

Projective-Measurements

Mnacho

March 17, 2025

Contents

1 Preliminaries	1
1.1 Misc	1
1.2 Unifying notions between Isabelle Marries Dirac and QHL-Prover	3
1.3 Types to sets lemmata transfers	3
2 Linear algebra complements	4
2.1 Additional properties of matrices	4
2.2 Complements on complex matrices	5
2.3 Tensor product complements	8
2.4 Fixed carrier matrices locale	9
2.5 A locale for square matrices	10
2.6 Projectors	13
2.7 Rank 1 projection	15
3 Projective measurements	17
3.1 First definitions	18
3.2 Measurements with observables	20
3.2.1 On the diagonal elements of a matrix	21
3.2.2 Construction of measurement outcomes	23
3.2.3 Projective measurement associated with an observable	24
3.2.4 Properties on the spectrum of a Hermitian matrix .	27
3.2.5 Similar properties for eigenvalues rather than spectrum indices	30
4 The CHSH inequality	31
4.1 Inequality statement	31
4.2 Properties of specific observables	36
4.2.1 Ket 0, Ket 1 and the corresponding projectors	36
4.2.2 The X and Z matrices and two of their combinations .	37
4.2.3 No local hidden variable	41

```

theory Linear-Algebra-Complements imports
  Isabelle-Marries-Dirac.Tensor
  Isabelle-Marries-Dirac.More-Tensor
  QHLPProver.Gates
  HOL-Types-To-Sets.Group-On-With
  HOL-Probability.Probability

```

```

begin
hide-const(open) S

```

1 Preliminaries

1.1 Misc

```

lemma mult-real-cpx:
  fixes a::complex
  fixes b::complex
  assumes a ∈ Reals
  shows a * (Re b) = Re (a * b) ⟨proof⟩

lemma fct-bound:
  fixes f::complex ⇒ real
  assumes f(-1) + f 1 = 1
  and 0 ≤ f 1
  and 0 ≤ f (-1)
  shows -1 ≤ f 1 - f(-1) ∧ f 1 - f(-1) ≤ 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fct-bound':
  fixes f::complex ⇒ real
  assumes f(-1) + f 1 = 1
  and 0 ≤ f 1
  and 0 ≤ f (-1)
  shows |f 1 - f(-1)| ≤ 1 ⟨proof⟩

lemma pos-sum-1-le:
  assumes finite I
  and ∀ i ∈ I. (0::real) ≤ f i
  and (∑ i ∈ I. f i) = 1
  and j ∈ I
  shows f j ≤ 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma last-subset:
  assumes A ⊆ {a,b}
  and a ≠ b
  and A ≠ {a, b}

```

```

and  $A \neq \{\}$ 
and  $A \neq \{a\}$ 
shows  $A = \{b\}$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma disjoint-Un:
assumes disjoint-family-on  $A$  (insert  $x$   $F$ )
and  $x \notin F$ 
shows  $(A x) \cap (\bigcup_{a \in F} A a) = \{\}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sum-but-one:
assumes  $\forall j < (n::nat). j \neq i \longrightarrow f j = (0::'a::ring)$ 
and  $i < n$ 
shows  $(\sum_{j \in \{0 .. n\}} f j * g j) = f i * g i$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sum-2-elems:
assumes  $I = \{a, b\}$ 
and  $a \neq b$ 
shows  $(\sum_{a \in I} f a) = f a + f b$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sum-4-elems:
shows  $(\sum_{i < (4::nat)} f i) = f 0 + f 1 + f 2 + f 3$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma disj-family-sum:
shows finite  $I \implies$  disjoint-family-on  $A$   $I \implies (\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{finite}(A i)) \implies$ 
 $(\sum_{i \in (\bigcup_{n \in I} A n)} f i) = (\sum_{n \in I} (\sum_{i \in A n} f i))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma integrable-real-mult-right:
fixes  $c::real$ 
assumes integrable  $M f$ 
shows integrable  $M (\lambda w. c * f w)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

1.2 Unifying notions between Isabelle Marries Dirac and QHLPProver

```

lemma mult-conj-cmod-square:
fixes  $z::complex$ 
shows  $z * \text{conjugate } z = (\text{cmod } z)^2$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma vec-norm-sq-cpx-vec-length-sq:
shows  $(\text{vec-norm } v)^2 = (\text{cpx-vec-length } v)^2$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma vec-norm-eq-cpx-vec-length:
  shows vec-norm v = cpx-vec-length v ⟨proof⟩

lemma cpx-vec-length-square:
  shows  $\|v\|^2 = (\sum i = 0..<\dim{\text{vec } v}. (\text{cmod } (\text{Matrix}.\text{vec-index } v \ i))^2)$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma state-qbit-norm-sq:
  assumes v ∈ state-qbit n
  shows  $(\text{cpx-vec-length } v)^2 = 1$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma dagger-adjoint:
  shows dagger M = Complex-Matrix.adjoint M ⟨proof⟩

```

1.3 Types to sets lemmata transfers

context *ab-group-add-on-with begin*

context includes *lifting-syntax assumes ltd: $\exists (Rep::'s \Rightarrow 'a) (Abs::'a \Rightarrow 's)$.*
type-definition Rep Abs S begin
interpretation *local-typedef-ab-group-add-on-with pls z mns um S TYPE('s)* ⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *lt-sum-union-disjoint = sum.union-disjoint*
[*var-simplified explicit-ab-group-add,*
unoverload-type 'c,
OF type.comm-monoid-add-axioms,
untransferred]

lemmas *lt-disj-family-sum = disj-family-sum*
[*var-simplified explicit-ab-group-add,*
unoverload-type 'd,
OF type.comm-monoid-add-axioms,
untransferred]

lemmas *lt-sum-reindex-cong = sum.reindex-cong*
[*var-simplified explicit-ab-group-add,*
unoverload-type 'd,
OF type.comm-monoid-add-axioms,
untransferred]
end

lemmas *sum-with-union-disjoint =*
lt-sum-union-disjoint
[*cancel-type-definition,*
OF carrier-ne,
simplified pred-fun-def, simplified]

lemmas *disj-family-sum-with =*
lt-disj-family-sum

[cancel-type-definition,
 OF carrier-ne,
 simplified pred-fun-def, simplified]

```
lemmas sum-with-reindex-cong =
lt-sum-reindex-cong
[cancel-type-definition,
OF carrier-ne,
simplified pred-fun-def, simplified]
```

end

```
lemma (in comm-monoid-add-on-with) sum-with-cong':
shows finite I  $\Rightarrow$  ( $\bigwedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow A i = B i$ )  $\Rightarrow$  ( $\bigwedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow A i \in S$ )  $\Rightarrow$ 
( $\bigwedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow B i \in S$ )  $\Rightarrow$  sum-with pls z A I = sum-with pls z B I
⟨proof⟩
```

2 Linear algebra complements

2.1 Additional properties of matrices

```
lemma smult-one:
shows (1::'a::monoid-mult) ·m A = A ⟨proof⟩

lemma times-diag-index:
fixes A::'a::comm-ring Matrix.mat
assumes A ∈ carrier-mat n n
and B ∈ carrier-mat n n
and diagonal-mat B
and j < n
and i < n
shows Matrix.vec-index (Matrix.row (A*B) j) i = diag-mat B ! i *A $$ (j, i)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma inner-prod-adjoint-comp:
assumes (U::'a::conjugatable-field Matrix.mat) ∈ carrier-mat n n
and (V::'a::conjugatable-field Matrix.mat) ∈ carrier-mat n n
and i < n
and j < n
shows Complex-Matrix.inner-prod (Matrix.col V i) (Matrix.col U j) =
((Complex-Matrix.adjoint V) * U) $$ (i, j)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma mat-unit-vec-col:
assumes (A::'a::conjugatable-field Matrix.mat) ∈ carrier-mat n n
and i < n
shows A *v (unit-vec n i) = Matrix.col A i
⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma mat-prod-unit-vec-cong:
  assumes (A::'a::conjugatable-field Matrix.mat) ∈ carrier-mat n n
  and B ∈ carrier-mat n n
  and ⋀ i. i < n ⇒ A *v (unit-vec n i) = B *v (unit-vec n i)
  shows A = B
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma smult-smult-times:
  fixes a::'a::semigroup-mult
  shows a ·m (k ·m A) = (a * k) ·m A
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mat-minus-minus:
  fixes A :: 'a :: ab-group-add Matrix.mat
  assumes A ∈ carrier-mat n m
  and B ∈ carrier-mat n m
  and C ∈ carrier-mat n m
  shows A - (B - C) = A - B + C
  ⟨proof⟩

```

2.2 Complements on complex matrices

```

lemma hermitian-square:
  assumes hermitian M
  shows M ∈ carrier-mat (dim-row M) (dim-row M)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma hermitian-add:
  assumes A ∈ carrier-mat n n
  and B ∈ carrier-mat n n
  and hermitian A
  and hermitian B
  shows hermitian (A + B) ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma hermitian-minus:
  assumes A ∈ carrier-mat n n
  and B ∈ carrier-mat n n
  and hermitian A
  and hermitian B
  shows hermitian (A - B) ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma hermitian-smult:
  fixes a::real
  fixes A::complex Matrix.mat
  assumes A ∈ carrier-mat n n
  and hermitian A
  shows hermitian (a ·m A)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma unitary-eigenvalues-norm-square:
  fixes U::complex Matrix.mat
  assumes unitary U
  and U ∈ carrier-mat n n
  and eigenvalue U k
  shows conjugate k * k = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma outer-prod-smult-left:
  fixes v::complex Matrix.vec
  shows outer-prod (a ·v v) w = a ·m outer-prod v w
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma outer-prod-smult-right:
  fixes v::complex Matrix.vec
  shows outer-prod v (a ·v w) = (conjugate a) ·m outer-prod v w
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma outer-prod-add-left:
  fixes v::complex Matrix.vec
  assumes dim-vec v = dim-vec x
  shows outer-prod (v + x) w = outer-prod v w + (outer-prod x w)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma outer-prod-add-right:
  fixes v::complex Matrix.vec
  assumes dim-vec w = dim-vec x
  shows outer-prod v (w + x) = outer-prod v w + (outer-prod v x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma outer-prod-minus-left:
  fixes v::complex Matrix.vec
  assumes dim-vec v = dim-vec x
  shows outer-prod (v - x) w = outer-prod v w - (outer-prod x w)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma outer-prod-minus-right:
  fixes v::complex Matrix.vec
  assumes dim-vec w = dim-vec x
  shows outer-prod v (w - x) = outer-prod v w - (outer-prod v x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma outer-minus-minus:
  fixes a::complex Matrix.vec
  assumes dim-vec a = dim-vec b
  and dim-vec u = dim-vec v
  shows outer-prod (a - b) (u - v) = outer-prod a u - outer-prod a v -
    outer-prod b u + outer-prod b v
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma outer-trace-inner:
  assumes  $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ 
  and  $\text{dim-vec } u = n$ 
  and  $\text{dim-vec } v = n$ 
  shows  $\text{Complex-Matrix.trace}(\text{outer-prod } u \ v * A) = \text{Complex-Matrix.inner-prod}$ 
 $v(A *_v u)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma zero-hermitian:
  shows  $\text{hermitian}(0_m \ n \ n)$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma trace-1:
  shows  $\text{Complex-Matrix.trace}((1_m \ n)::\text{complex Matrix.mat}) = (n::\text{complex})$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma trace-add:
  assumes  $\text{square-mat } A$ 
  and  $\text{square-mat } B$ 
  and  $\text{dim-row } A = \text{dim-row } B$ 
  shows  $\text{Complex-Matrix.trace}(A + B) = \text{Complex-Matrix.trace } A + \text{Complex-Matrix.trace }$ 
 $B$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma bra-vec-carrier:
  shows  $\text{bra-vec } v \in \text{carrier-mat } 1 \ (\text{dim-vec } v)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma mat-mult-ket-carrier:
  assumes  $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ m$ 
  shows  $A * |v\rangle \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ 1$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mat-mult-ket:
  assumes  $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ m$ 
  and  $\text{dim-vec } v = m$ 
  shows  $A * |v\rangle = |A *_v v\rangle$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma unitary-density:
  assumes  $\text{density-operator } R$ 
  and  $\text{unitary } U$ 
  and  $R \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ 
  and  $U \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ 
  shows  $\text{density-operator}(U * R * (\text{Complex-Matrix.adjoint } U))$  ⟨proof⟩

```

2.3 Tensor product complements

```

lemma tensor-vec-dim[simp]:
  shows  $\text{dim-vec}(\text{tensor-vec } u \ v) = \text{dim-vec } u * (\text{dim-vec } v)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma index-tensor-vec[simp]:
  assumes 0 < dim-vec v
  and i < dim-vec u * dim-vec v
  shows vec-index (tensor-vec u v) i =
    vec-index u (i div (dim-vec v)) * vec-index v (i mod dim-vec v)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma outer-prod-tensor-comm:
  fixes a::complex Matrix.vec
  fixes u::complex Matrix.vec
  assumes 0 < dim-vec a
  and 0 < dim-vec b
  shows outer-prod (tensor-vec u v) (tensor-vec a b) = tensor-mat (outer-prod u a)
  (outer-prod v b)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma tensor-mat-adjoint:
  assumes m1 ∈ carrier-mat r1 c1
  and m2 ∈ carrier-mat r2 c2
  and 0 < c1
  and 0 < c2
  and 0 < r1
  and 0 < r2
  shows Complex-Matrix.adjoint (tensor-mat m1 m2) =
    tensor-mat (Complex-Matrix.adjoint m1) (Complex-Matrix.adjoint m2)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma index-tensor-mat':
  assumes 0 < dim-col A
  and 0 < dim-col B
  and i < dim-row A * dim-row B
  and j < dim-col A * dim-col B
  shows (A ⊗ B) $$ (i, j) =
    A $$ (i div (dim-row B), j div (dim-col B)) * B $$ (i mod (dim-row B), j mod
  (dim-col B))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma tensor-mat-carrier:
  shows tensor-mat U V ∈ carrier-mat (dim-row U * dim-row V) (dim-col U *
  dim-col V) ⟨proof⟩

lemma tensor-mat-id:
  assumes 0 < d1
  and 0 < d2
  shows tensor-mat (1_m d1) (1_m d2) = 1_m (d1 * d2)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma tensor-mat-hermitian:

```

```

assumes A ∈ carrier-mat n n
and B ∈ carrier-mat n' n'
and 0 < n
and 0 < n'
and hermitian A
and hermitian B
shows hermitian (tensor-mat A B) ⟨proof⟩

lemma tensor-mat-unitary:
assumes Complex-Matrix.unitary U
and Complex-Matrix.unitary V
and 0 < dim-row U
and 0 < dim-row V
shows Complex-Matrix.unitary (tensor-mat U V)
⟨proof⟩

```

2.4 Fixed carrier matrices locale

We define a locale for matrices with a fixed number of rows and columns, and define a finite sum operation on this locale. The `Type_To_Sets` transfer tools then permits to obtain lemmata on the locale for free.

```

locale fixed-carrier-mat =
fixes fc-mats::'a::field Matrix.mat set
fixes dimR dimC
assumes fc-mats-carrier: fc-mats = carrier-mat dimR dimC
begin

sublocale semigroup-add-on-with fc-mats (+)
⟨proof⟩

sublocale ab-semigroup-add-on-with fc-mats (+)
⟨proof⟩

sublocale comm-monoid-add-on-with fc-mats (+) 0_m dimR dimC
⟨proof⟩

sublocale ab-group-add-on-with fc-mats (+) 0_m dimR dimC (-) uminus
⟨proof⟩
end

lemma (in fixed-carrier-mat) smult-mem:
assumes A ∈ fc-mats
shows a ·_m A ∈ fc-mats ⟨proof⟩

definition (in fixed-carrier-mat) sum-mat where
sum-mat A I = sum-with (+) (0_m dimR dimC) A I

lemma (in fixed-carrier-mat) sum-mat-empty[simp]:
shows sum-mat A {} = 0_m dimR dimC ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in fixed-carrier-mat) sum-mat-carrier:
  shows ( $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies (A i) \in fc\text{-mats}$ )  $\implies$  sum-mat A I  $\in$  carrier-mat dimR
dimC
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in fixed-carrier-mat) sum-mat-insert:
  assumes A x  $\in$  fc-mats A ‘ I  $\subseteq$  fc-mats
  and A: finite I and x:  $x \notin I$ 
  shows sum-mat A (insert x I) = A x + sum-mat A I  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

2.5 A locale for square matrices

locale cpx-sq-mat = fixed-carrier-mat fc-mats::complex Matrix.mat set for fc-mats
+
assumes dim-eq: dimR = dimC
and npos: 0 < dimR

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) one-mem:
  shows 1_m dimR  $\in$  fc-mats  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) square-mats:
  assumes A  $\in$  fc-mats
  shows square-mat A  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) cpx-sq-mat-mult:
  assumes A  $\in$  fc-mats
  and B  $\in$  fc-mats
  shows A * B  $\in$  fc-mats
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) sum-mat-distrib-left:
  shows finite I  $\implies$  R  $\in$  fc-mats  $\implies$  ( $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies (A i) \in fc\text{-mats}$ )  $\implies$ 
  sum-mat ( $\lambda i. R * (A i)$ ) I = R * (sum-mat A I)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) sum-mat-distrib-right:
  shows finite I  $\implies$  R  $\in$  fc-mats  $\implies$  ( $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies (A i) \in fc\text{-mats}$ )  $\implies$ 
  sum-mat ( $\lambda i. (A i) * R$ ) I = (sum-mat A I) * R
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) trace-sum-mat:
  fixes A::'b  $\Rightarrow$  complex Matrix.mat
  shows finite I  $\implies$  ( $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies (A i) \in fc\text{-mats}$ )  $\implies$ 
  Complex-Matrix.trace (sum-mat A I) = ( $\sum_{i \in I} Complex\text{-Matrix.trace} (A i)$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) cpx-sq-mat-smult:
  assumes A  $\in$  fc-mats

```

```

shows  $x \cdot_m A \in \text{fc-mats}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) mult-add-distrib-right:
assumes  $A \in \text{fc-mats}$   $B \in \text{fc-mats}$   $C \in \text{fc-mats}$ 
shows  $A * (B + C) = A * B + A * C$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) mult-add-distrib-left:
assumes  $A \in \text{fc-mats}$   $B \in \text{fc-mats}$   $C \in \text{fc-mats}$ 
shows  $(B + C) * A = B * A + C * A$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) mult-sum-mat-distrib-left:
shows  $\text{finite } I \implies (\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies (A i) \in \text{fc-mats}) \implies B \in \text{fc-mats} \implies$ 
 $(\text{sum-mat } (\lambda i. B * (A i)) I) = B * (\text{sum-mat } A I)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) mult-sum-mat-distrib-right:
shows  $\text{finite } I \implies (\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies (A i) \in \text{fc-mats}) \implies B \in \text{fc-mats} \implies$ 
 $(\text{sum-mat } (\lambda i. (A i) * B) I) = (\text{sum-mat } A I) * B$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) trace-sum-mat-mat-distrib:
assumes  $\text{finite } I$ 
and  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies B i \in \text{fc-mats}$ 
and  $A \in \text{fc-mats}$ 
and  $C \in \text{fc-mats}$ 
shows  $(\sum i \in I. \text{Complex-Matrix.trace}(A * (B i) * C)) =$ 
 $\text{Complex-Matrix.trace } (A * (\text{sum-mat } B I) * C)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition (in cpx-sq-mat) zero-col where
zero-col  $U = (\lambda i. \text{if } i < \text{dimR} \text{ then } \text{Matrix.col } U i \text{ else } 0_v \text{ dimR})$ 

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) zero-col-dim:
assumes  $U \in \text{fc-mats}$ 
shows  $\text{dim-vec } (\text{zero-col } U i) = \text{dimR}$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) zero-col-col:
assumes  $i < \text{dimR}$ 
shows  $\text{zero-col } U i = \text{Matrix.col } U i$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) sum-mat-index:
shows  $\text{finite } I \implies (\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies (A i) \in \text{fc-mats}) \implies i < \text{dimR} \implies j < \text{dimC}$ 
 $\implies$ 
 $(\text{sum-mat } (\lambda k. (A k)) I) \$\$ (i,j) = (\sum k \in I. (A k) \$\$ (i,j))$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) sum-mat-cong:
shows finite $I \Rightarrow (\bigwedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow A i = B i) \Rightarrow (\bigwedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow A i \in fc\text{-mats})$
 \Rightarrow
 $(\bigwedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow B i \in fc\text{-mats}) \Rightarrow sum\text{-mat } A I = sum\text{-mat } B I$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) smult-sum-mat:
shows finite $I \Rightarrow (\bigwedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow A i \in fc\text{-mats}) \Rightarrow a \cdot_m sum\text{-mat } A I =$
 $sum\text{-mat } (\lambda i. a \cdot_m (A i)) I$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) zero-sum-mat:
shows finite $I \Rightarrow sum\text{-mat } (\lambda i. ((0_m dimR dimR)::complex Matrix.mat)) I =$
 $((0_m dimR dimR)::complex Matrix.mat)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) sum-mat-adjoint:
shows finite $I \Rightarrow (\bigwedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow A i \in fc\text{-mats}) \Rightarrow$
 $Complex\text{-Matrix.}adjoint (sum\text{-mat } A I) = sum\text{-mat } (\lambda i. Complex\text{-Matrix.}adjoint$
 $(A i)) I$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) sum-mat-hermitian:
assumes finite I
and $\forall i \in I. hermitian (A i)$
and $\forall i \in I. A i \in fc\text{-mats}$
shows hermitian ($sum\text{-mat } A I$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) sum-mat-positive:
shows finite $I \Rightarrow (\bigwedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow Complex\text{-Matrix.}positive (A i)) \Rightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow A i \in fc\text{-mats}) \Rightarrow Complex\text{-Matrix.}positive (sum\text{-mat } A I)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) sum-mat-left-ortho-zero:
shows finite $I \Rightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow A i \in fc\text{-mats}) \Rightarrow (B \in fc\text{-mats}) \Rightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow A i * B = (0_m dimR dimR)) \Rightarrow$
 $(sum\text{-mat } A I) * B = 0_m dimR dimR$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) sum-mat-right-ortho-zero:
shows finite $I \Rightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow A i \in fc\text{-mats}) \Rightarrow (B \in fc\text{-mats}) \Rightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow B * A i = (0_m dimR dimR)) \Rightarrow$
 $B * (sum\text{-mat } A I) = 0_m dimR dimR$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) sum-mat-ortho-square:

```

shows finite I  $\implies (\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies ((A \ i)::complex\ Matrix.mat) * (A \ i) = A \ i)$ 
 $\implies (\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies A \ i \in fc-mats) \implies$ 
 $(\bigwedge i \ j. i \in I \implies j \in I \implies i \neq j \implies A \ i * (A \ j) = (0_m \ dimR \ dimR)) \implies$ 
 $(sum-mat \ A \ I) * (sum-mat \ A \ I) = (sum-mat \ A \ I)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma diagonal-unit-vec:
assumes B ∈ carrier-mat n n
and diagonal-mat (B::complex Matrix.mat)
shows B *v (unit-vec n i) = B $$ (i,i) ·v (unit-vec n i)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mat-vec-mult-assoc:
assumes A ∈ carrier-mat n p
and B ∈ carrier-mat p q
and dim-vec v = q
shows A *v (B *v v) = (A * B) *v v ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) similar-eigenvectors:
assumes A ∈ fc-mats
and B ∈ fc-mats
and P ∈ fc-mats
and similar-mat-wit A B P (Complex-Matrix.adjoint P)
and diagonal-mat B
and i < n
shows A *v (P *v (unit-vec dimR i)) = B $$ (i,i) ·v (P *v (unit-vec dimR i))
⟨proof⟩

```

2.6 Projectors

definition projector where
 $projector \ M \longleftrightarrow (hermitian \ M \wedge M * M = M)$

lemma projector-hermitian:
assumes projector M
shows hermitian M ⟨proof⟩

lemma zero-projector[simp]:
shows projector (0_m n n) ⟨proof⟩

lemma projector-square-eq:
assumes projector M
shows M * M = M ⟨proof⟩

lemma projector-positive:
assumes projector M
shows Complex-Matrix.positive M
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma projector-collapse-trace:
  assumes projector ( $P::\text{complex Matrix.mat}$ )
  and  $P \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ 
  and  $R \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ 
shows Complex-Matrix.trace ( $P * R * P$ ) = Complex-Matrix.trace ( $R * P$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma positive-proj-trace:
  assumes projector ( $P::\text{complex Matrix.mat}$ )
  and Complex-Matrix.positive  $R$ 
  and  $P \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ 
  and  $R \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ 
shows Complex-Matrix.trace ( $R * P$ )  $\geq 0$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma trace-proj-pos-real:
  assumes projector ( $P::\text{complex Matrix.mat}$ )
  and Complex-Matrix.positive  $R$ 
  and  $P \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ 
  and  $R \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ 
shows Re (Complex-Matrix.trace ( $R * P$ )) = Complex-Matrix.trace ( $R * P$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) trace-sum-mat-proj-pos-real:
  fixes  $f::'a \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 
  assumes finite  $I$ 
  and  $\forall i \in I. \text{projector } (P i)$ 
  and Complex-Matrix.positive  $R$ 
  and  $\forall i \in I. P i \in \text{fc-mats}$ 
  and  $R \in \text{fc-mats}$ 
shows Complex-Matrix.trace ( $R * (\text{sum-mat } (\lambda i. f i \cdot_m (P i)) I)$ ) =
  Re (Complex-Matrix.trace ( $R * (\text{sum-mat } (\lambda i. f i \cdot_m (P i)) I)$ ))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

2.7 Rank 1 projection

definition rank-1-proj **where**
 $\text{rank-1-proj } v = \text{outer-prod } v \ v$

```

lemma rank-1-proj-square-mat:
  shows square-mat (rank-1-proj  $v$ ) ⟨proof⟩

lemma rank-1-proj-dim[simp]:
  shows dim-row (rank-1-proj  $v$ ) = dim-vec  $v$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rank-1-proj-carrier[simp]:
  shows rank-1-proj  $v \in \text{carrier-mat } (\text{dim-vec } v) (\text{dim-vec } v)$  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rank-1-proj-coord:
  assumes  $i < \text{dim-vec } v$ 
  and  $j < \text{dim-vec } v$ 
  shows  $(\text{rank-1-proj } v) \$\$ (i, j) = \text{Matrix.vec-index } v i * (\text{cnj} (\text{Matrix.vec-index } v j))$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma rank-1-proj-adjoint:
  shows  $\text{Complex-Matrix.adjoint} (\text{rank-1-proj} (v :: \text{complex Matrix.vec})) = \text{rank-1-proj } v$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma rank-1-proj-hermitian:
  shows  $\text{hermitian} (\text{rank-1-proj} (v :: \text{complex Matrix.vec}))$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma rank-1-proj-trace:
  assumes  $\|v\| = 1$ 
  shows  $\text{Complex-Matrix.trace} (\text{rank-1-proj } v) = 1$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma rank-1-proj-mat-col:
  assumes  $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n n$ 
  and  $i < n$ 
  and  $j < n$ 
  and  $k < n$ 
  shows  $(\text{rank-1-proj} (\text{Matrix.col } A i)) \$\$ (j, k) = A \$\$ (j, i) * \text{conjugate} (A \$\$ (k, i))$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) weighted-sum-rank-1-proj-unitary-index:
  assumes  $A \in \text{fc-mats}$ 
  and  $B \in \text{fc-mats}$ 
  and  $\text{diagonal-mat } B$ 
  and  $\text{Complex-Matrix.unitary } A$ 
  and  $j < \text{dimR}$ 
  and  $k < \text{dimR}$ 
  shows  $(\text{sum-mat} (\lambda i. (\text{diag-mat } B)!i \cdot_m \text{rank-1-proj} (\text{Matrix.col } A i)) \{.. < \text{dimR}\}) \$\$ (j, k) =$ 
 $(A * B * (\text{Complex-Matrix.adjoint } A)) \$\$ (j, k)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) weighted-sum-rank-1-proj-unitary:
  assumes  $A \in \text{fc-mats}$ 
  and  $B \in \text{fc-mats}$ 
  and  $\text{diagonal-mat } B$ 
  and  $\text{Complex-Matrix.unitary } A$ 
  shows  $(\text{sum-mat} (\lambda i. (\text{diag-mat } B)!i \cdot_m \text{rank-1-proj} (\text{Matrix.col } A i)) \{.. < \text{dimR}\}) =$ 
 $(A * B * (\text{Complex-Matrix.adjoint } A))$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma rank-1-proj-projector:
  assumes  $\|v\| = 1$ 
  shows projector (rank-1-proj v)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rank-1-proj-positive:
  assumes  $\|v\| = 1$ 
  shows Complex-Matrix.positive (rank-1-proj v)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rank-1-proj-density:
  assumes  $\|v\| = 1$ 
  shows density-operator (rank-1-proj v) ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) sum-rank-1-proj-unitary-index:
  assumes  $A \in \text{fc-mats}$ 
  and Complex-Matrix.unitary A
  and  $j < \dim R$ 
  and  $k < \dim R$ 
  shows (sum-mat ( $\lambda i.$  rank-1-proj (Matrix.col A i)) {.. $\dim R\}) \$\$ (j,k) = ( $1_m$   $\dim R$ ) \$\$ (j,k)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) rank-1-proj-sum-density:
  assumes finite I
  and  $\forall i \in I.$   $\|u_i\| = 1$ 
  and  $\forall i \in I.$  dim-vec ( $u_i$ ) =  $\dim R$ 
  and  $\forall i \in I.$   $0 \leq p_i$ 
  and  $(\sum_{i \in I} p_i) = 1$ 
  shows density-operator (sum-mat ( $\lambda i.$   $p_i \cdot_m (\text{rank-1-proj} (u_i))$ ) I) ⟨proof⟩$ 
```

```

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) sum-rank-1-proj-unitary:
  assumes  $A \in \text{fc-mats}$ 
  and Complex-Matrix.unitary A
  shows (sum-mat ( $\lambda i.$  rank-1-proj (Matrix.col A i)) {.. $\dim R\}) = ( $1_m$   $\dim R$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) rank-1-proj-unitary:
  assumes  $A \in \text{fc-mats}$ 
  and Complex-Matrix.unitary A
  and  $j < \dim R$ 
  and  $k < \dim R$ 
  shows rank-1-proj (Matrix.col A j) * (rank-1-proj (Matrix.col A k)) =
    ( $1_m$   $\dim R$ ) \$\$ (j,k)  $\cdot_m (\text{outer-prod} (\text{Matrix.col} A j) (\text{Matrix.col} A k))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩$ 
```

```

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) rank-1-proj-unitary-ne:
  assumes  $A \in fc\text{-mats}$ 
  and Complex-Matrix.unitary  $A$ 
  and  $j < dimR$ 
  and  $k < dimR$ 
  and  $j \neq k$ 
  shows rank-1-proj (Matrix.col  $A j$ ) * (rank-1-proj (Matrix.col  $A k$ )) =  $(0_m \ dimR dimR)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) rank-1-proj-unitary-eq:
  assumes  $A \in fc\text{-mats}$ 
  and Complex-Matrix.unitary  $A$ 
  and  $j < dimR$ 
  shows rank-1-proj (Matrix.col  $A j$ ) * (rank-1-proj (Matrix.col  $A j$ )) = rank-1-proj (Matrix.col  $A j$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end

theory *Projective-Measurements imports*
Linear-Algebra-Complements

begin

3 Projective measurements

In this part we define projective measurements, also referred to as von Neumann measurements. The latter are characterized by a set of orthogonal projectors, which are used to compute the probabilities of measure outcomes and to represent the state of the system after the measurement.

The state of the system (a density operator in this case) after a measurement is represented by the **density_collapse** function.

3.1 First definitions

We begin by defining a type synonym for couples of measurement values (reals) and the associated projectors (complex matrices).

type-synonym *measure-outcome* = *real* × *complex Matrix.mat*

The corresponding values and projectors are retrieved thanks to **meas_outcome_val** and **meas_outcome_prj**.

definition *meas-outcome-val*::*measure-outcome* ⇒ *real* **where**

meas-outcome-val $M_i = \text{fst } M_i$

definition *meas-outcome-prj::measure-outcome* \Rightarrow complex *Matrix.mat* **where**
meas-outcome-prj $M_i = \text{snd } M_i$

We define a predicate for projective measurements. A projective measurement is characterized by the number p of possible measure outcomes, and a function M mapping outcome i to the corresponding *measure_outcome*.

definition (*in cpx-sq-mat*) *proj-measurement::nat* \Rightarrow (*nat* \Rightarrow *measure-outcome*)
 \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
proj-measurement n $M \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\text{inj-on } (\lambda i. \text{meas-outcome-val} (M i)) \{.. < n\}) \wedge$
 $(\forall j < n. \text{meas-outcome-prj} (M j) \in \text{fc-mats} \wedge$
projector (*meas-outcome-prj* ($M j$))) \wedge
 $(\forall i < n. \forall j < n. i \neq j \longrightarrow$
 $\text{meas-outcome-prj} (M i) * \text{meas-outcome-prj} (M j) = 0_m \dimR \dimR) \wedge$
sum-mat ($\lambda j. \text{meas-outcome-prj} (M j)$) $\{.. < n\} = 1_m \dimR$

lemma (*in cpx-sq-mat*) *proj-measurement-inj*:
assumes *proj-measurement* p M
shows *inj-on* ($\lambda i. \text{meas-outcome-val} (M i)$) $\{.. < p\}$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in cpx-sq-mat*) *proj-measurement-carrier*:
assumes *proj-measurement* p M
and $i < p$
shows *meas-outcome-prj* ($M i$) $\in \text{fc-mats}$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in cpx-sq-mat*) *proj-measurement-ortho*:
assumes *proj-measurement* p M
and $i < p$
and $j < p$
and $i \neq j$
shows *meas-outcome-prj* ($M i$) * *meas-outcome-prj* ($M j$) = $0_m \dimR \dimR$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in cpx-sq-mat*) *proj-measurement-id*:
assumes *proj-measurement* p M
shows *sum-mat* ($\lambda j. \text{meas-outcome-prj} (M j)$) $\{.. < p\} = 1_m \dimR \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in cpx-sq-mat*) *proj-measurement-square*:
assumes *proj-measurement* p M
and $i < p$
shows *meas-outcome-prj* ($M i$) $\in \text{fc-mats}$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in cpx-sq-mat*) *proj-measurement-proj*:
assumes *proj-measurement* p M
and $i < p$
shows *projector* (*meas-outcome-prj* ($M i$)) $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

We define the probability of obtaining a measurement outcome: this is a positive number and the sum over all the measurement outcomes is 1.

definition (in *cpx-sq-mat*) *meas-outcome-prob* :: *complex Matrix.mat* \Rightarrow
 $(nat \Rightarrow real \times complex Matrix.mat) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow complex$ **where**
meas-outcome-prob R M i = Complex-Matrix.trace (R (meas-outcome-prj (M i)))*

lemma (in *cpx-sq-mat*) *meas-outcome-prob-real*:

assumes *R* \in *fc-mats*
and *density-operator R*
and *proj-measurement n M*
and *i < n*
shows *meas-outcome-prob R M i* \in \mathbb{R}
(proof)

lemma (in *cpx-sq-mat*) *meas-outcome-prob-pos*:

assumes *R* \in *fc-mats*
and *density-operator R*
and *proj-measurement n M*
and *i < n*
shows $0 \leq \text{meas-outcome-prob } R M i$ *(proof)*

lemma (in *cpx-sq-mat*) *meas-outcome-prob-sum*:

assumes *density-operator R*
and *R* \in *fc-mats*
and *proj-measurement n M*
shows $(\sum j \in \{.. < n\}. \text{meas-outcome-prob } R M j) = 1$
(proof)

We introduce the maximally mixed density operator. Intuitively, this density operator corresponds to a uniform distribution of the states of an orthonormal basis. This operator will be used to define the density operator after a measurement for the measure outcome probabilities equal to zero.

definition *max-mix-density* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *complex Matrix.mat* **where**
max-mix-density n = ((1:real)/ n) ·_m (1_m n)

lemma *max-mix-density-carrier*:

shows *max-mix-density n* \in *carrier-mat n n* *(proof)*

lemma *max-mix-is-density*:

assumes $0 < n$
shows *density-operator (max-mix-density n)* *(proof)*

lemma (in *cpx-sq-mat*) *max-mix-density-square*:

shows *max-mix-density dimR* \in *fc-mats* *(proof)*

Given a measurement outcome, **density_collapse** represents the resulting density operator. In practice only the measure outcomes with nonzero probabilities are of interest; we (arbitrarily) collapse the density operator

for zero-probability outcomes to the maximally mixed density operator.

```
definition density-collapse ::complex Matrix.mat ⇒ complex Matrix.mat ⇒ complex Matrix.mat where
density-collapse R P = (if ((Complex-Matrix.trace (R * P)) = 0) then (max-mix-density
(dim-row R))
else ((1::real)/ ((Complex-Matrix.trace (R * P)))) ·m (P * R * P))

lemma density-collapse-carrier:
assumes 0 < dim-row R
and P ∈ carrier-mat n n
and R ∈ carrier-mat n n
shows (density-collapse R P) ∈ carrier-mat n n
⟨proof⟩

lemma density-collapse-operator:
assumes projector P
and density-operator R
and 0 < dim-row R
and P ∈ carrier-mat n n
and R ∈ carrier-mat n n
shows density-operator (density-collapse R P)
⟨proof⟩
```

3.2 Measurements with observables

It is standard in quantum mechanics to represent projective measurements with so-called *observables*. These are Hermitian matrices which encode projective measurements as follows: the eigenvalues of an observable represent the possible projective measurement outcomes, and the associated projectors are the projectors onto the corresponding eigenspaces. The results in this part are based on the spectral theorem, which states that any Hermitian matrix admits an orthonormal basis consisting of eigenvectors of the matrix.

3.2.1 On the diagonal elements of a matrix

We begin by introducing definitions that will be used on the diagonalized version of a Hermitian matrix.

```
definition diag-elems where
diag-elems B = {B${(i,i)} | i. i < dim-row B}
```

Relationship between `diag_elems` and the list `diag_mat`

```
lemma diag-elems-set-diag-mat:
shows diag-elems B = set (diag-mat B) ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma diag-elems-finite[simp]:
shows finite (diag-elems B) ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma diag-elems-mem[simp]:
  assumes i < dim-row B
  shows B $$ (i,i) ∈ diag-elems B ⟨proof⟩

```

When x is a diagonal element of B , `diag_elem_indices` returns the set of diagonal indices of B with value x .

```

definition diag-elem-indices where
  diag-elem-indices x B = {i | i. i < dim-row B ∧ B $$ (i,i) = x}

```

```

lemma diag-elem-indices-elem:
  assumes a ∈ diag-elem-indices x B
  shows a < dim-row B ∧ B $$ (a,a) = x ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma diag-elem-indices-itself:
  assumes i < dim-row B
  shows i ∈ diag-elem-indices (B $$ (i,i)) B ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma diag-elem-indices-finite:
  shows finite (diag-elem-indices x B) ⟨proof⟩

```

We can therefore partition the diagonal indices of a matrix B depending on the value of the diagonal elements. If B admits p elements on its diagonal, then we define bijections between its set of diagonal elements and the initial segment $[0..p - 1]$.

```

definition dist-el-card where
  dist-el-card B = card (diag-elems B)

```

```

definition diag-idx-to-el where
  diag-idx-to-el B = (SOME h. bij-betw h {.. < dist-el-card B} (diag-elems B))

```

```

definition diag-el-to-idx where
  diag-el-to-idx B = inv-into {.. < dist-el-card B} (diag-idx-to-el B)

```

```

lemma diag-idx-to-el-bij:
  shows bij-betw (diag-idx-to-el B) {.. < dist-el-card B} (diag-elems B)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma diag-el-to-idx-bij:
  shows bij-betw (diag-el-to-idx B) (diag-elems B) {.. < dist-el-card B}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma diag-idx-to-el-less-inj:
  assumes i < dist-el-card B
  and j < dist-el-card B
  and diag-idx-to-el B i = diag-idx-to-el B j
  shows i = j
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma diag-idx-to-el-less-surj:
  assumes  $x \in \text{diag-elems } B$ 
  shows  $\exists k \in \{\dots < \text{dist-el-card } B\}. x = \text{diag-idx-to-el } B k$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma diag-idx-to-el-img:
  assumes  $k < \text{dist-el-card } B$ 
  shows  $\text{diag-idx-to-el } B k \in \text{diag-elems } B$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma diag-elems-real:
  fixes  $B :: \text{complex Matrix.mat}$ 
  assumes  $\forall i < \text{dim-row } B. B\$$(i,i) \in \text{Reals}$ 
  shows  $\text{diag-elems } B \subseteq \text{Reals}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma diag-elems-Re:
  fixes  $B :: \text{complex Matrix.mat}$ 
  assumes  $\forall i < (\text{dim-row } B). B\$$(i,i) \in \text{Reals}$ 
  shows  $\{ \text{Re } x | x \in \text{diag-elems } B \} = \text{diag-elems } B$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma diag-idx-to-el-real:
  fixes  $B :: \text{complex Matrix.mat}$ 
  assumes  $\forall i < \text{dim-row } B. B\$$(i,i) \in \text{Reals}$ 
  and  $i < \text{dist-el-card } B$ 
  shows  $\text{Re}(\text{diag-idx-to-el } B i) = \text{diag-idx-to-el } B i$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma diag-elem-indices-empty:
  assumes  $B \in \text{carrier-mat dimR dimC}$ 
  and  $i < (\text{dist-el-card } B)$ 
  and  $j < (\text{dist-el-card } B)$ 
  and  $i \neq j$ 
  shows  $\text{diag-elem-indices}(\text{diag-idx-to-el } B i) \cap$ 
     $(\text{diag-elem-indices}(\text{diag-idx-to-el } B j) \cap B) = \{\}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) diag-elem-indices-disjoint:
  assumes  $B \in \text{carrier-mat dimR dimC}$ 
  shows  $\text{disjoint-family-on}(\lambda n. \text{diag-elem-indices}(\text{diag-idx-to-el } B n) \cap$ 
     $\{\dots < \text{dist-el-card } B\}) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma diag-elem-indices-union:
  assumes  $B \in \text{carrier-mat dimR dimC}$ 
  shows  $(\bigcup i \in \{\dots < \text{dist-el-card } B\}. \text{diag-elem-indices}(\text{diag-idx-to-el } B i) \cap B) =$ 
     $\{\dots < \text{dimR}\}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

3.2.2 Construction of measurement outcomes

The construction of a projective measurement for a hermitian matrix A is based on the Schur decomposition $A = U * B * U^\dagger$, where B is diagonal and U is unitary. The columns of U are normalized and pairwise orthogonal; they are used to construct the projectors associated with a measurement value

```

definition (in cpx-sq-mat) project-vecs where
  project-vecs (f::nat ⇒ complex Matrix.vec) N = sum-mat (λi. rank-1-proj (f i)) N

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) project-vecs-dim:
  assumes ∀ i ∈ N. dim-vec (f i) = dimR
  shows project-vecs f N ∈ fc-mats
  ⟨proof⟩

definition (in cpx-sq-mat) mk-meas-outcome where
  mk-meas-outcome B U = (λi. (Re (diag-idx-to-el B i),
    project-vecs (λi. zero-col U i) (diag-elem-indices (diag-idx-to-el B i) B)))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) mk-meas-outcome-carrier:
  assumes Complex-Matrix.unitary U
  and U ∈ fc-mats
  and B ∈ fc-mats
  shows meas-outcome-prj ((mk-meas-outcome B U) j) ∈ fc-mats
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) mk-meas-outcome-sum-id:
  assumes Complex-Matrix.unitary U
  and U ∈ fc-mats
  and B ∈ fc-mats
  shows sum-mat (λj. meas-outcome-prj ((mk-meas-outcome B U) j))
    {..<(dist-el-card B)} = 1_m dimR
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) make-meas-outcome-prj-ortho:
  assumes Complex-Matrix.unitary U
  and U ∈ fc-mats
  and B ∈ fc-mats
  and i < dist-el-card B
  and j < dist-el-card B
  and i ≠ j
  shows meas-outcome-prj ((mk-meas-outcome B U) i) *
    meas-outcome-prj ((mk-meas-outcome B U) j) = 0_m dimR dimR
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) make-meas-outcome-prjctors:
  assumes Complex-Matrix.unitary U
  and U ∈ fc-mats
  
```

```

and  $B \in fc\text{-mats}$ 
and  $j < dist\text{-el-card } B$ 
shows projector (meas-outcome-prj ((mk-meas-outcome  $B U$ )  $j$ )) ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) mk-meas-outcome-fst-inj:
assumes  $\forall i < (\dim\text{-row } B). B\$\$(i,i) \in \mathbb{R}$ 
shows inj-on ( $\lambda i. meas\text{-outcome-val}((mk\text{-meas\text{-}}outcome } B U) i)) \{.. < dist\text{-el-card }$ 
 $B\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) mk-meas-outcome-fst-bij:
assumes  $\forall i < (\dim\text{-row } B). B\$\$(i,i) \in \mathbb{R}$ 
shows bij-betw ( $\lambda i. meas\text{-outcome-val}((mk\text{-meas\text{-}}outcome } B U) i)) \{.. < dist\text{-el-card }$ 
 $B\}$ 
 $\{Re x|x. x \in diag\text{-elems } B\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

3.2.3 Projective measurement associated with an observable

```

definition eigvals where
eigvals  $M = (SOME as. char\text{-poly } M = (\prod a \leftarrow as. [:- a, 1:])) \wedge length as = \dim\text{-row }$ 
 $M)$ 

lemma eigvals-poly-length:
assumes ( $M :: complex Matrix.mat$ )  $\in carrier\text{-mat } n n$ 
shows char-poly  $M = (\prod a \leftarrow (eigvals M). [:- a, 1:]) \wedge length (eigvals M) =$ 
 $\dim\text{-row } M$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

We define the spectrum of a matrix A : this is its set of eigenvalues; its elements are roots of the characteristic polynomial of A .

```

definition spectrum where
spectrum  $M = set (eigvals M)$ 

```

```

lemma spectrum-finite:
shows finite (spectrum  $M$ ) ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma spectrum-char-poly-root:
fixes  $A :: complex Matrix.mat$ 
assumes  $A \in carrier\text{-mat } n n$ 
and  $k \in spectrum A$ 
shows poly (char-poly  $A$ )  $k = 0$  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma spectrum-eigenvalues:
fixes  $A :: complex Matrix.mat$ 
assumes  $A \in carrier\text{-mat } n n$ 
and  $k \in spectrum A$ 
shows eigenvalue  $A k$  ⟨proof⟩

```

The main result that is used to construct a projective measurement for

a Hermitian matrix is that it is always possible to decompose it as $A = U * B * U^\dagger$, where B is diagonal and only contains real elements, and U is unitary.

definition hermitian-decomp where

```
hermitian-decomp A B U ≡ similar-mat-wit A B U (Complex-Matrix.adjoint U)
∧ diagonal-mat B ∧
  diag-mat B = (eigvals A) ∧ unitary U ∧ (∀ i < dim-row B. B$$[i, i] ∈ Reals)
```

lemma hermitian-decomp-sim:

```
assumes hermitian-decomp A B U
shows similar-mat-wit A B U (Complex-Matrix.adjoint U) ⟨proof⟩
```

lemma hermitian-decomp-diag-mat:

```
assumes hermitian-decomp A B U
shows diagonal-mat B ⟨proof⟩
```

lemma hermitian-decomp-eigenvalues:

```
assumes hermitian-decomp A B U
shows diag-mat B = (eigvals A) ⟨proof⟩
```

lemma hermitian-decomp-unitary:

```
assumes hermitian-decomp A B U
shows unitary U ⟨proof⟩
```

lemma hermitian-decomp-real-eigvals:

```
assumes hermitian-decomp A B U
shows ∀ i < dim-row B. B$$[i, i] ∈ Reals ⟨proof⟩
```

lemma hermitian-decomp-dim-carrier:

```
assumes hermitian-decomp A B U
shows B ∈ carrier-mat (dim-row A) (dim-col A) ⟨proof⟩
```

lemma similar-mat-wit-dim-row:

```
assumes similar-mat-wit A B Q R
shows dim-row B = dim-row A ⟨proof⟩
```

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) hermitian-schur-decomp:

```
assumes hermitian A
and A ∈ fc-mats
obtains B U where hermitian-decomp A B U
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) hermitian-spectrum-real:

```
assumes A ∈ fc-mats
and hermitian A
and a ∈ spectrum A
shows a ∈ Reals
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) spectrum-ne:
```

```
  assumes A ∈ fc-mats  
  and hermitian A  
  shows spectrum A ≠ {}  
(proof)
```

```
lemma unitary-hermitian-eigenvalues:
```

```
  fixes U::complex Matrix.mat  
  assumes unitary U  
  and hermitian U  
  and U ∈ carrier-mat n n  
  and 0 < n  
  and k ∈ spectrum U  
  shows k ∈ {-1, 1}  
(proof)
```

```
lemma unitary-hermitian-Re-spectrum:
```

```
  fixes U::complex Matrix.mat  
  assumes unitary U  
  and hermitian U  
  and U ∈ carrier-mat n n  
  and 0 < n  
  shows {Re x|x. x ∈ spectrum U} ⊆ {-1, 1}  
(proof)
```

The projective measurement associated with matrix M is obtained from its Schur decomposition, by considering the number of distinct elements on the resulting diagonal matrix and constructing the projectors associated with each of them.

```
type-synonym proj-meas-rep = nat × (nat ⇒ measure-outcome)
```

```
definition proj-meas-size::proj-meas-rep ⇒ nat where  
proj-meas-size P = fst P
```

```
definition proj-meas-outcomes::proj-meas-rep ⇒ (nat ⇒ measure-outcome) where  
proj-meas-outcomes P = snd P
```

```
definition (in cpx-sq-mat) make-pm::complex Matrix.mat ⇒ proj-meas-rep where  
make-pm A = (let (B, U, -) = unitary-schur-decomposition A (eigvals A) in  
(dist-el-card B, mk-meas-outcome B U))
```

```
lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) make-pm-decomp:
```

```
  shows make-pm A = (proj-meas-size (make-pm A), proj-meas-outcomes (make-pm A))  
(proof)
```

```
lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) make-pm-proj-measurement:
```

```
  assumes A ∈ fc-mats  
  and hermitian A
```

and $\text{make-pm } A = (n, M)$
shows $\text{proj-measurement } n M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) make-pm-proj-measurement':
assumes $A \in \text{fc-mats}$
and $\text{hermitian } A$
shows $\text{proj-measurement} (\text{proj-meas-size} (\text{make-pm } A)) (\text{proj-meas-outcomes} (\text{make-pm } A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) make-pm-projectors:
assumes $A \in \text{fc-mats}$
and $\text{hermitian } A$
and $i < \text{proj-meas-size} (\text{make-pm } A)$
shows $\text{projector} (\text{meas-outcome-prj} (\text{proj-meas-outcomes} (\text{make-pm } A) i))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) make-pm-square:
assumes $A \in \text{fc-mats}$
and $\text{hermitian } A$
and $i < \text{proj-meas-size} (\text{make-pm } A)$
shows $\text{meas-outcome-prj} (\text{proj-meas-outcomes} (\text{make-pm } A) i) \in \text{fc-mats}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.2.4 Properties on the spectrum of a Hermitian matrix

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) hermitian-schur-decomp':
assumes $\text{hermitian } A$
and $A \in \text{fc-mats}$
obtains $B U$ **where** $\text{hermitian-decomp } A B U \wedge$
 $\text{make-pm } A = (\text{dist-el-card } B, \text{mk-meas-outcome } B U)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) spectrum-meas-outcome-val-eq:
assumes $\text{hermitian } A$
and $A \in \text{fc-mats}$
and $\text{make-pm } A = (p, M)$
shows $\text{spectrum } A = (\lambda i. \text{meas-outcome-val} (M i)) \setminus \{.. < p\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) spectrum-meas-outcome-val-eq':
assumes $\text{hermitian } A$
and $A \in \text{fc-mats}$
and $\text{make-pm } A = (p, M)$
shows $\{\text{Re } x | x. x \in \text{spectrum } A\} = (\lambda i. \text{meas-outcome-val} (M i)) \setminus \{.. < p\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) make-pm-eigenvalues:

```

assumes  $A \in \text{fc-mats}$ 
and  $\text{hermitian } A$ 
and  $i < \text{proj-meas-size}(\text{make-pm } A)$ 
shows  $\text{meas-outcome-val}(\text{proj-meas-outcomes}(\text{make-pm } A) i) \in \text{spectrum } A$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) make-pm-spectrum:
assumes  $A \in \text{fc-mats}$ 
and  $\text{hermitian } A$ 
and  $\text{make-pm } A = (p, M)$ 
shows  $(\lambda i. \text{meas-outcome-val}(\text{proj-meas-outcomes}(\text{make-pm } A) i))`\{\dots < p\} =$ 
 $\text{spectrum } A$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) spectrum-size:
assumes  $\text{hermitian } A$ 
and  $A \in \text{fc-mats}$ 
and  $\text{make-pm } A = (p, M)$ 
shows  $p = \text{card}(\text{spectrum } A)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) spectrum-size':
assumes  $\text{hermitian } A$ 
and  $A \in \text{fc-mats}$ 
shows  $\text{proj-meas-size}(\text{make-pm } A) = \text{card}(\text{spectrum } A)$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) make-pm-projectors':
assumes  $\text{hermitian } A$ 
and  $A \in \text{fc-mats}$ 
and  $a < \text{card}(\text{spectrum } A)$ 
shows  $\text{projector}(\text{meas-outcome-prj}(\text{proj-meas-outcomes}(\text{make-pm } A) a))$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) meas-outcome-prj-carrier:
assumes  $\text{hermitian } A$ 
and  $A \in \text{fc-mats}$ 
and  $a < \text{card}(\text{spectrum } A)$ 
shows  $\text{meas-outcome-prj}(\text{proj-meas-outcomes}(\text{make-pm } A) a) \in \text{fc-mats}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) meas-outcome-prj-trace-real:
assumes  $\text{hermitian } A$ 
and  $\text{density-operator } R$ 
and  $R \in \text{fc-mats}$ 
and  $A \in \text{fc-mats}$ 
and  $a < \text{card}(\text{spectrum } A)$ 
shows  $\text{Re}(\text{Complex-Matrix.trace}(R * \text{meas-outcome-prj}(\text{proj-meas-outcomes}(\text{make-pm } A) a))) =$ 
 $\text{Complex-Matrix.trace}(R * \text{meas-outcome-prj}(\text{proj-meas-outcomes}(\text{make-pm } A)$ 

```

*a))
(proof)*

lemma (in *cpx-sq-mat*) *sum-over-spectrum*:
 assumes $A \in \text{fc-mats}$
 and *hermitian A*
 and *make-pm A = (p, M)*
 shows $(\sum y \in \text{spectrum } A. f y) = (\sum i < p. f (\text{meas-outcome-val } (M i)))$
(proof)

lemma (in *cpx-sq-mat*) *sum-over-spectrum'*:
 assumes $A \in \text{fc-mats}$
 and *hermitian A*
 and *make-pm A = (p, M)*
 shows $(\sum y \in \{\text{Re } x | x. x \in \text{spectrum } A\}. f y) = (\sum i < p. f (\text{meas-outcome-val } (M i)))$
(proof)

When a matrix A is decomposed into a projective measurement $\{(\lambda_a, \pi_a)\}$, it can be recovered by the formula $A = \sum \lambda_a \pi_a$.

lemma (in *cpx-sq-mat*) *make-pm-sum*:
 assumes $A \in \text{fc-mats}$
 and *hermitian A*
 and *make-pm A = (p, M)*
 shows *sum-mat* ($\lambda i. (\text{meas-outcome-val } (M i)) \cdot_m \text{meas-outcome-prj } (M i)$) $\{.. < p\} = A$
(proof)

lemma (in *cpx-sq-mat*) *trace-hermitian-pos-real*:
 fixes $f::'a \Rightarrow \text{real}$
 assumes *hermitian A*
 and *Complex-Matrix.positive R*
 and $A \in \text{fc-mats}$
 and $R \in \text{fc-mats}$
 shows *Complex-Matrix.trace* ($R * A$) =
 $\text{Re} (\text{Complex-Matrix.trace } (R * A))$
(proof)

lemma (in *cpx-sq-mat*) *hermitian-Re-spectrum*:
 assumes *hermitian A*
 and $A \in \text{fc-mats}$
 and $\{\text{Re } x | x. x \in \text{spectrum } A\} = \{a, b\}$
 shows *spectrum A* = $\{\text{complex-of-real } a, \text{complex-of-real } b\}$
(proof)

3.2.5 Similar properties for eigenvalues rather than spectrum indices

In this part we go the other way round: given an eigenvalue of A , `spectrum_to_pm_idx` permits to retrieve its index in the associated projective measurement

definition (in cpx-sq-mat) spectrum-to-pm-idx
where $\text{spectrum-to-pm-idx } A \ x = (\text{let } (B, U, -) = \text{unitary-schur-decomposition } A$
 $(\text{eigvals } A) \text{ in}$
 $\text{diag-el-to-idx } B \ x)$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) spectrum-to-pm-idx-bij:
assumes $\text{hermitian } A$
and $A \in \text{fc-mats}$
shows $\text{bij-btw} (\text{spectrum-to-pm-idx } A) (\text{spectrum } A) \{\dots < \text{card} (\text{spectrum } A)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) spectrum-to-pm-idx-lt-card:
assumes $A \in \text{fc-mats}$
and $\text{hermitian } A$
and $a \in \text{spectrum } A$
shows $\text{spectrum-to-pm-idx } A \ a < \text{card} (\text{spectrum } A) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) spectrum-to-pm-idx-inj:
assumes $\text{hermitian } A$
and $A \in \text{fc-mats}$
shows $\text{inj-on} (\text{spectrum-to-pm-idx } A) (\text{spectrum } A) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) spectrum-meas-outcome-val-inv:
assumes $A \in \text{fc-mats}$
and $\text{hermitian } A$
and $\text{make-pm } A = (p, M)$
and $i < p$
shows $\text{spectrum-to-pm-idx } A (\text{meas-outcome-val} (M i)) = i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) meas-outcome-val-spectrum-inv:
assumes $A \in \text{fc-mats}$
and $\text{hermitian } A$
and $x \in \text{spectrum } A$
and $\text{make-pm } A = (p, M)$
shows $\text{meas-outcome-val} (M (\text{spectrum-to-pm-idx } A \ x)) = x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition (in cpx-sq-mat) eigen-projector where
eigen-projector $A \ a =$
 $\text{meas-outcome-prj} ((\text{proj-meas-outcomes} (\text{make-pm } A)) (\text{spectrum-to-pm-idx } A \ a))$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) eigen-projector-carrier:
assumes $A \in \text{fc-mats}$

```

and  $a \in \text{spectrum } A$ 
and  $A$  hermitian
shows eigen-projector  $A$   $a \in \text{fc-mats}$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

We obtain the following result, which is similar to `make_pm_sum` but with a sum on the elements of the spectrum of Hermitian matrix A , which is a more standard statement of the spectral decomposition theorem.

```

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) make-pm-sum':
assumes  $A \in \text{fc-mats}$ 
and  $A$  hermitian
shows sum-mat  $(\lambda a. a \cdot_m (\text{eigen-projector } A a)) (\text{spectrum } A) = A$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```
end
```

```

theory CHSH-Inequality imports
  Projective-Measurements

```

```
begin
```

4 The CHSH inequality

The local hidden variable assumption for quantum mechanics was developed to make the case that quantum theory was incomplete. In this part we formalize the CHSH inequality, which provides an upper-bound of a quantity involving expectations in a probability space, and exploit this inequality to show that the local hidden variable assumption does not hold.

4.1 Inequality statement

```

lemma chsh-real:
fixes  $A0::\text{real}$ 
assumes  $|A0 * B1| \leq 1$ 
and  $|A0 * B0| \leq 1$ 
and  $|A1 * B0| \leq 1$ 
and  $|A1 * B1| \leq 1$ 
shows  $|A0 * B1 - A0 * B0 + A1 * B0 + A1 * B1| \leq 2$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma (in prob-space) chsh-expect:
fixes  $A0::'a \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 
assumes  $\text{AE } w \text{ in } M. |A0 w| \leq 1$ 
and  $\text{AE } w \text{ in } M. |A1 w| \leq 1$ 

```

```

and  $\text{AE } w \text{ in } M. |B0 w| \leq 1$ 
and  $\text{AE } w \text{ in } M. |B1 w| \leq 1$ 
and  $\text{integrable } M (\lambda w. A0 w * B1 w)$ 
and  $\text{integrable } M (\lambda w. A1 w * B1 w)$ 
and  $\text{integrable } M (\lambda w. A1 w * B0 w)$ 
and  $\text{integrable } M (\lambda w. A0 w * B0 w)$ 
shows  $|\text{expectation}(\lambda w. A1 w * B0 w) + \text{expectation}(\lambda w. A0 w * B1 w) +$ 
 $\text{expectation}(\lambda w. A1 w * B1 w) - \text{expectation}(\lambda w. A0 w * B0 w)| \leq 2$ 
(proof)

```

The local hidden variable assumption states that separated quantum measurements are independent. It is standard for this assumption to be stated in a context where the hidden variable admits a density; it is stated here in a more general context involving expectations, with no assumption on the existence of a density.

```

definition pos-rv:: 'a measure  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  real)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
pos-rv  $M Xr \equiv Xr \in \text{borel-measurable } M \wedge (\text{AE } w \text{ in } M. (0:\text{real}) \leq Xr w)$ 

```

```

definition prv-sum:: 'a measure  $\Rightarrow$  complex Matrix.mat  $\Rightarrow$  (complex  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  real)
 $\Rightarrow$  bool where
prv-sum  $M A Xr \equiv (\text{AE } w \text{ in } M. (\sum_{a \in \text{spectrum } A. Xr a w} = 1))$ 

```

```

definition (in cpx-sq-mat) lhv where
lhv  $M A B R XA XB \equiv$ 
prob-space  $M \wedge$ 
 $(\forall a \in \text{spectrum } A. \text{pos-rv } M (XA a)) \wedge$ 
 $(\text{prv-sum } M A XA) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall b \in \text{spectrum } B. \text{pos-rv } M (XB b)) \wedge$ 
 $(\text{prv-sum } M B XB) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall a \in \text{spectrum } A. \forall b \in \text{spectrum } B.$ 
 $(\text{integrable } M (\lambda w. XA a w * XB b w)) \wedge$ 
 $\text{integral}^L M (\lambda w. XA a w * XB b w) =$ 
 $\text{Re} (\text{Complex-Matrix.trace}(\text{eigen-projector } A a * (\text{eigen-projector } B b) * R)))$ 

```

```

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) lhv-posl:
assumes lhv  $M A B R XA XB$ 
shows  $\text{AE } w \text{ in } M. (\forall a \in \text{spectrum } A. 0 \leq XA a w)$ 
(proof)

```

```

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) lhv-lt1-l:
assumes lhv  $M A B R XA XB$ 
shows  $\text{AE } w \text{ in } M. (\forall a \in \text{spectrum } A. XA a w \leq 1)$ 
(proof)

```

```

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) lhv-posr:
assumes lhv  $M A B R XA XB$ 
shows  $\text{AE } w \text{ in } M. (\forall b \in \text{spectrum } B. 0 \leq XB b w)$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) lhv-lt1-r:
assumes $lhv M A B R XA XB$
shows $AE w \text{ in } M. (\forall a \in \text{spectrum } B. XB a w \leq 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) lhv-AE-prop:
assumes $lhv M A B R XA XB$
shows $AE w \text{ in } M. (\forall a \in \text{spectrum } A. 0 \leq XA a w \wedge XA a w \leq 1) \wedge (\sum_{a \in \text{spectrum } A. XA a w} = 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) lhv-AE-propr:
assumes $lhv M A B R XA XB$
shows $AE w \text{ in } M. (\forall a \in \text{spectrum } B. 0 \leq XB a w \wedge XB a w \leq 1) \wedge (\sum_{a \in \text{spectrum } B. XB a w} = 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) lhv-integral-eq:
fixes $c::real$
assumes $lhv M A B R XA XB$
and $a \in \text{spectrum } A$
and $b \in \text{spectrum } B$
shows $\text{integral}^L M (\lambda w. XA a w * XB b w) = Re (\text{Complex-Matrix.trace}(\text{eigen-projector } A a * (\text{eigen-projector } B b) * R))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) lhv-integrable:
fixes $c::real$
assumes $lhv M A B R XA XB$
and $a \in \text{spectrum } A$
and $b \in \text{spectrum } B$
shows $\text{integrable } M (\lambda w. XA a w * XB b w)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) lhv-scal-integrable:
fixes $c::real$
assumes $lhv M A B R XA XB$
and $a \in \text{spectrum } A$
and $b \in \text{spectrum } B$
shows $\text{integrable } M (\lambda w. c * XA a w * d * XB b w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) lhv-lsum-scal-integrable:
assumes $lhv M A B R XA XB$
and $a \in \text{spectrum } A$
shows $\text{integrable } M (\lambda x. \sum_{b \in \text{spectrum } B. c * XA a x * (f b) * XB b x})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) lhv-sum-integrable:
assumes $lhv M A B R XA XB$
shows $\text{integrable } M (\lambda w. (\sum a \in \text{spectrum } A. (\sum b \in \text{spectrum } B. f a * XA a w * g b * XB b w)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) spectrum-abs-1-weighted-suml:
assumes $lhv M A B R Va Vb$
and $\{Re x | x. x \in \text{spectrum } A\} \neq \{\}$
and $\{Re x | x. x \in \text{spectrum } A\} \subseteq \{-1, 1\}$
and $\text{hermitian } A$
and $A \in fc\text{-mats}$
shows $AE w \text{ in } M. |(\sum a \in \text{spectrum } A. Re a * Va a w)| \leq 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) spectrum-abs-1-weighted-sumr:
assumes $lhv M B A R Vb Va$
and $\{Re x | x. x \in \text{spectrum } A\} \neq \{\}$
and $\{Re x | x. x \in \text{spectrum } A\} \subseteq \{-1, 1\}$
and $\text{hermitian } A$
and $A \in fc\text{-mats}$
shows $AE w \text{ in } M. |(\sum a \in \text{spectrum } A. Re a * Va a w)| \leq 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition qt-expect where
 $qt\text{-expect } A Va = (\lambda w. (\sum a \in \text{spectrum } A. Re a * Va a w))$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) spectr-sum-integrable:
assumes $lhv M A B R Va Vb$
shows $\text{integrable } M (\lambda w. qt\text{-expect } A Va w * qt\text{-expect } B Vb w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) lhv-sum-integral':
assumes $lhv M A B R XA XB$
shows $\text{integral}^L M (\lambda w. (\sum a \in \text{spectrum } A. f a * XA a w) * (\sum b \in \text{spectrum } B. g b * XB b w)) =$
 $(\sum a \in \text{spectrum } A. f a * (\sum b \in \text{spectrum } B. g b * \text{integral}^L M (\lambda w. XA a w * XB b w)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) sum-qt-expect-trace:
assumes $lhv M A B R XA XB$
shows $(\sum a \in \text{spectrum } A. f a * (\sum b \in \text{spectrum } B. g b * \text{integral}^L M (\lambda w. XA a w * XB b w))) =$
 $(\sum a \in \text{spectrum } A. f a * (\sum b \in \text{spectrum } B. g b * Re (\text{Complex-Matrix.trace}(\text{eigen-projector } A a * (\text{eigen-projector } B b) * R))))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) sum-eigen-projector-trace-dist:

```

assumes hermitian B
and A ∈ fc-mats
and B ∈ fc-mats
and R ∈ fc-mats
shows (∑ b ∈ spectrum B. (b *
Complex-Matrix.trace(A * (eigen-projector B b) * R))) = Complex-Matrix.trace(A
* B * R)
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) sum-eigen-projector-trace-right:
assumes hermitian A
and A ∈ fc-mats
and B ∈ fc-mats
shows (∑ a ∈ spectrum A. Complex-Matrix.trace (a ·m eigen-projector A a * B))
=
Complex-Matrix.trace (A * B)
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) sum-eigen-projector-trace:
assumes hermitian A
and hermitian B
and A ∈ fc-mats
and B ∈ fc-mats
and R ∈ fc-mats
shows (∑ a ∈ spectrum A. a * (∑ b ∈ spectrum B. (b *
Complex-Matrix.trace(eigen-projector A a * (eigen-projector B b) * R))) =
Complex-Matrix.trace(A * B * R)
⟨proof⟩

```

We obtain the main result of this part, which relates the quantum expectation value of a joint measurement with an expectation.

```

lemma (in cpx-sq-mat) sum-qt-expect:
assumes lhv M A B R XA XB
and A ∈ fc-mats
and B ∈ fc-mats
and R ∈ fc-mats
and hermitian A
and hermitian B
shows integralL M (λw. (qt-expect A XA w) * (qt-expect B XB w)) =
Re (Complex-Matrix.trace(A * B * R))
⟨proof⟩

```

4.2 Properties of specific observables

In this part we consider a specific density operator and specific observables corresponding to joint bipartite measurements. We will compute the quantum expectation value of this system and prove that it violates the CHSH inequality, thus proving that the local hidden variable assumption cannot

hold.

4.2.1 Ket 0, Ket 1 and the corresponding projectors

```

definition ket-0::complex Matrix.vec where
ket-0 = unit-vec 2 0

lemma ket-0-dim:
shows dim-vec ket-0 = 2 ⟨proof⟩

lemma ket-0-norm:
shows \|ket-0\| = 1 ⟨proof⟩

lemma ket-0-mat:
shows ket-vec ket-0 = Matrix.mat-of-cols-list 2 [[1, 0]]
⟨proof⟩

definition ket-1::complex Matrix.vec where
ket-1 = unit-vec 2 1

lemma ket-1-dim:
shows dim-vec ket-1 = 2 ⟨proof⟩

lemma ket-1-norm:
shows \|ket-1\| = 1 ⟨proof⟩

definition ket-01
where ket-01 = tensor-vec ket-0 ket-1

lemma ket-01-dim:
shows dim-vec ket-01 = 4 ⟨proof⟩

definition ket-10
where ket-10 = tensor-vec ket-1 ket-0

lemma ket-10-dim:
shows dim-vec ket-10 = 4 ⟨proof⟩

```

We define `ket_psim`, one of the Bell states (or EPR pair).

```

definition ket-psim where
ket-psim = 1/(sqrt 2) ·v (ket-01 - ket-10)

lemma ket-psim-dim:
shows dim-vec ket-psim = 4 ⟨proof⟩

lemma ket-psim-norm:
shows \|ket-psim\| = 1
⟨proof⟩

```

`rho_psim` represents the density operator associated with the quantum state `ket_psim`.

definition rho-psim where
 $\text{rho-psim} = \text{rank-1-proj ket-psim}$

lemma rho-psim-carrier:
shows $\text{rho-psim} \in \text{carrier-mat } 4 \ 4$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma rho-psim-dim-row:
shows $\text{dim-row rho-psim} = 4$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma rho-psim-density:
shows $\text{density-operator rho-psim}$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.2.2 The X and Z matrices and two of their combinations

In this part we prove properties of two standard matrices in quantum theory, X and Z , as well as two of their combinations: $\frac{X+Z}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\frac{Z-X}{\sqrt{2}}$. Note that all of these matrices are observables, they will be used to violate the CHSH inequality.

lemma Z-carrier: **shows** $Z \in \text{carrier-mat } 2 \ 2$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma Z-hermitian:
shows $\text{hermitian } Z$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma unitary-Z:
shows $\text{Complex-Matrix.unitary } Z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma X-carrier: **shows** $X \in \text{carrier-mat } 2 \ 2$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma X-hermitian:
shows $\text{hermitian } X$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma unitary-X:
shows $\text{Complex-Matrix.unitary } X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition XpZ
where $\text{XpZ} = -1/\sqrt{2} \cdot_m (X + Z)$

lemma XpZ-carrier:
shows $\text{XpZ} \in \text{carrier-mat } 2 \ 2$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma XpZ-hermitian:
shows $\text{hermitian } \text{XpZ}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma XpZ-inv:
  XpZ * XpZ = 1m 2 ⟨proof⟩

lemma unitary-XpZ:
  shows Complex-Matrix.unitary XpZ
⟨proof⟩

definition ZmX
  where ZmX = 1/sqrt(2) ·m (Z - X)

lemma ZmX-carrier:
  shows ZmX ∈ carrier-mat 2 2 ⟨proof⟩

lemma ZmX-hermitian:
  shows hermitian ZmX
⟨proof⟩

lemma ZmX-inv:
  ZmX * ZmX = 1m 2 ⟨proof⟩

lemma unitary-ZmX:
  shows Complex-Matrix.unitary ZmX
⟨proof⟩

definition Z-XpZ
  where Z-XpZ = tensor-mat Z XpZ

lemma Z-XpZ-carrier:
  shows Z-XpZ ∈ carrier-mat 4 4 ⟨proof⟩

definition X-XpZ
  where X-XpZ = tensor-mat X XpZ

lemma X-XpZ-carrier:
  shows X-XpZ ∈ carrier-mat 4 4 ⟨proof⟩

definition Z-ZmX
  where Z-ZmX = tensor-mat Z ZmX

lemma Z-ZmX-carrier:
  shows Z-ZmX ∈ carrier-mat 4 4 ⟨proof⟩

definition X-ZmX
  where X-ZmX = tensor-mat X ZmX

lemma X-ZmX-carrier:
  shows X-ZmX ∈ carrier-mat 4 4 ⟨proof⟩

lemma X-ZmX-rho-psim[simp]:

```

shows *Complex-Matrix.trace* (*rho-psim* * *X-ZmX*) = 1 / (sqrt 2)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Z-ZmX-rho-psim*[simp]:

shows *Complex-Matrix.trace* (*rho-psim* * *Z-ZmX*) = -1 / (sqrt 2)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *X-XpZ-rho-psim*[simp]:

shows *Complex-Matrix.trace* (*rho-psim* * *X-XpZ*) = 1 / (sqrt 2)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Z-XpZ-rho-psim*[simp]:

shows *Complex-Matrix.trace* (*rho-psim* * *Z-XpZ*) = 1 / (sqrt 2)
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *Z-I* where

Z-I = *tensor-mat* *Z* (1_{*m*} 2)

lemma *Z-I-carrier*:

shows *Z-I* ∈ *carrier-mat* 4 4 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Z-I-hermitian*:

shows *hermitian* *Z-I* ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Z-I-unitary*:

shows *unitary* *Z-I* ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Z-I-spectrum*:

shows {*Re x* | *x*. *x* ∈ *spectrum Z-I*} ⊆ {- 1, 1} ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *X-I* where

X-I = *tensor-mat* *X* (1_{*m*} 2)

lemma *X-I-carrier*:

shows *X-I* ∈ *carrier-mat* 4 4 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *X-I-hermitian*:

shows *hermitian* *X-I* ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *X-I-unitary*:

shows *unitary* *X-I* ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *X-I-spectrum*:

shows {*Re x* | *x*. *x* ∈ *spectrum X-I*} ⊆ {- 1, 1} ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *I-XpZ* where

I-XpZ = *tensor-mat* (1_{*m*} 2) *XpZ*

lemma *I-XpZ-carrier*:

```

shows  $I\text{-}XpZ \in \text{carrier-mat } 4 \text{ } 4$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $I\text{-}XpZ\text{-hermitian}:$ 
shows hermitian  $I\text{-}XpZ$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $I\text{-}XpZ\text{-unitary}:$ 
shows unitary  $I\text{-}XpZ$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $I\text{-}XpZ\text{-spectrum}:$ 
shows  $\{\text{Re } x \mid x. x \in \text{spectrum } I\text{-}XpZ\} \subseteq \{-1, 1\}$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition  $I\text{-}ZmX$  where
 $I\text{-}ZmX = \text{tensor-mat } (1_m \text{ } 2) \text{ } ZmX$ 

lemma  $I\text{-}ZmX\text{-carrier}:$ 
shows  $I\text{-}ZmX \in \text{carrier-mat } 4 \text{ } 4$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $I\text{-}ZmX\text{-hermitian}:$ 
shows hermitian  $I\text{-}ZmX$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $I\text{-}ZmX\text{-unitary}:$ 
shows unitary  $I\text{-}ZmX$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $I\text{-}ZmX\text{-spectrum}:$ 
shows  $\{\text{Re } x \mid x. x \in \text{spectrum } I\text{-}ZmX\} \subseteq \{-1, 1\}$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $X\text{-}I\text{-}ZmX\text{-eq}:$ 
shows  $X\text{-}I * I\text{-}ZmX = X\text{-}ZmX$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $X\text{-}I\text{-}XpZ\text{-eq}:$ 
shows  $X\text{-}I * I\text{-}XpZ = X\text{-}XpZ$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $Z\text{-}I\text{-}XpZ\text{-eq}:$ 
shows  $Z\text{-}I * I\text{-}XpZ = Z\text{-}XpZ$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $Z\text{-}I\text{-}ZmX\text{-eq}:$ 
shows  $Z\text{-}I * I\text{-}ZmX = Z\text{-}ZmX$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

4.2.3 No local hidden variable

We show that the local hidden variable hypothesis cannot hold by exhibiting a quantum expectation value that is greater than the upper-bound given by the CHSH inequality.

```

locale  $\text{bin-cpx} = \text{cpx-sq-mat} +$ 
assumes  $\text{dim4}: \text{dimR} = 4$ 

lemma (in  $\text{bin-cpx}$ )  $X\text{-}I\text{-}XpZ\text{-trace}:$ 
assumes  $\text{lhv } M \text{ } X\text{-}I \text{ } I\text{-}XpZ \text{ } R \text{ } Vx \text{ } Vp$ 
and  $R \in \text{fc-mats}$ 

```

shows $LINT w|M. (qt\text{-}expect X\text{-}I Vx w) * (qt\text{-}expect I\text{-}XpZ Vp w) =$
 $Re (Complex\text{-}Matrix.trace (R * X\text{-}XpZ))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in bin-cpx) $X\text{-}I\text{-}XpZ\text{-}chsh$:
assumes $lhv M X\text{-}I I\text{-}XpZ rho\text{-}psim Vx Vp$
shows $LINT w|M. (qt\text{-}expect X\text{-}I Vx w) * (qt\text{-}expect I\text{-}XpZ Vp w) =$
 $1/\sqrt{2}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in bin-cpx) $Z\text{-}I\text{-}XpZ\text{-}trace$:
assumes $lhv M Z\text{-}I I\text{-}XpZ R Vz Vp$
and $R \in fc\text{-}mats$
shows $LINT w|M. (qt\text{-}expect Z\text{-}I Vz w) * (qt\text{-}expect I\text{-}XpZ Vp w) =$
 $Re (Complex\text{-}Matrix.trace (R * Z\text{-}XpZ))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in bin-cpx) $Z\text{-}I\text{-}XpZ\text{-}chsh$:
assumes $lhv M Z\text{-}I I\text{-}XpZ rho\text{-}psim Vz Vp$
shows $LINT w|M. (qt\text{-}expect Z\text{-}I Vz w) * (qt\text{-}expect I\text{-}XpZ Vp w) =$
 $1/\sqrt{2}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in bin-cpx) $X\text{-}I\text{-}ZmX\text{-}trace$:
assumes $lhv M X\text{-}I I\text{-}ZmX R Vx Vp$
and $R \in fc\text{-}mats$
shows $LINT w|M. (qt\text{-}expect X\text{-}I Vx w) * (qt\text{-}expect I\text{-}ZmX Vp w) =$
 $Re (Complex\text{-}Matrix.trace (R * X\text{-}ZmX))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in bin-cpx) $X\text{-}I\text{-}ZmX\text{-}chsh$:
assumes $lhv M X\text{-}I I\text{-}ZmX rho\text{-}psim Vx Vp$
shows $LINT w|M. (qt\text{-}expect X\text{-}I Vx w) * (qt\text{-}expect I\text{-}ZmX Vp w) =$
 $1/\sqrt{2}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in bin-cpx) $Z\text{-}I\text{-}ZmX\text{-}trace$:
assumes $lhv M Z\text{-}I I\text{-}ZmX R Vz Vp$
and $R \in fc\text{-}mats$
shows $LINT w|M. (qt\text{-}expect Z\text{-}I Vz w) * (qt\text{-}expect I\text{-}ZmX Vp w) =$
 $Re (Complex\text{-}Matrix.trace (R * Z\text{-}ZmX))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in bin-cpx) $Z\text{-}I\text{-}ZmX\text{-}chsh$:
assumes $lhv M Z\text{-}I I\text{-}ZmX rho\text{-}psim Vz Vp$
shows $LINT w|M. (qt\text{-}expect Z\text{-}I Vz w) * (qt\text{-}expect I\text{-}ZmX Vp w) =$
 $-1/\sqrt{2}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma (in bin-cpx) chsh-upper-bound:
  assumes prob-space M
  and lhv M X-I I-XpZ rho-psim Vx Vp
  and lhv M Z-I I-XpZ rho-psim Vz Vp
  and lhv M X-I I-ZmX rho-psim Vx Vm
  and lhv M Z-I I-ZmX rho-psim Vz Vm
  shows |(LINT w|M. qt-expect X-I Vx w * qt-expect I-ZmX Vm w) +
    (LINT w|M. qt-expect Z-I Vz w * qt-expect I-XpZ Vp w) +
    (LINT w|M. qt-expect X-I Vx w * qt-expect I-XpZ Vp w) -
    (LINT w|M. qt-expect Z-I Vz w * qt-expect I-ZmX Vm w)|
    ≤ 2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in bin-cpx) quantum-value:
  assumes lhv M X-I I-XpZ rho-psim Vx Vp
  and lhv M Z-I I-XpZ rho-psim Vz Vp
  and lhv M X-I I-ZmX rho-psim Vx Vm
  and lhv M Z-I I-ZmX rho-psim Vz Vm
  shows |(LINT w|M. qt-expect X-I Vx w * qt-expect I-ZmX Vm w) +
    (LINT w|M. qt-expect Z-I Vz w * qt-expect I-XpZ Vp w) +
    (LINT w|M. qt-expect X-I Vx w * qt-expect I-XpZ Vp w) -
    (LINT w|M. qt-expect Z-I Vz w * qt-expect I-ZmX Vm w)|
    = 2 * sqrt 2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in bin-cpx) no-lhv:
  assumes lhv M X-I I-XpZ rho-psim Vx Vp
  and lhv M Z-I I-XpZ rho-psim Vz Vp
  and lhv M X-I I-ZmX rho-psim Vx Vm
  and lhv M Z-I I-ZmX rho-psim Vz Vm
  shows False
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end