

Purely Functional, Simple, and Efficient Implementation of Prim and Dijkstra

Peter Lammich Tobias Nipkow

March 17, 2025

Abstract

We verify purely functional, simple and efficient implementations of Prim's and Dijkstra's algorithms. This constitutes the first verification of an executable and even efficient version of Prim's algorithm. This entry formalizes the second part of our ITP-2019 proof pearl *Purely Functional, Simple and Efficient Priority Search Trees and Applications to Prim and Dijkstra* [3].

Contents

1 Prim's Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm	4
1.1 Undirected Graphs	4
1.1.1 Nodes and Edges	5
1.1.2 Connectedness Relation	6
1.1.3 Constructing Graphs	6
1.1.4 Paths	8
1.1.5 Cycles	11
1.1.6 Connected Graphs	12
1.1.7 Component Containing Node	12
1.1.8 Trees	13
1.1.9 Spanning Trees	14
1.2 Weighted Undirected Graphs	15
1.2.1 Minimum Spanning Trees	16
1.3 Abstract Graph Datatype	16
1.3.1 Abstract Weighted Graph	16
1.3.2 Generic From-List Algorithm	17
1.4 Abstract Prim Algorithm	19
1.4.1 Generic Algorithm: Light Edges	19
1.4.2 Abstract Prim: Growing a Tree	20
1.4.3 Prim: Using a Priority Queue	22
1.4.4 Refinement of Inner Foreach Loop	26
1.5 Implementation of Weighted Undirected Graph by Map	27
1.5.1 Doubleton Set to Pair	27
1.5.2 Generic Implementation	27
1.6 Implementation of Prim's Algorithm	29
1.6.1 Implementation using ADT Interfaces	30
1.6.2 Refinement of State	32
1.6.3 Refinement of Algorithm	33
1.6.4 Instantiation with Actual Data Structures	34
1.6.5 Main Correctness Theorem	35
1.6.6 Code Generation and Test	36

2 Dijkstra's Shortest Path Algorithm	38
2.1 Weighted Directed Graphs	38
2.1.1 Paths	39
2.1.2 Distance	39
2.2 Abstract Datatype for Weighted Directed Graphs	40
2.2.1 Constructing Weighted Graphs from Lists	41
2.3 Abstract Dijkstra Algorithm	42
2.3.1 Abstract Algorithm	42
2.3.2 Refinement by Priority Map and Map	44
2.4 Weighted Digraph Implementation by Adjacency Map	47
2.5 Implementation of Dijkstra's Algorithm	47
2.5.1 Implementation using ADT Interfaces	48
2.5.2 Instantiation of ADTs and Code Generation	50
2.5.3 Combination with Graph Parser	51

Chapter 1

Prim’s Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm

Prim’s algorithm [4] is a classical algorithm to find a minimum spanning tree of an undirected graph. In this section we describe our formalization of Prim’s algorithm, roughly following the presentation of Cormen et al. [1].

Our approach features stepwise refinement. We start by a generic MST algorithm (Section 1.4.1) that covers both Prim’s and Kruskal’s algorithms. It maintains a subgraph A of an MST. Initially, A contains no edges and only the root node. In each iteration, the algorithm adds a new edge to A , maintaining the property that A is a subgraph of an MST. In a next refinement step, we only add edges that are adjacent to the current A , thus maintaining the invariant that A is always a tree (Section 1.4.2). Next, we show how to use a priority queue to efficiently determine a next edge to be added (Section 1.4.3), and implement the necessary update of the priority queue using a foreach-loop (Section 1.4.4). Finally we parameterize our algorithm over ADTs for graphs, maps, and priority queues (Section 1.6.1), instantiate these with actual data structures (Section 1.6.4), and extract executable ML code (Section 1.6.6).

The advantage of this stepwise refinement approach is that the proof obligations of each step are mostly independent from the other steps. This modularization greatly helps to keep the proof manageable. Moreover, the steps also correspond to a natural split of the ideas behind Prim’s algorithm: The same structuring is also done in the presentation of Cormen et al. [1], though not as detailed as ours.

1.1 Undirected Graphs

```
theory Undirected-Graph
imports
  Common
```

```
begin
```

1.1.1 Nodes and Edges

```
typedef 'v ugraph
= { (V::'v set , E). E ⊆ V×V ∧ finite V ∧ sym E ∧ irrefl E }
  ⟨proof⟩

setup-lifting type-definition-ugraph

lift-definition nodes-internal :: 'v ugraph ⇒ 'v set is fst ⟨proof⟩
lift-definition edges-internal :: 'v ugraph ⇒ ('v×'v) set is snd ⟨proof⟩
lift-definition graph-internal :: 'v set ⇒ ('v×'v) set ⇒ 'v ugraph
  is λV E. if finite V ∧ finite E then (V∪fst'E∪snd'E, (E∪E⁻¹)–Id) else ({}{},{})
  ⟨proof⟩

definition nodes :: 'v ugraph ⇒ 'v set
  where nodes = nodes-internal
definition edges :: 'v ugraph ⇒ ('v×'v) set
  where edges = edges-internal
definition graph :: 'v set ⇒ ('v×'v) set ⇒ 'v ugraph
  where graph = graph-internal

lemma edges-subset: edges g ⊆ nodes g × nodes g
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nodes-finite[simp, intro!]: finite (nodes g)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma edges-sym: sym (edges g)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma edges-irrefl: irrefl (edges g)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nodes-graph: [finite V; finite E] ⇒ nodes (graph V E) = V∪fst'E∪snd'E
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma edges-graph: [finite V; finite E] ⇒ edges (graph V E) = (E∪E⁻¹)–Id
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas graph-accs = nodes-graph edges-graph

lemma nodes-edges-graph-presentation: [finite V; finite E]
  ⇒ nodes (graph V E) = V ∪ fst'E ∪ snd'E ∧ edges (graph V E) = E ∪ E⁻¹
  – Id
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma graph-eq[simp]: graph (nodes g) (edges g) = g
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma edges-finite[simp, intro!]: finite (edges g)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma graph-cases[cases type]: obtains V E
  where g = graph V E finite V finite E E ⊆ V × V sym E irrefl E
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma graph-eq-iff: g=g' ←→ nodes g = nodes g' ∧ edges g = edges g'
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma edges-sym': (u,v) ∈ edges g ⇒ (v,u) ∈ edges g ⟨proof⟩

lemma edges-irrefl'[simp,intro!]: (u,u) ∉ edges g
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma edges-irreflI[simp, intro]: (u,v) ∈ edges g ⇒ u ≠ v ⟨proof⟩

lemma edgesT-diff-sng-inv-eq[simp]:
  (edges T - {(x, y), (y, x)})-1 = edges T - {(x, y), (y, x)}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nodesI[simp,intro]: assumes (u,v) ∈ edges g shows u ∈ nodes g v ∈ nodes g
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma split-edges-sym: ∃ E. E ∩ E-1 = {} ∧ edges g = E ∪ E-1
  ⟨proof⟩

```

1.1.2 Connectedness Relation

```

lemma rtrancl-edges-sym': (u,v) ∈ (edges g)* ⇒ (v,u) ∈ (edges g)*
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma trancl-edges-subset: (edges g)+ ⊆ nodes g × nodes g
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma find-crossing-edge:
  assumes (u,v) ∈ E* u ∈ V v ∉ V
  obtains u' v' where (u',v') ∈ E ∩ V × V
  ⟨proof⟩

```

1.1.3 Constructing Graphs

```

definition graph-empty ≡ graph {} {}
definition ins-node v g ≡ graph (insert v (nodes g)) (edges g)
definition ins-edge e g ≡ graph (nodes g) (insert e (edges g))

```

definition $\text{graph-join } g_1 \ g_2 \equiv \text{graph} (\text{nodes } g_1 \cup \text{nodes } g_2) (\text{edges } g_1 \cup \text{edges } g_2)$
definition $\text{restrict-nodes } g \ V \equiv \text{graph} (\text{nodes } g \cap V) (\text{edges } g \cap V \times V)$
definition $\text{restrict-edges } g \ E \equiv \text{graph} (\text{nodes } g) (\text{edges } g \cap (E \cup E^{-1}))$

definition $\text{nodes-edges-consistent } V \ E \equiv \text{finite } V \wedge \text{irrefl } E \wedge \text{sym } E \wedge E \subseteq V \times V$

lemma [*simp*]:
assumes $\text{nodes-edges-consistent } V \ E$
shows $\text{nodes-graph}' : \text{nodes} (\text{graph } V \ E) = V$ (**is** $?G1$)
and $\text{edges-graph}' : \text{edges} (\text{graph } V \ E) = E$ (**is** $?G2$)
{proof}

lemma $\text{nec-empty}[\text{simp}] : \text{nodes-edges-consistent } \{\} \ \{\}$
{proof}

lemma $\text{graph-empty-accs}[\text{simp}] :$
nodes $\text{graph-empty} = \{\}$
edges $\text{graph-empty} = \{\}$
{proof}

lemma $\text{graph-empty}[\text{simp}] : \text{graph } \{\} \ \{\} = \text{graph-empty}$
{proof}

lemma $\text{nodes-empty-iff-empty}[\text{simp}] :$
nodes $G = \{\} \longleftrightarrow G = \text{graph } \{\} \ \{\}$
 $\{\} = \text{nodes } G \longleftrightarrow G = \text{graph-empty}$
{proof}

lemma $\text{nodes-ins-nodes}[\text{simp}] : \text{nodes} (\text{ins-node } v \ g) = \text{insert } v (\text{nodes } g)$
and $\text{edges-ins-nodes}[\text{simp}] : \text{edges} (\text{ins-node } v \ g) = \text{edges } g$
{proof}

lemma $\text{nodes-ins-edge}[\text{simp}] : \text{nodes} (\text{ins-edge } e \ g) = \{\text{fst } e, \text{snd } e\} \cup \text{nodes } g$
and $\text{edges-ins-edge}:$
edges $(\text{ins-edge } e \ g)$
 $= (\text{if } \text{fst } e = \text{snd } e \text{ then } \text{edges } g \text{ else } \{e, \text{prod.swap } e\} \cup (\text{edges } g))$
{proof}

lemma $\text{edges-ins-edge}'[\text{simp}] :$
 $u \neq v \implies \text{edges} (\text{ins-edge } (u, v) \ g) = \{(u, v), (v, u)\} \cup \text{edges } g$
{proof}

lemma $\text{edges-ins-edge-ss} : \text{edges } g \subseteq \text{edges} (\text{ins-edge } e \ g)$
{proof}

lemma $\text{nodes-join}[\text{simp}] : \text{nodes} (\text{graph-join } g_1 \ g_2) = \text{nodes } g_1 \cup \text{nodes } g_2$

and *edges-join*[simp]: $\text{edges}(\text{graph-join } g_1 \ g_2) = \text{edges } g_1 \cup \text{edges } g_2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nodes-restrict-nodes*[simp]: $\text{nodes}(\text{restrict-nodes } g \ V) = \text{nodes } g \cap V$
and *edges-restrict-nodes*[simp]: $\text{edges}(\text{restrict-nodes } g \ V) = \text{edges } g \cap V \times V$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nodes-restrict-edges*[simp]: $\text{nodes}(\text{restrict-edges } g \ E) = \text{nodes } g$
and *edges-restrict-edges*[simp]: $\text{edges}(\text{restrict-edges } g \ E) = \text{edges } g \cap (E \cup E^{-1})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unrestrict-edges*: $\text{edges}(\text{restrict-edges } g \ E) \subseteq \text{edges } g \langle \text{proof} \rangle$
lemma *unrestrictn-edges*: $\text{edges}(\text{restrict-nodes } g \ V) \subseteq \text{edges } g \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unrestrict-nodes*: $\text{nodes}(\text{restrict-edges } g \ E) \subseteq \text{nodes } g \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.1.4 Paths

fun *path* **where**
 $\text{path } g \ u \ [] \ v \longleftrightarrow u=v$
 $| \ \text{path } g \ u \ (e \# ps) \ w \longleftrightarrow (\exists v. \ e=(u,v) \wedge e \in \text{edges } g \wedge \text{path } g \ v \ ps \ w)$

lemma *path-emptyI*[intro!]: $\text{path } g \ u \ [] \ u \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *path-append*[simp]:
 $\text{path } g \ u \ (p1 @ p2) \ w \longleftrightarrow (\exists v. \ \text{path } g \ u \ p1 \ v \wedge \text{path } g \ v \ p2 \ w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *path-transs1*[trans]:
 $\text{path } g \ u \ p \ v \implies (v,w) \in \text{edges } g \implies \text{path } g \ u \ (p @ [(v,w)]) \ w$
 $(u,v) \in \text{edges } g \implies \text{path } g \ v \ p \ w \implies \text{path } g \ u \ ((u,v) \# p) \ w$
 $\text{path } g \ u \ p1 \ v \implies \text{path } g \ v \ p2 \ w \implies \text{path } g \ u \ (p1 @ p2) \ w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *path-graph-empty*[simp]: $\text{path graph-empty } u \ p \ v \longleftrightarrow v=u \wedge p=[]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation *revp* $p \equiv \text{rev}(\text{map prod.swap } p)$
lemma *revp-alt*: $\text{revp } p = \text{rev}(\text{map } (\lambda(u,v). \ (v,u)) \ p) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *path-rev*[simp]: $\text{path } g \ u \ (\text{revp } p) \ v \longleftrightarrow \text{path } g \ v \ p \ u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *path-rev-sym*[sym]: $\text{path } g \ v \ p \ u \implies \text{path } g \ u \ (\text{revp } p) \ v \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *path-transs2*[trans]:
 $\text{path } g \ u \ p \ v \implies (w,v) \in \text{edges } g \implies \text{path } g \ u \ (p @ [(w,v)]) \ w$
 $(v,u) \in \text{edges } g \implies \text{path } g \ v \ p \ w \implies \text{path } g \ u \ ((v,u) \# p) \ w$
 $\text{path } g \ u \ p1 \ v \implies \text{path } g \ w \ p2 \ v \implies \text{path } g \ u \ (p1 @ \text{revp } p2) \ w$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *path-edges*: $path\ g\ u\ p\ v \implies set\ p \subseteq edges\ g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *path-graph-cong*:
 $\llbracket path\ g_1\ u\ p\ v; set\ p \subseteq edges\ g_1 \implies set\ p \subseteq edges\ g_2 \rrbracket \implies path\ g_2\ u\ p\ v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *path-endpoints*:
assumes $path\ g\ u\ p\ v \neq []$ **shows** $u \in nodes\ g\ v \in nodes\ g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *path-mono*: $edges\ g \subseteq edges\ g' \implies path\ g\ u\ p\ v \implies path\ g'\ u\ p\ v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *unrestrict-path* = *path-mono*[OF *unrestrict-edges*]
lemmas *unrestrictn-path* = *path-mono*[OF *unrestrictn-edges*]

lemma *unrestrict-path-edges*: $path\ (restrict\ edges\ g\ E)\ u\ p\ v \implies path\ g\ u\ p\ v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unrestrict-path-nodes*: $path\ (restrict\ nodes\ g\ E)\ u\ p\ v \implies path\ g\ u\ p\ v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Paths and Connectedness

lemma *rtrancl-edges-iff-path*: $(u,v) \in (edges\ g)^* \longleftrightarrow (\exists p. path\ g\ u\ p\ v)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-edges-pathE*:
assumes $(u,v) \in (edges\ g)^*$ **obtains** p **where** $path\ g\ u\ p\ v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *path-rtrancl-edgesD*: $path\ g\ u\ p\ v \implies (u,v) \in (edges\ g)^*$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Simple Paths

definition *uedge* $\equiv \lambda(a,b). \{a,b\}$

definition *simple p* $\equiv distinct\ (map\ uedge\ p)$

lemma *in-uedge-conv[simp]*: $x \in uedge\ (u,v) \longleftrightarrow x = u \vee x = v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *uedge-eq-iff*: *uedge* (*a,b*) = *uedge* (*c,d*) $\longleftrightarrow a=c \wedge b=d \vee a=d \wedge b=c$
{proof}

lemma *uedge-degen[simp]*: *uedge* (*a,a*) = {*a*}
{proof}

lemma *uedge-in-set-eq*: *uedge* (*u,v*) \in *uedge* ‘ *S* \longleftrightarrow (*u,v*) \in *S* \vee (*v,u*) \in *S*
{proof}

lemma *uedge-commute*: *uedge* (*a,b*) = *uedge* (*b,a*) *{proof}*

lemma *simple-empty[simp]*: *simple* []
{proof}

lemma *simple-cons[simp]*: *simple* (*e#p*) \longleftrightarrow *uedge e* \notin *uedge* ‘ *set p* \wedge *simple p*
{proof}

lemma *simple-append[simp]*: *simple* (*p1@p2*)
 \longleftrightarrow *simple p1* \wedge *simple p2* \wedge *uedge* ‘ *set p1* \cap *uedge* ‘ *set p2* = {}
{proof}

lemma *simplify-pathD*:
 $\text{path } g \ u \ p \ v \implies \exists p'. \text{path } g \ u \ p' \ v \wedge \text{simple } p' \wedge \text{set } p' \subseteq \text{set } p$
{proof}

lemma *simplify-pathE*:
assumes *path g u p v*
obtains *p'* **where** *path g u p' v simple p' set p' ⊆ set p*
{proof}

Splitting Paths

lemma *find-crossing-edge-on-path*:
assumes *path g u p v* $\neg P u P v$
obtains *u' v'* **where** $(u',v') \in \text{set } p \neg P u' P v'$
{proof}

lemma *find-crossing-edges-on-path*:
assumes *P: path g u p v and P u P v*
obtains $\forall (u,v) \in \text{set } p. P u \wedge P v$
 $| u_1 \ v_1 \ v_2 \ u_2 \ p_1 \ p_2 \ p_3$
where $p = p_1 @ [(u_1, v_1)] @ p_2 @ [(u_2, v_2)] @ p_3 \ P u_1 \ \neg P v_1 \ \neg P u_2 \ P v_2$
{proof}

lemma *find-crossing-edge-rtrancL*:
assumes $(u,v) \in (\text{edges } g)^*$ $\neg P u P v$
obtains *u' v'* **where** $(u',v') \in \text{edges } g \ \neg P u' P v'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *path-change*:

assumes $u \in S \ v \notin S \ path \ g \ u \ p \ v \ simple \ p$
obtains $x \ y \ p1 \ p2$ **where**
 $(x,y) \in set \ p \ x \in S \ y \notin S$
 $path \ (restrict_edges \ g \ (-\{(x,y),(y,x)\})) \ u \ p1 \ x$
 $path \ (restrict_edges \ g \ (-\{(x,y),(y,x)\})) \ y \ p2 \ v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.1.5 Cycles

definition *cycle-free* $g \equiv \nexists p \ u. \ p \neq [] \wedge simple \ p \wedge path \ g \ u \ p \ u$

lemma *cycle-free-alt-in-nodes*:

cycle-free $g \equiv \nexists p \ u. \ p \neq [] \wedge u \in nodes \ g \wedge simple \ p \wedge path \ g \ u \ p \ u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cycle-freeI*:

assumes $\bigwedge p \ u. \ [\ path \ g \ u \ p \ u; \ p \neq []; \ simple \ p] \implies False$
shows *cycle-free* g
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cycle-freeD*:

assumes *cycle-free* g $path \ g \ u \ p \ u \ p \neq [] \ simple \ p$
shows *False*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cycle-free-antimono*: $edges \ g \subseteq edges \ g' \implies cycle-free \ g' \implies cycle-free \ g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cycle-free-empty*[simp]: *cycle-free graph-empty*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cycle-free-no-edges*: $edges \ g = \{ \} \implies cycle-free \ g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *simple-path-cycle-free-unique*:

assumes *CF*: *cycle-free* g
assumes P : $path \ g \ u \ p \ v \ path \ g \ u \ p' \ v \ simple \ p \ simple \ p'$
shows $p=p'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Characterization by Removing Edge

lemma *cycle-free-alt*: *cycle-free* g

$\longleftrightarrow (\forall e \in edges \ g. \ e \notin (edges \ (restrict_edges \ g \ (-\{e, prod.swap \ e\}))))^*$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma cycle-free-altI:
  assumes  $\bigwedge u v. \llbracket (u,v) \in \text{edges } g; (u,v) \in (\text{edges } g - \{(u,v), (v,u)\})^* \rrbracket \implies \text{False}$ 
  shows cycle-free g
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma cycle-free-altD:
  assumes cycle-free g
  assumes  $(u,v) \in \text{edges } g$ 
  shows  $(u,v) \notin (\text{edges } g - \{(u,v), (v,u)\})^*$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma remove-redundant-edge:
  assumes  $(u, v) \in (\text{edges } g - \{(u, v), (v, u)\})^*$ 
  shows  $(\text{edges } g - \{(u, v), (v, u)\})^* = (\text{edges } g)^*$  (is  $?E'^* = -$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

1.1.6 Connected Graphs

definition connected
where $\text{connected } g \equiv \text{nodes } g \times \text{nodes } g \subseteq (\text{edges } g)^*$

```

lemma connectedI[intro?]:
  assumes  $\bigwedge u v. \llbracket u \in \text{nodes } g; v \in \text{nodes } g \rrbracket \implies (u,v) \in (\text{edges } g)^*$ 
  shows connected g
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma connectedD[intro?]:
  assumes connected g  $u \in \text{nodes } g$   $v \in \text{nodes } g$ 
  shows  $(u,v) \in (\text{edges } g)^*$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma connected-empty[simp]: connected graph-empty
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

1.1.7 Component Containing Node

definition reachable-nodes g r $\equiv (\text{edges } g)^* `` \{r\}$
definition component-of g r
 $\equiv \text{ins-node } r (\text{restrict-nodes } g (\text{reachable-nodes } g r))$

```

lemma reachable-nodes-refl[simp, intro!]:  $r \in \text{reachable-nodes } g r$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma reachable-nodes-step:
   $\text{edges } g `` \text{reachable-nodes } g r \subseteq \text{reachable-nodes } g r$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma reachable-nodes-steps:
  (edges g)* `` reachable-nodes g r ⊆ reachable-nodes g r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reachable-nodes-step':
  assumes u ∈ reachable-nodes g r (u, v) ∈ edges g
  shows v ∈ reachable-nodes g r (u, v) ∈ edges (component-of g r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reachable-nodes-steps'':
  assumes u ∈ reachable-nodes g r (u, v) ∈ (edges g)*
  shows v ∈ reachable-nodes g r (u, v) ∈ (edges (component-of g r))*
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reachable-not-node: r ∉ nodes g ==> reachable-nodes g r = {r}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nodes-of-component[simp]: nodes (component-of g r) = reachable-nodes g
  r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma component-connected[simp, intro!]: connected (component-of g r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma component-edges-subset: edges (component-of g r) ⊆ edges g
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma component-path: u ∈ nodes (component-of g r) ==>
  path (component-of g r) u p v <→ path g u p v
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma component-cycle-free: cycle-free g ==> cycle-free (component-of g r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma component-of-connected-graph:
  [connected g; r ∈ nodes g] ==> component-of g r = g
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma component-of-not-node: r ∉ nodes g ==> component-of g r = graph {r} {}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

1.1.8 Trees

definition tree g ≡ connected g ∧ cycle-free g

lemma tree-empty[simp]: tree graph-empty ⟨proof⟩

lemma component-of-tree: tree T ==> tree (component-of T r)

$\langle proof \rangle$

Joining and Splitting Trees on Single Edge

lemma *join-connected*:

assumes *CONN*: connected g_1 connected g_2
assumes *IN-NODES*: $u \in \text{nodes } g_1$ $v \in \text{nodes } g_2$
shows connected (ins-edge (u,v) (graph-join $g_1 g_2$)) (**is** connected $?g'$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *join-cycle-free*:

assumes *CYCF*: cycle-free g_1 cycle-free g_2
assumes *DJ*: nodes $g_1 \cap \text{nodes } g_2 = \{\}$
assumes *IN-NODES*: $u \in \text{nodes } g_1$ $v \in \text{nodes } g_2$
shows cycle-free (ins-edge (u,v) (graph-join $g_1 g_2$)) (**is** cycle-free $?g'$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *join-trees*:

assumes *TREE*: tree g_1 tree g_2
assumes *DJ*: nodes $g_1 \cap \text{nodes } g_2 = \{\}$
assumes *IN-NODES*: $u \in \text{nodes } g_1$ $v \in \text{nodes } g_2$
shows tree (ins-edge (u,v) (graph-join $g_1 g_2$))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *split-tree*:

assumes tree T $(x,y) \in \text{edges } T$
defines $E' \equiv (\text{edges } T - \{(x,y), (y,x)\})$
obtains $T_1 T_2$ where
tree T_1 tree T_2
 $\text{nodes } T_1 \cap \text{nodes } T_2 = \{\}$ $\text{nodes } T = \text{nodes } T_1 \cup \text{nodes } T_2$
 $\text{edges } T_1 \cup \text{edges } T_2 = E'$
 $\text{nodes } T_1 = \{ u. (x,u) \in E'^*\}$ $\text{nodes } T_2 = \{ u. (y,u) \in E'^*\}$
 $x \in \text{nodes } T_1$ $y \in \text{nodes } T_2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.1.9 Spanning Trees

definition *is-spanning-tree* $G T$

\equiv tree $T \wedge \text{nodes } T = \text{nodes } G \wedge \text{edges } T \subseteq \text{edges } G$

lemma *connected-singleton[simp]*: connected (ins-node u graph-empty)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *path-singleton[simp]*: path (ins-node u graph-empty) $v p w \longleftrightarrow v=w \wedge p=[]$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tree-singleton*[simp]: *tree (ins-node u graph-empty)*
(proof)

lemma *tree-add-edge-in-out*:
assumes *tree T*
assumes *u ∈ nodes T v ∉ nodes T*
shows *tree (ins-edge (u,v) T)*
(proof)

Remove edges on cycles until the graph is cycle free

lemma *ex-spanning-tree*:
connected g $\implies \exists t. \text{is-spanning-tree } g t
(proof)$

1.2 Weighted Undirected Graphs

definition *weight* :: $('v \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{nat}) \Rightarrow 'v \text{ ugraph} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$
where *weight w g* $\equiv (\sum e \in \text{edges } g. w(\text{uedge } e)) \text{ div } 2$

lemma *weight-alt*: *weight w g* $= (\sum e \in \text{uedge edges } g. w e)
(proof)$

lemma *weight-empty*[simp]: *weight w graph-empty* = 0 *(proof)*

lemma *weight-ins-edge*[simp]: $\llbracket u \neq v; (u,v) \notin \text{edges } g \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{weight } w(\text{ins-edge } (u,v) g) = w\{u,v\} + \text{weight } w g$
(proof)

lemma *uedge-img-disj-iff*[simp]:
 $\text{uedge edges } g_1 \cap \text{uedge edges } g_2 = \{\} \longleftrightarrow \text{edges } g_1 \cap \text{edges } g_2 = \{\}$
(proof)

lemma *weight-join*[simp]: $\text{edges } g_1 \cap \text{edges } g_2 = \{\}$
 $\implies \text{weight } w(\text{graph-join } g_1 g_2) = \text{weight } w g_1 + \text{weight } w g_2$
(proof)

lemma *weight-cong*: $\text{edges } g_1 = \text{edges } g_2 \implies \text{weight } w g_1 = \text{weight } w g_2$
(proof)

lemma *weight-mono*: $\text{edges } g \subseteq \text{edges } g' \implies \text{weight } w g \leq \text{weight } w g'$
(proof)

lemma *weight-ge-edge*:
assumes $(x,y) \in \text{edges } T$
shows $\text{weight } w T \geq w\{x,y\}$
(proof)

```

lemma weight-del-edge[simp]:
  assumes  $(x,y) \in edges T$ 
  shows weight w (restrict-edges T ( $\{-\{(x, y), (y, x)\}\}$ )) = weight w T - w  $\{x,y\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

1.2.1 Minimum Spanning Trees

```

definition is-MST w g t  $\equiv$  is-spanning-tree g t
   $\wedge (\forall t'. is\text{-spanning-tree } g t' \longrightarrow weight w t \leq weight w t')$ 

```

```

lemma exists-MST: connected g  $\Longrightarrow \exists t. is\text{-MST } w g t$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

end

1.3 Abstract Graph Datatype

```

theory Undirected-Graph-Specs
imports Undirected-Graph
begin

```

1.3.1 Abstract Weighted Graph

```

locale adt-wgraph =
  fixes  $\alpha w :: 'g \Rightarrow 'v set \Rightarrow nat$  and  $\alpha g :: 'g \Rightarrow 'v ugraph$ 
  and  $invar :: 'g \Rightarrow bool$ 
  and  $adj :: 'g \Rightarrow ('v \times nat) list$ 
  and  $empty :: 'g$ 
  and  $add\text{-edge} :: 'v \times 'v \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'g \Rightarrow 'g$ 
  assumes adj-correct:  $invar g \implies set (adj g u) = \{(v,d). (u,v) \in edges (\alpha g g) \wedge \alpha w g \{u,v\} = d\}$ 
  assumes empty-correct:
     $invar empty$ 
     $\alpha g empty = graph\text{-empty}$ 
     $\alpha w empty = (\lambda-. 0)$ 
  assumes add-edge-correct:
     $\llbracket invar g; (u,v) \notin edges (\alpha g g); u \neq v \rrbracket \implies invar (add\text{-edge} (u,v) d g)$ 
     $\llbracket invar g; (u,v) \notin edges (\alpha g g); u \neq v \rrbracket \implies \alpha g (add\text{-edge} (u,v) d g) = ins\text{-edge} (u,v) (\alpha g g)$ 
     $\llbracket invar g; (u,v) \notin edges (\alpha g g); u \neq v \rrbracket \implies \alpha w (add\text{-edge} (u,v) d g) = (\alpha w g)(\{u,v\} := d)$ 

```

begin

lemmas wgraph-specs = adj-correct empty-correct add-edge-correct

lemma empty-spec-presentation:

```

invar empty ∧ αg empty = graph {} {} ∧ αw empty = (λ-. 0)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma add-edge-spec-presentation:
  [invar g; (u,v)notin edges (αg g); u≠v] ==>
    invar (add-edge (u,v) d g)
  ∧ αg (add-edge (u,v) d g) = ins-edge (u,v) (αg g)
  ∧ αw (add-edge (u,v) d g) = (αw g)({u,v}:=d)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

1.3.2 Generic From-List Algorithm

```

definition valid-graph-repr :: ('v×'v) list ⇒ bool
  where valid-graph-repr l ↔ (forall (u,v)∈set l. u≠v)

```

```

definition graph-from-list :: ('v×'v) list ⇒ 'v ugraph
  where graph-from-list l = foldr ins-edge l graph-empty

```

```

lemma graph-from-list-foldl: graph-from-list l = fold ins-edge l graph-empty
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma nodes-of-graph-from-list: nodes (graph-from-list l) = fst'set l ∪ snd'set l
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma edges-of-graph-from-list:
  assumes valid: valid-graph-repr l
  shows edges (graph-from-list l) = set l ∪ (set l)-1
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
definition valid-weight-repr l ≡ distinct (map (uedge o fst) l)
```

```

definition weight-from-list :: (('v×'v)×nat) list ⇒ 'v set ⇒ nat where
  weight-from-list l ≡ foldr (λ((u,v),d) w. w({u,v}:=d)) l (λ-. 0)

```

```

lemma graph-from-list-simps:
  graph-from-list [] = graph-empty
  graph-from-list ((u,v)#l) = ins-edge (u,v) (graph-from-list l)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma weight-from-list-simps:
  weight-from-list [] = (λ-. 0)
  weight-from-list (((u,v),d)#xs) = (weight-from-list xs)({u,v}:=d)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma valid-graph-repr-simps:
  valid-graph-repr []
  valid-graph-repr ((u,v)#xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  u $\neq$ v  $\wedge$  valid-graph-repr xs
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma valid-weight-repr-simps:
  valid-weight-repr []
  valid-weight-repr (((u,v),w)#xs)
   $\longleftrightarrow$  uedge (u,v)  $\notin$  uedge‘fst‘set xs  $\wedge$  valid-weight-repr xs
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma weight-from-list-correct:
  assumes valid-weight-repr l
  assumes ((u,v),d) $\in$ set l
  shows weight-from-list l {u,v} = d
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

context adt-wgraph
begin

definition valid-wgraph-repr l
   $\longleftrightarrow$  valid-graph-repr (map fst l)  $\wedge$  valid-weight-repr l

definition from-list l = foldr ( $\lambda(e,d).$  add-edge e d) l empty

lemma from-list-refine: valid-wgraph-repr l  $\implies$ 
  invar (from-list l)
   $\wedge$   $\alpha g$  (from-list l) = graph-from-list (map fst l)
   $\wedge$   $\alpha w$  (from-list l) = weight-from-list l
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma from-list-correct:
  assumes valid-wgraph-repr l
  shows
    invar (from-list l)
    nodes ( $\alpha g$  (from-list l)) = fst‘fst‘set l  $\cup$  snd‘fst‘set l
    edges ( $\alpha g$  (from-list l)) = (fst‘set l)  $\cup$  (fst‘set l) $^{-1}$ 
    ((u,v),d) $\in$ set l  $\implies$   $\alpha w$  (from-list l) {u,v} = d
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma valid-wgraph-repr-presentation: valid-wgraph-repr l  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  ( $\forall ((u,v),d)\in$ set l. u $\neq$ v)  $\wedge$  distinct [ {u,v}. ((u,v),d) $\in$ l ]
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma from-list-correct-presentation:

```

```

assumes valid-wgraph-repr l
shows let gi=from-list l; g=αg gi; w=αw gi in
  invar gi
  ∧ nodes g = ∪ { {u,v} | u v. ∃ d. ((u,v),d) ∈ set l }
  ∧ edges g = ∪ { { (u,v),(v,u) } | u v. ∃ d. ((u,v),d) ∈ set l }
  ∧ (∀ ((u,v),d) ∈ set l. w {u,v} = d)

  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

1.4 Abstract Prim Algorithm

```

theory Prim-Abstract
imports
  Main
  Common
  Undirected-Graph
  HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach
begin

```

1.4.1 Generic Algorithm: Light Edges

```
definition is-subset-MST w g A ≡ ∃ t. is-MST w g t ∧ A ⊆ edges t
```

```
lemma is-subset-MST-empty[simp]: connected g ⇒ is-subset-MST w g {}  

  ⟨proof⟩
```

We fix a start node and a weighted graph

```

locale Prim =
  fixes w :: 'v set ⇒ nat and g :: 'v ugraph and r :: 'v
begin

```

Reachable part of the graph

```
definition rg ≡ component-of g r
```

```
lemma reachable-connected[simp, intro!]: connected rg  

  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma reachable-edges-subset: edges rg ⊆ edges g  

  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition light-edge C u v
  ≡ u ∈ C ∧ v ∉ C ∧ (u,v) ∈ edges rg
    ∧ (∀ (u',v') ∈ edges rg ∩ C × -C. w {u,v} ≤ w {u',v'} )
```

definition respects-cut A $C \equiv A \subseteq C \times C \cup (-C) \times (-C)$

```

lemma light-edge-is-safe:
  fixes  $A :: ('v \times 'v) \text{ set}$  and  $C :: 'v \text{ set}$ 
  assumes subset-MST: is-subset-MST w rg A
  assumes respects-cut: respects-cut A C
  assumes light-edge: light-edge C u v
  shows is-subset-MST w rg ( $\{(v,u)\} \cup A$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end

1.4.2 Abstract Prim: Growing a Tree

context Prim **begin**

The current nodes

definition $S A \equiv \{r\} \cup fst'A \cup snd'A$

lemma respects-cut': $A \subseteq S A \times S A$
 ⟨proof⟩

corollary respects-cut: respects-cut A ($S A$)
 ⟨proof⟩

Refined invariant: Adds connectedness of A

definition prim-invar1 $A \equiv$ is-subset-MST w rg A \wedge $(\forall (u,v) \in A. (v,r) \in A^*)$

Measure: Number of nodes not in tree

definition T-measure1 $A = card(nodes rg - S A)$

end

We use a locale that fixes a state and assumes the invariant

```

locale Prim-Invar1-loc =
  Prim w g r for w g and r :: 'v +
  fixes A :: ('v × 'v) set
  assumes invar1: prim-invar1 A
begin
lemma subset-MST: is-subset-MST w rg A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma A-connected:  $(u,v) \in A \implies (v,r) \in A^*$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma S-alt-def:  $S A = \{r\} \cup fst'A$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-rem-nodes[simp,intro!]: finite (nodes rg - S A) ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma A-edges:  $A \subseteq \text{edges } g$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma S-reachable:  $S A \subseteq \text{nodes } rg$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma S-edge-reachable:  $\llbracket u \in S A; (u,v) \in \text{edges } g \rrbracket \implies (u,v) \in \text{edges } rg$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma edges-S-rg-edges:  $\text{edges } g \cap S A \times -S A = \text{edges } rg \cap S A \times -S A$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma T-measure1-less:  $T\text{-measure1 } A < \text{card } (\text{nodes } rg)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-A[simp, intro!]:  $\text{finite } A$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-S[simp, intro!]:  $\text{finite } (S A)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma S-A-consistent[simp, intro!]:  $\text{nodes-edges-consistent } (S A) (A \cup A^{-1})$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context Prim begin

lemma invar1-initial: prim-invar1 {}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma maintain-invar1:
  assumes invar: prim-invar1 A
  assumes light-edge: light-edge (S A) u v
  shows prim-invar1 ( $\{(v,u)\} \cup A$ )
     $\wedge T\text{-measure1 } (\{(v,u)\} \cup A) < T\text{-measure1 } A$  (is ?G1  $\wedge$  ?G2)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma invar1-finish:
  assumes INV: prim-invar1 A
  assumes FIN:  $\text{edges } g \cap S A \times -S A = \{\}$ 
  shows is-MST w rg (graph {r} A)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

1.4.3 Prim: Using a Priority Queue

We define a new locale. Note that we could also reuse *Prim*, however, this would complicate referencing the constants later in the theories from which we generate the paper.

```
locale Prim2 = Prim w g r for w :: 'v set ⇒ nat and g :: 'v ugraph and r :: 'v begin
```

Abstraction to edge set

```
definition A Q π ≡ {(u,v). π u = Some v ∧ Q u = ∞}
```

Initialization

```
definition initQ :: 'v ⇒ enat where initQ ≡ (λ_. ∞)(r := 0)
definition initπ :: 'v ⇒ 'v option where initπ ≡ Map.empty
```

Step

```
definition upd-cond Q π u v' ≡
  (v',u) ∈ edges g
  ∧ v' ≠ r ∧ (Q v' = ∞ → π v' = None)
  ∧ enat (w {v',u}) < Q v'
```

State after inner loop

```
definition Qinter Q π u v'
  = (if upd-cond Q π u v' then enat (w {v',u}) else Q v')
```

State after one step

```
definition Q' Q π u ≡ (Qinter Q π u)(u:=∞)
definition π' Q π u v' = (if upd-cond Q π u v' then Some u else π v')
```

```
definition prim-invar2-init Q π ≡ Q=initQ ∧ π=initπ
```

```
definition prim-invar2-ctd Q π ≡ let A = A Q π; S = S A in
  prim-invar1 A
  ∧ π r = None ∧ Q r = ∞
  ∧ (∀(u,v)∈edges rg ∩ (-S)×S. Q u ≠ ∞)
  ∧ (∀u. Q u ≠ ∞ → π u ≠ None)
  ∧ (∀u v. π u = Some v → v∈S ∧ (u,v)∈edges rg)
  ∧ (∀u v d. Q u = enat d ∧ π u = Some v
    → d=w {u,v} ∧ (∀v'∈S. (u,v')∈edges rg → d ≤ w {u,v'}))
```

lemma prim-invar2-ctd-alt-aux1:

assumes prim-invar1 (A Q π)

assumes Q u ≠ ∞ u≠r

shows u∉S (A Q π)

{proof}

```

lemma prim-invar2-ctd-alt: prim-invar2-ctd Q π  $\longleftrightarrow$  (
  let A = A Q π; S = S A; cE=edges rg  $\cap$  (-S)×S in
    prim-invar1 A
   $\wedge$  π r = None  $\wedge$  Q r =  $\infty$ 
   $\wedge$  ( $\forall$  (u,v) $\in$ cE. Q u  $\neq$   $\infty$ )
   $\wedge$  ( $\forall$  u v. π u = Some v  $\longrightarrow$  v $\in$ S  $\wedge$  (u,v) $\in$ edges rg)
   $\wedge$  ( $\forall$  u d. Q u = enat d
     $\longrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  v. π u = Some v  $\wedge$  d=w {u,v}  $\wedge$  ( $\forall$  v'. (u,v') $\in$ cE  $\longrightarrow$  d  $\leq$  w {u,v'})))
)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

definition prim-invar2 Q π \equiv prim-invar2-init Q π \vee prim-invar2-ctd Q π

definition T-measure2 Q π
 \equiv if Q r = ∞ then T-measure1 (A Q π) else card (nodes rg)

lemma Q'-init-eq:
 $Q' \text{ init}_Q \text{ init}_\pi r = (\lambda u. \text{if } (u,r) \in \text{edges rg} \text{ then enat } (w \{u,r\}) \text{ else } \infty)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma π'-init-eq:
 $\pi' \text{ init}_Q \text{ init}_\pi r = (\lambda u. \text{if } (u,r) \in \text{edges rg} \text{ then Some } r \text{ else None})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma A-init-eq: A init_Q init_π = {}
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma S-empty: S {} = {r} ⟨proof⟩

lemma maintain-invar2-first-step:
assumes INV: prim-invar2-init Q π
assumes UNS: Q u = enat d
shows prim-invar2-ctd (Q' Q π u) (π' Q π u) (**is** ?G1)
and T-measure2 (Q' Q π u) (π' Q π u) < T-measure2 Q π (**is** ?G2)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma maintain-invar2-first-step-presentation:
assumes INV: prim-invar2-init Q π
assumes UNS: Q u = enat d
shows prim-invar2-ctd (Q' Q π u) (π' Q π u)
 \wedge T-measure2 (Q' Q π u) (π' Q π u) < T-measure2 Q π
 ⟨proof⟩

end
 ⟨proof⟩⟨proof⟩

Again, we define a locale to fix a state and assume the invariant

locale Prim-Invar2-ctd-loc =

```

Prim2 w g r for w g and r :: 'v +
fixes Q π
assumes invar2: prim-invar2-ctd Q π
begin

sublocale Prim-Invar1-loc w g r A Q π
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma upd-cond-alt: upd-cond Q π u v'  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
   $(v',u) \in \text{edges } g \wedge v' \notin S (A Q \pi) \wedge \text{enat}(w \{v',u\}) < Q v'$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma π-root: π r = None
  and Q-root: Q r = ∞
  and Q-defined:  $\llbracket (u,v) \in \text{edges } rg; u \notin S (A Q \pi); v \in S (A Q \pi) \rrbracket \implies Q u \neq \infty$ 
  and π-defined:  $\llbracket Q u \neq \infty \rrbracket \implies \pi u \neq \text{None}$ 
  and frontier: π u = Some v  $\implies v \in S (A Q \pi)$ 
  and edges: π u = Some v  $\implies (u,v) \in \text{edges } rg$ 
  and Q-π-consistent:  $\llbracket Q u = \text{enat } d; \pi u = \text{Some } v \rrbracket \implies d = w \{u,v\}$ 
  and Q-min: Q u = enat d
     $\implies (\forall v' \in S (A Q \pi). (u,v') \in \text{edges } rg \longrightarrow d \leq w \{u,v'\})$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma π-def-on-S:  $\llbracket u \in S (A Q \pi); u \neq r \rrbracket \implies \pi u \neq \text{None}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma π-def-on-edges-to-S:  $\llbracket v \in S (A Q \pi); u \neq r; (u,v) \in \text{edges } rg \rrbracket \implies \pi u \neq \text{None}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Q-min-is-light:
  assumes UNS: Q u = enat d
  assumes MIN:  $\forall v. \text{enat } d \leq Q v$ 
  obtains v where π u = Some v light-edge (S (A Q π)) v u
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma maintain-invar-ctd:
  assumes UNS: Q u = enat d
  assumes MIN:  $\forall v. \text{enat } d \leq Q v$ 
  shows prim-invar2-ctd (Q' Q π u) (π' Q π u) (is ?G1)
    and T-measure2 (Q' Q π u) (π' Q π u) < T-measure2 Q π (is ?G2)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context Prim2 begin

lemma maintain-invar2-ctd:
  assumes INV: prim-invar2-ctd Q π

```

```

assumes UNS:  $Q u = \text{enat } d$ 
assumes MIN:  $\forall v. \text{enat } d \leq Q v$ 
shows prim-invar2-ctd ( $Q' Q \pi u$ ) ( $\pi' Q \pi u$ ) (is ?G1)
    and T-measure2 ( $Q' Q \pi u$ ) ( $\pi' Q \pi u$ ) < T-measure2  $Q \pi$  (is ?G2)
{proof}

lemma Q-min-is-light-presentation:
assumes INV: prim-invar2-ctd  $Q \pi$ 
assumes UNS:  $Q u = \text{enat } d$ 
assumes MIN:  $\forall v. \text{enat } d \leq Q v$ 
obtains v where  $\pi u = \text{Some } v$  light-edge ( $S (A Q \pi)$ )  $v u$ 
{proof}

lemma maintain-invar2-ctd-presentation:
assumes INV: prim-invar2-ctd  $Q \pi$ 
assumes UNS:  $Q u = \text{enat } d$ 
assumes MIN:  $\forall v. \text{enat } d \leq Q v$ 
shows prim-invar2-ctd ( $Q' Q \pi u$ ) ( $\pi' Q \pi u$ )
     $\wedge$  T-measure2 ( $Q' Q \pi u$ ) ( $\pi' Q \pi u$ ) < T-measure2  $Q \pi$ 
{proof}

lemma not-invar2-ctd-init:
prim-invar2-init  $Q \pi \implies \neg \text{prim-invar2-ctd } Q \pi$ 
{proof}

lemma invar2-init-init: prim-invar2-init initQ init $\pi$ 
{proof}

lemma invar2-init: prim-invar2 initQ init $\pi$ 
{proof}

lemma maintain-invar2:
assumes A: prim-invar2  $Q \pi$ 
assumes UNS:  $Q u = \text{enat } d$ 
assumes MIN:  $\forall v. \text{enat } d \leq Q v$ 
shows prim-invar2 ( $Q' Q \pi u$ ) ( $\pi' Q \pi u$ ) (is ?G1)
    and T-measure2 ( $Q' Q \pi u$ ) ( $\pi' Q \pi u$ ) < T-measure2  $Q \pi$  (is ?G2)
{proof}

lemma invar2-ctd-finish:
assumes INV: prim-invar2-ctd  $Q \pi$ 
assumes FIN:  $Q = (\lambda \_. \infty)$ 
shows is-MST w rg (graph {r} {(u, v).  $\pi u = \text{Some } v$ })
{proof}

lemma invar2-finish:
assumes INV: prim-invar2  $Q \pi$ 
assumes FIN:  $Q = (\lambda \_. \infty)$ 

```

shows *is-MST w rg (graph {r} {(u, v). π u = Some v})*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

1.4.4 Refinement of Inner Foreach Loop

context *Prim2* **begin**

definition *foreach-body u* $\equiv \lambda(v,d) (Q,\pi)$.
 $\quad if\ v=r\ then\ (Q,\pi)$
 $\quad else$
 $\quad \quad case\ (Q\ v,\ \pi\ v)\ of$
 $\quad \quad (\infty, None) \Rightarrow (Q(v:=enat\ d),\ \pi(v \mapsto u))$
 $\quad \quad | (enat\ d', -) \Rightarrow if\ d < d' \ then\ (Q(v:=enat\ d),\ \pi(v \mapsto u))\ else\ (Q,\pi)$
 $\quad \quad | (\infty, Some\ -) \Rightarrow (Q,\pi)$

lemma *foreach-body-alt: foreach-body u = ($\lambda(v,d) (Q,\pi)$)*.
 $\quad if\ v \neq r \wedge (\pi\ v = None \vee Q\ v \neq \infty) \wedge enat\ d < Q\ v\ then$
 $\quad \quad (Q(v:=enat\ d),\ \pi(v \mapsto u))$
 $\quad else$
 $\quad \quad (Q,\pi)$
 $\quad)$
 $\quad \langle proof \rangle$

definition *foreach where*

foreach u adjs Qπ = foldr (foreach-body u) adjs Qπ

definition $\bigwedge Q V$.

Qigen Q π u adjs v = (if v \notin fst‘set adjs then Q v else Qinter Q π u v)

definition $\bigwedge Q V \pi$.

$\pi'gen Q \pi u adjs v = (if v \notin fst‘set adjs then \pi v else \pi' Q \pi u v)$

context **begin**

private lemma *Qc:*

Qigen Q π u ((v, w {u, v}) # adjs) x
 $= (if x=v then Qinter Q \pi u v else Qigen Q \pi u adjs x) \text{ for } x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *πc:*
 $\pi'gen Q \pi u ((v, w {u, v}) # adjs) x$
 $= (if x=v then \pi' Q \pi u v else \pi'gen Q \pi u adjs x) \text{ for } x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *foreach-refine-gen:*

assumes *set adjs ⊆ {(v, d). (u, v) ∈ edges g ∧ w {u, v} = d}*
shows *foreach u adjs (Q, π) = (Qigen Q π u adjs, π'gen Q π u adjs)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma foreach-refine:
  assumes set adjs = {(v,d). (u,v) ∈ edges g ∧ w {u,v} = d}
  shows foreach u adjs (Q,π) = (Qinter Q π u, π' Q π u)
  ⟨proof⟩

end
end

end

```

1.5 Implementation of Weighted Undirected Graph by Map

```

theory Undirected-Graph-Impl
imports
  HOL-Data-Structures.Map-Specs
  Common
  Undirected-Graph-Specs
begin

```

1.5.1 Doubleton Set to Pair

definition epair e = (if card e = 2 then Some (SOME (u,v). e = {u,v}) else None)

```

lemma epair-eqD: epair e = Some (x,y) ==> (x ≠ y ∧ e = {x,y})
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma epair-not-sng[simp]: epair e ≠ Some (x,x)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma epair-None[simp]: epair {a,b} = None ↔ a = b
  ⟨proof⟩

```

1.5.2 Generic Implementation

When instantiated with a map ADT, this locale provides a weighted graph ADT.

```

locale wgraph-by-map =
  M: Map M-empty M-update M-delete M-lookup M-invar

  for M-empty M-update M-delete
  and M-lookup :: 'm ⇒ 'v ⇒ (('v × nat) list) option
  and M-invar
begin

definition αnodes-aux g ≡ dom (M-lookup g)

definition αedges-aux g

```

$$\equiv (\{(u,v). \exists xs d. M\text{-}lookup g u = Some xs \wedge (v,d) \in set xs\})$$

definition $\alpha g g \equiv graph (\alpha nodes-aux g) (\alpha edges-aux g)$

definition $\alpha w g e \equiv case epair e of$
 $Some (u,v) \Rightarrow ($
 $case M\text{-}lookup g u of$
 $None \Rightarrow 0$
 $| Some xs \Rightarrow the\text{-}default 0 (map\text{-}of xs v)$
 $)$
 $| None \Rightarrow 0$

definition $invar :: 'm \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $invar g \equiv$
 $M\text{-}invar g \wedge finite (dom (M\text{-}lookup g))$
 $\wedge (\forall u xs. M\text{-}lookup g u = Some xs \rightarrow$
 $distinct (map fst xs)$
 $\wedge u \notin set (map fst xs)$
 $\wedge (\forall (v,d) \in set xs. (u,d) \in set (the\text{-}default [] (M\text{-}lookup g v))))$
 $)$

lemma $in\text{-}the\text{-}default\text{-}empty\text{-}conv[simp]:$
 $x \in set (the\text{-}default [] m) \longleftrightarrow (\exists xs. m = Some xs \wedge x \in set xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\alpha edges\text{-}irrefl: invar g \implies irrefl (\alpha edges-aux g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\alpha edges\text{-}sym: invar g \implies sym (\alpha edges-aux g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\alpha edges\text{-}subset: invar g \implies \alpha edges-aux g \subseteq \alpha nodes-aux g \times \alpha nodes-aux g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\alpha nodes\text{-}finite[simp, intro!]: invar g \implies finite (\alpha nodes-aux g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\alpha edges\text{-}finite[simp, intro!]: invar g \implies finite (\alpha edges-aux g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $adj :: 'm \Rightarrow 'v \Rightarrow ('v \times nat) list$ **where**
 $adj g v = the\text{-}default [] (M\text{-}lookup g v)$

definition $empty :: 'm$ **where** $empty = M\text{-}empty$

definition $add\text{-}edge1 :: 'v \times 'v \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'm$ **where**
 $add\text{-}edge1 \equiv \lambda(u,v) d g. M\text{-}update u ((v,d) \# the\text{-}default [] (M\text{-}lookup g u)) g$

```

definition add-edge :: ' $v \times v \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow m \Rightarrow m$ ' where
  add-edge  $\equiv \lambda(u,v) d g. add\text{-}edge1 (v,u) d (add\text{-}edge1 (u,v) d g)$ 

lemma edges- $\alpha g$ -aux:  $invar g \implies edges (\alpha g g) = \alpha edges\text{-}aux g$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma nodes- $\alpha g$ -aux:  $invar g \implies nodes (\alpha g g) = \alpha nodes\text{-}aux g$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma card-doubleton-eq2[simp]:  $card \{a,b\} = 2 \longleftrightarrow a \neq b$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma the-dflt-Z-eq:  $the\text{-}default 0 m = d \longleftrightarrow (m = None \wedge d = 0 \vee m = Some d)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma adj-correct-aux:
   $invar g \implies set (adj g u) = \{(v, d). (u, v) \in edges (\alpha g g) \wedge \alpha w g \{u, v\} = d\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma invar-empty-aux:  $invar empty$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma dist-fst-the-dflt-aux:  $distinct (map fst (the\text{-}default [] m))$ 
   $\longleftrightarrow (\forall xs. m = Some xs \longrightarrow distinct (map fst xs))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma invar-add-edge-aux:
   $\llbracket invar g; (u, v) \notin edges (\alpha g g); u \neq v \rrbracket \implies invar (add\text{-}edge (u, v) d g)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale adt-wgraph  $\alpha w \alpha g$  invar adj empty add-edge
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

end

```

1.6 Implementation of Prim's Algorithm

```

theory Prim-Impl
imports
  Prim-Abstract
  Undirected-Graph-Impl
  HOL-Library.While-Combinator

```

Priority-Search-Trees.PST-RBT
HOL-Data-Structures.RBT-Map

begin

1.6.1 Implementation using ADT Interfaces

```

locale Prim-Impl-Adts =
  G: adt-wgraph G- $\alpha w$  G- $\alpha g$  G-invar G-adj G-empty G-add-edge
  + M: Map M-empty M-update M-delete M-lookup M-invar
  + Q: PrioMap Q-empty Q-update Q-delete Q-invar Q-lookup Q-is-empty Q-getmin

  for typG :: 'g itself and typM :: 'm itself and typQ :: 'q itself
  and G- $\alpha w$  and G- $\alpha g$  :: 'g  $\Rightarrow$  ('v) ugraph and G-invar G-adj G-empty G-add-edge

  and M-empty M-update M-delete and M-lookup :: 'm  $\Rightarrow$  'v  $\Rightarrow$  'v option and
  M-invar

  and Q-empty Q-update Q-delete Q-invar and Q-lookup :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'v  $\Rightarrow$  nat option
  and Q-is-empty Q-getmin

begin

Simplifier setup

lemmas [simp] = G.wgraph-specs
lemmas [simp] = M.map-specs
lemmas [simp] = Q.prio-map-specs

end

locale Prim-Impl-Defs = Prim-Impl-Adts
  where typG = typG and typM = typM and typQ = typQ and G- $\alpha w$  = G- $\alpha w$ 
  and G- $\alpha g$  = G- $\alpha g$ 
  for typG :: 'g itself and typM :: 'm itself and typQ :: 'q itself
  and G- $\alpha w$  and G- $\alpha g$  :: 'g  $\Rightarrow$  ('v::linorder) ugraph and g :: 'g and r :: 'v
begin
```

Concrete Algorithm

```

term M-lookup
definition foreach-impl-body u  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda(v,d)$  (Qi, $\pi i$ ).
  if v=r then (Qi, $\pi i$ )
  else
    case (Q-lookup Qi v, M-lookup  $\pi i$  v) of
      (None,None)  $\Rightarrow$  (Q-update v d Qi, M-update v u  $\pi i$ )
      | (Some d',-)  $\Rightarrow$  (if d < d' then (Q-update v d Qi, M-update v u  $\pi i$ ) else (Qi, $\pi i$ ))
      | (None, Some -)  $\Rightarrow$  (Qi, $\pi i$ )
  )
```

```
definition foreach-impl :: ' $q \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'v \Rightarrow ('v \times \text{nat}) \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'q \times 'm$  where
foreach-impl  $Qi \pi i u \text{ adj}_s = \text{foldr } (\text{foreach-impl-body } u) \text{ adj}_s (Qi, \pi i)$ 
```

```
definition outer-loop-impl  $Qi \pi i \equiv \text{while } (\lambda(Qi, \pi i). \neg Q\text{-is-empty } Qi) (\lambda(Qi, \pi i).$ 
```

let

```
( $u, -$ ) =  $Q\text{-getmin } Qi;$   

 $\text{adj}_s = G\text{-adj } g u;$   

 $(Qi, \pi i) = \text{foreach-impl } Qi \pi i u \text{ adj}_s;$   

 $Qi = Q\text{-delete } u \text{ } Qi$   

in  $(Qi, \pi i)) (Qi, \pi i)$ 
```

```
definition prim-impl = (let
```

```
 $Qi = Q\text{-update } r \theta \text{ } Q\text{-empty};$   

 $\pi i = M\text{-empty};$   

 $(Qi, \pi i) = \text{outer-loop-impl } Qi \pi i$   

in  $\pi i)$ 
```

The whole algorithm as one function

```
lemma prim-impl-alt: prim-impl = (let
— Initialization
 $(Q, \pi) = (Q\text{-update } r \theta \text{ } Q\text{-empty}, M\text{-empty});$ 
— Main loop: Iterate until PQ is empty
 $(Q, \pi) =$ 
 $\text{while } (\lambda(Q, \pi). \neg Q\text{-is-empty } Q) (\lambda(Q, \pi). \text{let}$ 
 $(u, -) = Q\text{-getmin } Q;$ 
— Inner loop: Update for adjacent nodes
 $(Q, \pi) =$ 
 $\text{foldr } ((\lambda(v, d) (Q, \pi). \text{let}$ 
 $qv = Q\text{-lookup } Q v;$ 
 $\pi v = M\text{-lookup } \pi v$ 
in
 $\text{if } v \neq r \wedge (qv \neq \text{None} \vee \pi v = \text{None}) \wedge \text{enat } d < \text{enat-of-option } qv$ 
 $\text{then } (Q\text{-update } v d \text{ } Q, M\text{-update } v u \pi)$ 
 $\text{else } (Q, \pi))$ 
 $) (G\text{-adj } g u) (Q, \pi);$ 
 $Q = Q\text{-delete } u \text{ } Q$ 
in  $(Q, \pi)) (Q, \pi)$ 
in  $\pi$ 
)
⟨proof⟩
```

Abstraction of Result

Invariant for the result, and its interpretation as (minimum spanning) tree:

- The map πi and set Vi satisfy their implementation invariants

- The πi encodes irreflexive edges consistent with the nodes determined by Vi . Note that the edges in πi will not be symmetric, thus we take their symmetric closure $E \cup E^{-1}$.

```
definition invar-MST  $\pi i \equiv M\text{-invar } \pi i$ 

definition  $\alpha\text{-MST } \pi i \equiv \text{graph } \{r\} \{(u,v) \mid u \ v. \ M\text{-lookup } \pi i \ u = \text{Some } v\}$ 

end
```

1.6.2 Refinement of State

```
locale Prim-Impl = Prim-Impl-Defs
  where typG = typG and typM = typM and typQ = typQ and G- $\alpha w$  = G- $\alpha w$ 
    and G- $\alpha g$  = G- $\alpha g$ 
    for typG :: 'g itself and typM :: 'm itself and typQ :: 'q itself
    and G- $\alpha w$  and G- $\alpha g$  :: 'g  $\Rightarrow$  ('v::linorder) ugraph
    +
    assumes G-invar[simp]: G-invar g
begin
```

```
sublocale Prim2 G- $\alpha w$  g G- $\alpha g$  g r ⟨proof⟩
```

Abstraction of Q

The priority map implements a function of type $'v \Rightarrow \text{enat}$, mapping None to ∞ .

```
definition Q- $\alpha$  Qi  $\equiv$  enat-of-option o Q-lookup Qi :: 'v  $\Rightarrow$  enat
```

```
lemma Q- $\alpha$ -empty: Q- $\alpha$  Q-empty = ( $\lambda \cdot. \infty$ )
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma Q- $\alpha$ -update: Q-invar Q  $\Longrightarrow$  Q- $\alpha$  (Q-update u d Q) = (Q- $\alpha$  Q)(u := enat d)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma Q- $\alpha$ -is-empty: Q-invar Q  $\Longrightarrow$  Q-lookup Q = Map.empty  $\longleftrightarrow$  Q- $\alpha$  Q = ( $\lambda \cdot. \infty$ )
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma Q- $\alpha$ -delete: Q-invar Q  $\Longrightarrow$  Q- $\alpha$  (Q-delete u Q) = (Q- $\alpha$  Q)(u:=∞)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma Q- $\alpha$ -min:
  assumes MIN: Q-getmin Qi = (u, d)
  assumes I: Q-invar Qi
  assumes NE:  $\neg$  Q-is-empty Qi
  shows Q- $\alpha$  Qi u = enat d (is ?G1) and
```

$\forall v. \text{enat } d \leq Q\text{-}\alpha \text{ } Qi \text{ } v \text{ } (\mathbf{is} \text{ } ?G2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $Q\text{-}\alpha\text{-specs} = Q\text{-}\alpha\text{-empty } Q\text{-}\alpha\text{-update } Q\text{-}\alpha\text{-is-empty } Q\text{-}\alpha\text{-delete}$

Concrete Invariant

The implementation invariants of the concrete state's components, and the abstract invariant of the state's abstraction

definition $\text{prim-invar-impl } Qi \pi i \equiv$
 $Q\text{-invar } Qi \wedge M\text{-invar } \pi i \wedge \text{prim-invar2 } (Q\text{-}\alpha \text{ } Qi) \text{ } (M\text{-lookup } \pi i)$

end

1.6.3 Refinement of Algorithm

context Prim-Impl
begin

lemma $\text{foreach-impl-correct:}$

fixes $Qi Vi \pi i$ **defines** $Q \equiv Q\text{-}\alpha \text{ } Qi$ **and** $\pi \equiv M\text{-lookup } \pi i$
assumes $A: \text{foreach-impl } Qi \pi i u \text{ } (G\text{-adj } g u) = (Qi', \pi i')$
assumes $I: \text{prim-invar-impl } Qi \pi i$
shows $Q\text{-invar } Qi' \text{ and } M\text{-invar } \pi i'$
and $Q\text{-}\alpha \text{ } Qi' = Q\text{-inter } Q \pi u \text{ and } M\text{-lookup } \pi i' = \pi' \text{ } Q \pi u$
 $\langle proof \rangle \langle proof \rangle$

definition $T\text{-measure-impl} \equiv \lambda(Qi, \pi i). \text{ } T\text{-measure2 } (Q\text{-}\alpha \text{ } Qi) \text{ } (M\text{-lookup } \pi i)$

lemma $\text{prim-invar-impl-init: prim-invar-impl } (Q\text{-update } r \ 0 \ Q\text{-empty}) \ M\text{-empty}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{maintain-prim-invar-impl:}$

assumes
 $I: \text{prim-invar-impl } Qi \pi i \text{ and}$
 $NE: \neg Q\text{-is-empty } Qi \text{ and}$
 $MIN: Q\text{-getmin } Qi = (u, d) \text{ and}$
 $FOREACH: \text{foreach-impl } Qi \pi i u \text{ } (G\text{-adj } g u) = (Qi', \pi i')$
shows $\text{prim-invar-impl } (Q\text{-delete } u \ Qi') \pi i' \text{ } (\mathbf{is} \text{ } ?G1)$
and $T\text{-measure-impl } (Q\text{-delete } u \ Qi', \pi i') < T\text{-measure-impl } (Qi, \pi i) \text{ } (\mathbf{is} \text{ } ?G2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{maintain-prim-invar-impl-presentation:}$

assumes
 $I: \text{prim-invar-impl } Qi \pi i \text{ and}$
 $NE: \neg Q\text{-is-empty } Qi \text{ and}$
 $MIN: Q\text{-getmin } Qi = (u, d) \text{ and}$
 $FOREACH: \text{foreach-impl } Qi \pi i u \text{ } (G\text{-adj } g u) = (Qi', \pi i')$

```

shows prim-invar-impl (Q-delete u Qi') πi'
    ∧ T-measure-impl (Q-delete u Qi', πi') < T-measure-impl (Qi, πi)
⟨proof⟩

lemma prim-invar-impl-finish:
  [Q-is-empty Q; prim-invar-impl Q π]
  ==> invar-MST π ∧ is-MST (G-αw g) rg (α-MST π)
⟨proof⟩

lemma prim-impl-correct:
  assumes prim-impl = πi
  shows
    invar-MST πi (is ?G1)
    is-MST (G-αw g) (component-of (G-αg g) r) (α-MST πi) (is ?G2)
  ⟨proof⟩⟨proof⟩
end

```

1.6.4 Instantiation with Actual Data Structures

global-interpretation

```

G: wgraph-by-map RBT-Set.empty RBT-Map.update RBT-Map.delete
  Lookup2.lookup RBT-Map.M.invar
defines G-empty = G.empty
  and G-add-edge = G.add-edge
  and G-add-edge1 = G.add-edge1
  and G-adj = G.adj
  and G-from-list = G.from-list
  and G-valid-wgraph-repr = G.valid-wgraph-repr
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma G-from-list-unfold: G-from-list = G.from-list
⟨proof⟩

lemma [code]: G-from-list l = foldr (λ(e, d). G-add-edge e d) l G-empty
⟨proof⟩

global-interpretation Prim-Impl-Adts - - -
 G.αw G.αg G.invar G.adj G.empty G.add-edge

RBT-Set.empty RBT-Map.update RBT-Map.delete Lookup2.lookup RBT-Map.M.invar

PST-RBT.empty PST-RBT.update PST-RBT.delete PST-RBT.PM.invar
 Lookup2.lookup PST-RBT.rbt-is-empty pst-getmin
 ⟨proof⟩

global-interpretation P: Prim-Impl-Defs G.invar G.adj G.empty G.add-edge

RBT-Set.empty RBT-Map.update RBT-Map.delete Lookup2.lookup RBT-Map.M.invar

*PST-RBT.empty PST-RBT.update PST-RBT.delete PST-RBT.PM.invar
Lookup2.lookup PST-RBT.rbt-is-empty pst-getmin*

```
- - - G.αw G.αg g r
for g and r::'a::linorder
defines prim-impl = P.prim-impl
    and outer-loop-impl = P.outer-loop-impl
    and foreach-impl = P.foreach-impl
        and foreach-impl-body = P.foreach-impl-body
    ⟨proof⟩
```

lemmas [code] = P.prim-impl-alt

context

```
fixes g
assumes [simp]: G.invar g
begin
```

interpretation AUX: Prim-Impl
G.invar G.adj G.empty G.add-edge

RBT-Set.empty RBT-Map.update RBT-Map.delete Lookup2.lookup RBT-Map.M.invar

*PST-RBT.empty PST-RBT.update PST-RBT.delete PST-RBT.PM.invar
Lookup2.lookup PST-RBT.rbt-is-empty pst-getmin*

```
g r - - - G.αw G.αg for r::'a::linorder
⟨proof⟩
```

lemmas prim-impl-correct = AUX.prim-impl-correct[folded prim-impl-def]

end

Adding a Graph-From-List Parser

definition prim-list-impl l r
 \equiv if G-valid-wgraph-repr l then Some (prim-impl (G-from-list l) r) else None

1.6.5 Main Correctness Theorem

The *prim-list-impl* algorithm returns *None*, if the input was invalid. Otherwise it returns *Some* (π_i, V_i), which satisfy the map/set invariants and encode a minimum spanning tree of the component of the graph that contains r .

Notes:

- If r is not a node of the graph, *component-of* will return the graph with the only node r . (*component-of-not-node*)

```
theorem prim-list-impl-correct:
shows case prim-list-impl l r of
  None  $\Rightarrow$   $\neg G.\text{valid-wgraph-repr } l$  — Invalid input
  | Some  $\pi i \Rightarrow$ 
     $G.\text{valid-wgraph-repr } l \wedge (\text{let } Gi = G.\text{from-list } l \text{ in } G.\text{invar } Gi \text{ — Valid input}$ 
     $\wedge P.\text{invar-MST } \pi i \text{ — Output satisfies invariants}$ 
     $\wedge \text{is-MST } (G.\alpha w Gi) (\text{component-of } (G.\alpha g Gi) r) (P.\alpha\text{-MST } r \pi i)) \text{ — and}$ 
    represents MST
    ⟨proof⟩
```



```
theorem prim-list-impl-correct-presentation:
shows case prim-list-impl l r of
  None  $\Rightarrow$   $\neg G.\text{valid-wgraph-repr } l$  — Invalid input
  | Some  $\pi i \Rightarrow$  let
    g= $G.\alpha g (G.\text{from-list } l);$ 
    w= $G.\alpha w (G.\text{from-list } l);$ 
    rg= $\text{component-of } g r;$ 
    t= $P.\alpha\text{-MST } r \pi i$ 
    in
       $G.\text{valid-wgraph-repr } l \text{ — Valid input}$ 
       $\wedge P.\text{invar-MST } \pi i \text{ — Output satisfies invariants}$ 
       $\wedge \text{is-MST } w rg t \text{ — and represents MST}$ 
    ⟨proof⟩
```

1.6.6 Code Generation and Test

```
definition prim-list-impl-int :: -  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\Rightarrow$  -
  where prim-list-impl-int  $\equiv$  prim-list-impl
```

```
export-code prim-list-impl prim-list-impl-int checking SML
```

```
experiment begin
```

```
abbreviation a ≡ 1
abbreviation b ≡ 2
abbreviation c ≡ 3
abbreviation d ≡ 4
abbreviation e ≡ 5
abbreviation f ≡ 6
abbreviation g ≡ 7
abbreviation h ≡ 8
abbreviation i ≡ 9
```

```
value (prim-list-impl-int [  
  ((a,b),4),  
  ((a,h),8),  
  ((b,h),11),  
  ((b,c),8),  
  ((h,i),7),  
  ((h,g),1),  
  ((c,i),2),  
  ((g,i),6),  
  ((c,d),7),  
  ((c,f),4),  
  ((g,f),2),  
  ((d,f),14),  
  ((d,e),9),  
  ((e,f),10)  
] 1)
```

end

end

Chapter 2

Dijkstra's Shortest Path Algorithm

Dijkstra's algorithm [2] is a classical algorithm to determine the shortest paths from a root node to all other nodes in a weighted directed graph. Although it solves a different problem, and works on a different type of graphs, its structure is very similar to Prim's algorithm. In particular, like Prim's algorithm, it has a simple loop structure and can be efficiently implemented by a priority queue.

Again, our formalization of Dijkstra's algorithm follows the presentation of Cormen et al. [1]. However, for the sake of simplicity, our algorithm does not compute actual shortest paths, but only their weights.

2.1 Weighted Directed Graphs

```
theory Directed-Graph
imports Common
begin
```

A weighted graph is represented by a function from edges to weights.

For simplicity, we use *enat* as weights, ∞ meaning that there is no edge.

```
type-synonym ('v) wgraph = ('v × 'v) ⇒ enat
```

We encapsulate weighted graphs into a locale that fixes a graph

```
locale WGraph = fixes w :: 'v wgraph
begin
```

Set of edges with finite weight

```
definition edges ≡ {(u,v) . w (u,v) ≠ ∞}
```

2.1.1 Paths

A path between nodes u and v is a list of edge weights of a sequence of edges from u to v .

Note that a path may also contain edges with weight ∞ .

```
fun path :: 'v ⇒ enat list ⇒ 'v ⇒ bool where
  path u [] v ⟷ u=v
  | path u (l#ls) v ⟷ (∃ uh. l = w (u,uh) ∧ path uh ls v)

lemma path-append[simp]:
  path u (ls1@ls2) v ⟷ (∃ w. path u ls1 w ∧ path w ls2 v)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

There is a singleton path between every two nodes (it's weight might be ∞).

```
lemma triv-path: path u [w (u,v)] v ⟨proof⟩
```

Shortcut for the set of all paths between two nodes

```
definition paths u v ≡ {p . path u p v}
```

```
lemma paths-ne: paths u v ≠ {} ⟨proof⟩
```

If there is a path from a node inside a set S , to a node outside a set S , this path must contain an edge from inside S to outside S .

```
lemma find-leave-edgeE:
  assumes path u p v
  assumes u ∈ S v ∉ S
  obtains p1 x y p2
  where p = p1@w (x,y)#p2 x ∈ S y ∉ S path u p1 x path y p2 v
  ⟨proof⟩
```

2.1.2 Distance

The (minimum) distance between two nodes u and v is called $\delta u v$.

```
definition δ u v ≡ LEAST w::enat. w ∈ sum-list `paths u v
```

```
lemma obtain-shortest-path:
  obtains p where path s p u δ s u = sum-list p
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma shortest-path-least:
  path s p u ⟹ δ s u ≤ sum-list p
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma distance-refl[simp]: δ s s = 0
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma distance-direct: δ s u ≤ w (s, u)
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

Triangle inequality: The distance from s to v is shorter than the distance from s to u and the edge weight from u to v .

lemma *triangle*: $\delta s v \leq \delta s u + w(u,v)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Any prefix of a shortest path is a shortest path itself. Note: The $< \infty$ conditions are required to avoid saturation in adding to ∞ !

lemma *shortest-path-prefix*:
assumes *path* $s p_1 x$ *path* $x p_2 u$
and *DSU*: $\delta s u = \text{sum-list } p_1 + \text{sum-list } p_2$ $\delta s u < \infty$
shows $\delta s x = \text{sum-list } p_1 \delta s x < \infty$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

2.2 Abstract Datatype for Weighted Directed Graphs

```
theory Directed-Graph-Specs
imports Directed-Graph
begin

locale adt-wgraph =
fixes  $\alpha :: 'g \Rightarrow ('v) \text{wgraph}$ 
and  $\text{invar} :: 'g \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
and  $\text{succ} :: 'g \Rightarrow 'v \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \times 'v) \text{list}$ 
and  $\text{empty-graph} :: 'g$ 
and  $\text{add-edge} :: 'v \times 'v \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'g \Rightarrow 'g$ 
assumes succ-correct:  $\text{invar } g \implies \text{set } (\text{succ } g u) = \{(d,v). \alpha g (u,v) = \text{enat } d\}$ 
assumes empty-graph-correct:
 $\text{invar } \text{empty-graph}$ 
 $\alpha \text{ empty-graph} = (\lambda \_. \infty)$ 
assumes add-edge-correct:
 $\text{invar } g \implies \alpha g e = \infty \implies \text{invar } (\text{add-edge } e d g)$ 
 $\text{invar } g \implies \alpha g e = \infty \implies \alpha (\text{add-edge } e d g) = (\alpha g)(e := \text{enat } d)$ 
begin

lemmas wgraph-specs = succ-correct empty-graph-correct add-edge-correct

end

locale adt-finite-wgraph = adt-wgraph where  $\alpha = \alpha$  for  $\alpha :: 'g \Rightarrow ('v) \text{wgraph} +$ 
assumes finite:  $\text{invar } g \implies \text{finite } (\text{WGraph.edges } (\alpha g))$ 
```

2.2.1 Constructing Weighted Graphs from Lists

lemma *edges-empty*[simp]: $WGraph.edges(\lambda _. \infty) = \{\}$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *edges-insert*[simp]:
 $WGraph.edges(g(e:=enat d)) = Set.insert e (WGraph.edges g)$
⟨proof⟩

A list represents a graph if there are no multi-edges or duplicate edges

definition *valid-graph-rep* $l \equiv$
 $(\forall u d d' v. (u,v,d) \in set l \wedge (u,v,d') \in set l \longrightarrow d=d')$
 $\wedge distinct l$

Alternative characterization: all node pairs must be distinct

lemma *valid-graph-rep-code*[code]:
 $valid-graph-rep l \longleftrightarrow distinct (map (\lambda(u,v,-). (u,v)) l)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *valid-graph-rep-simps*[simp]:
 $valid-graph-rep []$
 $valid-graph-rep ((u,v,d) \# l) \longleftrightarrow valid-graph-rep l \wedge (\forall d'. (u,v,d') \notin set l)$
⟨proof⟩

For a valid graph representation, there is exactly one graph that corresponds to it

lemma *valid-graph-rep-ex1*:
 $valid-graph-rep l \implies \exists! w. \forall u v d. w(u,v) = enat d \longleftrightarrow (u,v,d) \in set l$
⟨proof⟩

We define this graph using determinate choice

definition *wgraph-of-list* $l \equiv THE w. \forall u v d. w(u,v) = enat d \longleftrightarrow (u,v,d) \in set l$

locale *wgraph-from-list-algo* = *adt-wgraph*
begin

definition *from-list* $l \equiv fold (\lambda(u,v,d). add-edge (u,v) d) l empty-graph$

definition *edges-undef* $l w \equiv \forall u v d. (u,v,d) \in set l \longrightarrow w(u,v) = \infty$

lemma *edges-undef-simps*[simp]:
 $edges-undef [] w$
 $edges-undef l (\lambda _. \infty)$
 $edges-undef ((u,v,d)\#l) w \longleftrightarrow edges-undef l w \wedge w(u,v) = \infty$
 $edges-undef l (w((u,v) := enat d)) \longleftrightarrow edges-undef l w \wedge (\forall d'. (u,v,d') \notin set l)$
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma from-list-correct-aux:
  assumes valid-graph-rep l
  assumes edges-undef l ( $\alpha$  g)
  assumes invar g
  defines  $g' \equiv \text{fold } (\lambda(u,v,d). \text{add-edge } (u,v) d) l g$ 
  shows invar  $g'$ 
    and  $(\forall u v d. \alpha g' (u,v) = \text{enat } d \longleftrightarrow \alpha g (u,v) = \text{enat } d \vee (u,v,d) \in \text{set } l)$ 
  <proof>

lemma from-list-correct':
  assumes valid-graph-rep l
  shows invar (from-list l)
    and  $(u,v,d) \in \text{set } l \longleftrightarrow \alpha (\text{from-list } l) (u,v) = \text{enat } d$ 
  <proof>

lemma from-list-correct:
  assumes valid-graph-rep l
  shows invar (from-list l)  $\alpha (\text{from-list } l) = \text{wgraph-of-list } l$ 
<proof>

end

end

```

2.3 Abstract Dijkstra Algorithm

```

theory Dijkstra-Abstract
imports Directed-Graph
begin

```

2.3.1 Abstract Algorithm

```
type-synonym 'v estimate = 'v  $\Rightarrow$  enat
```

We fix a start node and a weighted graph

```

locale Dijkstra = WGraph w for w :: ('v) wgraph +
  fixes s :: 'v
begin

```

Relax all outgoing edges of node u

```

definition relax-outgoing :: 'v  $\Rightarrow$  'v estimate  $\Rightarrow$  'v estimate
  where relax-outgoing u D  $\equiv \lambda v. \min(D v) (D u + w(u,v))$ 

```

Initialization

```

definition initD  $\equiv (\lambda s. \infty)(s:=0)$ 
definition initS  $\equiv \{\}$ 

```

Relaxing will never increase estimates

lemma *relax-mono*: $\text{relax-outgoing } u \ D \ v \leq D \ v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *all-dnodes* $\equiv \text{Set.insert } s \{ v . \exists u. w(u,v) \neq \infty \}$
definition *unfinished-dnodes* $S \equiv \text{all-dnodes} - S$

lemma *unfinished-nodes-subset*: $\text{unfinished-dnodes } S \subseteq \text{all-dnodes}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

Invariant

The invariant is defined as locale

locale *Dijkstra-Invar* = *Dijkstra* $w \ s$ **for** w **and** $s :: 'v +$
fixes $D :: 'v \text{ estimate}$ **and** $S :: 'v \text{ set}$
assumes *upper-bound*: $\langle \delta \ s \ u \leq D \ u \rangle$ — D is a valid estimate
assumes *s-in-S*: $\langle s \in S \vee (D=(\lambda _. \infty)(s:=0) \wedge S=\{\}) \rangle$ — The start node is finished, or we are in initial state
assumes *S-precise*: $u \in S \implies D \ u = \delta \ s \ u$ — Finished nodes have precise estimate
assumes *S-relaxed*: $\langle v \in S \implies D \ u \leq \delta \ s \ v + w(v,u) \rangle$ — Outgoing edges of finished nodes have been relaxed, using precise distance
begin

abbreviation (**in** *Dijkstra*) *D-invar* $\equiv \text{Dijkstra-Invar } w \ s$

The invariant holds for the initial state

theorem (**in** *Dijkstra*) *invar-init*: $\text{D-invar initD initS}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Relaxing some edges maintains the upper bound property

lemma *MaintainUpperBound*: $\delta \ s \ u \leq (\text{relax-outgoing } v \ D) \ u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Relaxing edges will not affect nodes with already precise estimates

lemma *relax-precise-id*: $D \ v = \delta \ s \ v \implies \text{relax-outgoing } u \ D \ v = \delta \ s \ v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

In particular, relaxing edges will not affect finished nodes

lemma *relax-finished-id*: $v \in S \implies \text{relax-outgoing } u \ D \ v = D \ v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The least (finite) estimate among all nodes u not in S is already precise. This will allow us to add the node u to S .

lemma *MaintainS-precise-and-connected*:

```

assumes UNS:  $u \notin S$ 
assumes MIN:  $\forall v. v \notin S \rightarrow D u \leq D v$ 
shows  $D u = \delta s u$ 

```

We start with a case distinction whether we are in the first step of the loop, where we process the start node, or in subsequent steps, where the start node has already been finished.

(proof)

A step of Dijkstra's algorithm maintains the invariant. More precisely, in a step of Dijkstra's algorithm, we pick a node $u \notin S$ with least finite estimate, relax the outgoing edges of u , and add u to S .

theorem *maintain-D-invar*:

```

assumes UNS:  $u \notin S$ 
assumes UNI:  $D u < \infty$ 
assumes MIN:  $\forall v. v \notin S \rightarrow D u \leq D v$ 
shows D-invar (relax-outgoing  $u$   $D$ ) (Set.insert  $u$   $S$ )
(proof)

```

When the algorithm is finished, i.e., when there are no unfinished nodes with finite estimates left, then all estimates are accurate.

lemma *invar-finish-imp-correct*:

```

assumes F:  $\forall u. u \notin S \rightarrow D u = \infty$ 
shows  $D u = \delta s u$ 
(proof)

```

A step decreases the set of unfinished nodes.

lemma *unfinished-nodes-decr*:

```

assumes UNS:  $u \notin S$ 
assumes UNI:  $D u < \infty$ 
shows unfinished-dnodes (Set.insert  $u$   $S$ )  $\subset$  unfinished-dnodes  $S$ 
(proof)

```

end

2.3.2 Refinement by Priority Map and Map

In a second step, we implement D and S by a priority map Q and a map V . Both map nodes to finite weights, where Q maps unfinished nodes, and V maps finished nodes.

Note that this implementation is slightly non-standard: In the standard implementation, Q contains also unfinished nodes with infinite weight.

We chose this implementation because it avoids enumerating all nodes of the graph upon initialization of Q . However, on relaxing an edge to a node not in Q , we require an extra lookup to check whether the node is finished.

Implementing *enat* by Option

Our maps are functions to *nat option*, which are interpreted as *enat*, *None* being ∞

```
fun enat-of-option :: nat option  $\Rightarrow$  enat where
  enat-of-option None =  $\infty$ 
  | enat-of-option (Some n) = enat n
```

```
lemma enat-of-option-inj[simp]: enat-of-option x = enat-of-option y  $\longleftrightarrow$  x=y
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma enat-of-option-simps[simp]:
  enat-of-option x = enat n  $\longleftrightarrow$  x = Some n
  enat-of-option x =  $\infty$   $\longleftrightarrow$  x = None
  enat n = enat-of-option x  $\longleftrightarrow$  x = Some n
   $\infty$  = enat-of-option x  $\longleftrightarrow$  x = None
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma enat-of-option-le-conv:
  enat-of-option m  $\leq$  enat-of-option n  $\longleftrightarrow$  (case (m,n) of
    (-,None)  $\Rightarrow$  True
    | (Some a, Some b)  $\Rightarrow$  a  $\leq$  b
    | (-, -)  $\Rightarrow$  False
  )
  ⟨proof⟩
```

Implementing *D,S* by Priority Map and Map

```
context Dijkstra begin
```

We define a coupling relation, that connects the concrete with the abstract data.

```
definition coupling Q V D S  $\equiv$ 
  D = enat-of-option o (V ++ Q)
   $\wedge$  S = dom V
   $\wedge$  dom V  $\cap$  dom Q = {}
```

Note that our coupling relation is functional.

```
lemma coupling-fun: coupling Q V D S  $\implies$  coupling Q V D' S'  $\implies$  D'=D  $\wedge$  S'=S
  ⟨proof⟩
```

The concrete version of the invariant.

```
definition D-invar' Q V  $\equiv$ 
   $\exists$  D S. coupling Q V D S  $\wedge$  D-invar D S
```

Refinement of *relax-outgoing*

```
definition relax-outgoing' u du V Q v  $\equiv$ 
  case w (u,v) of
```

```

 $\infty \Rightarrow Q v$ 
| enat  $d \Rightarrow (\text{case } Q v \text{ of}$ 
   $\text{None} \Rightarrow \text{if } v \in \text{dom } V \text{ then None else Some } (du+d)$ 
  | Some  $d' \Rightarrow \text{Some } (\min d' (du+d))$ )

```

A step preserves the coupling relation.

lemma (in Dijkstra-Invar) *coupling-step*:

```

assumes  $C: \text{coupling } Q V D S$ 
assumes  $\text{UNS}: u \notin S$ 
assumes  $\text{UNI}: D u = \text{enat } du$ 

```

shows *coupling*

```

 $((\text{relax-outgoing}' u du V Q)(u:=\text{None})) (V(u \rightarrow du))$ 
 $(\text{relax-outgoing } u D) (\text{Set.insert } u S)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

Refinement of initial state

```

definition  $\text{initQ} \equiv \text{Map.empty}(s \mapsto 0)$ 
definition  $\text{initV} \equiv \text{Map.empty}$ 

```

lemma *coupling-init*:

```

coupling initQ initV initD initS
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

lemma *coupling-cond*:

```

assumes coupling Q V D S
shows  $(Q = \text{Map.empty}) \longleftrightarrow (\forall u. u \notin S \longrightarrow D u = \infty)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

Termination argument: Refinement of unfinished nodes.

```

definition  $\text{unfinished-dnodes}' V \equiv \text{unfinished-dnodes}(\text{dom } V)$ 

```

lemma *coupling-unfinished*:

```

coupling Q V D S  $\implies \text{unfinished-dnodes}' V = \text{unfinished-dnodes } S$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

Implementing graph by successor list

```

definition  $\text{relax-outgoing}'' l du V Q = \text{fold } (\lambda(d,v). Q.$ 
 $\text{case } Q v \text{ of None} \Rightarrow \text{if } v \in \text{dom } V \text{ then } Q \text{ else } Q(v \mapsto du+d)$ 
  | Some  $d' \Rightarrow Q(v \mapsto \min(du+d) d')) l Q$ 

```

lemma *relax-outgoing''-refine*:

```

assumes  $\text{set } l = \{(d,v). w(u,v) = \text{enat } d\}$ 
shows  $\text{relax-outgoing}'' l du V Q = \text{relax-outgoing}' u du V Q$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```
end
```

```
end
```

2.4 Weighted Digraph Implementation by Adjacency Map

```
theory Directed-Graph-Impl
imports
  Directed-Graph-Specs
  HOL-Data-Structures.Map-Specs
begin

locale wgraph-by-map =
  M: Map M-empty M-update M-delete M-lookup M-invar

  for M-empty M-update M-delete
  and M-lookup :: 'm ⇒ 'v ⇒ ((nat × 'v) list) option and M-invar
begin

definition α :: 'm ⇒ ('v) wgraph where
  α g ≡ λ(u,v). case M-lookup g u of
    None ⇒ ∞
    | Some l ⇒ if ∃ d. (d,v) ∈ set l then enat (SOME d. (d,v) ∈ set l) else ∞

definition invar :: 'm ⇒ bool where invar g ≡
  M-invar g
  ∧ (∀ l ∈ ran (M-lookup g). distinct (map snd l))
  ∧ finite (WGraph.edges (α g))

definition succ :: 'm ⇒ 'v ⇒ (nat × 'v) list where
  succ g v = the-default [] (M-lookup g v)

definition empty-graph :: 'm where empty-graph = M-empty

definition add-edge :: 'v × 'v ⇒ nat ⇒ 'm ⇒ 'm where
  add-edge ≡ λ(u,v) d g. M-update u ((d,v) # the-default [] (M-lookup g u)) g

sublocale adt-finite-wgraph invar succ empty-graph add-edge α
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end
```

2.5 Implementation of Dijkstra's Algorithm

```
theory Dijkstra-Impl
```

```

imports
  Dijkstra-Abstract
  Directed-Graph-Impl
  HOL-Library.While-Combinator
  Priority-Search-Trees.PST-RBT
  HOL-Data-Structures.RBT-Map
begin

2.5.1 Implementation using ADT Interfaces

locale Dijkstra-Impl-Adts =
  G: adt-finite-wgraph G-invar G-succ G-empty G-add G-α
  + M: Map M-empty M-update M-delete M-lookup M-invar
  + Q: PrioMap Q-empty Q-update Q-delete Q-invar Q-lookup Q-is-empty Q-getmin

  for G-α :: 'g ⇒ ('v) wgraph and G-invar G-succ G-empty G-add
    and M-empty M-update M-delete and M-lookup :: 'm ⇒ 'v ⇒ nat option
    and M-invar

    and Q-empty Q-update Q-delete Q-invar and Q-lookup :: 'q ⇒ 'v ⇒ nat option
    and Q-is-empty Q-getmin
begin

Simplifier setup

lemmas [simp] = G.wgraph-specs
lemmas [simp] = M.map-specs
lemmas [simp] = Q.prio-map-specs

end

context PrioMap begin

lemma map-getminE:
  assumes getmin m = (k,p) invar m lookup m ≠ Map.empty
  obtains lookup m k = Some p ∀ k' p'. lookup m k' = Some p' → p ≤ p'
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale Dijkstra-Impl-Defs = Dijkstra-Impl-Adts where G-α = G-α
  + Dijkstra ⟨G-α g⟩ s
  for G-α :: 'g ⇒ ('v::linorder) wgraph and g s

locale Dijkstra-Impl = Dijkstra-Impl-Defs where G-α = G-α
  for G-α :: 'g ⇒ ('v::linorder) wgraph
  +

```

```

assumes  $G\text{-invar}[simp]$ :  $G\text{-invar } g$ 
begin

lemma  $\text{finite-all-dnodes}[simp, intro!]$ :  $\text{finite all-dnodes}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{finite-unfinished-dnodes}[simp, intro!]$ :  $\text{finite (unfinished-dnodes } S)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in  $-$ ) fold-refine:
assumes  $I s$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge s. I s \implies x \in \text{set } l \implies I(f x s) \wedge \alpha(f x s) = f' x (\alpha s)$ 
shows  $I(\text{fold } f l s) \wedge \alpha(\text{fold } f l s) = \text{fold } f' l (\alpha s)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition (in Dijkstra-Impl-Defs)  $Q\text{-relax-outgoing } u du V Q = \text{fold } (\lambda(d, v) Q.$ 
 $\text{case } Q\text{-lookup } Q v \text{ of}$ 
 $\quad \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{if } M\text{-lookup } V v \neq \text{None} \text{ then } Q \text{ else } Q\text{-update } v (du+d) Q$ 
 $\quad | \text{ Some } d' \Rightarrow Q\text{-update } v (\min(du+d) d') Q ((G\text{-succ } g u)) Q$ 

lemma  $Q\text{-relax-outgoing}[simp]$ :
assumes [simp]:  $Q\text{-invar } Q$ 
shows  $Q\text{-invar } (Q\text{-relax-outgoing } u du V Q)$ 
 $\wedge Q\text{-lookup } (Q\text{-relax-outgoing } u du V Q)$ 
 $= \text{relax-outgoing}' u du (M\text{-lookup } V) (Q\text{-lookup } Q)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition (in Dijkstra-Impl-Defs)  $D\text{-invar-impl } Q V \equiv$ 
 $Q\text{-invar } Q \wedge M\text{-invar } V \wedge D\text{-invar}' (Q\text{-lookup } Q) (M\text{-lookup } V)$ 

definition (in Dijkstra-Impl-Defs)
 $Q\text{-init } Q \equiv Q\text{-update } s 0 Q\text{-empty}$ 

lemma  $Q\text{-init- } Q[simp]$ :
shows  $Q\text{-invar } (Q\text{-init } Q) Q\text{-lookup } (Q\text{-init } Q) = \text{init } Q$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition (in Dijkstra-Impl-Defs)
 $M\text{-init } V \equiv M\text{-empty}$ 

lemma  $M\text{-initS}[simp]$ :  $M\text{-invar } M\text{-init } V M\text{-lookup } M\text{-init } V = \text{init } V$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

term  $Q\text{-getmin}$ 

definition (in Dijkstra-Impl-Defs)
 $dijkstra\text{-loop} \equiv \text{while } (\lambda(Q, V). \neg Q\text{-is-empty } Q) (\lambda(Q, V).$ 
 $\quad \text{let}$ 

```

```

 $(u, du) = Q\text{-getmin } Q;$ 
 $Q = Q\text{-relax-outgoing } u \ du \ V \ Q;$ 
 $Q = Q\text{-delete } u \ Q;$ 
 $V = M\text{-update } u \ du \ V$ 
    in
 $(Q, V)$ 
 $) \ (Q\text{-init} Q, M\text{-init} V)$ 

```

definition (in Dijkstra-Impl-Defs) *dijkstra* \equiv *snd dijkstra-loop*

lemma transfer-preconditions:

```

assumes coupling  $Q \ V \ D \ S$ 
shows  $Q \ u = \text{Some } du \longleftrightarrow D \ u = \text{enat } du \wedge u \notin S$ 
<proof>

```

lemma dijkstra-loop-invar-and-empty:

```

shows case dijkstra-loop of  $(Q, V) \Rightarrow D\text{-invar-impl } Q \ V \wedge Q\text{-is-empty } Q$ 
<proof>

```

lemma dijkstra-correct:

```

M-invar dijkstra
M-lookup dijkstra  $u = \text{Some } d \longleftrightarrow \delta \ s \ u = \text{enat } d$ 
<proof>

```

end

2.5.2 Instantiation of ADTs and Code Generation

global-interpretation

```

 $G$ : wgraph-by-map RBT-Set.empty RBT-Map.update
      RBT-Map.delete Lookup2.lookup RBT-Map.M.invar
defines  $G\text{-empty} = G\text{-empty-graph}$ 
      and  $G\text{-add-edge} = G\text{-add-edge}$ 
      and  $G\text{-succ} = G\text{-succ}$ 
<proof>

```

global-interpretation *Dijkstra-Impl-Adts*

```

 $G.\alpha \ G\text{-invar } G\text{-succ } G\text{-empty-graph } G\text{-add-edge}$ 

```

```

RBT-Set.empty RBT-Map.update RBT-Map.delete Lookup2.lookup RBT-Map.M.invar

```

```

PST-RBT.empty PST-RBT.update PST-RBT.delete PST-RBT.PM.invar
Lookup2.lookup PST-RBT.rbt-is-empty pst-getmin
<proof>

```

global-interpretation D : *Dijkstra-Impl-Defs*

```

 $G\text{-invar } G\text{-succ } G\text{-empty-graph } G\text{-add-edge}$ 

```

```

RBT-Set.empty RBT-Map.update RBT-Map.delete Lookup2.lookup RBT-Map.M.invar
PST-RBT.empty PST-RBT.update PST-RBT.delete PST-RBT.PM.invar
Lookup2.lookup PST-RBT.rbt-is-empty pst-getmin

G.α g s for g and s::'v::linorder
defines dijkstra = D.dijkstra
  and dijkstra-loop = D.dijkstra-loop
  and Q-relax-outgoing = D.Q-relax-outgoing
  and M-initV = D.M-initV
  and Q-initQ = D.Q-initQ
⟨proof⟩

lemmas [code] =
D.dijkstra-def D.dijkstra-loop-def

context
fixes g
assumes [simp]: G.invar g
begin

interpretation AUX: Dijkstra-Impl
G.invar G.succ G.empty-graph G.add-edge

RBT-Set.empty RBT-Map.update RBT-Map.delete Lookup2.lookup RBT-Map.M.invar
PST-RBT.empty PST-RBT.update PST-RBT.delete PST-RBT.PM.invar
Lookup2.lookup PST-RBT.rbt-is-empty pst-getmin

g s G.α for s
⟨proof⟩

lemmas dijkstra-correct = AUX.dijkstra-correct[folded dijkstra-def]
end

```

2.5.3 Combination with Graph Parser

We combine the algorithm with a parser from lists to graphs

global-interpretation

```

G: wgraph-from-list-algo G.α G.invar G.succ G.empty-graph G.add-edge
defines from-list = G.from-list
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition dijkstra-list l s ≡
if valid-graph-rep l then Some (dijkstra (from-list l) s) else None

```

```

theorem dijkstra-list-correct:
  case dijkstra-list l s of
    None  $\Rightarrow$   $\neg$ valid-graph-rep l
  | Some D  $\Rightarrow$ 
    valid-graph-rep l
     $\wedge$  M.invar D
     $\wedge$  ( $\forall$  u d. lookup D u = Some d  $\longleftrightarrow$  WGraph. $\delta$  (wgraph-of-list l) s u = enat d)
  {proof}

export-code dijkstra-list checking SML OCaml? Scala Haskell?

value dijkstra-list [(1::nat,2,7),(1,3,1),(3,2,2)] 1
value dijkstra-list [(1::nat,2,7),(1,3,1),(3,2,2)] 3

end

```

Bibliography

- [1] T. H. Cormen, C. E. Leiserson, R. L. Rivest, and C. Stein. *Introduction to Algorithms*. MIT Press, 2009.
- [2] E. W. Dijkstra. A note on two problems in connexion with graphs. *Numerische Mathematik*, 1(1):269–271, Dec 1959.
- [3] P. Lammich and T. Nipkow. Proof pearl: Purely functional, simple and efficient Priority Search Trees and applications to Prim and Dijkstra. In *Proc. of ITP*, 2019. to appear.
- [4] R. C. Prim. Shortest connection networks and some generalizations. *The Bell System Technical Journal*, 36(6):1389–1401, Nov 1957.