \wedge q \in labelposs (\sigma \ x)\}\}$

by *auto*

then **have** $\{i \# p \mid p. \ p \in labelposs (\text{map } (\lambda s. \ s \cdot \sigma) \ ts \ ! \ i)\} = \{i \# p \mid p. \ p \in labelposs (ts!i)\} \cup \{i \# (p@q) \mid p \ q \ x. \ p \in \text{var-poss } (ts!i) \wedge (ts!i)|-p = \text{Var } x \wedge q \in labelposs (\sigma \ x)\}$

unfolding *Un-iff* **using** i **by** *auto*

}note $IH=this$

{fix i **assume** $i:i < \text{length } ts$

let $?l = \{i \# (p@q) \mid p \ q \ x. \ p \in \text{var-poss } (ts!i) \wedge (ts!i)|-p = \text{Var } x \wedge q \in labelposs (\sigma \ x)\}$

let $?r = \{p@q \mid p \ q \ x. \ p \in \{i \# p \mid p. \ p \in \text{var-poss } (ts \ ! \ i)\} \wedge (\text{Fun } f \ ts)|-p = \text{Var } x \wedge q \in labelposs (\sigma \ x)\}$

have $?l = ?r$ **proof**

show $?l \subseteq ?r$

by (*smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) Collect-mono-iff Cons-eq-appendI mem-Collect-eq subt-at.simps(2)*)

show $?r \subseteq ?l$

by (*smt (verit, best) Collect-mono-iff Cons-eq-appendI mem-Collect-eq subt-at.simps(2)*)

qed

}

then **have** $lp2:\{p@q \mid p \ q \ x. \ p \in \text{var-poss } (\text{Fun } f \ ts) \wedge (\text{Fun } f \ ts)|-p = \text{Var } x \wedge q \in labelposs (\sigma \ x)\} = ?lp2$

unfolding *var-poss.simps* **by** *auto*

show $?case$ **proof**(*cases l*)

case *None*

have $labelposs (\text{Fun } f \ ts \cdot \sigma) = ?lp1 \cup ?lp2$

unfolding *eval-term.simps f None labelposs.simps length-map* **using** IH **by** *auto*

moreover **have** $labelposs (\text{Fun } f \ ts) = ?lp1$

unfolding $f \ None$ **by** *simp*

ultimately **show** $?thesis$ **using** $lp2$ **by** *simp*

next

case (*Some a*)

have $labelposs (\text{Fun } f \ ts \cdot \sigma) = \{\}\cup ?lp1 \cup ?lp2$

unfolding *eval-term.simps f Some labelposs.simps length-map* **using** IH **by**

auto
moreover have *labelposs* (*Fun f ts*) = $\{\{\}\} \cup ?lp1$
unfolding *f Some* **by** *simp*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *lp2* **by** *simp*
qed
qed *simp*

lemma *possL-apply-subst*:
assumes $A \cdot \sigma \in wf\text{-pterm } R$
shows $possL (A \cdot \sigma) = possL A \cup \{p@q \mid p \ q \ x. p \in var\text{-poss } (labeled\text{-source } A) \wedge (labeled\text{-source } A)|\text{-}p = Var \ x \wedge q \in possL (\sigma \ x)\}$
proof –
from *assms* **have** $*:labeled\text{-source } (A \cdot \sigma) = labeled\text{-source } A \cdot (labeled\text{-source } \circ \sigma)$
using *labeled-source-apply-subst subst-imp-well-def* **by** *blast*
then show *?thesis* **unfolding** $*$ *labelposs-apply-subst*
by *auto*
qed

lemma *label-term-to-term[simp]*: $term\text{-lab-to-term } (label\text{-term } \alpha \ n \ t) = t$
by(*induct t arbitrary:α n*)(*simp-all add: map-nth-eq-conv*)

lemma *fun-poss-label-term*: $p \in fun\text{-poss } (label\text{-term } \beta \ n \ t) \longleftrightarrow p \in fun\text{-poss } t$
proof
{**assume** $p \in fun\text{-poss } (label\text{-term } \beta \ n \ t)$
then show $p \in fun\text{-poss } t$ **proof**(*induct t arbitrary:n p*)
case (*Fun f ts*)
then show *?case* **by**(*cases p*) *auto*
qed *simp*
}
{**assume** $p \in fun\text{-poss } t$
then show $p \in fun\text{-poss } (label\text{-term } \beta \ n \ t)$ **proof**(*induct t arbitrary:n p*)
case (*Fun f ts*)
then show *?case* **by**(*cases p*) *auto*
qed *simp*
}
qed

lemma *term-lab-to-term-subst*: $term\text{-lab-to-term } (t \cdot \sigma) = term\text{-lab-to-term } t \cdot (term\text{-lab-to-term } \circ \sigma)$
proof(*induct t*)
case (*Fun f As*)
then show *?case* **unfolding** *eval-term.simps(2)* *term-lab-to-term.simps*
by *fastforce*
qed *simp*

lemma *labeled-source-to-term[simp]*: $term\text{-lab-to-term } (labeled\text{-source } A) = source \ A$
proof(*induct A*)

case ($Prule \alpha As$)
have $term\text{-}lab\text{-}to\text{-}term \circ \langle map \text{ labeled-source } As \rangle_\alpha = \langle map (term\text{-}lab\text{-}to\text{-}term \circ \text{labeled-source}) As \rangle_\alpha$
by *simp*
also have $\dots = \langle map \text{ source } As \rangle_\alpha$ **using** *Prule*
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *comp-apply map-eq-conv*)
finally show *?case unfolding labeled-source.simps source.simps*
by (*simp add: term-lab-to-term-subst*)
qed *simp-all*

lemma *possL-subset-poss-source*: $possL A \subseteq poss (source A)$
using *poss-term-lab-to-term labeled-source-to-term labelposs-subs-poss*
by *metis*

lemma *labeled-source-pos*:
assumes $p \in poss s$ **and** $term\text{-}lab\text{-}to\text{-}term t = s$
shows $term\text{-}lab\text{-}to\text{-}term (t|-p) = s|-p$
using *assms proof(induct p arbitrary:s t)*
case (*Cons i p*)
from *Cons(2)* **obtain** $f ss$ **where** $s:s = Fun f ss$
using *args-poss* **by** *blast*
with *Cons(2)* **have** $p:p \in poss (ss!i)$
by *force*
from *Cons(3) s* **obtain** $label ts$ **where** $t:t = Fun (f, label) ts$
by (*metis args-poss local.Cons(2) poss-term-lab-to-term prod.collapse term.inject(2) term-lab-to-term.simps(2)*)
with *Cons(2,3) s* **have** $term\text{-}lab\text{-}to\text{-}term (ts!i) = ss!i$
by *auto*
with *Cons(1) p* **show** *?case unfolding s t*
by *simp*
qed *simp*

lemma *get-label-at-fun-poss-subst*:
assumes $p \in fun\text{-}poss t$
shows $get\text{-}label ((t \cdot \sigma)|-p) = get\text{-}label (t|-p)$
using *assms fun-poss-fun-conv fun-poss-imp-poss* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *labeled-source-simple-pterm*: $possL (to\text{-}pterm t) = \{\}$
by(*induct t*) *simp-all*

lemma *label-term-increase*:
assumes $s = (label\text{-}term \alpha n t) \cdot \sigma$ **and** $p \in fun\text{-}poss t$
shows $get\text{-}label (s|-p) = Some (\alpha, n + length p)$
using *assms proof(induct p arbitrary: s t n)*
case *Nil*
then obtain $f ts$ **where** $t = Fun f ts$
by (*metis fun-poss-list.simps(1) in-set-simps(3) is-FunE is-Var-def set-fun-poss-list*)
with *Nil(1)* **show** *?case*
by *simp*

```

next
case (Cons i p)
then obtain f ts where f:t = Fun f ts and i:i<length ts
  by (meson args-poss fun-poss-imp-poss)
with Cons(β) have p:p ∈ fun-poss (ts!i)
  by auto
let ?s' = (label-term α (n+1) (ts!i)) · σ
from Cons(1) p have get-label (?s'|- p) = Some (α, n + 1 + length p)
  by blast
with i show ?case unfolding Cons(2) f
  by simp
qed

```

The number attached to a labeled function symbol cannot exceed the depth of that function symbol.

lemma *label-term-max-value*:

assumes $p \in \text{poss}(\text{labeled-source } A)$ **and** $\text{get-label}((\text{labeled-source } A)|-p) = \text{Some}(\alpha, n)$

and $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

shows $n \leq \text{length } p$

using *assms* **proof**(*induct A arbitrary: p*)

case ($P\text{fun } f \text{ As}$)

then show ?case **proof**(*cases p*)

case ($\text{Cons } i \ q$)

with $P\text{fun}(2)$ **have** $i:i < \text{length } \text{As}$ **by** *simp*

with $P\text{fun}(3)$ **have** $\text{lab:get-label}(\text{labeled-source } (\text{As!}i) \ |- \ q) = \text{Some}(\alpha, n)$

unfolding Cons **by** *simp*

with $P\text{fun}(2)$ i **have** $q \in \text{poss}(\text{labeled-source } (\text{As!}i))$

unfolding Cons **by** *auto*

with $P\text{fun}(1,4)$ $\text{Cons } i \ \text{lab}$ **show** ?thesis

using *nth-mem fun-well-arg* **by** *fastforce*

qed *simp*

next

case ($\text{Prule } \beta \ \text{As}$)

from $\text{Prule}(2)$ **consider** $p \in \text{fun-poss}(\text{labeled-lhs } \beta) \mid (\exists p1 \ p2 \ x. p = p1 @ p2$
 $\wedge p1 \in \text{poss}(\text{labeled-lhs } \beta) \wedge (\text{labeled-lhs } \beta)|-p1 =$

Var x

$\wedge p2 \in \text{poss}(((\text{map labeled-source } \text{As})_\beta) \ x)$

$\wedge (\text{labeled-source } (\text{Prule } \beta \ \text{As}))|-p = (((\text{map}$

$\text{labeled-source } \text{As})_\beta) \ x)|-p2)$

unfolding *labeled-source.simps* **by** (*meson poss-is-Fun-fun-poss poss-subst-choice*)

then show ?case **proof**(*cases*)

case 1

then have $p \in \text{fun-poss}(\text{lhs } \beta)$

by (*simp add: fun-poss-label-term*)

then have $\text{get-label}((\text{labeled-source } (\text{Prule } \beta \ \text{As}))|-p) = \text{Some}(\beta, \text{length } p)$

unfolding *labeled-source.simps* **by** (*simp add: label-term-increase*)

with $\text{Prule}(3)$ **show** ?thesis **by** *auto*

next

```

case 2
then obtain  $p1\ p2\ x$  where  $p1p2:p = p1 @ p2$  and  $x:p1 \in \text{poss}(\text{labeled-lhs } \beta) \wedge \text{labeled-lhs } \beta \mid - p1 = \text{Var } x$ 
  and  $p2:p2 \in \text{poss}(\langle \text{map labeled-source } As \rangle_\beta) x$ 
  and  $\text{lab:labeled-source } (Prule\ \beta\ As) \mid - p = \langle \text{map labeled-source } As \rangle_\beta x \mid - p2$ 
  by blast
from  $Prule(4)$  have  $l:\text{length } As = \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \beta)$ 
  using wf-pterm.simps by fastforce
from  $x$  have  $x \in \text{vars-term } (\text{lhs } \beta)$ 
by (metis subt-at-imp-supteq subteq-Var-imp-in-vars-term vars-term-labeled-lhs)
with  $x$  obtain  $i$  where  $i:i < \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \beta) \wedge (\text{var-rule } \beta)!i = x$ 
  by (metis in-set-conv-nth set-vars-term-list vars-term-list-vars-distinct)
with  $l$  have  $*(\langle \text{map labeled-source } As \rangle_\beta) x = \text{labeled-source } (As!i)$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) length-map lhs-subst-var-i nth-map)
with  $Prule(3)$   $\text{lab}$  have  $\text{get-label } ((\text{labeled-source } (As!i)) \mid - p2) = \text{Some } (\alpha, n)$ 
  by simp
with  $Prule(1,4)$   $p2 * i\ l$  have  $n \leq \text{length } p2$ 
  by (metis fun-well-arg nth-mem)
with  $*\ p1p2\ \text{lab}\ i\ l$  show ?thesis by force
qed
qed simp

```

The labels decrease when moving up towards the root from a labeled function symbol.

lemma *label-decrease*:

```

assumes  $p@q \in \text{poss}(\text{labeled-source } A)$ 
  and  $\text{get-label } ((\text{labeled-source } A) \mid - (p@q)) = \text{Some } (\alpha, \text{length } q + n)$ 
  and  $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
shows  $\text{get-label } ((\text{labeled-source } A) \mid - p) = \text{Some } (\alpha, n)$ 
using assms proof (induct A arbitrary: p q)
case (Pfun f As)
then show ?case proof (cases p)
  case Nil
    from  $Pfun(2,3)$  obtain  $i\ q'$  where  $iq':q = i\#q'$  and  $i:i < \text{length } As$  and  $q':q' \in \text{poss}(\text{labeled-source } (As!i))$ 
    unfolding Nil by auto
    with  $Pfun(2,3)$  have  $\text{get-label } (\text{labeled-source } (As!i) \mid - (q')) = \text{Some } (\alpha, \text{length } q + n)$ 
    unfolding Nil by auto
    with  $iq'\ q'$  have False
    using label-term-max-value Pfun(4) i fun-well-arg by (metis le-imp-less-Suc length-nth-simps(2) not-add-less1 nth-mem)
    then show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case (Cons i p')
    with  $Pfun(2)$  have  $ip':p = i\#p'$  and  $i:i < \text{length } As$ 
    by auto
    with  $Pfun(2)$  have  $p':p'@q \in \text{poss}(\text{labeled-source } (As!i))$ 
    by simp

```

```

from Pfun(3) i ip' have get-label (labeled-source (As!i) |- (p'@q)) = Some (α,
length q + n)
  by simp
with Pfun(1,4) p' i have get-label ((labeled-source (As!i))|-p') = Some (α, n)
  by (metis fun-well-arg nth-mem)
then show ?thesis
  using i ip' by fastforce
qed
next
case (Prule β As)
from Prule(2) consider p@q ∈ fun-poss (labeled-lhs β) | (∃ p1 p2 x. p@q =
p1@p2
    ∧ p1 ∈ poss (labeled-lhs β) ∧ (labeled-lhs β)|-p1 =
Var x
    ∧ p2 ∈ poss ((⟨map labeled-source As⟩β) x)
    ∧ (labeled-source (Prule β As))|- (p@q) = ((⟨map
labeled-source As⟩β) x)|-p2)
unfolding labeled-source.simps by (meson poss-is-Fun-fun-poss poss-subst-choice)
then show ?case proof(cases)
  case 1
    then have lab:get-label ((labeled-source (Prule β As))|- (p@q)) = Some (β,
length p + length q)
    by (simp add: fun-poss-label-term label-term-increase)
    from 1 have p ∈ fun-poss (labeled-lhs β) proof(cases q)
      case (Cons a list)
        then show ?thesis
        using 1 fun-poss-append-poss fun-poss-imp-poss by blast
    qed simp
    with Prule(3) lab show ?thesis
    by (simp add: fun-poss-label-term label-term-increase)
  next
    case 2
      then obtain p1 p2 x where p1p2:p@q = p1 @ p2 and x:p1 ∈ poss (labeled-lhs
β) ∧ labeled-lhs β |- p1 = Var x
        and p2:p2 ∈ poss ((⟨map labeled-source As⟩β) x)
        and lab:labeled-source (Prule β As) |- (p@q) = ((⟨map labeled-source As⟩β) x
|- p2
          by blast
        from Prule(4) have l:length As = length (var-rule β)
        using wf-pterm.simps by fastforce
        from x have x ∈ vars-term (lhs β)
        by (metis subt-at-imp-supteq subteq-Var-imp-in-vars-term vars-term-labeled-lhs)
        then obtain i where i:i < length (var-rule β) ∧ (var-rule β)!i = x
        by (metis in-set-idx set-vars-term-list vars-term-list-vars-distinct)
        with l have *:((⟨map labeled-source As⟩β) x = labeled-source (As!i)
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) length-map lhs-subst-var-i nth-map)
        with Prule(3) lab have as-i:get-label ((labeled-source (As!i))|-p2) = Some (α,
length q + n)
        by simp

```

```

have p1-above-p:p1 ≤p p proof(rule ccontr)
  assume ¬ p1 ≤p p
  with p1p2 have length p < length p1
    by (metis less-eq-pos-simps(1) pos-cases pos-less-eq-append-not-parallel pre-
fix-smaller)
  with p1p2 have le:length p2 < length q
    using length-append by (metis add.commute less-add-eq-less)
  with as-i Prule(4) * i l p2 have length q + n ≤ length p2
    by (metis fun-well-arg label-term-max-value nth-mem)
  with le show False by linarith
qed
let ?p'=the (remove-prefix p1 p)
from p1-above-p p1p2 have p2':p2 = ?p' @ q
  by (metis append-assoc pos-diff-def prefix-pos-diff same-append-eq)
then have lab':labeled-source (Prule β As) |-(p1@?p') = (⟨map labeled-source
As⟩β) x |-p'
  using x p1p2 Prule(2) <bunfolding labeled-source.simps
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) labeled-source.simps(3) poss-append-poss eval-term.simps(1)
subt-at-subst subterm-poss-conv)
from p2' Prule(1,4) p2 * i l as-i have get-label ((labeled-source (As!i))|-p')
= Some (α, n)
  by (metis fun-well-arg nth-mem)
<bwith lab' * show ?thesis
  by (metis p1-above-p pos-diff-def prefix-pos-diff)
qed
qed simp

```

If a function symbol is labeled with (α, n) , then the function symbol n positions above it is labeled with $(\alpha, 0)$.

lemma *obtain-label-root*:

```

assumes p ∈ poss (labeled-source A)
  and get-label ((labeled-source A)|-p) = Some (α, n)
  and A ∈ wf-pterm R
shows get-label ((labeled-source A)|-(take (length p - n) p)) = Some (α, 0) ∧
take (length p - n) p ∈ poss (labeled-source A)
proof -
from assms have n:n ≤ length p
  using label-term-max-value by blast
with assms show ?thesis
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) add.right-neutral append-take-drop-id diff-diff-cancel
label-decrease length-drop poss-append-poss)
qed

```

lemma *label-ctxt-apply-term*:

```

assumes get-label (labeled-source A |- p) = l q ∈ poss s
shows get-label (labeled-source ((ctxt-of-pos-term q (to-pterm s)) ⟨A⟩) |-(q@p))
= l
using assms(2) proof(induct s arbitrary:q)
  case (Var x)

```

```

then have  $q:q = []$  by simp
from assms(1) show ?case unfolding  $q$  by simp
next
case (Fun f ts)
then show ?case proof (cases  $q$ )
  case Nil
  from assms(1) show ?thesis unfolding Nil by simp
next
case (Cons i q')
with Fun(2) have  $i:i < \text{length } ts$  and  $q':q' \in \text{poss } (ts!i)$  by auto
with Fun(1) have  $\text{get-label } (\text{labeled-source } (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } q' (\text{to-pterm } (ts!i)))) \langle A \rangle$ 
 $|- (q' @ p) = l$  by simp
then show ?thesis
  unfolding to-pterm.simps Cons ctxt-of-pos-term.simps labeled-source.simps
append-Cons intp-actxt.simps subt-at.simps
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Cons-nth-drop-Suc append-take-drop-id i length-append
length-map less-imp-le-nat list.size(4) nth-append-take nth-map)
qed
qed

```

lemma *single-redex-at-p-label*:

```

assumes  $p \in \text{poss } s$  and is-Fun (lhs  $\alpha$ )
shows  $\text{get-label } (\text{labeled-source } (\text{ll-single-redex } s p \alpha) |-p) = \text{Some}(\alpha, 0)$ 
proof -
  from assms(2) obtain  $f ts$  where  $f:\text{lhs } \alpha = \text{Fun } f ts$ 
  by blast
  have  $\text{get-label } (\text{labeled-source } (\text{Prule } \alpha (\text{map } (\text{to-pterm } \circ (\lambda pi. s |- (p @ pi)))$ 
(var-poss-list (lhs  $\alpha)))) = \text{Some } (\alpha, 0)$ 
  unfolding  $f$  labeled-source.simps label-term.simps eval-term.simps get-label.simps
  by simp
  then show ?thesis
  unfolding ll-single-redex-def using label-ctxt-apply-term[where  $p=[]$ ] assms(1)
  by (smt (verit) self-append-conv subt-at.simps(1))
qed

```

Whenever a function symbol at position p has label $(\alpha, 0)$ or no label in *labeled-source* A , then we know that there exists a position q in A such that $A |- q = \alpha As$ for appropriate As . Moreover, taking the source of the context at position q must be the same as first computing the source of A and then taking the context at p .

context *left-lin*

begin

lemma *poss-labeled-source*:

```

assumes  $p \in \text{poss } (\text{labeled-source } A)$ 
  and  $\text{get-label } ((\text{labeled-source } A)|-p) = \text{Some } (\alpha, 0)$ 
  and  $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
shows  $\exists q \in \text{poss } A. \text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p (\text{source } A) = \text{source-ctxt } (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } q A) \wedge$ 
 $A|-q = \text{Prule } \alpha (\text{map } (\lambda i. A|-(q@[i])) [0..\text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)])$ 

```

```

using assms proof(induct A arbitrary:p)
  case (Var x)
  then have  $p = []$  by simp
  with Var(2) have False unfolding labeled-source.simps by simp
  then show ?case by blast
next
  case (Pfun f As)
  then show ?case proof(cases p)
    case (Cons i p')
    with Pfun(2) have  $ip':p = i\#p'$  and  $i:i < \text{length } As$ 
      by auto
    with Pfun(2) have  $p':p' \in \text{poss } (\text{labeled-source } (As!i))$ 
      by simp
    from Pfun(3) i ip' have  $\text{get-label } (\text{labeled-source } (As!i) \mid - p') = \text{Some } (\alpha, 0)$ 
      by simp
    with Pfun(1,4) p' i obtain q where  $q:q \in \text{poss } (As!i)$  and ctxt-of-pos-term
 $p' (\text{source } (As!i)) = \text{source-ctxt } (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } q (As!i))$ 
      and  $\text{prule}:(As!i)\mid -q = \text{Prule } \alpha (\text{map } (\lambda j. (As!i)\mid -(q@[j])) [0..\text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)])$ 
    )
    using nth-mem by blast
    then have  $\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p (\text{source } (Pfun f As)) = \text{source-ctxt } (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } (i\#q) (Pfun f As))$ 
      unfolding ip' using i by(simp add: take-map drop-map)
    then show ?thesis
      using  $q(1)$  i prule by fastforce
  qed simp
next
  case (Prule β As)
  have  $l:\text{length } As = \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \beta)$ 
    using Prule(4) using wf-pterm.simps by fastforce
  from Prule(2) consider  $p \in \text{fun-poss } (\text{labeled-lhs } \beta) \mid (\exists p1 p2 x. p = p1@p2 \wedge p1 \in \text{poss } (\text{labeled-lhs } \beta) \wedge (\text{labeled-lhs } \beta)\mid -p1 =$ 

$$\wedge p2 \in \text{poss } ((\langle \text{map } \text{labeled-source } As \rangle_{\beta}) x)$$


$$\wedge (\text{labeled-source } (Prule \beta As))\mid -p = ((\langle \text{map } \text{labeled-source } As \rangle_{\beta}) x)\mid -p2)$$

    )
  unfolding labeled-source.simps by (meson poss-is-Fun-fun-poss poss-subst-choice)
  then show ?case proof(cases)
    case 1
    then have  $p \in \text{fun-poss } (\text{lhs } \beta)$ 
      by (simp add: fun-poss-label-term)
    then have  $\text{get-label } ((\text{labeled-source } (Prule \beta As))\mid -p) = \text{Some } (\beta, \text{length } p)$ 
      unfolding labeled-source.simps by (simp add: label-term-increase)
    with Prule(3) have  $p:p = []$  and  $\alpha:\alpha = \beta$  by simp+
    have  $As = (\text{map } (\lambda i. Prule \beta As \mid - ([i])) [0..\text{length } As])$ 
      by (simp add: map-nth)
    then have  $As = (\text{map } (\lambda i. Prule \beta As \mid - ([i] @ [i])) [0..\text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)])$ 
      unfolding  $\alpha$  using l by force
    then show ?thesis unfolding  $p \alpha$  by auto

```

```

next
  case 2
  then obtain p1 p2 x where p1p2:p = p1 @ p2 and x:p1 ∈ poss (labeled-lhs
β) ∧ labeled-lhs β |- p1 = Var x
    and p2:p2 ∈ poss ((⟨map labeled-source As⟩β) x)
    and lab:labeled-source (Prule β As) |- p = ((⟨map labeled-source As⟩β) x |- p2)
  by blast
  from Prule(4) have l:length As = length (var-rule β)
  using wf-pterm.simps by fastforce
  from Prule(4) have to-rule β ∈ R
  using wf-pterm.cases by force
  with left-lin have lin:linear-term (lhs β)
  using left-linear-trs-def by fastforce
  from x have p1:p1 ∈ poss (lhs β) by simp
  then have p1':p1 ∈ poss ((lhs β) · ⟨map source As⟩β) by simp
  from p1 x have x':lhs β |- p1 = Var x
  by (metis label-term-to-term labeled-source-pos term-lab-to-term.simps(1))
  from p1 x' obtain i where i:i < length (vars-term-list (lhs β)) var-poss-list
(lhs β) ! i = p1 vars-term-list (lhs β) ! i = x
  by (metis in-set-idx length-var-poss-list term.inject(1) var-poss-iff var-poss-list-sound
vars-term-list-var-poss-list)
  with lin have i':i < length (var-rule β) ∧ (var-rule β)!i = x
  by (metis linear-term-var-vars-term-list)
  with l have *: (⟨map labeled-source As⟩β) x = labeled-source (As!i)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) length-map lhs-subst-var-i nth-map)
  with Prule(3) lab have get-label ((labeled-source (As!i))|-p2) = Some (α, 0)
  by simp
  with Prule(1,4) p2 * i' l obtain q where q:q∈poss (As!i) ctxt-of-pos-term p2
(source (As!i)) = source-ctxt (ctxt-of-pos-term q (As!i))
  (As!i) |- q = Prule α (map (λj. (As!i) |- (q @ [j])) [0..β = source (As!i)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) i' l length-map lhs-subst-var-i nth-map eval-term.simps(1))
  have ctxt-of-pos-term p (source (Prule β As)) = source-ctxt (ctxt-of-pos-term
(i#q) (Prule β As)) proof -
  {fix y assume y ∈ vars-term (lhs β) y ≠ vars-term-list (lhs β) ! i
  then obtain j where j:j < length (var-rule β) y = (var-rule β) ! j j ≠ i
  by (metis in-set-conv-nth lin linear-term-var-vars-term-list set-vars-term-list)
  have x:(vars-term-list (lhs β) ! length (take i As)) = x
  by (metis i' id-take-nth-drop l length-take lin linear-term-var-vars-term-list
nth-append-length)
  from j have ((⟨map source (take i As @ Var x # drop (Suc i) As)⟩β) y =
source (As!j))
  using apply-lhs-subst-var-rule l
  by (smt (verit, best) Cons-nth-drop-Suc append-Cons-nth-not-middle ap-
pend-take-drop-id i' length-append length-map length-nth-simps(2) lin linear-term-var-vars-term-list

```



```

nth-map x)
  then have ((map source (take i As @ Var (vars-term-list (lhs β) ! length
(take i As)) # drop (Suc i) As))β) y = ((map source As)β) y
  unfolding x by (metis (no-types, lifting) j(1,2) l length-map lhs-subst-var-i
nth-map)
}
then have *:ctxt-of-pos-term p1 (lhs β) ·c ⟨map source As⟩β =
  ctxt-of-pos-term p1 (lhs β · ⟨map source (take i As @ Var (vars-term-list
(lhs β) ! length (take i As)) # drop (Suc i) As))β)
  using i
  unfolding ctxt-of-pos-term-subst[OF p1, symmetric]
  apply (intro ctxt-of-pos-term-hole-subst[OF lin, of i])
  subgoal by (metis length-var-poss-list)
  by auto
then show ?thesis
unfolding source.simps p1p2 ctxt-of-pos-term-append[OF p1'] ctxt-of-pos-term-subst[OF
p1] subst-at-subst[OF p1] x' ctxt-of-pos-term.simps source-ctxt.simps Let-def x-sub
q(2) * p1''
  by simp
qed
moreover from q(3) have Prule β As |- (i#q) = Prule α (map (λj. Prule β
As |- ((i#q) @ [j])) [0..

```

lemma *poss-labeled-source-None*:

```

assumes p ∈ poss (labeled-source A)
  and get-label ((labeled-source A)|-p) = None
  and A ∈ wf-pterm R
shows ∃ q ∈ poss A. ctxt-of-pos-term p (source A) = source-ctxt (ctxt-of-pos-term
q A)
using assms proof(induct A arbitrary:p)
  case (Pfun f As)
  then show ?case proof(cases p)
    case (Cons i p')
    with Pfun(2) have ip':p = i#p' and i:i < length As
      by auto
    with Pfun(2) have p':p' ∈ poss (labeled-source (As!i))
      by simp
    from Pfun(3) have get-label (labeled-source (As ! i) |- p') = None
      unfolding ip' labeled-source.simps using i by simp
    with Pfun(1,4) p' i obtain q where q:q ∈ poss (As!i) and ctxt-of-pos-term
p' (source (As!i)) = source-ctxt (ctxt-of-pos-term q (As!i))
      using nth-mem by blast
    then have ctxt-of-pos-term p (source (Pfun f As)) = source-ctxt (ctxt-of-pos-term
(i#q) (Pfun f As))

```

```

    unfolding ip' using i by (simp add: take-map drop-map)
  then show ?thesis
    using q(1) i by fastforce
qed simp
next
case (Prule β As)
have l:length As = length (var-rule β)
  using Prule(4) using wf-pterm.simps by fastforce
from Prule(2) consider p ∈ fun-poss (labeled-lhs β) | (∃ p1 p2 x. p = p1 @ p2
  ∧ p1 ∈ poss (labeled-lhs β) ∧ (labeled-lhs β)|-p1 =
Var x
  ∧ p2 ∈ poss ((⟨map labeled-source As⟩β) x)
  ∧ (labeled-source (Prule β As))|-p = ((⟨map
labeled-source As⟩β) x)|-p2)
  unfolding labeled-source.simps by (meson poss-is-Fun-fun-poss poss-subst-choice)
  then show ?case proof (cases)
  case 1
  then have p ∈ fun-poss (lhs β)
    by (simp add: fun-poss-label-term)
  then have get-label ((labeled-source (Prule β As))|-p) = Some (β, length p)
    unfolding labeled-source.simps by (simp add: label-term-increase)
  then show ?thesis
    using Prule(3) by simp
  next
  case 2
  then obtain p1 p2 x where p1 p2 : p = p1 @ p2 and x : p1 ∈ poss (labeled-lhs
β) ∧ labeled-lhs β |- p1 = Var x
    and p2 : p2 ∈ poss ((⟨map labeled-source As⟩β) x)
    and lab : labeled-source (Prule β As) |- p = ((⟨map labeled-source As⟩β) x |- p2)
    by blast
  from Prule(4) have l:length As = length (var-rule β)
    using wf-pterm.simps by fastforce
  from Prule(4) have to-rule β ∈ R
    using wf-pterm.cases by force
  with left-lin have lin : linear-term (lhs β)
    using left-linear-trs-def by fastforce
  from x have p1 : p1 ∈ poss (lhs β) by simp
  then have p1' : p1 ∈ poss ((lhs β) · ⟨map source As⟩β) by simp
  from p1 x have x' : lhs β |- p1 = Var x
    by (metis label-term-to-term labeled-source-pos term-lab-to-term.simps(1))
  from p1 x' obtain i where i : i < length (vars-term-list (lhs β)) var-poss-list
(lhs β) ! i = p1 vars-term-list (lhs β) ! i = x
    by (metis in-set-idx length-var-poss-list term.inject(1) var-poss-iff var-poss-list-sound
vars-term-list-var-poss-list)
  with lin have i' : i < length (var-rule β) ∧ (var-rule β)!i = x
    by (metis linear-term-var-vars-term-list)
  with l have * : ((⟨map labeled-source As⟩β) x = labeled-source (As!i)
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) length-map lhs-subst-var-i nth-map)
  with Prule(3) lab have get-label ((labeled-source (As!i))|-p2) = None

```

```

    by simp
    with Prule(1,4) p2 * i' l obtain q where q:q∈poss (As!i) ctxt-of-pos-term p2
    (source (As!i)) = source-ctxt (ctxt-of-pos-term q (As!i))
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) fun-well-arg map-eq-conv nth-mem)
    have p1'':(var-poss-list (lhs β) ! length (take i As)) = p1
    using i l by (metis id-take-nth-drop length-take length-var-poss-list lin lin-
    ear-term-var-vars-term-list nth-append-length)
    have x-sub:Var x · ⟨map source As⟩β = source (As!i)
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) i' l length-map lhs-subst-var-i nth-map eval-term.simps(1))
    have ctxt-of-pos-term p (source (Prule β As)) = source-ctxt (ctxt-of-pos-term
    (i#q) (Prule β As)) proof–
    {fix y assume y ∈ vars-term (lhs β) y ≠ vars-term-list (lhs β) ! i
    then obtain j where j:j < length (var-rule β) y = (var-rule β) ! j j ≠ i
    by (metis in-set-conv-nth lin linear-term-var-vars-term-list set-vars-term-list)
    have x:(vars-term-list (lhs β) ! length (take i As)) = x
    by (metis i' id-take-nth-drop l length-take lin linear-term-var-vars-term-list
    nth-append-length)
    from j have (⟨map source (take i As @ Var x # drop (Suc i) As)⟩β) y =
    source (As!j)
    using apply-lhs-subst-var-rule l
    by (smt (verit, best) Cons-nth-drop-Suc append-Cons-nth-not-middle ap-
    pend-take-drop-id i' length-append length-map length-nth-simps(2) lin linear-term-var-vars-term-list
    nth-map x)
    then have (⟨map source (take i As @ Var (vars-term-list (lhs β) ! length
    (take i As)) # drop (Suc i) As)⟩β) y = (⟨map source As⟩β) y
    unfolding x by (metis (no-types, lifting) j(1,2) l length-map lhs-subst-var-i
    nth-map)
    }
    then have *:ctxt-of-pos-term p1 (lhs β) ·c ⟨map source As⟩β =
    ctxt-of-pos-term p1 (lhs β · ⟨map source (take i As @ Var (vars-term-list
    (lhs β) ! length (take i As)) # drop (Suc i) As)⟩β)
    using i
    unfolding ctxt-of-pos-term-subst[OF p1, symmetric]
    apply (intro ctxt-of-pos-term-hole-subst[OF lin, of i])
    subgoal by (metis length-var-poss-list)
    by auto
    then show ?thesis
    unfolding source.simps p1p2 ctxt-of-pos-term-append[OF p1'] ctxt-of-pos-term-subst[OF
    p1] subt-at-subst[OF p1] x' ctxt-of-pos-term.simps source-ctxt.simps Let-def x-sub
    q(2) * p1''
    by simp
    qed
    then show ?thesis
    using i' q(1) l by (metis poss-Cons-poss term.sel(4))
    qed
  qed simp
end

```

If we know that some part of a term does not contain labels (i.e., is coming

from a simple proof term t) then we know that the label originates below some variable position of t .

lemma *labeled-source-to-pterm-subst*:

assumes $p\text{-pos}:p \in \text{possL } (to\text{-pterm } t \cdot \sigma)$ **and** $well:\forall x \in \text{vars-term } t. \sigma x \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

shows $\exists p1 p2 x \gamma. p1 \in \text{poss } t \wedge t|-p1 = \text{Var } x \wedge p1@p2 \leq_p p$
 $\wedge p2 \in \text{possL } (\sigma x) \wedge \text{get-label } ((\text{labeled-source } (\sigma x))|-p2) = \text{Some } (\gamma, 0)$

proof –

{assume $p:p \in \text{fun-poss } (\text{labeled-source } (to\text{-pterm } t))$
then have $\text{get-label } ((\text{labeled-source } (to\text{-pterm } t))|-p) = \text{None}$
using *labeled-source-simple-pterm* **by** (*metis empty-iff fun-poss-imp-poss get-label-imp-labelposs*)
moreover have $\text{get-label } ((\text{labeled-source } ((to\text{-pterm } t) \cdot \sigma))|-p) = \text{get-label } ((\text{labeled-source } (to\text{-pterm } t))|-p)$
by (*metis get-label-at-fun-poss-subst labeled-source-apply-subst p to-pterm-wf-pterm*)
ultimately have *False* **using** $p\text{-pos}$ *possL-obtain-label* **by** *fastforce*
}
with $p\text{-pos}$ **obtain** $p1 r x$ **where** $p:p = p1@r$ **and** $p1:p1 \in \text{poss } t$ **and** $t:(\text{labeled-source } (to\text{-pterm } t))|-p1 = \text{Var } x$
by (*smt (z3) labeled-source-apply-subst labeled-source-to-term possL-subset-poss-source poss-subst-apply-term poss-term-lab-to-term source-to-pterm subset-eq to-pterm-wf-pterm*)
then have $x:t|-p1 = \text{Var } x$
by (*metis labeled-source-pos labeled-source-to-term source-to-pterm term-lab-to-term.simps(1)*)
from $p\text{-pos}$ **have** $r\text{-pos}:r \in \text{poss } (\text{labeled-source } (\sigma x))$
unfolding p **using** $p1 t$ *labeled-source-apply-subst*
by (*smt (z3) comp-apply labeled-source-to-term labelposs-subs-poss less-eq-pos-def less-eq-pos-simps(1) p poss-append-poss poss-term-lab-to-term source-to-pterm subset-eq eval-term.simps(1) subt-at-subst to-pterm-wf-pterm*)
from $p\text{-pos}$ **obtain** γn **where** $\text{lab}:\text{get-label } ((\text{labeled-source } (\sigma x))|-r) = \text{Some } (\gamma, n)$
unfolding p *labeled-source-apply-subst[OF to-pterm-wf-pterm]* **using** $t p1 p$
by (*smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) comp-apply fun-poss-imp-poss labeled-source-to-term labelposs-obtain-label labelposs-subs-fun-poss poss-term-lab-to-term source-to-pterm subset-eq eval-term.simps(1) subt-at-subst subterm-poss-conv*)
let $?p2=\text{take } (\text{length } r - n) r$
have $?p2 \leq_p r$ **by** (*metis append-take-drop-id less-eq-pos-simps(1)*)
then have $p1@?p2 \leq_p p$ **unfolding** p **by** *simp*
moreover have $\text{get-label } ((\text{labeled-source } (\sigma x))|-?p2) = \text{Some } (\gamma, 0) \wedge ?p2 \in \text{poss } (\text{labeled-source } (\sigma x))$
using *obtain-label-root[OF r-pos lab]* $well p1 x$ **by** (*metis in-mono term.set-intros(3) vars-term-subst-at*)
moreover then have $?p2 \in \text{possL } (\sigma x)$ **using** *get-label-imp-labelposs* **by** *blast*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** $p1 x$ **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *labelposs-subst*:

assumes $p \in \text{labelposs } (t \cdot \sigma)$

shows $p \in \text{labelposs } t \vee (\exists p1 p2 x. p = p1@p2 \wedge p1 \in \text{poss } t \wedge t|-p1 = \text{Var } x \wedge p2 \in \text{labelposs } (\sigma x))$

```

using assms proof(induct t arbitrary:p)
case (Fun fl ts)
then show ?case proof(cases p)
  case Nil
    from Fun(2) obtain f l where fl = (f, Some l)
    unfolding eval-term.simps Nil by (metis get-label.simps(2) labelposs-obtain-label
subt-at.simps(1) surjective-pairing)
    then show ?thesis
      unfolding Nil by simp
  next
    case (Cons i p')
    from Fun(2) have i:i < length ts
      unfolding Cons eval-term.simps using labelposs-subs-poss by fastforce
      with Fun(2) have p' ∈ labelposs (ts!i · σ)
      unfolding Cons eval-term.simps by (metis (no-types, lifting) get-label-imp-labelposs
labelposs-obtain-label labelposs-subs-poss nth-map option.simps(3) poss-Cons-poss
subset-eq subt-at.simps(2) term.sel(4))
      with Fun(1) i consider p' ∈ labelposs (ts!i) | (∃ p1 p2 x. p' = p1 @ p2 ∧ p1
∈ poss (ts!i) ∧ (ts!i) |- p1 = Var x ∧ p2 ∈ labelposs (σ x))
      by (meson nth-mem)
      then show ?thesis proof(cases)
        case 1
          with i show ?thesis unfolding Cons
          by (metis (no-types, lifting) get-label-imp-labelposs labelposs-obtain-label label-
poss-subs-poss option.simps(3) poss-Cons-poss subsetD subt-at.simps(2) term.sel(4))
        next
          case 2
          then obtain p1 p2 x where p':p' = p1 @ p2 and p1:p1 ∈ poss (ts ! i) ts !
i |- p1 = Var x and p2 ∈ labelposs (σ x)
          by blast
          with i show ?thesis unfolding Cons
          by (metis append-Cons poss-Cons-poss subt-at.simps(2) term.sel(4))
        qed
      qed
    qed simp

```

lemma *set-labelposs-subst*:

labelposs (t · σ) = labelposs t ∪ (∪ i < length (vars-term-list t). {(var-poss-list
t!i)@q | q. q ∈ labelposs (σ (vars-term-list t ! i))}) (**is** *?ps = ?qs*)

proof

```

  {fix p assume p ∈ ?ps
    then consider p ∈ labelposs t | (∃ p1 p2 x. p = p1@p2 ∧ p1 ∈ poss t ∧ t|-p1
= Var x ∧ p2 ∈ labelposs (σ x))
    using labelposs-subst by blast
    then have p ∈ ?qs proof(cases)
      case 2
      then obtain p1 p2 x where p = p1@p2 ∧ p1 ∈ poss t ∧ t|-p1 = Var x ∧
p2 ∈ labelposs (σ x)
      by blast
    }

```

```

moreover then obtain  $i$  where  $i:i < \text{length} (\text{vars-term-list } t)$  vars-term-list
 $t ! i = x \text{ var-poss-list } t ! i = p1$ 
by (metis in-set-idx length-var-poss-list term.inject(1) var-poss-iff var-poss-list-sound
vars-term-list-var-poss-list)
ultimately have  $p \in \{ \text{var-poss-list } t ! i @ q \mid q. q \in \text{labelposs} (\sigma (\text{vars-term-list } t ! i)) \}$ 
by blast
with  $i(1)$  show ?thesis
by blast
qed simp
}
then show  $?ps \subseteq ?qs$ 
by blast
{fix  $q$  assume  $q \in ?qs$ 
then consider  $q \in \text{labelposs } t \mid q \in (\bigcup i < \text{length} (\text{vars-term-list } t). \{ (\text{var-poss-list } t ! i) @ q \mid q. q \in \text{labelposs} (\sigma (\text{vars-term-list } t ! i)) \})$ 
by blast
then have  $q \in ?ps$  proof(cases)
case 1
then show ?thesis proof(induct t arbitrary:q)
case (Fun f ts)
then show ?case proof(cases q)
case Nil
with Fun(2) obtain  $f'$  lab where  $f':f = (f', \text{Some lab})$ 
by (metis get-label.simps(2) labelposs-obtain-label prod.exhaust-sel
subt-at.simps(1))
show ?thesis unfolding Nil  $f'$  by simp
next
case (Cons i q')
obtain  $f'$  lab where  $f':f = (f', \text{lab})$ 
by fastforce
show ?thesis proof(cases lab)
case None
from Fun(2) have  $i:i < \text{length } ts$ 
unfolding  $f'$  Cons None labelposs.simps by simp
from Fun(2) have  $q' \in \text{labelposs} (ts!i)$ 
unfolding  $f'$  Cons None by simp
with Fun(1)  $i$  have  $q' \in \text{labelposs} (ts!i \cdot \sigma)$ 
by simp
with  $i$  show ?thesis
unfolding  $f'$  Cons None eval-term.simps labelposs.simps by simp
next
case (Some a)
from Fun(2) have  $i:i < \text{length } ts$ 
unfolding  $f'$  Cons Some labelposs.simps by simp
from Fun(2) have  $q' \in \text{labelposs} (ts!i)$ 
unfolding  $f'$  Cons Some by simp
with Fun(1)  $i$  have  $q' \in \text{labelposs} (ts!i \cdot \sigma)$ 
by simp

```

```

    with i show ?thesis
      unfolding f' Cons Some eval-term.simps labelposs.simps by simp
    qed
  qed
  qed simp
next
case 2
then show ?thesis proof(induct t arbitrary:q)
  case (Var x)
  have var-poss-list (Var x) = [[]]
  unfolding poss-list.simps var-poss.simps by simp
  with Var show ?case unfolding vars-term-list.simps
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) One-nat-def UN-iff bot-nat-0.not-eq-extremum
length-0-conv length-nth-simps(2) lessThan-iff mem-Collect-eq not-less-eq nth-Cons-0
self-append-conv2 eval-term.simps(1))
  next
  case (Fun fl ts)
  from Fun(2) obtain i q' where q:q = var-poss-list (Fun fl ts) ! i @ q' q' ∈
labelposs (σ (vars-term-list (Fun fl ts) ! i)) and i:i < length (vars-term-list (Fun
fl ts))
  by blast
  then have i':i < length (var-poss-list (Fun fl ts))
  by (metis length-var-poss-list)
  then obtain j r where j:j < length ts var-poss-list (Fun fl ts) ! i = j#r
  unfolding var-poss-list.simps by (smt (z3) add.left-neutral diff-zero
length-map length-upt length-zip map-nth-eq-conv min.idem nth-concat-split nth-upt
nth-zip prod.simps(2))
  with i obtain x where x:Fun fl ts |-(j#r) = Var x
  by (metis vars-term-list-var-poss-list)
  from j i' have j#r ∈ var-poss (Fun fl ts)
  by (metis nth-mem var-poss-list-sound)
  then have r ∈ var-poss (ts!j)
  by simp
  then obtain i' where r:i' < length (var-poss-list (ts!j)) r = var-poss-list
(ts!j) ! i'
  by (metis in-set-conv-nth var-poss-list-sound)
  moreover then have (vars-term-list (Fun fl ts) ! i) = (vars-term-list (ts!j)
! i')
  using x by (metis i j(2) length-var-poss-list subt-at.simps(2) term.inject(1)
vars-term-list-var-poss-list)
  ultimately have r@q' ∈ (⋃ i < length (vars-term-list (ts!j)). {var-poss-list
(ts!j) ! i @ q | q. q ∈ labelposs (σ (vars-term-list (ts!j) ! i))})
  using q(2) unfolding length-var-poss-list by auto
  with Fun(1) j(1) have r-pos:r@q' ∈ labelposs ((ts!j) · σ)
  using nth-mem by blast
  obtain f lab where f:fl = (f, lab)
  using surjective-pairing by blast
  then show ?case proof(cases lab)
  case None

```

```

      from r-pos have j#r@q' ∈ labelposs (Fun fl ts · σ)
      unfolding eval-term.simps f None labelposs.simps length-map using j(1)
by simp
  then show ?thesis unfolding q j(2) by simp
  next
  case (Some a)
  from r-pos have j#r@q' ∈ labelposs (Fun fl ts · σ)
  unfolding eval-term.simps f Some labelposs.simps length-map using j(1)
by simp
  then show ?thesis unfolding q j(2) by simp
  qed
  qed
  qed
}
then show ?qs ⊆ ?ps
  by blast
qed

```

The labeled positions in a proof term $Prule\ \alpha\ As$ are the function positions of $lhs\ \alpha$ together with all labeled positions in the arguments As .

lemma *possL-rule*:

```

  assumes length As = length (var-rule α) linear-term (lhs α)
  shows possL (Prule α As) = fun-poss (lhs α) ∪ (⋃ i < (length As). {(var-poss-list
(lhs α)!i)@q | q. q ∈ possL(As!i)})

```

proof –

```

  from assms(1,2) have l:length (vars-term-list (labeled-lhs α)) = length As
  by (metis linear-term-var-vars-term-list vars-term-list-labeled-lhs)
  have labelposs (labeled-lhs α) = fun-poss (lhs α)
  by (metis fun-poss-term-lab-to-term label-poss-labeled-lhs label-term-to-term
labelposs-subs-fun-poss subsetI subset-antisym)
  moreover from assms(1,2) have i < length As ⇒ ((map labeled-source As)α)
(vars-term-list (labeled-lhs α) ! i) = labeled-source (As!i) for i
  using lhs-subst-var-i linear-term-var-vars-term-list by (smt (verit, best) length-map
nth-map vars-term-list-labeled-lhs)
  ultimately show ?thesis using set-labelposs-subst[of labeled-lhs α] unfolding l
var-poss-list-labeled-lhs by force
qed

```

lemma *labelposs-subs-fun-poss-source*:

```

  assumes p ∈ possL A
  shows p ∈ fun-poss (source A)
proof –
  have p ∈ fun-poss (labeled-source A)
  using assms labelposs-subs-fun-poss by blast
  then show ?thesis using fun-poss-term-lab-to-term
  by auto
qed

```

The labeled source of a context (obtained from some proof term A) applied

to some proof term B is the labeled source of the context applied to the labeled source of the proof term B .

```

context left-lin
begin
lemma label-source-ctxt:
  assumes  $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  and  $\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \text{ (source } A) = \text{source-ctxt (ctxt-of-pos-term } p' \text{ } A)$ 
  and  $p \in \text{poss (source } A)$  and  $p' \in \text{poss } A$ 
  shows  $\text{labeled-source (ctxt-of-pos-term } p' \text{ } A)(B) = (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \text{ (labeled-source } A))(\text{labeled-source } B)$ 
  using assms proof(induct  $p'$  arbitrary: $p$   $A$ )
  case Nil
  then have  $p:p = []$ 
    using hole-pos-ctxt-of-pos-term by force
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons  $i$   $p'$ )
  then obtain  $fl$   $As$  where  $a:A = \text{Fun } fl \text{ } As$  and  $i:i < \text{length } As$  and  $p':p' \in \text{poss (As!i)}$ 
    by (meson args-poss)
  then show ?case proof(cases  $fl$ )
    case (Inl  $\alpha$ )
    from Cons(2) have  $l:\text{length } As = \text{length (var-rule } \alpha)$ 
      unfolding  $a$  Inl using wf-pterm.cases by auto
    have to-rule  $\alpha \in R$ 
      using Cons(2) unfolding  $a$  Inl using wf-pterm.cases by force
    with left-lin have  $lin:\text{linear-term (lhs } \alpha)$ 
      using left-linear-trs-def by fastforce
    let  $?p1 = \text{var-poss-list (lhs } \alpha) ! i$ 
    from  $i$   $l$  lin have  $p1:(\text{lhs } \alpha)|-?p1 = \text{Var (var-rule } \alpha ! i)$ 
      by (metis linear-term-var-vars-term-list vars-term-list-var-poss-list)
    from  $i$   $l$  have  $p1\text{-pos}:?p1 \in \text{poss (lhs } \alpha)$ 
      by (metis comp-apply length-remdups-leq length-rev length-var-poss-list nth-mem order-less-le-trans var-poss-imp-poss var-poss-list-sound)
    let  $?p2 = \text{hole-pos (source-ctxt (ctxt-of-pos-term } p' \text{ } (As ! i)))$ 
    have  $\text{hole-pos (source-ctxt (ctxt-of-pos-term (i \# p') } A)) = ?p1 @ ?p2$ 
      unfolding  $a$  Inl source.simps ctxt-of-pos-term.simps source-ctxt.simps Let-def
    hole-pos-ctxt-compose using  $p1\text{-pos}$  Cons(5)  $a$  by force
    with Cons(3) have  $p:p = ?p1 @ ?p2$ 
      by (metis Cons.premis(3) hole-pos-ctxt-of-pos-term)
    have  $at\text{-}p1:(\text{source } A)|-?p1 = \text{source (As!i)}$ 
      unfolding  $a$  Inl source.simps using  $p1$ 
    by (smt (verit, best) Inl i l length-map lhs-subst-var-i nth-map p1-pos eval-term.simps(1) subt-at-subst)
    with Cons(4) have  $p2\text{-pos}:?p2 \in \text{poss (source (As!i))}$ 
      unfolding  $p$  by simp
    from  $at\text{-}p1$  have  $*\text{:}\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \text{ (source } A) = (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } ?p1 \text{ (source } A) \circ_c (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } ?p2 \text{ (source (As ! i))))$ 
      unfolding  $p$  using ctxt-of-pos-term-append using Cons.premis(3)  $p$  by fast-

```

force
from *Cons*(3) **have** *ctxt-of-pos-term* ?p2 (source (As!i)) = source-ctxt (ctxt-of-pos-term p' (As!i))
unfolding * **unfolding** a *Inl* source.simps ctxt-of-pos-term.simps source-ctxt.simps
Let-def **using** *ctxt-comp-equals* *Cons*(5) p1-pos
by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) a ctxt-of-pos-term.simps(2) hole-pos.simps(2)
hole-pos-ctxt-of-pos-term list.inject poss-imp-subst-poss)
with *Cons*(1,2) i p2-pos p' a *Inl* **have** *IH:labeled-source* (ctxt-of-pos-term p' (As!i))\langle B \rangle = (ctxt-of-pos-term ?p2 (labeled-source (As!i)))\langle labeled-source B \rangle
by (meson fun-well-arg nth-mem)
then **have** *list-IH:map* labeled-source (take i As @ (ctxt-of-pos-term p' (As ! i))\langle B \rangle # drop (Suc i) As) =
map labeled-source (take i As) @ (ctxt-of-pos-term ?p2 (labeled-source (As ! i)))\langle labeled-source B \rangle # map labeled-source (drop (Suc i) As)
using i **by** fastforce
from *lin* **have** *lin':linear-term* (labeled-lhs α)
using *linear-label-term* **by** blast
from p1-pos **have** p1-pos: ?p1 \in poss (labeled-lhs α)
by simp
from p1 **have** x:labeled-lhs α |- var-poss-list (lhs α) ! i = Var (var-rule α ! i)
by (metis label-term-to-term p1-pos poss-term-lab-to-term var-label-term)
have ((map labeled-source As) _{α})((var-rule α ! i) := (ctxt-of-pos-term ?p2 ((map labeled-source As) _{α} (var-rule α ! i)))\langle labeled-source B \rangle)
= ((take i (map labeled-source As)) @ (ctxt-of-pos-term ?p2 (labeled-source (As!i))\langle labeled-source B \rangle # (drop (Suc i) (map labeled-source As)))) _{α}
using i **by** (smt (verit, best) *Cons.prem*s(4) a ctxt-of-pos-term.simps(2)
hole-pos.simps(2) hole-pos-ctxt-of-pos-term id-take-nth-drop l length-map lhs-subst-upd
lhs-subst-var-i list.inject nth-map take-map)
then **show** ?thesis **unfolding** a *Inl* ctxt-of-pos-term.simps labeled-source.simps
intp-actxt.simps p *list-IH*
using *replace-at-append-subst*[OF *lin'* p1-pos x] **by** (smt (verit) drop-map
take-map)
next
case (*Inr* f)
from *Cons*(3,4,5) **obtain** p2 **where** p:p = i#p2 **and** p2:p2 \in poss (source (As!i)) **and** *ctxt:ctxt-of-pos-term* p2 (source (As!i)) = source-ctxt (ctxt-of-pos-term p' (As!i))
unfolding a *Inr* source.simps ctxt-of-pos-term.simps source-ctxt.simps **by** (smt (verit, best) *Cons.prem*s(2) *Cons.prem*s(3) *Inr* a *actxt.inject* ctxt-of-pos-term.simps(2) i nth-map source-poss)
from *Cons*(1,2) *ctxt* p2 p' **have** *IH:labeled-source* (ctxt-of-pos-term p' (As!i))\langle B \rangle = (ctxt-of-pos-term p2 (labeled-source (As!i)))\langle labeled-source B \rangle
using a i nth-mem **by** blast
then **have** *list-IH:map* labeled-source (take i As @ (ctxt-of-pos-term p' (As ! i))\langle B \rangle # drop (Suc i) As) =
map labeled-source (take i As) @ (ctxt-of-pos-term p2 (labeled-source (As ! i)))\langle labeled-source B \rangle # map labeled-source (drop (Suc i) As)
using i **by** fastforce
show ?thesis **unfolding** a *Inr* ctxt-of-pos-term.simps p labeled-source.simps

```

intp-actxt.simps list-IH
  by (simp add: drop-map i take-map)
qed
qed
end

lemma labeled-ctxt-above:
  assumes  $p \in \text{poss } A$  and  $r \in \text{poss } A$  and  $\neg p \leq_p r$ 
  shows  $\text{get-label } ((\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ A) \langle \text{labeled-source } B \rangle \mid -r) = \text{get-label } (A \mid -r)$ 
using assms proof (induct A arbitrary: r p)
  case (Fun f As)
  then have  $p \neq []$ 
  by blast
  with Fun(2) obtain  $i \ p'$  where  $i: i < \text{length } As$  and  $p': p' \in \text{poss } (As!i)$  and  $p:p = i\#p'$ 
  by auto
  from Fun(4) consider  $r <_p p \mid r \perp p$ 
  using parallel-pos by fastforce
  then show ?case proof (cases)
  case 1
  then show ?thesis proof (cases r)
  case Nil
  show ?thesis unfolding p Nil by simp
  next
  case (Cons j r')
  from 1 have  $j:j = i$ 
  unfolding p Cons by simp
  with Fun(1) have  $\text{get-label } ((\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p' \ (As!i)) \langle \text{labeled-source } B \rangle \mid -r') = \text{get-label } ((As!i) \mid -r')$ 
  using  $i \ p'$  Fun(3,4) unfolding Cons j p by simp
  then show ?thesis
  unfolding Cons p subt-at.simps ctxt-of-pos-term.simps intp-actxt.simps by
  (metis i j nat-less-le nth-append-take)
  qed
  next
  case 2
  then obtain  $j \ r'$  where  $r:r = j\#r'$ 
  unfolding p by (metis parallel-pos.elims(2))
  then show ?thesis proof (cases  $i = j$ )
  case True
  from Fun(1) 2 i have  $\text{get-label } ((\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p' \ (As!i)) \langle \text{labeled-source } B \rangle \mid -r') = \text{get-label } ((As!i) \mid -r')$ 
  using Fun.premis(2) Fun.premis(3) True p p' r by force
  then show ?thesis using p r True
  by (metis 2 Fun.premis(1) Fun.premis(2) parallel-pos parallel-replace-at-subt-at)
  next
  case False
  then show ?thesis

```

```

      unfolding p r subt-at.simps ctxt-of-pos-term.simps intp-actxt.simps by
(metis i nth-list-update upd-conv-take-nth-drop)
    qed
  qed
qed simp

```

The labeled positions of a context (obtained from some proof term A) applied to some proof term B are the labeled positions of the context together with the labeled positions of the proof term B .

```
context left-lin
```

```
begin
```

```
lemma label-ctxt:
```

```
  assumes  $A \in wf\text{-pterm } R$ 
```

```
  and  $ctxt\text{-of-pos-term } p \text{ (source } A) = source\text{-ctxt } (ctxt\text{-of-pos-term } p' A)$ 
```

```
  and  $p \in poss \text{ (source } A)$  and  $p' \in poss A$ 
```

```
  shows  $possL \text{ (ctxt\text{-of-pos-term } p' A)(B) = \{q. q \in possL A \wedge \neg p \leq_p q\} \cup \{p@q \mid$   

 $q. q \in possL B\}$ 
```

```
  using assms proof(induct p' arbitrary:p A)
```

```
  case Nil
```

```
  then have  $p:p = []$ 
```

```
    using hole-pos-ctxt-of-pos-term by force
```

```
  then have  $\{q \in possL A. \neg p \leq_p q\} = \{\}$ 
```

```
    by simp
```

```
  then show ?case
```

```
    unfolding Nil ctxt-of-pos-term.simps p by simp
```

```
next
```

```
  case (Cons i p')
```

```
  then obtain  $fl As$  where  $a:A = Fun fl As$  and  $i:i < length As$  and  $p':p' \in poss$   

 $(As!i)$ 
```

```
    by (meson args-poss)
```

```
  then show ?case proof(cases fl)
```

```
    case (Inl  $\alpha$ )
```

```
    from Cons(2) have  $l:length As = length \text{ (var-rule } \alpha)$ 
```

```
      unfolding a Inl using wf-pterm.cases by auto
```

```
    have to-rule  $\alpha \in R$ 
```

```
      using Cons(2) unfolding a Inl using wf-pterm.cases by force
```

```
    with left-lin have  $lin:linear\text{-term } (lhs \alpha)$ 
```

```
      using left-linear-trs-def by fastforce
```

```
    let  $?p1=var\text{-poss-list } (lhs \alpha) ! i$ 
```

```
    from  $i l lin$  have  $p1:(lhs \alpha)|\text{-}?p1 = Var \text{ (var-rule } \alpha ! i)$ 
```

```
      by (metis linear-term-var-vars-term-list vars-term-list-var-poss-list)
```

```
    from  $i l$  have  $p1\text{-pos}:?p1 \in poss \text{ (lhs } \alpha)$ 
```

```
    by (metis comp-apply length-remdups-leq length-rev length-var-poss-list nth-mem  

order-less-le-trans var-poss-imp-poss var-poss-list-sound)
```

```
    let  $?p2=hole\text{-pos } (source\text{-ctxt } (ctxt\text{-of-pos-term } p' (As ! i)))$ 
```

```
    have  $hole\text{-pos } (source\text{-ctxt } (ctxt\text{-of-pos-term } (i \# p') A)) = ?p1@?p2$ 
```

```
      unfolding a Inl source.simps ctxt-of-pos-term.simps source-ctxt.simps Let-def
```

```
hole-pos-ctxt-compose using  $p1\text{-pos}$  Cons(5) a by force
```

```
    with Cons(3) have  $p:p = ?p1@?p2$ 
```

```

    by (metis Cons.premis(3) hole-pos-ctxt-of-pos-term)
  have at-p1:(source A)|-?p1 = source (As!i)
    unfolding a Inl source.simps using p1
  by (smt (verit, best) Inl i l length-map lhs-subst-var-i nth-map p1-pos eval-term.simps(1)
subt-at-subst)
  with Cons(4) have p2-pos:?p2 ∈ poss (source (As!i))
    unfolding p by simp
  from at-p1 have *:ctxt-of-pos-term p (source A) = (ctxt-of-pos-term ?p1 (source
A) ∘c (ctxt-of-pos-term ?p2 (source (As ! i))))
    unfolding p using ctxt-of-pos-term-append using Cons.premis(3) p by fast-
force
  from Cons(3) have ctxt-of-pos-term ?p2 (source (As!i)) = source-ctxt (ctxt-of-pos-term
p' (As!i))
    unfolding * unfolding a Inl source.simps ctxt-of-pos-term.simps source-ctxt.simps
Let-def using ctxt-comp-equals Cons(5) p1-pos
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) a ctxt-of-pos-term.simps(2) hole-pos.simps(2)
hole-pos-ctxt-of-pos-term list.inject poss-imp-subst-poss)
  with Cons(1,2) i p2-pos p' a Inl have IH:possL (ctxt-of-pos-term p' (As!i))(B)
= {q ∈ possL (As!i). ¬ ?p2 ≤p q} ∪ {?p2 @ q | q. q ∈ possL B}
    by (meson fun-well-arg nth-mem)
  let ?a1=fun-poss (lhs α)
  let ?a2=(∪ j ∈ {k. k < length As ∧ k ≠ i}. {(var-poss-list (lhs α)!j)@q | q. q
∈ possL(As!j)})
  let ?a3={?p1@q | q. q ∈ possL (As!i) ∧ ¬ ?p2 ≤p q}
  let ?a4={?p1 @ ?p2 @ q | q. q ∈ possL B}
  let ?b1={q ∈ possL A. ¬ p ≤p q}
  have ?a1 ∪ ?a2 ∪ ?a3 = ?b1 proof
    {fix x assume x:x ∈ ?a1
    then have ¬ ?p1 ≤p x
      by (metis append.right-neutral fun-poss-append-poss fun-poss-fun-conv
fun-poss-imp-poss p1 prefix-pos-diff term.distinct(1))
    then have ¬ p ≤p x
      unfolding p using less-eq-pos-simps(1) order-pos.order.trans by blast
    with x have x ∈ ?b1
      unfolding a Inl using possl-rule l lin by auto
    } moreover {fix x assume x ∈ ?a2
    then obtain j q where j:j < length As j ≠ i and q:q ∈ possL (As ! j) and
x:x = var-poss-list (lhs α) ! j @ q
      by blast
    from j have j':j < length (var-poss-list (lhs α))
      using l lin by (metis length-var-poss-list linear-term-var-vars-term-list)
    with j(2) have ?p1 ≠ (var-poss-list (lhs α) !j
      by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) distinct-remdups distinct-rev i j(1) l lin lin-
ear-term-var-vars-term-list nth-eq-iff-index-eq o-apply term.inject(1) vars-term-list-var-poss-list)
    with j' have ?p1 ⊥ var-poss-list (lhs α) ! j
      using var-poss-parallel by (metis nth-mem p1 p1-pos var-poss-iff var-poss-list-sound)
    then have ¬ p ≤p x
      unfolding p x using less-eq-pos-simps(1) order-pos.order-trans pos-less-eq-append-not-parallel
by blast

```

```

    then have  $x \in ?b1$ 
      unfolding  $a \text{ Inl possl-rule}[OF \ l \ lin]$   $x$  using  $j(1) \ q$  by  $blast$ 
  } moreover {fix  $x$  assume  $x \in ?a3$ 
    then obtain  $q$  where  $x:x = ?p1 @ q$   $q \in \text{possL} (As ! i) \neg ?p2 \leq_p q$ 
      by  $blast$ 
    from  $x(3)$  have  $\neg p \leq_p x$ 
      unfolding  $p \ x(1)$  using  $\text{less-eq-pos-simps}(2)$  by  $blast$ 
    with  $x(2)$  have  $x \in ?b1$ 
      unfolding  $a \text{ Inl possl-rule}[OF \ l \ lin]$   $x(1)$  using  $i$  by  $auto$ 
  }
  ultimately show  $?a1 \cup ?a2 \cup ?a3 \subseteq ?b1$  by  $blast$ 
  {fix  $x$  assume  $b1:x \in ?b1$ 
    then consider  $x \in \text{fun-poss} (lhs \ \alpha) \mid x \in (\bigcup_{i < \text{length } As} \{ \text{var-poss-list} (lhs \ \alpha) ! i @ q \mid q. q \in \text{possL} (As ! i) \})$ 
      unfolding  $a \text{ Inl possl-rule}[OF \ l \ lin]$  by  $blast$ 
      then have  $x \in ?a1 \cup ?a2 \cup ?a3$  proof(cases)
        case 2
          then obtain  $j \ q$  where  $j:j < \text{length } As$  and  $x:x = \text{var-poss-list} (lhs \ \alpha) ! j @ q$  and  $q:q \in \text{possL} (As ! j)$ 
            by  $blast$ 
            then show  $?thesis$  proof(cases  $j = i$ )
              case  $True$ 
                from  $b1$  have  $\neg ?p2 \leq_p q$ 
                  unfolding  $p \ x \ True$  using  $\text{less-eq-pos-simps}(2)$  by  $blast$ 
                then show  $?thesis$  using  $j \ x \ q$  by  $auto$ 
              qed  $auto$ 
            qed  $simp$ 
          }
        then show  $?b1 \subseteq ?a1 \cup ?a2 \cup ?a3$  by  $blast$ 
      qed
    moreover have  $\text{possL} (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term} (i \ \# \ p') \ A) \langle B \rangle = ?a1 \cup ?a2 \cup ?a3 \cup ?a4$  proof–
      from  $l \ i$  have  $l':\text{length} (take \ i \ As \ @ \ (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term} \ p' \ (As \ ! \ i)) \langle B \rangle \ \# \ drop \ (Suc \ i) \ As) = \text{length} (\text{var-rule} \ \alpha)$ 
        by  $simp$ 
      have  $set:\{j. j < \text{length } As\} = \{j. j < \text{length } As \wedge j \neq i\} \cup \{i\}$ 
        using  $i \ \text{Collect-disj-eq}$  by  $auto$ 
      let  $?args = (take \ i \ As \ @ \ (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term} \ p' \ (As \ ! \ i)) \langle B \rangle \ \# \ drop \ (Suc \ i) \ As)$ 
        {fix  $j$  assume  $j < \text{length } As \wedge j \neq i$ 
          with  $i$  have  $?args ! j = As ! j$ 
            by  $(\text{meson } \text{nat-less-le } \text{nth-append-take-drop-is-nth-conv})$ 
          } moreover have  $?args ! i = (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term} \ p' \ (As \ ! \ i)) \langle B \rangle$  using  $i$ 
            by  $(\text{simp } \text{add: } \text{nth-append-take})$ 
          moreover from  $set$  have  $(\bigcup_{j < \text{length } As} \{ \text{var-poss-list} (lhs \ \alpha) ! j @ q \mid q. q \in \text{possL} (?args ! j) \}) =$ 
             $(\bigcup_{j \in \{j. j < \text{length } As \wedge j \neq i\}} \{ \text{var-poss-list} (lhs \ \alpha) ! j @ q \mid q. q \in \text{possL} (?args ! j) \}) \cup \{ ?p1 @ q \mid q. q \in \text{possL} (?args ! i) \}$ 
            by  $force$ 
          ultimately have  $(\bigcup_{j < \text{length } As} \{ \text{var-poss-list} (lhs \ \alpha) ! j @ q \mid q. q \in \text{possL}$ 

```

```

(?args ! j)) =
  (⋃j ∈ {j. j < length As ∧ j ≠ i}. {var-poss-list (lhs α) ! j @ q | q. q
  ∈ possL (As ! j)}) ∪ {?p1 @ q | q. q ∈ possL (ctxt-of-pos-term p' (As ! i))⟨B⟩}
  by simp
  moreover have possL (ctxt-of-pos-term (i # p') A)⟨B⟩ = fun-poss (lhs α) ∪
  (⋃j < length As. {var-poss-list (lhs α) ! j @ q | q. q ∈ possL (?args !
  j)})
  unfolding a Inl ctxt-of-pos-term.simps intp-actxt.simps using possl-rule[OF
  l' lin] i by force
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding IH by auto
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis using p by force
next
case (Inr f)
  from Cons(3,4,5) obtain p2 where p:p = i#p2 and p2 ∈ poss (source
  (As!i)) and ctxt:ctxt-of-pos-term p2 (source (As!i)) = source-ctxt (ctxt-of-pos-term
  p' (As!i))
  unfolding a Inr source.simps ctxt-of-pos-term.simps source-ctxt.simps by (smt
  (verit, best) Cons.prem(2) Cons.prem(3) Inr a actxt.inject ctxt-of-pos-term.simps(2)
  i nth-map source-poss)
  with Cons(1,2) i p' have IH:possL (ctxt-of-pos-term p' (As!i))⟨B⟩ = {q ∈
  possL (As!i). ¬ p2 ≤p q} ∪ {p2 @ q | q. q ∈ possL B}
  unfolding a Inr by (meson fun-well-arg nth-mem)
  let ?a2=(⋃j ∈ {k. k < length As ∧ k ≠ i}. {j # q | q. q ∈ possL(As!j)})
  let ?a3={i#q | q. q ∈ possL (As!i) ∧ ¬ p2 ≤p q}
  let ?a4={i # p2 @ q | q. q ∈ possL B}
  let ?b1={q ∈ possL A. ¬ p ≤p q}
  have ?a2 ∪ ?a3 = ?b1 proof
    {fix x assume x ∈ ?a2
    then obtain j q where j:j < length As j ≠ i and q:q ∈ possL (As ! j) and
    x:x = j # q
    by blast
    from j q have j#q ∈ possL A
    unfolding a Inr by simp
    then have x ∈ ?b1
    unfolding x p using j(2) by simp
    } moreover {fix x assume x ∈ ?a3
    then obtain q where x:x = i#q q ∈ possL (As ! i) ¬ p2 ≤p q
    by blast
    from x(3) have ¬ p ≤p x
    unfolding p x(1) using less-eq-pos-simps(2) by simp
    with x(2) have x ∈ ?b1
    unfolding a Inr x(1) using i by auto
    }
  ultimately show ?a2 ∪ ?a3 ⊆ ?b1 by blast
  {fix x assume b1:x ∈ ?b1
  then have x ∈ possL A
  by simp
  then obtain j q where j:j < length As and x:x = j # q and q:q ∈ possL

```

```

(As!j)
  unfolding a Inr labeled-source.simps labelposs.simps length-map by force
  then have  $x \in ?a2 \cup ?a3$  proof(cases  $j = i$ )
    case True
      with b1 have  $\neg p2 \leq_p q$ 
        unfolding p x using less-eq-pos-simps(2) by simp
      then show ?thesis using j x q b1 by auto
    qed simp
  }
  then show  $?b1 \subseteq ?a2 \cup ?a3$  by blast
qed
moreover have  $possL (ctxt-of-pos-term (i \# p') A)\langle B \rangle = ?a2 \cup ?a3 \cup ?a4$ 
proof-
  have  $l.length (take i As @ (ctxt-of-pos-term p' (As ! i))\langle B \rangle \# drop (Suc i) As) = length As$ 
    using i by simp
  {fix j assume  $j < length As$ 
    then have  $(map labeled-source (take i As @ (ctxt-of-pos-term p' (As ! i))\langle B \rangle \# drop (Suc i) As) ! j) = labeled-source ((take i As @ (ctxt-of-pos-term p' (As ! i))\langle B \rangle \# drop (Suc i) As) ! j)$ 
      using nth-map l by metis
    }note map-lab=this
  have  $set:\{j. j < length As\} = \{j. j < length As \wedge j \neq i\} \cup \{i\}$ 
    using i Collect-disj-eq by auto
  let ?args=(take i As @ (ctxt-of-pos-term p' (As ! i))\langle B \rangle \# drop (Suc i) As)
  {fix j assume  $j < length As \wedge j \neq i$ 
    with i have  $?args ! j = As!j$ 
      by (meson nat-less-le nth-append-take-drop-is-nth-conv)
    } moreover have  $?args!i = (ctxt-of-pos-term p' (As ! i))\langle B \rangle$  using i
    by (simp add: nth-append-take)
  moreover from set have  $(\bigcup_{j < length As. \{j \# q \mid q. q \in possL (?args ! j)\}})$ 
=
   $(\bigcup_{j \in \{j. j < length As \wedge j \neq i\}. \{j \# q \mid q. q \in possL (?args ! j)\}}) \cup \{i \# q \mid q. q \in possL (?args!i)\}$ 
    by force
  ultimately have  $(\bigcup_{j < length As. \{j \# q \mid q. q \in possL (?args ! j)\}}) =$ 
   $(\bigcup_{j \in \{j. j < length As \wedge j \neq i\}. \{j \# q \mid q. q \in possL (As ! j)\}}) \cup$ 
   $\{i \# q \mid q. q \in possL (ctxt-of-pos-term p' (As ! i))\langle B \rangle\}$ 
    by simp
  moreover have  $possL (ctxt-of-pos-term (i \# p') A)\langle B \rangle = (\bigcup_{j < length As. \{j \# q \mid q. q \in possL (?args ! j)\}})$ 
    unfolding a Inr ctxt-of-pos-term.simps intp-actxt.simps labeled-source.simps
    labelposs.simps length-map l using map-lab by force
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding IH by auto
qed
ultimately show ?thesis using p by force
qed
qed

```


lemma *single-redex-possL*:
assumes *to-rule* $\alpha \in R$ $p \in \text{poss } s$
shows $\text{possL } (\text{ll-single-redex } s \ p \ \alpha) = \{p \ @ \ q \mid q. q \in \text{fun-poss } (\text{lhs } \alpha)\}$
proof –
let $?\Delta = \text{ll-single-redex } s \ p \ \alpha$
have $*: \text{possL } (\text{Prule } \alpha \ (\text{map } (\text{to-pterm } \circ (\lambda pi. s \mid -(p @ pi))) \ (\text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \alpha))))$
 $= \text{labelposs } (\text{labeled-lhs } \alpha)$
proof –
{fix x
have $\text{labelposs } ((\langle \text{map } \text{labeled-source } (\text{map } (\text{to-pterm } \circ (\lambda pi. s \mid -(p @ pi))) \ (\text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \alpha))) \rangle_\alpha) \ x) = \{\}$
by (*smt* (*verit*) *comp-apply* *labeled-source-simple-pterm* *labelposs.simps*(1) *length-map* *lhs-subst-not-var-i* *lhs-subst-var-i* *map-nth-eq-conv*)
}
then show *?thesis* **unfolding** *labeled-source.simps* *labelposs-apply-subst* **by**
blast
qed
have $\text{possL } ?\Delta = \{q \in \text{possL } (\text{to-pterm } s). \neg p \leq_p q\} \cup \{p \ @ \ q \mid q. q \in \text{possL } (\text{Prule } \alpha \ (\text{map } (\text{to-pterm } \circ (\lambda pi. s \mid -(p @ pi))) \ (\text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \alpha))))\}$
using *label-ctxt* *assms* **by** (*simp* *add: ll-single-redex-def* *p-in-poss-to-pterm* *source-ctxt-to-pterm*)
also have $\dots = \{p \ @ \ q \mid q. q \in \text{possL } (\text{Prule } \alpha \ (\text{map } (\text{to-pterm } \circ (\lambda pi. s \mid -(p @ pi))) \ (\text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \alpha))))\}$
using *labeled-source-simple-pterm* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \{p \ @ \ q \mid q. q \in \text{labelposs } (\text{labeled-lhs } \alpha)\}$
unfolding $*$ **by** *simp*
finally show *?thesis*
using *label-poss-labeled-lhs* *labelposs-subs-fun-poss* **by** *fastforce*
qed

end

lemma *labeled-poss-in-lhs*:

assumes $p\text{-pos}: p \in \text{poss } (\text{source } (\text{Prule } \alpha \ As))$ **and** $\text{well}: \text{Prule } \alpha \ As \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
and $\text{get-label } ((\text{labeled-source } (\text{Prule } \alpha \ As)) \mid -p) = \text{Some } (\alpha, \text{length } p)$ *is-Fun* $(\text{lhs } \alpha)$
shows $p \in \text{fun-poss } (\text{lhs } \alpha)$
proof –
from $p\text{-pos}$ **consider** $p \in \text{fun-poss } (\text{lhs } \alpha) \mid \exists p1 \ p2 \ x. p = p1 \ @ \ p2 \wedge p1 \in \text{poss } (\text{lhs } \alpha) \wedge (\text{lhs } \alpha) \mid -p1 = \text{Var } x \wedge p2 \in \text{poss } ((\langle \text{map } \text{source } As \rangle_\alpha) \ x)$
unfolding *source.simps* **using** *poss-subst-apply-term* **by** *metis*
then show *?thesis* **proof**(*cases*)
case 2
then obtain $p1 \ p2 \ x$ **where** $p: p = p1 \ @ \ p2$ **and** $p1: p1 \in \text{poss } (\text{lhs } \alpha) \ (\text{lhs } \alpha) \mid -p1 = \text{Var } x$ **and** $p2: p2 \in \text{poss } ((\langle \text{map } \text{source } As \rangle_\alpha) \ x)$
by *blast*
then obtain i **where** $i: i < \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha) \ \text{var-rule } \alpha!i = x$

by (*metis in-set-conv-nth set-vars-term-list subt-at-imp-supteq subteq-Var-imp-in-vars-term vars-term-list-vars-distinct*)
from $p1$ **have** $p1\text{-pos}' : p1 \in \text{poss} (\text{labeled-lhs } \alpha)$
by *simp*
from $p1$ **have** $p1\text{-pos} : p1 \in \text{poss} (\text{labeled-lhs } \alpha \cdot \langle \text{map labeled-source } As \rangle_\alpha)$
by (*metis labeled-source.simps(3) labeled-source-to-term p p-pos poss-append-poss poss-term-lab-to-term*)
from $p1$ **have** $x : \text{labeled-lhs } \alpha \mid_{-p1} = \text{Var } x$
by (*metis fun-poss-term-lab-to-term label-term-to-term labeled-source-poss poss.simps(4) poss-term-lab-to-term term.sel(1) term-lab-to-term.simps(1) var-poss-iff*)
from *well* **have** $l : \text{length } As = \text{length} (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$
using *wf-pterm.cases* **by** *auto*
with *well i* **have** $asi : As!i \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
by (*metis fun-well-arg nth-mem*)
from l **have** $lab : \text{labeled-source} (\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } As) \mid_{-p} = \text{labeled-source} (As!i) \mid_{-p2}$
unfolding p *labeled-source.simps subt-at-append[OF p1-pos] subt-at-subst[OF p1-pos]* x **using** i
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) length-map lhs-subst-var-i nth-map eval-term.simps(1)*)
moreover from *assms(4)* $p1$ **have** $\text{length } p2 < \text{length } p$
unfolding p **by** *auto*
moreover from $p2$ **have** $p2 \in \text{poss} (\text{labeled-source} (As!i))$
using l i **by** (*metis (no-types, lifting) labeled-source-to-term length-map lhs-subst-var-i nth-map poss-term-lab-to-term*)
ultimately have *False* **using** *assms(3) asi* **by** (*simp add: label-term-max-value leD*)
then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed *simp*
qed

context *left-lin-no-var-lhs*

begin

lemma *get-label-Prule*:

assumes $\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } As \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ **and** $p \in \text{poss} (\text{source} (\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } As))$ **and** *get-label* ($\text{labeled-source} (\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ } As) \mid_{-p}$) = *Some* ($\beta, 0$)

shows $(p = [] \wedge \alpha = \beta) \vee$

$(\exists p1 p2 i. p = p1 @ p2 \wedge i < \text{length } As \wedge \text{var-poss-list} (\text{lhs } \alpha)!i = p1 \wedge$

$p2 \in \text{poss} (\text{source} (As!i)) \wedge \text{get-label} (\text{labeled-source} (As!i) \mid_{-p2}) = \text{Some}$

$(\beta, 0)$)

proof –

from *assms(1)* **have** $\alpha : \text{to-rule } \alpha \in R$

using *wf-pterm.simps* **by** *fastforce*

with *no-var-lhs* **obtain** $f \text{ } ts$ **where** $\text{lhs} : \text{lhs } \alpha = \text{Fun } f \text{ } ts$ **by** *fastforce*

from *assms(1)* **have** $l1 : \text{length} (\text{var-rule } \alpha) = \text{length } As$

using *wf-pterm.cases* **by** *force*

then have $l2 : \text{length} (\text{var-poss-list} (\text{lhs } \alpha)) = \text{length } As$

using *left-lin.length-var-rule[OF left-lin-axioms]* **by** (*simp add: length-var-poss-list*)

from *left-lin* **have** $\text{var-rule} : \text{var-rule } \alpha = \text{vars-term-list} (\text{lhs } \alpha)$

using α *left-linear-trs-def linear-term-var-vars-term-list* **by** *fastforce*

```

then show ?thesis proof(cases p=[])
  case True
    from assms(3) have  $\beta = \alpha$  unfolding True labeled-source.simps lhs label-term.simps eval-term.simps subt-at.simps by simp
    then show ?thesis unfolding True by simp
  next
    case False
      from assms(3) have  $\text{possL}: p \in \text{possL} \text{ (Prule } \alpha \text{ As)}$ 
      by (metis assms(2) get-label-imp-labelposs labeled-source-to-term option.distinct(1) poss-term-lab-to-term)
      {assume  $p \in \text{fun-poss} \text{ (lhs } \alpha)$ 
        then have get-label (labeled-source (Prule  $\alpha$  As) |-  $p$ ) = Some ( $\alpha$ , length  $p$ )
          unfolding labeled-source.simps lhs using label-term-increase by (metis add-0)
          with assms(3) False have False by simp
        }
      with assms(2) obtain  $p1\ p2\ x$  where  $p:p = p1 @ p2$  and  $p1:p1 \in \text{poss} \text{ (lhs } \alpha)$ 
         $\text{lhs } \alpha \text{ |- } p1 = \text{Var } x$  and  $p2:p2 \in \text{poss} \text{ ((}\langle \text{map source As} \rangle_\alpha \text{) } x)$ 
        unfolding source.simps using poss-subst-apply-term[of  $p$  lhs  $\alpha$ ] by metis
        then have  $p1 \in \text{var-poss} \text{ (lhs } \alpha)$  using var-poss-iff by blast
        with  $p1$  obtain  $i$  where  $i:i < \text{length As vars-term-list} \text{ (lhs } \alpha) \text{ ! } i = x \text{ var-poss-list}$ 
          (lhs  $\alpha$ ) !  $i = p1$ 
          using  $l2$  by (metis in-set-conv-nth length-var-poss-list term.inject(1) var-poss-list-sound vars-term-list-var-poss-list)
          with  $p2\ l1$  have  $p2\text{-poss}: p2 \in \text{poss} \text{ (source (As!}i\text{))}$ 
          by (smt (verit, del-insts)  $\alpha$  case-prodD left-lin left-linear-trs-def length-map lhs-subst-var-i linear-term-var-vars-term-list nth-map)
          from  $p1$  have labeled-source (Prule  $\alpha$  As) |-  $p = ((\langle \text{map labeled-source As} \rangle_\alpha x) \text{ |- } p2)$ 
          unfolding labeled-source.simps p by (smt (verit) assms(2) eval-term.simps(1) label-term-to-term labeled-source.simps(3) labeled-source-to-term p poss-term-lab-to-term subt-at-subst subterm-poss-conv var-label-term)
          moreover from var-rule have map ( $\langle \text{map labeled-source As} \rangle_\alpha$ ) (vars-term-list (lhs  $\alpha$ )) = map labeled-source As
          by (metis apply-lhs-subst-var-rule l1 length-map)
          ultimately have labeled-source (Prule  $\alpha$  As) |-  $p = (\text{labeled-source (As!}i\text{)}) \text{ |- } p2$ 
          using  $i$  by (metis map-nth-conv)
          with assms(3) have get-label (labeled-source (As !  $i$ ) |-  $p2$ ) = Some ( $\beta$ , 0) by force
          with  $p2\text{-poss } i\ p$  show ?thesis by blast
        }
      qed
    qed
  end

```

If the labeled source of a proof term A has the shape $t \cdot \sigma$ where all function symbols in t are unlabeled, then A matches t with some substitution τ .

context *no-var-lhs*

begin

lemma *pterm-source-substitution*:

```

assumes  $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  and  $\text{source } A = t \cdot \sigma$  and  $\text{linear-term } t$ 
  and  $\forall p \in \text{fun-poss } t. p \notin \text{possL } A$ 
shows  $A = (\text{to-pterm } t) \cdot (\text{mk-subst } \text{Var } (\text{match-substs } (\text{to-pterm } t) A))$ 
  using  $\text{assms}$  proof( $\text{induct } A \text{ arbitrary}; t \sigma$ )
  case (1  $x$ )
  from 1(1) obtain  $y$  where  $y:t = \text{Var } y$ 
    using  $\text{subst-apply-eq-Var}$  by ( $\text{metis } \text{source.simps}(1)$ )
  have  $\text{match}:\text{match-substs } (\text{Var } y) (\text{Var } x) = [(y, \text{Var } x)]$ 
    unfolding  $\text{match-substs-def vars-term-list.simps poss-list.simps}$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
  show  $?case$  unfolding  $y \text{ to-pterm.simps match}$ 
    by  $\text{simp}$ 
next
  case (2  $As f$ )
  show  $?case$  proof( $\text{cases } t$ )
    case ( $\text{Var } x$ )
    have  $\text{match}:\text{match-substs } (\text{Var } x) (\text{Pfun } f As) = [(x, \text{Pfun } f As)]$ 
      unfolding  $\text{match-substs-def vars-term-list.simps poss-list.simps}$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
    then show  $?thesis$  unfolding  $\text{Var to-pterm.simps match}$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
  next
  case ( $\text{Fun } g ts$ )
  from 2(2) have  $f:f = g$ 
    unfolding  $\text{Fun}$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
  from 2(2) have  $l:\text{length } ts = \text{length } As$ 
    unfolding  $\text{Fun eval-term.simps}$  using  $\text{map-eq-imp-length-eq}$  by  $\text{fastforce}$ 
  {fix  $i$  assume  $i:i < \text{length } As$ 
    from 2(2)  $i$  have  $\text{source } (As!i) = (ts!i) \cdot \sigma$ 
      unfolding  $\text{Fun } f$  by ( $\text{smt } (\text{verit}, \text{best}) \text{eval-term.simps}(2) l \text{nth-map}$ 
 $\text{source.simps}(2) \text{term.sel}(4)$ )
      moreover from 2(3)  $i l$  have  $\text{lin-tsi}:\text{linear-term } (ts!i)$ 
        unfolding  $\text{Fun}$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
      moreover have ( $\forall p \in \text{fun-poss } (ts!i). p \notin \text{possL } (As!i)$ ) proof
        fix  $p$  assume  $p \in \text{fun-poss } (ts!i)$ 
        then have  $i\#p \in \text{fun-poss } (\text{Fun } f ts)$ 
          using  $i l$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
        with 2(4) have  $i\#p \notin \text{possL } (\text{Pfun } f As)$ 
          unfolding  $\text{Fun } f$  by  $\text{fastforce}$ 
        then show  $p \notin \text{possL } (As!i)$ 
          using  $i$  unfolding  $\text{labeled-source.simps labelposs.simps}$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
      }
  qed
  ultimately have  $\text{IH}:\text{As!i} = \text{to-pterm } (ts!i) \cdot \text{mk-subst } \text{Var } (\text{match-substs}$ 
 $(\text{to-pterm } (ts!i)) (As!i))$ 
    using 2(1)  $i \text{nth-mem}$  by  $\text{blast}$ 
  have  $\text{As!i} = \text{to-pterm } (ts!i) \cdot \text{mk-subst } \text{Var } (\text{match-substs } (\text{to-pterm } t) (\text{Pfun}$ 
 $g As))$  proof–
    {fix  $x$  assume  $x \in \text{vars-term } (\text{to-pterm } (ts!i))$ 
      then obtain  $j$  where  $j:\text{vars-term-list } (ts!i) !j = x \ j < \text{length } (\text{vars-term-list}$ 
 $(ts!i))$ 
        by ( $\text{metis in-set-conv-nth set-vars-term-list vars-to-pterm}$ )
    }

```

```

then have  $j':j < \text{length} (\text{map} ((|-) (As ! i)) (\text{var-poss-list} (\text{to-pter} (ts ! i))))$ 
by (metis length-map length-var-poss-list var-poss-list-to-pter)
let  $?qj = \text{var-poss-list} (\text{to-pter} (ts!i)) !j$ 
have  $\text{map-}j:(\text{map} ((|-) (As ! i)) (\text{var-poss-list} (\text{to-pter} (ts ! i))))!j =$ 
 $(As!i)|-?qj$ 
using  $j'$  by simp
have distinct (vars-term-list (ts!i))
using lin-tsi by (metis distinct-remdups distinct-rev linear-term-var-vars-term-list
o-apply)
then have  $\text{dist1}:\text{distinct} (\text{map} \text{fst} (\text{match-substs} (\text{to-pter} (ts!i)) (As!i)))$ 
unfolding match-substs-def by (metis length-map length-var-poss-list
map-fst-zip vars-to-pter)
have distinct (vars-term-list t)
by (metis 2.prem(2) distinct-remdups distinct-rev linear-term-var-vars-term-list
o-apply)
then have  $\text{dist2}:\text{distinct} (\text{map} \text{fst} (\text{match-substs} (\text{to-pter} t) (Pfun g As)))$ 
unfolding match-substs-def by (metis length-map length-var-poss-list
map-fst-zip vars-to-pter)
have  $(x, (As!i)|-?qj) \in \text{set} (\text{match-substs} (\text{to-pter} (ts!i)) (As!i))$ 
unfolding match-substs-def using  $\text{map-}j j j'$  by (metis (no-types, lifting)
in-set-conv-nth length-zip min-less-iff-conj nth-zip vars-to-pter)
then have  $\text{sub1}:\text{mk-subst} \text{Var} (\text{match-substs} (\text{to-pter} (ts!i)) (As!i)) x =$ 
 $As!i |- ?qj$ 
using dist1 map-of-eq-Some-iff unfolding mk-subst-def by simp
let  $?j' = (\text{sum-list} (\text{map} (\text{length} \circ \text{vars-term-list}) (\text{take } i \text{ ts})) + j)$ 
have  $x2:\text{vars-term-list } t ! ?j' = x$ 
unfolding Fun vars-term-list.simps using  $j(1)$  by (smt (verit, best)
concat-nth i j(2) l length-map map-map nth-map take-map)
have  $\text{lj}':?j' < \text{length} (\text{vars-term-list} (\text{to-pter} t))$  unfolding vars-to-pter
unfolding Fun to-pter.simps vars-term-list.simps
using  $i j(2) l \text{concat-nth-length}$  by (metis List.map.compositionality
length-map nth-map take-map)
then have  $j'\text{-var-poss}:?j' < \text{length} (\text{var-poss-list} (\text{to-pter} t))$ 
by (metis length-var-poss-list)
then have  $\text{lj}'':?j' < \text{length} (\text{map} ((|-) (Pfun g As)) (\text{var-poss-list} (\text{to-pter}
t))))$ 
by (metis length-map length-var-poss-list)
have  $\text{var-poss-list} (\text{to-pter} t) ! ?j' = i\#?qj$ 
proof –
have  $\text{l-zip}:i < \text{length} (\text{zip} [0..<\text{length} (\text{map to-pter} ts)] (\text{map} \text{var-poss-list}
(\text{map to-pter} ts))))$ 
by (simp add: i l)
have  $\text{zip}:\text{zip} [0..<\text{length} (\text{map to-pter} ts)] (\text{map} \text{var-poss-list} (\text{map}
\text{to-pter} ts)) ! i = (i, \text{var-poss-list} (\text{to-pter} (ts ! i)))$ 
using nth-zip by (simp add: i l)
have  $\text{map2}:\text{map2} (\lambda i. \text{map} ((\#) i)) [0..<\text{length} (\text{map to-pter} ts)] (\text{map}
\text{var-poss-list} (\text{map to-pter} ts)) ! i ! j = i\#?qj$ 
unfolding nth-map[OF l-zip] zip using  $j'$  by auto

```

```

from  $l\text{-zip}$  have  $i':i < \text{length} (\text{map2} (\lambda x. \text{map} ((\#) x)) [0..\text{length} (\text{map} \text{to-ptesterm } ts)]) (\text{map } \text{var-poss-list} (\text{map } \text{to-ptesterm } ts))$ 
  by simp
  have  $j':j < \text{length} (\text{map2} (\lambda x. \text{map} ((\#) x)) [0..\text{length} (\text{map } \text{to-ptesterm } ts)]) (\text{map } \text{var-poss-list} (\text{map } \text{to-ptesterm } ts)) ! i$ 
    unfolding  $\text{nth-map}[OF\ l\text{-zip}] \text{zip}$  using  $j(2)$  by (metis case-prod-conv length-map length-var-poss-list vars-to-ptesterm)
    {fix  $k$  assume  $k:k < \text{length } ts$ 
      then have  $\text{zip}:\text{zip} [0..\text{length} (\text{map } \text{to-ptesterm } ts)] (\text{map } \text{var-poss-list} (\text{map } \text{to-ptesterm } ts)) ! k = (k, \text{var-poss-list} (\text{to-ptesterm } (ts ! k)))$ 
        using  $\text{nth-zip}$  by simp
        then have  $\text{map2} (\lambda x. \text{map} ((\#) x)) [0..\text{length} (\text{map } \text{to-ptesterm } ts)] (\text{map } \text{var-poss-list} (\text{map } \text{to-ptesterm } ts)) ! k = \text{map} ((\#) k) (\text{var-poss-list} (\text{to-ptesterm } (ts ! k)))$ 
          using  $k$  by simp
        then have  $\text{length} ((\text{map2} (\lambda x. \text{map} ((\#) x)) [0..\text{length} (\text{map } \text{to-ptesterm } ts)] (\text{map } \text{var-poss-list} (\text{map } \text{to-ptesterm } ts))))!k = \text{length} (\text{vars-term-list} (ts!k))$ 
          using  $\text{length-var-poss-list vars-to-ptesterm}$  by (metis length-map)
        with  $k$  have  $(\text{map } \text{length} (\text{map2} (\lambda x. \text{map} ((\#) x)) [0..\text{length} (\text{map } \text{to-ptesterm } ts)] (\text{map } \text{var-poss-list} (\text{map } \text{to-ptesterm } ts))))!k = (\text{map} (\text{length} \circ \text{vars-term-list}) ts) ! k$  by simp
      }
    moreover have  $\text{length} (\text{map } \text{length} (\text{map2} (\lambda x. \text{map} ((\#) x)) [0..\text{length} (\text{map } \text{to-ptesterm } ts)] (\text{map } \text{var-poss-list} (\text{map } \text{to-ptesterm } ts)))) = \text{length } ts$ 
      by simp
      ultimately have  $(\text{map } \text{length} (\text{map2} (\lambda x. \text{map} ((\#) x)) [0..\text{length} (\text{map } \text{to-ptesterm } ts)] (\text{map } \text{var-poss-list} (\text{map } \text{to-ptesterm } ts)))) = (\text{map} (\text{length} \circ \text{vars-term-list}) ts)$  by (simp add: map-nth-eq-conv)
      then show ?thesis
      unfolding  $\text{Fun } \text{to-ptesterm.simps } \text{var-poss-list.simps}$  using  $\text{concat-nth}[OF\ i''\ j'']$  unfolding  $\text{map2 } \text{take-map}[\text{symmetric}]$  by simp
      qed
      with  $lj''$  have  $(\text{map} ((|-) (Pfun\ g\ As)) (\text{var-poss-list} (\text{to-ptesterm } t)))!j' = Pfun\ g\ As\ |- (i\#\?qj)$ 
        by force
        with  $x2$  have  $(x, Pfun\ g\ As\ |- (i\#\?qj)) \in \text{set} (\text{match-substs} (\text{to-ptesterm } t) (Pfun\ g\ As))$ 
          unfolding  $\text{match-substs-def}$  using  $lj'\ lj''$  by (metis (no-types, lifting) in-set-conv-nth length-zip min-less-iff-conj nth-zip vars-to-ptesterm)
          then have  $\text{sub2}:\text{mk-subst } Var (\text{match-substs} (\text{to-ptesterm } t) (Pfun\ g\ As))\ x = Pfun\ g\ As\ |- (i\#\?qj)$ 
            using  $\text{dist2 } \text{map-of-eq-Some-iff}$  unfolding  $\text{mk-subst-def}$  by simp
            from  $\text{sub1 } \text{sub2}$  have  $\text{mk-subst } Var (\text{match-substs} (\text{to-ptesterm } (ts!i)) (As!i))\ x = \text{mk-subst } Var (\text{match-substs} (\text{to-ptesterm } t) (Pfun\ g\ As))\ x$ 
              by simp
          }
      then show ?thesis using  $IH$ 
      using  $\text{term-subst-eq}$  by force

```

```

    qed
  }
  then show ?thesis
  unfolding Fun f eval-term.simps to-pterm.simps using l by (metis (mono-tags,
lifting) length-map map-nth-eq-conv)
  qed
next
case (3 α As)
show ?case proof(cases t)
  case (Var x)
  have match:match-substs (Var x) (Prule α As) = [(x, Prule α As)]
  unfolding match-substs-def vars-term-list.simps poss-list.simps by simp
  then show ?thesis unfolding Var to-pterm.simps match by simp
next
case (Fun g ts)
from 3(1) no-var-lhs obtain f ss where lhsa:lhs α = Fun f ss
  by blast
have [] ∈ possL (Prule α As)
unfolding labeled-source.simps lhsa label-term.simps labelposs.simps eval-term.simps
by simp
with 3(6) have False unfolding Fun by simp
then show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed

```

```

lemma unlabeled-source-to-pterm:
assumes labeled-source A = s · τ
  and linear-term s and A ∈ wf-pterm R
  and labelposs s = {}
shows ∃ As. A = to-pterm (term-lab-to-term s) · (mk-subst Var (zip (vars-term-list
s) As)) ∧ length (vars-term-list s) = length As
  using assms proof(induct s arbitrary:A)
  case (Var x)
  let ?As = [A]
  have A = to-pterm (term-lab-to-term (Var x)) · mk-subst Var (zip (vars-term-list
(Var x)) ?As)
  unfolding term-lab-to-term.simps to-pterm.simps vars-term-list.simps zip-Cons-Cons
zip-Nil mk-subst-def by simp
  then show ?case
  by (smt (verit) length-nth-simps(1) list.size(4) vars-term-list.simps(1))
next
case (Fun fl ts)
from Fun(5) obtain f where f:fl = (f, None)
  by (metis empty-iff empty-pos-in-poss get-label.simps(2) get-label-imp-labelposs
prod.exhaust-sel subt-at.simps(1))
with Fun(2) have ∃ As. A = Pfun f As ∧ length As = length ts proof(cases A)
  case (Pfun g As)
  from Fun(2) show ?thesis
  unfolding Pfun f labeled-source.simps using map-eq-imp-length-eq by auto

```

```

next
  case (Prule  $\alpha$  As)
  from Fun(4) no-var-lhs obtain g ss where lhs:lhs  $\alpha = \text{Fun } g \text{ ss}$ 
  by (metis Inl-inject Prule case-prodD is-FunE is-Prule.simps(1) is-Prule.simps(3)
term.distinct(1) term.sel(2) wf-pterm.simps)
  from Fun(2) show ?thesis
  unfolding Prule f lhs labeled-source.simps by force
qed simp
then obtain As where as:A = Pfun f As and l:length As = length ts
  by blast
{fix i assume i:i < length ts
  with Fun(2) have labeled-source (As!i) = (ts!i)  $\cdot$   $\tau$ 
  unfolding as by (smt (verit, best) eval-term.simps(2) l labeled-source.simps(2)
nth-map term.inject(2))
  moreover from i Fun(3) have linear-term (ts!i)
  by simp
  moreover from i Fun(4) have As!i  $\in$  wf-pterm R
  unfolding as by (metis l fun-well-arg nth-mem)
  moreover from i Fun(5) have labelposs (ts!i) = {}
  unfolding f labelposs.simps by blast
  ultimately have  $\exists$  As'. (As!i) = to-pterm (term-lab-to-term (ts!i))  $\cdot$  mk-subst
Var (zip (vars-term-list (ts!i)) As')  $\wedge$  length (vars-term-list (ts!i)) = length As'
  using Fun(1) i by force
}
then obtain As' where l'':length As' = length ts
  and IH:( $\forall$  i < length ts. (As!i) = to-pterm (term-lab-to-term (ts!i))  $\cdot$  mk-subst
Var (zip (vars-term-list (ts!i)) (As'!i))  $\wedge$  length (vars-term-list (ts!i)) = length
(As'!i))
  using Ex-list-of-length-P[where P= $\lambda$ As' i. As' ! i = to-pterm (term-lab-to-term
(ts ! i))  $\cdot$  mk-subst Var (zip (vars-term-list (ts ! i)) As')  $\wedge$  length (vars-term-list
(ts!i)) = length As'] l by blast
  then have l':length As' = length (map to-pterm (map term-lab-to-term ts))
  by simp
  have vars-list:map vars-term-list (map to-pterm (map term-lab-to-term ts)) =
map vars-term-list ts
  by (smt (verit, best) length-map map-nth-eq-conv vars-term-list-term-lab-to-term
vars-to-pterm)
  have map vars-term (map to-pterm (map term-lab-to-term ts)) = map vars-term
ts
  using vars-term-list-term-lab-to-term by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) length-map
map-nth-eq-conv set-vars-term-list vars-to-pterm)
  then have part:is-partition (map vars-term (map to-pterm (map term-lab-to-term
ts)))
  using Fun(3) by (metis linear-term.simps(2))
  have *: $\forall$  i < length ts. to-pterm (term-lab-to-term (ts!i))  $\cdot$  mk-subst Var (concat
(map2 zip (map vars-term-list (map to-pterm (map term-lab-to-term ts))) As')) =
As!i
  using mk-subst-partition-special[OF l' part] unfolding length-map using nth-map
IH

```



```

  by (smt (verit, best) length-map vars-term-list-term-lab-to-term vars-to-pterms)
  from IH have  $\forall i < \text{length } ts. \text{length } (\text{vars-term-list } (\text{to-pterms } (\text{term-lab-to-term } (ts! i)))) = \text{length } (As' ! i)$ 
  by (metis vars-term-list-term-lab-to-term vars-to-pterms)
  then have  $ls: \forall i < \text{length } ts. \text{length } (\text{map vars-term-list } (\text{map to-pterms } (\text{map term-lab-to-term } ts))) ! i = \text{length } (As' ! i)$ 
  using nth-map by simp
  then have  $cc: \text{concat } (\text{map2 zip } (\text{map vars-term-list } (\text{map to-pterms } (\text{map term-lab-to-term } ts)))) As' = \text{zip } (\text{concat } (\text{map vars-term-list } ts)) (\text{concat } As')$ 
  unfolding vars-list using concat-map2-zip by (metis l' length-map)
  have  $A = \text{to-pterms } (\text{term-lab-to-term } (\text{Fun fl } ts)) \cdot \text{mk-subst Var } (\text{zip } (\text{vars-term-list } (\text{Fun fl } ts)) (\text{concat } As'))$ 
  unfolding f term-lab-to-term.simps to-pterms.simps fst-conv eval-term.simps as
  vars-term-list.simps cc[symmetric] using * by (simp add: l list-eq-iff-nth-eq)
  moreover have  $\text{length } (\text{vars-term-list } (\text{Fun fl } ts)) = \text{length } (\text{concat } As')$ 
  unfolding vars-term-list.simps
  using l'' ls by (metis eq-length-concat-nth length-map vars-list)
  ultimately show ?case by auto
qed
end

```

lemma *labels-intersect-label-term*:

```

  assumes  $\text{term-lab-to-term } A = t \cdot (\text{term-lab-to-term } \circ \sigma)$ 
  and linear-term t
  and  $\text{labelposs } A \cap \text{labelposs } ((\text{label-term } \alpha n t) \cdot \sigma) = \{\}$ 
  shows  $\exists As. A = \text{term-to-term-lab } t \cdot (\text{mk-subst Var } (\text{zip } (\text{vars-term-list } t) As)) \wedge$ 
 $\text{length } As = \text{length } (\text{vars-term-list } t)$ 
  using assms proof(induct t arbitrary:A n)
  case (Var x)
  have  $A = \text{mk-subst Var } (\text{zip } [x] [A]) x$ 
  unfolding mk-subst-def by simp
  then show ?case unfolding term-to-term-lab.simps eval-term.simps vars-term-list.simps
  by fastforce
next
  case (Fun f ts)
  from Fun(2) obtain lab ss where  $a:A = \text{Fun } (f, \text{lab}) ss$ 
  using term-lab-to-term.simps by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) eroot.cases fst-conv
old.prod.exhaust eval-term.simps(2) term.distinct(1) term.sel(2))
  from Fun(4) have  $\text{lab}: \text{lab} = \text{None}$ 
  unfolding a using insertCI by auto
  from Fun(2) have  $l: \text{length } ts = \text{length } ss$ 
  unfolding a by (metis length-map eval-term.simps(2) term.sel(4) term-lab-to-term.simps(2))
  {fix i assume  $i < \text{length } ts$ 
  with Fun(2) have  $\text{term-lab-to-term } (ss!i) = ts!i \cdot (\text{term-lab-to-term } \circ \sigma)$ 
  unfolding a term-lab-to-term.simps eval-term.simps fst-conv by (metis l
nth-map term.inject(2))
  moreover from i Fun(3) have linear-term (ts!i)
  by simp
  moreover have  $\text{labelposs } (ss!i) \cap \text{labelposs } (\text{label-term } \alpha (n+1) (ts!i) \cdot \sigma) =$ 
```

```

}
proof-
  {fix q assume q1:q ∈ labelposs (ss!i) and q2:q ∈ labelposs (label-term α
(n+1) (ts!i) · σ)
  from q1 i l have i#q ∈ labelposs A
  unfolding a lab label-term.simps labelposs.simps by simp
  moreover from q2 i have i#q ∈ labelposs ((label-term α n (Fun f ts)) · σ)
  unfolding label-term.simps eval-term.simps labelposs.simps length-map by
simp
  ultimately have False
  using Fun(4) by blast
}
then show ?thesis
by blast
qed
  ultimately have ∃ As. ss!i = term-to-term-lab (ts!i) · (mk-subst Var (zip
(vars-term-list (ts!i)) As)) ∧ length As = length (vars-term-list (ts!i))
  using Fun(1) i nth-mem by blast
}
then obtain Ass where l':length Ass = length ts
  and IH:(∀ i < length ts. (ss!i) = (term-to-term-lab (ts!i)) · mk-subst Var (zip
(vars-term-list (ts!i)) (Ass!i)) ∧ length (Ass!i) = length (vars-term-list (ts!i)))
  using Ex-list-of-length-P[where P=λ Ass i. ss ! i = (term-to-term-lab (ts ! i)) ·
mk-subst Var (zip (vars-term-list (ts ! i)) Ass) ∧ length Ass = length (vars-term-list
(ts!i))] l by blast
  let ?As=concat Ass
  from l' have l'':length Ass = length (map term-to-term-lab ts)
  by simp
  have vars-list:map vars-term-list (map term-to-term-lab ts) = map vars-term-list
ts
  using vars-term-list-term-to-term-lab by auto
  have map vars-term (map term-to-term-lab ts) = map vars-term ts
  using vars-term-list-term-to-term-lab by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) length-map
map-nth-eq-conv set-vars-term-list vars-to-pterm)
  then have part:is-partition (map vars-term (map term-to-term-lab ts))
  using Fun(3) by (metis linear-term.simps(2))
  have *:∀ i < length ts. (term-to-term-lab (ts!i)) · mk-subst Var (concat (map2
zip (map vars-term-list (map term-to-term-lab ts)) Ass)) = ss!i
  using mk-subst-partition-special[OF l'' part] unfolding length-map using
nth-map IH
  by (smt (verit, best) length-map vars-term-list-term-to-term-lab vars-to-pterm)
  from IH have ∀ i < length ts. length (vars-term-list (term-to-term-lab (ts! i)))
= length (Ass ! i)
  by (metis vars-term-list-term-to-term-lab)
  then have ls:∀ i < length ts. length (map vars-term-list (map term-to-term-lab
ts) ! i) = length (Ass ! i)
  using nth-map by simp
  then have cc:concat (map2 zip (map vars-term-list (map term-to-term-lab ts))
Ass) = zip (concat (map vars-term-list ts)) (concat Ass)

```

unfolding *vars-list* **using** *concat-map2-zip* **by** (*metis l' length-map*)
have $A = \text{term-to-term-lab } (Fun\ f\ ts) \cdot \text{mk-subst Var } (\text{zip } (\text{vars-term-list } (Fun\ f\ ts))\ ?As)$
unfolding *term-to-term-lab.simps eval-term.simps vars-term-list.simps a lab*
cc[symmetric] **using** * **by** (*simp add: l list-eq-iff-nth-eq*)
moreover from *IH l'* **have** $l''.\text{length } ?As = \text{length } (\text{vars-term-list } (Fun\ f\ ts))$
unfolding *vars-term-list.simps* **by** (*simp add: eq-length-concat-nth*)
ultimately show *?case*
by *blast*
qed

lemma *labeled-wf-pterm-rule-in-TRS:*

assumes $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ **and** $p \in \text{poss } (\text{labeled-source } A)$
and $\text{get-label } (\text{labeled-source } A \mid -\ p) = \text{Some } (\alpha, n)$
shows $\text{to-rule } \alpha \in R$
using *assms* **proof**(*induct A arbitrary: p n*)
case (*2 ts f*)
from *2(2,3)* **obtain** $i\ p'$ **where** $p:p = i\#p' \ i < \text{length } ts \ p' \in \text{poss } (\text{labeled-source } (ts!i))$
 $\text{get-label } (\text{labeled-source } (ts!i) \mid -\ p') = \text{Some } (\alpha, n)$
unfolding *labeled-source.simps get-label.simps* **by** *auto*
with *2(1)* **show** *?case*
using *nth-mem* **by** *blast*
next
case (*3 β As*)
from *3(4)* **consider** $p \in \text{fun-poss } (\text{labeled-lhs } \beta) \mid (\exists p1\ p2\ x. p = p1 @ p2$
 $\wedge p1 \in \text{poss } (\text{labeled-lhs } \beta) \wedge (\text{labeled-lhs } \beta) \mid -\ p1 =$
 $\text{Var } x$
 $\wedge p2 \in \text{poss } ((\langle \text{map labeled-source } As \rangle_{\beta})\ x)$
 $\wedge (\text{labeled-source } (Prule\ \beta\ As)) \mid -\ p = ((\langle \text{map}$
 $\text{labeled-source } As \rangle_{\beta})\ x) \mid -\ p2)$
unfolding *labeled-source.simps* **by** (*meson poss-is-Fun-fun-poss poss-subst-choice*)
then show *?case* **proof**(*cases*)
case *1*
then have $p \in \text{fun-poss } (\text{lhs } \beta)$
by (*simp add: fun-poss-label-term*)
then have $\text{get-label } ((\text{labeled-source } (Prule\ \beta\ As)) \mid -\ p) = \text{Some } (\beta, \text{length } p)$
unfolding *labeled-source.simps* **by** (*simp add: label-term-increase*)
with *3(1,5)* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
next
case *2*
then obtain $p1\ p2\ x$ **where** $p1\ p2:p = p1 @ p2$ **and** $x:p1 \in \text{poss } (\text{labeled-lhs } \beta) \wedge \text{labeled-lhs } \beta \mid -\ p1 = \text{Var } x$
and $p2:p2 \in \text{poss } ((\langle \text{map labeled-source } As \rangle_{\beta})\ x)$
and $\text{lab:labeled-source } (Prule\ \beta\ As) \mid -\ p = ((\langle \text{map labeled-source } As \rangle_{\beta})\ x) \mid -\ p2$
by *blast*
from x **have** $x \in \text{vars-term } (\text{lhs } \beta)$
by (*metis subt-at-imp-supteq subteq-Var-imp-in-vars-term vars-term-labeled-lhs*)
with x **obtain** i **where** $i:i < \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \beta) \wedge (\text{var-rule } \beta)!i = x$
by (*metis in-set-conv-nth set-vars-term-list vars-term-list-vars-distinct*)

```

with  $\exists(2)$  have  $*$ : $((\text{map labeled-source } As)_\beta) x = \text{labeled-source } (As!i)$ 
  by  $(\text{metis } (\text{no-types, lifting}) \text{ length-map lhs-subst-var-i nth-map})$ 
with  $\exists(5)$  lab have  $\text{get-label } ((\text{labeled-source } (As!i))|-p2) = \text{Some } (\alpha, n)$ 
  by  $\text{simp}$ 
with  $\exists(3)$   $p2\ i\ \exists(2)$   $*$  show  $?thesis$  by  $\text{force}$ 
qed
qed  $\text{simp}$ 

context  $\text{no-var-lhs}$ 
begin
lemma  $\text{unlabeled-above-p}$ :
  assumes  $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  and  $p \in \text{poss } (\text{source } A)$ 
  and  $\forall r. r <_p p \longrightarrow r \notin \text{possL } A$ 
shows  $p \in \text{poss } A \wedge \text{labeled-source } A|-p = \text{labeled-source } (A|-p)$ 
using  $\text{assms}$  proof $(\text{induct } p \text{ arbitrary: } A)$ 
case  $(\text{Cons } i\ p)$ 
from  $\text{Cons}(3)$  obtain  $f\ ts$  where  $f:\text{source } A = \text{Fun } f\ ts$  and  $i:i < \text{length } ts$  and
 $p:p \in \text{poss } (ts!i)$ 
  using  $\text{args-poss}$  by  $\text{blast}$ 
from  $\text{Cons}(4)$  have  $\square \notin \text{possL } A$ 
  by  $(\text{simp add: order-pos.less-le})$ 
then have  $\text{no-lab:get-label } (\text{labeled-source } A) = \text{None}$ 
  by  $(\text{metis empty-pos-in-poss get-label-imp-labelposs subt-at.simps}(1))$ 
from  $\text{Cons}(3)$  obtain  $f'\ As$  where  $a:A = \text{Fun } f'\ As$ 
  by  $(\text{metis Cons-poss-Var eroot.cases source.simps}(1))$ 
then have  $f':f' = \text{Inr } f$  proof $(\text{cases } A)$ 
  case  $(\text{Pfun } g\ Bs)$ 
  then show  $?thesis$  using  $f\ \text{Pfun } a$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
next
  case  $(\text{Prule } \alpha\ Bs)$ 
  with  $\text{Cons}(2)$  obtain  $g\ ss$  where  $g:\text{lhs } \alpha = \text{Fun } g\ ss$ 
  using  $\text{no-var-lhs}$  by  $(\text{metis Inl-inject case-prodD is-Prule.simps}(1) \text{ is-Prule.simps}(3)$ 
 $\text{term.collapse}(2) \text{ term.distinct}(1) \text{ term.sel}(2) \text{ wf-pterm.simps})$ 
  then show  $?thesis$  using  $\text{no-lab unfolding Prule}$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
qed  $\text{simp}$ 
from  $i\ a$  have  $i':i < \text{length } As$ 
  using  $f\ f'$  by  $\text{force}$ 
from  $\text{Cons}(3)$  have  $p \in \text{poss } (\text{source } (As!i))$ 
  unfolding  $a\ f'$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
moreover
{fix  $r$  assume  $r \in \text{poss } (\text{source } (As!i))$  and  $le:r <_p p$ 
  then have  $i\#r \in \text{poss } (\text{labeled-source } A)$ 
  unfolding  $a\ f'$  using  $i'$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
  moreover from  $le$  have  $i\#r <_p i\#p$ 
  by  $\text{simp}$ 
  ultimately have  $i\#r \notin \text{possL } A$ 
  using  $\text{Cons}(4)$  by  $\text{blast}$ 
  then have  $r \notin \text{possL } (As!i)$ 

```

```

    unfolding a f' labeled-source.simps using i' by force
  }
  ultimately have p ∈ poss (As!i) ∧ labeled-source (As!i) |- p = labeled-source
  ((As!i) |- p)
  using Cons(1,2) i' unfolding a f' by (meson fun-well-arg nth-mem possL-subset-poss-source
  subsetD)
  with i' a f' show ?case
  by simp
qed simp
end

lemma (in single-redex) labeled-source-at-pq:labeled-source (A|-q) = (labeled-source
A)|-p
  using a pq q p a-well proof(induct q arbitrary:p A)
  case Nil
  then have p = []
  by (simp add: subt-at-ctxt-of-pos-term subt-at-id-imp-eps)
  then show ?case
  by simp
next
  case (Cons i q)
  from Cons(4) obtain fs Bs where a:A = Fun fs Bs and i:i < length Bs and
  q:q ∈ poss (Bs!i)
  using args-poss by blast
  let ?As = map (λj. (Bs!i) |- (q @ [j])) [0..<length (var-rule α)]
  have (map (λia. A |- ((i # q) @ [ia])) [0..<length (var-rule α)]) = ?As
  unfolding a by simp
  with a i q Cons(2,4) have bsi:Bs!i = (ctxt-of-pos-term q (Bs!i))⟨Prule α ?As⟩
  by (metis ctxt-supt-id subt-at.simps(2) subt-at-ctxt-of-pos-term)
  from Cons(6) have bi-well:Bs ! i ∈ wf-pterm R
  unfolding a by (meson fun-well-arg i nth-mem)
  show ?case proof(cases fs)
  case (Inl β)
  from Cons(6) have lin:linear-term (lhs β)
  unfolding a Inl using left-lin left-linear-trs-def term.inject(2) wf-pterm.cases
  by fastforce
  from Cons(6) have is-Fun:is-Fun (lhs β)
  unfolding a Inl using no-var-lhs using wf-pterm.cases by auto
  from Cons(6) have l-bs:length Bs = length (var-rule β)
  unfolding a Inl using wf-pterm.cases by auto
  obtain p1 p2 where p:p = p1@p2 and p1:p1 = var-poss-list (lhs β) ! i and
  p2:p2 ∈ poss (source (Bs!i))
  using ctxt-rule-obtain-pos Cons(4,5,3) lin l-bs unfolding a Inl by metis
  have ctxt:ctxt-of-pos-term p2 (source (Bs ! i)) = source-ctxt (ctxt-of-pos-term
  q (Bs ! i))
  proof-
  from p1 have p1-pos:p1 ∈ poss (lhs β)
  using i l-bs lin by (metis length-var-poss-list linear-term-var-vars-term-list
  nth-mem var-poss-imp-poss var-poss-list-sound)

```

```

from  $p1\text{-pos}$  have  $p1': p1 \in \text{poss} (\text{lhs } \beta \cdot \langle \text{map source } Bs \rangle_\beta)$ 
  by simp
from  $p1$  have  $p1'': \text{var-poss-list} (\text{lhs } \beta) ! \text{length} (\text{take } i \text{ } Bs) = p1$ 
  using  $i$  by force
have  $*: \text{lhs } \beta \cdot \langle \text{map source } Bs \rangle_\beta \mid\text{-} p1 = \text{source} (Bs!i)$ 
  unfolding  $p1$  using  $l\text{-bs } i$ 
  by (smt (verit) length-map lhs-subst-var-i lin linear-term-var-vars-term-list
nth-map p1 p1-pos eval-term.simps(1) subt-at-subst vars-term-list-var-poss-list)
from  $\text{Cons}(3)$  show ?thesis
  unfolding  $a \text{ Inl } p \text{ source.simps ctxt-of-pos-term.simps source-ctxt.simps}$ 
Let-def ctxt-of-pos-term-append[OF p1'] * p1''
  using ctxt-comp-equals[OF p1'] p1-pos using poss-imp-subst-poss by blast
qed
from  $\text{Cons}(1)[\text{OF } bsi \text{ ctxt } q \text{ } p2 \text{ bi-well}]$  have  $IH: \text{labeled-source} (Bs ! i \mid\text{-} q) =$ 
labeled-source (Bs ! i) \mid\text{-} p2
  by presburger
from  $p1$  have  $p1 = \text{var-poss-list} (\text{labeled-lhs } \beta) ! i$ 
  by (simp add: var-poss-list-labeled-lhs)
moreover then have  $(\text{labeled-lhs } \beta) \mid\text{-} p1 = \text{Var} (\text{vars-term-list} (\text{lhs } \beta) ! i)$ 
  by (metis i l-bs lin linear-term-var-vars-term-list vars-term-list-labeled-lhs
vars-term-list-var-poss-list)
ultimately show ?thesis
  unfolding  $a \text{ Inl using } i \text{ IH unfolding } \text{subt-at.simps } p \text{ labeled-source.simps}$ 
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) apply-lhs-subst-var-rule filter-cong l-bs length-map
length-var-poss-list lin linear-term-var-vars-term-list map-nth-conv nth-mem poss-imp-subst-poss
eval-term.simps(1) subt-at-append subt-at-subst var-poss-imp-poss var-poss-list-sound
vars-term-list-labeled-lhs)
next
  case (Inr f)
from  $\text{Cons}(3,5)$  obtain  $p'$  where  $p: p = i \# p'$  and  $p': p' \in \text{poss} (\text{source} (Bs!i))$ 
  by (metis Cons.prem(3) Inr a source-poss)
from  $\text{Cons}(3)$  have  $\text{ctxt: ctxt-of-pos-term } p' (\text{source} (Bs ! i)) = \text{source-ctxt}$ 
(ctxt-of-pos-term } q (Bs ! i))
  unfolding  $a \text{ Inr } p$  by (simp add: i)
from  $\text{Cons}(1)[\text{OF } bsi \text{ ctxt } q \text{ } p' \text{ bi-well}]$  have  $IH: \text{labeled-source} (Bs ! i \mid\text{-} q) =$ 
labeled-source (Bs ! i) \mid\text{-} p'
  by presburger
then show ?thesis
  unfolding  $a \text{ Inr } p$  using  $i$  by simp
qed
qed

context left-lin
begin
lemma single-redex-label:
  assumes  $\Delta = ll\text{-single-redex } s \text{ } p \text{ } \alpha \text{ } p \in \text{poss } s \text{ } q \in \text{poss} (\text{source } \Delta) \text{ to-rule } \alpha \in R$ 
  and get-label (labeled-source } \Delta \mid\text{-} q) = \text{Some } (\beta, n)
  shows  $\alpha = \beta \wedge (\exists q'. q = p @ q' \wedge \text{length } q' = n \wedge q' \in \text{fun-poss} (\text{lhs } \alpha))$ 
proof –

```

```

from assms have  $wf:\Delta \in wf\text{-}p\text{-}term\ R$ 
  using single-redex-wf-pterm left-lin left-linear-trs-def by fastforce
from assms have  $q \in possL\ \Delta$ 
  using get-label-imp-labelposs by force
then obtain  $q'$  where  $q:q = p@q'$  and  $q':q' \in fun\text{-}poss\ (lhs\ \alpha)$ 
  unfolding assms(1) using single-redex-possL[OF assms(4,2)] by auto
from assms have  $labeled\text{-}source\ \Delta = (ctxt\text{-}of\text{-}pos\text{-}term\ p\ (labeled\text{-}source\ (to\text{-}pterm\ s)))$ 
 $(labeled\text{-}source\ (Prule\ \alpha\ (map\ (to\text{-}pterm\ \circ\ (\lambda pi.\ s|-(p@pi)))\ (var\text{-}poss\text{-}list\ (lhs\ \alpha))))))$ 
  using label-source-ctxt by (simp add: ll-single-redex-def p-in-poss-to-pterm source-ctxt-to-pterm)
  then have  $labeled\text{-}source\ \Delta\ |-q = labeled\text{-}source\ (Prule\ \alpha\ (map\ (to\text{-}pterm\ \circ\ (\lambda pi.\ s|-(p@pi)))\ (var\text{-}poss\text{-}list\ (lhs\ \alpha))))\ |-q'$ 
  unfolding  $q$  using assms(2) by (metis hole-pos-ctxt-of-pos-term hole-pos-poss labeled-source-to-term poss-term-lab-to-term replace-at-subt-at source-to-pterm subt-at-append)

  then have  $get\text{-}label\ (labeled\text{-}source\ \Delta\ |-q) = get\text{-}label\ (labeled\text{-}lhs\ \alpha\ |-q')$ 
  using get-label-at-fun-poss-subst  $q'$  by force
  also have  $\dots = Some\ (\alpha,\ size\ q')$ 
  using get-label-label-term  $q'$  by fastforce
  finally show ?thesis using assms  $q\ q'$  by force
qed
end

```

5.2 Measuring Overlap

abbreviation $measure\text{-}ov :: ('f, 'v)\ pterm \Rightarrow ('f, 'v)\ pterm \Rightarrow nat$
where $measure\text{-}ov\ A\ B \equiv card\ ((possL\ A) \cap (possL\ B))$

lemma *finite-labelposs*: $finite\ (labelposs\ A)$
by (*meson finite-fun-poss labelposs-subst-fun-poss rev-finite-subset*)

lemma *finite-possL*: $finite\ (possL\ A)$
by (*simp add: finite-labelposs*)

lemma *measure-ov-symm*: $measure\text{-}ov\ A\ B = measure\text{-}ov\ B\ A$
by (*simp add: Int-commute*)

lemma *measure-lhs-subst*:
assumes $l:length\ As = length\ Bs$
shows $card\ ((labelposs\ ((label\text{-}term\ \alpha\ j\ t) \cdot \langle map\ labeled\text{-}source\ As \rangle_\alpha)) \cap (labelposs\ (labeled\text{-}source\ (to\text{-}pterm\ t) \cdot \langle map\ labeled\text{-}source\ Bs \rangle_\alpha)))$
 $= (\sum\ x \leftarrow vars\text{-}term\text{-}list\ t.\ measure\text{-}ov\ (((As)_\alpha)\ x)\ (((Bs)_\alpha)\ x))$
using *assms* **proof** (*induct* t *arbitrary*: j)
case ($Var\ x$)
show *?case* **proof** (*cases* $\exists i < length\ As.\ i < length\ (var\text{-}rule\ \alpha) \wedge x = (var\text{-}rule\ \alpha)!i$)
case $True$
then obtain i **where** $i:x = (var\text{-}rule\ \alpha)!i$ **and** $il:i < length\ As$ **and** $il2:i <$

```

length (var-rule  $\alpha$ ) by auto
  then have a:(⟨map labeled-source As⟩ $\alpha$ ) x = labeled-source (As!i)
    using lhs-subst-var-i by (metis (no-types, lifting) length-map nth-map)
  from i il il2 l have b:(⟨map labeled-source Bs⟩ $\alpha$ ) x = labeled-source (Bs!i)
    using lhs-subst-var-i by (metis (no-types, lifting) length-map nth-map)
  from i show ?thesis unfolding vars-term-list.simps sum-list-elem
    unfolding to-pterm.simps label-term.simps labeled-source.simps eval-term.simps
    unfolding a b using lhs-subst-var-i l il il2 by metis
next
case False
then have a:(⟨map labeled-source As⟩ $\alpha$ ) x = Var x
  using lhs-subst-not-var-i by (metis length-map)
from False l have b:(⟨map labeled-source Bs⟩ $\alpha$ ) x = Var x
  using lhs-subst-not-var-i by (metis length-map)
from False l have possL ((⟨As⟩ $\alpha$ ) x)  $\cap$  possL ((⟨Bs⟩ $\alpha$ ) x) = {}
  unfolding term.set(3) using lhs-subst-not-var-i
  by (metis inf.idem labeled-source.simps(1) labelposs.simps(1))
then show ?thesis unfolding label-term.simps to-pterm.simps labeled-source.simps
eval-term.simps a b
  by auto
qed
next
case (Fun f ts)
let ?as=(map (λt. t · ⟨map labeled-source As⟩ $\alpha$ ) (map (label-term  $\alpha$  (j + 1)) ts))
let ?bs=(map (λt. t · ⟨map labeled-source Bs⟩ $\alpha$ ) (map labeled-source (map to-pterm
ts)))
let ?f=(λi. ({i # p | p. p ∈ labelposs (?as ! i)}  $\cap$  {i # p | p. p ∈ labelposs (?bs !
i)}))
have {}  $\cap$  ( $\bigcup_{i < \text{length } ts}$  {i # p | p. p ∈ labelposs (map (λt. t · ⟨map la-
beled-source Bs⟩ $\alpha$ ) (map labeled-source (map to-pterm ts)) ! i)}) = {}
  by blast
then have *:labelposs (label-term  $\alpha$  j (Fun f ts) · ⟨map labeled-source As⟩ $\alpha$ )  $\cap$ 
labelposs (labeled-source (to-pterm (Fun f ts)) · ⟨map labeled-source Bs⟩ $\alpha$ )
= ( $\bigcup_{i < \text{length } ts}$  (?f i))
  unfolding label-term.simps to-pterm.simps labeled-source.simps eval-term.simps
labelposs.simps by auto
have is-partition (map ?f [0..\cap ?f j = {} unfolding Int-def using i
    by fastforce
  }
then show ?thesis unfolding is-partition-def by auto
qed
moreover have  $\forall i < \text{length } ts$ . finite (?f i) by (simp add: finite-labelposs)
ultimately have **:card ( $\bigcup_{i < \text{length } ts}$  (?f i)) = ( $\sum_{i < \text{length } ts}$  card (?f i))
  unfolding * using card-Union-Sum by blast
{fix i assume i:i < length ts
  have ?f i = {i # p | p. p ∈ labelposs (?as ! i)  $\cap$  labelposs (?bs ! i)}
  unfolding Int-def by blast

```


then have $\text{card } (?f\ i) = \text{card } (\text{labelposs } (?as\ !\ i) \cap \text{labelposs } (?bs\ !\ i))$
unfolding *Setcompr-eq-image using card-image by (metis (no-types, lifting) inj-on-Const)*
with *Fun i* **have** $\text{card } (?f\ i) = (\sum x \leftarrow \text{vars-term-list } (ts!i). \text{measure-ov } ((\langle As \rangle_\alpha) x) ((\langle Bs \rangle_\alpha) x))$
by *simp*
}
then show *?case unfolding vars-term-list.simps * ***
by (*simp add: sum-sum-concat*)
qed

lemma *measure-lhs-args-zero:*

assumes $l:\text{length } As = \text{length } Bs$

and empty: $\forall i < \text{length } As. \text{measure-ov } (As!i) (Bs!i) = 0$

shows $\text{measure-ov } (Prule\ \alpha\ As) ((\text{to-pterm } (lhs\ \alpha)) \cdot \langle Bs \rangle_\alpha) = 0$

proof –

let $?xs = \text{vars-term-list } (lhs\ \alpha)$

have $\text{sum}:\text{measure-ov } (Prule\ \alpha\ As) ((\text{to-pterm } (lhs\ \alpha)) \cdot \langle Bs \rangle_\alpha)$

$= (\sum x \leftarrow \text{vars-term-list } (lhs\ \alpha). \text{measure-ov } ((\langle As \rangle_\alpha) x) ((\langle Bs \rangle_\alpha) x))$

using *labeled-source-apply-subst measure-lhs-subst[OF l]*

by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) fun-mk-subst labeled-source.simps(1) labeled-source.simps(3) to-pterm-wf-pterm*)

{fix i assume $i:i < \text{length } ?xs$

have $\text{measure-ov } ((\langle As \rangle_\alpha) (?xs\ !\ i)) ((\langle Bs \rangle_\alpha) (?xs\ !\ i)) = 0$

proof (*cases* $(\exists j < \text{length } As. j < \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha) \wedge (?xs!i) = \text{var-rule } \alpha\ !\ j)$)

case *True*

then obtain j **where** $j:j < \text{length } As\ j < \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$ **and** $ij: ?xs!i = (\text{var-rule } \alpha)!j$

by *blast*

then show *?thesis*

unfolding ij **using** *empty by (metis j l lhs-subst-var-i)*

next

case *False*

then have $(\langle As \rangle_\alpha) (?xs!i) = \text{Var } (?xs!i)$

using *lhs-subst-not-var-i by metis*

moreover have $(\langle Bs \rangle_\alpha) (?xs!i) = \text{Var } (?xs!i)$

using l *False lhs-subst-not-var-i by metis*

ultimately show *?thesis by simp*

qed}

then show *?thesis*

using *sum by (simp add: sum-list-zero)*

qed

lemma *measure-zero-subst-at:*

assumes $\text{term-lab-to-term } A = \text{term-lab-to-term } B$

and $\text{labelposs } A \cap \text{labelposs } B = \{\}$

and $p \in \text{poss } A$

shows $\text{labelposs } (A|-p) \cap \text{labelposs } (B|-p) = \{\}$

using *assms proof(induct p arbitrary: A B)*

```

case (Cons i p)
from Cons(4) obtain f a ts where a:A = Fun (f, a) ts and i:i < length ts and
p:p ∈ poss (ts!i)
  using args-poss by (metis old.prod.exhaust)
  with Cons(2) obtain b ss where b:B = Fun (f, b) ss
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Cons.prem(3) Term.term.simps(2) args-poss
old.prod.exhaust poss-term-lab-to-term prod.sel(1) term-lab-to-term.simps(2))
  have ts:( $\bigcup_{i < \text{length } ts} \{i \# p \mid p. p \in \text{labelposs } (ts!i)\}$ )  $\subseteq$  labelposs A unfolding
a by(cases a) auto
  have ss:( $\bigcup_{i < \text{length } ss} \{i \# p \mid p. p \in \text{labelposs } (ss!i)\}$ )  $\subseteq$  labelposs B un-
folding b by(cases b) auto
  from ss ts b i Cons(2,3,4) have labelposs (ts!i)  $\cap$  labelposs (ss!i) = {} by auto
  with Cons(1,2) p i show ?case
  unfolding a b by (simp add: map-eq-conv')
qed simp

```

```

lemma empty-step-imp-measure-zero:
assumes is-empty-step A
shows measure-ov A B = 0
by (metis assms card-eq-0-iff inf-bot-left labeled-source-simple-pterm source-empty-step)

```

```

lemma measure-ov-to-pterm:
shows measure-ov A (to-pterm t) = 0
by (simp add: labeled-source-simple-pterm)

```

```

lemma measure-zero-imp-orthogonal:
assumes R:left-lin-no-var-lhs R and S:left-lin-no-var-lhs S
and co-initial A B A ∈ wf-pterm R B ∈ wf-pterm S
and measure-ov A B = 0
shows A  $\perp_p$  B
using assms(3-) proof(induct A arbitrary:B rule:subterm-induct)
case (subterm A)
then show ?case proof(cases A)
  case (Var x)
  with subterm show ?thesis proof(cases B)
    case (Prule  $\alpha$  Bs)
    from subterm(2) Var obtain y where y:lhs  $\alpha$  = Var y
    unfolding Prule by (metis source.simps(1) source.simps(3) subst-apply-eq-Var)
    from subterm(4) Prule S have is-Fun (lhs  $\alpha$ )
    unfolding left-lin-no-var-lhs-def no-var-lhs-def
    by (metis Inl-inject case-prodD is-FunI is-Prule.simps(1) is-Prule.simps(3)
is-VarI term.inject(2) wf-pterm.simps)
    with y show ?thesis by simp
  qed (simp-all add: orthogonal.intros(1))
next
case (Pfun f As)
note A=this
with subterm show ?thesis proof(cases B)

```

```

case (Pfun g Bs)
from subterm(2) have f:f = g
  unfolding Pfun A by simp
from subterm(2) have l:length As = length Bs
  unfolding A Pfun using map-eq-imp-length-eq by auto
{fix i assume i:i < length As
  then have As!i < A
    unfolding A by simp
  moreover from i subterm(2) l have co-initial (As!i) (Bs!i)
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) A Pfun nth-map source.simps(2) term.inject(2))
  moreover from i subterm(3) have As!i ∈ wf-pterm R
    using A by auto
  moreover from i subterm(4) l have Bs!i ∈ wf-pterm S
    using Pfun by auto
  moreover have measure-ov (As!i) (Bs!i) = 0 proof-
    {fix p assume a:p ∈ possL (As!i) and b:p ∈ possL (Bs!i)
      with i have i#p ∈ possL A
        unfolding A labeled-source.simps labelposs.simps by simp
      moreover from b i l have i#p ∈ possL B
        unfolding Pfun labeled-source.simps labelposs.simps by simp
      ultimately have False using subterm(4)
    }
  by (metis card-gt-0-iff disjoint-iff finite-Int finite-possL less-numeral-extra(3))
subterm.prem(4))
}
then show ?thesis
  by (metis card.empty disjoint-iff)
qed
ultimately have As!i ⊥p Bs!i
  using subterm(1) by blast
}
then show ?thesis
  unfolding A Pfun f using l by auto
next
case (Prule β Bs)
from subterm(4) S have lin:linear-term (lhs β)
  unfolding Prule left-lin-no-var-lhs-def left-lin-def left-linear-trs-def using
wf-pterm.cases by fastforce
have isfun:is-Fun (lhs β)
  using subterm(4) S no-var-lhs.lhs-is-Fun unfolding Prule left-lin-no-var-lhs-def
by blast
have (lhs β) · (term-lab-to-term ∘ (⟨map labeled-source Bs⟩β)) = lhs β · ⟨map
source Bs⟩β
  by (metis label-term-to-term labeled-source.simps(3) labeled-source-to-term
source.simps(3) term-lab-to-term-subst)
with subterm(2) have co-init:term-lab-to-term (labeled-source A) = lhs β ·
(term-lab-to-term ∘ ⟨map labeled-source Bs⟩β)
  unfolding Prule by simp
from subterm(5) have possL A ∩ possL B = {}
  by (simp add: finite-possL)

```

then obtain τ **where** $\text{labeled-source } A = \text{term-to-term-lab } (\text{lhs } \beta) \cdot \tau$
unfolding $\text{labeled-source.simps}(3)$ Prule **using** $\text{labels-intersect-label-term}[OF$
co-init lin] **by** blast
moreover have $\text{labelposs } (\text{term-to-term-lab } (\text{lhs } \beta)) = \{\}$
using $\text{labelposs-term-to-term-lab}$ **by** blast
moreover from lin **have** $\text{linear-term } (\text{term-to-term-lab } (\text{lhs } \beta))$
using $\text{linear-term-to-term-lab}$ **by** blast
moreover have $\text{term-lab-to-term } (\text{term-to-term-lab } (\text{lhs } \beta)) = \text{lhs } \beta$
by simp
ultimately obtain σ **where** $\text{sigma}:A = \text{to-pterms } (\text{lhs } \beta) \cdot \sigma$
using $\text{no-var-lhs.unlabeled-source-to-pterms } \text{subterm}(3)$ R **unfolding** $\text{left-lin-no-var-lhs-def}$
by metis
let $?As = \text{map } \sigma (\text{var-rule } \beta)$
from sigma **have** $a:A = (\text{to-pterms } (\text{lhs } \beta)) \cdot \langle ?As \rangle_\beta$
by $(\text{smt } (\text{verit}, \text{best}) \text{apply-lhs-subst-var-rule comp-apply length-map map-eq-conv}$
set-remdups set-rev set-vars-term-list term-subst-eq vars-to-pterms)
{fix i **assume** $i:i < \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \beta)$
let $?xi = \text{var-rule } \beta!i$
from i **obtain** i' **where** $i':i' < \text{length } (\text{vars-term-list } (\text{lhs } \beta))$ $?xi =$
vars-term-list } (\text{lhs } \beta)!i'
by $(\text{metis comp-apply in-set-conv-nth set-remdups set-rev})$
have $l:\text{length } Bs = \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \beta)$
using $\text{subterm}(4)$ **unfolding** Prule **using** wf-pterms.cases **by** force
from i **have** $\text{asi}:?As!i = \sigma ?xi$
by simp
then have $?As!i \triangleleft A$
using a sigma $\text{subst-image-subterm } i'$ **by** $(\text{metis is-FunE isfun nth-mem}$
set-vars-term-list to-pterms.simps}(2) \text{vars-to-pterms})
moreover from i $\text{subterm}(2)$ **have** $\text{co-initial } (?As!i) (Bs!i)$
unfolding a Prule source.simps $\text{source-apply-subst}[OF$ $\text{to-pterms-wf-pterms}[of$
*lhs } \beta]] source-to-pterms **using** l
by $(\text{smt } (\text{verit}, \text{best}) \text{apply-lhs-subst-var-rule comp-def } i'(1) i'(2) \text{length-map}$
nth-map nth-mem set-vars-term-list term-subst-eq-conv)
moreover have $\text{measure-ov } (?As!i) (Bs!i) = 0$ **proof**–
{fix p **assume** $p:p \in \text{possL } (?As!i)$
let $?pi = \text{var-poss-list } (\text{labeled-source } (\text{to-pterms } (\text{lhs } \beta)))!i'$
have $pi:?pi = \text{var-poss-list } (\text{labeled-lhs } \beta) !i'$
by $(\text{simp add: var-poss-list-term-lab-to-term})$
have $xi:?xi = \text{vars-term-list } (\text{labeled-lhs } \beta) !i'$
by $(\text{metis } i'(2) \text{vars-term-list-labeled-lhs})$
have $xi':?xi = \text{vars-term-list } (\text{labeled-source } (\text{to-pterms } (\text{lhs } \beta))) ! i'$
using $\text{vars-term-list-term-lab-to-term } i'(2)$ **by** $(\text{metis labeled-source-to-term}$
source-to-pterms})
have $i'l:i' < \text{length } (\text{vars-term-list } (\text{labeled-lhs } \beta))$
by $(\text{simp add: } i'(1) \text{vars-term-list-labeled-lhs})$
have $i'l':i' < \text{length } (\text{vars-term-list } (\text{labeled-source } (\text{to-pterms } (\text{lhs } \beta))))$
by $(\text{simp add: } i'(1) \text{vars-term-list-term-lab-to-term})$
have $(\text{labeled-source } (\text{to-pterms } (\text{lhs } \beta))) \vdash ?pi = \text{Var } ?xi$
using i' **using** $i'l'$ $\text{vars-term-list-var-poss-list } xi'$ **by** auto*

```

    moreover have  $possL A = \text{labelposs } ((\text{labeled-source } (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \beta)))$ 
    ·  $(\text{labeled-source } \circ \sigma)$ 
    using labeled-source-apply-subst to-pterm-wf-pterm unfolding sigma
  by metis
    with  $p$  have  $?pi@p \in possL A$ 
    unfolding set-labelposs-subst asi xi' using i'l' by fastforce
    with subterm(5) have  $?pi@p \notin possL B$ 
    by (meson card-eq-0-iff disjoint-iff finite-Int finite-labelposs)
    moreover {assume  $p \in possL (Bs!i)$ 
    then have  $?pi@p \in \{?pi @ q \mid q. q \in \text{labelposs } ((\langle \text{map labeled-source}$ 
     $Bs \rangle_\beta) ?xi)\}$ 
    by (smt (verit) Inl-inject Inr-Inl-False Prule apply-lhs-subst-var-rule i
    length-map map-nth-conv mem-Collect-eq subterm.premis(3) term.distinct(1) term.inject(2)
    wf-pterm.cases)
    then have  $?pi@p \in possL B$ 
    unfolding Prule labeled-source.simps set-labelposs-subst xi pi using
i'l by blast
    }
    ultimately have  $p \notin possL (Bs!i)$ 
    by blast
  }
  then have  $possL (?As!i) \cap possL (Bs!i) = \{\}$ 
  by blast
  then show ?thesis by simp
qed
ultimately have  $?As!i \perp_p Bs!i$ 
using subterm(1,3,4) i unfolding a Prule
by (smt (verit, best) Inr-Inl-False Term.term.simps(4) length-map
lhs-subst-args-wf-pterm nth-mem sum.inject(1) term.inject(2) wf-pterm.simps)
}
then show ?thesis unfolding a Prule using orthogonal.intros(4)[of ?As Bs]
by (smt (verit, best) Prule Term.term.simps(4) in-set-zip length-map
old.sum.inject(1) prod.case-eq-if subterm.premis(3) sum.distinct(1) term.inject(2)
wf-pterm.cases)
qed simp
next
case (Prule  $\alpha$  As)
then have  $A:A = Prule \alpha As$ 
by simp
from Prule subterm(3) R have lin:linear-term (lhs  $\alpha$ )
unfolding left-lin-no-var-lhs-def left-lin-def left-linear-trs-def
using wf-pterm.simps by fastforce
obtain  $f$   $ts$  where  $f:\text{lhs } \alpha = \text{Fun } f$   $ts$ 
using subterm(3) R no-var-lhs.lhs-is-Fun unfolding left-lin-no-var-lhs-def
Prule by blast
show ?thesis proof(cases B)
case (Var  $x$ )
then show ?thesis
by (metis source.simps(1) source-orthogonal subterm.premis(1) to-pterm.simps(1))

```

```

next
  case (Pfun g Bs)
  have (lhs  $\alpha$ ) · (term-lab-to-term  $\circ$  ( $\langle$ map labeled-source As $\rangle_\alpha$ )) = lhs  $\alpha$  ·  $\langle$ map
source As $\rangle_\alpha$ 
  by (metis label-term-to-term labeled-source.simps(3) labeled-source-to-term
source.simps(3) term-lab-to-term-subst)
  with subterm(2) have co-init:term-lab-to-term (labeled-source B) = lhs  $\alpha$  ·
(term-lab-to-term  $\circ$   $\langle$ map labeled-source As $\rangle_\alpha$ )
  unfolding Prule by simp
  from subterm(5) have possL A  $\cap$  possL B = {}
  by (simp add: finite-possL)
  then obtain  $\tau$  where labeled-source B = term-to-term-lab (lhs  $\alpha$ ) ·  $\tau$ 
  unfolding labeled-source.simps(3) Prule using labels-intersect-label-term[OF
co-init lin] by blast
  moreover have labelposs (term-to-term-lab (lhs  $\alpha$ )) = {}
  using labelposs-term-to-term-lab by blast
  moreover from lin have linear-term (term-to-term-lab (lhs  $\alpha$ ))
  using linear-term-to-term-lab by auto
  moreover have term-lab-to-term (term-to-term-lab (lhs  $\alpha$ )) = lhs  $\alpha$ 
  by simp
  ultimately obtain  $\sigma$  where sigma:B = to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ ) ·  $\sigma$ 
  using no-var-lhs.unlabeled-source-to-pterm S subterm(4) unfolding left-lin-no-var-lhs-def
by metis
  let ?Bs=map  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$ )
  from sigma have b:B = (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ )) ·  $\langle$ ?Bs $\rangle_\alpha$ 
  by (smt (verit, best) apply-lhs-subst-var-rule comp-apply length-map map-eq-conv
set-remdups set-rev set-vars-term-list term-subst-eq vars-to-pterm)
  {fix i assume i:i < length (var-rule  $\alpha$ )
  let ?xi=var-rule  $\alpha$ !i
  from i obtain i' where i':i' < length (vars-term-list (lhs  $\alpha$ )) ?xi =
vars-term-list (lhs  $\alpha$ )!i'
  by (metis comp-apply in-set-conv-nth set-remdups set-rev)
  from i have asi:?Bs!i =  $\sigma$  ?xi
  by simp
  moreover have l:length As = length (var-rule  $\alpha$ )
  using subterm(3) unfolding A using wf-pterm.cases by force
  then have As!i  $\triangleleft$  A
  using i unfolding A by simp
  moreover from i subterm(2) have co-initial (As!i) (?Bs!i)
  unfolding b Prule source.simps source-apply-subst[OF to-pterm-wf-pterm[of
lhs  $\alpha$ ]] source-to-pterm using l
  by (smt (verit, best) apply-lhs-subst-var-rule comp-def i'(1) i'(2) length-map
nth-map nth-mem set-vars-term-list term-subst-eq-conv)
  moreover have measure-ov (As!i) (?Bs!i) = 0 proof–
  {fix p assume p:p  $\in$  possL (?Bs!i)
  let ?pi=var-poss-list (labeled-source (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ )))!i'
  have pi:?pi=var-poss-list (labeled-lhs  $\alpha$ ) !i'
  by (simp add: var-poss-list-term-lab-to-term)
  have xi:?xi=vars-term-list (labeled-lhs  $\alpha$ ) !i'

```

```

      by (metis i'(2) vars-term-list-labeled-lhs)
      have xi':?xi=vars-term-list (labeled-source (to-pterm (lhs α))) ! i'
      using vars-term-list-term-lab-to-term i'(2) by (metis labeled-source-to-term
source-to-pterm)
      have i'l:i' < length (vars-term-list (labeled-lhs α))
      by (simp add: i'(1) vars-term-list-labeled-lhs)
      have i'l':i' < length (vars-term-list (labeled-source (to-pterm (lhs α))))
      by (simp add: i'(1) vars-term-list-term-lab-to-term)
      have (labeled-source (to-pterm (lhs α))) |-?pi = Var ?xi
      using i' using i'l' vars-term-list-var-poss-list xi' by auto
      moreover have possL B = labelposs ((labeled-source (to-pterm (lhs α)))
· (labeled-source ∘ σ))
      using labeled-source-apply-subst to-pterm-wf-pterm unfolding sigma
by metis
      with p have ?pi@p ∈ possL B
      unfolding set-labelposs-subst asi xi' using i'l' by fastforce
      with subterm(5) have ?pi@p ∉ possL A
      by (meson card-eq-0-iff disjoint-iff finite-Int finite-labelposs)
      moreover {assume p ∈ possL (As!i)
      then have ?pi@p ∈ {?pi @ q | q. q ∈ labelposs ((map labeled-source
As)α) ?xi)}
      by (smt (verit) Inl-inject Inr-Inl-False Prule apply-lhs-subst-var-rule i
length-map map-nth-conv mem-Collect-eq subterm.premis(2) term.distinct(1) term.inject(2)
wf-pterm.cases)
      then have ?pi@p ∈ possL A
      unfolding Prule labeled-source.simps set-labelposs-subst xi pi using
i'l' by blast
      }
      ultimately have p ∉ possL (As!i)
      by blast
      }
      then have possL (As!i) ∩ possL (?Bs!i) = {}
      by blast
      then show ?thesis by simp
    qed
    ultimately have As!i ⊥p ?Bs!i
    using subterm(1,3,4) i unfolding b Prule
    by (smt (verit, best) Inr-Inl-False Term.term.simps(4) length-map
lhs-subst-args-wf-pterm nth-mem sum.inject(1) term.inject(2) wf-pterm.simps)
    }
    then show ?thesis unfolding b Prule using orthogonal.intros(3)[of As ?Bs]
    by (smt (verit, best) Prule Term.term.simps(4) in-set-zip length-map
old.sum.inject(1) prod.case-eq-if subterm.premis(2) sum.distinct(1) term.inject(2)
wf-pterm.cases)
  next
  case (Prule β Bs)
  from subterm(4) S obtain g ss where g:lhs β = Fun g ss
  unfolding Prule left-lin-no-var-lhs-def using no-var-lhs.lhs-is-Fun by blast
  have [] ∈ possL A

```

unfolding A *f* *labeled-source.simps* *label-term.simps* *eval-term.simps* *label-poss.simps* **by** *blast*
moreover **have** $\square \in \text{possL } B$
unfolding *Prule g* *labeled-source.simps* *label-term.simps* *eval-term.simps* *labelposs.simps* **by** *blast*
ultimately **show** *?thesis*
using *subterm(5)* **by** (*simp add: disjoint-iff finite-labelposs*)
qed
qed
qed

5.3 Collecting Overlapping Positions

abbreviation *overlaps-pos* :: (*f*, *v*) *term-lab* \Rightarrow (*f*, *v*) *term-lab* \Rightarrow (*pos* \times *pos*) *set*

where *overlaps-pos* A $B \equiv \text{Set.filter } (\lambda(p,q). \text{get-label } (A|-p) \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{get-label } (B|-q) \neq \text{None} \wedge$
 $\text{snd } (\text{the } (\text{get-label } (A|-p))) = 0 \wedge \text{snd } (\text{the } (\text{get-label } (B|-q))) = 0 \wedge$
 $(p <_p q \wedge \text{get-label } (A|-q) \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{fst } (\text{the } (\text{get-label } (A|-q))) = \text{fst}$
 $(\text{the } (\text{get-label } (A|-p))) \wedge \text{snd } (\text{the } (\text{get-label } (A|-q))) = \text{length } (\text{the } (\text{remove-prefix } p \ q))) \vee$
 $(q \leq_p p \wedge \text{get-label } (B|-p) \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{fst } (\text{the } (\text{get-label } (B|-q))) = \text{fst}$
 $(\text{the } (\text{get-label } (B|-p))) \wedge \text{snd } (\text{the } (\text{get-label } (B|-p))) = \text{length } (\text{the } (\text{remove-prefix } q \ p))))$
 $(\text{fun-poss } A \times \text{fun-poss } B)$

lemma *overlaps-pos-symmetric*:

assumes $(p,q) \in \text{overlaps-pos } A \ B$
shows $(q,p) \in \text{overlaps-pos } B \ A$
using *SigmaI* *assms* *less-pos-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *overlaps-pos-intro*:

assumes $q@q' \in \text{fun-poss } A$ **and** $q \in \text{fun-poss } B$
and $\text{get-label } (A|-(q@q')) = \text{Some } (\gamma, 0)$
and $\text{get-label } (B|-q) = \text{Some } (\beta, 0)$
and $\text{get-label } (B|-(q@q')) = \text{Some } (\beta, \text{length } q')$
shows $(q@q', q) \in \text{overlaps-pos } A \ B$
using *assms* **by** *force*

Define the partial order on overlaps

definition *less-eq-overlap* :: *pos* \times *pos* \Rightarrow *pos* \times *pos* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** \leq_o 50)
where $p \leq_o q \iff (\text{fst } p \leq_p \text{fst } q) \wedge (\text{snd } p \leq_p \text{snd } q)$

definition *less-overlap* :: *pos* \times *pos* \Rightarrow *pos* \times *pos* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** $<_o$ 50)
where $p <_o q \iff p \leq_o q \wedge p \neq q$

interpretation *order-overlaps*: *order less-eq-overlap less-overlap*

proof

show $\bigwedge x. x \leq_o x$

by (*simp add: less-eq-overlap-def*)
show $\bigwedge x y z. x \leq_o y \implies y \leq_o z \implies x \leq_o z$
by (*smt (z3) less-eq-overlap-def less-overlap-def less-pos-def less-pos-def' less-pos-simps(5)*)
order-pos.dual-order.trans)
show $\bigwedge x y. (x <_o y) = \text{strict}(\leq_o) x y$
using *less-eq-overlap-def less-overlap-def* **by** *fastforce*
thus $\bigwedge x y. x \leq_o y \implies y \leq_o x \implies x = y$
by (*meson less-overlap-def*)
qed

lemma *overlaps-pos-finite: finite (overlaps-pos A B)*
by (*meson finite-SigmaI finite-filter finite-fun-poss*)

lemma *labeled-sources-imp-measure-not-zero:*
assumes $p \in \text{poss}(\text{labeled-source } A) \ p \in \text{poss}(\text{labeled-source } B)$
and $\text{get-label}((\text{labeled-source } A)|-p) \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{get-label}((\text{labeled-source } B)|-p) \neq \text{None}$
shows $\text{measure-ov } A B > 0$
using *assms*
by (*metis card-gt-0-iff disjoint-iff finite-Int finite-possL get-label-imp-labelposs*)

lemma *measure-zero-imp-empty-overlaps:*
assumes $\text{measure-ov } A B = 0$ **and** *co-init:co-initial A B*
shows $\text{overlaps-pos}(\text{labeled-source } A)(\text{labeled-source } B) = \{\}$
using *assms(1)* **proof**(*rule contrapos-pp*)
{assume $\text{overlaps-pos}(\text{labeled-source } A)(\text{labeled-source } B) \neq \{\}$
then obtain $p q$ **where** $pq:(p, q) \in \text{overlaps-pos}(\text{labeled-source } A)(\text{labeled-source } B)$
by (*meson equals0D pred-equals-eq2*)
then have $\text{get-label}((\text{labeled-source } A)|-p) \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{get-label}((\text{labeled-source } B)|-q) \neq \text{None}$
 $\wedge (\text{get-label}((\text{labeled-source } A)|-q) \neq \text{None} \vee \text{get-label}((\text{labeled-source } B)|-p) \neq \text{None})$
by *auto*
moreover from pq **have** $p \in \text{poss}(\text{labeled-source } A)$ **and** $q \in \text{poss}(\text{labeled-source } B)$
by (*meson fun-poss-imp-poss mem-Sigma-iff member-filter*)+
ultimately show $\text{measure-ov } A B \neq 0$
using *labeled-sources-imp-measure-not-zero co-init*
by (*metis labeled-source-to-term less-numeral-extra(3) poss-term-lab-to-term*)
}
qed

lemma *empty-overlaps-imp-measure-zero:*
assumes $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ **and** $B \in \text{wf-pterm } S$
and $\text{overlaps-pos}(\text{labeled-source } A)(\text{labeled-source } B) = \{\}$
shows $\text{measure-ov } A B = 0$
using *assms(3)* **proof**(*rule contrapos-pp*)
{assume $\text{measure-ov } A B \neq 0$

```

then obtain  $p$  where  $p:p \in \text{possL } A \wedge p \in \text{possL } B$ 
  using Int-emptyI by force
then obtain  $\alpha$   $n$  where  $a:\text{get-label } ((\text{labeled-source } A)|-p) = \text{Some}(\alpha, n)$ 
  using possL-obtain-label by blast
let  $?p1 = \text{take } (\text{length } p - n)$   $p$ 
obtain  $q1$  where  $q1:p = ?p1 @ q1$ 
  by (metis append-take-drop-id)
from  $a$   $p$  assms(1) have  $\alpha:\text{get-label } (\text{labeled-source } A |- ?p1) = \text{Some } (\alpha,$ 
0) and  $?p1 \in \text{poss } (\text{labeled-source } A)$ 
  using labelposs-subs-poss obtain-label-root by blast+
then have  $p1\text{-pos}: ?p1 \in \text{fun-poss } (\text{labeled-source } A)$ 
  using get-label-imp-labelposs labelposs-subs-fun-poss by blast
from  $p$  obtain  $\beta$   $m$  where  $b:\text{get-label } ((\text{labeled-source } B)|-p) = \text{Some}(\beta, m)$ 
  using possL-obtain-label by blast
let  $?p2 = \text{take } (\text{length } p - m)$   $p$ 
obtain  $q2$  where  $q2:p = ?p2 @ q2$ 
  by (metis append-take-drop-id)
from  $b$   $p$  assms(2) have  $\beta:\text{get-label } (\text{labeled-source } B |- ?p2) = \text{Some } (\beta, 0)$ 
and  $?p2 \in \text{poss } (\text{labeled-source } B)$ 
  using labelposs-subs-poss obtain-label-root by blast+
then have  $p2\text{-pos}: ?p2 \in \text{fun-poss } (\text{labeled-source } B)$ 
  using get-label-imp-labelposs labelposs-subs-fun-poss by blast

then show overlaps-pos (labeled-source  $A$ ) (labeled-source  $B$ )  $\neq \{\}$  proof (cases
 $?p1 \leq_p ?p2$ )
  case True
    then obtain  $p3$  where  $p2: ?p2 = ?p1 @ p3$ 
      by (metis less-eq-pos-def)
    with  $q2$  have  $p = ?p1 @ p3 @ q2$ 
      by simp
    with  $q1$  have  $p3: q1 = p3 @ q2$ 
      by (metis same-append-eq)
    from  $a$  alpha  $q1$  have  $n = \text{length } q1$ 
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) add-diff-cancel-left' append-take-drop-id assms(1)
label-term-max-value labelposs-subs-poss length-drop ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.diff-add
p same-append-eq subsetD)
    with  $p3$  have  $n = \text{length } p3 + \text{length } q2$ 
      by auto
    then have  $\text{get-label } ((\text{labeled-source } A)|-(?p1 @ p3)) = \text{Some } (\alpha, \text{length } p3)$ 
      using label-decrease[of ?p1 @ p3 q2 A] p1-pos a assms(1)
      by (metis add.commute fun-poss-imp-poss fun-poss-term-lab-to-term la-
beled-source-to-term labelposs-subs-fun-poss-source p p2 q2)
    then have  $(?p2, ?p1) \in \text{overlaps-pos } (\text{labeled-source } B)$  (labeled-source  $A$ )
      using overlaps-pos-intro p1-pos p2-pos p2 alpha beta by simp
    then show ?thesis using overlaps-pos-symmetric by blast
  next
    case False
      with  $q1$   $q2$  have  $?p2 <_p ?p1$ 
        by (metis less-eq-pos-simps(1) pos-cases pos-less-eq-append-not-parallel)

```

```

then obtain p3 where p2:?p1 = ?p2@p3
  using less-pos-def' by blast
with q1 have p = ?p2 @ p3 @ q1
  by simp
with q2 have p3:q2 = p3@q1
  by (metis same-append-eq)
from b beta q2 have m = length q2
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) add-diff-cancel-left' append-take-drop-id assms(2)
label-term-max-value labelposs-subs-poss length-drop ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.diff-add
p same-append-eq subsetD)
  with p3 have m = length p3 + length q1
  by auto
then have get-label ((labeled-source B)|-(?p2@p3)) = Some (β, length p3)
  using label-decrease[of ?p2@p3 q1 B] p2-pos b assms(2)
  by (metis add commute fun-poss-imp-poss fun-poss-term-lab-to-term la-
beled-source-to-term labelposs-subs-fun-poss-source p p2 q1)
  then have (?p1, ?p2) ∈ overlaps-pos (labeled-source A) (labeled-source B)
  using overlaps-pos-intro p1-pos p2-pos p2 alpha beta by simp
  then show ?thesis by blast
qed
}
qed

```

lemma obtain-overlap:

```

assumes p ∈ possL A p ∈ possL B
  and get-label (labeled-source A|-p) = Some (γ, n)
  and get-label (labeled-source B|-p) = Some (δ, m)
  and n ≤ length p m ≤ length p
  and rγ = take (length p - n) p
  and rδ = take (length p - m) p
  and rδ ≤p rγ
  and a-well:A ∈ wf-pterm R and b-well:B ∈ wf-pterm S
shows (rγ, rδ) ∈ overlaps-pos (labeled-source A) (labeled-source B)
proof-
from assms(9) obtain r' where r':rγ = rδ @ r'
  using prefix-pos-diff by metis
  have rδ @ r' ∈ fun-poss (labeled-source A)
  using assms(1,7) unfolding r' by (metis append-take-drop-id fun-poss-append-poss'
labelposs-subs-fun-poss subsetD)
  moreover have rδ ∈ fun-poss (labeled-source B)
  using assms(2,4,8) by (metis append-take-drop-id fun-poss-append-poss' label-
poss-subs-fun-poss subsetD)
  moreover have get-label ((labeled-source A) |-(rδ @ r')) = Some (γ, 0)
  using assms(1,3,5,7) a-well unfolding r' using label-decrease[of take (length
p - n) p drop (length p - n) p]
  by (smt (verit, best) add.right-neutral add-diff-cancel-left' append-assoc ap-
pend-take-drop-id labelposs-subs-poss le-add-diff-inverse2 length-drop subsetD)
  moreover have get-label ((labeled-source B) |-(rδ)) = Some (δ, 0)
  using assms(2,4,6,8) b-well using label-decrease[of take (length p - m) p drop

```

```

(length p- m) p]
  by (smt (verit, best) add.right-neutral add-diff-cancel-left' append-assoc ap-
pend-take-drop-id labelposs-subs-poss le-add-diff-inverse2 length-drop subsetD)
  moreover have get-label ((labeled-source B) |- (rδ@r')) = Some (δ, length r')
  using assms(2,4,6,8) b-well unfolding r' using label-decrease[of take (length
p - length r') p drop (length p- length r') p]
  by (smt (verit, del-insts) Nat.add-diff-assoc add-diff-cancel-left' append.assoc ap-
pend-take-drop-id assms(7) diff-diff-cancel diff-le-self fun-poss-imp-poss fun-poss-term-lab-to-term
label-decrease labeled-source-to-term labelposs-subs-fun-poss-source le-add1 le-add-diff-inverse
length-append length-take min.absorb2 r')
  ultimately show ?thesis using overlaps-pos-intro unfolding r'
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) append.assoc case-prodI fst-conv less-eq-pos-simps(1)
mem-Sigma-iff member-filter option.distinct(1) option.sel remove-prefix-append snd-conv)
qed

```

end

6 Redex Patterns

theory *Redex-Patterns*

imports

Labels-and-Overlaps

begin

Collect all rule symbols of a proof term together with the position in its source where they appear. This is used to split a proof term into a set of single steps, whose union (\sqcup) is the whole proof term again.

The redex patterns are collected in leftmost outermost order.

```

fun redex-patterns :: ('f, 'v) pterm ⇒ (('f, 'v) prule × pos) list
  where
    redex-patterns (Var x) = []
  | redex-patterns (Pfun f ss) = concat (map (λ (i, rps). map (λ (α, p). (α, i#p))
rps)
    (zip [0 ..< length ss] (map redex-patterns ss)))
  | redex-patterns (Prule α ss) = (α, []) # concat (map (λ (p1, rps). map (λ (α, p2).
(α, p1@p2)) rps)
    (zip (var-poss-list (lhs α)) (map redex-patterns ss)))

```

interpretation *lexord-linorder*:

linorder ord.lexordp-eq ((<) :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ bool)

ord.lexordp ((<) :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ bool)

using *linorder.lexordp-linorder*[OF *linorder-class.linorder-axioms*] **by** *simp*

lemma *lexord-prefix-diff*:

assumes (*ord.lexordp* ((<) :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ bool)) *xs ys* **and** \neg *prefix xs ys*

shows (*ord.lexordp* (<)) (*xs@us*) (*ys@vs*)

using *assms* **proof**(*induct xs arbitrary:ys*)

```

case (Cons x xs')
from Cons(2) obtain y ys' where ys:ys = y#ys'
  by (metis list.exhaust-sel ord.lexordp-simps(2))
consider x < y | x = y ∧ ord.lexordp (<) xs' ys'
  using Cons(2) ord.lexordp-eq.simps unfolding Cons ys by force
then show ?case proof(cases)
  case 1
  then show ?thesis unfolding ys by simp
next
  case 2
  with Cons(3) have ¬ prefix xs' ys'
    unfolding ys by simp
  with Cons(1) 2 have (ord.lexordp (<)) (xs'@us) (ys'@vs)
    by auto
  then show ?thesis unfolding ys using 2 by simp
qed
qed simp

lemma var-poss-list-sorted: sorted-wrt (ord.lexordp ((<) :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ bool))
(var-poss-list t)
proof(induct t)
  case (Fun f ts)
  let ?poss=(map2 (λi. map ((#) i)) [0..

```

```

    with Fun(1)[of ts!j'] i' i'' j'' have (ord.lexordp (<)) (var-poss-list (ts!j') !
j'') (var-poss-list (ts!i') ! i'')
    unfolding True l by (simp add: sorted-wrt-iff-nth-less)
    then have (ord.lexordp (<)) ?q ?p
    unfolding p2 q2 True by simp
    then show ?thesis unfolding var-poss-list.simps by fastforce
next
case False
then have j' < i'
    using i'' i' j' i-sum j-sum sum-list-less[OF j]
    by (smt (verit, best) i j le-neq-implies-less length-concat linorder-le-less-linear
not-add-less1 order.strict-trans take-all var-poss-list.simps(2))
    then have (ord.lexordp (<)) ?q ?p
    unfolding p2 q2 by simp
    then show ?thesis unfolding var-poss-list.simps by fastforce
qed
}
then show ?case
    using sorted-wrt-iff-nth-less by blast
qed simp

context left-lin-no-var-lhs
begin

lemma redex-patterns-sorted:
  assumes A ∈ wf-pterm R
  shows sorted-wrt (ord.lexordp (<)) (map snd (redex-patterns A))
proof -
  {fix i j assume i < j j < length (redex-patterns A)
  with assms have (ord.lexordp (<)) (snd (redex-patterns A ! i)) (snd (redex-patterns
A ! j))
  proof(induct A arbitrary: i j)
    case (2 As f)
    let ?poss=map2 (λi. map (λ(α, p). (α, i # p))) [0..

```

```

from ap1 i'' obtain p1' where p1':p1 = i'#p1' (α, p1') = redex-patterns
(As!i') ! i''
  unfolding poss-i' by (smt (z3) case-prod-conv length-map nth-map old.prod.inject
surj-pair)
  from bp obtain j' j'' where ap2:(β, p2) = ?poss!j!j'' and j':j' < length As
and j'':j'' < length (?poss!j')
  and j:j = sum-list (map length (take j' ?poss)) + j''
  unfolding redex-patterns.simps using less-length-concat[OF 2(3)[unfolded
redex-patterns.simps]] by (metis l length-map)
  have poss-j':?poss!j' = map (λ(α, p). (α, j' # p)) (redex-patterns (As!j'))
  using j' nth-zip[of j'] by fastforce
  from ap2 j'' obtain p2' where p2':p2 = j'#p2' (β, p2') = redex-patterns
(As!j') ! j''
  unfolding poss-j' by (smt (z3) case-prod-conv length-map nth-map old.prod.inject
surj-pair)
  show ?case proof(cases i' = j')
  case True
  from i j 2 have i'' < j'' unfolding True by linarith
  moreover from j'' have j'' < length (redex-patterns (As!j')) unfolding
poss-j' by auto
  ultimately have ord.lexordp (<) p1' p2' using 2(1) j' True p1'(2) p2'(2)
by (metis nth-mem snd-eqD)
  then show ?thesis unfolding ap bp p1' p2' True by auto
next
  case False
  with 2(2) i j have i' < j' using sum-list-less[OF 2(2)] i' j' j''
  by (smt (verit, best) * 2.prems(2) le-neq-implies-less length-concat
linorder-le-less-linear not-add-less1 redex-patterns.simps(2) take-all)
  then show ?thesis unfolding ap bp p1' p2' by fastforce
qed
next
  case (3 γ As)
  from 3(2,3) obtain α p1 where ap:redex-patterns (Prule γ As) ! i = (α,
p1)
  by (metis surj-pair)
  from 3(3) obtain β p2 where bp:redex-patterns (Prule γ As) ! j = (β, p2)
  by (metis surj-pair)
  show ?case proof(cases i)
  case 0
  from 3(1) no-var-lhs obtain f ts where lhs:lhs γ = Fun f ts
  by fastforce
  from bp 3(4) 0 obtain j' where concat (map2 (λp1. map (λ(α, p2). (α,
p1 @ p2))) (var-poss-list (lhs γ)) (map redex-patterns As)) ! j' = (β, p2)
  j' < length (concat (map2 (λp1. map (λ(α, p2). (α, p1 @ p2))) (var-poss-list
(lhs γ)) (map redex-patterns As))))
  unfolding redex-patterns.simps using 3.prems(2) by force
  then obtain j1 j2 where j1:j1 < length (map2 (λp1. map (λ(α, p2). (α,
p1 @ p2))) (var-poss-list (lhs γ)) (map redex-patterns As))
  and j2:j2 < length (map2 (λp1. map (λ(α, p2). (α, p1 @ p2)))

```

```

(var-poss-list (lhs  $\gamma$ )) (map redex-patterns As) ! j1)
  and j1j2:(map2 ( $\lambda p1$ . map ( $\lambda(\alpha, p2)$ . ( $\alpha, p1 @ p2$ ))) (var-poss-list (lhs
 $\gamma$ )) (map redex-patterns As) ! j1) ! j2 = ( $\beta, p2$ )
  using nth-concat-split by metis
  let ?p'=var-poss-list (lhs  $\gamma$ )!j1
  let ?rdp=(map redex-patterns As ! j1)
  from j1 have zip:zip (var-poss-list (lhs  $\gamma$ )) (map redex-patterns As) ! j1 =
(?p', ?rdp)
  unfolding length-map length-zip using nth-zip by force
  with j1j2 have ( $\beta, p2$ ) = map ( $\lambda(\alpha, p2)$ . ( $\alpha, ?p' @ p2$ )) ?rdp !j2
  using nth-map j1 unfolding length-map by force
  moreover from j2 have j2 < length (map ( $\lambda(\alpha, p2)$ . ( $\alpha, ?p' @ p2$ )) ?rdp)

  unfolding nth-map[OF j1[unfolded length-map]] zip by force
  ultimately have ( $\beta, p2$ )  $\in$  set (map ( $\lambda(\alpha, p2)$ . ( $\alpha, ?p' @ p2$ )) ?rdp)
  by simp
  moreover have ?p'  $\neq$  [] proof-
  from j1 have ?p'  $\in$  var-poss (lhs  $\gamma$ )
  unfolding length-map length-zip using nth-mem by fastforce
  then show ?thesis unfolding lhs var-poss.simps by force
qed
ultimately have p2  $\neq$  []
  by auto
moreover from 0 ap have p1 = [] by simp
ultimately show ?thesis unfolding ap bp by simp
next
case (Suc n)
  let ?poss=(map2 ( $\lambda p1$ . map ( $\lambda(\alpha, p2)$ . ( $\alpha, p1 @ p2$ ))) (var-poss-list (lhs
 $\gamma$ )) (map redex-patterns As))
  from  $\exists(1,2)$  have l:length (var-poss-list (lhs  $\gamma$ )) = length As
  using linear-term-var-vars-term-list left-lin unfolding left-linear-trs-def
using length-var-poss-list length-var-rule by auto
  from  $\exists(4,5)$  have *:n < length (concat ?poss) unfolding Suc by simp
  from ap have concat (map2 ( $\lambda p1$ . map ( $\lambda(\alpha, p2)$ . ( $\alpha, p1 @ p2$ )))
(var-poss-list (lhs  $\gamma$ )) (map redex-patterns As) ! n = ( $\alpha, p1$ )
  unfolding Suc by simp
  then obtain i' i'' where ap1:( $\alpha, p1$ ) = ?poss!i'i'' and i':i' < length ?poss
and i'':i'' < length (?poss!i')
  and n:n = sum-list (map length (take i' ?poss)) + i''
  using less-length-concat[OF *] by metis
  from i' have i'2:i' < length (var-poss-list (lhs  $\gamma$ )) by simp
  obtain p11 where p11:?poss!i' = map ( $\lambda(\alpha, p)$ . ( $\alpha, p11 @ p$ )) (redex-patterns
(As!i')) var-poss-list (lhs  $\gamma$ ) !i' = p11
  using i' nth-zip[of i'] by fastforce
  from ap1 i'' obtain p12 where p12:p1 = p11@p12 ( $\alpha, p12$ ) = redex-patterns
(As!i') ! i''
  unfolding p11 by (smt (z3) case-prod-conv length-map nth-map old.prod.inject
surj-pair)
  from  $\exists(4,5)$  Suc obtain n' where j:j = Suc n' by (meson Suc-lessE)

```



```

    from 3(5) have *:n' < length (concat ?poss) unfolding j by simp
      from bp have concat (map2 (λp1. map (λ(α, p2). (α, p1 @ p2)))
    (var-poss-list (lhs γ)) (map redex-patterns As)) ! n' = (β, p2)
      unfolding j by simp
      then obtain j' j'' where ap2:(β, p2) = ?poss!j!j'' and j':j' < length ?poss
and j'':j'' < length (?poss!j')
      and n':n' = sum-list (map length (take j' ?poss)) + j''
      using less-length-concat[OF *] by metis
      from j' have j'2:j' < length (var-poss-list (lhs γ)) by simp
      obtain p21 where p21:?poss!j' = map (λ(α, p). (α, p21 @ p)) (redex-patterns
    (As!j')) var-poss-list (lhs γ) !j' = p21
      using j' nth-zip[of j'] by fastforce
      from ap2 j'' obtain p22 where p22:p2 = p21@p22 (β, p22) = redex-patterns
    (As!j') ! j''
      unfolding p21 by (smt (z3) case-prod-conv length-map nth-map old.prod.inject
    surj-pair)
      show ?thesis proof(cases i' = j')
      case True
      from n n' 3(4) have ij:i'' < j'' unfolding True Suc j by linarith
      moreover from j'' have j'' < length (redex-patterns (As!j')) unfolding
    p21 by auto
      moreover from j' l have j' < length As unfolding length-map by simp
      ultimately have ord.lexordp (<) p12 p22 using 3(3) p22 p12 j' unfolding
    True by (metis nth-mem snd-conv)
      with p21(2) p11(2) show ?thesis unfolding ap bp p22 p12 True by
    (simp add: ord.lexordp-append-leftI)
      next
      case False
      then have i' < j'
      using sum-list-less[OF 3(4), where i'=i' and j'=j']
      by (smt (verit) 3.prem1(1) Suc Suc-less-SucD i' j j' j'' le-neq-implies-less
    n n' sum-list-less)
      then have ord.lexordp (<) p11 p21
      using p11(2) p21(2) var-poss-list-sorted[of lhs γ] i'2 j'2 using
    sorted-wrt-nth-less by blast
      moreover have ¬ prefix p11 p21 proof–
      from False j' i' have parallel-pos (var-poss-list (lhs γ) !i') (var-poss-list
    (lhs γ) !j')
      unfolding length-map length-zip using var-poss-parallel var-poss-list-sound
    distinct-var-poss-list
      by (metis l min.idem nth-eq-iff-index-eq nth-mem)
      then show ?thesis using p11(2) p21(2)
      by (metis less-eq-pos-simps(1) parallel-pos prefix-def)
      qed
      ultimately show ?thesis unfolding ap bp p12 p22 using lexord-prefix-diff
    by simp
      qed
      qed
      qed simp

```

```

}
then show ?thesis
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) sorted-wrt-iff-nth-less sorted-wrt-map)
qed

corollary distinct-snd-rdp:
  assumes  $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  shows  $\text{distinct } (\text{map snd } (\text{redex-patterns } A))$ 
  using redex-patterns-sorted[OF assms] lexord-linorder.strict-sorted-iff by simp

lemma redex-patterns-equal:
  assumes  $\text{wf}:A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  and  $\text{sorted}:\text{sorted-wrt } (\text{ord.lexordp } (<)) (\text{map snd } xs)$  and  $\text{eq}:\text{set } xs = \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } A)$ 
  shows  $xs = \text{redex-patterns } A$ 
proof –
  have  $\text{linord}:\text{class.linorder } (\text{ord.lexordp-eq } ((<) :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool})) (\text{ord.lexordp } (<))$ 
  using linorder.lexordp-linorder[OF linorder-class.linorder-axioms] by simp
  then have  $\text{map snd } xs = \text{map snd } (\text{redex-patterns } A)$ 
  using linorder.strict-sorted-equal[OF linord redex-patterns-sorted][OF wf] sorted]
eq by simp
  with eq distinct-snd-rdp[OF wf] show ?thesis
  using distinct-map by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) inj-onD list.inj-map-strong)

qed

lemma redex-patterns-order:
  assumes  $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$  and  $i < j$  and  $j < \text{length } (\text{redex-patterns } A)$ 
  and  $\text{redex-patterns } A ! i = (\alpha, p1)$  and  $\text{redex-patterns } A ! j = (\beta, p2)$ 
  shows  $\neg p2 \leq_p p1$ 
proof –
  have  $(\text{ord.lexordp } (<)) p1 p2$ 
  using redex-patterns-sorted[OF assms(1)] assms sorted-wrt-nth-less by fastforce

  then show ?thesis
    by (metis less-eq-pos-def lexord-linorder.less-le-not-le ord.lexordp-eq-pref)
qed

end

context left-lin-no-var-lhs
begin
lemma redex-patterns-label:
  assumes  $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  shows  $(\alpha, p) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } A) \iff p \in \text{poss } (\text{source } A) \wedge \text{get-label } (\text{labeled-source } A \mid - p) = \text{Some } (\alpha, 0)$ 
proof
  {assume  $(\alpha, p) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } A)$ 

```

with *assms* **show** $p \in \text{poss}(\text{source } A) \wedge \text{get-label}(\text{labeled-source } A \mid - p) = \text{Some } (\alpha, \theta)$ **proof**(*induct arbitrary:p*)

case ($2 \text{ ts } f$)

have $l:\text{length}(\text{map2 } (\lambda i. \text{map } (\lambda(\alpha, p). (\alpha, i \# p))) [0..\text{length } ts] (\text{map } \text{redex-patterns } ts)) = \text{length } ts$

unfolding *length-map length-zip by simp*

with $2(2)$ **obtain** i **where** $i:i < \text{length } ts$ **and** $ap:(\alpha, p) \in \text{set}((\text{map2 } (\lambda i. \text{map } (\lambda(\alpha, p). (\alpha, i \# p))) [0..\text{length } ts] (\text{map } \text{redex-patterns } ts))!i)$

unfolding *redex-patterns.simps using in-set-idx by (metis nth-concat-split nth-mem)*

have $(\text{map2 } (\lambda i. \text{map } (\lambda(\alpha, p). (\alpha, i \# p))) [0..\text{length } ts] (\text{map } \text{redex-patterns } ts))!i = \text{map } (\lambda(\alpha, p). (\alpha, i \# p)) (\text{redex-patterns } (ts!i))$

using *nth-zip i by fastforce*

with ap **obtain** p' **where** $p':p = i\#p'$ **and** $(\alpha, p') \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } (ts!i))$ **by** *auto*

with $2(1)$ i **have** $p' \in \text{poss}(\text{source } (ts!i))$ **and** $\text{get-label}(\text{labeled-source } (ts!i) \mid - p') = \text{Some } (\alpha, \theta)$

using *nth-mem by blast+*

with i **show** *?case unfolding p' by simp*

next

case ($3 \beta \text{ As}$)

from *no-var-lhs 3(1)* **obtain** $f \text{ ts}$ **where** $lhs:lhs \beta = \text{Fun } f \text{ ts}$ **by** *fastforce*

from $3(2)$ **have** $l:\text{length}(\text{var-poss-list } (lhs \beta)) = \text{length } \text{As}$

using *left-lin.length-var-rule[OF left-lin-axioms 3(1)] by (simp add: length-var-poss-list)*

from $3(4)$ **consider** $(\text{root } (\alpha, p) = (\beta, [])) \mid (\text{arg } (\alpha, p) \in \text{set}(\text{concat } (\text{map2 } (\lambda p1. \text{map } (\lambda(\alpha, p2). (\alpha, p1 \text{ @ } p2))) (\text{var-poss-list } (lhs \beta)) (\text{map } \text{redex-patterns } \text{As}))))$

unfolding *redex-patterns.simps by (meson set-ConsD)*

then show *?case proof(cases)*

case *root*

then have $\alpha = \beta$ **and** $p = []$ **by** *simp+*

then show *?thesis by (simp add: lhs)*

next

case *arg*

then obtain i **where** $i:i < \text{length } \text{As}$ **and** $ap:(\alpha, p) \in \text{set}((\text{map2 } (\lambda p1. \text{map } (\lambda(\alpha, p2). (\alpha, p1 \text{ @ } p2))) (\text{var-poss-list } (lhs \beta)) (\text{map } \text{redex-patterns } \text{As}))!i)$

using *in-set-idx l by (metis (no-types, lifting) length-map map-snd-zip nth-concat-split nth-mem)*

let $?p1=(\text{var-poss-list } (lhs \beta))!i$

have $(\text{map2 } (\lambda p1. \text{map } (\lambda(\alpha, p2). (\alpha, p1 \text{ @ } p2))) (\text{var-poss-list } (lhs \beta)) (\text{map } \text{redex-patterns } \text{As}))!i = \text{map } (\lambda(\alpha, p). (\alpha, ?p1 \text{ @ } p)) (\text{redex-patterns } (\text{As}!i))$

using *nth-zip i l by fastforce*

with ap **obtain** $p2$ **where** $p2:p = ?p1\text{@}p2$ **and** $ap2:(\alpha, p2) \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } (\text{As}!i))$ **by** *auto*

with $3(3)$ i **have** $\text{poss}:p2 \in \text{poss}(\text{source } (\text{As}!i))$ **and** $\text{label}:\text{get-label}(\text{labeled-source } (\text{As}!i) \mid - p2) = \text{Some } (\alpha, \theta)$

using *nth-mem by blast+*

have $p1\text{-poss}:?p1 \in \text{poss}(\text{lhs } \beta)$ **using** $i \text{ l}$

```

    by (metis nth-mem var-poss-imp-poss var-poss-list-sound)
  then have 1:p ∈ poss (source (Prule β As))
    using poss 3(2) i l unfolding source.simps p2
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) append-eq-append-conv2 comp-apply length-map
length-remdups-eq length-rev length-var-poss-list nth-map poss-append-poss poss-imp-subst-poss
rev-swap var-rule-pos-subst vars-term-list-var-poss-list)
    have labeled-source (Prule β As) |-p = labeled-source (As!i) |-p2 proof–
      have ((map labeled-source As)β) (var-rule β ! i) = labeled-source (As!i)
        using i 3(2) by (metis length-map lhs-subst-var-i nth-map)
      moreover have labeled-lhs β |- ?p1 = Var (var-rule β ! i)
        using 3(1) i l by (metis case-prodD left-lin left-linear-trs-def length-var-poss-list
linear-term-var-vars-term-list p1-poss var-label-term vars-term-list-var-poss-list)
      ultimately show ?thesis unfolding p2 labeled-source.simps
        by (smt (verit, best) eval-term.simps(1) label-term-to-term p1-poss
poss-imp-subst-poss poss-term-lab-to-term subt-at-append subt-at-subst)
    qed
    with label have 2:get-label (labeled-source (Prule β As)|-p) = Some (α, 0)
      by presburger
    from 1 2 show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed simp
}
{assume p ∈ poss (source A) ∧ get-label (labeled-source A |- p) = Some (α, 0)
  with assms show (α, p) ∈ set (redex-patterns A) proof(induct arbitrary:p)
    case (2 ts f)
    from 2(2) have p ≠ [] unfolding labeled-source.simps by auto
    with 2(2) obtain i p' where p':p = i#p' p' ∈ poss (source (ts!i)) and i:i
    < length ts
      unfolding source.simps by fastforce
    with 2(2) have get-label (labeled-source (ts!i) |- p') = Some (α, 0)
      unfolding p' labeled-source.simps by auto
    with 2(1) i p' have IH:(α, p') ∈ set (redex-patterns (ts!i))
      using nth-mem by blast
    from i have i-zip:i < length (zip [0..<length ts] (map redex-patterns ts)) by
    simp
    from i have zip [0..<length ts] (map redex-patterns ts) ! i = (i, redex-patterns
    (ts!i))
      using nth-zip by simp
    then have (map2 (λx. map (λ(α, p). (α, x # p))) [0..<length ts] (map
    redex-patterns ts)) ! i = map (λ(α, p). (α, i # p)) (redex-patterns (ts!i))
      unfolding nth-map[OF i-zip] by simp
    with p'(2) IH have (α, p) ∈ set ((map2 (λi. map (λ(α, p). (α, i # p)))
    [0..<length ts] (map redex-patterns ts))!i)
      unfolding p' by auto
    with i-zip show ?case using i unfolding redex-patterns.simps set-concat by
    (metis (no-types, lifting) UN-I length-map nth-mem)
  next
  case (3 β As)
  with get-label-Prule consider (1)p = [] ∧ α = β | (∃ p1 p2 i. p = p1 @ p2

```

```

 $\wedge i < \text{length } As \wedge \text{var-poss-list } (lhs \beta) ! i = p1$ 
 $\wedge p2 \in \text{poss } (\text{source } (As ! i)) \wedge \text{get-label } (\text{labeled-source } (As ! i) \mid - p2) =$ 
Some  $(\alpha, \theta)$ 
  by (metis wf-pterm.intros(3))
  then show ?case proof(cases)
    case 1
    then show ?thesis unfolding redex-patterns.simps by simp
  next
  case 2
  from 3(1,2) left-lin have l:length (var-poss-list (lhs  $\beta$ )) = length As
    using length-var-poss-list length-var-rule by auto
  from 2 obtain p1 p2 i where p:p = p1 @ p2 and i:i < length As and
p1:var-poss-list (lhs  $\beta$ ) ! i = p1
    and p2:p2  $\in$  poss (source (As ! i)) and lab:get-label (labeled-source (As !
i) \mid - p2) = Some  $(\alpha, \theta)$ 
    by blast
  from i l have i':i < length (zip (var-poss-list (lhs  $\beta$ )) (map redex-patterns
As)) by simp
  from i p2 lab 3(3) have  $(\alpha, p2) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } (As!i))$  using nth-mem
by blast
  then have  $(\alpha, p) \in \text{set } (\text{map } (\lambda(\alpha, p2). (\alpha, p1 @ p2)) (\text{redex-patterns}
(As!i)))$  using p by force
  then have  $(\alpha, p) \in \text{set } ((\text{map2 } (\lambda p1. \text{map } (\lambda(\alpha, p2). (\alpha, p1 @ p2)))
(\text{var-poss-list } (lhs \beta)) (\text{map redex-patterns } As))!i)$ 
  unfolding nth-map[OF i'] p using p1 by (simp add: i l)
  then have  $(\alpha, p) \in \text{set } (\text{concat } (\text{map2 } (\lambda p1. \text{map } (\lambda(\alpha, p2). (\alpha, p1 @ p2)))
(\text{var-poss-list } (lhs \beta)) (\text{map redex-patterns } As)))$ 
  unfolding set-concat by (metis (no-types, lifting) UN-I i' length-map
nth-mem)
  then show ?thesis unfolding redex-patterns.simps by (meson list.set-intros(2))
  qed
  qed simp
}
qed

```

lemma redex-pattern-rule-symbol:

```

assumes  $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$   $(\alpha, p) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } A)$ 
shows to-rule  $\alpha \in R$ 
proof -
  from redex-patterns-label[OF assms(1)] have  $p \in \text{poss } (\text{source } A)$  and get-label
(labeled-source A \mid - p) = Some  $(\alpha, \theta)$ 
  using assms(2) by simp+
  then show ?thesis
  using assms(1) labeled-wf-pterm-rule-in-TRS by fastforce
qed

```

lemma redex-patterns-subset-possL:

```

assumes  $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
shows set (map snd (redex-patterns A))  $\subseteq$  possL A

```

```

using redex-patterns-label[OF assms]
by (smt (verit) get-label-imp-labelposs imageE labeled-source-to-term list.set-map
option.simps( $\beta$ ) poss-term-lab-to-term prod.collapse subsetI)
end

```

lemma *redex-poss-empty-imp-empty-step*:

```

assumes redex-patterns A = []
shows is-empty-step A
using assms proof(induct A)
case (Pfun f As)
  {fix i assume i:i < length As
    then have i-zip:i < length (zip [0..length As] (map redex-patterns As)) by
simp
    {fix x xs assume redex-patterns (As!i) = x#xs
      with i have zip [0..length As] (map redex-patterns As) ! i = (i, x#xs) by
simp
      then have (map2 ( $\lambda$ i. map ( $\lambda$ ( $\alpha$ , p). ( $\alpha$ , i # p))) [0..length As] (map
redex-patterns As))!i  $\neq$  []
        using nth-map i-zip by simp
        with Pfun( $\beta$ ) have False unfolding redex-patterns.simps using i-zip con-
cat-nth-length
          by (metis (no-types, lifting) length-0-conv length-greater-0-conv length-map
less-nat-zero-code)
        }
      then have redex-patterns (As!i) = []
        by (meson list.exhaust)
      with Pfun( $\beta$ ) i have is-empty-step (As!i)
        by simp
    }
  }
then show ?case
  by (simp add: list-all-length)
qed simp-all

```

lemma *overlap-imp-redex-poss*:

```

assumes A  $\in$  wf-pterm R B  $\in$  wf-pterm R
and measure-ov A B  $\neq$  0
shows redex-patterns A  $\neq$  []
proof
assume redex-patterns A = []
then have is-empty-step A
  by (simp add: redex-poss-empty-imp-empty-step)
with assms( $\beta$ ) show False
by (simp add: empty-step-imp-measure-zero)
qed

```

lemma *redex-patterns-to-pterm*:

```

shows redex-patterns (to-pterm s) = []
proof(induct s)
case (Fun f ts)

```

```

have  $l$ :length (map2 ( $\lambda i$ . map ( $\lambda(\alpha, p)$ . ( $\alpha, i \# p$ ))) [0.. $\text{length}$  (map to-pterm
ts)] (map redex-patterns (map to-pterm ts))) = length ts
  by simp
  {fix  $i$  assume  $i < \text{length } ts$ 
    with Fun have (map2 ( $\lambda i$ . map ( $\lambda(\alpha, p)$ . ( $\alpha, i \# p$ ))) [0.. $\text{length}$  (map to-pterm
ts)] (map redex-patterns (map to-pterm ts)))!  $i = []$ 
    by simp
  }
with  $l$  show ?case unfolding to-pterm.simps redex-patterns.simps
by (metis length-greater-0-conv length-nth-simps(1) less-nat-zero-code nth-concat-split)
qed simp

```

lemma *redex-patterns-elem-fun*:

```

assumes ( $\alpha, p$ )  $\in$  set (redex-patterns (Pfun  $f$  As))
shows  $\exists i p'$ .  $i < \text{length } As \wedge p = i \# p' \wedge (\alpha, p') \in$  set (redex-patterns (As!  $i$ ))
proof –
  let ?xs=map2 ( $\lambda i$ . map ( $\lambda(\alpha, p)$ . ( $\alpha, i \# p$ ))) [0.. $\text{length } As$ ] (map redex-patterns
As)
  from assms obtain  $k$  where  $k:k < \text{length}$  (redex-patterns (Pfun  $f$  As)) re-
dex-patterns (Pfun  $f$  As) !  $k = (\alpha, p)$ 
  by (metis in-set-idx)
  then obtain  $i j$  where  $i < \text{length } ?xs$  and  $j:j < \text{length}$  (?xs!  $i$ ) ?xs !  $i$  !  $j = (\alpha,$ 
 $p)$ 
  using nth-concat-split[OF  $k$ (1)[unfolded redex-patterns.simps]] by force
  then have  $i:i < \text{length } As$  by auto
  then have zip [0.. $\text{length } As$ ] (map redex-patterns As) !  $i = (i,$  redex-patterns
(As!  $i$ ))
  using nth-zip by auto
  then have ?xs!  $i = \text{map}$  ( $\lambda(\alpha, p)$ . ( $\alpha, i \# p$ )) (redex-patterns (As!  $i$ )) using nth-map
 $i$  by auto
  with  $j$  obtain  $p'$  where  $p = i \# p'$  and ( $\alpha, p'$ )  $\in$  set (redex-patterns (As!  $i$ ))
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) case-prod-beta fst-conv imageE list.set-map
nth-mem prod.collapse snd-conv)
  with  $i$  show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

lemma *redex-patterns-elem-rule*:

```

assumes ( $\alpha, p$ )  $\in$  set (redex-patterns (Prule  $\beta$  As))
shows  $p = [] \vee (\exists i p'$ .  $i < \text{length } As \wedge i < \text{length}$  (var-poss-list (lhs  $\beta$ ))
 $\wedge p = (\text{var-poss-list} (\text{lhs } \beta)!i)@p' \wedge (\alpha, p') \in$  set (redex-patterns (As!  $i$ )))
proof –
  let ?xs=map2 ( $\lambda p1$ . map ( $\lambda(\alpha, p2)$ . ( $\alpha, p1 @ p2$ ))) (var-poss-list (lhs  $\beta$ )) (map
redex-patterns As)
  from assms obtain  $k$  where  $k:k < \text{length}$  (redex-patterns (Prule  $\beta$  As)) re-
dex-patterns (Prule  $\beta$  As) !  $k = (\alpha, p)$ 
  by (metis in-set-idx)
  show ?thesis proof(cases  $p = []$ )
    case False

```

with k **have** $k \neq 0$
unfolding *redex-patterns.simps* **by** (*metis nth-Cons-0 prod.inject*)
with k **obtain** $i\ j$ **where** $i < \text{length } ?xs$ **and** $j:j < \text{length } (?xs!i) ?xs ! i ! j =$
 (α, p)
using *nth-concat-split less-Suc-eq-0-disj* **unfolding** *redex-patterns.simps* **by**
force
then have $i:i < \text{length } As\ i < \text{length } (\text{var-poss-list } (lhs\ \beta))$ **by** *auto*
let $?p=(\text{var-poss-list } (lhs\ \beta))!i$
from i **have** $zip\ (\text{var-poss-list } (lhs\ \beta))\ (\text{map } redex-patterns\ As)\ !i = (?p,$
redex-patterns (As!i))
using *nth-zip* **by** *auto*
then have $?xs!i = \text{map } (\lambda(\alpha, p). (\alpha, ?p@p))\ (\text{redex-patterns } (As!i))$ **using**
nth-map i by auto
with j **obtain** p' **where** $p = ?p@p'$ **and** $(\alpha, p') \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } (As!i))$
by (*smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) case-prod-beta fst-conv imageE list.set-map*
nth-mem prod.collapse snd-conv)
with i **show** *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed *simp*
qed

lemma *redex-patterns-elem-fun'*:

assumes $(\alpha, p) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } (As!i))$ **and** $i:i < \text{length } As$
shows $(\alpha, i\#p) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } (Pfun\ f\ As))$
proof –
let $?xs=\text{map2 } (\lambda i. \text{map } (\lambda(\alpha, p). (\alpha, i\#p)))\ [0..\text{length } As]\ (\text{map } redex-patterns\ As)$
from i **have** $zip\ [0..\text{length } As]\ (\text{map } redex-patterns\ As)\ !i = (i, \text{redex-patterns } (As!i))$
using *nth-zip* **by** *auto*
then have $?xs!i = \text{map } (\lambda(\alpha, p). (\alpha, i\#p))\ (\text{redex-patterns } (As!i))$ **using** *nth-map*
i by auto
with *assms* **have** $(\alpha, i\#p) \in \text{set } (?xs!i)$ **by** *fastforce*
moreover from i **have** $i < \text{length } ?xs$ **by** *simp*
ultimately have $*(\alpha, i\#p) \in \text{set } (\text{concat } ?xs)$
unfolding *set-concat* **by** (*meson UN-iff nth-mem*)
then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *redex-patterns-elem-rule'*:

assumes $(\beta, p) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } (As!i))$ **and** $i:i < \text{length } As\ i < \text{length } (\text{var-poss-list } (lhs\ \alpha))$
shows $(\beta, (\text{var-poss-list } (lhs\ \alpha)\ !i)@p) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } (Prule\ \alpha\ As))$
proof –
let $?xs=\text{map2 } (\lambda p1. \text{map } (\lambda(\alpha, p2). (\alpha, p1\ @\ p2)))\ (\text{var-poss-list } (lhs\ \alpha))\ (\text{map } redex-patterns\ As)$
let $?p=\text{var-poss-list } (lhs\ \alpha)\ !i$
from i **have** $zip\ (\text{var-poss-list } (lhs\ \alpha))\ (\text{map } redex-patterns\ As)\ !i = (?p, \text{redex-patterns } (As!i))$
using *nth-zip* **by** *auto*

then have $?xs!i = \text{map } (\lambda(\alpha, p). (\alpha, ?p@p)) (\text{redex-patterns } (As!i))$ **using**
nth-map i by auto
with *assms* **have** $(\beta, ?p@p) \in \text{set } (?xs!i)$ **by** *fastforce*
moreover from i have $i < \text{length } ?xs$ **by** *simp*
ultimately have $*:(\beta, ?p@p) \in \text{set } (\text{concat } ?xs)$
unfolding *set-concat* **by** (*meson UN-iff nth-mem*)
then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *redex-patterns-elem-subst*:

assumes $(\alpha, p) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } ((\text{to-pterms } t) \cdot \sigma))$
shows $\exists p1 p2 x. p = p1@p2 \wedge (\alpha, p2) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } (\sigma x)) \wedge p1 \in$
var-poss t \wedge t|-p1 = Var x
using *assms* **proof**(*induct t arbitrary: p*)
case (*Var x*)
then show *?case* **unfolding** *to-pterms.simps eval-term.simps* **by** *force*
next
case (*Fun f ts*)
from *Fun(2)* **obtain** *j* **where** $j:j < \text{length } (\text{redex-patterns } (\text{to-pterms } (\text{Fun } f \text{ ts}) \cdot \sigma))$
 $(\text{redex-patterns } (\text{to-pterms } (\text{Fun } f \text{ ts}) \cdot \sigma))!j = (\alpha, p)$
by (*metis in-set-idx*)
from *j* **obtain** *i k* **where** $i:i < \text{length } ts$
and $k:k < \text{length } (\text{map } (\lambda(\alpha, p). (\alpha, i \# p)) (\text{redex-patterns } (\text{to-pterms } (ts!i) \cdot \sigma)))$
and $rdp:(\text{map } (\lambda(\alpha, p). (\alpha, i \# p)) (\text{redex-patterns } (\text{to-pterms } (ts!i) \cdot \sigma)))!k =$
 (α, p)
using *nth-concat-split unfolding length-map to-pterms.simps eval-term.simps*
redex-patterns.simps **by** *force*
from *rdp k* **obtain** *p'* **where** $p:p = i\#p'$
by (*smt (verit, del-Insts) case-prod-conv list.sel(3) map-eq-imp-length-eq map-ident*
nth-map prod.inject surj-pair)
from *k rdp* **have** $(\alpha, p') \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } (\text{to-pterms } (ts!i) \cdot \sigma))$
unfolding *p* **by** (*smt (verit, del-Insts) case-prod-conv list.sel(3) map-eq-imp-length-eq*
map-ident nth-map nth-mem prod.inject surj-pair)
with *Fun(1) i* **obtain** *p1 p2 x* **where** $p':p' = p1@p2$ **and** $rdp2:(\alpha, p2) \in \text{set}$
 $(\text{redex-patterns } (\sigma x))$ **and** $p1 \in \text{var-poss } (ts!i)$ **and** $(ts!i)|-p1 = \text{Var } x$
by (*meson nth-mem*)
with *i* **have** $i\#p1 \in \text{var-poss } (\text{Fun } f \text{ ts})$ $\text{Fun } f \text{ ts } |- (i\#p1) = \text{Var } x$
by *auto*
with *p' rdp2* **show** *?case*
unfolding *p* **by** (*meson Cons-eq-appendI*)
qed

context *left-lin-no-var-lhs*

begin

lemma *redex-patterns-rule''*:

assumes $rdp:(\beta, p @ q) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } (\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ As}))$
and $wf:\text{Prule } \alpha \text{ As} \in \text{wf-pterms } R$

```

    and p:p = var-poss-list (lhs α)!i
    and i:i < length As
  shows (β, q) ∈ set (redex-patterns (As!i))
proof -
  from wf obtain f ts where lhs:lhs α = Fun f ts
    by (metis Inl-inject case-prodD is-FunE is-Prule.simps(1) is-Prule.simps(3)
no-var-lhs term.distinct(1) term.inject(2) wf-pterm.simps)
  from wf i have l:length As = length (var-poss-list (lhs α))
    by (metis Inl-inject is-Prule.simps(1) is-Prule.simps(3) length-var-poss-list
length-var-rule term.distinct(1) term.inject(2) wf-pterm.simps)
  with i p have p ∈ var-poss (Fun f ts)
    by (metis lhs nth-mem var-poss-list-sound)
  then have p ≠ [] by force
  then obtain j p' where j:j < length As and p':p@q = var-poss-list (lhs α) ! j
@ p' (β, p') ∈ set (redex-patterns (As!j))
    using redex-patterns-elem-rule[OF rdp] by blast
  {assume j ≠ i
    then have p ⊥ var-poss-list (lhs α) ! j
      unfolding p using i j by (metis distinct-var-poss-list l nth-eq-iff-index-eq
nth-mem var-poss-list-sound var-poss-parallel)
    with p'(1) have False
      by (metis less-eq-pos-simps(1) pos-less-eq-append-not-parallel)
  }
  with p'(1) p have j = i and p' = q by fastforce+
  with p'(2) show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

lemma redex-patterns-elem-subst':

```

  assumes (α, p2) ∈ set (redex-patterns (σ x)) and p1:p1 ∈ poss t t|-p1 = Var x
  shows (α, p1@p2) ∈ set (redex-patterns ((to-pterm t) · σ))
using assms proof(induct t arbitrary: p1)
  case (Var x)
  then show ?case unfolding to-pterm.simps eval-term.simps by force
next
  case (Fun f ts)
  from Fun(3,4) obtain i p1' where i:i < length ts and p1:p1 = i#p1' and
p1':p1' ∈ poss (ts!i) (ts!i)|-p1' = Var x
    by auto
  with Fun(1,2) have (α, p1' @ p2) ∈ set (redex-patterns (to-pterm (ts!i) · σ))
    using nth-mem by blast
  then obtain j where j:j < length (redex-patterns (to-pterm (ts!i) · σ)) re-
dex-patterns (to-pterm (ts!i) · σ)!j = (α, p1' @ p2)
    by (metis in-set-idx)
  let ?xs=map2 (λi. map (λ(α, p). (α, i # p))) [0..<length (map (λs. s · σ) (map
to-pterm ts))] (map redex-patterns (map (λs. s · σ) (map to-pterm ts)))
  from i j have rdp: ?xs!i!j = (α, p1@p2)
    unfolding p1 by auto
  let ?i=sum-list (map length (take i ?xs)) + j
  from rdp i j(1) have (redex-patterns ((to-pterm (Fun f ts)) · σ)) ! ?i = (α,

```

```

p1@p2)
  using concat-nth[of i ?xs j] unfolding length-map by force
  moreover from i j(1) have ?i < length (redex-patterns (to-pterm (Fun f ts) ·
σ))
  using concat-nth-length[of i ?xs j] unfolding length-map by force
  ultimately show ?case
  using nth-mem by fastforce
qed

lemma redex-patterns-join:
  assumes A ∈ wf-pterm R B ∈ wf-pterm R A ⊔ B = Some C
  shows set (redex-patterns C) = set (redex-patterns A) ∪ set (redex-patterns B)
  using assms proof (induct A arbitrary: B C rule:subterm-induct)
  case (subterm A)
  from subterm(2) show ?case proof (cases A)
  case (1 x)
  from subterm(2,3,4) var-join show ?thesis
  unfolding 1 by auto
  next
  case (2 As f)
  with subterm(4) consider (Pfun) ∃ g Bs. B = Pfun g Bs | (Prule) ∃ α Bs. B
= Prule α Bs by (meson fun-join)
  then show ?thesis proof (cases)
  case Pfun
  then obtain g Bs where B:B = Pfun g Bs by blast
  from subterm(4) join-fun-fun obtain Cs where fg:f = g and l-As-Bs:length
As = length Bs and
  C:C = Pfun f Cs and l-Cs-As:length Cs = length As and Cs:(∀ i < length
As. As ! i ⊔ Bs ! i = Some (Cs ! i))
  unfolding 2 B by force
  {fix i assume i:i < length As
  with subterm(3) have Bs!i ∈ wf-pterm R
  using B l-As-Bs by auto
  with subterm(1) i 2 Cs have set (redex-patterns (Cs!i)) = set (redex-patterns
(As!i)) ∪ set (redex-patterns (Bs!i))
  by (meson nth-mem supt.arg)
  }note IH=this
  {fix α p assume (α, p) ∈ set (redex-patterns C)
  then obtain i p' where i:i < length Cs and p:p = i#p' and (α, p') ∈ set
(redex-patterns (Cs!i))
  unfolding C by (meson redex-patterns-elem-fun)
  with IH consider (α, p') ∈ set (redex-patterns (As!i)) | (α, p') ∈ set
(redex-patterns (Bs!i))
  using l-Cs-As by fastforce
  then have (α, p) ∈ set (redex-patterns A) ∪ set (redex-patterns B)
proof (cases)
  case 1
  with i have (α, p) ∈ set (redex-patterns A)
  unfolding 2 p l-Cs-As by (meson redex-patterns-elem-fun')

```

```

    then show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case 2
    with i have  $(\alpha, p) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } B)$ 
      unfolding  $B \text{ l-Cs-As l-As-Bs } p$  by (meson redex-patterns-elem-fun')
    then show ?thesis by simp
  qed
}
moreover
{fix  $\alpha p$  assume  $(\alpha, p) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } A) \cup \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } B)$ 
  then consider  $(\alpha, p) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } A) \mid (\alpha, p) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } B)$  by force
  then have  $(\alpha, p) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } C)$  proof(cases)
    case 1
    then obtain  $i p'$  where  $i:i < \text{length } As$  and  $p:p = i\#p'$  and  $(\alpha, p') \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } (As!i))$ 
      unfolding 2 by (meson redex-patterns-elem-fun)
    with IH have  $(\alpha, p') \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } (Cs!i))$  by blast
    with  $i \text{ l-Cs-As}$  show ?thesis unfolding  $C p$ 
      by (metis redex-patterns-elem-fun')
    next
    case 2
    then obtain  $i p'$  where  $i:i < \text{length } Bs$  and  $p:p = i\#p'$  and  $(\alpha, p') \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } (Bs!i))$ 
      unfolding  $B$  by (meson redex-patterns-elem-fun)
    with IH  $l\text{-As-Bs}$  have  $(\alpha, p') \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } (Cs!i))$  by simp
    with  $i \text{ l-Cs-As l-As-Bs}$  show ?thesis unfolding  $C p$ 
      by (metis redex-patterns-elem-fun')
  qed
}
ultimately show ?thesis by auto
next
case Prule
then obtain  $\alpha Bs$  where  $B:B = \text{Prule } \alpha Bs$  by blast
from  $B \text{ subterm}(3)$  have  $\text{alpha:to-rule } \alpha \in R$ 
  using  $\text{wf-pterm.simps}$  by fastforce
then obtain  $f' ts$  where  $\text{lhs:lhs } \alpha = \text{Fun } f' ts$ 
  using  $\text{no-var-lhs}$  by fastforce
from  $\text{alpha}$  have  $\text{lin:linear-term } (\text{lhs } \alpha)$ 
  using  $\text{left-lin left-linear-trs-def}$  by fastforce
from  $B \text{ subterm}(3,4)$  obtain  $\sigma Cs$  where  $\text{sigma:match } A (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha))$ 
=  $\text{Some } \sigma$ 
  and  $C:C = \text{Prule } \alpha Cs$  and  $l\text{-Cs-Bs:length } Cs = \text{length } Bs$  and  $Cs:(\forall i < \text{length } Bs. \sigma (\text{var-rule } \alpha ! i) \sqcup (Bs ! i) = \text{Some } (Cs ! i))$ 
  unfolding 2 using  $\text{join-rule-fun join-sym}$  by (smt (verit, best))
from  $B \text{ subterm}(3)$  have  $l\text{-Bs:length } Bs = \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$ 
  using  $\text{wf-pterm.simps}$  by fastforce
from  $\text{sigma}$  have  $A:A = (\text{to-pterm } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \cdot \sigma$ 
  by (simp add: match-matches)

```

```

{fix i assume i:i < length Bs
  with sigma lhs l-Bs have  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha ! i$ )  $\triangleleft A$ 
  by (smt (verit, best) comp-def match-lhs-subst nth-mem set-remdups set-rev
set-vars-term-list subst-image-subterm to-pterm.simps(2) vars-to-pterm)
  moreover have  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha ! i$ )  $\in$  wf-pterm R
  using subterm(2) by (metis i l-Bs match-well-def sigma vars-to-pterm)
  moreover from i subterm(3) have Bs!i  $\in$  wf-pterm R
  using B nth-mem by blast
  ultimately have set (redex-patterns (Cs!i)) = set (redex-patterns ( $\sigma$  (var-rule
 $\alpha ! i$ )))  $\cup$  set (redex-patterns (Bs!i))
  using subterm(1) Cs l-Cs-Bs i by presburger
}note IH=this
{fix  $\beta$  p assume rdp:( $\beta, p$ )  $\in$  set (redex-patterns C)
  then have ( $\beta, p$ )  $\in$  set (redex-patterns A)  $\cup$  set (redex-patterns B)
proof(cases p=[])
  case True
  with rdp have  $\alpha = \beta$ 
  unfolding C using lhs by (metis (no-types, lifting) C join-wf-pterm
list.set-intros(1) option.sel
  prod.inject redex-patterns.simps(3) redex-patterns-label subterm.prem(1)
subterm.prem(2) subterm.prem(3))
  then show ?thesis unfolding B redex-patterns.simps True by simp
next
  case False
  with rdp obtain i p' where i:i < length Cs i < length (var-poss-list (lhs
 $\alpha$ ))
  and p:p = (var-poss-list (lhs  $\alpha$ ) ! i)@p' and *:( $\beta, p'$ )  $\in$  set (redex-patterns
(Cs!i))
  unfolding C by (meson redex-patterns-elem-rule)
  let ?p=var-poss-list (lhs  $\alpha$ ) ! i
  from * i IH consider ( $\beta, p'$ )  $\in$  set (redex-patterns ( $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha ! i$ ))) |
( $\beta, p'$ )  $\in$  set (redex-patterns (Bs!i))
  using l-Cs-Bs by fastforce
  then show ?thesis proof(cases)
  case 1
  let ?x=var-rule  $\alpha ! i$ 
  from i(2) have p-pos:?p  $\in$  poss (lhs  $\alpha$ )
  by (metis nth-mem var-poss-iff var-poss-list-sound)
  from i(2) have p-x:(lhs  $\alpha$ )|-?p = Var ?x
  by (metis  $\langle$ to-rule  $\alpha \in R\rangle$  case-prodD left-lin left-linear-trs-def
length-var-poss-list linear-term-var-vars-term-list vars-term-list-var-poss-list)
  from i(2) have ( $\beta, p$ )  $\in$  set (redex-patterns A)
  unfolding p A using redex-patterns-elem-subst'[of  $\beta$  p'  $\sigma$  ?x, OF 1
p-pos p-x] by simp
  then show ?thesis by simp
next
  case 2
  from i have ( $\beta, p$ )  $\in$  set (redex-patterns B)
  unfolding B p l-Cs-Bs using redex-patterns-elem-rule'[OF 2] by

```

```

presburger
  then show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  qed
}
moreover
{fix  $\beta$   $p$  assume  $(\beta, p) \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } A) \cup \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } B)$ 
  then consider  $(\beta, p) \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } A) \mid (\beta, p) \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } B)$  by force
  then have  $(\beta, p) \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } C)$  proof(cases)
  case 1
    then obtain  $p1$   $p2$   $x$  where  $p:p = p1@p2$  and  $rdp2:(\beta, p2) \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } (\sigma x))$ 
      and  $p1:p1 \in \text{var-poss}(\text{lhs } \alpha) \text{ lhs } \alpha \mid p1 = \text{Var } x$ 
      unfolding  $A$  using redex-patterns-elem-subst by metis
      then obtain  $i$  where  $i:i < \text{length}(\text{var-rule } \alpha)$   $(\text{var-rule } \alpha)!i = x$ 
      using lin by (metis in-set-conv-nth length-var-poss-list linear-term-var-vars-term-list term.inject(1) var-poss-list-sound vars-term-list-var-poss-list)
      with  $p1$  lin have  $p1:p1 = \text{var-poss-list}(\text{lhs } \alpha) ! i$ 
      by (metis length-var-poss-list linear-term-unique-vars linear-term-var-vars-term-list nth-mem var-poss-imp-poss var-poss-list-sound vars-term-list-var-poss-list)
      from  $i$  IH rdp2 have  $(\beta, p2) \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } (Cs!i))$ 
      by (simp add: l-Bs)
      with  $i(1)$  show ?thesis unfolding  $C$   $p$ 
        using redex-patterns-elem-rule' p1 by (metis alpha l-Bs l-Cs-Bs length-var-poss-list length-var-rule)
    next
      case 2
      show ?thesis proof(cases  $p=[]$ )
      case True
        from 2 have  $\alpha = \beta$ 
        unfolding  $B$  True using lhs by (metis (no-types, lifting) B list.set-intros(1) option.sel prod.inject redex-patterns.simps(3) redex-patterns-label subterm.prem(2))
      then show ?thesis unfolding  $C$  redex-patterns.simps True by simp
    next
      case False
      with 2 obtain  $i$   $p'$  where  $i:i < \text{length } Bs$   $i < \text{length}(\text{var-poss-list}(\text{lhs } \alpha))$ 
      and  $p:p = (\text{var-poss-list}(\text{lhs } \alpha) ! i)@p'$  and  $*:(\beta, p') \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } (Bs!i))$ 
      unfolding  $B$  by (meson redex-patterns-elem-rule)
      with IH l-Cs-Bs have  $(\beta, p') \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } (Cs!i))$  by simp
      with  $i$  l-Cs-Bs show ?thesis unfolding  $C$   $p$ 
        by (metis redex-patterns-elem-rule')
      qed
    qed
  }
}

```

```

ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
next
case (3  $\alpha$  As)
from 3(2) obtain f' ts where lhs:lhs  $\alpha$  = Fun f' ts
using no-var-lhs by fastforce
from 3(2) have lin:linear-term (lhs  $\alpha$ )
using left-lin left-linear-trs-def by fastforce
from 3 subterm(2,4) consider (Pfun)  $\exists$  g Bs. B = Pfun g Bs | (Prule)  $\exists$   $\beta$  Bs.
B = Prule  $\beta$  Bs by (meson rule-join)
then show ?thesis proof(cases)
case Pfun
then obtain f Bs where B:B = Pfun f Bs by blast
from subterm(2,4) obtain  $\sigma$  Cs where sigma:match B (to-pterm (lhs  $\alpha$ )) =
Some  $\sigma$ 
and C:C = Prule  $\alpha$  Cs and l-Cs-As:length Cs = length As and As:( $\forall$  i < length
As. (As ! i)  $\sqcup$   $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  ! i) = Some (Cs ! i))
unfolding 3 B using 3(3) join-rule-fun by metis
{fix i assume i:i < length As
have  $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  ! i)  $\in$  wf-pterm R
using subterm(3) by (metis 3(3) i match-well-def sigma vars-to-pterm)
then have set (redex-patterns (Cs!i)) = set (redex-patterns (As!i))  $\cup$  set
(redex-patterns ( $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  ! i)))
using subterm(1) 3 by (meson As i nth-mem supt.arg)
}note IH=this
{fix  $\beta$  p assume rdp:( $\beta$ , p)  $\in$  set (redex-patterns C)
then have ( $\beta$ , p)  $\in$  set (redex-patterns A)  $\cup$  set (redex-patterns B)
proof(cases p=[])
case True
with rdp have  $\alpha$  =  $\beta$ 
unfolding C using lhs by (metis (no-types, lifting) C join-wf-pterm
list.set-intros(1) option.sel
prod.inject redex-patterns.simps(3) redex-patterns-label subterm.prem(1)
subterm.prem(2) subterm.prem(3))
then show ?thesis unfolding 3 redex-patterns.simps True by simp
next
case False
with rdp obtain i p' where i:i < length Cs i < length (var-poss-list (lhs
 $\alpha$ ))
and p:p = (var-poss-list (lhs  $\alpha$ ) ! i)@p' and *:( $\beta$ , p')  $\in$  set (redex-patterns
(Cs!i))
unfolding C by (meson redex-patterns-elem-rule)
let ?p=var-poss-list (lhs  $\alpha$ ) ! i
from * i IH consider ( $\beta$ , p')  $\in$  set (redex-patterns ( $\sigma$  (var-rule  $\alpha$  ! i))) |
( $\beta$ , p')  $\in$  set (redex-patterns (As!i))
using l-Cs-As by auto
then show ?thesis proof(cases)
case 1
let ?x=var-rule  $\alpha$  ! i

```

```

    from i(2) have p-pos: ?p ∈ poss (lhs α)
      by (metis nth-mem var-poss-iff var-poss-list-sound)
    from i(2) have p-x: (lhs α)|-?p = Var ?x
      by (metis 3(2) case-prodD left-lin left-linear-trs-def length-var-poss-list
linear-term-var-vars-term-list vars-term-list-var-poss-list)
    from sigma have (β, p) ∈ set (redex-patterns B)
      unfolding p using redex-patterns-elem-subst'[of β p' σ ?x, OF 1 p-pos
p-x] by (simp add: match-matches)
    then show ?thesis by simp
  next
  case 2
  from i have (β, p) ∈ set (redex-patterns A)
    unfolding 3(1) p l-Cs-As using redex-patterns-elem-rule'[OF 2] by
presburger
  then show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  qed
}
moreover
{fix β p assume (β, p) ∈ set (redex-patterns A) ∪ set (redex-patterns B)
  then consider (β, p) ∈ set (redex-patterns B) | (β, p) ∈ set (redex-patterns
A) by force
  then have (β, p) ∈ set (redex-patterns C) proof(cases)
  case 1
    then obtain p1 p2 x where p:p = p1@p2 and rdp2:(β, p2) ∈ set
(redex-patterns (σ x))
    and p1:p1 ∈ var-poss (lhs α) lhs α|-p1 = Var x
    using sigma redex-patterns-elem-subst using match-matches by blast
    then obtain i where i:i < length (var-rule α) (var-rule α)!i = x
    using lin by (metis in-set-conv-nth length-var-poss-list linear-term-var-vars-term-list
term.inject(1) var-poss-list-sound vars-term-list-var-poss-list)
    with p1 lin have p1:p1 = var-poss-list (lhs α) ! i
    by (metis length-var-poss-list linear-term-unique-vars linear-term-var-vars-term-list
nth-mem var-poss-imp-poss var-poss-list-sound vars-term-list-var-poss-list)
    from i IH rdp2 have (β, p2) ∈ set (redex-patterns (C!i))
    by (simp add: 3(3))
    with i(1) show ?thesis unfolding C p
      using redex-patterns-elem-rule' p1 by (metis 3(2) 3(3) l-Cs-As
length-var-poss-list length-var-rule)
  next
  case 2
  show ?thesis proof(cases p=[])
  case True
    from 2 have α = β
      unfolding True using lhs by (metis 3(1) list.set-intros(1) option.sel
prod.sel(1) redex-patterns.simps(3) redex-patterns-label subterm.prem(1))
    then show ?thesis unfolding C redex-patterns.simps True by simp
  next
  case False

```



```

    with 2 obtain i p' where i:i < length As i < length (var-poss-list (lhs
α))
    and p:p = (var-poss-list (lhs α) ! i)@p' and *:(β, p') ∈ set (redex-patterns
(As!i))
        using 3(1) redex-patterns-elem-rule by blast
        with IH l-Cs-As have (β, p') ∈ set (redex-patterns (Cs!i)) by simp
        with i l-Cs-As show ?thesis unfolding C p
        by (metis redex-patterns-elem-rule')
    qed
  qed
}
ultimately show ?thesis by auto
next
case Prule
then obtain β Bs where B:B = Prule β Bs by blast
obtain Cs where alpha-beta:α = β and l-As-Bs:length As = length Bs
and C:C = Prule α Cs and l-Cs-As:length Cs = length As and args:∀ i <
length As. As ! i ⊔ Bs ! i = Some (Cs ! i)
    using join-rule-rule[OF subterm(4,2,3)[unfolded B 3]] using 3(3) by
fastforce
    {fix i assume i < length As
      from subterm(1) have set (redex-patterns (Cs!i)) = set (redex-patterns
(As!i)) ∪ set (redex-patterns (Bs!i))
        by (metis 3(1) 3(4) B ‹i < length As› fun-well-arg l-As-Bs local.args
nth-mem subterm.prem(2) supt.arg)
      }note IH=this
    {fix γ p assume rdp:(γ, p) ∈ set (redex-patterns C)
      have (γ, p) ∈ set (redex-patterns A) ∪ set (redex-patterns B) proof(cases
p = [])
        case True
        from rdp have α = γ unfolding C True using lhs
          by (metis (no-types, lifting) C join-wf-pterm list.set-intros(1) option.sel
prod.inject redex-patterns.simps(3) redex-patterns-label subterm(2,3,4))
        then show ?thesis unfolding 3 True by simp
      next
        case False
        then obtain p2 i where i:i < length Cs i < length (var-poss-list (lhs α))
          and p:p = var-poss-list (lhs α) ! i @p2 and (γ, p2) ∈ set (redex-patterns
(Cs!i))
            using C rdp redex-patterns-elem-rule by blast
            with IH consider (γ, p2) ∈ set (redex-patterns (As!i)) | (γ, p2) ∈ set
(redex-patterns (Bs!i))
              using l-Cs-As by fastforce
              then show ?thesis proof(cases)
                case 1
                with i have (γ, p) ∈ set (redex-patterns A)
                  unfolding 3 p l-Cs-As by (metis 3(3) redex-patterns-elem-rule')
                then show ?thesis by simp
              next

```

```

      case 2
      with i have  $(\gamma, p) \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } B)$ 
      unfolding B l-Cs-As l-As-Bs p alpha-beta using redex-patterns-elem-rule'
by blast
      then show ?thesis by simp
      qed
    qed
  }
  moreover
  {fix  $\gamma$  p assume rdp: $(\gamma, p) \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } A) \cup \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } B)$ 
  have  $(\gamma, p) \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } C)$  proof(cases p = [])
    case True
    from rdp lhs have  $\gamma = \alpha$ 
    unfolding  $\exists$  B alpha-beta True
    by (metis  $\exists(1)$  B Un-iff alpha-beta list.set-intros(1) option.inject prod.inject
redex-patterns.simps(3) redex-patterns-label subterm.premis(1) subterm.premis(2))
    then show ?thesis unfolding C True by simp
  next
  case False
    from rdp consider  $(\gamma, p) \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } A) \mid (\gamma, p) \in \text{set}$ 
( $\text{redex-patterns } B$ ) by force
    then show ?thesis proof(cases)
      case 1
      then obtain p2 i where  $i:i < \text{length } As \ i < \text{length}(\text{var-poss-list}(\text{lhs}$ 
 $\alpha))$ 
      and  $p:p = \text{var-poss-list}(\text{lhs } \alpha) ! i @ p2$  and  $(\gamma, p2) \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns}$ 
( $As!i$ ))
      using  $\exists$  redex-patterns-elem-rule False by blast
      with IH have  $(\gamma, p2) \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } (Cs!i))$  by blast
      with i l-Cs-As show ?thesis unfolding C p
      by (metis redex-patterns-elem-rule')
    next
      case 2
      then obtain p2 i where  $i:i < \text{length } Bs \ i < \text{length}(\text{var-poss-list}(\text{lhs}$ 
 $\alpha))$ 
      and  $p:p = \text{var-poss-list}(\text{lhs } \alpha) ! i @ p2$  and  $(\gamma, p2) \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns}$ 
( $Bs!i$ ))
      using B alpha-beta redex-patterns-elem-rule False by blast
      with IH have  $(\gamma, p2) \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } (Cs!i))$  using l-As-Bs by
simp
      with i l-Cs-As l-As-Bs show ?thesis unfolding C p
      by (metis redex-patterns-elem-rule')
    qed
  qed
}
ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma redex-patterns-join-list:
  assumes join-list As = Some A and  $\forall a \in \text{set } As. a \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  shows  $\text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } A) = \bigcup (\text{set } (\text{map } (\text{set } \circ \text{redex-patterns}) \text{ As}))$ 
  using assms proof (induct As arbitrary:A)
  case (Cons a As)
  show ?case proof (cases As = [])
    case True
    from Cons(2,3) have a = A
    unfolding True join-list.simps by (simp add: join-with-source)
    then show ?thesis unfolding True by simp
  next
  case False
  then have  $*:\text{join-list } (a\#As) = \text{join-opt } a (\text{join-list } As)$ 
  using join-list.elims by blast
  with Cons(2) obtain A' where  $A':\text{join-list } As = \text{Some } A'$  by fastforce
  with Cons(1,3) have  $\text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } A') = \bigcup (\text{set } (\text{map } (\text{set } \circ \text{redex-patterns}) \text{ As}))$ 
  by simp
  then show ?thesis using redex-patterns-join * Cons(2,3) unfolding A' join-opt.simps
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) A' Sup-insert insert-iff join-list-wf-pterm
list.set(2) list.simps(9) o-apply)
  qed
qed simp

```

```

lemma redex-patterns-context:
  assumes p  $\in$  poss s
  shows  $\text{redex-patterns } ((\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p (\text{to-pterm } s)) \langle A \rangle) = \text{map } (\lambda(\alpha, q). (\alpha, p@q)) (\text{redex-patterns } A)$ 
  using assms proof (induct p arbitrary:s)
  case (Cons i p')
  from Cons(2) obtain f ss where  $s:s = \text{Fun } f \text{ ss}$ 
  by (meson args-poss)
  from Cons(2) have  $i:i < \text{length } ss$  and  $p':p' \in \text{poss } (ss!i)$ 
  unfolding s by auto
  with Cons(1) have  $IH:\text{redex-patterns } (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p' (\text{to-pterm } (ss!i)))\langle A \rangle$ 
  =
   $\text{map } (\lambda(\alpha, q). (\alpha, p'@q)) (\text{redex-patterns } A)$  by simp
  from i have  $l:\text{length } (\text{take } i (\text{map } \text{to-pterm } ss) @ (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p' (\text{map } \text{to-pterm } ss ! i))\langle A \rangle \# \text{drop } (\text{Suc } i) (\text{map } \text{to-pterm } ss)) = \text{length } ss$ 
  by simp
  let ?take-i= $\text{take } i (\text{map } \text{to-pterm } ss)$ 
  let ?ith=( $\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p' (\text{map } \text{to-pterm } ss ! i)\langle A \rangle$ )
  let ?drop-i= $\text{drop } (\text{Suc } i) (\text{map } \text{to-pterm } ss)$ 
  let ?xs= $\text{take } i (\text{map } \text{to-pterm } ss) @ (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p' (\text{map } \text{to-pterm } ss ! i))\langle A \rangle$ 
   $\# \text{drop } (\text{Suc } i) (\text{map } \text{to-pterm } ss)$ 
  let ?zip= $\text{zip } [0..<\text{length } ss] (\text{map } \text{redex-patterns } ?xs)$ 
  from i have  $l:\text{zip:length } ?zip = \text{length } ss$  by auto
  let ?zip1= $\text{zip } [0..<i] (\text{map } \text{redex-patterns } ?take-i)$ 

```

```

let ?zip2=zip [Suc i..<length ss] (map redex-patterns ?drop-i)
have zip:?zip = ?zip1 @ ((i, redex-patterns ?ith) # ?zip2)
  unfolding map-append zip-append2 using i by (simp add: upt-conv-Cons)
{fix j assume j:j < length (map (λ(x, y). map (λ(α, p). (α, x # p)) y) ?zip1)
  with i have (map redex-patterns ?take-i)!j = []
    by (simp add: redex-patterns-to-pterm)
  with j have ?zip1 ! j = (j, [])
    by simp
  with j have map (λ(x, y). map (λ(α, p). (α, x # p)) y) ?zip1 ! j = []
    by simp
}
then have 1:concat (map (λ(x, y). map (λ(α, p). (α, x # p)) y) ?zip1) = []
  by (metis length-0-conv length-greater-0-conv less-nat-zero-code nth-concat-split)

{fix j assume j:j < length (map (λ(x, y). map (λ(α, p). (α, x # p)) y) ?zip2)
  with i have (map redex-patterns ?drop-i)!j = []
    by (simp add: redex-patterns-to-pterm)
  with j have ?zip2 ! j = (j+Suc i, [])
    by simp
  with j have map (λ(x, y). map (λ(α, p). (α, x # p)) y) ?zip2 ! j = []
    by simp
}
then have 2:concat (map (λ(x, y). map (λ(α, p). (α, x # p)) y) ?zip2) = []
  by (metis length-0-conv length-greater-0-conv less-nat-zero-code nth-concat-split)

show ?case unfolding s to-pterm.simps ctxt-of-pos-term.simps intp-actxt.simps
redex-patterns.simps l zip
  unfolding map-append concat-append 1 list.map(2) concat.simps 2 using IH
i by simp
qed simp

lemma redex-patterns-prule:
  assumes l:length ts = length (var-poss-list (lhs α))
  shows redex-patterns (Prule α (map to-pterm ts)) = [(α, [])]
proof-
  {fix x assume x:x ∈ set (map2 (λx. map (λ(α, p2). (α, x @ p2))) (var-poss-list
(lhs α)) (map redex-patterns (map to-pterm ts)))
  from l have length (map2 (λx. map (λ(α, p2). (α, x @ p2))) (var-poss-list
(lhs α)) (map redex-patterns (map to-pterm ts))) = length (var-poss-list (lhs α))
    by simp
  with x obtain i where i:i < length (var-poss-list (lhs α)) x = (map2 (λx. map
(λ(α, p2). (α, x @ p2))) (var-poss-list (lhs α)) (map redex-patterns (map to-pterm
ts)))!i
    by (metis in-set-idx)
  from i l have x = []
    using redex-patterns-to-pterm by simp
}
then show ?thesis
  unfolding redex-patterns.simps using concat-eq-Nil-conv by blast

```

qed

lemma *redex-patterns-single*:

assumes $p \in \text{poss } s$ **and** *to-rule* $\alpha \in R$

shows *redex-patterns* (*ll-single-redex* $s \ p \ \alpha$) = $[(\alpha, p)]$

proof –

let $?As = \text{map } (\text{to-pterm} \circ (\lambda pi. s \ |- \ (p \ @ \ pi))) \ (\text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \alpha))$

let $?A = \text{Prule } \alpha \ ?As$

have *redex-patterns* $?A = [(\alpha, [])]$

using *redex-patterns-prule* **using** *length-map* **by** *fastforce*

moreover **have** *set* (*redex-patterns* (*ll-single-redex* $s \ p \ \alpha$)) = *set* (*map* $(\lambda (\alpha, q). (\alpha, p @ q))$ (*redex-patterns* $?A$))

using *redex-patterns-context* *assms* *redex-patterns-to-pterm*[*of s*] **unfolding** *ll-single-redex-def* **using** *p-in-poss-to-pterm* **by** *fastforce*

ultimately **have** *set:set* (*redex-patterns* (*ll-single-redex* $s \ p \ \alpha$)) = $\{(\alpha, p)\}$

by *simp*

have *wf:ll-single-redex* $s \ p \ \alpha \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

using *assms* *left-lin* *left-linear-trs-def* *single-redex-wf-pterm* **by** *fastforce*

have *sorted:sorted-wrt* (*ord.lexordp* $(<)$) (*map snd* $[(\alpha, p)]$) **by** *simp*

show *?thesis* **using** *redex-patterns-equal*[*OF wf sorted*] *set* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *get-label-imp-rdp*:

assumes *get-label* (*labeled-source* $A \ |- \ p$) = *Some* $(\alpha, 0)$

and $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$

and $p \in \text{poss } (\text{labeled-source } A)$

shows $(\alpha, p) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } A)$

using *assms* **proof**(*induct* A *arbitrary:p*)

case (*Pfun* $f \ As$)

then **show** *?case* **proof**(*cases* p)

case (*Cons* $i \ p'$)

from *Pfun*(4) **have** $i:i < \text{length } As$

unfolding *Cons* **by** *simp*

moreover **from** *Pfun*($2,4$) **have** *get-label* (*labeled-source* $(As!i) \ |- \ p'$) = *Some* $(\alpha, 0)$

unfolding *Cons* **by** *simp*

moreover **from** *Pfun*(4) **have** $p' \in \text{poss } (\text{labeled-source } (As!i))$

unfolding *Cons* **using** i **by** *simp*

ultimately **have** $(\alpha, p') \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } (As!i))$

using *Pfun*($1,3$) **using** *nth-mem* **by** *blast*

then **show** *?thesis*

unfolding *Cons* *redex-patterns.simps* **using** i **by** (*metis* *redex-patterns.simps*(2) *redex-patterns-elem-fun'*)

qed *simp*

next

case (*Prule* $\beta \ As$)

from *Prule*(3) **obtain** $f \ ts$ **where** *lhs:lhs* $\beta = \text{Fun } f \ ts$

by (*metis* *Inl-inject* *Term.term.simps*(4) *case-prodD* *is-Prule.simps*(1) *is-Prule.simps*(3) *no-var-lhs* *term.collapse*(2) *term.sel*(2) *wf-pterm.simps*)

```

then show ?case proof(cases p)
  case Nil
  from Prule(2,3,4) show ?thesis
  unfolding Nil labeled-source.simps lhs label-term.simps eval-term.simps subt-at.simps
  get-label.simps by simp
next
  case (Cons i' p')
  from Prule(3) have l:length As = length (var-poss-list (lhs β))
    by (metis Inl-inject is-Prule.simps(1) is-Prule.simps(3) length-var-poss-list
length-var-rule term.distinct(1) term.inject(2) wf-pterm.simps)
    from Prule obtain i p2 where p:p = var-poss-list (lhs β)!i @ p2 and i:i <
length As and p2:p2 ∈ poss (labeled-source (As!i))
    by (smt (verit) labeled-source-to-term left-lin-no-var-lhs.get-label-Prule left-lin-no-var-lhs-axioms
list.distinct(1) local.Cons poss-term-lab-to-term)
    let ?x=vars-term-list (lhs β) ! i
    let ?p1=var-poss-list (lhs β) ! i
    have p1:?p1 ∈ poss (labeled-lhs β)
    by (metis i l label-term-to-term nth-mem poss-term-lab-to-term var-poss-imp-poss
var-poss-list-sound)
    have labeled-lhs β |- ?p1 = Var ?x
    using i l by (metis length-var-poss-list var-poss-list-labeled-lhs vars-term-list-labeled-lhs
vars-term-list-var-poss-list)
    then have labeled-source (Prule β As) |- ?p1 = labeled-source (As!i)
    unfolding labeled-source.simps subt-at-subst[OF p1]
    by (smt (verit) Inl-inject Prule.prem(2) apply-lhs-subst-var-rule comp-eq-dest-lhs
eval-term.simps(1) i is-Prule.simps(1) is-Prule.simps(3)
l length-map length-remdups-eq length-rev length-var-poss-list map-nth-conv
rev-rev-ident term.distinct(1) term.inject(2) wf-pterm.simps)
    with Prule(2,4) have get-label (labeled-source (As!i)|-p2) = Some (α, 0)
    unfolding p labeled-source.simps by auto
    then have (α, p2) ∈ set (redex-patterns (As!i))
    using Prule(1)[of As!i p2] p2 Prule(3) i by (meson fun-well-arg nth-mem)
    then show ?thesis unfolding p redex-patterns.simps using i
    by (metis l redex-patterns.simps(3) redex-patterns-elem-rule')
qed
qed simp

```

```

lemma redex-pattern-proof-term-equality:
  assumes A ∈ wf-pterm R B ∈ wf-pterm R
    and set (redex-patterns A) = set (redex-patterns B)
    and source A = source B
  shows A = B
  using assms proof(induct A arbitrary:B)
  case (1 x)
  then show ?case
    using redex-poss-empty-imp-empty-step source-empty-step by force
next
  case (2 As f)
  then show ?case proof(cases B)

```

```

case (Pfun g Bs)
from 2(4) have f:f = g
  unfolding Pfun by fastforce
from 2(4) have len:length As = length Bs
  unfolding Pfun f by (metis length-map source.simps(2) term.inject(2))
  {fix i assume i:i < length As
    have set (redex-patterns (As!i)) = set (redex-patterns (Bs!i)) proof(rule
ccontr)
    assume set (redex-patterns (As!i)) ≠ set (redex-patterns (Bs!i))
    then consider ∃ r. r ∈ set (redex-patterns (As!i)) ∧ r ∉ set (redex-patterns
(Bs!i)) |
      ∃ r. r ∈ set (redex-patterns (Bs!i)) ∧ r ∉ set (redex-patterns
(As!i))
    by blast
    then show False proof(cases)
      case 1
      then obtain p α where (α, p) ∈ set (redex-patterns (As!i)) and B:(α, p)
∉ set (redex-patterns (Bs!i))
      by force
      then have (α, i#p) ∈ set (redex-patterns (Pfun f As))
      by (meson i redex-patterns-elem-fun')
      moreover from B have (α, i#p) ∉ set (redex-patterns (Pfun f Bs))
      by (metis list.inject redex-patterns-elem-fun)
      ultimately show ?thesis
      using 2.prem(2) Pfun f by blast
    next
      case 2
      then obtain p α where (α, p) ∈ set (redex-patterns (Bs!i)) and A:(α,
p) ∉ set (redex-patterns (As!i))
      by force
      then have (α, i#p) ∈ set (redex-patterns (Pfun f Bs))
      by (metis i len redex-patterns-elem-fun')
      moreover from A have (α, i#p) ∉ set (redex-patterns (Pfun f As))
      by (metis list.inject redex-patterns-elem-fun)
      ultimately show ?thesis
      using 2.prem(2) Pfun f by blast
    qed
  }
qed
moreover have (Bs!i) ∈ wf-pterm R
  using 2(2) Pfun i len by auto
ultimately have As!i = Bs!i
  using 2(1,4) by (metis Pfun i len nth-map nth-mem source.simps(2)
term.inject(2))
}
then show ?thesis
  unfolding Pfun f using len using nth-equalityI by blast
next
case (Prule α Bs)
with 2(3) show ?thesis

```

```

    by (metis list.distinct(1) list.set-intros(1) redex-patterns.simps(3) redex-patterns-elim-fun)

qed simp
next
case (3 α As)
then show ?case proof(cases B)
  case (Pfun g Bs)
  with 3(5) show ?thesis
  by (metis list.distinct(1) list.set-intros(1) redex-patterns.simps(3) redex-patterns-elim-fun)
next
case (Prule β Bs)
from 3(5) have α:α = β
  unfolding Prule using distinct-snd-rdp
  by (metis 3.premis(1) Pair-inject Prule left-lin-no-var-lhs.redex-patterns-label
    left-lin-no-var-lhs-axioms list.set-intros(1) option.inject redex-patterns.simps(3))
from 3 have len:length As = length Bs
  using Prule α by (metis length-args-well-Prule wf-pterm.intros(3))
have len2:length (var-poss-list (lhs β)) = length Bs
  by (metis 3.hyps(1) 3.hyps(2) α len length-var-poss-list length-var-rule)
{fix i assume i:i < length As
  obtain pi where pi:var-poss-list (lhs β) ! i = pi
  by auto
  have set (redex-patterns (As!i)) = set (redex-patterns (Bs!i)) proof(rule
ccontr)
  assume set (redex-patterns (As!i)) ≠ set (redex-patterns (Bs!i))
  then consider ∃ r. r ∈ set (redex-patterns (As!i)) ∧ r ∉ set (redex-patterns
(Bs!i)) |
    ∃ r. r ∈ set (redex-patterns (Bs!i)) ∧ r ∉ set (redex-patterns
(As!i))
  by blast
  then show False proof(cases)
  case 1
  then obtain p β where (β, p) ∈ set (redex-patterns (As!i)) and B:(β, p)
∉ set (redex-patterns (Bs!i))
  by force
  then show False
  using 3(4,5) by (metis Prule α i len len2 redex-patterns-elim-rule'
redex-patterns-rule'')
  next
  case 2
  then obtain p β where (β, p) ∈ set (redex-patterns (Bs!i)) and A:(β,
p) ∉ set (redex-patterns (As!i))
  by force
  then show False
  using 3 by (metis Prule α i len len2 redex-patterns-elim-rule' re-
dex-patterns-rule'' wf-pterm.intros(3))
  qed
  qed
  moreover have (Bs!i) ∈ wf-pterm R

```



```

    using 3.prem(1) Prule i len by auto
  moreover have co-initial (As!i) (Bs!i)
    using 3 by (metis Prule  $\alpha$  co-init-prule i wf-pterm.intros(3))
  ultimately have As!i = Bs!i
    using 3(3) by (simp add: i)
}
then show ?thesis
  unfolding Prule  $\alpha$  using len using nth-equalityI by blast
qed simp
qed

end

abbreviation single-steps :: ('f, 'v) pterm  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v) pterm list
  where single-steps A  $\equiv$  map ( $\lambda$  ( $\alpha$ , p). ll-single-redex (source A) p  $\alpha$ ) (redex-patterns A)

context left-lin-wf-trs
begin

lemma ll-no-var-lhs: left-lin-no-var-lhs R
  by (simp add: left-lin-axioms left-lin-no-var-lhs-def no-var-lhs-axioms)

lemma single-step-redex-patterns:
  assumes A  $\in$  wf-pterm R  $\Delta$   $\in$  set (single-steps A)
  shows  $\exists$  p  $\alpha$ .  $\Delta$  = ll-single-redex (source A) p  $\alpha$   $\wedge$  ( $\alpha$ , p)  $\in$  set (redex-patterns A)  $\wedge$  redex-patterns  $\Delta$  = [( $\alpha$ , p)]
proof -
  from assms obtain p  $\alpha$  where  $\Delta$ : $\Delta$  = ll-single-redex (source A) p  $\alpha$  and rdp:( $\alpha$ , p)  $\in$  set (redex-patterns A)
  by auto
  moreover have to-rule  $\alpha$   $\in$  R
  using rdp assms(1) labeled-wf-pterm-rule-in-TRS left-lin-no-var-lhs.redex-patterns-label ll-no-var-lhs by fastforce
  moreover have p  $\in$  poss (source A)
  using assms rdp left-lin-no-var-lhs.redex-patterns-label ll-no-var-lhs by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis
  using  $\Delta$  left-lin-no-var-lhs.redex-patterns-single[OF ll-no-var-lhs] by blast
qed

lemma single-step-wf:
  assumes A  $\in$  wf-pterm R and  $\Delta$   $\in$  set (single-steps A)
  shows  $\Delta$   $\in$  wf-pterm R
proof -
  from assms obtain p  $\alpha$  where p:p  $\in$  poss (source A)  $\Delta$  = ll-single-redex (source A) p  $\alpha$  and get-label ((labeled-source A)|-p) = Some ( $\alpha$ , 0)
  using left-lin-no-var-lhs.redex-patterns-label left-lin-no-var-lhs.redex-patterns-subset-possL possL-subset-poss-source ll-no-var-lhs by fastforce

```

```

then have to-rule  $\alpha \in R$ 
  using assms(1) labeled-wf-pterm-rule-in-TRS by fastforce
with p show ?thesis using single-redex-wf-pterm
  using left-lin left-linear-trs-def by fastforce
qed

lemma source-single-step:
  assumes  $\Delta: \Delta \in \text{set } (\text{single-steps } A)$  and  $\text{wf}: A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
  shows source  $\Delta = \text{source } A$ 
proof –
  let ?s=source A
  from  $\Delta$  obtain  $p \alpha$  where  $\text{pa}: \Delta = \text{ll-single-redex } ?s \ p \ \alpha \ (\alpha, p) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } A)$ 
  by auto
  from  $\text{pa}$  have  $\text{lab-p: get-label } (\text{labeled-source } A \ | - p) = \text{Some } (\alpha, 0)$  and  $p: p \in \text{poss } ?s$ 
  using left-lin-no-var-lhs.redex-patterns-label ll-no-var-lhs wf by blast+
  from  $\text{lab-p } p$  obtain  $p'$  where  $p': p' \in \text{poss } A$  and  $\text{ctxt: ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ (\text{source } A) = \text{source-ctxt } (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p' \ A)$ 
  and  $\text{Ap': } A \ | - p' = \text{Prule } \alpha \ (\text{map } (\lambda i. A \ | - (p' \ @ \ [i])) \ [0..<\text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)])$ 
  using poss-labeled-source wf by force
  have  $l: \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha) = \text{length } (\text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \alpha))$ 
  using wf by (metis Ap' Inl-inject Term.term.simps(4) is-Prule.simps(1) is-Prule.simps(3) length-var-poss-list length-var-rule p' subt-at-is-wf-pterm term.inject(2) wf-pterm.simps)
  {fix  $i$  assume  $i: i < \text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)$ 
  let  $?pi = \text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \alpha) ! i$ 
  obtain  $x$  where  $x: \text{lhs } \alpha \ | - ?pi = \text{Var } x \ \text{var-rule } \alpha ! i = x$ 
  by (metis comp-apply i l length-remdups-eq length-rev length-var-poss-list rev-rev-ident vars-term-list-var-poss-list)
  have  $?s \ | - p = \text{lhs } \alpha \ \cdot \ \langle \text{map source } (\text{map } (\lambda i. A \ | - (p' \ @ \ [i])) \ [0..<\text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)]) \rangle \rangle_\alpha$ 
  using  $\text{Ap' ctxt}$  by (metis ctxt-of-pos-term-well ctxt-supt-id local.wf p p' replace-at-subt-at source.simps(3) source-ctxt-apply-term)
  moreover have  $\text{lhs } \alpha \ \cdot \ \langle \text{map source } (\text{map } (\lambda i. A \ | - (p' \ @ \ [i])) \ [0..<\text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)]) \rangle \rangle_\alpha \ | - ?pi = \text{map source } (\text{map } (\lambda i. A \ | - (p' \ @ \ [i])) \ [0..<\text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)]) ! i$ 
  using  $x$  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) diff-zero eval-term.simps(1) i l length-upt lhs-subst-var-i map-eq-imp-length-eq map-nth nth-mem subt-at-subst var-poss-imp-poss var-poss-list-sound)
  ultimately have  $?s \ | - (p \ @ \ ?pi) = \text{source } (A \ | - (p' \ @ \ [i]))$  using  $i \ p$  by auto
  then have  $\text{map source } (\text{map } (\lambda i. A \ | - (p' \ @ \ [i])) \ [0..<\text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)]) ! i = \text{map } (\lambda pi. \text{source } A \ | - (p \ @ \ pi)) \ (\text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) ! i$ 
  using  $l \ i$  by auto
  }
  with  $l$  have  $\text{map source } (\text{map } (\lambda i. A \ | - (p' \ @ \ [i])) \ [0..<\text{length } (\text{var-rule } \alpha)]) = \text{map } (\lambda pi. \text{source } A \ | - (p \ @ \ pi)) \ (\text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \alpha))$ 
  by (simp add: map-equality-iff)
  then have  $\text{source } (A \ | - p') = \text{lhs } \alpha \ \cdot \ \langle \text{map } (\lambda pi. ?s \ | - (p \ @ \ pi)) \ (\text{var-poss-list } (\text{lhs } \alpha)) \rangle \rangle_\alpha$ 

```

unfolding Ap' *source.simps* **by** *simp*
with *ctxt* **show** *?thesis unfolding pa(1) source-single-redex[OF p]* **using** p'
by (*metis ctxt-of-pos-term-well ctxt-supt-id wf source-ctxt-apply-term*)
qed

lemma *single-redex-single-step*:

assumes $\Delta:\Delta = ll\text{-single-redex } s \ p \ \alpha$
and $p:p \in poss \ s$ **and** $\alpha:to\text{-rule } \alpha \in R$
and $src:source \ \Delta = s$
shows *single-steps* $\Delta = [\Delta]$
using *src unfolding* Δ *left-lin-no-var-lhs.redex-patterns-single[OF ll-no-var-lhs p \alpha]* **by** *simp*

lemma *single-step-label-imp-label*:

assumes $\Delta:\Delta \in set \ (single\text{-steps } A)$ **and** $q:q \in poss \ (labeled\text{-source } \Delta)$ **and** $wf:A \in wf\text{-pterm } R$

and $lab:get\text{-label } (labeled\text{-source } \Delta|-q) = Some \ l$

shows $get\text{-label } (labeled\text{-source } A|-q) = Some \ l$

proof –

let $?s=source \ A$

from Δ **obtain** $p \ \alpha$ **where** $pa:\Delta = ll\text{-single-redex } ?s \ p \ \alpha$ $(\alpha, p) \in set \ (redex\text{-patterns } A)$

by *auto*

from pa **have** $lab:p:get\text{-label } (labeled\text{-source } A|-p) = Some \ (\alpha, 0)$ **and** $p:p \in poss \ (source \ A)$

using *left-lin-no-var-lhs.redex-patterns-label ll-no-var-lhs wf* **by** *blast+*

from $pa \ lab$ **obtain** q' **where** $l:l = (\alpha, size \ q')$ **and** $q':q = p@q'$ $q' \in fun\text{-poss} \ (lhs \ \alpha)$

using *single-redex-label[OF pa(1) p] q pa(2) wf*

by (*metis labeled-source-to-term labeled-wf-pterm-rule-in-TRS left-lin-no-var-lhs.redex-patterns-label ll-no-var-lhs poss-term-lab-to-term prod.collapse*)

from $lab-p \ p$ **obtain** p' **where** $p' \in poss \ A$ **and** *ctxt-of-pos-term* $p \ (source \ A) = source\text{-ctxt} \ (ctxt\text{-of-pos-term } p' \ A)$ **and** $A|-p' = Prule \ \alpha \ (map \ (\lambda i. A|- (p' @ [i])) [0..<length \ (var\text{-rule } \alpha)])$

using *poss-labeled-source wf* **by** *force*

then **have** $labeled\text{-source } A = (ctxt\text{-of-pos-term } p \ (labeled\text{-source } A)) \langle labeled\text{-source} \ (Prule \ \alpha \ (map \ (\lambda i. A|- (p' @ [i])) [0..<length \ (var\text{-rule } \alpha)])) \rangle$

using *label-source-ctxt p wf* **by** (*metis ctxt-supt-id*)

then **have** $labeled\text{-source } A|-q = labeled\text{-lhs } \alpha \cdot \langle map \ labeled\text{-source} \ (map \ (\lambda i. A|- (p' @ [i])) [0..<length \ (var\text{-rule } \alpha)]) \rangle_{\alpha} \ |-q'$

unfolding q' *labeled-source.simps* **by** (*metis labeled-source.simps(3) labeled-source-to-term p poss-term-lab-to-term subt-at-append subt-at-ctxt-of-pos-term*)

then **have** $get\text{-label } (labeled\text{-source } A|-q) = Some \ (\alpha, size \ q')$

using $q'(2)$ **by** (*simp add: label-term-increase*)

with l **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *single-steps-measure*:

assumes $\Delta 1 : \Delta 1 \in set \ (single\text{-steps } A)$ **and** $\Delta 2 : \Delta 2 \in set \ (single\text{-steps } A)$

and $wf:A \in wf\text{-pterm } R$ **and** $neq:\Delta 1 \neq \Delta 2$
shows $measure\text{-ov } \Delta 1 \Delta 2 = 0$
proof –
let $?s=source\ A$
from $\Delta 1$ **obtain** $p\ \alpha$ **where** $pa:\Delta 1 = ll\text{-single-redex } ?s\ p\ \alpha$ $(\alpha, p) \in set$
(redex-patterns A)
by *auto*
from $\Delta 2$ **obtain** $q\ \beta$ **where** $qb:\Delta 2 = ll\text{-single-redex } ?s\ q\ \beta$ $(\beta, q) \in set$
(redex-patterns A)
by *auto*
from neq **have** $pq:p \neq q \vee \alpha \neq \beta$
using $pa(1)\ qb(1)$ **by** *force*
{assume $measure\text{-ov } \Delta 1 \Delta 2 \neq 0$
then obtain r **where** $r1:r \in possL\ \Delta 1$ **and** $r2:r \in possL\ \Delta 2$
by *(metis card.empty disjoint-iff)*
from $r1$ **obtain** p' **where** $p':r = p@p'$ **and** $l1:get\text{-label } (labeled\text{-source } \Delta 1\ |-r)$
 $= Some\ (\alpha, size\ p')$
using $single\text{-redex-label}[OF\ pa(1)]\ wf$
by *(smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) labeled-source-to-term labeled-wf-pterm-rule-in-TRS*
left-lin-no-var-lhs.redex-patterns-label ll-no-var-lhs pa(2) possL-obtain-label possL-subset-poss-source
poss-term-lab-to-term subsetD)
from $r2$ **obtain** q' **where** $q':r = q@q'$ **and** $l2:get\text{-label } (labeled\text{-source } \Delta 2\ |-r)$
 $= Some\ (\beta, size\ q')$
using $single\text{-redex-label}[OF\ qb(1)]\ wf$
by *(smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) labeled-source-to-term labeled-wf-pterm-rule-in-TRS*
left-lin-no-var-lhs.redex-patterns-label ll-no-var-lhs qb(2) possL-obtain-label possL-subset-poss-source
poss-term-lab-to-term subsetD)
from $l1$ **have** $get\text{-label } (labeled\text{-source } A\ |-r) = Some\ (\alpha, size\ p')$
using $\Delta 1\ labelposs\text{-subs-poss } wf\ r1\ single\text{-step-label-imp-label}$ **by** *blast*
moreover from $l2$ **have** $get\text{-label } (labeled\text{-source } A\ |-r) = Some\ (\beta, size\ q')$
using $\Delta 2\ labelposs\text{-subs-poss } wf\ r2\ single\text{-step-label-imp-label}$ **by** *blast*
moreover from pq **have** $p' \neq q' \vee \alpha \neq \beta$
using $p'\ q'$ **by** *blast*
ultimately have *False* **using** $p'\ q'$ **by** *auto*
}
then show $?thesis$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *single-steps-orth:*

assumes $\Delta 1:\Delta 1 \in set\ (single\text{-steps } A)$ **and** $\Delta 2:\Delta 2 \in set\ (single\text{-steps } A)$ **and**
 $wf:A \in wf\text{-pterm } R$
shows $\Delta 1 \perp_p \Delta 2$
using $single\text{-steps-measure}[OF\ \Delta 1\ \Delta 2\ wf]$ *equal-imp-orthogonal*
by *(metis \Delta 1 \Delta 2 ll-no-var-lhs local.wf measure-zero-imp-orthogonal single-step-wf*
source-single-step)

lemma *redex-patterns-below:*

assumes $wf:A \in wf\text{-pterm } R$
and $(\alpha, p) \in set\ (redex\text{-patterns } A)$

```

and ( $\beta, p@q$ )  $\in$  set (redex-patterns A) and  $q \neq []$ 
shows  $q \notin \text{fun-poss (lhs } \alpha)$ 
proof –
  let  $?\Delta 1 = \text{ll-single-redex (source A) } p \ \alpha$ 
  let  $?\Delta 2 = \text{ll-single-redex (source A) (} p@q) \ \beta$ 
  from assms have  $\Delta 1 : ?\Delta 1 \in \text{set (single-steps A)}$ 
    by force
  from assms have  $\Delta 2 : ?\Delta 2 \in \text{set (single-steps A)}$ 
    by force
  from assms(1,2) have  $\text{possL1} : \text{possL } ?\Delta 1 = \{p@p' \mid p'. p' \in \text{fun-poss (lhs } \alpha)\}$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) left-lin-no-var-lhs.redex-pattern-rule-symbol left-lin-no-var-lhs.redex-patterns-label ll-no-var-lhs single-redex-possL)
  from assms(1,3) have  $\text{possL2} : \text{possL } ?\Delta 2 = \{(p@q)@p' \mid p'. p' \in \text{fun-poss (lhs } \beta)\}$ 
  using left-lin.single-redex-possL left-lin-axioms left-lin-no-var-lhs.redex-pattern-rule-symbol left-lin-no-var-lhs.redex-patterns-label ll-no-var-lhs by blast
  from assms have  $\text{neg} : ?\Delta 1 \neq ?\Delta 2$ 
    by (metis Pair-inject left-lin-no-var-lhs.redex-patterns-label ll-no-var-lhs self-append-conv single-redex-neg)
  from single-steps-measure[OF  $\Delta 1 \ \Delta 2$  wf neg] have  $\text{possL } ?\Delta 1 \cap \text{possL } ?\Delta 2 = \{\}$ 
    by (simp add: finite-possL)
  moreover have  $[] \in \text{fun-poss (lhs } \beta)$  proof –
    have to-rule  $\beta \in R$ 
    using assms(1) assms(3) left-lin-no-var-lhs.redex-pattern-rule-symbol ll-no-var-lhs
by blast
  then show ?thesis
    using wf-trs-alt wf-trs-imp-lhs-Fun by fastforce
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
    unfolding possL1 possL2 by auto
qed

```

lemma *single-steps-singleton*:

```

assumes A-wf:  $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$  and  $\Delta : \text{single-steps } A = [\Delta]$ 
shows  $A = \Delta$ 
proof –
  obtain  $p \ \alpha$  where  $\text{rdp-}\Delta : \Delta = \text{ll-single-redex (source A) } p \ \alpha$   $(\alpha, p) \in \text{set (redex-patterns A)}$ 
    redex-patterns  $\Delta = [(\alpha, p)]$ 
    using single-step-redex-patterns[OF A-wf]  $\Delta$  by auto
  then have  $\text{rdp-A} : \text{redex-patterns } A = [(\alpha, p)]$ 
    by (smt (verit)  $\Delta$  in-set-simps(2) list.map-disc-iff map-eq-Cons-D)
  then show ?thesis
    using left-lin-no-var-lhs.redex-pattern-proof-term-equality[OF ll-no-var-lhs A-wf]
    by (metis A-wf  $\Delta$  list.set-intros(1) rdp- $\Delta$ (3) single-step-wf source-single-step)
qed
end

```

context *left-lin-no-var-lhs*

```

begin
lemma measure-ov-imp-single-step-ov:
  assumes measure-ov  $A \ B \neq 0$  and  $wf:A \in wf\text{-pterm } R$ 
  shows  $\exists \Delta \in set (single\text{-steps } A). \text{measure-ov } \Delta \ B \neq 0$ 
proof -
  from assms obtain  $r$  where  $r1:r \in possL \ A$  and  $r2:r \in possL \ B$ 
  by (metis card.empty disjoint-iff)
  then obtain  $\alpha \ n$  where  $lab:get\text{-label} (labeled\text{-source } A \ |- \ r) = Some (\alpha, n)$ 
  using possL-obtain-label by blast
  with  $wf \ r1$  obtain  $r1 \ r2$  where  $r:r = r1@r2$  and  $lab-r1:get\text{-label} (labeled\text{-source } A \ |- \ r1) = Some (\alpha, 0)$  and  $n:length \ r2 = n$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) append-take-drop-id diff-diff-cancel label-term-max-value labelposs-subs-poss length-drop obtain-label-root subsetD)
  from  $r \ r1$  have  $r1\text{-pos}:r1 \in poss (labeled\text{-source } A)$ 
  using labelposs-subs-poss poss-append-poss by blast
  then obtain  $q$  where  $q:q \in poss \ A$  and  $ctxt:ctxt\text{-of-pos-term } r1 (source \ A) = source\text{-ctxt} (ctxt\text{-of-pos-term } q \ A)$ 
  and  $Aq:A \ |- \ q = Prule \ \alpha (map (\lambda i. A \ |- \ (q \ @ \ [i])) [0..<length (var\text{-rule } \alpha)])$ 
  using poss-labeled-source wf lab-r1 by blast
  with  $r \ r1$  have  $r2\text{-pos}:r2 \in poss (source (Prule \ \alpha (map (\lambda i. A \ |- \ (q \ @ \ [i])) [0..<length (var\text{-rule } \alpha)])))$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) ctxt-supt-id fun-poss-imp-poss label-source-ctxt labeled-source-to-term labelposs-subs-fun-poss-source local.wf poss-term-lab-to-term r1-pos replace-at-subt-at subterm-poss-conv)
  from  $Aq \ q \ wf$  have  $Prule \ \alpha (map (\lambda i. A \ |- \ (q \ @ \ [i])) [0..<length (var\text{-rule } \alpha)]) \in wf\text{-pterm } R$ 
  using subt-at-is-wf-pterm by auto
  moreover then have is-Fun ( $lhs \ \alpha$ ) using no-var-lhs
  using wf-pterm.cases by fastforce
  moreover from  $lab \ ctxt$  have  $get\text{-label} (labeled\text{-source} (Prule \ \alpha (map (\lambda i. A \ |- \ (q \ @ \ [i])) [0..<length (var\text{-rule } \alpha)])) \ |- \ r2) = Some (\alpha, n)$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Aq ctxt-supt-id label-source-ctxt labeled-source-to-term local.wf poss-term-lab-to-term q r r1-pos replace-at-subt-at subt-at-append)
  ultimately have  $r2\text{-funposs}:r2 \in fun\text{-poss} (lhs \ \alpha)$ 
  using labeled-poss-in-lhs[OF r2-pos] n by blast
  let  $?\Delta=ll\text{-single-redex} (source \ A) \ r1 \ \alpha$ 
  from  $lab-r1 \ r1\text{-pos}$  have  $rdp:(\alpha, r1) \in set (redex\text{-patterns } A)$ 
  using redex-patterns-label wf by auto
  then have  $\Delta:?\Delta \in set (single\text{-steps } A)$  by force
  from  $r2$  have measure-ov  $?\Delta \ B \neq 0$ 
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) rdp labeled-sources-imp-measure-not-zero labeled-wf-pterm-rule-in-TRS labelposs-subs-poss wf mem-Collect-eq option.simps(3) possL-obtain-label r r1-pos r2-funposs redex-patterns-label rel-simps(70) single-redex-possL subsetD)
  with  $\Delta$  show ?thesis by blast
qed
end

context left-lin-no-var-lhs

```

begin
lemma *label-single-step*:
assumes $p \in \text{poss}(\text{labeled-source } A) \ A \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
and $\text{get-label}(\text{labeled-source } A \mid - p) = \text{Some}(\alpha, n)$
shows $\exists Ai. Ai \in \text{set}(\text{single-steps } A) \wedge \text{get-label}(\text{labeled-source } Ai \mid - p) = \text{Some}(\alpha, n)$
proof –
let $?p1 = \text{take}(\text{length } p - n) \ p$
let $?p2 = \text{drop}(\text{length } p - n) \ p$
let $?xs = \text{map}(\text{to-pterm} \circ (\lambda pi. (\text{source } A) \mid - (p @ pi))) (\text{var-poss-list}(\text{lhs } \alpha))$
from $\text{assms}(1)$ **have** $p1\text{-pos}: ?p1 \in \text{poss}(\text{labeled-source } A)$
by *(metis append-take-drop-id poss-append-poss)*
have $\text{lab}:\text{get-label}(\text{labeled-source } A \mid - ?p1) = \text{Some}(\alpha, 0)$
using $\text{obtain-label-root}[OF \ \text{assms}(1) \ \text{assms}(3) \ \text{assms}(2)]$ **by** *simp*
with assms **have** $\text{rdp}:(\alpha, ?p1) \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } A)$
using $\text{redex-patterns-label}[OF \ \text{assms}(2)]$ **by** *(metis labeled-source-to-term obtain-label-root poss-term-lab-to-term)*
then **have** $\text{ll-single-redex}(\text{source } A) \ ?p1 \ \alpha \in \text{set}(\text{single-steps } A)$ **by** *force*
then **obtain** Ai **where** $Ai:Ai \in \text{set}(\text{single-steps } A) \ Ai = \text{ll-single-redex}(\text{source } A) \ ?p1 \ \alpha$
by *presburger*
from rdp **obtain** $p' \ As$ **where** $p':A \mid -p' = \text{Prule } \alpha \ As \ p' \in \text{poss } A \ \text{ctxt-of-pos-term } ?p1(\text{source } A) = \text{source-ctxt}(\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p' \ A)$
using $\text{poss-labeled-source}[OF \ p1\text{-pos}] \ \text{lab} \ \text{assms}(2)$ **by** *blast*
from $p' \ \text{assms}(2)$ **have** $A \mid -p' \in \text{wf-pterm } R$
using *subt-at-is-wf-pterm* **by** *blast*
moreover **from** $p' \ \text{assms}$ **have** $\text{get-label}(\text{labeled-source } (A \mid -p') \mid - ?p2) = \text{Some}(\alpha, n)$
by *(smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) append-take-drop-id ctxt-supt-id label-source-ctxt p1-pos rdp redex-patterns-label replace-at-subt-at subterm-poss-conv)*
ultimately **have** $p2\text{-pos}: ?p2 \in \text{fun-poss}(\text{lhs } \alpha)$
using *labeled-poss-in-lhs no-var-lhs assms p'*
by *(smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) append-take-drop-id case-prod-conv ctxt-of-pos-term-well ctxt-supt-id diff-diff-cancel label-term-max-value labeled-source-to-term labeled-wf-pterm-rule-in-TRS length-drop poss-append-poss poss-term-lab-to-term replace-at-subt-at source-ctxt-apply-term)*
then **have** $l:\text{get-label}(\text{labeled-source } (\text{Prule } \alpha \ ?xs) \mid - ?p2) = \text{Some}(\alpha, n)$
using *label-term-increase assms* **by** *(metis (no-types, lifting) add-0 diff-diff-cancel label-term-max-value labeled-source.simps(3) length-drop)*
from $p1\text{-pos}$ **have** $?p1 \in \text{poss}(\text{source } A)$ **by** *simp*
then **have** $\text{get-label}(\text{labeled-source } Ai \mid - p) = \text{Some}(\alpha, n)$
unfolding $Ai(2)$ **by** *(metis p2-pos append-take-drop-id l label-ctxt-apply-term label-term-increase labeled-source.simps(3) ll-single-redex-def)*
with Ai **show** *?thesis*
by *blast*
qed

lemma *proof-term-matches*:
assumes $A \in \text{wf-pterm } R \ B \in \text{wf-pterm } R \ \text{linear-term } A$

```

    and  $\bigwedge \alpha r. (\alpha, r) \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } A) = ((\alpha, r) \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } B) \wedge r \in \text{fun-poss}(\text{source } A))$ 
    and  $\text{source } A \cdot \sigma = \text{source } B$ 
    shows  $A \cdot (\text{mk-subst } \text{Var}(\text{match-substs } A B)) = B$ 
  proof -
    {fix p g ts assume p  $\in$  poss A A|-p = Fun g ts
     with assms have  $\exists Bs. \text{length } ts = \text{length } Bs \wedge B|-p = \text{Fun } g Bs$ 
     using assms proof(induct A arbitrary: B p rule:pterm-induct)
     case (Pfun f As)
     then have  $\bigwedge \alpha. (\alpha, []) \notin \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } (\text{Pfun } f As))$ 
       by (meson list.distinct(1) redex-patterns-elem-fun)
     with Pfun(5) have  $\neg(\text{is-Prule } B)$ 
       by (metis empty-pos-in-poss is-FunI is-Prule.elims(2) list.set-intros(1)
           poss-is-Fun-fun-poss redex-patterns.simps(3) source.simps(2) subt-at.simps(1))
     with Pfun(6) obtain Bs where B:B = Pfun f Bs and l:length Bs = length
     As
       by (smt (verit, del-insts) eval-term.simps(2) is-Prule.elims(3) length-map
           source.simps(1) source.simps(2) term.distinct(1) term.inject(2))
     then show ?case proof(cases p)
       case Nil
         from Pfun(8) show ?thesis unfolding Nil B using l by simp
       next
         case (Cons i p')
           from Pfun(7) have  $i:i < \text{length } As$  and  $p':p' \in \text{poss}(As!i)$  and  $a:As!i \in$ 
           set As
             unfolding Cons by simp-all
           from Pfun(8) have  $at-p':(As!i)|-p' = \text{Fun } g ts$ 
             unfolding Cons by simp
           from Pfun(2) have  $a-wf:As!i \in wf-pterm R$ 
             using i nth-mem by blast
           from Pfun(3) have  $b-wf:Bs!i \in wf-pterm R$ 
             unfolding B using i l by auto
           from Pfun(4) have  $a-lin:linear-term (As!i)$ 
             using i by simp
           {fix  $\alpha r$  assume  $(\alpha, r) \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } (As!i))$ 
            then have  $(\alpha, i\#r) \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } (\text{Pfun } f As))$ 
              by (meson i redex-patterns-elem-fun')
            with Pfun(5) have  $(\alpha, r) \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } (Bs!i)) \wedge i\#r \in \text{fun-poss}$ 
              (source (Pfun f As))
              unfolding B by (metis list.inject redex-patterns-elem-fun)
            then have  $(\alpha, r) \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } (Bs!i)) \wedge r \in \text{fun-poss}(\text{source}$ 
              (As!i))
              using i by simp
            } moreover {fix  $\alpha r$  assume  $(\alpha, r) \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } (Bs!i))$  and  $r:r \in$ 
              fun-poss(source (As!i))
              then have  $(\alpha, i\#r) \in \text{set}(\text{redex-patterns } B)$ 
                unfolding B using i l by (metis redex-patterns-elem-fun')
              moreover from r have  $i\#r \in \text{fun-poss}(\text{source } (\text{Pfun } f As))$ 
                using i unfolding source.simps fun-poss.simps length-map by simp
            }
     }
  
```



```

    ultimately have  $(\alpha, r) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } (As!i))$ 
      using  $Pfun(5)$   $i$  by  $(metis \text{list.inject redex-patterns-elem-fun})$ 
  }
  ultimately have  $rdp:\wedge\alpha r. ((\alpha, r) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } (As!i))) = ((\alpha,$ 
 $r) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } (Bs!i)) \wedge r \in \text{fun-poss } (\text{source } (As!i)))$ 
    by  $\text{blast}$ 
  from  $Pfun(6)$  have  $\text{sigma:source } (As!i) \cdot \sigma = \text{source } (Bs!i)$ 
    unfolding  $B$   $\text{source.simps eval-term.simps}$  using  $i$   $l$  using  $\text{map-nth-conv}$ 
by  $\text{fastforce}$ 
  from  $Pfun(1)[OF \text{a a-wf b-wf a-lin rdp sigma p' at-p' a-wf b-wf a-lin rdp}$ 
 $\text{sigma}]$ 
    obtain  $ss$  where  $\text{length } ts = \text{length } ss$  and  $Bs!i \mid - p' = \text{Fun } g \text{ } ss$  by  $\text{blast}$ 
    then show  $?thesis$  unfolding  $B$   $\text{Cons}$  using  $i$   $l$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
qed
next
case  $(Prule \alpha As)$ 
from  $Prule(5)$  have  $(\alpha, []) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } B)$ 
  by  $\text{auto}$ 
then obtain  $Bs$  where  $B:B = Prule \alpha Bs$ 
  by  $(\text{smt } (\text{verit, ccfv-threshold}) Prule.prem(2) \text{in-set-idx in-set-simps}(3)$ 
 $\text{redex-patterns-elem-fun less-nat-zero-code list.distinct}(1)$ 
 $\text{nat-neq-iff nth-Cons-0 order-pos.dual-order.refl prod.inject redex-patterns.simps}(1)$ 
 $\text{redex-patterns.simps}(3) \text{redex-patterns-order wf-pterm.simps})$ 
  with  $Prule(2,3)$  have  $l:\text{length } As = \text{length } Bs$ 
    using  $\text{length-args-well-Prule}$  by  $\text{blast}$ 
  show  $?case$  proof  $(\text{cases } p)$ 
    case  $Nil$ 
      from  $Prule(8)$  show  $?thesis$  unfolding  $Nil$   $B$  using  $l$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
    next
      case  $(Cons \ i \ p')$ 
        from  $Prule(7)$  have  $i:i < \text{length } As$  and  $p':p' \in \text{poss } (As!i)$  and  $a:As!i \in$ 
 $\text{set } As$ 
          unfolding  $\text{Cons}$  by  $\text{simp-all}$ 
        from  $Prule(8)$  have  $\text{at-p':}(As!i)\mid - p' = \text{Fun } g \text{ } ts$ 
          unfolding  $\text{Cons}$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
        from  $Prule(2)$  have  $a\text{-wf}:As!i \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
          using  $i$   $\text{nth-mem}$  by  $\text{blast}$ 
        from  $Prule(3)$  have  $b\text{-wf}:Bs!i \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
          unfolding  $B$  using  $i$   $l$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
        from  $Prule(4)$  have  $a\text{-lin}:linear-term (As!i)$ 
          using  $i$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
        let  $?pi=\text{var-poss-list } (lhs \ \alpha) \ ! \ i$ 
        let  $?xi=\text{vars-term-list } (lhs \ \alpha) \ ! \ i$ 
        have  $i':i < \text{length } (\text{var-poss-list } (lhs \ \alpha))$ 
          using  $i$   $Prule(2)$  by  $(metis \text{Inl-inject is-Prule.simps}(1) \text{is-Prule.simps}(3)$ 
 $\text{length-var-poss-list length-var-rule term.distinct}(1) \text{term.inject}(2) \text{wf-pterm.simps})$ 
        have  $\text{eval-lhs':}\wedge\sigma. lhs \ \alpha \cdot \sigma \mid - ?pi = \sigma \ ?xi$ 
          by  $(metis \text{eval-term.simps}(1) \ i' \ \text{length-var-poss-list nth-mem subt-at-subst}$ 

```

```

var-poss-imp-poss var-poss-list-sound vars-term-list-var-poss-list)
  then have eval-lhs:  $\wedge \sigma q. lhs \alpha \cdot \sigma \mid - (?pi@q) = \sigma ?xi \mid - q$ 
  by (smt (verit) i' nth-mem poss-imp-subst-poss subt-at-append var-poss-imp-poss
var-poss-list-sound)
  have  $i < length (map2 (\lambda p1. map (\lambda(\alpha, p2). (\alpha, p1 @ p2))) (var-poss-list
(lhs \alpha)) (map redex-patterns Bs))$ 
  unfolding length-map length-zip using i l i' by simp
  moreover have  $zip (var-poss-list (lhs \alpha)) (map redex-patterns Bs) ! i =$ 
( $?pi, redex-patterns (Bs!i)$ )
  using i i' l by force
  ultimately have  $map-rdp:(map2 (\lambda p1. map (\lambda(\alpha, p2). (\alpha, p1 @ p2)))
(var-poss-list (lhs \alpha)) (map redex-patterns Bs))!i = map (\lambda(\alpha, p2). (\alpha, ?pi @
p2)) (redex-patterns (Bs!i))$ 
  by simp
  have  $l':length (var-rule \alpha) = length (vars-term-list (lhs \alpha))$ 
  using B Prule.premis(2) left-lin.length-var-rule left-lin-axioms wf-pterm.simps
by fastforce
  {fix  $\beta r$  assume  $\beta r:(\beta, r) \in set (redex-patterns (As!i))$ 
  from i' have  $(\beta, ?pi@r) \in set (redex-patterns (Prule \alpha As))$ 
  using redex-patterns-elem-rule'[OF  $\beta r i$ ] by simp
  with Prule(5) have  $1:(\beta, ?pi@r) \in set (redex-patterns B)$  and  $2:?pi@r$ 
 $\in fun-poss (source (Prule \alpha As))$ 
  by presburger+
  from 1 have  $(\beta, r) \in set (redex-patterns (Bs!i))$ 
  using redex-patterns-rule'' by (metis B Prule.premis(2) i l)
  moreover have  $r \in fun-poss (source (As!i))$ 
  by (metis  $\beta r$  a-wf get-label-imp-labelposs labeled-source-to-term label-
poss-subs-fun-poss-source left-lin-no-var-lhs.redex-patterns-label left-lin-no-var-lhs-axioms
option.distinct(1) poss-term-lab-to-term)
  ultimately have  $(\beta, r) \in set (redex-patterns (Bs!i)) \wedge r \in fun-poss (source
(As!i))$ 
  by simp
  } moreover {fix  $\beta r$  assume  $\beta r:(\beta, r) \in set (redex-patterns (Bs!i))$  and
 $r:r \in fun-poss (source (As!i))$ 
  let  $?x=var-rule \alpha ! i$ 
  from l' have  $x:lhs \alpha \mid - ?pi = Var ?x$ 
  using i by (metis comp-apply eval-lhs' length-remdups-eq length-rev
rev-rev-ident subst-apply-term-empty)
  with r have  $r:r \in fun-poss (lhs \alpha \mid - ?pi \cdot \langle map source As \rangle_\alpha)$ 
  using lhs-subst-var-i l' i i' by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) eval-term.simps(1)
length-map length-var-poss-list nth-map)
  from  $\beta r$  have  $(\beta, ?pi@r) \in set (redex-patterns B)$ 
  unfolding B using i l using redex-patterns-elem-rule'[OF  $\beta r i$ [unfolded
l] i'] by simp
  moreover from r x have  $?pi@r \in fun-poss (source (Prule \alpha As))$ 
  using i unfolding source.simps fun-poss.simps
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) eval-lhs eval-lhs' fun-poss-fun-conv fun-poss-imp-poss
i' is-FunI nth-mem
pos-append-poss poss-imp-subst-poss poss-is-Fun-fun-poss subt-at-subst

```

```

var-poss-imp-poss var-poss-list-sound)
  ultimately have  $(\beta, ?pi @ r) \in set (redex-patterns (Prule \alpha As))$ 
    using Prule(5) by presburger
  then have  $(\beta, r) \in set (redex-patterns (As!i))$ 
    using i redex-patterns-rule'' Prule.prem(1) by blast
  }
  ultimately have  $rdp \wedge \alpha r. ((\alpha, r) \in set (redex-patterns (As ! i))) = ((\alpha,$ 
 $r) \in set (redex-patterns (Bs ! i)) \wedge r \in fun-poss (source (As ! i)))$ 
    by blast
  from Prule(6) have  $sigma:source (As!i) \cdot \sigma = source (Bs!i)$ 
    unfolding B source.simps eval-term.simps using i l map-nth-conv
    by (smt (verit, best) B Inl-inject Prule.prem(2) apply-lhs-subst-var-rule
comp-apply eval-lhs' i' is-Prule.simps(1) is-Prule.simps(3) length-map length-remdups-eq
length-rev length-var-rule nth-mem poss-imp-subst-poss rev-swap subt-at-subst term.distinct(1)
term.inject(2) var-poss-imp-poss var-poss-list-sound wf-pterms.simps)
  from Prule(1)[OF a a-wf b-wf a-lin rdp sigma p' at-p' a-wf b-wf a-lin rdp
sigma]
    obtain ss where  $length\ ts = length\ ss$  and  $Bs!i \mid - p' = Fun\ g\ ss$  by blast
  then show ?thesis unfolding B Cons using i l by simp
  qed
qed simp
}
then show ?thesis using fun-poss-eq-imp-matches[OF assms(3)] by simp
qed
end

```

context *left-lin-wf-trs*

begin

lemma *join-single-steps-wf*:

assumes $A \in wf-pterms\ R$

and $As = filter\ f\ (single-steps\ A)$ and $As \neq []$

shows $\exists D. join-list\ As = Some\ D \wedge D \in wf-pterms\ R$

proof -

{fix *a1 a2* assume $a1:a1 \in set (single-steps\ A)$ and $a2:a2 \in set (single-steps\ A)$

with *assms(1,2)* have $a1 \perp_p a2 \vee a1 = a2$

using *single-steps-orth* by *presburger*

moreover from *a1* have $a1 \in wf-pterms\ R$

using *single-step-wf[OF assms(1)] assms(2)* by *presburger*

moreover from *a2* have $a2 \in wf-pterms\ R$

using *single-step-wf[OF assms(1)] assms(2)* by *presburger*

ultimately have $a1 \sqcup a2 \neq None$

using *join-same orth-imp-join-defined no-var-lhs* by *fastforce*

}

then show *?thesis* using *left-lin-no-var-lhs.join-list-defined[OF ll-no-var-lhs]*
assms(2,3) single-step-wf[OF assms(1)] by *simp*

qed

lemma *single-steps-join-list*:

```

assumes join-list  $As = \text{Some } A$  and  $\forall a \in \text{set } As. a \in \text{wf-pterm } R$ 
shows  $\text{set } (\text{single-steps } A) = \bigcup (\text{set } (\text{map } (\text{set } \circ \text{single-steps}) As))$ 
proof –
  have  $\text{rdp.set } (\text{redex-patterns } A) = \bigcup (\text{set } (\text{map } (\text{set } \circ \text{redex-patterns}) As))$ 
    using left-lin-no-var-lhs.redex-patterns-join-list assms ll-no-var-lhs by blast
  {fix  $a$  assume  $a \in \text{set } (\text{single-steps } A)$ 
    then obtain  $\alpha p$  where  $a:a = \text{ll-single-redex } (\text{source } A) p \alpha$  and  $(\alpha, p) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } A)$  by auto
    with rdp obtain  $Ai$  where  $Ai:Ai \in \text{set } As$  and  $(\alpha, p) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } Ai)$  by auto
    then have  $a \in \text{set } (\text{single-steps } Ai)$ 
    unfolding  $a$  using left-lin-no-var-lhs.source-join-list[OF ll-no-var-lhs assms]
by force
    with  $Ai$  have  $a \in \bigcup (\text{set } (\text{map } (\text{set } \circ \text{single-steps}) As))$  by auto
  } moreover
  {fix  $a$  assume  $a \in \bigcup (\text{set } (\text{map } (\text{set } \circ \text{single-steps}) As))$ 
    then obtain  $Ai$  where  $Ai:Ai \in \text{set } As$   $a \in \text{set } (\text{single-steps } Ai)$ 
    by (smt (verit, best) UnionE comp-def in-set-idx length-map map-nth-eq-conv nth-mem)
    then obtain  $\alpha p$  where  $a:a = \text{ll-single-redex } (\text{source } Ai) p \alpha$  and  $(\alpha, p) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } Ai)$  by auto
    with rdp  $Ai$  have  $(\alpha, p) \in \text{set } (\text{redex-patterns } A)$  by auto
    then have  $a \in \text{set } (\text{single-steps } A)$ 
    unfolding  $a$  using left-lin-no-var-lhs.source-join-list[OF ll-no-var-lhs assms]
 $Ai$  by force
  }
  ultimately show ?thesis by fastforce
qed
end

end

```

References

- [1] C. Kirk and A. Middeldorp. Formalizing simultaneous critical pairs for confluence of left-linear rewrite systems. In *Proc. 14th International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs*, pages 156–170, 2025.
- [2] C. Kohl and A. Middeldorp. A formalization of the development closedness criterion for left-linear term rewrite systems. In *Proc. 12th International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs*, pages 197–210, 2023.
- [3] C. Kohl and A. Middeldorp. Formalizing almost development closed critical pairs (short paper). In *Proc. 14th International Joint Conference on Automated Reasoning*, volume 268 of *LIPICs*, pages 38:1–38:8, 2023.

- [4] C. Kohl and A. Middeldorp. Formalizing confluence and commutation criteria using proof terms. In *Proc. 12th International Workshop on Confluence*, pages 49–54, 2023. Available from <http://cl-informatik.uibk.ac.at/iwc/2023/proceedings.pdf>.
- [5] TeReSe, editor. *Term Rewriting Systems*, volume 55 of *Cambridge Tracts in Theoretical Computer Science*. Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [6] V. van Oostrom and R. de Vrijer. Four equivalent equivalences of reductions. In *Proc. 2nd International Workshop on Reduction Strategies in Rewriting and Programming*, volume 70(6) of *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science*, pages 21–61, 2002.