

Perfect Fields

Manuel Eberl, Katharina Kreuzer

November 13, 2023

Abstract

This entry provides a type class for *perfect fields*. A perfect field K can be characterized by one of the following equivalent conditions [2]:

1. Any irreducible polynomial p is separable, i.e. $\gcd(p, p') = 1$, or, equivalently, $p' \neq 0$.
2. Either $\text{char}(K) = 0$ or $\text{char}(K) = p > 0$ and the Frobenius endomorphism $x \mapsto x^p$ is surjective (i.e. every element of K has a p -th root).

We define perfect fields using the second characterization and show the equivalence to the first characterization. The implication “2 \Rightarrow 1” is relatively straightforward using the injectivity of the Frobenius homomorphism.

Examples for perfect fields are [2]:

- any field of characteristic 0 (e.g. \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C})
- any finite field (i.e. \mathbb{F}_q for $q = p^n$, $n > 0$ and p prime)
- any algebraically closed field (for example the formal Puiseux series over finite fields)

Contents

1	Perfect Fields	5
1.1	Rings and fields with prime characteristic	5
1.2	Finite fields	7
1.3	The Freshman's Dream in rings of non-zero characteristic . . .	9
1.4	The Frobenius endomorphism	9
1.5	Inverting the Frobenius endomorphism on polynomials	12
1.6	Code generation	14
1.7	Perfect fields	15
1.8	Algebraically closed fields are perfect	16
2	The algebraic closure type	17
2.1	Definition	17
2.2	The algebraic closure is algebraically closed	19
2.3	Converting between the base field and the closure	19
2.4	The algebraic closure is an algebraic extension	22
2.5	Alternative definition of perfect fields	23

```

theory Perfect_Field_Library
imports
  "HOL-Computational_Algebra.Computational_Algebra"
  "Berlekamp_Zassenhaus.Finite_Field"
begin

instance bool :: prime_card
  <proof>

theorem (in comm_semiring_1) binomial_ring:
  "(a + b :: 'a)^n = ( $\sum_{k \leq n} \text{of\_nat } (n \text{ choose } k) * a^k * b^{(n-k)}$ )"
  <proof>

lemma prime_not_dvd_fact:
assumes kn: "k < n" and prime_n: "prime n"
shows " $\neg$  n dvd fact k"
  <proof>

lemma dvd_choose_prime:
assumes kn: "k < n" and k: "k  $\neq$  0" and n: "n  $\neq$  0" and prime_n: "prime
n"
shows "n dvd (n choose k)"
  <proof>

lemma CHAR_not_1 [simp]: "CHAR('a :: {semiring_1, zero_neq_one})  $\neq$  Suc
0"
  <proof>

lemma (in idom) CHAR_not_1' [simp]: "CHAR('a)  $\neq$  Suc 0"
  <proof>

lemma semiring_char_mod_ring [simp]:
  "CHAR('n :: nontriv mod_ring) = CARD('n)"
  <proof>

lemma of_nat_eq_iff_cong_CHAR:
  "of_nat x = (of_nat y :: 'a :: semiring_1_cancel)  $\longleftrightarrow$  [x = y] (mod CHAR('a))"
  <proof>

lemma (in ring_1) of_int_eq_0_iff_char_dvd:
  "(of_int n = (0 :: 'a)) = (int CHAR('a) dvd n)"
  <proof>

```

```

lemma (in ring_1) of_int_eq_iff_cong_CHAR:
  "of_int x = (of_int y :: 'a)  $\longleftrightarrow$  [x = y] (mod int CHAR('a))"
<proof>

```

```

lemma finite_imp_CHAR_pos:
  assumes "finite (UNIV :: 'a set)"
  shows "CHAR('a :: semiring_1_cancel) > 0"
<proof>

```

```

lemma CHAR_dvd_CARD: "CHAR('a :: ring_1) dvd CARD('a)"
<proof>

```

```

lemma (in idom) prime_CHAR_semidom:
  assumes "CHAR('a) > 0"
  shows "prime CHAR('a)"
<proof>

```

Characteristics are preserved by typical functors (polynomials, power series, Laurent series):

```

lemma semiring_char_poly [simp]: "CHAR('a :: comm_semiring_1 poly) = CHAR('a)"
<proof>

```

```

lemma semiring_char_fps [simp]: "CHAR('a :: comm_semiring_1 fps) = CHAR('a)"
<proof>

```

```

lemma fls_const_eq_0_iff [simp]: "fls_const c = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  c = 0"
<proof>

```

```

lemma semiring_char_fls [simp]: "CHAR('a :: comm_semiring_1 fls) = CHAR('a)"
<proof>

```

```

lemma irreducible_power_iff [simp]:
  "irreducible (p ^ n)  $\longleftrightarrow$  irreducible p  $\wedge$  n = 1"
<proof>

```

```

lemma pderiv_monom:
  "pderiv (Polynomial.monom c n) = of_nat n * Polynomial.monom c (n - 1)"
<proof>

```

```

lemma uminus_CHAR_2 [simp]:
  assumes "CHAR('a :: ring_1) = 2"
  shows "-(x :: 'a) = x"
<proof>

```

```

lemma minus_CHAR_2 [simp]:
  assumes "CHAR('a :: ring_1) = 2"

```

```

shows "(x - y :: 'a) = x + y"
⟨proof⟩

lemma minus_power_prime_CHAR:
  assumes "p = CHAR('a :: {ring_1})" "prime p"
  shows "(-x :: 'a) ^ p = -(x ^ p)"
⟨proof⟩

end

```

1 Perfect Fields

```

theory Perfect_Fields
imports
  "Berlekamp_Zassenhaus.Finite_Field"
  Perfect_Field_Library
begin

```

1.1 Rings and fields with prime characteristic

We introduce some type classes for rings and fields with prime characteristic.

```

class semiring_prime_char = semiring_1 +
  assumes prime_char_aux: "∃ n. prime n ∧ of_nat n = (0 :: 'a)"
begin

lemma CHAR_pos [intro, simp]: "CHAR('a) > 0"
⟨proof⟩

lemma CHAR_nonzero [simp]: "CHAR('a) ≠ 0"
⟨proof⟩

lemma CHAR_prime [intro, simp]: "prime CHAR('a)"
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma semiring_prime_charI [intro?]:
  "prime CHAR('a :: semiring_1) ⇒ OFCLASS('a, semiring_prime_char_class)"
⟨proof⟩

lemma idom_prime_charI [intro?]:
  assumes "CHAR('a :: idom) > 0"
  shows "OFCLASS('a, semiring_prime_char_class)"
⟨proof⟩

class comm_semiring_prime_char = comm_semiring_1 + semiring_prime_char
class comm_ring_prime_char = comm_ring_1 + semiring_prime_char

```

```

begin
subclass comm_semiring_prime_char <proof>
end
class idom_prime_char = idom + semiring_prime_char
begin
subclass comm_ring_prime_char <proof>
end

class field_prime_char = field +
  assumes pos_char_exists: "∃ n>0. of_nat n = (0 :: 'a)"
begin
subclass idom_prime_char
  <proof>
end

lemma field_prime_charI [intro?]:
  "n > 0 ⇒ of_nat n = (0 :: 'a :: field) ⇒ OFCLASS('a, field_prime_char_class)"
  <proof>

lemma field_prime_charI' [intro?]:
  "CHAR('a :: field) > 0 ⇒ OFCLASS('a, field_prime_char_class)"
  <proof>

```

Typical functors like polynomials, formal power series, and formal Laurent series preserve the characteristic of the coefficient ring.

```

instance poly :: ("{semiring_prime_char,comm_semiring_1}") semiring_prime_char
  <proof>
instance poly :: ("{comm_semiring_prime_char,comm_semiring_1}") comm_semiring_prime_char
  <proof>
instance poly :: ("{comm_ring_prime_char,comm_semiring_1}") comm_ring_prime_char
  <proof>
instance poly :: ("{idom_prime_char,comm_semiring_1}") idom_prime_char
  <proof>

instance fps :: ("{semiring_prime_char,comm_semiring_1}") semiring_prime_char
  <proof>
instance fps :: ("{comm_semiring_prime_char,comm_semiring_1}") comm_semiring_prime_char
  <proof>
instance fps :: ("{comm_ring_prime_char,comm_semiring_1}") comm_ring_prime_char
  <proof>
instance fps :: ("{idom_prime_char,comm_semiring_1}") idom_prime_char
  <proof>

instance fls :: ("{semiring_prime_char,comm_semiring_1}") semiring_prime_char
  <proof>
instance fls :: ("{comm_semiring_prime_char,comm_semiring_1}") comm_semiring_prime_char
  <proof>
instance fls :: ("{comm_ring_prime_char,comm_semiring_1}") comm_ring_prime_char

```

```

  <proof>
instance fls :: ("{idom_prime_char,comm_semiring_1}") idom_prime_char
  <proof>
instance fls :: ("{field_prime_char,comm_semiring_1}") field_prime_char
  <proof>

```

1.2 Finite fields

```

class finite_field = field_prime_char + finite

lemma finite_fieldI [intro?]:
  assumes "finite (UNIV :: 'a :: field set)"
  shows "OFCLASS('a, finite_field_class)"
  <proof>

class enum_finite_field = finite_field +
  fixes enum_finite_field :: "nat ⇒ 'a"
  assumes enum_finite_field: "enum_finite_field ` {..

```

On a finite field with n elements, taking the n -th power of an element is the identity. This is an obvious consequence of the fact that the multiplicative group of the field is a finite group of order $n - 1$, so $x^{n-1} = 1$ for any non-zero x .

Note that this result is sharp in the sense that the multiplicative group of a finite field is cyclic, i.e. it contains an element of order $n - 1$. (We don't prove this here.)

```

lemma finite_field_power_card_eq_same:
  fixes x :: "'a :: finite_field"
  shows "x ^ CARD('a) = x"

```

<proof>

lemma *finite_field_power_card_power_eq_same*:

fixes *x* :: "'a :: finite_field"

assumes "m = CARD('a) ^ n"

shows "x ^ m = x"

<proof>

typedef (overloaded) 'a :: semiring_1 ring_char = "if CHAR('a) = 0 then UNIV else {0..*<CHAR('a)>*}"

<proof>

lemma *CARD_ring_char [simp]*: "CARD ('a :: semiring_1 ring_char) = CHAR('a)"

<proof>

instance *ring_char* :: (semiring_prime_char) nontriv

<proof>

instance *ring_char* :: (semiring_prime_char) prime_card

<proof>

lemma *to_int_mod_ring_add*:

 "*to_int_mod_ring* (x + y :: 'a :: finite mod_ring) = (*to_int_mod_ring* x + *to_int_mod_ring* y) mod CARD('a)"

<proof>

lemma *to_int_mod_ring_mult*:

 "*to_int_mod_ring* (x * y :: 'a :: finite mod_ring) = (*to_int_mod_ring* x * *to_int_mod_ring* y) mod CARD('a)"

<proof>

lemma *of_nat_mod_CHAR [simp]*: "of_nat (x mod CHAR('a :: semiring_1))

= (of_nat x :: 'a)"

<proof>

lemma *of_int_mod_CHAR [simp]*: "of_int (x mod int CHAR('a :: ring_1))

= (of_int x :: 'a)"

<proof>

lemma (in *vector_space*) *bij_betw_representation*:

assumes [*simp*]: "independent B" "finite B"

shows "bij_betw ($\lambda v. \sum_{b \in B}. \text{scale } (v \ b) \ b$) (B \rightarrow_E UNIV) (span B)"

<proof>

lemma (in *vector_space*) *card_span*:

assumes [*simp*]: "independent B" "finite B"

shows "card (span B) = CARD('a) ^ card B"

<proof>


```
lemma (in zero_neq_one) CARD_neq_1: "CARD('a) ≠ Suc 0"
⟨proof⟩
```

```
theorem CARD_finite_field_is_CHAR_power: "∃ n > 0. CARD('a :: finite_field)
= CHAR('a) ^ n"
⟨proof⟩
```

1.3 The Freshman's Dream in rings of non-zero characteristic

```
lemma (in comm_semiring_1) freshmans_dream:
  fixes x y :: 'a and n :: nat
  assumes "prime CHAR('a)"
  assumes n_def: "n = CHAR('a)"
  shows "(x + y) ^ n = x ^ n + y ^ n"
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma (in comm_semiring_1) freshmans_dream':
  assumes [simp]: "prime CHAR('a)" and "m = CHAR('a) ^ n"
  shows "(x + y :: 'a) ^ m = x ^ m + y ^ m"
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma (in comm_semiring_1) freshmans_dream_sum:
  fixes f :: "'b ⇒ 'a"
  assumes "prime CHAR('a)" and "n = CHAR('a)"
  shows "sum f A ^ n = sum (λi. f i ^ n) A"
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma (in comm_semiring_1) freshmans_dream_sum':
  fixes f :: "'b ⇒ 'a"
  assumes "prime CHAR('a)" "m = CHAR('a) ^ n"
  shows "sum f A ^ m = sum (λi. f i ^ m) A"
⟨proof⟩
```

1.4 The Frobenius endomorphism

```
definition (in semiring_1) frob :: "'a ⇒ 'a" where
  "frob x = x ^ CHAR('a)"
```

```
definition (in semiring_1) inv_frob :: "'a ⇒ 'a" where
  "inv_frob x = (if x ∈ {0, 1} then x else if x ∈ range frob then inv_into
UNIV frob x else x)"
```

```
lemma (in semiring_1) inv_frob_0 [simp]: "inv_frob 0 = 0"
and inv_frob_1 [simp]: "inv_frob 1 = 1"
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma (in semiring_prime_char) frob_0 [simp]: "frob (0 :: 'a) = 0"
⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma (in semiring_1) frob_1 [simp]: "frob 1 = 1"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in comm_semiring_1) frob_mult: "frob (x * y) = frob x * frob (y
  :: 'a)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in comm_semiring_1)
  frob_add: "prime CHAR('a)  $\implies$  frob (x + y :: 'a) = frob x + frob (y
  :: 'a)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in comm_ring_1) frob_uminus: "prime CHAR('a)  $\implies$  frob (-x :: 'a)
  = -frob x"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in comm_ring_prime_char) frob_diff:
  "prime CHAR('a)  $\implies$  frob (x - y :: 'a) = frob x - frob (y :: 'a)"
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation frob_sr: semiring_hom "frob :: 'a :: {comm_semiring_prime_char}
 $\Rightarrow$  'a"
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation frob: ring_hom "frob :: 'a :: {comm_ring_prime_char}  $\Rightarrow$ 
'a"
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation frob: field_hom "frob :: 'a :: {field_prime_char}  $\Rightarrow$  'a"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma frob_mod_ring' [simp]: "(x :: 'a :: prime_card mod_ring) ^ CARD('a)
  = x"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma frob_mod_ring [simp]: "frob (x :: 'a :: prime_card mod_ring) =
  x"
  ⟨proof⟩

context semiring_1_no_zero_divisors
begin

lemma frob_eq_0D:
  "frob (x :: 'a) = 0  $\implies$  x = 0"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma frob_eq_0_iff [simp]:
  "frob (x :: 'a) = 0  $\iff$  x = 0  $\wedge$  CHAR('a) > 0"
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end

context *idom_prime_char*
begin

lemma *inj_frob*: "*inj (frob :: 'a ⇒ 'a)*"
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *frob_eq_frob_iff [simp]*:
"*frob (x :: 'a) = frob y ⟷ x = y*"
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *frob_eq_1_iff [simp]*: "*frob (x :: 'a) = 1 ⟷ x = 1*"
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *inv_frob_frob [simp]*: "*inv_frob (frob (x :: 'a)) = x*"
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *frob_inv_frob [simp]*:
 assumes "*x ∈ range frob*"
 shows "*frob (inv_frob x) = (x :: 'a)*"
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *inv_frob_eqI*: "*frob y = x ⟹ inv_frob x = y*"
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *inv_frob_eq_0_iff [simp]*: "*inv_frob (x :: 'a) = 0 ⟷ x = 0*"
⟨*proof*⟩

end

class *surj_frob* = *field_prime_char* +
 assumes *surj_frob [simp]*: "*surj (frob :: 'a ⇒ 'a)*"
begin

lemma *in_range_frob [simp, intro]*: "*(x :: 'a) ∈ range frob*"
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *inv_frob_eq_iff [simp]*: "*inv_frob (x :: 'a) = y ⟷ frob y = x*"
⟨*proof*⟩

end

The following type class describes a field with a surjective Frobenius endo-

morphism that is effectively computable. This includes all finite fields.

```

class inv_frob = surj_frob +
  fixes inv_frob_code :: "'a ⇒ 'a"
  assumes inv_frob_code: "inv_frob x = inv_frob_code x"

lemmas [code] = inv_frob_code

context finite_field
begin

subclass surj_frob
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma inv_frob_mod_ring [simp]: "inv_frob (x :: 'a :: prime_card mod_ring)
= x"
  ⟨proof⟩

instantiation mod_ring :: (prime_card) inv_frob
begin

definition inv_frob_code_mod_ring :: "'a mod_ring ⇒ 'a mod_ring" where
  "inv_frob_code_mod_ring x = x"

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

1.5 Inverting the Frobenius endomorphism on polynomials

If K is a field of prime characteristic p with a surjective Frobenius endomorphism, every polynomial P with $P' = 0$ has a p -th root.

To see that, let $\phi(a) = a^p$ denote the Frobenius endomorphism of K and its extension to $K[X]$.

If $P' = 0$ for some $P \in K[X]$, then P must be of the form

$$P = a_0 + a_p x^p + a_{2p} x^{2p} + \dots + a_{kp} x^{kp} .$$

If we now set

$$Q := \phi^{-1}(a_0) + \phi^{-1}(a_p)x + \phi^{-1}(a_{2p})x^2 + \dots + \phi^{-1}(a_{kp})x^k$$

we get $\phi(Q) = P$, i.e. Q is the p -th root of $P(x)$.

```
lift_definition inv_frob_poly :: "'a :: field poly ⇒ 'a poly" is
```

```
"λp i. if CHAR('a) = 0 then p i else inv_frob (p (i * CHAR('a)) :: 'a)"
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma coeff_inv_frob_poly [simp]:
  fixes p :: "'a :: field poly"
  assumes "CHAR('a) > 0"
  shows "poly.coeff (inv_frob_poly p) i = inv_frob (poly.coeff p (i *
CHAR('a)))"
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma inv_frob_poly_0 [simp]: "inv_frob_poly 0 = 0"
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma inv_frob_poly_1 [simp]: "inv_frob_poly 1 = 1"
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma degree_inv_frob_poly_le:
  fixes p :: "'a :: field poly"
  assumes "CHAR('a) > 0"
  shows "Polynomial.degree (inv_frob_poly p) ≤ Polynomial.degree p div
CHAR('a)"
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
context
  assumes "SORT_CONSTRAINT('a :: comm_ring_1)"
  assumes prime_char: "prime CHAR('a)"
begin
```

```
lemma poly_power_prime_char_as_sum_of_monoms:
  fixes h :: "'a poly"
  shows "h ^ CHAR('a) = (∑ i ≤ Polynomial.degree h. Polynomial.monom (Polynomial.coeff
h i ^ CHAR('a)) (CHAR('a)*i))"
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma coeff_of_prime_char_power [simp]:
  fixes y :: "'a poly"
  shows "poly.coeff (y ^ CHAR('a)) (i * CHAR('a)) = poly.coeff y i ^ CHAR('a)"
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma coeff_of_prime_char_power':
  fixes y :: "'a poly"
  shows "poly.coeff (y ^ CHAR('a)) i =
  (if CHAR('a) dvd i then poly.coeff y (i div CHAR('a)) ^ CHAR('a)
else 0)"
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```

context
  assumes "SORT_CONSTRAINT('a :: field)"
  assumes pos_char: "CHAR('a) > 0"
begin

interpretation field_prime_char "(/)" inverse "(*)" "1 :: 'a" "(+)" 0 "(-)"
uminus
  rewrites "semiring_1.frob 1 (*) (+) (0 :: 'a) = frob" and
    "semiring_1.inv_frob 1 (*) (+) (0 :: 'a) = inv_frob" and
    "semiring_1.semiring_char 1 (+) 0 TYPE('a) = CHAR('a)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inv_frob_poly_power': "inv_frob_poly (p ^ CHAR('a) :: 'a poly)
= p"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inv_frob_poly_power:
  fixes p :: "'a poly"
  assumes "is_nth_power CHAR('a) p" and "n = CHAR('a)"
  shows "inv_frob_poly p ^ CHAR('a) = p"
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem pderiv_eq_0_imp_nth_power:
  assumes "pderiv (p :: 'a poly) = 0"
  assumes [simp]: "surj (frob :: 'a ⇒ 'a)"
  shows "is_nth_power CHAR('a) p"
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

1.6 Code generation

We now also make this notion of “taking the p -th root of a polynomial” executable. For this, we need an auxiliary function that takes a list $[x_0, \dots, x_m]$ and returns the list of every n -th element, i.e. it throws away all elements except those x_i where i is a multiple of n .

```

fun take_every :: "nat ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list" where
  "take_every _ [] = []"
| "take_every n (x # xs) = x # take_every n (drop (n - 1) xs)"

lemma take_every_0 [simp]: "take_every 0 xs = xs"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma take_every_1 [simp]: "take_every (Suc 0) xs = xs"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma int_length_take_every: "n > 0 ⇒ int (length (take_every n xs))
= ceiling (length xs / n)"

```

<proof>

lemma *length_take_every*:

" $n > 0 \implies \text{length } (\text{take_every } n \text{ } xs) = \text{nat } (\text{ceiling } (\text{length } xs / n))$ "
<proof>

lemma *take_every_nth [simp]*:

" $n > 0 \implies i < \text{length } (\text{take_every } n \text{ } xs) \implies \text{take_every } n \text{ } xs ! i = xs ! (n * i)$ "
<proof>

lemma *coeffs_eq_strip_whileI*:

assumes " $\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } xs \implies \text{Polynomial.coeff } p \ i = xs ! i$ "
assumes " $p \neq 0 \implies \text{length } xs > \text{Polynomial.degree } p$ "
shows " $\text{Polynomial.coeffs } p = \text{strip_while } ((=) 0) \text{ } xs$ "
<proof>

This implements the code equation for *inv_frob_poly*.

lemma *inv_frob_poly_code [code]*:

" $\text{Polynomial.coeffs } (\text{inv_frob_poly } (p :: 'a :: \text{field_prime_char } poly))$
=
(if $\text{CHAR}('a) = 0$ then $\text{Polynomial.coeffs } p$ else
map $\text{inv_frob } (\text{strip_while } ((=) 0) (\text{take_every } \text{CHAR}('a) (\text{Polynomial.coeffs } p)))$)"
(is " $_ = \text{If } _ \text{ } ?rhs$ ")
<proof>

1.7 Perfect fields

We now introduce perfect fields. The textbook definition of a perfect field is that every irreducible polynomial is separable, i.e. if a polynomial P has no non-trivial divisors then $\text{gcd}(P, P') = 0$.

For technical reasons, this is somewhat difficult to express in Isabelle/HOL's typeclass system. We therefore use the following much simpler equivalent definition (and prove equivalence later): a field is perfect if it either has characteristic 0 or its Frobenius endomorphism is surjective.

class *perfect_field* = *field* +

assumes *perfect_field*: " $\text{CHAR}('a) = 0 \vee \text{surj } (\text{frob} :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a)$ "

context *field_char_0*

begin

subclass *perfect_field*

<proof>

end

context *surj_frob*

begin

subclass *perfect_field*

```

    <proof>
  end

theorem irreducible_imp_pderiv_nonzero:
  assumes "irreducible (p :: 'a :: perfect_field poly)"
  shows   "pderiv p  $\neq$  0"
  <proof>

corollary irreducible_imp_separable:
  assumes "irreducible (p :: 'a :: perfect_field poly)"
  shows   "coprime p (pderiv p)"
  <proof>

end

```

1.8 Algebraically closed fields are perfect

```

theory Perfect_Field_Algebraically_Closed
  imports Perfect_Fields "Formal_Puiseux_Series.Formal_Puiseux_Series"
begin

lemma (in alg_closed_field) nth_root_exists:
  assumes "n > 0"
  shows   " $\exists y. y^n = (x :: 'a)$ "
  <proof>

context alg_closed_field
begin

lemma alg_closed_surj_frob:
  assumes "CHAR('a) > 0"
  shows   "surj (frob :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a)"
  <proof>

sublocale perfect_field
  <proof>

end

lemma fpxs_const_eq_0_iff [simp]: "fpxs_const x = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  x = 0"
  <proof>

lemma semiring_char_fpxs [simp]: "CHAR('a :: comm_semiring_1 fpxs) =

```



```

CHAR('a)"
  ⟨proof⟩

instance fpxs :: ("{semiring_prime_char,comm_semiring_1}") semiring_prime_char
  ⟨proof⟩
instance fpxs :: ("{comm_semiring_prime_char,comm_semiring_1}") comm_semiring_prime_char
  ⟨proof⟩
instance fpxs :: ("{comm_ring_prime_char,comm_semiring_1}") comm_ring_prime_char
  ⟨proof⟩
instance fpxs :: ("{idom_prime_char,comm_semiring_1}") idom_prime_char
  ⟨proof⟩
instance fpxs :: ("field_prime_char") field_prime_char
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

2 The algebraic closure type

```

theory Algebraic_Closure_Type
imports
  "HOL-Algebra.Algebra"
  "Formal_Puiseux_Series.Formal_Puiseux_Series"
  "HOL-Computational_Algebra.Field_as_Ring"
begin

definition (in ring_1) ring_of_type_algebra :: "'a ring"
  where "ring_of_type_algebra = (|
    carrier = UNIV, monoid.mult = (λx y. x * y),
    one = 1,
    ring.zero = 0,
    add = (λ x y. x + y) |)"

lemma (in comm_ring_1) ring_from_type_algebra [intro]:
  "ring (ring_of_type_algebra :: 'a ring)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in comm_ring_1) cring_from_type_algebra [intro]:
  "cring (ring_of_type_algebra :: 'a ring)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Fields.field) field_from_type_algebra [intro]:
  "field (ring_of_type_algebra :: 'a ring)"
  ⟨proof⟩

2.1 Definition

typedef (overloaded) 'a :: field alg_closure =
  "carrier (field.alg_closure (ring_of_type_algebra :: 'a :: field ring))"
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

setup_lifting type_definition_alg_closure

instantiation alg_closure :: (field) field
begin

context
  fixes L K
  defines "K  $\equiv$  (ring_of_type_algebra :: 'a :: field ring)"
  defines "L  $\equiv$  field.alg_closure K"
begin

interpretation K: field K
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

interpretation algebraic_closure L "range K.indexed_const"
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lift_definition zero_alg_closure :: "'a alg_closure" is "ring.zero L"
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lift_definition one_alg_closure :: "'a alg_closure" is "monoid.one L"
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lift_definition plus_alg_closure :: "'a alg_closure  $\Rightarrow$  'a alg_closure  $\Rightarrow$ 
'a alg_closure"
  is "ring.add L"
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lift_definition minus_alg_closure :: "'a alg_closure  $\Rightarrow$  'a alg_closure  $\Rightarrow$ 
'a alg_closure"
  is "a_minus L"
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lift_definition times_alg_closure :: "'a alg_closure  $\Rightarrow$  'a alg_closure  $\Rightarrow$ 
'a alg_closure"
  is "monoid.mult L"
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lift_definition uminus_alg_closure :: "'a alg_closure  $\Rightarrow$  'a alg_closure"
  is "a_inv L"
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lift_definition inverse_alg_closure :: "'a alg_closure  $\Rightarrow$  'a alg_closure"
  is " $\lambda$ x. if x = ring.zero L then ring.zero L else m_inv L x"
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lift_definition divide_alg_closure :: "'a alg_closure  $\Rightarrow$  'a alg_closure
 $\Rightarrow$  'a alg_closure"

```

```

    is "λx y. if y = ring.zero L then ring.zero L else monoid.mult L x (m_inv
L y)"
    ⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

```

```

instance ⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

```

2.2 The algebraic closure is algebraically closed

```

instance alg_closure :: (field) alg_closed_field
⟨proof⟩

```

2.3 Converting between the base field and the closure

```

context

```

```

  fixes L K

```

```

  defines "K ≡ (ring_of_type_algebra :: 'a :: field ring)"

```

```

  defines "L ≡ field.alg_closure K"

```

```

begin

```

```

interpretation K: field K

```

```

  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

interpretation algebraic_closure L "range K.indexed_const"

```

```

  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma alg_closure_hom: "K.indexed_const ∈ Ring.ring_hom K L"

```

```

  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lift_definition to_ac :: "'a :: field ⇒ 'a alg_closure"

```

```

  is "ring.indexed_const K"

```

```

  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma to_ac_0 [simp]: "to_ac (0 :: 'a) = 0"

```

```

  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma to_ac_1 [simp]: "to_ac (1 :: 'a) = 1"

```

```

  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma to_ac_add [simp]: "to_ac (x + y :: 'a) = to_ac x + to_ac y"

```

```

  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma to_ac_minus [simp]: "to_ac (-x :: 'a) = -to_ac x"

```

```

  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma to_ac_diff [simp]: "to_ac (x - y :: 'a) = to_ac x - to_ac y"

```

```

  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma to_ac_mult [simp]: "to_ac (x * y :: 'a) = to_ac x * to_ac y"
  <proof>

lemma to_ac_inverse [simp]: "to_ac (inverse x :: 'a) = inverse (to_ac
x)"
  <proof>

lemma to_ac_divide [simp]: "to_ac (x / y :: 'a) = to_ac x / to_ac y"
  <proof>

lemma to_ac_power [simp]: "to_ac (x ^ n) = to_ac x ^ n"
  <proof>

lemma to_ac_of_nat [simp]: "to_ac (of_nat n) = of_nat n"
  <proof>

lemma to_ac_of_int [simp]: "to_ac (of_int n) = of_int n"
  <proof>

lemma to_ac_numeral [simp]: "to_ac (numeral n) = numeral n"
  <proof>

lemma to_ac_sum: "to_ac (∑ x∈A. f x) = (∑ x∈A. to_ac (f x))"
  <proof>

lemma to_ac_prod: "to_ac (∏ x∈A. f x) = (∏ x∈A. to_ac (f x))"
  <proof>

lemma to_ac_sum_list: "to_ac (sum_list xs) = (∑ x←xs. to_ac x)"
  <proof>

lemma to_ac_prod_list: "to_ac (prod_list xs) = (∏ x←xs. to_ac x)"
  <proof>

lemma to_ac_sum_mset: "to_ac (sum_mset xs) = (∑ x∈#xs. to_ac x)"
  <proof>

lemma to_ac_prod_mset: "to_ac (prod_mset xs) = (∏ x∈#xs. to_ac x)"
  <proof>

end

lemma (in ring) indexed_const_eq_iff [simp]:
  "indexed_const x = (indexed_const y :: 'c multiset ⇒ 'a) ⟷ x = y"
  <proof>

lemma inj_to_ac: "inj to_ac"
  <proof>

```

```
lemma to_ac_eq_iff [simp]: "to_ac x = to_ac y  $\longleftrightarrow$  x = y"
  <proof>
```

```
lemma to_ac_eq_0_iff [simp]: "to_ac x = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  x = 0"
  and to_ac_eq_0_iff' [simp]: "0 = to_ac x  $\longleftrightarrow$  x = 0"
  and to_ac_eq_1_iff [simp]: "to_ac x = 1  $\longleftrightarrow$  x = 1"
  and to_ac_eq_1_iff' [simp]: "1 = to_ac x  $\longleftrightarrow$  x = 1"
  <proof>
```

```
definition of_ac :: "'a :: field alg_closure  $\Rightarrow$  'a" where
  "of_ac x = (if x  $\in$  range to_ac then inv_into UNIV to_ac x else 0)"
```

```
lemma of_ac_eqI: "to_ac x = y  $\implies$  of_ac y = x"
  <proof>
```

```
lemma of_ac_0 [simp]: "of_ac 0 = 0"
  and of_ac_1 [simp]: "of_ac 1 = 1"
  <proof>
```

```
lemma of_ac_to_ac [simp]: "of_ac (to_ac x) = x"
  <proof>
```

```
lemma to_ac_of_ac: "x  $\in$  range to_ac  $\implies$  to_ac (of_ac x) = x"
  <proof>
```

```
lemma CHAR_alg_closure [simp]:
  "CHAR('a :: field alg_closure) = CHAR('a)"
  <proof>
```

```
instance alg_closure :: (field_char_0) field_char_0
  <proof>
```

```
bundle alg_closure_syntax
begin
  notation to_ac ("↑" [1000] 999)
  notation of_ac ("↓" [1000] 999)
end
```

```
bundle alg_closure_syntax'
begin
  notation (output) to_ac ("_")
  notation (output) of_ac ("_")
end
```

2.4 The algebraic closure is an algebraic extension

The algebraic closure is an algebraic extension, i.e. every element in it is a root of some non-zero polynomial in the base field.

```
theorem alg_closure_algebraic:
  fixes x :: "'a :: field alg_closure"
  obtains p :: "'a poly" where "p ≠ 0" "poly (map_poly to_ac p) x = 0"
⟨proof⟩
```

```
instantiation alg_closure :: (field)
  "{unique_euclidean_ring, normalization_euclidean_semiring, normalization_semidom_multipli
begin
```

```
definition [simp]: "normalize_alg_closure = (normalize_field :: 'a alg_closure
⇒ _)"
```

```
definition [simp]: "unit_factor_alg_closure = (unit_factor_field :: 'a
alg_closure ⇒ _)"
```

```
definition [simp]: "modulo_alg_closure = (mod_field :: 'a alg_closure ⇒
_)"
```

```
definition [simp]: "euclidean_size_alg_closure = (euclidean_size_field
:: 'a alg_closure ⇒ _)"
```

```
definition [simp]: "division_segment (x :: 'a alg_closure) = 1"
```

```
instance
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```
instantiation alg_closure :: (field) euclidean_ring_gcd
begin
```

```
definition gcd_alg_closure :: "'a alg_closure ⇒ 'a alg_closure ⇒ 'a alg_closure"
where
```

```
  "gcd_alg_closure = Euclidean_Algorithm.gcd"
```

```
definition lcm_alg_closure :: "'a alg_closure ⇒ 'a alg_closure ⇒ 'a alg_closure"
where
```

```
  "lcm_alg_closure = Euclidean_Algorithm.lcm"
```

```
definition Gcd_alg_closure :: "'a alg_closure set ⇒ 'a alg_closure" where
```

```
  "Gcd_alg_closure = Euclidean_Algorithm.Gcd"
```

```
definition Lcm_alg_closure :: "'a alg_closure set ⇒ 'a alg_closure" where
```

```
  "Lcm_alg_closure = Euclidean_Algorithm.Lcm"
```

```
instance ⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```
instance alg_closure :: (field) semiring_gcd_mult_normalize
  ⟨proof⟩
```

end

2.5 Alternative definition of perfect fields

```

theory Perfect_Field_Altdef
imports
  Algebraic_Closure_Type
  Perfect_Fields
  Perfect_Field_Algebraically_Closed
  "HOL-Computational_Algebra.Field_as_Ring"
begin

instance poly :: ("{field, normalization_euclidean_semiring, factorial_ring_gcd,
  semiring_gcd_mult_normalize}") factorial_semiring_multiplicative
  <proof>

```

In the following, we will show that our definition of perfect fields is equivalent to the usual textbook one (for example [1]). That is: a field in which every irreducible polynomial is separable (or, equivalently, has non-zero derivative) either has characteristic 0 or a surjective Frobenius endomorphism.

The proof works like this:

Let's call our field K with prime characteristic p . Suppose there were some $c \in K$ that is not a p -th root. The polynomial $P := X^p - c$ in $K[X]$ clearly has a zero derivative and is therefore not separable. By our assumption, it must then have a monic non-trivial factor $Q \in K[X]$.

Let L be some field extension of K where c does have a p -th root α (in our case, we choose L to be the algebraic closure of K).

Clearly, Q is also a non-trivial factor of P in L . However, we also have $P = X^p - c = X^p - \alpha^p = (X - \alpha)^p$, so we must have $Q = (X - \alpha)^m$ for some $0 \leq m < p$ since $X - \alpha$ is prime.

However, the coefficient of X^{m-1} in $(X - \alpha)^m$ is $-m\alpha$, and since $Q \in K[X]$ we must have $-m\alpha \in K$ and therefore $\alpha \in K$.

```

theorem perfect_field_alt:
  assumes " $\wedge p :: 'a :: field\_gcd\_poly. Factorial\_Ring.irreducible\ p \implies$ 
   $pderiv\ p \neq 0$ "
  shows " $CHAR('a) = 0 \vee surj\ (frob :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a)$ "
  <proof>

```

```

corollary perfect_field_alt':
  assumes " $\wedge p :: 'a :: field\_gcd\_poly. Factorial\_Ring.irreducible\ p \implies$ 
   $Rings.coprime\ p\ (pderiv\ p)$ "
  shows " $CHAR('a) = 0 \vee surj\ (frob :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a)$ "
  <proof>

```

end

References

- [1] K. Conrad. Perfect fields. Online at <https://kconrad.math.uconn.edu/blurbs/galoistheory/perfect.pdf>, 2021. Course notes, University of Connecticut.
- [2] Wikipedia contributors. Perfect field — Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, 2023. [Online; accessed 3-November-2023].