Possibilistic Noninterference*

Andrei Popescu Johannes Hölzl

October 11, 2017

Abstract

We formalize a wide variety of Volpano/Smith-style noninterference notions for a while language with parallel composition. We systematize and classify these notions according to compositionality w.r.t. the language constructs. Compositionality yields sound syntactic criteria (a.k.a. type systems) in a uniform way.

Contents

*Supported by the DFG project Ni 491/13–1 (part of the DFG priority program RS3) and the DFG RTG 1480.

1 Introduction

This is a formalization of the mathematical development presented in the paper [1]:

- a uniform framework where a wide range of language-based noninterference variants from the literature are expressed and compared w.r.t. their *contracts*: the strength of the security properties they ensure weighed against the harshness of the syntactic conditions they enforce;
- syntactic criteria for proving that a program has a specific noninterference property, using only compositionality, which captures uniformly several security type-system results from the literature and suggests a further improved type system.

There are two auxiliary theories:

- MyTactics, introducing a few customized tactics;
- Bisim, describing an abstract notion of bisimilarity relation, namely, the greatest symmetric relation that is a fixpoint of a monotonic operator– this shall be instantiated to several concrete bisimilarity later.

The main theories of the development (shown in Fig. 1) are organized similarly to the sectionwise structure of [1]:

Language_Semantics corresponds to §2 in [1]. It introduces and customizes the syntax and small-step operational semantics of a while language with parallel composition, using notations very similar to the paper.



Figure 1: Main Theory Structure

During_Execution[1] mainly corresponds to §3 in [1], defining the various coinductive notions from there: self isomorphism, discreetness, variations of strong, weak and 01-bisimilarity. Prop. 1 from the paper, stating implications between these notions, is proved as the theorems bis_imp and siso_bis.[2] The bisimilarity inclusions stated in bis_imp are slightly more general than those in Prop. 1, in that they employ the binary version of the relation, e.g., $c \approx_{\mathsf{S}} d \Longrightarrow c \approx_{\mathsf{WT}} d$ instead of $c \approx_{\mathsf{S}} c \Longrightarrow c \approx_{\mathsf{WT}} c$.

Compositionality mainly corresponds to the homonymous §4 in [1]. The paper's compositionality result, Prop. 2, is scattered through the theory as theorems with self-explanatory names, indication the compositionality relationship between notions of noninterference and language constructs, e.g., While_WbisT (while versus termination-sensitive weak bisimilarity), Par_ZObis (parallel composition versus 01-bisimilarity).

Theories During_Execution and Compositionality also include the novel notion of noninterference $\approx_{\mathsf{T}}$ introduced in §5 of [1], based on the "must terminate" condition, which is given the same treatment as the other notions: bis_imp in During_Execution states the implication relationship between $\approx_{\mathsf{T}}$ and the other bisimilarities (Prop. 3.(1) from [1]), while various intuitively named theorems from Language_Semantics state the compositionality properties of $\approx_{\mathsf{T}}$ (Prop. 3.(2) from [1]).

Syntactic_Criteria corresponds to the homonymous §6 in [1]. The syntactic analogues of the semantics notions, indicated in the paper by overlining, e.g., $\overline{\mathsf{discr}}$, are in the scripts prefixed by "SC" (from "syntactic criterion"), e.g., SC_discr, SC_WbisT. Props. 4 and 5 from the paper (stating the relationship between the syntactic and the semantic notions and the implications between the syntactic notions, respectively) are again scattered through the theory under self-explanatory names.

Concrete contains an instantiation of the indistinguishability relation $\sim$ from [1] to the standard two-level security setting described in the paper's Exam-

[1] "During-execution" (bisimilarity-based) noninterference should be contrasted with "after-execution" (trace-based) noninterference according to the distinction made in [1] at the begining of §7.

[2] To help the reader distinguish the main results from the auxiliary lemmas, the former are marked in the scripts with the keyword "theorem".

ple 2.

Finally, After_Execution corresponds to §7 in [1], dealing with the after-execution guarantees of the during-execution notions of security. Prop. 6 in the paper is stated in the scripts as theorems Sbis_trace, ZObisT_trace and WbisT_trace, Prop. 7 as theorems ZObis_trace and Wbis_trace, and Prop. 8 as theorem BisT_trace.

2 Bisimilarity, abstractly

theory *Bisim*
imports *Interface*
begin

type-synonym *'a rel* = (*'a* ∗ *'a*) *set*
type-synonym (*'cmd*,*'state*)*config* = *'cmd* ∗ *'state*

definition *mono* **where**
mono Retr ≡
∀ *theta theta'*. *theta* ≤ *theta'* ⟶ *Retr theta* ≤ *Retr theta'*

definition *simul* **where**
simul Retr theta ≡ *theta* ≤ *Retr theta*

definition *bisim* **where**
bisim Retr theta ≡ *sym theta* ∧ *simul Retr theta*

lemma *mono-Union*:
assumes *mono Retr*
shows *Union* (*Retr* ‘ *Theta*) ≤ *Retr* (*Union Theta*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mono-Un*:
assumes *mono Retr*
shows *Retr theta Un Retr theta'* ⊆ *Retr* (*theta Un theta'*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *sym-Union*:
assumes ⋀*theta*. *theta* ∈ *Theta* ⟹ *sym theta*
shows *sym* (*Union Theta*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *sym-Un*:
assumes *sym theta1* **and** *sym theta2*
shows *sym* (*theta1 Un theta2*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *simul-Union*:
assumes *mono Retr*

and $\bigwedge$*theta*. *theta* $\in$ *Theta* $\Longrightarrow$ *simul Retr theta*
shows *simul Retr* (*Union Theta*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *simul-Un*:
assumes *mono Retr* **and** *simul Retr theta1* **and** *simul Retr theta2*
shows *simul Retr* (*theta1 Un theta2*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *bisim-Union*:
assumes *mono Retr* **and** $\bigwedge$*theta*. *theta* $\in$ *Theta* $\Longrightarrow$ *bisim Retr theta*
shows *bisim Retr* (*Union Theta*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *bisim-Un*:
assumes *mono Retr* **and** *bisim Retr theta1* **and** *bisim Retr theta2*
shows *bisim Retr* (*theta1 Un theta2*)
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *bis* **where**
bis Retr $\equiv$ *Union* {*theta*. *bisim Retr theta*}

lemma *bisim-bis*[*simp*]:
assumes *mono Retr*
shows *bisim Retr* (*bis Retr*)
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *sym-bis*[*simp*]: *mono Retr* $\Longrightarrow$ *sym* (*bis Retr*)
and *simul-bis*[*simp*]: *mono Retr* $\Longrightarrow$ *simul Retr* (*bis Retr*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *bis-raw-coind*:
assumes *mono Retr* **and** *sym theta* **and** *theta* $\subseteq$ *Retr theta*
shows *theta* $\subseteq$ *bis Retr*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *bis-prefix*[*simp*]:
assumes *mono Retr*
shows *bis Retr* $\subseteq$ *Retr* (*bis Retr*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *bis-coind*:
assumes $*$: *mono Retr* **and** *sym theta* **and** $**$: *theta* $\subseteq$ *Retr* (*theta Un* (*bis Retr*))
shows *theta* $\subseteq$ *bis Retr*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *bis-coind2*:
assumes $*$: *mono Retr* **and**
$**$: *theta* $\subseteq$ *Retr* (*theta Un* (*bis Retr*)) **and**

$***$: *theta* ^*−1* ⊆ *Retr* ((*theta* ^*−1*) *Un* (*bis Retr*))
shows *theta* ⊆ *bis Retr*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *bis-raw-coind2*:
assumes $*$: *mono Retr* **and**
$**$: *theta* ⊆ *Retr theta* **and**
$***$: *theta* ^*−1* ⊆ *Retr* (*theta* ^*−1*)
shows *theta* ⊆ *bis Retr*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mono-bis*:
assumes *mono Retr1* **and** *mono Retr2*
and ⋀ *theta*. *Retr1 theta* ⊆ *Retr2 theta*
shows *bis Retr1* ⊆ *bis Retr2*
⟨*proof*⟩

end

3 The programming language and its semantics

theory *Language-Semantics* **imports** *Interface* **begin**

3.1 Syntax and operational semantics

datatype ('*test*,'*atom*) *com* =
Atm '*atom* |
Seq ('*test*,'*atom*) *com* ('*test*,'*atom*) *com*
(- ;; - [*60*, *61*] *60*) |
If '*test* ('*test*,'*atom*) *com* ('*test*,'*atom*) *com*
((*if* -/ *then* -/ *else* -) [*0*, *0*, *61*] *61*) |
While '*test* ('*test*,'*atom*) *com*
((*while* -/ *do* -) [*0*, *61*] *61*) |
Par ('*test*,'*atom*) *com* ('*test*,'*atom*) *com*
(- | - [*60*, *61*] *60*)

locale *PL* =
fixes
tval :: '*test* ⇒ '*state* ⇒ *bool* **and**
aval :: '*atom* ⇒ '*state* ⇒ '*state*

context *PL*
begin

Conventions and notations: – suffixes: “C” for “Continuation”, “T” for “termination” – prefix: “M” for multistep – tst, tst’ are tests – atm, atm’ are atoms (atomic commands) – s, s’, t, t’ are states – c, c’, d, d’ are commands – cf, cf’ are configurations, i.e., pairs command-state

inductive *transT* ::
$(('test,'atom)com * 'state) \Rightarrow 'state \Rightarrow bool$
(**infix** $\rightarrow t$ *55*)
where
Atm[*simp*]:
$(Atm\ atm,\ s) \rightarrow t\ aval\ atm\ s$
| *WhileFalse*[*simp*]:
$\sim\ tval\ tst\ s \Longrightarrow (While\ tst\ c,\ s) \rightarrow t\ s$

lemmas *trans-Atm* = *Atm*
lemmas *trans-WhileFalse* = *WhileFalse*

inductive *transC* ::
$(('test,'atom)com * 'state) \Rightarrow (('test,'atom)com * 'state) \Rightarrow bool$
(**infix** $\rightarrow c$ *55*)
and *MtransC* ::
$(('test,'atom)com * 'state) \Rightarrow (('test,'atom)com * 'state) \Rightarrow bool$
(**infix** $\rightarrow * c$ *55*)
where
SeqC[*simp*]:
$(c1,\ s) \rightarrow c\ (c1',\ s') \Longrightarrow (c1\ ;;\ c2,\ s) \rightarrow c\ (c1'\ ;;\ c2,\ s')$
| *SeqT*[*simp*]:
$(c1,\ s) \rightarrow t\ s' \Longrightarrow (c1\ ;;\ c2,\ s) \rightarrow c\ (c2,\ s')$
| *IfTrue*[*simp*]:
$tval\ tst\ s \Longrightarrow (If\ tst\ c1\ c2,\ s) \rightarrow c\ (c1,\ s)$
| *IfFalse*[*simp*]:
$\sim\ tval\ tst\ s \Longrightarrow (If\ tst\ c1\ c2,s) \rightarrow c\ (c2,\ s)$
| *WhileTrue*[*simp*]:
$tval\ tst\ s \Longrightarrow (While\ tst\ c,\ s) \rightarrow c\ (c\ ;;\ (While\ tst\ c),\ s)$

| *ParCL*[*simp*]:
$(c1,\ s) \rightarrow c\ (c1',\ s') \Longrightarrow (Par\ c1\ c2,\ s) \rightarrow c\ (Par\ c1'\ c2,\ s')$
| *ParCR*[*simp*]:
$(c2,\ s) \rightarrow c\ (c2',\ s') \Longrightarrow (Par\ c1\ c2,\ s) \rightarrow c\ (Par\ c1\ c2',\ s')$
| *ParTL*[*simp*]:
$(c1,\ s) \rightarrow t\ s' \Longrightarrow (Par\ c1\ c2,\ s) \rightarrow c\ (c2,\ s')$
| *ParTR*[*simp*]:
$(c2,\ s) \rightarrow t\ s' \Longrightarrow (Par\ c1\ c2,\ s) \rightarrow c\ (c1,\ s')$
| *Refl*:
$(c,s) \rightarrow * c\ (c,s)$
| *Step*:
$[\![(c,s) \rightarrow * c\ (c',s');\ (c',s') \rightarrow c\ (c'',s'')]\!] \Longrightarrow (c,s) \rightarrow * c\ (c'',s'')$

lemmas *trans-SeqC* = *SeqC* **lemmas** *trans-SeqT* = *SeqT*
lemmas *trans-IfTrue* = *IfTrue* **lemmas** *trans-IfFalse* = *IfFalse*
lemmas *trans-WhileTrue* = *WhileTrue*
lemmas *trans-ParCL* = *ParCL* **lemmas** *trans-ParCR* = *ParCR*
lemmas *trans-ParTL* = *ParTL* **lemmas** *trans-ParTR* = *ParTR*

lemmas *trans-Refl* = *Refl* **lemmas** *trans-Step* = *Step*

lemma *MtransC-Refl*[*simp*]: *cf* $\rightarrow*c$ *cf*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *transC-induct* = *transC-MtransC.inducts*(*1*)
[*split-format*(*complete*),
where *?P2.0* = λ *c s c' s'*. *True*]
lemmas *MtransC-induct-temp* = *transC-MtransC.inducts*(*2*)[*split-format*(*complete*)]

inductive *MtransT* ::
(('*test*,'*atom*)*com* $*$ '*state*) $\Rightarrow$ '*state* $\Rightarrow$ *bool*
(**infix** $\rightarrow*t$ *55*)
where
StepT:
⟦*cf* $\rightarrow*c$ *cf'*; *cf'* $\rightarrow t$ *s''*⟧ $\Longrightarrow$ *cf* $\rightarrow*t$ *s''*

lemma *MtransC-rtranclp-transC*:
MtransC = *transC* ^**
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *transC-MtransC*[*simp*]:
assumes *cf* $\rightarrow c$ *cf'*
shows *cf* $\rightarrow*c$ *cf'*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *MtransC-Trans*:
assumes *cf* $\rightarrow*c$ *cf'* **and** *cf'* $\rightarrow*c$ *cf''*
shows *cf* $\rightarrow*c$ *cf''*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *MtransC-StepC*:
assumes $*$: *cf* $\rightarrow*c$ *cf'* **and** $**$: *cf'* $\rightarrow c$ *cf''*
shows *cf* $\rightarrow*c$ *cf''*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *MtransC-induct*[*consumes 1*, *case-names Refl Trans*]:
assumes *cf* $\rightarrow*c$ *cf'*
and $\bigwedge$*cf*. *phi cf cf*
and
$\bigwedge$ *cf cf' cf''*.
⟦*cf* $\rightarrow*c$ *cf'*; *phi cf cf'*; *cf'* $\rightarrow c$ *cf''*⟧
$\Longrightarrow$ *phi cf cf''*
shows *phi cf cf'*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *MtransC-induct2*[*consumes 1*, *case-names Refl Trans*, *induct pred*: *MtransC*]:
assumes (*c*,*s*) $\rightarrow*c$ (*c'*,*s'*)
and $\bigwedge$*c s*. *phi c s c s*

and
$\bigwedge c\ s\ c'\ s'\ c''\ s''.$
$[\![(c,s) \rightarrow* c\ (c',s');\ phi\ c\ s\ c'\ s';\ (c',s') \rightarrow c\ (c'',s'')]\!]$
$\Longrightarrow phi\ c\ s\ c''\ s''$
shows $phi\ c\ s\ c'\ s'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *transT-MtransT*[*simp*]:
assumes $cf \rightarrow t\ s'$
shows $cf \rightarrow* t\ s'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *MtransC-MtransT*:
assumes $cf \rightarrow* c\ cf'$ **and** $cf' \rightarrow* t\ cf''$
shows $cf \rightarrow* t\ cf''$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *transC-MtransT*[*simp*]:
assumes $cf \rightarrow c\ cf'$ **and** $cf' \rightarrow* t\ s''$
shows $cf \rightarrow* t\ s''$
⟨*proof*⟩

Inversion rules, nchotomies and such:

lemma *Atm-transC-simp*[*simp*]:
$\sim (Atm\ atm,\ s) \rightarrow c\ cf$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Atm-transC-invert*[*elim*!]:
assumes $(Atm\ atm,\ s) \rightarrow c\ cf$
shows *phi*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Atm-transT-invert*[*elim*!]:
assumes $(Atm\ atm,\ s) \rightarrow t\ s'$
and $s' = aval\ atm\ s \Longrightarrow phi$
shows *phi*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Seq-transC-invert*[*elim*!]:
assumes $(c1\ ;;\ c2,\ s) \rightarrow c\ (c',\ s')$
and $\bigwedge c1'.\ [\![(c1,\ s) \rightarrow c\ (c1',s');\ c' = c1'\ ;;\ c2]\!] \Longrightarrow phi$
and $[\![(c1,\ s) \rightarrow t\ s';\ c' = c2]\!] \Longrightarrow phi$
shows *phi*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Seq-transT-invert*[*simp*]:
$\sim (c1\ ;;\ c2,\ s) \rightarrow t\ s'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *If-transC-invert*[*elim*!]:
assumes (*If tst c1 c2*, *s*) $\rightarrow c$ (*c'*, *s'*)
and $[\![$*tval tst s*; *c'* = *c1*; *s'* = *s*$]\!] \Longrightarrow$ *phi*
and $[\![\sim$ *tval tst s*; *c'* = *c2*; *s'* = *s*$]\!] \Longrightarrow$ *phi*
shows *phi*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *If-transT-simp*[*simp*]:
$\sim$ (*If b c1 c2*, *s*) $\rightarrow t$ *s'*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *If-transT-invert*[*elim*!]:
assumes (*If b c1 c2*, *s*) $\rightarrow t$ *s'*
shows *phi*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *While-transC-invert*[*elim*]:
assumes (*While tst c1*, *s*) $\rightarrow c$ (*c'*, *s'*)
and $[\![$*tval tst s*; *c'* = *c1* ;; (*While tst c1*); *s'* = *s*$]\!] \Longrightarrow$ *phi*
shows *phi*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *While-transT-invert*[*elim*!]:
assumes (*While tst c1*, *s*) $\rightarrow t$ *s'*
and $[\![\sim$ *tval tst s*; *s'* = *s*$]\!] \Longrightarrow$ *phi*
shows *phi*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Par-transC-invert*[*elim*!]:
assumes (*Par c1 c2*, *s*) $\rightarrow c$ (*c'*, *s'*)
and $\bigwedge$ *c1'*. $[\![$(*c1*, *s*) $\rightarrow c$ (*c1'*,*s'*); *c'* = *Par c1' c2*$]\!] \Longrightarrow$ *phi*
and $[\![$(*c1*, *s*) $\rightarrow t$ *s'*; *c'* = *c2*$]\!] \Longrightarrow$ *phi*
and $\bigwedge$ *c2'*. $[\![$(*c2*, *s*) $\rightarrow c$ (*c2'*,*s'*); *c'* = *Par c1 c2'*$]\!] \Longrightarrow$ *phi*
and $[\![$(*c2*, *s*) $\rightarrow t$ *s'*; *c'* = *c1*$]\!] \Longrightarrow$ *phi*
shows *phi*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Par-transT-simp*[*simp*]:
$\sim$ (*Par c1 c2*, *s*) $\rightarrow t$ *s'*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Par-transT-invert*[*elim*!]:
assumes (*Par c1 c2*, *s*) $\rightarrow t$ *s'*
shows *phi*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *trans-nchotomy*:
($\exists$ *c' s'*. (*c*,*s*) $\rightarrow c$ (*c'*,*s'*)) $\vee$
($\exists$ *s'*. (*c*,*s*) $\rightarrow t$ *s'*)

⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *trans-invert*:
assumes
$\bigwedge c'\ s'.\ (c,s) \to c\ (c',s') \Longrightarrow phi$
and $\bigwedge s'.\ (c,s) \to t\ s' \Longrightarrow phi$
shows *phi*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *not-transC-transT*:
$[\![cf \to c\ cf';\ cf \to t\ s']\!] \Longrightarrow phi$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *MtransT-invert* = *MtransT.cases*

lemma *MtransT-invert2*:
assumes $(c,\ s) \to * t\ s''$
and $\bigwedge c'\ s'.\ [\![(c,s) \to * c\ (c',s');\ (c',\ s') \to t\ s']\!] \Longrightarrow phi$
shows *phi*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Seq-MtransC-invert*[*elim*!]:
assumes $(c1\ ;;\ c2,\ s) \to * c\ (d',\ t')$
and $\bigwedge c1'.\ [\![(c1,\ s) \to * c\ (c1',t');\ d' = c1'\ ;;\ c2]\!] \Longrightarrow phi$
and $\bigwedge s'.\ [\![(c1,\ s) \to * t\ s';\ (c2,\ s') \to * c\ (d',t')]\!] \Longrightarrow phi$
shows *phi*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Seq-MtransT-invert*[*elim*!]:
assumes $*$: $(c1\ ;;\ c2,\ s) \to * t\ s''$
and $**$: $\bigwedge s'.\ [\![(c1,\ s) \to * t\ s';\ (c2,\ s') \to * t\ s']\!] \Longrightarrow phi$
shows *phi*
⟨*proof*⟩

Direct rules for the multi-step relations

lemma *Seq-MtransC*[*simp*]:
assumes $(c1,\ s) \to * c\ (c1',\ s')$
shows $(c1\ ;;\ c2,\ s) \to * c\ (c1'\ ;;\ c2,\ s')$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Seq-MtransT-MtransC*[*simp*]:
assumes $(c1,\ s) \to * t\ s'$
shows $(c1\ ;;\ c2,\ s) \to * c\ (c2,\ s')$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ParCL-MtransC*[*simp*]:
assumes $(c1,\ s) \to * c\ (c1',\ s')$
shows $(Par\ c1\ c2,\ s) \to * c\ (Par\ c1'\ c2,\ s')$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ParCR-MtransC*[*simp*]:
assumes $(c2,\ s) \rightarrow* c\ (c2',\ s')$
shows $(Par\ c1\ c2,\ s) \rightarrow* c\ (Par\ c1\ c2',\ s')$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ParTL-MtransC*[*simp*]:
assumes $(c1,\ s) \rightarrow* t\ s'$
shows $(Par\ c1\ c2,\ s) \rightarrow* c\ (c2,\ s')$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ParTR-MtransC*[*simp*]:
assumes $(c2,\ s) \rightarrow* t\ s'$
shows $(Par\ c1\ c2,\ s) \rightarrow* c\ (c1,\ s')$
⟨*proof*⟩

3.2 Sublanguages

fun *noWhile* **where**
$noWhile\ (Atm\ atm) = True$
$|noWhile\ (c1\ ;;\ c2) = (noWhile\ c1 \wedge noWhile\ c2)$
$|noWhile\ (If\ b\ c1\ c2) = (noWhile\ c1 \wedge noWhile\ c2)$
$|noWhile\ (While\ b\ c) = False$
$|noWhile\ (Par\ c1\ c2) = (noWhile\ c1 \wedge noWhile\ c2)$

fun *seq* **where**
$seq\ (Atm\ atm) = True$
$|seq\ (c1\ ;;\ c2) = (seq\ c1 \wedge seq\ c2)$
$|seq\ (If\ b\ c1\ c2) = (seq\ c1 \wedge seq\ c2)$
$|seq\ (While\ b\ c) = seq\ c$
$|seq\ (Par\ c1\ c2) = False$

lemma *noWhile-transC*:
assumes *noWhile c* **and** $(c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s')$
shows $noWhile\ c'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *seq-transC*:
assumes *seq c* **and** $(c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s')$
shows $seq\ c'$
⟨*proof*⟩

abbreviation *wfP-on* **where**
$wfP\text{-}on\ phi\ A \equiv wfP\ (\lambda a\ b.\ a \in A \wedge b \in A \wedge phi\ a\ b)$

fun *numSt* **where**
$numSt\ (Atm\ atm) = Suc\ 0$

$|numSt\ (c1\ ;;\ c2) = numSt\ c1 + numSt\ c2$
$|numSt\ (If\ b\ c1\ c2) = 1 + max\ (numSt\ c1)\ (numSt\ c2)$
$|numSt\ (Par\ c1\ c2) = numSt\ c1 + numSt\ c2$

lemma *numSt-gt-0*[*simp*]:
$noWhile\ c \Longrightarrow numSt\ c > 0$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *numSt-transC*:
assumes $noWhile\ c$ **and** $(c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s')$
shows $numSt\ c' < numSt\ c$
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *wfP-tranC-noWhile*:
$wfP\ (\lambda\ (c',s')\ (c,s).\ noWhile\ c \wedge (c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s'))$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *noWhile-MtransT*:
assumes $noWhile\ c$
shows $\exists\ s'.\ (c,s) \rightarrow *t\ s'$
⟨*proof*⟩

coinductive *mayDiverge* **where**
intro:
$[\![(c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s') \wedge mayDiverge\ c'\ s']\!]$
$\Longrightarrow mayDiverge\ c\ s$

Coinduction for may-diverge :

lemma *mayDiverge-coind*[*consumes 1*, *case-names Hyp*, *induct pred*: *mayDiverge*]:
assumes $*$: $phi\ c\ s$ **and**
$**$: $\bigwedge\ c\ s.\ phi\ c\ s \Longrightarrow$
$\exists\ c'\ s'.\ (c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s') \wedge (phi\ c'\ s' \vee mayDiverge\ c'\ s')$
shows $mayDiverge\ c\ s$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mayDiverge-raw-coind*[*consumes 1*, *case-names Hyp*]:
assumes $*$: $phi\ c\ s$ **and**
$**$: $\bigwedge\ c\ s.\ phi\ c\ s \Longrightarrow$
$\exists\ c'\ s'.\ (c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s') \wedge phi\ c'\ s'$
shows $mayDiverge\ c\ s$
⟨*proof*⟩

May-diverge versus transition:

lemma *mayDiverge-transC*:
assumes $mayDiverge\ c\ s$
shows $\exists\ c'\ s'.\ (c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s') \wedge mayDiverge\ c'\ s'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *transC-mayDiverge*:
assumes $(c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s')$ **and** *mayDiverge* $c'\ s'$
shows *mayDiverge* $c\ s$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mayDiverge-not-transT*:
assumes *mayDiverge* $c\ s$
shows $\neg\ (c,s) \rightarrow t\ s'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *MtransC-mayDiverge*:
assumes $(c,s) \rightarrow * c\ (c',s')$ **and** *mayDiverge* $c'\ s'$
shows *mayDiverge* $c\ s$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *not-MtransT-mayDiverge*:
assumes $\bigwedge s'.\ \neg\ (c,s) \rightarrow * t\ s'$
shows *mayDiverge* $c\ s$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *not-mayDiverge-Atm*[*simp*]:
$\neg$ *mayDiverge* (*Atm atm*) *s*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mayDiverge-Seq-L*:
assumes *mayDiverge c1 s*
shows *mayDiverge* (*c1* ;; *c2*) *s*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mayDiverge-Seq-R*:
assumes *c1*: $(c1,\ s) \rightarrow * t\ s'$ **and** *c2*: *mayDiverge c2 s'*
shows *mayDiverge* (*c1* ;; *c2*) *s*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mayDiverge-If-L*:
assumes *tval tst s* **and** *mayDiverge c1 s*
shows *mayDiverge* (*If tst c1 c2*) *s*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mayDiverge-If-R*:
assumes $\neg$ *tval tst s* **and** *mayDiverge c2 s*
shows *mayDiverge* (*If tst c1 c2*) *s*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mayDiverge-If*:
assumes *mayDiverge c1 s* **and** *mayDiverge c2 s*
shows *mayDiverge* (*If tst c1 c2*) *s*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mayDiverge-Par-L*:
assumes *mayDiverge c1 s*
shows *mayDiverge* (*Par c1 c2*) *s*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mayDiverge-Par-R*:
assumes *mayDiverge c2 s*
shows *mayDiverge* (*Par c1 c2*) *s*
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *mustT* **where**
mustT c s ≡ ¬ *mayDiverge c s*

lemma *mustT-transC*:
assumes *mustT c s* **and** (*c*,*s*) →*c* (*c*′,*s*′)
shows *mustT c*′ *s*′
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *transT-not-mustT*:
assumes (*c*,*s*) →*t s*′
shows *mustT c s*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mustT-MtransC*:
assumes *mustT c s* **and** (*c*,*s*) →∗*c* (*c*′,*s*′)
shows *mustT c*′ *s*′
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mustT-MtransT*:
assumes *mustT c s*
shows ∃ *s*′. (*c*,*s*) →∗*t s*′
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mustT-Atm*[*simp*]:
mustT (*Atm atm*) *s*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mustT-Seq-L*:
assumes *mustT* (*c1* ;; *c2*) *s*
shows *mustT c1 s*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mustT-Seq-R*:
assumes *mustT* (*c1* ;; *c2*) *s* **and** (*c1*, *s*) →∗*t s*′
shows *mustT c2 s*′
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mustT-If-L*:
assumes *tval tst s* **and** *mustT* (*If tst c1 c2*) *s*
shows *mustT c1 s*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mustT-If-R*:
assumes ¬ *tval tst s* **and** *mustT* (*If tst c1 c2*) *s*
shows *mustT c2 s*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mustT-If*:
assumes *mustT* (*If tst c1 c2*) *s*
shows *mustT c1 s* ∨ *mustT c2 s*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mustT-Par-L*:
assumes *mustT* (*Par c1 c2*) *s*
shows *mustT c1 s*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mustT-Par-R*:
assumes *mustT* (*Par c1 c2*) *s*
shows *mustT c2 s*
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *determOn* **where**
determOn phi r ≡
∀ *a b b'*. *phi a* ∧ *r a b* ∧ *r a b'* ⟶ *b* = *b'*

lemma *determOn-seq-transT*:
determOn (λ(*c*,*s*). *seq c*) *transT*
⟨*proof*⟩

end

end

4 During-execution security

theory *During-Execution*
imports *Bisim Language-Semantics* **begin**

4.1 Basic setting

locale *PL-Indis* = *PL tval aval*
for

tval :: *'test* ⇒ *'state* ⇒ *bool* **and**
aval :: *'atom* ⇒ *'state* ⇒ *'state*
+
fixes
indis :: *'state rel*
assumes
equiv-indis: *equiv UNIV indis*

context *PL-Indis*
begin

abbreviation *indisAbbrev* (**infix** ≈ *50*)
where *s1* ≈ *s2* ≡ (*s1*,*s2*) ∈ *indis*

definition *indisE* (**infix** ≈*e* *50*) **where**
se1 ≈*e* *se2* ≡
case (*se1*,*se2*) *of*
(*Inl s1*, *Inl s2*) ⇒ *s1* ≈ *s2*
|(*Inr err1*, *Inr err2*) ⇒ *err1* = *err2*

lemma *refl-indis*: *refl indis*
and *trans-indis*: *trans indis*
and *sym-indis*: *sym indis*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *indis-refl*[*intro*]: *s* ≈ *s*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *indis-trans*: ⟦*s* ≈ *s'*; *s'* ≈ *s''*⟧ ⟹ *s* ≈ *s''*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *indis-sym*: *s* ≈ *s'* ⟹ *s'* ≈ *s*
⟨*proof*⟩

4.2 Compatibility and discreetness

definition *compatTst* **where**
compatTst tst ≡
∀ *s t*. *s* ≈ *t* ⟶ *tval tst s* = *tval tst t*

definition *compatAtm* **where**
compatAtm atm ≡
∀ *s t*. *s* ≈ *t* ⟶ *aval atm s* ≈ *aval atm t*

definition *presAtm* **where**
presAtm atm ≡

$\forall\ s.\ s \approx aval\ atm\ s$

coinductive *discr* **where**
intro:
$[\![\bigwedge\ s\ c'\ s'.\ (c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s') \Longrightarrow s \approx s' \wedge discr\ c';$
$\bigwedge\ s\ s'.\ (c,s) \rightarrow t\ s' \Longrightarrow s \approx s'\,]\!]$
$\Longrightarrow discr\ c$

lemma *presAtm-compatAtm*[*simp*]:
assumes *presAtm atm*
shows *compatAtm atm*
⟨*proof*⟩

Coinduction for discreetness:

lemma *discr-coind*:
assumes $*$: *phi c* **and**
$**$: $\bigwedge\ c\ s\ c'\ s'.\ [\![phi\ c;\ (c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s')]\!] \Longrightarrow s \approx s' \wedge (phi\ c' \vee discr\ c')$ **and**
$***$: $\bigwedge\ c\ s\ s'.\ [\![phi\ c;\ (c,s) \rightarrow t\ s']\!] \Longrightarrow s \approx s'$
shows *discr c*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *discr-raw-coind*:
assumes $*$: *phi c* **and**
$**$: $\bigwedge\ c\ s\ c'\ s'.\ [\![phi\ c;\ (c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s')]\!] \Longrightarrow s \approx s' \wedge phi\ c'$ **and**
$***$: $\bigwedge\ c\ s\ s'.\ [\![phi\ c;\ (c,s) \rightarrow t\ s']\!] \Longrightarrow s \approx s'$
shows *discr c*
⟨*proof*⟩

Discreetness versus transition:

lemma *discr-transC*:
assumes $*$: *discr c* **and** $**$: $(c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s')$
shows *discr c′*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *discr-MtransC*:
assumes *discr c* **and** $(c,s) \rightarrow * c\ (c',s')$
shows *discr c′*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *discr-transC-indis*:
assumes $*$: *discr c* **and** $**$: $(c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s')$
shows $s \approx s'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *discr-MtransC-indis*:
assumes *discr c* **and** $(c,s) \rightarrow * c\ (c',s')$
shows $s \approx s'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *discr-transT*:
assumes $*$: *discr c* **and** $**$: $(c,s) \to t\ s'$
shows $s \approx s'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *discr-MtransT*:
assumes $*$: *discr c* **and** $**$: $(c,s) \to * t\ s'$
shows $s \approx s'$
⟨*proof*⟩

4.3 Terminating-interctive discreetness

coinductive *discr0* **where**
intro:
$[\![\bigwedge s\ c'\ s'.\ [\![mustT\ c\ s;\ (c,s) \to c\ (c',s')]\!] \Longrightarrow s \approx s' \wedge discr0\ c';$
$\bigwedge s\ s'.\ [\![mustT\ c\ s;\ (c,s) \to t\ s']\!] \Longrightarrow s \approx s']\!]$
$\Longrightarrow discr0\ c$

Coinduction for 0-discreetness:

lemma *discr0-coind*[*consumes 1*, *case-names Cont Term*, *induct pred*: *discr0*]:
assumes $*$: *phi c* **and**
$**$: $\bigwedge c\ s\ c'\ s'.$
$[\![mustT\ c\ s;\ phi\ c;\ (c,s) \to c\ (c',s')]\!] \Longrightarrow$
$s \approx s' \wedge (phi\ c' \vee discr0\ c')$ **and**
$***$: $\bigwedge c\ s\ s'.\ [\![mustT\ c\ s;\ phi\ c;\ (c,s) \to t\ s']\!] \Longrightarrow s \approx s'$
shows *discr0 c*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *discr0-raw-coind*[*consumes 1*, *case-names Cont Term*]:
assumes $*$: *phi c* **and**
$**$: $\bigwedge c\ s\ c'\ s'.\ [\![mustT\ c\ s;\ phi\ c;\ (c,s) \to c\ (c',s')]\!] \Longrightarrow s \approx s' \wedge phi\ c'$ **and**
$***$: $\bigwedge c\ s\ s'.\ [\![mustT\ c\ s;\ phi\ c;\ (c,s) \to t\ s']\!] \Longrightarrow s \approx s'$
shows *discr0 c*
⟨*proof*⟩

0-Discreetness versus transition:

lemma *discr0-transC*:
assumes $*$: *discr0 c* **and** $**$: $mustT\ c\ s\ (c,s) \to c\ (c',s')$
shows *discr0 c'*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *discr0-MtransC*:
assumes *discr0 c* **and** $mustT\ c\ s\ (c,s) \to * c\ (c',s')$
shows *discr0 c'*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *discr0-transC-indis*:
assumes $*$: *discr0 c* **and** $**$: $mustT\ c\ s\ (c,s) \to c\ (c',s')$
shows $s \approx s'$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *discr0-MtransC-indis*:
assumes *discr0 c* **and** *mustT c s* $(c,s) \rightarrow* c\ (c',s')$
shows $s \approx s'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *discr0-transT*:
assumes $*$: *discr0 c* **and** $**$: *mustT c s* $(c,s) \rightarrow t\ s'$
shows $s \approx s'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *discr0-MtransT*:
assumes $*$: *discr0 c* **and** $***$: *mustT c s* **and** $**$: $(c,s) \rightarrow* t\ s'$
shows $s \approx s'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *discr-discr0*[*simp*]: *discr c* $\Longrightarrow$ *discr0 c*
⟨*proof*⟩

4.4 Self-isomorphism

coinductive *siso* **where**
intro:
$[\![\bigwedge s\ c'\ s'.\ (c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s') \Longrightarrow siso\ c';$
$\bigwedge s\ t\ c'\ s'.\ [\![s \approx t;\ (c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s')]\!] \Longrightarrow \exists\ t'.\ s' \approx t' \wedge (c,t) \rightarrow c\ (c',t');$
$\bigwedge s\ t\ s'.\ [\![s \approx t;\ (c,s) \rightarrow t\ s']\!] \Longrightarrow \exists\ t'.\ s' \approx t' \wedge (c,t) \rightarrow t\ t']\!]$
$\Longrightarrow siso\ c$

Coinduction for self-isomorphism:

lemma *siso-coind*:
assumes $*$: *phi c* **and**
$**$: $\bigwedge c\ s\ c'\ s'.\ [\![phi\ c;\ (c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s')]\!] \Longrightarrow phi\ c' \vee siso\ c'$ **and**
$***$: $\bigwedge c\ s\ t\ c'\ s'.\ [\![phi\ c;\ s \approx t;\ (c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s')]\!] \Longrightarrow \exists\ t'.\ s' \approx t' \wedge (c,t) \rightarrow c\ (c',t')$ **and**
$****$: $\bigwedge c\ s\ t\ s'.\ [\![phi\ c;\ s \approx t;\ (c,s) \rightarrow t\ s']\!] \Longrightarrow \exists\ t'.\ s' \approx t' \wedge (c,t) \rightarrow t\ t'$
shows *siso c*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *siso-raw-coind*:
assumes $*$: *phi c* **and**
$**$: $\bigwedge c\ s\ c'\ s'.\ [\![phi\ c;\ (c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s')]\!] \Longrightarrow phi\ c'$ **and**
$***$: $\bigwedge c\ s\ t\ c'\ s'.\ [\![phi\ c;\ s \approx t;\ (c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s')]\!] \Longrightarrow \exists\ t'.\ s' \approx t' \wedge (c,t) \rightarrow c\ (c',t')$ **and**
$****$: $\bigwedge c\ s\ t\ s'.\ [\![phi\ c;\ s \approx t;\ (c,s) \rightarrow t\ s']\!] \Longrightarrow \exists\ t'.\ s' \approx t' \wedge (c,t) \rightarrow t\ t'$
shows *siso c*
⟨*proof*⟩

Self-Isomorphism versus transition:

lemma *siso-transC*:
assumes $*$: *siso c* **and** $**$: $(c,s) \to c\ (c',s')$
shows *siso* c'
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *siso-MtransC*:
assumes *siso c* **and** $(c,s) \to *c\ (c',s')$
shows *siso* c'
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *siso-transC-indis*:
assumes $*$: *siso c* **and** $**$: $(c,s) \to c\ (c',s')$ **and** $***$: $s \approx t$
shows $\exists\ t'.\ s' \approx t' \wedge (c,t) \to c\ (c',t')$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *siso-transT*:
assumes $*$: *siso c* **and** $**$: $(c,s) \to t\ s'$ **and** $***$: $s \approx t$
shows $\exists\ t'.\ s' \approx t' \wedge (c,t) \to t\ t'$
⟨*proof*⟩

4.5 MustT-interactive self-isomorphism

coinductive *siso0* **where**
intro:
$[\![\bigwedge s\ c'\ s'.\ [\![mustT\ c\ s;\ (c,s) \to c\ (c',s')]\!] \Longrightarrow siso0\ c';$
$\bigwedge s\ t\ c'\ s'.$
$[\![mustT\ c\ s;\ mustT\ c\ t;\ s \approx t;\ (c,s) \to c\ (c',s')]\!] \Longrightarrow$
$\exists\ t'.\ s' \approx t' \wedge (c,t) \to c\ (c',t');$
$\bigwedge s\ t\ s'.$
$[\![mustT\ c\ s;\ mustT\ c\ t;\ s \approx t;\ (c,s) \to t\ s']\!] \Longrightarrow$
$\exists\ t'.\ s' \approx t' \wedge (c,t) \to t\ t']\!]$
$\Longrightarrow siso0\ c$

Coinduction for self-isomorphism:

lemma *siso0-coind*[*consumes 1*, *case-names Indef Cont Term*, *induct pred*: *discr0*]:
assumes $*$: *phi c* **and**
$**$: $\bigwedge c\ s\ c'\ s'.\ [\![phi\ c;\ mustT\ c\ s;\ (c,s) \to c\ (c',s')]\!] \Longrightarrow phi\ c' \vee siso0\ c'$ **and**
$***$: $\bigwedge c\ s\ t\ c'\ s'.$
$[\![phi\ c;\ mustT\ c\ s;\ mustT\ c\ t;\ s \approx t;\ (c,s) \to c\ (c',s')]\!] \Longrightarrow$
$\exists\ t'.\ s' \approx t' \wedge (c,t) \to c\ (c',t')$ **and**
$****$: $\bigwedge c\ s\ t\ s'.$
$[\![mustT\ c\ s;\ mustT\ c\ t;\ phi\ c;\ s \approx t;\ (c,s) \to t\ s']\!] \Longrightarrow$
$\exists\ t'.\ s' \approx t' \wedge (c,t) \to t\ t'$
shows *siso0 c*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *siso0-raw-coind*[*consumes 1*, *case-names Indef Cont Term*]:
assumes $*$: *phi c* **and**
$**$: $\bigwedge c\ s\ c'\ s'.\ [\![phi\ c;\ mustT\ c\ s;\ (c,s) \to c\ (c',s')]\!] \Longrightarrow phi\ c'$ **and**

$***$: $\bigwedge$ c s t c' s'.
$[\![phi\ c;\ mustT\ c\ s;\ mustT\ c\ t;\ s \approx t;\ (c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s')]\!] \Longrightarrow$
$\exists\ t'.\ s' \approx t' \wedge (c,t) \rightarrow c\ (c',t')$ **and**
$****$: $\bigwedge$ c s t s'.
$[\![phi\ c;\ mustT\ c\ s;\ mustT\ c\ t;\ s \approx t;\ (c,s) \rightarrow t\ s']\!] \Longrightarrow$
$\exists\ t'.\ s' \approx t' \wedge (c,t) \rightarrow t\ t'$
shows *siso0 c*
⟨*proof*⟩

Self-Isomorphism versus transition:

lemma *siso0-transC*:
assumes $*$: *siso0 c* **and** $**$: *mustT c s* $(c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s')$
shows *siso0 c'*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *siso0-MtransC*:
assumes *siso0 c* **and** *mustT c s* **and** $(c,s) \rightarrow\!* c\ (c',s')$
shows *siso0 c'*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *siso0-transC-indis*:
assumes $*$: *siso0 c*
and $**$: *mustT c s mustT c t* $(c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s')$
and $***$: $s \approx t$
shows $\exists\ t'.\ s' \approx t' \wedge (c,t) \rightarrow c\ (c',t')$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *siso0-transT*:
assumes $*$: *siso0 c*
and $**$: *mustT c s mustT c t* $(c,s) \rightarrow t\ s'$
and $***$: $s \approx t$
shows $\exists\ t'.\ s' \approx t' \wedge (c,t) \rightarrow t\ t'$
⟨*proof*⟩

4.6 Notions of bisimilarity

Matchers:

definition *matchC-C* **where**
matchC-C theta c d $\equiv$
$\forall\ s\ t\ c'\ s'.$
$s \approx t \wedge (c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s')$
$\longrightarrow$
$(\exists\ d'\ t'.\ (d,t) \rightarrow c\ (d',t') \wedge s' \approx t' \wedge (c',d') \in theta)$

definition *matchC-ZOC* **where**
matchC-ZOC theta c d $\equiv$
$\forall\ s\ t\ c'\ s'.$
$s \approx t \wedge (c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s')$
$\longrightarrow$

$(s' \approx t \wedge (c',d) \in theta)$
$\vee$
$(\exists\ d'\ t'.\ (d,t) \to c\ (d',t') \wedge s' \approx t' \wedge (c',d') \in theta)$

definition *matchC-ZO* **where**
matchC-ZO theta c d $\equiv$
$\forall\ s\ t\ c'\ s'.$
$s \approx t \wedge (c,s) \to c\ (c',s')$
$\longrightarrow$
$(s' \approx t \wedge (c',d) \in theta)$
$\vee$
$(\exists\ d'\ t'.\ (d,t) \to c\ (d',t') \wedge s' \approx t' \wedge (c',d') \in theta)$
$\vee$
$(\exists\ t'.\ (d,t) \to t\ t' \wedge s' \approx t' \wedge discr\ c')$

definition *matchT-T* **where**
matchT-T c d $\equiv$
$\forall\ s\ t\ s'.$
$s \approx t \wedge (c,s) \to t\ s'$
$\longrightarrow$
$(\exists\ t'.\ (d,t) \to t\ t' \wedge s' \approx t')$

definition *matchT-ZO* **where**
matchT-ZO c d $\equiv$
$\forall\ s\ t\ s'.$
$s \approx t \wedge (c,s) \to t\ s'$
$\longrightarrow$
$(s' \approx t \wedge discr\ d)$
$\vee$
$(\exists\ d'\ t'.\ (d,t) \to c\ (d',t') \wedge s' \approx t' \wedge discr\ d')$
$\vee$
$(\exists\ t'.\ (d,t) \to t\ t' \wedge s' \approx t')$

definition *matchC-MC* **where**
matchC-MC theta c d $\equiv$
$\forall\ s\ t\ c'\ s'.$
$s \approx t \wedge (c,s) \to c\ (c',s')$
$\longrightarrow$
$(\exists\ d'\ t'.\ (d,t) \to *c\ (d',t') \wedge s' \approx t' \wedge (c',d') \in theta)$

definition *matchC-TMC* **where**
matchC-TMC theta c d $\equiv$
$\forall\ s\ t\ c'\ s'.$
$mustT\ c\ s \wedge mustT\ d\ t \wedge s \approx t \wedge (c,s) \to c\ (c',s')$
$\longrightarrow$
$(\exists\ d'\ t'.\ (d,t) \to *c\ (d',t') \wedge s' \approx t' \wedge (c',d') \in theta)$

definition *matchC-M* **where**
matchC-M theta c d ≡
∀ *s t c′ s′*.
s ≈ *t* ∧ (*c*,*s*) →*c* (*c′*,*s′*)
⟶
(∃ *d′ t′*. (*d*,*t*) →∗*c* (*d′*,*t′*) ∧ *s′* ≈ *t′* ∧ (*c′*,*d′*) ∈ *theta*)
∨
(∃ *t′*. (*d*,*t*) →∗*t t′* ∧ *s′* ≈ *t′* ∧ *discr c′*)

definition *matchT-MT* **where**
matchT-MT c d ≡
∀ *s t s′*.
s ≈ *t* ∧ (*c*,*s*) →*t s′*
⟶
(∃ *t′*. (*d*,*t*) →∗*t t′* ∧ *s′* ≈ *t′*)

definition *matchT-TMT* **where**
matchT-TMT c d ≡
∀ *s t s′*.
mustT c s ∧ *mustT d t* ∧ *s* ≈ *t* ∧ (*c*,*s*) →*t s′*
⟶
(∃ *t′*. (*d*,*t*) →∗*t t′* ∧ *s′* ≈ *t′*)

definition *matchT-M* **where**
matchT-M c d ≡
∀ *s t s′*.
s ≈ *t* ∧ (*c*,*s*) →*t s′*
⟶
(∃ *d′ t′*. (*d*,*t*) →∗*c* (*d′*,*t′*) ∧ *s′* ≈ *t′* ∧ *discr d′*)
∨
(∃ *t′*. (*d*,*t*) →∗*t t′* ∧ *s′* ≈ *t′*)

lemmas *match-defs* =
matchC-C-def
matchC-ZOC-def matchC-ZO-def
matchT-T-def matchT-ZO-def
matchC-MC-def matchC-M-def
matchT-MT-def matchT-M-def
matchC-TMC-def matchT-TMT-def

lemma *matchC-C-def2*:
matchC-C theta d c =
(∀ *s t d′ t′*.
s ≈ *t* ∧ (*d*,*t*) →*c* (*d′*,*t′*)
⟶
(∃ *c′ s′*. (*c*,*s*) →*c* (*c′*,*s′*) ∧ *s′* ≈ *t′* ∧ (*d′*,*c′*) ∈ *theta*))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *matchC-ZOC-def2*:
matchC-ZOC theta d c=
($\forall$ s t d' t'.
$s \approx t \wedge (d,t) \rightarrow c\ (d',t')$
$\longrightarrow$
$(s \approx t' \wedge (d',c) \in theta)$
$\vee$
$(\exists\ c'\ s'.\ (c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s') \wedge s' \approx t' \wedge (d',c') \in theta)$)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *matchC-ZO-def2*:
matchC-ZO theta d c =
($\forall$ s t d' t'.
$s \approx t \wedge (d,t) \rightarrow c\ (d',t')$
$\longrightarrow$
$(s \approx t' \wedge (d',c) \in theta)$
$\vee$
$(\exists\ c'\ s'.\ (c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s') \wedge s' \approx t' \wedge (d',c') \in theta)$
$\vee$
$(\exists\ s'.\ (c,s) \rightarrow t\ s' \wedge s' \approx t' \wedge discr\ d')$)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *matchT-T-def2*:
matchT-T d c =
($\forall$ s t t'.
$s \approx t \wedge (d,t) \rightarrow t\ t'$
$\longrightarrow$
$(\exists\ s'.\ (c,s) \rightarrow t\ s' \wedge s' \approx t')$)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *matchT-ZO-def2*:
matchT-ZO d c =
($\forall$ s t t'.
$s \approx t \wedge (d,t) \rightarrow t\ t'$
$\longrightarrow$
$(s \approx t' \wedge discr\ c)$
$\vee$
$(\exists\ c'\ s'.\ (c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s') \wedge s' \approx t' \wedge discr\ c')$
$\vee$
$(\exists\ s'.\ (c,s) \rightarrow t\ s' \wedge s' \approx t')$)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *matchC-MC-def2*:
matchC-MC theta d c=
($\forall$ s t d' t'.
$s \approx t \wedge (d,t) \rightarrow c\ (d',t')$

$\longrightarrow$
$(\exists\ c'\ s'.\ (c,s) \rightarrow\!\!* c\ (c',s') \wedge s' \approx t' \wedge (d',c') \in theta))$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *matchC-TMC-def2*:
matchC-TMC theta d c=
$(\forall\ s\ t\ d'\ t'.$
$mustT\ c\ s \wedge mustT\ d\ t \wedge s \approx t \wedge (d,t) \rightarrow c\ (d',t')$
$\longrightarrow$
$(\exists\ c'\ s'.\ (c,s) \rightarrow\!\!* c\ (c',s') \wedge s' \approx t' \wedge (d',c') \in theta))$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *matchC-M-def2*:
matchC-M theta d c =
$(\forall\ s\ t\ d'\ t'.$
$s \approx t \wedge (d,t) \rightarrow c\ (d',t')$
$\longrightarrow$
$(\exists\ c'\ s'.\ (c,s) \rightarrow\!\!* c\ (c',s') \wedge s' \approx t' \wedge (d',c') \in theta)$
$\vee$
$(\exists\ s'.\ (c,s) \rightarrow\!\!* t\ s' \wedge s' \approx t' \wedge discr\ d'))$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *matchT-MT-def2*:
matchT-MT d c =
$(\forall\ s\ t\ t'.$
$s \approx t \wedge (d,t) \rightarrow t\ t'$
$\longrightarrow$
$(\exists\ s'.\ (c,s) \rightarrow\!\!* t\ s' \wedge s' \approx t'))$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *matchT-TMT-def2*:
matchT-TMT d c =
$(\forall\ s\ t\ t'.$
$mustT\ c\ s \wedge mustT\ d\ t \wedge s \approx t \wedge (d,t) \rightarrow t\ t'$
$\longrightarrow$
$(\exists\ s'.\ (c,s) \rightarrow\!\!* t\ s' \wedge s' \approx t'))$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *matchT-M-def2*:
matchT-M d c =
$(\forall\ s\ t\ t'.$
$s \approx t \wedge (d,t) \rightarrow t\ t'$
$\longrightarrow$
$(\exists\ c'\ s'.\ (c,s) \rightarrow\!\!* c\ (c',s') \wedge s' \approx t' \wedge discr\ c')$
$\vee$
$(\exists\ s'.\ (c,s) \rightarrow\!\!* t\ s' \wedge s' \approx t'))$
⟨*proof*⟩

Retracts:

definition *Sretr* **where**
Sretr theta ≡
{(*c*,*d*).
matchC-C theta c d ∧
matchT-T c d}

definition *ZOretr* **where**
ZOretr theta ≡
{(*c*,*d*).
matchC-ZO theta c d ∧
matchT-ZO c d}

definition *ZOretrT* **where**
ZOretrT theta ≡
{(*c*,*d*).
matchC-ZOC theta c d ∧
matchT-T c d}

definition *Wretr* **where**
Wretr theta ≡
{(*c*,*d*).
matchC-M theta c d ∧
matchT-M c d }

definition *WretrT* **where**
WretrT theta ≡
{(*c*,*d*).
matchC-MC theta c d ∧
matchT-MT c d}

definition *RetrT* **where**
RetrT theta ≡
{(*c*,*d*).
matchC-TMC theta c d ∧
matchT-TMT c d}

lemmas *Retr-defs* =
Sretr-def
ZOretr-def ZOretrT-def
Wretr-def WretrT-def
RetrT-def

The associated bisimilarity relations:

definition *Sbis* **where** *Sbis* ≡ *bis Sretr*

definition *ZObis* **where** *ZObis* ≡ *bis ZOretr*
definition *ZObisT* **where** *ZObisT* ≡ *bis ZOretrT*
definition *Wbis* **where** *Wbis* ≡ *bis Wretr*
definition *WbisT* **where** *WbisT* ≡ *bis WretrT*
definition *BisT* **where** *BisT* ≡ *bis RetrT*

lemmas *bis-defs* =
Sbis-def
ZObis-def ZObisT-def
Wbis-def WbisT-def
BisT-def

abbreviation *Sbis-abbrev* (**infix** ≈*s 55*) **where** *c1* ≈*s c2* ≡ (*c1*,*c2*) ∈ *Sbis*
abbreviation *ZObis-abbrev* (**infix** ≈*01 55*) **where** *c1* ≈*01 c2* ≡ (*c1*,*c2*) ∈ *ZObis*
abbreviation *ZObisT-abbrev* (**infix** ≈*01T 55*) **where** *c1* ≈*01T c2* ≡ (*c1*,*c2*) ∈ *ZObisT*
abbreviation *Wbis-abbrev* (**infix** ≈*w 55*) **where** *c1* ≈*w c2* ≡ (*c1*,*c2*) ∈ *Wbis*
abbreviation *WbisT-abbrev* (**infix** ≈*wT 55*) **where** *c1* ≈*wT c2* ≡ (*c1*,*c2*) ∈ *WbisT*
abbreviation *BisT-abbrev* (**infix** ≈*T 55*) **where** *c1* ≈*T c2* ≡ (*c1*,*c2*) ∈ *BisT*

lemma *mono-Retr*:
mono Sretr
mono ZOretr mono ZOretrT
mono Wretr mono WretrT
mono RetrT
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Sbis-prefix*:
Sbis ⊆ *Sretr Sbis*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Sbis-sym*: *sym Sbis*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Sbis-Sym*: *c* ≈*s d* ⟹ *d* ≈*s c*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Sbis-converse*:
((*c*,*d*) ∈ *theta*^−*1* ∪ *Sbis*) = ((*d*,*c*) ∈ *theta* ∪ *Sbis*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma
Sbis-matchC-C: ⋀ *s t*. *c* ≈*s d* ⟹ *matchC-C Sbis c d*
and
Sbis-matchT-T: ⋀ *c d*. *c* ≈*s d* ⟹ *matchT-T c d*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *Sbis-step* = *Sbis-matchC-C Sbis-matchT-T*

lemma
Sbis-matchC-C-rev: $\bigwedge$ *s t*. *s* ≈*s t* ⟹ *matchC-C Sbis t s*
and
Sbis-matchT-T-rev: $\bigwedge$ *s t*. *s* ≈*s t* ⟹ *matchT-T t s*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *Sbis-step-rev* = *Sbis-matchC-C-rev Sbis-matchT-T-rev*

lemma *Sbis-coind*:
assumes *sym theta* **and** *theta* ⊆ *Sretr* (*theta* ∪ *Sbis*)
shows *theta* ⊆ *Sbis*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Sbis-raw-coind*:
assumes *sym theta* **and** *theta* ⊆ *Sretr theta*
shows *theta* ⊆ *Sbis*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Sbis-coind2*:
assumes *theta* ⊆ *Sretr* (*theta* ∪ *Sbis*) **and**
theta ^−1 ⊆ *Sretr* ((*theta* ^−1) ∪ *Sbis*)
shows *theta* ⊆ *Sbis*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Sbis-raw-coind2*:
assumes *theta* ⊆ *Sretr theta* **and**
theta ^−1 ⊆ *Sretr* (*theta* ^−1)
shows *theta* ⊆ *Sbis*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ZObis-prefix*:
ZObis ⊆ *ZOretr ZObis*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ZObis-sym*: *sym ZObis*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ZObis-converse*:
((*c*,*d*) ∈ *theta*^−1 ∪ *ZObis*) = ((*d*,*c*) ∈ *theta* ∪ *ZObis*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ZObis-Sym*: *s* ≈*01 t* ⟹ *t* ≈*01 s*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma

ZObis-matchC-ZO: $\bigwedge s\ t.\ s \approx 01\ t \Longrightarrow$ *matchC-ZO ZObis s t*
and
ZObis-matchT-ZO: $\bigwedge s\ t.\ s \approx 01\ t \Longrightarrow$ *matchT-ZO s t*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *ZObis-step = ZObis-matchC-ZO ZObis-matchT-ZO*

lemma
ZObis-matchC-ZO-rev: $\bigwedge s\ t.\ s \approx 01\ t \Longrightarrow$ *matchC-ZO ZObis t s*
and
ZObis-matchT-ZO-rev: $\bigwedge s\ t.\ s \approx 01\ t \Longrightarrow$ *matchT-ZO t s*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *ZObis-step-rev = ZObis-matchC-ZO-rev ZObis-matchT-ZO-rev*

lemma *ZObis-coind*:
assumes *sym theta* **and** *theta* $\subseteq$ *ZOretr* (*theta* $\cup$ *ZObis*)
shows *theta* $\subseteq$ *ZObis*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ZObis-raw-coind*:
assumes *sym theta* **and** *theta* $\subseteq$ *ZOretr theta*
shows *theta* $\subseteq$ *ZObis*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ZObis-coind2*:
assumes *theta* $\subseteq$ *ZOretr* (*theta* $\cup$ *ZObis*) **and**
theta $\hat{}-1 \subseteq$ *ZOretr* ((*theta* $\hat{}-1$) $\cup$ *ZObis*)
shows *theta* $\subseteq$ *ZObis*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ZObis-raw-coind2*:
assumes *theta* $\subseteq$ *ZOretr theta* **and**
theta $\hat{}-1 \subseteq$ *ZOretr* (*theta* $\hat{}-1$)
shows *theta* $\subseteq$ *ZObis*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ZObisT-prefix*:
ZObisT $\subseteq$ *ZOretrT ZObisT*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ZObisT-sym*: *sym ZObisT*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ZObisT-Sym*: $s \approx 01T\ t \Longrightarrow t \approx 01T\ s$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ZObisT-converse*:

$((c,d) \in theta\hat{}{-}1 \cup ZObisT) = ((d,c) \in theta \cup ZObisT)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma
ZObisT-matchC-ZOC: $\bigwedge s\ t.\ s \approx 01T\ t \Longrightarrow matchC\text{-}ZOC\ ZObisT\ s\ t$
and
ZObisT-matchT-T: $\bigwedge s\ t.\ s \approx 01T\ t \Longrightarrow matchT\text{-}T\ s\ t$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *ZObisT-step* = *ZObisT-matchC-ZOC ZObisT-matchT-T*

lemma
ZObisT-matchC-ZOC-rev: $\bigwedge s\ t.\ s \approx 01T\ t \Longrightarrow matchC\text{-}ZOC\ ZObisT\ t\ s$
and
ZObisT-matchT-T-rev: $\bigwedge s\ t.\ s \approx 01T\ t \Longrightarrow matchT\text{-}T\ t\ s$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *ZObisT-step-rev* = *ZObisT-matchC-ZOC-rev ZObisT-matchT-T-rev*

lemma *ZObisT-coind*:
assumes *sym theta* **and** $theta \subseteq ZOretrT\ (theta \cup ZObisT)$
shows $theta \subseteq ZObisT$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ZObisT-raw-coind*:
assumes *sym theta* **and** $theta \subseteq ZOretrT\ theta$
shows $theta \subseteq ZObisT$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ZObisT-coind2*:
assumes $theta \subseteq ZOretrT\ (theta \cup ZObisT)$ **and**
$theta\ \hat{}{-}1 \subseteq ZOretrT\ ((theta\ \hat{}{-}1) \cup ZObisT)$
shows $theta \subseteq ZObisT$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ZObisT-raw-coind2*:
assumes $theta \subseteq ZOretrT\ theta$ **and**
$theta\ \hat{}{-}1 \subseteq ZOretrT\ (theta\ \hat{}{-}1)$
shows $theta \subseteq ZObisT$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Wbis-prefix*:
$Wbis \subseteq Wretr\ Wbis$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Wbis-sym*: *sym Wbis*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Wbis-converse*:
$((c,d) \in theta\hat{}{-1} \cup Wbis) = ((d,c) \in theta \cup Wbis)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Wbis-Sym*: $c \approx w\ d \Longrightarrow d \approx w\ c$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma
Wbis-matchC-M: $\bigwedge c\ d.\ c \approx w\ d \Longrightarrow matchC\text{-}M\ Wbis\ c\ d$
and
Wbis-matchT-M: $\bigwedge c\ d.\ c \approx w\ d \Longrightarrow matchT\text{-}M\ c\ d$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *Wbis-step* = *Wbis-matchC-M Wbis-matchT-M*

lemma
Wbis-matchC-M-rev: $\bigwedge s\ t.\ s \approx w\ t \Longrightarrow matchC\text{-}M\ Wbis\ t\ s$
and
Wbis-matchT-M-rev: $\bigwedge s\ t.\ s \approx w\ t \Longrightarrow matchT\text{-}M\ t\ s$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *Wbis-step-rev* = *Wbis-matchC-M-rev Wbis-matchT-M-rev*

lemma *Wbis-coind*:
assumes *sym theta* **and** $theta \subseteq Wretr\ (theta \cup Wbis)$
shows $theta \subseteq Wbis$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Wbis-raw-coind*:
assumes *sym theta* **and** $theta \subseteq Wretr\ theta$
shows $theta \subseteq Wbis$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Wbis-coind2*:
assumes $theta \subseteq Wretr\ (theta \cup Wbis)$ **and**
$theta\ \hat{}{-1} \subseteq Wretr\ ((theta\ \hat{}{-1}) \cup Wbis)$
shows $theta \subseteq Wbis$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Wbis-raw-coind2*:
assumes $theta \subseteq Wretr\ theta$ **and**
$theta\ \hat{}{-1} \subseteq Wretr\ (theta\ \hat{}{-1})$
shows $theta \subseteq Wbis$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *WbisT-prefix*:
$WbisT \subseteq WretrT\ WbisT$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *WbisT-sym*: *sym WbisT*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *WbisT-Sym*: $c \approx wT\ d \Longrightarrow d \approx wT\ c$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *WbisT-converse*:
$((c,d) \in theta\hat{}{-1} \cup WbisT) = ((d,c) \in theta \cup WbisT)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma
WbisT-matchC-MC: $\bigwedge c\ d.\ c \approx wT\ d \Longrightarrow matchC\text{-}MC\ WbisT\ c\ d$
and
WbisT-matchT-MT: $\bigwedge c\ d.\ c \approx wT\ d \Longrightarrow matchT\text{-}MT\ c\ d$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *WbisT-step* = *WbisT-matchC-MC WbisT-matchT-MT*

lemma
WbisT-matchC-MC-rev: $\bigwedge s\ t.\ s \approx wT\ t \Longrightarrow matchC\text{-}MC\ WbisT\ t\ s$
and
WbisT-matchT-MT-rev: $\bigwedge s\ t.\ s \approx wT\ t \Longrightarrow matchT\text{-}MT\ t\ s$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *WbisT-step-rev* = *WbisT-matchC-MC-rev WbisT-matchT-MT-rev*

lemma *WbisT-coind*:
assumes *sym theta* **and** $theta \subseteq WretrT\ (theta \cup WbisT)$
shows $theta \subseteq WbisT$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *WbisT-raw-coind*:
assumes *sym theta* **and** $theta \subseteq WretrT\ theta$
shows $theta \subseteq WbisT$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *WbisT-coind2*:
assumes $theta \subseteq WretrT\ (theta \cup WbisT)$ **and**
$theta\ \hat{}{-1} \subseteq WretrT\ ((theta\ \hat{}{-1}) \cup WbisT)$
shows $theta \subseteq WbisT$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *WbisT-raw-coind2*:
assumes $theta \subseteq WretrT\ theta$ **and**
$theta\ \hat{}{-1} \subseteq WretrT\ (theta\ \hat{}{-1})$
shows $theta \subseteq WbisT$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *WbisT-coinduct*[*consumes 1*, *case-names sym cont termi*]:
assumes φ: $\varphi\ c\ d$
assumes S: $\bigwedge c\ d.\ \varphi\ c\ d \Longrightarrow \varphi\ d\ c$
assumes C: $\bigwedge c\ s\ d\ t\ c'\ s'.$
$[\![\ \varphi\ c\ d\ ;\ s \approx t\ ;\ (c,\ s) \rightarrow c\ (c',\ s')\ ]\!] \Longrightarrow \exists\, d'\ t'.\ (d,\ t) \rightarrow\!* c\ (d',\ t') \wedge s' \approx t' \wedge (\varphi\ c'\ d' \vee c' \approx wT\ d')$
assumes T: $\bigwedge c\ s\ d\ t\ s'.$
$[\![\ \varphi\ c\ d\ ;\ s \approx t\ ;\ (c,\ s) \rightarrow t\ s'\ ]\!] \Longrightarrow \exists\, t'.\ (d,\ t) \rightarrow\!* t\ t' \wedge s' \approx t'$
shows $c \approx wT\ d$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *BisT-prefix*:
$BisT \subseteq RetrT\ BisT$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *BisT-sym*: $sym\ BisT$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *BisT-Sym*: $c \approx T\ d \Longrightarrow d \approx T\ c$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *BisT-converse*:
$((c,d) \in theta\hat{}{-1} \cup BisT) = ((d,c) \in theta \cup BisT)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma
BisT-matchC-TMC: $\bigwedge\ c\ d.\ c \approx T\ d \Longrightarrow matchC\text{-}TMC\ BisT\ c\ d$
and
BisT-matchT-TMT: $\bigwedge\ c\ d.\ c \approx T\ d \Longrightarrow matchT\text{-}TMT\ c\ d$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas $BisT\text{-}step = BisT\text{-}matchC\text{-}TMC\ BisT\text{-}matchT\text{-}TMT$

lemma
BisT-matchC-TMC-rev: $\bigwedge\ c\ d.\ c \approx T\ d \Longrightarrow matchC\text{-}TMC\ BisT\ d\ c$
and
BisT-matchT-TMT-rev: $\bigwedge\ c\ d.\ c \approx T\ d \Longrightarrow matchT\text{-}TMT\ d\ c$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas $BisT\text{-}step\text{-}rev = BisT\text{-}matchC\text{-}TMC\text{-}rev\ BisT\text{-}matchT\text{-}TMT\text{-}rev$

lemma *BisT-coind*:
assumes $sym\ theta$ **and** $theta \subseteq RetrT\ (theta \cup BisT)$
shows $theta \subseteq BisT$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *BisT-raw-coind*:
assumes $sym\ theta$ **and** $theta \subseteq RetrT\ theta$

shows *theta* $\subseteq$ *BisT*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *BisT-coind2*:
assumes *theta* $\subseteq$ *RetrT* (*theta* $\cup$ *BisT*) **and**
theta ^*−1* $\subseteq$ *RetrT* ((*theta* ^*−1*) $\cup$ *BisT*)
shows *theta* $\subseteq$ *BisT*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *BisT-raw-coind2*:
assumes *theta* $\subseteq$ *RetrT theta* **and**
theta ^*−1* $\subseteq$ *RetrT* (*theta* ^*−1*)
shows *theta* $\subseteq$ *BisT*
⟨*proof*⟩

Inclusions between bisimilarities:

lemma *match-imp*[*simp*]:
$\bigwedge$ *theta c1 c2*. *matchC-C theta c1 c2* $\Longrightarrow$ *matchC-ZOC theta c1 c2*

$\bigwedge$ *theta c1 c2*. *matchC-ZOC theta c1 c2* $\Longrightarrow$ *matchC-ZO theta c1 c2*

$\bigwedge$ *theta c1 c2*. *matchC-ZOC theta c1 c2* $\Longrightarrow$ *matchC-MC theta c1 c2*

$\bigwedge$ *theta c1 c2*. *matchC-ZO theta c1 c2* $\Longrightarrow$ *matchC-M theta c1 c2*

$\bigwedge$ *theta c1 c2*. *matchC-MC theta c1 c2* $\Longrightarrow$ *matchC-M theta c1 c2*

$\bigwedge$ *c1 c2*. *matchT-T c1 c2* $\Longrightarrow$ *matchT-ZO c1 c2*

$\bigwedge$ *c1 c2*. *matchT-T c1 c2* $\Longrightarrow$ *matchT-MT c1 c2*

$\bigwedge$ *c1 c2*. *matchT-ZO c1 c2* $\Longrightarrow$ *matchT-M c1 c2*

$\bigwedge$ *c1 c2*. *matchT-MT c1 c2* $\Longrightarrow$ *matchT-M c1 c2*

$\bigwedge$ *theta c1 c2*. *matchC-MC theta c1 c2* $\Longrightarrow$ *matchC-TMC theta c1 c2*

$\bigwedge$ *theta c1 c2*. *matchT-MT c1 c2* $\Longrightarrow$ *matchT-TMT c1 c2*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Retr-incl*:
$\bigwedge$*theta*. *Sretr theta* $\subseteq$ *ZOretrT theta*

$\bigwedge$*theta*. *ZOretrT theta* $\subseteq$ *ZOretr theta*

$\bigwedge$*theta*. *ZOretrT theta* $\subseteq$ *WretrT theta*

$\bigwedge$*theta*. *ZOretr theta* $\subseteq$ *Wretr theta*

$\bigwedge theta.\ WretrT\ theta \subseteq Wretr\ theta$

$\bigwedge theta.\ WretrT\ theta \subseteq RetrT\ theta$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *bis-incl*:
$Sbis \subseteq ZObisT$

$ZObisT \subseteq ZObis$

$ZObisT \subseteq WbisT$

$ZObis \subseteq Wbis$

$WbisT \subseteq Wbis$

$WbisT \subseteq BisT$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *bis-imp*[*simp*]:
$\bigwedge\ c1\ c2.\ c1 \approx s\ c2 \Longrightarrow c1 \approx 01T\ c2$

$\bigwedge\ c1\ c2.\ c1 \approx 01T\ c2 \Longrightarrow c1 \approx 01\ c2$

$\bigwedge\ c1\ c2.\ c1 \approx 01T\ c2 \Longrightarrow c1 \approx wT\ c2$

$\bigwedge\ c1\ c2.\ c1 \approx 01\ c2 \Longrightarrow c1 \approx w\ c2$

$\bigwedge\ c1\ c2.\ c1 \approx wT\ c2 \Longrightarrow c1 \approx w\ c2$

$\bigwedge\ c1\ c2.\ c1 \approx wT\ c2 \Longrightarrow c1 \approx T\ c2$
⟨*proof*⟩

Self-isomorphism implies strong bisimilarity:

lemma *siso-Sbis*[*simp*]:
assumes *siso c*
shows $c \approx s\ c$
⟨*proof*⟩

0-Self-isomorphism implies weak T 0-bisimilarity:

lemma *siso0-Sbis*[*simp*]:
assumes *siso0 c*
shows $c \approx T\ c$
⟨*proof*⟩

end

end

5 Compasitionality of the during-execution security notions

theory *Compositionality* **imports** *During-Execution* **begin**

context *PL-Indis*
begin

5.1 Discreetness versus language constructs:

theorem *discr-Atm*[*simp*]:
discr (*Atm atm*) = *presAtm atm*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *discr-If*[*simp*]:
assumes *discr c1* **and** *discr c2*
shows *discr* (*If tst c1 c2*)
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *discr-Seq*[*simp*]:
assumes ∗: *discr c1* **and** ∗∗: *discr c2*
shows *discr* (*c1* ;; *c2*)
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *discr-While*[*simp*]:
assumes *discr c*
shows *discr* (*While tst c*)
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *discr-Par*[*simp*]:
assumes ∗: *discr c1* **and** ∗∗: *discr c2*
shows *discr* (*Par c1 c2*)
⟨*proof*⟩

5.2 Discreetness versus language constructs:

theorem *discr0-Atm*[*simp*]:
discr0 (*Atm atm*) = *presAtm atm*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *discr0-If*[*simp*]:
assumes *discr0 c1* **and** *discr0 c2*
shows *discr0* (*If tst c1 c2*)

⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *discr0-Seq*[*simp*]:
assumes ∗: *discr0 c1* **and** ∗∗: *discr0 c2*
shows *discr0* (*c1* ;; *c2*)
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *discr0-While*[*simp*]:
assumes *discr0 c*
shows *discr0* (*While tst c*)
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *discr0-Par*[*simp*]:
assumes ∗: *discr0 c1* **and** ∗∗: *discr0 c2*
shows *discr0* (*Par c1 c2*)
⟨*proof*⟩

5.3 Self-Isomorphism versus language constructs:

theorem *siso-Atm*[*simp*]:
siso (*Atm atm*) = *compatAtm atm*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *siso-If*[*simp*]:
assumes *compatTst tst* **and** *siso c1* **and** *siso c2*
shows *siso* (*If tst c1 c2*)
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *siso-Seq*[*simp*]:
assumes ∗: *siso c1* **and** ∗∗: *siso c2*
shows *siso* (*c1* ;; *c2*)
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *siso-While*[*simp*]:
assumes *compatTst tst* **and** *siso c*
shows *siso* (*While tst c*)
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *siso-Par*[*simp*]:
assumes ∗: *siso c1* **and** ∗∗: *siso c2*
shows *siso* (*Par c1 c2*)
⟨*proof*⟩

5.4 Self-Isomorphism versus language constructs:

theorem *siso0-Atm*[*simp*]:
siso0 (*Atm atm*) = *compatAtm atm*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *siso0-If*[*simp*]:

assumes *compatTst tst* **and** *siso0 c1* **and** *siso0 c2*
shows *siso0* (*If tst c1 c2*)
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *siso0-Seq*[*simp*]:
assumes ∗: *siso0 c1* **and** ∗∗: *siso0 c2*
shows *siso0* (*c1* ;; *c2*)
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *siso0-While*[*simp*]:
assumes *compatTst tst* **and** *siso0 c*
shows *siso0* (*While tst c*)
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *siso0-Par*[*simp*]:
assumes ∗: *siso0 c1* **and** ∗∗: *siso0 c2*
shows *siso0* (*Par c1 c2*)
⟨*proof*⟩

5.5 Strong bisimilarity versus language constructs

Atomic commands:

definition *thetaAtm* **where**
thetaAtm atm ≡ {(*Atm atm*, *Atm atm*)}

lemma *thetaAtm-sym*:
sym (*thetaAtm atm*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaAtm-Sretr*:
assumes *compatAtm atm*
shows *thetaAtm atm* ⊆ *Sretr* (*thetaAtm atm*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaAtm-Sbis*:
assumes *compatAtm atm*
shows *thetaAtm atm* ⊆ *Sbis*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *Atm-Sbis*[*simp*]:
assumes *compatAtm atm*
shows *Atm atm* ≈*s* *Atm atm*
⟨*proof*⟩

Sequential composition:

definition *thetaSeq* **where**
thetaSeq ≡
{(*c1* ;; *c2*, *d1* ;; *d2*) | *c1 c2 d1 d2*. *c1* ≈*s* *d1* ∧ *c2* ≈*s* *d2*}

lemma *thetaSeq-sym*:
sym thetaSeq
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaSeq-Sretr*:
thetaSeq ⊆ *Sretr* (*thetaSeq Un Sbis*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaSeq-Sbis*:
thetaSeq ⊆ *Sbis*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *Seq-Sbis*[*simp*]:
assumes *c1* ≈*s d1* **and** *c2* ≈*s d2*
shows *c1* ;; *c2* ≈*s d1* ;; *d2*
⟨*proof*⟩

Conditional:

definition *thetaIf* **where**
thetaIf ≡
{(*If tst c1 c2*, *If tst d1 d2*) | *tst c1 c2 d1 d2*. *compatTst tst* ∧ *c1* ≈*s d1* ∧ *c2* ≈*s d2*}

lemma *thetaIf-sym*:
sym thetaIf
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaIf-Sretr*:
thetaIf ⊆ *Sretr* (*thetaIf Un Sbis*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaIf-Sbis*:
thetaIf ⊆ *Sbis*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *If-Sbis*[*simp*]:
assumes *compatTst tst* **and** *c1* ≈*s d1* **and** *c2* ≈*s d2*
shows *If tst c1 c2* ≈*s If tst d1 d2*
⟨*proof*⟩

While loop:

definition *thetaWhile* **where**
thetaWhile ≡
{(*While tst c*, *While tst d*) | *tst c d*. *compatTst tst* ∧ *c* ≈*s d*} *Un*
{(*c1* ;; (*While tst c*), *d1* ;; (*While tst d*)) | *tst c1 d1 c d*. *compatTst tst* ∧ *c1* ≈*s d1* ∧ *c* ≈*s d*}

lemma *thetaWhile-sym*:
sym thetaWhile

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaWhile-Sretr*:
thetaWhile ⊆ *Sretr* (*thetaWhile Un Sbis*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaWhile-Sbis*:
thetaWhile ⊆ *Sbis*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *While-Sbis*[*simp*]:
assumes *compatTst tst* **and** *c* ≈*s d*
shows *While tst c* ≈*s While tst d*
⟨*proof*⟩

Parallel composition:

definition *thetaPar* **where**
thetaPar ≡
{(*Par c1 c2*, *Par d1 d2*) | *c1 c2 d1 d2*. *c1* ≈*s d1* ∧ *c2* ≈*s d2*}

lemma *thetaPar-sym*:
sym thetaPar
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaPar-Sretr*:
thetaPar ⊆ *Sretr* (*thetaPar Un Sbis*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaPar-Sbis*:
thetaPar ⊆ *Sbis*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *Par-Sbis*[*simp*]:
assumes *c1* ≈*s d1* **and** *c2* ≈*s d2*
shows *Par c1 c2* ≈*s Par d1 d2*
⟨*proof*⟩

5.5.1 01T-bisimilarity versus language constructs

Atomic commands:

theorem *Atm-ZObisT*:
assumes *compatAtm atm*
shows *Atm atm* ≈*01T Atm atm*
⟨*proof*⟩

Sequential composition:

definition *thetaSeqZOT* **where**
thetaSeqZOT ≡

$\{(c1\ ;;\ c2,\ d1\ ;;\ d2) \mid c1\ c2\ d1\ d2.\ c1 \approx 01T\ d1 \wedge c2 \approx 01T\ d2\}$

lemma *thetaSeqZOT-sym*:
sym thetaSeqZOT
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaSeqZOT-ZOretrT*:
thetaSeqZOT $\subseteq$ *ZOretrT* (*thetaSeqZOT Un ZObisT*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaSeqZOT-ZObisT*:
thetaSeqZOT $\subseteq$ *ZObisT*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *Seq-ZObisT*[*simp*]:
assumes *c1* $\approx 01T$ *d1* **and** *c2* $\approx 01T$ *d2*
shows *c1 ;; c2* $\approx 01T$ *d1 ;; d2*
⟨*proof*⟩

Conditional:

definition *thetaIfZOT* **where**
thetaIfZOT $\equiv$
$\{(If\ tst\ c1\ c2,\ If\ tst\ d1\ d2) \mid tst\ c1\ c2\ d1\ d2.\ compatTst\ tst \wedge c1 \approx 01T\ d1 \wedge c2 \approx 01T\ d2\}$

lemma *thetaIfZOT-sym*:
sym thetaIfZOT
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaIfZOT-ZOretrT*:
thetaIfZOT $\subseteq$ *ZOretrT* (*thetaIfZOT Un ZObisT*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaIfZOT-ZObisT*:
thetaIfZOT $\subseteq$ *ZObisT*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *If-ZObisT*[*simp*]:
assumes *compatTst tst* **and** *c1* $\approx 01T$ *d1* **and** *c2* $\approx 01T$ *d2*
shows *If tst c1 c2* $\approx 01T$ *If tst d1 d2*
⟨*proof*⟩

While loop:

definition *thetaWhileZOT* **where**
thetaWhileZOT $\equiv$
$\{(While\ tst\ c,\ While\ tst\ d) \mid tst\ c\ d.\ compatTst\ tst \wedge c \approx 01T\ d\}$ *Un*
$\{(c1\ ;;\ (While\ tst\ c),\ d1\ ;;\ (While\ tst\ d)) \mid tst\ c1\ d1\ c\ d.\ compatTst\ tst \wedge c1 \approx 01T\ d1 \wedge c \approx 01T\ d\}$

lemma *thetaWhileZOT-sym*:
sym thetaWhileZOT
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaWhileZOT-ZOretrT*:
thetaWhileZOT ⊆ *ZOretrT* (*thetaWhileZOT Un ZObisT*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaWhileZOT-ZObisT*:
thetaWhileZOT ⊆ *ZObisT*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *While-ZObisT*[*simp*]:
assumes *compatTst tst* **and** *c* ≈*01T d*
shows *While tst c* ≈*01T While tst d*
⟨*proof*⟩

Parallel composition:

definition *thetaParZOT* **where**
thetaParZOT ≡
{(*Par c1 c2*, *Par d1 d2*) | *c1 c2 d1 d2*. *c1* ≈*01T d1* ∧ *c2* ≈*01T d2*}

lemma *thetaParZOT-sym*:
sym thetaParZOT
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaParZOT-ZOretrT*:
thetaParZOT ⊆ *ZOretrT* (*thetaParZOT Un ZObisT*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaParZOT-ZObisT*:
thetaParZOT ⊆ *ZObisT*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *Par-ZObisT*[*simp*]:
assumes *c1* ≈*01T d1* **and** *c2* ≈*01T d2*
shows *Par c1 c2* ≈*01T Par d1 d2*
⟨*proof*⟩

5.5.2 01-bisimilarity versus language constructs

Discreetness:

theorem *discr-ZObis*[*simp*]:
assumes ∗: *discr c* **and** ∗∗: *discr d*
shows *c* ≈*01 d*
⟨*proof*⟩

Atomic commands:

theorem *Atm-ZObis*[*simp*]:

assumes *compatAtm atm*
shows *Atm atm ≈01 Atm atm*
⟨*proof*⟩

Sequential composition:

definition *thetaSeqZO* **where**
thetaSeqZO ≡
{(*c1* ;; *c2*, *d1* ;; *d2*) | *c1 c2 d1 d2*. *c1 ≈01T d1* ∧ *c2 ≈01 d2*}

lemma *thetaSeqZO-sym*:
sym thetaSeqZO
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaSeqZO-ZOretr*:
thetaSeqZO ⊆ *ZOretr* (*thetaSeqZO Un ZObis*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaSeqZO-ZObis*:
thetaSeqZO ⊆ *ZObis*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *Seq-ZObisT-ZObis*[*simp*]:
assumes *c1 ≈01T d1* **and** *c2 ≈01 d2*
shows *c1* ;; *c2 ≈01 d1* ;; *d2*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *Seq-siso-ZObis*[*simp*]:
assumes *siso e* **and** *c2 ≈01 d2*
shows *e* ;; *c2 ≈01 e* ;; *d2*
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *thetaSeqZOD* **where**
thetaSeqZOD ≡
{(*c1* ;; *c2*, *d1* ;; *d2*) | *c1 c2 d1 d2*. *c1 ≈01 d1* ∧ *discr c2* ∧ *discr d2*}

lemma *thetaSeqZOD-sym*:
sym thetaSeqZOD
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaSeqZOD-ZOretr*:
thetaSeqZOD ⊆ *ZOretr* (*thetaSeqZOD Un ZObis*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaSeqZOD-ZObis*:
thetaSeqZOD ⊆ *ZObis*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *Seq-ZObis-discr*[*simp*]:
assumes *c1* $\approx$*01 d1* **and** *discr c2* **and** *discr d2*
shows *c1* ;; *c2* $\approx$*01 d1* ;; *d2*
⟨*proof*⟩

Conditional:

definition *thetaIfZO* **where**
thetaIfZO ≡
{(*If tst c1 c2*, *If tst d1 d2*) | *tst c1 c2 d1 d2*. *compatTst tst* ∧ *c1* $\approx$*01 d1* ∧ *c2* $\approx$*01 d2*}

lemma *thetaIfZO-sym*:
sym thetaIfZO
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaIfZO-ZOretr*:
thetaIfZO ⊆ *ZOretr* (*thetaIfZO Un ZObis*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaIfZO-ZObis*:
thetaIfZO ⊆ *ZObis*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *If-ZObis*[*simp*]:
assumes *compatTst tst* **and** *c1* $\approx$*01 d1* **and** *c2* $\approx$*01 d2*
shows *If tst c1 c2* $\approx$*01 If tst d1 d2*
⟨*proof*⟩

While loop:

01-bisimilarity does not interact with / preserve the While construct in any interesting way.

Parallel composition:

definition *thetaParZOL1* **where**
thetaParZOL1 ≡
{(*Par c1 c2*, *d*) | *c1 c2 d*. *c1* $\approx$*01 d* ∧ *discr c2*}

lemma *thetaParZOL1-ZOretr*:
thetaParZOL1 ⊆ *ZOretr* (*thetaParZOL1 Un ZObis*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaParZOL1-converse-ZOretr*:
thetaParZOL1 ^−1 ⊆ *ZOretr* (*thetaParZOL1* ^−1 *Un ZObis*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaParZOL1-ZObis*:
thetaParZOL1 ⊆ *ZObis*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *Par-ZObis-discrL1*[*simp*]:
assumes *c1* $\approx$*01 d* **and** *discr c2*
shows *Par c1 c2* $\approx$*01 d*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *Par-ZObis-discrR1*[*simp*]:
assumes *c* $\approx$*01 d1* **and** *discr d2*
shows *c* $\approx$*01 Par d1 d2*
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *thetaParZOL2* **where**
thetaParZOL2 $\equiv$
$\{(Par\ c1\ c2,\ d) \mid c1\ c2\ d.\ discr\ c1 \wedge c2 \approx 01\ d\}$

lemma *thetaParZOL2-ZOretr*:
thetaParZOL2 $\subseteq$ *ZOretr* (*thetaParZOL2 Un ZObis*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaParZOL2-converse-ZOretr*:
thetaParZOL2 $\hat{}-1 \subseteq$ *ZOretr* (*thetaParZOL2* $\hat{}-1$ *Un ZObis*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaParZOL2-ZObis*:
thetaParZOL2 $\subseteq$ *ZObis*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *Par-ZObis-discrL2*[*simp*]:
assumes *c2* $\approx$*01 d* **and** *discr c1*
shows *Par c1 c2* $\approx$*01 d*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *Par-ZObis-discrR2*[*simp*]:
assumes *c* $\approx$*01 d2* **and** *discr d1*
shows *c* $\approx$*01 Par d1 d2*
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *thetaParZO* **where**
thetaParZO $\equiv$
$\{(Par\ c1\ c2,\ Par\ d1\ d2) \mid c1\ c2\ d1\ d2.\ c1 \approx 01\ d1 \wedge c2 \approx 01\ d2\}$

lemma *thetaParZO-sym*:
sym thetaParZO
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaParZO-ZOretr*:
thetaParZO $\subseteq$ *ZOretr* (*thetaParZO Un ZObis*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaParZO-ZObis*:
thetaParZO $\subseteq$ *ZObis*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *Par-ZObis*[*simp*]:
assumes *c1* $\approx$*01 d1* **and** *c2* $\approx$*01 d2*
shows *Par c1 c2* $\approx$*01 Par d1 d2*
⟨*proof*⟩

5.5.3 WT-bisimilarity versus language constructs

Discreetness:

theorem *noWhile-discr-WbisT*[*simp*]:
assumes *noWhile c1* **and** *noWhile c2*
and *discr c1* **and** *discr c2*
shows *c1* $\approx$*wT c2*
⟨*proof*⟩

Atomic commands:

theorem *Atm-WbisT*:
assumes *compatAtm atm*
shows *Atm atm* $\approx$*wT Atm atm*
⟨*proof*⟩

Sequential composition:

definition *thetaSeqWT* **where**
thetaSeqWT $\equiv$
$\{(c1 ;; c2, d1 ;; d2) \mid c1\ c2\ d1\ d2.\ c1 \approx wT\ d1 \wedge c2 \approx wT\ d2\}$

lemma *thetaSeqWT-sym*:
sym thetaSeqWT
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaSeqWT-WretrT*:
thetaSeqWT $\subseteq$ *WretrT* (*thetaSeqWT Un WbisT*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaSeqWT-WbisT*:
thetaSeqWT $\subseteq$ *WbisT*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *Seq-WbisT*[*simp*]:
assumes *c1* $\approx$*wT d1* **and** *c2* $\approx$*wT d2*
shows *c1* ;; *c2* $\approx$*wT d1* ;; *d2*

⟨*proof*⟩

Conditional:

definition *thetaIfWT* **where**
thetaIfWT ≡
{(*If tst c1 c2*, *If tst d1 d2*) | *tst c1 c2 d1 d2*. *compatTst tst* ∧ *c1* ≈*wT d1* ∧ *c2* ≈*wT d2*}

lemma *thetaIfWT-sym*:
sym thetaIfWT
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaIfWT-WretrT*:
thetaIfWT ⊆ *WretrT* (*thetaIfWT Un WbisT*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaIfWT-WbisT*:
thetaIfWT ⊆ *WbisT*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *If-WbisT*[*simp*]:
assumes *compatTst tst* **and** *c1* ≈*wT d1* **and** *c2* ≈*wT d2*
shows *If tst c1 c2* ≈*wT If tst d1 d2*
⟨*proof*⟩

While loop:

definition *thetaWhileW* **where**
thetaWhileW ≡
{(*While tst c*, *While tst d*) | *tst c d*. *compatTst tst* ∧ *c* ≈*wT d*} *Un*
{(*c1* ;; (*While tst c*), *d1* ;; (*While tst d*)) | *tst c1 d1 c d*.
compatTst tst ∧ *c1* ≈*wT d1* ∧ *c* ≈*wT d*}

lemma *thetaWhileW-sym*:
sym thetaWhileW
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaWhileW-WretrT*:
thetaWhileW ⊆ *WretrT* (*thetaWhileW Un WbisT*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaWhileW-WbisT*:
thetaWhileW ⊆ *WbisT*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *While-WbisT*[*simp*]:
assumes *compatTst tst* **and** *c* ≈*wT d*
shows *While tst c* ≈*wT While tst d*
⟨*proof*⟩

Parallel composition:

definition *thetaParWT* **where**
thetaParWT ≡
{(*Par c1 c2*, *Par d1 d2*) | *c1 c2 d1 d2*. *c1* ≈*wT d1* ∧ *c2* ≈*wT d2*}

lemma *thetaParWT-sym*:
sym thetaParWT
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaParWT-WretrT*:
thetaParWT ⊆ *WretrT* (*thetaParWT Un WbisT*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaParWT-WbisT*:
thetaParWT ⊆ *WbisT*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *Par-WbisT*[*simp*]:
assumes *c1* ≈*wT d1* **and** *c2* ≈*wT d2*
shows *Par c1 c2* ≈*wT Par d1 d2*
⟨*proof*⟩

5.5.4 T-bisimilarity versus language constructs

T-Discreetness:

definition *thetaFDW0* **where**
thetaFDW0 ≡
{(*c1*,*c2*). *discr0 c1* ∧ *discr0 c2*}

lemma *thetaFDW0-sym*:
sym thetaFDW0
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaFDW0-RetrT*:
thetaFDW0 ⊆ *RetrT thetaFDW0*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaFDW0-BisT*:
thetaFDW0 ⊆ *BisT*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *discr0-BisT*[*simp*]:
assumes *discr0 c1* **and** *discr0 c2*
shows *c1* ≈*T c2*
⟨*proof*⟩

Atomic commands:

theorem *Atm-BisT*:
assumes *compatAtm atm*
shows *Atm atm* ≈*T Atm atm*

⟨*proof*⟩

Sequential composition:

definition *thetaSeqTT* **where**
thetaSeqTT ≡
{(*c1* ;; *c2*, *d1* ;; *d2*) | *c1 c2 d1 d2*. *c1* ≈*T d1* ∧ *c2* ≈*T d2*}

lemma *thetaSeqTT-sym*:
sym thetaSeqTT
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaSeqTT-RetrT*:
thetaSeqTT ⊆ *RetrT* (*thetaSeqTT* ∪ *BisT*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaSeqTT-BisT*:
thetaSeqTT ⊆ *BisT*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *Seq-BisT*[*simp*]:
assumes *c1* ≈*T d1* **and** *c2* ≈*T d2*
shows *c1* ;; *c2* ≈*T d1* ;; *d2*
⟨*proof*⟩

Conditional:

definition *thetaIfTT* **where**
thetaIfTT ≡
{(*If tst c1 c2*, *If tst d1 d2*) | *tst c1 c2 d1 d2*. *compatTst tst* ∧ *c1* ≈*T d1* ∧ *c2* ≈*T d2*}

lemma *thetaIfTT-sym*:
sym thetaIfTT
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaIfTT-RetrT*:
thetaIfTT ⊆ *RetrT* (*thetaIfTT* ∪ *BisT*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaIfTT-BisT*:
thetaIfTT ⊆ *BisT*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *If-BisT*[*simp*]:
assumes *compatTst tst* **and** *c1* ≈*T d1* **and** *c2* ≈*T d2*
shows *If tst c1 c2* ≈*T If tst d1 d2*
⟨*proof*⟩

While loop:

definition *thetaWhileW0* **where**

$thetaWhileW0 \equiv$
$\{(While\ tst\ c,\ While\ tst\ d) \mid tst\ c\ d.\ compatTst\ tst \wedge c \approx T\ d\} \cup$
$\{(c1\ ;;\ (While\ tst\ c),\ d1\ ;;\ (While\ tst\ d)) \mid tst\ c1\ d1\ c\ d.$
$compatTst\ tst \wedge c1 \approx T\ d1 \wedge c \approx T\ d\}$

lemma *thetaWhileW0-sym*:
$sym\ thetaWhileW0$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaWhileW0-RetrT*:
$thetaWhileW0 \subseteq RetrT\ (thetaWhileW0 \cup BisT)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaWhileW0-BisT*:
$thetaWhileW0 \subseteq BisT$
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *While-BisT*[*simp*]:
assumes $compatTst\ tst$ **and** $c \approx T\ d$
shows $While\ tst\ c \approx T\ While\ tst\ d$
⟨*proof*⟩

Parallel composition:

definition *thetaParTT* **where**
$thetaParTT \equiv$
$\{(Par\ c1\ c2,\ Par\ d1\ d2) \mid c1\ c2\ d1\ d2.\ c1 \approx T\ d1 \wedge c2 \approx T\ d2\}$

lemma *thetaParTT-sym*:
$sym\ thetaParTT$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaParTT-RetrT*:
$thetaParTT \subseteq RetrT\ (thetaParTT \cup BisT)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaParTT-BisT*:
$thetaParTT \subseteq BisT$
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *Par-BisT*[*simp*]:
assumes $c1 \approx T\ d1$ **and** $c2 \approx T\ d2$
shows $Par\ c1\ c2 \approx T\ Par\ d1\ d2$
⟨*proof*⟩

5.5.5 W-bisimilarity versus language constructs

Atomic commands:

theorem *Atm-Wbis*[*simp*]:
assumes $compatAtm\ atm$

shows *Atm atm* $\approx w$ *Atm atm*
⟨*proof*⟩

Discreetness:

theorem *discr-Wbis*[*simp*]:
assumes $*$: *discr c* **and** $**$: *discr d*
shows *c* $\approx w$ *d*
⟨*proof*⟩

Sequential composition:

definition *thetaSeqW* **where**
thetaSeqW $\equiv$
$\{(c1\ ;;\ c2,\ d1\ ;;\ d2) \mid c1\ c2\ d1\ d2.\ c1 \approx wT\ d1 \wedge c2 \approx w\ d2\}$

lemma *thetaSeqW-sym*:
sym thetaSeqW
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaSeqW-Wretr*:
thetaSeqW $\subseteq$ *Wretr* (*thetaSeqW* $\cup$ *Wbis*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaSeqW-Wbis*:
thetaSeqW $\subseteq$ *Wbis*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *Seq-WbisT-Wbis*[*simp*]:
assumes *c1* $\approx wT$ *d1* **and** *c2* $\approx w$ *d2*
shows *c1* ;; *c2* $\approx w$ *d1* ;; *d2*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *Seq-siso-Wbis*[*simp*]:
assumes *siso e* **and** *c2* $\approx w$ *d2*
shows *e* ;; *c2* $\approx w$ *e* ;; *d2*
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *thetaSeqWD* **where**
thetaSeqWD $\equiv$
$\{(c1\ ;;\ c2,\ d1\ ;;\ d2) \mid c1\ c2\ d1\ d2.\ c1 \approx w\ d1 \wedge discr\ c2 \wedge discr\ d2\}$

lemma *thetaSeqWD-sym*:
sym thetaSeqWD
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaSeqWD-Wretr*:
thetaSeqWD $\subseteq$ *Wretr* (*thetaSeqWD* $\cup$ *Wbis*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaSeqWD-Wbis*:
thetaSeqWD $\subseteq$ *Wbis*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *Seq-Wbis-discr*[*simp*]:
assumes *c1* $\approx w$ *d1* **and** *discr c2* **and** *discr d2*
shows *c1* ;; *c2* $\approx w$ *d1* ;; *d2*
⟨*proof*⟩

Conditional:

definition *thetaIfW* **where**
thetaIfW $\equiv$
$\{(If\ tst\ c1\ c2,\ If\ tst\ d1\ d2) \mid tst\ c1\ c2\ d1\ d2.\ compatTst\ tst \wedge c1 \approx w\ d1 \wedge c2 \approx w\ d2\}$

lemma *thetaIfW-sym*:
sym thetaIfW
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaIfW-Wretr*:
thetaIfW $\subseteq$ *Wretr* (*thetaIfW* $\cup$ *Wbis*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaIfW-Wbis*:
thetaIfW $\subseteq$ *Wbis*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *If-Wbis*[*simp*]:
assumes *compatTst tst* **and** *c1* $\approx w$ *d1* **and** *c2* $\approx w$ *d2*
shows *If tst c1 c2* $\approx w$ *If tst d1 d2*
⟨*proof*⟩

While loop:

Again, w-bisimilarity does not interact with / preserve the While construct in any interesting way.

Parallel composition:

definition *thetaParWL1* **where**
thetaParWL1 $\equiv$
$\{(Par\ c1\ c2,\ d) \mid c1\ c2\ d.\ c1 \approx w\ d \wedge discr\ c2\}$

lemma *thetaParWL1-Wretr*:
thetaParWL1 $\subseteq$ *Wretr* (*thetaParWL1* $\cup$ *Wbis*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaParWL1-converse-Wretr*:
thetaParWL1 $\hat{}-1 \subseteq$ *Wretr* (*thetaParWL1* $\hat{}-1 \cup$ *Wbis*)

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaParWL1-Wbis*:
thetaParWL1 ⊆ *Wbis*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *Par-Wbis-discrL1*[*simp*]:
assumes *c1* ≈*w* *d* **and** *discr c2*
shows *Par c1 c2* ≈*w* *d*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *Par-Wbis-discrR1*[*simp*]:
assumes *c* ≈*w* *d1* **and** *discr d2*
shows *c* ≈*w* *Par d1 d2*
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *thetaParWL2* **where**
thetaParWL2 ≡
{(*Par c1 c2*, *d*) | *c1 c2 d*. *discr c1* ∧ *c2* ≈*w* *d*}

lemma *thetaParWL2-Wretr*:
thetaParWL2 ⊆ *Wretr* (*thetaParWL2* ∪ *Wbis*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaParWL2-converse-Wretr*:
thetaParWL2 ^−1 ⊆ *Wretr* (*thetaParWL2* ^−1 ∪ *Wbis*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaParWL2-Wbis*:
thetaParWL2 ⊆ *Wbis*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *Par-Wbis-discrL2*[*simp*]:
assumes *c2* ≈*w* *d* **and** *discr c1*
shows *Par c1 c2* ≈*w* *d*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *Par-Wbis-discrR2*[*simp*]:
assumes *c* ≈*w* *d2* **and** *discr d1*
shows *c* ≈*w* *Par d1 d2*
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *thetaParW* **where**
thetaParW ≡
{(*Par c1 c2*, *Par d1 d2*) | *c1 c2 d1 d2*. *c1* ≈*w* *d1* ∧ *c2* ≈*w* *d2*}

lemma *thetaParW-sym*:
sym thetaParW
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaParW-Wretr*:
thetaParW ⊆ *Wretr* (*thetaParW* ∪ *Wbis*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thetaParW-Wbis*:
thetaParW ⊆ *Wbis*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *Par-Wbis*[*simp*]:
assumes *c1* ≈*w* *d1* **and** *c2* ≈*w* *d2*
shows *Par c1 c2* ≈*w* *Par d1 d2*
⟨*proof*⟩

end

end
theory *Syntactic-Criteria*
imports *Compositionality*
begin

context *PL-Indis*
begin

lemma *noWhile*[*intro*]:
noWhile (*Atm atm*)
noWhile c1 ⟹ *noWhile c2* ⟹ *noWhile* (*Seq c1 c2*)
noWhile c1 ⟹ *noWhile c2* ⟹ *noWhile* (*If tst c1 c2*)
noWhile c1 ⟹ *noWhile c2* ⟹ *noWhile* (*Par c1 c2*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *discr*[*intro*]:
presAtm atm ⟹ *discr* (*Atm atm*)
discr c1 ⟹ *discr c2* ⟹ *discr* (*Seq c1 c2*)
discr c1 ⟹ *discr c2* ⟹ *discr* (*If tst c1 c2*)
discr c ⟹ *discr* (*While tst c*)
discr c1 ⟹ *discr c2* ⟹ *discr* (*Par c1 c2*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *siso*[*intro*]:
compatAtm atm ⟹ *siso* (*Atm atm*)

siso c1 $\Longrightarrow$ *siso c2* $\Longrightarrow$ *siso* (*Seq c1 c2*)
compatTst tst $\Longrightarrow$ *siso c1* $\Longrightarrow$ *siso c2* $\Longrightarrow$ *siso* (*If tst c1 c2*)
compatTst tst $\Longrightarrow$ *siso c* $\Longrightarrow$ *siso* (*While tst c*)
siso c1 $\Longrightarrow$ *siso c2* $\Longrightarrow$ *siso* (*Par c1 c2*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Sbis*[*intro*]:
compatAtm atm $\Longrightarrow$ *Atm atm* $\approx s$ *Atm atm*
c1 $\approx s$ *c1* $\Longrightarrow$ *c2* $\approx s$ *c2* $\Longrightarrow$ *Seq c1 c2* $\approx s$ *Seq c1 c2*
compatTst tst $\Longrightarrow$ *c1* $\approx s$ *c1* $\Longrightarrow$ *c2* $\approx s$ *c2* $\Longrightarrow$ *If tst c1 c2* $\approx s$ *If tst c1 c2*
compatTst tst $\Longrightarrow$ *c* $\approx s$ *c* $\Longrightarrow$ *While tst c* $\approx s$ *While tst c*
c1 $\approx s$ *c1* $\Longrightarrow$ *c2* $\approx s$ *c2* $\Longrightarrow$ *Par c1 c2* $\approx s$ *Par c1 c2*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ZObisT*[*intro*]:
compatAtm atm $\Longrightarrow$ *Atm atm* $\approx 01T$ *Atm atm*
c1 $\approx 01T$ *c1* $\Longrightarrow$ *c2* $\approx 01T$ *c2* $\Longrightarrow$ *Seq c1 c2* $\approx 01T$ *Seq c1 c2*
compatTst tst $\Longrightarrow$ *c1* $\approx 01T$ *c1* $\Longrightarrow$ *c2* $\approx 01T$ *c2* $\Longrightarrow$ *If tst c1 c2* $\approx 01T$ *If tst c1 c2*
compatTst tst $\Longrightarrow$ *c* $\approx 01T$ *c* $\Longrightarrow$ *While tst c* $\approx 01T$ *While tst c*
c1 $\approx 01T$ *c1* $\Longrightarrow$ *c2* $\approx 01T$ *c2* $\Longrightarrow$ *Par c1 c2* $\approx 01T$ *Par c1 c2*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *BisT*[*intro*]:
compatAtm atm $\Longrightarrow$ *Atm atm* $\approx T$ *Atm atm*
c1 $\approx T$ *c1* $\Longrightarrow$ *c2* $\approx T$ *c2* $\Longrightarrow$ *Seq c1 c2* $\approx T$ *Seq c1 c2*
compatTst tst $\Longrightarrow$ *c1* $\approx T$ *c1* $\Longrightarrow$ *c2* $\approx T$ *c2* $\Longrightarrow$ *If tst c1 c2* $\approx T$ *If tst c1 c2*
compatTst tst $\Longrightarrow$ *c* $\approx T$ *c* $\Longrightarrow$ *While tst c* $\approx T$ *While tst c*
c1 $\approx T$ *c1* $\Longrightarrow$ *c2* $\approx T$ *c2* $\Longrightarrow$ *Par c1 c2* $\approx T$ *Par c1 c2*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *WbisT*[*intro*]:
compatAtm atm $\Longrightarrow$ *Atm atm* $\approx wT$ *Atm atm*
c1 $\approx wT$ *c1* $\Longrightarrow$ *c2* $\approx wT$ *c2* $\Longrightarrow$ *Seq c1 c2* $\approx wT$ *Seq c1 c2*
compatTst tst $\Longrightarrow$ *c1* $\approx wT$ *c1* $\Longrightarrow$ *c2* $\approx wT$ *c2* $\Longrightarrow$ *If tst c1 c2* $\approx wT$ *If tst c1 c2*
compatTst tst $\Longrightarrow$ *c* $\approx wT$ *c* $\Longrightarrow$ *While tst c* $\approx wT$ *While tst c*
c1 $\approx wT$ *c1* $\Longrightarrow$ *c2* $\approx wT$ *c2* $\Longrightarrow$ *Par c1 c2* $\approx wT$ *Par c1 c2*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ZObis*[*intro*]:
compatAtm atm $\Longrightarrow$ *Atm atm* ≈ 01 *Atm atm*
c1 $\approx 01T$ *c1* $\Longrightarrow$ *c2* ≈ 01 *c2* $\Longrightarrow$ *Seq c1 c2* ≈ 01 *Seq c1 c2*
c1 ≈ 01 *c1* $\Longrightarrow$ *discr c2* $\Longrightarrow$ *Seq c1 c2* ≈ 01 *Seq c1 c2*
compatTst tst $\Longrightarrow$ *c1* ≈ 01 *c1* $\Longrightarrow$ *c2* ≈ 01 *c2* $\Longrightarrow$ *If tst c1 c2* ≈ 01 *If tst c1 c2*
c1 ≈ 01 *c1* $\Longrightarrow$ *c2* ≈ 01 *c2* $\Longrightarrow$ *Par c1 c2* ≈ 01 *Par c1 c2*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Wbis*[*intro*]:
compatAtm atm $\Longrightarrow$ *Atm atm* $\approx w$ *Atm atm*

$c1 \approx wT\ c1 \Longrightarrow c2 \approx w\ c2 \Longrightarrow Seq\ c1\ c2 \approx w\ Seq\ c1\ c2$
$c1 \approx w\ c1 \Longrightarrow discr\ c2 \Longrightarrow Seq\ c1\ c2 \approx w\ Seq\ c1\ c2$
$compatTst\ tst \Longrightarrow c1 \approx w\ c1 \Longrightarrow c2 \approx w\ c2 \Longrightarrow If\ tst\ c1\ c2 \approx w\ If\ tst\ c1\ c2$
$c1 \approx w\ c1 \Longrightarrow c2 \approx w\ c2 \Longrightarrow Par\ c1\ c2 \approx w\ Par\ c1\ c2$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *discr-noWhile-WbisT*[*intro*]: $discr\ c \Longrightarrow noWhile\ c \Longrightarrow c \approx wT\ c$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *siso-ZObis*[*intro*]: $siso\ c \Longrightarrow c \approx 01\ c$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *WbisT-Wbis*[*intro*]: $c \approx wT\ c \Longrightarrow c \approx w\ c$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ZObis-Wbis*[*intro*]: $c \approx 01\ c \Longrightarrow c \approx w\ c$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *discr-BisT*[*intro*]: $discr\ c \Longrightarrow c \approx T\ c$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *WbisT-BisT*[*intro*]: $c \approx wT\ c \Longrightarrow c \approx T\ c$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ZObisT-ZObis*[*intro*]: $c \approx 01T\ c \Longrightarrow c \approx 01\ c$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *siso-ZObisT*[*intro*]: $siso\ c \Longrightarrow c \approx 01T\ c$
⟨*proof*⟩

primrec *SC-discr* **where**
$SC\text{-}discr\ (Atm\ atm) \longleftrightarrow presAtm\ atm$
$|\ SC\text{-}discr\ (Seq\ c1\ c2) \longleftrightarrow SC\text{-}discr\ c1 \wedge SC\text{-}discr\ c2$
$|\ SC\text{-}discr\ (If\ tst\ c1\ c2) \longleftrightarrow SC\text{-}discr\ c1 \wedge SC\text{-}discr\ c2$
$|\ SC\text{-}discr\ (While\ tst\ c) \longleftrightarrow SC\text{-}discr\ c$
$|\ SC\text{-}discr\ (Par\ c1\ c2) \longleftrightarrow SC\text{-}discr\ c1 \wedge SC\text{-}discr\ c2$

primrec *SC-siso* **where**
$SC\text{-}siso\ (Atm\ atm) \longleftrightarrow compatAtm\ atm$
$|\ SC\text{-}siso\ (Seq\ c1\ c2) \longleftrightarrow SC\text{-}siso\ c1 \wedge SC\text{-}siso\ c2$
$|\ SC\text{-}siso\ (If\ tst\ c1\ c2) \longleftrightarrow compatTst\ tst \wedge SC\text{-}siso\ c1 \wedge SC\text{-}siso\ c2$
$|\ SC\text{-}siso\ (While\ tst\ c) \longleftrightarrow compatTst\ tst \wedge SC\text{-}siso\ c$
$|\ SC\text{-}siso\ (Par\ c1\ c2) \longleftrightarrow SC\text{-}siso\ c1 \wedge SC\text{-}siso\ c2$

primrec *SC-WbisT* **where**
$SC\text{-}WbisT\ (Atm\ atm) \longleftrightarrow compatAtm\ atm$

$$
\begin{array}{l}
\mid \mathit{SC\text{-}WbisT}\ (\mathit{Seq}\ c1\ c2) \quad \longleftrightarrow (\mathit{SC\text{-}WbisT}\ c1 \wedge \mathit{SC\text{-}WbisT}\ c2) \vee \\
\qquad\qquad (\mathit{noWhile}\ (\mathit{Seq}\ c1\ c2) \wedge \mathit{SC\text{-}discr}\ (\mathit{Seq}\ c1\ c2)) \vee \\
\qquad\qquad \mathit{SC\text{-}siso}\ (\mathit{Seq}\ c1\ c2) \\
\mid \mathit{SC\text{-}WbisT}\ (\mathit{If}\ tst\ c1\ c2) \longleftrightarrow (\mathit{if}\ \mathit{compatTst}\ tst \\
\qquad\qquad \mathit{then}\ (\mathit{SC\text{-}WbisT}\ c1 \wedge \mathit{SC\text{-}WbisT}\ c2) \\
\qquad\qquad \mathit{else}\ ((\mathit{noWhile}\ (\mathit{If}\ tst\ c1\ c2) \wedge \mathit{SC\text{-}discr}\ (\mathit{If}\ tst\ c1\ c2)) \vee \\
\qquad\qquad\qquad \mathit{SC\text{-}siso}\ (\mathit{If}\ tst\ c1\ c2))) \\
\mid \mathit{SC\text{-}WbisT}\ (\mathit{While}\ tst\ c) \longleftrightarrow (\mathit{if}\ \mathit{compatTst}\ tst \\
\qquad\qquad \mathit{then}\ \mathit{SC\text{-}WbisT}\ c \\
\qquad\qquad \mathit{else}\ ((\mathit{noWhile}\ (\mathit{While}\ tst\ c) \wedge \mathit{SC\text{-}discr}\ (\mathit{While}\ tst\ c)) \vee \\
\qquad\qquad\qquad \mathit{SC\text{-}siso}\ (\mathit{While}\ tst\ c))) \\
\mid \mathit{SC\text{-}WbisT}\ (\mathit{Par}\ c1\ c2) \quad \longleftrightarrow (\mathit{SC\text{-}WbisT}\ c1 \wedge \mathit{SC\text{-}WbisT}\ c2) \vee \\
\qquad\qquad (\mathit{noWhile}\ (\mathit{Par}\ c1\ c2) \wedge \mathit{SC\text{-}discr}\ (\mathit{Par}\ c1\ c2)) \vee \\
\qquad\qquad \mathit{SC\text{-}siso}\ (\mathit{Par}\ c1\ c2)
\end{array}
$$

primrec *SC-ZObis* **where**

$$
\begin{array}{l}
\mathit{SC\text{-}ZObis}\ (\mathit{Atm}\ atm) \quad \longleftrightarrow \mathit{compatAtm}\ atm \\
\mid \mathit{SC\text{-}ZObis}\ (\mathit{Seq}\ c1\ c2) \quad \longleftrightarrow (\mathit{SC\text{-}siso}\ c1 \wedge \mathit{SC\text{-}ZObis}\ c2) \vee \\
\qquad\qquad (\mathit{SC\text{-}ZObis}\ c1 \wedge \mathit{SC\text{-}discr}\ c2) \vee \\
\qquad\qquad \mathit{SC\text{-}discr}\ (\mathit{Seq}\ c1\ c2) \vee \\
\qquad\qquad \mathit{SC\text{-}siso}\ (\mathit{Seq}\ c1\ c2) \\
\mid \mathit{SC\text{-}ZObis}\ (\mathit{If}\ tst\ c1\ c2) \longleftrightarrow (\mathit{if}\ \mathit{compatTst}\ tst \\
\qquad\qquad \mathit{then}\ (\mathit{SC\text{-}ZObis}\ c1 \wedge \mathit{SC\text{-}ZObis}\ c2) \\
\qquad\qquad \mathit{else}\ (\mathit{SC\text{-}discr}\ (\mathit{If}\ tst\ c1\ c2) \vee \\
\qquad\qquad\qquad \mathit{SC\text{-}siso}\ (\mathit{If}\ tst\ c1\ c2))) \\
\mid \mathit{SC\text{-}ZObis}\ (\mathit{While}\ tst\ c) \longleftrightarrow \mathit{SC\text{-}discr}\ (\mathit{While}\ tst\ c) \vee \\
\qquad\qquad \mathit{SC\text{-}siso}\ (\mathit{While}\ tst\ c) \\
\mid \mathit{SC\text{-}ZObis}\ (\mathit{Par}\ c1\ c2) \quad \longleftrightarrow (\mathit{SC\text{-}ZObis}\ c1 \wedge \mathit{SC\text{-}ZObis}\ c2) \vee \\
\qquad\qquad \mathit{SC\text{-}discr}\ (\mathit{Par}\ c1\ c2) \vee \\
\qquad\qquad \mathit{SC\text{-}siso}\ (\mathit{Par}\ c1\ c2)
\end{array}
$$

primrec *SC-Wbis* **where**

$$
\begin{array}{l}
\mathit{SC\text{-}Wbis}\ (\mathit{Atm}\ atm) \quad \longleftrightarrow \mathit{compatAtm}\ atm \\
\mid \mathit{SC\text{-}Wbis}\ (\mathit{Seq}\ c1\ c2) \quad \longleftrightarrow (\mathit{SC\text{-}WbisT}\ c1 \wedge \mathit{SC\text{-}Wbis}\ c2) \vee \\
\qquad\qquad (\mathit{SC\text{-}Wbis}\ c1 \wedge \mathit{SC\text{-}discr}\ c2) \vee \\
\qquad\qquad \mathit{SC\text{-}ZObis}\ (\mathit{Seq}\ c1\ c2) \vee \\
\qquad\qquad \mathit{SC\text{-}WbisT}\ (\mathit{Seq}\ c1\ c2) \\
\mid \mathit{SC\text{-}Wbis}\ (\mathit{If}\ tst\ c1\ c2) \longleftrightarrow (\mathit{if}\ \mathit{compatTst}\ tst \\
\qquad\qquad \mathit{then}\ (\mathit{SC\text{-}Wbis}\ c1 \wedge \mathit{SC\text{-}Wbis}\ c2) \\
\qquad\qquad \mathit{else}\ (\mathit{SC\text{-}ZObis}\ (\mathit{If}\ tst\ c1\ c2) \vee \\
\qquad\qquad\qquad \mathit{SC\text{-}WbisT}\ (\mathit{If}\ tst\ c1\ c2))) \\
\mid \mathit{SC\text{-}Wbis}\ (\mathit{While}\ tst\ c) \longleftrightarrow \mathit{SC\text{-}ZObis}\ (\mathit{While}\ tst\ c) \vee \\
\qquad\qquad \mathit{SC\text{-}WbisT}\ (\mathit{While}\ tst\ c) \\
\mid \mathit{SC\text{-}Wbis}\ (\mathit{Par}\ c1\ c2) \quad \longleftrightarrow (\mathit{SC\text{-}Wbis}\ c1 \wedge \mathit{SC\text{-}Wbis}\ c2) \vee \\
\qquad\qquad \mathit{SC\text{-}ZObis}\ (\mathit{Par}\ c1\ c2) \vee \\
\qquad\qquad \mathit{SC\text{-}WbisT}\ (\mathit{Par}\ c1\ c2)
\end{array}
$$

primrec *SC-BisT* **where**

$$
\mathit{SC\text{-}BisT}\ (\mathit{Atm}\ atm) \quad \longleftrightarrow \mathit{compatAtm}\ atm
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&| \ SC\text{-}BisT\ (Seq\ c1\ c2) \quad \longleftrightarrow (SC\text{-}BisT\ c1 \wedge SC\text{-}BisT\ c2) \vee \\
&\qquad SC\text{-}discr\ (Seq\ c1\ c2) \vee \\
&\qquad SC\text{-}WbisT\ (Seq\ c1\ c2) \\
&| \ SC\text{-}BisT\ (If\ tst\ c1\ c2) \longleftrightarrow (if\ compatTst\ tst \\
&\qquad then\ (SC\text{-}BisT\ c1 \wedge SC\text{-}BisT\ c2) \\
&\qquad else\ (SC\text{-}discr\ (If\ tst\ c1\ c2) \vee \\
&\qquad\quad SC\text{-}WbisT\ (If\ tst\ c1\ c2))) \\
&| \ SC\text{-}BisT\ (While\ tst\ c) \longleftrightarrow (if\ compatTst\ tst \\
&\qquad then\ SC\text{-}BisT\ c \\
&\qquad else\ (SC\text{-}discr\ (While\ tst\ c) \vee \\
&\qquad\quad SC\text{-}WbisT\ (While\ tst\ c))) \\
&| \ SC\text{-}BisT\ (Par\ c1\ c2) \quad \longleftrightarrow (SC\text{-}BisT\ c1 \wedge SC\text{-}BisT\ c2) \vee \\
&\qquad SC\text{-}discr\ (Par\ c1\ c2) \vee \\
&\qquad SC\text{-}WbisT\ (Par\ c1\ c2)
\end{aligned}
$$

theorem *SC-discr*[*intro*]: $SC\text{-}discr\ c \Longrightarrow discr\ c$
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *SC-siso*[*intro*]: $SC\text{-}siso\ c \Longrightarrow siso\ c$
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *SC-siso-imp-SC-WbisT*[*intro*]: $SC\text{-}siso\ c \Longrightarrow SC\text{-}WbisT\ c$
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *SC-discr-imp-SC-WbisT*[*intro*]: $noWhile\ c \Longrightarrow SC\text{-}discr\ c \Longrightarrow SC\text{-}WbisT\ c$
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *SC-WbisT*[*intro*]: $SC\text{-}WbisT\ c \Longrightarrow c \approx wT\ c$
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *SC-discr-imp-SC-ZObis*[*intro*]: $SC\text{-}discr\ c \Longrightarrow SC\text{-}ZObis\ c$
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *SC-siso-imp-SC-ZObis*[*intro*]: $SC\text{-}siso\ c \Longrightarrow SC\text{-}ZObis\ c$
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *SC-ZObis*[*intro*]: $SC\text{-}ZObis\ c \Longrightarrow c \approx 01\ c$
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *SC-ZObis-imp-SC-Wbis*[*intro*]: $SC\text{-}ZObis\ c \Longrightarrow SC\text{-}Wbis\ c$
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *SC-WbisT-imp-SC-Wbis*[*intro*]: $SC\text{-}WbisT\ c \Longrightarrow SC\text{-}Wbis\ c$
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *SC-Wbis*[*intro*]: $SC\text{-}Wbis\ c \Longrightarrow c \approx w\ c$
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *SC-discr-imp-SC-BisT*[*intro*]: *SC-discr c* $\Longrightarrow$ *SC-BisT c*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *SC-WbisT-imp-SC-BisT*[*intro*]: *SC-WbisT c* $\Longrightarrow$ *SC-BisT c*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *SC-ZObis-imp-SC-BisT*[*intro*]: *SC-ZObis c* $\Longrightarrow$ *SC-BisT c*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *SC-Wbis-imp-SC-BisT*[*intro*]: *SC-Wbis c* $\Longrightarrow$ *SC-BisT c*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *SC-BisT*[*intro*]: *SC-BisT c* $\Longrightarrow$ *c* $\approx$*T c*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *SC-WbisT-While*: *SC-WbisT* (*While tst c*) $\longleftrightarrow$ *SC-WbisT c* $\wedge$ *compatTst tst*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *SC-ZObis-While*: *SC-ZObis* (*While tst c*) $\longleftrightarrow$ (*compatTst tst* $\wedge$ *SC-siso c*) $\vee$ *SC-discr c*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *SC-ZObis-If*: *SC-ZObis* (*If tst c1 c2*) $\longleftrightarrow$ (*if compatTst tst then SC-ZObis c1* $\wedge$ *SC-ZObis c2 else SC-discr c1* $\wedge$ *SC-discr c2*)
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *SC-WbisT-Seq*: *SC-WbisT* (*Seq c1 c2*) $\longleftrightarrow$ (*SC-WbisT c1* $\wedge$ *SC-WbisT c2*)
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *SC-ZObis-Seq*: *SC-ZObis* (*Seq c1 c2*) $\longleftrightarrow$ (*SC-siso c1* $\wedge$ *SC-ZObis c2*) $\vee$
(*SC-ZObis c1* $\wedge$ *SC-discr c2*)
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *SC-Wbis-Seq*: *SC-Wbis* (*Seq c1 c2*) $\longleftrightarrow$ (*SC-WbisT c1* $\wedge$ *SC-Wbis c2*) $\vee$ (*SC-Wbis c1* $\wedge$ *SC-discr c2*)
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *SC-BisT-Par*:
SC-BisT (*Par c1 c2*) $\longleftrightarrow$ (*SC-BisT c1* $\wedge$ *SC-BisT c2*)
⟨*proof*⟩

end

end

6 After-execution security

theory *After-Execution*
imports *During-Execution*
begin

context *PL-Indis*
begin

6.1 Setup for bisimilarities

lemma *Sbis-transC*[*consumes 3*, *case-names Match*]:
assumes *0*: $c \approx s\ d$ **and** $s \approx t$ **and** $(c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s')$
obtains $d'\ t'$ **where**
$(d,t) \rightarrow c\ (d',t')$ **and** $s' \approx t'$ **and** $c' \approx s\ d'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Sbis-transT*[*consumes 3*, *case-names Match*]:
assumes *0*: $c \approx s\ d$ **and** $s \approx t$ **and** $(c,s) \rightarrow t\ s'$
obtains t' **where** $(d,t) \rightarrow t\ t'$ **and** $s' \approx t'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Sbis-transC2*[*consumes 3*, *case-names Match*]:
assumes *0*: $c \approx s\ d$ **and** $s \approx t$ **and** $(d,t) \rightarrow c\ (d',t')$
obtains $c'\ s'$ **where**
$(c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s')$ **and** $s' \approx t'$ **and** $c' \approx s\ d'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Sbis-transT2*[*consumes 3*, *case-names Match*]:
assumes *0*: $c \approx s\ d$ **and** $s \approx t$ **and** $(d,t) \rightarrow t\ t'$
obtains s' **where** $(c,s) \rightarrow t\ s'$ **and** $s' \approx t'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ZObisT-transC*[*consumes 3*, *case-names Match MatchS*]:
assumes *0*: $c \approx 01T\ d$ **and** $s \approx t$ **and** $(c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s')$
and $\bigwedge d'\ t'.\ [\![(d,t) \rightarrow c\ (d',t');\ s' \approx t';\ c' \approx 01T\ d']\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
and $[\![s' \approx t;\ c' \approx 01T\ d]\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
shows *thesis*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ZObisT-transT*[*consumes 3*, *case-names Match*]:
assumes *0*: $c \approx 01T\ d$ **and** $s \approx t$ **and** $(c,s) \rightarrow t\ s'$
obtains t' **where** $(d,t) \rightarrow t\ t'$ **and** $s' \approx t'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ZObisT-transC2*[*consumes 3*, *case-names Match MatchS*]:

assumes *0*: $c \approx 01T\ d$ **and** *2*: $s \approx t$ **and** *3*: $(d,t) \rightarrow c\ (d',t')$
and *4*: $\bigwedge c'\ s'.\ [\![(c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s');\ s' \approx t';\ c' \approx 01T\ d']\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
and *5*: $[\![s \approx t';\ c \approx 01T\ d']\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
shows *thesis*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ZObisT-transT2*[*consumes 3*, *case-names Match*]:
assumes *0*: $c \approx 01T\ d$ **and** $s \approx t$ **and** $(d,t) \rightarrow t\ t'$
obtains s' **where** $(c,s) \rightarrow t\ s'$ **and** $s' \approx t'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *WbisT-transC*[*consumes 3*, *case-names Match*]:
assumes *0*: $c \approx wT\ d$ **and** $s \approx t$ **and** $(c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s')$
obtains $d'\ t'$ **where**
$(d,t) \rightarrow * c\ (d',t')$ **and** $s' \approx t'$ **and** $c' \approx wT\ d'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *WbisT-transT*[*consumes 3*, *case-names Match*]:
assumes *0*: $c \approx wT\ d$ **and** $s \approx t$ **and** $(c,s) \rightarrow t\ s'$
obtains t' **where** $(d,t) \rightarrow * t\ t'$ **and** $s' \approx t'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *WbisT-transC2*[*consumes 3*, *case-names Match*]:
assumes *0*: $c \approx wT\ d$ **and** $s \approx t$ **and** $(d,t) \rightarrow c\ (d',t')$
obtains $c'\ s'$ **where**
$(c,s) \rightarrow * c\ (c',s')$ **and** $s' \approx t'$ **and** $c' \approx wT\ d'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *WbisT-transT2*[*consumes 3*, *case-names Match*]:
assumes *0*: $c \approx wT\ d$ **and** $s \approx t$ **and** $(d,t) \rightarrow t\ t'$
obtains s' **where** $(c,s) \rightarrow * t\ s'$ **and** $s' \approx t'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *WbisT-MtransC*[*consumes 3*, *case-names Match*]:
assumes *1*: $c \approx wT\ d$ **and** *2*: $s \approx t$ **and** *3*: $(c,s) \rightarrow * c\ (c',s')$
obtains $d'\ t'$ **where**
$(d,t) \rightarrow * c\ (d',t')$ **and** $s' \approx t'$ **and** $c' \approx wT\ d'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *WbisT-MtransT*[*consumes 3*, *case-names Match*]:
assumes *1*: $c \approx wT\ d$ **and** *2*: $s \approx t$ **and** *3*: $(c,s) \rightarrow * t\ s'$
obtains t' **where** $(d,t) \rightarrow * t\ t'$ **and** $s' \approx t'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *WbisT-MtransC2*[*consumes 3*, *case-names Match*]:
assumes $c \approx wT\ d$ **and** $s \approx t$ **and** *1*: $(d,t) \rightarrow * c\ (d',t')$
obtains $c'\ s'$ **where**

$(c,s) \to\!* c\ (c',s')$ **and** $s' \approx t'$ **and** $c' \approx wT\ d'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *WbisT-MtransT2*[*consumes 3*, *case-names Match*]:
assumes $c \approx wT\ d$ **and** $s \approx t$ **and** $(d,t) \to\!* t\ t'$
obtains s' **where** $(c,s) \to\!* t\ s'$ **and** $s' \approx t'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ZObis-transC*[*consumes 3*, *case-names Match MatchO MatchS*]:
assumes *0*: $c \approx 01\ d$ **and** $s \approx t$ **and** $(c,s) \to c\ (c',s')$
and $\bigwedge d'\ t'.\ [\![(d,t) \to c\ (d',t');\ s' \approx t';\ c' \approx 01\ d']\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
and $\bigwedge t'.\ [\![(d,t) \to t\ t';\ s' \approx t';\ discr\ c']\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
and $[\![s' \approx t;\ c' \approx 01\ d]\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
shows *thesis*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ZObis-transT*[*consumes 3*, *case-names Match MatchO MatchS*]:
assumes *0*: $c \approx 01\ d$ **and** $s \approx t$ **and** $(c,s) \to t\ s'$
and $\bigwedge t'.\ [\![(d,t) \to t\ t';\ s' \approx t']\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
and $\bigwedge d'\ t'.\ [\![(d,t) \to c\ (d',t');\ s' \approx t';\ discr\ d']\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
and $[\![s' \approx t;\ discr\ d]\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
shows *thesis*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ZObis-transC2*[*consumes 3*, *case-names Match MatchO MatchS*]:
assumes *0*: $c \approx 01\ d$ **and** $s \approx t$ **and** $(d,t) \to c\ (d',t')$
and $\bigwedge c'\ s'.\ [\![(c,s) \to c\ (c',s');\ s' \approx t';\ c' \approx 01\ d']\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
and $\bigwedge s'.\ [\![(c,s) \to t\ s';\ s' \approx t';\ discr\ d']\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
and $[\![s \approx t';\ c \approx 01\ d']\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
shows *thesis*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ZObis-transT2*[*consumes 3*, *case-names Match MatchO MatchS*]:
assumes *0*: $c \approx 01\ d$ **and** $s \approx t$ **and** $(d,t) \to t\ t'$
and $\bigwedge s'.\ [\![(c,s) \to t\ s';\ s' \approx t']\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
and $\bigwedge c'\ s'.\ [\![(c,s) \to c\ (c',s');\ s' \approx t';\ discr\ c']\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
and $[\![s \approx t';\ discr\ c]\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
shows *thesis*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Wbis-transC*[*consumes 3*, *case-names Match MatchO*]:
assumes *0*: $c \approx w\ d$ **and** $s \approx t$ **and** $(c,s) \to c\ (c',s')$
and $\bigwedge d'\ t'.\ [\![(d,t) \to\!* c\ (d',t');\ s' \approx t';\ c' \approx w\ d']\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
and $\bigwedge t'.\ [\![(d,t) \to\!* t\ t';\ s' \approx t';\ discr\ c']\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
shows *thesis*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Wbis-transT*[*consumes 3*, *case-names Match MatchO*]:
assumes *0*: $c \approx w\ d$ **and** $s \approx t$ **and** $(c,s) \rightarrow t\ s'$
and $\bigwedge t'.\ [\![(d,t) \rightarrow * t\ t';\ s' \approx t']\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
and $\bigwedge d'\ t'.\ [\![(d,t) \rightarrow * c\ (d',t');\ s' \approx t';\ discr\ d']\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
shows *thesis*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Wbis-transC2*[*consumes 3*, *case-names Match MatchO*]:
assumes *0*: $c \approx w\ d$ **and** $s \approx t$ **and** $(d,t) \rightarrow c\ (d',t')$
and $\bigwedge c'\ s'.\ [\![(c,s) \rightarrow * c\ (c',s');\ s' \approx t';\ c' \approx w\ d']\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
and $\bigwedge s'.\ [\![(c,s) \rightarrow * t\ s';\ s' \approx t';\ discr\ d']\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
shows *thesis*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Wbis-transT2*[*consumes 3*, *case-names Match MatchO*]:
assumes *0*: $c \approx w\ d$ **and** $s \approx t$ **and** $(d,t) \rightarrow t\ t'$
and $\bigwedge s'.\ [\![(c,s) \rightarrow * t\ s';\ s' \approx t']\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
and $\bigwedge c'\ s'.\ [\![(c,s) \rightarrow * c\ (c',s');\ s' \approx t';\ discr\ c']\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
shows *thesis*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Wbis-MtransC*[*consumes 3*, *case-names Match MatchO*]:
assumes $c \approx w\ d$ **and** $s \approx t$ **and** $(c,s) \rightarrow * c\ (c',s')$
and $\bigwedge d'\ t'.\ [\![(d,t) \rightarrow * c\ (d',t');\ s' \approx t';\ c' \approx w\ d']\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
and $\bigwedge t'.\ [\![(d,t) \rightarrow * t\ t';\ s' \approx t';\ discr\ c']\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
shows *thesis*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Wbis-MtransT*[*consumes 3*, *case-names Match MatchO*]:
assumes *c-d*: $c \approx w\ d$ **and** *st*: $s \approx t$ **and** *cs*: $(c,s) \rightarrow * t\ s'$
and *1*: $\bigwedge t'.\ [\![(d,t) \rightarrow * t\ t';\ s' \approx t']\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
and *2*: $\bigwedge d'\ t'.\ [\![(d,t) \rightarrow * c\ (d',t');\ s' \approx t';\ discr\ d']\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
shows *thesis*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Wbis-MtransC2*[*consumes 3*, *case-names Match MatchO*]:
assumes $c \approx w\ d$ **and** $s \approx t$ **and** *dt*: $(d,t) \rightarrow * c\ (d',t')$
and *1*: $\bigwedge c'\ s'.\ [\![(c,s) \rightarrow * c\ (c',s');\ s' \approx t';\ c' \approx w\ d']\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
and *2*: $\bigwedge s'.\ [\![(c,s) \rightarrow * t\ s';\ s' \approx t';\ discr\ d']\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
shows *thesis*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Wbis-MtransT2*[*consumes 3*, *case-names Match MatchO*]:
assumes $c \approx w\ d$ **and** $s \approx t$ **and** *dt*: $(d,t) \rightarrow * t\ t'$
and *1*: $\bigwedge s'.\ [\![(c,s) \rightarrow * t\ s';\ s' \approx t']\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
and *2*: $\bigwedge c'\ s'.\ [\![(c,s) \rightarrow * c\ (c',s');\ s' \approx t';\ discr\ c']\!] \Longrightarrow thesis$
shows *thesis*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *BisT-transC*[*consumes 5*, *case-names Match*]:
assumes *0*: $c \approx T\ d$
and $mustT\ c\ s$ **and** $mustT\ d\ t$
and $s \approx t$ **and** $(c,s) \rightarrow c\ (c',s')$
obtains $d'\ t'$ **where**
$(d,t) \rightarrow * c\ (d',t')$ **and** $s' \approx t'$ **and** $c' \approx T\ d'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *BisT-transT*[*consumes 5*, *case-names Match*]:
assumes *0*: $c \approx T\ d$
and $mustT\ c\ s$ **and** $mustT\ d\ t$
and $s \approx t$ **and** $(c,s) \rightarrow t\ s'$
obtains t' **where** $(d,t) \rightarrow * t\ t'$ **and** $s' \approx t'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *BisT-transC2*[*consumes 5*, *case-names Match*]:
assumes *0*: $c \approx T\ d$
and $mustT\ c\ s$ **and** $mustT\ d\ t$
and $s \approx t$ **and** $(d,t) \rightarrow c\ (d',t')$
obtains $c'\ s'$ **where**
$(c,s) \rightarrow * c\ (c',s')$ **and** $s' \approx t'$ **and** $c' \approx T\ d'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *BisT-transT2*[*consumes 5*, *case-names Match*]:
assumes *0*: $c \approx T\ d$
and $mustT\ c\ s$ **and** $mustT\ d\ t$
and $s \approx t$ **and** $(d,t) \rightarrow t\ t'$
obtains s' **where** $(c,s) \rightarrow * t\ s'$ **and** $s' \approx t'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *BisT-MtransC*[*consumes 5*, *case-names Match*]:
assumes $c \approx T\ d$
and $mustT\ c\ s\ mustT\ d\ t$
and $s \approx t$ **and** $(c,s) \rightarrow * c\ (c',s')$
obtains $d'\ t'$ **where**
$(d,t) \rightarrow * c\ (d',t')$ **and** $s' \approx t'$ **and** $c' \approx T\ d'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *BisT-MtransT*[*consumes 5*, *case-names Match*]:
assumes *1*: $c \approx T\ d$
and *ter*: $mustT\ c\ s\ mustT\ d\ t$
and *2*: $s \approx t$ **and** *3*: $(c,s) \rightarrow * t\ s'$
obtains t' **where** $(d,t) \rightarrow * t\ t'$ **and** $s' \approx t'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *BisT-MtransC2*[*consumes 3*, *case-names Match*]:

assumes $c \approx T\ d$
and *ter*: $mustT\ c\ s\ mustT\ d\ t$
and $s \approx t$ **and** *1*: $(d,t) \rightarrow\!* c\ (d',t')$
obtains $c'\ s'$ **where**
$(c,s) \rightarrow\!* c\ (c',s')$ **and** $s' \approx t'$ **and** $c' \approx T\ d'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *BisT-MtransT02*[*consumes 3*, *case-names Match*]:
assumes $c \approx T\ d$
and *ter*: $mustT\ c\ s\ mustT\ d\ t$
and $s \approx t$ **and** $(d,t) \rightarrow\!* t\ t'$
obtains s' **where** $(c,s) \rightarrow\!* t\ s'$ **and** $s' \approx t'$
⟨*proof*⟩

6.2 Execution traces

primrec *parTrace* **where**
$parTrace\ [] \longleftrightarrow False\ |$
$parTrace\ (cf \# cfl) \longleftrightarrow (cfl \neq [] \longrightarrow parTrace\ cfl \wedge cf \rightarrow c\ hd\ cfl)$

lemma *trans-Step2*:
$cf \rightarrow\!* c\ cf' \Longrightarrow cf' \rightarrow c\ cf'' \Longrightarrow cf \rightarrow\!* c\ cf''$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *parTrace-not-empty*[*simp*]: $parTrace\ cfl \Longrightarrow cfl \neq []$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *parTrace-snoc*[*simp*]:
$parTrace\ (cfl @ [cf]) \longleftrightarrow (cfl \neq [] \longrightarrow parTrace\ cfl \wedge last\ cfl \rightarrow c\ cf)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *MtransC-Ex-parTrace*:
assumes $cf \rightarrow\!* c\ cf'$ **shows** $\exists\, cfl.\ parTrace\ cfl \wedge hd\ cfl = cf \wedge last\ cfl = cf'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *parTrace-imp-MtransC*:
assumes *pT*: $parTrace\ cfl$
shows $(hd\ cfl) \rightarrow\!* c\ (last\ cfl)$
⟨*proof*⟩

fun *finTrace* **where**
$finTrace\ (cfl,s) \longleftrightarrow$
$parTrace\ cfl \wedge last\ cfl \rightarrow t\ s$

declare *finTrace.simps*[*simp del*]

definition $lengthFT\ tr \equiv Suc\ (length\ (fst\ tr))$

definition *fstate tr* ≡ *snd tr*

definition *iconfig tr* ≡ *hd* (*fst tr*)

lemma *MtransT-Ex-finTrace*:
assumes *cf* →∗*t s* **shows** ∃ *tr*. *finTrace tr* ∧ *iconfig tr* = *cf* ∧ *fstate tr* = *s*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *finTrace-imp-MtransT*:
finTrace tr ⟹ *iconfig tr* →∗*t fstate tr*
⟨*proof*⟩

6.3 Relationship between during-execution and after-execution security

lemma *WbisT-trace2*:
assumes *bis*: *c* ≈*wT d s* ≈ *t*
and *tr*: *finTrace tr iconfig tr* = (*c*,*s*)
shows ∃ *tr′*. *finTrace tr′* ∧ *iconfig tr′* = (*d*,*t*) ∧ *fstate tr* ≈ *fstate tr′*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *WbisT-trace*:
assumes *c* ≈*wT c* **and** *s* ≈ *t*
and *finTrace tr* **and** *iconfig tr* = (*c*,*s*)
shows ∃ *tr′*. *finTrace tr′* ∧ *iconfig tr′* = (*c*,*t*) ∧ *fstate tr* ≈ *fstate tr′*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *ZObisT-trace2*:
assumes *bis*: *c* ≈*01T d s* ≈ *t*
and *tr*: *finTrace tr iconfig tr* = (*c*,*s*)
shows ∃ *tr′*. *finTrace tr′* ∧ *iconfig tr′* = (*d*,*t*) ∧
fstate tr ≈ *fstate tr′* ∧ *lengthFT tr′* ≤ *lengthFT tr*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *ZObisT-trace*:
assumes *c* ≈*01T c s* ≈ *t*
and *finTrace tr iconfig tr* = (*c*,*s*)
shows ∃ *tr′*. *finTrace tr′* ∧ *iconfig tr′* = (*c*,*t*) ∧
fstate tr ≈ *fstate tr′* ∧ *lengthFT tr′* ≤ *lengthFT tr*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *Sbis-trace*:
assumes *bis*: *c* ≈*s d s* ≈ *t*
and *tr*: *finTrace tr iconfig tr* = (*c*,*s*)
shows ∃ *tr′*. *finTrace tr′* ∧ *iconfig tr′* = (*d*,*t*) ∧ *fstate tr* ≈ *fstate tr′* ∧
lengthFT tr′ = *lengthFT tr*

⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *siso-trace*:
assumes *siso c* **and** $s \approx t$
and *finTrace tr* **and** *iconfig tr* = (*c*,*s*)
shows
$\exists\ tr'$. *finTrace* $tr' \wedge$ *iconfig* $tr' = (c,t) \wedge$ *fstate* $tr \approx$ *fstate* tr'
$\wedge$ *lengthFT* $tr' =$ *lengthFT* tr
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *Wbis-trace*:
assumes *T*: $\bigwedge s$. *mustT c s*
and *bis*: $c \approx w\ c\ s \approx t$
and *tr*: *finTrace tr iconfig tr* = (*c*,*s*)
shows $\exists\, tr'$. *finTrace* $tr' \wedge$ *iconfig* $tr' = (c,t) \wedge$ *fstate* $tr \approx$ *fstate* tr'
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *ZObis-trace*:
assumes *T*: $\bigwedge s$. *mustT c s*
and *ZObis*: $c \approx 01\ c$ **and** *indis*: $s \approx t$
and *tr*: *finTrace tr iconfig tr* = (*c*,*s*)
shows $\exists\, tr'$. *finTrace* $tr' \wedge$ *iconfig* $tr' = (c,t) \wedge$ *fstate* $tr \approx$ *fstate* tr'
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *BisT-trace*:
assumes *bis*: $c \approx T\ c\ s \approx t$
and *T*: *mustT c s mustT c t*
and *tr*: *finTrace tr iconfig tr* = (*c*,*s*)
shows $\exists\, tr'$. *finTrace* $tr' \wedge$ *iconfig* $tr' = (c,t) \wedge$ *fstate* $tr \approx$ *fstate* tr'
⟨*proof*⟩

end

end

7 Concrete setting

theory *Concrete*
imports *Syntactic-Criteria After-Execution*
begin

lemma (**in** *PL-Indis*) *WbisT-If-cross*:
assumes *c1* $\approx wT$ *c2 c1* $\approx wT$ *c1 c2* $\approx wT$ *c2*
shows (*If tst c1 c2*) $\approx wT$ (*If tst c1 c2*)

⟨*proof*⟩

We instatiate the following notions, kept generic so far:

- On the language syntax:
  - atoms, tests and states just like at the possibilistic case;
  - choices, to either if-choices (based on tests) or binary fixed-probability choices;
  - the schedulers, to the uniform one
- On the security semantics, the lattice of levels and the indis relation, again, just like at the possibilistic case.

datatype *level* = *Lo* | *Hi*

lemma [*simp*]: ⋀ *l*. *l* ≠ *Hi* ⟷ *l* = *Lo* **and**
[*simp*]: ⋀ *l*. *Hi* ≠ *l* ⟷ *Lo* = *l* **and**
[*simp*]: ⋀ *l*. *l* ≠ *Lo* ⟷ *l* = *Hi* **and**
[*simp*]: ⋀ *l*. *Lo* ≠ *l* ⟷ *Hi* = *l*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma [*dest*]: ⋀ *l A*. ⟦*l* ∈ *A*; *Lo* ∉ *A*⟧ ⟹ *l* = *Hi* **and**
[*dest*]: ⋀ *l A*. ⟦*l* ∈ *A*; *Hi* ∉ *A*⟧ ⟹ *l* = *Lo*
⟨*proof*⟩

declare *level.split*[*split*]

instantiation *level* :: *complete-lattice*
begin
definition *top-level*: *top* ≡ *Hi*
definition *bot-level*: *bot* ≡ *Lo*
definition *inf-level*: *inf l1 l2* ≡ *if Lo* ∈ {*l1*,*l2*} *then Lo else Hi*
definition *sup-level*: *sup l1 l2* ≡ *if Hi* ∈ {*l1*,*l2*} *then Hi else Lo*
definition *less-eq-level*: *less-eq l1 l2* ≡ (*l1* = *Lo* ∨ *l2* = *Hi*)
definition *less-level*: *less l1 l2* ≡ *l1* = *Lo* ∧ *l2* = *Hi*
definition *Inf-level*: *Inf L* ≡ *if Lo* ∈ *L then Lo else Hi*
definition *Sup-level*: *Sup L* ≡ *if Hi* ∈ *L then Hi else Lo*
instance
⟨*proof*⟩
end

lemma *sup-eq-Lo*[*simp*]: *sup a b* = *Lo* ⟷ *a* = *Lo* ∧ *b* = *Lo*
⟨*proof*⟩

datatype *var* = *h* | *h'* | *l* | *l'*
datatype *exp* = *Ct nat* | *Var var* | *Plus exp exp* | *Minus exp exp*
datatype *test* = *Tr* | *Eq exp exp* | *Gt exp exp* | *Non test*

datatype *atom* = *Assign var exp*
type-synonym *state* = *var* ⇒ *nat*

syntax
-assign :: *'a* ⇒ *'a* ⇒ *'a* (- ::= - [*1000*, *61*] *61*)

translations
x ::= *expr* == *CONST Atm* (*CONST Assign x expr*)

primrec *sec* **where**
sec h = *Hi*
| *sec h'* = *Hi*
| *sec l* = *Lo*
| *sec l'* = *Lo*

fun *eval* **where**
eval (*Ct n*) *s* = *n*
|*eval* (*Var x*) *s* = *s x*
|*eval* (*Plus e1 e2*) *s* = *eval e1 s* + *eval e2 s*
|*eval* (*Minus e1 e2*) *s* = *eval e1 s* − *eval e2 s*

fun *tval* **where**
tval Tr s = *True*
|*tval* (*Eq e1 e2*) *s* = (*eval e1 s* = *eval e2 s*)
|*tval* (*Gt e1 e2*) *s* = (*eval e1 s* > *eval e2 s*)
|*tval* (*Non e*) *s* = (¬ *tval e s*)

fun *aval* **where**
aval (*Assign x e*) *s* = (*s* (*x* := *eval e s*))

definition *indis* :: (*state* ∗ *state*) *set***where**
indis ≡ {(*s*,*t*). *ALL x*. *sec x* = *Lo* ⟶ *s x* = *t x*}

interpretation *Example-PL*: *PL-Indis tval aval indis*
⟨*proof*⟩

fun *exprSec* **where**
exprSec (*Ct n*) = *bot*
|*exprSec* (*Var x*) = *sec x*
|*exprSec* (*Plus e1 e2*) = *sup* (*exprSec e1*) (*exprSec e2*)
|*exprSec* (*Minus e1 e2*) = *sup* (*exprSec e1*) (*exprSec e2*)

fun *tstSec* **where**
tstSec Tr = *bot*
|*tstSec* (*Eq e1 e2*) = *sup* (*exprSec e1*) (*exprSec e2*)
|*tstSec* (*Gt e1 e2*) = *sup* (*exprSec e1*) (*exprSec e2*)
|*tstSec* (*Non e*) = *tstSec e*

lemma *exprSec-Lo-eval-eq*: *exprSec expr* = *Lo* ⟹ (*s*, *t*) ∈ *indis* ⟹ *eval expr s*

$= eval\ expr\ t$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *compatAtmSyntactic*[*simp*]: $exprSec\ expr = Lo \vee sec\ v = Hi \Longrightarrow Example\text{-}PL.compatAtm\ (Assign\ v\ expr)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *presAtmSyntactic*[*simp*]: $sec\ v = Hi \Longrightarrow Example\text{-}PL.presAtm\ (Assign\ v\ expr)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *compatTstSyntactic*[*simp*]: $tstSec\ tst = Lo \Longrightarrow Example\text{-}PL.compatTst\ tst$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Example-PL.SC-discr* $(h ::= Ct\ 0)$
⟨*proof*⟩

abbreviation $siso\ c \equiv Example\text{-}PL.siso\ c$
abbreviation $siso0\ c \equiv Example\text{-}PL.siso0\ c$
abbreviation $discr\ c \equiv Example\text{-}PL.discr\ c$
abbreviation $discr0\ c \equiv Example\text{-}PL.discr0\ c$
abbreviation *Sbis-abbrev* (**infix** $\approx s\ 55$) **where** $c1 \approx s\ c2 \equiv (c1,c2) \in Example\text{-}PL.Sbis$
abbreviation *ZObis-abbrev* (**infix** $\approx 01\ 55$) **where** $c1 \approx 01\ c2 \equiv (c1,c2) \in Example\text{-}PL.ZObis$
abbreviation *ZObisT-abbrev* (**infix** $\approx 01T\ 55$) **where** $c1 \approx 01T\ c2 \equiv (c1,c2) \in Example\text{-}PL.ZObisT$
abbreviation *Wbis-abbrev* (**infix** $\approx w\ 55$) **where** $c1 \approx w\ c2 \equiv (c1,c2) \in Example\text{-}PL.Wbis$
abbreviation *WbisT-abbrev* (**infix** $\approx wT\ 55$) **where** $c1 \approx wT\ c2 \equiv (c1,c2) \in Example\text{-}PL.WbisT$
abbreviation *BisT-abbrev* (**infix** $\approx T\ 55$) **where** $c1 \approx T\ c2 \equiv (c1,c2) \in Example\text{-}PL.BisT$

7.1 Programs from EXAMPLE 1

definition [*simp*]: $c0 = (h ::= Ct\ 0)$

definition [*simp*]: $c1 = (if\ Eq\ (Var\ l)\ (Ct\ 0)\ then\ h ::= Ct\ 1\ else\ l ::= Ct\ 2)$

definition [*simp*]: $c2 = (if\ Eq\ (Var\ h)\ (Ct\ 0)\ then\ h ::= Ct\ 1\ else\ h ::= Ct\ 2)$

definition [*simp*]: $c3 = (if\ Eq\ (Var\ h)\ (Ct\ 0)\ then\ h ::= Ct\ 1\ ;;\ h ::= Ct\ 2\ else\ h ::= Ct\ 3)$

definition [*simp*]: $c4 = l ::= Ct\ 4\ ;;\ c3$

definition [*simp*]: $c5 = c3\ ;;\ l ::= Ct\ 4$

definition [*simp*]: $c6 = l ::= Var\ h$

definition [*simp*]: $c7 = l ::= Var\ h\ ;;\ l ::= Ct\ 0$

definition [*simp*]: *c8* = $h' ::=$ *Var h* ;;
while Gt (*Var h*) (*Ct 0*) *do* ($h ::=$ *Minus* (*Var h*) (*Ct 1*) ;; $h' ::=$ *Plus* (*Var h'*) (*Ct 1*)) ;;
$l ::=$ *Ct 4*

definition [*simp*]: *c9* = *c7* | $l' ::=$ *Var l*

definition [*simp*]: *c10* = *c5* | $l ::=$ *Ct 5*

definition [*simp*]: *c11* = *c8* | $l ::=$ *Ct 5*

declare *bot-level*[*iff*]

theorem *c0*: *siso c0 discr c0*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *c1*: *siso c1 c1* $\approx s$ *c1*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *c2*: *discr c2*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *Sbis-c2*: *c2* $\approx s$ *c2*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *c3*: *discr c3*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *c4*: *c4* ≈ 01 *c4*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *c5*: *c5* $\approx w$ *c5*
⟨*proof*⟩

Example 4 from the paper

theorem *c3* $\approx wT$ *c3* ⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *c5* $\approx wT$ *c5* ⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *discr* (*while Eq* (*Var h*) (*Ct 0*) *do* $h ::=$ *Ct 0*)
⟨*proof*⟩

Example 5 from the paper

definition [*simp*]: *c12* $\equiv h ::=$ *Ct 4* ;;
while Gt (*Var h*) (*Ct 0*)
do ($h ::=$ *Minus* (*Var h*) (*Ct 1*) ;; $h' ::=$ *Plus* (*Var h'*) (*Ct 1*)) ;;
$l ::=$ *Ct 1*

corollary (*c12* | $l ::=$ *Ct 2*) $\approx T$ (*c12* | $l ::=$ *Ct 2*)

⟨*proof*⟩

definition [*simp*]: *c13* =
(*if Eq* (*Var h*) (*Ct 0*) *then h* ::= *Ct 1* ;; *l* ::= *Ct 2 else l* ::= *Ct 2*) ;; *l′* ::= *Ct 4*

lemma *c13-inner*:
(*h* ::= *Ct 1* ;; *l* ::= *Ct 2*) ≈*wT* (*l* ::= *Ct 2*)
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *c13* ≈*wT c13*
⟨*proof*⟩

end

References

[1] A. Popescu, J. Hölzl, and T. Nipkow. Proving possibilistic, probabilistic noninterference. In *Certified Programs and Proofs (CPP) '12*, 2012.