

POSIX Lexing with Derivatives of Regular Expressions

Fahad Ausaf

Roy Dyckhoff

Christian Urban

March 17, 2025

Abstract

Brzozowski introduced the notion of derivatives for regular expressions. They can be used for a very simple regular expression matching algorithm. Sulzmann and Lu [2] cleverly extended this algorithm in order to deal with POSIX matching, which is the underlying disambiguation strategy for regular expressions needed in lexers. In this entry we give our inductive definition of what a POSIX value is and show (i) that such a value is unique (for given regular expression and string being matched) and (ii) that Sulzmann and Lu's algorithm always generates such a value (provided that the regular expression matches the string). We also prove the correctness of an optimised version of the POSIX matching algorithm. Finally we show that (iii) our inductive definition of a POSIX value is equivalent to an alternative definition by Okui and Suzuki [1] which identifies POSIX values as least elements according to an ordering of values. All results are given also for the bounded regular expressions ($r^{\{n\}}$ and $r^{\{\cdot..n\}}$).

Contents

1 Values	3
2 The string behind a value	3
3 Relation between values and regular expressions	3
4 Sulzmann and Lu functions	5
5 Mkeps, injval	5
6 Our Alternative Posix definition	6
7 The Lexer by Sulzmann and Lu	12
8 Sets of Lexical Values	13

9	Lexer including simplifications	16
10	An alternative definition for POSIX values by Okui & Suzuki	22
11	Positions in Values	22
12	Orderings	23
13	POSIX Ordering of Values According to Okui & Suzuki	24
14	The Posix Value is smaller than any other lexical value	32
15	Extended Regular Expressions 3	38
16	Derivatives of Extended Regular Expressions	39
16.1	Brzozowski's derivatives of regular expressions	39
17	Values	40
18	The string behind a value	41
19	Relation between values and regular expressions	41
20	Sulzmann and Lu functions	47
21	Mkeps, injval	47
22	Our Alternative Posix definition	49
23	The Lexer by Sulzmann and Lu	62
24	Sets of Lexical Values	63
25	Lexer including simplifications	71
26	An alternative definition for POSIX values by Okui & Suzuki	76
27	Positions in Values	76
28	Orderings	78
29	POSIX Ordering of Values According to Okui & Suzuki	79
30	The Posix Value is smaller than any other lexical value	87

theory *Lexer*
 imports *Regular-Sets.Derivatives*

```
begin
```

1 Values

```
datatype 'a val =
  Void
| Atm 'a
| Seq 'a val 'a val
| Right 'a val
| Left 'a val
| Stars ('a val) list
```

2 The string behind a value

```
fun
  flat :: 'a val ⇒ 'a list
where
  flat (Void) = []
| flat (Atm c) = [c]
| flat (Left v) = flat v
| flat (Right v) = flat v
| flat (Seq v1 v2) = (flat v1) @ (flat v2)
| flat (Stars []) = []
| flat (Stars (v#vs)) = (flat v) @ (flat (Stars vs))
```

abbreviation

```
flats vs ≡ concat (map flat vs)
```

```
lemma flat-Stars [simp]:
  flat (Stars vs) = concat (map flat vs)
by (induct vs) (auto)
```

3 Relation between values and regular expressions

inductive

```
Prf :: 'a val ⇒ 'a rexp ⇒ bool (⊤ - : → [100, 100] 100)
```

where

```
⊤ v1 : r1; ⊤ v2 : r2] ⇒ ⊤ Seq v1 v2 : Times r1 r2
| ⊤ v1 : r1 ⇒ ⊤ Left v1 : Plus r1 r2
| ⊤ v2 : r2 ⇒ ⊤ Right v2 : Plus r1 r2
| ⊤ Void : One
| ⊤ Atm c : Atom c
| [∀ v ∈ set vs. ⊤ v : r ∧ flat v ≠ []] ⇒ ⊤ Stars vs : Star r
```

inductive-cases Prf-elims:

```
⊤ v : Zero
⊤ v : Times r1 r2
⊤ v : Plus r1 r2
```

```

 $\vdash v : \text{One}$ 
 $\vdash v : \text{Atom } c$ 
 $\vdash vs : \text{Star } r$ 

lemma Prf-flat-lang:
  assumes  $\vdash v : r$  shows  $\text{flat } v \in \text{lang } r$ 
  using assms
  by (induct v r rule: Prf.induct)
    (auto simp add: concat-in-star subset-eq)

lemma Star-string:
  assumes  $s \in \text{star } A$ 
  shows  $\exists ss. \text{concat } ss = s \wedge (\forall s \in \text{set } ss. s \in A)$ 
  using assms
  by (metis in-star-iff-concat subsetD)

lemma Star-val:
  assumes  $\forall s \in \text{set } ss. \exists v. s = \text{flat } v \wedge \vdash v : r$ 
  shows  $\exists vs. \text{flats } vs = \text{concat } ss \wedge (\forall v \in \text{set } vs. \vdash v : r \wedge \text{flat } v \neq [])$ 
  using assms
  apply(induct ss)
  apply(auto)
  apply (metis empty-iff list.set(1))
  by (metis append.simps(1) flat.simps(7) flat-Stars set-ConsD)

lemma L-flat-Prf1:
  assumes  $\vdash v : r$  shows  $\text{flat } v \in \text{lang } r$ 
  using assms
  apply (induct)
  apply(auto)
  by (metis Prf.intros(6) Prf-flat-lang flat-Stars lang.simps(6)))

lemma L-flat-Prf2:
  assumes  $s \in \text{lang } r$  shows  $\exists v. \vdash v : r \wedge \text{flat } v = s$ 
  using assms
  apply(induct r arbitrary: s)
  apply(auto intro: Prf.intros)
  using Prf.intros(2) flat.simps(3) apply blast
  using Prf.intros(3) flat.simps(4) apply blast
  apply (metis Prf.intros(1) concE flat.simps(5))
  apply(subgoal-tac  $\exists vs::('a val) \text{ list. concat } (\text{map flat } vs) = s \wedge (\forall v \in \text{set } vs. \vdash v : r \wedge \text{flat } v \neq [])$ )
  apply(auto[1])
  apply(rule-tac  $x=\text{Stars } vs \text{ in exI}$ )
  apply(simp)
  apply(drule Star-string)
  apply(auto)

```

```

using Prf.intros(6) apply blast
by (smt (verit) Star-val in-star-iff-concat subset-iff)

lemma L-flat-Prf:
  lang r = {flat v | v. ⊢ v : r}
using L-flat-Prf1 L-flat-Prf2 by blast

```

4 Sulzmann and Lu functions

```

fun
  mkeps :: 'a rexp ⇒ 'a val
where
  mkeps(One) = Void
  | mkeps(Times r1 r2) = Seq (mkeps r1) (mkeps r2)
  | mkeps(Plus r1 r2) = (if nullable(r1) then Left (mkeps r1) else Right (mkeps r2))
  | mkeps(Star r) = Stars []
fun injval :: 'a rexp ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a val ⇒ 'a val
where
  injval (Atom d) c Void = Atm c
  | injval (Plus r1 r2) c (Left v1) = Left(injval r1 c v1)
  | injval (Plus r1 r2) c (Right v2) = Right(injval r2 c v2)
  | injval (Times r1 r2) c (Seq v1 v2) = Seq (injval r1 c v1) v2
  | injval (Times r1 r2) c (Left (Seq v1 v2)) = Seq (injval r1 c v1) v2
  | injval (Times r1 r2) c (Right v2) = Seq (mkeps r1) (injval r2 c v2)
  | injval (Star r) c (Seq v (Stars vs)) = Stars ((injval r c v) # vs)

```

5 Mkeps, injval

```

lemma mkeps-nullable:
  assumes nullable r
  shows ⊢ mkeps r : r
using assms
by (induct r)
  (auto intro: Prf.intros)

lemma mkeps-flat:
  assumes nullable r
  shows flat (mkeps r) = []
using assms
by (induct r) (auto)

lemma Prf-injval-flat:
  assumes ⊢ v : deriv c r
  shows flat (injval r c v) = c # (flat v)
using assms
apply(induct c r arbitrary: v rule: deriv.induct)
apply(auto elim!: Prf-elims intro: mkeps-flat split: if-splits)

```

done

```
lemma Prf-injval:
  assumes  $\vdash v : \text{deriv } c r$ 
  shows  $\vdash (\text{injval } r c v) : r$ 
  using assms
  apply(induct r arbitrary: c v rule: rexp.induct)
  apply(auto intro!: Prf.intros mkeps-nullable elim!: Prf-elims split: if-splits)
  by (simp add: Prf-injval-flat)
```

6 Our Alternative Posix definition

inductive

Posix :: '*a* list \Rightarrow '*a* *rexp* \Rightarrow '*a* *val* \Rightarrow *bool* ($\cdot \in - \rightarrow - [100, 100, 100] 100$)

where

```
| Posix-One: []  $\in$  One  $\rightarrow$  Void
| Posix-Atom: [c]  $\in$  (Atom c)  $\rightarrow$  (Atm c)
| Posix-Plus1: s  $\in$  r1  $\rightarrow$  v  $\implies$  s  $\in$  (Plus r1 r2)  $\rightarrow$  (Left v)
| Posix-Plus2: [s  $\in$  r2  $\rightarrow$  v; s  $\notin$  lang r1]  $\implies$  s  $\in$  (Plus r1 r2)  $\rightarrow$  (Right v)
| Posix-Times: [s1  $\in$  r1  $\rightarrow$  v1; s2  $\in$  r2  $\rightarrow$  v2;
   $\neg(\exists s_3 s_4. s_3 \neq [] \wedge s_3 @ s_4 = s_2 \wedge (s_1 @ s_3) \in \text{lang } r1 \wedge s_4 \in \text{lang } r2)] \implies$ 
  (s1 @ s2)  $\in$  (Times r1 r2)  $\rightarrow$  (Seq v1 v2)
| Posix-Star1: [s1  $\in$  r  $\rightarrow$  v; s2  $\in$  Star r  $\rightarrow$  Stars vs; flat v  $\neq$  []];
   $\neg(\exists s_3 s_4. s_3 \neq [] \wedge s_3 @ s_4 = s_2 \wedge (s_1 @ s_3) \in \text{lang } r \wedge s_4 \in \text{lang } (\text{Star } r))]$ 
   $\implies$  (s1 @ s2)  $\in$  Star r  $\rightarrow$  Stars (v # vs)
| Posix-Star2: []  $\in$  Star r  $\rightarrow$  Stars []
```

inductive-cases *Posix-elims*:

```
s  $\in$  Zero  $\rightarrow$  v
s  $\in$  One  $\rightarrow$  v
s  $\in$  Atom c  $\rightarrow$  v
s  $\in$  Plus r1 r2  $\rightarrow$  v
s  $\in$  Times r1 r2  $\rightarrow$  v
s  $\in$  Star r  $\rightarrow$  v
```

lemma *Posix1*:

```
assumes s  $\in$  r  $\rightarrow$  v
shows s  $\in$  lang r flat v = s
using assms
by (induct s r v rule: Posix.induct) (auto)
```

lemma *Posix1a*:

```
assumes s  $\in$  r  $\rightarrow$  v
shows  $\vdash v : r$ 
using assms
apply(induct s r v rule: Posix.induct)
apply(auto intro: Prf.intros)
```

by (*metis Prf.intros(6) Prf-elims(6) set-ConsD val.inject(5)*)

```

lemma Posix-mkeps:
  assumes nullable r
  shows [] ∈ r → mkeps r
  using assms
  apply(induct r)
  apply(auto intro: Posix.intros simp add: nullable-iff)
  apply(subst append.simps(1)[symmetric])
  apply(rule Posix.intros)
  apply(auto)
  done

```

```

lemma Posix-determ:
  assumes s ∈ r → v1 s ∈ r → v2
  shows v1 = v2
  using assms
  proof (induct s r v1 arbitrary: v2 rule: Posix.induct)
    case (Posix-One v2)
      have [] ∈ One → v2 by fact
      then show Void = v2 by cases auto
    next
      case (Posix-Atom c v2)
      have [c] ∈ Atom c → v2 by fact
      then show Atm c = v2 by cases auto
    next
      case (Posix-Plus1 s r1 v r2 v2)
      have s ∈ Plus r1 r2 → v2 by fact
      moreover
      have s ∈ r1 → v by fact
      then have s ∈ lang r1 by (simp add: Posix1)
      ultimately obtain v' where eq: v2 = Left v' s ∈ r1 → v' by cases auto
      moreover
      have IH:  $\bigwedge v2. s \in r1 \rightarrow v2 \implies v = v2$  by fact
      ultimately have v = v' by simp
      then show Left v = v2 using eq by simp
    next
      case (Posix-Plus2 s r2 v r1 v2)
      have s ∈ Plus r1 r2 → v2 by fact
      moreover
      have s ∉ lang r1 by fact
      ultimately obtain v' where eq: v2 = Right v' s ∈ r2 → v'
      by cases (auto simp add: Posix1)
      moreover
      have IH:  $\bigwedge v2. s \in r2 \rightarrow v2 \implies v = v2$  by fact
      ultimately have v = v' by simp
      then show Right v = v2 using eq by simp

```

```

next
  case (Posix-Times  $s_1 r_1 v_1 s_2 r_2 v_2 v'$ )
    have  $(s_1 @ s_2) \in \text{Times } r_1 r_2 \rightarrow v'$ 
       $s_1 \in r_1 \rightarrow v_1$   $s_2 \in r_2 \rightarrow v_2$ 
       $\neg (\exists s_3 s_4. s_3 \neq [] \wedge s_3 @ s_4 = s_2 \wedge s_1 @ s_3 \in \text{lang } r_1 \wedge s_4 \in \text{lang } r_2)$  by
    fact+
    then obtain  $v_1' v_2'$  where  $v' = \text{Seq } v_1' v_2' s_1 \in r_1 \rightarrow v_1' s_2 \in r_2 \rightarrow v_2'$ 
    apply(cases) apply (auto simp add: append-eq-append-conv2)
    using Posix1(1) by fastforce+
    moreover
      have IHs:  $\bigwedge v_1'. s_1 \in r_1 \rightarrow v_1' \implies v_1 = v_1'$ 
         $\bigwedge v_2'. s_2 \in r_2 \rightarrow v_2' \implies v_2 = v_2'$  by fact+
      ultimately show  $\text{Seq } v_1 v_2 = v'$  by simp
    next
      case (Posix-Star1  $s_1 r v s_2 vs v_2$ )
        have  $(s_1 @ s_2) \in \text{Star } r \rightarrow v_2$ 
           $s_1 \in r \rightarrow v$   $s_2 \in \text{Star } r \rightarrow \text{Stars } vs \text{ flat } v \neq []$ 
           $\neg (\exists s_3 s_4. s_3 \neq [] \wedge s_3 @ s_4 = s_2 \wedge s_1 @ s_3 \in \text{lang } r \wedge s_4 \in \text{lang } (\text{Star } r))$ 
        by fact+
        then obtain  $v' vs'$  where  $v_2 = \text{Stars } (v' \# vs')$   $s_1 \in r \rightarrow v' s_2 \in (\text{Star } r) \rightarrow (\text{Stars } vs')$ 
        apply(cases) apply (auto simp add: append-eq-append-conv2)
        using Posix1(1) apply fastforce
        apply (metis Posix1(1) Posix-Star1.hyps(6) append-Nil append-Nil2)
        using Posix1(2) by blast
        moreover
          have IHs:  $\bigwedge v_2. s_1 \in r \rightarrow v_2 \implies v = v_2$ 
             $\bigwedge v_2. s_2 \in \text{Star } r \rightarrow v_2 \implies \text{Stars } vs = v_2$  by fact+
          ultimately show  $\text{Stars } (v \# vs) = v_2$  by auto
        next
          case (Posix-Star2  $r v_2$ )
            have  $[] \in \text{Star } r \rightarrow v_2$  by fact
            then show  $\text{Stars } [] = v_2$  by cases (auto simp add: Posix1)
        qed

```

```

lemma Posix-injval:
  assumes  $s \in (\text{deriv } c r) \rightarrow v$ 
  shows  $(c \# s) \in r \rightarrow (\text{injval } r c v)$ 
  using assms
  proof(induct r arbitrary: s v rule: rexp.induct)
    case Zero
      have  $s \in \text{deriv } c \text{ Zero} \rightarrow v$  by fact
      then have  $s \in \text{Zero} \rightarrow v$  by simp
      then have False by cases
      then show  $(c \# s) \in \text{Zero} \rightarrow (\text{injval } \text{Zero } c v)$  by simp
    next
      case One
      have  $s \in \text{deriv } c \text{ One} \rightarrow v$  by fact

```

```

then have  $s \in \text{Zero} \rightarrow v$  by simp
then have  $\text{False}$  by cases
then show  $(c \# s) \in \text{One} \rightarrow (\text{injval One } c v)$  by simp
next
  case (Atom d)
    consider (eq)  $c = d \mid (\text{ineq}) c \neq d$  by blast
    then show  $(c \# s) \in (\text{Atom } d) \rightarrow (\text{injval } (\text{Atom } d) c v)$ 
    proof (cases)
      case eq
        have  $s \in \text{deriv } c (\text{Atom } d) \rightarrow v$  by fact
        then have  $s \in \text{One} \rightarrow v$  using eq by simp
        then have eas:  $s = [] \wedge v = \text{Void}$  by cases simp
        show  $(c \# s) \in \text{Atom } d \rightarrow \text{injval } (\text{Atom } d) c v$  using eq eas
          by (auto intro: Posix.intros)
      next
        case ineq
          have  $s \in \text{deriv } c (\text{Atom } d) \rightarrow v$  by fact
          then have  $s \in \text{Zero} \rightarrow v$  using ineq by simp
          then have  $\text{False}$  by cases
          then show  $(c \# s) \in \text{Atom } d \rightarrow \text{injval } (\text{Atom } d) c v$  by simp
    qed
  next
  case (Plus r1 r2)
    have IH1:  $\bigwedge s. v. s \in \text{deriv } c r1 \rightarrow v \implies (c \# s) \in r1 \rightarrow \text{injval } r1 c v$  by fact
    have IH2:  $\bigwedge s. v. s \in \text{deriv } c r2 \rightarrow v \implies (c \# s) \in r2 \rightarrow \text{injval } r2 c v$  by fact
    have  $s \in \text{deriv } c (\text{Plus } r1 r2) \rightarrow v$  by fact
    then have  $s \in \text{Plus } (\text{deriv } c r1) (\text{deriv } c r2) \rightarrow v$  by simp
    then consider (left)  $v'$  where  $v = \text{Left } v'$   $s \in \text{deriv } c r1 \rightarrow v'$ 
      | (right)  $v'$  where  $v = \text{Right } v'$   $v' \notin \text{lang } (\text{deriv } c r1) s \in \text{deriv } c r2 \rightarrow v'$ 
        by cases auto
    then show  $(c \# s) \in \text{Plus } r1 r2 \rightarrow \text{injval } (\text{Plus } r1 r2) c v$ 
  proof (cases)
    case left
      have  $s \in \text{deriv } c r1 \rightarrow v'$  by fact
      then have  $(c \# s) \in r1 \rightarrow \text{injval } r1 c v'$  using IH1 by simp
      then have  $(c \# s) \in \text{Plus } r1 r2 \rightarrow \text{injval } (\text{Plus } r1 r2) c (\text{Left } v')$  by (auto
        intro: Posix.intros)
      then show  $(c \# s) \in \text{Plus } r1 r2 \rightarrow \text{injval } (\text{Plus } r1 r2) c v$  using left by simp
    next
    case right
      have  $s \notin \text{lang } (\text{deriv } c r1)$  by fact
      then have  $c \# s \notin \text{lang } r1$  by (simp add: lang-deriv Deriv-def)
      moreover
        have  $s \in \text{deriv } c r2 \rightarrow v'$  by fact
        then have  $(c \# s) \in r2 \rightarrow \text{injval } r2 c v'$  using IH2 by simp
        ultimately have  $(c \# s) \in \text{Plus } r1 r2 \rightarrow \text{injval } (\text{Plus } r1 r2) c (\text{Right } v')$ 
          by (auto intro: Posix.intros)
      then show  $(c \# s) \in \text{Plus } r1 r2 \rightarrow \text{injval } (\text{Plus } r1 r2) c v$  using right by

```

```

simp
qed
next
case (Times r1 r2)
have IH1:  $\bigwedge s v. s \in \text{deriv } c r1 \rightarrow v \implies (c \# s) \in r1 \rightarrow \text{injval } r1 c v$  by fact
have IH2:  $\bigwedge s v. s \in \text{deriv } c r2 \rightarrow v \implies (c \# s) \in r2 \rightarrow \text{injval } r2 c v$  by fact
have  $s \in \text{deriv } c (\text{Times } r1 r2) \rightarrow v$  by fact
then consider
  | (left-nullable)  $v1 v2 s1 s2$  where
     $v = \text{Left } (\text{Seq } v1 v2)$   $s = s1 @ s2$ 
     $s1 \in \text{deriv } c r1 \rightarrow v1$   $s2 \in r2 \rightarrow v2$  nullable  $r1$ 
     $\neg (\exists s3 s4. s3 \neq [] \wedge s3 @ s4 = s2 \wedge s1 @ s3 \in \text{lang } (\text{deriv } c r1) \wedge s4 \in \text{lang } r2)$ 
  | (right-nullable)  $v1 s1 s2$  where
     $v = \text{Right } v1$   $s = s1 @ s2$ 
     $s \in \text{deriv } c r2 \rightarrow v1$  nullable  $r1$   $s1 @ s2 \notin \text{lang } (\text{Times } (\text{deriv } c r1) r2)$ 
  | (not-nullable)  $v1 v2 s1 s2$  where
     $v = \text{Seq } v1 v2$   $s = s1 @ s2$ 
     $s1 \in \text{deriv } c r1 \rightarrow v1$   $s2 \in r2 \rightarrow v2$   $\neg \text{nullable } r1$ 
     $\neg (\exists s3 s4. s3 \neq [] \wedge s3 @ s4 = s2 \wedge s1 @ s3 \in \text{lang } (\text{deriv } c r1) \wedge s4 \in \text{lang } r2)$ 
  by (force split: if-splits elim!: Posix-elims simp add: lang-deriv Deriv-def)
then show  $(c \# s) \in \text{Times } r1 r2 \rightarrow \text{injval } (\text{Times } r1 r2) c v$ 
proof (cases)
  case left-nullable
  have  $s1 \in \text{deriv } c r1 \rightarrow v1$  by fact
  then have  $(c \# s1) \in r1 \rightarrow \text{injval } r1 c v1$  using IH1 by simp
  moreover
  have  $\neg (\exists s3 s4. s3 \neq [] \wedge s3 @ s4 = s2 \wedge s1 @ s3 \in \text{lang } (\text{deriv } c r1) \wedge s4 \in \text{lang } r2)$  by fact
  then have  $\neg (\exists s3 s4. s3 \neq [] \wedge s3 @ s4 = s2 \wedge (c \# s1) @ s3 \in \text{lang } r1 \wedge s4 \in \text{lang } r2)$ 
  by (simp add: lang-deriv Deriv-def)
  ultimately have  $((c \# s1) @ s2) \in \text{Times } r1 r2 \rightarrow \text{Seq } (\text{injval } r1 c v1) v2$ 
  using left-nullable by (rule-tac Posix.intros)
  then show  $(c \# s) \in \text{Times } r1 r2 \rightarrow \text{injval } (\text{Times } r1 r2) c v$  using
  left-nullable by simp
next
  case right-nullable
  have nullable  $r1$  by fact
  then have  $[] \in r1 \rightarrow (\text{mkeps } r1)$  by (rule Posix-mkeps)
  moreover
  have  $s \in \text{deriv } c r2 \rightarrow v1$  by fact
  then have  $(c \# s) \in r2 \rightarrow (\text{injval } r2 c v1)$  using IH2 by simp
  moreover
  have  $s1 @ s2 \notin \text{lang } (\text{Times } (\text{deriv } c r1) r2)$  by fact
  then have  $\neg (\exists s3 s4. s3 \neq [] \wedge s3 @ s4 = c \# s \wedge [] @ s3 \in \text{lang } r1 \wedge s4 \in \text{lang } r2)$ 
  using right-nullable

```

```

apply (auto simp add: lang-deriv Deriv-def append-eq-Cons-conv)
by (metis concI mem-Collect-eq)
ultimately have ([] @ (c # s)) ∈ Times r1 r2 → Seq (mkeps r1) (injval r2
c v1)
by(rule Posix.intros)
then show (c # s) ∈ Times r1 r2 → injval (Times r1 r2) c v using
right-nullable by simp
next
case not-nullable
have s1 ∈ deriv c r1 → v1 by fact
then have (c # s1) ∈ r1 → injval r1 c v1 using IH1 by simp
moreover
have ¬ (∃ s3 s4. s3 ≠ [] ∧ s3 @ s4 = s2 ∧ s1 @ s3 ∈ lang (deriv c r1) ∧ s4
∈ lang r2) by fact
then have ¬ (∃ s3 s4. s3 ≠ [] ∧ s3 @ s4 = s2 ∧ (c # s1) @ s3 ∈ lang r1 ∧
s4 ∈ lang r2) by (simp add: lang-deriv Deriv-def)
ultimately have ((c # s1) @ s2) ∈ Times r1 r2 → Seq (injval r1 c v1) v2
using not-nullable
by (rule-tac Posix.intros) (simp-all)
then show (c # s) ∈ Times r1 r2 → injval (Times r1 r2) c v using
not-nullable by simp
qed
next
case (Star r)
have IH: ∀s v. s ∈ deriv c r → v ==> (c # s) ∈ r → injval r c v by fact
have s ∈ deriv c (Star r) → v by fact
then consider
(cons) v1 vs s1 s2 where
v = Seq v1 (Stars vs) s = s1 @ s2
s1 ∈ deriv c r → v1 s2 ∈ (Star r) → (Stars vs)
¬ (∃ s3 s4. s3 ≠ [] ∧ s3 @ s4 = s2 ∧ s1 @ s3 ∈ lang (deriv c r) ∧ s4 ∈ lang
(Star r))
apply(auto elim!: Posix-elims(1–5) simp add: lang-deriv Deriv-def intro:
Posix.intros)
apply(rotate-tac 3)
apply(erule-tac Posix-elims(6))
apply (simp add: Posix.intros(6))
using Posix.intros(7) by blast
then show (c # s) ∈ Star r → injval (Star r) c v
proof (cases)
case cons
have s1 ∈ deriv c r → v1 by fact
then have (c # s1) ∈ r → injval r c v1 using IH by simp
moreover
have s2 ∈ Star r → Stars vs by fact
moreover
have (c # s1) ∈ r → injval r c v1 by fact
then have flat (injval r c v1) = (c # s1) by (rule Posix1)
then have flat (injval r c v1) ≠ [] by simp

```

```

moreover
  have  $\neg (\exists s_3 s_4. s_3 \neq [] \wedge s_3 @ s_4 = s2 \wedge s1 @ s_3 \in lang (deriv c r) \wedge$ 
 $s_4 \in lang (Star r))$  by fact
    then have  $\neg (\exists s_3 s_4. s_3 \neq [] \wedge s_3 @ s_4 = s2 \wedge (c \# s1) @ s_3 \in lang r$ 
 $\wedge s_4 \in lang (Star r))$ 
      by (simp add: lang-deriv Deriv-def)
      ultimately
        have  $((c \# s1) @ s2) \in Star r \rightarrow Stars (injval r c v1 \# vs)$  by (rule
Posix.intros)
        then show  $(c \# s) \in Star r \rightarrow injval (Star r) c v$  using cons by(simp)
      qed
    qed

```

7 The Lexer by Sulzmann and Lu

```

fun
  lexer :: 'a rexp  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  ('a val) option
where
  lexer r [] = (if nullable r then Some(mkeps r) else None)
  | lexer r (c#s) = (case (lexer (deriv c r) s) of
    None  $\Rightarrow$  None
    | Some(v)  $\Rightarrow$  Some(injval r c v))

```

```

lemma lexer-correct-None:
  shows  $s \notin lang r \longleftrightarrow lexer r s = None$ 
  apply(induct s arbitrary: r)
  apply(simp add: nullable-iff)
  apply(drule-tac x=deriv a r in meta-spec)
  apply(auto simp add: lang-deriv Deriv-def)
  done

```

```

lemma lexer-correct-Some:
  shows  $s \in lang r \longleftrightarrow (\exists v. lexer r s = Some(v) \wedge s \in r \rightarrow v)$ 
  apply(induct s arbitrary: r)
  apply(auto simp add: Posix-mkeps nullable-iff)[1]
  apply(drule-tac x=deriv a r in meta-spec)
  apply(simp add: lang-deriv Deriv-def)
  apply(rule iffI)
  apply(auto intro: Posix-injval simp add: Posix1(1))
  done

```

```

lemma lexer-correctness:
  shows  $(lexer r s = Some v) \longleftrightarrow s \in r \rightarrow v$ 
  and  $(lexer r s = None) \longleftrightarrow \neg(\exists v. s \in r \rightarrow v)$ 
  apply(auto)
  using lexer-correct-None lexer-correct-Some apply fastforce
  using Posix1(1) Posix-determ lexer-correct-Some apply blast
  using Posix1(1) lexer-correct-None apply blast

```

```
using lexer-correct-None lexer-correct-Some by blast
```

```
end
theory LexicalVals
imports Lexer HOL-Library.Sublist
begin
```

8 Sets of Lexical Values

Shows that lexical values are finite for a given regex and string.

definition

```
LV :: 'a rexp ⇒ 'a list ⇒ ('a val) set
where LV r s ≡ {v. v : r ∧ flat v = s}
```

lemma *LV-simps*:

```
shows LV Zero s = {}
and LV One s = (if s = [] then {Void} else {})
and LV (Atom c) s = (if s = [c] then {Atm c} else {})
and LV (Plus r1 r2) s = Left ` LV r1 s ∪ Right ` LV r2 s
```

unfolding *LV-def*

```
by (auto intro: Prf.intros elim: Prf.cases)
```

abbreviation

```
Prefixes s ≡ {s'. prefix s' s}
```

abbreviation

```
Suffixes s ≡ {s'. suffix s' s}
```

abbreviation

```
SSuffixes s ≡ {s'. strict-suffix s' s}
```

lemma *Suffixes-cons* [*simp*]:

```
shows Suffixes (c # s) = Suffixes s ∪ {c # s}
by (auto simp add: suffix-def Cons-eq-append-conv)
```

lemma *finite-Suffixes*:

```
shows finite (Suffixes s)
by (induct s) (simp-all)
```

lemma *finite-SSuffixes*:

```
shows finite (SSuffixes s)
```

proof –

```
have SSuffixes s ⊆ Suffixes s
unfolding strict-suffix-def suffix-def by auto
```

```

then show finite (SSuffixes s)
  using finite-Suffixes finite-subset by blast
qed

lemma finite-Prefixes:
  shows finite (Prefixes s)
proof -
  have finite (Suffixes (rev s))
    by (rule finite-Suffixes)
  then have finite (rev ` Suffixes (rev s)) by simp
  moreover
  have rev ` (Suffixes (rev s)) = Prefixes s
  unfolding suffix-def prefix-def image-def
  by (auto)(metis rev-append rev-rev-ident)+
  ultimately show finite (Prefixes s) by simp
qed

lemma LV-STAR-finite:
  assumes  $\forall s. \text{finite} (\text{LV } r s)$ 
  shows finite (LV (Star r) s)
proof(induct s rule: length-induct)
  fix s::'a list
  assume  $\forall s'. \text{length } s' < \text{length } s \longrightarrow \text{finite} (\text{LV } (\text{Star } r) s')$ 
  then have IH:  $\forall s' \in \text{SSuffixes } s. \text{finite} (\text{LV } (\text{Star } r) s')$ 
    by (force simp add: strict-suffix-def suffix-def)
  define f where f  $\equiv \lambda(v::'a \text{ val}, vs). \text{Stars} (v \# vs)$ 
  define S1 where S1  $\equiv \bigcup s' \in \text{Prefixes } s. \text{LV } r s'$ 
  define S2 where S2  $\equiv \bigcup s2 \in \text{SSuffixes } s. \text{Stars} -` (\text{LV } (\text{Star } r) s2)$ 
  have finite S1 using assms
    unfolding S1-def by (simp-all add: finite-Prefixes)
  moreover
  with IH have finite S2 unfolding S2-def
    by (auto simp add: finite-SSuffixes inj-on-def finite-vimageI)
  ultimately
  have finite ( $\{\text{Stars } []\} \cup f ` (S1 \times S2)$ ) by simp
  moreover
  have LV (Star r) s  $\subseteq \{\text{Stars } []\} \cup f ` (S1 \times S2)$ 
  unfolding S1-def S2-def f-def
  unfolding LV-def image-def prefix-def strict-suffix-def
  apply(auto)
  apply(case-tac x)
  apply(auto elim: Prf-elims)
  apply(erule Prf-elims)
  apply(auto)
  apply(case-tac vs)
  apply(auto intro: Prf.intros)
  apply(rule exI)
  apply(rule conjI)
  apply(rule-tac x=flat a in exI)

```

```

apply(rule conjI)
apply(rule-tac x=flats list in exI)
apply(simp)
apply(blast)
apply(simp add: suffix-def)
using Prf.intros(6) by blast
ultimately
show finite (LV (Star r) s) by (simp add: finite-subset)
qed

lemma LV-finite:
shows finite (LV r s)
proof(induct r arbitrary: s)
case (Zero s)
show finite (LV Zero s) by (simp add: LV-simps)
next
case (One s)
show finite (LV One s) by (simp add: LV-simps)
next
case (Atom c s)
show finite (LV (Atom c) s) by (simp add: LV-simps)
next
case (Plus r1 r2 s)
then show finite (LV (Plus r1 r2) s) by (simp add: LV-simps)
next
case (Times r1 r2 s)
define f where f ≡ λ(v1::'a val, v2). Seq v1 v2
define S1 where S1 ≡ ⋃s' ∈ Prefixes s. LV r1 s'
define S2 where S2 ≡ ⋃s' ∈ Suffixes s. LV r2 s'
have IHs: ⋀s. finite (LV r1 s) ⋀s. finite (LV r2 s) by fact+
then have finite S1 finite S2 unfolding S1-def S2-def
by (simp-all add: finite-Prefixes finite-Suffixes)
moreover
have LV (Times r1 r2) s ⊆ f ` (S1 × S2)
unfolding f-def S1-def S2-def
unfolding LV-def image-def prefix-def suffix-def
apply (auto elim!: Prf-elims)
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) mem-Collect-eq)
ultimately
show finite (LV (Times r1 r2) s)
by (simp add: finite-subset)
next
case (Star r s)
then show finite (LV (Star r) s) by (simp add: LV-STAR-finite)
qed

```

Our POSIX values are lexical values.

lemma Posix-LV:

```

assumes  $s \in r \rightarrow v$ 
shows  $v \in LV r s$ 
using assms unfolding LV-def
apply(induct rule: Posix.induct)
apply(auto simp add: intro!: Prf.intros elim!: Prf.elims)
done

lemma Posix-Prf:
assumes  $s \in r \rightarrow v$ 
shows  $\vdash v : r$ 
using assms Posix-LV LV-def
by blast

end

```

```

theory Simplifying
imports Lexer
begin

```

9 Lexer including simplifications

```

fun F-RIGHT where
F-RIGHT  $f v = Right (f v)$ 

fun F-LEFT where
F-LEFT  $f v = Left (f v)$ 

fun F-Plus where
F-Plus  $f_1 f_2 (Right v) = Right (f_2 v)$ 
| F-Plus  $f_1 f_2 (Left v) = Left (f_1 v)$ 
| F-Plus  $f_1 f_2 v = v$ 

fun F-Times1 where
F-Times1  $f_1 f_2 v = Seq (f_1 \text{ Void}) (f_2 v)$ 

fun F-Times2 where
F-Times2  $f_1 f_2 v = Seq (f_1 v) (f_2 \text{ Void})$ 

fun F-Times where
F-Times  $f_1 f_2 (Seq v_1 v_2) = Seq (f_1 v_1) (f_2 v_2)$ 
| F-Times  $f_1 f_2 v = v$ 

fun simp-Plus where
simp-Plus  $(Zero, f_1) (r_2, f_2) = (r_2, F-RIGHT f_2)$ 
| simp-Plus  $(r_1, f_1) (Zero, f_2) = (r_1, F-LEFT f_1)$ 
| simp-Plus  $(r_1, f_1) (r_2, f_2) =$ 

```

```

(if  $r_1 = r_2$  then  $(r_1, F\text{-LEFT } f_1)$  else  $(Plus r_1 r_2, F\text{-Plus } f_1 f_2)$ )

fun simp-Times where
  simp-Times (Zero,  $f_1$ ) ( $r_2, f_2$ ) = (Zero, undefined)
  | simp-Times ( $r_1, f_1$ ) (Zero,  $f_2$ ) = (Zero, undefined)
  | simp-Times (One,  $f_1$ ) ( $r_2, f_2$ ) =  $(r_2, F\text{-Times1 } f_1 f_2)$ 
  | simp-Times ( $r_1, f_1$ ) (One,  $f_2$ ) =  $(r_1, F\text{-Times2 } f_1 f_2)$ 
  | simp-Times ( $r_1, f_1$ ) ( $r_2, f_2$ ) =  $(Times r_1 r_2, F\text{-Times } f_1 f_2)$ 

lemma simp-Times-simps[simp]:
  simp-Times  $p_1 p_2$  = (if (fst  $p_1$  = Zero) then (Zero, undefined)
    else (if (fst  $p_2$  = Zero) then (Zero, undefined)
      else (if (fst  $p_1$  = One) then (fst  $p_2, F\text{-Times1 } (snd p_1) (snd p_2)$ )
        else (if (fst  $p_2$  = One) then (fst  $p_1, F\text{-Times2 } (snd p_1) (snd p_2)$ )
          else (Times (fst  $p_1) (fst p_2), F\text{-Times } (snd p_1) (snd p_2)))))))
  by (induct  $p_1 p_2$  rule: simp-Times.induct)(auto)

lemma simp-Plus-simps[simp]:
  simp-Plus  $p_1 p_2$  = (if (fst  $p_1$  = Zero) then (fst  $p_2, F\text{-RIGHT } (snd p_2)$ )
    else (if (fst  $p_2$  = Zero) then (fst  $p_1, F\text{-LEFT } (snd p_1)$ )
      else (if (fst  $p_1$  = fst  $p_2$ ) then (fst  $p_1, F\text{-LEFT } (snd p_1)$ )
        else (Plus (fst  $p_1) (fst p_2), F\text{-Plus } (snd p_1) (snd p_2))))))
  by (induct  $p_1 p_2$  rule: simp-Plus.induct) (auto)

fun
  simp :: 'a rexp  $\Rightarrow$  'a rexp * ('a val  $\Rightarrow$  'a val)
where
  simp (Plus  $r_1 r_2$ ) = simp-Plus (simp  $r_1$ ) (simp  $r_2$ )
  | simp (Times  $r_1 r_2$ ) = simp-Times (simp  $r_1$ ) (simp  $r_2$ )
  | simp  $r$  =  $(r, id)$ 

fun
  slexer :: 'a rexp  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  ('a val) option
where
  slexer  $r []$  = (if nullable  $r$  then Some(mkeps  $r$ ) else None)
  | slexer  $r (c \# s)$  = (let  $(rs, fr) = simp (deriv c r)$  in
    (case (slexer  $rs s$ ) of
      None  $\Rightarrow$  None
      | Some( $v$ )  $\Rightarrow$  Some(injval  $r c (fr v)$ )))

lemma slexer-better-simp:
  slexer  $r (c \# s)$  = (case (slexer (fst (simp (deriv c r)))  $s$ ) of
    None  $\Rightarrow$  None
    | Some( $v$ )  $\Rightarrow$  Some(injval  $r c ((snd (simp (deriv c r))) v)$ ))
  by (auto split: prod.split option.split)

lemma L-fst-simp:
  shows lang  $r$  = lang (fst (simp  $r$ ))$$ 
```

```

by (induct r) (auto)

lemma Posix-simp:
  assumes s ∈ (fst (simp r)) → v
  shows s ∈ r → ((snd (simp r)) v)
using assms
proof(induct r arbitrary: s v rule: rexp.induct)
  case (Plus r1 r2 s v)
    have IH1: ∀s v. s ∈ fst (simp r1) → v ⟹ s ∈ r1 → snd (simp r1) v by fact
    have IH2: ∀s v. s ∈ fst (simp r2) → v ⟹ s ∈ r2 → snd (simp r2) v by fact
    have as: s ∈ fst (simp (Plus r1 r2)) → v by fact
    consider (Zero-Zero) fst (simp r1) = Zero fst (simp r2) = Zero
      | (Zero-NZero) fst (simp r1) = Zero fst (simp r2) ≠ Zero
      | (NZero-Zero) fst (simp r1) ≠ Zero fst (simp r2) = Zero
      | (NZero-NZero1) fst (simp r1) ≠ Zero fst (simp r2) ≠ Zero fst (simp r1)
    = fst (simp r2)
      | (NZero-NZero2) fst (simp r1) ≠ Zero fst (simp r2) ≠ Zero fst (simp r1)
    ≠ fst (simp r2) by auto
    then show s ∈ Plus r1 r2 → snd (simp (Plus r1 r2)) v
    proof(cases)
      case (Zero-Zero)
        with as have s ∈ Zero → v by simp
    then show s ∈ Plus r1 r2 → snd (simp (Plus r1 r2)) v by (rule Posix-elims(1))
    next
      case (Zero-NZero)
        with as have s ∈ fst (simp r2) → v by simp
        with IH2 have s ∈ r2 → snd (simp r2) v by simp
        moreover
        from Zero-NZero have fst (simp r1) = Zero by simp
        then have lang (fst (simp r1)) = {} by simp
        then have lang r1 = {} using L-fst-simp by auto
        then have s ∉ lang r1 by simp
        ultimately have s ∈ Plus r1 r2 → Right (snd (simp r2) v) by (rule Posix-Plus2)
        then show s ∈ Plus r1 r2 → snd (simp (Plus r1 r2)) v
        using Zero-NZero by simp
    next
      case (NZero-Zero)
        with as have s ∈ fst (simp r1) → v by simp
        with IH1 have s ∈ r1 → snd (simp r1) v by simp
        then have s ∈ Plus r1 r2 → Left (snd (simp r1) v) by (rule Posix-Plus1)
        then show s ∈ Plus r1 r2 → snd (simp (Plus r1 r2)) v using NZero-Zero
    by simp
    next
      case (NZero-NZero1)
        with as have a: s ∈ fst (simp r1) → v by simp
        then show s ∈ Plus r1 r2 → snd (simp (Plus r1 r2)) v
        using IH1 NZero-NZero1 Posix-Plus1 a by fastforce
    next

```

```

case (NZero-NZero2)
with as have s ∈ Plus (fst (simp r1)) (fst (simp r2)) → v by simp
then consider (Left) v1 where v = Left v1 s ∈ (fst (simp r1)) → v1
    | (Right) v2 where v = Right v2 s ∈ (fst (simp r2)) → v2 s ∉ lang
(fst (simp r1))
    by (erule-tac Posix-elims(4))
then show s ∈ Plus r1 r2 → snd (simp (Plus r1 r2)) v
proof(cases)
    case (Left)
        then have v = Left v1 s ∈ r1 → (snd (simp r1) v1) using IH1 by simp-all
        then show s ∈ Plus r1 r2 → snd (simp (Plus r1 r2)) v using NZero-NZero2
            by (simp-all add: Posix-Plus1)
next
    case (Right)
        then have v = Right v2 s ∈ r2 → (snd (simp r2) v2) s ∉ lang r1 using
IH2 L-fst-simp by auto
        then show s ∈ Plus r1 r2 → snd (simp (Plus r1 r2)) v using NZero-NZero2
            by (simp-all add: Posix-Plus2)
qed
qed
next
    case (Times r1 r2 s v)
        have IH1:  $\bigwedge s. s \in fst(\text{simp } r1) \rightarrow v \implies s \in r1 \rightarrow snd(\text{simp } r1) v$  by fact
        have IH2:  $\bigwedge s. s \in fst(\text{simp } r2) \rightarrow v \implies s \in r2 \rightarrow snd(\text{simp } r2) v$  by fact
        have as: s ∈ fst (simp (Times r1 r2)) → v by fact
        consider (Zero) fst (simp r1) = Zero ∨ fst (simp r2) = Zero
            | (One-One) fst (simp r1) = One fst (simp r2) = One
            | (One-None) fst (simp r1) = One fst (simp r2) ≠ One fst (simp r2) ≠
Zero
            | (None-One) fst (simp r1) ≠ One fst (simp r2) = One fst (simp r1) ≠
Zero
            | (None-None) fst (simp r1) ≠ One fst (simp r2) ≠ One
                fst (simp r1) ≠ Zero fst (simp r2) ≠ Zero by auto
        then show s ∈ Times r1 r2 → snd (simp (Times r1 r2)) v
proof(cases)
    case (Zero)
        with as have False
            by (metis Posix-elims(1) fst-conv simp.simps(2) simp-Times-simps)
        then show s ∈ Times r1 r2 → snd (simp (Times r1 r2)) v by simp
next
    case (One-One)
        with as have b: s ∈ One → v by simp
        from b have s ∈ r1 → snd (simp r1) v using IH1 One-One by simp
        moreover
        from b have c: s = [] v = Void using Posix-elims(2) by auto
        moreover
        have [] ∈ One → Void by (simp add: Posix-One)
        then have [] ∈ fst (simp r2) → Void using One-One by simp
        then have [] ∈ r2 → snd (simp r2) Void using IH2 by simp

```

```

ultimately have ([] @ []) ∈ Times r1 r2 → Seq (snd (simp r1) Void) (snd
(simp r2) Void)
  using Posix-Times by blast
then show s ∈ Times r1 r2 → snd (simp (Times r1 r2)) v using c One-One
by simp
next
case (One-None)
with as have b: s ∈ fst (simp r2) → v by simp
from b have s ∈ r2 → snd (simp r2) v using IH2 One-None by simp
moreover
have [] ∈ One → Void by (simp add: Posix-One)
then have [] ∈ fst (simp r1) → Void using One-None by simp
then have [] ∈ r1 → snd (simp r1) Void using IH1 by simp
moreover
from One-None(1) have lang (fst (simp r1)) = {} by simp
then have lang r1 = {} by (simp add: L-fst-simp[symmetric])
ultimately have ([] @ s) ∈ Times r1 r2 → Seq (snd (simp r1) Void) (snd
(simp r2) v)
  by(rule-tac Posix-Times) auto
then show s ∈ Times r1 r2 → snd (simp (Times r1 r2)) v using One-None
by simp
next
case (None-One)
with as have s ∈ fst (simp r1) → v by simp
with IH1 have s ∈ r1 → snd (simp r1) v by simp
moreover
have [] ∈ One → Void by (simp add: Posix-One)
then have [] ∈ fst (simp r2) → Void using None-One by simp
then have [] ∈ r2 → snd (simp r2) Void using IH2 by simp
ultimately have (s @ []) ∈ Times r1 r2 → Seq (snd (simp r1) v) (snd (simp
r2) Void)
  by(rule-tac Posix-Times) auto
then show s ∈ Times r1 r2 → snd (simp (Times r1 r2)) v using None-One
by simp
next
case (None-None)
with as have s ∈ Times (fst (simp r1)) (fst (simp r2)) → v by simp
then obtain s1 s2 v1 v2 where eqs: s = s1 @ s2 v = Seq v1 v2
  s1 ∈ (fst (simp r1)) → v1 s2 ∈ (fst (simp r2)) → v2
  ¬ (∃ s3 s4. s3 ≠ [] ∧ s3 @ s4 = s2 ∧ s1 @ s3 ∈ lang r1 ∧ s4 ∈
lang r2)
  by (erule-tac Posix-elims(5)) (auto simp add: L-fst-simp[symmetric])

then have s1 ∈ r1 → (snd (simp r1) v1) s2 ∈ r2 → (snd (simp r2) v2)
  using IH1 IH2 by auto
then show s ∈ Times r1 r2 → snd (simp (Times r1 r2)) v using eqs
None-None
  by(auto intro: Posix-Times)
qed

```

qed (*simp-all*)

```

lemma slexer-correctness:
  shows slexer r s = lexer r s
proof(induct s arbitrary: r)
  case Nil
    show slexer r [] = lexer r [] by simp
  next
    case (Cons c s r)
      have IH:  $\bigwedge r. \text{slexer } r \text{ } s = \text{lexer } r \text{ } s$  by fact
      show slexer r (c # s) = lexer r (c # s)
      proof (cases s ∈ lang (deriv c r))
        case True
          assume a1: s ∈ lang (deriv c r)
          then obtain v1 where a2: lexer (fst (simp (deriv c r))) s = Some v1 s ∈ deriv c r → v1
            using lexer-correct-Some by auto
            from a1 have s ∈ lang (fst (simp (deriv c r))) using L-fst-simp[symmetric]
            by auto
            then obtain v2 where a3: lexer (fst (simp (deriv c r))) s = Some v2 s ∈ (fst (simp (deriv c r))) → v2
              using lexer-correct-Some by auto
              then have a4: slexer (fst (simp (deriv c r))) s = Some v2 using IH by simp
              from a3(2) have s ∈ deriv c r → (snd (simp (deriv c r))) v2 using
              Posix-simp by auto
              with a2(2) have v1 = (snd (simp (deriv c r))) v2 using Posix-determ by auto
              with a2(1) a4 show slexer r (c # s) = lexer r (c # s) by (auto split: prod.split)
            next
            case False
              assume b1: s ∉ lang (deriv c r)
              then have lexer (deriv c r) s = None using lexer-correct-None by auto
              moreover
              from b1 have s ∉ lang (fst (simp (deriv c r))) using L-fst-simp[symmetric]
              by auto
              then have lexer (fst (simp (deriv c r))) s = None using lexer-correct-None by auto
              then have slexer (fst (simp (deriv c r))) s = None using IH by simp
              ultimately show slexer r (c # s) = lexer r (c # s)
                by (simp del: slexer.simps add: slexer-better-simp)
            qed
          qed
        end
theory Positions

```

```

imports Lexer LexicalVals
begin

```

10 An alternative definition for POSIX values by Okui & Suzuki

11 Positions in Values

```

fun
  at :: 'a val ⇒ nat list ⇒ 'a val
where
  at v [] = v
  | at (Left v) (0#ps)= at v ps
  | at (Right v) (Suc 0#ps)= at v ps
  | at (Seq v1 v2) (0#ps)= at v1 ps
  | at (Seq v1 v2) (Suc 0#ps)= at v2 ps
  | at (Stars vs) (n#ps)= at (nth vs n) ps

fun Pos :: 'a val ⇒ (nat list) set
where
  Pos (Void) = {}
  | Pos (Atm c) = {}
  | Pos (Left v) = {} ∪ {0#ps | ps. ps ∈ Pos v}
  | Pos (Right v) = {} ∪ {1#ps | ps. ps ∈ Pos v}
  | Pos (Seq v1 v2) = {} ∪ {0#ps | ps. ps ∈ Pos v1} ∪ {1#ps | ps. ps ∈ Pos v2}
  | Pos (Stars []) = {}
  | Pos (Stars (v#vs)) = {} ∪ {0#ps | ps. ps ∈ Pos v} ∪ {Suc n#ps | n ps. n#ps
    ∈ Pos (Stars vs)}

lemma Pos-stars:
  Pos (Stars vs) = {} ∪ (⋃ n < length vs. {n#ps | ps. ps ∈ Pos (vs ! n)})
apply(induct vs)
apply(auto simp add: insert-ident less-Suc-eq-0-disj)
done

lemma Pos-empty:
  shows [] ∈ Pos v
by (induct v rule: Pos.induct)(auto)

abbreviation
  intlen vs ≡ int (length vs)

definition pflat-len :: 'a val ⇒ nat list => int

```

```

where
  pflat-len v p ≡ (if p ∈ Pos v then intlen (flat (at v p)) else -1)

lemma pflat-len-simps:
  shows pflat-len (Seq v1 v2) (0#p) = pflat-len v1 p
  and pflat-len (Seq v1 v2) (Suc 0#p) = pflat-len v2 p
  and pflat-len (Left v) (0#p) = pflat-len v p
  and pflat-len (Left v) (Suc 0#p) = -1
  and pflat-len (Right v) (Suc 0#p) = pflat-len v p
  and pflat-len (Right v) (0#p) = -1
  and pflat-len (Stars (v#vs)) (Suc n#p) = pflat-len (Stars vs) (n#p)
  and pflat-len (Stars (v#vs)) (0#p) = pflat-len v p
  and pflat-len v [] = intlen (flat v)
by (auto simp add: pflat-len-def Pos-empty)

lemma pflat-len-Stars-simps:
  assumes n < length vs
  shows pflat-len (Stars vs) (n#p) = pflat-len (vs!n) p
  using assms
  apply(induct vs arbitrary: n p)
  apply(auto simp add: less-Suc-eq-0-disj pflat-len-simps)
  done

lemma pflat-len-outside:
  assumes p ∉ Pos v1
  shows pflat-len v1 p = -1
  using assms by (simp add: pflat-len-def)

```

12 Orderings

```

definition prefix-list:: 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool (‐ ⊑ pre → [60,59] 60)
where
  ps1 ⊑ pre ps2 ≡ ∃ ps'. ps1 @ps' = ps2

definition sprefix-list:: 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool (‐ ⊒ spre → [60,59] 60)
where
  ps1 ⊒ spre ps2 ≡ ps1 ⊑ pre ps2 ∧ ps1 ≠ ps2

inductive lex-list :: nat list ⇒ nat list ⇒ bool (‐ ⊓ lex → [60,59] 60)
where
  [] ⊓ lex (p#ps)
  | ps1 ⊓ lex ps2 → (p#ps1) ⊓ lex (p#ps2)
  | p1 < p2 → (p1#ps1) ⊓ lex (p2#ps2)

lemma lex-irrfl:
  fixes ps1 ps2 :: nat list
  assumes ps1 ⊓ lex ps2
  shows ps1 ≠ ps2
  using assms

```

```

by(induct rule: lex-list.induct)(auto)

lemma lex-simps [simp]:
  fixes xs ys :: nat list
  shows [] ⊑lex ys ↔ ys ≠ []
  and xs ⊑lex [] ↔ False
  and (x # xs) ⊑lex (y # ys) ↔ (x < y ∨ (x = y ∧ xs ⊑lex ys))
  by (auto simp add: neq-Nil-conv elim: lex-list.cases intro: lex-list.intros)

lemma lex-trans:
  fixes ps1 ps2 ps3 :: nat list
  assumes ps1 ⊑lex ps2 ⊑lex ps3
  shows ps1 ⊑lex ps3
  using assms
  by (induct arbitrary: ps3 rule: lex-list.induct)
    (auto elim: lex-list.cases)

lemma lex-trichotomous:
  fixes p q :: nat list
  shows p = q ∨ p ⊑lex q ∨ q ⊑lex p
  apply(induct p arbitrary: q)
  apply(auto elim: lex-list.cases)
  apply(case-tac q)
  apply(auto)
  done

```

13 POSIX Ordering of Values According to Okui & Suzuki

```

definition PosOrd:: 'a val ⇒ nat list ⇒ 'a val ⇒ bool (‐ ⊑val → [60, 60, 59]
60)
where
  v1 ⊑val p v2 ≡ pflat-len v1 p > pflat-len v2 p ∧
    ( ∀ q ∈ Pos v1 ∪ Pos v2. q ⊑lex p → pflat-len v1 q = pflat-len v2
q)

```

```

lemma PosOrd-def2:
  shows v1 ⊑val p v2 ↔
    pflat-len v1 p > pflat-len v2 p ∧
    ( ∀ q ∈ Pos v1. q ⊑lex p → pflat-len v1 q = pflat-len v2 q) ∧
    ( ∀ q ∈ Pos v2. q ⊑lex p → pflat-len v1 q = pflat-len v2 q)
  unfolding PosOrd-def
  apply(auto)
  done

```

```

definition PosOrd-ex:: 'a val ⇒ 'a val ⇒ bool (‐ : ⊑val → [60, 59] 60)

```

```

where
 $v1 : \sqsubseteq val v2 \equiv \exists p. v1 \sqsubseteq val p v2$ 

definition PosOrd-ex-eq:: ' $a$  val  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$  val  $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $\langle - : \sqsubseteq val \rightarrow [60, 59] \rangle$  60)
where
 $v1 : \sqsubseteq val v2 \equiv v1 : \sqsubseteq val v2 \vee v1 = v2$ 

lemma PosOrd-trans:
assumes  $v1 : \sqsubseteq val v2 v2 : \sqsubseteq val v3$ 
shows  $v1 : \sqsubseteq val v3$ 
proof -
  from assms obtain  $p p'$ 
    where as:  $v1 \sqsubseteq val p v2 v2 \sqsubseteq val p' v3$  unfolding PosOrd-ex-def by blast
    then have  $pos: p \in Pos v1 p' \in Pos v2$  unfolding PosOrd-def pflat-len-def
    by (metis (full-types) not-int-zless-negative[of length (flat (at  $v2 p$ ))] zero-less-one
          verit-comp-simplify1(1)[of - 1] pos-int-cases[of 1])
    (metis PosOrd-def as(2) int-ops(2) not-int-zless-negative pflat-len-def verit-comp-simplify1(1))
  have  $p = p' \vee p \sqsubseteq lex p' \vee p' \sqsubseteq lex p$ 
    by (rule lex-trichotomous)
  moreover
  { assume  $p = p'$ 
    with as have  $v1 \sqsubseteq val p v3$  unfolding PosOrd-def pflat-len-def
    by (smt (verit, best) UnCI)
    then have  $v1 : \sqsubseteq val v3$  unfolding PosOrd-ex-def by blast
  }
  moreover
  { assume  $p \sqsubseteq lex p'$ 
    with as have  $v1 \sqsubseteq val p v3$  unfolding PosOrd-def pflat-len-def
    by (smt (verit, best) UnCI lex-trans)
    then have  $v1 : \sqsubseteq val v3$  unfolding PosOrd-ex-def by blast
  }
  moreover
  { assume  $p' \sqsubseteq lex p$ 
    with as have  $v1 \sqsubseteq val p' v3$  unfolding PosOrd-def
    by (smt (verit, best) UnCI lex-trans pflat-len-outside)
    then have  $v1 : \sqsubseteq val v3$  unfolding PosOrd-ex-def by blast
  }
  ultimately show  $v1 : \sqsubseteq val v3$  by blast
qed

lemma PosOrd-irrefl:
assumes  $v : \sqsubseteq val v$ 
shows False
using assms unfolding PosOrd-ex-def PosOrd-def
by auto

lemma PosOrd-assym:
assumes  $v1 : \sqsubseteq val v2$ 

```

```

shows  $\neg(v2 : \sqsubseteq val v1)$ 
using assms
using PosOrd-irrefl PosOrd-trans by blast

lemma PosOrd-ordering:
shows ordering  $(\lambda v1 v2. v1 : \sqsubseteq val v2) (\lambda v1 v2. v1 : \sqsubseteq val v2)$ 
unfolding ordering-def PosOrd-ex-eq-def
apply(auto)
using PosOrd-trans partial-preordering-def apply blast
using PosOrd-assym ordering-axioms-def by blast

lemma PosOrd-order:
shows class.order  $(\lambda v1 v2. v1 : \sqsubseteq val v2) (\lambda v1 v2. v1 : \sqsubseteq val v2)$ 
using PosOrd-ordering
apply(simp add: class.order-def class.preorder-def class.order-axioms-def)
by (smt (verit) PosOrd-ex-eq-def PosOrd-irrefl PosOrd-trans)

lemma PosOrd-ex-eq2:
shows  $v1 : \sqsubseteq val v2 \longleftrightarrow (v1 : \sqsubseteq val v2 \wedge v1 \neq v2)$ 
using PosOrd-ordering
using PosOrd-ex-eq-def PosOrd-irrefl by blast

lemma PosOrdeq-trans:
assumes  $v1 : \sqsubseteq val v2 \quad v2 : \sqsubseteq val v3$ 
shows  $v1 : \sqsubseteq val v3$ 
using assms PosOrd-ordering
unfolding ordering-def
by (metis partial-preordering.trans)

lemma PosOrdeq-antisym:
assumes  $v1 : \sqsubseteq val v2 \quad v2 : \sqsubseteq val v1$ 
shows  $v1 = v2$ 
using assms PosOrd-ordering
by (metis ordering.eq-iff)

lemma PosOrdeq-refl:
shows  $v : \sqsubseteq val v$ 
unfolding PosOrd-ex-eq-def
by auto

lemma PosOrd-shorterE:
assumes  $v1 : \sqsubseteq val v2$ 
shows  $length(flat v2) \leq length(flat v1)$ 
using assms unfolding PosOrd-ex-def PosOrd-def
apply(auto)

```

```

apply(case-tac p)
apply(simp add: pflat-len-simps)
apply(drule-tac x=[] in bspec)
apply(simp add: Pos-empty)
apply(simp add: pflat-len-simps)
done

lemma PosOrd-shorterI:
  assumes length(flat v2) < length(flat v1)
  shows v1 : ⊑ val v2
unfolding PosOrd-ex-def PosOrd-def pflat-len-def
using assms Pos-empty by force

lemma PosOrd-spreI:
  assumes flat v' ⊑ spre flat v
  shows v : ⊑ val v'
using assms
apply(rule-tac PosOrd-shorterI)
unfolding prefix-list-def sprefix-list-def
by (metis append-Nil2 append-eq-conv-conj drop-all le-less-linear)

lemma pflat-len-inside:
  assumes pflat-len v2 p < pflat-len v1 p
  shows p ∈ Pos v1
using assms
unfolding pflat-len-def
by (auto split: if-splits)

lemma PosOrd-Left-Right:
  assumes flat v1 = flat v2
  shows Left v1 : ⊑ val Right v2
unfolding PosOrd-ex-def
apply(rule-tac x=[0] in exI)
apply(auto simp add: PosOrd-def pflat-len-simps assms)
done

lemma PosOrd-LeftE:
  assumes Left v1 : ⊑ val Left v2 flat v1 = flat v2
  shows v1 : ⊑ val v2
using assms
unfolding PosOrd-ex-def PosOrd-def2
apply(auto simp add: pflat-len-simps)
apply(frule pflat-len-inside)
apply(auto simp add: pflat-len-simps)
by (metis lex-simps(3) pflat-len-simps(3))

lemma PosOrd-LeftI:
  assumes v1 : ⊑ val v2 flat v1 = flat v2

```

```

shows Left v1 :□ val Left v2
using assms
unfolding PosOrd-ex-def PosOrd-def2
apply(auto simp add: pflat-len-simps)
by (metis less-numeral-extra(3) lex-simps(3) pflat-len-simps(3))

lemma PosOrd-Left-eq:
assumes flat v1 = flat v2
shows Left v1 :□ val Left v2 ↔ v1 :□ val v2
using assms PosOrd-LeftE PosOrd-LeftI
by blast

lemma PosOrd-RightE:
assumes Right v1 :□ val Right v2 flat v1 = flat v2
shows v1 :□ val v2
using assms
unfolding PosOrd-ex-def PosOrd-def2
apply(auto simp add: pflat-len-simps)
apply(frule pflat-len-inside)
apply(auto simp add: pflat-len-simps)
by (metis lex-simps(3) pflat-len-simps(5))

lemma PosOrd-RightI:
assumes v1 :□ val v2 flat v1 = flat v2
shows Right v1 :□ val Right v2
using assms
unfolding PosOrd-ex-def PosOrd-def2
apply(auto simp add: pflat-len-simps)
by (metis lex-simps(3) nat-neq-iff pflat-len-simps(5))

lemma PosOrd-Right-eq:
assumes flat v1 = flat v2
shows Right v1 :□ val Right v2 ↔ v1 :□ val v2
using assms PosOrd-RightE PosOrd-RightI
by blast

lemma PosOrd-SeqI1:
assumes v1 :□ val w1 flat (Seq v1 v2) = flat (Seq w1 w2)
shows Seq v1 v2 :□ val Seq w1 w2
using assms(1)
apply(subst (asm) PosOrd-ex-def)
apply(subst (asm) PosOrd-def)
apply(clarify)
apply(subst PosOrd-ex-def)
apply(rule-tac x=0#p in exI)
apply(subst PosOrd-def)

```

```

apply(rule conjI)
apply(simp add: pflat-len-simps)
apply(rule ballI)
apply(rule impI)
apply(simp only: Pos.simps)
apply(auto)[1]
apply(simp add: pflat-len-simps)
apply(auto simp add: pflat-len-simps)
using assms(2)
apply(simp)
apply(metis length-append_of-nat-add)
done

lemma PosOrd-SeqI2:
assumes v2 : val w2 flat v2 = flat w2
shows Seq v v2 : val Seq v w2
using assms(1)
apply(subst (asm) PosOrd-ex-def)
apply(subst (asm) PosOrd-def)
apply(clarify)
apply(subst PosOrd-ex-def)
apply(rule-tac x=Suc 0#p in exI)
apply(subst PosOrd-def)
apply(rule conjI)
apply(simp add: pflat-len-simps)
apply(rule ballI)
apply(rule impI)
apply(simp only: Pos.simps)
apply(auto)[1]
apply(simp add: pflat-len-simps)
using assms(2)
apply(simp)
apply(auto simp add: pflat-len-simps)
done

lemma PosOrd-Seq-eq:
assumes flat v2 = flat w2
shows (Seq v v2) : val (Seq v w2)  $\longleftrightarrow$  v2 : val w2
using assms
apply(auto)
prefer 2
apply(simp add: PosOrd-SeqI2)
apply(simp add: PosOrd-ex-def)
apply(auto)
apply(case-tac p)
apply(simp add: PosOrd-def pflat-len-simps)
apply(case-tac a)
apply(simp add: PosOrd-def pflat-len-simps)
apply(clarify)

```

```

apply(case-tac nat)
prefer 2
apply(simp add: PosOrd-def pflat-len-simps pflat-len-outside)
apply(rule-tac x=list in exI)
apply(auto simp add: PosOrd-def2 pflat-len-simps)
apply(smt (verit) Collect-disj-eq lex-list.intros(2) mem-Collect-eq pflat-len-simps(2))
apply(smt (verit) Collect-disj-eq lex-list.intros(2) mem-Collect-eq pflat-len-simps(2))
done

lemma PosOrd-StarsI:
assumes v1 : val v2 flats (v1#vs1) = flats (v2#vs2)
shows Stars (v1#vs1) : val Stars (v2#vs2)
using assms(1)
apply(subst (asm) PosOrd-ex-def)
apply(subst (asm) PosOrd-def)
apply(clarify)
apply(subst PosOrd-ex-def)
apply(subst PosOrd-def)
apply(rule-tac x=0#p in exI)
apply(simp add: pflat-len-Stars-simps pflat-len-simps)
using assms(2)
apply(simp add: pflat-len-simps)
apply(auto simp add: pflat-len-Stars-simps pflat-len-simps)
by (metis length-append of-nat-add)

lemma PosOrd-StarsI2:
assumes Stars vs1 : val Stars vs2 flats vs1 = flats vs2
shows Stars (v#vs1) : val Stars (v#vs2)
using assms(1)
apply(subst (asm) PosOrd-ex-def)
apply(subst (asm) PosOrd-def)
apply(clarify)
apply(subst PosOrd-ex-def)
apply(subst PosOrd-def)
apply(case-tac p)
apply(simp add: pflat-len-simps)
apply(rule-tac x=Suc a#list in exI)
apply(auto simp add: pflat-len-Stars-simps pflat-len-simps assms(2))
done

lemma PosOrd-Stars-appendI:
assumes Stars vs1 : val Stars vs2 flat (Stars vs1) = flat (Stars vs2)
shows Stars (vs @ vs1) : val Stars (vs @ vs2)
using assms
apply(induct vs)
apply(simp)
apply(simp add: PosOrd-StarsI2)

```

done

```
lemma PosOrd-StarsE2:
  assumes Stars (v # vs1) : ⊢ val Stars (v # vs2)
  shows Stars vs1 : ⊢ val Stars vs2
using assms
apply(subst (asm) PosOrd-ex-def)
apply(erule exE)
apply(case-tac p)
apply(simp)
apply(simp add: PosOrd-def pflat-len-simps)
apply(subst PosOrd-ex-def)
apply(rule-tac x=[] in exI)
apply(simp add: PosOrd-def pflat-len-simps Pos-empty)
apply(simp)
apply(case-tac a)
apply(clarify)
apply(auto simp add: pflat-len-simps PosOrd-def pflat-len-def split: if-splits)[1]
apply(clarify)
apply(simp add: PosOrd-ex-def)
apply(rule-tac x=nat#list in exI)
apply(auto simp add: PosOrd-def pflat-len-simps)[1]
apply(case-tac q)
apply(simp add: PosOrd-def pflat-len-simps)
apply(clarify)
apply(drule-tac x=Suc a # lista in bspec)
apply(simp)
apply(auto simp add: PosOrd-def pflat-len-simps)[1]
apply(case-tac q)
apply(simp add: PosOrd-def pflat-len-simps)
apply(clarify)
apply(drule-tac x=Suc a # lista in bspec)
apply(simp)
apply(auto simp add: PosOrd-def pflat-len-simps)[1]
done
```

```
lemma PosOrd-Stars-appendE:
  assumes Stars (vs @ vs1) : ⊢ val Stars (vs @ vs2)
  shows Stars vs1 : ⊢ val Stars vs2
using assms
apply(induct vs)
apply(simp)
apply(simp add: PosOrd-StarsE2)
done
```

```
lemma PosOrd-Stars-append-eq:
  assumes flats vs1 = flats vs2
  shows Stars (vs @ vs1) : ⊢ val Stars (vs @ vs2) ←→ Stars vs1 : ⊢ val Stars vs2
using assms
```

```

apply(rule-tac iffI)
apply(erule PosOrd-Stars-appendE)
apply(rule PosOrd-Stars-appendI)
apply(auto)
done

lemma PosOrd-almost-trichotomous:
  shows v1 :≤ val v2 ∨ v2 :≤ val v1 ∨ (length (flat v1) = length (flat v2))
apply(auto simp add: PosOrd-ex-def)
apply(auto simp add: PosOrd-def)
apply(rule-tac x=[] in exI)
apply(auto simp add: Pos-empty pflat-len-simps)
apply(drule-tac x=[] in spec)
apply(auto simp add: Pos-empty pflat-len-simps)
done

```

14 The Posix Value is smaller than any other lexical value

```

lemma Posix-PosOrd:
  assumes s ∈ r → v1 v2 ∈ LV r s
  shows v1 :≤ val v2
using assms
proof (induct arbitrary: v2 rule: Posix.induct)
  case (Posix-One v)
  have v ∈ LV One [] by fact
  then have v = Void
    by (simp add: LV-simps)
  then show Void :≤ val v
    by (simp add: PosOrd-ex-eq-def)
next
  case (Posix-Atom c v)
  have v ∈ LV (Atom c) [c] by fact
  then have v = Atm c
    by (simp add: LV-simps)
  then show Atm c :≤ val v
    by (simp add: PosOrd-ex-eq-def)
next
  case (Posix-Plus1 s r1 v r2 v2)
  have as1: s ∈ r1 → v by fact
  have IH: ∀v2. v2 ∈ LV r1 s ⇒ v :≤ val v2 by fact
  have v2 ∈ LV (Plus r1 r2) s by fact
  then have ⊢ v2 : Plus r1 r2 flat v2 = s
    by(auto simp add: LV-def prefix-list-def)
  then consider
    (Left) v3 where v2 = Left v3 ⊢ v3 : r1 flat v3 = s
    | (Right) v3 where v2 = Right v3 ⊢ v3 : r2 flat v3 = s
  by (auto elim: Prf.cases)

```

```

then show Left v : $\sqsubseteq$ val v2
proof(cases)
  case (Left v3)
  have v3 ∈ LV r1 s using Left(2,3)
    by (auto simp add: LV-def prefix-list-def)
  with IH have v : $\sqsubseteq$ val v3 by simp
  moreover
  have flat v3 = flat v using as1 Left(3)
    by (simp add: Posix1(2))
  ultimately have Left v : $\sqsubseteq$ val Left v3
    by (simp add: PosOrd-ex-eq-def PosOrd-Left-eq)
  then show Left v : $\sqsubseteq$ val v2 unfolding Left .

next
  case (Right v3)
  have flat v3 = flat v using as1 Right(3)
    by (simp add: Posix1(2))
  then have Left v : $\sqsubseteq$ val Right v3
    unfolding PosOrd-ex-eq-def
    by (simp add: PosOrd-Left-Right)
  then show Left v : $\sqsubseteq$ val v2 unfolding Right .
qed
next
  case (Posix-Plus2 s r2 v r1 v2)
  have as1: s ∈ r2 → v by fact
  have as2: s ∉ lang r1 by fact
  have IH:  $\bigwedge v2. v2 \in LV r2 s \implies v : \sqsubseteq$ val v2 by fact
  have v2 ∈ LV (Plus r1 r2) s by fact
  then have ⊢ v2 : Plus r1 r2 flat v2 = s
    by (auto simp add: LV-def prefix-list-def)
  then consider
    (Left) v3 where v2 = Left v3 ⊢ v3 : r1 flat v3 = s
    | (Right) v3 where v2 = Right v3 ⊢ v3 : r2 flat v3 = s
  by (auto elim: Prf.cases)
  then show Right v : $\sqsubseteq$ val v2
  proof (cases)
    case (Right v3)
    have v3 ∈ LV r2 s using Right(2,3)
      by (auto simp add: LV-def prefix-list-def)
    with IH have v : $\sqsubseteq$ val v3 by simp
    moreover
    have flat v3 = flat v using as1 Right(3)
      by (simp add: Posix1(2))
    ultimately have Right v : $\sqsubseteq$ val Right v3
      by (auto simp add: PosOrd-ex-eq-def PosOrd-RightI)
    then show Right v : $\sqsubseteq$ val v2 unfolding Right .

next
  case (Left v3)
  have v3 ∈ LV r1 s using Left(2,3) as2
    by (auto simp add: LV-def prefix-list-def)

```

```

then have flat v3 = flat v ∧ ⊢ v3 : r1 using as1 Left(3)
  by (simp add: Posix1(2) LV-def)
then have False using as1 as2 Left
  by (auto simp add: Posix1(2) L-flat-Prf1)
then show Right v :⊑val v2 by simp
qed
next
case (Posix-Times s1 r1 v1 s2 r2 v2 v3)
have s1 ∈ r1 → v1 s2 ∈ r2 → v2 by fact+
then have as1: s1 = flat v1 s2 = flat v2 by (simp-all add: Posix1(2))
have IH1: ∪v3. v3 ∈ LV r1 s1 ⇒ v1 :⊑val v3 by fact
have IH2: ∪v3. v3 ∈ LV r2 s2 ⇒ v2 :⊑val v3 by fact
have cond: ¬ (∃s3 s4. s3 ≠ [] ∧ s3 @ s4 = s2 ∧ s1 @ s3 ∈ lang r1 ∧ s4 ∈ lang r2) by fact
have v3 ∈ LV (Times r1 r2) (s1 @ s2) by fact
then obtain v3a v3b where eqs:
  v3 = Seq v3a v3b ⊢ v3a : r1 ⊢ v3b : r2
  flat v3a @ flat v3b = s1 @ s2
  by (force simp add: prefix-list-def LV-def elim: Prf.cases)
with cond have flat v3a ⊑pre s1 unfolding prefix-list-def
  by (smt (verit) L-flat-Prf1 append-eq-append-conv2 append-self-conv)
then have flat v3a ⊑spre s1 ∨ (flat v3a = s1 ∧ flat v3b = s2) using eqs
  by (simp add: sprefix-list-def append-eq-conv-conj)
then have q2: v1 :⊑val v3a ∨ (flat v3a = s1 ∧ flat v3b = s2)
  using PosOrd-spreI as1(1) eqs by blast
then have v1 :⊑val v3a ∨ (v3a ∈ LV r1 s1 ∧ v3b ∈ LV r2 s2) using eqs(2,3)
  by (auto simp add: LV-def)
then have v1 :⊑val v3a ∨ (v1 :⊑val v3a ∧ v2 :⊑val v3b) using IH1 IH2 by
blast
then have Seq v1 v2 :⊑val Seq v3a v3b using eqs q2 as1
  unfolding PosOrd-ex-eq-def by (auto simp add: PosOrd-SeqI1 PosOrd-Seq-eq)

then show Seq v1 v2 :⊑val v3 unfolding eqs by blast
next
case (Posix-Star1 s1 r v s2 vs v3)
have s1 ∈ r → v s2 ∈ Star r → Stars vs by fact+
then have as1: s1 = flat v s2 = flat (Stars vs) by (auto dest: Posix1(2))
have IH1: ∪v3. v3 ∈ LV r s1 ⇒ v :⊑val v3 by fact
have IH2: ∪v3. v3 ∈ LV (Star r) s2 ⇒ Stars vs :⊑val v3 by fact
have cond: ¬ (∃s3 s4. s3 ≠ [] ∧ s3 @ s4 = s2 ∧ s1 @ s3 ∈ lang r ∧ s4 ∈ lang (Star r)) by fact
have cond2: flat v ≠ [] by fact
have v3 ∈ LV (Star r) (s1 @ s2) by fact
then consider
  (NonEmpty) v3a vs3 where v3 = Stars (v3a # vs3)
    ⊢ v3a : r ⊢ Stars vs3 : Star r
    flat (Stars (v3a # vs3)) = s1 @ s2
  | (Empty) v3 = Stars []
  unfolding LV-def

```

```

apply(auto)
apply(erule Prf-elims)
by (metis NonEmpty Prf.intros(6) list.set-intros(1) list.set-intros(2) neq-Nil-conv)
then show Stars (v # vs) :≤val v3
proof (cases)
case (NonEmpty v3a vs3)
have flat (Stars (v3a # vs3)) = s1 @ s2 using NonEmpty(4) .
with cond have flat v3a ≤pre s1 using NonEmpty(2,3)
unfolding prefix-list-def
by (smt (verit) Prf-flat-lang append.right-neutral append-eq-append-conv2
flat.simps(7))
then have flat v3a ⊑spre s1 ∨ (flat v3a = s1 ∧ flat (Stars vs3) = s2) using
NonEmpty(4)
by (simp add: sprefix-list-def append-eq-conv-conj)
then have q2: v :≤val v3a ∨ (flat v3a = s1 ∧ flat (Stars vs3) = s2)
using PosOrd-spreI as1(1) NonEmpty(4) by blast
then have v :≤val v3a ∨ (v3a ∈ LV r s1 ∧ Stars vs3 ∈ LV (Star r) s2)
using NonEmpty(2,3) by (auto simp add: LV-def)
then have v :≤val v3a ∨ (v :≤val v3a ∧ Stars vs :≤val Stars vs3) using IH1
IH2 by blast
then have v :≤val v3a ∨ (v = v3a ∧ Stars vs :≤val Stars vs3)
unfolding PosOrd-ex-eq-def by auto
then have Stars (v # vs) :≤val Stars (v3a # vs3) using NonEmpty(4) q2
as1
unfolding PosOrd-ex-eq-def
using PosOrd-StarsI PosOrd-StarsI2
by (metis flat.simps(7) flat-Stars val.inject(5))
then show Stars (v # vs) :≤val v3 unfolding NonEmpty by blast
next
case Empty
have v3 = Stars [] by fact
then show Stars (v # vs) :≤val v3
unfolding PosOrd-ex-eq-def using cond2
by (simp add: PosOrd-shorterI)
qed
next
case (Posix-Star2 r v2)
have v2 ∈ LV (Star r) [] by fact
then have v2 = Stars []
unfolding LV-def by (auto elim: Prf.cases)
then show Stars [] :≤val v2
by (simp add: PosOrd-ex-eq-def)
qed

```

lemma Posix-PosOrd-reverse:
assumes $s \in r \rightarrow v1$
shows $\neg(\exists v2 \in LV r s. v2 :≤val v1)$
using assms

```

by (metis Posix-PosOrd less-irrefl PosOrd-def
      PosOrd-ex-eq-def PosOrd-ex-def PosOrd-trans)

lemma PosOrd-Posix:
assumes v1 ∈ LV r s ∀ v2 ∈ LV r s. ¬ v2 : val v1
shows s ∈ r → v1
proof –
have s ∈ lang r using assms(1) unfolding LV-def
  using L-flat-Prf1 by blast
then obtain vposix where vp: s ∈ r → vposix
  using lexer-correct-Some by blast
with assms(1) have vposix :≤ val v1 by (simp add: Posix-PosOrd)
then have vposix = v1 ∨ vposix :≤ val v1 unfolding PosOrd-ex-eq2 by auto
moreover
{ assume vposix :≤ val v1
  moreover
  have vposix ∈ LV r s using vp
    using Posix-LV by blast
  ultimately have False using assms(2) by blast
}
ultimately show s ∈ r → v1 using vp by blast
qed

lemma Least-existence:
assumes LV r s ≠ {}
shows ∃ vmin ∈ LV r s. ∀ v ∈ LV r s. vmin :≤ val v
proof –
from assms
obtain vposix where s ∈ r → vposix
unfolding LV-def
using L-flat-Prf1 lexer-correct-Some by blast
then have ∀ v ∈ LV r s. vposix :≤ val v
  by (simp add: Posix-PosOrd)
then show ∃ vmin ∈ LV r s. ∀ v ∈ LV r s. vmin :≤ val v
  using Posix-LV ⟨s ∈ r → vposix⟩ by blast
qed

lemma Least-existence1:
assumes LV r s ≠ {}
shows ∃! vmin ∈ LV r s. ∀ v ∈ LV r s. vmin :≤ val v
using Least-existence[OF assms] assms
using PosOrdeq-antisym by blast

lemma Least-existence2:
assumes LV r s ≠ {}
shows ∃!vmin ∈ LV r s. lexer r s = Some vmin ∧ (∀ v ∈ LV r s. vmin :≤ val v)
using Least-existence[OF assms] assms
using PosOrdeq-antisym
using PosOrd-Posix PosOrd-ex-eq2 lexer-correctness(1)

```

by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting)*)

```

lemma Least-existence1-pre:
  assumes LV r s ≠ {}
  shows ∃!vmin ∈ LV r s. ∀ v ∈ (LV r s ∪ {v'. flat v' ⊑ spre s}). vmin :≤ val v
  using Least-existence[OF assms] assms
  apply –
  apply(erule bexE)
  apply(rule-tac a=vmin in exI)
  apply(auto)[1]
  apply (metis PosOrd-Posix PosOrd-ex-eq2 PosOrd-spreI PosOrdeq-antisym Posix1(2))
  apply(auto)[1]
  apply(simp add: PosOrdeq-antisym)
  done

lemma PosOrd-partial:
  shows partial-order-on UNIV {(v1, v2). v1 :≤ val v2}
  apply(simp add: partial-order-on-def)
  apply(simp add: preorder-on-def refl-on-def)
  apply(simp add: PosOrdeq-refl)
  apply(auto)
  apply(rule transI)
  apply(auto intro: PosOrdeq-trans)[1]
  apply(rule antisymI)
  apply(simp add: PosOrdeq-antisym)
  done

lemma PosOrd-wf:
  shows wf {(v1, v2). v1 :≤ val v2 ∧ v1 ∈ LV r s ∧ v2 ∈ LV r s}
  proof –
    have finite {(v1, v2). v1 ∈ LV r s ∧ v2 ∈ LV r s}
      by (simp add: LV-finite)
    moreover
    have {(v1, v2). v1 :≤ val v2 ∧ v1 ∈ LV r s ∧ v2 ∈ LV r s} ⊆ {(v1, v2). v1 ∈ LV r s ∧ v2 ∈ LV r s}
      by auto
    ultimately have finite {(v1, v2). v1 :≤ val v2 ∧ v1 ∈ LV r s ∧ v2 ∈ LV r s}
      using finite-subset by blast
    moreover
    have acyclicP (λv1 v2. v1 :≤ val v2 ∧ v1 ∈ LV r s ∧ v2 ∈ LV r s)
      unfolding acyclic-def
      by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) PosOrd-irrefl PosOrd-trans tranclp-trans-induct
        tranclp-unfold)
    ultimately show wf {(v1, v2). v1 :≤ val v2 ∧ v1 ∈ LV r s ∧ v2 ∈ LV r s}
      using finite-acyclic-wf by blast
  qed

```

unused-thms

```
end
```

15 Extended Regular Expressions 3

```
theory Regular-Exps3
imports Regular-Sets.Regular-Set
begin

datatype ('atoms: 'a) rexpr =
  is-Zero: Zero |
  is-One: One |
  Atom 'a |
  Plus ('a rexpr) ('a rexpr) |
  Times ('a rexpr) ('a rexpr) |
  Star ('a rexpr) |
  NTimes ('a rexpr) nat |
  Upto ('a rexpr) nat |
  From ('a rexpr) nat |
  Rec string ('a rexpr) |
  Charset ('a set)

fun lang :: 'a rexpr => 'a lang where
  lang Zero = {} |
  lang One = {[]} |
  lang (Atom a) = {[a]} |
  lang (Plus r s) = (lang r) Un (lang s) |
  lang (Times r s) = conc (lang r) (lang s) |
  lang (Star r) = star(lang r) |
  lang (NTimes r n) = ((lang r) ^~ n) |
  lang (Upto r n) = (Union i ∈ {..n}. (lang r) ^~ i) |
  lang (From r n) = (Union i ∈ {n..}. (lang r) ^~ i) |
  lang (Rec l r) = lang r |
  lang (Charset cs) = {[c] | c . c ∈ cs}

primrec nullable :: 'a rexpr ⇒ bool where
  nullable Zero = False |
  nullable One = True |
  nullable (Atom c) = False |
  nullable (Plus r1 r2) = (nullable r1 ∨ nullable r2) |
  nullable (Times r1 r2) = (nullable r1 ∧ nullable r2) |
  nullable (Star r) = True |
  nullable (NTimes r n) = (if n = 0 then True else nullable r) |
  nullable (Upto r n) = True |
  nullable (From r n) = (if n = 0 then True else nullable r) |
  nullable (Rec l r) = nullable r |
  nullable (Charset cs) = False
```

```

lemma pow-empty-iff:
  shows [] ∈ (lang r) ^~ n ↔ (if n = 0 then True else [] ∈ (lang r))
  by (induct n)(auto)

lemma nullable-iff:
  shows nullable r ↔ [] ∈ lang r
  by (induct r) (auto simp add: conc-def pow-empty-iff split: if-splits)

end

```

16 Derivatives of Extended Regular Expressions

```

theory Derivatives3
imports Regular-Exps3
begin

```

This theory is based on work by Brzozowski.

16.1 Brzozowski's derivatives of regular expressions

```

fun
  deriv :: 'a ⇒ 'a rexp ⇒ 'a rexp
where
  deriv c (Zero) = Zero
  | deriv c (One) = Zero
  | deriv c (Atom c') = (if c = c' then One else Zero)
  | deriv c (Plus r1 r2) = Plus (deriv c r1) (deriv c r2)
  | deriv c (Times r1 r2) =
    (if nullable r1 then Plus (Times (deriv c r1) r2) (deriv c r2) else Times (deriv
    c r1) r2)
  | deriv c (Star r) = Times (deriv c r) (Star r)
  | deriv c (NTimes r n) = (if n = 0 then Zero else Times (deriv c r) (NTimes r (n
    - 1)))
  | deriv c (Upto r n) = (if n = 0 then Zero else Times (deriv c r) (Upto r (n -
    1)))
  | deriv c (From r n) = (if n = 0 then Times (deriv c r) (Star r) else Times (deriv
    c r) (From r (n - 1)))
  | deriv c (Rec l r) = deriv c r
  | deriv c (Charset cs) = (if c ∈ cs then One else Zero)

fun
  derivs :: 'a list ⇒ 'a rexp ⇒ 'a rexp
where
  derivs [] r = r
  | derivs (c # s) r = derivs s (deriv c r)

```

lemma deriv-pow [simp]:

```

shows Deriv c (A  $\wedge\wedge$  n) = (if n = 0 then {} else (Deriv c A) @@ (A  $\wedge\wedge$  (n - 1)))
apply(induct n arbitrary: A)
apply(auto)
by (metis Suc-pred concI-if-Nil2 conc-assoc conc-pow-comm lang-pow.simps(2))

lemma lang-deriv: lang (deriv c r) = Deriv c (lang r)
apply (induct r rule: lang.induct)
apply(auto simp add: nullable-iff conc-UNION-distrib)
apply (metis IntI Suc-pred atMost-iff diff-Suc-1 mem-Collect-eq not-less-eq-eq zero-less-Suc)
apply(auto)
apply(simp add: conc-def)
apply(metis diff-Suc-Suc minus-nat.diff-0 star-pow zero-less-Suc)
apply(metis IntI Suc-le-mono Suc-pred atLeast-iff diff-Suc-1 mem-Collect-eq zero-less-Suc)
apply(auto simp add: Deriv-def)
done

```

```

lemma lang-derivs: lang (derivs s r) = Derivs s (lang r)
by (induct s arbitrary: r) (simp-all add: lang-deriv)

```

A regular expression matcher:

```

definition matcher :: 'a rexp  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
matcher r s = nullable (derivs s r)

lemma matcher-correctness: matcher r s  $\longleftrightarrow$  s  $\in$  lang r
by (induct s arbitrary: r)
(simp-all add: nullable-iff lang-deriv matcher-def Deriv-def)

end

```

```

theory Lexer3
imports Derivatives3
begin

```

17 Values

```

datatype 'a val =
  Void
| Atm 'a
| Seq 'a val 'a val
| Right 'a val
| Left 'a val
| Stars ('a val) list
| Recv string 'a val

```

18 The string behind a value

```

fun
  flat :: 'a val  $\Rightarrow$  'a list
where
  flat (Void) = []
| flat (Atm c) = [c]
| flat (Left v) = flat v
| flat (Right v) = flat v
| flat (Seq v1 v2) = (flat v1) @ (flat v2)
| flat (Stars []) = []
| flat (Stars (v#vs)) = (flat v) @ (flat (Stars vs))
| flat (Recv l v) = flat v

```

abbreviation

flats vs \equiv concat (map flat vs)

lemma flat-Stars [simp]:

```

flat (Stars vs) = concat (map flat vs)
by (induct vs) (auto)

```

lemma flats-empty:

assumes ($\forall v \in set vs. flat v = []$)

shows flats vs = []

using assms

by(induct vs) (simp-all)

19 Relation between values and regular expressions

inductive

Prf :: 'a val \Rightarrow 'a rexp \Rightarrow bool ($\vdash - : \rightarrow [100, 100] 100$)

where

```

 $\vdash v1 : r1; \vdash v2 : r2 \Rightarrow \vdash Seq v1 v2 : Times r1 r2$ 
|  $\vdash v1 : r1 \Rightarrow \vdash Left v1 : Plus r1 r2$ 
|  $\vdash v2 : r2 \Rightarrow \vdash Right v2 : Plus r1 r2$ 
|  $\vdash Void : One$ 
|  $\vdash Atm c : Atom c$ 
|  $\vdash \forall v \in set vs. \vdash v : r \wedge flat v \neq [] \Rightarrow \vdash Stars vs : Star r$ 
|  $\vdash \forall v \in set vs1. \vdash v : r \wedge flat v \neq [];$ 
   $\forall v \in set vs2. \vdash v : r \wedge flat v = [];$ 
  length (vs1 @ vs2) = n  $\Rightarrow \vdash Stars (vs1 @ vs2) : NTimes r n$ 
|  $\vdash \forall v \in set vs. \vdash v : r \wedge flat v \neq []; length vs \leq n \Rightarrow \vdash Stars vs : Upto r n$ 
|  $\vdash \forall v \in set vs1. \vdash v : r \wedge flat v \neq [];$ 
   $\forall v \in set vs2. \vdash v : r \wedge flat v = [];$ 
  length (vs1 @ vs2) = n  $\Rightarrow \vdash Stars (vs1 @ vs2) : From r n$ 
|  $\vdash \forall v \in set vs. \vdash v : r \wedge flat v \neq []; length vs > n \Rightarrow \vdash Stars vs : From r n$ 
|  $\vdash v : r \Rightarrow \vdash Recv l v : Rec l r$ 

```

| $c \in cs \implies \vdash Atm c : Charset cs$

inductive-cases *Prf-elims*:

- $\vdash v : Zero$
- $\vdash v : Times r1 r2$
- $\vdash v : Plus r1 r2$
- $\vdash v : One$
- $\vdash v : Atom c$
- $\vdash v : Star r$
- $\vdash v : NTimes r n$
- $\vdash v : Upto r n$
- $\vdash v : From r n$
- $\vdash v : Rec l r$
- $\vdash v : Charset cs$

lemma *Prf-NTimes-empty*:

assumes $\forall v \in set vs. \vdash v : r \wedge flat v = []$
and $length vs = n$
shows $\vdash Stars vs : NTimes r n$
using *assms*
by (*metis Prf.intros(7) empty-iff eq-Nil-appendI list.set(1)*)

lemma *Times-decomp*:

assumes $s \in A @\@ B$
shows $\exists s1 s2. s = s1 @ s2 \wedge s1 \in A \wedge s2 \in B$
using *assms*
by *blast*

lemma *pow-string*:

assumes $s \in A^{\wedge\wedge n}$
shows $\exists ss. concat ss = s \wedge (\forall s \in set ss. s \in A) \wedge length ss = n$
using *assms*
apply(*induct n arbitrary: s*)
apply(*auto dest!: Times-decomp*)
apply(*drule-tac x=s2 in meta-spec*)
apply(*auto*)
apply(*rule-tac x=s1 # ss in exI*)
apply(*simp*)
done

lemma *pow-Prf*:

assumes $\forall v \in set vs. \vdash v : r \wedge flat v \in A$
shows $flats vs \in A^{\wedge\wedge (length vs)}$
using *assms*
by (*induct vs*) (*auto*)

lemma *Star-string*:

assumes $s \in star A$

```

shows  $\exists ss. \text{concat } ss = s \wedge (\forall s \in \text{set } ss. s \in A)$ 
using assms
by (metis in-star-iff-concat subsetD)

lemma Star-val:
assumes  $\forall s \in \text{set } ss. \exists v. s = \text{flat } v \wedge \vdash v : r$ 
shows  $\exists vs. \text{flats } vs = \text{concat } ss \wedge (\forall v \in \text{set } vs. \vdash v : r \wedge \text{flat } v \neq [])$ 
using assms
apply(induct ss)
apply(auto)
apply (metis empty-iff list.set(1))
by (metis append.simps(1) flat.simps(7) flat-Stars set-ConsD)

lemma Aux:
assumes  $\forall s \in \text{set } ss. s = []$ 
shows  $\text{concat } ss = []$ 
using assms
by (induct ss) (auto)

lemma pow-cstring:
assumes  $s \in A^{\wedge\wedge n}$ 
shows  $\exists ss1 ss2. \text{concat } (ss1 @ ss2) = s \wedge \text{length } (ss1 @ ss2) = n \wedge$ 
 $(\forall s \in \text{set } ss1. s \in A \wedge s \neq []) \wedge (\forall s \in \text{set } ss2. s \in A \wedge s = [])$ 
using assms
apply(induct n arbitrary: s)
apply(auto)[1]
apply(auto dest!: Times-decomp simp add: Seq-def)
apply(drule-tac x=s2 in meta-spec)
apply(simp)
apply(erule exE)+
apply(clarify)
apply(case-tac s1 = [])
apply(simp)
apply(rule-tac x=ss1 in exI)
apply(rule-tac x=s1 # ss2 in exI)
apply(simp)
apply(rule-tac x=s1 # ss1 in exI)
apply(rule-tac x=ss2 in exI)
apply(simp)
done

lemma flats-cval:
assumes  $\forall s \in \text{set } ss. \exists v. s = \text{flat } v \wedge \vdash v : r$ 
shows  $\exists vs1 vs2. \text{flats } vs1 = \text{concat } ss \wedge \text{length } (vs1 @ vs2) = \text{length } ss \wedge$ 
 $(\forall v \in \text{set } vs1. \vdash v : r \wedge \text{flat } v \neq []) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall v \in \text{set } vs2. \vdash v : r \wedge \text{flat } v = [])$ 
using assms
apply(induct ss rule: rev-induct)
apply(rule-tac x=[] in exI)+

```

```

apply(simp)
apply(simp)
  apply(clarify)
  apply(case-tac flat v = [])
  apply(rule-tac x=vs1 in exI)
  apply(simp)
apply(rule-tac x=v#vs2 in exI)
apply(simp)
  apply(rule-tac x=vs1 @ [v] in exI)
  apply(simp)
apply(rule-tac x=vs2 in exI)
apply(simp)
done

lemma flats-cval2:
  assumes ∀ s∈set ss. ∃ v. s = flat v ∧ ⊢ v : r
  shows ∃ vs. flats vs = concat ss ∧ length vs ≤ length ss ∧ (∀ v∈set vs. ⊢ v : r ∧
flat v ≠ [])
  using assms
  apply -
  apply(drule flats-cval)
  apply(auto)
done

lemma Prf-flat-lang:
  assumes ⊢ v : r shows flat v ∈ lang r
  using assms
  apply(induct v r rule: Prf.induct)
  apply(auto simp add: concat-in-star subset-eq lang-pow-add)
  apply(meson concI pow-Prf)
  apply(meson atMost-iff pow-Prf)
  apply(subgoal-tac flats vs1 @ flats vs2 ∈ lang r ^~ length vs1)
  apply (metis add-diff-cancel-left' atLeast-iff diff-is-0-eq empty-pow-add last-in-set
length-0-conv order-refl)
  apply (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Aux.imageE list.set-map pow-Prf self-append-conv)
  apply (meson atLeast-iff less-imp-le-nat pow-Prf)
done

lemma L-flat-Prf2:
  assumes s ∈ lang r
  shows ∃ v. ⊢ v : r ∧ flat v = s
  using assms
  proof(induct r arbitrary: s)
    case (Star r s)
    have IH: ∀ s. s ∈ lang r ⇒ ∃ v. ⊢ v : r ∧ flat v = s by fact
    have s ∈ lang (Star r) by fact
    then obtain ss where concat ss = s ∀ s ∈ set ss. s ∈ lang r ∧ s ≠ []
      by (smt (verit) Nil-eq-concat-conv concat-append lang.simps(6) pow-cstring)

```

```

self-append-conv
star-pow)
then obtain vs where flats vs = s  $\forall v \in set vs. \vdash v : r \wedge flat v \neq []$ 
using IH by (metis Star-val)
then show  $\exists v. \vdash v : Star r \wedge flat v = s$ 
using Prf.intros(6) flat-Stars by blast
next
case (Times r1 r2 s)
then show  $\exists v. \vdash v : Times r1 r2 \wedge flat v = s$ 
unfolding Seq-def lang.simps by (fastforce intro: Prf.intros)
next
case (Plus r1 r2 s)
then show  $\exists v. \vdash v : Plus r1 r2 \wedge flat v = s$ 
unfolding lang.simps by (fastforce intro: Prf.intros)
next
case (NTimes r n)
have IH:  $\bigwedge s. s \in lang r \implies \exists v. \vdash v : r \wedge flat v = s$  by fact
have s ∈ lang (NTimes r n) by fact
then obtain ss1 ss2 where concat (ss1 @ ss2) = s length (ss1 @ ss2) = n
 $\forall s \in set ss1. s \in lang r \wedge s \neq [] \quad \forall s \in set ss2. s \in lang r \wedge s = []$ 
using pow-cstring by force
then obtain vs1 vs2 where flats (vs1 @ vs2) = s length (vs1 @ vs2) = n
 $\forall v \in set vs1. \vdash v : r \wedge flat v \neq [] \quad \forall v \in set vs2. \vdash v : r \wedge flat v = []$ 
using IH flats-cval
apply -
apply(drule-tac x=ss1 @ ss2 in meta-spec)
apply(drule-tac x=r in meta-spec)
apply(drule meta-mp)
apply(simp)
apply(metis Un-iff)
apply(clarify)
apply(drule-tac x=vs1 in meta-spec)
apply(drule-tac x=vs2 in meta-spec)
apply(simp)
done
then show  $\exists v. \vdash v : NTimes r n \wedge flat v = s$ 
using Prf.intros(7) flat-Stars by blast
next
case (Upto r n)
have IH:  $\bigwedge s. s \in lang r \implies \exists v. \vdash v : r \wedge flat v = s$  by fact
have s ∈ lang (Upto r n) by fact
then obtain ss where concat ss = s  $\forall s \in set ss. s \in lang r \wedge s \neq [] \quad length ss \leq n$ 
apply(auto)
by (smt (verit) Nil-eq-concat-conv pow-cstring concat-append le0 le-add-same-cancel1
le-trans length-append self-append-conv)
then obtain vs where flats vs = s  $\forall v \in set vs. \vdash v : r \wedge flat v \neq [] \quad length vs \leq n$ 
using IH flats-cval2

```

```

by (smt (verit, best) le-trans)
then show  $\exists v. \vdash v : \text{Upto } r n \wedge \text{flat } v = s$ 
  by (meson Prf.intros(8) flat-Stars)
next
  case (From r n)
    have IH:  $\bigwedge s. s \in \text{lang } r \implies \exists v. \vdash v : r \wedge \text{flat } v = s$  by fact
    have  $s \in \text{lang } (From r n)$  by fact
    then obtain ss1 ss2 k where concat (ss1 @ ss2) = s length (ss1 @ ss2) = k n ≤ k
       $\forall s \in \text{set } ss1. s \in \text{lang } r \wedge s \neq [] \quad \forall s \in \text{set } ss2. s \in \text{lang } r \wedge s = []$ 
      using pow-cstring by force
      then obtain vs1 vs2 where flats (vs1 @ vs2) = s length (vs1 @ vs2) = k n ≤ k
         $\forall v \in \text{set } vs1. \vdash v : r \wedge \text{flat } v \neq [] \quad \forall v \in \text{set } vs2. \vdash v : r \wedge \text{flat } v = []$ 
        using IH flats-cval
        apply -
        apply(drule-tac x=ss1 @ ss2 in meta-spec)
        apply(drule-tac x=r in meta-spec)
        apply(drule meta-mp)
        apply(simp)
        apply (metis Un-iff)
        apply(clarify)
        apply(drule-tac x=vs1 in meta-spec)
        apply(drule-tac x=vs2 in meta-spec)
        apply(simp)
        done
      then show  $\exists v. \vdash v : From r n \wedge \text{flat } v = s$ 
        apply(case-tac length vs1 ≤ n)
        apply(rule-tac x=Stars (vs1 @ take (n - length vs1) vs2) in exI)
        apply(simp)
        apply(subgoal-tac flats (take (n - length vs1) vs2) = [])
        apply(auto)
        apply(rule Prf.intros(9))
        apply(auto)
        apply (meson in-set-takeD)
        apply (simp add: Aux)
        apply (meson in-set-takeD)
        apply(rule-tac x=Stars vs1 in exI)
        by (simp add: Prf.intros(10))
next
  case (Rec l r)
  then show ?case apply(auto)
    using Prf.intros(11) flat.simps(8) by blast
qed (auto intro: Prf.intros)

lemma L-flat-Prf:
  lang r = {flat v | v.  $\vdash v : r$ }
  using L-flat-Prf2 Prf-flat-lang by blast

```

20 Sulzmann and Lu functions

```

fun
  mkeps :: 'a rexp  $\Rightarrow$  'a val
where
  mkeps(One) = Void
  | mkeps(Times r1 r2) = Seq (mkeps r1) (mkeps r2)
  | mkeps(Plus r1 r2) = (if nullable(r1) then Left (mkeps r1) else Right (mkeps r2))
  | mkeps(Star r) = Stars []
  | mkeps(Upto r n) = Stars []
  | mkeps(NTimes r n) = Stars (replicate n (mkeps r))
  | mkeps(From r n) = Stars (replicate n (mkeps r))
  | mkeps(Rec l r) = Recv l (mkeps r)

fun injval :: 'a rexp  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a val  $\Rightarrow$  'a val
where
  injval (Atom d) c Void = Atm c
  | injval (Plus r1 r2) c (Left v1) = Left(injval r1 c v1)
  | injval (Plus r1 r2) c (Right v2) = Right(injval r2 c v2)
  | injval (Times r1 r2) c (Seq v1 v2) = Seq (injval r1 c v1) v2
  | injval (Times r1 r2) c (Left (Seq v1 v2)) = Seq (injval r1 c v1) v2
  | injval (Times r1 r2) c (Right v2) = Seq (mkeps r1) (injval r2 c v2)
  | injval (Star r) c (Seq v (Stars vs)) = Stars ((injval r c v) # vs)
  | injval (NTimes r n) c (Seq v (Stars vs)) = Stars ((injval r c v) # vs)
  | injval (Upto r n) c (Seq v (Stars vs)) = Stars ((injval r c v) # vs)
  | injval (From r n) c (Seq v (Stars vs)) = Stars ((injval r c v) # vs)
  | injval (Rec l r) c v = Recv l (injval r c v)
  | injval (Charset cs) c Void = Atm c

```

21 Mkeps, injval

```

lemma mkeps-flat:
  assumes nullable(r)
  shows flat (mkeps r) = []
using assms
  by (induct rule: mkeps.induct) (auto)

lemma mkeps-nulllable:
  assumes nullable r
  shows  $\vdash$  mkeps r : r
using assms
  apply (induct r)
  apply (auto intro: Prf.intros split: if-splits)
  apply (metis Prf.intros(7) append-Nil2 in-set-replicate list.size(3) replicate-0)
  apply (rule Prf-NTimes-empty)
  apply (auto simp add: mkeps-flat)
  apply (metis Prf.intros(9) append-Nil empty-iff list.set(1) list.size(3))
  by (metis Prf.intros(9) append-Nil empty-iff in-set-replicate length-replicate list.set(1)
       mkeps-flat)

```

```

lemma Prf-injval-flat:
  assumes  $\vdash v : \text{deriv } c r$ 
  shows  $\text{flat}(\text{injval } r c v) = c \# (\text{flat } v)$ 
  using assms
  apply(induct c r arbitrary: v rule: deriv.induct)
  apply(auto elim!: Prf-elims intro: mkeps-flat split: if-splits)
  done

lemma Prf-injval:
  assumes  $\vdash v : \text{deriv } c r$ 
  shows  $\vdash (\text{injval } r c v) : r$ 
  using assms
  apply(induct r arbitrary: c v rule: rexp.induct)
  apply(auto intro!: Prf.intros mkeps-nullable elim!: Prf-elims simp add: Prf-injval-flat
split: if-splits)[7]

  apply(case-tac x2)
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp)
  apply(subst append.simps(2)[symmetric])
  apply(rule Prf.intros)
  apply(auto simp add: Prf-injval-flat)[4]

  apply(case-tac x2)
  apply(simp)
  using Prf-elims(1) apply blast
  apply(simp)
  apply(erule Prf-elims)
  apply(erule Prf-elims(8))
  apply(simp)
  apply(rule Prf.intros(8))
  apply(auto simp add: Prf-injval-flat)[2]

  apply(simp)
  apply(case-tac x2)
  apply(simp)
  apply(erule Prf-elims)
  apply(simp)
  apply(erule Prf-elims(6))
  apply(simp)
  apply(simp add: Prf.intros(10) Prf-injval-flat)
  apply(simp)
  apply(erule Prf-elims)
  apply(simp)
  apply(erule Prf-elims(9))
  apply(simp)
  apply(smt (verit, best) Cons-eq-appendI Prf.intros(9) Prf-injval-flat length-Cons
length-append list.discI set-ConsD)

```

```

apply(simp add: Prf.intros(10) Prf-injval-flat)
apply(simp add: Prf.intros(11))
by (metis Prf.intros(12) Prf-elims(1) Prf-elims(4) deriv.simps(11) injval.simps(12))

```

22 Our Alternative Posix definition

inductive

Posix :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a rexp \Rightarrow 'a val \Rightarrow bool ($\cdot \in - \rightarrow - [100, 100, 100] 100$)

where

- | *Posix-One*: $[] \in \text{One} \rightarrow \text{Void}$
- | *Posix-Atom*: $[c] \in (\text{Atom } c) \rightarrow (\text{Atm } c)$
- | *Posix-Plus1*: $s \in r1 \rightarrow v \implies s \in (\text{Plus } r1 r2) \rightarrow (\text{Left } v)$
- | *Posix-Plus2*: $\llbracket s \in r2 \rightarrow v; s \notin \text{lang } r1 \rrbracket \implies s \in (\text{Plus } r1 r2) \rightarrow (\text{Right } v)$
- | *Posix-Times*: $\llbracket s1 \in r1 \rightarrow v1; s2 \in r2 \rightarrow v2; \neg(\exists s3 s4. s3 \neq [] \wedge s3 @ s4 = s2 \wedge (s1 @ s3) \in \text{lang } r1 \wedge s4 \in \text{lang } r2) \rrbracket \implies (s1 @ s2) \in (\text{Times } r1 r2) \rightarrow (\text{Seq } v1 v2)$
- | *Posix-Star1*: $\llbracket s1 \in r \rightarrow v; s2 \in \text{Star } r \rightarrow \text{Stars } vs; \text{flat } v \neq [] \wedge \neg(\exists s3 s4. s3 \neq [] \wedge s3 @ s4 = s2 \wedge (s1 @ s3) \in \text{lang } r \wedge s4 \in \text{lang } (\text{Star } r)) \rrbracket \implies (s1 @ s2) \in \text{Star } r \rightarrow \text{Stars } (v \# vs)$
- | *Posix-Star2*: $[] \in \text{Star } r \rightarrow \text{Stars } []$
- | *Posix-NTimes1*: $\llbracket s1 \in r \rightarrow v; s2 \in \text{NTimes } r n \rightarrow \text{Stars } vs; \text{flat } v \neq [] \wedge \neg(\exists s3 s4. s3 \neq [] \wedge s3 @ s4 = s2 \wedge (s1 @ s3) \in \text{lang } r \wedge s4 \in \text{lang } (\text{NTimes } r n)) \rrbracket \implies (s1 @ s2) \in \text{NTimes } r (n + 1) \rightarrow \text{Stars } (v \# vs)$
- | *Posix-NTimes2*: $\llbracket \forall v \in \text{set } vs. [] \in r \rightarrow v; \text{length } vs = n \rrbracket \implies [] \in \text{NTimes } r n \rightarrow \text{Stars } vs$
- | *Posix-Upto1*: $\llbracket s1 \in r \rightarrow v; s2 \in \text{Upto } r n \rightarrow \text{Stars } vs; \text{flat } v \neq [] \wedge \neg(\exists s3 s4. s3 \neq [] \wedge s3 @ s4 = s2 \wedge (s1 @ s3) \in \text{lang } r \wedge s4 \in \text{lang } (\text{Upto } r n)) \rrbracket \implies (s1 @ s2) \in \text{Upto } r (n + 1) \rightarrow \text{Stars } (v \# vs)$
- | *Posix-Upto2*: $[] \in \text{Upto } r n \rightarrow \text{Stars } []$
- | *Posix-From2*: $\llbracket \forall v \in \text{set } vs. [] \in r \rightarrow v; \text{length } vs = n \rrbracket \implies [] \in \text{From } r n \rightarrow \text{Stars } vs$
- | *Posix-From1*: $\llbracket s1 \in r \rightarrow v; s2 \in \text{From } r (n - 1) \rightarrow \text{Stars } vs; \text{flat } v \neq [] \wedge 0 < n \wedge \neg(\exists s3 s4. s3 \neq [] \wedge s3 @ s4 = s2 \wedge (s1 @ s3) \in \text{lang } r \wedge s4 \in \text{lang } (\text{From } r (n - 1))) \rrbracket \implies (s1 @ s2) \in \text{From } r n \rightarrow \text{Stars } (v \# vs)$
- | *Posix-From3*: $\llbracket s1 \in r \rightarrow v; s2 \in \text{Star } r \rightarrow \text{Stars } vs; \text{flat } v \neq [] \wedge \neg(\exists s3 s4. s3 \neq [] \wedge s3 @ s4 = s2 \wedge (s1 @ s3) \in \text{lang } r \wedge s4 \in \text{lang } (\text{Star } r)) \rrbracket \implies (s1 @ s2) \in \text{From } r 0 \rightarrow \text{Stars } (v \# vs)$
- | *Posix-Rec*: $s \in r \rightarrow v \implies s \in (\text{Rec } l r) \rightarrow (\text{Recv } l v)$
- | *Posix-Cset*: $c \in cs \implies [c] \in (\text{Charset } cs) \rightarrow (\text{Atm } c)$

inductive-cases *Posix-elims*:

- $s \in \text{Zero} \rightarrow v$
- $s \in \text{One} \rightarrow v$
- $s \in \text{Atom } c \rightarrow v$
- $s \in \text{Plus } r1 r2 \rightarrow v$
- $s \in \text{Times } r1 r2 \rightarrow v$
- $s \in \text{Star } r \rightarrow v$

```

 $s \in NTimes\ r\ n \rightarrow v$ 
 $s \in Upto\ r\ n \rightarrow v$ 
 $s \in From\ r\ n \rightarrow v$ 
 $s \in Rec\ l\ r \rightarrow v$ 
 $s \in Charset\ cs \rightarrow v$ 

lemma Posix1:
  assumes  $s \in r \rightarrow v$ 
  shows  $s \in lang\ r flat\ v = s$ 
  using assms
  apply (induct s r v rule: Posix.induct)
  apply(auto simp add: pow-empty-iff)
  apply (meson ex-in-conv set-empty)
  apply(metis Suc-pred atMost-iff concI lang-pow.simps(2) not-less-eq-eq)
  apply (meson atLeast-iff dual-order.refl in-set-conv-nth)
  apply (metis Suc-le-mono Suc-pred atLeast-iff concI lang-pow.simps(2))
  by (simp add: star-pow)

```

```

lemma Posix1a:
  assumes  $s \in r \rightarrow v$ 
  shows  $\vdash v : r$ 
  using assms
  apply(induct s r v rule: Posix.induct)
  apply(auto intro: Prf.intros)
  apply (metis Prf.intros(6) Prf-elims(6) set-ConsD val.inject(5))
  prefer 2
  using Posix1(2) Prf-NTimes-empty apply blast
  apply(erule Prf-elims)
  apply(auto)
  apply(subst append.simps(2)[symmetric])
  apply(rule Prf.intros)
  apply(auto)
  apply (metis (no-types, lifting) Prf.intros(8) Prf-elims(8) Suc-le-mono length-Cons
  set-ConsD val.inject(5))
  apply (metis Posix1(2) Prf.intros(9) append-Nil empty-iff list.set(1))
  apply(erule Prf-elims)
  apply(auto)
  apply (smt (verit, best) Cons-eq-appendI Prf.intros(9) Suc-pred length-Cons
  length-append set-ConsD)
  apply (simp add: Prf.intros(10))
  apply(erule Prf-elims)
  apply(auto)
  by (simp add: Prf.intros(10))

```

```

lemma Posix-mkeps:
  assumes nullable r
  shows  $[] \in r \rightarrow mkeps\ r$ 

```

```

using assms
apply(induct r)
apply(auto intro: Posix.intros simp add: nullable-iff)
apply(subst append.simps(1)[symmetric])
apply(rule Posix.intros)
apply(auto)
apply(simp add: Posix-NTimes2 pow-empty-iff)
apply(simp add: Posix-From2 pow-empty-iff)
done

lemma List-eq-zipI:
assumes  $\forall (v1, v2) \in \text{set}(\text{zip } vs1 \text{ } vs2). \ v1 = v2$ 
and length vs1 = length vs2
shows vs1 = vs2
using assms
apply(induct vs1 arbitrary: vs2)
apply(case-tac vs2)
apply(simp)
apply(simp)
apply(case-tac vs2)
apply(simp)
apply(simp)
done

```

Our Posix definition determines a unique value.

```

lemma Posix-determ:
assumes  $s \in r \rightarrow v1 \ s \in r \rightarrow v2$ 
shows  $v1 = v2$ 
using assms
proof (induct s r v1 arbitrary: v2 rule: Posix.induct)
case (Posix-One v2)
have  $[] \in \text{One} \rightarrow v2$  by fact
then show Void = v2 by cases auto
next
case (Posix-Atom c v2)
have  $[c] \in \text{Atom} \ c \rightarrow v2$  by fact
then show Atm c = v2 by cases auto
next
case (Posix-Plus1 s r1 v r2 v2)
have  $s \in \text{Plus} \ r1 \ r2 \rightarrow v2$  by fact
moreover
have  $s \in r1 \rightarrow v$  by fact
then have  $s \in \text{lang} \ r1$  by (simp add: Posix1)
ultimately obtain v' where eq:  $v2 = \text{Left} \ v' \ s \in r1 \rightarrow v'$  by cases auto
moreover
have IH:  $\bigwedge v2. \ s \in r1 \rightarrow v2 \implies v = v2$  by fact
ultimately have  $v = v'$  by simp
then show Left v = v2 using eq by simp
next

```

```

case (Posix-Plus2 s r2 v r1 v2)
have s ∈ Plus r1 r2 → v2 by fact
moreover
have s ∉ lang r1 by fact
ultimately obtain v' where eq: v2 = Right v' s ∈ r2 → v'
    by cases (auto simp add: Posix1)
moreover
have IH:  $\bigwedge v2. s \in r2 \rightarrow v2 \implies v = v2$  by fact
ultimately have v = v' by simp
then show Right v = v2 using eq by simp
next
case (Posix-Times s1 r1 v1 s2 r2 v2 v')
have (s1 @ s2) ∈ Times r1 r2 → v'
    s1 ∈ r1 → v1 s2 ∈ r2 → v2
     $\neg (\exists s3 s4. s3 \neq [] \wedge s3 @ s4 = s2 \wedge s1 @ s3 \in \text{lang } r1 \wedge s4 \in \text{lang } r2)$  by fact+
then obtain v1' v2' where v' = Seq v1' v2' s1 ∈ r1 → v1' s2 ∈ r2 → v2'
apply(cases) apply (auto simp add: append-eq-append-conv2)
using Posix1(1) by fastforce+
moreover
have IHs:  $\bigwedge v1'. s1 \in r1 \rightarrow v1' \implies v1 = v1'$ 
     $\bigwedge v2'. s2 \in r2 \rightarrow v2' \implies v2 = v2'$  by fact+
ultimately show Seq v1 v2 = v' by simp
next
case (Posix-Star1 s1 r v s2 vs v2)
have (s1 @ s2) ∈ Star r → v2
    s1 ∈ r → v s2 ∈ Star r → Stars vs flat v ≠ []
     $\neg (\exists s3 s4. s3 \neq [] \wedge s3 @ s4 = s2 \wedge s1 @ s3 \in \text{lang } r \wedge s4 \in \text{lang } (\text{Star } r))$ 
by fact+
then obtain v' vs' where v2 = Stars (v' # vs') s1 ∈ r → v' s2 ∈ (Star r) → (Stars vs')
apply(cases) apply (auto simp add: append-eq-append-conv2)
using Posix1(1) apply fastforce
apply (metis Posix1(1) Posix-Star1.hyps(6) append-Nil append-Nil2)
using Posix1(2) by blast
moreover
have IHs:  $\bigwedge v2. s1 \in r \rightarrow v2 \implies v = v2$ 
     $\bigwedge v2. s2 \in \text{Star } r \rightarrow v2 \implies \text{Stars } vs = v2$  by fact+
ultimately show Stars (v # vs) = v2 by auto
next
case (Posix-Star2 r v2)
have [] ∈ Star r → v2 by fact
then show Stars [] = v2 by cases (auto simp add: Posix1)
next
case (Posix-NTimes2 vs r n v2)
then show Stars vs = v2
apply(erule-tac Posix-elims)
apply(auto)
apply (simp add: Posix1(2))

```

```

apply(rule List-eq-zipI)
  apply(auto)
  by (meson in-set-zipE)
next
  case (Posix-NTimes1 s1 r v s2 n vs)
    have (s1 @ s2) ∈ NTimes r (n + 1) → v2
      s1 ∈ r → v s2 ∈ NTimes r n → Stars vs flat v ≠ []
      ¬ (exists s3 s4. s3 ≠ [] ∧ s3 @ s4 = s2 ∧ s1 @ s3 ∈ lang r ∧ s4 ∈ lang (NTimes r n)) by fact+
      then obtain v' vs' where v2 = Stars (v' # vs') s1 ∈ r → v' s2 ∈ (NTimes r n) → (Stars vs')
        apply(cases) apply (auto simp add: append-eq-append-conv2)
        using Posix1(1) apply fastforce
        apply (metis Posix1(1) Posix-NTimes1.hyps(6) append.right-neutral append-Nil)
        using Posix1(2) by blast
      moreover
        have IHs: ∀ v2. s1 ∈ r → v2 ⇒ v = v2
          ∧ v2. s2 ∈ NTimes r n → v2 ⇒ Stars vs = v2 by fact+
        ultimately show Stars (v # vs) = v2 by auto
    next
      case (Posix-Upto1 s1 r v s2 n vs)
        have (s1 @ s2) ∈ Upto r (n + 1) → v2
          s1 ∈ r → v s2 ∈ Upto r n → Stars vs flat v ≠ []
          ¬ (exists s3 s4. s3 ≠ [] ∧ s3 @ s4 = s2 ∧ s1 @ s3 ∈ lang r ∧ s4 ∈ lang (Upto r n)) by fact+
          then obtain v' vs' where v2 = Stars (v' # vs') s1 ∈ r → v' s2 ∈ (Upto r n) → (Stars vs')
            apply(cases) apply (auto simp add: append-eq-append-conv2)
            using Posix1(1) apply fastforce
            apply (metis Posix1(1) Posix-Upto1.hyps(6) append.right-neutral append-Nil)
            using Posix1(2) by blast
          moreover
            have IHs: ∀ v2. s1 ∈ r → v2 ⇒ v = v2
              ∧ v2. s2 ∈ Upto r n → v2 ⇒ Stars vs = v2 by fact+
            ultimately show Stars (v # vs) = v2 by auto
        next
          case (Posix-Upto2 r n)
            have [] ∈ Upto r n → v2 by fact
            then show Stars [] = v2 by cases (auto simp add: Posix1)
        next
          case (Posix-From2 vs r n v2)
            then show Stars vs = v2
            apply(erule-tac Posix-elims)
            apply(auto)
            apply(rule List-eq-zipI)
            apply(auto)
            apply(meson in-set-zipE)
            apply(simp add: Posix1(2))
            using Posix1(2) by blast

```

```

next
case (Posix-From1 s1 r v s2 n vs)
have (s1 @ s2) ∈ From r n → v2
    s1 ∈ r → v s2 ∈ From r (n - 1) → Stars vs flat v ≠ [] 0 < n
     $\neg (\exists s_3 s_4. s_3 \neq [] \wedge s_3 @ s_4 = s2 \wedge s1 @ s_3 \in lang r \wedge s_4 \in lang (From r (n - 1)))$  by fact+
then obtain v' vs' where v2 = Stars (v' # vs') s1 ∈ r → v' s2 ∈ (From r (n - 1)) → (Stars vs')
apply(cases) apply (auto simp add: append-eq-append-conv2)
using Posix1(1) Posix1(2) apply blast
apply(case-tac n)
apply(simp)
apply(simp)
apply (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) Posix1(1) UN-E append-eq-append-conv2 lang.simps(9))
by (metis One-nat-def Posix1(1) Posix-From1.hyps(7) append-Nil2 append-self-conv2)
moreover
have IHs:  $\bigwedge v2. s1 \in r \rightarrow v2 \implies v = v2$ 
     $\bigwedge v2. s2 \in From r (n - 1) \rightarrow v2 \implies Stars vs = v2$  by fact+
ultimately show Stars (v # vs) = v2 by auto
next
case (Posix-From3 s1 r v s2 vs)
have (s1 @ s2) ∈ From r 0 → v2
    s1 ∈ r → v s2 ∈ Star r → Stars vs flat v ≠ []
     $\neg (\exists s_3 s_4. s_3 \neq [] \wedge s_3 @ s_4 = s2 \wedge s1 @ s_3 \in lang r \wedge s_4 \in lang (Star r))$ 
by fact+
then obtain v' vs' where v2 = Stars (v' # vs') s1 ∈ r → v' s2 ∈ (Star r) → (Stars vs')
apply(cases) apply (auto simp add: append-eq-append-conv2)
using Posix1(2) apply fastforce
using Posix1(1) apply fastforce
by (metis Posix1(1) Posix-From3.hyps(6) append.right-neutral append-Nil)
moreover
have IHs:  $\bigwedge v2. s1 \in r \rightarrow v2 \implies v = v2$ 
     $\bigwedge v2. s2 \in Star r \rightarrow v2 \implies Stars vs = v2$  by fact+
ultimately show Stars (v # vs) = v2 by auto
next
case (Posix-Rec s r v l v2)
then show Recv l v = v2 by (metis Posix-elims(10))
next
case (Posix-Cset c cs v2)
have [c] ∈ Charset cs → v2 by fact
then show Atm c = v2 by cases auto
qed

```

lemma *Posix-injval*:

assumes *s* ∈ (*deriv c r*) → *v*

shows (*c # s*) ∈ *r → (injval r c v)*

```

using assms
proof(induct r arbitrary: s v rule: rexp.induct)
  case Zero
    have s ∈ deriv c Zero → v by fact
    then have s ∈ Zero → v by simp
    then have False by cases
    then show (c # s) ∈ Zero → (injval Zero c v) by simp
  next
    case One
      have s ∈ deriv c One → v by fact
      then have s ∈ Zero → v by simp
      then have False by cases
      then show (c # s) ∈ One → (injval One c v) by simp
  next
    case (Atom d)
      consider (eq) c = d | (ineq) c ≠ d by blast
      then show (c # s) ∈ (Atom d) → (injval (Atom d) c v)
      proof (cases)
        case eq
          have s ∈ deriv c (Atom d) → v by fact
          then have s ∈ One → v using eq by simp
          then have eqs: s = [] ∧ v = Void by cases simp
          show (c # s) ∈ Atom d → injval (Atom d) c v using eq eqs
            by (auto intro: Posix.intros)
        next
          case ineq
            have s ∈ deriv c (Atom d) → v by fact
            then have s ∈ Zero → v using ineq by simp
            then have False by cases
            then show (c # s) ∈ Atom d → injval (Atom d) c v by simp
      qed
  next
  case (Plus r1 r2)
    have IH1: ∀s v. s ∈ deriv c r1 → v ⇒ (c # s) ∈ r1 → injval r1 c v by fact
    have IH2: ∀s v. s ∈ deriv c r2 → v ⇒ (c # s) ∈ r2 → injval r2 c v by fact
    have s ∈ deriv c (Plus r1 r2) → v by fact
    then have s ∈ Plus (deriv c r1) (deriv c r2) → v by simp
    then consider (left) v' where v = Left v' s ∈ deriv c r1 → v'
      | (right) v' where v = Right v' s ∉ lang (deriv c r1) s ∈ deriv c r2 → v'
        by cases auto
    then show (c # s) ∈ Plus r1 r2 → injval (Plus r1 r2) c v
    proof (cases)
      case left
        have s ∈ deriv c r1 → v' by fact
        then have (c # s) ∈ r1 → injval r1 c v' using IH1 by simp
        then have (c # s) ∈ Plus r1 r2 → injval (Plus r1 r2) c (Left v') by (auto
          intro: Posix.intros)
        then show (c # s) ∈ Plus r1 r2 → injval (Plus r1 r2) c v using left by simp

```

```

next
  case right
    have  $s \notin \text{lang}(\text{deriv } c \ r1)$  by fact
    then have  $c \# s \notin \text{lang} r1$  by (simp add: lang-deriv Deriv-def)
    moreover
      have  $s \in \text{deriv } c \ r2 \rightarrow v'$  by fact
      then have  $(c \# s) \in r2 \rightarrow \text{injval } r2 \ c \ v'$  using IH2 by simp
      ultimately have  $(c \# s) \in \text{Plus } r1 \ r2 \rightarrow \text{injval } (\text{Plus } r1 \ r2) \ c \ (Right \ v')$ 
        by (auto intro: Posix.intros)
      then show  $(c \# s) \in \text{Plus } r1 \ r2 \rightarrow \text{injval } (\text{Plus } r1 \ r2) \ c \ v$  using right by
        simp
    qed
next
  case (Times r1 r2)
    have IH1:  $\bigwedge s \ v. \ s \in \text{deriv } c \ r1 \rightarrow v \implies (c \# s) \in r1 \rightarrow \text{injval } r1 \ c \ v$  by fact
    have IH2:  $\bigwedge s \ v. \ s \in \text{deriv } c \ r2 \rightarrow v \implies (c \# s) \in r2 \rightarrow \text{injval } r2 \ c \ v$  by fact
    have  $s \in \text{deriv } c \ (\text{Times } r1 \ r2) \rightarrow v$  by fact
    then consider
      (left-nullable)  $v1 \ v2 \ s1 \ s2$  where
         $v = \text{Left } (\text{Seq } v1 \ v2)$   $s = s1 @ s2$ 
         $s1 \in \text{deriv } c \ r1 \rightarrow v1$   $s2 \in r2 \rightarrow v2$  nullable  $r1$ 
         $\neg (\exists s3 \ s4. \ s3 \neq [] \wedge s3 @ s4 = s2 \wedge s1 @ s3 \in \text{lang}(\text{deriv } c \ r1) \wedge s4 \in \text{lang } r2)$ 
      | (right-nullable)  $v1 \ s1 \ s2$  where
         $v = \text{Right } v1$   $s = s1 @ s2$ 
         $s \in \text{deriv } c \ r2 \rightarrow v1$  nullable  $r1$   $s1 @ s2 \notin \text{lang}(\text{deriv } c \ r1) \ r2$ 
      | (not-nullable)  $v1 \ v2 \ s1 \ s2$  where
         $v = \text{Seq } v1 \ v2$   $s = s1 @ s2$ 
         $s1 \in \text{deriv } c \ r1 \rightarrow v1$   $s2 \in r2 \rightarrow v2$   $\neg \text{nullable } r1$ 
         $\neg (\exists s3 \ s4. \ s3 \neq [] \wedge s3 @ s4 = s2 \wedge s1 @ s3 \in \text{lang}(\text{deriv } c \ r1) \wedge s4 \in \text{lang } r2)$ 
    by (force split: if-splits elim!: Posix-elims simp add: lang-deriv Deriv-def)
    then show  $(c \# s) \in \text{Times } r1 \ r2 \rightarrow \text{injval } (\text{Times } r1 \ r2) \ c \ v$ 
    proof (cases)
      case left-nullable
        have  $s1 \in \text{deriv } c \ r1 \rightarrow v1$  by fact
        then have  $(c \# s1) \in r1 \rightarrow \text{injval } r1 \ c \ v1$  using IH1 by simp
        moreover
          have  $\neg (\exists s3 \ s4. \ s3 \neq [] \wedge s3 @ s4 = s2 \wedge s1 @ s3 \in \text{lang}(\text{deriv } c \ r1) \wedge s4 \in \text{lang } r2)$  by fact
          then have  $\neg (\exists s3 \ s4. \ s3 \neq [] \wedge s3 @ s4 = s2 \wedge (c \# s1) @ s3 \in \text{lang } r1 \wedge s4 \in \text{lang } r2)$ 
            by (simp add: lang-deriv Deriv-def)
          ultimately have  $((c \# s1) @ s2) \in \text{Times } r1 \ r2 \rightarrow \text{Seq } (\text{injval } r1 \ c \ v1) \ v2$ 
        using left-nullable by (rule-tac Posix.intros)
        then show  $(c \# s) \in \text{Times } r1 \ r2 \rightarrow \text{injval } (\text{Times } r1 \ r2) \ c \ v$  using
          left-nullable by simp
      next
        case right-nullable

```

```

have nullable r1 by fact
then have [] ∈ r1 → (mkeps r1) by (rule Posix-mkeps)
moreover
have s ∈ deriv c r2 → v1 by fact
then have (c # s) ∈ r2 → (injval r2 c v1) using IH2 by simp
moreover
have s1 @ s2 ∉ lang (Times (deriv c r1) r2) by fact
then have ¬(∃s3 s4. s3 ≠ [] ∧ s3 @ s4 = c # s ∧ [] @ s3 ∈ lang r1 ∧ s4 ∈
lang r2)
    using right-nullable
    apply (auto simp add: lang-deriv Deriv-def append-eq-Cons-conv)
    by (metis concI mem-Collect-eq)
ultimately have ([] @ (c # s)) ∈ Times r1 r2 → Seq (mkeps r1) (injval r2
c v1)
by(rule Posix.intros)
then show (c # s) ∈ Times r1 r2 → injval (Times r1 r2) c v using
right-nullable by simp
next
case not-nullable
have s1 ∈ deriv c r1 → v1 by fact
then have (c # s1) ∈ r1 → injval r1 c v1 using IH1 by simp
moreover
have ¬(∃s3 s4. s3 ≠ [] ∧ s3 @ s4 = s2 ∧ s1 @ s3 ∈ lang (deriv c r1) ∧ s4
∈ lang r2) by fact
then have ¬(∃s3 s4. s3 ≠ [] ∧ s3 @ s4 = s2 ∧ (c # s1) @ s3 ∈ lang r1 ∧
s4 ∈ lang r2) by (simp add: lang-deriv Deriv-def)
ultimately have ((c # s1) @ s2) ∈ Times r1 r2 → Seq (injval r1 c v1) v2
using not-nullable
by (rule-tac Posix.intros) (simp-all)
then show (c # s) ∈ Times r1 r2 → injval (Times r1 r2) c v using
not-nullable by simp
qed
next
case (Star r)
have IH: ∀s v. s ∈ deriv c r → v ==> (c # s) ∈ r → injval r c v by fact
have s ∈ deriv c (Star r) → v by fact
then consider
(cons) v1 vs s1 s2 where
v = Seq v1 (Stars vs) s = s1 @ s2
s1 ∈ deriv c r → v1 s2 ∈ (Star r) → (Stars vs)
¬(∃s3 s4. s3 ≠ [] ∧ s3 @ s4 = s2 ∧ s1 @ s3 ∈ lang (deriv c r) ∧ s4 ∈ lang
(Star r))
apply(auto elim!: Posix-elims(1–5) simp add: lang-deriv Deriv-def intro:
Posix.intros)
apply(rotate-tac 3)
apply(erule-tac Posix-elims(6))
apply (simp add: Posix.intros(6))
using Posix.intros(7) by blast
then show (c # s) ∈ Star r → injval (Star r) c v

```

```

proof (cases)
  case cons
    have  $s1 \in \text{deriv } c r \rightarrow v1$  by fact
    then have  $(c \# s1) \in r \rightarrow \text{injval } r c v1$  using IH by simp
    moreover
      have  $s2 \in \text{Star } r \rightarrow \text{Stars } vs$  by fact
    moreover
      have  $(c \# s1) \in r \rightarrow \text{injval } r c v1$  by fact
      then have flat  $(\text{injval } r c v1) = (c \# s1)$  by (rule Posix1)
      then have flat  $(\text{injval } r c v1) \neq []$  by simp
    moreover
      have  $\neg (\exists s3 s4. s3 \neq [] \wedge s3 @ s4 = s2 \wedge s1 @ s3 \in \text{lang } (\text{deriv } c r) \wedge$ 
 $s4 \in \text{lang } (\text{Star } r))$  by fact
      then have  $\neg (\exists s3 s4. s3 \neq [] \wedge s3 @ s4 = s2 \wedge (c \# s1) @ s3 \in \text{lang } r$ 
 $\wedge s4 \in \text{lang } (\text{Star } r))$ 
      by (simp add: lang-deriv Deriv-def)
    ultimately
      have  $((c \# s1) @ s2) \in \text{Star } r \rightarrow \text{Stars } (\text{injval } r c v1 \# vs)$  by (rule
Posix.intros)
      then show  $(c \# s) \in \text{Star } r \rightarrow \text{injval } (\text{Star } r) c v$  using cons by(simp)
  qed
next
  case (NTimes r n)
    have IH:  $\bigwedge s v. s \in \text{deriv } c r \rightarrow v \implies (c \# s) \in r \rightarrow \text{injval } r c v$  by fact
    have  $s \in \text{deriv } c (\text{NTimes } r n) \rightarrow v$  by fact
    then consider
      (cons)  $v1 vs s1 s2$  where
         $v = \text{Seq } v1 (\text{Stars } vs) s = s1 @ s2$ 
         $s1 \in \text{deriv } c r \rightarrow v1 s2 \in (\text{NTimes } r (n - 1)) \rightarrow (\text{Stars } vs) 0 < n$ 
         $\neg (\exists s3 s4. s3 \neq [] \wedge s3 @ s4 = s2 \wedge s1 @ s3 \in \text{lang } (\text{deriv } c r) \wedge s4 \in \text{lang } (\text{NTimes } r (n - 1)))$ 
      apply(auto elim: Posix-elims simp add: lang-derivs Deriv-def intro: Posix.intros
split: if-splits)
      apply(erule Posix-elims)
      apply(simp)
      apply(subgoal-tac  $\exists vss. v2 = \text{Stars } vss$ )
      apply(clarify)
      apply(drule-tac  $x=vss$  in meta-spec)
      apply(drule-tac  $x=s1$  in meta-spec)
      apply(drule-tac  $x=s2$  in meta-spec)
      apply(simp add: lang-derivs Deriv-def)
      apply(erule Posix-elims)
      apply(auto)
      done
    then show  $(c \# s) \in (\text{NTimes } r n) \rightarrow \text{injval } (\text{NTimes } r n) c v$ 
  proof (cases)
    case cons
      have  $s1 \in \text{deriv } c r \rightarrow v1$  by fact
      then have  $(c \# s1) \in r \rightarrow \text{injval } r c v1$  using IH by simp

```

```

moreover
  have  $s2 \in (NTimes r (n - 1)) \rightarrow Stars\ vs$  by fact
moreover
  have  $(c \# s1) \in r \rightarrow injval\ r\ c\ v1$  by fact
  then have  $flat\ (injval\ r\ c\ v1) = (c \# s1)$  by (rule Posix1)
  then have  $flat\ (injval\ r\ c\ v1) \neq []$  by simp
moreover
  have  $\neg (\exists s3\ s4. s3 \neq [] \wedge s3 @ s4 = s2 \wedge s1 @ s3 \in lang\ (deriv\ c\ r) \wedge$ 
 $s4 \in lang\ (NTimes\ r\ (n - 1)))$  by fact
  then have  $\neg (\exists s3\ s4. s3 \neq [] \wedge s3 @ s4 = s2 \wedge (c \# s1) @ s3 \in lang\ r$ 
 $\wedge s4 \in lang\ (NTimes\ r\ (n - 1)))$ 
  by (simp add: lang-deriv Deriv-def)
ultimately
  have  $((c \# s1) @ s2) \in NTimes\ r\ n \rightarrow Stars\ (injval\ r\ c\ v1 \# vs)$ 
  by (metis One-nat-def Posix-NTimes1 Suc-pred add.commute cons(5)
 $plus\text{-}1\text{-}eq\text{-}Suc)$ 
  then show  $(c \# s) \in NTimes\ r\ n \rightarrow injval\ (NTimes\ r\ n)\ c\ v$  using cons
by(simp)
qed
next
  case  $(Upto\ r\ n)$ 
  have IH:  $\bigwedge s\ v. s \in deriv\ c\ r \rightarrow v \implies (c \# s) \in r \rightarrow injval\ r\ c\ v$  by fact
  have  $s \in deriv\ c\ (Upto\ r\ n) \rightarrow v$  by fact
  then consider
     $(cons)\ v1\ vs\ s1\ s2$  where
       $v = Seq\ v1\ (Stars\ vs)\ s = s1 @ s2$ 
       $s1 \in deriv\ c\ r \rightarrow v1\ s2 \in (Upto\ r\ (n - 1)) \rightarrow (Stars\ vs)$ 
       $\neg (\exists s3\ s4. s3 \neq [] \wedge s3 @ s4 = s2 \wedge s1 @ s3 \in lang\ (deriv\ c\ r) \wedge s4 \in lang$ 
 $(Upto\ r\ (n - 1)))$ 
    apply(auto elim!: Posix-elims simp add: lang-deriv Deriv-def intro: Posix.intros)

    apply(case-tac n)
    apply(auto)
    using Posix-elims(1) apply blast
    apply(erule-tac Posix-elims)
    apply(auto)
    by (metis Posix1a Prf-elims(8) UN-E cons diff-Suc-1 lang.simps(8))
    then show  $(c \# s) \in Upto\ r\ n \rightarrow injval\ (Upto\ r\ n)\ c\ v$ 
    proof (cases)
      case  $cons$ 
        have  $s1 \in deriv\ c\ r \rightarrow v1$  by fact
        then have  $(c \# s1) \in r \rightarrow injval\ r\ c\ v1$  using IH by simp
      moreover
        have  $s2 \in Upto\ r\ (n - 1) \rightarrow Stars\ vs$  by fact
      moreover
        have  $(c \# s1) \in r \rightarrow injval\ r\ c\ v1$  by fact
        then have  $flat\ (injval\ r\ c\ v1) = (c \# s1)$  by (rule Posix1)
        then have  $flat\ (injval\ r\ c\ v1) \neq []$  by simp
      moreover

```

```

    have  $\neg (\exists s_3 s_4. s_3 \neq [] \wedge s_3 @ s_4 = s_2 \wedge s_1 @ s_3 \in lang (deriv c r) \wedge$ 
 $s_4 \in lang (Upto r (n - 1)))$  by fact
      then have  $\neg (\exists s_3 s_4. s_3 \neq [] \wedge s_3 @ s_4 = s_2 \wedge (c \# s_1) @ s_3 \in lang r$ 
 $\wedge s_4 \in lang (Upto r (n - 1)))$ 
        by (simp add: lang-deriv Deriv-def)
      ultimately
        have  $((c \# s_1) @ s_2) \in Upto r n \rightarrow Stars (injval r c v1 \# vs)$ 
        by (metis One-nat-def Posix-Upto1 Posix-elims(1) Suc-pred Upto.preds
add.commute bot-nat-0.not-eq-extremum deriv.simps(8) plus-1-eq-Suc)
      then show  $(c \# s) \in Upto r n \rightarrow injval (Upto r n) c v$  using cons by(simp)
qed
next
  case (From r n)
  have IH:  $\bigwedge s v. s \in deriv c r \rightarrow v \implies (c \# s) \in r \rightarrow injval r c v$  by fact
  have  $s \in deriv c (From r n) \rightarrow v$  by fact
  then consider
    (cons) v1 vs s1 s2 where
      v = Seq v1 (Stars vs) s = s1 @ s2
      s1  $\in deriv c r \rightarrow v1 s2 \in (From r (n - 1)) \rightarrow (Stars vs) 0 < n$ 
       $\neg (\exists s_3 s_4. s_3 \neq [] \wedge s_3 @ s_4 = s_2 \wedge s_1 @ s_3 \in lang (deriv c r) \wedge s_4 \in lang$ 
 $(From r (n - 1)))$ 
    | (null) v1 vs s1 s2 where
      v = Seq v1 (Stars vs) s = s1 @ s2 s2  $\in (Star r) \rightarrow (Stars vs)$ 
      s1  $\in deriv c r \rightarrow v1 n = 0$ 
       $\neg (\exists s_3 s_4. s_3 \neq [] \wedge s_3 @ s_4 = s_2 \wedge s_1 @ s_3 \in lang (deriv c r) \wedge s_4 \in lang$ 
 $(Star r))$ 
      apply(auto elim: Posix-elims simp add: lang-deriv Deriv-def intro: Posix.intros
split: if-splits)
      apply(erule Posix-elims)
      apply(auto)
      apply(auto elim: Posix-elims simp add: lang-deriv Deriv-def intro: Posix.intros
split: if-splits)
      apply(metis Posix1a Prf-elims(6))
      apply(erule Posix-elims)
      apply(auto)
      apply(erule Posix-elims(9))
      apply (metis (no-types, lifting) Nil-is-append-conv Posix-From2)
      apply (simp add: Posix-From1 that(1))
      by (simp add: Posix-From3 that(1))
    then show  $(c \# s) \in (From r n) \rightarrow injval (From r n) c v$ 
  proof (cases)
    case cons
      have  $s1 \in deriv c r \rightarrow v1$  by fact
      then have  $(c \# s1) \in r \rightarrow injval r c v1$  using IH by simp
    moreover
      have  $s2 \in (From r (n - 1)) \rightarrow Stars vs$  by fact
    moreover
      have  $(c \# s1) \in r \rightarrow injval r c v1$  by fact
      then have flat (injval r c v1) =  $(c \# s1)$  by (rule Posix1)

```

```

then have flat (injval r c v1) ≠ [] by simp
moreover
  have ¬(∃s3 s4. s3 ≠ [] ∧ s3 @ s4 = s2 ∧ s1 @ s3 ∈ lang (deriv c r) ∧
  s4 ∈ lang (From r (n - 1))) by fact
    then have ¬(∃s3 s4. s3 ≠ [] ∧ s3 @ s4 = s2 ∧ (c # s1) @ s3 ∈ lang r
  ∧ s4 ∈ lang (From r (n - 1)))
      by (simp add: lang-deriv Deriv-def)
  ultimately
    have ((c # s1) @ s2) ∈ From r n → Stars (injval r c v1 # vs)
      by (meson Posix-From1 cons(5))
then show (c # s) ∈ From r n → injval (From r n) c v using cons by(simp)
next
case null
  have s1 ∈ deriv c r → v1 by fact
  then have (c # s1) ∈ r → injval r c v1 using IH by simp
  moreover
    have s2 ∈ Star r → Stars vs by fact
  moreover
    have (c # s1) ∈ r → injval r c v1 by fact
    then have flat (injval r c v1) = (c # s1) by (rule Posix1)
    then have flat (injval r c v1) ≠ [] by simp
    moreover
      have ¬(∃s3 s4. s3 ≠ [] ∧ s3 @ s4 = s2 ∧ s1 @ s3 ∈ lang (deriv c r) ∧
      s4 ∈ lang (Star r)) by fact
        then have ¬(∃s3 s4. s3 ≠ [] ∧ s3 @ s4 = s2 ∧ (c # s1) @ s3 ∈ lang r
      ∧ s4 ∈ lang (Star r))
          by (simp add: lang-deriv Deriv-def)
    ultimately
      have ((c # s1) @ s2) ∈ From r 0 → Stars (injval r c v1 # vs)
        by (metis Posix-From3)
      then show (c # s) ∈ From r n → injval (From r n) c v using null by
        (simp)
  qed
next
case (Rec l r)
  then show (c # s) ∈ Rec l r → injval (Rec l r) c v
    by (simp add: Posix-Rec)
next
case (Charset cs)
  consider (eq) c ∈ cs | (ineq) c ∉ cs by blast
  then show (c # s) ∈ (Charset cs) → (injval (Charset cs) c v)
  proof (cases)
    case eq
    have s ∈ deriv c (Charset cs) → v by fact
    then have s ∈ One → v using eq by simp
    then have eqs: s = [] ∧ v = Void by cases simp
    show (c # s) ∈ Charset cs → injval (Charset cs) c v using eq eqs
      by (auto intro: Posix.intros)
next

```

```

case ineq
have s ∈ deriv c (Charset cs) → v by fact
then have s ∈ Zero → v using ineq by simp
then have False by cases
then show (c # s) ∈ Charset cs → injval (Charset cs) c v by simp
qed
qed

```

23 The Lexer by Sulzmann and Lu

```

fun
  lexer :: 'a rexp ⇒ 'a list ⇒ ('a val) option
where
  lexer r [] = (if nullable r then Some(mkeps r) else None)
  | lexer r (c#s) = (case (lexer (deriv c r) s) of
    None ⇒ None
    | Some(v) ⇒ Some(injval r c v))

lemma lexer-correct-None:
  shows s ∉ lang r ↔ lexer r s = None
  apply(induct s arbitrary: r)
  apply(simp add: nullable-iff)
  apply(drule-tac x=deriv a r in meta-spec)
  apply(auto simp add: lang-deriv Deriv-def)
  done

lemma lexer-correct-Some:
  shows s ∈ lang r ↔ (∃ v. lexer r s = Some(v) ∧ s ∈ r → v)
  apply(induct s arbitrary: r)
  apply(auto simp add: Posix-mkeps nullable-iff[1])
  apply(drule-tac x=deriv a r in meta-spec)
  apply(simp add: lang-deriv Deriv-def)
  apply(rule iffI)
  apply(auto intro: Posix-injval simp add: Posix1(1))
  done

lemma lexer-correctness:
  shows (lexer r s = Some v) ↔ s ∈ r → v
  and (lexer r s = None) ↔ ¬(∃ v. s ∈ r → v)
  apply(auto)
  using lexer-correct-None lexer-correct-Some apply fastforce
  using Posix1(1) Posix-determ lexer-correct-Some apply blast
  using Posix1(1) lexer-correct-None apply blast
  using lexer-correct-None lexer-correct-Some by blast

end

```

```

theory LexicalVals3
imports LEXER3 HOL-Library.Sublist
begin

```

24 Sets of Lexical Values

Shows that lexical values are finite for a given regex and string.

definition

$LV :: 'a\ rexpr \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow ('a\ val)\ set$
where $LV\ r\ s \equiv \{v. \vdash v : r \wedge flat\ v = s\}$

lemma $LV\text{-}simps$:

shows $LV\ Zero\ s = \{\}$
and $LV\ One\ s = (\text{if } s = [] \text{ then } \{\text{Void}\} \text{ else } \{\})$
and $LV\ (\text{Atom } c)\ s = (\text{if } s = [c] \text{ then } \{\text{Atm } c\} \text{ else } \{\})$
and $LV\ (\text{Plus } r1\ r2)\ s = \text{Left } 'LV\ r1\ s \cup \text{Right } 'LV\ r2\ s$
and $LV\ (\text{NTimes } r\ 0)\ s = (\text{if } s = [] \text{ then } \{\text{Stars } []\} \text{ else } \{\})$
and $LV\ (\text{Recv } l\ r)\ s = \{\text{Recv } l\ v \mid v. v \in LV\ r\ s\}$
and $LV\ (\text{Charset } cs)\ s = (\text{if } \text{length } s = 1 \wedge (\text{hd } s) \in cs \text{ then } \{\text{Atm } (\text{hd } s)\} \text{ else } \{\})$

unfolding $LV\text{-}def$

apply(*auto intro: Prf.intros elim: Prf.cases*)
apply(*simp add: Prf-NTimes-empty*)
by (*metis Suc-length-conv length-0-conv list.sel(1)*)

abbreviation

$\text{Prefixes } s \equiv \{s'. \text{prefix } s' s\}$

abbreviation

$\text{Suffixes } s \equiv \{s'. \text{suffix } s' s\}$

abbreviation

$\text{SSuffixes } s \equiv \{s'. \text{strict-suffix } s' s\}$

lemma $\text{Suffixes-}cons$ [*simp*]:

shows $\text{Suffixes } (c \# s) = \text{Suffixes } s \cup \{c \# s\}$
by (*auto simp add: suffix-def Cons-eq-append-conv*)

lemma finite-Suffixes :

shows $\text{finite } (\text{Suffixes } s)$
by (*induct s*) (*simp-all*)

lemma finite-SSuffixes :

shows $\text{finite } (\text{SSuffixes } s)$

proof -

have $\text{SSuffixes } s \subseteq \text{Suffixes } s$
unfolding $\text{strict-suffix-def suffix-def by auto}$

```

then show finite (SSuffixes s)
  using finite-Suffixes finite-subset by blast
qed

lemma finite-Prefixes:
  shows finite (Prefixes s)
proof -
  have finite (Suffixes (rev s))
    by (rule finite-Suffixes)
  then have finite (rev ` Suffixes (rev s)) by simp
  moreover
  have rev ` (Suffixes (rev s)) = Prefixes s
  unfolding suffix-def prefix-def image-def
    by (auto)(metis rev-append rev-rev-ident) +
  ultimately show finite (Prefixes s) by simp
qed

lemma LV-STAR-finite:
  assumes "s. finite (LV r s)"
  shows finite (LV (Star r) s)
proof(induct s rule: length-induct)
  fix s::'a list
  assume "s'. length s' < length s —> finite (LV (Star r) s')"
  then have IH: "s' ∈ SSuffixes s. finite (LV (Star r) s')"
    by (force simp add: strict-suffix-def suffix-def)
  define f where "f ≡ λ(v::'a val, vs). Stars (v # vs)"
  define S1 where "S1 ≡ ⋃ s' ∈ Prefixes s. LV r s'"
  define S2 where "S2 ≡ ⋃ s2 ∈ SSuffixes s. Stars –` (LV (Star r) s2)"
  have finite S1 using assms
    unfolding S1-def by (simp-all add: finite-Prefixes)
  moreover
  with IH have finite S2 unfolding S2-def
    by (auto simp add: finite-SSuffixes inj-on-def finite-vimageI)
  ultimately
  have finite ({Stars []} ∪ f ` (S1 × S2)) by simp
  moreover
  have "LV (Star r) s ⊆ {Stars []} ∪ f ` (S1 × S2)"
  unfolding S1-def S2-def f-def
  unfolding LV-def image-def prefix-def strict-suffix-def
  apply(auto)
  apply(case-tac x)
  apply(auto elim: Prf-elims)
  apply(erule Prf-elims)
  apply(auto)
  apply(case-tac vs)
  apply(auto intro: Prf.intros)
  apply(rule exI)
  apply(rule conjI)
  apply(rule-tac x=flat a in exI)

```

```

apply(rule conjI)
apply(rule-tac x=flats list in exI)
apply(simp)
apply(blast)
apply(simp add: suffix-def)
using Prf.intros(6) by blast
ultimately
show finite (LV (Star r) s) by (simp add: finite-subset)
qed

definition
Stars-Cons V Vs ≡ {Stars (v # vs) | v vs. v ∈ V ∧ Stars vs ∈ Vs}

definition
Stars-Append Vs1 Vs2 ≡ {Stars (vs1 @ vs2) | vs1 vs2. Stars vs1 ∈ Vs1 ∧ Stars vs2 ∈ Vs2}

fun Stars-Pow :: ('a val) set ⇒ nat ⇒ ('a val) set
where
Stars-Pow Vs 0 = {Stars []}
| Stars-Pow Vs (Suc n) = Stars-Cons Vs (Stars-Pow Vs n)

lemma finite-Stars-Cons:
assumes finite V finite Vs
shows finite (Stars-Cons V Vs)
using assms
proof -
from assms(2) have finite (Stars -` Vs)
by(simp add: finite-vimageI inj-on-def)
with assms(1) have finite (V × (Stars -` Vs))
by(simp)
then have finite ((λ(v, vs). Stars (v # vs)) ` (V × (Stars -` Vs)))
by simp
moreover have Stars-Cons V Vs = (λ(v, vs). Stars (v # vs)) ` (V × (Stars -` Vs))
unfolding Stars-Cons-def by auto
ultimately show finite (Stars-Cons V Vs)
by simp
qed

lemma finite-Stars-Append:
assumes finite Vs1 finite Vs2
shows finite (Stars-Append Vs1 Vs2)
using assms
proof -
define UVs1 where UVs1 ≡ Stars -` Vs1
define UVs2 where UVs2 ≡ Stars -` Vs2
from assms have finite UVs1 finite UVs2
unfolding UVs1-def UVs2-def

```

```

by(simp-all add: finite-vimageI inj-on-def)
then have finite (( $\lambda(vs1, vs2). Stars(vs1 @ vs2))` (UVs1 × UVs2))$ 
```

by simp

moreover

have Stars-Append Vs1 Vs2 = ($\lambda(vs1, vs2). Stars(vs1 @ vs2))` (UVs1 × UVs2)$)

unfolding Stars-Append-def UVs1-def UVs2-def **by auto**

ultimately show finite (Stars-Append Vs1 Vs2)

by simp

qed

lemma finite-Stars-Pow:

assumes finite Vs

shows finite (Stars-Pow Vs n)

by (induct n) (simp-all add: finite-Stars-Cons assms)

lemma LV-NTimes-5:

LV (NTimes r n) s ⊆ Stars-Append (LV (Star r) s) ($\bigcup_{i \leq n} LV(NTimes r i)$) []

apply(auto simp add: LV-def)

apply(auto elim!: Prf-elims)

apply(auto simp add: Stars-Append-def)

apply(rule-tac x=vs1 in exI)

apply(rule-tac x=vs2 in exI)

apply(auto)

using Prf.intros(6) **apply**(auto)

apply(rule-tac x=length vs2 in bexI)

thm Prf.intros

apply(subst append.simps(1)[symmetric])

apply(rule Prf.intros)

apply(auto)[1]

apply(auto)[1]

apply(simp)

apply(simp)

done

lemma LV-NTIMES-3:

shows LV (NTimes r (Suc n)) [] =

($\lambda(v, vs). Stars(v \# vs))` (LV r [] \times (Stars - ` (LV (NTimes r n) [])))$)

unfolding LV-def

apply(auto elim!: Prf-elims simp add: image-def)

apply(case-tac vs1)

apply(auto)

apply(case-tac vs2)

apply(auto)

apply(subst append.simps(1)[symmetric])

apply(rule Prf.intros)

apply(auto)

apply(subst append.simps(1)[symmetric])

```

apply(rule Prf.intros)
apply(auto)
done

lemma finite-NTimes-empty:
assumes ⋀s. finite (LV r s)
shows finite (LV (NTimes r n) [])
using assms
apply(induct n)
apply(auto simp add: LV-simps)
apply(subst LV-NTIMES-3)
apply(rule finite-imageI)
apply(rule finite-cartesian-product)
using assms apply simp
apply(rule finite-vimageI)
apply(simp)
apply(simp add: inj-on-def)
done

lemma LV-From-5:
shows LV (From r n) s ⊆ Stars-Append (LV (Star r) s) (⋃ i≤n. LV (From r i))
[]
apply(auto simp add: LV-def)
apply(auto elim!: Prf-elims)
apply(auto simp add: Stars-Append-def)
apply(rule-tac x=vs1 in exI)
apply(rule-tac x=vs2 in exI)
apply(auto)
using Prf.intros(6) apply(auto)
apply(rule-tac x=length vs2 in bexI)
thm Prf.intros
apply(subst append.simps(1)[symmetric])
apply(rule Prf.intros)
apply(auto)[1]
apply(auto)[1]
apply(simp)
apply(simp)
apply(rule-tac x=vs in exI)
apply(rule-tac x=[] in exI)
apply(auto)
by (metis Prf.intros(9) append-Nil atMost-iff empty-iff le-imp-less-Suc less-antisym
list.set(1) nth-mem zero-le)

lemma LV-FROMNTIMES-3:
shows LV (From r (Suc n)) [] =
(λ(v,vs). Stars (v#vs)) ` (LV r [] × (Stars -` (LV (From r n) [])))
unfolding LV-def
apply(auto elim!: Prf-elims simp add: image-def)
apply(case-tac vs1)

```

```

apply(auto)
apply(case-tac vs2)
apply(auto)
apply(subst append.simps(1)[symmetric])
apply(rule Prf.intros)
  apply(auto)
    apply (metis le-imp-less-Suc length-greater-0-conv less-antisym list.exhaust list.set-intros(1)
not-less-eq zero-le)
  prefer 2
  using nth-mem apply blast
  apply(case-tac vs1)
    apply (smt (verit, del-insts) Prf.intros(9) append.left-neutral length-Suc-conv
length-append
      set-ConsD)
  apply(auto)
done

lemma LV-From-empty:
LV (From r n) [] = Stars-Pow (LV r []) n
apply(induct n)
  apply(simp add: LV-def)
  apply(auto elim: Prf-elims simp add: image-def)[1]
  prefer 2
  apply(subst append.simps[symmetric])
  apply(rule Prf.intros)
    apply(simp-all)
  apply(erule Prf-elims)
  apply(case-tac vs1)
    apply(simp)
    apply(simp)
    apply(case-tac x)
    apply(simp-all)
    apply(simp add: LV-FROMNTIMES-3 image-def Stars-Cons-def)
  apply blast
done

lemma finite-From-empty:
assumes ∀ s. finite (LV r s)
shows finite (LV (From r n) s)
apply(rule finite-subset)
  apply(rule LV-From-5)
  apply(rule finite-Stars-Append)
    apply(rule LV-STAR-finite)
    apply(rule assms)
    apply(rule finite-UN-I)
    apply(auto)
  by (simp add: assms finite-Stars-Pow LV-From-empty)

```

```

lemma subsequeq-Upto-Star:
  shows  $LV(Upto r n) s \subseteq LV(Star r) s$ 
  apply(auto simp add: LV-def)
  by (metis Prf.intros(6) Prf.elims(8))

lemma LV-finite:
  shows finite(LV r s)
  proof(induct r arbitrary: s)
    case(Zero s)
      show finite(LV Zero s) by (simp add: LV-simps)
    next
    case(One s)
      show finite(LV One s) by (simp add: LV-simps)
    next
    case(Atom c s)
      show finite(LV(Atom c) s) by (simp add: LV-simps)
    next
    case(Plus r1 r2 s)
      then show finite(LV(Plus r1 r2) s) by (simp add: LV-simps)
    next
    case(Times r1 r2 s)
      define f where "f ≡ λ(v1::'a val, v2). Seq v1 v2"
      define S1 where "S1 ≡ ⋃ s' ∈ Prefixes s. LV r1 s'"
      define S2 where "S2 ≡ ⋃ s' ∈ Suffixes s. LV r2 s'"
      have IHs: ⋀ s. finite(LV r1 s) ⋀ s. finite(LV r2 s) by fact+
      then have finite S1 finite S2 unfolding S1-def S2-def
        by (simp-all add: finite-Prefixes finite-Suffixes)
      moreover
      have  $LV(Times r1 r2) s \subseteq f^*(S1 \times S2)$ 
        unfolding f-def S1-def S2-def
        unfolding LV-def image-def prefix-def suffix-def
        apply(auto elim!: Prf.elims)
        by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) mem-Collect-eq)
      ultimately
      show finite(LV(Times r1 r2) s)
        by (simp add: finite-subset)
    next
    case(Star r s)
      then show finite(LV(Star r) s) by (simp add: LV-STAR-finite)
    next
    case(NTimes r n s)
      have ⋀ s. finite(LV r s) by fact
      then have finite(Stars-Append(LV(Star r) s) (⋃ i ≤ n. LV(NTimes r i) []))
        apply(rule-tac finite-Stars-Append)
        apply(simp add: LV-STAR-finite)
        using finite-NTimes-empty by blast
      then show finite(LV(NTimes r n) s)
        by (metis LV-NTimes-5 finite-subset)
  qed
end

```

```

next
  case (Upto r n s)
    then have finite (LV (Star r) s) by (simp add: LV-STAR-finite)
  moreover
    have LV (Upto r n) s ⊆ LV (Star r) s
      by (meson subseteq-Upto-Star)
    ultimately show finite (LV (Upto r n) s)
      using rev-finite-subset by blast
next
  case (From r n)
    then show finite (LV (From r n) s)
      by (simp add: finite-From-empty)
next
  case (Rec l r)
    have  $\bigwedge s. \text{finite} (\text{LV } r s)$  by fact
    then show finite (LV (Rec l r) s)
      by (simp add: LV-simps)
next
  case (Charset cs s)
    show finite (LV (Charset cs) s) by (simp add: LV-simps)
qed

```

Our POSIX values are lexical values.

```

lemma Posix-LV:
  assumes  $s \in r \rightarrow v$ 
  shows  $v \in \text{LV } r s$ 
  using assms unfolding LV-def
  apply(induct rule: Posix.induct)
  using Prf.intros(4) flat.simps(1) apply blast
  apply (simp add: Prf.intros(5))
  apply (simp add: Prf.intros(2))
  apply (simp add: Prf.intros(3))
  apply (simp add: Prf.intros(1))
  apply (smt (verit, best) CollectI Posix1(2) Posix1a Posix-Star1)
  apply (simp add: Prf.intros(6))
  apply (smt (verit, best) Posix1(2) Posix1a Posix-NTimes1 mem-Collect-eq)
  using Posix1a Posix-NTimes2 apply fastforce
  apply (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) Posix1(2) Posix1a Posix-Upto1 mem-Collect-eq)
  using Posix1a Posix-Upto2 apply fastforce
  using Posix1a Posix-From2 apply fastforce
  apply (smt (verit, best) Posix1(2) Posix1a Posix-From1 mem-Collect-eq)
  apply (smt (verit, best) Posix1a Posix-From3 flat.simps(7) mem-Collect-eq)
  apply(simp add: Prf.intros(11))
  by (simp add: Prf.intros(12))

```

```

lemma Posix-Prf:
  assumes  $s \in r \rightarrow v$ 
  shows  $\vdash v : r$ 

```

```
using assms Posix-LV LV-def
by blast
```

```
end
```

```
theory Simplifying3
imports Lexer3
begin
```

25 Lexer including simplifications

```
fun F-RIGHT where
F-RIGHT f v = Right (f v)

fun F-LEFT where
F-LEFT f v = Left (f v)

fun F-Plus where
F-Plus f1 f2 (Right v) = Right (f2 v)
| F-Plus f1 f2 (Left v) = Left (f1 v)
| F-Plus f1 f2 v = v

fun F-Times1 where
F-Times1 f1 f2 v = Seq (f1 Void) (f2 v)

fun F-Times2 where
F-Times2 f1 f2 v = Seq (f1 v) (f2 Void)

fun F-Times where
F-Times f1 f2 (Seq v1 v2) = Seq (f1 v1) (f2 v2)
| F-Times f1 f2 v = v

fun simp-Plus where
simp-Plus (Zero, f1) (r2, f2) = (r2, F-RIGHT f2)
| simp-Plus (r1, f1) (Zero, f2) = (r1, F-LEFT f1)
| simp-Plus (r1, f1) (r2, f2) =
  (if r1 = r2 then (r1, F-LEFT f1) else (Plus r1 r2, F-Plus f1 f2))

fun simp-Times where
simp-Times (Zero, f1) (r2, f2) = (Zero, undefined)
| simp-Times (r1, f1) (Zero, f2) = (Zero, undefined)
| simp-Times (One, f1) (r2, f2) = (r2, F-Times1 f1 f2)
| simp-Times (r1, f1) (One, f2) = (r1, F-Times2 f1 f2)
| simp-Times (r1, f1) (r2, f2) = (Times r1 r2, F-Times f1 f2)

lemma simp-Times-simps[simp]:
simp-Times p1 p2 = (if (fst p1 = Zero) then (Zero, undefined)
```

```

else (if (fst p2 = Zero) then (Zero, undefined)
else (if (fst p1 = One) then (fst p2, F-Times1 (snd p1) (snd p2))
else (if (fst p2 = One) then (fst p1, F-Times2 (snd p1) (snd p2))
else (Times (fst p1) (fst p2), F-Times (snd p1) (snd p2))))))
by (induct p1 p2 rule: simp-Times.induct)(auto)

lemma simp-Plus-simps[simp]:
simp-Plus p1 p2 = (if (fst p1 = Zero) then (fst p2, F-RIGHT (snd p2))
else (if (fst p2 = Zero) then (fst p1, F-LEFT (snd p1))
else (if (fst p1 = fst p2) then (fst p1, F-LEFT (snd p1))
else (Plus (fst p1) (fst p2), F-Plus (snd p1) (snd p2))))))
by (induct p1 p2 rule: simp-Plus.induct) (auto)

fun
  simp :: 'a rexpr => 'a rexpr * ('a val => 'a val)
where
  simp (Plus r1 r2) = simp-Plus (simp r1) (simp r2)
| simp (Times r1 r2) = simp-Times (simp r1) (simp r2)
| simp r = (r, id)

fun
  slexer :: 'a rexpr => 'a list => ('a val) option
where
  slexer r [] = (if nullable r then Some(mkeps r) else None)
| slexer r (c#s) = (let (rs, fr) = simp (deriv c r) in
  (case (slexer rs s) of
   None => None
   | Some(v) => Some(injval r c (fr v))))
by (auto split: prod.split option.split)

lemma slexer-better-simp:
slexer r (c#s) = (case (slexer (fst (simp (deriv c r))) s) of
  None => None
  | Some(v) => Some(injval r c ((snd (simp (deriv c r))) v)))
by (auto split: prod.split option.split)

lemma L-fst-simp:
shows lang r = lang (fst (simp r))
by (induct r) (auto)

lemma Posix-simp:
assumes s ∈ (fst (simp r)) → v
shows s ∈ r → ((snd (simp r)) v)
using assms
proof(induct r arbitrary: s v rule: rexpr.induct)
  case (Plus r1 r2 s v)
  have IH1: ∀s v. s ∈ fst (simp r1) → v ⇒ s ∈ r1 → snd (simp r1) v by fact
  have IH2: ∀s v. s ∈ fst (simp r2) → v ⇒ s ∈ r2 → snd (simp r2) v by fact
  have as: s ∈ fst (simp (Plus r1 r2)) → v by fact

```

```

consider (Zero-Zero) fst (simp r1) = Zero fst (simp r2) = Zero
| (Zero-NZero) fst (simp r1) = Zero fst (simp r2) ≠ Zero
| (NZero-Zero) fst (simp r1) ≠ Zero fst (simp r2) = Zero
| (NZero-NZero1) fst (simp r1) ≠ Zero fst (simp r2) ≠ Zero fst (simp r1)
= fst (simp r2)
| (NZero-NZero2) fst (simp r1) ≠ Zero fst (simp r2) ≠ Zero fst (simp r1)
≠ fst (simp r2) by auto
then show s ∈ Plus r1 r2 → snd (simp (Plus r1 r2)) v
proof(cases)
case (Zero-Zero)
with as have s ∈ Zero → v by simp
then show s ∈ Plus r1 r2 → snd (simp (Plus r1 r2)) v by (rule Posix-elims(1))
next
case (Zero-NZero)
with as have s ∈ fst (simp r2) → v by simp
with IH2 have s ∈ r2 → snd (simp r2) v by simp
moreover
from Zero-NZero have fst (simp r1) = Zero by simp
then have lang (fst (simp r1)) = {} by simp
then have lang r1 = {} using L-fst-simp by auto
then have s ∉ lang r1 by simp
ultimately have s ∈ Plus r1 r2 → Right (snd (simp r2) v) by (rule
Posix-Plus2)
then show s ∈ Plus r1 r2 → snd (simp (Plus r1 r2)) v
using Zero-NZero by simp
next
case (NZero-Zero)
with as have s ∈ fst (simp r1) → v by simp
with IH1 have s ∈ r1 → snd (simp r1) v by simp
then have s ∈ Plus r1 r2 → Left (snd (simp r1) v) by (rule Posix-Plus1)
then show s ∈ Plus r1 r2 → snd (simp (Plus r1 r2)) v using NZero-Zero
by simp
next
case (NZero-NZero1)
with as have a: s ∈ fst (simp r1) → v by simp
then show s ∈ Plus r1 r2 → snd (simp (Plus r1 r2)) v
using IH1 NZero-NZero1 Posix-Plus1 a by fastforce
next
case (NZero-NZero2)
with as have s ∈ Plus (fst (simp r1)) (fst (simp r2)) → v by simp
then consider (Left) v1 where v = Left v1 s ∈ (fst (simp r1)) → v1
| (Right) v2 where v = Right v2 s ∈ (fst (simp r2)) → v2 s ∉ lang
(fst (simp r1))
by (erule-tac Posix-elims(4))
then show s ∈ Plus r1 r2 → snd (simp (Plus r1 r2)) v
proof(cases)
case (Left)
then have v = Left v1 s ∈ r1 → (snd (simp r1) v1) using IH1 by simp-all
then show s ∈ Plus r1 r2 → snd (simp (Plus r1 r2)) v using NZero-NZero2

```

```

    by (simp-all add: Posix-Plus1)
next
  case (Right)
    then have v = Right v2 s ∈ r2 → (snd (simp r2) v2) s ∉ lang r1 using
IH2 L-fst-simp by auto
    then show s ∈ Plus r1 r2 → snd (simp (Plus r1 r2)) v using NZero-NZero2
      by (simp-all add: Posix-Plus2)
    qed
  qed
next
  case (Times r1 r2 s v)
have IH1: ∀s v. s ∈ fst (simp r1) → v ⇒ s ∈ r1 → snd (simp r1) v by fact
have IH2: ∀s v. s ∈ fst (simp r2) → v ⇒ s ∈ r2 → snd (simp r2) v by fact
have as: s ∈ fst (simp (Times r1 r2)) → v by fact
consider (Zero) fst (simp r1) = Zero ∨ fst (simp r2) = Zero
  | (One-One) fst (simp r1) = One fst (simp r2) = One
  | (One-NOne) fst (simp r1) = One fst (simp r2) ≠ One fst (simp r2) ≠
Zero
  | (NOne-One) fst (simp r1) ≠ One fst (simp r2) = One fst (simp r1) ≠
Zero
  | (NOne-NOne) fst (simp r1) ≠ One fst (simp r2) ≠ One
    fst (simp r1) ≠ Zero fst (simp r2) ≠ Zero by auto
then show s ∈ Times r1 r2 → snd (simp (Times r1 r2)) v
proof(cases)
  case (Zero)
    with as have False
    by (metis Posix-elims(1) fst-conv simp.simps(2) simp-Times-simps)
    then show s ∈ Times r1 r2 → snd (simp (Times r1 r2)) v by simp
next
  case (One-One)
  with as have b: s ∈ One → v by simp
  from b have s ∈ r1 → snd (simp r1) v using IH1 One-One by simp
  moreover
  from b have c: s = [] v = Void using Posix-elims(2) by auto
  moreover
  have [] ∈ One → Void by (simp add: Posix-One)
  then have [] ∈ fst (simp r2) → Void using One-One by simp
  then have [] ∈ r2 → snd (simp r2) Void using IH2 by simp
  ultimately have ([] @ []) ∈ Times r1 r2 → Seq (snd (simp r1) Void) (snd
(simp r2) Void)
    using Posix-Times by blast
  then show s ∈ Times r1 r2 → snd (simp (Times r1 r2)) v using c One-One
by simp
next
  case (One-NOne)
  with as have b: s ∈ fst (simp r2) → v by simp
  from b have s ∈ r2 → snd (simp r2) v using IH2 One-NOne by simp
  moreover
  have [] ∈ One → Void by (simp add: Posix-One)

```

```

then have [] ∈ fst (simp r1) → Void using One-NOne by simp
then have [] ∈ r1 → snd (simp r1) Void using IH1 by simp
moreover
from One-NOne(1) have lang (fst (simp r1)) = {} by simp
then have lang r1 = {} by (simp add: L-fst-simp[symmetric])
ultimately have ([] @ s) ∈ Times r1 r2 → Seq (snd (simp r1) Void) (snd
(simp r2) v)
by(rule-tac Posix-Times) auto
then show s ∈ Times r1 r2 → snd (simp (Times r1 r2)) v using One-NOne
by simp
next
case (NOne-One)
with as have s ∈ fst (simp r1) → v by simp
with IH1 have s ∈ r1 → snd (simp r1) v by simp
moreover
have [] ∈ One → Void by (simp add: Posix-One)
then have [] ∈ fst (simp r2) → Void using NOne-One by simp
then have [] ∈ r2 → snd (simp r2) Void using IH2 by simp
ultimately have (s @ []) ∈ Times r1 r2 → Seq (snd (simp r1) v) (snd (simp
r2) Void)
by(rule-tac Posix-Times) auto
then show s ∈ Times r1 r2 → snd (simp (Times r1 r2)) v using NOne-One
by simp
next
case (NOne-NOne)
with as have s ∈ Times (fst (simp r1)) (fst (simp r2)) → v by simp
then obtain s1 s2 v1 v2 where eqs: s = s1 @ s2 v = Seq v1 v2
s1 ∈ (fst (simp r1)) → v1 s2 ∈ (fst (simp r2)) → v2
¬ (∃ s3 s4. s3 ≠ [] ∧ s3 @ s4 = s2 ∧ s1 @ s3 ∈ lang r1 ∧ s4 ∈
lang r2)
by (erule-tac Posix-elims(5)) (auto simp add: L-fst-simp[symmetric])

then have s1 ∈ r1 → (snd (simp r1) v1) s2 ∈ r2 → (snd (simp r2) v2)
using IH1 IH2 by auto
then show s ∈ Times r1 r2 → snd (simp (Times r1 r2)) v using eqs
NOne-NOne
by(auto intro: Posix-Times)
qed
qed (simp-all)

```

```

lemma slexer-correctness:
shows slexer r s = lexer r s
proof(induct s arbitrary: r)
case Nil
show slexer r [] = lexer r [] by simp
next
case (Cons c s r)
have IH: ∀ r. slexer r s = lexer r s by fact

```

```

show slexer r (c # s) = lexer r (c # s)
proof (cases s ∈ lang (deriv c r))
  case True
    assume a1: s ∈ lang (deriv c r)
    then obtain v1 where a2: lexer (deriv c r) s = Some v1 s ∈ deriv c r →
      v1
      using lexer-correct-Some by auto
      from a1 have s ∈ lang (fst (simp (deriv c r))) using L-fst-simp[symmetric]
      by auto
      then obtain v2 where a3: lexer (fst (simp (deriv c r))) s = Some v2 s ∈
        (fst (simp (deriv c r))) → v2
        using lexer-correct-Some by auto
        then have a4: slexer (fst (simp (deriv c r))) s = Some v2 using IH by
          simp
        from a3(2) have s ∈ deriv c r → (snd (simp (deriv c r))) v2 using
          Posix-simp by auto
        with a2(2) have v1 = (snd (simp (deriv c r))) v2 using Posix-determ by
          auto
        with a2(1) a4 show slexer r (c # s) = lexer r (c # s) by (auto split:
          prod.split)
      next
      case False
        assume b1: s ∉ lang (deriv c r)
        then have lexer (deriv c r) s = None using lexer-correct-None by auto
        moreover
        from b1 have s ∉ lang (fst (simp (deriv c r))) using L-fst-simp[symmetric]
        by auto
        then have lexer (fst (simp (deriv c r))) s = None using lexer-correct-None
        by auto
        then have slexer (fst (simp (deriv c r))) s = None using IH by simp
        ultimately show slexer r (c # s) = lexer r (c # s)
        by (simp del: slexer.simps add: slexer-better-simp)
      qed
    qed
  end

theory Positions3
  imports Lexer3 LexicalVals3
begin

```

26 An alternative definition for POSIX values by Okui & Suzuki

27 Positions in Values

```

fun
  at :: 'a val ⇒ nat list ⇒ 'a val

```

```

where
  at v [] = v
| at (Left v) (0#ps)= at v ps
| at (Right v) (Suc 0#ps)= at v ps
| at (Seq v1 v2) (0#ps)= at v1 ps
| at (Seq v1 v2) (Suc 0#ps)= at v2 ps
| at (Stars vs) (n#ps) = at (nth vs n) ps
| at (Recv l v) ps = at v ps

fun Pos :: 'a val  $\Rightarrow$  (nat list) set
where
  Pos (Void) = {}
| Pos (Atm c) = {}
| Pos (Left v) = {}  $\cup$  {0#ps | ps. ps  $\in$  Pos v}
| Pos (Right v) = {}  $\cup$  {1#ps | ps. ps  $\in$  Pos v}
| Pos (Seq v1 v2) = {}  $\cup$  {0#ps | ps. ps  $\in$  Pos v1}  $\cup$  {1#ps | ps. ps  $\in$  Pos v2}
| Pos (Stars []) = {}
| Pos (Stars (v#vs)) = {}  $\cup$  {0#ps | ps. ps  $\in$  Pos v}  $\cup$  {Suc n#ps | n ps. n#ps
 $\in$  Pos (Stars vs)}
| Pos (Recv l v) = {}  $\cup$  {ps . ps  $\in$  Pos v}

lemma Pos-stars:
  Pos (Stars vs) = {}  $\cup$  ( $\bigcup$  n < length vs. {n#ps | ps. ps  $\in$  Pos (vs ! n)})
apply(induct vs)
apply(auto simp add: insert-ident less-Suc-eq-0-disj)
done

lemma Pos-empty:
shows []  $\in$  Pos v
by (induct v rule: Pos.induct)(auto)

abbreviation
  intlen vs  $\equiv$  int (length vs)

definition pflat-len :: 'a val  $\Rightarrow$  nat list  $=>$  int
where
  pflat-len v p  $\equiv$  (if p  $\in$  Pos v then intlen (flat (at v p)) else -1)

lemma pflat-len-simps:
shows pflat-len (Seq v1 v2) (0#p) = pflat-len v1 p
and pflat-len (Seq v1 v2) (Suc 0#p) = pflat-len v2 p
and pflat-len (Left v) (0#p) = pflat-len v p
and pflat-len (Left v) (Suc 0#p) = -1
and pflat-len (Right v) (Suc 0#p) = pflat-len v p
and pflat-len (Right v) (0#p) = -1

```

```

and pflat-len (Stars (v#vs)) (Suc n#p) = pflat-len (Stars vs) (n#p)
and pflat-len (Stars (v#vs)) (0#p) = pflat-len v p
and pflat-len (Recv l v) p = pflat-len v p
and pflat-len v [] = intlen (flat v)
apply (auto simp add: pflat-len-def Pos-empty)
by (metis at.simps(7) neq-Nil-conv)

```

```

lemma pflat-len-Stars-simps:
  assumes n < length vs
  shows pflat-len (Stars vs) (n#p) = pflat-len (vs!n) p
using assms
apply(induct vs arbitrary: n p)
apply(auto simp add: less-Suc-eq-0-disj pflat-len-simps)
done

lemma pflat-len-outside:
  assumes p ∉ Pos v1
  shows pflat-len v1 p = -1
using assms by (simp add: pflat-len-def)

```

28 Orderings

definition prefix-list:: 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool (‐ ⊑ pre → [60,59] 60)

where

$$ps1 \sqsubseteq pre ps2 \equiv \exists ps'. ps1 @ps' = ps2$$

definition sprefix-list:: 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool (‐ ⊓ spre → [60,59] 60)

where

$$ps1 \sqsubset spre ps2 \equiv ps1 \sqsubseteq pre ps2 \wedge ps1 \neq ps2$$

inductive lex-list :: nat list ⇒ nat list ⇒ bool (‐ ⊓ lex → [60,59] 60)

where

$$\begin{aligned} & [] \sqsubseteq lex (p\#ps) \\ | \quad & ps1 \sqsubseteq lex ps2 \implies (p\#ps1) \sqsubseteq lex (p\#ps2) \\ | \quad & p1 < p2 \implies (p1\#ps1) \sqsubseteq lex (p2\#ps2) \end{aligned}$$

lemma lex-irrfl:

fixes ps1 ps2 :: nat list

assumes ps1 ⊑ lex ps2

shows ps1 ≠ ps2

using assms

by(induct rule: lex-list.induct)(auto)

lemma lex-simps [simp]:

fixes xs ys :: nat list

shows [] ⊑ lex ys ↔ ys ≠ []

and xs ⊑ lex [] ↔ False

and (x # xs) ⊑ lex (y # ys) ↔ (x < y ∨ (x = y ∧ xs ⊑ lex ys))

```
by (auto simp add: neq-Nil-conv elim: lex-list.cases intro: lex-list.intros)
```

```
lemma lex-trans:
  fixes ps1 ps2 ps3 :: nat list
  assumes ps1 ⊑lex ps2 ps2 ⊑lex ps3
  shows ps1 ⊑lex ps3
using assms
by (induct arbitrary: ps3 rule: lex-list.induct)
  (auto elim: lex-list.cases)
```

```
lemma lex-trichotomous:
  fixes p q :: nat list
  shows p = q ∨ p ⊑lex q ∨ q ⊑lex p
apply(induct p arbitrary: q)
apply(auto elim: lex-list.cases)
apply(case-tac q)
apply(auto)
done
```

29 POSIX Ordering of Values According to Okui & Suzuki

```
definition PosOrd:: 'a val ⇒ nat list ⇒ 'a val ⇒ bool (‐ ⊑val → [60, 60, 59]
60)
```

where

```
v1 ⊑val p v2 ≡ pflat-len v1 p > pflat-len v2 p ∧
  ( ∀ q ∈ Pos v1 ∪ Pos v2. q ⊑lex p → pflat-len v1 q = pflat-len v2
q )
```

```
lemma PosOrd-def2:
  shows v1 ⊑val p v2 ↔
    pflat-len v1 p > pflat-len v2 p ∧
    ( ∀ q ∈ Pos v1. q ⊑lex p → pflat-len v1 q = pflat-len v2 q ) ∧
    ( ∀ q ∈ Pos v2. q ⊑lex p → pflat-len v1 q = pflat-len v2 q )
```

unfolding PosOrd-def

apply(auto)

done

```
definition PosOrd-ex:: 'a val ⇒ 'a val ⇒ bool (‐ ⊑:val → [60, 59] 60)
```

where

```
v1 :⊑val v2 ≡ ∃ p. v1 ⊑val p v2
```

```
definition PosOrd-ex-eq:: 'a val ⇒ 'a val ⇒ bool (‐ ⊑:val → [60, 59] 60)
```

where

```
v1 :⊑val v2 ≡ v1 :⊑val v2 ∨ v1 = v2
```

```

lemma PosOrd-trans:
  assumes v1 : $\sqsubseteq$ val v2 v2 : $\sqsubseteq$ val v3
  shows v1 : $\sqsubseteq$ val v3
proof -
  from assms obtain p p'
    where as: v1  $\sqsubseteq$ val p v2 v2  $\sqsubseteq$ val p' v3 unfolding PosOrd-ex-def by blast
  then have pos: p  $\in$  Pos v1 p'  $\in$  Pos v2 unfolding PosOrd-def pflat-len-def
    by (metis (full-types) int-ops(2) not-int-zless-negative verit-comp-simplify1(1))
      (metis PosOrd-def2 as(2) int-ops(2) not-int-zless-negative order-less-irrefl
pflat-len-def)
  have p = p'  $\vee$  p  $\sqsubseteq$ lex p'  $\vee$  p'  $\sqsubseteq$ lex p
    by (rule lex-trichotomous)
  moreover
  { assume p = p'
    with as have v1  $\sqsubseteq$ val p v3 unfolding PosOrd-def pflat-len-def
      by (smt (verit, best) UnCI)
    then have v1 : $\sqsubseteq$ val v3 unfolding PosOrd-ex-def by blast
  }
  moreover
  { assume p  $\sqsubseteq$ lex p'
    with as have v1  $\sqsubseteq$ val p v3 unfolding PosOrd-def pflat-len-def
      by (smt (verit, best) UnCI lex-trans)
    then have v1 : $\sqsubseteq$ val v3 unfolding PosOrd-ex-def by blast
  }
  moreover
  { assume p'  $\sqsubseteq$ lex p
    with as have v1  $\sqsubseteq$ val p' v3 unfolding PosOrd-def
      by (smt (verit, best) Un-iff lex-trans pflat-len-def)
    then have v1 : $\sqsubseteq$ val v3 unfolding PosOrd-ex-def by blast
  }
  ultimately show v1 : $\sqsubseteq$ val v3 by blast
qed

lemma PosOrd-irrefl:
  assumes v : $\sqsubseteq$ val v
  shows False
using assms unfolding PosOrd-ex-def PosOrd-def
by auto

lemma PosOrd-assym:
  assumes v1 : $\sqsubseteq$ val v2
  shows  $\neg(v2 : $\sqsubseteq$ val v1)$ 
using assms
using PosOrd-irrefl PosOrd-trans by blast

```

lemma PosOrd-ordering:

```

shows ordering ( $\lambda v1 v2. v1 : \sqsubseteq val v2$ ) ( $\lambda v1 v2. v1 : \sqsubseteq val v2$ )
unfolding ordering-def PosOrd-ex-eq-def
apply(auto)
using PosOrd-trans partial-preordering-def apply blast
using PosOrd-assym ordering-axioms-def by blast

lemma PosOrd-order:
shows class.order ( $\lambda v1 v2. v1 : \sqsubseteq val v2$ ) ( $\lambda v1 v2. v1 : \sqsubseteq val v2$ )
using PosOrd-ordering
apply(simp add: class.order-def class.preorder-def class.order-axioms-def)
by (smt (verit) PosOrd-ex-eq-def PosOrd-irrefl PosOrd-trans)

lemma PosOrd-ex-eq2:
shows  $v1 : \sqsubseteq val v2 \longleftrightarrow (v1 : \sqsubseteq val v2 \wedge v1 \neq v2)$ 
using PosOrd-ordering
using PosOrd-ex-eq-def PosOrd-irrefl by blast

lemma PosOrdeq-trans:
assumes  $v1 : \sqsubseteq val v2 v2 : \sqsubseteq val v3$ 
shows  $v1 : \sqsubseteq val v3$ 
using assms PosOrd-ordering
unfolding ordering-def
by (metis partial-preordering.trans)

lemma PosOrdeq-antisym:
assumes  $v1 : \sqsubseteq val v2 v2 : \sqsubseteq val v1$ 
shows  $v1 = v2$ 
using assms PosOrd-ordering
by (metis ordering.eq-iff)

lemma PosOrdeq-refl:
shows  $v : \sqsubseteq val v$ 
unfolding PosOrd-ex-eq-def
by auto

lemma PosOrd-shorterE:
assumes  $v1 : \sqsubseteq val v2$ 
shows  $length(flat v2) \leq length(flat v1)$ 
using assms unfolding PosOrd-ex-def PosOrd-def
apply(auto)
apply(case-tac p)
apply(simp add: pflat-len-simps)
apply(drule-tac x=[] in bspec)
apply(simp add: Pos-empty)
apply(simp add: pflat-len-simps)
done

```

```

lemma PosOrd-shorterI:
  assumes length (flat v2) < length (flat v1)
  shows v1 : $\sqsubseteq$ val v2
  unfolding PosOrd-ex-def PosOrd-def pflat-len-def
  using assms Pos-empty by force

lemma PosOrd-spreI:
  assumes flat v'  $\sqsubseteq$ spre flat v
  shows v : $\sqsubseteq$ val v'
  using assms
  apply(rule-tac PosOrd-shorterI)
  unfolding prefix-list-def sprefix-list-def
  by (metis append-Nil2 append-eq-conv-conj drop-all le-less-linear)

lemma pflat-len-inside:
  assumes pflat-len v2 p < pflat-len v1 p
  shows p  $\in$  Pos v1
  using assms
  unfolding pflat-len-def
  by (auto split: if-splits)

lemma PosOrd-Rec-eq:
  assumes flat v1 = flat v2
  shows Recv l v1 : $\sqsubseteq$ val Recv l v2  $\longleftrightarrow$  v1 : $\sqsubseteq$ val v2
  unfolding PosOrd-ex-def PosOrd-def2
  using assms
  apply(auto)
  apply (simp add: pflat-len-simps(10))
  apply (metis pflat-len-simps(9))
  by (metis pflat-len-simps(10) pflat-len-simps(9))

lemma PosOrd-Left-Right:
  assumes flat v1 = flat v2
  shows Left v1 : $\sqsubseteq$ val Right v2
  unfolding PosOrd-ex-def
  apply(rule-tac x=[0] in exI)
  apply(auto simp add: PosOrd-def pflat-len-simps assms)
  done

lemma PosOrd-LeftE:
  assumes Left v1 : $\sqsubseteq$ val Left v2 flat v1 = flat v2
  shows v1 : $\sqsubseteq$ val v2
  using assms
  unfolding PosOrd-ex-def PosOrd-def2
  apply(auto simp add: pflat-len-simps)
  apply(frule pflat-len-inside)
  apply(auto simp add: pflat-len-simps)
  by (metis lex-simps(3) pflat-len-simps(3))

```

```

lemma PosOrd-LeftI:
  assumes v1 : $\sqsubseteq$ val v2 flat v1 = flat v2
  shows Left v1 : $\sqsubseteq$ val Left v2
  using assms
  unfolding PosOrd-ex-def PosOrd-def2
  apply(auto simp add: pflat-len-simps)
  by (metis less-numeral-extra(3) lex-simps(3) pflat-len-simps(3))

lemma PosOrd-Left-eq:
  assumes flat v1 = flat v2
  shows Left v1 : $\sqsubseteq$ val Left v2  $\longleftrightarrow$  v1 : $\sqsubseteq$ val v2
  using assms PosOrd-LeftE PosOrd-LeftI
  by blast

lemma PosOrd-RightE:
  assumes Right v1 : $\sqsubseteq$ val Right v2 flat v1 = flat v2
  shows v1 : $\sqsubseteq$ val v2
  using assms
  unfolding PosOrd-ex-def PosOrd-def2
  apply(auto simp add: pflat-len-simps)
  apply(frule pflat-len-inside)
  apply(auto simp add: pflat-len-simps)
  by (metis lex-simps(3) pflat-len-simps(5))

lemma PosOrd-RightI:
  assumes v1 : $\sqsubseteq$ val v2 flat v1 = flat v2
  shows Right v1 : $\sqsubseteq$ val Right v2
  using assms
  unfolding PosOrd-ex-def PosOrd-def2
  apply(auto simp add: pflat-len-simps)
  by (metis lex-simps(3) nat-neq-iff pflat-len-simps(5))

lemma PosOrd-Right-eq:
  assumes flat v1 = flat v2
  shows Right v1 : $\sqsubseteq$ val Right v2  $\longleftrightarrow$  v1 : $\sqsubseteq$ val v2
  using assms PosOrd-RightE PosOrd-RightI
  by blast

lemma PosOrd-SeqI1:
  assumes v1 : $\sqsubseteq$ val w1 flat (Seq v1 v2) = flat (Seq w1 w2)
  shows Seq v1 v2 : $\sqsubseteq$ val Seq w1 w2
  using assms(1)
  apply(subst (asm) PosOrd-ex-def)
  apply(subst (asm) PosOrd-def)
  apply(clarify)
  apply(subst PosOrd-ex-def)

```

```

apply(rule-tac x=0#p in exI)
apply(subst PosOrd-def)
apply(rule conjI)
apply(simp add: pflat-len-simps)
apply(rule ballI)
apply(rule impI)
apply(simp only: Pos.simps)
apply(auto)[1]
apply(simp add: pflat-len-simps)
apply(auto simp add: pflat-len-simps)
using assms(2)
apply(simp)
apply(metis length-append of-nat-add)
done

lemma PosOrd-SeqI2:
assumes v2 : val w2 flat v2 = flat w2
shows Seq v v2 : val Seq v w2
using assms(1)
apply(subst (asm) PosOrd-ex-def)
apply(subst (asm) PosOrd-def)
apply(clarify)
apply(subst PosOrd-ex-def)
apply(rule-tac x=Suc 0#p in exI)
apply(subst PosOrd-def)
apply(rule conjI)
apply(simp add: pflat-len-simps)
apply(rule ballI)
apply(rule impI)
apply(simp only: Pos.simps)
apply(auto)[1]
apply(simp add: pflat-len-simps)
using assms(2)
apply(simp)
apply(auto simp add: pflat-len-simps)
done

lemma PosOrd-Seq-eq:
assumes flat v2 = flat w2
shows (Seq v v2) : val (Seq v w2)  $\longleftrightarrow$  v2 : val w2
using assms
apply(auto)
prefer 2
apply(simp add: PosOrd-SeqI2)
apply(simp add: PosOrd-ex-def)
apply(auto)
apply(case-tac p)
apply(simp add: PosOrd-def pflat-len-simps)
apply(case-tac a)

```

```

apply(simp add: PosOrd-def pflat-len-simps)
apply(clarify)
apply(case-tac nat)
prefer 2
apply(simp add: PosOrd-def pflat-len-simps pflat-len-outside)
apply(rule-tac x=list in exI)
apply(auto simp add: PosOrd-def2 pflat-len-simps)
apply(smt (verit) Collect-disj-eq lex-list.intros(2) mem-Collect-eq pflat-len-simps(2))
apply(smt (verit) Collect-disj-eq lex-list.intros(2) mem-Collect-eq pflat-len-simps(2))
done

lemma PosOrd-StarsI:
assumes v1 : val v2 flats (v1#vs1) = flats (v2#vs2)
shows Stars (v1#vs1) : val Stars (v2#vs2)
using assms(1)
apply(subst (asm) PosOrd-ex-def)
apply(subst (asm) PosOrd-def)
apply(clarify)
apply(subst PosOrd-ex-def)
apply(subst PosOrd-def)
apply(rule-tac x=0#p in exI)
apply(simp add: pflat-len-Stars-simps pflat-len-simps)
using assms(2)
apply(simp add: pflat-len-simps)
apply(auto simp add: pflat-len-Stars-simps pflat-len-simps)
by (metis length-append of-nat-add)

lemma PosOrd-StarsI2:
assumes Stars vs1 : val Stars vs2 flats vs1 = flats vs2
shows Stars (v#vs1) : val Stars (v#vs2)
using assms(1)
apply(subst (asm) PosOrd-ex-def)
apply(subst (asm) PosOrd-def)
apply(clarify)
apply(subst PosOrd-ex-def)
apply(subst PosOrd-def)
apply(case-tac p)
apply(simp add: pflat-len-simps)
apply(rule-tac x=Suc a#list in exI)
apply(auto simp add: pflat-len-Stars-simps pflat-len-simps assms(2))
done

lemma PosOrd-Stars-appendI:
assumes Stars vs1 : val Stars vs2 flat (Stars vs1) = flat (Stars vs2)
shows Stars (vs @ vs1) : val Stars (vs @ vs2)
using assms
apply(induct vs)

```

```

apply(simp)
apply(simp add: PosOrd-StarsI2)
done

lemma PosOrd-StarsE2:
  assumes Stars (v # vs1) : val Stars (v # vs2)
  shows Stars vs1 : val Stars vs2
using assms
apply(subst (asm) PosOrd-ex-def)
apply(erule exE)
apply(case-tac p)
apply(simp)
apply(simp add: PosOrd-def pflat-len-simps)
apply(subst PosOrd-ex-def)
apply(rule-tac x=[] in exI)
apply(simp add: PosOrd-def pflat-len-simps Pos-empty)
apply(simp)
apply(case-tac a)
apply(clarify)
apply(auto simp add: pflat-len-simps PosOrd-def pflat-len-def split: if-splits)[1]
apply(clarify)
apply(simp add: PosOrd-ex-def)
apply(rule-tac x=nat#list in exI)
apply(auto simp add: PosOrd-def pflat-len-simps)[1]
apply(case-tac q)
apply(simp add: PosOrd-def pflat-len-simps)
apply(clarify)
apply(drule-tac x=Suc a # lista in bspec)
apply(simp)
apply(auto simp add: PosOrd-def pflat-len-simps)[1]
apply(case-tac q)
apply(simp add: PosOrd-def pflat-len-simps)
apply(clarify)
apply(drule-tac x=Suc a # lista in bspec)
apply(simp)
apply(auto simp add: PosOrd-def pflat-len-simps)[1]
done

lemma PosOrd-Stars-appendE:
  assumes Stars (vs @ vs1) : val Stars (vs @ vs2)
  shows Stars vs1 : val Stars vs2
using assms
apply(induct vs)
apply(simp)
apply(simp add: PosOrd-StarsE2)
done

lemma PosOrd-Stars-append-eq:
  assumes flats vs1 = flats vs2

```

```

shows Stars (vs @ vs1) : $\sqsubseteq$  val Stars (vs @ vs2)  $\longleftrightarrow$  Stars vs1 : $\sqsubseteq$  val Stars vs2
using assms
apply(rule-tac iffI)
apply(erule PosOrd-Stars-appendE)
apply(rule PosOrd-Stars-appendI)
apply(auto)
done

lemma PosOrd-Stars-equalsI:
assumes flats vs1 = flats vs2 length vs1 = length vs2
and list-all2 ( $\lambda v1\ v2.\ v1 : \sqsubseteq$  val v2) vs1 vs2
shows Stars vs1 : $\sqsubseteq$  val Stars vs2
using assms(3) assms(2,1)
apply(induct rule: list-all2-induct)
apply (simp add: PosOrdeq-refl)
apply(case-tac Stars (x # xs) = Stars (y # ys))
apply (simp add: PosOrdeq-refl)
apply(case-tac x = y)
apply(subgoal-tac Stars xs : $\sqsubseteq$  val Stars ys)
apply (simp add: PosOrd-StarsI2 PosOrd-ex-eq-def)
apply (simp add: PosOrd-ex-eq2)
by (meson PosOrd-StarsI PosOrd-ex-eq-def)

lemma PosOrd-almost-trichotomous:
shows v1 : $\sqsubseteq$  val v2  $\vee$  v2 : $\sqsubseteq$  val v1  $\vee$  (length (flat v1) = length (flat v2))
apply(auto simp add: PosOrd-ex-def)
apply(auto simp add: PosOrd-def)
apply(rule-tac x=[] in exI)
apply(auto simp add: Pos-empty pflat-len-simps)
apply(drule-tac x=[] in spec)
apply(auto simp add: Pos-empty pflat-len-simps)
done

```

30 The Posix Value is smaller than any other lexical value

```

lemma Posix-PosOrd:
assumes s ∈ r → v1 v2 ∈ LV r s
shows v1 : $\sqsubseteq$  val v2
using assms
proof (induct arbitrary: v2 rule: Posix.induct)
case (Posix-One v)
have v ∈ LV One [] by fact
then have v = Void
by (simp add: LV-simps)
then show Void : $\sqsubseteq$  val v
by (simp add: PosOrd-ex-eq-def)
next

```

```

case (Posix-Atom c v)
have v ∈ LV (Atom c) [c] by fact
then have v = Atm c
  by (simp add: LV-simps)
then show Atm c : $\sqsubseteq$ val v
  by (simp add: PosOrd-ex-eq-def)
next
case (Posix-Plus1 s r1 v r2 v2)
have as1: s ∈ r1 → v by fact
have IH:  $\bigwedge v2. v2 \in LV r1 s \implies v : \sqsubseteq$ val v2 by fact
have v2 ∈ LV (Plus r1 r2) s by fact
then have ⊢ v2 : Plus r1 r2 flat v2 = s
  by(auto simp add: LV-def prefix-list-def)
then consider
  (Left) v3 where v2 = Left v3 ⊢ v3 : r1 flat v3 = s
  | (Right) v3 where v2 = Right v3 ⊢ v3 : r2 flat v3 = s
  by (auto elim: Prf.cases)
then show Left v : $\sqsubseteq$ val v2
proof(cases)
  case (Left v3)
  have v3 ∈ LV r1 s using Left(2,3)
    by (auto simp add: LV-def prefix-list-def)
  with IH have v : $\sqsubseteq$ val v3 by simp
  moreover
  have flat v3 = flat v using as1 Left(3)
    by (simp add: Posix1(2))
  ultimately have Left v : $\sqsubseteq$ val Left v3
    by (simp add: PosOrd-ex-eq-def PosOrd-Left-eq)
  then show Left v : $\sqsubseteq$ val v2 unfolding Left .
next
case (Right v3)
have flat v3 = flat v using as1 Right(3)
  by (simp add: Posix1(2))
then have Left v : $\sqsubseteq$ val Right v3
  unfolding PosOrd-ex-eq-def
  by (simp add: PosOrd-Left-Right)
then show Left v : $\sqsubseteq$ val v2 unfolding Right .
qed
next
case (Posix-Plus2 s r2 v r1 v2)
have as1: s ∈ r2 → v by fact
have as2: s ∉ lang r1 by fact
have IH:  $\bigwedge v2. v2 \in LV r2 s \implies v : \sqsubseteq$ val v2 by fact
have v2 ∈ LV (Plus r1 r2) s by fact
then have ⊢ v2 : Plus r1 r2 flat v2 = s
  by(auto simp add: LV-def prefix-list-def)
then consider
  (Left) v3 where v2 = Left v3 ⊢ v3 : r1 flat v3 = s
  | (Right) v3 where v2 = Right v3 ⊢ v3 : r2 flat v3 = s

```

```

by (auto elim: Prf.cases)
then show Right v :≤val v2
proof (cases)
case (Right v3)
have v3 ∈ LV r2 s using Right(2,3)
  by (auto simp add: LV-def prefix-list-def)
with IH have v :≤val v3 by simp
moreover
have flat v3 = flat v using as1 Right(3)
  by (simp add: Posix1(2))
ultimately have Right v :≤val Right v3
  by (auto simp add: PosOrd-ex-eq-def PosOrd-RightI)
then show Right v :≤val v2 unfolding Right .
next
case (Left v3)
have v3 ∈ LV r1 s using Left(2,3) as2
  by (auto simp add: LV-def prefix-list-def)
then have flat v3 = flat v ∧ v3 : r1 using as1 Left(3)
  by (simp add: Posix1(2) LV-def)
then have False using as1 as2 Left
  using Prf-flat-lang by blast
then show Right v :≤val v2 by simp
qed
next
case (Posix-Times s1 r1 v1 s2 r2 v2 v3)
have s1 ∈ r1 → v1 s2 ∈ r2 → v2 by fact+
then have as1: s1 = flat v1 s2 = flat v2 by (simp-all add: Posix1(2))
have IH1: ∏v3. v3 ∈ LV r1 s1 ⇒ v1 :≤val v3 by fact
have IH2: ∏v3. v3 ∈ LV r2 s2 ⇒ v2 :≤val v3 by fact
have cond: ¬ (∃s3 s4. s3 ≠ [] ∧ s3 @ s4 = s2 ∧ s1 @ s3 ∈ lang r1 ∧ s4 ∈ lang r2) by fact
have v3 ∈ LV (Times r1 r2) (s1 @ s2) by fact
then obtain v3a v3b where eqs:
v3 = Seq v3a v3b ⊢ v3a : r1 ⊢ v3b : r2
flat v3a @ flat v3b = s1 @ s2
  by (force simp add: prefix-list-def LV-def elim: Prf.cases)
with cond have flat v3a ≤pre s1 unfolding prefix-list-def
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) Prf-flat-lang append.right-neutral append-eq-append-conv2)
then have flat v3a ≤pre s1 ∨ (flat v3a = s1 ∧ flat v3b = s2) using eqs
  by (simp add: sprefix-list-def append-eq-conv-conj)
then have q2: v1 :≤val v3a ∨ (flat v3a = s1 ∧ flat v3b = s2)
    using PosOrd-spreI as1(1) eqs by blast
then have v1 :≤val v3a ∨ (v3a ∈ LV r1 s1 ∧ v3b ∈ LV r2 s2) using eqs(2,3)
  by (auto simp add: LV-def)
then have v1 :≤val v3a ∨ (v1 :≤val v3a ∧ v2 :≤val v3b) using IH1 IH2 by blast
then have Seq v1 v2 :≤val Seq v3a v3b using eqs q2 as1
  unfolding PosOrd-ex-eq-def by (auto simp add: PosOrd-SeqI1 PosOrd-Seq-eq)

```

```

then show Seq v1 v2 : $\sqsubseteq_{val}$  v3 unfolding eqs by blast
next
  case (Posix-Star1 s1 r v s2 vs v3)
  have s1 ∈ r → v s2 ∈ Star r → Stars vs by fact+
  then have as1: s1 = flat v s2 = flat (Stars vs) by (auto dest: Posix1(2))
  have IH1:  $\bigwedge v3. v3 \in LV \ r \ s1 \implies v : \sqsubseteq_{val} v3$  by fact
  have IH2:  $\bigwedge v3. v3 \in LV \ (Star \ r) \ s2 \implies Stars \ vs : \sqsubseteq_{val} v3$  by fact
  have cond:  $\neg (\exists s3 \ s4. s3 \neq [] \wedge s3 @ s4 = s2 \wedge s1 @ s3 \in lang \ r \wedge s4 \in lang (Star \ r))$  by fact
  have cond2: flat v ≠ [] by fact
  have v3 ∈ LV (Star r) (s1 @ s2) by fact
  then consider
    (NonEmpty) v3a vs3 where v3 = Stars (v3a # vs3)
    ⊢ v3a : r ⊢ Stars vs3 : Star r
    flat (Stars (v3a # vs3)) = s1 @ s2
    | (Empty) v3 = Stars []
    unfolding LV-def
    apply(auto)
    apply(erule Prf-elims)
    by (metis NonEmpty Prf.intros(6) list.set-intros(1) list.set-intros(2) neq-Nil-conv)
    then show Stars (v # vs) : $\sqsubseteq_{val}$  v3
    proof (cases)
      case (NonEmpty v3a vs3)
      have flat (Stars (v3a # vs3)) = s1 @ s2 using NonEmpty(4) .
      with cond have flat v3a  $\sqsubseteq_{pre}$  s1 using NonEmpty(2,3)
      unfolding prefix-list-def
      by (smt (verit) Prf-flat-lang append.right-neutral append-eq-append-conv2
          flat.simps(7))
      then have flat v3a  $\sqsubseteq_{pre}$  s1 ∨ (flat v3a = s1 ∧ flat (Stars vs3) = s2) using
      NonEmpty(4)
      by (simp add: sprefix-list-def append-eq-conv-conj)
      then have q2: v : $\sqsubseteq_{val}$  v3a ∨ (flat v3a = s1 ∧ flat (Stars vs3) = s2)
      using PosOrd-spreI as1(1) NonEmpty(4) by blast
      then have v : $\sqsubseteq_{val}$  v3a ∨ (v3a ∈ LV r s1 ∧ Stars vs3 ∈ LV (Star r) s2)
      using NonEmpty(2,3) by (auto simp add: LV-def)
      then have v : $\sqsubseteq_{val}$  v3a ∨ (v : $\sqsubseteq_{val}$  v3a ∧ Stars vs : $\sqsubseteq_{val}$  Stars vs3) using IH1
      IH2 by blast
      then have v : $\sqsubseteq_{val}$  v3a ∨ (v = v3a ∧ Stars vs : $\sqsubseteq_{val}$  Stars vs3)
      unfolding PosOrd-ex-eq-def by auto
      then have Stars (v # vs) : $\sqsubseteq_{val}$  Stars (v3a # vs3) using NonEmpty(4) q2
      as1
      unfolding PosOrd-ex-eq-def
      using PosOrd-StarsI PosOrd-StarsI2
      by (metis flat.simps(7) flat-Stars val.inject(5))
      then show Stars (v # vs) : $\sqsubseteq_{val}$  v3 unfolding NonEmpty by blast
    next
    case Empty
    have v3 = Stars [] by fact
    then show Stars (v # vs) : $\sqsubseteq_{val}$  v3
  
```

```

unfolding PosOrd-ex-eq-def using cond2
  by (simp add: PosOrd-shorterI)
qed
next
  case (Posix-Star2 r v2)
  have v2 ∈ LV (Star r) [] by fact
  then have v2 = Stars []
    unfolding LV-def by (auto elim: Prf.cases)
  then show Stars [] :≤ val v2
    by (simp add: PosOrd-ex-eq-def)
next
  case (Posix-NTimes2 vs r n v2)
  have IH: ∀ v∈set vs. [] ∈ r → v ∧ (∀ x. x ∈ LV r [] → v :≤ val x) by fact
  then have flats vs = []
    by (metis Posix.Posix-NTimes2 Posix1(2) flat-Stars)
  have v2 ∈ LV (NTimes r n) [] by fact
  then obtain vs' where eq: v2 = Stars vs' and length vs' = n and as: ∀ v ∈
  set vs'. v ∈ LV r [] ∧ flat v = []
    unfolding LV-def by (auto elim!: Prf-elims)
  then have Stars vs :≤ val Stars vs'
    apply(rule-tac PosOrd-Stars-equalsI)
    apply (simp add: flats vs = [])
    using Posix-NTimes2.hyps(2) apply blast
    using IH apply(simp add: list-all2-iff)
    apply(auto)
    using Posix-NTimes2.hyps(2) apply blast
    by (meson in-set-zipE)
  then show Stars vs :≤ val v2 using eq by simp
next
  case (Posix-NTimes1 s1 r v s2 n vs)
  have s1 ∈ r → v s2 ∈ NTimes r n → Stars vs by fact+
  then have as1: s1 = flat v s2 = flat (Stars vs) by (auto dest: Posix1(2))
  have IH1: ∃ v3. v3 ∈ LV r s1 ⇒ v :≤ val v3 by fact
  have IH2: ∃ v3. v3 ∈ LV (NTimes r n) s2 ⇒ Stars vs :≤ val v3 by fact
  have cond: ∃ s3 s4. s3 ≠ [] ∧ s3 @ s4 = s2 ∧ s1 @ s3 ∈ lang r ∧ s4 ∈ lang
  (NTimes r n)) by fact
  have cond2: flat v ≠ [] by fact
  have v2 ∈ LV (NTimes r (n + 1)) (s1 @ s2) by fact
  then consider
    (NonEmpty) v3a vs3 where v2 = Stars (v3a # vs3)
    ⊢ v3a : r ⊢ Stars vs3 : NTimes r n
    flat (Stars (v3a # vs3)) = s1 @ s2
  | (Empty) v2 = Stars []
    unfolding LV-def
    apply(auto)
    apply(erule Prf-elims)
    apply(case-tac vs1)
    apply(simp add: as1(1) cond2 flats-empty)
    apply(simp)

```

```

using Prf.simps apply fastforce
done
then show Stars (v # vs) :≤val v2
proof (cases)
  case (NonEmpty v3a vs3)
  have flat (Stars (v3a # vs3)) = s1 @ s2 using NonEmpty(4) .
  with cond have flat v3a ≤pre s1 using NonEmpty(2,3)
    unfolding prefix-list-def
    by (smt (verit) Prf-flat-lang append.right-neutral append-eq-append-conv2
flat.simps(7))
  then have flat v3a ⊑spre s1 ∨ (flat v3a = s1 ∧ flat (Stars vs3) = s2) using
NonEmpty(4)
    by (simp add: sprefix-list-def append-eq-conv-conj)
  then have q2: v :≤val v3a ∨ (flat v3a = s1 ∧ flat (Stars vs3) = s2)
    using PosOrd-spreI as1(1) NonEmpty(4) by blast
  then have v :≤val v3a ∨ (v3a ∈ LV r s1 ∧ Stars vs3 ∈ LV (NTimes r n)
s2)
    using NonEmpty(2,3) by (auto simp add: LV-def)
  then have v :≤val v3a ∨ (v :≤val v3a ∧ Stars vs :≤val Stars vs3) using IH1
IH2 by blast
  then have v :≤val v3a ∨ (v = v3a ∧ Stars vs :≤val Stars vs3)
    unfolding PosOrd-ex-eq-def by auto
  then have Stars (v # vs) :≤val Stars (v3a # vs3) using NonEmpty(4) q2
as1
  unfolding PosOrd-ex-eq-def
  using PosOrd-StarsI PosOrd-StarsI2
  by (metis flat.simps(7) flat-Stars val.inject(5))
  then show Stars (v # vs) :≤val v2 unfolding NonEmpty by blast
next
case Empty
have v2 = Stars [] by fact
then show Stars (v # vs) :≤val v2
unfolding PosOrd-ex-eq-def using cond2
by (simp add: PosOrd-shorterI)
qed
next
case (Posix-Upto1 s1 r v s2 n vs v3)
have s1 ∈ r → v s2 ∈ Upto r n → Stars vs by fact+
then have as1: s1 = flat v s2 = flat (Stars vs) by (auto dest: Posix1(2))
have IH1: ∪v3. v3 ∈ LV r s1 ⇒ v :≤val v3 by fact
have IH2: ∪v3. v3 ∈ LV (Upto r n) s2 ⇒ Stars vs :≤val v3 by fact
have cond: ¬ (∃s3 s4. s3 ≠ [] ∧ s3 @ s4 = s2 ∧ s1 @ s3 ∈ lang r ∧ s4 ∈ lang
(Upto r n)) by fact
have cond2: flat v ≠ [] by fact
have v3 ∈ LV (Upto r (n + 1)) (s1 @ s2) by fact
then consider
  (NonEmpty) v3a vs3 where v3 = Stars (v3a # vs3)
  ⊢ v3a : r ⊢ Stars vs3 : Upto r n
  flat (Stars (v3a # vs3)) = s1 @ s2

```

```

| (Empty)  $v_3 = \text{Stars} []$ 
unfolding LV-def
apply(auto)
apply(erule Prf-elims)
apply(case-tac vs)
apply(auto)
by (simp add: Prf.intros(8))
then show Stars ( $v \# vs$ ) : $\sqsubseteq_{\text{val}}$   $v_3$ 
proof (cases)
  case (NonEmpty  $v_3a$   $vs_3$ )
    have flat (Stars ( $v_3a \# vs_3$ )) =  $s_1 @ s_2$  using NonEmpty(4) .
    with cond have flat  $v_3a \sqsubseteq_{\text{pre}} s_1$  using NonEmpty(2,3)
      unfolding prefix-list-def
      by (smt (verit) Prf-flat-lang append.right-neutral append-eq-append-conv2
flat.simps(7))
      then have flat  $v_3a \sqsubseteq_{\text{spre}} s_1 \vee (\text{flat } v_3a = s_1 \wedge \text{flat } (\text{Stars } vs_3) = s_2)$  using
NonEmpty(4)
      by (simp add: sprefix-list-def append-eq-conv-conj)
      then have  $q_2: v : \sqsubseteq_{\text{val}} v_3a \vee (\text{flat } v_3a = s_1 \wedge \text{flat } (\text{Stars } vs_3) = s_2)$ 
using PosOrd-spreI as1(1) NonEmpty(4) by blast
      then have  $v : \sqsubseteq_{\text{val}} v_3a \vee (v_3a \in \text{LV } r s_1 \wedge \text{Stars } vs_3 \in \text{LV } (\text{Upto } r n) s_2)$ 
using NonEmpty(2,3)
      by (auto simp add: LV-def)
      then have  $v : \sqsubseteq_{\text{val}} v_3a \vee (v : \sqsubseteq_{\text{val}} v_3a \wedge \text{Stars } vs : \sqsubseteq_{\text{val}} \text{Stars } vs_3)$  using IH1
IH2 by blast
      then have  $v : \sqsubseteq_{\text{val}} v_3a \vee (v = v_3a \wedge \text{Stars } vs : \sqsubseteq_{\text{val}} \text{Stars } vs_3)$ 
      unfolding PosOrd-ex-eq-def by auto
      then have Stars ( $v \# vs$ ) : $\sqsubseteq_{\text{val}}$  Stars ( $v_3a \# vs_3$ ) using NonEmpty(4) q2
as1
      unfolding PosOrd-ex-eq-def
      using PosOrd-StarsI PosOrd-StarsI2
      by (metis flat.simps(7) flat-Stars val.inject(5))
      then show Stars ( $v \# vs$ ) : $\sqsubseteq_{\text{val}}$   $v_3$  unfolding NonEmpty by blast
next
  case Empty
  have  $v_3 = \text{Stars} []$  by fact
  then show Stars ( $v \# vs$ ) : $\sqsubseteq_{\text{val}}$   $v_3$ 
  unfolding PosOrd-ex-eq-def using cond2
  by (simp add: PosOrd-shorterI)
qed
next
  case (Posix-Upto2  $r n v_2$ )
  have  $v_2 \in \text{LV } (\text{Upto } r n) []$  by fact
  then have  $v_2 = \text{Stars} []$ 
  unfolding LV-def by (auto elim: Prf.cases)
  then show Stars [] : $\sqsubseteq_{\text{val}}$   $v_2$ 
  by (simp add: PosOrd-ex-eq-def)
next
  case (Posix-From2  $vs r n$ )

```

```

then show Stars vs : $\sqsubseteq_{\text{val}}$  v2
  apply(simp add: LV-def)
    apply(auto)
  apply(erule Prf-elims)
    apply(auto)
  apply(rule PosOrd-Stars-equalsI)
  apply (metis Posix1(2) flats-empty)
    apply(simp)
  apply(auto simp add: list-all2-iff)
  apply (meson set-zip-leftD set-zip-rightD)
  done

next
  case (Posix-From1 s1 r v s2 n vs v3)
  have s1 ∈ r → v s2 ∈ From r (n - 1) → Stars vs by fact+
  then have as1: s1 = flat v s2 = flats vs by (auto dest: Posix1(2))
  have IH1:  $\bigwedge v3. v3 \in LV \text{ } r \text{ } s1 \implies v : \sqsubseteq_{\text{val}} v3$  by fact
  have IH2:  $\bigwedge v3. v3 \in LV \text{ } (From \text{ } r \text{ } (n - 1)) \text{ } s2 \implies Stars \text{ } vs : \sqsubseteq_{\text{val}} v3$  by fact
  have cond:  $\neg (\exists s3 \text{ } s4. s3 \neq [] \wedge s3 @ s4 = s2 \wedge s1 @ s3 \in lang \text{ } r \wedge s4 \in lang \text{ } (From \text{ } r \text{ } (n - 1)))$  by fact
  have cond2: flat v ≠ [] by fact
  have v3 ∈ LV (From r n) (s1 @ s2) by fact
  then consider
    (NonEmpty) v3a vs3 where v3 = Stars (v3a # vs3)
    ⊢ v3a : r ⊢ Stars vs3 : From r (n - 1)
    flats (v3a # vs3) = s1 @ s2
    | (Empty) v3 = Stars []
    unfolding LV-def
    apply(auto)
    apply(erule Prf.cases)
      apply(auto)
    apply(case-tac vs1)
    apply(auto intro: Prf.intros)
    apply(case-tac vs2)
    apply(auto intro: Prf.intros)
    apply (simp add: as1(1) cond2 flats-empty)
    apply (simp add: Prf.intros)
    apply(case-tac vs)
    apply(auto)
  by (metis Posix-From1.hyps(6) Prf.intros(10) Suc-le-eq Suc-pred less-Suc-eq-le)
  then show Stars (v # vs) : $\sqsubseteq_{\text{val}}$  v3
    proof (cases)
      case (NonEmpty v3a vs3)
      have flats (v3a # vs3) = s1 @ s2 using NonEmpty(4) .
      with cond have flat v3a  $\sqsubseteq_{\text{pre}}$  s1 using NonEmpty(2,3)
      unfolding prefix-list-def
        by (smt (verit) Prf.flat-lang append.right-neutral append-eq-append-conv2
          flat.simps(7)
          flat-Stars)
      then have flat v3a  $\sqsubseteq_{\text{spre}}$  s1 ∨ (flat v3a = s1 ∧ flat (Stars vs3) = s2) using

```

```

NonEmpty(4)
  by (simp add: sprefix-list-def append-eq-conv-conj)
  then have q2:  $v : \sqsubseteq val v3a \vee (\text{flat } v3a = s1 \wedge \text{flat } (\text{Stars } vs3) = s2)$ 
    using PosOrd-spreI as1(1) NonEmpty(4) by blast
  then have  $v : \sqsubseteq val v3a \vee (v3a \in LV r s1 \wedge \text{Stars } vs3 \in LV (\text{From } r (n - 1)) s2)$ 
    using NonEmpty(2,3) by (auto simp add: LV-def)
  then have  $v : \sqsubseteq val v3a \vee (v : \sqsubseteq val v3a \wedge \text{Stars } vs : \sqsubseteq val \text{Stars } vs3)$  using IH1
  IH2 by blast
  then have  $v : \sqsubseteq val v3a \vee (v = v3a \wedge \text{Stars } vs : \sqsubseteq val \text{Stars } vs3)$ 
    unfolding PosOrd-ex-eq-def by auto
  then have Stars(v # vs) :  $\sqsubseteq val \text{Stars } (v3a \# vs3)$  using NonEmpty(4) q2
  as1
    unfolding PosOrd-ex-eq-def
  by (metis PosOrd-StarsI PosOrd-StarsI2 flat.simps(7) flat-Stars val.inject(5))
  then show Stars(v # vs) :  $\sqsubseteq val v3$  unfolding NonEmpty by blast
next
case Empty
have v3 = Stars [] by fact
then show Stars(v # vs) :  $\sqsubseteq val v3$ 
  unfolding PosOrd-ex-eq-def using cond2
  by (simp add: PosOrd-shorterI)
qed
next
case (Posix-From3 s1 r v s2 vs v3)
have s1 ∈ r → v s2 ∈ Star r → Stars vs by fact+
then have as1:  $s1 = \text{flat } v s2 = \text{flat } (\text{Stars } vs)$  by (auto dest: Posix1(2))
have IH1:  $\bigwedge v3. v3 \in LV r s1 \implies v : \sqsubseteq val v3$  by fact
have IH2:  $\bigwedge v3. v3 \in LV (\text{Star } r) s2 \implies \text{Stars } vs : \sqsubseteq val v3$  by fact
have cond:  $\neg (\exists s3 s4. s3 \neq [] \wedge s3 @ s4 = s2 \wedge s1 @ s3 \in lang r \wedge s4 \in lang (\text{Star } r))$  by fact
have cond2:  $\text{flat } v \neq []$  by fact
have v3 ∈ LV(From r 0)(s1 @ s2) by fact
then consider
  (NonEmpty) v3a vs3 where v3 = Stars(v3a # vs3)
  | v3a : r ⊢ Stars vs3 : Star r
    flat(Stars(v3a # vs3)) = s1 @ s2
  | (Empty) v3 = Stars []
    unfolding LV-def
    apply(auto)
    apply(erule Prf.cases)
    apply(auto)
    apply(case-tac vs)
    apply(auto intro: Prf.intros)
    done
  then show Stars(v # vs) :  $\sqsubseteq val v3$ 
  proof(cases)
    case (NonEmpty v3a vs3)
      have flat(Stars(v3a # vs3)) = s1 @ s2 using NonEmpty(4) .

```

```

with cond have flat v3a ⊑pre s1 using NonEmpty(2,3)
  unfolding prefix-list-def
  by (smt (verit) Prf-flat-lang append.right-neutral append-eq-append-conv2
       flat.simps(7))
then have flat v3a ⊑spre s1 ∨ (flat v3a = s1 ∧ flat (Stars vs3) = s2) using
NonEmpty(4)
  by (simp add: sprefix-list-def append-eq-conv-conj)
then have q2: v :⊑val v3a ∨ (flat v3a = s1 ∧ flat (Stars vs3) = s2)
  using PosOrd-spreI as1(1) NonEmpty(4) by blast
then have v :⊑val v3a ∨ (v3a ∈ LV r s1 ∧ Stars vs3 ∈ LV (Star r) s2)
  using NonEmpty(2,3) by (auto simp add: LV-def)
then have v :⊑val v3a ∨ (v :⊑val v3a ∧ Stars vs :⊑val Stars vs3) using IH1
IH2 by blast
then have v :⊑val v3a ∨ (v = v3a ∧ Stars vs :⊑val Stars vs3)
  unfolding PosOrd-ex-eq-def by auto
then have Stars (v # vs) :⊑val Stars (v3a # vs3) using NonEmpty(4) q2
as1
  unfolding PosOrd-ex-eq-def
by (metis PosOrd-StarsI PosOrd-StarsI2 flat.simps(7) flat-Stars val.inject(5))
then show Stars (v # vs) :⊑val v3 unfolding NonEmpty by blast
next
case Empty
have v3 = Stars [] by fact
then show Stars (v # vs) :⊑val v3
  unfolding PosOrd-ex-eq-def using cond2
  by (simp add: PosOrd-shorterI)
qed
next
case (Posix-Rec s r v l v2)
then show Recv l v :⊑val v2
  by (smt (verit, del-insts) LV-def LV-simps(6) PosOrd-Rec-eq PosOrd-ex-eq-def
      Posix1(2) mem-Collect-eq)
next
case (Posix-Cset c cs v)
have v ∈ LV (Charset cs) [c] by fact
then have v = Atm c ∨ False
  apply(case-tac c ∈ cs)
  by(auto simp add: LV-simps)
then show Atm c :⊑val v
  by (simp add: PosOrd-ex-eq-def)
qed

```

```

lemma Posix-PosOrd-reverse:
assumes s ∈ r → v1
shows ¬(∃ v2 ∈ LV r s. v2 :⊑val v1)
using assms
by (metis Posix-PosOrd less-irrefl PosOrd-def
      PosOrd-ex-eq-def PosOrd-ex-def PosOrd-trans)

```

```

lemma PosOrd-Posix:
  assumes v1 ∈ LV r s ∀ v2 ∈ LV r s. ¬ v2 : ⊑ val v1
  shows s ∈ r → v1
proof –
  have s ∈ lang r using assms(1) unfolding LV-def
    using Prf-flat-lang by blast
  then obtain vposix where vp: s ∈ r → vposix
    using lexer-correct-Some by blast
  with assms(1) have vposix : ⊑ val v1 by (simp add: Posix-PosOrd)
  then have vposix = v1 ∨ vposix : ⊑ val v1 unfolding PosOrd-ex-eq2 by auto
  moreover
    { assume vposix : ⊑ val v1
      moreover
        have vposix ∈ LV r s using vp
          using Posix-LV by blast
          ultimately have False using assms(2) by blast
    }
    ultimately show s ∈ r → v1 using vp by blast
  qed

lemma Least-existence:
  assumes LV r s ≠ {}
  shows ∃ vmin ∈ LV r s. ∀ v ∈ LV r s. vmin : ⊑ val v
proof –
  from assms
  obtain vposix where s ∈ r → vposix
  unfolding LV-def
  using Prf-flat-lang lexer-correct-Some by blast
  then have ∀ v ∈ LV r s. vposix : ⊑ val v
    by (simp add: Posix-PosOrd)
  then show ∃ vmin ∈ LV r s. ∀ v ∈ LV r s. vmin : ⊑ val v
    using Posix-LV ⟨s ∈ r → vposix⟩ by blast
  qed

lemma Least-existence1:
  assumes LV r s ≠ {}
  shows ∃! vmin ∈ LV r s. ∀ v ∈ LV r s. vmin : ⊑ val v
  using Least-existence[OF assms] assms
  using PosOrdeq-antisym by blast

lemma Least-existence2:
  assumes LV r s ≠ {}
  shows ∃!vmin ∈ LV r s. lexer r s = Some vmin ∧ (∀ v ∈ LV r s. vmin : ⊑ val v)
  using Least-existence[OF assms] assms
  using PosOrdeq-antisym
  using PosOrd-Posix PosOrd-ex-eq2 lexer-correctness(1)
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))

```

```

lemma Least-existence1-pre:
  assumes LV r s ≠ {}
  shows ∃!vmin ∈ LV r s. ∀ v ∈ (LV r s ∪ {v'. flat v' ⊑ spre s}). vmin :≤ val v
  using Least-existence[OF assms] assms
  apply –
  apply(erule bexE)
  apply(rule-tac a=vmin in exI)
  apply(auto)[1]
  apply (metis PosOrd-Posix PosOrd-ex-eq2 PosOrd-spreI PosOrdeq-antisym Posix1(2))
  apply(auto)[1]
  apply(simp add: PosOrdeq-antisym)
  done

lemma PosOrd-partial:
  shows partial-order-on UNIV {(v1, v2). v1 :≤ val v2}
  apply(simp add: partial-order-on-def)
  apply(simp add: preorder-on-def refl-on-def)
  apply(simp add: PosOrdeq-refl)
  apply(auto)
  apply(rule transI)
  apply(auto intro: PosOrdeq-trans)[1]
  apply(rule antisymI)
  apply(simp add: PosOrdeq-antisym)
  done

lemma PosOrd-wf:
  shows wf {(v1, v2). v1 :≤ val v2 ∧ v1 ∈ LV r s ∧ v2 ∈ LV r s}
  proof –
    have finite {(v1, v2). v1 ∈ LV r s ∧ v2 ∈ LV r s}
      by (simp add: LV-finite)
    moreover
    have {(v1, v2). v1 :≤ val v2 ∧ v1 ∈ LV r s ∧ v2 ∈ LV r s} ⊆ {(v1, v2). v1 ∈ LV r s ∧ v2 ∈ LV r s}
      by auto
    ultimately have finite {(v1, v2). v1 :≤ val v2 ∧ v1 ∈ LV r s ∧ v2 ∈ LV r s}
      using finite-subset by blast
    moreover
    have acyclicP (λv1 v2. v1 :≤ val v2 ∧ v1 ∈ LV r s ∧ v2 ∈ LV r s)
      unfolding acyclic-def
      by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) PosOrd-irrefl PosOrd-trans tranclp-trans-induct
        tranclp-unfold)
    ultimately show wf {(v1, v2). v1 :≤ val v2 ∧ v1 ∈ LV r s ∧ v2 ∈ LV r s}
      using finite-acyclic-wf by blast
  qed

unused-thms

end

```

References

- [1] S. Okui and T. Suzuki. Disambiguation in Regular Expression Matching via Position Automata with Augmented Transitions. In *Proc. of the 15th International Conference on Implementation and Application of Automata (CIAA)*, volume 6482 of *LNCS*, pages 231–240, 2010.
- [2] M. Sulzmann and K. Lu. POSIX Regular Expression Parsing with Derivatives. In *Proc. of the 12th International Conference on Functional and Logic Programming (FLOPS)*, volume 8475 of *LNCS*, pages 203–220, 2014.