

Projective Geometry

Anthony Bordg

March 17, 2025

Abstract

We formalize the basics of projective geometry.

In particular, we give a proof of the so-called Hessenberg's theorem in projective plane geometry (see [1] for an alternative proof using a Coherent Logic prover in Prolog which generates Coq proof scripts). We also provide a proof of the so-called Desargues's theorem based on an axiomatization [2] of (higher) projective space geometry using the notion of rank of a matroid. This last approach allows to handle incidence relations in an homogeneous way dealing only with points and without the need of talking explicitly about lines, planes or any higher entity.

Contents

1	The Axioms of the Projective Plane	2
2	Pappus's Property	3
3	Pascal's Property	10
4	Desargues's Property	20
5	Hessenberg's Theorem	24
6	A Based-rank Set of Axioms for Projective Space Geometry	35
7	Proof Techniques Using Ranks	36
8	Desargues's Theorem: The Coplanar Case	41
9	Desargues's Theorem: The Non-coplanar Case	65
10	The axioms of the Projective Space	69
11	The axioms for Higher Projective Geometry	71

12 Acknowledgements 72

```
theory Projective-Plane-Axioms
  imports Main
begin
```

Contents:

- We introduce the types of points and lines and an incidence relation between them.
- A set of axioms for the projective plane (the models of these axioms are n-dimensional with $n \geq 2$).

1 The Axioms of the Projective Plane

```
locale projective-plane =
```

```
fixes incid :: 'point ⇒ 'line ⇒ bool
```

```
assumes ax1: ∃ l. incid P l ∧ incid Q l
```

```
assumes ax2: ∃ P. incid P l ∧ incid P m
```

```
assumes ax-uniqueness: [incid P l; incid Q l; incid P m; incid Q m] ⇒ P = Q ∨ l = m
```

```
assumes ax3: ∃ A B C D. distinct [A,B,C,D] ∧ (∀ l.
  (incid A l ∧ incid B l → ¬(incid C l) ∧ ¬(incid D l)) ∧
  (incid A l ∧ incid C l → ¬(incid B l) ∧ ¬(incid D l)) ∧
  (incid A l ∧ incid D l → ¬(incid B l) ∧ ¬(incid C l)) ∧
  (incid B l ∧ incid C l → ¬(incid A l) ∧ ¬(incid D l)) ∧
  (incid B l ∧ incid D l → ¬(incid A l) ∧ ¬(incid C l)) ∧
  (incid C l ∧ incid D l → ¬(incid A l) ∧ ¬(incid B l)))
```

```
end
theory Pappus-Property
  imports Main Projective-Plane-Axioms
begin
```

Contents:

- We give two formulations of Pappus's property for a configuration of nine points *is-pappus1* *is-pappus2*.
- We prove the equivalence of these two formulations *pappus-equiv*.
- We state Pappus property for a plane *is-pappus*.

2 Pappus's Property

```
context projective-plane
begin
```

```
definition col :: ['point, 'point, 'point] ⇒ bool where
col A B C ≡ ∃ l. incid A l ∧ incid B l ∧ incid C l

lemma distinct6-def:
distinct [A,B,C,D,E,F] ≡ (A ≠ B) ∧ (A ≠ C) ∧ (A ≠ D) ∧ (A ≠ E) ∧ (A ≠ F)
∧
(B ≠ C) ∧ (B ≠ D) ∧ (B ≠ E) ∧ (B ≠ F) ∧
(C ≠ D) ∧ (C ≠ E) ∧ (C ≠ F) ∧
(D ≠ E) ∧ (D ≠ F) ∧
(E ≠ F)
by auto
```

```
definition lines :: 'point ⇒ 'point ⇒ 'line set where
lines P Q ≡ {l. incid P l ∧ incid Q l}
```

```
lemma uniq-line:
assumes P ≠ Q and l ∈ lines P Q and m ∈ lines P Q
shows l = m
using assms lines-def ax-uniqueness
by blast
```

```
definition line :: 'point ⇒ 'point ⇒ 'line where
line P Q ≡ @l. incid P l ∧ incid Q l
```

```
definition is-a-proper-intersec :: ['point, 'point, 'point, 'point, 'point] ⇒ bool where
is-a-proper-intersec P A B C D ≡ (A ≠ B) ∧ (C ≠ D) ∧ (line A B ≠ line C D)
∧ col P A B ∧ col P C D
```

```
definition is-pappus1 :: ['point, 'point, 'point, 'point, 'point, 'point, 'point] => bool where
is-pappus1 A B C A' B' C' P Q R ≡
distinct[A,B,C,A',B',C'] → col A B C → col A' B' C'
→ is-a-proper-intersec P A B' A' B → is-a-proper-intersec Q B C' B' C
```

$\rightarrow \text{is-a-proper-intersec } R A C' A' C$
 $\rightarrow \text{col } P Q R$

definition *is-a-intersec* :: [*'point*, *'point*, *'point*, *'point*, *'point*] \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-a-intersec *P A B C D* \equiv *col P A B* \wedge *col P C D*

definition *is-pappus2* ::
[*'point*, *'point*, *'point*, *'point*, *'point*, *'point*, *'point*, *'point*] \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-pappus2 *A B C A' B' C' P Q R* \equiv
(*distinct* [*A,B,C,A',B',C'*] \vee (*A* \neq *B'* \wedge *A'* \neq *B* \wedge *line A B'* \neq *line A' B* \wedge
B \neq *C'* \wedge *B'* \neq *C* \wedge *line B C'* \neq *line B' C* \wedge
A \neq *C'* \wedge *A'* \neq *C* \wedge *line A C'* \neq *line A' C*))
 $\rightarrow \text{col } A B C \rightarrow \text{col } A' B' C' \rightarrow \text{is-a-intersec } P A B' A' B$
 $\rightarrow \text{is-a-intersec } Q B C' B' C \rightarrow \text{is-a-intersec } R A C' A' C$
 $\rightarrow \text{col } P Q R$

lemma *is-a-proper-intersec-is-a-intersec*:
assumes *is-a-proper-intersec P A B C D*
shows *is-a-intersec P A B C D*
using *assms is-a-intersec-def is-a-proper-intersec-def*
by *auto*

lemma *pappus21*:
assumes *is-pappus2 A B C A' B' C' P Q R*
shows *is-pappus1 A B C A' B' C' P Q R*
using *assms is-pappus2-def is-pappus1-def is-a-proper-intersec-is-a-intersec*
by *auto*

lemma *col-AAB*: *col A A B*
by (*simp add: ax1 col-def*)

lemma *col-ABA*: *col A B A*
using *ax1 col-def*
by *blast*

lemma *col-ABB*: *col A B B*
by (*simp add: ax1 col-def*)

lemma *incidA-lAB*: *incid A (line A B)*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) ax1 line-def someI-ex*)

lemma *incidB-lAB*: *incid B (line A B)*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) ax1 line-def someI-ex*)

lemma *degenerate-hexagon-is-pappus*:
assumes *distinct [A,B,C,A',B',C'] and col A B C and col A' B' C' and*
is-a-intersec P A B' A' B and is-a-intersec Q B C' B' C and is-a-intersec R A

$C' A' C$
and $\text{line } A B' = \text{line } A' B \vee \text{line } B C' = \text{line } B' C \vee \text{line } A C' = \text{line } A' C$
shows $\text{col } P Q R$
proof –
have $\text{col } P Q R$ **if** $\text{line } A B' = \text{line } A' B$
by (smt assms(1) assms(3) assms(4) assms(5) assms(6) ax-uniqueness col-def distinct6-def
incidA-lAB incidB-lAB is-a-intersec-def that)
have $\text{col } P Q R$ **if** $\text{line } B C' = \text{line } B' C$
by (smt ⟨ $\text{line } A B' = \text{line } A' B \implies \text{col } P Q R$ ⟩ assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) ax-uniqueness col-def
distinct6-def incidA-lAB incidB-lAB that)
have $\text{col } P Q R$ **if** $\text{line } A C' = \text{line } A' C$
by (smt ⟨ $\text{line } B C' = \text{line } B' C \implies \text{col } P Q R$ ⟩ assms(1) assms(2) assms(3)
assms(7) ax-uniqueness
col-def distinct6-def incidA-lAB incidB-lAB)
show $\text{col } P Q R$
using ⟨ $\text{line } A B' = \text{line } A' B \implies \text{col } P Q R$ ⟩ ⟨ $\text{line } A C' = \text{line } A' C \implies \text{col } P Q R$ ⟩
⟨ $\text{line } B C' = \text{line } B' C \implies \text{col } P Q R$ ⟩ assms(7)
by blast
qed

lemma pappus12:
assumes is-pappus1 $A B C A' B' C' P Q R$
shows is-pappus2 $A B C A' B' C' P Q R$
proof –
have $\text{col } P Q R$ **if** $(A \neq B' \wedge A' \neq B \wedge \text{line } A B' \neq \text{line } A' B \wedge$
 $B \neq C' \wedge B' \neq C \wedge \text{line } B C' \neq \text{line } B' C \wedge$
 $A \neq C' \wedge A' \neq C \wedge \text{line } A C' \neq \text{line } A' C)$ **and** h1:col $A B C$ **and** h2:col $A' B' C'$
and is-a-intersec $P A B' A' B$ **and** is-a-intersec $Q B C' B' C$
and is-a-intersec $R A C' A' C$
proof –
have $\text{col } P Q R$ **if** $A = B$
proof –
have $P = A$
by (metis ⟨ $A \neq B' \wedge A' \neq B \wedge \text{line } A B' \neq \text{line } A' B \wedge B \neq C' \wedge B' \neq C$
 $\wedge \text{line } B C' \neq \text{line } B' C$
 $\wedge A \neq C' \wedge A' \neq C \wedge \text{line } A C' \neq \text{line } A' C$ ⟩ ⟨is-a-intersec $P A B' A' B$ ⟩
ax-uniqueness col-def
incidA-lAB incidB-lAB is-a-intersec-def that)
have $\text{col } P A C' \wedge \text{col } Q A C' \wedge \text{col } R A C'$
using ⟨ $P = A$ ⟩ ⟨is-a-intersec $Q B C' B' C$ ⟩ ⟨is-a-intersec $R A C' A' C$ ⟩
col-AAB
is-a-intersec-def that
by auto
then show $\text{col } P Q R$
by (smt ⟨ $A \neq B' \wedge A' \neq B \wedge \text{line } A B' \neq \text{line } A' B \wedge B \neq C' \wedge B' \neq C$ ⟩

$\wedge \text{line } B \ C' \neq \text{line } B' \ C$
 $\quad \wedge A \neq C' \wedge A' \neq C \wedge \text{line } A \ C' \neq \text{line } A' \ C \rangle \text{ ax-uniqueness col-def})$
qed
have $\text{col } P \ Q \ R$ **if** $A = C$
proof –
have $R = A$
by (*metis* $\langle A \neq B' \wedge A' \neq B \wedge \text{line } A \ B' \neq \text{line } A' \ B \wedge B \neq C' \wedge B' \neq C$
 $\wedge \text{line } B \ C' \neq \text{line } B' \ C$
 $\quad \wedge A \neq C' \wedge A' \neq C \wedge \text{line } A \ C' \neq \text{line } A' \ C \rangle \langle \text{is-a-intersec } R \ A \ C' \ A' \ C \rangle$
 $\text{ax-uniqueness col-def incidA-lAB incidB-lAB is-a-intersec-def that})$
have $\text{col } P \ A \ B' \wedge \text{col } Q \ A \ B' \wedge \text{col } R \ A \ B'$
using $\langle R = A \rangle \langle \text{is-a-intersec } P \ A \ B' \ A' \ B \rangle \langle \text{is-a-intersec } Q \ B \ C' \ B' \ C \rangle \text{ col-def}$

is-a-intersec-def that
by auto
then show $\text{col } P \ Q \ R$
by (*smt* $\langle A \neq B' \wedge A' \neq B \wedge \text{line } A \ B' \neq \text{line } A' \ B \wedge B \neq C' \wedge B' \neq C \wedge$
 $\text{line } B \ C' \neq \text{line } B' \ C$
 $\quad \wedge A \neq C' \wedge A' \neq C \wedge \text{line } A \ C' \neq \text{line } A' \ C \rangle \text{ ax-uniqueness col-def})$
qed
have $\text{col } P \ Q \ R$ **if** $A = A'$
by (*smt* $\langle A \neq B' \wedge A' \neq B \wedge \text{line } A \ B' \neq \text{line } A' \ B \wedge B \neq C' \wedge B' \neq C \wedge$
 $\text{line } B \ C' \neq \text{line } B' \ C \wedge$
 $\quad A \neq C' \wedge A' \neq C \wedge \text{line } A \ C' \neq \text{line } A' \ C \rangle \langle \text{is-a-intersec } P \ A \ B' \ A' \ B \rangle$
 $\langle \text{is-a-intersec } R \ A \ C' \ A' \ C \rangle$
 $\text{ax-uniqueness col-ABA col-def incidA-lAB incidB-lAB is-a-intersec-def that})$
have $\text{col } P \ Q \ R$ **if** $B = C$
by (*smt* $\langle A \neq B' \wedge A' \neq B \wedge \text{line } A \ B' \neq \text{line } A' \ B \wedge B \neq C' \wedge B' \neq C \wedge$
 $\text{line } B \ C' \neq \text{line } B' \ C \wedge$
 $\quad A \neq C' \wedge A' \neq C \wedge \text{line } A \ C' \neq \text{line } A' \ C \rangle \langle \text{is-a-intersec } P \ A \ B' \ A' \ B \rangle$
 $\langle \text{is-a-intersec } Q \ B \ C' \ B' \ C \rangle$
 $\langle \text{is-a-intersec } R \ A \ C' \ A' \ C \rangle$
 $\text{ax-uniqueness col-def incidA-lAB incidB-lAB is-a-intersec-def that})$
have $\text{col } P \ Q \ R$ **if** $B = B'$
by (*smt* $\langle A \neq B' \wedge A' \neq B \wedge \text{line } A \ B' \neq \text{line } A' \ B \wedge B \neq C' \wedge B' \neq C \wedge$
 $\text{line } B \ C' \neq \text{line } B' \ C \wedge$
 $\quad A \neq C' \wedge A' \neq C \wedge \text{line } A \ C' \neq \text{line } A' \ C \rangle \langle \text{is-a-intersec } P \ A \ B' \ A' \ B \rangle$
 $\langle \text{is-a-intersec } Q \ B \ C' \ B' \ C \rangle$
 $\text{ax-uniqueness col-AAB col-def incidA-lAB incidB-lAB is-a-intersec-def that})$
have $\text{col } P \ Q \ R$ **if** $C = C'$
by (*smt* $\langle A \neq B' \wedge A' \neq B \wedge \text{line } A \ B' \neq \text{line } A' \ B \wedge B \neq C' \wedge B' \neq C \wedge$
 $\text{line } B \ C' \neq \text{line } B' \ C \wedge$
 $\quad A \neq C' \wedge A' \neq C \wedge \text{line } A \ C' \neq \text{line } A' \ C \rangle \langle \text{is-a-intersec } Q \ B \ C' \ B' \ C \rangle$
 $\langle \text{is-a-intersec } R \ A \ C' \ A' \ C \rangle$
 $\text{ax-uniqueness col-ABB col-def incidA-lAB incidB-lAB is-a-intersec-def that})$
have $\text{col } P \ Q \ R$ **if** $A' = B'$
by (*smt* $\langle A \neq B' \wedge A' \neq B \wedge \text{line } A \ B' \neq \text{line } A' \ B \wedge B \neq C' \wedge B' \neq C \wedge$
 $\text{line } B \ C' \neq \text{line } B' \ C \wedge$
 $\quad A \neq C' \wedge A' \neq C \wedge \text{line } A \ C' \neq \text{line } A' \ C \rangle \langle \text{is-a-intersec } P \ A \ B' \ A' \ B \rangle$

```

⟨is-a-intersec Q B C' B' C⟩
  ⟨is-a-intersec R A C' A' C⟩ ax-uniqueness col-def incidA-lAB incidB-lAB
  is-a-intersec-def that)
  have col P Q R if A' = C'
    by (smt ⟨A ≠ B' ∧ A' ≠ B ∧ line A B' ≠ line A' B ∧ B ≠ C' ∧ B' ≠ C ∧
    line B C' ≠ line B' C ∧
    A ≠ C' ∧ A' ≠ C ∧ line A C' ≠ line A' C⟩ ⟨is-a-intersec P A B' A' B⟩
  ⟨is-a-intersec Q B C' B' C⟩
    ⟨is-a-intersec R A C' A' C⟩ ax-uniqueness col-def incidA-lAB incidB-lAB
    is-a-intersec-def that)
    have col P Q R if B' = C'
      by (smt ⟨A ≠ B' ∧ A' ≠ B ∧ line A B' ≠ line A' B ∧ B ≠ C' ∧ B' ≠ C ∧
      line B C' ≠ line B' C ∧
      A ≠ C' ∧ A' ≠ C ∧ line A C' ≠ line A' C⟩ ⟨is-a-intersec P A B' A' B⟩
  ⟨is-a-intersec Q B C' B' C⟩
    ⟨is-a-intersec R A C' A' C⟩ ax-uniqueness col-def incidA-lAB incidB-lAB
    is-a-intersec-def that)
    have col P Q R if A ≠ B ∧ A ≠ C ∧ A ≠ A' ∧ B ≠ C ∧ B ≠ B' ∧ C ≠ C' ∧
      A' ≠ B'
      ∧ A' ≠ C' ∧ B' ≠ C'
  proof –
    have a1:distinct [A,B,C,A',B',C]
      using ⟨A ≠ B' ∧ A' ≠ B ∧ line A B' ≠ line A' B ∧ B ≠ C' ∧ B' ≠ C ∧
      line B C' ≠ line B' C ∧
      A ≠ C' ∧ A' ≠ C ∧ line A C' ≠ line A' C⟩ distinct6-def that
      by auto
    have is-a-proper-intersec P A B' A' B
      using ⟨A ≠ B' ∧ A' ≠ B ∧ line A B' ≠ line A' B ∧ B ≠ C' ∧ B' ≠ C ∧
      line B C' ≠ line B' C ∧
      A ≠ C' ∧ A' ≠ C ∧ line A C' ≠ line A' C⟩ ⟨is-a-intersec P A B' A' B⟩
    is-a-intersec-def
      is-a-proper-intersec-def
      by auto
    have is-a-proper-intersec Q B C' B' C
      using ⟨A ≠ B' ∧ A' ≠ B ∧ line A B' ≠ line A' B ∧ B ≠ C' ∧ B' ≠ C ∧
      line B C' ≠ line B' C ∧
      A ≠ C' ∧ A' ≠ C ∧ line A C' ≠ line A' C⟩ ⟨is-a-intersec Q B C' B' C⟩
    is-a-intersec-def
      is-a-proper-intersec-def
      by auto
    have is-a-proper-intersec R A C' A' C
      using ⟨A ≠ B' ∧ A' ≠ B ∧ line A B' ≠ line A' B ∧ B ≠ C' ∧ B' ≠ C ∧
      line B C' ≠ line B' C ∧
      A ≠ C' ∧ A' ≠ C ∧ line A C' ≠ line A' C⟩ ⟨is-a-intersec R A C' A' C⟩
    is-a-intersec-def
      is-a-proper-intersec-def
      by auto
    show col P Q R
      using ⟨is-a-proper-intersec P A B' A' B⟩ ⟨is-a-proper-intersec Q B C' B' C⟩

```

```

<is-a-proper-intersec R A C' A' C> a1 assms h1 h2 is-pappus1-def
by blast
qed
show col P Q R
using <A = A' => col P Q R> <A = B => col P Q R> <A = C => col P Q
R>
<A ≠ B ∧ A ≠ C ∧ A ≠ A' ∧ B ≠ C ∧ B ≠ B' ∧ C ≠ C' ∧ A' ≠ B' ∧
A' ≠ C' ∧ B' ≠ C' => col P Q R>
<A' = B' => col P Q R> <A' = C' => col P Q R> <B = B' => col P Q
R> <B = C => col P Q R>
<B' = C' => col P Q R> <C = C' => col P Q R>
by blast
qed
have col P Q R if distinct [A,B,C,A',B',C] and col A B C and col A' B' C'
and is-a-intersec P A B' A' B and is-a-intersec Q B C' B' C and is-a-intersec
R A C' A' C
proof -
have col P Q R if line A B' = line A' B
using <col A B C> <col A' B' C'> <distinct [A,B,C,A',B',C]> <is-a-intersec P
A B' A' B>
<is-a-intersec Q B C' B' C> <is-a-intersec R A C' A' C> degenerate-hexagon-is-pappus
that
by blast
have col P Q R if line B C' = line B' C
using <col A B C> <col A' B' C'> <distinct [A,B,C,A',B',C]> <is-a-intersec P
A B' A' B>
<is-a-intersec Q B C' B' C> <is-a-intersec R A C' A' C> degenerate-hexagon-is-pappus
that
by blast
have col P Q R if line A' C = line A C'
using <col A B C> <col A' B' C'> <distinct [A,B,C,A',B',C]> <is-a-intersec P
A B' A' B>
<is-a-intersec Q B C' B' C> <is-a-intersec R A C' A' C> degenerate-hexagon-is-pappus
that
by auto
have col P Q R if line A B' ≠ line A' B and line B C' ≠ line B' C and
line A C' ≠ line A' C
proof -
have is-a-proper-intersec P A B' A' B
using <distinct [A,B,C,A',B',C]> <is-a-intersec P A B' A' B> distinct6-def
is-a-intersec-def
is-a-proper-intersec-def that(1)
by auto
have is-a-proper-intersec Q B C' B' C
using <distinct [A,B,C,A',B',C]> <is-a-intersec Q B C' B' C> distinct6-def
is-a-intersec-def
is-a-proper-intersec-def that(2)
by auto
have is-a-proper-intersec R A C' A' C

```

```

using <distinct [A,B,C,A',B',C']> <is-a-intersec R A C' A' C> distinct6-def
is-a-intersec-def
  is-a-proper-intersec-def that(3)
  by auto
  show col P Q R
  using <col A B C> <col A' B' C'> <distinct [A,B,C,A',B',C']> <is-a-proper-intersec
P A B' A' B>
  <is-a-proper-intersec Q B C' B' C> <is-a-proper-intersec R A C' A' C>
assms is-pappus1-def
  by blast
qed
show col P Q R
  using <[line A B' ≠ line A' B; line B C' ≠ line B' C; line A C' ≠ line A'
C]> ⇒ col P Q R
  <line A B' = line A' B ⇒ col P Q R> <line A' C = line A C' ⇒ col P Q
R>
  <line B C' = line B' C ⇒ col P Q R>
  by fastforce
qed
show is-pappus2 A B C A' B' C' P Q R
  by (simp add: <[A ≠ B' ∧ A' ≠ B ∧ line A B' ≠ line A' B ∧ B ≠ C' ∧ B' ≠
C ∧ line B C' ≠ line B' C
  ∧ A ≠ C' ∧ A' ≠ C ∧ line A C' ≠ line A' C; col A B C; col A' B' C';
is-a-intersec P A B' A' B; is-a-intersec Q B C' B' C; is-a-intersec R A C' A' C]>
⇒ col P Q R>
  <[distinct [A,B,C,A',B',C']; col A B C; col A' B' C'; is-a-intersec P A B'
A' B; is-a-intersec Q B C' B' C; is-a-intersec R A C' A' C]> ⇒ col P Q R>
  is-pappus2-def)
qed

lemma pappus-equiv: is-pappus1 A B C A' B' C' P Q R = is-pappus2 A B C A'
B' C' P Q R
  using pappus12 pappus21
  by blast

```

```

definition is-pappus :: bool where
is-pappus ≡ ∀ A B C D E F P Q R. is-pappus2 A B C D E F P Q R

```

```
end
```

```
end
```

```
theory Pascal-Property
```

```
imports Main Projective-Plane-Axioms Pappus-Property
begin
```

Contents:

- A hexagon is pascal if its three opposite sides meet in collinear points

is-pascal.

- A plane is pascal, or has Pascal's property, if for every hexagon of that plane Pascal property is stable under any permutation of that hexagon.

3 Pascal's Property

```

context projective-plane
begin

definition inters :: 'line  $\Rightarrow$  'line  $\Rightarrow$  'point set where
inters l m  $\equiv \{P. \text{incid } P l \wedge \text{incid } P m\}$ 

lemma inters-is-singleton:
assumes l  $\neq$  m and P  $\in$  inters l m and Q  $\in$  inters l m
shows P = Q
using assms ax-uniqueness inters-def
by blast

definition inter :: 'line  $\Rightarrow$  'line  $\Rightarrow$  'point where
inter l m  $\equiv @P. P \in \text{inters } l m$ 

lemma uniq-inter:
assumes l  $\neq$  m and incid P l and incid P m
shows inter l m = P
proof -
  have P  $\in$  inters l m
    by (simp add: assms(2) assms(3) inters-def)
  have  $\forall Q. Q \in \text{inters } l m \longrightarrow Q = P$ 
    using ‹P  $\in$  inters l m› assms(1) inters-is-singleton
    by blast
  show inter l m = P
    using ‹P  $\in$  inters l m› assms(1) inter-def inters-is-singleton
    by auto
qed

definition is-pascal :: ['point, 'point, 'point, 'point, 'point, 'point]  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
is-pascal A B C D E F  $\equiv$  distinct [A,B,C,D,E,F]  $\longrightarrow$  line B C  $\neq$  line E F  $\longrightarrow$ 
line C D  $\neq$  line A F
 $\longrightarrow$  line A B  $\neq$  line D E  $\longrightarrow$ 
(let P = inter (line B C) (line E F) in
let Q = inter (line C D) (line A F) in
let R = inter (line A B) (line D E) in
col P Q R)

```

```

lemma col-rot-CW:
  assumes col P Q R
  shows col R P Q
  using assms col-def
  by auto

lemma col-2cycle:
  assumes col P Q R
  shows col P R Q
  using assms col-def
  by auto

lemma distinct6-rot-CW:
  assumes distinct [A,B,C,D,E,F]
  shows distinct [F,A,B,C,D,E]
  using assms distinct6-def
  by auto

lemma lines-comm: lines P Q = lines Q P
  using lines-def
  by auto

lemma line-comm:
  assumes P ≠ Q
  shows line P Q = line Q P
  by (metis ax-uniqueness incidA-lAB incidB-lAB)

lemma inters-comm: inters l m = inters m l
  using inters-def
  by auto

lemma inter-comm: inter l m = inter m l
  by (simp add: inter-def inters-comm)

lemma inter-line-line-comm:
  assumes C ≠ D
  shows inter (line A B) (line C D) = inter (line A B) (line D C)
  using assms line-comm
  by auto

lemma inter-line-comm-line:
  assumes A ≠ B
  shows inter (line A B) (line C D) = inter (line B A) (line C D)
  using assms line-comm
  by auto

lemma inter-comm-line-line-comm:
  assumes C ≠ D and line A B ≠ line C D
  shows inter (line A B) (line C D) = inter (line D C) (line A B)

```

by (*metis inter-comm line-comm*)

lemma *is-pascal-rot-CW*:
assumes *is-pascal A B C D E F*
shows *is-pascal F A B C D E*
proof –
 define *P Q R* **where** *P = inter (line A B) (line D E)* **and** *Q = inter (line B C) (line E F)* **and**
 R = inter (line F A) (line C D)
 have *col P Q R* **if distinct** [*F,A,B,C,D,E*] **and** *line A B ≠ line D E* **and** *line B C ≠ line E F*
 and *line F A ≠ line C D*
 using *P-def Q-def R-def assms col-rot-CW distinct6-def inter-comm is-pascal-def line-comm*
 that(1) that(2) that(3) that(4)
 by auto
 then show *is-pascal F A B C D E*
 by (*metis P-def Q-def R-def is-pascal-def line-comm*)
qed

lemma *incid-C-AB*:
assumes *A ≠ B and incid A l and incid B l and incid C l*
shows *incid C (line A B)*
using *assms ax-uniqueness incidA-lAB incidB-lAB*
by *blast*

lemma *incid-inters-left*:
assumes *P ∈ inters l m*
shows *incid P l*
using *assms inters-def*
by *auto*

lemma *incid-inters-right*:
assumes *P ∈ inters l m*
shows *incid P m*
using *assms incid-inters-left inters-comm*
by *blast*

lemma *inter-in-inters*: *inter l m ∈ inters l m*
proof –
 have $\exists P. P \in \text{inters } l m$
 using *inters-def ax2*
 by *auto*
 show *inter l m ∈ inters l m*

```

by (metis ‹ $\exists P. P \in \text{inters } l m$ › inter-def some-eq-ex)
qed

lemma incid-inter-left: incid (inter l m) l
using incid-inters-left inter-in-inters
by blast

lemma incid-inter-right: incid (inter l m) m
using incid-inter-left inter-comm
by fastforce

lemma col-A-B-ABl: col A B (inter (line A B) l)
using col-def incidA-lAB incidB-lAB incid-inter-left
by blast

lemma col-A-B-lAB: col A B (inter l (line A B))
using col-A-B-ABl inter-comm
by auto

lemma inter-is-a-intersec: is-a-intersec (inter (line A B) (line C D)) A B C D
by (simp add: col-A-B-ABl col-A-B-lAB col-rot-CW is-a-intersec-def)

definition line-ext :: 'line ⇒ 'point set where
line-ext l ≡ {P. incid P l}

lemma line-left-inter-1:
assumes P ∈ line-ext l and P ∉ line-ext m
shows line (inter l m) P = l
by (metis CollectD CollectI assms(1) assms(2) incidA-lAB incidB-lAB incid-inter-left
incid-inter-right line-ext-def uniq-inter)

lemma line-left-inter-2:
assumes P ∈ line-ext m and P ∉ line-ext l
shows line (inter l m) P = m
using assms inter-comm line-left-inter-1
by fastforce

lemma line-right-inter-1:
assumes P ∈ line-ext l and P ∉ line-ext m
shows line P (inter l m) = l
by (metis assms line-comm line-left-inter-1)

lemma line-right-inter-2:
assumes P ∈ line-ext m and P ∉ line-ext l
shows line P (inter l m) = m
by (metis assms inter-comm line-comm line-left-inter-1)

lemma inter-ABC-1:

```

```

assumes line A B ≠ line C A
shows inter (line A B) (line C A) = A
using assms ax-uniqueness incidA-lAB incidB-lAB incid-inter-left incid-inter-right
by blast

lemma line-inter-2:
assumes inter l m ≠ inter l' m
shows line (inter l m) (inter l' m) = m
using assms ax-uniqueness incidA-lAB incidB-lAB incid-inter-right
by blast

lemma col-line-ext-1:
assumes col A B C and A ≠ C
shows B ∈ line-ext (line A C)
by (metis CollectI assms ax-uniqueness col-def incidA-lAB incidB-lAB line-ext-def)

lemma inter-line-ext-1:
assumes inter l m ∈ line-ext n and l ≠ m and l ≠ n
shows inter l m = inter l n
using assms(1) assms(3) ax-uniqueness incid-inter-left incid-inter-right line-ext-def

by blast

lemma inter-line-ext-2:
assumes inter l m ∈ line-ext n and l ≠ m and m ≠ n
shows inter l m = inter m n
by (metis assms inter-comm inter-line-ext-1)

definition pascal-prop :: bool where
pascal-prop ≡ ∀ A B C D E F. is-pascal A B C D E F → is-pascal B A C D E F

lemma pappus-pascal:
assumes is-pappus
shows pascal-prop
proof-
have is-pascal B A C D E F if is-pascal A B C D E F for A B C D E F
proof-
define X Y Z where X = inter (line A C) (line E F) and Y = inter (line C D) (line B F)
and Z = inter (line B A) (line D E)
have col X Y Z if distinct [B,A,C,D,E,F] and line A C ≠ line E F and line C D ≠ line B F
and line B A ≠ line D E and line B C = line E F
by (smt X-def Y-def ax-uniqueness col-ABA col-rot-CW distinct6-def incidB-lAB incid-inter-left
incid-inter-right line-comm that(1) that(2) that(3) that(5))
have col X Y Z if distinct [B,A,C,D,E,F] and line A C ≠ line E F and line C D ≠ line B F

```

and $\text{line } B \ A \neq \text{line } D \ E$ **and** $\text{line } C \ D = \text{line } A \ F$
by (*metis X-def Y-def col-ABA col-rot-CW distinct6-def inter-ABC-1 line-comm that(1) that(2) that(3) that(5)*)
have $\text{col } X \ Y \ Z$ **if** $\text{distinct } [B,A,C,D,E,F]$ **and** $\text{line } A \ C \neq \text{line } E \ F$ **and** $\text{line } C \ D \neq \text{line } B \ F$
and $\text{line } B \ A \neq \text{line } D \ E$ **and** $\text{line } B \ C \neq \text{line } E \ F$ **and** $\text{line } C \ D \neq \text{line } A \ F$
proof–
define W **where** $W = \text{inter}(\text{line } A \ C)(\text{line } E \ F)$
have $\text{col } A \ C \ W$
by (*simp add: col-A-B-ABl W-def*)
define $P \ Q \ R$ **where** $P = \text{inter}(\text{line } B \ C)(\text{line } E \ F)$
and $Q = \text{inter}(\text{line } A \ B)(\text{line } D \ E)$
and $R = \text{inter}(\text{line } C \ D)(\text{line } A \ F)$
have $\text{col } P \ Q \ R$
using $P\text{-def } Q\text{-def } R\text{-def } \langle \text{is-pascal } A \ B \ C \ D \ E \ F \rangle \text{ col-2cycle distinct6-def is-pascal-def}$
line-comm $\text{that}(1) \ \text{that}(4) \ \text{that}(5) \ \text{that}(6)$
by *auto*

have $\text{col } X \ Y \ Z$ **if** $P = Q$
by (*smt P-def Q-def X-def Y-def Z-def <distinct [B,A,C,D,E,F]> ax-uniqueness col-ABA col-def distinct6-def incidA-lAB incidB-lAB incid-inter-left inter-comm that*)
have $\text{col } X \ Y \ Z$ **if** $P = R$
by (*smt P-def R-def X-def Y-def Z-def <distinct [B,A,C,D,E,F]> <line A C ≠ line E F> <line C D ≠ line B F> col-2cycle col-A-B-ABl col-rot-CW distinct6-def incidA-lAB incidB-lAB incid-inter-left incid-inter-right that uniq-inter*)
have $\text{col } X \ Y \ Z$ **if** $P = A$
by (*smt P-def Q-def R-def X-def Y-def Z-def <P = Q ⟹ col X Y Z> <P = R ⟹ col X Y Z> <col P Q R> <line B C ≠ line E F> ax-uniqueness col-def incidA-lAB incid-inter-left incid-inter-right line-comm that*)
have $\text{col } X \ Y \ Z$ **if** $P = C$
by (*smt P-def Q-def R-def X-def Y-def Z-def <P = R ⟹ col X Y Z> <col P Q R> <line A C ≠ line E F> ax-uniqueness col-def incidA-lAB incid-inter-left incid-inter-right line-comm that*)
have $\text{col } X \ Y \ Z$ **if** $P = W$
by (*smt P-def Q-def R-def W-def X-def Y-def Z-def <P = C ⟹ col X Y Z> <P = Q ⟹ col X Y Z> <col P Q R> <distinct [B,A,C,D,E,F]> ax-uniqueness col-def distinct6-def incidB-lAB incid-inter-left incid-inter-right line-comm that*)
have $\text{col } X \ Y \ Z$ **if** $Q = R$
by (*smt Q-def R-def X-def Y-def Z-def <distinct [B,A,C,D,E,F]> ax-uniqueness*)

`col-A-B-lAB`
`col-rot-CW distinct6-def incidB-lAB incid-inter-right inter-comm line-comm`
`that)`
have `col X Y Z if Q = A`
by (`smt P-def Q-def R-def X-def Y-def Z-def <col P Q R> <distinct [B,A,C,D,E,F]>`
`<line C D ≠ line B F> ax-uniqueness col-ABA col-def distinct6-def`
`incidA-lAB incidB-lAB`
`incid-inter-left incid-inter-right that)`
have `col X Y Z if Q = C`
by (`metis P-def Q-def W-def <P = W => col X Y Z> <distinct [B,A,C,D,E,F]>`
`ax-uniqueness`
`distinct6-def incidA-lAB incid-inter-left line-comm that)`
have `col X Y Z if Q = W`
by (`metis Q-def W-def X-def Z-def col-ABA line-comm that)`
have `col X Y Z if R = A`
by (`smt P-def Q-def R-def W-def X-def Y-def <P = W => col X Y Z> <Q`
`= A => col X Y Z>`
`<col P Q R> <distinct [B,A,C,D,E,F]> ax-uniqueness col-ABA col-def`
`col-rot-CW distinct6-def`
`incidA-lAB incidB-lAB incid-inter-right inter-comm that)`
have `col X Y Z if R = C`
by (`smt P-def Q-def R-def X-def Y-def Z-def <col P Q R> <distinct [B,A,C,D,E,F]>`
`<line A C ≠ line E F> ax-uniqueness col-def distinct6-def incidA-lAB`
`incidB-lAB`
`incid-inter-left inter-comm that)`
have `col X Y Z if R = W`
by (`metis R-def W-def <R = A => col X Y Z> <R = C => col X Y Z>`
`<line C D ≠ line A F>`
`ax-uniqueness incidA-lAB incidB-lAB incid-inter-left incid-inter-right`
`that)`
have `col X Y Z if A = W`
by (`smt P-def Q-def R-def W-def X-def Y-def Z-def <P = R => col X Y`
`Z> <Q = A => col X Y Z>`
`<col P Q R> <distinct [B,A,C,D,E,F]> ax-uniqueness col-def distinct6-def`
`incidA-lAB`
`incidB-lAB incid-inter-left incid-inter-right that)`
have `col X Y Z if C = W`
by (`metis P-def W-def <P = C => col X Y Z> <line B C ≠ line E F>`
`ax-uniqueness incidB-lAB`
`incid-inter-left incid-inter-right that)`
have `f1:col (inter (line P C) (line A Q)) (inter (line Q W) (line C R))`
`(inter (line P W) (line A R)) if distinct [P,Q,R,A,C,W]`
using `assms(1) is-pappus-def is-pappus2-def <distinct [P,Q,R,A,C,W]> <col`
`P Q R>`
`<col A C W> inter-is-a-intersec inter-line-line-comm`
by `presburger`
have `col X Y Z if C ∈ line-ext (line E F)`

using $P\text{-def } \langle P = C \implies \text{col } X Y Z \rangle \langle \text{line } B C \neq \text{line } E F \rangle \text{ incidB-lAB}$
line-ext-def that uniq-inter
by auto
have $\text{col } X Y Z \text{ if } A \in \text{line-ext } (\text{line } D E)$
by (*metis Q-def* $\langle Q = A \implies \text{col } X Y Z \rangle \langle \text{line } B A \neq \text{line } D E \rangle \text{ ax-uniqueness}$
incidA-lAB
incid-inter-left incid-inter-right line-comm line-ext-def mem-Collect-eq
that)
have $\text{col } X Y Z \text{ if } \text{line } B C = \text{line } A B$
by (*metis P-def W-def* $\langle P = W \implies \text{col } X Y Z \rangle \langle \text{distinct } [B, A, C, D, E, F] \rangle$
ax-uniqueness
distinct6-def incidA-lAB incidB-lAB that)

have $f2:\text{inter } (\text{line } P C) (\text{line } A Q) = B \text{ if}$
 $C \notin \text{line-ext } (\text{line } E F) \text{ and } A \notin \text{line-ext } (\text{line } D E) \text{ and } \text{line } B C \neq \text{line}$
 $A B$
by (*smt CollectI P-def Q-def ax-uniqueness incidA-lAB incidB-lAB*
incid-inter-left
incid-inter-right line-ext-def that(1) that(2) that(3))

have $\text{col } X Y Z \text{ if } \text{line } E F = \text{line } A F$
by (*metis W-def* $\langle A = W \implies \text{col } X Y Z \rangle \langle \text{line } A C \neq \text{line } E F \rangle \text{ inter-ABC-1}$
inter-comm that)
have $\text{col } X Y Z \text{ if } A \in \text{line-ext } (\text{line } C D)$
using $R\text{-def } \langle R = A \implies \text{col } X Y Z \rangle \langle \text{line } C D \neq \text{line } A F \rangle \text{ ax-uniqueness}$
incidA-lAB
incid-inter-left incid-inter-right line-ext-def that
by blast
have $\text{col } X Y Z \text{ if } \text{inter } (\text{line } B C) (\text{line } E F) = \text{inter } (\text{line } A C) (\text{line } E F)$
by (*simp add: P-def W-def* $\langle P = W \implies \text{col } X Y Z \rangle \text{ that})

have $f3:\text{inter } (\text{line } P W) (\text{line } A R) = F \text{ if } \text{line } E F \neq \text{line } A F \text{ and } A \notin$
line-ext (line C D)
and $\text{inter } (\text{line } B C) (\text{line } E F) \neq \text{inter } (\text{line } A C) (\text{line } E F)$
by (*smt CollectI P-def R-def W-def ax-uniqueness incidA-lAB incidB-lAB*
incid-inter-left
incid-inter-right line-ext-def that(1) that(2) that(3))

have $\text{col } X Y Z \text{ if } C \in \text{line-ext } (\text{line } A F)$
using $R\text{-def } \langle R = C \implies \text{col } X Y Z \rangle \langle \text{line } C D \neq \text{line } A F \rangle \text{ ax-uniqueness}$
incidA-lAB
incid-inter-left incid-inter-right line-ext-def that
by blast
have $f4:\text{inter } (\text{line } Q W) (\text{line } C R) = \text{inter } (\text{line } Q W) (\text{line } C D) \text{ if } C \notin$
line-ext (line A F)
using $R\text{-def } \text{incidA-lAB line-ext-def line-right-inter-1 that}$
by auto
then have $\text{inter } (\text{line } Q W) (\text{line } C D) \in \text{line-ext } (\text{line } B F) \text{ if distinct}$
 $[P, Q, R, A, C, W]$$

and $C \notin \text{line-ext}(\text{line } E F)$ **and** $A \notin \text{line-ext}(\text{line } D E)$ **and** $\text{line } B C \neq \text{line } A B$
and $\text{line } E F \neq \text{line } A F$ **and** $A \notin \text{line-ext}(\text{line } C D)$
and $\text{inter}(\text{line } B C) (\text{line } E F) \neq \text{inter}(\text{line } A C) (\text{line } E F)$
by (smt R-def ‹distinct [B,A,C,D,E,F]› ax-uniqueness col-line-ext-1 distinct6-def f1 f2 f3
incidA-lAB incidB-lAB incid-inter-left that(1) that(2) that(3) that(5) that(6) that(7))
then have $\text{inter}(\text{line } Q W) (\text{line } C D) = \text{inter}(\text{line } C D) (\text{line } B F)$ **if** $\text{distinct} [P, Q, R, A, C, W]$
and $C \notin \text{line-ext}(\text{line } E F)$ **and** $A \notin \text{line-ext}(\text{line } D E)$ **and** $\text{line } B C \neq \text{line } A B$
and $\text{line } E F \neq \text{line } A F$ **and** $A \notin \text{line-ext}(\text{line } C D)$
and $\text{inter}(\text{line } B C) (\text{line } E F) \neq \text{inter}(\text{line } A C) (\text{line } E F)$
by (smt W-def ‹distinct [B,A,C,D,E,F]› ‹line C D ≠ line B F› ax-uniqueness distinct6-def f2
incidA-lAB incidB-lAB incid-inter-left incid-inter-right inter-line-ext-2 that(1) that(2) that(3) that(5) that(6) that(7))
moreover have $\text{inter}(\text{line } C D) (\text{line } B F) \in \text{line-ext}(\text{line } Q W)$ **if** $\text{distinct} [P, Q, R, A, C, W]$
and $C \notin \text{line-ext}(\text{line } E F)$ **and** $A \notin \text{line-ext}(\text{line } D E)$ **and** $\text{line } B C \neq \text{line } A B$
and $\text{line } E F \neq \text{line } A F$ **and** $A \notin \text{line-ext}(\text{line } C D)$
and $\text{inter}(\text{line } B C) (\text{line } E F) \neq \text{inter}(\text{line } A C) (\text{line } E F)$
by (metis calculation col-2cycle col-A-B-ABl col-line-ext-1 distinct6-def that(1) that(2)
that(3) that(4) that(5) that(6) that(7))
ultimately have $\text{col}(\text{inter}(\text{line } A C) (\text{line } E F)) (\text{inter}(\text{line } C D) (\text{line } B F))$
 $(\text{inter}(\text{line } A B) (\text{line } D E))$ **if** $\text{distinct} [P, Q, R, A, C, W]$
and $C \notin \text{line-ext}(\text{line } E F)$ **and** $A \notin \text{line-ext}(\text{line } D E)$ **and** $\text{line } B C \neq \text{line } A B$
and $\text{line } E F \neq \text{line } A F$ **and** $A \notin \text{line-ext}(\text{line } C D)$
and $\text{inter}(\text{line } B C) (\text{line } E F) \neq \text{inter}(\text{line } A C) (\text{line } E F)$
by (metis Q-def W-def col-A-B-ABl col-rot-CW that(1) that(2) that(3) that(4) that(5) that(6)
that(7))
show $\text{col } X Y Z$
by (metis P-def W-def X-def Y-def Z-def ‹ $A = W \implies \text{col } X Y Z$ › ‹ $A \in \text{line-ext}(\text{line } C D) \implies \text{col } X Y Z$ ›
 $\langle A \in \text{line-ext}(\text{line } D E) \implies \text{col } X Y Z \rangle$ ‹ $C = W \implies \text{col } X Y Z$ › ‹ $C \in \text{line-ext}(\text{line } E F) \implies \text{col } X Y Z$ ›
 $\langle P = A \implies \text{col } X Y Z \rangle$ ‹ $P = C \implies \text{col } X Y Z$ › ‹ $P = Q \implies \text{col } X Y Z \rangle$ ‹ $P = R \implies \text{col } X Y Z \rangle$
 $\langle \text{inter}(\text{line } B C) (\text{line } E F) = \text{inter}(\text{line } A C) (\text{line } E F) \implies \text{col } X Y Z \rangle$
 $\langle Q = A \implies \text{col } X Y Z \rangle$ ‹ $Q = C \implies \text{col } X Y Z$ › ‹ $Q = R \implies \text{col } X Y Z \rangle$
 $\langle Q = W \implies \text{col } X Y Z \rangle$ ‹ $R = A \implies \text{col } X Y Z \rangle$

```

<R = C ==> col X Y Z> <R = W ==> col X Y Z> <[distinct [P,Q,R,A,C,W];
Cnotin line-ext (line E F); Anotin line-ext (line D E); line B C neq line A B; line E F
neq line A F; Anotin line-ext (line C D); inter (line B C) (line E F) neq inter (line A
C) (line E F)] ==> col (inter (line A C) (line E F)) (inter (line C D) (line B F))
(inter (line A B) (line D E))>
<line B C = line A B ==> col X Y Z> <line E F = line A F ==> col X Y
Z> distinct6-def line-comm)
qed
show is-pascal B A C D E F
using X-def Y-def Z-def <[distinct [B,A,C,D,E,F]; line A C neq line E F; line
C D neq line B F; line B A neq line D E; line B C = line E F] ==> col X Y Z>
<[distinct [B,A,C,D,E,F]; line A C neq line E F; line C D neq line B F; line
B A neq line D E; line B C neq line E F; line C D neq line A F] ==> col X Y Z>
<[distinct [B,A,C,D,E,F]; line A C neq line E F; line C D neq line B F; line
B A neq line D E; line C D = line A F] ==> col X Y Z>
is-pascal-def
by force
qed
thus pascal-prop using pascal-prop-def
by auto
qed

lemma is-pascal-under-alternate-vertices:
assumes pascal-prop and is-pascal A B C A' B' C'
shows is-pascal A B' C A' B C'
using assms pascal-prop-def is-pascal-rot-CW
by presburger

lemma col-inter:
assumes distinct [A,B,C,D,E,F] and col A B C and col D E F
shows inter (line B C) (line E F) = inter (line A B) (line D E)
by (smt assms ax-uniqueness col-def distinct6-def incida-lAB incidb-lAB)

lemma pascal-pappus1:
assumes pascal-prop
shows is-pappus1 A B C A' B' C' P Q R
proof-
define a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 a6 where a1 = distinct [A,B,C,A',B',C'] and a2 = col
A B C and
a3 = col A' B' C' and a4 = is-a-proper-intersec P A B' A' B and a5 = is-a-proper-intersec
Q B C' B' C
and a6 = is-a-proper-intersec R A C' A' C

have inter (line B C) (line B' C') = inter (line A B) (line A' B') if a1 a2 a3
a4 a5 a6
using a1-def a2-def a3-def col-inter that(1) that(2) that(3)
by blast
then have is-pascal A B C A' B' C' if a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 a6
using a1-def col-ABA is-pascal-def that(1) that(2) that(3) that(4) that(5)

```

```

that(6)
  by auto
then have is-pascal A B' C A' B C' if a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 a6
  using assms is-pascal-under-alternate-vertices that(1) that(2) that(3) that(4)
that(5) that(6)
  by blast
then have col P Q R if a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 a6
  by (smt a1-def a4-def a5-def a6-def ax-uniqueness col-def distinct6-def in-
cidB-lAB incid-inter-left
           incid-inter-right is-a-proper-intersec-def is-pascal-def line-comm that(1)
that(2) that(3)
  that(4) that(5) that(6))
show is-pappus1 A B C A' B' C' P Q R
  by (simp add: <[a1; a2; a3; a4; a5; a6] ==> col P Q R) a1-def a2-def a3-def
a4-def a5-def a6-def
  is-pappus1-def)
qed

lemma pascal-pappus:
assumes pascal-prop
shows is-pappus
by (simp add: assms is-pappus-def pappus12 pascal-pappus1)

theorem pappus-iff-pascal: is-pappus = pascal-prop
using pappus-pascal pascal-pappus
by blast

end

end
theory Desargues-Property
imports Main Projective-Plane-Axioms Pappus-Property Pascal-Property
begin

```

Contents:

- We formalize Desargues's property, *desargues-prop*, that states that if two triangles are perspective from a point, then they are perspective from a line. Note that some planes satisfy that property and some others don't, hence Desargues's property is not a theorem though it is a theorem in projective space geometry.

4 Desargues's Property

```

context projective-plane
begin

```

```

lemma distinct3-def:
  distinct [A, B, C] = (A ≠ B ∧ A ≠ C ∧ B ≠ C)
  by auto

definition triangle :: ['point, 'point, 'point] ⇒ bool where
  triangle A B C ≡ distinct [A,B,C] ∧ (line A B ≠ line A C)

definition meet-in :: 'line ⇒ 'line => 'point => bool where
  meet-in l m P ≡ incid P l ∧ incid P m

lemma meet-col-1:
  assumes meet-in (line A B) (line C D) P
  shows col A B P
  using assms col-def incidA-lAB incidB-lAB meet-in-def
  by blast

lemma meet-col-2:
  assumes meet-in (line A B) (line C D) P
  shows col C D P
  using assms meet-col-1 meet-in-def
  by auto

definition meet-3-in :: ['line, 'line, 'line, 'point] ⇒ bool where
  meet-3-in l m n P ≡ meet-in l m P ∧ meet-in l n P

lemma meet-all-3:
  assumes meet-3-in l m n P
  shows meet-in m n P
  using assms meet-3-in-def meet-in-def
  by auto

lemma meet-comm:
  assumes meet-in l m n P
  shows meet-in m l P
  using assms meet-in-def
  by auto

lemma meet-3-col-1:
  assumes meet-3-in (line A B) m n P
  shows col A B P
  using assms meet-3-in-def meet-col-2 meet-in-def
  by auto

lemma meet-3-col-2:
  assumes meet-3-in l (line A B) n P
  shows col A B P
  using assms col-def incidA-lAB incidB-lAB meet-3-in-def meet-in-def
  by blast

```

```

lemma meet-3-col-3:
  assumes meet-3-in l m (line A B) P
  shows col A B P
  using assms meet-3-col-2 meet-3-in-def
  by auto

lemma distinct7-def: distinct [A,B,C,D,E,F,G] = ((A ≠ B) ∧ (A ≠ C) ∧ (A ≠ D) ∧ (A ≠ E) ∧ (A ≠ F) ∧ (A ≠ G) ∧ (B ≠ C) ∧ (B ≠ D) ∧ (B ≠ E) ∧ (B ≠ F) ∧ (B ≠ G) ∧ (C ≠ D) ∧ (C ≠ E) ∧ (C ≠ F) ∧ (C ≠ G) ∧ (D ≠ E) ∧ (D ≠ F) ∧ (D ≠ G) ∧ (E ≠ F) ∧ (E ≠ G) ∧ (F ≠ G))
  by auto

```

```

definition desargues-config :: 
  ['point, 'point, 'point, 'point, 'point, 'point, 'point, 'point] => bool
where
  desargues-config A B C A' B' C' M N P R ≡ distinct [A,B,C,A',B',C',R] ∧ ¬ col
  A B C
  ∧ ¬ col A' B' C' ∧ distinct [(line A A'),(line B B'),(line C C')] ∧
  meet-3-in (line A A') (line B B') (line C C') R ∧ (line A B) ≠ (line A' B') ∧
  (line B C) ≠ (line B' C') ∧ (line A C) ≠ (line A' C') ∧ meet-in (line B C) (line
  B' C') M ∧
  meet-in (line A C) (line A' C') N ∧ meet-in (line A B) (line A' B') P

```

```

lemma distinct7-rot-CW:
  assumes distinct [A,B,C,D,E,F,G]
  shows distinct [C,A,B,F,D,E,G]
  using assms distinct7-def
  by auto

```

```

lemma desargues-config-rot-CW:
  assumes desargues-config A B C A' B' C' M N P R
  shows desargues-config C A B C' A' B' P M N R
  by (smt assms col-rot-CW desargues-config-def distinct3-def distinct7-rot-CW
  line-comm
  meet-3-in-def meet-all-3 meet-comm)

```

```

lemma desargues-config-rot-CCW:
  assumes desargues-config A B C A' B' C' M N P R
  shows desargues-config B C A B' C' A' N P M R
  by (simp add: assms desargues-config-rot-CW)

```

```

definition are-perspective-from-point :: 
  ['point, 'point, 'point, 'point, 'point, 'point]  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  are-perspective-from-point A B C A' B' C' R  $\equiv$  distinct [A,B,C,A',B',C',R]  $\wedge$ 
  triangle A B C  $\wedge$ 
  triangle A' B' C'  $\wedge$  distinct [(line A A'),(line B B'),(line C C')]  $\wedge$ 
  meet-3-in (line A A') (line B B') (line C C') R

definition are-perspective-from-line :: 
  ['point, 'point, 'point, 'point, 'point]  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  are-perspective-from-line A B C A' B' C'  $\equiv$  distinct [A,B,C,A',B',C']  $\longrightarrow$  triangle
  A B C  $\longrightarrow$ 
  triangle A' B' C'  $\longrightarrow$  line A B  $\neq$  line A' B'  $\longrightarrow$  line A C  $\neq$  line A' C'  $\longrightarrow$  line
  B C  $\neq$  line B' C'  $\longrightarrow$ 
  col (inter (line A B) (line A' B')) (inter (line A C) (line A' C')) (inter (line B
  C) (line B' C'))

lemma meet-in-inter:
  assumes l  $\neq$  m
  shows meet-in l m (inter l m)
  by (simp add: incid-inter-left incid-inter-right meet-in-def)

lemma perspective-from-point-desargues-config:
  assumes are-perspective-from-point A B C A' B' C' R and line A B  $\neq$  line A'
  B' and
    line A C  $\neq$  line A' C' and line B C  $\neq$  line B' C'
  shows desargues-config A B C A' B' C' (inter (line B C) (line B' C')) (inter
  (line A C) (line A' C'))
    (inter (line A B) (line A' B')) R
  unfolding desargues-config-def distinct7-def distinct3-def

  using assms are-perspective-from-point-def apply auto
  apply (smt (z3) ax-uniqueness col-2cycle col-line-ext-1 incidB-lAB line-ext-def
  mem-Collect-eq triangle-def)
  apply (smt (z3) ax-uniqueness col-def incidA-lAB line-comm triangle-def)
  using meet-in-inter apply presburger+
  done

definition desargues-prop :: bool where
  desargues-prop  $\equiv$ 
   $\forall$  A B C A' B' C' P.
  are-perspective-from-point A B C A' B' C' P  $\longrightarrow$  are-perspective-from-line A B
  C A' B' C'

end

end
theory Pappus-Desargues

```

```

imports Main Projective-Plane-Axioms Pappus-Property Pascal-Property Desar-
gues-Property
begin

```

Contents:

- We prove Hessenberg's theorem *hessenberg-theorem*: Pappus's property implies Desargues's property in a projective plane.

5 Hessenberg's Theorem

```

context projective-plane
begin

```

```

lemma col-ABC-ABD-1:
  assumes A ≠ B and col A B C and col A B D
  shows col B C D
  by (metis assms ax-uniqueness col-def)

```

```

lemma col-ABC-ABD-2:
  assumes A ≠ B and col A B C and col A B D
  shows col A C D
  by (metis assms ax-uniqueness col-def)

```

```

lemma col-line-eq-1:
  assumes A ≠ B and B ≠ C and col A B C
  shows line A B = line B C
  by (metis assms ax-uniqueness col-def incidA-lAB incidB-lAB)

```

```

lemma col-line-eq-2:
  assumes A ≠ B and A ≠ C and col A B C
  shows line A B = line A C
  by (metis assms col-line-eq-1 col-rot-CW line-comm)

```

```

lemma desargues-config-not-col-1:
  assumes desargues-config A B C A' B' C' M N P R
  shows ¬ col A A' B'
  proof
    assume a1:col A A' B'
    have f1:A ≠ A'
    using assms desargues-config-def distinct7-def
    by force
    have f2:col A A' R
    using assms desargues-config-def meet-3-col-1
    by blast
    from f1 and f2 and a1 have f3:col A' B' R
    using col-ABC-ABD-1
    by blast

```

```

from a1 have f4:line A A' = line A' B'
  by (metis assms ax-uniqueness col-def desargues-config-def f1 incidA-lAB in-
cidB-lAB)
have f5:A' ≠ B'
  using assms desargues-config-def distinct7-def
  by force
have f6:B' ≠ R
  using assms desargues-config-def distinct7-def
  by force
from f3 and f5 and f6 have f7:line A' B' = line B' R
  using col-line-eq-1
  by blast
have line B' R = line B B'
  by (metis a1 assms col-2cycle col-AAB col-line-eq-1 desargues-config-def f6
meet-3-col-2)
have line A A' = line B B'
  by (simp add: line B' R = line B B' f4 f7)
then have False
  using assms desargues-config-def distinct3-def
  by auto
then show f8:col A A' B' ⟹ False
  by simp
qed

lemma desargues-config-not-col-2:
assumes desargues-config A B C A' B' C' M N P R
shows  $\neg \text{col } B B' C'$ 
using assms desargues-config-not-col-1 desargues-config-rot-CCW
by blast

lemma desargues-config-not-col-3:
assumes desargues-config A B C A' B' C' M N P R
shows  $\neg \text{col } C C' B'$ 
by (smt assms col-line-eq-1 col-rot-CW desargues-config-def desargues-config-not-col-2
desargues-config-rot-CW distinct3-def meet-3-in-def meet-col-1 meet-col-2)

lemma desargues-config-not-col-4:
assumes desargues-config A B C A' B' C' M N P R
shows  $\neg \text{col } A A' C'$ 
using assms desargues-config-not-col-3 desargues-config-rot-CCW
by blast

lemma desargues-config-not-col-5:
assumes desargues-config A B C A' B' C' M N P R
shows  $\neg \text{col } B B' A'$ 
using assms desargues-config-not-col-3 desargues-config-rot-CW
by blast

```

```

lemma desargues-config-not-col-6:
  assumes desargues-config A B C A' B' C' M N P R
  shows  $\neg \text{col } C C' A'$ 
  using assms desargues-config-not-col-1 desargues-config-rot-CW
  by blast

lemma desargues-config-not-col-7:
  assumes desargues-config A B C A' B' C' M N P R
  shows  $\neg \text{col } A B B'$ 
proof
  assume a1:col A B B'
  have f1:col A B R
    by (metis a1 assms col-ABB col-ABC-ABD-2 col-rot-CW desargues-config-def
desargues-config-not-col-5
meet-3-col-2)
  have f2:col A A' R
    using assms desargues-config-def meet-3-col-1
    by blast
  have f3:A  $\neq A'$ 
    using assms col-AAB desargues-config-not-col-4
    by blast
  have f4:A  $\neq R$  using assms desargues-config-def distinct7-def
    by auto
  from f2 and f3 and f4 have f5:line A A' = line A R
    using col-line-eq-2
    by blast
  from f1 have f6:line A R = line B R
    by (metis a1 assms col-2cycle col-ABC-ABD-2 desargues-config-not-col-1 f2 f4)
  have f7:line B R = line B B'
    by (metis <line A R = line B R> a1 assms col-AAB col-line-eq-1 desargues-config-def
desargues-config-not-col-2 f1)
  from f5 and f6 and f7 have line A A' = line B B'
    by simp
  then have False
    using assms desargues-config-def distinct3-def
    by auto
  thus col A B B'  $\implies$  False
    by simp
qed

lemma desargues-config-not-col-8:
  assumes desargues-config A B C A' B' C' M N P R
  shows  $\neg \text{col } A C C'$ 

  by (smt (z3) assms col-ABA col-line-eq-1 col-rot-CW desargues-config-def desargues-config-not-col-6 desargues-config-not-col-7 distinct3-def meet-3-col-1 meet-3-col-3
projective-plane.meet-all-3 projective-plane.meet-col-1 projective-plane-axioms)

```

```

lemma desargues-config-not-col-9:
  assumes desargues-config A B C A' B' C' M N P R
  shows  $\neg \text{col } B A A'$ 
  using assms desargues-config-not-col-8 desargues-config-rot-CCW
  by blast

lemma desargues-config-not-col-10:
  assumes desargues-config A B C A' B' C' M N P R
  shows  $\neg \text{col } B C C'$ 
  using assms desargues-config-not-col-7 desargues-config-rot-CCW
  by blast

lemma desargues-config-not-col-11:
  assumes desargues-config A B C A' B' C' M N P R
  shows  $\neg \text{col } C A A'$ 
  using assms desargues-config-not-col-7 desargues-config-rot-CW
  by blast

lemma desargues-config-not-col-12:
  assumes desargues-config A B C A' B' C' M N P R
  shows  $\neg \text{col } C B B'$ 
  using assms desargues-config-not-col-8 desargues-config-rot-CW
  by blast

lemma col-inter:
  assumes  $A \neq C$  and  $B \neq C$  and  $\text{col } A B C$ 
  shows  $\text{inter } l(\text{line } B C) = \text{inter } l(\text{line } A C)$ 
  by (metis assms col-line-eq-1 col-line-eq-2)

lemma lemma-1:
  assumes desargues-config A B C A' B' C' M N P R and is-pappus
  shows  $\text{col } M N P \vee \text{incid } A(\text{line } B' C') \vee \text{incid } C'(\text{line } A B)$ 
proof-
  have ?thesis if  $\text{incid } A(\text{line } B' C') \vee \text{incid } C'(\text{line } A B)$ 
  by (simp add: that)

  define Q E X F where  $Q = \text{inter } (\text{line } A B) (\text{line } B' C')$  and  $E = \text{inter } (\text{line } A C) (\text{line } R Q)$ 
  and  $X = \text{inter } (\text{line } A C') (\text{line } R B)$  and  $F = \text{inter } (\text{line } A' C') (\text{line } R Q)$ 
  have  $\text{col } X E M$  if  $\neg \text{incid } A(\text{line } B' C') \text{ and } \neg \text{incid } C'(\text{line } A B)$ 
  proof-
    have f1:distinct [C,C',R,Q,B,A]
    by (smt Q-def  $\neg \text{incid } A(\text{line } B' C')$   $\neg \text{incid } C'(\text{line } A B)$  assms(1)
  col-ABB col-A-B-ABL
  col-A-B-lAB col-line-eq-2 col-rot-CW desargues-config-def desargues-config-not-col-12
  desargues-config-not-col-2 desargues-config-not-col-3 desargues-config-not-col-7

```

```

desargues-config-not-col-9 distinct6-def incidA-lAB line-comm meet-3-col-1
meet-3-col-2)
have f2: col C C' R
  using assms(1) desargues-config-def meet-3-col-3
  by blast
have f3: col Q B A
  using Q-def col-2cycle col-A-B-ABl col-rot-CW
  by blast
have f4: is-a-intersec E C A Q R
  using E-def col-2cycle inter-is-a-intersec is-a-intersec-def
  by auto
have f5:is-a-intersec M C B Q C'
  by (metis Q-def assms(1) col-2cycle col-ABB col-ABC-ABD-1 col-A-B-lAB
col-rot-CW
desargues-config-def is-a-intersec-def meet-col-1 meet-col-2)
have f6:is-a-intersec X C' A B R
  using X-def col-2cycle inter-is-a-intersec is-a-intersec-def
  by auto
from f1 and f2 and f3 and f4 and f5 and f6 have col M X E
  using assms(2) is-pappus2-def is-pappus-def
  by blast
then show col X E M
  using col-def
  by auto
qed
have col P X F if  $\neg$  incid A (line B' C') and  $\neg$  incid C' (line A B)
proof-
  have f1:distinct [A',A,R,Q,B',C']
    by (smt Q-def  $\neg$  incid A (line B' C')  $\neg$  incid C' (line A B) assms(1)
col-AAB col-A-B-ABl
    col-A-B-lAB col-line-eq-1 col-rot-CW desargues-config-def desargues-config-not-col-2

desargues-config-not-col-3 desargues-config-not-col-4 desargues-config-not-col-6

desargues-config-not-col-7 distinct6-def incidB-lAB meet-3-col-2 meet-3-col-3)
have f2:col A' A R
  by (metis assms(1) col-ABA col-line-eq-1 desargues-config-def meet-3-col-1)
have f3:col Q B' C'
  by (simp add: Q-def col-A-B-lAB col-rot-CW)
have is-a-intersec (inter (line A B) (line A' B')) A' B' Q A
  by (metis Q-def col-def incidA-lAB incid-inter-left inter-is-a-intersec is-a-intersec-def)
then have f4:is-a-intersec P A' B' Q A
  using assms(1) desargues-config-def meet-in-def uniq-inter
  by auto
have f5:is-a-intersec X A C' B' R
  by (metis X-def assms(1) col-def col-line-eq-2 desargues-config-def desargues-config-not-col-9
    f2 inter-is-a-intersec is-a-intersec-def line-comm meet-3-col-2)
have f6:is-a-intersec F A' C' Q R

```

```

    by (metis F-def inter-is-a-intersec inter-line-line-comm)
from f1 and f2 and f3 and f4 and f5 and f6 and assms(2)
show col P X F
  using is-pappus2-def is-pappus-def
  by blast
qed
have col M N P if  $\neg \text{incid } A (\text{line } B' C')$  and  $\neg \text{incid } C' (\text{line } A B)$ 
proof-
  have f1:Q  $\neq C' \wedge X \neq E \wedge \text{line } Q C' \neq \text{line } X E$ 
    by (smt E-def Q-def X-def  $\neg \text{incid } A (\text{line } B' C')$   $\neg \text{incid } C' (\text{line } A B)$ )
  assms(1) col-ABB
    col-A-B-ABl col-A-B-lAB col-line-eq-2 col-rot-CW desargues-config-def
    desargues-config-not-col-10 desargues-config-not-col-2 desargues-config-not-col-8
    incidB-lAB incid-C-AB line-comm meet-3-col-1 meet-3-col-2 meet-3-col-3)

  have f2:E  $\neq A \wedge C' \neq F \wedge \text{line } E A \neq \text{line } C' F$ 
    by (smt E-def F-def Q-def X-def  $\neg \text{incid } A (\text{line } B' C')$ ;  $\neg \text{incid } C' (\text{line } A B)$ )
  assms(1)  $\Rightarrow \text{col } X E M$ 
    ax-uniqueness col-def desargues-config-def desargues-config-not-col-10
    desargues-config-not-col-3 f1 incidA-lAB incidB-lAB incid-inter-left
    incid-inter-right
    meet-in-def that(1))
  have f3:Q  $\neq A \wedge X \neq F \wedge \text{line } Q A \neq \text{line } X F$ 
    by (smt F-def Q-def X-def  $\neg \text{incid } A (\text{line } B' C')$   $\neg \text{incid } C' (\text{line } A B)$ )
  assms(1)  $\Rightarrow \text{col } X Q E$ 
    ax-uniqueness col-def desargues-config-def desargues-config-not-col-10
    desargues-config-not-col-2 desargues-config-not-col-7 incidA-lAB incidB-lAB
    incid-inter-left
    incid-inter-right meet-3-col-2 meet-3-col-3)
  have f4:col Q E F
    using E-def F-def col-def incidB-lAB incid-inter-right
    by blast
  have f5:col X C' A
    using X-def col-2cycle col-A-B-ABl col-rot-CW
    by blast
  have f6:is-a-intersec M Q C' X E
    by (metis Q-def  $\neg \text{incid } A (\text{line } B' C')$ ;  $\neg \text{incid } C' (\text{line } A B)$ )
  assms(1)  $\Rightarrow \text{col } X E M$ 
    col-ABB col-A-B-lAB col-def col-line-eq-1 desargues-config-def incidB-lAB
    is-a-intersec-def
    meet-in-def that(1) that(2))
  have f7:is-a-intersec N E A C' F
    by (metis E-def F-def assms(1) ax-uniqueness col-rot-CW desargues-config-def
  f2 incidA-lAB
    incidB-lAB incid-inter-left is-a-intersec-def meet-col-1 meet-col-2)
  have f8:is-a-intersec P Q A X F
    by (metis Q-def  $\neg \text{incid } A (\text{line } B' C')$ ;  $\neg \text{incid } C' (\text{line } A B)$ )
  assms(1)  $\Rightarrow \text{col } P$ 

```

$X F \triangleright assms(1) \text{ col-}AAB \text{ col-}A\text{-}B\text{-}ABl \text{ col-line-eq-2 col-rot-CW desargues-config-def}$
 $\text{is-a-intersec-def meet-col-1 that(1) that(2))}$
from $f1$ **and** $f2$ **and** $f3$ **and** $f4$ **and** $f5$ **and** $f6$ **and** $f7$ **and** $f8$ **and** $assms(2)$
show $col M N P$
using $is\text{-}pappus2\text{-}def$ $is\text{-}pappus\text{-}def$
by $blast$
qed
show $col M N P \vee incid A (\text{line } B' C') \vee incid C' (\text{line } A B)$
using $\langle \neg incid A (\text{line } B' C'); \neg incid C' (\text{line } A B) \rangle \Rightarrow col M N P$
by $auto$
qed

corollary *corollary-1*:

assumes $desargues\text{-}config A B C A' B' C' M N P R$ **and** $is\text{-}pappus$
shows $col M N P \vee ((incid A (\text{line } B' C') \vee incid C' (\text{line } A B)) \wedge$
 $(incid C (\text{line } A' B') \vee incid B' (\text{line } A C)) \wedge (incid B (\text{line } A' C') \vee incid A'$
 $(\text{line } B C)))$
by (*metis assms(1) assms(2) col-rot-CW desargues-config-rot-CCW lemma-1 line-comm*)

definition *triangle-circumscribes-triangle* ::

$['point, 'point, 'point, 'point, 'point] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{triangle-circumscribes-triangle } A' B' C' A B C \equiv incid A (\text{line } B' C') \wedge incid C$
 $(\text{line } A' B') \wedge$
 $incid B (\text{line } A' C')$

lemma *lemma-2*:

assumes $desargues\text{-}config A B C A' B' C' M N P R$ **and** $incid A (\text{line } B' C')$
 $\vee incid C' (\text{line } A B)$
and $incid C (\text{line } A' B') \vee incid B' (\text{line } A C)$ **and** $incid B (\text{line } A' C') \vee$
 $incid A' (\text{line } B C)$
shows $col M N P \vee \text{triangle-circumscribes-triangle } A B C A' B' C' \vee \text{triangle-circumscribes-triangle } A' B' C' A B C$
by (*smt assms ax-uniqueness col-def desargues-config-not-col-1*
desargues-config-not-col-11 desargues-config-not-col-12 desargues-config-not-col-2

$desargues\text{-}config\text{-}not\text{-}col-3 desargues\text{-}config\text{-}not\text{-}col-9 incidA-lAB incidB-lAB$
 $\text{triangle-circumscribes-triangle-def})$

lemma *lemma-3*:

assumes $is\text{-}pappus$ **and** $desargues\text{-}config A B C A' B' C' M N P R$ **and**
 $\text{triangle-circumscribes-triangle } A' B' C' A B C$
shows $col M N P$

proof-

define $S T$ **where** $S = \text{inter} (\text{line } C' P) (\text{line } R A)$ **and** $T = \text{inter} (\text{line } C' P)$
 $(\text{line } R B)$

have $col N S B'$

proof-

```

have f1:distinct [R,C,C',P,B,A]
  by (smt assms(2) col-AAB col-line-eq-2 col-rot-CW desargues-config-def
    desargues-config-not-col-1 desargues-config-not-col-12 desargues-config-not-col-2
    desargues-config-not-col-5 desargues-config-not-col-7 desargues-config-not-col-8
    desargues-config-not-col-9 distinct6-def line-comm meet-3-col-1 meet-3-col-2
    meet-col-1
    meet-col-2)
have f2:col R C C'
  using assms(2) col-rot-CW desargues-config-def meet-3-col-3
  by blast
have f3:col P B A
  by (metis assms(2) col-rot-CW desargues-config-def line-comm meet-col-1)
have f4:is-a-intersec B' R B P C
  by (metis assms(2) assms(3) col-def desargues-config-def incidB-lAB is-a-intersec-def
    meet-3-col-2 meet-in-def triangle-circumscribes-triangle-def)
have f5:is-a-intersec S R A P C'
  using S-def col-2cycle inter-is-a-intersec is-a-intersec-def
  by auto
have line B C' = line A' C'
  by (metis <distinct [R,C,C',P,B,A]> assms(3) ax-uniqueness distinct6-def
    incidA-lAB incidB-lAB
    triangle-circumscribes-triangle-def)
then have f6:is-a-intersec N C A B C'
  by (metis assms(2) desargues-config-def inter-is-a-intersec line-comm meet-in-def
    uniq-inter)
from f1 and f2 and f3 and f4 and f5 and f6 and assms(1) have col B' N S
  using is-pappus2-def is-pappus-def
  by blast
then show col N S B'
  by (simp add: col-rot-CW)
qed
have col M T A'
proof-
  have f1:distinct [R,C,C',P,A,B]
    by (smt assms(2) col-ABA col-line-eq-2 col-rot-CW desargues-config-def de-
      sargues-config-not-col-1
      desargues-config-not-col-12 desargues-config-not-col-2 desargues-config-not-col-5
      desargues-config-not-col-7 desargues-config-not-col-8 desargues-config-not-col-9
      distinct6-def
      line-comm meet-3-col-1 meet-3-col-2 meet-col-1 meet-col-2)
  have f2:col R C C'
    using assms(2) col-rot-CW desargues-config-def meet-3-col-3
    by blast
  have f3:col P A B
    using assms(2) col-rot-CW desargues-config-def meet-col-1

```

```

    by blast
  have f4:line P C = line A' B'
    by (metis <distinct [R,C,C',P,A,B]> assms(2) assms(3) ax-uniqueness desargues-config-def
      distinct6-def incidA-lAB incidB-lAB meet-in-def triangle-circumscribes-triangle-def)
  have f5:line R A = line A A'
    by (metis <distinct [R,C,C',P,A,B]> assms(2) col-AAB col-line-eq-2 desargues-config-def
      desargues-config-not-col-1 distinct6-def line-comm meet-3-col-1)
  from f4 and f5 have f6:is-a-intersec A' R A P C
    by (metis col-def incidA-lAB incidB-lAB is-a-intersec-def)
  have line A C' = line B' C'
    by (metis assms(3) ax-uniqueness distinct6-def f1 incidA-lAB incidB-lAB
      triangle-circumscribes-triangle-def)
  then have f7:is-a-intersec M C B A C'
    by (metis assms(2) col-rot-CW desargues-config-def is-a-intersec-def line-comm
      meet-col-1
      meet-col-2)
  have f8:is-a-intersec T R B P C'
    by (metis T-def distinct6-def f1 inter-comm-line-line-comm inter-is-a-intersec
      line-comm)
  from f1 and f2 and f3 and f6 and f7 and f8 and assms(1) have col A' M
  T
    using is-pappus2-def is-pappus-def
    by blast
  thus col M T A'
    by (simp add: col-rot-CW)
qed
then show col M N P
proof-
  have f1:S ≠ T ∧ B ≠ A ∧ line S T ≠ line B A
    by (smt T-def <col N S B'> assms(2) assms(3) ax-uniqueness col-AAB
      col-line-eq-2 col-rot-CW
      desargues-config-def desargues-config-not-col-10 desargues-config-not-col-7
      desargues-config-not-col-9 incidB-lAB incid-inter-left incid-inter-right
      line-comm meet-3-col-2 meet-3-col-3 meet-col-1 meet-col-2 triangle-circumscribes-triangle-def)
  have f2:A ≠ B' ∧ T ≠ A' ∧ line A B' ≠ line T A'
    by (smt (verit) S-def T-def assms(2) assms(3) col-A-B-ABl col-line-eq-2 desargues-config-def
      desargues-config-not-col-1 desargues-config-not-col-9 f1 incidA-lAB
      inter-comm line-comm meet-3-col-1 meet-col-2 projective-plane.col-def projective-plane-axioms
      triangle-circumscribes-triangle-def)
  have f3:S ≠ B' ∧ B ≠ A'
    by (smt S-def assms(2) assms(3) ax-uniqueness col-A-B-ABl col-line-eq-2
      col-rot-CW
      desargues-config-def desargues-config-not-col-2 desargues-config-not-col-5
      desargues-config-not-col-7 incidA-lAB incidB-lAB incid-inter-right
      inter-comm line-comm
      meet-3-col-2 meet-in-def triangle-circumscribes-triangle-def)
  then have f4:line S B' ≠ line B A'

```

```

by (metis assms(2) col-def desargues-config-not-col-5 incidA-lAB incidB-lAB)
have f5:col S A A'
    by (metis S-def assms(2) col-ABC-ABD-1 col-A-B-lAB col-rot-CW desargues-config-def
        desargues-config-not-col-8 meet-3-col-1 meet-3-col-3)
have f6:col B T B'
    by (metis T-def assms(2) col-def col-line-eq-2 desargues-config-def desargues-config-not-col-10
        incidB-lAB incid-inter-right line-comm meet-3-col-2 meet-3-col-3)
have f7:is-a-intersec P S T B A
    by (metis S-def T-def assms(2) col-ABC-ABD-1 col-A-B-ABl col-def desargues-config-def incidA-lAB
        incidB-lAB is-a-intersec-def meet-in-def)
have f8:is-a-intersec M A B' T A'
    by (smt (verit, del-insts) <col M T A'> assms(2) assms(3) col-rot-CW
        desargues-config-def f2 incidA-lAB incidB-lAB is-a-intersec-def meet-col-2 projective-plane.ax-uniqueness projective-plane-axioms triangle-circumscribes-triangle-def)
have f9:is-a-intersec N S B' B A'
    using <col N S B'> assms(2) assms(3) col-def desargues-config-def incidA-lAB
    is-a-intersec-def
        meet-in-def triangle-circumscribes-triangle-def
    by auto
from f1 and f2 and f3 and f4 and f5 and f6 and f7 and f8 and f9 and
assms(1) have col P M N
    using is-pappus2-def is-pappus-def
    by blast
thus col M N P
    by (simp add: col-rot-CW)
qed
qed

```

theorem pappus-desargues:

assumes is-pappus **and** desargues-config A B C A' B' C' M N P R
shows col M N P

proof –

```

have f1:col M N P ∨ ((incid A (line B' C') ∨ incid C' (line A B)) ∧
    (incid C (line A' B') ∨ incid B' (line A C)) ∧ (incid B (line A' C') ∨ incid A'
    (line B C)))
    using assms corollary-1
    by auto
have f2:col M N P ∨ triangle-circumscribes-triangle A B C A' B' C' ∨ triangle-circumscribes-triangle A' B' C' A B C
    if (incid A (line B' C') ∨ incid C' (line A B)) ∧ (incid C (line A' B') ∨ incid B'
    (line A C))
        ∧ (incid B (line A' C') ∨ incid A' (line B C))
    using assms(2) lemma-2 that
    by auto
have f3:col M N P if triangle-circumscribes-triangle A' B' C' A B C
    using assms lemma-3 that

```

```

by auto
have f4:col M N P if triangle-circumscribes-triangle A B C A' B' C'
proof-
  have desargues-config A' B' C' A B C M N P R
  proof-
    have f1:distinct [A',B',C',A,B,C,R]
    using assms(2) desargues-config-def distinct7-def
    by auto
    have f2:¬ col A' B' C'
    using assms(2) desargues-config-def
    by blast
    have f3:¬ col A B C
    using assms(2) desargues-config-def
    by blast
    have f4:distinct [(line A' A),(line B' B),(line C' C)]
    by (metis assms(2) desargues-config-def line-comm)
    have f5:meet-3-in (line A' A) (line B' B) (line C' C) R
    by (metis assms(2) desargues-config-def line-comm)
    have f6:(line A' B') ≠ (line A B) ∧ (line B' C') ≠ (line B C) ∧ (line A' C')
    ≠ (line A C)
    using assms(2) desargues-config-def
    by auto
    have f7:meet-in (line B' C') (line B C) M ∧ meet-in (line A' C') (line A C)
    N ∧
      meet-in (line A' B') (line A B) P
      using assms(2) desargues-config-def meet-comm
      by blast
    from f1 and f2 and f3 and f4 and f5 and f6 and f7 show desargues-config
    A' B' C' A B C M N P R
    by (simp add: desargues-config-def)
  qed
  then show col M N P
  using assms(1) lemma-3 that
  by blast
qed
from f1 and f2 and f3 and f4 show col M N P
by blast
qed

theorem hessenberg-theorem:
assumes is-pappus
shows desargues-prop
by (smt are-perspective-from-line-def assms col-def desargues-prop-def pappus-desargues
perspective-from-point-desargues-config)

corollary pascal-desargues:
assumes pascal-prop
shows desargues-prop

```

```
by (simp add: assms hessenberg-thereom pascal-pappus)
```

```
end
```

```
end
```

```
theory Higher-Projective-Space-Rank-Axioms
```

```
imports Main
```

```
begin
```

Contents:

- Following [2] we introduce a set of axioms for projective space geometry based on the notions of matroid and rank.

6 A Based-rank Set of Axioms for Projective Space Geometry

```
locale higher-projective-space-rank =
```

```
fixes rk :: 'point set ⇒ nat
```

```
assumes
```

```
matroid-ax-1a: rk X ≥ 0 and
```

```
matroid-ax-1b: rk X ≤ card X and
```

```
matroid-ax-2: X ⊆ Y → rk X ≤ rk Y and
```

```
matroid-ax-3: rk (X ∪ Y) + rk (X ∩ Y) ≤ rk X + rk Y
```

```
assumes
```

```
rk-ax-singleton: rk {P} ≥ 1 and
```

```
rk-ax-couple: P ≠ Q → rk {P,Q} ≥ 2 and
```

```
rk-ax-pasch: rk {A,B,C,D} ≤ 3 → (∃ J. rk {A,B,J} = 2 ∧ rk {C,D,J} = 2)
```

```
and
```

```
rk-ax-3-pts: ∃ C. rk {A,B,C} = 2 ∧ rk {B,C} = 2 ∧ rk {A,C} = 2 and
```

```
rk-ax-dim: ∃ A B C D. rk {A,B,C,D} ≥ 4
```

```
end
```

```
theory Matroid-Rank-Properties
```

```
imports Main Higher-Projective-Space-Rank-Axioms
```

```
begin
```

Contents:

- In this file we introduce the basic lemmas and properties derived from our based-rank axioms that will allow us to simplify our future proofs.

7 Proof Techniques Using Ranks

```

context higher-projective-space-rank
begin

lemma matroid-ax-3-alt:
  assumes  $I \subseteq X \cap Y$ 
  shows  $\text{rk}(X \cup Y) + \text{rk} I \leq \text{rk} X + \text{rk} Y$ 
  by (metis add.commute add-le-cancel-right assms matroid-ax-2 matroid-ax-3 order-trans)

lemma rk-uniqueness:
  assumes  $\text{rk}\{A,B\} = 2$  and  $\text{rk}\{C,D\} = 2$  and  $\text{rk}\{A,B,M\} \leq 2$  and  $\text{rk}\{C,D,M\} \leq 2$  and
     $\text{rk}\{A,B,P\} \leq 2$  and  $\text{rk}\{C,D,P\} \leq 2$  and  $\text{rk}\{A,B,C,D\} \geq 3$ 
  shows  $\text{rk}\{M,P\} = 1$ 
  proof-
    have  $\{A,B,M\} \cup \{A,B,P\} = \{A,B,M,P\}$ 
    by auto
    have  $\text{rk}\{A,B,M,P\} + \text{rk}\{A,B\} \leq \text{rk}\{A,B,M\} + \text{rk}\{A,B,P\}$ 
    by (metis (full-types) ‹ $\{A, B, M\} \cup \{A, B, P\} = \{A, B, M, P\}$ › insert-commute
      le-inf-iff
      matroid-ax-3-alt subset-insertI)
    then have  $\text{rk}\{A,B,M,P\} = 2$ 
    by (metis add-diff-cancel-right' antisym assms(1) assms(3) assms(5) insert-commute
      le-diff-conv matroid-ax-2 subset-insertI)
    have  $\{C,D,M\} \cup \{C,D,P\} = \{C,D,M,P\}$ 
    by auto
    have  $\text{rk}\{C,D,M,P\} + \text{rk}\{C,D\} \leq \text{rk}\{C,D,M\} + \text{rk}\{C,D,P\}$ 
    by (metis Un-insert-left Un-upper1 ‹ $\{C, D, M\} \cup \{C, D, P\} = \{C, D, M, P\}$ ›
      insert-is-Un le-inf-iff
      matroid-ax-3-alt)
    then have i1:  $\text{rk}\{C,D,M,P\} + 2 \leq 4$ 
    using assms(2) assms(4) assms(6)
    by linarith
    moreover have i2:  $\text{rk}\{C,D,M,P\} \geq 2$ 
    by (metis assms(2) insertI1 insert-subset matroid-ax-2 subset-insertI)
    from i1 and i2 have  $\text{rk}\{C,D,M,P\} = 2$ 
    by linarith
    have  $\text{rk}\{A,B,C,D,M,P\} \geq 3$ 
    by (metis Un-insert-right Un-upper2 assms(7) matroid-ax-2 order-trans sup-bot.right-neutral)
    have  $\{A,B,M,P\} \cup \{C,D,M,P\} = \{A,B,C,D,M,P\}$ 
    by auto
    then have  $\text{rk}\{A,B,C,D,M,P\} + \text{rk}\{M,P\} \leq \text{rk}\{A,B,M,P\} + \text{rk}\{C,D,M,P\}$ 

```

```

by (smt le-inf-iff matroid-ax-3-alt order-trans subset-insertI)
then have i3:rk {A,B,C,D,M,P} + rk {M,P} ≤ 4
  using ⟨rk {A, B, M, P} = 2⟩ ⟨rk {C, D, M, P} = 2⟩
  by linarith
have i4:rk {A,B,C,D,M,P} + rk {M,P} ≥ 3 + rk{M,P}
  by (simp add: ⟨3 ≤ rk {A, B, C, D, M, P}⟩)
from i3 and i4 show rk {M,P} = 1
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) ⟨rk {A, B, C, D, M, P} + rk {M, P} ≤ rk {A,
B, M, P} + rk {C, D, M, P}⟩
    ⟨rk {A, B, M, P} = 2⟩ ⟨rk {C, D, M, P} = 2⟩ add-le-cancel-left
add-numeral-left antisym
    insert-absorb2 numeral-Bit1 numeral-One numeral-plus-one one-add-one
one-le-numeral
    order-trans rk-ax-couple rk-ax-singleton)
qed

```

```

lemma rk-ax-dim-alt: ∃ A B C D. ∀ M. rk {A,B,M} ≠ 2 ∨ rk {C,D,M} ≠ 2
proof-
  obtain A B C D where f1:rk {A,B,C,D} ≥ 4
    using rk-ax-dim
    by auto
  have ∀ M. rk {A,B,M} ≠ 2 ∨ rk {C,D,M} ≠ 2
  proof
    fix M
    have {A,B,C,D,M} = {A,B,M} ∪ {C,D,M}
      by auto
    then have rk {A,B,C,D,M} + rk {M} ≤ rk {A,B,M} + rk {C,D,M}
      by (smt le-inf-iff matroid-ax-3-alt order-trans subset-insertI)
    then have rk {A,B,C,D,M} ≤ 3 if rk {A,B,M} = 2 and rk {C,D,M} = 2
      by (smt (z3) One-nat-def Suc-le-eq Suc-numeral add-Suc-right add-le-same-cancel1
nat-1-add-1 not-less numeral-Bit1 numerals(1) order-trans rk-ax-singleton semir-
ing-norm(2) that(1) that(2))
    then have rk {A,B,C,D} ≤ 3 if rk {A,B,M} = 2 and rk {C,D,M} = 2
      by (smt insert-commute matroid-ax-2 order-trans subset-insertI that(1) that(2))
    thus rk {A, B, M} ≠ 2 ∨ rk {C, D, M} ≠ 2
      using ⟨4 ≤ rk {A, B, C, D}⟩
      by linarith
  qed
  thus ∃ A B C D. ∀ M. rk {A, B, M} ≠ 2 ∨ rk {C, D, M} ≠ 2
    by blast
qed

```

```

lemma rk-empty: rk {} = 0
proof-
  have rk {} ≥ 0
    by simp
  have rk {} ≤ 0
    by (metis card.empty matroid-ax-1b)

```

```

thus  $\text{rk } \{\} = 0$ 
    by blast
qed

lemma matroid-ax-2-alt:  $\text{rk } X \leq \text{rk } (X \cup \{x\}) \wedge \text{rk } (X \cup \{x\}) \leq \text{rk } X + 1$ 
proof
  have  $X \subseteq X \cup \{x\}$ 
    by auto
  thus  $\text{rk } X \leq \text{rk } (X \cup \{x\})$ 
    by (simp add: matroid-ax-2)
  have  $\text{rk } \{x\} \leq 1$ 
    by (metis One-nat-def card.empty card-Suc-eq insert-absorb insert-not-empty matroid-ax-1b)
  thus  $\text{rk } (X \cup \{x\}) \leq \text{rk } X + 1$ 
    by (metis add-leD1 le-antisym matroid-ax-3 rk-ax-singleton)
qed

lemma matroid-ax-3-alt':  $\text{rk } (X \cup \{y\}) = \text{rk } (X \cup \{z\}) \longrightarrow \text{rk } (X \cup \{z\}) = \text{rk } X \longrightarrow \text{rk } X = \text{rk } (X \cup \{y,z\})$ 
proof-
  have i1: $\text{rk } X \leq \text{rk } (X \cup \{y,z\})$ 
    using matroid-ax-2
    by blast
  have i2: $\text{rk } X \geq \text{rk } (X \cup \{y,z\})$  if  $\text{rk } (X \cup \{y\}) = \text{rk } (X \cup \{z\})$  and  $\text{rk } (X \cup \{z\}) = \text{rk } X$ 
  proof-
    have  $(X \cup \{y\}) \cup (X \cup \{z\}) = X \cup \{y,z\}$ 
      by blast
    then have  $\text{rk } (X \cup \{y,z\}) + \text{rk } X \leq \text{rk } X + \text{rk } X$ 
      by (metis <rk (X ∪ {y}) = rk (X ∪ {z})> <rk (X ∪ {z}) = rk X> inf-sup-ord(3) le-inf-iff
          matroid-ax-3-alt)
    thus  $\text{rk } (X \cup \{y,z\}) \leq \text{rk } X$ 
      by simp
  qed
  thus  $\text{rk } (X \cup \{y\}) = \text{rk } (X \cup \{z\}) \longrightarrow \text{rk } (X \cup \{z\}) = \text{rk } X \longrightarrow \text{rk } X = \text{rk } (X \cup \{y, z\})$ 
    using antisym i1
    by blast
qed

lemma rk-ext:
  assumes  $\text{rk } X \leq 3$ 
  shows  $\exists P. \text{rk}(X \cup \{P\}) = \text{rk } X + 1$ 
proof-
  obtain A B C D where  $\text{rk } \{A,B,C,D\} \geq 4$ 
    using rk-ax-dim
    by auto
  have f1: $\text{rk } (X \cup \{A, B, C, D\}) \geq 4$ 

```

```

by (metis Un-upper2 ‹4 ≤ rk {A, B, C, D}› matroid-ax-2 sup.coboundedI2
sup.orderE)
have rk (X ∪ {A, B, C, D}) = rk X if rk(X ∪ {A}) = rk(X ∪ {B}) and rk(X
∪ {B}) = rk(X ∪ {C})
and rk(X ∪ {C}) = rk(X ∪ {D}) and rk(X ∪ {D}) = rk X
using matroid-ax-3-alt' that(1) that(2) that(3) that(4)
by auto
then have f2:rk (X ∪ {A, B, C, D}) ≤ 3 if rk(X ∪ {A}) = rk(X ∪ {B}) and
rk(X ∪ {B}) = rk(X ∪ {C})
and rk(X ∪ {C}) = rk(X ∪ {D}) and rk(X ∪ {D}) = rk X
using assms that(1) that(2) that(3) that(4)
by linarith
from f1 and f2 have False if rk(X ∪ {A}) = rk(X ∪ {B}) and rk(X ∪ {B})
= rk(X ∪ {C})
and rk(X ∪ {C}) = rk(X ∪ {D}) and rk(X ∪ {D}) = rk X
using that(1) that(2) that(3) that(4)
by linarith
then have rk (X ∪ {A}) = rk X + 1 ∨ rk (X ∪ {B}) = rk X + 1 ∨ rk (X ∪
{C}) = rk X + 1 ∨
rk (X ∪ {D}) = rk X + 1
by (smt One-nat-def Suc-le-eq Suc-numeral Un-upper2 ‹4 ≤ rk {A, B, C, D}›
‹[rk (X ∪ {A}) = rk (X ∪ {B}); rk (X ∪ {B}) = rk (X ∪ {C}); rk (X ∪
{C}) = rk (X ∪ {D}); rk (X ∪ {D}) = rk X] ⟹ rk (X ∪ {A, B, C, D}) = rk
X›,
add.right-neutral add-Suc-right assms antisym-conv1 matroid-ax-2 ma-
troid-ax-2-alt
not-less semiring-norm(2) semiring-norm(8) sup.coboundedI2 sup.orderE)
thus ∃ P . rk (X ∪ {P}) = rk X + 1
by blast
qed

lemma rk-singleton : ∀ P. rk {P} = 1
proof
fix P
have f1:rk {P} ≤ 1
by (metis One-nat-def card.empty card-Suc-eq insert-absorb insert-not-empty
matroid-ax-1b)
have f2:rk {P} ≥ 1
using rk-ax-singleton
by auto
from f1 and f2 show rk {P} = 1
using antisym
by blast
qed

lemma rk-singleton-bis :
assumes A = B
shows rk {A, B} = 1
by (simp add: assms rk-singleton)

```

```

lemma rk-couple :
  assumes A ≠ B
  shows rk {A, B} = 2
proof-
  have f1:rk {A, B} ≤ 2
    by (metis insert-is-Un matroid-ax-2-alt one-add-one rk-singleton)
  have f2:rk {A, B} ≥ 2
    by (simp add: assms rk-ax-couple)
  from f1 and f2 show ?thesis
    by (simp add: f1 le-antisym)
qed

lemma rk-triple-le : rk {A, B, C} ≤ 3
  by (metis Suc-numeral Un-commute insert-absorb2 insert-is-Un linear matroid-ax-2-alt
numeral-2-eq-2
  numeral-3-eq-3 numeral-le-one-iff numeral-plus-one rk-couple rk-singleton
semiring-norm(70))

lemma rk-couple-to-singleton :
  assumes rk {A, B} = 1
  shows A = B
proof-
  have rk {A, B} = 2 if A ≠ B
    using rk-couple
    by (simp add: that)
  thus A = B
    using assms
    by auto
qed

lemma rk-triple-to-rk-couple :
  assumes rk {A, B, C} = 3
  shows rk {A, B} = 2
proof-
  have rk {A, B} ≤ 2
    using matroid-ax-1b
    by (metis one-le-numeral rk-ax-couple rk-couple rk-singleton-bis)
  have rk {A, B, C} ≤ 2 if rk {A, B} = 1
    using matroid-ax-2-alt[of {A, B} C]
    by (simp add: insert-commute that)
  then have rk {A, B} ≥ 2
    using assms rk-ax-couple rk-singleton-bis
    by force
  thus rk {A, B} = 2
    by (simp add: ‹rk {A, B} ≤ 2› le-antisym)
qed

```

```

end

end
theory Desargues-2D
imports Main Higher-Projective-Space-Rank-Axioms Matroid-Rank-Properties
begin

```

Contents:

- We prove Desargues's theorem: if two triangles ABC and A'B'C' are perspective from a point P (ie. the lines AA', BB' and CC' are concurrent in P), then they are perspective from a line (ie. the points $\alpha = BC \cap B'C'$, $\beta = AC \cap A'C'$ and $\gamma = AB \cap A'B'$ are collinear). In this file we restrict ourself to the case where the two triangles ABC and A'B'C' are coplanar.

8 Desargues's Theorem: The Coplanar Case

```

context higher-projective-space-rank
begin

```

definition desargues-config-2D ::

```

['point, 'point, 'point, 'point, 'point, 'point, 'point, 'point] ⇒ bool
where desargues-config-2D A B C A' B' C' P α β γ ≡ rk {A, B, C} = 3 ∧ rk
{A', B', C'} = 3 ∧
rk {A, A', P} = 2 ∧ rk {B, B', P} = 2 ∧ rk {C, C', P} = 2 ∧ rk {A, B, γ} =
2 ∧ rk {A', B', γ} = 2 ∧
rk {A, C, β} = 2 ∧ rk {A', C', β} = 2 ∧ rk {B, C, α} = 2 ∧ rk {B', C', α} =
2 ∧
rk {A, B, C, A', B', C'} = 3 ∧
— We add the following non-degeneracy conditions
rk {A, B, P} = 3 ∧ rk {A, C, P} = 3 ∧ rk {B, C, P} = 3 ∧
rk {A, A'} = 2 ∧ rk {B, B'} = 2 ∧ rk {C, C'} = 2

```

lemma coplanar-ABCA'B'C'P :

```

assumes rk {A, A'} = 2 and rk {A, B, C, A', B', C'} = 3 and rk {A, A', P} =
= 2
shows rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P} = 3

```

proof –

```

have rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P} + rk {A, A'} ≤ rk {A, B, C, A', B', C'} +
rk {A, A', P}

```

using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {A, A'} {A, B, C, A', B', C'} {A, A', P}]

by (simp add: insert-commute)

then have rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P} ≤ 3

using assms(1) assms(2) assms(3)

by linarith

then show rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P} = 3

```

using assms(2) matroid-ax-2
by (metis Un-insert-right Un-upper2 le-antisym sup-bot.right-neutral)
qed

lemma non-colinear-A'B'P :
  assumes rk {A, B, P} = 3 and rk {A, A', P} = 2 and rk {B, B', P} = 2 and
  rk {A', P} = 2
  and rk {B', P} = 2
  shows rk {A', B', P} = 3
proof-
  have f1:rk {A', B', P} ≤ 3
    using rk-triple-le by auto
  have rk {A, B, A', B', P} ≥ 3
    using assms(1) matroid-ax-2
    by (metis insert-mono insert-subset subset-insertI)
  then have f2:rk {A, B, A', B', P} = 3
    using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {P} {A, A', P} {B, B', P}] assms(2) assms(3)
    by (simp add: insert-commute rk-singleton)
  have rk {A, B, A', B', P} + rk {B', P} ≤ rk {A, A', B', P} + rk {B, B', P}
    using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {B', P} {A, A', B', P} {B, B', P}]
    by (simp add: insert-commute)
  then have rk {A, A', B', P} ≥ 3
    using f2 assms(3) assms(5) by linarith
  then have f3:rk {A, A', B', P} = 3
    using f2 matroid-ax-2
    by (metis eq-iff insert-commute subset-insertI)
  have rk {A, A', B', P} + rk {A', P} ≤ rk {A', B', P} + rk {A, A', P}
    using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {A', P} {A', B', P} {A, A', P}]
    by (simp add: insert-commute)
  then have rk {A', B', P} ≥ 3
    using f3 assms(2) assms(4) by linarith
  thus rk {A', B', P} = 3
    using f1 by auto
qed

lemma desargues-config-2D-non-collinear-P :
  assumes desargues-config-2D A B C A' B' C' P α β γ and rk {A', P} = 2 and
  rk {B', P} = 2
  and rk {C', P} = 2
  shows rk {A', B', P} = 3 and rk {A', C', P} = 3 and rk {B', C', P} = 3
proof-
  show rk {A', B', P} = 3
    using non-colinear-A'B'P assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B'
    C' P α β γ] assms(2)
    assms(3)
    by blast
  show rk {A', C', P} = 3
    using non-colinear-A'B'P assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B'
    C' P α β γ] assms(2)

```

```

assms(4)
by blast
show rk {B', C', P} = 3
  using non-colinear-A'B'P assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B'
C' P α β γ] assms(3)
    assms(4)
  by blast
qed

lemma rk-A'B'PQ :
  assumes rk {A, A'} = 2 and rk {A, B, C, A', B', C'} = 3 and rk {A, A', P}
= 2 and
rk {A, B, P} = 3 and rk {B, B', P} = 2 and rk {A', P} = 2 and rk {B', P}
= 2 and
rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P, Q} ≥ 4
  shows rk {A', B', P, Q} = 4
proof-
  have card {A', B', P, Q} ≤ 4
  by (smt One-nat-def Suc-numeral card.insert card.empty finite.emptyI finite-insert
insert-absorb
  insert-not-empty linear nat-add-left-cancel-le numeral-3-eq-3 numeral-Bit0
numeral-code(3)
  numeral-le-one-iff numerals(1) one-plus-numeral semiring-norm(4) semir-
ing-norm(69)
  semiring-norm(70) semiring-norm(8))
  then have f1:rk {A', B', P, Q} ≤ 4
    using matroid-ax-1b dual-order.trans by blast
  have rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P, Q} + rk {A', B', P} ≤ rk {A', B', P, Q} +
rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P}
    using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {A', B', P} {A', B', P, Q} {A, B, C, A', B', C',
P}]
    by (simp add: insert-commute)
  then have rk {A', B', P, Q} ≥ rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P, Q} + rk {A', B',
P} - rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P}
    using le-diff-conv
    by blast
  then have f2:rk {A', B', P, Q} ≥ 4
    using assms non-colinear-A'B'P coplanar-ABCA'B'C'P
    by (smt diff-add-inverse2 le-trans)
  from f1 and f2 show rk {A', B', P, Q} = 4
    by (simp add: f1 eq-iff)
qed

lemma desargues-config-2D-rkA'B'PQ-rkA'C'PQ-rkB'C'PQ :
  assumes desargues-config-2D A B C A' B' C' P α β γ and rk {A', P} = 2 and
rk {B', P} = 2
and rk {C', P} = 2 and rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P, Q} ≥ 4
  shows rk {A', B', P, Q} = 4 and rk {A', C', P, Q} = 4 and rk {B', C', P,
Q} = 4

```

proof–

```
show rk {A', B', P, Q} = 4
  using rk-A'B'PQ[of A A' B C B' C' P Q] assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of
A B C A' B' C' P α β γ]
    assms(2) assms(3) assms(5)
  by blast
show rk {A', C', P, Q} = 4
  using rk-A'B'PQ[of A A' C B C' B' P Q] assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of
A B C A' B' C' P α β γ]
    assms(2) assms(4) assms(5)
  by (metis insert-commute)
show rk {B', C', P, Q} = 4
  using rk-A'B'PQ[of B B' C A C' A' P Q] assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of
A B C A' B' C' P α β γ]
    assms(3) assms(4) assms(5)
  by (metis insert-commute)
qed
```

lemma rk-A'B'PR :

```
assumes rk {P, Q, R} = 2 and rk {P, R} = 2 and rk {A', B', P, Q} = 4
shows rk {A', B', P, R} = 4
```

proof–

```
have card {A', B', P, R} ≤ 4
  by (smt Suc-numeral assms(2) card.empty card-insert-disjoint dual-order.trans
finite.emptyI
  finite-insert insert-absorb linear nat-add-left-cancel-le numeral-2-eq-2 nu-
meral-3-eq-3
  numeral-Bit0 numeral-code(3) numeral-le-one-iff rk-singleton rk-triple-le
semiring-norm(2)
  semiring-norm(69) semiring-norm(8))
then have f1:rk {A', B', P, R} ≤ 4
  using dual-order.trans matroid-ax-1b
  by auto
have f2:rk {A', B', P, Q, R} + rk {P, R} ≤ rk {A', B', P, R} + rk {P, Q, R}
  using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {P, R} {A', B', P, R} {P, Q, R}]
  by (simp add: insert-commute)
have f3:rk {A', B', P, Q, R} ≥ 4
  using matroid-ax-2 assms(3)
  by (metis insert-mono subset-insertI)
from f2 and f3 have f4:rk {A', B', P, R} ≥ 4
  using assms(1) assms(2)
  by linarith
thus rk {A', B', P, R} = 4
  using f1 f4
  by (simp add: f1 le-antisym)
qed
```

lemma rk-A'C'PR :

```
assumes rk {P, Q, R} = 2 and rk {P, R} = 2 and rk {A', C', P, Q} = 4
```

shows $\text{rk} \{A', C', P, R\} = 4$
using $\text{assms}(1)$ $\text{assms}(2)$ $\text{assms}(3)$ $\text{rk-}A'B'PR$
by *blast*

lemma $\text{rk-}B'C'PR :$

assumes $\text{rk} \{P, Q, R\} = 2$ **and** $\text{rk} \{P, R\} = 2$ **and** $\text{rk} \{B', C', P, Q\} = 4$
shows $\text{rk} \{B', C', P, R\} = 4$
using $\text{assms}(1)$ $\text{assms}(2)$ $\text{assms}(3)$ $\text{rk-}A'C'PR$
by *blast*

lemma $\text{rk-}ABA' :$

assumes $\text{rk} \{A, B, P\} = 3$ **and** $\text{rk} \{A, A'\} = 2$ **and** $\text{rk} \{A, A', P\} = 2$
shows $\text{rk} \{A, B, A'\} = 3$

proof–

have $\text{rk} \{A, B, A', P\} + \text{rk} \{A, A'\} \leq \text{rk} \{A, B, A'\} + \text{rk} \{A, A', P\}$
using $\text{matroid-ax-3-alt}[\text{of } \{A, A'\} \{A, B, A'\} \{A, A', P\}]$
by (*simp add: insert-commute*)
then have $\text{rk} \{A, B, A'\} \geq 3$
using $\text{assms matroid-ax-2}$
by (*smt eq-iff insert-absorb2 insert-commute non-collinear-}A'B'P rk-couple*)*
thus $\text{rk} \{A, B, A'\} = 3$
by (*simp add: le-antisym rk-triple-le*)*

qed

lemma $\text{desargues-config-2D-non-collinear} :$

assumes $\text{desargues-config-2D } A B C A' B' C' P \alpha \beta \gamma$
shows $\text{rk} \{A, B, A'\} = 3$ **and** $\text{rk} \{A, B, B'\} = 3$ **and** $\text{rk} \{A, C, C'\} = 3$

proof–

show $\text{rk} \{A, B, A'\} = 3$
using $\text{assms desargues-config-2D-def}[\text{of } A B C A' B' C' P \alpha \beta \gamma] \text{ rk-}ABA'$
by *auto*
show $\text{rk} \{A, B, B'\} = 3$
using $\text{assms desargues-config-2D-def}[\text{of } A B C A' B' C' P \alpha \beta \gamma] \text{ rk-}ABA'$
by (*smt insert-commute*)
show $\text{rk} \{A, C, C'\} = 3$
using $\text{assms desargues-config-2D-def}[\text{of } A B C A' B' C' P \alpha \beta \gamma] \text{ rk-}ABA'$
by (*smt insert-commute*)

qed

lemma $\text{rk-}Aa :$

assumes $\text{rk} \{A, B, P\} = 3$ **and** $\text{rk} \{A, A'\} = 2$ **and** $\text{rk} \{A, A', P\} = 2$ **and**
 $\text{rk} \{Q, A', a\} = 2$
and $\text{rk} \{A, B, C, A', B', C', P, Q\} \geq 4$ **and** $\text{rk} \{A, B, C, A', B', C'\} \leq 3$
shows $\text{rk} \{A, a\} = 2$

proof–

have $\text{rk} \{Q, A', A, a\} + \text{rk} \{a\} \leq \text{rk} \{Q, A', a\} + \text{rk} \{A, a\}$
using $\text{matroid-ax-3-alt}[\text{of } \{a\} \{Q, A', a\} \{A, a\}]$
by (*simp add: insert-commute*)
then have $\text{rk} \{Q, A', A, a\} \leq \text{rk} \{Q, A', a\} + \text{rk} \{A, a\} - \text{rk} \{a\}$

```

using add-le-imp-le-diff
by blast
then have rk {Q, A', A, a} ≤ 2 if rk {A, a} = 1
  using assms(4)
  by (simp add: rk-singleton that)
then have rk {Q, A', A} ≤ 2 if rk {A, a} = 1
  using matroid-ax-2
  by (metis One-nat-def assms(4) le-numeral-extra(4) nat-add-left-cancel-le numeral-2-eq-2
    numeral-3-eq-3 one-add-one rk-couple rk-triple-le that)
then have rk {Q, A', A} = 2 if rk {A, a} = 1
  using assms(2) matroid-ax-2
  by (metis assms(4) numeral-eq-one-iff rk-couple semiring-norm(85) that)
then have rk {A, A', P, Q} = 2 if rk {A, a} = 1
  using assms(3) matroid-ax-3-alt'[of {A, A'} P Q]
  by (simp add: assms(2) insert-commute that)
then have f1:rk {A, A', B, P, Q} ≤ 3 if rk {A, a} = 1
  by (metis One-nat-def Un-insert-right add.right-neutral add-Suc-right insert-commute matroid-ax-2-alt
    numeral-2-eq-2 numeral-3-eq-3 sup-bot.right-neutral that)
have rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P, Q} + rk {A, B, A'} ≤ rk {A, A', B, P, Q} +
  rk {A, B, C, A', B', C'}
  using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {A, B, A'} {A, A', B, P, Q} {A, B, C, A', B', C'}]
  by (simp add: insert-commute)
then have rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P, Q} ≤ rk {A, A', B, P, Q} + rk {A, B,
  C, A', B', C'} - rk {A, B, A'}
  by linarith
then have rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P, Q} ≤ 3 if rk {A, a} = 1
  using assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(6) f1 rk-ABA'
  by (smt rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P, Q} + rk {A, B, A'} ≤ rk {A, A', B, P,
  Q} + rk {A, B, C, A', B', C'})>
    add-diff-cancel-right' add-leD2 le-less-trans not-le
    ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse
    ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.le-add-diff that)
then have ¬ (rk {A, a} = 1)
  using assms(5)
  by linarith
thus rk {A, a} = 2
  using rk-couple rk-singleton-bis
  by blast
qed

```

```

lemma desargues-config-2D-rkAa-rkBb-rkCc :
  assumes desargues-config-2D A B C A' B' C' P α β γ and rk {A, B, C, A',
  B', C', P, Q} ≥ 4
  and rk {Q, A', a} = 2 and rk {Q, B', b} = 2 and rk {Q, C', c} = 2
  shows rk {A, a} = 2 and rk {B, b} = 2 and rk {C, c} = 2
proof-
  show rk {A, a} = 2

```

```

using rk-Aa assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ]
assms(2) assms(3)
by (metis rk-triple-le)
show rk {B, b} = 2
using rk-Aa assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ]
assms(2) assms(4)
by (smt insert-commute rk-triple-le)
show rk {C, c} = 2
using rk-Aa[of C A P C' Q c B B' A'] assms(1)
desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ] assms(2) assms(5)
by (metis insert-commute rk-triple-le)
qed

lemma rk-ABPRa :
assumes rk {A, B, P} = 3 and rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P} = 3 and rk {P, Q, R} = 2
and rk {P, R} = 2 and rk {A', B', P, Q} = 4
shows rk {A, B, P, R, a} ≥ 4
proof-
have rk {A', B', P, R, a, A, B} ≥ rk {A', B', P, R}
using matroid-ax-2
by auto
then have f1:rk {A', B', P, R, a, A, B} ≥ 4
using rk-A'B'PR assms(3) assms(4) assms(5)
by auto
have f2:rk {A', B', A, B, P} ≤ 3
using matroid-ax-2 assms(2)
by (smt insertI1 insert-subset subset-insertI)
have rk {A', B', P, R, a, A, B} + rk {A, B, P} ≤ rk {A, B, P, R, a} + rk {A', B', A, B, P}
using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {A, B, P} {A, B, P, R, a} {A', B', A, B, P}]
by (simp add: insert-commute)
then have rk {A, B, P, R, a} ≥ rk {A', B', P, R, a, A, B} + rk {A, B, P} - rk {A', B', A, B, P}
by linarith
thus rk {A, B, P, R, a} ≥ 4
using f1 assms(1) f2
by linarith
qed

lemma rk-ABPa :
assumes rk {A, B, P} = 3 and rk {A, A'} = 2 and rk {A, A', P} = 2 and
rk {Q, A', a} = 2
and rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P, Q} ≥ 4 and rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P} = 3
and rk {P, Q, R} = 2
and rk {P, R} = 2 and rk {A', B', P, Q} = 4 and rk {R, A, a} = 2
shows rk {A, B, P, a} ≥ 4
proof-
have rk {A, B, C, A', B', C'} ≤ 3

```

```

using matroid-ax-2 assms(6)
by (smt insert-iff subsetI)
then have f1:rk {A, a} = 2
  using assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) assms(5) rk-Aa
  by blast
have f2:rk {A, B, P, R, a} ≥ 4
  using assms(1) assms(6) assms(7) assms(8) assms(9) rk-ABPra
  by blast
have rk {A, B, P, R, a} + rk {A, a} ≤ rk {A, B, P, a} + rk {R, A, a}
  using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {A, a} {A, B, P, a} {R, A, a}]
  by (simp add: insert-commute)
thus rk {A, B, P, a} ≥ 4
  using f1 f2 assms(10)
  by (smt add-le-imp-le-diff diff-add-inverse2 order-trans)
qed

lemma desargues-config-2D-rkABPa-rkABPb-rkABPc :
  assumes desargues-config-2D A B C A' B' C' P α β γ and rk {A, B, C, A',
  B', C', P, Q} ≥ 4
  and rk {P, Q, R} = 2 and rk {P, R} = 2 and rk {A', P} = 2 and rk {B', P}
  = 2 and
  rk {Q, A', a} = 2 and rk {R, A, a} = 2 and rk {Q, B', b} = 2 and rk {R, B,
  b} = 2 and
  rk {Q, C', c} = 2 and rk {R, C, c} = 2
  shows rk {A, B, P, a} ≥ 4 and rk {A, B, P, b} ≥ 4 and rk {A, B, P, c} ≥ 4
proof-
  have f1:rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P} = 3
    using assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ] copla-
    nar-ABCA'B'C'P
    by auto
  have f2:rk {A', B', P, Q} = 4
    using assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ] assms(2)
    assms(5) assms(6)
    rk-A'B'PQ[of A A' B C B' C' P Q]
    by auto
  show rk {A, B, P, a} ≥ 4
    using f1 f2 assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ]
    assms(7) assms(2) assms(3)
    assms(4) assms(8) rk-ABPa
    by auto
  show rk {A, B, P, b} ≥ 4
    using f1 f2 assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ]
    assms(9) assms(2) assms(3)
    assms(4) assms(10) rk-ABPa[of B A P B' Q b C A' C' R]
    by (metis insert-commute)
  show rk {A, B, P, c} ≥ 4
proof-
  have f3:rk {A, B, P, R, c} ≥ 4
  using rk-ABPra[of A B P C A' B' C' Q R c] assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of

```

```

A B C A' B' C' P α β γ]
  f1 assms(3) assms(4) f2
  by auto
have {A, B, P, R, c} ⊆ {A, B, C, P, R, c}
  by auto
then have f4:rk {A, B, C, P, R, c} ≥ 4
  using matroid-ax-2 f3
  by (meson dual-order.trans)
have rk {A, B, C, P, R, c} + rk {C, c} ≤ rk {A, B, C, P, c} + rk {R, C,
c}
  using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {C,c} {A, B, C, P, c} {R, C, c}]
  by (simp add: insert-commute)
then have f5:rk {A, B, C, P, c} ≥ 4
  using f4 assms(12) desargues-config-2D-rkAa-rkBb-rkCc assms(1) assms(9)
assms(11) assms(2) assms(7)
  by auto
have f6:rk {A, B, C, P} ≤ 3
  using matroid-ax-2 f1
  by (smt insert-iff subsetI)
have rk {A, B, C, P, c} + rk {A, B, P} ≤ rk {A, B, P, c} + rk {A, B, C,
P}
  using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {A, B, P} {A, B, P, c} {A, B, C, P}]
  by (simp add: insert-commute)
then have rk {A, B, P, c} ≥ rk {A, B, C, P, c}
  using assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ] f6
  by linarith
thus rk {A, B, P, c} ≥ 4
  using f5
  by linarith
qed
qed

lemma rk-AA'C :
  assumes rk {A, C, P} = 3 and rk {A, A'} = 2 and rk {A, A', P} = 2
  shows rk {A, A', C} ≥ 3
proof-
  have f1:rk {A, C, A', P} ≥ 3
    using assms(1) matroid-ax-2
    by (metis insert-commute subset-insertI)
  have rk {A, C, A', P} + rk {A, A'} ≤ rk {A, A', C} + rk {A, A', P}
    using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {A, A'} {A, A', C} {A, A', P}]
    by (simp add: insert-commute)
  thus rk {A, A', C} ≥ 3
    using f1 assms(2) assms(3)
    by linarith
qed

lemma rk-AA'C' :
  assumes rk {A', C', P} = 3 and rk {A, A'} = 2 and rk {A, A', P} = 2

```

shows $\text{rk} \{A, A', C'\} \geq 3$
by (smt assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) insert-commute rk-AA'C)

lemma rk-AA'Ca :

assumes $\text{rk} \{A, A', C\} \geq 3$ **and** $\text{rk} \{A, B, P, a\} \geq 4$ **and** $\text{rk} \{A, B, C, A', B', C', P\} = 3$

shows $\text{rk} \{A, A', C, a\} \geq 4$

proof-

have $f1:\text{rk} \{A, A', C, a, B, P\} \geq 4$

using assms(2) matroid-ax-2

by (smt dual-order.trans insert-commute insert-mono insert-subset subset-insertI)

have $f2:\text{rk} \{A, B, C, P, A'\} \leq 3$

using assms(3) matroid-ax-2

by (smt empty-subsetI insert-commute insert-mono semiring-norm(3))

have $\text{rk} \{A, A', C, a, B, P\} + \text{rk} \{A, A', C\} \leq \text{rk} \{A, A', C, a\} + \text{rk} \{A, B, C, P, A'\}$

using matroid-ax-3-alt[of $\{A, A', C\} \{A, A', C, a\} \{A, B, C, P, A'\}$]

by (simp add: insert-commute)

then have $\text{rk} \{A, A', C, a\} \geq \text{rk} \{A, A', C, a, B, P\} + \text{rk} \{A, A', C\} - \text{rk} \{A, B, C, P, A'\}$

using le-diff-conv

by blast

thus $\text{rk} \{A, A', C, a\} \geq 4$

using f1 assms(1) f2

by linarith

qed

lemma rk-AA'C'a :

assumes $\text{rk} \{A, A', C'\} \geq 3$ **and** $\text{rk} \{A, B, P, a\} \geq 4$ **and** $\text{rk} \{A, B, C, A', B', C', P\} = 3$

shows $\text{rk} \{A, A', C', a\} \geq 4$

by (smt assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) insert-commute rk-AA'Ca)

lemma rk-Ra :

assumes $\text{rk} \{Q, A', a\} = 2$ **and** $\text{rk} \{P, Q, R\} = 2$ **and** $\text{rk} \{R, Q\} = 2$ **and** $\text{rk} \{A, A', P\} = 2$

and $\text{rk} \{A', P\} = 2$ **and** $\text{rk} \{A, B, C, A', B', C', P\} = 3$ **and** $\text{rk} \{A, B, A'\} = 3$ **and**

$\text{rk} \{A, B, C, A', B', C', P, Q\} \geq 4$

shows $\text{rk} \{R, a\} = 2$

proof-

have $R = a$ **if** $\text{rk} \{R, a\} = 1$

using rk-couple-to-singleton

by (simp add: that)

then have $\text{rk} \{R, Q, A'\} = 2$ **if** $\text{rk} \{R, a\} = 1$

using assms(1)

by (simp add: insert-commute that)

then have $f1:\text{rk} \{P, Q, R, A'\} = 2$ **if** $\text{rk} \{R, a\} = 1$

using assms(2) assms(3) matroid-ax-3-alt'

```

    by (metis Un-empty-right Un-insert-right insert-commute that)
have rk {P, Q, R, A', A} + rk {A', P} ≤ rk {A, A', P} + rk {P, Q, R, A'}
  using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {A', P} {A, A', P} {P, Q, R, A'}]
  by (simp add: insert-commute)
then have rk {P, Q, R, A', A} = 2 if rk {R, a} = 1
  using assms(4) f1 assms(5)
  by (metis Un-insert-right add-le-cancel-right insert-is-Un le-antisym matroid-ax-2
subset-insertI that)
then have f2:rk {P, Q, R, A', A, B} ≤ 3 if rk {R, a} = 1
  using matroid-ax-2-alt[of {P, Q, R, A', A} B]
  by (simp add: insert-commute that)
have f3:rk {A, B, A', P} ≥ 3
  using assms(7) matroid-ax-2
  by (metis insert-commute subset-insertI)
have rk {P, Q, R, A', A, B, C, B', C'} + rk {A, B, A', P} ≤ rk {P, Q, R, A',
A, B} + rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P}
  using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {A, B, A', P} {P, Q, R, A', A, B} {A, B, C, A',
B', C', P}]
  by (simp add: insert-commute)
then have f4:rk {P, Q, R, A', A, B, C, B', C'} ≤ 3 if rk {R, a} = 1
  using f2 f3 assms(6) that
  by linarith
have f5:rk {P, Q, R, A', A, B, C, B', C'} ≥ rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P, Q}
  using matroid-ax-2
  by auto
thus rk {R, a} = 2 using f4 f5 assms(8)
  by (smt Suc-1 Suc-le-eq add-Suc add-Suc-right nat-1-add-1 not-le numeral-2-eq-2
numeral-3-eq-3
  numeral-Bit0 order.trans rk-couple rk-singleton-bis)
qed

```

```

lemma desargues-config-2D-rkRa-rkB-rkRc :
  assumes desargues-config-2D A B C A' B' C' P α β γ and rk {A, B, C, A',
B', C', P, Q} ≥ 4
  and rk {P, Q, R} = 2 and rk {Q, R} = 2 and rk {Q, A', a} = 2 and rk {Q,
B', b} = 2 and
  rk {Q, C', c} = 2 and rk {A', P} = 2 and rk {B', P} = 2 and rk {C', P} = 2
  shows rk {R, a} = 2 and rk {R, b} = 2 and rk {R, c} = 2
proof-
  have f1:rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P} = 3
    using coplanar-ABCA'B'C'P assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A'
B' C' P α β γ]
    by blast
  have f2:rk {A, B, A'} = 3
    using desargues-config-2D-non-collinear assms(1)
    by auto
  have f3:rk {A, B, B'} = 3
    using desargues-config-2D-non-collinear assms(1)
    by auto

```

```

have  $f_4 : rk \{A, C, C'\} = 3$ 
  using desargues-config-2D-non-collinear assms(1)
  by auto
show  $rk \{R, a\} = 2$ 
  using f1 f2 rk-Ra[of Q A' a P R A B C B' C'] assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of
A B C A' B' C' P α β γ]
    assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) assms(5) assms(8)
    by (metis insert-commute)
show  $rk \{R, b\} = 2$ 
  using f1 f3 rk-Ra[of Q B' b P R B A C A' C'] assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of
A B C A' B' C' P α β γ]
    assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) assms(6) assms(9)
    by (metis insert-commute)
show  $rk \{R, c\} = 2$ 
  using f1 f4 rk-Ra[of Q C' c P R C A B A' B'] assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of
A B C A' B' C' P α β γ]
    assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) assms(7) assms(10)
    by (metis insert-commute)
qed

```

lemma $rk-acACβ :$

assumes $rk \{R, A, a\} = 2$ and $rk \{R, C, c\} = 2$ and $rk \{A, C\} = 2$ and $rk \{A, C, β\} = 2$
and $rk \{Q, A', a\} = 2$ and $rk \{A, A', C, a\} \geq 4$
shows $rk \{a, c, A, C, β\} = 3$

proof–

have $rk \{a, c, A, C, R\} + rk \{R\} \leq rk \{R, A, a\} + rk \{R, C, c\}$
using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {R} {R, A, a} {R, C, c}]

by (simp add: insert-commute)

then have $f1:rk \{a, c, A, C, R\} \leq 3$

using assms(1) assms(2)

by (metis Suc-1 Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-le-mono add-Suc-right numeral-3-eq-3 numeral-nat(1) numerals(1)
rk-singleton)

have $rk \{a, c, A, C, R, β\} + rk \{A, C\} \leq rk \{a, c, A, C, R\} + rk \{A, C, β\}$
using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {A, C} {a, c, A, C, R} {A, C, β}]

by (simp add: insert-commute)

then have $f2:rk \{a, c, A, C, R, β\} \leq 3$

using f1 assms(3) assms(4)

by linarith

have $\{a, c, A, C, β\} \subseteq \{a, c, A, C, R, β\}$

by auto

then have $f3:rk \{a, c, A, C, β\} \leq 3$

using matroid-ax-2 f2

by (meson order-trans)

have $f4:rk \{A, A', C, a, c, Q\} \geq 4$

using matroid-ax-2 assms(6)

by (smt dual-order.trans insert-commute insert-mono insert-subset subset-insertI)

have $rk \{A, A', C, a, c, Q\} + rk \{a\} \leq rk \{a, c, A, C\} + rk \{Q, A', a\}$

```

using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {a} {a, c, A, C} {Q, A', a}]
by (simp add: insert-commute)
then have rk {a, c, A, C}  $\geq$  rk {A, A', C, a, c, Q} + rk {a} - rk {Q, A', a}
using le-diff-conv
by blast
then have rk {a, c, A, C}  $\geq$  3
using f4 assms(5)
by (smt One-nat-def rk {A, A', C, a, c, Q} + rk {a}  $\leq$  rk {a, c, A, C} + rk
{Q, A', a})
ab-semigroup-add-class.add-ac(1) add-2-eq-Suc' add-diff-cancel-right' add-le-imp-le-diff
card.empty card.insert dual-order.antisym finite.intros(1) insert-absorb in-
sert-not-empty
matroid-ax-1b nat-1-add-1 numeral-3-eq-3 numeral-Bit0 order.trans rk-ax-singleton)
then have rk {a, c, A, C, β}  $\geq$  3
using matroid-ax-2
by (metis Un-insert-right Un-upper2 dual-order.trans sup-bot.comm-neutral)
thus rk {a, c, A, C, β} = 3
using f3 le-antisym
by blast
qed

lemma rk-acA'C'β :
assumes rk {Q, A', a} = 2 and rk {Q, C', c} = 2 and rk {A', C'} = 2 and
rk {A', C', β} = 2
and rk {R, A, a} = 2 and rk {A', A, C', a}  $\geq$  4
shows rk {a, c, A', C', β} = 3
using assms rk-acACβ
by blast

lemma plane-representation-change :
assumes rk {A, B, C, P} = 3 and rk {B, C, P} = 3 and rk {A, B, C, Q} =
4
shows rk {P, B, C, Q} = 4
proof-
have rk {P, B, C, Q}  $\leq$  4 using assms(2) matroid-ax-2-alt[of {B, C, P} Q]
by (simp add: insert-commute)
have rk {A, B, C, Q, P} + rk {B, C, P}  $\leq$  rk {P, B, C, Q} + rk {A, B, C,
P}
using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {B, C, P} {P, B, C, Q} {A, B, C, P}]
by (simp add: insert-commute)
then have rk {P, B, C, Q}  $\geq$  4
using assms
by (smt add.commute dual-order.trans insert-commute matroid-ax-2 nat-add-left-cancel-le
subset-insertI)
thus rk {P, B, C, Q} = 4
by (simp add: rk {P, B, C, Q}  $\leq$  4 antisym)
qed

```

```

lemma desargues-config-2D-rkABCP :
  assumes desargues-config-2D A B C A' B' C' P α β γ
  shows rk {A, B, C, P} = 3
proof-
  have rk {A, B, C} = 3
    using assms desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ]
    by auto
  then have f1:rk {A, B, C, P} ≥ 3
    using matroid-ax-2
    by (metis empty-subsetI insert-mono)
  have f2:rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P} = 3
    using assms desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P] coplanar-ABCA'B'C'P
    by auto
  have {A, B, C, P} ⊆ {A, B, C, A', B', C', P}
    by auto
  then have rk {A, B, C, P} ≤ 3
    using matroid-ax-2 f2
    by metis
  thus rk {A, B, C, P} = 3
    using f1 antisym
    by blast
qed

lemma desargues-config-2D-rkABCabc :
  assumes desargues-config-2D A B C A' B' C' P α β γ and rk {A, B, C, A',
  B', C', P, Q} ≥ 4
  and rk {Q, A', a} = 2 and rk {P, Q, R} = 2 and rk {P, R} = 2 and rk {R,
  A, a} = 2 and
  rk {A', P} = 2 and rk {B', P} = 2
  shows rk {A, B, C, a, b, c} ≥ 4
proof-
  have f1:rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P} = 3
    using assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ] copla-
    nar-ABCA'B'C'P
    by auto
  have f2:rk {A', B', P, Q} = 4
    using rk-A'B'PQ[of A A' B C B' C' P Q] assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of
    A B C A' B' C' P α β γ]
    assms(2) assms(7) assms(8)
    by auto
  from f1 and f2 have f3:rk {A, B, P, a} ≥ 4
    using assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ] assms(2)
    assms(3) assms(4)
    assms(5) assms(6) rk-ABPa
    by auto
  have {A, B, P, a} ⊆ {A, B, C, a, b, c, P}
    by auto

```

```

then have f4: $\text{rk}\{A, B, C, a, b, c, P\} \geq 4$ 
  using matroid-ax-2 f3
  by (meson dual-order.trans)
have f5: $\text{rk}\{A, B, C, P\} = 3$ 
  using assms(1) desargues-config-2D-rkABCP
  by auto
have  $\text{rk}\{A, B, C, a, b, c, P\} + \text{rk}\{A, B, C\} \leq \text{rk}\{A, B, C, a, b, c\} + \text{rk}\{A, B, C, P\}$ 
  using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {A, B, C} {A, B, C, a, b, c} {A, B, C, P}]
  by (simp add: insert-commute)
thus  $\text{rk}\{A, B, C, a, b, c\} \geq 4$ 
  using f4 assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ] f5
  by linarith
qed

lemma rk-abc :
  assumes desargues-config-2D A B C A' B' C' P α β γ and  $\text{rk}\{A, B, C, A', B', C', P, Q\} \geq 4$ 
  and  $\text{rk}\{Q, A', a\} = 2$  and  $\text{rk}\{Q, B', b\} = 2$  and  $\text{rk}\{Q, C', c\} = 2$  and  $\text{rk}\{P, Q, R\} = 2$  and
   $\text{rk}\{P, R\} = 2$  and  $\text{rk}\{Q, R\} = 2$  and  $\text{rk}\{R, A, a\} = 2$  and  $\text{rk}\{R, B, b\} = 2$ 
  and
   $\text{rk}\{R, C, c\} = 2$  and  $\text{rk}\{A', P\} = 2$  and  $\text{rk}\{B', P\} = 2$  and  $\text{rk}\{C', P\} = 2$ 
  shows  $\text{rk}\{a, b, c\} = 3$ 
proof-
  have  $\text{rk}\{a, b, c\} \leq 3$ 
  by (simp add: rk-triple-le)
  have  $\text{rk}\{A, B, C, a, b, c\} \geq 4$ 
  using desargues-config-2D-rkABCabc assms(1) assms(13) assms(2) assms(3)
  assms(6) assms(7) assms(9)
  assms(12)
  by auto
then have f1: $\text{rk}\{A, B, C, R, a, b, c\} \geq 4$ 
  using matroid-ax-2
  by (smt dual-order.trans insert-commute subset-insertI)
have f2: $\text{rk}\{A, B, C, A', B', C', P\} = 3$ 
  using coplanar-ABCA'B'C'P assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ]
  by auto
have f3: $\text{rk}\{A, C, C'\} = 3$ 
  using assms(1) desargues-config-2D-non-collinear(3)
  by auto
from f2 and f3 have f4: $\text{rk}\{R, c\} = 2$ 
  using assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ] assms(2)
  assms(5) assms(6)
  assms(8) assms(14) rk-Ra[of Q C' c P R C A B A' B']
  by (metis insert-commute)
have  $\text{rk}\{A, B, C, R, a, b, c\} + \text{rk}\{R, c\} \leq \text{rk}\{a, b, c, R, A, B\} + \text{rk}\{R, C, c\}$ 

```

```

using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {R, c} {a, b, c, R, A, B} {R, C, c}]
by (simp add: insert-commute)
then have f5:rk {a, b, c, R, A, B}  $\geq 4$ 
  using f1 f4 assms(11)
  by linarith
have rk {A, B, B'} = 3
  using assms(1) desargues-config-2D-non-collinear(2)
  by auto
then have f6:rk {R, b} = 2
  using f2 assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P  $\alpha \beta \gamma$ ]
    rk-Ra[of Q B' b P R B A C A' C'] assms(2) assms(4) assms(6) assms(8)
  assms(13)
  by (metis insert-commute)
have rk {a, b, c, R, A, B} + rk {R, b}  $\leq$  rk {a, b, c, R, A} + rk {R, B, b}
  using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {R, b} {a, b, c, R, A} {R, B, b}]
  by (simp add: insert-commute)
then have f7:rk {a, b, c, R, A}  $\geq 4$ 
  using f5 f6 assms(10)
  by linarith
have rk {a, b, c, R, A} + rk {a}  $\leq$  rk {a, b, c} + rk {R, A, a}
  using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {a} {a, b, c} {R, A, a}]
  by (simp add: insert-commute)
then have rk {a, b, c}  $\geq 3$ 
  using f7 assms(9)
  by (smt One-nat-def Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-le-mono Suc-numeral add.assoc card.empty
card.insert
  dual-order.trans finite.intros(1) insert-absorb insert-not-empty le-antisym
matroid-ax-1b
  one-add-one rk-ax-singleton semiring-norm(2) semiring-norm(8))
thus rk {a, b, c} = 3
  by (simp add: rk {a, b, c}  $\leq 3$  le-antisym)
qed

```

lemma rk-ac β :

assumes desargues-config-2D A B C A' B' C' P $\alpha \beta \gamma$ **and** rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P, Q} ≥ 4
and rk {Q, A', a} = 2 **and** rk {Q, B', b} = 2 **and** rk {Q, C', c} = 2 **and** rk {P, Q, R} = 2 **and**
rk {P, R} = 2 **and** rk {Q, R} = 2 **and** rk {R, A, a} = 2 **and** rk {R, B, b} = 2
and rk {R, C, c} = 2 **and** rk {A', P} = 2 **and** rk {B', P} = 2 **and** rk {C', P} = 2
shows rk {a, c, β } = 2

proof–

have rk {a, b, c} = 3
 using rk-abc assms
 by auto

then have rk {a, c} = 2
 by (metis insert-commute rk-triple-to-rk-couple)

then have rk {a, c, β } ≥ 2

```

using matroid-ax-2
by (metis empty-subsetI insert-mono)
have f1:rk {a, c, A, C, A', C', β} + rk {a, c, β} ≤ rk {a, c, A, C, β} + rk {a, c, A', C', β}
using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {a, c, β} {a, c, A, C, β} {a, c, A', C', β}]
by (simp add: insert-commute)
have f2:rk {A, A', C} ≥ 3
using rk-AA'C assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ]
by auto
have f3:rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P} = 3
using coplanar-ABCA'B'C'P assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ]
by auto
then have f4:rk {A, B, P, a} ≥ 4
using rk-ABPa assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ]
by (meson assms(12) assms(13) assms(14) assms(2) assms(3) assms(6)
assms(7) assms(9)
desargues-config-2D-rkA'B'PQ-rkA'C'PQ-rkB'C'PQ(1))
have rk {A, A', C, a} ≥ 4
using f2 f3 f4 rk-AA'Ca[of A A' C B P a B' C']
by auto
then have f5:rk {a, c, A, C, β} = 3
using rk-acACβ[of R A a C c β Q A'] assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A
B C A' B' C' P α β γ]
assms(9) assms(11) assms(3) rk-triple-to-rk-couple
by blast
have rk {A', A, C', a} ≥ 4
using rk-AA'C'a[of A A' C' B P a C B'] assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of
A B C A' B' C' P α β γ]
by (smt assms(12) assms(13) assms(14) desargues-config-2D-non-collinear-P(2)
f3 f4 insert-commute
rk-AA'C)
then have f6:rk {a, c, A', C', β} = 3
using rk-acA'C'β[of Q A' a C' c β R A] assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of
A B C A' B' C' P α β γ]
assms(3) assms(5) assms(9)
by (metis (full-types) insert-commute rk-triple-to-rk-couple)
have {A, A', C, a} ⊆ {a, c, A, C, A', C', β}
by auto
then have f7:rk {a, c, A, C, A', C', β} ≥ 4
using matroid-ax-2
by (meson ‹4 ≤ rk {A, A', C, a}› dual-order.trans)
then have rk {a, c, β} ≤ 2
using f1 f5 f6
by linarith
thus rk {a, c, β} = 2
using ‹2 ≤ rk {a, c, β}› le-antisym
by blast
qed

```

lemma *rk-abγ* :

assumes *desargues-config-2D A B C A' B' C' P α β γ and rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P, Q} ≥ 4*
and *rk {Q, A', a} = 2 and rk {Q, B', b} = 2 and rk {Q, C', c} = 2 and rk {P, Q, R} = 2 and rk {P, R} = 2 and rk {Q, R} = 2 and rk {R, A, a} = 2 and rk {R, B, b} = 2 and rk {R, C, c} = 2 and rk {A', P} = 2 and rk {B', P} = 2 and rk {C', P} = 2 shows rk {a, b, γ} = 2*

proof–

have *desargues-config-2D A C B A' C' B' P α γ β*

using *assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ]*
desargues-config-2D-def[of A C B A' C' B' P α γ β]

by (*metis insert-commute*)

thus *rk {a, b, γ} = 2*

using *rk-acβ[of A C B A' C' B' P α γ β Q a c b R]*

by (*metis assms(10) assms(11) assms(12) assms(13) assms(14) assms(2)*
assms(3) assms(4) assms(5)
assms(6) assms(7) assms(8) assms(9) insert-commute)

qed

lemma *rk-bcα* :

assumes *desargues-config-2D A B C A' B' C' P α β γ and rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P, Q} ≥ 4*
and *rk {Q, A', a} = 2 and rk {Q, B', b} = 2 and rk {Q, C', c} = 2 and rk {P, Q, R} = 2 and rk {P, R} = 2 and rk {Q, R} = 2 and rk {R, A, a} = 2 and rk {R, B, b} = 2 and rk {R, C, c} = 2 and rk {A', P} = 2 and rk {B', P} = 2 and rk {C', P} = 2 shows rk {b, c, α} = 2*

proof–

have *desargues-config-2D B A C B' A' C' P β α γ*

using *assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ]*
desargues-config-2D-def[of B A C B' A' C' P β α γ]

by (*metis insert-commute*)

thus *rk {b, c, α} = 2*

using *rk-acβ[of B A C B' A' C' P β α γ Q b a c R]*

by (*metis assms(10) assms(11) assms(12) assms(13) assms(14) assms(2)*
assms(3) assms(4) assms(5)
assms(6) assms(7) assms(8) assms(9) insert-commute)

qed

lemma *rk-abcαβγ* :

assumes *desargues-config-2D A B C A' B' C' P α β γ and rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P, Q} ≥ 4*
and *rk {Q, A', a} = 2 and rk {Q, B', b} = 2 and rk {Q, C', c} = 2 and rk {P, Q, R} = 2 and rk {P, R} = 2 and rk {Q, R} = 2 and rk {R, A, a} = 2 and rk {R, B, b} = 2*

and
 $\text{rk } \{R, C, c\} = 2$ **and** $\text{rk } \{A', P\} = 2$ **and** $\text{rk } \{B', P\} = 2$ **and** $\text{rk } \{C', P\} = 2$
shows $\text{rk } \{a, b, c, \alpha, \beta, \gamma\} = 3$
proof–
have $f1:\text{rk } \{a, b, \gamma\} = 2$
 using $\text{rk-ab}\gamma[\text{of } A B C A' B' C' P \alpha \beta \gamma Q a b c R]$ **assms**
 by *auto*
have $f2:\text{rk } \{a, c, \beta\} = 2$
 using $\text{rk-ac}\beta[\text{of } A B C A' B' C' P \alpha \beta \gamma Q a b c R]$ **assms**
 by *auto*
have $f3:\text{rk } \{b, c, \alpha\} = 2$
 using $\text{rk-bc}\alpha[\text{of } A B C A' B' C' P \alpha \beta \gamma Q a b c R]$ **assms**
 by *auto*
have $\text{rk } \{a, b, c, \beta, \gamma\} + \text{rk } \{a\} \leq \text{rk } \{a, b, \gamma\} + \text{rk } \{a, c, \beta\}$
 using $\text{matroid-ax-3-alt}[\text{of } \{a\} \{a, b, \gamma\} \{a, c, \beta\}]$
 by (*simp add: insert-commute*)
then have $\text{rk } \{a, b, c, \beta, \gamma\} \leq 3$
 using $f1 f2$
 by (*metis Suc-1 Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-le-mono add-Suc-right numeral-3-eq-3 numeral-nat(1) numerals(1)*
 rk-singleton)
then have $f4:\text{rk } \{a, b, c, \beta, \gamma\} = 3$
 using $\text{matroid-ax-2 rk-abc}[\text{of } A B C A' B' C' P \alpha \beta \gamma Q a b c R]$
 by (*metis Un-upper2 assms(1) assms(10) assms(11) assms(12) assms(13)*
assms(14) assms(2) assms(3)
 assms(4) assms(5) assms(6) assms(7) assms(8) assms(9) insert-mono le-antisym sup-bot.comm-neutral)
have $\text{rk } \{a, b, c\} = 3$
 using $\text{rk-abc}[\text{of } A B C A' B' C' P \alpha \beta \gamma Q a b c R]$ **assms(1) assms(10)**
assms(11) assms(12) assms(13)
assms(14) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) assms(5) assms(6) assms(7) assms(8)
assms(9)
 by *blast*
then have $f5:\text{rk } \{b, c\} = 2$
 using *rk-triple-to-rk-couple rk-couple*
 by *force*
have $\text{rk } \{a, b, c, \alpha, \beta, \gamma\} + \text{rk } \{b, c\} \leq \text{rk } \{a, b, c, \beta, \gamma\} + \text{rk } \{b, c, \alpha\}$
 using $\text{matroid-ax-3-alt}[\text{of } \{b, c\} \{a, b, c, \beta, \gamma\} \{b, c, \alpha\}]$
 by (*simp add: insert-commute*)
then have $\text{rk } \{a, b, c, \alpha, \beta, \gamma\} \leq 3$
 using $f3 f4 f5$
 by *linarith*
thus $\text{rk } \{a, b, c, \alpha, \beta, \gamma\} = 3$
 using *matroid-ax-2*
 by (*metis ‹rk {a, b, c} = 3› empty-subsetI insert-mono le-antisym*)
qed
lemma $\text{rk-ABC}\alpha\beta\gamma :$
assumes *desargues-config-2D A B C A' B' C' P α β γ* **and** $\text{rk } \{A, B, C, A'\},$

$B', C', P, Q\} \geq 4$
and $\text{rk } \{Q, A', a\} = 2$ **and** $\text{rk } \{Q, B', b\} = 2$ **and** $\text{rk } \{Q, C', c\} = 2$ **and** $\text{rk } \{P, Q, R\} = 2$ **and**
 $\text{rk } \{P, R\} = 2$ **and** $\text{rk } \{Q, R\} = 2$ **and** $\text{rk } \{R, A, a\} = 2$ **and** $\text{rk } \{R, B, b\} = 2$
and
 $\text{rk } \{R, C, c\} = 2$ **and** $\text{rk } \{A', P\} = 2$ **and** $\text{rk } \{B', P\} = 2$ **and** $\text{rk } \{C', P\} = 2$
shows $\text{rk } \{A, B, C, \alpha, \beta, \gamma\} = 3$
proof–
have $f1:\text{rk } \{A, B, \gamma\} = 2$
 using $\text{assms}(1)$ *desargues-config-2D-def*[of $A B C A' B' C' P \alpha \beta \gamma$]
 by *auto*
have $f2:\text{rk } \{A, C, \beta\} = 2$
 using $\text{assms}(1)$ *desargues-config-2D-def*[of $A B C A' B' C' P \alpha \beta \gamma$]
 by *auto*
have $f3:\text{rk } \{B, C, \alpha\} = 2$
 using $\text{assms}(1)$ *desargues-config-2D-def*[of $A B C A' B' C' P \alpha \beta \gamma$]
 by *auto*
have $\text{rk } \{A, B, C, \beta, \gamma\} + \text{rk } \{A\} \leq \text{rk } \{A, B, \gamma\} + \text{rk } \{A, C, \beta\}$
 using *matroid-ax-3-alt*[of $\{A\} \{A, B, \gamma\} \{A, C, \beta\}$]
 by (*simp add: insert-commute*)
then have $\text{rk } \{A, B, C, \beta, \gamma\} \leq 3$
 using $f1 f2$
 by (*metis Suc-1 Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-le-mono add-Suc-right numeral-3-eq-3 numeral-nat(1) numerals(1)*
 rk-singleton)
have $\text{rk } \{A, B, C\} = 3$
 using $\text{assms}(1)$ *desargues-config-2D-def*[of $A B C A' B' C' P \alpha \beta \gamma$]
 by *auto*
then have $f4:\text{rk } \{A, B, C, \beta, \gamma\} = 3$
 using *matroid-ax-2*
 by (*metis <rk* $\{A, B, C, \beta, \gamma\} \leq 3$ *> empty-subsetI insert-mono le-antisym*)
have $f5:\text{rk } \{B, C\} = 2$
 using $\langle \text{rk } \{A, B, C\} = 3 \rangle$ *rk-couple rk-triple-to-rk-couple*
 by *force*
have $\text{rk } \{A, B, C, \alpha, \beta, \gamma\} + \text{rk } \{B, C\} \leq \text{rk } \{A, B, C, \beta, \gamma\} + \text{rk } \{B, C, \alpha\}$
 using *matroid-ax-3-alt*[of $\{B, C\} \{A, B, C, \beta, \gamma\} \{B, C, \alpha\}$]
 by (*simp add: insert-commute*)
then have $\text{rk } \{A, B, C, \alpha, \beta, \gamma\} \leq 3$
 using $f3 f4 f5$
 by *linarith*
thus $\text{rk } \{A, B, C, \alpha, \beta, \gamma\} = 3$
 using *matroid-ax-2*
 by (*metis <rk* $\{A, B, C\} = 3$ *> empty-subsetI insert-mono le-antisym*)
qed

lemma $\text{rk-}\alpha\beta\gamma :$
assumes *desargues-config-2D* $A B C A' B' C' P \alpha \beta \gamma$ **and** $\text{rk } \{A, B, C, A', B', C', P, Q\} \geq 4$
and $\text{rk } \{Q, A', a\} = 2$ **and** $\text{rk } \{Q, B', b\} = 2$ **and** $\text{rk } \{Q, C', c\} = 2$ **and** rk

```

{P, Q, R} = 2 and
rk {P, R} = 2 and rk {Q, R} = 2 and rk {R, A, a} = 2 and rk {R, B, b} = 2
and
rk {R, C, c} = 2 and rk {A', P} = 2 and rk {B', P} = 2 and rk {C', P} = 2
shows rk {α, β, γ} ≤ 2
proof–
have rk {A, B, C, a, b, c} ≥ 4
using desargues-config-2D-rkABCabc[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ Q a R b c]
assms
by auto
have {A, B, C, a, b, c} ⊆ {A, B, C, a, b, c, α, β, γ}
by auto
then have f1:rk {A, B, C, a, b, c, α, β, γ} ≥ 4
using matroid-ax-2
by (meson ‹4 ≤ rk {A, B, C, a, b, c}› dual-order.trans)
have rk {A, B, C, a, b, c, α, β, γ} + rk {α, β, γ} ≤ rk {A, B, C, α, β, γ} +
rk {a, b, c, α, β, γ}
using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {α, β, γ} {A, B, C, α, β, γ} {a, b, c, α, β, γ}]
by (simp add: insert-commute)
thus rk {α, β, γ} ≤ 2
using f1 rk-ABCαβγ[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ Q a b c R] rk-abcaβγ[of A
B C A' B' C' P α β γ Q a b c R]
by (smt One-nat-def Suc-1 Suc-le-eq add-Suc-right add-le-imp-le-diff assms(1)
assms(10) assms(11)
assms(12) assms(13) assms(14) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) assms(5)
assms(6) assms(7) assms(8)
assms(9) diff-add-inverse2 le-antisym nat-1-add-1 not-less numeral-3-eq-3
one-plus-numeral
rk-triple-le semiring-norm(2) semiring-norm(4))
qed

```

```

lemma rk-αβγ-special-case-1 :
assumes desargues-config-2D A B C A' B' C' P α β γ and rk {A', P} = 1
shows rk {α, β, γ} ≤ 2
proof–
have A' = P
by (simp add: assms(2) rk-couple-to-singleton)
then have rk {A', C', C, β} + rk {C', A'} ≤ rk {C, C', P} + rk {A', C', β}
using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {C', A'} {C, C', P} {A', C', β}]
by (simp add: insert-commute)
then have rk {A', C', C, β} ≤ rk {A', C', β}
using assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ]
by (metis (full-types) add-le-cancel-right insert-commute rk-triple-to-rk-couple)
then have f5:rk {A', C', C, β} = 2
using assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ]
by (metis insert-commute le-antisym matroid-ax-2 subset-insertI)
have rk {A, A', C, a, C', β} + rk {A, C, β} ≤ rk {A, A', C', C, β} + rk {a,
A, C, β} for a
using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {A, C, β} {A, A', C', C, β} {a, A, C, β}]

```

```

    by (simp add: insert-commute)
then have f6:rk {A, A', C', C, β} ≥ 3
  using assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ] rk-AA'Ca
rk-acACβ
  by (metis Un-insert-right Un-upper2 ‹A' = P› insert-commute matroid-ax-2
sup-bot.right-neutral)
have rk {A, A', C', C, β} + rk {β, C} ≤ rk {A, C, β} + rk {A', C', C, β}
  using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {β, C} {A, C, β} {A', C', C, β}]
  by (simp add: insert-commute)
then have rk {β, C} ≤ 1
  using assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ] f5 f6
  by linarith
then have β = C
  using rk-couple
  by force
have rk {A', B', B, γ} + rk {B', A'} ≤ rk {B, B', P} + rk {A', B', γ}
  using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {B', A'} {B, B', P} {A', B', γ}]
  by (simp add: ‹A' = P› insert-commute)
then have rk {A', B', B, γ} ≤ rk {A', B', γ}
  using assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ]
  by (metis (full-types) add-le-cancel-right insert-commute rk-triple-to-rk-couple)
then have f7:rk {A', B', B, γ} = 2
  using assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ]
  by (metis insert-commute le-antisym matroid-ax-2 subset-insertI)
have rk {A, A', B, a, B', γ} + rk {A, B, γ} ≤ rk {A, A', B', B, γ} + rk {a,
A, B, γ} for a
  using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {A, B, γ} {A, A', B', B, γ} {a, A, B, γ}]
  by (simp add: insert-commute)
then have f8:rk {A, A', B', B, γ} ≥ 3
  using assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ] rk-AA'Ca
rk-acACβ
  by (metis Un-insert-right Un-upper2 ‹A' = P› insert-commute matroid-ax-2
sup-bot.right-neutral)
have rk {A, A', B', B, γ} + rk {γ, B} ≤ rk {A, B, γ} + rk {A', B', B, γ}
  using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {γ, B} {A, B, γ} {A', B', B, γ}]
  by (simp add: insert-commute)
then have rk {γ, B} ≤ 1
  using assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ] f7 f8
  by linarith
then have γ = B
  using rk-couple
  by force
then have rk {α, β, γ} = rk {α, C, B}
  using ‹β = C›
  by auto
thus rk {α, β, γ} ≤ 2
  using assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ]
  by (metis insert-commute order-refl)
qed

```

lemma *rk- $\alpha\beta\gamma$ -special-case-2* :
assumes *desargues-config-2D A B C A' B' C' P $\alpha \beta \gamma$* **and** *rk {B', P} = 1*
shows *rk { α, β, γ } ≤ 2*

proof–

have *desargues-config-2D B A C B' A' C' P $\beta \alpha \gamma$*
using *assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P $\alpha \beta \gamma$]*
desargues-config-2D-def[of B A C B' A' C' P $\beta \alpha \gamma$]
by (*metis insert-commute*)
thus *rk { α, β, γ } ≤ 2*
using *rk- $\alpha\beta\gamma$ -special-case-1[of B A C B' A' C' P $\beta \alpha \gamma$] assms(2)*
by (*simp add: insert-commute*)
qed

lemma *rk- $\alpha\beta\gamma$ -special-case-3* :
assumes *desargues-config-2D A B C A' B' C' P $\alpha \beta \gamma$* **and** *rk {C', P} = 1*
shows *rk { α, β, γ } ≤ 2*

proof–

have *desargues-config-2D C B A C' B' A' P $\gamma \beta \alpha$*
using *assms(1) desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P $\alpha \beta \gamma$]*
desargues-config-2D-def[of C B A C' B' A' P $\gamma \beta \alpha$]
by (*metis insert-commute*)
thus *rk { α, β, γ } ≤ 2*
using *rk- $\alpha\beta\gamma$ -special-case-1[of C B A C' B' A' P $\gamma \beta \alpha$] assms(2)*
by (*simp add: insert-commute*)

qed

theorem *desargues-2D* :

assumes *desargues-config-2D A B C A' B' C' P $\alpha \beta \gamma$*
shows *rk { α, β, γ } ≤ 2*

proof–

have *f1:rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P} = 3*
using *assms desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P $\alpha \beta \gamma$] coplanar-ABCA'B'C'P*
by *auto*
obtain *Q where rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P, Q} ≥ 4*
using *f1 rk-ext[of {A, B, C, A', B', C', P}]*
by (*metis Un-insert-left add.commute one-plus-numeral order-refl semiring-norm(2)*
semiring-norm(4)
sup-bot.left-neutral)
obtain *R where rk {P, Q, R} = 2 and rk {P, R} = 2 and rk {Q, R} = 2*
using *rk-ax-3-pts*
by *auto*
have *rk {Q, A', R, A, P} + rk {P} \leq rk {P, Q, R} + rk {A, A', P}*
using *matroid-ax-3-alt[of {P} {P, Q, R} {A, A', P}]*
by (*simp add: insert-commute*)
then have *rk {Q, A', R, A, P} ≤ 3*
using *rk-singleton assms desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P $\alpha \beta \gamma$]*
by (*metis Suc-1 Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-le-mono rk {P, Q, R} = 2 add-Suc-right*)

```

eval-nat-numeral(3))
then have f2:rk {Q, A', R, A} ≤ 3
  using matroid-ax-2
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) dual-order.trans insert-commute subset-insertI)
obtain a where rk {Q, A', a} = 2 and rk {R, A, a} = 2
  using f2 rk-ax-pasch
  by blast
have rk {Q, B', R, B, P} + rk {P} ≤ rk {P, Q, R} + rk {B, B', P}
  using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {P} {P, Q, R} {B, B', P}]
  by (simp add: insert-commute)
then have rk {Q, B', R, B, P} ≤ 3
  using rk-singleton assms desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ]
  by (metis Suc-1 Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-le-mono ‹rk {P, Q, R} = 2› add-Suc-right
eval-nat-numeral(3))
then have f3:rk {Q, B', R, B} ≤ 3
  using matroid-ax-2
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) dual-order.trans insert-commute subset-insertI)
obtain b where rk {Q, B', b} = 2 and rk {R, B, b} = 2
  using f3 rk-ax-pasch
  by blast
have rk {Q, C', R, C, P} + rk {P} ≤ rk {P, Q, R} + rk {C, C', P}
  using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {P} {P, Q, R} {C, C', P}]
  by (simp add: insert-commute)
then have rk {Q, C', R, C, P} ≤ 3
  using rk-singleton assms desargues-config-2D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ]
  by (metis Suc-1 Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-le-mono ‹rk {P, Q, R} = 2› add-Suc-right
eval-nat-numeral(3))
then have f4:rk {Q, C', R, C} ≤ 3
  using matroid-ax-2
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) dual-order.trans insert-commute subset-insertI)
obtain c where rk {Q, C', c} = 2 and rk {R, C, c} = 2
  using f4 rk-ax-pasch
  by blast
then have rk {α, β, γ} ≤ 2 if rk {A', P} = 2 and rk {B', P} = 2 and rk {C', P} = 2
  using rk-αβγ[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ Q a b c R] ‹4 ≤ rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', P, Q}›
    ‹rk {P, Q, R} = 2› ‹rk {P, R} = 2› ‹rk {Q, A', a} = 2› ‹rk {Q, B', b} = 2›
    ‹rk {Q, R} = 2›
    ‹rk {R, A, a} = 2› ‹rk {R, B, b} = 2› assms that(1) that(2) that(3)
  by blast
have rk {α, β, γ} ≤ 2 if rk {A', P} = 1
  using rk-αβγ-special-case-1 assms that
  by auto
have rk {α, β, γ} ≤ 2 if rk {B', P} = 1
  using rk-αβγ-special-case-2 assms that
  by auto
have rk {α, β, γ} ≤ 2 if rk {C', P} = 1
  using rk-αβγ-special-case-3 assms that

```

```

    by auto
  thus  $\text{rk } \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} \leq 2$ 
    using  $\llbracket \text{rk } \{A', P\} = 2; \text{rk } \{B', P\} = 2; \text{rk } \{C', P\} = 2 \rrbracket \implies \text{rk } \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} \leq 2$ 
  2>    $\langle \text{rk } \{A', P\} = 1 \implies \text{rk } \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} \leq 2 \rangle \langle \text{rk } \{B', P\} = 1 \implies \text{rk } \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} \leq 2 \rangle$ 
    rk-couple rk-singleton-bis
    by blast
qed
end

end
theory Desargues-3D
imports Main Higher-Projective-Space-Rank-Axioms Matroid-Rank-Properties
begin

```

Contents:

- We prove Desargues's theorem: if two triangles ABC and A'B'C' are perspective from a point P (ie. the lines AA', BB' and CC' are concurrent in P), then they are perspective from a line (ie. the points $\alpha = BC \cap B'C'$, $\beta = AC \cap A'C'$ and $\gamma = AB \cap A'B'$ are collinear). In this file we restrict ourself to the case where the two triangles ABC and A'B'C' are not coplanar.

9 Desargues's Theorem: The Non-coplanar Case

```

context higher-projective-space-rank
begin

```

```

definition desargues-config-3D :: 
  ['point, 'point, 'point, 'point, 'point, 'point, 'point, 'point] => bool
  where desargues-config-3D A B C A' B' C' P α β γ ≡ rk {A, B, C} = 3 ∧ rk
  {A', B', C'} = 3 ∧
  rk {A, A', P} = 2 ∧ rk {B, B', P} = 2 ∧ rk {C, C', P} = 2 ∧ rk {A, B, C, A',
  B', C'} ≥ 4 ∧
  rk {B, C, α} = 2 ∧ rk {B', C', α} = 2 ∧ rk {A, C, β} = 2 ∧ rk {A', C', β} =
  2 ∧ rk {A, B, γ} = 2 ∧
  rk {A', B', γ} = 2

```

```

lemma coplanar-4 :
  assumes rk {A, B, C} = 3 and rk {B, C, α} = 2
  shows rk {A, B, C, α} = 3
proof-
  have f1:rk {A, B, C, α} ≥ 3
  using matroid-ax-2
  by (metis assms(1) empty-subsetI insert-mono)

```

```

have rk {A, B, C, α} + rk {B, C} ≤ rk {A, B, C} + rk {B, C, α}
  using matroid-ax-3-alt
  by (metis Un-insert-right add-One-commute add-mono assms(1) assms(2) matroid-ax-2-alt
    nat-1-add-1 numeral-plus-one rk-singleton semiring-norm(3) sup-bot.right-neutral)
then have f2:rk {A, B, C, α} ≤ 3
  by (metis Un-insert-right add-One-commute assms(2) matroid-ax-2-alt numeral-plus-one
    semiring-norm(3) sup-bot.right-neutral)
from f1 and f2 show rk {A, B, C, α} = 3
  by auto
qed

lemma desargues-config-3D-coplanar-4 :
  assumes desargues-config-3D A B C A' B' C' P α β γ
  shows rk {A, B, C, α} = 3 and rk {A', B', C', α} = 3
proof-
  show rk {A, B, C, α} = 3
    using assms desargues-config-3D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ] coplanar-4
    by blast
  show rk {A', B', C', α} = 3
    using assms desargues-config-3D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ] coplanar-4
    by blast
qed

lemma coplanar-4-bis :
  assumes rk {A, B, C} = 3 and rk {A, C, β} = 2
  shows rk {A, B, C, β} = 3
  by (smt assms(1) assms(2) coplanar-4 insert-commute)

lemma desargues-config-3D-coplanar-4-bis :
  assumes desargues-config-3D A B C A' B' C' P α β γ
  shows rk {A, B, C, β} = 3 and rk {A', B', C', β} = 3
proof-
  show rk {A, B, C, β} = 3
    using assms desargues-config-3D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ] coplanar-4-bis
    by auto
  show rk {A', B', C', β} = 3
    using assms desargues-config-3D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ] coplanar-4-bis
    by auto
qed

lemma coplanar-4-ter :
  assumes rk {A, B, C} = 3 and rk {A, B, γ} = 2
  shows rk {A, B, C, γ} = 3
  by (smt assms(1) assms(2) coplanar-4 insert-commute)

```

```

lemma desargues-config-3D-coplanar-4-ter :
  assumes desargues-config-3D A B C A' B' C' P α β γ
  shows rk {A, B, C, γ} = 3 and rk {A', B', C', γ} = 3
proof-
  show rk {A, B, C, γ} = 3
    using assms desargues-config-3D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ] coplanar-4-ter

    by auto
  show rk {A', B', C', γ} = 3
    using assms desargues-config-3D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ] coplanar-4-ter

    by auto
qed

lemma coplanar-5 :
  assumes rk {A, B, C} = 3 and rk {B, C, α} = 2 and rk {A, C, β} = 2
  shows rk {A, B, C, α, β} = 3
proof-
  have f1:rk {A, B, C, α} = 3
    using coplanar-4
    by (smt One-nat-def Un-assoc Un-commute add.commute add-Suc-right assms(1)
  assms(2) insert-is-Un
    le-antisym matroid-ax-2-alt numeral-2-eq-2 numeral-3-eq-3 one-add-one)
  have f2:rk {A, B, C, β} = 3
    using coplanar-4-bis
    by (smt One-nat-def Un-assoc Un-commute add.commute add-Suc-right assms(1)
  assms(3) insert-is-Un
    le-antisym matroid-ax-2-alt numeral-2-eq-2 numeral-3-eq-3 one-add-one)
  from f1 and f2 show rk {A, B, C, α, β} = 3
    using matroid-ax-3-alt'
    by (metis Un-assoc assms(1) insert-is-Un)
qed

lemma desargues-config-3D-coplanar-5 :
  assumes desargues-config-3D A B C A' B' C' P α β γ
  shows rk {A, B, C, α, β} = 3 and rk {A', B', C', α, β} = 3
proof-
  show rk {A, B, C, α, β} = 3
    using assms desargues-config-3D-def coplanar-5
    by auto
  show rk {A', B', C', α, β} = 3
    using assms desargues-config-3D-def coplanar-5
    by auto
qed

```

```

lemma coplanar-5-bis :
  assumes rk {A, B, C} = 3 and rk {B, C, α} = 2 and rk {A, B, γ} = 2
  shows rk {A, B, C, α, γ} = 3

```

```

by (smt assms coplanar-5 insert-commute)

lemma desargues-config-3D-coplanar-5-bis :
  assumes desargues-config-3D A B C A' B' C' P α β γ
  shows rk {A, B, C, α, γ} = 3 and rk {A', B', C', α, γ} = 3
proof-
  show rk {A, B, C, α, γ} = 3
  using assms desargues-config-3D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ] coplanar-5-bis

  by auto
  show rk {A', B', C', α, γ} = 3
  using assms desargues-config-3D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ] coplanar-5-bis

  by auto
qed

lemma coplanar-6 :
  assumes rk {A, B, C} = 3 and rk {B, C, α} = 2 and rk {A, B, γ} = 2 and
  rk {A, C, β} = 2
  shows rk {A, B, C, α, β, γ} = 3
proof-
  have f1:rk {A, B, C, α, γ} = 3
  using coplanar-5-bis assms(1) assms(2) assms(3)
  by auto
  have f2:rk {A, B, C, α, β} = 3
  using coplanar-5 assms(1) assms(2) assms(4)
  by auto
  have f3:rk {A, B, C, α} = 3
  using coplanar-4 assms(1) assms(2)
  by auto
  from f1 and f2 and f3 show rk {A, B, C, α, β, γ} = 3
  using matroid-ax-3-alt'[of {A, B, C, α} β γ]
  by (metis Un-insert-left sup-bot.left-neutral)
qed

lemma desargues-config-3D-coplanar-6 :
  assumes desargues-config-3D A B C A' B' C' P α β γ
  shows rk {A, B, C, α, β, γ} = 3 and rk {A', B', C', α, β, γ} = 3
proof-
  show rk {A, B, C, α, β, γ} = 3
  using assms desargues-config-3D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ] coplanar-6
  by auto
  show rk {A', B', C', α, β, γ} = 3
  using assms desargues-config-3D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β γ] coplanar-6
  by auto
qed

lemma desargues-config-3D-non-coplanar :
  assumes desargues-config-3D A B C A' B' C' P α β γ

```

```

shows rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', α, β, γ} ≥ 4
proof-
  have rk {A, B, C, A', B', C'} ≤ rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', α, β, γ}
    using matroid-ax-2
    by auto
  thus 4 ≤ rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', α, β, γ}
    using matroid-ax-2 assms desargues-config-3D-def[of A B C A' B' C' P α β
  γ]
    by linarith
qed

theorem desargues-3D :
assumes desargues-config-3D A B C A' B' C' P α β γ
shows rk {α, β, γ} ≤ 2
proof-
  have rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', α, β, γ} + rk {α, β, γ} ≤ rk {A, B, C, α, β, γ}
  + rk {A', B', C', α, β, γ}
    using matroid-ax-3-alt[of {α, β, γ} {A, B, C, α, β, γ} {A', B', C', α, β, γ}]
    by (simp add: insert-commute)
  then have rk {α, β, γ} ≤ rk {A, B, C, α, β, γ} + rk {A', B', C', α, β, γ} -
  rk {A, B, C, A', B', C', α, β, γ}
    by linarith
  thus rk {α, β, γ} ≤ 2
    using assms desargues-config-3D-coplanar-6 desargues-config-3D-non-coplanar
    by fastforce
qed

end

end
theory Projective-Space-Axioms
imports Main
begin

```

Contents:

- We introduce the types '*point*' of points and '*line*' of lines and an incidence relation between them.
- A set of axioms for the (3-dimensional) projective space. An alternative set of axioms could use planes as basic objects in addition to points and lines

10 The axioms of the Projective Space

lemma distinct4-def:
 $\text{distinct } [A,B,C,D] = ((A \neq B) \wedge (A \neq C) \wedge (A \neq D) \wedge (B \neq C) \wedge (B \neq D) \wedge (C \neq D))$

```

by auto

lemma distinct3-def:
  distinct [A, B, C] = (A ≠ B ∧ A ≠ C ∧ B ≠ C)
  by auto

locale projective-space =
  fixes incid :: 'point ⇒ 'line ⇒ bool
  fixes meet :: 'line ⇒ 'line ⇒ 'point
  assumes meet-def: (incid (meet l m) l ∧ incid (meet l m) m)

  assumes incid-dec: (incid P l) ∨ ¬(incid P l)

  assumes ax1-existence: ∃ l. (incid P l) ∧ (incid M l)
  assumes ax1-uniqueness: (incid P k) → (incid M k) → (incid P l) → (incid M l) → (P = M) ∨ (k = l)

  assumes ax2: distinct [A,B,C,D] → (incid A lAB ∧ incid B lAB)
    → (incid C lCD ∧ incid D lCD) → (incid A lAC ∧ incid C lAC) →
    (incid B lBD ∧ incid D lBD) → (∃ I.(incid I lAB ∧ incid I lCD)) →
    (∃ J.(incid J lAC ∧ incid J lBD))

  assumes ax3: ∃ A B C. distinct A B C ∧ (incid A l) ∧ (incid B l) ∧ (incid C l)

  assumes ax4: ∃ l m. ∀ P. ¬(incid P l ∧ incid P m)

  assumes ax5: distinct [l1,l2,l3] → (∃ l4 J1 J2 J3. distinct [J1,J2,J3] ∧
    meet l1 l4 = J1 ∧ meet l2 l4 = J2 ∧ meet l3 l4 = J3)

end
theory Higher-Projective-Space-Axioms
  imports Main

```

begin

Contents:

- We introduce the types of 'point' and 'line' and an incidence relation between them.
- A set of axioms for higher projective spaces, i.e. we allow models of dimension > 3 .

11 The axioms for Higher Projective Geometry

lemma *distinct4-def*:

distinct $[A,B,C,D] = ((A \neq B) \wedge (A \neq C) \wedge (A \neq D) \wedge (B \neq C) \wedge (B \neq D) \wedge (C \neq D))$

by *auto*

lemma *distinct3-def*:

distinct $[A,B,C] = ((A \neq B) \wedge (A \neq C) \wedge (B \neq C))$

by *auto*

locale *higher-projective-space* =

fixes *incid* :: 'point \Rightarrow 'line \Rightarrow bool

assumes *ax1-existence*: $\exists l. (\text{incid } P l) \wedge (\text{incid } M l)$

assumes *ax1-uniqueness*: $(\text{incid } P k) \rightarrow (\text{incid } M k) \rightarrow (\text{incid } P l) \rightarrow (\text{incid } M l) \rightarrow (P = M) \vee (k = l)$

assumes *ax2*: *distinct* $[A,B,C,D] \rightarrow (\text{incid } A lAB \wedge \text{incid } B lAB) \rightarrow (\text{incid } C lCD \wedge \text{incid } D lCD) \rightarrow (\text{incid } A lAC \wedge \text{incid } C lAC) \rightarrow (\text{incid } B lBD \wedge \text{incid } D lBD) \rightarrow (\exists I. (\text{incid } I lAB \wedge \text{incid } I lCD)) \rightarrow (\exists J. (\text{incid } J lAC \wedge \text{incid } J lBD))$

assumes *ax3*: $\exists A B C. \text{distinct } [A,B,C] \wedge (\text{incid } A l) \wedge (\text{incid } B l) \wedge (\text{incid } C l)$

```
assumes ax4:  $\exists l\ m.\forall P.\ \neg(incid\ P\ l \wedge incid\ P\ m)$ 
```

```
end
```

12 Acknowledgements

The author was supported by the ERC Advanced Grant ALEXANDRIA (Project 742178) funded by the European Research Council and led by Professor Lawrence Paulson at the University of Cambridge, UK.

References

- [1] M. Bezem and D. Hendriks. On the mechanization of the proof of hessenberg’s theorem in coherent logic. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 40(1):61–85, Jan 2008.
- [2] N. Magaud, J. Narboux, and P. Schreck. A case study in formalizing projective geometry in coq: Desargues theorem. *Computational Geometry*, 45(8):406–424, Oct 2012.