

Perron-Frobenius Theorem for Spectral Radius Analysis*

Jose Divasón, Ondej Kunar, René Thiemann and Akihisa Yamada

March 17, 2025

Abstract

The spectral radius of a matrix A is the maximum norm of all eigenvalues of A . In previous work we already formalized that for a complex matrix A , the values in A^n grow polynomially in n if and only if the spectral radius is at most one. One problem with the above characterization is the determination of all *complex* eigenvalues. In case A contains only non-negative real values, a simplification is possible with the help of the Perron-Frobenius theorem, which tells us that it suffices to consider only the *real* eigenvalues of A , i.e., applying Sturm's method can decide the polynomial growth of A^n .

We formalize the Perron-Frobenius theorem based on a proof via Brouwer's fixpoint theorem, which is available in the HOL multivariate analysis (HMA) library. Since the results on the spectral radius is based on matrices in the Jordan normal form (JNF) library, we further develop a connection which allows us to easily transfer theorems between HMA and JNF. With this connection we derive the combined result: if A is a non-negative real matrix, and no real eigenvalue of A is strictly larger than one, then A^n is polynomially bounded in n .

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Elimination of CARD('n)	3
3	Connecting HMA-matrices with JNF-matrices	4
3.1	Bijections between index types of HMA and natural numbers	4
3.2	Transfer rules to convert theorems from JNF to HMA and vice-versa.	6

*Supported by FWF (Austrian Science Fund) project Y757.

4	Perron-Frobenius Theorem	16
4.1	Auxiliary Notions	16
4.2	Perron-Frobenius theorem via Brouwer's fixpoint theorem. . .	21
5	Roots of Unity	23
5.1	The Perron Frobenius Theorem for Irreducible Matrices . . .	27
5.2	Handling Non-Irreducible Matrices as Well	36
6	Combining Spectral Radius Theory with Perron Frobenius theorem	41
7	The Jordan Blocks of the Spectral Radius are Largest	44
8	Homomorphisms of Gauss-Jordan Elimination, Kernel and More	48
9	Combining Spectral Radius Theory with Perron Frobenius theorem	50
10	An efficient algorithm to compute the growth rate of A^n.	51

1 Introduction

The spectral radius of a matrix A over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} is defined as

$$\rho(A) = \max \{|x| . \chi_A(x) = 0, x \in \mathbb{C}\}$$

where χ_A is the characteristic polynomial of A . It is a central notion related to the growth rate of matrix powers. A matrix A has polynomial growth, i.e., all values of A^n can be bounded polynomially in n , if and only if $\rho(A) \leq 1$. It is quite easy to see that $\rho(A) \leq 1$ is a necessary criterion,¹ but it is more complicated to argue about sufficiency. In previous work we formalized this statement via Jordan normal forms [4].

Theorem 1 (in JNF). *The values in A^n are polynomially bounded in n if $\rho(A) \leq 1$.*

In order to perform the proof via Jordan normal forms, we did not use the HMA library from the distribution to represent matrices. The reason is that already the definition of a Jordan normal form is naturally expressed via block-matrices, and arbitrary block-matrices are hard to express in HMA, if at all.

¹Let λ and v be some eigenvalue and eigenvector pair such that $|\lambda| > 1$. Then $|A^n v| = |\lambda^n v| = |\lambda|^n |v|$ grows exponentially in n , where $|w|$ denotes the component-wise application of $|\cdot|$ to vector elements of w .

The problem in applying Theorem 1 in concrete examples is the determination of all complex roots of the polynomial χ_A . For instance, one can utilize complex algebraic numbers for this purpose, which however are computationally expensive. To avoid this problem, in this work we formalize the Perron Frobenius theorem. It states that for non-negative real-valued matrices, $\rho(A)$ is an eigenvalue of A .

Theorem 2 (in HMA). *If $A \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{k \times k}$, then $\chi_A(\rho(A)) = 0$.*

We decided to perform the formalization based on the HMA library, since there is a short proof of Theorem 2 via Brouwer's fixpoint theorem [2, Section 5.2]. The latter is a well-known but complex theorem that is available in HMA, but not in the JNF library.

Eventually we want to combine both theorems to obtain:

Corollary 1. *If $A \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{k \times k}$, then the values in A^n are polynomially bounded in n if χ_A has no real roots in the interval $(1, \infty)$.*

This criterion is computationally far less expensive – one invocation of Sturm's method on χ_A suffices. Unfortunately, we cannot immediately combine both theorems. We first have to bridge the gap between the HMA-world and the JNF-world. To this end, we develop a setup for the transfer-tool which admits to translate theorems from JNF into HMA. Moreover, using a recent extension for local type definitions within proofs [1], we also provide a translation from HMA into JNF.

With the help of these translations, we prove Corollary 1 and make it available in both HMA and JNF. (In the formalization the corollary looks a bit more complicated as it also contains an estimation of the the degree of the polynomial growth.)

2 Elimination of CARD('n)

In the following theory we provide a method which modifies theorems of the form $P[\text{CARD}('n)]$ into $n! = 0 \implies P[n]$, so that they can more easily be applied.

Known issues: there might be problems with nested meta-implications and meta-quantification.

```
theory Cancel-Card-Constraint
imports
  HOL-Types-To-Sets.Types-To-Sets
  HOL-Library.Cardinality
begin

lemma n-zero-nonempty:  $n \neq 0 \implies \{0 .. < n :: \text{nat}\} \neq \{\}$  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma type-impl-card-n: assumes  $\exists(Rep :: 'a \Rightarrow nat) Abs.$  type-definition Rep
Abs {0 .. $n :: nat\}$ 
shows class.finite (TYPE('a))  $\wedge$  CARD('a) = n
⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩

end

```

3 Connecting HMA-matrices with JNF-matrices

The following theories provide a connection between the type-based representation of vectors and matrices in HOL multivariate-analysis (HMA) with the set-based representation of vectors and matrices with integer indices in the Jordan-normal-form (JNF) development.

3.1 Bijections between index types of HMA and natural numbers

At the core of HMA-connect, there has to be a translation between indices of vectors and matrices, which are via index-types on the one hand, and natural numbers on the other hand.

We some unspecified bijection in our application, and not the conversions to-nat and from-nat in theory Rank-Nullity-Theorem/Mod-Type, since our definitions below do not enforce any further type constraints.

```

theory Bij-Nat
imports
  HOL-Library.Cardinality
  HOL-Library.Numerical-Type
begin

lemma finite-set-to-list:  $\exists xs :: 'a :: finite list. distinct xs \wedge set xs = Y$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition univ-list :: 'a :: finite list where
  univ-list = (SOME xs. distinct xs  $\wedge$  set xs = UNIV)

lemma univ-list: distinct (univ-list :: 'a list) set univ-list = (UNIV :: 'a :: finite set)
⟨proof⟩

definition to-nat :: 'a :: finite  $\Rightarrow$  nat where

```

```

to-nat a = (SOME i. univ-list ! i = a ∧ i < length (univ-list :: 'a list))

definition from-nat :: nat ⇒ 'a :: finite where
  from-nat i = univ-list ! i

lemma length-univ-list-card: length (univ-list :: 'a :: finite list) = CARD('a)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma to-nat-ex: ∃! i. univ-list ! i = (a :: 'a :: finite) ∧ i < length (univ-list :: 'a list)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma to-nat-less-card: to-nat (a :: 'a :: finite) < CARD('a)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma to-nat-from-nat-id:
  assumes i: i < CARD('a :: finite)
  shows to-nat (from-nat i :: 'a) = i
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma from-nat-inj: assumes i: i < CARD('a :: finite)
  and j: j < CARD('a :: finite)
  and id: (from-nat i :: 'a) = from-nat j
  shows i = j
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma from-nat-to-nat-id[simp]:
  (from-nat (to-nat a)) = (a :: 'a :: finite)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma to-nat-inj[simp]: assumes to-nat a = to-nat b
  shows a = b
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma range-to-nat: range (to-nat :: 'a :: finite ⇒ nat) = {0 ..< CARD('a)} (is
  ?l = ?r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inj-to-nat: inj to-nat ⟨proof⟩

lemma bij-to-nat: bij-betw to-nat (UNIV :: 'a :: finite set) {0 ..< CARD('a)}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma numeral-nat: (numeral m1 :: nat) * numeral n1 ≡ numeral (m1 * n1)
  (numeral m1 :: nat) + numeral n1 ≡ numeral (m1 + n1) ⟨proof⟩

lemmas card-num-simps =
  card-num1 card-bit0 card-bit1

```

```

mult-num-simps
add-num-simps
eq-num-simps
mult-Suc-right mult-0-right One-nat-def add.right-neutral
numeral-nat Suc-numeral

end

```

3.2 Transfer rules to convert theorems from JNF to HMA and vice-versa.

```

theory HMA-Connect
imports
  Jordan-Normal-Form.Spectral-Radius
  HOL-Analysis.Determinants
  HOL-Analysis.Cartesian-Euclidean-Space
  Bij-Nat
  Cancel-Card-Constraint
  HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach
begin

```

Prefer certain constants and lemmas without prefix.

```

hide-const (open) Matrix.mat
hide-const (open) Matrix.row
hide-const (open) Determinant.det

```

```

lemmas mat-def = Finite-Cartesian-Product.mat-def
lemmas det-def = Determinants.det-def
lemmas row-def = Finite-Cartesian-Product.row-def

```

```

notation vec-index (infixl <$v> 90)
notation vec-nth (infixl <$h> 90)

```

Forget that '*a mat*', '*a Matrix.vec*', and '*a poly*' have been defined via lifting

```

lifting-forget vec.lifting
lifting-forget mat.lifting

```

```

lifting-forget poly.lifting

```

Some notions which we did not find in the HMA-world.

```

definition eigen-vector :: 'a::comm-ring-1 ^'n ^'n  $\Rightarrow$  'a ^'n  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  eigen-vector A v ev = (v  $\neq$  0  $\wedge$  A *v v = ev *s v)

```

```

definition eigen-value :: 'a :: comm-ring-1 ^'n ^'n  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  eigen-value A k = ( $\exists$  v. eigen-vector A v k)

```

```

definition similar-matrix-wit
  :: 'a :: semiring-1 ^'n ^'n  $\Rightarrow$  'a ^'n ^'n  $\Rightarrow$  'a ^'n ^'n  $\Rightarrow$  'a ^'n ^'n  $\Rightarrow$  'a ^'n ^'n  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where

```

similar-matrix-wit $A B P Q = (P \otimes Q = \text{mat } 1 \wedge Q \otimes P = \text{mat } 1 \wedge A = P \otimes B \otimes Q)$

definition *similar-matrix*

$\Rightarrow 'a :: \text{semiring-1} \wedge 'n \wedge 'n \Rightarrow 'a \wedge 'n \wedge 'n \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{similar-matrix } A B = (\exists P Q. \text{similar-matrix-wit } A B P Q)$

definition *spectral-radius* $\Rightarrow \text{complex} \wedge 'n \wedge 'n \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**
 $\text{spectral-radius } A = \text{Max } \{ \text{norm } ev \mid v \text{ ev. eigen-vector } A v \text{ ev} \}$

definition *Spectrum* $\Rightarrow 'a :: \text{field} \wedge 'n \wedge 'n \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
 $\text{Spectrum } A = \text{Collect } (\text{eigen-value } A)$

definition *vec-elements-h* $\Rightarrow 'a \wedge 'n \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
 $\text{vec-elements-h } v = \text{range } (\text{vec-nth } v)$

lemma *vec-elements-h-def'*: $\text{vec-elements-h } v = \{v \$h i \mid i. \text{True}\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *elements-mat-h* $\Rightarrow 'a \wedge 'nc \wedge 'nr \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
 $\text{elements-mat-h } A = \text{range } (\lambda (i,j). A \$h i \$h j)$

lemma *elements-mat-h-def'*: $\text{elements-mat-h } A = \{A \$h i \$h j \mid i j. \text{True}\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *map-vector* $\Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \wedge 'n \Rightarrow 'b \wedge 'n$ **where**
 $\text{map-vector } f v \equiv \chi i. f (v \$h i)$

definition *map-matrix* $\Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \wedge 'n \wedge 'm \Rightarrow 'b \wedge 'n \wedge 'm$ **where**
 $\text{map-matrix } f A \equiv \chi i. \text{map-vector } f (A \$h i)$

definition *normbound* $\Rightarrow 'a :: \text{real-normed-field} \wedge 'nc \wedge 'nr \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{normbound } A b \equiv \forall x \in \text{elements-mat-h } A. \text{norm } x \leq b$

lemma *spectral-radius-ev-def*: $\text{spectral-radius } A = \text{Max } (\text{norm } '(\text{Collect } (\text{eigen-value } A)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *elements-mat*: $\text{elements-mat } A = \{A \$\$ (i,j) \mid i j. i < \text{dim-row } A \wedge j < \text{dim-col } A\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *vec-elements* $\Rightarrow 'a \text{ Matrix.vec} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$
where $\text{vec-elements } v = \text{set } [v \$ i. i <- [0 .. < \text{dim-vec } v]]$

lemma *vec-elements*: $\text{vec-elements } v = \{ v \$ i \mid i. i < \text{dim-vec } v\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

context includes vec.lifting
begin
end

definition from-hmav :: 'a  $\wedge$  'n  $\Rightarrow$  'a Matrix.vec where
  from-hmav v = Matrix.vec CARD('n) ( $\lambda$  i. v $h from-nat i)

definition from-hmam :: 'a  $\wedge$  'nc  $\wedge$  'nr  $\Rightarrow$  'a Matrix.mat where
  from-hmam a = Matrix.mat CARD('nr) CARD('nc) ( $\lambda$  (i,j). a $h from-nat i $h
  from-nat j)

definition to-hmav :: 'a Matrix.vec  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\wedge$  'n where
  to-hmav v = ( $\chi$  i. v $v to-nat i)

definition to-hmam :: 'a Matrix.mat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\wedge$  'nc  $\wedge$  'nr where
  to-hmam a = ( $\chi$  i j. a $$ (to-nat i, to-nat j))

declare vec-lambda-eta[simp]

lemma to-hma-from-hmav[simp]: to-hmav (from-hmav v) = v
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma to-hma-from-hmam[simp]: to-hmam (from-hmam v) = v
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma from-hma-to-hmav[simp]:
  v  $\in$  carrier-vec (CARD('n))  $\implies$  from-hmav (to-hmav v :: 'a  $\wedge$  'n) = v
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma from-hma-to-hmam[simp]:
  A  $\in$  carrier-mat (CARD('nr)) (CARD('nc))  $\implies$  from-hmam (to-hmam A :: 'a  $\wedge$ 
  'nc  $\wedge$  'nr) = A
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma from-hmav-inj[simp]: from-hmav x = from-hmav y  $\longleftrightarrow$  x = y
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma from-hmam-inj[simp]: from-hmam x = from-hmam y  $\longleftrightarrow$  x = y
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition HMA-V :: 'a Matrix.vec  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\wedge$  'n  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  HMA-V = ( $\lambda$  v w. v = from-hmav w)

definition HMA-M :: 'a Matrix.mat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\wedge$  'nc  $\wedge$  'nr  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  HMA-M = ( $\lambda$  a b. a = from-hmam b)

definition HMA-I :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'n :: finite  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  HMA-I = ( $\lambda$  i a. i = to-nat a)

```

context includes *lifting-syntax*

begin

lemma *Domainp-HMA-V* [*transfer-domain-rule*]:
 $\text{Domainp} (\text{HMA-V} :: 'a \text{ Matrix.vec} \Rightarrow 'a \wedge 'n \Rightarrow \text{bool}) = (\lambda v. v \in \text{carrier-vec} (\text{CARD}('n)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Domainp-HMA-M* [*transfer-domain-rule*]:
 $\text{Domainp} (\text{HMA-M} :: 'a \text{ Matrix.mat} \Rightarrow 'a \wedge 'nc \wedge 'nr \Rightarrow \text{bool})$
 $= (\lambda A. A \in \text{carrier-mat} \text{ CARD}('nr) \text{ CARD}('nc))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Domainp-HMA-I* [*transfer-domain-rule*]:
 $\text{Domainp} (\text{HMA-I} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'n :: \text{finite} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) = (\lambda i. i < \text{CARD}('n)) (\text{is } ?l = ?r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bi-unique-HMA-V* [*transfer-rule*]: *bi-unique HMA-V left-unique HMA-V right-unique HMA-V*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bi-unique-HMA-M* [*transfer-rule*]: *bi-unique HMA-M left-unique HMA-M right-unique HMA-M*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bi-unique-HMA-I* [*transfer-rule*]: *bi-unique HMA-I left-unique HMA-I right-unique HMA-I*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *right-total-HMA-V* [*transfer-rule*]: *right-total HMA-V*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *right-total-HMA-M* [*transfer-rule*]: *right-total HMA-M*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *right-total-HMA-I* [*transfer-rule*]: *right-total HMA-I*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *HMA-V-index* [*transfer-rule*]: $(\text{HMA-V} ==> \text{HMA-I} ==> (=)) (\$v)$
 $(\$h)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

We introduce the index function to have pointwise access to HMA-matrices by a constant. Otherwise, the transfer rule with $\lambda A i. (\$h) (A \$h i)$ instead of index is not applicable.

definition *index-hma* $A i j \equiv A \$h i \$h j$

lemma *HMA-M-index* [transfer-rule]:
 $(HMA-M \implies HMA-I \implies HMA-I \implies (=)) (\lambda A i j. A \$\$ (i,j))$
index-hma
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *HMA-V-0* [transfer-rule]: $HMA-V (0_v CARD('n)) (0 :: 'a :: zero \wedge 'n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *HMA-M-0* [transfer-rule]:
 $HMA-M (0_m CARD('nr) CARD('nc)) (0 :: 'a :: zero \wedge 'nc \wedge 'nr)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *HMA-M-1* [transfer-rule]:
 $HMA-M (1_m (CARD('n))) (mat 1 :: 'a :: \{zero,one\} \wedge 'n \wedge 'n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *from-hma_v-add*: $from-hma_v v + from-hma_v w = from-hma_v (v + w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *HMA-V-add* [transfer-rule]: $(HMA-V \implies HMA-V \implies HMA-V)$
 $(+) (+)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *from-hma_v-diff*: $from-hma_v v - from-hma_v w = from-hma_v (v - w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *HMA-V-diff* [transfer-rule]: $(HMA-V \implies HMA-V \implies HMA-V)$
 $(-) (-)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *from-hma_m-add*: $from-hma_m a + from-hma_m b = from-hma_m (a + b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *HMA-M-add* [transfer-rule]: $(HMA-M \implies HMA-M \implies HMA-M)$
 $(+) (+)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *from-hma_m-diff*: $from-hma_m a - from-hma_m b = from-hma_m (a - b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *HMA-M-diff* [transfer-rule]: $(HMA-M \implies HMA-M \implies HMA-M)$
 $(-) (-)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *scalar-product*: **fixes** $v :: 'a :: semiring-1 \wedge 'n$
shows $scalar-prod (from-hma_v v) (from-hma_v w) = scalar-product v w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma [*simp*]:

$\text{from-hma}_m (y :: 'a \wedge 'nc \wedge 'nr) \in \text{carrier-mat} (\text{CARD}('nr)) (\text{CARD}('nc))$
 $\text{dim-row} (\text{from-hma}_m (y :: 'a \wedge 'nc \wedge 'nr)) = \text{CARD}('nr)$
 $\text{dim-col} (\text{from-hma}_m (y :: 'a \wedge 'nc \wedge 'nr)) = \text{CARD}('nc)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [*simp*]:

$\text{from-hma}_v (y :: 'a \wedge 'n) \in \text{carrier-vec} (\text{CARD}('n))$
 $\text{dim-vec} (\text{from-hma}_v (y :: 'a \wedge 'n)) = \text{CARD}('n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *rel-funI* [*intro!*]

lemma *HMA-scalar-prod* [*transfer-rule*]:

$(\text{HMA-}V \implies \text{HMA-}V \implies (=)) \text{ scalar-prod scalar-product}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *HMA-row* [*transfer-rule*]: $(\text{HMA-}I \implies \text{HMA-}M \implies \text{HMA-}V) (\lambda i . \text{Matrix.row} a i) \text{ row}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *HMA-col* [*transfer-rule*]: $(\text{HMA-}I \implies \text{HMA-}M \implies \text{HMA-}V) (\lambda i . \text{col} a i) \text{ column}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *mk-mat* :: $('i \Rightarrow 'j \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow 'c \wedge 'j \wedge 'i$ **where**
 $\text{mk-mat } f = (\chi i j. f i j)$

definition *mk-vec* :: $('i \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow 'c \wedge 'i$ **where**
 $\text{mk-vec } f = (\chi i. f i)$

lemma *HMA-M-mk-mat* [*transfer-rule*]: $((\text{HMA-}I \implies \text{HMA-}I \implies (=)) \implies \text{HMA-}M)$
 $(\lambda f . \text{Matrix.mat} (\text{CARD}('nr)) (\text{CARD}('nc)) (\lambda (i,j). f i j))$
 $(\text{mk-mat} :: (('nr \Rightarrow 'nc \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \wedge 'nc \wedge 'nr))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *HMA-M-mk-vec* [*transfer-rule*]: $((\text{HMA-}I \implies (=)) \implies \text{HMA-}V)$
 $(\lambda f . \text{Matrix.vec} (\text{CARD}('n)) (\lambda i. f i))$
 $(\text{mk-vec} :: (('n \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \wedge 'n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mat-mult-scalar*: $A ** B = \text{mk-mat} (\lambda i j. \text{scalar-product} (\text{row } i A) (\text{column } j B))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-mat-vec-scalar*: $A * v v = \text{mk-vec} (\lambda i. \text{scalar-product} (\text{row } i A) v)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *dim-row-transfer-rule*:

HMA-M A (A' :: 'a ^ 'nc ^ 'nr) == (dim-row A) (CARD('nr))

<proof>

lemma *dim-col-transfer-rule*:

HMA-M A (A' :: 'a ^ 'nc ^ 'nr) == (dim-col A) (CARD('nc))

<proof>

lemma *HMA-M-mult [transfer-rule]*: (*HMA-M ==> HMA-M ==> HMA-M*)

(()) ((**))*

<proof>

lemma *HMA-V-smult [transfer-rule]*: (*((=) ==> HMA-V ==> HMA-V)* (\cdot_v))

*((*s))*

<proof>

lemma *HMA-M-mult-vec [transfer-rule]*: (*HMA-M ==> HMA-V ==> HMA-V*)

*((*_v)) ((*_v))*

<proof>

lemma *HMA-det [transfer-rule]*: (*HMA-M ==> (=) Determinant.det*)

(det :: 'a :: comm-ring-1 ^ 'n ^ 'n => 'a)

<proof>

lemma *HMA-mat[transfer-rule]*: (*((=) ==> HMA-M)* ($\lambda k. k \cdot_m 1_m CARD('n)$))

(Finite-Cartesian-Product.mat :: 'a::semiring-1 => 'a ^ 'n ^ 'n)

<proof>

lemma *HMA-mat-minus[transfer-rule]*: (*HMA-M ==> HMA-M ==> HMA-M*)

($\lambda A B. A + map-mat uminus B$) ((-) :: 'a :: group-add ^ 'nc ^ 'nr => 'a ^ 'nc ^ 'nr

<proof>

definition *mat2matofpoly* **where** *mat2matofpoly A = ($\chi i j. [: A \$ i \$ j :]$)*

definition *charpoly* **where** *charpoly-def: charpoly A = det (mat (monom 1 (Suc 0)) - mat2matofpoly A)*

definition *erase-mat :: 'a :: zero ^ 'nc ^ 'nr => 'nr => 'nc => 'a ^ 'nc ^ 'nr*

where *erase-mat A i j = ($\chi i'. \chi j'. if i' = i \vee j' = j then 0 else A \$ i' \$ j'$)*

definition *sum-UNIV-type :: ('n :: finite => 'a :: comm-monoid-add) => 'n itself*

⇒ 'a where

sum-UNIV-type f - = sum f UNIV

```

definition sum-UNIV-set :: (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: comm-monoid-add)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a where
  sum-UNIV-set f n = sum f {..}

definition HMA-T :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'n :: finite itself  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  HMA-T n - = (n = CARD('n))

lemma HMA-mat2matofpoly[transfer-rule]: (HMA-M ==> HMA-M) ( $\lambda x.$  map-mat
  ( $\lambda a.$  [:a:]) x) mat2matofpoly
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma HMA-char-poly [transfer-rule]:
  ((HMA-M :: ('a:: comm-ring-1 mat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\wedge$  'n  $\wedge$  'n  $\Rightarrow$  bool)) ==> (=)) char-poly
  charpoly
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma HMA-eigen-vector [transfer-rule]: (HMA-M ==> HMA-V ==> (=))
  eigenvector eigen-vector
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma HMA-eigen-value [transfer-rule]: (HMA-M ==> (=) ==> (=)) eigen-
  value eigen-value
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma HMA-spectral-radius [transfer-rule]:
  (HMA-M ==> (=)) Spectral-Radius.spectral-radius spectral-radius
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma HMA-elements-mat[transfer-rule]: ((HMA-M :: ('a mat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\wedge$  'nc  $\wedge$  'nr
   $\Rightarrow$  bool)) ==> (=))
  elements-mat elements-mat-h
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma HMA-vec-elements[transfer-rule]: ((HMA-V :: ('a Matrix.vec  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\wedge$  'n  $\Rightarrow$ 
  bool)) ==> (=))
  vec-elements vec-elements-h
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma norm-bound-elements-mat: norm-bound A b = ( $\forall$  x  $\in$  elements-mat A.
  norm x  $\leq$  b)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma HMA-normbound [transfer-rule]:
  ((HMA-M :: 'a :: real-normed-field mat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\wedge$  'nc  $\wedge$  'nr  $\Rightarrow$  bool) ==> (=)
  ==> (=))
  norm-bound normbound
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma HMA-map-matrix [transfer-rule]:
 $((=) \implies HMA\text{-}M \implies HMA\text{-}M)$  map-mat map-matrix
⟨proof⟩

lemma HMA-transpose-matrix [transfer-rule]:
 $(HMA\text{-}M \implies HMA\text{-}M)$  transpose-mat transpose
⟨proof⟩

lemma HMA-map-vector [transfer-rule]:
 $((=) \implies HMA\text{-}V \implies HMA\text{-}V)$  map-vec map-vector
⟨proof⟩

lemma HMA-similar-mat-wit [transfer-rule]:
 $((HMA\text{-}M :: - \Rightarrow 'a :: comm\text{-}ring\text{-}1 \wedge 'n \wedge 'n \Rightarrow -) \implies HMA\text{-}M \implies HMA\text{-}M \implies (=))$ 
similar-mat-wit similar-matrix-wit
⟨proof⟩

lemma HMA-similar-mat [transfer-rule]:
 $((HMA\text{-}M :: - \Rightarrow 'a :: comm\text{-}ring\text{-}1 \wedge 'n \wedge 'n \Rightarrow -) \implies HMA\text{-}M \implies (=))$ 
similar-mat similar-matrix
⟨proof⟩

lemma HMA-spectrum[transfer-rule]:  $(HMA\text{-}M \implies (=))$  spectrum Spectrum
⟨proof⟩

lemma HMA-M-erase-mat[transfer-rule]:  $(HMA\text{-}M \implies HMA\text{-}I \implies HMA\text{-}I \implies HMA\text{-}M)$  mat-erase erase-mat
⟨proof⟩

lemma HMA-M-sum-UNIV[transfer-rule]:
 $((HMA\text{-}I \implies (=)) \implies HMA\text{-}T \implies (=))$  sum-UNIV-set sum-UNIV-type
⟨proof⟩
end

```

Setup a method to easily convert theorems from JNF into HMA.

```

method transfer-hma uses rule =
  (fold index-hma-def)?,
  transfer,
  rule rule,
  (unfold carrier-vec-def carrier-mat-def)?,
  auto)

```

Now it becomes easy to transfer results which are not yet proven in HMA, such as:

```

lemma matrix-add-vect-distrib:  $(A + B) *v v = A *v v + B *v v$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma matrix-vector-right-distrib:  $M *v (v + w) = M *v v + M *v w$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma matrix-vector-right-distrib-diff:  $(M :: 'a :: ring-1 \wedge 'nr \wedge 'nc) *v (v - w)$ 
 $= M *v v - M *v w$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eigen-value-root-charpoly:
  eigen-value  $A k \longleftrightarrow \text{poly}(\text{charpoly}(A :: 'a :: field \wedge 'n \wedge 'n)) k = 0$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-spectrum: fixes  $A :: 'a :: field \wedge 'n \wedge 'n$ 
  shows finite (Collect (eigen-value  $A$ ))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma non-empty-spectrum: fixes  $A :: complex \wedge 'n \wedge 'n$ 
  shows Collect (eigen-value  $A$ ) ≠ {}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma charpoly-transpose:  $\text{charpoly}(\text{transpose } A :: 'a :: field \wedge 'n \wedge 'n) = \text{charpoly}_A$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eigen-value-transpose: eigen-value (transpose  $A :: 'a :: field \wedge 'n \wedge 'n$ )  $v =$ 
  eigen-value  $A v$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma matrix-diff-vect-distrib:  $(A - B) *v v = A *v v - B *v (v :: 'a :: ring-1 \wedge 'n)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma similar-matrix-charpoly: similar-matrix  $A B \implies \text{charpoly } A = \text{charpoly } B$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pderiv-char-poly-erase-mat: fixes  $A :: 'a :: idom \wedge 'n \wedge 'n$ 
  shows monom 1 1 * pderiv (charpoly  $A$ ) = sum (λ i. charpoly (erase-mat  $A i$ )) UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma degree-monic-charpoly: fixes  $A :: 'a :: comm-ring-1 \wedge 'n \wedge 'n$ 
  shows degree (charpoly  $A$ ) = CARD('n) ∧ monic (charpoly  $A$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

4 Perron-Frobenius Theorem

4.1 Auxiliary Notions

We define notions like non-negative real-valued matrix, both in JNF and in HMA. These notions will be linked via HMA-connect.

```

theory Perron-Frobenius-Aux
imports HMA-Connect
begin

definition real-nonneg-mat :: complex mat  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  real-nonneg-mat A  $\equiv \forall a \in \text{elements-mat } A. a \in \mathbb{R} \wedge \text{Re } a \geq 0$ 

definition real-nonneg-vec :: complex Matrix.vec  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  real-nonneg-vec v  $\equiv \forall a \in \text{vec-elements } v. a \in \mathbb{R} \wedge \text{Re } a \geq 0$ 

definition real-non-neg-vec :: complex  $\wedge 'n \Rightarrow$  bool where
  real-non-neg-vec v  $\equiv (\forall a \in \text{vec-elements-h } v. a \in \mathbb{R} \wedge \text{Re } a \geq 0)$ 

definition real-non-neg-mat :: complex  $\wedge 'nr \wedge 'nc \Rightarrow$  bool where
  real-non-neg-mat A  $\equiv (\forall a \in \text{elements-mat-h } A. a \in \mathbb{R} \wedge \text{Re } a \geq 0)$ 

lemma real-non-neg-matD: assumes real-non-neg-mat A
  shows A $h i $h j  $\in \mathbb{R}$  Re (A $h i $h j)  $\geq 0$ 
  {proof}

definition nonneg-mat :: 'a :: linordered-idom mat  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  nonneg-mat A  $\equiv \forall a \in \text{elements-mat } A. a \geq 0$ 

definition non-neg-mat :: 'a :: linordered-idom  $\wedge 'nr \wedge 'nc \Rightarrow$  bool where
  non-neg-mat A  $\equiv (\forall a \in \text{elements-mat-h } A. a \geq 0)$ 

context includes lifting-syntax
begin

lemma HMA-real-non-neg-mat [transfer-rule]:
  ((HMA-M :: complex mat  $\Rightarrow$  complex  $\wedge 'nc \wedge 'nr \Rightarrow$  bool)  $\implies (=)$ )
  real-nonneg-mat real-non-neg-mat
  {proof}

lemma HMA-real-non-neg-vec [transfer-rule]:
  ((HMA-V :: complex Matrix.vec  $\Rightarrow$  complex  $\wedge 'n \Rightarrow$  bool)  $\implies (=)$ )
  real-nonneg-vec real-non-neg-vec
  {proof}

lemma HMA-non-neg-mat [transfer-rule]:
  ((HMA-M :: 'a :: linordered-idom mat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\wedge 'nc \wedge 'nr \Rightarrow$  bool)  $\implies (=)$ )
  nonneg-mat non-neg-mat

```

```

⟨proof⟩

end

primrec matpow :: 'a::semiring-1n ⇒ nat ⇒ 'an where
  matpow-0: matpow A 0 = mat 1 |
  matpow-Suc: matpow A (Suc n) = (matpow A n) ** A

context includes lifting-syntax
begin
lemma HMA-pow-mat[transfer-rule]:
  ((HMA-M ::'a::semiring-1) mat ⇒ 'an ⇒ bool) ==> (=) ==> HMA-M)
pow-mat matpow
⟨proof⟩
end

lemma trancl-image:
  (i,j) ∈ R+ ==> (f i, f j) ∈ (map-prod ff ` R)+
⟨proof⟩

lemma inj-trancl-image: assumes inj: inj f
  shows (f i, f j) ∈ (map-prod ff ` R)+ = ((i,j) ∈ R+) (is ?l = ?r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma matrix-add-rdistrib: ((B + C) ** A) = (B ** A) + (C ** A)
⟨proof⟩

lemma norm-smult: norm ((a :: real) *s x) = abs a * norm x
⟨proof⟩

lemma nonneg-mat-mult:
  nonneg-mat A ==> nonneg-mat B ==> A ∈ carrier-mat nr n
  ==> B ∈ carrier-mat n nc ==> nonneg-mat (A * B)
⟨proof⟩

lemma nonneg-mat-power: assumes A ∈ carrier-mat n n nonneg-mat A
  shows nonneg-mat (A m k)
⟨proof⟩

lemma nonneg-matD: assumes nonneg-mat A
  and i < dim-row A and j < dim-col A
  shows A $$(i,j) ≥ 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in comm-ring-hom) similar-mat-wit-hom: assumes
  similar-mat-wit A B C D
  shows similar-mat-wit (math A) (math B) (math C) (math D)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in comm-ring-hom) similar-mat-hom:
  similar-mat A B  $\implies$  similar-mat (mathh A) (mathh B)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma det-dim-1: assumes A: A ∈ carrier-mat n n
  and n: n = 1
  shows Determinant.det A = A $$ (0,0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma det-dim-2: assumes A: A ∈ carrier-mat n n
  and n: n = 2
  shows Determinant.det A = A $$ (0,0) * A $$ (1,1) - A $$ (0,1) * A $$ (1,0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma jordan-nf-root-char-poly: fixes A :: 'a :: {semiring-no-zero-divisors, idom}
  mat
  assumes jordan-nf A n-as
  and (m, lam) ∈ set n-as
  shows poly (char-poly A) lam = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inverse-power-tendsto-zero:
  ( $\lambda x.$  inverse ((of-nat x :: 'a :: real-normed-div-algebra)  $\wedge$  Suc d))  $\longrightarrow$  0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inverse-of-nat-tendsto-zero:
  ( $\lambda x.$  inverse (of-nat x :: 'a :: real-normed-div-algebra))  $\longrightarrow$  0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-times-exp-tendsto-zero: assumes b: norm (b :: 'a :: real-normed-field)
  < 1
  shows ( $\lambda x.$  of-nat x  $\wedge$  k * b  $\wedge$  x)  $\longrightarrow$  0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in linorder-topology) tendsto-Min: assumes I: I ≠ {} and fin: finite I
  shows ( $\bigwedge i.$  i ∈ I  $\implies$  (f i  $\longrightarrow$  a i) F)  $\implies$  (( $\lambda x.$  Min (( $\lambda i.$  f i x) ` I))  $\longrightarrow$ 
  (Min (a ` I) :: 'a)) F
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma tendsto-mat-mult [tendsto-intros]:
  (f  $\longrightarrow$  a) F  $\implies$  (g  $\longrightarrow$  b) F  $\implies$  (( $\lambda x.$  f x ** g x)  $\longrightarrow$  a ** b) F
  for f :: 'a ⇒ 'b :: {semiring-1, real-normed-algebra}  $\wedge$  'n1  $\wedge$  'n2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma tendsto-matpower [tendsto-intros]: (f  $\longrightarrow$  a) F  $\implies$  (( $\lambda x.$  matpow (f x)
  n)  $\longrightarrow$  matpow a n) F
  for f :: 'a ⇒ 'b :: {semiring-1, real-normed-algebra}  $\wedge$  'n  $\wedge$  'n
  ⟨proof⟩

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *continuous-matpow*: *continuous-on* R ($\lambda A :: 'a :: \{semiring-1, real-normed-algebra-1\}$
 $\wedge 'n \wedge 'n. matpow A n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vector-smult-distrib*: $(A *v ((a :: 'a :: comm-ring-1) *s x)) = a *s ((A *v x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

instance *real* :: *ordered-semiring-strict*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-tendsto-pinfty*: **fixes** $p :: real poly$
assumes *lead-coeff p > 0* *degree p ≠ 0*
shows *poly p —→ ∞*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *div-lt-nat*: $(j :: nat) < x * y \implies j \text{ div } x < y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *diagvector* :: $('n \Rightarrow 'a :: semiring-0) \Rightarrow 'a \wedge 'n \wedge 'n$ **where**
diagvector x = $(\chi i. \chi j. \text{if } i = j \text{ then } x \text{ else } 0)$

lemma *diagvector-mult-vector*[simp]: *diagvector x *v y* = $(\chi i. x i * y \$ i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *diagvector-mult-left*: *diagvector x ** A* = $(\chi i j. x i * A \$ i \$ j)$ (**is** $?A = ?B$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *diagvector-mult-right*: $A ** \text{diagvector } x = (\chi i j. A \$ i \$ j * x j)$ (**is** $?A = ?B$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *diagvector-mult*[simp]: *diagvector x ** diagvector y* = *diagvector* $(\lambda i. x i * y i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *diagvector-const*[simp]: *diagvector* $(\lambda x. k)$ = *mat k*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *diagvector-eq-mat*: *diagvector x* = *mat a* \longleftrightarrow *x* = $(\lambda x. a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cmod-eq-Re*: **assumes** *cmod x* = *Re x*
shows *of-real (Re x)* = *x*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

hide-fact (open) Matrix.vec-eq-iff

no-notation
  vec-index (infixl <\$> 100)

lemma spectral-radius-ev:
   $\exists \text{ ev } v. \text{ eigen-vector } A \ v \ \text{ev} \wedge \text{norm ev} = \text{spectral-radius } A$ 
  (proof)

lemma spectral-radius-max: assumes eigen-value A v
  shows  $\text{norm } v \leq \text{spectral-radius } A$ 
  (proof)

For Perron-Frobenius it is useful to use the linear norm, and not the Euclidean norm.

definition  $\text{norm1} :: 'a :: \text{real-normed-field} \wedge 'n \Rightarrow \text{real}$  where
   $\text{norm1 } v = (\sum i \in \text{UNIV}. \text{norm } (v \$ i))$ 

lemma norm1-ge-0: norm1 v ≥ 0 (proof)

lemma norm1-0[simp]: norm1 0 = 0 (proof)

lemma norm1-nonzero: assumes v ≠ 0
  shows  $\text{norm1 } v > 0$ 
  (proof)

lemma norm1-0-iff[simp]: (norm1 v = 0) = (v = 0)
  (proof)

lemma norm1-scaleR[simp]: norm1 (r *R v) = abs r * norm1 v (proof)

lemma abs-norm1[simp]: abs (norm1 v) = norm1 v (proof)

lemma normalize-eigen-vector: assumes eigen-vector (A :: 'a :: real-normed-field
   $\wedge 'n \wedge 'n) \ v \ \text{ev}$ 
  shows  $\text{eigen-vector } A ((1 / \text{norm1 } v) *_R v) \ \text{ev} \ \text{norm1 } ((1 / \text{norm1 } v) *_R v) = 1$ 
  (proof)

lemma norm1-cont[simp]: isCont norm1 v (proof)

lemma norm1-ge-norm: norm1 v ≥ norm v (proof)

The following continuity lemmas have been proven with hints from Fabian Immler.

lemma tendsto-matrix-vector-mult[tendsto-intros]:
   $((\ast v) (A :: 'a :: \text{real-normed-algebra-1} \wedge 'n \wedge 'k) \longrightarrow A * v \ v) \ (\text{at } v \ \text{within } S)$ 
  (proof)

```

```

lemma tendsto-matrix-matrix-mult[tendsto-intros]:
  ((**) (A :: 'a :: real-normed-algebra-1  $\wedge$  'n  $\wedge$  'k)  $\longrightarrow$  A ** B) (at B within S)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma matrix-vec-scaleR: (A :: 'a :: real-normed-algebra-1  $\wedge$  'n  $\wedge$  'k) *v (a *R v)
= a *R (A *v v)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in inj-semiring-hom) map-vector-0: (map-vector hom v = 0) = (v = 0)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in inj-semiring-hom) map-vector-inj: (map-vector hom v = map-vector hom w) = (v = w)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in semiring-hom) matrix-vector-mult-hom:
  (map-matrix hom A) *v (map-vector hom v) = map-vector hom (A *v v)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in semiring-hom) vector-smult-hom:
  hom x *s (map-vector hom v) = map-vector hom (x *s v)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in inj-comm-ring-hom) eigen-vector-hom:
  eigen-vector (map-matrix hom A) (map-vector hom v) (hom x) = eigen-vector A
  v x
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

4.2 Perron-Frobenius theorem via Brouwer's fixpoint theorem.

```

theory Perron-Frobenius
imports
  HOL-Analysis.Brouwer-Fixpoint
  Perron-Frobenius-Aux
begin

```

We follow the textbook proof of Serre [2, Theorem 5.2.1].

```

context
  fixes A :: complex  $\wedge$  'n  $\wedge$  'n :: finite
  assumes rnnA: real-non-neg-mat A
begin

private abbreviation(input) sr where sr  $\equiv$  spectral-radius A

private definition max-v-ev :: (complex $^n$ )  $\times$  complex where

```

```

max-v-ev = (SOME v-ev. eigen-vector A (fst v-ev) (snd v-ev)
  ∧ norm (snd v-ev) = sr)

```

```

private definition max-v = (1 / norm1 (fst max-v-ev)) *R fst max-v-ev
private definition max-ev = snd max-v-ev

```

```

private lemma max-v-ev:
  eigen-vector A max-v max-ev
  norm max-ev = sr
  norm1 max-v = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

```

In the definition of S, we use the linear norm instead of the default euclidean norm which is defined via the type-class. The reason is that S is not convex if one uses the euclidean norm.

```

private definition B :: real ^'n ^'n where B ≡ χ i j. Re (A $ i $ j)
private definition S where S = {v :: real ^'n . norm1 v = 1 ∧ (∀ i. v $ i ≥
  0) ∧
  (∀ i. (B *v v) $ i ≥ sr * (v $ i))}

private definition f :: real ^'n ⇒ real ^'n where
  f v = (1 / norm1 (B *v v)) *R (B *v v)

```

```

private lemma closedS: closed S
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma boundedS: bounded S
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma compactS: compact S
  ⟨proof⟩ lemmas rnn = real-non-neg-matD[OF rnnA]

```

```

lemma B-norm: B $ i $ j = norm (A $ i $ j)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mult-B-mono: assumes ∨ i. v $ i ≥ w $ i
  shows (B *v v) $ i ≥ (B *v w) $ i
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma non-emptyS: S ≠ {}
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma convexS: convex S
  ⟨proof⟩ abbreviation (input) r :: real ⇒ complex where
    r ≡ of-real

```

```

private abbreviation rv :: real ^'n ⇒ complex ^'n where
  rv v ≡ χ i. r (v $ i)

```

```

private lemma rv-0: (rv v = 0) = (v = 0)
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma rv-mult: A *v rv v = rv (B *v v)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

context
  assumes zero-no-ev: ∨ v. v ∈ S ⇒ A *v rv v ≠ 0
begin
  private lemma normB-S: assumes v: v ∈ S
    shows norm1 (B *v v) ≠ 0
    ⟨proof⟩ lemma image-f: f ∈ S → S

```

```

⟨proof⟩ lemma cont-f: continuous-on S f
⟨proof⟩ lemma perron-frobenius-positive-ev:
  ∃ v. eigen-vector A v (r sr) ∧ real-non-neg-vec v
⟨proof⟩
end

```

```

qualified lemma perron-frobenius-both:
  ∃ v. eigen-vector A v (r sr) ∧ real-non-neg-vec v
⟨proof⟩
end

```

Perron Frobenius: The largest complex eigenvalue of a real-valued non-negative matrix is a real one, and it has a real-valued non-negative eigenvector.

```

lemma perron-frobenius:
  assumes real-non-neg-mat A
  shows ∃ v. eigen-vector A v (of-real (spectral-radius A)) ∧ real-non-neg-vec v
⟨proof⟩

```

And a version which ignores the eigenvector.

```

lemma perron-frobenius-eigen-value:
  assumes real-non-neg-mat A
  shows eigen-value A (of-real (spectral-radius A))
⟨proof⟩
end

```

5 Roots of Unity

```

theory Roots-Unity
imports
  Polynomial-Factorization.Order-Polynomial
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Fundamental-Theorem-Algebra
  Polynomial-Interpolation.Ring-Hom-Poly
begin

lemma cis-mult-cmod-id: cis (Arg x) * of-real (cmod x) = x
⟨proof⟩

lemma rcis-mult-cis[simp]: rcis n a * cis b = rcis n (a + b) ⟨proof⟩
lemma rcis-div-cis[simp]: rcis n a / cis b = rcis n (a - b) ⟨proof⟩

lemma cis-plus-2pi[simp]: cis (x + 2 * pi) = cis x ⟨proof⟩
lemma cis-plus-2pi-neq-1: assumes x: 0 < x x < 2 * pi
  shows cis x ≠ 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma cis-times-2pi[simp]: cis (of-nat n * 2 * pi) = 1
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cis-add-pi[simp]: cis (pi + x) = - cis x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cis-3-pi-2[simp]: cis (pi * 3 / 2) = - i
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rcis-plus-2pi[simp]: rcis y (x + 2 * pi) = rcis y x ⟨proof⟩
lemma rcis-times-2pi[simp]: rcis r (of-nat n * 2 * pi) = of-real r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arg-rcis-cis: assumes n: n > 0 shows Arg (rcis n x) = Arg (cis x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arg-eqD: assumes Arg (cis x) = Arg (cis y) -pi < x x ≤ pi -pi < y y ≤
  pi
  shows x = y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rcis-inj-on: assumes r: r ≠ 0 shows inj-on (rcis r) {0 ..< 2 * pi}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cis-inj-on: inj-on cis {0 ..< 2 * pi}
  ⟨proof⟩

definition root-unity :: nat ⇒ 'a :: comm-ring-1 poly where
  root-unity n = monom 1 n - 1

lemma poly-root-unity: poly (root-unity n) x = 0 ↔ x^n = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma degree-root-unity[simp]: degree (root-unity n) = n (is degree ?p = -)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma zero-root-unit[simp]: root-unity n = 0 ↔ n = 0 (is ?p = 0 ↔ -)

definition prod-root-unity :: nat list ⇒ 'a :: idom poly where
  prod-root-unity ns = prod-list (map root-unity ns)

lemma poly-prod-root-unity: poly (prod-root-unity ns) x = 0 ↔ (∃ k ∈ set ns. x ^ k = 1)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma degree-prod-root-unity[simp]: 0 ∉ set ns ⇒ degree (prod-root-unity ns) =
  sum-list ns
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma zero-prod-root-unit[simp]: prod-root-unity ns = 0 ↔ 0 ∈ set ns

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma roots-of-unity: assumes n: n ≠ 0
  shows (λ i. (cis (of-nat i * 2 * pi / n))) ` {0 ..< n} = { x :: complex. x ^ n = 1 } (is ?prod = ?Roots)
    {x. poly (root-unity n) x = 0} = { x :: complex. x ^ n = 1 }
    card { x :: complex. x ^ n = 1 } = n
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma poly-roots-dvd: fixes p :: 'a :: field poly
  assumes p ≠ 0 and degree p = n
  and card {x. poly p x = 0} ≥ n and {x. poly p x = 0} ⊆ {x. poly q x = 0}
  shows p dvd q
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma root-unity-decomp: assumes n: n ≠ 0
  shows root-unity n =
    prod-list (map (λ i. [:-cis (of-nat i * 2 * pi / n), 1:]) [0 ..< n]) (is ?u = ?p)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma order-monic-linear: order x [:y,1:] = (if y + x = 0 then 1 else 0)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma order-root-unity: fixes x :: complex assumes n: n ≠ 0
  shows order x (root-unity n) = (if x ^ n = 1 then 1 else 0)
  (is order - ?u = -)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma order-prod-root-unity: assumes 0: 0 ∉ set ks
  shows order (x :: complex) (prod-root-unity ks) = length (filter (λ k. x ^ k = 1) ks)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma root-unity-witness: fixes xs :: complex list
  assumes prod-list (map (λ x. [:-x,1:]) xs) = monom 1 n - 1
  shows x ^ n = 1 ↔ x ∈ set xs
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma root-unity-explicit: fixes x :: complex
  shows
    (x ^ 1 = 1) ↔ x = 1
    (x ^ 2 = 1) ↔ (x ∈ {1, -1})
    (x ^ 3 = 1) ↔ (x ∈ {1, Complex (-1/2) (sqrt 3 / 2), Complex (-1/2) (-sqrt 3 / 2) })
    (x ^ 4 = 1) ↔ (x ∈ {1, -1, i, -i})
⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition primitive-root-unity :: nat ⇒ 'a :: power ⇒ bool where
  primitive-root-unity k x = (k ≠ 0 ∧ x ^ k = 1 ∧ (∀ k' < k. k' ≠ 0 → x ^ k' ≠
```

```

1))

lemma primitive-root-unityD: assumes primitive-root-unity k x
  shows k ≠ 0 x ^ k = 1 k' ≠ 0 ==> x ^ k' = 1 ==> k ≤ k'
⟨proof⟩

lemma primitive-root-unity-exists: assumes k ≠ 0 x ^ k = 1
  shows ∃ k'. k' ≤ k ∧ primitive-root-unity k' x
⟨proof⟩

lemma primitive-root-unity-dvd: fixes x :: complex
  assumes k: primitive-root-unity k x
  shows x ^ n = 1 ↔ k dvd n
⟨proof⟩

lemma primitive-root-unity-simple-computation:
  primitive-root-unity k x = (if k = 0 then False else
    x ^ k = 1 ∧ (∀ i ∈ {1 .. k}. x ^ i ≠ 1))
⟨proof⟩

lemma primitive-root-unity-explicit: fixes x :: complex
  shows primitive-root-unity 1 x ↔ x = 1
  primitive-root-unity 2 x ↔ x = -1
  primitive-root-unity 3 x ↔ (x ∈ {Complex (-1/2) (sqrt 3 / 2), Complex
  (-1/2) (- sqrt 3 / 2) })
  primitive-root-unity 4 x ↔ (x ∈ {i, -i})
⟨proof⟩

function decompose-prod-root-unity-main :: 
  'a :: field poly ⇒ nat ⇒ nat list × 'a poly where
  decompose-prod-root-unity-main p k = (
    if k = 0 then ([], p) else
    let q = root-unity k in if q dvd p then if p = 0 then ([], 0) else
      map-prod (Cons k) id (decompose-prod-root-unity-main (p div q) k) else
      decompose-prod-root-unity-main p (k - 1))
⟨proof⟩

termination ⟨proof⟩

declare decompose-prod-root-unity-main.simps[simp del]

lemma decompose-prod-root-unity-main: fixes p :: complex poly
  assumes p: p = prod-root-unity ks * f
  and d: decompose-prod-root-unity-main p k = (ks', g)
  and f: ∀ x. cmod x = 1 ==> poly f x ≠ 0
  and k: ∀ k'. k' > k ==> ¬ root-unity k' dvd p
  shows p = prod-root-unity ks' * f ∧ f = g ∧ set ks = set ks'
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition decompose-prod-root-unity p = decompose-prod-root-unity-main p (degree p)

lemma decompose-prod-root-unity: fixes p :: complex poly
  assumes p: p = prod-root-unity ks * f
  and d: decompose-prod-root-unity p = (ks',g)
  and f:  $\bigwedge x. cmod x = 1 \implies \text{poly } f x \neq 0$ 
  and p0: p ≠ 0
  shows p = prod-root-unity ks' * f  $\wedge$  f = g  $\wedge$  set ks = set ks'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in comm-ring-hom) hom-root-unity: map-poly hom (root-unity n) = root-unity n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in idom-hom) hom-prod-root-unity: map-poly hom (prod-root-unity n) = prod-root-unity n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in field-hom) hom-decompose-prod-root-unity-main:
  decompose-prod-root-unity-main (map-poly hom p) k = map-prod id (map-poly hom)
  (decompose-prod-root-unity-main p k)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in field-hom) hom-decompose-prod-root-unity:
  decompose-prod-root-unity (map-poly hom p) = map-prod id (map-poly hom)
  (decompose-prod-root-unity p)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

5.1 The Perron Frobenius Theorem for Irreducible Matrices

```

theory Perron-Frobenius-Irreducible
imports
  Perron-Frobenius
  Roots-Unity
  Rank-Nullity-Theorem.Miscellaneous
begin

  lifting-forget vec.lifting
  lifting-forget mat.lifting
  lifting-forget poly.lifting

```

```

lemma charpoly-of-real: charpoly (map-matrix complex-of-real A) = map-poly of-real (charpoly A)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

context includes lifting-syntax
begin
lemma HMA-M-smult[transfer-rule]: ((=) ==> HMA-M ==> HMA-M) ( $\cdot_m$ )
(( $\ast k$ ))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
end

lemma order-charpoly-smult: fixes A :: complex  $\wedge$  'n  $\wedge$  'n
  assumes k:  $k \neq 0$ 
  shows order x (charpoly (k  $\ast_k$  A)) = order (x / k) (charpoly A)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma smult-eigen-vector: fixes a :: 'a :: field
  assumes eigen-vector A v x
  shows eigen-vector (a  $\ast_k$  A) v (a * x)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma smult-eigen-value: fixes a :: 'a :: field
  assumes eigen-value A x
  shows eigen-value (a  $\ast_k$  A) (a * x)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

locale fixed-mat = fixes A :: 'a :: zero  $\wedge$  'n  $\wedge$  'n
begin
definition G :: 'n rel where
  G = { (i,j). A $ i $ j  $\neq 0$  }

definition irreducible :: bool where
  irreducible = (UNIV  $\subseteq$  G $^+$ )
end

lemma G-transpose:
  fixed-mat.G (transpose A) = ((fixed-mat.G A)) $^{-1}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma G-transpose-trancl:
  (fixed-mat.G (transpose A)) $^+ = ((fixed-mat.G A)^+)^{-1}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

locale pf-nonneg-mat = fixed-mat A for
  A :: 'a :: linordered-idom  $\wedge$  'n  $\wedge$  'n +
  assumes non-neg-mat: non-neg-mat A
begin
lemma nonneg: A $ i $ j  $\geq 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma nonneg-matpow: matpow A n $ i $ j  $\geq 0$ 

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma G-relpow-matpow-pos:  $(i,j) \in G \wedge n \implies \text{matpow } A n \$ i \$ j > 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma matpow-mono: assumes  $B: \bigwedge i j. B \$ i \$ j \geq A \$ i \$ j$ 
shows  $\text{matpow } B n \$ i \$ j \geq \text{matpow } A n \$ i \$ j$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma matpow-sum-one-mono:  $\text{matpow } (A + \text{mat } 1) (n + k) \$ i \$ j \geq \text{matpow } (A + \text{mat } 1) n \$ i \$ j$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma G-relpow-matpow-pos-ge:
assumes  $(i,j) \in G \wedge m n \geq m$ 
shows  $\text{matpow } (A + \text{mat } 1) n \$ i \$ j > 0$ 
⟨proof⟩
end

locale perron-frobenius = pf-nonneg-mat A
for A :: real  $\wedge' n \wedge' n +$ 
assumes irr: irreducible
begin

definition N where  $N = (\text{SOME } N. \forall ij. \exists n \leq N. ij \in G \wedge n)$ 

lemma N:  $\exists n \leq N. ij \in G \wedge n$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma irreducible-matpow-pos: assumes irreducible
shows  $\text{matpow } (A + \text{mat } 1) N \$ i \$ j > 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma pf transpose: perron-frobenius (transpose A)
⟨proof⟩

abbreviation le-vec :: real  $\wedge' n \Rightarrow \text{real} \wedge' n \Rightarrow \text{bool} where
le-vec x y  $\equiv (\forall i. x \$ i \leq y \$ i)$ 

abbreviation lt-vec :: real  $\wedge' n \Rightarrow \text{real} \wedge' n \Rightarrow \text{bool} where
lt-vec x y  $\equiv (\forall i. x \$ i < y \$ i)$ 

definition A1n =  $\text{matpow } (A + \text{mat } 1) N$ 

lemmas A1n-pos = irreducible-matpow-pos[OF irr, folded A1n-def]

definition r :: real  $\wedge' n \Rightarrow \text{real} where
r x = Min {  $(A *v x) \$ j / x \$ j \mid j. x \$ j \neq 0$  }$$$ 
```

```

definition X :: (real ^ 'n )set where
  X = { x . le-vec 0 x ∧ x ≠ 0 }

lemma nonneg-Ax: x ∈ X  $\implies$  le-vec 0 (A *v x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma A-nonzero-fixed-i: ∃ j. A $ i $ j ≠ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma A-nonzero-fixed-j: ∃ i. A $ i $ j ≠ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Ax-pos: assumes x: lt-vec 0 x
  shows lt-vec 0 (A *v x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nonzero-Ax: assumes x: x ∈ X
  shows A *v x ≠ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma r-witness: assumes x: x ∈ X
  shows ∃ j. x $ j > 0 ∧ r x = (A *v x) $ j / x $ j
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rx-nonneg: assumes x: x ∈ X
  shows r x ≥ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rx-pos: assumes x: lt-vec 0 x
  shows r x > 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rx-le-Ax: assumes x: x ∈ X
  shows le-vec (r x *s x) (A *v x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rho-le-x-Ax-imp-rho-le-rx: assumes x: x ∈ X
  and ρ: le-vec (ρ *s x) (A *v x)
  shows ρ ≤ r x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rx-Max: assumes x: x ∈ X
  shows r x = Sup { ρ . le-vec (ρ *s x) (A *v x) } (is - = Sup ?S)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma r-smult: assumes x: x ∈ X
  and a: a > 0
  shows r (a *s x) = r x

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition $X1 = (X \cap \{x. norm x = 1\})$

lemma $bounded-X1: bounded X1 \langle proof \rangle$

lemma $closed-X1: closed X1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $compact-X1: compact X1 \langle proof \rangle$

definition $pow-A-1 x = A1n *v x$

lemma $continuous-pow-A-1: continuous-on R pow-A-1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $Y = pow-A-1 ` X1$

lemma $compact-Y: compact Y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Y-pos-main: assumes y: y \in pow-A-1 ` X$
shows $y \$ i > 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Y-pos: assumes y: y \in Y$
shows $y \$ i > 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Y-nonzero: assumes y: y \in Y$
shows $y \$ i \neq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $r' :: real \wedge 'n \Rightarrow real$ where
 $r' x = Min (range (\lambda j. (A *v x) \$ j / x \$ j))$

lemma $r'-r: assumes x: x \in Y$ shows $r' x = r x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $continuous-Y-r: continuous-on Y r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $X1-nonempty: X1 \neq \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Y-nonempty: Y \neq \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition z **where** $z = (\text{SOME } z. z \in Y \wedge (\forall y \in Y. r y \leq r z))$

abbreviation $sr \equiv r z$

lemma $z: z \in Y$ **and** $sr\text{-max-}Y: \bigwedge y. y \in Y \implies r y \leq sr$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Y\text{-subset-}X: Y \subseteq X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $zX: z \in X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $le\text{-vec-mono-left}$: **assumes** $B: \bigwedge i j. B \$ i \$ j \geq 0$
and $le\text{-vec } x y$
shows $le\text{-vec } (B *v x) (B *v y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $matpow\text{-1-commute}$: $matpow (A + mat 1) n ** A = A ** matpow (A + mat 1) n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $A1n\text{-commute}$: $A1n ** A = A ** A1n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $le\text{-vec-pow-A-1}$: **assumes** $le: le\text{-vec } (\rho *s x) (A *v x)$
shows $le\text{-vec } (\rho *s pow\text{-A-1 } x) (A *v pow\text{-A-1 } x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $r\text{-pow-A-1}$: **assumes** $x: x \in X$
shows $r x \leq r (pow\text{-A-1 } x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sr\text{-max}$: **assumes** $x: x \in X$
shows $r x \leq sr$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $z\text{-pos}$: $z \$ i > 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sr\text{-pos}$: $sr > 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context **fixes** u
assumes $u: u \in X$ **and** $ru: r u = sr$
begin

```

lemma sr-imp-eigen-vector-main: sr *s u = A *v u
⟨proof⟩

lemma sr-imp-eigen-vector: eigen-vector A u sr
⟨proof⟩

lemma sr-u-pos: lt-vec 0 u
⟨proof⟩
end

lemma eigen-vector-z-sr: eigen-vector A z sr
⟨proof⟩

lemma eigen-value-sr: eigen-value A sr
⟨proof⟩

abbreviation c ≡ complex-of-real
abbreviation cA ≡ map-matrix c A
abbreviation norm-v ≡ map-vector (norm :: complex ⇒ real)

lemma norm-v-ge-0: le-vec 0 (norm-v v) ⟨proof⟩
lemma norm-v-eq-0: norm-v v = 0 ↔ v = 0 ⟨proof⟩

lemma cA-index: cA $ i $ j = c (A $ i $ j)
⟨proof⟩

lemma norm-cA[simp]: norm (cA $ i $ j) = A $ i $ j
⟨proof⟩

context fixes α v
assumes ev: eigen-vector cA v α
begin

lemma evD: α *s v = cA *v v v ≠ 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma ev-alpha-norm-v: norm-v (α *s v) = (norm α *s norm-v v)
⟨proof⟩

lemma ev-A-norm-v: norm-v (cA *v v) $ j ≤ (A *v norm-v v) $ j
⟨proof⟩

lemma ev-le-vec: le-vec (norm α *s norm-v v) (A *v norm-v v)
⟨proof⟩

lemma norm-v-X: norm-v v ∈ X
⟨proof⟩

lemma ev-inequalities: norm α ≤ r (norm-v v) r (norm-v v) ≤ sr

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma eigen-vector-norm-sr: norm α ≤ sr ⟨proof⟩
end

lemma eigen-value-norm-sr: assumes eigen-value cA α
shows norm α ≤ sr
⟨proof⟩

lemma le-vec-trans: le-vec x y ⟹ le-vec y u ⟹ le-vec x u
⟨proof⟩

lemma eigen-vector-z-sr-c: eigen-vector cA (map-vector c z) (c sr)
⟨proof⟩

lemma eigen-value-sr-c: eigen-value cA (c sr)
⟨proof⟩

definition w = perron-frobenius.z (transpose A)

lemma w: transpose A *v w = sr *s w lt-vec 0 w perron-frobenius.sr (transpose
A) = sr
⟨proof⟩

lemma c-cmod-id: a ∈ ℝ ⟹ Re a ≥ 0 ⟹ c (cmod a) = a ⟨proof⟩

lemma pos-rowvector-mult-0: assumes lt: lt-vec 0 x
and 0: (rowvector x :: real ^ 'n ^ 'n) *v y = 0 (is ?x *v - = 0) and le: le-vec 0
y
shows y = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma pos-matrix-mult-0: assumes le: ⋀ i j. B $ i $ j ≥ 0
and lt: lt-vec 0 x
and 0: B *v x = 0
shows B = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma eigen-value-smaller-matrix: assumes B: ⋀ i j. 0 ≤ B $ i $ j ∧ B $ i $ j
≤ A $ i $ j
and AB: A ≠ B
and ev: eigen-value (map-matrix c B) sigma
shows cmod sigma < sr
⟨proof⟩

lemma charpoly-erase-mat-sr: 0 < poly (charpoly (erase-mat A i i)) sr
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma multiplicity-sr-1: order sr (charpoly A) = 1
(proof)

lemma sr-spectral-radius: sr = spectral-radius cA
(proof)

lemma le-vec-A-mu: assumes y: y ∈ X and le: le-vec (A *v y) (mu *s y)
shows sr ≤ mu lt-vec 0 y
mu = sr ∨ A *v y = mu *s y ⇒ mu = sr ∧ A *v y = mu *s y
(proof)

lemma nonnegative-eigenvector-has-ev-sr: assumes eigen-vector A v mu and le: le-vec 0 v
shows mu = sr
(proof)

lemma similar-matrix-rotation: assumes ev: eigen-value cA α and α: cmod α = sr
shows similar-matrix (cis (Arg α) *k cA) cA
(proof)

lemma assumes ev: eigen-value cA α and α: cmod α = sr
shows maximal-eigen-value-order-1: order α (charpoly cA) = 1
and maximal-eigen-value-rotation: eigen-value cA (x * cis (Arg α)) = eigen-value cA x
eigen-value cA (x / cis (Arg α)) = eigen-value cA x
(proof)

lemma maximal-eigen-values-group: assumes M: M = {ev :: complex. eigen-value cA ev ∧ cmod ev = sr}
and a: rcis sr α ∈ M
and b: rcis sr β ∈ M
shows rcis sr (α + β) ∈ M rcis sr (α - β) ∈ M rcis sr 0 ∈ M
(proof)

lemma maximal-eigen-value-roots-of-unity-rotation:
assumes M: M = {ev :: complex. eigen-value cA ev ∧ cmod ev = sr}
and kM: k = card M
shows k ≠ 0
k ≤ CARD('n)
∃ f. charpoly A = (monom 1 k - [:sr^k:]) * f
∧ (∀ x. poly (map-poly c f) x = 0 → cmod x < sr)
M = (*) (c sr) ` (λ i. (cis (of-nat i * 2 * pi / k))) ` {0 ..< k}
M = (*) (c sr) ` {x :: complex. x ^ k = 1}
(*) (cis (2 * pi / k)) ` Spectrum cA = Spectrum cA
(proof)

lemmas pf-main =
eigen-value-sr eigen-vector-z-sr

```

```

eigen-value-norm-sr
z-pos
multiplicity-sr-1
nonnegative-eigenvector-has-ev-sr
maximal-eigen-value-order-1
maximal-eigen-value-roots-of-unity-rotation

lemmas pf-main-connect = pf-main(1,3,5,7,8–10)[unfolded sr-spectral-radius]
    sr-pos[unfolded sr-spectral-radius]
end

end

```

5.2 Handling Non-Irreducible Matrices as Well

```

theory Perron-Frobenius-General
    imports Perron-Frobenius-Irreducible
begin

```

We will need to take sub-matrices and permutations of matrices where the former can best be done via JNF-matrices. So, we first need the Perron-Frobenius theorem in the JNF-world. So, we first define irreducibility of a JNF-matrix.

```

definition graph-of-mat where
    graph-of-mat A = (let n = dim-row A; U = {..<n} in
        { ij. A $$ ij ≠ 0 } ∩ U × U)

```

```

definition irreducible-mat where
    irreducible-mat A = (let n = dim-row A in
        (∀ i j. i < n → j < n → (i,j) ∈ (graph-of-mat A) ^+))

```

```

definition nonneg-irreducible-mat A = (nonneg-mat A ∧ irreducible-mat A)

```

Next, we have to install transfer rules

```

context
    includes lifting-syntax
begin
lemma HMA-irreducible[transfer-rule]: ((HMA-M :: - ⇒ - ^ 'n ^ 'n ⇒ -) ===> (=))
    irreducible-mat fixed-mat.irreducible
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma HMA-nonneg-irreducible-mat[transfer-rule]: (HMA-M ===> (=)) nonneg-irreducible-mat perron-frobenius
    ⟨proof⟩
end

```

The main statements of Perron-Frobenius can now be transferred to JNF-matrices

```

lemma perron-frobenius-irreducible: fixes A :: real Matrix.mat and cA :: complex Matrix.mat
assumes A: A ∈ carrier-mat n n and n: n ≠ 0 and nonneg: nonneg-mat A
and irr: irreducible-mat A
and cA: cA = map-mat of-real A
and sr: sr = Spectral-Radius.spectral-radius cA
shows
eigenvalue A sr
order sr (char-poly A) = 1
0 < sr
eigenvalue cA α ⇒ cmod α ≤ sr
eigenvalue cA α ⇒ cmod α = sr ⇒ order α (char-poly cA) = 1
∃ k f. k ≠ 0 ∧ k ≤ n ∧ char-poly A = (monom 1 k - [:sr ^ k:]) * f ∧
    (∀ x. poly (map-poly complex-of-real f) x = 0 → cmod x < sr)
⟨proof⟩

```

We now need permutations on matrices to show that a matrix if a matrix is not irreducible, then it can be turned into a four-block-matrix by a permutation, where the lower left block is 0.

```

definition permutation-mat :: nat ⇒ (nat ⇒ nat) ⇒ 'a :: semiring-1 mat where
permutation-mat n p = Matrix.mat n n (λ (i,j). (if i = p j then 1 else 0))

```

```
unbundle no m-inv-syntax
```

```

lemma permutation-mat-dim[simp]: permutation-mat n p ∈ carrier-mat n n
dim-row (permutation-mat n p) = n
dim-col (permutation-mat n p) = n
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma permutation-mat-row[simp]: p permutes {..<n} ⇒ i < n ⇒
Matrix.row (permutation-mat n p) i = unit-vec n (inv p i)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma permutation-mat-col[simp]: p permutes {..<n} ⇒ i < n ⇒
Matrix.col (permutation-mat n p) i = unit-vec n (p i)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma permutation-mat-left: assumes A: A ∈ carrier-mat n nc and p: p permutes
{..<n}
shows permutation-mat n p * A = Matrix.mat n nc (λ (i,j). A $$ (inv p i, j))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma permutation-mat-right: assumes A: A ∈ carrier-mat nr n and p: p permutes
{..<n}
shows A * permutation-mat n p = Matrix.mat nr n (λ (i,j). A $$ (i, p j))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma permutes-lt: p permutes {..<n} ⇒ i < n ⇒ p i < n
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma permutes-iff:  $p \text{ permutes } \{.. < n\} \implies i < n \implies j < n \implies p i = p j \longleftrightarrow i = j$ 
<proof>

lemma permutation-mat-id-1: assumes  $p: p \text{ permutes } \{.. < n\}$ 
shows  $\text{permutation-mat } n \ p * \text{permutation-mat } n \ (\text{inv } p) = 1_m \ n$ 
<proof>

lemma permutation-mat-id-2: assumes  $p: p \text{ permutes } \{.. < n\}$ 
shows  $\text{permutation-mat } n \ (\text{inv } p) * \text{permutation-mat } n \ p = 1_m \ n$ 
<proof>

lemma permutation-mat-both: assumes  $A: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$  and  $p: p \text{ permutes } \{.. < n\}$ 
shows  $\text{permutation-mat } n \ p * \text{Matrix.mat } n \ n \ (\lambda (i,j). A \$\$ (p i, p j)) * \text{permutation-mat } n \ (\text{inv } p) = A$ 
<proof>

lemma permutation-similar-mat: assumes  $A: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$  and  $p: p \text{ permutes } \{.. < n\}$ 
shows  $\text{similar-mat } A \ (\text{Matrix.mat } n \ n \ (\lambda (i,j). A \$\$ (p i, p j)))$ 
<proof>

lemma det-four-block-mat-lower-left-zero: fixes  $A1 :: 'a :: \text{idom mat}$ 
assumes  $A1: A1 \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ 
and  $A2: A2 \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ m$  and  $A3: A3 = 0_m \ m \ n$ 
and  $A4: A4 \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ 
shows  $\text{Determinant.det } (\text{four-block-mat } A1 \ A2 \ A3 \ A4) = \text{Determinant.det } A1 * \text{Determinant.det } A4$ 
<proof>

lemma char-poly-matrix-four-block-mat: assumes
 $A1: A1 \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ 
and  $A2: A2 \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ m$ 
and  $A3: A3 \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ n$ 
and  $A4: A4 \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ 
shows  $\text{char-poly-matrix } (\text{four-block-mat } A1 \ A2 \ A3 \ A4) =$ 
 $\text{four-block-mat } (\text{char-poly-matrix } A1) \ (\text{map-mat } (\lambda x. [:-x:]) \ A2)$ 
 $\ (\text{map-mat } (\lambda x. [:-x:]) \ A3) \ (\text{char-poly-matrix } A4)$ 
<proof>

lemma char-poly-four-block-mat-lower-left-zero: fixes  $A :: 'a :: \text{idom mat}$ 
assumes  $A: A = \text{four-block-mat } B \ C \ (0_m \ m \ n) \ D$ 
and  $B: B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ 
and  $C: C \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ m$ 
and  $D: D \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ 
shows  $\text{char-poly } A = \text{char-poly } B * \text{char-poly } D$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma elements-mat-permutes: assumes p: p permutes {.. $n$ }
  and A: A ∈ carrier-mat n n
  and B: B = Matrix.mat n n ( $\lambda (i,j). A \mathbin{\parallel\!\!\parallel} (p i, p j)$ )
shows elements-mat A = elements-mat B
⟨proof⟩

lemma elements-mat-four-block-mat-supseteq:
assumes A1: A1 ∈ carrier-mat n n
and A2: A2 ∈ carrier-mat n m
and A3: A3 ∈ carrier-mat m n
and A4: A4 ∈ carrier-mat m m
shows elements-mat (four-block-mat A1 A2 A3 A4) ⊇
  (elements-mat A1 ∪ elements-mat A2 ∪ elements-mat A3 ∪ elements-mat A4)
⟨proof⟩

lemma non-irreducible-mat-split:
fixes A :: 'a :: idom mat
assumes A: A ∈ carrier-mat n n
and not:  $\neg$  irreducible-mat A
and n: n > 1
shows  $\exists n1 n2 B B1 B2 B4.$  similar-mat A B  $\wedge$  elements-mat A = elements-mat
B  $\wedge$ 
  B = four-block-mat B1 B2 (0m n2 n1) B4  $\wedge$ 
  B1 ∈ carrier-mat n1 n1  $\wedge$  B2 ∈ carrier-mat n1 n2  $\wedge$  B4 ∈ carrier-mat n2
n2  $\wedge$ 
  0 < n1  $\wedge$  n1 < n  $\wedge$  0 < n2  $\wedge$  n2 < n  $\wedge$  n1 + n2 = n
⟨proof⟩

lemma non-irreducible-nonneg-mat-split:
fixes A :: 'a :: linordered-idom mat
assumes A: A ∈ carrier-mat n n
and nonneg: nonneg-mat A
and not:  $\neg$  irreducible-mat A
and n: n > 1
shows  $\exists n1 n2 A1 A2.$  char-poly A = char-poly A1 * char-poly A2
 $\wedge$  nonneg-mat A1  $\wedge$  nonneg-mat A2
 $\wedge$  A1 ∈ carrier-mat n1 n1  $\wedge$  A2 ∈ carrier-mat n2 n2
 $\wedge$  0 < n1  $\wedge$  n1 < n  $\wedge$  0 < n2  $\wedge$  n2 < n  $\wedge$  n1 + n2 = n
⟨proof⟩

```

The main generalized theorem. The characteristic polynomial of a non-negative real matrix can be represented as a product of roots of unitys (scaled by the the spectral radius sr) and a polynomial where all roots are smaller than the spectral radius.

```

theorem perron-frobenius-nonneg: fixes A :: real Matrix.mat
assumes A: A ∈ carrier-mat n n and pos: nonneg-mat A and n: n ≠ 0
shows  $\exists sr ks f.$ 

```

```

sr ≥ 0 ∧
0 ∉ set ks ∧ ks ≠ [] ∧
char-poly A = prod-list (map (λ k. monom 1 k - [:sr ^ k:]) ks) * f ∧
(∀ x. poly (map-poly complex-of-real f) x = 0 → cmod x < sr)
⟨proof⟩

```

And back to HMA world via transfer.

theorem perron-frobenius-non-neg: fixes $A :: \text{real}^n \times \text{real}^n$

assumes pos: non-neg-mat A

shows $\exists sr \in \text{set } ks \text{. } f.$

$sr \geq 0 \wedge$

$0 \notin \text{set } ks \wedge ks \neq [] \wedge$

$\text{charpoly } A = \text{prod-list} (\text{map} (\lambda k. \text{monom} 1 k - [:sr ^ k:]) ks) * f \wedge$

$(\forall x. \text{poly} (\text{map-poly complex-of-real } f) x = 0 \rightarrow \text{cmod } x < sr)$

⟨proof⟩

We now specialize the theorem for complexity analysis where we are mainly interested in the case where the spectral radius is at most 1. Note that this can be checked by testing that there are no real roots of the characteristic polynomial which exceed 1.

Moreover, here the existential quantifier over the factorization is replaced by *decompose-prod-root-unity*, an algorithm which computes this factorization in an efficient way.

lemma perron-frobenius-for-complexity: fixes $A :: \text{real}^n \times \text{real}^n$ and $f :: \text{real poly}$

defines $cA \equiv \text{map-matrix complex-of-real } A$

defines $cf \equiv \text{map-poly complex-of-real } f$

assumes pos: non-neg-mat A

and $sr: \bigwedge x. \text{poly} (\text{charpoly } A) x = 0 \implies x \leq 1$

and $\text{decomp}: \text{decompose-prod-root-unity} (\text{charpoly } A) = (ks, f)$

shows $0 \notin \text{set } ks$

$\text{charpoly } A = \text{prod-root-unity } ks * f$

$\text{charpoly } cA = \text{prod-root-unity } ks * cf$

$\bigwedge x. \text{poly} (\text{charpoly } cA) x = 0 \implies \text{cmod } x \leq 1$

$\bigwedge x. \text{poly } cf x = 0 \implies \text{cmod } x < 1$

$\bigwedge x. \text{cmod } x = 1 \implies \text{order } x (\text{charpoly } cA) = \text{length} [k \leftarrow ks . x ^ k = 1]$

$\bigwedge x. \text{cmod } x = 1 \implies \text{poly} (\text{charpoly } cA) x = 0 \implies \exists k \in \text{set } ks. x ^ k = 1$

⟨proof⟩

and convert to JNF-world

lemmas perron-frobenius-for-complexity-jnf =

perron-frobenius-for-complexity[unfolded atomize-imp atomize-all,

untransferred, cancel-card-constraint, rule-format]

end

6 Combining Spectral Radius Theory with Perron Frobenius theorem

```

theory Spectral-Radius-Theory
imports
  Polynomial-Factorization.Square-Free-Factorization
  Jordan-Normal-Form.Spectral-Radius
  Jordan-Normal-Form.Char-Poly
  Perron-Frobenius
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Field-as-Ring
begin
abbreviation spectral-radius where spectral-radius ≡ Spectral-Radius.spectral-radius
hide-const (open) Module.smult

```

Via JNFs it has been proven that the growth of A^k is polynomially bounded, if all complex eigenvalues have a norm at most 1, i.e., the spectral radius must be at most 1. Moreover, the degree of the polynomial growth can be bounded by the order of those roots which have norm 1, cf. $\llbracket ?A \in \text{carrier-mat} ?n ?n; \text{Spectral-Radius-Theory}.spectral-radius ?A \leq 1; \bigwedge \text{ev } k. [\text{poly} (\text{char-poly} ?A) \text{ ev} = 0; \text{cmod ev} = 1] \implies \text{order ev} (\text{char-poly} ?A) \leq ?d \rrbracket \implies \exists c1 c2. \forall k. \text{norm-bound} (?A \hat{\wedge}_m k) (c1 + c2 * (\text{real } k)^{?d - 1})$.

Perron Frobenius theorem tells us that for a real valued non negative matrix, the largest eigenvalue is a real non-negative one. Hence, we only have to check, that all real eigenvalues are at most one.

We combine both theorems in the following. To be more precise, the set-based complexity results from JNFs with the type-based Perron Frobenius theorem in HMA are connected to obtain a set based complexity criterion for real-valued non-negative matrices, where one only investigated the real valued eigenvalues for checking the eigenvalue-at-most-1 condition. Here, in the precondition of the roots of the polynomial, the type-system ensures that we only have to look at real-valued eigenvalues, and can ignore the complex-valued ones.

The linkage between set-and type-based is performed via HMA-connect.

```

lemma perron-frobenius-spectral-radius-complex: fixes A :: complex mat
assumes A: A ∈ carrier-mat n n
and real-nonneg: real-nonneg-mat A
and ev-le-1:  $\bigwedge x. \text{poly} (\text{char-poly} (\text{map-mat} \text{Re } A)) x = 0 \implies x \leq 1$ 
and ev-order:  $\bigwedge x. \text{norm } x = 1 \implies \text{order } x (\text{char-poly } A) \leq d$ 
shows  $\exists c1 c2. \forall k. \text{norm-bound} (A \hat{\wedge}_m k) (c1 + c2 * \text{real } k \hat{\wedge} (d - 1))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

The following lemma is the same as $\llbracket ?A \in \text{carrier-mat} ?n ?n; \text{real-nonneg-mat} ?A; \bigwedge x. \text{poly} (\text{char-poly} (\text{map-mat} \text{Re } ?A)) x = 0 \implies x \leq 1; \bigwedge x. \text{cmod } x = 1 \implies \text{order } x (\text{char-poly } ?A) \leq ?d \rrbracket \implies \exists c1 c2. \forall k. \text{norm-bound} (?A \hat{\wedge}_m k) (c1 + c2 * (\text{real } k)^{?d - 1})$, except that now the type *real* is used instead of *complex*.

```

lemma perron-frobenius-spectral-radius: fixes A :: real mat
assumes A: A ∈ carrier-mat n n
and nonneg: nonneg-mat A
and ev-le-1: ∀ x. poly (char-poly A) x = 0 → x ≤ 1
and ev-order: ∀ x :: complex. norm x = 1 → order x (map-poly of-real (char-poly A)) ≤ d
shows ∃ c1 c2. ∀ k a. a ∈ elements-mat (A ^_m k) → abs a ≤ (c1 + c2 * real k ^ (d - 1))
⟨proof⟩

```

We can also convert the set-based lemma $\llbracket ?A \in \text{carrier-mat} ?n ?n; \text{nonneg-mat} ?A; \forall x. \text{poly} (\text{char-poly} ?A) x = 0 \rightarrow x \leq 1; \forall x. \text{cmod} x = 1 \rightarrow \text{order} x (\text{map-poly complex-of-real} (\text{char-poly} ?A)) \leq ?d \rrbracket \implies \exists c1 c2. \forall k a. a \in \text{elements-mat} (?A ^_m k) \rightarrow |a| \leq c1 + c2 * (\text{real } k)^{?d - 1}$ to a type-based version.

```

lemma perron-frobenius-spectral-type-based:
assumes non-neg-mat (A :: real ^'n ^'n)
and ∀ x. poly (charpoly A) x = 0 → x ≤ 1
and ∀ x :: complex. norm x = 1 → order x (map-poly of-real (charpoly A)) ≤ d
shows ∃ c1 c2. ∀ k a. a ∈ elements-mat-h (matpow A k) → abs a ≤ (c1 + c2 * real k ^ (d - 1))
⟨proof⟩

```

And of course, we can also transfer the type-based lemma back to a set-based setting, only that – without further case-analysis – we get the additional assumption $n \neq 0$.

```

lemma assumes A ∈ carrier-mat n n
and nonneg-mat A
and ∀ x. poly (char-poly A) x = 0 → x ≤ 1
and ∀ x :: complex. norm x = 1 → order x (map-poly of-real (char-poly A)) ≤ d
and n ≠ 0
shows ∃ c1 c2. ∀ k a. a ∈ elements-mat (A ^_m k) → abs a ≤ (c1 + c2 * real k ^ (d - 1))
⟨proof⟩

```

Note that the precondition eigenvalue-at-most-1 can easily be formulated as a cardinality constraints which can be decided by Sturm's theorem. And in order to obtain a bound on the order, one can perform a square-free-factorization (via Yun's factorization algorithm) of the characteristic polynomial into $f_1^1 \cdots f_d^d$ where each f_i has precisely the roots of order i .

```

context
fixes A :: real mat and c :: real and fis and n :: nat
assumes A: A ∈ carrier-mat n n
and nonneg: nonneg-mat A
and yun: yun-factorization gcd (char-poly A) = (c,fis)
and ev-le-1: card {x. poly (char-poly A) x = 0 ∧ x > 1} = 0

```

begin

lemma *perron-frobenius-spectral-radius-yun*:

assumes *bnd*: $\bigwedge f_i$ *i*. $(f_i, i) \in \text{set fis}$

$\implies (\exists x :: \text{complex_poly}(\text{map_poly of-real } f_i)) x = 0 \wedge \text{norm } x = 1)$

$\implies i \leq d$

shows $\exists c1 c2. \forall k a. a \in \text{elements-mat}(A \hat{\wedge}_m k) \implies \text{abs } a \leq (c1 + c2 * \text{real } k \hat{\wedge} (d - 1))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Note that the only remaining problem in applying $(\bigwedge f_i i. \llbracket(f_i, i) \in \text{set fis}; \exists x. \text{poly}(\text{map_poly complex-of-real } f_i) x = 0 \wedge \text{cmod } x = 1\rrbracket \implies i \leq ?d) \implies \exists c1 c2. \forall k a. a \in \text{elements-mat}(A \hat{\wedge}_m k) \implies |a| \leq c1 + c2 * (\text{real } k)^{?d - 1}$ is to check the condition $\exists x. \text{poly}(\text{map_poly complex-of-real } f_i) x = 0 \wedge \text{cmod } x = 1$. Here, there are at least three possibilities. First, one can just ignore this precondition and weaken the statement. Second, one can apply Sturm's theorem to determine whether all roots are real. This can be done by comparing the number of distinct real roots with the degree of f_i , since f_i is square-free. If all roots are real, then one can decide the criterion by checking the only two possible real roots with norm equal to 1, namely 1 and -1. If on the other hand there are complex roots, then we loose precision at this point. Third, one uses a factorization algorithm (e.g., via complex algebraic numbers) to precisely determine the complex roots and decide the condition.

The second approach is illustrated in the following theorem. Note that all preconditions – including the ones from the context – can easily be checked with the help of Sturm's method. This method is used as a fast approximative technique in CeTA [3]. Only if the desired degree cannot be ensured by this method, the more costly complex algebraic number based factorization is applied.

lemma *perron-frobenius-spectral-radius-yun-real-roots*:

assumes *bnd*: $\bigwedge f_i$ *i*. $(f_i, i) \in \text{set fis}$

$\implies \text{card}\{x. \text{poly } f_i x = 0\} \neq \text{degree } f_i \vee \text{poly } f_i 1 = 0 \vee \text{poly } f_i (-1) = 0$

$\implies i \leq d$

shows $\exists c1 c2. \forall k a. a \in \text{elements-mat}(A \hat{\wedge}_m k) \implies \text{abs } a \leq (c1 + c2 * \text{real } k \hat{\wedge} (d - 1))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

7 The Jordan Blocks of the Spectral Radius are Largest

Consider a non-negative real matrix, and consider any Jordan-block of any eigenvalues whose norm is the spectral radius. We prove that there is a Jordan block of the spectral radius which has the same size or is larger.

```

theory Spectral-Radius-Largest-Jordan-Block
imports
  Jordan-Normal-Form.Jordan-Normal-Form-Uniqueness
  Perron-Frobenius-General
  HOL-Real-Asymp.Real-Asymp
begin

lemma poly-asymp-equiv:  $(\lambda x. \text{poly } p (\text{real } x)) \sim_{[\text{at-top}]} (\lambda x. \text{lead-coeff } p * \text{real } x^{\hat{\text{degree}} p})$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sum-root-unity: fixes  $x :: 'a :: \{\text{comm-ring}, \text{division-ring}\}$ 
  assumes  $x^{\hat{n}} = 1$ 
  shows  $\text{sum}(\lambda i. x^i) \{.. < n\} = (\text{if } x = 1 \text{ then } \text{of-nat } n \text{ else } 0)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sum-root-unity-power-pos-implies-1:
  assumes  $\text{sumpos}: \bigwedge k. \text{Re}(\text{sum}(\lambda i. b_i * x^i)^k) > 0$ 
  and root-unity:  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \exists d. d \neq 0 \wedge x^i = 1$ 
  shows  $1 \in x^I$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

fun j-to-jb-index ::  $(\text{nat} \times 'a)\text{list} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \times \text{nat}$  where
  j-to-jb-index  $((n,a) \# n\text{-as}) i = (\text{if } i < n \text{ then } (0,i) \text{ else}$ 
    let rec = j-to-jb-index n-as  $(i - n)$  in  $(\text{Suc } (\text{fst } \text{rec}), \text{snd } \text{rec}))$ 

fun jb-to-j-index ::  $(\text{nat} \times 'a)\text{list} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \times \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$  where
  jb-to-j-index  $n\text{-as } (0,j) = j$ 
  | jb-to-j-index  $((n,-) \# n\text{-as}) (Suc i, j) = n + \text{jb-to-j-index } n\text{-as } (i,j)$ 

lemma j-to-jb-index: assumes  $i < \text{sum-list } (\text{map } \text{fst } n\text{-as})$ 
  and  $j < \text{sum-list } (\text{map } \text{fst } n\text{-as})$ 
  and j-to-jb-index n-as  $i = (bi, li)$ 
  and j-to-jb-index n-as  $j = (bj, lj)$ 
  and n-as !  $bj = (n, a)$ 
  shows  $((\text{jordan-matrix } n\text{-as})^m r) \$\$ (i,j) = (\text{if } bi = bj \text{ then } ((\text{jordan-block } n a)^m r) \$\$ (li, lj) \text{ else } 0)$ 
   $\wedge (bi = bj \implies li < n \wedge lj < n \wedge bj < \text{length } n\text{-as} \wedge (n,a) \in \text{set } n\text{-as})$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma j-to-jb-index-rev: assumes  $j: \text{j-to-jb-index } n\text{-as } i = (bi, li)$ 
  and  $i: i < \text{sum-list } (\text{map } \text{fst } n\text{-as})$ 
```

and $k: k \leq li$
shows $li \leq i \wedge j\text{-to-}jb\text{-index } n\text{-as } (i - k) = (bi, li - k) \wedge (j\text{-to-}jb\text{-index } n\text{-as } j = (bi, li - k) \rightarrow j < sum-list (map fst n\text{-as}) \rightarrow j = i - k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

locale *spectral-radius-1-jnf-max* =
fixes $A :: real$ mat **and** $n m :: nat$ **and** $lam :: complex$ **and** $n\text{-as}$
assumes $A: A \in carrier\text{-mat } n$
and $nonneg: nonneg\text{-mat } A$
and $jnf: jordan\text{-nf} (map\text{-mat complex-of-real } A) n\text{-as}$
and $mem: (m, lam) \in set n\text{-as}$
and $lam1: cmod lam = 1$
and $sr1: \bigwedge x. poly (char\text{-poly } A) x = 0 \Rightarrow x \leq 1$
and $max\text{-block}: \bigwedge k la. (k, la) \in set n\text{-as} \Rightarrow cmod la \leq 1 \wedge (cmod la = 1 \rightarrow k \leq m)$
begin

lemma $n\text{-as0}: 0 \notin fst ` set n\text{-as}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $m0: m \neq 0 \langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation cA **where** $cA \equiv map\text{-mat complex-of-real } A$
abbreviation J **where** $J \equiv jordan\text{-matrix } n\text{-as}$

lemma $sim\text{-}A\text{-}J: similar\text{-mat } cA J$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sumlist\text{-nf}: sum-list (map fst n\text{-as}) = n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $p :: nat \Rightarrow real$ poly **where**
 $p s = (\prod i = 0..<s. [: - of\text{-nat } i / of\text{-nat } (s - i), 1 / of\text{-nat } (s - i) :])$

lemma $p\text{-binom}:$
assumes $s \leq k$
shows $of\text{-nat } (k choose s) = poly (p s) (of\text{-nat } k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $p\text{-binom-complex}:$ **assumes** $sk: s \leq k$
shows $of\text{-nat } (k choose s) = complex\text{-of-real } (poly (p s) (of\text{-nat } k))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $deg\text{-}p: degree (p s) = s \langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lead\text{-coeff}\text{-}p: lead\text{-coeff } (p s) = (\prod i = 0..<s. 1 / (of\text{-nat } s - of\text{-nat } i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma lead-coeff-p-gt-0: lead-coeff (p s) > 0 <proof>

definition c = lead-coeff (p (m - 1))

lemma c-gt-0: c > 0 <proof>
lemma c0: c ≠ 0 <proof>

definition PP where PP = (SOME PP. similar-mat-wit cA J (fst PP) (snd PP))

definition P where P = fst PP
definition iP where iP = snd PP

lemma JNF: P ∈ carrier-mat n n iP ∈ carrier-mat n n J ∈ carrier-mat n n
P * iP = 1m n iP * P = 1m n cA = P * J * iP
<proof>

definition C :: nat set where
C = {j | j bj lj nn la. j < n ∧ j-to-jb-index n-as j = (bj, lj)
      ∧ n-as ! bj = (nn,la) ∧ cmod la = 1 ∧ nn = m ∧ lj = nn - 1}

lemma C-nonempty: C ≠ {}
<proof>

lemma C-n: C ⊆ {..<n} <proof>

lemma root-unity-cmod-1: assumes la: la ∈ snd `set n-as and 1: cmod la = 1
shows ∃ d. d ≠ 0 ∧ la ^ d = 1
<proof>

definition d where d = (SOME d. ∀ la. la ∈ snd `set n-as → cmod la = 1 →
d la ≠ 0 ∧ la ^ (d la) = 1)

lemma d: assumes (k,la) ∈ set n-as cmod la = 1
shows la ^ (d la) = 1 ∧ d la ≠ 0
<proof>

definition D where D = prod-list (map (λ na. if cmod (snd na) = 1 then d (snd na) else 1) n-as)

lemma D0: D ≠ 0 <proof>

definition f where f off k = D * k + (m-1) + off

lemma mono-f: strict-mono (f off) <proof>

definition inv-op where inv-op off k = inverse (c * real (f off k) ^ (m - 1))

lemma limit-jordan-block: assumes kla: (k, la) ∈ set n-as

```

```

and  $ij: i < k \ j < k$ 
shows  $(\lambda N. (jordan-block k la \hat{\wedge}_m (f off N)) \$\$ (i, j) * inv-op off N)$ 
 $\longrightarrow (if i = 0 \wedge j = k - 1 \wedge cmod la = 1 \wedge k = m then la \hat{\wedge} off else 0)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition lambda where lambda  $i = snd (n\text{-as} ! fst (j\text{-to}\text{-jb}\text{-index} n\text{-as} i))$ 

lemma cmod-lambda:  $i \in C \implies cmod (\lambda i) = 1$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma R-lambda: assumes  $i: i \in C$ 
shows  $(m, \lambda i) \in set n\text{-as}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma limit-jordan-matrix: assumes  $ij: i < n \ j < n$ 
shows  $(\lambda N. (J \hat{\wedge}_m (f off N)) \$\$ (i, j) * inv-op off N)$ 
 $\longrightarrow (if j \in C \wedge i = j - (m - 1) then (\lambda j) \hat{\wedge} off else 0)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

declare sumlist-nf[simp]

lemma A-power-P:  $cA \hat{\wedge}_m k * P = P * J \hat{\wedge}_m k$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma inv-op-nonneg:  $inv-op off k \geq 0$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma P-nonzero-entry: assumes  $j: j < n$ 
shows  $\exists i < n. P \$\$ (i, j) \neq 0$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition j where  $j = (SOME j. j \in C)$ 

lemma j:  $j \in C$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma j-n:  $j < n$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition i =  $(SOME i. i < n \wedge P \$\$ (i, j - (m - 1)) \neq 0)$ 

lemma i:  $i < n$  and P-ij0:  $P \$\$ (i, j - (m - 1)) \neq 0$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition w =  $P *_v unit\text{-vec} n j$ 

lemma w:  $w \in carrier\text{-vec} n$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition v = map-vec cmod w

lemma v:  $v \in carrier\text{-vec} n$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

definition u where u = iP *v map-vec of-real v

lemma u: u ∈ carrier-vec n ⟨proof⟩

definition a where a j = P §§ (i, j - (m - 1)) * u $v j for j

lemma main-step: 0 < Re (Σ j ∈ C. a j * lambda j ^ l)
⟨proof⟩

lemma main-theorem: (m, 1) ∈ set n-as
⟨proof⟩
end

lemma nonneg-sr-1-largest-jb:
assumes nonneg: nonneg-mat A
and jnf: jordan-nf (map-mat complex-of-real A) n-as
and mem: (m, lam) ∈ set n-as
and lam1: cmod lam = 1
and sr1: ∀x. poly (char-poly A) x = 0 ⇒ x ≤ 1
shows ∃ M. M ≥ m ∧ (M, 1) ∈ set n-as
⟨proof⟩
hide-const(open) spectral-radius

lemma (in ring-hom) hom-smult-mat: math (a ·m A) = hom a ·m math A
⟨proof⟩

lemma root-char-poly-smult: fixes A :: complex mat
assumes A: A ∈ carrier-mat n n
and k: k ≠ 0
shows (poly (char-poly (k ·m A)) x = 0) = (poly (char-poly A) (x / k) = 0)
⟨proof⟩

theorem real-nonneg-mat-spectral-radius-largest-jordan-block:
assumes real-nonneg-mat A
and jordan-nf A n-as
and (m, lam) ∈ set n-as
and cmod lam = spectral-radius A
shows ∃ M ≥ m. (M, of-real (spectral-radius A)) ∈ set n-as
⟨proof⟩

end

```

8 Homomorphisms of Gauss-Jordan Elimination, Kernel and More

```

theory Hom-Gauss-Jordan
imports Jordan-Normal-Form.Matrix-Kernel

```

Jordan-Normal-Form.Jordan-Normal-Form-Uniqueness

begin

lemma (**in** comm-ring-hom) similar-mat-wit-hom: **assumes**
similar-mat-wit $A B C D$
shows similar-mat-wit ($\text{math}_h A$) ($\text{math}_h B$) ($\text{math}_h C$) ($\text{math}_h D$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** comm-ring-hom) similar-mat-hom:
similar-mat $A B \implies$ similar-mat ($\text{math}_h A$) ($\text{math}_h B$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context field-hom

begin

lemma hom-swaprows: $i < \dim\text{-row } A \implies j < \dim\text{-row } A \implies$
swaprows $i j$ ($\text{math}_h A$) = $\text{math}_h (\text{swaprows } i j A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma hom-gauss-jordan-main: $A \in \text{carrier-mat nr nc} \implies B \in \text{carrier-mat nr nc2} \implies$
 $\text{gauss-jordan-main } (\text{math}_h A) (\text{math}_h B) i j =$
 $\text{map-prod } \text{math}_h \text{math}_h (\text{gauss-jordan-main } A B i j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma hom-gauss-jordan: $A \in \text{carrier-mat nr nc} \implies B \in \text{carrier-mat nr nc2} \implies$
 $\text{gauss-jordan } (\text{math}_h A) (\text{math}_h B) = \text{map-prod } \text{math}_h \text{math}_h (\text{gauss-jordan } A B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma hom-gauss-jordan-single[simp]: gauss-jordan-single ($\text{math}_h A$) = $\text{math}_h (\text{gauss-jordan-single } A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma hom-pivot-positions-main-gen: **assumes** $A: A \in \text{carrier-mat nr nc}$
shows pivot-positions-main-gen 0 ($\text{math}_h A$) $\text{nr nc } i j =$ pivot-positions-main-gen
 $0 A \text{ nr nc } i j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma hom-pivot-positions[simp]: pivot-positions ($\text{math}_h A$) = pivot-positions A
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma hom-kernel-dim[simp]: kernel-dim ($\text{math}_h A$) = kernel-dim A
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma hom-char-matrix: **assumes** $A: A \in \text{carrier-mat n n}$
shows char-matrix ($\text{math}_h A$) ($\text{hom } x$) = $\text{math}_h (\text{char-matrix } A x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma hom-dim-gen-eigenspace: **assumes** $A: A \in \text{carrier-mat n n}$
shows dim-gen-eigenspace ($\text{math}_h A$) ($\text{hom } x$) = dim-gen-eigenspace $A x$

```

⟨proof⟩
end
end

```

9 Combining Spectral Radius Theory with Perron Frobenius theorem

```

theory Spectral-Radius-Theory-2
imports
  Spectral-Radius-Largest-Jordan-Block
  Hom-Gauss-Jordan
begin

hide-const(open) Coset.order

lemma jnf-complexity-generic: fixes A :: complex mat
  assumes A: A ∈ carrier-mat n n
  and sr: ⋀ x. poly (char-poly A) x = 0 ⟹ cmod x ≤ 1
  and 1: ⋀ x. poly (char-poly A) x = 0 ⟹ cmod x = 1 ⟹
    order x (char-poly A) > d + 1 ⟹
    (∀ bsize ∈ fst ` set (compute-set-of-jordan-blocks A x). bsize ≤ d + 1)
  shows ∃ c1 c2. ∀ k. norm-bound (A ⌢ m k) (c1 + c2 * of-nat k ⌢ d)
⟨proof⟩

lemma norm-bound-complex-to-real: fixes A :: real mat
  assumes A: A ∈ carrier-mat n n
  and bnd: ∃ c1 c2. ∀ k. norm-bound ((map-mat complex-of-real A) ⌢ m k) (c1 +
    c2 * of-nat k ⌢ d)
  shows ∃ c1 c2. ∀ k a. a ∈ elements-mat (A ⌢ m k) ⟶ abs a ≤ (c1 + c2 * of-nat
    k ⌢ d)
⟨proof⟩

lemma dim-gen-eigenspace-max-jordan-block: assumes jnf: jordan-nf A n-as
  shows dim-gen-eigenspace A l d = order l (char-poly A) ↔
    (∀ n. (n,l) ∈ set n-as ⟶ n ≤ d)
⟨proof⟩

lemma jnf-complexity-1-complex: fixes A :: complex mat
  assumes A: A ∈ carrier-mat n n
  and nonneg: real-nonneg-mat A
  and sr: ⋀ x. poly (char-poly A) x = 0 ⟹ cmod x ≤ 1
  and 1: poly (char-poly A) 1 = 0 ⟹
    order 1 (char-poly A) > d + 1 ⟹
    dim-gen-eigenspace A 1 (d+1) = order 1 (char-poly A)
  shows ∃ c1 c2. ∀ k. norm-bound (A ⌢ m k) (c1 + c2 * of-nat k ⌢ d)
⟨proof⟩

lemma jnf-complexity-1-real: fixes A :: real mat

```

```

assumes A:  $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ 
and nonneg:  $\text{nonneg-mat } A$ 
and sr:  $\bigwedge x. \text{poly}(\text{char-poly } A) x = 0 \implies x \leq 1$ 
and jb:  $\text{poly}(\text{char-poly } A) 1 = 0 \implies$ 
     $\text{order } 1(\text{char-poly } A) > d + 1 \implies$ 
     $\text{dim-gen-eigenspace } A 1 (d+1) = \text{order } 1(\text{char-poly } A)$ 
shows  $\exists c1 \ c2. \forall k \ a. \ a \in \text{elements-mat}(A \ ^m \ k) \implies |a| \leq c1 + c2 * \text{real } k \ ^d$ 
<proof>
end

```

10 An efficient algorithm to compute the growth rate of A^n .

```

theory Check-Matrix-Growth
imports
  Spectral-Radius-Theory-2
  Sturm-Sequences.Sturm-Method
begin

hide-const (open) Coset.order

definition check-matrix-complexity :: real mat  $\Rightarrow$  real poly  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  check-matrix-complexity A cp d = (count-roots-above cp 1 = 0
     $\wedge$  (poly cp 1 = 0  $\longrightarrow$  (let ord = order 1 cp in
      d + 1 < ord  $\longrightarrow$  kernel-dim ((A - 1_m (dim-row A)) ^m (d + 1)) = ord)))

lemma check-matrix-complexity: assumes A:  $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$  and nn:  $\text{nonneg-mat } A$ 
  and check: check-matrix-complexity A (char-poly A) d
shows  $\exists c1 \ c2. \forall k \ a. \ a \in \text{elements-mat}(A \ ^m \ k) \implies \text{abs } a \leq (c1 + c2 * \text{of-nat } k \ ^d)$ 
<proof>
end

```

Acknowledgements We thank Fabian Immler for an introduction to continuity proving using HMA.

References

- [1] O. Kunar and A. Popescu. From types to sets by local type definitions in higher-order logic. In *Proc. ITP 2016*. Springer, 2016. To appear.
- [2] D. Serre. *Matrices: Theory and Applications*. Graduate texts in mathematics. Springer, 2002.
- [3] R. Thiemann and C. Sternagel. Certification of termination proofs using CeTA. In *Proc. TPHOLs'09*, LNCS 5674, pages 452–468. Springer, 2009.

- [4] R. Thiemann and A. Yamada. Formalizing Jordan normal forms in Isabelle/HOL. In *Proc. CPP 2016*, pages 88–99. ACM, 2016.