Elementary Facts About the Distribution of Primes

Manuel Eberl

March 17, 2025

Abstract

This entry is a formalisation of Chapter 4 (and parts of Chapter 3) of Apostol's *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*. The main topics that are addressed are properties of the distribution of prime numbers that can be shown in an elementary way (i.e. without the Prime Number Theorem), the various equivalent forms of the PNT (which imply each other in elementary ways), and consequences that follow from the PNT in elementary ways. The latter include bounds for the number of distinct prime factors of n, the divisor function d(n), Euler's totient function $\varphi(n)$, and $lcm(1, \ldots, n)$.

Contents

1	Auxiliary material	4
	1.1 Various facts about Dirichlet series	8
	1.2 Facts about prime-counting functions	10
	1.3 Strengthening 'Big-O' bounds	11
2	Miscellaneous material	16
	2.1 Generalised Dirichlet products	16
	2.2 Legendre's identity	20
	2.3 A weighted sum of the Möbius μ function	24
3	The Prime ω function	2 5
4	The Primorial function	27
	4.1 Definition and basic properties	27
	4.2 An alternative view on primorials	29
	4.3 Maximal compositeness of primorials	31
5	The LCM of the first n natural numbers	34
6	Shapiro's Tauberian Theorem	37
	6.1 Proof	37
	6.2 Applications to the Chebyshev functions	44
7	Bounds on partial sums of the ζ function	46
8	The summatory Möbius μ function	5 6
9	Elementary bounds on $\pi(x)$ and p_n	67
	9.1 Preliminary lemmas	68
	9.2 Lower bound for $\pi(x)$	69
	9.3 Upper bound for $\vartheta(x)$	72
	9.4 Upper bound for $\pi(x)$	75
	9.5 Bounds for p_n	79
10	The asymptotics of the summatory divisor σ function	81
	10.1 Case 1: $\alpha = 1$	82
	10.2 Case 2: $\alpha > 0, \ \alpha \neq 1 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	84
	10.3 Case 3: $\alpha < 0$	86
11	Selberg's asymptotic formula	89

12	2 Consequences of the Prime Number Theorem			
	12.1	Existence of primes in intervals	98	
	12.2	The logarithm of the primorial	99	
	12.3	Consequences of the asymptotics of ψ and ϑ	01	
	12.4	Bounds on the prime ω function	03	
	12.5	Bounds on the divisor function $\dots \dots \dots$	05	
	12.6	Mertens' Third Theorem	11	
	127	Rounds on Euler's totient function	16	

1 Auxiliary material

```
theory Prime-Distribution-Elementary-Library
imports
  Zeta-Function.Zeta-Function
  Prime-Number-Theorem. Prime-Counting-Functions
  Stirling-Formula. Stirling-Formula
begin
lemma divisor-count-pos [intro]: n > 0 \implies divisor-count n > 0
 by (auto simp: divisor-count-def intro!: Nat.gr0I)
lemma divisor-count-eq-0-iff [simp]: divisor-count n = 0 \longleftrightarrow n = 0
 by (cases n = \theta) auto
lemma divisor-count-pos-iff [simp]: divisor-count n > 0 \longleftrightarrow n > 0
  by (cases n = 0) auto
lemma smallest-prime-beyond-eval:
 prime\ n \Longrightarrow smallest-prime-beyond n = n
  \neg prime \ n \Longrightarrow smallest\text{-}prime\text{-}beyond \ n = smallest\text{-}prime\text{-}beyond \ (Suc \ n)
proof -
 assume prime n
 thus smallest-prime-beyond n = n
   by (rule smallest-prime-beyond-eq) auto
\mathbf{next}
  assume \neg prime \ n
 show smallest-prime-beyond n = smallest-prime-beyond (Suc n)
 proof (rule antisym)
   show smallest-prime-beyond n \leq smallest-prime-beyond (Suc n)
     by (rule smallest-prime-beyond-smallest)
        (auto intro: order.trans[OF - smallest-prime-beyond-le])
  \mathbf{next}
   have smallest-prime-beyond n \neq n
     using prime-smallest-prime-beyond[of n] \langle \neg prime n \rangle by metis
   hence smallest-prime-beyond n > n
     using smallest-prime-beyond-le[of n] by linarith
   thus smallest-prime-beyond n > \text{smallest-prime-beyond } (Suc \ n)
     by (intro smallest-prime-beyond-smallest) auto
 qed
qed
lemma nth-prime-numeral:
  nth-prime (numeral n) = smallest-prime-beyond (Suc (nth-prime (pred-numeral
n)))
 by (subst nth-prime-Suc[symmetric]) auto
```

 ${\bf lemmas}\ nth\text{-}prime\text{-}eval = smallest\text{-}prime\text{-}beyond\text{-}eval\ nth\text{-}prime\text{-}Suc\ nth\text{-}prime\text{-}numeral$

```
lemma nth-prime-1 [simp]: nth-prime (Suc 0) = 3
 by (simp add: nth-prime-eval)
lemma nth-prime-2 [simp]: nth-prime 2 = 5
 by (simp add: nth-prime-eval)
lemma nth-prime-3 [simp]: nth-prime 3 = 7
 by (simp add: nth-prime-eval)
lemma strict-mono-sequence-partition:
 assumes strict-mono (f :: nat \Rightarrow 'a :: \{linorder, no-top\})
 assumes x \ge f \theta
 assumes filterlim f at-top at-top
 shows \exists k. \ x \in \{f \ k... < f \ (Suc \ k)\}\
proof -
 define k where k = (LEAST \ k. \ f \ (Suc \ k) > x)
   obtain n where x \leq f n
     using assms by (auto simp: filterlim-at-top eventually-at-top-linorder)
   also have f n < f (Suc \ n)
     using assms by (auto simp: strict-mono-Suc-iff)
   finally have \exists n. f (Suc \ n) > x by auto
  from LeastI-ex[OF this] have x < f (Suc k)
   by (simp add: k-def)
  moreover have f k \leq x
 proof (cases k)
   case (Suc k')
   have k \le k' if f(Suc k') > x
     using that unfolding k-def by (rule Least-le)
   with Suc show f k \leq x by (cases f k \leq x) (auto simp: not-le)
 qed (use assms in auto)
 ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma nth-prime-partition:
 assumes x > 2
 shows \exists k. \ x \in \{nth\text{-}prime \ k..< nth\text{-}prime \ (Suc \ k)\}
 using strict-mono-sequence-partition [OF strict-mono-nth-prime, of x] assms nth-prime-at-top
 by simp
lemma nth-prime-partition':
 assumes x \geq 2
 shows \exists k. \ x \in \{real \ (nth\text{-}prime \ k)... < real \ (nth\text{-}prime \ (Suc \ k))\}
 by (rule strict-mono-sequence-partition)
    (auto\ simp:\ strict-mono-Suc-iff\ assms
         introl: filterlim-real-sequentially filterlim-compose[OF - nth-prime-at-top])
```

```
lemma between-nth-primes-imp-nonprime:
 assumes n > nth-prime k \ n < nth-prime (Suc k)
 shows \neg prime n
 using assms by (metis Suc-leI not-le nth-prime-Suc smallest-prime-beyond-smallest)
lemma nth-prime-partition'':
 includes prime-counting-syntax
 assumes x \geq (2 :: real)
 shows x \in \{real\ (nth\text{-}prime\ (nat\ \lfloor\pi\ x\rfloor - 1)).. < real\ (nth\text{-}prime\ (nat\ \lfloor\pi\ x\rfloor))\}
proof -
 obtain n where n: x \in \{nth\text{-}prime \ n..< nth\text{-}prime \ (Suc \ n)\}
   using nth-prime-partition' assms by auto
 have \pi (nth-prime n) = \pi x
   unfolding \pi-def using between-nth-primes-imp-nonprime n
   by (intro prime-sum-upto-eqI) (auto simp: le-nat-iff le-floor-iff)
 hence real n = \pi x - 1
   by simp
 hence n-eq: n = nat \lfloor \pi x \rfloor - 1 Suc n = nat \mid \pi x \mid
   by linarith+
  with n show ?thesis
   by simp
qed
lemma asymp-equivD-strong:
 assumes f \sim [F] g eventually (\lambda x. f x \neq 0 \lor g x \neq 0) F
 shows ((\lambda x. f x / g x) \longrightarrow 1) F
proof -
 from assms(1) have ((\lambda x. if f x = 0 \land g x = 0 then 1 else f x / g x) \longrightarrow 1) F
   by (rule\ asymp-equivD)
 also have ?this \longleftrightarrow ?thesis
   by (intro filterlim-cong eventually-mono[OF assms(2)]) auto
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma hurwitz-zeta-shift:
 fixes s :: complex
 assumes a > \theta and s \neq 1
 shows hurwitz-zeta (a + real \ n) s = hurwitz-zeta a \ s - (\sum k < n. \ (a + real \ k)
powr - s)
proof (rule analytic-continuation-open where f = \lambda s. hurwitz-zeta (a + real \ n)
s])
 fix s assume s: s \in \{s. Re \ s > 1\}
 have (\lambda k. (a + of\text{-}nat (k + n)) powr - s) sums hurwitz-zeta (a + real n) s
   using sums-hurwitz-zeta[of\ a\ +\ real\ n\ s]\ s\ assms\ by\ (simp\ add:\ add-ac)
 moreover have (\lambda k. (a + of\text{-}nat \ k) \ powr - s) sums hurwitz-zeta a s
   using sums-hurwitz-zeta[of a s] s assms by (simp add: add-ac)
 hence (\lambda k. (a + of\text{-}nat (k + n)) powr - s) sums
```

```
(hurwitz\text{-}zeta\ a\ s\ -\ (\sum k < n.\ (a\ +\ of\text{-}nat\ k)\ powr\ -s))
   by (rule sums-split-initial-segment)
 ultimately show hurwitz-zeta (a + real n) s = hurwitz-zeta a s - (\sum k < n). (a + real n)
+ real k) powr -s
   by (simp add: sums-iff)
\mathbf{next}
 show connected (-\{1::complex\})
   by (rule connected-punctured-universe) auto
qed (use assms in \(\)auto intro!: holomorphic-intros open-halfspace-Re-qt exI[of -
2\rangle
lemma pbernpoly-bigo: pbernpoly n \in O(\lambda-. 1)
proof -
 from bounded-phernpoly[of n] obtain c where \bigwedge x. norm (phernpoly n x) \leq c
 thus ?thesis by (intro bigoI[of - c]) auto
qed
lemma harm-le: n \ge 1 \Longrightarrow harm \ n \le ln \ n + 1
 using euler-mascheroni-sequence-decreasing [of 1 n]
 by (simp add: harm-expand)
lemma sum-upto-1 [simp]: sum-upto f 1 = f 1
proof -
 have \{0 < ... Suc\ 0\} = \{1\} by auto
 thus ?thesis by (simp add: sum-upto-altdef)
qed
lemma sum-upto-cong' [cong]:
  (\bigwedge n. \ n > 0 \Longrightarrow real \ n \leq x \Longrightarrow f \ n = f' \ n) \Longrightarrow x = x' \Longrightarrow sum-up to \ f \ x = f' 
sum-upto f'x'
 unfolding sum-upto-def by (intro sum.cong) auto
lemma finite-primes-le: finite \{p. prime \ p \land real \ p \le x\}
 by (rule\ finite-subset[of - \{..nat\ |x|\}]) (auto\ simp:\ le-nat-iff\ le-floor-iff)
lemma frequently-filtermap: frequently P (filtermap f F) = frequently (\lambda n. P(f n))
F
 by (auto simp: frequently-def eventually-filtermap)
lemma frequently-mono-filter: frequently P \ F \Longrightarrow F \le F' \Longrightarrow frequently P \ F'
 using filter-leD[of F F' \lambda x. \neg P x] by (auto simp: frequently-def)
lemma \pi-at-top: filterlim primes-pi at-top at-top
 unfolding filterlim-at-top
proof safe
 \mathbf{fix} \ C :: real
 define x\theta where x\theta = real (nth\text{-}prime (nat \lceil max \theta C \rceil))
```

```
show eventually (\lambda x. primes-pi \ x \geq C) at-top
   using \ eventually-ge-at-top
  proof eventually-elim
   fix x assume x \ge x\theta
   have C \leq real (nat \lceil max \ 0 \ C \rceil + 1) by linarith
   also have real (nat \lceil max \ \theta \ C \rceil + 1) = primes-pi x\theta
     unfolding x\theta-def by simp
   also have ... \leq primes-pi \ x by (rule \ \pi\text{-}mono) \ fact
   finally show primes-pi x \geq C.
  qed
qed
lemma sum-upto-ln-stirling-weak-bigo: (\lambda x. \text{ sum-upto } \ln x - x * \ln x + x) \in O(\ln)
proof -
 let ?f = \lambda x. x * ln x - x + ln (2 * pi * x) / 2
 have \ln (fact \ n) - (n * \ln n - n + \ln (2 * pi * n) / 2) \in \{0..1/(12*n)\}\ if n
> 0 for n :: nat
   using ln-fact-bounds[OF that] by (auto simp: algebra-simps)
  hence (\lambda n. \ln (fact n) - ?f n) \in O(\lambda n. 1 / real n)
   by (intro bigoI [of - 1/12] eventually-mono [OF eventually-qt-at-top[of 0]]) auto
 hence (\lambda x. \ln (fact (nat |x|)) - ?f (nat |x|)) \in O(\lambda x. 1 / real (nat |x|))
   by (rule landau-o.big.compose)
    (intro filterlim-compose OF filterlim-nat-sequentially) filterlim-floor-sequentially)
 also have (\lambda x. \ 1 \ / \ real \ (nat \ |x|)) \in O(\lambda x::real. \ ln \ x) by real-asymp
 finally have (\lambda x. \ln (fact (nat |x|)) - ?f (nat |x|) + (?f (nat |x|) - ?f x)) \in
O(\lambda x. \ln x)
   by (rule sum-in-bigo) real-asymp
 hence (\lambda x. \ln (fact (nat |x|)) - ?f x) \in O(\lambda x. \ln x)
   by (simp add: algebra-simps)
 hence (\lambda x. \ln (fact (nat |x|)) - ?f x + \ln (2 * pi * x) / 2) \in O(\lambda x. \ln x)
   by (rule sum-in-bigo) real-asymp
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: sum-upto-ln-conv-ln-fact algebra-simps)
qed
        Various facts about Dirichlet series
1.1
lemma fds-mangoldt':
 fds \ mangoldt = fds-zeta * fds-deriv (fds \ moebius-mu)
proof -
  have fds \ mangoldt = (fds \ moebius-mu * fds \ (\lambda n. \ of-real \ (ln \ (real \ n)) :: 'a))
    (is - ?f) by (subst fds-mangoldt) auto
 also have . . . = fds-zeta * <math>fds-deriv (fds moebius-mu)
 proof (intro fds-eqI)
   fix n :: nat assume n: n > 0
    have fds-nth ?f \ n = (\sum d \mid d \ dvd \ n. \ moebius-mu \ d* of-real (ln (real (n \ div
d))))
     by (auto simp: fds-eq-iff fds-nth-mult dirichlet-prod-def)
```

by (intro sum.cong) (auto elim!: dvdE simp: ln-mult split: if-splits)

also have ... = $(\sum d \mid d \ dvd \ n. \ moebius-mu \ d * of-real (ln (real n / real d)))$

```
also have . . . = (\sum d \mid d \ dvd \ n. \ moebius-mu \ d*of-real \ (ln \ n-ln \ d))
     using n by (intro sum.cong refl) (subst ln-div, auto elim!: dvdE)
   also have ... = of-real (ln \ n) * (\sum d \mid d \ dvd \ n. \ moebius-mu \ d) -
                    (\sum d \mid d \ dvd \ n. \ of\ real \ (ln \ d) * moebius\ mu \ d)
     by (simp add: sum-subtractf sum-distrib-left sum-distrib-right algebra-simps)
   also have of-real (\ln n) * (\sum d \mid d \ dvd \ n. \ moebius-mu \ d) = 0
     by (subst sum-moebius-mu-divisors') auto
   finally show fds-nth ?f n = fds-nth (fds-zeta * fds-deriv (fds moebius-mu) :: 'a
fds) n
    by (simp add: fds-nth-mult dirichlet-prod-altdef1 fds-nth-deriv sum-negf scaleR-conv-of-real)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma sum-upto-divisor-sum1:
  sum-upto (\lambda n. \sum_{i} d \mid d \ dvd \ n. \ f \ d :: real) \ x = sum-upto (\lambda n. \ f \ n * floor \ (x / n))
proof -
 have sum-upto (\lambda n. \sum d \mid d \ dvd \ n. \ f \ d :: real) \ x =
         sum-upto (\lambda n. f n * real (nat (floor <math>(x / n)))) x
   using sum-upto-dirichlet-prod[of f \lambda-. 1 x]
   by (simp add: dirichlet-prod-def sum-upto-altdef)
  also have ... = sum-upto (\lambda n. f n * floor (x / n)) x
    unfolding sum-upto-def by (intro sum.cong) auto
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma sum-upto-divisor-sum2:
 sum-upto (\lambda n. \sum d \mid d \ dvd \ n. \ f \ d :: real) \ x = sum-upto (\lambda n. \ sum-upto f \ (x \ / \ n))
 using sum-upto-dirichlet-prod of \lambda-. 1 f x by (simp add: dirichlet-prod-altdef1)
{f lemma}\ sum-up to-moebius-times-floor-linear:
  sum-upto (\lambda n. moebius-mu \ n * \lfloor x / real \ n \rfloor) \ x = (if \ x \ge 1 \ then \ 1 \ else \ 0)
proof -
 have real-of-int (sum-upto (\lambda n. moebius-mu n * |x / real n|) x) =
         sum-upto (\lambda n. moebius-mu n * of-int |x / real n|) x
   by (simp add: sum-upto-def)
 also have ... = sum-upto (\lambda n. \sum d \mid d \ dvd \ n. \ moebius-mu \ d :: real) \ x
   using sum-upto-divisor-sum1 [of moebius-mu x] by auto
 also have ... = sum-upto (\lambda n. if n = 1 then 1 else 0) x
   by (intro sum-upto-cong sum-moebius-mu-divisors' refl)
 also have ... = real-of-int (if x \ge 1 then 1 else 0)
   by (auto simp: sum-upto-def)
 finally show ?thesis unfolding of-int-eq-iff.
qed
{f lemma}\ ln-fact-conv-sum-mangoldt:
  sum-upto (\lambda n. \ mangoldt \ n * |x / real \ n|) \ x = ln \ (fact \ (nat \ |x|))
```

```
proof -
 have sum-upto (\lambda n. \ mangoldt \ n * \ of\ int \ \lfloor x \ / \ real \ n \rfloor) \ x =
         sum-upto (\lambda n. \sum d \mid d \ dvd \ n. \ mangoldt \ d :: real) \ x
   using sum-upto-divisor-sum1 [of mangoldt x] by auto
 also have ... = sum-upto (\lambda n. of-real (ln (real n))) x
   by (intro sum-upto-cong mangoldt-sum refl) auto
 also have ... = (\sum n \in \{0 < ... nat \lfloor x \rfloor\}. ln n)
   by (simp add: sum-upto-altdef)
 also have ... = ln (\prod \{\theta < ... nat \lfloor x \rfloor \})
   unfolding of-nat-prod by (subst ln-prod) auto
 also have \{0 < ...nat \lfloor x \rfloor\} = \{1...nat \lfloor x \rfloor\} by auto
 also have \prod \ldots = fact \ (nat \ \lfloor x \rfloor)
   by (simp add: fact-prod)
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
1.2
        Facts about prime-counting functions
lemma abs-\pi [simp]: |primes-pi \ x| = primes-pi \ x
 by (subst abs-of-nonneg) auto
lemma \pi-less-self:
 includes prime-counting-syntax
 assumes x > 0
 shows \pi x < x
proof -
 have \pi x \leq (\sum n \in \{1 < ... nat \lfloor x \rfloor\}. 1)
     unfolding \pi-def prime-sum-upto-altdef2 by (intro sum-mono2) (auto dest:
prime-gt-1-nat)
 also have \dots = real (nat |x| - 1)
   using assms by simp
 also have \dots < x using assms by linarith
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma \pi-le-self':
 includes prime-counting-syntax
 assumes x \geq 1
 shows \pi x \leq x - 1
 have \pi \ x \leq (\sum n \in \{1 < ... nat \ \lfloor x \rfloor\}. \ 1)
     unfolding \pi-def prime-sum-upto-altdef2 by (intro sum-mono2) (auto dest:
prime-gt-1-nat)
 also have ... = real (nat \lfloor x \rfloor - 1)
   using assms by simp
 also have ... \leq x - 1 using assms by linarith
 finally show ?thesis.
```

qed

```
lemma \pi-le-self:

includes prime-counting-syntax

assumes x \geq 0

shows \pi x \leq x

using \pi-less-self[of x] assms by (cases x = 0) auto
```

1.3 Strengthening 'Big-O' bounds

The following two statements are crucial: They allow us to strengthen a 'Big-O' statement for $n \to \infty$ or $x \to \infty$ to a bound for all $n \ge n_0$ or all $x \ge x_0$ under some mild conditions.

This allows us to use all the machinery of asymptotics in Isabelle and still get a bound that is applicable over the full domain of the function in the end. This is important because Newman often shows that $f(x) \in O(g(x))$ and then writes

$$\sum_{n \le x} f(\frac{x}{n}) = \sum_{n \le x} O(g(\frac{x}{n}))$$

which is not easy to justify otherwise.

```
lemma natfun-bigoE:
 fixes f :: nat \Rightarrow -
 assumes bigo: f \in O(g) and nz: \bigwedge n. \ n \geq n\theta \Longrightarrow g \ n \neq 0
 from bigo obtain c where c: c > 0 eventually (\lambda n. norm (f n) \le c * norm (g n))
n)) at-top
   by (auto\ elim:\ landau-o.bigE)
  then obtain n\theta' where n\theta': \bigwedge n. n \ge n\theta' \Longrightarrow norm (f n) \le c * norm (g n)
   by (auto simp: eventually-at-top-linorder)
 define c' where c' = Max ((\lambda n. norm (f n) / norm (g n)) ' (insert n0 {n0..<n0'}))
 have norm (f n) \le max \ 1 \ (max \ c \ c') * norm \ (g \ n) \ if \ n \ge n0 \ for \ n
 proof (cases n \geq n\theta')
   case False
   with that have norm (f n) / norm (g n) \le c'
     unfolding c'-def by (intro Max.coboundedI) auto
   also have ... \leq max \ 1 \ (max \ c \ c') by simp
   finally show ?thesis using nz[of n] that by (simp add: field-simps)
  \mathbf{next}
   case True
   hence norm (f n) \le c * norm (g n) by (rule n0')
   also have ... \leq max \ 1 \ (max \ c \ c') * norm \ (g \ n)
     by (intro mult-right-mono) auto
   finally show ?thesis.
  with that [of max \ 1 \ (max \ c \ c')] show ? thesis by auto
```

lemma bigoE-bounded-real-fun:

```
\mathbf{fixes}\ f\ g\ ::\ real\ \Rightarrow\ real
  assumes f \in O(g)
  assumes \bigwedge x. \ x \ge x\theta \Longrightarrow |g \ x| \ge cg \ cg > \theta
  assumes \bigwedge b.\ b \ge x\theta \implies bounded\ (f`\{x\theta..b\})
  shows \exists c > 0. \ \forall x \geq x 0. \ |f x| \leq c * |g x|
proof -
  from assms(1) obtain c where c: c > 0 eventually (\lambda x. |f x| \le c * |g x|) at-top
   by (elim\ landau-o.bigE)\ auto
  then obtain b where b: \bigwedge x. x \ge b \Longrightarrow |f x| \le c * |g x|
   by (auto simp: eventually-at-top-linorder)
  have bounded (f \cdot \{x0..max \ x0 \ b\}) by (intro \ assms) auto
  then obtain C where C: \bigwedge x. x \in \{x\theta ... max \ x\theta \ b\} \Longrightarrow |f \ x| \le C
   unfolding bounded-iff by fastforce
  define c' where c' = max \ c \ (C \ / \ cg)
  have |f x| \le c' * |g x| if x \ge x\theta for x
  proof (cases x \ge b)
   case False
   then have |f x| \leq C
      using C that by auto
   with False have |f x| / |g x| \le C / cg
      by (meson abs-ge-zero assms frac-le landau-omega.R-trans that)
   also have \dots \leq c' by (simp \ add: \ c'-def)
   finally show |f x| \le c' * |g x|
       using that False assms(2)[of x] assms(3) by (auto simp add: divide-simps
split: if-splits)
  next
   case True
   hence |f x| \le c * |g x| by (intro b) auto
   also have \ldots \le c' * |g x| by (intro mult-right-mono) (auto simp: c'-def)
   finally show ?thesis.
  qed
  moreover from c(1) have c' > 0 by (auto simp: c'-def)
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
{f lemma}\ sum-up to-a symptotic s-lift-nat-real-aux:
  fixes f :: nat \Rightarrow real and g :: real \Rightarrow real
 assumes bigo: (\lambda n. (\sum k=1..n. f k) - g (real n)) \in O(\lambda n. h (real n))
  assumes g-bigo-self: (\lambda n. \ g \ (real \ n) - g \ (real \ (Suc \ n))) \in O(\lambda n. \ h \ (real \ n))
  assumes h-bigo-self: (\lambda n. \ h \ (real \ n)) \in O(\lambda n. \ h \ (real \ (Suc \ n)))
  assumes h-pos: \bigwedge x. x \ge 1 \implies h \ x > 0
  assumes mono-g: mono-on \{1..\} g \vee mono-on \{1..\} (\lambda x. - g x)
  assumes mono-h: mono-on \{1..\} h \vee mono-on \{1..\} (\lambda x. - h x)
  shows \exists c > 0. \ \forall x \ge 1. \ sum\text{-upto} \ f \ x - g \ x \le c * h \ x
proof -
  have h-nz: h (real n) \neq 0 if n > 1 for n
   using h-pos[of n] that by simp
```

```
from natfun-bigoE[OF\ bigo\ h-nz] obtain c1 where
   c1: c1 > 0 \land n. \ n \ge 1 \Longrightarrow norm ((\sum k=1..n. \ fk) - g \ (real \ n)) \le c1 * norm
(h (real n))
   by auto
  from natfun-bigoE[OF g-bigo-self h-nz] obtain c2 where
   c2: c2 > 0 \ \land n. \ n \geq 1 \Longrightarrow norm \left( g \left( real \ n \right) - g \left( real \left( Suc \ n \right) \right) \right) \leq c2 * norm
(h (real n))
   by auto
  from natfun-bigoE[OF h-bigo-self h-nz] obtain c3 where
   c3: c3 > 0 \land n. \ n \geq 1 \Longrightarrow norm \ (h \ (real \ n)) \leq c3 * norm \ (h \ (real \ (Suc \ n)))
   by auto
   fix x :: real assume x :: x \ge 1
   define n where n = nat |x|
   from x have n: n > 1 unfolding n-def by linarith
   have (\sum k = 1..n. f k) - g x \le (c1 + c2) * h (real n) using mono-g
     assume mono: mono-on \{1..\} (\lambda x. -g x)
     from x have x \leq real (Suc \ n)
       unfolding n-def by linarith
     hence (\sum k=1..n. f k) - g x \le (\sum k=1..n. f k) - g n + (g n - g (Suc n))
       using mono-onD[OF\ mono,\ of\ x\ real\ (Suc\ n)]\ x\ \mathbf{by}\ auto
     also have ... \leq norm ((\sum k=1..n. f k) - g n) + norm (g n - g (Suc n))
       by simp
     also have ... \leq c1 * norm (h n) + c2 * norm (h n)
       using n by (intro add-mono c1 c2) auto
     also have \dots = (c1 + c2) * h n
       using h-pos[of real n] n by (simp add: algebra-simps)
     finally show ?thesis.
   next
     assume mono: mono-on \{1..\} g
     have (\sum k=1..n. f k) - g x \le (\sum k=1..n. f k) - g n
       using x by (intro\ diff-mono\ mono-onD[OF\ mono]) (auto\ simp:\ n-def)
     also have \dots \leq c1 * h (real n)
       using c1(2)[of n] \ n \ h\text{-}pos[of n] by simp
     also have \dots \leq (c1 + c2) * h (real n)
       using c2 h-pos[of n] n by (intro\ mult-right-mono) auto
     finally show ?thesis.
   qed
   also have (c1 + c2) * h (real n) \le (c1 + c2) * (1 + c3) * h x
     using mono-h
   proof
     assume mono: mono-on \{1..\} (\lambda x. -h x)
     have (c1 + c2) * h (real n) \le (c1 + c2) * (c3 * h (real (Suc n)))
       using c3(2)[of n] n h-pos[of n] h-pos[of Suc n] c1(1) c2(1)
       by (intro mult-left-mono) (auto)
     also have \dots = (c1 + c2) * c3 * h (real (Suc n))
```

```
by (simp add: mult-ac)
     also have ... \leq (c1 + c2) * (1 + c3) * h (real (Suc n))
     using c1(1) c2(1) c3(1) h-pos[of Suc n] by (intro mult-left-mono mult-right-mono)
auto
     also from x have x < real (Suc n)
       unfolding n-def by linarith
    hence (c1 + c2) * (1 + c3) * h (real (Suc n)) \le (c1 + c2) * (1 + c3) * h x
       using c1(1) c2(1) c3(1) mono-onD[OF mono, of x real (Suc n)] x
       by (intro mult-left-mono) (auto simp: n-def)
     finally show (c1 + c2) * h (real n) \le (c1 + c2) * (1 + c3) * h x.
   next
     assume mono: mono-on \{1..\} h
     have (c1 + c2) * h (real n) = 1 * ((c1 + c2) * h (real n)) by simp
     also have ... \leq (1 + c3) * ((c1 + c2) * h (real n))
       using c1(1) c2(1) c3(1) h-pos[of n] x n by (intro mult-right-mono) auto
     also have ... = (1 + c3) * (c1 + c2) * h (real n)
       by (simp add: mult-ac)
     also have ... \leq (1 + c3) * (c1 + c2) * h x
       using x c1(1) c2(1) c3(1) h-pos[of n] n
       by (intro mult-left-mono mono-onD[OF mono]) (auto simp: n-def)
     finally show (c1 + c2) * h (real n) \le (c1 + c2) * (1 + c3) * h x
       by (simp add: mult-ac)
   also have (\sum k = 1..n. f k) = sum\text{-}upto f x
     unfolding sum-upto-altdef n-def by (intro sum.cong) auto
   finally have sum-upto f x - g x \le (c1 + c2) * (1 + c3) * h x.
  }
  moreover have (c1 + c2) * (1 + c3) > 0
   using c1(1) c2(1) c3(1) by (intro mult-pos-pos add-pos-pos) auto
 ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ sum-upto-asymptotics-lift-nat-real:
 fixes f :: nat \Rightarrow real and g :: real \Rightarrow real
 assumes bigo: (\lambda n. (\sum k=1..n. f k) - g (real n)) \in O(\lambda n. h (real n))
 assumes g-bigo-self: (\lambda n. \ g \ (real \ n) - g \ (real \ (Suc \ n))) \in O(\lambda n. \ h \ (real \ n))
 assumes h-bigo-self: (\lambda n. \ h \ (real \ n)) \in O(\lambda n. \ h \ (real \ (Suc \ n)))
 assumes h-pos: \bigwedge x. x \ge 1 \implies h \ x > 0
 assumes mono-g: mono-on \{1..\} g \vee mono-on \{1..\} (\lambda x. - g x)
 assumes mono-h: mono-on \{1..\} h \vee mono-on \{1..\} (\lambda x. - h x)
 shows \exists c > 0. \ \forall x \ge 1. \ |sum\text{-upto } f x - g x| \le c * h x
proof -
 have \exists c > 0. \forall x \ge 1. sum-upto f(x) - g(x) \le c * h(x)
   by (intro sum-upto-asymptotics-lift-nat-real-aux assms)
 then obtain c1 where c1: c1 > 0 \bigwedge x. x \ge 1 \Longrightarrow sum\text{-upto } f x - g x \le c1 *
h x
   by auto
 have (\lambda n. -(g (real \ n) - g (real (Suc \ n)))) \in O(\lambda n. \ h (real \ n))
```

```
by (subst landau-o.big.uminus-in-iff) fact
  also have (\lambda n. -(g (real \ n) - g (real (Suc \ n)))) = (\lambda n. \ g (real (Suc \ n)) - g)
(real \ n))
   by simp
  finally have (\lambda n. \ g \ (real \ (Suc \ n)) - g \ (real \ n)) \in O(\lambda n. \ h \ (real \ n)).
  moreover {
   have (\lambda n. -((\sum k=1..n. f k) - g (real n))) \in O(\lambda n. h (real n))
     by (subst landau-o.big.uminus-in-iff) fact
   also have (\lambda n. -((\sum k=1..n. f k) - g (real n))) =
               (\lambda n. (\sum k=1..n. -f k) + g (real n)) by (simp add: sum-negf)
   finally have (\lambda n. (\sum k=1..n. - f k) + g (real n)) \in O(\lambda n. h (real n)).
  }
  ultimately have \exists c > 0. \forall x \ge 1. sum-upto (\lambda n. -f n) x - (-g x) \le c * h x
using mono-g
  by (intro sum-upto-asymptotics-lift-nat-real-aux assms) (simp-all add: disj-commute)
 then obtain c2 where c2: c2 > 0 \land x. \ x \ge 1 \Longrightarrow sum\text{-upto} (\lambda n. - f n) \ x + g
x \le c2 * h x
   by auto
   fix x :: real assume x: x \ge 1
   have sum-upto f x - g x \le max c1 c2 * h x
     using h-pos[of x] x by (intro order.trans[OF c1(2)] mult-right-mono) auto
   moreover have sum-upto (\lambda n. -f n) x + g x \le max c1 c2 * h x
     using h-pos[of x] x by (intro order.trans[OF c2(2)] mult-right-mono) auto
   hence -(sum\text{-}upto\ f\ x\ -\ g\ x) \le max\ c1\ c2*h\ x
     by (simp add: sum-upto-def sum-negf)
   ultimately have |sum\text{-}upto\ f\ x-g\ x| \le max\ c1\ c2*h\ x\ by\ linarith
 moreover from c1(1) c2(1) have max c1 c2 > 0 by simp
 ultimately show ?thesis by blast
lemma (in factorial-semiring) primepow-divisors-induct [case-names zero unit fac-
 assumes P \ \theta \ \land x. is-unit x \Longrightarrow P \ x
         \bigwedge p \ k \ x. \ prime \ p \Longrightarrow k > 0 \Longrightarrow \neg p \ dvd \ x \Longrightarrow P \ x \Longrightarrow P \ (p \ \hat{\ } k * x)
 shows
proof -
  have finite (prime-factors x) by simp
  thus ?thesis
  proof (induction prime-factors x arbitrary: x rule: finite-induct)
   case empty
   hence prime-factors x = \{\} by metis
   hence prime-factorization x = \{\#\} by simp
   thus ?case using assms(1,2) by (auto simp: prime-factorization-empty-iff)
   case (insert p A x)
   define k where k = multiplicity p x
```

```
have k > 0 using insert.hyps
    by (auto simp: prime-factors-multiplicity k-def)
   have p: p \in prime\text{-}factors\ x\ using\ insert.hyps\ by\ auto
   from p have x \neq 0 ¬is-unit p by (auto simp: in-prime-factors-iff)
   from multiplicity-decompose'[OF this] obtain y where y: x = p \hat{k} * y \neg p
dvdy
     by (auto simp: k-def)
   have prime-factorization x = replicate-mset k p + prime-factorization y
     using p \langle k > \theta \rangle y unfolding y
     by (subst prime-factorization-mult)
       (auto simp: prime-factorization-prime-power in-prime-factors-iff)
   moreover from y p have p \notin prime-factors y
     by (auto simp: in-prime-factors-iff)
   ultimately have prime-factors y = prime-factors x - \{p\}
    by auto
   also have \dots = A
     using insert.hyps by auto
   finally have P y using insert by auto
   unfolding y using y \land k > 0 \land p by (intro\ assms(3)) (auto\ simp:\ in-prime-factors-iff)
 qed
qed
end
\mathbf{2}
     Miscellaneous material
```

```
theory More-Dirichlet-Misc
imports
 Prime-Distribution-Elementary-Library
 Prime-Number-Theorem. Prime-Counting-Functions
begin
```

2.1 Generalised Dirichlet products

```
definition dirichlet-prod':: (nat \Rightarrow 'a :: comm\text{-}semiring\text{-}1) \Rightarrow (real \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow real
\Rightarrow 'a where
  dirichlet-prod' f g x = sum-upto (\lambda m. f m * g (x / real m)) x
lemma dirichlet-prod'-one-left:
  dirichlet-prod' (\lambda n. if n = 1 then 1 else 0) f x = (if x \ge 1 \text{ then } f x \text{ else } 0)
proof -
  have dirichlet-prod' (\lambda n. if n = 1 then 1 else 0) f x =
           (\sum i \mid 0 < i \land real \ i \leq x. \ (if \ i = Suc \ 0 \ then \ 1 \ else \ 0) * f \ (x \ / \ real \ i))
    by (simp add: dirichlet-prod'-def sum-upto-def)
  also have \dots = (\sum i \in (if \ x \ge 1 \ then \ \{1::nat\} \ else \ \{\}). \ f \ x)
    by (intro sum.mono-neutral-cong-right) (auto split: if-splits)
  also have ... = (if x \ge 1 then f x else 0)
```

```
by simp
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma dirichlet-prod'-cong:
 assumes \bigwedge n. n > 0 \Longrightarrow real \ n \le x \Longrightarrow f \ n = f' \ n
 assumes \bigwedge y. y \ge 1 \implies y \le x \implies g \ y = g' \ y
 assumes x = x'
 shows dirichlet-prod' f g x = dirichlet-prod' f' g' x'
 unfolding dirichlet-prod'-def
 by (intro sum-upto-cong' assms, (subst assms | simp add: assms field-simps)+)
lemma dirichlet-prod'-assoc:
  dirichlet-prod' f(\lambda y. dirichlet-prod' g h y) x = dirichlet-prod' (dirichlet-prod f g)
proof -
 have dirichlet-prod' f(\lambda y. dirichlet-prod' g h y) x =
        (\sum m \mid m > 0 \land real \ m \leq x. \sum n \mid n > 0 \land real \ n \leq x \mid m. \ f \ m * g \ n *
h(x / (m * n))
   by (simp add: algebra-simps dirichlet-prod'-def dirichlet-prod-def
                sum-upto-def sum-distrib-left sum-distrib-right)
 also have ... = (\sum (m,n) \in (SIGMA \ m: \{m.\ m > 0 \land real \ m \le x\}, \{n.\ n > 0 \land n = 1\})
real n \leq x / m}).
                  f m * g n * h (x / (m * n)))
   by (subst sum.Sigma) auto
 also have ... = (\sum (mn, m) \in (SIGMA \ mn: \{mn. \ mn > 0 \land real \ mn \leq x\}. \{m.
m \ dvd \ mn\}).
                 f m * g (mn \ div \ m) * h (x / mn))
    by (rule sum.reindex-bij-witness[of - \lambda(mn, m)). (m, mn \ div \ m) \ \lambda(m, n)). (m *
[n, m)])
      (auto simp: case-prod-unfold field-simps dest: dvd-imp-le)
 also have ... = dirichlet-prod' (dirichlet-prod f g) h x
   by (subst sum.Sigma [symmetric])
      (simp-all add: dirichlet-prod'-def dirichlet-prod-def sum-upto-def
                    algebra-simps sum-distrib-left sum-distrib-right)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma dirichlet-prod'-inversion1:
  assumes \forall x \ge 1. g x = dirichlet - prod' \ a \ f \ x \ x \ge 1
         dirichlet-prod a ainv = (\lambda n. if n = 1 then 1 else 0)
 shows f x = dirichlet-prod' ainv g x
proof -
  have dirichlet-prod' ainv g x = dirichlet-prod' ainv (dirichlet-prod' a f) x
   using assms by (intro dirichlet-prod'-conq) auto
 also have ... = dirichlet-prod'(\lambda n. if n = 1 then 1 else 0) <math>f x
   using assms by (simp add: dirichlet-prod'-assoc dirichlet-prod-commutes)
```

```
also have \dots = f x
   using assms by (subst dirichlet-prod'-one-left) auto
 finally show ?thesis ..
qed
lemma dirichlet-prod'-inversion2:
 assumes \forall x \ge 1. f x = dirichlet-prod' ainv g x x \ge 1
         dirichlet-prod a ainv = (\lambda n. if n = 1 then 1 else 0)
 shows g x = dirichlet-prod' a f x
proof -
 have dirichlet-prod' a f x = dirichlet-prod' a (dirichlet-prod' ainv g) x
   using assms by (intro dirichlet-prod'-cong) auto
 also have ... = dirichlet-prod'(\lambda n. if n = 1 then 1 else 0) <math>g x
   using assms by (simp add: dirichlet-prod'-assoc dirichlet-prod-commutes)
 also have \dots = q x
   using assms by (subst dirichlet-prod'-one-left) auto
 finally show ?thesis ...
qed
lemma dirichlet-prod'-inversion:
 assumes dirichlet-prod a ainv = (\lambda n. if n = 1 then 1 else 0)
  shows (\forall x \ge 1. \ g \ x = dirichlet-prod' \ a \ f \ x) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \ge 1. \ f \ x = dirichlet-prod')
ainv \ q \ x)
  using dirichlet-prod'-inversion1[of g a f - ainv] dirichlet-prod'-inversion2[of f
ainv \ g - a
       assms by blast
lemma dirichlet-prod'-inversion':
 assumes a \ 1 * y = 1
 defines ainv \equiv dirichlet-inverse a y
  shows (\forall x \ge 1. \ g \ x = dirichlet-prod' \ a \ f \ x) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \ge 1. \ f \ x = dirichlet-prod')
ainv \ q \ x)
 unfolding ainv-def
 by (intro dirichlet-prod'-inversion dirichlet-prod-inverse assms)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{dirichlet-prod'-floor-conv-sum-upto}:
 dirichlet-prod' f(\lambda x. real-of-int (floor x)) x = sum-upto (\lambda n. sum-upto f(x / n))
proof -
 have [simp]: sum-upto (\lambda-. 1 :: real) x = real (nat |x|) for x
   by (simp add: sum-upto-altdef)
 show ?thesis
   using sum-upto-dirichlet-prod[of \lambda n. 1::real f] sum-upto-dirichlet-prod[of f \lambda n.
1::real
   by (simp add: dirichlet-prod'-def dirichlet-prod-commutes)
lemma (in completely-multiplicative-function) dirichlet-prod-self:
```

```
dirichlet-prod ff n = f n * of-nat (divisor-count n)
proof (cases n = \theta)
  case False
  have dirichlet-prod ff n = (\sum (r, d) \mid r * d = n. f (r * d))
   by (simp add: dirichlet-prod-altdef2 mult)
 also have \dots = (\sum (r, d) \mid r * d = n. f n)
   by (intro sum.cong) auto
 also have ... = f n * of-nat (card \{(r, d). r * d = n\})
   by (simp add: mult.commute)
 also have bij-betw fst \{(r, d). r * d = n\} \{r. r dvd n\}
   by (rule\ bij-betwI[of - - - \lambda r.\ (r,\ n\ div\ r)])\ (use\ False\ in\ auto)
 hence card \{(r, d). r * d = n\} = card \{r. r dvd n\}
   by (rule bij-betw-same-card)
 also have \dots = divisor\text{-}count \ n
   by (simp add: divisor-count-def)
 finally show ?thesis.
ged auto
{\bf lemma}\ completely - multiplicative - imp-moebius - mu-inverse:
 fixes f :: nat \Rightarrow 'a :: \{comm-ring-1\}
 assumes completely-multiplicative-function f
 \mathbf{shows}
           dirichlet-prod f(\lambda n. moebius-mu \ n*f \ n) \ n = (if \ n = 1 \ then \ 1 \ else \ 0)
proof -
  interpret completely-multiplicative-function f by fact
  have [simp]: fds f \neq 0 by (auto simp: fds-eq-iff)
 have dirichlet-prod f (\lambda n. moebius-mu n * f n) n =
        (\sum (r, d) \mid r * d = n. moebius-mu \ r * f \ (r * d))
   by (subst dirichlet-prod-commutes)
        (simp add: fds-eq-iff fds-nth-mult fds-nth-fds dirichlet-prod-altdef2 mult-ac
mult)
  also have ... = (\sum (r, d) \mid r * d = n. moebius-mu r * f n)
   by (intro sum.cong) auto
 also have ... = dirichlet-prod moebius-mu (\lambda-. 1) n * f n
   by (simp add: dirichlet-prod-altdef2 sum-distrib-right case-prod-unfold mult)
  also have dirichlet-prod moebius-mu (\lambda-. 1) n = fds-nth (fds moebius-mu *
fds-zeta) n
   by (simp add: fds-nth-mult)
  also have fds moebius-mu * fds-zeta = 1
   by (simp add: mult-ac fds-zeta-times-moebius-mu)
 also have fds-nth 1 n * f n = fds-nth 1 n
   by (auto simp: fds-eq-iff fds-nth-one)
  finally show ?thesis by (simp add: fds-nth-one)
qed
{\bf lemma}\ dirichlet-prod-inversion-completely-multiplicative:
  fixes a :: nat \Rightarrow 'a :: comm-ring-1
 assumes completely-multiplicative-function a
 shows (\forall x \ge 1. \ g \ x = dirichlet - prod' \ a \ f \ x) \longleftrightarrow
```

```
(\forall x \ge 1. \ f \ x = dirichlet - prod' \ (\lambda n. \ moebius - mu \ n * a \ n) \ g \ x)
 by (intro dirichlet-prod'-inversion ext completely-multiplicative-imp-moebius-mu-inverse
assms)
lemma divisor-sigma-conv-dirichlet-prod:
  divisor-sigma x n = dirichlet-prod (\lambda n. real \ n \ powr \ x) \ (\lambda-. 1) n
proof (cases n = \theta)
  case False
 have fds (divisor-sigma x) = fds-shift x <math>fds-zeta * fds-zeta
   using fds-divisor-sigma[of x] by (simp \ add: \ mult-ac)
 thus ?thesis using False by (auto simp: fds-eq-iff fds-nth-mult)
qed simp-all
2.2
       Legendre's identity
definition legendre-aux :: real \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat where
 legendre-aux x p = (if \ prime \ p \ then \ (\sum m \mid m > 0 \land real \ (p \cap m) \le x. \ nat \ \lfloor x / m \rfloor )
p \cap m  else 0
lemma legendre-aux-not-prime [simp]: \neg prime p \Longrightarrow legendre-aux x p = 0
 by (simp add: legendre-aux-def)
lemma legendre-aux-eq-0:
 assumes real p > x
 shows legendre-aux \ x \ p = 0
proof (cases prime p)
  case True
 have [simp]: \neg real \ p \ \widehat{\ } m \leq x \ \text{if} \ m > 0 \ \text{for} \ m
 proof -
   have x < real p \cap 1 using assms by simp
   also have ... \leq real \ p \ \widehat{\ } m
     using prime-gt-1-nat[OF True] that by (intro power-increasing) auto
   finally show ?thesis by auto
  qed
  from assms have *: \{m. m > 0 \land real (p \cap m) \le x\} = \{\}
   using prime-gt-1-nat[OF True] by auto
 show ?thesis unfolding legendre-aux-def
   \mathbf{bv} (subst *) auto
qed (auto simp: legendre-aux-def)
lemma legendre-aux-posD:
 assumes legendre-aux x p > 0
 shows prime p real p \leq x
proof -
 show real p \le x using legendre-aux-eq-0 [of x p] assms
   by (cases real p \leq x) auto
qed (use assms in \(\alpha auto \) simp: legendre-aux-def \(split: \) if-splits\(\rangle\)
```

lemma exponents-le-finite:

```
assumes p > (1 :: nat) k > 0
 shows finite \{i. real (p \ \widehat{} (k * i + l)) \le x\}
proof (rule finite-subset)
 show \{i. \ real \ (p \ \widehat{\ } (k * i + l)) \le x\} \subseteq \{..nat \ |x|\}
  proof safe
   fix i assume i: real (p \ \widehat{\ } (k * i + l)) \le x
   have i < 2 \hat{i}
     by (rule less-exp)
   also from assms have i \le k * i + l by (cases k) auto
   hence 2 \hat{i} \leq (2 \hat{k} * i + l) :: nat)
     using assms by (intro power-increasing) auto
   also have ... \leq p \ \hat{\ } (k * i + l) using assms by (intro power-mono) auto
   also have real \dots \leq x using i by simp
   finally show i \leq nat |x| by linarith
 qed
qed auto
lemma finite-sum-legendre-aux:
 assumes prime p
 shows finite \{m. m > 0 \land real (p \cap m) \le x\}
 by (rule finite-subset[OF - exponents-le-finite] where k = 1 and l = 0 and p = 1
p]])
    (use assms prime-gt-1-nat[of p] in auto)
lemma legendre-aux-set-eq:
 assumes prime p \ x \ge 1
 shows \{m. \ m > 0 \land real \ (p \cap m) \le x\} = \{0 < ... nat \ |log \ (real \ p) \ x|\}
 using prime-gt-1-nat[OF\ assms(1)]\ assms
 by (auto simp: le-nat-iff le-log-iff le-floor-iff powr-realpow)
lemma legendre-aux-altdef1:
  legendre-aux x p = (if prime p \land x \ge 1 then
                       (\sum m{\in}\{0{<}..nat\ \lfloor log\ (real\ p)\ x\rfloor\}.\ nat\ \lfloor x\ /\ p\ \widehat{\ } m\rfloor)\ else\ \theta)
proof (cases prime p \land x < 1)
 {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
 thus ?thesis using legendre-aux-set-eq[of p x] by (auto simp: legendre-aux-def)
next
  case True
 have [simp]: \neg (real\ p \ \widehat{\ } m \leq x) for m
 proof -
   have x < real \ 1 using True by simp
   also have real 1 \leq real \ (p \ \widehat{\ } m)
    unfolding of-nat-le-iff by (intro one-le-power) (use prime-qt-1-nat[of p] True
in auto)
   finally show \neg (real\ p \ \widehat{\ } m \leq x) by auto
 have \{m. m > 0 \land real (p \cap m) \le x\} = \{\} by simp
  with True show ?thesis by (simp add: legendre-aux-def)
qed
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{legendre-aux-altdef2}\colon
  assumes x \ge 1 prime p real p \widehat{\ } Suc k > x
  shows legendre-aux x p = (\sum m \in \{0 < ... k\}. nat |x / p \cap m|)
proof -
  have legendre-aux x p = (\sum m \mid m > 0 \land real (p \cap m) \le x. nat \lfloor x / p \cap m \rfloor)
    using assms by (simp add: legendre-aux-def)
 also have ... = (\sum m \in \{0 < ...k\}. nat \lfloor x / p \cap m \rfloor)
  proof (intro sum.mono-neutral-left)
    show \{m. \ 0 < m \land real \ (p \ \widehat{\ } m) \leq x\} \subseteq \{0 < ... k\}
    proof safe
      fix m assume m > 0 real (p \hat{m}) \leq x
      hence real p \cap m \leq x by simp
      also note \langle x < real \ p \ \widehat{\ } Suc \ k \rangle
      finally show m \in \{0 < ... k\} using \langle m > 0 \rangle
      using prime-gt-1-nat[OF \langle prime p \rangle] by (subst (asm) power-strict-increasing-iff)
auto
    qed
  qed (use prime-gt-0-nat[of p] assms in \langle auto simp: field-simps \rangle)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
theorem legendre-identity:
  sum-upto ln \ x = prime-sum-upto (\lambda p. legendre-aux \ x \ p * ln \ p) \ x
proof -
 define S where S = (SIGMA \ p:\{p. \ prime \ p \land real \ p \le x\}. \ \{i. \ i > 0 \land real \ (p \in A)\}.
\hat{i} i i i i i
  have prime-power-leD: real p \le x if real p \cap i \le x prime p \mid i > 0 for p \mid i
  proof -
    have real p \cap 1 \leq real p \cap i
      using that prime-gt-1-nat[of p] by (intro power-increasing) auto
    also have \dots \leq x by fact
    finally show real p \leq x by simp
  qed
  have sum-up to \ln x = \text{sum-up to } (\lambda n. \text{ mangoldt } n * \text{real } (\text{nat } | x / \text{ real } n |)) x
    by (rule\ sum-upto-ln-conv-sum-upto-mangoldt)
  also have ... = (\sum (p, i) \mid prime \ p \land 0 < i \land real \ (p \hat{i}) \le x.
                     ln \ p * real \ (nat \ \lfloor x \ / \ real \ (p \ \widehat{\ } i) \rfloor))
    by (subst sum-upto-prime pows [where g = \lambda p i. ln p * real (nat |x| real (p ^
i)|)])
       (auto simp: mangoldt-non-primepow)
  also have ... = (\sum (p,i) \in S. ln \ p * real \ (nat \ \lfloor x \ / \ p \ \hat{i} \rfloor))
    using prime-power-leD by (intro sum.cong refl) (auto simp: S-def)
  also have ... = (\sum p \mid prime \ p \land real \ p \le x. \sum i \mid i > 0 \land real \ (p \hat{\ }i) \le x.
                     ln p * real (nat | x / p \hat{i} |))
  proof (unfold S-def, subst sum.Sigma)
```

```
have \{p. \ prime \ p \land real \ p \leq x\} \subseteq \{..nat \ \lfloor x \rfloor\}
     by (auto simp: le-nat-iff le-floor-iff)
    thus finite \{p. prime \ p \land real \ p \leq x\}
     by (rule finite-subset) auto
  next
    show \forall p \in \{p. prime \ p \land real \ p \leq x\}. finite \{i. \ 0 < i \land real \ (p \ \widehat{\ } i) \leq x\}
      by (intro ballI finite-sum-legendre-aux) auto
  also have ... = (\sum p \mid prime \ p \land real \ p \le x. \ ln \ p * real (\sum i \mid i > 0 \land real \ (p \hat{i}) \le x. \ (nat \lfloor x \ / \ p \hat{i} \rfloor)))
    by (simp add: sum-distrib-left)
  also have ... = (\sum p \mid prime \ p \land real \ p \le x. \ ln \ p * real \ (legendre-aux \ x \ p))
    by (intro sum.cong refl) (auto simp: legendre-aux-def)
  also have ... = prime-sum-upto(\lambda p. ln p * real(legendre-aux x p)) x
    by (simp add: prime-sum-upto-def)
  finally show ?thesis by (simp add: mult-ac)
qed
lemma legendre-identity':
 fact\ (nat\ \lfloor x \rfloor) = (\prod p \mid prime\ p \land real\ p \le x.\ p \cap legendre-aux\ x\ p)
proof -
  have fin: finite \{p. prime p \land real p \leq x\}
    by (rule\ finite-subset[of - \{..nat\ |x|\}]) (auto\ simp:\ le-nat-iff\ le-floor-iff)
  have real (fact\ (nat\ |x|)) = exp\ (sum\text{-upto}\ ln\ x)
    by (subst sum-upto-ln-conv-ln-fact) auto
  also have sum-up to \ln x = prime-sum-up to (\lambda p. legendre-aux x p * \ln p) x
    by (rule legendre-identity)
 also have exp \dots = (\prod p \mid prime \ p \land real \ p \le x. \ exp \ (ln \ (real \ p) * legendre-aux)
(x p)
      unfolding prime-sum-upto-def using fin by (subst exp-sum) (auto simp:
mult-ac)
  also have ... = (\prod p \mid prime \ p \land real \ p \le x. \ real \ (p \cap legendre-aux \ x \ p))
  proof (intro prod.cong refl)
    fix p assume p \in \{p. prime \ p \land real \ p \le x\}
    hence p > \theta using prime-gt-0-nat[of p] by auto
    from \langle p > \theta \rangle have exp(ln(real p) * legendre-aux x p) = real p powr real
(legendre-aux \ x \ p)
      by (simp add: powr-def)
    also from \langle p > \theta \rangle have ... = real (p \cap legendre-aux \ x \ p)
      by (subst powr-realpow) auto
    finally show exp (ln (real p) * legendre-aux x p) = ....
  also have ... = real (\prod p \mid prime \ p \land real \ p \le x. \ p \cap legendre-aux \ x \ p)
    by simp
  finally show ?thesis unfolding of-nat-eq-iff.
qed
```

2.3 A weighted sum of the Möbius μ function

```
context
 fixes M :: real \Rightarrow real
 defines M \equiv (\lambda x. \ sum\text{-}upto \ (\lambda n. \ moebius\text{-}mu \ n \ / \ n) \ x)
begin
{f lemma}\ abs-sum-upto-moebius-mu-over-n-less:
 assumes x: x \geq 2
 shows |M x| < 1
proof -
 have x * sum-upto (\lambda n. moebius-mu n / n) x - sum-upto (\lambda n. moebius-mu n *
frac(x / n)) x =
         sum-upto (\lambda n. moebius-mu \ n * (x / n - frac (x / n))) \ x
   by (subst\ mult.commute[of\ x])
      (simp add: sum-upto-def sum-distrib-right sum-subtractf ring-distribs)
 also have (\lambda n. \ x \ / \ real \ n - frac \ (x \ / \ real \ n)) = (\lambda n. \ of\text{-}int \ (floor \ (x \ / \ real \ n)))
   by (simp add: frac-def)
  also have sum-upto (\lambda n. moebius-mu \ n*real-of-int \ |x \ / real \ n|) \ x =
              real-of-int (sum-upto (\lambda n. moebius-mu n * |x / real n|) x)
   by (simp add: sum-upto-def)
  also have \dots = 1
   using x by (subst sum-upto-moebius-times-floor-linear) auto
 finally have eq: x * M x = 1 + sum-upto (\lambda n. moebius-mu n * frac(x / n)) x
   by (simp add: M-def)
 have x * |M x| = |x * M x|
   using x by (simp \ add: \ abs-mult)
 also note eq
  also have |1 + sum\text{-}upto\ (\lambda n.\ moebius\text{-}mu\ n * frac\ (x / n))\ x| \le
              1 + |sum\text{-}upto(\lambda n. moebius\text{-}mu \ n * frac(x / n)) \ x|
   by linarith
 also have |sum\text{-}upto\ (\lambda n.\ moebius\text{-}mu\ n*frac\ (x\ /\ n))\ x| \le
              sum-upto (\lambda n. \mid moebius-mu \mid n * frac (x / n) \mid) x
   unfolding sum-upto-def by (rule sum-abs)
 also have ... \leq sum-upto (\lambda n. frac (x / n)) x
     unfolding sum-upto-def by (intro sum-mono) (auto simp: moebius-mu-def
abs-mult)
 also have ... = (\sum n \in \{0 < ... nat \lfloor x \rfloor\}. frac (x / n))
   by (simp add: sum-upto-altdef)
 also have \{0 < ... nat |x|\} = insert 1 \{1 < ... nat |x|\}
   using x by (auto simp: le-nat-iff le-floor-iff)
  also have (\sum n \in .... frac (x / n)) = frac x + (\sum n \in \{1 < ...nat [x]\}. frac (x / n))
n))
   by (subst sum.insert) auto
  also have (\sum n \in \{1 < ... nat |x|\}. frac (x / n)) < (\sum n \in \{1 < ... nat |x|\}. 1)
   using x by (intro sum-strict-mono frac-lt-1) auto
 also have ... = nat \lfloor x \rfloor - 1 by simp
  also have 1 + (frac \ x + real \ (nat \ |x| - 1)) = x
   using x by (subst of-nat-diff) (auto simp: le-nat-iff le-floor-iff frac-def)
```

```
finally have x * |M x| < x * 1 by simp
 with x show |M|x| < 1
   by (subst (asm) mult-less-cancel-left-pos) auto
qed
lemma sum-upto-moebius-mu-over-n-eq:
 assumes x < 2
 shows M x = (if x \ge 1 then 1 else 0)
proof (cases x \ge 1)
 case True
 have M x = (\sum n \in \{n. \ n > 0 \land real \ n \leq x\}. moebius-mu n / n)
   by (simp add: M-def sum-upto-def)
 also from assms True have \{n. \ n > 0 \land real \ n \le x\} = \{1\}
 thus ?thesis using True by (simp add: M-def sum-upto-def)
next
 case False
 have M x = (\sum n \in \{n. \ n > 0 \land real \ n \leq x\}. moebius-mu n / n)
   by (simp add: M-def sum-upto-def)
 also from False have \{n. \ n > 0 \land real \ n \le x\} = \{\}
   by auto
 finally show ?thesis using False by (simp add: M-def)
qed
lemma abs-sum-upto-moebius-mu-over-n-le: |M x| \leq 1
 using sum-upto-moebius-mu-over-n-eq[of x] abs-sum-upto-moebius-mu-over-n-less[of
 by (cases x < 2) auto
end
end
3
     The Prime \omega function
theory Primes-Omega
```

```
imports Dirichlet-Series. Dirichlet-Series Dirichlet-Series. Divisor-Count
begin
The prime \omega function \omega(n) counts the number of distinct prime factors of
definition primes-omega :: nat \Rightarrow nat where
 primes-omega \ n = card \ (prime-factors \ n)
lemma primes-omega-prime [simp]: prime p \Longrightarrow primes-omega p = 1
 by (simp add: primes-omega-def prime-factorization-prime)
lemma primes-omega-\theta [simp]: primes-omega \theta = \theta
 by (simp add: primes-omega-def)
```

```
lemma primes-omega-1 [simp]: primes-omega 1 = 0
 by (simp add: primes-omega-def)
lemma primes-omega-Suc-0 [simp]: primes-omega (Suc \theta) = \theta
 by (simp add: primes-omega-def)
lemma primes-omega-power [simp]: n > 0 \Longrightarrow  primes-omega (x \hat{\ } n) =  primes-omega
 by (simp add: primes-omega-def prime-factors-power)
lemma primes-omega-primepow [simp]: primepow n \Longrightarrow primes-omega n = 1
 by (auto simp: primepow-def)
lemma primes-omega-eq-0-iff: primes-omega n = 0 \longleftrightarrow n = 0 \lor n = 1
 by (auto simp: primes-omega-def prime-factorization-empty-iff)
lemma primes-omega-pos [simp, intro]: n > 1 \Longrightarrow primes-omega n > 0
 by (cases primes-omega n > 0) (auto simp: primes-omega-eq-0-iff)
lemma primes-omega-mult-coprime:
 assumes coprime x \ y \ x > \theta \ \lor \ y > \theta
 shows primes-omega (x * y) = primes-omega x + primes-omega y
proof (cases x = 0 \lor y = 0)
 case False
 hence prime-factors (x * y) = prime-factors x \cup prime-factors y
   by (subst prime-factorization-mult) auto
 also {
   have prime-factors x \cap prime-factors y = set-mset (prime-factorization (gcd x
y))
     using False by (subst prime-factorization-gcd) auto
   also have qcd \ x \ y = 1 using \langle coprime \ x \ y \rangle by auto
   finally have card (prime-factors x \cup prime-factors y) = primes-omega x + y = primes
primes-omega y
     unfolding primes-omega-def by (intro card-Un-disjoint) (use False in auto)
 finally show ?thesis by (simp add: primes-omega-def)
qed (use assms in auto)
{\bf lemma}\ divisor-count\text{-}squarefree:
 assumes squarefree n \ n > 0
 shows divisor-count n = 2 \hat{} primes-omega n
proof -
 have divisor-count n = (\prod p \in prime-factors n. Suc (multiplicity p n))
   using assms by (subst divisor-count.prod-prime-factors') auto
 also have ... = (\prod p \in prime-factors \ n. \ 2)
  using assms assms by (intro prod.conq) (auto simp: squarefree-factorial-semiring')
 finally show ?thesis by (simp add: primes-omega-def)
qed
```

4 The Primorial function

theory Primorial imports Prime-Distribution-Elementary-Library Primes-Omega begin

4.1 Definition and basic properties

```
definition primorial :: real \Rightarrow nat where
 primorial x = \prod \{p. \ prime \ p \land real \ p \leq x\}
lemma primorial-mono: x \leq y \Longrightarrow primorial \ x \leq primorial \ y
  unfolding primorial-def
 by (intro dvd-imp-le prod-dvd-prod-subset)
    (auto intro!: prod-pos finite-primes-le dest: prime-gt-0-nat)
lemma prime-factorization-primorial:
  prime-factorization (primorial x) = mset-set \{p. prime p \land real p < x\}
proof (intro multiset-eqI)
 \mathbf{fix} \ p :: nat
 note fin = finite-primes-le[of x]
 show count (prime-factorization (primorial x)) p =
        count (mset-set \{p. prime p \land real p \leq x\}) p
  proof (cases prime p)
   case True
   hence count (prime-factorization (primorial x)) p =
           sum\ (multiplicity\ p)\ \{p.\ prime\ p \land real\ p \le x\}
     unfolding primorial-def count-prime-factorization using fin
     by (subst prime-elem-multiplicity-prod-distrib) auto
   also from True have ... = sum (\lambda-. 1) (if p \le x then \{p\} else \{\}) using fin
     by (intro sum.mono-neutral-cong-right) (auto simp: prime-multiplicity-other
split: if-splits)
   also have ... = count (mset-set \{p. prime p \land real p \leq x\}) p
     using True fin by auto
   finally show ?thesis.
 qed auto
qed
lemma prime-factors-primorial [simp]:
 prime-factors\ (primorial\ x) = \{p.\ prime\ p \land real\ p \le x\}
 unfolding prime-factorization-primorial using finite-primes-le[of x] by simp
lemma primorial-pos [simp, intro]: primorial x > 0
  unfolding primorial-def by (intro prod-pos) (auto dest: prime-gt-0-nat)
lemma primorial-neq-zero [simp]: primorial x \neq 0
```

```
by auto
lemma of-nat-primes-omega-primorial [simp]: real (primes-omega (primorial x))
= primes-pi x
 by (simp add: primes-omega-def primes-pi-def prime-sum-upto-def)
lemma primes-omega-primorial: primes-omega (primorial x) = nat | primes-pi x|
 by (simp add: primes-omega-def primes-pi-def prime-sum-upto-def)
lemma prime-dvd-primorial-iff: prime p \Longrightarrow p dvd primorial x \longleftrightarrow p \le x
  using finite-primes-le[of x]
 by (auto simp: primorial-def prime-dvd-prod-iff dest: primes-dvd-imp-eq)
lemma squarefree-primorial [intro]: squarefree (primorial x)
 unfolding primorial-def
 by (intro squarefree-prod-coprime) (auto simp: squarefree-prime intro: primes-coprime)
lemma primorial-ge: primorial x \geq 2 powr primes-pi x
proof -
 have 2 powr primes-pi x = real (\prod p \mid prime p \land real p \le x. 2)
   by (simp add: primes-pi-def prime-sum-upto-def powr-realpow)
 also have (\prod p \mid prime \ p \land real \ p \le x. \ 2) \le (\prod p \mid prime \ p \land real \ p \le x. \ p)
   by (intro prod-mono) (auto dest: prime-gt-1-nat)
 also have ... = primorial \ x \ by \ (simp \ add: primorial-def)
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma primorial-at-top: filterlim primorial at-top at-top
proof -
 have filterlim (\lambda x. real (primorial x)) at-top at-top
 proof (rule filterlim-at-top-mono)
   show eventually (\lambda x. primorial \ x \geq 2 powr primes-pi \ x) at-top
     by (intro always-eventually primorial-ge allI)
   have filterlim (\lambda x. exp (ln 2 * primes-pi x)) at-top at-top
     by (intro filterlim-compose[OF exp-at-top]
             filterlim-tendsto-pos-mult-at-top[OF tendsto-const] \pi-at-top) auto
   thus filterlim (\lambda x. 2 powr primes-pi x) at-top at-top
     by (simp add: powr-def mult-ac)
 qed
  thus ?thesis unfolding filterlim-sequentially-iff-filterlim-real [symmetric].
qed
lemma totient-primorial:
  real\ (totient\ (primorial\ x)) =
    real\ (primorial\ x)*(\prod p\mid prime\ p\land real\ p\leq x.\ 1-1\ /\ real\ p)\ {\bf for}\ x
proof -
```

primorial $x * (\prod p \in prime-factors (primorial x). 1 - 1 / p)$

have real (totient (primorial x)) =

by (rule totient-formula2)

```
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma ln-primorial: ln (primorial x) = primes-theta x
proof -
 have finite \{p. prime p \land real p \leq x\}
   by (rule\ finite-subset[of - \{..nat |x|\}]) (auto\ simp:\ le-nat-iff\ le-floor-iff)
 thus ?thesis unfolding of-nat-prod primorial-def
   by (subst ln-prod) (auto dest: prime-qt-0-nat simp: \vartheta-def prime-sum-upto-def)
qed
lemma divisor-count-primorial: divisor-count (primorial x) = 2 powr primes-pi x
proof
 have divisor-count (primorial x) = (\prod p \mid prime p \land real p \le x. divisor-count p)
   unfolding primorial-def
   by (subst divisor-count.prod-coprime) (auto simp: primes-coprime)
 also have ... = (\prod p \mid prime \ p \land real \ p \le x. \ 2)
   by (intro prod.cong divisor-count.prime) auto
 also have ... = 2 powr primes-pi x
   by (simp add: primes-pi-def prime-sum-upto-def powr-realpow)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
```

4.2 An alternative view on primorials

The following function is an alternative representation of primorials; instead of taking the product of all primes up to a given real bound x, it takes the product of the first k primes. This is sometimes more convenient.

```
definition primorial' :: nat \Rightarrow nat where
 primorial' \ n = (\prod k < n. \ nth-prime \ k)
lemma primorial'-0 [simp]: primorial' \theta = 1
 and primorial'-1 [simp]: primorial' 1 = 2
 and primorial'-2 [simp]: primorial' 2 = 6
 and primorial'-3 [simp]: primorial' 3 = 30
 by (simp-all add: primorial'-def lessThan-nat-numeral)
lemma primorial'-Suc: primorial' (Suc n) = nth-prime n * primorial' n
 by (simp add: primorial'-def)
lemma primorial'-pos [intro]: primorial' n > 0
 unfolding primorial'-def by (auto intro: prime-gt-0-nat)
lemma primorial'-neq-0 [simp]: primorial' n \neq 0
 by auto
lemma strict-mono-primorial': strict-mono primorial'
 unfolding strict-mono-Suc-iff
proof
```

```
\mathbf{fix} \ n :: nat
 have primorial' \ n * 1 < primorial' \ n * nth-prime \ n
   using prime-gt-1-nat[OF\ prime-nth-prime[of\ n]]
   by (intro mult-strict-left-mono) auto
  thus primorial' n < primorial' (Suc n)
   by (simp add: primorial'-Suc)
qed
lemma prime-factorization-primorial':
 prime-factorization (primorial' k) = mset-set (nth-prime ' \{..< k\})
proof -
 have prime-factorization (primorial' k) = (\sum i < k. prime-factorization (nth-prime
  unfolding primorial'-def by (subst prime-factorization-prod) (auto intro: prime-gt-0-nat)
 also have \dots = (\sum i < k. \{ \#nth\text{-}prime i\# \})
   \mathbf{by}\ (intro\ sum.cong\ prime-factorization-prime)\ auto
 also have \dots = (\sum p \in nth\text{-}prime ' \{..< k\}. \{\#p\#\})
   by (subst sum.reindex) (auto intro: inj-onI)
 also have \dots = mset\text{-}set \ (nth\text{-}prime \ `\{..< k\})
   by simp
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma prime-factors-primorial': prime-factors (primorial' k) = nth-prime '{..<k}
 by (simp add: prime-factorization-primorial')
lemma primes-omega-primorial' [simp]: primes-omega (primorial' k) = k
 unfolding primes-omega-def prime-factors-primorial' by (subst card-image) (auto
intro: inj-onI)
lemma squarefree-primorial' [intro]: squarefree (primorial' x)
 unfolding primorial'-def
 by (intro squarefree-prod-coprime) (auto intro: squarefree-prime intro: primes-coprime)
lemma divisor-count-primorial' [simp]: divisor-count (primorial' k) = 2 \hat{k}
 by (subst divisor-count-squarefree) auto
lemma totient-primorial':
  totient\ (primorial'\ k) = primorial'\ k * (\prod i < k.\ 1 - 1\ /\ nth\text{-}prime\ i)
  unfolding totient-formula2 prime-factors-primorial'
 by (subst prod.reindex) (auto intro: inj-onI)
lemma primorial-conv-primorial': primorial x = primorial' (nat \mid primes-pi \mid x \mid)
 unfolding primorial-def primorial'-def
proof (rule prod.reindex-bij-witness[of - nth-prime \lambda p. nat |primes-pi| (real p)| -
1])
 fix p assume p: p \in \{p. prime \ p \land real \ p \le x\}
 have [simp]: primes-pi 2 = 1 by (auto simp: eval-\pi)
 have primes-pi \ p \geq 1
```

```
using p \pi-mono[of 2 real p] by (auto dest!: prime-qt-1-nat)
  with p show nth-prime (nat | primes-pi p \mid -1) = p
   using \pi-pos[of real p]
   by (intro nth-prime-eqI'')
     (auto simp: le-nat-iff le-floor-iff primes-pi-def prime-sum-upto-def of-nat-diff)
  from p have nat \mid primes-pi \ (real \ p) \mid \leq nat \mid primes-pi \ x \mid
   by (intro nat-mono floor-mono \pi-mono) auto
  hence nat \mid primes-pi \ (real \ p) \mid -1 < nat \mid primes-pi \ x \mid
    using \langle primes-pi \ p \geq 1 \rangle by linarith
  thus nat \lfloor primes-pi \ (real \ p) \rfloor - 1 \in \{..< nat \ \lfloor primes-pi \ x \rfloor \} by simp
  show nth-prime (nat \mid primes-pi (real \mid p) \mid -1) = p
   using p \langle primes-pi \ p \geq 1 \rangle
  by (intro nth-prime-eqI'') (auto simp: le-nat-iff primes-pi-def prime-sum-upto-def)
\mathbf{next}
  fix k assume k: k \in \{..< nat \mid primes-pi \mid x \mid \}
 thus *: nat |primes-pi|(real (nth-prime k))| - 1 = k by auto
 from k have \neg(x < 2) by (intro notI) auto
 hence x \geq 2 by simp
 have real (nth\text{-prime }k) \leq real (nth\text{-prime }(nat \mid primes\text{-pri} \mid x \mid -1))
   using k by simp
 also have \dots \leq x
   using nth-prime-partition"[of x] \langle x \geq 2 \rangle by auto
  finally show nth-prime k \in \{p. prime p \land real p \leq x\}
   by auto
qed
lemma primorial'-conv-primorial:
 assumes n > 0
 shows primorial' n = primorial (nth-prime (n - 1))
proof -
 have primarial (nth\text{-prime }(n-1)) = (\prod k < nat (int (n-1)+1). nth\text{-prime})
   by (simp add: primorial-conv-primorial' primorial'-def)
 also have nat (int (n - 1) + 1) = n
   using assms by auto
 finally show ?thesis by (simp add: primorial'-def)
qed
```

4.3 Maximal compositeness of primorials

Primorials are maximally composite, i.e. any number with k distinct prime factors is as least as big as the primorial with k distinct prime factors, and and number less than a primorial has strictly less prime factors.

```
lemma nth-prime-le-prime-sequence:

fixes p :: nat \Rightarrow nat

assumes strict-mono-on \{..< n\} p and \bigwedge k. k < n \Longrightarrow prime (p \ k) and k < n

shows nth-prime k \le p \ k

using assms(3)

proof (induction \ k)
```

```
case \theta
 hence prime(p \ \theta) by (intro \ assms)
 hence p \ \theta \ge 2 by (auto dest: prime-gt-1-nat)
 thus ?case by simp
next
 case (Suc \ k)
 have IH: Suc (nth\text{-prime }k) \leq Suc \ (p \ k) using Suc by simp
 have nth-prime (Suc\ k) = smallest-prime-beyond (Suc\ (nth-prime k))
   by (simp add: nth-prime-Suc)
 also {
   have Suc\ (nth\text{-}prime\ k) \leq Suc\ (p\ k) using Suc\ by\ simp
   also have \dots \leq smallest-prime-beyond (Suc (p \ k))
     by (rule smallest-prime-beyond-le)
  finally have smallest-prime-beyond (Suc (nth-prime k)) \leq smallest-prime-beyond
(Suc\ (p\ k))
     by (rule smallest-prime-beyond-smallest[OF prime-smallest-prime-beyond])
 also have p \ k 
   using Suc by (intro\ strict-mono-onD[OF\ assms(1)]) auto
 hence smallest-prime-beyond (Suc (p \ k)) \leq p (Suc k)
   using Suc. prems by (intro smallest-prime-beyond-smallest assms) auto
 finally show ?case.
qed
theorem primorial'-primes-omega-le:
 fixes n :: nat
 assumes n: n > 0
 shows primorial' (primes-omega n) \leq n
proof (cases n = 1)
 case True
 thus ?thesis by simp
next
 case False
 with assms have n > 1 by simp
 define m where m = primes-omega n
 define P where P = \{p. prime \ p \land real \ p \leq primes-pi \ n\}
 define ps where ps = sorted-list-of-set (prime-factors n)
 have set-ps: set ps = prime-factors n by (simp \ add: \ ps-def)
 have [simp]: length ps = m by (simp add: ps-def m-def primes-omega-def)
 have sorted ps distinct ps by (simp-all add: ps-def)
 hence mono: strict-mono-on \{..< m\} (\lambda k. ps! k)
  by (intro strict-mono-on le-neq-trans) (auto simp: sorted-nth-mono distinct-conv-nth)
 from \langle n > 1 \rangle have m > 0
   by (auto simp: m-def prime-factorization-empty-iff intro!: Nat.gr0I)
 have primorial' m = (\prod k < m. \ nth\text{-prime } k)
   using \langle m > 0 \rangle by (simp add: of-nat-diff primorial'-def m-def)
 also have (\prod k < m. \ nth\text{-}prime \ k) \le (\prod k < m. \ ps \mid k \ \widehat{\ } multiplicity \ (ps \mid k) \ n)
 proof (intro prod-mono conjI)
```

```
fix i assume i: i \in \{..< m\}
   hence p: ps ! i \in prime-factors n
     using set-ps by (auto simp: set-conv-nth)
   with i set-ps have nth-prime i \leq ps \mid i
      by (intro nth-prime-le-prime-sequence [where n = m] mono) (auto simp:
set-conv-nth)
   also have ... \leq ps \mid i \text{ }^{\smallfrown} multiplicity } (ps \mid i) n
     using p by (intro self-le-power) (auto simp: prime-factors-multiplicity dest:
prime-gt-1-nat)
   finally show nth-prime i \leq \dots.
  qed auto
 also have ... = (\prod p \in (\lambda i. ps! i) ` \{.. < m\}. p ` multiplicity p n)
    using \(\distinct \ ps \) by \((subst \ prod.reindex)\) (auto \(intro!: inj-onI \) simp: \(dis-onI \)
tinct-conv-nth)
  also have (\lambda i. ps! i) '\{..< m\} = set ps
   by (auto simp: set-conv-nth)
 also have set ps = prime-factors n
   by (simp \ add: set-ps)
  also have (\prod p \in prime-factors n. p \cap multiplicity p n) = n
   using \langle n > 1 \rangle by (intro prime-factorization-nat [symmetric]) auto
  finally show primarial' m \leq n.
\mathbf{qed}
{\bf lemma}\ primes-omega-less-primes-omega-primorial:
  fixes n :: nat
 assumes n: n > 0 and n < primorial x
 shows primes-omega n < primes-omega (primorial x)
proof (cases n > 1)
  case False
 have [simp]: primes-pi 2 = 1 by (simp add: eval-\pi)
 from False assms have [simp]: n = 1 by auto
 from assms have \neg(x < 2)
   by (intro notI) (auto simp: primorial-conv-primorial')
  thus ?thesis using assms \pi-mono[of 2 x] by auto
next
 case True
 define m where m = primes-omega n
 have le: primorial' m \leq n
  using primorial'-primes-omega-le[of n] \langle n > 1 \rangle by (simp add: m-def primes-omega-def)
 also have \dots < primorial x by fact
 also have \dots = primorial' (nat | primes-pi | x |)
   by (simp add: primorial-conv-primorial')
 finally have m < nat \mid primes-pi \mid x \mid
   using strict-mono-less[OF strict-mono-primorial'] by simp
 hence m < primes-pi x by linarith
 also have \dots = primes-omega (primorial x) by simp
 finally show ?thesis unfolding m-def of-nat-less-iff.
qed
```

```
lemma primes-omega-le-primes-omega-primorial:
 fixes n :: nat
 assumes n \leq primorial x
 shows primes-omega n \leq primes-omega (primorial x)
proof -
 consider n = 0 \mid n > 0 n = primorial x \mid n > 0 n \neq primorial x by force
 thus ?thesis
  by cases (use primes-omega-less-primes-omega-primorial of n \ x) assms in auto)
qed
end
     The LCM of the first n natural numbers
5
theory Lcm-Nat-Upto
 imports Prime-Number-Theorem.Prime-Counting-Functions
begin
In this section, we examine Lcm \{1..n\}. In particular, we will show that it
is equal to e^{\psi(n)} and thus (by the PNT) e^{n+o(n)}.
lemma multiplicity-Lcm:
 fixes A :: 'a :: \{semiring\text{-}Gcd, factorial\text{-}semiring\text{-}gcd} \} set
 assumes finite A A \neq \{\} prime p \theta \notin A
 shows multiplicity\ p\ (Lcm\ A) = Max\ (multiplicity\ p\ `A)
 using assms
proof (induction A rule: finite-ne-induct)
 case (insert x A)
 have Lcm (insert x A) = lcm x (Lcm A) by simp
 also have multiplicity p \dots = Max (multiplicity p 'insert x A)
   using insert by (subst multiplicity-lcm) (auto simp: Lcm-0-iff)
 finally show ?case by simp
qed auto
The multiplicity of any prime p in Lcm \{1..n\} differs from that in Lcm \{1..n\}
-1} iff n is a power of p, in which case it is greater by 1.
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{multiplicity-Lcm-atLeast1AtMost-Suc:}
 fixes p n :: nat
 assumes p: prime p and n: n > 0
 shows multiplicity\ p\ (Lcm\ \{1..Suc\ n\}) =
         (if \exists k. Suc n = p \land k then 1 else 0) + multiplicity p (Lcm \{1..n\})
proof -
 define k where k = Max (multiplicity p ' \{1..n\})
 define l where l = multiplicity p (Suc n)
 have eq: \{1..Suc\ n\} = insert\ (Suc\ n)\ \{1..n\} by auto
 from \langle prime p \rangle have p > 1 by (auto dest: prime-gt-1-nat)
 have multiplicity p (Lcm \{1..Suc\ n\}) = Max (multiplicity p '\{1..Suc\ n\})
```

using assms by (subst multiplicity-Lcm) auto

```
also have multiplicity p '\{1..Suc\ n\} =
             insert (multiplicity p (Suc n)) (multiplicity p '\{1..n\})
   by (simp only: eq image-insert)
  also have Max \dots = max \ l \ k
   unfolding l-def k-def using assms by (subst Max.insert) auto
  also have ... = (if \exists k. Suc \ n = p \land k \ then \ 1 \ else \ 0) + k
  proof (cases \exists k. Suc n = p \hat{k})
   case False
   have p \cap l \ dvd \ Suc \ n
     unfolding l-def by (intro multiplicity-dvd)
   hence p \cap l \leq Suc \ n
     unfolding l-def by (intro dvd-imp-le multiplicity-dvd) auto
   moreover have Suc \ n \neq p \ \widehat{\ } l \ using \ False \ by \ blast
   ultimately have p \cap l < Suc \ n by linarith
   moreover have p \cap l > 0 using \langle p > 1 \rangle by (intro zero-less-power) auto
   ultimately have l = multiplicity \ p \ (p \ \hat{} \ l) and p \ \hat{} \ l \in \{1..n\}
     using \langle prime p \rangle by auto
   hence l \leq k unfolding k-def by (intro Max.coboundedI) auto
   with False show ?thesis by (simp add: l-def k-def)
  next
   case True
   then obtain x where x: Suc n = p \hat{x} by blast
   from x and \langle n > \theta \rangle have x > \theta by (intro\ Nat.gr\theta I) auto
   from x have [simp]: l = x using \langle prime \ p \rangle by (simp \ add: \ l\text{-}def)
   have x = k + 1
   proof (intro antisym)
     have p \ \widehat{} (x-1) < Suc \ n
      using \langle x > 0 \rangle \langle p > 1 \rangle unfolding x by (intro power-strict-increasing) auto
     moreover have p^{(x-1)} > 0
       using \langle p > 1 \rangle by (intro zero-less-power) auto
      ultimately have multiplicity p(p(x-1)) = x - 1 and p(x-1) \in
\{1..n\}
       using \langle prime \ p \rangle by auto
     hence x - 1 \le k
       unfolding k-def by (intro Max.coboundedI) force+
     thus x \le k + 1 by linarith
   next
     have multiplicity p \ y < x \ \text{if} \ y \in \{1..n\} \ \text{for} \ y
     proof -
       have p \cap multiplicity p y \leq y
         using that by (intro dvd-imp-le multiplicity-dvd) auto
       also have \dots < Suc \ n  using that by simp
       also have ... = p \hat{x} by (fact x)
       finally show multiplicity p y < x
         using \langle p > 1 \rangle by (subst (asm) power-strict-increasing-iff)
     qed
     hence k < x
       using \langle n > 0 \rangle unfolding k-def by (subst Max-less-iff) auto
     thus k + 1 \le x by simp
```

```
qed
       thus ?thesis using True by simp
    qed
   also have k = multiplicity \ p \ (Lcm \{1..n\})
         unfolding k-def using \langle n > 0 \rangle and \langle prime p \rangle by (subst multiplicity-Lcm)
auto
    finally show ?thesis.
Consequently, Lcm \{1..n\} differs from Lcm \{1..n-1\} iff n is of the form
p^k for some prime p, in which case it is greater by a factor of p.
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{Lcm-atLeast1AtMost-Suc} :
    Lcm \{1..Suc n\} = Lcm \{1..n\} * (if primepow (Suc n) then a prime divisor (Suc n) the a prime divisor (Suc n) then a prime divisor (Suc n) then a prime divisor (Suc n) the a p
n) else 1)
proof (cases n > 0)
   case True
   show ?thesis
   proof (rule multiplicity-eq-nat)
       fix p :: nat assume prime p
       define x where x = (if primepow (Suc n) then a primedivisor (Suc n) else 1)
       have x > 0
          using \langle n > 0 \rangle by (auto simp: x-def intro!: aprimedivisor-pos-nat)
       have multiplicity p (Lcm \{1..n\} * x) = multiplicity p (Lcm \{1..n\}) + multi-
plicity p x
         using \langle prime \ p \rangle \langle x > 0 \rangle by (subst prime-elem-multiplicity-mult-distrib) auto
       also consider \exists k. \ Suc \ n = p \ \hat{\ } k \mid primepow \ (Suc \ n) \ \neg (\exists k. \ Suc \ n = p \ \hat{\ } k)
                            \neg primepow (Suc n) by blast
       hence multiplicity p \ x = (if \ \exists k. \ Suc \ n = p \ \hat{\ } k \ then \ 1 \ else \ 0)
       proof cases
          assume \exists k. \ Suc \ n = p \ \hat{k}
          thus ?thesis using \langle prime \ p \rangle \ \langle n > \theta \rangle
              by (auto simp: x-def aprimedivisor-prime-power intro!: Nat.gr0I)
          assume *: primepow (Suc n) \nexists k. Suc n = p \hat{k}
          then obtain q k where qk: prime q Suc n = q^k k > 0 q \neq p
              by (auto simp: primepow-def)
          thus ?thesis using \langle prime p \rangle
          by (subst *) (auto simp: x-def aprimedivisor-prime-power prime-multiplicity-other)
       next
          assume *: \neg primepow (Suc \ n)
          hence **: \nexists k. Suc n = p \land k using \langle prime p \rangle \langle n > 0 \rangle by auto
          from * show ?thesis unfolding x-def
              by (subst **) auto
      also have multiplicity p (Lcm \{1..n\}) + ... = multiplicity p (Lcm \{1..Suc n\})
             using \langle prime \ p \rangle \langle n > 0 \rangle by (subst multiplicity-Lcm-atLeast1AtMost-Suc)
(auto\ simp:\ x-def)
       finally show multiplicity p (Lcm \{1...Suc\ n\}) = multiplicity p (Lcm \{1...n\} *
```

```
\mathbf{qed} \ (use \ \langle n > \theta \rangle \ \mathbf{in} \ \langle auto \ intro!: \ Nat.gr0I \ dest: \ aprimedivisor-pos-nat \rangle)
qed auto
It follows by induction that Lcm \{1..n\} = e^{\psi(n)}.
lemma Lcm-atLeast1AtMost-conv-\psi:
 includes prime-counting-syntax
 shows real (Lcm \{1..n\}) = exp (\psi (real n))
proof (induction \ n)
 case (Suc \ n)
 have real (Lcm \{1..Suc n\}) =
        real\ (Lcm\ \{1..n\})* (if\ primepow\ (Suc\ n)\ then\ aprimedivisor\ (Suc\ n)\ else
1)
   by (subst Lcm-atLeast1AtMost-Suc) auto
   assume primepow (Suc n)
   hence Suc \ n > Suc \ \theta by (rule \ primepow-gt-Suc-\theta)
   hence a prime divisor (Suc n) > 0 by (intro a prime divisor-pos-nat) auto
 hence (if primepow (Suc n) then a primedivisor (Suc n) else 1) = exp (mangoldt
(Suc\ n)
   by (simp add: mangoldt-def)
 also have Lcm \{1..n\} * ... = exp (\psi (real n + 1))
   using Suc.IH by (simp add: primes-psi-def sum-upto-plus1 exp-add)
 finally show ?case by (simp \ add: \ add-ac)
qed simp-all
lemma Lcm-upto-real-conv-\psi:
 includes prime-counting-syntax
 shows real (Lcm \{1..nat |x|\}) = exp(\psi x)
 by (subst\ Lcm-atLeast1AtMost-conv-\psi) (simp\ add:\ primes-psi-def\ sum-upto-altdef)
```

6 Shapiro's Tauberian Theorem

```
theory Shapiro-Tauberian
imports
More-Dirichlet-Misc
Prime-Number-Theorem.Prime-Counting-Functions
Prime-Distribution-Elementary-Library
begin
```

6.1 Proof

end

Given an arithmetical function a(n), Shapiro's Tauberian theorem relates the sum $\sum_{n \leq x} a(n)$ to the weighted sums $\sum_{n \leq x} a(n) \lfloor \frac{x}{n} \rfloor$ and $\sum_{n \leq x} a(n)/n$. More precisely, it shows that if $\sum_{n \leq x} a(n) \lfloor \frac{x}{n} \rfloor = x \ln x + O(x)$, then:

```
• \sum_{n \le x} a(n) \ge Cx for some constant C > 0 and all x \ge 1/C
locale shapiro-tauberian =
  fixes a :: nat \Rightarrow real and A S T :: real \Rightarrow real
  defines A \equiv sum\text{-}upto\ (\lambda n.\ a\ n\ /\ n)
  defines S \equiv sum-upto a
  defines T \equiv (\lambda x. \ dirichlet\text{-prod}' \ a \ floor \ x)
  assumes a-nonneq:
                               \bigwedge n. \ n > 0 \Longrightarrow a \ n \geq 0
  assumes a-asymptotics: (\lambda x. T x - x * ln x) \in O(\lambda x. x)
begin
lemma fin: finite X if X \subseteq \{n. real \ n \leq x\} for X x
 by (rule finite-subset[of - \{..nat \lfloor x \rfloor\}]) (use that in \langle auto simp: le-nat-iff le-floor-iff\rangle)
lemma S-mono: S x \leq S y if x \leq y for x y
 unfolding S-def sum-upto-def using that by (intro sum-mono2 fin[of - y] a-nonneg)
auto
lemma split:
  fixes f :: nat \Rightarrow real
 assumes \alpha \in \{0..1\}
 shows sum-upto f(\alpha *x) + (\sum n \mid n > 0 \land real \ n \in \{\alpha *x < ...x\}.
f(n)
proof (cases x > \theta)
  case False
 hence *: \{n. \ n > 0 \land real \ n \le x\} = \{\} \{n. \ n > 0 \land real \ n \in \{\alpha * x < ... x\}\} = \{\}
   using mult-right-mono[of \alpha 1 x] assms by auto
 have \alpha * x \leq \theta
   using False assms by (intro mult-nonneg-nonpos) auto
  hence **: \{n. \ n > 0 \land real \ n \le \alpha * x\} = \{\}
   by auto
  show ?thesis
    unfolding sum-upto-def * ** by auto
next
  case True
  have sum-upto f x = (\sum n \mid n > 0 \land real \ n \le x. \ f \ n)
   by (simp add: sum-upto-def)
  also have \{n. \ n > 0 \land real \ n \leq x\} =
               \{n. \ n > 0 \land real \ n \leq \alpha * x\} \cup \{n. \ n > 0 \land real \ n \in \{\alpha * x < ... x\}\}
   using assms True mult-right-mono[of \alpha 1 x] by (force intro: order-trans)
  also have (\sum n \in \dots f n) = sum\text{-}upto f (\alpha * x) + (\sum n \mid n > 0 \land real n \in
\{\alpha * x < ... x\}. f n
   by (subst sum.union-disjoint) (auto intro: fin simp: sum-upto-def)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
```

• $\sum_{n \le x} \frac{a(n)}{n} = \ln x + O(1)$

• $\sum_{n \le x} a(n) \le Bx$ for some constant $B \ge 0$ and all $x \ge 0$

```
lemma S-diff-T-diff: S x - S (x / 2) \le T x - 2 * T (x / 2)
proof -
 note fin = fin[of - x]
 have T-diff-eq:
   T x - 2 * T (x / 2) = sum\text{-}upto (\lambda n. \ a \ n * (\lfloor x / n \rfloor - 2 * \lfloor x / (2 * n) \rfloor)) (x)
/2) +
       (\sum n \mid n > 0 \land real \ n \in \{x/2 < ...x\}. \ a \ n * |x / n|)
   unfolding T-def dirichlet-prod'-def
   by (subst split[where \alpha = 1/2])
      (simp-all add: sum-upto-def sum-subtractf ring-distribs
                    sum-distrib-left sum-distrib-right mult-ac)
 have S x - S (x / 2) = (\sum n \mid n > 0 \land real \ n \in \{x/2 < ...x\}. \ a \ n)
   unfolding S-def by (subst split[where \alpha = 1 / 2]) (auto simp: sum-upto-def)
 also have ... = (\sum n \mid n > 0 \land real \ n \in \{x/2 < ... x\}. \ a \ n * \lfloor x / n \rfloor)
 proof (intro sum.cong)
   fix n assume n \in \{n, n > 0 \land real \ n \in \{x/2 < ...x\}\}
   hence x / n \ge 1 x / n < 2 by (auto simp: field-simps)
   hence |x / n| = 1 by linarith
   thus a n = a n * |x / n| by simp
  qed auto
 also have \dots = 0 + \dots by simp
 also have 0 \leq sum-upto (\lambda n. \ a \ n*(|x/n|-2*|x/(2*n)|)) \ (x/2)
   unfolding sum-upto-def
  proof (intro sum-nonneg mult-nonneg-nonneg a-nonneg)
   fix n assume n \in \{n, n > 0 \land real \ n \le x / 2\}
   hence x / real \ n \geq 2 by (auto simp: field-simps)
   thus real-of-int (|x / n| - 2 * |x / (2 * n)|) \ge 0
     using le-mult-floor[of 2 x / (2 * n)] by (simp \ add: \ mult-ac)
 qed auto
 also have ... + (\sum n \mid n > 0 \land real \ n \in \{x/2 < ...x\}. \ a \ n * \lfloor x / n \rfloor) = T \ x - 2
* T (x / 2)
   using T-diff-eq...
 finally show S x - S (x / 2) \le T x - 2 * T (x / 2) by simp
qed
lemma
 shows diff-bound-strong: \exists c \geq 0. \forall x \geq 0. x * A x - T x \in \{0..c*x\}
                             (\lambda x. \ A \ x - \ln x) \in O(\lambda -. \ 1)
   and asymptotics:
                            \exists c \geq 0. \ \forall x \geq 0. \ S \ x \leq c * x
   and upper:
                            \exists c > 0. \ \forall x \geq 1/c. \ S \ x \geq c * x
   and lower:
   and bigtheta:
                            S \in \Theta(\lambda x. x)
proof -
   — We first prove the third case, i. e. the upper bound for S.
 have (\lambda x. S x - S (x / 2)) \in O(\lambda x. T x - 2 * T (x / 2))
  proof (rule le-imp-bigo-real)
   show eventually (\lambda x. S x - S (x / 2) \ge 0) at-top
     using eventually-ge-at-top[of 0]
```

```
proof eventually-elim
   case (elim \ x)
   thus ?case using S-mono[of x / 2 x] by simp
 qed
next
 show eventually (\lambda x. Sx - S(x/2) \le 1 * (Tx - 2 * T(x/2))) at-top
   using S-diff-T-diff by simp
also have (\lambda x. T x - 2 * T (x / 2)) \in O(\lambda x. x)
proof -
 have (\lambda x. \ T \ x - 2 * T \ (x / 2)) =
         (\lambda x. (Tx - x * ln x) - 2 * (T(x/2) - (x/2) * ln (x/2))
           + x * (ln x - ln (x / 2))) by (simp add: algebra-simps)
 also have \dots \in O(\lambda x. x)
 proof (rule sum-in-bigo, rule sum-in-bigo)
   show (\lambda x. T x - x * ln x) \in O(\lambda x. x) by (rule a-asymptotics)
   have (\lambda x. T(x/2) - (x/2) * ln(x/2)) \in O(\lambda x. x/2)
     using a-asymptotics by (rule landau-o.big.compose) real-asymp+
   thus (\lambda x. \ 2 * (T(x / 2) - x / 2 * ln(x / 2))) \in O(\lambda x. x)
     unfolding cmult-in-bigo-iff by (subst (asm) landau-o.big.cdiv) auto
 qed real-asymp+
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
finally have S-diff-bigo: (\lambda x. S x - S (x / 2)) \in O(\lambda x. x).
obtain c1 where c1: c1 \geq 0 \land x. x \geq 0 \Longrightarrow S \ x \leq c1 * x
proof -
 from S-diff-bigo have (\lambda n. \ S \ (real \ n) - S \ (real \ n \ / \ 2)) \in O(\lambda n. \ real \ n)
   by (rule landau-o.big.compose) real-asymp
 from natfun-bigoE[OF this, of 1] obtain c
   where c > 0 \ \forall n \ge 1. |S(real n) - S(real n / 2)| \le c * real n by auto
 hence c: S (real \ n) - S (real \ n \ / \ 2) \le c * real \ n \ if \ n \ge 1 \ for \ n
   using S-mono[of real n \ 2 * real \ n] that by auto
 have c-twopow: S(2 \cap Suc n / 2) - S(2 \cap n / 2) \le c * 2 \cap n for n
   using c[of 2 \cap n] by simp
 have S-twopow-le: S(2^k) \le 2 * c * 2^k for k
 proof -
   have [simp]: \{0 < ...Suc \ 0\} = \{1\} by auto have (\sum r < Suc \ k. \ S \ (2 \ ^Suc \ r \ / \ 2) - S \ (2 \ ^r \ / \ 2)) \le (\sum r < Suc \ k. \ c * 2
     by (intro sum-mono c-twopow)
   also have (\sum r < Suc \ k. \ S \ (2 \cap Suc \ r \ / \ 2) - S \ (2 \cap r \ / \ 2)) = S \ (2 \cap k)
     by (subst sum-lessThan-telescope) (auto simp: S-def sum-upto-altdef)
   also have (\sum r < Suc \ k. \ c * 2 \ \hat{r}) = c * (\sum r < Suc \ k. \ 2 \ \hat{r})
     unfolding sum-distrib-left ...
   also have (\sum r < Suc \ k. \ 2 \ \hat{r} :: real) = 2 \ Suc \ k - 1
     by (subst geometric-sum) auto
```

```
also have c * \ldots \leq c * 2 ^ Suc k
       using \langle c > \theta \rangle by (intro mult-left-mono) auto
     finally show S(2 \hat{k}) \leq 2 * c * 2 \hat{k} by simp
   have S-le: S x \le 4 * c * x  if x \ge 0  for x
   proof (cases x \ge 1)
     case False
     with that have x \in \{0..<1\} by auto
     thus ?thesis using \langle c > 0 \rangle by (auto simp: S-def sum-upto-altdef)
   next
     case True
     hence x: x \ge 1 by simp
     define n where n = nat | log 2 x |
     have 2 powr real n \leq 2 powr (\log 2 x)
       unfolding n-def using x by (intro powr-mono) auto
     hence ge: 2 \ \hat{} \ n \leq x using x by (subst\ (asm)\ powr-realpow) auto
     have 2 powr real (Suc n) > 2 powr (log 2 x)
       unfolding n-def using x by (intro powr-less-mono) linarith+
     hence less: 2 \cap (Suc\ n) > x using x by (subst (asm) powr-realpow) auto
     have S x \leq S (2 \cap Suc n)
       using less by (intro S-mono) auto
     also have ... \leq 2 * c * 2 ^ Suc n
      by (intro S-twopow-le)
     also have ... = 4 * c * 2 ^n
      by simp
     also have \ldots \leq 4 * c * x
      by (intro mult-left-mono ge) (use x \langle c > \theta \rangle in auto)
     finally show S x \le 4 * c * x.
   with that[of 4 * c] and \langle c > \theta \rangle show ?thesis by auto
 thus \exists c > 0. \forall x > 0. S x < c * x by auto
  — The asymptotics of A follows from this immediately:
 have a-strong: x*A x-T x \in \{0..c1*x\} if x: x \ge 0 for x
 proof -
  have sum-upto (\lambda n. \ a \ n * frac \ (x \ / \ n)) \ x \leq sum-upto \ (\lambda n. \ a \ n * 1) \ x unfolding
sum-upto-def
   by (intro sum-mono mult-left-mono a-nonneg) (auto intro: less-imp-le frac-lt-1)
   also have \dots = S x unfolding S-def by simp
   also from x have ... \leq c1 * x by (rule \ c1)
   finally have sum-upto (\lambda n. \ a \ n * frac (x / n)) \ x \le c1 * x.
   moreover have sum-upto (\lambda n. \ a \ n * frac (x / n)) \ x \ge 0
    unfolding sum-upto-def by (intro sum-nonneg mult-nonneg-nonneg a-nonneg)
auto
```

```
ultimately have sum-upto (\lambda n.\ a\ n*frac\ (x\ /\ n))\ x\in\{0..c1*x\} by auto
   also have sum-upto (\lambda n. \ a \ n * frac (x / n)) \ x = x * A \ x - T \ x
     by (simp add: T-def A-def sum-upto-def sum-subtractf frac-def algebra-simps
                  sum-distrib-left sum-distrib-right dirichlet-prod'-def)
   finally show ?thesis.
 qed
  thus \exists c \geq 0. \ \forall x \geq 0. \ x * A \ x - T \ x \in \{0..c*x\}
   using \langle c1 \geq 0 \rangle by (intro exI[of - c1]) auto
  hence (\lambda x. \ x * A \ x - T \ x) \in O(\lambda x. \ x)
   using a-strong \langle c1 \geq 0 \rangle
   by (intro\ le-imp-bigo-real[of\ c1]\ eventually-mono[OF\ eventually-ge-at-top[of\ 1]])
 from this and a-asymptotics have (\lambda x. (x * A x - T x) + (T x - x * ln x)) \in
O(\lambda x. x)
   by (rule sum-in-bigo)
 hence (\lambda x. \ x * (A \ x - ln \ x)) \in O(\lambda x. \ x * 1)
   by (simp add: algebra-simps)
 thus bigo: (\lambda x. \ A \ x - \ln x) \in O(\lambda x. \ 1)
   by (subst (asm) landau-o.big.mult-cancel-left) auto
  — It remains to show the lower bound for S.
 define R where R = (\lambda x. A x - \ln x)
 obtain M where M: \bigwedge x. x \geq 1 \Longrightarrow |R| \leq M
 proof -
   have (\lambda n. R (real n)) \in O(\lambda -. 1)
     using bigo unfolding R-def by (rule landau-o.big.compose) real-asymp
    from natfun-bigoE[OF\ this,\ of\ 0] obtain M where M: M>0\ \land n.\ |R| (real
|n| \leq M
     by auto
   have |R| \le M + \ln 2 if x: x \ge 1 for x
   proof -
     define n where n = nat |x|
     have |R x - R (real n)| = ln (x / n)
       using x by (simp add: R-def A-def sum-upto-altdef n-def ln-divide-pos)
       have x \leq real \ n + 1
         unfolding n-def by linarith
       also have 1 \leq real n
         using x unfolding n-def by simp
       finally have ln(x / n) \le ln 2
         using x by (simp \ add: field-simps)
     finally have |R| \le |R| (real |n|) + ln| 2
       by linarith
     also have |R (real n)| \leq M
       by (rule M)
     finally show |R| \le M + \ln 2 by simp
   qed
```

```
with that[of M + ln 2] show ?thesis by blast
qed
have M \geq 0 using M[of 1] by simp
have A-diff-qe: A \times A = A (\alpha * x) > -\ln \alpha - 2 * M
 if \alpha: \alpha \in \{0 < ... < 1\} and x \ge 1 / \alpha for x \alpha :: real
proof -
 from that have 1 < inverse \ \alpha * 1  by (simp \ add: field-simps)
 also have ... \leq inverse \ \alpha * (\alpha * x)
    using \langle x \geq 1 / \alpha \rangle and \alpha by (intro mult-left-mono) (auto simp: field-simps)
 also from \alpha have ... = x by simp
 finally have x > 1.
 note x = this \langle x \rangle = 1 / \alpha \rangle
 have -\ln \alpha - M - M \le -\ln \alpha - |R|x| - |R|(\alpha * x)|
    using x \alpha by (intro diff-mono M) (auto simp: field-simps)
 also have ... \leq -\ln \alpha + R x - R (\alpha * x)
   by linarith
 also have ... = A x - A (\alpha * x)
    using \alpha x by (simp add: R-def ln-mult)
 finally show A x - A (\alpha * x) \ge -\ln \alpha - 2 * M by simp
qed
define \alpha where \alpha = exp(-2*M-1)
have \alpha \in \{0 < .. < 1\}
 using \langle M \geq 0 \rangle by (auto simp: \alpha-def)
have S-ge: S x \ge \alpha * x \text{ if } x: x \ge 1 / \alpha \text{ for } x
proof -
 have 1 = -\ln \alpha - 2 * M
    by (simp add: \alpha-def)
 also have \dots \leq A x - A (\alpha * x)
   by (intro A-diff-ge) fact+
 also have ... = (\sum n \mid n > 0 \land real \ n \in \{\alpha * x < ... x\}. \ a \ n / n)
   unfolding A-def using \langle \alpha \in \{0 < ... < 1\} \rangle by (subst\ split[\mathbf{where}\ \alpha = \alpha]) auto
 also have ... \leq (\sum n \mid n > 0 \land real \ n \in \{\alpha * x < ... x\}. \ a \ n \ / \ (\alpha * x))
   using x \ \langle \alpha \in \{0 < ... < 1\} \rangle by (intro sum-mono divide-left-mono a-nonneg) auto
 also have ... = (\sum n \mid n > 0 \land real \ n \in \{\alpha*x<..x\}. \ a \ n) \ / \ (\alpha*x)
    by (simp add: sum-divide-distrib)
 also have \ldots \leq S x / (\alpha * x)
    using x \ \langle \alpha \in \{0 < .. < 1\} \rangle unfolding S-def sum-upto-def
    by (intro divide-right-mono sum-mono2 a-nonneg) (auto simp: field-simps)
 finally show S x \ge \alpha * x
    using \langle \alpha \in \{0 < ... < 1\} \rangle x by (simp add: field-simps)
qed
thus \exists c > 0. \forall x \ge 1/c. S x \ge c * x
 using \langle \alpha \in \{0 < ... < 1\} \rangle by (intro exI[of - \alpha]) auto
have S-nonneg: S x \ge 0 for x
```

```
unfolding S-def sum-upto-def by (intro sum-nonneg a-nonneg) auto
  have eventually (\lambda x. |S| x| \ge \alpha * |x|) at-top
   using eventually-ge-at-top[of max \theta (1 / \alpha)]
  proof eventually-elim
   case (elim\ x)
   with S-ge[of x] elim show ?case by auto
 qed
 hence S \in \Omega(\lambda x. x)
   using \langle \alpha \in \{0 < ... < 1\} \rangle by (intro landau-omega.bigI[of \alpha]) auto
  moreover have S \in O(\lambda x. x)
 proof (intro bigoI eventually-mono[OF eventually-ge-at-top[of \theta]])
   fix x :: real assume x \geq 0
   thus norm (S x) < c1 * norm x
     using c1(2)[of x] by (auto simp: S-nonneg)
 qed
 ultimately show S \in \Theta(\lambda x. x)
   by (intro bigthetaI)
qed
end
```

6.2 Applications to the Chebyshev functions

We can now apply Shapiro's Tauberian theorem to ψ and ϑ .

```
lemma dirichlet-prod-mangoldt1-floor-bigo:
 {\bf includes} \  \, prime-counting\text{-}syntax
 shows (\lambda x. dirichlet-prod'(\lambda n. ind prime <math>n * ln n) floor x - x * ln x) \in O(\lambda x.
x)
proof -
   - This is a perhaps somewhat roundabout way of proving this statement. We
show this using the asymptotics of \mathfrak{M}: \mathfrak{M}(x) = \ln x + O(1)
We proved this before (which was a bit of work, but not that much). Apostol, on the
other hand, shows the following statement first and then deduces the asymptotics
of \mathfrak{M} with Shapiro's Tauberian theorem instead. This might save a bit of work,
but it is probably negligible.
 define R where R = (\lambda x. sum\text{-}upto (\lambda i. ind prime i * ln i * frac (x / i)) x)
 have *: R x \in \{0..ln \ 4 * x\} if x \ge 1 for x
 proof -
   have R \ x \le \vartheta \ x
     unfolding R-def prime-sum-upto-altdef1 sum-upto-def \vartheta-def
       by (intro sum-mono) (auto simp: ind-def less-imp-le[OF frac-lt-1] dest!:
prime-gt-1-nat)
   also have ... < ln 4 * x
     by (rule \vartheta-upper-bound) fact+
   finally have R x \leq ln / x + x by auto
   moreover have R x \geq 0 unfolding R-def sum-upto-def
     by (intro sum-nonneg mult-nonneg-nonneg) (auto simp: ind-def)
   ultimately show ?thesis by auto
  qed
```

```
have eventually (\lambda x. |R| x| \leq \ln 4 * |x|) at-top
   using eventually-ge-at-top[of 1] by eventually-elim (use * in auto)
 hence R \in O(\lambda x. \ x) by (intro landau-o.bigI[of ln 4]) auto
 have (\lambda x. \ dirichlet - prod' (\lambda n. \ ind \ prime \ n * ln \ n) \ floor \ x - x * ln \ x) =
         (\lambda x. \ x * (\mathfrak{M} \ x - \ln x) - R \ x)
  by (auto simp: primes-M-def dirichlet-prod'-def prime-sum-upto-altdef1 sum-upto-def
               frac-def sum-subtractf sum-distrib-left sum-distrib-right algebra-simps
R-def)
 also have \dots \in O(\lambda x. x)
 proof (rule sum-in-bigo)
   have (\lambda x. \ x * (\mathfrak{M} \ x - \ln x)) \in O(\lambda x. \ x * 1)
     by (intro landau-o.big.mult mertens-bounded) auto
   thus (\lambda x. \ x * (\mathfrak{M} \ x - \ln x)) \in O(\lambda x. \ x) by simp
 qed fact+
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma dirichlet-prod'-mangoldt-floor-asymptotics:
  (\lambda x. \ dirichlet\text{-prod' mangoldt floor}\ x - x * ln\ x + x) \in O(ln)
proof -
 have dirichlet-prod' mangoldt floor = (\lambda x. sum-upto ln x)
   unfolding sum-upto-ln-conv-sum-upto-mangoldt dirichlet-prod'-def
   by (intro sum-upto-cong' ext) auto
 hence (\lambda x. \ dirichlet\text{-prod'} \ mangoldt \ floor \ x - x * ln \ x + x) = (\lambda x. \ sum\text{-upto} \ ln
x - x * ln x + x
   by simp
 also have \dots \in O(ln)
   by (rule sum-upto-ln-stirling-weak-bigo)
 finally show (\lambda x. dirichlet-prod' mangoldt (\lambda x. real-of-int |x|) x-x*ln x+
x) \in O(\ln n).
qed
interpretation \psi: shapiro-tauberian mangoldt sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt \ n \ / \ n)
primes-psi
  dirichlet-prod' mangoldt floor
proof unfold-locales
  have dirichlet-prod' mangoldt floor = (\lambda x. sum\text{-upto } ln \ x)
   unfolding sum-upto-ln-conv-sum-upto-mangoldt dirichlet-prod'-def
   by (intro sum-upto-cong' ext) auto
 hence (\lambda x. dirichlet-prod' mangoldt floor x - x * ln x + x) = (\lambda x. sum-upto ln
x - x * ln x + x
   by simp
 also have \ldots \in O(ln)
   by (rule sum-upto-ln-stirling-weak-bigo)
 also have ln \in O(\lambda x::real. x) by real-asymp
 finally have (\lambda x. dirichlet-prod' mangoldt (\lambda x. real-of-int |x|) x - x * ln x + x
-x
```

```
\in O(\lambda x. x) by (rule sum-in-bigo) auto
 thus (\lambda x. \ dirichlet-prod' \ mangoldt \ (\lambda x. \ real-of-int \ |x|) \ x - x * ln \ x) \in O(\lambda x. \ x)
by simp
qed (simp-all add: primes-psi-def mangoldt-nonneg)
thm \psi.asymptotics \psi.upper \psi.lower
interpretation \vartheta: shapiro-tauberian \lambda n. ind prime n * ln n
 sum\text{-}upto\ (\lambda n.\ ind\ prime\ n\ *\ ln\ n\ /\ n)\ primes\text{-}theta\ dirichlet\text{-}prod'\ (\lambda n.\ ind\ prime
n * ln n) floor
proof unfold-locales
  fix n :: nat show ind prime n * ln n \ge 0
   by (auto simp: ind-def dest: prime-gt-1-nat)
  show (\lambda x. \ dirichlet-prod' \ (\lambda n. \ ind \ prime \ n*ln \ n) \ floor \ x-x*ln \ x) \in O(\lambda x.
x)
   by (rule dirichlet-prod-mangoldt1-floor-bigo)
qed (simp-all add: primes-theta-def mangoldt-nonneg prime-sum-upto-altdef1 [abs-def])
thm \vartheta.asymptotics \vartheta.upper \vartheta.lower
lemma sum-upto-\psi-x-over-n-asymptotics:
        (\lambda x. \ sum-upto \ (\lambda n. \ primes-psi \ (x / n)) \ x - x * ln \ x + x) \in O(ln)
 and sum-upto-\vartheta-x-over-n-asymptotics:
       (\lambda x. sum\text{-}upto (\lambda n. primes\text{-}theta (x / n)) x - x * ln x) \in O(\lambda x. x)
 {\bf using} \ dirichlet-prod-mangoldt1-floor-bigo \ dirichlet-prod'-mangoldt-floor-asymptotics
 by (simp-all add: dirichlet-prod'-floor-conv-sum-upto primes-theta-def
                   primes-psi-def prime-sum-upto-altdef1)
```

end

7 Bounds on partial sums of the ζ function

```
\begin{tabular}{l}{\bf theory}\ Partial-Zeta-Bounds\\ {\bf imports}\\ Euler-MacLaurin.Euler-MacLaurin-Landau\\ Zeta-Function.Zeta-Function\\ Prime-Number-Theorem.Prime-Number-Theorem-Library\\ Prime-Distribution-Elementary-Library\\ {\bf begin}\\ \end{tabular}
```

We employ Euler–MacLaurin's summation formula to obtain asymptotic estimates for the partial sums of the Riemann $\zeta(s)$ function for fixed real a,

i.e. the function

$$f(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{-s} \ .$$

We distinguish various cases. The case s=1 is simply the Harmonic numbers and is treated apart from the others.

```
lemma harm-asymp-equiv: sum-upto (\lambda n. 1 / n) \sim [at\text{-top}] ln
proof -
 have sum-upto (\lambda n. \ n \ powr -1) \sim [at-top] \ (\lambda x. \ ln \ (|x| + 1))
 proof (rule asymp-equiv-sandwich)
   have eventually (\lambda x. \ sum\text{-}upto \ (\lambda n. \ n \ powr \ -1) \ x \in \{ln \ (|x| + 1)..ln \ |x| + 1\}
1 }) at-top
     using eventually-ge-at-top[of 1]
   proof eventually-elim
     case (elim\ x)
     have sum-upto (\lambda n. \ real \ n \ powr -1) \ x = harm \ (nat \ |x|)
          unfolding sum-upto-altdef harm-def by (intro sum.cong) (auto simp:
field-simps powr-minus)
     also have ... \in \{ln (|x| + 1)..ln |x| + 1\}
       using elim\ harm-le[of\ nat\ |x|]\ ln-le-harm[of\ nat\ |x|]
       by (auto simp: le-nat-iff le-floor-iff)
     finally show ?case by simp
   qed
   thus eventually (\lambda x. sum\text{-upto } (\lambda n. n powr -1) x \ge ln (|x| + 1)) at-top
        eventually (\lambda x. sum-upto (\lambda n. n powr -1) x \leq \ln |x| + 1) at-top
     by (eventually-elim; simp)+
  qed real-asymp+
 also have ... \sim [at\text{-}top] ln by real-asymp
  finally show ?thesis by (simp add: powr-minus field-simps)
qed
lemma
 fixes s :: real
 assumes s: s > 0 \ s \neq 1
 shows zeta-partial-sum-bigo-pos:
           (\lambda n. (\sum k=1..n. real \ k \ powr \ -s) - real \ n \ powr \ (1-s) \ / \ (1-s) - Re
(zeta \ s))
               \in O(\lambda x. \ real \ x \ powr - s)
          zeta-partial-sum-bigo-pos':
           (\lambda n. \sum k=1..n. real \ k \ powr -s) = o
               (\lambda n. \ real \ n \ powr \ (1-s) \ / \ (1-s) + Re \ (zeta \ s)) + o \ O(\lambda x. \ real \ x)
powr - s)
proof -
  define F where F = (\lambda x. \ x \ powr \ (1 - s) \ / \ (1 - s))
 define f where f = (\lambda x. \ x \ powr - s)
 define f' where f' = (\lambda x. -s * x powr (-s-1))
 define z where z = Re (zeta s)
 interpret euler-maclaurin-nat' F f (!) [f, f'] 1 0 z {}
```

```
proof
   have (\lambda b. (\sum k=1..b. real \ k \ powr \ -s) - real \ b \ powr \ (1-s) \ / \ (1-s) - real
b powr -s / 2)
               \longrightarrow Re (zeta \ s) - \theta
   proof (intro tendsto-diff)
     let ?g = \lambda b. (\sum i < b. complex-of-real (real i + 1) powr - complex-of-real s)
                        of-nat b powr (1 - complex-of-real s) / (1 - complex-of-real s)
s)
     have \forall_F b in at-top. Re (?g\ b) = (\sum k=1..b.\ real\ k\ powr\ -s) - real\ b\ powr
(1-s)/(1-s)
       using eventually-ge-at-top[of 1]
     proof eventually-elim
       case (elim\ b)
       have (\sum k=1..b. real \ k \ powr \ -s) = (\sum k < b. real \ (Suc \ k) \ powr \ -s)
         by (intro sum.reindex-bij-witness[of - Suc \lambda n. n-1]) auto
       also have ... - real b powr (1 - s) / (1 - s) = Re (?g b)
         by (auto simp: powr-Reals-eq add-ac)
       finally show ?case ..
     qed
     moreover have (\lambda b. Re \ (?g \ b)) \longrightarrow Re \ (zeta \ s)
       using hurwitz-zeta-critical-strip[of of-real s 1] s
       by (intro tendsto-intros) (simp add: zeta-def)
      ultimately show (\lambda b. (\sum k=1..b. real \ k \ powr -s) - real \ b \ powr (1-s) /
(1-s)) \longrightarrow Re (zeta s)
       by (blast intro: Lim-transform-eventually)
   qed (use s in real-asymp)
   thus (\lambda b. (\sum k = 1..b. f (real k)) - F (real b) -
            (\sum i < 2 * 0 + 1. (bernoulli'(Suc i) / fact (Suc i)) *_R ([f, f'] ! i) (real)
b)))
           \longrightarrow z by (simp add: f-def F-def z-def)
 qed (use \langle s \neq 1 \rangle in
        \langle auto\ intro!:\ derivative-eq-intros\ continuous-intros
             simp flip: has-real-derivative-iff-has-vector-derivative
             simp: F-def f-def f'-def nth-Cons split: nat.splits)
   fix n :: nat assume n: n \ge 1
   have (\sum k=1..n. real \ k \ powr -s) =
           n \ powr \ (1 - s) \ / \ (1 - s) + z + 1/2 * n \ powr - s -
           EM-remainder 1 f' (int n)
     using euler-maclaurin-strong-nat'[of n] n by (simp add: F-def f-def)
  } note * = this
 have (\lambda n. (\sum k=1..n. real \ k \ powr -s) - n \ powr (1-s) / (1-s) - z) \in
         \Theta(\lambda n. \ 1/2 * n \ powr -s - \textit{EM-remainder} \ 1 \ f' \ (int \ n))
    using * by (intro bigthetaI-cong eventually-mono[OF eventually-ge-at-top[of
1]]) auto
 also have (\lambda n. \ 1/2 * n \ powr - s - EM-remainder 1 \ f'(int \ n)) \in O(\lambda n. \ n \ powr
```

```
-s
  proof (intro sum-in-bigo)
   have (\lambda x. norm (EM\text{-}remainder 1 f' (int x))) \in O(\lambda x. real x powr - s)
   proof (rule EM-remainder-strong-bigo-nat[where a = 1 and Y = \{\}\})
     fix x :: real assume x > 1
     show norm (f'x) \le s * x powr(-s-1)
       using s by (simp \ add: f'-def)
     from s show ((\lambda x. \ x \ powr - s) \longrightarrow 0) at-top by real-asymp
   qed (auto simp: f'-def intro!: continuous-intros derivative-eq-intros)
   thus (\lambda x. \ EM\text{-}remainder \ 1 \ f' \ (int \ x)) \in O(\lambda x. \ real \ x \ powr \ -s)
     by simp
  qed real-asymp+
 finally show (\lambda n. (\sum k=1..n. real \ k \ powr -s) - real \ n \ powr (1-s) / (1-s)
                 \in O(\lambda x. \ real \ x \ powr -s).
  thus(\lambda n. \sum k=1..n. real \ k \ powr -s) = o
          (\lambda n. \ real \ n \ powr \ (1-s) \ / \ (1-s) + z) + o \ O(\lambda x. \ real \ x \ powr \ -s)
   by (subst set-minus-plus [symmetric]) (simp-all add: fun-diff-def algebra-simps)
qed
lemma zeta-tail-bigo:
  fixes s :: real
  assumes s: s > 1
  shows (\lambda n. Re (hurwitz-zeta (real n + 1) s)) \in O(\lambda x. real x powr (1 - s))
proof -
  have [simp]: complex-of-real\ (Re\ (zeta\ s)) = zeta\ s
   using zeta-real[of s] by (auto elim!: Reals-cases)
  from s have s': s > 0 s \neq 1 by auto
  have (\lambda n. -Re \ (hurwitz\text{-}zeta \ (real \ n+1) \ s) - real \ n \ powr \ (1-s) \ / \ (1-s)
             + real \ n \ powr \ (1 - s) \ / \ (1 - s))
         \in O(\lambda x. \ real \ x \ powr \ (1 - s))
 proof (rule sum-in-bigo)
   have (\lambda n. -Re \ (hurwitz\text{-}zeta \ (real \ n+1) \ s) - real \ n \ powr \ (1-s) \ / \ (1-s))
           (\lambda n. (\sum k=1..n. real \ k \ powr \ -s) - real \ n \ powr \ (1-s) \ / \ (1-s) - Re
(zeta \ s))
     (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
   proof
     \mathbf{fix} \ n :: nat
     have hurwitz-zeta (1 + real \ n) s = zeta \ s - (\sum k < n. \ real \ (Suc \ k) \ powr \ -s)
     by (subst hurwitz-zeta-shift) (use assms in \langle auto simp: zeta-def powr-Reals-eq\rangle)
     also have (\sum k < n. \ real \ (Suc \ k) \ powr \ -s) = (\sum k = 1..n. \ real \ k \ powr \ -s)
       by (rule sum.reindex-bij-witness[of - \lambda k. k - 1 Suc]) auto
     finally show ?lhs n = ?rhs n by (simp add: add-ac)
   also have ... \in O(\lambda x. \ real \ x \ powr \ (-s))
     by (rule zeta-partial-sum-bigo-pos) (use s in auto)
```

```
also have (\lambda x. \ real \ x \ powr \ (-s)) \in O(\lambda x. \ real \ x \ powr \ (1-s))
     by real-asymp
   finally show (\lambda n. -Re \ (hurwitz\text{-}zeta \ (real \ n+1) \ s) - real \ n \ powr \ (1-s) \ /
(1-s)\in\ldots
  \mathbf{qed} (use s in real-asymp)
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma zeta-tail-bigo':
  \mathbf{fixes}\ s::\mathit{real}
  assumes s: s > 1
 shows (\lambda n. Re (hurwitz-zeta (real n) s)) \in O(\lambda x. real x powr (1 - s))
 have (\lambda n. Re (hurwitz-zeta (real n) s)) \in \Theta(\lambda n. Re (hurwitz-zeta (real (n - 1)
+1)s))
   by (intro bigthetaI-cong eventually-mono[OF eventually-ge-at-top[of 1]])
       (auto simp: of-nat-diff)
  also have (\lambda n. Re (hurwitz\text{-}zeta (real (n-1) + 1) s)) \in O(\lambda x. real (x-1)
powr (1 - s)
   by (rule landau-o.big.compose[OF zeta-tail-bigo[OF assms]]) real-asymp
  also have (\lambda x. \ real \ (x-1) \ powr \ (1-s)) \in O(\lambda x. \ real \ x \ powr \ (1-s))
   by real-asymp
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma
  fixes s :: real
  assumes s: s > 0
            zeta-partial-sum-bigo-neg:
 shows
            (\lambda n. (\sum i=1..n. real \ i \ powr \ s) - n \ powr \ (1+s) / (1+s)) \in O(\lambda n. \ n)
powr s)
   and
            zeta-partial-sum-bigo-neg':
            (\lambda n. (\sum i=1..n. real \ i \ powr \ s)) = o (\lambda n. \ n \ powr \ (1+s) \ / \ (1+s)) + o
O(\lambda n. \ n \ powr \ s)
proof -
  define F where F = (\lambda x. \ x \ powr \ (1 + s) \ / \ (1 + s))
  define f where f = (\lambda x. \ x \ powr \ s)
  define f' where f' = (\lambda x. \ s * x \ powr \ (s-1))
 have (\sum i=1..n.\ f\ (real\ i)) – F\ n=1 / 2 – F\ 1 + f\ n / 2 + EM-remainder' 1 f' 1 (real\ n) if n: n\geq 1 for n
  proof -
   have (\sum i \in \{1 < ...n\}. f (real i)) - integral \{real 1..real n\} f =
            (\sum k < 1. (bernoulli' (Suc k) / fact (Suc k)) *_R (([f, f'] ! k) (real n) -
              ([f, f'] ! k) (real 1))) + EM-remainder' 1 ([f, f'] ! 1) (real 1) (real n)
      by (rule\ euler-maclaurin-strong-raw-nat[\mathbf{where}\ Y=\{\}])
         (use \langle s > \theta \rangle \langle n \geq 1 \rangle in
          <auto intro!: derivative-eq-intros continuous-intros
                simp\ flip:\ has\ -real\ -derivative\ -iff\ -has\ -vector\ -derivative
```

```
simp: F-def f-def f'-def nth-Cons split: nat.splits)
   also have (\sum i \in \{1 < ...n\}. f (real i)) = (\sum i \in insert \ 1 \ \{1 < ...n\}. f (real i)) - f
1
     using n by (subst sum.insert) auto
   also from n have insert 1 \{1 < ... n\} = \{1...n\} by auto
   finally have (\sum i=1..n. \ f \ (real \ i)) - F \ n = f \ 1 + (integral \ \{1..real \ n\} \ f - F
n) +
                   (f (real n) - f 1) / 2 + EM-remainder' 1 f' 1 (real n) by simp
    hence (\sum i=1..n. f (real i)) - F n = 1 / 2 + (integral {1..real n} f - F n)
             f(real n) / 2 + EM-remainder' 1 f' 1 (real n)
     using s by (simp add: f-def diff-divide-distrib)
   also have (f has\text{-}integral\ (F\ (real\ n)\ -\ F\ 1))\ \{1..real\ n\} using assms n
     by (intro fundamental-theorem-of-calculus)
       (auto simp flip: has-real-derivative-iff-has-vector-derivative simp: F-def f-def
              intro!: derivative-eq-intros continuous-intros)
   hence integral \{1..real\ n\}\ f-F\ n=-F\ 1
     by (simp add: has-integral-iff)
   also have 1 / 2 + (-F 1) + f (real n) / 2 = 1 / 2 - F 1 + f n / 2
     by simp
   finally show ?thesis.
  qed
 hence (\lambda n. (\sum i=1..n. f (real i)) - F n) \in
          \Theta(\lambda n. 1 / 2 - F 1 + f n / 2 + EM\text{-}remainder' 1 f' 1 (real n))
   by (intro bigthetaI-cong eventually-mono[OF eventually-ge-at-top[of 1]])
  also have (\lambda n. 1 / 2 - F 1 + f n / 2 + EM\text{-}remainder' 1 f' 1 (real n))
              \in O(\lambda n. \ real \ n \ powr \ s)
   unfolding F-def f-def
  proof (intro sum-in-bigo)
   have (\lambda x. integral \{1..real x\} (\lambda t. pbernpoly 1 t *_R f' t)) \in O(\lambda n. 1 / s * real t)
   proof (intro landau-o.big.compose[OF integral-bigo])
     have (\lambda x. \ pbernpoly \ 1 \ x * f' \ x) \in O(\lambda x. \ 1 * x \ powr \ (s-1))
       by (intro landau-o.big.mult phernpoly-bigo) (auto simp: f'-def)
     thus (\lambda x. \ pbernpoly \ 1 \ x *_R f' \ x) \in O(\lambda x. \ x \ powr \ (s-1))
       by simp
     from s show filterlim (\lambda a.\ 1\ /\ s*a\ powr\ s) at-top at-top by real-asymp
   next
     fix a'x :: real assume a' \ge 1 a' \le x
     thus (\lambda a. pbernpoly \ 1 \ a *_R f' \ a) integrable-on \{a'..x\}
        by (intro integrable-EM-remainder') (auto intro!: continuous-intros simp:
f'-def)
    qed (use s in \(\cap auto \) intro!: filterlim-real-sequentially continuous-intros deriva-
tive-eq-intros)
   thus (\lambda x. \ EM\text{-}remainder' \ 1 \ f' \ 1 \ (real \ x)) \in O(\lambda n. \ real \ n \ powr \ s)
     using \langle s > 0 \rangle by (simp add: EM-remainder'-def)
  \mathbf{qed} \ (use \ \langle s > \theta \rangle \ \mathbf{in} \ real\text{-}asymp) +
  finally show (\lambda n. (\sum i=1..n. real \ i \ powr \ s) - n \ powr \ (1 + s) \ / \ (1 + s)) \in
```

```
O(\lambda n. \ n \ powr \ s)
       by (simp add: f-def F-def)
     thus (\lambda n. (\sum i=1..n. real \ i \ powr \ s)) = o (\lambda n. \ n \ powr \ (1+s) / (1+s)) + o
      by (subst set-minus-plus [symmetric]) (simp-all add: fun-diff-def algebra-simps)
qed
lemma zeta-partial-sum-le-pos:
   assumes s > 0 s \neq 1
   defines z \equiv Re \ (zeta \ (complex-of-real \ s))
   shows \exists c>0. \ \forall x\geq 1. \ |sum\text{-}upto\ (\lambda n.\ n\ powr\ -s)\ x\ -\ (x\ powr\ (1-s)\ /\ (1-s)
|+z| \le c * x powr -s
proof (rule sum-upto-asymptotics-lift-nat-real)
   show (\lambda n. (\sum k = 1..n. real \ k \ powr - s) - (real \ n \ powr (1 - s) / (1 - s) +
                  \in O(\lambda n. \ real \ n \ powr - s)
       using zeta-partial-sum-bigo-pos[OF assms(1,2)] unfolding z-def
       by (simp add: algebra-simps)
   from assms have s < 1 \lor s > 1 by linarith
   thus (\lambda n. \ real \ n \ powr \ (1-s) \ / \ (1-s) + z - (real \ (Suc \ n) \ powr \ (1-s) \ / \ (1-s) 
- s) + z))
                  \in O(\lambda n. \ real \ n \ powr - s)
       by standard (use \langle s > 0 \rangle in \langle real\text{-}asymp+ \rangle)
   show (\lambda n. \ real \ n \ powr - s) \in O(\lambda n. \ real \ (Suc \ n) \ powr - s)
       by real-asymp
   show mono-on \{1..\} (\lambda a. a powr -s) \vee mono-on \{1..\} (\lambda x. - (x powr -s))
       using assms by (intro disj12) (auto intro!: mono-onI powr-mono2')
   from assms have s < 1 \lor s > 1 by linarith
   hence mono-on \{1..\} (\lambda a.\ a\ powr\ (1-s)\ /\ (1-s)+z)
   proof
       assume s < 1
       thus ?thesis using \langle s > \theta \rangle
           by (intro mono-onI powr-mono2 divide-right-mono add-right-mono) auto
   next
       assume s > 1
       thus ?thesis
        by (intro mono-on I le-imp-neg-le add-right-mono divide-right-mono-neg powr-mono?')
auto
    qed
    thus mono-on \{1..\} (\lambda a. a powr (1-s)/(1-s)+z) \vee
              mono-on \{1..\} (\lambda x. - (x powr (1 - s) / (1 - s) + z)) by blast
qed auto
lemma zeta-partial-sum-le-pos':
   assumes s > 0 s \neq 1
   defines z \equiv Re \ (zeta \ (complex-of-real \ s))
   shows \exists c > 0. \ \forall x \ge 1. \ |sum\text{-upto}(\lambda n. \ n \ powr - s) \ x - x \ powr(1-s) \ / (1-s)|
```

```
\leq c
proof -
 have \exists c > 0. \forall x \ge 1. |sum\text{-}upto(\lambda n. \ n \ powr - s) \ x - x \ powr(1-s) \ / (1-s)| \le c
 proof (rule sum-upto-asymptotics-lift-nat-real)
   have (\lambda n. (\sum k = 1..n. real \ k \ powr - s) - (real \ n \ powr (1 - s) / (1 - s) +
z))
           \in O(\lambda n. \ real \ n \ powr - s)
     using zeta-partial-sum-bigo-pos[OF assms(1,2)] unfolding z-def
     by (simp add: algebra-simps)
   also have (\lambda n. \ real \ n \ powr \ -s) \in O(\lambda n. \ 1)
     using assms by real-asymp
   finally have (\lambda n. (\sum k = 1..n. real \ k \ powr - s) - real \ n \ powr (1 - s) / (1 - s))
s)-z)
                   \in O(\lambda n. 1) by (simp add: algebra-simps)
   hence (\lambda n. (\sum k = 1..n. real \ k \ powr - s) - real \ n \ powr (1 - s) / (1 - s) -
z+z \in O(\lambda n. 1)
     by (rule sum-in-bigo) auto
   thus (\lambda n. (\sum k = 1..n. real \ k \ powr - s) - (real \ n \ powr (1 - s) / (1 - s))) \in
O(\lambda n. 1)
     by simp
   from assms have s < 1 \lor s > 1 by linarith
   thus (\lambda n. \ real \ n \ powr \ (1 - s) \ / \ (1 - s) - (real \ (Suc \ n) \ powr \ (1 - s) \ / \ (1 - s))
s))) \in O(\lambda n. 1)
     by standard (use \langle s > \theta \rangle in \langle real\text{-}asymp+ \rangle)
   show mono-on \{1..\} (\lambda a. 1) \vee mono-on \{1..\} (\lambda x::real. -1 :: real)
     using assms by (intro disjI2) (auto intro!: mono-onI powr-mono2')
   from assms have s < 1 \lor s > 1 by linarith
   hence mono-on \{1..\} (\lambda a. a powr (1 - s) / (1 - s))
   proof
     assume s < 1
     thus ?thesis using \langle s > 0 \rangle
       by (intro mono-onI powr-mono2 divide-right-mono add-right-mono) auto
     assume s > 1
     thus ?thesis
          by (intro mono-on le-imp-neg-le add-right-mono divide-right-mono-neg
powr-mono2') auto
   \mathbf{qed}
   thus mono-on \{1..\} (\lambda a. a powr (1-s)/(1-s)) \vee
         mono-on \{1..\} (\lambda x. - (x powr (1 - s) / (1 - s))) by blast
 qed auto
 thus ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma zeta-partial-sum-le-pos'':
 assumes s > 0 s \neq 1
```

```
shows \exists c > 0. \ \forall x \ge 1. \ |sum\text{-upto}(\lambda n. \ n \ powr - s) \ x| \le c * x \ powr \ max \ 0 \ (1 - s) 
s)
proof -
  from zeta-partial-sum-le-pos'[OF assms] obtain c where
    c: c > 0 \ \land x. \ x \ge 1 \Longrightarrow |sum\text{-upto}(\lambda x. \ real \ x \ powr - s) \ x - x \ powr \ (1 - s)
/(1-s)| \leq c
   by auto
   fix x :: real assume x: x \ge 1
   have |sum\text{-}upto(\lambda x. real \ x \ powr - s) \ x| \le |x \ powr \ (1 - s) \ / \ (1 - s)| + c
     using c(1) c(2)[OF x] x by linarith
   also have |x \ powr \ (1 - s) \ / \ (1 - s)| = x \ powr \ (1 - s) \ / \ |1 - s|
     using assms by simp
   also have ... \leq x powr max \theta (1 - s) / |1 - s|
     using x by (intro divide-right-mono powr-mono) auto
   also have c = c * x powr \theta using x by simp
   also have c * x powr 0 \le c * x powr max 0 (1 - s)
     using c(1) x by (intro mult-left-mono powr-mono) auto
   also have x powr max \theta(1-s)/|1-s|+c*x powr max \theta(1-s)=
               (1 / |1 - s| + c) * x powr max 0 (1 - s)
     by (simp add: algebra-simps)
   finally have |sum\text{-}upto\ (\lambda x.\ real\ x\ powr\ -\ s)\ x| \le (1\ /\ |1\ -\ s|\ +\ c)*x\ powr
max \ \theta \ (1 - s)
     \mathbf{by} \ simp
 }
 moreover have (1 / |1 - s| + c) > 0
   using c assms by (intro add-pos-pos divide-pos-pos) auto
 ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma zeta-partial-sum-le-pos-bigo:
 assumes s > 0 s \neq 1
 shows (\lambda x. \ sum\text{-}upto \ (\lambda n. \ n \ powr - s) \ x) \in O(\lambda x. \ x \ powr \ max \ \theta \ (1 - s))
proof -
 from zeta-partial-sum-le-pos''[OF assms] obtain c
   where \forall x \ge 1. |sum\text{-}upto\ (\lambda n.\ n\ powr\ -s)\ x| \le c * x\ powr\ max\ 0\ (1\ -s) by
auto
  thus ?thesis
   by (intro bigoI[of - c] eventually-mono[OF eventually-ge-at-top[of 1]]) auto
qed
lemma zeta-partial-sum-01-asymp-equiv:
 assumes s \in \{0 < .. < 1\}
 shows sum-upto (\lambda n. \ n \ powr - s) \sim [at-top] (\lambda x. \ x \ powr (1 - s) / (1 - s))
proof -
  from zeta-partial-sum-le-pos'[of s] assms obtain c where
   c: c > 0 \ \forall x \ge 1. |sum\text{-}upto\ (\lambda x.\ real\ x\ powr\ -s)\ x - x\ powr\ (1-s)\ /\ (1-s)|
\leq c by auto
```

```
hence (\lambda x. \ sum-upto \ (\lambda x. \ real \ x \ powr \ -s) \ x - x \ powr \ (1 - s) \ / \ (1 - s)) \in
 O(\lambda -. 1)
       by (intro\ bigoI[of-c]\ eventually-mono[OF\ eventually-ge-at-top[of\ 1]])\ auto
    also have (\lambda-. 1) \in o(\lambda x. \ x \ powr \ (1-s) \ / \ (1-s))
       using assms by real-asymp
    finally show ?thesis
       by (rule smallo-imp-asymp-equiv)
\mathbf{qed}
\mathbf{lemma}\ zeta	ext{-}partial	ext{-}sum	ext{-}gt	ext{-}1	ext{-}asymp	ext{-}equiv:
    fixes s :: real
    assumes s > 1
   defines \zeta \equiv Re \ (zeta \ s)
   shows sum-upto (\lambda n. \ n \ powr - s) \sim [at\text{-}top] \ (\lambda x. \ \zeta)
proof -
    have [simp]: \zeta \neq 0
           using assms zeta-Re-qt-1-nonzero[of s] zeta-real[of s] by (auto elim!: Re-
als-cases)
    from zeta-partial-sum-le-pos[of s] assms obtain c where
        c: c > 0 \ \forall x \ge 1. |sum-upto(\lambda x. real \ x \ powr \ -s) \ x - (x \ powr \ (1 - s) \ / \ (1 - s))
|s| + |\zeta| \le |s|
                                              c * x powr - s by (auto simp: \zeta-def)
    hence (\lambda x. \ sum\text{-}upto \ (\lambda x. \ real \ x \ powr \ -s) \ x \ - \ \zeta \ - \ x \ powr \ (1 \ - \ s) \ / \ (1 \ - \ s))
\in O(\lambda x. \ x \ powr -s)
       by (intro\ bigoI[of-c]\ eventually-mono[OF\ eventually-ge-at-top[of\ 1]])\ auto
    also have (\lambda x. \ x \ powr - s) \in o(\lambda -. \ 1)
       using \langle s > 1 \rangle by real-asymp
    finally have (\lambda x. sum-upto (\lambda x. real x powr -s) x - \zeta - x powr (1 - s) / (1 - s) /
- s) +
                         x \ powr \ (1 - s) \ / \ (1 - s)) \in o(\lambda -. \ 1)
       by (rule sum-in-smallo) (use \langle s > 1 \rangle in real-asymp)
    thus ?thesis by (simp add: smallo-imp-asymp-equiv)
qed
{f lemma} zeta-partial-sum-pos-bigtheta:
    assumes s > 0 s \neq 1
    shows sum-upto (\lambda n. \ n \ powr - s) \in \Theta(\lambda x. \ x \ powr \ max \ 0 \ (1 - s))
proof (cases s > 1)
    case False
    thus ?thesis
     \mathbf{using}\ asymp\text{-}equiv\text{-}imp\text{-}bigtheta[\textit{OF}\ zeta\text{-}partial\text{-}sum\text{-}01\text{-}asymp\text{-}equiv[of\ s]]}\ assms
       by (simp add: max-def)
\mathbf{next}
    case True
    have [simp]: Re (zeta\ s) \neq 0
      using True zeta-Re-gt-1-nonzero[of s] zeta-real[of s] by (auto elim!: Reals-cases)
   show ?thesis
         using True asymp-equiv-imp-bigtheta[OF zeta-partial-sum-gt-1-asymp-equiv[of
s]]
```

```
by (simp add: max-def)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma zeta-partial-sum-le-neg:
    assumes s > \theta
   shows \exists c > 0. \ \forall x \ge 1. \ |sum\text{-}upto\ (\lambda n.\ n\ powr\ s)\ x - x\ powr\ (1+s)\ /\ (1+s)|
\leq c * x powr s
proof (rule sum-upto-asymptotics-lift-nat-real)
    show (\lambda n. (\sum k = 1..n. real \ k \ powr \ s) - (real \ n \ powr \ (1 + s) \ / \ (1 + s)))
                    \in O(\lambda n. \ real \ n \ powr \ s)
       using zeta-partial-sum-bigo-neg[OF assms(1)] by (simp add: algebra-simps)
   show (\lambda n. \ real \ n \ powr \ (1 + s) \ / \ (1 + s) \ - \ (real \ (Suc \ n) \ powr \ (1 + s) \ / \ (1 + s) \ 
s)))
                    \in O(\lambda n. \ real \ n \ powr \ s)
       using assms by real-asymp
    show (\lambda n. \ real \ n \ powr \ s) \in O(\lambda n. \ real \ (Suc \ n) \ powr \ s)
       by real-asymp
   show mono-on \{1..\} (\lambda a. a powr s) \vee mono-on \{1..\} (\lambda x. – (x powr s))
       using assms by (intro disjI1) (auto intro!: mono-onI powr-mono2)
   show mono-on \{1..\} (\lambda a. a powr (1 + s) / (1 + s)) \vee
                mono-on \{1..\} (\lambda x. - (x powr (1 + s) / (1 + s)))
        using assms by (intro disj11 divide-right-mono powr-mono2 mono-onI) auto
qed auto
lemma zeta-partial-sum-neg-asymp-equiv:
    assumes s > \theta
   shows sum-upto (\lambda n. \ n \ powr \ s) \sim [at-top] (\lambda x. \ x \ powr \ (1+s) / (1+s))
proof -
    from zeta-partial-sum-le-neg[of s] assms obtain c where
        c: c > 0 \ \forall x \ge 1. |sum\text{-upto}(\lambda x. real \ x \ powr \ s) \ x - x \ powr \ (1 + s) \ / \ (1 + s)|
\leq c * x powr s
       by auto
   hence (\lambda x. sum\text{-upto } (\lambda x. real \ x \ powr \ s) \ x - x \ powr \ (1 + s) \ / \ (1 + s)) \in O(\lambda x.
x \ powr \ s)
       by (intro\ bigoI[of-c]\ eventually-mono[OF\ eventually-ge-at-top[of\ 1]])\ auto
    also have (\lambda x. \ x \ powr \ s) \in o(\lambda x. \ x \ powr \ (1 + s) \ / \ (1 + s))
       using assms by real-asymp
    finally show ?thesis
       by (rule smallo-imp-asymp-equiv)
\mathbf{qed}
end
```

8 The summatory Möbius μ function

```
theory Moebius-Mu-Sum
imports
More-Dirichlet-Misc
```

```
Dirichlet-Series.Partial-Summation
  Prime-Number-Theorem. Prime-Counting-Functions
  Dirichlet	ext{-}Series. Arithmetic	ext{-}Summatory	ext{-}Asymptotics
  Shapiro-Tauberian
  Partial-Zeta-Bounds
  Prime-Number-Theorem.Prime-Number-Theorem-Library
  Prime-Distribution-Elementary-Library
begin
In this section, we shall examine the summatory Möbius \mu function M(x) :=
\sum_{n\leq x}\mu(n). The main result is that M(x)\in o(x) is equivalent to the Prime
Number Theorem.
context
 includes prime-counting-syntax
 fixes M H :: real \Rightarrow real
 defines M \equiv sum-upto moebius-mu
  defines H \equiv sum\text{-}upto (\lambda n. moebius\text{-}mu \ n * ln \ n)
begin
lemma sum-upto-moebius-mu-integral: x > 1 \Longrightarrow ((\lambda t. M t / t) has-integral M x)
* ln x - H x) \{1..x\}
 and sum-upto-moebius-mu-integrable: a \ge 1 \Longrightarrow (\lambda t. \ M \ t \ / \ t) integrable-on \{a..b\}
proof -
   \mathbf{fix}\ a\ b::\mathit{real}
   assume ab: a > 1 a < b
   have ((\lambda t. \ M \ t*(1 \ / \ t)) \ has\text{-}integral \ M \ b*ln \ b-M \ a*ln \ a-
           (\sum n \in real - `\{a < ..b\}. moebius-mu n * ln (real n))) \{a..b\}
     unfolding M-def using ab
     by (intro partial-summation-strong [where X = \{\}\})
        (auto intro!: derivative-eq-intros continuous-intros
             simp flip: has-real-derivative-iff-has-vector-derivative)
  } note * = this
   fix x :: real assume x: x > 1
   have (\sum n \in real - `\{1 < ...x\}\}. moebius-mu n * ln (real n)) = H x
     unfolding H-def sum-upto-def by (intro sum.mono-neutral-cong-left) (use x
in auto)
   thus ((\lambda t. M t / t) has-integral M x * ln x - H x) \{1..x\} using *[of 1 x] x by
simp
   fix a \ b :: real assume ab: a \ge 1
   show (\lambda t. M t / t) integrable-on {a..b}
     using *[of a b] ab
     by (cases a b rule: linorder-cases) (auto intro: integrable-negligible)
qed
```

```
lemma sum-moebius-mu-bound:
 assumes x \geq \theta
 shows |M x| \leq x
proof -
  have |M|x| \leq sum-upto (\lambda n. |moebius-mu n|) x
   unfolding M-def sum-upto-def by (rule sum-abs)
 also have ... \leq sum-upto (\lambda n. 1) x
   unfolding sum-upto-def by (intro sum-mono) (auto simp: moebius-mu-def)
 also have \dots \le x using assms
   \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ sum\text{-}upto\text{-}altdef)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma sum-moebius-mu-aux1: (\lambda x. M x / x - H x / (x * ln x)) \in O(\lambda x. 1 / ln
proof -
 define R where R = (\lambda x. integral \{1...x\} (\lambda t. M t / t))
 have eventually (\lambda x. \ M \ x \ / \ x - H \ x \ / \ (x * ln \ x) = R \ x \ / \ (x * ln \ x)) at-top
   using eventually-gt-at-top[of 1]
 proof eventually-elim
   case (elim \ x)
   thus ?case
    using sum-upto-moebius-mu-integral of x by (simp add: R-def has-integral-iff
field-simps)
  qed
 hence (\lambda x. \ M \ x \ / \ x - H \ x \ / \ (x * ln \ x)) \in \Theta(\lambda x. \ R \ x \ / \ (x * ln \ x))
   by (intro bigthetaI-cong)
 also have (\lambda x. R x / (x * ln x)) \in O(\lambda x. x / (x * ln x))
 proof (intro landau-o.big.divide-right)
   have M \in O(\lambda x. x)
     using sum-moebius-mu-bound
    by (intro bigoI[where c = 1] eventually-mono[OF eventually-ge-at-top[of 0]])
auto
   hence (\lambda t. M t / t) \in O(\lambda t. 1)
     by (simp add: landau-divide-simps)
   thus R \in O(\lambda x. x)
     unfolding R-def
     by (intro integral-bigo[where g' = \lambda-. 1])
      (auto simp: filterlim-ident has-integral-iff intro!: sum-upto-moebius-mu-integrable)
 qed (intro eventually-mono[OF eventually-gt-at-top[of 1]], auto)
 also have (\lambda x :: real. \ x \ / \ (x * ln \ x)) \in \Theta(\lambda x. \ 1 \ / \ ln \ x)
   by real-asymp
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma sum-moebius-mu-aux2: ((\lambda x. M x / x - H x / (x * ln x)) \longrightarrow \theta) at-top
proof -
 have (\lambda x. M x / x - H x / (x * ln x)) \in O(\lambda x. 1 / ln x)
```

```
by (rule sum-moebius-mu-aux1)
  also have (\lambda x. 1 / ln x) \in o(\lambda -. 1 :: real)
   by real-asymp
  finally show ?thesis by (auto dest!: smalloD-tendsto)
ged
lemma sum-moebius-mu-ln-eq: H = (\lambda x. - dirichlet-prod' moebius-mu \psi x)
proof
  \mathbf{fix} \ x :: real
 have fds mangoldt = (fds-deriv (fds moebius-mu) * fds-zeta :: real fds)
   using fds-mangoldt' by (simp add: mult-ac)
  hence eq: fds-deriv (fds moebius-mu) = fds moebius-mu * (fds mangoldt :: real
fds)
   by (subst (asm) fds-moebius-inversion [symmetric])
  have -H x = sum\text{-}upto (\lambda n. -ln \ n * moebius\text{-}mu \ n) \ x
   by (simp add: H-def sum-upto-def sum-negf mult-ac)
  also have ... = sum-upto (\lambda n. dirichlet-prod moebius-mu mangoldt n) x
  using eq by (intro sum-upto-cong) (auto simp: fds-eq-iff fds-nth-deriv fds-nth-mult)
  also have ... = dirichlet-prod' moebius-mu \psi x
  by (subst sum-upto-dirichlet-prod) (simp add: primes-psi-def dirichlet-prod'-def)
  finally show H x = -dirichlet-prod' moebius-mu \psi x
   by simp
qed
theorem PNT-implies-sum-moebius-mu-sublinear:
  assumes \psi \sim [at\text{-}top] (\lambda x. x)
  shows M \in o(\lambda x. x)
proof -
  have ((\lambda x. H x / (x * ln x)) \longrightarrow 0) at-top
  proof (rule tendstoI)
   fix \varepsilon':: real assume \varepsilon': \varepsilon' > 0
   define \varepsilon where \varepsilon = \varepsilon' / 2
   from \varepsilon' have \varepsilon: \varepsilon > 0 by (simp add: \varepsilon-def)
   from assms have ((\lambda x. \psi x / x) \longrightarrow 1) at-top
        by (elim asymp-equivD-strong) (auto intro!: eventually-mono[OF eventu-
ally-gt-at-top[of <math>\theta]])
   from tendstoD[OF\ this\ \varepsilon] have eventually (\lambda x.\ |\psi\ x\ /\ x-1|<\varepsilon) at-top
     by (simp add: dist-norm)
   hence eventually (\lambda x. | \psi x - x | < \varepsilon * x) at-top
    using eventually-gt-at-top[of 0] by eventually-elim (auto simp: abs-if field-simps)
   then obtain A' where A': \bigwedge x. x \geq A' \Longrightarrow |\psi| x - x| < \varepsilon * x
     by (auto simp: eventually-at-top-linorder)
   define A where A = max 2 A'
   from A' have A: A \geq 2 \bigwedge x. x \geq A \Longrightarrow |\psi| x - x| < \varepsilon * x
     by (auto simp: A-def)
   have H-bound: |H x| / (x * ln x) \le (1 + \varepsilon + \psi A) / ln x + \varepsilon  if x \ge A for x \ge A
   proof -
```

```
from \langle x \geq A \rangle have x \geq 2 using A(1) by linarith
     note x = \langle x \geq A \rangle \langle x \geq 2 \rangle
     define y where y = nat |floor(x / A)|
     have real y = real-of-int |x|/A| using A \times by (simp add: y-def)
     also have real-of-int |x / A| \le x / A by linarith
     also have ... \leq x using x A(1) by (simp \ add: field-simps)
     finally have y \leq x.
     have y \ge 1 using x A(1) by (auto simp: y-def le-nat-iff le-floor-iff)
     note y = \langle y \geq 1 \rangle \langle y \leq x \rangle
     define S1 where [simp]: S1 = sum-upto (\lambda m. moebius-mu \ m * \psi \ (x / m)) \ y
     define S2 where [simp]: S2 = (\sum m \mid m > y \land real \ m \leq x. moebius-mu \ m
* \psi (x / m)
     have fin: finite \{m. \ y < m \land real \ m \le x\}
       \textbf{by} \ (\textit{rule finite-subset}[\textit{of} - \{..nat \ \lfloor x \rfloor\}]) \ (\textit{auto simp: le-nat-iff le-floor-iff})
     have H x = -dirichlet-prod' moebius-mu \psi x
       by (simp add: sum-moebius-mu-ln-eq)
     also have dirichlet-prod' moebius-mu \psi x =
                 (\sum m \mid m > 0 \land real \ m \leq x. \ moebius-mu \ m * \psi \ (x \ / \ m))
       unfolding dirichlet-prod'-def sum-upto-def ..
     also have \{m. \ m > 0 \land real \ m \le x\} = \{0 < ... y\} \cup \{m. \ y < m \land real \ m \le x\}
       using x \ y \ A(1) by auto
     also have (\sum m \in \dots moebius - mu \ m * \psi \ (x / m)) = S1 + S2
       unfolding dirichlet-prod'-def sum-upto-def S1-def S2-def using fin
       by (subst sum.union-disjoint) (auto intro: sum.cong)
     finally have abs-H-eq: |H x| = |S1 + S2| by simp
     define S1-1 where [simp]: S1-1 = sum-upto (\lambda m. moebius-mu \ m \ / \ m) y
     define S1-2 where [simp]: S1-2 = sum-upto (\lambda m. moebius-mu m*(\psi(x))
m) - x / m)) y
     have |S1| = |x * S1-1 + S1-2|
       by (simp add: sum-upto-def sum-distrib-left sum-distrib-right
                    mult-ac sum-subtractf ring-distribs)
     also have ... \leq x * |S1-1| + |S1-2|
       by (rule order.trans[OF abs-triangle-ineq]) (use x in \langle simp \ add : \ abs-mult \rangle)
     also have ... \leq x * 1 + \varepsilon * x * (\ln x + 1)
     proof (intro add-mono mult-left-mono)
       show |S1-1| \le 1
         using abs-sum-upto-moebius-mu-over-n-le[of y] by simp
       have |S1-2| \leq sum-upto (\lambda m. |moebius-mu m * (\psi (x / m) - x / m)|) y
         unfolding S1-2-def sum-upto-def by (rule sum-abs)
       also have ... \leq sum-upto (\lambda m. \ 1 * (\varepsilon * (x / m))) \ y
         unfolding abs-mult sum-upto-def
       proof (intro sum-mono mult-mono less-imp-le[OF\ A(2)])
         fix m assume m: m \in \{i. \ 0 < i \land real \ i \leq real \ y\}
```

```
hence real m \leq real y by simp
     also from x A(1) have ... = of-int |x / A| by (simp \ add: \ y\text{-}def)
     also have ... \leq x / A by linarith
     finally show A \leq x / real m using A(1) m by (simp add: field-simps)
    qed (auto simp: moebius-mu-def field-simps)
   also have ... = \varepsilon * x * (\sum i \in \{0 < ... y\}. inverse (real i))
     by (simp add: sum-upto-altdef sum-distrib-left divide-simps)
   also have (\sum i \in \{0 < ...y\}. inverse (real\ i)) = harm\ (nat\ |y|)
     unfolding harm-def by (intro sum.cong) auto
   also have ... \leq ln (nat \lfloor y \rfloor) + 1
     by (rule harm-le) (use y in auto)
   also have ln (nat |y|) \leq ln x
     using y by simp
   finally show |S1-2| \le \varepsilon * x * (\ln x + 1) using \varepsilon x by simp
 qed (use x in auto)
 finally have S1-bound: |S1| < x + \varepsilon * x * \ln x + \varepsilon * x
   by (simp add: algebra-simps)
 have |S2| \leq (\sum m \mid y < m \land real \ m \leq x. \mid moebius-mu \ m * \psi \ (x / m)|)
   unfolding S2-def by (rule sum-abs)
 also have ... \leq (\sum m \mid y < m \land real \ m \leq x. \ 1 * \psi \ A)
   \mathbf{unfolding}\ abs\text{-}mult\ \mathbf{using}\ y
 proof (intro sum-mono mult-mono)
   fix m assume m: m \in \{m. \ y < m \land real \ m \le x\}
   hence y < m by simp
   moreover have y = of\text{-}int \mid x \mid A \mid \text{ using } x \mid A(1) \text{ by } (simp \ add: \ y\text{-}def)
   ultimately have |x / A| < m by simp
   hence x / A \le real \ m by linarith
   hence \psi (x / real m) \le \psi A
     using m A(1) by (intro \psi-mono) (auto simp: field-simps)
   thus |\psi (x / real m)| \leq \psi A
     by (simp add: \psi-nonneg)
 \mathbf{qed} (auto simp: moebius-mu-def \psi-nonneg field-simps intro!: \psi-mono)
 also have \dots \leq sum\text{-}upto\ (\lambda - 1 * \psi A) \ x
   unfolding sum-upto-def by (intro sum-mono2) auto
 also have ... = real (nat |x|) * \psi A
   by (simp add: sum-upto-altdef)
 also have \dots \leq x * \psi A
   using x by (intro mult-right-mono) auto
 finally have S2-bound: |S2| \le x * \psi A.
 have |H x| \leq |S1| + |S2| using abs-H-eq by linarith
 also have ... \leq x + \varepsilon * x * \ln x + \varepsilon * x + x * \psi A
   by (intro add-mono S1-bound S2-bound)
 finally have |H x| \le (1 + \varepsilon + \psi A) * x + \varepsilon * x * \ln x
   by (simp add: algebra-simps)
 thus |H x| / (x * ln x) \le (1 + \varepsilon + \psi A) / ln x + \varepsilon
   using x by (simp add: field-simps)
qed
```

```
have eventually (\lambda x. |H x| / (x * ln x) \le (1 + \varepsilon + \psi A) / ln x + \varepsilon) at-top
     using eventually-ge-at-top[of A] by eventually-elim (use H-bound in auto)
   moreover have eventually (\lambda x. (1 + \varepsilon + \psi A) / \ln x + \varepsilon < \varepsilon') at-top
     unfolding \varepsilon-def using \varepsilon' by real-asymp
   moreover have eventually (\lambda x. |H x| / (x * ln x) = |H x / (x * ln x)|) at-top
     using eventually-gt-at-top[of 1] by eventually-elim (simp add: abs-mult)
    ultimately have eventually (\lambda x. | H x / (x * ln x) | < \varepsilon') at-top
     by eventually-elim simp
   thus eventually (\lambda x. \ dist \ (H \ x \ / \ (x * ln \ x)) \ 0 < \varepsilon') at-top
     by (simp add: dist-norm)
  hence (\lambda x. H x / (x * ln x)) \in o(\lambda -. 1)
   by (intro smalloI-tendsto) auto
  hence (\lambda x. \ H \ x \ / \ (x * \ln x) + (M \ x \ / \ x - H \ x \ / \ (x * \ln x))) \in o(\lambda -. \ 1)
  proof (rule sum-in-smallo)
   have (\lambda x. M x / x - H x / (x * ln x)) \in O(\lambda x. 1 / ln x)
     by (rule sum-moebius-mu-aux1)
   also have (\lambda x :: real. \ 1 \ / \ ln \ x) \in o(\lambda -. \ 1)
     by real-asymp
   finally show (\lambda x. M x / x - H x / (x * ln x)) \in o(\lambda -. 1).
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: landau-divide-simps)
qed
theorem sum-moebius-mu-sublinear-imp-PNT:
  assumes M \in o(\lambda x. x)
           \psi \sim [at\text{-}top] (\lambda x. x)
 shows
proof -
  define \sigma :: nat \Rightarrow real where [simp]: \sigma = (\lambda n. real (divisor-count n))
  define C where [simp]: C = (euler-mascheroni :: real)
  define f :: nat \Rightarrow real where f = (\lambda n. \ \sigma \ n - ln \ n - 2 * C)
  define F where [simp]: F = sum-upto f
  write moebius-mu (\langle \mu \rangle)
  — The proof is based on the fact that \psi(x) - x can be approximated fairly well
by the Dirichlet product \sum_{n < x} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) f(n/d):
  have eq: \psi x - x = -sum-upto (dirichlet-prod \mu f) x - frac x - 2 * C if x: x
\geq 1 for x
 proof -
   have |x| - \psi |x - 2 * C =
            sum-upto (\lambda-. 1) x - sum-upto mangoldt x - sum-upto (\lambda n. if n = 1)
then 2 * C else 0) x
     using x by (simp add: sum-upto-altdef \psi-def le-nat-iff le-floor-iff)
    also have ... = sum-upto (\lambda n. 1 - mangoldt n - (if n = 1 then 2 * C else
\theta)) x
     by (simp add: sum-upto-def sum-subtractf)
   also have ... = sum-upto (dirichlet-prod \mu f) x
```

```
by (intro sum-upto-cong refl moebius-inversion)
        (auto simp: divisor-count-def sum-subtractf mangoldt-sum f-def)
   finally show \psi x - x = -sum-upto (dirichlet-prod \mu f) x - frac x - 2 * C
     by (simp add: algebra-simps frac-def)
  ged
  — We now obtain a bound of the form |F x| \leq B * sqrt x.
 have F \in O(sqrt)
 proof -
   have F \in \Theta(\lambda x. (sum\text{-}upto \ \sigma \ x - (x * ln \ x + (2 * C - 1) * x)) -
                   (sum\text{-}upto\ ln\ x - x * ln\ x + x) + 2 * C * frac\ x)\ (is - \in \Theta(?rhs))
     by (intro bigthetaI-cong eventually-mono[OF eventually-ge-at-top[of 1]])
            (auto simp: sum-upto-altdef sum-subtractf f-def frac-def algebra-simps
sum.distrib)
   also have ?rhs \in O(sqrt)
   proof (rule sum-in-bigo, rule sum-in-bigo)
     show (\lambda x. sum\text{-}upto \ \sigma \ x - (x * ln \ x + (2 * C - 1) * x)) \in O(sqrt)
       unfolding C-def \sigma-def by (rule summatory-divisor-count-asymptotics)
     show (\lambda x. sum\text{-}upto (\lambda x. ln (real x)) x - x * ln x + x) \in O(sqrt)
       by (rule landau-o.biq.trans[OF sum-upto-ln-stirling-weak-biqo]) real-asymp
   qed (use euler-mascheroni-pos in real-asymp)
   finally show ?thesis.
  qed
  hence (\lambda n. \ F \ (real \ n)) \in O(sqrt)
   by (rule landau-o.big.compose) real-asymp
  \mathbf{from} \ \mathit{natfun-bigoE}[\mathit{OF} \ \mathit{this}, \ \mathit{of} \ \mathit{1}] \ \mathbf{obtain} \ \mathit{B} :: \mathit{real}
   where B: B > 0 \land n. \ n \geq 1 \Longrightarrow |F(real \ n)| \leq B * sqrt(real \ n)
   by auto
  have B': |F x| \leq B * sqrt x \text{ if } x \geq 1 \text{ for } x
 proof -
   have |F x| \leq B * sqrt (nat |x|)
    using B(2)[of \ nat \ | \ x|] that by (simp add: sum-upto-altdef le-nat-iff le-floor-iff)
   also have ... \leq B * sqrt x
     using B(1) that by (intro mult-left-mono) auto
   finally show ?thesis.
  qed
  — Next, we obtain a good bound for \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}.
  from zeta-partial-sum-le-pos''[of 1 / 2] obtain A
   where A: A > 0 \ \land x. \ x \ge 1 \Longrightarrow |sum\text{-}upto\ (\lambda n. \ 1 \ / \ sqrt\ n)\ x| \le A * sqrt\ x
   by (auto simp: max-def powr-half-sqrt powr-minus field-simps)
  — Finally, we show that \sum_{n < x} \sum_{d \mid n} \mu(d) f(n/d) \in o(x).
 have sum-upto (dirichlet\text{-prod }\mu f) \in o(\lambda x. x)
  proof (rule landau-o.smallI)
   fix \varepsilon :: real
   assume \varepsilon: \varepsilon > 0
   have *: eventually (\lambda x. |sum-upto (dirichlet-prod \mu f) x| \leq \varepsilon * x) at-top
```

```
if b: b \ge 1 \ A * B \ / \ sqrt \ b \le \varepsilon \ / \ 3 \ B \ / \ sqrt \ b \le \varepsilon \ / \ 3 \ for \ b
   proof -
     define K :: real where K = sum-upto (\lambda n. |f n| / n) b
     have C \neq (1 / 2) using euler-mascheroni-gt-19-over-33 by auto
     hence K: K > 0 unfolding K-def f-def sum-upto-def
       by (intro sum-pos2[where i = 1]) (use \langle b \geq 1 \rangle in auto)
     have eventually (\lambda x. |M x / x| < \varepsilon / 3 / K) at-top
      using smalloD-tendsto[OF assms] \varepsilon K by (auto simp: tendsto-iff dist-norm)
     then obtain c' where c': \bigwedge x. x \ge c' \Longrightarrow |M x / x| < \varepsilon / 3 / K
       by (auto simp: eventually-at-top-linorder)
     define c where c = max \ 1 \ c'
     have c: |M x| < \varepsilon / 3 / K * x  if x \ge c  for x
       using c'[of x] that by (simp add: c-def field-simps)
     show eventually (\lambda x. | sum\text{-upto } (dirichlet\text{-prod } \mu f) | x | \leq \varepsilon * x) at-top
           using eventually-ge-at-top[of b * c] eventually-ge-at-top[of 1] eventu-
ally-qe-at-top[of b]
     proof eventually-elim
       case (elim \ x)
       define a where a = x / b
       from elim \langle b \geq 1 \rangle have ab: a \geq 1 b \geq 1 a * b = x
         by (simp-all add: a-def field-simps)
       from ab have a * 1 \le a * b by (intro mult-mono) auto
       hence a \leq x by (simp \ add: \ ab(3))
        from ab have a*1 \le a*b and 1*b \le a*b by (intro mult-mono;
simp)+
       hence a \le x b \le x by (simp-all \ add: \ ab(3))
       have a = x / b b = x / a using ab by (simp-all add: field-simps)
       have sum-upto (dirichlet-prod \mu f) x =
              sum-upto (\lambda n. \mu n * F(x/n)) a + sum-upto (\lambda n. M(x/n) * f n)
b - M a * F b
         unfolding M-def F-def by (rule hyperbola-method) (use ab in auto)
       also have |\ldots| \le \varepsilon / 3 * x + \varepsilon / 3 * x + \varepsilon / 3 * x
     proof (rule order.trans[OF abs-triangle-ineq4] order.trans[OF abs-triangle-ineq]
add-mono)+
         have |sum\text{-}upto(\lambda n. \mu n * F(x / real n)) a| \leq sum\text{-}upto(\lambda n. |\mu n * F)
(x / real n)|) a
           unfolding sum-upto-def by (rule sum-abs)
         also have ... \leq sum\text{-}upto\ (\lambda n.\ 1*(B*sqrt\ (x\ /\ real\ n)))\ a
           unfolding sum-upto-def abs-mult using \langle a \leq x \rangle
           by (intro sum-mono mult-mono B') (auto simp: moebius-mu-def)
         also have ... = B * sqrt x * sum-upto (\lambda n. 1 / sqrt n) a
           by (simp add: sum-upto-def sum-distrib-left real-sqrt-divide)
         also have ... \leq B * sqrt x * |sum\text{-}upto (\lambda n. 1 / sqrt n) a|
           using B(1) \langle x \geq 1 \rangle by (intro mult-left-mono) auto
         also have ... \leq B * sqrt x * (A * sqrt a)
           using \langle a \geq 1 \rangle B(1) \langle x \geq 1 \rangle by (intro mult-left-mono A) auto
         also have ... = A * B / sqrt b * x
```

```
using ab \langle x \geq 1 \rangle \langle x \geq 1 \rangle by (subst \langle a = x / b \rangle) (simp-all\ add:\ field-simps)
real-sqrt-divide)
           also have ... \leq \varepsilon / 3 * x \text{ using } (x \geq 1) \text{ by } (intro \textit{mult-right-mono } b)
auto
          finally show |sum\text{-}upto\ (\lambda n.\ \mu\ n*F\ (x\ /\ n))\ a|\leq \varepsilon\ /\ 3*x .
          have |sum\text{-}upto\ (\lambda n.\ M\ (x\ /\ n)\ *f\ n)\ b| \leq sum\text{-}upto\ (\lambda n.\ |M\ (x\ /\ n)\ *f
n|) b
            unfolding sum-upto-def by (rule sum-abs)
          also have ... \leq sum-upto (\lambda n. \varepsilon / 3 / K * (x / n) * |f n|) b
            unfolding sum-upto-def abs-mult
          proof (intro sum-mono mult-right-mono)
            fix n assume n: n \in \{n. \ n > 0 \land real \ n \le b\}
            have c \geq \theta by (simp add: c-def)
            with n have c * n < c * b by (intro mult-left-mono) auto
            also have ... \leq x using \langle b * c \leq x \rangle by (simp \ add: \ algebra-simps)
            finally show |M(x / real n)| \le \varepsilon / 3 / K * (x / real n)
              by (intro less-imp-le[OF c]) (use n in \langle auto \ simp: field-simps \rangle)
          qed auto
          also have ... = \varepsilon / 3 * x / K * sum-upto (\lambda n. |f n| / n) b
            by (simp add: sum-upto-def sum-distrib-left)
          also have ... = \varepsilon / 3 * x
            unfolding K-def [symmetric] using K by simp
          finally show |sum\text{-}upto\ (\lambda n.\ M\ (x / real\ n) * f\ n)\ b| \le \varepsilon / 3 * x.
          have |M \ a * F \ b| \le a * (B * sqrt \ b)
         unfolding abs-mult using ab by (intro mult-mono sum-moebius-mu-bound
B') auto
          also have ... = B / sqrt b * x
            using ab(1,2) by (simp add: real-sqrt-mult \langle b = x \mid a \rangle real-sqrt-divide
field-simps)
           also have ... \leq \varepsilon / 3 * x using \langle x \geq 1 \rangle by (intro mult-right-mono b)
auto
          finally show |M \ a * F \ b| \le \varepsilon \ / \ 3 * x.
        also have \dots = \varepsilon * x by simp
        finally show ?case.
      qed
    qed
    have eventually (\lambda b::real. b \geq 1 \land A * B / sqrt b \leq \varepsilon / 3 \land B / sqrt b \leq \varepsilon / 3 \land B / sqrt
3) at-top
      using \varepsilon by (intro eventually-conj; real-asymp)
    then obtain b where b \ge 1 \ A * B \ / \ sqrt \ b \le \varepsilon \ / \ 3 \ B \ / \ sqrt \ b \le \varepsilon \ / \ 3
      by (auto simp: eventually-at-top-linorder)
    from *[OF this] have eventually (\lambda x. |sum-upto (dirichlet-prod \mu f) x| \leq \varepsilon *
    thus eventually (\lambda x. norm (sum-upto (dirichlet-prod \mu f) x) \le \varepsilon * norm x)
at-top
```

```
using eventually-ge-at-top[of \theta] by eventually-elim simp
 qed
 have (\lambda x. \psi x - x) \in \Theta(\lambda x. -(sum\text{-}upto (dirichlet-prod } \mu f) x + (frac x + 2 *
(C)))
    by (intro bigthetaI-cong eventually-mono[OF eventually-ge-at-top[of 1]], subst
eq) auto
 hence (\lambda x. \psi x - x) \in \Theta(\lambda x. sum\text{-upto (dirichlet-prod } \mu f) x + (frac x + 2 *
(C)
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{simp\ only:\ landau-theta.uminus})
 also have (\lambda x. sum\text{-}upto (dirichlet\text{-}prod \mu f) x + (frac x + 2 * C)) \in o(\lambda x. x)
     using \langle sum\text{-}upto \ (dirichlet\text{-}prod \ \mu \ f) \in o(\lambda x. \ x) \rangle by (rule \ sum\text{-}in\text{-}smallo)
real-asymp+
 finally show ?thesis by (rule smallo-imp-asymp-equiv)
We now turn to a related fact: For the weighted sum A(x) := \sum_{n \le x} \mu(n)/n,
the asymptotic relation A(x) \in o(1) is also equivalent to the Prime Number
Theorem. Like Apostol, we only show one direction, namely that A(x) \in
o(1) implies the PNT.
context
 fixes A defines A \equiv sum-upto (\lambda n. moebius-mu n / n)
begin
lemma sum-upto-moebius-mu-integral': x > 1 \implies (A \text{ has-integral } x * A x - M)
 and sum-upto-moebius-mu-integrable': a \ge 1 \implies A integrable-on \{a..b\}
proof -
  {
   \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b :: real
   assume ab: a \ge 1 a < b
   have ((\lambda t. \ A \ t * 1) \ has\text{-}integral \ A \ b * b - A \ a * a -
           (\sum n{\in}real – ' \{a{<}..b\}. moebius-mu n / n * n)) \{a..b\}
     unfolding M-def A-def using ab
     by (intro partial-summation-strong [where X = \{\}\})
        (auto intro!: derivative-eq-intros continuous-intros
              simp flip: has-real-derivative-iff-has-vector-derivative)
  } note * = this
   fix x :: real assume x: x > 1
   have [simp]: A 1 = 1 by (simp \ add: A - def)
   have (\sum_{n} n \in real - (\{1 < ... x\}) \cdot moebius - mu \cdot n / n * n) =
           (\sum n \in insert \ 1 \ (real - `\{1 < ...x\}). \ moebius-mu \ n \ / \ n * n) - 1
    using finite-vimage-real-of-nat-greaterThanAtMost[of 1 x] by (subst sum.insert)
auto
   also have insert 1 (real - '\{1 < ... x\}) = \{n. n > 0 \land real \ n \le x\}
     using x by auto
   also have (\sum n \mid 0 < n \land real \ n \leq x. \ moebius-mu \ n \ / \ real \ n * real \ n) = M \ x
     unfolding M-def sum-upto-def by (intro sum.cong) auto
```

```
finally show (A \text{ has-integral } x * A x - M x) \{1..x\} \text{ using } *[\text{of } 1 x] x \text{ by } (\text{simp } x) = 0
add: mult-ac)
 {
   fix a \ b :: real assume ab: a \ge 1
   show A integrable-on \{a..b\}
     using *[of \ a \ b] \ ab
     by (cases a b rule: linorder-cases) (auto intro: integrable-negligible)
qed
\textbf{theorem} \ \textit{sum-moebius-mu-div-n-smallo-imp-PNT}:
 assumes smallo: A \in o(\lambda-. 1)
 shows M \in o(\lambda x. x) and \psi \sim [at\text{-}top] (\lambda x. x)
proof -
 have eventually (\lambda x. \ M \ x = x * A \ x - integral \{1..x\} \ A) at-top
   using eventually-gt-at-top[of 1]
  by eventually-elim (use sum-upto-moebius-mu-integral' in \(\simp\) add: has-integral-iff\(\))
  hence M \in \Theta(\lambda x. \ x * A \ x - integral \{1..x\} \ A)
   by (rule bigthetaI-cong)
 also have (\lambda x. \ x * A \ x - integral \{1..x\} \ A) \in o(\lambda x. \ x)
 proof (intro sum-in-smallo)
   from smallo show (\lambda x. \ x * A \ x) \in o(\lambda x. \ x)
     by (simp add: landau-divide-simps)
   show (\lambda x. integral \{1..x\} A) \in o(\lambda x. x)
     by (intro integral-smallo OF smallo sum-upto-moebius-mu-integrable')
        (auto intro!: derivative-eq-intros filterlim-ident)
 \mathbf{qed}
 finally show M \in o(\lambda x. x).
 thus \psi \sim [at\text{-}top] (\lambda x. x)
   by (rule\ sum-moebius-mu-sublinear-imp-PNT)
qed
end
end
end
9
      Elementary bounds on \pi(x) and p_n
theory Elementary-Prime-Bounds
imports
  Prime-Number-Theorem. Prime-Counting-Functions
  Prime-Distribution-Elementary-Library
  More-Dirichlet-Misc
begin
```

In this section, we will follow Apostol and give elementary proofs of Chebyshevtype lower and upper bounds for $\pi(x)$, i.e. $c_1x/\ln x < \pi(x) < c_2x/\ln x$. From this, similar bounds for p_n follow as easy corollaries.

9.1 Preliminary lemmas

The following two estimates relating the central Binomial coefficient to powers of 2 and 4 form the starting point for Apostol's elementary bounds for $\pi(x)$:

```
lemma twopow-le-central-binomial: 2 \cap n \leq ((2 * n) \text{ choose } n)
proof -
 have 2 \cap n * fact \ n \cap 2 \leq (fact \ (2 * n) :: nat)
 proof (induction \ n)
   case (Suc \ n)
   have (fact (2 * Suc n) :: nat) = (2 * n + 1) * (2 * n + 2) * fact (2 * n)
     by (simp add: algebra-simps)
   have 2 \cap Suc \ n * fact \ (Suc \ n) \cap 2 = 2 \cap n * fact \ n \cap 2 * 2 * (n + 1) \cap 2
     by (simp add: algebra-simps power2-eq-square)
   also have ... \leq fact (2 * n) * 2 * (n + 1) ^2
     by (intro mult-right-mono Suc.IH) auto
   also have ... = fact (2 * n) * (2 * (n + 1) ^2)
     by (simp add: mult-ac)
   also have ... \leq fact (2 * n) * ((2 * n + 1) * (2 * n + 2))
     by (intro mult-left-mono) (auto simp: power2-eq-square)
   also have \dots = fact (2 * Suc n)
     by (simp add: algebra-simps)
   finally show ?case.
  qed simp-all
  also have ... = (2 * n \ choose \ n) * fact \ n ^2
  using binomial-fact-lemma of n \ 2 * n by (simp add: power2-eq-square mult-ac)
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
{\bf lemma}\ four pow-gt-central-binomial:
 assumes n > \theta
 shows 4 \hat{n} > ((2 * n) \ choose \ n)
  have (\sum i \in \{...2*n\} - \{n\}. ((2*n) \ choose \ i)) > 0
   using assms by (intro sum-pos) (auto simp: subset-iff)
  hence ((2 * n) \ choose \ n) < (\sum i \in \{...2*n\} - \{n\}. \ ((2 * n) \ choose \ i)) + ((2 * n) \ choose \ i))
choose n
   by simp
 also have ... = (\sum i \in insert \ n \ (\{..2*n\} - \{n\}). \ ((2*n) \ choose \ i))
   by (subst sum.insert) auto
  also have insert n (\{...2*n\} - \{n\}) = \{...2*n\} by auto
  also have (\sum i \le 2*n. ((2*n) \ choose \ i)) = (1+1) \ (2*n)
   by (subst binomial) simp-all
```

```
also have ... = 4 ^ n
by (subst power-mult) (simp add: eval-nat-numeral)
finally show ?thesis .
qed
```

9.2 Lower bound for $\pi(x)$

begin

```
context includes prime\text{-}counting\text{-}syntax fixes S::nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow int defines S \equiv (\lambda n \ p. \ (\sum m \in \{0 < ...nat \ \lfloor log \ p \ (2*n) \rfloor \}. \ \lfloor 2*n/p \ m \rfloor - 2*\lfloor n/p \ m \rfloor))
```

We now first prove the bound $\pi(x) \geq \frac{1}{6}x/\ln x$ for $x \geq 2$. The constant could probably be improved for starting points greater than 2; this is true for most of the constants in this section.

The first step is to show a slightly stronger bound for even numbers, where the constant is $\frac{1}{2} \ln 2 \approx 0.347$:

```
lemma
 fixes n :: nat
 assumes n: n \geq 1
 shows \pi-bounds-aux: \ln (fact (2 * n)) - 2 * \ln (fact n) =
                       prime-sum-upto (\lambda p. S n p * ln p) (2 * n)
          \pi-lower-bound-ge-strong: \pi (2 * n) \geq ln 2 / 2 * (2 * n) / ln (2 * n)
 and
proof -
  define L :: real \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat where L = (\lambda x \ p. \ legendre-aux \ x \ p)
 have \ln (fact (2 * n)) - 2 * \ln (fact n) = sum-upto \ln (2 * n) - 2 * sum-upto
   by (simp add: ln-fact-conv-sum-upto)
 also have ... = prime-sum-upto (\lambda p. L(2 * n) p * ln p) (2 * n) -
                 2 * prime-sum-upto (\lambda p. L n p * ln p) n
   by (subst (12) legendre-identity) (auto simp: L-def)
 also have prime-sum-upto (\lambda p.\ L\ n\ p*ln\ p)\ n=prime-sum-upto\ (\lambda p.\ L\ n\ p*ln\ p)
ln \ p) \ (2 * n)
   unfolding prime-sum-upto-altdef2
   by (intro\ sum.mono-neutral-left[OF\ finite-subset[of - \{...2*n\}]])
      (auto\ dest:\ prime-gt-0-nat\ legendre-aux-posD
           simp: legendre-aux-eq-0 L-def le-nat-iff le-floor-iff)
 also have prime-sum-upto (\lambda p.\ L\ (2*n)\ p*ln\ p)\ (2*n)
               2 * prime-sum-upto (\lambda p. L n p * ln p) (2 * n) =
             prime-sum-upto\ (\lambda p.\ (real\ (L\ (2*n)\ p)\ -\ 2*real\ (L\ n\ p))*ln\ p)\ (2
* n
  by (simp add: ring-distribs sum-subtractf sum-distrib-left mult.assoc prime-sum-upto-def)
 also have ... = prime-sum-upto (\lambda p. of-int (S \ n \ p) * ln \ p) (2*n)
   unfolding prime-sum-upto-def
  proof (intro sum.cong refl, goal-cases)
   case (1 p)
   define ub where ub = nat | log p (2*n) |
   from 1 have p: prime p \mid p > 1 p \leq 2 * n
```

```
using prime-gt-1-nat[of p] by auto
 have L(2*n) p = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m \in \{0 < ... ub\}. nat \lfloor 2*n/p \widehat{m} \rfloor)
   unfolding L-def legendre-aux-altdef1 using p 1 by (auto simp: ub-def)
 moreover have L n p = (\sum m \in \{0 < ...ub\}. nat | n/p \hat{m}|) unfolding L-def
 proof (intro legendre-aux-altdef2)
   have real n = real p powr log p n
     using n p by simp
   also have log (real p) 2 > 0 using p by auto
   hence log p n < 1 + of\text{-}int \mid log p 2 + log p n \mid by linarith
   hence real p powr log p n < real p powr Suc ub
    unfolding ub-def using n p by (intro\ powr-less-mono) (auto\ simp:\ log-mult)
   also have \dots = p \cap Suc \ ub
     using p by (subst powr-realpow) auto
   finally show real n < real p \cap Suc \ ub by simp
  qed (use \ n \ p \ in \ auto)
 ultimately have real (L (2 * n) p) - 2 * real (L n p) =
               (\sum m \in \{0 < ...ub\}. \ real \ (nat \ \lfloor 2*n/p \hat{m} \rfloor) - 2 * real \ (nat \ \lfloor n/p \hat{m} \rfloor))
   \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon sum\text{-}subtractf\ sum\text{-}distrib\text{-}left)
 also have ... = of-int (\sum m \in \{0 < ...ub\}. \lfloor 2*n/p \hat{m} \rfloor - 2*\lfloor n/p \hat{m} \rfloor)
   unfolding of-int-sum by (intro sum.cong) auto
 finally show ?case by (simp add: ub-def S-def)
qed
finally show eq: ln (fact (2 * n)) - 2 * ln (fact n) =
                  prime-sum-upto (\lambda p. S n p * ln p) (2 * n).
have S-nonneg: S \ n \ p \ge \theta for p
 unfolding S-def by (intro sum-nonneg) linarith
have S-le: S \ n \ p \le |\log p \ (2*n)| if prime p for p
proof -
 have S \ n \ p \le (\sum m \in \{0 < ... nat \ | log \ p \ (2*n) | \}. \ 1)
   unfolding S-def of-nat-mult of-nat-numeral by (intro sum-mono) linarith
 thus ?thesis using prime-gt-1-nat[of p] that n by auto
qed
have n * ln 2 = ln (real (2 ^n))
 by (simp add: ln-realpow)
also have ... \leq ln \ (real \ ((2*n) \ choose \ n))
 using twopow-le-central-binomial[of n]
 by (subst ln-le-cancel-iff; (unfold of-nat-le-iff)?) auto
also have ... = ln (fact (2 * n)) - 2 * ln (fact n)
 by (simp add: binomial-fact ln-div ln-mult)
also have ... = prime-sum-upto (\lambda p. S n p * ln p) (2 * n)
 by (fact eq)
also have ... \leq prime-sum-upto(\lambda p. \lfloor log p(2*n) \rfloor * ln p)(2*n)
 unfolding prime-sum-upto-def using S-le
 by (intro sum-mono mult-right-mono) (auto dest: prime-gt-0-nat)
also have ... \leq prime-sum-upto(\lambda p. ln(2*n))(2*n)
 unfolding prime-sum-upto-def
proof (intro sum-mono)
```

```
fix p assume p \in \{p. prime \ p \land real \ p \leq real \ (2 * n)\}
   hence p: p > 1 using prime-gt-1-nat[of p] by auto
   have real-of-int |\log p(2*n)| = real-of-int |\ln (2*n) / \ln p|
     using p n by (simp add: log-def ln-mult)
   also have ... \leq ln (2 * n) / ln p
     by linarith
   also have ... * ln p = ln (2 * n)
     using p by (simp add: field-simps)
   finally show real-of-int |\log p(2*n)| * ln p \le ln(2*n)
     using p by simp
  qed
 also have ... = ln (2 * n) * \pi (2 * n)
   by (simp add: \pi-def prime-sum-upto-def)
 finally show \pi (2 * n) \ge (\ln 2 / 2) * (2 * n) / \ln (2 * n)
   using n by (simp add: field-simps)
qed
lemma ln-2-ge-56-81: ln 2 \ge (56 / 81 :: real)
 using ln-approx-bounds of 22, simplified, simplified eval-nat-numeral, simplified
by simp
The bound for any real number x \geq 2 follows fairly easily, although some
ugly accounting for error terms has to be done.
theorem \pi-lower-bound:
 fixes x :: real
 assumes x: x \geq 2
 shows \pi x > (1 / 6) * (x / ln x)
proof (cases\ even\ (nat\ |x|))
 {f case}\ True
  define n where n = nat |x|
  from True assms have n: n \geq 2 even n
   by (auto simp: n-def le-nat-iff le-floor-iff)
 have (1 / 6) * (x / \ln x) < (\ln 2 / 4) * (x / \ln x)
   using ln-2-ge-56-81 \times by (intro mult-strict-right-mono) auto
 also have \ln 2 / 4 * (x / \ln x) = (1 / 2) * (\ln 2 / 2 * x / \ln x)
   by simp
 also have ... \leq (1 - 1 / x) * (\ln 2 / 2 * x / \ln x)
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{intro}\ \mathit{mult-right-mono})\ (\mathit{use}\ \mathit{assms}\ \mathbf{in}\ \langle \mathit{auto}\ \mathit{simp} ; \mathit{field-simps} \rangle)
  also have (1 - 1 / x) * (ln 2 / 2 * x / ln x) = ln 2 / 2 * (x - 1) / ln x
   using assms by (simp add: field-simps)
 also have \ln 2 / 2 * (x - 1) / \ln x \le \ln 2 / 2 * n / \ln n
   using x by (intro frac-le mult-mono mult-nonneg-nonneg) (auto simp: n-def)
 also have \ln 2 / 2 * n / \ln n < \pi n
   using \pi-lower-bound-ge-strong[of n div 2] (even n) n by simp
 also have \pi n = \pi x by (simp add: n-def)
  finally show ?thesis.
\mathbf{next}
  case False
 define n where n = nat |x|
```

```
from False assms have n: n \ge 2 odd n
   by (auto simp: n-def le-nat-iff le-floor-iff)
 then obtain k where [simp]: n = 2 * k + 1
   by (auto\ elim!:\ oddE)
 from n have k: k > 0 by simp
 from k have 3 \le real \ n by simp
 also have real n \leq x unfolding n-def using x by linarith
 finally have x \geq 3.
 have (1 / 6) * (x / \ln x) = 1 / 6 * x / \ln x
   using x by (simp \ add: field-simps)
 also have 1 / 6 * x / ln x < ln 2 / 2 * (2 * k) / ln (2 * k)
 proof (intro frac-less)
   have x < real n + 1 unfolding n-def by linarith
   hence 1 / 6 * x < 1 / 6 * (n + 1) by simp
   also {
     have *: (3 * ln 2 - 1 :: real) \ge 1
      using ln-2-ge-56-81 by simp
     hence 1 / (3 * ln 2 - 1 :: real) \le 1 by simp
     also have 1 \le real \ k using k by simp
    finally have 1 / 6 * (n + 1) \le \ln 2 / 2 * real (2 * k)
      using * by (simp add: field-simps)
   finally show 1 / 6 * x < ln 2 / 2 * real (2 * k).
 next
   have real (2 * k) \le real \ n by simp
   also have \dots \leq x using x unfolding n-def by linarith
   finally show ln (real (2 * k)) \le ln x using k by simp
 qed (use \ k \ x \ in \ auto)
 also have \ln 2 / 2 * (2 * k) / \ln (2 * k) \le \pi (2 * k)
   by (rule \pi-lower-bound-ge-strong) (use \langle k \rangle \theta \rangle in auto)
 also have \pi (2 * k) \leq \pi n
   by (rule \pi-mono) auto
 also have ... = \pi x unfolding n-def by simp
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma \pi-at-top: filterlim primes-pi at-top at-top
proof (rule filterlim-at-top-mono)
 show eventually (\lambda x. primes-pi \ x \ge 1 \ / \ 6 * (x \ / \ ln \ x)) at-top
  using eventually-gt-at-top[of 2] by eventually-elim (intro less-imp-le \pi-lower-bound)
qed real-asymp
```

9.3 Upper bound for $\vartheta(x)$

In this section, we prove a linear upper bound for ϑ . This is somewhat unnecessary because we already have a considerably better bound on $\vartheta(x)$ using a proof that has roughly the same complexity as this one and also

only uses elementary means. Nevertheless, here is the proof from Apostol's book; it is quite nice and it would be a shame not to formalise it.

The idea is to first show a bound for $\vartheta(2n) - \vartheta(n)$ and then deduce one for $\vartheta(2^n)$ from this by telescoping, which then yields one for general x by monotonicity.

```
lemma \vartheta-double-less:
  fixes n :: nat
  assumes n: n > 0
  shows \vartheta (2 * real n) - \vartheta (real n) < real n * ln 4
proof (cases n \geq 2)
  {f case} False
  with assms have n = 1 by force
  moreover have \vartheta = ln \otimes ln \otimes (simp \ add: \ eval-\vartheta)
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
  define P where P = (\lambda n :: nat. \{ p \in \{ 0 < ...n \}. prime p \})
  have \vartheta-eq: \vartheta n = (\sum p \in P \ n. \ ln \ p) for n
   unfolding \vartheta-def prime-sum-upto-def
   by (intro sum.cong) (auto simp: P-def dest: prime-gt-0-nat)
  have \vartheta (2 * n) - \vartheta n = (\sum p \in P (2*n) - P n. \ln p)
   unfolding \vartheta-eq by (rule Groups-Big.sum-diff [symmetric]) (auto simp: P-def)
  also have (\sum p \in P (2*n) - P n. ln p) =
         (\sum p \in P (2*n) - P n. (\lfloor 2*n/p \rfloor - 2*\lfloor n/p \rfloor) * ln p)
  proof (intro sum.cong refl)
   fix p assume p: p \in P(2*n) - Pn
   hence *: real n / real p < 1 real n / real p \ge 1 / 2 by (auto simp: P-def)
   from * have \lfloor real \ n \ / \ real \ p \rfloor = 0 by linarith
   moreover from * have |2 * real n / real p| = 1
     by linarith
   ultimately show \ln p = (|2*n/p| - 2*|n/p|)* \ln p
     by simp
  qed
  also have (\sum p \in P (2*n) - P n. (\lfloor 2*n/p \rfloor - 2*\lfloor n/p \rfloor) * ln p) \le
         (\sum p \in P \ (2*n). \ (\lfloor 2*n/p \rfloor - 2 * \lfloor n/p \rfloor) * ln \ p)
  proof (intro sum-mono2)
   fix p assume p: p \in P(2 * n) - (P(2 * n) - P n)
   have 2 * \lfloor real \ n \ / \ real \ p \rfloor \le \lfloor 2 * (real \ n \ / \ real \ p) \rfloor
     by linarith
   thus 0 \le real-of-int (|real(2*n)/realp| - 2*|realn/realp|)* ln (realphi)
p)
     using p by (intro mult-nonneg-nonneg) (auto simp: P-def)
  qed (auto simp: P-def)
  also have *: 2 * real - of - int | real n / real p \cap m | \leq 2 * real n / real p \cap m for
p m
```

```
by linarith
  have (\sum m \in \{1\}, \lfloor 2 * real n / real p \cap m \rfloor - 2 * \lfloor n / real p \cap m \rfloor) \leq S n p
   if prime p p \leq 2 * n for p :: nat
    unfolding S-def using prime-gt-1-nat[OF that(1)] that(2) n * [of p]
    by (intro sum-mono2) (auto dest: prime-gt-1-nat simp: le-nat-iff le-floor-iff)
 hence (\sum p \in P \ (2*n). \ (\sum m \in \{1\}. \ \lfloor 2*n/p \widehat{\ m} \rfloor - 2*\lfloor n/p \widehat{\ m} \rfloor) * ln \ p) \le (\sum p \in P )
(2*n). S n p * ln p
    by (intro sum-mono mult-right-mono; (unfold of-int-le-iff)?)
       (auto dest: prime-gt-1-nat simp: P-def)
  hence (\sum p \in P \ (2*n). \ (\lfloor 2*n/p \rfloor - 2*\lfloor n/p \rfloor)* ln \ p) \le (\sum p \in P \ (2*n). \ S \ n \ p)
* ln p
    by simp
 also have (\sum p \in P \ (2*n). \ S \ n \ p*ln \ p) = prime-sum-upto \ (\lambda p. \ S \ n \ p*ln \ p)
     unfolding P-def prime-sum-upto-def by (intro sum.conq) (auto simp: P-def
dest: prime-qt-0-nat)
 also have ... = ln (fact (2 * n)) - 2 * ln (fact n)
    by (rule \pi-bounds-aux [symmetric]) (use n in auto)
  also have ... = ln (real ((2*n) choose n))
    by (simp add: binomial-fact ln-div ln-mult)
  also have ... < ln (real (4 ^n))
    by (subst ln-less-cancel-iff; (unfold of-nat-le-iff)?)
       (use fourpow-gt-central-binomial[of n] n in auto)
  also have \dots = n * ln 4
    by (simp add: ln-realpow)
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma \vartheta-twopow-less: \vartheta (2 ^r) < 2 ^(r + 1) * \ln 2
proof
  have \vartheta-twopow-diff: \vartheta (2 ^ Suc k) - \vartheta (2 ^ k) < 2 ^ Suc k * ln 2 for k
    using \vartheta-double-less[of 2 \cap k] ln-realpow[of 2 2] by simp
  show \vartheta (2 ^r) < 2 ^(r + 1) * \ln 2
  proof (cases \ r > \theta)
    case True
    have (\sum k < r. \vartheta (2 \hat{suc} k) - \vartheta (2 \hat{k})) < (\sum k < r. 2 \hat{suc} k * ln 2)
      by (\overline{intro} \ sum\text{-}strict\text{-}mono \ \vartheta\text{-}twopow\text{-}diff) \ (use \ \langle r>\theta \rangle \ \mathbf{in} \ auto)
   also have (\sum k < r. \ \vartheta \ (2 \ \widehat{\ } Suc \ k) - \vartheta \ (2 \ \widehat{\ } k)) = \vartheta \ (2 \ \widehat{\ } r)
      by (subst\ sum\ less Than\ telescope)\ auto
    also have (\sum k < r. 2 \hat{suc} k * ln 2 :: real) = (\sum k < r. 2 \hat{sk}) * 2 * ln 2
      by (simp add: sum-distrib-right sum-distrib-left mult-ac)
    also have (\sum k < r. 2 \hat{k} :: real) = 2 \hat{r} - 1
      using geometric-sum[of 2 :: real] by simp
    also have \dots \leq 2 \hat{r} by simp
    finally show \vartheta (2 ^r) < 2 ^(r + 1) * \ln 2
     by simp
  qed auto
qed
```

```
theorem \vartheta-upper-bound-weak:

fixes n::nat

assumes n:n>0

shows \vartheta n<4*ln~2*n

proof —

define r where r=floor-log~n

have \vartheta n\leq\vartheta (real (2\ ^Suc~r))

unfolding r-def using floor-log-exp2-ge[of n] by (intro \vartheta-mono, unfold of-nat-le-iff)

auto

also have ... <4*ln~2*real~(2\ ^r)

using \vartheta-twopow-less[of r+1] by (simp add: mult-ac)

also have ... \leq 4*ln~2*real~n unfolding r-def

by (intro mult-left-mono, unfold of-nat-le-iff, intro floor-log-exp2-le) (use n in auto)

finally show \vartheta n<4*ln~2*n by simp

qed
```

9.4 Upper bound for $\pi(x)$

We use our upper bound for $\vartheta(x)$ (the strong one, not the one from the previous section) to derive an upper bound for $\pi(x)$.

As a first step, we show the following lemma about the global maximum of the function $\ln x/x^c$ for c>0:

```
lemma \pi-upper-bound-aux:
 fixes c :: real
 assumes c > \theta
 defines f \equiv (\lambda x. \ x \ powr \ (-c) * ln \ x)
 assumes x: x > \theta
 shows f x \leq 1 / (c * exp 1)
proof -
  define f' where f' = (\lambda x. \ x \ powr \ (-c - 1) * (1 - c * ln \ x))
  define z where z = exp(1 / c)
  have z > 0 by (simp \ add: z\text{-}def)
 have deriv: (f has-real-derivative f'(t)) (at t) if t > 0 for t
   unfolding f-def f'-def using that
   by (auto intro!: derivative-eq-intros simp: field-simps powr-diff powr-minus)
  have [simp]: f z = 1 / (c * exp 1)
   by (simp add: z-def f-def powr-def exp-minus field-simps)
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases x z rule: linorder-cases)
   assume x: x < z
   from x assms have t: \exists t. \ t > x \land t < z \land fz - fx = (z - x) * f't
     by (intro MVT2 deriv) auto
   then obtain t where t: t > x t < z f z - f x = (z - x) * f' t
     by blast
   hence ln \ t < ln \ z  using assms by simp
```

```
also have ln z = 1 / c by (simp \ add: z-def)
   finally have 0 \le (z - x) * f' t
     unfolding f'-def using \langle c > \theta \rangle x
     by (intro mult-nonneg-nonneg) (auto simp: z-def field-simps)
   also from t have ... = f z - f x by (simp add: algebra-simps)
   finally show ?thesis by simp
  next
   assume x: x > z
   from x \ assms \langle z > 0 \rangle have t: \exists t. \ t > z \land t < x \land f \ x - f \ z = (x - z) * f' \ t
     by (intro MVT2 deriv) auto
   then obtain t where t: t > z t < x f x - f z = (x - z) * f' t
   hence \ln z < \ln t using \langle z > \theta \rangle assms by simp
   also have ln z = 1 / c by (simp \ add: z-def)
   finally have 0 < (z - x) * f' t
     unfolding f'-def using \langle c > 0 \rangle x
   by (intro mult-nonpos-nonpos mult-nonneq-nonpos) (auto simp: z-def field-simps)
   also from t have ... = f z - f x by (simp add: algebra-simps)
   finally show ?thesis by simp
 qed auto
qed
Following Apostol, we first show a generic bound depending on some real-
valued parameter \alpha:
lemma \pi-upper-bound-strong:
 fixes \alpha :: real and n :: nat
 assumes n: n \geq 2 and \alpha: \alpha \in \{0 < .. < 1\}
 shows \pi n < (1 / ((1 - \alpha) * exp 1) + ln 4 / \alpha) * n / ln n
proof -
 have real n powr \alpha \leq real n powr 1
   using assms n by (intro powr-mono) auto
 hence n': real n powr \alpha \leq real n using n by simp
 define P where P = (\lambda x. \{p. prime <math>p \land real \ p \le x\})
 define Q where Q = \{p. prime \ p \land real \ p \in \{n \ powr \ \alpha < ..n\}\}
 have finite-P [intro]: finite (P x) for x
 proof (cases x \ge \theta)
   \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
   hence P x \subseteq \{..nat |x|\}
     by (auto simp: le-nat-iff le-floor-iff P-def)
   thus ?thesis by (rule finite-subset) auto
 qed (auto simp: P-def)
 have P-subset: P x \subseteq P y if x \leq y for x y
   using that by (auto simp: P-def)
  have Q = P n - P (n powr \alpha) by (auto simp: Q-def P-def)
 also have card \dots = card (P \ n) - card (P \ (n \ powr \ \alpha))
```

```
by (intro card-Diff-subset finite-P P-subset n')
  also have real \dots = \pi \ n - \pi \ (n \ powr \ \alpha)
   by (subst\ of\text{-}nat\text{-}diff[OF\ card\text{-}mono[OF\ -\ P\text{-}subset]])
      (use n' in \langle auto\ simp:\ \pi\text{-}def\ prime\text{-}sum\text{-}upto\text{-}def\ P\text{-}def\rangle)
  finally have card-Q: real (card Q) = \pi n - \pi (n \text{ powr } \alpha).
  have (\pi \ n - \pi \ (n \ powr \ \alpha)) * ln \ (n \ powr \ \alpha) = (\sum p \in Q. \ ln \ (n \ powr \ \alpha))
    using card-Q by simp
  also have \dots \leq (\sum p \in Q. \ln p)
    using n \alpha by (intro sum-mono, subst ln-le-cancel-iff) (auto simp: Q-def dest:
prime-gt-0-nat)
  also have \dots \leq \vartheta n
   unfolding \vartheta-def prime-sum-upto-def by (intro sum-mono2) (auto simp: Q-def
dest: prime-gt-1-nat)
  also have ... < ln \cancel{4} * real n
   by (rule \vartheta-upper-bound) (use n in auto)
  finally have ineq: (\pi \ n - \pi \ (n \ powr \ \alpha)) * ln \ (n \ powr \ \alpha) < ln \ 4 * n.
  with n assms have \pi n < \pi (n powr \alpha) + (ln 4 / \alpha) * n / ln n
   by (simp add: field-simps ln-powr
            del: div-mult-self3 div-mult-self4 div-mult-self2 div-mult-self1)
  also have \pi (n \ powr \ \alpha) \leq n \ powr \ \alpha
   by (rule \pi-le-self) auto
  also have n \ powr \ \alpha + ln \ 4 \ / \ \alpha * n \ / \ ln \ n =
              (n \ powr \ (-(1-\alpha)) * ln \ n + ln \ 4 / \alpha) * n / ln \ n
   using n \alpha by (simp add: field-simps powr-diff
                      del: div-mult-self3 div-mult-self4 div-mult-self2 div-mult-self1)
  also have n \ powr \ (-(1-\alpha)) * ln \ n \le 1 \ / \ ((1-\alpha) * exp \ 1)
   by (intro \pi-upper-bound-aux) (use \alpha n in auto)
  hence (n \ powr \ (-(1-\alpha)) * ln \ n + ln \ 4 \ / \alpha) * n \ / ln \ n \le
          (1 / ((1 - \alpha) * exp 1) + ln 4 / \alpha) * n / ln n
   using n \propto by (intro divide-right-mono mult-right-mono add-mono) auto
  finally show \pi n < (1 / ((1 - \alpha) * exp 1) + ln 4 / \alpha) * n / ln n
   by simp
qed
The choice \alpha := \frac{2}{3} then leads to the upper bound \pi(x) < cx/\ln x with
c = 3(e^{-1} + \ln 2) \approx 3.183. This is considerably stronger than Apostol's
bound.
theorem \pi-upper-bound:
 fixes x :: real
  assumes x \geq 2
  shows \pi x < 3 * (exp(-1) + ln 2) * x / ln x
proof (cases x \geq 3)
  case False
  have \pi \ x = \pi \ (nat \ \lfloor x \rfloor) by simp
  also from False and assms have nat |x|=2
   by linarith
  finally have \pi x = 1 by (simp \ add: \ eval-\pi)
```

```
also have ... < 3 * (exp (-1) + ln 2) * exp 1
   by (simp add: exp-minus field-simps add-pos-pos
          del: div-mult-self3 div-mult-self4 div-mult-self2 div-mult-self1)
 also have ... \leq 3 * (exp (-1) + ln 2) * (x / ln x)
   using \pi-upper-bound-aux[of 1 x]
   by (intro mult-left-mono) (use assms in (auto simp: field-simps powr-minus))
 finally show ?thesis
   using assms by (simp add: field-simps)
next
 case True
 define n where n = nat |x|
 from True have n: n \geq 3 by (simp add: n-def le-nat-iff le-floor-iff)
 have \pi x = \pi n
   by (simp \ add: \ n\text{-}def)
 also have \pi n < 3 * (exp (-1) + ln 2) * (n / ln n)
   using \pi-upper-bound-strong[of n 2 / 3] ln-realpow[of 2 2] n
   by (simp add: field-simps exp-minus
          del: div-mult-self3 div-mult-self4 div-mult-self2 div-mult-self1)
 also have ... \leq 3 * (exp(-1) + ln 2) * (x / ln x)
   using n True by (intro mult-left-mono divide-ln-mono) (auto simp: n-def)
 finally show ?thesis by (simp add: divide-simps)
\mathbf{qed}
corollary \pi-upper-bound':
 fixes x :: real
 assumes x \geq 2
 shows \pi x < 443 / 139 * (x / ln x)
proof -
 have 2.71828 \le 5837465777 / 2147483648 - inverse (2 ^ 32 :: real)
   by simp
 also have \dots \leq exp \ (1 :: real)
   using e-approx-32 by linarith
 finally have exp \ 1 \ge (2.71828 :: real).
  hence e-m1: exp(-1) \le (10^5 / 271828 :: real) by (simp add: field-simps)
exp-minus)
 from assms have \pi x < 3 * (exp(-1) + ln 2) * (x / ln x)
   using \pi-upper-bound[of x] by (simp add: field-simps)
 also have ... \leq 443 / 139 * (x / ln x)
 proof (intro mult-right-mono)
   have 3 * (exp(-1) + ln \ 2 :: real) \le 3 * (10^5 / 271828 + 25 / 36)
     using e-m1 by (intro mult-left-mono add-mono ln2-le-25-over-36)
                (auto simp: exp-minus field-simps abs-if split: if-splits)
   also have \dots \le 443 / 139 by simp
   finally show 3 * (exp (-1) + ln 2 :: real) \le 443 / 139 by simp
 qed (use assms in auto)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
```

```
corollary \pi-upper-bound":
 fixes x :: real
 assumes x \geq 2
 shows \pi x < 4 * (x / \ln x)
 by (rule less-le-trans [OF \pi-upper-bound' [OF assms] mult-right-mono]) (use assms
in auto)
In particular, we have now shown a weak version of the Prime Number
Theorem, namely that \pi(x) \in \Theta(x/\ln x):
lemma \pi-bigtheta: \pi \in \Theta(\lambda x. \ x \ / \ ln \ x)
proof
 have eventually (\lambda x. |\pi| x| \leq 3 * (exp(-1) + ln 2) * |x / ln x|) at-top
   using eventually-ge-at-top[of 2]
   by eventually-elim (use \pi-upper-bound in (auto intro!: less-imp-le))
  thus \pi \in O(\lambda x. \ x / \ln x)
   by (intro bigoI[where c = 3 * (exp(-1) + ln 2)]) auto
 have eventually (\lambda x. |\pi| x| \ge 1 / 6 * |x / \ln x|) at-top
   using eventually-ge-at-top[of 2]
   by eventually-elim (use \pi-lower-bound in \langle auto\ intro!:\ less-imp-le \rangle)
 thus \pi \in \Omega(\lambda x. \ x \ / \ ln \ x)
   by (intro landau-omega.bigI[where c = 1 / 6]) auto
qed
```

9.5 Bounds for p_n

By some rearrangements, the lower and upper bounds for $\pi(x)$ give rise to analogous bounds for p_n :

```
lemma nth-prime-lower-bound-gen:
 assumes c: c > \theta and n: n > \theta
 assumes \bigwedge n. n \geq 2 \Longrightarrow \pi \ (real \ n) < (1 \ / \ c) * (real \ n \ / \ ln \ (real \ n))
 shows nth-prime (n-1) \ge c * (real \ n * ln \ (real \ n))
proof -
  define p where p = nth-prime (n - 1)
 have p \geq 2
   by (simp add: p-def nth-prime-ge-2)
 have p \ge n using nth-prime-lower-bound[of n-1] by (simp add: p-def)
 have c * (n * ln n) \le c * (n * ln p)
   using n \ c \ \langle p \geq n \rangle by (intro mult-left-mono) auto
 also {
   from \langle p \geq 2 \rangle have \pi (real p) < (1 / c) * (real p / ln (real p))
     by (rule assms)
   also from n have \pi (real p) = n
     by (simp add: p-def)
   finally have c * (n * ln p) < p
     using c \langle p \geq 2 \rangle n by (simp \ add: field-simps)
  }
```

```
finally show nth-prime (n-1) \ge c * (real \ n * ln \ (real \ n))
   using c n by (simp \ add: \ p\text{-}def)
qed
corollary nth-prime-lower-bound:
  n > 0 \implies nth\text{-prime } (n-1) \ge (139 / 443) * (n * ln n)
 using \pi-upper-bound' by (intro nth-prime-lower-bound-gen) auto
corollary nth-prime-upper-bound:
 assumes n: n > 0
 shows nth-prime (n-1) < 12 * (n * ln n + n * ln (12 / exp 1))
 define p where p = nth-prime (n - 1)
 have p \geq 2
   by (simp add: p-def nth-prime-ge-2)
 have (1 / 6) * (p / ln p) < \pi p
   by (intro \pi-lower-bound) (use \langle p \geq 2 \rangle in auto)
  also have \dots = n
   using n by (simp \ add: \ p\text{-}def)
  finally have less: p < 6 * n * ln p
   using \langle p \geq 2 \rangle by (simp \ add: field\text{-}simps)
 also have ln p \leq (2 / exp 1) * sqrt p
   using \pi-upper-bound-aux[of 1 / 2 p] \langle p \geq 2 \rangle
   by (simp add: field-simps powr-minus powr-half-sqrt)
  finally have sqrt \ p * sqrt \ p < 12 \ / \ exp \ 1 * n * sqrt \ p
   using n by simp
 hence sqrt \ p < 12 \ / \ exp \ 1 * n
   by (subst (asm) mult-less-cancel-right) (use \langle p \geq 2 \rangle in auto)
 hence ln (sqrt p) < ln (12 / exp 1 * n)
   using n \langle p \geq 2 \rangle by (subst ln-less-cancel-iff) auto
 also have ln (sqrt p) = ln p / 2
   using \langle p \geq 2 \rangle by (simp \ add: ln\text{-}sqrt)
  also have ln (12 / exp 1 * n) = ln n + ln (12 / exp 1)
   using n by (simp add: ln-div ln-mult)
 finally have ln-less: ln p \le 2 * ln n + 2 * ln (12 / exp 1)
   by simp
 have p < 6 * n * ln p by (fact less)
 also have ... \leq 6 * n * (2 * ln n + 2 * ln (12 / exp 1))
   by (intro mult-left-mono ln-less) auto
 also have ... = 12 * (n * ln n + n * ln (12 / exp 1))
   by (simp add: algebra-simps)
 finally show ?thesis unfolding p-def.
qed
We can thus also conclude that p_n \sim n \ln n:
corollary nth-prime-bigtheta: nth-prime \in \Theta(\lambda n. n * ln n)
```

```
proof
 have eventually (\lambda n. | nth\text{-prime } n | \leq
          12 * |(n + 1) * ln (n + 1) + (n + 1) * ln (12 / exp 1)|) at-top
   using eventually-ge-at-top[of 2]
  proof eventually-elim
   case (elim \ n)
   with nth-prime-upper-bound [of n+1] show ?case by (auto simp: add-ac)
  hence nth-prime \in O(\lambda n. (n + 1) * ln (n + 1) + (n + 1) * ln (12 / exp 1))
   by (intro\ bigoI[\mathbf{where}\ c=12])\ auto
 also have (\lambda n. (n+1) * ln (n+1) + (n+1) * ln (12 / exp 1)) \in O(\lambda n::nat.
n * ln n
   by real-asymp
 finally show nth-prime \in O(\lambda n. \ n * ln \ n).
 have eventually (\lambda n. | nth\text{-}prime \ n | \ge 139 \ / \ 443 * | (n+1) * ln \ (n+1) |) at-top
   using eventually-ge-at-top[of 2]
 proof eventually-elim
   case (elim \ n)
   with nth-prime-lower-bound[of n + 1] show ?case by (auto simp: add-ac)
 hence nth-prime \in \Omega(\lambda n::nat. real (n + 1) * ln (real n + 1))
   by (intro landau-omega.bigI[where c = 139 / 443]) (auto simp: add-ac)
 also have (\lambda n::nat. \ real \ (n+1)*ln \ (real \ n+1)) \in \Omega(\lambda n. \ n*ln \ n)
   by real-asymp
 finally show nth-prime \in \Omega(\lambda n. \ n * ln \ n).
qed
end
```

10 The asymptotics of the summatory divisor σ function

```
theory Summatory-Divisor-Sigma-Bounds
imports Partial-Zeta-Bounds More-Dirichlet-Misc
begin
```

end

In this section, we analyse the asymptotic behaviour of the summatory divisor functions $\sum_{n\leq x} \sigma_{\alpha}(n)$ for real α . This essentially tells us what the average value of these functions is for large x.

The case $\alpha = 0$ is not treated here since σ_0 is simply the divisor function, for which precise asymptotics are already available in the AFP.

10.1 Case 1: $\alpha = 1$

If $\alpha = 1$, $\sigma_{\alpha}(n)$ is simply the sum of all divisors of n. Here, the asymptotics is

$$\sum_{n \le x} \sigma_1(n) = \frac{\pi^2}{12} x^2 + O(x \ln x) .$$

```
{\bf theorem}\ summatory\hbox{-} divisor\hbox{-} sum\hbox{-} asymptotics:
    sum-upto divisor-sum = o(\lambda x. pi^2 / 12 * x ^2) + oO(\lambda x. x * ln x)
proof -
   define \zeta where \zeta = Re \ (zeta \ 2)
    define R1 where R1 = (\lambda x. sum\text{-}upto real x - x^2 / 2)
    define R2 where R2 = (\lambda x. sum-upto (\lambda d. 1 / d^2) x - (\zeta - 1 / x))
    obtain c1 where c1: c1 > 0 \bigwedge x. x \ge 1 \Longrightarrow |R1 \ x| \le c1 * x
       using zeta-partial-sum-le-neg[of 1] by (auto simp: R1-def)
    obtain c2 where c2: c2 > 0 \land x. \ x \ge 1 \Longrightarrow |R2 \ x| \le c2 \ / \ x^2
       using zeta-partial-sum-le-pos[of 2]
         by (auto simp: \zeta-def R2-def powr-minus field-simps
                          simp del: div-mult-self3 div-mult-self4 div-mult-self2 div-mult-self1)
   have le: |sum-upto divisor-sum x - \zeta / 2 * x^2| \leq c2 / 2 + x / 2 + c1 * x *
(\ln x + 1)
       if x: x \ge 1 for x
    proof -
       have div-le: real (a \ div \ b) \le x \ \text{if} \ a \le x \ \text{for} \ a \ b :: nat
           by (rule\ order.trans[OF - that(1)])\ auto
       have real (sum-upto divisor-sum x) = sum-upto (dirichlet-prod real (\lambda-. 1)) x
           by (simp add: divisor-sigma-conv-dirichlet-prod [abs-def]
                                     sum-upto-def divisor-sigma-1-left [symmetric])
       also have ... = sum-upto (\lambda n. \sum d \mid d \ dvd \ n. \ real \ d) x
           by (simp add: dirichlet-prod-def)
        also have ... = (\sum (n, d) \in (SIGMA \ n: \{n. \ n > 0 \land real \ n \leq x\}). \{d. \ d \ dvd\}
           unfolding sum-upto-def by (subst sum.Sigma) auto
       also have ... = (\sum (d, q) \in (SIGMA \ d: \{d. \ d > 0 \land real \ d \leq x\}. \{q. \ q > 0 \land d \in A\})
real \ q \leq x / d). real \ q)
          by (rule sum.reindex-bij-witness[of - \lambda(d, q). (d * q, q) \lambda(n, d). (n \text{ div } d, d)])
                 (use div-le in \(\cap auto \) simp: field-simps\(\cap \))
       also have ... = sum-upto (\lambda d. sum-upto real (x / d)) x
           by (simp add: sum-upto-def sum.Sigma)
        also have ... = x^2 * sum-upto (\lambda d. 1 / d^2) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d^2)) x / 2 +
        by (simp add: R1-def sum-upto-def sum. distrib sum-subtractf sum-divide-distrib
                                     power-divide sum-distrib-left)
       also have sum-upto (\lambda d. \ 1 \ / \ d^2) \ x = \zeta - 1 \ / \ x + R2 \ x
           by (simp \ add: R2\text{-}def)
       finally have eq: real (sum-upto divisor-sum x) =
```

 $x^{2} * (\zeta - 1 / x + R2 x) / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / real d))$

```
x .
```

```
have real (sum-upto divisor-sum x) -\zeta / 2 * x^2 =
          x^2 / 2 * R2 x - x / 2 + sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / real d)) x using x
     by (subst eq)
        (simp add: field-simps power2-eq-square del: div-diff div-add
             del: div-mult-self3 div-mult-self4 div-mult-self2 div-mult-self1)
   also have |...| \le c2 / 2 + x / 2 + c1 * x * (ln x + 1)
   proof (rule order.trans[OF abs-triangle-ineq] order.trans[OF abs-triangle-ineq4]
add-mono)+
     have |x^2 / 2 * R2 x| = x^2 / 2 * |R2 x|
       using x by (simp \ add: abs-mult)
     also have ... \leq x^2 / 2 * (c2 / x^2)
       using x by (intro mult-left-mono c2) auto
     finally show |x^2|/|2*R2|x| \le c2|/|2|
       using x by simp
   next
    have |sum\text{-}upto(\lambda d. R1 (x / real d)) x| \leq sum\text{-}upto(\lambda d. |R1 (x / real d)|) x
       unfolding sum-upto-def by (rule sum-abs)
     also have ... \leq sum-upto (\lambda d. \ c1 * (x / real \ d)) \ x
       unfolding sum-upto-def by (intro sum-mono c1) auto
     also have ... = c1 * x * sum\text{-}upto (\lambda d. 1 / real d) x
       by (simp add: sum-upto-def sum-distrib-left)
     also have sum-upto (\lambda d. \ 1 \ / \ real \ d) \ x = harm \ (nat \ |x|)
          unfolding sum-upto-altdef harm-def by (intro sum.cong) (auto simp:
field-simps)
     also have \dots \leq \ln (nat |x|) + 1
      by (rule harm-le) (use x in \langle auto \ simp: \ le-nat-iff \rangle)
     also have ln (nat |x|) \leq ln x using x by simp
     finally show |sum\text{-}upto\ (\lambda d.\ R1\ (x \ /\ real\ d))\ x| \le c1 * x * (ln\ x + 1)
       using c1(1) x by simp
   qed (use x in auto)
   finally show | sum-upto divisor-sum x - \zeta / 2 * x^2 | \le c2 / 2 + x / 2 + c1
* x * (ln x + 1).
 qed
 have eventually (\lambda x. | sum\text{-upto divisor-sum } x - \zeta / 2 * x^2 | \le
                         c2 / 2 + x / 2 + c1 * x * (ln x + 1)) at-top
   using eventually-ge-at-top[of 1] by eventually-elim (use le in auto)
  hence eventually (\lambda x. |sum-upto divisor-sum x - \zeta / 2 * x^2 | \le
                          |c2|/2+x/2+c1*x*(ln x+1)|) at-top
   by eventually-elim linarith
 hence (\lambda x. \ sum-up to \ divisor-sum \ x - \zeta \ / \ 2 * x^2) \in O(\lambda x. \ c2 \ / \ 2 + x \ / \ 2 + c1)
* x * (ln x + 1))
   by (intro landau-o.bigI[of 1]) auto
  also have (\lambda x. \ c2 \ / \ 2 + x \ / \ 2 + c1 * x * (ln \ x + 1)) \in O(\lambda x. \ x * ln \ x)
   by real-asymp
  finally show ?thesis
   by (subst set-minus-plus [symmetric])
```

(simp-all add: fun-diff-def algebra-simps ζ -def zeta-even-numeral) \mathbf{qed}

10.2 Case 2: $\alpha > 0$, $\alpha \neq 1$

Next, we consider the case $\alpha > 0$ and $\alpha \neq 1$. We then have:

$$\sum_{n \le x} \sigma_{\alpha}(n) = \frac{\zeta(\alpha+1)}{\alpha+1} x^{\alpha+1} + O\left(x^{\max(1,\alpha)}\right)$$

```
{\bf theorem}\ summatory\hbox{-}divisor\hbox{-}sigma\hbox{-}asymptotics\hbox{-}pos\hbox{:}
  fixes \alpha :: real
  assumes \alpha: \alpha > 0 \alpha \neq 1
  defines \zeta \equiv Re \ (zeta \ (\alpha + 1))
  shows sum-upto (divisor-sigma \alpha) = o
            (\lambda x. \zeta / (\alpha + 1) * x powr (\alpha + 1)) + o O(\lambda x. x powr max 1 \alpha)
proof -
  define R1 where R1 = (\lambda x. sum\text{-}upto (\lambda d. real d powr \alpha) x - x powr (\alpha + 1)
/(\alpha + 1)
 define R2 where R2 = (\lambda x. sum-upto (\lambda d. d powr (-\alpha - 1)) x - (\zeta - x powr)
  define R3 where R3 = (\lambda x. sum\text{-}upto (\lambda d. d powr - \alpha) x - x powr (1 - \alpha) /
(1-\alpha)
  obtain c1 where c1: c1 > 0 \bigwedge x. x \ge 1 \Longrightarrow |R1| \le c1 * x powr \alpha
    using zeta-partial-sum-le-neg[of \alpha] \alpha by (auto simp: R1-def add-ac)
  obtain c2 where c2: c2 > 0 \bigwedge x. x \ge 1 \Longrightarrow |R2 x| \le c2 * x powr(-\alpha - 1)
    using zeta-partial-sum-le-pos[of \alpha + 1] \alpha by (auto simp: \zeta-def R2-def)
  obtain c3 where c3: c3 > 0 \land x. x \ge 1 \Longrightarrow |R3| \le c3
    using zeta-partial-sum-le-pos'[of \alpha] \alpha by (auto simp: R3-def)
  define ub :: real \Rightarrow real where
    ub = (\lambda x. \ x \ / \ (\alpha * (\alpha + 1)) + c2 \ / \ (\alpha + 1) + c1 * (1 \ / \ (1 - \alpha) * x + c3 *
x \ powr \ \alpha))
 have le: |sum\text{-}upto\ (divisor\text{-}sigma\ \alpha)\ x-\zeta\ /\ (\alpha+1)*x\ powr\ (\alpha+1)|\leq ub\ x
    if x: x > 1 for x
  proof -
    have div-le: real (a \ div \ b) \le x \ \text{if} \ a \le x \ \text{for} \ a \ b :: nat
      by (rule\ order.trans[OF - that(1)])\ auto
    have sum-upto (divisor-sigma \alpha) x =
            sum-upto (dirichlet-prod (\lambda n. real n powr \alpha) (\lambda-. 1)) x
      by (simp add: divisor-sigma-conv-dirichlet-prod [abs-def]
                    sum-upto-def divisor-sigma-1-left [symmetric])
    also have ... = sum-upto (\lambda n. \sum d \mid d \ dvd \ n. \ real \ d \ powr \ \alpha) \ x
      by (simp add: dirichlet-prod-def)
    also have ... = (\sum (n, d) \in (SIGMA \ n: \{n. \ n > 0 \land real \ n \leq x\}). \{d. \ d \ dvd\}
n}). real d powr \alpha)
      unfolding sum-upto-def by (subst sum.Sigma) auto
```

```
also have ... = (\sum (d, q) \in (SIGMA \ d: \{d. \ d > 0 \land real \ d \leq x\}. \ \{q. \ q > 0 \land d \in a\})
real q \leq x / d). real q powr \alpha)
     by (rule sum.reindex-bij-witness[of - \lambda(d, q). (d * q, q) \lambda(n, d). (n \operatorname{div} d, d)])
        (use div-le in \langle auto \ simp : field-simps \rangle)
   also have ... = sum-upto (\lambda d. sum-upto (\lambda q. q powr \alpha) (x / d)) x
     by (simp add: sum-upto-def sum.Sigma)
   also have ... = x powr(\alpha + 1) * sum-upto(\lambda d. 1 / d powr(\alpha + 1)) x / (\alpha
+1) +
                   sum-upto (\lambda d. R1 (x / d)) x
    by (simp add: R1-def sum-upto-def sum.distrib sum-subtractf sum-divide-distrib
                   powr-divide sum-distrib-left)
   also have sum-upto (\lambda d. \ 1 \ / \ d \ powr \ (\alpha + 1)) \ x = \zeta - x \ powr \ -\alpha \ / \ \alpha + R2 \ x
     by (simp add: R2-def powr-minus field-simps powr-diff powr-add)
   finally have eq: sum-upto (divisor-sigma \alpha) x =
      x \ powr \ (\alpha + 1) * (\zeta - x \ powr \ -\alpha \ / \ \alpha + R2 \ x) \ / \ (\alpha + 1) + sum-upto \ (\lambda d.
R1 (x / d) x.
   have sum-upto (divisor-sigma \alpha) x - \zeta / (\alpha + 1) * x powr (\alpha + 1) =
            -x/(\alpha*(\alpha+1)) + x powr(\alpha+1)/(\alpha+1)*R2 x + sum-upto
(\lambda d. R1 (x / d)) x
     using x \alpha
     by (subst eq, simp add: divide-simps
                        del: div-mult-self3 div-mult-self4 div-mult-self2 div-mult-self1)
         (simp add: field-simps power2-eq-square powr-add powr-minus del: div-diff
\operatorname{div-add}
              del: div-mult-self3 div-mult-self4 div-mult-self2 div-mult-self1)
   also have |\ldots| \leq ub \ x \ unfolding \ ub - def
   proof (rule order.trans[OF abs-triangle-ineq] order.trans[OF abs-triangle-ineq4]
add-mono)+
     have |x \ powr \ (\alpha + 1) \ / \ (\alpha + 1) * R2 \ x| = x \ powr \ (\alpha + 1) \ / \ (\alpha + 1) * |R2
x
       using x \alpha by (simp add: abs-mult)
     also have ... \leq x \ powr \ (\alpha + 1) \ / \ (\alpha + 1) * (c2 * x \ powr \ (-\alpha - 1))
       using x \alpha by (intro mult-left-mono c2) auto
     also have ... = c2 / (\alpha + 1)
       using \alpha x by (simp add: field-simps powr-diff powr-minus powr-add)
     finally show |x \ powr \ (\alpha + 1) \ / \ (\alpha + 1) * R2 \ x| \le c2 \ / \ (\alpha + 1).
     have |sum\text{-}upto(\lambda d. R1 (x / real d)) x| \leq sum\text{-}upto(\lambda d. |R1 (x / real d)|) x
        unfolding sum-upto-def by (rule sum-abs)
     also have ... \leq sum-upto (\lambda d. \ c1 * (x / real \ d) \ powr \ \alpha) \ x
       unfolding sum-upto-def by (intro sum-mono c1) auto
     also have ... = c1 * x powr \alpha * sum-upto (\lambda d. 1 / real d powr \alpha) x
       by (simp add: sum-upto-def sum-distrib-left powr-divide)
      also have sum-upto (\lambda d. \ 1 \ / \ real \ d \ powr \ \alpha) \ x = x \ powr \ (1-\alpha) \ / \ (1-\alpha) +
R3x
       using x by (simp add: R3-def powr-minus field-simps)
     also have c1 * x powr \alpha * (x powr (1 - \alpha) / (1 - \alpha) + R3 x) =
                  c1 / (1 - \alpha) * x + c1 * x powr \alpha * R3 x
```

```
using x by (simp add: powr-diff divide-simps
                    del: div-mult-self3 div-mult-self4 div-mult-self2 div-mult-self1)
                   (simp add: field-simps)
      also have c1 * x powr \alpha * R3 x \le c1 * x powr \alpha * c3
        using x c1(1) c3(2)[of x] by (intro mult-left-mono) auto
      finally show |sum\text{-}upto\ (\lambda d.\ R1\ (x\ /\ d))\ x| \le c1*(1\ /\ (1-\alpha)*x+c3)
* x powr \alpha
        by (simp add: field-simps)
    qed (use \ \alpha \ x \ in \ simp-all)
    finally show | sum-upto (divisor-sigma \alpha) x - \zeta / (\alpha + 1) * x powr (\alpha + 1) |
\leq ub x.
  qed
 have eventually (\lambda x. | sum\text{-upto } (divisor\text{-}sigma \ \alpha) \ x - \zeta \ / \ (\alpha+1) * x \ powr \ (\alpha+1) |
\leq ub \ x) \ at-top
    using eventually-qe-at-top[of 1] by eventually-elim (use le in auto)
 hence eventually (\lambda x. |sum-upto (divisor-sigma \alpha) x - \zeta/(\alpha+1) * x powr (\alpha+1)|
\leq |ub \ x|) \ at\text{-top}
   \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{eventually-elim}\ \mathit{linarith}
  hence (\lambda x. \ sum-upto \ (divisor-sigma \ \alpha) \ x - \zeta/(\alpha+1) * x \ powr \ (\alpha+1)) \in O(ub)
    by (intro landau-o.bigI[of 1]) auto
  also have ub \in O(\lambda x. \ x \ powr \ max \ 1 \ \alpha)
    using \alpha unfolding ub-def by (cases \alpha \geq 1; real-asymp)
  finally show ?thesis
    by (subst set-minus-plus [symmetric])
       (simp-all add: fun-diff-def algebra-simps \zeta-def zeta-even-numeral)
qed
```

10.3 Case 3: $\alpha < 0$

Last, we consider the case of a negative exponent. We have for $\alpha > 0$:

$$\sum_{n \le x} \sigma_{-\alpha}(n) = \zeta(\alpha + 1)x + O(R(x))$$

where $R(x) = \ln x$ if $\alpha = 1$ and $R(x) = x^{\max(0,1-\alpha)}$ otherwise.

theorem summatory-divisor-sigma-asymptotics-neg:

```
fixes \alpha :: real
assumes \alpha: \alpha > 0
defines \delta \equiv max \ 0 \ (1 - \alpha)
defines \zeta \equiv Re \ (zeta \ (\alpha + 1))
shows sum-upto \ (divisor-sigma \ (-\alpha)) = o \ (if \ \alpha = 1 \ then \ (\lambda x. \ pi^2/6 * x) + o \ O(ln)
else \ (\lambda x. \ \zeta * x) + o \ O(\lambda x. \ x \ powr \ \delta))
proof -
define Ra where Ra = (\lambda x. \ -sum-upto \ (\lambda d. \ d \ powr \ (-\alpha) * frac \ (x \ / \ d)) \ x)
define R1 where R1 = (\lambda x. \ sum-upto \ (\lambda d. \ real \ d \ powr \ (-\alpha)) \ x - (x \ powr \ (1 - \alpha) \ / \ (1 - \alpha) + \zeta))
```

```
define R2 where R2 = (\lambda x. sum-upto (\lambda d. d powr (-\alpha - 1)) x - (\zeta - x powr)
-\alpha / \alpha)
  define R3 where R3 = (\lambda x. sum\text{-}upto (\lambda d. d powr - \alpha) x - x powr (1 - \alpha) /
(1-\alpha)
  obtain c2 where c2: c2 > 0 \bigwedge x. x \ge 1 \Longrightarrow |R2 x| \le c2 * x powr(-\alpha - 1)
    using zeta-partial-sum-le-pos[of \alpha + 1] \alpha by (auto simp: \zeta-def R2-def)
  define ub :: real \Rightarrow real where
    ub = (\lambda x. \ x \ powr \ (1 - \alpha) \ / \ \alpha + c2 * x \ powr - \alpha + |Ra \ x|)
  have le: |sum\text{-}upto\ (divisor\text{-}sigma\ (-\alpha))\ x-\zeta*x|\leq ub\ x
   if x: x \ge 1 for x
  proof -
   have div-le: real (a \ div \ b) \le x \ \text{if} \ a \le x \ \text{for} \ a \ b :: nat
      by (rule\ order.trans[OF - that(1)]) auto
   have sum-upto (divisor-sigma (-\alpha)) x =
            sum-upto (dirichlet-prod (\lambda n. real n powr (-\alpha)) (\lambda-. 1)) x
      by (simp add: divisor-sigma-conv-dirichlet-prod [abs-def]
                   sum-upto-def divisor-sigma-1-left [symmetric])
   also have ... = sum-upto (\lambda n. \sum d \mid d \ dvd \ n. \ real \ d \ powr \ (-\alpha)) \ x
      by (simp add: dirichlet-prod-def)
    also have ... = (\sum (n, d) \in (SIGMA \ n: \{n. \ n > 0 \land real \ n \le x\}. \{d. \ d \ dvd
n}). real d powr (-\alpha))
      unfolding sum-upto-def by (subst sum.Sigma) auto
   also have ... = (\sum (d, q) \in (SIGMA \ d: \{d. \ d > 0 \land real \ d \leq x\}. \{q. \ q > 0 \land d \in A\})
real q \leq x / d).
                        real d powr (-\alpha)
     by (rule sum.reindex-bij-witness[of - \lambda(d, q). (d * q, d) \lambda(n, d). (d, n \text{ div } d)])
        (use div-le in \(\cap auto \) simp: field-simps dest: dvd-imp-le\(\cap \)
   also have ... = sum-upto (\lambda d. sum-upto (\lambda q. d powr (-\alpha)) (x / d)) x
      by (simp add: sum-upto-def sum.Sigma [symmetric])
   also have ... = sum-upto (\lambda d. d powr(-\alpha) * |x / d|) x
      using x by (simp add: sum-upto-altdef mult-ac)
   also have ... = x * sum\text{-}upto (\lambda d. d powr (-\alpha) / d) x + Ra x
      by (simp add: frac-def sum-distrib-left sum-distrib-right
                   sum-subtractf sum-upto-def algebra-simps Ra-def)
   also have sum-upto (\lambda d. \ d \ powr \ (-\alpha) \ / \ d) \ x = sum-upto \ (\lambda d. \ d \ powr \ (-\alpha - \alpha) \ / \ d)
(1)) x
      by (simp add: powr-diff powr-minus powr-add field-simps)
   also have ... = \zeta - x powr - \alpha / \alpha + R2 x
      by (simp \ add: R2\text{-}def)
   finally have sum-upto (divisor-sigma (-\alpha)) x - \zeta * x = -(x \ powr \ (1 - \alpha) \ / 
\alpha) + x * R2 x + Ra x
      using x \alpha by (simp add: powr-diff powr-minus field-simps)
   also have |\ldots| \le x \ powr \ (1-\alpha) \ / \ \alpha + c2 * x \ powr \ -\alpha + |Ra \ x|
   proof (rule order.trans[OF abs-triangle-ineq] order.trans[OF abs-triangle-ineq4]
add-mono)+
     from x have |x * R2 x| \le x * |R2 x|
```

```
by (simp add: abs-mult)
     also from x have ... \leq x * (c2 * x powr (-\alpha - 1))
       by (intro mult-left-mono c2) auto
     also have ... = c2 * x powr -\alpha
       using x by (simp\ add: field-simps\ powr-minus\ powr-diff)
     finally show |x * R2 x| \leq \dots.
   qed (use \ x \ \alpha \ in \ auto)
   finally show | sum-upto (divisor-sigma (-\alpha)) x - \zeta * x | \leq ub x
     by (simp \ add: \ ub-def)
 \mathbf{qed}
 have eventually (\lambda x. | sum\text{-}upto (divisor\text{-}sigma (-\alpha)) x - \zeta * x | \leq ub x) at-top
   using eventually-ge-at-top[of 1] by eventually-elim (use le in auto)
 hence eventually (\lambda x. | sum\text{-}upto (divisor\text{-}sigma (-\alpha)) x - \zeta * x | \leq |ub x|) at-top
   by eventually-elim linarith
 hence bigo: (\lambda x. sum\text{-upto } (divisor\text{-sigma } (-\alpha)) \ x - \zeta * x) \in O(ub)
   by (intro landau-o.biqI[of 1]) auto
 define ub' :: real \Rightarrow real where ub' = sum-upto (\lambda n. real \ n \ powr - \alpha)
 have |Ra x| \leq |ub' x| if x \geq 1 for x
 proof -
   have |Ra \ x| \leq sum\text{-}upto\ (\lambda n. |real\ n\ powr\ -\alpha * frac\ (x\ /\ n)|)\ x
     unfolding Ra-def abs-minus sum-upto-def by (rule sum-abs)
   also have ... \leq sum-upto (\lambda n. real \ n \ powr - \alpha * 1) \ x
     unfolding abs-mult sum-upto-def
     by (intro sum-mono mult-mono) (auto intro: less-imp-le[OF frac-lt-1])
   finally show ?thesis by (simp add: ub'-def)
 ged
 hence Ra \in O(ub')
   by (intro\ bigoI[of-1]\ eventually-mono[OF\ eventually-ge-at-top[of\ 1]])\ auto
 also have ub' \in O(\lambda x. if \alpha = 1 then ln x else x powr \delta)
 proof (cases \alpha = 1)
   case [simp]: True
   have sum-upto (\lambda n. 1 / n) \in O(ln)
     by (intro asymp-equiv-imp-bigo harm-asymp-equiv)
   thus ?thesis by (simp add: ub'-def powr-minus field-simps)
 next
   case False
   have sum-upto (\lambda n. real \ n \ powr - \alpha) \in O(\lambda x. \ x \ powr \ \delta)
      using assms False unfolding \delta-def by (intro zeta-partial-sum-pos-bigtheta
bigthetaD1)
   thus ?thesis
     using zeta-partial-sum-neg-asymp-equiv of \alpha and \alpha False by (simp add: ub'-def)
 finally have Ra-bigo: Ra \in ....
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases \alpha = 1)
   case [simp]: True
```

```
with Ra-bigo have Ra: (\lambda x. |Ra x|) \in O(ln) by simp
   note bigo
   also have ub \in O(\lambda x. \ln x)
     unfolding ub-def by (intro sum-in-bigo Ra) real-asymp+
   finally have sum-upto (divisor-sigma (-\alpha)) = o(\lambda x. (pi^2 / 6) * x) + o(ln)
     by (subst set-minus-plus [symmetric])
        (simp-all add: fun-diff-def algebra-simps \zeta-def zeta-even-numeral)
   thus ?thesis by (simp only: True refl if-True)
  next
   {f case} False
   with Ra-bigo have Ra: (\lambda x. |Ra x|) \in O(\lambda x. x powr \delta) by simp
   have *: (\lambda x. \ x \ powr \ (1 - \alpha) \ / \ \alpha) \in O(\lambda x. \ x \ powr \ \delta)
           (\lambda x. \ c2 * x \ powr - \alpha) \in O(\lambda x. \ x \ powr \ \delta)
     unfolding \delta-def using \alpha False by (cases \alpha > 1; real-asymp)+
   note bigo
   also have ub \in O(\lambda x. \ x \ powr \ \delta)
     unfolding ub-def using \alpha False by (intro sum-in-bigo Ra *)
    finally have sum-upto (divisor-sigma (-\alpha)) = o(\lambda x. \zeta * x) + o(\lambda x. x powr
\delta)
     by (subst set-minus-plus [symmetric])
        (simp-all add: fun-diff-def algebra-simps \zeta-def zeta-even-numeral)
   thus ?thesis by (simp only: False refl if-False)
  qed
\mathbf{qed}
end
```

11 Selberg's asymptotic formula

```
theory Selberg-Asymptotic-Formula
imports
More-Dirichlet-Misc
Prime-Number-Theorem.Prime-Counting-Functions
Shapiro-Tauberian
Euler-MacLaurin.Euler-MacLaurin-Landau
Partial-Zeta-Bounds
```

begin

Following Apostol, we first show an inversion formula: Consider a function f(x) for $x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Define $g(x) := \ln x \cdot \sum_{n < x} f(x/n)$. Then:

$$f(x) \ln x + \sum_{n \le x} \Lambda(n) f(x/n) = \sum_{n \le x} \mu(n) g(x/n)$$

```
locale selberg-inversion =
fixes F G :: real \Rightarrow 'a :: \{real-algebra-1, comm-ring-1\}
defines G \equiv (\lambda x. of-real (ln x) * sum-upto (\lambda n. F (x / n)) x)
```

begin

```
lemma eq:
 assumes x \geq 1
  shows F x * of-real (ln x) + dirichlet-prod' mangoldt F x = dirichlet-prod'
moebius-mu \ G \ x
proof -
 have F x * of\text{-real } (\ln x) =
         dirichlet-prod' (\lambda n. if n = 1 then 1 else 0) (\lambda x. F x * of-real (ln x)) x
   by (subst dirichlet-prod'-one-left) (use \langle x \geq 1 \rangle in auto)
  also have ... = dirichlet-prod' (\lambda n. \sum d \mid d \ dvd \ n. moebius-mu d) (\lambda x. F \ x *
of-real (\ln x) x
   by (intro dirichlet-prod'-cong refl, subst sum-moebius-mu-divisors') auto
 finally have eq1: F x * of\text{-real } (\ln x) = \dots.
 have eq2: dirichlet-prod' mangoldt F x =
             dirichlet-prod' (dirichlet-prod moebius-mu (\lambda n. of-real (ln (real n)))) F
  proof (intro dirichlet-prod'-cong refl)
   fix n :: nat assume n: n > 0
   thus mangoldt n = dirichlet-prod moebius-mu (\lambda n. of-real (ln (real n)) :: 'a) n
     by (intro moebius-inversion mangoldt-sum [symmetric]) auto
  qed
 have F x * of\text{-}real (ln x) + dirichlet\text{-}prod' mangoldt } F x =
         sum-upto (\lambda n. F(x / n) * (\sum d \mid d \ dvd \ n.
           moebius-mu d * of-real (ln (x / n) + ln (n div d)))) x
   unfolding eq1 eq2 unfolding dirichlet-prod'-def sum-upto-def
  by (simp add: algebra-simps sum.distrib dirichlet-prod-def sum-distrib-left sum-distrib-right)
  also have ... = sum-upto (\lambda n. \ F(x / n) * (\sum d \mid d \ dvd \ n. \ moebius-mu \ d *
of-real (ln (x / d))) x
   using \langle x \geq 1 \rangle by (intro sum-upto-cong refl arg-cong2 [where f = \lambda x y. x * y]
sum.cong)
                   (auto elim!: dvdE simp: ln-div ln-mult)
 also have ... = sum-upto (\lambda n. \sum d \mid d \ dvd \ n. \ moebius-mu \ d * of-real (ln (x / d ) )
(d)) * F((x / n)) x
   by (simp add: sum-distrib-left sum-distrib-right mult-ac)
  also have ... = (\sum (n,d) \in (SIGMA \ n: \{n. \ n > 0 \land real \ n \le x\}. \{d. \ d \ dvd \ n\}).
                  moe\overline{bius}-mu\ d*of-real\ (ln\ (x\ /\ d))*F\ (x\ /\ n))
   unfolding sum-upto-def by (subst sum.Sigma) (auto simp: case-prod-unfold)
 also have ... = (\sum (d,q) \in (SIGMA \ d: \{d.\ d>0 \land real\ d \leq x\}. \{q.\ q>0 \land real\ d \leq x\})
q \leq x / d).
                  moebius-mu\ d*of-real\ (ln\ (x\ /\ d))*F\ (x\ /\ (q*d)))
   by (rule sum.reindex-bij-witness[of - \lambda(d,q). (d*q,d) \lambda(n,d). (d,n div d)])
      (auto simp: Real.real-of-nat-div field-simps dest: dvd-imp-le)
  also have ... = sum-upto (\lambda d. moebius-mu \ d * of-real \ (ln \ (x \ / \ d)) *
                               sum-upto (\lambda q. F(x / (q * d)))(x / d)) x
   by (subst sum. Sigma [symmetric]) (auto simp: sum-upto-def sum-distrib-left)
  also have ... = dirichlet-prod' moebius-mu G x
```

```
by (simp add: dirichlet-prod'-def G-def mult-ac)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
```

end

We can now show Selberg's formula

$$\psi(x)\ln x + \sum_{n \le x} \Lambda(n)\psi(x/n) = 2x\ln x + O(x) .$$

```
theorem selberg-asymptotic-formula:
 includes prime-counting-syntax
 shows
             (\lambda x. \ \psi \ x * ln \ x + dirichlet-prod' \ mangoldt \ \psi \ x) = o
             (\lambda x. \ 2 * x * ln \ x) + o \ O(\lambda x. \ x)
proof -
  define C :: real where [simp]: C = euler-mascheroni
  define F2 :: real \Rightarrow real where [simp]: F2 = (\lambda x. \ x - C - 1)
 define G1 where G1 = (\lambda x. \ln x * sum\text{-}upto (\lambda n. \psi (x / n)) x)
  define G2 where G2 = (\lambda x. \ln x * sum\text{-}upto (\lambda n. F2 (x / n)) x)
 interpret F1: selberg-inversion \psi G1
   by unfold-locales (simp-all add: G1-def)
  interpret F2: selberg-inversion F2 G2
   by unfold-locales (simp-all add: G2-def)
 have G1-bigo: (\lambda x. \ G1 \ x - (x * \ln x \ \widehat{2} - x * \ln x)) \in O(\lambda x. \ln x \ \widehat{2})
 proof -
   have (\lambda x. \ln x * (sum\text{-}upto (\lambda n. \psi (x / n)) x - x * \ln x + x)) \in O(\lambda x. \ln x * \ln x + x)
ln x
     by (intro landau-o.biq.mult-left sum-upto-\psi-x-over-n-asymptotics)
   thus ?thesis by (simp add: power2-eq-square G1-def algebra-simps)
 qed
 have G2-bigo: (\lambda x. G2 x - (x * ln x ^2 - x * ln x)) \in O(ln)
  define R1 :: real \Rightarrow real where R1 = (\lambda x. \ x * ln \ x * (harm (nat |x|)) - (ln \ x)
+ C)))
  define R2 :: real \Rightarrow real where R2 = (\lambda x. (C + 1) * ln x * frac x)
   have (\lambda x. G2 x - (x * ln x ^2 - x * ln x)) \in \Theta(\lambda x. R1 x + R2 x)
   proof (intro bigthetaI-cong eventually-mono[OF eventually-ge-at-top[of 1]])
     fix x :: real assume x :: x \ge 1
     have G2 \ x = x * ln \ x * sum-upto (\lambda n. 1 / n) \ x - (C + 1) * |x| * ln \ x
       using x by (simp add: G2-def sum-upto-altdef sum-subtractf
                            sum-distrib-left sum-distrib-right algebra-simps)
     also have sum-upto (\lambda n. 1 / n) x = harm (nat \lfloor x \rfloor)
       using x unfolding sum-upto-def harm-def
       by (intro sum.cong) (auto simp: field-simps le-nat-iff le-floor-iff)
     also have x * ln \ x * harm \ (nat \ |x|) - (C + 1) * |x| * ln \ x =
                 x * ln x ^2 - x * ln x + R1 x + R2 x
```

```
by (simp add: R1-def R2-def algebra-simps frac-def power2-eq-square)
     finally show G2 x - (x * ln x ^2 - x * ln x) = R1 x + R2 x by simp
   qed
   also have (\lambda x. R1 x + R2 x) \in O(ln)
   proof (intro sum-in-bigo)
     have (\lambda x :: real. ln \ x - ln \ (nat \ |x|)) \in O(\lambda x. ln \ x - ln \ (x - 1))
     proof (intro bigoI[of - 1] eventually-mono[OF eventually-ge-at-top[of 2]])
       fix x :: real assume x :: x \ge 2
       thus norm (\ln x - \ln (nat |x|)) \le 1 * norm (\ln x - \ln (x-1)) by auto
     qed
     also have (\lambda x :: real. \ ln \ x - ln \ (x - 1)) \in O(\lambda x. \ 1 \ / \ x) by real-asymp
     finally have bigo-ln-floor: (\lambda x :: real. \ ln \ x - ln \ (nat \ |x|)) \in O(\lambda x. \ 1 \ / \ x).
     have (\lambda x. \ harm \ (nat \ |x|) - (ln \ (nat \ |x|) + C)) \in O(\lambda x. \ 1 \ / \ nat \ |x|)
       unfolding C-def using harm-expansion-bigo-simple2
       by (rule landau-o.biq.compose)
       (auto intro!: filterlim-compose[OF filterlim-nat-sequentially filterlim-floor-sequentially])
     also have (\lambda x. \ 1 \ / \ nat \ |x|) \in O(\lambda x. \ 1 \ / \ x) by real-asymp
      |x|)))
                    \in O(\lambda x. 1 / x) by (rule sum-in-bigo[OF -bigo-ln-floor])
      hence (\lambda x. \ harm \ (nat \ |x|) - (ln \ x + C)) \in O(\lambda x. \ 1 \ / \ x) by (simp \ add:
algebra-simps)
     hence (\lambda x. \ x * ln \ x * (harm (nat |x|) - (ln \ x + C))) \in O(\lambda x. \ x * ln \ x * (1
/x)
       by (intro landau-o.big.mult-left)
     thus R1 \in O(\ln) by (simp add: landau-divide-simps R1-def)
   next
     have R2 \in O(\lambda x. \ 1 * ln \ x * 1)
     unfolding R2-def by (intro landau-o.big.mult landau-o.big-reft) real-asymp+
     thus R2 \in O(ln) by (simp \ add: R2\text{-}def)
   finally show (\lambda x. G2 x - (x * (\ln x)^2 - x * \ln x)) \in O(\ln x).
  hence G2-bigo': (\lambda x. G2 x - (x * (\ln x)^2 - x * \ln x)) \in O(\lambda x. \ln x \hat{\ } 2)
   by (rule landau-o.big.trans) real-asymp+
  — Now things become a bit hairy. In order to show that the 'Big-O' bound is
actually valid for all x \geq 1, we need to show that G1 x - G2 x is bounded on any
compact interval starting at 1.
 have \exists c > 0. \forall x \geq 1. |G1 x - G2 x| \leq c * |sqrt x|
  proof (rule bigoE-bounded-real-fun)
   have (\lambda x. G1 x - G2 x) \in O(\lambda x. \ln x \hat{2})
     using sum-in-bigo(2)[OF G1-bigo G2-bigo'] by simp
   also have (\lambda x :: real. ln x ^2) \in O(sqrt) by real-asymp
   finally show (\lambda x. G1 x - G2 x) \in O(sqrt).
   fix x :: real assume x \geq 1
   thus |sqrt x| \ge 1 by simp
```

```
fix b :: real assume b: b \ge 1
      show bounded ((\lambda x. G1 x - G2 x) ` \{1..b\})
      proof (rule boundedI, safe)
          fix x assume x: x \in \{1..b\}
          have |G1 \times G2 \times x| = |\ln x * sum\text{-}upto (\lambda n. \psi (x / n) - F2 (x / n)) \times x|
                 by (simp add: G1-def G2-def sum-upto-def sum-distrib-left ring-distribs
sum-subtractf)
         also have ... = \ln x * |sum\text{-}upto (\lambda n. \psi (x / n) - F2 (x / n)) x|
             using x \ b by (simp \ add: \ abs-mult)
          also have |sum-upto (\lambda n. \ \psi \ (x \ / \ n) - F2 \ (x \ / \ n)) \ x| \le
                               sum-upto (\lambda n. |\psi(x/n) - F2(x/n)|) x
             unfolding sum-upto-def by (rule sum-abs)
          also have ... \leq sum-upto (\lambda n. \psi x + (x + C + 1)) x
             unfolding sum-upto-def
          proof (intro sum-mono)
             fix n assume n: n \in \{n. \ n > 0 \land real \ n \le x\}
             hence le: x / n \le x / 1 by (intro divide-left-mono) auto
             thus |\psi(x/n) - F2(x/n)| \le \psi x + (x+C+1)
               unfolding F2-def using euler-mascheroni-pos x le \psi-nonneg \psi-mono[of x
/ n x
                by (intro order.trans[OF abs-triangle-ineq]
                                 order.trans[OF abs-triangle-ineq4] add-mono) auto
          qed
          also have ... = (\psi \ x + (x + C + 1)) * |x|
             using x by (simp \ add: sum-upto-altdef)
          also have \ln x * ((\psi x + (x + C + 1)) * real-of-int |x|) \le
                                ln\ b*((\psi\ b+(b+C+1))*real-of-int\ |b|)
             using euler-mascheroni-pos x
                   by (intro mult-mono add-mono order.refl \psi-mono add-nonneg-nonneg
mult-nonneg-nonneg
                                   \psi-nonneg) (auto intro: floor-mono)
        finally show norm (G1 x - G2 x) \le \ln b * ((\psi b + (b + C + 1)) * real-of-int
\lfloor b \rfloor)
             using x by (simp \ add: mult-left-mono)
      qed
   qed auto
   then obtain A where A: A > 0 \ \land x. \ x \ge 1 \Longrightarrow |G1 \ x - G2 \ x| \le A * sqrt \ x
    — The rest of the proof now consists merely of combining some asymptotic
estimates.
   have (\lambda x. (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi (x / n) - F2
(x / n)) x
                \in \Theta(\lambda x. \ sum-upto \ (\lambda n. \ moebius-mu \ n*(G1 \ (x / n) - G2 \ (x / n))) \ x)
   proof (intro bigthetaI-cong eventually-mono[OF eventually-ge-at-top[of 1]])
      fix x :: real assume x: x > 1
       have (\psi \ x - F2 \ x) * ln \ x + sum-up to \ (\lambda n. \ mangoldt \ n * (\psi \ (x \ / \ n) - F2 \ (x \ / \ n)) = F2 \ (x \ / \ n) =
(n)) x =
```

next

```
(\psi \ x * of\text{-real} \ (ln \ x) + dirichlet\text{-prod'} \ mangoldt \ \psi \ x) -
                     (F2 \ x * of-real \ (ln \ x) + dirichlet-prod' \ mangoldt \ F2 \ x)
             by (simp add: algebra-simps dirichlet-prod'-def sum-upto-def sum-subtractf
sum.distrib)
      also have ... = sum-upto (\lambda n. moebius-mu \ n*(G1 \ (x / n) - G2 \ (x / n))) \ x
          unfolding F1.eq[OF x] F2.eq[OF x]
         by (simp add: dirichlet-prod'-def sum-upto-def sum-subtractf sum.distrib alge-
       finally show (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi (x/n) - x/n)) = 0
F2(x/n)) x = ...
   qed
   also have (\lambda x. sum-upto (\lambda n. moebius-mu \ n*(G1 \ (x / n) - G2 \ (x / n))) \ x) \in
                          O(\lambda x. \ A * sqrt \ x * sum-upto \ (\lambda x. \ x \ powr \ (-1/2)) \ x)
   proof (intro bigoI eventually-mono[OF eventually-ge-at-top[of 1]])
      fix x :: real assume x :: x > 1
      have |sum\text{-}upto\ (\lambda n.\ moebius\text{-}mu\ n*(G1\ (x\ /\ n)-G2\ (x\ /\ n)))\ x|\le
                     sum-upto (\lambda n. | moebius-mu \ n * (G1 \ (x / n) - G2 \ (x / n))|) \ x
          unfolding sum-upto-def by (rule sum-abs)
      also have ... \leq sum\text{-}upto\ (\lambda n.\ 1*(A*sqrt\ (x\ /\ n)))\ x
           unfolding sum-upto-def abs-mult by (intro A sum-mono mult-mono) (auto
simp: moebius-mu-def)
      also have ... = A * sqrt x * sum-upto (\lambda x. x powr (-1/2)) x
        using x by (simp add: sum-upto-def powr-minus powr-half-sqrt sum-distrib-left
                                                sum-distrib-right real-sqrt-divide field-simps)
      also have ... \leq |A * sqrt x * sum-upto (\lambda x. x powr (-1/2)) x| by simp
       finally show norm (sum-upto (\lambda n. moebius-mu n*(G1 (x / n) - G2 (x / n))
n))) x) \leq
                                 1 * norm (A * sqrt x * sum-upto (\lambda x. x powr (-1/2)) x) by simp
   qed
   also have (\lambda x. \ A * sqrt \ x * sum-upto \ (\lambda x. \ x \ powr \ (-1/2)) \ x) \in O(\lambda x. \ 1 * sqrt
x * x powr (1/2)
      using zeta-partial-sum-le-pos-bigo[of 1 / 2]
      by (intro landau-o.big.mult ) (auto simp: max-def)
   also have (\lambda x::real. \ 1 * sqrt \ x * x \ powr \ (1/2)) \in O(\lambda x. \ x)
      by real-asymp
    finally have bigo: (\lambda x. (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x + sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt n * (\psi x - F2 x) * ln x
(x/n) - F2(x/n)) x)
                                         \in O(\lambda x. \ x) \ (is \ ?h \in -) .
   let ?R = \lambda x. sum-upto (\lambda n. mangoldt \ n \ / \ n) \ x
   let ?lhs = \lambda x. \psi x * ln x + dirichlet-prod' mangoldt \psi x
   note bigo
   also have ?h = (\lambda x. ?lhs x - (x * ln x - (C + 1) * (ln x + \psi x)) - x * ?R x)
         by (rule ext) (simp add: algebra-simps dirichlet-prod'-def sum-distrib-right
\psi-def
                                                  sum-upto-def sum-subtractf sum.distrib sum-distrib-left)
   finally have (\lambda x. ? lhs x - (x * ln x - (C + 1) * (ln x + \psi x)) - x * ? R x +
x * (?R x - ln x))
```

```
\in O(\lambda x. \ x) \ (\mathbf{is} \ ?h' \in -)
  proof (rule sum-in-bigo)
    have (\lambda x. \ x * (sum\text{-}upto \ (\lambda n. \ mangoldt \ n \ / \ real \ n) \ x - ln \ x)) \in O(\lambda x. \ x * 1)
      by (intro landau-o.big.mult-left \psi.asymptotics)
    thus (\lambda x. \ x * (sum\text{-}upto \ (\lambda n. \ mangoldt \ n \ / \ real \ n) \ x - ln \ x)) \in O(\lambda x. \ x) by
simp
  qed
 also have ?h' = (\lambda x. ?lhs x - (2 * x * ln x - (C + 1) * (ln x + \psi x)))
    by (simp add: fun-eq-iff algebra-simps)
  finally have (\lambda x. ? lhs \ x - (2*x*ln \ x - (C+1)*(ln \ x + \psi \ x)) - (C+1)*(ln \ x + \psi \ x))
(x + \psi(x)) \in O(\lambda x. x)
  proof (rule sum-in-bigo)
    have (\lambda x. \ln x + \psi x) \in O(\lambda x. x)
      by (intro sum-in-bigo bigthetaD1[OF \psi.bigtheta]) real-asymp+
    thus (\lambda x. (C + 1) * (\ln x + \psi x)) \in O(\lambda x. x) by simp
  also have (\lambda x. ? lhs \ x - (2*x*ln \ x - (C+1)*(ln \ x + \psi \ x)) - (C+1)*(ln \ x
+\psi(x)) =
               (\lambda x. ? lhs x - 2 * x * ln x) by (simp add: algebra-simps)
 finally show ?thesis
   by (subst set-minus-plus [symmetric]) (simp-all add: fun-diff-def algebra-simps)
\mathbf{qed}
```

12 Consequences of the Prime Number Theorem

```
theory PNT-Consequences
imports
Elementary-Prime-Bounds
Prime-Number-Theorem. Mertens-Theorems
Prime-Number-Theorem. Prime-Counting-Functions
Moebius-Mu-Sum
Lcm-Nat-Upto
Primorial
Primes-Omega
begin
```

end

In this section, we will define a locale that assumes the Prime Number Theorem in order to explore some of its elementary consequences.

```
locale prime-number-theorem = assumes prime-number-theorem [asymp-equiv-intros]: \pi \sim [at\text{-}top] \ (\lambda x. \ x \ / \ ln \ x) begin corollary \vartheta-asymptotics [asymp-equiv-intros]: \vartheta \sim [at\text{-}top] \ (\lambda x. \ x) using prime-number-theorem by (rule PNT1-imp-PNT4) corollary \psi-asymptotics [asymp-equiv-intros]: \psi \sim [at\text{-}top] \ (\lambda x. \ x)
```

```
using \vartheta-asymptotics PNT4-imp-PNT5 by simp
corollary ln-\pi-asymptotics [asymp-equiv-intros]: (<math>\lambda x. \ ln \ (\pi \ x)) \sim [at-top] \ ln
  using prime-number-theorem PNT1-imp-PNT1' by simp
corollary \pi-ln-\pi-asymptotics: (<math>\lambda x. \pi x * ln (\pi x)) \sim [at-top] (\lambda x. x)
  using prime-number-theorem PNT1-imp-PNT2 by simp
\textbf{corollary} \ \textit{nth-prime-asymptotics} \ [\textit{asymp-equiv-intros}]:
  (\lambda n. \ real \ (nth\text{-}prime \ n)) \sim [at\text{-}top] \ (\lambda n. \ real \ n * ln \ (real \ n))
  using \pi-ln-\pi-asymptotics PNT2-imp-PNT3 by simp
corollary moebius-mu-smallo: sum-upto moebius-mu \in o(\lambda x. x)
  using PNT-implies-sum-moebius-mu-sublinear \psi-asymptotics by simp
lemma ln-\vartheta-asymptotics:
  includes prime-counting-syntax
  shows (\lambda x. \ln (\vartheta x) - \ln x) \in o(\lambda -. 1)
proof -
  have [simp]: \vartheta 2 = ln 2
    by (simp add: eval-\vartheta)
  have \vartheta-pos: \vartheta x > \theta if x \ge 2 for x
  proof -
    have 0 < ln (2 :: real) by simp
    also have \dots \leq \vartheta x
      using \vartheta-mono[OF that] by simp
    finally show ?thesis.
  ged
  have nz: eventually (\lambda x. \ \vartheta \ x \neq 0 \lor x \neq 0) at-top
    using eventually-gt-at-top[of \theta] by eventually-elim auto
  have filterlim (\lambda x. \vartheta x / x) (nhds 1) at-top
    using asymp-equivD-strong[OF \vartheta-asymptotics nz].
  hence filterlim (\lambda x. \ln (\vartheta x / x)) (nhds (ln 1)) at-top
    by (rule tendsto-ln) auto
  also have ?this \longleftrightarrow filterlim (\lambda x. \ln (\vartheta x) - \ln x) (nhds \theta) at-top
    by (intro filterlim-cong eventually-mono [OF eventually-ge-at-top[of 2]])
       (auto simp: ln-divide-pos \vartheta-pos)
  finally show (\lambda x. \ln (\vartheta x) - \ln x) \in o(\lambda x. 1)
    by (intro smalloI-tendsto) auto
qed
lemma ln-\vartheta-asymp-equiv [asymp-equiv-intros]:
  includes prime-counting-syntax
 shows (\lambda x. \ln (\vartheta x)) \sim [at\text{-}top] \ln (\vartheta x)
proof (rule smallo-imp-asymp-equiv)
  have (\lambda x. \ln (\vartheta x) - \ln x) \in o(\lambda -. 1) by (rule \ln \vartheta - asymptotics)
  also have (\lambda -. 1) \in O(\lambda x :: real. ln x) by real-asymp
  finally show (\lambda x. \ln (\vartheta x) - \ln x) \in o(\ln).
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma\ ln-nth-prime-asymptotics:
 (\lambda n. \ln (nth\text{-}prime n) - (\ln n + \ln (\ln n))) \in o(\lambda -. 1)
proof -
 have filterlim (\lambda n. ln (nth-prime n / (n * ln n))) (nhds (ln 1)) at-top
   by (intro tendsto-ln asymp-equivD-strong[OF nth-prime-asymptotics])
      (auto intro!: eventually-mono[OF eventually-gt-at-top[of 1]])
 also have ?this \longleftrightarrow filterlim (\lambda n. ln (nth-prime n) - (ln n + ln (ln n))) (nhds
\theta) at-top
   using prime-gt-0-nat[OF prime-nth-prime]
   by (intro filterlim-cong refl eventually-mono[OF eventually-gt-at-top[of 1]])
      (auto simp: field-simps ln-mult ln-div)
 finally show ?thesis by (intro smalloI-tendsto) auto
qed
lemma ln-nth-prime-asymp-equiv [asymp-equiv-intros]:
 (\lambda n. \ln (nth\text{-}prime n)) \sim [at\text{-}top] \ln n
proof -
 have (\lambda n. ln (nth\text{-}prime n) - (ln n + ln (ln n))) \in o(ln)
   using ln-nth-prime-asymptotics by (rule landau-o.small.trans) real-asymp
 hence (\lambda n. \ln (nth\text{-}prime \ n) - (\ln n + \ln (\ln n)) + \ln (\ln n)) \in o(\ln n)
   by (rule sum-in-smallo) real-asymp
  thus ?thesis by (intro smallo-imp-asymp-equiv) auto
qed
The following versions use a little less notation.
corollary prime-number-theorem': ((\lambda x. \pi x / (x / \ln x)) \longrightarrow 1) at-top
 using prime-number-theorem
  by (rule\ asymp-equiv D-stronq[OF\ -\ eventually-mono[OF\ eventually-qt-at-top[of\ ]
1]]]) auto
corollary prime-number-theorem":
  (\lambda x. \ card \ \{p. \ prime \ p \land real \ p \leq x\}) \sim [at\text{-}top] \ (\lambda x. \ x \ / \ ln \ x)
proof -
 have \pi = (\lambda x. \ card \ \{p. \ prime \ p \land real \ p \le x\})
   by (intro ext) (simp add: \pi-def prime-sum-upto-def)
 with prime-number-theorem show ?thesis by simp
qed
corollary prime-number-theorem'":
  (\lambda n. \ card \ \{p. \ prime \ p \land p \leq n\}) \sim [at-top] \ (\lambda n. \ real \ n \ / \ ln \ (real \ n))
proof -
  using prime-number-theorem"
   by (rule asymp-equiv-compose') (simp add: filterlim-real-sequentially)
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
```

12.1 Existence of primes in intervals

For fixed ε , The interval $(x; \varepsilon x]$ contains a prime number for any sufficiently large x. This proof was taken from A. J. Hildebrand's lecture notes [2].

```
lemma (in prime-number-theorem) prime-in-interval-exists:
  fixes c :: real
 assumes c > 1
 shows eventually (\lambda x. \exists p. prime p \land real p \in \{x < ... c * x\}) at-top
proof -
  from \langle c > 1 \rangle have (\lambda x. \ \pi \ (c * x) \ / \ \pi \ x) \sim [at\text{-}top] \ (\lambda x. \ ((c * x) \ / \ ln \ (c * x)) \ / \ ln \ (c * x))
(x / ln x)
   by (intro asymp-equiv-intros asymp-equiv-compose' [OF prime-number-theorem])
real-asymp+
  also have ... \sim [at\text{-}top] (\lambda x. c)
   using \langle c > 1 \rangle by real-asymp
  finally have (\lambda x. \ \pi \ (c * x) \ / \ \pi \ x) \sim [at\text{-}top] \ (\lambda a. \ c) by simp
  hence ((\lambda x. \pi (c * x) / \pi x) -
                                         \rightarrow c) at-top
   by (rule asymp-equivD-const)
  from this and \langle c > 1 \rangle have eventually (\lambda x. \pi (c * x) / \pi x > 1) at-top
   by (rule\ order-tendstoD)
  moreover have eventually (\lambda x. \pi x > 0) at-top
   using \pi-at-top by (auto simp: filterlim-at-top-dense)
  ultimately show ?thesis using eventually-gt-at-top[of 1]
  proof eventually-elim
   case (elim \ x)
   define P where P = \{p. prime \ p \land real \ p \in \{x < ... c * x\}\}
   from elim and \langle c > 1 \rangle have 1 * x < c * x by (intro mult-strict-right-mono)
auto
   hence x < c * x by simp
   \mathbf{have}\ P = \{p.\ prime\ p\ \land\ real\ p \leq c * x\} - \{p.\ prime\ p\ \land\ real\ p \leq x\}
     by (auto simp: P-def)
    also have card ... = card \{p. prime p \land real p \leq c * x\} - card \{p. prime p\}
\land real \ p \leq x
     using \langle x < c * x \rangle by (subst card-Diff-subset) (auto intro: finite-primes-le)
   also have real ... = \pi (c * x) - \pi x
     using \pi-mono[of x \ c * x] \langle x < c * x \rangle
     by (subst of-nat-diff) (auto simp: primes-pi-def prime-sum-upto-def)
   finally have real (card P) = \pi (c * x) - \pi x by simp
   moreover have \pi (c * x) - \pi x > 0
     using elim by (auto simp: field-simps)
   ultimately have real (card P) > 0 by linarith
   hence card P > 0 by simp
   hence P \neq \{\} by (intro notI) simp
   thus ?case by (auto simp: P-def)
  qed
qed
```

The set of rationals whose numerator and denominator are primes is dense in $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

```
lemma (in prime-number-theorem) prime-fractions-dense:
  fixes \alpha \in :: real
  assumes \alpha > \theta and \varepsilon > \theta
  obtains p \ q :: nat where prime \ p and prime \ q and dist \ (real \ p \ / \ real \ q) \ \alpha < \varepsilon
proof -
  define \varepsilon' where \varepsilon' = \varepsilon / 2
  from assms have \varepsilon' > 0 by (simp add: \varepsilon'-def)
  have eventually (\lambda x. \exists p. prime p \land real p \in \{x < ... (1 + \varepsilon' / \alpha) * x\}) at-top
   using assms \langle \varepsilon' > 0 \rangle by (intro prime-in-interval-exists) (auto simp: field-simps)
  then obtain x\theta where x\theta: \bigwedge x. \ x \geq x\theta \Longrightarrow \exists \ p. \ prime \ p \land real \ p \in \{x < ... (1 + x) \}
\varepsilon' / \alpha) * x
    by (auto simp: eventually-at-top-linorder)
  have \exists q. prime q \land q > nat \lfloor x\theta / \alpha \rfloor by (rule bigger-prime)
  then obtain q where prime q | q > nat | x\theta / \alpha | by blast
  hence real q > x\theta / \alpha by linarith
  with \langle \alpha > \theta \rangle have \alpha * real \ q \geq x\theta by (simp add: field-simps)
  hence \exists p. prime p \land real p \in \{\alpha * real q < ..(1 + \varepsilon' / \alpha) * (\alpha * real q)\}
    by (intro x\theta)
  then obtain p where p: prime p real p > \alpha * real q real p \le (1 + \varepsilon' / \alpha) * (\alpha)
* real q
    using assms by auto
  from p \langle prime \ q \rangle have real \ p \ / \ real \ q \le (1 + \varepsilon' \ / \ \alpha) * \alpha
    using assms by (auto simp: field-simps dest: prime-gt-0-nat)
  also have \dots = \alpha + \varepsilon'
    using assms by (simp add: field-simps)
  finally have real p / real \ q \le \alpha + \varepsilon'.
  moreover from p \langle prime \ q \rangle have real p / real \ q > \alpha real p / real \ q \leq (1 + \varepsilon')
    using assms by (auto simp: field-simps dest: prime-qt-0-nat)
  ultimately have dist (real p / real q) \alpha \leq \varepsilon'
    by (simp add: dist-norm)
  also have \dots < \varepsilon
    using \langle \varepsilon \rangle 0 \rangle by (simp add: \varepsilon'-def)
  finally show ?thesis using \langle prime \ p \rangle \langle prime \ q \rangle that [of \ p \ q] by blast
qed
```

12.2 The logarithm of the primorial

The PNT directly implies the asymptotics of the logarithm of the primorial function:

```
\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{context} \ \ prime-number-theorem \\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}
```

lemma *ln-primorial-asymp-equiv* [asymp-equiv-intros]:

```
(\lambda x. ln (primorial x)) \sim [at-top] (\lambda x. x)
  by (auto simp: ln-primorial \vartheta-asymptotics)
lemma ln-ln-primorial-asymp-equiv [asymp-equiv-intros]:
  (\lambda x. \ln (\ln (primorial x))) \sim [at-top] (\lambda x. \ln x)
  by (auto simp: ln-primorial ln-\vartheta-asymp-equiv)
lemma ln-primorial'-asymp-equiv [asymp-equiv-intros]:
        (\lambda k. \ln (primorial' k)) \sim [at-top] (\lambda k. k * ln k)
  and ln-ln-primorial'-asymp-equiv [asymp-equiv-intros]:
        (\lambda k. \ln (\ln (primorial' k))) \sim [at-top] (\lambda k. \ln k)
  and ln-over-ln-ln-primorial'-asymp-equiv:
        (\lambda k. \ln (primorial' k) / \ln (\ln (primorial' k))) \sim [at-top] (\lambda k. k)
proof -
  have lim1: filterlim (\lambda k. real (nth-prime (k-1))) at-top at-top
    by (rule filterlim-compose OF filterlim-real-sequentially)
             filterlim-compose[OF\ nth-prime-at-top])+\ real-asymp
  have lim2: filterlim (\lambda k::nat. k-1) at-top at-top
    by real-asymp
  have (\lambda k. \ln (primorial' k)) \sim [at-top] (\lambda n. \ln (primorial (nth-prime <math>(n-1))))
    by (intro asymp-equiv-refl-ev eventually-mono[OF eventually-gt-at-top[of \theta]])
       (auto simp: primorial'-conv-primorial)
  also have ... \sim [at\text{-}top] (\lambda n. nth\text{-}prime (n-1))
    by (intro asymp-equiv-compose'[OF - lim1] asymp-equiv-intros)
  also have ... \sim [at\text{-}top] (\lambda n. real (n-1) * ln (real (n-1)))
    by (intro asymp-equiv-compose'[OF - lim2] asymp-equiv-intros)
  also have ... \sim [at\text{-}top] (\lambda n. \ n * ln \ n) by real-asymp
  finally show 1: (\lambda k. \ln (primorial' k)) \sim [at-top] (\lambda k. k * \ln k).
  have (\lambda k. \ln (\ln (primorial' k))) \sim [at-top] (\lambda n. \ln (\ln (primorial (nth-prime (n k)))))
-1)))))
    by (intro asymp-equiv-refl-ev eventually-mono[OF eventually-gt-at-top[of \theta]])
       (auto simp: primorial'-conv-primorial)
  also have ... \sim [at\text{-}top] (\lambda n. ln (nth\text{-}prime (n-1)))
    by (intro asymp-equiv-compose'[OF - lim1] asymp-equiv-intros)
  also have ... \sim [at\text{-}top] (\lambda n. ln (real (n-1)))
    by (intro asymp-equiv-compose'[OF - lim2] asymp-equiv-intros)
  also have ... \sim [at\text{-}top] (\lambda n. \ln n) by real-asymp
  finally show 2: (\lambda k. \ln (\ln (primorial' k))) \sim [at-top] (\lambda k. \ln k).
  have (\lambda k. ln (primorial' k) / ln (ln (primorial' k))) \sim [at-top] (\lambda k. (k * ln k) / ln (ln (primorial' k))) \sim [at-top] (\lambda k. (k * ln k) / ln (ln (primorial' k)))
ln k
    by (intro asymp-equiv-intros 1 2)
  also have ... \sim [at\text{-}top] (\lambda k. \ k) by real-asymp
  finally show (\lambda k. \ln (primorial' k) / \ln (\ln (primorial' k))) \sim [at-top] (\lambda k. k).
qed
```

end

12.3 Consequences of the asymptotics of ψ and ϑ

Next, we will show some consequences of $\psi(x) \sim x$ and $\vartheta(x) \sim x$. To this end, we first show generically that any function $g = e^{x+o(x)}$ is $o(c^n)$ if c > e and $\omega(c^n)$ if c < e.

```
locale exp-asymp-equiv-linear =
  fixes fg :: real \Rightarrow real
  assumes f-asymp-equiv: f \sim [at\text{-}top] (\lambda x. x)
  assumes g: eventually (\lambda x. \ g \ x = exp \ (f \ x)) F
begin
lemma
  fixes \varepsilon :: real assumes \varepsilon > 0
                          g \in o(\lambda x. \ exp \ ((1 + \varepsilon) * x))
  shows smallo:
    and smallomega: g \in \omega(\lambda x. \ exp \ ((1 - \varepsilon) * x))
proof -
  have (\lambda x. \ exp \ (f \ x) \ / \ exp \ ((1 + \varepsilon) * x)) \in \Theta(\lambda x. \ exp \ (((f \ x - x) \ / \ x - \varepsilon) * x))
    by (intro bigthetaI-cong eventually-mono[OF eventually-qt-at-top[of 1]])
       (simp-all add: divide-simps ring-distribs flip: exp-add exp-diff)
  also have ((\lambda x. \ exp \ (((f x - x) / x - \varepsilon) * x)) \longrightarrow \theta) \ at\text{-top}
  proof (intro filterlim-compose [OF exp-at-bot] filterlim-tendsto-neg-mult-at-bot)
    have smallo: (\lambda x. f x - x) \in o(\lambda x. x)
      using f-asymp-equiv by (rule asymp-equiv-imp-diff-smallo)
    show ((\lambda x. (f x - x) / x - \varepsilon) \longrightarrow \theta - \varepsilon) at-top
      by (intro tendsto-diff smalloD-tendsto[OF smallo] tendsto-const)
  qed (use \langle \varepsilon > 0 \rangle in \langle auto \ simp: filterlim-ident \rangle)
  hence (\lambda x. \ exp \ (((f x - x) / x - \varepsilon) * x)) \in o(\lambda -. 1)
    by (intro smalloI-tendsto) auto
  finally have (\lambda x. \ exp \ (f \ x)) \in o(\lambda x. \ exp \ ((1 + \varepsilon) * x))
    by (simp add: landau-divide-simps)
  also have ?this \longleftrightarrow g \in o(\lambda x. \ exp \ ((1 + \varepsilon) * x))
    using g by (intro\ landau-o.small.in-cong) (simp\ add:\ eq-commute)
  finally show g \in o(\lambda x. exp((1 + \varepsilon) * x)).
next
  have (\lambda x. \ exp \ (f \ x) \ / \ exp \ ((1 - \varepsilon) * x)) \in \Theta(\lambda x. \ exp \ (((f \ x - x) \ / \ x + \varepsilon) * x))
    by (intro bigthetaI-cong eventually-mono[OF eventually-gt-at-top[of 1]])
       (simp add: ring-distribs flip: exp-add exp-diff)
  also have filterlim (\lambda x. \ exp \ (((f x - x) \ / \ x + \varepsilon) * x)) \ at\text{-top at-top})
  proof (intro filterlim-compose[OF exp-at-top] filterlim-tendsto-pos-mult-at-top)
    have smallo: (\lambda x. f x - x) \in o(\lambda x. x)
      using f-asymp-equiv by (rule asymp-equiv-imp-diff-smallo)
    show ((\lambda x. (f x - x) / x + \varepsilon) \longrightarrow 0 + \varepsilon) at-top
      by (intro tendsto-add smalloD-tendsto[OF smallo] tendsto-const)
  qed (use \langle \varepsilon > 0 \rangle in \langle auto \ simp: filterlim-ident \rangle)
  hence (\lambda x. \ exp \ (((f x - x) \ / \ x + \varepsilon) * x)) \in \omega(\lambda -. \ 1)
    by (simp add: filterlim-at-top-iff-smallomega)
  finally have (\lambda x. \ exp \ (f \ x)) \in \omega(\lambda x. \ exp \ ((1 - \varepsilon) * x))
    by (simp add: landau-divide-simps)
  also have ?this \longleftrightarrow g \in \omega(\lambda x. \ exp \ ((1 - \varepsilon) * x))
```

```
using g by (intro landau-omega.small.in-cong) (simp add: eq-commute)
  finally show g \in \omega(\lambda x. \ exp \ ((1 - \varepsilon) * x)).
qed
lemma smallo':
  fixes c :: real assumes c > exp \ 1
  shows g \in o(\lambda x. \ c \ powr \ x)
  have c > 0 by (rule le-less-trans[OF - assms]) auto
  from \langle c > 0 \rangle assms have exp 1 < exp (\ln c)
   by (subst\ exp-ln) auto
  hence ln \ c > 1 by (subst (asm) \ exp-less-cancel-iff)
  hence g \in o(\lambda x. exp((1 + (ln c - 1)) * x))
   using assms by (intro smallo) auto
  also have (\lambda x. \ exp \ ((1 + (ln \ c - 1)) * x)) = (\lambda x. \ c \ powr \ x)
   using \langle c > \theta \rangle by (simp add: powr-def mult-ac)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma smallomega':
  fixes c :: real assumes c \in \{0 < ... < exp 1\}
  shows g \in \omega(\lambda x. \ c \ powr \ x)
proof -
  from assms have exp \ 1 > exp \ (ln \ c)
   by (subst exp-ln) auto
  hence ln \ c < 1 by (subst \ (asm) \ exp-less-cancel-iff)
  hence g \in \omega(\lambda x. \ exp ((1 - (1 - ln \ c)) * x))
   using assms by (intro smallomega) auto
  also have (\lambda x. \ exp \ ((1 - (1 - ln \ c)) * x)) = (\lambda x. \ c \ powr \ x)
   using assms by (simp add: powr-def mult-ac)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
end
The primorial fulfils x\#=e^{\vartheta(x)} and is therefore one example of this.
context prime-number-theorem
begin
sublocale primorial: exp-asymp-equiv-linear \vartheta \lambda x. real (primorial x)
  using \vartheta-asymptotics by unfold-locales (simp-all add: ln-primorial [symmetric])
end
The LCM of the first n natural numbers is equal to e^{\psi(n)} and is therefore
another example.
{\bf context}\ prime-number-theorem
begin
```

```
sublocale Lcm-upto: exp-asymp-equiv-linear \psi \lambda x. real (Lcm {1..nat \lfloor x \rfloor}) using \psi-asymptotics by unfold-locales (simp-all flip: Lcm-upto-real-conv-\psi)
```

end

12.4 Bounds on the prime ω function

Next, we will examine the asymptotic behaviour of the prime ω function $\omega(n)$, i. e. the number of distinct prime factors of n. These proofs are again taken from A. J. Hildebrand's lecture notes [2].

```
lemma ln-qt-1:
 assumes x > (3 :: real)
 shows ln x > 1
proof -
 have x > exp 1
   using exp-le assms by linarith
 hence \ln x > \ln (\exp 1) using assms by (subst ln-less-cancel-iff) auto
 thus ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma (in prime-number-theorem) primes-omega-primorial'-asymp-equiv:
 (\lambda k. primes-omega (primorial' k)) \sim [at-top]
    (\lambda k. ln (primorial' k) / ln (ln (primorial' k)))
 using ln-over-ln-ln-primorial'-asymp-equiv by (simp add: asymp-equiv-sym)
The number of distinct prime factors of n has maximal order \ln n / \ln \ln n:
theorem (in prime-number-theorem)
 limsup-primes-omega: limsup (\lambda n. primes-omega n / (ln n / ln (ln n))) = 1
proof (intro antisym)
 k)))) \longrightarrow 1
   using primes-omega-primorial'-asymp-equiv
   by (intro asymp-equivD-strong eventually-mono[OF eventually-gt-at-top[of 1]])
auto
 hence limsup ((\lambda n. primes-omega n / (ln n / ln (ln n))) \circ primorial') = ereal 1
   by (intro lim-imp-Limsup tendsto-ereal) simp-all
 hence 1 = limsup((\lambda n. ereal (primes-omega n / (ln n / ln (ln n)))) \circ primorial')
   by (simp add: o-def)
 also have ... \leq limsup (\lambda n. primes-omega n / (ln n / ln (ln n)))
   using strict-mono-primorial' by (rule limsup-subseq-mono)
 finally show limsup (\lambda n. primes-omega n / (ln n / ln (ln n))) <math>\geq 1.
 show limsup (\lambda n. primes-omega n / (ln n / ln (ln n))) <math>\leq 1
   unfolding Limsup-le-iff
 proof safe
   fix C':: ereal assume C': C' > 1
   from ereal-dense2[OF this] obtain C where C: C > 1 ereal C < C' by auto
```

```
k)))) \longrightarrow 1
     (is filterlim ?f - -) using primes-omega-primorial'-asymp-equiv
    by (intro asymp-equivD-strong eventually-mono[OF eventually-gt-at-top[of 1]])
auto
   from order-tendstoD(2)[OF this C(1)]
   have eventually (\lambda k. ?f k < C) at-top.
   then obtain k0 where k0: \bigwedge k. k \geq k0 \implies ?f k < C by (auto simp: eventu-
ally-at-top-linorder)
   have eventually (\lambda n::nat. max 3 k0 / (ln n / ln (ln n)) < C) at-top
     using \langle C > 1 \rangle by real-asymp
   hence eventually (\lambda n. primes-omega n / (ln n / ln (ln n)) \leq C) at-top
     using eventually-gt-at-top[of primorial' (max k0 3)]
   proof eventually-elim
     case (elim \ n)
     define k where k = primes-omega n
     define m where m = primorial' k
     have primorial' 3 \leq primorial' (max k0 3)
      by (subst strict-mono-less-eq[OF strict-mono-primorial']) auto
     also have \dots < n by fact
     finally have n > 30 by simp
     show ?case
     proof (cases k \ge max \ 3 \ k\theta)
      {\bf case}\  \, True
      hence m \geq 30
       using strict-mono-less-eq[OF strict-mono-primorial', of 3 k] by (simp add:
m-def k-def)
      have exp \ 1 \ \widehat{\ } 3 \le (3 \ \widehat{\ } 3 :: real)
        using exp-le by (intro power-mono) auto
      also have ... < m \text{ using } < m \ge 30 > \text{by } simp
      finally have ln (exp 1 ^3) < ln m
        using \langle m \geq 30 \rangle by (subst ln-less-cancel-iff) auto
      hence ln \ m > 3 by (subst (asm) \ ln\text{-}realpow) auto
      have primorial' (primes-omega n) \leq n
        using \langle n > 30 \rangle by (intro primorial'-primes-omega-le) auto
      hence m \leq n unfolding m-def k-def using elim
        by (auto simp: max-def)
      hence primes-omega n / (ln \ n / ln \ (ln \ n)) \le k / (ln \ m / ln \ (ln \ m))
        unfolding k-def using elim \langle m \geq 30 \rangle ln-gt-1[of n] \langle ln m > 3 \rangle
           by (intro frac-le[of primes-omega n] divide-ln-mono mult-pos-pos di-
vide-pos-pos) auto
      also have \dots = ?f k
        by (simp add: k-def m-def)
      also have \dots < C
        by (intro k\theta) (use True in \langle auto \ simp: \ k-def \rangle)
      finally show ?thesis by simp
```

```
next
    case False
    hence primes-omega n / (\ln n / \ln (\ln n)) \le \max 3 \ k0 / (\ln n / \ln (\ln n))
    using elim \ ln-gt-1[of \ n] \ (n > 30)
    by (intro \ divide-right-mono \ divide-nonneg-pos) (auto \ simp: k-def)
    also have ... < C
    using elim by simp
    finally show ?thesis by simp
    qed
    qed
    thus eventually \ (\lambda n. \ ereal \ (primes-omega \ n / (\ln n / \ln (\ln n))) < C') \ at-top
    by eventually-elim \ (rule \ le-less-trans[OF - C(2)], \ auto)
    qed
qed
```

12.5 Bounds on the divisor function

In this section, we shall examine the growth of the divisor function $\sigma_0(n)$. In particular, we will show that $\sigma_0(n) < 2^{c \ln n / \ln \ln n}$ for all sufficiently large n if c > 1 and $\sigma_0(n) > 2^{c \ln n / \ln \ln n}$ for infinitely many n if c < 1.

An equivalent statement is that $\ln(\sigma_0(n))$ has maximal order $\ln 2 \cdot \ln n / \ln \ln n$.

Following Apostol's somewhat didactic approach, we first show a generic bounding lemma for σ_0 that depends on some function f that we will specify later.

```
lemma divisor-count-bound-gen:
  fixes f :: nat \Rightarrow real
  assumes eventually (\lambda n. f n > 2) at-top
  defines c \equiv (8 / ln \ 2 :: real)
  defines g \equiv (\lambda n. (ln \ n + c * f \ n * ln \ (ln \ n)) / (ln \ (f \ n)))
  shows eventually (\lambda n. \ divisor\text{-}count \ n < 2 \ powr \ q \ n) at-top
proof -
  include prime-counting-syntax
  have eventually (\lambda n::nat. \ 1 + log \ 2 \ n \leq ln \ n \ \widehat{\ } 2) at-top by real-asymp
  thus eventually (\lambda n. \ divisor\text{-}count \ n < 2 \ powr \ g \ n) at-top
   using eventually-gt-at-top[of 2] assms(1)
  proof eventually-elim
   \mathbf{fix} \ n :: nat
   assume n: n > 2 and f n \ge 2 and 1 + \log 2 n \le \ln n
   define Pr where [simp]: Pr = prime-factors n
   define Pr1 where [simp]: Pr1 = \{p \in Pr. p < f n\}
   define Pr2 where [simp]: Pr2 = \{p \in Pr. p \ge f n\}
   have exp 1 < real n
     using e-less-272 \langle n \rangle by linarith
   hence ln (exp 1) < ln (real n)
     using \langle n > 2 \rangle by (subst ln-less-cancel-iff) auto
   hence ln (ln n) > ln (ln (exp 1))
```

```
by (subst ln-less-cancel-iff) auto
   hence ln (ln n) > 0 by simp
   define S2 where S2 = (\sum p \in Pr2. multiplicity p n)
   have f \ n \ \widehat{\ } S2 = (\prod p \in Pr2. \ f \ n \ \widehat{\ } multiplicity \ p \ n)
     by (simp add: S2-def power-sum)
   also have ... \leq (\prod p \in Pr2. \ real \ p \cap multiplicity \ p \ n)
     using \langle f | n \geq 2 \rangle by (intro prod-mono conjI power-mono) auto
   also from \langle n > 2 \rangle have ... \leq (\prod p \in Pr. real \ p \cap multiplicity \ p \ n)
       by (intro prod-mono2 one-le-power) (auto simp: in-prime-factors-iff dest:
prime-gt-0-nat)
   also have \dots = n
     using \langle n > 2 \rangle by (subst prime-factorization-nat[of n]) auto
   finally have f n \cap S2 \leq n.
   hence ln (f n \hat{S2}) \leq ln n
     using n \langle f | n \geq 2 \rangle by (subst ln-le-cancel-iff) auto
   hence S2 \leq ln \ n \ / \ ln \ (f \ n)
     using \langle f | n \geq 2 \rangle by (simp add: field-simps ln-realpow)
   have le-twopow: Suc a \leq 2 \hat{} a for a :: nat by (induction a) auto
   have (\prod p \in Pr2. Suc (multiplicity p n)) \leq (\prod p \in Pr2. 2 ^ multiplicity p n)
     by (intro prod-mono conjI le-twopow) auto
   also have ... = 2^{\circ}S2
     by (simp add: S2-def power-sum)
   also have ... = 2 powr real S2
     by (subst powr-realpow) auto
   also have ... \leq 2 powr (ln \ n / ln \ (f \ n))
     by (intro powr-mono \langle S2 \leq \ln n / \ln (f n) \rangle) auto
   finally have bound2: real (\prod p \in Pr2. Suc (multiplicity p(n)) \leq 2 powr (\ln n / 2)
ln(f n)
     by simp
   have multiplicity-le: multiplicity p n \leq log 2 n if p: p \in Pr for p
   proof -
     from p have 2 \widehat{} multiplicity p n \leq p \widehat{} multiplicity p n
      by (intro power-mono) (auto simp: in-prime-factors-iff dest: prime-qt-1-nat)
     also have ... = (\prod p \in \{p\}. p \cap multiplicity p n) by simp
    also from p have (\prod p \in \{p\}, p \cap multiplicity p n) \le (\prod p \in Pr. p \cap multiplicity p n)
p(n)
       by (intro dvd-imp-le prod-dvd-prod-subset)
          (auto simp: in-prime-factors-iff dest: prime-gt-0-nat)
     also have \dots = n
       using n by (subst prime-factorization-nat[of n]) auto
     finally have 2 \cap multiplicity p n \leq n.
     hence log \ 2 \ (2 \cap multiplicity \ p \ n) \le log \ 2 \ n
       using n by (subst log-le-cancel-iff) auto
     thus multiplicity p n < log 2 n
       by (subst (asm) log-nat-power) auto
   qed
```

```
+ 1))
          by (simp add: exp-sum)
       also have (\sum p \in Pr1. ln (multiplicity p n + 1)) \le (\sum p \in Pr1 \cdot 2 * ln (ln n))
       proof (intro sum-mono)
          fix p assume p: p \in Pr1
          have ln \ (multiplicity \ p \ n + 1) \le ln \ (1 + log \ 2 \ n)
              using p multiplicity-le[of p] by (subst ln-le-cancel-iff) auto
          also have \dots \leq ln \ (ln \ n \ \widehat{\ } 2)
              using \langle n > 2 \rangle \langle 1 + \log 2 n \leq \ln n \, \widehat{2} \rangle
              by (subst ln-le-cancel-iff) (auto intro: add-pos-nonneg)
          also have ... = 2 * ln (ln n)
              using \langle n > 2 \rangle by (simp \ add: ln\text{-}realpow)
          finally show ln (multiplicity p n + 1) \le 2 * ln (ln n).
       qed
       also have ... = 2 * ln (ln n) * card Pr1
          by simp
       also have finite \{p. prime p \land real p \leq f n\}
          by (rule\ finite-subset[of-\{..nat\ |f\ n|\}])\ (auto\ simp:\ le-nat-iff\ le-floor-iff)
       hence card Pr1 \leq card \{p. prime p \land real p \leq f n\}
          by (intro card-mono) auto
       also have real \dots = \pi (f n)
          by (simp add: primes-pi-def prime-sum-upto-def)
       also have ... < 4 * (f n / ln (f n))
          using \langle f | n \geq 2 \rangle by (intro \pi-upper-bound'') auto
       also have exp (2 * ln (ln (real n)) * (4 * (f n / ln (f n)))) =
                              2 powr (c * f n * ln (ln n) / ln (f n))
          by (simp add: powr-def c-def)
       finally have bound1: (\prod p \in Pr1. Suc (multiplicity p n)) <
                                                   2 powr (c * f n * ln (ln (real n)) / ln (f n))
          using \langle ln \ (ln \ n) > 0 \rangle by (simp add: mult-strict-left-mono)
       have divisor-count n = (\prod p \in Pr. Suc (multiplicity p n))
          using n by (subst divisor-count.prod-prime-factors') auto
       also have Pr = Pr1 \cup Pr2 by auto
       also have real (\prod p \in \dots Suc (multiplicity p n)) =
                          real ((\prod p \in Pr1. Suc (multiplicity p n)) * (\prod p \in Pr2. Suc (multiplicity))
p(n)))
          by (subst prod.union-disjoint) auto
       also have ... < 2 powr (c * f n * ln (ln (real n)) / ln (f n)) * 2 powr (ln n / ln (real n)) / ln (f n)) * 2 powr (ln n / ln (real n)) / ln (f n)) * 2 powr (ln n / ln (real n)) / ln (f n)) * 2 powr (ln n / ln (real n)) / ln (f n)) * 2 powr (ln n / ln (real n)) / ln (f n)) * 2 powr (ln n / ln (real n)) / ln (f n)) * 2 powr (ln n / ln (real n)) / ln (f n)) * 2 powr (ln n / ln (real n)) / ln (f n)) * 2 powr (ln n / ln (real n)) / ln (f n)) * 2 powr (ln n / ln (real n)) / ln (f n)) * 2 powr (ln n / ln (real n)) / ln (f n)) * 2 powr (ln n / ln (real n)) / ln (f n)) * 2 powr (ln n / ln (real n)) / ln (f n)) * 2 powr (ln n / ln (real n)) / ln (f n)) * 2 powr (ln n / ln (real n)) / ln (f n)) * 2 powr (ln n / ln (real n)) / ln (f n)) * 2 powr (ln n / ln (real n)) / ln (f n)) * 2 powr (ln n / ln (real n)) / ln (f n)) * 2 powr (ln n / ln (real n)) / ln (f n)) * 2 powr (ln n / ln (real n)) / ln (f n)) * 2 powr (ln n / ln (real n)) / ln (f n)) * 2 powr (ln n / ln (real n)) / ln (f n)) * 2 powr (ln n / ln (real n)) / ln (f n)) * 2 powr (ln n / ln (real n)) / ln (f n)) * 2 powr (ln n / ln (real n)) / ln (real n)) / ln (real n)) / ln (real n) / ln (real n)) / ln (real n)) / ln (real n) / ln (real n) / ln (real n)) / ln (real n) 
ln(f n)
          unfolding of-nat-mult
              by (intro mult-less-le-imp-less bound1 bound2) (auto intro!: prod-nonneg
prod-pos)
       also have \dots = 2 powr q n
          by (simp add: g-def add-divide-distrib powr-add)
       finally show real (divisor-count n) < 2 powr g n.
```

have $(\prod p \in Pr1$. Suc (multiplicity p n)) = exp $(\sum p \in Pr1$. In (multiplicity p n

```
\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{qed} \end{array}
```

Now, Apostol explains that one can choose $f(n) := \ln n/(\ln \ln n)^2$ to obtain the desired bound.

```
proposition divisor-count-upper-bound:
 fixes \varepsilon :: real
  assumes \varepsilon > 0
  shows eventually (\lambda n. \ divisor\text{-}count \ n < 2 \ powr \ ((1 + \varepsilon) * ln \ n \ / \ ln \ (ln \ n)))
at-top
proof -
  define c :: real where c = 8 / ln 2
  define f :: nat \Rightarrow real where f = (\lambda n. ln \ n \ / (ln \ (ln \ n)) \ \widehat{2})
  define g where g = (\lambda n. (ln \ n + c * f \ n * ln \ (ln \ n)) / (ln \ (f \ n)))
  have eventually (\lambda n. \ divisor\text{-}count \ n < 2 \ powr \ g \ n) at-top
    unfolding g-def c-def f-def by (rule divisor-count-bound-gen) real-asymp+
  moreover have eventually (\lambda n. \ 2 \ powr \ g \ n < 2 \ powr \ ((1 + \varepsilon) * ln \ n \ / ln \ (ln
n))) at-top
    using \langle \varepsilon > \theta \rangle unfolding q-def c-def f-def by real-asymp
 ultimately show eventually (\lambda n. divisor-count n < 2 powr ((1 + \varepsilon) * ln n / ln
(ln \ n))) \ at-top
    by eventually-elim (rule less-trans)
qed
```

Next, we will examine the 'worst case'. Since any prime factor of n with multiplicity k contributes a factor of k+1, it is intuitively clear that $\sigma_0(n)$ is largest w.r.t. n if it is a product of small distinct primes.

We show that indeed, if n := x# (where x# denotes the primorial), we have $\sigma_0(n) = 2^{\pi(x)}$, which, by the Prime Number Theorem, indeed exceeds $c \ln n / \ln \ln n$.

```
theorem (in prime-number-theorem) divisor-count-primorial-gt:
  assumes \varepsilon > \theta
  defines h \equiv primorial
  shows eventually (\lambda x. \ divisor\text{-}count \ (h \ x) > 2 \ powr \ ((1 - \varepsilon) * ln \ (h \ x) / ln \ (ln
(h x)))) at-top
proof -
  have (\lambda x. (1 - \varepsilon) * ln (h x) / ln (ln (h x))) \sim [at-top] (\lambda x. (1 - \varepsilon) * \vartheta x / ln
    by (simp add: h-def ln-primorial)
  also have ... \sim [at\text{-}top] (\lambda x. (1 - \varepsilon) * x / ln x)
    by (intro asymp-equiv-intros \vartheta-asymptotics ln-\vartheta-asymp-equiv)
  finally have *: (\lambda x. (1 - \varepsilon) * ln (h x) / ln (ln (h x))) \sim [at-top] (\lambda x. (1 - \varepsilon) *
x / ln x
    by simp
  have (\lambda x. (1 - \varepsilon) * ln (h x) / (ln (ln (h x))) - (1 - \varepsilon) * x / ln x) \in o(\lambda x. (1 - \varepsilon) * ln (h x))
-\varepsilon) * x / ln x
    using asymp-equiv-imp-diff-smallo[OF *] by simp
```

```
also have ?this \longleftrightarrow (\lambda x. (1 - \varepsilon) * ln (h x) / (ln (ln (h x))) - x / ln x + \varepsilon * x
/ ln x)
                \in o(\lambda x. (1 - \varepsilon) * x / ln x)
     by (intro landau-o.small.in-cong eventually-mono[OF eventually-gt-at-top[of
1]])
       (auto simp: field-simps)
 also have (\lambda x. (1 - \varepsilon) * x / \ln x) \in O(\lambda x. x / \ln x)
    by real-asymp
 finally have (\lambda x. (1 - \varepsilon) * ln (h x) / (ln (ln (h x))) - x / ln x + \varepsilon * x / ln x)
                   \in o(\lambda x. \ x \ / \ ln \ x).
 hence (\lambda x. (1 - \varepsilon) * ln (h x) / (ln (ln (h x))) - x / ln x + \varepsilon * x / ln x - (\pi x))
-x / ln x)
           \in o(\lambda x. \ x \ / \ ln \ x)
  by (intro sum-in-smallo OF - asymp-equiv-imp-diff-smallo prime-number-theorem)
 hence (\lambda x. (1 - \varepsilon) * ln (h x) / (ln (ln (h x))) - \pi x + \varepsilon * (x / ln x)) \in o(\lambda x.
\varepsilon * (x / \ln x)
    using \langle \varepsilon > 0 \rangle by (subst landau-o.small.cmult) (simp-all add: algebra-simps)
  hence (\lambda x. (1 - \varepsilon) * ln (h x) / (ln (ln (h x))) - \pi x) \sim [at-top] (\lambda x. -\varepsilon * (x / top)]
    by (intro smallo-imp-asymp-equiv) auto
  hence eventually (\lambda x. (1 - \varepsilon) * ln (h x) / (ln (ln (h x))) - \pi x < 0 \longleftrightarrow
                         -\varepsilon * (x / \ln x) < 0) at-top
    by (rule asymp-equiv-eventually-neg-iff)
  moreover have eventually (\lambda x. -\varepsilon * (x / \ln x) < 0) at-top
    using \langle \varepsilon \rangle \rightarrow 0 by real-asymp
 ultimately have eventually (\lambda x. (1 - \varepsilon) * ln (h x) / ln (ln (h x)) < \pi x) at-top
    by eventually-elim simp
  thus eventually (\lambda x. divisor-count (h x) > 2 powr ((1 - \varepsilon) * ln (h x) / ln (ln x))
(h x)))) at-top
 proof eventually-elim
    case (elim \ x)
    hence 2 powr ((1 - \varepsilon) * ln (h x) / ln (ln (h x))) < 2 powr \pi x
      by (intro powr-less-mono) auto
    thus ?case by (simp add: divisor-count-primorial h-def)
 qed
qed
Since h(x) \longrightarrow \infty, this gives us our infinitely many values of n that exceed
the bound.
corollary (in prime-number-theorem) divisor-count-lower-bound:
 assumes \varepsilon > 0
  shows frequently (\lambda n.\ divisor-count\ n > 2\ powr\ ((1-\varepsilon)*ln\ n\ /\ ln\ (ln\ n)))
at-top
proof -
  define h where h = primorial
 have eventually (\lambda n. \ divisor\text{-}count \ n > 2 \ powr \ ((1 - \varepsilon) * ln \ n \ / \ ln \ (ln \ n)))
          (filtermap \ h \ at-top)
    using divisor-count-primorial-gt[OF assms] by (simp add: eventually-filtermap
h-def)
```

```
hence frequently (\lambda n.\ divisor\text{-}count\ n > 2\ powr\ ((1 - \varepsilon) * ln\ n\ /\ ln\ (ln\ n)))
          (filtermap\ h\ at-top)
   by (intro eventually-frequently) (auto simp: filtermap-bot-iff)
  moreover from this and primorial-at-top
   have filtermap h at-top \leq at-top by (simp add: filterlim-def h-def)
  ultimately show ?thesis
   by (rule frequently-mono-filter)
qed
A different formulation that is not quite as tedious to prove is this one:
lemma (in prime-number-theorem) ln-divisor-count-primorial'-asymp-equiv:
  (\lambda k. ln (divisor-count (primorial' k))) \sim [at-top]
    (\lambda k. \ln 2 * ln (primorial' k) / ln (ln (primorial' k)))
proof -
 have (\lambda k. \ln 2 * (\ln (primorial' k)) / \ln (\ln (primorial' k)))) \sim [at-top] (\lambda k. \ln 2)
* k
   by (intro asymp-equiv-intros ln-over-ln-ln-primorial'-asymp-equiv)
 also have ... \sim [at\text{-}top] \ (\lambda k. \ ln \ (divisor\text{-}count \ (primorial' \ k)))
   by (simp add: ln-realpow mult-ac)
 finally show ?thesis by (simp add: asymp-equiv-sym mult-ac)
qed
It follows that the maximal order of the divisor function is \ln 2 \cdot \ln n / \ln \ln n.
theorem (in prime-number-theorem) limsup-divisor-count:
  limsup (\lambda n. ln (divisor-count n) * ln (ln n) / ln n) = ln 2
proof (intro antisym)
 let ?h = primorial'
 have 2 \hat{k} = (1 :: real) \longleftrightarrow k = 0 for k :: nat
   using power-eq-1-iff[of 2::real k] by auto
 hence (\lambda k. ln (divisor-count (?h k)) / (ln 2 * ln (?h k) / ln (ln (?h k)))) \longrightarrow
1
   using ln-divisor-count-primorial'-asymp-equiv
   by (intro asymp-equivD-strong eventually-mono[OF eventually-gt-at-top[of 1]])
      (auto simp: power-eq-1-iff)
 hence (\lambda k. ln (divisor-count (?h k)) / (ln 2 * ln (?h k) / ln (ln (?h k))) * ln 2)
     \rightarrow 1 * ln 2
   by (rule tendsto-mult) auto
 hence (\lambda k. ln (divisor-count (?h k)) / (ln (?h k) / ln (ln (?h k)))) \longrightarrow ln 2
 hence limsup ((\lambda n. ln (divisor-count n) * ln (ln n) / ln n) \circ primorial') = ereal
   by (intro lim-imp-Limsup tendsto-ereal) simp-all
  hence \ln 2 = \limsup ((\lambda n. ereal (ln (divisor-count n) * ln (ln n) / ln n)) \circ
primorial')
   by (simp add: o-def)
 also have ... \leq limsup (\lambda n. ln (divisor-count n) * ln (ln n) / ln n)
   using strict-mono-primorial' by (rule limsup-subseq-mono)
 finally show limsup (\lambda n. \ln (divisor-count n) * \ln (\ln n) / \ln n) \ge \ln 2.
\mathbf{next}
```

```
show limsup (\lambda n. ln (divisor-count n) * ln (ln n) / ln n) <math>\leq ln 2
   unfolding Limsup-le-iff
  proof safe
   fix C' assume C' > ereal (ln 2)
    from ereal-dense2[OF this] obtain C where C: C > ln 2 ereal <math>C < C' by
   define \varepsilon where \varepsilon = (C / \ln 2) - 1
   from C have \varepsilon > 0 by (simp add: \varepsilon-def)
   have eventually (\lambda n::nat. ln (ln n) > 0) at-top by real-asymp
   hence eventually (\lambda n. \ln (divisor-count n) * \ln (\ln n) / \ln n < C) at-top
     using divisor-count-upper-bound[OF \langle \varepsilon > 0 \rangle] eventually-gt-at-top[of 1]
   proof eventually-elim
     case (elim \ n)
     hence \ln (divisor\text{-}count \ n) < \ln (2 \ powr \ ((1 + \varepsilon) * \ln n \ / \ln (\ln n)))
       by (subst ln-less-cancel-iff) auto
     also have ... = (1 + \varepsilon) * ln \ 2 * ln \ n / ln \ (ln \ n)
       by (simp add: ln-powr)
     finally have \ln (divisor\text{-}count \ n) * \ln (\ln n) / \ln n < (1 + \varepsilon) * \ln 2
       using elim by (simp add: field-simps)
     also have ... = C by (simp \ add: \varepsilon - def)
     finally show ?case.
   thus eventually (\lambda n. ereal (ln (divisor-count n) * ln (ln n) / ln n) < C') at-top
     by eventually-elim (rule less-trans[OF - C(2)], auto)
  qed
qed
```

12.6 Mertens' Third Theorem

In this section, we will show that

$$\prod_{p \le x} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) = \frac{C}{\ln x} + O\left(\frac{1}{\ln^2 x} \right)$$

with explicit bounds for the factor in the 'Big-O'. Here, C is the following constant:

```
definition third-mertens-const :: real where
```

```
third\text{-}mertens\text{-}const =
```

```
exp \ (-(\sum p::nat. \ if \ prime \ p \ then \ -ln \ (1 \ -1 \ / \ real \ p) \ -1 \ / \ real \ p \ else \ 0) \ -meissel-mertens)
```

This constant is actually equal to $e^{-\gamma}$ where γ is the Euler–Mascheroni constant, but showing this is quite a bit of work, which we shall not do here.

```
lemma third-mertens-const-pos: third-mertens-const > 0 by (simp add: third-mertens-const-def)
```

theorem

```
defines C \equiv third\text{-}mertens\text{-}const
 shows
          mertens-third-theorem-strong:
           eventually (\lambda x. |(\prod p \mid prime \ p \land real \ p \le x. \ 1 - 1 \ / \ p) - C \ / \ ln \ x| \le
                             10 * C / ln x ^2) at-top
           mertens-third-theorem:
 and
           (\lambda x. (\prod p \mid prime \ p \land real \ p \leq x. \ 1 - 1 \ / \ p) - C \ / \ ln \ x) \in O(\lambda x. \ 1 \ / \ p)
ln \ x ^2)
proof -
 define Pr where Pr = (\lambda x. \{p. prime p \land real p \leq x\})
 define a :: nat \Rightarrow real
   where a = (\lambda p. if prime p then -ln (1 - 1 / real p) - 1 / real p else 0)
 define B where B = suminf a
 have C-eq: C = exp (-B - meissel\text{-}mertens)
   by (simp add: B-def a-def third-mertens-const-def C-def)
 have fin: finite (Pr \ x) for x
   by (rule\ finite-subset[of - \{..nat\ |x|\}]) (auto simp:\ Pr-def\ le-nat-iff\ le-floor-iff)
 define S where S = (\lambda x. (\sum p \in Pr \ x. \ ln (1 - 1 / p)))
 define R where R = (\lambda x. S x + ln (ln x) + (B + meissel-mertens))
  have exp-S: exp(S|x) = (\prod p \in Pr|x. (1 - 1 / p)) for x
 proof -
   have exp(S x) = (\prod p \in Pr x. exp(ln(1 - 1 / p)))
     by (simp add: S-def exp-sum fin)
   also have ... = (\prod p \in Pr \ x. \ (1 - 1 / p))
     by (intro prod.cong) (auto simp: Pr-def dest: prime-gt-1-nat)
   finally show ?thesis.
  qed
 have a-nonneg: a \ n \ge 0 for n
  proof (cases prime n)
   \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
   hence ln (1 - 1 / n) \le -(1 / n)
     by (intro ln-one-minus-pos-upper-bound) (auto dest: prime-gt-1-nat)
   thus ?thesis by (auto simp: a-def)
 qed (auto simp: a-def)
 have summable a
  proof (rule summable-comparison-test-bigo)
   have a \in O(\lambda n. - \ln (1 - 1 / n) - 1 / n)
     by (intro bigoI[of - 1]) (auto simp: a-def)
   also have (\lambda n::nat. -ln (1 - 1 / n) - 1 / n) \in O(\lambda n. 1 / n^2)
     by real-asymp
   finally show a \in O(\lambda n. 1 / real n ^2).
  next
   show summable (\lambda n. norm (1 / real n ^2))
     using inverse-power-summable [of 2] by (simp add: field-simps)
  qed
```

```
have eventually (\lambda n. \ a \ n \leq 1 \ / \ n-1 \ / \ Suc \ n) at-top
 proof -
    have eventually (\lambda n::nat. -ln (1 - 1 / n) - 1 / n \le 1 / n - 1 / Suc n)
at-top
     by real-asymp
   thus ?thesis using eventually-gt-at-top[of 1]
     by eventually-elim (auto simp: a-def of-nat-diff field-simps)
  hence eventually (\lambda m. \ \forall \ n \geq m. \ a \ n \leq 1 \ / \ n-1 \ / \ Suc \ n) at-top
   by (rule eventually-all-ge-at-top)
  hence eventually (\lambda x. \ \forall \ n \geq nat \ \lfloor x \rfloor. \ a \ n \leq 1 \ / \ n-1 \ / \ Suc \ n) at-top
   by (rule eventually-compose-filterlim)
    (intro filterlim-compose [OF filterlim-nat-sequentially] filterlim-floor-sequentially)
 hence eventually (\lambda x. B - sum\text{-}upto \ a \ x \le 1 \ / \ x) at-top
   using eventually-ge-at-top[of 1::real]
  proof eventually-elim
   case (elim\ x)
   have a-le: a \ n \le 1 \ / \ real \ n - 1 \ / \ real \ (Suc \ n) if real \ n \ge x for n
   proof -
     from that and \langle x \geq 1 \rangle have n \geq nat |x| by linarith
     with elim and that show ?thesis by auto
   qed
   define m where m = Suc (nat |x|)
   have telescope: (\lambda n. \ 1 \ / \ (n+m) - 1 \ / \ (Suc \ n+m)) sums (1 \ / \ real \ (0+m)
     by (intro telescope-sums') real-asymp
   have B - (\sum n < m. \ a \ n) = (\sum n. \ a \ (n + m))
     unfolding B-def sum-upto-altdef m-def using \langle summable a \rangle
     by (subst\ suminf-split-initial-segment[of - Suc\ (nat\ \lfloor x \rfloor)])\ auto
   also have (\sum n < m. \ a \ n) = sum\text{-}upto \ a \ x
      unfolding m-def sum-upto-altdef by (intro sum.mono-neutral-right) (auto
simp: a-def)
   also have (\sum n. \ a \ (n + m)) \le (\sum n. \ 1 \ / \ (n + m) - 1 \ / \ (Suc \ n + m))
   proof (intro suminf-le allI)
     show summable (\lambda n. \ a \ (n+m))
       by (rule summable-ignore-initial-segment) fact+
     show summable (\lambda n. 1 / (n + m) - 1 / (Suc n + m))
       using telescope by (rule sums-summable)
   \mathbf{next}
     \mathbf{fix} \ n :: nat
     have x \leq n + m unfolding m-def using \langle x \geq 1 \rangle by linarith
     thus a (n + m) \le 1 / (n + m) - 1 / (Suc n + m)
       using a-le[of n + m] \langle x \geq 1 \rangle by simp
   qed
   also have \dots = 1 / m
     using telescope by (simp add: sums-iff)
   also have x \leq m \ m > 0
```

```
unfolding m-def using \langle x \geq 1 \rangle by linarith+
   hence 1 / m \le 1 / x
     using \langle x \geq 1 \rangle by (intro divide-left-mono) (auto simp: m-def)
   finally show ?case.
  ged
  moreover have eventually (\lambda x :: real. \ 1 \ / \ x \le 1 \ / \ ln \ x) at-top by real-asymp
  ultimately have eventually (\lambda x. B - sum-upto a x \le 1 / ln x) at-top
   by eventually-elim (rule order.trans)
 hence eventually (\lambda x. |R| x| \leq 5 / \ln x) at-top
   using eventually-ge-at-top[of 2]
 proof eventually-elim
   case (elim \ x)
    have |(B - sum\text{-}upto\ a\ x) - (prime\text{-}sum\text{-}upto\ (\lambda p.\ 1\ /\ p)\ x - ln\ (ln\ x) -
meissel-mertens)| <
           1 / \ln x + 4 / \ln x
   proof (intro order.trans[OF abs-triangle-ineq4 add-mono])
     show |prime-sum-upto(\lambda p. 1 / real p) x - ln(ln x) - meissel-mertens| \le 4
/ ln x
       by (intro mertens-second-theorem \langle x \geq 2 \rangle)
     have sum-upto \ a \ x \leq B
          unfolding B-def sum-upto-def by (intro sum-le-suminf \( summable \) a>
a-nonneg ballI) auto
     thus |B - sum-upto a x | \le 1 / ln x
       using elim by linarith
   also have sum-up to a x = prime-sum-up to (\lambda p. -ln (1 - 1 / p) - 1 / p) x
      unfolding sum-upto-def prime-sum-upto-altdef1 a-def by (intro sum.cong
allI) auto
   also have ... = -S x - prime-sum-upto (\lambda p. 1 / p) x
    by (simp add: a-def S-def Pr-def prime-sum-upto-def sum-subtractf sum-negf)
   finally show |R| x| \le 5 / \ln x
     by (simp \ add: R-def)
 qed
 moreover have eventually (\lambda x::real. |5| / |5| / |5| / |5| at-top by real-asymp
 ultimately have eventually (\lambda x. \ exp \ (R \ x) - 1 \in \{-5 \ / \ ln \ x...10 \ / \ ln \ x\}) at-top
   using eventually-gt-at-top[of 1]
  proof eventually-elim
   case (elim \ x)
   have exp(R x) \leq exp(5 / ln x)
     using elim by simp
   also have \dots \leq 1 + 10 / \ln x
       using real-exp-bound-lemma[of 5 / ln x] elim by (simp add: abs-if split:
if-splits)
   finally have le: exp(R x) \le 1 + 10 / ln x.
   have 1 + (-5 / \ln x) \le exp (-5 / \ln x)
```

```
by (rule exp-ge-add-one-self)
   also have exp(-5 / ln x) \le exp(R x)
     using elim by simp
   finally have exp(R x) \ge 1 - 5 / ln x by simp
    with le show ?case by simp
  qed
  thus eventually (\lambda x. \mid (\prod p \in Pr \ x. \ 1 - 1 \mid real \ p) - C \mid ln \ x \mid \leq 10 * C \mid ln \ x
^ 2) at-top
   using eventually-gt-at-top[of exp 1] eventually-gt-at-top[of 1]
  proof eventually-elim
   case (elim \ x)
   have |exp(R x) - 1| \le 10 / \ln x
     using elim by (simp add: abs-if)
   from elim have |exp(Sx) - C/\ln x| = C/\ln x * |exp(Rx) - 1|
     by (simp add: R-def exp-add C-eq exp-diff exp-minus field-simps)
   also have \dots \leq C / \ln x * (10 / \ln x)
     using elim by (intro mult-left-mono) (auto simp: C-eq)
   finally show ?case by (simp add: exp-S power2-eq-square mult-ac)
  qed
  thus (\lambda x. (\prod p \in Pr \ x. \ 1 - 1 \ / \ real \ p) - C \ / \ ln \ x) \in O(\lambda x. \ 1 \ / \ ln \ x \ \widehat{\ } 2)
   by (intro\ bigoI[of - 10 * C])\ auto
qed
{f lemma} mertens-third-theorem-asymp-equiv:
  (\lambda x. (\prod p \mid prime \ p \land real \ p \leq x. \ 1 - 1 \ / real \ p)) \sim [at-top]
    (\lambda x. third\text{-}mertens\text{-}const / ln x)
 \mathbf{by} \; (\textit{rule smallo-imp-asymp-equiv}[\textit{OF landau-o.big-small-trans}[\textit{OF mertens-third-theorem}]]) \\
    (use third-mertens-const-pos in real-asymp)
We now show an equivalent version where \prod_{p < x} (1 - 1/p) is replaced by
\prod_{i=1}^{k} (1 - 1/p_i):
lemma mertens-third-convert:
  assumes n > 0
  shows (\prod k < n. \ 1 - 1 \ / \ real \ (nth-prime \ k)) =
          (\prod p \mid prime \ p \land p \leq nth\text{-}prime \ (n-1). \ 1-1 \ / \ p)
proof -
  have primorial' n = primorial (nth-prime (n-1))
   using assms by (simp add: primorial'-conv-primorial)
  also have real\ (totient\ ...\ ) =
              primorial' \ n * (\prod p \mid prime \ p \land p \leq nth-prime \ (n-1). \ 1-1 \ / \ p)
   using assms by (subst totient-primorial) (auto simp: primorial'-conv-primorial)
  finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: totient-primorial')
qed
lemma (in prime-number-theorem) mertens-third-theorem-asymp-equiv':
  (\lambda n. (\prod k < n. 1 - 1 / nth\text{-}prime k)) \sim [at\text{-}top] (\lambda x. third\text{-}mertens\text{-}const / ln x)
```

```
proof -
 have lim: filterlim (\lambda n. real (nth-prime (n-1))) at-top at-top
   by (intro filterlim-compose[OF filterlim-real-sequentially]
            filterlim-compose[OF nth-prime-at-top]) real-asymp
  have (\lambda n. (\prod k < n. 1 - 1 / nth\text{-}prime k)) \sim [at\text{-}top]
         (\lambda n. (\prod p \mid prime \ p \land real \ p \leq real \ (nth\text{-}prime \ (n-1)). \ 1-1 \ / \ p))
   by (intro asymp-equiv-refl-ev eventually-mono[OF eventually-gt-at-top[of 0]])
      (subst mertens-third-convert, auto)
 also have ... \sim [at-top] (\lambda n. third-mertens-const / ln (real (nth-prime (n-1))))
   by (intro asymp-equiv-compose'[OF mertens-third-theorem-asymp-equiv lim])
 also have ... \sim [at\text{-}top] \ (\lambda n. \ third\text{-}mertens\text{-}const \ / \ ln \ (real \ (n-1)))
  by (intro asymp-equiv-intros asymp-equiv-compose' [OF ln-nth-prime-asymp-equiv])
real-asymp
 also have ... \sim [at-top] (\lambda n. third-mertens-const / ln (real n))
   using third-mertens-const-pos by real-asymp
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
```

12.7 Bounds on Euler's totient function

Similarly to the divisor function, we will show that $\varphi(n)$ has minimal order $Cn/\ln \ln n$.

The first part is to show the lower bound:

```
theorem totient-lower-bound:
 fixes \varepsilon :: real
 assumes \varepsilon > 0
 defines C \equiv third\text{-}mertens\text{-}const
 shows eventually (\lambda n. totient n > (1 - \varepsilon) * C * n / ln (ln n)) at-top
proof -
 include prime-counting-syntax
 define f :: nat \Rightarrow nat where f = (\lambda n. \ card \{ p \in prime-factors \ n. \ p > ln \ n \})
  define lb1 where lb1 = (\lambda n::nat. (\prod p \mid prime p \land real p \leq ln \ n. \ 1 - 1 / p))
  define lb2 where lb2 = (\lambda n :: nat. (1 - 1 / ln n) powr (ln n / ln (ln n)))
  define lb1' where lb1' = (\lambda n :: nat. C / ln (ln n) - 10 * C / ln (ln n) ^2)
 have eventually (\lambda n::nat. 1 + log 2 n \leq ln n \hat{} 2) at-top by real-asymp
  hence eventually (\lambda n. totient n / n \ge lb1 n * lb2 n) at-top
   using eventually-gt-at-top[of 2]
  proof eventually-elim
   \mathbf{fix}\ n::nat
   assume n: n > 2 and 1 + \log 2 n \le \ln n 2
   define Pr where [simp]: Pr = prime-factors n
   define Pr1 where [simp]: Pr1 = \{p \in Pr. p \leq ln n\}
   define Pr2 where [simp]: Pr2 = \{p \in Pr. \ p > ln \ n\}
   have exp \ 1 < real \ n
```

```
using e-less-272 \langle n > 2 \rangle by linarith
   hence ln (exp 1) < ln (real n)
     using \langle n > 2 \rangle by (subst ln-less-cancel-iff) auto
   hence 1 < \ln n by simp
   hence ln (ln n) > ln (ln (exp 1))
     by (subst ln-less-cancel-iff) auto
   hence ln (ln n) > 0 by simp
   have \ln n \hat{f} n \leq (\prod p \in Pr2. \ln n)
     by (simp add: f-def)
   also have \dots \le real \ (\prod p \in Pr2. \ p) unfolding of-nat-prod
     by (intro prod-mono) (auto dest: prime-gt-1-nat simp: in-prime-factors-iff)
   also {
     have (\prod p \in Pr2. p) \ dvd \ (\prod p \in Pr2. p \cap multiplicity p n)
       by (intro prod-dvd-prod dvd-power) (auto simp: prime-factors-multiplicity)
     also have ... dvd (\prod p \in Pr. p \cap multiplicity p n)
       by (intro prod-dvd-prod-subset2) auto
     also have \dots = n
       using \langle n \rangle 2 \rangle by (subst (2) prime-factorization-nat[of n]) auto
     finally have (\prod p \in Pr2. \ p) \leq n
       using \langle n > 2 \rangle by (intro dvd-imp-le) auto
   finally have ln (ln n \hat{f} n) \leq ln n
     using \langle n > 2 \rangle by (subst ln-le-cancel-iff) auto
   also have ln (ln n \hat{f} n) = f n * ln (ln n)
     using \langle n > 2 \rangle by (subst ln-realpow) auto
   finally have f-le: f n \leq \ln n / \ln (\ln n)
     using \langle ln \ (ln \ n) > 0 \rangle by (simp \ add: field-simps)
   have (1-1/\ln n) powr (\ln n/\ln (\ln n)) \le (1-1/\ln n) powr (real(f n))
     using \langle n > 2 \rangle and \langle ln \ n > 1 \rangle by (intro powr-mono' f-le) auto
   also have ... = (\prod p \in Pr2. \ 1 - 1 / \ln n)
     using \langle n > 2 \rangle and \langle ln \ n > 1 \rangle by (subst powr-realpow) (auto simp: f-def)
   also have \dots \leq (\prod p \in Pr2. \ 1 - 1 / p)
     using \langle n > 2 \rangle and \langle ln \ n > 1 \rangle
    by (intro prod-mono conjI diff-mono divide-left-mono) (auto dest: prime-gt-1-nat)
   finally have bound2: (\prod p \in Pr2. \ 1 - 1 \ / \ p) \ge lb2 \ n \ unfolding \ lb2-def.
   have (\prod p \mid prime \ p \land real \ p \leq ln \ n. \ inverse \ (1 - 1 / p)) \geq (\prod p \in Pr1. \ inverse
(1 - 1 / p)
       using \langle n \rangle \not\supseteq \rangle by (intro prod-mono2) (auto intro: finite-primes-le dest:
prime-gt-1-nat
                                                                   simp: in-prime-factors-iff
field-simps)
   hence inverse (\prod p \mid prime p \land real p \leq ln \ n. \ 1 - 1 \ / \ p) \geq inverse (\prod p \in Pr1.
1 - 1 / p
     by (subst (1 2) prod-inversef [symmetric]) auto
   hence bound1: (\prod p \in Pr1. \ 1 - 1 \ / \ p) \ge lb1 \ n unfolding lb1-def
     by (rule inverse-le-imp-le)
         (auto intro!: prod-pos simp: in-prime-factors-iff dest: prime-gt-1-nat)
```

```
have lb1 \ n * lb2 \ n \le (\prod p \in Pr1. \ 1 - 1 \ / \ p) * (\prod p \in Pr2. \ 1 - 1 \ / \ p)
     by (intro mult-mono bound1 bound2 prod-nonneg ballI)
        (auto simp: in-prime-factors-iff lb1-def lb2-def dest: prime-gt-1-nat)
   also have ... = (\prod p \in Pr1 \cup Pr2. \ 1 - 1 / p)
     by (subst prod.union-disjoint) auto
   also have Pr1 \cup Pr2 = Pr by auto
   also have (\prod p \in Pr. \ 1 - 1 \ / \ p) = totient \ n \ / \ n
     using n by (subst totient-formula2) auto
   finally show real (totient n) / real n \ge lb1 n * lb2 n
     by (simp add: lb1-def lb2-def)
  qed
 moreover have eventually (\lambda n. | lb1 \ n - C / ln \ (ln \ n) | \leq 10 * C / ln \ (ln \ n) 
2) at-top
   unfolding lb1-def C-def using mertens-third-theorem-strong
   by (rule eventually-compose-filterlim) real-asymp
 moreover have eventually (\lambda n. (1 - \varepsilon) * C / ln (ln n) < lb1' n * lb2 n) at-top
    unfolding lb1'-def lb2-def C-def using third-mertens-const-pos \langle \varepsilon > \theta \rangle by
real-asymp
 ultimately show eventually (\lambda n. totient n > (1 - \varepsilon) * C * n / ln (ln n)) at-top
    using eventually-gt-at-top[of 0]
  proof eventually-elim
   case (elim \ n)
   have (1 - \varepsilon) * C / ln (ln n) < lb1' n * lb2 n by fact
   also have lb1' n < lb1 n
     unfolding lb1'-def using elim by linarith
   hence lb1' n * lb2 n \le lb1 n * lb2 n
     by (intro mult-right-mono) (auto simp: lb2-def)
   also have \dots \leq totient \ n \ / \ n \ by \ fact
   finally show totient n > (1 - \varepsilon) * C * n / (ln (ln n))
     using \langle n > 0 \rangle by (simp add: field-simps)
 qed
\mathbf{qed}
Next, we examine the 'worst case' of \varphi(n) where n is the primorial of x. In
this case, we have \varphi(n) < cn/\ln \ln n for any c > C for all sufficiently large
theorem (in prime-number-theorem) totient-primorial-less:
 fixes \varepsilon :: real
 defines C \equiv third\text{-}mertens\text{-}const and h \equiv primorial
 assumes \varepsilon > \theta
 shows eventually (\lambda x. totient (h x) < (1 + \varepsilon) * C * h x / ln (ln (h x))) at-top
proof -
 have C > 0 by (simp add: C-def third-mertens-const-pos)
 have (\lambda x. (1 + \varepsilon) * C / ln (ln (h x))) \sim [at-top] (\lambda x. (1 + \varepsilon) * C / ln (\vartheta x))
```

```
by (simp add: ln-primorial h-def)
  also have ... \sim [at\text{-}top] (\lambda x. (1 + \varepsilon) * C / ln x)
    by (intro asymp-equiv-intros ln-\vartheta-asymp-equiv)
  finally have (\lambda x. (1 + \varepsilon) * C / ln (ln (h x)) - (1 + \varepsilon) * C / ln x) \in o(\lambda x. (1 + \varepsilon) * C / ln x)
+ \varepsilon) * C / ln x)
    by (rule asymp-equiv-imp-diff-smallo)
  also have (\lambda x. (1 + \varepsilon) * C / \ln x) \in O(\lambda x. 1 / \ln x)
    by real-asymp
  also have (\lambda x. (1 + \varepsilon) * C / ln (ln (h x)) - (1 + \varepsilon) * C / ln x) =
               (\lambda x. (1 + \varepsilon) * C / ln (ln (h x)) - C / ln x - \varepsilon * C / ln x)
    by (simp add: algebra-simps fun-eq-iff add-divide-distrib)
  finally have (\lambda x. (1 + \varepsilon) * C / ln (ln (h x)) - C / ln x - \varepsilon * C / ln x) \in
o(\lambda x. 1 / \ln x).
  hence (\lambda x. (1 + \varepsilon) * C / ln (ln (h x)) - C / ln x - \varepsilon * C / ln x -
            ((\prod p \in \{p. \ prime \ p \land real \ p \le x\}. \ 1 - 1 \ / real \ p) - C \ / ln \ x)) \in o(\lambda x.
1 / \ln x
    unfolding C-def
  by (rule sum-in-smallo[OF - landau-o.big-small-trans[OF mertens-third-theorem]])
real-asymp+
  hence (\lambda x. ((1 + \varepsilon) * C / ln (ln (h x)) - (\prod p \in \{p. prime p \land real p \le x\}. 1 -
1 / real p)) -
                  (\varepsilon * C / \ln x)) \in o(\lambda x. 1 / \ln x)
    by (simp add: algebra-simps)
  also have (\lambda x. \ 1 \ / \ ln \ x) \in O(\lambda x. \ \varepsilon * C \ / \ ln \ x)
   using \langle \varepsilon > 0 \rangle by (simp add: landau-divide-simps C-def third-mertens-const-def)
  finally have (\lambda x. (1 + \varepsilon) * C / ln (ln (h x)) - (\prod p \mid prime p \land real p \leq x. 1)
-1/p)
                   \sim [at\text{-}top] (\lambda x. \ \varepsilon * C / ln \ x)
    by (rule smallo-imp-asymp-equiv)
  hence eventually (\lambda x. (1 + \varepsilon) * C / ln (ln (h x)) - (\prod p \mid prime p \land real p \le 
x. 1 - 1 / p) > 0
                           \longleftrightarrow \varepsilon * C \ / \ \ln x > 0) \ \textit{at-top}
    by (rule asymp-equiv-eventually-pos-iff)
  moreover have eventually (\lambda x. \ \varepsilon * C / \ln x > 0) at-top
    using \langle \varepsilon > \theta \rangle \langle C > \theta \rangle by real-asymp
  ultimately have eventually (\lambda x. (1 + \varepsilon) * C / ln (ln (h x)) >
                       (\prod p \mid prime \ p \land real \ p \leq x. \ 1 - 1 \ / \ p)) \ at\text{-top}
    by eventually-elim auto
  thus ?thesis
  proof eventually-elim
    case (elim \ x)
  have h x > 0 by (auto simp: h-def primorial-def intro!: prod-pos dest: prime-qt-0-nat)
    have h x * ((1 + \varepsilon) * C / ln (ln (h x))) > totient (h x)
      using elim\ primorial-pos[of\ x] unfolding h-def\ totient-primorial
      by (intro mult-strict-left-mono) auto
    thus ?case by (simp add: mult-ac)
  qed
qed
```

```
chosen larger than C.
corollary (in prime-number-theorem) totient-upper-bound:
 assumes \varepsilon > \theta
 defines C \equiv third\text{-}mertens\text{-}const
 shows frequently (\lambda n. \ totient \ n < (1 + \varepsilon) * C * n / ln \ (ln \ n)) at-top
proof -
 define h where h = primorial
 have eventually (\lambda n. totient \ n < (1 + \varepsilon) * C * n / ln (ln \ n)) (filtermap h at-top)
    using totient-primorial-less[OF assms(1)] by (simp add: eventually-filtermap
  hence frequently (\lambda n. \ totient \ n < (1 + \varepsilon) * C * n / ln \ (ln \ n)) (filtermap h
at-top)
   by (intro eventually-frequently) (auto simp: filtermap-bot-iff)
 moreover from this and primorial-at-top
   have filtermap h at-top \leq at-top by (simp add: filterlim-def h-def)
 ultimately show ?thesis
   by (rule frequently-mono-filter)
qed
Again, the following alternative formulation is somewhat nicer to prove:
lemma (in prime-number-theorem) totient-primorial'-asymp-equiv:
 (\lambda k. \ totient \ (primorial' \ k)) \sim [at-top] \ (\lambda k. \ third-mertens-const * primorial' \ k \ / \ ln
k
proof -
 let ?C = third\text{-}mertens\text{-}const
 have (\lambda k. \ totient \ (primorial' \ k)) \sim [at-top] \ (\lambda k. \ primorial' \ k* (\prod i < k. \ 1-1)
nth-prime i))
   by (subst totient-primorial') auto
 also have ... \sim [at\text{-}top] \ (\lambda k. \ primorial' \ k * (?C \ / \ ln \ k))
   by (intro asymp-equiv-intros mertens-third-theorem-asymp-equiv')
 finally show ?thesis by (simp add: algebra-simps)
qed
lemma (in prime-number-theorem) totient-primorial'-asymp-equiv':
  (\lambda k. \ totient \ (primorial' \ k)) \sim [at-top]
     (\lambda k. third\text{-}mertens\text{-}const * primorial' k / ln (ln (primorial' k)))
proof -
 let ?C = third\text{-}mertens\text{-}const
 have (\lambda k. \ totient \ (primorial' \ k)) \sim [at-top] \ (\lambda k. \ third-mertens-const * primorial'
k / ln k)
   by (rule totient-primorial'-asymp-equiv)
 also have ... \sim [at\text{-}top] (\lambda k. third\text{-}mertens\text{-}const*primorial' k / ln (ln (primorial'))))
  by (intro asymp-equiv-intros asymp-equiv-symI[OF ln-ln-primorial'-asymp-equiv])
 finally show ?thesis.
```

It follows that infinitely many values of n exceed $cn/\ln(\ln n)$ when c is

All in all, $\varphi(n)$ has minimal order $cn/\ln \ln n$:

qed

```
theorem (in prime-number-theorem)
  liminf-totient: liminf (\lambda n. totient n * ln (ln n) / n) = third-mertens-const
   (is - ereal ?c)
proof (intro antisym)
  have (\lambda k. \ totient \ (primorial' \ k) \ / \ (?c * primorial' \ k \ / \ ln \ (ln \ (primorial' \ k))))
   using totient-primorial'-asymp-equiv'
   by (intro asymp-equivD-strong eventually-mono[OF eventually-gt-at-top[of 1]])
auto
 hence (\lambda k. \ totient \ (primorial' \ k) \ / \ (?c * primorial' \ k \ / \ ln \ (ln \ (primorial' \ k))) *
                \longrightarrow 1 * ?c by (intro tendsto-mult) auto
 hence (\lambda k. \ totient \ (primorial' \ k) \ / \ (primorial' \ k \ / \ ln \ (ln \ (primorial' \ k)))) \longrightarrow
c
   using third-mertens-const-pos by simp
 hence liminf ((\lambda n. totient n * ln (ln n) / n) \circ primorial') = ereal ?c
   by (intro lim-imp-Liminf tendsto-ereal) simp-all
 hence ?c = liminf((\lambda n. ereal (totient <math>n * ln (ln n) / n)) \circ primorial')
   by (simp add: o-def)
 also have ... \geq liminf(\lambda n. totient n * ln(ln n) / n)
   using strict-mono-primorial' by (rule liminf-subseq-mono)
  finally show liminf (\lambda n. totient n * ln (ln n) / n) \leq ?c.
  show liminf (\lambda n. totient n * ln (ln n) / n) \geq ?c
   unfolding le-Liminf-iff
  proof safe
   fix C' assume C' < ereal ?c
   from ereal-dense2[OF this] obtain C where C: C < ?c ereal C > C' by auto
   define \varepsilon where \varepsilon = 1 - C / ?c
   from C have \varepsilon > 0 using third-mertens-const-pos by (simp add: \varepsilon-def)
   have eventually (\lambda n::nat. ln (ln n) > 0) at-top by real-asymp
   hence eventually (\lambda n. totient n * ln (ln n) / n > C) at-top
     using totient-lower-bound[OF \langle \varepsilon > 0 \rangle] eventually-gt-at-top[of 1]
   proof eventually-elim
     case (elim \ n)
     hence totient n * ln (ln n) / n > (1 - \varepsilon) * ?c
       by (simp add: field-simps)
     also have (1 - \varepsilon) * ?c = C
       using third-mertens-const-pos by (simp add: field-simps \varepsilon-def)
     finally show ?case.
   thus eventually (\lambda n. ereal (totient n * ln (ln n) / n) > C') at-top
     by eventually-elim (rule less-trans[OF C(2)], auto)
 qed
qed
end
```

References

- [1] T. M. Apostol. *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [2] A. Hildebrand. Introduction to Analytic Number Theory (lecture notes). hhttps://faculty.math.illinois.edu/~hildebr/ant/.