Parikh's theorem

Fabian Lehr

July 7, 2025

Abstract

In formal language theory, the $Parikh\ image$ of a language L is the set of multisets of the words in L: the order of letters becomes irrelevant, only the number of occurrences is relevant. Parikh's Theorem states that the Parikh image of a context-free language is the same as the Parikh image of some regular language. This formalization closely follows Pilling's proof [1]: It describes a context-free language as a minimal solution to a system of equations induced by a context free grammar for this language. Then it is shown that there exists a minimal solution to this system which is regular, such that the regular solution and the context-free language have the same Parikh image.

Contents

1	Reg	gular language expressions	2
	1.1	Definition	2
	1.2	Basic lemmas	
	1.3	Continuity	4
	1.4	Regular language expressions which evaluate to regular lan-	
		guages	6
	1.5	Constant regular language expressions	8
2	Parikh images		
	2.1	Definition and basic lemmas	8
	2.2	Monotonicity properties	
	2.3	$\Psi (A \cup B)^* = \Psi A^*B^* \dots \dots$	10
	2.4	$\Psi(E^*F)^* = \Psi(\{\varepsilon\} \cup E^*F^*F) \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	
	2.5	A homogeneous-like property for regular language expressions	14
	2.6	Extension of Arden's lemma to Parikh images	16
	2.7	Equivalence class of languages with identical Parikh image	16
3	Context free grammars and systems of equations		
	3.1	Introduction of systems of equations	18
	3.2	Partial solutions of systems of equations	19

	3.3	CFLs as minimal solutions to systems of equations	20
	3.4	Relation between the two types of systems of equations $$	27
4	Pill	ing's proof of Parikh's theorem	28
	4.1	Special representation of regular language expressions	29
	4.2	Minimal solution for a single equation	33
	4.3	Minimal solution of the whole system of equations	36
	4.4	Parikh's theorem	40

1 Regular language expressions

```
theory Reg_Lang_Exp
imports
Regular—Sets.Regular_Exp
begin
```

datatype 'a rlexp = Var nat

1.1 Definition

We introduce regular language expressions which will be the building blocks of the systems of equations defined later. Regular language expressions can contain both constant languages and variable languages where variables are natural numbers for simplicity. Given a valuation, i.e. an instantiation of each variable with a language, the regular language expression can be evaluated, yielding a language.

```
| Const 'a lang
                  Union 'a rlexp 'a rlexp
                  Concat 'a rlexp 'a rlexp
                 Star 'a rlexp
type\_synonym 'a valuation = nat \Rightarrow 'a lang
primrec eval :: 'a rlexp \Rightarrow 'a valuation \Rightarrow 'a lang where
  eval (Var n) v = v n
  eval (Const \ l) = l \ |
  eval (Union f g) v = eval f v \cup eval g v \mid
  eval (Concat f g) v = eval f v @@ eval g v |
  eval (Star f) v = star (eval f v)
primrec vars :: 'a \ rlexp \Rightarrow nat \ set \ where
  vars (Var n) = \{n\} \mid
  vars\ (Const\ \_) = \{\}\ |
  vars (Union f g) = vars f \cup vars g
  vars (Concat f g) = vars f \cup vars g
  vars (Star f) = vars f
```

Given some regular language expression, substituting each occurrence

of a variable i by the regular language expression s i yields the following regular language expression:

```
primrec subst :: (nat \Rightarrow 'a \ rlexp) \Rightarrow 'a \ rlexp \Rightarrow 'a \ rlexp where subst s (Var \ n) = s \ n \mid subst _ (Const \ l) = Const \ l \mid subst s (Union \ f \ g) = Union \ (subst \ s \ f) \ (subst \ s \ g) \mid subst s (Concat \ f \ g) = Concat \ (subst \ s \ f) \ (subst \ s \ g) \mid subst s (Star \ f) = Star \ (subst \ s \ f)
```

1.2 Basic lemmas

```
lemma substitution lemma:
 assumes \forall i. \ v' \ i = eval \ (upd \ i) \ v
 shows eval (subst upd f) v = eval f v'
 by (induction f rule: rlexp.induct) (use assms in auto)
{\bf lemma} \ substitution\_lemma\_upd:
  eval\ (subst\ (Var(x:=f'))\ f)\ v=eval\ f\ (v(x:=eval\ f'\ v))
 using substitution\_lemma[of\ v(x := eval\ f'\ v)] by force
lemma subst_id: eval (subst_ivar_f) v = eval_f v
  using substitution\_lemma[of v] by simp
lemma vars\_subst: vars (subst upd f) = (\bigcup x \in vars f. vars (upd x))
 by (induction f) auto
lemma vars\_subst\_upd\_upper: vars (subst (Var(x := fx)) f) \subseteq vars f - \{x\} \cup f
vars fx
proof
 \mathbf{fix} \ y
 let ?upd = Var(x := fx)
 assume y \in vars (subst ?upd f)
  then obtain y' where y' \in vars \ f \land y \in vars \ (?upd \ y') using vars\_subst by
 then show y \in vars f - \{x\} \cup vars fx by (cases x = y') auto
qed
lemma eval vars:
 assumes \forall i \in vars f. \ s \ i = s' \ i
 shows eval f s = eval f s'
 using assms by (induction f) auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ eval\_vars\_subst:
 assumes \forall i \in vars f. \ v \ i = eval \ (upd \ i) \ v
 shows eval (subst upd f) v = eval f v
proof -
 let ?v' = \lambda i. if i \in vars f then v i else eval (upd i) v
 let ?v'' = \lambda i. eval (upd i) v
```

```
have v'\_v'': ?v' i = ?v'' i for i using assms by simp
  then have v\_v'': \forall i. ?v'' i = eval (upd i) v by simp
  from assms have eval f v = eval f ?v' using eval\_vars[of f] by simp
  also have \dots = eval (subst upd f) v
    using assms substitution lemma[OF v_v'', of f] by (simp add: eval_vars)
  finally show ?thesis by simp
\mathbf{qed}
     eval f is monotone:
lemma rlexp_mono:
  assumes \forall i \in vars f. \ v \ i \subseteq v' \ i
  shows eval f v \subseteq eval f v'
using assms proof (induction f rule: rlexp.induct)
  case (Star x)
  then show ?case
     by (smt (verit, best) eval.simps(5) in star iff concat order trans subsetI
vars.simps(5)
qed fastforce+
1.3
         Continuity
lemma lang_pow_mono:
  fixes A :: 'a \ lang
  assumes A \subseteq B
 shows A \curvearrowright n \subseteq B \curvearrowright n
 by (induction n) (use assms conc_mono[of A B] in auto)
lemma rlexp_cont_aux1:
  assumes \forall i. \ v \ i \leq v \ (Suc \ i)
      and w \in (\bigcup i. \ eval \ f \ (v \ i))
    shows w \in eval f(\lambda x. \bigcup i. \ v \ i \ x)
proof -
  from assms(2) obtain n where n\_intro: w \in eval f(v n) by auto
 have v \ n \ x \subseteq (\bigcup i. \ v \ i \ x) for x \ \text{by} \ auto
  with n_intro show ?thesis
    using rlexp\_mono[where v=v \ n and v'=\lambda x. \bigcup i. \ v \ i \ x] by auto
qed
lemma langpow_Union_eval:
  assumes \forall i. \ v \ i \leq v \ (Suc \ i)
      and w \in (\bigcup i. \ eval \ f \ (v \ i)) \cap n
   shows w \in (\bigcup i. \ eval \ f \ (v \ i) \frown n)
using assms(2) proof (induction n arbitrary: w)
  case \theta
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc\ n)
  then obtain u u' where w_decomp: w = u@u' and
  u \in (\bigcup i. \ eval \ f \ (v \ i)) \land u' \in (\bigcup i. \ eval \ f \ (v \ i)) \curvearrowright n \ \mathbf{by} \ fastforce with Suc \ \mathbf{have} \ u \in (\bigcup i. \ eval \ f \ (v \ i)) \land u' \in (\bigcup i. \ eval \ f \ (v \ i) \curvearrowright n) \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
```

```
then obtain i j where i_intro: u \in eval\ f\ (v\ i) and j_intro: u' \in eval\ f\ (v\ j)
^{\sim} n by blast
 let ?m = max \ i \ j
  from i intro Suc.prems(1) assms(1) rlexp mono have 1: u \in eval f(v?m)
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{le\_fun\_def}\ \mathit{lift\_Suc\_mono\_le}\ \mathit{max.cobounded1}\ \mathit{subset}\ \ \mathit{eq})
  from Suc.prems(1) assms (1) rlexp\_mono have eval f (v j) \subseteq eval f (v ?m)
   by (metis le_fun_def lift_Suc_mono_le max.cobounded2)
  with j_intro lang_pow_mono have 2: u' \in eval f(v?m) \cap n by auto
  from 1.2 show ?case using w_decomp by auto
\mathbf{qed}
lemma rlexp_cont_aux2:
 assumes \forall i. \ v \ i \leq v \ (Suc \ i)
     and w \in eval f(\lambda x. \bigcup i. \ v \ i \ x)
   shows w \in (\bigcup i. \ eval \ f \ (v \ i))
using assms(2) proof (induction f arbitrary: w rule: rlexp.induct)
 case (Concat f g)
  then obtain u u' where w_decomp: w = u@u'
   and u \in eval f(\lambda x. \bigcup i. v i x) \land u' \in eval g(\lambda x. \bigcup i. v i x) by auto
  with Concat have u \in (\bigcup i. \ eval \ f\ (v\ i)) \land u' \in (\bigcup i. \ eval \ g\ (v\ i)) by auto
  then obtain i j where i\_intro: u \in eval f(v i) and j\_intro: u' \in eval g(v j)
by blast
 let ?m = max \ i \ j
 from i\_intro\ Concat.prems(1)\ assms(1)\ rlexp\_mono\ \mathbf{have}\ u \in eval\ f\ (v\ ?m)
   by (metis le_fun_def lift_Suc_mono_le max.cobounded1 subset_eq)
 moreover from j\_intro\ Concat.prems(1)\ assms(1)\ rlexp\_mono\ have\ u' \in eval
   by (metis le fun def lift Suc mono le max.cobounded2 subset eq)
  ultimately show ?case using w_decomp by auto
next
  case (Star f)
  then obtain n where n_intro: w \in (eval\ f\ (\lambda x. \bigcup i.\ v\ i\ x)) \cap n
   using eval.simps(5) star\_pow by blast
 with Star have w \in (\bigcup i. \ eval \ f\ (v\ i)) \curvearrowright n \ using \ lang\_pow\_mono \ by \ blast
 with Star. prems assms have w \in (\bigcup i. eval f(v i) \cap n) using langeow_Union_eval
by auto
 then show ?case by (auto simp add: star_def)
qed fastforce+
    Now we prove that eval f is continuous. This result is not needed in the
further proof, but it is interesting anyway:
lemma rlexp_cont:
 assumes \forall i. \ v \ i \leq v \ (Suc \ i)
 shows eval f(\lambda x. \bigcup i. \ v \ i \ x) = (\bigcup i. \ eval \ f(v \ i))
proof
 from assms show eval f(\lambda x. \bigcup i. v i. x) \subseteq (\bigcup i. eval f(v i)) using rlexp_cont_aux2
by auto
 from assms show (\bigcup i.\ eval\ f\ (v\ i)) \subseteq eval\ f\ (\lambda x. \bigcup i.\ v\ i\ x) using rlexp\_cont\_aux1
by blast
```

1.4 Regular language expressions which evaluate to regular languages

Evaluating regular language expressions can yield non-regular languages even if the valuation maps each variable to a regular language. This is because Const may introduce non-regular languages. We therefore define the following predicate which guarantees that a regular language expression f yields a regular language if the valuation maps all variables occurring in f to some regular language. This is achieved by only allowing regular languages as constants. However, note that this predicate is just an underapproximation, i.e. there exist regular language expressions which do not satisfy this predicate but evaluate to regular languages anyway.

```
fun reg\_eval :: 'a \ rlexp \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where}
reg\_eval \ (Var\_) \longleftrightarrow True \ |
reg\_eval \ (Const \ l) \longleftrightarrow regular\_lang \ l \ |
reg\_eval \ (Union \ f \ g) \longleftrightarrow reg\_eval \ f \land reg\_eval \ g \ |
reg\_eval \ (Concat \ f \ g) \longleftrightarrow reg\_eval \ f \land reg\_eval \ g \ |
reg\_eval \ (Star \ f) \longleftrightarrow reg\_eval \ f
\mathbf{lemma} \ emptyset\_regular : \ reg\_eval \ (Const \ \{\})
\mathbf{using} \ lang.simps(1) \ reg\_eval \ (Const \ \{\})
\mathbf{using} \ lang.simps(2) \ reg\_eval \ (Const \ \{[]\})
\mathbf{using} \ lang.simps(2) \ reg\_eval.simps(2) \ \mathbf{by} \ blast
```

If the valuation v maps all variables occurring in the regular language expression f to a regular language, then evaluating f again yields a regular language:

```
lemma reg_eval_regular:
 assumes reg\_eval f
     and \bigwedge n. n \in vars \ f \Longrightarrow regular \ lang \ (v \ n)
   shows regular lang (eval f(v))
using assms proof (induction f rule: req_eval.induct)
  case (3 f g)
 then obtain r1 r2 where Regular_Exp.lang r1 = eval f v \wedge Regular\_Exp.lang
r2 = eval \ q \ v \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
 then have Regular\_Exp.lang (Plus \ r1 \ r2) = eval (Union \ f \ g) v \ by \ simp
 then show ?case by blast
next
 case (4 f g)
  then obtain r1 r2 where Regular Exp.lang r1 = eval f v \wedge Regular Exp.lang
r2 = eval \ g \ v \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
  then have Regular_Exp.lang (Times r1 r2) = eval (Concat f g) v by simp
  then show ?case by blast
```

```
next
 case (5 f)
 then obtain r where Regular\_Exp.lang r = eval f v by auto
 then have Regular Exp.lang (Regular Exp.Star r) = eval (Star f) v by simp
  then show ?case by blast
qed simp_all
    A req eval regular language expression stays req eval if all variables are
substituted by req eval regular language expressions:
\mathbf{lemma}\ subst\_reg\_eval :
 assumes reg\_eval f
     and \forall x \in vars f. reg\_eval (upd x)
   \mathbf{shows}\ \mathit{reg}\_\mathit{eval}\ (\mathit{subst}\ \mathit{upd}\ f)
 using assms by (induction f rule: reg_eval.induct) simp_all
lemma subst req eval update:
 assumes reg\_eval f
     and reg\_eval\ g
   shows reg\_eval (subst (Var(x := g)) f)
 using assms subst_reg_eval fun_upd_def by (metis reg_eval.simps(1))
    For any finite union of reg_eval regular language expressions exists a
reg_eval regular language expression:
lemma finite_Union_regular_aux:
 \forall f \in set \ fs. \ reg\_eval \ f \Longrightarrow \exists \ g. \ reg\_eval \ g \land \bigcup (vars \ `set \ fs) = vars \ g
                                  \land (\forall v. (\bigcup f \in set fs. eval f v) = eval g v)
proof (induction fs)
 case Nil
 then show ?case using emptyset_regular by fastforce
next
 case (Cons f1 fs)
 then obtain g where *: reg\_eval\ g \land \bigcup (vars\ `set\ fs) = vars\ g
                       \land (\forall v. (\bigcup f \in set fs. eval f v) = eval g v) by auto
 let ?g' = Union f1 g
 from Cons.prems * have reg_eval ?g' \land \bigcup (vars `set (f1 \# fs)) = vars ?g'
     \land (\forall v. (\bigcup f \in set (f1 \# fs). eval f v) = eval ?g' v) by simp
  then show ?case by blast
qed
lemma finite_Union_regular:
 assumes finite F
     and \forall f \in F. req eval f
   shows \exists g. reg\_eval \ g \land \bigcup (vars `F) = vars \ g \land (\forall v. (\bigcup f \in F. eval \ f \ v) = eval
g(v)
  using assms finite_Union_regular_aux finite_list by metis
```

1.5 Constant regular language expressions

We call a regular language expression constant if it contains no variables. A constant regular language expression always evaluates to the same language, independent on the valuation. Thus, if the constant regular language expression is reg_eval , then it evaluates to some regular language, independent on the valuation.

```
abbreviation const\_rlexp :: 'a \ rlexp \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where} const\_rlexp \ f \equiv vars \ f = \{\}
\mathbf{lemma} \ const\_rlexp\_lang: \ const\_rlexp \ f \Longrightarrow \exists \ l. \ \forall \ v. \ eval \ f \ v = l
\mathbf{by} \ (induction \ f) \ auto
\mathbf{lemma} \ const\_rlexp\_regular\_lang:
\mathbf{assumes} \ const\_rlexp \ f
\mathbf{and} \ reg\_eval \ f
\mathbf{shows} \ \exists \ l. \ regular\_lang \ l \ \land \ (\forall \ v. \ eval \ f \ v = l)
\mathbf{using} \ assms \ const\_rlexp\_lang \ reg\_eval\_regular \ \mathbf{by} \ fastforce
```

2 Parikh images

end

```
theory Parikh_Img
imports
Reg_Lang_Exp
HOL-Library.Multiset
begin
```

2.1 Definition and basic lemmas

The Parikh vector of a finite word describes how often each symbol of the alphabet occurs in the word. We represent parikh vectors by multisets. The Parikh image of a language L, denoted by Ψ L, is then the set of Parikh vectors of all words in the language.

```
definition parikh\_img :: 'a \ lang \Rightarrow 'a \ multiset \ set \ where parikh\_img \ L \equiv mset `L

notation parikh\_img \ (\Psi)

lemma parikh\_img\_Un \ [simp] : \Psi \ (L1 \cup L2) = \Psi \ L1 \cup \Psi \ L2

by (auto \ simp \ add : \ parikh\_img\_def)

lemma parikh\_img\_UNION : \Psi \ (\bigcup \ (L \ `I)) = \bigcup \ ((\lambda i. \ \Psi \ (L \ i)) \ `I)

by (auto \ simp \ add : \ parikh\_img\_def)

lemma parikh\_img\_conc : \Psi \ (L1 \ @@ \ L2) = \{ \ m1 + m2 \ | \ m1 \ m2 . \ m1 \in \Psi \ L1 \land m2 \in \Psi \ L2 \}
```

```
unfolding parikh_img_def by force
lemma parikh_img_commut: \Psi (L1 @@ L2) = \Psi (L2 @@ L1)
proof -
 have \{m1 + m2 \mid m1 \ m2. \ m1 \in \Psi \ L1 \land m2 \in \Psi \ L2 \} =
       \{ m2 + m1 \mid m1 \ m2 . \ m1 \in \Psi \ L1 \land m2 \in \Psi \ L2 \}
   using add.commute by blast
  then show ?thesis
   using parikh img_conc[of L1] parikh img_conc[of L2] by auto
qed
2.2
       Monotonicity properties
lemma parikh_img_mono: A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow \Psi \ A \subseteq \Psi \ B
 unfolding parikh_img_def by fast
lemma parikh\_conc\_right\_subset: \Psi \ A \subseteq \Psi \ B \Longrightarrow \Psi \ (A @@ \ C) \subseteq \Psi \ (B @@ \ C)
 by (auto simp add: parikh imq conc)
lemma parikh_conc_left_subset: \Psi A \subseteq \Psi B \Longrightarrow \Psi (C @@ A) \subseteq \Psi (C @@ B)
 by (auto simp add: parikh_img_conc)
lemma parikh_conc_subset:
 assumes \Psi A \subseteq \Psi C
     and \Psi B \subseteq \Psi D
   shows \Psi (A @@ B) \subseteq \Psi (C @@ D)
 using assms parikh conc right subset parikh conc left subset by blast
lemma parikh\_conc\_right: \Psi A = \Psi B \Longrightarrow \Psi (A @@ C) = \Psi (B @@ C)
 by (auto simp add: parikh imq conc)
lemma parikh\_conc\_left: \Psi A = \Psi B \Longrightarrow \Psi (C @@ A) = \Psi (C @@ B)
 by (auto simp add: parikh_img_conc)
lemma parikh\_pow\_mono: \Psi A \subseteq \Psi B \Longrightarrow \Psi (A ^ n) \subseteq \Psi (B ^ n)
 by (induction n) (auto simp add: parikh_img_conc)
lemma parikh star mono:
 assumes \Psi A \subseteq \Psi B
 shows \Psi (star A) \subseteq \Psi (star B)
proof
 \mathbf{fix} \ v
 assume v \in \Psi (star A)
 then obtain w where w_intro: mset w = v \wedge w \in star A unfolding parikh\_img\_def
by blast
 then obtain n where w \in A \cap n unfolding star\_def by blast
 then have v \in \Psi (A ^{\frown} n) using w_intro unfolding parith_img_def by blast
 with assms have v \in \Psi (B ^{\sim} n) using parikh_pow_mono by blast
```

```
then show v \in \Psi (star B) unfolding star_def using parikh_img_UNION by
fast force
qed
lemma parikh star mono eq:
 assumes \Psi A = \Psi B
 shows \Psi (star A) = \Psi (star B)
 using parikh star mono by (metis Orderings.order_eq_iff assms)
lemma parikh_img_subst_mono:
 assumes \forall i. \ \Psi \ (eval \ (A \ i) \ v) \subseteq \Psi \ (eval \ (B \ i) \ v)
 shows \Psi (eval (subst A f) v) \subseteq \Psi (eval (subst B f) v)
proof (induction f)
 case (Concat f1 f2)
 then have \Psi (eval (subst A f1) v @@ eval (subst A f2) v)
            \subseteq \Psi (eval (subst B f1) v @@ eval (subst B f2) v)
   using parikh_conc_subset by blast
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Star f)
 then have \Psi (star (eval (subst A f) v)) \subseteq \Psi (star (eval (subst B f) v))
   using parikh_star_mono by blast
  then show ?case by simp
qed (use assms(1) in auto)
lemma parikh img subst mono upd:
 assumes \Psi (eval A v) \subseteq \Psi (eval B v)
 shows \Psi (eval (subst (Var(x := A)) f) v) \subseteq \Psi (eval (subst (Var(x := B)) f) v)
 using parikh\_img\_subst\_mono[of\ Var(x:=A)\ v\ Var(x:=B)]\ assms\ by\ auto
lemma rlexp_mono_parikh:
 assumes \forall i \in vars f. \ \Psi \ (v \ i) \subseteq \Psi \ (v' \ i)
 shows \Psi (eval f v) \subseteq \Psi (eval f v')
using assms proof (induction f rule: rlexp.induct)
case (Concat f1 f2)
 then have \Psi (eval f1 v @@ eval f2 v) \subseteq \Psi (eval f1 v' @@ eval f2 v')
   using parikh_conc_subset by (metis UnCI vars.simps(4))
  then show ?case by simp
qed (auto simp add: SUP_mono' parikh_img_UNION parikh_star_mono)
lemma rlexp_mono_parikh_eq:
 assumes \forall i \in vars f. \ \Psi \ (v \ i) = \Psi \ (v' \ i)
 shows \Psi (eval f v) = \Psi (eval f v')
 using assms rlexp_mono_parikh by blast
       \Psi (A \cup B)^* = \Psi A^*B^*
```

This property is claimed by Pilling in [1] and will be needed later.

```
lemma parikh_img_union_pow_aux1:
 assumes v \in \Psi ((A \cup B) \cap n)
   shows v \in \Psi (\bigcup i \leq n. \ A \cap i @@ B \cap (n-i))
using assms proof (induction n arbitrary: v)
 then show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (Suc\ n)
  then obtain w where w_intro: w \in (A \cup B) \cap (Suc \ n) \land mset \ w = v
   unfolding parikh_img_def by auto
 then obtain w1 w2 where w1\_w2\_intro: w = w1@w2 \land w1 \in A \cup B \land w2 \in
(A \cup B) \curvearrowright n by fastforce
 let ?v1 = mset \ w1 and ?v2 = mset \ w2
 from w1\_w2\_intro have ?v2 \in \Psi ((A \cup B) \cap n) unfolding parikh\_img\_def
by blast
 with Suc.IH have ?v2 \in \Psi (\bigcup i \leq n. \ A \curvearrowright i @@ B \curvearrowright (n-i)) by auto
  then obtain w2' where w2'_intro: mset \ w2' = mset \ w2 \wedge
      w2' \in (\bigcup i \leq n. \ A \curvearrowright i @@ B \curvearrowright (n-i)) unfolding parikh_img_def by
fast force
  then obtain i where i_intro: i \leq n \wedge w2' \in A \cap i @@ B \cap (n-i) by blast
  from w1 w2 intro w2' intro have mset w = mset (w1@w2')
   by simp
 moreover have mset\ (w1@w2') \in \Psi\ (\bigcup i \leq Suc\ n.\ A \cap i @@\ B \cap (Suc\ n-i))
 proof (cases w1 \in A)
   {\bf case}\  \, True
   with i_intro have Suc_i valid: Suc_i \leq Suc_i and w1@w2' \in A \cap (Suc_i)
@@ B \curvearrowright (Suc \ n - Suc \ i)
     by (auto simp add: conc_assoc)
   then have mset\ (w1@w2') \in \Psi\ (A \curvearrowright (Suc\ i) @@\ B \curvearrowright (Suc\ n-Suc\ i))
     unfolding parikh_img_def by blast
   with Suc_i_valid parikh_img_UNION show ?thesis by fast
  \mathbf{next}
   case False
   with w1\_w2\_intro have w1 \in B by blast
   with i\_intro have mset (w1@w2') \in \Psi (B@@A ^ i @@B ^ (n-i))
     unfolding parikh imq def by blast
   then have mset\ (w1@w2') \in \Psi\ (A \frown i @@ B \frown (Suc\ n-i))
     using parikh imq commut conc assoc
     by (metis Suc_diff_le conc_pow_comm i_intro lang_pow.simps(2))
   with i_intro parikh_img_UNION show ?thesis by fastforce
  ultimately show ?case using w_intro by auto
lemma parikh_img_star_aux1:
 assumes v \in \Psi (star (A \cup B))
 shows v \in \Psi (star A @@ star B)
proof -
 from assms have v \in (\bigcup n. \ \Psi \ ((A \cup B) \ ^{n}))
```

```
unfolding star_def using parikh_img_UNION by metis
 then obtain n where v \in \Psi ((A \cup B) \cap n) by blast
 then have v \in \Psi (\bigcup i \leq n. \ A \cap i @@ B \cap (n-i))
   using parikh_img_union_pow_aux1 by auto
 then have v \in ([\ ] i \le n. \ \Psi \ (A \curvearrowright i @@ B \curvearrowright (n-i))) using parikh_imq_UNION
\mathbf{by} metis
 then obtain i where i \le n \land v \in \Psi (A ^{\frown}i @@ B ^{\frown}(n-i)) by blast
 then obtain w where w_intro: mset w = v \wedge w \in A ^{n} i @@ B ^{n} (n-i)
   unfolding parikh_img_def by blast
 then obtain w1 w2 where w\_decomp: w=w1@w2 \land w1 \in A \cap i \land w2 \in B
(n-i) by blast
 then have w1 \in star\ A and w2 \in star\ B by auto
 with w\_decomp have w \in star\ A @@ star\ B by auto
 with w_intro show ?thesis unfolding parikh_img_def by blast
qed
lemma parikh_img_star_aux2:
 assumes v \in \Psi (star A @@ star B)
 shows v \in \Psi (star (A \cup B))
proof -
 from assms obtain w where w_intro: mset w = v \wedge w \in star\ A @@ star B
   unfolding parikh_img_def by blast
 then obtain w1 w2 where w\_decomp: w=w1@w2 \land w1 \in star A \land w2 \in star
B by blast
 then obtain i j where w1 \in A \cap i and w2\_intro: w2 \in B \cap j unfolding
star_def by blast
 then have w1 in union: w1 \in (A \cup B) \stackrel{\frown}{} i using lang pow mono by blast
 from w2 intro have w2 \in (A \cup B) f using lang pow mono by blast
 with w1\_in\_union\ w\_decomp\ \mathbf{have}\ w \in (A \cup B) \ ^\frown (i+j)\ \mathbf{using}\ lang\_pow\_add
by fast
 with w_intro show ?thesis unfolding parikh_img_def by auto
qed
lemma parikh_img_star: \Psi (star (A \cup B)) = \Psi (star A @@ star B)
proof
 show \Psi (star (A \cup B)) \subseteq \Psi (star A @@ star B) using parith imq star aux1
 show \Psi (star A @@ star B) \subseteq \Psi (star (A \cup B)) using parikh_img_star_aux2
by auto
qed
       \Psi (E^*F)^* = \Psi (\{\varepsilon\} \cup E^*F^*F)
2.4
This property (where \varepsilon denotes the empty word) is claimed by Pilling as
well [1]; we will use it later.
lemma parikh_imq_conc_pow: \Psi ((A @@ B) ^{\sim} n) \subseteq \Psi (A ^{\sim} n @@ B ^{\sim} n)
proof (induction \ n)
 case (Suc \ n)
```

```
then have \Psi ((A @@ B) ^{\sim} n @@ A @@ B) \subseteq \Psi (A ^{\sim} n @@ B ^{\sim} n @@ A
@@ B)
   using parikh_conc_right_subset conc_assoc by metis
 also have \dots = \Psi (A \curvearrowright n @@ A @@ B \curvearrowright n @@ B)
   by (metis parikh img_commut conc_assoc parikh_conc_left)
 finally show ?case by (simp add: conc_assoc conc_pow_comm)
qed simp
lemma parikh img_conc_star: \Psi (star (A @@ B)) \subseteq \Psi (star A @@ star B)
proof
 \mathbf{fix} \ v
 assume v \in \Psi (star (A @@ B))
 then have \exists n. v \in \Psi ((A @@ B) ^n) unfolding star\_def by (simp \ add:
parikh_img_UNION)
 then obtain n where v \in \Psi ((A @@ B) ^{\sim} n) by blast
 with parikh\_img\_conc\_pow have v \in \Psi (A ^{\sim} n @@ B ^{\sim} n) by fast
 then have v \in \Psi (A n \otimes star B)
   unfolding star_def using parikh_conc_left_subset
   by (metis (no_types, lifting) Sup_upper parikh_img_mono rangeI subset_eq)
 then show v \in \Psi (star A @@ star B)
   unfolding star_def using parikh_conc_right_subset
   by (metis (no_types, lifting) Sup_upper parikh_img_mono rangeI subset_eq)
qed
lemma parikh img_conc_pow2: \Psi ((A @@ B) ^{\sim} Suc n) \subseteq \Psi (star A @@ star
B @@ B)
proof
 \mathbf{fix} \ v
 assume v \in \Psi ((A @@ B) ^{\sim} Suc n)
 with parikh\_img\_conc\_pow have v \in \Psi (A ^{\sim} Suc n @@ B ^{\sim} n @@ B)
   by (metis conc_pow_comm lang_pow.simps(2) subsetD)
 then have v \in \Psi (star A @@ B ^n n @@ B)
   unfolding star_def using parikh_conc_right_subset
   by (metis (no_types, lifting) Sup_upper parikh_img_mono rangeI subset_eq)
 then show v \in \Psi (star A @@ star B @@ B)
  unfolding star def using parikh conc right subset parikh conc left subset
   by (metis (no_types, lifting) Sup_upper parikh_img_mono rangeI subset_eq)
qed
lemma parikh img_star2_aux1:
 \Psi (star (star E @@ F)) \subseteq \Psi (\{[]\} \cup star E @@ star F @@ F)
proof
 \mathbf{fix} \ v
 assume v \in \Psi (star (star E @@ F))
 then have \exists n. v \in \Psi ((star \ E @@ F) ^ n)
   unfolding star_def by (simp add: parikh_img_UNION)
 then obtain n where v in pow n: v \in \Psi ((star E @@ F) ^{\sim} n) by blast
 show v \in \Psi (\{[]\} \cup star \ E @@ star \ F @@ F)
 proof (cases n)
```

```
case \theta
   with v_inpow_n have v = mset [] unfolding parikh_img_def by simp
   then show ?thesis unfolding parikh_img_def by blast
   case (Suc\ m)
  with parikh\_img\_conc\_pow2\ v\_in\_pow\_n have v \in \Psi\ (star\ (star\ E)\ @@\ star
F @@ F) by blast
   then show ?thesis by (metis UnCI parikh_img_Un star_idemp)
 qed
qed
lemma parikh\_img\_star2\_aux2: \Psi (star E @@ star F @@ F) \subseteq \Psi (star (star E
@@F))
proof -
 have F \subseteq star \ E @@ F unfolding star\_def using Nil\_in\_star
   by (metis concI if Nil1 star def subsetI)
 then have \Psi (star E @@ F @@ star F) \subseteq \Psi (star E @@ F @@ star (star E
@@ F))
   using parikh_conc_left_subset parikh_img_mono parikh_star_mono by me-
son
 also have ... \subseteq \Psi (star (star E @@ F))
   by (metis conc_assoc inf_sup_ord(3) parikh_img_mono star_unfold_left)
 finally show ?thesis using conc_star_comm by metis
qed
lemma parikh img_star2: \Psi (star (star E @@ F)) = \Psi ({[[]} \cup star E @@ star
F @@ F)
proof
 from parikh_img_star2_aux1
   show \Psi (star (star E @@ F)) \subseteq \Psi ({[]} \cup star E @@ star F @@ F).
 from parikh_img_star2_aux2
   show \Psi ({[]} \cup star E @@ star F @@ F) \subseteq \Psi (star (star E @@ F))
   by (metis le_sup_iff parikh_img_Un star_unfold_left sup.cobounded2)
qed
```

2.5 A homogeneous-like property for regular language expressions

```
lemma rlexp\_homogeneous\_aux:
   assumes v = star \ Y @@ Z
   shows \Psi \ (eval \ f \ v) \subseteq \Psi \ (star \ Y @@ eval \ f \ (v(x := Z)))
   proof (induction \ f)
   case (Var \ y)
   show ?case
   proof (cases \ x = y)
   case True
   with Var \ assms \ show \ ?thesis \ by \ simp
   next
   case False
```

```
have eval (Var y) v \subseteq star \ Y @@ eval \ (Var \ y) \ v \ by \ (metis \ Nil_in_star
concI_if_Nil1 subsetI)
   with False parikh_img_mono show ?thesis by auto
 qed
next
 case (Const l)
 have eval\ (Const\ l)\ v\subseteq star\ Y\ @@\ eval\ (Const\ l)\ v\ {\bf using}\ concl\_if\_Nil1\ {\bf by}
 then show ?case by (simp add: parikh_img_mono)
next
 case (Union f g)
 then have \Psi (eval (Union f g) v) \subseteq \Psi (star Y @@ eval f (v(x := Z)) \cup
                                                  star \ Y @@ \ eval \ g \ (v(x := Z)))
   by (metis eval.simps(3) parikh_img_Un sup.mono)
 then show ?case by (metis\ conc\_Un\_distrib(1)\ eval.simps(3))
 case (Concat f q)
 then have \Psi (eval (Concat f g) v) \subseteq \Psi ((star Y @@ eval f (v(x := Z)))
                                                @@ star Y @@ eval q (v(x := Z)))
   by (metis eval.simps(4) parikh_conc_subset)
 also have ... = \Psi (star Y @@ star Y @@ eval f (v(x := Z)) @@ eval g (v(x := Z))
Z)))
   by (metis conc_assoc parikh_conc_right parikh_img_commut)
 also have ... = \Psi (star Y @@ eval f (v(x := Z)) @@ eval g (v(x := Z)))
   by (metis conc_assoc conc_star_star)
 finally show ?case by (metis\ eval.simps(4))
next
 case (Star f)
 then have \Psi (star (eval f v)) \subseteq \Psi (star (star Y @@ eval f (v(x := Z))))
   using parikh_star_mono by metis
 also from parikh\_img\_conc\_star have ... \subseteq \Psi (star Y @@ star (eval f (v(x
:= Z))))
   by fastforce
 finally show ?case by (metis\ eval.simps(5))
qed
```

Now we can prove the desired homogeneous-like property which will become useful later. Notably this property slightly differs from the property claimed in [1]. However, our property is easier to prove formally and it suffices for the rest of the proof.

```
lemma rlexp\_homogeneous: \Psi (eval (subst (Var(x := Concat (Star y) z)) f) v)
\subseteq \Psi (eval (Concat (Star y) (subst (Var(x := z)) f)) v)
(is \Psi ?L \subseteq \Psi ?R)

proof -
let ?v' = v(x := star (eval y v) @@ eval z v)
have \Psi ?L = \Psi (eval f ?v') using substitution\_lemma\_upd[where f = f] by simp also have ... \subseteq \Psi (star (eval y v) @@ eval f (?v'(x := eval z v)))
using rlexp\_homogeneous\_aux[of ?v'] unfolding fun\_upd\_def by auto also have ... = \Psi ?R using substitution\_lemma[of v(x := eval z v)] by simp
```

```
finally show ?thesis. qed
```

2.6 Extension of Arden's lemma to Parikh images

```
lemma parikh_img_arden_aux:
 assumes \Psi (A @@ X \cup B) \subseteq \Psi X
 shows \Psi (A ^{\sim} n @@ B) \subseteq \Psi X
proof (induction \ n)
 case \theta
  with assms show ?case by auto
next
  case (Suc\ n)
 then have \Psi (A ^{\sim} (Suc n) @@ B) \subset \Psi (A @@ A ^{\sim} n @@B)
   by (simp add: conc_assoc)
 moreover from Suc\ parikh\_conc\_left\ have\ ...\ \subseteq \Psi\ (A\ @@\ X)
   by (metis conc_Un_distrib(1) parikh_img_Un sup.orderE sup.orderI)
 moreover from Suc.prems assms have ... \subseteq \Psi X by auto
  ultimately show ?case by fast
qed
lemma parikh_img_arden:
 assumes \Psi (A @@ X \cup B) \subseteq \Psi X
 shows \Psi (star A @@ B) \subseteq \Psi X
proof
 \mathbf{fix} \ x
 assume x \in \Psi (star A @@ B)
 then have \exists n. x \in \Psi \ (A \cap n @@ B)
  unfolding star\_def by (simp\ add:\ conc\_UNION\_distrib(2)\ parikh\_img\_UNION)
 then obtain n where x \in \Psi (A ^{\sim} n @@ B) by blast
 then show x \in \Psi X using parith_img_arden_aux[OF assms] by fast
qed
```

2.7 Equivalence class of languages with identical Parikh image

For a given language L, we define the equivalence class of all languages with identical Parikh image:

```
definition parikh\_img\_eq\_class: 'a \ lang \Rightarrow 'a \ lang \ set \ \mathbf{where} parikh\_img\_eq\_class \ L \equiv \{L'. \ \Psi \ L' = \Psi \ L\}
lemma\ parikh\_img\_Union\_class: \ \Psi \ A = \Psi \ (\bigcup \ (parikh\_img\_eq\_class \ A))
proof
let\ ?A' = \bigcup \ (parikh\_img\_eq\_class \ A)
show \ \Psi \ A \subseteq \Psi \ ?A'
unfolding\ parikh\_img\_eq\_class\_def\ \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ Union\_upper\ parikh\_img\_mono)
show \ \Psi \ ?A' \subseteq \Psi \ A
proof
```

```
\mathbf{fix} \ v
   assume v \in \Psi ?A'
   then obtain a where a_intro: mset \ a = v \land a \in ?A'
     unfolding parikh imq_def by blast
   then obtain L where L intro: a \in L \land L \in parikh img eq class A
     unfolding parikh_img_eq_class_def by blast
   then have \Psi L = \Psi A unfolding parith_img_eq_class_def by fastforce
   with a_intro L_intro show v \in \Psi A unfolding parith_img_def by blast
 qed
qed
lemma subseteq_comm_subseteq:
 assumes \Psi A \subseteq \Psi B
 shows A \subseteq \bigcup (parikh\_img\_eq\_class\ B) (is A \subseteq ?B')
proof
 \mathbf{fix} \ a
 assume a_in_A: a \in A
 from assms have \Psi A \subseteq \Psi ?B'
   using parikh_img_Union_class by blast
 with a\_in\_A have vec\_a\_in\_B': mset\ a \in \Psi\ ?B' unfolding parikh\_img\_def
by fast
 then have \exists b. mset b = mset a \land b \in ?B'
   unfolding parikh_img_def by fastforce
 then obtain b where b_intro: mset\ b = mset\ a \land b \in ?B' by blast
 with vec\_a\_in\_B' have \Psi (?B' \cup {a}) = \Psi ?B'unfolding parikh\_img\_def by
blast
 with parikh img Union class have \Psi (?B' \cup {a}) = \Psi B by blast
 then show a \in ?B' unfolding parikh\_img\_eq\_class\_def by blast
qed
end
```

3 Context free grammars and systems of equations

```
theory Reg_Lang_Exp_Eqns
imports
Parikh_Img
Context_Free_Grammar.Context_Free_Language
begin
```

In this section, we will first introduce two types of systems of equations. Then we will show that to each CFG corresponds a system of equations of the first type and that the language defined by the CFG is a minimal solution of this systems. Lastly we prove some relations between the two types of systems of equations.

3.1 Introduction of systems of equations

For the first type of systems, each equation is of the form

$$X_i \supseteq r_i$$

For the second type of systems, each equation is of the form

$$\Psi X_i \supseteq \Psi r_i$$

i.e. the Parikh image is applied on both sides of each equation. In both cases, we represent the whole system by a list of regular language expressions where each of the variables X_0, X_1, \ldots is identified by its integer, i.e. $Var\ i$ denotes the variable X_i . The *i*-th item of the list then represents the right-hand side r_i of the *i*-th equation:

```
type_synonym 'a eq_sys = 'a rlexp list
```

Now we can define what it means for a valuation v to solve a system of equations of the first type, i.e. a system without Parikh images. Afterwards we characterize minimal solutions of such a system.

```
definition solves\_ineq\_sys :: 'a \ eq\_sys \Rightarrow 'a \ valuation \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where} solves\_ineq\_sys \ sys \ v \equiv \forall \ i < length \ sys. \ eval \ (sys ! \ i) \ v \subseteq v \ i
```

```
definition min\_sol\_ineq\_sys :: 'a \ eq\_sys \Rightarrow 'a \ valuation \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where}
min\_sol\_ineq\_sys \ sys \ sol \equiv
solves\_ineq\_sys \ sys \ sol \wedge \ (\forall \ sol'. \ solves\_ineq\_sys \ sys \ sol' \longrightarrow \ (\forall \ x. \ sol \ x \subseteq sol' \ x))
```

The previous definitions can easily be extended to the second type of systems of equations where the Parikh image is applied on both sides of each equation:

```
definition solves\_ineq\_comm :: nat \Rightarrow 'a \ rlexp \Rightarrow 'a \ valuation \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where} solves\_ineq\_comm \ x \ eq \ v \equiv \Psi \ (eval \ eq \ v) \subseteq \Psi \ (v \ x)
```

```
definition solves\_ineq\_sys\_comm :: 'a eq\_sys \Rightarrow 'a valuation \Rightarrow bool where <math>solves\_ineq\_sys\_comm \ sys \ v \equiv \forall \ i < length \ sys. \ solves\_ineq\_comm \ i \ (sys \ ! \ i) \ v
```

```
\begin{array}{lll} \textbf{definition} & \textit{min\_sol\_ineq\_sys\_comm} :: 'a & \textit{eq\_sys} \Rightarrow 'a & \textit{valuation} \Rightarrow \textit{bool} & \textbf{where} \\ & \textit{min\_sol\_ineq\_sys\_comm} & \textit{sys} & \textit{sol} \equiv \\ & & \textit{solves\_ineq\_sys\_comm} & \textit{sys} & \textit{sol} \land \\ & (\forall \textit{sol'}. & \textit{solves\_ineq\_sys\_comm} & \textit{sys} & \textit{sol'} \longrightarrow (\forall \textit{x}. \ \Psi \ (\textit{sol} \ \textit{x}) \subseteq \Psi \ (\textit{sol'} \ \textit{x}))) \end{array}
```

Substitution into each equation of a system:

```
definition subst\_sys :: (nat \Rightarrow 'a \ rlexp) \Rightarrow 'a \ eq\_sys \Rightarrow 'a \ eq\_sys  where subst\_sys \equiv map \circ subst
```

```
lemma subst\_sys\_subst:
assumes i < length \ sys
shows (subst\_sys \ s \ sys) \ ! \ i = subst \ s \ (sys \ ! \ i)
unfolding subst\_sys\_def by (simp \ add: \ assms)
```

3.2 Partial solutions of systems of equations

We introduce partial solutions, i.e. solutions which might depend on one or multiple variables. They are therefore not represented as languages, but as regular language expressions. *sol* is a partial solution of the *x*-th equation if and only if it solves the equation independently on the values of the other variables:

```
definition partial\_sol\_ineq :: nat \Rightarrow 'a \ rlexp \Rightarrow 'a \ rlexp \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where}
partial\_sol\_ineq \ x \ eq \ sol \equiv \forall \ v. \ v \ x = eval \ sol \ v \longrightarrow solves\_ineq\_comm \ x \ eq \ v
```

We generalize the previous definition to partial solutions of whole systems of equations: sols maps each variable i to a regular language expression representing the partial solution of the i-th equation. sols is then a partial solution of the whole system if it satisfies the following predicate:

```
definition solution\_ineq\_sys :: 'a \ eq\_sys \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a \ rlexp) \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where} solution\_ineq\_sys \ sys \ sols \equiv \forall \ v. \ (\forall \ x. \ v \ x = eval \ (sols \ x) \ v) \longrightarrow solves\_ineq\_sys\_comm \ sys \ v
```

Given the x-th equation eq, sol is a minimal partial solution of this equation if and only if

- 1. sol is a partial solution of eq
- 2. sol is a proper partial solution (i.e. it does not depend on x) and only depends on variables occurring in the equation eq
- 3. no partial solution of the equation eq is smaller than sol

```
definition partial\_min\_sol\_one\_ineq :: nat \Rightarrow 'a \ rlexp \Rightarrow 'a \ rlexp \Rightarrow bool where partial\_min\_sol\_one\_ineq \ x \ eq \ sol \equiv partial\_sol\_ineq \ x \ eq \ sol \land vars \ sol \subseteq vars \ eq \ -\{x\} \land (\forall \ sol' \ v'. \ solves\_ineq\_comm \ x \ eq \ v' \land v' \ x = \ eval \ sol' \ v' \longrightarrow \Psi \ (eval \ sol \ v') \subseteq \Psi \ (v' \ x))
```

Given a whole system of equations sys, we can generalize the previous definition such that sols is a minimal solution (possibly dependent on the variables X_n, X_{n+1}, \ldots) of the first n equations. Besides the three conditions described above, we introduce a forth condition: $sols \ i = Var \ i$ for $i \geq n$, i.e. sols assigns only spurious solutions to the equations which are not yet solved:

```
definition partial\_min\_sol\_ineq\_sys :: nat \Rightarrow 'a eq\_sys \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a rlexp) \Rightarrow bool where
<math display="block">partial\_min\_sol\_ineq\_sys \ n \ sys \ sols \equiv solution\_ineq\_sys \ (take \ n \ sys) \ sols \land (\forall i \geq n. \ sols \ i = Var \ i) \land (\forall i < n. \ \forall x \in vars \ (sols \ i). \ x \geq n \land x < length \ sys) \land (\forall sols' \ v'. \ (\forall x. \ v' \ x = eval \ (sols' \ x) \ v')
```

```
 \land solves\_ineq\_sys\_comm \ (take \ n \ sys) \ v' \\ \longrightarrow (\forall i. \ \Psi \ (eval \ (sols \ i) \ v') \subseteq \Psi \ (v' \ i)))
```

If the Parikh image of two equations f and g is identical on all valuations, then their minimal partial solutions are identical, too:

```
lemma same_min_sol_if_same_parikh_img:
 assumes same\_parikh\_img: \forall v. \ \Psi \ (eval \ f \ v) = \Psi \ (eval \ g \ v)
     and same_vars:
                           vars f - \{x\} = vars g - \{x\}
     and minimal_sol:
                            partial\_min\_sol\_one\_ineq\ x\ f\ sol
   shows
                         partial_min_sol_one_ineq x g sol
proof -
 from minimal\_sol have vars sol \subseteq vars g - \{x\}
   unfolding partial min sol one ineq def using same vars by blast
 moreover from same_parikh_img minimal_sol have partial_sol_ineq x g sol
  unfolding partial min_sol_one_ineq_def partial_sol_ineq_def solves_ineq_comm_def
by simp
 moreover from same parikh ima minimal sol have \forall sol' v'. solves ineq comm
x \ g \ v' \wedge v' \ x = eval \ sol' \ v'
            \longrightarrow \Psi \ (eval \ sol \ v') \subseteq \Psi \ (v' \ x)
   unfolding partial_min_sol_one_ineq_def solves_ineq_comm_def by blast
 ultimately show ?thesis unfolding partial min sol one ineq def by fast
qed
```

3.3 CFLs as minimal solutions to systems of equations

We show that each CFG induces a system of equations of the first type, i.e. without Parikh images, such that each equation is reg_eval and the CFG's language is the minimal solution of the system. First, we describe how to derive the system of equations from a CFG. This requires us to fix some bijection between the variables in the system and the non-terminals occurring in the CFG:

```
definition bij\_Nt\_Var: 'n \ set \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'n) \Rightarrow ('n \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow bool \ \text{where} \ bij\_Nt\_Var \ A \ \gamma \ \gamma' \equiv bij\_betw \ \gamma \ \{..< card \ A\} \ A \ \wedge bij\_betw \ \gamma' \ A \ \{..< card \ A\} \ \wedge (\forall x \in \{..< card \ A\}. \ \gamma' \ (\gamma \ x) = x) \ \wedge (\forall y \in A. \ \gamma \ (\gamma' \ y) = y)
\text{lemma } exists\_bij\_Nt\_Var: \ \text{assumes } finite \ A \ \text{shows} \ \exists \gamma \ \gamma'. \ bij\_Nt\_Var \ A \ \gamma \ \gamma' \ \text{proof} \ - \ \text{from } assms \ \text{have} \ \exists \gamma. \ bij\_betw \ \gamma \ \{..< card \ A\} \ A \ \text{by } (simp \ add: \ bij\_betw\_iff\_card) \ \text{then obtain } \gamma \ \text{where } 1: \ bij\_betw \ \gamma \ \{..< card \ A\} \ A \ \text{by } blast \ \text{let } ?\gamma' = the\_inv\_into \ \{..< card \ A\} \ \gamma \ \text{from } the\_inv\_into\_f\_f \ 1 \ \text{have} \ 2: \ \forall x \in \{..< card \ A\}. \ ?\gamma' \ (\gamma \ x) = x \ \text{unfolding} \ bij\_betw\_def \ \text{by } fast \ \text{from } bij\_betw\_the\_inv\_into[OF \ 1] \ \text{have} \ 3: \ bij\_betw \ ?\gamma' \ A \ \{..< card \ A\} \ \text{by} \ blast \ \text{with} \ 1 \ f\_the\_inv\_into\_f\_bij\_betw \ \text{have} \ 4: \ \forall y \in A. \ \gamma \ (?\gamma' \ y) = y \ \text{by} \ metis \ \text{from} \ 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ \text{show} \ ?thesis \ \text{unfolding} \ bij\_Nt\_Var\_def \ \text{by} \ blast
```

```
locale CFG\_eq\_sys =
fixes P:: ('n,'a) \ Prods
fixes S:: 'n
fixes \gamma:: nat \Rightarrow 'n
fixes \gamma':: 'n \Rightarrow nat
assumes finite\_P: finite\ P
assumes bij\_\gamma\_\gamma': bij\_Nt\_Var\ (Nts\ P)\ \gamma\ \gamma'
begin
```

The following definitions construct a regular language expression for a single production. This happens step by step, i.e. starting with a single symbol (terminal or non-terminal) and then extending this to a single production. The definitions closely follow the definitions <code>inst_sym</code>, <code>concats</code> and <code>inst_syms</code> in <code>Context_Free_Grammar.Context_Free_Language</code>.

```
definition rlexp\_sym :: ('n, 'a) \ sym \Rightarrow 'a \ rlexp where rlexp\_sym \ s = (case \ s \ of \ Tm \ a \Rightarrow Const \ \{[a]\} \mid Nt \ A \Rightarrow Var \ (\gamma' \ A)) definition rlexp\_concats :: 'a \ rlexp \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ rlexp where rlexp\_concats \ fs = foldr \ Concat \ fs \ (Const \ \{[]\}) definition rlexp\_syms :: ('n, 'a) \ syms \Rightarrow 'a \ rlexp where rlexp\_syms \ w = rlexp\_concats \ (map \ rlexp\_sym \ w)
```

Now it is shown that the regular language expression constructed for a single production is *reg_eval*. Again, this happens step by step:

```
lemma rlexp_sym_reg: reg_eval (rlexp_sym s)
unfolding rlexp_sym_def proof (induction s)
  case (Tm \ x)
 \mathbf{have} \ \mathit{regular\_lang} \ \{[x]\} \ \mathbf{by} \ (\mathit{meson} \ \mathit{lang.simps}(\mathcal{I}))
 then show ?case by auto
qed auto
lemma rlexp concats req:
 assumes \forall f \in set fs. reg\_eval f
   shows req_eval (rlexp_concats fs)
 using assms unfolding rlexp_concats_def by (induction fs) (use epsilon_regular
in auto)
lemma rlexp_syms_reg: reg_eval (rlexp_syms w)
proof -
 from rlexp\_sym\_reg have \forall s \in set w. reg\_eval (rlexp\_sym s) by blast
  with rlexp_concats_reg show ?thesis unfolding rlexp_syms_def
   by (metis (no_types, lifting) image_iff list.set_map)
qed
```

The subsequent lemmas prove that all variables appearing in the regu-

lar language expression of a single production correspond to non-terminals appearing in the production:

```
lemma rlexp_sym_vars_Nt:
 assumes s(\gamma' A) = L A
   shows vars (rlexp\_sym\ (Nt\ A)) = \{\gamma'\ A\}
 using assms unfolding rlexp_sym_def by simp
lemma rlexp\_sym\_vars\_Tm: vars (rlexp\_sym (Tm x)) = \{\}
 unfolding rlexp_sym_def by simp
lemma rlexp_concats_vars: vars (rlexp_concats fs) = \bigcup (vars 'set fs)
 unfolding rlexp_concats_def by (induction fs) simp_all
lemma insts'_vars: vars (rlexp\_syms\ w) \subseteq \gamma' 'nts_syms w
proof
 \mathbf{fix} \ x
 assume x \in vars (rlexp\_syms w)
 with rlexp_concats_vars have x \in \bigcup (vars `set (map rlexp_sym w))
   unfolding rlexp_syms_def by blast
 then obtain f where *: f \in set (map \ rlexp\_sym \ w) \land x \in vars f \ by \ blast
 then obtain s where **: s \in set \ w \land rlexp\_sym \ s = f \ by \ auto
 with * rlexp\_sym\_vars\_Tm obtain A where ***: s = Nt A by (metis empty_iff
sym.exhaust)
 with ** have ****: A \in nts\_syms\ w unfolding nts\_syms\_def by blast
 with rlexp_sym_vars_Nt have vars (rlexp_sym (Nt A)) = \{\gamma' A\} by blast
 with * ** *** *** show x \in \gamma' ' nts\_syms \ w \ by \ blast
qed
    Evaluating the regular language expression of a single production under
```

Evaluating the regular language expression of a single production under a valuation corresponds to instantiating the non-terminals in the production according to the valuation:

```
lemma rlexp\_sym\_inst\_Nt:
   assumes v (\gamma' A) = L A
   shows eval (rlexp\_sym (Nt A)) v = inst\_sym L (Nt A)
   using assms unfolding rlexp\_sym\_def inst\_sym\_def by force

lemma rlexp\_sym\_inst\_Tm: eval (rlexp\_sym (Tm a)) v = inst\_sym L (Tm a)
   unfolding rlexp\_sym\_def inst\_sym\_def by force

lemma rlexp\_concats\_concats:
   assumes length fs = length Ls
   and \forall i < length fs. eval (fs! i) v = Ls! i
   shows eval (rlexp\_concats fs) v = concats Ls

using assms proof (induction fs arbitrary: Ls)
   case Nil
   then show ?case unfolding rlexp\_concats\_def concats\_def by simp
next
   case (Cons f1 fs)
```

```
then obtain L1 Lr where *: Ls = L1 \# Lr by (metis length Suc conv)
  with Cons have eval (rlexp_concats fs) v = concats Lr by fastforce
 moreover from Cons.prems * have eval f1 v = L1 by force
  ultimately show ?case unfolding rlexp_concats_def concats_def by (simp
add: *)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma rlexp_syms_insts:
 assumes \forall A \in nts\_syms \ w. \ v \ (\gamma' \ A) = L \ A
   shows eval (rlexp\_syms \ w) \ v = inst\_syms \ L \ w
proof
 have \forall i < length \ w. \ eval \ (rlexp\_sym \ (w!i)) \ v = inst\_sym \ L \ (w!i)
 proof (rule allI, rule impI)
   \mathbf{fix} i
   assume i < length w
   then show eval (rlexp sym (w ! i)) v = inst sym L (w ! i)
     proof (induction \ w!i)
     case (Nt A)
     with assms have v(\gamma' A) = L A unfolding nts\_syms\_def by force
     with rlexp_sym_inst_Nt Nt show ?case by metis
     case (Tm \ x)
     with rlexp_sym_inst_Tm show ?case by metis
   qed
 qed
 then show ?thesis unfolding rlexp syms def inst syms def using rlexp concats concats
   by (metis (mono_tags, lifting) length_map nth_map)
qed
    Each non-terminal of the CFG induces some reg eval equation. We do
not directly construct the equation but only prove its existence:
lemma subst_lang_rlexp:
  \exists eq. reg\_eval \ eq \land vars \ eq \subseteq \gamma' \ `Nts \ P
       \land (\forall v \ L. \ (\forall A \in Nts \ P. \ v \ (\gamma' \ A) = L \ A) \longrightarrow eval \ eq \ v = subst \ lang \ P \ L \ A)
proof -
 let ?Insts = rlexp\_syms ' (Rhss P A)
 from finite_Rhss[OF finite_P] have finite ?Insts by simp
 moreover from rlexp\_syms\_reg have \forall f \in ?Insts. reg\_eval f by blast
 ultimately obtain eq where *: reg\_eval\ eq \land \bigcup (vars `?Insts) = vars\ eq
                             \land (\forall v. (\bigcup f \in ?Insts. eval f v) = eval eq v)
   using finite_Union_regular by metis
  moreover have vars eq \subseteq \gamma' 'Nts P
  proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ x
   assume x \in vars \ eq
   with * obtain f where **: f \in ?Insts \land x \in vars f by blast
   then obtain w where ***: w \in Rhss\ P\ A \land f = rlexp\_syms\ w by blast
   with ** insts'\_vars have x \in \gamma' ' nts\_syms w by auto
   with *** show x \in \gamma' 'Nts P unfolding Nts_def Rhss_def by blast
```

```
moreover have \forall v \ L. \ (\forall A \in Nts \ P. \ v \ (\gamma' \ A) = L \ A) \longrightarrow eval \ eq \ v = subst\_lang
P L A
  proof (rule \ all I \mid rule \ impI) +
    fix v :: nat \Rightarrow 'a \ lang \ and \ L :: 'n \Rightarrow 'a \ lang
    assume state L: \forall A \in Nts \ P. \ v \ (\gamma' \ A) = L \ A
    \mathbf{have} \ \forall \ w \in \mathit{Rhss} \ P \ \mathit{A.} \ \mathit{eval} \ (\mathit{rlexp\_syms} \ w) \ v = \mathit{inst\_syms} \ \mathit{L} \ w
    proof
      \mathbf{fix} \ w
      assume w \in Rhss P A
       with state\_L \ Nts\_nts\_syms have \forall A \in nts\_syms \ w. \ v \ (\gamma' \ A) = L \ A by
       from rlexp\_syms\_insts[OF\ this] show eval\ (rlexp\_syms\ w)\ v=inst\_syms
L \ w \ \mathbf{by} \ blast
    qed
   then have subst lanq PLA = ([] f \in ?Insts. eval fv) unfolding subst lanq def
    with * show eval eq v = subst\_lang P L A by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
\mathbf{qed}
     The whole CFG induces a system of reg_eval equations. We first define
which conditions this system should fulfill and show its existence in the
second step:
abbreviation CFG\_sys\ sys \equiv
  length sys = card (Nts P) \land
    (\forall i < card \ (Nts \ P). \ reg\_eval \ (sys \ ! \ i) \land (\forall x \in vars \ (sys \ ! \ i). \ x < card \ (Nts \ P))
P))
                          \land (\forall s \ L. \ (\forall A \in Nts \ P. \ s \ (\gamma' \ A) = L \ A)
                               \longrightarrow eval (sys ! i) s = subst_lang P L (\gamma i)))
lemma CFG as eq sys: \exists sys. CFG sys sys
proof -
  from bij\_\gamma\_\gamma' have *: \bigwedge eq. vars\ eq \subseteq \gamma' 'Nts P \Longrightarrow \forall x \in vars\ eq. x < card
(Nts\ P)
    unfolding bij_Nt_Var_def bij_betw_def by auto
  from subst\_lang\_rlexp have \forall A. \exists eq. reg\_eval eq \land vars eq \subseteq \gamma' ' Nts P \land P
                                   (\forall s \ L. \ (\forall A \in Nts \ P. \ s \ (\gamma' \ A) = L \ A) \longrightarrow eval \ eq \ s =
subst\_lang\ P\ L\ A)
    by blast
  with bij\_\gamma\_\gamma' * \mathbf{have} \ \forall \ i < card \ (Nts \ P). \exists \ eq. \ reg\_eval \ eq \ \land \ (\forall \ x \in vars \ eq. \ x
< card (Nts P)
                   \land (\forall s \ L. \ (\forall A \in Nts \ P. \ s \ (\gamma' \ A) = L \ A) \longrightarrow eval \ eq \ s = subst \ lang
P L (\gamma i)
    unfolding bij_Nt_Var_def by metis
  with Skolem\_list\_nth[where P=\lambda i eq. reg\_eval eq \wedge (\forall x \in vars\ eq.\ x < card
(Nts\ P)
                              \land (\forall s \ L. \ (\forall A \in Nts \ P. \ s \ (\gamma' \ A) = L \ A) \longrightarrow eval \ eq \ s =
```

qed

```
subst\_lang\ P\ L\ (\gamma\ i))]
show\ ?thesis\ by\ blast
qed
```

finally show ?thesis.

As we have proved that each CFG induces a system of *reg_eval* equations, it remains to show that the CFG's language is a minimal solution of this system. The first lemma proves that the CFG's language is a solution and the next two lemmas prove that it is minimal:

```
abbreviation sol \equiv \lambda i. if i < card (Nts P) then Lang_lfp P(\gamma i) else \{\}
lemma CFG sys CFL is sol:
 assumes CFG_sys sys
  shows solves_ineq_sys sys sol
unfolding solves_ineq_sys_def proof (rule allI, rule impI)
 assume i < length sys
  with assms have i < card (Nts P) by argo
  from bij\_\gamma\_\gamma' have *: \forall A \in Nts \ P. \ sol \ (\gamma' \ A) = Lang\_lfp \ P \ A
   unfolding bij Nt Var def bij betw def by force
 with \langle i < card (Nts P) \rangle assms have eval (sys! i) sol = subst_lang P (Lang_lfp
P) (\gamma i)
   by presburger
  with lfp_fixpoint[OF mono_if_omega_cont[OF omega_cont_Lang_lfp]] have
1: eval\ (sys\ !\ i)\ sol = Lang\_lfp\ P\ (\gamma\ i)
   unfolding Lang_lfp_def by metis
  from \langle i < card (Nts P) \rangle bij\_\gamma\_\gamma' have \gamma i \in Nts P
   unfolding bij_Nt_Var_def using bij_betwE by blast
 with * have Lang_lfp P(\gamma i) = sol(\gamma'(\gamma i)) by auto
 also have ... = sol i using bij\_\gamma\_\gamma' \langle i < card (Nts P) \rangle unfolding bij\_Nt\_Var\_def
 finally show eval (sys! i) sol \subseteq sol i using 1 by blast
qed
lemma CFG sys CFL is min aux:
 assumes CFG_sys sys
     and solves_ineq_sys sys sol'
   shows Lang_lfp P \leq (\lambda A. \ sol' \ (\gamma' \ A)) (is \_ \leq ?L')
proof -
 have subst\_lang P ?L' A \subseteq ?L' A for A
 proof (cases A \in Nts P)
   \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
   with assms(1) bij \gamma \gamma' have \gamma' A < length sys
     with assms(1) bij\_\gamma\_\gamma' True have subst\_lang\ P\ ?L'\ A = eval\ (sys\ !\ \gamma'\ A)
sol'
     unfolding bij_Nt_Var_def by metis
   also from True \ assms(2) \ \langle \gamma' \ A < length \ sys \rangle \ bij\_\gamma\_\gamma' \ have \ldots \subseteq ?L' \ A
     unfolding solves_ineq_sys_def bij_Nt_Var_def by blast
```

```
next
   case False
   then have Rhss\ P\ A = \{\} unfolding Nts\_def\ Rhss\_def\ by blast
   with False show ?thesis unfolding subst_lang_def by simp
 ged
 then have subst_lang P ?L' \le ?L' by (simp add: le_funI)
  from lfp_lowerbound[of subst_lang P, OF this] Lang_lfp_def show ?thesis by
\mathbf{qed}
lemma CFG_sys_CFL_is_min:
 assumes CFG_sys sys
     and solves_ineq_sys sys sol'
   shows sol \ x \subseteq sol' \ x
proof (cases \ x < card \ (Nts \ P))
 case True
 then have sol x = Lang\_lfp P(\gamma x) by argo
  also from CFG\_sys\_CFL\_is\_min\_aux[OF\ assms] have ... \subseteq sol'(\gamma'(\gamma\ x))
by (simp add: le_fun_def)
 finally show ?thesis using True bij\_\gamma\_\gamma' unfolding bij\_Nt\_Var\_def by auto
next
  case False
  then show ?thesis by auto
qed
    Lastly we combine all of the previous lemmas into the desired result of
this section, namely that each CFG induces a system of reg_eval equations
such that the CFG's language is a minimal solution of the system:
lemma CFL is min sol:
  \exists \, sys. \, \, (\forall \, eq \, \in \, set \, \, sys. \, \, reg\_eval \, \, eq) \, \, \wedge \, \, (\forall \, eq \, \in \, set \, \, sys. \, \, \forall \, x \, \in \, vars \, \, eq. \, \, x \, < \, length
sys)
        \land min sol ineq sys sys sol
proof -
 from CFG_as_eq_sys obtain sys where *: CFG_sys sys by blast
 then have length sys = card (Nts P) by blast
 moreover from * have \forall eq \in set sys. reg_eval eq by (simp add: all\_set\_conv\_all\_nth)
 moreover from * \langle length \ sys = card \ (Nts \ P) \rangle have \forall \ eq \in set \ sys. \ \forall \ x \in vars
eq. x < length sys
   by (simp add: all_set_conv_all_nth)
 moreover from CFG_sys_CFL_is_sol[OF *] CFG_sys_CFL_is_min[OF *]
   have min_sol_ineq_sys sys sol unfolding min_sol_ineq_sys_def by blast
 ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
end
```

3.4 Relation between the two types of systems of equations

One can simply convert a system sys of equations of the second type (i.e. with Parikh images) into a system of equations of the first type by dropping the Parikh images on both sides of each equation. The following lemmas describe how the two systems are related to each other.

First of all, to any solution sol of sys exists a valuation whose Parikh image is identical to that of sol and which is a solution of the other system (i.e. the system obtained by dropping all Parikh images in sys). The following proof explicitly gives such a solution, namely λx . \bigcup $(parikh_img_eq_class(sol\ x))$, benefiting from the results of section 2.7:

```
lemma sol\_comm\_sol:
  assumes sol_is_sol_comm: solves_ineq_sys_comm sys sol
 shows \exists sol'. (\forall x. \ \Psi \ (sol \ x) = \Psi \ (sol' \ x)) \land solves\_ineq\_sys \ sys \ sol'
proof
 let ?sol' = \lambda x. \bigcup (parikh\_img\_eq\_class\ (sol\ x))
 have sol'\_sol: \forall x. \ \Psi \ (?sol' \ x) = \Psi \ (sol \ x)
     using parikh_img_Union_class by metis
  moreover have solves_ineq_sys sys ?sol'
  unfolding solves_ineq_sys_def proof (rule allI, rule impI)
   assume i < length sys
   with sol\_is\_sol\_comm have \Psi (eval (sys! i) sol) \subseteq \Psi (sol i)
     unfolding solves_ineq_sys_comm_def solves_ineq_comm_def by blast
   moreover from sol'\_sol have \Psi (eval (sys!i) ?sol') = \Psi (eval (sys!i) sol)
     using rlexp_mono_parikh_eq by meson
   ultimately have \Psi (eval (sys! i) ?sol') \subseteq \Psi (sol i) by simp
    then show eval (sys! i) ?sol' \subseteq ?sol' i using subseteq_comm_subseteq by
metis
  qed
 ultimately show (\forall x. \ \Psi \ (sol \ x) = \Psi \ (?sol' \ x)) \land solves \ ineq \ sys \ sys \ ?sol'
   by simp
qed
```

The converse works similarly: Given a minimal solution *sol* of the system *sys* of the first type, then *sol* is also a minimal solution to the system obtained by converting *sys* into a system of the second type (which can be achieved by applying the Parikh image on both sides of each equation):

```
lemma min\_sol\_min\_sol\_comm:

assumes min\_sol\_ineq\_sys sys sol

shows min\_sol\_ineq\_sys\_comm sys sol

unfolding min\_sol\_ineq\_sys\_comm\_def proof

from assms show solves\_ineq\_sys\_comm sys sol

unfolding min\_sol\_ineq\_sys\_def min\_sol\_ineq\_sys\_comm\_def solves\_ineq\_sys\_def solves\_ineq\_sys\_comm\_def solves\_ineq\_sys\_comm\_def by (simp\ add:\ parikh\_img\_mono) show \forall\ sol'.\ solves\_ineq\_sys\_comm\ sys\ sol' \longrightarrow (\forall\ x.\ \Psi\ (sol\ x) \subseteq \Psi\ (sol'\ x)) proof (rule\ allI,\ rule\ impI)
```

```
\mathbf{fix} \ sol'
   assume solves_ineq_sys_comm sys sol'
   with sol_comm_sol obtain sol" where sol"_intro:
     (\forall x. \ \Psi \ (sol' \ x) = \Psi \ (sol'' \ x)) \land solves \ ineq \ sys \ sys \ sol'' \ by \ meson
    with assms have \forall x. \ sol \ x \subseteq sol'' \ x \ unfolding \ min \ sol \ ineq \ sys \ def by
auto
   with sol'' intro show \forall x. \ \Psi \ (sol \ x) \subseteq \Psi \ (sol' \ x)
     using parikh_img_mono by metis
 qed
\mathbf{qed}
    All minimal solutions of a system of the second type have the same
Parikh image:
lemma min sol comm unique:
  assumes sol1 is min sol: min sol ineq sys comm sys sol1
     and sol2 is min sol: min sol ineq sys comm sys sol2
   \mathbf{shows}
                           \Psi (sol1 \ x) = \Psi (sol2 \ x)
proof -
 from sol1\_is\_min\_sol\ sol2\_is\_min\_sol\ have\ \Psi\ (sol1\ x)\subseteq\Psi\ (sol2\ x)
   unfolding min_sol_ineq_sys_comm_def by simp
  moreover from sol1\_is\_min\_sol sol2\_is\_min\_sol have \Psi (sol2 x) \subseteq \Psi (sol1 x) \subseteq \Psi
   unfolding min_sol_ineq_sys_comm_def by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
end
```

4 Pilling's proof of Parikh's theorem

```
theory Pilling
imports
Reg_Lang_Exp_Eqns
begin
```

We prove Parikh's theorem, closely following Pilling's proof [1]. The rough idea is as follows: As seen in section 3.3, each CFG can be interpreted as a system of reg_eval equations of the first type and we can easily convert it into a system of the second type by applying the Parikh image on both sides of each equation. Pilling now shows that there is a regular solution to the latter system and that this solution is furthermore minimal. Using the relations explored in section 3.4 we prove that the CFG's language is a minimal solution of the same system and hence that the Parikh image of the CFG's language and of the regular solution must be identical; this finishes the proof of Parikh's theorem.

Notably, while in [1] Pilling proves an auxiliary lemma first and applies this lemma in the proof of the main theorem, we were able to complete the whole proof without using the lemma.

4.1 Special representation of regular language expressions

To each reg_eval regular language expression and variable x corresponds a second regular language expression with the same Parikh image and of the form depicted in equation (3) in [1]. We call regular language expressions of this form "bipartite regular language expressions" since they decompose into two subexpressions where one of them contains the variable x and the other one does not:

```
definition bipart_rlexp :: nat \Rightarrow 'a \ rlexp \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where}
bipart_rlexp x f \equiv \exists p \ q. \ reg\_eval \ p \land reg\_eval \ q \land
f = Union \ p \ (Concat \ q \ (Var \ x)) \land x \notin vars \ p
```

All bipartite regular language expressions evaluate to regular languages. Additionally, for each reg_eval regular language expression and variable x, there exists a bipartite regular language expression with identical Parikh image and almost identical set of variables. While the first proof is simple, the second one is more complex and needs the results of the sections 2.3 and 2.4:

```
lemma bipart_rlexp x f \Longrightarrow reg_eval f unfolding bipart_rlexp_def by fastforce

lemma reg_eval_bipart_rlexp_Variable: \exists f'. \ bipart\_rlexp \ x \ f' \land \ vars \ f' = \ vars \ (Var \ y) \cup \{x\}
\land (\forall v. \ \Psi \ (eval \ (Var \ y) \ v) = \Psi \ (eval \ f' \ v))
proof (cases x = y)
let ?f' = Union \ (Const \ \{\}) \ (Concat \ (Const \ \{[]\}) \ (Var \ x))
case True
then have bipart\_rlexp \ x \ ?f'
unfolding bipart\_rlexp \ def using emptyset\_regular \ epsilon \ regular \ by \ fast-
```

moreover have eval ?f' v = eval (Var y) v for v :: 'a valuation using True by simp

```
moreover have vars ?f' = vars (Var y) \cup \{x\} using True by simp ultimately show ?thesis by metis
```

```
let ?f' = Union (Var y) (Concat (Const \{\}) (Var x)) case False
```

then have bipart_rlexp x ?f'

unfolding bipart_rlexp_def **using** emptyset_regular epsilon_regular **by** fast-force

moreover have eval ?f'v = eval(Vary)v **for** v :: 'a valuation**using**False by simp

```
moreover have vars ?f' = vars (Var y) \cup \{x\} by simp ultimately show ?thesis by metis qed
```

 $\mathbf{lemma}\ reg_eval_bipart_rlexp_Const:$

```
assumes regular lang l
   shows \exists f'. bipart_rlexp x f' \land vars f' = vars (Const l) \cup \{x\}
                \wedge (\forall v. \ \Psi \ (eval \ (Const \ l) \ v) = \Psi \ (eval \ f' \ v))
proof -
  let ?f' = Union (Const l) (Concat (Const {}) (Var x))
 have bipart_rlexp x ?f'
   unfolding bipart_rlexp_def using assms emptyset_regular by simp
  moreover have eval ?f'v = eval (Const l) v  for v :: 'a valuation  by simp
  moreover have vars ?f' = vars (Const \ l) \cup \{x\} by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis by metis
qed
lemma reg\_eval\_bipart\_rlexp\_Union:
  assumes \exists f'. bipart_rlexp x f' \land vars f' = vars f1 \cup \{x\} \land
                (\forall v. \ \Psi \ (eval \ f1 \ v) = \Psi \ (eval \ f' \ v))
          \exists f'. \ bipart\_rlexp \ x \ f' \land vars \ f' = vars \ f2 \cup \{x\} \land
               (\forall v. \ \Psi \ (eval \ f2 \ v) = \Psi \ (eval \ f' \ v))
   shows \exists f'. bipart_rlexp x f' \land vars f' = vars (Union f1 f2) <math>\cup \{x\} \land
               (\forall v. \ \Psi \ (eval \ (Union \ f1 \ f2) \ v) = \Psi \ (eval \ f' \ v))
proof -
  from assms obtain f1' f2' where f1'_intro: bipart_rlexp x f1' \land vars f1' =
vars f1 \cup \{x\} \land
      (\forall v. \ \Psi \ (eval \ f1 \ v) = \Psi \ (eval \ f1' \ v))
   and f2' intro: bipart_rlexp x f2' \land vars f2' = vars f2 \cup \{x\} \land
      (\forall v. \ \Psi \ (eval \ f2\ v) = \Psi \ (eval \ f2\ 'v))
   by auto
  then obtain p1 q1 p2 q2 where p1 q1 intro: reg eval p1 \land reg eval q1 \land
   f1' = Union \ p1 \ (Concat \ q1 \ (Var \ x)) \land (\forall \ y \in vars \ p1. \ y \neq x)
    and p2\_q2\_intro: reg\_eval p2 \land reg\_eval q2 \land f2' = Union p2 (Concat q2
(Var x)) \wedge
   (\forall y \in vars \ p2. \ y \neq x) \ \mathbf{unfolding} \ bipart\_rlexp\_def \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
  let ?f' = Union (Union p1 p2) (Concat (Union q1 q2) (Var x))
 have bipart\_rlexp \ x ?f' unfolding bipart\_rlexp\_def using p1\_q1\_intro \ p2\_q2\_intro
by auto
  moreover have \Psi (eval ?f' v) = \Psi (eval (Union f1 f2) v) for v
   using p1 q1 intro p2 q2 intro f1' intro f2' intro
   by (simp add: conc_Un_distrib(2) sup_assoc sup_left_commute)
  moreover from f1'_intro f2'_intro p1_q1_intro p2_q2_intro
   have vars ?f' = vars (Union f1 f2) \cup \{x\} by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by metis
qed
lemma reg_eval_bipart_rlexp_Concat:
  assumes \exists f'. bipart\_rlexp \ x \ f' \land vars \ f' = vars \ f1 \cup \{x\} \land f'
               (\forall v. \ \Psi \ (eval \ f1 \ v) = \Psi \ (eval \ f' \ v))
          \exists f'. \ bipart\_rlexp \ x \ f' \land vars \ f' = vars \ f2 \cup \{x\} \land
               (\forall v. \ \Psi \ (eval \ f2 \ v) = \Psi \ (eval \ f' \ v))
   shows \exists f'. bipart\_rlexp \ x \ f' \land vars \ f' = vars \ (Concat \ f1 \ f2) \cup \{x\} \land
                (\forall v. \ \Psi \ (eval \ (Concat \ f1 \ f2) \ v) = \Psi \ (eval \ f' \ v))
```

```
proof -
  from assms obtain f1' f2' where f1'_intro: bipart_rlexp x f1' \land vars f1' =
vars f1 \cup \{x\} \land
     (\forall v. \ \Psi \ (eval \ f1 \ v) = \Psi \ (eval \ f1' \ v))
   and f2' intro: bipart_rlexp x f2' \land vars f2' = vars f2 \cup \{x\} \land
     (\forall v. \ \Psi \ (eval \ f2\ v) = \Psi \ (eval \ f2\ 'v))
   by auto
  then obtain p1 q1 p2 q2 where p1 q1 intro: req_eval p1 \land req_eval q1 \land
   f1' = Union \ p1 \ (Concat \ q1 \ (Var \ x)) \land (\forall \ y \in vars \ p1. \ y \neq x)
    and p2\_q2\_intro: reg\_eval p2 \land reg\_eval q2 \land f2' = Union p2 (Concat q2
(Var x)) \land
   (\forall y \in vars \ p2. \ y \neq x) \ \mathbf{unfolding} \ bipart\_rlexp\_def \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
 let ?q' = Union (Concat \ q1 \ (Concat \ (Var \ x) \ q2)) (Union (Concat \ p1 \ q2) (Concat
q1 p2))
 let ?f' = Union (Concat p1 p2) (Concat ?q' (Var x))
 have \forall v. (\Psi (eval (Concat f1 f2) v) = \Psi (eval ?f' v))
 proof (rule allI)
   \mathbf{fix} \ v
   have f2\_subst: \Psi (eval f2 v) = \Psi (eval p2 v \cup eval q2 v @@ v x)
     using p2_q2_intro f2'_intro by auto
   have \Psi (eval (Concat f1 f2) v) = \Psi ((eval p1 v \cup eval q1 v @@ v x) @@ eval
f2 v
     using p1_q1_intro f1'_intro
     by (metis eval.simps(1) eval.simps(3) eval.simps(4) parikh_conc_right)
   also have ... = \Psi (eval p1 v @@ eval f2 v \cup eval q1 v @@ v x @@ eval f2 v)
     by (simp add: conc_Un_distrib(2) conc_assoc)
   also have ... = \Psi (eval p1 v @@ (eval p2 v \cup eval q2 v @@ v x)
       \cup eval \ q1 \ v @@ v \ x @@ (eval \ p2 \ v \cup eval \ q2 \ v @@ v \ x))
   using f2_subst by (smt (verit, ccfv_threshold) parikh_conc_right parikh_img_Un
parikh_img_commut)
   also have ... = \Psi (eval p1 v @@ eval p2 v \cup (eval p1 v @@ eval q2 v @@ v x
       eval\ q1\ v\ @@\ eval\ p2\ v\ @@\ v\ x \cup eval\ q1\ v\ @@\ v\ x\ @@\ eval\ q2\ v\ @@\ v\ x))
   using parikh_img_commut by (smt (z3) conc_Un_distrib(1) parikh_conc_right
parikh_img_Un sup_assoc)
   also have ... = \Psi (eval p1 v @@ eval p2 v \cup (eval p1 v @@ eval q2 v \cup
       eval\ q1\ v\ @@\ eval\ p2\ v\ \cup\ eval\ q1\ v\ @@\ v\ x\ @@\ eval\ q2\ v)\ @@\ v\ x)
     by (simp add: conc_Un_distrib(2) conc_assoc)
   also have \dots = \Psi (eval ?f' v)
     by (simp add: Un_commute)
   finally show \Psi (eval (Concat f1 f2) v) = \Psi (eval ?f' v).
 moreover have bipart rlexp x ?f' unfolding bipart rlexp def using p1_q1_intro
p2\_q2\_intro by auto
 moreover from f1'_intro f2'_intro p1_q1_intro p2_q2_intro
   have vars ?f' = vars (Concat f1 f2) \cup \{x\} by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by metis
qed
```

```
lemma reg_eval_bipart_rlexp_Star:
     assumes \exists f'. bipart\_rlexp \ x \ f' \land vars \ f' = vars \ f \cup \{x\}
                                            \wedge (\forall v. \ \Psi \ (eval \ f \ v) = \Psi \ (eval \ f' \ v))
     shows \exists f'. bipart_rlexp x f' \land vars f' = vars (Star f) \cup \{x\}
                                           \wedge (\forall v. \ \Psi \ (eval \ (Star \ f) \ v) = \Psi \ (eval \ f' \ v))
proof -
      from assms obtain f' where f' intro: bipart_rlexp x f' \wedge vars f' = vars f \cup vars f'
\{x\} \wedge
                (\forall v. \ \Psi \ (eval \ f \ v) = \Psi \ (eval \ f' \ v)) by auto
     then obtain p q where p\_q\_intro: reg\_eval p \land reg\_eval q \land
              f' = Union \ p \ (Concat \ q \ (Var \ x)) \land (\forall y \in vars \ p. \ y \neq x) \ \mathbf{unfolding} \ bi
part_rlexp_def by auto
     let ?q\_new = Concat (Star p) (Concat (Star (Concat q (Var x))) (Concat (Star p) (Concat (Star p) (Concat p) 
(Concat\ q\ (Var\ x)))\ q))
     let ?f new = Union (Star p) (Concat ?q new (Var x))
     have \forall v. (\Psi (eval (Star f) v) = \Psi (eval ?f_new v))
     proof (rule allI)
          \mathbf{fix} \ v
          have \Psi (eval (Star f) v) = \Psi (star (eval p v \cup eval q v @@ v x))
                using f' intro parikh star mono eq p q intro
                by (metis\ eval.simps(1)\ eval.simps(3)\ eval.simps(4)\ eval.simps(5))
          also have ... = \Psi (star (eval p v) @@ star (eval q v @@ v x))
                using parikh_img_star by blast
          also have ... = \Psi (star (eval p v) @@
                      star ({[]} \cup star (eval q v @@ v x) @@ eval q v @@ v x))
                by (metis Un_commute conc_star_comm star_idemp star_unfold_left)
          also have ... = \Psi (star (eval p v) @@ star (star (eval q v @@ v x) @@ eval q
v @@ v x))
                by auto
          also have ... = \Psi (star (eval p v) @@ ({[]} \cup star (eval q v @@ v x)
                      @@ star (eval q v @@ v x) @@ eval q v @@ v x))
                using parikh_img_star2 parikh_conc_left by blast
            also have ... = \Psi (star (eval p v) @@ {[]} \cup star (eval p v) @@ star (eval q
v @@ v x
                @@ star(eval\ q\ v\ @@\ v\ x) @@ eval\ q\ v\ @@\ v\ x) by (metis\ conc\_Un\_distrib(1))
          also have \dots = \Psi (eval ?f new v) by (simp add: conc assoc)
          finally show \Psi (eval (Star f) v) = \Psi (eval ?f_new v).
    moreover have bipart_rlexp x ?f _new unfolding bipart_rlexp _def using p _q _intro
by fastforce
      moreover from f'_intro p_q_intro have vars ?f_new = vars (Star f) \cup \{x\}
by auto
     ultimately show ?thesis by metis
qed
\mathbf{lemma} \ reg\_eval\_bipart\_rlexp: \ reg\_eval \ f \Longrightarrow
           \exists f'. \ bipart\_rlexp \ x \ f' \land vars \ f' = vars \ f \cup \{x\} \land f' = vars \ f' = vars \ f' = vars \ f' \land vars \ f' \land vars \ f' = vars \ f' \land vars \ f' \land vars \ f' = vars \ f' \land vars \ f' \land vars \ f' = vars \ f' \land vars \ f' = vars \ f' \land vars \ f' \land vars \ f' = vars \ f' \land vars \ f' = vars \ f' \land vars \ f' \land vars \ f' = vars \ f' \land vars \ f' \land vars \ f' = vars \ f' \land vars \ f' \land vars \ f' \rightarrow vars \ f' 
                         (\forall s. \ \Psi \ (eval \ f \ s) = \Psi \ (eval \ f' \ s))
proof (induction f rule: reg_eval.induct)
```

```
case (1 uu)
  from reg_eval_bipart_rlexp_Variable show ?case by blast
\mathbf{next}
  case (2 l)
  then have regular lang l by simp
  from reg_eval_bipart_rlexp_Const[OF this] show ?case by blast
\mathbf{next}
  case (3 f g)
  then have \exists f'. bipart_rlexp x f' \land vars f' = vars f \cup \{x\} \land (\forall v. \ \Psi \ (eval f v))
=\Psi (eval f' v)
           \exists f'. \ bipart\_rlexp \ x \ f' \land vars \ f' = vars \ g \cup \{x\} \land (\forall v. \ \Psi \ (eval \ g \ v) = \Psi
(eval f' v))
    by auto
 from reg_eval_bipart_rlexp_Union[OF this] show ?case by blast
next
  case (4 f q)
  then have \exists f'. bipart rlexp x f' \land vars f' = vars f \cup \{x\} \land (\forall v. \ \Psi \ (eval \ f \ v))
=\Psi (eval f' v)
           \exists f'. \ bipart\_rlexp \ x \ f' \land vars \ f' = vars \ g \cup \{x\} \land (\forall v. \ \Psi \ (eval \ g \ v) = \Psi
(eval f' v)
    by auto
  from reg_eval_bipart_rlexp_Concat[OF this] show ?case by blast
\mathbf{next}
  case (5 f)
  then have \exists f'. bipart_rlexp x f' \land vars f' = vars f \cup \{x\} \land (\forall v. \ \Psi \ (eval f v))
=\Psi (eval f' v)
    by auto
 from reg_eval_bipart_rlexp_Star[OF this] show ?case by blast
\mathbf{qed}
```

4.2 Minimal solution for a single equation

The aim is to prove that every system of reg_eval equations of the second type has some minimal solution which is reg_eval . In this section, we prove this property only for the case of a single equation. First we assume that the equation is bipartite but later in this section we will abandon this assumption.

```
\begin{aligned} & \textbf{locale } single\_bipartite\_eq = \\ & \textbf{fixes } x :: nat \\ & \textbf{fixes } p :: 'a \ rlexp \\ & \textbf{fixes } q :: 'a \ rlexp \\ & \textbf{assumes } p\_reg : \quad reg\_eval \ p \\ & \textbf{assumes } q\_reg : \quad reg\_eval \ q \\ & \textbf{assumes } x\_not\_in\_p : x \notin vars \ p \\ & \textbf{begin} \end{aligned}
```

The equation and the minimal solution look as follows. Here, x describes the variable whose solution is to be determined. In the subsequent lemmas, we prove that the solution is req_eval and fulfills each of the three conditions

```
lemmas of the sections 2.5 and 2.6 here:
abbreviation eq \equiv Union \ p \ (Concat \ q \ (Var \ x))
abbreviation sol \equiv Concat (Star (subst (Var(x := p)) q)) p
lemma sol_is_reg: reg_eval sol
proof -
 \mathbf{from}\ p\_reg\ q\_reg\ \mathbf{have}\ r\_reg:\ reg\_eval\ (subst\ (\mathit{Var}(x:=p))\ q)
   using subst_req_eval_update by auto
  with p_reg show reg_eval sol by auto
qed
lemma sol\_vars: vars sol \subseteq vars eq - \{x\}
proof -
 let ?upd = Var(x := p)
 \mathbf{let} ?subst\_q = subst ?upd q
 from x\_not\_in\_p have vars\_p: vars p \subseteq vars eq - \{x\} by fastforce
 moreover have vars p \cup vars \ q \subseteq vars \ eq by auto
 ultimately have vars ?subst\_q \subseteq vars eq - \{x\}  using vars\_subst\_upd\_upper
by blast
 with x not in p show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma sol_is_sol_ineq: partial_sol_ineq x eq sol
unfolding partial_sol_ineq_def proof (rule allI, rule impI)
 assume x_is_sol: v x = eval sol v
 let ?r = subst (Var (x := p)) q
 let ?upd = Var(x := sol)
 let ?q\_subst = subst ?upd q
 let ?eq\_subst = subst ?upd eq
  have homogeneous_app: \Psi (eval ?q_subst v) \subseteq \Psi (eval (Concat (Star ?r) ?r)
   using rlexp_homogeneous by blast
 from x\_not\_in\_p have eval\ (subst\ ?upd\ p)\ v = eval\ p\ v\ using\ eval\_vars\_subst[of
p by simp
 then have \Psi (eval ?eq_subst v) = \Psi (eval p v \cup eval ?q_subst v @@ eval sol v)
   by simp
 also have ... \subseteq \Psi (eval p \ v \cup eval (Concat (Star ?r) ?r) v @@ eval sol v)
   using homogeneous app by (metis dual order.refl parikh conc right subset
parikh ima Un sup.mono)
 also have \dots = \Psi (eval \ p \ v) \cup
     \Psi (star (eval ?r v) @@ eval ?r v @@ star (eval ?r v) @@ eval p v)
   by (simp add: conc_assoc)
 also have \dots = \Psi (eval \ p \ v) \cup
     \Psi \ (\mathit{eval} \ ?r \ v \ @@ \ \mathit{star} \ (\mathit{eval} \ ?r \ v) \ @@ \ \mathit{eval} \ p \ v)
    using parikh_img_commut conc_star_star by (smt (verit, best) conc_assoc
conc\_star\_comm)
 also have ... = \Psi (star (eval ?r v) @@ eval p v)
```

of the predicate partial_min_sol_one_ineq. In particular, we will use the

```
using star unfold left
  by (smt (verit) conc_Un_distrib(2) conc_assoc conc_epsilon(1) parikh_img_Un
sup\_commute)
 finally have *: \Psi (eval ?eq_subst v) \subseteq \Psi (v x) using x_is_sol by simp
 from x is sol have v = v(x := eval \ sol \ v) using fun upd triv by metis
 then have eval eq v = eval (subst (Var(x := sol)) eq) v
   using substitution lemma upd[where f=eq] by presburger
 with * show solves ineq comm x eq v unfolding solves ineq comm def by
argo
\mathbf{qed}
lemma sol_is_minimal:
 assumes is sol:
                     solves\_ineq\_comm \ x \ eq \ v
    and sol'_s: v x = eval sol' v
                    \Psi (eval sol v) \subseteq \Psi (v x)
   shows
proof -
 from is\_sol \ sol'\_s have is\_sol': \Psi (eval q \ v @@ v \ x \cup eval \ p \ v) \subseteq \Psi (v \ x)
   unfolding solves_ineq_comm_def by simp
 then have 1: \Psi (eval (Concat (Star q) p) v) \subseteq \Psi (v x)
   using parikh img_arden by auto
 from is\_sol' have \Psi (eval p v) \subseteq \Psi (eval (Var x) v) by auto
 then have \Psi (eval (subst (Var(x := p)) q) v) \subseteq \Psi (eval q v)
   using parikh_img_subst_mono_upd by (metis fun_upd_triv subst_id)
 then have \Psi (eval (Star (subst (Var(x := p)) q)) v) \subseteq \Psi (eval (Star q) v)
   using parikh_star_mono by auto
 then have \Psi (eval sol v) \subseteq \Psi (eval (Concat (Star q) p) v)
   using parikh_conc_right_subset by (metis\ eval.simps(4))
 with 1 show ?thesis by fast
qed
    In summary, sol is a minimal partial solution and it is req eval:
lemma sol_is_minimal_reg_sol:
 reg\_eval\ sol\ \land\ partial\_min\_sol\_one\_ineq\ x\ eq\ sol
 unfolding partial_min_sol_one_ineq_def
 using sol_is_reg sol_vars sol_is_sol_ineq sol_is_minimal
 by blast
```

 \mathbf{end}

As announced at the beginning of this section, we now extend the previous result to arbitrary equations, i.e. we show that each reg_eval equation has some minimal partial solution which is reg_eval :

```
lemma exists_minimal_reg_sol:
   assumes eq_reg: reg_eval eq
   shows \exists sol. reg_eval sol \land partial_min_sol_one_ineq x eq sol
proof \neg
   from reg_eval_bipart_rlexp[OF eq_reg] obtain eq'
   where eq'_intro: bipart_rlexp x eq' \land vars eq' = vars eq \cup {x} \land
   (\forall v. \ \Psi \ (eval \ eq \ v) = \Psi \ (eval \ eq' \ v)) by blast
```

```
then obtain p \ q where p\_q\_intro: reg\_eval \ p \land reg\_eval \ q \land eq' = Union \ p (Concat q (Var x)) \land x \notin vars \ p unfolding bipart\_rlexp\_def by blast let ?sol = Concat (Star (subst (Var(x := p)) q)) p from p\_q\_intro have sol\_prop: reg\_eval ?sol \land partial\_min\_sol\_one\_ineq \ x eq' ?sol using single\_bipartite\_eq.sol\_is\_minimal\_reg\_sol unfolding single\_bipartite\_eq\_def by blast with eq'\_intro have partial\_min\_sol\_one\_ineq \ x eq ?sol using same\_min\_sol\_if\_same\_parikh\_img by blast with sol\_prop show ?thesis by blast qed
```

4.3 Minimal solution of the whole system of equations

In this section we will extend the last section's result to whole systems of reg_eval equations. For this purpose, we will show by induction on r that the first r equations have some minimal partial solution which is reg_eval .

We start with the centerpiece of the induction step: If a reg_eval and minimal partial solution sols exists for the first r equations and furthermore a reg_eval and minimal partial solution sol_r exists for the r-th equation, then there exists a reg_eval and minimal partial solution for the first $Suc\ r$ equations as well.

```
locale min_sol_induction_step =
  fixes r :: nat
   and sys :: 'a \ eq\_sys
   and sols :: nat \Rightarrow 'a \ rlexp
   and sol\_r :: 'a \ rlexp
                           \forall eq \in set sys. req eval eq
  assumes eqs req:
     and sys valid:
                          \forall eq \in set sys. \ \forall x \in vars eq. \ x < length sys
     and r valid:
                         r < length sys
     and sols_is_sol: partial_min_sol_ineq_sys r sys sols
     and sols_reg:
                        \forall i. reg\_eval (sols i)
    and sol\_r\_is\_sol: partial\_min\_sol\_one\_ineq\ r\ (subst\_sys\ sols\ sys\ !\ r)\ sol\_r
     and sol\_r\_reg:
                         reg\_eval\ sol\_r
begin
```

Throughout the proof, a modified system of equations will be occasionally used to simplify the proof; this modified system is obtained by substituting the partial solutions of the first r equations into the original system. Additionally we retrieve a partial solution for the first $Suc\ r$ equations - named sols' - by substituting the partial solution of the r-th equation into the partial solutions of each of the first r equations:

```
abbreviation sys' \equiv subst\_sys \ sols \ sys
abbreviation sols' \equiv \lambda i. \ subst \ (Var(r := sol\_r)) \ (sols \ i)
```

```
lemma sols'_r: sols' r = sol_r
 using sols_is_sol unfolding partial_min_sol_ineq_sys_def by simp
    The next lemmas show that sols' is still req eval and that it complies
with each of the four conditions defined by the predicate partial min_sol_ineq_sys:
lemma sols'\_reg: \forall i. reg\_eval (sols' i)
 using sols_reg sol_r_reg using subst_reg_eval_update by blast
lemma sols'_is_sol: solution_ineq_sys (take (Suc r) sys) sols'
unfolding solution_ineq_sys_def proof (rule allI, rule impI)
 \mathbf{fix} \ v
 assume s\_sols': \forall x. \ v \ x = eval \ (sols' \ x) \ v
 from sols'_r s_sols' have s_r_sol_r v r = eval sol_r v by simp
 with s\_sols' have s\_sols: v x = eval (sols x) v for x
  using substitution lemma upd where f=sols x by (auto simp add: fun_upd_idem)
 with sols is sol have solves r sys: solves ineq sys comm (take r sys) v
   unfolding partial_min_sol_ineq_sys_def solution_ineq_sys_def by meson
 have eval (sys! r) (\lambda y. eval (sols y) v) = eval (sys'! r) v
   using substitution\_lemma[of \lambda y. eval (sols y) v]
   by (simp add: r_valid Suc_le_lessD subst_sys_subst)
 with s_sols have eval (sys! r) v = eval (sys'! r) v
   by (metis (mono_tags, lifting) eval_vars)
 with sol r is sol s r sol r have \Psi (eval (sys! r) v) \subseteq \Psi (v r)
  unfolding partial min_sol_one_ineq_def partial_sol_ineq_def solves_ineq_comm_def
by simp
 with solves r sys show solves ineq sys comm (take (Suc r) sys) v
   unfolding solves ineq sys comm def solves ineq comm def by (auto simp
add: less\_Suc\_eq)
qed
lemma sols' min: \forall sols 2 v 2. (\forall x. v 2 x = eval (sols 2 x) v 2)
               \land solves\_ineq\_sys\_comm (take (Suc r) sys) v2
                \longrightarrow (\forall i. \ \Psi \ (eval \ (sols' \ i) \ v2) \subseteq \Psi \ (v2 \ i))
proof (rule \ all I \mid rule \ impI) +
 fix sols2 v2 i
 assume as: (\forall x. \ v2 \ x = eval \ (sols2 \ x) \ v2) \land solves \ ineq \ sys \ comm \ (take \ (Suc
r) sys) v2
 then have solves_ineq_sys_comm (take r sys) v2 unfolding solves_ineq_sys_comm_def
by fastforce
 with as sols_is_sol have sols_s2: \Psi (eval (sols i) v2) \subseteq \Psi (v2 i) for i
   unfolding partial_min_sol_ineq_sys_def by auto
 have eval\ (sys' \mid r)\ v2 = eval\ (sys \mid r)\ (\lambda i.\ eval\ (sols\ i)\ v2)
   unfolding subst\_sys\_def using substitution\_lemma[where f=sys! r]
   by (simp add: r_valid Suc_le_lessD)
 with sols_s2 have \Psi (eval (sys'! r) v2) \subseteq \Psi (eval (sys! r) v2)
   using rlexp_mono_parikh[of sys!r] by auto
 with as have solves ineq comm r (sys'! r) v2
   unfolding solves_ineq_sys_comm_def solves_ineq_comm_def using r_valid
by force
```

```
with as sol\_r\_is\_sol have sol\_r\_min: \Psi (eval sol\_r v2) \subseteq \Psi (v2 r)
   \mathbf{unfolding} \ \mathit{partial\_min\_sol\_one\_ineq\_def} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{blast}
  let ?v' = v2(r := eval sol\_r v2)
  from sol_r min have \Psi (?v'i) \subseteq \Psi (v2i) for i by simp
  with sols\_s2 show \Psi (eval (sols' i) v2) \subseteq \Psi (v2 i)
    using substitution_lemma_upd[where f=sols i] rlexp_mono_parikh[of sols i
?v'v2] by force
qed
lemma sols'\_vars\_gt\_r: \forall i \geq Suc \ r. sols' \ i = Var \ i
 \mathbf{using} \ sols\_is\_sol \ \mathbf{unfolding} \ partial\_min\_sol\_ineq\_sys\_def \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
lemma sols'\_vars\_leq\_r: \forall i < Suc \ r. \forall x \in vars \ (sols' \ i). x \ge Suc \ r \land x < length
sys
proof -
 from sols is sol have \forall i < r. \ \forall x \in vars (sols i). \ x > r \land x < length sys
   unfolding partial_min_sol_ineq_sys_def by simp
  with sols_is_sol have vars_sols: \forall i < length sys. \forall x \in vars (sols i). x \geq r \land
x < length sys
    unfolding partial min sol ineq sys def by (metis empty iff insert iff leI
vars.simps(1)
  with sys\_valid have \forall x \in vars (subst sols (sys!i)). x \geq r \land x < length sys if
i < length sys  for i
    using vars_subst[of sols sys!i] that by (metis UN_E nth_mem)
  then have \forall x \in vars \ (sys'! \ i). x \geq r \land x < length \ sys \ if \ i < length \ sys \ for \ i
    unfolding subst\_sys\_def using r\_valid that by auto
  moreover from sol_r is sol_r have vars_r (sol_r) \subseteq vars_r (sys' ! r) - \{r\}
   {\bf unfolding} \ partial\_min\_sol\_one\_ineq\_def \ {\bf by} \ simp
  ultimately have vars\_sol\_r: \forall x \in vars \ sol\_r. x > r \land x < length \ sys
   unfolding partial_min_sol_one_ineq_def using r_valid
   by (metis DiffE insertCI nat_less_le subsetD)
  moreover have vars (sols' i) \subseteq vars (sols i) - \{r\} \cup vars sol\_r if i < length
sys for i
   using vars_subst_upd_upper by meson
  ultimately have \forall x \in vars \ (sols' \ i). \ x > r \land x < length \ sys \ \textbf{if} \ i < length \ sys
   using vars_sols that by fastforce
  then show ?thesis by (meson r_valid Suc_le_eq dual_order.strict_trans1)
```

In summary, sols' is a minimal partial solution of the first $Suc\ r$ equations. This allows us to prove the centerpiece of the induction step in the next lemma, namely that there exists a reg_eval and minimal partial solution for the first $Suc\ r$ equations:

```
lemma sols'_is_min_sol: partial_min_sol_ineq_sys (Suc r) sys sols' unfolding partial_min_sol_ineq_sys_def using sols'_is_sol sols'_min sols'_vars_gt_r sols'_vars_leq_r by blast
```

```
lemma exists\_min\_sol\_Suc\_r:

\exists sols'. partial\_min\_sol\_ineq\_sys (Suc r) sys sols' \land (\forall i. reg\_eval (sols' i))

using sols'\_reg sols'\_is\_min\_sol by blast
```

end

Now follows the actual induction proof: For every r, there exists a reg_eval and minimal partial solution of the first r equations. This then implies that there exists a regular and minimal (non-partial) solution of the whole system:

```
lemma exists minimal reg sol sys aux:
 assumes eqs\_reg: \forall eq \in set sys. reg\_eval eq
     and sys\_valid: \forall eq \in set sys. \forall x \in vars eq. x < length sys
     and r_valid: r \leq length sys
    shows
                       \exists sols. partial min sol ineq sys r sys sols \land (\forall i. req eval)
(sols i))
using r\_valid proof (induction \ r)
 case \theta
 have solution_ineq_sys (take 0 sys) Var
   unfolding solution_ineq_sys_def solves_ineq_sys_comm_def by simp
 then show ?case unfolding partial_min_sol_ineq_sys_def by auto
next
  case (Suc\ r)
 then obtain sols where sols_intro: partial_min_sol_ineq_sys r sys sols \land (\forall i.
reg\_eval (sols i)
   by auto
 let ?sys' = subst sys sols sys
 \mathbf{from}\ \mathit{eqs\_reg}\ \mathit{Suc.prems}\ \mathbf{have}\ \mathit{reg\_eval}\ (\mathit{sys}\ !\ r)\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{simp}
  with sols_intro Suc.prems have sys_r_reg: reg_eval (?sys'!r)
   using subst_req_eval[of sys! r] subst_sys_subst[of r sys] by simp
  then obtain sol\_r where sol\_r\_intro:
    reg\_eval\ sol\_r \land partial\_min\_sol\_one\_ineq\ r\ (?sys'!\ r)\ sol\_r
   using exists_minimal_reg_sol by blast
  with Suc sols intro sys valid eqs req have min sol induction step r sys sols
sol r
   unfolding min_sol_induction_step_def by force
 from min_sol_induction_step.exists_min_sol_Suc_r[OF this] show ?case by
blast
qed
lemma exists_minimal_reg_sol_sys:
 assumes eqs req: \forall eq \in set sys. req eval eq
     and sys\_valid: \forall eq \in set sys. \forall x \in vars eq. x < length sys
   shows
                      \exists sols. min\_sol\_ineq\_sys\_comm sys sols \land (\forall i. regular\_lang)
(sols i)
proof -
 from eqs_reg sys_valid have
   \exists \ sols. \ partial\_min\_sol\_ineq\_sys \ (length \ sys) \ sys \ sols \ \land \ (\forall \ i. \ reg\_eval \ (sols \ i))
   using exists_minimal_reg_sol_sys_aux by blast
```

```
then obtain sols where
   sols\_intro: partial\_min\_sol\_ineq\_sys (length sys) sys sols \land (\forall i. reg\_eval (sols))
i))
   by blast
  then have const\_rlexp (sols i) if i < length sys for i
   using that unfolding partial min sol ineq sys def by (meson equals0I leD)
 with sols_intro have \exists l. regular\_lang l \land (\forall v. eval (sols i) v = l) if i < length
sys for i
   using that const_rlexp_regular_lang by metis
 then obtain ls where ls_intro: \forall i < length sys. regular_lang (ls i) \land (\forall v. eval)
(sols i) v = ls i)
   by metis
 let ?ls' = \lambda i. if i < length sys then ls i else <math>\{\}
 from ls_intro have ls'_intro:
   (\forall i < length \ sys. \ regular\_lang \ (?ls'i) \land (\forall v. \ eval \ (sols \ i) \ v = ?ls'i))
    \land (\forall i \geq length \ sys. \ ?ls' \ i = \{\}) \ by \ force
  then have ls'\_regular: regular\_lang (?ls' i) for i by (meson\ lang.simps(1))
 from ls'_intro sols_intro have solves_ineq_sys_comm sys ?ls'
   unfolding partial_min_sol_ineq_sys_def solution_ineq_sys_def
   by (smt (verit) eval.simps(1) linorder not less nless le take all iff)
 moreover have \forall sol'. solves_ineq_sys_comm sys sol' \longrightarrow (\forall x. \ \Psi \ (?ls' \ x) \subseteq \Psi
(sol' x))
  proof (rule allI, rule impI)
   \mathbf{fix} \ sol' \ x
   assume as: solves_ineq_sys_comm sys sol'
   let ?sol_rlexps = \lambda i. Const (sol' i)
   from as have solves ineq sys comm (take (length sys) sys) sol' by simp
   moreover have sol' x = eval (?sol rlexps x) sol' for x by simp
   ultimately show \forall x. \ \Psi \ (?ls' \ x) \subseteq \Psi \ (sol' \ x)
     using sols_intro unfolding partial_min_sol_ineq_sys_def
     by (smt (verit) empty_subsetI eval.simps(1) ls'_intro parikh_img_mono)
 qed
 ultimately have min_sol_ineq_sys_comm sys ?ls' unfolding min_sol_ineq_sys_comm_def
by blast
 with ls'_regular show ?thesis by blast
qed
```

4.4 Parikh's theorem

Finally we are able to prove Parikh's theorem, i.e. that to each context free language exists a regular language with identical Parikh image:

```
theorem Parikh:
assumes CFL (TYPE('n)) L
shows \exists L'. regular\_lang L' \land \Psi L = \Psi L'
proof -
from assms obtain P and S::'n where *: L = Lang P S \land finite P unfolding CFL\_def by blast
show ?thesis
proof (cases S \in Nts P)
```

```
case True
   from * finite\_Nts\ exists\_bij\_Nt\_Var\ obtain\ \gamma\ \gamma'\ where\ **:\ bij\_Nt\_Var\ (Nts
P) \gamma \gamma' by metis
   let ?sol = \lambda i. if i < card (Nts P) then Lang_lfp P(\gamma i) else \{\}
   from ** True have \gamma' S < card (Nts P) \gamma (\gamma' S) = S
     unfolding bij_Nt_Var_def bij_betw_def by auto
   with Lang\_lfp\_eq\_Lang have ***: Lang P S = ?sol (\gamma' S) by metis
   from * ** CFG\_eq\_sys.CFL\_is\_min\_sol obtain sys
      where sys\_intro: (\forall eq \in set sys. reg\_eval eq) \land (\forall eq \in set sys. \forall x \in vars)
eq. x < length sys)
                     \land min\_sol\_ineq\_sys sys ?sol
     unfolding CFG_eq_sys_def by blast
   \textbf{with} \ min\_sol\_min\_sol\_comm \ \textbf{have} \ sol\_is\_min\_sol: min\_sol\_ineq\_sys\_comm \\
sys ?sol by fast
   from sys_intro exists_minimal_reg_sol_sys obtain sol' where
     sol' intro: min sol ineq sys comm sys sol' \land regular lang (sol' (\gamma' S)) by
fast force
   with sol\_is\_min\_sol\ min\_sol\_comm\_unique have \Psi (?sol (\gamma' S)) = \Psi (sol'
(\gamma' S)
     by blast
   with * *** sol'_intro show ?thesis by auto
 next
   case False
   with Nts_Lhss_Rhs_Nts have S \notin Lhss P by fast
  from Lang empty if notin Lhss[OF this] * show ? thesis by (metis lang.simps(1))
 qed
qed
lemma singleton\_set\_mset\_subset: fixes X \ Y :: 'a \ list set
  assumes \forall xs \in X. set xs \subseteq \{a\} mset 'X \subseteq mset 'Y
 shows X \subseteq Y
proof
 fix xs assume xs \in X
 obtain ys where ys: ys \in Y mset xs = mset ys
   using \langle xs \in X \rangle \ assms(2) by auto
 then show xs \in Y using \langle xs \in X \rangle assms(1) ys
  by (metis singleton_iff mset_eq_setD replicate_eqI set_empty subset_singletonD
size\_mset)
qed
lemma singleton\_set\_mset\_eq: fixes X Y :: 'a \ list \ set
 assumes \forall xs \in X. set xs \subseteq \{a\} mset ' X = mset ' Y
 shows X = Y
proof -
  have \forall ys \in Y. set ys \subseteq \{a\}
   by (metis (mono_tags, lifting) assms image_iff mset_eq_setD)
  thus ?thesis
   by (metis antisym assms(1,2) singleton_set_mset_subset subset_reft)
```

```
qed
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ derives\_tms\_syms\_subset:
  P \vdash \alpha \Rightarrow * \gamma \Longrightarrow tms\_syms \ \gamma \subseteq tms\_syms \ \alpha \cup Tms \ P
by(induction rule: derives_induct) (auto simp:tms_syms_def Tms_def)
    Corollary: Every context-free language over a single letter is regular.
corollary CFL_1_Tm_regular:
 assumes CFL (TYPE('n)) L and \forall w \in L. set w \subseteq \{a\}
 shows regular_lang L
proof -
  obtain L' where regular\_lang L' \Psi L = \Psi L'
   using Parikh[OF\ assms(1)] by blast
 have L = L'
  by (metis \land \Psi \ L = \Psi \ L' \land \forall \ w \in L. \ set \ w \subseteq \{a\} \land parikh\_img\_def \ singleton\_set\_mset\_eq)
  with \langle regular\_lang L' \rangle show ?thesis by blast
corollary CFG_1_Tm_regular:
 assumes finite P Tms P = \{a\}
 shows regular_lang (Lang P A)
proof -
 let ?L = Lang P A
 have \forall w \in ?L. \ set \ w \subseteq \{a\}
   using derives_tms_syms_subset[of P [Nt A] map Tm _] assms(2)
   unfolding Lang_def tms_syms_def by auto
 thus ?thesis
   by (meson CFL_1_Tm_regular CFL_def assms(1))
qed
no_notation parikh\_img (\Psi)
end
```

References

[1] D. L. Pilling. Commutative regular equations and Parikh's theorem. Journal of the London Mathematical Society, s2-6(4):663–666, 1973. https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s2-6.4.663.