

Polynomial Factorization*

René Thiemann and Akihisa Yamada

April 20, 2020

Abstract

Based on existing libraries for polynomial interpolation and matrices, we formalized several factorization algorithms for polynomials, including Kronecker's algorithm for integer polynomials, Yun's square-free factorization algorithm for field polynomials, and a factorization algorithm which delivers root-free polynomials.

As side products, we developed division algorithms for polynomials over integral domains, as well as primality-testing and prime-factorization algorithms for integers.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Missing List	3
1.2	Partitions	4
1.3	merging functions	5
2	Preliminaries	18
2.1	Missing Multiset	18
2.2	Precomputation	19
2.3	Order of Polynomial Roots	20
3	Explicit Formulas for Roots	21
4	Division of Polynomials over Integers	23
5	More on Polynomials	26
6	Gauss Lemma	29
7	Prime Factorization	32
7.1	Definitions	32
7.2	Proofs	34

*Supported by FWF (Austrian Science Fund) project Y757.

8	Rational Root Test	36
9	Kronecker Factorization	37
9.1	Definitions	37
9.2	Code setup for divisors	38
9.3	Proofs	38
10	Polynomial Divisibility	40
10.1	Fundamental Theorem of Algebra for Factorizations	41
11	Square Free Factorization	42
11.1	Yun’s factorization algorithm	44
11.2	Yun factorization and homomorphisms	52
12	GCD of rational polynomials via GCD for integer polynomials	53
13	Rational Factorization	53

1 Introduction

The details of the factorization algorithms have mostly been extracted from Knuth’s Art of Computer Programming [1]. Also Wikipedia provided valuable help.

As a first fast preprocessing for factorization we integrated Yun’s factorization algorithm which identifies duplicate factors [2]. In contrast to the existing formalized result that the GCD of p and p' has no duplicate factors (and the same roots as p), Yun’s algorithm decomposes a polynomial p into $p_1^1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_n^n$ such that no p_i has a duplicate factor and there is no common factor of p_i and p_j for $i \neq j$. As a comparison, the GCD of p and p' is exactly $p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_n$, but without decomposing this product into the list of p_i ’s.

Factorization over \mathbb{Q} is reduced to factorization over \mathbb{Z} with the help of Gauss’ Lemma.

Kronecker’s algorithm for factorization over \mathbb{Z} requires both polynomial interpolation over \mathbb{Z} and prime factorization over \mathbb{N} . Whereas the former is available as a separate AFP-entry, for prime factorization we mechanized a simple algorithm depicted in [1]: For a given number n , the algorithm iteratively checks divisibility by numbers until \sqrt{n} , with some optimizations: it uses a precomputed set of small primes (all primes up to 1000), and if $n \bmod 30 = 11$, the next test candidates in the range $[n, n + 30)$ are only the 8 numbers $n, n + 2, n + 6, n + 8, n + 12, n + 18, n + 20, n + 26$.

However, in theory and praxis it turned out that Kronecker's algorithm is too inefficient. Therefore, in a separate AFP-entry we formalized the Berlekamp-Zassenhaus factorization.¹

There also is a combined factorization algorithm: For polynomials of degree 2, the closed form for the roots of quadratic polynomials is applied. For polynomials of degree 3, the rational root test determines whether the polynomial is irreducible or not, and finally for degree 4 and higher, Kronecker's factorization algorithm is applied.

1.1 Missing List

The provides some standard algorithms and lemmas on lists.

theory *Missing-List*

imports

Matrix.Utility

HOL-Library.Monad-Syntax

begin

fun *concat-lists* :: 'a list list \Rightarrow 'a list list **where**

concat-lists [] = [[]]

| *concat-lists* (as # xs) = *concat* (*map* (λ vec. *map* (λ a. a # vec) as) (*concat-lists* xs))

lemma *concat-lists-listset*: *set* (*concat-lists* xs) = *listset* (*map set* xs)

<proof>

lemma *sum-list-concat*: *sum-list* (*concat* ls) = *sum-list* (*map sum-list* ls)

<proof>

lemma *listset*: *listset* xs = { ys. *length* ys = *length* xs \wedge (\forall i < *length* xs. ys ! i \in xs ! i)}

<proof>

lemma *set-concat-lists[simp]*: *set* (*concat-lists* xs) = {as. *length* as = *length* xs \wedge (\forall i < *length* xs. as ! i \in *set* (xs ! i))}

<proof>

declare *concat-lists.simps[simp del]*

fun *find-map-filter* :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'b option **where**

find-map-filter f p [] = None

| *find-map-filter* f p (a # as) = (let b = f a in if p b then Some b else *find-map-filter* f p as)

¹The Berlekamp-Zassenhaus AFP-entry was originally not present and at that time, this AFP-entry contained an implementation of Berlekamp-Zassenhaus as a non-certified function.

lemma *find-map-filter-Some*: $\text{find-map-filter } f \ p \ as = \text{Some } b \implies p \ b \wedge b \in f \text{ ` } set \ as$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *find-map-filter-None*: $\text{find-map-filter } f \ p \ as = \text{None} \implies \forall b \in f \text{ ` } set \ as. \neg p \ b$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *remdups-adj-sorted-distinct[simp]*: $\text{sorted } xs \implies \text{distinct } (\text{remdups-adj } xs)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *subseqs-length-simple*:
assumes $b \in set \ (\text{subseqs } xs)$ **shows** $\text{length } b \leq \text{length } xs$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *subseqs-length-simple-False*:
assumes $b \in set \ (\text{subseqs } xs)$ $\text{length } xs < \text{length } b$ **shows** *False*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *empty-subseqs[simp]*: $[] \in set \ (\text{subseqs } xs)$ ⟨proof⟩

lemma *full-list-subseqs*: $\{ys. ys \in set \ (\text{subseqs } xs) \wedge \text{length } ys = \text{length } xs\} = \{xs\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *nth-concat-split*: **assumes** $i < \text{length } (\text{concat } xs)$
shows $\exists j \ k. j < \text{length } xs \wedge k < \text{length } (xs \ ! \ j) \wedge \text{concat } xs \ ! \ i = xs \ ! \ j \ ! \ k$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *nth-concat-diff*: **assumes** $i1 < \text{length } (\text{concat } xs)$ $i2 < \text{length } (\text{concat } xs)$
 $i1 \neq i2$
shows $\exists j1 \ k1 \ j2 \ k2. (j1, k1) \neq (j2, k2) \wedge j1 < \text{length } xs \wedge j2 < \text{length } xs$
 $\wedge k1 < \text{length } (xs \ ! \ j1) \wedge k2 < \text{length } (xs \ ! \ j2)$
 $\wedge \text{concat } xs \ ! \ i1 = xs \ ! \ j1 \ ! \ k1 \wedge \text{concat } xs \ ! \ i2 = xs \ ! \ j2 \ ! \ k2$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *list-all2-map-map*: $(\bigwedge x. x \in set \ xs \implies R \ (f \ x) \ (g \ x)) \implies \text{list-all2 } R \ (\text{map } f \ xs) \ (\text{map } g \ xs)$
 ⟨proof⟩

1.2 Partitions

Check whether a list of sets forms a partition, i.e., whether the sets are pairwise disjoint.

definition *is-partition* :: $('a \ set) \ list \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{is-partition } cs \longleftrightarrow (\forall j < \text{length } cs. \forall i < j. cs \ ! \ i \cap cs \ ! \ j = \{\})$

definition *is-partition-alt* :: ('a set) list \Rightarrow bool **where**
is-partition-alt cs \longleftrightarrow (\forall i j. i < length cs \wedge j < length cs \wedge i \neq j \longrightarrow cs!i \cap cs!j = { })

lemma *is-partition-alt*: *is-partition* = *is-partition-alt*
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *is-partition-Nil*:
is-partition [] = True \langle proof \rangle

lemma *is-partition-Cons*:
is-partition (x#xs) \longleftrightarrow *is-partition* xs \wedge x \cap \bigcup (set xs) = { } (**is** ?l = ?r)
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *is-partition-sublist*:
assumes *is-partition* (us @ xs @ ys @ zs @ vs)
shows *is-partition* (xs @ zs)
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *is-partition-inj-map*:
assumes *is-partition* xs
and *inj-on* f (\bigcup x \in set xs. x)
shows *is-partition* (map ((\cdot) f) xs)
 \langle proof \rangle

context
begin

private fun *is-partition-impl* :: 'a set list \Rightarrow 'a set option **where**
is-partition-impl [] = Some { }
| *is-partition-impl* (as # rest) = do {
 all \leftarrow *is-partition-impl* rest;
 if as \cap all = { } then Some (all \cup as) else None
}

lemma *is-partition-code*[code]: *is-partition* as = (*is-partition-impl* as \neq None)
 \langle proof \rangle
end

lemma *case-prod-partition*:
case-prod f (partition p xs) = f (filter p xs) (filter (Not \circ p) xs)
 \langle proof \rangle

lemmas *map-id*[simp] = *list.map-id*

1.3 merging functions

definition *fun-merge* :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b)list \Rightarrow 'a set list \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b
where *fun-merge* fs as a \equiv (fs ! (LEAST i. i < length as \wedge a \in as ! i)) a

lemma *fun-merge*: **assumes**

i: $i < \text{length } as$

and *a*: $a \in as ! i$

and *ident*: $\bigwedge i j a. i < \text{length } as \implies j < \text{length } as \implies a \in as ! i \implies a \in as ! j \implies (fs ! i) a = (fs ! j) a$

shows *fun-merge* $fs \ as \ a = (fs ! i) a$
<proof>

lemma *fun-merge-part*: **assumes**

part: *is-partition* *as*

and *i*: $i < \text{length } as$

and *a*: $a \in as ! i$

shows *fun-merge* $fs \ as \ a = (fs ! i) a$
<proof>

lemma *map-nth-conv*: $\text{map } f \ ss = \text{map } g \ ts \implies \forall i < \text{length } ss. f(ss!i) = g(ts!i)$
<proof>

lemma *distinct-take-drop*:

assumes *dist*: *distinct* *vs* **and** *len*: $i < \text{length } vs$ **shows** *distinct*(*take* *i* *vs* @ *drop* (*Suc* *i*) *vs*) (**is** *distinct*(*?xs*@*?ys*))
<proof>

lemma *map-nth-eq-conv*:

assumes *len*: $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys$

shows $(\text{map } f \ xs = \text{map } g \ ys) = (\forall i < \text{length } ys. f(xs ! i) = g(ys ! i))$ (**is** *?l = ?r*)
<proof>

lemma *map-upt-len-conv*:

$\text{map } (\lambda i . f (xs!i)) [0..<\text{length } xs] = \text{map } f \ xs$

<proof>

lemma *map-upt-add'*:

$\text{map } f [a..<a+b] = \text{map } (\lambda i . f (a + i)) [0..<b]$

<proof>

definition *generate-lists* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list list}$

where *generate-lists* $n \ xs \equiv \text{concat-lists } (\text{map } (\lambda -. \ xs) [0 ..<n])$

lemma *set-generate-lists[simp]*: $\text{set } (\text{generate-lists } n \ xs) = \{as. \text{length } as = n \wedge \text{set } as \subseteq \text{set } xs\}$

<proof>

lemma *nth-append-take*:

assumes $i \leq \text{length } xs$ **shows** $(\text{take } i \ xs \ @ \ y\#ys)!i = y$
<proof>

lemma *nth-append-take-is-nth-conv*:

assumes $i < j$ and $j \leq \text{length } xs$ shows $(\text{take } j \text{ } xs @ ys)!i = xs!i$
<proof>

lemma *nth-append-drop-is-nth-conv*:

assumes $j < i$ and $j \leq \text{length } xs$ and $i \leq \text{length } xs$
shows $(\text{take } j \text{ } xs @ y \# \text{drop } (\text{Suc } j) \text{ } xs)!i = xs!i$
<proof>

lemma *nth-append-take-drop-is-nth-conv*:

assumes $i \leq \text{length } xs$ and $j \leq \text{length } xs$ and $i \neq j$
shows $(\text{take } j \text{ } xs @ y \# \text{drop } (\text{Suc } j) \text{ } xs)!i = xs!i$
<proof>

lemma *take-drop-imp-nth*: $\llbracket \text{take } i \text{ } ss @ x \# \text{drop } (\text{Suc } i) \text{ } ss = ss \rrbracket \implies x = ss!i$
<proof>

lemma *take-drop-update-first*: assumes $j < \text{length } ds$ and $\text{length } cs = \text{length } ds$
shows $(\text{take } j \text{ } ds @ \text{drop } j \text{ } cs)[j := ds ! j] = \text{take } (\text{Suc } j) \text{ } ds @ \text{drop } (\text{Suc } j) \text{ } cs$
<proof>

lemma *take-drop-update-second*: assumes $j < \text{length } ds$ and $\text{length } cs = \text{length } ds$

shows $(\text{take } j \text{ } ds @ \text{drop } j \text{ } cs)[j := cs ! j] = \text{take } j \text{ } ds @ \text{drop } j \text{ } cs$
<proof>

lemma *nth-take-prefix*:

$\text{length } ys \leq \text{length } xs \implies \forall i < \text{length } ys. xs!i = ys!i \implies \text{take } (\text{length } ys) \text{ } xs = ys$
<proof>

lemma *take-upt-idx*:

assumes $i: i < \text{length } ls$
shows $\text{take } i \text{ } ls = [ls ! j . j \leftarrow [0..<i]]$
<proof>

fun *distinct-eq* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ where

$\text{distinct-eq } - [] = \text{True}$
 $|\ \text{distinct-eq } eq \ (x \# xs) = ((\forall y \in \text{set } xs. \neg (eq \ y \ x)) \wedge \text{distinct-eq } eq \ xs)$

lemma *distinct-eq-append*: $\text{distinct-eq } eq \ (xs @ ys) = (\text{distinct-eq } eq \ xs \wedge \text{distinct-eq } eq \ ys \wedge (\forall x \in \text{set } xs. \forall y \in \text{set } ys. \neg (eq \ y \ x)))$

<proof>

lemma *append-Cons-nth-left*:

assumes $i < \text{length } xs$

shows $(xs @ u \# ys) ! i = xs ! i$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *append-Cons-nth-middle*:

assumes $i = \text{length } xs$
shows $(xs @ y \# zs) ! i = y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *append-Cons-nth-right*:

assumes $i > \text{length } xs$
shows $(xs @ u \# ys) ! i = (xs @ z \# ys) ! i$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *append-Cons-nth-not-middle*:

assumes $i \neq \text{length } xs$
shows $(xs @ u \# ys) ! i = (xs @ z \# ys) ! i$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemmas *append-Cons-nth = append-Cons-nth-middle append-Cons-nth-not-middle*

lemma *concat-all-nth*:

assumes $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys$
and $\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } xs \implies \text{length } (xs ! i) = \text{length } (ys ! i)$
and $\bigwedge i j. i < \text{length } xs \implies j < \text{length } (xs ! i) \implies P (xs ! i ! j) (ys ! i ! j)$
shows $\forall k < \text{length } (\text{concat } xs). P (\text{concat } xs ! k) (\text{concat } ys ! k)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *eq-length-concat-nth*:

assumes $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys$
and $\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } xs \implies \text{length } (xs ! i) = \text{length } (ys ! i)$
shows $\text{length } (\text{concat } xs) = \text{length } (\text{concat } ys)$
 ⟨proof⟩

primrec

list-union :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list

where

list-union [] $ys = ys$

| *list-union* ($x \# xs$) $ys = (\text{let } zs = \text{list-union } xs \text{ } ys \text{ in if } x \in \text{set } zs \text{ then } zs \text{ else } x \# zs)$

lemma *set-list-union[simp]*: $\text{set } (\text{list-union } xs \text{ } ys) = \text{set } xs \cup \text{set } ys$

⟨proof⟩

declare *list-union.simps[simp del]*

fun *list-inter* :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list **where**

list-inter [] $bs = []$

| *list-inter* ($a \# as$) $bs =$

(if $a \in \text{set } bs$ then $a \# \text{list-inter } as \ bs$ else $\text{list-inter } as \ bs$)

lemma *set-list-inter*[simp]:
 $\text{set } (\text{list-inter } xs \ ys) = \text{set } xs \cap \text{set } ys$
 ⟨proof⟩

declare *list-inter.simps*[simp del]

primrec *list-diff* :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list **where**
 $\text{list-diff } [] \ ys = []$
 | $\text{list-diff } (x \# xs) \ ys = (\text{let } zs = \text{list-diff } xs \ ys \text{ in if } x \in \text{set } ys \text{ then } zs \text{ else } x \# zs)$

lemma *set-list-diff*[simp]:
 $\text{set } (\text{list-diff } xs \ ys) = \text{set } xs - \text{set } ys$
 ⟨proof⟩

declare *list-diff.simps*[simp del]

lemma *nth-drop-0*: $0 < \text{length } ss \implies (ss!0) \# \text{drop } (\text{Suc } 0) \ ss = ss$ ⟨proof⟩

lemma *set-foldr-remdups-set-map-conv*[simp]:
 $\text{set } (\text{foldr } (\lambda x \ xs. \text{remdups } (f \ x \ @ \ xs)) \ xs \ []) = \bigcup (\text{set } (\text{map } (\text{set } \circ f) \ xs))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *subset-set-code*[code-unfold]: $\text{set } xs \subseteq \text{set } ys \iff \text{list-all } (\lambda x. x \in \text{set } ys) \ xs$
 ⟨proof⟩

fun *union-list-sorted* **where**
 $\text{union-list-sorted } (x \# xs) \ (y \# ys) =$
 (if $x = y$ then $x \# \text{union-list-sorted } xs \ ys$
 else if $x < y$ then $x \# \text{union-list-sorted } xs \ (y \# ys)$
 else $y \# \text{union-list-sorted } (x \# xs) \ ys$)
 | $\text{union-list-sorted } [] \ ys = ys$
 | $\text{union-list-sorted } xs \ [] = xs$

lemma [simp]: $\text{set } (\text{union-list-sorted } xs \ ys) = \text{set } xs \cup \text{set } ys$
 ⟨proof⟩

fun *subtract-list-sorted* :: ('a :: linorder) list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list **where**
 $\text{subtract-list-sorted } (x \# xs) \ (y \# ys) =$
 (if $x = y$ then $\text{subtract-list-sorted } xs \ (y \# ys)$
 else if $x < y$ then $x \# \text{subtract-list-sorted } xs \ (y \# ys)$
 else $\text{subtract-list-sorted } (x \# xs) \ ys$)
 | $\text{subtract-list-sorted } [] \ ys = []$
 | $\text{subtract-list-sorted } xs \ [] = xs$

lemma *set-subtract-list-sorted*[simp]: $sorted\ xs \implies sorted\ ys \implies$
 $set\ (subtract-list-sorted\ xs\ ys) = set\ xs - set\ ys$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *subset-subtract-listed-sorted*: $set\ (subtract-list-sorted\ xs\ ys) \subseteq set\ xs$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *set-subtract-list-distinct*[simp]: $distinct\ xs \implies distinct\ (subtract-list-sorted\ xs\ ys)$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *remdups-sort* $x\ s = remdups-adj\ (sort\ x\ s)$

lemma *remdups-sort*[simp]: $sorted\ (remdups-sort\ x\ s)\ set\ (remdups-sort\ x\ s) = set\ x\ s$
 $distinct\ (remdups-sort\ x\ s)$
 ⟨proof⟩

maximum and minimum

lemma *max-list-mono*: **assumes** $\bigwedge x. x \in set\ xs - set\ ys \implies \exists y. y \in set\ ys \wedge x \leq y$
shows $max-list\ xs \leq max-list\ ys$
 ⟨proof⟩

fun *min-list* :: $('a :: linorder)\ list \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $min-list\ [x] = x$
 $| min-list\ (x \# xs) = min\ x\ (min-list\ xs)$

lemma *min-list*: $(x :: 'a :: linorder) \in set\ xs \implies min-list\ xs \leq x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *min-list-Cons*:
assumes $xy: x \leq y$
and $len: length\ xs = length\ ys$
and $xsys: min-list\ xs \leq min-list\ ys$
shows $min-list\ (x \# xs) \leq min-list\ (y \# ys)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *min-list-nth*:
assumes $length\ xs = length\ ys$
and $\bigwedge i. i < length\ ys \implies xs\ !\ i \leq ys\ !\ i$
shows $min-list\ xs \leq min-list\ ys$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *min-list-ex*:
assumes $xs \neq []$ **shows** $\exists x \in set\ xs. min-list\ xs = x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *min-list-subset*:

assumes *subset*: $set\ ys \subseteq set\ xs$ **and** *mem*: $min\text{-}list\ xs \in set\ ys$
shows $min\text{-}list\ xs = min\text{-}list\ ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Apply a permutation to a list.

primrec *permut-aux* :: $'a\ list \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ list$ **where**
permut-aux [] - - = [] |
permut-aux (a # as) f bs = (bs ! f 0) # (*permut-aux* as ($\lambda n. f\ (Suc\ n)$)) bs)

definition *permut* :: $'a\ list \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow 'a\ list$ **where**
permut as f = *permut-aux* as f as
declare *permut-def*[*simp*]

lemma *permut-aux-sound*:
assumes $i < length\ as$
shows $permut\text{-}aux\ as\ f\ bs\ !\ i = bs\ !\ (f\ i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *permut-sound*:
assumes $i < length\ as$
shows $permut\ as\ f\ !\ i = as\ !\ (f\ i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *permut-aux-length*:
assumes *bij-betw* f $\{..<length\ as\}\ \{..<length\ bs\}$
shows $length\ (permut\text{-}aux\ as\ f\ bs) = length\ as$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *permut-length*:
assumes *bij-betw* f $\{..<length\ as\}\ \{..<length\ as\}$
shows $length\ (permut\ as\ f) = length\ as$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare *permut-def*[*simp del*]

lemma *foldl-assoc*:
fixes $b :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ (**infixl** · 55)
assumes $\bigwedge f\ g\ h. f \cdot (g \cdot h) = f \cdot g \cdot h$
shows $foldl\ (\cdot)\ (x \cdot y)\ zs = x \cdot foldl\ (\cdot)\ y\ zs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *foldr-assoc*:
assumes $\bigwedge f\ g\ h. b\ (b\ f\ g)\ h = b\ f\ (b\ g\ h)$
shows $foldr\ b\ xs\ (b\ y\ z) = b\ (foldr\ b\ xs\ y)\ z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *foldl-foldr-o-id*:
 $foldl\ (\circ)\ id\ fs = foldr\ (\circ)\ fs\ id$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *foldr-o-o-id*[*simp*]:
foldr ((\circ) \circ *f*) *xs id a* = *foldr f xs a*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Ex-list-of-length-P*:
assumes $\forall i < n. \exists x. P\ x\ i$
shows $\exists xs. \text{length}\ xs = n \wedge (\forall i < n. P\ (xs\ !\ i)\ i)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ex-set-conv-ex-nth*: $(\exists x \in \text{set}\ xs. P\ x) = (\exists i < \text{length}\ xs. P\ (xs\ !\ i))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *map-eq-set-zipD* [*dest*]:
assumes *map f xs = map f ys*
and $(x, y) \in \text{set}\ (\text{zip}\ xs\ ys)$
shows *f x = f y*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

fun *span* :: ($'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$) \Rightarrow $'a\ \text{list} \Rightarrow 'a\ \text{list} \times 'a\ \text{list}$ **where**
span P (x # xs) =
 (*if P x then let (ys, zs) = span P xs in (x # ys, zs)*
else ([], x # xs)) |
span - [] = ([], [])

lemma *span*[*simp*]: *span P xs = (takeWhile P xs, dropWhile P xs)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

declare *span.simps*[*simp del*]

lemma *parallel-list-update*: **assumes**
one-update: $\bigwedge xs\ i\ y. \text{length}\ xs = n \Longrightarrow i < n \Longrightarrow r\ (xs\ !\ i)\ y \Longrightarrow p\ xs \Longrightarrow p\ (xs[i := y])$
and *init*: *length xs = n p xs*
and *rel*: *length ys = n \bigwedge i. i < n \Longrightarrow r (xs ! i) (ys ! i)*
shows *p ys*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *nth-concat-two-lists*:
 $i < \text{length}\ (\text{concat}\ (xs :: 'a\ \text{list}\ \text{list})) \Longrightarrow \text{length}\ (ys :: 'b\ \text{list}\ \text{list}) = \text{length}\ xs$
 $\Longrightarrow (\bigwedge i. i < \text{length}\ xs \Longrightarrow \text{length}\ (ys\ !\ i) = \text{length}\ (xs\ !\ i))$
 $\Longrightarrow \exists j\ k. j < \text{length}\ xs \wedge k < \text{length}\ (xs\ !\ j) \wedge (\text{concat}\ xs)\ !\ i = xs\ !\ j\ !\ k \wedge$
 $(\text{concat}\ ys)\ !\ i = ys\ !\ j\ !\ k$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

Removing duplicates w.r.t. some function.

fun *remdups-gen* :: ($'a \Rightarrow 'b$) \Rightarrow $'a\ \text{list} \Rightarrow 'a\ \text{list}$ **where**
remdups-gen f [] = []
 | *remdups-gen f (x # xs) = x # remdups-gen f [y <- xs. $\neg f\ x = f\ y$]*

lemma *remdups-gen-subset*: $set (remdups-gen f xs) \subseteq set xs$
(proof)

lemma *remdups-gen-elem-imp-elem*: $x \in set (remdups-gen f xs) \implies x \in set xs$
(proof)

lemma *elem-imp-remdups-gen-elem*: $x \in set xs \implies \exists y \in set (remdups-gen f xs).$
 $f x = f y$
(proof)

lemma *take-nth-drop-concat*:
assumes $i < length xss$ and $xss ! i = ys$
and $j < length ys$ and $ys ! j = z$
shows $\exists k < length (concat xss).$
 $take k (concat xss) = concat (take i xss) @ take j ys \wedge$
 $concat xss ! k = xss ! i ! j \wedge$
 $drop (Suc k) (concat xss) = drop (Suc j) ys @ concat (drop (Suc i) xss)$
(proof)

lemma *concat-map-empty [simp]*:
 $concat (map (_. []) xs) = []$
(proof)

lemma *map-upt-len-same-len-conv*:
assumes $length xs = length ys$
shows $map (\lambda i. f (xs ! i)) [0 ..< length ys] = map f xs$
(proof)

lemma *concat-map-concat [simp]*:
 $concat (map concat xs) = concat (concat xs)$
(proof)

lemma *concat-concat-map*:
 $concat (concat (map f xs)) = concat (map (concat \circ f) xs)$
(proof)

lemma *UN-upt-len-conv [simp]*:
 $length xs = n \implies (\bigcup i \in \{0 ..< n\}. f (xs ! i)) = \bigcup (set (map f xs))$
(proof)

lemma *Ball-at-Least0LessThan-conv [simp]*:
 $length xs = n \implies$
 $(\forall i \in \{0 ..< n\}. P (xs ! i)) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in set xs. P x)$
(proof)

lemma *sum-list-replicate-length [simp]*:
 $sum-list (replicate (length xs) (Suc 0)) = length xs$

<proof>

lemma *list-all2-in-set2*:

assumes *list-all2* P xs ys **and** $y \in \text{set } ys$

obtains x **where** $x \in \text{set } xs$ **and** P x y

<proof>

lemma *map-eq-conv'*:

$\text{map } f \text{ } xs = \text{map } g \text{ } ys \iff \text{length } xs = \text{length } ys \wedge (\forall i < \text{length } xs. f (xs ! i) = g (ys ! i))$

<proof>

lemma *list-3-cases*[*case-names Nil 1 2*]:

assumes $xs = [] \implies P$

and $\bigwedge x. xs = [x] \implies P$

and $\bigwedge x y ys. xs = x \# y \# ys \implies P$

shows P

<proof>

lemma *list-4-cases*[*case-names Nil 1 2 3*]:

assumes $xs = [] \implies P$

and $\bigwedge x. xs = [x] \implies P$

and $\bigwedge x y. xs = [x,y] \implies P$

and $\bigwedge x y z zs. xs = x \# y \# z \# zs \implies P$

shows P

<proof>

lemma *foldr-append2* [*simp*]:

$\text{foldr } ((@) \circ f) \text{ } xs \text{ } (ys @ zs) = \text{foldr } ((@) \circ f) \text{ } xs \text{ } ys @ zs$

<proof>

lemma *foldr-append2-Nil* [*simp*]:

$\text{foldr } ((@) \circ f) \text{ } xs \text{ } [] @ zs = \text{foldr } ((@) \circ f) \text{ } xs \text{ } zs$

<proof>

lemma *UNION-set-zip*:

$(\bigcup x \in \text{set } (\text{zip } [0..<\text{length } xs] (\text{map } f \text{ } xs)). g \text{ } x) = (\bigcup i < \text{length } xs. g (i, f (xs ! i)))$

<proof>

lemma *zip-fst*: $p \in \text{set } (\text{zip } as \text{ } bs) \implies \text{fst } p \in \text{set } as$

<proof>

lemma *zip-snd*: $p \in \text{set } (\text{zip } as \text{ } bs) \implies \text{snd } p \in \text{set } bs$

<proof>

lemma *zip-size-aux*: $\text{size-list } (\text{size } o \text{ } \text{snd}) (\text{zip } ts \text{ } ls) \leq (\text{size-list } \text{size } ls)$

<proof>

We define the function that remove the n th element of a list. It uses `take` and `drop` and the soundness is therefore not too hard to prove thanks to the already existing lemmas.

definition `remove-nth` :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list}$ **where**
`remove-nth` n $xs \equiv (\text{take } n \text{ } xs) @ (\text{drop } (\text{Suc } n) \text{ } xs)$

declare `remove-nth-def`[*simp*]

lemma `remove-nth-len`:
assumes $i: i < \text{length } xs$
shows $\text{length } xs = \text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{remove-nth } i \text{ } xs))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma `remove-nth-length` :
assumes $n\text{-bd}: n < \text{length } xs$
shows $\text{length } (\text{remove-nth } n \text{ } xs) = \text{length } xs - 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma `remove-nth-id` : $\text{length } xs \leq n \implies \text{remove-nth } n \text{ } xs = xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma `remove-nth-sound-l` :
assumes $p\text{-ub}: p < n$
shows $(\text{remove-nth } n \text{ } xs) ! p = xs ! p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma `remove-nth-sound-r` :
assumes $n \leq p$ **and** $p < \text{length } xs$
shows $(\text{remove-nth } n \text{ } xs) ! p = xs ! (\text{Suc } p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma `nth-remove-nth-conv`:
assumes $i < \text{length } (\text{remove-nth } n \text{ } xs)$
shows $\text{remove-nth } n \text{ } xs ! i = xs ! (\text{if } i < n \text{ then } i \text{ else } \text{Suc } i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma `remove-nth-P-compat` :
assumes $aslbs: \text{length } as = \text{length } bs$
and $Pab: \forall i. i < \text{length } as \longrightarrow P (as ! i) (bs ! i)$
shows $\forall i. i < \text{length } (\text{remove-nth } p \text{ } as) \longrightarrow P (\text{remove-nth } p \text{ } as ! i) (\text{remove-nth } p \text{ } bs ! i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare `remove-nth-def`[*simp del*]

definition `adjust-idx` :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
`adjust-idx` i $j \equiv (\text{if } j < i \text{ then } j \text{ else } (\text{Suc } j))$

definition `adjust-idx-rev` :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**

$adjust_idx_rev\ i\ j \equiv (if\ j < i\ then\ j\ else\ j - Suc\ 0)$

lemma *adjust-idx-rev1*: $adjust_idx_rev\ i\ (adjust_idx\ i\ j) = j$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *adjust-idx-rev2*:
assumes $j \neq i$ **shows** $adjust_idx\ i\ (adjust_idx_rev\ i\ j) = j$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *adjust-idx-i*:
 $adjust_idx\ i\ j \neq i$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *adjust-idx-nth*:
assumes $i < length\ xs$
shows $remove_nth\ i\ xs\ !\ j = xs\ !\ adjust_idx\ i\ j$ (**is** $?l = ?r$)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *adjust-idx-rev-nth*:
assumes $i < length\ xs$
and $ji: j \neq i$
shows $remove_nth\ i\ xs\ !\ adjust_idx_rev\ i\ j = xs\ !\ j$ (**is** $?l = ?r$)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *adjust-idx-length*:
assumes $i < length\ xs$
and $j: j < length\ (remove_nth\ i\ xs)$
shows $adjust_idx\ i\ j < length\ xs$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *adjust-idx-rev-length*:
assumes $i < length\ xs$
and $j < length\ xs$
and $j \neq i$
shows $adjust_idx_rev\ i\ j < length\ (remove_nth\ i\ xs)$
 ⟨proof⟩

If a binary relation holds on two couples of lists, then it holds on the concatenation of the two couples.

lemma *P-as-bs-extend*:
assumes $lab: length\ as = length\ bs$
and $lcd: length\ cs = length\ ds$
and $nsab: \forall i. i < length\ bs \longrightarrow P\ (as\ !\ i)\ (bs\ !\ i)$
and $nscd: \forall i. i < length\ ds \longrightarrow P\ (cs\ !\ i)\ (ds\ !\ i)$
shows $\forall i. i < length\ (bs\ @\ ds) \longrightarrow P\ ((as\ @\ cs)\ !\ i)\ ((bs\ @\ ds)\ !\ i)$
 ⟨proof⟩

Extension of filter and partition to binary relations.

fun *filter2* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow 'b\ list \Rightarrow ('a\ list \times 'b\ list)$ **where**


```

filter2 P [] - = ([], []) |
filter2 P - [] = ([], []) |
filter2 P (a # as) (b # bs) = (if P a b
  then (a # fst (filter2 P as bs), b # snd (filter2 P as bs))
  else filter2 P as bs)

```

lemma *filter2-length*:

```

length (fst (filter2 P as bs)) ≡ length (snd (filter2 P as bs))
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *filter2-sound*: $\forall i. i < \text{length} (\text{fst} (\text{filter2 } P \text{ as } bs)) \longrightarrow P (\text{fst} (\text{filter2 } P \text{ as } bs) ! i) (\text{snd} (\text{filter2 } P \text{ as } bs) ! i)$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *partition2* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('a \text{ list} \times 'b \text{ list}) \times ('a \text{ list} \times 'b \text{ list})$ **where**
partition2 P as bs ≡ ((filter2 P as bs) , (filter2 ($\lambda a b. \neg (P a b)$) as bs))

lemma *partition2-sound-P*: $\forall i. i < \text{length} (\text{fst} (\text{fst} (\text{partition2 } P \text{ as } bs))) \longrightarrow P (\text{fst} (\text{fst} (\text{partition2 } P \text{ as } bs)) ! i) (\text{snd} (\text{fst} (\text{partition2 } P \text{ as } bs)) ! i)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *partition2-sound-nP*: $\forall i. i < \text{length} (\text{fst} (\text{snd} (\text{partition2 } P \text{ as } bs))) \longrightarrow \neg P (\text{fst} (\text{snd} (\text{partition2 } P \text{ as } bs)) ! i) (\text{snd} (\text{snd} (\text{partition2 } P \text{ as } bs)) ! i)$
 ⟨proof⟩

Membership decision function that actually returns the value of the index where the value can be found.

```

fun mem-idx :: 'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ nat Option.option where
  mem-idx - [] = None |
  mem-idx x (a # as) = (if x = a then Some 0 else map-option Suc (mem-idx x as))

```

lemma *mem-idx-sound-output*:
assumes *mem-idx* x as = Some i
shows $i < \text{length } as \wedge as ! i = x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mem-idx-sound-output2*:
assumes *mem-idx* x as = Some i
shows $\forall j. j < i \longrightarrow as ! j \neq x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mem-idx-sound*:
 $(x \in \text{set } as) = (\exists i. \text{mem-idx } x \text{ as} = \text{Some } i)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mem-idx-sound2*:
 $(x \notin \text{set } as) = (\text{mem-idx } x \text{ as} = \text{None})$

<proof>

lemma *sum-list-replicate-mono*: **assumes** $w1 \leq (w2 :: nat)$
shows $sum\text{-list } (replicate\ n\ w1) \leq sum\text{-list } (replicate\ n\ w2)$
<proof>

lemma *all-gt-0-sum-list-map*:
assumes $*$: $\bigwedge x. f\ x > (0 :: nat)$
and $x: x \in set\ xs$ **and** $len: 1 < length\ xs$
shows $f\ x < (\sum x \leftarrow xs. f\ x)$
<proof>

lemma *finite-distinct*: $finite\ \{ xs . distinct\ xs \wedge set\ xs = X \}$ (**is** $finite\ (?S\ X)$)
<proof>

lemma *finite-distinct-subset*:
assumes $finite\ X$
shows $finite\ \{ xs . distinct\ xs \wedge set\ xs \subseteq X \}$ (**is** $finite\ (?S\ X)$)
<proof>

lemma *map-of-filter*:
assumes $P\ x$
shows $map\text{-of } [(x',y) \leftarrow ys. P\ x']\ x = map\text{-of } ys\ x$
<proof>

lemma *set-subset-insertI*: $set\ xs \subseteq set\ (List.insert\ x\ xs)$ *<proof>*
lemma *set-removeAll-subset*: $set\ (removeAll\ x\ xs) \subseteq set\ xs$ *<proof>*

lemma *map-of-append-Some*:
 $map\text{-of } xs\ y = Some\ z \implies map\text{-of } (xs\ @\ ys)\ y = Some\ z$
<proof>

lemma *map-of-append-None*:
 $map\text{-of } xs\ y = None \implies map\text{-of } (xs\ @\ ys)\ y = map\text{-of } ys\ y$
<proof>

end

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Missing Multiset

This theory provides some definitions and lemmas on multisets which we did not find in the Isabelle distribution.

theory *Missing-Multiset*
imports
HOL-Library.Multiset

Missing-List
begin

lemma *remove-nth-soundness*:
 assumes $n < \text{length } as$
 shows $\text{mset } (\text{remove-nth } n \ as) = \text{mset } as - \{\#(as!n)\#}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *multiset-subset-insert*: $\{ps. ps \subseteq\# \text{add-mset } x \ xs\} =$
 $\{ps. ps \subseteq\# xs\} \cup \text{add-mset } x \ \{ps. ps \subseteq\# xs\}$ (**is** $?l = ?r$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *multiset-of-subseqs*: $\text{mset } \text{'set } (\text{subseqs } xs) = \{ps. ps \subseteq\# \text{mset } xs\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *remove1-mset*: $w \in \text{set } vs \implies \text{mset } (\text{remove1 } w \ vs) + \{\#w\#} = \text{mset } vs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fold-remove1-mset*: $\text{mset } ws \subseteq\# \text{mset } vs \implies \text{mset } (\text{fold } \text{remove1 } ws \ vs)$
 $+ \text{mset } ws = \text{mset } vs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subseqs-sub-mset*: $ws \in \text{set } (\text{subseqs } vs) \implies \text{mset } ws \subseteq\# \text{mset } vs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *filter-mset-inequality*: $\text{filter-mset } f \ xs \neq xs \implies \exists x \in\# xs. \neg f \ x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

2.2 Precomputation

This theory contains precomputation functions, which take another function f and a finite set of inputs, and provide the same function f as output, except that now all values $f \ i$ are precomputed if i is contained in the set of finite inputs.

theory *Precomputation*
imports
 $\text{Containers.RBT-Set2}$
 $\text{HOL-Library.RBT-Mapping}$
begin

lemma *lookup-tabulate*: $x \in \text{set } xs \implies \text{Mapping.lookup } (\text{Mapping.tabulate } xs \ f) \ x$
 $= \text{Some } (f \ x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lookup-tabulate2*: $\text{Mapping.lookup } (\text{Mapping.tabulate } xs \ f) \ x = \text{Some } y \implies$
 $y = f \ x$

<proof>

definition *memo-int* :: $int \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow (int \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow (int \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where**
memo-int low up f \equiv *let m = Mapping.tabulate [low .. up] f*
in ($\lambda x.$ *if* $x \geq low \wedge x \leq up$ *then the* (*Mapping.lookup m x*) *else f x*)

lemma *memo-int[simp]*: *memo-int low up f = f*
<proof>

definition *memo-nat* :: $nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where**
memo-nat low up f \equiv *let m = Mapping.tabulate [low ..< up] f*
in ($\lambda x.$ *if* $x \geq low \wedge x < up$ *then the* (*Mapping.lookup m x*) *else f x*)

lemma *memo-nat[simp]*: *memo-nat low up f = f*
<proof>

definition *memo* :: $'a\ list \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b)$ **where**
memo xs f \equiv *let m = Mapping.tabulate xs f*
in ($\lambda x.$ *case Mapping.lookup m x of None* $\Rightarrow f x$ | *Some y* $\Rightarrow y$)

lemma *memo[simp]*: *memo xs f = f*
<proof>

end

2.3 Order of Polynomial Roots

We extend the collection of results on the order of roots of polynomials. Moreover, we provide code-equations to compute the order for a given root and polynomial.

theory *Order-Polynomial*

imports

Polynomial-Interpolation.Missing-Polynomial

begin

lemma *order-linear[simp]*: *order a* $[:- a, 1:] = Suc\ 0$ *<proof>*

declare *order-power-n-n[simp]*

lemma *linear-power-nonzero*: $[: a, 1 :] ^ n \neq 0$
<proof>

lemma *order-linear-power'*: *order a* $([: b, 1 :] ^ Suc\ n) = (if\ b = -a\ then\ Suc\ n\ else\ 0)$
<proof>

lemma *order-linear-power*: *order a* $([: b, 1 :] ^ n) = (if\ b = -a\ then\ n\ else\ 0)$
<proof>

lemma *order-linear'*: $\text{order } a \text{ } [: b, 1:] = (\text{if } b = -a \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *degree-div-less*:
assumes p : $(p :: 'a :: \text{field poly}) \neq 0$ **and** dvd : $r \text{ dvd } p$ **and** deg : $\text{degree } r \neq 0$
shows $\text{degree } (p \text{ div } r) < \text{degree } p$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *order-sum-degree*: **assumes** $p \neq 0$
shows $\text{sum } (\lambda a. \text{order } a \text{ } p) \{ a. \text{poly } p \ a = 0 \} \leq \text{degree } p$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *order-code*[code]: $\text{order } (a :: 'a :: \text{idom-divide}) \ p =$
 $(\text{if } p = 0 \text{ then } \text{Code.abort } (\text{STR } \text{"order of polynomial 0 undefined"}) (\lambda _ . \text{order } a$
 $p))$
 $\text{else if } \text{poly } p \ a \neq 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{Suc } (\text{order } a \text{ } (p \text{ div } [: -a, 1 :]))$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

3 Explicit Formulas for Roots

We provide algorithms which use the explicit formulas to compute the roots of polynomials of degree up to 2. We also define the formula for polynomials of degree 3, but did not (yet) prove anything about it.

theory *Explicit-Roots*

imports

Polynomial-Interpolation.Missing-Polynomial

Sqrt-Babylonian.Sqrt-Babylonian

begin

lemma *roots0*: **assumes** p : $p \neq 0$ **and** $p0$: $\text{degree } p = 0$
shows $\{x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0\} = \{\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *roots1* :: $'a :: \text{field poly} \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $\text{roots1 } p = (- \text{coeff } p \ 0 / \text{coeff } p \ 1)$

lemma *roots1*: **fixes** $p :: 'a :: \text{field poly}$
assumes $p1$: $\text{degree } p = 1$
shows $\{x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0\} = \{\text{roots1 } p\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *roots2*: **fixes** $p :: 'a :: \text{field-char-0 poly}$
assumes $p2$: $p = [: c, b, a :]$ **and** a : $a \neq 0$

shows $\{x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0\} = \{ - (b / (2 * a)) + e \mid e. e^2 = (b / (2 * a))^2 - c/a\}$ (is ?l = ?r)
 <proof>

definition *croots2* :: complex poly \Rightarrow complex list **where**

croots2 p = (let a = coeff p 2; b = coeff p 1; c = coeff p 0; b2a = b / (2 * a);
 bac = b2a^2 - c/a;
 e = csqrt bac
 in
 remdups [- b2a + e, - b2a - e])

definition *complex-rat* :: complex \Rightarrow bool **where**

complex-rat x = (Re x \in \mathbb{Q} \wedge Im x \in \mathbb{Q})

lemma *croots2*: **assumes** degree p = 2

shows $\{x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0\} = \text{set } (\text{croots2 } p)$
 <proof>

definition *rroots2* :: real poly \Rightarrow real list **where**

rroots2 p = (let a = coeff p 2; b = coeff p 1; c = coeff p 0; b2a = b / (2 * a);
 bac = b2a^2 - c/a
 in if bac = 0 then [- b2a] else if bac < 0 then []
 else let e = sqrt bac
 in
 [- b2a + e, - b2a - e])

definition *rat-roots2* :: rat poly \Rightarrow rat list **where**

rat-roots2 p = (let a = coeff p 2; b = coeff p 1; c = coeff p 0; b2a = b / (2 * a);
 bac = b2a^2 - c/a
 in map (λ e. - b2a + e) (sqrt-rat bac))

lemma *rroots2*: **assumes** degree p = 2

shows $\{x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0\} = \text{set } (\text{rroots2 } p)$
 <proof>

lemma *rat-roots2*: **assumes** degree p = 2

shows $\{x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0\} = \text{set } (\text{rat-roots2 } p)$
 <proof>

Determinining roots of complex polynomials of degree up to 2.

definition *croots* :: complex poly \Rightarrow complex list **where**

croots p = (if p = 0 \vee degree p > 2 then []
 else (if degree p = 0 then [] else if degree p = 1 then [roots1 p]
 else *croots2* p))

lemma *croots*: **assumes** p \neq 0 degree p \leq 2

shows $\text{set } (\text{croots } p) = \{x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0\}$
 <proof>

Determinining roots of real polynomials of degree up to 2.

definition *rroots* :: *real poly* \Rightarrow *real list* **where**
rroots *p* = (if *p* = 0 \vee degree *p* > 2 then []
 else (if degree *p* = 0 then [] else if degree *p* = 1 then [roots1 *p*]
 else rroots2 *p*))

lemma *rroots*: **assumes** *p* \neq 0 degree *p* \leq 2
shows set (*rroots* *p*) = {*x*. poly *p* *x* = 0}
 <proof>

Although there is a closed form for cubic roots, which is specified below, we did not yet integrate it into the *croots* and *rroots* algorithms. One obstacle is that for complex numbers, the cubic root is not even defined. Therefore, we also did not proof soundness of the *croots3* algorithm.

context
fixes *croot* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *complex* \Rightarrow *complex*
begin

definition *croots3* :: *complex poly* \Rightarrow *complex* \times *complex* \times *complex* \times *complex*
where

croots3 *p* = (let *a* = coeff *p* 3; *b* = coeff *p* 2; *c* = coeff *p* 1; *d* = coeff *p* 0;
 $\Delta_0 = b^2 - 3 * a * c$;
 $\Delta_1 = 2 * b^3 - 9 * a * b * c + 27 * a^2 * d$;
 $C = \text{croot } 3 ((\Delta_1 + \text{csqrt } (\Delta_1^2 - 4 * \Delta_0^3)) / 2)$;
 $u_1 = 1$;
 $u_2 = (-1 + i * \text{csqrt } 3) / 2$;
 $u_3 = (-1 - i * \text{csqrt } 3) / 2$;
 $x_k = (\lambda u. (-1 / (3 * a)) * (b + u * C + \Delta_0 / (u * C)))$
 in
 (x_k u_1 , x_k u_2 , x_k u_3 , *a*))

end
end

4 Division of Polynomials over Integers

This theory contains an algorithm to efficiently compute divisibility of two integer polynomials.

theory *Dvd-Int-Poly*
imports
Polynomial-Interpolation.Ring-Hom-Poly
Polynomial-Interpolation.Divmod-Int
Polynomial-Interpolation.Is-Rat-To-Rat
begin

definition *div-int-poly-step* :: *int poly* \Rightarrow *int* \Rightarrow (*int poly* \times *int poly*) *option* \Rightarrow
 (*int poly* \times *int poly*) *option* **where**
div-int-poly-step *q* = (λa sro. case sro of Some (*s*, *r*) \Rightarrow
 let *ar* = *pCons* *a* *r*; (*b*, *m*) = *divmod-int* (coeff *ar* (degree *q*)) (coeff *q* (degree
q))

in if $m = 0$ then $\text{Some } (p\text{Cons } b \ s, \ ar - \text{smult } b \ q)$ else $\text{None} \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None}$)

declare *div-int-poly-step-def*[code-unfold]

definition *div-mod-int-poly* :: *int poly* \Rightarrow *int poly* \Rightarrow (*int poly* \times *int poly*) *option*
where

div-mod-int-poly $p \ q =$ (if $q = 0$ then None
 else (let $n = \text{degree } q$; $qn = \text{coeff } q \ n$
 in *fold-coeffs* (*div-int-poly-step* q) p ($\text{Some } (0, 0)$)))

definition *div-int-poly* :: *int poly* \Rightarrow *int poly* \Rightarrow *int poly option* **where**

div-int-poly $p \ q =$
 (case *div-mod-int-poly* $p \ q$ of $\text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None} \mid \text{Some } (d,m) \Rightarrow$ if $m = 0$ then
 $\text{Some } d$ else None)

definition *div-rat-poly-step* :: '*a*::*field poly* \Rightarrow '*a* \Rightarrow '*a poly* \times '*a poly* \Rightarrow '*a poly* \times '*a poly* **where**

div-rat-poly-step $q = (\lambda a \ (s, r).$
 let $b = \text{coeff } (p\text{Cons } a \ r) \ (\text{degree } q) / \text{coeff } q \ (\text{degree } q)$
 in ($p\text{Cons } b \ s, p\text{Cons } a \ r - \text{smult } b \ q$))

lemma *foldr-cong-plus*:

assumes *f-is-g* : $\bigwedge a \ b \ c. b \in s \Longrightarrow f' \ a = f \ b \ (f' \ c) \Longrightarrow g' \ a = g \ b \ (g' \ c)$
and *f'-inj* : $\bigwedge a \ b. f' \ a = f' \ b \Longrightarrow a = b$
and *f-bit-sur* : $\bigwedge a \ b \ c. f' \ a = f \ b \ c \Longrightarrow \exists c'. c = f' \ c'$
and *lst-in-s* : *set lst* $\subseteq s$
shows $f' \ a = \text{foldr } f \ \text{lst} \ (f' \ b) \Longrightarrow g' \ a = \text{foldr } g \ \text{lst} \ (g' \ b)$
 <proof>

abbreviation (*input*) *rp* :: *int poly* \Rightarrow *rat poly* **where**

$rp \equiv \text{map-poly } \text{rat-of-int}$

lemma *rat-int-poly-step-agree* :

assumes $\text{coeff } (p\text{Cons } b \ c2) \ (\text{degree } q) \ \text{mod } \text{coeff } q \ (\text{degree } q) = 0$
shows $(rp \ a1, rp \ a2) = (\text{div-rat-poly-step } (rp \ q) \circ \text{rat-of-int}) \ b \ (rp \ c1, rp \ c2)$
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{Some } (a1, a2) = \text{div-int-poly-step } q \ b \ (\text{Some } (c1, c2))$
 <proof>

lemma *int-step-then-rat-poly-step* :

assumes $\text{Some} : \text{Some } (a1, a2) = \text{div-int-poly-step } q \ b \ (\text{Some } (c1, c2))$
shows $(rp \ a1, rp \ a2) = (\text{div-rat-poly-step } (rp \ q) \circ \text{rat-of-int}) \ b \ (rp \ c1, rp \ c2)$
 <proof>

lemma *is-int-rat-division* :

assumes $y \neq 0$
shows *is-int-rat* $(\text{rat-of-int } x / \text{rat-of-int } y) \longleftrightarrow x \ \text{mod } y = 0$
 <proof>

lemma *pCons-of-rp-contains-ints* :

assumes $rp\ a = pCons\ b\ c$

shows $is-int-rat\ b$

<proof>

lemma *rat-step-then-int-poly-step* :

assumes $q \neq 0$

and $(rp\ a1, rp\ a2) = (div-rat-poly-step\ (rp\ q) \circ rat-of-int)\ b2\ (rp\ c1, rp\ c2)$

shows $Some\ (a1, a2) = div-int-poly-step\ q\ b2\ (Some\ (c1, c2))$

<proof>

lemma *div-int-poly-step-surjective* : $Some\ a = div-int-poly-step\ q\ b\ c \implies \exists\ c'.\ c = Some\ c'$

<proof>

lemma *div-mod-int-poly-then-pdivmod*:

assumes $div-mod-int-poly\ p\ q = Some\ (r, m)$

shows $(rp\ p\ div\ rp\ q, rp\ p\ mod\ rp\ q) = (rp\ r, rp\ m)$

and $q \neq 0$

<proof>

lemma *div-rat-poly-step-sur*:

assumes $(case\ a\ of\ (a, b) \Rightarrow (rp\ a, rp\ b)) = (div-rat-poly-step\ (rp\ q) \circ rat-of-int)\ x\ pair$

shows $\exists\ c'.\ pair = (case\ c'\ of\ (a, b) \Rightarrow (rp\ a, rp\ b))$

<proof>

lemma *pdivmod-then-div-mod-int-poly*:

assumes $q0: q \neq 0$ **and** $(rp\ p\ div\ rp\ q, rp\ p\ mod\ rp\ q) = (rp\ r, rp\ m)$

shows $div-mod-int-poly\ p\ q = Some\ (r, m)$

<proof>

lemma *div-int-then-rqp*:

assumes $div-int-poly\ p\ q = Some\ r$

shows $r * q = p$

and $q \neq 0$

<proof>

lemma *rqp-then-div-int*:

assumes $r * q = p$

and $q0: q \neq 0$

shows $div-int-poly\ p\ q = Some\ r$

<proof>

lemma *div-int-poly*: $(div-int-poly\ p\ q = Some\ r) \iff (q \neq 0 \wedge p = r * q)$

<proof>

definition *dvd-int-poly* :: $int\ poly \Rightarrow int\ poly \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$dvd\text{-int-poly } q p = (\text{if } q = 0 \text{ then } p = 0 \text{ else } div\text{-int-poly } p q \neq \text{None})$

lemma $dvd\text{-int-poly}[simp]$: $dvd\text{-int-poly } q p = (q dvd p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $dvd\text{-int-poly-non-0} :: int\ poly \Rightarrow int\ poly \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $dvd\text{-int-poly-non-0 } q p = (div\text{-int-poly } p q \neq \text{None})$

lemma $dvd\text{-int-poly-non-0}[simp]$: $q \neq 0 \Longrightarrow dvd\text{-int-poly-non-0 } q p = (q dvd p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $[code-unfold]$: $p dvd q \longleftrightarrow dvd\text{-int-poly } p q$ $\langle proof \rangle$

hide-const rp
end

5 More on Polynomials

This theory contains several results on content, gcd, primitive part, etc.. Moreover, there is a slightly improved code-equation for computing the gcd.

theory *Missing-Polynomial-Factorial*
imports *HOL-Computational-Algebra.Polynomial-Factorial*
Polynomial-Interpolation.Missing-Polynomial
begin

Improved code equation for $gcd\text{-poly-code}$ which avoids computing the content twice.

lemma $gcd\text{-poly-code-code}[code]$: $gcd\text{-poly-code } p q =$
 $(\text{if } p = 0 \text{ then } normalize\ q \text{ else if } q = 0 \text{ then } normalize\ p \text{ else let}$
 $c1 = content\ p;$
 $c2 = content\ q;$
 $p' = map\text{-poly } (\lambda x. x div\ c1) p;$
 $q' = map\text{-poly } (\lambda x. x div\ c2) q$
 $\text{in } smult\ (gcd\ c1\ c2)\ (gcd\text{-poly-code-aux } p'\ q'))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $gcd\text{-smult}$: **fixes** $f g :: 'a :: \{factorial\text{-ring-gcd}, semiring\text{-gcd-mult-normalize}\}$
 $poly$

defines $cf: cf \equiv content\ f$

and $cg: cg \equiv content\ g$

shows $gcd\ (smult\ a\ f)\ g = (\text{if } a = 0 \vee f = 0 \text{ then } normalize\ g \text{ else}$
 $smult\ (gcd\ a\ (cg\ div\ (gcd\ cf\ cg)))\ (gcd\ f\ g))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $gcd\text{-smult-ex}$: **assumes** $a \neq 0$

shows $\exists b. gcd\ (smult\ a\ f)\ g = smult\ b\ (gcd\ f\ g) \wedge b \neq 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *primitive-part-idemp*[simp]:

fixes $f :: 'a :: \{\text{semiring-gcd, normalization-semidom-multiplicative}\}$ *poly*

shows $\text{primitive-part} (\text{primitive-part } f) = \text{primitive-part } f$

<proof>

lemma *content-gcd-primitive*:

$f \neq 0 \implies \text{content} (\text{gcd} (\text{primitive-part } f) g) = 1$

$f \neq 0 \implies \text{content} (\text{gcd} (\text{primitive-part } f) (\text{primitive-part } g)) = 1$

<proof>

lemma *content-gcd-content*: $\text{content} (\text{gcd } f g) = \text{gcd} (\text{content } f) (\text{content } g)$

(**is** ?l = ?r)

<proof>

lemma *gcd-primitive-part*:

$\text{gcd} (\text{primitive-part } f) (\text{primitive-part } g) = \text{normalize} (\text{primitive-part} (\text{gcd } f g))$

<proof>

lemma *primitive-part-gcd*: $\text{primitive-part} (\text{gcd } f g)$

$= \text{unit-factor} (\text{gcd } f g) * \text{gcd} (\text{primitive-part } f) (\text{primitive-part } g)$

<proof>

lemma *primitive-part-normalize*:

fixes $f :: 'a :: \{\text{semiring-gcd, idom-divide, normalization-semidom-multiplicative}\}$

poly

shows $\text{primitive-part} (\text{normalize } f) = \text{normalize} (\text{primitive-part } f)$

<proof>

lemma *length-coeffs-primitive-part*[simp]: $\text{length} (\text{coeffs} (\text{primitive-part } f)) = \text{length}$

$(\text{coeffs } f)$

<proof>

lemma *degree-unit-factor*[simp]: $\text{degree} (\text{unit-factor } f) = 0$

<proof>

lemma *degree-normalize*[simp]: $\text{degree} (\text{normalize } f) = \text{degree } f$

<proof>

lemma *content-iff*: $x \text{ dvd content } p \iff (\forall c \in \text{set} (\text{coeffs } p). x \text{ dvd } c)$

<proof>

lemma *is-unit-field-poly*[simp]: $(p :: 'a :: \text{field poly}) \text{ dvd } 1 \iff p \neq 0 \wedge \text{degree } p = 0$

<proof>

definition *primitive where*

$\text{primitive } f \iff (\forall x. (\forall y \in \text{set} (\text{coeffs } f). x \text{ dvd } y) \longrightarrow x \text{ dvd } 1)$

lemma *primitiveI*:

assumes $(\bigwedge x. (\bigwedge y. y \in \text{set} (\text{coeffs } f) \implies x \text{ dvd } y) \implies x \text{ dvd } 1)$

shows *primitive f* \langle proof \rangle

lemma *primitiveD*:
assumes *primitive f*
shows $(\bigwedge y. y \in \text{set } (\text{coeffs } f) \implies x \text{ dvd } y) \implies x \text{ dvd } 1$
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *not-primitiveE*:
assumes \neg *primitive f*
and $\bigwedge x. (\bigwedge y. y \in \text{set } (\text{coeffs } f) \implies x \text{ dvd } y) \implies \neg x \text{ dvd } 1 \implies$ *thesis*
shows *thesis* \langle proof \rangle

lemma *primitive-iff-content-eq-1*[*simp*]:
fixes $f :: 'a :: \text{semiring-gcd poly}$
shows *primitive f* \longleftrightarrow *content f = 1*
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *primitive-prod-list*:
fixes $fs :: 'a :: \{\text{factorial-semiring, semiring-Gcd, normalization-semidom-multiplicative}\}$
poly list
assumes *primitive (prod-list fs)* **and** $f \in \text{set } fs$ **shows** *primitive f*
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *irreducible-imp-primitive*:
fixes $f :: 'a :: \{\text{idom, semiring-gcd}\}$ *poly*
assumes *irr: irreducible f* **and** *deg: degree f \neq 0* **shows** *primitive f*
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *irreducible-primitive-connect*:
fixes $f :: 'a :: \{\text{idom, semiring-gcd}\}$ *poly*
assumes *cf: primitive f* **shows** *irreducible_a f* \longleftrightarrow *irreducible f* (**is** ?l \longleftrightarrow ?r)
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *deg-not-zero-imp-not-unit*:
fixes $f :: 'a :: \{\text{idom-divide, semidom-divide-unit-factor}\}$ *poly*
assumes *deg-f: degree f > 0*
shows \neg *is-unit f*
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *content-pCons*[*simp*]: *content (pCons a p) = gcd a (content p)*
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *content-field-poly*:
fixes $f :: 'a :: \{\text{field, semiring-gcd}\}$ *poly*
shows *content f = (if f = 0 then 0 else 1)*
 \langle proof \rangle

end

6 Gauss Lemma

We formalized Gauss Lemma, that the content of a product of two polynomials p and q is the product of the contents of p and q . As a corollary we provide an algorithm to convert a rational factor of an integer polynomial into an integer factor.

In contrast to the theory on unique factorization domains – where Gauss Lemma is also proven in a more generic setting – we are here in an executable setting and do not use the unspecified *some* – *gcd* function. Moreover, there is a slight difference in the definition of content: in this theory it is only defined for integer-polynomials, whereas in the UFD theory, the content is defined for polynomials in the fraction field.

theory *Gauss-Lemma*

imports

HOL-Computational-Algebra.Primes
Polynomial-Interpolation.Ring-Hom-Poly
Missing-Polynomial-Factorial

begin

lemma *primitive-part-alt-def*:

primitive-part p = sdiv-poly p (content p)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *common-denom* :: *rat list* \Rightarrow *int* \times *int list* **where**

common-denom xs \equiv *let*
 nds = map quotient-of xs;
 denom = list-lcm (map snd nds);
 *ints = map ($\lambda (n,d). n * denom \text{ div } d$) nds*
in (denom, ints)

definition *rat-to-int-poly* :: *rat poly* \Rightarrow *int* \times *int poly* **where**

rat-to-int-poly p \equiv *let*
 ais = coeffs p;
 d = fst (common-denom ais)
*in (d, map-poly ($\lambda x. \text{case quotient-of } x \text{ of } (p,q) \Rightarrow p * d \text{ div } q$) p)*

definition *rat-to-normalized-int-poly* :: *rat poly* \Rightarrow *rat* \times *int poly* **where**

rat-to-normalized-int-poly p \equiv *if p = 0 then (1,0) else case rat-to-int-poly p of*
 (*s,q*)
 \Rightarrow (*of-int (content q) / of-int s, primitive-part q*)

lemma *rat-to-normalized-int-poly-code*[*code*]:

rat-to-normalized-int-poly p = (if p = 0 then (1,0) else case rat-to-int-poly p of
 (*s,q*)
 \Rightarrow *let c = content q in (of-int c / of-int s, sdiv-poly q c)*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *common-denom*: **assumes** *cd*: *common-denom xs = (dd,ys)*

shows $xs = \text{map } (\lambda i. \text{of-int } i / \text{of-int } dd) \text{ ys } dd > 0$
 $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies \text{rat-of-int } (\text{case quotient-of } x \text{ of } (n, x) \Rightarrow n * dd \text{ div } x) /$
 $\text{rat-of-int } dd = x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rat-to-int-poly*: **assumes** *rat-to-int-poly* $p = (d, q)$
shows $p = \text{smult } (\text{inverse } (\text{of-int } d)) (\text{map-poly } \text{of-int } q) d > 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *content-ge-0-int*: *content* $p \geq (0 :: \text{int})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *abs-content-int[simp]*: **fixes** $p :: \text{int poly}$
shows $\text{abs } (\text{content } p) = \text{content } p$ ⟨proof⟩

lemma *content-smult-int*: **fixes** $p :: \text{int poly}$
shows $\text{content } (\text{smult } a \ p) = \text{abs } a * \text{content } p$ ⟨proof⟩

lemma *normalize-non-0-smult*: $\exists a. (a :: 'a :: \text{semiring-gcd}) \neq 0 \wedge \text{smult } a$
 $(\text{primitive-part } p) = p$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rat-to-normalized-int-poly*: **assumes** *rat-to-normalized-int-poly* $p = (d, q)$
shows $p = \text{smult } d (\text{map-poly } \text{of-int } q) d > 0 \ p \neq 0 \implies \text{content } q = 1 \ \text{degree } q$
 $= \text{degree } p$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *content-dvd-1*:
 $\text{content } g = 1$ **if** $\text{content } f = (1 :: 'a :: \text{semiring-gcd}) \ g \ \text{dvd } f$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *dvd-smult-int*: **fixes** $c :: \text{int}$ **assumes** $c: c \neq 0$
and *dvd*: $q \ \text{dvd } (\text{smult } c \ p)$
shows *primitive-part* $q \ \text{dvd } p$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *irreducible_d-primitive-part*:
fixes $p :: \text{int poly}$
shows $\text{irreducible}_d (\text{primitive-part } p) \longleftrightarrow \text{irreducible}_d \ p$ (**is** $?l \longleftrightarrow ?r$)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *irreducible_d-smult-int*:
fixes $c :: \text{int}$ **assumes** $c: c \neq 0$
shows $\text{irreducible}_d (\text{smult } c \ p) = \text{irreducible}_d \ p$ (**is** $?l = ?r$)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *irreducible_d-as-irreducible*:
fixes $p :: \text{int poly}$
shows $\text{irreducible}_d \ p \longleftrightarrow \text{irreducible } (\text{primitive-part } p)$

<proof>

lemma *rat-to-int-factor-content-1*: **fixes** $p :: \text{int poly}$

assumes cp : $\text{content } p = 1$

and pg : $\text{map-poly rat-of-int } p = g * h$

and g : $\text{rat-to-normalized-int-poly } g = (r, rg)$

and h : $\text{rat-to-normalized-int-poly } h = (s, sh)$

and p : $p \neq 0$

shows $p = rg * sh$

<proof>

lemma *rat-to-int-factor-explicit*: **fixes** $p :: \text{int poly}$

assumes pg : $\text{map-poly rat-of-int } p = g * h$

and g : $\text{rat-to-normalized-int-poly } g = (r, rg)$

shows $\exists r. p = rg * \text{smult } (\text{content } p) r$

<proof>

lemma *rat-to-int-factor*: **fixes** $p :: \text{int poly}$

assumes pg : $\text{map-poly rat-of-int } p = g * h$

shows $\exists g' h'. p = g' * h' \wedge \text{degree } g' = \text{degree } g \wedge \text{degree } h' = \text{degree } h$

<proof>

lemma *rat-to-int-factor-normalized-int-poly*: **fixes** $p :: \text{rat poly}$

assumes pg : $p = g * h$

and p : $\text{rat-to-normalized-int-poly } p = (i, ip)$

shows $\exists g' h'. ip = g' * h' \wedge \text{degree } g' = \text{degree } g$

<proof>

lemma *irreducible-smult [simp]*:

fixes $c :: 'a :: \text{field}$

shows $\text{irreducible } (\text{smult } c p) \longleftrightarrow \text{irreducible } p \wedge c \neq 0$

<proof>

A polynomial with integer coefficients is irreducible over the rationals, if it is irreducible over the integers.

theorem *irreducible_d-int-rat*: **fixes** $p :: \text{int poly}$

assumes p : $\text{irreducible}_d p$

shows $\text{irreducible}_d (\text{map-poly rat-of-int } p)$

<proof>

corollary *irreducible_d-rat-to-normalized-int-poly*:

assumes rp : $\text{rat-to-normalized-int-poly } rp = (a, ip)$

and ip : $\text{irreducible}_d ip$

shows $\text{irreducible}_d rp$

<proof>

lemma *dvd-content-dvd*: **assumes** dvd : $\text{content } f \text{ dvd content } g$ *primitive-part* $f \text{ dvd}$

```

primitive-part g
  shows f dvd g
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sdiv-poly-smult: c ≠ 0 ⇒ sdiv-poly (smult c f) c = f
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma primitive-part-smult-int: fixes f :: int poly shows
  primitive-part (smult d f) = smult (sgn d) (primitive-part f)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

```

7 Prime Factorization

This theory contains not-completely naive algorithms to test primality and to perform prime factorization. More precisely, it corresponds to prime factorization algorithm A in Knuth's textbook [1].

```

theory Prime-Factorization
imports
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Primes
  Missing-List
  Missing-Multiset
begin

```

7.1 Definitions

```

definition primes-1000 :: nat list where
  primes-1000 = [2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, 47, 53, 59,
61, 67, 71, 73, 79, 83, 89, 97, 101,
103, 107, 109, 113, 127, 131, 137, 139, 149, 151, 157, 163, 167, 173, 179,
181, 191, 193, 197, 199,
211, 223, 227, 229, 233, 239, 241, 251, 257, 263, 269, 271, 277, 281, 283,
293, 307, 311, 313, 317,
331, 337, 347, 349, 353, 359, 367, 373, 379, 383, 389, 397, 401, 409, 419,
421, 431, 433, 439, 443,
449, 457, 461, 463, 467, 479, 487, 491, 499, 503, 509, 521, 523, 541, 547,
557, 563, 569, 571, 577,
587, 593, 599, 601, 607, 613, 617, 619, 631, 641, 643, 647, 653, 659, 661,
673, 677, 683, 691, 701,
709, 719, 727, 733, 739, 743, 751, 757, 761, 769, 773, 787, 797, 809, 811,
821, 823, 827, 829, 839,
853, 857, 859, 863, 877, 881, 883, 887, 907, 911, 919, 929, 937, 941, 947,
953, 967, 971, 977, 983,
991, 997]

```

```

lemma primes-1000: primes-1000 = filter prime [0..<1001]
⟨proof⟩

```


definition *next-candidates* :: nat ⇒ nat × nat list **where**
next-candidates n = (if n = 0 then (1001,primes-1000) else (n + 30,
[n,n+2,n+6,n+8,n+12,n+18,n+20,n+26]))

definition *candidate-invariant* n = (n = 0 ∨ n mod 30 = (11 :: nat))

partial-function (*tailrec*) *remove-prime-factor* :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat list ⇒ nat × nat list **where**

[code]: *remove-prime-factor* p n ps = (case *Divides.divmod-nat* n p of (n',m) ⇒ if m = 0 then *remove-prime-factor* p n' (p # ps) else (n,ps))

partial-function (*tailrec*) *prime-factorization-nat-main*

:: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat list ⇒ nat list ⇒ nat list **where**

[code]: *prime-factorization-nat-main* n j is ps = (case is of

[] ⇒

(case *next-candidates* j of (j,is) ⇒ *prime-factorization-nat-main* n j is ps)

| (i # is) ⇒ (case *Divides.divmod-nat* n i of (n',m) ⇒

if m = 0 then case *remove-prime-factor* i n' (i # ps)

of (n',ps') ⇒ if n' = 1 then ps' else

prime-factorization-nat-main n' j is ps')

else if i * i ≤ n then *prime-factorization-nat-main* n j is ps

else (n # ps)))

partial-function (*tailrec*) *prime-nat-main*

:: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat list ⇒ bool **where**

[code]: *prime-nat-main* n j is = (case is of

[] ⇒ (case *next-candidates* j of (j,is) ⇒ *prime-nat-main* n j is)

| (i # is) ⇒ (if i dvd n then i ≥ n else if i * i ≤ n then *prime-nat-main* n j is else True))

definition *prime-nat* :: nat ⇒ bool **where**

prime-nat n ≡ if n < 2 then False else — TODO: integrate precomputed map

case *next-candidates* 0 of (j,is) ⇒ *prime-nat-main* n j is

definition *prime-factorization-nat* :: nat ⇒ nat list **where**

prime-factorization-nat n ≡ rev (if n < 2 then [] else

case *next-candidates* 0 of (j,is) ⇒ *prime-factorization-nat-main* n j is [])

definition *divisors-nat* :: nat ⇒ nat list **where**

divisors-nat n ≡ if n = 0 then [] else

remdups-adj (sort (map *prod-list* (subseqs (*prime-factorization-nat* n))))

definition *divisors-int-pos* :: int ⇒ int list **where**

divisors-int-pos x ≡ map int (*divisors-nat* (nat (abs x)))

definition *divisors-int* :: int ⇒ int list **where**

divisors-int x ≡ let xs = *divisors-int-pos* x in xs @ (map *uminus* xs)

7.2 Proofs

lemma *remove-prime-factor*: **assumes** *res*: *remove-prime-factor* *i* *n* *ps* = (*m*,*qs*)
and *i*: $i > 1$
and *n*: $n \neq 0$
shows $\exists rs. qs = rs @ ps \wedge n = m * \text{prod-list } rs \wedge \neg i \text{ dvd } m \wedge \text{set } rs \subseteq \{i\}$
<proof>

lemma *prime-sqrtI*: **assumes** *n*: $n \geq 2$
and *small*: $\bigwedge j. 2 \leq j \implies j < i \implies \neg j \text{ dvd } n$
and *i*: $\neg i * i \leq n$
shows *prime* (*n*::*nat*) *<proof>*

lemma *candidate-invariant-0*: *candidate-invariant* 0
<proof>

lemma *next-candidates*: **assumes** *res*: *next-candidates* *n* = (*m*,*ps*)
and *n*: *candidate-invariant* *n*
shows *candidate-invariant* *m* *sorted* *ps* $\{i. \text{prime } i \wedge n \leq i \wedge i < m\} \subseteq \text{set } ps$
 $\text{set } ps \subseteq \{2..\} \cap \{n..<m\}$ *distinct* *ps* $ps \neq []$ $n < m$
<proof>

lemma *prime-test-iterate2*: **assumes** *small*: $\bigwedge j. 2 \leq j \implies j < (i :: \text{nat}) \implies \neg j \text{ dvd } n$
and *odd*: *odd* *n*
and *n*: $n \geq 3$
and *i*: $i \geq 3$ *odd* *i*
and *mod*: $\neg i \text{ dvd } n$
and *j*: $2 \leq j < i + 2$
shows $\neg j \text{ dvd } n$
<proof>

lemma *prime-divisor*: **assumes** $j \geq 2$ **and** $j \text{ dvd } n$ **shows**
 $\exists p :: \text{nat}. \text{prime } p \wedge p \text{ dvd } j \wedge p \text{ dvd } n$
<proof>

lemma *prime-nat-main*: $ni = (n,i,is) \implies i \geq 2 \implies n \geq 2 \implies$
 $(\bigwedge j. 2 \leq j \implies j < i \implies \neg (j \text{ dvd } n)) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge j. i \leq j \implies j < jj \implies \text{prime } j \implies j \in \text{set } is) \implies i \leq jj \implies$
 $\text{sorted } is \implies \text{distinct } is \implies \text{candidate-invariant } jj \implies \text{set } is \subseteq \{i..<jj\} \implies$
 $res = \text{prime-nat-main } n \text{ } jj \text{ } is \implies$
 $res = \text{prime } n$
<proof>

lemma *prime-factorization-nat-main*: $ni = (n,i,is) \implies i \geq 2 \implies n \geq 2 \implies$
 $(\bigwedge j. 2 \leq j \implies j < i \implies \neg (j \text{ dvd } n)) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge j. i \leq j \implies j < jj \implies \text{prime } j \implies j \in \text{set } is) \implies i \leq jj \implies$
 $\text{sorted } is \implies \text{distinct } is \implies \text{candidate-invariant } jj \implies \text{set } is \subseteq \{i..<jj\} \implies$
 $res = \text{prime-factorization-nat-main } n \text{ } jj \text{ } is \text{ } ps \implies$

$\exists qs. res = qs @ ps \wedge Ball (set qs) prime \wedge n = prod-list qs$
 <proof>

lemma *prime-nat[simp]*: $prime-nat\ n = prime\ n$
 <proof>

lemma *prime-factorization-nat*: **fixes** $n :: nat$
defines $pf \equiv prime-factorization-nat\ n$
shows $Ball (set pf) prime$
and $n \neq 0 \implies prod-list\ pf = n$
and $n = 0 \implies pf = []$
 <proof>

lemma *prod-mset-multiset-prime-factorization-nat [simp]*:
 $(x::nat) \neq 0 \implies prod-mset (prime-factorization\ x) = x$
 <proof>

lemma *prime-factorization-unique''*:
fixes $A :: 'a :: \{factorial-semiring-multiplicative\}$ *multiset*
assumes $\bigwedge p. p \in\# A \implies prime\ p$
assumes $prod-mset\ A = normalize\ x$
shows $prime-factorization\ x = A$
 <proof>

lemma *multiset-prime-factorization-nat-correct*:
 $prime-factorization\ n = mset (prime-factorization-nat\ n)$
 <proof>

lemma *multiset-prime-factorization-code[code-unfold]*:
 $prime-factorization = (\lambda n. mset (prime-factorization-nat\ n))$
 <proof>

lemma *divisors-nat*:
 $n \neq 0 \implies set (divisors-nat\ n) = \{p. p\ dvd\ n\} distinct (divisors-nat\ n) divisors-nat$
 $0 = []$
 <proof>

lemma *divisors-int-pos*: $x \neq 0 \implies set (divisors-int-pos\ x) = \{i. i\ dvd\ x \wedge i >$
 $0\} distinct (divisors-int-pos\ x)$
 $divisors-int-pos\ 0 = []$
 <proof>

lemma *divisors-int*: $x \neq 0 \implies set (divisors-int\ x) = \{i. i\ dvd\ x\} distinct (divisors-int$
 $x)$
 $divisors-int\ 0 = []$
 <proof>

definition *divisors-fun* :: $('a \Rightarrow ('a :: \{comm-monoid-mult,zero\}) list) \Rightarrow bool$

where

$divisors\text{-}fun\ df \equiv (\forall x. x \neq 0 \longrightarrow set\ (df\ x) = \{ d. d\ dvd\ x \}) \wedge (\forall x. distinct\ (df\ x))$

lemma *divisors-funD*: $divisors\text{-}fun\ df \Longrightarrow x \neq 0 \Longrightarrow d\ dvd\ x \Longrightarrow d \in set\ (df\ x)$
<proof>

definition *divisors-pos-fun* :: $(\ 'a \Rightarrow (\ 'a :: \{comm\text{-}monoid\text{-}mult, zero, ord\})\ list) \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$divisors\text{-}pos\text{-}fun\ df \equiv (\forall x. x \neq 0 \longrightarrow set\ (df\ x) = \{ d. d\ dvd\ x \wedge d > 0 \}) \wedge (\forall x. distinct\ (df\ x))$

lemma *divisors-pos-funD*: $divisors\text{-}pos\text{-}fun\ df \Longrightarrow x \neq 0 \Longrightarrow d\ dvd\ x \Longrightarrow d > 0 \Longrightarrow d \in set\ (df\ x)$
<proof>

lemma *divisors-fun-nat*: $divisors\text{-}fun\ divisors\text{-}nat$
<proof>

lemma *divisors-fun-int*: $divisors\text{-}fun\ divisors\text{-}int$
<proof>

lemma *divisors-pos-fun-int*: $divisors\text{-}pos\text{-}fun\ divisors\text{-}int\text{-}pos$
<proof>

end

8 Rational Root Test

This theory contains a formalization of the rational root test, i.e., a decision procedure to test whether a polynomial over the rational numbers has a rational root.

theory *Rational-Root-Test*

imports

Gauss-Lemma

Missing-List

Prime-Factorization

begin

definition *rational-root-test-main* ::

$(int \Rightarrow int\ list) \Rightarrow (int \Rightarrow int\ list) \Rightarrow rat\ poly \Rightarrow rat\ option$ **where**

$rational\text{-}root\text{-}test\text{-}main\ df\ dp\ p \equiv let\ ip = snd\ (rat\text{-}to\text{-}normalized\text{-}int\text{-}poly\ p);$

$a0 = coeff\ ip\ 0; an = coeff\ ip\ (degree\ ip)$

$in\ if\ a0 = 0\ then\ Some\ 0\ else$

$let\ d0 = df\ a0; dn = dp\ an$

$in\ map\text{-}option\ fst$

$(find\text{-}map\text{-}filter\ (\lambda\ x. (x, poly\ p\ x))$

$(\lambda\ (-, res). res = 0) [rat\text{-}of\text{-}int\ b0 / of\text{-}int\ bn . b0 <- d0, bn <- dn, coprime$

b0 bn])

definition *rational-root-test* :: *rat poly* \Rightarrow *rat option* **where**

rational-root-test p =
rational-root-test-main *divisors-int* *divisors-int-pos p*

lemma *rational-root-test-main*:

rational-root-test-main df dp p = *Some x* \Longrightarrow *poly p x* = 0
divisors-fun df \Longrightarrow *divisors-pos-fun dp* \Longrightarrow *rational-root-test-main df dp p* =
None \Longrightarrow $\neg (\exists x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0)$
<proof>

lemma *rational-root-test*:

rational-root-test p = *Some x* \Longrightarrow *poly p x* = 0
rational-root-test p = *None* \Longrightarrow $\neg (\exists x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0)$
<proof>

end

9 Kronecker Factorization

This theory contains Kronecker's factorization algorithm to factor integer or rational polynomials.

theory *Kronecker-Factorization*

imports

Polynomial-Interpolation.Polynomial-Interpolation
Sqrt-Babylonian.Sqrt-Babylonian-Auxiliary
Missing-List
Prime-Factorization
Precomputation
Gauss-Lemma
Dvd-Int-Poly

begin

9.1 Definitions

context

fixes *df* :: *int* \Rightarrow *int list*
and *dp* :: *int* \Rightarrow *int list*
and *bnd* :: *nat*

begin

definition *kronecker-samples* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *int list* **where**

kronecker-samples n \equiv *let min* = - *int (n div 2)* *in* [*min* .. *min* + *int n*]

lemma *kronecker-samples-0*: 0 \in *set (kronecker-samples n)* *<proof>*

Since 0 is always a samples value, we make a case analysis: we only take

positive divisors of $p(0)$, and consider all divisors for other $p(j)$.

definition *kroncker-factorization-main* :: *int poly* \Rightarrow *int poly option* **where**
kroncker-factorization-main $p \equiv$ if degree $p \leq 1$ then *None* else let
 $p =$ *primitive-part* p ;
 $js =$ *kroncker-samples* bnd ;
 $cjs =$ *map* $(\lambda j. (poly\ p\ j, j))\ js$
in (case *map-of* $cjs\ 0$ of
Some $j \Rightarrow$ *Some* $([: - j, 1 :])$
| *None* \Rightarrow let $djs =$ *map* $(\lambda (v,j). map\ (Pair\ j)\ (if\ j = 0\ then\ dp\ v\ else\ df\ v))$
cjs in
map-option the (*find-map-filter* *newton-interpolation-poly-int*
 $(\lambda go. case\ go\ of\ None \Rightarrow False\ | Some\ g \Rightarrow dvd-int-poly-non-0\ g\ p \wedge degree\ g$
 $\geq 1)$
(concat-lists $djs))$)

definition *kroncker-factorization-rat-main* :: *rat poly* \Rightarrow *rat poly option* **where**
kroncker-factorization-rat-main $p \equiv$ *map-option* (*map-poly of-int*)
(kroncker-factorization-main (*snd* (*rat-to-normalized-int-poly* p)))
end

definition *kroncker-factorization* :: *int poly* \Rightarrow *int poly option* **where**
kroncker-factorization $p =$
kroncker-factorization-main *divisors-int* *divisors-int-pos* (*degree* $p\ div\ 2$) p

definition *kroncker-factorization-rat* :: *rat poly* \Rightarrow *rat poly option* **where**
kroncker-factorization-rat $p =$
kroncker-factorization-rat-main *divisors-int* *divisors-int-pos* (*degree* $p\ div\ 2$) p

9.2 Code setup for divisors

definition *divisors-nat-copy* $n \equiv$ if $n = 0$ then $[]$ else *remdups-adj* (*sort* (*map*
prod-list (*subseqs* (*prime-factorization-nat* n))))

lemma *divisors-nat-copy[simp]*: *divisors-nat-copy* = *divisors-nat*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *memo-divisors-nat* \equiv *memo-nat* $0\ 100$ *divisors-nat-copy*

lemma *memo-divisors-nat[code-unfold]*: *divisors-nat* = *memo-divisors-nat*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

9.3 Proofs

context
begin

lemma *rat-to-int-poly-of-int*: **assumes** rp : *rat-to-int-poly* (*map-poly of-int* p) =
 (c, q)
shows $c = 1\ q = p$

<proof>

lemma *rat-to-normalized-int-poly-of-int*: **assumes** *rat-to-normalized-int-poly* (*map-poly of-int p*) = (*c,q*)

shows $c \in \mathbb{Z} \ p \neq 0 \implies c = \text{of-int } (\text{content } p) \wedge q = \text{primitive-part } p$
<proof>

lemma *dvd-poly-int-content-1*: **assumes** *c-x: content x = 1*

shows $(x \text{ dvd } y) = (\text{map-poly rat-of-int } x \text{ dvd map-poly of-int } y)$
<proof>

lemma *content-x-minus-const-int[simp]*: *content* [*c, 1* :] = (*1* :: *int*)

<proof>

lemma *length-upto-add-nat[simp]*: *length* [*a .. a + int n*] = *Suc n*

<proof>

lemma *kronecker-samples: distinct (kronecker-samples n) length (kronecker-samples n) = Suc n*

<proof>

lemma *dvd-int-poly-non-0-degree-1[simp]*: *degree q ≥ 1* \implies *dvd-int-poly-non-0 q p = (q dvd p)*

<proof>

context **fixes** *df dp :: int ⇒ int list*

and *bnd :: nat*

begin

lemma *kronecker-factorization-main-sound*: **assumes** *some: kronecker-factorization-main df dp bnd p = Some q*

and *bnd: degree p ≥ 2* \implies *bnd ≥ 1*

shows *degree q ≥ 1 degree q ≤ bnd q dvd p*
<proof>

lemma *kronecker-factorization-rat-main-sound*: **assumes**

some: kronecker-factorization-rat-main df dp bnd p = Some q

and *bnd: degree p ≥ 2* \implies *bnd ≥ 1*

shows *degree q ≥ 1 degree q ≤ bnd q dvd p*
<proof>

context

assumes *df: divisors-fun df* **and** *dpf: divisors-pos-fun dp*

begin

lemma *kronecker-factorization-main-complete*: **assumes**

none: kronecker-factorization-main *df dp bnd p = None*
and *dp: degree p ≥ 2*
shows $\neg (\exists q. 1 \leq \text{degree } q \wedge \text{degree } q \leq \text{bnd} \wedge q \text{ dvd } p)$
<proof>

lemma *kronecker-factorization-rat-main-complete*: **assumes**
none: kronecker-factorization-rat-main *df dp bnd p = None*
and *dp: degree p ≥ 2*
shows $\neg (\exists q. 1 \leq \text{degree } q \wedge \text{degree } q \leq \text{bnd} \wedge q \text{ dvd } p)$
<proof>
end
end

lemma *kronecker-factorization*:
kronecker-factorization p = Some q \implies
degree q ≥ 1 \wedge *degree q < degree p* \wedge *q dvd p*
kronecker-factorization p = None \implies *degree p ≥ 1* \implies *irreducible_a p*
<proof>

lemma *kronecker-factorization-rat*:
kronecker-factorization-rat p = Some q \implies
degree q ≥ 1 \wedge *degree q < degree p* \wedge *q dvd p*
kronecker-factorization-rat p = None \implies *degree p ≥ 1* \implies *irreducible_a p*
<proof>

end
end

10 Polynomial Divisibility

We make a connection between irreducibility of Missing-Polynomial and Factorial-Ring.

theory *Polynomial-Divisibility*
imports
Polynomial-Interpolation.Missing-Polynomial
begin

lemma *dvd-gcd-mult*: **fixes** *p :: 'a :: semiring-gcd*
assumes *dvd: k dvd p * q k dvd p * r*
shows *k dvd p * gcd q r*
<proof>

lemma *poly-gcd-monic-factor*:
monic p \implies *gcd (p * q) (p * r) = p * gcd q r*
<proof>

context
assumes *SORT-CONSTRAINT('a :: field)*

begin

lemma *field-poly-irreducible-dvd-mult[simp]*:
 assumes *irr*: *irreducible* (*p* :: 'a poly)
 shows $p \text{ dvd } q * r \iff p \text{ dvd } q \vee p \text{ dvd } r$
 <proof>

lemma *irreducible-dvd-pow*:
 fixes *p* :: 'a poly
 assumes *irr*: *irreducible* *p*
 shows $p \text{ dvd } q ^ n \implies p \text{ dvd } q$
 <proof>

lemma *irreducible-dvd-prod*: **fixes** *p* :: 'a poly
 assumes *irr*: *irreducible* *p*
 and *dvd*: $p \text{ dvd } \text{prod } f \text{ as}$
 shows $\exists a \in \text{as}. p \text{ dvd } f a$
 <proof>

lemma *irreducible-dvd-prod-list*: **fixes** *p* :: 'a poly
 assumes *irr*: *irreducible* *p*
 and *dvd*: $p \text{ dvd } \text{prod-list } \text{as}$
 shows $\exists a \in \text{set as}. p \text{ dvd } a$
 <proof>

lemma *dvd-mult-imp-degree*: **fixes** *p* :: 'a poly
 assumes $p \text{ dvd } q * r$
 and *degree* $p > 0$
 shows $\exists s t. \text{irreducible } s \wedge p = s * t \wedge (s \text{ dvd } q \vee s \text{ dvd } r)$
 <proof>

end

end

10.1 Fundamental Theorem of Algebra for Factorizations

Via the existing formulation of the fundamental theorem of algebra, we prove that we always get a linear factorization of a complex polynomial. Using this factorization we show that root-square-freeness of complex polynomial is identical to the statement that the cardinality of the set of all roots is equal to the degree of the polynomial.

theory *Fundamental-Theorem-Algebra-Factorized*

imports

Order-Polynomial

HOL-Computational-Algebra.Fundamental-Theorem-Algebra

begin

lemma *fundamental-theorem-algebra-factorized*: **fixes** $p :: \text{complex poly}$
shows $\exists as. \text{smult } (\text{coeff } p \text{ (degree } p)) (\prod a \leftarrow as. [:- a, 1:]) = p \wedge \text{length } as = \text{degree } p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rsquarefree-card-degree*: **assumes** $p0: (p :: \text{complex poly}) \neq 0$
shows $\text{rsquarefree } p = (\text{card } \{x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0\} = \text{degree } p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

11 Square Free Factorization

We implemented Yun's algorithm to perform a square-free factorization of a polynomial. We further show properties of a square-free factorization, namely that the exponents in the square-free factorization are exactly the orders of the roots. We also show that factorizing the result of square-free factorization further will again result in a square-free factorization, and that square-free factorizations can be lifted homomorphically.

theory *Square-Free-Factorization*

imports

Matrix.Utility

Polynomial-Divisibility

Order-Polynomial

Fundamental-Theorem-Algebra-Factorized

Polynomial-Interpolation.Ring-Hom-Poly

begin

definition *square-free* :: $'a :: \text{comm-semiring-1 poly} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{square-free } p = (p \neq 0 \wedge (\forall q. \text{degree } q > 0 \longrightarrow \neg (q * q \text{ dvd } p)))$

lemma *square-freeI*:

assumes $\bigwedge q. \text{degree } q > 0 \Longrightarrow q \neq 0 \Longrightarrow q * q \text{ dvd } p \Longrightarrow \text{False}$

and $p: p \neq 0$

shows $\text{square-free } p \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *square-free-multD*:

assumes $sf: \text{square-free } (f * g)$

shows $h \text{ dvd } f \Longrightarrow h \text{ dvd } g \Longrightarrow \text{degree } h = 0 \text{ square-free } f \text{ square-free } g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *irreducible_d-square-free*:

fixes $p :: 'a :: \{\text{comm-semiring-1, semiring-no-zero-divisors}\} \text{ poly}$

shows $\text{irreducible}_d \ p \Longrightarrow \text{square-free } p$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *square-free-factor*: **assumes** *dvd: a dvd p*
and *sf: square-free p*
shows *square-free a*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *square-free-prod-list-distinct*:
assumes *sf: square-free (prod-list us :: 'a :: idom poly)*
and *us: $\bigwedge u. u \in \text{set } us \implies \text{degree } u > 0$*
shows *distinct us*
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *separable where*
separable f = coprime f (pderiv f)

lemma *separable-imp-square-free*:
assumes *sep: separable (f :: 'a::{field, factorial-ring-gcd, semiring-gcd-mult-normalize})*
poly
shows *square-free f*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *square-free-rsquarefree*: **assumes** *f: square-free f*
shows *rsquarefree f*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *square-free-prodD*:
fixes *fs :: 'a :: {field, euclidean-ring-gcd, semiring-gcd-mult-normalize} poly set*
assumes *sf: square-free ($\prod fs$)*
and *fin: finite fs*
and *f: f \in fs*
and *g: g \in fs*
and *fg: f \neq g*
shows *coprime f g*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *rsquarefree-square-free-complex*: **assumes** *rsquarefree (p :: complex poly)*
shows *square-free p*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *square-free-separable-main*:
fixes *f :: 'a :: {field, factorial-ring-gcd, semiring-gcd-mult-normalize} poly*
assumes *square-free f*
and *sep: \neg separable f*
shows $\exists g k. f = g * k \wedge \text{degree } g \neq 0 \wedge \text{pderiv } g = 0$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *square-free-imp-separable*: **fixes** *f :: 'a :: {field-char-0, factorial-ring-gcd, semiring-gcd-mult-normalize}*
poly
assumes *square-free f*
shows *separable f*

<proof>

lemma *square-free-iff-separable*:

square-free ($f :: 'a :: \{\text{field-char-0}, \text{factorial-ring-gcd}, \text{semiring-gcd-mult-normalize}\}$
poly) = *separable* f
<proof>

context

assumes *SORT-CONSTRAINT*('a::{*field*,*factorial-ring-gcd*})

begin

lemma *square-free-smult*: $c \neq 0 \implies \text{square-free } (f :: 'a \text{ poly}) \implies \text{square-free}$
 $(\text{smult } c \ f)$
<proof>

lemma *square-free-smult-iff[simp]*: $c \neq 0 \implies \text{square-free } (\text{smult } c \ f) = \text{square-free}$
 $(f :: 'a \text{ poly})$
<proof>

end

context

assumes *SORT-CONSTRAINT*('a::*factorial-ring-gcd*)

begin

definition *square-free-factorization* :: '*a poly* \Rightarrow '*a* \times ('*a poly* \times *nat*) *list* \Rightarrow *bool*
where

square-free-factorization $p \ cbs \equiv$ *case* cbs *of* $(c, bs) \Rightarrow$
 $(p = \text{smult } c \ (\prod (a, i) \in \text{set } bs. a \wedge \text{Suc } i))$
 $\wedge (p = 0 \longrightarrow c = 0 \wedge bs = [])$
 $\wedge (\forall a \ i. (a, i) \in \text{set } bs \longrightarrow \text{square-free } a \wedge \text{degree } a > 0)$
 $\wedge (\forall a \ i \ b \ j. (a, i) \in \text{set } bs \longrightarrow (b, j) \in \text{set } bs \longrightarrow (a, i) \neq (b, j) \longrightarrow \text{coprime } a \ b)$
 $\wedge \text{distinct } bs$

lemma *square-free-factorizationD*: **assumes** *square-free-factorization* $p \ (c, bs)$

shows $p = \text{smult } c \ (\prod (a, i) \in \text{set } bs. a \wedge \text{Suc } i)$
 $(a, i) \in \text{set } bs \implies \text{square-free } a \wedge \text{degree } a \neq 0$
 $(a, i) \in \text{set } bs \implies (b, j) \in \text{set } bs \implies (a, i) \neq (b, j) \implies \text{coprime } a \ b$
 $p = 0 \implies c = 0 \wedge bs = []$
distinct bs
<proof>

lemma *square-free-factorization-prod-list*: **assumes** *square-free-factorization* $p \ (c, bs)$

shows $p = \text{smult } c \ (\text{prod-list } (\text{map } (\lambda (a, i). a \wedge \text{Suc } i) \ bs))$
<proof>
end

11.1 Yun's factorization algorithm

locale *yun-gcd* =

fixes $Gcd :: 'a :: \text{factorial-ring-gcd } \text{poly} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ poly} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ poly}$

begin

partial-function (*tailrec*) *yun-factorization-main* ::

```
'a poly ⇒ 'a poly ⇒
  nat ⇒ ('a poly × nat)list ⇒ ('a poly × nat)list where
[code]: yun-factorization-main bn cn i sqr = (
  if bn = 1 then sqr
  else (
  let
    dn = cn - pderiv bn;
    an = Gcd bn dn
  in yun-factorization-main (bn div an) (dn div an) (Suc i) ((an,i) # sqr)))
```

definition *yun-monic-factorization* :: 'a poly ⇒ ('a poly × nat)list **where**

```
yun-monic-factorization p = (let
  pp = pderiv p;
  u = Gcd p pp;
  b0 = p div u;
  c0 = pp div u
in
  (filter (λ (a,i). a ≠ 1) (yun-factorization-main b0 c0 0 [])))
```

definition *square-free-monic-poly* :: 'a poly ⇒ 'a poly **where**

```
square-free-monic-poly p = (p div (Gcd p (pderiv p)))
```

end

declare *yun-gcd.yun-monic-factorization-def* [code]

declare *yun-gcd.yun-factorization-main.simps* [code]

declare *yun-gcd.square-free-monic-poly-def* [code]

context

```
fixes Gcd :: 'a :: {field-char-0,euclidean-ring-gcd} poly ⇒ 'a poly ⇒ 'a poly
```

begin

interpretation *yun-gcd* Gcd ⟨proof⟩

definition *square-free-poly* :: 'a poly ⇒ 'a poly **where**

```
square-free-poly p = (if p = 0 then 0 else
  square-free-monic-poly (smult (inverse (coeff p (degree p))) p))
```

definition *yun-factorization* :: 'a poly ⇒ 'a × ('a poly × nat)list **where**

```
yun-factorization p = (if p = 0
  then (0,[]) else (let
  c = coeff p (degree p);
  q = smult (inverse c) p
in (c, yun-monic-factorization q)))
```

lemma *yun-factorization-0*[simp]: *yun-factorization* 0 = (0,[])

⟨proof⟩

end

locale *monic-factorization* =

fixes *as* :: ('a :: {field-char-0,euclidean-ring-gcd,semiring-gcd-mult-normalize}
poly × *nat*) *set*

and *p* :: 'a *poly*

assumes *p*: $p = \text{prod } (\lambda (a,i). a \wedge \text{Suc } i) \text{ as}$

and *fin*: *finite as*

assumes *as-distinct*: $\bigwedge a \ i \ b \ j. (a,i) \in \text{as} \implies (b,j) \in \text{as} \implies (a,i) \neq (b,j) \implies a \neq b$

and *as-irred*: $\bigwedge a \ i. (a,i) \in \text{as} \implies \text{irreducible}_d \ a$

and *as-monic*: $\bigwedge a \ i. (a,i) \in \text{as} \implies \text{monic } a$

begin

lemma *poly-exp-expand*:

$p = (\text{prod } (\lambda (a,i). a \wedge i) \text{ as}) * \text{prod } (\lambda (a,i). a) \text{ as}$
<proof>

lemma *pderiv-exp-prod*:

$\text{pderiv } p = (\text{prod } (\lambda (a,i). a \wedge i) \text{ as} * \text{sum } (\lambda (a,i). \text{prod } (\lambda (b,j). b) (\text{as} - \{(a,i)\}) * \text{smult } (\text{of-nat } (\text{Suc } i)) (\text{pderiv } a)) \text{ as})$
<proof>

lemma *monic-gen*: **assumes** $bs \subseteq as$

shows *monic* $(\prod (a, i) \in bs. a)$
<proof>

lemma *nonzero-gen*: **assumes** $bs \subseteq as$

shows $(\prod (a, i) \in bs. a) \neq 0$
<proof>

lemma *monic-Prod*: *monic* $(\prod (a, i) \in as. a \wedge i)$

<proof>

lemma *coprime-generic*:

assumes *bs*: $bs \subseteq as$

and *f*: $\bigwedge a \ i. (a,i) \in bs \implies f \ i > 0$

shows *coprime* $(\prod (a, i) \in bs. a)$

$(\sum (a, i) \in bs. (\prod (b, j) \in bs - \{(a, i)\}. b) * \text{smult } (\text{of-nat } (f \ i)) (\text{pderiv } a))$

(**is coprime** ?single ?onederiv)

<proof>

lemma *pderiv-exp-gcd*:

$\text{gcd } p (\text{pderiv } p) = (\prod (a, i) \in as. a \wedge i)$ (**is** - = ?prod)
<proof>

lemma *p-div-gcd-p-pderiv*: $p \ \text{div} \ (\text{gcd } p (\text{pderiv } p)) = (\prod (a, i) \in as. a)$

<proof>

fun $A\ B\ C\ D :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a\ \text{poly}\ \text{where}$

$A\ n = \text{gcd}\ (B\ n)\ (D\ n)$
 $| B\ 0 = p\ \text{div}\ (\text{gcd}\ p\ (p\ \text{deriv}\ p))$
 $| B\ (\text{Suc}\ n) = B\ n\ \text{div}\ A\ n$
 $| C\ 0 = p\ \text{deriv}\ p\ \text{div}\ (\text{gcd}\ p\ (p\ \text{deriv}\ p))$
 $| C\ (\text{Suc}\ n) = D\ n\ \text{div}\ A\ n$
 $| D\ n = C\ n - p\ \text{deriv}\ (B\ n)$

lemma $A\text{-}B\text{-}C\text{-}D$: $A\ n = (\prod (a, i) \in as \cap UNIV \times \{n\}. a)$

$B\ n = (\prod (a, i) \in as - UNIV \times \{0 ..< n\}. a)$

$C\ n = (\sum (a, i) \in as - UNIV \times \{0 ..< n\}.$

$(\prod (b, j) \in as - UNIV \times \{0 ..< n\} - \{(a, i)\}. b) * \text{smult}\ (\text{of-nat}\ (\text{Suc}\ i - n))$
 $(p\ \text{deriv}\ a))$

$D\ n = (\prod (a, i) \in as \cap UNIV \times \{n\}. a) *$

$(\sum (a, i) \in as - UNIV \times \{0 ..< \text{Suc}\ n\}.$

$(\prod (b, j) \in as - UNIV \times \{0 ..< \text{Suc}\ n\} - \{(a, i)\}. b) * (\text{smult}\ (\text{of-nat}\ (i - n))$
 $(p\ \text{deriv}\ a)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas $A = A\text{-}B\text{-}C\text{-}D(1)$

lemmas $B = A\text{-}B\text{-}C\text{-}D(2)$

lemmas $ABCD\text{-}simps = A.simps\ B.simps\ C.simps\ D.simps$

declare $ABCD\text{-}simps[simp\ del]$

lemma prod-A :

$(\prod i = 0..< n. A\ i \wedge \text{Suc}\ i) = (\prod (a, i) \in as \cap UNIV \times \{0 ..< n\}. a \wedge \text{Suc}\ i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{prod-A-is-p-unknown}$: **assumes** $\bigwedge a\ i. (a, i) \in as \implies i < n$

shows $p = (\prod i = 0..< n. A\ i \wedge \text{Suc}\ i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\text{bound} :: \text{nat}\ \text{where}$

$\text{bound} = \text{Suc}\ (\text{Max}\ (\text{snd}\ 'as))$

lemma bound : **assumes** $m: m \geq \text{bound}$

shows $B\ m = 1$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma coprime-A-A : **assumes** $i \neq j$

shows $\text{coprime}\ (A\ i)\ (A\ j)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $A\text{-monic}$: $\text{monic}\ (A\ i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $A\text{-square-free}$: $\text{square-free}\ (A\ i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-A-is-p-B-bound*: **assumes** $B\ n = 1$
shows $p = (\prod i = 0..< n. A\ i \wedge \text{Suc}\ i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *yun-gcd gcd* $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *square-free-monic-poly*: $(\text{poly}\ (\text{square-free-monic-poly}\ p)\ x = 0) = (\text{poly}\ p\ x = 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *yun-factorization-induct*: **assumes** *base*: $\bigwedge bn\ cn. bn = 1 \implies P\ bn\ cn$
and step: $\bigwedge bn\ cn. bn \neq 1 \implies P\ (bn\ \text{div}\ (\text{gcd}\ bn\ (cn - \text{pderiv}\ bn)))$
 $((cn - \text{pderiv}\ bn)\ \text{div}\ (\text{gcd}\ bn\ (cn - \text{pderiv}\ bn))) \implies P\ bn\ cn$
and id: $bn = p\ \text{div}\ \text{gcd}\ p\ (\text{pderiv}\ p)\ cn = \text{pderiv}\ p\ \text{div}\ \text{gcd}\ p\ (\text{pderiv}\ p)$
shows $P\ bn\ cn$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *yun-factorization-main*: **assumes** *yun-factorization-main* $(B\ n)\ (C\ n)\ n$
 $bs = cs$
 $\text{set}\ bs = \{(A\ i, i) \mid i. i < n\}$ *distinct* $(\text{map}\ \text{snd}\ bs)$
shows $\exists m. \text{set}\ cs = \{(A\ i, i) \mid i. i < m\} \wedge B\ m = 1 \wedge \text{distinct}\ (\text{map}\ \text{snd}\ cs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *yun-monic-factorization-res*: **assumes** *res*: *yun-monic-factorization* $p = bs$
shows $\exists m. \text{set}\ bs = \{(A\ i, i) \mid i. i < m \wedge A\ i \neq 1\} \wedge B\ m = 1 \wedge \text{distinct}\ (\text{map}\ \text{snd}\ bs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *yun-monic-factorization*: **assumes** *yun*: *yun-monic-factorization* $p = bs$
shows *square-free-factorization* $p\ (1, bs)\ (b, i) \in \text{set}\ bs \implies \text{monic}\ b\ \text{distinct}\ (\text{map}\ \text{snd}\ bs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end

lemma *monic-factorization*: **assumes** *monic* p
shows $\exists as. \text{monic-factorization}\ as\ p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *square-free-monic-poly*:
assumes *monic* $(p :: 'a :: \{\text{field-char-0}, \text{euclidean-ring-gcd}, \text{semiring-gcd-mult-normalize}\})$
 poly
shows $(\text{poly}\ (\text{yun-gcd.square-free-monic-poly}\ \text{gcd}\ p)\ x = 0) = (\text{poly}\ p\ x = 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *yun-factorization-induct*:
assumes *base*: $\bigwedge bn\ cn. bn = 1 \implies P\ bn\ cn$
and step: $\bigwedge bn\ cn. bn \neq 1 \implies P\ (bn\ \text{div}\ (\text{gcd}\ bn\ (cn - \text{pderiv}\ bn)))$

$((cn - pderiv\ bn) \text{ div } (gcd\ bn\ (cn - pderiv\ bn))) \implies P\ bn\ cn$
and *id*: $bn = p \text{ div } gcd\ p\ (pderiv\ p)\ cn = pderiv\ p \text{ div } gcd\ p\ (pderiv\ p)$
and *monic*: $monic\ (p :: 'a :: \{field-char-0, euclidean-ring-gcd, semiring-gcd-mult-normalize\})$
poly
shows $P\ bn\ cn$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *square-free-poly*:
 $(poly\ (square-free-poly\ gcd\ p)\ x = 0) = (poly\ p\ x = 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *yun-monic-factorization*:
fixes $p :: 'a :: \{field-char-0, euclidean-ring-gcd, semiring-gcd-mult-normalize\}$ *poly*

assumes *res*: $yun-gcd.yun-monic-factorization\ gcd\ p = bs$
and *monic*: $monic\ p$
shows $square-free-factorization\ p\ (1, bs)\ (b, i) \in set\ bs \implies monic\ b\ distinct\ (map\ snd\ bs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *square-free-factorization-smult*: **assumes** $c: c \neq 0$
and *sf*: $square-free-factorization\ p\ (d, bs)$
shows $square-free-factorization\ (smult\ c\ p)\ (c * d, bs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *yun-factorization*: **assumes** *res*: $yun-factorization\ gcd\ p = c-bs$
shows $square-free-factorization\ p\ c-bs\ (b, i) \in set\ (snd\ c-bs) \implies monic\ b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prod-list-pow-suc*: $(\prod x \leftarrow bs. (x :: 'a :: comm-monoid-mult) * x ^ i)$
 $= prod-list\ bs * prod-list\ bs ^ i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare *irreducible-linear-field-poly*[intro!]

context
assumes *SORT-CONSTRAINT*($'a :: \{field, factorial-ring-gcd, semiring-gcd-mult-normalize\}$)

begin

lemma *square-free-factorization-order-root-mem*:
assumes *sff*: $square-free-factorization\ p\ (c, bs)$
and $p \neq (0 :: 'a\ poly)$
and *ai*: $(a, i) \in set\ bs$ **and** *rt*: $poly\ a\ x = 0$
shows $order\ x\ p = Suc\ i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *square-free-factorization-order-root-no-mem*:

assumes *sff*: square-free-factorization $p (c, bs)$
and $p: p \neq (0 :: 'a \text{ poly})$
and *no-root*: $\bigwedge a i. (a, i) \in \text{set } bs \implies \text{poly } a x \neq 0$
shows $\text{order } x p = 0$
 <proof>

lemma *square-free-factorization-order-root*:
assumes *sff*: square-free-factorization $p (c, bs)$
and $p: p \neq (0 :: 'a \text{ poly})$
shows $\text{order } x p = i \iff (i = 0 \wedge (\forall a j. (a, j) \in \text{set } bs \longrightarrow \text{poly } a x \neq 0) \vee (\exists a j. (a, j) \in \text{set } bs \wedge \text{poly } a x = 0 \wedge i = \text{Suc } j))$ (**is** ?l = (?r1 \vee ?r2))
 <proof>

lemma *square-free-factorization-root*:
assumes *sff*: square-free-factorization $p (c, bs)$
and $p: p \neq (0 :: 'a \text{ poly})$
shows $\{x. \text{poly } p x = 0\} = \{x. \exists a i. (a, i) \in \text{set } bs \wedge \text{poly } a x = 0\}$
 <proof>

lemma *square-free-factorizationD'*: **fixes** $p :: 'a \text{ poly}$
assumes *sf*: square-free-factorization $p (c, bs)$
shows $p = \text{smult } c (\prod (a, i) \leftarrow bs. a \wedge \text{Suc } i)$
and *square-free* (*prod-list* (*map fst* *bs*))
and $\bigwedge b i. (b, i) \in \text{set } bs \implies \text{degree } b \neq 0$
and $p = 0 \implies c = 0 \wedge bs = []$
 <proof>

lemma *square-free-factorizationI'*: **fixes** $p :: 'a \text{ poly}$
assumes *prod*: $p = \text{smult } c (\prod (a, i) \leftarrow bs. a \wedge \text{Suc } i)$
and *sf*: *square-free* (*prod-list* (*map fst* *bs*))
and *deg*: $\bigwedge b i. (b, i) \in \text{set } bs \implies \text{degree } b > 0$
and *0*: $p = 0 \implies c = 0 \wedge bs = []$
shows *square-free-factorization* $p (c, bs)$
 <proof>

lemma *square-free-factorization-def'*: **fixes** $p :: 'a \text{ poly}$
shows *square-free-factorization* $p (c, bs) \iff$
 $(p = \text{smult } c (\prod (a, i) \leftarrow bs. a \wedge \text{Suc } i)) \wedge$
 $(\text{square-free } (\text{prod-list } (\text{map fst } bs))) \wedge$
 $(\forall b i. (b, i) \in \text{set } bs \longrightarrow \text{degree } b > 0) \wedge$
 $(p = 0 \longrightarrow c = 0 \wedge bs = [])$
 <proof>

lemma *square-free-factorization-smult-prod-listI*: **fixes** $p :: 'a \text{ poly}$
assumes *sff*: *square-free-factorization* $p (c, bs1 @ (\text{smult } b (\text{prod-list } bs), i) \# bs2)$
and *bs*: $\bigwedge b. b \in \text{set } bs \implies \text{degree } b > 0$
shows *square-free-factorization* $p (c * b \wedge (\text{Suc } i), bs1 @ \text{map } (\lambda b. (b, i)) bs @$

bs2)
 <proof>

lemma *square-free-factorization-further-factorization*: **fixes** $p :: 'a \text{ poly}$
assumes $\text{sff}: \text{square-free-factorization } p (c, bs)$
and $bs: \bigwedge b \ i \ d \ fs. (b,i) \in \text{set } bs \implies f \ b = (d,fs)$
 $\implies b = \text{smult } d (\text{prod-list } fs) \wedge (\forall f \in \text{set } fs. \text{degree } f > 0)$
and $h: h = (\lambda (b,i). \text{case } f \ b \ \text{of } (d,fs) \Rightarrow (d^{\wedge} \text{Suc } i, \text{map } (\lambda f. (f,i)) \ fs))$
and $gs: gs = \text{map } h \ bs$
and $d: d = c * \text{prod-list } (\text{map } \text{fst } gs)$
and $es: es = \text{concat } (\text{map } \text{snd } gs)$
shows *square-free-factorization* $p (d, es)$
 <proof>

lemma *square-free-factorization-prod-listI*: **fixes** $p :: 'a \text{ poly}$
assumes $\text{sff}: \text{square-free-factorization } p (c, bs1 @ ((\text{prod-list } bs),i) \# bs2)$
and $bs: \bigwedge b. b \in \text{set } bs \implies \text{degree } b > 0$
shows *square-free-factorization* $p (c, bs1 @ \text{map } (\lambda b. (b,i)) \ bs @ bs2)$
 <proof>

lemma *square-free-factorization-factorI*: **fixes** $p :: 'a \text{ poly}$
assumes $\text{sff}: \text{square-free-factorization } p (c, bs1 @ (a,i) \# bs2)$
and $r: \text{degree } r \neq 0$ **and** $s: \text{degree } s \neq 0$
and $a: a = r * s$
shows *square-free-factorization* $p (c, bs1 @ ((r,i) \# (s,i) \# bs2))$
 <proof>

end

lemma *monic-square-free-irreducible-factorization*: **assumes** $\text{mon}: \text{monic } (f :: 'b$
 $:: \text{field } \text{poly})$
and $\text{sf}: \text{square-free } f$
shows $\exists P. \text{finite } P \wedge f = \prod P \wedge P \subseteq \{q. \text{irreducible } q \wedge \text{monic } q\}$
 <proof>

context

assumes *SORT-CONSTRAINT* $('a :: \{\text{field}, \text{factorial-ring-gcd}\})$

begin

lemma *monic-factorization-uniqueness*:

fixes $P :: 'a \text{ poly set}$

assumes *finite-P*: $\text{finite } P$

and $PQ: \prod P = \prod Q$

and $P: P \subseteq \{q. \text{irreducible}_d \ q \wedge \text{monic } q\}$

and *finite-Q*: $\text{finite } Q$

and $Q: Q \subseteq \{q. \text{irreducible}_d \ q \wedge \text{monic } q\}$

shows $P = Q$

<proof>

end

11.2 Yun factorization and homomorphisms

locale *field-hom-0'* = *field-hom hom*

for *hom* :: 'a :: {*field-char-0,field-gcd*} ⇒
 '*b* :: {*field-char-0,field-gcd*}

begin

sublocale *field-hom'* ⟨*proof*⟩

end

lemma (in *field-hom-0'*) *yun-factorization-main-hom*:

defines *hp*: *hp* ≡ *map-poly hom*

defines *hpi*: *hpi* ≡ *map* (λ (*f,i*). (*hp f*, *i* :: *nat*))

assumes *monic*: *monic p* **and** *f*: *f* = *p div gcd p (pderiv p)* **and** *g*: *g* = *pderiv p div gcd p (pderiv p)*

shows *yun-gcd.yun-factorization-main gcd (hp f) (hp g) i (hpi as) = hpi (yun-gcd.yun-factorization-main gcd f g i as)*

 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *square-free-square-free-factorization*:

square-free (p :: 'a :: {field,factorial-ring-gcd,semiring-gcd-mult-normalize} poly)

⇒

degree p ≠ 0 ⇒ square-free-factorization p (1,[(p,0)])

 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *constant-square-free-factorization*:

degree p = 0 ⇒ square-free-factorization p (coeff p 0,[])

 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *field-hom-0'*) *yun-monic-factorization*:

defines *hp*: *hp* ≡ *map-poly hom*

defines *hpi*: *hpi* ≡ *map* (λ (*f,i*). (*hp f*, *i* :: *nat*))

assumes *monic*: *monic f*

shows *yun-gcd.yun-monic-factorization gcd (hp f) = hpi (yun-gcd.yun-monic-factorization gcd f)*

 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *field-hom-0'*) *yun-factorization-hom*:

defines *hp*: *hp* ≡ *map-poly hom*

defines *hpi*: *hpi* ≡ *map* (λ (*f,i*). (*hp f*, *i* :: *nat*))

shows *yun-factorization gcd (hp f) = map-prod hom hpi (yun-factorization gcd f)*

 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *field-hom-0'*) *square-free-map-poly*:

square-free (map-poly hom f) = square-free f

 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

12 GCD of rational polynomials via GCD for integer polynomials

This theory contains an algorithm to compute GCDs of rational polynomials via a conversion to integer polynomials and then invoking the integer polynomial GCD algorithm.

theory *Gcd-Rat-Poly*

imports

Gauss-Lemma

HOL-Computational-Algebra.Field-as-Ring

begin

definition *gcd-rat-poly* :: *rat poly* \Rightarrow *rat poly* \Rightarrow *rat poly* **where**

gcd-rat-poly *f g* = (let

f' = *snd* (*rat-to-int-poly* *f*);

g' = *snd* (*rat-to-int-poly* *g*);

h = *map-poly rat-of-int* (*gcd* *f' g'*)

in *smult* (*inverse* (*lead-coeff* *h*)) *h*)

lemma *gcd-rat-poly[simp]*: *gcd-rat-poly* = *gcd*

<proof>

lemma *gcd-rat-poly-unfold[code-unfold]*: *gcd* = *gcd-rat-poly* *<proof>*

end

13 Rational Factorization

We combine the rational root test, the formulas for explicit roots, and the Kronecker's factorization algorithm to provide a basic factorization algorithm for polynomial over rational numbers. Moreover, also the roots of a rational polynomial can be determined.

theory *Rational-Factorization*

imports

Explicit-Roots

Kronecker-Factorization

Square-Free-Factorization

Rational-Root-Test

Gcd-Rat-Poly

Show.Show-Poly

begin

function *roots-of-rat-poly-main* :: *rat poly* \Rightarrow *rat list* **where**

roots-of-rat-poly-main *p* = (let *n* = *degree* *p* in if *n* = 0 then [] else if *n* = 1 then [*roots1* *p*]

else if *n* = 2 then *rat-roots2* *p* else

case *rational-root-test* *p* of None \Rightarrow [] | Some *x* \Rightarrow *x* # *roots-of-rat-poly-main* (*p* *div* [:-*x*, 1:])))

<proof>

termination *<proof>*

lemma *roots-of-rat-poly-main-code*[code]: *roots-of-rat-poly-main* $p = (\text{let } n = \text{degree } p \text{ in if } n = 0 \text{ then } [] \text{ else if } n = 1 \text{ then } [\text{roots1 } p] \text{ else if } n = 2 \text{ then } \text{rat-roots2 } p \text{ else case rational-root-test } p \text{ of None } \Rightarrow [] \mid \text{Some } x \Rightarrow x \# \text{roots-of-rat-poly-main } (p \text{ div } [:-x,1:]))$
<proof>

lemma *roots-of-rat-poly-main*: $p \neq 0 \implies \text{set } (\text{roots-of-rat-poly-main } p) = \{x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0\}$
<proof>

declare *roots-of-rat-poly-main.simps*[simp del]

definition *roots-of-rat-poly* :: *rat poly* \Rightarrow *rat list* **where**
roots-of-rat-poly $p \equiv \text{let } (c, \text{pis}) = \text{yun-factorization gcd-rat-poly } p \text{ in concat } (\text{map } (\text{roots-of-rat-poly-main } o \text{fst}) \text{pis})$

lemma *roots-of-rat-poly*: **assumes** $p: p \neq 0$
shows $\text{set } (\text{roots-of-rat-poly } p) = \{x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0\}$
<proof>

definition *root-free* :: '*a* :: *comm-semiring-0 poly* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
root-free $p = (\text{degree } p = 1 \vee (\forall x. \text{poly } p \ x \neq 0))$

lemma *irreducible-root-free*:
fixes $p :: 'a :: \text{idom poly}$
assumes *irreducible* p **shows** *root-free* p
<proof>

partial-function (*tailrec*) *factorize-root-free-main* :: *rat poly* \Rightarrow *rat list* \Rightarrow *rat poly list* \Rightarrow *rat* \times *rat poly list* **where**
[code]: *factorize-root-free-main* $p \ xs \ fs = (\text{case } xs \text{ of Nil } \Rightarrow \text{let } l = \text{coeff } p \ (\text{degree } p); q = \text{smult } (\text{inverse } l) \ p \ \text{in } (l, (\text{if } q = 1 \text{ then } fs \ \text{else } q \# fs)) \mid x \# xs \Rightarrow \text{if } \text{poly } p \ x = 0 \text{ then } \text{factorize-root-free-main } (p \ \text{div } [:-x,1:]) \ (x \# xs) \ ([:-x,1:] \# fs) \ \text{else } \text{factorize-root-free-main } p \ xs \ fs)$

definition *factorize-root-free* :: *rat poly* \Rightarrow *rat* \times *rat poly list* **where**
factorize-root-free $p = (\text{if } \text{degree } p = 0 \text{ then } (\text{coeff } p \ 0, []) \ \text{else } \text{factorize-root-free-main } p \ (\text{roots-of-rat-poly } p) \ [])$

lemma *factorize-root-free-0*[simp]: *factorize-root-free* $0 = (0, [])$
<proof>

lemma *factorize-root-free*: **assumes** *res*: *factorize-root-free* $p = (c, qs)$
shows $p = \text{smult } c \text{ (prod-list } qs)$
 $\bigwedge q. q \in \text{set } qs \implies \text{root-free } q \wedge \text{monic } q \wedge \text{degree } q \neq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *rational-proper-factor* :: *rat poly* \Rightarrow *rat poly option* **where**
rational-proper-factor $p =$ (if *degree* $p \leq 1$ then *None*
else if *degree* $p = 2$ then (case *rat-roots2* p of *Nil* \Rightarrow *None* | *Cons* $x \ xs \Rightarrow$ *Some*
 $[-x, 1 \ ::]$)
else if *degree* $p = 3$ then (case *rational-root-test* p of *None* \Rightarrow *None* | *Some* x
 \Rightarrow *Some* $[-x, 1:]$)
else *kroncker-factorization-rat* $p)$

lemma *degree-1-dvd-root*: **assumes** q : *degree* $(q :: 'a :: \text{field poly}) = 1$
and *rt*: $\bigwedge x. \text{poly } p \ x \neq 0$
shows $\neg q \ \text{dvd } p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rational-proper-factor*:
degree $p > 0 \implies \text{rational-proper-factor } p = \text{None} \implies \text{irreducible}_d \ p$
rational-proper-factor $p = \text{Some } q \implies q \ \text{dvd } p \wedge \text{degree } q \geq 1 \wedge \text{degree } q <$
degree p
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

function *factorize-rat-poly-main* :: *rat* \Rightarrow *rat poly list* \Rightarrow *rat poly list* \Rightarrow *rat* \times *rat*
poly list **where**
factorize-rat-poly-main $c \ \text{irr } [] = (c, \text{irr})$
| *factorize-rat-poly-main* $c \ \text{irr } (p \ \# \ ps) =$ (if *degree* $p = 0$
then *factorize-rat-poly-main* $(c * \text{coeff } p \ 0) \ \text{irr } ps$
else (case *rational-proper-factor* p of
None \Rightarrow *factorize-rat-poly-main* $c \ (p \ \# \ \text{irr}) \ ps$
| *Some* $q \Rightarrow$ *factorize-rat-poly-main* $c \ \text{irr } (q \ \# \ p \ \text{div } q \ \# \ ps))$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *factorize-rat-poly-main-wf-rel* = *inv-image* $(\text{mult1 } \{(x, y). x < y\})$
 $(\lambda(c, \text{irr}, ps). \text{mset } (\text{map } \text{degree } ps))$

lemma *wf-factorize-rat-poly-main-wf-rel*: *wf* *factorize-rat-poly-main-wf-rel*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *factorize-rat-poly-main-wf-rel-sub*:
 $((a, b, ps), (c, d, p \ \# \ ps)) \in \text{factorize-rat-poly-main-wf-rel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *factorize-rat-poly-main-wf-rel-two*: **assumes** *degree q < degree p degree r < degree p*
shows $((a,b,q \# r \# ps), (c,d,p \# ps)) \in \text{factorize-rat-poly-main-wf-rel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

termination
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *factorize-rat-poly-main.simps*[*simp del*]

lemma *factorize-rat-poly-main*:
assumes *factorize-rat-poly-main c irr ps = (d,qs)*
and *Ball (set irr) irreducible_d*
shows *Ball (set qs) irreducible_d (is ?g1)*
and *smult c (prod-list (irr @ ps)) = smult d (prod-list qs) (is ?g2)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *factorize-rat-poly-basic p = factorize-rat-poly-main 1 [] [p]*

lemma *factorize-rat-poly-basic*: **assumes** *res: factorize-rat-poly-basic p = (c,qs)*
shows *p = smult c (prod-list qs)*
 $\bigwedge q. q \in \text{set } qs \implies \text{irreducible}_d q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

We removed the *factorize-rat-poly* function from this theory, since the one in Berlekamp-Zassenhaus is easier to use and implements a more efficient algorithm.

end

References

- [1] D. E. Knuth. *The Art of Computer Programming, Volume II: Seminumerical Algorithms, 2nd Edition*. Addison-Wesley, 1981.
- [2] D. Yun. On square-free decomposition algorithms. In *Proc. the third ACM symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation*, pages 26–35, 1976.