

Promela Formalization

By René Neumann

March 17, 2025

Abstract

We present an executable formalization of the language Promela, the description language for models of the model checker SPIN. This formalization is part of the work for a completely verified model checker (CAVA), but also serves as a useful (and executable!) description of the semantics of the language itself, something that is currently missing. The formalization uses three steps: It takes an abstract syntax tree generated from an SML parser, removes syntactic sugar and enriches it with type information. This further gets translated into a transition system, on which the semantic engine (read: successor function) operates.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Abstract Syntax Tree	4
3	Data structures as used in Promela	7
3.1	Abstract Syntax Tree <i>after</i> preprocessing	8
3.2	Preprocess the AST of the parser into our variant	10
3.3	The transition system	24
3.4	State	24
3.5	Printing	26
4	Invariants for Promela data structures	29
4.1	Bounds	29
4.2	Variables and similar	29
4.3	Invariants of a process	32
4.4	Invariants of the global state	33
4.5	Invariants of the program	34
5	Formalization of Promela semantics	35
5.1	Misc Helpers	36
5.2	Variable handling	37
5.3	Expressions	40
5.4	Variable declaration	43
5.5	Folding	48
5.6	Starting processes	49
5.7	AST to edges	52
5.7.1	Setup	56
5.8	Semantic Engine	59
5.8.1	Evaluation of Edges	59
5.8.2	Executable edges	62
5.8.3	Successor calculation	65
5.8.4	Handle non-termination	70
5.9	Finiteness of the state space	71
5.10	Traces	71
5.10.1	Printing of traces	72
5.11	Code export	73
6	LTL integration	73
6.1	LTL optimization	73
6.2	Language of a Promela program	76
6.3	Proposition types and conversion	77

1 Introduction

Promela [1] is a modeling language, mainly used in the model checker SPIN [2]. It offers a C-like syntax and allows to define processes to be run concurrently. Those processes can communicate via shared global variables or by message-passing via channels. Inside a process, constructs exist for non-deterministic choice, starting other processes and enforcing atomicity. It furthermore allows different means for specifying properties: LTL formulae, assertions in the code, never claims (i.e. an automata that explicitly specifies unwanted behavior) and others.

Some constructs found in Promela models, like `#include` and `#define`, are not part of the language Promela itself, but belong to the language of the C preprocessor. SPIN does not process those, but calls the C compiler internally to process them. We do not deal with them here, but also expect the sources to be preprocessed.

Observing the output of SPIN and examining the generated graphs often is the only way of determining the semantics of a certain construct. This is complicated further by SPIN unconditionally applying optimizations. For the current formalization we chose to copy the semantics of SPIN, including the aforementioned optimizations. For some constructs, we had to restrict the semantics, i.e. some models are accepted by SPIN, but not by this formalization. Those deviations are:

- `run` is a statement instead of an expression. SPIN here has a complicated set of restrictions unto where `run` can occur inside an expression. The sole use of it is to be able to get the ID of a spawned process. We omitted this feature to guarantee expressions to be free of side-effects.
- Variable declarations which got jumped over are seen as not existing. In SPIN, such constructs show surprising behavior:
`int i; goto L; i = 5; L: printf("%d", i)` yields 0, while
`goto L; int i = 5; L: printf("%d", i)` yields 5.
The latter is forbidden in our formalization (it will get rejected with “unknown variable i”), while the first behaves as in SPIN.
- Violating an `assert` does not abort, but instead sets the variable `__assert__` to true. This needs to be checked explicitly in the LTL formula. We plan on adding this check in an automatic manner.
- Types are bounded. Except for well-defined types like booleans, overflow is not allowed and will result in an error. The same holds for assigning a value that is outside the bounds. SPIN does not specify any explicit semantics here, but solely refers to the underlying C-compiler and its semantics. This might result in two models behaving differently on different systems when run with SPIN, while this formalization, due to the explicit bounds in the semantics, is not affected.

Additionally, some constructs are currently not supported, and the compilation will abort if they are encountered: `d_step`¹, `typedef`, remote references, bit-operations, `unsigned`, and property specifications except `ltl` and `assert`. Other constructs are accepted but ignored, because they do not change the behavior of a model: advanced variable scoping, `xr`, `xs`, `print*`, priorities, and visibility of variables.

Nonetheless, for models not using those unsupported constructs, we generate the very same number of states as SPIN does. An exception applies for large `goto` chains and when simultaneous termination of multiple processes is involved, as SPIN's semantics is too vague here.

2 Abstract Syntax Tree

```
theory PromelaAST
imports Main
begin
```

The abstract syntax tree is generated from the handwritten SML parser. This theory only mirrors the data structures from the SML level to make them available in Isabelle.

```
context
begin
```

$\langle ML \rangle$

```
datatype binOp =
  | BinOpAdd
  | BinOpSub
  | BinOpMul
  | BinOpDiv
  | BinOpMod
  | BinOpBitAnd
  | BinOpBitXor
  | BinOpBitOr
  | BinOpGr
  | BinOpLe
  | BinOpGEq
  | BinOpLEq
  | BinOpEq
  | BinOpNEq
  | BinOpShiftL
  | BinOpShiftR
  | BinOpAnd
  | BinOpOr
```

¹This can be safely replaced by `atomic`, though larger models will be produced then.

```

datatype unOp =
    UnOpComp
  | UnOpMinus
  | UnOpNeg

datatype expr =
    ExprBinOp binOp expr expr
  | ExprUnOp unOp expr
  | ExprCond expr expr expr
  | ExprLen varRef
  | ExprPoll varRef recvArg list
  | ExprRndPoll varRef recvArg list
  | ExprVarRef varRef
  | ExprConst integer
  | ExprTimeOut
  | ExprNP
  | ExprEnabled expr
  | ExprPC expr
  | ExprRemoteRef String.literal
    expr option
    String.literal
  | ExprGetPrio expr
  | ExprSetPrio expr expr
  | ExprFull varRef
  | ExprEmpty varRef
  | ExprNFull varRef
  | ExprNEmpty varRef

and varRef = VarRef String.literal
    expr option
    varRef option

and recvArg = RecvArgVar varRef
  | RecvArgEval expr
  | RecvArgConst integer

datatype range =
    RangeFromTo varRef
    expr
    expr
  | RangeIn varRef varRef

datatype varType =
    VarTypeBit
  | VarTypeBool
  | VarTypeByte
  | VarTypePid
  | VarTypeShort

```

```

| VarTypeInt
| VarTypeMType
| VarTypeChan
| VarTypeUnsigned
| VarTypeCustom String.literal

datatype varDecl =
  VarDeclNum String.literal
             integer option
             expr option
| VarDeclChan String.literal
             integer option
             (integer * varType list) option
| VarDeclUnsigned String.literal
             integer
             expr option
| VarDeclMType String.literal
             integer option
             String.literal option

datatype decl =
  Decl bool option
        varType
        varDecl list

datatype stmt =
  StmtIf (step list) list
| StmtDo (step list) list
| StmtFor range step list
| StmtAtomic step list
| StmtDStep step list
| StmtSelect range
| StmtSeq step list
| StmtSend varRef expr list
| StmtSortSend varRef expr list
| StmtRecv varRef recvArg list
| StmtRndRecv varRef recvArg list
| StmtRecvX varRef recvArg list
| StmtRndRecvX varRef recvArg list
| StmtAssign varRef expr
| StmtIncr varRef
| StmtDecr varRef
| StmtElse
| StmtBreak
| StmtGoTo String.literal
| StmtLabeled String.literal stmt
| StmtPrintf String.literal expr list

```

```

      | StmtPrintM String.literal
      | StmtRun String.literal
        expr list
        integer option
      | StmtAssert expr
      | StmtCond expr
      | StmtCall String.literal varRef list

and step = StepStmt stmt stmt option
      | StepDecl decl
      | StepXR varRef list
      | StepXS varRef list

datatype module =
      ProcType (integer option) option
        String.literal
        decl list
        integer option
        expr option
        step list
      | DProcType (integer option) option
        String.literal
        decl list
        integer option
        expr option
        step list
      | Init integer option step list
      | Never step list
      | Trace step list
      | NoTrace step list
      | Inline String.literal String.literal list step list
      | TypeDef String.literal decl list
      | MType String.literal list
      | ModuDecl decl
      | Ltl String.literal String.literal

end
end

```

3 Data structures as used in Promela

```

theory PromelaDatastructures
imports
  CAVA-Base.CAVA-Base
  CAVA-Base.Lexord-List
  PromelaAST
  HOL-Library.IArray
  Deriving.Compare-Instances
  CAVA-Base.CAVA-Code-Target

```

begin

3.1 Abstract Syntax Tree *after* preprocessing

From the plain AST stemming from the parser, we'd like to have one containing more information while also removing duplicated constructs. This is achieved in the preprocessing step.

The additional information contains:

- variable type (including whether it represents a channel or not)
- global vs local variable

Also certain constructs are expanded (like for-loops) or different nodes in the AST are collapsed into one parametrized node (e.g. the different send-operations).

This preprocessing phase also tries to detect certain static errors and will bail out with an exception if such is encountered.

```
datatype binOp = BinOpAdd
    | BinOpSub
    | BinOpMul
    | BinOpDiv
    | BinOpMod
    | BinOpGr
    | BinOpLe
    | BinOpGEq
    | BinOpLEq
    | BinOpEq
    | BinOpNEq
    | BinOpAnd
    | BinOpOr

datatype unOp = UnOpMinus
    | UnOpNeg

datatype expr = ExprBinOp binOp expr expr
    | ExprUnOp unOp expr
    | ExprCond expr expr expr
    | ExprLen chanRef
    | ExprVarRef varRef
    | ExprConst integer
    | ExprMConst integer String.literal
    | ExprTimeOut
    | ExprFull chanRef
    | ExprEmpty chanRef
    | ExprPoll chanRef recvArg list bool

and varRef = VarRef bool
```



```

        String.literal
        expr option
and chanRef = ChanRef varRef — explicit type for channels
and recvArg = RecvArgVar varRef
        | RecvArgEval expr
        | RecvArgConst integer
        | RecvArgMConst integer String.literal

datatype varType = VTBounded integer integer
        | VTChan

```

Variable declarations at the beginning of a proctype or at global level.

```

datatype varDecl = VarDeclNum integer integer
        String.literal
        integer option
        expr option
        | VarDeclChan String.literal
        integer option
        (integer * varType list) option

```

Variable declarations during a proctype.

```

datatype procVarDecl = ProcVarDeclNum integer integer
        String.literal
        integer option
        expr option
        | ProcVarDeclChan String.literal
        integer option

```

```

datatype procArg = ProcArg varType String.literal

```

```

datatype stmnt = StmntIf (step list) list
        | StmntDo (step list) list
        | StmntAtomic step list
        | StmntSeq step list
        | StmntSend chanRef expr list bool
        | StmntRecv chanRef recvArg list bool bool
        | StmntAssign varRef expr
        | StmntElse
        | StmntBreak
        | StmntSkip
        | StmntGoTo String.literal
        | StmntLabeled String.literal stmnt
        | StmntRun String.literal
        expr list
        | StmntCond expr
        | StmntAssert expr

```

```

and step = StepStmnt stmnt stmnt option
        | StepDecl procVarDecl list

```

| *StepSkip*

```
datatype proc = ProcType (integer option) option
                String.literal
                procArg list
                varDecl list
                step list
                | Init varDecl list step list
```

type-synonym *ltl* = — name: *String.literal* × — formula: *String.literal*
type-synonym *promela* = *varDecl list* × *proc list* × *ltl list*

3.2 Preprocess the AST of the parser into our variant

We setup some functionality for printing warning or even errors.

All those constants are logically *undefined*, but replaced by the parser for something meaningful.

consts

warn :: *String.literal* ⇒ *unit*

abbreviation *with-warn msg e* ≡ *let* - = *warn msg* *in e*

abbreviation *the-warn opt msg* ≡ *case opt of* *None* ⇒ () | - ⇒ *warn msg*

usc: "Unsupported Construct"

definition [*code del*]: *usc* (*c* :: *String.literal*) ≡ *undefined*

definition [*code del*]: *err* (*e* :: *String.literal*) = *undefined*

abbreviation *errv e v* ≡ *err* (*e* + *v*)

definition [*simp*, *code del*]: *abort* (*msg* :: *String.literal*) *f* = *f* ()

abbreviation *abortv msg v f* ≡ *abort* (*msg* + *v*) *f*

code-printing

```
code-module PromelaUtils ↪ (SML) ⋄
  structure PromelaUtils = struct
    exception UnsupportedConstruct of string
    exception StaticError of string
    exception RuntimeError of string
    fun warn msg = TextIO.print (Warning: ^msg ^\n)
    fun usc c = raise (UnsupportedConstruct c)
    fun err e = raise (StaticError e)
    fun abort msg - = raise (RuntimeError msg)
  end⋄
```

```
| constant warn ↪ (SML) PromelaUtils.warn
| constant usc ↪ (SML) PromelaUtils.usc
| constant err ↪ (SML) PromelaUtils.err
| constant abort ↪ (SML) PromelaUtils.abort
code-reserved (SML) PromelaUtils
```

$\langle ML \rangle$

The preprocessing is done for each type on its own.

```
primrec ppBinOp :: AST.binOp  $\Rightarrow$  binOp
where
  ppBinOp AST.BinOpAdd = BinOpAdd
| ppBinOp AST.BinOpSub = BinOpSub
| ppBinOp AST.BinOpMul = BinOpMul
| ppBinOp AST.BinOpDiv = BinOpDiv
| ppBinOp AST.BinOpMod = BinOpMod
| ppBinOp AST.BinOpGr = BinOpGr
| ppBinOp AST.BinOpLe = BinOpLe
| ppBinOp AST.BinOpGEq = BinOpGEq
| ppBinOp AST.BinOpLEq = BinOpLEq
| ppBinOp AST.BinOpEq = BinOpEq
| ppBinOp AST.BinOpNEq = BinOpNEq
| ppBinOp AST.BinOpAnd = BinOpAnd
| ppBinOp AST.BinOpOr = BinOpOr
| ppBinOp AST.BinOpBitAnd = usc STR "BinOpBitAnd"
| ppBinOp AST.BinOpBitXor = usc STR "BinOpBitXor"
| ppBinOp AST.BinOpBitOr = usc STR "BinOpBitOr"
| ppBinOp AST.BinOpShiftL = usc STR "BinOpShiftL"
| ppBinOp AST.BinOpShiftR = usc STR "BinOpShiftR"
```

```
primrec ppUnOp :: AST.unOp  $\Rightarrow$  unOp
where
  ppUnOp AST.UnOpMinus = UnOpMinus
| ppUnOp AST.UnOpNeg = UnOpNeg
| ppUnOp AST.UnOpComp = usc STR "UnOpComp"
```

The data structure holding all information on variables we found so far.

```
type-synonym var-data =
  (String.literal, (integer option  $\times$  bool)) lm — channels
   $\times$  (String.literal, (integer option  $\times$  bool)) lm — variables
   $\times$  (String.literal, integer) lm — mtypes
   $\times$  (String.literal, varRef) lm — aliases (used for inlines)
```

definition dealWithVar

```
:: var-data  $\Rightarrow$  String.literal
 $\Rightarrow$  (String.literal  $\Rightarrow$  integer option  $\times$  bool  $\Rightarrow$  expr option  $\Rightarrow$  'a)
 $\Rightarrow$  (String.literal  $\Rightarrow$  integer option  $\times$  bool  $\Rightarrow$  expr option  $\Rightarrow$  'a)
 $\Rightarrow$  (integer  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  'a
```

where

```
dealWithVar cvm n fC fV fM  $\equiv$  (
  let (c,v,m,a) = cvm in
  let (n, idx) = case lm.lookup n a of
    None  $\Rightarrow$  (n, None)
  | Some (VarRef - name idx)  $\Rightarrow$  (name, idx)
```

```

in
case lm.lookup n m of
  Some i ⇒ (case idx of None ⇒ fM i
                  | - ⇒ err STR "Array subscript used on MType (via alias).")
| None ⇒ (case lm.lookup n v of
          Some g ⇒ fV n g idx
          | None ⇒ (case lm.lookup n c of
                    Some g ⇒ fC n g idx
                    | None ⇒ err (STR "Unknown variable referenced: " + n))))

primrec enforceChan :: varRef + chanRef ⇒ chanRef where
  enforceChan (Inl -) = err STR "Channel expected. Got normal variable."
| enforceChan (Inr c) = c

fun liftChan :: varRef + chanRef ⇒ varRef where
  liftChan (Inl v) = v
| liftChan (Inr (ChanRef v)) = v

fun resolveIdx :: expr option ⇒ expr option ⇒ expr option
where
  resolveIdx None None = None
| resolveIdx idx None = idx
| resolveIdx None aliasIdx = aliasIdx
| resolveIdx - - = err STR "Array subscript used twice (via alias)."

fun ppExpr :: var-data ⇒ AST.expr ⇒ expr
and ppVarRef :: var-data ⇒ AST.varRef ⇒ varRef + chanRef
and ppRecvArg :: var-data ⇒ AST.recvArg ⇒ recvArg
where
  ppVarRef cvm (AST.VarRef name idx None) = dealWithVar cvm name
    (λname (-,g) aIdx. let idx = map-option (ppExpr cvm) idx in
      Inr (ChanRef (VarRef g name (resolveIdx idx aIdx))))
    (λname (-,g) aIdx. let idx = map-option (ppExpr cvm) idx in
      Inl (VarRef g name (resolveIdx idx aIdx)))
    (λ-. err STR "Variable expected. Got MType.")
| ppVarRef cvm (AST.VarRef - - (Some -)) =
  usc STR "next operation on variables"

| ppExpr cvm AST.ExprTimeOut = ExprTimeOut
| ppExpr cvm (AST.ExprConst c) = ExprConst c

| ppExpr cvm (AST.ExprBinOp bo l r) =
  ExprBinOp (ppBinOp bo) (ppExpr cvm l) (ppExpr cvm r)
| ppExpr cvm (AST.ExprUnOp uo e) =
  ExprUnOp (ppUnOp uo) (ppExpr cvm e)
| ppExpr cvm (AST.ExprCond c t f) =
  ExprCond (ppExpr cvm c) (ppExpr cvm t) (ppExpr cvm f)

| ppExpr cvm (AST.ExprLen v) =

```

```

    ExprLen (enforceChan (ppVarRef cvm v))
| ppExpr cvm (AST.ExprFull v) =
    ExprFull (enforceChan (ppVarRef cvm v))
| ppExpr cvm (AST.ExprEmpty v) =
    ExprEmpty (enforceChan (ppVarRef cvm v))

| ppExpr cvm (AST.ExprNFull v) =
    ExprUnOp UnOpNeg (ExprFull (enforceChan (ppVarRef cvm v)))
| ppExpr cvm (AST.ExprNEmpty v) =
    ExprUnOp UnOpNeg (ExprEmpty (enforceChan (ppVarRef cvm v)))

| ppExpr cvm (AST.ExprVarRef v) = (
    let to-exp = λ-. ExprVarRef (liftChan (ppVarRef cvm v)) in
    case v of
        AST.VarRef name None None ⇒
            dealWithVar cvm name
                (λ- - -. to-exp())
                (λ- - -. to-exp())
                (λi. ExprMConst i name)
        | - ⇒ to-exp())

| ppExpr cvm (AST.ExprPoll v es) =
    ExprPoll (enforceChan (ppVarRef cvm v)) (map (ppRecvArg cvm) es) False
| ppExpr cvm (AST.ExprRndPoll v es) =
    ExprPoll (enforceChan (ppVarRef cvm v)) (map (ppRecvArg cvm) es) True

| ppExpr cvm AST.ExprNP = usc STR "ExprNP"
| ppExpr cvm (AST.ExprEnabled -) = usc STR "ExprEnabled"
| ppExpr cvm (AST.ExprPC -) = usc STR "ExprPC"
| ppExpr cvm (AST.ExprRemoteRef - - -) = usc STR "ExprRemoteRef"
| ppExpr cvm (AST.ExprGetPrio -) = usc STR "ExprGetPrio"
| ppExpr cvm (AST.ExprSetPrio - -) = usc STR "ExprSetPrio"

| ppRecvArg cvm (AST.RecvArgVar v) = (
    let to-ra = λ-. RecvArgVar (liftChan (ppVarRef cvm v)) in
    case v of
        AST.VarRef name None None ⇒
            dealWithVar cvm name
                (λ- - -. to-ra())
                (λ- - -. to-ra())
                (λi. RecvArgMConst i name)
        | - ⇒ to-ra())
| ppRecvArg cvm (AST.RecvArgEval e) = RecvArgEval (ppExpr cvm e)
| ppRecvArg cvm (AST.RecvArgConst c) = RecvArgConst c

primrec ppVarType :: AST.varType ⇒ varType where
    ppVarType AST.VarTypeBit = VTBounded 0 1
| ppVarType AST.VarTypeBool = VTBounded 0 1
| ppVarType AST.VarTypeByte = VTBounded 0 255

```

```

| ppVarType AST.VarTypePid = VTBounded 0 255
| ppVarType AST.VarTypeShort = VTBounded (-(215)) ((215) - 1)
| ppVarType AST.VarTypeInt = VTBounded (-(231)) ((231) - 1)
| ppVarType AST.VarTypeMType = VTBounded 1 255
| ppVarType AST.VarTypeChan = VTChan
| ppVarType AST.VarTypeUnsigned = usc STR "VarTypeUnsigned"
| ppVarType (AST.VarTypeCustom _) = usc STR "VarTypeCustom"

fun ppVarDecl
  :: var-data ⇒ varType ⇒ bool ⇒ AST.varDecl ⇒ var-data × varDecl
where
  ppVarDecl (c,v,m,a) (VTBounded l h) g
    = (AST.VarDeclNum name size init) = (
      case lm.lookup name v of
        Some - ⇒ errv STR "Duplicate variable " name
      | - ⇒ (case lm.lookup name a of
        Some - ⇒ errv
          STR "Variable name clashes with alias: " name
        | - ⇒ ((c, lm.update name (size,g) v, m, a),
          VarDeclNum l h name size
            (map-option (ppExpr (c,v,m,a)) init))))
  | ppVarDecl - - g (AST.VarDeclNum name size init) =
    err STR "Assiging num to non-num"

  | ppVarDecl (c,v,m,a) VTChan g
    = (AST.VarDeclChan name size cap) = (
      let cap' = map-option (apsnd (map ppVarType)) cap in
      case lm.lookup name c of
        Some - ⇒ errv STR "Duplicate variable " name
      | - ⇒ (case lm.lookup name a of
        Some - ⇒ errv
          STR "Variable name clashes with alias: " name
        | - ⇒ ((lm.update name (size, g) c, v, m, a),
          VarDeclChan name size cap'))
  | ppVarDecl - - g (AST.VarDeclChan name size init) =
    err STR "Assiging chan to non-chan"

  | ppVarDecl (c,v,m,a) (VTBounded l h) g
    = (AST.VarDeclMType name size init) = (
      let init = map-option (λmtty.
        case lm.lookup mtty m of
          None ⇒ errv STR "Unknown MType " mtty
        | Some mval ⇒ ExprMConst mval mtty) init in
      case lm.lookup name c of
        Some - ⇒ errv STR "Duplicate variable " name
      | - ⇒ (case lm.lookup name a of Some -
        ⇒ errv STR "Variable name clashes with alias: " name
        | - ⇒ ((c, lm.update name (size,g) v, m, a),
          VarDeclNum l h name size init)))

```

```

| ppVarDecl - - g (AST.VarDeclMType name size init) =
  err STR "Assiging num to non-num"

| ppVarDecl - - - (AST.VarDeclUnsigned - - -) =
  usc STR "VarDeclUnsigned"

definition ppProcVarDecl
  :: var-data ⇒ varType ⇒ bool ⇒ AST.varDecl ⇒ var-data × procVarDecl
where
  ppProcVarDecl cvm ty g v = (case ppVarDecl cvm ty g v of
    (cvm, VarDeclNum l h name size init) ⇒ (cvm, ProcVarDeclNum l h name
size init)
    | (cvm, VarDeclChan name size None) ⇒ (cvm, ProcVarDeclChan name size)
    | - ⇒ err STR "Channel initilizations only allowed at the beginning of proc-
types.")

fun ppProcArg
  :: var-data ⇒ varType ⇒ bool ⇒ AST.varDecl ⇒ var-data × procArg
where
  ppProcArg (c,v,m,a) (VTBounded l h) g
    (AST.VarDeclNum name None None) = (
    case lm.lookup name v of
      Some - ⇒ errv STR "Duplicate variable " name
    | - ⇒ (case lm.lookup name a of
      Some - ⇒ errv
        STR "Variable name clashes with alias: " name
      | - ⇒ ((c, lm.update name (None, g) v, m, a),
        ProcArg (VTBounded l h) name)))
| ppProcArg - - - (AST.VarDeclNum - - -) =
  err STR "Invalid proctype arguments"

| ppProcArg (c,v,m,a) VTChan g
  (AST.VarDeclChan name None None) = (
  case lm.lookup name c of
    Some - ⇒ errv STR "Duplicate variable " name
  | - ⇒ (case lm.lookup name a of
    Some - ⇒ errv
      STR "Variable name clashes with alias: " name
    | - ⇒ ((lm.update name (None, g) c, v, m, a), ProcArg VTChan name)))
| ppProcArg - - - (AST.VarDeclChan - - -) =
  err STR "Invalid proctype arguments"

| ppProcArg (c,v,m,a) (VTBounded l h) g
  (AST.VarDeclMType name None None) = (
  case lm.lookup name v of
    Some - ⇒ errv STR "Duplicate variable " name
  | - ⇒ (case lm.lookup name a of
    Some - ⇒ errv

```

```

      STR "Variable name clashes with alias: " name
    | - => ((c, lm.update name (None, g) v, m, a),
            ProcArg (VTBounded l h) name)))
| ppProcArg - - - (AST.VarDeclMType - - -) =
  err STR "Invalid proctype arguments"

| ppProcArg - - - (AST.VarDeclUnsigned - - -) = usc STR "VarDeclUnsigned"

```

Some preprocessing functions enrich the *var-data* argument and hence return a new updated one. When chaining multiple calls to such functions after another, we need to make sure, the *var-data* is passed accordingly. *cvm-fold* does exactly that for such a function *g* and a list of nodes *ss*.

definition *cvm-fold* **where**

```

  cvm-fold g cvm ss = foldl (λ(cvm,ss) s. apsnd (λs'. ss@[s']) (g cvm s))
    (cvm, []) ss

```

lemma *cvm-fold-cong*[*fundef-cong*]:

```

assumes cvm = cvm'
and stepss = stepss'
and ∧ x d. x ∈ set stepss ⇒ g d x = g' d x
shows cvm-fold g cvm stepss = cvm-fold g' cvm' stepss'
⟨proof⟩

```

fun *liftDecl* **where**

```

  liftDecl f g cvm (AST.Decl vis t decls) = (
    let - = the-warn vis STR "Visibility in declarations not supported. Ignored." in
    let t = ppVarType t in
    cvm-fold (λcvm. f cvm t g) cvm decls)

```

definition *ppDecl*

```

  :: bool ⇒ var-data ⇒ AST.decl ⇒ var-data × varDecl list

```

where

```

  ppDecl = liftDecl ppVarDecl

```

definition *ppDeclProc*

```

  :: var-data ⇒ AST.decl ⇒ var-data × procVarDecl list

```

where

```

  ppDeclProc = liftDecl ppProcVarDecl False

```

definition *ppDeclProcArg*

```

  :: var-data ⇒ AST.decl ⇒ var-data × procArg list

```

where

```

  ppDeclProcArg = liftDecl ppProcArg False

```

definition *incr* :: *varRef* ⇒ *stmt* **where**

```

  incr v = StmtAssign v (ExprBinOp BinOpAdd (ExprVarRef v) (ExprConst 1))

```


definition $decr :: varRef \Rightarrow stmt \text{ where}$
 $decr\ v = StmtAssign\ v\ (ExprBinOp\ BinOpSub\ (ExprVarRef\ v)\ (ExprConst\ 1))$

Transforms for (i : lb .. ub) steps into

```
{
  i = lb;
do
  :: i =< ub -> steps; i++
  :: else -> break
od
}
```

definition $forFromTo :: varRef \Rightarrow expr \Rightarrow expr \Rightarrow step\ list \Rightarrow stmt \text{ where}$

```
forFromTo i lb ub steps = (
  let
    — i = lb
    loop-pre = StepStmt (StmtAssign i lb) None;
    — i ≤ ub
    loop-cond = StepStmt (StmtCond
      (ExprBinOp BinOpLEq (ExprVarRef i) ub))
      None;
    — i++
    loop-incr = StepStmt (incr i) None;
    — i ≤ ub -> ...; i++
    loop-body = loop-cond # steps @ [loop-incr];
    — else -> break
    loop-abort = [StepStmt StmtElse None, StepStmt StmtBreak None];
    — do :: i ≤ ub -> ... :: else -> break od
    loop = StepStmt (StmtDo [loop-body, loop-abort]) None
  in
    StmtSeq [loop-pre, loop])
```

Transforms (where a is an array with N entries) for (i in a) steps into

```
{
  i = 0;
do
  :: i < N -> steps; i++
  :: else -> break
od
}
```

definition $forInArray :: varRef \Rightarrow integer \Rightarrow step\ list \Rightarrow stmt \text{ where}$

```
forInArray i N steps = (
  let
    — i = 0
    loop-pre = StepStmt (StmtAssign i (ExprConst 0)) None;
```

```

—  $i < N$ 
loop-cond = StepStmnt (StmntCond
  (ExprBinOp BinOpLe (ExprVarRef i)
    (ExprConst N)))
  None;

—  $i++$ 
loop-incr = StepStmnt (incr i) None;
—  $i < N \rightarrow \dots; i++$ 
loop-body = loop-cond # steps @ [loop-incr];
— else  $\rightarrow$  break
loop-abort = [StepStmnt StmntElse None, StepStmnt StmntBreak None];
— do ::  $i < N \rightarrow \dots ::$  else  $\rightarrow$  break od
loop = StepStmnt (StmntDo [loop-body, loop-abort]) None
in
  StmntSeq [loop-pre, loop]

```

Transforms (where c is a channel) for (msg in c) steps into

```

{
  byte :tmp: = 0;
  do
    :: :tmp: < len(c) ->
      c?msg; c!msg;
      steps;
      :tmp:++
    :: else -> break
  od
}

```

definition forInChan :: varRef \Rightarrow chanRef \Rightarrow step list \Rightarrow stmnt **where**

```

forInChan msg c steps = (
  let
    — byte :tmp: = 0
    tmpStr = STR ":tmp: ";
    loop-pre = StepDecl
      [ProcVarDeclNum 0 255 tmpStr None (Some (ExprConst 0))];
    tmp = VarRef False tmpStr None;
    — :tmp: < len(c)
    loop-cond = StepStmnt (StmntCond
      (ExprBinOp BinOpLe (ExprVarRef tmp)
        (ExprLen c)))
      None;

    — :tmp:++
    loop-incr = StepStmnt (incr tmp) None;
    — c?msg
    recv = StepStmnt (StmntRecv c [RecvArgVar msg] False True) None;
    — c!msg
    send = StepStmnt (StmntSend c [ExprVarRef msg] False) None;

```

```

— :tmp: < len(c) -> c?msg; c!msg; ...; :tmp:++
loop-body = [loop-cond, recv, send] @ steps @ [loop-incr];
— else -> break
loop-abort = [StepStmnt StmntElse None, StepStmnt StmntBreak None];
— do :: :tmp: < len(c) -> ... :: else -> break od
loop = StepStmnt (StmntDo [loop-body, loop-abort]) None
in
  StmntSeq [loop-pre, loop])

```

Transforms `select (i : lb .. ub)` into

```

{
  i = lb;
  do
    :: i < ub -> i++
    :: break
  od
}

```

definition `select :: varRef \Rightarrow expr \Rightarrow expr \Rightarrow stmnt where`

```

select i lb ub = (
  let
    — i = lb
    pre = StepStmnt (StmntAssign i lb) None;
    — i < ub
    cond = StepStmnt (StmntCond (ExprBinOp BinOpLe (ExprVarRef i) ub))
              None;
    — i++
    incr = StepStmnt (incr i) None;
    — i < ub -> i++
    loop-body = [cond, incr];
    — break
    loop-abort = [StepStmnt StmntBreak None];
    — do :: i < ub -> ... :: break od
    loop = StepStmnt (StmntDo [loop-body, loop-abort]) None
  in
    StmntSeq [pre, loop])

```

type-synonym `inlines =`

`(String.literal, String.literal list \times (var-data \Rightarrow var-data \times step list)) lm`

type-synonym `stmnt-data =`

`bool \times varDecl list \times inlines \times var-data`

fun `ppStep :: stmnt-data \Rightarrow AST.step \Rightarrow stmnt-data * step`

and `ppStmnt :: stmnt-data \Rightarrow AST.stmnt \Rightarrow stmnt-data * stmnt`

where

```

ppStep cvm (AST.StepStmnt s u) = (
  let (cvm', s') = ppStmnt cvm s in
  case u of None  $\Rightarrow$  (cvm', StepStmnt s' None)

```

```

| Some u ⇒ let (cvm'', u') = ppStmnt cvm' u in
              (cvm'', StepStmnt s' (Some u'))
| ppStep (False, ps, i, cvm) (AST.StepDecl d) =
  map-prod (λcvm. (False, ps, i, cvm)) StepDecl (ppDeclProc cvm d)
| ppStep (True, ps, i, cvm) (AST.StepDecl d) = (
  let (cvm', ps') = ppDecl False cvm d
  in ((True, ps@ps', i, cvm'), StepSkip))
| ppStep (-, cvm) (AST.StepXR -) =
  with-warn STR "StepXR not supported. Ignored." ((False, cvm), StepSkip)
| ppStep (-, cvm) (AST.StepXS -) =
  with-warn STR "StepXS not supported. Ignored." ((False, cvm), StepSkip)

| ppStmnt (-, cvm) (AST.StmntBreak) = ((False, cvm), StmntBreak)
| ppStmnt (-, cvm) (AST.StmntElse) = ((False, cvm), StmntElse)
| ppStmnt (-, cvm) (AST.StmntGoTo l) = ((False, cvm), StmntGoTo l)
| ppStmnt (-, cvm) (AST.StmntLabeled l s) =
  apsnd (StmntLabeled l) (ppStmnt (False, cvm) s)
| ppStmnt (-, ps, i, cvm) (AST.StmntCond e) =
  ((False, ps, i, cvm), StmntCond (ppExpr cvm e))
| ppStmnt (-, ps, i, cvm) (AST.StmntAssert e) =
  ((False, ps, i, cvm), StmntAssert (ppExpr cvm e))
| ppStmnt (-, ps, i, cvm) (AST.StmntAssign v e) =
  ((False, ps, i, cvm), StmntAssign (liftChan (ppVarRef cvm v)) (ppExpr cvm e))
| ppStmnt (-, ps, i, cvm) (AST.StmntSend v es) =
  ((False, ps, i, cvm), StmntSend (enforceChan (ppVarRef cvm v))
    (map (ppExpr cvm) es) False)
| ppStmnt (-, ps, i, cvm) (AST.StmntSortSend v es) =
  ((False, ps, i, cvm), StmntSend (enforceChan (ppVarRef cvm v))
    (map (ppExpr cvm) es) True)
| ppStmnt (-, ps, i, cvm) (AST.StmntRecv v rs) =
  ((False, ps, i, cvm), StmntRecv (enforceChan (ppVarRef cvm v))
    (map (ppRecvArg cvm) rs) False True)
| ppStmnt (-, ps, i, cvm) (AST.StmntRecvX v rs) =
  ((False, ps, i, cvm), StmntRecv (enforceChan (ppVarRef cvm v))
    (map (ppRecvArg cvm) rs) False False)
| ppStmnt (-, ps, i, cvm) (AST.StmntRndRecv v rs) =
  ((False, ps, i, cvm), StmntRecv (enforceChan (ppVarRef cvm v))
    (map (ppRecvArg cvm) rs) True True)
| ppStmnt (-, ps, i, cvm) (AST.StmntRndRecvX v rs) =
  ((False, ps, i, cvm), StmntRecv (enforceChan (ppVarRef cvm v))
    (map (ppRecvArg cvm) rs) True False)
| ppStmnt (-, ps, i, cvm) (AST.StmntRun n es p) = (
  let - = the-warn p STR "Priorities for 'run' not supported. Ignored." in
  ((False, ps, i, cvm), StmntRun n (map (ppExpr cvm) es)))
| ppStmnt (-, cvm) (AST.StmntSeq ss) =
  apsnd StmntSeq (cvm-fold ppStep (False, cvm) ss)
| ppStmnt (-, cvm) (AST.StmntAtomic ss) =
  apsnd StmntAtomic (cvm-fold ppStep (False, cvm) ss)
| ppStmnt (-, cvm) (AST.StmntIf sss) =

```

```

    apsnd StmtntIf (cvm-fold (cvm-fold ppStep) (False,cvm) sss)
| ppStmtnt (-,cvm) (AST.StmntDo sss) =
    apsnd StmtntDo (cvm-fold (cvm-fold ppStep) (False,cvm) sss)

| ppStmtnt (-,ps,i,cvm) (AST.StmntIncr v) =
    ((False,ps,i,cvm), incr (liftChan (ppVarRef cvm v)))
| ppStmtnt (-,ps,i,cvm) (AST.StmntDecr v) =
    ((False,ps,i,cvm), decr (liftChan (ppVarRef cvm v)))

| ppStmtnt (-,cvm) (AST.StmntPrintF -) =
    with-warn STR "PrintF ignored" ((False,cvm), StmtntSkip)
| ppStmtnt (-,cvm) (AST.StmntPrintM -) =
    with-warn STR "PrintM ignored" ((False,cvm), StmtntSkip)

| ppStmtnt (-,ps,inl,cvm) (AST.StmntFor
    (AST.RangeFromTo i lb ub)
    steps) = (
    let
        i = liftChan (ppVarRef cvm i);
        (lb,ub) = (ppExpr cvm lb, ppExpr cvm ub)
    in
        apsnd (forFromTo i lb ub) (cvm-fold ppStep (False,ps,inl,cvm) steps))
| ppStmtnt (-,ps,inl,cvm) (AST.StmntFor
    (AST.RangeIn i v)
    steps) = (
    let
        i = liftChan (ppVarRef cvm i);
        (cvm',steps) = cvm-fold ppStep (False,ps,inl,cvm) steps
    in
        case ppVarRef cvm v of
            Inr c ⇒ (cvm', forInChan i c steps)
        | Inl (VarRef - (Some -)) ⇒ err STR "Iterating over array-member."
        | Inl (VarRef - name None) ⇒ (
            let (-,v,-) = cvm in
            case fst (the (lm.lookup name v)) of
                None ⇒ err STR "Iterating over non-array variable."
                | Some N ⇒ (cvm', forInArray i N steps)))

| ppStmtnt (-,ps,inl,cvm) (AST.StmntSelect
    (AST.RangeFromTo i lb ub)) = (
    let
        i = liftChan (ppVarRef cvm i);
        (lb, ub) = (ppExpr cvm lb, ppExpr cvm ub)
    in
        ((False,ps,inl,cvm), select i lb ub))
| ppStmtnt (-,cvm) (AST.StmntSelect (AST.RangeIn -)) =
    err STR "in not allowed in select"

```

```

| ppStmnt (-,ps,inl,cvm) (AST.StmntCall macro args) = (
  let
    args = map (liftChan ∘ ppVarRef cvm) args;
    (c,v,m,a) = cvm
  in
    case lm.lookup macro inl of
      None ⇒ errv STR "Calling unknown macro " macro
    | Some (names,sF) ⇒
      if length names ≠ length args then
        (err STR "Called macro with wrong number of arguments.")
      else
        let a' = foldl (λa (k,v). lm.update k v a) a (zip names args) in
        let ((c,v,m,-),steps) = sF (c,v,m,a') in
        ((False,ps,inl,c,v,m,a), StmntSeq steps))

| ppStmnt cvm (AST.StmntDStep -) = usc STR "StmntDStep"

fun ppModule
  :: var-data × inlines ⇒ AST.module
  ⇒ var-data × inlines × (varDecl list + proc + ltl)
where
  ppModule (cvm, inl) (AST.ProcType act name args prio prov steps) = (
    let
      - = the-warn prio STR "Priorities for procs not supported. Ignored.";
      - = the-warn prov STR "Prio (??) for procs not supported. Ignored.";
      (cvm', args) = cvm-fold ppDeclProcArg cvm args;
      ((-, vars, -, -), steps) = cvm-fold ppStep (True,[],inl,cvm') steps
    in
      (cvm, inl, Inr (Inl (ProcType act name (concat args) vars steps))))

| ppModule (cvm,inl) (AST.Init prio steps) = (
  let - = the-warn prio STR "Priorities for procs not supported. Ignored." in
  let ((-, vars, -, -), steps) = cvm-fold ppStep (True,[],inl,cvm) steps in
  (cvm, inl, Inr (Inl (Init vars steps))))

| ppModule (cvm,inl) (AST.Ltl name formula) =
  (cvm, inl, Inr (Inr (name, formula)))

| ppModule (cvm,inl) (AST.ModuDecl decl) =
  apsnd (λds. (inl,Inl ds)) (ppDecl True cvm decl)

| ppModule (cvm,inl) (AST.MType mtys) = (
  let (c,v,m,a) = cvm in
  let num = integer-of-nat (lm.size m) + 1 in
  let (m',-) = foldr (λmtty (m,num).
    let m' = lm.update mtty num m
    in (m',num+1)) mtys (m,num)
  in
    ((c,v,m',a), inl, Inl []))

```

```

| ppModule (cvm,inl) (AST.Inline name args steps) = (
  let stepF = (λcvm. let ((-, -, cvm), steps) =
    cvm-fold ppStep (False, [], inl, cvm) steps
    in (cvm, steps))
  in let inl = lm.update name (args, stepF) inl
  in (cvm, inl, Inl []))

| ppModule cvm (AST.DProcType - - - - -) = usc STR "DProcType"
| ppModule cvm (AST.Never -) = usc STR "Never"
| ppModule cvm (AST.Trace -) = usc STR "Trace"
| ppModule cvm (AST.NoTrace -) = usc STR "NoTrace"
| ppModule cvm (AST.TypeDef - -) = usc STR "TypeDef"

```

definition preprocess :: AST.module list ⇒ promela **where**

```

preprocess ms = (
  let
    dflt-vars = [(STR "--pid", (None, False)),
      (STR "--assert--", (None, True)),
      (STR "--", (None, True))];
    cvm = (lm.empty(), lm.to-map dflt-vars, lm.empty(), lm.empty());
    (-, -, pr) = (foldl (λ(cvm, inl, vs, ps, ls) m.
      let (cvm', inl', m') = ppModule (cvm, inl) m in
      case m' of
        Inl v ⇒ (cvm', inl', vs@v, ps, ls)
      | Inr (Inl p) ⇒ (cvm', inl', vs, ps@[p], ls)
      | Inr (Inr l) ⇒ (cvm', inl', vs, ps, ls@[l]))
      (cvm, lm.empty(), [], [], []) ms)
    in
    pr)

```

fun extractLTL

:: AST.module ⇒ ltl option

where

extractLTL (AST.Ltl name formula) = Some (name, formula)

| extractLTL - = None

primrec extractLTLs

:: AST.module list ⇒ (String.literal, String.literal) lm

where

extractLTLs [] = lm.empty()

| extractLTLs (m#ms) = (case extractLTL m of

None ⇒ extractLTLs ms

| Some (n, f) ⇒ lm.update n f (extractLTLs ms))

definition lookupLTL

:: AST.module list ⇒ String.literal ⇒ String.literal option

where lookupLTL ast k = lm.lookup k (extractLTLs ast)

3.3 The transition system

The edges in our transition system consist of a condition (evaluated under the current environment) and an effect (modifying the current environment). Further they may be atomic, i. e. a whole row of such edges is taken before yielding a new state. Additionally, they carry a priority: the edges are checked from highest to lowest priority, and if one edge on a higher level can be taken, the lower levels are ignored.

The states of the system do not carry any information.

```
datatype edgeCond = ECElse
  | ECTrue
  | ECFalse
  | ECErr expr
  | ECRun String.literal
  | ECSend chanRef
  | ECRecv chanRef recvArg list bool

datatype edgeEffect = EEEnd
  | EEId
  | EEGoto
  | EEAssert expr
  | EEAssign varRef expr
  | EEDecl proc VarDecl
  | EERun String.literal expr list
  | EESend chanRef expr list bool
  | EERecv chanRef recvArg list bool bool
```

```
datatype edgeIndex = Index nat | LabelJump String.literal nat option
```

```
datatype edgeAtomic = NonAtomic | Atomic | InAtomic
```

```
record edge =
  cond  :: edgeCond
  effect :: edgeEffect
  target :: edgeIndex
  prio  :: integer
  atomic :: edgeAtomic
```

```
definition isAtomic :: edge  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  isAtomic e = (case atomic e of Atomic  $\Rightarrow$  True | -  $\Rightarrow$  False)
```

```
definition inAtomic :: edge  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  inAtomic e = (case atomic e of NonAtomic  $\Rightarrow$  False | -  $\Rightarrow$  True)
```

3.4 State

```
datatype variable = Var varType integer
  | VArray varType nat integer iarray
```



```

datatype channel = Channel integer varType list integer list list
                  | HChannel varType list
                  | InvChannel

```

```

type-synonym var-dict = (String.literal, variable) lm
type-synonym labels   = (String.literal, nat) lm
type-synonym lts     = (String.literal, String.literal) lm
type-synonym states  = (— prio: integer × edge list) iarray
type-synonym channels = channel list

```

```

type-synonym process =
  nat — offset
  × edgeIndex — start
  × procArg list — args
  × varDecl list — top decls

```

```

record program =
  processes :: process iarray
  labels :: labels iarray
  states :: states iarray
  proc-names :: String.literal iarray
  proc-data :: (String.literal, nat) lm

```

```

record pState = — State of a process
  pid      :: nat          — Process identifier
  vars     :: var-dict     — Dictionary of variables
  pc       :: nat          — Program counter
  channels :: integer list — List of channels created in the process. Used to close
them on finalization.
  idx :: nat              — Offset into the arrays of program

```

```

hide-const (open) idx

```

```

record gState = — Global state
  vars      :: var-dict     — Global variables
  channels :: channels      — Channels are by construction part of the global state,
even when created in a process.
  timeout  :: bool         — Set to True if no process can take a transition.
  procs    :: pState list — List of all running processes. A process is removed from
it, when there is no running one with a higher index.

```

```

record gStateI = gState + — Additional internal infos
  handshake :: nat
  hsdata    :: integer list — Data transferred via a handshake.
  exclusive :: nat         — Set to the PID of the process, which is in an exclusive (=
atomic) state.
  else      :: bool        — Set to True for each process, if it can not take a transition.
Used before timeout.

```

3.5 Printing

primrec *printBinOp* :: *binOp* \Rightarrow *string* **where**

```

  printBinOp BinOpAdd = "+"
| printBinOp BinOpSub = "-"
| printBinOp BinOpMul = "*"
| printBinOp BinOpDiv = "/"
| printBinOp BinOpMod = "mod"
| printBinOp BinOpGr = ">"
| printBinOp BinOpLe = "<"
| printBinOp BinOpGEq = ">="
| printBinOp BinOpLEq = "<="
| printBinOp BinOpEq = "=="
| printBinOp BinOpNEq = "!="
| printBinOp BinOpAnd = "&&"
| printBinOp BinOpOr = "||"

```

primrec *printUnOp* :: *unOp* \Rightarrow *string* **where**

```

  printUnOp UnOpMinus = "-"
| printUnOp UnOpNeg = "!"

```

definition *printList* :: (*a* \Rightarrow *string*) \Rightarrow '*a* list \Rightarrow *string* \Rightarrow *string* \Rightarrow *string* \Rightarrow *string*

where

```

  printList f xs l r sep = (
    let f' = ( $\lambda$ str x. if str = [] then f x
              else str @ sep @ f x)
    in l @ (foldl f' [] xs) @ r)

```

lemma *printList-cong* [fundef-cong]:

```

  assumes xs = xs'
  and l = l'
  and r = r'
  and sep = sep'
  and  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies f x = f' x$ 
  shows printList f xs l r sep = printList f' xs' l' r' sep'
  <proof>

```

fun *printExpr* :: (*integer* \Rightarrow *string*) \Rightarrow *expr* \Rightarrow *string*

and *printFun* :: (*integer* \Rightarrow *string*) \Rightarrow *string* \Rightarrow *chanRef* \Rightarrow *string*

and *printVarRef* :: (*integer* \Rightarrow *string*) \Rightarrow *varRef* \Rightarrow *string*

and *printChanRef* :: (*integer* \Rightarrow *string*) \Rightarrow *chanRef* \Rightarrow *string*

and *printRecvArg* :: (*integer* \Rightarrow *string*) \Rightarrow *recvArg* \Rightarrow *string* **where**

```

  printExpr f ExprTimeOut = "timeout"
| printExpr f (ExprBinOp binOp left right) =
  printExpr f left @ " " @ printBinOp binOp @ " " @ printExpr f right
| printExpr f (ExprUnOp unOp e) = printUnOp unOp @ printExpr f e
| printExpr f (ExprVarRef varRef) = printVarRef f varRef
| printExpr f (ExprConst i) = f i
| printExpr f (ExprMConst i m) = String.explode m

```

```

| printExpr f (ExprCond c l r) =
  "( (" @ printExpr f c @ " )) -> "
  @ printExpr f l @ " : "
  @ printExpr f r @ " )"
| printExpr f (ExprLen chan) = printFun f "len" chan
| printExpr f (ExprEmpty chan) = printFun f "empty" chan
| printExpr f (ExprFull chan) = printFun f "full" chan
| printExpr f (ExprPoll chan es srt) = (
  let p = if srt then "??" else "?" in
  printChanRef f chan @ p
  @ printList (printRecvArg f) es "[" "]" ", ")

| printVarRef f (VarRef - name None) = String.explode name
| printVarRef f (VarRef - name (Some indx)) =
  String.explode name @ "[" @ printExpr f indx @ "]"

| printChanRef f (ChanRef v) = printVarRef f v

| printFun f fun var = fun @ "(" @ printChanRef f var @ ")"

| printRecvArg f (RecvArgVar v) = printVarRef f v
| printRecvArg f (RecvArgConst c) = f c
| printRecvArg f (RecvArgMConst - m) = String.explode m
| printRecvArg f (RecvArgEval e) = "eval(" @ printExpr f e @ ")"

fun printVarDecl :: (integer ⇒ string) ⇒ procVarDecl ⇒ string where
  printVarDecl f (ProcVarDeclNum - - n None None) =
    String.explode n @ " = 0"
| printVarDecl f (ProcVarDeclNum - - n None (Some e)) =
  String.explode n @ " = " @ printExpr f e
| printVarDecl f (ProcVarDeclNum - - n (Some i) None) =
  String.explode n @ "[" @ f i @ "]" = 0
| printVarDecl f (ProcVarDeclNum - - n (Some i) (Some e)) =
  String.explode n @ "[" @ f i @ "]" = " @ printExpr f e
| printVarDecl f (ProcVarDeclChan n None) =
  "chan " @ String.explode n
| printVarDecl f (ProcVarDeclChan n (Some i)) =
  "chan " @ String.explode n @ "[" @ f i @ "]"

primrec printCond :: (integer ⇒ string) ⇒ edgeCond ⇒ string where
  printCond f ECElse = "else"
| printCond f ECTrue = "true"
| printCond f ECFalse = "false"
| printCond f (ECRun n) = "run " @ String.explode n @ "(...)"
| printCond f (ECEExpr e) = printExpr f e
| printCond f (ECSend c) = printChanRef f c @ "! ..."
| printCond f (ECRecv c -) = printChanRef f c @ "? ..."

primrec printEffect :: (integer ⇒ string) ⇒ edgeEffect ⇒ string where

```



```

fun variable-inv :: variable  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  variable-inv (Var t val)
     $\longleftrightarrow$  varType-inv t  $\wedge$  val  $\in$  {min-var-value..max-var-value}
| variable-inv (VArray t sz ar)
   $\longleftrightarrow$  varType-inv t
     $\wedge$  sz  $\leq$  max-array-size
     $\wedge$  IArray.length ar = sz
     $\wedge$  set (IArray.list-of ar)  $\subseteq$  {min-var-value..max-var-value}

fun channel-inv :: channel  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  channel-inv (Channel cap ts q)
     $\longleftrightarrow$  cap  $\leq$  max-array-size
     $\wedge$  cap  $\geq$  0
     $\wedge$  set ts  $\subseteq$  Collect varType-inv
     $\wedge$  length ts  $\leq$  max-array-size
     $\wedge$  length q  $\leq$  max-array-size
     $\wedge$  ( $\forall x \in$  set q. length x = length ts)
     $\wedge$  set x  $\subseteq$  {min-var-value..max-var-value})
| channel-inv (HChannel ts)
   $\longleftrightarrow$  set ts  $\subseteq$  Collect varType-inv  $\wedge$  length ts  $\leq$  max-array-size
| channel-inv InvChannel  $\longleftrightarrow$  True

lemma varTypes-finite:
  finite (Collect varType-inv)
  <proof>

lemma variables-finite:
  finite (Collect variable-inv)
  <proof>

lemma channels-finite:
  finite (Collect channel-inv)
  <proof>

```

To give an upper bound of variable names, we need a way to calculate it.

```

primrec procArgName :: procArg  $\Rightarrow$  String.literal where
  procArgName (ProcArg - name) = name

primrec varDeclName :: varDecl  $\Rightarrow$  String.literal where
  varDeclName (VarDeclNum - - name - -) = name
| varDeclName (VarDeclChan name - -) = name

primrec procVarDeclName :: procVarDecl  $\Rightarrow$  String.literal where
  procVarDeclName (ProcVarDeclNum - - name - -) = name
| procVarDeclName (ProcVarDeclChan name -) = name

definition edgeDecls :: edge  $\Rightarrow$  procVarDecl set where
  edgeDecls e = (
    case effect e of

```

$EEDecl\ p \Rightarrow \{p\}$
 $\mid - \Rightarrow \{\}$

lemma *edgeDecls-finite*:
 $finite\ (edgeDecls\ e)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *edgeSet* :: *states* \Rightarrow *edge set* **where**
 $edgeSet\ s = set\ (concat\ (map\ snd\ (IArray.list-of\ s)))$

lemma *edgeSet-finite*:
 $finite\ (edgeSet\ s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *statesDecls* :: *states* \Rightarrow *procVarDecl set* **where**
 $statesDecls\ s = \bigcup (edgeDecls\ ' (edgeSet\ s))$

definition *statesNames* :: *states* \Rightarrow *String.literal set* **where**
 $statesNames\ s = procVarDeclName\ ' statesDecls\ s$

lemma *statesNames-finite*:
 $finite\ (statesNames\ s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

fun *process-names* :: *states* \Rightarrow *process* \Rightarrow *String.literal set* **where**
 $process-names\ ss\ (-,\ -, args,\ decls) =$
 $statesNames\ ss$
 $\cup\ procArgName\ ' set\ args$
 $\cup\ varDeclName\ ' set\ decls$
 $\cup\ \{STR\ \text{"-"}, STR\ \text{"--assert-"}, STR\ \text{"-pid"}\}$

lemma *process-names-finite*:
 $finite\ (process-names\ ss\ p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *vardict-inv* :: *states* \Rightarrow *process* \Rightarrow *var-dict* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
 $vardict-inv\ ss\ p\ vs$
 $\longleftrightarrow lm.ball\ vs\ (\lambda(k,v). k \in process-names\ ss\ p \wedge variable-inv\ v)$

lemma *vardicts-finite*:
 $finite\ (Collect\ (vardict-inv\ ss\ p))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lm-to-map-vardict-inv*:
assumes $\forall (k,v) \in set\ xs. k \in process-names\ ss\ proc \wedge variable-inv\ v$
shows $vardict-inv\ ss\ proc\ (lm.to-map\ xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.3 Invariants of a process

definition $pState\text{-}inv :: program \Rightarrow pState \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$pState\text{-}inv\ prog\ p$
 $\longleftrightarrow pid\ p \leq max\text{-}procs$
 $\wedge pState.idx\ p < IArray.length\ (states\ prog)$
 $\wedge IArray.length\ (states\ prog) = IArray.length\ (processes\ prog)$
 $\wedge pc\ p < IArray.length\ ((states\ prog) !! pState.idx\ p)$
 $\wedge set\ (pState.channels\ p) \subseteq \{-1..<integer\text{-}of\text{-}nat\ max\text{-}channels\}$
 $\wedge length\ (pState.channels\ p) \leq max\text{-}channels$
 $\wedge vardict\text{-}inv\ ((states\ prog) !! pState.idx\ p)$
 $\quad ((processes\ prog) !! pState.idx\ p)$
 $\quad (pState.vars\ p)$

lemma $pStates\text{-}finite$:

$finite\ (Collect\ (pState\text{-}inv\ prog))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Throughout the calculation of the semantic engine, a modified process is not necessarily part of $procs\ g$. Hence we need to establish an additional constraint for the relation between a global and a process state.

definition $cl\text{-}inv :: ('a\ gState\text{-}scheme * pState) \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$cl\text{-}inv\ gp = (case\ gp\ of\ (g,p) \Rightarrow$
 $length\ (pState.channels\ p) \leq length\ (gState.channels\ g))$

lemma $cl\text{-}inv\text{-}lengthD$:

$cl\text{-}inv\ (g,p) \implies length\ (pState.channels\ p) \leq length\ (gState.channels\ g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cl\text{-}invI$:

$length\ (pState.channels\ p) \leq length\ (gState.channels\ g) \implies cl\text{-}inv\ (g,p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cl\text{-}inv\text{-}trans$:

$length\ (channels\ g) \leq length\ (channels\ g') \implies cl\text{-}inv\ (g,p) \implies cl\text{-}inv\ (g',p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cl\text{-}inv\text{-}vars\text{-}update[intro!]$:

$cl\text{-}inv\ (g,p) \implies cl\text{-}inv\ (g,\ pState.vars\text{-}update\ vs\ p)$
 $cl\text{-}inv\ (g,p) \implies cl\text{-}inv\ (gState.vars\text{-}update\ vs\ g,\ p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cl\text{-}inv\text{-}handshake\text{-}update[intro!]$:

$cl\text{-}inv\ (g,p) \implies cl\text{-}inv\ (g[\![handshake := h]\!],p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cl\text{-}inv\text{-}hsdata\text{-}update[intro!]$:

$cl\text{-}inv\ (g,p) \implies cl\text{-}inv\ (g[\![hsdata := h]\!],p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cl-inv-procs-update*[intro]:
 $cl_inv\ (g,p) \implies cl_inv\ (g[\text{procs} := ps],p)$
 <proof>

lemma *cl-inv-channels-update*:
assumes *cl-inv* (g,p)
shows *cl-inv* (gState.channels-update ($\lambda cs. cs[i:=c]$) g, p)
 <proof>

4.4 Invariants of the global state

Note that *gState-inv* must be defined in a way to be applicable to both *gState* and *gState_I*.

definition *gState-inv* :: *program* \Rightarrow 'a *gState-scheme* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
gState-inv prog g
 $\longleftrightarrow length\ (procs\ g) \leq max_procs$
 $\wedge (\forall p \in set\ (procs\ g). pState_inv\ prog\ p \wedge cl_inv\ (g,p))$
 $\wedge length\ (channels\ g) \leq max_channels$
 $\wedge set\ (channels\ g) \subseteq Collect\ channel_inv$
 $\wedge lm.ball\ (vars\ g)\ (\lambda(k,v). variable_inv\ v)$

The set of global states adhering to the terms of *gState-inv* is not finite. But the set of all global states that can be constructed by the semantic engine from one starting state is. Thus we establish a progress relation, i.e. all successors of a state *g* relate to *g* under this specification.

definition *gState-progress-rel* :: *program* \Rightarrow ('a *gState-scheme*) *rel* **where**
gState-progress-rel p = $\{(g,g').\ gState_inv\ p\ g \wedge gState_inv\ p\ g'$
 $\wedge length\ (channels\ g) \leq length\ (channels\ g')$
 $\wedge dom\ (lm.\alpha\ (vars\ g)) = dom\ (lm.\alpha\ (vars\ g'))\}$

lemma *gState-progress-rel-gState-invI1*[intro]:
 $(g,g') \in gState_progress_rel\ prog \implies gState_inv\ prog\ g$
 <proof>

lemma *gState-progress-rel-gState-invI2*[intro]:
 $(g,g') \in gState_progress_rel\ prog \implies gState_inv\ prog\ g'$
 <proof>

lemma *gState-progress-relI*:
assumes *gState-inv* prog g
and *gState-inv* prog g'
and $length\ (channels\ g) \leq length\ (channels\ g')$
and $dom\ (lm.\alpha\ (vars\ g)) = dom\ (lm.\alpha\ (vars\ g'))$
shows $(g,g') \in gState_progress_rel\ prog$
 <proof>

lemma *gState-progress-refl*[simp,intro]:

$gState-inv\ prog\ g \implies (g,g) \in (gState-progress-rel\ prog)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *refl-on-gState-progress-rel*:
 $refl-on\ (Collect\ (gState-inv\ prog))\ (gState-progress-rel\ prog)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trans-gState-progress-rel[simp]*:
 $trans\ (gState-progress-rel\ prog)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $gState-progress-rel-trans\ [trans] = trans-gState-progress-rel[THEN\ transD]$

lemma *gState-progress-rel-trancl-id[simp]*:
 $(gState-progress-rel\ prog)^+ = gState-progress-rel\ prog$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gState-progress-rel-rtrancl-absorb*:
assumes $gState-inv\ prog\ g$
shows $(gState-progress-rel\ prog)^* \text{ “ } \{g\} = gState-progress-rel\ prog \text{ “ } \{g\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The main theorem: The set of all global states reachable from an initial state, is finite.

lemma *gStates-finite*:
fixes $g :: gState$
shows $finite\ ((gState-progress-rel\ prog)^* \text{ “ } \{g\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gState-progress-rel-channels-update*:
assumes $gState-inv\ prog\ g$
and $channel-inv\ c$
and $i < length\ (channels\ g)$
shows $(g, gState.channels-update\ (\lambda cs. cs[i:=c])\ g) \in gState-progress-rel\ prog$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gState-progress-rel-channels-update-step*:
assumes $gState-inv\ prog\ g$
and $step: (g, g') \in gState-progress-rel\ prog$
and $channel-inv\ c$
and $i < length\ (channels\ g')$
shows $(g, gState.channels-update\ (\lambda cs. cs[i:=c])\ g') \in gState-progress-rel\ prog$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.5 Invariants of the program

Naturally, we need our program to also adhere to certain invariants. Else we can't show, that the generated states are correct according to the invariants above.

definition *program-inv* where

program-inv prog
 $\longleftrightarrow IArray.length\ (states\ prog) > 0$
 $\wedge IArray.length\ (states\ prog) = IArray.length\ (processes\ prog)$
 $\wedge (\forall s \in set\ (IArray.list-of\ (states\ prog)).\ IArray.length\ s > 0)$
 $\wedge lm.ball\ (proc-data\ prog)$
 $(\lambda(-,sidx).$
 $\quad sidx < IArray.length\ (processes\ prog)$
 $\quad \wedge fst\ (processes\ prog\ !!\ sidx) = sidx)$
 $\wedge (\forall (sidx,start,procArgs,args) \in set\ (IArray.list-of\ (processes\ prog)).$
 $\quad (\exists s.\ start = Index\ s \wedge s < IArray.length\ (states\ prog\ !!\ sidx)))$

lemma *program-inv-length-states*:

assumes *program-inv prog*
and $n < IArray.length\ (states\ prog)$
shows $IArray.length\ (states\ prog\ !!\ n) > 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *program-invI*:

assumes $0 < IArray.length\ (states\ prog)$
and $IArray.length\ (states\ prog) = IArray.length\ (processes\ prog)$
and $\bigwedge s.\ s \in set\ (IArray.list-of\ (states\ prog))$
 $\implies 0 < IArray.length\ s$
and $\bigwedge sidx.\ sidx \in ran\ (lm.\alpha\ (proc-data\ prog))$
 $\implies sidx < IArray.length\ (processes\ prog)$
 $\wedge fst\ (processes\ prog\ !!\ sidx) = sidx$
and $\bigwedge sidx\ start\ procArgs\ args.$
 $(sidx,start,procArgs,args) \in set\ (IArray.list-of\ (processes\ prog))$
 $\implies \exists s.\ start = Index\ s \wedge s < IArray.length\ (states\ prog\ !!\ sidx)$
shows *program-inv prog*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

5 Formalization of Promela semantics

theory *Promela*

imports

PromelaDatastructures

PromelaInvariants

PromelaStatistics

begin

Auxiliary

lemma *mod-integer-le*:

$\langle x\ mod\ (a + 1) \leq b \rangle$ **if** $\langle a \leq b \rangle$ $\langle 0 < a \rangle$ **for** $a\ b\ x :: integer$
 $\langle proof \rangle$ **including** *integer.lifting* $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mod-integer-ge*:

$\langle b \leq x \text{ mod } (a + 1) \rangle$ **if** $\langle b \leq 0 \rangle$ $\langle 0 < a \rangle$ **for** $a \ b \ x :: \text{integer}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ **including** *integer.lifting* $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

After having defined the datastructures, we present in this theory how to construct the transition system and how to generate the successors of a state, i.e. the real semantics of a Promela program. For the first task, we take the enriched AST as input, the second one operates on the transition system.

5.1 Misc Helpers

definition *add-label* :: *String.literal* \Rightarrow *labels* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *labels* **where**
add-label *l* *lbls* *pos* = (
 case *lm.lookup* *l* *lbls* *of*
 None \Rightarrow *lm.update* *l* *pos* *lbls*
 | *Some* - \Rightarrow *abortv* *STR* "Label given twice: " *l* (λ -. *lbls*)

definition *min-prio* :: *edge list* \Rightarrow *integer* \Rightarrow *integer* **where**
min-prio *es* *start* = *Min* ((*prio* ' *set* *es*) \cup {*start*})

lemma *min-prio-code* [*code*]:
min-prio *es* *start* = *fold* ($\lambda e \text{ pri. if } \text{prio } e < \text{pri} \text{ then } \text{prio } e \text{ else } \text{pri}$) *es* *start*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *for-all* :: (*'a* \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow *'a list* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
for-all *f* *xs* \longleftrightarrow ($\forall x \in \text{set } xs. f \ x$)

lemma *for-all-code*[*code*]:
for-all *f* *xs* \longleftrightarrow *foldli* *xs* *id* ($\lambda kv \ \sigma. f \ kv$) *True*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *find-remove* :: (*'a* \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow *'a list* \Rightarrow *'a option* \times *'a list* **where**
find-remove *P* *xs* = (*case* *List.find* *P* *xs* *of* *None* \Rightarrow (*None*, *xs*)
 | *Some* *x* \Rightarrow (*Some* *x*, *List.remove1* *x* *xs*))

lemma *find-remove-code* [*code*]:
find-remove *P* [] = (*None*, [])
find-remove *P* (*x*#*xs*) = (*if* *P* *x* *then* (*Some* *x*, *xs*)
 else *apsnd* (*Cons* *x*) (*find-remove* *P* *xs*)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *find-remove-subset*:
find-remove *P* *xs* = (*res*, *xs'*) \Longrightarrow *set* *xs'* \subseteq *set* *xs*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *find-remove-length*:
find-remove *P* *xs* = (*res*, *xs'*) \Longrightarrow *length* *xs'* \leq *length* *xs*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.2 Variable handling

Handling variables, with their different scopes (global vs. local), and their different types (array vs channel vs bounded) is one of the main challenges of the implementation.

```

fun lookupVar :: variable  $\Rightarrow$  integer option  $\Rightarrow$  integer where
  lookupVar (Var - val) None = val
| lookupVar (Var - -) (Some -) = abort STR "Array used on var" ( $\lambda$ -. 0)
| lookupVar (VArray - - vals) None = vals !! 0
| lookupVar (VArray - siz vals) (Some idx) = vals !! nat-of-integer idx

primrec checkVarValue :: varType  $\Rightarrow$  integer  $\Rightarrow$  integer where
  checkVarValue (VTBounded lRange hRange) val = (
    if val  $\leq$  hRange  $\wedge$  val  $\geq$  lRange then val
    else — overflowing is well-defined and may actually be used (e.g. bool)
      if lRange = 0  $\wedge$  val > 0
      then val mod (hRange + 1)
      else — we do not want to implement C-semantics (ie type casts)
        abort STR "Value overflow" ( $\lambda$ -. lRange))
| checkVarValue VTChan val = (
  if val < min-var-value  $\vee$  val > max-var-value
  then abort STR "Value overflow" ( $\lambda$ -. 0)
  else val)

lemma [simp]:
  variable-inv (Var VTChan 0)
<proof>

context
  fixes type :: varType
  assumes varType-inv type
begin

lemma checkVarValue-bounded:
  checkVarValue type val  $\in$  {min-var-value..max-var-value}
<proof>

lemma checkVarValue-bounds:
  min-var-value  $\leq$  checkVarValue type val
  checkVarValue type val  $\leq$  max-var-value
<proof>

lemma checkVarValue-Var:
  variable-inv (Var type (checkVarValue type val))
<proof>

end

fun editVar :: variable  $\Rightarrow$  integer option  $\Rightarrow$  integer  $\Rightarrow$  variable where

```

```

    editVar (Var type -) None val = Var type (checkVarValue type val)
| editVar (Var -) (Some -) - = abort STR "Array used on var" (λ-. Var VTChan
0)
| editVar (VArray type siz vals) None val = (
    let lv = IArray.list-of vals in
    let v' = lv[0:=checkVarValue type val] in
    VArray type siz (IArray v'))
| editVar (VArray type siz vals) (Some idx) val = (
    let lv = IArray.list-of vals in
    let v' = lv[(nat-of-integer idx):=checkVarValue type val] in
    VArray type siz (IArray v'))

```

lemma *editVar-variable-inv*:

assumes *variable-inv v*

shows *variable-inv (editVar v idx val)*

<proof>

definition *getVar'*

```

:: bool ⇒ String.literal ⇒ integer option
⇒ 'a gState-scheme ⇒ pState
⇒ integer option

```

where

```

getVar' gl v idx g p = (
    let vars = if gl then gState.vars g else pState.vars p in
    map-option (λx. lookupVar x idx) (lm.lookup v vars))

```

definition *setVar'*

```

:: bool ⇒ String.literal ⇒ integer option
⇒ integer
⇒ 'a gState-scheme ⇒ pState
⇒ 'a gState-scheme * pState

```

where

```

setVar' gl v idx val g p = (
    if gl then
        if v = STR "-" then (g,p) — "-" is a write-only scratch variable
        else case lm.lookup v (gState.vars g) of
            None ⇒ abortv STR "Unknown global variable: " v (λ-. (g,p))
            | Some x ⇒ (g[|gState.vars := lm.update v (editVar x idx val)
                        (gState.vars g)|]
                        , p)
    else
        case lm.lookup v (pState.vars p) of
            None ⇒ abortv STR "Unknown proc variable: " v (λ-. (g,p))
            | Some x ⇒ (g, p[|pState.vars := lm.update v (editVar x idx val)
                        (pState.vars p)|])

```

lemma *setVar'-gState-inv*:

assumes *gState-inv prog g*

shows *gState-inv prog (fst (setVar' gl v idx val g p))*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *setVar'-gState-progress-rel*:

assumes *gState-inv prog g*

shows $(g, \text{fst } (\text{setVar}' \text{ gl } v \text{ idx val } g \text{ p})) \in \text{gState-progress-rel prog}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *vardict-inv-process-names*:

assumes *vardict-inv ss proc v*

and *lm.lookup k v = Some x*

shows $k \in \text{process-names ss proc}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *vardict-inv-variable-inv*:

assumes *vardict-inv ss proc v*

and *lm.lookup k v = Some x*

shows *variable-inv x*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *vardict-inv-updateI*:

assumes *vardict-inv ss proc vs*

and $x \in \text{process-names ss proc}$

and *variable-inv v*

shows *vardict-inv ss proc (lm.update x v vs)*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *update-vardict-inv*:

assumes *vardict-inv ss proc v*

and *lm.lookup k v = Some x*

and *variable-inv x'*

shows *vardict-inv ss proc (lm.update k x' v)*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *setVar'-pState-inv*:

assumes *pState-inv prog p*

shows *pState-inv prog (snd (setVar' gl v idx val g p))*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *setVar'-cl-inv*:

assumes *cl-inv (g,p)*

shows *cl-inv (setVar' gl v idx val g p)*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *withVar'*

$:: \text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{String.literal} \Rightarrow \text{integer option}$

$\Rightarrow (\text{integer} \Rightarrow 'x)$

$\Rightarrow 'a \text{ gState-scheme} \Rightarrow \text{pState}$

$\Rightarrow 'x$

where

withVar' gl v idx f g p = f (the (getVar' gl v idx g p))

definition *withChannel'*

:: bool \Rightarrow String.literal \Rightarrow integer option
 \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow channel \Rightarrow 'x)
 \Rightarrow 'a gState-scheme \Rightarrow pState
 \Rightarrow 'x

where

withChannel' gl v idx f g p = (
let error = λ -. abortv STR "Variable is not a channel: " v
(λ -. f 0 InvChannel) in
let abort = λ -. abortv STR "Channel already closed / invalid: " v
(λ -. f 0 InvChannel)
in withVar' gl v idx (λ i. let i = nat-of-integer i in
if i \geq length (channels g) then error ()
else let c = channels g ! i in
case c of
InvChannel \Rightarrow abort ()
| - \Rightarrow f i c) g p)

5.3 Expressions

Expressions are free of side-effects.

This is in difference to SPIN, where *run* is an expression with side-effect.

We treat *run* as a statement.

abbreviation *trivCond x \equiv if x then 1 else 0*

fun *exprArith :: 'a gState-scheme \Rightarrow pState \Rightarrow expr \Rightarrow integer*
and *pollCheck :: 'a gState-scheme \Rightarrow pState \Rightarrow channel \Rightarrow recvArg list \Rightarrow bool*
 \Rightarrow bool
and *recvArgsCheck :: 'a gState-scheme \Rightarrow pState \Rightarrow recvArg list \Rightarrow integer list*
 \Rightarrow bool

where

exprArith g p (ExprConst x) = x
| exprArith g p (ExprMConst x -) = x

| exprArith g p ExprTimeOut = trivCond (timeout g)

| exprArith g p (ExprLen (ChanRef (VarRef gl name None))) =
withChannel' gl name None (
λ -. c. case c of
Channel - - q \Rightarrow integer-of-nat (length q)
| HSCchannel - \Rightarrow 0) g p

| exprArith g p (ExprLen (ChanRef (VarRef gl name (Some idx)))) =
withChannel' gl name (Some (exprArith g p idx)) (
λ -. c. case c of
Channel - - q \Rightarrow integer-of-nat (length q)

$$\begin{aligned}
& | \text{HSCchannel} - \Rightarrow 0) \ g \ p \\
& | \text{exprArith } g \ p \ (\text{ExprEmpty } (\text{ChanRef } (\text{VarRef } gl \ name \ None))) = \\
& \quad \text{trivCond } (\text{withChannel}' \ gl \ name \ None \ (\\
& \quad \quad \lambda\text{- } c. \text{ case } c \text{ of } \text{Channel} - - q \Rightarrow (q = [])) \\
& \quad \quad | \text{HSCchannel} - \Rightarrow \text{True}) \ g \ p) \\
& | \text{exprArith } g \ p \ (\text{ExprEmpty } (\text{ChanRef } (\text{VarRef } gl \ name \ (\text{Some } idx)))) = \\
& \quad \text{trivCond } (\text{withChannel}' \ gl \ name \ (\text{Some } (\text{exprArith } g \ p \ idx)) \ (\\
& \quad \quad \lambda\text{- } c. \text{ case } c \text{ of } \text{Channel} - - q \Rightarrow (q = [])) \\
& \quad \quad | \text{HSCchannel} - \Rightarrow \text{True}) \ g \ p) \\
& | \text{exprArith } g \ p \ (\text{ExprFull } (\text{ChanRef } (\text{VarRef } gl \ name \ None))) = \\
& \quad \text{trivCond } (\text{withChannel}' \ gl \ name \ None \ (\\
& \quad \quad \lambda\text{- } c. \text{ case } c \text{ of} \\
& \quad \quad \quad \text{Channel } cap - q \Rightarrow \text{integer-of-nat } (\text{length } q) \geq cap \\
& \quad \quad | \text{HSCchannel} - \Rightarrow \text{False}) \ g \ p) \\
& | \text{exprArith } g \ p \ (\text{ExprFull } (\text{ChanRef } (\text{VarRef } gl \ name \ (\text{Some } idx)))) = \\
& \quad \text{trivCond } (\text{withChannel}' \ gl \ name \ (\text{Some } (\text{exprArith } g \ p \ idx)) \ (\\
& \quad \quad \lambda\text{- } c. \text{ case } c \text{ of} \\
& \quad \quad \quad \text{Channel } cap - q \Rightarrow \text{integer-of-nat } (\text{length } q) \geq cap \\
& \quad \quad | \text{HSCchannel} - \Rightarrow \text{False}) \ g \ p) \\
& | \text{exprArith } g \ p \ (\text{ExprVarRef } (\text{VarRef } gl \ name \ None)) = \\
& \quad \text{withVar}' \ gl \ name \ None \ id \ g \ p \\
& | \text{exprArith } g \ p \ (\text{ExprVarRef } (\text{VarRef } gl \ name \ (\text{Some } idx))) = \\
& \quad \text{withVar}' \ gl \ name \ (\text{Some } (\text{exprArith } g \ p \ idx)) \ id \ g \ p \\
& | \text{exprArith } g \ p \ (\text{ExprUnOp } \text{UnOpMinus } expr) = 0 - \text{exprArith } g \ p \ expr \\
& | \text{exprArith } g \ p \ (\text{ExprUnOp } \text{UnOpNeg } expr) = ((\text{exprArith } g \ p \ expr) + 1) \bmod 2 \\
& | \text{exprArith } g \ p \ (\text{ExprBinOp } \text{BinOpAdd } lexpr \ rexp) = \\
& \quad (\text{exprArith } g \ p \ lexpr) + (\text{exprArith } g \ p \ rexp) \\
& | \text{exprArith } g \ p \ (\text{ExprBinOp } \text{BinOpSub } lexpr \ rexp) = \\
& \quad (\text{exprArith } g \ p \ lexpr) - (\text{exprArith } g \ p \ rexp) \\
& | \text{exprArith } g \ p \ (\text{ExprBinOp } \text{BinOpMul } lexpr \ rexp) = \\
& \quad (\text{exprArith } g \ p \ lexpr) * (\text{exprArith } g \ p \ rexp) \\
& | \text{exprArith } g \ p \ (\text{ExprBinOp } \text{BinOpDiv } lexpr \ rexp) = \\
& \quad (\text{exprArith } g \ p \ lexpr) \text{ div } (\text{exprArith } g \ p \ rexp) \\
& | \text{exprArith } g \ p \ (\text{ExprBinOp } \text{BinOpMod } lexpr \ rexp) = \\
& \quad (\text{exprArith } g \ p \ lexpr) \bmod (\text{exprArith } g \ p \ rexp) \\
& | \text{exprArith } g \ p \ (\text{ExprBinOp } \text{BinOpGr } lexpr \ rexp) =
\end{aligned}$$

```

    trivCond (exprArith g p levr > exprArith g p rlevr)

| exprArith g p (ExprBinOp BinOpLe levr rlevr) =
    trivCond (exprArith g p levr < exprArith g p rlevr)

| exprArith g p (ExprBinOp BinOpGEq levr rlevr) =
    trivCond (exprArith g p levr ≥ exprArith g p rlevr)

| exprArith g p (ExprBinOp BinOpLEq levr rlevr) =
    trivCond (exprArith g p levr ≤ exprArith g p rlevr)

| exprArith g p (ExprBinOp BinOpEq levr rlevr) =
    trivCond (exprArith g p levr = exprArith g p rlevr)

| exprArith g p (ExprBinOp BinOpNEq levr rlevr) =
    trivCond (exprArith g p levr ≠ exprArith g p rlevr)

| exprArith g p (ExprBinOp BinOpAnd levr rlevr) =
    trivCond (exprArith g p levr ≠ 0 ∧ exprArith g p rlevr ≠ 0)

| exprArith g p (ExprBinOp BinOpOr levr rlevr) =
    trivCond (exprArith g p levr ≠ 0 ∨ exprArith g p rlevr ≠ 0)

| exprArith g p (ExprCond clevr rlevr flevr) =
    (if exprArith g p clevr ≠ 0 then exprArith g p rlevr
     else exprArith g p flevr)

| exprArith g p (ExprPoll (ChanRef (VarRef gl name None)) rs srt) =
    trivCond (withChannel' gl name None (
        λ- c. pollCheck g p c rs srt) g p)

| exprArith g p (ExprPoll (ChanRef (VarRef gl name (Some idx))) rs srt) =
    trivCond (withChannel' gl name (Some (exprArith g p idx)) (
        λ- c. pollCheck g p c rs srt) g p)

| pollCheck g p InvChannel - - =
    abort STR "Channel already closed / invalid." (λ-. False)
| pollCheck g p (HSCChannel -) - - = False
| pollCheck g p (Channel - - q) rs srt = (
    if q = [] then False
    else if ¬ srt then recvArgsCheck g p rs (hd q)
    else List.find (recvArgsCheck g p rs) q ≠ None)

| recvArgsCheck - - [] [] = True
| recvArgsCheck - - - [] =
    abort STR "Length mismatch on receiving." (λ-. False)
| recvArgsCheck - - [] - =
    abort STR "Length mismatch on receiving." (λ-. False)
| recvArgsCheck g p (r#rs) (v#vs) = ((

```

```

case r of
  RecvArgConst c  $\Rightarrow$  c = v
| RecvArgMConst c -  $\Rightarrow$  c = v
| RecvArgVar var  $\Rightarrow$  True
| RecvArgEval e  $\Rightarrow$  exprArith g p e = v )  $\wedge$  recvArgsCheck g p rs vs)

```

getVar' etc. do operate on name, index, ... directly. Lift them to use *VarRef* instead.

fun *liftVar* **where**

```

liftVar f ( VarRef gl v idx) argm g p =
  f gl v (map-option (exprArith g p) idx) argm g p

```

definition *getVar* v = *liftVar* (λ gl v idx arg. *getVar'* gl v idx) v ()

definition *setVar* = *liftVar* *setVar'*

definition *withVar* = *liftVar* *withVar'*

primrec *withChannel*

```

where withChannel (ChanRef v) = liftVar withChannel' v

```

lemma *setVar-gState-progress-rel*:

assumes *gState-inv prog g*

shows (*g*, *fst* (*setVar* v val *g* p)) \in *gState-progress-rel prog*

<proof>

lemmas *setVar-gState-inv* =

setVar-gState-progress-rel[*THEN gState-progress-rel-gState-invI2*]

lemma *setVar-pState-inv*:

assumes *pState-inv prog p*

shows *pState-inv prog* (*snd* (*setVar* v val *g* p))

<proof>

lemma *setVar-cl-inv*:

assumes *cl-inv* (*g*,*p*)

shows *cl-inv* (*setVar* v val *g* p)

<proof>

5.4 Variable declaration

lemma *channel-inv-code* [*code*]:

channel-inv (*Channel cap ts q*)

\longleftrightarrow *cap* \leq *max-array-size*

\wedge $0 \leq$ *cap*

\wedge *for-all* *varType-inv* *ts*

\wedge *length* *ts* \leq *max-array-size*

\wedge *length* *q* \leq *max-array-size*

\wedge *for-all* (λx . *length* *x* = *length* *ts*)

\wedge *for-all* (λy . *y* \geq *min-var-value*

\wedge *y* \leq *max-var-value*) *x*) *q*

```

channel-inv (HSCchannel ts)
 $\longleftrightarrow$  for-all varType-inv ts  $\wedge$  length ts  $\leq$  max-array-size
<proof>

primrec toVariable
  :: 'a gState-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  pState  $\Rightarrow$  varDecl  $\Rightarrow$  String.literal * variable * channels
where
  toVariable g p (VarDeclNum lb hb name siz init) = (
    let type = VTbounded lb hb in
    if  $\neg$  varType-inv type then abortv STR "Invalid var def (varType-inv failed): "
    " name
      (lambda-. (name, Var VTChan 0, []))
    else
    let
      init = checkVarValue type (case init of
        None  $\Rightarrow$  0
        | Some e  $\Rightarrow$  exprArith g p e);
      v = (case siz of
        None  $\Rightarrow$  Var type init
        | Some s  $\Rightarrow$  if nat-of-integer s  $\leq$  max-array-size
          then VArray type (nat-of-integer s)
            (IArray.tabulate (s, lambda-. init))
          else abortv STR "Invalid var def (array too large): " name
            (lambda-. Var VTChan 0))
    in
    (name, v, []))

| toVariable g p (VarDeclChan name siz types) = (
  let
    size = (case siz of None  $\Rightarrow$  1 | Some s  $\Rightarrow$  nat-of-integer s);
    chans = (case types of
      None  $\Rightarrow$  []
      | Some (cap, tys)  $\Rightarrow$ 
        let C = (if cap = 0 then HSCchannel tys
          else Channel cap tys []) in
        if  $\neg$  channel-inv C
        then abortv STR "Invalid var def (channel-inv failed): "
          name (lambda-. [])
        else replicate size C);
    cidx = (case types of
      None  $\Rightarrow$  0
      | Some -  $\Rightarrow$  integer-of-nat (length (channels g)));
    v = (case siz of
      None  $\Rightarrow$  Var VTChan cidx
      | Some s  $\Rightarrow$  if nat-of-integer s  $\leq$  max-array-size
        then VArray VTChan (nat-of-integer s)
          (IArray.tabulate (s,
            lambda i. if cidx = 0 then 0
            else i + cidx))
  in
  (name, v, chans))

```

```

      else abortv STR "Invalid var def (array too large): "
        name (λ-. Var VTChan 0))

in
  (name, v, chans))

lemma toVariable-variable-inv:
  assumes gState-inv prog g
  shows variable-inv (fst (snd (toVariable g p v)))
  ⟨proof⟩
  including integer.lifting
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma toVariable-channels-inv:
  shows ∀ x ∈ set (snd (snd (toVariable g p v))). channel-inv x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma toVariable-channels-inv':
  shows toVariable g p v = (a,b,c) ⇒ ∀ x ∈ set c. channel-inv x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma toVariable-variable-inv':
  shows gState-inv prog g ⇒ toVariable g p v = (a,b,c) ⇒ variable-inv b
  ⟨proof⟩

definition mkChannels
  :: 'a gState-scheme ⇒ pState ⇒ channels ⇒ 'a gState-scheme * pState
where
  mkChannels g p cs = (
    if cs = [] then (g,p) else
    let l = length (channels g) in
    if l + length cs > max-channels
    then abort STR "Too much channels" (λ-. (g,p))
    else let
      csp = map integer-of-nat [l..p⟧)
    in
      (g', p'))

lemma mkChannels-gState-progress-rel:
  gState-inv prog g
  ⇒ set cs ⊆ Collect channel-inv
  ⇒ (g, fst (mkChannels g p cs)) ∈ gState-progress-rel prog
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas mkChannels-gState-inv =
  mkChannels-gState-progress-rel[THEN gState-progress-rel-gState-invI2]

lemma mkChannels-pState-inv:

```

$pState\text{-}inv\ prog\ p$
 $\implies cl\text{-}inv\ (g,p)$
 $\implies pState\text{-}inv\ prog\ (snd\ (mkChannels\ g\ p\ cs))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
including *integer.lifting*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mkChannels-cl-inv*:
 $cl\text{-}inv\ (g,p) \implies cl\text{-}inv\ (mkChannels\ g\ p\ cs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *mkVarChannel*
 $:: varDecl$
 $\implies ((var\text{-}dict \implies var\text{-}dict) \implies 'a\ gState\text{-}scheme * pState$
 $\implies 'a\ gState\text{-}scheme * pState)$
 $\implies 'a\ gState\text{-}scheme \implies pState$
 $\implies 'a\ gState\text{-}scheme * pState$

where
 $mkVarChannel\ v\ upd\ g\ p = ($
 $\quad let$
 $\quad\quad (k,v,cs) = toVariable\ g\ p\ v;$
 $\quad\quad (g',p') = upd\ (lm.update\ k\ v)\ (g,p)$
 $\quad in$
 $\quad\quad mkChannels\ g'\ p'\ cs)$

lemma *mkVarChannel-gState-inv*:
assumes $gState\text{-}inv\ prog\ g$
and $\bigwedge k\ v'\ cs. toVariable\ g\ p\ v = (k,v',cs)$
 $\implies gState\text{-}inv\ prog\ (fst\ (upd\ (lm.update\ k\ v')\ (g,p)))$
shows $gState\text{-}inv\ prog\ (fst\ (mkVarChannel\ v\ upd\ g\ p))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mkVarChannel-gState-progress-rel*:
assumes $gState\text{-}inv\ prog\ g$
and $\bigwedge k\ v'\ cs. toVariable\ g\ p\ v = (k,v',cs)$
 $\implies (g, fst\ (upd\ (lm.update\ k\ v')\ (g,p))) \in gState\text{-}progress\text{-}rel\ prog$
shows $(g, fst\ (mkVarChannel\ v\ upd\ g\ p)) \in gState\text{-}progress\text{-}rel\ prog$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mkVarChannel-pState-inv*:
assumes $pState\text{-}inv\ prog\ p$
and $cl\text{-}inv\ (g,p)$
and $\bigwedge k\ v'\ cs. toVariable\ g\ p\ v = (k,v',cs)$
 $\implies cl\text{-}inv\ (upd\ (lm.update\ k\ v')\ (g,p))$
and $\bigwedge k\ v'\ cs. toVariable\ g\ p\ v = (k,v',cs)$
 $\implies pState\text{-}inv\ prog\ (snd\ (upd\ (lm.update\ k\ v')\ (g,p)))$
shows $pState\text{-}inv\ prog\ (snd\ (mkVarChannel\ v\ upd\ g\ p))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mkVarChannel-cl-inv*:
assumes *cl-inv* (*g,p*)
and $\bigwedge k\ v'\ cs. \text{toVariable } g\ p\ v = (k,v',cs) \implies \text{cl-inv } (\text{upd } (\text{lm.update } k\ v')\ (g,p))$
shows *cl-inv* (*mkVarChannel v upd g p*)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *mkVarChannelProc*
 $:: \text{procVarDecl} \Rightarrow 'a\ \text{gState-scheme} \Rightarrow \text{pState} \Rightarrow 'a\ \text{gState-scheme} * \text{pState}$
where
 $\text{mkVarChannelProc } v\ g\ p = ($
 let
 $\text{v}' = \text{case } v \text{ of}$
 $\text{ProcVarDeclNum } lb\ hb\ \text{name } sz\ \text{init} \Rightarrow$
 $\text{VarDeclNum } lb\ hb\ \text{name } sz\ \text{init}$
 $| \text{ProcVarDeclChan } \text{name } sz \Rightarrow$
 $\text{VarDeclChan } \text{name } sz\ \text{None};$
 $(k,v,cs) = \text{toVariable } g\ p\ v'$
 in
 $\text{mkVarChannel } v' (\text{apsnd} \circ \text{pState.vars-update})\ g\ p)$

lemma *mkVarChannelProc-gState-progress-rel*:
assumes *gState-inv prog g*
shows $(g, \text{fst } (\text{mkVarChannelProc } v\ g\ p)) \in \text{gState-progress-rel prog}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *mkVarChannelProc-gState-inv* =
 $\text{mkVarChannelProc-gState-progress-rel}[\text{THEN } \text{gState-progress-rel-gState-invI2}]$

lemma *toVariable-name*:
 $\text{toVariable } g\ p\ (\text{VarDeclNum } lb\ hb\ \text{name } sz\ \text{init}) = (x,a,b) \implies x = \text{name}$
 $\text{toVariable } g\ p\ (\text{VarDeclChan } \text{name } sz\ t) = (x, a, b) \implies x = \text{name}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *toVariable.simps*[*simp del*]

lemma *statesDecls-process-names*:
assumes $v \in \text{statesDecls } (\text{states prog} !! (\text{pState.idx } p))$
shows $\text{procVarDeclName } v \in \text{process-names } (\text{states prog} !! (\text{pState.idx } p))$
 $(\text{processes prog} !! (\text{pState.idx } p))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mkVarChannelProc-pState-inv*:
assumes *pState-inv prog p*
and *gState-inv prog g*
and *cl-inv* (*g, p*)
and $\text{decl: } v \in \text{statesDecls } (\text{states prog} !! (\text{pState.idx } p))$
shows *pState-inv prog* (*snd* (*mkVarChannelProc v g p*))
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mkVarChannelProc-cl-inv*:
assumes *cl-inv* (*g,p*)
shows *cl-inv* (*mkVarChannelProc v g p*)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.5 Folding

Fold over lists (and lists of lists) of *step/stmnt*. The folding functions are doing a bit more than that, e.g. ensuring the offset into the program array is correct.

definition *step-fold'* **where**
step-fold' g steps (lbls :: labels) pri pos
(nxt :: edgeIndex) (onxt :: edgeIndex option) iB =
foldr ($\lambda \text{step } (pos, \text{nxt}, \text{lbls}, \text{es}).$
*let (*e, enxt, lbls*) = *g step (lbls, pri, pos, nxt, onxt, iB)**
*in (*pos + length e, enxt, lbls, es@e*)*
) steps (pos, nxt, lbls, [])

definition *step-fold* **where**
step-fold g steps lbls pri pos nxt onxt iB = (
let (-,nxt, lbls,s*) = *step-fold' g steps lbls pri pos nxt onxt iB**
*in (*s,nxt, lbls*))*

lemma *step-fold'-cong*:
assumes *lbls = lbls'*
and *pri = pri'*
and *pos = pos'*
and *steps = steps'*
and *nxt = nxt'*
and *onxt = onxt'*
and *iB = iB'*
and $\bigwedge x d. x \in \text{set steps} \implies g \ x \ d = g' \ x \ d$
shows *step-fold' g steps lbls pri pos nxt onxt iB =*
step-fold' g' steps' lbls' pri' pos' nxt' onxt' iB'
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *step-fold-cong[fundef-cong]*:
assumes *lbls = lbls'*
and *pri = pri'*
and *pos = pos'*
and *steps = steps'*
and *nxt = nxt'*
and *onxt = onxt'*
and *iB = iB'*
and $\bigwedge x d. x \in \text{set steps} \implies g \ x \ d = g' \ x \ d$
shows *step-fold g steps lbls pri pos nxt onxt iB =*
step-fold g' steps' lbls' pri' pos' nxt' onxt' iB'
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *step-foldL-step* **where**
step-foldL-step - - - [] (pos, nxt, lbls, es, is) = (pos, nxt, lbls, es, is)
| *step-foldL-step* g pri onxt (s#steps) (pos, nxt, lbls, es, is) = (
 let (pos', nxt', lbls', ss') = *step-fold'* g steps lbls pri pos nxt onxt False in
 let (s', nxt'', lbls'') = g s (lbls', pri, pos', nxt', onxt, True) in
 let rs = butlast s'; s'' = last s' in
 (pos' + length rs, nxt, lbls'', es@ss'@rs, s''#is))

definition *step-foldL* **where**
step-foldL g stepss lbls pri pos nxt onxt =
 foldr (*step-foldL-step* g pri onxt) stepss (pos, nxt, lbls, [], [])

lemma *step-foldL-step-cong*:
assumes pri = pri'
and onxt = onxt'
and s = s'
and d = d'
and $\bigwedge x d. x \in \text{set } s \implies g \ x \ d = g' \ x \ d$
shows *step-foldL-step* g pri onxt s d = *step-foldL-step* g' pri' onxt' s' d'
⟨proof⟩

lemma *step-foldL-cong[fundef-cong]*:
assumes lbls = lbls'
and pri = pri'
and pos = pos'
and stepss = stepss'
and nxt = nxt'
and onxt = onxt'
and $\bigwedge x x' d. x \in \text{set } \text{stepss} \implies x' \in \text{set } x \implies g \ x' \ d = g' \ x' \ d$
shows *step-foldL* g stepss lbls pri pos nxt onxt =
 step-foldL g' stepss' lbls' pri' pos' nxt' onxt'
⟨proof⟩

5.6 Starting processes

definition *modProcArg*
:: (procArg * integer) \Rightarrow String.literal * variable
where
modProcArg x = (
 case x of
 (ProcArg ty name, val) \Rightarrow if varType-inv ty
 then let init = checkVarValue ty val
 in (name, Var ty init)
 else abortv STR "Invalid proc arg (varType-inv failed)"
 name (λ -. (name, Var VTChan 0)))

definition *emptyProc* :: pState
— The empty process.

where

emptyProc = $\langle pid = 0, vars = lm.empty(), pc = 0, channels = [], idx = 0 \rangle$

lemma *vardict-inv-empty*:

vardict-inv ss proc (lm.empty())

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *emptyProc-cl-inv[simp]*:

cl-inv (g, emptyProc)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *emptyProc-pState-inv*:

assumes *program-inv prog*

shows *pState-inv prog emptyProc*

$\langle proof \rangle$

fun *mkProc*

$:: 'a\ gState\ scheme \Rightarrow pState$

$\Rightarrow String.literal \Rightarrow expr\ list \Rightarrow process \Rightarrow nat$

$\Rightarrow 'a\ gState\ scheme * pState$

where

mkProc g p name args (sid_x, start, argDecls, decls) pidN = (

let start = *case start of*

Index x $\Rightarrow x$

$| - \Rightarrow abortv\ STR\ "Process\ start\ is\ not\ index:\ " name (\lambda-. 0)$

in

— sanity check

if length args \neq *length argDecls*

then abortv STR "Signature mismatch: " name ($\lambda-. (g, emptyProc)$)

else

let

— evaluate args (in the context of the calling process)

eArgs = *map (exprArith g p) args*;

— replace the init part of *argDecls*

argVars = *map modProcArg (zip argDecls eArgs)*;

— add *-pid* to vars

pidI = *integer-of-nat pidN*;

argVars = (*STR "-pid"*, *Var (VTBounded 0 pidI) pidI*)#*argVars*;

argVars = *lm.to-map argVars*;

— our new process

p = $\langle pid = pidN, vars = argVars, pc = start, channels = [], idx = sid_x \rangle$

in

— apply the declarations

foldl ($\lambda(g,p)\ d.\ mkVarChannel\ d\ (apsnd \circ pState.vars-update)\ g\ p$)

(*g,p*)

decls)

```

lemma mkProc-gState-progress-rel:
  assumes gState-inv prog g
  shows  $(g, \text{fst } (\text{mkProc } g \ p \ \text{name args } (\text{processes prog} \ !! \ \text{sid}x) \ \text{pid}N)) \in$ 
    gState-progress-rel prog
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemmas mkProc-gState-inv =
  mkProc-gState-progress-rel [THEN gState-progress-rel-gState-invI2]

lemma mkProc-pState-inv:
  assumes program-inv prog
  and gState-inv prog g
  and  $\text{pid}N \leq \text{max-procs}$  and  $\text{pid}N > 0$ 
  and  $\text{sid}x < \text{IArray.length } (\text{processes prog})$ 
  and  $\text{fst } (\text{processes prog} \ !! \ \text{sid}x) = \text{sid}x$ 
  shows pState-inv prog  $(\text{snd } (\text{mkProc } g \ p \ \text{name args } (\text{processes prog} \ !! \ \text{sid}x) \ \text{pid}N))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
  including integer.lifting
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma mkProc-cl-inv:
  assumes cl-inv (g,p)
  shows cl-inv  $(\text{mkProc } g \ p \ \text{name args } (\text{processes prog} \ !! \ \text{sid}x) \ \text{pid}N)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

declare mkProc.simps[simp del]

definition runProc
   $:: \text{String.literal} \Rightarrow \text{expr list} \Rightarrow \text{program}$ 
   $\Rightarrow 'a \ \text{gState-scheme} \Rightarrow \text{pState}$ 
   $\Rightarrow 'a \ \text{gState-scheme} * \text{pState}$ 
where
  runProc name args prog g p = (
    if  $\text{length } (\text{procs } g) \geq \text{max-procs}$ 
    then abort STR "Too many processes"  $(\lambda \cdot. (g,p))$ 
    else let  $\text{pid} = \text{length } (\text{procs } g) + 1$  in
      case lm.lookup name (proc-data prog) of
        None  $\Rightarrow$  abortv STR "No such process: " name
           $(\lambda \cdot. (g,p))$ 
        | Some proc-idx  $\Rightarrow$ 
          let  $(g', \text{proc}) = \text{mkProc } g \ p \ \text{name args } (\text{processes prog} \ !! \ \text{proc-idx}) \ \text{pid}$ 
          in  $(g'[\text{procs} := \text{procs } g \ @ \ [\text{proc}]], p)$ 
  )

lemma runProc-gState-progress-rel:
  assumes program-inv prog
  and gState-inv prog g
  and pState-inv prog p
  and cl-inv (g,p)

```

shows $(g, \text{fst } (\text{runProc name args prog } g \ p)) \in \text{gState-progress-rel prog}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas $\text{runProc-gState-inv} =$
 $\text{runProc-gState-progress-rel}[\text{THEN gState-progress-rel-gState-invI2}]$

lemma runProc-pState-id :
 $\text{snd } (\text{runProc name args prog } g \ p) = p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{runProc-pState-inv}$:
assumes $\text{pState-inv prog } p$
shows $\text{pState-inv prog } (\text{snd } (\text{runProc name args prog } g \ p))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma runProc-cl-inv :
assumes program-inv prog
and $\text{gState-inv prog } g$
and $\text{pState-inv prog } p$
and $\text{cl-inv } (g, p)$
shows $\text{cl-inv } (\text{runProc name args prog } g \ p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.7 AST to edges

type-synonym $\text{ast} = \text{AST.module list}$

In this section, the AST is translated into the transition system.

Handling atomic blocks is non-trivial. Therefore, we do this in an extra pass: lp and hp are the positions of the start and the end of the atomic block. Every edge pointing into this range is therefore marked as *Atomic*. If they are pointing somewhere else, they are set to *InAtomic*, meaning: they start *in* an atomic block, but leave it afterwards.

definition $\text{atomize} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{edge list} \Rightarrow \text{edge list}$ **where**

$\text{atomize } lp \ hp \ es = \text{fold } (\lambda e \ es.$

$\text{let } e' = \text{case target } e \text{ of}$

$\text{LabelJump} - \text{None} \Rightarrow$

- Labels are checked again later on, when they
 - are going to be resolved. Hence it is safe to say
 - *atomic* here, especially as the later algorithm
 - relies on targets in atomic blocks to be marked as such.
- $e \langle \text{atomic} := \text{InAtomic} \rangle$

| $\text{LabelJump} - (\text{Some } via) \Rightarrow$

- $\text{if } lp \leq via \wedge hp \geq via \text{ then } e \langle \text{atomic} := \text{Atomic} \rangle$
- $\text{else } e \langle \text{atomic} := \text{InAtomic} \rangle$

| $\text{Index } p' \Rightarrow$

- $\text{if } lp \leq p' \wedge hp \geq p' \text{ then } e \langle \text{atomic} := \text{Atomic} \rangle$
- $\text{else } e \langle \text{atomic} := \text{InAtomic} \rangle$

in e'#es) es []

```
fun skip — No-(edge)
where
  skip (lbls, pri, pos, nxt, -) =
    ([[cond = ECEExpr (ExprConst 1),
      effect = EEId, target = nxt, prio = pri,
      atomic = NonAtomic]], Index pos, lbls)
```

The AST is walked backwards. This allows to know the next state directly.
Parameters used:

lbls Map of Labels

pri Current priority

pos Current position in the array

nxt Next state

onxt Previous 'next state' (where to jump after a 'do')

inBlock Needed for certain constructs to calculate the layout of the array

```
fun stepToState
  :: step
  ⇒ (labels * integer * nat * edgeIndex * edgeIndex option * bool)
  ⇒ edge list list * edgeIndex * labels
and stmtToState
  :: stmt
  ⇒ (labels * integer * nat * edgeIndex * edgeIndex option * bool)
  ⇒ edge list list * edgeIndex * labels
where
  stepToState (StepStmnt s None) data = stmtToState s data
| stepToState (StepStmnt s (Some u)) (lbls, pri, pos, nxt, onxt, -) = (
  let
    — the unless part
    (ues, -, lbls') = stmtToState u (lbls, pri, pos, nxt, onxt, True);
    u = last ues; ues = butlast ues;
    pos' = pos + length ues;

    — find minimal current priority
    pri = min-prio u pri;

    — the guarded part —
    — priority is decreased, because there is now a new unless part with
    — higher prio
    (ses, spos, lbls'') = stmtToState s (lbls', pri - 1, pos', nxt, onxt, False);

    — add an edge to the unless part for each generated state
```

```

    ses = map (List.append u) ses
  in
    (ues@ses, spos, lbls'')

| stepToState (StepDecl decls) (lbls, pri, pos, nxt, onxt, -) = (
  let edgeF = λd (lbls, pri, pos, nxt, -).
    ([[cond = ECTrue, effect = EEDecl d, target = nxt,
      prio = pri, atomic = NonAtomic]]) , Index pos, lbls)
  in
    step-fold edgeF decls lbls pri pos nxt onxt False)

| stepToState StepSkip (lbls, -, -, nxt, -) = ([], nxt, lbls)

| stmtntToState (StmntAtomic steps) (lbls, pri, pos, nxt, onxt, inBlock) = (
  let (es, pos', lbls') = step-fold stepToState steps lbls pri pos nxt onxt inBlock in
  let es' = map (atomize pos (pos + length es)) es in
  (es', pos', lbls'))

| stmtntToState (StmntLabeled l s) (lbls, pri, pos, d) = (
  let
    (es, pos', lbls) = stmtntToState s (lbls, pri, pos, d);

    — We don't resolve goto-chains. If the labeled stmtnt returns only a jump,
    — use this goto state.
    lpos = case pos' of Index p ⇒ p | - ⇒ pos;
    lbls' = add-label l lbls lpos
  in
    (es, pos', lbls'))

| stmtntToState (StmntDo stepss) (lbls, pri, pos, nxt, onxt, inBlock) = (
  let
    — construct the different branches
    — nxt in those branches points current pos (it is a loop after all)
    — onxt then is the current nxt (needed for break, f.ex.)
    (-, -, lbls, es, is) = step-foldL stepToState stepss lbls pri
      (pos+1) (Index pos) (Some nxt);

    — put the branch starting points (is) into the array
    es' = concat is # es
  in
    if inBlock then
      — inside another DO or IF or UNLESS
      — → append branches again, so they can be consumed
      (es' @ [concat is], Index pos, lbls)
    else
      (es', Index pos, lbls)
  )

| stmtntToState (StmntIf stepss) (lbls, pri, pos, nxt, onxt, -) = (

```

$let (pos, -, lbls, es, is) = step-foldL stepToState steps lbls pri pos nxt onxt$
 $in (es @ [concat is], Index pos, lbls)$

$| stmtntToState (StmtntSeq steps) (lbls, pri, pos, nxt, onxt, inBlock) =$
 $step-fold stepToState steps lbls pri pos nxt onxt inBlock$

$| stmtntToState (StmtntAssign v e) (lbls, pri, pos, nxt, -) =$
 $([[[cond = ECTrue, effect = EEAssign v e, target = nxt, prio = pri,$
 $atomic = NonAtomic \emptyset]], Index pos, lbls)$

$| stmtntToState (StmtntAssert e) (lbls, pri, pos, nxt, -) =$
 $([[[cond = ECTrue, effect = EEAssert e, target = nxt, prio = pri,$
 $atomic = NonAtomic \emptyset]], Index pos, lbls)$

$| stmtntToState (StmtntCond e) (lbls, pri, pos, nxt, -) =$
 $([[[cond = ECEExpr e, effect = EEId, target = nxt, prio = pri,$
 $atomic = NonAtomic \emptyset]], Index pos, lbls)$

$| stmtntToState StmtntElse (lbls, pri, pos, nxt, -) =$
 $([[[cond = ECElse, effect = EEId, target = nxt, prio = pri,$
 $atomic = NonAtomic \emptyset]], Index pos, lbls)$

$| stmtntToState StmtntBreak (lbls, pri, -, -, Some onxt, -) =$
 $([[[cond = ECTrue, effect = EEGoto, target = onxt, prio = pri,$
 $atomic = NonAtomic \emptyset]], onxt, lbls)$

$| stmtntToState StmtntBreak (-, -, -, -, None, -) =$
 $abort STR "Misplaced break" (\lambda-. ([, Index 0, lm.empty()])$

$| stmtntToState (StmtntRun n args) (lbls, pri, pos, nxt, onxt, -) =$
 $([[[cond = ECRun n, effect = EERun n args, target = nxt, prio = pri,$
 $atomic = NonAtomic \emptyset]], Index pos, lbls)$

$| stmtntToState (StmtntGoTo l) (lbls, pri, pos, -) =$
 $([[[cond = ECTrue, effect = EEGoto, target = LabelJump l None, prio = pri,$
 $atomic = NonAtomic \emptyset]], LabelJump l (Some pos), lbls)$

$| stmtntToState (StmtntSend v e srt) (lbls, pri, pos, nxt, -) =$
 $([[[cond = ECSend v, effect = EESend v e srt, target = nxt, prio = pri,$
 $atomic = NonAtomic \emptyset]], Index pos, lbls)$

$| stmtntToState (StmtntRecv v r srt rem) (lbls, pri, pos, nxt, -) =$
 $([[[cond = ECRecv v r srt, effect = EERecv v r srt rem, target = nxt, prio =$
 $pri,$
 $atomic = NonAtomic \emptyset]], Index pos, lbls)$

$| stmtntToState StmtntSkip d = skip d$

5.7.1 Setup

definition *endState* :: *edge list* **where**

— An extra state added to each process marking its end.

endState = [\emptyset *cond* = *ECFalse*, *effect* = *EEEnd*, *target* = *Index 0*, *prio* = *0*,
 atomic = *NonAtomic*]

definition *resolveLabel* :: *String.literal* \Rightarrow *labels* \Rightarrow *nat* **where**

resolveLabel *l* *lbls* = (
 case *lm.lookup* *l* *lbls* *of*
 None \Rightarrow *abortv STR "Unresolved label: " l (λ-. 0)*
 | *Some pos* \Rightarrow *pos*)

primrec *resolveLabels* :: *edge list list* \Rightarrow *labels* \Rightarrow *edge list* \Rightarrow *edge list* **where**

resolveLabels - - \emptyset = \emptyset
| *resolveLabels* *edges* *lbls* (*e#es*) = (
 let *check-atomic* = $\lambda pos. fold (\lambda e a. a \wedge inAtomic\ e) (edges\ !\ pos)\ True$ *in*
 case *target* *e* *of*
 Index - \Rightarrow *e*
 | *LabelJump* *l* *None* \Rightarrow
 let *pos* = *resolveLabel* *l* *lbls* *in*
 e [*target* := *Index pos*,
 atomic := *if inAtomic e then*
 if check-atomic pos then Atomic
 else InAtomic
 else NonAtomic]
 | *LabelJump* *l* (*Some via*) \Rightarrow
 let *pos* = *resolveLabel* *l* *lbls* *in*
 e [*target* := *Index pos*,
 — NB: *isAtomic* instead of *inAtomic*, cf *atomize()*
 atomic := *if isAtomic e then*
 if check-atomic pos \wedge check-atomic via then Atomic
 else InAtomic
 else atomic e]
) # (*resolveLabels* *edges* *lbls* *es*)

definition *calculatePrios* :: *edge list list* \Rightarrow (*integer* * *edge list*) *list* **where**

calculatePrios *ess* = *map* ($\lambda es. (min-prio\ es\ 0, es)$) *ess*

definition *toStates* :: *step list* \Rightarrow *states* * *edgeIndex* * *labels* **where**

toStates *steps* = (
 let
 (*states, pos, lbls*) = *step-fold stepToState* *steps* (*lm.empty()*)
 0 1 (*Index 0*) *None False*;
 pos = (*case* *pos* *of*
 Index - \Rightarrow *pos*
 | *LabelJump* *l* - \Rightarrow *Index (resolveLabel l lbls)*);
 states = *endState* # *states*;
 states = *map* (*resolveLabels* *states* *lbls*) *states*;
 states = *calculatePrios* *states*


```

in
case pos of Index s  $\Rightarrow$ 
  if s < length states then (IArray states, pos, lbls)
  else abort STR "Start index out of bounds" ( $\lambda$ -. (IArray states, Index 0,
lbls)))

```

```

lemma toStates-inv:
assumes toStates steps = (ss,start,lbls)
shows  $\exists s$ . start = Index s  $\wedge$  s < IArray.length ss
and IArray.length ss > 0
<proof>

```

```

primrec toProcess
:: nat  $\Rightarrow$  proc  $\Rightarrow$  states * nat * String.literal * (labels * process)
where
toProcess sidx (ProcType act name args decls steps) = (
  let
    (states, start, lbls) = toStates steps;
    act = (case act of
      None  $\Rightarrow$  0
    | Some None  $\Rightarrow$  1
    | Some (Some x)  $\Rightarrow$  nat-of-integer x)
  in
    (states, act, name, lbls, sidx, start, args, decls))
| toProcess sidx (Init decls steps) = (
  let (states, start, lbls) = toStates steps in
  (states, 1, STR ":init:", lbls, sidx, start, [], decls))

```

```

lemma toProcess-sidx:
toProcess sidx p = (ss,a,n,l,idx,r)  $\Longrightarrow$  idx = sidx
<proof>

```

```

lemma toProcess-states-nonempty:
toProcess sidx p = (ss,a,n,l,idx,r)  $\Longrightarrow$  IArray.length ss > 0
<proof>

```

```

lemma toProcess-start:
toProcess sidx p = (ss,a,n,l,idx,start,r)
 $\Longrightarrow \exists s$ . start = Index s  $\wedge$  s < IArray.length ss
<proof>

```

```

lemma toProcess-startE:
assumes toProcess sidx p = (ss,a,n,l,idx,start,r)
obtains s where start = Index s s < IArray.length ss
<proof>

```

The main construction function. Takes an AST and returns an initial state,

and the program (= transition system).

definition $setUp :: ast \Rightarrow program \times gState$ **where**

```

setUp ast = (
  let
    (decls, procs, -) = preprocess ast;
    assertVar = Var (VTBounded 0 1) 0;

    pre-procs = map (case-prod toProcess) (List.enumerate 1 procs);

    procs = IArray ((0, Index 0, [], []) # map (\(-,-,-,p). p) pre-procs);
    labels = IArray (lm.empty() # map (\(-,-,-,l). l) pre-procs);
    states = IArray (IArray [(0,[])] # map (\(s,-). s) pre-procs);
    names = IArray (STR "invalid" # map (\(-,-,n,-). n) pre-procs);

    proc-data = lm.to-map (map (\(-,-,n,-,idx,-). (n,idx)) pre-procs);

    prog = () processes = procs, labels = labels, states = states,
           proc-names = names, proc-data = proc-data ();

    g = () vars = lm.sng (STR "--assert--") assertVar,
        channels = [InvChannel], timeout = False, procs = [] ();
    g' = foldl (\g d.
              fst (mkVarChannel d (apfst o gState.vars-update) g emptyProc)
              ) g decls;
    g'' = foldl (\g (-,a,name,-).
              foldl (\g name.
                  fst (runProc name [] prog g emptyProc)
                  ) g (replicate a name)
              ) g' pre-procs
  in
    (prog, g''))

```

lemma $setUp\text{-}program\text{-}inv'$:
 $program\text{-}inv$ (fst (setUp ast))
 <proof>

lemma $setUp\text{-}program\text{-}inv$:
 assumes $setUp\ ast = (prog, g)$
 shows $program\text{-}inv\ prog$
 <proof>

lemma $setUp\text{-}gState\text{-}inv$:
 assumes $setUp\ ast = (prog, g)$
 shows $gState\text{-}inv\ prog\ g$
 <proof>

5.8 Semantic Engine

After constructing the transition system, we are missing the final part: The successor function on this system. We use SPIN-nomenclature and call it *semantic engine*.

definition $assertVar \equiv VarRef\ True\ (STR\ "--assert--")\ None$

5.8.1 Evaluation of Edges

```

fun evalRecvArgs
  :: recvArg list  $\Rightarrow$  integer list  $\Rightarrow$  gStateI  $\Rightarrow$  pState  $\Rightarrow$  gStateI * pState
where
  evalRecvArgs [] [] g l = (g,l)
  | evalRecvArgs - [] g l =
    abort STR "Length mismatch on receiving." ( $\lambda$ -. (g,l))
  | evalRecvArgs [] - g l =
    abort STR "Length mismatch on receiving." ( $\lambda$ -. (g,l))
  | evalRecvArgs (r#rs) (v#vs) g l = (
    let (g,l) =
      case r of
        RecvArgVar var  $\Rightarrow$  setVar var v g l
      | -  $\Rightarrow$  (g,l)
    in evalRecvArgs rs vs g l)

primrec evalCond
  :: edgeCond  $\Rightarrow$  gStateI  $\Rightarrow$  pState  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  evalCond ECTrue - -  $\longleftrightarrow$  True
  | evalCond ECFalse - -  $\longleftrightarrow$  False
  | evalCond (ECEExpr e) g l  $\longleftrightarrow$  exprArith g l e  $\neq$  0
  | evalCond (ECRun -) g l  $\longleftrightarrow$  length (procs g) < 255
  | evalCond ECElse g l  $\longleftrightarrow$  gStateI.else g
  | evalCond (ECSend v) g l  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    withChannel v ( $\lambda$ -. c.
      case c of
        Channel cap - q  $\Rightarrow$  integer-of-nat (length q) < cap
      | HSChannel -  $\Rightarrow$  True) g l
  | evalCond (ECRecv v rs srt) g l  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    withChannel v ( $\lambda$ i c.
      case c of
        HSChannel -  $\Rightarrow$  handshake g  $\neq$  0  $\wedge$  recvArgsCheck g l rs (hsdata g)
      | -  $\Rightarrow$  pollCheck g l c rs srt) g l

fun evalHandshake
  :: edgeCond  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  gStateI  $\Rightarrow$  pState  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  evalHandshake (ECRecv v -) h g l
     $\longleftrightarrow$  h = 0
     $\vee$  withChannel v ( $\lambda$ i c. case c of

```

$$\begin{array}{l}
HSCchannel - \Rightarrow i = h \\
| Channel - - - \Rightarrow False) \ g \ l \\
| evalHandshake - h - - \longleftrightarrow h = 0
\end{array}$$

primrec *evalEffect*

$:: edgeEffect \Rightarrow program \Rightarrow gState_I \Rightarrow pState \Rightarrow gState_I * pState$

where

$$\begin{array}{l}
evalEffect \ EEEnd - g \ l = (g,l) \\
| evalEffect \ EEId - g \ l = (g,l) \\
| evalEffect \ EEGoto - g \ l = (g,l) \\
| evalEffect \ (EEAssign \ v \ e) - g \ l = setVar \ v \ (exprArith \ g \ l \ e) \ g \ l \\
| evalEffect \ (EEDecl \ d) - g \ l = mkVarChannelProc \ d \ g \ l \\
| evalEffect \ (EERun \ name \ args) \ prog \ g \ l = runProc \ name \ args \ prog \ g \ l \\
| evalEffect \ (EEAssert \ e) - g \ l = (\\
\quad if \ exprArith \ g \ l \ e = 0 \\
\quad then \ setVar \ assertVar \ 1 \ g \ l \\
\quad else \ (g,l)) \\
| evalEffect \ (EESend \ v \ es \ srt) - g \ l = withChannel \ v \ (\lambda i \ c. \\
\quad let \\
\quad \quad ab = \lambda-. \ abort \ STR \ "Length \ mismatch \ on \ sending." \ (\lambda-. \ (g,l)); \\
\quad \quad es = map \ (exprArith \ g \ l) \ es \\
\quad in \\
\quad \quad if \ \neg \ for\text{-}all \ (\lambda x. \ x \geq \ min\text{-}var\text{-}value \wedge \ x \leq \ max\text{-}var\text{-}value) \ es \\
\quad \quad then \ abort \ STR \ "Invalid \ Channel" \ (\lambda-. \ (g,l)) \\
\quad \quad else \\
\quad \quad \quad case \ c \ of \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad Channel \ cap \ ts \ q \Rightarrow \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad if \ length \ ts \neq \ length \ es \vee \ \neg \ (length \ q < \ max\text{-}array\text{-}size) \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad then \ ab() \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad else \ let \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad q' = if \ \neg \ srt \ then \ q@[es] \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad else \ let \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad q = map \ lexlist \ q; \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad q' = insort \ (lexlist \ es) \ q \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad in \ map \ unlex \ q'; \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad g = gState.channels\text{-}update \ (\lambda cs. \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad cs[\ i := Channel \ cap \ ts \ q']) \ g \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad in \ (g,l) \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad | HSCchannel \ ts \Rightarrow \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad if \ length \ ts \neq \ length \ es \ then \ ab() \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad else \ (g[hsdata := es, handshake := i], l) \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad | InvChannel \Rightarrow abort \ STR \ "Trying \ to \ send \ on \ invalid \ channel" \ (\lambda-. \ (g,l)) \\
\quad \quad) \ g \ l \\
| evalEffect \ (EERecv \ v \ rs \ srt \ rem) - g \ l = withChannel \ v \ (\lambda i \ c. \\
\quad case \ c \ of \\
\quad \quad Channel \ cap \ ts \ qs \Rightarrow \\
\quad \quad \quad if \ qs = [] \ then \ abort \ STR \ "Recv \ from \ empty \ channel" \ (\lambda-. \ (g,l)) \\
\quad \quad \quad else \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad let
\end{array}$$

```

      (q', qs') = if ¬ srt then (hd qs, tl qs)
                  else apfst the (find-remove (recvArgsCheck g l rs) qs);
      (g,l) = evalRecvArgs rs q' g l;
      g = if rem
          then gState.channels-update (λcs. cs[ i := Channel cap ts qs']) g
          else g
          — messages are not removed – so no need to update anything
    in (g,l)
  | HSCChannel - ⇒
    let (g,l) = evalRecvArgs rs (hsdata g) g l in
    let g = g[ handshake := 0, hsdata := [] ]
    in (g,l)
  | InvChannel ⇒ abort STR "Receiving on invalid channel" (λ-. (g,l))
) g l

```

lemma *statesDecls-effect*:
assumes $ef \in \text{effect} \text{ 'edgeSet ss}$
and $ef = EEDecl d$
shows $d \in \text{statesDecls ss}$
<proof>

lemma *evalRecvArgs-pState-inv*:
assumes $pState\text{-}inv \text{ prog } p$
shows $pState\text{-}inv \text{ prog } (snd (evalRecvArgs rargs xs g p))$
<proof>

lemma *evalRecvArgs-pState-inv'*:
assumes $evalRecvArgs rargs xs g p = (g', p')$
and $pState\text{-}inv \text{ prog } p$
shows $pState\text{-}inv \text{ prog } p'$
<proof>

lemma *evalRecvArgs-gState-progress-rel*:
assumes $gState\text{-}inv \text{ prog } g$
shows $(g, fst (evalRecvArgs rargs xs g p)) \in gState\text{-}progress\text{-}rel \text{ prog}$
<proof>

lemmas $evalRecvArgs\text{-}gState\text{-}inv =$
 $evalRecvArgs\text{-}gState\text{-}progress\text{-}rel [THEN gState\text{-}progress\text{-}rel\text{-}gState\text{-}invI2]$

lemma *evalRecvArgs-cl-inv*:
assumes $cl\text{-}inv (g,p)$
shows $cl\text{-}inv (evalRecvArgs rargs xs g p)$
<proof>

lemma *evalEffect-pState-inv*:
assumes $pState\text{-}inv \text{ prog } p$
and $gState\text{-}inv \text{ prog } g$
and $cl\text{-}inv (g,p)$

and $e \in \text{effect} \text{ ' edgeSet (states prog !! pState.idx p)}$
shows $p\text{State-inv prog (snd (evalEffect e prog g p))}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *evalEffect-gState-progress-rel*:
assumes *program-inv prog*
and *gState-inv prog g*
and *pState-inv prog p*
and *cl-inv (g,p)*
shows $(g, \text{fst (evalEffect e prog g p)}) \in \text{gState-progress-rel prog}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *evalEffect-cl-inv*:
assumes *cl-inv (g,p)*
and *program-inv prog*
and *gState-inv prog g*
and *pState-inv prog p*
shows *cl-inv (evalEffect e prog g p)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.8.2 Executable edges

To find a successor global state, we first need to find all those edges which are executable (i. e. the condition evaluates to true).

type-synonym *choices = (edge * pState) list*
 — A choice is an executable edge and the process it belongs to.

definition *getChoices :: gState_I \Rightarrow pState \Rightarrow edge list \Rightarrow choices* **where**
getChoices g p = foldl ($\lambda E e.$
 if evalHandshake (cond e) (handshake g) g p \wedge evalCond (cond e) g p
 then (e,p)#E
 else E) []

lemma *getChoices-sub-edges-fst*:
 $\text{fst ' set (getChoices g p es) } \subseteq \text{set es}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *getChoices-sub-edges*:
 $(a,b) \in \text{set (getChoices g p es)} \implies a \in \text{set es}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *getChoices-p-snd*:
 $\text{snd ' set (getChoices g p es) } \subseteq \{p\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *getChoices-p*:
 $(a,b) \in \text{set (getChoices g p es)} \implies b = p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *sort-by-pri* **where**

```

sort-by-pri min-pri edges = foldl ( $\lambda es\ e.$ 
  let idx = nat-of-integer (abs (prio e))
  in if idx > min-pri
    then abort STR "Invalid priority" ( $\lambda -. es$ )
    else let ep = e # (es ! idx) in es[idx := ep]
  ) (replicate (min-pri + 1) []) edges

```

lemma *sort-by-pri-edges'*:

```

assumes set edges  $\subseteq A$ 
shows set (sort-by-pri min-pri edges)  $\subseteq \{xs.\ set\ xs \subseteq A\}$ 
<proof>

```

lemma *sort-by-pri-edges*:

```

assumes set edges  $\subseteq A$ 
and es  $\in set\ (sort-by-pri\ min-pri\ edges)$ 
shows set es  $\subseteq A$ 
<proof>

```

lemma *sort-by-pri-length*:

```

length (sort-by-pri min-pri edges) = min-pri + 1
<proof>

```

definition *executable*

```

:: states iarray  $\Rightarrow$  gStateI  $\Rightarrow$  choices nres
— Find all executable edges

```

where

```

executable ss g = (
  let procs = procs g in
  nfoldli procs ( $\lambda -. True$ ) ( $\lambda p\ E.$ 
    if (exclusive g = 0  $\vee$  exclusive g = pid p) then do {
      let (min-pri, edges) = (ss !! pState.idx p) !! pc p;
      ASSERT(set edges  $\subseteq edgeSet\ (ss !! pState.idx p)$ );

      (E', -, -)  $\leftarrow$ 
        if min-pri = 0 then do {
          WHILET ( $\lambda(E, brk, -). E = [] \wedge brk = 0$ ) ( $\lambda (-, -, ELSE).$  do {
            let g = g[(gStateI.else := ELSE)];
            E = getChoices g p edges
          }
          in
            if E = [] then (
              if  $\neg ELSE$  then RETURN (E, 0::nat, True)
              else RETURN (E, 1, False))
            else RETURN (E, 1, ELSE) } ) ([], 0::nat, False)
        }
    } else do {
      let min-pri = nat-of-integer (abs min-pri);
      let pri-edges = sort-by-pri min-pri edges;
      ASSERT ( $\forall es \in set\ pri-edges.$ 
        set es  $\subseteq edgeSet\ (ss !! pState.idx p)$ );
    }
  )

```

```

    let pri-edges = IArray pri-edges;

    WHILET (λ(E,pri,-). E = [] ∧ pri ≤ min-pri) (λ(-, pri, ELSE). do
{
    let es = pri-edges !! pri;
    let g = g(gStateI.else := ELSE);
    let E = getChoices g p es;
    if E = [] then (
        if ¬ ELSE then RETURN (E,pri,True)
        else RETURN (E, pri + 1, False))
    else RETURN (E, pri, ELSE) }) ([], 0, False)
    };
    RETURN (E'@E)
} else RETURN E
) []
)

```

definition

while-rel1 =
 measure (λx. if x = [] then 1 else 0)
 <*lex*> measure (λx. if x = 0 then 1 else 0)
 <*lex*> measure (λx. if ¬ x then 1 else 0)

lemma *wf-while-rel1*:

wf *while-rel1*
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

while-rel2 mp =
 measure (λx. if x = [] then 1 else 0)
 <*lex*> measure (λx. (mp + 1) - x)
 <*lex*> measure (λx. if ¬ x then 1 else 0)

lemma *wf-while-rel2*:

wf (*while-rel2 mp*)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *executable-edgeSet*:

assumes *gState-inv prog g*
 and *program-inv prog*
 and *ss = states prog*
 shows *executable ss g*
 ≤ SPEC (λcs. ∀ (e,p) ∈ set cs.
 e ∈ edgeSet (ss !! pState.idx p)
 ∧ pState-inv prog p
 ∧ cl-inv (g,p))

⟨proof⟩

lemma *executable-edgeSet'*:

assumes $gState\text{-}inv\ prog\ g$
and $program\text{-}inv\ prog$
shows $executable\ (states\ prog)\ g$
 $\leq SPEC\ (\lambda cs. \forall (e,p) \in set\ cs.$
 $\quad e \in edgeSet\ ((states\ prog)\ !!\ pState.idx\ p)$
 $\quad \wedge\ pState\text{-}inv\ prog\ p$
 $\quad \wedge\ cl\text{-}inv(g,p))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

schematic-goal $executable\text{-}refine$:
 $RETURN\ (?ex\ s\ g) \leq executable\ s\ g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

concrete-definition $executable\text{-}impl$ for $s\ g$ uses $executable\text{-}refine$

5.8.3 Successor calculation

function to_I **where**
 $to_I\ (\mid\ gState.vars = v, channels = ch, timeout = t, procs = p\ \mid)$
 $\quad =\ (\mid\ gState.vars = v, channels = ch, timeout = False, procs = p,$
 $\quad\quad handshake = 0, hsdata = [], exclusive = 0, gState_I.\text{else} = False\ \mid)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
termination $\langle proof \rangle$

function $from_I$ **where**
 $from_I\ (\mid\ gState.vars = v, channels = ch, timeout = t, procs = p, \dots = m\ \mid)$
 $\quad =\ (\mid\ gState.vars = v, channels = ch, timeout = t, procs = p\ \mid)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
termination $\langle proof \rangle$

function $reset_I$ **where**
 $reset_I\ (\mid\ gState.vars = v, channels = ch, timeout = t, procs = p,$
 $\quad\quad handshake = hs, hsdata = hsd, exclusive = -, gState_I.\text{else} = -\ \mid)$
 $\quad =\ (\mid\ gState.vars = v, channels = ch, timeout = False, procs = p,$
 $\quad\quad handshake = 0, hsdata = if\ hs \neq 0\ then\ hsd\ else\ [],\ exclusive = 0,$
 $\quad\quad gState_I.\text{else} = False\ \mid)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
termination $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $gState\text{-}inv\text{-}to_I$:
 $gState\text{-}inv\ prog\ g = gState\text{-}inv\ prog\ (to_I\ g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $gState\text{-}inv\text{-}from_I$:
 $gState\text{-}inv\ prog\ g = gState\text{-}inv\ prog\ (from_I\ g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $gState\text{-}inv\text{-}reset_I$:
 $gState\text{-}inv\ prog\ g = gState\text{-}inv\ prog\ (reset_I\ g)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas $gState\text{-}inv\text{-}I\text{-}simps =$
 $gState\text{-}inv\text{-}to_I \ gState\text{-}inv\text{-}from_I \ gState\text{-}inv\text{-}reset_I$

definition $removeProcs$

— Remove ended processes, if there is no running one with a higher pid.

where

$removeProcs \ ps = foldr \ (\lambda p \ (dead, sd, ps, dcs).$
 $\quad \text{if } dead \wedge pc \ p = 0 \text{ then } (True, True, ps, pState.channels \ p \ @ \ dcs)$
 $\quad \text{else } (False, sd, p\#ps, dcs)) \ ps \ (True, False, [], [])$

lemma $removeProcs\text{-}subset'$:

$set \ (fst \ (snd \ (snd \ (removeProcs \ ps)))) \subseteq set \ ps$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $removeProcs\text{-}length'$:

$length \ (fst \ (snd \ (snd \ (removeProcs \ ps)))) \leq length \ ps$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $removeProcs\text{-}subset$:

$removeProcs \ ps = (dead, sd, ps', dcs) \implies set \ ps' \subseteq set \ ps$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $removeProcs\text{-}length$:

$removeProcs \ ps = (dead, sd, ps', dcs) \implies length \ ps' \leq length \ ps$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $cleanChans :: integer \ list \Rightarrow channels \Rightarrow channels$

— Mark channels of closed processes as invalid.

where

$cleanChans \ dchans \ cs = snd \ (foldl \ (\lambda(i, cs) \ c.$
 $\quad \text{if } List.member \ dchans \ i \text{ then } (i + 1, cs@[InvChannel])$
 $\quad \text{else } (i + 1, cs@[c])) \ (0, []) \ cs)$

lemma $cleanChans\text{-}channel\text{-}inv$:

assumes $set \ cs \subseteq Collect \ channel\text{-}inv$

shows $set \ (cleanChans \ dchans \ cs) \subseteq Collect \ channel\text{-}inv$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $cleanChans\text{-}length$:

$length \ (cleanChans \ dchans \ cs) = length \ cs$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $checkDeadProcs :: 'a \ gState\text{-}scheme \Rightarrow 'a \ gState\text{-}scheme$ **where**

$checkDeadProcs \ g = ($

$\quad \text{let } (-, soDied, procs, dchans) = removeProcs \ (procs \ g) \text{ in}$

$\quad \text{if } soDied \text{ then}$

$g \parallel \text{procs} := \text{procs}, \text{channels} := \text{cleanChans } d\text{chans } (\text{channels } g) \parallel$
 $\text{else } g)$

lemma *checkDeadProcs-gState-progress-rel:*

assumes $g\text{State-inv prog } g$
shows $(g, \text{checkDeadProcs } g) \in g\text{State-progress-rel prog}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gState-progress-rel-exclusive:*

$(g, g') \in g\text{State-progress-rel prog}$
 $\implies (g, g' \parallel \text{exclusive} := p) \in g\text{State-progress-rel prog}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *applyEdge*

$:: \text{program} \Rightarrow \text{edge} \Rightarrow p\text{State} \Rightarrow g\text{State}_I \Rightarrow g\text{State}_I \text{ nres}$

where

$\text{applyEdge prog } e \text{ } p \text{ } g = \text{do } \{$

$\text{let } (g', p') = \text{evalEffect } (\text{effect } e) \text{ prog } g \text{ } p;$
 $\text{ASSERT } ((g, g') \in g\text{State-progress-rel prog});$
 $\text{ASSERT } (p\text{State-inv prog } p');$
 $\text{ASSERT } (cl\text{-inv } (g', p'));$

$\text{let } p'' = (\text{case target } e \text{ of Index } t \Rightarrow$
 $\text{if } t < I\text{Array.length } (\text{states prog} \parallel p\text{State.idx } p') \text{ then } p' \parallel pc := t \parallel$
 $\text{else abort STR "Edge target out of bounds" } (\lambda\text{-. } p')$
 $\mid - \Rightarrow \text{abort STR "Edge target not Index" } (\lambda\text{-. } p'));$
 $\text{ASSERT } (p\text{State-inv prog } p'');$

$\text{let } g'' = g' \parallel \text{procs} := \text{list-update } (\text{procs } g') \text{ (pid } p'' - 1) \text{ } p'' \parallel;$
 $\text{ASSERT } ((g', g'') \in g\text{State-progress-rel prog});$

$\text{let } g''' = (\text{if isAtomic } e \wedge \text{handshake } g'' = 0$
 $\text{then } g'' \parallel \text{exclusive} := \text{pid } p'' \parallel$
 $\text{else } g'');$
 $\text{ASSERT } ((g', g''') \in g\text{State-progress-rel prog});$

$\text{let } g_f = (\text{if } pc \text{ } p'' = 0 \text{ then checkDeadProcs } g''' \text{ else } g''');$
 $\text{ASSERT } ((g''', g_f) \in g\text{State-progress-rel prog});$
 $\text{RETURN } g_f \}$

lemma *applyEdge-gState-progress-rel:*

assumes program-inv prog
and $g\text{State-inv prog } g$
and $p\text{State-inv prog } p$
and $cl\text{-inv } (g, p)$
and $e \in \text{edgeSet } (\text{states prog} \parallel p\text{State.idx } p)$
shows $\text{applyEdge prog } e \text{ } p \text{ } g \leq \text{SPEC } (\lambda g'. (g, g') \in g\text{State-progress-rel prog})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

schematic-goal *applyEdge-refine*:

RETURN (*?ae prog e p g*) \leq *applyEdge prog e p g*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

concrete-definition *applyEdge-impl* for *e p g* uses *applyEdge-refine*

definition *nexts*

$:: \text{program} \Rightarrow \text{gState} \Rightarrow \text{gState} \text{ ls nres}$

— The successor function

where

nexts prog g = (

let

f = *from_I*;

g = *to_I* *g*

in

REC ($\lambda D \ g. \text{do } \{$

E \leftarrow *executable* (*states prog*) *g*;

if *E* = [] then

if *handshake g* $\neq 0$ then

— HS not possible – remove current step

RETURN (*ls.empty*())

else if *exclusive g* $\neq 0$ then

— Atomic blocks – just return current state

RETURN (*ls.sng* (*f g*))

else if \neg *timeout g* then

— Set timeout

D (*g*(*timeout* := *True*))

else

— If all else fails: stutter

RETURN (*ls.sng* (*f* (*reset_I* *g*))))

else

— Setting the internal variables (*exclusive*, *handshake*, ...) to 0

— is safe – they are either set by the edges, or not thought

— to be used outside *executable*.

let *g* = *reset_I* *g* in

ifoldli E ($\lambda -. \text{True}$) ($\lambda(e,p) \ G.$

applyEdge prog e p g $\gg=$ ($\lambda \ g'.$

if *handshake g'* $\neq 0 \vee$ *isAtomic e* then do {

G_R \leftarrow *D g'*;

if *ls.isEmpty G_R* \wedge *handshake g'* = 0 then

— this only happens if the next step is a handshake, which fails

— hence we stay at the current state

RETURN (*ls.ins* (*f g'*) *G*)

else

RETURN (*ls.union G_R* *G*)

} else *RETURN* (*ls.ins* (*f g'*) *G*))) (*ls.empty*())

}) *g*

) $\gg= (\lambda G. \text{ if } ls.isEmpty \ G \text{ then } RETURN \ (ls.sng \ (f \ g)) \text{ else } RETURN \ G)$

lemma *gState-progress-rel-intros:*

$(to_I \ g, \ gI') \in gState\text{-}progress\text{-}rel \ prog$
 $\implies (g, \text{from}_I \ gI') \in gState\text{-}progress\text{-}rel \ prog$
 $(gI, \ gI') \in gState\text{-}progress\text{-}rel \ prog$
 $\implies (gI, \text{reset}_I \ gI') \in gState\text{-}progress\text{-}rel \ prog$
 $(to_I \ g, \ gI') \in gState\text{-}progress\text{-}rel \ prog$
 $\implies (to_I \ g, \ gI' \backslash \text{timeout} := t) \in gState\text{-}progress\text{-}rel \ prog$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gState-progress-rel-step-intros:*

$(to_I \ g, \ g') \in gState\text{-}progress\text{-}rel \ prog$
 $\implies (\text{reset}_I \ g', \ g'') \in gState\text{-}progress\text{-}rel \ prog$
 $\implies (g, \text{from}_I \ g'') \in gState\text{-}progress\text{-}rel \ prog$
 $(to_I \ g, \ g') \in gState\text{-}progress\text{-}rel \ prog$
 $\implies (\text{reset}_I \ g', \ g'') \in gState\text{-}progress\text{-}rel \ prog$
 $\implies (to_I \ g, \ g'') \in gState\text{-}progress\text{-}rel \ prog$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cl-inv-reset_I:*

$cl\text{-}inv(g, p) \implies cl\text{-}inv(\text{reset}_I \ g, \ p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *refine-helpers =*

gState-progress-rel-intros gState-progress-rel-step-intros cl-inv-reset_I

lemma *nexts-SPEC:*

assumes *gState-inv prog g*
and *program-inv prog*
shows *nexts prog g ≤ SPEC (λgs. ∀ g' ∈ ls. α gs. (g, g') ∈ gState-progress-rel prog)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *RETURN-dRETURN:*

$RETURN \ f \leq f' \implies nres\text{-}of \ (dRETURN \ f) \leq f'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *executable-dRETURN:*

$nres\text{-}of \ (dRETURN \ (\text{executable-impl} \ prog \ g)) \leq \text{executable} \ prog \ g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *applyEdge-dRETURN:*

$nres\text{-}of \ (dRETURN \ (\text{applyEdge-impl} \ prog \ e \ p \ g)) \leq \text{applyEdge} \ prog \ e \ p \ g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

schematic-goal *nexts-code-aux:*

$nres\text{-}of \ (?nexts \ prog \ g) \leq nexts \ prog \ g$

$\langle proof \rangle$

concrete-definition *nexts-code-aux* **for** *prog g* **uses** *nexts-code-aux*
prepare-code-thms *nexts-code-aux-def*

5.8.4 Handle non-termination

A Promela model may include non-terminating parts. Therefore we cannot guarantee, that *nexts* will actually terminate. To avoid having to deal with this in the model checker, we fail in case of non-termination.

definition *SUCCEED-abort* **where**

SUCCEED-abort msg dm m = (
 case *m* of
 RES X \Rightarrow if *X*={} then *Code.abort msg* ($\lambda\cdot$. *dm*) else *RES X*
 | - \Rightarrow *m*)

definition *dSUCCEED-abort* **where**

dSUCCEED-abort msg dm m = (
 case *m* of
 dSUCCEEDi \Rightarrow *Code.abort msg* ($\lambda\cdot$. *dm*)
 | - \Rightarrow *m*)

definition *ref-succeed* **where**

ref-succeed m m' $\longleftrightarrow m \leq m' \wedge (m = \text{SUCCEED} \longrightarrow m' = \text{SUCCEED})$

lemma *dSUCCEED-abort-SUCCEED-abort*:

$\llbracket \text{RETURN } dm' \leq dm; \text{ref-succeed } (nres\text{-of } m') \text{ } m \rrbracket$
 $\implies nres\text{-of } (dSUCCEED\text{-abort msg } (dRETURN \text{ } dm') \text{ } (m'))$
 $\leq SUCCEED\text{-abort msg dm m}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

The final successor function now incorporates:

1. *nexts*
2. handling of non-termination

definition *nexts-code* **where**

nexts-code prog g =
 the-res (*dSUCCEED-abort* (*STR "The Universe is broken!"*)
 (*dRETURN* (*ls.sng g*))
 (*nexts-code-aux prog g*))

lemma *nexts-code-SPEC*:

assumes *gState-inv prog g*
and *program-inv prog*
shows $g' \in ls.\alpha \text{ } (nexts\text{-code prog } g)$
 $\implies (g, g') \in gState\text{-progress-rel prog}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

5.9 Finiteness of the state space

inductive-set *reachable-states*

for $P :: \text{program}$

and $g_s :: gState$ — start state

where

$g_s \in \text{reachable-states } P \ g_s \mid$
 $g \in \text{reachable-states } P \ g_s \implies x \in ls.\alpha \ (\text{nexts-code } P \ g)$
 $\implies x \in \text{reachable-states } P \ g_s$

lemmas *reachable-states-induct*[*case-names init step*] =
reachable-states.induct[*split-format (complete)*]

lemma *reachable-states-finite*:

assumes *program-inv prog*

and *gState-inv prog g*

shows *finite (reachable-states prog g)*

<proof>

5.10 Traces

When trying to generate a lasso, we have a problem: We only have a list of global states. But what are the transitions to come from one to the other?

This problem shall be tackled by *replay*: Given two states, it generates a list of transitions that was taken.

definition *replay* :: $\text{program} \Rightarrow gState \Rightarrow gState \Rightarrow \text{choices nres}$ **where**

replay prog g₁ g₂ = (
let
 $g_1 = to_I \ g_1;$
 $check = \lambda g. from_I \ g = g_2$
in
 $REC_T \ (\lambda D \ g. \text{do } \{$
 $E \leftarrow executable \ (\text{states } prog) \ g;$
if $E = []$ *then*
 $\text{if } check \ g \text{ then } RETURN \ []$
 $\text{else if } \neg timeout \ g \text{ then } D \ (g[timeout := True])$
 $\text{else abort } STR \ "Stuttering should not occur on replay"$
 $(\lambda -. RETURN \ [])$
else
 $\text{let } g = reset_I \ g \text{ in}$
 $nfoldli \ E \ (\lambda E. E = [] \ (\lambda (e,p) -.$
 $\text{applyEdge } prog \ e \ p \ g \gg= (\lambda g'.$
 $\text{if handshake } g' \neq 0 \vee isAtomic \ e \text{ then do } \{$
 $E_R \leftarrow D \ g';$
 $\text{if } E_R = [] \text{ then}$
 $\text{if } check \ g' \text{ then } RETURN \ [(e,p)] \text{ else } RETURN \ []$
 else
 $RETURN \ ((e,p) \# E_R)$
 $\} \text{ else if } check \ g' \text{ then } RETURN \ [(e,p)] \text{ else } RETURN \ [])$
 $\}$

```

    ) []
  }) g1
)

```

lemma *abort-refine*[*refine-transfer*]:
 $nres\text{-}of\ (f\ ()) \leq F\ () \implies nres\text{-}of\ (abort\ s\ f) \leq abort\ s\ F$
 $f() \neq dSUCCEED \implies abort\ s\ f \neq dSUCCEED$
<proof>

schematic-goal *replay-code-aux*:
 $RETURN\ (?replay\ prog\ g_1\ g_2) \leq replay\ prog\ g_1\ g_2$
<proof>

concrete-definition *replay-code* **for** *prog* *g*₁ *g*₂ **uses** *replay-code-aux*
prepare-code-thms *replay-code-def*

5.10.1 Printing of traces

definition *procDescr*
 $:: (integer \Rightarrow string) \Rightarrow program \Rightarrow pState \Rightarrow string$
where
procDescr *f* *prog* *p* = (
 let
 name = *String.explode* (*proc-names* *prog* !! *pState.idx* *p*);
 id = *f* (*integer-of-nat* (*pid* *p*))
 in
 name @ " (" @ *id* @ ")"

definition *printInitial*
 $:: (integer \Rightarrow string) \Rightarrow program \Rightarrow gState \Rightarrow string$
where
printInitial *f* *prog* *g*₀ = (
 let *psS* = *printList* (*procDescr* *f* *prog*) (*procs* *g*₀) [] [] " " in
 "Initially running: " @ *psS*)

abbreviation *lf* $\equiv CHR\ 0x0A$

fun *printConfig*
 $:: (integer \Rightarrow string) \Rightarrow program \Rightarrow gState\ option \Rightarrow gState \Rightarrow string$
where
printConfig *f* *prog* *None* *g*₀ = *printInitial* *f* *prog* *g*₀
| *printConfig* *f* *prog* (*Some* *g*₀) *g*₁ = (
 let *eps* = *replay-code* *prog* *g*₀ *g*₁ in
 let *print* = ($\lambda(e,p). procDescr\ f\ prog\ p\ @\ ":\ " @ printEdge\ f\ (pc\ p)\ e$)
 in if *eps* = [] \wedge *g*₁ = *g*₀ then " -- stutter --"
 else *printList* *print* *eps* [] [] (*lf* # " ")

definition *printConfigFromAST* *f* $\equiv printConfig\ f\ o\ fst\ o\ setUp$

5.11 Code export

code-identifier

code-module *PromelaInvariants* \rightarrow (SML) *Promela*
code-module *PromelaDatastructures* \rightarrow (SML) *Promela*

definition *executable-triv* *prog g* = *executable-impl* (*snd prog*) *g*

definition *apply-triv* *prog g ep* = *applyEdge-impl* *prog* (*fst ep*) (*snd ep*) (*reset_I* *g*)

export-code

setUp printProcesses printConfigFromAST nexts-code executable-triv apply-triv
extractLTLs lookupLTL
checking *SML*

export-code

setUp printProcesses printConfigFromAST nexts-code executable-triv apply-triv
extractLTLs lookupLTL
in *SML*
file \langle *Promela.sml* \rangle

end

6 LTL integration

theory *PromelaLTL*

imports

Promela
LTL.LTL

begin

We have a semantic engine for Promela. But we need to have an integration with LTL – more specifcly, we must know when a proposition is true in a global state. This is achieved in this theory.

6.1 LTL optimization

For efficiency reasons, we do not store the whole *expr* on the labels of a system automaton, but *nat* instead. This index then is used to look up the corresponding *expr*.

type-synonym *APs* = *expr iarray*

primrec *ltlc-aps-list'* :: '*a* *ltlc* \Rightarrow '*a* *list* \Rightarrow '*a* *list*

where

ltlc-aps-list' *True-ltlc l* = *l*
| *ltlc-aps-list'* *False-ltlc l* = *l*
| *ltlc-aps-list'* (*Prop-ltlc p*) *l* = (if *List.member l p* then *l* else *p#l*)
| *ltlc-aps-list'* (*Not-ltlc x*) *l* = *ltlc-aps-list' x l*
| *ltlc-aps-list'* (*Next-ltlc x*) *l* = *ltlc-aps-list' x l*

$| \text{ltlc-aps-list}' (\text{Final-ltlc } x) \text{ } l = \text{ltlc-aps-list}' x \text{ } l$
 $| \text{ltlc-aps-list}' (\text{Global-ltlc } x) \text{ } l = \text{ltlc-aps-list}' x \text{ } l$
 $| \text{ltlc-aps-list}' (\text{And-ltlc } x \text{ } y) \text{ } l = \text{ltlc-aps-list}' y \text{ } (\text{ltlc-aps-list}' x \text{ } l)$
 $| \text{ltlc-aps-list}' (\text{Or-ltlc } x \text{ } y) \text{ } l = \text{ltlc-aps-list}' y \text{ } (\text{ltlc-aps-list}' x \text{ } l)$
 $| \text{ltlc-aps-list}' (\text{Implies-ltlc } x \text{ } y) \text{ } l = \text{ltlc-aps-list}' y \text{ } (\text{ltlc-aps-list}' x \text{ } l)$
 $| \text{ltlc-aps-list}' (\text{Until-ltlc } x \text{ } y) \text{ } l = \text{ltlc-aps-list}' y \text{ } (\text{ltlc-aps-list}' x \text{ } l)$
 $| \text{ltlc-aps-list}' (\text{Release-ltlc } x \text{ } y) \text{ } l = \text{ltlc-aps-list}' y \text{ } (\text{ltlc-aps-list}' x \text{ } l)$
 $| \text{ltlc-aps-list}' (\text{WeakUntil-ltlc } x \text{ } y) \text{ } l = \text{ltlc-aps-list}' y \text{ } (\text{ltlc-aps-list}' x \text{ } l)$
 $| \text{ltlc-aps-list}' (\text{StrongRelease-ltlc } x \text{ } y) \text{ } l = \text{ltlc-aps-list}' y \text{ } (\text{ltlc-aps-list}' x \text{ } l)$

lemma *ltlc-aps-list'-correct:*

$\text{set } (\text{ltlc-aps-list}' \varphi \text{ } l) = \text{atoms-ltlc } \varphi \cup \text{set } l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ltlc-aps-list'-distinct:*

$\text{distinct } l \implies \text{distinct } (\text{ltlc-aps-list}' \varphi \text{ } l)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *ltlc-aps-list* :: 'a ltlc \Rightarrow 'a list

where

$\text{ltlc-aps-list } \varphi = \text{ltlc-aps-list}' \varphi []$

lemma *ltlc-aps-list-correct:*

$\text{set } (\text{ltlc-aps-list } \varphi) = \text{atoms-ltlc } \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ltlc-aps-list-distinct:*

$\text{distinct } (\text{ltlc-aps-list } \varphi)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

primrec *idx'* :: nat \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow nat option **where**

$\text{idx}' - [] = \text{None}$
 $| \text{idx}' \text{ ctr } (x \# xs) \text{ } y = (\text{if } x = y \text{ then } \text{Some } \text{ctr} \text{ else } \text{idx}' (\text{ctr} + 1) \text{ } xs \text{ } y)$

definition *idx* = *idx'* 0

lemma *idx'-correct:*

assumes *distinct xs*
shows $\text{idx}' \text{ ctr } xs \text{ } y = \text{Some } n \iff n \geq \text{ctr} \wedge n < \text{length } xs + \text{ctr} \wedge xs ! (n - \text{ctr})$
 $= y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *idx-correct:*

assumes *distinct xs*
shows $\text{idx } xs \text{ } y = \text{Some } n \iff n < \text{length } xs \wedge xs ! n = y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *idx-dom:*

assumes *distinct xs*

shows $\text{dom } (\text{id}x \text{ } xs) = \text{set } xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *idx-image-self*:
assumes *distinct xs*
shows $(\text{the} \circ \text{id}x \text{ } xs) \text{ } \text{' set } xs = \{..<\text{length } xs\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *idx-ran*:
assumes *distinct xs*
shows $\text{ran } (\text{id}x \text{ } xs) = \{..<\text{length } xs\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *idx-inj-on-dom*:
assumes *distinct xs*
shows $\text{inj-on } (\text{id}x \text{ } xs) (\text{dom } (\text{id}x \text{ } xs))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *ltl-convert* :: $\text{expr ltlc} \Rightarrow \text{APs} \times \text{nat ltlc}$ **where**
 $\text{ltl-convert } \varphi =$
 $\text{let } \text{APs} = \text{ltlc-aps-list } \varphi;$
 $\varphi_i = \text{map-ltlc } (\text{the} \circ \text{id}x \text{ } \text{APs}) \varphi$
 $\text{in } (\text{IArray } \text{APs}, \varphi_i)$

lemma *ltl-convert-correct*:
assumes $\text{ltl-convert } \varphi = (\text{APs}, \varphi_i)$
shows $\text{atoms-ltlc } \varphi = \text{set } (\text{IArray.list-of } \text{APs})$ **(is ?P1)**
and $\text{atoms-ltlc } \varphi_i = \{..<\text{IArray.length } \text{APs}\}$ **(is ?P2)**
and $\varphi_i = \text{map-ltlc } (\text{the} \circ \text{id}x \text{ } (\text{IArray.list-of } \text{APs})) \varphi$ **(is ?P3)**
and $\text{distinct } (\text{IArray.list-of } \text{APs})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *prepare*
:: $- \times (\text{program} \Rightarrow \text{unit}) \Rightarrow \text{ast} \Rightarrow \text{expr ltlc} \Rightarrow (\text{program} \times \text{APs} \times \text{gState}) \times \text{nat ltlc}$
where
 $\text{prepare cfg ast } \varphi \equiv$
 let
 $(\text{prog}, g_0) = \text{Promela.setUp ast};$
 $(\text{APs}, \varphi_i) = \text{PromelaLTL.ltl-convert } \varphi$
 in
 $((\text{prog}, \text{APs}, g_0), \varphi_i)$

lemma *prepare-instrument[code]*:
 $\text{prepare cfg ast } \varphi \equiv$
 let
 $(-, \text{printF}) = \text{cfg};$
 $- = \text{PromelaStatistics.start } ();$
 $(\text{prog}, g_0) = \text{Promela.setUp ast};$

```

- = printf prog;
(APs,  $\varphi_i$ ) = PromelaLTL.ltl-convert  $\varphi$ ;
- = PromelaStatistics.stop-timer ()
in
  ((prog, APs, g0),  $\varphi_i$ )
<proof>

```

export-code *prepare checking SML*

6.2 Language of a Promela program

definition *propValid* :: *APs* \Rightarrow *gState* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

propValid *APs* *g* *i* $\longleftrightarrow i < \text{IArray.length } APs \wedge \text{exprArith } g \text{ emptyProc } (APs!!i) \neq 0$

definition *promela-E* :: *program* \Rightarrow (*gState* \times *gState*) *set*

— Transition relation of a promela program

where

promela-E *prog* $\equiv \{(g, g'). g' \in \text{ls.}\alpha \text{ (nexts-code prog } g)\}$

definition *promela-E-ltl* :: *program* \times *APs* \Rightarrow (*gState* \times *gState*) *set* **where**

promela-E-ltl = *promela-E* \circ *fst*

definition *promela-is-run'* :: *program* \times *gState* \Rightarrow *gState word* \Rightarrow *bool*

— Predicate defining runs of promela programs

where

promela-is-run' *progg* *r* \equiv
 let (*prog*, *g*₀) = *progg* in
 r 0 = *g*₀
 $\wedge (\forall i. r \text{ (Suc } i) \in \text{ls.}\alpha \text{ (nexts-code prog (r } i)))$

abbreviation *promela-is-run* \equiv *promela-is-run'* \circ *setUp*

definition *promela-is-run-ltl* :: *program* \times *APs* \times *gState* \Rightarrow *gState word* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

promela-is-run-ltl *promg* *r* \equiv let (*prog*, *APs*, *g*) = *promg* in *promela-is-run'* (*prog*, *g*)
r

definition *promela-props* :: *gState* \Rightarrow *expr set*

where

promela-props *g* = {*e*. *exprArith* *g* *emptyProc* *e* \neq 0}

definition *promela-props-ltl* :: *APs* \Rightarrow *gState* \Rightarrow *nat set*

where

promela-props-ltl *APs* *g* \equiv *Collect* (*propValid* *APs* *g*)

definition *promela-language* :: *ast* \Rightarrow *expr set word set* **where**

promela-language *ast* $\equiv \{\text{promela-props} \circ r \mid r. \text{promela-is-run } \text{ast } r\}$

definition *promela-language-ltl* :: *program* \times *APs* \times *gState* \Rightarrow *nat set word set*
where

promela-language-ltl promg \equiv *let* (*prog*, *APs*, *g*) = *promg* *in*
 $\{ \text{promela-props-ltl } APs \circ r \mid r. \text{promela-is-run-ltl } promg \ r \}$

lemma *promela-props-ltl-map-aprops*:

assumes *ltl-convert* $\varphi = (APs, \varphi_i)$

shows *promela-props-ltl APs* =

map-props (*idx* (*IArray.list-of APs*)) \circ *promela-props*

<proof>

lemma *promela-run-in-language-iff*:

assumes *conv*: *ltl-convert* $\varphi = (APs, \varphi_i)$

shows *promela-props* $\circ \xi \in \text{language-ltlc } \varphi$

$\longleftrightarrow \text{promela-props-ltl } APs \circ \xi \in \text{language-ltlc } \varphi_i$ (**is** ?*L* \longleftrightarrow ?*R*)

<proof>

lemma *promela-language-sub-iff*:

assumes *conv*: *ltl-convert* $\varphi = (APs, \varphi_i)$

and *setUp*: *setUp ast* = (*prog*, *g*)

shows *promela-language-ltl* (*prog*, *APs*, *g*) $\subseteq \text{language-ltlc } \varphi_i \longleftrightarrow \text{promela-language}$
ast $\subseteq \text{language-ltlc } \varphi$

<proof>

hide-const (**open**) *abort abortv*

err errv

usc

warn the-warn with-warn

hide-const (**open**) *idx idx'*

end

theory *PromelaLTLConv*

imports

Promela

LTL.LTL

begin

6.3 Proposition types and conversion

LTL formulae and propositions are also generated by an SML parser. Hence we have the same setup as for Promela itself: Mirror the data structures and (sometimes) map them to new ones.

This theory is intended purely to be used by frontend code to convert from *propc* to *expr*. The other theories work on *expr* directly.

While we could of course convert directly, that would introduce yet a semantic level.

```

datatype binOp = Eq | Le | LEq | Gr | GEq

datatype ident = Ident String.literal integer option

datatype propc = CProp ident
                | BProp binOp ident ident
                | BExpProp binOp ident integer

fun identConv :: ident ⇒ varRef where
  identConv (Ident name None) = VarRef True name None
| identConv (Ident name (Some i)) = VarRef True name (Some (ExprConst i))

definition ident2expr :: ident ⇒ expr where
  ident2expr = ExprVarRef ∘ identConv

primrec binOpConv :: binOp ⇒ PromelaDatastructures.binOp where
  binOpConv Eq = BinOpEq
| binOpConv Le = BinOpLe
| binOpConv LEq = BinOpLEq
| binOpConv Gr = BinOpGr
| binOpConv GEq = BinOpGEq

primrec propc2expr :: propc ⇒ expr where
  propc2expr (CProp ident) =
    ExprBinOp BinOpEq (ident2expr ident) (ExprConst 1)
| propc2expr (BProp bop il ir) =
    ExprBinOp (binOpConv bop) (ident2expr il) (ident2expr ir)
| propc2expr (BExpProp bop il ir) =
    ExprBinOp (binOpConv bop) (ident2expr il) (ExprConst ir)

definition ltl-conv :: propc ltlc ⇒ expr ltlc where
  ltl-conv = map-ltlc propc2expr

definition printPropc
  :: (integer ⇒ char list) ⇒ propc ⇒ char list
where
  printPropc f p = printExpr f (propc2expr p)

The semantics of a propc is given just for reference.

definition evalPropc :: gState ⇒ propc ⇒ bool where
  evalPropc g p ⇔ exprArith g emptyProc (propc2expr p) ≠ 0

end

```

References

- [1] Promela manual pages. <http://spinroot.com/spin/Man/promela.html>. Accessed: 2013-02-07.

- [2] G. J. Holzmann. *The Spin Model Checker — Primer and Reference Manual*. Addison-Wesley, 2003.