

Polynomial Factorization*

René Thiemann and Akihisa Yamada

March 17, 2025

Abstract

Based on existing libraries for polynomial interpolation and matrices, we formalized several factorization algorithms for polynomials, including Kronecker’s algorithm for integer polynomials, Yun’s square-free factorization algorithm for field polynomials, and a factorization algorithm which delivers root-free polynomials.

As side products, we developed division algorithms for polynomials over integral domains, as well as primality-testing and prime-factorization algorithms for integers.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Missing List	3
1.2	Partitions	4
1.3	merging functions	5
2	Preliminaries	18
2.1	Missing Multiset	18
2.2	Precomputation	19
2.3	Order of Polynomial Roots	20
3	Explicit Formulas for Roots	21
4	Division of Polynomials over Integers	23
5	More on Polynomials	25
6	Gauss Lemma	28
7	Prime Factorization	32
7.1	Definitions	32
7.2	Proofs	33

*Supported by FWF (Austrian Science Fund) project Y757.

8	Rational Root Test	36
9	Kronecker Factorization	37
9.1	Definitions	37
9.2	Code setup for divisors	38
9.3	Proofs	38
10	Polynomial Divisibility	40
10.1	Fundamental Theorem of Algebra for Factorizations	41
11	Square Free Factorization	42
11.1	Yun's factorization algorithm	44
11.2	Yun factorization and homomorphisms	51
12	GCD of rational polynomials via GCD for integer polynomials	52
13	Rational Factorization	53

1 Introduction

The details of the factorization algorithms have mostly been extracted from Knuth's Art of Computer Programming [1]. Also Wikipedia provided valuable help.

As a first fast preprocessing for factorization we integrated Yun's factorization algorithm which identifies duplicate factors [2]. In contrast to the existing formalized result that the GCD of p and p' has no duplicate factors (and the same roots as p), Yun's algorithm decomposes a polynomial p into $p_1^1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_n^n$ such that no p_i has a duplicate factor and there is no common factor of p_i and p_j for $i \neq j$. As a comparison, the GCD of p and p' is exactly $p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_n$, but without decomposing this product into the list of p_i 's.

Factorization over \mathbb{Q} is reduced to factorization over \mathbb{Z} with the help of Gauss' Lemma.

Kronecker's algorithm for factorization over \mathbb{Z} requires both polynomial interpolation over \mathbb{Z} and prime factorization over \mathbb{N} . Whereas the former is available as a separate AFP-entry, for prime factorization we mechanized a simple algorithm depicted in [1]: For a given number n , the algorithm iteratively checks divisibility by numbers until \sqrt{n} , with some optimizations: it uses a precomputed set of small primes (all primes up to 1000), and if $n \bmod 30 = 11$, the next test candidates in the range $[n, n + 30)$ are only the 8 numbers $n, n + 2, n + 6, n + 8, n + 12, n + 18, n + 20, n + 26$.

However, in theory and praxis it turned out that Kronecker's algorithm is too inefficient. Therefore, in a separate AFP-entry we formalized the Berlekamp-Zassenhaus factorization.¹

There also is a combined factorization algorithm: For polynomials of degree 2, the closed form for the roots of quadratic polynomials is applied. For polynomials of degree 3, the rational root test determines whether the polynomial is irreducible or not, and finally for degree 4 and higher, Kronecker's factorization algorithm is applied.

1.1 Missing List

The provides some standard algorithms and lemmas on lists.

theory *Missing-List*

imports

Matrix.Utility

HOL-Library.Monad-Syntax

begin

fun *concat-lists* :: 'a list list \Rightarrow 'a list list **where**

concat-lists [] = [[]]

| *concat-lists* (as # xs) = *concat* (*map* (λ vec. *map* (λ a. a # vec) as) (*concat-lists* xs))

lemma *concat-lists-listset*: *set* (*concat-lists* xs) = *listset* (*map set* xs)

<proof>

lemma *sum-list-concat*: *sum-list* (*concat* ls) = *sum-list* (*map sum-list* ls)

<proof>

lemma *listset*: *listset* xs = { ys. *length* ys = *length* xs \wedge (\forall i < *length* xs. ys ! i \in xs ! i)}

<proof>

lemma *set-concat-lists[simp]*: *set* (*concat-lists* xs) = {as. *length* as = *length* xs \wedge (\forall i < *length* xs. as ! i \in *set* (xs ! i))}

<proof>

declare *concat-lists.simps[simp del]*

fun *find-map-filter* :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'b option **where**

find-map-filter f p [] = None

| *find-map-filter* f p (a # as) = (let b = f a in if p b then Some b else *find-map-filter* f p as)

¹The Berlekamp-Zassenhaus AFP-entry was originally not present and at that time, this AFP-entry contained an implementation of Berlekamp-Zassenhaus as a non-certified function.

lemma *find-map-filter-Some*: $\text{find-map-filter } f \text{ } p \text{ } as = \text{Some } b \implies p \text{ } b \wedge b \in f \text{ ' } \text{set } as$

<proof>

lemma *find-map-filter-None*: $\text{find-map-filter } f \text{ } p \text{ } as = \text{None} \implies \forall b \in f \text{ ' } \text{set } as. \neg p \text{ } b$

<proof>

lemma *remdups-adj-sorted-distinct[simp]*: $\text{sorted } xs \implies \text{distinct } (\text{remdups-adj } xs)$

<proof>

lemma *subseqs-length-simple*:

assumes $b \in \text{set } (\text{subseqs } xs)$ **shows** $\text{length } b \leq \text{length } xs$

<proof>

lemma *subseqs-length-simple-False*:

assumes $b \in \text{set } (\text{subseqs } xs)$ $\text{length } xs < \text{length } b$ **shows** *False*

<proof>

lemma *empty-subseqs[simp]*: $[] \in \text{set } (\text{subseqs } xs)$ *<proof>*

lemma *full-list-subseqs*: $\{ys. ys \in \text{set } (\text{subseqs } xs) \wedge \text{length } ys = \text{length } xs\} = \{xs\}$

<proof>

lemma *nth-concat-split*: **assumes** $i < \text{length } (\text{concat } xs)$

shows $\exists j \ k. j < \text{length } xs \wedge k < \text{length } (xs ! j) \wedge \text{concat } xs ! i = xs ! j ! k$

<proof>

lemma *nth-concat-diff*: **assumes** $i1 < \text{length } (\text{concat } xs)$ $i2 < \text{length } (\text{concat } xs)$ $i1 \neq i2$

shows $\exists j1 \ k1 \ j2 \ k2. (j1, k1) \neq (j2, k2) \wedge j1 < \text{length } xs \wedge j2 < \text{length } xs$
 $\wedge k1 < \text{length } (xs ! j1) \wedge k2 < \text{length } (xs ! j2)$

$\wedge \text{concat } xs ! i1 = xs ! j1 ! k1 \wedge \text{concat } xs ! i2 = xs ! j2 ! k2$

<proof>

lemma *list-all2-map-map*: $(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies R \text{ } (f \text{ } x) \text{ } (g \text{ } x)) \implies \text{list-all2 } R \text{ } (\text{map } f \text{ } xs) \text{ } (\text{map } g \text{ } xs)$

<proof>

1.2 Partitions

Check whether a list of sets forms a partition, i.e., whether the sets are pairwise disjoint.

definition *is-partition* :: $('a \text{ set}) \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

is-partition $cs \iff (\forall j < \text{length } cs. \forall i < j. cs ! i \cap cs ! j = \{\})$

definition *is-partition-alt* :: ('a set) list \Rightarrow bool **where**
is-partition-alt cs \longleftrightarrow (\forall i j. i < length cs \wedge j < length cs \wedge i \neq j \longrightarrow cs!i \cap cs!j = {})

lemma *is-partition-alt*: *is-partition* = *is-partition-alt*
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *is-partition-Nil*:
is-partition [] = True \langle proof \rangle

lemma *is-partition-Cons*:
is-partition (x#xs) \longleftrightarrow *is-partition* xs \wedge x \cap \bigcup (set xs) = {} (**is** ?l = ?r)
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *is-partition-sublist*:
assumes *is-partition* (us @ xs @ ys @ zs @ vs)
shows *is-partition* (xs @ zs)
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *is-partition-inj-map*:
assumes *is-partition* xs
and *inj-on* f (\bigcup x \in set xs. x)
shows *is-partition* (map ((\cdot) f) xs)
 \langle proof \rangle

context
begin

private fun *is-partition-impl* :: 'a set list \Rightarrow 'a set option **where**
is-partition-impl [] = Some {}
| *is-partition-impl* (as # rest) = do {
 all \leftarrow *is-partition-impl* rest;
 if as \cap all = {} then Some (all \cup as) else None
}

lemma *is-partition-code*[code]: *is-partition* as = (*is-partition-impl* as \neq None)
 \langle proof \rangle
end

lemma *case-prod-partition*:
case-prod f (partition p xs) = f (filter p xs) (filter (Not \circ p) xs)
 \langle proof \rangle

lemmas *map-id*[simp] = *list.map-id*

1.3 merging functions

definition *fun-merge* :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b)list \Rightarrow 'a set list \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b
where *fun-merge* fs as a \equiv (fs ! (LEAST i. i < length as \wedge a \in as ! i)) a

lemma *fun-merge*: **assumes**

i: $i < \text{length } as$

and *a*: $a \in as ! i$

and *ident*: $\bigwedge i j a. i < \text{length } as \implies j < \text{length } as \implies a \in as ! i \implies a \in as ! j$
 $\implies (fs ! i) a = (fs ! j) a$

shows *fun-merge* $fs \ as \ a = (fs ! i) \ a$

<proof>

lemma *fun-merge-part*: **assumes**

part: *is-partition* as

and *i*: $i < \text{length } as$

and *a*: $a \in as ! i$

shows *fun-merge* $fs \ as \ a = (fs ! i) \ a$

<proof>

lemma *map-nth-conv*: $\text{map } f \ ss = \text{map } g \ ts \implies \forall i < \text{length } ss. f(ss!i) = g(ts!i)$

<proof>

lemma *distinct-take-drop*:

assumes *dist*: *distinct* vs **and** *len*: $i < \text{length } vs$ **shows** *distinct*(*take* $i \ vs \ @ \ \text{drop}$
(*Suc* i) vs) (**is** *distinct*($?xs @ ?ys$))

<proof>

lemma *map-nth-eq-conv*:

assumes *len*: $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys$

shows $(\text{map } f \ xs = \text{map } g \ ys) = (\forall i < \text{length } ys. f(xs ! i) = g(ys ! i))$ (**is** $?l = ?r$)

<proof>

lemma *map-upt-len-conv*:

$\text{map } (\lambda i . f (xs!i)) [0..<\text{length } xs] = \text{map } f \ xs$

<proof>

lemma *map-upt-add'*:

$\text{map } f [a..<a+b] = \text{map } (\lambda i . f (a + i)) [0..<b]$

<proof>

definition *generate-lists* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{list} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{list} \ \text{list}$

where *generate-lists* $n \ xs \equiv \text{concat-lists } (\text{map } (\lambda -. \ xs) [0 ..<n])$

lemma *set-generate-lists[simp]*: $\text{set } (\text{generate-lists } n \ xs) = \{as. \text{length } as = n \wedge \text{set } as \subseteq \text{set } xs\}$

<proof>

lemma *nth-append-take*:

assumes $i \leq \text{length } xs$ **shows** $(\text{take } i \ xs \ @ \ y \# \ ys) ! i = y$

<proof>

lemma *nth-append-take-is-nth-conv*:

assumes $i < j$ **and** $j \leq \text{length } xs$ **shows** $(\text{take } j \text{ } xs @ ys)!i = xs!i$
(proof)

lemma *nth-append-drop-is-nth-conv*:

assumes $j < i$ **and** $j \leq \text{length } xs$ **and** $i \leq \text{length } xs$
shows $(\text{take } j \text{ } xs @ y \# \text{drop } (Suc \ j) \ xs)!i = xs!i$
(proof)

lemma *nth-append-take-drop-is-nth-conv*:

assumes $i \leq \text{length } xs$ **and** $j \leq \text{length } xs$ **and** $i \neq j$
shows $(\text{take } j \text{ } xs @ y \# \text{drop } (Suc \ j) \ xs)!i = xs!i$
(proof)

lemma *take-drop-imp-nth*: $\llbracket \text{take } i \text{ } ss @ x \# \text{drop } (Suc \ i) \ ss = ss \rrbracket \implies x = ss!i$
(proof)

lemma *take-drop-update-first*: **assumes** $j < \text{length } ds$ **and** $\text{length } cs = \text{length } ds$
shows $(\text{take } j \text{ } ds @ \text{drop } j \text{ } cs)[j := ds \ ! \ j] = \text{take } (Suc \ j) \ ds @ \text{drop } (Suc \ j) \ cs$
(proof)

lemma *take-drop-update-second*: **assumes** $j < \text{length } ds$ **and** $\text{length } cs = \text{length } ds$

shows $(\text{take } j \text{ } ds @ \text{drop } j \text{ } cs)[j := cs \ ! \ j] = \text{take } j \text{ } ds @ \text{drop } j \text{ } cs$
(proof)

lemma *nth-take-prefix*:

$\text{length } ys \leq \text{length } xs \implies \forall i < \text{length } ys. xs!i = ys!i \implies \text{take } (\text{length } ys) \ xs = ys$
(proof)

lemma *take-upt-idx*:

assumes $i < \text{length } ls$
shows $\text{take } i \text{ } ls = [ls \ ! \ j . j \leftarrow [0..<i]]$
(proof)

fun *distinct-eq* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\text{distinct-eq} - [] = \text{True}$
 $|\ \text{distinct-eq } eq \ (x \# xs) = ((\forall y \in \text{set } xs. \neg (eq \ y \ x)) \wedge \text{distinct-eq } eq \ xs)$

lemma *distinct-eq-append*: $\text{distinct-eq } eq \ (xs @ ys) = (\text{distinct-eq } eq \ xs \wedge \text{distinct-eq } eq \ ys \wedge (\forall x \in \text{set } xs. \forall y \in \text{set } ys. \neg (eq \ y \ x)))$
(proof)

lemma *append-Cons-nth-left*:

assumes $i < \text{length } xs$
shows $(xs @ u \# ys) \ ! \ i = xs \ ! \ i$

<proof>

lemma *append-Cons-nth-middle*:

assumes $i = \text{length } xs$

shows $(xs @ y \# zs) ! i = y$

<proof>

lemma *append-Cons-nth-right*:

assumes $i > \text{length } xs$

shows $(xs @ u \# ys) ! i = (xs @ z \# ys) ! i$

<proof>

lemma *append-Cons-nth-not-middle*:

assumes $i \neq \text{length } xs$

shows $(xs @ u \# ys) ! i = (xs @ z \# ys) ! i$

<proof>

lemmas *append-Cons-nth = append-Cons-nth-middle append-Cons-nth-not-middle*

lemma *concat-all-nth*:

assumes $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys$

and $\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } xs \implies \text{length } (xs ! i) = \text{length } (ys ! i)$

and $\bigwedge i j. i < \text{length } xs \implies j < \text{length } (xs ! i) \implies P (xs ! i ! j) (ys ! i ! j)$

shows $\forall k < \text{length } (\text{concat } xs). P (\text{concat } xs ! k) (\text{concat } ys ! k)$

<proof>

lemma *eq-length-concat-nth*:

assumes $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys$

and $\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } xs \implies \text{length } (xs ! i) = \text{length } (ys ! i)$

shows $\text{length } (\text{concat } xs) = \text{length } (\text{concat } ys)$

<proof>

primrec

$\text{list-union} :: 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list}$

where

$\text{list-union } [] \text{ } ys = ys$

$| \text{list-union } (x \# xs) \text{ } ys = (\text{let } zs = \text{list-union } xs \text{ } ys \text{ in if } x \in \text{set } zs \text{ then } zs \text{ else } x \# zs)$

lemma *set-list-union[simp]*: $\text{set } (\text{list-union } xs \text{ } ys) = \text{set } xs \cup \text{set } ys$

<proof>

declare *list-union.simps[simp del]*

fun *list-inter* :: $'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list}$ **where**

$\text{list-inter } [] \text{ } bs = []$

$| \text{list-inter } (a \# as) \text{ } bs =$

$(\text{if } a \in \text{set } bs \text{ then } a \# \text{list-inter } as \text{ } bs \text{ else } \text{list-inter } as \text{ } bs)$

lemma *set-list-inter*[simp]:
 $set (list-inter\ xs\ ys) = set\ xs \cap set\ ys$
 ⟨proof⟩

declare *list-inter.simps*[simp del]

primrec *list-diff* :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list **where**
 $list-diff\ []\ ys = []$
 $| list-diff\ (x\ \#\ xs)\ ys = (let\ zs = list-diff\ xs\ ys\ in\ if\ x \in set\ ys\ then\ zs\ else\ x\ \#\ zs)$

lemma *set-list-diff*[simp]:
 $set (list-diff\ xs\ ys) = set\ xs - set\ ys$
 ⟨proof⟩

declare *list-diff.simps*[simp del]

lemma *nth-drop-0*: $0 < length\ ss \implies (ss!0)\#\ drop\ (Suc\ 0)\ ss = ss$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *set-foldr-remdups-set-map-conv*[simp]:
 $set (foldr\ (\lambda x\ xs.\ remdups\ (f\ x\ @\ xs))\ xs\ []) = \bigcup (set\ (map\ (set\ o\ f)\ xs))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *subset-set-code*[code-unfold]: $set\ xs \subseteq set\ ys \longleftrightarrow list-all\ (\lambda x.\ x \in set\ ys)\ xs$
 ⟨proof⟩

fun *union-list-sorted* **where**
 $union-list-sorted\ (x\ \#\ xs)\ (y\ \#\ ys) =$
 $(if\ x = y\ then\ x\ \#\ union-list-sorted\ xs\ ys$
 $\ else\ if\ x < y\ then\ x\ \#\ union-list-sorted\ xs\ (y\ \#\ ys)$
 $\ else\ y\ \#\ union-list-sorted\ (x\ \#\ xs)\ ys)$
 $| union-list-sorted\ []\ ys = ys$
 $| union-list-sorted\ xs\ [] = xs$

lemma [simp]: $set (union-list-sorted\ xs\ ys) = set\ xs \cup set\ ys$
 ⟨proof⟩

fun *subtract-list-sorted* :: ('a :: linorder) list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list **where**
 $subtract-list-sorted\ (x\ \#\ xs)\ (y\ \#\ ys) =$
 $(if\ x = y\ then\ subtract-list-sorted\ xs\ (y\ \#\ ys)$
 $\ else\ if\ x < y\ then\ x\ \#\ subtract-list-sorted\ xs\ (y\ \#\ ys)$
 $\ else\ subtract-list-sorted\ (x\ \#\ xs)\ ys)$
 $| subtract-list-sorted\ []\ ys = []$
 $| subtract-list-sorted\ xs\ [] = xs$

lemma *set-subtract-list-sorted*[simp]: $sorted\ xs \implies sorted\ ys \implies$
 $set\ (subtract-list-sorted\ xs\ ys) = set\ xs - set\ ys$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *subset-subtract-listed-sorted*: $set\ (subtract-list-sorted\ xs\ ys) \subseteq set\ xs$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *set-subtract-list-distinct*[simp]: $distinct\ xs \implies distinct\ (subtract-list-sorted\ xs\ ys)$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *remdups-sort* $x\ s = remdups-adj\ (sort\ x\ s)$

lemma *remdups-sort*[simp]: $sorted\ (remdups-sort\ x\ s)\ set\ (remdups-sort\ x\ s) = set\ x\ s$
 $distinct\ (remdups-sort\ x\ s)$
 ⟨proof⟩

maximum and minimum

lemma *max-list-mono*: **assumes** $\bigwedge x. x \in set\ xs - set\ ys \implies \exists y. y \in set\ ys \wedge x \leq y$
shows $max-list\ xs \leq max-list\ ys$
 ⟨proof⟩

fun *min-list* :: $('a :: linorder)\ list \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $min-list\ [x] = x$
 $| min-list\ (x \# xs) = min\ x\ (min-list\ xs)$

lemma *min-list*: $(x :: 'a :: linorder) \in set\ xs \implies min-list\ xs \leq x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *min-list-Cons*:
assumes $xy: x \leq y$
and $len: length\ xs = length\ ys$
and $xsys: min-list\ xs \leq min-list\ ys$
shows $min-list\ (x \# xs) \leq min-list\ (y \# ys)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *min-list-nth*:
assumes $length\ xs = length\ ys$
and $\bigwedge i. i < length\ ys \implies xs\ !\ i \leq ys\ !\ i$
shows $min-list\ xs \leq min-list\ ys$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *min-list-ex*:
assumes $xs \neq []$ **shows** $\exists x \in set\ xs. min-list\ xs = x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *min-list-subset*:

assumes *subset*: $set\ ys \subseteq set\ xs$ **and** *mem*: $min\text{-}list\ xs \in set\ ys$
shows $min\text{-}list\ xs = min\text{-}list\ ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Apply a permutation to a list.

primrec *permut-aux* :: $'a\ list \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ list$ **where**
permut-aux [] - - = [] |
permut-aux (a # as) f bs = (bs ! f 0) # (*permut-aux* as ($\lambda n. f\ (Suc\ n)$)) bs

definition *permut* :: $'a\ list \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow 'a\ list$ **where**
permut as f = *permut-aux* as f as
declare *permut-def*[*simp*]

lemma *permut-aux-sound*:
assumes $i < length\ as$
shows $permut\text{-}aux\ as\ f\ bs\ !\ i = bs\ !\ (f\ i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *permut-sound*:
assumes $i < length\ as$
shows $permut\ as\ f\ !\ i = as\ !\ (f\ i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *permut-aux-length*:
assumes $bij\text{-}betw\ f\ \{..<length\ as\}\ \{..<length\ bs\}$
shows $length\ (permut\text{-}aux\ as\ f\ bs) = length\ as$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *permut-length*:
assumes $bij\text{-}betw\ f\ \{..<length\ as\}\ \{..<length\ as\}$
shows $length\ (permut\ as\ f) = length\ as$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare *permut-def*[*simp del*]

lemma *foldl-assoc*:
fixes $b :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ (**infixl** $\langle \cdot \rangle$ 55)
assumes $\bigwedge f\ g\ h. f \cdot (g \cdot h) = f \cdot g \cdot h$
shows $foldl\ (\cdot)\ (x \cdot y)\ zs = x \cdot foldl\ (\cdot)\ y\ zs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *foldr-assoc*:
assumes $\bigwedge f\ g\ h. b\ (b\ f\ g)\ h = b\ f\ (b\ g\ h)$
shows $foldr\ b\ xs\ (b\ y\ z) = b\ (foldr\ b\ xs\ y)\ z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *foldl-foldr-o-id*:
 $foldl\ (\circ)\ id\ fs = foldr\ (\circ)\ fs\ id$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *foldr-o-o-id*[simp]:
 $\text{foldr } ((\circ) \circ f) \text{ } xs \text{ } id \text{ } a = \text{foldr } f \text{ } xs \text{ } a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Ex-list-of-length-P*:
assumes $\forall i < n. \exists x. P \ x \ i$
shows $\exists xs. \text{length } xs = n \wedge (\forall i < n. P \ (xs \ ! \ i) \ i)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ex-set-conv-ex-nth*: $(\exists x \in \text{set } xs. P \ x) = (\exists i < \text{length } xs. P \ (xs \ ! \ i))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *map-eq-set-zipD* [dest]:
assumes $\text{map } f \ xs = \text{map } f \ ys$
and $(x, y) \in \text{set } (\text{zip } xs \ ys)$
shows $f \ x = f \ y$
 ⟨proof⟩

fun *span* :: $('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{list} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{list} \times 'a \ \text{list}$ **where**
 $\text{span } P \ (x \ \# \ xs) =$
 (if $P \ x$ then let $(ys, zs) = \text{span } P \ xs$ in $(x \ \# \ ys, zs)$
 else $([], x \ \# \ xs)$) |
 $\text{span } - \ [] = ([], [])$

lemma *span*[simp]: $\text{span } P \ xs = (\text{takeWhile } P \ xs, \text{dropWhile } P \ xs)$
 ⟨proof⟩

declare *span.simps*[simp del]

lemma *parallel-list-update*: **assumes**
one-update: $\bigwedge xs \ i \ y. \text{length } xs = n \Longrightarrow i < n \Longrightarrow r \ (xs \ ! \ i) \ y \Longrightarrow p \ xs \Longrightarrow p \ (xs[i := y])$
and *init*: $\text{length } xs = n \ p \ xs$
and *rel*: $\text{length } ys = n \bigwedge i. i < n \Longrightarrow r \ (xs \ ! \ i) \ (ys \ ! \ i)$
shows $p \ ys$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *nth-concat-two-lists*:
 $i < \text{length } (\text{concat } (xs :: 'a \ \text{list list})) \Longrightarrow \text{length } (ys :: 'b \ \text{list list}) = \text{length } xs$
 $\Longrightarrow (\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } xs \Longrightarrow \text{length } (ys \ ! \ i) = \text{length } (xs \ ! \ i))$
 $\Longrightarrow \exists j \ k. j < \text{length } xs \wedge k < \text{length } (xs \ ! \ j) \wedge (\text{concat } xs) \ ! \ i = xs \ ! \ j \ ! \ k \wedge$
 $(\text{concat } ys) \ ! \ i = ys \ ! \ j \ ! \ k$
 ⟨proof⟩

Removing duplicates w.r.t. some function.

fun *remdups-gen* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{list} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{list}$ **where**
 $\text{remdups-gen } f \ [] = []$
 $|\ \text{remdups-gen } f \ (x \ \# \ xs) = x \ \# \ \text{remdups-gen } f \ [y <- xs. \neg f \ x = f \ y]$

lemma *remdups-gen-subset*: $set (remdups-gen f xs) \subseteq set xs$
<proof>

lemma *remdups-gen-elem-imp-elem*: $x \in set (remdups-gen f xs) \implies x \in set xs$
<proof>

lemma *elem-imp-remdups-gen-elem*: $x \in set xs \implies \exists y \in set (remdups-gen f xs).$
 $f x = f y$
<proof>

lemma *take-nth-drop-concat*:
assumes $i < length xss$ and $xss ! i = ys$
and $j < length ys$ and $ys ! j = z$
shows $\exists k < length (concat xss).$
 $take k (concat xss) = concat (take i xss) @ take j ys \wedge$
 $concat xss ! k = xss ! i ! j \wedge$
 $drop (Suc k) (concat xss) = drop (Suc j) ys @ concat (drop (Suc i) xss)$
<proof>

lemma *concat-map-empty [simp]*:
 $concat (map (_. []) xs) = []$
<proof>

lemma *map-upt-len-same-len-conv*:
assumes $length xs = length ys$
shows $map (\lambda i. f (xs ! i)) [0 ..< length ys] = map f xs$
<proof>

lemma *concat-map-concat [simp]*:
 $concat (map concat xs) = concat (concat xs)$
<proof>

lemma *concat-concat-map*:
 $concat (concat (map f xs)) = concat (map (concat \circ f) xs)$
<proof>

lemma *UN-upt-len-conv [simp]*:
 $length xs = n \implies (\bigcup i \in \{0 ..< n\}. f (xs ! i)) = \bigcup (set (map f xs))$
<proof>

lemma *Ball-at-Least0LessThan-conv [simp]*:
 $length xs = n \implies$
 $(\forall i \in \{0 ..< n\}. P (xs ! i)) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in set xs. P x)$
<proof>

lemma *sum-list-replicate-length [simp]*:
 $sum-list (replicate (length xs) (Suc 0)) = length xs$

<proof>

lemma *list-all2-in-set2*:

assumes *list-all2 P xs ys* **and** $y \in \text{set } ys$

obtains x **where** $x \in \text{set } xs$ **and** $P x y$

<proof>

lemma *map-eq-conv'*:

$\text{map } f \text{ } xs = \text{map } g \text{ } ys \longleftrightarrow \text{length } xs = \text{length } ys \wedge (\forall i < \text{length } xs. f (xs ! i) = g (ys ! i))$

<proof>

lemma *list-3-cases*[*case-names Nil 1 2*]:

assumes $xs = [] \implies P$

and $\bigwedge x. xs = [x] \implies P$

and $\bigwedge x y ys. xs = x \# y \# ys \implies P$

shows P

<proof>

lemma *list-4-cases*[*case-names Nil 1 2 3*]:

assumes $xs = [] \implies P$

and $\bigwedge x. xs = [x] \implies P$

and $\bigwedge x y. xs = [x, y] \implies P$

and $\bigwedge x y z zs. xs = x \# y \# z \# zs \implies P$

shows P

<proof>

lemma *foldr-append2* [*simp*]:

$\text{foldr } ((@) \circ f) \text{ } xs (ys @ zs) = \text{foldr } ((@) \circ f) \text{ } xs \text{ } ys @ zs$

<proof>

lemma *foldr-append2-Nil* [*simp*]:

$\text{foldr } ((@) \circ f) \text{ } xs [] @ zs = \text{foldr } ((@) \circ f) \text{ } xs \text{ } zs$

<proof>

lemma *UNION-set-zip*:

$(\bigcup x \in \text{set } (\text{zip } [0..<\text{length } xs] (\text{map } f \text{ } xs)). g \text{ } x) = (\bigcup i < \text{length } xs. g (i, f (xs ! i)))$

<proof>

lemma *zip-fst*: $p \in \text{set } (\text{zip } as \text{ } bs) \implies \text{fst } p \in \text{set } as$

<proof>

lemma *zip-snd*: $p \in \text{set } (\text{zip } as \text{ } bs) \implies \text{snd } p \in \text{set } bs$

<proof>

lemma *zip-size-aux*: $\text{size-list } (\text{size } o \text{ } \text{snd}) (\text{zip } ts \text{ } ls) \leq (\text{size-list } \text{size } ls)$

<proof>

We define the function that remove the n th element of a list. It uses take and drop and the soundness is therefore not too hard to prove thanks to the already existing lemmas.

definition *remove-nth* :: *nat* \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list **where**
remove-nth n $xs \equiv (take\ n\ xs) @ (drop\ (Suc\ n)\ xs)$

declare *remove-nth-def*[*simp*]

lemma *remove-nth-len*:
assumes *i*: $i < length\ xs$
shows $length\ (remove-nth\ i\ xs) = Suc\ (length\ (remove-nth\ i\ xs))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *remove-nth-length* :
assumes *n-bd*: $n < length\ xs$
shows $length\ (remove-nth\ n\ xs) = length\ xs - 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *remove-nth-id* : $length\ xs \leq n \implies remove-nth\ n\ xs = xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *remove-nth-sound-l* :
assumes *p-ub*: $p < n$
shows $(remove-nth\ n\ xs) ! p = xs ! p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *remove-nth-sound-r* :
assumes $n \leq p$ **and** $p < length\ xs$
shows $(remove-nth\ n\ xs) ! p = xs ! (Suc\ p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nth-remove-nth-conv*:
assumes $i < length\ (remove-nth\ n\ xs)$
shows $remove-nth\ n\ xs ! i = xs ! (if\ i < n\ then\ i\ else\ Suc\ i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *remove-nth-P-compat* :
assumes *aslbs*: $length\ as = length\ bs$
and *Pab*: $\forall i. i < length\ as \longrightarrow P\ (as\ !\ i)\ (bs\ !\ i)$
shows $\forall i. i < length\ (remove-nth\ p\ as) \longrightarrow P\ (remove-nth\ p\ as\ !\ i)\ (remove-nth\ p\ bs\ !\ i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare *remove-nth-def*[*simp del*]

definition *adjust-idx* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *nat* **where**
adjust-idx $i\ j \equiv (if\ j < i\ then\ j\ else\ (Suc\ j))$

definition *adjust-idx-rev* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *nat* **where**

$adjust_idx_rev\ i\ j \equiv (if\ j < i\ then\ j\ else\ j - Suc\ 0)$

lemma *adjust-idx-rev1*: $adjust_idx_rev\ i\ (adjust_idx\ i\ j) = j$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *adjust-idx-rev2*:
assumes $j \neq i$ **shows** $adjust_idx\ i\ (adjust_idx_rev\ i\ j) = j$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *adjust-idx-i*:
 $adjust_idx\ i\ j \neq i$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *adjust-idx-nth*:
assumes $i < length\ xs$
shows $remove_nth\ i\ xs\ !\ j = xs\ !\ adjust_idx\ i\ j$ (**is** ?l = ?r)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *adjust-idx-rev-nth*:
assumes $i < length\ xs$
and $ji: j \neq i$
shows $remove_nth\ i\ xs\ !\ adjust_idx_rev\ i\ j = xs\ !\ j$ (**is** ?l = ?r)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *adjust-idx-length*:
assumes $i < length\ xs$
and $j < length\ (remove_nth\ i\ xs)$
shows $adjust_idx\ i\ j < length\ xs$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *adjust-idx-rev-length*:
assumes $i < length\ xs$
and $j < length\ xs$
and $j \neq i$
shows $adjust_idx_rev\ i\ j < length\ (remove_nth\ i\ xs)$
 ⟨proof⟩

If a binary relation holds on two couples of lists, then it holds on the concatenation of the two couples.

lemma *P-as-bs-extend*:
assumes $lab: length\ as = length\ bs$
and $lcd: length\ cs = length\ ds$
and $nsab: \forall i. i < length\ bs \longrightarrow P\ (as\ !\ i)\ (bs\ !\ i)$
and $nscd: \forall i. i < length\ ds \longrightarrow P\ (cs\ !\ i)\ (ds\ !\ i)$
shows $\forall i. i < length\ (bs\ @\ ds) \longrightarrow P\ ((as\ @\ cs)\ !\ i)\ ((bs\ @\ ds)\ !\ i)$
 ⟨proof⟩

Extension of filter and partition to binary relations.

fun *filter2* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow 'b\ list \Rightarrow ('a\ list \times 'b\ list)$ **where**

```

filter2 P [] - = ([], []) |
filter2 P - [] = ([], []) |
filter2 P (a # as) (b # bs) = (if P a b
  then (a # fst (filter2 P as bs), b # snd (filter2 P as bs))
  else filter2 P as bs)

```

lemma *filter2-length*:

```

length (fst (filter2 P as bs)) ≡ length (snd (filter2 P as bs))
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *filter2-sound*: $\forall i. i < \text{length} (\text{fst} (\text{filter2 } P \text{ as } bs)) \longrightarrow P (\text{fst} (\text{filter2 } P \text{ as } bs) ! i) (\text{snd} (\text{filter2 } P \text{ as } bs) ! i)$
⟨proof⟩

definition *partition2* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('a \text{ list} \times 'b \text{ list}) \times ('a \text{ list} \times 'b \text{ list})$ **where**
partition2 P as bs ≡ ((filter2 P as bs) , (filter2 ($\lambda a b. \neg (P a b)$) as bs))

lemma *partition2-sound-P*: $\forall i. i < \text{length} (\text{fst} (\text{fst} (\text{partition2 } P \text{ as } bs))) \longrightarrow P (\text{fst} (\text{fst} (\text{partition2 } P \text{ as } bs)) ! i) (\text{snd} (\text{fst} (\text{partition2 } P \text{ as } bs)) ! i)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *partition2-sound-nP*: $\forall i. i < \text{length} (\text{fst} (\text{snd} (\text{partition2 } P \text{ as } bs))) \longrightarrow \neg P (\text{fst} (\text{snd} (\text{partition2 } P \text{ as } bs)) ! i) (\text{snd} (\text{snd} (\text{partition2 } P \text{ as } bs)) ! i)$
⟨proof⟩

Membership decision function that actually returns the value of the index where the value can be found.

```

fun mem-idx :: 'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ nat Option.option where
  mem-idx - [] = None |
  mem-idx x (a # as) = (if x = a then Some 0 else map-option Suc (mem-idx x as))

```

lemma *mem-idx-sound-output*:

```

assumes mem-idx x as = Some i
shows i < length as ∧ as ! i = x
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *mem-idx-sound-output2*:

```

assumes mem-idx x as = Some i
shows ∀j. j < i ⟶ as ! j ≠ x
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *mem-idx-sound*:

```

(x ∈ set as) = (∃ i. mem-idx x as = Some i)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *mem-idx-sound2*:

```

(x ∉ set as) = (mem-idx x as = None)

```

<proof>

lemma *sum-list-replicate-mono*: **assumes** $w1 \leq (w2 :: nat)$
shows $sum\text{-list } (replicate\ n\ w1) \leq sum\text{-list } (replicate\ n\ w2)$
<proof>

lemma *all-gt-0-sum-list-map*:
assumes $*$: $\bigwedge x. f\ x > (0 :: nat)$
and $x: x \in set\ xs$ **and** $len: 1 < length\ xs$
shows $f\ x < (\sum x \leftarrow xs. f\ x)$
<proof>

lemma *map-of-filter*:
assumes $P\ x$
shows $map\text{-of } [(x',y) \leftarrow ys. P\ x']\ x = map\text{-of } ys\ x$
<proof>

lemma *set-subset-insertI*: $set\ xs \subseteq set\ (List.insert\ x\ xs)$
<proof>

lemma *set-removeAll-subset*: $set\ (removeAll\ x\ xs) \subseteq set\ xs$
<proof>

lemma *map-of-append-Some*:
 $map\text{-of } xs\ y = Some\ z \implies map\text{-of } (xs\ @\ ys)\ y = Some\ z$
<proof>

lemma *map-of-append-None*:
 $map\text{-of } xs\ y = None \implies map\text{-of } (xs\ @\ ys)\ y = map\text{-of } ys\ y$
<proof>

end

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Missing Multiset

This theory provides some definitions and lemmas on multisets which we did not find in the Isabelle distribution.

theory *Missing-Multiset*
imports
 HOL-Library.Multiset
 Missing-List
begin

lemma *remove-nth-soundness*:
assumes $n < length\ as$

shows $mset\ (remove\text{-}nth\ n\ as) = mset\ as - \{\#(as!n)\#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *multiset-subset-insert*: $\{ps.\ ps \subseteq\# \text{add-mset}\ x\ xs\} =$
 $\{ps.\ ps \subseteq\# xs\} \cup \text{add-mset}\ x\ \{\{ps.\ ps \subseteq\# xs\}\ \text{is}\ ?l = ?r\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *multiset-of-subseqs*: $mset\ \text{'}\ set\ (subseqs\ xs) = \{ps.\ ps \subseteq\# mset\ xs\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *remove1-mset*: $w \in set\ vs \implies mset\ (remove1\ w\ vs) + \{\#w\#\} = mset\ vs$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *fold-remove1-mset*: $mset\ ws \subseteq\# mset\ vs \implies mset\ (fold\ remove1\ ws\ vs) +$
 $mset\ ws = mset\ vs$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *subseqs-sub-mset*: $ws \in set\ (subseqs\ vs) \implies mset\ ws \subseteq\# mset\ vs$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *filter-mset-inequality*: $filter\text{-}mset\ f\ xs \neq xs \implies \exists\ x \in\# xs.\ \neg f\ x$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

2.2 Precomputation

This theory contains precomputation functions, which take another function f and a finite set of inputs, and provide the same function f as output, except that now all values $f\ i$ are precomputed if i is contained in the set of finite inputs.

theory *Precomputation*

imports

Containers.RBT-Set2

HOL-Library.RBT-Mapping

begin

lemma *lookup-tabulate*: $x \in set\ xs \implies Mapping.lookup\ (Mapping.tabulate\ xs\ f)\ x$
 $= Some\ (f\ x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *lookup-tabulate2*: $Mapping.lookup\ (Mapping.tabulate\ xs\ f)\ x = Some\ y \implies$
 $y = f\ x$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *memo-int* :: $int \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow (int \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow (int \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where**
 $memo\text{-}int\ low\ up\ f \equiv let\ m = Mapping.tabulate\ [low..up]\ f$
 $in\ (\lambda\ x.\ if\ x \geq low \wedge x \leq up\ then\ the\ (Mapping.lookup\ m\ x)\ else\ f\ x)$

lemma *memo-int[simp]*: *memo-int low up f = f*
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *memo-nat* :: *nat* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ (*nat* ⇒ 'a) ⇒ (*nat* ⇒ 'a) **where**
memo-nat low up f ≡ *let m = Mapping.tabulate [low ..< up] f*
in (λ x. if x ≥ low ∧ x < up then the (Mapping.lookup m x) else f x)

lemma *memo-nat[simp]*: *memo-nat low up f = f*
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *memo* :: 'a list ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b) **where**
memo xs f ≡ *let m = Mapping.tabulate xs f*
in (λ x. case Mapping.lookup m x of None ⇒ f x | Some y ⇒ y)

lemma *memo[simp]*: *memo xs f = f*
⟨*proof*⟩

end

2.3 Order of Polynomial Roots

We extend the collection of results on the order of roots of polynomials. Moreover, we provide code-equations to compute the order for a given root and polynomial.

theory *Order-Polynomial*

imports

Polynomial-Interpolation.Missing-Polynomial

begin

lemma *order-linear[simp]*: *order a [:- a, 1:] = Suc 0* ⟨*proof*⟩

declare *order-power-n-n[simp]*

lemma *linear-power-nonzero*: *[: a, 1:] ^ n ≠ 0*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *order-linear-power'*: *order a ([: b, 1:] ^ Suc n) = (if b = -a then Suc n else 0)*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *order-linear-power*: *order a ([: b, 1:] ^ n) = (if b = -a then n else 0)*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *order-linear'*: *order a [: b, 1:] = (if b = -a then 1 else 0)*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *degree-div-less*:
assumes p : ($p :: 'a :: \text{field poly}$) $\neq 0$ **and** dvd : $r \text{ dvd } p$ **and** deg : $\text{degree } r \neq 0$
shows $\text{degree } (p \text{ div } r) < \text{degree } p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *order-sum-degree*: **assumes** $p \neq 0$
shows $\text{sum } (\lambda a. \text{order } a \ p) \ \{ a. \text{poly } p \ a = 0 \} \leq \text{degree } p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *order-code*[code]: $\text{order } (a :: 'a :: \text{idom-divide}) \ p =$
(if $p = 0$ *then* $\text{Code.abort } (\text{STR } \text{"order of polynomial 0 undefined"}) \ (\lambda _ . \text{order } a$
 $p)$
else if $\text{poly } p \ a \neq 0$ *then* 0 *else* $\text{Suc } (\text{order } a \ (p \text{ div } [: -a, 1 :]))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

3 Explicit Formulas for Roots

We provide algorithms which use the explicit formulas to compute the roots of polynomials of degree up to 2. For polynomials of degree 3 and 4 have a look at the AFP entry "Cubic-Quartic-Equations".

theory *Explicit-Roots*

imports

Polynomial-Interpolation.Missing-Polynomial

Sqrt-Babylonian.Sqrt-Babylonian

begin

lemma *roots0*: **assumes** p : $p \neq 0$ **and** $p0$: $\text{degree } p = 0$
shows $\{x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0\} = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *roots1* $:: 'a :: \text{field poly} \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $\text{roots1 } p = (- \text{coeff } p \ 0 / \text{coeff } p \ 1)$

lemma *roots1*: **fixes** $p :: 'a :: \text{field poly}$
assumes $p1$: $\text{degree } p = 1$
shows $\{x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0\} = \{\text{roots1 } p\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *roots2*: **fixes** $p :: 'a :: \text{field-char-0 poly}$
assumes $p2$: $p = [c, b, a]$ **and** a : $a \neq 0$
shows $\{x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0\} = \{ - (b / (2 * a)) + e \mid e. e^2 = (b / (2 * a))^2 - c/a \}$ (**is** $?l = ?r$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *roots2* $:: \text{complex poly} \Rightarrow \text{complex list}$ **where**

$croots2\ p = (\text{let } a = \text{coeff } p\ 2; b = \text{coeff } p\ 1; c = \text{coeff } p\ 0; b2a = b / (2 * a);$
 $\quad bac = b2a^2 - c/a;$
 $\quad e = \text{csqrt } bac$
in
 $\quad \text{remdups } [-\ b2a + e, -\ b2a - e])$

definition *complex-rat* :: *complex* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
complex-rat $x = (\text{Re } x \in \mathbf{Q} \wedge \text{Im } x \in \mathbf{Q})$

lemma *croots2*: **assumes** *degree* $p = 2$
shows $\{x. \text{poly } p\ x = 0\} = \text{set } (croots2\ p)$
<proof>

definition *rroots2* :: *real poly* \Rightarrow *real list* **where**
 $rroots2\ p = (\text{let } a = \text{coeff } p\ 2; b = \text{coeff } p\ 1; c = \text{coeff } p\ 0; b2a = b / (2 * a);$
 $\quad bac = b2a^2 - c/a$
in if $bac = 0$ *then* $[-\ b2a]$ *else if* $bac < 0$ *then* $[]$
else let $e = \text{sqrt } bac$
in
 $[-\ b2a + e, -\ b2a - e])$

definition *rat-roots2* :: *rat poly* \Rightarrow *rat list* **where**
 $rat-roots2\ p = (\text{let } a = \text{coeff } p\ 2; b = \text{coeff } p\ 1; c = \text{coeff } p\ 0; b2a = b / (2 * a);$
 $\quad bac = b2a^2 - c/a$
in map $(\lambda\ e. -\ b2a + e)$ $(\text{sqrt-rat } bac)$

lemma *rroots2*: **assumes** *degree* $p = 2$
shows $\{x. \text{poly } p\ x = 0\} = \text{set } (rroots2\ p)$
<proof>

lemma *rat-roots2*: **assumes** *degree* $p = 2$
shows $\{x. \text{poly } p\ x = 0\} = \text{set } (rat-roots2\ p)$
<proof>

Determinining roots of complex polynomials of degree up to 2.

definition *croots* :: *complex poly* \Rightarrow *complex list* **where**
 $croots\ p = (\text{if } p = 0 \vee \text{degree } p > 2 \text{ then } []$
else (if $\text{degree } p = 0$ *then* $[]$ *else if* $\text{degree } p = 1$ *then* $[\text{roots1 } p]$
else $croots2\ p))$

lemma *croots*: **assumes** $p \neq 0$ *degree* $p \leq 2$
shows $\text{set } (croots\ p) = \{x. \text{poly } p\ x = 0\}$
<proof>

Determinining roots of real polynomials of degree up to 2.

definition *rroots* :: *real poly* \Rightarrow *real list* **where**
 $rroots\ p = (\text{if } p = 0 \vee \text{degree } p > 2 \text{ then } []$
else (if $\text{degree } p = 0$ *then* $[]$ *else if* $\text{degree } p = 1$ *then* $[\text{roots1 } p]$
else $rroots2\ p))$

lemma *rroots*: **assumes** $p \neq 0$ *degree* $p \leq 2$
shows $\text{set } (\text{rroots } p) = \{x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0\}$
<proof>

end

4 Division of Polynomials over Integers

This theory contains an algorithm to efficiently compute divisibility of two integer polynomials.

theory *Dvd-Int-Poly*

imports

Polynomial-Interpolation.Ring-Hom-Poly

Polynomial-Interpolation.Divmod-Int

Polynomial-Interpolation.Is-Rat-To-Rat

begin

definition *div-int-poly-step* :: $\text{int poly} \Rightarrow \text{int} \Rightarrow (\text{int poly} \times \text{int poly}) \text{ option} \Rightarrow (\text{int poly} \times \text{int poly}) \text{ option}$ **where**

div-int-poly-step $q = (\lambda a \text{ sro. case sro of Some } (s, r) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{let } ar = \text{pCons } a \ r; (b, m) = \text{divmod-int } (\text{coeff } ar \ (\text{degree } q)) \ (\text{coeff } q \ (\text{degree } q))$
 $\text{in if } m = 0 \text{ then Some } (\text{pCons } b \ s, ar - \text{smult } b \ q) \text{ else None} \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None})$

declare *div-int-poly-step-def*[*code-unfold*]

definition *div-mod-int-poly* :: $\text{int poly} \Rightarrow \text{int poly} \Rightarrow (\text{int poly} \times \text{int poly}) \text{ option}$ **where**

div-mod-int-poly $p \ q = (\text{if } q = 0 \text{ then None}$
 $\text{else } (\text{let } n = \text{degree } q; qn = \text{coeff } q \ n$
 $\text{in fold-coeffs } (\text{div-int-poly-step } q) \ p \ (\text{Some } (0, 0))))$

definition *div-int-poly* :: $\text{int poly} \Rightarrow \text{int poly} \Rightarrow \text{int poly option}$ **where**

div-int-poly $p \ q =$
 $(\text{case } \text{div-mod-int-poly } p \ q \text{ of None} \Rightarrow \text{None} \mid \text{Some } (d, m) \Rightarrow \text{if } m = 0 \text{ then}$
 $\text{Some } d \text{ else None})$

definition *div-rat-poly-step* :: $'a::\text{field poly} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ poly} \times 'a \text{ poly} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ poly} \times 'a \text{ poly}$ **where**

div-rat-poly-step $q = (\lambda a \ (s, r).$
 $\text{let } b = \text{coeff } (\text{pCons } a \ r) \ (\text{degree } q) / \text{coeff } q \ (\text{degree } q)$
 $\text{in } (\text{pCons } b \ s, \text{pCons } a \ r - \text{smult } b \ q))$

lemma *foldr-cong-plus*:

assumes $f\text{-is-g} : \bigwedge a \ b \ c. b \in s \Longrightarrow f' \ a = f \ b \ (f' \ c) \Longrightarrow g' \ a = g \ b \ (g' \ c)$
and $f'\text{-inj} : \bigwedge a \ b. f' \ a = f' \ b \Longrightarrow a = b$
and $f\text{-bit-sur} : \bigwedge a \ b \ c. f' \ a = f \ b \ c \Longrightarrow \exists c'. c = f' \ c'$

and $lst-in-s : set\ lst \subseteq s$
shows $f' a = foldr\ f\ lst\ (f' b) \implies g' a = foldr\ g\ lst\ (g' b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation (*input*) $rp :: int\ poly \Rightarrow rat\ poly$ **where**
 $rp \equiv map-poly\ rat-of-int$

lemma $rat-int-poly-step-agree :$
assumes $coeff\ (pCons\ b\ c2)\ (degree\ q)\ mod\ coeff\ q\ (degree\ q) = 0$
shows $(rp\ a1, rp\ a2) = (div-rat-poly-step\ (rp\ q) \circ rat-of-int)\ b\ (rp\ c1, rp\ c2)$
 $\longleftrightarrow Some\ (a1, a2) = div-int-poly-step\ q\ b\ (Some\ (c1, c2))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $int-step-then-rat-poly-step :$
assumes $Some : Some\ (a1, a2) = div-int-poly-step\ q\ b\ (Some\ (c1, c2))$
shows $(rp\ a1, rp\ a2) = (div-rat-poly-step\ (rp\ q) \circ rat-of-int)\ b\ (rp\ c1, rp\ c2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $is-int-rat-division :$
assumes $y \neq 0$
shows $is-int-rat\ (rat-of-int\ x\ /\ rat-of-int\ y) \longleftrightarrow x\ mod\ y = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $pCons-of-rp-contains-ints :$
assumes $rp\ a = pCons\ b\ c$
shows $is-int-rat\ b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rat-step-then-int-poly-step :$
assumes $q \neq 0$
and $(rp\ a1, rp\ a2) = (div-rat-poly-step\ (rp\ q) \circ rat-of-int)\ b2\ (rp\ c1, rp\ c2)$
shows $Some\ (a1, a2) = div-int-poly-step\ q\ b2\ (Some\ (c1, c2))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $div-int-poly-step-surjective : Some\ a = div-int-poly-step\ q\ b\ c \implies \exists\ c'.\ c = Some\ c'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $div-mod-int-poly-then-pdivmod :$
assumes $div-mod-int-poly\ p\ q = Some\ (r, m)$
shows $(rp\ p\ div\ rp\ q, rp\ p\ mod\ rp\ q) = (rp\ r, rp\ m)$
and $q \neq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $div-rat-poly-step-sur :$
assumes $(case\ a\ of\ (a, b) \Rightarrow (rp\ a, rp\ b)) = (div-rat-poly-step\ (rp\ q) \circ rat-of-int)\ x\ pair$
shows $\exists\ c'.\ pair = (case\ c'\ of\ (a, b) \Rightarrow (rp\ a, rp\ b))$

<proof>

lemma *pdivmod-then-div-mod-int-poly*:

assumes $q0: q \neq 0$ **and** $(rp\ p\ \text{div}\ rp\ q, rp\ p\ \text{mod}\ rp\ q) = (rp\ r, rp\ m)$

shows $\text{div-mod-int-poly}\ p\ q = \text{Some}\ (r,m)$

<proof>

lemma *div-int-then-rqp*:

assumes $\text{div-int-poly}\ p\ q = \text{Some}\ r$

shows $r * q = p$

and $q \neq 0$

<proof>

lemma *rqp-then-div-int*:

assumes $r * q = p$

and $q0: q \neq 0$

shows $\text{div-int-poly}\ p\ q = \text{Some}\ r$

<proof>

lemma *div-int-poly*: $(\text{div-int-poly}\ p\ q = \text{Some}\ r) \longleftrightarrow (q \neq 0 \wedge p = r * q)$

<proof>

definition *dvd-int-poly* :: $\text{int poly} \Rightarrow \text{int poly} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\text{dvd-int-poly}\ q\ p = (\text{if}\ q = 0\ \text{then}\ p = 0\ \text{else}\ \text{div-int-poly}\ p\ q \neq \text{None})$

lemma *dvd-int-poly[simp]*: $\text{dvd-int-poly}\ q\ p = (q\ \text{dvd}\ p)$

<proof>

definition *dvd-int-poly-non-0* :: $\text{int poly} \Rightarrow \text{int poly} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\text{dvd-int-poly-non-0}\ q\ p = (\text{div-int-poly}\ p\ q \neq \text{None})$

lemma *dvd-int-poly-non-0[simp]*: $q \neq 0 \implies \text{dvd-int-poly-non-0}\ q\ p = (q\ \text{dvd}\ p)$

<proof>

lemma [*code-unfold*]: $p\ \text{dvd}\ q \longleftrightarrow \text{dvd-int-poly}\ p\ q$ *<proof>*

hide-const *rp*

end

5 More on Polynomials

This theory contains several results on content, gcd, primitive part, etc.. Moreover, there is a slightly improved code-equation for computing the gcd.

theory *Missing-Polynomial-Factorial*

imports *HOL-Computational-Algebra.Polynomial-Factorial*

Polynomial-Interpolation.Missing-Polynomial

begin

Improved code equation for *gcd-poly-code* which avoids computing the

content twice.

lemma *gcd-poly-code-code*[code]: *gcd-poly-code* p q =
 (if $p = 0$ then normalize q else if $q = 0$ then normalize p else let
 $c1 = \text{content } p$;
 $c2 = \text{content } q$;
 $p' = \text{map-poly } (\lambda x. x \text{ div } c1) p$;
 $q' = \text{map-poly } (\lambda x. x \text{ div } c2) q$
 in *smult* (*gcd* $c1$ $c2$) (*gcd-poly-code-aux* p' q')
 <proof>

lemma *gcd-smult*: **fixes** f $g :: 'a :: \{\text{factorial-ring-gcd, semiring-gcd-mult-normalize}\}$
poly
defines $cf: cf \equiv \text{content } f$
and $cg: cg \equiv \text{content } g$
shows *gcd* (*smult* a f) g = (if $a = 0 \vee f = 0$ then normalize g else
smult (*gcd* a ($cg \text{ div } (\text{gcd } cf \ cg)$)) (*gcd* f g))
 <proof>

lemma *gcd-smult-ex*: **assumes** $a \neq 0$
shows $\exists b. \text{gcd } (\text{smult } a \ f) \ g = \text{smult } b \ (\text{gcd } f \ g) \wedge b \neq 0$
 <proof>

lemma *primitive-part-idemp*[simp]:
fixes $f :: 'a :: \{\text{semiring-gcd, normalization-semidom-multiplicative}\}$ *poly*
shows *primitive-part* (*primitive-part* f) = *primitive-part* f
 <proof>

lemma *content-gcd-primitive*:
 $f \neq 0 \implies \text{content } (\text{gcd } (\text{primitive-part } f) \ g) = 1$
 $f \neq 0 \implies \text{content } (\text{gcd } (\text{primitive-part } f) \ (\text{primitive-part } g)) = 1$
 <proof>

lemma *content-gcd-content*: *content* (*gcd* f g) = *gcd* (*content* f) (*content* g)
 (is ?l = ?r)
 <proof>

lemma *gcd-primitive-part*:
gcd (*primitive-part* f) (*primitive-part* g) = *normalize* (*primitive-part* (*gcd* f g))
 <proof>

lemma *primitive-part-gcd*: *primitive-part* (*gcd* f g)
 = *unit-factor* (*gcd* f g) * *gcd* (*primitive-part* f) (*primitive-part* g)
 <proof>

lemma *primitive-part-normalize*:
fixes $f :: 'a :: \{\text{semiring-gcd, idom-divide, normalization-semidom-multiplicative}\}$
poly
shows *primitive-part* (*normalize* f) = *normalize* (*primitive-part* f)
 <proof>

lemma *length-coeffs-primitive-part*[simp]: $\text{length } (\text{coeffs } (\text{primitive-part } f)) = \text{length } (\text{coeffs } f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *degree-unit-factor*[simp]: $\text{degree } (\text{unit-factor } f) = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *degree-normalize*[simp]: $\text{degree } (\text{normalize } f) = \text{degree } f$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *content-iff*: $x \text{ dvd content } p \iff (\forall c \in \text{set } (\text{coeffs } p). x \text{ dvd } c)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *is-unit-field-poly*[simp]: $(p :: 'a :: \text{field poly}) \text{ dvd } 1 \iff p \neq 0 \wedge \text{degree } p = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *primitive where*
 $\text{primitive } f \iff (\forall x. (\forall y \in \text{set } (\text{coeffs } f). x \text{ dvd } y) \longrightarrow x \text{ dvd } 1)$

lemma *primitiveI*:
assumes $(\bigwedge x. (\bigwedge y. y \in \text{set } (\text{coeffs } f) \implies x \text{ dvd } y) \implies x \text{ dvd } 1)$
shows *primitive* f ⟨proof⟩

lemma *primitiveD*:
assumes *primitive* f
shows $(\bigwedge y. y \in \text{set } (\text{coeffs } f) \implies x \text{ dvd } y) \implies x \text{ dvd } 1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *not-primitiveE*:
assumes $\neg \text{primitive } f$
and $\bigwedge x. (\bigwedge y. y \in \text{set } (\text{coeffs } f) \implies x \text{ dvd } y) \implies \neg x \text{ dvd } 1 \implies \text{thesis}$
shows *thesis* ⟨proof⟩

lemma *primitive-iff-content-eq-1*[simp]:
fixes $f :: 'a :: \text{semiring-gcd poly}$
shows *primitive* $f \iff \text{content } f = 1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *primitive-prod-list*:
fixes $fs :: 'a :: \{\text{factorial-semiring, semiring-Gcd, normalization-semidom-multiplicative}\}$
poly list
assumes *primitive* $(\text{prod-list } fs)$ **and** $f \in \text{set } fs$ **shows** *primitive* f
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *irreducible-imp-primitive*:
fixes $f :: 'a :: \{\text{idom, semiring-gcd}\}$ *poly*
assumes *irr*: *irreducible* f **and** *deg*: $\text{degree } f \neq 0$ **shows** *primitive* f
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *irreducible-primitive-connect*:
fixes $f :: 'a :: \{idom, semiring-gcd\}$ *poly*
assumes $cf: primitive\ f$ **shows** $irreducible_d\ f \longleftrightarrow irreducible\ f$ (**is** $?l \longleftrightarrow ?r$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *deg-not-zero-imp-not-unit*:
fixes $f :: 'a :: \{idom-divide, semidom-divide-unit-factor\}$ *poly*
assumes $deg-f: degree\ f > 0$
shows $\neg is-unit\ f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *content-pCons[simp]*: $content\ (pCons\ a\ p) = gcd\ a\ (content\ p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *content-field-poly*:
fixes $f :: 'a :: \{field, semiring-gcd\}$ *poly*
shows $content\ f = (if\ f = 0\ then\ 0\ else\ 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

6 Gauss Lemma

We formalized Gauss Lemma, that the content of a product of two polynomials p and q is the product of the contents of p and q . As a corollary we provide an algorithm to convert a rational factor of an integer polynomial into an integer factor.

In contrast to the theory on unique factorization domains – where Gauss Lemma is also proven in a more generic setting – we are here in an executable setting and do not use the unspecified *some* – *gcd* function. Moreover, there is a slight difference in the definition of content: in this theory it is only defined for integer-polynomials, whereas in the UFD theory, the content is defined for polynomials in the fraction field.

theory *Gauss-Lemma*
imports
HOL-Computational-Algebra.Primes
HOL-Computational-Algebra.Field-as-Ring
Polynomial-Interpolation.Ring-Hom-Poly
Missing-Polynomial-Factorial
begin

lemma *primitive-part-alt-def*:
 $primitive-part\ p = sdiv-poly\ p\ (content\ p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *common-denom* :: *rat list* \Rightarrow *int* \times *int list* **where**

common-denom xs \equiv *let*
nds = *map quotient-of xs*;
denom = *list-lcm (map snd nds)*;
ints = *map* ($\lambda (n,d). n * \text{denom} \text{ div } d$) *nds*
in (*denom, ints*)

definition *rat-to-int-poly* :: *rat poly* \Rightarrow *int* \times *int poly* **where**

rat-to-int-poly p \equiv *let*
ais = *coeffs p*;
d = *fst (common-denom ais)*
in (*d, map-poly* ($\lambda x. \text{case quotient-of } x \text{ of } (p,q) \Rightarrow p * d \text{ div } q$) *p*)

definition *rat-to-normalized-int-poly* :: *rat poly* \Rightarrow *rat* \times *int poly* **where**

rat-to-normalized-int-poly p \equiv *if* *p* = 0 *then* (1,0) *else case rat-to-int-poly p of*
(*s,q*)
 \Rightarrow (*of-int (content q) / of-int s, primitive-part q*)

lemma *rat-to-normalized-int-poly-code*[*code*]:

rat-to-normalized-int-poly p = (*if p* = 0 *then* (1,0) *else case rat-to-int-poly p of*
(*s,q*)
 \Rightarrow *let c* = *content q in (of-int c / of-int s, sdiv-poly q c)*)
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *common-denom: assumes cd: common-denom xs = (dd,ys)*

shows *xs* = *map* ($\lambda i. \text{of-int } i / \text{of-int } dd$) *ys* *dd* > 0
 $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies \text{rat-of-int (case quotient-of } x \text{ of } (n, x) \Rightarrow n * dd \text{ div } x) /$
rat-of-int dd = *x*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *rat-to-int-poly: assumes rat-to-int-poly p = (d,q)*

shows *p* = *smult (inverse (of-int d)) (map-poly of-int q)* *d* > 0
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *content-ge-0-int: content p* \geq (0 :: *int*)

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *abs-content-int*[*simp*]: **fixes** *p* :: *int poly*

shows *abs (content p)* = *content p* \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *content-smult-int: fixes p* :: *int poly*

shows *content (smult a p)* = *abs a* * *content p* \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *normalize-non-0-smult: $\exists a. (a :: 'a :: \text{semiring-gcd}) \neq 0 \wedge \text{smult } a$*
(*primitive-part p*) = *p*

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *rat-to-normalized-int-poly: assumes rat-to-normalized-int-poly p = (d,q)*

shows *p* = *smult d (map-poly of-int q)* *d* > 0 *p* \neq 0 \implies *content q* = 1 *degree q*

= degree p
<proof>

lemma content-dvd-1:
content g = 1 **if** content f = (1 :: 'a :: semiring-gcd) g dvd f
<proof>

lemma dvd-smult-int: **fixes** c :: int **assumes** c: c ≠ 0
and dvd: q dvd (smult c p)
shows primitive-part q dvd p
<proof>

lemma irreducible_a-primitive-part:
fixes p :: int poly
shows irreducible_a (primitive-part p) ↔ irreducible_a p (**is** ?l ↔ ?r)
<proof>

lemma irreducible_a-smult-int:
fixes c :: int **assumes** c: c ≠ 0
shows irreducible_a (smult c p) = irreducible_a p (**is** ?l = ?r)
<proof>

lemma irreducible_a-as-irreducible:
fixes p :: int poly
shows irreducible_a p ↔ irreducible (primitive-part p)
<proof>

lemma rat-to-int-factor-content-1: **fixes** p :: int poly
assumes cp: content p = 1
and pgh: map-poly rat-of-int p = g * h
and g: rat-to-normalized-int-poly g = (r,rg)
and h: rat-to-normalized-int-poly h = (s,sh)
and p: p ≠ 0
shows p = rg * sh
<proof>

lemma rat-to-int-factor-explicit: **fixes** p :: int poly
assumes pgh: map-poly rat-of-int p = g * h
and g: rat-to-normalized-int-poly g = (r,rg)
shows ∃ r. p = rg * smult (content p) r
<proof>

lemma rat-to-int-factor: **fixes** p :: int poly
assumes pgh: map-poly rat-of-int p = g * h
shows ∃ g' h'. p = g' * h' ∧ degree g' = degree g ∧ degree h' = degree h
<proof>

lemma rat-to-int-factor-normalized-int-poly: **fixes** p :: rat poly

assumes pg : $p = g * h$
and p : *rat-to-normalized-int-poly* $p = (i, ip)$
shows $\exists g' h'. ip = g' * h' \wedge \text{degree } g' = \text{degree } g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *irreducible-smult* [*simp*]:
fixes $c :: 'a :: \text{field}$
shows *irreducible* (*smult* c p) \longleftrightarrow *irreducible* $p \wedge c \neq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

A polynomial with integer coefficients is irreducible over the rationals, if it is irreducible over the integers.

theorem *irreducible_d-int-rat*: **fixes** $p :: \text{int poly}$
assumes p : *irreducible_d* p
shows *irreducible_d* (*map-poly rat-of-int* p)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *irreducible_d-rat-to-normalized-int-poly*:
assumes rp : *rat-to-normalized-int-poly* $rp = (a, ip)$
and ip : *irreducible_d* ip
shows *irreducible_d* rp
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *dvd-content-dvd*: **assumes** dvd : *content* f dvd *content* g *primitive-part* f dvd *primitive-part* g
shows f dvd g
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sdiv-poly-smult*: $c \neq 0 \implies$ *sdiv-poly* (*smult* c f) $c = f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *primitive-part-smult-int*: **fixes** $f :: \text{int poly}$ **shows**
primitive-part (*smult* d f) = *smult* (*sgn* d) (*primitive-part* f)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gcd-smult-left*: **assumes** $c \neq 0$
shows *gcd* (*smult* c f) $g = \text{gcd } f$ ($g :: 'b :: \{\text{field-gcd}\}$ *poly*)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gcd-smult-right*: $c \neq 0 \implies$ *gcd* f (*smult* c g) = *gcd* f ($g :: 'b :: \{\text{field-gcd}\}$ *poly*)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gcd-rat-to-gcd-int*: *gcd* (*of-int-poly* $f :: \text{rat poly}$) (*of-int-poly* g) =
smult (*inverse* (*of-int* (*lead-coeff* (*gcd* f g)))) (*of-int-poly* (*gcd* f g))
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

7 Prime Factorization

This theory contains not-completely naive algorithms to test primality and to perform prime factorization. More precisely, it corresponds to prime factorization algorithm A in Knuth's textbook [1].

```
theory Prime-Factorization
imports
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Primes
  Missing-List
  Missing-Multiset
begin
```

7.1 Definitions

definition *primes-1000* :: *nat list* **where**

```
primes-1000 = [2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, 47, 53, 59,
61, 67, 71, 73, 79, 83, 89, 97, 101,
103, 107, 109, 113, 127, 131, 137, 139, 149, 151, 157, 163, 167, 173, 179,
181, 191, 193, 197, 199,
211, 223, 227, 229, 233, 239, 241, 251, 257, 263, 269, 271, 277, 281, 283,
293, 307, 311, 313, 317,
331, 337, 347, 349, 353, 359, 367, 373, 379, 383, 389, 397, 401, 409, 419,
421, 431, 433, 439, 443,
449, 457, 461, 463, 467, 479, 487, 491, 499, 503, 509, 521, 523, 541, 547,
557, 563, 569, 571, 577,
587, 593, 599, 601, 607, 613, 617, 619, 631, 641, 643, 647, 653, 659, 661,
673, 677, 683, 691, 701,
709, 719, 727, 733, 739, 743, 751, 757, 761, 769, 773, 787, 797, 809, 811,
821, 823, 827, 829, 839,
853, 857, 859, 863, 877, 881, 883, 887, 907, 911, 919, 929, 937, 941, 947,
953, 967, 971, 977, 983,
991, 997]
```

lemma *primes-1000*: *primes-1000* = *filter prime [0..<1001]*
(*proof*)

definition *next-candidates* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *nat* \times *nat list* **where**

```
next-candidates n = (if n = 0 then (1001,primes-1000) else (n + 30,
[n,n+2,n+6,n+8,n+12,n+18,n+20,n+26]))
```

definition *candidate-invariant* *n* = (*n* = 0 \vee *n* mod 30 = (11 :: *nat*))

partial-function (*tailrec*) *remove-prime-factor* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *nat list* \Rightarrow *nat* \times *nat list* **where**

```
[code]: remove-prime-factor p n ps = (case Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat n p of
(n',m)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  if m = 0 then remove-prime-factor p n' (p # ps) else (n,ps))
```

partial-function (*tailrec*) *prime-factorization-nat-main*

$:: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat list} \Rightarrow \text{nat list} \Rightarrow \text{nat list}$ **where**
`[code]: prime-factorization-nat-main n j is ps = (case is of`
 $\square \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{case next-candidates } j \text{ of } (j, is) \Rightarrow \text{prime-factorization-nat-main } n \ j \ is \ ps)$
 $| (i \# is) \Rightarrow (\text{case Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat } n \ i \ \text{of } (n', m) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{if } m = 0 \text{ then case remove-prime-factor } i \ n' \ (i \# ps)$
 $\text{of } (n', ps') \Rightarrow \text{if } n' = 1 \text{ then } ps' \ \text{else}$
 $\text{prime-factorization-nat-main } n' \ j \ is \ ps'$
 $\text{else if } i * i \leq n \text{ then prime-factorization-nat-main } n \ j \ is \ ps$
 $\text{else } (n \# ps)))$

partial-function (*tailrec*) *prime-nat-main*

$:: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
`[code]: prime-nat-main n j is = (case is of`
 $\square \Rightarrow (\text{case next-candidates } j \ \text{of } (j, is) \Rightarrow \text{prime-nat-main } n \ j \ is)$
 $| (i \# is) \Rightarrow (\text{if } i \ \text{dvd } n \ \text{then } i \geq n \ \text{else if } i * i \leq n \ \text{then prime-nat-main } n \ j \ is$
 $\text{else True}))$

definition *prime-nat* $:: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\text{prime-nat } n \equiv \text{if } n < 2 \text{ then False else — TODO: integrate precomputed map}$
 $\text{case next-candidates } 0 \ \text{of } (j, is) \Rightarrow \text{prime-nat-main } n \ j \ is$

definition *prime-factorization-nat* $:: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat list}$ **where**

$\text{prime-factorization-nat } n \equiv \text{rev } (\text{if } n < 2 \text{ then } \square \ \text{else}$
 $\text{case next-candidates } 0 \ \text{of } (j, is) \Rightarrow \text{prime-factorization-nat-main } n \ j \ is \ \square)$

definition *divisors-nat* $:: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat list}$ **where**

$\text{divisors-nat } n \equiv \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } \square \ \text{else}$
 $\text{remdups-adj } (\text{sort } (\text{map prod-list } (\text{subseqs } (\text{prime-factorization-nat } n))))$

definition *divisors-int-pos* $:: \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{int list}$ **where**

$\text{divisors-int-pos } x \equiv \text{map int } (\text{divisors-nat } (\text{nat } (\text{abs } x)))$

definition *divisors-int* $:: \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{int list}$ **where**

$\text{divisors-int } x \equiv \text{let } xs = \text{divisors-int-pos } x \ \text{in } xs \ @ \ (\text{map uminus } xs)$

7.2 Proofs

lemma *remove-prime-factor*: **assumes** *res*: $\text{remove-prime-factor } i \ n \ ps = (m, qs)$

and *i*: $i > 1$

and *n*: $n \neq 0$

shows $\exists rs. qs = rs \ @ \ ps \wedge n = m * \text{prod-list } rs \wedge \neg i \ \text{dvd } m \wedge \text{set } rs \subseteq \{i\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prime-sqrtI*: **assumes** *n*: $n \geq 2$

and *small*: $\bigwedge j. 2 \leq j \implies j < i \implies \neg j \ \text{dvd } n$

and *i*: $\neg i * i \leq n$

shows $\text{prime } (n::\text{nat}) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *candidate-invariant-0*: *candidate-invariant 0*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *next-candidates*: **assumes** *res*: *next-candidates n = (m,ps)*
and *n*: *candidate-invariant n*
shows *candidate-invariant m sorted ps {i. prime i ∧ n ≤ i ∧ i < m} ⊆ set ps*
set ps ⊆ {2..} ∩ {n..<m} distinct ps ps ≠ [] n < m
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *prime-test-iterate2*: **assumes** *small*: $\bigwedge j. 2 \leq j \implies j < (i :: nat) \implies \neg j \text{ dvd } n$
and *odd*: *odd n*
and *n*: $n \geq 3$
and *i*: $i \geq 3$ *odd i*
and *mod*: $\neg i \text{ dvd } n$
and *j*: $2 \leq j < i + 2$
shows $\neg j \text{ dvd } n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *prime-divisor*: **assumes** $j \geq 2$ **and** *j dvd n* **shows**
 $\exists p :: nat. \text{prime } p \wedge p \text{ dvd } j \wedge p \text{ dvd } n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *prime-nat-main*: $ni = (n,i,is) \implies i \geq 2 \implies n \geq 2 \implies$
 $(\bigwedge j. 2 \leq j \implies j < i \implies \neg (j \text{ dvd } n)) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge j. i \leq j \implies j < jj \implies \text{prime } j \implies j \in \text{set } is) \implies i \leq jj \implies$
sorted is \implies *distinct is* \implies *candidate-invariant jj* \implies *set is* \subseteq $\{i..<jj\} \implies$
res = prime-nat-main n jj is \implies
res = prime n
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *prime-factorization-nat-main*: $ni = (n,i,is) \implies i \geq 2 \implies n \geq 2 \implies$
 $(\bigwedge j. 2 \leq j \implies j < i \implies \neg (j \text{ dvd } n)) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge j. i \leq j \implies j < jj \implies \text{prime } j \implies j \in \text{set } is) \implies i \leq jj \implies$
sorted is \implies *distinct is* \implies *candidate-invariant jj* \implies *set is* \subseteq $\{i..<jj\} \implies$
res = prime-factorization-nat-main n jj is ps \implies
 $\exists qs. \text{res} = qs @ ps \wedge \text{Ball } (\text{set } qs) \text{ prime} \wedge n = \text{prod-list } qs$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *prime-nat[simp]*: *prime-nat n = prime n*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *prime-factorization-nat*: **fixes** *n* :: *nat*
defines *pf* \equiv *prime-factorization-nat n*
shows *Ball (set pf) prime*
and $n \neq 0 \implies \text{prod-list } pf = n$
and $n = 0 \implies pf = []$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *prod-mset-multiset-prime-factorization-nat* [*simp*]:
 $(x::\text{nat}) \neq 0 \implies \text{prod-mset} (\text{prime-factorization } x) = x$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *prime-factorization-unique''*:
fixes $A :: 'a :: \{\text{factorial-semiring-multiplicative}\}$ *multiset*
assumes $\bigwedge p. p \in \# A \implies \text{prime } p$
assumes $\text{prod-mset } A = \text{normalize } x$
shows $\text{prime-factorization } x = A$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *multiset-prime-factorization-nat-correct*:
 $\text{prime-factorization } n = \text{mset} (\text{prime-factorization-nat } n)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *multiset-prime-factorization-code*[*code-unfold*]:
 $\text{prime-factorization} = (\lambda n. \text{mset} (\text{prime-factorization-nat } n))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *divisors-nat*:
 $n \neq 0 \implies \text{set} (\text{divisors-nat } n) = \{p. p \text{ dvd } n\} \text{ distinct } (\text{divisors-nat } n) \text{ divisors-nat}$
 $0 = []$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *divisors-int-pos*: $x \neq 0 \implies \text{set} (\text{divisors-int-pos } x) = \{i. i \text{ dvd } x \wedge i > 0\}$
 $\text{distinct} (\text{divisors-int-pos } x)$
 $\text{divisors-int-pos } 0 = []$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *divisors-int*: $x \neq 0 \implies \text{set} (\text{divisors-int } x) = \{i. i \text{ dvd } x\} \text{ distinct } (\text{divisors-int } x)$
 $\text{divisors-int } 0 = []$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *divisors-fun* :: $('a \Rightarrow ('a :: \{\text{comm-monoid-mult,zero}\}) \text{ list}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where
 $\text{divisors-fun } df \equiv (\forall x. x \neq 0 \longrightarrow \text{set} (df x) = \{d. d \text{ dvd } x\}) \wedge (\forall x. \text{distinct} (df x))$

lemma *divisors-funD*: $\text{divisors-fun } df \implies x \neq 0 \implies d \text{ dvd } x \implies d \in \text{set} (df x)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *divisors-pos-fun* :: $('a \Rightarrow ('a :: \{\text{comm-monoid-mult,zero,ord}\}) \text{ list}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where
 $\text{divisors-pos-fun } df \equiv (\forall x. x \neq 0 \longrightarrow \text{set} (df x) = \{d. d \text{ dvd } x \wedge d > 0\}) \wedge (\forall x. \text{distinct} (df x))$

lemma *divisors-pos-funD*: *divisors-pos-fun* $df \implies x \neq 0 \implies d \text{ dvd } x \implies d > 0$
 $\implies d \in \text{set } (df \ x)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *divisors-fun-nat*: *divisors-fun* *divisors-nat*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *divisors-fun-int*: *divisors-fun* *divisors-int*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *divisors-pos-fun-int*: *divisors-pos-fun* *divisors-int-pos*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

8 Rational Root Test

This theory contains a formalization of the rational root test, i.e., a decision procedure to test whether a polynomial over the rational numbers has a rational root.

theory *Rational-Root-Test*

imports

Gauss-Lemma

Missing-List

Prime-Factorization

begin

definition *rational-root-test-main* ::

$(\text{int} \Rightarrow \text{int list}) \Rightarrow (\text{int} \Rightarrow \text{int list}) \Rightarrow \text{rat poly} \Rightarrow \text{rat option}$ **where**
rational-root-test-main $df \ dp \ p \equiv \text{let } ip = \text{snd } (\text{rat-to-normalized-int-poly } p);$
 $a0 = \text{coeff } ip \ 0; \ an = \text{coeff } ip \ (\text{degree } ip)$
 $\text{in if } a0 = 0 \text{ then } \text{Some } 0 \text{ else}$
 $\text{let } d0 = df \ a0; \ dn = dp \ an$
 in map-option fst
 $(\text{find-map-filter } (\lambda \ x. (x, \text{poly } p \ x)))$
 $(\lambda \ (-, \text{res}). \text{res} = 0) [\text{rat-of-int } b0 \ / \ \text{of-int } bn \ . \ b0 <- d0, \ bn <- dn, \ \text{coprime}$
 $b0 \ bn \])$

definition *rational-root-test* :: $\text{rat poly} \Rightarrow \text{rat option}$ **where**

rational-root-test $p =$

rational-root-test-main *divisors-int* *divisors-int-pos* p

lemma *rational-root-test-main*:

rational-root-test-main $df \ dp \ p = \text{Some } x \implies \text{poly } p \ x = 0$
divisors-fun $df \implies \text{divisors-pos-fun } dp \implies \text{rational-root-test-main } df \ dp \ p =$
 $\text{None} \implies \neg (\exists \ x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

```

lemma rational-root-test:
  rational-root-test p = Some x  $\implies$  poly p x = 0
  rational-root-test p = None  $\implies$   $\neg$  ( $\exists$  x. poly p x = 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

```

9 Kronecker Factorization

This theory contains Kronecker's factorization algorithm to factor integer or rational polynomials.

```

theory Kronecker-Factorization

```

```

imports

```

```

  Polynomial-Interpolation.Polynomial-Interpolation
  Sqrt-Babylonian.Sqrt-Babylonian-Auxiliary
  Missing-List
  Prime-Factorization
  Precomputation
  Gauss-Lemma
  Dvd-Int-Poly

```

```

begin

```

9.1 Definitions

```

context

```

```

  fixes df :: int  $\Rightarrow$  int list
  and dp :: int  $\Rightarrow$  int list
  and bnd :: nat

```

```

begin

```

```

definition kronecker-samples :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  int list where

```

```

  kronecker-samples n  $\equiv$  let min = - int (n div 2) in [min .. min + int n]

```

```

lemma kronecker-samples-0: 0  $\in$  set (kronecker-samples n) ⟨proof⟩

```

Since 0 is always a samples value, we make a case analysis: we only take positive divisors of $p(0)$, and consider all divisors for other $p(j)$.

```

definition kronecker-factorization-main :: int poly  $\Rightarrow$  int poly option where

```

```

  kronecker-factorization-main p  $\equiv$  if degree p  $\leq$  1 then None else let

```

```

    p = primitive-part p;
    js = kronecker-samples bnd;
    cjs = map ( $\lambda$  j. (poly p j, j)) js

```

```

  in (case map-of cjs 0 of

```

```

    Some j  $\Rightarrow$  Some ([: - j, 1 :])

```

```

  | None  $\Rightarrow$  let djs = map ( $\lambda$  (v,j). map (Pair j) (if j = 0 then dp v else df v)) cjs

```

```

  in

```

```

    map-option the (find-map-filter newton-interpolation-poly-int

```

(λ go. case go of None \Rightarrow False | Some g \Rightarrow dvd-int-poly-non-0 g p \wedge degree g \geq 1)
 (concat-lists djs))

definition *kronecker-factorization-rat-main* :: rat poly \Rightarrow rat poly option **where**
kronecker-factorization-rat-main p \equiv map-option (map-poly of-int)
 (kronecker-factorization-main (snd (rat-to-normalized-int-poly p)))
end

definition *kronecker-factorization* :: int poly \Rightarrow int poly option **where**
kronecker-factorization p =
kronecker-factorization-main divisors-int divisors-int-pos (degree p div 2) p

definition *kronecker-factorization-rat* :: rat poly \Rightarrow rat poly option **where**
kronecker-factorization-rat p =
kronecker-factorization-rat-main divisors-int divisors-int-pos (degree p div 2) p

9.2 Code setup for divisors

definition *divisors-nat-copy* n \equiv if n = 0 then [] else remdups-adj (sort (map prod-list (subseqs (prime-factorization-nat n))))

lemma *divisors-nat-copy[simp]*: *divisors-nat-copy* = *divisors-nat*
 <proof>

definition *memo-divisors-nat* \equiv memo-nat 0 100 *divisors-nat-copy*

lemma *memo-divisors-nat[code-unfold]*: *divisors-nat* = *memo-divisors-nat*
 <proof>

9.3 Proofs

context
begin

lemma *rat-to-int-poly-of-int*: **assumes** rp: rat-to-int-poly (map-poly of-int p) = (c,q)
shows c = 1 q = p
 <proof>

lemma *rat-to-normalized-int-poly-of-int*: **assumes** rat-to-normalized-int-poly (map-poly of-int p) = (c,q)
shows c \in \mathbb{Z} p \neq 0 \implies c = of-int (content p) \wedge q = primitive-part p
 <proof>

lemma *dvd-poly-int-content-1*: **assumes** c-x: content x = 1
shows (x dvd y) = (map-poly rat-of-int x dvd map-poly of-int y)
 <proof>

lemma *content-x-minus-const-int[simp]*: content [: c, 1 :] = (1 :: int)

<proof>

lemma *length-upto-add-nat[simp]*: $\text{length } [a .. a + \text{int } n] = \text{Suc } n$
<proof>

lemma *kronecker-samples: distinct (kronecker-samples n) length (kronecker-samples n) = Suc n*
<proof>

lemma *dvd-int-poly-non-0-degree-1[simp]*: $\text{degree } q \geq 1 \implies \text{dvd-int-poly-non-0 } q$
 $p = (q \text{ dvd } p)$
<proof>

context *fixes* $df \ dp :: \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{int list}$
and $bnd :: \text{nat}$
begin

lemma *kronecker-factorization-main-sound: assumes some: kronecker-factorization-main*
 $df \ dp \ bnd \ p = \text{Some } q$
and $bnd: \text{degree } p \geq 2 \implies bnd \geq 1$
shows $\text{degree } q \geq 1 \ \text{degree } q \leq bnd \ q \ \text{dvd } p$
<proof>

lemma *kronecker-factorization-rat-main-sound: assumes*
some: kronecker-factorization-rat-main $df \ dp \ bnd \ p = \text{Some } q$
and $bnd: \text{degree } p \geq 2 \implies bnd \geq 1$
shows $\text{degree } q \geq 1 \ \text{degree } q \leq bnd \ q \ \text{dvd } p$
<proof>

context
assumes $df: \text{divisors-fun } df$ *and* $dpf: \text{divisors-pos-fun } dp$
begin

lemma *kronecker-factorization-main-complete: assumes*
none: kronecker-factorization-main $df \ dp \ bnd \ p = \text{None}$
and $dp: \text{degree } p \geq 2$
shows $\neg (\exists q. 1 \leq \text{degree } q \wedge \text{degree } q \leq bnd \wedge q \ \text{dvd } p)$
<proof>

lemma *kronecker-factorization-rat-main-complete: assumes*
none: kronecker-factorization-rat-main $df \ dp \ bnd \ p = \text{None}$
and $dp: \text{degree } p \geq 2$
shows $\neg (\exists q. 1 \leq \text{degree } q \wedge \text{degree } q \leq bnd \wedge q \ \text{dvd } p)$
<proof>
end
end

lemma *kronecker-factorization*:
kronecker-factorization $p = \text{Some } q \implies$
 $\text{degree } q \geq 1 \wedge \text{degree } q < \text{degree } p \wedge q \text{ dvd } p$
kronecker-factorization $p = \text{None} \implies \text{degree } p \geq 1 \implies \text{irreducible}_d p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *kronecker-factorization-rat*:
kronecker-factorization-rat $p = \text{Some } q \implies$
 $\text{degree } q \geq 1 \wedge \text{degree } q < \text{degree } p \wedge q \text{ dvd } p$
kronecker-factorization-rat $p = \text{None} \implies \text{degree } p \geq 1 \implies \text{irreducible}_d p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end
end

10 Polynomial Divisibility

We make a connection between irreducibility of Missing-Polynomial and Factorial-Ring.

theory *Polynomial-Irreducibility*
imports
Polynomial-Interpolation.Missing-Polynomial
begin

lemma *dvd-gcd-mult*: **fixes** $p :: 'a :: \text{semiring-gcd}$
assumes $\text{dvd}: k \text{ dvd } p * q \ k \text{ dvd } p * r$
shows $k \text{ dvd } p * \text{gcd } q \ r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-gcd-monic-factor*:
 $\text{monic } p \implies \text{gcd } (p * q) (p * r) = p * \text{gcd } q \ r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context
assumes *SORT-CONSTRAINT*('a :: field)
begin

lemma *field-poly-irreducible-dvd-mult[simp]*:
assumes $\text{irr}: \text{irreducible } (p :: 'a \text{ poly})$
shows $p \text{ dvd } q * r \iff p \text{ dvd } q \vee p \text{ dvd } r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *irreducible-dvd-pow*:
fixes $p :: 'a \text{ poly}$
assumes $\text{irr}: \text{irreducible } p$
shows $p \text{ dvd } q \wedge^n \implies p \text{ dvd } q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *irreducible-dvd-prod*: **fixes** $p :: 'a\ poly$
assumes $irr: irreducible\ p$
and $dvd: p\ dvd\ prod\ f\ as$
shows $\exists a \in as. p\ dvd\ f\ a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *irreducible-dvd-prod-list*: **fixes** $p :: 'a\ poly$
assumes $irr: irreducible\ p$
and $dvd: p\ dvd\ prod\ list\ as$
shows $\exists a \in set\ as. p\ dvd\ a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dvd-mult-imp-degree*: **fixes** $p :: 'a\ poly$
assumes $p\ dvd\ q\ * r$
and $degree\ p > 0$
shows $\exists s\ t. irreducible\ s \wedge p = s * t \wedge (s\ dvd\ q \vee s\ dvd\ r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

10.1 Fundamental Theorem of Algebra for Factorizations

Via the existing formulation of the fundamental theorem of algebra, we prove that we always get a linear factorization of a complex polynomial. Using this factorization we show that root-square-freeness of complex polynomial is identical to the statement that the cardinality of the set of all roots is equal to the degree of the polynomial.

theory *Fundamental-Theorem-Algebra-Factorized*
imports
Order-Polynomial
HOL-Computational-Algebra.Fundamental-Theorem-Algebra
begin

lemma *fundamental-theorem-algebra-factorized*: **fixes** $p :: complex\ poly$
shows $\exists as. smult\ (coeff\ p\ (degree\ p))\ (\prod a \leftarrow as. [:-\ a,\ 1:]) = p \wedge length\ as = degree\ p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rsquarefree-card-degree*: **assumes** $p0: (p :: complex\ poly) \neq 0$
shows $rsquarefree\ p = (card\ \{x. poly\ p\ x = 0\} = degree\ p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

11 Square Free Factorization

We implemented Yun's algorithm to perform a square-free factorization of a polynomial. We further show properties of a square-free factorization, namely that the exponents in the square-free factorization are exactly the orders of the roots. We also show that factorizing the result of square-free factorization further will again result in a square-free factorization, and that square-free factorizations can be lifted homomorphically.

theory *Square-Free-Factorization*

imports

Matrix.Utility

Polynomial-Irreducibility

Order-Polynomial

Fundamental-Theorem-Algebra-Factorized

Polynomial-Interpolation.Ring-Hom-Poly

begin

definition *square-free* :: 'a :: comm-semiring-1 poly \Rightarrow bool **where**
square-free p = (p \neq 0 \wedge (\forall q. degree q > 0 \longrightarrow \neg (q * q dvd p)))

lemma *square-freeI*:

assumes \bigwedge q. degree q > 0 \Longrightarrow q \neq 0 \Longrightarrow q * q dvd p \Longrightarrow False

and p: p \neq 0

shows *square-free* p \langle proof \rangle

lemma *square-free-multD*:

assumes sf: *square-free* (f * g)

shows h dvd f \Longrightarrow h dvd g \Longrightarrow degree h = 0 *square-free* f *square-free* g
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *irreducible_a-square-free*:

fixes p :: 'a :: {comm-semiring-1, semiring-no-zero-divisors} poly

shows *irreducible_a* p \Longrightarrow *square-free* p

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *square-free-factor*: **assumes** dvd: a dvd p

and sf: *square-free* p

shows *square-free* a

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *square-free-prod-list-distinct*:

assumes sf: *square-free* (prod-list us :: 'a :: idom poly)

and us: \bigwedge u. u \in set us \Longrightarrow degree u > 0

shows *distinct* us

\langle proof \rangle

definition *separable* **where**

separable f = coprime f (pderiv f)

lemma *separable-imp-square-free*:
assumes *sep*: *separable* ($f :: 'a :: \{\text{field}, \text{factorial-ring-gcd}, \text{semiring-gcd-mult-normalize}\}$
poly)
shows *square-free* f
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *square-free-rsquarefree*: **assumes** f : *square-free* f
shows *rsquarefree* f
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *square-free-prodD*:
fixes $fs :: 'a :: \{\text{field}, \text{euclidean-ring-gcd}, \text{semiring-gcd-mult-normalize}\}$ *poly set*
assumes sf : *square-free* ($\prod fs$)
and fin : *finite* fs
and f : $f \in fs$
and g : $g \in fs$
and fg : $f \neq g$
shows *coprime* $f g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rsquarefree-square-free-complex*: **assumes** *rsquarefree* ($p :: \text{complex poly}$)
shows *square-free* p
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *square-free-separable-main*:
fixes $f :: 'a :: \{\text{field}, \text{factorial-ring-gcd}, \text{semiring-gcd-mult-normalize}\}$ *poly*
assumes *square-free* f
and *sep*: $\neg \text{separable } f$
shows $\exists g k. f = g * k \wedge \text{degree } g \neq 0 \wedge \text{pderiv } g = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *square-free-imp-separable*: **fixes** $f :: 'a :: \{\text{field-char-0}, \text{factorial-ring-gcd}, \text{semiring-gcd-mult-normalize}\}$
poly
assumes *square-free* f
shows *separable* f
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *square-free-iff-separable*:
square-free ($f :: 'a :: \{\text{field-char-0}, \text{factorial-ring-gcd}, \text{semiring-gcd-mult-normalize}\}$
poly) = *separable* f
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context
assumes *SORT-CONSTRAINT* ($'a :: \{\text{field}, \text{factorial-ring-gcd}\}$)
begin
lemma *square-free-smult*: $c \neq 0 \implies \text{square-free } (f :: 'a \text{ poly}) \implies \text{square-free } (\text{smult } c f)$

<proof>

lemma *square-free-smult-iff*[simp]: $c \neq 0 \implies \text{square-free } (\text{smult } c \ f) = \text{square-free } (f :: 'a \ \text{poly})$
<proof>
end

context

assumes *SORT-CONSTRAINT*('a::factorial-ring-gcd)

begin

definition *square-free-factorization* :: 'a poly \Rightarrow 'a \times ('a poly \times nat) list \Rightarrow bool
where

square-free-factorization $p \ cbs \equiv \text{case } cbs \text{ of } (c, bs) \Rightarrow$
 $(p = \text{smult } c \ (\prod_{(a, i) \in \text{set } bs} a \ ^i))$
 $\wedge (p = 0 \longrightarrow c = 0 \wedge bs = [])$
 $\wedge (\forall a \ i. (a, i) \in \text{set } bs \longrightarrow \text{square-free } a \wedge \text{degree } a > 0 \wedge i > 0)$
 $\wedge (\forall a \ i \ b \ j. (a, i) \in \text{set } bs \longrightarrow (b, j) \in \text{set } bs \longrightarrow (a, i) \neq (b, j) \longrightarrow \text{coprime } a \ b)$
 $\wedge \text{distinct } bs$

lemma *square-free-factorizationD*: **assumes** *square-free-factorization* $p \ (c, bs)$

shows $p = \text{smult } c \ (\prod_{(a, i) \in \text{set } bs} a \ ^i)$
 $(a, i) \in \text{set } bs \implies \text{square-free } a \wedge \text{degree } a \neq 0 \wedge i > 0$
 $(a, i) \in \text{set } bs \implies (b, j) \in \text{set } bs \implies (a, i) \neq (b, j) \implies \text{coprime } a \ b$
 $p = 0 \implies c = 0 \wedge bs = []$
distinct bs
<proof>

lemma *square-free-factorization-prod-list*: **assumes** *square-free-factorization* $p \ (c, bs)$

shows $p = \text{smult } c \ (\text{prod-list } (\lambda (a, i). a \ ^i) \ bs)$
<proof>
end

11.1 Yun's factorization algorithm

locale *yun-gcd* =

fixes $Gcd :: 'a :: \text{factorial-ring-gcd} \ \text{poly} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{poly} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{poly}$

begin

partial-function (*tailrec*) *yun-factorization-main* ::

'a poly \Rightarrow 'a poly \Rightarrow
nat \Rightarrow ('a poly \times nat)list \Rightarrow ('a poly \times nat)list **where**
[code]: *yun-factorization-main* $bn \ cn \ i \ \text{sqr} =$ (
 if $bn = 1$ then sqr
 else (
 let
 $dn = cn - \text{pderiv } bn;$
 $an = Gcd \ bn \ dn$
 in *yun-factorization-main* $(bn \ \text{div } an) \ (dn \ \text{div } an) \ (\text{Suc } i) \ ((an, \text{Suc } i) \ \# \ \text{sqr}))$

definition *yun-monic-factorization* :: 'a poly \Rightarrow ('a poly \times nat)list **where**

```
yun-monic-factorization p = (let
  pp = pderiv p;
  u = Gcd p pp;
  b0 = p div u;
  c0 = pp div u
in
  (filter ( $\lambda$  (a,i). a  $\neq$  1) (yun-factorization-main b0 c0 0 [])))
```

definition *square-free-monic-poly* :: 'a poly \Rightarrow 'a poly **where**

```
square-free-monic-poly p = (p div (Gcd p (pderiv p)))
end
```

declare *yun-gcd.yun-monic-factorization-def* [code]

declare *yun-gcd.yun-factorization-main.simps* [code]

declare *yun-gcd.square-free-monic-poly-def* [code]

context

fixes *Gcd* :: 'a :: {field-char-0,euclidean-ring-gcd} poly \Rightarrow 'a poly \Rightarrow 'a poly

begin

interpretation *yun-gcd Gcd* <proof>

definition *square-free-poly* :: 'a poly \Rightarrow 'a poly **where**

```
square-free-poly p = (if p = 0 then 0 else
  square-free-monic-poly (smult (inverse (coeff p (degree p))) p))
```

definition *yun-factorization* :: 'a poly \Rightarrow 'a \times ('a poly \times nat)list **where**

```
yun-factorization p = (if p = 0
  then (0,[]) else (let
  c = coeff p (degree p);
  q = smult (inverse c) p
in (c, yun-monic-factorization q)))
```

lemma *yun-factorization-0[simp]*: *yun-factorization* 0 = (0,[])

<proof>

end

locale *monic-factorization* =

fixes *as* :: ('a :: {field-char-0,euclidean-ring-gcd,semiring-gcd-mult-normalize}
poly \times nat) set

and *p* :: 'a poly

assumes *p*: $p = \text{prod } (\lambda$ (a,i). a \wedge Suc i) *as*

and *fin*: finite *as*

assumes *as-distinct*: \bigwedge a i b j. (a,i) \in *as* \implies (b,j) \in *as* \implies (a,i) \neq (b,j) \implies
a \neq b

and *as-irred*: \bigwedge a i. (a,i) \in *as* \implies irreducible_a a

and *as-monic*: \bigwedge a i. (a,i) \in *as* \implies monic a

begin

lemma poly-exp-expand:

$p = (\text{prod } (\lambda (a,i). a \wedge i) \text{ as}) * \text{prod } (\lambda (a,i). a) \text{ as}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma pderiv-exp-prod:

$\text{pderiv } p = (\text{prod } (\lambda (a,i). a \wedge i) \text{ as} * \text{sum } (\lambda (a,i).$
 $\text{prod } (\lambda (b,j). b) (\text{as} - \{(a,i)\}) * \text{smult } (\text{of-nat } (\text{Suc } i)) (\text{pderiv } a)) \text{ as})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma monic-gen: assumes $bs \subseteq as$

shows $\text{monic } (\prod (a, i) \in bs. a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma nonzero-gen: assumes $bs \subseteq as$

shows $(\prod (a, i) \in bs. a) \neq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma monic-Prod: monic $(\prod (a, i) \in as. a \wedge i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma coprime-generic:

assumes $bs: bs \subseteq as$

and $f: \bigwedge a i. (a,i) \in bs \implies f i > 0$

shows $\text{coprime } (\prod (a, i) \in bs. a)$

$(\sum (a, i) \in bs. (\prod (b, j) \in bs - \{(a, i)\}. b) * \text{smult } (\text{of-nat } (f i)) (\text{pderiv } a))$

(is coprime ?single ?onederiv)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma pderiv-exp-gcd:

$\text{gcd } p (\text{pderiv } p) = (\prod (a, i) \in as. a \wedge i) \text{ (is - = ?prod)}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma p-div-gcd-p-pderiv: $p \text{ div } (\text{gcd } p (\text{pderiv } p)) = (\prod (a, i) \in as. a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun $A B C D :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ poly where}$

$A n = \text{gcd } (B n) (D n)$

$| B 0 = p \text{ div } (\text{gcd } p (\text{pderiv } p))$

$| B (\text{Suc } n) = B n \text{ div } A n$

$| C 0 = \text{pderiv } p \text{ div } (\text{gcd } p (\text{pderiv } p))$

$| C (\text{Suc } n) = D n \text{ div } A n$

$| D n = C n - \text{pderiv } (B n)$

lemma A-B-C-D: $A n = (\prod (a, i) \in as \cap UNIV \times \{n\}. a)$

$B n = (\prod (a, i) \in as - UNIV \times \{0 ..< n\}. a)$

$C n = (\sum (a, i) \in as - UNIV \times \{0 ..< n\}.$

$(\prod (b, j) \in as - UNIV \times \{0 ..< n\} - \{(a, i)\}. b) * \text{smult } (\text{of-nat } (\text{Suc } i - n))$
 $(\text{pderiv } a))$

$D\ n = (\prod (a, i) \in as \cap UNIV \times \{n\}. a) * (\sum (a, i) \in as - UNIV \times \{0 ..< Suc\ n\}. (\prod (b, j) \in as - UNIV \times \{0 ..< Suc\ n\} - \{(a, i)\}. b) * (smult (of-nat (i - n)) (pderiv a))))$
 <proof>

lemmas $A = A-B-C-D(1)$

lemmas $B = A-B-C-D(2)$

lemmas $ABCD-simps = A.simps\ B.simps\ C.simps\ D.simps$

declare $ABCD-simps[simp\ del]$

lemma *prod-A*:

$(\prod i = 0..< n. A\ i\ \wedge\ Suc\ i) = (\prod (a, i) \in as \cap UNIV \times \{0 ..< n\}. a\ \wedge\ Suc\ i)$
 <proof>

lemma *prod-A-is-p-unknown*: **assumes** $\bigwedge a\ i. (a, i) \in as \implies i < n$

shows $p = (\prod i = 0..< n. A\ i\ \wedge\ Suc\ i)$
 <proof>

definition *bound* :: *nat* **where**

$bound = Suc\ (Max\ (snd\ 'as))$

lemma *bound*: **assumes** $m: m \geq bound$

shows $B\ m = 1$
 <proof>

lemma *coprime-A-A*: **assumes** $i \neq j$

shows $coprime\ (A\ i)\ (A\ j)$
 <proof>

lemma *A-monic*: $monic\ (A\ i)$

<proof>

lemma *A-square-free*: $square-free\ (A\ i)$

<proof>

lemma *prod-A-is-p-B-bound*: **assumes** $B\ n = 1$

shows $p = (\prod i = 0..< n. A\ i\ \wedge\ Suc\ i)$
 <proof>

interpretation *yun-gcd gcd* <proof>

lemma *square-free-monic-poly*: $(poly\ (square-free-monic-poly\ p)\ x = 0) = (poly\ p\ x = 0)$

<proof>

lemma *yun-factorization-induct*: **assumes** $base: \bigwedge bn\ cn. bn = 1 \implies P\ bn\ cn$

and step: $\bigwedge bn\ cn. bn \neq 1 \implies P (bn\ \text{div}\ (\text{gcd}\ bn\ (cn - \text{pderiv}\ bn)))$
 $((cn - \text{pderiv}\ bn)\ \text{div}\ (\text{gcd}\ bn\ (cn - \text{pderiv}\ bn))) \implies P\ bn\ cn$
and id: $bn = p\ \text{div}\ \text{gcd}\ p\ (\text{pderiv}\ p)\ cn = \text{pderiv}\ p\ \text{div}\ \text{gcd}\ p\ (\text{pderiv}\ p)$
shows $P\ bn\ cn$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *yun-factorization-main*: **assumes** *yun-factorization-main* $(B\ n)\ (C\ n)\ n$
 $bs = cs$
 $set\ bs = \{(A\ i,\ \text{Suc}\ i) \mid i. i < n\}$ *distinct* $(map\ snd\ bs)$
shows $\exists m. set\ cs = \{(A\ i,\ \text{Suc}\ i) \mid i. i < m\} \wedge B\ m = 1 \wedge \text{distinct}\ (map\ snd\ cs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *yun-monic-factorization-res*: **assumes** *res*: *yun-monic-factorization* $p = bs$
shows $\exists m. set\ bs = \{(A\ i,\ \text{Suc}\ i) \mid i. i < m \wedge A\ i \neq 1\} \wedge B\ m = 1 \wedge \text{distinct}\ (map\ snd\ bs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *yun-monic-factorization*: **assumes** *yun*: *yun-monic-factorization* $p = bs$
shows *square-free-factorization* $p\ (1, bs)\ (b, i) \in set\ bs \implies \text{monic}\ b\ \text{distinct}\ (map\ snd\ bs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end

lemma *monic-factorization*: **assumes** *monic* p
shows $\exists as. \text{monic-factorization}\ as\ p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *square-free-monic-poly*:
assumes *monic* $(p :: 'a :: \{\text{field-char-0}, \text{euclidean-ring-gcd}, \text{semiring-gcd-mult-normalize}\})$
poly
shows $(poly\ (\text{yun-gcd.square-free-monic-poly}\ \text{gcd}\ p)\ x = 0) = (poly\ p\ x = 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *yun-factorization-induct*:
assumes *base*: $\bigwedge bn\ cn. bn = 1 \implies P\ bn\ cn$
and step: $\bigwedge bn\ cn. bn \neq 1 \implies P (bn\ \text{div}\ (\text{gcd}\ bn\ (cn - \text{pderiv}\ bn)))$
 $((cn - \text{pderiv}\ bn)\ \text{div}\ (\text{gcd}\ bn\ (cn - \text{pderiv}\ bn))) \implies P\ bn\ cn$
and id: $bn = p\ \text{div}\ \text{gcd}\ p\ (\text{pderiv}\ p)\ cn = \text{pderiv}\ p\ \text{div}\ \text{gcd}\ p\ (\text{pderiv}\ p)$
and monic: *monic* $(p :: 'a :: \{\text{field-char-0}, \text{euclidean-ring-gcd}, \text{semiring-gcd-mult-normalize}\})$
poly
shows $P\ bn\ cn$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *square-free-poly*:
 $(poly\ (\text{square-free-poly}\ \text{gcd}\ p)\ x = 0) = (poly\ p\ x = 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *yun-monic-factorization*:
fixes $p :: 'a :: \{\text{field-char-0, euclidean-ring-gcd, semiring-gcd-mult-normalize}\}$ *poly*
assumes *res*: *yun-gcd.yun-monic-factorization gcd p = bs*
and *monic*: *monic p*
shows *square-free-factorization p (1,bs) (b,i) ∈ set bs ⇒ monic b distinct (map snd bs)*
<proof>

lemma *square-free-factorization-smult*: **assumes** *c*: $c \neq 0$
and *sf*: *square-free-factorization p (d,bs)*
shows *square-free-factorization (smult c p) (c * d, bs)*
<proof>

lemma *yun-factorization*: **assumes** *res*: *yun-factorization gcd p = c-bs*
shows *square-free-factorization p c-bs (b,i) ∈ set (snd c-bs) ⇒ monic b*
<proof>

lemma *prod-list-pow*: $(\prod x \leftarrow bs. (x :: 'a :: \text{comm-monoid-mult}) ^ i)$
 $= \text{prod-list } bs \ ^ i$
<proof>

declare *irreducible-linear-field-poly*[intro!]

context
assumes *SORT-CONSTRAINT*($'a :: \{\text{field, factorial-ring-gcd, semiring-gcd-mult-normalize}\}$)

begin

lemma *square-free-factorization-order-root-mem*:
assumes *sff*: *square-free-factorization p (c,bs)*
and *p*: $p \neq (0 :: 'a \text{ poly})$
and *ai*: $(a,i) \in \text{set } bs$ **and** *rt*: $\text{poly } a \ x = 0$
shows *order x p = i*
<proof>

lemma *square-free-factorization-order-root-no-mem*:
assumes *sff*: *square-free-factorization p (c,bs)*
and *p*: $p \neq (0 :: 'a \text{ poly})$
and *no-root*: $\bigwedge a \ i. (a,i) \in \text{set } bs \Rightarrow \text{poly } a \ x \neq 0$
shows *order x p = 0*
<proof>

lemma *square-free-factorization-order-root*:
assumes *sff*: *square-free-factorization p (c,bs)*
and *p*: $p \neq (0 :: 'a \text{ poly})$
shows $\text{order } x \ p = i \iff (i = 0 \wedge (\forall a \ j. (a,j) \in \text{set } bs \longrightarrow \text{poly } a \ x \neq 0) \vee (\exists a \ j. (a,j) \in \text{set } bs \wedge \text{poly } a \ x = 0 \wedge i = j))$ (**is** $?l = (?r1 \vee ?r2)$)
<proof>

lemma *square-free-factorization-root*:

assumes *sff*: *square-free-factorization* p (c, bs)

and $p \neq 0$:: 'a poly

shows $\{x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0\} = \{x. \exists a \ i. (a, i) \in \text{set } bs \wedge \text{poly } a \ x = 0\}$

<proof>

lemma *square-free-factorizationD'*: **fixes** p :: 'a poly

assumes *sf*: *square-free-factorization* p (c, bs)

shows $p = \text{smult } c \ (\prod (a, i) \leftarrow bs. a \ ^i)$

and *square-free* (*prod-list* (*map fst* bs))

and $\bigwedge b \ i. (b, i) \in \text{set } bs \implies \text{degree } b > 0 \wedge i > 0$

and $p = 0 \implies c = 0 \wedge bs = []$

<proof>

lemma *square-free-factorizationI'*: **fixes** p :: 'a poly

assumes *prod*: $p = \text{smult } c \ (\prod (a, i) \leftarrow bs. a \ ^i)$

and *sf*: *square-free* (*prod-list* (*map fst* bs))

and *deg*: $\bigwedge b \ i. (b, i) \in \text{set } bs \implies \text{degree } b > 0 \wedge i > 0$

and $0: p = 0 \implies c = 0 \wedge bs = []$

shows *square-free-factorization* p (c, bs)

<proof>

lemma *square-free-factorization-def'*: **fixes** p :: 'a poly

shows *square-free-factorization* p (c, bs) \longleftrightarrow

$(p = \text{smult } c \ (\prod (a, i) \leftarrow bs. a \ ^i)) \wedge$

$(\text{square-free } (\text{prod-list } (\text{map fst } bs))) \wedge$

$(\forall b \ i. (b, i) \in \text{set } bs \longrightarrow \text{degree } b > 0 \wedge i > 0) \wedge$

$(p = 0 \longrightarrow c = 0 \wedge bs = [])$

<proof>

lemma *square-free-factorization-smult-prod-listI*: **fixes** p :: 'a poly

assumes *sff*: *square-free-factorization* p ($c, bs1 \ @ \ (\text{smult } b \ (\text{prod-list } bs), i) \ # \ bs2$)

and bs : $\bigwedge b. b \in \text{set } bs \implies \text{degree } b > 0$

shows *square-free-factorization* p ($c * b \ ^i, bs1 \ @ \ \text{map } (\lambda b. (b, i)) \ bs \ @ \ bs2$)

<proof>

lemma *square-free-factorization-further-factorization*: **fixes** p :: 'a poly

assumes *sff*: *square-free-factorization* p (c, bs)

and bs : $\bigwedge b \ i \ d \ fs. (b, i) \in \text{set } bs \implies f \ b = (d, fs)$

$\implies b = \text{smult } d \ (\text{prod-list } fs) \wedge (\forall f \in \text{set } fs. \text{degree } f > 0)$

and h : $h = (\lambda (b, i). \text{case } f \ b \ \text{of } (d, fs) \Rightarrow (d \ ^i, \text{map } (\lambda f. (f, i)) \ fs))$

and gs : $gs = \text{map } h \ bs$

and d : $d = c * \text{prod-list } (\text{map fst } gs)$

and es : $es = \text{concat } (\text{map snd } gs)$

shows *square-free-factorization* p (d, es)

<proof>

lemma *square-free-factorization-prod-listI*: **fixes** $p :: 'a \text{ poly}$
assumes $\text{sff}: \text{square-free-factorization } p (c, \text{bs1} @ ((\text{prod-list } \text{bs}), i) \# \text{bs2})$
and $\text{bs}: \bigwedge b. b \in \text{set } \text{bs} \implies \text{degree } b > 0$
shows $\text{square-free-factorization } p (c, \text{bs1} @ \text{map } (\lambda b. (b, i)) \text{bs} @ \text{bs2})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *square-free-factorization-factorI*: **fixes** $p :: 'a \text{ poly}$
assumes $\text{sff}: \text{square-free-factorization } p (c, \text{bs1} @ (a, i) \# \text{bs2})$
and $r: \text{degree } r \neq 0$ **and** $s: \text{degree } s \neq 0$
and $a: a = r * s$
shows $\text{square-free-factorization } p (c, \text{bs1} @ ((r, i) \# (s, i) \# \text{bs2}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

lemma *monic-square-free-irreducible-factorization*: **assumes** $\text{mon}: \text{monic } (f :: 'b$
 $:: \text{field poly})$
and $\text{sf}: \text{square-free } f$
shows $\exists P. \text{finite } P \wedge f = \prod P \wedge P \subseteq \{q. \text{irreducible } q \wedge \text{monic } q\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context

assumes $\text{SORT-CONSTRAINT}('a :: \{\text{field}, \text{factorial-ring-gcd}\})$

begin

lemma *monic-factorization-uniqueness*:

fixes $P :: 'a \text{ poly set}$

assumes $\text{finite-P}: \text{finite } P$

and $PQ: \prod P = \prod Q$

and $P: P \subseteq \{q. \text{irreducible}_a q \wedge \text{monic } q\}$

and $\text{finite-Q}: \text{finite } Q$

and $Q: Q \subseteq \{q. \text{irreducible}_a q \wedge \text{monic } q\}$

shows $P = Q$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

11.2 Yun factorization and homomorphisms

locale *field-hom-0'* = *field-hom hom*

for $\text{hom} :: 'a :: \{\text{field-char-0}, \text{field-gcd}\} \implies$
 $'b :: \{\text{field-char-0}, \text{field-gcd}\}$

begin

sublocale *field-hom'* $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

lemma (in *field-hom-0'*) *yun-factorization-main-hom*:

defines $\text{hp}: \text{hp} \equiv \text{map-poly } \text{hom}$

defines $\text{hpi}: \text{hpi} \equiv \text{map } (\lambda (f, i). (\text{hp } f, i :: \text{nat}))$

assumes $\text{monic}: \text{monic } p$ **and** $f: f = p \text{ div gcd } p (\text{pderiv } p)$ **and** $g: g = \text{pderiv } p$

div gcd p (pderiv p)
shows *yun-gcd.yun-factorization-main gcd (hp f) (hp g) i (hpi as) = hpi (yun-gcd.yun-factorization-main gcd f g i as)*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *square-free-square-free-factorization:*
square-free (p :: 'a :: {field,factorial-ring-gcd,semiring-gcd-mult-normalize} poly)
 \implies
degree p \neq 0 \implies square-free-factorization p (1,[(p,1)])
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *constant-square-free-factorization:*
degree p = 0 \implies square-free-factorization p (coeff p 0,[])
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *field-hom-0'*) *yun-monic-factorization:*
defines *hp: hp \equiv map-poly hom*
defines *hpi: hpi \equiv map (λ (f,i). (hp f, i :: nat))*
assumes *monic: monic f*
shows *yun-gcd.yun-monic-factorization gcd (hp f) = hpi (yun-gcd.yun-monic-factorization gcd f)*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *field-hom-0'*) *yun-factorization-hom:*
defines *hp: hp \equiv map-poly hom*
defines *hpi: hpi \equiv map (λ (f,i). (hp f, i :: nat))*
shows *yun-factorization gcd (hp f) = map-prod hom hpi (yun-factorization gcd f)*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *field-hom-0'*) *square-free-map-poly:*
square-free (map-poly hom f) = square-free f
 ⟨proof⟩

end

12 GCD of rational polynomials via GCD for integer polynomials

This theory contains an algorithm to compute GCDs of rational polynomials via a conversion to integer polynomials and then invoking the integer polynomial GCD algorithm.

theory *Gcd-Rat-Poly*
imports
Gauss-Lemma
HOL-Computational-Algebra.Field-as-Ring

begin

definition *gcd-rat-poly* :: *rat poly* \Rightarrow *rat poly* \Rightarrow *rat poly* **where**

gcd-rat-poly *f g* = (let
 f' = *snd* (*rat-to-int-poly* *f*);
 g' = *snd* (*rat-to-int-poly* *g*);
 h = *map-poly rat-of-int* (*gcd* *f' g'*)
 in *smult* (*inverse* (*lead-coeff* *h*)) *h*)

lemma *gcd-rat-poly[simp]*: *gcd-rat-poly* = *gcd*
<proof>

lemma *gcd-rat-poly-unfold[code-unfold]*: *gcd* = *gcd-rat-poly* *<proof>*
end

13 Rational Factorization

We combine the rational root test, the formulas for explicit roots, and the Kronecker's factorization algorithm to provide a basic factorization algorithm for polynomial over rational numbers. Moreover, also the roots of a rational polynomial can be determined.

theory *Rational-Factorization*

imports

Explicit-Roots
Kronecker-Factorization
Square-Free-Factorization
Rational-Root-Test
Gcd-Rat-Poly
Show.Show-Poly

begin

function *roots-of-rat-poly-main* :: *rat poly* \Rightarrow *rat list* **where**

roots-of-rat-poly-main *p* = (let *n* = *degree* *p* in if *n* = 0 then [] else if *n* = 1 then
[*roots1* *p*]
 else if *n* = 2 then *rat-roots2* *p* else
 case *rational-root-test* *p* of None \Rightarrow [] | Some *x* \Rightarrow *x* # *roots-of-rat-poly-main* (*p*
div [:-*x*,1:])]
<proof>)

termination *<proof>*

lemma *roots-of-rat-poly-main-code[code]*: *roots-of-rat-poly-main* *p* = (let *n* = *degree*
p in if *n* = 0 then [] else if *n* = 1 then [*roots1* *p*]
 else if *n* = 2 then *rat-roots2* *p* else
 case *rational-root-test* *p* of None \Rightarrow [] | Some *x* \Rightarrow *x* # *roots-of-rat-poly-main* (*p*
div [:-*x*,1:])]
<proof>)

lemma *roots-of-rat-poly-main*: $p \neq 0 \implies \text{set } (\text{roots-of-rat-poly-main } p) = \{x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0\}$
 <proof>

declare *roots-of-rat-poly-main.simps*[simp del]

definition *roots-of-rat-poly* :: *rat poly* \Rightarrow *rat list* **where**
roots-of-rat-poly $p \equiv \text{let } (c, \text{pis}) = \text{yun-factorization gcd-rat-poly } p \text{ in}$
 $\text{concat } (\text{map } (\text{roots-of-rat-poly-main } o \text{fst}) \text{pis})$

lemma *roots-of-rat-poly*: **assumes** $p: p \neq 0$
shows $\text{set } (\text{roots-of-rat-poly } p) = \{x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0\}$
 <proof>

definition *root-free* :: '*a* :: *comm-semiring-0 poly* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
root-free $p = (\text{degree } p = 1 \vee (\forall x. \text{poly } p \ x \neq 0))$

lemma *irreducible-root-free*:
fixes $p :: 'a :: \text{idom poly}$
assumes *irreducible* p **shows** *root-free* p
 <proof>

partial-function (*tailrec*) *factorize-root-free-main* :: *rat poly* \Rightarrow *rat list* \Rightarrow *rat poly list* \Rightarrow *rat* \times *rat poly list* **where**
 [code]: *factorize-root-free-main* $p \ xs \ fs = (\text{case } xs \text{ of } \text{Nil} \Rightarrow$
 $\text{let } l = \text{coeff } p \ (\text{degree } p); q = \text{smult } (\text{inverse } l) \ p \ \text{in } (l, (\text{if } q = 1 \text{ then } fs \ \text{else } q$
 $\# \ fs))$
 $| x \# \ xs \Rightarrow$
 $\text{if } \text{poly } p \ x = 0 \text{ then } \text{factorize-root-free-main } (p \ \text{div } [-x, 1:]) \ (x \# \ xs) \ ([-x, 1:]$
 $\# \ fs)$
 $\text{else } \text{factorize-root-free-main } p \ xs \ fs)$

definition *factorize-root-free* :: *rat poly* \Rightarrow *rat* \times *rat poly list* **where**
factorize-root-free $p = (\text{if } \text{degree } p = 0 \text{ then } (\text{coeff } p \ 0, []) \ \text{else}$
 $\text{factorize-root-free-main } p \ (\text{roots-of-rat-poly } p) \ [])$

lemma *factorize-root-free-0*[simp]: *factorize-root-free* $0 = (0, [])$
 <proof>

lemma *factorize-root-free*: **assumes** *res*: *factorize-root-free* $p = (c, qs)$
shows $p = \text{smult } c \ (\text{prod-list } qs)$
 $\bigwedge q. q \in \text{set } qs \implies \text{root-free } q \wedge \text{monic } q \wedge \text{degree } q \neq 0$
 <proof>

definition *rational-proper-factor* :: *rat poly* \Rightarrow *rat poly option* **where**
rational-proper-factor $p = (\text{if } \text{degree } p \leq 1 \text{ then } \text{None}$
 $\text{else if } \text{degree } p = 2 \text{ then } (\text{case } \text{rat-roots2 } p \ \text{of } \text{Nil} \Rightarrow \text{None} \ | \ \text{Cons } x \ xs \Rightarrow \text{Some}$
 $[-x, 1 \ :])$

else if degree p = 3 then (case rational-root-test p of None \Rightarrow None | Some x \Rightarrow Some [-x,1:])
else kronecker-factorization-rat p)

lemma degree-1-dvd-root: **assumes** q : degree ($q :: 'a :: field$ poly) = 1
and rt : $\bigwedge x. poly\ p\ x \neq 0$
shows $\neg q\ dvd\ p$
<proof>

lemma rational-proper-factor:
degree p > 0 \implies rational-proper-factor p = None \implies irreducible_a p
rational-proper-factor p = Some q \implies q dvd p \wedge degree q \geq 1 \wedge degree q < degree p
<proof>

function factorize-rat-poly-main :: rat \Rightarrow rat poly list \Rightarrow rat poly list \Rightarrow rat \times rat poly list **where**
factorize-rat-poly-main c irr [] = (c, irr)
*| factorize-rat-poly-main c irr (p # ps) = (if degree p = 0 then factorize-rat-poly-main (c * coeff p 0) irr ps else (case rational-proper-factor p of None \Rightarrow factorize-rat-poly-main c (p # irr) ps | Some q \Rightarrow factorize-rat-poly-main c irr (q # p div q # ps)))*
<proof>

definition factorize-rat-poly-main-wf-rel = inv-image (mult1 {(x, y). x < y}) ($\lambda(c, irr, ps). mset (map\ degree\ ps)$)

lemma wf-factorize-rat-poly-main-wf-rel: wf factorize-rat-poly-main-wf-rel
<proof>

lemma factorize-rat-poly-main-wf-rel-sub:
((a, b, ps), (c, d, p # ps)) \in factorize-rat-poly-main-wf-rel
<proof>

lemma factorize-rat-poly-main-wf-rel-two: **assumes** degree q < degree p degree r < degree p
shows ((a,b,q # r # ps), (c,d,p # ps)) \in factorize-rat-poly-main-wf-rel
<proof>

termination
<proof>

declare factorize-rat-poly-main.simps[simp del]

lemma factorize-rat-poly-main:

assumes *factorize-rat-poly-main* c *irr* $ps = (d,qs)$
and *Ball* (*set irr*) *irreducible_d*
shows *Ball* (*set qs*) *irreducible_d* (**is** ?*g1*)
and *smult* c (*prod-list* (*irr @ ps*)) = *smult* d (*prod-list qs*) (**is** ?*g2*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *factorize-rat-poly-basic* $p = \text{factorize-rat-poly-main } 1 \ [] \ [p]$

lemma *factorize-rat-poly-basic*: **assumes** *res*: *factorize-rat-poly-basic* $p = (c,qs)$
shows $p = \text{smult } c \ (\text{prod-list } qs)$
 $\bigwedge q. q \in \text{set } qs \implies \text{irreducible}_d \ q$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

We removed the *factorize-rat-poly* function from this theory, since the one in Berlekamp-Zassenhaus is easier to use and implements a more efficient algorithm.

end

References

- [1] D. E. Knuth. *The Art of Computer Programming, Volume II: Seminumerical Algorithms, 2nd Edition*. Addison-Wesley, 1981.
- [2] D. Yun. On square-free decomposition algorithms. In *Proc. the third ACM symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation*, pages 26–35, 1976.