

Probabilistic Timed Automata

Simon Wimmer and Johannes Hözl

March 17, 2025

Abstract

We present a formalization of probabilistic timed automata (PTA) for which we try to follow the formula “MDP + TA = PTA” as far as possible: our work starts from our existing formalizations of Markov decision processes (MDP) and timed automata (TA) and combines them modularly. We prove the fundamental result for probabilistic timed automata: the region construction that is known from timed automata carries over to the probabilistic setting. In particular, this allows us to prove that minimum and maximum reachability probabilities can be computed via a reduction to MDP model checking, including the case where one wants to disregard unrealizable behavior. Further information can be found in our ITP paper [2].

The definition of the PTA semantics can be found in Section 3.3, the region MDP is in Section 4.1, the bisimulation theorem is in Section 1, and the final theorems can be found in Section 7.4. The background theory we formalize is described in the seminal paper on PTA [1].

Contents

1 Bisimulation on a Relation	3
2 Additional Facts on Regions	3
3 Definition and Semantics	4
3.1 Syntactic Definition	4
3.1.1 Collecting Information About Clocks	5
3.2 Operational Semantics as an MDP	5
3.3 Syntactic Definition	6
4 Constructing the Corresponding Finite MDP on Regions	6
4.1 Syntactic Definition	7
4.2 Many Closure Properties	7
4.3 The Region Graph is a Finite MDP	8
5 Relating the MDPs	9
5.1 Translating From K to \mathcal{K}	9
5.2 Translating Configurations	10
5.2.1 States	10
5.2.2 Intermezzo	12
5.2.3 Predicates	12
5.2.4 Distributions	12
5.2.5 Configuration	15
5.3 Equalities Between Measures of Trace Spaces	19
6 Classifying Regions for Divergence	21
6.1 Pairwise	21
6.2 Regions	21
6.3 Unbounded and Zero Regions	22

7 Reachability	22
7.1 Definitions	22
7.2 Easier Result on All Configurations	23
7.3 Divergent Adversaries	24
7.4 Main Result	29

```

theory PTA
  imports library/Lib
begin

```

1 Bisimulation on a Relation

```

definition rel-set-strong :: ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'b set ⇒ bool
  where rel-set-strong R A B ⟷ (∀ x y. R x y → (x ∈ A ↔ y ∈ B))

```

```

lemma T-eq-rel-half[consumes 4, case-names prob sets cont]:
  fixes R :: 's ⇒ 't ⇒ bool and f :: 's ⇒ 't and S :: 's set
  assumes R-def: ∀ s t. R s t ↔ (s ∈ S ∧ f s = t)
  assumes A[measurable]: A ∈ sets (stream-space (count-space UNIV))
    and B[measurable]: B ∈ sets (stream-space (count-space UNIV))
    and AB: rel-set-strong (stream-all2 R) A B and KL: rel-fun R (rel-pmf R) K L and xy: R x y
  shows MC-syntax.T K x A = MC-syntax.T L y B
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
no-notation ccval (⟨{·}⟩ [100])
```

```
hide-const succ
```

2 Additional Facts on Regions

```
declare reset-set11[simp] reset-set1[simp]
```

Defining the closest successor of a region. Only exists if at least one interval is upper-bounded.

```

abbreviation is-upper-right where
  is-upper-right R ≡ (∀ t ≥ 0. ∃ u ∈ R. u ⊕ t ∈ R)

```

```

definition
  succ R R ≡
    if is-upper-right R then R else
      (THE R'. R' ≠ R ∧ R' ∈ Succ R R ∧ (∀ u ∈ R. ∃ t ≥ 0. (u ⊕ t) ∈ R' → (u ⊕ t) ∈ R ∧ 0 ≤ t))

```

```

lemma region-continuous:
  assumes valid-region X k r
  defines R: R ≡ region X k r
  assumes between: 0 ≤ t1 t1 ≤ t2
  assumes elem: u ∈ R u ⊕ t2 ∈ R
  shows u ⊕ t1 ∈ R
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma upper-right-eq:
  assumes finite X valid-region X k r
  shows (∀ x ∈ X. isGreater (I x)) ↔ is-upper-right (region X k r)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma bounded-region:
  assumes finite X valid-region X k r
  defines R: R ≡ region X k r
  assumes ¬ is-upper-right R u ∈ R
  shows u ⊕ 1 ∉ R
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

context AlphaClosure-global
begin

```

```

no-notation Regions-Beta.part (<[-]> [61,61] 61)

lemma succ-ex:
  assumes  $R \in \mathcal{R}$ 
  shows  $\text{succ } \mathcal{R} R \in \mathcal{R}$  (is ?G1) and  $\text{succ } \mathcal{R} R \in \text{Succ } \mathcal{R} R$  (is ?G2)
  and  $\forall u \in R. \forall t \geq 0. (u \oplus t) \notin R \longrightarrow (\exists t' \leq t. (u \oplus t') \in \text{succ } \mathcal{R} R \wedge 0 \leq t')$  (is ?G3)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma region-set'-closed:
  fixes  $d :: \text{nat}$ 
  assumes  $R \in \mathcal{R} d \geq 0 \forall x \in \text{set } r. d \leq k x \text{ set } r \subseteq X$ 
  shows  $\text{region-set}' R r d \in \mathcal{R}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma clock-set-cong[simp]:
  assumes  $\forall c \in \text{set } r. u c = d$ 
  shows  $[r \rightarrow d]u = u$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma region-reset-not-Succ:
  notes regions-closed'-spec[intro]
  assumes  $R \in \mathcal{R} \text{ set } r \subseteq X$ 
  shows  $\text{region-set}' R r 0 = R \vee \text{region-set}' R r 0 \notin \text{Succ } \mathcal{R} R$  (is ?R = R ∨ -)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

3 Definition and Semantics

3.1 Syntactic Definition

We do not include:

- a labelling function, as we will assume that atomic propositions are simply sets of states
- a fixed set of locations or clocks, as we will implicitly derive it from the set of transitions
- start or end locations, as we will primarily study reachability

type-synonym

 $('c, 't, 's) \text{ transition} = 's * ('c, 't) \text{ cconstraint} * ('c \text{ set} * 's) \text{ pmf}$

type-synonym

 $('c, 't, 's) \text{ pta} = ('c, 't, 's) \text{ transition set} * ('c, 't, 's) \text{ invassn}$

definition

 $\text{edges} :: ('c, 't, 's) \text{ transition} \Rightarrow ('s * ('c, 't) \text{ cconstraint} * ('c \text{ set} * 's) \text{ pmf} * 'c \text{ set} * 's) \text{ set}$

where

 $\text{edges} \equiv \lambda (l, g, p). \{(l, g, p, X, l') \mid X l'. (X, l') \in \text{set-pmf } p\}$

definition

 $\text{Edges } A \equiv \bigcup \{\text{edges } t \mid t. t \in \text{fst } A\}$

definition

 $\text{trans-of} :: ('c, 't, 's) \text{ pta} \Rightarrow ('c, 't, 's) \text{ transition set}$

where

trans-of \equiv *fst*

definition

inv-of :: ('c, 'time, 's) pta \Rightarrow ('c, 'time, 's) invassn

where

inv-of \equiv *snd*

no-notation transition ($\langle \cdot \vdash \cdot \longrightarrow^{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot} \cdot \rightarrow [61, 61, 61, 61, 61, 61] \cdot 61 \rangle$)

abbreviation transition ::

('c, 'time, 's) pta \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow ('c, 'time) cconstraint \Rightarrow ('c set * 's) pmf \Rightarrow 'c set \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow bool
 $\langle \cdot \vdash \cdot \longrightarrow^{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot} \cdot \rightarrow [61, 61, 61, 61, 61, 61] \cdot 61 \rangle$ **where**

$(A \vdash l \longrightarrow^{g, p, X} l') \equiv (l, g, p, X, l') \in \text{Edges } A$

definition

locations :: ('c, 't, 's) pta \Rightarrow 's set

where

locations A \equiv (*fst* ' Edges A) \cup ((*snd o snd o snd o snd*) ' Edges A)

3.1.1 Collecting Information About Clocks

definition collect-clkt :: ('c, 't::time, 's) transition set \Rightarrow ('c *'t) set

where

collect-clkt S = $\bigcup \{ \text{collect-clock-pairs} (\text{fst} (\text{snd } t)) \mid t . t \in S \}$

definition collect-clki :: ('c, 't :: time, 's) invassn \Rightarrow ('c *'t) set

where

collect-clki I = $\bigcup \{ \text{collect-clock-pairs} (I x) \mid x. \text{True} \}$

definition clkp-set :: ('c, 't :: time, 's) pta \Rightarrow ('c * 't) set

where

clkp-set A = collect-clki (inv-of A) \cup collect-clkt (trans-of A)

definition collect-clkvt :: ('c, 't :: time, 's) pta \Rightarrow 'c set

where

collect-clkvt A = $\bigcup ((\text{fst} o \text{snd} o \text{snd} o \text{snd}) \cdot \text{Edges } A)$

abbreviation clocks **where** clocks A \equiv *fst* ' clkp-set A \cup collect-clkvt A

definition valid-abstraction

where

valid-abstraction A X k \equiv

$(\forall (x, m) \in \text{clkp-set } A. m \leq k x \wedge x \in X \wedge m \in \mathbb{N}) \wedge \text{collect-clkvt } A \subseteq X \wedge \text{finite } X$

lemma valid-abstractionD[dest]:

assumes valid-abstraction A X k

shows $(\forall (x, m) \in \text{clkp-set } A. m \leq k x \wedge x \in X \wedge m \in \mathbb{N}) \text{ collect-clkvt } A \subseteq X \text{ finite } X$

{proof}

lemma valid-abstractionI[intro]:

assumes $(\forall (x, m) \in \text{clkp-set } A. m \leq k x \wedge x \in X \wedge m \in \mathbb{N}) \text{ collect-clkvt } A \subseteq X \text{ finite } X$

shows valid-abstraction A X k

{proof}

3.2 Operational Semantics as an MDP

abbreviation (input) clock-set-set :: 'c set \Rightarrow 't::time \Rightarrow ('c, 't) eval \Rightarrow ('c, 't) eval
 $\langle \cdot := \cdot \rightarrow [65, 65, 65] \cdot 65 \rangle$

where

$[X := t] u \equiv \text{clock-set } (\text{SOME } r. \text{set } r = X) t u$

```

term region-set'
abbreviation region-set-set :: 'c set  $\Rightarrow$  't::time  $\Rightarrow$  ('c,'t) zone  $\Rightarrow$  ('c,'t) zone
( $\langle \cdot := \cdot \rangle$ ) [65,65,65] 65
where
 $[X := t]R \equiv \text{region-set}' R (\text{SOME } r. \text{ set } r = X) t$ 

```

```

no-notation zone-set ( $\langle \cdot \rightarrow \cdot \rangle$  [71] 71)

abbreviation zone-set-set :: ('c, 't::time) zone  $\Rightarrow$  'c set  $\Rightarrow$  ('c, 't) zone
( $\langle \cdot \rightarrow \cdot \rangle$  [71] 71)
where
 $Z_X \rightarrow \rho \equiv \text{zone-set } Z (\text{SOME } r. \text{ set } r = X)$ 

```

```
abbreviation (input) ccval ( $\langle \{\cdot\} \rangle$  [100]) where ccval cc  $\equiv \{v. v \vdash cc\}$ 
```

```

locale Probabilistic-Timed-Automaton =
fixes A :: ('c, 't :: time, 's) pta
assumes admissible-targets:
 $(l, g, \mu) \in \text{trans-of } A \implies (X, l') \in \mu \implies \{g\}_{X \rightarrow \rho} \subseteq \{\text{inv-of } A \ l'\}$ 
 $(l, g, \mu) \in \text{trans-of } A \implies (X, l') \in \mu \implies X \subseteq \text{clocks } A$ 
— Not necessarily what we want to have

```

```
begin
```

3.3 Syntactic Definition

```
definition L = locations A
```

```
definition X = clocks A
```

```
definition S  $\equiv \{(l, u) . l \in L \wedge (\forall x \in X. u x \geq 0) \wedge u \vdash \text{inv-of } A \ l\}$ 
```

inductive-set

```
K :: ('s * ('c, 't) cval)  $\Rightarrow$  ('s * ('c, 't) cval) pmf set for st :: ('s * ('c, 't) cval)
```

where

— Passage of time *delay*:

$st \in S \implies st = (l, u) \implies t \geq 0 \implies u \oplus t \vdash \text{inv-of } A \ l \implies \text{return-pmf } (l, u \oplus t) \in K \ st$ |

— Discrete transitions *action*:

$st \in S \implies st = (l, u) \implies (l, g, \mu) \in \text{trans-of } A \implies u \vdash g$

$\implies \text{map-pmf } (\lambda(X, l). (l, ([X := 0] u))) \mu \in K \ st$ |

— Self loops – Note that this does not assume $st \in S$ loop:

$\text{return-pmf } st \in K \ st$

```
declare K.intros[intro]
```

```
sublocale MDP: Markov-Decision-Process K ⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

4 Constructing the Corresponding Finite MDP on Regions

```

locale Probabilistic-Timed-Automaton-Regions =
Probabilistic-Timed-Automaton A + Regions-global X
for A :: ('c, t, 's) pta +
— The following are necessary to obtain a finite MDP
assumes finite: finite X finite L finite (trans-of A)
assumes not-trivial:  $\exists l \in L. \exists u \in V. u \vdash \text{inv-of } A \ l$ 
assumes valid: valid-abstraction A X k
begin

```

lemmas $\text{finite-}\mathcal{R} = \text{finite-}\mathcal{R}[\text{OF finite}(1), \text{ of } k, \text{ folded } \mathcal{R}\text{-def}]$

4.1 Syntactic Definition

definition $\mathcal{S} \equiv \{(l, R) . l \in L \wedge R \in \mathcal{R} \wedge R \subseteq \{u. u \vdash \text{inv-of } A \ l\}\}$

lemma $S\text{-alt-def: } S = \{(l, u) . l \in L \wedge u \in V \wedge u \vdash \text{inv-of } A \ l\} \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Note how we relax the definition to allow more transitions in the first case. To obtain a more compact MDP the commented out version can be used and proved equivalent.

inductive-set

$\mathcal{K} :: ('s * ('c, t) \text{ eval set}) \Rightarrow ('s * ('c, t) \text{ eval set}) \text{ pmf set for } st :: ('s * ('c, t) \text{ eval set})$
where

— Passage of time *delay*:

$st \in \mathcal{S} \Rightarrow st = (l, R) \Rightarrow R' \in \text{Succ } \mathcal{R} \ R \Rightarrow R' \subseteq \{\text{inv-of } A \ l\} \Rightarrow \text{return-pmf } (l, R') \in \mathcal{K} \ st$ |

— Discrete transitions *action*:

$st \in \mathcal{S} \Rightarrow st = (l, R) \Rightarrow (l, g, \mu) \in \text{trans-of } A \Rightarrow R \subseteq \{g\}$

$\Rightarrow \text{map-pmf } (\lambda (X, l). (l, \text{region-set}' R (\text{SOME } r. \text{set } r = X) 0)) \mu \in \mathcal{K} \ st$ |

— Self loops – Note that this does not assume $st \in \mathcal{S}$ loop:

$\text{return-pmf } st \in \mathcal{K} \ st$

lemmas $[intro] = \mathcal{K}.intros$

4.2 Many Closure Properties

lemma transition-def:

$(A \vdash l \xrightarrow{g, \mu, X} l') = ((l, g, \mu) \in \text{trans-of } A \wedge (X, l') \in \mu)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{transitionI}[intro]:$

$A \vdash l \xrightarrow{g, \mu, X} l' \text{ if } (l, g, \mu) \in \text{trans-of } A \ (X, l') \in \mu$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{transitionD}[dest]:$

$(l, g, \mu) \in \text{trans-of } A \ (X, l') \in \mu \text{ if } A \vdash l \xrightarrow{g, \mu, X} l'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma bex-Edges:

$(\exists x \in \text{Edges } A. P x) = (\exists l g \mu X l'. A \vdash l \xrightarrow{g, \mu, X} l' \wedge P(l, g, \mu, X, l'))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $L\text{-trans}[intro]:$

assumes $(l, g, \mu) \in \text{trans-of } A \ (X, l') \in \mu$
shows $l \in L \ l' \in L$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma transition-X:

$X \subseteq \mathcal{X} \text{ if } A \vdash l \xrightarrow{g, \mu, X} l'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{admissible-targets-alt:}$

$A \vdash l \xrightarrow{g, \mu, X} l' \Rightarrow \{g\}_X \rightarrow_0 \subseteq \{\text{inv-of } A \ l'\}$
 $A \vdash l \xrightarrow{g, \mu, X} l' \Rightarrow X \subseteq \text{clocks } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $V\text{-reset-closed}[intro]:$

assumes $u \in V$

shows $[r \rightarrow (d::nat)]u \in V$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $V\text{-reset-closed}'[\text{intro}] = V\text{-reset-closed}[\text{of } - \dashv 0, \text{ simplified}]$

lemma $\text{regions-part-ex}[\text{intro}]:$

assumes $u \in V$

shows $u \in [u]_{\mathcal{R}} [u]_{\mathcal{R}} \in \mathcal{R}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{rep-}\mathcal{R}\text{-ex}[\text{intro}]:$

assumes $R \in \mathcal{R}$

shows $(\text{SOME } u. u \in R) \in R$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $V\text{-nn-closed}[\text{intro}]:$

$u \in V \implies t \geq 0 \implies u \oplus t \in V$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $K\text{-S-closed}[\text{intro}]:$

assumes $\mu \in K s s' \in \mu s \in S$

shows $s' \in S$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $S\text{-V}[\text{intro}]:$

$(l, u) \in S \implies u \in V$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $L\text{-V}[\text{intro}]:$

$(l, u) \in S \implies l \in L$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\mathcal{S}\text{-V}[\text{intro}]:$

$(l, R) \in \mathcal{S} \implies R \in \mathcal{R}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{admissible-targets}':$

assumes $(l, g, \mu) \in \text{trans-of } A (X, l') \in \mu R \subseteq \{g\}$

shows $\text{region-set}' R (\text{SOME } r. \text{ set } r = X) 0 \subseteq \{\text{inv-of } A l'\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

4.3 The Region Graph is a Finite MDP

lemma $\mathcal{S}\text{-finite}:$

finite \mathcal{S}

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\mathcal{K}\text{-finite}:$

finite $(\mathcal{K} \text{ st})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\mathcal{R}\text{-not-empty}:$

$\mathcal{R} \neq \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\mathcal{S}\text{-not-empty}:$

$\mathcal{S} \neq \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\mathcal{K}\text{-}\mathcal{S}\text{-closed}:$

```

assumes  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ 
shows  $(\bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{K}} s. \text{set-pmf } D) \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ 
{proof}

```

```

sublocale  $R\text{-}G$ : Finite-Markov-Decision-Process  $\mathcal{K}$   $\mathcal{S}$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemmas  $\mathcal{K}\text{-}\mathcal{S}\text{-closed}'[\text{intro}] = R\text{-}G.\text{set-pmf-closed}$ 

```

5 Relating the MDPs

5.1 Translating From \mathbf{K} to \mathcal{K}

```

lemma  $c\text{compatible-inv}:$ 
  shows  $c\text{compatible } \mathcal{R} (\text{inv-of } A l)$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma  $c\text{compatible-guard}:$ 
  assumes  $(l, g, \mu) \in \text{trans-of } A$ 
  shows  $c\text{compatible } \mathcal{R} g$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemmas  $c\text{compatible-def} = c\text{compatible-def}[\text{unfolded ccval-def}]$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{region-set}'\text{-eq}:$ 
  fixes  $X :: 'c \text{ set}$ 
  assumes  $R \in \mathcal{R} u \in R$ 
    and  $A \vdash l \longrightarrow^{g, \mu, X} l'$ 
  shows
     $[[X := 0]u]_{\mathcal{R}} = \text{region-set}' R (\text{SOME } r. \text{ set } r = X) \ 0 [[X := 0]u]_{\mathcal{R}} \in \mathcal{R} \ [X := 0]u \in [[X := 0]u]_{\mathcal{R}}$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma  $\text{regions-part-ex-reset}:$ 
  assumes  $u \in V$ 
  shows  $[r \rightarrow (d :: \text{nat})]u \in [[r \rightarrow d]u]_{\mathcal{R}} \ [[r \rightarrow d]u]_{\mathcal{R}} \in \mathcal{R}$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma  $\text{reset-sets-all-equiv}:$ 
  assumes  $u \in V \ u' \in [[r \rightarrow (d :: \text{nat})]u]_{\mathcal{R}} \ x \in \text{set } r \ \text{set } r \subseteq \mathcal{X} \ d \leq k \ x$ 
  shows  $u' x = d$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma  $\text{reset-eq}:$ 
  assumes  $u \in V \ ([[r \rightarrow 0]u]_{\mathcal{R}}) = ([[r' \rightarrow 0]u]_{\mathcal{R}}) \ \text{set } r \subseteq \mathcal{X} \ \text{set } r' \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ 
  shows  $[r \rightarrow 0]u = [r' \rightarrow 0]u$  {proof}

```

```

lemma  $\text{admissible-targets-clocks}:$ 
  assumes  $(l, g, \mu) \in \text{trans-of } A \ (X, l') \in \mu$ 
  shows  $X \subseteq \mathcal{X} \ \text{set } (\text{SOME } r. \text{ set } r = X) \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma  $\text{rel-pmf} (\lambda a. f a = b) \mu \ (\text{map-pmf } f \ \mu)$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma  $K\text{-pmf-rel}:$ 
  defines  $f \equiv \lambda (l, u). (l, [u]_{\mathcal{R}})$ 
  shows  $\text{rel-pmf} (\lambda (l, u). st. (l, [u]_{\mathcal{R}}) = st) \mu \ (\text{map-pmf } f \ \mu)$  {proof}

```

```

lemma  $\mathcal{K}\text{-pmf-rel}:$ 

```

```

assumes A:  $\mu \in \mathcal{K}(l, R)$ 
defines f ≡  $\lambda(l, u). (l, \text{SOME } u. u \in R)$ 
shows rel-pmf ( $\lambda(l, u) st. (l, \text{SOME } u. u \in R) = st$ )  $\mu$  (map-pmf f  $\mu$ ) ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma K-elem-abs-inj:
assumes A:  $\mu \in K(l, u)$ 
defines f ≡  $\lambda(l, u). (l, [u]_{\mathcal{R}})$ 
shows inj-on f  $\mu$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma K-elem-repr-inj:
notes alpha-interp.valid-regions-distinct-spec[intro]
assumes A:  $\mu \in \mathcal{K}(l, R)$ 
defines f ≡  $\lambda(l, R). (l, \text{SOME } u. u \in R)$ 
shows inj-on f  $\mu$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma K-elem-pmf-map-abs:
assumes A:  $\mu \in K(l, u) (l', u') \in \mu$ 
defines f ≡  $\lambda(l, u). (l, [u]_{\mathcal{R}})$ 
shows pmf (map-pmf f  $\mu$ ) (f (l', u')) = pmf  $\mu$  (l', u')
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma K-elem-pmf-map-repr:
assumes A:  $\mu \in \mathcal{K}(l, R) (l', R') \in \mu$ 
defines f ≡  $\lambda(l, R). (l, \text{SOME } u. u \in R)$ 
shows pmf (map-pmf f  $\mu$ ) (f (l', R')) = pmf  $\mu$  (l', R')
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition transp :: ('s * ('c, t) eval ⇒ bool) ⇒ 's * ('c, t) eval set ⇒ bool where
  transp φ ≡  $\lambda(l, R). \forall u \in R. \varphi(l, u)$ 

```

5.2 Translating Configurations

5.2.1 States

```

definition
  abss :: 's * ('c, t) eval ⇒ 's * ('c, t) eval set
where
  abss ≡  $\lambda(l, u). \text{if } u \in V \text{ then } (l, [u]_{\mathcal{R}}) \text{ else } (l, -V)$ 

```

```

definition
  reps :: 's * ('c, t) eval set ⇒ 's * ('c, t) eval
where
  reps ≡  $\lambda(l, R). \text{if } R \in \mathcal{R} \text{ then } (l, \text{SOME } u. u \in R) \text{ else } (l, \lambda-. -1)$ 

```

```

lemma S-reps-S[intro]:
assumes s ∈ S
shows reps s ∈ S
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma S-abss-S[intro]:
assumes s ∈ S
shows abss s ∈ S
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma S-abss-reps[simp]:
  s ∈ S ⇒ abss (reps s) = s
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *map-pmf-abs-reps*:
assumes $s \in \mathcal{S}$ $\mu \in \mathcal{K}$ s
shows $\text{map-pmf abss} (\text{map-pmf reps } \mu) = \mu$
(proof)

lemma *abss-reps-id*:
notes *R-G.cfg-onD-state*[simp del]
assumes $s' \in \mathcal{S}$ $s \in \text{set-pmf} (\text{action cfg})$ $\text{cfg} \in \text{R-G.cfg-on } s'$
shows $\text{abss} (\text{reps } s) = s$
(proof)

lemma *abss-S[intro]*:
assumes $(l, u) \in S$
shows $\text{abss} (l, u) = (l, [u]_{\mathcal{R}})$
(proof)

lemma *reps-S[intro]*:
assumes $(l, R) \in \mathcal{S}$
shows $\text{reps} (l, R) = (l, \text{SOME } u. u \in R)$
(proof)

lemma *fst-abss*:
 $\text{fst} (\text{abss } st) = \text{fst } st$ **for** st
(proof)

lemma *K-elem-abss-inj*:
assumes $A: \mu \in K$ $(l, u) (l, u) \in S$
shows *inj-on* $\text{abss } \mu$
(proof)

lemma *K-elem-reps-inj*:
assumes $A: \mu \in \mathcal{K}$ $(l, R) (l, R) \in \mathcal{S}$
shows *inj-on* $\text{reps } \mu$
(proof)

lemma *P-elem-pmf-map-abss*:
assumes $A: \mu \in K$ $(l, u) (l, u) \in S$ $s' \in \mu$
shows $\text{pmf} (\text{map-pmf abss } \mu) (\text{abss } s') = \text{pmf } \mu s'$
(proof)

lemma *K-elem-pmf-map-reps*:
assumes $A: \mu \in \mathcal{K}$ $(l, R) (l, R) \in \mathcal{S}$ $(l', R') \in \mu$
shows $\text{pmf} (\text{map-pmf reps } \mu) (\text{reps } (l', R')) = \text{pmf } \mu (l', R')$
(proof)

We need that \mathcal{X} is non-trivial here

lemma *not-S-reps*:
 $(l, R) \notin \mathcal{S} \implies \text{reps} (l, R) \notin S$
(proof)

lemma *neq-V-not-region*:
 $-V \notin \mathcal{R}$
(proof)

lemma *S-abss-S*:
 $\text{abss } s \in \mathcal{S} \implies s \in S$
(proof)

lemma *S-pred-stream-abss-S*:

pred-stream ($\lambda s. s \in S$) $xs \longleftrightarrow$ *pred-stream* ($\lambda s. s \in \mathcal{S}$) ($smap abss xs$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale *MDP*: *Markov-Decision-Process-Invariant K S* $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation (*input*) *valid-cfg* $\equiv MDP.valid-cfg$

lemma *K-closed*:

$s \in S \implies (\bigcup_{D \in K} s. set\text{-}pmf D) \subseteq S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.2.2 Intermezzo

abbreviation *timed-bisim* (*infixr* \sim 60) **where**

$s \sim s' \equiv abss s = abss s'$

lemma *bisim-loc-id[intro]*:

$(l, u) \sim (l', u') \implies l = l'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bisim-val-id[intro]*:

$[u]_{\mathcal{R}} = [u']_{\mathcal{R}}$ **if** $u \in V$ $(l, u) \sim (l', u')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bisim-symmetric*:

$(l, u) \sim (l', u') = (l', u') \sim (l, u)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bisim-val-id2[intro]*:

$u' \in V \implies (l, u) \sim (l', u') \implies [u]_{\mathcal{R}} = [u']_{\mathcal{R}}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *K-bisim-unique*:

assumes $s \in S \mu \in K s x \in \mu x' \in \mu x \sim x'$
shows $x = x'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.2.3 Predicates

definition *absp* **where**

$absp \varphi \equiv \varphi o reps$

definition *repp* **where**

$repp \varphi \equiv \varphi o absp$

5.2.4 Distributions

definition

$abst :: ('s * ('c, t) eval) pmf \Rightarrow ('s * ('c, t) eval set) pmf$

where

$abst = map\text{-}pmf abss$

lemma *abss-SD*:

assumes $abss s \in \mathcal{S}$
obtains $l u$ **where** $s = (l, u) u \in [u]_{\mathcal{R}} [u]_{\mathcal{R}} \in \mathcal{R}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *abss-SD'*:

assumes $abss s \in \mathcal{S}$ $abss s = (l, R)$
obtains u **where** $s = (l, u) u \in [u]_{\mathcal{R}} [u]_{\mathcal{R}} \in \mathcal{R} R = [u]_{\mathcal{R}}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition $infR R \equiv \lambda c. of\text{-}int \lfloor (SOME u. u \in R) c \rfloor$

term let $a = 3$ in b

definition $delayedR R u \equiv$

$$u \oplus ($$

let $I = (SOME I. \exists r. valid\text{-}region \mathcal{X} k I r \wedge R = region \mathcal{X} I r);$

$m = 1 - Max (\{frac(u c) \mid c. c \in \mathcal{X} \wedge isIntv(I c)\} \cup \{0\})$

in $SOME t. u \oplus t \in R \wedge t \geq m / 2$

$$)$$

lemma $delayedR\text{-correct-aux-aux}:$

fixes $c :: nat$
fixes $a b :: real$
assumes $c < a$ $a < Suc c$ $b \geq 0$ $a + b < Suc c$
shows $frac(a + b) = frac a + b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $delayedR\text{-correct-aux}:$

fixes $I r$
defines $R \equiv region \mathcal{X} I r$
assumes $u \in R$ $valid\text{-}region \mathcal{X} k I r \forall c \in \mathcal{X}. \neg isConst(I c)$
 $\forall c \in \mathcal{X}. isIntv(I c) \longrightarrow (u \oplus t) c < intv\text{-}const(I c) + 1$
 $t \geq 0$
shows $u \oplus t \in R$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $delayedR\text{-correct-aux}':$

fixes $I r$
defines $R \equiv region \mathcal{X} I r$
assumes $u \oplus t1 \in R$ $valid\text{-}region \mathcal{X} k I r \forall c \in \mathcal{X}. \neg isConst(I c)$
 $\forall c \in \mathcal{X}. isIntv(I c) \longrightarrow (u \oplus t2) c < intv\text{-}const(I c) + 1$
 $t1 \leq t2$
shows $u \oplus t2 \in R$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $valid\text{-regions-intv-distinct}:$

$valid\text{-region } X k I r \implies valid\text{-region } X k I' r' \implies u \in region X I r \implies u \in region X I' r'$
 $\implies x \in X \implies I x = I' x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $delayedR\text{-correct}:$

fixes $I r$
defines $R' \equiv region \mathcal{X} I r$
assumes $u \in R$ $R \in \mathcal{R}$ $valid\text{-region } \mathcal{X} k I r \forall c \in \mathcal{X}. \neg isConst(I c)$ $R' \in Succ \mathcal{R} R$
shows
 $delayedR R' u \in R'$

$\exists t \geq 0. delayedR R' u = u \oplus t$
 $\wedge t \geq (1 - Max (\{frac (u c) | c. c \in \mathcal{X} \wedge isIntv (I c)\} \cup \{0\})) / 2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$rept :: 's * ('c, t) eval \Rightarrow ('s * ('c, t) eval set) pmf \Rightarrow ('s * ('c, t) eval) pmf$
where

$rept s \mu\text{-abs} \equiv let (l, u) = s in$
 $if (\exists R'. (l, u) \in S \wedge \mu\text{-abs} = return\text{-pmf} (l, R') \wedge$
 $(([u]_{\mathcal{R}} = R' \wedge (\forall c \in \mathcal{X}. u c > k c)))$
 $then return\text{-pmf} (l, u \oplus 0.5)$
 $else if$
 $(\exists R'. (l, u) \in S \wedge \mu\text{-abs} = return\text{-pmf} (l, R') \wedge R' \in Succ \mathcal{R} ([u]_{\mathcal{R}}) \wedge [u]_{\mathcal{R}} \neq R'$
 $\wedge (\forall u \in R'. \forall c \in \mathcal{X}. \nexists d. d \leq k c \wedge u c = real d))$
 $then return\text{-pmf} (l, delayedR (SOME R'. \mu\text{-abs} = return\text{-pmf} (l, R')) u)$
 $else SOME \mu. \mu \in K s \wedge abst \mu = \mu\text{-abs}$

lemma $\mathcal{S}\text{-L}$:

$l \in L$ **if** $(l, R) \in \mathcal{S}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\mathcal{S}\text{-inv}$:

$(l, R) \in \mathcal{S} \implies R \subseteq \{\text{inv-of } A \ l\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma upper-right-closed:

assumes $\forall c \in \mathcal{X}. real (k c) < u c \ u \in R \ R \in \mathcal{R} \ t \geq 0$
shows $u \oplus t \in R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\mathcal{S}\text{-I[intro]}$:

$(l, u) \in S$ **if** $l \in L \ u \in V \ u \vdash \text{inv-of } A \ l$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rept\text{-ex}$:

assumes $\mu \in \mathcal{K} (abss s)$
shows $rept s \mu \in K s \wedge abst (rept s \mu) = \mu$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $rept\text{-K[intro]} = rept\text{-ex[THEN conjunct1]}$
lemmas $abst\text{-rept-id[simp]} = rept\text{-ex[THEN conjunct2]}$

lemma $abst\text{-rept2}$:

assumes $\mu \in \mathcal{K} s \ s \in \mathcal{S}$
shows $abst (rept (reps s) \mu) = \mu$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rept\text{-K2}$:

assumes $\mu \in \mathcal{K} s \ s \in \mathcal{S}$
shows $rept (reps s) \mu \in K (reps s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $theI'$:

assumes $P a$
and $\bigwedge x. P x \implies x = a$
shows $P (\text{THE } x. P x) \wedge (\forall y. P y \longrightarrow y = (\text{THE } x. P x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cont-cfg-defined:

fixes $cfg s$

assumes $cfg \in valid\text{-}cfg$ $s \in abst(action cfg)$
defines $x \equiv THE x. abss x = s \wedge x \in action cfg$
shows $(abss x = s \wedge x \in action cfg) \wedge (\forall y. abss y = s \wedge y \in action cfg \longrightarrow y = x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$absc' :: ('s * ('c, t) eval) cfg \Rightarrow ('s * ('c, t) eval set) cfg$

where

$absc' cfg = cfg\text{-corec}$
 $(abss(state cfg))$
 $(abst o action)$
 $(\lambda cfg s. cont cfg (THE x. abss x = s \wedge x \in action cfg)) cfg$

5.2.5 Configuration

definition

$absc :: ('s * ('c, t) eval) cfg \Rightarrow ('s * ('c, t) eval set) cfg$

where

$absc cfg = cfg\text{-corec}$
 $(abss(state cfg))$
 $(abst o action)$
 $(\lambda cfg s. cont cfg (THE x. abss x = s \wedge x \in action cfg)) cfg$

definition

$repes :: 's * ('c, t) eval \Rightarrow ('s * ('c, t) eval set) cfg \Rightarrow ('s * ('c, t) eval) cfg$

where

$repes s cfg = cfg\text{-corec}$
 s
 $(\lambda (s, cfg). rept s (action cfg))$
 $(\lambda (s, cfg) s'. (s', cont cfg (abss s'))) (s, cfg)$

definition

$repc cfg = repes (reps(state cfg)) cfg$

lemma $\mathcal{S}\text{-state-}absc\text{-}repc[simp]$:

$state cfg \in \mathcal{S} \implies state(absc(repc cfg)) = state cfg$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $action\text{-}repc$:

$action(repc cfg) = rept(reps(state cfg))(action cfg)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $action\text{-}absc$:

$action(absc cfg) = abst(action cfg)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $action\text{-}absc'$:

$action(absc cfg) = map\text{-}pmf abss(action cfg)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

notes $R\text{-}G.cfg\text{-}onD\text{-}state[simp del]$
assumes $state cfg \in \mathcal{S}$ $s' \in set\text{-}pmf(action(repc cfg)) cfg \in R\text{-}G.cfg\text{-}on(state cfg)$
shows $cont(repc cfg) s' = repes s' (cont cfg (abss s'))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cont\text{-}repes1$:

notes $R\text{-}G.cfg\text{-}onD\text{-}state[simp del]$
assumes $abss s \in \mathcal{S}$ $s' \in set\text{-}pmf(action(repces s cfg)) cfg \in R\text{-}G.cfg\text{-}on(abss s)$
shows $cont(repces s cfg) s' = repes s' (cont cfg (abss s'))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cont-absc-1*:

notes *MDP.cfg-onD-state*[simp del]
assumes $cfg \in valid\text{-}cfg$ $s' \in set\text{-}pmf$ (action cfg)
shows $cont(absc\ cfg)(abss\ s') = absc(cont\ cfg\ s')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *state-repc*:

$state(repc\ cfg) = reps(state\ cfg)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *abss-reps-id'*:

notes *R-G.cfg-onD-state*[simp del]
assumes $cfg \in R\text{-}G.valid\text{-}cfg$ $s \in set\text{-}pmf$ (action cfg)
shows $abss(reps\ s) = s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *valid-cfg-coinduct*[coinduct set: *valid-cfg*]:

assumes $P\ cfg$
assumes $\bigwedge cfg. P\ cfg \implies state\ cfg \in S$
assumes $\bigwedge cfg. P\ cfg \implies action\ cfg \in K(state\ cfg)$
assumes $\bigwedge cfg t. P\ cfg \implies t \in action\ cfg \implies P(cont\ cfg\ t)$
shows $cfg \in valid\text{-}cfg$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *state-repcD*[simp]:

assumes $cfg \in R\text{-}G.cfg\text{-}on\ s$
shows $state(repc\ cfg) = reps\ s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ccompatible-subs*[intro]:

assumes *ccompatible* \mathcal{R} g $R \in \mathcal{R}$ $u \in R$ $u \vdash g$
shows $R \subseteq \{u. u \vdash g\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *action-abscD*[dest]:

$cfg \in MDP.cfg\text{-}on\ s \implies action(absc\ cfg) \in \mathcal{K}(abss\ s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *repes-valid*[intro]:

assumes $cfg \in R\text{-}G.valid\text{-}cfg$ $abss\ s = state\ cfg$
shows $repes\ s\ cfg \in valid\text{-}cfg$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *repc-valid*[intro]:

assumes $cfg \in R\text{-}G.valid\text{-}cfg$
shows $repc\ cfg \in valid\text{-}cfg$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *action-abst-repcs*:

assumes $cfg \in R\text{-}G.valid\text{-}cfg$ $abss\ s = state\ cfg$
shows $abst(action(repес\ s\ cfg)) = action\ cfg$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *action-abst-repc*:

assumes $cfg \in R\text{-}G.valid\text{-}cfg$
shows $abst(action(repc\ cfg)) = action\ cfg$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *state-absc*:
state (*absc* *cfg*) = *abss* (*state* *cfg*)
(proof)

lemma *state-repcs[simp]*:
state (*repcs* *s* *cfg*) = *s*
(proof)

lemma *repcs-bisim*:
notes *R-G.cfg-onD-state[simp del]*
assumes *cfg* ∈ *R-G.valid-cfg* $x \in S$ $x \sim x'$ *abss* *x* = *state* *cfg*
shows *absc* (*repcs* *x* *cfg*) = *absc* (*repcs* *x'* *cfg*)
(proof)

named-theorems *R-G-I*

lemmas *R-G.valid-cfg-state-in-S[R-G-I]* *R-G.valid-cfgD[R-G-I]* *R-G.valid-cfg-action*

lemma *absc-repcs-id*:
notes *R-G.cfg-onD-state[simp del]*
assumes *cfg* ∈ *R-G.valid-cfg* *abss* *s* = *state* *cfg*
shows *absc* (*repcs* *s* *cfg*) = *cfg* *(proof)*

lemma *absc-repc-id*:
notes *R-G.cfg-onD-state[simp del]*
assumes *cfg* ∈ *R-G.valid-cfg*
shows *absc* (*repc* *cfg*) = *cfg* *(proof)*

lemma *K-cfg-map-absc*:
 $cfg \in valid-cfg \implies K-cfg (absc cfg) = map-pmf absc (K-cfg cfg)$
(proof)

lemma *smap-comp*:
 $(smap f \circ smap g) = smap (f \circ g)$
(proof)

lemma *state-abscD[simp]*:
assumes *cfg* ∈ *MDP.cfg-on s*
shows *state* (*absc* *cfg*) = *abss* *s*
(proof)

lemma *R-G-valid-cfg-coinduct[coinduct set: valid-cfg]*:
assumes *P cfg*
assumes $\bigwedge cfg. P cfg \implies state cfg \in S$
assumes $\bigwedge cfg. P cfg \implies action cfg \in \mathcal{K} (state cfg)$
assumes $\bigwedge cfg t. P cfg \implies t \in action cfg \implies P (cont cfg t)$
shows *cfg* ∈ *R-G.valid-cfg*
(proof)

lemma *absc-valid[intro]*:
assumes *cfg* ∈ *valid-cfg*
shows *absc* *cfg* ∈ *R-G.valid-cfg*
(proof)

lemma *K-cfg-set-absc*:

assumes $cfg \in valid\text{-}cfg$ $cfg' \in K\text{-}cfg$ cfg
shows $absc\ cfg' \in K\text{-}cfg$ ($absc\ cfg$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $abst\text{-action}\text{-}repes$:
assumes $cfg \in R\text{-}G.valid\text{-}cfg$ $abss\ s = state\ cfg$
shows $abst\ (action\ (repes\ s\ cfg)) = action\ cfg$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $abst\text{-action}\text{-}repc$:
assumes $cfg \in R\text{-}G.valid\text{-}cfg$
shows $abst\ (action\ (repc\ cfg)) = action\ cfg$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $K\text{-}elem\text{-}abss\text{-}inj'$:
assumes $\mu \in K\ s$
and $s \in S$
shows $inj\text{-}on\ abss\ (set\text{-}pmf\ \mu)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $K\text{-}cfg\text{-}rept\text{-}aux$:
assumes $cfg \in R\text{-}G.valid\text{-}cfg$ $abss\ s = state\ cfg$ $x \in rept\ s$ ($action\ cfg$)
defines $t \equiv \lambda\ cfg'. THE\ s'. s' \in rept\ s$ ($action\ cfg$) $\wedge s' \sim x$
shows $t\ cfg' = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $K\text{-}cfg\text{-}rept\text{-}action$:
assumes $cfg \in R\text{-}G.valid\text{-}cfg$ $abss\ s = state\ cfg$ $cfg' \in set\text{-}pmf\ (K\text{-}cfg\ cfg)$
shows $abss\ (THE\ s'. s' \in rept\ s$ ($action\ cfg$) $\wedge abss\ s' = state\ cfg')$ $= state\ cfg'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $K\text{-}cfg\text{-}map\text{-}repes$:
assumes $cfg \in R\text{-}G.valid\text{-}cfg$ $abss\ s = state\ cfg$
defines $repc' \equiv (\lambda\ cfg'. repes\ (THE\ s'. s' \in rept\ s$ ($action\ cfg$) $\wedge abss\ s' = state\ cfg')$ $cfg')$
shows $K\text{-}cfg\ (repes\ s\ cfg) = map\text{-}pmf\ repc'\ (K\text{-}cfg\ cfg)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $K\text{-}cfg\text{-}map\text{-}repc$:
assumes $cfg \in R\text{-}G.valid\text{-}cfg$
defines
 $repc'\ cfg' \equiv repes\ (THE\ s.\ s \in rept\ (reps\ (state\ cfg))$ ($action\ cfg$) $\wedge abss\ s = state\ cfg')$ cfg'
shows
 $K\text{-}cfg\ (repc\ cfg) = map\text{-}pmf\ repc'\ (K\text{-}cfg\ cfg)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $R\text{-}G\text{-}K\text{-}cfg\text{-}valid\text{-}cfgD$:
assumes $cfg \in R\text{-}G.valid\text{-}cfg$ $cfg' \in K\text{-}cfg$ cfg
shows $cfg' = cont\ cfg\ (state\ cfg')$ $state\ cfg' \in action\ cfg$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $K\text{-}cfg\text{-}valid\text{-}cfgD$:
assumes $cfg \in valid\text{-}cfg$ $cfg' \in K\text{-}cfg$ cfg
shows $cfg' = cont\ cfg\ (state\ cfg')$ $state\ cfg' \in action\ cfg$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $absc\text{-}bisim\text{-}abss$:
assumes $absc\ x = absc\ x'$
shows $state\ x \sim state\ x'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *K-cfg-bisim-unique*:

assumes $cfg \in valid\text{-}cfg$ **and** $x \in K\text{-}cfg$ cfg $x' \in K\text{-}cfg$ cfg **and** state $x \sim$ state x'

shows $x = x'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *absc-distr-self*:

$MDP.MC.T(absc\ cfg) = distr(MDP.MC.T\ cfg)$ $MDP.MC.S(smap\ absc)$ **if** $cfg \in valid\text{-}cfg$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *R-G-trace-space-distr-eq*:

assumes $cfg \in R\text{-}G.valid\text{-}cfg$ $abss\ s = state\ cfg$

shows $MDP.MC.T\ cfg = distr(MDP.MC.T(rep_{cs}\ s\ cfg))$ $MDP.MC.S(smap\ absc)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rep_{pc}-inj-on-K-cfg*:

assumes $cfg \in R\text{-}G.cfg\text{-}on\ s$ $s \in \mathcal{S}$

shows *inj-on* $rep_{pc}(set\text{-}pmf(K\text{-}cfg\ cfg))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *smap-absc-iff*:

assumes $\bigwedge x\ y. x \in X \implies smap\ abss\ x = smap\ abss\ y \implies y \in X$

shows $(smap\ state\ xs \in X) = (smap(\lambda z. abss(state\ z))\ xs \in smap\ abss\ X)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *valid-abss-reps[simp]*:

assumes $cfg \in R\text{-}G.valid\text{-}cfg$

shows $abss(reps(state\ cfg)) = state\ cfg$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-space-UNIV*: $x \in space(count\text{-}space\ UNIV)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *S-reps-S-aux*:

$reps(l, R) \in S \implies (l, R) \in \mathcal{S}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *S-reps-S[intro]*:

$reps\ s \in S \implies s \in \mathcal{S}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *absc-valid-cfg-eq*:

$absc\ ' valid\text{-}cfg = R\text{-}G.valid\text{-}cfg$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *action-repc*:

$action(rep_{cs}(l, u)\ cfg) = rept(l, u)(action\ cfg)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

5.3 Equalities Between Measures of Trace Spaces

lemma *path-measure-eq-absc1-new*:

fixes $cfg\ s$

defines $cfg' \equiv absc\ cfg$

assumes *valid*: $cfg \in valid\text{-}cfg$

assumes $X[\text{measurable}]$: $X \in R\text{-}G.St$ **and** $Y[\text{measurable}]$: $Y \in MDP.St$

assumes P : $\text{AE } x \text{ in } (R\text{-}G.T\ cfg')$. $P\ x$ **and** Q : $\text{AE } x \text{ in } (MDP.T\ cfg)$. $Q\ x$

assumes $P'[\text{measurable}]$: $\text{Measurable}.\text{pred } R\text{-}G.St\ P$

and $Q'[\text{measurable}]$: $\text{Measurable}.\text{pred } MDP.St\ Q$

assumes $X\text{-}Y\text{-closed}$: $\bigwedge x\ y. P\ x \implies smap\ abss\ y = x \implies x \in X \implies y \in Y \wedge Q\ y$

assumes Y - X -closed: $\bigwedge x y. Q y \implies \text{smap abss } y = x \implies y \in Y \implies x \in X \wedge P x$
shows
 $\text{emeasure} (R\text{-}G.T \text{ cfg}') X = \text{emeasure} (\text{MDP}.T \text{ cfg}) Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *path-measure-eq-repcs1-new*:
fixes $\text{cfg } s$
defines $\text{cfg}' \equiv \text{repcs } s \text{ cfg}$
assumes $s: \text{abss } s = \text{state } \text{cfg}$
assumes $\text{valid}: \text{cfg} \in R\text{-}G.\text{valid-cfg}$
assumes $X[\text{measurable}]: X \in R\text{-}G.\text{St}$ **and** $Y[\text{measurable}]: Y \in \text{MDP}.\text{St}$
assumes $P: \text{AE } x \text{ in } (R\text{-}G.T \text{ cfg}). P x$ **and** $Q: \text{AE } x \text{ in } (\text{MDP}.T \text{ cfg}'). Q x$
assumes $P'[\text{measurable}]: \text{Measurable}.\text{pred } R\text{-}G.\text{St } P$
and $Q'[\text{measurable}]: \text{Measurable}.\text{pred } \text{MDP}.\text{St } Q$
assumes X - Y -closed: $\bigwedge x y. P x \implies \text{smap abss } y = x \implies x \in X \implies y \in Y \wedge Q y$
assumes Y - X -closed: $\bigwedge x y. Q y \implies \text{smap abss } y = x \implies y \in Y \implies x \in X \wedge P x$
shows
 $\text{emeasure} (R\text{-}G.T \text{ cfg}) X = \text{emeasure} (\text{MDP}.T \text{ cfg}') Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *region-compatible-suntil1*:
assumes $(\text{holds} (\lambda x. \varphi (\text{reps } x)) \text{ suntill holds} (\lambda x. \psi (\text{reps } x))) (\text{smap abss } x)$
and $\text{pred-stream} (\lambda s. \varphi (\text{reps} (\text{abss } s)) \longrightarrow \varphi s) x$
and $\text{pred-stream} (\lambda s. \psi (\text{reps} (\text{abss } s)) \longrightarrow \psi s) x$
shows $(\text{holds } \varphi \text{ suntill holds } \psi) x \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *region-compatible-suntil2*:
assumes $(\text{holds } \varphi \text{ suntill holds } \psi) x$
and $\text{pred-stream} (\lambda s. \varphi s \longrightarrow \varphi (\text{reps} (\text{abss } s))) x$
and $\text{pred-stream} (\lambda s. \psi s \longrightarrow \psi (\text{reps} (\text{abss } s))) x$
shows $(\text{holds} (\lambda x. \varphi (\text{reps } x)) \text{ suntill holds} (\lambda x. \psi (\text{reps } x))) (\text{smap abss } x) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *region-compatible-suntil*:
assumes $\text{pred-stream} (\lambda s. \varphi (\text{reps} (\text{abss } s)) \longleftrightarrow \varphi s) x$
and $\text{pred-stream} (\lambda s. \psi (\text{reps} (\text{abss } s)) \longleftrightarrow \psi s) x$
shows $(\text{holds} (\lambda x. \varphi (\text{reps } x)) \text{ suntill holds} (\lambda x. \psi (\text{reps } x))) (\text{smap abss } x)$
 $\longleftrightarrow (\text{holds } \varphi \text{ suntill holds } \psi) x \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reps-abss-S*:
assumes $\text{reps} (\text{abss } s) \in S$
shows $s \in S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *measurable-sset[measurable (raw)]*:
assumes $f[\text{measurable}]: f \in N \rightarrow_M \text{stream-space } M$ **and** $P[\text{measurable}]: \text{Measurable}.\text{pred } M P$
shows $\text{Measurable}.\text{pred } N (\lambda x. \forall s \in \text{sset} (f x). P s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *path-measure-eq-repcs''-new*:
notes *in-space-UNIV[measurable]*
fixes $\text{cfg } \varphi \psi s$
defines $\text{cfg}' \equiv \text{repcs } s \text{ cfg}$
defines $\varphi' \equiv \text{absp } \varphi$ **and** $\psi' \equiv \text{absp } \psi$
assumes $s: \text{abss } s = \text{state } \text{cfg}$
assumes $\text{valid}: \text{cfg} \in R\text{-}G.\text{valid-cfg}$
assumes $\text{valid}': \text{cfg}' \in \text{valid-cfg}$
assumes $\text{equiv-}\varphi: \bigwedge x. \text{pred-stream} (\lambda s. s \in S) x$
 $\implies \text{pred-stream} (\lambda s. \varphi (\text{reps} (\text{abss } s)) \longleftrightarrow \varphi s) (\text{state } \text{cfg}' \# \# x)$
and $\text{equiv-}\psi: \bigwedge x. \text{pred-stream} (\lambda s. s \in S) x$
 $\implies \text{pred-stream} (\lambda s. \psi (\text{reps} (\text{abss } s)) \longleftrightarrow \psi s) (\text{state } \text{cfg}' \# \# x)$

```

shows
  emeasure (R-G.T cfg) {x ∈ space R-G.St. (holds φ' suntil holds ψ') (state cfg ## x)} =
  emeasure (MDP.T cfg') {x ∈ space MDP.St. (holds φ suntil holds ψ) (state cfg' ## x)}
⟨proof⟩

end

end
theory PTA-Reachability
imports PTA
begin

```

6 Classifying Regions for Divergence

6.1 Pairwise

coinductive pairwise :: $('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ stream} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **for** P **where**
 $P a b \implies \text{pairwise } P (b \## xs) \implies \text{pairwise } P (a \## b \## xs)$

lemma *pairwise-Suc*:
 $\text{pairwise } P xs \implies P (xs !! i) (xs !! (\text{Suc } i))$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *Suc-pairwise*:
 $\forall i. P (xs !! i) (xs !! (\text{Suc } i)) \implies \text{pairwise } P xs$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *pairwise-iff*:
 $\text{pairwise } P xs \longleftrightarrow (\forall i. P (xs !! i) (xs !! (\text{Suc } i)))$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *pairwise-stlD*:
 $\text{pairwise } P xs \implies \text{pairwise } P (\text{stl } xs)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *pairwise-pairD*:
 $\text{pairwise } P xs \implies P (\text{shd } xs) (\text{shd } (\text{stl } xs))$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *pairwise-mp*:
assumes $\text{pairwise } P xs$ **and** $\text{lift}: \bigwedge x y. x \in \text{sset } xs \implies y \in \text{sset } xs \implies P x y \implies Q x y$
shows $\text{pairwise } Q xs$ ⟨proof⟩

lemma *pairwise-sdropD*:
 $\text{pairwise } P (\text{sdrop } i xs) \text{ if } \text{pairwise } P xs$
⟨proof⟩

6.2 Regions

lemma *gt-GreaterD*:
assumes $u \in \text{region } X I r \text{ valid-region } X k I r c \in X u c > k c$
shows $I c = \text{Greater } (k c)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *const-ConstD*:
assumes $u \in \text{region } X I r \text{ valid-region } X k I r c \in X u c = d d \leq k c$
shows $I c = \text{Const } d$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *not-Greater-bounded*:

```

assumes  $I \neq Greater(k x)$   $x \in X$  valid-region  $X k I r u \in region X I r$ 
shows  $u x \leq k x$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Greater-closed:
fixes  $t :: real$ 
assumes  $u \in region X I r$  valid-region  $X k I r c \in X I c = Greater(k c)$   $t > k c$ 
shows  $u(c := t) \in region X I r$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Greater-unbounded-aux:
assumes finite  $X$  valid-region  $X k I r c \in X I c = Greater(k c)$ 
shows  $\exists u \in region X I r. u c > t$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

6.3 Unbounded and Zero Regions

```
definition unbounded  $x R \equiv \forall t. \exists u \in R. u x > t$ 
```

```
definition zero  $x R \equiv \forall u \in R. u x = 0$ 
```

```

lemma Greater-unbounded:
assumes finite  $X$  valid-region  $X k I r c \in X I c = Greater(k c)$ 
shows unbounded  $c$  (region  $X I r$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma unbounded-Greater:
assumes valid-region  $X k I r c \in X$  unbounded  $c$  (region  $X I r$ )
shows  $I c = Greater(k c)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Const-zero:
assumes  $c \in X I c = Const 0$ 
shows zero  $c$  (region  $X I r$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma zero-Const:
assumes finite  $X$  valid-region  $X k I r c \in X$  zero  $c$  (region  $X I r$ )
shows  $I c = Const 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma zero-all:
assumes finite  $X$  valid-region  $X k I r c \in X$   $u \in region X I r u c = 0$ 
shows zero  $c$  (region  $X I r$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

7 Reachability

7.1 Definitions

```

locale Probabilistic-Timed-Automaton-Regions-Reachability =
Probabilistic-Timed-Automaton-Regions  $k v n$  not-in-X  $A$ 
for  $k v n$  not-in-X and  $A :: ('c, t, 's) pta +$ 
fixes  $\varphi \psi :: ('s * ('c, t) eval) \Rightarrow bool$  fixes  $s$ 
assumes  $\varphi: \bigwedge x y. x \in S \implies timed-bisim x y \implies \varphi x \longleftrightarrow \varphi y$ 
assumes  $\psi: \bigwedge x y. x \in S \implies timed-bisim x y \implies \psi x \longleftrightarrow \psi y$ 
assumes  $s[intro, simp]: s \in S$ 
begin

```

```
definition  $\varphi' \equiv absp \varphi$ 
```

definition $\psi' \equiv \text{absp } \psi$
definition $s' \equiv \text{abss } s$

lemma $s\text{-}s'\text{-cfg-on}$ [intro]:
assumes $\text{cfg} \in MDP.\text{cfg-on } s$
shows $\text{absc } \text{cfg} \in R\text{-}G.\text{cfg-on } s'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $s'\text{-S}$ [simp, intro]:
 $s' \in \mathcal{S}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $s'\text{-s-cfg-on}$ [intro]:
assumes $\text{cfg} \in R\text{-}G.\text{cfg-on } s'$
shows $\text{repes } s \text{ cfg} \in MDP.\text{cfg-on } s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Probabilistic-Timed-Automaton-Regions) compatible-stream:
assumes $\varphi: \bigwedge x y. x \in S \implies x \sim y \implies \varphi x \longleftrightarrow \varphi y$
assumes pred-stream $(\lambda s. s \in S) xs$
and [intro]: $x \in S$
shows pred-stream $(\lambda s. \varphi (\text{reps} (\text{abss } s))) = \varphi s$ $(x \# \# xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\varphi\text{-stream}'$:
pred-stream $(\lambda s. \varphi (\text{reps} (\text{abss } s))) = \varphi s$ $(x \# \# xs)$ **if** pred-stream $(\lambda s. s \in S) xs$ $x \in S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\psi\text{-stream}'$:
pred-stream $(\lambda s. \psi (\text{reps} (\text{abss } s))) = \psi s$ $(x \# \# xs)$ **if** pred-stream $(\lambda s. s \in S) xs$ $x \in S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas $\varphi\text{-stream} = \text{compatible-stream}[of } \varphi, \text{ OF } \varphi]$
lemmas $\psi\text{-stream} = \text{compatible-stream}[of } \psi, \text{ OF } \psi]$

7.2 Easier Result on All Configurations

lemma suntill-reps:
assumes
 $\forall s \in sset (\text{smap abss } y). s \in \mathcal{S}$
 $(\text{holds } \varphi' \text{ suntill holds } \psi') (s' \# \# \text{smap abss } y)$
shows $(\text{holds } \varphi \text{ suntill holds } \psi) (s \# \# y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma suntill-abss:
assumes
 $\forall s \in sset y. s \in S$
 $(\text{holds } \varphi \text{ suntill holds } \psi) (s \# \# y)$
shows
 $(\text{holds } \varphi' \text{ suntill holds } \psi') (s' \# \# \text{smap abss } y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem $P\text{-sup-suntil-eq}$:
notes [measurable] = in-space-UNIV and [iff] = pred-stream-iff
shows
 $(MDP.P\text{-sup } s (\lambda x. (\text{holds } \varphi \text{ suntill holds } \psi) (s \# \# x)))$
 $= (R\text{-}G.P\text{-sup } s' (\lambda x. (\text{holds } \varphi' \text{ suntill holds } \psi') (s' \# \# x)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

7.3 Divergent Adversaries

context Probabilistic-Timed-Automaton
begin

definition elapsed $u u' \equiv \text{Max}(\{u' c - u c \mid c. c \in \mathcal{X}\} \cup \{0\})$
definition eq-elapsed $u u' \equiv \text{elapsed } u u' > 0 \longrightarrow (\forall c \in \mathcal{X}. u' c - u c = \text{elapsed } u u')$
fun dur :: ('c, t) eval stream \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow t **where**
 $\quad \text{dur} - 0 = 0 \mid$
 $\quad \text{dur}(x \# y \# xs)(Suc i) = \text{elapsed } x y + \text{dur}(y \# xs) i$
definition divergent $\omega \equiv \forall t. \exists n. \text{dur } \omega n > t$
definition div-cfg cfg $\equiv \text{AE } \omega \text{ in } MDP.MC.T \text{ cfg. divergent (smap (snd o state)) } \omega$
definition R-div $\omega \equiv$
 $\quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}. (\forall i. (\exists j \geq i. \text{zero } x (\omega !! j)) \wedge (\exists j \geq i. \neg \text{zero } x (\omega !! j)))$
 $\quad \vee (\exists i. \forall j \geq i. \text{unbounded } x (\omega !! j))$
definition R-G-div-cfg cfg $\equiv \text{AE } \omega \text{ in } MDP.MC.T \text{ cfg. R-div (smap (snd o state)) } \omega$
end

context Probabilistic-Timed-Automaton-Regions
begin

definition cfg-on-div st $\equiv MDP.cfg-on st \cap \{\text{cfg. div-cfg cfg}\}$
definition R-G-cfg-on-div st $\equiv R.G.cfg-on st \cap \{\text{cfg. R-G-div-cfg cfg}\}$
lemma measurable-R-div[measurable]: Measurable.pred MDP.MC.S R-div
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
lemma elapsed-ge0[simp]: elapsed $x y \geq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
lemma dur-pos:
 $\quad \text{dur } xs i \geq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
lemma dur-mono:
 $\quad i \leq j \implies \text{dur } xs i \leq \text{dur } xs j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
lemma dur-monoD:
assumes dur $xs i < \text{dur } xs j$
shows $i < j$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
lemma elapsed-0D:
assumes $c \in \mathcal{X}$ elapsed $u u' \leq 0$
shows $u' c - u c \leq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
lemma elapsed-ge:
assumes eq-elapsed $u u' c \in \mathcal{X}$
shows elapsed $u u' \geq u' c - u c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *elapsed-eq*:
assumes *eq-elapsed* $u u' c \in \mathcal{X}$ $u' c - u c \geq 0$
shows *elapsed* $u u' = u' c - u c$
(proof)

lemma *dur-shift*:
 $\text{dur } \omega (i + j) = \text{dur } \omega i + \text{dur} (\text{sdrop } i \omega) j$
(proof)

lemma *dur-zero*:
assumes
 $\forall i. xs !! i \in \omega !! i \forall j \leq i. \text{zero } x (\omega !! j) x \in \mathcal{X}$
 $\forall i. \text{eq-elapsed} (xs !! i) (xs !! \text{Suc } i)$
shows $\text{dur } xs i = 0$ *(proof)*

lemma *dur-zero-tail*:
assumes $\forall i. xs !! i \in \omega !! i \forall k \geq i. k \leq j \rightarrow \text{zero } x (\omega !! k) x \in \mathcal{X} j \geq i$
 $\forall i. \text{eq-elapsed} (xs !! i) (xs !! \text{Suc } i)$
shows $\text{dur } xs j = \text{dur } xs i$
(proof)

lemma *elapsed-ge-pos*:
fixes $u :: ('c, t) \text{ eval}$
assumes *eq-elapsed* $u u' c \in \mathcal{X}$ $u \in V$ $u' \in V$
shows $\text{elapsed } u u' \leq u' c$
(proof)

lemma *dur-Suc*:
 $\text{dur } xs (\text{Suc } i) - \text{dur } xs i = \text{elapsed} (xs !! i) (xs !! \text{Suc } i)$
(proof)

inductive *trans* **where**
succ: $t \geq 0 \implies u' = u \oplus t \implies \text{trans } u u'$ |
reset: $\text{set } l \subseteq \mathcal{X} \implies u' = \text{clock-set } l 0 u \implies \text{trans } u u'$ |
id: $u = u' \implies \text{trans } u u'$

abbreviation *stream-trans* \equiv *pairwise trans*

lemma *K-cfg-trans*:
assumes $cfg \in MDP.cfg-on (l, R)$ $cfg' \in K\text{-cfg}$ $cfg \text{ state } cfg' = (l', R')$
shows $\text{trans } R R'$
(proof)

lemma *enabled-stream-trans*:
assumes $cfg \in \text{valid-cfg } MDP.MC.enabled$ $cfg \text{ xs}$
shows $\text{stream-trans} (\text{smap } (\text{snd } o \text{ state}) \text{ xs})$
(proof)

lemma *stream-trans-trans*:
assumes *stream-trans* xs
shows $\text{trans} (xs !! i) (\text{stl } xs !! i)$
(proof)

lemma *trans-eq-elapsed*:
assumes $\text{trans } u u' u \in V$
shows *eq-elapsed* $u u'$
(proof)

lemma *pairwise-trans-eq-elapsed*:
assumes *stream-trans* xs *pred-stream* $(\lambda u. u \in V) xs$

shows pairwise eq-elapsed xs
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma not-reset-dur:
assumes $\forall k > i. k \leq j \rightarrow \neg \text{zero } c ([xs !! k]_{\mathcal{R}}) j \geq i c \in \mathcal{X} \text{ stream-trans xs}$
 $\forall i. \text{eq-elapsed } (xs !! i) (xs !! \text{Suc } i) \forall i. xs !! i \in V$
shows $\text{dur xs } j - \text{dur xs } i = (xs !! j) c - (xs !! i) c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma not-reset-dur':
assumes $\forall j \geq i. \neg \text{zero } c ([xs !! j]_{\mathcal{R}}) j \geq i c \in \mathcal{X} \text{ stream-trans xs}$
 $\forall i. \text{eq-elapsed } (xs !! i) (xs !! \text{Suc } i) \forall j. xs !! j \in V$
shows $\text{dur xs } j - \text{dur xs } i = (xs !! j) c - (xs !! i) c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma not-reset-unbounded:
assumes $\forall j \geq i. \neg \text{zero } c ([xs !! j]_{\mathcal{R}}) j \geq i c \in \mathcal{X} \text{ stream-trans xs}$
 $\forall i. \text{eq-elapsed } (xs !! i) (xs !! \text{Suc } i) \forall j. xs !! j \in V$
 $\text{unbounded } c ([xs !! j]_{\mathcal{R}})$
shows $\text{unbounded } c ([xs !! j]_{\mathcal{R}})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma gt-unboundedD:
assumes $u \in R$
and $R \in \mathcal{R}$
and $c \in \mathcal{X}$
and $\text{real } (k c) < u c$
shows $\text{unbounded } c R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $\text{trans}' :: ('c, t) \text{ eval} \Rightarrow ('c, t) \text{ eval} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{trans}' u u' \equiv$
 $((\forall c \in \mathcal{X}. u c > k c \wedge u' c > k c \wedge u \neq u') \rightarrow u' = u \oplus 0.5) \wedge$
 $((\exists c \in \mathcal{X}. u c = 0 \wedge u' c > 0 \wedge (\forall c \in \mathcal{X}. \nexists d. d \leq k c \wedge u' c = \text{real } d))$
 $\rightarrow u' = \text{delayedR } ([u']_{\mathcal{R}}) u)$

lemma zeroI:
assumes $c \in \mathcal{X} u \in V u c = 0$
shows $\text{zero } c ([u]_{\mathcal{R}})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma zeroD:
 $u x = 0 \text{ if } \text{zero } x ([u]_{\mathcal{R}}) u \in V$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma not-zeroD:
assumes $\neg \text{zero } x ([u]_{\mathcal{R}}) u \in V x \in \mathcal{X}$
shows $u x > 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma not-const-intv:
assumes $u \in V \forall c \in \mathcal{X}. \nexists d. d \leq k c \wedge u c = \text{real } d$
shows $\forall c \in \mathcal{X}. \forall u \in [u]_{\mathcal{R}}. \nexists d. d \leq k c \wedge u c = \text{real } d$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma K-cfg-trans':
assumes $\text{repCs } (l, u) \text{ cfg} \in \text{MDP.cfg-on } (l, u) \text{ cfg}' \in \text{K-cfg } (\text{repCs } (l, u) \text{ cfg})$
 $\text{state cfg}' = (l', u') (l, u) \in S \text{ cfg} \in \text{R-G.valid-cfg} \text{ abss } (l, u) = \text{state cfg}$

shows $\text{trans}' u u'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

coinductive enabled-repcs **where**

$\text{enabled-repcs} (\text{shd } xs) (\text{stl } xs) \implies \text{shd } xs = \text{repcs } st' \text{ cfg}' \implies st' \in \text{rept } st (\text{action } \text{cfg})$
 $\implies abss st' = \text{state } \text{cfg}'$
 $\implies \text{cfg}' \in R\text{-G.valid-cfg}$
 $\implies \text{enabled-repcs} (\text{repcs } st \text{ cfg}) xs$

lemma $K\text{-cfg-rept-in}:$

assumes $\text{cfg} \in R\text{-G.valid-cfg}$

and $abss st = \text{state } \text{cfg}$

and $\text{cfg}' \in K\text{-cfg cfg}$

shows $(\text{THE } s'. s' \in \text{set-pmf} (\text{rept } st (\text{action } \text{cfg})) \wedge abss s' = \text{state } \text{cfg}')$
 $\in \text{set-pmf} (\text{rept } st (\text{action } \text{cfg}))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{enabled-repcsI}:$

assumes $\text{cfg} \in R\text{-G.valid-cfg} abss st = \text{state } \text{cfg} MDP.MC.enabled (\text{repcs } st \text{ cfg}) xs$

shows $\text{enabled-repcs} (\text{repcs } st \text{ cfg}) xs \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{repcs-eq-rept}:$

$\text{rept } st (\text{action } \text{cfg}) = \text{rept } st'' (\text{action } \text{cfg}'') \text{ if } \text{repcs } st \text{ cfg} = \text{repcs } st'' \text{ cfg}''$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{enabled-stream-trans}':$

assumes $\text{cfg} \in R\text{-G.valid-cfg} abss st = \text{state } \text{cfg} MDP.MC.enabled (\text{repcs } st \text{ cfg}) xs$

shows $\text{pairwise trans}' (\text{smap} (\text{snd } o \text{state}) xs)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{divergent-R-divergent}:$

assumes $\text{in-S}: \text{pred-stream} (\lambda u. u \in V) xs$

and $\text{div}: \text{divergent } xs$

and $\text{trans}: \text{stream-trans } xs$

shows $\mathcal{R}\text{-div} (\text{smap} (\lambda u. [u]_{\mathcal{R}}) xs) (\text{is } \mathcal{R}\text{-div } ?\omega)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $(\text{in } -)$

fixes $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real}$

assumes $\forall i. f i \geq 0 \forall i. \exists j \geq i. f j > d d > 0$

shows $\exists n. (\sum i \leq n. f i) > t$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{dur-ev-exceedsI}:$

assumes $\forall i. \exists j \geq i. \text{dur } xs j - \text{dur } xs i \geq d \text{ and } d > 0$

obtains i **where** $\text{dur } xs i > t$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{not-reset-mono}:$

assumes $\text{stream-trans } xs \text{ shd } xs c1 \geq \text{shd } xs c2 \text{ stream-all } (\lambda u. u \in V) xs c2 \in \mathcal{X}$

shows $(\text{holds} (\lambda u. u c1 \geq u c2) \text{ until } \text{holds} (\lambda u. u c1 = 0)) xs \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\mathcal{R}\text{-divergent-divergent-aux}:$

fixes $xs :: ('c, t) \text{ eval stream}$

assumes $\text{stream-trans } xs \text{ stream-all } (\lambda u. u \in V) xs$

$(xs !! i) c1 = 0 \exists k > i. k \leq j \wedge (xs !! k) c2 = 0$

$\forall k > i. k \leq j \longrightarrow (xs !! k) c1 \neq 0$

$c1 \in \mathcal{X} c2 \in \mathcal{X}$

shows $(xs !! j) c1 \geq (xs !! j) c2$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unbounded-all*:

assumes $R \in \mathcal{R}$ $u \in R$ *unbounded* $x R x \in \mathcal{X}$
shows $u x > k x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trans-not-delay-mono*:

$u' c \leq u c$ **if** $trans u u' u \in V$ $x \in \mathcal{X}$ $u' x = 0$ $c \in \mathcal{X}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dur-reset*:

assumes *pairwise eq-elapsed xs pred-stream* $(\lambda u. u \in V) xs$ *zero* $x ([xs !! Suc i]_{\mathcal{R}})$ $x \in \mathcal{X}$
shows $dur xs (Suc i) - dur xs i = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *resets-mono-0'*:

assumes *pairwise eq-elapsed xs stream-all* $(\lambda u. u \in V) xs$ *stream-trans xs*
 $\forall j \leq i. zero x ([xs !! j]_{\mathcal{R}})$ $x \in \mathcal{X}$ $c \in \mathcal{X}$
shows $(xs !! i) c = (xs !! 0) c \vee (xs !! i) c = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *resets-mono'*:

assumes *pairwise eq-elapsed xs pred-stream* $(\lambda u. u \in V) xs$ *stream-trans xs*
 $\forall k \geq i. k \leq j \longrightarrow zero x ([xs !! k]_{\mathcal{R}})$ $x \in \mathcal{X}$ $c \in \mathcal{X}$ $i \leq j$
shows $(xs !! j) c = (xs !! i) c \vee (xs !! j) c = 0$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *resets-mono*:

assumes *pairwise eq-elapsed xs pred-stream* $(\lambda u. u \in V) xs$ *stream-trans xs*
 $\forall k \geq i. k \leq j \longrightarrow zero x ([xs !! k]_{\mathcal{R}})$ $x \in \mathcal{X}$ $c \in \mathcal{X}$ $i \leq j$
shows $(xs !! j) c \leq (xs !! i) c$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *\mathcal{R} -divergent-divergent-aux2*:

fixes $M :: (nat \Rightarrow bool)$ **set**
assumes $\forall i. \forall P \in M. \exists j \geq i. P j M \neq \{\}$ **finite** M
shows $\forall i. \exists j \geq i. \exists k > j. \exists P \in M. P j \wedge P k \wedge (\forall m < k. j < m \longrightarrow \neg P m)$
 $\wedge (\forall Q \in M. \exists m \leq k. j < m \wedge Q m)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *\mathcal{R} -divergent-divergent*:

assumes *in-S: pred-stream* $(\lambda u. u \in V) xs$
and *div: \mathcal{R} -div (smap ($\lambda u. [u]_{\mathcal{R}}$) xs)*
and *trans: stream-trans xs*
and *trans': pairwise trans' xs*
and *unbounded-not-const*:
 $\forall u. (\forall c \in \mathcal{X}. real(k c) < u c) \longrightarrow \neg ev(alw(\lambda xs. shd xs = u))$ *xs*
shows *divergent xs*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cfg-on-div-absc*:

notes *in-space-UNIV[measurable]*
assumes *cfg* \in *cfg-on-div st* *st* $\in S$
shows *absc cfg* \in *R-G-cfg-on-div (abss st)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

alternating cfg = $(AE \omega$ in *MDP.MC.T cfg*.
 $alw(ev(HLD\{cfg. \forall cfg' \in K\text{-}cfg. cfg. fst(state cfg') = fst(state cfg)\})) \omega)$

lemma *K-cfg-same-loc-iff*:

$(\forall cfg' \in K\text{-}cfg. cfg. fst(state cfg') = fst(state cfg))$

```

 $\longleftrightarrow (\forall cfg' \in K\text{-}cfg \ (absc \ cfg). \ fst \ (state \ cfg') = fst \ (state \ (absc \ cfg)))$ 
if  $cfg \in valid\text{-}cfg$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in −) stream-all2-flip:
stream-all2 ( $\lambda a \ b. \ R \ b \ a$ )  $xs \ ys = stream\text{-}all2 \ R \ ys \ xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma AE-alw-ev-same-loc-iff:
assumes  $cfg \in valid\text{-}cfg$ 
shows alternating  $cfg \longleftrightarrow$  alternating (absc  $cfg$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma AE-alw-ev-same-loc-iff':
assumes  $cfg \in R\text{-}G.cfg\text{-}on \ (abss \ st) \ st \in S$ 
shows alternating  $cfg \longleftrightarrow$  alternating (repes  $st \ cfg$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in −) cval-add-non-id:
False if  $b \oplus d = b \ d > 0$  for  $d :: real$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma repes-unbounded-AE-non-loop-end-strong:
assumes  $cfg \in R\text{-}G.cfg\text{-}on \ (abss \ st) \ st \in S$ 
and alternating  $cfg$ 
shows AE  $\omega$  in MDP.MC.T (repes  $st \ cfg$ ).
 $(\forall u :: ('c \Rightarrow real). (\forall c \in \mathcal{X}. u \ c > real \ (k \ c)) \longrightarrow$ 
 $\neg (ev \ (alw \ (\lambda xs. shd \ xs = u))) \ (smap \ (snd \ o \ state) \ \omega))$  (is AE  $\omega$  in ?M. ?P  $\omega$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cfg-on-div-repcs-strong:
notes in-space-UNIV[measurable]
assumes  $cfg \in R\text{-}G.cfg\text{-}on\text{-}div \ (abss \ st) \ st \in S$  and alternating  $cfg$ 
shows repes  $st \ cfg \in cfg\text{-}on\text{-}div \ st$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma repes-unbounded-AE-non-loop-end:
assumes  $cfg \in R\text{-}G.cfg\text{-}on \ (abss \ st) \ st \in S$ 
shows AE  $\omega$  in MDP.MC.T (repes  $st \ cfg$ ).
 $(\forall s :: ('s \times ('c \Rightarrow real)). (\forall c \in \mathcal{X}. snd \ s \ c > k \ c) \longrightarrow$ 
 $\neg (ev \ (alw \ (\lambda xs. shd \ xs = s))) \ (smap \ state \ \omega))$  (is AE  $\omega$  in ?M. ?P  $\omega$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

end

7.4 Main Result

```

context Probabilistic-Timed-Automaton-Regions-Reachability
begin

```

```

lemma R-G-cfg-on-valid:
 $cfg \in R\text{-}G.valid\text{-}cfg$  if  $cfg \in R\text{-}G.cfg\text{-}on\text{-}div \ s'$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cfg-on-valid:
 $cfg \in valid\text{-}cfg$  if  $cfg \in cfg\text{-}on\text{-}div \ s$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

abbreviation path-measure  $P \ cfg \equiv emeasure \ (MDP.T \ cfg) \ \{x \in space \ MDP.St. \ P \ x\}$ 
abbreviation R-G-path-measure  $P \ cfg \equiv emeasure \ (R\text{-}G.T \ cfg) \ \{x \in space \ R\text{-}G.St. \ P \ x\}$ 

```

abbreviation *progressive st* \equiv *cfg-on-div st* \cap {*cfg. alternating cfg*}
abbreviation *R-G-progressive st* \equiv *R-G-cfg-on-div st* \cap {*cfg. alternating cfg*}

Summary of our results on divergent configurations:

lemma *absc-valid-cfg-eq*:
absc ‘ *progressive s = R-G-progressive s'*
{proof}

Main theorem:

theorem *Min-Max-reachability*:

notes *in-space-UNIV[measurable]* **and** [*iff*] = *pred-stream-iff*

shows

$$\begin{aligned} & (\bigcup_{cfg \in \text{progressive } s.} \text{path-measure } (\lambda x. (\text{holds } \varphi \text{ suntill holds } \psi) (s \# \# x)) \text{ cfg}) \\ &= (\bigcup_{cfg \in \text{R-G-progressive } s'.} \text{R-G-path-measure } (\lambda x. (\text{holds } \varphi' \text{ suntill holds } \psi') (s' \# \# x)) \text{ cfg}) \\ &\wedge (\bigcap_{cfg \in \text{progressive } s.} \text{path-measure } (\lambda x. (\text{holds } \varphi \text{ suntill holds } \psi) (s \# \# x)) \text{ cfg}) \\ &= (\bigcap_{cfg \in \text{R-G-progressive } s'.} \text{R-G-path-measure } (\lambda x. (\text{holds } \varphi' \text{ suntill holds } \psi') (s' \# \# x)) \text{ cfg}) \end{aligned}$$

{proof}

end

end

References

- [1] M. Z. Kwiatkowska, G. Norman, R. Segala, and J. Sproston. Automatic verification of real-time systems with discrete probability distributions. *Th. Comp. Sci.*, 282(1).
- [2] S. Wimmer and J. Hözl. MDP + TA = PTA: Probabilistic timed automata, formalized. In J. Avigad and A. Mahboubi, editors, *ITP 2018, Proceedings*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2018.