

# Executable multivariate polynomials

Christian Sternagel and René Thiemann and Fabian Immler and Alexander Maletzky\*

March 17, 2025

## Abstract

We define multivariate polynomials over arbitrary (ordered) semirings in combination with (executable) operations like addition, multiplication, and substitution. We also define (weak) monotonicity of polynomials and comparison of polynomials where we provide standard estimations like absolute positiveness or the more recent approach of [3]. Moreover, it is proven that strongly normalizing (monotone) orders can be lifted to strongly normalizing (monotone) orders over polynomials.

Our formalization was performed as part of the IsaFoR/CeTA-system [5]<sup>1</sup> which contains several termination techniques. The provided theories have been essential to formalize polynomial-interpretations [1, 2].

This formalization also contains an abstract representation as coefficient functions with finite support and a type of power-products. If this type is ordered by a linear (term) ordering, various additional notions, such as leading power-product, leading coefficient etc., are introduced as well. Furthermore, a lot of generic properties of, and functions on, multivariate polynomials are formalized, including the substitution and evaluation homomorphisms, embeddings of polynomial rings into larger rings (i.e. with one additional indeterminate), homogenization and dehomogenization of polynomials, and the canonical isomorphism between  $R[X, Y]$  and  $R[X][Y]$ .

## Contents

<b>1 Utilities</b>	<b>7</b>
1.1 Lists . . . . .	8
1.2 Sums and Products . . . . .	9
<b>2 An abstract type for multivariate polynomials</b>	<b>10</b>
2.1 Abstract type definition . . . . .	10
2.2 Additive structure . . . . .	10

---

\*Supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): grant no. W1214-N15, project DK1

<sup>1</sup><http://cl-informatik.uibk.ac.at/software/ceta>

2.3	Multiplication by a coefficient . . . . .	11
2.4	Multiplicative structure . . . . .	11
2.5	Monomials . . . . .	12
2.6	Constants and Indeterminates . . . . .	14
2.7	Integral domains . . . . .	14
2.8	Monom coefficient lookup . . . . .	14
2.9	Insertion morphism . . . . .	15
2.10	Degree . . . . .	16
2.11	Pseudo-division of polynomials . . . . .	16
2.12	Primitive poly, etc . . . . .	18
<b>3</b>	<b>MPoly Mapping extenion</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>MPoly extension</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Nested MPoly</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Abstract Power-Products</b>	<b>25</b>
6.1	Constant <i>Keys</i> . . . . .	26
6.2	Constant <i>except</i> . . . . .	27
6.3	'Divisibility' on Additive Structures . . . . .	29
6.4	Dickson Classes . . . . .	34
6.5	Additive Linear Orderings . . . . .	37
6.6	Ordered Power-Products . . . . .	39
6.7	Functions as Power-Products . . . . .	42
6.7.1	' <i>a</i> $\Rightarrow$ ' <i>b</i> belongs to class <i>comm-powerprod</i> . . . . .	43
6.7.2	' <i>a</i> $\Rightarrow$ ' <i>b</i> belongs to class <i>ninv-comm-monoid-add</i> . . . . .	43
6.7.3	' <i>a</i> $\Rightarrow$ ' <i>b</i> belongs to class <i>lcs-powerprod</i> . . . . .	43
6.7.4	' <i>a</i> $\Rightarrow$ ' <i>b</i> belongs to class <i>ulcs-powerprod</i> . . . . .	45
6.7.5	Power-products in a given set of indeterminates . . . . .	45
6.7.6	Dickson's lemma for power-products in finitely many indeterminates . . . . .	46
6.7.7	Lexicographic Term Order . . . . .	46
6.7.8	Degree . . . . .	47
6.7.9	General Degree-Orders . . . . .	48
6.7.10	Degree-Lexicographic Term Order . . . . .	49
6.7.11	Degree-Reverse-Lexicographic Term Order . . . . .	50
6.8	Type <i>poly-mapping</i> . . . . .	51
6.8.1	' <i>a</i> $\Rightarrow_0$ ' <i>b</i> belongs to class <i>comm-powerprod</i> . . . . .	52
6.8.2	' <i>a</i> $\Rightarrow_0$ ' <i>b</i> belongs to class <i>ninv-comm-monoid-add</i> . . . . .	52
6.8.3	' <i>a</i> $\Rightarrow_0$ ' <i>b</i> belongs to class <i>lcs-powerprod</i> . . . . .	52
6.8.4	' <i>a</i> $\Rightarrow_0$ ' <i>b</i> belongs to class <i>ulcs-powerprod</i> . . . . .	52
6.8.5	Power-products in a given set of indeterminates. . . . .	52

6.8.6	Dickson's lemma for power-products in finitely many indeterminates . . . . .	53
6.8.7	Lexicographic Term Order . . . . .	54
6.8.8	Degree . . . . .	55
6.8.9	General Degree-Orders . . . . .	55
6.8.10	Degree-Lexicographic Term Order . . . . .	56
6.8.11	Degree-Reverse-Lexicographic Term Order . . . . .	57
<b>7</b>	<b>Modules over Commutative Rings</b>	<b>58</b>
7.1	Submodules Spanned by Sets of Module-Elements . . . . .	58
<b>8</b>	<b>Ideals over Commutative Rings</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Type-Class-Multivariate Polynomials</b>	<b>61</b>
9.1	<i>keys</i> . . . . .	62
9.2	Monomials . . . . .	62
9.3	Vector-Polynomials . . . . .	64
9.3.1	Additive Structure of Terms . . . . .	65
9.3.2	Projections and Conversions . . . . .	69
9.4	Scalar Multiplication by Monomials . . . . .	71
9.5	Component-wise Lifting . . . . .	74
9.6	Component-wise Multiplication . . . . .	75
9.7	Scalar Multiplication . . . . .	76
9.8	Sums and Products . . . . .	79
9.9	Submodules . . . . .	80
9.10	Interpretations . . . . .	85
9.10.1	Isomorphism between ' <i>a</i> ' and ' <i>a</i> × unit' . . . . .	85
9.10.2	Interpretation of <i>term-powerprod</i> by ' <i>a</i> × ' <i>k</i> ' . . . . .	86
9.10.3	Simplifier Setup . . . . .	86
<b>10</b>	<b>Type-Class-Multivariate Polynomials in Ordered Terms</b>	<b>87</b>
10.1	Interpretations . . . . .	89
10.1.1	Unit . . . . .	89
10.2	Definitions . . . . .	89
10.3	Leading Term and Leading Coefficient: <i>lt</i> and <i>lc</i> . . . . .	90
10.4	Trailing Term and Trailing Coefficient: <i>tt</i> and <i>tc</i> . . . . .	94
10.5	<i>higher</i> and <i>lower</i> . . . . .	96
10.6	<i>tail</i> . . . . .	99
10.7	Order Relation on Polynomials . . . . .	101
10.8	Monomials . . . . .	104
10.9	Lists of Keys . . . . .	105
10.10	Multiplication . . . . .	106
10.11	<i>dgrad-p-set</i> and <i>dgrad-p-set-le</i> . . . . .	108
10.12	Dickson's Lemma for Sequences of Terms . . . . .	111

10.13	Well-foundedness . . . . .	112
10.14	More Interpretations . . . . .	114
10.15	TODO: move! . . . . .	115
10.16	Utilities . . . . .	115
10.17	Implementation of Polynomial Mappings as Association Lists	116
10.17.1	Constructors . . . . .	117
<b>11</b>	<b>Executable Representation of Polynomial Mappings as Association Lists</b>	<b>118</b>
11.1	Power Products . . . . .	118
11.1.1	Computations . . . . .	119
11.2	Implementation of Multivariate Polynomials as Association Lists . . . . .	120
11.2.1	Unordered Power-Products . . . . .	120
11.2.2	restore constructor view . . . . .	121
11.2.3	Ordered Power-Products . . . . .	123
11.3	Computations . . . . .	124
11.3.1	Scalar Polynomials . . . . .	124
11.3.2	Vector-Polynomials . . . . .	126
11.4	Code setup for type MPoly . . . . .	128
11.5	<i>lookup-pp</i> , <i>keys-pp</i> and <i>single-pp</i> . . . . .	129
11.6	Additive Structure . . . . .	129
11.7	' <i>a</i> $\Rightarrow_0$ ' <i>b</i> belongs to class <i>comm-powerprod</i> . . . . .	130
11.8	' <i>a</i> $\Rightarrow_0$ ' <i>b</i> belongs to class <i>ninv-comm-monoid-add</i> . . . . .	130
11.9	('i <i>a</i> , ' <i>b</i> ) <i>pp</i> belongs to class <i>lcs-powerprod</i> . . . . .	131
11.10	('i <i>a</i> , ' <i>b</i> ) <i>pp</i> belongs to class <i>ulcs-powerprod</i> . . . . .	131
11.11	Dickson's lemma for power-products in finitely many indeterminates . . . . .	131
11.12	Lexicographic Term Order . . . . .	131
11.13	Degree . . . . .	133
11.14	Degree-Lexicographic Term Order . . . . .	133
11.15	Degree-Reverse-Lexicographic Term Order . . . . .	134
<b>12</b>	<b>Associative Lists with Sorted Keys</b>	<b>135</b>
12.1	Preliminaries . . . . .	135
12.2	Type <i>key-order</i> . . . . .	136
12.3	Invariant in Context <i>comparator</i> . . . . .	137
12.4	Operations on Lists of Pairs in Context <i>comparator</i> . . . . .	139
12.4.1	<i>lookup-pair</i> . . . . .	141
12.4.2	<i>update-by-pair</i> . . . . .	142
12.4.3	<i>update-by-fun-pair</i> and <i>update-by-fun-gr-pair</i> . . . . .	143
12.4.4	<i>map-pair</i> . . . . .	144
12.4.5	<i>map2-val-pair</i> . . . . .	145
12.4.6	<i>lex-ord-pair</i> . . . . .	146

12.4.7	<i>prod-ord-pair</i>	147
12.4.8	<i>sort-oalist</i>	148
12.5	Invariant on Pairs	149
12.6	Operations on Raw Ordered Associative Lists	149
12.6.1	<i>sort-oalist-aux</i>	150
12.6.2	<i>lookup-raw</i>	151
12.6.3	<i>sorted-domain-raw</i>	151
12.6.4	<i>tl-raw</i>	151
12.6.5	<i>min-key-val-raw</i>	152
12.6.6	<i>filter-raw</i>	152
12.6.7	<i>update-by-raw</i>	152
12.6.8	<i>update-by-fun-raw</i> and <i>update-by-fun-gr-raw</i>	153
12.6.9	<i>map-raw</i> and <i>map-val-raw</i>	153
12.6.10	<i>map2-val-raw</i>	154
12.6.11	<i>lex-ord-raw</i>	156
12.6.12	<i>prod-ord-raw</i>	156
12.6.13	<i>oalist-eq-raw</i>	157
12.6.14	<i>sort-oalist-raw</i>	157
12.7	Fundamental Operations on One List	157
12.7.1	Invariant	159
12.7.2	<i>lookup</i>	159
12.7.3	<i>sorted-domain</i>	159
12.7.4	<i>local.empty</i> and <i>Singletons</i>	159
12.7.5	<i>reorder</i>	160
12.7.6	<i>local.hd</i> and <i>local.tl</i>	160
12.7.7	<i>min-key-val</i>	160
12.7.8	<i>except-min</i>	161
12.7.9	<i>local.insert</i>	161
12.7.10	<i>update-by-fun</i> and <i>update-by-fun-gr</i>	161
12.7.11	<i>local.filter</i>	162
12.7.12	<i>map2-val-neutr</i>	162
12.7.13	<i>oalist-eq</i>	162
12.8	Fundamental Operations on Three Lists	162
12.8.1	<i>map-val</i>	163
12.8.2	<i>map2-val</i> and <i>map2-val-rneutr</i>	163
12.8.3	<i>lex-ord</i> and <i>prod-ord</i>	164
12.9	Type <i>oalist</i>	165
12.10	Type <i>oalist-tc</i>	167
12.10.1	<i>OAList-tc-lookup</i>	169
12.10.2	<i>OAList-tc-sorted-domain</i>	170
12.10.3	<i>OAList-tc-empty</i> and <i>Singletons</i>	170
12.10.4	<i>OAList-tc-except-min</i>	170
12.10.5	<i>OAList-tc-min-key-val</i>	170
12.10.6	<i>OAList-tc-insert</i>	171

12.10.7	<i>Oalist-tc-update-by-fun</i> and <i>Oalist-tc-update-by-fun-gr</i>	171
12.10.8	<i>Oalist-tc-filter</i>	171
12.10.9	<i>Oalist-tc-map-val</i>	172
12.10.10	<i>Oalist-tc-map2-val</i> <i>Oalist-tc-map2-val-rneutr</i> and <i>Oalist-tc-map2-val-neutr</i>	172
12.10.11	<i>Oalist-tc-lex-ord</i> and <i>Oalist-tc-prod-ord</i>	173
12.10.12	Instance of <i>equal</i>	174
12.11	Experiment	174
<b>13</b>	<b>Ordered Associative Lists for Polynomials</b>	<b>175</b>
<b>14</b>	<b>Computable Term Orders</b>	<b>182</b>
14.1	Type Class <i>nat</i>	182
14.2	Term Orders	184
14.2.1	Type Classes	184
14.2.2	<i>LEX</i> , <i>DRLEX</i> , <i>DEG</i> and <i>POT</i>	190
14.2.3	Equality of Term Orders	191
<b>15</b>	<b>Executable Representation of Polynomial Mappings as Association Lists</b>	<b>195</b>
15.1	Power-Products Represented by <i>oalist-tc</i>	195
15.1.1	Constructor	196
15.1.2	Computations	196
15.2	<i>MP-oalist</i>	198
15.2.1	Special case of addition: adding monomials	200
15.2.2	Constructors	200
15.2.3	Changing the Internal Order	201
15.2.4	Ordered Power-Products	201
15.3	Interpretations	203
15.4	Computations	204
15.5	Code setup for type MPoly	206
<b>16</b>	<b>Quasi-Poly-Mapping Power-Products</b>	<b>207</b>
<b>17</b>	<b>Multivariate Polynomials with Power-Products Represented by Polynomial Mappings</b>	<b>210</b>
17.1	Degree	210
17.2	Indeterminates	213
17.2.1	<i>indets</i>	213
17.2.2	<i>PPs</i>	215
17.2.3	<i>Polys</i>	217
17.3	Substitution Homomorphism	219
17.4	Evaluating Polynomials	223
17.5	Replacing Indeterminates	224

17.6	Homogeneity . . . . .	226
17.6.1	Homogenization and Dehomogenization . . . . .	231
17.7	Embedding Polynomial Rings in Larger Polynomial Rings (With One Additional Indeterminate) . . . . .	236
17.8	Canonical Isomorphisms between $P[X, Y]$ and $P[X][Y]$ : <i>focus</i> and <i>flatten</i> . . . . .	240
17.9	Locale <i>pm-powerprod</i> . . . . .	244
<b>18</b>	<b>Polynomials</b>	<b>247</b>
18.1	Polynomials represented as trees . . . . .	247
18.2	Polynomials represented in normal form as lists of monomials	248
18.3	Computing normal forms of polynomials . . . . .	254
18.4	Powers and substitutions of polynomials . . . . .	254
18.5	Polynomial orders . . . . .	256
18.6	Degree of polynomials . . . . .	260
18.7	Executable and sufficient criteria to compare polynomials and ensure monotonicity . . . . .	260
<b>19</b>	<b>Displaying Polynomials</b>	<b>265</b>
<b>20</b>	<b>Monotonicity criteria of Neurauter, Zankl, and Middeldorp</b>	<b>266</b>

## 1 Utilities

```

theory Utils
  imports Main Well-Quasi-Orders.Almost-Full-Relations
  begin

  lemma subset-imageE-inj:
    assumes  $B \subseteq f`A$ 
    obtains  $C$  where  $C \subseteq A$  and  $B = f`C$  and inj-on  $f C$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

  lemma wfP-chain:
    assumes  $\neg(\exists f. \forall i. r(f(Suc i)) (f i))$ 
    shows wfP  $r$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

  lemma transp-sequence:
    assumes transp  $r$  and  $\bigwedge i. r(seq(Suc i)) (seq i)$  and  $i < j$ 
    shows  $r(seq j) (seq i)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

  lemma almost-full-on-finite-subsetE:
    assumes reflP  $P$  and almost-full-on  $P S$ 
    obtains  $T$  where finite  $T$  and  $T \subseteq S$  and  $\bigwedge s. s \in S \implies (\exists t \in T. P t s)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

## 1.1 Lists

```

lemma map-upt: map (λi. f (xs ! i)) [0..<length xs] = map f xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-upt-zip:
  assumes length xs = length ys
  shows map (λi. f (xs ! i) (ys ! i)) [0..<length ys] = map (λ(x, y). f x y) (zip xs
  ys) (is ?l = ?r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma distinct-sorted-wrt-irrefl:
  assumes irreflp rel and transp rel and sorted-wrt rel xs
  shows distinct xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma distinct-sorted-wrt-imp-sorted-wrt-strict:
  assumes distinct xs and sorted-wrt rel xs
  shows sorted-wrt (λx y. rel x y ∧ ¬ x = y) xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sorted-wrt-distinct-set-unique:
  assumes antisymp rel
  assumes sorted-wrt rel xs distinct xs sorted-wrt rel ys distinct ys set xs = set ys
  shows xs = ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sorted-wrt-refl-nth-mono:
  assumes reflp P and sorted-wrt P xs and i ≤ j and j < length xs
  shows P (xs ! i) (xs ! j)
  ⟨proof⟩

fun merge-wrt :: ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list where
  merge-wrt - xs [] = xs|
  merge-wrt rel [] ys = ys|
  merge-wrt rel (x # xs) (y # ys) =
    (if x = y then
      y # (merge-wrt rel xs ys)
    else if rel x y then
      x # (merge-wrt rel xs (y # ys))
    else
      y # (merge-wrt rel (x # xs) ys)
    )

lemma set-merge-wrt: set (merge-wrt rel xs ys) = set xs ∪ set ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sorted-merge-wrt:
  assumes transp rel and ∀x y. x ≠ y ⇒ rel x y ∨ rel y x
  and sorted-wrt rel xs and sorted-wrt rel ys

```

**shows** sorted-wrt rel (merge-wrt rel xs ys)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** set-fold:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge x \in ys. \text{set}(f(g x) ys) = \text{set}(g x) \cup \text{set} ys$   
**shows**  $\text{set}(\text{fold } (\lambda x. f(g x)) xs ys) = (\bigcup x \in \text{set} xs. \text{set}(g x)) \cup \text{set} ys$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 1.2 Sums and Products

**lemma** additive-implies-homogenous:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge x y. f(x + y) = fx + ((f(y) :: 'a :: monoid-add)) :: 'b :: cancel-comm-monoid-add$   
**shows**  $f 0 = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** fun-sum-commute:

**assumes**  $f 0 = 0$  **and**  $\bigwedge x y. f(x + y) = fx + fy$   
**shows**  $f(\text{sum } g A) = (\sum a \in A. f(g a))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** fun-sum-commute-canc:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge x y. f(x + y) = fx + ((f y) :: 'a :: cancel-comm-monoid-add)$   
**shows**  $f(\text{sum } g A) = (\sum a \in A. f(g a))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** fun-sum-list-commute:

**assumes**  $f 0 = 0$  **and**  $\bigwedge x y. f(x + y) = fx + fy$   
**shows**  $f(\text{sum-list } xs) = \text{sum-list } (\text{map } f xs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** fun-sum-list-commute-canc:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge x y. f(x + y) = fx + ((f y) :: 'a :: cancel-comm-monoid-add)$   
**shows**  $f(\text{sum-list } xs) = \text{sum-list } (\text{map } f xs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** sum-set-up-to-eq-sum-list:  $(\sum i = m..< n. f i) = (\sum i \leftarrow [m..< n]. f i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** sum-list-up-to:  $(\sum i \leftarrow [0..<(length xs)]. f(xs ! i)) = (\sum x \leftarrow xs. f x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** sum-list-up-to-zip:

**assumes**  $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys$   
**shows**  $(\sum i \leftarrow [0..<(length ys)]. f(xs ! i) (ys ! i)) = (\sum (x, y) \leftarrow (\text{zip } xs \ ys). f x$   
 $y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** sum-list-zeroI:

**assumes**  $\text{set } xs \subseteq \{0\}$

```

shows sum-list xs = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma fun-prod-commute:
assumes f 1 = 1 and ⋀x y. f (x * y) = f x * f y
shows f (prod g A) = (Π a∈A. f (g a))
⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 2 An abstract type for multivariate polynomials

```

theory MPoly-Type
imports HOL-Library.Poly-Mapping
begin

```

### 2.1 Abstract type definition

```

typedef (overloaded) 'a mpoly =
UNIV :: ((nat ⇒₀ nat) ⇒₀ 'a::zero) set
morphisms mapping-of MPoly
⟨proof⟩

```

```
setup-lifting type-definition-mpoly
```

thm mapping-of-inverse thm mapping-of-inject thm mapping-of-induct thm mapping-of-cases	thm MPoly-inverse thm MPoly-inject thm MPoly-induct thm MPoly-cases
--	--

### 2.2 Additive structure

```

instantiation mpoly :: (zero) zero
begin

```

```

lift-definition zero-mpoly :: 'a mpoly
is 0 :: (nat ⇒₀ nat) ⇒₀ 'a ⟨proof⟩

```

```
instance ⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```

instantiation mpoly :: (monoid-add) monoid-add
begin

```

```

lift-definition plus-mpoly :: 'a mpoly ⇒ 'a mpoly ⇒ 'a mpoly
is Groups.plus :: ((nat ⇒₀ nat) ⇒₀ 'a) ⇒ - ⟨proof⟩

```

```

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

instance mpoly :: (comm-monoid-add) comm-monoid-add
  ⟨proof⟩

instantiation mpoly :: (cancel-comm-monoid-add) cancel-comm-monoid-add
begin

lift-definition minus-mpoly :: 'a mpoly ⇒ 'a mpoly ⇒ 'a mpoly
  is Groups.minus :: ((nat ⇒₀ nat) ⇒₀ 'a) ⇒ - ⟨proof⟩

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation mpoly :: (ab-group-add) ab-group-add
begin

lift-definition uminus-mpoly :: 'a mpoly ⇒ 'a mpoly
  is Groups.uminus :: ((nat ⇒₀ nat) ⇒₀ 'a) ⇒ - ⟨proof⟩

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 2.3 Multiplication by a coefficient

```

lift-definition smult :: 'a:{times,zero} ⇒ 'a mpoly ⇒ 'a mpoly
  is λa. Poly-Mapping.map (Groups.times a) :: ((nat ⇒₀ nat) ⇒₀ 'a) ⇒ - ⟨proof⟩

```

## 2.4 Multiplicative structure

```

instantiation mpoly :: (zero-neq-one) zero-neq-one
begin

```

```

lift-definition one-mpoly :: 'a mpoly
  is 1 :: ((nat ⇒₀ nat) ⇒₀ 'a) ⟨proof⟩

```

```

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

```

```

instantiation mpoly :: (semiring-0) semiring-0

```

```

begin

lift-definition times-mpoly :: 'a mpoly ⇒ 'a mpoly ⇒ 'a mpoly
  is Groups.times :: ((nat ⇒₀ nat) ⇒₀ 'a) ⇒ - ⟨proof⟩

instance
⟨proof⟩

end

instance mpoly :: (comm-semiring-0) comm-semiring-0
⟨proof⟩

instance mpoly :: (semiring-0-cancel) semiring-0-cancel
⟨proof⟩

instance mpoly :: (comm-semiring-0-cancel) comm-semiring-0-cancel
⟨proof⟩

instance mpoly :: (semiring-1) semiring-1
⟨proof⟩

instance mpoly :: (comm-semiring-1) comm-semiring-1
⟨proof⟩

instance mpoly :: (semiring-1-cancel) semiring-1-cancel
⟨proof⟩

```

```

instance mpoly :: (ring) ring
⟨proof⟩

instance mpoly :: (comm-ring) comm-ring
⟨proof⟩

instance mpoly :: (ring-1) ring-1
⟨proof⟩

instance mpoly :: (comm-ring-1) comm-ring-1
⟨proof⟩

```

## 2.5 Monomials

Terminology is not unique here, so we use the notions as follows: A "monomial" and a "coefficient" together give a "term". These notions are significant in connection with "leading", "leading term", "leading coefficient" and "leading monomial", which all rely on a monomial order.

```
lift-definition monom :: (nat ⇒₀ nat) ⇒ 'a::zero ⇒ 'a mpoly
```

```

is Poly-Mapping.single :: (nat  $\Rightarrow_0$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  - ⟨proof⟩

lemma mapping-of-monom [simp]:
mapping-of (monom m a) = Poly-Mapping.single m a
⟨proof⟩

lemma monom-zero [simp]:
monom 0 0 = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma monom-one [simp]:
monom 0 1 = 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma monom-add:
monom m (a + b) = monom m a + monom m b
⟨proof⟩

lemma monom-uminus:
monom m (- a) = - monom m a
⟨proof⟩

lemma monom-diff:
monom m (a - b) = monom m a - monom m b
⟨proof⟩

lemma monom-numeral [simp]:
monom 0 (numeral n) = numeral n
⟨proof⟩

lemma monom-of-nat [simp]:
monom 0 (of-nat n) = of-nat n
⟨proof⟩

lemma of-nat-monom:
of-nat = monom 0  $\circ$  of-nat
⟨proof⟩

lemma inj-monom [iff]:
inj (monom m)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mult-monom: monom x a * monom y b = monom (x + y) (a * b)
⟨proof⟩

instance mpoly :: (semiring-char-0) semiring-char-0
⟨proof⟩

instance mpoly :: (ring-char-0) ring-char-0

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma monom-of-int [simp]:  
  monom 0 (of-int k) = of-int k  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

## 2.6 Constants and Indeterminates

Embedding of indeterminates and constants in type-class polynomials, can be used as constructors.

```
definition Var0 :: 'a ⇒ ('a ⇒0 nat) ⇒0 'b::{one,zero} where  
  Var0 n ≡ Poly-Mapping.single (Poly-Mapping.single n 1) 1  
definition Const0 :: 'b ⇒ ('a ⇒0 nat) ⇒0 'b::zero where Const0 c ≡ Poly-Mapping.single  
  0 c
```

```
lemma Const0-one: Const0 1 = 1  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma Const0-numeral: Const0 (numeral x) = numeral x  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma Const0-minus: Const0 (− x) = − Const0 x  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma Const0-zero: Const0 0 = 0  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma Var0-power: Var0 v ^ n = Poly-Mapping.single (Poly-Mapping.single v n)  
1  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lift-definition Var::nat ⇒ 'b::{one,zero} mpoly is Var0  $\langle proof \rangle$   
lift-definition Const::'b::zero ⇒ 'b mpoly is Const0  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

## 2.7 Integral domains

```
instance mpoly :: (ring-no-zero-divisors) ring-no-zero-divisors  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
instance mpoly :: (ring-1-no-zero-divisors) ring-1-no-zero-divisors  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
instance mpoly :: (idom) idom  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

## 2.8 Monom coefficient lookup

```
definition coeff :: 'a::zero mpoly ⇒ (nat ⇒0 nat) ⇒ 'a  
where
```

$\text{coeff } p = \text{Poly-Mapping.lookup } (\text{mapping-of } p)$

## 2.9 Insertion morphism

**definition**  $\text{insertion-fun-natural} :: (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ((\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}) \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a::\text{comm-semiring-1}$   
**where**

$$\text{insertion-fun-natural } f p = (\sum m. p m * (\prod v. f v \wedge m v))$$

**definition**  $\text{insertion-fun} :: (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ((\text{nat} \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}) \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a::\text{comm-semiring-1}$   
**where**

$$\text{insertion-fun } f p = (\sum m. p m * (\prod v. f v \wedge \text{Poly-Mapping.lookup } m v))$$

N.b. have been unable to relate this to  $\text{insertion-fun-natural}$  using lifting!

**lift-definition**  $\text{insertion-aux} :: (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ((\text{nat} \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}) \Rightarrow_0 'a) \Rightarrow 'a::\text{comm-semiring-1}$   
**is**  $\text{insertion-fun } \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lift-definition**  $\text{insertion} :: (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ mpoly} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{comm-semiring-1}$   
**is**  $\text{insertion-aux } \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{aux}:$

$$\text{Poly-Mapping.lookup } f = (\lambda-. 0) \longleftrightarrow f = 0$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

**lemma**  $\text{insertion-trivial} [\text{simp}]:$

$$\text{insertion } (\lambda-. 0) p = \text{coeff } p 0$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

**lemma**  $\text{insertion-zero} [\text{simp}]:$

$$\text{insertion } f 0 = 0$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

**lemma**  $\text{insertion-fun-add}:$

**fixes**  $f p q$   
**shows**  $\text{insertion-fun } f (\text{Poly-Mapping.lookup } (p + q)) =$   
 $\quad \text{insertion-fun } f (\text{Poly-Mapping.lookup } p) +$   
 $\quad \text{insertion-fun } f (\text{Poly-Mapping.lookup } q)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{insertion-add}:$

$$\text{insertion } f (p + q) = \text{insertion } f p + \text{insertion } f q$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

**lemma**  $\text{insertion-one} [\text{simp}]:$

$$\text{insertion } f 1 = 1$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

**lemma**  $\text{insertion-fun-mult}:$

**fixes**  $f p q$   
**shows**  $\text{insertion-fun } f (\text{Poly-Mapping.lookup } (p * q)) =$   
 $\quad \text{insertion-fun } f (\text{Poly-Mapping.lookup } p) *$

*insertion-fun*  $f$  (*Poly-Mapping.lookup*  $q$ )  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *insertion-mult*:

*insertion*  $f$  ( $p * q$ ) = *insertion*  $f p * \text{insertion } f q$   
*{proof}*

## 2.10 Degree

**lift-definition** *degree* :: 'a::zero mpoly  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat

**is**  $\lambda p v. \text{Max} (\text{insert } 0 ((\lambda m. \text{Poly-Mapping.lookup } m v) ` \text{Poly-Mapping.keys } p))$   
*{proof}*

**lift-definition** *total-degree* :: 'a::zero mpoly  $\Rightarrow$  nat

**is**  $\lambda p. \text{Max} (\text{insert } 0 ((\lambda m. \text{sum} (\text{Poly-Mapping.lookup } m) (\text{Poly-Mapping.keys } m)) ` \text{Poly-Mapping.keys } p))$  *{proof}*

**lemma** *degree-zero* [simp]:

*degree*  $0 v = 0$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *total-degree-zero* [simp]:

*total-degree*  $0 = 0$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *degree-one* [simp]:

*degree*  $1 v = 0$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *total-degree-one* [simp]:

*total-degree*  $1 = 0$   
*{proof}*

## 2.11 Pseudo-division of polynomials

**lemma** *smult-conv-mult*: *smult*  $s p = \text{monom } 0 s * p$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *smult-monom* [simp]:

**fixes**  $c :: - :: \text{mult-zero}$   
**shows** *smult*  $c (\text{monom } x c') = \text{monom } x (c * c')$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *smult-0* [simp]:

**fixes**  $p :: - :: \text{mult-zero mpoly}$   
**shows** *smult*  $0 p = 0$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *mult-smult-left*:  $\text{smult } s \ p * q = \text{smult } s \ (p * q)$   
*(proof)*

**lift-definition** *sdiv* :: ' $a::\text{euclidean-ring} \Rightarrow 'a \text{mpoly} \Rightarrow 'a \text{mpoly}$ '  
**is**  $\lambda a. \text{Poly-Mapping.map} (\lambda b. b \text{ div } a) :: ((\text{nat} \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}) \Rightarrow_0 'a) \Rightarrow -$   
*(proof)*

‘Polynomial division’ is only possible on univariate polynomials  $K[x]$  over a field  $K$ , all other kinds of polynomials only allow pseudo-division [1]p.40/41":

$$\forall x \ y :: 'a \text{mpoly}. \ y \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists a \ q \ r. \ \text{smult } a \ x = q * y + r$$

The introduction of pseudo-division below generalises [~~/src/HOL/Computational\\_Algebra/Polynomial.thy](#). [1] Winkler, Polynomial Algorithms, 1996. The generalisation raises issues addressed by Wenda Li and commented below. Florian replied to the issues conjecturing, that the abstract mpoly needs not be aware of the issues, in case these are only concerned with executability.

**definition** *pseudo-divmod-rel*  
 $:: 'a::\text{euclidean-ring} \Rightarrow 'a \text{mpoly} \Rightarrow 'a \text{mpoly} \Rightarrow 'a \text{mpoly} \Rightarrow 'a \text{mpoly} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**where**  
*pseudo-divmod-rel*  $a \ x \ y \ q \ r \longleftrightarrow$   
 $\text{smult } a \ x = q * y + r \wedge (\text{if } y = 0 \text{ then } q = 0 \text{ else } r = 0 \vee \text{degree } r < \text{degree } y)$

**definition** *pdiv* :: ' $a::\text{euclidean-ring} \text{mpoly} \Rightarrow 'a \text{mpoly} \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a \text{mpoly})$ ' (**infixl**  
*<pdiv>* 70)  
**where**  
 $x \text{pdiv} y = (\text{THE } (a, q). \ \exists r. \text{pseudo-divmod-rel } a \ x \ y \ q \ r)$

**definition** *pmod* :: ' $a::\text{euclidean-ring} \text{mpoly} \Rightarrow 'a \text{mpoly} \Rightarrow 'a \text{mpoly}$ ' (**infixl**  
*<pmod>* 70)  
**where**  
 $x \text{pmod} y = (\text{THE } r. \ \exists a \ q. \text{pseudo-divmod-rel } a \ x \ y \ q \ r)$

**definition** *pdivmod* :: ' $a::\text{euclidean-ring} \text{mpoly} \Rightarrow 'a \text{mpoly} \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a \text{mpoly}) \times 'a \text{mpoly}$ '  
**where**  
 $\text{pdivmod } p \ q = (p \text{pdiv} q, p \text{pmod} q)$

**lemma** *pdiv-code*:  
 $p \text{pdiv} q = \text{fst} (\text{pdivmod } p \ q)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *pmod-code*:  
 $p \text{pmod} q = \text{snd} (\text{pdivmod } p \ q)$   
*(proof)*

## 2.12 Primitive poly, etc

```
lift-definition coeffs :: 'a :: zero mpoly ⇒ 'a set
is Poly-Mapping.range :: ((nat ⇒₀ nat) ⇒₀ 'a) ⇒ - ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma finite-coeffs [simp]: finite (coeffs p)
⟨proof⟩
```

[1]p.82 A "primitive" polynomial has coefficients with GCD equal to 1. A polynomial is factored into "content" and "primitive part" for many different purposes.

```
definition primitive :: 'a::{euclidean-ring,semiring-Gcd} mpoly ⇒ bool
where
```

```
primitive p ←→ Gcd (coeffs p) = 1
```

```
definition content-primitive :: 'a::{euclidean-ring,GCD.Gcd} mpoly ⇒ 'a × 'a
mpoly
```

```
where
```

```
content-primitive p = (
  let d = Gcd (coeffs p)
  in (d, sdiv d p))
```

```
value let p = M [1,2,3] (4::int) + M [2,0,4] 6 + M [2,0,5] 8
in content-primitive p
```

```
end
```

```
theory More-MPoly-Type
imports MPoly-Type
begin
```

```
abbreviation lookup == Poly-Mapping.lookup
abbreviation keys == Poly-Mapping.keys
```

## 3 MPoly Mapping extenion

```
lemma lookup-Abs-poly-mapping-when-finite:
assumes finite S
```

```
shows lookup (Abs-poly-mapping (λx. f x when x∈S)) = (λx. f x when x∈S)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition remove-key:'a ⇒ ('a ⇒₀ 'b::monoid-add) ⇒ ('a ⇒₀ 'b) where
remove-key k0 f = Abs-poly-mapping (λk. lookup f k when k ≠ k0)
```

```
lemma remove-key-lookup:
```

```
lookup (remove-key k0 f) k = (lookup f k when k ≠ k0)
⟨proof⟩
```

**lemma** *remove-key-keys*:  $\text{keys } f - \{k\} = \text{keys } (\text{remove-key } k f)$  (**is**  $?A = ?B$ )  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *remove-key-sum*:  $\text{remove-key } k f + \text{Poly-Mapping.single } k (\text{lookup } f k) = f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *remove-key-single[simp]*:  $\text{remove-key } v (\text{Poly-Mapping.single } v n) = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *remove-key-add*:  $\text{remove-key } v m + \text{remove-key } v m' = \text{remove-key } v (m + m')$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-mapping-induct* [*case-names single sum*]:  
**fixes**  $P::('a, 'b::monoid-add) \text{poly-mapping} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**assumes**  $\text{single}:\bigwedge k v. P (\text{Poly-Mapping.single } k v)$   
**and**  $\text{sum}:(\bigwedge f g k v. P f \implies P g \implies g = (\text{Poly-Mapping.single } k v) \implies k \notin \text{keys } f \implies P (f+g))$   
**shows**  $P f \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *map-lookup*:  
**assumes**  $g 0 = 0$   
**shows**  $\text{lookup } (\text{Poly-Mapping.map } g f) x = g ((\text{lookup } f) x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *keys-add*:  
**assumes**  $\text{keys } f \cap \text{keys } g = \{\}$   
**shows**  $\text{keys } f \cup \text{keys } g = \text{keys } (f+g)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *fun-when*:  
 $f 0 = 0 \implies f (a \text{ when } P) = (f a \text{ when } P) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 4 MPoly extension

**lemma** *coeff-all-0*:  $(\bigwedge m. \text{coeff } p m = 0) \implies p=0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition** *vars*:: $'a::\text{zero mpoly} \Rightarrow \text{nat set}$  **where**  
 $\text{vars } p = \bigcup (\text{keys } (\text{mapping-of } p))$

**lemma** *vars-finite*:  $\text{finite } (\text{vars } p) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *vars-monom-single*:  $\text{vars } (\text{monom } (\text{Poly-Mapping.single } v k) a) \subseteq \{v\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma vars-monom-keys:
assumes a≠0
shows vars (monom m a) = keys m
⟨proof⟩

lemma vars-monom-subset:
shows vars (monom m a) ⊆ keys m
⟨proof⟩

lemma vars-monom-single-cases: vars (monom (Poly-Mapping.single v k) a) = (if
k=0 ∨ a=0 then {} else {v})
⟨proof⟩

lemma vars-monom:
assumes a≠0
shows vars (monom m (1::'a::zero-neq-one)) = vars (monom m (a::'a))
⟨proof⟩

lemma vars-add: vars (p1 + p2) ⊆ vars p1 ∪ vars p2
⟨proof⟩

lemma vars-mult: vars (p*q) ⊆ vars p ∪ vars q
⟨proof⟩

lemma vars-add-monom:
assumes p2 = monom m a m ∉ keys (mapping-of p1)
shows vars (p1 + p2) = vars p1 ∪ vars p2
⟨proof⟩

lemma vars-setsum: finite S ==> vars (∑ m∈S. f m) ⊆ (∪ m∈S. vars (f m))
⟨proof⟩

lemma coeff-monom: coeff (monom m a) m' = (a when m'=m)
⟨proof⟩

lemma coeff-add: coeff p m + coeff q m = coeff (p+q) m
⟨proof⟩

lemma coeff-eq: coeff p = coeff q ↔ p=q ⟨proof⟩

lemma coeff-monom-mult: coeff ((monom m' a) * q) (m' + m) = a * coeff q m
⟨proof⟩

lemma one-term-is-monomial:
assumes card (keys (mapping-of p)) ≤ 1
obtains m where p = monom m (coeff p m)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition remove-term::( $\text{nat} \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}$ )  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$ ::zero mpoly  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$  mpoly where
  remove-term  $m0\ p = MPoly\ (\text{Abs-poly-mapping}\ (\lambda m.\ \text{coeff}\ p\ m\ \text{when}\ m \neq m0))$ 

lemma remove-term-coeff:  $\text{coeff}\ (\text{remove-term}\ m0\ p)\ m = (\text{coeff}\ p\ m\ \text{when}\ m \neq m0)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma coeff-keys:  $m \in \text{keys}\ (\text{mapping-of}\ p) \longleftrightarrow \text{coeff}\ p\ m \neq 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma remove-term-keys:
  shows  $\text{keys}\ (\text{mapping-of}\ p) - \{m\} = \text{keys}\ (\text{mapping-of}\ (\text{remove-term}\ m\ p))$  (is  $?A = ?B$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma remove-term-sum:  $\text{remove-term}\ m\ p + \text{monom}\ m\ (\text{coeff}\ p\ m) = p$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mpoly-induct [case-names monom sum]:
  assumes  $\text{monom}:\bigwedge m\ a.\ P\ (\text{monom}\ m\ a)$ 
  and  $\text{sum}:(\bigwedge p1\ p2\ m\ a.\ P\ p1 \implies P\ p2 \implies p2 = (\text{monom}\ m\ a) \implies m \notin \text{keys}\ (\text{mapping-of}\ p1) \implies P\ (p1+p2))$ 
  shows  $P\ p$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma monom-pow:monom (Poly-Mapping.single v n0)  $a \wedge n = \text{monom}\ (\text{Poly-Mapping.single}\ v\ (n0*n))$  ( $a \wedge n$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma insertion-fun-single:  $\text{insertion-fun}\ f\ (\lambda m.\ (a\ \text{when}\ (\text{Poly-Mapping.single}\ (v::\text{nat})\ (n::\text{nat})) = m)) = a * f\ v \wedge n$  (is  $?i = -$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma insertion-single[simp]:  $\text{insertion}\ f\ (\text{monom}\ (\text{Poly-Mapping.single}\ (v::\text{nat})\ (n::\text{nat}))\ a) = a * f\ v \wedge n$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma insertion-fun-irrelevant-vars:
  fixes  $p:(\text{nat} \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}) \Rightarrow$  ' $a$ ::comm-ring-1'
  assumes  $\bigwedge m\ v.\ p\ m \neq 0 \implies \text{lookup}\ m\ v \neq 0 \implies f\ v = g\ v$ 
  shows  $\text{insertion-fun}\ f\ p = \text{insertion-fun}\ g\ p$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma insertion-aux-irrelevant-vars:
  fixes  $p:(\text{nat} \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}) \Rightarrow_0$  ' $a$ ::comm-ring-1'
  assumes  $\bigwedge m\ v.\ \text{lookup}\ p\ m \neq 0 \implies \text{lookup}\ m\ v \neq 0 \implies f\ v = g\ v$ 
  shows  $\text{insertion-aux}\ f\ p = \text{insertion-aux}\ g\ p$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma insertion-irrelevant-vars:
  fixes p::'a::comm-ring-1 mpoly
  assumes  $\bigwedge v. v \in \text{vars } p \implies f v = g v$ 
  shows insertion f p = insertion g p
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

5 Nested MPoly

definition reduce-nested-mpoly::'a::comm-ring-1 mpoly mpoly  $\Rightarrow$  'a mpoly where
  reduce-nested-mpoly pp = insertion ( $\lambda v. \text{monom} (\text{Poly-Mapping.single } v 1)$ ) pp

lemma reduce-nested-mpoly-sum:
  fixes p1::'a::comm-ring-1 mpoly mpoly
  shows reduce-nested-mpoly (p1 + p2) = reduce-nested-mpoly p1 + reduce-nested-mpoly
  p2
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma reduce-nested-mpoly-prod:
  fixes p1::'a::comm-ring-1 mpoly mpoly
  shows reduce-nested-mpoly (p1 * p2) = reduce-nested-mpoly p1 * reduce-nested-mpoly
  p2
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma reduce-nested-mpoly-0:
  shows reduce-nested-mpoly 0 = 0  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma insertion-nested-poly:
  fixes pp::'a::comm-ring-1 mpoly mpoly
  shows insertion f (insertion ( $\lambda v. \text{monom } 0 (f v)$ ) pp) = insertion f (reduce-nested-mpoly
  pp)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition extract-var::'a::comm-ring-1 mpoly  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a::comm-ring-1 mpoly
  mpoly where
  extract-var p v = ( $\sum m. \text{monom} (\text{remove-key } v m)$  (monom (Poly-Mapping.single
  v (lookup m v)) (coeff p m)))

lemma extract-var-finite-set:
  assumes  $\{m'. \text{coeff } p m' \neq 0\} \subseteq S$ 
  assumes finite S
  shows extract-var p v = ( $\sum m \in S. \text{monom} (\text{remove-key } v m)$  (monom (Poly-Mapping.single
  v (lookup m v)) (coeff p m)))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma extract-var-non-zero-coeff: extract-var p v = ( $\sum m \in \{m'. \text{coeff } p m' \neq 0\}$ .
  monom (remove-key v m) (monom (Poly-Mapping.single v (lookup m v)) (coeff p
  m)))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

**lemma** *extract-var-sum*:  $\text{extract-var} (p + p') v = \text{extract-var} p v + \text{extract-var} p' v$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *extract-var-monom*:  
**shows**  $\text{extract-var} (\text{monom } m a) v = \text{monom} (\text{remove-key } v m) (\text{monom} (\text{Poly-Mapping.single } v (\text{lookup } m v)) a)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *extract-var-monom-mult*:  
**shows**  $\text{extract-var} (\text{monom} (m + m') (a * b)) v = \text{extract-var} (\text{monom } m a) v * \text{extract-var} (\text{monom } m' b) v$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *extract-var-single*:  $\text{extract-var} (\text{monom} (\text{Poly-Mapping.single } v n) a) v = \text{monom} 0 (\text{monom} (\text{Poly-Mapping.single } v n) a)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *extract-var-single'*:  
**assumes**  $v \neq v'$   
**shows**  $\text{extract-var} (\text{monom} (\text{Poly-Mapping.single } v n) a) v' = \text{monom} (\text{Poly-Mapping.single } v n) (\text{monom } 0 a)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *reduce-nested-mpoly-extract-var*:  
**fixes**  $p :: 'a :: \text{comm-ring-1 mpoly}$   
**shows**  $\text{reduce-nested-mpoly} (\text{extract-var } p v) = p$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *vars-extract-var-subset*:  $\text{vars} (\text{extract-var } p v) \subseteq \text{vars } p$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *v-not-in-vars-extract-var*:  $v \notin \text{vars} (\text{extract-var } p v)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *vars-coeff-extract-var*:  $\text{vars} (\text{coeff} (\text{extract-var } p v) j) \subseteq \{v\}$   
*(proof)*

**definition** *replace-coeff*  
**where**  $\text{replace-coeff } f p = \text{MPoly} (\text{Abs-poly-mapping} (\lambda m. f (\text{lookup} (\text{mapping-of } p) m)))$

**lemma** *coeff-replace-coeff*:  
**assumes**  $f 0 = 0$   
**shows**  $\text{coeff} (\text{replace-coeff } f p) m = f (\text{coeff } p m)$   
*(proof)*

```

lemma replace-coeff-monom:
assumes f 0 = 0
shows replace-coeff f (monom m a) = monom m (f a)
⟨proof⟩

lemma replace-coeff-add:
assumes f 0 = 0
assumes ⋀ a b. f (a+b) = f a + f b
shows replace-coeff f (p1 + p2) = replace-coeff f p1 + replace-coeff f p2
⟨proof⟩

lemma insertion-replace-coeff:
fixes pp::'a::comm-ring-1 mpoly mpoly
shows insertion f (replace-coeff (insertion f) pp) = insertion f (reduce-nested-mpoly
pp)
⟨proof⟩

lemma replace-coeff-extract-var-cong:
assumes f v = g v
shows replace-coeff (insertion f) (extract-var p v) = replace-coeff (insertion g)
(extract-var p v)
⟨proof⟩

lemma vars-replace-coeff:
assumes f 0 = 0
shows vars (replace-coeff f p) ⊆ vars p
⟨proof⟩

definition polyfun :: nat set ⇒ ((nat ⇒ 'a::comm-semiring-1) ⇒ 'a) ⇒ bool
where polyfun N f = (Ǝ p. vars p ⊆ N ∧ ( ∀ x. insertion x p = f x))

lemma polyfunI: ( ⋀ P. ( ⋀ p. vars p ⊆ N ⇒ ( ⋀ x. insertion x p = f x)) ⇒ P)
⇒ P) ⇒ polyfun N f
⟨proof⟩

lemma polyfun-subset: N ⊆ N' ⇒ polyfun N f ⇒ polyfun N' f
⟨proof⟩

lemma polyfun-const: polyfun N (λ-. c)
⟨proof⟩

lemma polyfun-add:
assumes polyfun N f polyfun N g
shows polyfun N (λx. f x + g x)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma polyfun-mult:
assumes polyfun N f polyfun N g
shows polyfun N ( $\lambda x. f x * g x$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma polyfun-Sum:
assumes finite I
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{polyfun } N (f i)$ 
shows polyfun N ( $\lambda x. \sum_{i \in I} f i x$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma polyfun-Prod:
assumes finite I
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{polyfun } N (f i)$ 
shows polyfun N ( $\lambda x. \prod_{i \in I} f i x$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma polyfun-single:
assumes  $i \in N$ 
shows polyfun N ( $\lambda x. x i$ )
⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 6 Abstract Power-Products

```

theory Power-Products
imports Complex-Main
HOL-Library.Function-Algebras
HOL-Library.Countable
More-MPoly-Type
Utils
Well-Quasi-Orders.Well-Quasi-Orders
begin

```

This theory formalizes the concept of "power-products". A power-product can be thought of as the product of some indeterminates, such as  $x$ ,  $x^2 y$ ,  $x y^3 z^7$ , etc., without any scalar coefficient.

The approach in this theory is to capture the notion of "power-product" (also called "monomial") as type class. A canonical instance for power-product is the type  $'var \Rightarrow_0 nat$ , which is interpreted as mapping from variables in the power-product to exponents.

A slightly unintuitive (but fitting better with the standard type class instantiations of  $'a \Rightarrow_0 'b$ ) approach is to write addition to denote "multiplication" of power products. For example,  $x^2 y$  would be represented as a function  $p = (X \mapsto 2, Y \mapsto 1)$ ,  $xz$  as a function  $q = (X \mapsto 1, Z \mapsto 1)$ . With the (pointwise) instantiation of addition of  $'a \Rightarrow_0 'b$ , we will write  $p + q = (X \mapsto 3, Y \mapsto 1, Z \mapsto 1)$  for the product  $x^2 y \cdot xz = x^3 yz$

## 6.1 Constant Keys

Legacy:

**lemmas** *keys-eq-empty-iff* = *keys-eq-empty*

**definition** *Keys* :: ('*a*  $\Rightarrow_0$  '*b*::zero) set  $\Rightarrow$  '*a* set  
**where** *Keys F* =  $\bigcup$  (*keys* '*F*)

**lemma** *in-Keys*: *s*  $\in$  *Keys F*  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists f \in F. s \in \text{keys } f$ )  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *in-KeysI*:  
**assumes** *s*  $\in$  *keys f* **and** *f*  $\in$  *F*  
**shows** *s*  $\in$  *Keys F*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *in-KeysE*:  
**assumes** *s*  $\in$  *Keys F*  
**obtains** *f* **where** *s*  $\in$  *keys f* **and** *f*  $\in$  *F*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *Keys-mono*:  
**assumes** *A*  $\subseteq$  *B*  
**shows** *Keys A*  $\subseteq$  *Keys B*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *Keys-insert*: *Keys (insert a A)* = *keys a*  $\cup$  *Keys A*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *Keys-Un*: *Keys (A  $\cup$  B)* = *Keys A*  $\cup$  *Keys B*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *finite-Keys*:  
**assumes** *finite A*  
**shows** *finite (Keys A)*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *Keys-not-empty*:  
**assumes** *a*  $\in$  *A* **and** *a*  $\neq$  0  
**shows** *Keys A*  $\neq$  {}  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *Keys-empty [simp]*: *Keys {}* = {}  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *Keys-zero [simp]*: *Keys {0}* = {}  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *keys-subset-Keys*:

**assumes**  $f \in F$   
**shows**  $\text{keys } f \subseteq \text{Keys } F$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{Keys-minus}: \text{Keys } (A - B) \subseteq \text{Keys } A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{Keys-minus-zero}: \text{Keys } (A - \{0\}) = \text{Keys } A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 6.2 Constant *except*

**definition**  $\text{except-fun} :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b::\text{zero})$   
**where**  $\text{except-fun } f S = (\lambda x. (f x \text{ when } x \notin S))$

**lift-definition**  $\text{except} :: ('a \Rightarrow_0 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow_0 'b::\text{zero})$  **is**  $\text{except-fun}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{lookup-except-when}: \text{lookup } (\text{except } p S) = (\lambda t. \text{lookup } p t \text{ when } t \notin S)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{lookup-except}: \text{lookup } (\text{except } p S) = (\lambda t. \text{if } t \in S \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{lookup } p t)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{lookup-except-singleton}: \text{lookup } (\text{except } p \{t\}) t = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{except-zero} [\text{simp}]: \text{except } 0 S = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{lookup-except-eq-idI}:$   
**assumes**  $t \notin S$   
**shows**  $\text{lookup } (\text{except } p S) t = \text{lookup } p t$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{lookup-except-eq-zeroI}:$   
**assumes**  $t \in S$   
**shows**  $\text{lookup } (\text{except } p S) t = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{except-empty} [\text{simp}]: \text{except } p \{\} = p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{except-eq-zeroI}:$   
**assumes**  $\text{keys } p \subseteq S$   
**shows**  $\text{except } p S = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{except-eq-zeroE}:$

```

assumes except p S = 0
shows keys p ⊆ S
⟨proof⟩

lemma except-eq-zero-iff: except p S = 0 ↔ keys p ⊆ S
⟨proof⟩

lemma except-keys [simp]: except p (keys p) = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma plus-except: p = Poly-Mapping.single t (lookup p t) + except p {t}
⟨proof⟩

lemma keys-except: keys (except p S) = keys p − S
⟨proof⟩

lemma except-single: except (Poly-Mapping.single u c) S = (Poly-Mapping.single
u c when u ∉ S)
⟨proof⟩

lemma except-plus: except (p + q) S = except p S + except q S
⟨proof⟩

lemma except-minus: except (p − q) S = except p S − except q S
⟨proof⟩

lemma except-uminus: except (− p) S = − except p S
⟨proof⟩

lemma except-except: except (except p S) T = except p (S ∪ T)
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-mapping-keys-eqI:
assumes a1: keys p = keys q and a2: ∀t. t ∈ keys p ⇒ lookup p t = lookup q
t
shows p = q
⟨proof⟩

lemma except-id-iff: except p S = p ↔ keys p ∩ S = {}
⟨proof⟩

lemma keys-subset-wf:
wfP (λp q::('a, 'b::zero) poly-mapping. keys p ⊂ keys q)
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-mapping-except-induct:
assumes base: P 0 and ind: ∀p t. p ≠ 0 ⇒ t ∈ keys p ⇒ P (except p {t})
⇒ P p
shows P p

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-mapping-except-induct':
  assumes  $\bigwedge p. (\bigwedge t. t \in \text{keys } p \implies P(\text{except } p \setminus \{t\})) \implies P p$ 
  shows  $P p$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-mapping-plus-induct:
  assumes  $P 0$  and  $\bigwedge p c t. c \neq 0 \implies t \notin \text{keys } p \implies P p \implies P(\text{Poly-Mapping.single } t c + p)$ 
  shows  $P p$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma except-Diff-singleton:  $\text{except } p (\text{keys } p - \{t\}) = \text{Poly-Mapping.single } t (\text{lookup } p t)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma except-Un-plus-Int:  $\text{except } p (U \cup V) + \text{except } p (U \cap V) = \text{except } p U + \text{except } p V$ 
⟨proof⟩

corollary except-Int:
  assumes  $\text{keys } p \subseteq U \cup V$ 
  shows  $\text{except } p (U \cap V) = \text{except } p U + \text{except } p V$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma except-keys-Int [simp]:  $\text{except } p (\text{keys } p \cap U) = \text{except } p U$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma except-Int-keys [simp]:  $\text{except } p (U \cap \text{keys } p) = \text{except } p U$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma except-keys-Diff:  $\text{except } p (\text{keys } p - U) = \text{except } p (- U)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma except-decomp:  $p = \text{except } p U + \text{except } p (- U)$ 
⟨proof⟩

corollary except-Compl:  $\text{except } p (- U) = p - \text{except } p U$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

### 6.3 'Divisibility' on Additive Structures

**context** plus **begin**

**definition** adds ::  $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  (**infix** ⟨adds⟩ 50)  
**where**  $b \text{ adds } a \longleftrightarrow (\exists k. a = b + k)$

**lemma** addsI [intro?]:  $a = b + k \implies b \text{ adds } a$

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma addsE [elim?]:  $b \text{ adds } a \implies (\bigwedge k. a = b + k \implies P) \implies P$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

context comm-monoid-add
begin

lemma adds-refl [simp]:  $a \text{ adds } a$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma adds-trans [trans]:
assumes  $a \text{ adds } b$  and  $b \text{ adds } c$ 
shows  $a \text{ adds } c$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma subset-divisors-adds:  $\{c. c \text{ adds } a\} \subseteq \{c. c \text{ adds } b\} \longleftrightarrow a \text{ adds } b$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma strict-subset-divisors-adds:  $\{c. c \text{ adds } a\} \subset \{c. c \text{ adds } b\} \longleftrightarrow a \text{ adds } b \wedge$ 
 $\neg b \text{ adds } a$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma zero-adds [simp]:  $0 \text{ adds } a$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma adds-plus-right [simp]:  $a \text{ adds } c \implies a \text{ adds } (b + c)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma adds-plus-left [simp]:  $a \text{ adds } b \implies a \text{ adds } (b + c)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma adds-triv-right [simp]:  $a \text{ adds } b + a$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma adds-triv-left [simp]:  $a \text{ adds } a + b$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma plus-adds-mono:
assumes  $a \text{ adds } b$ 
and  $c \text{ adds } d$ 
shows  $a + c \text{ adds } b + d$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma plus-adds-left:  $a + b \text{ adds } c \implies a \text{ adds } c$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma plus-adds-right:  $a + b \text{ adds } c \implies b \text{ adds } c$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

class ninv-comm-monoid-add = comm-monoid-add +
  assumes plus-eq-zero:  $s + t = 0 \implies s = 0$ 
begin

  lemma plus-eq-zero-2:  $t = 0 \text{ if } s + t = 0$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

  lemma adds-zero:  $s \text{ adds } 0 \longleftrightarrow (s = 0)$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

context canonically-ordered-monoid-add
begin
  subclass ninv-comm-monoid-add  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
end

class comm-powerprod = cancel-comm-monoid-add
begin

  lemma adds-canc:  $s + u \text{ adds } t + u \longleftrightarrow s \text{ adds } t \text{ for } s t u::'a$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

  lemma adds-canc-2:  $u + s \text{ adds } u + t \longleftrightarrow s \text{ adds } t$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

  lemma add-minus-2:  $(s + t) - s = t$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

  lemma adds-minus:
    assumes  $s \text{ adds } t$ 
    shows  $(t - s) + s = t$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

  lemma plus-adds-0:
    assumes  $(s + t) \text{ adds } u$ 
    shows  $s \text{ adds } (u - t)$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

  lemma plus-adds-2:
    assumes  $t \text{ adds } u \text{ and } s \text{ adds } (u - t)$ 
    shows  $(s + t) \text{ adds } u$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma plus-adds:
  shows  $(s + t) \text{ adds } u \longleftrightarrow (t \text{ adds } u \wedge s \text{ adds } (u - t))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma minus-plus:
  assumes  $s \text{ adds } t$ 
  shows  $(t - s) + u = (t + u) - s$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma minus-plus-minus:
  assumes  $s \text{ adds } t \text{ and } u \text{ adds } v$ 
  shows  $(t - s) + (v - u) = (t + v) - (s + u)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma minus-plus-minus-cancel:
  assumes  $u \text{ adds } t \text{ and } s \text{ adds } u$ 
  shows  $(t - u) + (u - s) = t - s$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

Instances of class *lcs-powerprod* are types of commutative power-products admitting (not necessarily unique) least common sums (inspired from least common multiples). Note that if the components of indeterminates are arbitrary integers (as for instance in Laurent polynomials), then no unique lcss exist.

```

class lcs-powerprod = comm-powerprod +
  fixes lcs:: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ 
  assumes adds-lcs:  $s \text{ adds } (lcs\ s\ t)$ 
  assumes lcs-adds:  $s \text{ adds } u \implies t \text{ adds } u \implies (lcs\ s\ t) \text{ adds } u$ 
  assumes lcs-comm:  $lcs\ s\ t = lcs\ t\ s$ 
  begin

    lemma adds-lcs-2:  $t \text{ adds } (lcs\ s\ t)$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

    lemma lcs-adds-plus:  $lcs\ s\ t \text{ adds } s + t$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

    "gcs" stands for "greatest common summand".
    definition gcs ::  $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$  where gcs s t =  $(s + t) - (lcs\ s\ t)$ 

    lemma gcs-plus-lcs:  $(gcs\ s\ t) + (lcs\ s\ t) = s + t$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

    lemma gcs-adds:  $(gcs\ s\ t) \text{ adds } s$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

    lemma gcs-comm:  $gcs\ s\ t = gcs\ t\ s$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma gcs-adds-2: (gcs s t) adds t
  ⟨proof⟩

end

class ulcs-powerprod = lcs-powerprod + ninv-comm-monoid-add
begin

lemma adds-antisym:
  assumes s adds t t adds s
  shows s = t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lcs-unique:
  assumes s adds l and t adds l and *:  $\bigwedge u. s \text{ adds } u \implies t \text{ adds } u \implies l \text{ adds } u$ 
  shows l = lcs s t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lcs-zero: lcs 0 t = t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lcs-plus-left: lcs (u + s) (u + t) = u + lcs s t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lcs-plus-right: lcs (s + u) (t + u) = (lcs s t) + u
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma adds-gcs:
  assumes u adds s and u adds t
  shows u adds (gcs s t)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gcs-unique:
  assumes g adds s and g adds t and *:  $\bigwedge u. u \text{ adds } s \implies u \text{ adds } t \implies u \text{ adds } g$ 
  shows g = gcs s t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gcs-plus-left: gcs (u + s) (u + t) = u + gcs s t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gcs-plus-right: gcs (s + u) (t + u) = (gcs s t) + u
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lcs-same [simp]: lcs s s = s
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gcs-same [simp]: gcs s s = s
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end

## 6.4 Dickson Classes

```
definition (in plus) dickson-grading :: ('a ⇒ nat) ⇒ bool
  where dickson-grading d ↔
    ((∀ s t. d (s + t) = max (d s) (d t)) ∧ (∀ n::nat. almost-full-on (adds) {x. d x ≤ n}))
```

```
definition dgrad-set :: ('a ⇒ nat) ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a set
  where dgrad-set d m = {t. d t ≤ m}
```

```
definition dgrad-set-le :: ('a ⇒ nat) ⇒ ('a set) ⇒ ('a set) ⇒ bool
  where dgrad-set-le d S T ↔ (∀ s∈S. ∃ t∈T. d s ≤ d t)
```

```
lemma dickson-gradingI:
  assumes ∀s t. d (s + t) = max (d s) (d t)
  assumes ∀n::nat. almost-full-on (adds) {x. d x ≤ n}
  shows dickson-grading d
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma dickson-gradingD1: dickson-grading d ⇒ d (s + t) = max (d s) (d t)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma dickson-gradingD2: dickson-grading d ⇒ almost-full-on (adds) {x. d x ≤ n}
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma dickson-gradingD2':
  assumes dickson-grading (d::'a::comm-monoid-add ⇒ nat)
  shows wqo-on (adds) {x. d x ≤ n}
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma dickson-gradingE:
  assumes dickson-grading d and ∀i::nat. d ((seq::nat ⇒ 'a::plus) i) ≤ n
  obtains i j where i < j and seq i adds seq j
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma dickson-grading-adds-imp-le:
  assumes dickson-grading d and s adds t
  shows d s ≤ d t
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma dickson-grading-minus:
  assumes dickson-grading d and s adds (t::'a::cancel-ab-semigroup-add)
  shows d (t - s) ≤ d t
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma dickson-grading-lcs:
```

```

assumes dickson-grading d
shows d (lcs s t) ≤ max (d s) (d t)
⟨proof⟩

lemma dickson-grading-lcs-minus:
assumes dickson-grading d
shows d (lcs s t - s) ≤ max (d s) (d t)
⟨proof⟩

lemma dgrad-set-leI:
assumes ⋀s. s ∈ S ⇒ ∃t∈T. d s ≤ d t
shows dgrad-set-le d S T
⟨proof⟩

lemma dgrad-set-leE:
assumes dgrad-set-le d S T and s ∈ S
obtains t where t ∈ T and d s ≤ d t
⟨proof⟩

lemma dgrad-set-exhaust-expl:
assumes finite F
shows F ⊆ dgrad-set d (Max (d ` F))
⟨proof⟩

lemma dgrad-set-exhaust:
assumes finite F
obtains m where F ⊆ dgrad-set d m
⟨proof⟩

lemma dgrad-set-le-trans [trans]:
assumes dgrad-set-le d S T and dgrad-set-le d T U
shows dgrad-set-le d S U
⟨proof⟩

lemma dgrad-set-le-Un: dgrad-set-le d (S ∪ T) U ↔ (dgrad-set-le d S U ∧
dgrad-set-le d T U)
⟨proof⟩

lemma dgrad-set-le-subset:
assumes S ⊆ T
shows dgrad-set-le d S T
⟨proof⟩

lemma dgrad-set-le-refl: dgrad-set-le d S S
⟨proof⟩

lemma dgrad-set-le-dgrad-set:
assumes dgrad-set-le d F G and G ⊆ dgrad-set d m
shows F ⊆ dgrad-set d m

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dgrad-set-dgrad*:  $p \in \text{dgrad-set } d (d p)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dgrad-setI* [intro]:  
  **assumes**  $d t \leq m$   
  **shows**  $t \in \text{dgrad-set } d m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dgrad-setD*:  
  **assumes**  $t \in \text{dgrad-set } d m$   
  **shows**  $d t \leq m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dgrad-set-zero* [simp]:  $\text{dgrad-set } (\lambda \cdot. 0) m = \text{UNIV}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subset-dgrad-set-zero*:  $F \subseteq \text{dgrad-set } (\lambda \cdot. 0) m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dgrad-set-subset*:  
  **assumes**  $m \leq n$   
  **shows**  $\text{dgrad-set } d m \subseteq \text{dgrad-set } d n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dgrad-set-closed-plus*:  
  **assumes** *dickson-grading*  $d$  **and**  $s \in \text{dgrad-set } d m$  **and**  $t \in \text{dgrad-set } d m$   
  **shows**  $s + t \in \text{dgrad-set } d m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dgrad-set-closed-minus*:  
  **assumes** *dickson-grading*  $d$  **and**  $s \in \text{dgrad-set } d m$  **and**  $t \text{ adds } (s :: 'a :: \text{cancel-ab-semigroup-add})$   
  **shows**  $s - t \in \text{dgrad-set } d m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dgrad-set-closed-lcs*:  
  **assumes** *dickson-grading*  $d$  **and**  $s \in \text{dgrad-set } d m$  **and**  $t \in \text{dgrad-set } d m$   
  **shows** *lcs*  $s t \in \text{dgrad-set } d m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dickson-gradingD-dgrad-set*: *dickson-grading*  $d \implies \text{almost-full-on } (\text{adds})$   
( $\text{dgrad-set } d m$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ex-finite-adds*:  
  **assumes** *dickson-grading*  $d$  **and**  $S \subseteq \text{dgrad-set } d m$   
  **obtains**  $T$  **where** *finite*  $T$  **and**  $T \subseteq S$  **and**  $\bigwedge s. s \in S \implies (\exists t \in T. t \text{ adds } (s :: 'a :: \text{cancel-comm-monoid-add}))$

```

⟨proof⟩

class graded-dickson-powerprod = ulcs-powerprod +
  assumes ex-dgrad:  $\exists d::'a \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ . dickson-grading d
begin

  definition dgrad-dummy where dgrad-dummy = (SOME d. dickson-grading d)

  lemma dickson-grading-dgrad-dummy: dickson-grading dgrad-dummy
  ⟨proof⟩

end

class dickson-powerprod = ulcs-powerprod +
  assumes dickson: almost-full-on (adds) UNIV
begin

  lemma dickson-grading-zero: dickson-grading ( $\lambda::'a. 0$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

  subclass graded-dickson-powerprod ⟨proof⟩

end

```

Class *graded-dickson-powerprod* is a slightly artificial construction. It is needed, because type  $\text{nat} \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}$  does not satisfy the usual conditions of a "Dickson domain" (as formulated in class *dickson-powerprod*), but we still want to use that type as the type of power-products in the computation of Gröbner bases. So, we exploit the fact that in a finite set of polynomials (which is the input of Buchberger's algorithm) there is always some "highest" indeterminate that occurs with non-zero exponent, and no "higher" indeterminates are generated during the execution of the algorithm. This allows us to prove that the algorithm terminates, even though there are in principle infinitely many indeterminates.

## 6.5 Additive Linear Orderings

```

lemma group-eq-aux:  $a + (b - a) = (b::'a::\text{ab-group-add})$ 
⟨proof⟩

class semi-canonically-ordered-monoid-add = ordered-comm-monoid-add +
  assumes le-imp-add:  $a \leq b \implies (\exists c. b = a + c)$ 

context canonically-ordered-monoid-add
begin
  subclass semi-canonically-ordered-monoid-add
  ⟨proof⟩
end

```

```

class add-linorder-group = ordered-ab-semigroup-add-imp-le + ab-group-add + linorder

class add-linorder = ordered-ab-semigroup-add-imp-le + cancel-comm-monoid-add
+ semi-canonically-ordered-monoid-add + linorder
begin

subclass ordered-comm-monoid-add <proof>

subclass ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-add <proof>

lemma le-imp-inv:
assumes a ≤ b
shows b = a + (b - a)
<proof>

lemma max-eq-sum:
obtains y where max a b = a + y
<proof>

lemma min-plus-max:
shows (min a b) + (max a b) = a + b
<proof>

end

class add-linorder-min = add-linorder +
assumes zero-min: 0 ≤ x
begin

subclass ninv-comm-monoid-add
<proof>

lemma leq-add-right:
shows x ≤ x + y
<proof>

lemma leq-add-left:
shows x ≤ y + x
<proof>

subclass canonically-ordered-monoid-add
<proof>

end

class add-wellorder = add-linorder-min + wellorder

instantiation nat :: add-linorder

```

```

begin

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation nat :: add-linorder-min
begin
instance ⟨proof⟩
end

instantiation nat :: add-wellorder
begin
instance ⟨proof⟩
end

context add-linorder-group
begin

subclass add-linorder
⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation int :: add-linorder-group
begin
instance ⟨proof⟩
end

instantiation rat :: add-linorder-group
begin
instance ⟨proof⟩
end

instantiation real :: add-linorder-group
begin
instance ⟨proof⟩
end

```

## 6.6 Ordered Power-Products

```

locale ordered-powerprod =
  ordered-powerprod-lin: linorder ord ord-strict
  for ord:'a ⇒ 'a::comm-powerprod ⇒ bool (infixl ‹≤› 50)
  and ord-strict:'a ⇒ 'a::comm-powerprod ⇒ bool (infixl ‹<› 50) +
  assumes zero-min: 0 ≤ t
  assumes plus-monotone: s ≤ t ⟹ s + u ≤ t + u
begin

```

Conceal these relations defined in Equipollence

```

no-notation lesspoll (infixl  $\prec\!\!\prec$  50)
no-notation lepoll (infixl  $\preccurlyeq\!\!\preccurlyeq$  50)

abbreviation ord-conv (infixl  $\succeq\!\!\succeq$  50) where ord-conv  $\equiv (\preceq)^{-1-1}$ 
abbreviation ord-strict-conv (infixl  $\succ\!\!\succ$  50) where ord-strict-conv  $\equiv (\prec)^{-1-1}$ 

lemma ord-canc:
  assumes  $s + u \preceq t + u$ 
  shows  $s \preceq t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ord-adds:
  assumes  $s$  adds  $t$ 
  shows  $s \preceq t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ord-canc-left:
  assumes  $u + s \preceq u + t$ 
  shows  $s \preceq t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ord-strict-canc:
  assumes  $s + u \prec t + u$ 
  shows  $s \prec t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ord-strict-canc-left:
  assumes  $u + s \prec u + t$ 
  shows  $s \prec t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma plus-monotone-left:
  assumes  $s \preceq t$ 
  shows  $u + s \preceq u + t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma plus-monotone-strict:
  assumes  $s \prec t$ 
  shows  $s + u \prec t + u$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma plus-monotone-strict-left:
  assumes  $s \prec t$ 
  shows  $u + s \prec u + t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale gd-powerprod =

```

```

ordered-powerprod ord ord-strict
for ord::'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a::graded-dickson-powerprod  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infixl  $\trianglelefteq$  50)
and ord-strict (infixl  $\triangleleft$  50)
begin

definition dickson-le :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where dickson-le d m s t  $\longleftrightarrow$  (d s  $\leq$  m  $\wedge$  d t  $\leq$  m  $\wedge$  s  $\preceq$  t)

definition dickson-less :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where dickson-less d m s t  $\longleftrightarrow$  (d s  $\leq$  m  $\wedge$  d t  $\leq$  m  $\wedge$  s  $\prec$  t)

lemma dickson-leI:
assumes d s  $\leq$  m and d t  $\leq$  m and s  $\preceq$  t
shows dickson-le d m s t
{proof}

lemma dickson-leD1:
assumes dickson-le d m s t
shows d s  $\leq$  m
{proof}

lemma dickson-leD2:
assumes dickson-le d m s t
shows d t  $\leq$  m
{proof}

lemma dickson-leD3:
assumes dickson-le d m s t
shows s  $\preceq$  t
{proof}

lemma dickson-le-trans:
assumes dickson-le d m s t and dickson-le d m t u
shows dickson-le d m s u
{proof}

lemma dickson-lessI:
assumes d s  $\leq$  m and d t  $\leq$  m and s  $\prec$  t
shows dickson-less d m s t
{proof}

lemma dickson-lessD1:
assumes dickson-less d m s t
shows d s  $\leq$  m
{proof}

lemma dickson-lessD2:
assumes dickson-less d m s t
shows d t  $\leq$  m

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma dickson-lessD3:
  assumes dickson-less d m s t
  shows s ⊲ t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dickson-less-irrefl: ⊥ dickson-less d m t t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dickson-less-trans:
  assumes dickson-less d m s t and dickson-less d m t u
  shows dickson-less d m s u
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma transp-dickson-less: transp (dickson-less d m)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma wfp-on-ord-strict:
  assumes dickson-grading d
  shows wfp-on (⊲) {x. d x ≤ n}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma wf-dickson-less:
  assumes dickson-grading d
  shows wfP (dickson-less d m)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

*gd-powerprod* stands for *graded ordered Dickson power-products*.

```

locale od-powerprod =
  ordered-powerprod ord ord-strict
  for ord::'a ⇒ 'a::dickson-powerprod ⇒ bool (infixl ⊲≤ 50)
  and ord-strict (infixl ⊲⊲ 50)
begin

```

```

sublocale gd-powerprod ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma wf-ord-strict: wfP (⊲)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

```

*od-powerprod* stands for *ordered Dickson power-products*.

## 6.7 Functions as Power-Products

```

lemma finite-neq-0:

```

```

assumes fin-A: finite {x. f x ≠ 0} and fin-B: finite {x. g x ≠ 0} and ⋀x. h x
0 = 0
shows finite {x. h x (f x) (g x) ≠ 0}
⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-neq-0':
assumes finite {x. f x ≠ 0} and finite {x. g x ≠ 0} and h 0 0 = 0
shows finite {x. h (f x) (g x) ≠ 0}
⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-neq-0-inv:
assumes fin-A: finite {x. h x (f x) (g x) ≠ 0} and fin-B: finite {x. f x ≠ 0}
and ⋀x y. h x 0 y = y
shows finite {x. g x ≠ 0}
⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-neq-0-inv':
assumes inf-A: finite {x. h (f x) (g x) ≠ 0} and fin-B: finite {x. f x ≠ 0} and
⋀x. h 0 x = x
shows finite {x. g x ≠ 0}
⟨proof⟩

```

### 6.7.1 'a ⇒ 'b belongs to class comm-powerprod

```

instance fun :: (type, cancel-comm-monoid-add) comm-powerprod
⟨proof⟩

```

### 6.7.2 'a ⇒ 'b belongs to class ninv-comm-monoid-add

```

instance fun :: (type, ninv-comm-monoid-add) ninv-comm-monoid-add
⟨proof⟩

```

### 6.7.3 'a ⇒ 'b belongs to class lcs-powerprod

```

instantiation fun :: (type, add-linorder) lcs-powerprod
begin

```

```

definition lcs-fun::('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b) where lcs f g = (λx. max
(f x) (g x))

```

```

lemma adds-funI:
assumes s ≤ t
shows s adds (t::'a ⇒ 'b)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma adds-fun-iff: f adds (g::'a ⇒ 'b)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (forall x. f x adds g x)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma adds-fun-iff': f adds (g::'a ⇒ 'b)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (forall x. ∃ y. g x = f x + y)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma adds-lcs-fun:
  shows s adds (lcs s (t::'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b))
  {proof}

lemma lcs-comm-fun: lcs s t = lcs t (s::'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b)
  {proof}

lemma lcs-adds-fun:
  assumes s adds u and t adds (u::'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b)
  shows (lcs s t) adds u
  {proof}

instance
  {proof}

end

lemma leq-lcs-fun-1: s  $\leq$  (lcs s (t::'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b::add-linorder))
  {proof}

lemma leq-lcs-fun-2: t  $\leq$  (lcs s (t::'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b::add-linorder))
  {proof}

lemma lcs-leq-fun:
  assumes s  $\leq$  u and t  $\leq$  (u::'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b::add-linorder)
  shows (lcs s t)  $\leq$  u
  {proof}

lemma adds-fun: s adds t  $\longleftrightarrow$  s  $\leq$  t
  for s t::'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b::add-linorder-min
  {proof}

lemma gcs-fun: gcs s (t::'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('b::add-linorder)) = ( $\lambda x.$  min (s x) (t x))
  {proof}

lemma gcs-leq-fun-1: (gcs s (t::'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b::add-linorder))  $\leq$  s
  {proof}

lemma gcs-leq-fun-2: (gcs s (t::'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b::add-linorder))  $\leq$  t
  {proof}

lemma leq-gcs-fun:
  assumes u  $\leq$  s and u  $\leq$  (t::'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b::add-linorder)
  shows u  $\leq$  (gcs s t)
  {proof}

```

#### 6.7.4 $'a \Rightarrow 'b$ belongs to class ulcs-powerprod

instance fun :: (type, add-linorder-min) ulcs-powerprod ⟨proof⟩

#### 6.7.5 Power-products in a given set of indeterminates

definition supp-fun::('a ⇒ 'b::zero) ⇒ 'a set where supp-fun f = {x. f x ≠ 0}

supp-fun for general functions is like keys for poly-mapping, but does not need to be finite.

lemma keys-eq-supp: keys s = supp-fun (lookup s)  
⟨proof⟩

lemma supp-fun-zero [simp]: supp-fun 0 = {}  
⟨proof⟩

lemma supp-fun-eq-zero-iff: supp-fun f = {} ↔ f = 0  
⟨proof⟩

lemma sub-supp-empty: supp-fun s ⊆ {} ↔ (s = 0)  
⟨proof⟩

lemma except-fun-idI: supp-fun f ∩ V = {} ⇒ except-fun f V = f  
⟨proof⟩

lemma supp-except-fun: supp-fun (except-fun s V) = supp-fun s − V  
⟨proof⟩

lemma supp-fun-plus-subset: supp-fun (s + t) ⊆ supp-fun s ∪ supp-fun (t::'a ⇒ 'b::monoid-add)  
⟨proof⟩

lemma fun-eq-zeroI:  
assumes ⋀x. x ∈ supp-fun f ⇒ f x = 0  
shows f = 0  
⟨proof⟩

lemma except-fun-cong1:  
supp-fun s ∩ ((V − U) ∪ (U − V)) ⊆ {} ⇒ except-fun s V = except-fun s U  
⟨proof⟩

lemma adds-except-fun:  
s adds t = (except-fun s V adds except-fun t V ∧ except-fun s (− V) adds except-fun t (− V))  
for s t :: 'a ⇒ 'b::add-linorder  
⟨proof⟩

lemma adds-except-fun-singleton: s adds t = (except-fun s {v} adds except-fun t {v}) ∧ s v adds t v  
for s t :: 'a ⇒ 'b::add-linorder

$\langle proof \rangle$

### 6.7.6 Dickson's lemma for power-products in finitely many indeterminates

```
lemma Dickson-fun:  
  assumes finite V  
  shows almost-full-on (adds) {x::'a ⇒ 'b::add-wellorder. supp-fun x ⊆ V}  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
instance fun :: (finite, add-wellorder) dickson-powerprod  
⟨proof⟩
```

### 6.7.7 Lexicographic Term Order

Term orders are certain linear orders on power-products, satisfying additional requirements. Further information on term orders can be found, e.g., in [4].

```
context wellorder  
begin
```

```
lemma neq-fun-alt:  
  assumes s ≠ (t::'a ⇒ 'b)  
  obtains x where s x ≠ t x and ⋀y. s y ≠ t y ⇒ x ≤ y  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition lex-fun::('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b::order) ⇒ bool where  
  lex-fun s t ≡ (⋀x. s x ≤ t x ∨ (∃y<x. s y ≠ t y))
```

```
definition lex-fun-strict s t ←→ lex-fun s t ∧ ¬lex-fun t s
```

Attention! *lex-fun* reverses the order of the indeterminates: if  $x$  is smaller than  $y$  w.r.t. the order on ' $a$ ', then the *power-product*  $x$  is *greater* than the *power-product*  $y$ .

```
lemma lex-fun-alt:  
  shows lex-fun s t = (s = t ∨ (exists x. s x < t x ∧ (forall y<x. s y = t y))) (is ?L = ?R)  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma lex-fun-refl: lex-fun s s  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma lex-fun-antisym:  
  assumes lex-fun s t and lex-fun t s  
  shows s = t  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma lex-fun-trans:  
  assumes lex-fun s t and lex-fun t u
```

**shows** *lex-fun s u*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *lex-fun-lin*: *lex-fun s t*  $\vee$  *lex-fun t s* **for** *s t::'a*  $\Rightarrow$  '*b*::{ordered-comm-monoid-add, linorder}'  
*(proof)*

**corollary** *lex-fun-strict-alt* [code]:  
*lex-fun-strict s t* = ( $\neg$  *lex-fun t s*) **for** *s t::'a*  $\Rightarrow$  '*b*::{ordered-comm-monoid-add, linorder}'  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *lex-fun-zero-min*: *lex-fun 0 s* **for** *s::'a*  $\Rightarrow$  '*b*::add-linorder-min'  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *lex-fun-plus-monotone*:  
*lex-fun (s + u) (t + u)* **if** *lex-fun s t*  
**for** *s t::'a*  $\Rightarrow$  '*b*::ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-add'  
*(proof)*

**end**

### 6.7.8 Degree

**definition** *deg-fun*::('a  $\Rightarrow$  '*b*::comm-monoid-add)  $\Rightarrow$  '*b* **where** *deg-fun s*  $\equiv$   $\sum_{x \in (\text{supp-fun } s)} x$

**lemma** *deg-fun-zero*[simp]: *deg-fun 0 = 0*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *deg-fun-eq-0-iff*:  
**assumes** *finite (supp-fun (s::'a  $\Rightarrow$  '*b*::add-linorder-min))*  
**shows** *deg-fun s = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  s = 0*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *deg-fun-superset*:  
**fixes** *A::'a set*  
**assumes** *supp-fun s  $\subseteq$  A* **and** *finite A*  
**shows** *deg-fun s = ( $\sum_{x \in A} s x$ )*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *deg-fun-plus*:  
**assumes** *finite (supp-fun s)* **and** *finite (supp-fun t)*  
**shows** *deg-fun (s + t) = deg-fun s + deg-fun (t::'a  $\Rightarrow$  '*b*::comm-monoid-add)*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *deg-fun-leq*:  
**assumes** *finite (supp-fun s)* **and** *finite (supp-fun t)* **and** *s  $\leq$  (t::'a  $\Rightarrow$  '*b*::ordered-comm-monoid-add)*  
**shows** *deg-fun s  $\leq$  deg-fun t*

$\langle proof \rangle$

### 6.7.9 General Degree-Orders

**context** *linorder*  
**begin**

**lemma** *ex-min*:

**assumes** *finite* (*A*::'a set) **and** *A*  $\neq \{\}$   
**shows**  $\exists y \in A. (\forall z \in A. y \leq z)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *dord-fun*:: $(('a \Rightarrow 'b :: ordered-comm-monoid-add) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow \text{bool})$   
 $\Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**where** *dord-fun* *ord* *s t*  $\equiv$  (*let d1 = deg-fun s; d2 = deg-fun t in (d1 < d2 \vee (d1 = d2 \wedge ord s t))*)

**lemma** *dord-fun-degD*:

**assumes** *dord-fun* *ord* *s t*  
**shows** *deg-fun s*  $\leq$  *deg-fun t*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dord-fun-refl*:

**assumes** *ord s s*  
**shows** *dord-fun ord s s*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dord-fun-antisym*:

**assumes** *ord-antisym*: *ord s t*  $\implies$  *ord t s*  $\implies$  *s = t* **and** *dord-fun ord s t* **and**  
*dord-fun ord t s*  
**shows** *s = t*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dord-fun-trans*:

**assumes** *ord-trans*: *ord s t*  $\implies$  *ord t u*  $\implies$  *ord s u* **and** *dord-fun ord s t* **and**  
*dord-fun ord t u*  
**shows** *dord-fun ord s u*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dord-fun-lin*:

*dord-fun ord s t*  $\vee$  *dord-fun ord t s*  
**if** *ord s t*  $\vee$  *ord t s*  
**for** *s t*::'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b::{'ordered-comm-monoid-add, linorder}

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dord-fun-zero-min*:

**fixes** *s t*::'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b::add-linorder-min  
**assumes** *ord-refl*:  $\bigwedge t. \text{ord } t$  **and** *finite* (*supp-fun s*)  
**shows** *dord-fun ord 0 s*

*(proof)*

```
lemma dord-fun-plus-monotone:
  fixes s t u ::'a ⇒ 'b:{ordered-comm-monoid-add, ordered-ab-semigroup-add-imp-le}
  assumes ord-monotone: ord s t ⟹ ord (s + u) (t + u) and finite (supp-fun s)
    and finite (supp-fun t) and finite (supp-fun u) and dord-fun ord s t
  shows dord-fun ord (s + u) (t + u)
(proof)
```

end

```
context wellorder
begin
```

### 6.7.10 Degree-Lexicographic Term Order

```
definition dlex-fun::('a ⇒ 'b:{ordered-comm-monoid-add}) ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ bool
  where dlex-fun ≡ dord-fun lex-fun
```

```
definition dlex-fun-strict s t ⟷ dlex-fun s t ∧ ¬ dlex-fun t s
```

```
lemma dlex-fun-refl:
  shows dlex-fun s s
(proof)
```

```
lemma dlex-fun-antisym:
  assumes dlex-fun s t and dlex-fun t s
  shows s = t
(proof)
```

```
lemma dlex-fun-trans:
  assumes dlex-fun s t and dlex-fun t u
  shows dlex-fun s u
(proof)
```

```
lemma dlex-fun-lin: dlex-fun s t ∨ dlex-fun t s
  for s t::('a ⇒ 'b:{ordered-comm-monoid-add, linorder})
(proof)
```

```
corollary dlex-fun-strict-alt [code]:
  dlex-fun-strict s t = (¬ dlex-fun t s) for s t::('a ⇒ 'b:{ordered-comm-monoid-add,
linorder})
(proof)
```

```
lemma dlex-fun-zero-min:
  fixes s t::('a ⇒ 'b:{add-linorder-min})
  assumes finite (supp-fun s)
  shows dlex-fun 0 s
(proof)
```

```

lemma dlex-fun-plus-monotone:
  fixes s t u::'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b:{ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-add, ordered-ab-semigroup-add-imp-le}
  assumes finite (supp-fun s) and finite (supp-fun t) and finite (supp-fun u) and
  dlex-fun s t
  shows dlex-fun (s + u) (t + u)
  {proof}

```

### 6.7.11 Degree-Reverse-Lexicographic Term Order

```

abbreviation rlex-fun::('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b::order)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  rlex-fun s t  $\equiv$  lex-fun t s

```

Note that *rlex-fun* is not precisely the reverse-lexicographic order relation on power-products. Normally, the *last* (i. e. highest) indeterminate whose exponent differs in the two power-products to be compared is taken, but since we do not require the domain to be finite, there might not be such a last indeterminate. Therefore, we simply take the converse of *lex-fun*.

```

definition drlex-fun::('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b::ordered-comm-monoid-add)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where drlex-fun  $\equiv$  dord-fun rlex-fun

```

```

definition drlex-fun-strict s t  $\longleftrightarrow$  drlex-fun s t  $\wedge$   $\neg$  drlex-fun t s

```

```

lemma drlex-fun-refl:
  shows drlex-fun s s
  {proof}

```

```

lemma drlex-fun-antisym:
  assumes drlex-fun s t and drlex-fun t s
  shows s = t
  {proof}

```

```

lemma drlex-fun-trans:
  assumes drlex-fun s t and drlex-fun t u
  shows drlex-fun s u
  {proof}

```

```

lemma drlex-fun-lin: drlex-fun s t  $\vee$  drlex-fun t s
  for s t::('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b:{ordered-comm-monoid-add, linorder})
  {proof}

```

```

corollary drlex-fun-strict-alt [code]:
  drlex-fun-strict s t = ( $\neg$  drlex-fun t s) for s t::'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b:{ordered-comm-monoid-add,
  linorder}
  {proof}

```

```

lemma drlex-fun-zero-min:
  fixes s t::('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b::add-linorder-min)
  assumes finite (supp-fun s)

```

```

shows drlex-fun 0 s
⟨proof⟩

lemma drlex-fun-plus-monotone:
  fixes s t u::'a ⇒ 'b::{ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-add, ordered-ab-semigroup-add-imp-le}
  assumes finite (supp-fun s) and finite (supp-fun t) and finite (supp-fun u) and
  drlex-fun s t
  shows drlex-fun (s + u) (t + u)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

Every finite linear ordering is also a well-ordering. This fact is particularly useful when working with fixed finite sets of indeterminates.

```

class finite-linorder = finite + linorder
begin

```

```

subclass wellorder
⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

## 6.8 Type poly-mapping

```

lemma poly-mapping-eq-zeroI:

```

```

  assumes keys s = {}
  shows s = (0::('a, 'b::zero) poly-mapping)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma keys-plus-ninv-comm-monoid-add: keys (s + t) = keys s ∪ keys (t::'a ⇒₀
'b::ninv-comm-monoid-add)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lookup-zero-fun: lookup 0 = 0
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lookup-plus-fun: lookup (s + t) = lookup s + lookup t
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lookup-uminus-fun: lookup (− s) = − lookup s
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lookup-minus-fun: lookup (s − t) = lookup s − lookup t
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma poly-mapping-adds-iff: s adds t ⇔ lookup s adds lookup t
⟨proof⟩

```

### 6.8.1 $'a \Rightarrow_0 'b$ belongs to class comm-powerprod

**instance** poly-mapping :: (type, cancel-comm-monoid-add) comm-powerprod  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 6.8.2 $'a \Rightarrow_0 'b$ belongs to class ninv-comm-monoid-add

**instance** poly-mapping :: (type, ninv-comm-monoid-add) ninv-comm-monoid-add  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 6.8.3 $'a \Rightarrow_0 'b$ belongs to class lcs-powerprod

**instantiation** poly-mapping :: (type, add-linorder) lcs-powerprod  
**begin**

**lift-definition** lcs-poly-mapping::( $'a \Rightarrow_0 'b$ )  $\Rightarrow$  ( $'a \Rightarrow_0 'b$ )  $\Rightarrow$  ( $'a \Rightarrow_0 'b$ ) **is**  $\lambda s t.$   
 $\lambda x. max (s x) (t x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** adds-poly-mappingI:  
**assumes** lookup  $s \leq$  lookup ( $t:'a \Rightarrow_0 'b$ )  
**shows**  $s$  adds  $t$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** lookup-lcs-fun: lookup (lcs  $s t$ ) = lcs (lookup  $s$ ) (lookup ( $t:'a \Rightarrow_0 'b$ ))  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**instance**  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**lemma** adds-poly-mapping:  $s$  adds  $t \longleftrightarrow$  lookup  $s \leq$  lookup  $t$   
**for**  $s t:'a \Rightarrow_0 'b::add-linorder-min$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** lookup-gcs-fun: lookup (gcs  $s (t:'a \Rightarrow_0 ('b::add-linorder))$ ) = gcs (lookup  $s$ ) (lookup  $t$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 6.8.4 $'a \Rightarrow_0 'b$ belongs to class ulcs-powerprod

**instance** poly-mapping :: (type, add-linorder-min) ulcs-powerprod  $\langle proof \rangle$

### 6.8.5 Power-products in a given set of indeterminates.

**lemma** adds-except:  
 $s$  adds  $t = (\text{except } s V \text{ adds except } t V \wedge \text{except } s (- V) \text{ adds except } t (- V))$   
**for**  $s t :: 'a \Rightarrow_0 'b::add-linorder$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma adds-except-singleton:
  s adds t  $\longleftrightarrow$  (except s {v} adds except t {v}  $\wedge$  lookup s v adds lookup t v)
  for s t :: 'a  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::add-linorder
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

### 6.8.6 Dickson's lemma for power-products in finitely many indeterminates

```

context countable
begin

```

```

definition elem-index :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat where elem-index = (SOME f. inj f)

```

```

lemma inj-elem-index: inj elem-index
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma elem-index-inj:
  assumes elem-index x = elem-index y
  shows x = y
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma finite-nat-seg: finite {x. elem-index x < n}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

end

```

```

lemma Dickson-poly-mapping:
  assumes finite V
  shows almost-full-on (adds) {x::'a  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::add-wellorder. keys x  $\subseteq$  V}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

definition varnum :: 'x set  $\Rightarrow$  ('x::countable  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::zero)  $\Rightarrow$  nat
  where varnum X t = (if keys t = {} then 0 else Suc (Max (elem-index ` (keys t - X))))

```

```

lemma elem-index-less-varnum:
  assumes x  $\in$  keys t
  obtains x  $\in$  X | elem-index x < varnum X t
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma varnum-plus:
  varnum X (s + t) = max (varnum X s) (varnum X (t::'x::countable  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::ninv-comm-monoid-add))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma dickson-grading-varnum:
  assumes finite X
  shows dickson-grading ((varnum X)::('x::countable  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::add-wellorder)  $\Rightarrow$  nat)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

**corollary** *dickson-grading-varnum-empty*:  
*dickson-grading* ((varnum {})::(-  $\Rightarrow_0$  -::add-wellorder)  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *varnum-le-iff*: varnum  $X$   $t \leq n \longleftrightarrow \text{keys } t \subseteq X \cup \{x. \text{elem-index } x < n\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *varnum-zero [simp]*: varnum  $X$   $0 = 0$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *varnum-empty-eq-zero-iff*: varnum {}  $t = 0 \longleftrightarrow t = 0$   
*(proof)*

**instance** *poly-mapping :: (countable, add-wellorder) graded-dickson-powerprod*  
*(proof)*

**instance** *poly-mapping :: (finite, add-wellorder) dickson-powerprod*  
*(proof)*

### 6.8.7 Lexicographic Term Order

**definition** *lex-pm :: ('a  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a::linorder  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::{zero,linorder})  $\Rightarrow$  bool*  
**where** *lex-pm = ( $\leq$ )*

**definition** *lex-pm-strict :: ('a  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a::linorder  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::{zero,linorder})  $\Rightarrow$  bool*  
**where** *lex-pm-strict = ( $<$ )*

**lemma** *lex-pm-alt*: *lex-pm s t = (s = t  $\vee$  ( $\exists x. \text{lookup } s x < \text{lookup } t x \wedge (\forall y < x. \text{lookup } s y = \text{lookup } t y))$ )*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *lex-pm-refl*: *lex-pm s s*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *lex-pm-antisym*: *lex-pm s t  $\implies$  lex-pm t s  $\implies$  s = t*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *lex-pm-trans*: *lex-pm s t  $\implies$  lex-pm t u  $\implies$  lex-pm s u*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *lex-pm-lin*: *lex-pm s t  $\vee$  lex-pm t s*  
*(proof)*

**corollary** *lex-pm-strict-alt [code]*: *lex-pm-strict s t = ( $\neg$  lex-pm t s)*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *lex-pm-zero-min*: *lex-pm 0 s **for** s::-  $\Rightarrow_0$  -::add-linorder-min*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lex-pm-plus-monotone*:  $lex\text{-}pm\ s\ t \implies lex\text{-}pm\ (s + u)\ (t + u)$   
**for**  $s\ t\ :- \Rightarrow_0 -::\{ordered\text{-}comm\text{-}monoid\text{-}add, ordered\text{-}ab\text{-}semigroup\text{-}add\text{-}imp\text{-}le\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 6.8.8 Degree

**lift-definition** *deg-pm*:: $('a \Rightarrow_0 'b :: comm\text{-}monoid\text{-}add) \Rightarrow 'b$  **is** *deg-fun*  $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *deg-pm-zero*[*simp*]:  $deg\text{-}pm\ 0 = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *deg-pm-eq-0-iff*[*simp*]:  $deg\text{-}pm\ s = 0 \longleftrightarrow s = 0$  **for**  $s\ :- 'a \Rightarrow_0 'b :: add\text{-}linorder\text{-}min$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *deg-pm-superset*:  
**assumes**  $keys\ s \subseteq A$  **and**  $finite\ A$   
**shows**  $deg\text{-}pm\ s = (\sum_{x \in A} lookup\ s\ x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *deg-pm-plus*:  $deg\text{-}pm\ (s + t) = deg\text{-}pm\ s + deg\text{-}pm\ (t : 'a \Rightarrow_0 'b :: comm\text{-}monoid\text{-}add)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *deg-pm-single*:  $deg\text{-}pm\ (Poly\text{-}Mapping.single\ x\ k) = k$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 6.8.9 General Degree-Orders

**context** *linorder*  
**begin**

**lift-definition** *dord-pm*:: $(('a \Rightarrow_0 'b :: ordered\text{-}comm\text{-}monoid\text{-}add) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow_0 'b) \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow_0 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow_0 'b) \Rightarrow bool$   
**is** *dord-fun*  $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dord-pm-alt*:  $dord\text{-}pm\ ord = (\lambda x\ y. deg\text{-}pm\ x < deg\text{-}pm\ y \vee (deg\text{-}pm\ x = deg\text{-}pm\ y \wedge ord\ x\ y))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dord-pm-degD*:  
**assumes** *dord-pm* *ord*  $s\ t$   
**shows**  $deg\text{-}pm\ s \leq deg\text{-}pm\ t$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dord-pm-refl*:  
**assumes** *ord*  $s\ s$   
**shows** *dord-pm* *ord*  $s\ s$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma dord-pm-antisym:
  assumes ord s t  $\implies$  ord t s  $\implies$  s = t and dord-pm ord s t and dord-pm ord t s
  shows s = t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dord-pm-trans:
  assumes ord s t  $\implies$  ord t u  $\implies$  ord s u and dord-pm ord s t and dord-pm ord t u
  shows dord-pm ord s u
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dord-pm-lin:
  dord-pm ord s t  $\vee$  dord-pm ord t s
  if ord s t  $\vee$  ord t s
  for s t::'a  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::{ordered-comm-monoid-add, linorder}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dord-pm-zero-min: dord-pm ord 0 s
  if ord-refl:  $\bigwedge t. \text{ord } t t$ 
  for s t::'a  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::add-linorder-min
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dord-pm-plus-monotone:
  fixes s t u ::'a  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::{ordered-comm-monoid-add, ordered-ab-semigroup-add-imp-le}
  assumes ord s t  $\implies$  ord (s + u) (t + u) and dord-pm ord s t
  shows dord-pm ord (s + u) (t + u)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

### 6.8.10 Degree-Lexicographic Term Order

```

definition dlex-pm::('a::linorder  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::{ordered-comm-monoid-add,linorder})  $\Rightarrow$ 
('a  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where dlex-pm  $\equiv$  dord-pm lex-pm

definition dlex-pm-strict s t  $\longleftrightarrow$  dlex-pm s t  $\wedge$   $\neg$  dlex-pm t s

lemma dlex-pm-refl: dlex-pm s s
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dlex-pm-antisym: dlex-pm s t  $\implies$  dlex-pm t s  $\implies$  s = t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dlex-pm-trans: dlex-pm s t  $\implies$  dlex-pm t u  $\implies$  dlex-pm s u
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dlex-pm-lin: dlex-pm s t  $\vee$  dlex-pm t s
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary dlex-pm-strict-alt [code]: dlex-pm-strict s t = ( $\neg$  dlex-pm t s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dlex-pm-zero-min: dlex-pm 0 s
  for s t:(-  $\Rightarrow_0$  -::add-linorder-min)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dlex-pm-plus-monotone: dlex-pm s t  $\Rightarrow$  dlex-pm (s + u) (t + u)
  for s t:-  $\Rightarrow_0$  -:{ordered-ab-semigroup-add-imp-le, ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-add}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

### 6.8.11 Degree-Reverse-Lexicographic Term Order

```

definition drlex-pm::('a::linorder  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::{ordered-comm-monoid-add,linorder})  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where drlex-pm  $\equiv$  dord-pm ( $\lambda$ s t. lex-pm t s)

definition drlex-pm-strict s t  $\longleftrightarrow$  drlex-pm s t  $\wedge$   $\neg$  drlex-pm t s

lemma drlex-pm-refl: drlex-pm s s
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma drlex-pm-antisym: drlex-pm s t  $\Rightarrow$  drlex-pm t s  $\Rightarrow$  s = t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma drlex-pm-trans: drlex-pm s t  $\Rightarrow$  drlex-pm t u  $\Rightarrow$  drlex-pm s u
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma drlex-pm-lin: drlex-pm s t  $\vee$  drlex-pm t s
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary drlex-pm-strict-alt [code]: drlex-pm-strict s t = ( $\neg$  drlex-pm t s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma drlex-pm-zero-min: drlex-pm 0 s
  for s t:(-  $\Rightarrow_0$  -::add-linorder-min)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma drlex-pm-plus-monotone: drlex-pm s t  $\Rightarrow$  drlex-pm (s + u) (t + u)
  for s t:-  $\Rightarrow_0$  -:{ordered-ab-semigroup-add-imp-le, ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-add}
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

```

theory More-Modules
  imports HOL.Modules
begin

```

More facts about modules.

## 7 Modules over Commutative Rings

```
context module
begin

lemma scale-minus-both [simp]:  $(- a) * s (- x) = a * s x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

### 7.1 Submodules Spanned by Sets of Module-Elements

```
lemma span-insertI:
  assumes  $p \in \text{span } B$ 
  shows  $p \in \text{span} (\text{insert } r B)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma span-insertD:
  assumes  $p \in \text{span} (\text{insert } r B)$  and  $r \in \text{span } B$ 
  shows  $p \in \text{span } B$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma span-insert-idI:
  assumes  $r \in \text{span } B$ 
  shows  $\text{span} (\text{insert } r B) = \text{span } B$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma span-insert-zero:  $\text{span} (\text{insert } 0 B) = \text{span } B$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma span-Diff-zero:  $\text{span} (B - \{0\}) = \text{span } B$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma span-insert-subset:
  assumes  $\text{span } A \subseteq \text{span } B$  and  $r \in \text{span } B$ 
  shows  $\text{span} (\text{insert } r A) \subseteq \text{span } B$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma replace-span:
  assumes  $q \in \text{span } B$ 
  shows  $\text{span} (\text{insert } q (B - \{p\})) \subseteq \text{span } B$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-in-spanI:  $(\sum b \in B. q b * s b) \in \text{span } B$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma span-closed-sum-list:  $(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies x \in \text{span } B) \implies \text{sum-list } xs \in \text{span } B$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma spanE:
  assumes  $p \in \text{span } B$ 
  obtains  $A$   $q$  where  $\text{finite } A$  and  $A \subseteq B$  and  $p = (\sum_{b \in A} (q b) * s b)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma span-finite-subset:
  assumes  $p \in \text{span } B$ 
  obtains  $A$  where  $\text{finite } A$  and  $A \subseteq B$  and  $p \in \text{span } A$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma span-finiteE:
  assumes  $\text{finite } B$  and  $p \in \text{span } B$ 
  obtains  $q$  where  $p = (\sum_{b \in B} (q b) * s b)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma span-subset-spanI:
  assumes  $A \subseteq \text{span } B$ 
  shows  $\text{span } A \subseteq \text{span } B$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma span-insert-cong:
  assumes  $\text{span } A = \text{span } B$ 
  shows  $\text{span } (\text{insert } p A) = \text{span } (\text{insert } p B)$  (is  $?l = ?r$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma span-induct' [consumes 1, case-names base step]:
  assumes  $p \in \text{span } B$  and  $P 0$ 
  and  $\bigwedge_a q. a \in \text{span } B \implies P a \implies p \in B \implies q \neq 0 \implies P (a + q * s p)$ 
  shows  $P p$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma span-INT-subset:  $\text{span } (\bigcap_{a \in A} f a) \subseteq (\bigcap_{a \in A} \text{span } (f a))$  (is  $?l \subseteq ?r$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma span-INT:  $\text{span } (\bigcap_{a \in A} \text{span } (f a)) = (\bigcap_{a \in A} \text{span } (f a))$  (is  $?l = ?r$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma span-Int-subset:  $\text{span } (A \cap B) \subseteq \text{span } A \cap \text{span } B$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma span-Int:  $\text{span } (\text{span } A \cap \text{span } B) = \text{span } A \cap \text{span } B$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma span-image-scale-eq-image-scale:  $\text{span } ((*s) q ` F) = (*s) q ` \text{span } F$  (is  $?A = ?B$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

## 8 Ideals over Commutative Rings

```
lemma module-times: module (*)
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation ideal: module times
  ⟨proof⟩

declare ideal.scale-scale[simp del]

abbreviation ideal ≡ ideal.span

lemma ideal-eq-UNIV-iff-contains-one: ideal B = UNIV ↔ 1 ∈ ideal B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ideal-eq-zero-iff [iff]: ideal F = {0} ↔ F ⊆ {0}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ideal-field-cases:
  obtains ideal B = {0} | ideal (B::'a::field set) = UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary ideal-field-disj: ideal B = {0} ∨ ideal (B::'a::field set) = UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma image-ideal-subset:
  assumes ∀x y. h (x + y) = h x + h y and ∀x y. h (x * y) = h x * h y
  shows h ` ideal F ⊆ ideal (h ` F)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma image-ideal-eq-surj:
  assumes ∀x y. h (x + y) = h x + h y and ∀x y. h (x * y) = h x * h y and
  surj h
  shows h ` ideal B = ideal (h ` B)
  ⟨proof⟩

context
  fixes h :: 'a ⇒ 'a::comm-ring-1
  assumes h-plus: h (x + y) = h x + h y
  assumes h-times: h (x * y) = h x * h y
  assumes h-idem: h (h x) = h x
begin

lemma in-idealE-homomorphism-finite:
  assumes finite B and B ⊆ range h and p ∈ range h and p ∈ ideal B
  obtains q where ∀b. q b ∈ range h and p = (∑ b∈B. q b * b)
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary in-idealE-homomorphism:
```

```

assumes  $B \subseteq \text{range } h$  and  $p \in \text{range } h$  and  $p \in \text{ideal } B$ 
obtains  $A$  q where finite  $A$  and  $A \subseteq B$  and  $\bigwedge b. q b \in \text{range } h$  and  $p = (\sum_{b \in A} q b * b)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma ideal-induct-homomorphism [consumes 3, case-names 0 plus]:
assumes  $B \subseteq \text{range } h$  and  $p \in \text{range } h$  and  $p \in \text{ideal } B$ 
assumes  $P 0$  and  $\bigwedge c b a. c \in \text{range } h \implies b \in B \implies P a \implies a \in \text{range } h \implies P(c * b + a)$ 
shows  $P p$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma image-ideal-eq-Int:  $h` \text{ideal } B = \text{ideal}(h` B) \cap \text{range } h$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

## 9 Type-Class-Multivariate Polynomials

```

theory MPoly-Type-Class
imports
  Utils
  Power-Products
  More-Modules
begin

```

This theory views ' $a \Rightarrow_0 b$ ' as multivariate polynomials, where type class constraints on ' $a$ ' ensure that ' $a$ ' represents something like monomials.

```

lemma when-distrib:  $f(a \text{ when } b) = (f a \text{ when } b) \text{ if } \neg b \implies f 0 = 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition mapp-2 ::  $('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow 'd) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow_0 'b::zero) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow_0 'c::zero)$ 
 $\Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow_0 'd::zero)$ 
where  $mapp-2 f p q = \text{Abs-poly-mapping } (\lambda k. f k (\text{lookup } p k) (\text{lookup } q k)) \text{ when } k \in \text{keys } p \cup \text{keys } q$ 

```

```

lemma lookup-mapp-2:
   $\text{lookup } (\text{mapp-2 } f p q) k = (f k (\text{lookup } p k) (\text{lookup } q k)) \text{ when } k \in \text{keys } p \cup \text{keys } q$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lookup-mapp-2-homogenous:
assumes  $f k 0 0 = 0$ 
shows  $\text{lookup } (\text{mapp-2 } f p q) k = f k (\text{lookup } p k) (\text{lookup } q k)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mapp-2-cong [fundef-cong]:

```

```

assumes  $p = p'$  and  $q = q'$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge k. k \in \text{keys } p' \cup \text{keys } q' \implies f k (\text{lookup } p' k) (\text{lookup } q' k) = f' k$ 
 $(\text{lookup } p' k) (\text{lookup } q' k)$ 
shows  $\text{mapp-2 } f p q = \text{mapp-2 } f' p' q'$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{keys-mapp-subset}: \text{keys } (\text{mapp-2 } f p q) \subseteq \text{keys } p \cup \text{keys } q$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{mapp-2-mapp}: \text{mapp-2 } (\lambda t. a. f t) 0 p = \text{Poly-Mapping.mapp } f p$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

## 9.1 keys

```

lemma  $\text{in-keys-plusI1}:$ 
assumes  $t \in \text{keys } p$  and  $t \notin \text{keys } q$ 
shows  $t \in \text{keys } (p + q)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{in-keys-plusI2}:$ 
assumes  $t \in \text{keys } q$  and  $t \notin \text{keys } p$ 
shows  $t \in \text{keys } (p + q)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{keys-plus-eqI}:$ 
assumes  $\text{keys } p \cap \text{keys } q = \{\}$ 
shows  $\text{keys } (p + q) = (\text{keys } p \cup \text{keys } q)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{keys-uminus}: \text{keys } (- p) = \text{keys } p$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{keys-minus}: \text{keys } (p - q) \subseteq (\text{keys } p \cup \text{keys } q)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

## 9.2 Monomials

```

abbreviation  $\text{monomial} \equiv (\lambda c t. \text{Poly-Mapping.single } t c)$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{keys-of-monomial}:$ 
assumes  $c \neq 0$ 
shows  $\text{keys } (\text{monomial } c t) = \{t\}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{monomial-uminus}:$ 
shows  $-\text{monomial } c s = \text{monomial } (-c) s$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{monomial-inj}:$ 
assumes  $\text{monomial } c s = \text{monomial } (d::'b::\text{zero-neq-one}) t$ 

```

```

shows ( $c = 0 \wedge d = 0 \vee c = d \wedge s = t$ )
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition is-monomial :: ('a  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::zero)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where is-monomial p  $\longleftrightarrow$  card (keys p) = 1

lemma monomial-is-monomial:
assumes c  $\neq 0$ 
shows is-monomial (monomial c t)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma is-monomial-monomial:
assumes is-monomial p
obtains c t where c  $\neq 0$  and p = monomial c t
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma is-monomial-uminus: is-monomial ( $-p$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  is-monomial p
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma monomial-not-0:
assumes is-monomial p
shows p  $\neq 0$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma keys-subset-singleton-imp-monomial:
assumes keys p  $\subseteq \{t\}$ 
shows monomial (lookup p t) t = p
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma monomial-0I:
assumes c = 0
shows monomial c t = 0
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma monomial-0D:
assumes monomial c t = 0
shows c = 0
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

corollary monomial-0-iff: monomial c t = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  c = 0
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lookup-times-monomial-left: lookup (monomial c t * p) s = (c * lookup p
 $(s - t)$  when t adds s)
for c::'b::semiring-0 and t::'a::comm-powerprod
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lookup-times-monomial-right: lookup (p * monomial c t) s = (lookup p (s
 $- t) * c$  when t adds s)

```

```
for c::'b::semiring-0 and t::'a::comm-powerprod
⟨proof⟩
```

### 9.3 Vector-Polynomials

From now on we consider multivariate vector-polynomials, i. e. vectors of scalar polynomials. We do this by adding a *component* to each power-product, yielding *terms*. Vector-polynomials are then again just linear combinations of terms. Note that a term is *not* the same as a vector of power-products!

We use define terms in a locale, such that later on we can interpret the locale also by ordinary power-products (without components), exploiting the canonical isomorphism between '*a*' and '*a* × unit'.

**named-theorems** *term-simps* simplification rules for terms

```
locale term-powerprod =
  fixes pair-of-term::'t ⇒ ('a::comm-powerprod × 'k::linorder)
  fixes term-of-pair::('a × 'k) ⇒ 't
  assumes term-pair [term-simps]: term-of-pair (pair-of-term v) = v
  assumes pair-term [term-simps]: pair-of-term (term-of-pair p) = p
begin
```

```
lemma pair-of-term-injective:
  assumes pair-of-term u = pair-of-term v
  shows u = v
⟨proof⟩
```

```
corollary pair-of-term-inj: inj pair-of-term
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma term-of-pair-injective:
  assumes term-of-pair p = term-of-pair q
  shows p = q
⟨proof⟩
```

```
corollary term-of-pair-inj: inj term-of-pair
⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition pp-of-term :: 't ⇒ 'a
  where pp-of-term v = fst (pair-of-term v)
```

```
definition component-of-term :: 't ⇒ 'k
  where component-of-term v = snd (pair-of-term v)
```

```
lemma term-of-pair-pair [term-simps]: term-of-pair (pp-of-term v, component-of-term
v) = v
⟨proof⟩
```

**lemma** *pp-of-term-of-pair* [term-simps]: *pp-of-term* (*term-of-pair* (*t*, *k*)) = *t*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *component-of-term-of-pair* [term-simps]: *component-of-term* (*term-of-pair* (*t*, *k*)) = *k*  
*(proof)*

### 9.3.1 Additive Structure of Terms

**definition** *splus* :: '*a* ⇒ '*t* ⇒ '*t* (**infixl**  $\langle \oplus \rangle$  75)  
**where** *splus t v* = *term-of-pair* (*t* + *pp-of-term v*, *component-of-term v*)

**definition** *sminus* :: '*t* ⇒ '*a* ⇒ '*t* (**infixl**  $\langle \ominus \rangle$  75)  
**where** *sminus v t* = *term-of-pair* (*pp-of-term v* – *t*, *component-of-term v*)

Note that the argument order in  $(\ominus)$  is reversed compared to the order in  $(\oplus)$ .

**definition** *adds-pp* :: '*a* ⇒ '*t* ⇒ *bool* (**infix**  $\langle \text{adds}_p \rangle$  50)  
**where** *adds-pp t v*  $\longleftrightarrow$  *t adds pp-of-term v*

**definition** *adds-term* :: '*t* ⇒ '*t* ⇒ *bool* (**infix**  $\langle \text{adds}_t \rangle$  50)  
**where** *adds-term u v*  $\longleftrightarrow$  *component-of-term u* = *component-of-term v*  $\wedge$  *pp-of-term u adds pp-of-term v*

**lemma** *pp-of-term-splus* [term-simps]: *pp-of-term* (*t*  $\oplus$  *v*) = *t* + *pp-of-term v*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *component-of-term-splus* [term-simps]: *component-of-term* (*t*  $\oplus$  *v*) = *component-of-term v*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *pp-of-term-sminus* [term-simps]: *pp-of-term* (*v*  $\ominus$  *t*) = *pp-of-term v* – *t*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *component-of-term-sminus* [term-simps]: *component-of-term* (*v*  $\ominus$  *t*) = *component-of-term v*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *splus-sminus* [term-simps]: (*t*  $\oplus$  *v*)  $\ominus$  *t* = *v*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *splus-zero* [term-simps]: *0*  $\oplus$  *v* = *v*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *sminus-zero* [term-simps]: *v*  $\ominus$  *0* = *v*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *splus-assoc* [ac-simps]: (*s* + *t*)  $\oplus$  *v* = *s*  $\oplus$  (*t*  $\oplus$  *v*)  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *splus-left-commute* [*ac-simps*]:  $s \oplus (t \oplus v) = t \oplus (s \oplus v)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *splus-right-canc* [*term-simps*]:  $t \oplus v = s \oplus v \longleftrightarrow t = s$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *splus-left-canc* [*term-simps*]:  $t \oplus v = t \oplus u \longleftrightarrow v = u$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *adds-ppI* [*intro?*]:  
**assumes**  $v = t \oplus u$   
**shows**  $t \text{ adds}_p v$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *adds-ppE* [*elim?*]:  
**assumes**  $t \text{ adds}_p v$   
**obtains**  $u$  **where**  $v = t \oplus u$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *adds-pp-alt*:  $t \text{ adds}_p v \longleftrightarrow (\exists u. v = t \oplus u)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *adds-pp-refl* [*term-simps*]:  $(\text{pp-of-term } v) \text{ adds}_p v$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *adds-pp-trans* [*trans*]:  
**assumes**  $s \text{ adds } t$  **and**  $t \text{ adds}_p v$   
**shows**  $s \text{ adds}_p v$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *zero-adds-pp* [*term-simps*]:  $0 \text{ adds}_p v$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *adds-pp-splus*:  
**assumes**  $t \text{ adds}_p v$   
**shows**  $t \text{ adds}_p s \oplus v$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *adds-pp-triv* [*term-simps*]:  $t \text{ adds}_p t \oplus v$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *plus-adds-pp-mono*:  
**assumes**  $s \text{ adds } t$   
**and**  $u \text{ adds}_p v$   
**shows**  $s + u \text{ adds}_p t \oplus v$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *plus-adds-pp-left*:

```

assumes  $s + t \text{ adds}_p v$ 
shows  $s \text{ adds}_p v$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma plus-adds-pp-right:
assumes  $s + t \text{ adds}_p v$ 
shows  $t \text{ adds}_p v$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma adds-pp-sminus:
assumes  $t \text{ adds}_p v$ 
shows  $t \oplus (v \ominus t) = v$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma adds-pp-canc:  $t + s \text{ adds}_p (t \oplus v) \longleftrightarrow s \text{ adds}_p v$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma adds-pp-canc-2:  $s + t \text{ adds}_p (t \oplus v) \longleftrightarrow s \text{ adds}_p v$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma plus-adds-pp-0:
assumes  $(s + t) \text{ adds}_p v$ 
shows  $s \text{ adds}_p (v \ominus t)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma plus-adds-ppI-1:
assumes  $t \text{ adds}_p v$  and  $s \text{ adds}_p (v \ominus t)$ 
shows  $(s + t) \text{ adds}_p v$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma plus-adds-ppI-2:
assumes  $t \text{ adds}_p v$  and  $s \text{ adds}_p (v \ominus t)$ 
shows  $(t + s) \text{ adds}_p v$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma plus-adds-pp:  $(s + t) \text{ adds}_p v \longleftrightarrow (t \text{ adds}_p v \wedge s \text{ adds}_p (v \ominus t))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma minus-splus:
assumes  $s \text{ adds } t$ 
shows  $(t - s) \oplus v = (t \oplus v) \ominus s$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma minus-splus-sminus:
assumes  $s \text{ adds } t$  and  $u \text{ adds}_p v$ 
shows  $(t - s) \oplus (v \ominus u) = (t \oplus v) \ominus (s + u)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma minus-splus-sminus-cancel:

```

```

assumes  $s$  adds  $t$  and  $t$  adds $p$   $v$ 
shows  $(t - s) \oplus (v \ominus t) = v \ominus s$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma sminus-plus:
assumes  $s$  adds $p$   $v$  and  $t$  adds $p$   $(v \ominus s)$ 
shows  $v \ominus (s + t) = (v \ominus s) \ominus t$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma adds-termI [intro?]:
assumes  $v = t \oplus u$ 
shows  $u$  adds $t$   $v$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma adds-termE [elim?]:
assumes  $u$  adds $t$   $v$ 
obtains  $t$  where  $v = t \oplus u$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma adds-term-alt:  $u$  adds $t$   $v \longleftrightarrow (\exists t. v = t \oplus u)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma adds-term-refl [term-simps]:  $v$  adds $t$   $v$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma adds-term-trans [trans]:
assumes  $u$  adds $t$   $v$  and  $v$  adds $t$   $w$ 
shows  $u$  adds $t$   $w$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma adds-term-splus:
assumes  $u$  adds $t$   $v$ 
shows  $u$  adds $t$   $s \oplus v$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma adds-term-triv [term-simps]:  $v$  adds $t$   $t \oplus v$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma splus-adds-term-mono:
assumes  $s$  adds  $t$ 
and  $u$  adds $t$   $v$ 
shows  $s \oplus u$  adds $t$   $t \oplus v$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma splus-adds-term:
assumes  $t \oplus u$  adds $t$   $v$ 
shows  $u$  adds $t$   $v$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma adds-term-adds-pp:
  u addst v  $\longleftrightarrow$  (component-of-term u = component-of-term v  $\wedge$  pp-of-term u addsp v)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma adds-term-canc: t  $\oplus$  u addst t  $\oplus$  v  $\longleftrightarrow$  u addst v
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma adds-term-canc-2: s  $\oplus$  v addst t  $\oplus$  v  $\longleftrightarrow$  s adds t
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma splus-adds-term-0:
  assumes t  $\oplus$  u addst v
  shows u addst (v  $\ominus$  t)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma splus-adds-termI-1:
  assumes t addsp v and u addst (v  $\ominus$  t)
  shows t  $\oplus$  u addst v
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma splus-adds-term-iff: t  $\oplus$  u addst v  $\longleftrightarrow$  (t addsp v  $\wedge$  u addst (v  $\ominus$  t))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma adds-minus-splus:
  assumes pp-of-term u adds t
  shows (t - pp-of-term u)  $\oplus$  u = term-of-pair (t, component-of-term u)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

### 9.3.2 Projections and Conversions

```

lift-definition proj-poly :: 'k  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::zero)
  is  $\lambda k p t. p$  (term-of-pair (t, k))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

definition vectorize-poly :: ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  ('k  $\Rightarrow_0$  ('a  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::zero))
  where vectorize-poly p = Abs-poly-mapping ( $\lambda k. proj\text{-}poly k p$ )

```

```

definition atomize-poly :: ('k  $\Rightarrow_0$  ('a  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b))  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::zero)
  where atomize-poly p = Abs-poly-mapping ( $\lambda v. lookup$  (lookup p (component-of-term v)) (pp-of-term v)))

```

```

lemma lookup-proj-poly: lookup (proj-poly k p) t = lookup p (term-of-pair (t, k))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma lookup-vectorize-poly: lookup (vectorize-poly p) k = proj-poly k p
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma lookup-atomize-poly:

```

$\text{lookup}(\text{atomize-poly } p) v = \text{lookup}(\text{lookup } p (\text{component-of-term } v)) (\text{pp-of-term } v)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{keys-proj-poly}$ :  $\text{keys}(\text{proj-poly } k p) = \text{pp-of-term} ` \{x \in \text{keys } p. \text{ component-of-term } x = k\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{keys-vectorize-poly}$ :  $\text{keys}(\text{vectorize-poly } p) = \text{component-of-term} ` \text{keys } p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{keys-atomize-poly}$ :  
 $\text{keys}(\text{atomize-poly } p) = (\bigcup_{k \in \text{keys } p.} (\lambda t. \text{term-of-pair } (t, k)) ` \text{keys}(\text{lookup } p k))$  (is  $?l = ?r$ )  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{proj-atomize-poly}$  [term-simps]:  $\text{proj-poly } k (\text{atomize-poly } p) = \text{lookup } p k$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{vectorize-atomize-poly}$  [term-simps]:  $\text{vectorize-poly}(\text{atomize-poly } p) = p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{atomize-vectorize-poly}$  [term-simps]:  $\text{atomize-poly}(\text{vectorize-poly } p) = p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{proj-zero}$  [term-simps]:  $\text{proj-poly } k 0 = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{proj-plus}$ :  $\text{proj-poly } k (p + q) = \text{proj-poly } k p + \text{proj-poly } k q$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{proj-uminus}$  [term-simps]:  $\text{proj-poly } k (-p) = -\text{proj-poly } k p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{proj-minus}$ :  $\text{proj-poly } k (p - q) = \text{proj-poly } k p - \text{proj-poly } k q$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{vectorize-zero}$  [term-simps]:  $\text{vectorize-poly } 0 = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{vectorize-plus}$ :  $\text{vectorize-poly } (p + q) = \text{vectorize-poly } p + \text{vectorize-poly } q$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{vectorize-uminus}$  [term-simps]:  $\text{vectorize-poly } (-p) = -\text{vectorize-poly } p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{vectorize-minus}$ :  $\text{vectorize-poly } (p - q) = \text{vectorize-poly } p - \text{vectorize-poly } q$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *atomize-zero* [term-simps]: *atomize-poly* 0 = 0  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *atomize-plus*: *atomize-poly* (p + q) = *atomize-poly* p + *atomize-poly* q  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *atomize-uminus* [term-simps]: *atomize-poly* (- p) = - *atomize-poly* p  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *atomize-minus*: *atomize-poly* (p - q) = *atomize-poly* p - *atomize-poly* q  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *proj-monomial*:  
*proj-poly* k (*monomial* c v) = (*monomial* c (*pp-of-term* v)) when *component-of-term* v = k  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *vectorize-monomial*:  
*vectorize-poly* (*monomial* c v) = *monomial* (*monomial* c (*pp-of-term* v)) (*component-of-term* v)  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *atomize-monomial-monomial*:  
*atomize-poly* (*monomial* (*monomial* c t) k) = *monomial* c (*term-of-pair* (t, k))  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *poly-mapping-eqI-proj*:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge k. \text{proj-poly } k p = \text{proj-poly } k q$   
**shows** p = q  
*⟨proof⟩*

## 9.4 Scalar Multiplication by Monomials

**definition** *monom-mult* :: '*b*::semiring-0  $\Rightarrow$  '*a*::comm-powerprod  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  '*b*)  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  '*b*)  
**where** *monom-mult* c t p = *Abs-poly-mapping* ( $\lambda v.$  if t adds<sub>p</sub> v then c \* (*lookup* p (v  $\ominus$  t)) else 0)

**lemma** *keys-monom-mult-aux*:  
 $\{v. (\text{if } t \text{ adds}_p v \text{ then } c * \text{lookup } p (v \ominus t) \text{ else } 0) \neq 0\} \subseteq (\oplus) t \cdot \text{keys } p$  (**is** ?l  $\subseteq$  ?r)  
**for** c::'*b*::semiring-0  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *lookup-monom-mult*:  
*lookup* (*monom-mult* c t p) v = (if t adds<sub>p</sub> v then c \* *lookup* p (v  $\ominus$  t) else 0)  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *lookup-monom-mult-plus*:

$$\text{lookup}(\text{monom-mult } c \ t \ p) \ (t \oplus v) = (\text{c}'\text{b}:\text{semiring-0}) * \text{lookup} \ p \ v$$

*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *monom-mult-assoc*:  $\text{monom-mult } c \ s \ (\text{monom-mult } d \ t \ p) = \text{monom-mult}$

$$(c * d) \ (s + t) \ p$$

*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *monom-mult-uminus-left*:  $\text{monom-mult } (-c) \ t \ p = - \text{monom-mult } (\text{c}'\text{b}:\text{ring})$

$$t \ p$$

*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *monom-mult-uminus-right*:  $\text{monom-mult } c \ t \ (-p) = - \text{monom-mult } (\text{c}'\text{b}:\text{ring})$

$$t \ p$$

*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *uminus-monom-mult*:  $-p = \text{monom-mult } (-1:\text{b}:\text{comm-ring-1}) \ 0 \ p$

*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *monom-mult-dist-left*:  $\text{monom-mult } (c + d) \ t \ p = (\text{monom-mult } c \ t \ p) +$

$$(\text{monom-mult } d \ t \ p)$$

*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *monom-mult-dist-left-minus*:

$$\text{monom-mult } (c - d) \ t \ p = (\text{monom-mult } c \ t \ p) - (\text{monom-mult } (d:\text{b}:\text{ring}) \ t \ p)$$

*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *monom-mult-dist-right*:

$$\text{monom-mult } c \ t \ (p + q) = (\text{monom-mult } c \ t \ p) + (\text{monom-mult } c \ t \ q)$$

*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *monom-mult-dist-right-minus*:

$$\text{monom-mult } c \ t \ (p - q) = (\text{monom-mult } c \ t \ p) - (\text{monom-mult } (\text{c}'\text{b}:\text{ring}) \ t \ q)$$

*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *monom-mult-zero-left* [simp]:  $\text{monom-mult } 0 \ t \ p = 0$

*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *monom-mult-zero-right* [simp]:  $\text{monom-mult } c \ t \ 0 = 0$

*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *monom-mult-one-left* [simp]:  $(\text{monom-mult } (1:\text{b}:\text{semiring-1}) \ 0 \ p) = p$

*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *monom-mult-monomial*:

$$\text{monom-mult } c \ s \ (\text{monomial } d \ v) = \text{monomial } (c * (d:\text{b}:\text{semiring-0})) \ (s \oplus v)$$

*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *monom-mult-eq-zero-iff*:  $(\text{monom-mult } c \ t \ p = 0) \longleftrightarrow ((\text{c}'\text{b}:\text{semiring-no-zero-divisors})$

$= 0 \vee p = 0$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lookup-monom-mult-zero*:  $lookup(monom-mult c 0 p) t = c * lookup p t$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *monom-mult-inj-1*:  
**assumes**  $monom-mult c1 t p = monom-mult c2 t p$   
**and**  $(p:(- \Rightarrow_0 'b::semiring-no-zero-divisors-cancel)) \neq 0$   
**shows**  $c1 = c2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Multiplication by a monomial is injective in the second argument (the power-product) only in context *ordered-powerprod*; see lemma *monom-mult-inj-2* below.

**lemma** *monom-mult-inj-3*:  
**assumes**  $monom-mult c t p1 = monom-mult c t (p2:(- \Rightarrow_0 'b::semiring-no-zero-divisors-cancel))$   
**and**  $c \neq 0$   
**shows**  $p1 = p2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *keys-monom-multI*:  
**assumes**  $v \in keys p$  **and**  $c \neq (0:'b::semiring-no-zero-divisors)$   
**shows**  $t \oplus v \in keys(monom-mult c t p)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *keys-monom-mult-subset*:  $keys(monom-mult c t p) \subseteq ((\oplus) t) ` (keys p)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *keys-monom-multE*:  
**assumes**  $v \in keys(monom-mult c t p)$   
**obtains**  $u$  **where**  $u \in keys p$  **and**  $v = t \oplus u$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *keys-monom-mult*:  
**assumes**  $c \neq (0:'b::semiring-no-zero-divisors)$   
**shows**  $keys(monom-mult c t p) = ((\oplus) t) ` (keys p)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *monom-mult-when*:  $monom-mult c t (p \text{ when } P) = ((monom-mult c t p) \text{ when } P)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *when-monom-mult*:  $monom-mult (c \text{ when } P) t p = ((monom-mult c t p) \text{ when } P)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *monomial-power*:  $(monomial c t) \wedge n = monomial (c \wedge n) (\sum i=0..< n. t)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

## 9.5 Component-wise Lifting

Component-wise lifting of functions on ' $a \Rightarrow_0 b$ ' to functions on ' $t \Rightarrow_0 b$ '.

**definition**  $lift\text{-}poly\text{-}fun\text{-}2 :: (('a \Rightarrow_0 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow_0 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow_0 'b)) \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b) \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b) \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b::zero)$   
**where**  $lift\text{-}poly\text{-}fun\text{-}2 f p q = atomize\text{-}poly (mapp\text{-}2 (\lambda\text{-}. f) (vectorize\text{-}poly p) (vectorize\text{-}poly q))$

**definition**  $lift\text{-}poly\text{-}fun :: (('a \Rightarrow_0 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow_0 'b)) \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b) \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b::zero)$   
**where**  $lift\text{-}poly\text{-}fun f p = lift\text{-}poly\text{-}fun\text{-}2 (\lambda\text{-}. f) 0 p$

**lemma**  $lookup\text{-}lift\text{-}poly\text{-}fun\text{-}2:$   
 $lookup (lift\text{-}poly\text{-}fun\text{-}2 f p q) v =$   
 $(lookup (f (proj\text{-}poly (component\text{-}of\text{-}term v) p) (proj\text{-}poly (component\text{-}of\text{-}term v) q)) (pp\text{-}of\text{-}term v))$   
*when component-of-term v  $\in$  keys (vectorize-poly p)  $\cup$  keys (vectorize-poly q))*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $lookup\text{-}lift\text{-}poly\text{-}fun:$   
 $lookup (lift\text{-}poly\text{-}fun f p) v =$   
 $(lookup (f (proj\text{-}poly (component\text{-}of\text{-}term v) p)) (pp\text{-}of\text{-}term v))$  *when component-of-term v  $\in$  keys (vectorize-poly p))*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $lookup\text{-}lift\text{-}poly\text{-}fun\text{-}2\text{-}homogenous:$   
**assumes**  $f 0 0 = 0$   
**shows**  $lookup (lift\text{-}poly\text{-}fun\text{-}2 f p q) v =$   
 $lookup (f (proj\text{-}poly (component\text{-}of\text{-}term v) p) (proj\text{-}poly (component\text{-}of\text{-}term v) q)) (pp\text{-}of\text{-}term v))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $proj\text{-}lift\text{-}poly\text{-}fun\text{-}2\text{-}homogenous:$   
**assumes**  $f 0 0 = 0$   
**shows**  $proj\text{-}poly k (lift\text{-}poly\text{-}fun\text{-}2 f p q) = f (proj\text{-}poly k p) (proj\text{-}poly k q)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $lookup\text{-}lift\text{-}poly\text{-}fun\text{-}homogenous:$   
**assumes**  $f 0 = 0$   
**shows**  $lookup (lift\text{-}poly\text{-}fun f p) v = lookup (f (proj\text{-}poly (component\text{-}of\text{-}term v) p)) (pp\text{-}of\text{-}term v))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $proj\text{-}lift\text{-}poly\text{-}fun\text{-}homogenous:$   
**assumes**  $f 0 = 0$   
**shows**  $proj\text{-}poly k (lift\text{-}poly\text{-}fun f p) = f (proj\text{-}poly k p)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 9.6 Component-wise Multiplication

```

definition mult-vec :: ('t ⇒₀ 'b) ⇒ ('t ⇒₀ 'b) ⇒ ('t ⇒₀ 'b::semiring-0) (infixl
 $\langle\langle \rangle\rangle$  75)
  where mult-vec = lift-poly-fun-2 (*)

lemma lookup-mult-vec:
  lookup (p ** q) v = lookup ((proj-poly (component-of-term v) p) * (proj-poly
  (component-of-term v) q)) (pp-of-term v)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma proj-mult-vec [term-simps]: proj-poly k (p ** q) = (proj-poly k p) * (proj-poly
k q)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mult-vec-zero-left: 0 ** p = 0
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mult-vec-zero-right: p ** 0 = 0
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mult-vec-assoc: (p ** q) ** r = p ** (q ** r)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mult-vec-distrib-right: (p + q) ** r = p ** r + q ** r
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mult-vec-distrib-left: r ** (p + q) = r ** p + r ** q
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mult-vec-minus-mult-left: (− p) ** q = − (p ** q)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mult-vec-minus-mult-right: p ** (− q) = − (p ** q)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma minus-mult-vec-minus: (− p) ** (− q) = p ** q
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma minus-mult-vec-commute: (− p) ** q = p ** (− q)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mult-vec-right-diff-distrib: r ** (p − q) = r ** p − r ** q
  for r::- ⇒₀ 'b::ring
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mult-vec-left-diff-distrib: (p − q) ** r = p ** r − q ** r
  for p::- ⇒₀ 'b::ring
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma mult-vec-commute:  $p \otimes q = q \otimes p$  for  $p, q : \text{comm-semiring-0}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma mult-vec-left-commute:  $p \otimes (q \otimes r) = q \otimes (p \otimes r)$ 
  for  $p, q, r : \text{comm-semiring-0}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma mult-vec-monomial-monomial:
   $(\text{monomial } c u) \otimes (\text{monomial } d v) =$ 
     $(\text{monomial } (c * d) (\text{term-of-pair } (\text{pp-of-term } u + \text{pp-of-term } v, \text{component-of-term } u)) \text{ when }$ 
       $\text{component-of-term } u = \text{component-of-term } v)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma mult-vec-rec-left:  $p \otimes q = \text{monomial } (\text{lookup } p v) v \otimes q + (\text{except } p \{v\})$ 
   $\otimes q$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma mult-vec-rec-right:  $p \otimes q = p \otimes \text{monomial } (\text{lookup } q v) v + p \otimes \text{except } q \{v\}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma in-keys-mult-vecE:
  assumes  $w \in \text{keys } (p \otimes q)$ 
  obtains  $u, v$  where  $u \in \text{keys } p$  and  $v \in \text{keys } q$  and  $\text{component-of-term } u =$ 
     $\text{component-of-term } v$ 
  and  $w = \text{term-of-pair } (\text{pp-of-term } u + \text{pp-of-term } v, \text{component-of-term } u)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma lookup-mult-vec-monomial-left:
   $\text{lookup } (\text{monomial } c v \otimes p) u =$ 
     $(c * \text{lookup } p (\text{term-of-pair } (\text{pp-of-term } u - \text{pp-of-term } v, \text{component-of-term } u)) \text{ when } v \text{ adds}_t u)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma lookup-mult-vec-monomial-right:
   $\text{lookup } (p \otimes \text{monomial } c v) u =$ 
     $(\text{lookup } p (\text{term-of-pair } (\text{pp-of-term } u - \text{pp-of-term } v, \text{component-of-term } u)) * c \text{ when } v \text{ adds}_t u)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

## 9.7 Scalar Multiplication

```

definition mult-scalar ::  $('a \Rightarrow_0 'b) \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b) \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b : \text{semiring-0})$  (infixl
   $\odot$  75)
  where  $\text{mult-scalar } p = \text{lift-poly-fun } ((*) p)$ 

lemma lookup-mult-scalar:
   $\text{lookup } (p \odot q) v = \text{lookup } (p * (\text{proj-poly } (\text{component-of-term } v) q)) (\text{pp-of-term } v)$ 

```

$v)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lookup-mult-scalar-explicit*:

$lookup(p \odot q) u = (\sum_{t \in keys p} lookup p t * (\sum_{v \in keys q} lookup q v \text{ when } u = t \oplus v))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *proj-mult-scalar* [*term-simps*]:  $proj\text{-poly } k (p \odot q) = p * (proj\text{-poly } k q)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-scalar-zero-left* [*simp*]:  $0 \odot p = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-scalar-zero-right* [*simp*]:  $p \odot 0 = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-scalar-one* [*simp*]:  $(1 :: - \Rightarrow_0 'b :: semiring-1) \odot p = p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-scalar-assoc* [*ac-simps*]:  $(p * q) \odot r = p \odot (q \odot r)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-scalar-distrib-right* [*algebra-simps*]:  $(p + q) \odot r = p \odot r + q \odot r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-scalar-distrib-left* [*algebra-simps*]:  $r \odot (p + q) = r \odot p + r \odot q$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-scalar-minus-mult-left* [*simp*]:  $(-p) \odot q = -(p \odot q)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-scalar-minus-mult-right* [*simp*]:  $p \odot (-q) = -(p \odot q)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *minus-mult-scalar-minus* [*simp*]:  $(-p) \odot (-q) = p \odot q$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *minus-mult-scalar-commute*:  $(-p) \odot q = p \odot (-q)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-scalar-right-diff-distrib* [*algebra-simps*]:  $r \odot (p - q) = r \odot p - r \odot q$   
**for**  $r :: - \Rightarrow_0 'b :: ring$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-scalar-left-diff-distrib* [*algebra-simps*]:  $(p - q) \odot r = p \odot r - q \odot r$   
**for**  $p :: - \Rightarrow_0 'b :: ring$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma sum-mult-scalar-distrib-left:  $r \odot (\text{sum } f A) = (\sum a \in A. r \odot f a)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sum-mult-scalar-distrib-right:  $(\text{sum } f A) \odot v = (\sum a \in A. f a \odot v)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma mult-scalar-monomial-monomial:  $(\text{monomial } c t) \odot (\text{monomial } d v) =$ 
   $\text{monomial } (c * d) (t \oplus v)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma mult-scalar-monomial:  $(\text{monomial } c t) \odot p = \text{monom-mult } c t p$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma mult-scalar-rec-left:  $p \odot q = \text{monom-mult } (\text{lookup } p t) t q + (\text{except } p \{t\})$ 
   $\odot q$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma mult-scalar-rec-right:  $p \odot q = p \odot \text{monomial } (\text{lookup } q v) v + p \odot \text{except } q \{v\}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma in-keys-mult-scalarE:
  assumes  $v \in \text{keys } (p \odot q)$ 
  obtains  $t u$  where  $t \in \text{keys } p$  and  $u \in \text{keys } q$  and  $v = t \oplus u$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma lookup-mult-scalar-monomial-right:
   $\text{lookup } (p \odot \text{monomial } c v) u = (\text{lookup } p (\text{pp-of-term } u - \text{pp-of-term } v) * c \text{ when } v \text{ addst } u)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma lookup-mult-scalar-monomial-right-plus:  $\text{lookup } (p \odot \text{monomial } c v) (t \oplus v) = \text{lookup } p t * c$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma keys-mult-scalar-monomial-right-subset:  $\text{keys } (p \odot \text{monomial } c v) \subseteq (\lambda t. t \oplus v) ` \text{keys } p$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma keys-mult-scalar-monomial-right:
  assumes  $c \neq (0 :: b :: \text{semiring-no-zero-divisors})$ 
  shows  $\text{keys } (p \odot \text{monomial } c v) = (\lambda t. t \oplus v) ` \text{keys } p$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

```

## 9.8 Sums and Products

**lemma** *sum-poly-mapping-eq-zeroI*:

**assumes**  $p \in A \subseteq \{0\}$

**shows**  $\text{sum } p \in A = (0 :: (- \Rightarrow_0 'b :: \text{comm-monoid-add}))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lookup-sum-list*:  $\text{lookup } (\text{sum-list } ps) \in A = \text{sum-list } (\text{map } (\lambda p. \text{lookup } p \in A)$

$ps)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Legacy:

**lemmas** *keys-sum-subset* = Poly-Mapping.keys-sum

**lemma** *keys-sum-list-subset*:  $\text{keys } (\text{sum-list } ps) \subseteq \text{Keys } (\text{set } ps)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *keys-sum*:

**assumes**  $\text{finite } A \text{ and } \bigwedge a1 \in A. a2 \in A \Rightarrow a1 \neq a2 \Rightarrow \text{keys } (f a1) \cap \text{keys } (f a2) = \{\}$

**shows**  $\text{keys } (\text{sum } f A) = (\bigcup a \in A. \text{keys } (f a))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-mapping-sum-monomials*:  $(\sum a \in \text{keys } p. \text{monomial } (\text{lookup } p \in A) \in A) = p$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *monomial-sum*:  $\text{monomial } (\text{sum } f C) \in A = (\sum c \in C. \text{monomial } (f c) \in A)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *monomial-Sum-any*:

**assumes**  $\text{finite } \{c. f c \neq 0\}$

**shows**  $\text{monomial } (\text{Sum-any } f) \in A = (\sum c. \text{monomial } (f c) \in A)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**context** term-powerprod

begin

**lemma** *proj-sum*:  $\text{proj-poly } k \in (\text{sum } f A) = (\sum a \in A. \text{proj-poly } k \in (f a))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *proj-sum-list*:  $\text{proj-poly } k \in (\text{sum-list } xs) = \text{sum-list } (\text{map } (\text{proj-poly } k) \in xs)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-scalar-sum-monomials*:  $q \odot p = (\sum t \in \text{keys } q. \text{monom-mult } (\text{lookup } q \in t) \in p)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *fun-mult-scalar-commute*:

**assumes**  $f 0 = 0 \text{ and } \bigwedge x y. f(x + y) = f x + f y$

**and**  $\bigwedge c t. f(\text{monom-mult } c t p) = \text{monom-mult } c t (f p)$   
**shows**  $f(q \odot p) = q \odot (f p)$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** *fun-mult-scalar-commute-canc*:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge x y. f(x + y) = f x + f y$  **and**  $\bigwedge c t. f(\text{monom-mult } c t p) = \text{monom-mult } c t (f p)$   
**shows**  $f(q \odot p) = q \odot (f(p :: 't \Rightarrow_0 'b :: \{\text{semiring-0}, \text{cancel-comm-monoid-add}\}))$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** *monom-mult-sum-left*:  $\text{monom-mult}(\sum f C) t p = (\sum c \in C. \text{monom-mult}(f c) t p)$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** *monom-mult-sum-right*:  $\text{monom-mult } c t (\sum f P) = (\sum p \in P. \text{monom-mult } c t (f p))$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** *monom-mult-Sum-any-left*:

**assumes**  $\text{finite } \{c. f c \neq 0\}$   
**shows**  $\text{monom-mult}(\text{Sum-any } f) t p = (\sum c. \text{monom-mult}(f c) t p)$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** *monom-mult-Sum-any-right*:

**assumes**  $\text{finite } \{p. f p \neq 0\}$   
**shows**  $\text{monom-mult } c t (\text{Sum-any } f) = (\sum p. \text{monom-mult } c t (f p))$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** *monomial-prod-sum*:  $\text{monomial}(\prod c I)(\sum a I) = (\prod i \in I. \text{monomial}(c i)(a i))$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

## 9.9 Submodules

**sublocale** *pmdl*: *module mult-scalar*  
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemmas** [*simp del*] = *pmdl.scale-one* *pmdl.scale-zero-left* *pmdl.scale-zero-right* *pmdl.scale-scale*  
*pmdl.scale-minus-left* *pmdl.scale-minus-right* *pmdl.span-eq-iff*

**lemmas** [*algebra-simps del*] = *pmdl.scale-left-distrib* *pmdl.scale-right-distrib*  
*pmdl.scale-left-diff-distrib* *pmdl.scale-right-diff-distrib*

**abbreviation** *pmdl*  $\equiv$  *pmdl.span*

**lemma** *pmdl-closed-monom-mult*:  
**assumes**  $p \in \text{pmdl } B$   
**shows**  $\text{monom-mult } c t p \in \text{pmdl } B$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

```

lemma monom-mult-in-pmdl:  $b \in B \implies \text{monom-mult } c t b \in \text{pmdl } B$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pmdl-induct [consumes 1, case-names module-0 module-plus]:
  assumes  $p \in \text{pmdl } B$  and  $P 0$ 
    and  $\bigwedge a p c t. a \in \text{pmdl } B \implies P a \implies p \in B \implies c \neq 0 \implies P (a + \text{monom-mult } c t p)$ 
  shows  $P p$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma components-pmdl: component-of-term ‘ $\text{Keys } (\text{pmdl } B) = \text{component-of-term } \langle\langle \text{Keys } B \rangle\rangle$ ’
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pmdl-idI:
  assumes  $0 \in B$  and  $\bigwedge b1 b2. b1 \in B \implies b2 \in B \implies b1 + b2 \in B$ 
    and  $\bigwedge c t b. b \in B \implies \text{monom-mult } c t b \in B$ 
  shows  $\text{pmdl } B = B$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

definition full-pmdl :: ‘ $k$  set  $\Rightarrow$  ( $t \Rightarrow_0 'b::\text{zero}$ ) set’
  where  $\text{full-pmdl } K = \{p. \text{component-of-term } \langle\langle \text{keys } p \subseteq K \rangle\rangle\}$ 

definition is-full-pmdl :: ‘ $t \Rightarrow_0 'b::\text{comm-ring-1}$  set  $\Rightarrow$  bool’
  where  $\text{is-full-pmdl } B \longleftrightarrow (\forall p. \text{component-of-term } \langle\langle \text{keys } p \subseteq \text{component-of-term } \langle\langle \text{Keys } B \longrightarrow p \in \text{pmdl } B \rangle\rangle\rangle)$ 

lemma full-pmdl-iff:  $p \in \text{full-pmdl } K \longleftrightarrow \text{component-of-term } \langle\langle \text{keys } p \subseteq K \rangle\rangle$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma full-pmdlI:
  assumes  $\bigwedge v. v \in \text{keys } p \implies \text{component-of-term } v \in K$ 
  shows  $p \in \text{full-pmdl } K$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma full-pmdlD:
  assumes  $p \in \text{full-pmdl } K$  and  $v \in \text{keys } p$ 
  shows  $\text{component-of-term } v \in K$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma full-pmdl-empty:  $\text{full-pmdl } \{\} = \{0\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma full-pmdl-UNIV:  $\text{full-pmdl } \text{UNIV} = \text{UNIV}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma zero-in-full-pmdl:  $0 \in \text{full-pmdl } K$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma full-pmdl-closed-plus:
  assumes  $p \in \text{full-pmdl } K$  and  $q \in \text{full-pmdl } K$ 
  shows  $p + q \in \text{full-pmdl } K$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma full-pmdl-closed-monom-mult:
  assumes  $p \in \text{full-pmdl } K$ 
  shows monom-mult  $c t p \in \text{full-pmdl } K$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma pmdl-full-pmdl:  $\text{pmdl}(\text{full-pmdl } K) = \text{full-pmdl } K$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma components-full-pmdl-subset:
  component-of-term ` Keys ((full-pmdl K)::('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::zero) set)  $\subseteq K$  (is ?l  $\subseteq \neg$ )
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma components-full-pmdl:
  component-of-term ` Keys ((full-pmdl K)::('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::zero-neq-one) set)  $= K$  (is ?l  $= \neg$ )
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma is-full-pmdlII:
  assumes  $\bigwedge p. \text{component-of-term} ` \text{keys } p \subseteq \text{component-of-term} ` \text{Keys } B \implies p \in \text{pmdl } B$ 
  shows is-full-pmdl B
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma is-full-pmdlID:
  assumes is-full-pmdl B and component-of-term ` keys p  $\subseteq$  component-of-term ` Keys B
  shows p  $\in$  pmdl B
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma is-full-pmdl-alt: is-full-pmdl B  $\longleftrightarrow$  pmdl B  $= \text{full-pmdl}(\text{component-of-term}` \text{Keys } B)$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma is-full-pmdl-pmdl: is-full-pmdl (pmdl B)  $\longleftrightarrow$  is-full-pmdl B
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma is-full-pmdl-subset:
  assumes is-full-pmdl B1 and is-full-pmdl B2
  and component-of-term ` Keys B1  $\subseteq$  component-of-term ` Keys B2
  shows pmdl B1  $\subseteq$  pmdl B2
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma is-full-pmdl-eq:

```

**assumes** *is-full-pmdl B1 and is-full-pmdl B2*  
**and** *component-of-term ‘Keys B1 = component-of-term ‘Keys B2*  
**shows** *pmdl B1 = pmdl B2*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**end**

**definition** *map-scale :: 'b ⇒ ('a ⇒₀ 'b) ⇒ ('a ⇒₀ 'b::mult-zero)* (**infixr**  $\leftrightarrow$  71)  
**where** *map-scale c = Poly-Mapping.map ((\*) c)*

If the polynomial mapping  $p$  is interpreted as a power-product, then  $c \cdot p$  corresponds to exponentiation; if it is interpreted as a (vector-) polynomial, then  $c \cdot p$  corresponds to multiplication by scalar from the coefficient type.

**lemma** *lookup-map-scale [simp]: lookup (c · p) = (λx. c \* lookup p x)*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *map-scale-single [simp]: k · Poly-Mapping.single x l = Poly-Mapping.single x (k \* l)*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *map-scale-zero-left [simp]: 0 · t = 0*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *map-scale-zero-right [simp]: k · 0 = 0*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *map-scale-eq-0-iff: c · t = 0 ↔ ((c::semiring-no-zero-divisors) = 0 ∨ t = 0)*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *keys-map-scale-subset: keys (k · t) ⊆ keys t*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *keys-map-scale: keys ((k::'b::semiring-no-zero-divisors) · t) = (if k = 0 then {} else keys t)*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *map-scale-one-left [simp]: (1::'b:{mult-zero,monoid-mult}) · t = t*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *map-scale-assoc [ac-simps]: c · d · t = (c \* d) · (t::⇒₀ -:{semigroup-mult,zero})*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *map-scale-distrib-left [algebra-simps]: (k::'b::semiring-0) · (s + t) = k · s + k · t*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *map-scale-distrib-right [algebra-simps]: (k + (l::'b::semiring-0)) · t = k · t + l · t*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-scale-Suc*:  $(Suc\ k) \cdot t = k \cdot t + t$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-scale-uminus-left*:  $(-k \cdot b \cdot ring) \cdot p = -(k \cdot p)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-scale-uminus-right*:  $(k \cdot b \cdot ring) \cdot (-p) = -(k \cdot p)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-scale-uminus-uminus* [simp]:  $(-k \cdot b \cdot ring) \cdot (-p) = k \cdot p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-scale-minus-distrib-left* [algebra-simps]:  
 $(k \cdot b \cdot comm-semiring-1-cancel) \cdot (p - q) = k \cdot p - k \cdot q$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-scale-minus-distrib-right* [algebra-simps]:  
 $(k - (l \cdot b \cdot comm-semiring-1-cancel)) \cdot f = k \cdot f - l \cdot f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-scale-sum-distrib-left*:  $(k \cdot b \cdot semiring-0) \cdot (\sum f A) = (\sum a \in A. k \cdot f a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-scale-sum-distrib-right*:  $(\sum (f \Rightarrow b \cdot semiring-0) A) \cdot p = (\sum a \in A. f a \cdot p)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *deg-pm-map-scale*:  $deg-pm (k \cdot t) = (k \cdot b \cdot semiring-0) * deg-pm t$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**interpretation** *phull*: module *map-scale*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Since the following lemmas are proved for more general ring-types above, we do not need to have them in the simpset.

**lemmas** [simp del] = *phull.scale-one phull.scale-zero-left phull.scale-zero-right phull.scale-scale phull.scale-minus-left phull.scale-minus-right phull.span-eq-iff*

**lemmas** [algebra-simps del] = *phull.scale-left-distrib phull.scale-right-distrib phull.scale-left-diff-distrib phull.scale-right-diff-distrib*

**abbreviation** *phull*  $\equiv$  *phull.span*

*phull B* is a module over the coefficient ring '*b*', whereas  $\lambda term-of-pair. module.span (term-powerprod.mult-scalar B term-of-pair)$  is a module over the (scalar) polynomial ring '*a*  $\Rightarrow_0$  '*b*'. Nevertheless, both modules can be

sets of *vector-polynomials* of type  $'t \Rightarrow_0 'b$ .

**context** *term-powerprod*

**begin**

**lemma** *map-scale-eq-monom-mult*:  $c \cdot p = \text{monom-mult } c \ 0 \ p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *map-scale-eq-mult-scalar*:  $c \cdot p = \text{monomial } c \ 0 \odot p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *phull-closed-mult-scalar*:  $p \in \text{phull } B \implies \text{monomial } c \ 0 \odot p \in \text{phull } B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-scalar-in-phull*:  $b \in B \implies \text{monomial } c \ 0 \odot b \in \text{phull } B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *phull-subset-module*:  $\text{phull } B \subseteq \text{pmdl } B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *components-phull*: *component-of-term* ‘*Keys* ( $\text{phull } B$ ) = *component-of-term* ‘*Keys*  $B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

## 9.10 Interpretations

### 9.10.1 Isomorphism between $'a$ and $'a \times \text{unit}$

**definition** *to-pair-unit* ::  $'a \Rightarrow ('a \times \text{unit})$   
**where** *to-pair-unit*  $x = (x, ())$

**lemma** *fst-to-pair-unit*:  $\text{fst} (\text{to-pair-unit } x) = x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *to-pair-unit-fst*:  $\text{to-pair-unit} (\text{fst } x) = (x \text{-} \times \text{unit})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**interpretation** *punit*: *term-powerprod* *to-pair-unit* *fst*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

For technical reasons it seems to be better not to put the following lemmas as rewrite-rules of interpretation *punit*.

**lemma** *punit-pp-of-term* [*simp*]:  $\text{punit.pp-of-term} = (\lambda x. x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *punit-component-of-term* [*simp*]:  $\text{punit.component-of-term} = (\lambda -. () )$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma punit-splus [simp]: punit.splus = (+)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma punit-sminus [simp]: punit.sminus = (-)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma punit-adds-pp [simp]: punit.adds-pp = (adds)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma punit-adds-term [simp]: punit.adds-term = (adds)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma punit-proj-poly [simp]: punit.proj-poly = (λ-. id)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma punit-mult-vec [simp]: punit.mult-vec = (*)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma punit-mult-scalar [simp]: punit.mult-scalar = (*)
  ⟨proof⟩

context term-powerprod
begin

lemma proj-monom-mult: proj-poly k (monom-mult c t p) = punit.monom-mult c
t (proj-poly k p)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mult-scalar-monom-mult: (punit.monom-mult c t p) ⊕ q = monom-mult c
t (p ⊕ q)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

### 9.10.2 Interpretation of *term-powerprod* by $'a \times 'k$

```

interpretation pprod: term-powerprod (λx:'a::comm-powerprod × 'k::linorder. x)
  λx. x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pprod-pp-of-term [simp]: pprod.pp-of-term = fst
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pprod-component-of-term [simp]: pprod.component-of-term = snd
  ⟨proof⟩

```

### 9.10.3 Simplifier Setup

There is no reason to keep the interpreted theorems as simplification rules.

```

lemmas [term-simps del] = term-simps

lemmas times-monomial-monomial = punit.mult-scalar-monomial-monomial[simplified]
lemmas times-monomial-left = punit.mult-scalar-monomial[simplified]
lemmas times-rec-left = punit.mult-scalar-rec-left[simplified]
lemmas times-rec-right = punit.mult-scalar-rec-right[simplified]
lemmas in-keys-timesE = punit.in-keys-mult-scalarE[simplified]
lemmas punit-monom-mult-monomial = punit.monom-mult-monomial[simplified]
lemmas lookup-times = punit.lookup-mult-scalar-explicit[simplified]
lemmas map-scale-eq-times = punit.map-scale-eq-mult-scalar[simplified]

end

```

## 10 Type-Class-Multivariate Polynomials in Ordered Terms

```

theory MPoly-Type-Class-Ordered
  imports MPoly-Type-Class
begin

```

```

class the-min = linorder +
  fixes the-min::'a
  assumes the-min-min: the-min ≤ x

```

Type class *the-min* guarantees that a least element exists. Instances of *the-min* should provide *computable* definitions of that element.

```

instantiation nat :: the-min
begin
  definition the-min-nat = (0::nat)
  instance ⟨proof⟩
end

```

```

instantiation unit :: the-min
begin
  definition the-min-unit = ()
  instance ⟨proof⟩
end

```

```

locale ordered-term =
  term-powerprod pair-of-term term-of-pair +
  ordered-powerprod ord ord-strict +
  ord-term-lin: linorder ord-term ord-term-strict
  for pair-of-term::'t ⇒ ('a::comm-powerprod × 'k::{'the-min, wellorder})
  and term-of-pair::('a × 'k) ⇒ 't
  and ord::'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool (infixl ⟨≤⟩ 50)
  and ord-strict (infixl ⟨<⟩ 50)
  and ord-term::'t ⇒ 't ⇒ bool (infixl ⟨≤_t⟩ 50)
  and ord-term-strict::'t ⇒ 't ⇒ bool (infixl ⟨<_t⟩ 50) +

```

```

assumes splus-mono:  $v \preceq_t w \implies t \oplus v \preceq_t t \oplus w$ 
assumes ord-termI: pp-of-term  $v \preceq$  pp-of-term  $w \implies$  component-of-term  $v \leq$ 
component-of-term  $w \implies v \preceq_t w$ 
begin

```

```

abbreviation ord-term-conv (infixl  $\langle \succeq_t \rangle$  50) where ord-term-conv  $\equiv (\preceq_t)^{-1-1}$ 
abbreviation ord-term-strict-conv (infixl  $\langle \succ_t \rangle$  50) where ord-term-strict-conv  $\equiv$ 
 $(\prec_t)^{-1-1}$ 

```

The definition of *ordered-term* only covers TOP and POT orderings.  
These two types of orderings are the only interesting ones.

```
definition min-term  $\equiv$  term-of-pair (0, the-min)
```

```
lemma min-term-min: min-term  $\preceq_t v$   

⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma splus-mono-strict:  

assumes  $v \prec_t w$   

shows  $t \oplus v \prec_t t \oplus w$   

⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma splus-mono-left:  

assumes  $s \preceq t$   

shows  $s \oplus v \preceq_t t \oplus v$   

⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma splus-mono-strict-left:  

assumes  $s \prec t$   

shows  $s \oplus v \prec_t t \oplus v$   

⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma ord-term-canc:  

assumes  $t \oplus v \preceq_t t \oplus w$   

shows  $v \preceq_t w$   

⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma ord-term-strict-canc:  

assumes  $t \oplus v \prec_t t \oplus w$   

shows  $v \prec_t w$   

⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma ord-term-canc-left:  

assumes  $t \oplus v \preceq_t s \oplus v$   

shows  $t \preceq s$   

⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma ord-term-strict-canc-left:  

assumes  $t \oplus v \prec_t s \oplus v$   

shows  $t \prec s$ 
```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma ord-adds-term:
  assumes u addst v
  shows u ⪯t v
⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 10.1 Interpretations

```

context ordered-powerprod
begin

```

### 10.1.1 Unit

```

sublocale punit: ordered-term to-pair-unit fst (⊍) (⊐) (⊑) (⊒)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma punit-min-term [simp]: punit.min-term = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

```

## 10.2 Definitions

```

context ordered-term
begin

```

```

definition higher :: ('t ⇒₀ 'b) ⇒ 't ⇒ ('t ⇒₀ 'b::zero)
  where higher p t = except p {s. s ⪯t t}

```

```

definition lower :: ('t ⇒₀ 'b) ⇒ 't ⇒ ('t ⇒₀ 'b::zero)
  where lower p t = except p {s. t ⪯t s}

```

```

definition lt :: ('t ⇒₀ 'b::zero) ⇒ 't
  where lt p = (if p = 0 then min-term else ord-term-lin.Max (keys p))

```

```

abbreviation lp p ≡ pp-of-term (lt p)

```

```

definition lc :: ('t ⇒₀ 'b::zero) ⇒ 'b
  where lc p = lookup p (lt p)

```

```

definition tt :: ('t ⇒₀ 'b::zero) ⇒ 't
  where tt p = (if p = 0 then min-term else ord-term-lin.Min (keys p))

```

```

abbreviation tp p ≡ pp-of-term (tt p)

```

```

definition tc :: ('t ⇒₀ 'b::zero) ⇒ 'b
  where tc p ≡ lookup p (tt p)

```

```

definition tail :: ('t ⇒₀ 'b) ⇒ ('t ⇒₀ 'b::zero)
where tail p ≡ lower p (lt p)

```

### 10.3 Leading Term and Leading Coefficient: *lt* and *lc*

```

lemma lt-zero [simp]: lt 0 = min-term
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lc-zero [simp]: lc 0 = 0
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lt-uminus [simp]: lt (− p) = lt p
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lc-uminus [simp]: lc (− p) = − lc p
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lt-alt:
assumes p ≠ 0
shows lt p = ord-term-lin.Max (keys p)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lt-max:
assumes lookup p v ≠ 0
shows v ⊢ₜ lt p
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lt-eqI:
assumes lookup p v ≠ 0 and ⋀ u. lookup p u ≠ 0 ⇒ u ⊢ₜ v
shows lt p = v
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lt-less:
assumes p ≠ 0 and ⋀ u. v ⊢ₜ u ⇒ lookup p u = 0
shows lt p ⊢ₜ v
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lt-le:
assumes ⋀ u. v ⊢ₜ u ⇒ lookup p u = 0
shows lt p ⊢ₜ v
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lt-gr:
assumes lookup p s ≠ 0 and t ⊢ₜ s
shows t ⊢ₜ lt p
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lc-not-0:

```

**assumes**  $p \neq 0$   
**shows**  $lc p \neq 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lc-eq-zero-iff*:  $lc p = 0 \longleftrightarrow p = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-in-keys*:  
**assumes**  $p \neq 0$   
**shows**  $lt p \in (keys p)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-monomial*:  
 $lt (\text{monomial } c t) = t$  if  $c \neq 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lc-monomial [simp]*:  $lc (\text{monomial } c t) = c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-le-iff*:  $lt p \preceq_t v \longleftrightarrow (\forall u. v \prec_t u \longrightarrow \text{lookup } p u = 0)$  (**is**  $?L \longleftrightarrow ?R$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-plus-eqI*:  
**assumes**  $lt p \prec_t lt q$   
**shows**  $lt (p + q) = lt q$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-plus-eqI-2*:  
**assumes**  $lt q \prec_t lt p$   
**shows**  $lt (p + q) = lt p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-plus-eqI-3*:  
**assumes**  $lt q = lt p$  and  $lc p + lc q \neq 0$   
**shows**  $lt (p + q) = lt (p :: 't \Rightarrow_0 'b :: \text{monoid-add})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-plus-lessE*:  
**assumes**  $lt p \prec_t lt (p + q)$   
**shows**  $lt p \prec_t lt q$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-plus-lessE-2*:  
**assumes**  $lt q \prec_t lt (p + q)$   
**shows**  $lt q \prec_t lt p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-plus-lessI'*:  
**fixes**  $p q :: 't \Rightarrow_0 'b :: \text{monoid-add}$

**assumes**  $p + q \neq 0$  **and**  $\text{lt-eq: } \text{lt } q = \text{lt } p$  **and**  $\text{lc-eq: } \text{lc } p + \text{lc } q = 0$   
**shows**  $\text{lt } (p + q) \prec_t \text{lt } p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary**  $\text{lt-plus-lessI:}$   
**fixes**  $p q :: 't \Rightarrow_0 'b::group-add$   
**assumes**  $p + q \neq 0$  **and**  $\text{lt } q = \text{lt } p$  **and**  $\text{lc } q = -\text{lc } p$   
**shows**  $\text{lt } (p + q) \prec_t \text{lt } p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{lt-plus-distinct-eq-max:}$   
**assumes**  $\text{lt } p \neq \text{lt } q$   
**shows**  $\text{lt } (p + q) = \text{ord-term-lin.max } (\text{lt } p) (\text{lt } q)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{lt-plus-le-max: } \text{lt } (p + q) \preceq_t \text{ord-term-lin.max } (\text{lt } p) (\text{lt } q)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{lt-minus-eqI: } \text{lt } p \prec_t \text{lt } q \implies \text{lt } (p - q) = \text{lt } q$  **for**  $p q :: 't \Rightarrow_0 'b::ab-group-add$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{lt-minus-eqI-2: } \text{lt } q \prec_t \text{lt } p \implies \text{lt } (p - q) = \text{lt } p$  **for**  $p q :: 't \Rightarrow_0 'b::ab-group-add$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{lt-minus-eqI-3:}$   
**assumes**  $\text{lt } q = \text{lt } p$  **and**  $\text{lc } q \neq \text{lc } p$   
**shows**  $\text{lt } (p - q) = \text{lt } (p :: 't \Rightarrow_0 'b::ab-group-add)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{lt-minus-distinct-eq-max:}$   
**assumes**  $\text{lt } p \neq \text{lt } (q :: 't \Rightarrow_0 'b::ab-group-add)$   
**shows**  $\text{lt } (p - q) = \text{ord-term-lin.max } (\text{lt } p) (\text{lt } q)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{lt-minus-lessE: } \text{lt } p \prec_t \text{lt } (p - q) \implies \text{lt } p \prec_t \text{lt } q$  **for**  $p q :: 't \Rightarrow_0 'b::ab-group-add$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{lt-minus-lessE-2: } \text{lt } q \prec_t \text{lt } (p - q) \implies \text{lt } q \prec_t \text{lt } p$  **for**  $p q :: 't \Rightarrow_0 'b::ab-group-add$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{lt-minus-lessI: } p - q \neq 0 \implies \text{lt } q = \text{lt } p \implies \text{lc } q = \text{lc } p \implies \text{lt } (p - q) \prec_t \text{lt } p$   
**for**  $p q :: 't \Rightarrow_0 'b::ab-group-add$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{lt-max-keys:}$

```

assumes  $v \in keys p$ 
shows  $v \preceq_t lt p$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lt-eqI-keys:
assumes  $v \in keys p$  and  $\forall u. u \in keys p \implies u \preceq_t v$ 
shows  $lt p = v$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lt-gr-keys:
assumes  $u \in keys p$  and  $v \prec_t u$ 
shows  $v \prec_t lt p$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lt-plus-eq-maxI:
assumes  $lt p = lt q \implies lc p + lc q \neq 0$ 
shows  $lt(p + q) = ord-term-lin.max(lt p)(lt q)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lt-monom-mult:
assumes  $c \neq (0::'b::semiring-no-zero-divisors)$  and  $p \neq 0$ 
shows  $lt(monom-mult c t p) = t \oplus lt p$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lt-monom-mult-zero:
assumes  $c \neq (0::'b::semiring-no-zero-divisors)$ 
shows  $lt(monom-mult c 0 p) = lt p$ 
⟨proof⟩

corollary lt-map-scale:  $c \neq (0::'b::semiring-no-zero-divisors) \implies lt(c \cdot p) = lt p$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lc-monom-mult [simp]:  $lc(monom-mult c t p) = (c::'b::semiring-no-zero-divisors) * lc p$ 
⟨proof⟩

corollary lc-map-scale [simp]:  $lc(c \cdot p) = (c::'b::semiring-no-zero-divisors) * lc p$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in ordered-term) lt-mult-scalar-monomial-right:
assumes  $c \neq (0::'b::semiring-no-zero-divisors)$  and  $p \neq 0$ 
shows  $lt(p \odot monomial c v) = punit.lt p \oplus v$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lc-mult-scalar-monomial-right:
 $lc(p \odot monomial c v) = punit.lc p * (c::'b::semiring-no-zero-divisors)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lookup-monom-mult-eq-zero:

```

```

assumes  $s \oplus lt p \prec_t v$ 
shows  $lookup(monom-mult(c::'b::semiring-no-zero-divisors) s p) v = 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma in-keys-monom-mult-le:
assumes  $v \in keys(monom-mult c t p)$ 
shows  $v \preceq_t t \oplus lt p$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lt-monom-mult-le:  $lt(monom-mult c t p) \preceq_t t \oplus lt p$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma monom-mult-inj-2:
assumes  $monom-mult c t1 p = monom-mult c t2 p$ 
and  $c \neq 0$  and  $(p::'t \Rightarrow_0 'b::semiring-no-zero-divisors) \neq 0$ 
shows  $t1 = t2$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

#### 10.4 Trailing Term and Trailing Coefficient: *tt* and *tc*

```

lemma tt-zero [simp]:  $tt 0 = min-term$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma tc-zero [simp]:  $tc 0 = 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma tt-alt:
assumes  $p \neq 0$ 
shows  $tt p = ord-term-lin.Min(keys p)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma tt-min-keys:
assumes  $v \in keys p$ 
shows  $tt p \preceq_t v$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma tt-min:
assumes  $lookup p v \neq 0$ 
shows  $tt p \preceq_t v$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma tt-in-keys:
assumes  $p \neq 0$ 
shows  $tt p \in keys p$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma tt-eqI:
assumes  $v \in keys p$  and  $\bigwedge u. u \in keys p \implies v \preceq_t u$ 
shows  $tt p = v$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *tt-gr*:

assumes  $\bigwedge u. u \in keys p \implies v \prec_t u$  and  $p \neq 0$

shows  $v \prec_t tt p$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *tt-less*:

assumes  $u \in keys p$  and  $u \prec_t v$

shows  $tt p \prec_t v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *tt-ge*:

assumes  $\bigwedge u. u \prec_t v \implies lookup p u = 0$  and  $p \neq 0$

shows  $v \preceq_t tt p$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *tt-ge-keys*:

assumes  $\bigwedge u. u \in keys p \implies v \preceq_t u$  and  $p \neq 0$

shows  $v \preceq_t tt p$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *tt-ge-iff*:  $v \preceq_t tt p \longleftrightarrow ((p \neq 0 \vee v = min-term) \wedge (\forall u. u \prec_t v \longrightarrow$

$lookup p u = 0))$

(is  $?L \longleftrightarrow (?A \wedge ?B)$ )

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *tc-not-0*:

assumes  $p \neq 0$

shows  $tc p \neq 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *tt-monomial*:

assumes  $c \neq 0$

shows  $tt (monomial c v) = v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *tc-monomial [simp]*:  $tc (monomial c t) = c$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *tt-plus-eqI*:

assumes  $p \neq 0$  and  $tt p \prec_t tt q$

shows  $tt (p + q) = tt p$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *tt-plus-lessE*:

fixes  $p q$

assumes  $p + q \neq 0$  and  $tt: tt (p + q) \prec_t tt p$

shows  $tt q \prec_t tt p$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *tt-plus-lessI*:  
  **fixes**  $p\ q :: - \Rightarrow_0 'b::ring$   
  **assumes**  $p + q \neq 0$  **and**  $tt\text{-eq: } tt\ q = tt\ p$  **and**  $tc\text{-eq: } tc\ q = -\ tc\ p$   
  **shows**  $tt\ p \prec_t tt\ (p + q)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *tt-uminus [simp]*:  $tt\ (- p) = tt\ p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *tc-uminus [simp]*:  $tc\ (- p) = -\ tc\ p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *tt-monom-mult*:  
  **assumes**  $c \neq (0 :: 'b :: \text{semiring-no-zero-divisors})$  **and**  $p \neq 0$   
  **shows**  $tt\ (\text{monom-mult}\ c\ t\ p) = t \oplus tt\ p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *tt-map-scale*:  $c \neq (0 :: 'b :: \text{semiring-no-zero-divisors}) \implies tt\ (c \cdot p) = tt\ p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *tc-monom-mult [simp]*:  $tc\ (\text{monom-mult}\ c\ t\ p) = (c :: 'b :: \text{semiring-no-zero-divisors}) * tc\ p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *tc-map-scale [simp]*:  $tc\ (c \cdot p) = (c :: 'b :: \text{semiring-no-zero-divisors}) * tc\ p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *in-keys-monom-mult-ge*:  
  **assumes**  $v \in \text{keys} (\text{monom-mult}\ c\ t\ p)$   
  **shows**  $t \oplus tt\ p \preceq_t v$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-ge-tt*:  $tt\ p \preceq_t lt\ p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-eq-tt-monomial*:  
  **assumes** *is-monomial p*  
  **shows**  $lt\ p = tt\ p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 10.5 higher and lower

**lemma** *lookup-higher*:  $\text{lookup} (\text{higher}\ p\ u)\ v = (\text{if } u \prec_t v \text{ then } \text{lookup}\ p\ v \text{ else } 0)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lookup-higher-when*:  $\text{lookup} (\text{higher}\ p\ u)\ v = (\text{lookup}\ p\ v \text{ when } u \prec_t v)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *higher-plus*:  $\text{higher } (p + q) \ v = \text{higher } p \ v + \text{higher } q \ v$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *higher-uminus [simp]*:  $\text{higher } (- p) \ v = -(\text{higher } p \ v)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *higher-minus*:  $\text{higher } (p - q) \ v = \text{higher } p \ v - \text{higher } q \ v$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *higher-zero [simp]*:  $\text{higher } 0 \ t = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *higher-eq-iff*:  $\text{higher } p \ v = \text{higher } q \ v \longleftrightarrow (\forall u. \ v \prec_t u \longrightarrow \text{lookup } p \ u = \text{lookup } q \ u) \ (\text{is } ?L \longleftrightarrow ?R)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *higher-eq-zero-iff*:  $\text{higher } p \ v = 0 \longleftrightarrow (\forall u. \ v \prec_t u \longrightarrow \text{lookup } p \ u = 0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *keys-higher*:  $\text{keys } (\text{higher } p \ v) = \{u \in \text{keys } p. \ v \prec_t u\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *higher-higher*:  $\text{higher } (\text{higher } p \ u) \ v = \text{higher } p \ (\text{ord-term-lin.max } u \ v)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lookup-lower*:  $\text{lookup } (\text{lower } p \ u) \ v = (\text{if } v \prec_t u \text{ then } \text{lookup } p \ v \text{ else } 0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lookup-lower-when*:  $\text{lookup } (\text{lower } p \ u) \ v = (\text{lookup } p \ v \text{ when } v \prec_t u)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lower-plus*:  $\text{lower } (p + q) \ v = \text{lower } p \ v + \text{lower } q \ v$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lower-uminus [simp]*:  $\text{lower } (- p) \ v = - \text{lower } p \ v$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lower-minus*:  $\text{lower } (p - (q :: \text{ab-group-add})) \ v = \text{lower } p \ v - \text{lower } q \ v$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lower-zero [simp]*:  $\text{lower } 0 \ v = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lower-eq-iff*:  $\text{lower } p \ v = \text{lower } q \ v \longleftrightarrow (\forall u. \ u \prec_t v \longrightarrow \text{lookup } p \ u = \text{lookup } q \ u) \ (\text{is } ?L \longleftrightarrow ?R)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lower-eq-zero-iff*:  $\text{lower } p \ v = 0 \longleftrightarrow (\forall u. u \prec_t v \longrightarrow \text{lookup } p \ u = 0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *keys-lower*:  $\text{keys } (\text{lower } p \ v) = \{u \in \text{keys } p. u \prec_t v\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lower-lower*:  $\text{lower } (\text{lower } p \ u) \ v = \text{lower } p \ (\text{ord-term-lin}.min \ u \ v)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-higher*:  
**assumes**  $v \prec_t \text{lt } p$   
**shows**  $\text{lt } (\text{higher } p \ v) = \text{lt } p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lc-higher*:  
**assumes**  $v \prec_t \text{lt } p$   
**shows**  $\text{lc } (\text{higher } p \ v) = \text{lc } p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *higher-eq-zero-iff'*:  $\text{higher } p \ v = 0 \longleftrightarrow \text{lt } p \preceq_t v$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *higher-id-iff*:  $\text{higher } p \ v = p \longleftrightarrow (p = 0 \vee v \prec_t \text{tt } p)$  (**is**  $?L \longleftrightarrow ?R$ )  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *tt-lower*:  
**assumes**  $\text{tt } p \prec_t v$   
**shows**  $\text{tt } (\text{lower } p \ v) = \text{tt } p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *tc-lower*:  
**assumes**  $\text{tt } p \prec_t v$   
**shows**  $\text{tc } (\text{lower } p \ v) = \text{tc } p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-lower*:  $\text{lt } (\text{lower } p \ v) \preceq_t \text{lt } p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-lower-less*:  
**assumes**  $\text{lower } p \ v \neq 0$   
**shows**  $\text{lt } (\text{lower } p \ v) \prec_t v$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-lower-eq-iff*:  $\text{lt } (\text{lower } p \ v) = \text{lt } p \longleftrightarrow (\text{lt } p = \text{min-term} \vee \text{lt } p \prec_t v)$  (**is**  $?L \longleftrightarrow ?R$ )  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *tt-higher*:  
**assumes**  $v \prec_t \text{lt } p$

**shows**  $tt\ p \preceq_t tt$  (*higher*  $p\ v$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *tt-higher-eq-iff*:

$tt\ (higher\ p\ v) = tt\ p \longleftrightarrow ((lt\ p \preceq_t v \wedge tt\ p = min-term) \vee v \prec_t tt\ p)$  (**is**  $?L$   
 $\longleftrightarrow ?R$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lower-eq-zero-iff'*:  $lower\ p\ v = 0 \longleftrightarrow (p = 0 \vee v \preceq_t tt\ p)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lower-id-iff*:  $lower\ p\ v = p \longleftrightarrow (p = 0 \vee lt\ p \prec_t v)$  (**is**  $?L \longleftrightarrow ?R$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lower-higher-commute*:  $higher\ (lower\ p\ s)\ t = lower\ (higher\ p\ t)\ s$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-lower-higher*:

**assumes**  $v \prec_t lt\ (lower\ p\ u)$   
**shows**  $lt\ (lower\ (higher\ p\ v)\ u) = lt\ (lower\ p\ u)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lc-lower-higher*:

**assumes**  $v \prec_t lt\ (lower\ p\ u)$   
**shows**  $lc\ (lower\ (higher\ p\ v)\ u) = lc\ (lower\ p\ u)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *trailing-monomial-higher*:

**assumes**  $p \neq 0$   
**shows**  $p = (higher\ p\ (tt\ p)) + monomial\ (tc\ p)\ (tt\ p)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *higher-lower-decomp*:  $higher\ p\ v + monomial\ (lookup\ p\ v)\ v + lower\ p\ v$   
 $= p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 10.6 tail

**lemma** *lookup-tail*:  $lookup\ (tail\ p)\ v = (if\ v \prec_t lt\ p\ then\ lookup\ p\ v\ else\ 0)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lookup-tail-when*:  $lookup\ (tail\ p)\ v = (lookup\ p\ v\ when\ v \prec_t lt\ p)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lookup-tail-2*:  $lookup\ (tail\ p)\ v = (if\ v = lt\ p\ then\ 0\ else\ lookup\ p\ v)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *leading-monomial-tail*:  $p = monomial\ (lc\ p)\ (lt\ p) + tail\ p$  **for**  $p ::= \Rightarrow_0$   
 $'b::comm-monoid-add$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *tail-alt*:  $\text{tail } p = \text{except } p \setminus \{\text{lt } p\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *tail-alt-2*:  $\text{tail } p = p - \text{monomial}(\text{lc } p)(\text{lt } p)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *tail-zero [simp]*:  $\text{tail } 0 = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-tail*:  
  **assumes**  $\text{tail } p \neq 0$   
  **shows**  $\text{lt}(\text{tail } p) \prec_t \text{lt } p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *keys-tail*:  $\text{keys}(\text{tail } p) = \text{keys } p - \{\text{lt } p\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *tail-monomial*:  $\text{tail}(\text{monomial } c v) = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in ordered-term)** *mult-scalar-tail-rec-left*:  
   $p \odot q = \text{monom-mult}(\text{punit.lc } p)(\text{punit.lt } p) q + (\text{punit.tail } p) \odot q$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-scalar-tail-rec-right*:  $p \odot q = p \odot \text{monomial}(\text{lc } q)(\text{lt } q) + p \odot \text{tail } q$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-tail-max*:  
  **assumes**  $\text{tail } p \neq 0$  **and**  $v \in \text{keys } p$  **and**  $v \prec_t \text{lt } p$   
  **shows**  $v \preceq_t \text{lt}(\text{tail } p)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *keys-tail-less-lt*:  
  **assumes**  $v \in \text{keys}(\text{tail } p)$   
  **shows**  $v \prec_t \text{lt } p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *tt-tail*:  
  **assumes**  $\text{tail } p \neq 0$   
  **shows**  $\text{tt}(\text{tail } p) = \text{tt } p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *tc-tail*:  
  **assumes**  $\text{tail } p \neq 0$   
  **shows**  $\text{tc}(\text{tail } p) = \text{tc } p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma tt-tail-min:
  assumes  $s \in \text{keys } p$ 
  shows  $\text{tt}(\text{tail } p) \preceq_t s$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma tail-monom-mult:
   $\text{tail}(\text{monom-mult } c t p) = \text{monom-mult } (c : 'b :: \text{semiring-no-zero-divisors}) t (\text{tail } p)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma keys-plus-eq-lt-tt-D:
  assumes  $\text{keys}(p + q) = \{\text{lt } p, \text{tt } q\}$  and  $\text{lt } q \prec_t \text{lt } p$  and  $\text{tt } q \prec_t \text{tt } (p :: \Rightarrow_0 'b :: \text{comm-monoid-add})$ 
  shows  $\text{tail } p + \text{higher } q (\text{tt } q) = 0$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

## 10.7 Order Relation on Polynomials

```

definition ord-strict-p :: ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b :: zero)  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infixl  $\prec_p$  50)
where
   $p \prec_p q \longleftrightarrow (\exists v. \text{lookup } p v = 0 \wedge \text{lookup } q v \neq 0 \wedge (\forall u. v \prec_t u \longrightarrow \text{lookup } p u = \text{lookup } q u))$ 

definition ord-p :: ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b :: zero)  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infixl  $\preceq_p$  50) where
   $\text{ord-p } p q \equiv (p \prec_p q \vee p = q)$ 

lemma ord-strict-pI:
  assumes  $\text{lookup } p v = 0$  and  $\text{lookup } q v \neq 0$  and  $\bigwedge_u v \prec_t u \implies \text{lookup } p u = \text{lookup } q u$ 
  shows  $p \prec_p q$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma ord-strict-pE:
  assumes  $p \prec_p q$ 
  obtains  $v$  where  $\text{lookup } p v = 0$  and  $\text{lookup } q v \neq 0$  and  $\bigwedge_u v \prec_t u \implies \text{lookup } p u = \text{lookup } q u$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma not-ord-pI:
  assumes  $\text{lookup } p v \neq \text{lookup } q v$  and  $\text{lookup } p v \neq 0$  and  $\bigwedge_u v \prec_t u \implies \text{lookup } p u = \text{lookup } q u$ 
  shows  $\neg(p \preceq_p q)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

corollary not-ord-strict-pI:
  assumes  $\text{lookup } p v \neq \text{lookup } q v$  and  $\text{lookup } p v \neq 0$  and  $\bigwedge_u v \prec_t u \implies \text{lookup } p u = \text{lookup } q u$ 
  shows  $\neg(p \prec_p q)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma ord-strict-higher:  $p \prec_p q \longleftrightarrow (\exists v. \text{lookup } p \ v = 0 \wedge \text{lookup } q \ v \neq 0 \wedge$   

 $\text{higher } p \ v = \text{higher } q \ v)$   

 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma ord-strict-p-asymmetric:  

assumes  $p \prec_p q$   

shows  $\neg q \prec_p p$   

 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma ord-strict-p-irreflexive:  $\neg p \prec_p p$   

 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma ord-strict-p-transitive:  

assumes  $a \prec_p b$  and  $b \prec_p c$   

shows  $a \prec_p c$   

 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

sublocale order ord-p ord-strict-p  

 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma ord-p-zero-min:  $0 \preceq_p p$   

 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma lt-ord-p:  

assumes  $lt \ p \prec_t lt \ q$   

shows  $p \prec_p q$   

 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma ord-p-lt:  

assumes  $p \preceq_p q$   

shows  $lt \ p \preceq_t lt \ q$   

 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma ord-p-tail:  

assumes  $p \neq 0$  and  $lt \ p = lt \ q$  and  $p \prec_p q$   

shows  $tail \ p \prec_p tail \ q$   

 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma tail-ord-p:  

assumes  $p \neq 0$   

shows  $tail \ p \prec_p p$   

 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma higher-lookup-eq-zero:  

assumes pt:  $\text{lookup } p \ v = 0$  and hp:  $\text{higher } p \ v = 0$  and le:  $q \preceq_p p$   

shows  $(\text{lookup } q \ v = 0) \wedge (\text{higher } q \ v) = 0$   

 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

```

```

lemma ord-strict-p-recI:
  assumes lt p = lt q and lc p = lc q and tail: tail p  $\prec_p$  tail q
  shows p  $\prec_p$  q
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ord-strict-p-recE1:
  assumes p  $\prec_p$  q
  shows q ≠ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ord-strict-p-recE2:
  assumes p ≠ 0 and p  $\prec_p$  q and lt p = lt q
  shows lc p = lc q
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ord-strict-p-rec [code]:
  p  $\prec_p$  q =
  (q ≠ 0  $\wedge$ 
   (p = 0  $\vee$ 
    (let v1 = lt p; v2 = lt q in
     (v1  $\prec_t$  v2  $\vee$  (v1 = v2  $\wedge$  lookup p v1 = lookup q v2  $\wedge$  lower p v1  $\prec_p$  lower
      q v2)))
    )
   )
  (is ?L = ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ord-strict-p-monomial-iff: p  $\prec_p$  monomial c v  $\longleftrightarrow$  (c ≠ 0  $\wedge$  (p = 0  $\vee$  lt
p  $\prec_t$  v))
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary ord-strict-p-monomial-plus:
  assumes p  $\prec_p$  monomial c v and q  $\prec_p$  monomial c v
  shows p + q  $\prec_p$  monomial c v
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ord-strict-p-monom-mult:
  assumes p  $\prec_p$  q and c ≠ (0::'b::semiring-no-zero-divisors)
  shows monom-mult c t p  $\prec_p$  monom-mult c t q
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ord-strict-p-plus:
  assumes p  $\prec_p$  q and keys r ∩ keys q = {}
  shows p + r  $\prec_p$  q + r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-mapping-tail-induct [case-names 0 tail]:
  assumes P 0 and  $\bigwedge p. p \neq 0 \implies P (\text{tail } p) \implies P p$ 

```

```

shows  $P p$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-mapping-neqE:
assumes  $p \neq q$ 
obtains  $v$  where  $v \in keys p \cup keys q$  and  $lookup p v \neq lookup q v$ 
and  $\bigwedge u. v \prec_t u \implies lookup p u = lookup q u$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

## 10.8 Monomials

```

lemma keys-monomial:
assumes is-monomial  $p$ 
shows keys  $p = \{lt p\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma monomial-eq-itself:
assumes is-monomial  $p$ 
shows monomial (lc  $p$ ) (lt  $p$ ) =  $p$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lt-eq-min-term-monomial:
assumes lt  $p = min-term$ 
shows monomial (lc  $p$ ) min-term =  $p$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma is-monomial-monomial-ordered:
assumes is-monomial  $p$ 
obtains  $c v$  where  $c \neq 0$  and lc  $p = c$  and lt  $p = v$  and  $p = monomial c v$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma monomial-plus-not-0:
assumes  $c \neq 0$  and lt  $p \prec_t v$ 
shows monomial  $c v + p \neq 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lt-monomial-plus:
assumes  $c \neq (0::'b::comm-monoid-add)$  and lt  $p \prec_t v$ 
shows lt (monomial  $c v + p$ ) =  $v$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lc-monomial-plus:
assumes  $c \neq (0::'b::comm-monoid-add)$  and lt  $p \prec_t v$ 
shows lc (monomial  $c v + p$ ) =  $c$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma tt-monomial-plus:
assumes  $p \neq (0::\Rightarrow_0 'b::comm-monoid-add)$  and lt  $p \prec_t v$ 
shows tt (monomial  $c v + p$ ) = tt  $p$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *tc-monomial-plus*:

assumes  $p \neq (0 :: \text{b} :: \text{comm-monoid-add})$  and  $\text{lt } p \prec_t v$

shows  $\text{tc}(\text{monomial } c v + p) = \text{tc } p$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *tail-monomial-plus*:

assumes  $c \neq (0 :: \text{b} :: \text{comm-monoid-add})$  and  $\text{lt } p \prec_t v$

shows  $\text{tail}(\text{monomial } c v + p) = p$  (is  $\text{tail } ?q = -$ )

$\langle proof \rangle$

## 10.9 Lists of Keys

In algorithms one very often needs to compute the sorted list of all terms appearing in a list of polynomials.

**definition** *pps-to-list* ::  $'t \text{ set} \Rightarrow 't \text{ list}$  **where**

$\text{pps-to-list } S = \text{rev}(\text{ord-term-lin.sorted-list-of-set } S)$

**definition** *keys-to-list* ::  $('t \Rightarrow_0 'b :: \text{zero}) \Rightarrow 't \text{ list}$

**where**  $\text{keys-to-list } p = \text{pps-to-list}(\text{keys } p)$

**definition** *Keys-to-list* ::  $('t \Rightarrow_0 'b :: \text{zero}) \text{ list} \Rightarrow 't \text{ list}$

**where**  $\text{Keys-to-list } ps = \text{fold}(\lambda p ts. \text{merge-wrt}(\succ_t)(\text{keys-to-list } p) ts) ps []$

Function *pps-to-list* turns finite sets of terms into sorted lists, where the lists are sorted descending (i.e. greater elements come before smaller ones).

**lemma** *distinct-pps-to-list*:  $\text{distinct}(\text{pps-to-list } S)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *set-pps-to-list*:

assumes *finite*  $S$

shows  $\text{set}(\text{pps-to-list } S) = S$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *length-pps-to-list*:  $\text{length}(\text{pps-to-list } S) = \text{card } S$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pps-to-list-sorted-wrt*:  $\text{sorted-wrt}(\succ_t)(\text{pps-to-list } S)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pps-to-list-nth-leI*:

assumes  $j \leq i$  and  $i < \text{card } S$

shows  $(\text{pps-to-list } S) ! i \preceq_t (\text{pps-to-list } S) ! j$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pps-to-list-nth-lessI*:

assumes  $j < i$  and  $i < \text{card } S$

shows  $(\text{pps-to-list } S) ! i \prec_t (\text{pps-to-list } S) ! j$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma pps-to-list-nth-leD:  
  assumes (pps-to-list S) ! i ≤_t (pps-to-list S) ! j and j < card S  
  shows j ≤ i  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma pps-to-list-nth-lessD:  
  assumes (pps-to-list S) ! i <_t (pps-to-list S) ! j and j < card S  
  shows j < i  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma set-keys-to-list: set (keys-to-list p) = keys p  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma length-keys-to-list: length (keys-to-list p) = card (keys p)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma keys-to-list-zero [simp]: keys-to-list 0 = []  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma Keys-to-list-Nil [simp]: Keys-to-list [] = []  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma set-Keys-to-list: set (Keys-to-list ps) = Keys (set ps)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma Keys-to-list-sorted-wrt-aux:  
  assumes sorted-wrt ( $\succ_t$ ) ts  
  shows sorted-wrt ( $\succ_t$ ) (fold ( $\lambda p\ ts.\ merge-wrt$  ( $\succ_t$ ) (keys-to-list p) ts) ps ts)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
corollary Keys-to-list-sorted-wrt: sorted-wrt ( $\succ_t$ ) (Keys-to-list ps)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
corollary distinct-Keys-to-list: distinct (Keys-to-list ps)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma length-Keys-to-list: length (Keys-to-list ps) = card (Keys (set ps))  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma Keys-to-list-eq-pps-to-list: Keys-to-list ps = pps-to-list (Keys (set ps))  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

## 10.10 Multiplication

```
lemma in-keys-mult-scalar-le:  
  assumes v ∈ keys (p ⊕ q)  
  shows v ≤_t punit.lt p ⊕ lt q
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *in-keys-mult-scalar-ge*:

**assumes**  $v \in keys(p \odot q)$

**shows**  $punit.tt p \oplus tt q \preceq_t v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (*in ordered-term*) *lookup-mult-scalar-lt-lt*:

*lookup*  $(p \odot q) (punit.lt p \oplus lt q) = punit.lc p * lc q$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lookup-mult-scalar-tt-tt*: *lookup*  $(p \odot q) (punit.tt p \oplus tt q) = punit.tc p *$

*tc*  $q$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-mult-scalar*:

**assumes**  $p \neq 0$  **and**  $q \neq (0 : t \Rightarrow_0 'b : semiring-no-zero-divisors)$

**shows**  $lt(p \odot q) = punit.lt p \oplus lt q$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *tt-mult-scalar*:

**assumes**  $p \neq 0$  **and**  $q \neq (0 : t \Rightarrow_0 'b : semiring-no-zero-divisors)$

**shows**  $tt(p \odot q) = punit.tt p \oplus tt q$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lc-mult-scalar*: *lc*  $(p \odot q) = punit.lc p * lc(q : t \Rightarrow_0 'b : semiring-no-zero-divisors)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *tc-mult-scalar*: *tc*  $(p \odot q) = punit.tc p * tc(q : t \Rightarrow_0 'b : semiring-no-zero-divisors)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-scalar-not-zero*:

**assumes**  $p \neq 0$  **and**  $q \neq (0 : t \Rightarrow_0 'b : semiring-no-zero-divisors)$

**shows**  $p \odot q \neq 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**context** *ordered-powerprod*

**begin**

**lemmas** *in-keys-times-le* = *punit.in-keys-mult-scalar-le*[*simplified*]

**lemmas** *in-keys-times-ge* = *punit.in-keys-mult-scalar-ge*[*simplified*]

**lemmas** *lookup-times-lp-lp* = *punit.lookup-mult-scalar-lt-lt*[*simplified*]

**lemmas** *lookup-times-tp-tp* = *punit.lookup-mult-scalar-tt-tt*[*simplified*]

**lemmas** *lookup-times-monomial-right-plus* = *punit.lookup-mult-scalar-monomial-right-plus*[*simplified*]

**lemmas** *lookup-times-monomial-right* = *punit.lookup-mult-scalar-monomial-right*[*simplified*]

**lemmas** *lp-times* = *punit.lt-mult-scalar*[*simplified*]

**lemmas** *tp-times* = *punit.tt-mult-scalar*[*simplified*]

```

lemmas lc-times = punit.lc-mult-scalar[simplified]
lemmas tc-times = punit.tc-mult-scalar[simplified]
lemmas times-not-zero = punit.mult-scalar-not-zero[simplified]
lemmas times-tail-rec-left = punit.mult-scalar-tail-rec-left[simplified]
lemmas times-tail-rec-right = punit.mult-scalar-tail-rec-right[simplified]
lemmas punit-in-keys-monom-mult-le = punit.in-keys-monom-mult-le[simplified]
lemmas punit-in-keys-monom-mult-ge = punit.in-keys-monom-mult-ge[simplified]
lemmas lp-monom-mult = punit.lt-monom-mult[simplified]
lemmas tp-monom-mult = punit.tt-monom-mult[simplified]

```

end

## 10.11 dgrad-p-set and dgrad-p-set-le

```

locale gd-term =
  ordered-term pair-of-term term-of-pair ord ord-strict ord-term ord-term-strict
  for pair-of-term::'t ⇒ ('a::graded-dickson-powerprod × 'k:{the-min,wellorder})
  and term-of-pair::('a × 'k) ⇒ 't
  and ord::'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool (infixl ‹≤› 50)
  and ord-strict (infixl ‹↔› 50)
  and ord-term::'t ⇒ 't ⇒ bool (infixl ‹≤_t› 50)
  and ord-term-strict::'t ⇒ 't ⇒ bool (infixl ‹↔_t› 50)
begin

```

sublocale gd-powerprod ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma adds-term-antisym:
  assumes u addst v and v addst u
  shows u = v
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition dgrad-p-set :: ('a ⇒ nat) ⇒ nat ⇒ ('t ⇒_0 'b::zero) set
  where dgrad-p-set d m = {p. pp-of-term ` keys p ⊆ dgrad-set d m}

```

```

definition dgrad-p-set-le :: ('a ⇒ nat) ⇒ (('t ⇒_0 'b) set) ⇒ (('t ⇒_0 'b::zero) set)
  ⇒ bool
  where dgrad-p-set-le d F G ←→ (dgrad-set-le d (pp-of-term ` Keys F) (pp-of-term ` Keys G))

```

```

lemma in-dgrad-p-set-iff: p ∈ dgrad-p-set d m ←→ (∀ v∈keys p. d (pp-of-term v) ≤ m)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma dgrad-p-setI [intro]:
  assumes ⋀v. v ∈ keys p ⇒ d (pp-of-term v) ≤ m
  shows p ∈ dgrad-p-set d m
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma dgrad-p-setD:

**assumes**  $p \in dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set } d m$  **and**  $v \in keys\ p$   
**shows**  $d(pp\text{-}of\text{-}term\ v) \leq m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $zero\text{-}in\text{-}dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}$ :  $0 \in dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set } d m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}zero$  [simp]:  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set } (\lambda\_.\ 0)\ m = UNIV$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $subset\text{-}dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}zero$ :  $F \subseteq dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set } (\lambda\_.\ 0)\ m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}subset$ :  
**assumes**  $m \leq n$   
**shows**  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set } d m \subseteq dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set } d n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}D\text{-}lp$ :  
**assumes**  $p \in dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set } d m$  **and**  $p \neq 0$   
**shows**  $d(lp\ p) \leq m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}exhaust\text{-}expl$ :  
**assumes**  $finite\ F$   
**shows**  $F \subseteq dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set } d (Max (d ` pp\text{-}of\text{-}term ` Keys\ F))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}exhaust$ :  
**assumes**  $finite\ F$   
**obtains**  $m$  **where**  $F \subseteq dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set } d m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}insert$ :  
**assumes**  $F \subseteq dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set } d m$   
**obtains**  $n$  **where**  $m \leq n$  **and**  $f \in dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set } d n$  **and**  $F \subseteq dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set } d n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}leI$ :  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge f. f \in F \implies dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}le\ d \{f\}\ G$   
**shows**  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}le\ d F\ G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}le\text{-}trans$  [trans]:  
**assumes**  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}le\ d F\ G$  **and**  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}le\ d G\ H$   
**shows**  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}le\ d F\ H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}le\text{-}subset$ :

```

assumes  $F \subseteq G$ 
shows  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}le d F G$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}leI\text{-}insert\text{-}keys$ :
assumes  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}le d F G$  and  $dgrad\text{-}set\text{-}le d (pp\text{-}of\text{-}term ` keys f) (pp\text{-}of\text{-}term ` Keys G)$ 
shows  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}le d (insert f F) G$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}leI\text{-}insert$ :
assumes  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}le d F G$  and  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}le d \{f\} G$ 
shows  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}le d (insert f F) G$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}leI\text{-}Un$ :
assumes  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}le d F1 G$  and  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}le d F2 G$ 
shows  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}le d (F1 \cup F2) G$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}le\text{-}dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}$ :
assumes  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}le d F G$  and  $G \subseteq dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set} d m$ 
shows  $F \subseteq dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set} d m$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}le\text{-}except$ :  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}le d \{ \text{except } p S \} \{p\}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}le\text{-}tail$ :  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}le d \{ \text{tail } p \} \{p\}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}le\text{-}plus$ :  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}le d \{p + q\} \{p, q\}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}le\text{-}uminus$ :  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}le d \{-p\} \{p\}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}le\text{-}minus$ :  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}le d \{p - q\} \{p, q\}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $dgrad\text{-}set\text{-}le\text{-}monom\text{-}mult$ :
assumes  $dickson\text{-}grading d$ 
shows  $dgrad\text{-}set\text{-}le d (pp\text{-}of\text{-}term ` keys (monom\text{-}mult c t p)) (insert t (pp\text{-}of\text{-}term ` keys p))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set}\text{-}closed\text{-}plus$ :
assumes  $p \in dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set} d m$  and  $q \in dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set} d m$ 
shows  $p + q \in dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set} d m$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dgrad-p-set-closed-uminus*:

**assumes**  $p \in \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$   
  **shows**  $-p \in \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dgrad-p-set-closed-minus*:

**assumes**  $p \in \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$  **and**  $q \in \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$   
  **shows**  $p - q \in \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dgrad-p-set-closed-monom-mult*:

**assumes** *dickson-grading*  $d$  **and**  $d t \leq m$  **and**  $p \in \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$   
  **shows** *monom-mult*  $c t p \in \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dgrad-p-set-closed-monom-mult-zero*:

**assumes**  $p \in \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$   
  **shows** *monom-mult*  $c 0 p \in \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dgrad-p-set-closed-except*:

**assumes**  $p \in \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$   
  **shows** *except*  $p S \in \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dgrad-p-set-closed-tail*:

**assumes**  $p \in \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$   
  **shows** *tail*  $p \in \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$

$\langle proof \rangle$

## 10.12 Dickson's Lemma for Sequences of Terms

**lemma** *Dickson-term*:

**assumes** *dickson-grading*  $d$  **and** *finite*  $K$   
  **shows** *almost-full-on* (*addst*)  $\{t. \text{pp-of-term } t \in \text{dgrad-set } d m \wedge \text{component-of-term } t \in K\}$   
    (*is almost-full-on* - ? $A$ )

$\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *Dickson-termE*:

**assumes** *dickson-grading*  $d$  **and** *finite* (*component-of-term* ‘*range* ( $f::nat \Rightarrow 't$ ))  
    **and** *pp-of-term* ‘*range*  $f \subseteq \text{dgrad-set } d m$   
    **obtains**  $i j$  **where**  $i < j$  **and**  $f i \text{ addst } f j$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ex-finite-adds-term*:

**assumes** *dickson-grading*  $d$  **and** *finite* (*component-of-term* ‘ $S$ ) **and** *pp-of-term*

$\langle S \subseteq dgrad\text{-set } d m$   
**obtains**  $T$  **where**  $finite\ T$  **and**  $T \subseteq S$  **and**  $\bigwedge s. s \in S \implies (\exists t \in T. t \text{ adds}_t s)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 10.13 Well-foundedness

**definition**  $dickson\text{-}less\text{-}v :: ('a \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow bool$   
**where**  $dickson\text{-}less\text{-}v d m v u \longleftrightarrow (d(pp\text{-}of\text{-}term v) \leq m \wedge d(pp\text{-}of\text{-}term u) \leq m \wedge v \prec_t u)$

**definition**  $dickson\text{-}less\text{-}p :: ('a \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b) \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b::zero) \Rightarrow bool$   
**where**  $dickson\text{-}less\text{-}p d m p q \longleftrightarrow (\{p, q\} \subseteq dgrad\text{-}p\text{-}set d m \wedge p \prec_p q)$

**lemma**  $dickson\text{-}less\text{-}vI:$   
**assumes**  $d(pp\text{-}of\text{-}term v) \leq m$  **and**  $d(pp\text{-}of\text{-}term u) \leq m$  **and**  $v \prec_t u$   
**shows**  $dickson\text{-}less\text{-}v d m v u$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $dickson\text{-}less\text{-}vD1:$   
**assumes**  $dickson\text{-}less\text{-}v d m v u$   
**shows**  $d(pp\text{-}of\text{-}term v) \leq m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $dickson\text{-}less\text{-}vD2:$   
**assumes**  $dickson\text{-}less\text{-}v d m v u$   
**shows**  $d(pp\text{-}of\text{-}term u) \leq m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $dickson\text{-}less\text{-}vD3:$   
**assumes**  $dickson\text{-}less\text{-}v d m v u$   
**shows**  $v \prec_t u$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $dickson\text{-}less\text{-}v\text{-}irrefl: \neg dickson\text{-}less\text{-}v d m v v$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $dickson\text{-}less\text{-}v\text{-}trans:$   
**assumes**  $dickson\text{-}less\text{-}v d m v u$  **and**  $dickson\text{-}less\text{-}v d m u w$   
**shows**  $dickson\text{-}less\text{-}v d m v w$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $wf\text{-}dickson\text{-}less\text{-}v\text{-}aux1:$   
**assumes**  $dickson\text{-}grading d$  **and**  $\bigwedge i::nat. dickson\text{-}less\text{-}v d m (seq(Suc i)) (seq i)$   
**obtains**  $i$  **where**  $\bigwedge j. j > i \implies component\text{-}of\text{-}term (seq j) < component\text{-}of\text{-}term (seq i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $wf\text{-}dickson\text{-}less\text{-}v\text{-}aux2:$

**assumes** *dickson-grading d and*  $\bigwedge i:\text{nat}.$  *dickson-less-v d m (seq (Suc i)) (seq i)*  
**and**  $\bigwedge i:\text{nat}.$  *component-of-term (seq i) < k*  
**shows** *thesis*  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *wf-dickson-less-v:*  
**assumes** *dickson-grading d*  
**shows** *wfP (dickson-less-v d m)*  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *dickson-less-v-zero:* *dickson-less-v ( $\lambda\_. \ 0$ ) m = ( $\prec_t$ )*  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *dickson-less-pI:*  
**assumes** *p ∈ dgrad-p-set d m and q ∈ dgrad-p-set d m and p ≺<sub>p</sub> q*  
**shows** *dickson-less-p d m p q*  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *dickson-less-pD1:*  
**assumes** *dickson-less-p d m p q*  
**shows** *p ∈ dgrad-p-set d m*  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *dickson-less-pD2:*  
**assumes** *dickson-less-p d m p q*  
**shows** *q ∈ dgrad-p-set d m*  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *dickson-less-pD3:*  
**assumes** *dickson-less-p d m p q*  
**shows** *p ≺<sub>p</sub> q*  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *dickson-less-p-irrefl:*  $\neg \text{dickson-less-p d m p p}$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *dickson-less-p-trans:*  
**assumes** *dickson-less-p d m p q and dickson-less-p d m q r*  
**shows** *dickson-less-p d m p r*  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *dickson-less-p-mono:*  
**assumes** *dickson-less-p d m p q and m ≤ n*  
**shows** *dickson-less-p d n p q*  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *dickson-less-p-zero:* *dickson-less-p ( $\lambda\_. \ 0$ ) m = ( $\prec_p$ )*  
*{proof}*

```

lemma wf-dickson-less-p-aux:
  assumes dickson-grading d
  assumes x ∈ Q and ∀ y ∈ Q. y ≠ 0 → (y ∈ dgrad-p-set d m ∧ dickson-less-v d
m (lt y) u)
  shows ∃ p ∈ Q. (∀ q ∈ Q. ¬ dickson-less-p d m q p)
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem wf-dickson-less-p:
  assumes dickson-grading d
  shows wfP (dickson-less-p d m)
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary ord-p-minimum-dgrad-p-set:
  assumes dickson-grading d and x ∈ Q and Q ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m
  obtains q where q ∈ Q and ∀ y. y ≺p q ⇒ y ∉ Q
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ord-term-minimum-dgrad-set:
  assumes dickson-grading d and v ∈ V and pp-of-term ` V ⊆ dgrad-set d m
  obtains u where u ∈ V and ∀ w. w ≺t u ⇒ w ∉ V
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 10.14 More Interpretations

```

context gd-powerprod
begin

```

```

sublocale punit: gd-term to-pair-unit fst (⊓) (⊲) (⊓) (⊲) ⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

```

```

locale od-term =
  ordered-term pair-of-term term-of-pair ord ord-strict ord-term ord-term-strict
  for pair-of-term::'t ⇒ ('a::dickson-powerprod × 'k::{the-min,wellorder})
  and term-of-pair::('a × 'k) ⇒ 't
  and ord::'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool (infixl ⊑ 50)
  and ord-strict (infixl ⊏ 50)
  and ord-term::'t ⇒ 't ⇒ bool (infixl ⊑t 50)
  and ord-term-strict::'t ⇒ 't ⇒ bool (infixl ⊏t 50)
begin

```

```

sublocale gd-term ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ord-p-wf: wfP (≺p)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

```

```

end

theory Poly-Mapping-Finite-Map
imports
  More-MPoly-Type
  HOL-Library.Finite-Map
begin

```

### 10.15 TODO: move!

```

lemma fndom'- fmap-of-list: fndom' (fmap-of-list xs) = set (map fst xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

In this theory, type  $'a \Rightarrow_0 'b$  is represented as association lists. Code equations are proved in order actually perform computations (addition, multiplication, etc.).

### 10.16 Utilities

```

instantiation poly-mapping :: (type, {equal, zero}) equal
begin
definition equal-poly-mapping::('a, 'b) poly-mapping ⇒ ('a, 'b) poly-mapping ⇒
bool where
  equal-poly-mapping p q ≡ (forall t. lookup p t = lookup q t)

instance ⟨proof⟩
end

definition clearjunk0 m = fmfilter (λk. fmlookup m k ≠ Some 0) m

definition fmlookup-default d m x = (case fmlookup m x of Some v ⇒ v | None
⇒ d)
abbreviation lookup0 ≡ fmlookup-default 0

lemma fmlookup-default-fmmap:
  fmlookup-default d (fmmap f M) x = (if x ∈ fndom' M then f (fmlookup-default
d M x) else d)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fmlookup-default-fmmap-keys: fmlookup-default d (fmmap-keys f M) x =
  (if x ∈ fndom' M then f x (fmlookup-default d M x) else d)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fmlookup-default-add[simp]:
  fmlookup-default d (m ++_f n) x =
  (if x ∈ fndom n then the (fmlookup n x)
  else fmlookup-default d m x)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fmlookup-default-if[simp]:
  fmlookup ys a = Some r  $\implies$  fmlookup-default d ys a = r
  fmlookup ys a = None  $\implies$  fmlookup-default d ys a = d
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma finite-lookup-default:
  finite {x. fmlookup-default d xs x  $\neq$  d}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lookup0-clearjunk0: lookup0 xs s = lookup0 (clearjunk0 xs) s
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma clearjunk0-nonzero:
  assumes t  $\in$  fmdom' (clearjunk0 xs)
  shows fmlookup xs t  $\neq$  Some 0
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma clearjunk0-map-of-SomeD:
  assumes a1: fmlookup xs t = Some c and c  $\neq$  0
  shows t  $\in$  fmdom' (clearjunk0 xs)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

### 10.17 Implementation of Polynomial Mappings as Association Lists

**lift-definition** Pm-fmap::('a, 'b::zero) fmap  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b **is** lookup0  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** [simp] = Pm-fmap.rep-eq

**code-datatype** Pm-fmap

**lemma** PM-clearjunk0-cong:

Pm-fmap (clearjunk0 xs) = Pm-fmap xs  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** PM-all-2:

assumes P 0 0  
shows ( $\forall$  x. P (lookup (Pm-fmap xs) x) (lookup (Pm-fmap ys) x)) =  
fmpred ( $\lambda$ k v. P (lookup0 xs k) (lookup0 ys k)) (xs ++<sub>f</sub> ys)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** compute-keys-pp[code]: keys (Pm-fmap xs) = fmdom' (clearjunk0 xs)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** compute-zero-pp[code]: 0 = Pm-fmap fmempty  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma compute-plus-pp [code]:
  Pm-fmap xs + Pm-fmap ys = Pm-fmap (clearjunk0 (fmmap-keys ( $\lambda k v.$  lookup0
  xs k + lookup0 ys k) (xs ++f ys)))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma compute-lookup-pp[code]:
  lookup (Pm-fmap xs) x = lookup0 xs x
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma compute-minus-pp [code]:
  Pm-fmap xs - Pm-fmap ys = Pm-fmap (clearjunk0 (fmmap-keys ( $\lambda k v.$  lookup0
  xs k - lookup0 ys k) (xs ++f ys)))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma compute-uminus-pp[code]:
  - Pm-fmap ys = Pm-fmap (fmmap-keys ( $\lambda k v.$  - lookup0 ys k) ys)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma compute-equal-pp[code]:
  equal-class.equal (Pm-fmap xs) (Pm-fmap ys) = fmpred ( $\lambda k v.$  lookup0 xs k =
  lookup0 ys k) (xs ++f ys)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma compute-map-pp[code]:
  Poly-Mapping.map f (Pm-fmap xs) = Pm-fmap (fmmap ( $\lambda x.$  f x when  $x \neq 0$ ) xs)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fmran'-fmfilter-eq: fmran' (fmfilter p fm) = {y | y.  $\exists x \in fmdom'$  fm. p x
 $\wedge$  fmlookup fm x = Some y}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma compute-range-pp[code]:
  Poly-Mapping.range (Pm-fmap xs) = fmran' (clearjunk0 xs)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

### 10.17.1 Constructors

```

definition sparse0 xs = Pm-fmap (fmap-of-list xs) — sparse representation
definition dense0 xs = Pm-fmap (fmap-of-list (zip [0..<length xs] xs)) — dense
representation

```

```

lemma compute-single[code]: Poly-Mapping.single k v = sparse0 [(k, v)]
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

end

```

## 11 Executable Representation of Polynomial Mappings as Association Lists

```
theory MPoly-Type-Class-FMap
imports
  MPoly-Type-Class-Ordered
  Poly-Mapping-Finite-Map
begin
```

In this theory, (type class) multivariate polynomials of type ' $a \Rightarrow_0 b$ ' are represented as association lists.

It is important to note that theory *MPoly-Type-Class-OAlist*, which represents polynomials as *ordered* associative lists, is much better suited for doing actual computations. This theory is only included for being able to compare the two representations in terms of efficiency.

### 11.1 Power Products

```
lemma compute-lcs-pp[code]:
lcs (Pm-fmap xs) (Pm-fmap ys) =
Pm-fmap (fmmap-keys (λk v. Orderings.max (lookup0 xs k) (lookup0 ys k)) (xs
++f ys))
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma compute-deg-pp[code]:
deg-pm (Pm-fmap xs) = sum (the o fmlookup xs) (fmdom' xs)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition adds-pp-add-linorder :: ('b ⇒0 'a::add-linorder) ⇒ - ⇒ bool
where [code-abbrev]: adds-pp-add-linorder = (adds)
```

```
lemma compute-adds-pp[code]:
adds-pp-add-linorder (Pm-fmap xs) (Pm-fmap ys) =
(fmpred (λk v. lookup0 xs k ≤ lookup0 ys k) (xs ++f ys))
for xs ys::('a, 'b::add-linorder-min) fmap
⟨proof⟩
```

Computing *lex* as below is certainly not the most efficient way, but it works.

```
lemma lex-pm-iff: lex-pm s t = (forall x. lookup s x ≤ lookup t x ∨ (exists y < x. lookup s y ≠ lookup t y))
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma compute-lex-pp[code]:
(lex-pm (Pm-fmap xs) (Pm-fmap (ys::(-, -::ordered-comm-monoid-add) fmap)))
=
(let zs = xs ++f ys in
fmpred (λx v.
```

```


$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{lookup0 } xs \ x \leq \text{lookup0 } ys \ x \vee \\
& \neg \text{fmpred} (\lambda y \ w. \ y \geq x \vee \text{lookup0 } xs \ y = \text{lookup0 } ys \ y) \ zs \\
\)
\langle proof \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

```

**lemma** *compute-dord-pp[code]*:  

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\text{dord-pm } \text{ord} (\text{Pm-fmap } xs) (\text{Pm-fmap} (\text{ys}::('a::\text{wellorder}, 'b::\text{ordered-comm-monoid-add}) \\
& \text{fmap})) = \\
& (\text{let } dx = \text{deg-pm} (\text{Pm-fmap } xs) \text{ in let } dy = \text{deg-pm} (\text{Pm-fmap } ys) \text{ in} \\
& \quad dx < dy \vee (dx = dy \wedge \text{ord} (\text{Pm-fmap } xs) (\text{Pm-fmap } ys))) \\
\)
\langle proof \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

### 11.1.1 Computations

**experiment begin**

```

abbreviation  $X \equiv 0::nat$ 
abbreviation  $Y \equiv 1::nat$ 
abbreviation  $Z \equiv 2::nat$ 

```

**lemma**

```


$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{sparse}_0 [(X, 2::nat), (Z, 7)] + \text{sparse}_0 [(Y, 3), (Z, 2)] = \text{sparse}_0 [(X, 2), (Z, \\
& 9), (Y, 3)] \\
& \text{dense}_0 [2, 0, 7::nat] + \text{dense}_0 [0, 3, 2] = \text{dense}_0 [2, 3, 9] \\
\langle proof \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

```

**lemma**

```


$$\text{sparse}_0 [(X, 2::nat), (Z, 7)] - \text{sparse}_0 [(X, 2), (Z, 2)] = \text{sparse}_0 [(Z, 5)]$$

\langle proof \rangle

```

**lemma**

```


$$\text{lcs} (\text{sparse}_0 [(X, 2::nat), (Y, 1), (Z, 7)]) (\text{sparse}_0 [(Y, 3), (Z, 2)]) = \text{sparse}_0 \\
[(X, 2), (Y, 3), (Z, 7)]$$

\langle proof \rangle

```

**lemma**

```


$$(\text{sparse}_0 [(X, 2::nat), (Z, 1)]) \text{ adds } (\text{sparse}_0 [(X, 3), (Y, 2), (Z, 1)])$$

\langle proof \rangle

```

**lemma**

```


$$\text{lookup} (\text{sparse}_0 [(X, 2::nat), (Z, 3)]) \ X = 2$$

\langle proof \rangle

```

**lemma**

```


$$\text{deg-pm} (\text{sparse}_0 [(X, 2::nat), (Y, 1), (Z, 3), (X, 1)]) = 6$$

\langle proof \rangle

```

**lemma**

*lex-pm* ( $\text{sparse}_0 [(X, 2::\text{nat}), (Y, 1), (Z, 3)]$ ) ( $\text{sparse}_0 [(X, 4)]$ )  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma**

*lex-pm* ( $\text{sparse}_0 [(X, 2::\text{nat}), (Y, 1), (Z, 3)]$ ) ( $\text{sparse}_0 [(X, 4)]$ )  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma**

$\neg (\text{dlex-pm} (\text{sparse}_0 [(X, 2::\text{nat}), (Y, 1), (Z, 3)]) (\text{sparse}_0 [(X, 4)]))$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma**

*dlex-pm* ( $\text{sparse}_0 [(X, 2::\text{nat}), (Y, 1), (Z, 2)]$ ) ( $\text{sparse}_0 [(X, 5)]$ )  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma**

$\neg (\text{drlex-pm} (\text{sparse}_0 [(X, 2::\text{nat}), (Y, 1), (Z, 2)]) (\text{sparse}_0 [(X, 5)]))$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**end**

## 11.2 Implementation of Multivariate Polynomials as Association Lists

### 11.2.1 Unordered Power-Products

**lemma** *compute-monomial* [code]:

*monomial c t* = (*if c = 0 then 0 else sparse*<sub>0</sub> [*(t, c)*])  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *compute-one-poly-mapping* [code]: *1* =  $\text{sparse}_0 [(0, 1)]$

*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *compute-except-poly-mapping* [code]:

*except (Pm-fmap xs) S* = *Pm-fmap (fmfilter (λk. k*  $\notin$  *S) xs)*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *lookup0-fmap-of-list-simps*:

*lookup0 (fmap-of-list ((x, y) # xs)) i* = (*if x = i then y else lookup0 (fmap-of-list xs) i*)

*lookup0 (fmap-of-list []) i* = 0

*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *if-poly-mapping-eq-iff*:

*(if x = y then a else b) =*  
*(if (∀i ∈ keys x ∪ keys y. lookup x i = lookup y i) then a else b)*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *keys-add-eq*: *keys (a + b)* = *keys a ∪ keys b - {x ∈ keys a ∩ keys b. lookup a x + lookup b x = 0}*

```

⟨proof⟩

context term-powerprod
begin

context includes fmap.lifting begin

lift-definition shift-keys::'a ⇒ ('t, 'b) fmap ⇒ ('t, 'b) fmap
  is λt m x. if t addsp x then m (x ⊕ t) else None
⟨proof⟩

definition shift-map-keys t f m = fmmap f (shift-keys t m)

lemma compute-shift-map-keys[code]:
  shift-map-keys t f (fmap-of-list xs) = fmap-of-list (map (λ(k, v). (t ⊕ k, f v)) xs)
⟨proof⟩

end

lemmas [simp] = compute-zero-pp[symmetric]

lemma compute-monom-mult-poly-mapping [code]:
  monom-mult c t (Pm-fmap xs) = Pm-fmap (if c = 0 then fmempty else shift-map-keys
  t ((*) c) xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma compute-mult-scalar-poly-mapping [code]:
  Pm-fmap (fmap-of-list xs) ⊕ q = (case xs of ((t, c) # ys) ⇒
    (monom-mult c t q + except (Pm-fmap (fmap-of-list ys)) {t} ⊕ q) | - ⇒
    Pm-fmap fmempty)
⟨proof⟩

end

```

### 11.2.2 restore constructor view

**named-theorems** mpoly-simps

**definition** monomial1 pp = monomial 1 pp

**lemma** monomial1-Nil[mpoly-simps]: monomial1 0 = 1  
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** monomial-mp: monomial c (pp::'a⇒<sub>0</sub>nat) = Const<sub>0</sub> c \* monomial1 pp  
**for** c::'b::comm-semiring-1  
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** monomial1-add: (monomial1 (a + b)::('a::monoid-add⇒<sub>0</sub>'b::comm-semiring-1))  
= monomial1 a \* monomial1 b

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma monomial1-monomial: monomial1 (monomial n v) = (Var0 v:::-⇒0('b::comm-semiring-1)) ^n
⟨proof⟩

lemma Ball-True: (∀ x∈X. True) ←→ True ⟨proof⟩
lemma Collect-False: {x. False} = {} ⟨proof⟩

lemma Pm-fmap-sum: Pm-fmap f = (∑ x ∈ fmdom' f. monomial (lookup0 f x)
x)
  including fmap.lifting
⟨proof⟩

lemma MPoly-numeral: MPoly (numeral x) = numeral x
⟨proof⟩

lemma MPoly-power: MPoly (x ^ n) = MPoly x ^ n
⟨proof⟩

lemmas [mpoly-simps] = Pm-fmap-sum
add.assoc[symmetric] mult.assoc[symmetric]
add-0 add-0-right mult-1 mult-1-right mult-zero-left mult-zero-right power-0 power-one-right
fmdom'-fmap-of-list
list.map fst-conv
sum.insert-remove finite-insert finite.emptyI
lookup0-fmap-of-list-simps
num.simps rel-simps
if-True if-False
insert-Diff-if insert-iff empty-Diff empty-iff
simp-thms
sum.empty
if-poly-mapping-eq-iff
keys-zero keys-one
keys-add-eq
keys-single
Un-insert-left Un-empty-left
Int-insert-left Int-empty-left
Collect-False
lookup-add lookup-single lookup-zero lookup-one
Set.ball-simps
when-simps
monomial-mp
monomial1-add
monomial1-monomial
Const0-one Const0-zero Const0-numeral Const0-minus
set-simps
```

A simproc for postprocessing with *mpoly-simps* and not polluting [*code-post*]:

$\langle ML \rangle$

### 11.2.3 Ordered Power-Products

```

lemma foldl-assoc:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x y z. f (f x y) z = f x (f y z)$ 
  shows foldl f (f a b) xs = f a (foldl f b xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

context ordered-term
begin

definition list-max::'t list  $\Rightarrow$  't where
  list-max xs ≡ foldl ord-term-lin.max min-term xs

lemma list-max-Cons: list-max (x # xs) = ord-term-lin.max x (list-max xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma list-max-empty: list-max [] = min-term
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma list-max-in-list:
  assumes xs  $\neq []$ 
  shows list-max xs  $\in$  set xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma list-max-maximum:
  assumes a  $\in$  set xs
  shows a  $\preceq_t$  (list-max xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma list-max-nonempty:
  assumes xs  $\neq []$ 
  shows list-max xs = ord-term-lin.Max (set xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma in-set-clearjunk-iff-map-of-eq-Some:
  (a, b)  $\in$  set (AList.clearjunk xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  map-of xs a = Some b
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Pm-fmap-of-list-eq-zero-iff:
  Pm-fmap (fmap-of-list xs) = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  [(k, v)  $\leftarrow$  AList.clearjunk xs . v  $\neq$  0] = []
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fmdom'-clearjunk0: fmdom' (clearjunk0 xs) = fmdom' xs - {x. fmlookup
  xs x = Some 0}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma compute-lt-poly-mapping[code]:
  lt (Pm-fmap (fmap-of-list xs)) = list-max (map fst [(k, v)  $\leftarrow$  AList.clearjunk xs.
  v  $\neq$  0])
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma compute-higher-poly-mapping [code]:
  higher (Pm-fmap xs) t = Pm-fmap (fmfilter ( $\lambda k. t \prec_t k$ ) xs)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma compute-lower-poly-mapping [code]:
  lower (Pm-fmap xs) t = Pm-fmap (fmfilter ( $\lambda k. k \prec_t t$ ) xs)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

lifting-update poly-mapping.lifting
lifting-forget poly-mapping.lifting

```

### 11.3 Computations

#### 11.3.1 Scalar Polynomials

```

type-synonym 'a mpoly-tc = (nat  $\Rightarrow_0$  nat)  $\Rightarrow_0$  'a

definition shift-map-keys-punit = term-powerprod.shift-map-keys to-pair-unit fst

lemma compute-shift-map-keys-punit [code]:
  shift-map-keys-punit t f (fmap-of-list xs) = fmap-of-list (map ( $\lambda(k, v). (t + k, f v)$ )) xs
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

global-interpretation punit: term-powerprod to-pair-unit fst
  rewrites punit.adds-term = (adds)
  and punit.pp-of-term = ( $\lambda x. x$ )
  and punit.component-of-term = ( $\lambda-. ()$ )
  defines monom-mult-punit = punit.monom-mult
  and mult-scalar-punit = punit.mult-scalar
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma compute-monom-mult-punit [code]:
  monom-mult-punit c t (Pm-fmap xs) = Pm-fmap (if c = 0 then fmempty else
  shift-map-keys-punit t ((*) c) xs)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma compute-mult-scalar-punit [code]:
  Pm-fmap (fmap-of-list xs) * q = (case xs of ((t, c) # ys)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  (monom-mult-punit c t q + except (Pm-fmap (fmap-of-list ys)) {t} * q) | -  $\Rightarrow$ 
  Pm-fmap fmempty)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

locale trivariate0-rat
begin

abbreviation X::rat mpoly-tc where X  $\equiv$  Var0 (0::nat)

```

```

abbreviation Y::rat mpoly-tc where Y ≡ Var0 (1::nat)
abbreviation Z::rat mpoly-tc where Z ≡ Var0 (2::nat)

end

locale trivariate
begin

abbreviation X ≡ Var 0
abbreviation Y ≡ Var 1
abbreviation Z ≡ Var 2

end

experiment begin interpretation trivariate0-rat ⟨proof⟩

lemma
keys (X2 * Z ^ 3 + 2 * Y ^ 3 * Z2) =
{monomial 2 0 + monomial 3 2, monomial 3 1 + monomial 2 2}
⟨proof⟩

lemma
keys (X2 * Z ^ 3 + 2 * Y ^ 3 * Z2) =
{monomial 2 0 + monomial 3 2, monomial 3 1 + monomial 2 2}
⟨proof⟩

lemma
- 1 * X2 * Z ^ 7 + - 2 * Y ^ 3 * Z2 = - X2 * Z ^ 7 + - 2 * Y ^ 3 * Z2
⟨proof⟩

lemma
X2 * Z ^ 7 + 2 * Y ^ 3 * Z2 + X2 * Z ^ 4 + - 2 * Y ^ 3 * Z2 = X2 * Z ^
7 + X2 * Z ^ 4
⟨proof⟩

lemma
X2 * Z ^ 7 + 2 * Y ^ 3 * Z2 - X2 * Z ^ 4 + - 2 * Y ^ 3 * Z2 =
X2 * Z ^ 7 - X2 * Z ^ 4
⟨proof⟩

lemma
lookup (X2 * Z ^ 7 + 2 * Y ^ 3 * Z2 + 2) (sparse0 [(0, 2), (2, 7)]) = 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma
X2 * Z ^ 7 + 2 * Y ^ 3 * Z2 ≠
X2 * Z ^ 4 + - 2 * Y ^ 3 * Z2
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma

$$0 * X^2 * Z^3 + 0 * Y^3 * Z^2 = 0$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma

$$\text{monom-mult-punit } 3 \ (\text{sparseo } [(1, 2::nat)]) \ (X^2 * Z + 2 * Y^3 * Z^2) =$$


$$3 * Y^2 * Z * X^2 + 6 * Y^5 * Z^2$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma

$$\text{monomial } (-4) \ (\text{sparseo } [(0, 2::nat)]) = - 4 * X^2$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{monomial } (0::rat) \ (\text{sparseo } [(0::nat, 2::nat)]) = 0$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma

$$(X^2 * Z + 2 * Y^3 * Z^2) * (X^2 * Z^3 + - 2 * Y^3 * Z^2) =$$


$$X^4 * Z^4 + - 2 * X^2 * Z^3 * Y^3 +$$


$$- 4 * Y^6 * Z^4 + 2 * Y^3 * Z^5 * X^2$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

### 11.3.2 Vector-Polynomials

**type-synonym**  $'a vmpoly-tc = ((nat \Rightarrow_0 nat) \times nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a$

**definition**  $shift\text{-}map\text{-}keys\text{-}pprod = pprod.shift\text{-}map\text{-}keys$

**global-interpretation**  $pprod: term\text{-}powerprod \lambda x. x \lambda x. x$   
**rewrites**  $pprod.pp\text{-}of\text{-}term = fst$   
**and**  $pprod.component\text{-}of\text{-}term = snd$   
**defines**  $splus\text{-}pprod = pprod.splus$   
**and**  $monom\text{-}mult\text{-}pprod = pprod.monom\text{-}mult$   
**and**  $mult\text{-}scalar\text{-}pprod = pprod.mult\text{-}scalar$   
**and**  $adds\text{-}term\text{-}pprod = pprod.adds\text{-}term$   
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma**  $compute\text{-}adds\text{-}term\text{-}pprod$  [code-unfold]:  
 $adds\text{-}term\text{-}pprod u v = (snd u = snd v \wedge adds\text{-}pp\text{-}add\text{-}linorder (fst u) (fst v))$   
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma**  $compute\text{-}splus\text{-}pprod$  [code]:  $splus\text{-}pprod t (s, i) = (t + s, i)$   
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

**lemma**  $compute\text{-}shift\text{-}map\text{-}keys\text{-}pprod$  [code]:  
 $shift\text{-}map\text{-}keys\text{-}pprod t f (fmap\text{-}of\text{-}list xs) = fmap\text{-}of\text{-}list (map (\lambda(k, v). (splus\text{-}pprod$

$t k, f v)) \ xs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *compute-monom-mult-pprod* [code]:  
 $\text{monom-mult-pprod } c \ t \ (\text{Pm-fmap } xs) = \text{Pm-fmap} \ (\text{if } c = 0 \text{ then fmempty else}$   
 $\text{shift-map-keys-pprod } t \ ((*) \ c) \ xs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *compute-mult-scalar-pprod* [code]:  
 $\text{mult-scalar-pprod} \ (\text{Pm-fmap} \ (\text{fmap-of-list } xs)) \ q = (\text{case } xs \text{ of } ((t, c) \ # \ ys) \Rightarrow$   
 $(\text{monom-mult-pprod } c \ t \ q + \text{mult-scalar-pprod} \ (\text{except } (\text{Pm-fmap} \ (\text{fmap-of-list } ys)) \ {t}) \ q) \ | \ - \Rightarrow$   
 $\text{Pm-fmap fmempty})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**  $\text{Vec}_0 :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow (('a \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}) \Rightarrow_0 'b) \Rightarrow (('a \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}) \times \text{nat}) \Rightarrow_0$   
 $'b :: \text{semiring-1}$  **where**  
 $\text{Vec}_0 \ i \ p = \text{mult-scalar-pprod } p \ (\text{Poly-Mapping.single } (0, i) \ 1)$

**experiment begin interpretation** *trivariate<sub>0</sub>-rat*  $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  
 $\text{keys} \ (\text{Vec}_0 \ 0 \ (X^2 * Z^3) + \text{Vec}_0 \ 1 \ (2 * Y^3 * Z^2)) =$   
 $\{(\text{sparse}_0 [(0, 2), (2, 3)], 0), (\text{sparse}_0 [(1, 3), (2, 2)], 1)\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  
 $\text{keys} \ (\text{Vec}_0 \ 0 \ (X^2 * Z^3) + \text{Vec}_0 \ 2 \ (2 * Y^3 * Z^2)) =$   
 $\{(\text{sparse}_0 [(0, 2), (2, 3)], 0), (\text{sparse}_0 [(1, 3), (2, 2)], 2)\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  
 $\text{Vec}_0 \ 1 \ (X^2 * Z^7 + 2 * Y^3 * Z^2) + \text{Vec}_0 \ 3 \ (X^2 * Z^4) + \text{Vec}_0 \ 1 \ (- 2 * Y^3 * Z^2) =$   
 $\text{Vec}_0 \ 1 \ (X^2 * Z^7) + \text{Vec}_0 \ 3 \ (X^2 * Z^4)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  
 $\text{lookup} \ (\text{Vec}_0 \ 0 \ (X^2 * Z^7) + \text{Vec}_0 \ 1 \ (2 * Y^3 * Z^2 + 2)) \ (\text{sparse}_0 [(0, 2), (2, 7)], 0) = 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  
 $\text{lookup} \ (\text{Vec}_0 \ 0 \ (X^2 * Z^7) + \text{Vec}_0 \ 1 \ (2 * Y^3 * Z^2 + 2)) \ (\text{sparse}_0 [(0, 2), (2, 7)], 1) = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  
 $\text{Vec}_0 \ 0 \ (0 * X^2 * Z^7) + \text{Vec}_0 \ 1 \ (0 * Y^3 * Z^2) = 0$

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma
  monom-mult-prod 3 (sparse0 [(1, 2::nat)]) (Vec0 0 (X2 * Z) + Vec0 1 (2 * Y
  ^ 3 * Z2) =
    Vec0 0 (3 * Y2 * Z * X2) + Vec0 1 (6 * Y ^ 5 * Z2)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 11.4 Code setup for type MPoly

postprocessing from  $Var_0$ ,  $Const_0$  to  $Var$ ,  $Const$ .

```

lemmas [code-post] =
  plus-mpoly.abs-eq[symmetric]
  times-mpoly.abs-eq[symmetric]
  MPoly-numeral
  MPoly-power
  one-mpoly-def[symmetric]
  Var.abs-eq[symmetric]
  Const.abs-eq[symmetric]

```

```
instantiation mpoly::({equal, zero})equal begin
```

```
  lift-definition equal-mpoly:: 'a mpoly ⇒ 'a mpoly ⇒ bool is HOL.equal ⟨proof⟩
```

```
  instance ⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```
experiment begin interpretation trivariate ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemmas [mpoly-simps] = plus-mpoly.abs-eq
```

```
lemma content-primitive (4 * X * Y ^ 2 * Z ^ 3 + 6 * X2 * Y ^ 4 + 8 * X2 * Y ^ 5)
```

```
=
  (2::int, 2 * X * Y2 * Z ^ 3 + 3 * X2 * Y ^ 4 + 4 * X2 * Y ^ 5)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```
end
```

```

theory PP-Type
  imports Power-Products
begin

```

For code generation, we must introduce a copy of type  $'a \Rightarrow_0 'b$  for

power-products.

```
typedef (overloaded) ('a, 'b) pp = UNIV::('a =>0 'b) set  
morphisms mapping-of PP ⟨proof⟩
```

```
setup-lifting type-definition-pp
```

```
lift-definition pp-of-fun :: ('a => 'b) => ('a, 'b::zero) pp  
is Abs-poly-mapping ⟨proof⟩
```

### 11.5 lookup-pp, keys-pp and single-pp

```
lift-definition lookup-pp :: ('a, 'b::zero) pp => 'a => 'b is lookup ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lift-definition keys-pp :: ('a, 'b::zero) pp => 'a set is keys ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lift-definition single-pp :: 'a => 'b => ('a, 'b::zero) pp is Poly-Mapping.single  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma lookup-pp-of-fun: finite {x. f x ≠ 0} ==> lookup-pp (pp-of-fun f) = f  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma pp-of-lookup: pp-of-fun (lookup-pp t) = t  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma pp-eqI: (∀u. lookup-pp s u = lookup-pp t u) ==> s = t  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma pp-eq-iff: (s = t) ←→ (lookup-pp s = lookup-pp t)  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma keys-pp-iff: x ∈ keys-pp t ←→ (lookup-pp t x ≠ 0)  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma pp-eqI':  
assumes ∀u. u ∈ keys-pp s ∪ keys-pp t ==> lookup-pp s u = lookup-pp t u  
shows s = t  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma lookup-single-pp: lookup-pp (single-pp x e) y = (e when x = y)  
⟨proof⟩
```

### 11.6 Additive Structure

```
instantiation pp :: (type, zero) zero  
begin
```

```
lift-definition zero-pp :: ('a, 'b) pp is 0::'a =>0 'b ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma lookup-zero-pp [simp]: lookup-pp 0 = 0
```

```

⟨proof⟩

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma single-pp-zero [simp]: single-pp x 0 = 0
⟨proof⟩

instantiation pp :: (type, monoid-add) monoid-add
begin

lift-definition plus-pp :: ('a, 'b) pp ⇒ ('a, 'b) pp ⇒ ('a, 'b) pp is (+)::('a ⇒₀ 'b)
⇒ - ⟨proof⟩

lemma lookup-plus-pp: lookup-pp (s + t) = lookup-pp s + lookup-pp t
⟨proof⟩

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma single-pp-plus: single-pp x a + single-pp x b = single-pp x (a + b)
⟨proof⟩

instantiation pp :: (type, comm-monoid-add) comm-monoid-add
⟨proof⟩

instantiation pp :: (type, cancel-comm-monoid-add) cancel-comm-monoid-add
begin

lift-definition minus-pp :: ('a, 'b) pp ⇒ ('a, 'b) pp ⇒ ('a, 'b) pp is (-)::('a ⇒₀ 'b)
⇒ - ⟨proof⟩

lemma lookup-minus-pp: lookup-pp (s - t) = lookup-pp s - lookup-pp t
⟨proof⟩

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

```

### 11.7 '*a* ⇒₀ '*b* belongs to class *comm-powerprod*

**instance** *poly-mapping* :: (*type*, *cancel-comm-monoid-add*) *comm-powerprod*  
⟨proof⟩

### 11.8 '*a* ⇒₀ '*b* belongs to class *ninv-comm-monoid-add*

**instance** *poly-mapping* :: (*type*, *ninv-comm-monoid-add*) *ninv-comm-monoid-add*  
⟨proof⟩

### 11.9 ('a, 'b) pp belongs to class lcs-powerprod

**lemma** adds-pp-iff: (*s adds t*)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (*mapping-of s adds mapping-of t*)  
⟨*proof*⟩

**instantiation** pp :: (*type, add-linorder*) lcs-powerprod  
begin

**lift-definition** lcs-pp :: ('a, 'b) pp  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) pp is lcs-powerprod-class.lcs  
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** lookup-lcs-pp: lookup-pp (lcs *s t*) *x* = max (lookup-pp *s x*) (lookup-pp *t x*)  
⟨*proof*⟩

**instance**  
⟨*proof*⟩

end

### 11.10 ('a, 'b) pp belongs to class ulcs-powerprod

**instance** pp :: (*type, add-linorder-min*) ulcs-powerprod ⟨*proof*⟩

### 11.11 Dickson's lemma for power-products in finitely many indeterminates

**lemma** almost-full-on-pp-iff:  
almost-full-on (adds) *A*  $\longleftrightarrow$  almost-full-on (adds) (mapping-of ‘*A*) (is ?l  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?r)  
⟨*proof*⟩

**lift-definition** varnum-pp :: ('a::countable, 'b::zero) pp  $\Rightarrow$  nat is varnum {} ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** dickson-grading-varnum-pp:  
dickson-grading (varnum-pp:('a::countable, 'b::add-wellorder) pp  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  
⟨*proof*⟩

**instance** pp :: (*countable, add-wellorder*) graded-dickson-powerprod  
⟨*proof*⟩

**instance** pp :: (*finite, add-wellorder*) dickson-powerprod  
⟨*proof*⟩

### 11.12 Lexicographic Term Order

**lift-definition** lex-pp :: ('a, 'b) pp  $\Rightarrow$  ('a::linorder, 'b::{zero,linorder}) pp  $\Rightarrow$  bool  
is lex-pm ⟨*proof*⟩

**lift-definition** lex-pp-strict :: ('a, 'b) pp  $\Rightarrow$  ('a::linorder, 'b::{zero,linorder}) pp  $\Rightarrow$  bool  
is lex-pm-strict ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *lex-pp-alt*:  $\text{lex-pp } s \ t = (s = t \vee (\exists x. \text{lookup-pp } s \ x < \text{lookup-pp } t \ x \wedge (\forall y < x. \text{lookup-pp } s \ y = \text{lookup-pp } t \ y)))$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *lex-pp-refl*:  $\text{lex-pp } s \ s$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *lex-pp-antisym*:  $\text{lex-pp } s \ t \implies \text{lex-pp } t \ s \implies s = t$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *lex-pp-trans*:  $\text{lex-pp } s \ t \implies \text{lex-pp } t \ u \implies \text{lex-pp } s \ u$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *lex-pp-lin*:  $\text{lex-pp } s \ t \vee \text{lex-pp } t \ s$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *lex-pp-lin'*:  $\neg \text{lex-pp } t \ s \implies \text{lex-pp } s \ t$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**corollary** *lex-pp-strict-alt* [code]:  
 $\text{lex-pp-strict } s \ t = (\neg \text{lex-pp } t \ s) \text{ for } s \ t :: (-, -::\text{ordered-comm-monoid-add}) \text{ pp}$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *lex-pp-zero-min*:  $\text{lex-pp } 0 \ s \text{ for } s :: (-, -::\text{add-linorder-min}) \text{ pp}$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *lex-pp-plus-monotone*:  $\text{lex-pp } s \ t \implies \text{lex-pp } (s + u) (t + u)$   
**for**  $s \ t :: (-, -::\{\text{ordered-comm-monoid-add}, \text{ordered-ab-semigroup-add-imp-le}\}) \text{ pp}$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *lex-pp-plus-monotone'*:  $\text{lex-pp } s \ t \implies \text{lex-pp } (u + s) (u + t)$   
**for**  $s \ t :: (-, -::\{\text{ordered-comm-monoid-add}, \text{ordered-ab-semigroup-add-imp-le}\}) \text{ pp}$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**instantiation**  $pp :: (\text{linorder}, \{\text{ordered-comm-monoid-add}, \text{linorder}\}) \text{ linorder}$   
**begin**

**definition** *less-eq-pp* ::  $('a, 'b) \text{ pp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \text{ pp} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**where**  $\text{less-eq-pp} = \text{lex-pp}$

**definition** *less-pp* ::  $('a, 'b) \text{ pp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \text{ pp} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**where**  $\text{less-pp} = \text{lex-pp-strict}$

**instance** *⟨proof⟩*

**end**

### 11.13 Degree

```

lift-definition deg-pp :: ('a, 'b::comm-monoid-add) pp  $\Rightarrow$  'b is deg-pm ⟨proof⟩

lemma deg-pp-alt: deg-pp s = sum (lookup-pp s) (keys-pp s)
⟨proof⟩

lemma deg-pp-zero [simp]: deg-pp 0 = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma deg-pp-eq-0-iff [simp]: deg-pp s = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  s = 0 for s::('a, 'b::add-linorder-min)
pp
⟨proof⟩

lemma deg-pp-plus: deg-pp (s + t) = deg-pp s + deg-pp (t::('a, 'b::comm-monoid-add)
pp)
⟨proof⟩

lemma deg-pp-single: deg-pp (single-pp x k) = k
⟨proof⟩

```

### 11.14 Degree-Lexicographic Term Order

```

lift-definition dlex-pp :: ('a::linorder, 'b::{ordered-comm-monoid-add,linorder}) pp  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) pp  $\Rightarrow$  bool
is dlex-pm ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition dlex-pp-strict :: ('a::linorder, 'b::{ordered-comm-monoid-add,linorder}) pp  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) pp  $\Rightarrow$  bool
is dlex-pm-strict ⟨proof⟩

lemma dlex-pp-alt: dlex-pp s t  $\longleftrightarrow$  (deg-pp s < deg-pp t  $\vee$  (deg-pp s = deg-pp t
 $\wedge$  lex-pp s t))
⟨proof⟩

lemma dlex-pp-refl: dlex-pp s s
⟨proof⟩

lemma dlex-pp-antisym: dlex-pp s t  $\Longrightarrow$  dlex-pp t s  $\Longrightarrow$  s = t
⟨proof⟩

lemma dlex-pp-trans: dlex-pp s t  $\Longrightarrow$  dlex-pp t u  $\Longrightarrow$  dlex-pp s u
⟨proof⟩

lemma dlex-pp-lin: dlex-pp s t  $\vee$  dlex-pp t s
⟨proof⟩

corollary dlex-pp-strict-alt [code]: dlex-pp-strict s t = ( $\neg$  dlex-pp t s)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma dlex-pp-zero-min: dlex-pp 0 s
  for s t:(-, -::add-linorder-min) pp
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dlex-pp-plus-monotone: dlex-pp s t ==> dlex-pp (s + u) (t + u)
  for s t:(-, -:{ordered-ab-semigroup-add-imp-le, ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-add}) pp
  ⟨proof⟩

```

### 11.15 Degree-Reverse-Lexicographic Term Order

```

lift-definition drlex-pp :: ('a::linorder, 'b:{ordered-comm-monoid-add,linorder})
  pp => ('a, 'b) pp => bool
  is drlex-pm ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition drlex-pp-strict :: ('a::linorder, 'b:{ordered-comm-monoid-add,linorder})
  pp => ('a, 'b) pp => bool
  is drlex-pm-strict ⟨proof⟩

lemma drlex-pp-alt: drlex-pp s t <→ (deg-pp s < deg-pp t ∨ (deg-pp s = deg-pp t
  ∧ lex-pp t s))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma drlex-pp-refl: drlex-pp s s
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma drlex-pp-antisym: drlex-pp s t ==> drlex-pp t s ==> s = t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma drlex-pp-trans: drlex-pp s t ==> drlex-pp t u ==> drlex-pp s u
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma drlex-pp-lin: drlex-pp s t ∨ drlex-pp t s
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary drlex-pp-strict-alt [code]: drlex-pp-strict s t = (¬ drlex-pp t s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma drlex-pp-zero-min: dlex-pp 0 s
  for s t:(-, -::add-linorder-min) pp
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dlex-pp-plus-monotone: dlex-pp s t ==> dlex-pp (s + u) (t + u)
  for s t:(-, -:{ordered-ab-semigroup-add-imp-le, ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-add}) pp
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 12 Associative Lists with Sorted Keys

```
theory Oalist
  imports Deriving.Comparator
begin
```

We define the type of *ordered associative lists* (oalist). An oalist is an associative list (i.e. a list of pairs) such that the keys are distinct and sorted wrt. some linear order relation, and no key is mapped to  $\emptyset$ . The latter invariant allows to implement various functions operating on oalists more efficiently.

The ordering of the keys in an oalist  $xs$  is encoded as an additional parameter of  $xs$ . This means that oalists may be ordered wrt. different orderings, even if they are of the same type. Operations operating on more than one oalists, like *map2-val*, typically ensure that the orderings of their arguments are identical by re-ordering one argument wrt. the order relation of the other. This, however, implies that equality of order relations must be effectively decidable if executable code is to be generated.

### 12.1 Preliminaries

```
fun min-list-param :: ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a where
  min-list-param rel (x # xs) = (case xs of [] ⇒ x | - ⇒ (let m = min-list-param
    rel xs in if rel x m then x else m))

lemma min-list-param-in:
  assumes xs ≠ []
  shows min-list-param rel xs ∈ set xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma min-list-param-minimal:
  assumes transp rel and ∏x y. x ∈ set xs ⇒ y ∈ set xs ⇒ rel x y ∨ rel y x
  and z ∈ set xs
  shows rel (min-list-param rel xs) z
  ⟨proof⟩

definition comp-of-ord :: ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a comparator where
  comp-of-ord le x y = (if le x y then if x = y then Eq else Lt else Gt)

lemma comp-of-ord-eq-comp-of-ords:
  assumes antisymp le
  shows comp-of-ord le = comp-of-ords le (λx y. le x y ∧ ¬ le y x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma comparator-converse:
  assumes comparator cmp
  shows comparator (λx y. cmp y x)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma comparator-composition:
  assumes comparator cmp and inj f
  shows comparator ( $\lambda x y. \text{cmp} (f x) (f y)$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

12.2 Type key-order

typedef 'a key-order = {compare :: 'a comparator. comparator compare}
morphisms key-compare Abs-key-order
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma comparator-key-compare [simp, intro!]: comparator (key-compare ko)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instantiation key-order :: (type) equal
begin

definition equal-key-order :: 'a key-order  $\Rightarrow$  'a key-order  $\Rightarrow$  bool where equal-key-order
= (=)

instance  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

setup-lifting type-definition-key-order

instantiation key-order :: (type) uminus
begin

lift-definition uminus-key-order :: 'a key-order  $\Rightarrow$  'a key-order is  $\lambda c x y. c y x$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instance  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

lift-definition le-of-key-order :: 'a key-order  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool is  $\lambda cmp. \text{le-of-comp}$ 
 $\text{cmp} \langle proof \rangle$ 

lift-definition lt-of-key-order :: 'a key-order  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool is  $\lambda cmp. \text{lt-of-comp}$ 
 $\text{cmp} \langle proof \rangle$ 

definition key-order-of-ord :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a key-order
where key-order-of-ord ord = Abs-key-order (comp-of-ord ord)

lift-definition key-order-of-le :: 'a::linorder key-order is comparator-of
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

**interpretation** *key-order-lin*: *linorder le-of-key-order ko lt-of-key-order ko*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *le-of-key-order-alt*: *le-of-key-order ko x y = (key-compare ko x y ≠ Gt)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lt-of-key-order-alt*: *lt-of-key-order ko x y = (key-compare ko x y = Lt)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *key-compare-Gt*: *key-compare ko x y = Gt  $\longleftrightarrow$  key-compare ko y x = Lt*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *key-compare-Eq*: *key-compare ko x y = Eq  $\longleftrightarrow$  x = y*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *key-compare-same [simp]*: *key-compare ko x x = Eq*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *uminus-key-compare [simp]*: *invert-order (key-compare ko x y) = key-compare ko y x*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *key-compare-uminus [simp]*: *key-compare (- ko) x y = key-compare ko y x*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *uminus-key-order-sameD*:  
**assumes**  $- ko = (ko::'a \text{ key-order})$   
**shows**  $x = (y::'a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *key-compare-key-order-of-ord*:  
**assumes** *antisymp ord and transp ord and  $\bigwedge x y. ord x y \vee ord y x$*   
**shows** *key-compare (key-order-of-ord ord) = ( $\lambda x y. \text{if } ord x y \text{ then if } x = y \text{ then Eq else Lt else Gt}$ )*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *key-compare-key-order-of-le*:  
*key-compare key-order-of-le = ( $\lambda x y. \text{if } x < y \text{ then Lt else if } x = y \text{ then Eq else Gt}$ )*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 12.3 Invariant in Context *comparator*

**context** *comparator*  
**begin**

**definition** *oalist-inv-raw :: ('a × 'b::zero) list ⇒ bool*  
**where** *oalist-inv-raw xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  (0 ∉ snd `set xs ∧ sorted-wrt lt (map fst xs))*

```

lemma oalist-inv-rawI:
  assumes 0 ∉ snd ‘ set xs and sorted-wrt lt (map fst xs)
  shows oalist-inv-raw xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma oalist-inv-rawD1:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs
  shows 0 ∉ snd ‘ set xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma oalist-inv-rawD2:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs
  shows sorted-wrt lt (map fst xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma oalist-inv-raw-Nil: oalist-inv-raw []
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma oalist-inv-raw-singleton: oalist-inv-raw [(k, v)]  $\longleftrightarrow$  (v ≠ 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma oalist-inv-raw-ConsI:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs and v ≠ 0 and xs ≠ []  $\implies$  lt k (fst (hd xs))
  shows oalist-inv-raw ((k, v) # xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma oalist-inv-raw-ConsD1:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw (x # xs)
  shows oalist-inv-raw xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma oalist-inv-raw-ConsD2:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw ((k, v) # xs)
  shows v ≠ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma oalist-inv-raw-ConsD3:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw ((k, v) # xs) and k' ∈ fst ‘ set xs
  shows lt k k'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma oalist-inv-raw-tl:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs
  shows oalist-inv-raw (tl xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma oalist-inv-raw-filter:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs
  shows oalist-inv-raw (filter P xs)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma oalist-inv-raw-map:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs
  and  $\bigwedge a. \text{snd} (f a) = 0 \implies \text{snd } a = 0$ 
  and  $\bigwedge a b. \text{comp} (\text{fst} (f a)) (\text{fst} (f b)) = \text{comp} (\text{fst } a) (\text{fst } b)$ 
  shows oalist-inv-raw (map f xs)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma oalist-inv-raw-induct [consumes 1, case-names Nil Cons]:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs
  assumes P []
  assumes  $\bigwedge k v xs. \text{oalist-inv-raw} ((k, v) \# xs) \implies \text{oalist-inv-raw } xs \implies v \neq 0$ 
   $\implies$ 
     $(\bigwedge k'. k' \in \text{fst} ' \text{set } xs \implies \text{lt } k k') \implies P xs \implies P ((k, v) \# xs)$ 
  shows P xs
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

## 12.4 Operations on Lists of Pairs in Context *comparator*

**type-synonym** (in -) ('a, 'b) comp-opt = 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  (order option)

**definition** (in -) lookup-dflt :: ('a  $\times$  'b) list  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b::zero  
**where** lookup-dflt xs k = (case map-of xs k of Some v  $\Rightarrow$  v | None  $\Rightarrow$  0)

lookup-dflt is only an auxiliary function needed for proving some lemmas.

**fun** lookup-pair :: ('a  $\times$  'b) list  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b::zero

**where**

```

lookup-pair [] x = 0|
lookup-pair ((k, v) # xs) x =
  (case comp x k of
    Lt  $\Rightarrow$  0
    | Eq  $\Rightarrow$  v
    | Gt  $\Rightarrow$  lookup-pair xs x)

```

**fun** update-by-pair :: ('a  $\times$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  'b) list  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  'b::zero) list

**where**

```

update-by-pair (k, v) [] = (if v = 0 then [] else [(k, v)])
| update-by-pair (k, v) ((k', v') # xs) =
  (case comp k k' of Lt  $\Rightarrow$  (if v = 0 then (k', v') # xs else (k, v) # (k', v') # xs)
   | Eq  $\Rightarrow$  (if v = 0 then xs else (k, v) # xs)
   | Gt  $\Rightarrow$  (k', v') # update-by-pair (k, v) xs)

```

**definition** sort-oalist :: ('a  $\times$  'b) list  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  'b::zero) list  
**where** sort-oalist xs = foldr update-by-pair xs []

**fun** update-by-fun-pair :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('b  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  'b) list  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  'b::zero) list  
**where**

```

update-by-fun-pair k f [] = (let v = f 0 in if v = 0 then [] else [(k, v)])
| update-by-fun-pair k f ((k', v') # xs) =
  (case comp k k' of Lt => (let v = f 0 in if v = 0 then (k', v') # xs else (k, v) # (k', v') # xs)
  | Eq => (let v = f v' in if v = 0 then xs else (k, v) # xs)
  | Gt => (k', v') # update-by-fun-pair k f xs)

```

**definition** update-by-fun-gr-pair :: '*a* ⇒ ('*b* ⇒ '*b*) ⇒ ('*a* × '*b*) list ⇒ ('*a* × '*b*::zero) list

**where** update-by-fun-gr-pair k f xs =  
 (if xs = [] then  
 (let v = f 0 in if v = 0 then [] else [(k, v)])  
 else if comp k (fst (last xs)) = Gt then  
 (let v = f 0 in if v = 0 then xs else xs @ [(k, v)])  
 else  
 update-by-fun-pair k f xs  
 )

**fun** (in –) map-pair :: (('*a* × '*b*) ⇒ ('*a* × '*c*)) ⇒ ('*a* × '*b*::zero) list ⇒ ('*a* × '*c*::zero) list

**where**  
 map-pair f [] = []  
 | map-pair f (kv # xs) =  
 (let (k, v) = f kv; aux = map-pair f xs in if v = 0 then aux else (k, v) # aux)

The difference between *map* and *map-pair* is that the latter removes 0 values, whereas the former does not.

**abbreviation** (in –) map-val-pair :: ('*a* ⇒ '*b* ⇒ '*c*) ⇒ ('*a* × '*b*::zero) list ⇒ ('*a* × '*c*::zero) list

**where** map-val-pair f ≡ map-pair (λ(k, v). (k, f k v))

**fun** map2-val-pair :: ('*a* ⇒ '*b* ⇒ '*c* ⇒ '*d*) ⇒ (('*a* × '*b*) list ⇒ ('*a* × '*d*) list) ⇒  
 ((('*a* × '*c*) list ⇒ ('*a* × '*d*) list) ⇒  
 ('*a* × '*b*::zero) list ⇒ ('*a* × '*c*::zero) list ⇒ ('*a* × '*d*::zero) list

**where**  
 map2-val-pair f g h xs [] = g xs  
 | map2-val-pair f g h [] ys = h ys  
 | map2-val-pair f g h ((kx, vx) # xs) ((ky, vy) # ys) =  
 (case comp kx ky of  
 Lt => (let v = f kx vx 0; aux = map2-val-pair f g h xs ((ky, vy) # ys)  
 in if v = 0 then aux else (kx, v) # aux)  
 | Eq => (let v = f kx vx vy; aux = map2-val-pair f g h xs ys in if v = 0  
 then aux else (kx, v) # aux)  
 | Gt => (let v = f ky 0 vy; aux = map2-val-pair f g h ((kx, vx) # xs) ys  
 in if v = 0 then aux else (ky, v) # aux))

**fun** lex-ord-pair :: ('*a* ⇒ (('*b*, '*c*) comp-opt)) ⇒ (('*a* × '*b*::zero) list, ('*a* × '*c*::zero) list) comp-opt

**where**

```

lex-ord-pair f []      []      = Some Eq|
lex-ord-pair f []      ((ky, vy) # ys) =
  (let aux = f ky 0 vy in if aux = Some Eq then lex-ord-pair f [] ys else aux)|
lex-ord-pair f ((kx, vx) # xs) []      =
  (let aux = f kx vx 0 in if aux = Some Eq then lex-ord-pair f xs [] else aux)|
lex-ord-pair f ((kx, vx) # xs) ((ky, vy) # ys) =
  (case comp kx ky of
    Lt  => (let aux = f kx vx 0 in if aux = Some Eq then lex-ord-pair f xs
              ((ky, vy) # ys) else aux)
    | Eq => (let aux = f kx vx vy in if aux = Some Eq then lex-ord-pair f xs
              ys else aux)
    | Gt => (let aux = f ky 0 vy in if aux = Some Eq then lex-ord-pair f ((kx,
              vx) # xs) ys else aux))

```

```

fun prod-ord-pair :: ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'c ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('a × 'b::zero) list ⇒ ('a × 'c::zero)
list ⇒ bool

```

**where**

```

prod-ord-pair f []      []      = True|
prod-ord-pair f []      ((ky, vy) # ys) = (f ky 0 vy ∧ prod-ord-pair f [] ys)|
prod-ord-pair f ((kx, vx) # xs) []      = (f kx vx 0 ∧ prod-ord-pair f xs [])|
prod-ord-pair f ((kx, vx) # xs) ((ky, vy) # ys) =
  (case comp kx ky of
    Lt  => (f kx vx 0 ∧ prod-ord-pair f xs ((ky, vy) # ys))
    | Eq => (f kx vx vy ∧ prod-ord-pair f xs ys)
    | Gt => (f ky 0 vy ∧ prod-ord-pair f ((kx, vx) # xs) ys))

```

*prod-ord-pair* is actually just a special case of *lex-ord-pair*, as proved below in lemma *prod-ord-pair-eq-lex-ord-pair*.

#### 12.4.1 *lookup-pair*

```

lemma lookup-pair-eq-0:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs
  shows lookup-pair xs k = 0 ↔ (k ∉ fst ` set xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lookup-pair-eq-value:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs and v ≠ 0
  shows lookup-pair xs k = v ↔ ((k, v) ∈ set xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lookup-pair-eq-valueI:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs and (k, v) ∈ set xs
  shows lookup-pair xs k = v
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lookup-dflt-eq-lookup-pair:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs
  shows lookup-dflt xs = lookup-pair xs

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lookup-pair-inj*:

**assumes** *oalist-inv-raw xs* **and** *oalist-inv-raw ys* **and** *lookup-pair xs = lookup-pair ys*  
**shows** *xs = ys*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lookup-pair-tl*:

**assumes** *oalist-inv-raw xs*  
**shows** *lookup-pair (tl xs) k = (if ( $\forall k' \in fst \ set xs. le k k'$ ) then 0 else lookup-pair xs k)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lookup-pair-tl'*:

**assumes** *oalist-inv-raw xs*  
**shows** *lookup-pair (tl xs) k = (if k = fst (hd xs) then 0 else lookup-pair xs k)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lookup-pair-filter*:

**assumes** *oalist-inv-raw xs*  
**shows** *lookup-pair (filter P xs) k = (let v = lookup-pair xs k in if P (k, v) then v else 0)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lookup-pair-map*:

**assumes** *oalist-inv-raw xs*  
**and**  $\bigwedge k'. snd(f(k', 0)) = 0$   
**and**  $\bigwedge a b. comp(fst(f a))(fst(f b)) = comp(fst a)(fst b)$   
**shows** *lookup-pair (map f xs) (fst(f(k, v))) = snd(f(k, lookup-pair xs k))*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lookup-pair-Cons*:

**assumes** *oalist-inv-raw ((k, v) # xs)*  
**shows** *lookup-pair ((k, v) # xs) k0 = (if k = k0 then v else lookup-pair xs k0)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lookup-pair-single*: *lookup-pair [(k, v)] k0 = (if k = k0 then v else 0)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 12.4.2 update-by-pair

**lemma** *set-update-by-pair-subset*: *set (update-by-pair kv xs) ⊆ insert kv (set xs)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *update-by-pair-sorted*:

**assumes** *sorted-wrt lt (map fst xs)*  
**shows** *sorted-wrt lt (map fst (update-by-pair kv xs))*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma update-by-pair-not-0:
  assumes 0 ∉ snd ` set xs
  shows 0 ∉ snd ` set (update-by-pair kv xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary oalist-inv-raw-update-by-pair:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs
  shows oalist-inv-raw (update-by-pair kv xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma update-by-pair-less:
  assumes v ≠ 0 and xs = [] ∨ comp k (fst (hd xs)) = Lt
  shows update-by-pair (k, v) xs = (k, v) # xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lookup-pair-update-by-pair:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs
  shows lookup-pair (update-by-pair (k1, v) xs) k2 = (if k1 = k2 then v else
  lookup-pair xs k2)
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary update-by-pair-id:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs and lookup-pair xs k = v
  shows update-by-pair (k, v) xs = xs
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma set-update-by-pair:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs and v ≠ 0
  shows set (update-by-pair (k, v) xs) = insert (k, v) (set xs - range (Pair k)) (is
  ?A = ?B)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma set-update-by-pair-zero:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs
  shows set (update-by-pair (k, 0) xs) = set xs - range (Pair k) (is ?A = ?B)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

#### 12.4.3 update-by-fun-pair and update-by-fun-gr-pair

```

lemma update-by-fun-pair-eq-update-by-pair:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs
  shows update-by-fun-pair k f xs = update-by-pair (k, f (lookup-pair xs k)) xs
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary oalist-inv-raw-update-by-fun-pair:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs
  shows oalist-inv-raw (update-by-fun-pair k f xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary lookup-pair-update-by-fun-pair:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs
  shows lookup-pair (update-by-fun-pair k1 f xs) k2 = (if k1 = k2 then f else id)
(lookup-pair xs k2)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma update-by-fun-pair-gr:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs and xs = [] ∨ comp k (fst (last xs)) = Gt
  shows update-by-fun-pair k f xs = xs @ (if f 0 = 0 then [] else [(k, f 0)])
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary update-by-fun-gr-pair-eq-update-by-fun-pair:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs
  shows update-by-fun-gr-pair k f xs = update-by-fun-pair k f xs
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary oalist-inv-raw-update-by-fun-gr-pair:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs
  shows oalist-inv-raw (update-by-fun-gr-pair k f xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary lookup-pair-update-by-fun-gr-pair:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs
  shows lookup-pair (update-by-fun-gr-pair k1 f xs) k2 = (if k1 = k2 then f else id)
(lookup-pair xs k2)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

#### 12.4.4 map-pair

```

lemma map-pair-cong:
  assumes  $\bigwedge kv. kv \in set xs \implies f kv = g kv$ 
  shows map-pair f xs = map-pair g xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-pair-subset: set (map-pair f xs) ⊆ f ` set xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma oalist-inv-raw-map-pair:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs
  and  $\bigwedge ab. comp(fst(f a))(fst(f b)) = comp(fst a)(fst b)$ 
  shows oalist-inv-raw (map-pair f xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lookup-pair-map-pair:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs and snd (f (k, 0)) = 0
  and  $\bigwedge ab. comp(fst(f a))(fst(f b)) = comp(fst a)(fst b)$ 
  shows lookup-pair (map-pair f xs) (fst(f(k, v))) = snd(f(k, lookup-pair xs k))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lookup-dflt-map-pair:
  assumes distinct (map fst xs) and snd (f (k, 0)) = 0
    and  $\bigwedge a b. (\text{fst } (f a) = \text{fst } (f b)) \longleftrightarrow (\text{fst } a = \text{fst } b)$ 
  shows lookup-dflt (map-pair f xs) (fst (f (k, v))) = snd (f (k, lookup-dflt xs k))
  {proof}

lemma distinct-map-pair:
  assumes distinct (map fst xs) and  $\bigwedge a b. \text{fst } (f a) = \text{fst } (f b) \implies \text{fst } a = \text{fst } b$ 
  shows distinct (map fst (map-pair f xs))
  {proof}

lemma map-val-pair-cong:
  assumes  $\bigwedge k v. (k, v) \in \text{set } xs \implies f k v = g k v$ 
  shows map-val-pair f xs = map-val-pair g xs
  {proof}

lemma oalist-inv-raw-map-val-pair:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs
  shows oalist-inv-raw (map-val-pair f xs)
  {proof}

lemma lookup-pair-map-val-pair:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs and f k 0 = 0
  shows lookup-pair (map-val-pair f xs) k = f k (lookup-pair xs k)
  {proof}

lemma map-pair-id:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs
  shows map-pair id xs = xs
  {proof}

```

#### 12.4.5 map2-val-pair

```

definition map2-val-compat ::  $(('a \times 'b::zero) \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('a \times 'c::zero) \text{ list}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  where map2-val-compat f  $\longleftrightarrow (\forall zs. (\text{oalist-inv-raw } zs \longrightarrow$ 
     $(\text{oalist-inv-raw } (f zs) \wedge \text{fst } ' \text{set } (f zs) \subseteq \text{fst } ' \text{set } zs)))$ 

```

```

lemma map2-val-compatI:
  assumes  $\bigwedge zs. \text{oalist-inv-raw } zs \implies \text{oalist-inv-raw } (f zs)$ 
    and  $\bigwedge zs. \text{oalist-inv-raw } zs \implies \text{fst } ' \text{set } (f zs) \subseteq \text{fst } ' \text{set } zs$ 
  shows map2-val-compat f
  {proof}

```

```

lemma map2-val-compatD1:
  assumes map2-val-compat f and oalist-inv-raw zs
  shows oalist-inv-raw (f zs)
  {proof}

```

```

lemma map2-val-compatD2:
  assumes map2-val-compat f and oalist-inv-raw zs
  shows fst ` set (f zs) ⊆ fst ` set zs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map2-val-compat-Nil:
  assumes map2-val-compat (f::('a × 'b::zero) list ⇒ ('a × 'c::zero) list)
  shows f [] = []
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map2-val-compat-id: map2-val-compat id
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map2-val-compat-map-val-pair: map2-val-compat (map-val-pair f)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fst-map2-val-pair-subset:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs and oalist-inv-raw ys
  assumes map2-val-compat g and map2-val-compat h
  shows fst ` set (map2-val-pair f g h xs ys) ⊆ fst ` set xs ∪ fst ` set ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma oalist-inv-raw-map2-val-pair:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs and oalist-inv-raw ys
  assumes map2-val-compat g and map2-val-compat h
  shows oalist-inv-raw (map2-val-pair f g h xs ys)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lookup-pair-map2-val-pair:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs and oalist-inv-raw ys
  assumes map2-val-compat g and map2-val-compat h
  assumes ⋀zs. oalist-inv-raw zs ⇒ g zs = map-val-pair (λk v. f k v 0) zs
  and ⋀zs. oalist-inv-raw zs ⇒ h zs = map-val-pair (λk. f k 0) zs
  and ⋀k. f k 0 0 = 0
  shows lookup-pair (map2-val-pair f g h xs ys) k0 = f k0 (lookup-pair xs k0)
  (lookup-pair ys k0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map2-val-pair-singleton-eq-update-by-fun-pair:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs
  assumes ⋀k x. f k x 0 = x and ⋀zs. oalist-inv-raw zs ⇒ g zs = zs
  and h [(k, v)] = map-val-pair (λk. f k 0) [(k, v)]
  shows map2-val-pair f g h xs [(k, v)] = update-by-fun-pair k (λx. f k x v) xs
  ⟨proof⟩

```

#### 12.4.6 lex-ord-pair

```

lemma lex-ord-pair-EqI:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs and oalist-inv-raw ys

```

**and**  $\bigwedge k. k \in fst \setminus set xs \cup fst \setminus set ys \implies f k (lookup-pair xs k) (lookup-pair ys k) = Some Eq$

**shows**  $lex-ord-pair f xs ys = Some Eq$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lex-ord-pair-valI*:

**assumes** *oalist-inv-raw xs* **and** *oalist-inv-raw ys* **and** *aux*  $\neq Some Eq$

**assumes**  $k \in fst \setminus set xs \cup fst \setminus set ys$  **and** *aux*  $= f k (lookup-pair xs k) (lookup-pair ys k)$

**and**  $\bigwedge k'. k' \in fst \setminus set xs \cup fst \setminus set ys \implies lt k' k \implies$

$f k' (lookup-pair xs k') (lookup-pair ys k') = Some Eq$

**shows**  $lex-ord-pair f xs ys = aux$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lex-ord-pair-EqD*:

**assumes** *oalist-inv-raw xs* **and** *oalist-inv-raw ys* **and**  $lex-ord-pair f xs ys = Some Eq$

**and**  $k \in fst \setminus set xs \cup fst \setminus set ys$

**shows**  $f k (lookup-pair xs k) (lookup-pair ys k) = Some Eq$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lex-ord-pair-valE*:

**assumes** *oalist-inv-raw xs* **and** *oalist-inv-raw ys* **and**  $lex-ord-pair f xs ys = aux$

**and** *aux*  $\neq Some Eq$

**obtains** *k* **where**  $k \in fst \setminus set xs \cup fst \setminus set ys$  **and** *aux*  $= f k (lookup-pair xs k) (lookup-pair ys k)$

**and**  $\bigwedge k'. k' \in fst \setminus set xs \cup fst \setminus set ys \implies lt k' k \implies$

$f k' (lookup-pair xs k') (lookup-pair ys k') = Some Eq$

$\langle proof \rangle$

#### 12.4.7 prod-ord-pair

**lemma** *prod-ord-pair-eq-lex-ord-pair*:

$prod-ord-pair P xs ys = (lex-ord-pair (\lambda k x y. if P k x y then Some Eq else None))$   
*xs ys = Some Eq*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *prod-ord-pairI*:

**assumes** *oalist-inv-raw xs* **and** *oalist-inv-raw ys*

**and**  $\bigwedge k. k \in fst \setminus set xs \cup fst \setminus set ys \implies P k (lookup-pair xs k) (lookup-pair ys k)$

**shows**  $prod-ord-pair P xs ys$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *prod-ord-pairD*:

**assumes** *oalist-inv-raw xs* **and** *oalist-inv-raw ys* **and**  $prod-ord-pair P xs ys$

**and**  $k \in fst \setminus set xs \cup fst \setminus set ys$

**shows**  $P k (lookup-pair xs k) (lookup-pair ys k)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

corollary prod-ord-pair-alt:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs and oalist-inv-raw ys
  shows (prod-ord-pair P xs ys)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall k \in \text{fst} \cup \text{set } xs \cup \text{fst} \cup \text{set } ys. P k (\text{lookup-pair}$ 
  xs k) ( $\text{lookup-pair}$  ys k))
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

```

#### 12.4.8 sort-oalist

```

lemma oalist-inv-raw-foldr-update-by-pair:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw ys
  shows oalist-inv-raw (foldr update-by-pair xs ys)
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

```

```

corollary oalist-inv-raw-sort-oalist: oalist-inv-raw (sort-oalist xs)
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

```

```

lemma sort-oalist-id:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs
  shows sort-oalist xs = xs
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

```

```

lemma set-sort-oalist:
  assumes distinct (map fst xs)
  shows set (sort-oalist xs) = {kv. kv  $\in$  set xs  $\wedge$  snd kv  $\neq$  0}
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

```

```

lemma lookup-pair-sort-oalist':
  assumes distinct (map fst xs)
  shows lookup-pair (sort-oalist xs) = lookup-dflt xs
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

```

end

```

locale comparator2 = comparator comp1 + cmp2: comparator comp2 for comp1
comp2 :: 'a comparator
begin

```

```

lemma set-sort-oalist:
  assumes cmp2.oalist-inv-raw xs
  shows set (sort-oalist xs) = set xs
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

```

```

lemma lookup-pair-eqI:
  assumes oalist-inv-raw xs and cmp2.oalist-inv-raw ys and set xs = set ys
  shows lookup-pair xs = cmp2.lookup-pair ys
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

```

```

corollary lookup-pair-sort-oalist:

```

```

assumes cmp2.oalist-inv-raw xs
shows lookup-pair (sort-oalist xs) = cmp2.lookup-pair xs
⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 12.5 Invariant on Pairs

```
type-synonym ('a, 'b, 'c) oalist-raw = ('a × 'b) list × 'c
```

```
locale oalist-raw = fixes rep-key-order::'o ⇒ 'a key-order
begin
```

```
sublocale comparator key-compare (rep-key-order x)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition oalist-inv :: ('a, 'b::zero, 'o) oalist-raw ⇒ bool
where oalist-inv xs ↔ oalist-inv-raw (snd xs) (fst xs)
```

```
lemma oalist-inv-alt: oalist-inv (xs, ko) ↔ oalist-inv-raw ko xs
⟨proof⟩
```

## 12.6 Operations on Raw Ordered Associative Lists

```
fun sort-oalist-aux :: 'o ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'o) oalist-raw ⇒ ('a × 'b::zero) list
where sort-oalist-aux ko (xs, ox) = (if ko = ox then xs else sort-oalist ko xs)
```

```
fun lookup-raw :: ('a, 'b, 'o) oalist-raw ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'b::zero
where lookup-raw (xs, ko) = lookup-pair ko xs
```

```
definition sorted-domain-raw :: 'o ⇒ ('a, 'b::zero, 'o) oalist-raw ⇒ 'a list
where sorted-domain-raw ko xs = map fst (sort-oalist-aux ko xs)
```

```
fun tl-raw :: ('a, 'b, 'o) oalist-raw ⇒ ('a, 'b::zero, 'o) oalist-raw
where tl-raw (xs, ko) = (List.tl xs, ko)
```

```
fun min-key-val-raw :: 'o ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'o) oalist-raw ⇒ ('a × 'b::zero)
where min-key-val-raw ko (xs, ox) =
(if ko = ox then List.hd else min-list-param (λ x y. le ko (fst x) (fst y))) xs
```

```
fun update-by-raw :: ('a × 'b) ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'o) oalist-raw ⇒ ('a, 'b::zero, 'o) oalist-raw
where update-by-raw kv (xs, ko) = (update-by-pair ko kv xs, ko)
```

```
fun update-by-fun-raw :: 'a ⇒ ('b ⇒ 'b) ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'o) oalist-raw ⇒ ('a, 'b::zero, 'o) oalist-raw
where update-by-fun-raw k f (xs, ko) = (update-by-fun-pair ko k f xs, ko)
```

```
fun update-by-fun-gr-raw :: 'a ⇒ ('b ⇒ 'b) ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'o) oalist-raw ⇒ ('a, 'b::zero, 'o) oalist-raw
where update-by-fun-gr-raw k f (xs, ko) = (update-by-fun-gr-pair ko k f xs, ko)
```

```

fun (in  $-$ ) filter-raw :: ( $'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ )  $\Rightarrow$  ( $'a \text{ list} \times 'b$ )  $\Rightarrow$  ( $'a \text{ list} \times 'b$ )
  where filter-raw P (xs, ko) = (filter P xs, ko)

fun (in  $-$ ) map-raw :: (( $'a \times 'b$ )  $\Rightarrow$  ( $'a \times 'c$ ))  $\Rightarrow$  (( $'a \times 'b::\text{zero}$ ) list  $\times 'd$ )  $\Rightarrow$  ( $'a \times 'c::\text{zero}$ ) list  $\times 'd$ 
  where map-raw f (xs, ko) = (map-pair f xs, ko)

abbreviation (in  $-$ ) map-val-raw f  $\equiv$  map-raw ( $\lambda(k, v). (k, f k v)$ )

fun map2-val-raw :: ( $'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow 'd$ )  $\Rightarrow$  (( $'a, 'b, 'o$ ) oalist-raw  $\Rightarrow$  ( $'a, 'd, 'o$ )
oalist-raw)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ((( $'a, 'c, 'o$ ) oalist-raw  $\Rightarrow$  ( $'a, 'd, 'o$ ) oalist-raw)  $\Rightarrow$ 
   ( $'a, 'b::\text{zero}, 'o$ ) oalist-raw  $\Rightarrow$  ( $'a, 'c::\text{zero}, 'o$ ) oalist-raw  $\Rightarrow$ 
   ( $'a, 'd::\text{zero}, 'o$ ) oalist-raw)
  where map2-val-raw f g h (xs, ox) ys =
    (map2-val-pair ox f ( $\lambda z. \text{fst}(g(zs, ox))$ ) ( $\lambda z. \text{fst}(h(zs, ox))$ ))
    xs (sort-oalist-aux ox ys, ox)

definition lex-ord-raw ::  $'o \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow (('b, 'c) \text{ comp-opt})) \Rightarrow$ 
  ((( $'a, 'b::\text{zero}, 'o$ ) oalist-raw, ( $'a, 'c::\text{zero}, 'o$ ) oalist-raw) comp-opt
  where lex-ord-raw ko f xs ys = lex-ord-pair ko f (sort-oalist-aux ko xs) (sort-oalist-aux ko ys))

fun prod-ord-raw :: ( $'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ )  $\Rightarrow$  ( $'a, 'b::\text{zero}, 'o$ ) oalist-raw  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ( $'a, 'c::\text{zero}, 'o$ ) oalist-raw  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where prod-ord-raw f (xs, ox) ys = prod-ord-pair ox f xs (sort-oalist-aux ox ys)

fun oalist-eq-raw :: ( $'a, 'b, 'o$ ) oalist-raw  $\Rightarrow$  ( $'a, 'b::\text{zero}, 'o$ ) oalist-raw  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where oalist-eq-raw (xs, ox) ys = (xs = (sort-oalist-aux ox ys))

fun sort-oalist-raw :: ( $'a, 'b, 'o$ ) oalist-raw  $\Rightarrow$  ( $'a, 'b::\text{zero}, 'o$ ) oalist-raw
  where sort-oalist-raw (xs, ko) = (sort-oalist ko xs, ko)

```

### 12.6.1 *sort-oalist-aux*

```

lemma set-sort-oalist-aux:
  assumes oalist-inv xs
  shows set (sort-oalist-aux ko xs) = set (fst xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma oalist-inv-raw-sort-oalist-aux:
  assumes oalist-inv xs
  shows oalist-inv-raw ko (sort-oalist-aux ko xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma oalist-inv-sort-oalist-aux:
  assumes oalist-inv xs
  shows oalist-inv (sort-oalist-aux ko xs, ko)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma lookup-pair-sort-oalist-aux:  
  assumes oalist-inv xs  
  shows lookup-pair ko (sort-oalist-aux ko xs) = lookup-raw xs  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

### 12.6.2 *lookup-raw*

```
lemma lookup-raw-eq-value:  
  assumes oalist-inv xs and v ≠ 0  
  shows lookup-raw xs k = v  $\longleftrightarrow$  ((k, v) ∈ set (fst xs))  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma lookup-raw-eq-valueI:  
  assumes oalist-inv xs and (k, v) ∈ set (fst xs)  
  shows lookup-raw xs k = v  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma lookup-raw-inj:  
  assumes oalist-inv (xs, ko) and oalist-inv (ys, ko) and lookup-raw (xs, ko) =  
  lookup-raw (ys, ko)  
  shows xs = ys  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

### 12.6.3 *sorted-domain-raw*

```
lemma set-sorted-domain-raw:  
  assumes oalist-inv xs  
  shows set (sorted-domain-raw ko xs) = fst ` set (fst xs)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
corollary in-sorted-domain-raw-iff-lookup-raw:  
  assumes oalist-inv xs  
  shows k ∈ set (sorted-domain-raw ko xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (lookup-raw xs k ≠ 0)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma sorted-sorted-domain-raw:  
  assumes oalist-inv xs  
  shows sorted-wrt (lt-of-key-order (rep-key-order ko)) (sorted-domain-raw ko xs)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

### 12.6.4 *tl-raw*

```
lemma oalist-inv-tl-raw:  
  assumes oalist-inv xs  
  shows oalist-inv (tl-raw xs)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma lookup-raw-tl-raw:
```

```

assumes oalist-inv xs
shows lookup-raw (tl-raw xs) k =
  (if (∀ k'∈fst ‘ set (fst xs). le (snd xs) k k') then 0 else lookup-raw xs k)
{proof}

lemma lookup-raw-tl-raw':
assumes oalist-inv xs
shows lookup-raw (tl-raw xs) k = (if k = fst (List.hd (fst xs)) then 0 else
  lookup-raw xs k)
{proof}

```

### 12.6.5 min-key-val-raw

```

lemma min-key-val-raw-alt:
assumes oalist-inv xs and fst xs ≠ []
shows min-key-val-raw ko xs = List.hd (sort-oalist-aux ko xs)
{proof}

lemma min-key-val-raw-in:
assumes fst xs ≠ []
shows min-key-val-raw ko xs ∈ set (fst xs)
{proof}

lemma snd-min-key-val-raw:
assumes oalist-inv xs and fst xs ≠ []
shows snd (min-key-val-raw ko xs) = lookup-raw xs (fst (min-key-val-raw ko xs))
{proof}

lemma min-key-val-raw-minimal:
assumes oalist-inv xs and z ∈ set (fst xs)
shows le ko (fst (min-key-val-raw ko xs)) (fst z)
{proof}

```

### 12.6.6 filter-raw

```

lemma oalist-inv-filter-raw:
assumes oalist-inv xs
shows oalist-inv (filter-raw P xs)
{proof}

lemma lookup-raw-filter-raw:
assumes oalist-inv xs
shows lookup-raw (filter-raw P xs) k = (let v = lookup-raw xs k in if P (k, v)
  then v else 0)
{proof}

```

### 12.6.7 update-by-raw

```

lemma oalist-inv-update-by-raw:
assumes oalist-inv xs

```

```

shows oalist-inv (update-by-raw kv xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lookup-raw-update-by-raw:
assumes oalist-inv xs
shows lookup-raw (update-by-raw (k1, v) xs) k2 = (if k1 = k2 then v else
lookup-raw xs k2)
⟨proof⟩

```

#### 12.6.8 update-by-fun-raw and update-by-fun-gr-raw

```

lemma update-by-fun-raw-eq-update-by-raw:
assumes oalist-inv xs
shows update-by-fun-raw k f xs = update-by-raw (k, f (lookup-raw xs k)) xs
⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary oalist-inv-update-by-fun-raw:
assumes oalist-inv xs
shows oalist-inv (update-by-fun-raw k f xs)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary lookup-raw-update-by-fun-raw:
assumes oalist-inv xs
shows lookup-raw (update-by-fun-raw k1 f xs) k2 = (if k1 = k2 then f else id)
(lookup-raw xs k2)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma update-by-fun-gr-raw-eq-update-by-fun-raw:
assumes oalist-inv xs
shows update-by-fun-gr-raw k f xs = update-by-fun-raw k f xs
⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary oalist-inv-update-by-fun-gr-raw:
assumes oalist-inv xs
shows oalist-inv (update-by-fun-gr-raw k f xs)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary lookup-raw-update-by-fun-gr-raw:
assumes oalist-inv xs
shows lookup-raw (update-by-fun-gr-raw k1 f xs) k2 = (if k1 = k2 then f else id)
(lookup-raw xs k2)
⟨proof⟩

```

#### 12.6.9 map-raw and map-val-raw

```

lemma map-raw-cong:
assumes  $\bigwedge kv. kv \in set (fst xs) \implies f kv = g kv$ 
shows map-raw f xs = map-raw g xs
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma map-raw-subset: set (fst (map-raw f xs)) ⊆ f ` set (fst xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma oalist-inv-map-raw:
  assumes oalist-inv xs
  and ⋀ a b. key-compare (rep-key-order (snd xs)) (fst (f a)) (fst (f b)) =
key-compare (rep-key-order (snd xs)) (fst a) (fst b)
  shows oalist-inv (map-raw f xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lookup-raw-map-raw:
  assumes oalist-inv xs and snd (f (k, 0)) = 0
  and ⋀ a b. key-compare (rep-key-order (snd xs)) (fst (f a)) (fst (f b)) =
key-compare (rep-key-order (snd xs)) (fst a) (fst b)
  shows lookup-raw (map-raw f xs) (fst (f (k, v))) = snd (f (k, lookup-raw xs k))
⟨proof⟩

lemma map-raw-id:
  assumes oalist-inv xs
  shows map-raw id xs = xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma map-val-raw-cong:
  assumes ⋀ k v. (k, v) ∈ set (fst xs) ⇒ f k v = g k v
  shows map-val-raw f xs = map-val-raw g xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma oalist-inv-map-val-raw:
  assumes oalist-inv xs
  shows oalist-inv (map-val-raw f xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lookup-raw-map-val-raw:
  assumes oalist-inv xs and f k 0 = 0
  shows lookup-raw (map-val-raw f xs) k = f k (lookup-raw xs k)
⟨proof⟩

```

### 12.6.10 map2-val-raw

```

definition map2-val-compat' :: (('a, 'b::zero, 'o) oalist-raw ⇒ ('a, 'c::zero, 'o) oalist-raw) ⇒ bool
  where map2-val-compat' f ⇔
    (forall zs. (oalist-inv zs → (oalist-inv (f zs) ∧ snd (f zs) = snd zs ∧ fst ` set (fst (f zs)) ⊆ fst ` set (fst zs))))
lemma map2-val-compat'I:
  assumes ⋀ zs. oalist-inv zs ⇒ oalist-inv (f zs)
  and ⋀ zs. oalist-inv zs ⇒ snd (f zs) = snd zs
  and ⋀ zs. oalist-inv zs ⇒ fst ` set (fst (f zs)) ⊆ fst ` set (fst zs)

```

```

shows map2-val-compat' f
⟨proof⟩

lemma map2-val-compat'D1:
assumes map2-val-compat' f and oalist-inv zs
shows oalist-inv (f zs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma map2-val-compat'D2:
assumes map2-val-compat' f and oalist-inv zs
shows snd (f zs) = snd zs
⟨proof⟩

lemma map2-val-compat'D3:
assumes map2-val-compat' f and oalist-inv zs
shows fst ` set (fst (f zs)) ⊆ fst ` set (fst zs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma map2-val-compat'-map-val-raw: map2-val-compat' (map-val-raw f)
⟨proof⟩

lemma map2-val-compat'-id: map2-val-compat' id
⟨proof⟩

lemma map2-val-compat'-imp-map2-val-compat:
assumes map2-val-compat' g
shows map2-val-compat ko (λzs. fst (g (zs, ko)))
⟨proof⟩

lemma oalist-inv-map2-val-raw:
assumes oalist-inv xs and oalist-inv ys
assumes map2-val-compat' g and map2-val-compat' h
shows oalist-inv (map2-val-raw f g h xs ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lookup-raw-map2-val-raw:
assumes oalist-inv xs and oalist-inv ys
assumes map2-val-compat' g and map2-val-compat' h
assumes ⋀zs. oalist-inv zs ⇒ g zs = map-val-raw (λk v. f k v 0) zs
and ⋀zs. oalist-inv zs ⇒ h zs = map-val-raw (λk. f k 0) zs
and ⋀k. f k 0 0 = 0
shows lookup-raw (map2-val-raw f g h xs ys) k0 = f k0 (lookup-raw xs k0)
(lookup-raw ys k0)
⟨proof⟩

lemma map2-val-raw-singleton-eq-update-by-fun-raw:
assumes oalist-inv xs
assumes ⋀k x. f k x 0 = x and ⋀zs. oalist-inv zs ⇒ g zs = zs
and ⋀ko. h([(k, v)], ko) = map-val-raw (λk. f k 0) ([(k, v)], ko)

```

**shows**  $\text{map2-val-raw } f g h \text{ xs } ((k, v)], ko) = \text{update-by-fun-raw } k (\lambda x. f k x v) \text{ xs}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 12.6.11 lex-ord-raw

**lemma**  $\text{lex-ord-raw-EqI:}$

**assumes**  $\text{oalist-inv xs and oalist-inv ys}$   
**and**  $\bigwedge k. k \in \text{fst} \setminus \text{set}(\text{fst xs}) \cup \text{fst} \setminus \text{set}(\text{fst ys}) \implies f k (\text{lookup-raw xs } k)$   
 $(\text{lookup-raw ys } k) = \text{Some Eq}$   
**shows**  $\text{lex-ord-raw ko f xs ys} = \text{Some Eq}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{lex-ord-raw-valI:}$

**assumes**  $\text{oalist-inv xs and oalist-inv ys and aux} \neq \text{Some Eq}$   
**assumes**  $k \in \text{fst} \setminus \text{set}(\text{fst xs}) \cup \text{fst} \setminus \text{set}(\text{fst ys}) \text{ and aux} = f k (\text{lookup-raw xs } k)$   
 $(\text{lookup-raw ys } k)$   
**and**  $\bigwedge k'. k' \in \text{fst} \setminus \text{set}(\text{fst xs}) \cup \text{fst} \setminus \text{set}(\text{fst ys}) \implies \text{lt ko k' k} \implies$   
 $f k' (\text{lookup-raw xs } k') (\text{lookup-raw ys } k') = \text{Some Eq}$   
**shows**  $\text{lex-ord-raw ko f xs ys} = \text{aux}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{lex-ord-raw-EqD:}$

**assumes**  $\text{oalist-inv xs and oalist-inv ys and lex-ord-raw ko f xs ys} = \text{Some Eq}$   
**and**  $k \in \text{fst} \setminus \text{set}(\text{fst xs}) \cup \text{fst} \setminus \text{set}(\text{fst ys})$   
**shows**  $f k (\text{lookup-raw xs } k) (\text{lookup-raw ys } k) = \text{Some Eq}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{lex-ord-raw-valE:}$

**assumes**  $\text{oalist-inv xs and oalist-inv ys and lex-ord-raw ko f xs ys} = \text{aux}$   
**and**  $\text{aux} \neq \text{Some Eq}$   
**obtains**  $k \text{ where } k \in \text{fst} \setminus \text{set}(\text{fst xs}) \cup \text{fst} \setminus \text{set}(\text{fst ys})$   
**and**  $\text{aux} = f k (\text{lookup-raw xs } k) (\text{lookup-raw ys } k)$   
**and**  $\bigwedge k'. k' \in \text{fst} \setminus \text{set}(\text{fst xs}) \cup \text{fst} \setminus \text{set}(\text{fst ys}) \implies \text{lt ko k' k} \implies$   
 $f k' (\text{lookup-raw xs } k') (\text{lookup-raw ys } k') = \text{Some Eq}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 12.6.12 prod-ord-raw

**lemma**  $\text{prod-ord-rawI:}$

**assumes**  $\text{oalist-inv xs and oalist-inv ys}$   
**and**  $\bigwedge k. k \in \text{fst} \setminus \text{set}(\text{fst xs}) \cup \text{fst} \setminus \text{set}(\text{fst ys}) \implies P k (\text{lookup-raw xs } k)$   
 $(\text{lookup-raw ys } k)$   
**shows**  $\text{prod-ord-raw P xs ys}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{prod-ord-rawD:}$

**assumes**  $\text{oalist-inv xs and oalist-inv ys and prod-ord-raw P xs ys}$   
**and**  $k \in \text{fst} \setminus \text{set}(\text{fst xs}) \cup \text{fst} \setminus \text{set}(\text{fst ys})$   
**shows**  $P k (\text{lookup-raw xs } k) (\text{lookup-raw ys } k)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

corollary prod-ord-raw-alt:
  assumes oalist-inv xs and oalist-inv ys
  shows prod-ord-raw P xs ys  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
     $(\forall k \in \text{fst} \set{set}(\text{fst } xs) \cup \text{fst} \set{set}(\text{fst } ys)). P k (\text{lookup-raw } xs \ k) (\text{lookup-raw } ys \ k))$ 
  {proof}

```

#### 12.6.13 oalist-eq-raw

```

lemma oalist-eq-rawI:
  assumes oalist-inv xs and oalist-inv ys
  and  $\bigwedge k. k \in \text{fst} \set{set}(\text{fst } xs) \cup \text{fst} \set{set}(\text{fst } ys) \implies \text{lookup-raw } xs \ k = \text{lookup-raw } ys \ k$ 
  shows oalist-eq-raw xs ys
  {proof}

```

```

lemma oalist-eq-rawD:
  assumes oalist-inv ys and oalist-eq-raw xs ys
  shows lookup-raw xs = lookup-raw ys
  {proof}

```

```

lemma oalist-eq-raw-alt:
  assumes oalist-inv xs and oalist-inv ys
  shows oalist-eq-raw xs ys  $\longleftrightarrow$  (lookup-raw xs = lookup-raw ys)
  {proof}

```

#### 12.6.14 sort-oalist-raw

```

lemma oalist-inv-sort-oalist-raw: oalist-inv (sort-oalist-raw xs)
  {proof}

```

```

lemma sort-oalist-raw-id:
  assumes oalist-inv xs
  shows sort-oalist-raw xs = xs
  {proof}

```

```

lemma set-sort-oalist-raw:
  assumes distinct (map fst (fst xs))
  shows set (fst (sort-oalist-raw xs)) = {kv. kv  $\in$  set (fst xs)  $\wedge$  snd kv  $\neq$  0}
  {proof}

```

end

### 12.7 Fundamental Operations on One List

```

locale oalist-abstract = oalist-raw rep-key-order for rep-key-order::'o  $\Rightarrow$  'a key-order
+
  fixes list-of-oalist :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b::zero, 'o) oalist-raw
  fixes oalist-of-list :: ('a, 'b, 'o) oalist-raw  $\Rightarrow$  'x

```

```

assumes oalist-inv-list-of-oalist: oalist-inv (list-of-oalist x)
and list-of-oalist-of-list: list-of-oalist (oalist-of-list xs) = sort-oalist-raw xs
and oalist-of-list-of-oalist: oalist-of-list (list-of-oalist x) = x
begin

lemma list-of-oalist-of-list-id:
assumes oalist-inv xs
shows list-of-oalist (oalist-of-list xs) = xs
(proof)

definition lookup :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b
where lookup xs = lookup-raw (list-of-oalist xs)

definition sorted-domain :: 'o  $\Rightarrow$  'x  $\Rightarrow$  'a list
where sorted-domain ko xs = sorted-domain-raw ko (list-of-oalist xs)

definition empty :: 'o  $\Rightarrow$  'x
where empty ko = oalist-of-list ([]), ko

definition reorder :: 'o  $\Rightarrow$  'x  $\Rightarrow$  'x
where reorder ko xs = oalist-of-list (sort-oalist-aux ko (list-of-oalist xs), ko)

definition tl :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  'x
where tl xs = oalist-of-list (tl-raw (list-of-oalist xs))

definition hd :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  'b)
where hd xs = List.hd (fst (list-of-oalist xs))

definition except-min :: 'o  $\Rightarrow$  'x  $\Rightarrow$  'x
where except-min ko xs = tl (reorder ko xs)

definition min-key-val :: 'o  $\Rightarrow$  'x  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  'b)
where min-key-val ko xs = min-key-val-raw ko (list-of-oalist xs)

definition insert :: ('a  $\times$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  'x  $\Rightarrow$  'x
where insert x xs = oalist-of-list (update-by-raw x (list-of-oalist xs))

definition update-by-fun :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('b  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  'x  $\Rightarrow$  'x
where update-by-fun k f xs = oalist-of-list (update-by-fun-raw k f (list-of-oalist xs))

definition update-by-fun-gr :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('b  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  'x  $\Rightarrow$  'x
where update-by-fun-gr k f xs = oalist-of-list (update-by-fun-gr-raw k f (list-of-oalist xs))

definition filter :: (('a  $\times$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'x  $\Rightarrow$  'x
where filter P xs = oalist-of-list (filter-raw P (list-of-oalist xs))

definition map2-val-neutr :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  'x  $\Rightarrow$  'x  $\Rightarrow$  'x

```

```
where map2-val-neutr f xs ys = oalist-of-list (map2-val-raw f id id (list-of-oalist
xs) (list-of-oalist ys))
```

```
definition oalist-eq :: 'x ⇒ 'x ⇒ bool
  where oalist-eq xs ys = oalist-eq-raw (list-of-oalist xs) (list-of-oalist ys)
```

### 12.7.1 Invariant

```
lemma zero-notin-list-of-oalist: 0 ∉ snd ` set (fst (list-of-oalist xs))
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma list-of-oalist-sorted: sorted-wrt (lt (snd (list-of-oalist xs))) (map fst (fst
(list-of-oalist xs)))
⟨proof⟩
```

### 12.7.2 lookup

```
lemma lookup-eq-value: v ≠ 0 ⇒ lookup xs k = v ↔ ((k, v) ∈ set (fst (list-of-oalist
xs)))
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma lookup-eq-valueI: (k, v) ∈ set (fst (list-of-oalist xs)) ⇒ lookup xs k = v
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma lookup-oalist-of-list:
  distinct (map fst xs) ⇒ lookup (oalist-of-list (xs, ko)) = lookup-dflt xs
⟨proof⟩
```

### 12.7.3 sorted-domain

```
lemma set-sorted-domain: set (sorted-domain ko xs) = fst ` set (fst (list-of-oalist
xs))
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma in-sorted-domain-iff-lookup: k ∈ set (sorted-domain ko xs) ↔ (lookup xs
k ≠ 0)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma sorted-sorted-domain: sorted-wrt (lt ko) (sorted-domain ko xs)
⟨proof⟩
```

### 12.7.4 local.empty and Singletons

```
lemma list-of-oalist-empty [simp, code abstract]: list-of-oalist (empty ko) = ([] , ko)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma lookup-empty: lookup (empty ko) k = 0
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma lookup-oalist-of-list-single:
```

*lookup (oalist-of-list ([(k, v)], ko)) k' = (if k = k' then v else 0)*  
*⟨proof⟩*

#### 12.7.5 reorder

**lemma** *list-of-oalist-reorder* [*simp, code abstract*]:  
*list-of-oalist (reorder ko xs) = (sort-oalist-aux ko (list-of-oalist xs), ko)*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *lookup-reorder*: *lookup (reorder ko xs) k = lookup xs k*  
*⟨proof⟩*

#### 12.7.6 local.hd and local.tl

**lemma** *list-of-oalist-tl* [*simp, code abstract*]: *list-of-oalist (tl xs) = tl-raw (list-of-oalist xs)*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *lookup-tl*:  
*lookup (tl xs) k =*  
*(if (∀ k' ∈ fst ` set (fst (list-of-oalist xs))). le (snd (list-of-oalist xs)) k k') then*  
*0 else lookup xs k)*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *hd-in*:  
**assumes** *fst (list-of-oalist xs) ≠ []*  
**shows** *hd xs ∈ set (fst (list-of-oalist xs))*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *snd-hd*:  
**assumes** *fst (list-of-oalist xs) ≠ []*  
**shows** *snd (hd xs) = lookup xs (fst (hd xs))*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *lookup-tl'*: *lookup (tl xs) k = (if k = fst (hd xs) then 0 else lookup xs k)*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *hd-tl*:  
**assumes** *fst (list-of-oalist xs) ≠ []*  
**shows** *list-of-oalist xs = ((hd xs) # (fst (list-of-oalist (tl xs))), snd (list-of-oalist (tl xs)))*  
*⟨proof⟩*

#### 12.7.7 min-key-val

**lemma** *min-key-val-alt*:  
**assumes** *fst (list-of-oalist xs) ≠ []*  
**shows** *min-key-val ko xs = hd (reorder ko xs)*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *min-key-val-in*:  
**assumes** *fst* (*list-of-oalist xs*)  $\neq []$   
**shows** *min-key-val* *ko xs*  $\in$  *set* (*fst* (*list-of-oalist xs*))

*{proof}*

**lemma** *snd-min-key-val*:  
**assumes** *fst* (*list-of-oalist xs*)  $\neq []$   
**shows** *snd* (*min-key-val* *ko xs*) = *lookup xs* (*fst* (*min-key-val* *ko xs*))  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *min-key-val-minimal*:  
**assumes** *z*  $\in$  *set* (*fst* (*list-of-oalist xs*))  
**shows** *le ko* (*fst* (*min-key-val* *ko xs*)) (*fst z*)  
*{proof}*

### 12.7.8 *except-min*

**lemma** *list-of-oalist-except-min* [simp, code abstract]:  
*list-of-oalist* (*except-min* *ko xs*) = (*List.tl* (*sort-oalist-aux* *ko* (*list-of-oalist xs*)),  
*ko*)  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *except-min-Nil*:  
**assumes** *fst* (*list-of-oalist xs*) = []  
**shows** *fst* (*list-of-oalist* (*except-min* *ko xs*)) = []  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *lookup-except-min*:  
*lookup* (*except-min* *ko xs*) *k* =  
  (if ( $\forall k' \in \text{fst}$  ‘ *set* (*fst* (*list-of-oalist xs*)). *le ko k k'*) then 0 else *lookup xs k*)  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *lookup-except-min'*:  
*lookup* (*except-min* *ko xs*) *k* = (if *k* = *fst* (*min-key-val* *ko xs*) then 0 else *lookup xs k*)  
*{proof}*

### 12.7.9 *local.insert*

**lemma** *list-of-oalist-insert* [simp, code abstract]:  
*list-of-oalist* (*insert* *x xs*) = *update-by-raw* *x* (*list-of-oalist xs*)  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *lookup-insert*: *lookup* (*insert* (*k, v*) *xs*) *k'* = (if *k* = *k'* then *v* else *lookup xs k'*)  
*{proof}*

### 12.7.10 *update-by-fun* and *update-by-fun-gr*

**lemma** *list-of-oalist-update-by-fun* [simp, code abstract]:

*list-of-oalist* (*update-by-fun*  $k f$  *xs*) = *update-by-fun-raw*  $k f$  (*list-of-oalist* *xs*)  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *lookup-update-by-fun*:

*lookup* (*update-by-fun*  $k f$  *xs*)  $k' = (\text{if } k = k' \text{ then } f \text{ else } id)$  (*lookup* *xs*  $k'$ )  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *list-of-oalist-update-by-fun-gr* [simp, code abstract]:

*list-of-oalist* (*update-by-fun-gr*  $k f$  *xs*) = *update-by-fun-gr-raw*  $k f$  (*list-of-oalist* *xs*)  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *update-by-fun-gr-eq-update-by-fun*: *update-by-fun-gr* = *update-by-fun*  
*(proof)*

### 12.7.11 local.filter

**lemma** *list-of-oalist-filter* [simp, code abstract]:

*list-of-oalist* (*filter*  $P$  *xs*) = *filter-raw*  $P$  (*list-of-oalist* *xs*)  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *lookup-filter*: *lookup* (*filter*  $P$  *xs*)  $k = (\text{let } v = \text{lookup } xs \ k \text{ in if } P (k, v) \text{ then } v \text{ else } 0)$   
*(proof)*

### 12.7.12 map2-val-neutr

**lemma** *list-of-oalist-map2-val-neutr* [simp, code abstract]:

*list-of-oalist* (*map2-val-neutr*  $f$  *xs* *ys*) = *map2-val-raw*  $f$  *id* *id* (*list-of-oalist* *xs*)  
*(list-of-oalist* *ys*)  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *lookup-map2-val-neutr*:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge k x. f k x 0 = x$  **and**  $\bigwedge k x. f k 0 x = x$   
**shows** *lookup* (*map2-val-neutr*  $f$  *xs* *ys*)  $k = f k (\text{lookup } xs \ k) (\text{lookup } ys \ k)$   
*(proof)*

### 12.7.13 oalist-eq

**lemma** *oalist-eq-alt*: *oalist-eq* *xs* *ys*  $\longleftrightarrow$  (*lookup* *xs* = *lookup* *ys*)  
*(proof)*

**end**

## 12.8 Fundamental Operations on Three Lists

**locale** *oalist-abstract3* =  
*oalist-abstract rep-key-order list-of-oalistx oalist-of-listx +*  
*oay: oalist-abstract rep-key-order list-of-oalisy oalist-of-listy +*  
*oaz: oalist-abstract rep-key-order list-of-oalistz oalist-of-listz*  
**for** *rep-key-order :: 'o ⇒ 'a key-order*

```

and list-of-oalistx :: 'x ⇒ ('a, 'b::zero, 'o) oalist-raw
and oalist-of-listx :: ('a, 'b, 'o) oalist-raw ⇒ 'x
and list-of-oalisy :: 'y ⇒ ('a, 'c::zero, 'o) oalist-raw
and oalist-of-listy :: ('a, 'c, 'o) oalist-raw ⇒ 'y
and list-of-oalistz :: 'z ⇒ ('a, 'd::zero, 'o) oalist-raw
and oalist-of-listz :: ('a, 'd, 'o) oalist-raw ⇒ 'z
begin

definition map-val :: ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'c) ⇒ 'x ⇒ 'y
where map-val f xs = oalist-of-listy (map-val-raw f (list-of-oalistx xs))

definition map2-val :: ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'c ⇒ 'd) ⇒ 'x ⇒ 'y ⇒ 'z
where map2-val f xs ys =
    oalist-of-listz (map2-val-raw f (map-val-raw (λk. f k b 0)) (map-val-raw
    (λk. f k 0))
    (list-of-oalistx xs) (list-of-oalisy ys))

definition map2-val-rneutr :: ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'c ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'x ⇒ 'y ⇒ 'x
where map2-val-rneutr f xs ys =
    oalist-of-listx (map2-val-raw f id (map-val-raw (λk. f k 0)) (list-of-oalistx
    xs) (list-of-oalisy ys))

definition lex-ord :: 'o ⇒ ('a ⇒ ('b, 'c) comp-opt) ⇒ ('x, 'y) comp-opt
where lex-ord ko f xs ys = lex-ord-raw ko f (list-of-oalistx xs) (list-of-oalisy ys)

definition prod-ord :: ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'c ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'x ⇒ 'y ⇒ bool
where prod-ord f xs ys = prod-ord-raw f (list-of-oalistx xs) (list-of-oalisy ys)

```

### 12.8.1 map-val

**lemma** map-val-cong:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge k v. (k, v) \in \text{set}(\text{fst}(\text{list-of-oalistx } xs)) \implies f k v = g k v$   
**shows** map-val *f* *xs* = map-val *g* *xs*  
*{proof}*

**lemma** list-of-oalist-map-val [simp, code abstract]:  
list-of-oalisy (map-val *f* *xs*) = map-val-raw *f* (list-of-oalistx *xs*)  
*{proof}*

**lemma** lookup-map-val: *f* *k* 0 = 0  $\implies$  oay.lookup (map-val *f* *xs*) *k* = *f* *k* (lookup
*xs* *k*)  
*{proof}*

### 12.8.2 map2-val and map2-val-rneutr

**lemma** list-of-oalist-map2-val [simp, code abstract]:  
list-of-oalistz (map2-val *f* *xs* *ys*) =  
map2-val-raw *f* (map-val-raw (λ*k*. *f* *k* *b* 0)) (map-val-raw (λ*k*. *f* *k* 0))  
(list-of-oalistx *xs*) (list-of-oalisy *ys*)  
*{proof}*

```

lemma list-of-oalist-map2-val-rneutr [simp, code abstract]:
  list-of-oalistx (map2-val-rneutr f xs ys) =
    map2-val-raw f id (map-val-raw ( $\lambda k c. f k 0 c$ ) (list-of-oalistx xs) (list-of-oalisy
ys))
  <proof>

lemma lookup-map2-val:
  assumes  $\bigwedge k. f k 0 = 0$ 
  shows oaz.lookup (map2-val f xs ys) k = f k (lookup xs k) (oay.lookup ys k)
  <proof>

lemma lookup-map2-val-rneutr:
  assumes  $\bigwedge k x. f k x 0 = x$ 
  shows lookup (map2-val-rneutr f xs ys) k = f k (lookup xs k) (oay.lookup ys k)
  <proof>

lemma map2-val-rneutr-singleton-eq-update-by-fun:
  assumes  $\bigwedge a x. f a x 0 = x$  and list-of-oalisy ys =  $[(k, v)]$ , oy
  shows map2-val-rneutr f xs ys = update-by-fun k ( $\lambda x. f k x v$ ) xs
  <proof>

```

### 12.8.3 lex-ord and prod-ord

```

lemma lex-ord-EqI:
   $(\bigwedge k. k \in \text{fst} \setminus \text{set}(\text{fst}(\text{list-of-oalistx } xs)) \cup \text{fst} \setminus \text{set}(\text{fst}(\text{list-of-oalisy } ys))) \implies$ 
   $f k (\text{lookup } xs \ k) (\text{oay.lookup } ys \ k) = \text{Some Eq} \implies$ 
  lex-ord ko f xs ys = Some Eq
  <proof>

lemma lex-ord-valI:
  assumes aux  $\neq \text{Some Eq}$  and  $k \in \text{fst} \setminus \text{set}(\text{fst}(\text{list-of-oalistx } xs)) \cup \text{fst} \setminus \text{set}(\text{fst}(\text{list-of-oalisy } ys))$ 
  shows aux = f k (lookup xs k) (oay.lookup ys k)  $\implies$ 
   $(\bigwedge k'. k' \in \text{fst} \setminus \text{set}(\text{fst}(\text{list-of-oalistx } xs)) \cup \text{fst} \setminus \text{set}(\text{fst}(\text{list-of-oalisy } ys)))$ 
 $\implies$ 
  lt ko k' k  $\implies$  f k' (lookup xs k') (oay.lookup ys k') = Some Eq  $\implies$ 
  lex-ord ko f xs ys = aux
  <proof>

lemma lex-ord-EqD:
  lex-ord ko f xs ys = Some Eq  $\implies$ 
   $k \in \text{fst} \setminus \text{set}(\text{fst}(\text{list-of-oalistx } xs)) \cup \text{fst} \setminus \text{set}(\text{fst}(\text{list-of-oalisy } ys)) \implies$ 
  f k (lookup xs k) (oay.lookup ys k) = Some Eq
  <proof>

lemma lex-ord-valE:
  assumes lex-ord ko f xs ys = aux and aux  $\neq \text{Some Eq}$ 
  obtains k where  $k \in \text{fst} \setminus \text{set}(\text{fst}(\text{list-of-oalistx } xs)) \cup \text{fst} \setminus \text{set}(\text{fst}(\text{list-of-oalisy }$ 

```

```

 $ys))$ 
and  $aux = f k (lookup xs k) (oay.lookup ys k)$ 
and  $\bigwedge k'. k' \in fst \set (fst (list-of-oalistx xs)) \cup fst \set (fst (list-of-oalsty ys))$ 
 $\implies lt ko k' k \implies f k' (lookup xs k') (oay.lookup ys k') = Some Eq$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma prod-ord-alt:
prod-ord  $P xs ys \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $(\forall k \in fst \set (fst (list-of-oalistx xs)) \cup fst \set (fst (list-of-oalsty ys))).$ 
 $P k (lookup xs k) (oay.lookup ys k)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

## 12.9 Type oalist

```

global-interpretation ko: comparator key-compare ko
defines lookup-pair-ko = ko.lookup-pair
and update-by-pair-ko = ko.update-by-pair
and update-by-fun-pair-ko = ko.update-by-fun-pair
and update-by-fun-gr-pair-ko = ko.update-by-fun-gr-pair
and map2-val-pair-ko = ko.map2-val-pair
and lex-ord-pair-ko = ko.lex-ord-pair
and prod-ord-pair-ko = ko.prod-ord-pair
and sort-oalist-ko' = ko.sort-oalist
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ko-le: ko.le = le-of-key-order
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

global-interpretation ko: oalist-raw  $\lambda x. x$ 
rewrites comparator.lookup-pair (key-compare ko) = lookup-pair-ko ko
and comparator.update-by-pair (key-compare ko) = update-by-pair-ko ko
and comparator.update-by-fun-pair (key-compare ko) = update-by-fun-pair-ko ko
and comparator.update-by-fun-gr-pair (key-compare ko) = update-by-fun-gr-pair-ko ko
and comparator.map2-val-pair (key-compare ko) = map2-val-pair-ko ko
and comparator.lex-ord-pair (key-compare ko) = lex-ord-pair-ko ko
and comparator.prod-ord-pair (key-compare ko) = prod-ord-pair-ko ko
and comparator.sort-oalist (key-compare ko) = sort-oalist-ko' ko
defines sort-oalist-aux-ko = ko.sort-oalist-aux
and lookup-ko = ko.lookup-raw
and sorted-domain-ko = ko.sorted-domain-raw
and tl-ko = ko.tl-raw
and min-key-val-ko = ko.min-key-val-raw
and update-by-ko = ko.update-by-raw
and update-by-fun-ko = ko.update-by-fun-raw

```

```

and update-by-fun-gr-ko = ko.update-by-fun-gr-raw
and map2-val-ko = ko.map2-val-raw
and lex-ord-ko = ko.lex-ord-raw
and prod-ord-ko = ko.prod-ord-raw
and oalist-eq-ko = ko.oalist-eq-raw
and sort-oalist-ko = ko.sort-oalist-raw
⟨proof⟩

typedef (overloaded) ('a, 'b) oalist = {xs::('a, 'b::zero, 'a key-order) oalist-raw.
ko.oalist-inv xs}
morphisms list-of-oalist Abs-oalist
⟨proof⟩

lemma oalist-eq-iff: xs = ys  $\longleftrightarrow$  list-of-oalist xs = list-of-oalist ys
⟨proof⟩

lemma oalist-eqI: list-of-oalist xs = list-of-oalist ys  $\implies$  xs = ys
⟨proof⟩

Formal, totalized constructor for ('a, 'b) oalist:
definition Oalist :: ('a × 'b) list × 'a key-order  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b::zero) oalist where
Oalist xs = Abs-oalist (sort-oalist-ko xs)

definition oalist-of-list = Oalist

lemma oalist-inv-list-of-oalist: ko.oalist-inv (list-of-oalist xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma list-of-oalist-Oalist: list-of-oalist (Oalist xs) = sort-oalist-ko xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma Oalist-list-of-oalist [code abstype]: Oalist (list-of-oalist xs) = xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma [code abstract]: list-of-oalist (oalist-of-list xs) = sort-oalist-ko xs
⟨proof⟩

global-interpretation oa: oalist-abstract  $\lambda x.$  x list-of-oalist Oalist
defines Oalist-lookup = oa.lookup
and Oalist-sorted-domain = oa.sorted-domain
and Oalist-empty = oa.empty
and Oalist-reorder = oa.reorder
and Oalist-tl = oa.tl
and Oalist-hd = oa.hd
and Oalist-except-min = oa.except-min
and Oalist-min-key-val = oa.min-key-val
and Oalist-insert = oa.insert
and Oalist-update-by-fun = oa.update-by-fun
and Oalist-update-by-fun-gr = oa.update-by-fun-gr

```

```

and Oalist-filter = oa.filter
and Oalist-map2-val-neutr = oa.map2-val-neutr
and Oalist-eq = oa.oalist-eq
⟨proof⟩

global-interpretation oa: oalist-abstract3 λx. x
  list-of-oalist::('a, 'b) oalist ⇒ ('a, 'b::zero, 'a key-order) oalist-raw Oalist
  list-of-oalist::('a, 'c) oalist ⇒ ('a, 'c::zero, 'a key-order) oalist-raw Oalist
  list-of-oalist::('a, 'd) oalist ⇒ ('a, 'd::zero, 'a key-order) oalist-raw Oalist
defines Oalist-map-val = oa.map-val
and Oalist-map2-val = oa.map2-val
and Oalist-map2-val-rneutr = oa.map2-val-rneutr
and Oalist-lex-ord = oa.lex-ord
and Oalist-prod-ord = oa.prod-ord ⟨proof⟩

```

**lemmas** Oalist-lookup-single = oa.lookup-oalist-of-list-single[folded oalist-of-list-def]

## 12.10 Type oalist-tc

“tc” stands for “type class”.

```

global-interpretation tc: comparator comparator-of
  defines lookup-pair-tc = tc.lookup-pair
  and update-by-pair-tc = tc.update-by-pair
  and update-by-fun-pair-tc = tc.update-by-fun-pair
  and update-by-fun-gr-pair-tc = tc.update-by-fun-gr-pair
  and map2-val-pair-tc = tc.map2-val-pair
  and lex-ord-pair-tc = tc.lex-ord-pair
  and prod-ord-pair-tc = tc.prod-ord-pair
  and sort-oalist-tc = tc.sort-oalist
  ⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** tc-le-lt [simp]: tc.le = ( $\leq$ ) tc.lt = ( $<$ )
 ⟨proof⟩

**typedef (overloaded)** ('a, 'b) oalist-tc = {xs::('a::linorder × 'b::zero) list. tc.oalist-inv-raw xs}
 **morphisms** list-of-oalist-tc Abs-oalist-tc
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** oalist-tc-eq-iff: xs = ys  $\longleftrightarrow$  list-of-oalist-tc xs = list-of-oalist-tc ys
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** oalist-tc-eqI: list-of-oalist-tc xs = list-of-oalist-tc ys  $\implies$  xs = ys
 ⟨proof⟩

Formal, totalized constructor for ('a, 'b) oalist-tc:

**definition** Oalist-tc :: ('a × 'b) list ⇒ ('a::linorder, 'b::zero) oalist-tc **where**
 Oalist-tc xs = Abs-oalist-tc (sort-oalist-tc xs)

```

definition oalist-tc-of-list = Oalist-tc

lemma oalist-inv-list-of-oalist-tc: tc.oalist-inv-raw (list-of-oalist-tc xs)
  <proof>

lemma list-of-oalist-Oalist-tc: list-of-oalist-tc (Oalist-tc xs) = sort-oalist-tc xs
  <proof>

lemma Oalist-list-of-oalist-tc [code abstype]: Oalist-tc (list-of-oalist-tc xs) = xs
  <proof>

lemma list-of-oalist-tc-of-list [code abstract]: list-of-oalist-tc (oalist-tc-of-list xs) =
  sort-oalist-tc xs
  <proof>

lemma list-of-oalist-tc-of-list-id:
  assumes tc.oalist-inv-raw xs
  shows list-of-oalist-tc (Oalist-tc xs) = xs
  <proof>

It is better to define the following operations directly instead of interpreting oalist-abstract, because oalist-abstract defines the operations via their -raw analogues, whereas in this case we can define them directly via their -pair analogues.

definition Oalist-tc-lookup :: ('a::linorder, 'b::zero) oalist-tc  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b
  where Oalist-tc-lookup xs = lookup-pair-tc (list-of-oalist-tc xs)

definition Oalist-tc-sorted-domain :: ('a::linorder, 'b::zero) oalist-tc  $\Rightarrow$  'a list
  where Oalist-tc-sorted-domain xs = map fst (list-of-oalist-tc xs)

definition Oalist-tc-empty :: ('a::linorder, 'b::zero) oalist-tc
  where Oalist-tc-empty = Oalist-tc []

definition Oalist-tc-except-min :: ('a, 'b) oalist-tc  $\Rightarrow$  ('a::linorder, 'b::zero) oalist-tc
  where Oalist-tc-except-min xs = Oalist-tc (tl (list-of-oalist-tc xs))

definition Oalist-tc-min-key-val :: ('a::linorder, 'b::zero) oalist-tc  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  'b)
  where Oalist-tc-min-key-val xs = hd (list-of-oalist-tc xs)

definition Oalist-tc-insert :: ('a  $\times$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) oalist-tc  $\Rightarrow$  ('a::linorder, 'b::zero) oalist-tc
  where Oalist-tc-insert x xs = Oalist-tc (update-by-pair-tc x (list-of-oalist-tc xs))

definition Oalist-tc-update-by-fun :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('b  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) oalist-tc  $\Rightarrow$  ('a::linorder, 'b::zero) oalist-tc
  where Oalist-tc-update-by-fun k f xs = Oalist-tc (update-by-fun-pair-tc k f (list-of-oalist-tc xs))

```

```

definition OAList-tc-update-by-fun-gr :: 'a ⇒ ('b ⇒ 'b) ⇒ ('a, 'b) oalist-tc ⇒
('a::linorder, 'b::zero) oalist-tc
where OAList-tc-update-by-fun-gr k f xs = OAList-tc (update-by-fun-gr-pair-tc k f
(list-of-oalist-tc xs))

definition OAList-tc-filter :: (('a × 'b) ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('a, 'b) oalist-tc ⇒ ('a::linorder,
'b::zero) oalist-tc
where OAList-tc-filter P xs = OAList-tc (filter P (list-of-oalist-tc xs))

definition OAList-tc-map-val :: ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'c) ⇒ ('a, 'b::zero) oalist-tc ⇒ ('a::linorder,
'c::zero) oalist-tc
where OAList-tc-map-val f xs = OAList-tc (map-val-pair f (list-of-oalist-tc xs))

definition OAList-tc-map2-val :: ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'c ⇒ 'd) ⇒ ('a, 'b::zero) oalist-tc ⇒
('a, 'c::zero) oalist-tc ⇒
('a::linorder, 'd::zero) oalist-tc
where OAList-tc-map2-val f xs ys =
OAList-tc (map2-val-pair-tc f (map-val-pair (λk b. f k b 0)) (map-val-pair
(λk. f k 0)))
(list-of-oalist-tc xs) (list-of-oalist-tc ys))

definition OAList-tc-map2-val-rneutr :: ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'c ⇒ 'b) ⇒ ('a, 'b) oalist-tc
⇒ ('a, 'c::zero) oalist-tc ⇒
('a::linorder, 'b::zero) oalist-tc
where OAList-tc-map2-val-rneutr f xs ys =
OAList-tc (map2-val-pair-tc f id (map-val-pair (λk. f k 0)) (list-of-oalist-tc
xs) (list-of-oalist-tc ys))

definition OAList-tc-map2-val-neutr :: ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b) ⇒ ('a, 'b) oalist-tc ⇒
('a, 'b) oalist-tc ⇒ ('a::linorder, 'b::zero) oalist-tc
where OAList-tc-map2-val-neutr f xs ys = OAList-tc (map2-val-pair-tc f id id
(list-of-oalist-tc xs) (list-of-oalist-tc ys))

definition OAList-tc-lex-ord :: ('a ⇒ ('b, 'c) comp-opt) ⇒ (('a, 'b::zero) oalist-tc,
('a::linorder, 'c::zero) oalist-tc) comp-opt
where OAList-tc-lex-ord f xs ys = lex-ord-pair-tc f (list-of-oalist-tc xs) (list-of-oalist-tc
ys)

definition OAList-tc-prod-ord :: ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'c ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('a, 'b::zero) oalist-tc ⇒
('a::linorder, 'c::zero) oalist-tc ⇒ bool
where OAList-tc-prod-ord f xs ys = prod-ord-pair-tc f (list-of-oalist-tc xs) (list-of-oalist-tc
ys)

```

### 12.10.1 OAList-tc-lookup

**lemma** OAList-tc-lookup-eq-valueI:  $(k, v) \in \text{set}(\text{list-of-oalist-tc } xs) \implies \text{OAList-tc-lookup}$   
 $xs \ k = v$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Oalist-tc-lookup-inj*:  $Oalist\text{-}tc\text{-}lookup\ xs = Oalist\text{-}tc\text{-}lookup\ ys \implies xs = ys$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Oalist-tc-lookup-oalist-of-list*:  
 $distinct\ (map\ fst\ xs) \implies Oalist\text{-}tc\text{-}lookup\ (oalist\text{-}tc\text{-}of\text{-}list\ xs) = lookup\text{-}dflt\ xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 12.10.2 *Oalist-tc-sorted-domain*

**lemma** *set-Oalist-tc-sorted-domain*:  $set\ (Oalist\text{-}tc\text{-}sorted\text{-}domain\ xs) = fst\ ' set\ (list\text{-}of\text{-}oalist\text{-}tc\ xs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *in-Oalist-tc-sorted-domain-iff-lookup*:  $k \in set\ (Oalist\text{-}tc\text{-}sorted\text{-}domain\ xs) \longleftrightarrow (Oalist\text{-}tc\text{-}lookup\ xs\ k \neq 0)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sorted-Oalist-tc-sorted-domain*:  $sorted\text{-}wrt\ (<)\ (Oalist\text{-}tc\text{-}sorted\text{-}domain\ xs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 12.10.3 *Oalist-tc-empty* and *Singltons*

**lemma** *list-of-oalist-Oalist-tc-empty* [*simp, code abstract*]:  $list\text{-}of\text{-}oalist\text{-}tc\ Oalist\text{-}tc\text{-}empty = []$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lookup-Oalist-tc-empty*:  $Oalist\text{-}tc\text{-}lookup\ Oalist\text{-}tc\text{-}empty\ k = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Oalist-tc-lookup-single*:  
 $Oalist\text{-}tc\text{-}lookup\ (oalist\text{-}tc\text{-}of\text{-}list\ [(k, v)])\ k' = (if\ k = k'\ then\ v\ else\ 0)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 12.10.4 *Oalist-tc-except-min*

**lemma** *list-of-oalist-Oalist-tc-except-min* [*simp, code abstract*]:  
 $list\text{-}of\text{-}oalist\text{-}tc\ (Oalist\text{-}tc\text{-}except\text{-}min\ xs) = tl\ (list\text{-}of\text{-}oalist\text{-}tc\ xs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lookup-Oalist-tc-except-min*:  
 $Oalist\text{-}tc\text{-}lookup\ (Oalist\text{-}tc\text{-}except\text{-}min\ xs)\ k =$   
 $(if\ (\forall k' \in fst\ ' set\ (list\text{-}of\text{-}oalist\text{-}tc\ xs).\ k \leq k')\ then\ 0\ else\ Oalist\text{-}tc\text{-}lookup\ xs\ k)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 12.10.5 *Oalist-tc-min-key-val*

**lemma** *Oalist-tc-min-key-val-in*:

```

assumes list-of-oalist-tc xs ≠ []
shows Oalist-tc-min-key-val xs ∈ set (list-of-oalist-tc xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma snd-Oalist-tc-min-key-val:
assumes list-of-oalist-tc xs ≠ []
shows snd (Oalist-tc-min-key-val xs) = Oalist-tc-lookup xs (fst (Oalist-tc-min-key-val
xs))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Oalist-tc-min-key-val-minimal:
assumes z ∈ set (list-of-oalist-tc xs)
shows fst (Oalist-tc-min-key-val xs) ≤ fst z
⟨proof⟩

```

### 12.10.6 Oalist-tc-insert

```

lemma list-of-oalist-Oalist-tc-insert [simp, code abstract]:
list-of-oalist-tc (Oalist-tc-insert x xs) = update-by-pair-tc x (list-of-oalist-tc xs)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lookup-Oalist-tc-insert: Oalist-tc-lookup (Oalist-tc-insert (k, v) xs) k' =
(if k = k' then v else Oalist-tc-lookup xs k')
⟨proof⟩

```

### 12.10.7 Oalist-tc-update-by-fun and Oalist-tc-update-by-fun-gr

```

lemma list-of-oalist-Oalist-tc-update-by-fun [simp, code abstract]:
list-of-oalist-tc (Oalist-tc-update-by-fun k f xs) = update-by-fun-pair-tc k f (list-of-oalist-tc
xs)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lookup-Oalist-tc-update-by-fun:
Oalist-tc-lookup (Oalist-tc-update-by-fun k f xs) k' = (if k = k' then f else id)
(Oalist-tc-lookup xs k')
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma list-of-oalist-Oalist-tc-update-by-fun-gr [simp, code abstract]:
list-of-oalist-tc (Oalist-tc-update-by-fun-gr k f xs) = update-by-fun-gr-pair-tc k f
(list-of-oalist-tc xs)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Oalist-tc-update-by-fun-gr-eq-Oalist-tc-update-by-fun: Oalist-tc-update-by-fun-gr
= Oalist-tc-update-by-fun
⟨proof⟩

```

### 12.10.8 Oalist-tc-filter

```

lemma list-of-oalist-Oalist-tc-filter [simp, code abstract]:
list-of-oalist-tc (Oalist-tc-filter P xs) = filter P (list-of-oalist-tc xs)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lookup-Oalist-tc-filter*:  $OAlist-tc\text{-}lookup}(OAlist-tc\text{-}filter P xs) k = (\text{let } v = OAlist-tc\text{-}lookup xs k \text{ in if } P(k, v) \text{ then } v \text{ else } 0)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 12.10.9 $OAlist-tc\text{-}map\text{-}val$

**lemma** *list-of-oalist-Oalist-tc-map-val* [simp, code abstract]:  
 $list\text{-}of\text{-}oalist\text{-}tc(OAlist-tc\text{-}map\text{-}val f xs) = map\text{-}val\text{-}pair f(list\text{-}of\text{-}oalist\text{-}tc xs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *OAlist-tc-map-val-cong*:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge k v. (k, v) \in \text{set}(list\text{-}of\text{-}oalist\text{-}tc xs) \implies f k v = g k v$   
**shows**  $OAlist-tc\text{-}map\text{-}val f xs = OAlist-tc\text{-}map\text{-}val g xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lookup-Oalist-tc-map-val*:  $f k 0 = 0 \implies OAlist-tc\text{-}lookup}(OAlist-tc\text{-}map\text{-}val f xs) k = f k(OAlist-tc\text{-}lookup xs k)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 12.10.10 $OAlist-tc\text{-}map2\text{-}val$ $OAlist-tc\text{-}map2\text{-}val\text{-}rneutr$ and $OAlist-tc\text{-}map2\text{-}val\text{-}neutr$

**lemma** *list-of-oalist-map2-val* [simp, code abstract]:  
 $list\text{-}of\text{-}oalist\text{-}tc(OAlist-tc\text{-}map2\text{-}val f xs ys) = map2\text{-}val\text{-}pair\text{-}tc f (map\text{-}val\text{-}pair(\lambda k b. f k b 0)) (map\text{-}val\text{-}pair(\lambda k. f k 0))$   
 $(list\text{-}of\text{-}oalist\text{-}tc xs) (list\text{-}of\text{-}oalist\text{-}tc ys)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *list-of-oalist-OAlist-tc-map2-val-rneutr* [simp, code abstract]:  
 $list\text{-}of\text{-}oalist\text{-}tc(OAlist-tc\text{-}map2\text{-}val\text{-}rneutr f xs ys) = map2\text{-}val\text{-}pair\text{-}tc f id (map\text{-}val\text{-}pair(\lambda k c. f k 0 c)) (list\text{-}of\text{-}oalist\text{-}tc xs)$   
 $(list\text{-}of\text{-}oalist\text{-}tc ys)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *list-of-oalist-OAlist-tc-map2-val-neutr* [simp, code abstract]:  
 $list\text{-}of\text{-}oalist\text{-}tc(OAlist-tc\text{-}map2\text{-}val\text{-}neutr f xs ys) = map2\text{-}val\text{-}pair\text{-}tc f id id (list\text{-}of\text{-}oalist\text{-}tc xs) (list\text{-}of\text{-}oalist\text{-}tc ys)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lookup-Oalist-tc-map2-val*:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge k. f k 0 = 0$   
**shows**  $OAlist-tc\text{-}lookup}(OAlist-tc\text{-}map2\text{-}val f xs ys) k = f k(OAlist-tc\text{-}lookup xs k) (OAlist-tc\text{-}lookup ys k)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lookup-Oalist-tc-map2-val-rneutr*:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge k x. f k x 0 = x$   
**shows**  $OAlist-tc\text{-}lookup}(OAlist-tc\text{-}map2\text{-}val\text{-}rneutr f xs ys) k = f k(OAlist-tc\text{-}lookup xs k) (OAlist-tc\text{-}lookup ys k)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lookup-Oalist-tc-map2-val-neutr*:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge k x. f k x 0 = x$  **and**  $\bigwedge k x. f k 0 x = x$   
**shows** *Oalist-tc-lookup* (*Oalist-tc-map2-val-neutr*  $f$   $xs$   $ys$ )  $k = f k$  (*Oalist-tc-lookup*  
 $xs$   $k$ ) (*Oalist-tc-lookup*  $ys$   $k$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Oalist-tc-map2-val-rneutr-singleton-eq-Oalist-tc-update-by-fun*:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge a x. f a x 0 = x$  **and** *list-of-oalist-tc*  $ys = [(k, v)]$   
**shows** *Oalist-tc-map2-val-rneutr*  $f$   $xs$   $ys = Oalist-tc-update-by-fun$   $k$  ( $\lambda x. f k x$   
 $v$ )  $xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 12.10.11 *Oalist-tc-lex-ord* and *Oalist-tc-prod-ord*

**lemma** *Oalist-tc-lex-ord-EqI*:  
 $(\bigwedge k. k \in fst`set(list-of-oalist-tc xs) \cup fst`set(list-of-oalist-tc ys) \implies$   
 $f k (Oalist-tc-lookup xs k) (Oalist-tc-lookup ys k) = Some Eq) \implies$   
*Oalist-tc-lex-ord*  $f$   $xs$   $ys = Some Eq$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Oalist-tc-lex-ord-valI*:  
**assumes**  $aux \neq Some Eq$  **and**  $k \in fst`set(list-of-oalist-tc xs) \cup fst`set(list-of-oalist-tc ys)$   
**shows**  $aux = f k (Oalist-tc-lookup xs k) (Oalist-tc-lookup ys k) \implies$   
 $(\bigwedge k'. k' \in fst`set(list-of-oalist-tc xs) \cup fst`set(list-of-oalist-tc ys) \implies$   
 $k' < k \implies f k' (Oalist-tc-lookup xs k') (Oalist-tc-lookup ys k') = Some Eq) \implies$   
*Oalist-tc-lex-ord*  $f$   $xs$   $ys = aux$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Oalist-tc-lex-ord-EqD*:  
*Oalist-tc-lex-ord*  $f$   $xs$   $ys = Some Eq \implies$   
 $k \in fst`set(list-of-oalist-tc xs) \cup fst`set(list-of-oalist-tc ys) \implies$   
 $f k (Oalist-tc-lookup xs k) (Oalist-tc-lookup ys k) = Some Eq$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Oalist-tc-lex-ord-valE*:  
**assumes** *Oalist-tc-lex-ord*  $f$   $xs$   $ys = aux$  **and**  $aux \neq Some Eq$   
**obtains**  $k$  **where**  $k \in fst`set(list-of-oalist-tc xs) \cup fst`set(list-of-oalist-tc ys)$   
**and**  $aux = f k (Oalist-tc-lookup xs k) (Oalist-tc-lookup ys k)$   
**and**  $\bigwedge k'. k' \in fst`set(list-of-oalist-tc xs) \cup fst`set(list-of-oalist-tc ys) \implies$   
 $k' < k \implies f k' (Oalist-tc-lookup xs k') (Oalist-tc-lookup ys k') = Some Eq$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Oalist-tc-prod-ord-alt*:  
*Oalist-tc-prod-ord*  $P$   $xs$   $ys \longleftrightarrow$

$(\forall k \in fst \text{ set } (list\text{-}of\text{-}oalist\text{-}tc xs) \cup fst \text{ set } (list\text{-}of\text{-}oalist\text{-}tc ys). P k (Oalist\text{-}tc\text{-}lookup xs k) (Oalist\text{-}tc\text{-}lookup ys k))$   
 *$\langle proof \rangle$*

### 12.10.12 Instance of *equal*

```

instantiation oalist-tc :: (linorder, zero) equal
begin

definition equal-oalist-tc :: ('a, 'b) oalist-tc  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) oalist-tc  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where equal-oalist-tc xs ys = (list-of-oalist-tc xs = list-of-oalist-tc ys)

instance  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

## 12.11 Experiment

```

lemma oalist-tc-of-list [(0::nat, 4::nat), (1, 3), (0, 2), (1, 1)] = oalist-tc-of-list
[(0, 4), (1, 3)]
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Oalist-tc-except-min (oalist-tc-of-list [(1, 3), (0::nat, 4::nat), (0, 2), (1,
1)]) = oalist-tc-of-list [(1, 3)]
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Oalist-tc-min-key-val (oalist-tc-of-list [(1, 3), (0::nat, 4::nat), (0, 2), (1,
1)]) = (0, 4)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Oalist-tc-lookup (oalist-tc-of-list [(0::nat, 4::nat), (1, 3), (0, 2), (1, 1)])
1 = 3
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Oalist-tc-prod-ord ( $\lambda$ - greater-eq)
  (oalist-tc-of-list [(1, 4), (0::nat, 4::nat), (1, 3), (0, 2), (3, 1)])
  (oalist-tc-of-list [(0, 4), (1, 3), (2, 2), (1, 1)]) = False
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Oalist-tc-map2-val-rneutr ( $\lambda$ - minus)
  (oalist-tc-of-list [(1, 4), (0::nat, 4::int), (1, 3), (0, 2), (3, 1)])
  (oalist-tc-of-list [(0, 4), (1, 3), (2, 2), (1, 1)]) =
  oalist-tc-of-list [(1, 1), (2, -2), (3, 1)]
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

## 13 Ordered Associative Lists for Polynomials

```

theory Oalist-Poly-Mapping
imports PP-Type MPoly-Type-Class-Ordered Oalist
begin

  We introduce a dedicated type for ordered associative lists (oalists) representing polynomials. To that end, we require the order relation the oalists are sorted wrt. to be admissible term orders, and furthermore sort the lists descending rather than ascending, because this allows to implement various operations more efficiently. For technical reasons, we must restrict the type of terms to types embeddable into  $(nat, nat)$   $pp \times nat$ , though. All types we are interested in meet this requirement.

  lemma comparator-lexicographic:
    fixes f::'a ⇒ 'b and g::'a ⇒ 'c
    assumes comparator c1 and comparator c2 and  $\bigwedge x y. f x = f y \Rightarrow g x = g y \Rightarrow x = y$ 
    shows comparator  $(\lambda x y. \text{case } c1 (f x) (f y) \text{ of } Eq \Rightarrow c2 (g x) (g y) \mid val \Rightarrow val)$ 
      (is comparator ?c3)
    ⟨proof⟩

  class nat-term =
    fixes rep-nat-term :: 'a ⇒ ((nat, nat) pp × nat)
    and splus :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
    assumes rep-nat-term-inj: rep-nat-term x = rep-nat-term y ⇒ x = y
    and full-component: snd (rep-nat-term x) = i ⇒ (∃ y. rep-nat-term y = (t, i))
    and splus-term: rep-nat-term (splus x y) = pprod.splus (fst (rep-nat-term x)) (rep-nat-term y)
  begin

    definition lex-comp-aux =  $(\lambda x y. \text{case comp-of-ord lex-pp (fst (rep-nat-term x)) (fst (rep-nat-term y)) of } Eq \Rightarrow \text{comparator-of (snd (rep-nat-term x)) (snd (rep-nat-term y))} \mid val \Rightarrow val)$ 

    lemma full-componentE:
      assumes snd (rep-nat-term x) = i
      obtains y where rep-nat-term y = (t, i)
    ⟨proof⟩

  end

  class nat-pp-term = nat-term + zero + plus +
  assumes rep-nat-term-zero: rep-nat-term 0 = (0, 0)
  and splus-pp-term: splus = (+)

  definition nat-term-comp :: 'a::nat-term comparator ⇒ bool
  where nat-term-comp cmp ↔

```

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\forall u v. \text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } u) = \text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } v) \rightarrow \text{fst}(\text{rep-nat-term } u) = 0 \rightarrow \text{cmp } u v \neq Gt) \wedge \\
& \quad (\forall u v. \text{fst}(\text{rep-nat-term } u) = \text{fst}(\text{rep-nat-term } v) \rightarrow \text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } u) < \text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } v) \rightarrow \text{cmp } u v = Lt) \wedge \\
& \quad (\forall t u v. \text{cmp } u v = Lt \rightarrow \text{cmp}(\text{splus } t u)(\text{splus } t v) = Lt) \wedge \\
& \quad (\forall u v a b. \text{fst}(\text{rep-nat-term } u) = \text{fst}(\text{rep-nat-term } a) \rightarrow \text{fst}(\text{rep-nat-term } v) = \text{fst}(\text{rep-nat-term } b) \rightarrow \\
& \quad \quad \text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } u) = \text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } v) \rightarrow \text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } a) = \text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } b) \rightarrow \\
& \quad \quad \quad \text{cmp } a b = Lt \rightarrow \text{cmp } u v = Lt)
\end{aligned}$$

**lemma** *nat-term-compI*:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge u v. \text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } u) = \text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } v) \Rightarrow \text{fst}(\text{rep-nat-term } u) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{cmp } u v \neq Gt$   
**and**  $\bigwedge u v. \text{fst}(\text{rep-nat-term } u) = \text{fst}(\text{rep-nat-term } v) \Rightarrow \text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } u) < \text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } v) \Rightarrow \text{cmp } u v = Lt$   
**and**  $\bigwedge t u v. \text{cmp } u v = Lt \Rightarrow \text{cmp}(\text{splus } t u)(\text{splus } t v) = Lt$   
**and**  $\bigwedge u v a b. \text{fst}(\text{rep-nat-term } u) = \text{fst}(\text{rep-nat-term } a) \Rightarrow \text{fst}(\text{rep-nat-term } v) = \text{fst}(\text{rep-nat-term } b) \Rightarrow$   
 $\quad \text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } u) = \text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } v) \Rightarrow \text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } a) = \text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } b) \Rightarrow$   
 $\quad \quad \text{cmp } a b = Lt \Rightarrow \text{cmp } u v = Lt$

**shows** *nat-term-comp cmp*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *nat-term-compD1*:

**assumes** *nat-term-comp cmp* **and**  $\text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } u) = \text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } v)$   
**and**  $\text{fst}(\text{rep-nat-term } u) = 0$   
**shows**  $\text{cmp } u v \neq Gt$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *nat-term-compD2*:

**assumes** *nat-term-comp cmp* **and**  $\text{fst}(\text{rep-nat-term } u) = \text{fst}(\text{rep-nat-term } v)$   
**and**  $\text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } u) < \text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } v)$   
**shows**  $\text{cmp } u v = Lt$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *nat-term-compD3*:

**assumes** *nat-term-comp cmp* **and**  $\text{cmp } u v = Lt$   
**shows**  $\text{cmp}(\text{splus } t u)(\text{splus } t v) = Lt$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *nat-term-compD4*:

**assumes** *nat-term-comp cmp* **and**  $\text{fst}(\text{rep-nat-term } u) = \text{fst}(\text{rep-nat-term } a)$   
**and**  $\text{fst}(\text{rep-nat-term } v) = \text{fst}(\text{rep-nat-term } b)$  **and**  $\text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } u) = \text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } v)$   
**and**  $\text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } a) = \text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } b)$  **and**  $\text{cmp } a b = Lt$   
**shows**  $\text{cmp } u v = Lt$   
*(proof)*

```

lemma nat-term-compD1':
  assumes comparator cmp and nat-term-comp cmp and snd (rep-nat-term u) ≤
  snd (rep-nat-term v)
  and fst (rep-nat-term u) = 0
  shows cmp u v ≠ Gt
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-term-compD4':
  assumes comparator cmp and nat-term-comp cmp and fst (rep-nat-term u) =
  fst (rep-nat-term a)
  and fst (rep-nat-term v) = fst (rep-nat-term b) and snd (rep-nat-term u) =
  snd (rep-nat-term v)
  and snd (rep-nat-term a) = snd (rep-nat-term b)
  shows cmp u v = cmp a b
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-term-compD4'':
  assumes comparator cmp and nat-term-comp cmp and fst (rep-nat-term u) =
  fst (rep-nat-term a)
  and fst (rep-nat-term v) = fst (rep-nat-term b) and snd (rep-nat-term u) ≤
  snd (rep-nat-term v)
  and snd (rep-nat-term a) = snd (rep-nat-term b) and cmp a b ≠ Gt
  shows cmp u v ≠ Gt
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma comparator-lex-comp-aux: comparator (lex-comp-aux::'a::nat-term comparator)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-term-comp-lex-comp-aux: nat-term-comp (lex-comp-aux::'a::nat-term comparator)
  ⟨proof⟩

typedef (overloaded) 'a nat-term-order =
  {cmp::'a::nat-term comparator. comparator cmp ∧ nat-term-comp cmp}
  morphisms nat-term-compare Abs-nat-term-order
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-term-compare-Abs-nat-term-order-id:
  assumes comparator cmp and nat-term-comp cmp
  shows nat-term-compare (Abs-nat-term-order cmp) = cmp
  ⟨proof⟩

instantiation nat-term-order :: (type) equal
begin

definition equal-nat-term-order :: 'a nat-term-order ⇒ 'a nat-term-order ⇒ bool
where equal-nat-term-order = (=)

```

```

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

definition nat-term-compare-inv :: 'a nat-term-order ⇒ 'a::nat-term comparator
where nat-term-compare-inv to = (λx y. nat-term-compare to y x)

definition key-order-of-nat-term-order :: 'a nat-term-order ⇒ 'a::nat-term key-order
where key-order-of-nat-term-order-def [code del]:
  key-order-of-nat-term-order to = Abs-key-order (nat-term-compare to)

definition key-order-of-nat-term-order-inv :: 'a nat-term-order ⇒ 'a::nat-term key-order
where key-order-of-nat-term-order-inv-def [code del]:
  key-order-of-nat-term-order-inv to = Abs-key-order (nat-term-compare-inv to)

definition le-of-nat-term-order :: 'a nat-term-order ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a::nat-term ⇒ bool
where le-of-nat-term-order to = le-of-key-order (key-order-of-nat-term-order to)

definition lt-of-nat-term-order :: 'a nat-term-order ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a::nat-term ⇒ bool
where lt-of-nat-term-order to = lt-of-key-order (key-order-of-nat-term-order to)

definition nat-term-order-of-le :: 'a:{linorder,nat-term} nat-term-order
where nat-term-order-of-le = Abs-nat-term-order (comparator-of)

lemma comparator-nat-term-compare: comparator (nat-term-compare to)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-term-comp-nat-term-compare: nat-term-comp (nat-term-compare to)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-term-compare-splus: nat-term-compare to (splus t u) (splus t v) =
  nat-term-compare to u v
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-term-compare-conv: nat-term-compare to = key-compare (key-order-of-nat-term-order
  to)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma comparator-nat-term-compare-inv: comparator (nat-term-compare-inv to)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-term-compare-inv-conv: nat-term-compare-inv to = key-compare (key-order-of-nat-term-order-inv
  to)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-term-compare-inv-alt [code-unfold]: nat-term-compare-inv to x y = nat-term-compare
  to y x
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma le-of-nat-term-order [code]: le-of-nat-term-order to x y = (nat-term-compare
to x y ≠ Gt)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lt-of-nat-term-order [code]: lt-of-nat-term-order to x y = (nat-term-compare
to x y = Lt)
⟨proof⟩

lemma le-of-nat-term-order-alt:
le-of-nat-term-order to = (λu v. ko.le (key-order-of-nat-term-order-inv to) v u)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lt-of-nat-term-order-alt:
lt-of-nat-term-order to = (λu v. ko.lt (key-order-of-nat-term-order-inv to) v u)
⟨proof⟩

lemma linorder-le-of-nat-term-order: class.linorder (le-of-nat-term-order to) (lt-of-nat-term-order
to)
⟨proof⟩

lemma le-of-nat-term-order-zero-min: le-of-nat-term-order to 0 (t::'a::nat-pp-term)
⟨proof⟩

lemma le-of-nat-term-order-plus-monotone:
assumes le-of-nat-term-order to s (t::'a::nat-pp-term)
shows le-of-nat-term-order to (u + s) (u + t)
⟨proof⟩

global-interpretation ko-ntm: comparator nat-term-compare-inv ko
defines lookup-pair-ko-ntm = ko-ntm.lookup-pair
and update-by-pair-ko-ntm = ko-ntm.update-by-pair
and update-by-fun-pair-ko-ntm = ko-ntm.update-by-fun-pair
and update-by-fun-gr-pair-ko-ntm = ko-ntm.update-by-fun-gr-pair
and map2-val-pair-ko-ntm = ko-ntm.map2-val-pair
and lex-ord-pair-ko-ntm = ko-ntm.lex-ord-pair
and prod-ord-pair-ko-ntm = ko-ntm.prod-ord-pair
and sort-oalist-ko-ntm' = ko-ntm.sort-oalist
⟨proof⟩

lemma ko-ntm-le: ko-ntm.le to = (λx y. le-of-nat-term-order to y x)
⟨proof⟩

global-interpretation ko-ntm: oalist-raw key-order-of-nat-term-order-inv
rewrites comparator.lookup-pair (key-compare (key-order-of-nat-term-order-inv
ko)) = lookup-pair-ko-ntm ko
and comparator.update-by-pair (key-compare (key-order-of-nat-term-order-inv ko))
= update-by-pair-ko-ntm ko
and comparator.update-by-fun-pair (key-compare (key-order-of-nat-term-order-inv
ko)) = update-by-fun-pair-ko-ntm ko
and comparator.map2-val-pair (key-compare (key-order-of-nat-term-order-inv
ko)) = map2-val-pair-ko-ntm ko
and comparator.lex-ord-pair (key-compare (key-order-of-nat-term-order-inv ko))
= lex-ord-pair-ko-ntm ko
and comparator.prod-ord-pair (key-compare (key-order-of-nat-term-order-inv ko))
= prod-ord-pair-ko-ntm ko
and comparator.sort-oalist (key-compare (key-order-of-nat-term-order-inv
ko)) = sort-oalist-ko-ntm' ko
⟨proof⟩

```

```

ko)) = update-by-fun-pair-ko-ntm ko
and comparator.update-by-fun-gr-pair (key-compare (key-order-of-nat-term-order-inv
ko)) = update-by-fun-gr-pair-ko-ntm ko
and comparator.map2-val-pair (key-compare (key-order-of-nat-term-order-inv ko))
= map2-val-pair-ko-ntm ko
and comparator.lex-ord-pair (key-compare (key-order-of-nat-term-order-inv ko))
= lex-ord-pair-ko-ntm ko
and comparator.prod-ord-pair (key-compare (key-order-of-nat-term-order-inv ko))
= prod-ord-pair-ko-ntm ko
and comparator.sort-oalist (key-compare (key-order-of-nat-term-order-inv ko)) =
sort-oalist-ko-ntm' ko
defines sort-oalist-aux-ko-ntm = ko-ntm.sort-oalist-aux
and lookup-ko-ntm = ko-ntm.lookup-raw
and sorted-domain-ko-ntm = ko-ntm.sorted-domain-raw
and tl-ko-ntm = ko-ntm.tl-raw
and min-key-val-ko-ntm = ko-ntm.min-key-val-raw
and update-by-ko-ntm = ko-ntm.update-by-raw
and update-by-fun-ko-ntm = ko-ntm.update-by-fun-raw
and update-by-fun-gr-ko-ntm = ko-ntm.update-by-fun-gr-raw
and map2-val-ko-ntm = ko-ntm.map2-val-raw
and lex-ord-ko-ntm = ko-ntm.lex-ord-raw
and prod-ord-ko-ntm = ko-ntm.prod-ord-raw
and oalist-eq-ko-ntm = ko-ntm.oalist-eq-raw
and sort-oalist-ko-ntm = ko-ntm.sort-oalist-raw
⟨proof⟩

lemma compute-min-key-val-ko-ntm [code]:
min-key-val-ko-ntm ko (xs, ox) =
(if ko = ox then hd else min-list-param (λx y. (le-of-nat-term-order ko) (fst y)
(fst x))) xs
⟨proof⟩

typedef (overloaded) ('a, 'b) oalist-ntm =
{xs::('a, 'b::zero, 'a::nat-term nat-term-order) oalist-raw. ko-ntm.oalist-inv xs}
morphisms list-of-oalist-ntm Abs-oalist-ntm
⟨proof⟩

lemma oalist-ntm-eq-iff: xs = ys ↔ list-of-oalist-ntm xs = list-of-oalist-ntm ys
⟨proof⟩

lemma oalist-ntm-eqI: list-of-oalist-ntm xs = list-of-oalist-ntm ys ⇒ xs = ys
⟨proof⟩

Formal, totalized constructor for ('a, 'b) oalist-ntm:
definition OAList-ntm :: ('a × 'b) list × 'a nat-term-order ⇒ ('a::nat-term, 'b::zero)
oalist-ntm
where OAList-ntm xs = Abs-oalist-ntm (sort-oalist-ko-ntm xs)

definition oalist-of-list-ntm = OAList-ntm

```

```

lemma oalist-inv-list-of-oalist-ntm: ko-ntm.oalist-inv (list-of-oalist-ntm xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma list-of-oalist-OAlist-ntm: list-of-oalist-ntm (OAlist-ntm xs) = sort-oalist-ko-ntm
  xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma OAlist-list-of-oalist-ntm [simp, code abstype]: OAlist-ntm (list-of-oalist-ntm
  xs) = xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma [code abstract]: list-of-oalist-ntm (oalist-of-list-ntm xs) = sort-oalist-ko-ntm
  xs
  ⟨proof⟩

global-interpretation oa-ntm: oalist-abstract key-order-of-nat-term-order-inv list-of-oalist-ntm
  OAlist-ntm
  defines OAlist-lookup-ntm = oa-ntm.lookup
  and OAlist-sorted-domain-ntm = oa-ntm.sorted-domain
  and OAlist-empty-ntm = oa-ntm.empty
  and OAlist-reorder-ntm = oa-ntm.reorder
  and OAlist-tl-ntm = oa-ntm.tl
  and OAlist-hd-ntm = oa-ntm.hd
  and OAlist-except-min-ntm = oa-ntm.except-min
  and OAlist-min-key-val-ntm = oa-ntm.min-key-val
  and OAlist-insert-ntm = oa-ntm.insert
  and OAlist-update-by-fun-ntm = oa-ntm.update-by-fun
  and OAlist-update-by-fun-gr-ntm = oa-ntm.update-by-fun-gr
  and OAlist-filter-ntm = oa-ntm.filter
  and OAlist-map2-val-neutr-ntm = oa-ntm.map2-val-neutr
  and OAlist-eq-ntm = oa-ntm.oalist-eq
  ⟨proof⟩

global-interpretation oa-ntm: oalist-abstract3 key-order-of-nat-term-order-inv
  list-of-oalist-ntm::('a, 'b) oalist-ntm ⇒ ('a, 'b::zero, 'a::nat-term nat-term-order)
  oalist-raw OAlist-ntm
  list-of-oalist-ntm::('a, 'c) oalist-ntm ⇒ ('a, 'c::zero, 'a nat-term-order) oalist-raw
  OAlist-ntm
  list-of-oalist-ntm::('a, 'd) oalist-ntm ⇒ ('a, 'd::zero, 'a nat-term-order) oalist-raw
  OAlist-ntm
  defines OAlist-map-val-ntm = oa-ntm.map-val
  and OAlist-map2-val-ntm = oa-ntm.map2-val
  and OAlist-map2-val-rneutr-ntm = oa-ntm.map2-val-rneutr
  and OAlist-lex-ord-ntm = oa-ntm.lex-ord
  and OAlist-prod-ord-ntm = oa-ntm.prod-ord ⟨proof⟩

lemmas OAlist-lookup-ntm-single = oa-ntm.lookup-oalist-of-list-single[folded oal-
  ist-of-list-ntm-def]

```

```
end
```

## 14 Computable Term Orders

```
theory Term-Order
  imports OAList-Poly-Mapping HOL-Library.Product-Lexorder
begin
```

### 14.1 Type Class *nat*

```
class nat = zero + plus + minus + order + equal +
fixes rep-nat :: 'a ⇒ nat
and abs-nat :: nat ⇒ 'a
assumes rep-inverse [simp]: abs-nat (rep-nat x) = x
and abs-inverse [simp]: rep-nat (abs-nat n) = n
and abs-zero [simp]: abs-nat 0 = 0
and abs-plus: abs-nat m + abs-nat n = abs-nat (m + n)
and abs-minus: abs-nat m - abs-nat n = abs-nat (m - n)
and abs-ord: m ≤ n ⟹ abs-nat m ≤ abs-nat n
begin
```

```
lemma rep-inj:
  assumes rep-nat x = rep-nat y
  shows x = y
⟨proof⟩
```

```
corollary rep-eq-iff: (rep-nat x = rep-nat y) ⟷ (x = y)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma abs-inj:
  assumes abs-nat m = abs-nat n
  shows m = n
⟨proof⟩
```

```
corollary abs-eq-iff: (abs-nat m = abs-nat n) ⟷ (m = n)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma rep-zero [simp]: rep-nat 0 = 0
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma rep-zero-iff: (rep-nat x = 0) ⟷ (x = 0)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma plus-eq: x + y = abs-nat (rep-nat x + rep-nat y)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma rep-plus: rep-nat (x + y) = rep-nat x + rep-nat y
⟨proof⟩
```

**lemma** *minus-eq*:  $x - y = \text{abs-nat} (\text{rep-nat } x - \text{rep-nat } y)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *rep-minus*:  $\text{rep-nat} (x - y) = \text{rep-nat } x - \text{rep-nat } y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ord-iff*:  
 $x \leq y \longleftrightarrow \text{rep-nat } x \leq \text{rep-nat } y$  (**is** ?*thesis1*)  
 $x < y \longleftrightarrow \text{rep-nat } x < \text{rep-nat } y$  (**is** ?*thesis2*)  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ex-iff-abs*:  $(\exists x::'a. P x) \longleftrightarrow (\exists n::\text{nat}. P (\text{abs-nat } n))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ex-iff-abs'*:  $(\exists x < \text{abs-nat } m. P x) \longleftrightarrow (\exists n::\text{nat} < m. P (\text{abs-nat } n))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *all-iff-abs*:  $(\forall x::'a. P x) \longleftrightarrow (\forall n::\text{nat}. P (\text{abs-nat } n))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *all-iff-abs'*:  $(\forall x < \text{abs-nat } m. P x) \longleftrightarrow (\forall n::\text{nat} < m. P (\text{abs-nat } n))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**subclass** *linorder*  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *comparator-of-rep* [*simp*]: *comparator-of* ( $\text{rep-nat } x$ ) ( $\text{rep-nat } y$ ) = *comparator-of*  $x$   $y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**subclass** *wellorder*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**subclass** *comm-monoid-add*  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sum-rep*:  $\text{sum} (\text{rep-nat} \circ f) A = \text{rep-nat} (\text{sum } f A)$  **for**  $f :: 'b \Rightarrow 'a$  **and**  
 $A :: 'b \text{ set}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**subclass** *ordered-comm-monoid-add*  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**subclass** *countable*  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**subclass** *cancel-comm-monoid-add*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**subclass** *add-wellorder*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

end

lemma the-min-eq-zero: the-min = (0::'a::{the-min,nat})
  ⟨proof⟩

instantiation nat :: nat
begin

  definition rep-nat-nat :: nat ⇒ nat where rep-nat-nat-def [code-unfold]: rep-nat-nat
    = (λx. x)
  definition abs-nat-nat :: nat ⇒ nat where abs-nat-nat-def [code-unfold]: abs-nat-nat
    = (λx. x)

  instance ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation natural :: nat
begin

  definition rep-nat-natural :: natural ⇒ nat
    where rep-nat-natural-def [code-unfold]: rep-nat-natural = nat-of-natural
  definition abs-nat-natural :: nat ⇒ natural
    where abs-nat-natural-def [code-unfold]: abs-nat-natural = natural-of-nat

  instance ⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 14.2 Term Orders

### 14.2.1 Type Classes

```

class nat-pp-compare = linorder + zero + plus +
  fixes rep-nat-pp :: 'a ⇒ (nat, nat) pp
  and abs-nat-pp :: (nat, nat) pp ⇒ 'a
  and lex-comp' :: 'a comparator
  and deg' :: 'a ⇒ nat
  assumes rep-nat-pp-inverse [simp]: abs-nat-pp (rep-nat-pp x) = x
  and abs-nat-pp-inverse [simp]: rep-nat-pp (abs-nat-pp t) = t
  and lex-comp': lex-comp' x y = comp-of-ord lex-pp (rep-nat-pp x) (rep-nat-pp
y)
  and deg': deg' x = deg-pp (rep-nat-pp x)
  and le-pp: rep-nat-pp x ≤ rep-nat-pp y ⇒ x ≤ y
  and zero-pp: rep-nat-pp 0 = 0
  and plus-pp: rep-nat-pp (x + y) = rep-nat-pp x + rep-nat-pp y
begin

lemma less-pp:
  assumes rep-nat-pp x < rep-nat-pp y

```

```

shows  $x < y$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma rep-nat-pp-inj:
  assumes rep-nat-pp  $x = \text{rep-nat-pp } y$ 
  shows  $x = y$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lex-comp'-EqD:
  assumes lex-comp'  $x y = Eq$ 
  shows  $x = y$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lex-comp'-valE:
  assumes lex-comp'  $s t \neq Eq$ 
  obtains  $x$  where  $x \in \text{keys-pp}(\text{rep-nat-pp } s) \cup \text{keys-pp}(\text{rep-nat-pp } t)$ 
    and comparator-of(lookup-pp(rep-nat-pp s)  $x$ ) (lookup-pp(rep-nat-pp t)  $x$ ) =
      lex-comp'  $s t$ 
    and  $\bigwedge y. y < x \implies \text{lookup-pp}(\text{rep-nat-pp } s) y = \text{lookup-pp}(\text{rep-nat-pp } t) y$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

class nat-term-compare = linorder + nat-term +
  fixes is-scalar :: 'a itself  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and lex-comp :: 'a comparator
  and deg-comp :: 'a comparator  $\Rightarrow$  'a comparator
  and pot-comp :: 'a comparator  $\Rightarrow$  'a comparator
  assumes zero-component:  $\exists x. \text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } x) = 0$ 
  and is-scalar: is-scalar =  $(\lambda x. \forall y. \text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } x) = 0)$ 
  and lex-comp: lex-comp = lex-comp-aux — For being able to implement lex-comp
efficiently.
  and deg-comp: deg-comp cmp =  $(\lambda x y. \text{case comparator-of}(\text{deg-pp}(\text{fst}(\text{rep-nat-term } x))) (\text{deg-pp}(\text{fst}(\text{rep-nat-term } y))) \text{ of } Eq \Rightarrow \text{cmp } x y \mid \text{val} \Rightarrow \text{val})$ 
  and pot-comp: pot-comp cmp =  $(\lambda x y. \text{case comparator-of}(\text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } x)) (\text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } y))) \text{ of } Eq \Rightarrow \text{cmp } x y \mid \text{val} \Rightarrow \text{val})$ 
  and le-term: rep-nat-term  $x \leq \text{rep-nat-term } y \implies x \leq y$ 
begin

```

There is no need to add something like *top-comp* for TOP orders to class *nat-term-compare*, because by default all comparators should *first* compare power-products and *then* positions. *lex-comp* obviously does.

```

lemma less-term:
  assumes rep-nat-term  $x < \text{rep-nat-term } y$ 
  shows  $x < y$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lex-comp-alt: lex-comp = (comparator-of:'a comparator)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma full-component-zeroE: obtains x where rep-nat-term x = (t, 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma comparator-lex-comp: comparator lex-comp
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-term-comp-lex-comp: nat-term-comp lex-comp
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma comparator-deg-comp:
  assumes comparator cmp
  shows comparator (deg-comp cmp)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma comparator-pot-comp:
  assumes comparator cmp
  shows comparator (pot-comp cmp)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma deg-comp-zero-min:
  assumes comparator cmp and snd (rep-nat-term u) = snd (rep-nat-term v) and
  fst (rep-nat-term u) = 0
  shows deg-comp cmp u v ≠ Gt
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma deg-comp-pos:
  assumes cmp u v = Lt and fst (rep-nat-term u) = fst (rep-nat-term v)
  shows deg-comp cmp u v = Lt
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma deg-comp-monotone:
  assumes cmp u v = Lt  $\implies$  cmp (splus t u) (splus t v) = Lt and deg-comp cmp
  u v = Lt
  shows deg-comp cmp (splus t u) (splus t v) = Lt
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pot-comp-zero-min:
  assumes cmp u v ≠ Gt and snd (rep-nat-term u) = snd (rep-nat-term v)
  shows pot-comp cmp u v ≠ Gt
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pot-comp-pos:
  assumes snd (rep-nat-term u) < snd (rep-nat-term v)
  shows pot-comp cmp u v = Lt

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pot-comp-monotone*:

**assumes**  $cmp\ u\ v = Lt \implies cmp\ (splus\ t\ u)\ (splus\ t\ v) = Lt$  **and** *pot-comp cmp u v = Lt*  
  **shows** *pot-comp cmp (splus t u) (splus t v) = Lt*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *deg-comp-cong*:

**assumes**  $deg-pp\ (fst\ (rep-nat-term\ u)) = deg-pp\ (fst\ (rep-nat-term\ v)) \implies to1\ u\ v = to2\ u\ v$   
  **shows** *deg-comp to1 u v = deg-comp to2 u v*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pot-comp-cong*:

**assumes**  $snd\ (rep-nat-term\ u) = snd\ (rep-nat-term\ v) \implies to1\ u\ v = to2\ u\ v$   
  **shows** *pot-comp to1 u v = pot-comp to2 u v*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**instantiation** *pp :: (nat, nat) nat-pp-compare*  
**begin**

**definition** *rep-nat-pp-pp :: ('a, 'b) pp  $\Rightarrow$  (nat, nat) pp*  
    **where** *rep-nat-pp-pp-def [code del]: rep-nat-pp-pp x = pp-of-fun (\lambda n::nat. rep-nat (lookup-pp x (abs-nat n)))*

**definition** *abs-nat-pp-pp :: (nat, nat) pp  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) pp*  
    **where** *abs-nat-pp-pp-def [code del]: abs-nat-pp-pp t = pp-of-fun (\lambda n:'a. abs-nat (lookup-pp t (rep-nat n)))*

**definition** *lex-comp'-pp :: ('a, 'b) pp comparator*  
    **where** *lex-comp'-pp-def [code del]: lex-comp'-pp = comp-of-ord lex-pp*

**definition** *deg'-pp :: ('a, 'b) pp  $\Rightarrow$  nat*  
    **where** *deg'-pp x = rep-nat (deg-pp x)*

**lemma** *lookup-rep-nat-pp-pp*:

*lookup-pp (rep-nat-pp t) = (\lambda n::nat. rep-nat (lookup-pp t (abs-nat n)))*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lookup-abs-nat-pp-pp*:

*lookup-pp (abs-nat-pp t) = (\lambda n:'a. abs-nat (lookup-pp t (rep-nat n)))*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *keys-rep-nat-pp-pp*: *keys-pp (rep-nat-pp t) = rep-nat ` keys-pp t*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rep-nat-pp-pp-inverse*: *abs-nat-pp (rep-nat-pp x) = x* **for** *x::('a, 'b) pp*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma abs-nat-pp-pp-inverse: rep-nat-pp ((abs-nat-pp t)::('a, 'b) pp) = t
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary rep-nat-pp-pp-inj:
  fixes x y :: ('a, 'b) pp
  assumes rep-nat-pp x = rep-nat-pp y
  shows x = y
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary rep-nat-pp-pp-eq-iff: (rep-nat-pp x = rep-nat-pp y)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (x = y) for x y
  :: ('a, 'b) pp
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lex-rep-nat-pp: lex-pp (rep-nat-pp x) (rep-nat-pp y)  $\longleftrightarrow$  lex-pp x y
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary lex-comp'-pp: lex-comp' x y = comp-of-ord lex-pp (rep-nat-pp x) (rep-nat-pp
y) for x y :: ('a, 'b) pp
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary le-pp-pp: rep-nat-pp x  $\leq$  rep-nat-pp y  $\implies$  x  $\leq$  y for x y :: ('a, 'b) pp
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma deg-rep-nat-pp: deg-pp (rep-nat-pp t) = rep-nat (deg-pp t) for t :: ('a, 'b)
  pp
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary deg'-pp: deg' t = deg-pp (rep-nat-pp t) for t :: ('a, 'b) pp
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma zero-pp-pp: rep-nat-pp (0::('a, 'b) pp) = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma plus-pp-pp: rep-nat-pp (x + y) = rep-nat-pp x + rep-nat-pp y
  for x y :: ('a, 'b) pp
  ⟨proof⟩

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation pp :: (nat, nat) nat-term
begin

definition rep-nat-term-pp :: ('a, 'b) pp  $\Rightarrow$  (nat, nat) pp  $\times$  nat
  where rep-nat-term-pp-def [code del]: rep-nat-term-pp t = (rep-nat-pp t, 0)

```

```

definition splus-pp :: ('a, 'b) pp  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) pp  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) pp
  where splus-pp-def [code del]: splus-pp = (+)

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation pp :: (nat, nat) nat-term-compare
begin

  definition is-scalar-pp :: ('a, 'b) pp itself  $\Rightarrow$  bool
    where is-scalar-pp-def [code-unfold]: is-scalar-pp = ( $\lambda$ . True)

  definition lex-comp-pp :: ('a, 'b) pp comparator
    where lex-comp-pp-def [code-unfold]: lex-comp-pp = lex-comp'

  definition deg-comp-pp :: ('a, 'b) pp comparator  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) pp comparator
    where deg-comp-pp-def: deg-comp-pp cmp = ( $\lambda$ x y. case comparator-of (deg-pp
x) (deg-pp y) of Eq  $\Rightarrow$  cmp x y | val  $\Rightarrow$  val)

  definition pot-comp-pp :: ('a, 'b) pp comparator  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) pp comparator
    where pot-comp-pp-def [code-unfold]: pot-comp-pp = ( $\lambda$ cmp. cmp)

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

instance pp :: (nat, nat) nat-pp-term
⟨proof⟩

instantiation prod :: ({nat-pp-compare, comm-powerprod}, nat) nat-term
begin

  definition rep-nat-term-prod :: ('a  $\times$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  ((nat, nat) pp  $\times$  nat)
    where rep-nat-term-prod-def [code del]: rep-nat-term-prod u = (rep-nat-pp (fst
u), rep-nat (snd u))

  definition splus-prod :: ('a  $\times$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  'b)
    where splus-prod-def [code del]: splus-prod t u = pprod.splus (fst t) u

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation prod :: ({nat-pp-compare, comm-powerprod}, nat) nat-term-compare
begin

  definition is-scalar-prod :: ('a  $\times$  'b) itself  $\Rightarrow$  bool
    where is-scalar-prod-def [code-unfold]: is-scalar-prod = ( $\lambda$ . False)

```

```

definition lex-comp-prod :: ('a × 'b) comparator
  where lex-comp-prod = (λu v. case lex-comp' (fst u) (fst v) of Eq ⇒ comparator-of
  (snd u) (snd v) | val ⇒ val)

definition deg-comp-prod :: ('a × 'b) comparator ⇒ ('a × 'b) comparator
  where deg-comp-prod-def: deg-comp-prod cmp = (λx y. case comparator-of (deg'
  (fst x)) (deg' (fst y)) of Eq ⇒ cmp x y | val ⇒ val)

definition pot-comp-prod :: ('a × 'b) comparator ⇒ ('a × 'b) comparator
  where pot-comp-prod cmp = (λu v. case comparator-of (snd u) (snd v) of Eq ⇒
  cmp u v | val ⇒ val)

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

lemmas [code del] = deg-pp.rep-eq plus-pp.abs-eq minus-pp.abs-eq

lemma rep-nat-pp-nat [code-unfold]: (rep-nat-pp:(nat, nat) pp ⇒ (nat, nat) pp)
= (λx. x)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

#### 14.2.2 LEX, DRLEX, DEG and POT

**definition** LEX :: 'a::nat-term-compare nat-term-order **where** LEX = Abs-nat-term-order  
lex-comp

**definition** DRLEX :: 'a::nat-term-compare nat-term-order  
  **where** DRLEX = Abs-nat-term-order (deg-comp (pot-comp (λx y. lex-comp y  
x)))

**definition** DEG :: 'a::nat-term-compare nat-term-order ⇒ 'a nat-term-order  
  **where** DEG to = Abs-nat-term-order (deg-comp (nat-term-compare to))

**definition** POT :: 'a::nat-term-compare nat-term-order ⇒ 'a nat-term-order  
  **where** POT to = Abs-nat-term-order (pot-comp (nat-term-compare to))

DRLEX must apply *pot-comp*, for otherwise it does not satisfy the second condition of *nat-term-comp*.

Instead of DRLEX one could also introduce another unary constructor *DEGREV*, analogous to *DEG* and *POT*. Then, however, proving (in)equalities of the term orders gets really messy (think of *DEG (POT to)* = *DEGREV (DEGREV to)*, for instance). So, we restrict the formalization to *DRLEX* only.

**abbreviation** DLEX ≡ DEG LEX

**code-datatype** LEX DRLEX DEG POT

**lemma** *nat-term-compare-LEX* [code]: *nat-term-compare LEX = lex-comp*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *nat-term-compare-DRLEX* [code]: *nat-term-compare DRLEX = deg-comp*  
*(pot-comp (λx y. lex-comp y x))*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *nat-term-compare-DEG* [code]: *nat-term-compare (DEG to) = deg-comp*  
*(nat-term-compare to)*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *nat-term-compare-POT* [code]: *nat-term-compare (POT to) = pot-comp*  
*(nat-term-compare to)*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *nat-term-compare-POT-DRLEX* [code]:  
*nat-term-compare (POT DRLEX) = pot-comp (deg-comp (λx y. lex-comp y x))*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *compute-lex-pp* [code]: *lex-pp p q = (lex-comp' p q ≠ Gt)*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *compute-dlex-pp* [code]: *dlex-pp p q = (deg-comp lex-comp' p q ≠ Gt)*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *compute-drlex-pp* [code]: *drlex-pp p q = (deg-comp (λx y. lex-comp' y x) p q ≠ Gt)*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *nat-pp-order-of-le-nat-pp* [code]: *nat-term-order-of-le = LEX*  
*(proof)*

#### 14.2.3 Equality of Term Orders

**definition** *nat-term-order-eq* :: 'a nat-term-order ⇒ 'a::nat-term-compare nat-term-order  
 $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\Rightarrow$  bool

**where** *nat-term-order-eq-def* [code del]:

```

nat-term-order-eq to1 to2 dg ps =
( $\forall u v.$  (dg  $\longrightarrow$  deg-pp (fst (rep-nat-term u)) = deg-pp (fst (rep-nat-term v)))  $\longrightarrow$ 
(ps  $\longrightarrow$  snd (rep-nat-term u) = snd (rep-nat-term v))  $\longrightarrow$ 
nat-term-compare to1 u v = nat-term-compare to2 u v)
```

**lemma** *nat-term-order-eqI*:

**assumes**  $\Lambda u v.$  *(dg*  $\Longrightarrow$  *deg-pp (fst (rep-nat-term u)) = deg-pp (fst (rep-nat-term v)))*  $\Longrightarrow$

```

(ps  $\Longrightarrow$  snd (rep-nat-term u) = snd (rep-nat-term v))  $\Longrightarrow$ 
nat-term-compare to1 u v = nat-term-compare to2 u v
```

**shows** *nat-term-order-eq to1 to2 dg ps*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *nat-term-order-eqD:*

**assumes** *nat-term-order-eq to1 to2 dg ps*  
**and** *dg*  $\implies$  *deg-pp (fst (rep-nat-term u)) = deg-pp (fst (rep-nat-term v))*  
**and** *ps*  $\implies$  *snd (rep-nat-term u) = snd (rep-nat-term v)*  
**shows** *nat-term-compare to1 u v = nat-term-compare to2 u v*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *nat-term-order-eq-sym: nat-term-order-eq to1 to2 dg ps  $\longleftrightarrow$  nat-term-order-eq to2 to1 dg ps*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *nat-term-order-eq-DEG-dg:*

*nat-term-order-eq (DEG to1) to2 True ps  $\longleftrightarrow$  nat-term-order-eq to1 to2 True ps*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *nat-term-order-eq-DEG-dg':*

*nat-term-order-eq to1 (DEG to2) True ps  $\longleftrightarrow$  nat-term-order-eq to1 to2 True ps*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *nat-term-order-eq-POT-ps:*

**assumes** *ps*  $\vee$  *is-scalar TYPE('a::nat-term-compare)*  
**shows** *nat-term-order-eq (POT (to1:'a nat-term-order)) to2 dg ps  $\longleftrightarrow$  nat-term-order-eq to1 to2 dg ps*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *nat-term-order-eq-POT-ps':*

**assumes** *ps*  $\vee$  *is-scalar TYPE('a::nat-term-compare)*  
**shows** *nat-term-order-eq to1 (POT (to2:'a nat-term-order)) dg ps  $\longleftrightarrow$  nat-term-order-eq to1 to2 dg ps*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *snd-rep-nat-term-eqI:*

**assumes** *ps*  $\vee$  *is-scalar TYPE('a::nat-term-compare)* **and** *ps*  $\implies$  *snd (rep-nat-term (u:'a)) = snd (rep-nat-term (v:'a))*  
**shows** *snd (rep-nat-term u) = snd (rep-nat-term v)*  
*(proof)*

**definition** *of-exp :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a::nat-term-compare*

**where** *of-exp a b i =*

*(THE u. rep-nat-term u = (pp-of-fun ( $\lambda x.$  if  $x = 0$  then *a* else if  $x = 1$  then *b* else 0),  
if ( $\exists v:'a.$  *snd (rep-nat-term v) = i*) then *i* else 0))*

*of-exp* is an auxiliary function needed for proving the equalities of the various term orders.

**lemma** *rep-nat-term-of-exp:*

$\text{rep-nat-term } ((\text{of-exp} a b i) :: 'a :: \text{nat-term-compare}) =$   
 $(\text{pp-of-fun } (\lambda x :: \text{nat}. \text{if } x = 0 \text{ then } a \text{ else if } x = 1 \text{ then } b \text{ else } 0), \text{if } (\exists y :: 'a. \text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } y) = i) \text{ then } i \text{ else } 0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lookup-pp-of-exp*:

$\text{lookup-pp } (\text{fst } (\text{rep-nat-term } (\text{of-exp} a b i))) = (\lambda x. \text{if } x = 0 \text{ then } a \text{ else if } x = 1 \text{ then } b \text{ else } 0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *keys-pp-of-exp*:  $\text{keys-pp } (\text{fst } (\text{rep-nat-term } (\text{of-exp} a b i))) \subseteq \{0, 1\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *deg-pp-of-exp* [simp]:  $\text{deg-pp } (\text{fst } (\text{rep-nat-term } ((\text{of-exp} a b i) :: 'a :: \text{nat-term-compare}))) = a + b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *snd-of-exp*:

**assumes**  $\text{snd } (\text{rep-nat-term } (x :: 'a)) = i$   
**shows**  $\text{snd } (\text{rep-nat-term } ((\text{of-exp} a b i) :: 'a :: \text{nat-term-compare})) = i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *snd-of-exp-zero* [simp]:  $\text{snd } (\text{rep-nat-term } ((\text{of-exp} a b 0) :: 'a :: \text{nat-term-compare})) = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *eq-of-exp*:

$(\text{fst } (\text{rep-nat-term } (\text{of-exp} a1 b1 i))) = \text{fst } (\text{rep-nat-term } (\text{of-exp} a2 b2 j)) \longleftrightarrow$   
 $(a1 = a2 \wedge b1 = b2)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *lex-pp-of-exp*:

$\text{lex-pp } (\text{fst } (\text{rep-nat-term } ((\text{of-exp} a1 b1 i) :: 'a))) (\text{fst } (\text{rep-nat-term } ((\text{of-exp} a2 b2 j) :: 'a :: \text{nat-term-compare}))) \longleftrightarrow$   
 $(a1 < a2 \vee (a1 = a2 \wedge b1 \leq b2))$  (**is** ?L  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?R)  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *LEX-eq* [code]:

$\text{nat-term-order-eq } \text{LEX } (\text{LEX} :: 'a \text{ nat-term-order}) \text{ dg ps} = \text{True}$  (**is** ?thesis1)  
 $\text{nat-term-order-eq } \text{LEX } (\text{DRLEX} :: 'a \text{ nat-term-order}) \text{ dg ps} = \text{False}$  (**is** ?thesis2)  
 $\text{nat-term-order-eq } \text{LEX } (\text{DEG } (\text{to} :: 'a \text{ nat-term-order})) \text{ dg ps} =$   
 $(\text{dg} \wedge \text{nat-term-order-eq } \text{LEX to dg ps})$  (**is** ?thesis3)  
 $\text{nat-term-order-eq } \text{LEX } (\text{POT } (\text{to} :: 'a \text{ nat-term-order})) \text{ dg ps} =$   
 $((\text{ps} \vee \text{is-scalar } \text{TYPE}'('a :: \text{nat-term-compare})) \wedge \text{nat-term-order-eq } \text{LEX to dg ps})$  (**is** ?thesis4)  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *DRLEX-eq* [code]:

$\text{nat-term-order-eq } \text{DRLEX } (\text{LEX} :: 'a \text{ nat-term-order}) \text{ dg ps} = \text{False}$  (**is** ?thesis1)

```

nat-term-order-eq DRLEX DRLEX dg ps = True (is ?thesis2)
nat-term-order-eq DRLEX (DEG (to::'a nat-term-order)) dg ps =
  nat-term-order-eq DRLEX to True ps (is ?thesis3)
  nat-term-order-eq DRLEX (POT (to::'a nat-term-order)) dg ps =
    ((dg ∨ ps ∨ is-scalar TYPE('a::nat-term-compare)) ∧ nat-term-order-eq DRLEX
     to dg True) (is ?thesis4)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** DEG-eq [code]:

```

  nat-term-order-eq (DEG to) (LEX::'a nat-term-order) dg ps = nat-term-order-eq
  LEX (DEG to) dg ps
  nat-term-order-eq (DEG to) (DRLEX::'a nat-term-order) dg ps = nat-term-order-eq
  DRLEX (DEG to) dg ps
  nat-term-order-eq (DEG to1) (DEG (to2::'a nat-term-order)) dg ps =
    nat-term-order-eq to1 to2 True ps (is ?thesis3)
    nat-term-order-eq (DEG to1) (POT (to2::'a nat-term-order)) dg ps =
      (if dg then nat-term-order-eq to1 (POT to2) dg ps
       else ((ps ∨ is-scalar TYPE('a::nat-term-compare)) ∧ nat-term-order-eq (DEG
              to1) to2 dg ps)) (is ?thesis4)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** POT-eq [code]:

```

  nat-term-order-eq (POT to) LEX dg ps = nat-term-order-eq LEX (POT to) dg
  ps
  nat-term-order-eq (POT to1) (DEG to2) dg ps = nat-term-order-eq (DEG to2)
  (POT to1) dg ps
  nat-term-order-eq (POT to1) DRLEX dg ps = nat-term-order-eq DRLEX (POT
  to1) dg ps
  nat-term-order-eq (POT to1) (POT (to2::'a::nat-term-compare nat-term-order))
  dg ps =
    nat-term-order-eq to1 to2 dg True (is ?thesis4)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** nat-term-order-equal [code]: HOL.equal to1 to2 = nat-term-order-eq to1
to2 False False  
 ⟨proof⟩

**hide-const** (**open**) of-exp

**value** [code] DEG (POT DRLEX) = (DRLEX::((nat, nat) pp × nat) nat-term-order)

**value** [code] POT LEX = (LEX::((nat, nat) pp × nat) nat-term-order)

**value** [code] POT LEX = (LEX::(nat, nat) pp nat-term-order)

**end**

## 15 Executable Representation of Polynomial Mappings as Association Lists

```

theory MPoly-Type-Class-Oalist
  imports Term-Order
begin

instantiation pp :: (type, {equal, zero}) equal
begin

definition equal-pp :: ('a, 'b) pp ⇒ ('a, 'b) pp ⇒ bool where
  equal-pp p q ≡ (forall t. lookup-pp p t = lookup-pp q t)

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation poly-mapping :: (type, {equal, zero}) equal
begin

definition equal-poly-mapping :: ('a, 'b) poly-mapping ⇒ ('a, 'b) poly-mapping ⇒
  bool where
  equal-poly-mapping-def [code del]: equal-poly-mapping p q ≡ (forall t. lookup p t =
    lookup q t)

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

```

### 15.1 Power-Products Represented by oalist-tc

```

definition PP-oalist :: ('a::linorder, 'b::zero) oalist-tc ⇒ ('a, 'b) pp
  where PP-oalist xs = pp-of-fun (Oalist-tc-lookup xs)

code-datatype PP-oalist

lemma lookup-PP-oalist [simp, code]: lookup-pp (PP-oalist xs) = Oalist-tc-lookup
  xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma keys-PP-oalist [code]: keys-pp (PP-oalist xs) = set (Oalist-tc-sorted-domain
  xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lex-comp-PP-oalist [code]:
  lex-comp' (PP-oalist xs) (PP-oalist ys) =
    the (Oalist-tc-lex-ord (λ x y. Some (comparator-of x y))) xs ys
  for xs ys::('a::nat, 'b::nat) oalist-tc
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma zero-PP-oalist [code]: ( $0::('a::linorder, 'b::zero) pp$ ) = PP-oalist Oalist-tc-empty
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma plus-PP-oalist [code]:
  PP-oalist xs + PP-oalist ys = PP-oalist (Oalist-tc-map2-val-neutr (λ-. (+)) xs
  ys)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma minus-PP-oalist [code]:
  PP-oalist xs - PP-oalist ys = PP-oalist (Oalist-tc-map2-val-rneutr (λ-. (-)) xs
  ys)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma equal-PP-oalist [code]: equal-class.equal (PP-oalist xs) (PP-oalist ys) = (xs
  = ys)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lcs-PP-oalist [code]:
  lcs (PP-oalist xs) (PP-oalist ys) = PP-oalist (Oalist-tc-map2-val-neutr (λ-. max)
  xs ys)
  for xs ys :: ('a::linorder, 'b::add-linorder-min) oalist-tc
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma deg-pp-PP-oalist [code]: deg-pp (PP-oalist xs) = sum-list (map snd (list-of-oalist-tc
  xs))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma single-PP-oalist [code]: single-pp x e = PP-oalist (oalist-tc-of-list [(x, e)])
  ⟨proof⟩

definition adds-pp-add-linorder :: ('b, 'a::add-linorder) pp ⇒ - ⇒ bool
  where [code-abbrev]: adds-pp-add-linorder = (adds)

lemma adds-pp-PP-oalist [code]:
  adds-pp-add-linorder (PP-oalist xs) (PP-oalist ys) = Oalist-tc-prod-ord (λ-. less-eq)
  xs ys
  for xs ys::('a::linorder, 'b::add-linorder-min) oalist-tc
  ⟨proof⟩

```

### 15.1.1 Constructor

**definition** sparse<sub>0</sub> xs = PP-oalist (oalist-tc-of-list xs) — sparse representation

### 15.1.2 Computations

**experiment begin**

**abbreviation** X ≡ 0::nat  
**abbreviation** Y ≡ 1::nat

**abbreviation**  $Z \equiv 2::nat$

**value** [code]  $sparse_0 [(X, 2::nat), (Z, 7)]$

**lemma**

$sparse_0 [(X, 2::nat), (Z, 7)] - sparse_0 [(X, 2), (Z, 2)] = sparse_0 [(Z, 5)]$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**

$lcs (sparse_0 [(X, 2::nat), (Y, 1), (Z, 7)]) (sparse_0 [(Y, 3), (Z, 2)]) = sparse_0 [(X, 2), (Y, 3), (Z, 7)]$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**

$(sparse_0 [(X, 2::nat), (Z, 1)]) adds (sparse_0 [(X, 3), (Y, 2), (Z, 1)])$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**

$lookup-pp (sparse_0 [(X, 2::nat), (Z, 3)]) X = 2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**

$deg-pp (sparse_0 [(X, 2::nat), (Y, 1), (Z, 3), (X, 1)]) = 6$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**

$lex-comp (sparse_0 [(X, 2::nat), (Y, 1), (Z, 3)]) (sparse_0 [(X, 4)]) = Lt$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**

$lex-comp (sparse_0 [(X, 2::nat), (Y, 1), (Z, 3)], 3::nat) (sparse_0 [(X, 4)], 2) = Lt$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**

$lex-pp (sparse_0 [(X, 2::nat), (Y, 1), (Z, 3)]) (sparse_0 [(X, 4)])$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**

$lex-pp (sparse_0 [(X, 2::nat), (Y, 1), (Z, 3)]) (sparse_0 [(X, 4)])$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**

$\neg dlex-pp (sparse_0 [(X, 2::nat), (Y, 1), (Z, 3)]) (sparse_0 [(X, 4)])$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**

$dlex-pp (sparse_0 [(X, 2::nat), (Y, 1), (Z, 2)]) (sparse_0 [(X, 5)])$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma
   $\neg drlex-pp (\text{sparseo } [(X, 2::nat), (Y, 1), (Z, 2)]) (\text{sparseo } [(X, 5)])$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

```

## 15.2 MP-oalist

```

lift-definition MP-oalist :: ('a::nat-term, 'b::zero) oalist-ntm  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b
  is Oalist-lookup-ntm
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```
lemmas [simp, code] = MP-oalist.rep-eq
```

```
code-datatype MP-oalist
```

```

lemma keys-MP-oalist [code]: keys (MP-oalist xs) = set (map fst (fst (list-of-oalist-ntm
xs)))
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma MP-oalist-empty [simp]: MP-oalist (Oalist-empty-ntm ko) = 0
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma zero-MP-oalist [code]: (0:(('a:{linorder,nat-term}  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::zero)) = MP-oalist
(Oalist-empty-ntm nat-term-order-of-le)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

definition is-zero :: ('a  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::zero)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where [code-abbrev]: is-zero p  $\longleftrightarrow$  (p = 0)

```

```

lemma is-zero-MP-oalist [code]: is-zero (MP-oalist xs) = List.null (fst (list-of-oalist-ntm
xs))
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma plus-MP-oalist [code]: MP-oalist xs + MP-oalist ys = MP-oalist (Oalist-map2-val-neutr-ntm
( $\lambda$ -. (+)) xs ys)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma minus-MP-oalist [code]: MP-oalist xs - MP-oalist ys = MP-oalist (Oalist-map2-val-rneutr-ntm
( $\lambda$ -. (-)) xs ys)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma uminus-MP-oalist [code]: - MP-oalist xs = MP-oalist (Oalist-map-val-ntm
( $\lambda$ -. uminus) xs)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma equal-MP-oalist [code]: equal-class.equal (MP-oalist xs) (MP-oalist ys) =
(Oalist-eq-ntm xs ys)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-MP-oalist* [code]: *Poly-Mapping.map f (MP-oalist xs) = MP-oalist (Oalist-map-val-ntm (λ-. f) xs)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *range-MP-oalist* [code]: *Poly-Mapping.range (MP-oalist xs) = set (map snd (fst (list-of-oalist-ntm xs)))*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *if-poly-mapping-eq-iff*:  
 $(if x = y then a else b) = (if (\forall i \in keys x \cup keys y. lookup x i = lookup y i) then a else b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *keys-add-eq*: *keys (a + b) = keys a \cup keys b - {x \in keys a \cap keys b. lookup a x + lookup b x = 0}*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**locale** *gd-nat-term* =  
*gd-term pair-of-term term-of-pair*  
 $\lambda s t. le-of-nat-term-order cmp-term (term-of-pair (s, the-min)) (term-of-pair (t, the-min))$   
 $\lambda s t. lt-of-nat-term-order cmp-term (term-of-pair (s, the-min)) (term-of-pair (t, the-min))$   
 $le-of-nat-term-order cmp-term$   
 $lt-of-nat-term-order cmp-term$   
**for** *pair-of-term*::'t::nat-term  $\Rightarrow$  ('a::{nat-term, graded-dickson-powerprod}  $\times$  'k::{countable, the-min, wellorder})  
**and** *term-of-pair*::('a  $\times$  'k)  $\Rightarrow$  't  
**and** *cmp-term* +  
**assumes** *splus-eq-splus*: *t  $\oplus$  u = nat-term-class.splus (term-of-pair (t, the-min))*

*u*

**begin**

**definition** *shift-map-keys* :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('b  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  ('t, 'b) oalist-ntm  $\Rightarrow$  ('t, 'b::semiring-0) oalist-ntm  
**where** *shift-map-keys t f xs = Oalist-ntm (map-raw (λkv. (t  $\oplus$  fst kv, f (snd kv))) (list-of-oalist-ntm xs))*

**lemma** *list-of-oalist-shift-keys*:  
*list-of-oalist-ntm (shift-map-keys t f xs) = (map-raw (λkv. (t  $\oplus$  fst kv, f (snd kv))) (list-of-oalist-ntm xs))*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lookup-shift-map-keys-plus*:  
*lookup (MP-oalist (shift-map-keys t ((\*) c) xs)) (t  $\oplus$  u) = c \* lookup (MP-oalist xs) u (is ?l = ?r)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma keys-shift-map-keys-subset:
  keys (MP-oalist (shift-map-keys t ((*) c) xs)) ⊆ ((⊕) t) ` keys (MP-oalist xs) (is
?l ⊆ ?r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma monom-mult-MP-oalist [code]:
  monom-mult c t (MP-oalist xs) =
    MP-oalist (if c = 0 then Oalist-empty-ntm (snd (list-of-oalist-ntm xs)) else
    shift-map-keys t ((*) c) xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mult-scalar-MP-oalist [code]:
  (MP-oalist xs) ⊕ (MP-oalist ys) =
    (if is-zero (MP-oalist xs) then
      MP-oalist (Oalist-empty-ntm (snd (list-of-oalist-ntm ys)))
    else
      let ct = Oalist-hd-ntm xs in
        monom-mult (snd ct) (fst ct) (MP-oalist ys) + (MP-oalist (Oalist-tl-ntm
xs)) ⊕ (MP-oalist ys))
⟨proof⟩

end

```

### 15.2.1 Special case of addition: adding monomials

```

definition plus-monomial-less :: ('a ⇒₀ 'b) ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'a ⇒ ('a ⇒₀ 'b : monoid-add)
  where plus-monomial-less p c u = p + monomial c u

```

*plus-monomial-less* is useful when adding a monomial to a polynomial, where the term of the monomial is known to be smaller than all terms in the polynomial, because it can be implemented more efficiently than general addition.

```

lemma plus-monomial-less-MP-oalist [code]:
  plus-monomial-less (MP-oalist xs) c u = MP-oalist (Oalist-update-by-fun-gr-ntm
u (λc₀. c₀ + c) xs)
⟨proof⟩

```

*plus-monomial-less* is computed by *Oalist-update-by-fun-gr-ntm*, because greater terms come *before* smaller ones in *oalist-ntm*.

### 15.2.2 Constructors

```

definition distr₀ ko xs = MP-oalist (oalist-of-list-ntm (xs, ko)) — sparse representation

```

```

definition V₀ :: 'a ⇒ ('a, nat) pp ⇒₀ 'b : {one, zero} where
  V₀ n ≡ monomial 1 (single-pp n 1)

```

```
definition C0 :: 'b ⇒ ('a, nat) pp ⇒0 'b::zero where C0 c ≡ monomial c 0
```

```
lemma C0-one: C0 1 = 1  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma C0-numeral: C0 (numeral x) = numeral x  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma C0-minus: C0 (− x) = − C0 x  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma C0-zero: C0 0 = 0  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma V0-power: V0 v ^ n = monomial 1 (single-pp v n)  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma single-MP-oalist [code]: Poly-Mapping.single k v = distr0 nat-term-order-of-le [(k, v)]  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma one-MP-oalist [code]: 1 = distr0 nat-term-order-of-le [(0, 1)]  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma except-MP-oalist [code]: except (MP-oalist xs) S = MP-oalist (Oalist-filter-ntm (λkv. fst kv ∉ S) xs)  
⟨proof⟩
```

### 15.2.3 Changing the Internal Order

```
definition change-ord :: 'a::nat-term-compare nat-term-order ⇒ ('a ⇒0 'b) ⇒ ('a ⇒0 'b)  
where change-ord to = (λx. x)
```

```
lemma change-ord-MP-oalist [code]: change-ord to (MP-oalist xs) = MP-oalist (Oalist-reorder-ntm to xs)  
⟨proof⟩
```

### 15.2.4 Ordered Power-Products

```
lemma foldl-assoc:  
  assumes ⋀x y z. f (f x y) z = f x (f y z)  
  shows foldl f (f a b) xs = f a (foldl f b xs)  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
context gd-nat-term  
begin
```

```
definition ord-pp :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
```

```

where ord-pp s t = le-of-nat-term-order cmp-term (term-of-pair (s, the-min))
(term-of-pair (t, the-min))

definition ord-pp-strict :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
where ord-pp-strict s t = lt-of-nat-term-order cmp-term (term-of-pair (s, the-min))
(term-of-pair (t, the-min))

lemma lt-MP-oalist [code]:
lt (MP-oalist xs) = (if is-zero (MP-oalist xs) then min-term else fst (Oalist-min-key-val-ntm
cmp-term xs))
⟨proof⟩

lemma lc-MP-oalist [code]:
lc (MP-oalist xs) = (if is-zero (MP-oalist xs) then 0 else snd (Oalist-min-key-val-ntm
cmp-term xs))
⟨proof⟩

lemma tail-MP-oalist [code]: tail (MP-oalist xs) = MP-oalist (Oalist-except-min-ntm
cmp-term xs)
⟨proof⟩

definition comp-opt-p :: ('t ⇒₀ 'c::zero, 't ⇒₀ 'c) comp-opt
where comp-opt-p p q =
(if p = q then Some Eq else if ord-strict-p p q then Some Lt else if
ord-strict-p q p then Some Gt else None)

lemma comp-opt-p-MP-oalist [code]:
comp-opt-p (MP-oalist xs) (MP-oalist ys) =
Oalist-lex-ord-ntm cmp-term (λ- x y. if x = y then Some Eq else if x = 0 then
Some Lt else if y = 0 then Some Gt else None) xs ys
⟨proof⟩

lemma compute-ord-p [code]: ord-p p q = (let aux = comp-opt-p p q in aux =
Some Lt ∨ aux = Some Eq)
⟨proof⟩

lemma compute-ord-p-strict [code]: ord-strict-p p q = (comp-opt-p p q = Some Lt)
⟨proof⟩

lemma keys-to-list-MP-oalist [code]: keys-to-list (MP-oalist xs) = Oalist-sorted-domain-ntm
cmp-term xs
⟨proof⟩

end

lifting-update poly-mapping.lifting
lifting-forget poly-mapping.lifting

```

### 15.3 Interpretations

**lemma** *term-powerprod-gd-term*:

fixes *pair-of-term* :: 't::nat-term  $\Rightarrow$  ('a:{graded-dickson-powerprod,nat-pp-compare}  $\times$  'k:{the-min,wellorder})

assumes *term-powerprod pair-of-term term-of-pair*

and  $\bigwedge v. \text{fst}(\text{rep-nat-term } v) = \text{rep-nat-pp}(\text{fst}(\text{pair-of-term } v))$

and  $\bigwedge t. \text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term}(\text{term-of-pair}(t, \text{the-min}))) = 0$

and  $\bigwedge v w. \text{snd}(\text{pair-of-term } v) \leq \text{snd}(\text{pair-of-term } w) \implies \text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } v) \leq \text{snd}(\text{rep-nat-term } w)$

and  $\bigwedge s t k. \text{term-of-pair}(s + t, k) = \text{splus}(\text{term-of-pair}(s, k))(\text{term-of-pair}(t, k))$

and  $\bigwedge t v. \text{term-powerprod}. \text{splus}(\text{pair-of-term } \text{term-of-pair } t v) = \text{splus}(\text{term-of-pair}(t, \text{the-min})) v$

shows *gd-term pair-of-term term-of-pair*

$(\lambda s t. \text{le-of-nat-term-order } \text{cmp-term}(\text{term-of-pair}(s, \text{the-min}))(\text{term-of-pair}(t, \text{the-min})))$

$(\lambda s t. \text{lt-of-nat-term-order } \text{cmp-term}(\text{term-of-pair}(s, \text{the-min}))(\text{term-of-pair}(t, \text{the-min})))$

$(\text{le-of-nat-term-order } \text{cmp-term})$

$(\text{lt-of-nat-term-order } \text{cmp-term})$

*{proof}*

**lemma** *gd-term-to-pair-unit*:

*gd-term* (*to-pair-unit*::'a:{nat-term-compare,nat-pp-term,graded-dickson-powerprod}  $\Rightarrow$  -) *fst*

$(\lambda s t. \text{le-of-nat-term-order } \text{cmp-term}(\text{fst}(s, \text{the-min}))(\text{fst}(t, \text{the-min})))$

$(\lambda s t. \text{lt-of-nat-term-order } \text{cmp-term}(\text{fst}(s, \text{the-min}))(\text{fst}(t, \text{the-min})))$

$(\text{le-of-nat-term-order } \text{cmp-term})$

$(\text{lt-of-nat-term-order } \text{cmp-term})$

*{proof}*

**corollary** *gd-nat-term-to-pair-unit*:

*gd-nat-term* (*to-pair-unit*::'a:{nat-term-compare,nat-pp-term,graded-dickson-powerprod}  $\Rightarrow$  -) *fst cmp-term*

*{proof}*

**lemma** *gd-term-id*:

*gd-term* ( $\lambda x.('a:{nat-term-compare,nat-pp-compare,nat-pp-term,graded-dickson-powerprod} \times 'b:{nat,the-min}). x)$  ( $\lambda x. x$ )

$(\lambda s t. \text{le-of-nat-term-order } \text{cmp-term}(s, \text{the-min})(t, \text{the-min}))$

$(\lambda s t. \text{lt-of-nat-term-order } \text{cmp-term}(s, \text{the-min})(t, \text{the-min}))$

$(\text{le-of-nat-term-order } \text{cmp-term})$

$(\text{lt-of-nat-term-order } \text{cmp-term})$

*{proof}*

**corollary** *gd-nat-term-id*: *gd-nat-term* ( $\lambda x. x$ ) ( $\lambda x. x$ ) *cmp-term*

for *cmp-term* :: ('a:{nat-term-compare,nat-pp-compare,nat-pp-term,graded-dickson-powerprod}  $\times$  'c:{nat,the-min}) *nat-term-order*

*{proof}*

## 15.4 Computations

```

type-synonym 'a mpoly-tc = (nat, nat) pp  $\Rightarrow_0$  'a

global-interpretation punit0: gd-nat-term to-pair-unit::'a::{nat-term-compare,nat-pp-term,graded-dickson-po
 $\Rightarrow$  - fst cmp-term
rewrites punit.adds-term = (adds)
and punit.pp-of-term = ( $\lambda x. x$ )
and punit.component-of-term = ( $\lambda -. ()$ )
for cmp-term
defines monom-mult-punit = punit.monom-mult
and mult-scalar-punit = punit.mult-scalar
and shift-map-keys-punit = punit0.shift-map-keys
and ord-pp-punit = punit0.ord-pp
and ord-pp-strict-punit = punit0.ord-pp-strict
and min-term-punit = punit0.min-term
and lt-punit = punit0.lt
and lc-punit = punit0.lc
and tail-punit = punit0.tail
and comp-opt-p-punit = punit0.comp-opt-p
and ord-p-punit = punit0.ord-p
and ord-strict-p-punit = punit0.ord-strict-p
and keys-to-list-punit = punit0.keys-to-list
⟨proof⟩

lemma shift-map-keys-punit-MP-oalist [code abstract]:
list-of-oalist-ntm (shift-map-keys-punit t f xs) = map-raw ( $\lambda (k, v). (t + k, f v)$ )
(list-of-oalist-ntm xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemmas [code] = punit0.mult-scalar-MP-oalist[unfolded mult-scalar-punit-def punit-mult-scalar]
          punit0.punit-min-term

lemma ord-pp-punit-alt [code-unfold]: ord-pp-punit = le-of-nat-term-order
⟨proof⟩

lemma ord-pp-strict-punit-alt [code-unfold]: ord-pp-strict-punit = lt-of-nat-term-order
⟨proof⟩

lemma gd-powerprod-ord-pp-punit: gd-powerprod (ord-pp-punit cmp-term) (ord-pp-strict-punit
cmp-term)
⟨proof⟩

locale trivariate0-rat
begin

abbreviation X::rat mpoly-tc where X ≡ V0 (0::nat)
abbreviation Y::rat mpoly-tc where Y ≡ V0 (1::nat)
abbreviation Z::rat mpoly-tc where Z ≡ V0 (2::nat)

```

```

end

experiment begin interpretation trivariate0-rat  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

value [code]  $X \wedge 2$ 

value [code]  $X^2 * Z + 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2$ 

value [code] distr0 DRLEX [(sparse0 [(0::nat, 3::nat)], 1::rat)] = distr0 DRLEX
[(sparse0 [(0, 3)], 1)]

lemma
ord-strict-p-punit DRLEX ( $X^2 * Z + 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2$ ) ( $X^2 * Z^2 + 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2$ )
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma
tail-punit DLEX ( $X^2 * Z + 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2$ ) =  $X^2 * Z$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

value [code] min-term-punit::(nat, nat) pp

value [code] is-zero (distr0 DRLEX [(sparse0 [(0::nat, 3::nat)], 1::rat)])

value [code] lt-punit DRLEX (distr0 DRLEX [(sparse0 [(0::nat, 3::nat)], 1::rat)])

lemma
lt-punit DRLEX ( $X^2 * Z + 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2$ ) = sparse0 [(1, 3), (2, 2)]
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma
lt-punit DRLEX ( $X + Y + Z$ ) = sparse0 [(2, 1)]
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma
keys ( $X^2 * Z \wedge 3 + 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2$ ) =
{ sparse0 [(0, 2), (2, 3)], sparse0 [(1, 3), (2, 2)] }
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma
 $- 1 * X^2 * Z \wedge 7 + - 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2 = - X^2 * Z \wedge 7 + - 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma
 $X^2 * Z \wedge 7 + 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2 + X^2 * Z \wedge 4 + - 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2 = X^2 * Z \wedge 7 + X^2 * Z \wedge 4$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma

```

$$X^2 * Z \wedge 7 + 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2 - X^2 * Z \wedge 4 + - 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2 = \\ X^2 * Z \wedge 7 - X^2 * Z \wedge 4$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**

$$lookup(X^2 * Z \wedge 7 + 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2 + 2) (sparse_0 [(0, 2), (2, 7)]) = 1$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**

$$X^2 * Z \wedge 7 + 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2 \neq \\ X^2 * Z \wedge 4 + - 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**

$$0 * X \wedge 2 * Z \wedge 7 + 0 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2 = 0$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**

$$monom-mult-punit 3 (sparse_0 [(1, 2::nat)]) (X^2 * Z + 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2) = \\ 3 * Y^2 * Z * X^2 + 6 * Y \wedge 5 * Z^2$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**

$$monomial (-4) (sparse_0 [(0, 2::nat)]) = - 4 * X^2$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *monomial* ( $0::rat$ ) ( $sparse_0 [(0::nat, 2::nat)]$ ) = 0

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**

$$(X^2 * Z + 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2) * (X^2 * Z \wedge 3 + - 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2) = \\ X \wedge 4 * Z \wedge 4 + - 2 * X^2 * Z \wedge 3 * Y \wedge 3 + \\ - 4 * Y \wedge 6 * Z \wedge 4 + 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z \wedge 5 * X^2$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

## 15.5 Code setup for type MPoly

postprocessing from  $Var_0$ ,  $Const_0$  to  $Var$ ,  $Const$ .

```
lemmas [code-post] =
plus-mpoly.abs-eq[symmetric]
times-mpoly.abs-eq[symmetric]
one-mpoly-def[symmetric]
Var.abs-eq[symmetric]
Const.abs-eq[symmetric]
```

```
instantiation mpoly::({equal, zero})equal begin
```

```

lift-definition equal-mpoly:: 'a mpoly  $\Rightarrow$  'a mpoly  $\Rightarrow$  bool is HOL.equal ⟨proof⟩
instance ⟨proof⟩
end
end

```

## 16 Quasi-Poly-Mapping Power-Products

```

theory Quasi-PM-Power-Products
imports MPoly-Type-Class-Ordered
begin

```

In this theory we introduce a subclass of *graded-dickson-powerprod* that approximates polynomial mappings even closer. We need this class for signature-based Gröbner basis algorithms.

```

definition (in monoid-add) hom-grading-fun :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where hom-grading-fun d f  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall n$ . ( $\forall s t$ . f n (s + t) = f n s + f n t)  $\wedge$ 
 $(\forall t$ . d (f n t)  $\leq$  n  $\wedge$  (d t  $\leq$  n  $\longrightarrow$  f n t = t)))

```

```

definition (in monoid-add) hom-grading :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where hom-grading d  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists f$ . hom-grading-fun d f)

```

```

definition (in monoid-add) decr-grading :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
where decr-grading d = (SOME f. hom-grading-fun d f)

```

```

lemma decr-grading:
assumes hom-grading d
shows hom-grading-fun d (decr-grading d)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma decr-grading-plus:
hom-grading d  $\Longrightarrow$  decr-grading d n (s + t) = decr-grading d n s + decr-grading
d n t
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma decr-grading-zero:
assumes hom-grading d
shows decr-grading d n 0 = (0::'a::cancel-comm-monoid-add)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma decr-grading-le: hom-grading d  $\Longrightarrow$  d (decr-grading d n t)  $\leq$  n
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma decr-grading-idI: hom-grading d  $\Longrightarrow$  d t  $\leq$  n  $\Longrightarrow$  decr-grading d n t = t
⟨proof⟩

```

```

class quasi-pm-powerprod = ulcs-powerprod +
  assumes ex-hgrad:  $\exists d: 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ . dickson-grading d  $\wedge$  hom-grading d
begin

  subclass graded-dickson-powerprod
    <proof>

  end

  lemma hom-grading-varnum:
    hom-grading ((varnum X)::('x::countable  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::add-wellorder)  $\Rightarrow$  nat)
    <proof>

  instance poly-mapping :: (countable, add-wellorder) quasi-pm-powerprod
    <proof>

  context term-powerprod
  begin

    definition decr-grading-term :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  't
      where decr-grading-term d n v = term-of-pair (decr-grading d n (pp-of-term v),
        component-of-term v)

    definition decr-grading-p :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::comm-monoid-add)
      where decr-grading-p d n p = ( $\sum_{v \in \text{keys } p}$  monomial (lookup p v) (decr-grading-term
        d n v))

    lemma decr-grading-term-splus:
      hom-grading d  $\implies$  decr-grading-term d n (t  $\oplus$  v) = decr-grading d n t  $\oplus$ 
      decr-grading-term d n v
      <proof>

    lemma decr-grading-term-le: hom-grading d  $\implies$  d (pp-of-term (decr-grading-term
      d n v))  $\leq$  n
      <proof>

    lemma decr-grading-term-idI: hom-grading d  $\implies$  d (pp-of-term v)  $\leq$  n  $\implies$  decr-grading-term
      d n v = v
      <proof>

    lemma punit-decr-grading-term: punit.decr-grading-term = decr-grading
      <proof>

    lemma decr-grading-p-zero: decr-grading-p d n 0 = 0
      <proof>

    lemma decr-grading-p-monomial: decr-grading-p d n (monomial c v) = monomial
      c (decr-grading-term d n v)
      <proof>

```

```

lemma decr-grading-p-plus:
  decr-grading-p d n (p + q) = (decr-grading-p d n p) + (decr-grading-p d n q)
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary decr-grading-p-sum: decr-grading-p d n (sum f A) = (∑ a∈A. decr-grading-p d n (f a))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma decr-grading-p-monom-mult:
  assumes hom-grading d
  shows decr-grading-p d n (monom-mult c t p) = monom-mult c (decr-grading d n t) (decr-grading-p d n p)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma decr-grading-p-mult-scalar:
  assumes hom-grading d
  shows decr-grading-p d n (p ⊕ q) = punit.decr-grading-p d n p ⊕ decr-grading-p d n q
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma decr-grading-p-keys-subset: keys (decr-grading-p d n p) ⊆ decr-grading-term d n ‘ keys p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma decr-grading-p-idI':
  assumes hom-grading d and ∫v. v ∈ keys p ⇒ d (pp-of-term v) ≤ n
  shows decr-grading-p d n p = p
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context gd-term
begin

lemma decr-grading-p-idI:
  assumes hom-grading d and p ∈ dgrad-p-set d m
  shows decr-grading-p d m p = p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma decr-grading-p-dgrad-p-setI:
  assumes hom-grading d
  shows decr-grading-p d m p ∈ dgrad-p-set d m
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in gd-term) in-pmdlE-dgrad-p-set:
  assumes hom-grading d and B ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m and p ∈ dgrad-p-set d m and p ∈ pmdl B
  obtains A q where finite A and A ⊆ B and ∫b. q b ∈ punit.dgrad-p-set d m

```

**and**  $p = (\sum b \in A. q b \odot b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**end**

## 17 Multivariate Polynomials with Power-Products Represented by Polynomial Mappings

**theory** *MPoly-PM*  
**imports** *Quasi-PM-Power-Products*  
**begin**

Many notions introduced in this theory for type  $('x \Rightarrow_0 'a) \Rightarrow_0 'b$  closely resemble those introduced in *Polynomials.MPoly-Type* for type  $'a mpoly$ .

**lemma** *monomial-single-power*:

$(\text{monomial } c (\text{Poly-Mapping.single } x k)) \wedge n = \text{monomial } (c \wedge n) (\text{Poly-Mapping.single } x (k * n))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *monomial-power-map-scale*:  $(\text{monomial } c t) \wedge n = \text{monomial } (c \wedge n) (n \cdot t)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *times-canc-left*:

**assumes**  $h * p = h * q$  **and**  $h \neq (0 :: ('x :: \text{linorder} \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}) \Rightarrow_0 'a :: \text{ring-no-zero-divisors})$   
**shows**  $p = q$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *times-canc-right*:

**assumes**  $p * h = q * h$  **and**  $h \neq (0 :: ('x :: \text{linorder} \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}) \Rightarrow_0 'a :: \text{ring-no-zero-divisors})$   
**shows**  $p = q$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 17.1 Degree

**lemma** *plus-minus-assoc-pm-nat-1*:  $s + t - u = (s - (u - t)) + (t - (u :: - \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *plus-minus-assoc-pm-nat-2*:  
 $s + (t - u) = (s + (\text{except } (u - t) (- \text{keys } s))) + t - (u :: - \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *deg-pm-sum*:  $\text{deg-pm } (\text{sum } t A) = (\sum a \in A. \text{deg-pm } (t a))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *deg-pm-mono*:  $s \text{ adds } t \implies \text{deg-pm } s \leq \text{deg-pm } (t : \Rightarrow_0 \text{-add-linorder-min})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *adds-deg-pm-antisym*:  $s \text{ adds } t \implies \text{deg-pm } t \leq \text{deg-pm } (s : \Rightarrow_0 \text{-add-linorder-min})$   
 $\implies s = t$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *deg-pm-minus*:  
**assumes**  $s \text{ adds } (t : \Rightarrow_0 \text{-comm-monoid-add})$   
**shows**  $\text{deg-pm } (t - s) = \text{deg-pm } t - \text{deg-pm } s$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *adds-group [simp]*:  $s \text{ adds } (t : 'a \Rightarrow_0 'b : ab\text{-group-add})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *deg-pm-minus-group* = *deg-pm-minus*[OF *adds-group*]

**lemma** *deg-pm-minus-le*:  $\text{deg-pm } (t - s) \leq \text{deg-pm } (t : \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *minus-id-iff*:  $t - s = t \longleftrightarrow \text{keys } t \cap \text{keys } (s : \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}) = \{\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *deg-pm-minus-id-iff*:  $\text{deg-pm } (t - s) = \text{deg-pm } t \longleftrightarrow \text{keys } t \cap \text{keys } (s : \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}) = \{\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition** *poly-deg* ::  $(('x \Rightarrow_0 'a : \text{add-linorder}) \Rightarrow_0 'b : \text{zero}) \Rightarrow 'a$  **where**  
 $\text{poly-deg } p = (\text{if } \text{keys } p = \{\} \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{Max } (\text{deg-pm } ' \text{keys } p))$

**definition** *maxdeg* ::  $(('x \Rightarrow_0 'a : \text{add-linorder}) \Rightarrow_0 'b : \text{zero}) \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a$  **where**  
 $\text{maxdeg } A = \text{Max } (\text{poly-deg } 'A)$

**definition** *mindeg* ::  $(('x \Rightarrow_0 'a : \text{add-linorder}) \Rightarrow_0 'b : \text{zero}) \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a$  **where**  
 $\text{mindeg } A = \text{Min } (\text{poly-deg } 'A)$

**lemma** *poly-deg-monomial*:  $\text{poly-deg } (\text{monomial } c t) = (\text{if } c = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{deg-pm } t)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-deg-monomial-zero [simp]*:  $\text{poly-deg } (\text{monomial } c 0) = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-deg-zero [simp]*:  $\text{poly-deg } 0 = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-deg-one [simp]*:  $\text{poly-deg } 1 = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma poly-degE:
  assumes p ≠ 0
  obtains t where t ∈ keys p and poly-deg p = deg-pm t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-deg-max-keys: t ∈ keys p ⇒ deg-pm t ≤ poly-deg p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-deg-leI: (⋀ t. t ∈ keys p ⇒ deg-pm t ≤ (d::'a::add-linorder-min)) ⇒
  poly-deg p ≤ d
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-deg-lessI:
  p ≠ 0 ⇒ (⋀ t. t ∈ keys p ⇒ deg-pm t < (d::'a::add-linorder-min)) ⇒ poly-deg
  p < d
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-deg-zero-imp-monomial:
  assumes poly-deg p = (0::'a::add-linorder-min)
  shows monomial (lookup p 0) 0 = p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-deg-plus-le:
  poly-deg (p + q) ≤ max (poly-deg p) (poly-deg (q::( - ⇒₀ 'a::add-linorder-min) ⇒₀
  -))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-deg-uminus [simp]: poly-deg (-p) = poly-deg p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-deg-minus-le:
  poly-deg (p - q) ≤ max (poly-deg p) (poly-deg (q::( - ⇒₀ 'a::add-linorder-min) ⇒₀
  -))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-deg-times-le:
  poly-deg (p * q) ≤ poly-deg p + poly-deg (q::( - ⇒₀ 'a::add-linorder-min) ⇒₀ -)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-deg-times:
  assumes p ≠ 0 and q ≠ 0 and (0::('x::linorder ⇒₀ 'a::add-linorder-min) ⇒₀ 'b::semiring-no-zero-divisors)
  shows poly-deg (p * q) = poly-deg p + poly-deg q
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary poly-deg-monom-mult-le:
  poly-deg (punit.monom-mult c (t::- ⇒₀ 'a::add-linorder-min) p) ≤ deg-pm t +
  poly-deg p
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma poly-deg-monom-mult:
  assumes  $c \neq 0$  and  $p \neq (0 : (- \Rightarrow_0 'a :: add-linorder-min) \Rightarrow_0 'b :: semiring-no-zero-divisors)$ 
  shows poly-deg (punit.monom-mult c t p) = deg-pm t + poly-deg p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-deg-map-scale:
  poly-deg (c · p) = (if  $c = (0 : - :: semiring-no-zero-divisors)$  then 0 else poly-deg p)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-deg-sum-le: ((poly-deg (sum f A)) : 'a :: add-linorder-min) ≤ Max (poly-deg
  ‘f ‘ A)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-deg-prod-le: ((poly-deg (prod f A)) : 'a :: add-linorder-min) ≤ (∑ a ∈ A.
  poly-deg (f a))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma maxdeg-max:
  assumes finite A and  $p \in A$ 
  shows poly-deg p ≤ maxdeg A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mindeg-min:
  assumes finite A and  $p \in A$ 
  shows mindeg A ≤ poly-deg p
  ⟨proof⟩

```

## 17.2 Indeterminates

```

definition indets :: ('x ⇒_0 nat) ⇒_0 'b :: zero ⇒ 'x set
  where indets p = ∪ (keys ‘keys p)

definition PPs :: 'x set ⇒ ('x ⇒_0 nat) set (⟨.[-]⟩)
  where PPs X = {t. keys t ⊆ X}

definition Polys :: 'x set ⇒ (('x ⇒_0 nat) ⇒_0 'b :: zero) set (⟨P[-]⟩)
  where Polys X = {p. keys p ⊆ .[X]}

```

### 17.2.1 indets

```

lemma in-indetsI:
  assumes  $x \in \text{keys } t$  and  $t \in \text{keys } p$ 
  shows  $x \in \text{indets } p$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma in-indetsE:
  assumes  $x \in \text{indets } p$ 
  obtains t where  $t \in \text{keys } p$  and  $x \in \text{keys } t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma keys-subset-indets:  $t \in \text{keys } p \implies \text{keys } t \subseteq \text{indets } p$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma indets-empty-imp-monomial:
  assumes indets  $p = \{\}$ 
  shows monomial (lookup  $p 0$ )  $0 = p$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma finite-indets: finite (indets  $p$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma indets-zero [simp]: indets  $0 = \{\}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma indets-one [simp]: indets  $1 = \{\}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma indets-monomial-single-subset: indets (monomial  $c (\text{Poly-Mapping.single } v k)$ )  $\subseteq \{v\}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma indets-monomial-single:
  assumes  $c \neq 0$  and  $k \neq 0$ 
  shows indets (monomial  $c (\text{Poly-Mapping.single } v k)$ )  $= \{v\}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma indets-monomial:
  assumes  $c \neq 0$ 
  shows indets (monomial  $c t$ )  $= \text{keys } t$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma indets-monomial-subset: indets (monomial  $c t$ )  $\subseteq \text{keys } t$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma indets-monomial-zero [simp]: indets (monomial  $c 0$ )  $= \{\}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma indets-plus-subset: indets ( $p + q$ )  $\subseteq \text{indets } p \cup \text{indets } q$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma indets-uminus [simp]: indets ( $-p$ )  $= \text{indets } p$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma indets-minus-subset: indets ( $p - q$ )  $\subseteq \text{indets } p \cup \text{indets } q$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma indets-times-subset: indets ( $p * q$ )  $\subseteq \text{indets } p \cup \text{indets } (q : (- \Rightarrow_0 - :: \text{cancel-comm-monoid-add}))$ 
   $\Rightarrow_0 -)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

**corollary** *indets-monom-mult-subset*:  $\text{indets}(\text{punit.monom-mult } c \ t \ p) \subseteq \text{keys } t \cup \text{indets } p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *indets-monom-mult*:  
**assumes**  $c \neq 0$  **and**  $p \neq (0 :: ('x \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}) \Rightarrow_0 'b :: \text{semiring-no-zero-divisors})$   
**shows**  $\text{indets}(\text{punit.monom-mult } c \ t \ p) = \text{keys } t \cup \text{indets } p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *indets-sum-subset*:  $\text{indets}(\text{sum } f \ A) \subseteq (\bigcup_{a \in A} \text{indets}(f a))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *indets-prod-subset*:  
 $\text{indets}(\text{prod } (f :: - \Rightarrow ((- \Rightarrow_0 - :: \text{cancel-comm-monoid-add}) \Rightarrow_0 -)) \ A) \subseteq (\bigcup_{a \in A} \text{indets}(f a))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *indets-power-subset*:  $\text{indets}(p \ ^n) \subseteq \text{indets}(p :: ('x \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}) \Rightarrow_0 'b :: \text{comm-semiring-1})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *indets-empty-iff-poly-deg-zero*:  $\text{indets } p = \{\} \longleftrightarrow \text{poly-deg } p = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 17.2.2 PPs

**lemma** *PPsI*:  $\text{keys } t \subseteq X \implies t \in .[X]$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *PPsD*:  $t \in .[X] \implies \text{keys } t \subseteq X$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *PPs-empty [simp]*:  $.[\{\}] = \{0\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *PPs-UNIV [simp]*:  $.[UNIV] = UNIV$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *PPs-singleton*:  $.[\{x\}] = \text{range}(\text{Poly-Mapping.single } x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *zero-in-PPs*:  $0 \in .[X]$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *PPs-mono*:  $X \subseteq Y \implies .[X] \subseteq .[Y]$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *PPs-closed-single*:  
**assumes**  $x \in X$

**shows** *Poly-Mapping.single*  $x \ e \in .[X]$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *PPs-closed-plus*:

**assumes**  $s \in .[X]$  **and**  $t \in .[X]$   
**shows**  $s + t \in .[X]$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *PPs-closed-minus*:

**assumes**  $s \in .[X]$   
**shows**  $s - t \in .[X]$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *PPs-closed-adds*:

**assumes**  $s \in .[X]$  **and**  $t$  adds  $s$   
**shows**  $t \in .[X]$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *PPs-closed-gcs*:

**assumes**  $s \in .[X]$   
**shows** *gcs*  $s \ t \in .[X]$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *PPs-closed-lcs*:

**assumes**  $s \in .[X]$  **and**  $t \in .[X]$   
**shows** *lcs*  $s \ t \in .[X]$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *PPs-closed-except'*:  $t \in .[X] \implies \text{except } t \ Y \in .[X - Y]$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *PPs-closed-except*:  $t \in .[X] \implies \text{except } t \ Y \in .[X]$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *PPs-UnI*:

**assumes**  $tx \in .[X]$  **and**  $ty \in .[Y]$  **and**  $t = tx + ty$   
**shows**  $t \in .[X \cup Y]$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *PPs-UnE*:

**assumes**  $t \in .[X \cup Y]$   
**obtains**  $tx \ ty$  **where**  $tx \in .[X]$  **and**  $ty \in .[Y]$  **and**  $t = tx + ty$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *PPs-Un*:  $.[X \cup Y] = (\bigcup t \in .[X]. (+) t \cdot .[Y])$  (**is**  $?A = ?B$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *PPs-insert*:  $.[insert \ x \ X] = (\bigcup e. (+) (\text{Poly-Mapping.single} \ x \ e) \cdot .[X])$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *PPs-insertI*:

assumes  $tx \in .[X]$  and  $t = \text{Poly-Mapping.single } x e + tx$

shows  $t \in .[\text{insert } x X]$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *PPs-insertE*:

assumes  $t \in .[\text{insert } x X]$

obtains  $e tx$  where  $tx \in .[X]$  and  $t = \text{Poly-Mapping.single } x e + tx$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *PPs-Int*:  $.[X \cap Y] = .[X] \cap .[Y]$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *PPs-INT*:  $.[\bigcap X] = \bigcap (PPs \setminus X)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

### 17.2.3 Polys

**lemma** *Polys-alt*:  $P[X] = \{p. \text{indets } p \subseteq X\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *PolysI*:  $\text{keys } p \subseteq .[X] \implies p \in P[X]$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *PolysI-alt*:  $\text{indets } p \subseteq X \implies p \in P[X]$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *PolysD*:

assumes  $p \in P[X]$

shows  $\text{keys } p \subseteq .[X]$  and  $\text{indets } p \subseteq X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Polys-empty*:  $P[\{\}] = ((\text{range } (\text{Poly-Mapping.single } 0)) :: (('x \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}) \Rightarrow_0 'b :: \text{zero set}))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Polys-UNIV [simp]*:  $P[\text{UNIV}] = \text{UNIV}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zero-in-Polys*:  $0 \in P[X]$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *one-in-Polys*:  $1 \in P[X]$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Polys-mono*:  $X \subseteq Y \implies P[X] \subseteq P[Y]$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Polys-closed-monomial*:  $t \in .[X] \implies \text{monomial } c \ t \in P[X]$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Polys-closed-plus*:  $p \in P[X] \implies q \in P[X] \implies p + q \in P[X]$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Polys-closed-uminus*:  $p \in P[X] \implies -p \in P[X]$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Polys-closed-minus*:  $p \in P[X] \implies q \in P[X] \implies p - q \in P[X]$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Polys-closed-monom-mult*:  $t \in .[X] \implies p \in P[X] \implies \text{punit.monom-mult}$   
 $c \ t \ p \in P[X]$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** *Polys-closed-map-scale*:  $p \in P[X] \implies (c::\text{semiring-0}) \cdot p \in P[X]$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Polys-closed-times*:  $p \in P[X] \implies q \in P[X] \implies p * q \in P[X]$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Polys-closed-power*:  $p \in P[X] \implies p ^ m \in P[X]$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Polys-closed-sum*:  $(\bigwedge a. a \in A \implies f a \in P[X]) \implies \text{sum } f A \in P[X]$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Polys-closed-prod*:  $(\bigwedge a. a \in A \implies f a \in P[X]) \implies \text{prod } f A \in P[X]$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Polys-closed-sum-list*:  $(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies x \in P[X]) \implies \text{sum-list } xs \in P[X]$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Polys-closed-except*:  $p \in P[X] \implies \text{except } p \ T \in P[X]$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *times-in-PolysD*:  
**assumes**  $p * q \in P[X]$  **and**  $p \in P[X]$  **and**  $p \neq (0::('x::\text{linorder} \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}) \Rightarrow_0$   
 $'a::\text{semiring-no-zero-divisors})$   
**shows**  $q \in P[X]$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-mapping-plus-induct-Polys* [*consumes 1, case-names 0 plus*]:  
**assumes**  $p \in P[X]$  **and**  $P \ 0$   
**and**  $\bigwedge p \ c \ t. \ t \in .[X] \implies p \in P[X] \implies c \neq 0 \implies t \notin \text{keys } p \implies P \ p \implies P$   
 $(\text{monomial } c \ t + p)$   
**shows**  $P \ p$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Polys-Int*:  $P[X \cap Y] = P[X] \cap P[Y]$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Polys-INT*:  $P[\bigcap X] = \bigcap (Polys^* X)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 17.3 Substitution Homomorphism

The substitution homomorphism defined here is more general than *insertion*, since it replaces indeterminates by *polynomials* rather than coefficients, and therefore constructs new polynomials.

**definition** *subst-pp* ::  $('x \Rightarrow (('y \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a)) \Rightarrow ('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow (('y \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a : comm-semiring-1)$   
**where**  $subst\text{-}pp f t = (\prod x \in keys t. (f x) \wedge (lookup t x))$

**definition** *poly-subst* ::  $('x \Rightarrow (('y \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a)) \Rightarrow (('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a) \Rightarrow (('y \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a : comm-semiring-1)$   
**where**  $poly\text{-}subst f p = (\sum t \in keys p. p \text{ unit.monom-mult } (lookup p t) \ 0 \ (subst\text{-}pp f t))$

**lemma** *subst-pp-alt*:  $subst\text{-}pp f t = (\prod x. (f x) \wedge (lookup t x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subst-pp-zero [simp]*:  $subst\text{-}pp f 0 = 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subst-pp-trivial-not-zero*:  
**assumes**  $t \neq 0$   
**shows**  $subst\text{-}pp (\lambda x. 0) t = (0 : (- \Rightarrow_0 'b : comm-semiring-1))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subst-pp-single*:  $subst\text{-}pp f (Poly\text{-}Mapping.single x e) = (f x) \wedge e$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *subst-pp-trivial*:  $subst\text{-}pp (\lambda x. 0) t = (if t = 0 then 1 else 0)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *power-lookup-not-one-subset-keys*:  $\{x. f x \wedge (lookup t x) \neq 1\} \subseteq keys t$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *finite-power-lookup-not-one*:  $finite \{x. f x \wedge (lookup t x) \neq 1\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subst-pp-plus*:  $subst\text{-}pp f (s + t) = subst\text{-}pp f s * subst\text{-}pp f t$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subst-pp-id*:

```

assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{keys } t \implies f x = \text{monomial } 1$  (Poly-Mapping.single  $x$  1)
shows subst-pp  $f t = \text{monomial } 1$   $t$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma in-indets-subst-ppE:
assumes  $x \in \text{indets} (\text{subst-pp } f t)$ 
obtains  $y$  where  $y \in \text{keys } t$  and  $x \in \text{indets} (f y)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma subst-pp-by-monomials:
assumes  $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{keys } t \implies f y = \text{monomial } (c y) (s y)$ 
shows subst-pp  $f t = \text{monomial } (\prod_{y \in \text{keys } t. (c y)} \text{lookup } t y) (\sum_{y \in \text{keys } t. \text{lookup } t y} s y)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-deg-subst-pp-eq-zeroI:
assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{keys } t \implies \text{poly-deg } (f x) = 0$ 
shows poly-deg (subst-pp  $f t$ ) = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-deg-subst-pp-le:
assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{keys } t \implies \text{poly-deg } (f x) \leq 1$ 
shows poly-deg (subst-pp  $f t$ )  $\leq \text{deg-pm } t$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-subst-alt: poly-subst  $f p = (\sum t. \text{punit.monom-mult } (\text{lookup } p t) 0$  (subst-pp  $f t$ ))
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-subst-trivial [simp]: poly-subst ( $\lambda\_. 0$ )  $p = \text{monomial } (\text{lookup } p 0) 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-subst-zero [simp]: poly-subst  $f 0 = 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma monom-mult-lookup-not-zero-subset-keys:
 $\{t. \text{punit.monom-mult } (\text{lookup } p t) 0 (\text{subst-pp } f t) \neq 0\} \subseteq \text{keys } p$ 
⟨proof⟩

corollary finite-monom-mult-lookup-not-zero:
 $\text{finite } \{t. \text{punit.monom-mult } (\text{lookup } p t) 0 (\text{subst-pp } f t) \neq 0\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-subst-plus: poly-subst  $f (p + q) = \text{poly-subst } f p + \text{poly-subst } f q$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-subst-uminus: poly-subst  $f (-p) = - \text{poly-subst } f (p :: ('x \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}) \Rightarrow_0$ 
'b::comm-ring-1)
⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** *poly-subst-minus*:  
 $\text{poly-subst } f(p - q) = \text{poly-subst } f p - \text{poly-subst } f(q : ('x \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}) \Rightarrow_0 'b :: \text{comm-ring-1})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-subst-monomial*:  $\text{poly-subst } f(\text{monomial } c t) = \text{punit.monom-mult } c 0 (\text{subst-pp } f t)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** *poly-subst-one* [*simp*]:  $\text{poly-subst } f 1 = 1$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-subst-times*:  $\text{poly-subst } f(p * q) = \text{poly-subst } f p * \text{poly-subst } f q$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** *poly-subst-monom-mult*:  
 $\text{poly-subst } f(\text{punit.monom-mult } c t p) = \text{punit.monom-mult } c 0 (\text{subst-pp } f t * \text{poly-subst } f p)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** *poly-subst-monom-mult'*:  
 $\text{poly-subst } f(\text{punit.monom-mult } c t p) = (\text{punit.monom-mult } c 0 (\text{subst-pp } f t)) * \text{poly-subst } f p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-subst-sum*:  $\text{poly-subst } f(\text{sum } p A) = (\sum_{a \in A} \text{poly-subst } f(p a))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-subst-prod*:  $\text{poly-subst } f(\text{prod } p A) = (\prod_{a \in A} \text{poly-subst } f(p a))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-subst-power*:  $\text{poly-subst } f(p \wedge n) = (\text{poly-subst } f p) \wedge n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-subst-subst-pp*:  $\text{poly-subst } f(\text{subst-pp } g t) = \text{subst-pp } (\lambda x. \text{poly-subst } f(g x)) t$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-subst-poly-subst*:  $\text{poly-subst } f(\text{poly-subst } g p) = \text{poly-subst } (\lambda x. \text{poly-subst } f(g x)) p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-subst-id*:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{indets } p \implies f x = \text{monomial } 1 (\text{Poly-Mapping.single } x 1)$   
**shows**  $\text{poly-subst } f p = p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *in-keys-poly-substE*:  
**assumes**  $t \in \text{keys } (\text{poly-subst } f p)$

**obtains**  $s$  **where**  $s \in keys p$  **and**  $t \in keys (subst-pp f s)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *in-indets-poly-substE*:

**assumes**  $x \in indets (poly-subst f p)$   
**obtains**  $y$  **where**  $y \in indets p$  **and**  $x \in indets (f y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-deg-poly-subst-eq-zeroI*:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge x. x \in indets p \implies poly-deg (f x) = 0$   
**shows**  $poly-deg (poly-subst (f :: - \Rightarrow (('y \Rightarrow_0 -) \Rightarrow_0 -)) (p :: ('x \Rightarrow_0 -) \Rightarrow_0 'b :: comm-semiring-1)) = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-deg-poly-subst-le*:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge x. x \in indets p \implies poly-deg (f x) \leq 1$   
**shows**  $poly-deg (poly-subst (f :: - \Rightarrow (('y \Rightarrow_0 -) \Rightarrow_0 -)) (p :: ('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'b :: comm-semiring-1)) \leq poly-deg p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subst-pp-cong*:  $s = t \implies (\bigwedge x. x \in keys t \implies f x = g x) \implies subst-pp f s = subst-pp g t$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-subst-cong*:

**assumes**  $p = q$  **and**  $\bigwedge x. x \in indets q \implies f x = g x$   
**shows**  $poly-subst f p = poly-subst g q$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Polys-homomorphismE*:

**obtains**  $h$  **where**  $\bigwedge p q. h (p + q) = h p + h q$  **and**  $\bigwedge p q. h (p * q) = h p * h q$   
**and**  $\bigwedge p :: ('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a :: comm-ring-1. h (h p) = h p$  **and**  $range h = P[X]$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *in-idealE-Polys-finite*:

**assumes**  $finite B$  **and**  $B \subseteq P[X]$  **and**  $p \in P[X]$  **and**  $(p :: ('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a :: comm-ring-1) \in ideal B$   
**obtains**  $q$  **where**  $\bigwedge b. q b \in P[X]$  **and**  $p = (\sum b \in B. q b * b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *in-idealE-Polys*:

**assumes**  $B \subseteq P[X]$  **and**  $p \in P[X]$  **and**  $p \in ideal B$   
**obtains**  $A q$  **where**  $finite A$  **and**  $A \subseteq B$  **and**  $\bigwedge b. q b \in P[X]$  **and**  $p = (\sum b \in A. q b * b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ideal-induct-Polys* [consumes 3, case-names 0 plus]:

**assumes**  $F \subseteq P[X]$  **and**  $p \in P[X]$  **and**  $p \in ideal F$   
**assumes**  $P 0$  **and**  $\bigwedge c q h. c \in P[X] \implies q \in F \implies P h \implies h \in P[X] \implies P$

```


$$(c * q + h)$$


$$\text{shows } P \ (p::('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a::comm-ring-1)$$


$$\langle proof \rangle$$


lemma image-poly-subst-ideal-subset: poly-subst g ` ideal F ⊆ ideal (poly-subst g ` F)

$$\langle proof \rangle$$


## 17.4 Evaluating Polynomials

lemma lookup-times-zero:

$$lookup (p * q) 0 = lookup p 0 * lookup q (0::'a::\{comm-powerprod,ninv-comm-monoid-add\})$$


$$\langle proof \rangle$$


corollary lookup-prod-zero:

$$lookup (prod f I) 0 = (\prod i \in I. lookup (f i) (0::-'a::\{comm-powerprod,ninv-comm-monoid-add\}))$$


$$\langle proof \rangle$$


corollary lookup-power-zero:

$$lookup (p ^ k) 0 = lookup p (0::-'a::\{comm-powerprod,ninv-comm-monoid-add\}) ^ k$$


$$\langle proof \rangle$$


definition poly-eval ::  $('x \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow (('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a) \Rightarrow 'a::comm-semiring-1$ 
where poly-eval a p = lookup (poly-subst (λy. monomial (a y) (0::'x ⇒_0 nat)) p) 0

lemma poly-eval-alt: poly-eval a p =  $(\sum t \in keys p. lookup p t * (\prod x \in keys t. a x ^ {lookup t x}))$ 

$$\langle proof \rangle$$


lemma poly-eval-monomial: poly-eval a (monomial c t) =  $c * (\prod x \in keys t. a x ^ {lookup t x})$ 

$$\langle proof \rangle$$


lemma poly-eval-zero [simp]: poly-eval a 0 = 0

$$\langle proof \rangle$$


lemma poly-eval-zero-left [simp]: poly-eval 0 p = lookup p 0

$$\langle proof \rangle$$


lemma poly-eval-plus: poly-eval a (p + q) = poly-eval a p + poly-eval a q

$$\langle proof \rangle$$


lemma poly-eval-uminus [simp]: poly-eval a (- p) =  $- poly-eval (a::-'a::comm-ring-1)$ 

$$p$$


$$\langle proof \rangle$$


lemma poly-eval-minus: poly-eval a (p - q) = poly-eval a p - poly-eval (a::-'a::comm-ring-1)

$$q$$


$$\langle proof \rangle$$


```

$q$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-eval-one* [simp]: *poly-eval a 1 = 1*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-eval-times*: *poly-eval a (p \* q) = poly-eval a p \* poly-eval a q*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-eval-power*: *poly-eval a (p ^ m) = poly-eval a p ^ m*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-eval-sum*: *poly-eval a (sum f I) = (∑ i ∈ I. poly-eval a (f i))*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-eval-prod*: *poly-eval a (prod f I) = (∏ i ∈ I. poly-eval a (f i))*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-eval-cong*: *p = q ⇒ (∀x. x ∈ indets q ⇒ a x = b x) ⇒ poly-eval a p = poly-eval b q*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *indets-poly-eval-subset*:  
*indets (poly-eval a p) ⊆ ∪ (indets ` a ` indets p) ∪ ∪ (indets ` lookup p ` keys p)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *image-poly-eval-ideal*: *poly-eval a ` ideal F = ideal (poly-eval a ` F)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 17.5 Replacing Indeterminates

**definition** *map-indets* **where** *map-indets f = poly-subst (λx. monomial 1 (Poly-Mapping.single (f x) 1))*

**lemma**

**shows** *map-indets-zero* [simp]: *map-indets f 0 = 0*  
**and** *map-indets-one* [simp]: *map-indets f 1 = 1*  
**and** *map-indets-uminus* [simp]: *map-indets f (- r) = - map-indets f (r ::- ⇒₀ :-: comm-ring-1)*  
**and** *map-indets-plus*: *map-indets f (p + q) = map-indets f p + map-indets f q*  
**and** *map-indets-minus*: *map-indets f (r - s) = map-indets f r - map-indets f s*  
**and** *map-indets-times*: *map-indets f (p \* q) = map-indets f p \* map-indets f q*  
**and** *map-indets-power* [simp]: *map-indets f (p ^ m) = map-indets f p ^ m*  
**and** *map-indets-sum*: *map-indets f (sum g A) = (∑ a ∈ A. map-indets f (g a))*  
**and** *map-indets-prod*: *map-indets f (prod g A) = (∏ a ∈ A. map-indets f (g a))*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-indets-monomial*:

*map-indets f (monomial c t) = monomial c ( $\sum_{x \in \text{keys } t} \text{Poly-Mapping.single } (x)$ ) (lookup t x))*  
 *$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$*

**lemma** *map-indets-id: ( $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{indets } p \implies f x = x$ )  $\implies \text{map-indets } f p = p$*   
 *$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$*

**lemma** *map-indets-map-indets: map-indets f (map-indets g p) = map-indets (f  $\circ$  g) p*  
 *$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$*

**lemma** *map-indets-cong:  $p = q \implies (\bigwedge x. x \in \text{indets } q \implies f x = g x) \implies \text{map-indets } f p = \text{map-indets } g q$*   
 *$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$*

**lemma** *poly-subst-map-indets: poly-subst f (map-indets g p) = poly-subst (f  $\circ$  g) p*  
 *$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$*

**lemma** *poly-eval-map-indets: poly-eval a (map-indets g p) = poly-eval (a  $\circ$  g) p*  
 *$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$*

**lemma** *map-indets-inverseE-Polys:*  
**assumes** *inj-on f X and  $p \in P[X]$*   
**shows** *map-indets (the-inv-into X f) (map-indets f p) = p*  
 *$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$*

**lemma** *map-indets-inverseE:*  
**assumes** *inj f*  
**obtains** *g where g = the-inv f and  $g \circ f = id$  and map-indets g  $\circ$  map-indets f = id*  
 *$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$*

**lemma** *indets-map-indets-subset: indets (map-indets f (p::-  $\Rightarrow_0$  'a::comm-semiring-1))  $\subseteq f` \text{indets } p$*   
 *$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$*

**corollary** *map-indets-in-Polys: map-indets f p  $\in P[f` \text{indets } p]$*   
 *$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$*

**lemma** *indets-map-indets:*  
**assumes** *inj-on f (indets p)*  
**shows** *indets (map-indets f p) = f` \text{indets } p*  
 *$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$*

**lemma** *image-map-indets-Polys: map-indets f` P[X] = (P[f` X]::(-  $\Rightarrow_0$  'a::comm-semiring-1) set)*  
 *$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$*

**corollary** *range-map-indets: range (map-indets f) = P[range f]*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *in-keys-map-indetsE*:

assumes  $t \in keys (map-indets f (p::- \Rightarrow_0 'a::comm-semiring-1))$

obtains  $s$  where  $s \in keys p$  and  $t = (\sum_{x \in keys s} Poly-Mapping.single (f x) (lookup s x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *keys-map-indets-subset*:

$keys (map-indets f p) \subseteq (\lambda t. \sum_{x \in keys t} Poly-Mapping.single (f x) (lookup t x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *keys-map-indets*:

assumes *inj-on*  $f$  (*indets*  $p$ )

shows  $keys (map-indets f p) = (\lambda t. \sum_{x \in keys t} Poly-Mapping.single (f x) (lookup t x)) \langle keys p \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-deg-map-indets-le*:  $poly-deg (map-indets f p) \leq poly-deg p$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-deg-map-indets*:

assumes *inj-on*  $f$  (*indets*  $p$ )

shows  $poly-deg (map-indets f p) = poly-deg p$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-indets-inj-on-PolysI*:

assumes *inj-on*  $(f::'x \Rightarrow 'y) X$

shows *inj-on*  $((map-indets f)::- \Rightarrow - \Rightarrow_0 'a::comm-semiring-1) P[X]$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-indets-injI*:

assumes *inj*  $f$

shows *inj* (*map-indets*  $f$ )

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *image-map-indets-ideal*:

assumes *inj*  $f$

shows  $map-indets f \langle ideal F = ideal (map-indets f \langle (F::(- \Rightarrow_0 'a::comm-ring-1) set)) \cap P[range f] \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

## 17.6 Homogeneity

**definition** *homogeneous* ::  $(('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a::zero) \Rightarrow bool$

where *homogeneous*  $p \longleftrightarrow (\forall s \in keys p. \forall t \in keys p. deg-pm s = deg-pm t)$

**definition** *hom-component* ::  $(('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow (('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0$

```

'a::zero)
where hom-component p n = except p {t. deg-pm t ≠ n}

definition hom-components :: (('x ⇒₀ nat) ⇒₀ 'a) ⇒ (('x ⇒₀ nat) ⇒₀ 'a::zero)
set
where hom-components p = hom-component p ` deg-pm ` keys p

definition homogeneous-set :: (('x ⇒₀ nat) ⇒₀ 'a::zero) set ⇒ bool
where homogeneous-set A ←→ (forall a ∈ A. ∀ n. hom-component a n ∈ A)

lemma homogeneousI: (forall s t. s ∈ keys p ⇒ t ∈ keys p ⇒ deg-pm s = deg-pm
t) ⇒ homogeneous p
⟨proof⟩

lemma homogeneousD: homogeneous p ⇒ s ∈ keys p ⇒ t ∈ keys p ⇒ deg-pm
s = deg-pm t
⟨proof⟩

lemma homogeneousD-poly-deg:
assumes homogeneous p and t ∈ keys p
shows deg-pm t = poly-deg p
⟨proof⟩

lemma homogeneous-monomial [simp]: homogeneous (monomial c t)
⟨proof⟩

corollary homogeneous-zero [simp]: homogeneous 0 and homogeneous-one [simp]:
homogeneous 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma homogeneous-uminus-iff [simp]: homogeneous (− p) ←→ homogeneous p
⟨proof⟩

lemma homogeneous-monom-mult: homogeneous p ⇒ homogeneous (punit.monom-mult
c t p)
⟨proof⟩

lemma homogeneous-monom-mult-rev:
assumes c ≠ (0::'a::semiring-no-zero-divisors) and homogeneous (punit.monom-mult
c t p)
shows homogeneous p
⟨proof⟩

lemma homogeneous-times:
assumes homogeneous p and homogeneous q
shows homogeneous (p * q)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lookup-hom-component: lookup (hom-component p n) = (λt. lookup p t

```

*when deg-pm t = n*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *keys-hom-component*:  $\text{keys}(\text{hom-component } p \ n) = \{t. \ t \in \text{keys } p \wedge \text{deg-pm } t = n\}$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *keys-hom-componentD*:  
  **assumes**  $t \in \text{keys}(\text{hom-component } p \ n)$   
  **shows**  $t \in \text{keys } p$  **and**  $\text{deg-pm } t = n$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *homogeneous-hom-component*:  $\text{homogeneous}(\text{hom-component } p \ n)$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *hom-component-zero [simp]*:  $\text{hom-component } 0 = 0$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *hom-component-zero-iff*:  $\text{hom-component } p \ n = 0 \longleftrightarrow (\forall t \in \text{keys } p. \ \text{deg-pm } t \neq n)$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *hom-component-uminus [simp]*:  $\text{hom-component}(-p) = -\text{hom-component } p$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *hom-component-plus*:  $\text{hom-component}(p + q) \ n = \text{hom-component } p \ n + \text{hom-component } q \ n$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *hom-component-minus*:  $\text{hom-component}(p - q) \ n = \text{hom-component } p \ n - \text{hom-component } q \ n$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *hom-component-monom-mult*:  
  *punit.monom-mult c t (hom-component p n) = hom-component (punit.monom-mult c t p) (deg-pm t + n)*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *hom-component-inject*:  
  **assumes**  $t \in \text{keys } p$  **and**  $\text{hom-component } p \ (\text{deg-pm } t) = \text{hom-component } p \ n$   
  **shows**  $\text{deg-pm } t = n$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *hom-component-of-homogeneous*:  
  **assumes**  $\text{homogeneous } p$   
  **shows**  $\text{hom-component } p \ n = (p \text{ when } n = \text{poly-deg } p)$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *hom-components-zero* [*simp*]: *hom-components* 0 = {}  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *hom-components-zero-iff* [*simp*]: *hom-components* p = {}  $\longleftrightarrow$  p = 0  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *hom-components-uminus*: *hom-components* ( $- p$ ) = *uminus* ‘*hom-components* p  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *hom-components-monom-mult*:  
*hom-components* (*punit.monom-mult* c t p) = (if c = 0 then {} else *punit.monom-mult* c t ‘*hom-components* p)  
**for** c::‘a::semiring-no-zero-divisors  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *hom-componentsI*: q = *hom-component* p (*deg-pm* t)  $\implies$  t ∈ *keys* p  $\implies$   
q ∈ *hom-components* p  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *hom-componentsE*:  
**assumes** q ∈ *hom-components* p  
**obtains** t **where** t ∈ *keys* p **and** q = *hom-component* p (*deg-pm* t)  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *hom-components-of-homogeneous*:  
**assumes** homogeneous p  
**shows** *hom-components* p = (if p = 0 then {} else {p})  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *finite-hom-components*: finite (*hom-components* p)  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *hom-components-homogeneous*: q ∈ *hom-components* p  $\implies$  homogeneous q  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *hom-components-nonzero*: q ∈ *hom-components* p  $\implies$  q ≠ 0  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *deg-pm-hom-components*:  
**assumes** q1 ∈ *hom-components* p **and** q2 ∈ *hom-components* p **and** t1 ∈ *keys* q1 **and** t2 ∈ *keys* q2  
**shows** *deg-pm* t1 = *deg-pm* t2  $\longleftrightarrow$  q1 = q2  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *poly-deg-hom-components*:  
**assumes** q1 ∈ *hom-components* p **and** q2 ∈ *hom-components* p  
**shows** *poly-deg* q1 = *poly-deg* q2  $\longleftrightarrow$  q1 = q2

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *hom-components-keys-disjoint*:

**assumes**  $q1 \in \text{hom-components } p$  **and**  $q2 \in \text{hom-components } p$  **and**  $q1 \neq q2$   
  **shows**  $\text{keys } q1 \cap \text{keys } q2 = \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Keys-hom-components*:  $\text{Keys}(\text{hom-components } p) = \text{keys } p$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lookup-hom-components*:  $q \in \text{hom-components } p \implies t \in \text{keys } q \implies \text{lookup}$

$q \ t = \text{lookup } p \ t$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-deg-hom-components-le*:

**assumes**  $q \in \text{hom-components } p$   
  **shows**  $\text{poly-deg } q \leq \text{poly-deg } p$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sum-hom-components*:  $\sum(\text{hom-components } p) = p$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *homogeneous-setI*:  $(\bigwedge a. n. a \in A \implies \text{hom-component } a \ n \in A) \implies \text{homogeneous-set } A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *homogeneous-setD*:  $\text{homogeneous-set } A \implies a \in A \implies \text{hom-component } a$

$n \in A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *homogeneous-set-Polys*:  $\text{homogeneous-set } (P[X]::(- \Rightarrow_0 'a::\text{zero}) \text{ set})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *homogeneous-set-IntI*:  $\text{homogeneous-set } A \implies \text{homogeneous-set } B \implies \text{homogeneous-set } (A \cap B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *homogeneous-setD-hom-components*:

**assumes**  $\text{homogeneous-set } A$  **and**  $a \in A$  **and**  $b \in \text{hom-components } a$   
  **shows**  $b \in A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zero-in-homogeneous-set*:

**assumes**  $\text{homogeneous-set } A$  **and**  $A \neq \{\}$   
  **shows**  $0 \in A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *homogeneous-ideal*:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge f. f \in F \implies \text{homogeneous } f$  **and**  $p \in \text{ideal } F$

**shows** hom-component  $p \in \text{ideal } F$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** homogeneous-set-homogeneous-ideal:

$(\bigwedge f. f \in F \implies \text{homogeneous } f) \implies \text{homogeneous-set } (\text{ideal } F)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** homogeneous-ideal':

**assumes**  $\bigwedge f. f \in F \implies \text{homogeneous } f$  **and**  $p \in \text{ideal } F$  **and**  $q \in \text{hom-components}$   
 $p$   
**shows**  $q \in \text{ideal } F$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** homogeneous-idealE-homogeneous:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge f. f \in F \implies \text{homogeneous } f$  **and**  $p \in \text{ideal } F$  **and**  $\text{homogeneous } p$   
**obtains**  $F' q$  **where**  $\text{finite } F'$  **and**  $F' \subseteq F$  **and**  $p = (\sum_{f \in F'} q f * f)$  **and**  $\bigwedge f.$   
 $\text{homogeneous } (q f)$   
**and**  $\bigwedge f. f \in F' \implies \text{poly-deg } (q f * f) = \text{poly-deg } p$  **and**  $\bigwedge f. f \notin F' \implies q f = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** homogeneous-idealE:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge f. f \in F \implies \text{homogeneous } f$  **and**  $p \in \text{ideal } F$   
**obtains**  $F' q$  **where**  $\text{finite } F'$  **and**  $F' \subseteq F$  **and**  $p = (\sum_{f \in F'} q f * f)$   
**and**  $\bigwedge f. \text{poly-deg } (q f * f) \leq \text{poly-deg } p$  **and**  $\bigwedge f. f \notin F' \implies q f = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** homogeneous-idealE-finite:

**assumes**  $\text{finite } F$  **and**  $\bigwedge f. f \in F \implies \text{homogeneous } f$  **and**  $p \in \text{ideal } F$   
**obtains**  $q$  **where**  $p = (\sum_{f \in F} q f * f)$  **and**  $\bigwedge f. \text{poly-deg } (q f * f) \leq \text{poly-deg } p$   
**and**  $\bigwedge f. f \notin F \implies q f = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 17.6.1 Homogenization and Dehomogenization

**definition** homogenize ::  $'x \Rightarrow (('x \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}) \Rightarrow_0 'a) \Rightarrow (('x \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}) \Rightarrow_0 'a::\text{semiring-1})$   
**where** homogenize  $x p = (\sum_{t \in \text{keys } p. \text{monomial}} (\text{lookup } p t) (\text{Poly-Mapping.single } x (\text{poly-deg } p - \text{deg-pm } t) + t))$

**definition** dehomo-subst ::  $'x \Rightarrow 'x \Rightarrow (('x \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}) \Rightarrow_0 'a::\text{zero-neq-one})$   
**where** dehomo-subst  $x = (\lambda y. \text{if } y = x \text{ then } 1 \text{ else monomial } 1 (\text{Poly-Mapping.single } y 1))$

**definition** dehomogenize ::  $'x \Rightarrow (('x \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}) \Rightarrow_0 'a) \Rightarrow (('x \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}) \Rightarrow_0 'a::\text{comm-semiring-1})$   
**where** dehomogenize  $x = \text{poly-subst } (\text{dehomo-subst } x)$

**lemma** homogenize-zero [simp]:  $\text{homogenize } x 0 = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *homogenize-uminus* [simp]:  $\text{homogenize } x (- p) = - \text{homogenize } x (p)$   
 $\Rightarrow_0 'a::\text{ring-1}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *homogenize-monom-mult* [simp]:  
 $\text{homogenize } x (\text{punit.monom-mult } c t p) = \text{punit.monom-mult } c t (\text{homogenize } x p)$   
**for**  $c :: 'a :: \{\text{semiring-1}, \text{semiring-no-zero-divisors-cancel}\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *homogenize-alt*:  
 $\text{homogenize } x p = (\sum_{q \in \text{hom-components } p. \text{punit.monom-mult } 1} (\text{Poly-Mapping.single } x (\text{poly-deg } p - \text{poly-deg } q)) q)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *keys-homogenizeE*:  
**assumes**  $t \in \text{keys } (\text{homogenize } x p)$   
**obtains**  $t' \text{ where } t' \in \text{keys } p \text{ and } t = \text{Poly-Mapping.single } x (\text{poly-deg } p - \text{deg-pm } t') + t'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *keys-homogenizeE-alt*:  
**assumes**  $t \in \text{keys } (\text{homogenize } x p)$   
**obtains**  $q t' \text{ where } q \in \text{hom-components } p \text{ and } t' \in \text{keys } q$   
**and**  $t = \text{Poly-Mapping.single } x (\text{poly-deg } p - \text{poly-deg } q) + t'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *deg-pm-homogenize*:  
**assumes**  $t \in \text{keys } (\text{homogenize } x p)$   
**shows**  $\text{deg-pm } t = \text{poly-deg } p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** *homogeneous-homogenize*:  $\text{homogeneous } (\text{homogenize } x p)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** *poly-deg-homogenize-le*:  $\text{poly-deg } (\text{homogenize } x p) \leq \text{poly-deg } p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *homogenize-id-iff* [simp]:  $\text{homogenize } x p = p \longleftrightarrow \text{homogeneous } p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *homogenize-homogenize* [simp]:  $\text{homogenize } x (\text{homogenize } x p) = \text{homogenize } x p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *homogenize-monomial*:  $\text{homogenize } x (\text{monomial } c t) = \text{monomial } c t$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *indets-homogenize-subset*:  $\text{indets } (\text{homogenize } x p) \subseteq \text{insert } x (\text{indets } p)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *homogenize-in-Polys*:  $p \in P[X] \implies \text{homogenize } x \ p \in P[\text{insert } x \ X]$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lookup-homogenize*:  
  **assumes**  $x \notin \text{indets } p$  **and**  $x \notin \text{keys } t$   
  **shows**  $\text{lookup}(\text{homogenize } x \ p) = \text{Poly-Mapping.single } x (\text{poly-deg } p - \text{deg-pm } t) + t = \text{lookup } p \ t$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *keys-homogenizeI*:  
  **assumes**  $x \notin \text{indets } p$  **and**  $t \in \text{keys } p$   
  **shows**  $\text{Poly-Mapping.single } x (\text{poly-deg } p - \text{deg-pm } t) + t \in \text{keys } (\text{homogenize } x \ p)$  (**is**  $?t \in \text{keys } ?p$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *keys-homogenize*:  
   $x \notin \text{indets } p \implies \text{keys } (\text{homogenize } x \ p) = (\lambda t. \text{Poly-Mapping.single } x (\text{poly-deg } p - \text{deg-pm } t) + t) \ ' \text{keys } p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-keys-homogenize*:  
  **assumes**  $x \notin \text{indets } p$   
  **shows**  $\text{card}(\text{keys } (\text{homogenize } x \ p)) = \text{card } (\text{keys } p)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-deg-homogenize*:  
  **assumes**  $x \notin \text{indets } p$   
  **shows**  $\text{poly-deg } (\text{homogenize } x \ p) = \text{poly-deg } p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *maxdeg-homogenize*:  
  **assumes**  $x \notin \bigcup (\text{indets } 'F)$   
  **shows**  $\text{maxdeg } (\text{homogenize } x \ 'F) = \text{maxdeg } F$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *homogeneous-ideal-homogenize*:  
  **assumes**  $\bigwedge f. f \in F \implies \text{homogeneous } f$  **and**  $p \in \text{ideal } F$   
  **shows**  $\text{homogenize } x \ p \in \text{ideal } F$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subst-pp-dehomo-subst* [*simp*]:  
   $\text{subst-pp } (\text{dehomo-subst } x) \ t = \text{monomial } (1::'b::\text{comm-semiring-1})$  (*except t {x}*)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  
  **shows**  $\text{dehomogenize-zero}$  [*simp*]:  $\text{dehomogenize } x \ 0 = 0$   
  **and**  $\text{dehomogenize-one}$  [*simp*]:  $\text{dehomogenize } x \ 1 = 1$

**and dehomogenize-monomial:**  $\text{dehomogenize } x \ (\text{monomial } c \ t) = \text{monomial } c$   
 (except  $t \ \{x\}$ )  
**and dehomogenize-plus:**  $\text{dehomogenize } x \ (p + q) = \text{dehomogenize } x \ p + \text{dehomogenize } x \ q$   
**and dehomogenize-uminus:**  $\text{dehomogenize } x \ (-r) = - \text{dehomogenize } x \ (r :: \Rightarrow_0 \text{-} :: \text{comm-ring-1})$   
**and dehomogenize-minus:**  $\text{dehomogenize } x \ (r - r') = \text{dehomogenize } x \ r - \text{dehomogenize } x \ r'$   
**and dehomogenize-times:**  $\text{dehomogenize } x \ (p * q) = \text{dehomogenize } x \ p * \text{dehomogenize } x \ q$   
**and dehomogenize-power:**  $\text{dehomogenize } x \ (p \wedge n) = \text{dehomogenize } x \ p \wedge n$   
**and dehomogenize-sum:**  $\text{dehomogenize } x \ (\text{sum } f A) = (\sum_{a \in A} \text{dehomogenize } x \ (f a))$   
**and dehomogenize-prod:**  $\text{dehomogenize } x \ (\text{prod } f A) = (\prod_{a \in A} \text{dehomogenize } x \ (f a))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary dehomogenize-monom-mult:**  
 $\text{dehomogenize } x \ (\text{punit.monom-mult } c \ t \ p) = \text{punit.monom-mult } c$  (except  $t \ \{x\}$ )  
 $(\text{dehomogenize } x \ p)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma poly-deg-dehomogenize-le:**  $\text{poly-deg} \ (\text{dehomogenize } x \ p) \leq \text{poly-deg } p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma indets-dehomogenize:**  $\text{indets} \ (\text{dehomogenize } x \ p) \subseteq \text{indets } p - \{x\}$   
**for**  $p :: ('x \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}) \Rightarrow_0 'a :: \text{comm-semiring-1}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma dehomogenize-id-iff [simp]:**  $\text{dehomogenize } x \ p = p \longleftrightarrow x \notin \text{indets } p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma dehomogenize-dehomogenize [simp]:**  $\text{dehomogenize } x \ (\text{dehomogenize } x \ p) = \text{dehomogenize } x \ p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma dehomogenize-homogenize [simp]:**  $\text{dehomogenize } x \ (\text{homogenize } x \ p) = \text{dehomogenize } x \ p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary dehomogenize-homogenize-id:**  $x \notin \text{indets } p \implies \text{dehomogenize } x \ (\text{homogenize } x \ p) = p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma range-dehomogenize:**  $\text{range} \ (\text{dehomogenize } x) = (P[- \{x\}] :: (- \Rightarrow_0 'a :: \text{comm-semiring-1}))$   
 $\text{set}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma dehomogenize-alt:**  $\text{dehomogenize } x \ p = (\sum_{t \in \text{keys } p} \text{monomial} \ (\text{lookup } p \ t))$

```

t) (except t {x}))
⟨proof⟩

lemma keys-dehomogenizeE:
assumes t ∈ keys (dehomogenize x p)
obtains s where s ∈ keys p and t = except s {x}
⟨proof⟩

lemma except-inj-on-keys-homogeneous:
assumes homogeneous p
shows inj-on (λt. except t {x}) (keys p)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lookup-dehomogenize:
assumes homogeneous p and t ∈ keys p
shows lookup (dehomogenize x p) (except t {x}) = lookup p t
⟨proof⟩

lemma keys-dehomogenizeI:
assumes homogeneous p and t ∈ keys p
shows except t {x} ∈ keys (dehomogenize x p)
⟨proof⟩

lemma homogeneous-homogenize-dehomogenize:
assumes homogeneous p
obtains d where d = poly-deg p – poly-deg (homogenize x (dehomogenize x p))
and punit.monom-mult 1 (Poly-Mapping.single x d) (homogenize x (dehomogenize x p)) = p
⟨proof⟩

lemma dehomogenize-zeroD:
assumes dehomogenize x p = 0 and homogeneous p
shows p = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma dehomogenize-ideal: dehomogenize x ` ideal F = ideal (dehomogenize x ` F) ∩ P[– {x}]
⟨proof⟩

corollary dehomogenize-ideal-subset: dehomogenize x ` ideal F ⊆ ideal (dehomogenize x ` F)
⟨proof⟩

lemma ideal-dehomogenize:
assumes ideal G = ideal (homogenize x ` F) and F ⊆ P[UNIV – {x}]
shows ideal (dehomogenize x ` G) = ideal F
⟨proof⟩

```

## 17.7 Embedding Polynomial Rings in Larger Polynomial Rings (With One Additional Indeterminate)

We define a homomorphism for embedding a polynomial ring in a larger polynomial ring, and its inverse. This is mainly needed for homogenizing wrt. a fresh indeterminate.

```

definition extend-indets-subst :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  ('x option  $\Rightarrow_0$  nat)  $\Rightarrow_0$  'a::comm-semiring-1
  where extend-indets-subst x = monomial 1 (Poly-Mapping.single (Some x) 1)

definition extend-indets :: (('x  $\Rightarrow_0$  nat)  $\Rightarrow_0$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  ('x option  $\Rightarrow_0$  nat)  $\Rightarrow_0$  'a::comm-semiring-1
  where extend-indets = poly-subst extend-indets-subst

definition restrict-indets-subst :: 'x option  $\Rightarrow$  'x  $\Rightarrow_0$  nat
  where restrict-indets-subst x = (case x of Some y  $\Rightarrow$  Poly-Mapping.single y 1 |
    -  $\Rightarrow$  0)

definition restrict-indets :: (('x option  $\Rightarrow_0$  nat)  $\Rightarrow_0$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  ('x  $\Rightarrow_0$  nat)  $\Rightarrow_0$  'a::comm-semiring-1
  where restrict-indets = poly-subst ( $\lambda$ x. monomial 1 (restrict-indets-subst x))

definition restrict-indets-pp :: ('x option  $\Rightarrow_0$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  ('x  $\Rightarrow_0$  nat)
  where restrict-indets-pp t = ( $\sum$  x  $\in$  keys t. lookup t x  $\cdot$  restrict-indets-subst x)

lemma lookup-extend-indets-subst-aux:
  lookup ( $\sum$  y  $\in$  keys t. Poly-Mapping.single (Some y) (lookup t y)) = ( $\lambda$ x. case x of
  Some y  $\Rightarrow$  lookup t y | -  $\Rightarrow$  0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma keys-extend-indets-subst-aux:
  keys ( $\sum$  y  $\in$  keys t. Poly-Mapping.single (Some y) (lookup t y)) = Some ‘keys t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma subst-pp-extend-indets-subst:
  subst-pp extend-indets-subst t = monomial 1 ( $\sum$  y  $\in$  keys t. Poly-Mapping.single
  (Some y) (lookup t y))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma keys-extend-indets:
  keys (extend-indets p) = ( $\lambda$ t.  $\sum$  y  $\in$  keys t. Poly-Mapping.single (Some y) (lookup
  t y)) ‘keys p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma indets-extend-indets: indets (extend-indets p) = Some ‘indets (p::-  $\Rightarrow_0$ 
'a::comm-semiring-1)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-deg-extend-indets [simp]: poly-deg (extend-indets p) = poly-deg p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma
```

```

shows extend-indets-zero [simp]: extend-indets 0 = 0
and extend-indets-one [simp]: extend-indets 1 = 1
and extend-indets-monomial: extend-indets (monomial c t) = punit.monom-mult
c 0 (subst-pp extend-indets-subst t)
and extend-indets-plus: extend-indets (p + q) = extend-indets p + extend-indets
q
and extend-indets-uminus: extend-indets (- r) = - extend-indets (r:- ⇒₀
-::comm-ring-1)
and extend-indets-minus: extend-indets (r - r') = extend-indets r - ex-
tend-indets r'
and extend-indets-times: extend-indets (p * q) = extend-indets p * extend-indets
q
and extend-indets-power: extend-indets (p ^ n) = extend-indets p ^ n
and extend-indets-sum: extend-indets (sum f A) = (∑ a∈A. extend-indets (f
a))
and extend-indets-prod: extend-indets (prod f A) = (∏ a∈A. extend-indets (f
a))
⟨proof⟩

lemma extend-indets-zero-iff [simp]: extend-indets p = 0 ↔ p = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma extend-indets-inject:
assumes extend-indets p = extend-indets (q:- ⇒₀ -::comm-ring-1)
shows p = q
⟨proof⟩

corollary inj-extend-indets: inj (extend-indets:- ⇒ - ⇒₀ -::comm-ring-1)
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-subst-extend-indets: poly-subst f (extend-indets p) = poly-subst (f ◦
Some) p
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-eval-extend-indets: poly-eval a (extend-indets p) = poly-eval (a ◦ Some)
p
⟨proof⟩

lemma lookup-restrict-indets-pp: lookup (restrict-indets-pp t) = (λx. lookup t (Some
x))
⟨proof⟩

lemma keys-restrict-indets-pp: keys (restrict-indets-pp t) = the ` (keys t - {None})
⟨proof⟩

lemma subst-pp-restrict-indets-subst:
subst-pp (λx. monomial 1 (restrict-indets-subst x)) t = monomial 1 (restrict-indets-pp
t)
⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** *restrict-indets-pp-zero* [simp]: *restrict-indets-pp 0 = 0*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *restrict-indets-pp-plus*: *restrict-indets-pp (s + t) = restrict-indets-pp s + restrict-indets-pp t*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *restrict-indets-pp-except-None* [simp]:  
*restrict-indets-pp (except t {None}) = restrict-indets-pp t*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *deg-pm-restrict-indets-pp*: *deg-pm (restrict-indets-pp t) + lookup t None = deg-pm t*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *keys-restrict-indets-subset*: *keys (restrict-indets p) ⊆ restrict-indets-pp ` keys p*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *keys-restrict-indets*:  
**assumes** *None ∈ indets p*  
**shows** *keys (restrict-indets p) = restrict-indets-pp ` keys p*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *indets-restrict-indets-subset*: *indets (restrict-indets p) ⊆ the ` (indets p - {None})*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *poly-deg-restrict-indets-le*: *poly-deg (restrict-indets p) ≤ poly-deg p*  
*(proof)*

**lemma**  
**shows** *restrict-indets-zero* [simp]: *restrict-indets 0 = 0*  
**and** *restrict-indets-one* [simp]: *restrict-indets 1 = 1*  
**and** *restrict-indets-monomial*: *restrict-indets (monomial c t) = monomial c (restrict-indets-pp t)*  
**and** *restrict-indets-plus*: *restrict-indets (p + q) = restrict-indets p + restrict-indets q*  
**and** *restrict-indets-uminus*: *restrict-indets (- r) = - restrict-indets (r :: - ⇒₀ -::: comm-ring-1)*  
**and** *restrict-indets-minus*: *restrict-indets (r - r') = restrict-indets r - restrict-indets r'*  
**and** *restrict-indets-times*: *restrict-indets (p \* q) = restrict-indets p \* restrict-indets q*  
**and** *restrict-indets-power*: *restrict-indets (p ^ n) = restrict-indets p ^ n*  
**and** *restrict-indets-sum*: *restrict-indets (sum f A) = (∑ a ∈ A. restrict-indets (f a))*  
**and** *restrict-indets-prod*: *restrict-indets (prod f A) = (∏ a ∈ A. restrict-indets (f a))*

*a))*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *restrict-extend-indets* [simp]: *restrict-indets* (*extend-indets p*) = *p*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *extend-restrict-indets*:  
**assumes** *None*  $\notin$  *indets p*  
**shows** *extend-indets* (*restrict-indets p*) = *p*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *restrict-indets-dehomogenize* [simp]: *restrict-indets* (*dehomogenize None p*)  
= *restrict-indets p*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**corollary** *restrict-indets-comp-dehomogenize*: *restrict-indets*  $\circ$  *dehomogenize None*  
= *restrict-indets*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**corollary** *extend-restrict-indets-eq-dehomogenize*:  
*extend-indets* (*restrict-indets p*) = *dehomogenize None p*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**corollary** *extend-indets-comp-restrict-indets*: *extend-indets*  $\circ$  *restrict-indets* = *de-*  
*homogenize None*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *restrict-homogenize-extend-indets* [simp]:  
*restrict-indets* (*homogenize None* (*extend-indets p*)) = *p*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *dehomogenize-extend-indets* [simp]: *dehomogenize None* (*extend-indets p*)  
= *extend-indets p*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *restrict-indets-ideal*: *restrict-indets* ‘ *ideal F* = *ideal* (*restrict-indets* ‘ *F*)  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *ideal-restrict-indets*:  
*ideal G* = *ideal* (*homogenize None* ‘ *extend-indets* ‘ *F*)  $\implies$  *ideal* (*restrict-indets*  
‘ *G*) = *ideal F*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *extend-indets-ideal*: *extend-indets* ‘ *ideal F* = *ideal* (*extend-indets* ‘ *F*)  $\cap$   
*P*[– {None}]  
*⟨proof⟩*

**corollary** *extend-indets-ideal-subset*: *extend-indets* ‘ *ideal F*  $\subseteq$  *ideal* (*extend-indets*  
‘ *F*)

$\langle proof \rangle$

## 17.8 Canonical Isomorphisms between $P[X, Y]$ and $P[X][Y]$ : focus and flatten

**definition** focus :: ' $x$  set  $\Rightarrow (('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a) \Rightarrow (('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 ('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a::comm-monoid-add)$

**where** focus  $X p = (\sum t \in keys p. monomial (monomial (lookup p t) (except t X)) (except t (- X)))$

**definition** flatten :: (' $a \Rightarrow_0 'a \Rightarrow_0 'b) \Rightarrow ('a::comm-powerprod \Rightarrow_0 'b::semiring-1)$   
**where** flatten  $p = (\sum t \in keys p. punit.monom-mult 1 t (lookup p t))$

**lemma** focus-superset:

**assumes** finite  $A$  and  $keys p \subseteq A$

**shows** focus  $X p = (\sum t \in A. monomial (monomial (lookup p t) (except t X)) (except t (- X)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** keys-focus:  $keys (focus X p) = (\lambda t. except t (- X)) ` keys p$

**lemma** keys-coeffs-focus-subset:

**assumes**  $c \in range (lookup (focus X p))$

**shows**  $keys c \subseteq (\lambda t. except t X) ` keys p$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** focus-in-Polys':

**assumes**  $p \in P[Y]$

**shows**  $focus X p \in P[Y \cap X]$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** focus-in-Polys:  $focus X p \in P[X]$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** focus-coeffs-subset-Polys':

**assumes**  $p \in P[Y]$

**shows**  $range (lookup (focus X p)) \subseteq P[Y - X]$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** focus-coeffs-subset-Polys:  $range (lookup (focus X p)) \subseteq P[- X]$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** lookup-focus-in-Polys:  $lookup (focus X p) t \in P[- X]$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** focus-zero [simp]:  $focus X 0 = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *focus-eq-zero-iff* [*iff*]: *focus X p = 0*  $\longleftrightarrow$  *p = 0*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *focus-one* [*simp*]: *focus X 1 = 1*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *focus-monomial*: *focus X (monomial c t) = monomial (monomial c (except t X)) (except t (- X))*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *focus-uminus* [*simp*]: *focus X (- p) = - focus X p*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *focus-plus*: *focus X (p + q) = focus X p + focus X q*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *focus-minus*: *focus X (p - q) = focus X p - focus X q*  
 $\langle proof \rangle \Rightarrow_0 ab\text{-group-add}$

**lemma** *focus-times*: *focus X (p \* q) = focus X p \* focus X q*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *focus-sum*: *focus X (sum f I) = (\sum i \in I. focus X (f i))*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *focus-prod*: *focus X (prod f I) = (\prod i \in I. focus X (f i))*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *focus-power* [*simp*]: *focus X (f ^ m) = focus X f ^ m*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *focus-Polys*:  
**assumes** *p ∈ P[X]*  
**shows** *focus X p = (\sum t \in keys p. monomial (monomial (lookup p t) 0) t)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *lookup-focus-Polys*: *p ∈ P[X] ⇒ lookup (focus X p) t = monomial (lookup p t) 0*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *focus-Polys-Compl*:  
**assumes** *p ∈ P[- X]*  
**shows** *focus X p = monomial p 0*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *focus-empty* [*simp*]: *focus {} p = monomial p 0*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *focus-Int*:

```

assumes  $p \in P[Y]$ 
shows  $\text{focus}(X \cap Y) p = \text{focus } X p$ 
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma range-focusD:
assumes  $p \in \text{range}(\text{focus } X)$ 
shows  $p \in P[X] \text{ and } \text{range}(\text{lookup } p) \subseteq P[-X] \text{ and } \text{lookup } p t \in P[-X]$ 
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma range-focusI:
assumes  $p \in P[X] \text{ and } \text{lookup } p \cdot \text{keys}(p :: - \Rightarrow_0 - \Rightarrow_0 - :: \text{semiring-1}) \subseteq P[-X]$ 
shows  $p \in \text{range}(\text{focus } X)$ 
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma inj-focus:  $\text{inj}((\text{focus } X) :: (('x \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}) \Rightarrow_0 'a :: \text{ab-group-add}) \Rightarrow -)$ 
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma flatten-superset:
assumes  $\text{finite } A \text{ and } \text{keys } p \subseteq A$ 
shows  $\text{flatten } p = (\sum_{t \in A} \text{punit.monom-mult } 1 t (\text{lookup } p t))$ 
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma keys-flatten-subset:  $\text{keys}(\text{flatten } p) \subseteq (\bigcup_{t \in \text{keys } p} (+) t \cdot \text{keys}(\text{lookup } p t))$ 
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma flatten-in-Polys:
assumes  $p \in P[X] \text{ and } \text{lookup } p \cdot \text{keys } p \subseteq P[Y]$ 
shows  $\text{flatten } p \in P[X \cup Y]$ 
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma flatten-zero [simp]:  $\text{flatten } 0 = 0$ 
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma flatten-one [simp]:  $\text{flatten } 1 = 1$ 
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma flatten-monomial:  $\text{flatten}(\text{monomial } c t) = \text{punit.monom-mult } 1 t c$ 
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma flatten-uminus [simp]:  $\text{flatten}(-p) = -\text{flatten}(p :: - \Rightarrow_0 - \Rightarrow_0 - :: \text{ring})$ 
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma flatten-plus:  $\text{flatten}(p + q) = \text{flatten } p + \text{flatten } q$ 
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma flatten-minus:  $\text{flatten}(p - q) = \text{flatten } p - \text{flatten}(q :: - \Rightarrow_0 - \Rightarrow_0 - :: \text{ring})$ 
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

```

**lemma** *flatten-times*:  $\text{flatten}(p * q) = \text{flatten } p * \text{flatten } (q :: - \Rightarrow_0 - \Rightarrow_0 'b :: \text{comm-semiring-1})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *flatten-monom-mult*:  
 $\text{flatten } (\text{punit.monom-mult } c t p) = \text{punit.monom-mult } 1 t (c * \text{flatten } (p :: - \Rightarrow_0 - \Rightarrow_0 'b :: \text{comm-semiring-1}))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *flatten-sum*:  $\text{flatten } (\text{sum } f I) = (\sum_{i \in I} \text{flatten } (f i))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *flatten-prod*:  $\text{flatten } (\text{prod } f I) = (\prod_{i \in I} \text{flatten } (f i :: - \Rightarrow_0 - :: \text{comm-semiring-1}))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *flatten-power [simp]*:  $\text{flatten } (f^m) = \text{flatten } (f :: - \Rightarrow_0 - :: \text{comm-semiring-1})^m$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *surj-flatten*: *surj flatten*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *flatten-focus [simp]*:  $\text{flatten } (\text{focus } X p) = p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *focus-flatten*:  
**assumes**  $p \in P[X]$  **and**  $\text{lookup } p \text{ `keys } p \subseteq P[-X]$   
**shows**  $\text{focus } X (\text{flatten } p) = p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *image-focus-ideal*:  $\text{focus } X \text{ `ideal } F = \text{ideal } (\text{focus } X \text{ `} F) \cap \text{range } (\text{focus } X)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *image-flatten-ideal*:  $\text{flatten } \text{`ideal } F = \text{ideal } (\text{flatten } \text{`} F)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-eval-focus*:  
 $\text{poly-eval } a (\text{focus } X p) = \text{poly-subst } (\lambda x. \text{ if } x \in X \text{ then } a x \text{ else monomial } 1 (Poly-Mapping.single x 1)) p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary** *poly-eval-poly-eval-focus*:  
 $\text{poly-eval } a (\text{poly-eval } b (\text{focus } X p)) = \text{poly-eval } (\lambda x :: 'x. \text{ if } x \in X \text{ then poly-eval } a (b x) \text{ else } a x) p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *indets-poly-eval-focus-subset*:  
 $\text{indets } (\text{poly-eval } a (\text{focus } X p)) \subseteq \bigcup (\text{indets } 'a \text{ `} X) \cup (\text{indets } p - X)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma lookup-poly-eval-focus:
  lookup (poly-eval (λx. monomial (a x) 0) (focus X p)) t = poly-eval a (lookup
  (focus (– X) p) t)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma keys-poly-eval-focus-subset:
  keys (poly-eval (λx. monomial (a x) 0) (focus X p)) ⊆ (λt. except t X) ‘ keys p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-eval-focus-in-Polys:
  assumes p ∈ P[X]
  shows poly-eval (λx. monomial (a x) 0) (focus Y p) ∈ P[X – Y]
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma image-poly-eval-focus-ideal:
  poly-eval (λx. monomial (a x) 0) ‘ focus X ‘ ideal F =
  ideal (poly-eval (λx. monomial (a x) 0) ‘ focus X ‘ F) ∩
  (P[– X]::((‘x ⇒₀ nat) ⇒₀ ‘a::comm-ring-1) set)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

## 17.9 Locale pm-powerprod

```

lemma varnum-eq-zero-iff: varnum X t = 0 ↔ t ∈ .[X]
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma dgrad-set-varnum: dgrad-set (varnum X) 0 = .[X]
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

context ordered-powerprod
begin

```

```

abbreviation lcf ≡ punit.lc
abbreviation tcf ≡ punit.tc
abbreviation lpp ≡ punit.lt
abbreviation tpp ≡ punit.tt

```

```

end

```

```

locale pm-powerprod =
  ordered-powerprod ord ord-strict
  for ord::(‘x::{countable,linorder} ⇒₀ nat) ⇒ (‘x ⇒₀ nat) ⇒ bool (infixl ‘≤’ 50)
    and ord-strict (infixl ‘≤’ 50)
begin

```

```

sublocale gd-powerprod ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma PPs-closed-lpp:
  assumes p ∈ P[X]

```

```

shows lpp p ∈ .[X]
⟨proof⟩

lemma PPs-closed-tpp:
assumes p ∈ P[X]
shows tpp p ∈ .[X]
⟨proof⟩

corollary PPs-closed-image-lpp: F ⊆ P[X] ⇒ lpp ` F ⊆ .[X]
⟨proof⟩

corollary PPs-closed-image-tpp: F ⊆ P[X] ⇒ tpp ` F ⊆ .[X]
⟨proof⟩

lemma hom-component-lpp:
assumes p ≠ 0
shows hom-component p (deg-pm (lpp p)) ≠ 0 (is ?p ≠ 0)
and lpp (hom-component p (deg-pm (lpp p))) = lpp p
⟨proof⟩

definition is-hom-ord :: 'x ⇒ bool
where is-hom-ord x ⇔ (forall s t. deg-pm s = deg-pm t → (s ≤ t ⇔ except s {x} ⊑ except t {x}))

lemma is-hom-ordD: is-hom-ord x ⇒ deg-pm s = deg-pm t ⇒ s ≤ t ⇔ except s {x} ⊑ except t {x}
⟨proof⟩

lemma dgrad-p-set-varnum: punit.dgrad-p-set (varnum X) 0 = P[X]
⟨proof⟩

end

```

We must create a copy of *pm-powerprod* to avoid infinite chains of interpretations.

```

instantiation option :: (linorder) linorder
begin

fun less-eq-option :: 'a option ⇒ 'a option ⇒ bool where
  less-eq-option None - = True |
  less-eq-option (Some x) None = False |
  less-eq-option (Some x) (Some y) = (x ≤ y)

definition less-option :: 'a option ⇒ 'a option ⇒ bool
where less-option x y ⇔ x ≤ y ∧ ¬ y ≤ x

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

```

```

locale extended-ord-pm-powerprod = pm-powerprod
begin

definition extended-ord :: ('a option  $\Rightarrow_0$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a option  $\Rightarrow_0$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where extended-ord s t  $\longleftrightarrow$  (restrict-indets-pp s  $\prec$  restrict-indets-pp t  $\vee$ 
    (restrict-indets-pp s = restrict-indets-pp t  $\wedge$  lookup s None  $\leq$ 
     lookup t None))

definition extended-ord-strict :: ('a option  $\Rightarrow_0$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a option  $\Rightarrow_0$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where extended-ord-strict s t  $\longleftrightarrow$  (restrict-indets-pp s  $\prec$  restrict-indets-pp t  $\vee$ 
    (restrict-indets-pp s = restrict-indets-pp t  $\wedge$  lookup s None <
     lookup t None))

sublocale extended-ord: pm-powerprod extended-ord extended-ord-strict
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma extended-ord-is-hom-ord: extended-ord.is-hom-ord None
  ⟨proof⟩

end

theory MPoly-Type-Univariate
imports
  More-MPoly-Type
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Polynomial
begin

  This file connects univariate MPolys to the theory of univariate polynomials from HOL-Computational-Algebra.Polynomial.

definition poly-to-mpoly::nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a::comm-monoid-add poly  $\Rightarrow$  'a mpoly
  where poly-to-mpoly v p = MPoly (Abs-poly-mapping ( $\lambda m.$  (coeff p (Poly-Mapping.lookup m v)) when Poly-Mapping.keys m  $\subseteq$  {v})))

lemma poly-to-mpoly-finite: finite {m::nat  $\Rightarrow_0$  nat. (coeff p (Poly-Mapping.lookup m v)) when Poly-Mapping.keys m  $\subseteq$  {v}}  $\neq 0\}$  (is finite ?M)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coeff-poly-to-mpoly: MPoly-Type.coeff (poly-to-mpoly v p) (Poly-Mapping.single v k) = Polynomial.coeff p k
  ⟨proof⟩

definition mpoly-to-poly::nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a::comm-monoid-add mpoly  $\Rightarrow$  'a poly
  where mpoly-to-poly v p = Abs-poly ( $\lambda k.$  MPoly-Type.coeff p (Poly-Mapping.single v k))

lemma coeff-mpoly-to-poly[simp]: Polynomial.coeff (mpoly-to-poly v p) k = MPoly-Type.coeff

```

```

 $p$  (Poly-Mapping.single v k)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mpoly-to-poly-inverse:
assumes vars p ⊆ {v}
shows poly-to-mpoly v (mpoly-to-poly v p) = p
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-to-mpoly-inverse: mpoly-to-poly v (poly-to-mpoly v p) = p
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-to-mpoly0: poly-to-mpoly v 0 = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma mpoly-to-poly-add: mpoly-to-poly v (p1 + p2) = mpoly-to-poly v p1 + mpoly-to-poly v p2
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-eq-insertion:
assumes vars p ⊆ {v}
shows poly (mpoly-to-poly v p) x = insertion (λv. x) p
⟨proof⟩

```

Using the new connection between MPoly and univariate polynomials, we can transfer:

```

lemma univariate-mpoly-roots-finite:
fixes p::'a::idom mpoly
assumes vars p ⊆ {v} p ≠ 0
shows finite {x. insertion (λv. x) p = 0}
⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 18 Polynomials

```

theory Polynomials
imports
Abstract-Rewriting.SN-Orders
Matrix.Utility
begin

```

### 18.1 Polynomials represented as trees

```

datatype (vars-tpoly: 'v', nums-tpoly: 'a')tpoly = PVar 'v' | PNum 'a' | PSum
('i'v, 'i'a)tpoly list | PMult ('i'v, 'i'a)tpoly list

type-synonym ('i'v, 'i'a)assign = 'v ⇒ 'a

```

```

primrec eval-tpoly :: ('v,'a:{monoid-add,monoid-mult})assign  $\Rightarrow$  ('v,'a)tpoly  $\Rightarrow$  'a
where eval-tpoly  $\alpha$  (PVar x) =  $\alpha$  x
      | eval-tpoly  $\alpha$  (PNum a) = a
      | eval-tpoly  $\alpha$  (PSum ps) = sum-list (map (eval-tpoly  $\alpha$ ) ps)
      | eval-tpoly  $\alpha$  (PMult ps) = prod-list (map (eval-tpoly  $\alpha$ ) ps)

```

## 18.2 Polynomials represented in normal form as lists of monomials

The internal representation of polynomials is a sum of products of monomials with coefficients where all coefficients are non-zero, and all monomials are different

Definition of type *monom*

**type-synonym** 'v monom-list = ('v × nat)list

- $[(x, n), (y, m)]$  represent  $x^n \cdot y^m$
- invariants: all powers are  $\geq 1$  and each variable occurs at most once  
hence:  $[(x, 1), (y, 2), (x, 2)]$  will not occur, but  $[(x, 3), (y, 2)]$ ;  $[(x, 1), (y, 0)]$  will not occur, but  $[(x, 1)]$

```

context linorder
begin
definition monom-inv :: 'a monom-list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  monom-inv m  $\equiv$  ( $\forall$  (x,n)  $\in$  set m.  $1 \leq n$ )  $\wedge$  distinct (map fst m)  $\wedge$  sorted (map fst m)

fun eval-monom-list :: ('a,'b :: comm-semiring-1)assign  $\Rightarrow$  ('a monom-list)  $\Rightarrow$  'b
where
  eval-monom-list  $\alpha$  [] = 1
  | eval-monom-list  $\alpha$  ((x,p) # m) = eval-monom-list  $\alpha$  m * ( $\alpha$  x) ^ p

lemma eval-monom-list[simp]: eval-monom-list  $\alpha$  (m @ n) = eval-monom-list  $\alpha$  m * eval-monom-list  $\alpha$  n
  ⟨proof⟩

definition sum-var-list :: 'a monom-list  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  sum-var-list m x  $\equiv$  sum-list (map (λ (y,c). if x = y then c else 0) m)

lemma sum-var-list-not: x  $\notin$  fst 'set m  $\Longrightarrow$  sum-var-list m x = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

  show that equality of monomials is equivalent to statement that all variables occur with the same (accumulated) power; afterwards properties like transitivity, etc. are easy to prove

lemma monom-inv-Cons: assumes monom-inv ((x,p) # m)

```

**and**  $y \leq x$  **shows**  $y \notin \text{fst} \setminus \text{set } m$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *eq-monom-sum-var-list*: **assumes** *monom-inv*  $m$  **and** *monom-inv*  $n$   
**shows**  $(m = n) = (\forall x. \text{sum-var-list } m x = \text{sum-var-list } n x)$  (**is**  $?l = ?r$ )  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

equality of monomials is also a complete for several carriers, e.g. the naturals, integers, where  $x^p = x^q$  implies  $p = q$ . note that it is not complete for carriers like the Booleans where e.g.  $x^{Suc(m)} = x^{Suc(n)}$  for all  $n, m$ .

**abbreviation** (*input*) *monom-list-vars* :: '*a monom-list*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a set*  
**where** *monom-list-vars*  $m \equiv \text{fst} \setminus \text{set } m$

**fun** *monom-mult-list* :: '*a monom-list*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a monom-list*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a monom-list* **where**  
*monom-mult-list* []  $n = n$   
 $| \text{monom-mult-list} ((x,p) \# m) n = (\text{case } n \text{ of}$   
 $Nil \Rightarrow (x,p) \# m$   
 $| (y,q) \# n' \Rightarrow \text{if } x = y \text{ then } (x,p + q) \# \text{monom-mult-list } m n' \text{ else}$   
 $\quad \text{if } x < y \text{ then } (x,p) \# \text{monom-mult-list } m n \text{ else } (y,q) \# \text{monom-mult-list}$   
 $((x,p) \# m) n')$

**lemma** *monom-list-mult-list-vars*: *monom-list-vars* (*monom-mult-list*  $m1\ m2$ ) =  
*monom-list-vars*  $m1 \cup \text{monom-list-vars } m2$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *monom-mult-list-inv*: *monom-inv*  $m1 \implies \text{monom-inv } m2 \implies \text{monom-inv}$   
(*monom-mult-list*  $m1\ m2$ )  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *monom-inv-ConsD*: *monom-inv*  $(x \# xs) \implies \text{monom-inv } xs$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sum-var-list-monom-mult-list*: *sum-var-list* (*monom-mult-list*  $m\ n$ )  $x =$   
*sum-var-list*  $m\ x + \text{sum-var-list } n\ x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *monom-mult-list-inj*: **assumes**  $m: \text{monom-inv } m$  **and**  $m1: \text{monom-inv } m1$   
**and**  $m2: \text{monom-inv } m2$   
**and** *eq*: *monom-mult-list*  $m\ m1 = \text{monom-mult-list } m\ m2$   
**shows**  $m1 = m2$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *monom-mult-list[simp]*: *eval-monom-list*  $\alpha$  (*monom-mult-list*  $m\ n$ ) = *eval-monom-list*  
 $\alpha\ m * \text{eval-monom-list } \alpha\ n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$   
**end**

**declare** *monom-mult-list.simps[simp del]*

```

typedef (overloaded) 'v monom = Collect (monom-inv :: 'v :: linorder monom-list
⇒ bool)
⟨proof⟩

setup-lifting type-definition-monom

lift-definition eval-monom :: ('v :: linorder, 'a :: comm-semiring-1) assign ⇒ 'v
monom ⇒ 'a
is eval-monom-list ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition sum-var :: 'v :: linorder monom ⇒ 'v ⇒ nat is sum-var-list ⟨proof⟩

instantiation monom :: (linorder) comm-monoid-mult
begin

lift-definition times-monom :: 'a monom ⇒ 'a monom ⇒ 'a monom is monom-mult-list
⟨proof⟩

lift-definition one-monom :: 'a monom is Nil
⟨proof⟩

instance
⟨proof⟩
end

lemma eq-monom-sum-var: m = n ←→ (∀ x. sum-var m x = sum-var n x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma eval-monom-mult[simp]: eval-monom α (m * n) = eval-monom α m *
eval-monom α n
⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-var-monom-mult: sum-var (m * n) x = sum-var m x + sum-var n x
⟨proof⟩

lemma monom-mult-inj: fixes m1 :: - monom
shows m * m1 = m * m2 ⇒ m1 = m2
⟨proof⟩

lemma one-monom-inv-sum-var-inv[simp]: sum-var 1 x = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma eval-monom-1[simp]: eval-monom α 1 = 1
⟨proof⟩

lift-definition var-monom :: 'v :: linorder ⇒ 'v monom is λ x. [(x, 1)]
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma var-monom-1[simp]: var-monom x ≠ 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eval-var-monom[simp]: eval-monom α (var-monom x) = α x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-var-monom-var: sum-var (var-monom x) y = (if x = y then 1 else 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

instantiation monom :: ({equal,linorder})equal
begin

lift-definition equal-monom :: 'a monom ⇒ 'a monom ⇒ bool is (=) ⟨proof⟩

instance ⟨proof⟩
end

Polynomials are represented with as sum of monomials multiplied by
some coefficient

type-synonym ('v,'a)poly = ('v monom × 'a)list

The polynomials we construct satisfy the following invariants:


- all coefficients are non-zero
- the monomial list is distinct

definition poly-inv :: ('v,'a :: zero)poly ⇒ bool
  where poly-inv p ≡ (forall c ∈ snd `set p. c ≠ 0) ∧ distinct (map fst p)

abbreviation eval-monomc where eval-monomc α mc ≡ eval-monom α (fst mc)
* (snd mc)

primrec eval-poly :: ('v :: linorder, 'a :: comm-semiring-1)assign ⇒ ('v,'a)poly ⇒
'a where
  eval-poly α [] = 0
  | eval-poly α (mc # p) = eval-monomc α mc + eval-poly α p

definition poly-const :: 'a :: zero ⇒ ('v :: linorder,'a)poly where
  poly-const a = (if a = 0 then [] else [(1,a)])

lemma poly-const[simp]: eval-poly α (poly-const a) = a
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-const-inv: poly-inv (poly-const a)
  ⟨proof⟩

fun poly-add :: ('v,'a)poly ⇒ ('v,'a :: semiring-0)poly ⇒ ('v,'a)poly where

```

```

poly-add [] q = q
| poly-add ((m,c) # p) q = (case List.extract ( $\lambda$  mc. fst mc = m) q of
  None  $\Rightarrow$  (m,c) # poly-add p q
  | Some (q1,(-,d),q2)  $\Rightarrow$  if (c+d = 0) then poly-add p (q1 @ q2) else (m,c+d) #
    poly-add p (q1 @ q2))

```

**lemma** eval-poly-append[simp]: eval-poly  $\alpha$  (mc1 @ mc2) = eval-poly  $\alpha$  mc1 + eval-poly  $\alpha$  mc2  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**abbreviation** poly-monoms :: ('v,'a)poly  $\Rightarrow$  'v monom set  
**where** poly-monoms p  $\equiv$  fst `set p

**lemma** poly-add-monoms: poly-monoms (**poly-add** p1 p2)  $\subseteq$  poly-monoms p1  $\cup$  poly-monoms p2  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** poly-add-inv: poly-inv p  $\implies$  poly-inv q  $\implies$  poly-inv (**poly-add** p q)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** poly-add[simp]: eval-poly  $\alpha$  (**poly-add** p q) = eval-poly  $\alpha$  p + eval-poly  $\alpha$  q  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**declare** poly-add.simps[simp del]

**fun** monom-mult-poly :: ('v :: linorder monom  $\times$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  ('v,'a :: semiring-0)poly  
 $\Rightarrow$  ('v,'a)poly **where**
 monom-mult-poly - [] = []
 | monom-mult-poly (m,c) ((m',d) # p) = (if c \* d = 0 then monom-mult-poly (m,c) p else (m \* m', c \* d) # monom-mult-poly (m,c) p)

**lemma** monom-mult-poly-inv: poly-inv p  $\implies$  poly-inv (monom-mult-poly (m,c) p)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** monom-mult-poly[simp]: eval-poly  $\alpha$  (monom-mult-poly mc p) = eval-monomc  $\alpha$  mc \* eval-poly  $\alpha$  p  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**declare** monom-mult-poly.simps[simp del]

**definition** poly-minus :: ('v :: linorder,'a :: ring-1)poly  $\Rightarrow$  ('v,'a)poly  $\Rightarrow$  ('v,'a)poly  
**where**
 poly-minus f g = **poly-add** f (monom-mult-poly (1,-1) g)

**lemma** poly-minus[simp]: eval-poly  $\alpha$  (poly-minus f g) = eval-poly  $\alpha$  f - eval-poly  $\alpha$  g  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma poly-minus-inv: poly-inv f  $\implies$  poly-inv g  $\implies$  poly-inv (poly-minus f g)
  <proof>

fun poly-mult :: ('v :: linorder, 'a :: semiring-0)poly  $\Rightarrow$  ('v,'a)poly  $\Rightarrow$  ('v,'a)poly
where
  poly-mult [] q = []
  | poly-mult (mc # p) q = poly-add (monom-mult-poly mc q) (poly-mult p q)

lemma poly-mult-inv: assumes p: poly-inv p and q: poly-inv q
  shows poly-inv (poly-mult p q)
  <proof>

lemma poly-mult[simp]: eval-poly α (poly-mult p q) = eval-poly α p * eval-poly α q
  <proof>

declare poly-mult.simps[simp del]

definition zero-poly :: ('v,'a)poly
where zero-poly  $\equiv$  []

lemma zero-poly-inv: poly-inv zero-poly <proof>

definition one-poly :: ('v :: linorder,'a :: semiring-1)poly where
  one-poly  $\equiv$  [(1,1)]

lemma one-poly-inv: poly-inv one-poly <proof>

lemma poly-one[simp]: eval-poly α one-poly = 1
  <proof>

lemma poly-zero-add: poly-add zero-poly p = p <proof>

lemma poly-zero-mult: poly-mult zero-poly p = zero-poly <proof>
  equality of polynomials

definition eq-poly :: ('v :: linorder, 'a :: comm-semiring-1)poly  $\Rightarrow$  ('v,'a)poly  $\Rightarrow$ 
  bool (infix <=p> 51)
where p =p q  $\equiv$   $\forall \alpha$ . eval-poly α p = eval-poly α q

lemma poly-one-mult: poly-mult one-poly p =p p
  <proof>

lemma eq-poly-refl[simp]: p =p p <proof>

lemma eq-poly-trans[trans]: [p1 =p p2; p2 =p p3]  $\implies$  p1 =p p3
  <proof>

lemma poly-add-comm: poly-add p q =p poly-add q p <proof>

```

```
lemma poly-add-assoc: poly-add p1 (poly-add p2 p3) =p poly-add (poly-add p1 p2)
p3 ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma poly-mult-comm: poly-mult p q =p poly-mult q p ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma poly-mult-assoc: poly-mult p1 (poly-mult p2 p3) =p poly-mult (poly-mult
p1 p2) p3 ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma poly-distrib: poly-mult p (poly-add q1 q2) =p poly-add (poly-mult p q1)
(poly-mult p q2) ⟨proof⟩
```

### 18.3 Computing normal forms of polynomials

```
fun
  poly-of :: ('v :: linorder, 'a :: comm-semiring-1)tpoly ⇒ ('v, 'a)poly
where poly-of (PNum i) = (if i = 0 then [] else [(1, i)])
  | poly-of (PVar x) = [(var-monom x, 1)]
  | poly-of (PSum []) = zero-poly
  | poly-of (PSum (p # ps)) = (poly-add (poly-of p) (poly-of (PSum ps)))
  | poly-of (PMult []) = one-poly
  | poly-of (PMult (p # ps)) = (poly-mult (poly-of p) (poly-of (PMult ps)))
```

evaluation is preserved by poly\_of

```
lemma poly-of: eval-poly α (poly-of p) = eval-tpoly α p
⟨proof⟩
```

poly\_of only generates polynomials that satisfy the invariant

```
lemma poly-of-inv: poly-inv (poly-of p)
⟨proof⟩
```

### 18.4 Powers and substitutions of polynomials

```
fun poly-power :: ('v :: linorder, 'a :: comm-semiring-1)poly ⇒ nat ⇒ ('v, 'a)poly
where
  poly-power - 0 = one-poly
  | poly-power p (Suc n) = poly-mult p (poly-power p n)
```

```
lemma poly-power[simp]: eval-poly α (poly-power p n) = (eval-poly α p) ^ n
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma poly-power-inv: assumes p: poly-inv p
shows poly-inv (poly-power p n)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
declare poly-power.simps[simp del]
```

```
fun monom-list-subst :: ('v ⇒ ('w :: linorder, 'a :: comm-semiring-1)poly) ⇒ 'v
monom-list ⇒ ('w, 'a)poly where
  monom-list-subst σ [] = one-poly
```

```

| monom-list-subst  $\sigma$  (( $x,p$ ) #  $m$ ) = poly-mult (poly-power ( $\sigma x$ )  $p$ ) (monom-list-subst  $\sigma m$ )

```

**lift-definition** monom-list :: ' $v$  :: linorder monom  $\Rightarrow$  ' $v$  monom-list **is**  $\lambda x. x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

definition monom-subst :: (' $v$  :: linorder  $\Rightarrow$  (' $w$  :: linorder,' $a$  :: comm-semiring-1)poly)
 $\Rightarrow$  ' $v$  monom  $\Rightarrow$  (' $w,' $a$ )poly where
  monom-subst  $\sigma m$  = monom-list-subst  $\sigma$  (monom-list  $m$ )$ 
```

**lemma** monom-list-subst-inv: **assumes** sub:  $\bigwedge x. poly\text{-inv} (\sigma x)$

**shows** poly-inv (monom-list-subst  $\sigma m$ )

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** monom-subst-inv: **assumes** sub:  $\bigwedge x. poly\text{-inv} (\sigma x)$

**shows** poly-inv (monom-subst  $\sigma m$ )

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** monom-subst[simp]: eval-poly  $\alpha$  (monom-subst  $\sigma m$ ) = eval-monom ( $\lambda v.$

eval-poly  $\alpha$  ( $\sigma v$ ))  $m$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

fun poly-subst :: (' $v$  :: linorder  $\Rightarrow$  (' $w$  :: linorder,' $a$  :: comm-semiring-1)poly)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  (' $v,' $a$ )poly  $\Rightarrow$  (' $w,' $a$ )poly where
  poly-subst  $\sigma []$  = zero-poly
| poly-subst  $\sigma ((m,c) \# p)$  = poly-add (poly-mult [(1,c)] (monom-subst  $\sigma m$ ))
  (poly-subst  $\sigma p$ )$$ 
```

**lemma** poly-subst-inv: **assumes** sub:  $\bigwedge x. poly\text{-inv} (\sigma x)$  **and**  $p: poly\text{-inv} p$

**shows** poly-inv (poly-subst  $\sigma p$ )

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** poly-subst: eval-poly  $\alpha$  (poly-subst  $\sigma p$ ) = eval-poly ( $\lambda v. eval\text{-poly} \alpha (\sigma v)$ )

$p$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** eval-poly-subst:

**assumes** eq:  $\bigwedge w. f w = eval\text{-poly} g (q w)$

**shows** eval-poly  $f p = eval\text{-poly} g (poly\text{-subst} q p)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lift-definition** monom-vars-list :: ' $v$  :: linorder monom  $\Rightarrow$  ' $v$  list **is** map fst  $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** monom-vars-list-subst: **assumes**  $\bigwedge w. w \in set (monom\text{-vars\text{-}list} m) \implies$

$f w = g w$

**shows** monom-subst  $f m = monom\text{-subst} g m$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** eval-monom-vars-list: **assumes**  $\bigwedge x. x \in set (monom\text{-vars\text{-}list} xs) \implies \alpha$

```

 $x = \beta x$ 
shows eval-monom  $\alpha$   $xs =$  eval-monom  $\beta$   $xs$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition monom-vars where monom-vars  $m =$  set (monom-vars-list  $m$ )

lemma monom-vars-list-1[simp]: monom-vars-list 1 = []
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma monom-vars-list-var-monom[simp]: monom-vars-list (var-monom  $x$ ) = [ $x$ ]
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma monom-vars-eval-monom:
 $(\bigwedge_m x. x \in \text{monom-vars } m \implies f x = g x) \implies \text{eval-monom } f m = \text{eval-monom } g m$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition poly-vars-list :: ('v :: linorder,'a)poly  $\Rightarrow$  'v list where
poly-vars-list  $p = \text{remdups} (\text{concat} (\text{map} (\text{monom-vars-list} o \text{fst}) p))$ 

definition poly-vars :: ('v :: linorder,'a)poly  $\Rightarrow$  'v set where
poly-vars  $p = \text{set} (\text{concat} (\text{map} (\text{monom-vars-list} o \text{fst}) p))$ 

lemma poly-vars-list[simp]: set (poly-vars-list  $p$ ) = poly-vars  $p$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma poly-vars: assumes eq:  $\bigwedge w. w \in \text{poly-vars } p \implies f w = g w$ 
shows poly-subst  $f p = \text{poly-subst } g p$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma poly-var: assumes pv:  $v \notin \text{poly-vars } p$  and diff:  $\bigwedge w. v \neq w \implies f w = g w$ 
shows poly-subst  $f p = \text{poly-subst } g p$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma eval-poly-vars: assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{poly-vars } p \implies \alpha x = \beta x$ 
shows eval-poly  $\alpha p = \text{eval-poly } \beta p$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

declare poly-subst.simps[simp del]

```

## 18.5 Polynomial orders

```

definition pos-assign :: ('v,'a :: ordered-semiring-0)assign  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where pos-assign  $\alpha = (\forall x. \alpha x \geq 0)$ 

```

```

definition poly-ge :: ('v :: linorder,'a :: poly-carrier)poly  $\Rightarrow$  ('v,'a)poly  $\Rightarrow$  bool
(infix  $\trianglelefteq_p$  51)
where  $p \geq_p q = (\forall \alpha. pos\text{-}assign \alpha \longrightarrow eval\text{-}poly \alpha p \geq eval\text{-}poly \alpha q)$ 

lemma poly-ge-refl[simp]:  $p \geq_p p$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-ge-trans[trans]:  $\llbracket p1 \geq_p p2; p2 \geq_p p3 \rrbracket \implies p1 \geq_p p3$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma pos-assign-monom-list: fixes  $\alpha :: ('v :: linorder, 'a :: poly-carrier)assign$ 
assumes pos: pos-assign  $\alpha$ 
shows eval-monom-list  $\alpha m \geq 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma pos-assign-monom: fixes  $\alpha :: ('v :: linorder, 'a :: poly-carrier)assign$ 
assumes pos: pos-assign  $\alpha$ 
shows eval-monom  $\alpha m \geq 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma pos-assign-poly: assumes pos: pos-assign  $\alpha$ 
and  $p: p \geq_p zero\text{-}poly$ 
shows eval-poly  $\alpha p \geq 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-add-ge-mono: assumes  $p1 \geq_p p2$  shows poly-add  $p1 q \geq_p poly\text{-}add p2 q$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-mult-ge-mono: assumes  $p1 \geq_p p2$  and  $q \geq_p zero\text{-}poly$ 
shows poly-mult  $p1 q \geq_p poly\text{-}mult p2 q$ 
⟨proof⟩

context poly-order-carrier
begin

definition poly-gt :: ('v :: linorder,'a)poly  $\Rightarrow$  ('v,'a)poly  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\triangleright_p$  51)
where  $p >_p q = (\forall \alpha. pos\text{-}assign \alpha \longrightarrow eval\text{-}poly \alpha p \succ eval\text{-}poly \alpha q)$ 

lemma poly-gt-imp-poly-ge:  $p >_p q \implies p \geq_p q$  ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation poly-GT :: ('v :: linorder,'a)poly rel
where poly-GT  $\equiv \{(p,q) \mid p q. p >_p q \wedge q \geq_p zero\text{-}poly\}$ 

lemma poly-compat:  $\llbracket p1 \geq_p p2; p2 >_p p3 \rrbracket \implies p1 >_p p3$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-compat2*:  $\llbracket p1 >_p p2; p2 \geq_p p3 \rrbracket \implies p1 >_p p3$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-gt-trans[trans]*:  $\llbracket p1 >_p p2; p2 >_p p3 \rrbracket \implies p1 >_p p3$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *poly-GT-SN*: *SN poly-GT*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
**end**

monotonicity of polynomials

**lemma** *eval-monom-list-mono*: **assumes**  $fg: \bigwedge x. (f :: ('v :: linorder, 'a :: poly-carrier) assign)$   
 $x \geq g x$   
**and**  $g: \bigwedge x. g x \geq 0$   
**shows** *eval-monom-list f m*  $\geq$  *eval-monom-list g m eval-monom-list g m*  $\geq 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *eval-monom-mono*: **assumes**  $fg: \bigwedge x. (f :: ('v :: linorder, 'a :: poly-carrier) assign)$   
 $x \geq g x$   
**and**  $g: \bigwedge x. g x \geq 0$   
**shows** *eval-monom f m*  $\geq$  *eval-monom g m eval-monom g m*  $\geq 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *poly-weak-mono-all* ::  $('v :: linorder, 'a :: poly-carrier) poly \Rightarrow bool$  **where**

$poly\text{-weak}\text{-mono}\text{-all } p \equiv \forall (\alpha :: ('v, 'a) assign) \beta. (\forall x. \alpha x \geq \beta x)$   
 $\longrightarrow pos\text{-assign } \beta \longrightarrow eval\text{-poly } \alpha p \geq eval\text{-poly } \beta p$

**lemma** *poly-weak-mono-all-E*: **assumes**  $p: poly\text{-weak}\text{-mono}\text{-all } p$  **and**  
 $ge: \bigwedge x. f x \geq_p g x \wedge g x \geq_p zero\text{-poly}$   
**shows** *poly-subst f p*  $\geq_p$  *poly-subst g p*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *poly-weak-mono* ::  $('v :: linorder, 'a :: poly-carrier) poly \Rightarrow 'v \Rightarrow bool$   
**where**  
 $poly\text{-weak}\text{-mono } p v \equiv \forall (\alpha :: ('v, 'a) assign) \beta. (\forall x. v \neq x \longrightarrow \alpha x = \beta x) \longrightarrow$   
 $pos\text{-assign } \beta \longrightarrow \alpha v \geq \beta v \longrightarrow eval\text{-poly } \alpha p \geq eval\text{-poly } \beta p$

**lemma** *poly-weak-mono-E*: **assumes**  $p: poly\text{-weak}\text{-mono } p$   
**and**  $fgw: \bigwedge w. v \neq w \implies f w = g w$   
**and**  $g: \bigwedge w. g w \geq_p zero\text{-poly}$   
**and**  $fgv: f v \geq_p g v$   
**shows** *poly-subst f p*  $\geq_p$  *poly-subst g p*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *poly-weak-anti-mono* ::  $('v :: linorder, 'a :: poly-carrier) poly \Rightarrow 'v \Rightarrow bool$

**where**

$\text{poly-weak-anti-mono } p \ v \equiv \forall (\alpha :: ('v,'a)\text{assign}) \beta. (\forall x. v \neq x \rightarrow \alpha x = \beta x) \rightarrow \text{pos-assign } \beta \rightarrow \alpha v \geq \beta v \rightarrow \text{eval-poly } \beta p \geq \text{eval-poly } \alpha p$

**lemma**  $\text{poly-weak-anti-mono-E}$ : **assumes**  $p: \text{poly-weak-anti-mono } p \ v$   
**and**  $\text{fgw}: \bigwedge w. v \neq w \implies f w = g w$   
**and**  $g: \bigwedge w. g w \geq_p \text{zero-poly}$   
**and**  $\text{fgv}: f v \geq_p g v$   
**shows**  $\text{poly-subst } g p \geq_p \text{poly-subst } f p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{poly-weak-mono}$ : **fixes**  $p :: ('v :: \text{linorder}, 'a :: \text{poly-carrier})\text{poly}$   
**assumes**  $\text{mono}: \bigwedge v. v \in \text{poly-vars } p \implies \text{poly-weak-mono } p v$   
**shows**  $\text{poly-weak-mono-all } p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{poly-weak-mono-all}$ : **fixes**  $p :: ('v :: \text{linorder}, 'a :: \text{poly-carrier})\text{poly}$   
**assumes**  $p: \text{poly-weak-mono-all } p$   
**shows**  $\text{poly-weak-mono } p v$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{poly-weak-mono-all-pos}$ :  
**fixes**  $p :: ('v :: \text{linorder}, 'a :: \text{poly-carrier})\text{poly}$   
**assumes**  $\text{pos-at-zero}: \text{eval-poly } (\lambda w. 0) p \geq 0$   
**and**  $\text{mono}: \text{poly-weak-mono-all } p$   
**shows**  $p \geq_p \text{zero-poly}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**context**  $\text{poly-order-carrier}$   
**begin**

**definition**  $\text{poly-strict-mono} :: ('v :: \text{linorder}, 'a)\text{poly} \Rightarrow 'v \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{poly-strict-mono } p v \equiv \forall (\alpha :: ('v,'a)\text{assign}) \beta. (\forall x. (v \neq x \rightarrow \alpha x = \beta x)) \rightarrow \text{pos-assign } \beta \rightarrow \alpha v \succ \beta v \rightarrow \text{eval-poly } \alpha p \succ \text{eval-poly } \beta p$

**lemma**  $\text{poly-strict-mono-E}$ : **assumes**  $p: \text{poly-strict-mono } p v$   
**and**  $\text{fgw}: \bigwedge w. v \neq w \implies f w = g w$   
**and**  $g: \bigwedge w. g w \geq_p \text{zero-poly}$   
**and**  $\text{fgv}: f v >_p g v$   
**shows**  $\text{poly-subst } f p >_p \text{poly-subst } g p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{poly-add-gt-mono}$ : **assumes**  $p1 >_p p2$  **shows**  $\text{poly-add } p1 q >_p \text{poly-add } p2 q$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{poly-mult-gt-mono}$ :  
**fixes**  $q :: ('v :: \text{linorder}, 'a)\text{poly}$   
**assumes**  $\text{gt}: p1 >_p p2$  **and**  $\text{mono}: q \geq_p \text{one-poly}$

```

shows poly-mult p1 q >p poly-mult p2 q
⟨proof⟩
end

```

## 18.6 Degree of polynomials

```

definition monom-list-degree :: 'v monom-list ⇒ nat where
  monom-list-degree xps ≡ sum-list (map snd xps)

```

```

lift-definition monom-degree :: 'v :: linorder monom ⇒ nat is monom-list-degree
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition poly-degree :: (-,'a) poly ⇒ nat where
  poly-degree p ≡ max-list (map (λ (m,c). monom-degree m) p)

```

```

definition poly-coeff-sum :: ('v,'a :: ordered-ab-semigroup) poly ⇒ 'a where
  poly-coeff-sum p ≡ sum-list (map (λ mc. max 0 (snd mc)) p)

```

```

lemma monom-list-degree: eval-monom-list (λ -. x) m = x ^ monom-list-degree m
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma monom-list-var-monom[simp]: monom-list (var-monom x) = [(x,1)]
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma monom-list-1[simp]: monom-list 1 = []
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma monom-degree: eval-monom (λ -. x) m = x ^ monom-degree m
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma poly-coeff-sum: poly-coeff-sum p ≥ 0
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma poly-degree: assumes x: x ≥ (1 :: 'a :: poly-carrier)
  shows poly-coeff-sum p * (x ^ poly-degree p) ≥ eval-poly (λ -. x) p
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma poly-degree-bound: assumes x: x ≥ (1 :: 'a :: poly-carrier)
  and c: c ≥ poly-coeff-sum p
  and d: d ≥ poly-degree p
  shows c * (x ^ d) ≥ eval-poly (λ -. x) p
⟨proof⟩

```

## 18.7 Executable and sufficient criteria to compare polynomials and ensure monotonicity

poly\_split extracts the coefficient for a given monomial and returns additionally the remaining polynomial

```

definition poly-split :: ('v monom) ⇒ ('v,'a :: zero)poly ⇒ 'a × ('v,'a)poly

```

```

where poly-split m p ≡ case List.extract (λ (n,-). m = n) p of None ⇒ (0,p) |
Some (p1,(-,c),p2) ⇒ (c, p1 @ p2)

lemma poly-split: assumes poly-split m p = (c,q)
shows p =p (m,c) # q
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-split-eval: assumes poly-split m p = (c,q)
shows eval-poly α p = (eval-monom α m * c) + eval-poly α q
⟨proof⟩

fun check-poly-eq :: ('v,'a :: semiring-0)poly ⇒ ('v,'a)poly ⇒ bool where
check-poly-eq [] q = (q = [])
| check-poly-eq ((m,c) # p) q = (case List.extract (λ nd. fst nd = m) q of
None ⇒ False
| Some (q1,(-,d),q2) ⇒ c = d ∧ check-poly-eq p (q1 @ q2))

lemma check-poly-eq: fixes p :: ('v :: linorder,'a :: poly-carrier)poly
assumes chk: check-poly-eq p q
shows p =p q ⟨proof⟩

declare check-poly-eq.simps[simp del]

fun check-poly-ge :: ('v,'a :: ordered-semiring-0)poly ⇒ ('v,'a)poly ⇒ bool where
check-poly-ge [] q = list-all (λ (-,d). 0 ≥ d) q
| check-poly-ge ((m,c) # p) q = (case List.extract (λ nd. fst nd = m) q of
None ⇒ c ≥ 0 ∧ check-poly-ge p q
| Some (q1,(-,d),q2) ⇒ c ≥ d ∧ check-poly-ge p (q1 @ q2))

lemma check-poly-ge: fixes p :: ('v :: linorder,'a :: poly-carrier)poly
shows check-poly-ge p q ⇒ p ≥p q
⟨proof⟩

declare check-poly-ge.simps[simp del]

definition check-poly-weak-mono-all :: ('v,'a :: ordered-semiring-0)poly ⇒ bool
where check-poly-weak-mono-all p ≡ list-all (λ (m,c). c ≥ 0) p

lemma check-poly-weak-mono-all: fixes p :: ('v :: linorder,'a :: poly-carrier)poly
assumes check-poly-weak-mono-all p shows poly-weak-mono-all p
⟨proof⟩

lemma check-poly-weak-mono-all-pos:
assumes check-poly-weak-mono-all p shows p ≥p zero-poly
⟨proof⟩

better check for weak monotonicity for discrete carriers: p is monotone
in v if p(…v + 1…) ≥ p(…v…)

```

```

definition check-poly-weak-mono-discrete :: ('v :: linorder,'a :: poly-carrier)poly  $\Rightarrow$ 
'v  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where check-poly-weak-mono-discrete p v  $\equiv$  check-poly-ge (poly-subst ( $\lambda$  w. poly-of
(if w = v then PSum [PNum 1, PVar v] else PVar w)) p) p

definition check-poly-weak-mono-and-pos :: bool  $\Rightarrow$  ('v :: linorder,'a :: poly-carrier)poly
 $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where check-poly-weak-mono-and-pos discrete p  $\equiv$ 
    if discrete then list-all ( $\lambda$  v. check-poly-weak-mono-discrete p v)
(poly-vars-list p)  $\wedge$  eval-poly ( $\lambda$  w. 0) p  $\geq$  0
    else check-poly-weak-mono-all p

definition check-poly-weak-anti-mono-discrete :: ('v :: linorder,'a :: poly-carrier)poly
 $\Rightarrow$  'v  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where check-poly-weak-anti-mono-discrete p v  $\equiv$  check-poly-ge p (poly-subst ( $\lambda$ 
w. poly-of (if w = v then PSum [PNum 1, PVar v] else PVar w)) p)

context poly-order-carrier
begin

lemma check-poly-weak-mono-discrete:
  fixes v :: 'v :: linorder and p :: ('v,'a)poly
  assumes discrete and check: check-poly-weak-mono-discrete p v
  shows poly-weak-mono p v
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma check-poly-weak-anti-mono-discrete:
  fixes v :: 'v :: linorder and p :: ('v,'a)poly
  assumes discrete and check: check-poly-weak-anti-mono-discrete p v
  shows poly-weak-anti-mono p v
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma check-poly-weak-mono-and-pos:
  fixes p :: ('v :: linorder,'a)poly
  assumes check-poly-weak-mono-and-pos discrete p
  shows poly-weak-mono-all p  $\wedge$  (p  $\geq$  zero-poly)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

definition check-poly-weak-mono :: ('v :: linorder,'a :: ordered-semiring-0)poly  $\Rightarrow$ 
'v  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where check-poly-weak-mono p v  $\equiv$  list-all ( $\lambda$  (m,c). c  $\geq$  0  $\vee$  v  $\notin$  monom-vars
m) p

lemma check-poly-weak-mono: fixes p :: ('v :: linorder,'a :: poly-carrier)poly
  assumes check-poly-weak-mono p v shows poly-weak-mono p v
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition check-poly-weak-mono-smart :: bool  $\Rightarrow$  ('v :: linorder, 'a :: poly-carrier)poly
 $\Rightarrow$  'v  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where check-poly-weak-mono-smart discrete  $\equiv$  if discrete then check-poly-weak-mono-discrete
else check-poly-weak-mono

lemma (in poly-order-carrier) check-poly-weak-mono-smart: fixes p :: ('v :: linorder, 'a
:: poly-carrier)poly
shows check-poly-weak-mono-smart discrete p v  $\Longrightarrow$  poly-weak-mono p v
⟨proof⟩

definition check-poly-weak-anti-mono :: ('v :: linorder, 'a :: ordered-semiring-0)poly
 $\Rightarrow$  'v  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where check-poly-weak-anti-mono p v  $\equiv$  list-all ( $\lambda$  (m,c). 0  $\geq$  c  $\vee$  v  $\notin$  monom-vars
m) p

lemma check-poly-weak-anti-mono: fixes p :: ('v :: linorder, 'a :: poly-carrier)poly
assumes check-poly-weak-anti-mono p v shows poly-weak-anti-mono p v
⟨proof⟩

definition check-poly-weak-anti-mono-smart :: bool  $\Rightarrow$  ('v :: linorder, 'a :: poly-carrier)poly
 $\Rightarrow$  'v  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where check-poly-weak-anti-mono-smart discrete  $\equiv$  if discrete then check-poly-weak-anti-mono-discrete
else check-poly-weak-anti-mono

lemma (in poly-order-carrier) check-poly-weak-anti-mono-smart: fixes p :: ('v :: linorder, 'a :: poly-carrier)poly
shows check-poly-weak-anti-mono-smart discrete p v  $\Longrightarrow$  poly-weak-anti-mono p
v
⟨proof⟩

definition check-poly-gt :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('v :: linorder, 'a :: ordered-semiring-0)poly
 $\Rightarrow$  ('v, 'a)poly  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where check-poly-gt gt p q  $\equiv$  let (a1,p1) = poly-split 1 p; (b1,q1) = poly-split 1 q
in gt a1 b1  $\wedge$  check-poly-ge p1 q1

fun univariate-power-list :: 'v  $\Rightarrow$  'v monom-list  $\Rightarrow$  nat option where
  univariate-power-list x [(y,n)] = (if x = y then Some n else None)
| univariate-power-list - - = None

lemma univariate-power-list: assumes monom-inv m univariate-power-list x m =
Some n
shows sum-var-list m = ( $\lambda$  y. if x = y then n else 0)
eval-monom-list α m = ((α x)  $\hat{n}$ )
n  $\geq$  1
⟨proof⟩

lift-definition univariate-power :: 'v :: linorder  $\Rightarrow$  'v monom  $\Rightarrow$  nat option
is univariate-power-list ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma univariate-power: assumes univariate-power x m = Some n
  shows sum-var m = ( $\lambda y. \text{if } x = y \text{ then } n \text{ else } 0$ )
    eval-monom  $\alpha$  m = (( $\alpha$  x)  $\hat{n}$ )
     $n \geq 1$ 
  {proof}

lemma univariate-power-var-monom: univariate-power y (var-monom x) = (if x
= y then Some 1 else None)
  {proof}

definition check-monom-strict-mono :: bool  $\Rightarrow$  'v :: linorder monom  $\Rightarrow$  'v  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  check-monom-strict-mono pm m v  $\equiv$  case univariate-power v m of
    Some p  $\Rightarrow$  pm  $\vee$  p = 1
    | None  $\Rightarrow$  False

definition check-poly-strict-mono :: bool  $\Rightarrow$  ('v :: linorder, 'a :: poly-carrier)poly
 $\Rightarrow$  'v  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where check-poly-strict-mono pm p v  $\equiv$  list-ex ( $\lambda (m,c)$ . (c  $\geq$  1)  $\wedge$  check-monom-strict-mono
pm m v) p

definition check-poly-strict-mono-discrete :: ('a :: poly-carrier  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$ 
('v :: linorder, 'a)poly  $\Rightarrow$  'v  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where check-poly-strict-mono-discrete gt p v  $\equiv$  check-poly-gt gt (poly-subst ( $\lambda w.$ 
poly-of (if w = v then PSum [PNum 1, PVar v] else PVar w)) p) p

definition check-poly-strict-mono-smart :: bool  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\Rightarrow$  ('a :: poly-carrier  $\Rightarrow$  'a
 $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('v :: linorder, 'a)poly  $\Rightarrow$  'v  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where check-poly-strict-mono-smart discrete pm gt p v  $\equiv$ 
  if discrete then check-poly-strict-mono-discrete gt p v else check-poly-strict-mono
pm p v

context poly-order-carrier
begin

lemma check-monom-strict-mono: fixes  $\alpha$   $\beta$  :: ('v :: linorder, 'a)assign and v :: 'v
and m :: 'v monom
  assumes check: check-monom-strict-mono power-mono m v
  and gt:  $\alpha$  v  $\succ$   $\beta$  v
  and ge:  $\beta$  v  $\geq$  0
  shows eval-monom  $\alpha$  m  $\succ$  eval-monom  $\beta$  m
  {proof}

lemma check-poly-strict-mono:
  assumes check1: check-poly-strict-mono power-mono p v
  and check2: check-poly-weak-mono-all p
  shows poly-strict-mono p v
  {proof}

```

```

lemma check-poly-gt:
  fixes p :: ('v :: linorder,'a)poly
  assumes check-poly-gt gt p q shows p > p q
  {proof}

lemma check-poly-strict-mono-discrete:
  fixes v :: 'v :: linorder and p :: ('v,'a)poly
  assumes discrete and check: check-poly-strict-mono-discrete gt p v
  shows poly-strict-mono p v
  {proof}

lemma check-poly-strict-mono-smart:
  assumes check1: check-poly-strict-mono-smart discrete power-mono gt p v
  and check2: check-poly-weak-mono-and-pos discrete p
  shows poly-strict-mono p v
  {proof}

end

end

```

## 19 Displaying Polynomials

```

theory Show-Polynomials
imports
  Polynomials
  Show.Show-Instances
begin

fun shows-monom-list :: ('v :: {linorder,show})monom-list ⇒ string ⇒ string
where
  shows-monom-list [(x,p)] = (if p = 1 then shows x else shows x +@+ shows-string
  "'^'" +@+ shows p)
  | shows-monom-list ((x,p) # m) = ((if p = 1 then shows x else shows x +@+
  shows-string "'^'" +@+ shows p) +@+ shows-string "'*' +@+ shows-monom-list
  m)
  | shows-monom-list [] = shows-string "1"

instantiation monom :: ({linorder,show}) show
begin

lift-definition shows-prec-monom :: nat ⇒ 'a monom ⇒ shows is λ n. shows-monom-list
  {proof}

lemma shows-prec-monom-append [show-law-simps]:
  shows-prec d (m :: 'a monom) (r @ s) = shows-prec d m r @ s
  {proof}

definition shows-list (ts :: 'a monom list) = shows-list shows-prec 0 ts

```

```

instance ⟨proof⟩
end

fun shows-poly :: ('v :: {show,linorder},'a :: {one,show})poly ⇒ string ⇒ string
where
  shows-poly [] = shows-string "0"
  | shows-poly ((m,c) # p) = ((if c = 1 then shows m else if m = 1 then shows c
  else shows c +@+
    shows-string "*" +@+ shows m) +@+ (if p = [] then shows-string [] else
  shows-string "+" +@+ shows-poly p))
end

```

## 20 Monotonicity criteria of Neurauter, Zankl, and Middeldorp

```

theory NZM
imports Abstract–Rewriting.SN-Order-Carrier Polynomials
begin

```

We show that our check on monotonicity is strong enough to capture the exact criterion for polynomials of degree 2 that is presented in [3]:

- $ax^2 + bx + c$  is monotone if  $b + a > 0$  and  $a \geq 0$
- $ax^2 + bx + c$  is weakly monotone if  $b + a \geq 0$  and  $a \geq 0$

```

lemma var-monom-x-x [simp]: var-monom x * var-monom x ≠ 1
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma monom-list-x-x[simp]: monom-list (var-monom x * var-monom x) = [(x,2)]
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma assumes b: b + a > 0 and a: (a :: int) ≥ 0
shows check-poly-strict-mono-discrete (>) (poly-of (PSum [PNum c, PMult [PNum
b, PVar x], PMult [PNum a, PVar x, PVar x]]]) x
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma assumes b: b + a ≥ 0 and a: (a :: int) ≥ 0
shows check-poly-weak-mono-discrete (poly-of (PSum [PNum c, PMult [PNum
b, PVar x], PMult [PNum a, PVar x, PVar x]]]) x
⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

```

## References

- [1] D. Lankford. On proving term rewriting systems are Noetherian. Technical Report MTP-3, Louisiana Technical University, Ruston, LA, USA, 1979.
- [2] S. Lucas. Polynomials over the reals in proofs of termination: From theory to practice. *RAIRO Theoretical Informatics and Applications*, 39(3):547–586, 2005.
- [3] F. Neurauter, H. Zankl, and A. Middeldorp. Monotonicity criteria for polynomial interpretations over the naturals. In *Proceedings of the 5th International Joint Conference on Automated Reasoning*, LNAI 6173, pages 502–517, 2010.
- [4] L. Robbiano. On the Theory of Graded Structures. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 2:138–170, 1985.
- [5] R. Thiemann and C. Sternagel. Certification of termination proofs using CeTA. In *Proc. TPHOLs’09*, LNCS 5674, pages 452–468, 2009.