

p -adic Hensel's Lemma

Aaron Crighton

December 14, 2021

Contents

1	The Ring of Extensional Functions from a Fixed Base Set to a Fixed Base Ring	5
1.1	Basic Operations on Extensional Functions	5
1.2	Defining the Ring of Extensional Functions	5
1.3	Algebraic Properties of the Basic Operations	7
1.3.1	Basic Carrier Facts	7
1.3.2	Basic Multiplication Facts	8
1.3.3	Basic Addition Facts	8
1.3.4	Basic Facts About the Multiplicative Unit	9
1.3.5	Basic Facts About the Additive Unit	10
1.3.6	Distributive Laws	10
1.3.7	Additive Inverses	10
1.3.8	Scalar Multiplication	11
1.3.9	The Ring of Functions Forms an Algebra	12
1.4	Constant Functions	13
1.5	Special Examples of Functions Rings	14
1.5.1	Functions from the Carrier of a Ring to Itself	14
1.5.2	Sequences Indexed by the Natural Numbers	18
2	Extensional Maps Between the Carriers of two Structures	20
3	Basic Notions about Polynomials	24
3.1	Lemmas About Coefficients	24
3.2	Degree Bound Lemmas	26
3.3	Leading Term Function	27
3.4	Properties of Leading Terms and Leading Coefficients in Commutative Rings and Domains	32
3.5	Constant Terms and Constant Coefficients	36
3.6	Polynomial Induction Rules	38

4	Mapping a Polynomial to its Associated Ring Function	39
4.1	to-fun is a Ring Homomorphism from Polynomials to Functions	41
4.2	Inclusion of a Ring into its Polynomials Ring via Constants	42
5	Polynomial Substitution	43
6	Describing the Image of $(UP \mathbb{R})$ in the Ring of Functions from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R}	50
7	Taylor Expansions	51
7.1	Monic Linear Polynomials	51
7.2	Basic Facts About Taylor Expansions	55
7.3	Defining the (Scalar-Valued) Derivative of a Polynomial Using the Taylor Expansion	58
8	The Polynomial-Valued Derivative Operator	58
8.1	Operator Which Shifts Coefficients	58
8.2	Operator Which Multiplies Coefficients by Their Degree	60
8.3	The Derivative Operator	61
8.4	The Product Rule	68
8.5	The Chain Rule	69
8.6	Linear Substitutions	70
9	Lemmas About Polynomial Division	71
9.1	Division by Linear Terms	71
9.2	Geometric Sums	72
9.3	Polynomial Evaluation at Multiplicative Inverses	73
10	Lifting Homomorphisms of Rings to Polynomial Rings by Application to Coefficients	74
11	Coefficient List Constructor for Polynomials	79
12	Polynomial Rings over a Subring	80
12.1	Characterizing the Carrier of a Polynomial Ring over a Subring	80
12.2	Evaluation over a Subring	82
12.3	Derivatives and Taylor Expansions over a Subring	83
13	Supplementary Ring Facts	85
14	Extended integers (i.e. with infinity)	88
14.1	Type definition	89
14.2	Constructors and numbers	90
14.3	Addition	91
14.4	Multiplication	92

14.5 Numerals	93
14.6 Subtraction	93
14.7 Ordering	94
14.8 Cancellation simprocs	97
14.9 Well-ordering	97
14.10 Traditional theorem names	97
15 Additional Lemmas (Useful for the Proof of Hensel’s Lemma)	98
16 Inverse Limit Construction of the p-adic Integers	101
16.1 Canonical Projection Maps Between Residue Rings	102
16.2 Defining the Set of p -adic Integers	102
17 The standard operations on the p-adic integers	103
17.1 Addition	103
17.2 Multiplication	104
18 The p-adic Valuation	105
19 Defining the Ring of p-adic Integers:	107
20 The Ultrametric Inequality:	109
21 A Locale for p-adic Integer Rings	110
22 Residue Rings	111
23 int and nat inclusions in \mathbb{Z}_p.	118
24 The Valuation on \mathbb{Z}_p	121
24.1 The Integer-Valued and Extended Integer-Valued Valuations	121
24.2 The Ultrametric Inequality	125
24.3 Units of \mathbb{Z}_p	128
25 Angular Component Maps on \mathbb{Z}_p	129
26 Behaviour of val_Zp and ord_Zp on Natural Numbers and Integers	133
27 Sequences over \mathbb{Z}_p	136
27.1 The Valutive Distance Function on \mathbb{Z}_p	136
27.2 Cauchy Sequences	137
27.3 Completeness of \mathbb{Z}_p	139

28 Continuous Functions	141
28.1 Defining Continuous Functions and Basic Examples	141
28.2 Composition by a Continuous Function Commutes with Taking Limits of Sequences	142
29 Auxiliary Lemmas for Hensel’s Lemma	146
30 The Proof of Hensel’s Lemma	149
30.1 Building a Locale for the Proof of Hensel’s Lemma	149
30.2 Constructing the Newton Sequence	150
30.3 Key Properties of the Newton Sequence	150
30.4 The Proof of Hensel’s Lemma	152
31 Removing Hensel’s Lemma from the Hensel Locale	152
32 Some Applications of Hensel’s Lemma to Root Finding for Polynomials over \mathbb{Z}_p	153

Abstract

We formalize the ring of p -adic integers within the framework of the HOL-Algebra library. The carrier of the ring \mathbb{Z}_p is formalized as the inverse limit of the residue rings $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ for a fixed prime p . We define a locale for reasoning about \mathbb{Z}_p for a fixed prime p , and define an integer-valued valuation, as well as an extended-integer valued valuation on \mathbb{Z}_p (where $0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ is the unique ring element mapped to ∞). Basic topological facts about the p -adic integers are formalized, including the completeness and sequential compactness of \mathbb{Z}_p . Taylor expansions of polynomials over a commutative ring are defined, culminating in the formalization of Hensel’s Lemma based on a proof due to Keith Conrad [1].

theory *Function-Ring*

imports *HOL-Algebra.Ring HOL-Library.FuncSet HOL-Algebra.Module*
begin

This theory formalizes basic facts about the ring of extensional functions from a fixed set to a fixed ring. This will be useful for providing a generic framework for various constructions related to the p -adics such as polynomial evaluation and sequences. The rings of semialgebraic functions will be defined as subrings of these function rings, which will be necessary for the proof of p -adic quantifier elimination.

1 The Ring of Extensional Functions from a Fixed Base Set to a Fixed Base Ring

1.1 Basic Operations on Extensional Functions

definition *function-mult*:: 'c set \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) ring-scheme \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow 'a) **where**
function-mult S R f g = ($\lambda x \in S. (f x) \otimes_R (g x)$)

abbreviation(input) *ring-function-mult*:: ('a, 'b) ring-scheme \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) **where**
ring-function-mult R f g \equiv *function-mult* (carrier R) R f g

definition *function-add*:: 'c set \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) ring-scheme \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow 'a) **where**
function-add S R f g = ($\lambda x \in S. (f x) \oplus_R (g x)$)

abbreviation(input) *ring-function-add*:: ('a, 'b) ring-scheme \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) **where**
ring-function-add R f g \equiv *function-add* (carrier R) R f g

definition *function-one*:: 'c set \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) ring-scheme \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow 'a) **where**
function-one S R = ($\lambda x \in S. \mathbf{1}_R$)

abbreviation(input) *ring-function-one* :: ('a, 'b) ring-scheme \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) **where**
ring-function-one R \equiv *function-one* (carrier R) R

definition *function-zero*:: 'c set \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) ring-scheme \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow 'a) **where**
function-zero S R = ($\lambda x \in S. \mathbf{0}_R$)

abbreviation(input) *ring-function-zero* :: ('a, 'b) ring-scheme \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) **where**
ring-function-zero R \equiv *function-zero* (carrier R) R

definition *function-uminus*:: 'c set \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) ring-scheme \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow 'a) **where**
function-uminus S R a = ($\lambda x \in S. \ominus_R (a x)$)

definition *ring-function-uminus*:: ('a, 'b) ring-scheme \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) **where**
ring-function-uminus R a = *function-uminus* (carrier R) R a

definition *function-scalar-mult*:: 'c set \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) ring-scheme \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow 'a) **where**
function-scalar-mult S R a f = ($\lambda x \in S. a \otimes_R (f x)$)

1.2 Defining the Ring of Extensional Functions

definition *function-ring*:: 'c set \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) ring-scheme \Rightarrow ('a, 'c \Rightarrow 'a) **module**
where

```

function-ring S R = (
  carrier = extensional-funcset S (carrier R),
  Group.monoid.mult = (function-mult S R),
  one = (function-one S R),
  zero = (function-zero S R),
  add = (function-add S R),
  smult = function-scalar-mult S R )

```

The following locale consists of a struct R , and a distinguished set S which is meant to serve as the domain for a ring of functions $S \rightarrow \text{carrier } R$.

```

locale struct-functions =
  fixes R :: ('a, 'b) partial-object-scheme (structure)
  and S :: 'c set

```

The following are locales which fix a ring R (which may be commutative, a domain, or a field) and a function ring F of extensional functions from a fixed set S to $\text{carrier } R$

```

locale ring-functions = struct-functions + R?: ring R +
  fixes F (structure)
  defines F-def: F  $\equiv$  function-ring S R

```

```

locale cring-functions = ring-functions + R?: cring R

```

```

locale domain-functions = ring-functions + R?: domain R

```

```

locale field-functions = ring-functions + R?: field R

```

```

sublocale cring-functions < ring-functions
  <proof>

```

```

sublocale domain-functions < ring-functions
  <proof>

```

```

sublocale domain-functions < cring-functions
  <proof>

```

```

sublocale field-functions < domain-functions
  <proof>

```

```

sublocale field-functions < ring-functions
  <proof>

```

```

sublocale field-functions < cring-functions
  <proof>

```

```

abbreviation(input) ring-function-ring:: ('a, 'b) ring-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a)
  module (Fun) where
  ring-function-ring R  $\equiv$  function-ring (carrier R) R

```

1.3 Algebraic Properties of the Basic Operations

1.3.1 Basic Carrier Facts

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *function-ring-defs*:
carrier $F = \text{extensional-funcset } S \text{ (carrier } R)$
 $(\otimes_F) = (\text{function-mult } S \ R)$
 $(\oplus_F) = (\text{function-add } S \ R)$
 $\mathbf{1}_F = \text{function-one } S \ R$
 $\mathbf{0}_F = \text{function-zero } S \ R$
 $(\odot_F) = \text{function-scalar-mult } S \ R$
<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *function-ring-car-memE*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } F$
shows $a \in \text{extensional } S$
 $a \in S \rightarrow \text{carrier } R$
<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *function-ring-car-closed*:
assumes $a \in S$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } F$
shows $f \ a \in \text{carrier } R$
<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *function-ring-not-car*:
assumes $a \notin S$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } F$
shows $f \ a = \text{undefined}$
<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *function-ring-car-eqI*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } F$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } F$
assumes $\bigwedge a. a \in S \implies f \ a = g \ a$
shows $f = g$
<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *function-ring-car-memI*:
assumes $\bigwedge a. a \in S \implies f \ a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $\bigwedge a. a \notin S \implies f \ a = \text{undefined}$
shows $f \in \text{carrier } F$
<proof>

lemma(in *ring*) *function-ring-car-memI*:
assumes $\bigwedge a. a \in S \implies f \ a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $\bigwedge a. a \notin S \implies f \ a = \text{undefined}$
shows $f \in \text{carrier (function-ring } S \ R)$
<proof>

1.3.2 Basic Multiplication Facts

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *function-mult-eval-car*:

assumes $a \in S$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } F$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } F$
shows $(f \otimes_F g) a = (f a) \otimes (g a)$
<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *function-mult-eval-closed*:

assumes $a \in S$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } F$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } F$
shows $(f \otimes_F g) a \in \text{carrier } R$
<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *fun-mult-closed*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } F$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } F$
shows $f \otimes_F g \in \text{carrier } F$
<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *fun-mult-eval-assoc*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } F$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } F$
assumes $z \in \text{carrier } F$
assumes $a \in S$
shows $(x \otimes_F y \otimes_F z) a = (x \otimes_F (y \otimes_F z)) a$
<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *fun-mult-assoc*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } F$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } F$
assumes $z \in \text{carrier } F$
shows $(x \otimes_F y \otimes_F z) = (x \otimes_F (y \otimes_F z))$
<proof>

1.3.3 Basic Addition Facts

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *fun-add-eval-car*:

assumes $a \in S$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } F$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } F$
shows $(f \oplus_F g) a = (f a) \oplus (g a)$
<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *fun-add-eval-closed*:

assumes $a \in S$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } F$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } F$

shows $(f \oplus_F g) a \in \text{carrier } R$
<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *fun-add-closed*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } F$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } F$
shows $f \oplus_F g \in \text{carrier } F$
<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *fun-add-eval-assoc*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } F$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } F$
assumes $z \in \text{carrier } F$
assumes $a \in S$
shows $(x \oplus_F y \oplus_F z) a = (x \oplus_F (y \oplus_F z)) a$
<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *fun-add-assoc*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } F$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } F$
assumes $z \in \text{carrier } F$
shows $x \oplus_F y \oplus_F z = x \oplus_F (y \oplus_F z)$
<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *fun-add-eval-comm*:
assumes $a \in S$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } F$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } F$
shows $(x \oplus_F y) a = (y \oplus_F x) a$
<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *fun-add-comm*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } F$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } F$
shows $x \oplus_F y = y \oplus_F x$
<proof>

1.3.4 Basic Facts About the Multiplicative Unit

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *function-one-eval*:
assumes $a \in S$
shows $\mathbf{1}_F a = \mathbf{1}$
<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *function-one-closed*:
 $\mathbf{1}_F \in \text{carrier } F$
<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *function-times-one-l*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } F$
shows $\mathbf{1}_F \otimes_F a = a$
<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *function-times-one-r*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } F$
shows $a \otimes_F \mathbf{1}_F = a$
<proof>

1.3.5 Basic Facts About the Additive Unit

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *function-zero-eval*:
assumes $a \in S$
shows $\mathbf{0}_F a = \mathbf{0}$
<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *function-zero-closed*:
 $\mathbf{0}_F \in \text{carrier } F$
<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *fun-add-zeroL*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } F$
shows $\mathbf{0}_F \oplus_F a = a$
<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *fun-add-zeroR*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } F$
shows $a \oplus_F \mathbf{0}_F = a$
<proof>

1.3.6 Distributive Laws

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *function-mult-r-distr*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } F$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } F$
assumes $z \in \text{carrier } F$
shows $(x \oplus_F y) \otimes_F z = x \otimes_F z \oplus_F y \otimes_F z$
<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *function-mult-l-distr*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } F$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } F$
assumes $z \in \text{carrier } F$
shows $z \otimes_F (x \oplus_F y) = z \otimes_F x \oplus_F z \otimes_F y$
<proof>

1.3.7 Additive Inverses

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *function-uminus-closed*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } F$

shows *function-uminus* $S R f \in \text{carrier } F$
<proof>

lemma(*in ring-functions*) *function-uminus-eval*:
assumes $a \in S$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } F$
shows (*function-uminus* $S R f$) $a = \ominus (f a)$
<proof>

lemma(*in ring-functions*) *function-uminus-add-r*:
assumes $a \in S$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } F$
shows $f \oplus_F \text{function-uminus } S R f = \mathbf{0}_F$
<proof>

lemma(*in ring-functions*) *function-uminus-add-l*:
assumes $a \in S$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } F$
shows *function-uminus* $S R f \oplus_F f = \mathbf{0}_F$
<proof>

1.3.8 Scalar Multiplication

lemma(*in ring-functions*) *function-smult-eval*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } F$
assumes $b \in S$
shows $(a \odot_F f) b = a \otimes (f b)$
<proof>

lemma(*in ring-functions*) *function-smult-closed*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } F$
shows $a \odot_F f \in \text{carrier } F$
<proof>

lemma(*in ring-functions*) *function-smult-assoc1*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } F$
shows $b \odot_F (a \odot_F f) = (b \otimes a) \odot_F f$
<proof>

lemma(*in ring-functions*) *function-smult-assoc2*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } F$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } F$
shows $(a \odot_F f) \otimes_F g = a \odot_F (f \otimes_F g)$
<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *function-smult-one*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } F$

shows $1 \odot_F f = f$

<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *function-smult-l-distr*:

$[[a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R; x \in \text{carrier } F]] ==>$

$(a \oplus b) \odot_F x = a \odot_F x \oplus_F b \odot_F x$

<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *function-smult-r-distr*:

$[[a \in \text{carrier } R; x \in \text{carrier } F; y \in \text{carrier } F]] ==>$

$a \odot_F (x \oplus_F y) = a \odot_F x \oplus_F a \odot_F y$

<proof>

1.3.9 The Ring of Functions Forms an Algebra

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *function-ring-is-abelian-group*:

abelian-group F

<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *function-ring-is-monoid*:

monoid F

<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *function-ring-is-ring*:

ring F

<proof>

sublocale *ring-functions < F?: ring F*

<proof>

lemma(in *cring-functions*) *function-mult-comm*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } F$

assumes $y \in \text{carrier } F$

shows $x \otimes_F y = y \otimes_F x$

<proof>

lemma(in *cring-functions*) *function-ring-is-comm-monoid*:

comm-monoid F

<proof>

lemma(in *cring-functions*) *function-ring-is-cring*:

cring F

<proof>

lemma(in *cring-functions*) *function-ring-is-algebra*:

algebra R F

<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *function-uminus*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } F$
shows $\ominus_F f = (\text{function-uminus } S \ R) \ f$
<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *function-uminus-eval'*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } F$
assumes $a \in S$
shows $(\ominus_F f) \ a = (\text{function-uminus } S \ R) \ f \ a$
<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *function-uminus-eval''*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } F$
assumes $a \in S$
shows $(\ominus_F f) \ a = \ominus (f \ a)$
<proof>

sublocale *cring-functions* < *F?*: *algebra* *R* *F*
<proof>

1.4 Constant Functions

definition *constant-function* **where**
constant-function $S \ a = (\lambda x \in S. \ a)$

abbreviation(in *ring-functions*)(*input*) *const* **where**
const $\equiv \text{constant-function } S$

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *constant-function-closed*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{const } a \in \text{carrier } F$
<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *constant-functionE*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in S$
shows $\text{const } a \ b = a$
<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *constant-function-add*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{const } (a \oplus_R \ b) = (\text{const } a) \oplus_F (\text{const } b)$
<proof>

lemma(in *ring-functions*) *constant-function-mult*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{const } (a \otimes_R b) = (\text{const } a) \otimes_F (\text{const } b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *ring-functions*) *constant-function-minus*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\ominus_F(\text{const } a) = (\text{const } (\ominus_R a))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *ring-functions*) *function-one-is-constant*:
 $\text{const } \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}_F$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *ring-functions*) *function-zero-is-constant*:
 $\text{const } \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}_F$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.5 Special Examples of Functions Rings

1.5.1 Functions from the Carrier of a Ring to Itself

locale *U-function-ring* = *ring*

locale *U-function-cring* = *U-function-ring* + *cring*

sublocale *U-function-ring* < *S?*: *struct-functions* *R* *carrier* *R*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *U-function-ring* < *FunR?*: *ring-functions* *R* *carrier* *R* *Fun* *R*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *U-function-cring* < *FunR?*: *cring-functions* *R* *carrier* *R* *Fun* *R*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation(**in** *U-function-ring*)(*input*) *ring-compose* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a)$
 $\Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where**
 $\text{ring-compose} \equiv \text{compose } (\text{carrier } R)$

lemma(**in** *U-function-ring*) *ring-function-ring-comp*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun } R)$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun } R)$
shows $\text{ring-compose } f g \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun } R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation(**in** *U-function-ring*)(*input*) *ring-const* (*c*₁) **where**
 $\text{ring-const} \equiv \text{constant-function } (\text{carrier } R)$

lemma(**in** *ring-functions*) *function-nat-pow-eval*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } F$
assumes $s \in S$

shows $(f[\ulcorner]_F(n::nat)) s = (f s)[\ulcorner]n$
<proof>

context *U-function-ring*
begin

definition *a-translate* :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a **where**
a-translate = $(\lambda r \in \text{carrier } R. \text{ restrict } ((\text{add } R) r) (\text{carrier } R))$

definition *m-translate* :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a **where**
m-translate = $(\lambda r \in \text{carrier } R. \text{ restrict } ((\text{mult } R) r) (\text{carrier } R))$

definition *nat-power* :: nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a **where**
nat-power = $(\lambda(n::nat). \text{ restrict } (\lambda a. a[\ulcorner]_R n) (\text{carrier } R))$

Restricted operations are in Fs

lemma *a-translate-functions*:
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$
shows *a-translate* $c \in \text{carrier } (Fun R)$
<proof>

lemma *m-translate-functions*:
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$
shows *m-translate* $c \in \text{carrier } (Fun R)$
<proof>

lemma *nat-power-functions*:
shows *nat-power* $n \in \text{carrier } (Fun R)$
<proof>

Restricted operations_simps

lemma *a-translate-eq*:
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows *a-translate* $c a = c \oplus a$
<proof>

lemma *a-translate-eq'*:
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $a \notin \text{carrier } R$
shows *a-translate* $c a = \text{undefined}$
<proof>

lemma *a-translate-eq''*:
assumes $c \notin \text{carrier } R$
shows *a-translate* $c = \text{undefined}$
<proof>

lemma *m-translate-eq*:
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $m\text{-translate } c \ a = c \otimes a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *m-translate-eq'*:
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $a \notin \text{carrier } R$
shows $m\text{-translate } c \ a = \text{undefined}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *m-translate-eq''*:
assumes $c \notin \text{carrier } R$
shows $m\text{-translate } c = \text{undefined}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-power-eq*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{nat-power } n \ a = a[\overset{\wedge}{\wedge}]_R \ n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-power-eq'*:
assumes $a \notin \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{nat-power } n \ a = \text{undefined}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Constant ring_function properties

lemma *constant-function-eq*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\mathfrak{c}_a \ b = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *constant-function-eq'*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \notin \text{carrier } R$
shows $\mathfrak{c}_a \ b = \text{undefined}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Compound expressions from algebraic operations

end

definition *monomial-function* **where**
 $\text{monomial-function } R \ c \ (n::\text{nat}) = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } R. c \otimes_R (x[\overset{\wedge}{\wedge}]_{R^n}))$

context *U-function-ring*
begin

abbreviation monomial where
monomial \equiv *monomial-function* *R*

lemma monomial-functions:
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$
shows *monomial* $c \ n \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun } R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition ring-id where
ring-id \equiv *restrict* $(\lambda x. x)$ $(\text{carrier } R)$

lemma ring-id-closed[simp]:
ring-id $\in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun } R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma ring-id-eval:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows *ring-id* $a = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma constant-a-trans:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows *m-translate* $a = \mathbf{c}_a \otimes_{\text{Fun } R} \text{ring-id}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

polynomials in one variable

fun polynomial $:: 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where**
polynomial $[] = \mathbf{0}_{\text{Fun } R}$ |
polynomial $(a\#as) = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } R. a \oplus x \otimes (\text{polynomial } as \ x))$

lemma polynomial-induct-lemma:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun } R)$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $(\lambda x \in \text{carrier } R. a \oplus x \otimes (f \ x)) \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun } R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma polynomial-function:
shows $\text{set } as \subseteq \text{carrier } R \implies \text{polynomial } as \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun } R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma polynomial-constant:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows *polynomial* $[a] = \mathbf{c}_a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

1.5.2 Sequences Indexed by the Natural Numbers

definition *nat-seqs* $(-\omega)$ **where**
nat-seqs $R \equiv \text{function-ring } (\text{UNIV}::\text{nat set}) R$

abbreviation(*input*) *closed-seqs* **where**
closed-seqs $R \equiv \text{carrier } (R^\omega)$

lemma *closed-seqs-memI*:
assumes $\bigwedge k. s k \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $s \in \text{closed-seqs } R$
<proof>

lemma *closed-seqs-memE*:
assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } R$
shows $s k \in \text{carrier } R$
<proof>

definition *is-constant-fun* **where**
is-constant-fun $R f = (\exists x \in \text{carrier } R. f = \text{constant-function } (\text{carrier } R) R x)$

definition *is-constant-seq* **where**
is-constant-seq $R s = (\exists x \in \text{carrier } R. s = \text{constant-function } (\text{UNIV}::\text{nat set}) x)$

lemma *is-constant-seqI*:
fixes a
assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } R$
assumes $\bigwedge k. s k = a$
shows *is-constant-seq* $R s$
<proof>

lemma *is-constant-seqE*:
assumes *is-constant-seq* $R s$
assumes $s k = a$
shows $s n = a$
<proof>

lemma *is-constant-seq-imp-closed*:
assumes *is-constant-seq* $R s$
shows $s \in \text{closed-seqs } R$
<proof>

context *U-function-ring*
begin

Sequence sums and products are closed

lemma *seq-plus-closed*:
assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } R$
assumes $s' \in \text{closed-seqs } R$
shows $s \oplus_{R^\omega} s' \in \text{closed-seqs } R$

<proof>

lemma *seq-mult-closed:*

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } R$

assumes $s' \in \text{closed-seqs } R$

shows $s \otimes_{R^\omega} s' \in \text{closed-seqs } R$

<proof>

lemma *constant-function-comp-is-closed-seq:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } R$

shows $(\text{const } a \circ s) \in \text{closed-seqs } R$

<proof>

lemma *constant-function-comp-is-constant-seq:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } R$

shows *is-constant-seq* $R ((\text{const } a) \circ s)$

<proof>

lemma *function-comp-is-closed-seq:*

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } R$

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun } R)$

shows $f \circ s \in \text{closed-seqs } R$

<proof>

lemma *function-sum-comp-is-seq-sum:*

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } R$

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun } R)$

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun } R)$

shows $(f \oplus_{\text{Fun } R} g) \circ s = (f \circ s) \oplus_{R^\omega} (g \circ s)$

<proof>

lemma *function-mult-comp-is-seq-mult:*

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } R$

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun } R)$

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun } R)$

shows $(f \otimes_{\text{Fun } R} g) \circ s = (f \circ s) \otimes_{R^\omega} (g \circ s)$

<proof>

lemma *seq-plus-simp:*

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } R$

assumes $t \in \text{closed-seqs } R$

shows $(s \oplus_{R^\omega} t) \circ k = s \circ k \oplus t \circ k$

<proof>

lemma *seq-mult-simp:*

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } R$

assumes $t \in \text{closed-seqs } R$

shows $(s \otimes_{R^\omega} t) k = s k \otimes t k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *seq-one-simp*:

1 $R^\omega k = \mathbf{1}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *seq-zero-simp*:

0 $R^\omega k = \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(**in** *U-function-ring*) *ring-id-seq-comp*:

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } R$
shows $\text{ring-id} \circ s = s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(**in** *U-function-ring*) *ring-seq-smult-closed*:

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } R$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $a \odot_{R^\omega} s \in \text{closed-seqs } R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(**in** *U-function-ring*) *ring-seq-smult-eval*:

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } R$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $(a \odot_{R^\omega} s) k = a \otimes (s k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(**in** *U-function-ring*) *ring-seq-smult-comp-assoc*:

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } R$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun } R)$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $((a \odot_{\text{Fun } R} f) \circ s) = a \odot_{R^\omega} (f \circ s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

2 Extensional Maps Between the Carriers of two Structures

definition *struct-maps* :: $('a, 'c)$ *partial-object-scheme* \Rightarrow $('b, 'd)$ *partial-object-scheme*

\Rightarrow $('a \Rightarrow 'b)$ **set where**

$\text{struct-maps } T S = \{f. (f \in (\text{carrier } T) \rightarrow (\text{carrier } S)) \wedge f = \text{restrict } f (\text{carrier } T)\}$

definition *to-struct-map* **where**

$\text{to-struct-map } T f = \text{restrict } f (\text{carrier } T)$

lemma *to-struct-map-closed*:
assumes $f \in (\text{carrier } T) \rightarrow (\text{carrier } S)$
shows $\text{to-struct-map } T f \in (\text{struct-maps } T S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *struct-maps-memI*:
assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{carrier } T \implies f x \in \text{carrier } S$
assumes $\bigwedge x. x \notin \text{carrier } T \implies f x = \text{undefined}$
shows $f \in \text{struct-maps } T S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *struct-maps-memE*:
assumes $f \in \text{struct-maps } T S$
shows $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{carrier } T \implies f x \in \text{carrier } S$
 $\bigwedge x. x \notin \text{carrier } T \implies f x = \text{undefined}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

An abbreviation for restricted composition of function of functions. This is necessary for the composition of two struct maps to again be a struct map.

abbreviation *(input) rcomp*
where $rcomp \equiv \text{FuncSet.compose}$

lemma *struct-map-comp*:
assumes $g \in (\text{struct-maps } T S)$
assumes $f \in (\text{struct-maps } S U)$
shows $rcomp (\text{carrier } T) f g \in (\text{struct-maps } T U)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *r-comp-is-compose*:
assumes $g \in (\text{struct-maps } T S)$
assumes $f \in (\text{struct-maps } S U)$
assumes $a \in (\text{carrier } T)$
shows $(rcomp (\text{carrier } T) f g) a = (f \circ g) a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *r-comp-not-in-car*:
assumes $g \in (\text{struct-maps } T S)$
assumes $f \in (\text{struct-maps } S U)$
assumes $a \notin (\text{carrier } T)$
shows $(rcomp (\text{carrier } T) f g) a = \text{undefined}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The reverse composition of two struct maps:

definition *pullback* ::
 $(\text{'a}, \text{'d}) \text{partial-object-scheme} \Rightarrow (\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'b}) \Rightarrow (\text{'b} \Rightarrow \text{'c}) \Rightarrow (\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'c})$ **where**
 $\text{pullback } T f g = rcomp (\text{carrier } T) g f$

lemma *pullback-closed*:

assumes $f \in (\text{struct-maps } T \ S)$
assumes $g \in (\text{struct-maps } S \ U)$
shows $\text{pullback } T \ f \ g \in (\text{struct-maps } T \ U)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Composition of struct maps which takes the structure itself rather than the carrier as a parameter:

definition *pushforward* ::
 $(\text{'a}, \text{'d}) \text{ partial-object-scheme} \Rightarrow (\text{'b} \Rightarrow \text{'c}) \Rightarrow (\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'b}) \Rightarrow (\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'c})$ **where**
 $\text{pushforward } T \ f \ g \equiv \text{rcomp } (\text{carrier } T) \ f \ g$

lemma *pushforward-closed*:
assumes $g \in (\text{struct-maps } T \ S)$
assumes $f \in (\text{struct-maps } S \ U)$
shows $\text{pushforward } T \ f \ g \in (\text{struct-maps } T \ U)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

theory *Cring-Poly*

imports *HOL-Algebra.UnivPoly* *HOL-Algebra.Subrings* *Function-Ring*

begin

This theory extends the material in *HOL-Algebra.UnivPoly*. The main additions are material on Taylor expansions of polynomials and polynomial derivatives, and various applications of the universal property of polynomial evaluation. These include construing polynomials as functions from the base ring to itself, composing one polynomial with another, and extending homomorphisms between rings to homomorphisms of their polynomial rings. These formalizations are necessary components of the proof of Hensel's lemma for p -adic integers, and for the proof of p -adic quantifier elimination.

lemma(**in** *ring*) *ring-hom-finsum*:
assumes $h \in \text{ring-hom } R \ S$
assumes *ring* S
assumes *finite* I
assumes $F \in I \rightarrow \text{carrier } R$
shows $h (\text{finsum } R \ F \ I) = \text{finsum } S \ (h \circ F) \ I$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *ring*) *ring-hom-a-inv*:
assumes *ring* S
assumes $h \in \text{ring-hom } R \ S$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $h (\ominus b) = \ominus_S h \ b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *ring*) *ring-hom-minus*:

assumes *ring* S
assumes $h \in \text{ring-hom } R \ S$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $h (a \oplus b) = h \ a \oplus_S h \ b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ring-hom-nat-pow*:
assumes *ring* R
assumes *ring* S
assumes $h \in \text{ring-hom } R \ S$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $h (a[\wedge]_R(n::\text{nat})) = (h \ a)[\wedge]_S(n::\text{nat})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *ring*) *Units-not-right-zero-divisor*:
assumes $a \in \text{Units } R$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $a \otimes b = \mathbf{0}$
shows $b = \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *ring*) *Units-not-left-zero-divisor*:
assumes $a \in \text{Units } R$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \otimes a = \mathbf{0}$
shows $b = \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *cring*) *finsum-remove*:
assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in Y \implies f \ i \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes *finite* Y
assumes $i \in Y$
shows $\text{finsum } R \ f \ Y = f \ i \oplus \text{finsum } R \ f \ (Y - \{i\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

type-synonym *degree* = *nat*

The composition of two ring homomorphisms is a ring homomorphism

lemma *ring-hom-compose*:
assumes *ring* R
assumes *ring* S
assumes *ring* T
assumes $h \in \text{ring-hom } R \ S$
assumes $g \in \text{ring-hom } S \ T$
assumes $\bigwedge c. c \in \text{carrier } R \implies f \ c = g (h \ c)$
shows $f \in \text{ring-hom } R \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3 Basic Notions about Polynomials

context *UP-ring*

begin

rings are closed under monomial terms

lemma *monom-term-car*:

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $c \otimes x[\wedge](n::\text{nat}) \in \text{carrier } R$

<proof>

Univariate polynomial ring over R

lemma *P-is-UP-ring*:

UP-ring R

<proof>

Degree function

abbreviation(*input*) *degree* **where**

degree $f \equiv \text{deg } R f$

lemma *UP-car-memI*:

assumes $\bigwedge n. n > k \implies p n = \mathbf{0}$

assumes $\bigwedge n. p n \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $p \in \text{carrier } P$

<proof>

lemma(**in** *UP-cring*) *UP-car-memI'*:

assumes $\bigwedge x. g x \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $\bigwedge x. x > k \implies g x = \mathbf{0}$

shows $g \in \text{carrier } (UP R)$

<proof>

lemma(**in** *UP-cring*) *UP-car-memE*:

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP R)$

shows $\bigwedge x. g x \in \text{carrier } R$

$\bigwedge x. x > (\text{deg } R g) \implies g x = \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

end

3.1 Lemmas About Coefficients

context *UP-ring*

begin

The goal here is to reduce dependence on the function `coeff` from `Univ_Poly`, in favour of using a polynomial itself as its coefficient function.

lemma *coeff-simp*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{coeff } (UP\ R) f = f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Coefficients are in R

lemma *cfs-closed*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $f\ n \in \text{carrier } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cfs-monom*:
 $a \in \text{carrier } R \implies (\text{monom } P\ a\ m)\ n = (\text{if } m=n \text{ then } a \text{ else } \mathbf{0})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cfs-zero* [simp]: $\mathbf{0}_P\ n = \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cfs-one* [simp]: $\mathbf{1}_P\ n = (\text{if } n=0 \text{ then } \mathbf{1} \text{ else } \mathbf{0})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cfs-smult* [simp]:
 $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; p \in \text{carrier } P \rrbracket \implies (a \odot_P p)\ n = a \otimes p\ n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cfs-add* [simp]:
 $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } P; q \in \text{carrier } P \rrbracket \implies (p \oplus_P q)\ n = p\ n \oplus q\ n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cfs-a-inv* [simp]:
assumes $R: p \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $(\ominus_P p)\ n = \ominus (p\ n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cfs-minus* [simp]:
 $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } P; q \in \text{carrier } P \rrbracket \implies (p \ominus_P q)\ n = p\ n \ominus q\ n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cfs-monom-mult-r*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $(\text{monom } P\ a\ n \otimes_P p)\ (k + n) = a \otimes p\ k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *cfs-monom-mult-l*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $(p \otimes_P \text{monom } P\ a\ n)\ (k + n) = a \otimes p\ k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *cfs-monom-mult-l'*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $m \geq n$
shows $(f \otimes_P (\text{monom } P \ a \ n)) \ m = a \otimes (f \ (m - n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *cfs-monom-mult-r'*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $m \geq n$
shows $((\text{monom } P \ a \ n) \otimes_P f) \ m = a \otimes (f \ (m - n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end

3.2 Degree Bound Lemmas

context *UP-ring*
begin

lemma *bound-deg-sum*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{degree } f \leq n$
assumes $\text{degree } g \leq n$
shows $\text{degree } (f \oplus_P g) \leq n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bound-deg-sum'*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{degree } f < n$
assumes $\text{degree } g < n$
shows $\text{degree } (f \oplus_P g) < n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equal-deg-sum*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{degree } f < n$
assumes $\text{degree } g = n$
shows $\text{degree } (f \oplus_P g) = n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equal-deg-sum'*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{degree } g < n$
assumes $\text{degree } f = n$

shows $\text{degree } (f \oplus_P g) = n$
<proof>

lemma *degree-of-sum-diff-degree:*

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{degree } q < \text{degree } p$
shows $\text{degree } (p \oplus_P q) = \text{degree } p$
<proof>

lemma *degree-of-difference-diff-degree:*

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{degree } q < \text{degree } p$
shows $\text{degree } (p \ominus_P q) = \text{degree } p$
<proof>

lemma (**in** *UP-ring*) *deg-diff-by-const:*

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP\ R)$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $h = g \oplus_{UP\ R} \text{up-ring.monom } (UP\ R)\ a\ 0$
shows $\text{deg } R\ g = \text{deg } R\ h$
<proof>

lemma (**in** *UP-ring*) *deg-diff-by-const':*

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP\ R)$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $h = g \ominus_{UP\ R} \text{up-ring.monom } (UP\ R)\ a\ 0$
shows $\text{deg } R\ g = \text{deg } R\ h$
<proof>

lemma(**in** *UP-ring*) *deg-gtE:*

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $i > \text{deg } R\ p$
shows $p\ i = \mathbf{0}$
<proof>

end

3.3 Leading Term Function

definition *leading-term* **where**

$\text{leading-term } R\ f = \text{monom } (UP\ R)\ (f\ (\text{deg } R\ f))\ (\text{deg } R\ f)$

context *UP-ring*

begin

abbreviation(*input*) *ltrm* **where**

$\text{ltrm } f \equiv \text{monom } P\ (f\ (\text{deg } R\ f))\ (\text{deg } R\ f)$

leading term is a polynomial

lemma *ltrm-closed*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{ltrm } f \in \text{carrier } P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Simplified coefficient function description for leading term

lemma *ltrm-coeff*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{coeff } P (\text{ltrm } f) n = (\text{if } (n = \text{degree } f) \text{ then } (f (\text{degree } f)) \text{ else } \mathbf{0})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ltrm-cfs*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $(\text{ltrm } f) n = (\text{if } (n = \text{degree } f) \text{ then } (f (\text{degree } f)) \text{ else } \mathbf{0})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ltrm-cfs-above-deg*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $n > \text{degree } f$
shows $\text{ltrm } f n = \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The leading term of f has the same degree as f

lemma *deg-ltrm*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{degree } (\text{ltrm } f) = \text{degree } f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Subtracting the leading term yields a drop in degree

lemma *minus-ltrm-degree-drop*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{degree } f = \text{Suc } n$
shows $\text{degree } (f \ominus_P (\text{ltrm } f)) \leq n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ltrm-decomp*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{degree } f > (0::\text{nat})$
obtains g **where** $g \in \text{carrier } P \wedge f = g \oplus_P (\text{ltrm } f) \wedge \text{degree } g < \text{degree } f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

leading term of a sum

lemma *coeff-of-sum-diff-degree0*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{degree } q < n$
shows $(p \oplus_P q) n = p n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *coeff-of-sum-diff-degree1:*

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $\text{degree } q < \text{degree } p$

shows $(p \oplus_P q) (\text{degree } p) = p (\text{degree } p)$

<proof>

lemma *ltrm-of-sum-diff-degree:*

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $\text{degree } p > \text{degree } q$

shows $\text{ltrm } (p \oplus_P q) = \text{ltrm } p$

<proof>

leading term of a monomial

lemma *ltrm-monom:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $f = \text{monom } P a n$

shows $\text{ltrm } f = f$

<proof>

lemma *ltrm-monom-simp:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{ltrm } (\text{monom } P a n) = \text{monom } P a n$

<proof>

lemma *ltrm-inv-simp[simp]:*

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $\text{ltrm } (\text{ltrm } f) = \text{ltrm } f$

<proof>

lemma *ltrm-deg-0:*

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $\text{degree } p = 0$

shows $\text{ltrm } p = p$

<proof>

lemma *ltrm-prod-ltrm:*

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $\text{ltrm } ((\text{ltrm } p) \otimes_P (\text{ltrm } q)) = (\text{ltrm } p) \otimes_P (\text{ltrm } q)$

<proof>

lead coefficient function

abbreviation(*input*) *lcf* **where**

$\text{lcf } p \equiv p (\text{deg } R p)$

lemma(in *UP-ring*) *lcf-ltrm*:
 $ltrm\ p = monom\ P\ (lcf\ p)\ (degree\ p)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *lcf-closed*:
assumes $f \in carrier\ P$
shows $lcf\ f \in carrier\ R$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(in *UP-crng*) *lcf-monom*:
assumes $a \in carrier\ R$
shows $lcf\ (monom\ P\ a\ n) = a\ lcf\ (monom\ (UP\ R)\ a\ n) = a$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

Function which truncates a polynomial by removing the leading term

definition *truncate* **where**
 $truncate\ R\ f = f \ominus_{(UP\ R)}\ (leading-term\ R\ f)$

context *UP-ring*
begin

abbreviation(*input*) *trunc* **where**
 $trunc \equiv truncate\ R$

lemma *trunc-closed*:
assumes $f \in carrier\ P$
shows $trunc\ f \in carrier\ P$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *trunc-simps*:
assumes $f \in carrier\ P$
shows $f = (trunc\ f) \oplus_P\ (ltrm\ f)$
 $f \ominus_P\ (trunc\ f) = ltrm\ f$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *trunc-zero*:
assumes $f \in carrier\ P$
assumes $degree\ f = 0$
shows $trunc\ f = \mathbf{0}_P$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *trunc-degree*:
assumes $f \in carrier\ P$
assumes $degree\ f > 0$
shows $degree\ (trunc\ f) < degree\ f$

<proof>

The coefficients of trunc agree with f for small degree

lemma *trunc-cfs*:

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $n < \text{degree } p$

shows $(\text{trunc } p) n = p n$

<proof>

monomial predicate

definition *is-UP-monom* **where**

$\text{is-UP-monom} = (\lambda f. f \in \text{carrier } (UP\ R) \wedge f = \text{ltrim } f)$

lemma *is-UP-monomI*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $p = \text{monom } P\ a\ n$

shows *is-UP-monom* p

<proof>

lemma *is-UP-monomI'*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP\ R)$

assumes $f = \text{ltrim } f$

shows *is-UP-monom* f

<proof>

lemma *monom-is-UP-monom*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows *is-UP-monom* $(\text{monom } P\ a\ n)$ *is-UP-monom* $(\text{monom } (UP\ R)\ a\ n)$

<proof>

lemma *is-UP-monomE*:

assumes *is-UP-monom* f

shows $f \in \text{carrier } P$ $f = \text{monom } P\ (\text{lcf } f)\ (\text{degree } f)$ $f = \text{monom } (UP\ R)\ (\text{lcf } f)\ (\text{degree } f)$

<proof>

lemma *ltrim-is-UP-monom*:

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$

shows *is-UP-monom* $(\text{ltrim } p)$

<proof>

lemma *is-UP-monom-mult*:

assumes *is-UP-monom* p

assumes *is-UP-monom* q

shows *is-UP-monom* $(p \otimes_P q)$

<proof>

end

3.4 Properties of Leading Terms and Leading Coefficients in Commutative Rings and Domains

context *UP-cring*
begin

lemma *cring-deg-mult*:
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{lcf } q \otimes \text{lcf } p \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{degree } (q \otimes_P p) = \text{degree } p + \text{degree } q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

leading term is multiplicative

lemma *ltrm-of-sum-diff-deg*:
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $a \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $\text{degree } q < n$
assumes $p = q \oplus_P (\text{monom } P \ a \ n)$
shows $\text{ltrm } p = (\text{monom } P \ a \ n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *UP-cring*) *ltrm-smult-cring*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $\text{lcf } p \otimes a \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{ltrm } (a \odot_P p) = a \odot_P (\text{ltrm } p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *UP-cring*) *deg-zero-ltrm-smult-cring*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $\text{degree } p = 0$
shows $\text{ltrm } (a \odot_P p) = a \odot_P (\text{ltrm } p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *UP-domain*) *ltrm-smult*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{ltrm } (a \odot_P p) = a \odot_P (\text{ltrm } p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *UP-cring*) *cring-ltrm-mult*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{lcf } p \otimes \text{lcf } q \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{ltrm } (p \otimes_P q) = (\text{ltrm } p) \otimes_P (\text{ltrm } q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-domain*) *ltrm-mult*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{ltrm } (p \otimes_P q) = (\text{ltrm } p) \otimes_P (\text{ltrm } q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lcf-deg-0*:
assumes $\text{degree } p = 0$
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $(p \otimes_P q) = (\text{lcf } p) \odot_P q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

leading term powers

lemma (in *domain*) *nonzero-pow-nonzero*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $a \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $a[\wedge](n::\text{nat}) \neq \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *UP-cring*) *cring-monom-degree*:
assumes $a \in (\text{carrier } R)$
assumes $p = \text{monom } P \ a \ m$
assumes $a[\wedge]n \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{degree } (p[\wedge]_P n) = n * m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *UP-domain*) *monom-degree*:
assumes $a \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $a \in (\text{carrier } R)$
assumes $p = \text{monom } P \ a \ m$
shows $\text{degree } (p[\wedge]_P n) = n * m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *cring-pow-ltrm*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{lcf } p \ [\wedge]n \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{ltrm } (p[\wedge]_P(n::\text{nat})) = (\text{ltrm } p)[\wedge]_P n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *cring-pow-deg*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{lcf } p \ [\wedge]n \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{degree } (p[\wedge]_P(n::\text{nat})) = n * \text{degree } p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *cring-pow-deg-bound*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{degree } (p[\wedge]_P(n::\text{nat})) \leq n * \text{degree } p$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *deg-smult*:
assumes $a \in carrier\ R$
assumes $f \in carrier\ (UP\ R)$
assumes $a \otimes lcf\ f \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $deg\ R\ (a \odot_{UP\ R}\ f) = deg\ R\ f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *deg-smult'*:
assumes $a \in Units\ R$
assumes $f \in carrier\ (UP\ R)$
shows $deg\ R\ (a \odot_{UP\ R}\ f) = deg\ R\ f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-domain*) *pow-sum0*:
 $\bigwedge p\ q. p \in carrier\ P \implies q \in carrier\ P \implies degree\ q < degree\ p \implies degree\ ((p \oplus_P\ q) [\bigwedge]_P n) = (degree\ p) * n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-domain*) *pow-sum*:
assumes $p \in carrier\ P$
assumes $q \in carrier\ P$
assumes $degree\ q < degree\ p$
shows $degree\ ((p \oplus_P\ q) [\bigwedge]_P n) = (degree\ p) * n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-domain*) *deg-pow0*:
 $\bigwedge p. p \in carrier\ P \implies n \geq degree\ p \implies degree\ (p [\bigwedge]_P n) = n * (degree\ p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-domain*) *deg-pow*:
assumes $p \in carrier\ P$
shows $degree\ (p [\bigwedge]_P n) = n * (degree\ p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-domain*) *ltrm-pow0*:
 $\bigwedge f. f \in carrier\ P \implies ltrm\ (f [\bigwedge]_P (n::nat)) = (ltrm\ f) [\bigwedge]_P n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-domain*) *ltrm-pow*:
assumes $f \in carrier\ P$
shows $ltrm\ (f [\bigwedge]_P (n::nat)) = (ltrm\ f) [\bigwedge]_P n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma on the leading coefficient

lemma *lcf-eq*:
assumes $f \in carrier\ P$
shows $lcf\ f = lcf\ (ltrm\ f)$

<proof>

lemma *lcf-eq-deg-eq-imp-ltrm-eq:*

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{degree } p > 0$
assumes $\text{degree } p = \text{degree } q$
assumes $\text{lcf } p = \text{lcf } q$
shows $\text{ltrm } p = \text{ltrm } q$
<proof>

lemma *ltrm-eq-imp-lcf-eq:*

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{ltrm } p = \text{ltrm } q$
shows $\text{lcf } p = \text{lcf } q$
<proof>

lemma *ltrm-eq-imp-deg-drop:*

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{ltrm } p = \text{ltrm } q$
assumes $\text{degree } p > 0$
shows $\text{degree } (p \ominus_P q) < \text{degree } p$
<proof>

lemma(**in** *UP-cring*) *cring-lcf-scalar-mult:*

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $a \otimes (\text{lcf } p) \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{lcf } (a \odot_P p) = a \otimes (\text{lcf } p)$
<proof>

lemma(**in** *UP-domain*) *lcf-scalar-mult:*

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{lcf } (a \odot_P p) = a \otimes (\text{lcf } p)$
<proof>

lemma(**in** *UP-cring*) *cring-lcf-mult:*

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $(\text{lcf } p) \otimes (\text{lcf } q) \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{lcf } (p \otimes_P q) = (\text{lcf } p) \otimes (\text{lcf } q)$
<proof>

lemma(**in** *UP-domain*) *lcf-mult:*

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $lcf (p \otimes_P q) = (lcf p) \otimes (lcf q)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *cring-lcf-pow*:

assumes $p \in carrier P$

assumes $(lcf p)[\wedge]n \neq \mathbf{0}$

shows $lcf (p[\wedge]_P(n::nat)) = (lcf p)[\wedge]n$

⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *UP-domain*) *lcf-pow*:

assumes $p \in carrier P$

shows $lcf (p[\wedge]_P(n::nat)) = (lcf p)[\wedge]n$

⟨proof⟩

end

3.5 Constant Terms and Constant Coefficients

Constant term and coefficient function

definition *zcf* **where**

$zcf f = (f 0)$

abbreviation(in *UP-cring*)(*input*) *ctrm* **where**

$ctrm f \equiv monom P (f 0) 0$

context *UP-cring*

begin

lemma *ctrm-is-poly*:

assumes $p \in carrier P$

shows $ctrm p \in carrier P$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *ctrm-degree*:

assumes $p \in carrier P$

shows $degree (ctrm p) = 0$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *ctrm-zcf*:

assumes $f \in carrier P$

assumes $zcf f = \mathbf{0}$

shows $ctrm f = \mathbf{0}_P$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *zcf-degree-zero*:

assumes $f \in carrier P$

assumes $degree f = 0$

shows $lcf f = zcf f$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *zcf-zero-degree-zero*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $\text{degree } f = 0$

assumes $\text{zcf } f = \mathbf{0}$

shows $f = \mathbf{0}_P$

<proof>

lemma *zcf-ctrm*:

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $\text{zcf } (\text{ctrm } p) = \text{zcf } p$

<proof>

lemma *ctrm-trunc*:

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $\text{degree } p > 0$

shows $\text{zcf}(\text{trunc } p) = \text{zcf } p$

<proof>

Constant coefficient function is a ring homomorphism

lemma *zcf-add*:

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $\text{zcf}(p \oplus_P q) = (\text{zcf } p) \oplus (\text{zcf } q)$

<proof>

lemma *coeff-ltrm[simp]*:

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $\text{degree } p > 0$

shows $\text{zcf}(\text{ltrm } p) = \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma *zcf-zero[simp]*:

$\text{zcf } \mathbf{0}_P = \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma *zcf-one[simp]*:

$\text{zcf } \mathbf{1}_P = \mathbf{1}$

<proof>

lemma *ctrm-smult*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{ctrm } (a \odot_P f) = a \odot_P (\text{ctrm } f)$

<proof>

lemma *ctrm-monom[simp]*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{ctrm } (\text{monom } P a (\text{Suc } k)) = \mathbf{0}_P$

<proof>

end

3.6 Polynomial Induction Rules

context *UP-ring*

begin

Rule for strong induction on polynomial degree

lemma *poly-induct*:

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes *Deg-0*: $\bigwedge p. p \in \text{carrier } P \implies \text{degree } p = 0 \implies Q p$

assumes *IH*: $\bigwedge p. (\bigwedge q. q \in \text{carrier } P \implies \text{degree } q < \text{degree } p \implies Q q) \implies p \in \text{carrier } P \implies \text{degree } p > 0 \implies Q p$

shows $Q p$

<proof>

Variant on induction on degree

lemma *poly-induct2*:

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes *Deg-0*: $\bigwedge p. p \in \text{carrier } P \implies \text{degree } p = 0 \implies Q p$

assumes *IH*: $\bigwedge p. \text{degree } p > 0 \implies p \in \text{carrier } P \implies Q (\text{trunc } p) \implies Q p$

shows $Q p$

<proof>

Additive properties which are true for all monomials are true for all polynomials

lemma *poly-induct3*:

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes *add*: $\bigwedge p q. q \in \text{carrier } P \implies p \in \text{carrier } P \implies Q p \implies Q q \implies Q (p \oplus_P q)$

assumes *monom*: $\bigwedge a n. a \in \text{carrier } R \implies Q (\text{monom } P a n)$

shows $Q p$

<proof>

lemma *poly-induct4*:

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes *add*: $\bigwedge p q. q \in \text{carrier } P \implies p \in \text{carrier } P \implies Q p \implies Q q \implies Q (p \oplus_P q)$

assumes *monom-zero*: $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{carrier } R \implies Q (\text{monom } P a 0)$

assumes *monom-Suc*: $\bigwedge a n. a \in \text{carrier } R \implies Q (\text{monom } P a (\text{Suc } n))$

shows $Q p$

<proof>

lemma *monic-monom-smult*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $a \odot_P \text{monom } P \mathbf{1} n = \text{monom } P a n$

<proof>

lemma *poly-induct5*:

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes *add*: $\bigwedge p q. q \in \text{carrier } P \implies p \in \text{carrier } P \implies Q p \implies Q q \implies Q$
 $(p \oplus_P q)$
assumes *monic-monom*: $\bigwedge n. Q (\text{monom } P \mathbf{1} n)$
assumes *smult*: $\bigwedge p a . a \in \text{carrier } R \implies p \in \text{carrier } P \implies Q p \implies Q (a \odot_P$
 $p)$
shows $Q p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-induct6*:

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes *monom*: $\bigwedge a n. a \in \text{carrier } R \implies Q (\text{monom } P a 0)$
assumes *plus-monom*: $\bigwedge a n p. a \in \text{carrier } R \implies a \neq \mathbf{0} \implies p \in \text{carrier } P \implies$
 $\text{degree } p < n \implies Q p \implies$
 $Q(p \oplus_P \text{monom } P a n)$
shows $Q p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

4 Mapping a Polynomial to its Associated Ring Function

Turning a polynomial into a function on R:

definition *to-function* **where**

to-function $S f = (\lambda s \in \text{carrier } S. \text{eval } S S (\lambda x. x) s f)$

context *UP-cring*

begin

definition *to-fun* **where**

to-fun $f \equiv \text{to-function } R f$

Explicit formula for evaluating a polynomial function:

lemma *to-fun-eval*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{to-fun } f x = \text{eval } R R (\lambda x. x) x f$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-fun-formula*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{to-fun } f x = (\bigoplus i \in \{.. \text{degree } f\}. (f i) \otimes x [\uparrow] i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eval-ring-hom*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{eval } R \ R \ (\lambda x. x) \ a \in \text{ring-hom } P \ R$

<proof>

lemma *to-fun-closed*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{to-fun } f \ x \in \text{carrier } R$

<proof>

lemma *to-fun-plus*:

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{to-fun } (f \oplus_P g) \ x = (\text{to-fun } f \ x) \oplus (\text{to-fun } g \ x)$

<proof>

lemma *to-fun-mult*:

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{to-fun } (f \otimes_P g) \ x = (\text{to-fun } f \ x) \otimes (\text{to-fun } g \ x)$

<proof>

lemma *to-fun-ring-hom*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $(\lambda p. \text{to-fun } p \ a) \in \text{ring-hom } P \ R$

<proof>

lemma *ring-hom-uminus*:

assumes *ring* S

assumes $f \in (\text{ring-hom } S \ R)$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } S$

shows $f \ (\ominus_S a) = \ominus (f \ a)$

<proof>

lemma *to-fun-minus*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{to-fun } (\ominus_P f) \ x = \ominus (\text{to-fun } f \ x)$

<proof>

lemma *id-is-hom*:

ring-hom-crng $R \ R \ (\lambda x. x)$

<proof>

lemma *UP-pre-univ-prop-fact*:

UP-pre-univ-prop $R \ R \ (\lambda x. x)$

<proof>

end

4.1 to-fun is a Ring Homomorphism from Polynomials to Functions

context *UP-cring*
begin

lemma *to-fun-is-Fun:*

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{to-fun } x \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun } R)$
<proof>

lemma *to-fun-Fun-mult:*

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{to-fun } (x \otimes_P y) = \text{to-fun } x \otimes_{\text{function-ring } (\text{carrier } R) \ R} \text{to-fun } y$
<proof>

lemma *to-fun-Fun-add:*

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{to-fun } (x \oplus_P y) = \text{to-fun } x \oplus_{\text{function-ring } (\text{carrier } R) \ R} \text{to-fun } y$
<proof>

lemma *to-fun-Fun-one:*

$\text{to-fun } \mathbf{1}_P = \mathbf{1}_{\text{Fun } R}$
<proof>

lemma *to-fun-Fun-zero:*

$\text{to-fun } \mathbf{0}_P = \mathbf{0}_{\text{Fun } R}$
<proof>

lemma *to-fun-function-ring-hom:*

$\text{to-fun} \in \text{ring-hom } P \ (\text{Fun } R)$
<proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *to-fun-one:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{to-fun } \mathbf{1}_P a = \mathbf{1}$
<proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *to-fun-zero:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{to-fun } \mathbf{0}_P a = \mathbf{0}$
<proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *to-fun-nat-pow*:
assumes $h \in \text{carrier } (UP\ R)$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{to-fun } (h[\overset{\sim}{\lceil} UP\ R(n::\text{nat})])\ a = (\text{to-fun } h\ a)[\overset{\sim}{\lceil} n]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *to-fun-finsum*:
assumes $\text{finite } (Y::'d\ \text{set})$
assumes $f \in UNIV \rightarrow \text{carrier } (UP\ R)$
assumes $t \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{to-fun } (\text{finsum } (UP\ R)\ f\ Y)\ t = \text{finsum } R\ (\lambda i. (\text{to-fun } (f\ i)\ t))\ Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

4.2 Inclusion of a Ring into its Polynomials Ring via Constants

definition *to-polynomial where*
 $\text{to-polynomial } R = (\lambda a. \text{monom } (UP\ R)\ a\ 0)$

context *UP-cring*
begin

abbreviation(*input*) *to-poly where*
 $\text{to-poly} \equiv \text{to-polynomial } R$

lemma *to-poly-mult-simp*:
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP\ R)$
shows $(\text{to-polynomial } R\ b) \otimes_{UP\ R} f = b \odot_{UP\ R} f$
 $f \otimes_{UP\ R} (\text{to-polynomial } R\ b) = b \odot_{UP\ R} f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-fun-to-poly*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{to-fun } (\text{to-poly } a)\ b = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-poly-inverse*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{degree } f = 0$
shows $f = \text{to-poly } (f\ 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-poly-closed*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{to-poly } a \in \text{carrier } P$

<proof>

lemma *degree-to-poly[simp]*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{degree } (\text{to-poly } a) = 0$

<proof>

lemma *to-poly-is-ring-hom*:

$\text{to-poly} \in \text{ring-hom } R P$

<proof>

lemma *to-poly-add*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{to-poly } (a \oplus b) = \text{to-poly } a \oplus_P \text{to-poly } b$

<proof>

lemma *to-poly-mult*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{to-poly } (a \otimes b) = \text{to-poly } a \otimes_P \text{to-poly } b$

<proof>

lemma *to-poly-minus*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{to-poly } (a \ominus b) = \text{to-poly } a \ominus_P \text{to-poly } b$

<proof>

lemma *to-poly-a-inv*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{to-poly } (\ominus a) = \ominus_P \text{to-poly } a$

<proof>

lemma *to-poly-nat-pow*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $(\text{to-poly } a) [\wedge]_P (n::\text{nat}) = \text{to-poly } (a[\wedge]n)$

<proof>

end

5 Polynomial Substitution

definition *compose where*

$\text{compose } R f g = \text{eval } R (UP R) (\text{to-polynomial } R) g f$

abbreviation(in *UP-cring*)(*input*) *sub* (**infixl** of 70) **where**

$\text{sub } f g \equiv \text{compose } R f g$

definition *rev-compose* **where**
rev-compose $R = \text{eval } R \text{ (UP } R) \text{ (to-polynomial } R)$

abbreviation(in *UP-cring*)(input) *rev-sub* **where**
rev-sub $\equiv \text{rev-compose } R$

context *UP-cring*
begin

lemma *sub-rev-sub*:
sub $f g = \text{rev-sub } g f$
<proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *to-poly-UP-pre-univ-prop*:
UP-pre-univ-prop $R P \text{ to-poly}$
<proof>

lemma *rev-sub-is-hom*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{rev-sub } g \in \text{ring-hom } P P$
<proof>

lemma *rev-sub-closed*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{rev-sub } q p \in \text{carrier } P$
<proof>

lemma *sub-closed*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{sub } q p \in \text{carrier } P$
<proof>

lemma *rev-sub-add*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $h \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{rev-sub } g (f \oplus_P h) = (\text{rev-sub } g f) \oplus_P (\text{rev-sub } g h)$
<proof>

lemma *sub-add*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $h \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $((f \oplus_P h) \text{ of } g) = ((f \text{ of } g) \oplus_P (h \text{ of } g))$
<proof>

lemma *rev-sub-mult*:

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $h \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $\text{rev-sub } g (f \otimes_P h) = (\text{rev-sub } g f) \otimes_P (\text{rev-sub } g h)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sub-mult*:

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $h \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $((f \otimes_P h) \text{ of } g) = ((f \text{ of } g) \otimes_P (h \text{ of } g))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sub-monom*:

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ R)$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{sub } (\text{monom } (UP \ R) \ a \ n) \ g = \text{to-poly } a \ \otimes_{UP \ R} (g[\widehat{\cdot}]_{UP \ R} \ (n::\text{nat}))$

$\text{sub } (\text{monom } (UP \ R) \ a \ n) \ g = a \ \odot_{UP \ R} (g[\widehat{\cdot}]_{UP \ R} \ (n::\text{nat}))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Subbing into a constant does nothing

lemma *rev-sub-to-poly*:

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{rev-sub } g \ (\text{to-poly } a) = \text{to-poly } a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sub-to-poly*:

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $(\text{to-poly } a) \ \text{of } g = \text{to-poly } a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sub-const*:

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $\text{degree } f = 0$

shows $f \ \text{of } g = f$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Substitution into a monomial

lemma *monom-sub*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $(\text{monom } P \ a \ n) \ \text{of } g = a \ \odot_P \ g[\widehat{\cdot}]_P \ n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *cring-sub-monom-bound*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $a \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $f = \text{monom } P \ a \ n$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{degree } (f \text{ of } g) \leq n * (\text{degree } g)$
 <proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *cring-sub-monom*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $a \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $f = \text{monom } P \ a \ n$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \otimes (\text{lcf } g \ [\wedge] \ n) \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{degree } (f \text{ of } g) = n * (\text{degree } g)$
 <proof>

lemma(in *UP-domain*) *sub-monom*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $a \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $f = \text{monom } P \ a \ n$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{degree } (f \text{ of } g) = n * (\text{degree } g)$
 <proof>

Subbing a constant into a polynomial yields a constant

lemma *sub-in-const*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{degree } g = 0$
shows $\text{degree } (f \text{ of } g) = 0$
 <proof>

lemma (in *UP-cring*) *cring-sub-deg-bound*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{degree } (f \text{ of } g) \leq \text{degree } f * \text{degree } g$
 <proof>

lemma (in *UP-cring*) *cring-sub-deg*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{lcf } f \otimes (\text{lcf } g \ [\wedge] \ (\text{degree } f)) \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{degree } (f \text{ of } g) = \text{degree } f * \text{degree } g$
 <proof>

lemma (in *UP-domain*) *sub-deg0*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $g \neq \mathbf{0}_P$

assumes $f \neq \mathbf{0}_P$
shows $\text{degree } (f \text{ of } g) = \text{degree } f * \text{degree } g$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(in *UP-domain*) *sub-deg*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $g \neq \mathbf{0}_P$
shows $\text{degree } (f \text{ of } g) = \text{degree } f * \text{degree } g$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *cring-ltrm-sub*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{degree } g > 0$
assumes $\text{lcf } f \otimes (\text{lcf } g [\uparrow] (\text{degree } f)) \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{ltrm } (f \text{ of } g) = \text{ltrm } ((\text{ltrm } f) \text{ of } g)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(in *UP-domain*) *ltrm-sub*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{degree } g > 0$
shows $\text{ltrm } (f \text{ of } g) = \text{ltrm } ((\text{ltrm } f) \text{ of } g)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *cring-lcf-of-sub-in-ltrm*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{degree } f = n$
assumes $\text{degree } g > 0$
assumes $(\text{lcf } f) \otimes ((\text{lcf } g)[\uparrow]n) \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{lcf } ((\text{ltrm } f) \text{ of } g) = (\text{lcf } f) \otimes ((\text{lcf } g)[\uparrow]n)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(in *UP-domain*) *lcf-of-sub-in-ltrm*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{degree } f = n$
assumes $\text{degree } g > 0$
shows $\text{lcf } ((\text{ltrm } f) \text{ of } g) = (\text{lcf } f) \otimes ((\text{lcf } g)[\uparrow]n)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *cring-ltrm-of-sub-in-ltrm*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{degree } f = n$
assumes $\text{degree } g > 0$
assumes $(\text{lcf } f) \otimes ((\text{lcf } g)[\uparrow]n) \neq \mathbf{0}$

shows $\text{ltrm} ((\text{ltrm } f) \text{ of } g) = (\text{lcf } f) \odot_P ((\text{ltrm } g)[\uparrow]_{Pn})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-domain*) *ltrm-of-sub-in-ltrm*:

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{degree } f = n$
assumes $\text{degree } g > 0$
shows $\text{ltrm} ((\text{ltrm } f) \text{ of } g) = (\text{lcf } f) \odot_P ((\text{ltrm } g)[\uparrow]_{Pn})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

formula for the leading term of a composition

lemma(in *UP-domain*) *cring-ltrm-of-sub*:

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{degree } f = n$
assumes $\text{degree } g > 0$
assumes $(\text{lcf } f) \otimes ((\text{lcf } g)[\uparrow]_n) \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{ltrm} (f \text{ of } g) = (\text{lcf } f) \odot_P ((\text{ltrm } g)[\uparrow]_{Pn})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-domain*) *ltrm-of-sub*:

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{degree } f = n$
assumes $\text{degree } g > 0$
shows $\text{ltrm} (f \text{ of } g) = (\text{lcf } f) \odot_P ((\text{ltrm } g)[\uparrow]_{Pn})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

substitution is associative

lemma *sub-assoc-monom*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $r \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $(\text{ltrm } f) \text{ of } (q \text{ of } r) = ((\text{ltrm } f) \text{ of } q) \text{ of } r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sub-assoc*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $r \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $f \text{ of } (q \text{ of } r) = (f \text{ of } q) \text{ of } r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sub-smult*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $(a \odot_P f) \text{ of } q = a \odot_P (f \text{ of } q)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *to-fun-sub-monom*:
 assumes *is-UP-monom* f
 assumes $g \in carrier\ P$
 assumes $a \in carrier\ R$
 shows *to-fun* ($f\ of\ g$) $a = to-fun\ f\ (to-fun\ g\ a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *to-fun-sub*:
 assumes $g \in carrier\ P$
 assumes $f \in carrier\ P$
 assumes $a \in carrier\ R$
 shows *to-fun* ($f\ of\ g$) $a = (to-fun\ f)\ (to-fun\ g\ a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
end

More material on constant terms and constant coefficients

context *UP-cring*
begin

lemma *to-fun-ctrm*:
 assumes $f \in carrier\ P$
 assumes $b \in carrier\ R$
 shows *to-fun* (*ctrm* f) $b = (f\ 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *to-fun-smult*:
 assumes $f \in carrier\ P$
 assumes $b \in carrier\ R$
 assumes $c \in carrier\ R$
 shows *to-fun* ($c \odot_P f$) $b = c \otimes (to-fun\ f\ b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *to-fun-monom*:
 assumes $c \in carrier\ R$
 assumes $x \in carrier\ R$
 shows *to-fun* (*monom* $P\ c\ n$) $x = c \otimes x [\wedge] n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zcf-monom*:
 assumes $a \in carrier\ R$
 shows *zcf* (*monom* $P\ a\ n$) = *to-fun* (*monom* $P\ a\ n$) $\mathbf{0}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zcf-to-fun*:
 assumes $p \in carrier\ P$
 shows *zcf* $p = to-fun\ p\ \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zcf-to-poly[simp]*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{zcf } (\text{to-poly } a) = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zcf-ltrm-mult*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{degree } p > 0$
shows $\text{zcf}((\text{ltrm } p) \otimes_P q) = \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zcf-mult*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{zcf}(p \otimes_P q) = (\text{zcf } p) \otimes (\text{zcf } q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zcf-is-ring-hom*:
 $\text{zcf} \in \text{ring-hom } P R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ctrm-is-ring-hom*:
 $\text{ctrm} \in \text{ring-hom } P P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

6 Describing the Image of (UP R) in the Ring of Functions from R to R

lemma *to-fun-diff*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{to-fun } (p \ominus_P q) a = \text{to-fun } p a \ominus \text{to-fun } q a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-fun-const*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{to-fun } (\text{monom } P a 0) b = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-fun-monic-monom*:
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{to-fun } (\text{monom } P \mathbf{1} n) b = b[\wedge]n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Constant polynomials map to constant polynomials

lemma *const-to-constant*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{to-fun } (\text{monom } P \ a \ 0) = \text{constant-function } (\text{carrier } R) \ a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Monomial polynomials map to monomial functions

lemma *monom-to-monomial*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{to-fun } (\text{monom } P \ a \ n) = \text{monomial-function } R \ a \ n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end

7 Taylor Expansions

7.1 Monic Linear Polynomials

The polynomial representing the variable X

definition *X-poly* **where**
 $X\text{-poly } R = \text{monom } (UP \ R) \ \mathbf{1}_R \ 1$

context *UP-cring*
begin

abbreviation(*input*) *X* **where**
 $X \equiv X\text{-poly } R$

lemma *X-closed*:
 $X \in \text{carrier } P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *degree-X[simp]*:
assumes $\mathbf{1} \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{degree } X = 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *X-not-zero*:
assumes $\mathbf{1} \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $X \neq \mathbf{0}_P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sub-X[simp]*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $X \text{ of } p = p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sub-monom-deg-one*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows *monom P a 1 of* $p = a \odot_P p$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *monom-rep-X-pow*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows *monom P a n =* $a \odot_P (X [\wedge]_P n)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *X-sub[simp]*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
shows *p of X =* p
 ⟨*proof*⟩

representation of monomials as scalar multiples of powers of X

lemma *ltrm-rep-X-pow*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
shows *ltrm p =* $(\text{lcf } p) \odot_P (X [\wedge]_P (\text{degree } p))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *to-fun-monom'*:
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $c \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } R$
shows *to-fun (c \odot_P X [\wedge]_P (n::nat)) x =* $c \otimes x [\wedge] n$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *to-fun-X-pow*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } R$
shows *to-fun (X [\wedge]_P (n::nat)) x =* $x [\wedge] n$
 ⟨*proof*⟩
end

Monic linear polynomials

definition *X-poly-plus where*
X-poly-plus R a = $(X\text{-poly } R) \oplus_{(UP\ R)} \text{to-polynomial } R\ a$

definition *X-poly-minus where*
X-poly-minus R a = $(X\text{-poly } R) \ominus_{(UP\ R)} \text{to-polynomial } R\ a$

context *UP-cring*
begin

abbreviation(*input*) *X-plus where*
X-plus \equiv *X-poly-plus R*

abbreviation(*input*) *X-minus where*
X-minus \equiv *X-poly-minus R*

lemma *X-plus-closed*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $(X\text{-plus } a) \in \text{carrier } P$
<proof>

lemma *X-minus-closed*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $(X\text{-minus } a) \in \text{carrier } P$
<proof>

lemma *X-minus-plus*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $(X\text{-minus } a) = X\text{-plus } (\ominus a)$
<proof>

lemma *degree-of-X-plus*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $\mathbf{1} \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{degree } (X\text{-plus } a) = 1$
<proof>

lemma *degree-of-X-minus*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $\mathbf{1} \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{degree } (X\text{-minus } a) = 1$
<proof>

lemma *ltrm-of-X*:
shows $\text{ltrm } X = X$
<proof>

lemma *ltrm-of-X-plus*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $\mathbf{1} \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{ltrm } (X\text{-plus } a) = X$
<proof>

lemma *ltrm-of-X-minus*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $\mathbf{1} \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{ltrm } (X\text{-minus } a) = X$
<proof>

lemma *lcf-of-X-minus*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $\mathbf{1} \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{lcf } (X\text{-minus } a) = \mathbf{1}$
<proof>

lemma *lcf-of-X-plus*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $\mathbf{1} \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{lcf } (X\text{-plus } a) = \mathbf{1}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{to-fun-}X[\text{simp}]$:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{to-fun } X \ a = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{to-fun-}X\text{-plus}[\text{simp}]$:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{to-fun } (X\text{-plus } a) \ b = b \oplus a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{to-fun-}X\text{-minus}[\text{simp}]$:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{to-fun } (X\text{-minus } a) \ b = b \ominus a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cfs-}X\text{-plus}$:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $X\text{-plus } a \ n = (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } a \ \text{else } (\text{if } n = 1 \text{ then } \mathbf{1} \ \text{else } \mathbf{0}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cfs-}X\text{-minus}$:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $X\text{-minus } a \ n = (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } \ominus a \ \text{else } (\text{if } n = 1 \text{ then } \mathbf{1} \ \text{else } \mathbf{0}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Linear substitutions

lemma $X\text{-plus-sub-deg}$:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{degree } (f \ \text{of } (X\text{-plus } a)) = \text{degree } f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $X\text{-minus-sub-deg}$:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{degree } (f \ \text{of } (X\text{-minus } a)) = \text{degree } f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma plus-minus-sub :
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $X\text{-plus } a \ \text{of } X\text{-minus } a = X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *minus-plus-sub*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $X\text{-minus } a \text{ of } X\text{-plus } a = X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ltrm-times-X*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{ltrm } (X \otimes_P p) = X \otimes_P (\text{ltrm } p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *times-X-not-zero*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $p \neq \mathbf{0}_P$
shows $(X \otimes_P p) \neq \mathbf{0}_P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *degree-times-X*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $p \neq \mathbf{0}_P$
shows $\text{degree } (X \otimes_P p) = \text{degree } p + 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

7.2 Basic Facts About Taylor Expansions

definition *taylor-expansion* **where**
taylor-expansion R a $p = \text{compose } R$ p ($X\text{-poly-plus } R$ a)

definition(**in** *UP-crng*) *taylor* **where**
taylor \equiv *taylor-expansion* R

context *UP-crng*
begin

lemma *taylor-expansion-ring-hom*:
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$
shows *taylor-expansion* R $c \in \text{ring-hom } P$ P
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

notation *taylor* (T .)

lemma(**in** *UP-crng*) *taylor-closed*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $T_a f \in \text{carrier } P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *taylor-deg*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{degree } (T_a p) = \text{degree } p$
<proof>

lemma *taylor-id*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $p = (T_a p)$ of $(X\text{-minus } a)$
<proof>

lemma *taylor-eval*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{to-fun } (T_a f) b = \text{to-fun } f (b \oplus a)$
<proof>

lemma *taylor-eval'*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{to-fun } f (b) = \text{to-fun } (T_a f) (b \ominus a)$
<proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *degree-monom*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{degree } (a \odot_{UP} R (X\text{-poly } R)[\uparrow]_{UP} R^n) = (\text{if } a = \mathbf{0} \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } n)$
<proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *poly-comp-finsum*:

assumes $\bigwedge i::\text{nat. } i \leq n \implies g \ i \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $p = (\bigoplus_P i \in \{..n\}. g \ i)$
shows p of $q = (\bigoplus_P i \in \{..n\}. (g \ i)$ of $q)$
<proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *poly-comp-expansion*:

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{degree } p \leq n$
shows p of $q = (\bigoplus_P i \in \{..n\}. (p \ i) \odot_P q[\uparrow]_P i)$
<proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *taylor-sum*:

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{degree } p \leq n$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $p = (\bigoplus_P i \in \{..n\}. T_a p i \odot_P (X\text{-minus } a)[\uparrow_P i])$
 ⟨proof⟩

The i^{th} term in the taylor expansion

definition *taylor-term* **where**

taylor-term $c p i = (\text{taylor-expansion } R c p i) \odot_{UP R} (UP\text{-cring}.X\text{-minus } R c)$
 $[\uparrow_{UP R} i]$

lemma (in *UP-cring*) *taylor-term-closed*:

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows *taylor-term* $a p i \in \text{carrier } (UP R)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *taylor-term-sum*:

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $\text{degree } p \leq n$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $p = (\bigoplus_P i \in \{..n\}. \text{taylor-term } a p i)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *UP-cring*) *taylor-expansion-add*:

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{taylor-expansion } R c (p \oplus_{UP R} q) = (\text{taylor-expansion } R c p) \oplus_{UP R}$
 $(\text{taylor-expansion } R c q)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *UP-cring*) *taylor-term-add*:

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{taylor-term } a (p \oplus_{UP R} q) i = \text{taylor-term } a p i \oplus_{UP R} \text{taylor-term } a q i$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *UP-cring*) *to-fun-taylor-term*:

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$

shows *to-fun* $(\text{taylor-term } c p i) a = (T_c p i) \otimes (a \ominus c)[\uparrow i]$

⟨proof⟩

end

7.3 Defining the (Scalar-Valued) Derivative of a Polynomial Using the Taylor Expansion

definition *derivative* **where**
derivative R f $a = (\text{taylor-expansion } R \ a \ f) \ 1$

context *UP-cring*
begin

abbreviation(**in** *UP-cring*) *deriv* **where**
deriv \equiv *derivative* R

lemma(**in** *UP-cring*) *deriv-closed*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $(\text{derivative } R \ f \ a) \in \text{carrier } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *UP-cring*) *deriv-add*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{deriv } (f \oplus_P g) \ a = \text{deriv } f \ a \oplus \text{deriv } g \ a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

8 The Polynomial-Valued Derivative Operator

context *UP-cring*
begin

8.1 Operator Which Shifts Coefficients

lemma *cfs-times-X*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $(X \otimes_P g) (\text{Suc } n) = g \ n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *times-X-pow-coeff*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $(\text{monom } P \ \mathbf{1} \ k \otimes_P g) (n + k) = g \ n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zcf-eq-zero-unique*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P \wedge (f = X \otimes_P g)$
shows $\bigwedge h. h \in \text{carrier } P \wedge (f = X \otimes_P h) \implies h = g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *f-minus-ctrm*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $\text{zcf}(f \ominus_P \text{ctrm } f) = \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

definition *poly-shift* **where**

poly-shift $f \ n = f \ (\text{Suc } n)$

lemma *poly-shift-closed*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

shows *poly-shift* $f \in \text{carrier } P$

<proof>

lemma *poly-shift-eq-0*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $f \ n = (\text{ctrm } f \oplus_P X \otimes_P \text{poly-shift } f) \ n$

<proof>

lemma *poly-shift-eq*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $f = (\text{ctrm } f \oplus_P X \otimes_P \text{poly-shift } f)$

<proof>

lemma *poly-shift-id*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $f \ominus_P \text{ctrm } f = X \otimes_P \text{poly-shift } f$

<proof>

lemma *poly-shift-degree-zero*:

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $\text{degree } p = 0$

shows *poly-shift* $p = \mathbf{0}_P$

<proof>

lemma *poly-shift-degree*:

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $\text{degree } p > 0$

shows $\text{degree } (\text{poly-shift } p) = \text{degree } p - 1$

<proof>

lemma *poly-shift-monom*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows *poly-shift* $(\text{monom } P \ a \ (\text{Suc } k)) = (\text{monom } P \ a \ k)$

<proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *poly-shift-add*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $\text{poly-shift } (f \oplus_P g) = (\text{poly-shift } f) \oplus_P (\text{poly-shift } g)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *poly-shift-s-mult*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $s \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{poly-shift } (s \odot_P f) = s \odot_P (\text{poly-shift } f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *zcf-poly-shift*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{zcf } (\text{poly-shift } f) = f \cdot 1$
 ⟨proof⟩

fun *poly-shift-iter* (*shift*) **where**
Base: $\text{poly-shift-iter } 0 \ f = f$
Step: $\text{poly-shift-iter } (\text{Suc } n) \ f = \text{poly-shift } (\text{poly-shift-iter } n \ f)$

lemma *shift-closed*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{shift } n \ f \in \text{carrier } P$
 ⟨proof⟩

8.2 Operator Which Multiplies Coefficients by Their Degree

definition *n-mult where*
 $n\text{-mult } f = (\lambda n. [n] \cdot_R (f \ n))$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *n-mult-closed*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $n\text{-mult } f \in \text{carrier } P$
 ⟨proof⟩

Facts about the shift function

lemma *shift-one*:
 $\text{shift } (\text{Suc } 0) = \text{poly-shift}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *shift-factor0*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{degree } f \geq (\text{Suc } k) \implies \text{degree } (f \ominus_P ((\text{shift } (\text{Suc } k) \ f) \otimes_P (X[\]_P (\text{Suc } k)))) < (\text{Suc } k)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *shift-degree0*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{degree } f > n \implies \text{Suc } (\text{degree } (\text{shift } (\text{Suc } n) \ f)) = \text{degree } (\text{shift } n \ f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *shift-degree*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{degree } f \geq n \implies \text{degree } (\text{shift } n \ f) + n = \text{degree } f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *shift-degree'*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{degree } (\text{shift } (\text{degree } f) \ f) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *shift-above-degree*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $k > \text{degree } f$
shows $(\text{shift } k \ f) = \mathbf{0}_P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-domain*) *shift-cfs0*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{zcf}(\text{shift } 1 \ f) = f \ 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *X-mult-cf*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $(p \otimes_P X) \ (k+1) = p \ k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *X-pow-cf*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $(p \otimes_P X[\]_P(n::\text{nat})) \ (n + k) = p \ k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-shift-cfs*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{poly-shift } f \ n = f \ (\text{Suc } n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *shift-cfs*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $(\text{shift } k \ p) \ n = p \ (k + n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

8.3 The Derivative Operator

definition *pderiv* where
 $pderiv \ p = \text{poly-shift } (n\text{-mult } p)$

lemma *pderiv-closed*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $pderiv \ p \in \text{carrier } P$

<proof>

Function which obtains the first $n+1$ terms of f , in ascending order of degree:

definition *trms-of-deg-leq* **where**

trms-of-deg-leq $n f \equiv f \ominus_{(UP R)} ((\text{shift } (Suc n) f) \otimes_{UP R} \text{monom } P \mathbf{1} (Suc n))$

lemma *trms-of-deg-leq-closed*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $\text{trms-of-deg-leq } n f \in \text{carrier } P$

<proof>

lemma *trms-of-deg-leq-id*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $f \ominus_P (\text{trms-of-deg-leq } k f) = \text{shift } (Suc k) f \otimes_P \text{monom } P \mathbf{1} (Suc k)$

<proof>

lemma *trms-of-deg-leq-id'*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $f = (\text{trms-of-deg-leq } k f) \oplus_P \text{shift } (Suc k) f \otimes_P \text{monom } P \mathbf{1} (Suc k)$

<proof>

lemma *deg-leqI*:

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $\bigwedge n. n > k \implies p n = \mathbf{0}$

shows $\text{degree } p \leq k$

<proof>

lemma *deg-leE*:

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $\text{degree } p < k$

shows $p k = \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma *trms-of-deg-leq-deg*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $\text{degree } (\text{trms-of-deg-leq } k f) \leq k$

<proof>

lemma *trms-of-deg-leq-zero-is-ctrm*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $\text{degree } f > 0$

shows $\text{trms-of-deg-leq } 0 f = \text{ctrm } f$

<proof>

lemma *cfs-monom-mult*:

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $k < n$

shows $(p \otimes_P (\text{monom } P a n)) k = \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *cfs-monom-mult-2*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $m < n$

shows $((\text{monom } P \ a \ n) \otimes_P f) \ m = \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma *trms-of-deg-leq-cfs*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $\text{trms-of-deg-leq } n \ f \ k = (\text{if } k \leq n \ \text{then } (f \ k) \ \text{else } \mathbf{0})$

<proof>

lemma *trms-of-deg-leq-iter*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $\text{trms-of-deg-leq } (\text{Suc } k) \ f = (\text{trms-of-deg-leq } k \ f) \oplus_P \text{monom } P \ (f \ (\text{Suc } k)) \ (\text{Suc } k)$

<proof>

lemma *trms-of-deg-leq-0*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $\text{trms-of-deg-leq } 0 \ f = \text{ctrm } f$

<proof>

lemma *trms-of-deg-leq-degree-f*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $\text{trms-of-deg-leq } (\text{degree } f) \ f = f$

<proof>

definition(in *UP-cring*) *lin-part* **where**

$\text{lin-part } f = \text{trms-of-deg-leq } 1 \ f$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *lin-part-id*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $\text{lin-part } f = (\text{ctrm } f) \oplus_P \text{monom } P \ (f \ 1) \ 1$

<proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *lin-part-eq*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $f = \text{lin-part } f \oplus_P (\text{shift } 2 \ f) \otimes_P \text{monom } P \ \mathbf{1} \ 2$

<proof>

Constant term of a substitution:

lemma *zcf-eval*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $\text{zcf } f = \text{to-fun } f \ \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma *ctrm-of-sub*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{zcf}(f \text{ of } g) = \text{to-fun } f (\text{zcf } g)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Evaluation of linear part:

lemma *to-fun-lin-part*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{to-fun } (\text{lin-part } f) b = (f 0) \oplus (f 1) \otimes b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Constant term of taylor expansion:

lemma *taylor-zcf*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{zcf}(T_a f) = \text{to-fun } f a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *taylor-eq-1*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $(T_a f) \ominus_P (\text{trms-of-deg-leq } 1 (T_a f)) = (\text{shift } (2::\text{nat}) (T_a f)) \otimes_P (X[\overset{\sim}{\lceil}]_P(2::\text{nat}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *taylor-deg-1*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $f \text{ of } (X\text{-plus } a) = (\text{lin-part } (T_a f)) \oplus_P (\text{shift } (2::\text{nat}) (T_a f)) \otimes_P (X[\overset{\sim}{\lceil}]_P(2::\text{nat}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *taylor-deg-1-eval*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $c = \text{to-fun } (\text{shift } (2::\text{nat}) (T_a f)) b$
assumes $fa = \text{to-fun } f a$
assumes $f'a = \text{deriv } f a$
shows $\text{to-fun } f (b \oplus a) = fa \oplus (f'a \otimes b) \oplus (c \otimes b[\overset{\sim}{\lceil}](2::\text{nat}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *taylor-deg-1-eval'*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $c = \text{to-fun } (\text{shift } (2::\text{nat}) (T_a f)) b$

assumes $fa = \text{to-fun } f \ a$
assumes $f'a = \text{deriv } f \ a$
shows $\text{to-fun } f \ (a \oplus b) = fa \oplus (f'a \otimes b) \oplus (c \otimes b[\ulcorner](2::\text{nat}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *taylor-deg-1-eval''*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $c = \text{to-fun } (\text{shift } (2::\text{nat}) \ (T_a \ f)) \ (\ominus b)$
shows $\text{to-fun } f \ (a \ominus b) = (\text{to-fun } f \ a) \ominus (\text{deriv } f \ a \otimes b) \oplus (c \otimes b[\ulcorner](2::\text{nat}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *taylor-deg-1-expansion*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $c = \text{to-fun } (\text{shift } (2::\text{nat}) \ (T_a \ f)) \ (b \ominus a)$
assumes $fa = \text{to-fun } f \ a$
assumes $f'a = \text{deriv } f \ a$
shows $\text{to-fun } f \ (b) = fa \oplus f'a \otimes (b \ominus a) \oplus (c \otimes (b \ominus a)[\ulcorner](2::\text{nat}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *Taylor-deg-1-expansion'*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ R)$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\exists c \in \text{carrier } R. \text{to-fun } f \ (b) = (\text{to-fun } f \ a) \oplus (\text{deriv } f \ a) \otimes (b \ominus a) \oplus (c \otimes (b \ominus a)[\ulcorner](2::\text{nat}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Basic Properties of deriv and pderiv:

lemma *n-mult-degree-bound*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{degree } (n\text{-mult } f) \leq \text{degree } f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pderiv-deg-0[simp]*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{degree } f = 0$
shows $\text{pderiv } f = \mathbf{0}_P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *deriv-deg-0*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{degree } f = 0$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{deriv } f \ a = \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-shift-monom'*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{poly-shift } (a \odot_P (X[\overset{\frown}{\wedge}]_P(\text{Suc } n))) = a \odot_P (X[\overset{\frown}{\wedge}]_P n)$

<proof>

lemma *monom-coeff*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $(a \odot_P X[\overset{\frown}{\wedge}]_P (n::\text{nat})) k = (\text{if } (k = n) \text{ then } a \text{ else } \mathbf{0})$

<proof>

lemma *cfs-n-mult*:

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $n\text{-mult } p \ n = [n] \cdot (p \ n)$

<proof>

lemma *cfs-add-nat-pow*:

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $([n::\text{nat}] \cdot p) k = [n] \cdot (p \ k)$

<proof>

lemma *cfs-add-int-pow*:

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $([n::\text{int}] \cdot p) k = [n] \cdot (p \ k)$

<proof>

lemma *add-nat-pow-monom*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $([n::\text{nat}] \cdot p) \text{monom } P \ a \ k = \text{monom } P \ ([n] \cdot a) \ k$

<proof>

lemma *add-int-pow-monom*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $([n::\text{int}] \cdot p) \text{monom } P \ a \ k = \text{monom } P \ ([n] \cdot a) \ k$

<proof>

lemma *n-mult-monom*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $n\text{-mult } (\text{monom } P \ a \ (\text{Suc } n)) = \text{monom } P \ ([\text{Suc } n] \cdot a) \ (\text{Suc } n)$

<proof>

lemma *pderiv-monom*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $p\text{deriv } (\text{monom } P \ a \ n) = \text{monom } P \ ([n] \cdot a) \ (n-1)$

<proof>

lemma *pderiv-monom'*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $p\text{deriv } (a \odot_P X[\overset{\frown}{\wedge}]_P (n::\text{nat})) = ([n] \cdot a) \odot_P X[\overset{\frown}{\wedge}]_P (n-1)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *n-mult-add*:

assumes $p \in carrier\ P$

assumes $q \in carrier\ P$

shows $n\text{-mult}\ (p \oplus_P q) = n\text{-mult}\ p \oplus_P n\text{-mult}\ q$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pderiv-add*:

assumes $p \in carrier\ P$

assumes $q \in carrier\ P$

shows $pderiv\ (p \oplus_P q) = pderiv\ p \oplus_P pderiv\ q$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zcf-monom-sub*:

assumes $p \in carrier\ P$

shows $zcf\ ((monom\ P\ \mathbf{1}\ (Suc\ n))\ of\ p) = zcf\ p\ [\hat{\cdot}]\ (Suc\ n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zcf-monom-sub'*:

assumes $p \in carrier\ P$

assumes $a \in carrier\ R$

shows $zcf\ ((monom\ P\ a\ (Suc\ n))\ of\ p) = a \otimes zcf\ p\ [\hat{\cdot}]\ (Suc\ n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *deriv-monom*:

assumes $a \in carrier\ R$

assumes $b \in carrier\ R$

shows $deriv\ (monom\ P\ a\ n)\ b = ([n] \cdot a) \otimes (b[\hat{\cdot}](n-1))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *deriv-smult*:

assumes $a \in carrier\ R$

assumes $b \in carrier\ R$

assumes $g \in carrier\ P$

shows $deriv\ (a \odot_P g)\ b = a \otimes (deriv\ g\ b)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *deriv-const*:

assumes $a \in carrier\ R$

assumes $b \in carrier\ R$

shows $deriv\ (monom\ P\ a\ 0)\ b = \mathbf{0}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *deriv-monom-deg-one*:

assumes $a \in carrier\ R$

assumes $b \in carrier\ R$

shows $deriv\ (monom\ P\ a\ 1)\ b = a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *monom-Suc*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{monom } P a (\text{Suc } n) = \text{monom } P \mathbf{1} 1 \otimes_P \text{monom } P a n$

$\text{monom } P a (\text{Suc } n) = \text{monom } P a n \otimes_P \text{monom } P \mathbf{1} 1$

<proof>

8.4 The Product Rule

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *times-x-product-rule*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $\text{pderiv } (f \otimes_P \text{up-ring.monom } P \mathbf{1} 1) = f \oplus_P \text{pderiv } f \otimes_P \text{up-ring.monom } P \mathbf{1} 1$

<proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *deg-one-eval*:

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP R)$

assumes $\text{deg } R g = 1$

shows $\bigwedge t. t \in \text{carrier } R \implies \text{to-fun } g t = g 0 \oplus (g 1) \otimes t$

<proof>

lemma *nmult-smult*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $n\text{-mult } (a \odot_P f) = a \odot_P (n\text{-mult } f)$

<proof>

lemma *pderiv-smult*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $\text{pderiv } (a \odot_P f) = a \odot_P (\text{pderiv } f)$

<proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *pderiv-minus*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $\text{pderiv } (a \ominus_P b) = \text{pderiv } a \ominus_P \text{pderiv } b$

<proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *pderiv-const*:

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{pderiv } (\text{up-ring.monom } P b 0) = \mathbf{0}_P$

<proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *pderiv-minus-const*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{pderiv } (a \ominus_P \text{up-ring.monom } P b 0) = \text{pderiv } a$

<proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *monom-product-rule*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{pderiv } (f \otimes_P \text{up-ring.monom } P \ a \ n) = f \otimes_P \text{pderiv } (\text{up-ring.monom } P \ a \ n) \oplus_P \text{pderiv } f \otimes_P \text{up-ring.monom } P \ a \ n$
<proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *product-rule*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ R)$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ R)$
shows $\text{pderiv } (f \otimes_{UP \ R} g) = (\text{pderiv } f \otimes_{UP \ R} g) \oplus_{UP \ R} (f \otimes_{UP \ R} \text{pderiv } g)$
<proof>

8.5 The Chain Rule

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *chain-rule*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{pderiv } (\text{compose } R \ f \ g) = \text{compose } R \ (\text{pderiv } f) \ g \otimes_{UP \ R} \text{pderiv } g$
<proof>

lemma *deriv-prod-rule-times-monom*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{deriv } ((\text{monom } P \ a \ n) \otimes_P q) \ b = (\text{deriv } (\text{monom } P \ a \ n) \ b) \otimes (\text{to-fun } q \ b) \oplus (\text{to-fun } (\text{monom } P \ a \ n) \ b) \otimes \text{deriv } q \ b$
<proof>

lemma *deriv-prod-rule*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{deriv } (p \otimes_P q) \ a = \text{deriv } p \ a \otimes (\text{to-fun } q \ a) \oplus (\text{to-fun } p \ a) \otimes \text{deriv } q \ a$
<proof>

lemma *pderiv-eval-deriv-monom*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{to-fun } (\text{pderiv } (\text{monom } P \ a \ n)) \ b = \text{deriv } (\text{monom } P \ a \ n) \ b$
<proof>

lemma *pderiv-eval-deriv*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{deriv } f \ a = \text{to-fun } (\text{pderiv } f) \ a$
<proof>

Taking taylor expansions commutes with taking derivatives:

lemma(in *UP-crimg*) *taylor-expansion-pderiv-comm*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP\ R)$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{pderiv } (\text{taylor-expansion } R\ c\ f) = \text{taylor-expansion } R\ c\ (\text{pderiv } f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

8.6 Linear Substitutions

lemma(in *UP-ring*) *lcoeff-Lcf*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{lcoeff } f = \text{lcf } f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-crimg*) *linear-sub-cfs*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP\ R)$
assumes $d \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $g = \text{compose } R\ f\ (\text{up-ring.monom } (UP\ R)\ d\ 1)$
shows $g\ i = d[\]i \otimes f\ i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-crimg*) *linear-sub-deriv*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP\ R)$
assumes $d \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $g = \text{compose } R\ f\ (\text{up-ring.monom } (UP\ R)\ d\ 1)$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{pderiv } g = d \odot_{UP\ R} \text{compose } R\ (\text{pderiv } f)\ (\text{up-ring.monom } (UP\ R)\ d\ 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-crimg*) *linear-sub-deriv'*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP\ R)$
assumes $d \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $g = \text{compose } R\ f\ (\text{up-ring.monom } (UP\ R)\ d\ 1)$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{pderiv } g = \text{compose } R\ (d \odot_{UP\ R} \text{pderiv } f)\ (\text{up-ring.monom } (UP\ R)\ d\ 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-crimg*) *linear-sub-inv*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP\ R)$
assumes $d \in \text{Units } R$
assumes $g = \text{compose } R\ f\ (\text{up-ring.monom } (UP\ R)\ d\ 1)$
shows $\text{compose } R\ g\ (\text{up-ring.monom } (UP\ R)\ (\text{inv } d)\ 1) = f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-crimg*) *linear-sub-deg*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP\ R)$
assumes $d \in \text{Units } R$
assumes $g = \text{compose } R\ f\ (\text{up-ring.monom } (UP\ R)\ d\ 1)$
shows $\text{deg } R\ g = \text{deg } R\ f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

9 Lemmas About Polynomial Division

context *UP-cring*
begin

9.1 Division by Linear Terms

definition *UP-root-div* where
 $UP\text{-root-div } f a = (\text{poly-shift } (T_a f)) \text{ of } (X\text{-minus } a)$

definition *UP-root-rem* where
 $UP\text{-root-rem } f a = \text{ctrm } (T_a f)$

lemma *UP-root-div-closed*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $UP\text{-root-div } f a \in \text{carrier } P$
<proof>

lemma *rem-closed*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $UP\text{-root-rem } f a \in \text{carrier } P$
<proof>

lemma *rem-deg*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{degree } (UP\text{-root-rem } f a) = 0$
<proof>

lemma *remainder-theorem*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $g = UP\text{-root-div } f a$
assumes $r = UP\text{-root-rem } f a$
shows $f = r \oplus_P (X\text{-minus } a) \otimes_P g$
<proof>

lemma *remainder-theorem'*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $f = UP\text{-root-rem } f a \oplus_P (X\text{-minus } a) \otimes_P UP\text{-root-div } f a$
<proof>

lemma *factor-theorem*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $g = \text{UP-root-div } f \ a$
assumes $\text{to-fun } f \ a = \mathbf{0}$
shows $f = (X\text{-minus } a) \otimes_P g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *factor-theorem'*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $\text{to-fun } f \ a = \mathbf{0}$
shows $f = (X\text{-minus } a) \otimes_P \text{UP-root-div } f \ a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

9.2 Geometric Sums

lemma *geom-quot*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $p = \text{monom } P \ \mathbf{1} \ (\text{Suc } n) \ominus_P \text{monom } P \ (b[\uparrow](\text{Suc } n)) \ 0$
assumes $g = \text{UP-root-div } p \ b$
shows $a[\uparrow](\text{Suc } n) \ominus b[\uparrow](\text{Suc } n) = (a \ominus b) \otimes (\text{to-fun } g \ a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

context *UP-cring*
begin

definition *geometric-series where*
geometric-series $n \ a \ b = \text{to-fun } (\text{UP-root-div } (\text{monom } P \ \mathbf{1} \ (\text{Suc } n) \ominus_{UP} R \ (\text{monom } P \ (b[\uparrow](\text{Suc } n)) \ 0))) \ b) \ a$

lemma *geometric-series-id*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $a[\uparrow](\text{Suc } n) \ominus b[\uparrow](\text{Suc } n) = (a \ominus b) \otimes (\text{geometric-series } n \ a \ b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *geometric-series-closed*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $(\text{geometric-series } n \ a \ b) \in \text{carrier } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Shows that $a^n - b^n$ has $a - b$ as a factor:

lemma *to-fun-monic-monom-diff*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\exists c. c \in \text{carrier } R \wedge \text{to-fun } (\text{monom } P \ \mathbf{1} \ n) \ a \ominus \text{to-fun } (\text{monom } P \ \mathbf{1} \ n) \ b = (a \ominus b) \otimes c$
 <proof>

lemma *to-fun-diff-factor:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$

shows $\exists c. c \in \text{carrier } R \wedge (\text{to-fun } f \ a) \ominus (\text{to-fun } f \ b) = (a \ominus b) \otimes c$

<proof>

Any finite set over a domain is the zero set of a polynomial:

lemma(in *UP-domain*) *fin-set-poly-roots:*

assumes $F \subseteq \text{carrier } R$

assumes *finite* F

shows $\exists P \in \text{carrier } (UP \ R). \forall x \in \text{carrier } R. \text{to-fun } P \ x = \mathbf{0} \longleftrightarrow x \in F$

<proof>

9.3 Polynomial Evaluation at Multiplicative Inverses

For every polynomial $p(x)$ of degree n , there is a unique polynomial $q(x)$ which satisfies the equation $q(x) = x^n p(1/x)$. This section defines this polynomial and proves this identity.

definition(in *UP-cring*) *one-over-poly* **where**

one-over-poly $p = (\lambda n. \text{if } n \leq \text{degree } p \text{ then } p \ ((\text{degree } p) - n) \text{ else } \mathbf{0})$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *one-over-poly-closed:*

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$

shows *one-over-poly* $p \in \text{carrier } P$

<proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *one-over-poly-monom:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows *one-over-poly* $(\text{monom } P \ a \ n) = \text{monom } P \ a \ 0$

<proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *one-over-poly-monom-add:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $a \neq \mathbf{0}$

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $\text{degree } p < n$

shows *one-over-poly* $(p \oplus_P \text{monom } P \ a \ n) = \text{monom } P \ a \ 0 \oplus_P \text{monom } P \ \mathbf{1} \ (n - \text{degree } p) \otimes_P \text{one-over-poly } p$

<proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *one-over-poly-eval:*

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $x \in \text{Units } R$
shows $\text{to-fun } (one-over-poly\ p)\ x = (x[\wedge](degree\ p)) \otimes (\text{to-fun } p\ (\text{inv}_R\ x))$
 <proof>
end

10 Lifting Homomorphisms of Rings to Polynomial Rings by Application to Coefficients

definition *poly-lift-hom where*
 $\text{poly-lift-hom } R\ S\ \varphi = \text{eval } R\ (UP\ S)\ (\text{to-polynomial } S \circ \varphi)\ (X\text{-poly } S)$

context *UP-ring*
begin

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *pre-poly-lift-hom-is-hom:*
assumes *cring* S
assumes $\varphi \in \text{ring-hom } R\ S$
shows $\text{ring-hom-ring } R\ (UP\ S)\ (\text{to-polynomial } S \circ \varphi)$
 <proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *poly-lift-hom-is-hom:*
assumes *cring* S
assumes $\varphi \in \text{ring-hom } R\ S$
shows $\text{poly-lift-hom } R\ S\ \varphi \in \text{ring-hom } (UP\ R)\ (UP\ S)$
 <proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *poly-lift-hom-closed:*
assumes *cring* S
assumes $\varphi \in \text{ring-hom } R\ S$
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (UP\ R)$
shows $\text{poly-lift-hom } R\ S\ \varphi\ p \in \text{carrier } (UP\ S)$
 <proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *poly-lift-hom-add:*
assumes *cring* S
assumes $\varphi \in \text{ring-hom } R\ S$
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (UP\ R)$
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } (UP\ R)$
shows $\text{poly-lift-hom } R\ S\ \varphi\ (p \oplus_{UP\ R}\ q) = \text{poly-lift-hom } R\ S\ \varphi\ p \oplus_{UP\ S}$
 $\text{poly-lift-hom } R\ S\ \varphi\ q$
 <proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *poly-lift-hom-mult:*
assumes *cring* S
assumes $\varphi \in \text{ring-hom } R\ S$
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (UP\ R)$
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } (UP\ R)$

shows $\text{poly-lift-hom } R \ S \ \varphi \ (p \otimes_{UP} R \ q) = \text{poly-lift-hom } R \ S \ \varphi \ p \otimes_{UP} S$
 $\text{poly-lift-hom } R \ S \ \varphi \ q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in UP -cring) $\text{poly-lift-hom-extends-hom}$:
assumes $\text{cring } S$
assumes $\varphi \in \text{ring-hom } R \ S$
assumes $r \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{poly-lift-hom } R \ S \ \varphi \ (\text{to-polynomial } R \ r) = \text{to-polynomial } S \ (\varphi \ r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in UP -cring) $\text{poly-lift-hom-extends-hom}'$:
assumes $\text{cring } S$
assumes $\varphi \in \text{ring-hom } R \ S$
assumes $r \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{poly-lift-hom } R \ S \ \varphi \ (\text{monom } P \ r \ 0) = \text{monom } (UP \ S) \ (\varphi \ r) \ 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in UP -cring) $\text{poly-lift-hom-smult}$:
assumes $\text{cring } S$
assumes $\varphi \in \text{ring-hom } R \ S$
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (UP \ R)$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{poly-lift-hom } R \ S \ \varphi \ (a \odot_{UP} R \ p) = \varphi \ a \odot_{UP} S \ (\text{poly-lift-hom } R \ S \ \varphi \ p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in UP -cring) $\text{poly-lift-hom-monom}$:
assumes $\text{cring } S$
assumes $\varphi \in \text{ring-hom } R \ S$
assumes $r \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{poly-lift-hom } R \ S \ \varphi \ (\text{monom } (UP \ R) \ r \ n) = (\text{monom } (UP \ S) \ (\varphi \ r) \ n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in UP -cring) $\text{poly-lift-hom-X-var}$:
assumes $\text{cring } S$
assumes $\varphi \in \text{ring-hom } R \ S$
shows $\text{poly-lift-hom } R \ S \ \varphi \ (\text{monom } (UP \ R) \ \mathbf{1}_R \ 1) = (\text{monom } (UP \ S) \ \mathbf{1}_S \ 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in UP -cring) $\text{poly-lift-hom-X-var}'$:
assumes $\text{cring } S$
assumes $\varphi \in \text{ring-hom } R \ S$
shows $\text{poly-lift-hom } R \ S \ \varphi \ (X\text{-poly } R) = (X\text{-poly } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in UP -cring) $\text{poly-lift-hom-X-var}''$:
assumes $\text{cring } S$
assumes $\varphi \in \text{ring-hom } R \ S$
shows $\text{poly-lift-hom } R \ S \ \varphi \ (\text{monom } (UP \ R) \ \mathbf{1}_R \ n) = (\text{monom } (UP \ S) \ \mathbf{1}_S \ n)$

<proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *poly-lift-hom-X-var'''*:

assumes *cring S*

assumes $\varphi \in \text{ring-hom } R \ S$

shows $\text{poly-lift-hom } R \ S \ \varphi \ (X\text{-poly } R \ [\bigwedge]_{UP \ R} (n::\text{nat})) = (X\text{-poly } S) \ [\bigwedge]_{UP \ S} (n::\text{nat})$

<proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *poly-lift-hom-X-plus*:

assumes *cring S*

assumes $\varphi \in \text{ring-hom } R \ S$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{poly-lift-hom } R \ S \ \varphi \ (X\text{-poly-plus } R \ a) = X\text{-poly-plus } S \ (\varphi \ a)$

<proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *poly-lift-hom-X-plus-nat-pow*:

assumes *cring S*

assumes $\varphi \in \text{ring-hom } R \ S$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{poly-lift-hom } R \ S \ \varphi \ (X\text{-poly-plus } R \ a \ [\bigwedge]_{UP \ R} (n::\text{nat})) = X\text{-poly-plus } S \ (\varphi \ a) \ [\bigwedge]_{UP \ S} (n::\text{nat})$

<proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *X-poly-plus-nat-pow-closed*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $X\text{-poly-plus } R \ a \ [\bigwedge]_{UP \ R} (n::\text{nat}) \in \text{carrier } (UP \ R)$

<proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *poly-lift-hom-X-plus-nat-pow-smult*:

assumes *cring S*

assumes $\varphi \in \text{ring-hom } R \ S$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{poly-lift-hom } R \ S \ \varphi \ (b \odot_{UP \ R} X\text{-poly-plus } R \ a \ [\bigwedge]_{UP \ R} (n::\text{nat})) = \varphi \ b \odot_{UP \ S} X\text{-poly-plus } S \ (\varphi \ a) \ [\bigwedge]_{UP \ S} (n::\text{nat})$

<proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *poly-lift-hom-X-minus*:

assumes *cring S*

assumes $\varphi \in \text{ring-hom } R \ S$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{poly-lift-hom } R \ S \ \varphi \ (X\text{-poly-minus } R \ a) = X\text{-poly-minus } S \ (\varphi \ a)$

<proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *poly-lift-hom-X-minus-nat-pow*:

assumes *cring S*

assumes $\varphi \in \text{ring-hom } R \ S$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{poly-lift-hom } R \ S \ \varphi \ (X\text{-poly-minus } R \ a \ [\bigwedge]_{UP \ R} (n::nat)) = X\text{-poly-minus } S \ (\varphi \ a) \ [\bigwedge]_{UP \ S} (n::nat)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in $UP\text{-cring}$) $X\text{-poly-minus-nat-pow-closed}$:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $X\text{-poly-minus } R \ a \ [\bigwedge]_{UP \ R} (n::nat) \in \text{carrier } (UP \ R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in $UP\text{-cring}$) $\text{poly-lift-hom-}X\text{-minus-nat-pow-smult}$:
assumes $\text{cring } S$
assumes $\varphi \in \text{ring-hom } R \ S$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{poly-lift-hom } R \ S \ \varphi \ (b \odot_{UP \ R} X\text{-poly-minus } R \ a \ [\bigwedge]_{UP \ R} (n::nat)) = \varphi \ b \odot_{UP \ S} X\text{-poly-minus } S \ (\varphi \ a) \ [\bigwedge]_{UP \ S} (n::nat)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in $UP\text{-cring}$) poly-lift-hom-cf :
assumes $\text{cring } S$
assumes $\varphi \in \text{ring-hom } R \ S$
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{poly-lift-hom } R \ S \ \varphi \ p \ k = \varphi \ (p \ k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in ring) $\text{ring-hom-monom-term}$:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $\text{ring } S$
assumes $h \in \text{ring-hom } R \ S$
shows $h \ (a \otimes c) [\bigwedge] (n::nat) = h \ a \otimes_S \ (h \ c) [\bigwedge]_S n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in $UP\text{-cring}$) $\text{poly-lift-hom-eval}$:
assumes $\text{cring } S$
assumes $\varphi \in \text{ring-hom } R \ S$
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $UP\text{-cring.to-fun } S \ (\text{poly-lift-hom } R \ S \ \varphi \ p) \ (\varphi \ a) = \varphi \ (\text{to-fun } p \ a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in $UP\text{-cring}$) poly-lift-hom-sub :
assumes $\text{cring } S$
assumes $\varphi \in \text{ring-hom } R \ S$
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $\text{poly-lift-hom } R \ S \ \varphi \ (\text{compose } R \ p \ q) = \text{compose } S \ (\text{poly-lift-hom } R \ S \ \varphi \ p) \ (\text{poly-lift-hom } R \ S \ \varphi \ q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *poly-lift-hom-comm-taylor-expansion*:
assumes *cring* *S*
assumes $\varphi \in \text{ring-hom } R \ S$
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{poly-lift-hom } R \ S \ \varphi \ (\text{taylor-expansion } R \ a \ p) = \text{taylor-expansion } S \ (\varphi \ a)$
(*poly-lift-hom* *R S* φ *p*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *poly-lift-hom-comm-taylor-expansion-cf*:
assumes *cring* *S*
assumes $\varphi \in \text{ring-hom } R \ S$
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (UP \ R)$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\varphi \ (\text{taylor-expansion } R \ a \ p \ i) = \text{taylor-expansion } S \ (\varphi \ a) \ (\text{poly-lift-hom } R \ S \ \varphi \ p) \ i$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *taylor-expansion-cf-closed*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{taylor-expansion } R \ a \ p \ i \in \text{carrier } R$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *poly-lift-hom-comm-taylor-term*:
assumes *cring* *S*
assumes $\varphi \in \text{ring-hom } R \ S$
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (UP \ R)$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{poly-lift-hom } R \ S \ \varphi \ (\text{taylor-term } a \ p \ i) = UP\text{-cring.taylor-term } S \ (\varphi \ a)$
(*poly-lift-hom* *R S* φ *p*) *i*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *poly-lift-hom-degree-bound*:
assumes *cring* *S*
assumes $h \in \text{ring-hom } R \ S$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ R)$
shows $\text{deg } S \ (\text{poly-lift-hom } R \ S \ h \ f) \leq \text{deg } R \ f$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *deg-eqI*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ R)$
assumes $\text{deg } R \ f \leq n$
assumes $f \ n \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{deg } R \ f = n$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *poly-lift-hom-degree-eq*:

assumes $cring\ S$
assumes $h \in ring-hom\ R\ S$
assumes $h\ (lcf\ f) \neq \mathbf{0}_S$
assumes $f \in carrier\ (UP\ R)$
shows $deg\ S\ (poly-lift-hom\ R\ S\ h\ f) = deg\ R\ f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(**in** $UP-cring$) $poly-lift-hom-lcoeff$:
assumes $cring\ S$
assumes $h \in ring-hom\ R\ S$
assumes $h\ (lcf\ f) \neq \mathbf{0}_S$
assumes $f \in carrier\ (UP\ R)$
shows $UP-ring.lcf\ S\ (poly-lift-hom\ R\ S\ h\ f) = h\ (lcf\ f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

11 Coefficient List Constructor for Polynomials

definition $list-to-poly$ **where**
 $list-to-poly\ R\ as\ n = (if\ n < length\ as\ then\ as!n\ else\ \mathbf{0}_R)$

context $UP-ring$
begin

lemma(**in** $UP-ring$) $list-to-poly-closed$:
assumes $set\ as \subseteq carrier\ R$
shows $list-to-poly\ R\ as \in carrier\ P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(**in** $UP-ring$) $list-to-poly-zero[simp]$:
 $list-to-poly\ R\ [] = \mathbf{0}_{UP\ R}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(**in** $UP-domain$) $list-to-poly-singleton$:
assumes $a \in carrier\ R$
shows $list-to-poly\ R\ [a] = monom\ P\ a\ 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
end

definition $cf-list$ **where**
 $cf-list\ R\ p = map\ p\ [(0::nat)..< Suc\ (deg\ R\ p)]$

lemma $cf-list-length$:
 $length\ (cf-list\ R\ p) = Suc\ (deg\ R\ p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cf-list-entries$:
assumes $i \leq deg\ R\ p$

shows $(cf\text{-list } R \ p)!i = p \ i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-ring*) *list-to-poly-cf-list-inv*:
assumes $p \in carrier \ (UP \ R)$
shows $list\text{-to-poly } R \ (cf\text{-list } R \ p) = p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

12 Polynomial Rings over a Subring

12.1 Characterizing the Carrier of a Polynomial Ring over a Subring

lemma(in *ring*) *carrier-update*:
 $carrier \ (R \ (carrier := S)) = S$
 $\mathbf{0}_{(R \ (carrier := S))} = \mathbf{0}$
 $\mathbf{1}_{(R \ (carrier := S))} = \mathbf{1}$
 $(\oplus_{(R \ (carrier := S))}) = (\oplus)$
 $(\otimes_{(R \ (carrier := S))}) = (\otimes)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *poly-cfs-subring*:
assumes *subring* $S \ R$
assumes $g \in carrier \ (UP \ R)$
assumes $\bigwedge n. g \ n \in S$
shows $g \in carrier \ (UP \ (R \ (carrier := S)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *UP-ring-subring*:
assumes *subring* $S \ R$
shows $UP\text{-cring } (R \ (carrier := S)) \ UP\text{-ring } (R \ (carrier := S))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *UP-ring-subring-is-ring*:
assumes *subring* $S \ R$
shows $cring \ (UP \ (R \ (carrier := S)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *UP-ring-subring-add-closed*:
assumes *subring* $S \ R$
assumes $g \in carrier \ (UP \ (R \ (carrier := S)))$
assumes $f \in carrier \ (UP \ (R \ (carrier := S)))$
shows $f \oplus_{UP \ (R \ (carrier := S))} g \in carrier \ (UP \ (R \ (carrier := S)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *UP-ring-subring-mult-closed*:
assumes *subring* $S \ R$

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP (R \lfloor \text{carrier} := S \rfloor))$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP (R \lfloor \text{carrier} := S \rfloor))$
shows $f \otimes_{UP (R \lfloor \text{carrier} := S \rfloor)} g \in \text{carrier } (UP (R \lfloor \text{carrier} := S \rfloor))$
 <proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *UP-ring-subring-car*:
assumes *subring* $S R$
shows $\text{carrier } (UP (R \lfloor \text{carrier} := S \rfloor)) = \{h \in \text{carrier } (UP R). \forall n. h n \in S\}$
 <proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *UP-ring-subring-car-subset*:
assumes *subring* $S R$
shows $\text{carrier } (UP (R \lfloor \text{carrier} := S \rfloor)) \subseteq \text{carrier } (UP R)$
 <proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *UP-ring-subring-car-subset'*:
assumes *subring* $S R$
assumes $h \in \text{carrier } (UP (R \lfloor \text{carrier} := S \rfloor))$
shows $h \in \text{carrier } (UP R)$
 <proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *UP-ring-subring-add*:
assumes *subring* $S R$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP (R \lfloor \text{carrier} := S \rfloor))$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP (R \lfloor \text{carrier} := S \rfloor))$
shows $g \oplus_{UP R} f = g \oplus_{UP (R \lfloor \text{carrier} := S \rfloor)} f$
 <proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *UP-ring-subring-deg*:
assumes *subring* $S R$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP (R \lfloor \text{carrier} := S \rfloor))$
shows $\text{deg } R g = \text{deg } (R \lfloor \text{carrier} := S \rfloor) g$
 <proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *UP-subring-monom*:
assumes *subring* $S R$
assumes $a \in S$
shows $\text{up-ring.monom } (UP R) a n = \text{up-ring.monom } (UP (R \lfloor \text{carrier} := S \rfloor)) a n$
 <proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *UP-ring-subring-mult*:
assumes *subring* $S R$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP (R \lfloor \text{carrier} := S \rfloor))$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP (R \lfloor \text{carrier} := S \rfloor))$
shows $g \otimes_{UP R} f = g \otimes_{UP (R \lfloor \text{carrier} := S \rfloor)} f$
 <proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *UP-ring-subring-one*:
assumes *subring S R*
shows $\mathbf{1}_{UP\ R} = \mathbf{1}_{UP\ (R\ \langle\ carrier := S\ \rangle)}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *UP-ring-subring-zero*:
assumes *subring S R*
shows $\mathbf{0}_{UP\ R} = \mathbf{0}_{UP\ (R\ \langle\ carrier := S\ \rangle)}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *UP-ring-subring-nat-pow*:
assumes *subring S R*
assumes $g \in carrier\ (UP\ (R\ \langle\ carrier := S\ \rangle))$
shows $g[\wedge]_{UP\ R}^n = g[\wedge]_{UP\ (R\ \langle\ carrier := S\ \rangle)}^{(n::nat)}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *UP-subring-compose-monom*:
assumes *subring S R*
assumes $g \in carrier\ (UP\ (R\ \langle\ carrier := S\ \rangle))$
assumes $a \in S$
shows $compose\ R\ (up\ ring.\ monom\ (UP\ R)\ a\ n)\ g = compose\ (R\ \langle\ carrier := S\ \rangle)\ (up\ ring.\ monom\ (UP\ (R\ \langle\ carrier := S\ \rangle))\ a\ n)\ g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *UP-subring-compose*:
assumes *subring S R*
assumes $g \in carrier\ (UP\ R)$
assumes $f \in carrier\ (UP\ R)$
assumes $\bigwedge n. g\ n \in S$
assumes $\bigwedge n. f\ n \in S$
shows $compose\ R\ f\ g = compose\ (R\ \langle\ carrier := S\ \rangle)\ f\ g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

12.2 Evaluation over a Subring

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *UP-subring-eval*:
assumes *subring S R*
assumes $g \in carrier\ (UP\ (R\ \langle\ carrier := S\ \rangle))$
assumes $a \in S$
shows $to\ function\ R\ g\ a = to\ function\ (R\ \langle\ carrier := S\ \rangle)\ g\ a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *UP-subring-eval'*:
assumes *subring S R*
assumes $g \in carrier\ (UP\ (R\ \langle\ carrier := S\ \rangle))$
assumes $a \in S$
shows $to\ fun\ g\ a = to\ function\ (R\ \langle\ carrier := S\ \rangle)\ g\ a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *UP-subring-eval-closed*:
assumes *subring S R*
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP (R \langle \text{carrier} := S \rangle))$
assumes $a \in S$
shows *to-fun g a* $\in S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

12.3 Derivatives and Taylor Expansions over a Subring

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *UP-subring-taylor*:
assumes *subring S R*
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP R)$
assumes $\bigwedge n. g \ n \in S$
assumes $a \in S$
shows *taylor-expansion R a g = taylor-expansion (R (carrier := S)) a g*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *UP-subring-taylor-closed*:
assumes *subring S R*
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP R)$
assumes $\bigwedge n. g \ n \in S$
assumes $a \in S$
shows *taylor-expansion R a g* $\in \text{carrier } (UP (R \langle \text{carrier} := S \rangle))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *UP-subring-taylor-closed'*:
assumes *subring S R*
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP (R \langle \text{carrier} := S \rangle))$
assumes $a \in S$
shows *taylor-expansion R a g* $\in \text{carrier } (UP (R \langle \text{carrier} := S \rangle))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *UP-subring-taylor'*:
assumes *subring S R*
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP R)$
assumes $\bigwedge n. g \ n \in S$
assumes $a \in S$
shows *taylor-expansion R a g n* $\in S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *UP-subring-deriv*:
assumes *subring S R*
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP (R \langle \text{carrier} := S \rangle))$
assumes $a \in S$
shows *deriv g a = UP-cring.deriv (R (carrier := S)) g a*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *UP-subring-deriv-closed*:

assumes *subring* $S R$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP (R \lfloor \text{carrier} := S \rfloor))$
assumes $a \in S$
shows $\text{deriv } g a \in S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *UP-cring*) *poly-shift-subring-closed*:
assumes *subring* $S R$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP (R \lfloor \text{carrier} := S \rfloor))$
shows $\text{poly-shift } g \in \text{carrier } (UP (R \lfloor \text{carrier} := S \rfloor))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *UP-cring*) *UP-subring-taylor-appr*:
assumes *subring* $S R$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP (R \lfloor \text{carrier} := S \rfloor))$
assumes $a \in S$
assumes $b \in S$
shows $\exists c \in S. \text{to-fun } g a = \text{to-fun } g b \oplus (\text{deriv } g b) \otimes (a \ominus b) \oplus (c \otimes (a \ominus b))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *UP-cring*) *UP-subring-taylor-appr'*:
assumes *subring* $S R$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP (R \lfloor \text{carrier} := S \rfloor))$
assumes $a \in S$
assumes $b \in S$
shows $\exists c c' c''. c \in S \wedge c' \in S \wedge c'' \in S \wedge \text{to-fun } g a = c \oplus c' \otimes (a \ominus b) \oplus (c'' \otimes (a \ominus b))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *UP-cring*) *pderiv-cfs*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP R)$
shows $\text{pderiv } g n = [Suc n] \cdot (g (Suc n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *ring*) *subring-add-pow*:
assumes *subring* $S R$
assumes $a \in S$
shows $[(n::nat)] \cdot_{R \lfloor \text{carrier} := S \rfloor} a = [(n::nat)] \cdot a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *UP-cring*) *UP-subring-pderiv-equal*:
assumes *subring* $S R$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP (R \lfloor \text{carrier} := S \rfloor))$
shows $\text{pderiv } g = UP\text{-cring.pderiv } (R \lfloor \text{carrier} := S \rfloor) g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *UP-cring*) *UP-subring-pderiv-closed*:
assumes *subring* $S R$

```

assumes  $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (R \ (\mid \text{carrier} := S \ \mid)))$ 
shows  $pderiv \ g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (R \ (\mid \text{carrier} := S \ \mid)))$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma(in UP-cring) UP-subring-pderiv-closed':

```

```

assumes subring  $S \ R$ 
assumes  $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ R)$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge n. g \ n \in S$ 
shows  $\bigwedge n. pderiv \ g \ n \in S$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma(in UP-cring) taylor-deg-one-expansion-subring:

```

```

assumes  $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ R)$ 
assumes subring  $S \ R$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge i. f \ i \in S$ 
assumes  $a \in S$ 
assumes  $b \in S$ 
shows  $\exists c \in S. \text{to-fun } f \ b = (\text{to-fun } f \ a) \oplus (\text{deriv } f \ a) \otimes (b \ominus a) \oplus (c \otimes (b \ominus a))$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma(in UP-cring) taylor-deg-one-expansion-subring':

```

```

assumes  $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ R)$ 
assumes subring  $S \ R$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge i. f \ i \in S$ 
assumes  $a \in S$ 
assumes  $b \in S$ 
shows  $\exists c \in S. \text{to-fun } f \ b = (\text{to-fun } f \ a) \oplus (\text{to-fun } (pderiv \ f) \ a) \otimes (b \ominus a) \oplus (c \otimes (b \ominus a))$ 
<proof>

```

```

end

```

```

theory Supplementary-Ring-Facts

```

```

imports HOL-Algebra.Ring
         HOL-Algebra.UnivPoly
         HOL-Algebra.Subrings

```

```

begin

```

13 Supplementary Ring Facts

The nonzero elements of a ring.

```

definition nonzero :: ('a, 'b) ring-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  'a set where
nonzero  $R = \{a \in \text{carrier } R. a \neq \mathbf{0}_R\}$ 

```

```

lemma zero-not-in-nonzero:

```

```

 $\mathbf{0}_R \notin \text{nonzero } R$ 

```

<proof>

lemma(in *domain*) *nonzero-memI*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $a \neq \mathbf{0}$

shows $a \in \text{nonzero } R$

<proof>

lemma(in *domain*) *nonzero-memE*:

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } R$

shows $a \in \text{carrier } R$ $a \neq \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma(in *domain*) *not-nonzero-memE*:

assumes $a \notin \text{nonzero } R$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $a = \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma(in *domain*) *not-nonzero-memI*:

assumes $a = \mathbf{0}$

shows $a \notin \text{nonzero } R$

<proof>

lemma(in *domain*) *nonzero-closed*:

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } R$

shows $a \in \text{carrier } R$

<proof>

lemma(in *domain*) *nonzero-mult-in-car*:

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } R$

assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } R$

shows $a \otimes b \in \text{carrier } R$

<proof>

lemma(in *domain*) *nonzero-mult-closed*:

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } R$

assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } R$

shows $a \otimes b \in \text{nonzero } R$

<proof>

lemma(in *domain*) *nonzero-one-closed*:

$\mathbf{1} \in \text{nonzero } R$

<proof>

lemma(in *domain*) *one-nonzero*:

$\mathbf{1} \in \text{nonzero } R$

<proof>

lemma(in *domain*) *nat-pow-nonzero*:

assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } R$

shows $x^{[n]}(n::\text{nat}) \in \text{nonzero } R$

<proof>

lemma(in *monoid*) *Units-int-pow-closed*:

assumes $x \in \text{Units } G$

shows $x^{[n]}(n::\text{int}) \in \text{Units } G$

<proof>

lemma(in *comm-monoid*) *UnitsI*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } G$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } G$

assumes $a \otimes b = \mathbf{1}$

shows $a \in \text{Units } G$ $b \in \text{Units } G$

<proof>

lemma(in *comm-monoid*) *is-invI*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } G$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } G$

assumes $a \otimes b = \mathbf{1}$

shows $\text{inv}_G b = a$ $\text{inv}_G a = b$

<proof>

lemma(in *ring*) *ring-in-Units-imp-not-zero*:

assumes $\mathbf{1} \neq \mathbf{0}$

assumes $a \in \text{Units } R$

shows $a \neq \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma(in *ring*) *Units-nonzero*:

assumes $u \in \text{Units } R$

assumes $\mathbf{1}_R \neq \mathbf{0}_R$

shows $u \in \text{nonzero } R$

<proof>

lemma(in *ring*) *Units-inverse*:

assumes $u \in \text{Units } R$

shows $\text{inv } u \in \text{Units } R$

<proof>

lemma(in *cring*) *invI*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $y \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $x \otimes_R y = \mathbf{1}_R$

shows $y = \text{inv }_R x$

$x = \text{inv }_R y$

<proof>

lemma(in *cring*) *inv-cancelR*:

assumes $x \in \text{Units } R$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $z \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $y = x \otimes_R z$
shows $\text{inv}_R x \otimes_R y = z$
 $y \otimes_R (\text{inv}_R x) = z$
<proof>

lemma(in *cring*) *inv-cancelL*:

assumes $x \in \text{Units } R$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $z \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $y = z \otimes_R x$
shows $\text{inv}_R x \otimes_R y = z$
 $y \otimes_R (\text{inv}_R x) = z$
<proof>

end

14 Extended integers (i.e. with infinity)

This section formalizes the extended integers, which serve as the codomain for the p -adic valuation. The element ∞ is added to the integers to serve as a maximal element in the order, which is the valuation of 0.

theory *Extended-Int*

imports *Main HOL-Library.Countable HOL-Library.Order-Continuity HOL-Library.Extended-Nat*
begin

The following is based very closely on the theory *HOL-Library.Extended-Nat* from the standard Isabelle distribution, with adaptations made to formalize the integers extended with an element for infinity. This is the standard range for the valuation function on a discretely valued ring such as the field of p -adic numbers, such as in [4].

context

fixes $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a::\{\text{canonically-ordered-monoid-add, linorder-topology, complete-linorder}\}$

begin

lemma *sums-SUP*[*simp, intro*]: $f \text{ sums } (\text{SUP } n. \sum_{i < n. f i}$
<proof>

lemma *suminf-eq-SUP*: $\text{suminf } f = (\text{SUP } n. \sum_{i < n. f i}$
<proof>

end

14.1 Type definition

We extend the standard natural numbers by a special value indicating infinity.

typedef *eint* = *UNIV* :: *int option set* \langle *proof* \rangle

definition *eint* :: *int* \Rightarrow *eint* **where**
eint *n* = *Abs-eint* (*Some* *n*)

instantiation *eint* :: *infinity*
begin

definition ∞ = *Abs-eint* *None*
instance \langle *proof* \rangle

end

fun *int-option-enumeration* :: *int option* \Rightarrow *nat* **where**
int-option-enumeration (*Some* *n*) = (if $n \geq 0$ then *nat* ($2*(n + 1)$) else *nat* ($2*(-n) + 1$))
int-option-enumeration *None* = (*0::nat*)

lemma *int-option-enumeration-inj*:
inj int-option-enumeration
 \langle *proof* \rangle

definition *eint-enumeration* **where**
eint-enumeration = *int-option-enumeration* \circ *Rep-eint*

lemma *eint-enumeration-inj*:
inj eint-enumeration
 \langle *proof* \rangle

instance *eint* :: *countable*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

old-rep-datatype *eint* ∞ :: *eint*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

declare [[*coercion eint::int \Rightarrow eint*]]

lemmas *eint2-cases* = *eint.exhaust*[*case-product eint.exhaust*]

lemmas *eint3-cases* = *eint.exhaust*[*case-product eint.exhaust eint.exhaust*]

lemma *not-infinity-eq* [*iff*]: ($x \neq \infty$) = ($\exists i. x = eint\ i$)
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *not-eint-eq* [*iff*]: $(\forall y. x \neq \text{eint } y) = (x = \infty)$
<proof>

lemma *eint-ex-split*: $(\exists c::\text{eint}. P\ c) \longleftrightarrow P\ \infty \vee (\exists c::\text{int}. P\ c)$
<proof>

primrec *the-eint* :: $\text{eint} \Rightarrow \text{int}$
where *the-eint* (*eint* *n*) = *n*

14.2 Constructors and numbers

instantiation *eint* :: *zero-neq-one*
begin

definition
 $0 = \text{eint } 0$

definition
 $1 = \text{eint } 1$

instance
<proof>

end

lemma *eint-0* [*code-post*]: $\text{eint } 0 = 0$
<proof>

lemma *eint-1* [*code-post*]: $\text{eint } 1 = 1$
<proof>

lemma *eint-0-iff*: $\text{eint } x = 0 \longleftrightarrow x = 0\ 0 = \text{eint } x \longleftrightarrow x = 0$
<proof>

lemma *eint-1-iff*: $\text{eint } x = 1 \longleftrightarrow x = 1\ 1 = \text{eint } x \longleftrightarrow x = 1$
<proof>

lemma *infinity-ne-i0* [*simp*]: $(\infty::\text{eint}) \neq 0$
<proof>

lemma *i0-ne-infinity* [*simp*]: $0 \neq (\infty::\text{eint})$
<proof>

lemma *zero-one-eint-neq*:
 $\neg 0 = (1::\text{eint})$
 $\neg 1 = (0::\text{eint})$
<proof>

lemma *infinity-ne-i1* [*simp*]: $(\infty::\text{eint}) \neq 1$
<proof>

lemma *i1-ne-infinity* [*simp*]: $1 \neq (\infty::\text{eint})$
<proof>

14.3 Addition

instantiation *eint* :: *comm-monoid-add*
begin

definition [*nitpick-simp*]:
 $m + n = (\text{case } m \text{ of } \infty \Rightarrow \infty \mid \text{eint } m \Rightarrow (\text{case } n \text{ of } \infty \Rightarrow \infty \mid \text{eint } n \Rightarrow \text{eint } (m + n)))$

lemma *plus-eint-simps* [*simp, code*]:
fixes $q :: \text{eint}$
shows $\text{eint } m + \text{eint } n = \text{eint } (m + n)$
and $\infty + q = \infty$
and $q + \infty = \infty$
<proof>

instance
<proof>

end

lemma *eSuc-eint*: $(\text{eint } n) + 1 = \text{eint } (n + 1)$
<proof>

lemma *eSuc-infinity* [*simp*]: $\infty + (1::\text{eint}) = \infty$
<proof>

lemma *eSuc-inject* [*simp*]: $m + (1::\text{eint}) = n + 1 \iff m = n$
<proof>

lemma *eSuc-eint-iff*: $x + 1 = \text{eint } y \iff (\exists n. y = n + 1 \wedge x = \text{eint } n)$
<proof>

lemma *enat-eSuc-iff*: $\text{eint } y = x + 1 \iff (\exists n. y = n + 1 \wedge \text{eint } n = x)$
<proof>

lemma *iadd-Suc*: $((m::\text{eint}) + 1) + n = (m + n) + 1$
<proof>

lemma *iadd-Suc-right*: $(m::\text{eint}) + (n + 1) = (m + n) + 1$
<proof>

14.4 Multiplication

instantiation $eint :: \{comm\text{-semiring}\}$
begin

definition *times-eint-def* [*nitpick-simp*]:
 $m * n = (case\ m\ of\ \infty \Rightarrow \infty \mid eint\ m \Rightarrow$
 $(case\ n\ of\ \infty \Rightarrow \infty \mid eint\ n \Rightarrow eint\ (m * n)))$

lemma *times-eint-simps* [*simp, code*]:
 $eint\ m * eint\ n = eint\ (m * n)$
 $\infty * \infty = (\infty :: eint)$
 $\infty * eint\ n = \infty$
 $eint\ m * \infty = \infty$
<proof>

lemma *sum-infinity-imp-summand-infinity*:
assumes $a + b = (\infty :: eint)$
shows $a = \infty \vee b = \infty$
<proof>

lemma *sum-finite-imp-summands-finite*:
assumes $a + b \neq (\infty :: eint)$
shows $a \neq \infty \wedge b \neq \infty$
<proof>

instance
<proof>

end

lemma *mult-one-right*[*simp*]:
 $(n :: eint) * 1 = n$
<proof>

lemma *mult-one-left*[*simp*]:
 $1 * (n :: eint) = n$
<proof>

lemma *mult-eSuc*: $((m :: eint) + 1) * n = m * n + n$
<proof>

lemma *mult-eSuc'*: $((m :: eint) + 1) * n = n + m * n$
<proof>

lemma *mult-eSuc-right*: $(m :: eint) * (n + 1) = m * n + m$
<proof>

lemma *mult-eSuc-right'*: $(m::\text{eint}) * (n + 1) = m + m * n$
<proof>

14.5 Numerals

lemma *numeral-eq-eint*:
 $\text{numeral } k = \text{eint } (\text{numeral } k)$
<proof>

lemma *eint-numeral* [*code-abbrev*]:
 $\text{eint } (\text{numeral } k) = \text{numeral } k$
<proof>

lemma *infinity-ne-numeral* [*simp*]: $(\infty::\text{eint}) \neq \text{numeral } k$
<proof>

lemma *numeral-ne-infinity* [*simp*]: $\text{numeral } k \neq (\infty::\text{eint})$
<proof>

14.6 Subtraction

instantiation *eint* :: *minus*
begin

definition *diff-eint-def*:
 $a - b = (\text{case } a \text{ of } (\text{eint } x) \Rightarrow (\text{case } b \text{ of } (\text{eint } y) \Rightarrow \text{eint } (x - y) \mid \infty \Rightarrow \infty) \mid \infty \Rightarrow \infty)$

instance *<proof>*

end

lemma *idiff-eint-eint* [*simp, code*]: $\text{eint } a - \text{eint } b = \text{eint } (a - b)$
<proof>

lemma *idiff-infinity* [*simp, code*]: $\infty - n = (\infty::\text{eint})$
<proof>

lemma *idiff-infinity-right* [*simp, code*]: $\text{eint } a - \infty = \infty$
<proof>

lemma *idiff-0* [*simp*]: $(0::\text{eint}) - n = -n$
<proof>

lemmas *idiff-eint-0* [*simp*] = *idiff-0* [*unfolded zero-eint-def*]

lemma *idiff-0-right* [*simp*]: $(n::\text{eint}) - 0 = n$

<proof>

lemmas *idiff-eint-0-right* [*simp*] = *idiff-0-right* [*unfolded zero-eint-def*]

lemma *idiff-self* [*simp*]: $n \neq \infty \implies (n::\text{eint}) - n = 0$
<proof>

lemma *eSuc-minus-eSuc* [*simp*]: $((n::\text{eint}) + 1) - (m + 1) = n - m$
<proof>

lemma *eSuc-minus-1* [*simp*]: $((n::\text{eint}) + 1) - 1 = n$
<proof>

14.7 Ordering

instantiation *eint* :: *linordered-ab-semigroup-add*
begin

definition [*nitpick-simp*]:
 $m \leq n = (\text{case } n \text{ of } \text{eint } n1 \Rightarrow (\text{case } m \text{ of } \text{eint } m1 \Rightarrow m1 \leq n1 \mid \infty \Rightarrow \text{False})$
 $\mid \infty \Rightarrow \text{True})$

definition [*nitpick-simp*]:
 $m < n = (\text{case } m \text{ of } \text{eint } m1 \Rightarrow (\text{case } n \text{ of } \text{eint } n1 \Rightarrow m1 < n1 \mid \infty \Rightarrow \text{True})$
 $\mid \infty \Rightarrow \text{False})$

lemma *eint-ord-simps* [*simp*]:
 $\text{eint } m \leq \text{eint } n \longleftrightarrow m \leq n$
 $\text{eint } m < \text{eint } n \longleftrightarrow m < n$
 $q \leq (\infty::\text{eint})$
 $q < (\infty::\text{eint}) \longleftrightarrow q \neq \infty$
 $(\infty::\text{eint}) \leq q \longleftrightarrow q = \infty$
 $(\infty::\text{eint}) < q \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$
<proof>

lemma *numeral-le-eint-iff* [*simp*]:
shows $\text{numeral } m \leq \text{eint } n \longleftrightarrow \text{numeral } m \leq n$
<proof>

lemma *numeral-less-eint-iff* [*simp*]:
shows $\text{numeral } m < \text{eint } n \longleftrightarrow \text{numeral } m < n$
<proof>

lemma *eint-ord-code* [*code*]:
 $\text{eint } m \leq \text{eint } n \longleftrightarrow m \leq n$
 $\text{eint } m < \text{eint } n \longleftrightarrow m < n$
 $q \leq (\infty::\text{eint}) \longleftrightarrow \text{True}$
 $\text{eint } m < \infty \longleftrightarrow \text{True}$

$\infty \leq \text{eint } n \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$
 $(\infty::\text{eint}) < q \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *eint-ord-plus-one* [simp]:
 assumes $\text{eint } n \leq x$
 assumes $x < y$
 shows $\text{eint } (n + 1) \leq y$
 ⟨proof⟩

instance
 ⟨proof⟩

end

instance *eint* :: {strict-ordered-comm-monoid-add}
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *add-diff-assoc-eint*: $z \leq y \implies x + (y - z) = x + y - (z::\text{eint})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *eint-ord-number* [simp]:
 $(\text{numeral } m :: \text{eint}) \leq \text{numeral } n \longleftrightarrow (\text{numeral } m :: \text{nat}) \leq \text{numeral } n$
 $(\text{numeral } m :: \text{eint}) < \text{numeral } n \longleftrightarrow (\text{numeral } m :: \text{nat}) < \text{numeral } n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *infinity-ileE* [elim!]: $\infty \leq \text{eint } m \implies R$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *infinity-ilessE* [elim!]: $\infty < \text{eint } m \implies R$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *imult-infinity*: $(0::\text{eint}) < n \implies \infty * n = \infty$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *imult-infinity-right*: $(0::\text{eint}) < n \implies n * \infty = \infty$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *min-eint-simps* [simp]:
 $\text{min } (\text{eint } m) (\text{eint } n) = \text{eint } (\text{min } m \ n)$
 $\text{min } q (\infty::\text{eint}) = q$
 $\text{min } (\infty::\text{eint}) q = q$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *max-eint-simps* [simp]:
 $\text{max } (\text{eint } m) (\text{eint } n) = \text{eint } (\text{max } m \ n)$
 $\text{max } q \ \infty = (\infty::\text{eint})$

$\max \infty q = (\infty :: \text{eint})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eint-ile*: $n \leq \text{eint } m \implies \exists k. n = \text{eint } k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eint-iless*: $n < \text{eint } m \implies \exists k. n = \text{eint } k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iadd-le-eint-iff*:
 $x + y \leq \text{eint } n \longleftrightarrow (\exists y' x'. x = \text{eint } x' \wedge y = \text{eint } y' \wedge x' + y' \leq n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *chain-incr*: $\forall i. \exists j. Y i < Y j \implies \exists j. \text{eint } k < Y j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eint-ord-Suc*:
assumes $(x :: \text{eint}) < y$
shows $x + 1 < y + 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eSuc-ile-mono* [simp]: $(n :: \text{eint}) + 1 \leq m + 1 \longleftrightarrow n \leq m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eSuc-mono* [simp]: $(n :: \text{eint}) + 1 < m + 1 \longleftrightarrow n < m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ile-eSuc* [simp]: $(n :: \text{eint}) \leq n + 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ileI1*: $(m :: \text{eint}) < n \implies m + 1 \leq n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Suc-ile-eq*: $\text{eint } (m + 1) \leq n \longleftrightarrow \text{eint } m < n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iless-Suc-eq* [simp]: $\text{eint } m < n + 1 \longleftrightarrow \text{eint } m \leq n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eSuc-max*: $(\max (x :: \text{eint}) y) + 1 = \max (x + 1) (y + 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eSuc-Max*:
assumes *finite* A $A \neq (\{\} :: \text{eint set})$
shows $(\text{Max } A) + 1 = \text{Max } ((+) 1 ` A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

instantiation *eint* :: $\{\text{order-top}\}$
begin

definition *top-eint* :: *eint* **where** *top-eint* = ∞

instance

<proof>

end

lemma *finite-eint-bounded*:

assumes *le-fin*: $\bigwedge y. y \in A \implies \text{eint } m \leq y \wedge y \leq \text{eint } n$

shows *finite A*

<proof>

14.8 Cancellation simprocs

lemma *add-diff-cancel-eint[simp]*: $x \neq \infty \implies x + y - x = (y::\text{eint})$

<proof>

lemma *eint-add-left-cancel*: $a + b = a + c \iff a = (\infty::\text{eint}) \vee b = c$

<proof>

lemma *eint-add-left-cancel-le*: $a + b \leq a + c \iff a = (\infty::\text{eint}) \vee b \leq c$

<proof>

lemma *eint-add-left-cancel-less*: $a + b < a + c \iff a \neq (\infty::\text{eint}) \wedge b < c$

<proof>

lemma *plus-eq-infty-iff-eint*: $(m::\text{eint}) + n = \infty \iff m = \infty \vee n = \infty$

<proof>

<ML>

TODO: add regression tests for these simprocs

TODO: add simprocs for combining and cancelling numerals

14.9 Well-ordering

lemma *less-eintE*:

$[[n < \text{eint } m; !!k. n = \text{eint } k \implies k < m \implies P]] \implies P$

<proof>

lemma *less-infinityE*:

$[[n < \infty; !!k. n = \text{eint } k \implies P]] \implies P$

<proof>

14.10 Traditional theorem names

lemmas *eint-defs = zero-eint-def one-eint-def*

plus-eint-def less-eq-eint-def less-eint-def

instantiation *eint* :: *uminus*
begin

definition
 $- b = (\text{case } b \text{ of } \infty \Rightarrow \infty \mid \text{eint } m \Rightarrow \text{eint } (-m))$

lemma *eint-uminus-eq*:
 $(a::\text{eint}) + (-a) = a - a$
<proof>

instance*<proof>*
end

15 Additional Lemmas (Useful for the Proof of Hensel's Lemma)

lemma *eint-mult-mono*:
assumes $(c::\text{eint}) > 0 \wedge c \neq \infty$
assumes $k > n$
shows $k*c > n*c$
<proof>

lemma *eint-mult-mono'*:
assumes $(c::\text{eint}) \geq 0 \wedge c \neq \infty$
assumes $k > n$
shows $k*c \geq n*c$
<proof>

lemma *eint-minus-le*:
assumes $(b::\text{eint}) < c$
shows $c - b > 0$
<proof>

lemma *eint-nat-times*:
assumes $(c::\text{eint}) > 0$
shows $(\text{Suc } n)*c > 0$
<proof>

lemma *eint-pos-times-is-pos*:
assumes $(c::\text{eint}) > 0$
assumes $b > 0$
shows $b*c > 0$
<proof>

lemma *eint-nat-is-pos*:
eint (Suc n) > 0
<proof>

lemma *eint-pow-int-is-pos*:
assumes $n > 0$
shows $eint\ n > 0$
<proof>

lemma *eint-nat-times'*:
assumes $(c::eint) \geq 0$
shows $(Suc\ n)*c \geq 0$
<proof>

lemma *eint-pos-int-times-ge*:
assumes $(c::eint) \geq 0$
assumes $n > 0$
shows $eint\ n * c \geq c$
<proof>

lemma *eint-pos-int-times-gt*:
assumes $(c::eint) > 0$
assumes $c \neq \infty$
assumes $n > 1$
shows $eint\ n * c > c$
<proof>

lemma *eint-add-cancel-fact[simp]*:
assumes $(c::eint) \neq \infty$
shows $c + (b - c) = b$
<proof>

lemma *nat-mult-not-infty[simp]*:
assumes $c \neq \infty$
shows $(eint\ n) * c \neq \infty$
<proof>

lemma *eint-minus-distl*:
assumes $(b::eint) \neq d$
shows $b*c - d*c = (b-d)*c$
<proof>

lemma *eint-minus-distr*:
assumes $(b::eint) \neq d$
shows $c*(b - d) = c*b - c*d$
<proof>

lemma *eint-int-minus-distr*:
 $(eint\ n)*c - (eint\ m)*c = eint\ (n - m) * c$

<proof>

lemma *eint-2-minus-1-mult[simp]*:

$2*(b::eint) - b = b$

<proof>

lemma *eint-minus-comm*:

$(d::eint) + b - c = d - c + b$

<proof>

lemma *ge-plus-pos-imp-gt*:

assumes $(c::eint) \neq \infty$

assumes $(b::eint) > 0$

assumes $d \geq c + b$

shows $d > c$

<proof>

lemma *eint-minus-ineq*:

assumes $(c::eint) \neq \infty$

assumes $b \geq d$

shows $b - c \geq d - c$

<proof>

lemma *eint-minus-ineq'*:

assumes $(c::eint) \neq \infty$

assumes $b \geq d$

assumes $(e::eint) > 0$

assumes $e \neq \infty$

shows $e*(b - c) \geq e*(d - c)$

<proof>

lemma *eint-minus-ineq''*:

assumes $(c::eint) \neq \infty$

assumes $b \geq d$

assumes $(e::eint) > 0$

assumes $e \neq \infty$

shows $e*(b - c) \geq e*d - e*c$

<proof>

lemma *eint-min-ineq*:

assumes $(b::eint) \geq \min c d$

assumes $c > e$

assumes $d > e$

shows $b > e$

<proof>

lemma *eint-plus-times*:

assumes $(d::eint) \geq 0$

assumes $(b::eint) \geq c + (eint k)*d$

```

assumes  $k \geq l$ 
shows  $b \geq c + l * d$ 
<proof>
end
theory Padic-Construction
imports HOL-Number-Theory.Residues HOL-Algebra.RingHom HOL-Algebra.IntRing
begin

type-synonym padic-int = nat  $\Rightarrow$  int

```

16 Inverse Limit Construction of the p -adic Integers

This section formalizes the standard construction of the p -adic integers as the inverse limit of the finite rings $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ along the residue maps $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \mapsto \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$ defined by $x \mapsto x \bmod p^m$ when $n \geq m$. This is exposted, for example, in section 7.6 of [3]. The other main route for formalization is to first define the p -adic absolute value $|\cdot|_p$ on the rational numbers, and then define the field \mathbb{Q}_p of p -adic numbers as the completion of the rationals under this absolute value. One can then define the ring of p -adic integers \mathbb{Z}_p as the unit ball in \mathbb{Q}_p using the unique extension of $|\cdot|_p$. There exist advantages and disadvantages to both approaches. The primary advantage to the absolute value approach is that the construction can be done generically using existing libraries for completions of normed fields. There are difficulties associated with performing such a construction in Isabelle using existing HOL formalizations. The chief issue is that the tools in HOL-Analysis require that a metric space be a type. If one then wanted to construct the fields \mathbb{Q}_p as metric spaces, one would have to circumvent the apparent dependence on the parameter p , as Isabelle does not support dependent types. A workaround to this proposed by José Manuel Rodríguez Caballero on the Isabelle mailing list is to define a typeclass for fields \mathbb{Q}_p as the completions of the rational numbers with a non-Archimedean absolute value. By Ostrowski's Theorem, any such absolute value must be a p -adic absolute value. We can recover the parameter p from a completion under one of these absolute values as the cardinality of the residue field.

Our approach uses HOL-Algebra, where algebraic structures are constructed as records which carry the data of the underlying carrier set plus other algebraic operations, and assumptions about these structures can be organized into locales. This approach is practical for abstract algebraic reasoning where definitions of structures which are dependent on object-level parameters are ubiquitous. Using this approach, we define \mathbb{Z}_p directly as an inverse limit of rings, from which \mathbb{Q}_p can later be defined as the field of fractions.

16.1 Canonical Projection Maps Between Residue Rings

definition *residue* :: $int \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow int$ **where**
residue $n\ m = m \bmod n$

lemma *residue-is-hom-0*:

assumes $n > 1$

shows $residue\ n \in ring-hom\ \mathcal{Z}\ (residue-ring\ n)$

<proof>

The residue map is a ring homomorphism from $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ when n divides m

lemma *residue-is-hom-1*:

assumes $n > 1$

assumes $m > 1$

assumes $n\ dvd\ m$

shows $residue\ n \in ring-hom\ (residue-ring\ m)\ (residue-ring\ n)$

<proof>

lemma *residue-id*:

assumes $x \in carrier\ (residue-ring\ n)$

assumes $n \geq 0$

shows $residue\ n\ x = x$

<proof>

The residue map is a ring homomorphism from $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}$ when $n \geq m$:

lemma *residue-hom-p*:

assumes $(n::nat) \geq m$

assumes $m > 0$

assumes *prime* $(p::int)$

shows $residue\ (p^{\wedge}m) \in ring-hom\ (residue-ring\ (p^{\wedge}n))\ (residue-ring\ (p^{\wedge}m))$

<proof>

16.2 Defining the Set of p -adic Integers

The set of p -adic integers is the set of all maps $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ which maps $n \rightarrow \{0, \dots, p^n - 1\}$ such that $f\ m \bmod p^n = f\ n$ when $m \geq n$. A p -adic integer x consists of the data of a residue map $x \mapsto x \bmod p^n$ which commutes with further reduction $\bmod p^m$. This formalization is specialized to just the p -adics, but this definition would work essentially as-is for any family of rings and residue maps indexed by a partially ordered type.

definition *padic-set* :: $int \Rightarrow padic-int\ set$ **where**

padic-set $p = \{f::nat \Rightarrow int . (\forall\ m::nat. (f\ m) \in carrier\ (residue-ring\ (p^{\wedge}m)))$

$\wedge (\forall\ (n::nat)\ (m::nat). n > m \longrightarrow residue\ (p^{\wedge}m)\ (f\ n) = (f\ m))\ \}$

lemma *padic-set-res-closed*:
assumes $f \in \text{padic-set } p$
shows $(f\ m) \in (\text{carrier } (\text{residue-ring } (p^{\wedge}m)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *padic-set-res-coherent*:
assumes $f \in \text{padic-set } p$
assumes $n \geq m$
assumes *prime* p
shows $\text{residue } (p^{\wedge}m) (f\ n) = (f\ m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

A consequence of this formalization is that each p -adic number is trivially defined to take a value of 0 at 0:

lemma *padic-set-zero-res*:
assumes *prime* p
assumes $f \in (\text{padic-set } p)$
shows $f\ 0 = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *padic-set-memI*:
fixes $f :: \text{padic-int}$
assumes $\bigwedge m. (f\ m) \in (\text{carrier } (\text{residue-ring } (p^{\wedge}m)))$
assumes $(\bigwedge (m::\text{nat})\ n. (n > m \implies (\text{residue } (p^{\wedge}m) (f\ n) = (f\ m))))$
shows $f \in \text{padic-set } (p::\text{int})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *padic-set-memI'*:
fixes $f :: \text{padic-int}$
assumes $\bigwedge m. (f\ m) \in \{0..<p^{\wedge}m\}$
assumes $(\bigwedge (m::\text{nat})\ n. n > m \implies (f\ n) \bmod p^{\wedge}m = (f\ m))$
shows $f \in \text{padic-set } (p::\text{int})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

17 The standard operations on the p -adic integers

17.1 Addition

Addition and multiplication are defined componentwise on residue rings:

definition *padic-add* $:: \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{padic-int} \Rightarrow \text{padic-int} \Rightarrow \text{padic-int}$
where $\text{padic-add } p\ f\ g \equiv (\lambda n. (f\ n) \oplus_{(\text{residue-ring } (p^{\wedge}n))} (g\ n))$

lemma *padic-add-res*:
 $(\text{padic-add } p\ f\ g)\ n = (f\ n) \oplus_{(\text{residue-ring } (p^{\wedge}n))} (g\ n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Definition of the p -adic additive unit:

definition *padic-zero* $:: \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{padic-int}$ **where**

padic-zero $p \equiv (\lambda n. 0)$

lemma *padic-zero-simp*:

padic-zero $p\ n = \mathbf{0}_{\text{residue-ring } (p\ \widehat{n})}$
padic-zero $p\ n = 0$
<proof>

lemma *padic-zero-in-padic-set*:

assumes $p > 0$
shows *padic-zero* $p \in \text{padic-set } p$
<proof>

p-adic additive inverses:

definition *padic-a-inv* :: $\text{int} \Rightarrow \text{padic-int} \Rightarrow \text{padic-int}$ **where**
padic-a-inv $p\ f \equiv \lambda n. \ominus_{\text{residue-ring } (p\ \widehat{n})} (f\ n)$

lemma *padic-a-inv-simp*:

padic-a-inv $p\ f\ n \equiv \ominus_{\text{residue-ring } (p\ \widehat{n})} (f\ n)$
<proof>

lemma *padic-a-inv-simp'*:

assumes *prime* p
assumes $f \in \text{padic-set } p$
assumes $n > 0$
shows *padic-a-inv* $p\ f\ n = (\text{if } n=0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } -(f\ n) \bmod (p\ \widehat{n}))$
<proof>

We show that *padic-set* is closed under additive inverses. Note that we have to treat the case of residues at 0 separately.

lemma *residue-1-prop*:

$\ominus_{\text{residue-ring } 1} \mathbf{0}_{\text{residue-ring } 1} = \mathbf{0}_{\text{residue-ring } 1}$
<proof>

lemma *residue-1-zero*:

residue 1 $n = 0$
<proof>

lemma *padic-a-inv-in-padic-set*:

assumes $f \in \text{padic-set } p$
assumes *prime* $(p::\text{int})$
shows $(\text{padic-a-inv } p\ f) \in \text{padic-set } p$
<proof>

17.2 Multiplication

definition *padic-mult* :: $\text{int} \Rightarrow \text{padic-int} \Rightarrow \text{padic-int} \Rightarrow \text{padic-int}$

where *padic-mult* $p\ f\ g \equiv (\lambda n. (f\ n) \otimes_{(\text{residue-ring } (p\ \widehat{n}))} (g\ n))$

lemma *padic-mult-res*:

$(\text{padic-mult } p \ f \ g) \ n = (f \ n) \otimes_{(\text{residue-ring } (p \hat{\ } n))} (g \ n)$
 <proof>

Definition of the p -adic multiplicative unit:

definition $\text{padic-one} :: \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{padic-int}$ **where**
 $\text{padic-one } p \equiv (\lambda n. (\text{if } n=0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 1))$

lemma padic-one-simp :

assumes $n > 0$

shows $\text{padic-one } p \ n = \mathbf{1}_{\text{residue-ring } (p \hat{\ } n)}$
 $\text{padic-one } p \ n = 1$

<proof>

lemma $\text{padic-one-in-padic-set}$:

assumes $\text{prime } p$

shows $\text{padic-one } p \in \text{padic-set } p$

<proof>

lemma padic-simps :

$\text{padic-zero } p \ n = \mathbf{0}_{\text{residue-ring } (p \hat{\ } n)}$

$\text{padic-a-inv } p \ f \ n \equiv \ominus_{\text{residue-ring } (p \hat{\ } n)} (f \ n)$

$(\text{padic-mult } p \ f \ g) \ n = (f \ n) \otimes_{(\text{residue-ring } (p \hat{\ } n))} (g \ n)$

$(\text{padic-add } p \ f \ g) \ n = (f \ n) \oplus_{(\text{residue-ring } (p \hat{\ } n))} (g \ n)$

$n > 0 \implies \text{padic-one } p \ n = \mathbf{1}_{\text{residue-ring } (p \hat{\ } n)}$

<proof>

lemma residue-1-mult :

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (\text{residue-ring } 1)$

assumes $y \in \text{carrier } (\text{residue-ring } 1)$

shows $x \otimes_{\text{residue-ring } 1} y = 0$

<proof>

lemma $\text{padic-mult-in-padic-set}$:

assumes $f \in (\text{padic-set } p)$

assumes $g \in (\text{padic-set } p)$

assumes $\text{prime } p$

shows $(\text{padic-mult } p \ f \ g) \in (\text{padic-set } p)$

<proof>

18 The p -adic Valuation

This section defines the integer-valued p -adic valuation. Maps 0 to -1 for now, otherwise is correct. We want the valuation to be integer-valued, but in practice we know it will always be positive. When we extend the valuation from the p -adic integers to the p -adic field we will have elements of negative valuation.

definition $\text{padic-val} :: \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{padic-int} \Rightarrow \text{int}$ **where**
 $\text{padic-val } p \ f \equiv \text{if } (f = \text{padic-zero } p) \text{ then } -1 \text{ else int (LEAST } k::\text{nat. } (f \ (\text{Suc } k)) \neq \mathbf{0}_{\text{residue-ring } (p^\wedge(\text{Suc } k))})$

Characterization of padic_val on nonzero elements

lemma *val-of-nonzero*:

assumes $f \in \text{padic-set } p$

assumes $f \neq \text{padic-zero } p$

assumes *prime* p

shows $f \ (\text{nat } (\text{padic-val } p \ f) + 1) \neq \mathbf{0}_{\text{residue-ring } (p^\wedge(\text{nat } (\text{padic-val } p \ f) + 1))}$

$f \ (\text{nat } (\text{padic-val } p \ f)) = \mathbf{0}_{\text{residue-ring } (p^\wedge(\text{nat } (\text{padic-val } p \ f)))}$

$f \ (\text{nat } (\text{padic-val } p \ f) + 1) \neq 0$

$f \ (\text{nat } (\text{padic-val } p \ f)) = 0$

<proof>

If $x \bmod p^{n+1} \neq 0$, then $n \geq \text{val } x$.

lemma *below-val-zero*:

assumes *prime* p

assumes $x \in (\text{padic-set } p)$

assumes $x \ (\text{Suc } n) \neq \mathbf{0}_{\text{residue-ring } (p^\wedge(\text{Suc } n))}$

shows $\text{int } n \geq (\text{padic-val } p \ x)$

<proof>

If $n < \text{val } x$ then $x \bmod p^n = 0$:

lemma *zero-below-val*:

assumes *prime* p

assumes $x \in \text{padic-set } p$

assumes $n \leq \text{padic-val } p \ x$

shows $x \ n = \mathbf{0}_{\text{residue-ring } (p^\wedge n)}$

$x \ n = 0$

<proof>

Zero is the only element with valuation equal to -1 :

lemma *val-zero*:

assumes $P: f \in (\text{padic-set } p)$

shows $\text{padic-val } p \ f = -1 \iff (f = (\text{padic-zero } p))$

<proof>

The valuation turns multiplication into integer addition on nonzero elements. Note that this is the first instance where we need to explicitly use the fact that p is a prime.

lemma *val-prod*:

assumes *prime* p

assumes $f \in (\text{padic-set } p)$

assumes $g \in (\text{padic-set } p)$

assumes $f \neq \text{padic-zero } p$

assumes $g \neq \text{padic-zero } p$

shows $\text{padic-val } p (\text{padic-mult } p f g) = \text{padic-val } p f + \text{padic-val } p g$
 ⟨proof⟩

19 Defining the Ring of p -adic Integers:

definition $\text{padic-int} :: \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{padic-int ring}$

where $\text{padic-int } p \equiv (\text{carrier} = (\text{padic-set } p),$
 $\text{Group.monoid.mult} = (\text{padic-mult } p), \text{one} = (\text{padic-one } p),$
 $\text{zero} = (\text{padic-zero } p), \text{add} = (\text{padic-add } p))$

lemma padic-int-simps :

$\mathbf{1}_{\text{padic-int } p} = \text{padic-one } p$
 $\mathbf{0}_{\text{padic-int } p} = \text{padic-zero } p$
 $(\oplus_{\text{padic-int } p}) = \text{padic-add } p$
 $(\otimes_{\text{padic-int } p}) = \text{padic-mult } p$
 $\text{carrier } (\text{padic-int } p) = \text{padic-set } p$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $\text{residues-}n$:

assumes $n \neq 0$
assumes $\text{prime } p$
shows $\text{residues } (p \hat{=} n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

p -adic multiplication is associative

lemma padic-mult-assoc :

assumes $\text{prime } p$
shows $\bigwedge x y z.$
 $x \in \text{carrier } (\text{padic-int } p) \implies$
 $y \in \text{carrier } (\text{padic-int } p) \implies$
 $z \in \text{carrier } (\text{padic-int } p) \implies$
 $x \otimes_{\text{padic-int } p} y \otimes_{\text{padic-int } p} z = x \otimes_{\text{padic-int } p} (y \otimes_{\text{padic-int } p} z)$
 ⟨proof⟩

The p -adic integers are closed under addition:

lemma padic-add-closed :

assumes $\text{prime } p$
shows $\bigwedge x y.$
 $x \in \text{carrier } (\text{padic-int } p) \implies$
 $y \in \text{carrier } (\text{padic-int } p) \implies$
 $x \oplus_{(\text{padic-int } p)} y \in \text{carrier } (\text{padic-int } p)$
 ⟨proof⟩

p -adic addition is associative:

lemma padic-add-assoc :

assumes $\text{prime } p$
shows $\bigwedge x y z.$
 $x \in \text{carrier } (\text{padic-int } p) \implies$

$$y \in \text{carrier } (\text{padic-int } p) \implies z \in \text{carrier } (\text{padic-int } p)$$

$$\implies x \oplus_{\text{padic-int } p} y \oplus_{\text{padic-int } p} z = x \oplus_{\text{padic-int } p} (y \oplus_{\text{padic-int } p} z)$$

<proof>

p -adic addition is commutative:

lemma *padic-add-comm:*

assumes *prime* p

shows $\bigwedge x y.$

$x \in \text{carrier } (\text{padic-int } p) \implies$

$y \in \text{carrier } (\text{padic-int } p) \implies$

$x \oplus_{\text{padic-int } p} y = y \oplus_{\text{padic-int } p} x$

<proof>

padic_zero is an additive identity:

lemma *padic-add-zero:*

assumes *prime* p

shows $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{carrier } (\text{padic-int } p) \implies \mathbf{0}_{\text{padic-int } p} \oplus_{\text{padic-int } p} x = x$

<proof>

Closure under additive inverses:

lemma *padic-add-inv:*

assumes *prime* p

shows $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{carrier } (\text{padic-int } p) \implies$

$\exists y \in \text{carrier } (\text{padic-int } p). y \oplus_{\text{padic-int } p} x = \mathbf{0}_{\text{padic-int } p}$

<proof>

The ring of p -adic integers forms an abelian group under addition:

lemma *padic-is-abelian-group:*

assumes *prime* p

shows *abelian-group* $(\text{padic-int } p)$

<proof>

One is a multiplicative identity:

lemma *padic-one-id:*

assumes *prime* p

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (\text{padic-int } p)$

shows $\mathbf{1}_{\text{padic-int } p} \otimes_{\text{padic-int } p} x = x$

<proof>

p -adic multiplication is commutative:

lemma *padic-mult-comm:*

assumes *prime* p

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (\text{padic-int } p)$

assumes $y \in \text{carrier } (\text{padic-int } p)$

shows $x \otimes_{\text{padic-int } p} y = y \otimes_{\text{padic-int } p} x$

<proof>

lemma *padic-is-comm-monoid*:
assumes *prime p*
shows *Group.comm-monoid (padic-int p)*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *padic-int-is-cring*:
assumes *prime p*
shows *cring (padic-int p)*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

The p -adic ring has no nontrivial zero divisors. Note that this argument is short because we have proved that the valuation is multiplicative on nonzero elements, which is where the primality assumption is used.

lemma *padic-no-zero-divisors*:
assumes *prime p*
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (\text{padic-int } p)$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } (\text{padic-int } p)$
assumes $a \neq \mathbf{0}_{\text{padic-int } p}$
assumes $b \neq \mathbf{0}_{\text{padic-int } p}$
shows $a \otimes_{\text{padic-int } p} b \neq \mathbf{0}_{\text{padic-int } p}$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *padic-int-is-domain*:
assumes *prime p*
shows *domain (padic-int p)*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

20 The Ultrametric Inequality:

lemma *padic-val-ultrametric*:
assumes *prime p*
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (\text{padic-int } p)$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } (\text{padic-int } p)$
assumes $a \neq \mathbf{0}_{(\text{padic-int } p)}$
assumes $b \neq \mathbf{0}_{(\text{padic-int } p)}$
assumes $a \oplus_{(\text{padic-int } p)} b \neq \mathbf{0}_{(\text{padic-int } p)}$
shows $\text{padic-val } p (a \oplus_{(\text{padic-int } p)} b) \geq \min (\text{padic-val } p a) (\text{padic-val } p b)$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *padic-a-inv*:
assumes *prime p*
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (\text{padic-int } p)$
shows $\ominus_{\text{padic-int } p} a = (\lambda n. \ominus_{\text{residue-ring } (p \hat{=} n)} (a \ n))$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *padic-val-a-inv*:
assumes *prime p*
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (\text{padic-int } p)$

shows $\text{padic-val } p \ a = \text{padic-val } p \ (\ominus_{\text{padic-int } p} \ a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

theory *Padic-Integers*

imports *Padic-Construction*

Extended-Int

Supplementary-Ring-Facts

HOL-Algebra.Subrings

HOL-Number-Theory.Residues

HOL-Algebra.Multiplicative-Group

begin

In what follows we establish a locale for reasoning about the ring of p -adic integers for a fixed prime p . We will elaborate on the basic metric properties of \mathbb{Z}_p and construct the angular component maps to the residue rings.

21 A Locale for p -adic Integer Rings

locale *padic-integers* =

fixes $Z_p :: \text{- ring}$ (**structure**)

fixes p

defines $Z_p \equiv \text{padic-int } p$

assumes *prime*: $\text{prime } p$

sublocale *padic-integers* < $UPZ?$: $UP \ Z_p \ UP \ Z_p$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *padic-integers* < $Zp?$: $UP\text{-cring } Z_p \ UP \ Z_p$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *padic-integers* < $Zp?$: $\text{ring } Z_p$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *padic-integers* < $Zp?$: $\text{cring } Z_p$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *padic-integers* < $Zp?$: $\text{domain } Z_p$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context *padic-integers*

begin

lemma *Zp-defs*:

1 = *padic-one* p

0 = *padic-zero* p

```

carrier Zp = padic-set p
( $\otimes$ ) = padic-mult p
( $\oplus$ ) = padic-add p
⟨proof⟩

```

end

22 Residue Rings

```

lemma(in field) field-inv:
  assumes a ∈ carrier R
  assumes a ≠ 0
  shows invR a  $\otimes$  a = 1
        a  $\otimes$  invR a = 1
        invR a ∈ carrier R
⟨proof⟩

```

p-residue defines the standard projection maps between residue rings:

```

definition(in padic-integers) p-residue:: nat ⇒ int ⇒ - where
p-residue m n ≡ residue (pm) n

```

```

lemma(in padic-integers) p-residue-alt-def:
p-residue m n = n mod (pm)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma(in padic-integers) p-residue-range:
p-residue m n ∈ {0..<pm}
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma(in padic-integers) p-residue-mod:
  assumes m > k
  shows p-residue k (p-residue m n) = p-residue k n
⟨proof⟩

```

Compatibility of p_residue with elements of \mathbb{Z}_p :

```

lemma(in padic-integers) p-residue-padic-int:
  assumes x ∈ carrier Zp
  assumes m ≥ k
  shows p-residue k (x m) = x k
⟨proof⟩

```

Definition of residue rings:

```

abbreviation(in padic-integers) (input) Zp-res-ring:: nat ⇒ - ring where
(Zp-res-ring n) ≡ residue-ring (pn)

```

```

lemma (in padic-integers) p-res-ring-zero:
0Zp-res-ring k = 0
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma (in *padic-integers*) *p-res-ring-one*:
assumes $k > 0$
shows $1_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} = 1$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *padic-integers*) *p-res-ring-car*:
carrier ($Zp\text{-res-ring } k$) = $\{0..<p^k\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *p-residue-range'*:
p-residue m $n \in \text{carrier } (Zp\text{-res-ring } m)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

First residue ring is a field:

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *p-res-ring-1-field*:
field ($Zp\text{-res-ring } 1$)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

0^{th} residue ring is the zero ring:

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *p-res-ring-0*:
carrier ($Zp\text{-res-ring } 0$) = $\{0\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *p-res-ring-0'*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Zp\text{-res-ring } 0)$
shows $x = 0$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

If $m > 0$ then $Zp_res_ring\ m$ is an instance of the residues locale:

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *p-residues*:
assumes $m > 0$
shows *residues* (p^m)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

If $m > 0$ then $Zp_res_ring\ m$ is a commutative ring:

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *R-crng*:
assumes $m > 0$
shows *crng* ($Zp\text{-res-ring } m$)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *R-comm-monoid*:
assumes $m > 0$
shows *comm-monoid* ($Zp\text{-res-ring } m$)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *zero-rep*:
 $0 = (\lambda m. (p\text{-residue } m\ 0))$

<proof>

The operations on residue rings are just the standard operations on the integers $\text{mod } p^n$. This means that the basic closure properties and algebraic properties of operations on these rings hold for all integers, not just elements of the ring carrier:

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *residue-add*:

shows $(x \oplus_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} y) = (x + y) \text{ mod } p^k$

<proof>

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *residue-add-closed*:

shows $(x \oplus_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} y) \in \text{carrier } (Zp\text{-res-ring } k)$

<proof>

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *residue-add-closed'*:

shows $(x \oplus_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} y) \in \{0..<p^k\}$

<proof>

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *residue-mult*:

shows $(x \otimes_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} y) = (x * y) \text{ mod } p^k$

<proof>

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *residue-mult-closed*:

shows $(x \otimes_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} y) \in \text{carrier } (Zp\text{-res-ring } k)$

<proof>

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *residue-mult-closed'*:

shows $(x \otimes_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} y) \in \{0..<p^k\}$

<proof>

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *residue-add-assoc*:

shows $(x \oplus_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} y) \oplus_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} z = x \oplus_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} (y \oplus_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} z)$

<proof>

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *residue-mult-comm*:

shows $x \otimes_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} y = y \otimes_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} x$

<proof>

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *residue-mult-assoc*:

shows $(x \otimes_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} y) \otimes_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} z = x \otimes_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} (y \otimes_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} z)$

<proof>

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *residue-add-comm*:

shows $x \oplus_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} y = y \oplus_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} x$

<proof>

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *residue-minus-car*:
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } (Zp\text{-res-ring } k)$
shows $(x \ominus_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} y) = (x - y) \bmod p^{\wedge}k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *residue-a-inv*:
shows $\ominus_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} y = \ominus_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} (y \bmod p^{\wedge}k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *residue-a-inv-closed*:
 $\ominus_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} y \in \text{carrier } (Zp\text{-res-ring } k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *residue-minus*:
 $(x \ominus_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} y) = (x - y) \bmod p^{\wedge}k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *residue-minus-closed*:
 $(x \ominus_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} y) \in \text{carrier } (Zp\text{-res-ring } k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *padic-integers*) *residue-plus-zero-r*:
 $0 \oplus_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} y = y \bmod p^{\wedge}k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *padic-integers*) *residue-plus-zero-l*:
 $y \oplus_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} 0 = y \bmod p^{\wedge}k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *padic-integers*) *residue-times-zero-r*:
 $0 \otimes_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} y = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *padic-integers*) *residue-times-zero-l*:
 $y \otimes_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} 0 = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *padic-integers*) *residue-times-one-r*:
 $1 \otimes_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} y = y \bmod p^{\wedge}k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *padic-integers*) *residue-times-one-l*:
 $y \otimes_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} 1 = y \bmod p^{\wedge}k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Similarly to the previous lemmas, many identities about taking residues of p -adic integers hold even for elements which lie outside the carrier of \mathbb{Z}_p :

lemma (in *padic-integers*) *residue-of-sum*:

$(a \oplus b) k = (a k) \oplus_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} (b k)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *padic-integers*) *residue-of-sum'*:
 $(a \oplus b) k = ((a k) + (b k)) \bmod p^k$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *padic-integers*) *residue-closed[simp]*:
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Zp$
shows $b k \in \text{carrier } (Zp\text{-res-ring } k)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *padic-integers*) *residue-of-diff*:
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Zp$
shows $(a \ominus b) k = (a k) \ominus_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} (b k)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *padic-integers*) *residue-of-prod*:
 $(a \otimes b) k = (a k) \otimes_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} (b k)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *padic-integers*) *residue-of-prod'*:
 $(a \otimes b) k = ((a k) * (b k)) \bmod (p^k)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *padic-integers*) *residue-of-one*:
assumes $k > 0$
shows $\mathbf{1} k = \mathbf{1}_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k}$
 $\mathbf{1} k = 1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *padic-integers*) *residue-of-zero*:
shows $\mathbf{0} k = \mathbf{0}_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k}$
 $\mathbf{0} k = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *Zp-residue-mult-zero*:
assumes $a k = 0$
shows $(a \otimes b) k = 0$ $(b \otimes a) k = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *Zp-residue-add-zero*:
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $(a::\text{padic-int}) k = 0$
shows $(a \oplus b) k = b k$ $(b \oplus a) k = b k$
 ⟨proof⟩

P-adic addition and multiplication are globally additive and associative:

lemma *padic-add-assoc0*:

assumes *prime p*
shows *padic-add p (padic-add p x y) z = padic-add p x (padic-add p y z)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *add-assoc*:
 $a \oplus b \oplus c = a \oplus (b \oplus c)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *padic-add-comm0*:
assumes *prime p*
shows $(\text{padic-add } p \ x \ y) = (\text{padic-add } p \ y \ x)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *add-comm*:
 $a \oplus b = b \oplus a$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *padic-mult-assoc0*:
assumes *prime p*
shows $\text{padic-mult } p \ (\text{padic-mult } p \ x \ y) \ z = \text{padic-mult } p \ x \ (\text{padic-mult } p \ y \ z)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *mult-assoc*:
 $a \otimes b \otimes c = a \otimes (b \otimes c)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *padic-mult-comm0*:
assumes *prime p*
shows $(\text{padic-mult } p \ x \ y) = (\text{padic-mult } p \ y \ x)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *mult-comm*:
 $a \otimes b = b \otimes a$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *mult-zero-l*:
 $a \otimes \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *mult-zero-r*:
 $\mathbf{0} \otimes a = \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (**in** *padic-integers*) *p-residue-ring-car-memI*:
assumes $(m::\text{int}) \geq 0$
assumes $m < p^{\wedge}k$
shows $m \in \text{carrier } (\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-res-ring } k)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *padic-integers*) *p-residue-ring-car-memE*:
assumes $m \in \text{carrier } (Zp\text{-res-ring } k)$
shows $m < p^{\wedge}k$ $m \geq 0$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *padic-integers*) *residues-closed*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Zp$
shows $a k \in \text{carrier } (Zp\text{-res-ring } k)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *padic-integers*) *mod-in-carrier*:
 $a \text{ mod } (p^{\wedge}n) \in \text{carrier } (Zp\text{-res-ring } n)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *padic-integers*) *Zp-residue-a-inv*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Zp$
shows $(\ominus a) k = \ominus_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k} (a k)$
 $(\ominus a) k = (- (a k)) \text{ mod } (p^{\wedge}k)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *padic-integers*) *residue-of-diff'*:
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Zp$
shows $(a \ominus b) k = ((a k) - (b k)) \text{ mod } (p^{\wedge}k)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *padic-integers*) *residue-UnitsI*:
assumes $n \geq 1$
assumes $(k::\text{int}) > 0$
assumes $k < p^{\wedge}n$
assumes *coprime* $k p$
shows $k \in \text{Units } (Zp\text{-res-ring } n)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *padic-integers*) *residue-UnitsE*:
assumes $n \geq 1$
assumes $k \in \text{Units } (Zp\text{-res-ring } n)$
shows *coprime* $k p$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *residue-units-nilpotent*:
assumes $m > 0$
assumes $k = \text{card } (\text{Units } (Zp\text{-res-ring } m))$
assumes $x \in (\text{Units } (Zp\text{-res-ring } m))$
shows $x^{\wedge}k = 1$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *padic-integers*) *residue-1-unit*:
assumes $m > 0$
shows $1 \in \text{Units } (Zp\text{-res-ring } m)$

$\mathbf{1}_{Zp\text{-res-ring } m} \in \text{Units } (Zp\text{-res-ring } m)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *padic-integers*) *zero-not-in-residue-units*:
 assumes $n \geq 1$
 shows $(0::\text{int}) \notin \text{Units } (Zp\text{-res-ring } n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

Cardinality bounds on the units of residue rings:

lemma (in *padic-integers*) *residue-units-card-geq-2*:
 assumes $n \geq 2$
 shows $\text{card } (\text{Units } (Zp\text{-res-ring } n)) \geq 2$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *padic-integers*) *residue-ring-card*:
 $\text{finite } (\text{carrier } (Zp\text{-res-ring } n)) \wedge \text{card } (\text{carrier } (Zp\text{-res-ring } n)) = \text{nat } (p \hat{=} n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *comm-monoid*) *UnitsI*:
 assumes $a \in \text{carrier } G$
 assumes $b \in \text{carrier } G$
 assumes $a \otimes b = \mathbf{1}$
 shows $a \in \text{Units } G \wedge b \in \text{Units } G$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *comm-monoid*) *is-invI*:
 assumes $a \in \text{carrier } G$
 assumes $b \in \text{carrier } G$
 assumes $a \otimes b = \mathbf{1}$
 shows $\text{inv}_G b = a \wedge \text{inv}_G a = b$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *padic-integers*) *residue-of-Units*:
 assumes $k > 0$
 assumes $a \in \text{Units } Zp$
 shows $a \cdot k \in \text{Units } (Zp\text{-res-ring } k)$
 ⟨proof⟩

23 *int* and *nat* inclusions in \mathbb{Z}_p .

lemma(in *ring*) *int-inc-zero*:
 $[(0::\text{int})] \cdot \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *ring*) *int-inc-zero'*:
 assumes $x \in \text{carrier } R$
 shows $[(0::\text{int})] \cdot x = \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *ring*) *nat-inc-zero*:
 $[(0::nat)] \cdot \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(in *ring*) *nat-mult-zero*:
 $[(0::nat)] \cdot x = \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(in *ring*) *nat-inc-closed*:
 fixes $n::nat$
 shows $[n] \cdot \mathbf{1} \in carrier\ R$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(in *ring*) *nat-mult-closed*:
 fixes $n::nat$
 assumes $x \in carrier\ R$
 shows $[n] \cdot x \in carrier\ R$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(in *ring*) *int-inc-closed*:
 fixes $n::int$
 shows $[n] \cdot \mathbf{1} \in carrier\ R$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(in *ring*) *int-mult-closed*:
 fixes $n::int$
 assumes $x \in carrier\ R$
 shows $[n] \cdot x \in carrier\ R$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(in *ring*) *nat-inc-prod*:
 fixes $n::nat$
 fixes $m::nat$
 shows $[m] \cdot ([n] \cdot \mathbf{1}) = [(m*n)] \cdot \mathbf{1}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(in *ring*) *nat-inc-prod'*:
 fixes $n::nat$
 fixes $m::nat$
 shows $[(m*n)] \cdot \mathbf{1} = [m] \cdot \mathbf{1} \otimes ([n] \cdot \mathbf{1})$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *Zp-nat-inc-zero*:
 shows $[(0::nat)] \cdot x = \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *Zp-int-inc-zero'*:
 shows $[(0::int)] \cdot x = \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *Zp-nat-inc-closed*:
fixes $n::nat$
shows $[n] \cdot \mathbf{1} \in carrier\ Zp$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *Zp-nat-mult-closed*:
fixes $n::nat$
assumes $x \in carrier\ Zp$
shows $[n] \cdot x \in carrier\ Zp$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *Zp-int-inc-closed*:
fixes $n::int$
shows $[n] \cdot \mathbf{1} \in carrier\ Zp$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *Zp-int-mult-closed*:
fixes $n::int$
assumes $x \in carrier\ Zp$
shows $[n] \cdot x \in carrier\ Zp$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The following lemmas give a concrete description of the inclusion of integers and natural numbers into \mathbb{Z}_p :

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *Zp-nat-inc-rep*:
fixes $n::nat$
shows $[n] \cdot \mathbf{1} = (\lambda m. p\text{-residue } m\ n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *Zp-nat-inc-res*:
fixes $n::nat$
shows $([n] \cdot \mathbf{1})^k = n \bmod (p^k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *Zp-int-inc-rep*:
fixes $n::int$
shows $[n] \cdot \mathbf{1} = (\lambda m. p\text{-residue } m\ n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *Zp-int-inc-res*:
fixes $n::int$
shows $([n] \cdot \mathbf{1})^k = n \bmod (p^k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation(in *padic-integers*)(*input*) **p where**
 $p \equiv [p] \cdot \mathbf{1}$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *p-natpow-prod*:

$p[\ulcorner](n::nat) \otimes p[\ulcorner](m::nat) = p[\ulcorner](n + m)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *p-natintpow-prod*:
 assumes $(m::int) \geq 0$
 shows $p[\ulcorner](n::nat) \otimes p[\ulcorner]m = p[\ulcorner](n + m)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *p-intnatpow-prod*:
 assumes $(n::int) \geq 0$
 shows $p[\ulcorner]n \otimes p[\ulcorner](m::nat) = p[\ulcorner](m + n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *p-int-pow-prod*:
 assumes $(n::int) \geq 0$
 assumes $(m::int) \geq 0$
 shows $p[\ulcorner]n \otimes p[\ulcorner]m = p[\ulcorner](m + n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *p-natpow-prod-Suc*:
 $p \otimes p[\ulcorner](m::nat) = p[\ulcorner](Suc\ m)$
 $p[\ulcorner](m::nat) \otimes p = p[\ulcorner](Suc\ m)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *power-residue*:
 assumes $a \in carrier\ \mathbb{Z}_p$
 assumes $k > 0$
 shows $(a[\ulcorner]_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (n::nat))\ k = (a\ k)^{\wedge n} \bmod (p^{\wedge k})$
 ⟨proof⟩

24 The Valuation on \mathbb{Z}_p

24.1 The Integer-Valued and Extended Integer-Valued Valuations

fun *fromeint* :: *eint* \Rightarrow *int* **where**
fromeint (*eint* x) = x

The extended-integer-valued p -adic valuation on \mathbb{Z}_p :

definition(in *padic-integers*) *val-Zp* **where**
val-Zp = $(\lambda x. (if\ (x = \mathbf{0})\ then\ (\infty::eint)\ else\ (eint\ (padic-val\ p\ x))))$

We also define an integer-valued valuation on the nonzero elements of \mathbb{Z}_p , for simplified reasoning

definition(in *padic-integers*) *ord-Zp* **where**
ord-Zp = *padic-val* p

Ord of additive inverse

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *ord-Zp-of-a-inv*:
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Zp$
shows $\text{ord-Zp } a = \text{ord-Zp } (\ominus a)$
<proof>

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *val-Zp-of-a-inv*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Zp$
shows $\text{val-Zp } a = \text{val-Zp } (\ominus a)$
<proof>

Ord-based criterion for being nonzero:

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *ord-of-nonzero*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $\text{ord-Zp } x \geq 0$
shows $x \neq \mathbf{0}$
 $x \in \text{nonzero } Zp$
<proof>

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *not-nonzero-Zp*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $x \notin \text{nonzero } Zp$
shows $x = \mathbf{0}$
<proof>

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *not-nonzero-Qp*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Qp$
assumes $x \notin \text{nonzero } Qp$
shows $x = \mathbf{0}_{Qp}$
<proof>

Relationship between val and ord

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *val-ord-Zp*:
assumes $a \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{val-Zp } a = \text{eint } (\text{ord-Zp } a)$
<proof>

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *ord-pos*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $x \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{ord-Zp } x \geq 0$
<proof>

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *val-pos*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Zp$
shows $\text{val-Zp } x \geq 0$
<proof>

For passing between nat and int castings of ord

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *ord-nat*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $x \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{int } (\text{nat } (\text{ord-}Zp \ x)) = \text{ord-}Zp \ x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *zero-below-ord*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $n \leq \text{ord-}Zp \ x$
shows $x \ n = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *zero-below-val-Zp*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $n \leq \text{val-}Zp \ x$
shows $x \ n = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *below-ord-zero*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $x \ (\text{Suc } n) \neq 0$
shows $n \geq \text{ord-}Zp \ x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *below-val-Zp-zero*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $x \ (\text{Suc } n) \neq 0$
shows $n \geq \text{val-}Zp \ x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *nonzero-imp-ex-nonzero-res*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $x \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\exists k. x \ (\text{Suc } k) \neq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *ord-suc-nonzero*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $x \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $\text{ord-}Zp \ x = n$
shows $x \ (\text{Suc } n) \neq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *above-ord-nonzero*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $x \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $n > \text{ord-}Zp \ x$
shows $x \ n \neq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *ord-Zp-geq*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $x \neq 0$
assumes $x \neq 0$
shows $\text{ord-}Zp\ x \geq n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *ord-equals*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $x (\text{Suc } n) \neq 0$
assumes $x \neq 0$
shows $\text{ord-}Zp\ x = n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *ord-Zp-p*:
 $\text{ord-}Zp\ p = (1::int)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *ord-Zp-one*:
 $\text{ord-}Zp\ \mathbf{1} = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

ord is multiplicative on nonzero elements of Zp

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *ord-Zp-mult*:
assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Zp$
assumes $y \in \text{nonzero } Zp$
shows $(\text{ord-}Zp\ (x \otimes_{Zp} y)) = (\text{ord-}Zp\ x) + (\text{ord-}Zp\ y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *ord-Zp-pow*:
assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Zp$
shows $\text{ord-}Zp\ (x[\wedge](n::nat)) = n * (\text{ord-}Zp\ x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *val-Zp-pow*:
assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Zp$
shows $\text{val-}Zp\ (x[\wedge](n::nat)) = (n * (\text{ord-}Zp\ x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *val-Zp-pow'*:
assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Zp$
shows $\text{val-}Zp\ (x[\wedge](n::nat)) = n * (\text{val-}Zp\ x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *ord-Zp-p-pow*:
 $\text{ord-}Zp\ (p[\wedge](n::nat)) = n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *ord-Zp-p-int-pow*:

assumes $n \geq 0$
shows $\text{ord-}\mathbb{Z}_p (p[\wedge](n::\text{int})) = n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *val- \mathbb{Z}_p -p*:
 $(\text{val-}\mathbb{Z}_p p) = 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *val- \mathbb{Z}_p -p-pow*:
 $\text{val-}\mathbb{Z}_p (p[\wedge](n::\text{nat})) = \text{eint } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *p-pow-res*:
assumes $(n::\text{nat}) \geq m$
shows $(p[\wedge]n) m = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *p-pow-factor*:
assumes $(n::\text{nat}) \geq m$
shows $(h \otimes (p[\wedge]n)) m = 0 \quad (h \otimes (p[\wedge]n)) m = \mathbf{0}_{\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-res-ring } n}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

24.2 The Ultrametric Inequality

Ultrametric inequality for ord

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *ord- \mathbb{Z}_p -ultrametric*:
assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } \mathbb{Z}_p$
assumes $y \in \text{nonzero } \mathbb{Z}_p$
assumes $x \oplus y \in \text{nonzero } \mathbb{Z}_p$
shows $\text{ord-}\mathbb{Z}_p (x \oplus y) \geq \min (\text{ord-}\mathbb{Z}_p x) (\text{ord-}\mathbb{Z}_p y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Variants of the ultrametric inequality

lemma (**in** *padic-integers*) *ord- \mathbb{Z}_p -ultrametric-diff*:
assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } \mathbb{Z}_p$
assumes $y \in \text{nonzero } \mathbb{Z}_p$
assumes $x \neq y$
shows $\text{ord-}\mathbb{Z}_p (x \ominus y) \geq \min (\text{ord-}\mathbb{Z}_p x) (\text{ord-}\mathbb{Z}_p y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *ord- \mathbb{Z}_p -not-equal-imp-notequal*:
assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } \mathbb{Z}_p$
assumes $y \in \text{nonzero } \mathbb{Z}_p$
assumes $\text{ord-}\mathbb{Z}_p x \neq (\text{ord-}\mathbb{Z}_p y)$
shows $x \neq y \quad x \ominus y \neq \mathbf{0} \quad x \oplus y \neq \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *ord- \mathbb{Z}_p -ultrametric-eq*:

assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
assumes $y \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
assumes $\text{ord-}Z_p x > (\text{ord-}Z_p y)$
shows $\text{ord-}Z_p (x \oplus y) = \text{ord-}Z_p y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *ord- Z_p -ultrametric-eq'*:
assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
assumes $y \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
assumes $\text{ord-}Z_p x > (\text{ord-}Z_p y)$
shows $\text{ord-}Z_p (x \ominus y) = \text{ord-}Z_p y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *ord- Z_p -ultrametric-eq''*:
assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
assumes $y \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
assumes $\text{ord-}Z_p x > (\text{ord-}Z_p y)$
shows $\text{ord-}Z_p (y \ominus x) = \text{ord-}Z_p y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *ord- Z_p -not-equal-ord-plus-minus*:
assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
assumes $y \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
assumes $\text{ord-}Z_p x \neq (\text{ord-}Z_p y)$
shows $\text{ord-}Z_p (x \ominus y) = \text{ord-}Z_p (x \oplus y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

val is multiplicative on nonzero elements

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *val- Z_p -mult0*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $x \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $y \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $(\text{val-}Z_p (x \otimes_{Z_p} y)) = (\text{val-}Z_p x) + (\text{val-}Z_p y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

val is multiplicative everywhere

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *val- Z_p -mult*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
shows $(\text{val-}Z_p (x \otimes_{Z_p} y)) = (\text{val-}Z_p x) + (\text{val-}Z_p y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *val- Z_p -ultrametric0*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $x \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $y \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $x \oplus y \neq \mathbf{0}$

shows $\min (\text{val-}Z_p x) (\text{val-}Z_p y) \leq \text{val-}Z_p (x \oplus y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

Unconstrained ultrametric inequality

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *val- Z_p -ultrametric*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
shows $\min (\text{val-}Z_p x) (\text{val-}Z_p y) \leq \text{val-}Z_p (x \oplus y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

Variants of the ultrametric inequality

lemma (in *padic-integers*) *val- Z_p -ultrametric-diff*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
shows $\text{val-}Z_p (x \ominus y) \geq \min (\text{val-}Z_p x) (\text{val-}Z_p y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *val- Z_p -not-equal-imp-notequal*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $\text{val-}Z_p x \neq \text{val-}Z_p y$
shows $x \neq y \wedge x \ominus y \neq \mathbf{0} \wedge x \oplus y \neq \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *val- Z_p -ultrametric-eq*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $\text{val-}Z_p x > \text{val-}Z_p y$
shows $\text{val-}Z_p (x \oplus y) = \text{val-}Z_p y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *val- Z_p -ultrametric-eq'*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $\text{val-}Z_p x > (\text{val-}Z_p y)$
shows $\text{val-}Z_p (x \ominus y) = \text{val-}Z_p y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *val- Z_p -ultrametric-eq''*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $\text{val-}Z_p x > (\text{val-}Z_p y)$
shows $\text{val-}Z_p (y \ominus x) = \text{val-}Z_p y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *val- Z_p -not-equal-ord-plus-minus*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $\text{val-}Z_p x \neq (\text{val-}Z_p y)$

shows $\text{val-Zp } (x \ominus y) = \text{val-Zp } (x \oplus y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

24.3 Units of \mathbb{Z}_p

Elements with valuation 0 in \mathbb{Z}_p are the units

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *val-Zp-0-criterion*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } \mathbb{Z}_p$
assumes $x \neq 0$
shows $\text{val-Zp } x = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

Units in \mathbb{Z}_p have val 0

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *unit-imp-val-Zp0*:
assumes $x \in \text{Units } \mathbb{Z}_p$
shows $\text{val-Zp } x = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

Elements in \mathbb{Z}_p with ord 0 are units

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *val-Zp0-imp-unit0*:
assumes $\text{val-Zp } x = 0$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } \mathbb{Z}_p$
fixes $n::\text{nat}$
shows $(x \text{ (Suc } n)) \in \text{Units } (\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-res-ring } (\text{Suc } n))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *val-Zp0-imp-unit0'*:
assumes $\text{val-Zp } x = 0$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } \mathbb{Z}_p$
assumes $(n::\text{nat}) > 0$
shows $(x \text{ } n) \in \text{Units } (\mathbb{Z}_p\text{-res-ring } n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *cring*) *ring-hom-Units-inv*:
assumes $a \in \text{Units } R$
assumes *cring* S
assumes $h \in \text{ring-hom } R \ S$
shows $h \text{ (inv } a) = \text{inv}_S h \ a$ $h \ a \in \text{Units } S$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *val-Zp-0-imp-unit*:
assumes $\text{val-Zp } x = 0$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } \mathbb{Z}_p$
shows $x \in \text{Units } \mathbb{Z}_p$
 ⟨proof⟩

Definition of ord on a fraction is independent of the choice of representatives

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *ord-Zp-eq-frac*:


```

assumes  $a \in \text{nonzero } \mathbb{Z}_p$ 
assumes  $b \in \text{nonzero } \mathbb{Z}_p$ 
assumes  $c \in \text{nonzero } \mathbb{Z}_p$ 
assumes  $d \in \text{nonzero } \mathbb{Z}_p$ 
assumes  $a \otimes d = b \otimes c$ 
shows  $(\text{ord-}\mathbb{Z}_p a) - (\text{ord-}\mathbb{Z}_p b) = (\text{ord-}\mathbb{Z}_p c) - (\text{ord-}\mathbb{Z}_p d)$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma(in padic-integers) val- $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -eq-frac-0:
assumes  $a \in \text{nonzero } \mathbb{Z}_p$ 
assumes  $b \in \text{nonzero } \mathbb{Z}_p$ 
assumes  $c \in \text{nonzero } \mathbb{Z}_p$ 
assumes  $d \in \text{nonzero } \mathbb{Z}_p$ 
assumes  $a \otimes d = b \otimes c$ 
shows  $(\text{val-}\mathbb{Z}_p a) - (\text{val-}\mathbb{Z}_p b) = (\text{val-}\mathbb{Z}_p c) - (\text{val-}\mathbb{Z}_p d)$ 
<proof>

```

25 Angular Component Maps on \mathbb{Z}_p

The angular component map on \mathbb{Z}_p is just the map which normalizes a point $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ by mapping it to a point with valuation 0. It is explicitly defined as the mapping $x \mapsto p^{-\text{ord}(p)} * x$ for nonzero x , and $0 \mapsto 0$. By composing these maps with reductions mod p^n we get maps which are equal to the standard residue maps on units of \mathbb{Z}_p , but in general unequal elsewhere. Both the angular component map and the angular component map mod p^n are homomorphisms from the multiplicative group of units of \mathbb{Z}_p to the multiplicative group of units of the residue rings, and play a key role in first-order model-theoretic formalizations of the p -adics (see, for example [5], or [2]).

```

lemma(in cring) int-nat-pow-rep:
 $[(k::\text{int})].\mathbf{1} [\wedge] (n::\text{nat}) = [(k\hat{\wedge}n)].\mathbf{1}$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma(in padic-integers) p-pow-rep0:
fixes  $n::\text{nat}$ 
shows  $p[\wedge]n = [(p\hat{\wedge}n)].\mathbf{1}$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma(in padic-integers) p-pow-nonzero:
shows  $(p[\wedge](n::\text{nat})) \in \text{carrier } \mathbb{Z}_p$ 
 $(p[\wedge](n::\text{nat})) \neq \mathbf{0}$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma(in padic-integers) p-pow-nonzero':
shows  $(p[\wedge](n::\text{nat})) \in \text{nonzero } \mathbb{Z}_p$ 
<proof>

```

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *p-pow-rep*:
fixes $n::nat$
shows $(p[\wedge]n) k = (p \wedge n) \text{ mod } (p \wedge k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *p-pow-car*:
assumes $(n::int) \geq 0$
shows $(p[\wedge]n) \in \text{carrier } Zp$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *p-int-pow-nonzero*:
assumes $(n::int) \geq 0$
shows $(p[\wedge]n) \in \text{nonzero } Zp$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *p-nonzero*:
shows $p \in \text{nonzero } Zp$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Every element of Zp is a unit times a power of p .

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *residue-factor-unique*:
assumes $k > 0$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $u \in \text{carrier } (Zp\text{-res-ring } k) \wedge (u * p \wedge m) = (x (m+k))$
shows $u = (\text{THE } u. u \in \text{carrier } (Zp\text{-res-ring } k) \wedge (u * p \wedge m) = (x (m+k)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *residue-factor-exists*:
assumes $m = \text{nat } (\text{ord-}Zp \ x)$
assumes $k > 0$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $x \neq 0$
obtains u **where** $u \in \text{carrier } (Zp\text{-res-ring } k) \wedge (u * p \wedge m) = (x (m+k))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition(in *padic-integers*) *normalizer where*
 $\text{normalizer } m \ x = (\lambda k. \text{if } (k=0) \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } (\text{THE } u. u \in \text{carrier } (Zp\text{-res-ring } k) \wedge (u * p \wedge m) = (x (m + k))))$

definition(in *padic-integers*) *ac-Zp where*
 $\text{ac-Zp } x = \text{normalizer } (\text{nat } (\text{ord-}Zp \ x)) \ x$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *ac-Zp-equation*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $x \neq 0$
assumes $k > 0$
assumes $m = \text{nat } (\text{ord-}Zp \ x)$
shows $(\text{ac-Zp } x \ k) \in \text{carrier } (Zp\text{-res-ring } k) \wedge (\text{ac-Zp } x \ k) * (p \wedge m) = (x (m+k))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *ac-Zp-res*:
assumes $m > k$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $x \neq 0$
shows $p\text{-residue } k \text{ (ac-Zp } x \ m) = \text{(ac-Zp } x \ k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *ac-Zp-in-Zp*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $x \neq 0$
shows $\text{ac-Zp } x \in \text{carrier } Zp$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *ac-Zp-is-Unit*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $x \neq 0$
shows $\text{ac-Zp } x \in \text{Units } Zp$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The typical defining equation for the angular component map.

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *ac-Zp-factors-x*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $x \neq 0$
shows $x = (p[\lceil \text{nat } (\text{ord-Zp } x)]) \otimes (\text{ac-Zp } x) \ x = (p[\lceil (\text{ord-Zp } x)]) \otimes (\text{ac-Zp } x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *ac-Zp-factors'*:
assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Zp$
shows $x = [p] \cdot \mathbf{1} [\lceil \text{ord-Zp } x \otimes \text{ac-Zp } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *ac-Zp-mult*:
assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Zp$
assumes $y \in \text{nonzero } Zp$
shows $\text{ac-Zp } (x \otimes y) = (\text{ac-Zp } x) \otimes (\text{ac-Zp } y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *ac-Zp-one*:
 $\text{ac-Zp } \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *ac-Zp-inv*:
assumes $x \in \text{Units } Zp$
shows $\text{ac-Zp } (\text{inv}_{Zp} \ x) = \text{inv}_{Zp} \ (\text{ac-Zp } x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *ac-Zp-of-Unit*:
assumes $\text{val-Zp } x = 0$

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Zp$
shows $ac\text{-}Zp\ x = x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *ac-Zp-p*:
 $(ac\text{-}Zp\ p) = \mathbf{1}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *ac-Zp-p-nat-pow*:
 $(ac\text{-}Zp\ (p\ [\wedge]\ (n::nat))) = \mathbf{1}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Facts for reasoning about integer powers in an arbitrary commutative monoid:

lemma(**in** *monoid*) *int-pow-add*:
fixes $n::int$
fixes $m::int$
assumes $a \in \text{Units } G$
shows $a\ [\wedge]\ (n + m) = (a\ [\wedge]\ n) \otimes (a\ [\wedge]\ m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *monoid*) *int-pow-unit-closed*:
fixes $n::int$
assumes $a \in \text{Units } G$
shows $a\ [\wedge]\ n \in \text{Units } G$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *monoid*) *nat-pow-of-inv*:
fixes $n::nat$
assumes $a \in \text{Units } G$
shows $inv\ a\ [\wedge]\ n = inv\ (a\ [\wedge]\ n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *monoid*) *int-pow-of-inv*:
fixes $n::int$
assumes $a \in \text{Units } G$
shows $inv\ a\ [\wedge]\ n = inv\ (a\ [\wedge]\ n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *monoid*) *int-pow-inv*:
fixes $n::int$
assumes $a \in \text{Units } G$
shows $a\ [\wedge]\ -n = inv\ a\ [\wedge]\ n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *monoid*) *int-pow-inv'*:
fixes $n::int$
assumes $a \in \text{Units } G$
shows $a\ [\wedge]\ -n = inv\ (a\ [\wedge]\ n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *comm-monoid*) *inv-of-prod*:
assumes $a \in \text{Units } G$
assumes $b \in \text{Units } G$
shows $\text{inv } (a \otimes b) = (\text{inv } a) \otimes (\text{inv } b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

26 Behaviour of $\text{val_}Zp$ and $\text{ord_}Zp$ on Natural Numbers and Integers

If f and g have an equal residue at k , then they differ by a multiple of p^k .

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *eq-residue-mod*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $f \cdot k = g \cdot k$
shows $\exists h. h \in \text{carrier } Zp \wedge f = g \oplus (p[\wedge]k) \otimes h$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *eq-residue-mod'*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $f \cdot k = g \cdot k$
obtains h **where** $h \in \text{carrier } Zp \wedge f = g \oplus (p[\wedge]k) \otimes h$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Valuations of integers which do not divide p :

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *ord-Zp-p-nat-unit*:
assumes $(n::\text{nat}) \bmod p \neq 0$
shows $\text{ord-Zp } ([n] \cdot \mathbf{1}) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *val-Zp-p-nat-unit*:
assumes $(n::\text{nat}) \bmod p \neq 0$
shows $\text{val-Zp } ([n] \cdot \mathbf{1}) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *nat-unit*:
assumes $(n::\text{nat}) \bmod p \neq 0$
shows $([n] \cdot \mathbf{1}) \in \text{Units } Zp$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *ord-Zp-p-int-unit*:
assumes $(n::\text{int}) \bmod p \neq 0$
shows $\text{ord-Zp } ([n] \cdot \mathbf{1}) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *val-Zp-p-int-unit*:

assumes $(n::int) \bmod p \neq 0$
shows $val\text{-}Zp ([n]\cdot\mathbf{1}) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *int-unit*:
assumes $(n::int) \bmod p \neq 0$
shows $([n]\cdot\mathbf{1}) \in Units\ Zp$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *int-decomp-ord*:
assumes $n = l*(p^{\wedge}k)$
assumes $l \bmod p \neq 0$
shows $ord\text{-}Zp ([n]\cdot\mathbf{1}) = k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *int-decomp-val*:
assumes $n = l*(p^{\wedge}k)$
assumes $l \bmod p \neq 0$
shows $val\text{-}Zp ([n]\cdot\mathbf{1}) = k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

\mathbb{Z}_p has characteristic zero:

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *Zp-char-0*:
assumes $(n::int) > 0$
shows $[n]\cdot\mathbf{1} \neq \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *Zp-char-0'*:
assumes $(n::nat) > 0$
shows $[n]\cdot\mathbf{1} \neq \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *domain*) *not-eq-diff-nonzero*:
assumes $a \neq b$
assumes $a \in carrier\ R$
assumes $b \in carrier\ R$
shows $a \ominus b \in nonzero\ R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *domain*) *minus-a-inv*:
assumes $a \in carrier\ R$
assumes $b \in carrier\ R$
shows $a \ominus b = \ominus (b \ominus a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(**in** *ring*) *plus-diff-simp*:
assumes $a \in carrier\ R$
assumes $b \in carrier\ R$
assumes $c \in carrier\ R$

```

assumes  $X = a \oplus b$ 
assumes  $Y = c \oplus a$ 
shows  $X \oplus Y = c \oplus b$ 
<proof>

lemma (in padic-integers) Zp-residue-eq:
assumes  $a \in \text{carrier } Zp$ 
assumes  $b \in \text{carrier } Zp$ 
assumes  $\text{val-}Zp (a \oplus b) > k$ 
shows  $(a \ k) = (b \ k)$ 
<proof>

lemma (in padic-integers) Zp-residue-eq2:
assumes  $a \in \text{carrier } Zp$ 
assumes  $b \in \text{carrier } Zp$ 
assumes  $(a \ k) = (b \ k)$ 
assumes  $a \neq b$ 
shows  $\text{val-}Zp (a \oplus b) \geq k$ 
<proof>

lemma (in padic-integers) equal-val-Zp:
assumes  $a \in \text{carrier } Zp$ 
assumes  $b \in \text{carrier } Zp$ 
assumes  $c \in \text{carrier } Zp$ 
assumes  $\text{val-}Zp a = \text{val-}Zp b$ 
assumes  $\text{val-}Zp (c \oplus a) > \text{val-}Zp b$ 
shows  $\text{val-}Zp c = \text{val-}Zp b$ 
<proof>

lemma (in padic-integers) equal-val-Zp':
assumes  $a \in \text{carrier } Zp$ 
assumes  $b \in \text{carrier } Zp$ 
assumes  $c \in \text{carrier } Zp$ 
assumes  $\text{val-}Zp a = \text{val-}Zp b$ 
assumes  $\text{val-}Zp c > \text{val-}Zp b$ 
shows  $\text{val-}Zp (a \oplus c) = \text{val-}Zp b$ 
<proof>

lemma (in padic-integers) val-Zp-of-minus:
assumes  $a \in \text{carrier } Zp$ 
shows  $\text{val-}Zp a = \text{val-}Zp (\ominus a)$ 
<proof>

end
theory Padic-Int-Topology
imports Padic-Integers Function-Ring
begin

type-synonym padic-int-seq =  $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{padic-int}$ 

```

type-synonym *padic-int-fun* = *padic-int* \Rightarrow *padic-int*

sublocale *padic-integers* < *FunZp?*: *U-function-ring* *Zp*
<*proof*>

context *padic-integers*
begin

27 Sequences over \mathbb{Z}_p

The p -adic valuation can be thought of as equivalent to the p -adic absolute value, but with the notion of size inverted so that small numbers have large valuation, and zero has maximally large valuation. The p -adic distance between two points is just the valuation of the difference of those points, and is thus equivalent to the metric induced by the p -adic absolute value. For background on valuations and absolute values for p -adic rings see [4]. In what follows, we develop the topology of the p -adic from a valuative perspective rather than a metric perspective. Though equivalent to the metric approach in the p -adic case, this approach is more general in that there exist valued rings whose valuations take values in non-Archimedean ordered abelian groups which do not embed into the real numbers.

27.1 The Valuative Distance Function on \mathbb{Z}_p

The following lemmas establish that the p -adic distance function satisfies the standard properties of an ultrametric. It is symmetric, obeys the ultrametric inequality, and only identical elements are infinitely close.

definition *val-Zp-dist* :: *padic-int* \Rightarrow *padic-int* \Rightarrow *eint* **where**
val-Zp-dist *a* *b* \equiv *val-Zp* (*a* \ominus *b*)

lemma *val-Zp-dist-sym*:

assumes *a* \in *carrier* *Zp*

assumes *b* \in *carrier* *Zp*

shows *val-Zp-dist* *a* *b* = *val-Zp-dist* *b* *a*

<*proof*>

lemma *val-Zp-dist-ultrametric*:

assumes *a* \in *carrier* *Zp*

assumes *b* \in *carrier* *Zp*

assumes *c* \in *carrier* *Zp*

shows *val-Zp-dist* *b* *c* \geq *min* (*val-Zp-dist* *a* *c*) (*val-Zp-dist* *a* *b*)

<*proof*>

lemma *val-Zp-dist-infty*:

assumes *a* \in *carrier* *Zp*

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $\text{val-}Zp\text{-dist } a \ b = \infty$
shows $a = b$
<proof>

lemma *val-}Zp-dist-infty'*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $a = b$
shows $\text{val-}Zp\text{-dist } a \ b = \infty$
<proof>

The following property will be useful in the proof of Hensel's Lemma: two p -adic integers are close together if and only if their residues are equal at high orders.

lemma *val-}Zp-dist-res-eq*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $\text{val-}Zp\text{-dist } a \ b > k$
shows $(a \ k) = (b \ k)$
<proof>

lemma *val-}Zp-dist-res-eq2*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $(a \ k) = (b \ k)$
shows $\text{val-}Zp\text{-dist } a \ b \geq k$
<proof>

lemma *val-}Zp-dist-triangle-eqs*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $\text{val-}Zp\text{-dist } a \ b > n$
assumes $\text{val-}Zp\text{-dist } a \ c > n$
assumes $(k::\text{nat}) < n$
shows $a \ k = b \ k$
 $\quad a \ k = c \ k$
 $\quad b \ k = c \ k$
<proof>

27.2 Cauchy Sequences

The definition of Cauchy sequence here is equivalent to standard the metric notion, and is identical to the one found on page 50 of [4].

lemma *closed-seqs-diff-closed*:
assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Zp$

shows $s\ m \ominus a \in \text{carrier } Zp$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition $\text{is-}Zp\text{-cauchy} :: \text{padic-int-seq} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{is-}Zp\text{-cauchy } s = ((s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp) \wedge (\forall (n::\text{int}). \exists (N::\text{nat}). \forall m\ k::\text{nat}.$

$$(m > N \wedge k > N \longrightarrow (\text{val-}Zp\text{-dist } (s\ m) (s\ k)) > \text{eint } n)))$$

Relation for a sequence which converges to a point:

definition $Zp\text{-converges-to} :: \text{padic-int-seq} \Rightarrow \text{padic-int} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $Zp\text{-converges-to } s\ a = ((a \in \text{carrier } Zp \wedge s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp)$
 $\wedge (\forall (n::\text{int}). (\exists (k::\text{nat}). (\forall (m::\text{nat}).$
 $(m > k \longrightarrow (\text{val-}Zp\ ((s\ m) \ominus a)) > \text{eint } n))))))$

lemma $\text{is-}Zp\text{-cauchy-imp-closed}$:

assumes $\text{is-}Zp\text{-cauchy } s$
shows $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$
 ⟨proof⟩

Analogous to the lemmas about residues and p -adic distances, we can characterize Cauchy sequences without reference to a distance function: a sequence is Cauchy if and only if for every natural number k , the k^{th} residues of the elements in the sequence are eventually all equal.

lemma $\text{is-}Zp\text{-cauchy-imp-res-eventually-const-0}$:

assumes $\text{is-}Zp\text{-cauchy } s$
fixes $n::\text{nat}$
obtains N **where** $\bigwedge n0\ n1. n0 > N \wedge n1 > N \implies (s\ n0)\ n = (s\ n1)\ n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $\text{is-}Zp\text{-cauchyI}$:

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$
assumes $\bigwedge n. (\exists N. (\forall n0\ n1. n0 > N \wedge n1 > N \longrightarrow (s\ n0)\ n = (s\ n1)\ n))$
shows $\text{is-}Zp\text{-cauchy } s$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $\text{is-}Zp\text{-cauchy-imp-res-eventually-const}$:

assumes $\text{is-}Zp\text{-cauchy } s$
fixes $n::\text{nat}$
obtains $N\ r$ **where** $r \in \text{carrier } (Zp\text{-res-ring } n)$ **and** $\bigwedge m. m > N \implies (s\ m)\ n = r$
 ⟨proof⟩

This function identifies the eventual residues of the elements of a cauchy sequence. Since a p -adic integer is defined to be the map which identifies its residues, this map will turn out to be precisely the limit of a cauchy sequence.

definition $\text{res-lim} :: \text{padic-int-seq} \Rightarrow \text{padic-int}$ **where**
 $\text{res-lim } s = (\lambda k. (\text{THE } r. (\exists N. (\forall m. m > N \longrightarrow (s\ m)\ k = r))))$

lemma *res-lim-Zp-cauchy-0*:
assumes *is-Zp-cauchy s*
assumes $f = (\text{res-lim } s) k$
shows $(\exists N. (\forall m. (m > N \longrightarrow (s\ m)\ k = f)))$
 $f \in \text{carrier } (\text{Zp-res-ring } k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *res-lim-Zp-cauchy*:
assumes *is-Zp-cauchy s*
obtains N **where** $\bigwedge m. (m > N \longrightarrow (s\ m)\ k = (\text{res-lim } s)\ k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *res-lim-in-Zp*:
assumes *is-Zp-cauchy s*
shows $\text{res-lim } s \in \text{carrier } \text{Zp}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

27.3 Completeness of \mathbb{Z}_p

We can use the developments above to show that a sequence of p -adic integers is convergent if and only if it is cauchy, and that limits of convergent sequences are always unique.

lemma *is-Zp-cauchy-imp-has-limit*:
assumes *is-Zp-cauchy s*
assumes $a = \text{res-lim } s$
shows $\text{Zp-converges-to } s\ a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *convergent-imp-Zp-cauchy*:
assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } \text{Zp}$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } \text{Zp}$
assumes $\text{Zp-converges-to } s\ a$
shows *is-Zp-cauchy s*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unique-limit*:
assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } \text{Zp}$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } \text{Zp}$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } \text{Zp}$
assumes $\text{Zp-converges-to } s\ a$
assumes $\text{Zp-converges-to } s\ b$
shows $a = b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unique-limit'*:
assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } \text{Zp}$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } \text{Zp}$
assumes $\text{Zp-converges-to } s\ a$

shows $a = \text{res-lim } s$
<proof>

lemma *Zp-converges-toE*:
assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes *Zp-converges-to s a*
shows $\exists N. \forall k > N. s \ k \ n = a \ n$
<proof>

lemma *Zp-converges-toI*:
assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $\bigwedge n. \exists N. \forall k > N. s \ k \ n = a \ n$
shows *Zp-converges-to s a*
<proof>

Sums and products of cauchy sequences are cauchy:

lemma *sum-of-Zp-cauchy-is-Zp-cauchy*:
assumes *is-Zp-cauchy s*
assumes *is-Zp-cauchy t*
shows *is-Zp-cauchy (s \oplus_{Zp^ω} t)*
<proof>

lemma *prod-of-Zp-cauchy-is-Zp-cauchy*:
assumes *is-Zp-cauchy s*
assumes *is-Zp-cauchy t*
shows *is-Zp-cauchy (s \otimes_{Zp^ω} t)*
<proof>

Constant sequences are cauchy:

lemma *constant-is-Zp-cauchy*:
assumes *is-constant-seq Zp s*
shows *is-Zp-cauchy s*
<proof>

Scalar multiplies of cauchy sequences are cauchy:

lemma *smult-is-Zp-cauchy*:
assumes *is-Zp-cauchy s*
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Zp$
shows *is-Zp-cauchy (a \odot_{Zp^ω} s)*
<proof>

lemma *Zp-cauchy-imp-approaches-res-lim*:
assumes *is-Zp-cauchy s*
assumes $a = \text{res-lim } s$
obtains N **where** $\bigwedge n. n > N \implies \text{val-Zp } (a \ominus (s \ n)) > \text{eint } k$
<proof>

28 Continuous Functions

For convenience, we will use a slightly unorthodox definition of continuity here. Since \mathbb{Z}_p is complete, a function is continuous if and only if its compositions with cauchy sequences are cauchy sequences. Thus we can define a continuous function on \mathbb{Z}_p as a function which carries cauchy sequences to cauchy sequences under composition. Note that this does not generalize to a definition of continuity for functions defined on incomplete subsets of \mathbb{Z}_p . For example, the function $1/x$ defined on $\mathbb{Z}_p - \{0\}$ clearly does not have this property but is continuous. However, towards a proof of Hensel's Lemma we will only need to consider polynomial functions and so this definition suffices for our purposes.

28.1 Defining Continuous Functions and Basic Examples

abbreviation *Zp-constant-function* (\mathfrak{c}_{Zp}) **where**
 $\mathfrak{c}_{Zp} a \equiv \text{constant-function (carrier } Zp) a$

definition *is-Zp-continuous :: padic-int-fun \Rightarrow bool* **where**
 $\text{is-Zp-continuous } f = (f \in \text{carrier (Fun } Zp) \wedge (\forall s. \text{is-Zp-cauchy } s \longrightarrow \text{is-Zp-cauchy}(f \circ s)))$

lemma *Zp-continuous-is-Zp-closed:*
assumes *is-Zp-continuous* f
shows $f \in \text{carrier (Fun } Zp)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-Zp-continuousI:*
assumes $f \in \text{carrier (Fun } Zp)$
assumes $\bigwedge s. \text{is-Zp-cauchy } s \Longrightarrow \text{is-Zp-cauchy } (f \circ s)$
shows *is-Zp-continuous* f
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Zp-continuous-is-closed:*
assumes *is-Zp-continuous* f
shows $f \in \text{carrier (Fun } Zp)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *constant-is-Zp-continuous:*
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Zp$
shows *is-Zp-continuous* $(\text{const } a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sum-of-cont-is-cont:*
assumes *is-Zp-continuous* f
assumes *is-Zp-continuous* g
shows *is-Zp-continuous* $(f \oplus_{\text{Fun } Zp} g)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-of-cont-is-cont*:
assumes *is-Zp-continuous* f
assumes *is-Zp-continuous* g
shows *is-Zp-continuous* $(f \otimes_{\text{Fun } Zp} g)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *smult-is-continuous*:
assumes *is-Zp-continuous* f
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Zp$
shows *is-Zp-continuous* $(a \odot_{\text{Fun } Zp} f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *id-Zp-is-Zp-continuous*:
is-Zp-continuous ring-id
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-pow-is-Zp-continuous*:
assumes *is-Zp-continuous* f
shows *is-Zp-continuous* $(f[\bigwedge]_{\text{Fun } Zp} (n::\text{nat}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ring-id-pow-closed*:
 $(\text{ring-id})[\bigwedge]_{\text{Fun } Zp} (n::\text{nat}) \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun } Zp)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *monomial-equation*:
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Zp$
shows *monomial* $c \ n = c \odot_{\text{Fun } Zp} (\text{ring-id})[\bigwedge]_{\text{Fun } Zp} n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *monomial-is-Zp-continuous*:
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Zp$
shows *is-Zp-continuous* $(\text{monomial } c \ n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

28.2 Composition by a Continuous Function Commutes with Taking Limits of Sequences

Due to our choice of definition for continuity, a little bit of care is required to show that taking the limit of a cauchy sequence commutes with composition by a continuous function. For a sequence $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converging to a point t , we can consider the alternating sequence $(s_0, t, s_1, t, s_2, t, \dots)$ which is also cauchy. Clearly composition with f yields $(f(s_0), f(t), f(s_1), f(t), f(s_2), f(t), \dots)$ from which we can see that the limit must be $f(t)$.

definition *alt-seq* **where**
alt-seq $s = (\lambda k. (\text{if } (\text{even } k) \text{ then } (s \ k) \ \text{else } (\text{res-lim } s)))$

```

lemma alt-seq-Zp-cauchy:
  assumes is-Zp-cauchy s
  shows is-Zp-cauchy (alt-seq s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma alt-seq-limit:
  assumes is-Zp-cauchy s
  shows res-lim(alt-seq s) = res-lim s
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma res-lim-pushforward:
  assumes is-Zp-continuous f
  assumes is-Zp-cauchy s
  assumes t = alt-seq s
  shows res-lim (f ∘ t) = f (res-lim t)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma res-lim-pushforward':
  assumes is-Zp-continuous f
  assumes is-Zp-cauchy s
  assumes t = alt-seq s
  shows res-lim (f ∘ s) = res-lim (f ∘ t)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma continuous-limit:
  assumes is-Zp-continuous f
  assumes is-Zp-cauchy s
  shows Zp-converges-to (f ∘ s) (f (res-lim s))
  ⟨proof⟩

end
end
theory Padic-Int-Polynomials
imports Padic-Int-Topology Cring-Poly
begin

context padic-integers
begin

This theory states and proves basic lemmas connecting the topology on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ 
with the functions induced by polynomial evaluation over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . This will
imply that polynomial evaluation applied to a Cauchy Sequence will always
produce a cauchy sequence, which is a key fact in the proof of Hensel's
Lemma.

type-synonym padic-int-poly = nat ⇒ padic-int

lemma monom-term-car:
  assumes c ∈ carrier Zp

```

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Zp$
shows $c \otimes x[\wedge](n::\text{nat}) \in \text{carrier } Zp$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Univariate polynomial ring over Zp

abbreviation(*input*) $Zp\text{-}x$ **where**
 $Zp\text{-}x \equiv UP\ Zp$

lemma $Zp\text{-}x\text{-is-UP-cring}$:
 $UP\text{-cring } Zp$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $Zp\text{-}x\text{-is-UP-domain}$:
 $UP\text{-domain } Zp$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $Zp\text{-}x\text{-domain}$:
 $\text{domain } Zp\text{-}x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma pow-closed :
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Zp$
shows $a[\wedge](n::\text{nat}) \in \text{carrier } Zp$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(*in ring*) pow-zero :
assumes $(n::\text{nat}) > 0$
shows $\mathbf{0}[\wedge] n = \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma sum-closed :
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } Zp$
shows $f \oplus g \in \text{carrier } Zp$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma mult-zero :
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } Zp$
shows $f \otimes \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$
 $\mathbf{0} \otimes f = \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{monom-poly-is-}Zp\text{-continuous}$:
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $f = \text{monom } Zp\text{-}x\ c\ n$
shows $\text{is-}Zp\text{-continuous (to-fun } f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{degree-0-is-}Zp\text{-continuous}$:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } Zp\text{-}x$
assumes $\text{degree } f = 0$
shows $\text{is-}Zp\text{-continuous (to-fun } f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UP-sum-is-Zp-continuous:*
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Zp\text{-}x$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Zp\text{-}x$
assumes $\text{is-}Zp\text{-continuous (to-fun } a)$
assumes $\text{is-}Zp\text{-continuous (to-fun } b)$
shows $\text{is-}Zp\text{-continuous (to-fun (} a \oplus_{Zp\text{-}x} b))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *polynomial-is-Zp-continuous:*
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } Zp\text{-}x$
shows $\text{is-}Zp\text{-continuous (to-fun } f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end

Notation for polynomial function application

context *padic-integers*
begin
notation $\text{to-fun (infixl} \langle \cdot \rangle, 70)$

Evaluating polynomials in the residue rings

lemma *res-to-fun-monic-monom:*
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $a \text{ } k = b \text{ } k$
shows $(\text{monom } Zp\text{-}x \text{ } \mathbf{1} \text{ } n \cdot a) \text{ } k = (\text{monom } Zp\text{-}x \text{ } \mathbf{1} \text{ } n \cdot b) \text{ } k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *res-to-fun-monom:*
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $a \text{ } k = b \text{ } k$
shows $(\text{monom } Zp\text{-}x \text{ } c \text{ } n \cdot a) \text{ } k = (\text{monom } Zp\text{-}x \text{ } c \text{ } n \cdot b) \text{ } k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-fun-res-ltrm:*
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } Zp\text{-}x$
assumes $a \text{ } k = b \text{ } k$
shows $((\text{ltrm } f) \cdot a) \text{ } k = ((\text{ltrm } f) \cdot b) \text{ } k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Polynomial application commutes with taking residues

```

lemma to-fun-res:
  assumes  $f \in \text{carrier } Z_{p-x}$ 
  assumes  $a \in \text{carrier } Z_p$ 
  assumes  $b \in \text{carrier } Z_p$ 
  assumes  $a \cdot k = b \cdot k$ 
  shows  $(f \cdot a) \cdot k = (f \cdot b) \cdot k$ 
  <proof>

```

If a and b have equal k th residues, then so do $f(a)$ and $f(b)$

```

lemma deriv-res:
  assumes  $f \in \text{carrier } Z_{p-x}$ 
  assumes  $a \in \text{carrier } Z_p$ 
  assumes  $b \in \text{carrier } Z_p$ 
  assumes  $a \cdot k = b \cdot k$ 
  shows  $(\text{deriv } f \ a) \cdot k = (\text{deriv } f \ b) \cdot k$ 
  <proof>

```

Propositions about evaluation:

```

lemma to-fun-monom-plus:
  assumes  $a \in \text{carrier } Z_p$ 
  assumes  $g \in \text{carrier } Z_{p-x}$ 
  assumes  $c \in \text{carrier } Z_p$ 
  shows  $(\text{monom } Z_{p-x} \ a \ n \oplus_{Z_{p-x}} \ g) \cdot c = a \otimes c[\wedge n \oplus (g \cdot c)$ 
  <proof>

```

```

lemma to-fun-monom-minus:
  assumes  $a \in \text{carrier } Z_p$ 
  assumes  $g \in \text{carrier } Z_{p-x}$ 
  assumes  $c \in \text{carrier } Z_p$ 
  shows  $(\text{monom } Z_{p-x} \ a \ n \ominus_{Z_{p-x}} \ g) \cdot c = a \otimes c[\wedge n \ominus (g \cdot c)$ 
  <proof>

```

end

end

```

theory Hensels-Lemma
  imports Padic-Int-Polynomials
begin

```

The following proof of Hensel's Lemma is directly adapted from Keith Conrad's proof which is given in an online note [1]. The same note was used as the basis for a formalization of Hensel's Lemma by Robert Lewis in the Lean proof assistant [?].

29 Auxiliary Lemmas for Hensel's Lemma

```

lemma(in ring) minus-sum:
  assumes  $a \in \text{carrier } R$ 

```

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\ominus (a \oplus b) = \ominus a \oplus \ominus b$
 ⟨proof⟩

context *p-adic-integers*
begin

lemma *poly-diff-val*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } Zp\text{-}x$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Zp$
shows $\text{val-}Zp (f \cdot a \ominus f \cdot b) \geq \text{val-}Zp (a \ominus b)$
 ⟨proof⟩

Restricted p-adic division

definition *divide where*
 $\text{divide } x \ y = (\text{if } x = \mathbf{0} \text{ then } \mathbf{0} \text{ else } (p[\wedge](\text{nat } (\text{ord-}Zp \ x - \text{ord-}Zp \ y)) \otimes \text{ac-}Zp \ x \otimes (\text{inv } \text{ac-}Zp \ y)))$

lemma *divide-closed*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $y \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{divide } x \ y \in \text{carrier } Zp$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *divide-formula*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $y \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $\text{val-}Zp \ x \geq \text{val-}Zp \ y$
shows $y \otimes \text{divide } x \ y = x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *divide-nonzero*:
assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Zp$
assumes $y \in \text{nonzero } Zp$
assumes $\text{val-}Zp \ x \geq \text{val-}Zp \ y$
shows $\text{divide } x \ y \in \text{nonzero } Zp$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *val-of-divide*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $y \in \text{nonzero } Zp$
assumes $\text{val-}Zp \ x \geq \text{val-}Zp \ y$
shows $\text{val-}Zp (\text{divide } x \ y) = \text{val-}Zp \ x - \text{val-}Zp \ y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *val-of-divide'*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $y \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $\text{val-}Zp\ x \geq \text{val-}Zp\ y$
shows $\text{val-}Zp\ (\text{divide } x\ y) = \text{val-}Zp\ x - \text{val-}Zp\ y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end

lemma(**in** *UP-cring*) *taylor-deg-1-eval'''*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $c = \text{to-fun } (\text{shift } (2::\text{nat})\ (T_a\ f))\ (\ominus b)$
assumes $b \otimes (\text{deriv } f\ a) = (\text{to-fun } f\ a)$
shows $\text{to-fun } f\ (a \ominus b) = (c \otimes b[\uparrow](2::\text{nat}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *res-diff-zero-fact*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $(a \ominus b)\ k = 0$
shows $a\ k = b\ k\ a\ k \ominus_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k}\ b\ k = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *res-diff-zero-fact'*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $a\ k = b\ k$
shows $a\ k \ominus_{Zp\text{-res-ring } k}\ b\ k = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *res-diff-zero-fact''*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $a\ k = b\ k$
shows $(a \ominus b)\ k = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *padic-integers*) *is-Zp-cauchyI'*:
assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$
assumes $\forall n::\text{nat}. \exists k::\text{int}. \forall m. m \geq k \longrightarrow \text{val-}Zp\ (s\ (\text{Suc } m) \ominus s\ m) \geq n$
shows *is-Zp-cauchy* s
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

30 The Proof of Hensel's Lemma

30.1 Building a Locale for the Proof of Hensel's Lemma

```
locale hensel = padic-integers+
  fixes f::padic-int-poly
  fixes a::padic-int
  assumes f-closed[simp]: f ∈ carrier Zp-x
  assumes a-closed[simp]: a ∈ carrier Zp
  assumes fa-nonzero[simp]: f·a ≠ 0
  assumes hensel-hypothesis[simp]: (val-Zp (f·a) > 2* val-Zp ((pderiv f)·a))
```

```
sublocale hensel < cring Zp
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
context hensel
begin
```

```
abbreviation f' where
f' ≡ pderiv f
```

```
lemma f'-closed:
f' ∈ carrier Zp-x
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma f'-vals-closed:
  assumes a ∈ carrier Zp
  shows f'·a ∈ carrier Zp
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma fa-closed:
(f·a) ∈ carrier Zp
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma f'a-closed:
(f'·a) ∈ carrier Zp
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma fa-nonzero':
(f·a) ∈ nonzero Zp
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma f'a-nonzero[simp]:
(f'·a) ≠ 0
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma f'a-nonzero':
(f'·a) ∈ nonzero Zp
  ⟨proof⟩
```

lemma *f'a-not-infinite[simp]*:
 $\text{val-Zp } (f'.a) \neq \infty$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *f'a-nonneg-val[simp]*:
 $\text{val-Zp } ((f'.a)) \geq 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *hensel-hypothesis-weakened*:
 $\text{val-Zp } (f.a) > \text{val-Zp } (f'.a)$
 ⟨proof⟩

30.2 Constructing the Newton Sequence

definition *newton-step* :: *padic-int* \Rightarrow *padic-int* **where**
 $\text{newton-step } x = x \ominus (\text{divide } (f.x) (f'.x))$

lemma *newton-step-closed*:
 $\text{newton-step } a \in \text{carrier } \mathbb{Z}_p$
 ⟨proof⟩

fun *newton-seq* :: *padic-int-seq* (*ns*) **where**
 $\text{newton-seq } 0 = a$
 $\text{newton-seq } (\text{Suc } n) = \text{newton-step } (\text{newton-seq } n)$

30.3 Key Properties of the Newton Sequence

lemma *hensel-factor-id*:
 $(\text{divide } (f.a) (f'.a)) \otimes ((f'.a)) = (f.a)$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *hensel-factor* (*t*) **where**
 $\text{hensel-factor} = \text{val-Zp } (f.a) - 2*(\text{val-Zp } (f'.a))$

lemma *t-pos[simp]*:
 $t > 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *t-neq-infty[simp]*:
 $t \neq \infty$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *t-times-pow-pos[simp]*:
 $(2^\sim(n::\text{nat}))*t > 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *newton-seq-props-induct*:
shows $\bigwedge k. k \leq n \implies (\text{ns } k) \in \text{carrier } \mathbb{Z}_p$
 $\wedge \text{val-Zp } (f'.(\text{ns } k)) = \text{val-Zp } ((f'.a))$
 $\wedge \text{val-Zp } (f.(\text{ns } k)) \geq 2*(\text{val-Zp } (f'.a)) + (2^\sim k)*t$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *newton-seq-closed*:

shows $ns\ m \in \text{carrier } Zp$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *f-of-newton-seq-closed*:

shows $f \cdot ns\ m \in \text{carrier } Zp$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *newton-seq-fact1[simp]*:

$\text{val-}Zp\ (f' \cdot (ns\ k)) = \text{val-}Zp\ ((f' \cdot a))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *newton-seq-fact2*:

$\bigwedge k. \text{val-}Zp\ (f \cdot (ns\ k)) \geq 2 * (\text{val-}Zp\ (f' \cdot a)) + (2^k) * t$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *newton-seq-fact3*:

$\text{val-}Zp\ (f \cdot (ns\ l)) \geq \text{val-}Zp\ (f' \cdot (ns\ l))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *newton-seq-fact4[simp]*:

assumes $f \cdot (ns\ l) \neq \mathbf{0}$

shows $\text{val-}Zp\ (f \cdot (ns\ l)) \geq \text{val-}Zp\ (f' \cdot (ns\ l))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *newton-seq-fact5*:

$\text{divide } (f \cdot ns\ l)\ (f' \cdot ns\ l) \in \text{carrier } Zp$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *newton-seq-fact6*:

$(f' \cdot (ns\ l)) \in \text{nonzero } Zp$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *newton-seq-fact7*:

$(ns\ (\text{Suc } n)) \ominus (ns\ n) = \ominus \text{divide } (f \cdot (ns\ n))\ (f' \cdot (ns\ n))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *newton-seq-fact8*:

assumes $f \cdot (ns\ l) \neq \mathbf{0}$

shows $\text{divide } (f \cdot ns\ l)\ (f' \cdot ns\ l) \in \text{nonzero } Zp$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *newton-seq-fact9*:

assumes $f \cdot (ns\ n) \neq \mathbf{0}$

shows $\text{val-}Zp((ns\ (\text{Suc } n)) \ominus (ns\ n)) = \text{val-}Zp\ (f \cdot (ns\ n)) - \text{val-}Zp\ (f' \cdot (ns\ n))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Assuming no element of the Newton sequence is a root of f , the Newton

sequence is Cauchy.

lemma *newton-seq-is-Zp-cauchy-0*:

assumes $\bigwedge k. f \cdot (ns\ k) \neq \mathbf{0}$

shows *is-Zp-cauchy ns*

<proof>

lemma *eventually-zero*:

$f \cdot ns\ (k + m) = \mathbf{0} \implies f \cdot ns\ (k + Suc\ m) = \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

The Newton Sequence is Cauchy:

lemma *newton-seq-is-Zp-cauchy*:

is-Zp-cauchy ns

<proof>

30.4 The Proof of Hensel's Lemma

lemma *pre-hensel*:

$val\text{-}Zp\ (a \ominus (ns\ n)) > val\text{-}Zp\ (f' \cdot a)$

$\exists N. \forall n. n > N \implies (val\text{-}Zp\ (a \ominus (ns\ n)) = val\text{-}Zp\ (divide\ (f \cdot a)\ (f' \cdot a)))$

$val\text{-}Zp\ (f' \cdot (ns\ n)) = val\text{-}Zp\ (f' \cdot a)$

<proof>

lemma *hensel-seq-comp-f*:

$res\text{-}lim\ ((to\text{-}fun\ f) \circ ns) = \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma *full-hensels-lemma*:

obtains α **where**

$f \cdot \alpha = \mathbf{0}$ **and** $\alpha \in carrier\ Zp$

$val\text{-}Zp\ (a \ominus \alpha) > val\text{-}Zp\ (f' \cdot a)$

$(val\text{-}Zp\ (a \ominus \alpha) = val\text{-}Zp\ (divide\ (f \cdot a)\ (f' \cdot a)))$

$val\text{-}Zp\ (f' \cdot \alpha) = val\text{-}Zp\ (f' \cdot a)$

<proof>

end

31 Removing Hensel's Lemma from the Hensel Locale

context *padic-integers*

begin

lemma *hensels-lemma*:

assumes $f \in carrier\ Zp\text{-}x$

assumes $a \in carrier\ Zp$

assumes $(pderiv\ f) \cdot a \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $f \cdot a \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $val\text{-}Zp\ (f \cdot a) > 2 * val\text{-}Zp\ ((pderiv\ f) \cdot a)$
obtains α **where**
 $f \cdot \alpha = \mathbf{0}$ **and** $\alpha \in carrier\ Zp$
 $val\text{-}Zp\ (a \ominus \alpha) > val\text{-}Zp\ ((pderiv\ f) \cdot a)$
 $val\text{-}Zp\ (a \ominus \alpha) = val\text{-}Zp\ (divide\ (f \cdot a)\ ((pderiv\ f) \cdot a))$
 $val\text{-}Zp\ ((pderiv\ f) \cdot \alpha) = val\text{-}Zp\ ((pderiv\ f) \cdot a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Uniqueness of the root found in Hensel's lemma

lemma *hensels-lemma-unique-root*:

assumes $f \in carrier\ Zp\text{-}x$
assumes $a \in carrier\ Zp$
assumes $(pderiv\ f) \cdot a \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $f \cdot a \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $(val\text{-}Zp\ (f \cdot a) > 2 * val\text{-}Zp\ ((pderiv\ f) \cdot a))$
assumes $f \cdot \alpha = \mathbf{0}$
assumes $\alpha \in carrier\ Zp$
assumes $val\text{-}Zp\ (a \ominus \alpha) > val\text{-}Zp\ ((pderiv\ f) \cdot a)$
assumes $f \cdot \beta = \mathbf{0}$
assumes $\beta \in carrier\ Zp$
assumes $val\text{-}Zp\ (a \ominus \beta) > val\text{-}Zp\ ((pderiv\ f) \cdot a)$
assumes $val\text{-}Zp\ ((pderiv\ f) \cdot \alpha) = val\text{-}Zp\ ((pderiv\ f) \cdot a)$
shows $\alpha = \beta$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *hensels-lemma'*:

assumes $f \in carrier\ Zp\text{-}x$
assumes $a \in carrier\ Zp$
assumes $val\text{-}Zp\ (f \cdot a) > 2 * val\text{-}Zp\ ((pderiv\ f) \cdot a)$
shows $\exists! \alpha \in carrier\ Zp. f \cdot \alpha = \mathbf{0} \wedge val\text{-}Zp\ (a \ominus \alpha) > val\text{-}Zp\ ((pderiv\ f) \cdot a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

32 Some Applications of Hensel's Lemma to Root Finding for Polynomials over \mathbb{Z}_p

lemma *Zp-square-root-criterion*:

assumes $p \neq 2$
assumes $a \in carrier\ Zp$
assumes $b \in carrier\ Zp$
assumes $val\text{-}Zp\ b \geq val\text{-}Zp\ a$
assumes $a \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $b \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\exists y \in carrier\ Zp. a[\uparrow](2::nat) \oplus p \otimes b[\uparrow](2::nat) = (y [\uparrow]_{Zp}\ (2::nat))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Zp-semialg-eq*:

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Zp$
shows $\exists y \in \text{carrier } Zp. \mathbf{1} \oplus (p \uparrow (3::nat)) \otimes (a \uparrow (4::nat)) = (y \uparrow (2::nat))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Zp-nth-root-lemma*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Zp$
assumes $a \neq \mathbf{1}$
assumes $n > 1$
assumes $\text{val-}Zp (\mathbf{1} \ominus a) > 2 * \text{val-}Zp ((n::nat). \mathbf{1})$
shows $\exists b \in \text{carrier } Zp. b \uparrow n = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end
end
theory *Zp-Compact*
imports *Padic-Int-Topology*
begin

context *padic-integers*
begin

lemma *res-ring-car*:
 $\text{carrier } (Zp\text{-res-ring } k) = \{0..p \wedge k - 1\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The refinement of a sequence by a function $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$

definition *take-subseq* :: $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}) \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where**
 $\text{take-subseq } s \ f = (\lambda k. s \ (f \ k))$

Predicate for increasing function on the natural numbers

definition *is-increasing* :: $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{is-increasing } f = (\forall \ n \ m::\text{nat}. n > m \longrightarrow (f \ n) > (f \ m))$

Elimination and introduction lemma for increasing functions

lemma *is-increasingI*:
assumes $\bigwedge \ n \ m::\text{nat}. n > m \Longrightarrow (f \ n) > (f \ m)$
shows $\text{is-increasing } f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-increasingE*:
assumes $\text{is-increasing } f$
assumes $n > m$
shows $f \ n > f \ m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The subsequence predicate

definition *is-subseq-of* :: $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{is-subseq-of } s \ s' = (\exists (f::\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}). \text{is-increasing } f \wedge s' = \text{take-subseq } s \ f)$

Subsequence introduction lemma

lemma *is-subseqI*:
assumes *is-increasing* *f*
assumes $s' = \text{take-subseq } s \ f$
shows *is-subseq-of* $s \ s'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-subseq-ind*:
assumes *is-subseq-of* $s \ s'$
shows $\exists l. s' \ k = s \ l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-subseq-closed*:
assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$
assumes *is-subseq-of* $s \ s'$
shows $s' \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Given a sequence and a predicate, returns the function from nat to nat which represents the increasing sequences of indices n on which $P (s \ n)$ holds.

primrec *seq-filter* :: $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
seq-filter $s \ P \ (0::\text{nat}) = (\text{LEAST } k::\text{nat}. P (s \ k))|$
seq-filter $s \ P \ (\text{Suc } n) = (\text{LEAST } k::\text{nat}. (P (s \ k)) \wedge k > (\text{seq-filter } s \ P \ n))$

lemma *seq-filter-pre-increasing*:
assumes $\forall n::\text{nat}. \exists m. m > n \wedge P (s \ m)$
shows *seq-filter* $s \ P \ n < \text{seq-filter } s \ P \ (\text{Suc } n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *seq-filter-increasing*:
assumes $\forall n::\text{nat}. \exists m. m > n \wedge P (s \ m)$
shows *is-increasing* $(\text{seq-filter } s \ P)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *filtered-seq* :: $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where**
filtered-seq $s \ P = \text{take-subseq } s \ (\text{seq-filter } s \ P)$

lemma *filter-exist*:
assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$
assumes $\forall n::\text{nat}. \exists m. m > n \wedge P (s \ m)$
shows $\bigwedge m. n \leq m \Longrightarrow P (s \ (\text{seq-filter } s \ P \ n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

In a filtered sequence, every element satisfies the filtering predicate

lemma *fil-seq-pred*:
assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$
assumes $s' = \text{filtered-seq } s \ P$
assumes $\forall n::\text{nat}. \exists m. m > n \wedge P (s \ m)$

shows $\bigwedge m::nat. P (s' m)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *kth-res-equals* :: $nat \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow (padic-int \Rightarrow bool)$ **where**
kth-res-equals $k n a = (a k = n)$

definition *indicator*:: $(nat \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow bool)$ **where**
indicator $s a = (\exists n::nat. s n = a)$

Choice function for a subsequence with constant kth residue. Could be made constructive by choosing the LEAST n if we wanted.

definition *const-res-subseq* :: $nat \Rightarrow padic-int-seq \Rightarrow padic-int-seq$ **where**
const-res-subseq $k s = (SOME s'::(padic-int-seq). (\exists n. is-subseq-of s s' \wedge s' = (filtered-seq s (kth-res-equals k n)) \wedge (\forall m. s' m k = n)))$

The constant kth residue value for the sequence obtained by the previous function

definition *const-res* :: $nat \Rightarrow padic-int-seq \Rightarrow int$ **where**
const-res $k s = (THE n. (\forall m. (const-res-subseq k s) m k = n))$

definition *maps-to-n*:: $int \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow int) \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
maps-to-n $n f = (\forall (k::nat). f k \in \{0..n\})$

definition *drop-res* :: $int \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow int) \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow int)$ **where**
drop-res $k f n = (if (f n) = k then 0 else f n)$

lemma *maps-to-nE*:
assumes *maps-to-n* $n f$
shows $(f k) \in \{0..n\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *maps-to-nI*:
assumes $\bigwedge n. f n \in \{0 .. k\}$
shows *maps-to-n* $k f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *maps-to-n-drop-res*:
assumes *maps-to-n* $(Suc n) f$
shows *maps-to-n* $n (drop-res (Suc n) f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *drop-res-eg-f*:
assumes *maps-to-n* $(Suc n) f$
assumes $\neg (\forall m. \exists n. n > m \wedge (f n = (Suc k)))$
shows $\exists N. \forall n. n > N \longrightarrow f n = drop-res (Suc k) f n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *maps-to-n-infinite-seq*:

shows $\bigwedge f. \text{maps-to-n } (k::\text{nat}) f \implies \exists l::\text{int}. \forall m. \exists n. n > m \wedge (f n = l)$
<proof>

lemma *int-nat-p-pow-minus*:

$\text{int } (\text{nat } (p \wedge k - 1)) = p \wedge k - 1$
<proof>

lemma *maps-to-n-infinite-seq-res-ring*:

$\bigwedge f. f \in (\text{UNIV}::\text{nat set}) \rightarrow \text{carrier } (\text{Zp-res-ring } k) \implies \exists l. \forall m. \exists n. n > m \wedge (f n = l)$
<proof>

definition *index-to-residue* :: *padic-int-seq* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *int* **where**
index-to-residue $s k m = ((s m) k)$

lemma *seq-maps-to-n*:

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } \text{Zp}$
shows $(\text{index-to-residue } s k) \in \text{UNIV} \rightarrow \text{carrier } (\text{Zp-res-ring } k)$
<proof>

lemma *seq-pr-inc*:

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } \text{Zp}$
shows $\exists l. \forall m. \exists n > m. (\text{kth-res-equals } k l) (s n)$
<proof>

lemma *kth-res-equals-subseq*:

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } \text{Zp}$
shows $\exists n. \text{is-subseq-of } s (\text{filtered-seq } s (\text{kth-res-equals } k n)) \wedge (\forall m. (\text{filtered-seq } s (\text{kth-res-equals } k m)) m k = n)$
<proof>

lemma *const-res-subseq-prop-0*:

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } \text{Zp}$
shows $\exists l. (((\text{const-res-subseq } k s) = \text{filtered-seq } s (\text{kth-res-equals } k l)) \wedge (\text{is-subseq-of } s (\text{const-res-subseq } k s)) \wedge (\forall m. (\text{const-res-subseq } k s) m k = l))$
<proof>

lemma *const-res-subseq-prop-1*:

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } \text{Zp}$
shows $(\forall m. (\text{const-res-subseq } k s) m k = (\text{const-res } k s))$
<proof>

lemma *const-res-subseq*:

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } \text{Zp}$
shows $\text{is-subseq-of } s (\text{const-res-subseq } k s)$
<proof>

lemma *const-res-range*:

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$
assumes $k > 0$
shows $\text{const-res } k \ s \in \text{carrier } (Zp\text{-res-ring } k)$
 <proof>

fun $\text{res-seq} :: \text{padic-int-seq} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{padic-int-seq}$ **where**
 $\text{res-seq } s \ 0 = s|$
 $\text{res-seq } s \ (\text{Suc } k) = \text{const-res-subseq } (\text{Suc } k) \ (\text{res-seq } s \ k)$

lemma res-seq-res :
assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$
shows $(\text{res-seq } s \ k) \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$
 <proof>

lemma $\text{res-seq-res}'$:
assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$
shows $\bigwedge n. \text{res-seq } s \ (\text{Suc } k) \ n \ (\text{Suc } k) = \text{const-res } (\text{Suc } k) \ (\text{res-seq } s \ k)$
 <proof>

lemma res-seq-subseq :
assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$
shows $\text{is-subseq-of } (\text{res-seq } s \ k) \ (\text{res-seq } s \ (\text{Suc } k))$
 <proof>

lemma is-increasing-id :
 $\text{is-increasing } (\lambda n. n)$
 <proof>

lemma $\text{is-increasing-comp}$:
assumes $\text{is-increasing } f$
assumes $\text{is-increasing } g$
shows $\text{is-increasing } (f \circ g)$
 <proof>

lemma $\text{is-increasing-imp-geq-id[simp]}$:
assumes $\text{is-increasing } f$
shows $f \ n \geq n$
 <proof>

lemma is-subseq-ofE :
assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$
assumes $\text{is-subseq-of } s \ s'$
shows $\exists k. k \geq n \wedge s' \ n = s \ k$
 <proof>

lemma is-subseq-of-id :
assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$
shows $\text{is-subseq-of } s \ s$

<proof>

lemma *is-subseq-of-trans*:

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$

assumes *is-subseq-of* $s s'$

assumes *is-subseq-of* $s' s''$

shows *is-subseq-of* $s s''$

<proof>

lemma *res-seq-subseq'*:

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$

shows *is-subseq-of* $s (\text{res-seq } s k)$

<proof>

lemma *res-seq-subseq''*:

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$

shows *is-subseq-of* $(\text{res-seq } s n) (\text{res-seq } s (n + k))$

<proof>

definition *acc-point* :: *padic-int-seq* \Rightarrow *padic-int* **where**

acc-point $s k = (\text{if } (k = 0) \text{ then } (0::\text{int}) \text{ else } ((\text{res-seq } s k) 0 k))$

lemma *res-seq-res-1*:

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$

shows $\text{res-seq } s (\text{Suc } k) 0 k = \text{res-seq } s k 0 k$

<proof>

lemma *acc-point-cres*:

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$

shows $(\text{acc-point } s (\text{Suc } k)) = (\text{const-res } (\text{Suc } k) (\text{res-seq } s k))$

<proof>

lemma *acc-point-res*:

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$

shows $\text{residue } (p \wedge k) (\text{acc-point } s (\text{Suc } k)) = \text{acc-point } s k$

<proof>

lemma *acc-point-closed*:

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$

shows $\text{acc-point } s \in \text{carrier } Zp$

<proof>

Choice function for a subsequence of s which converges to a , if it exists

fun *convergent-subseq-fun* :: *padic-int-seq* \Rightarrow *padic-int* \Rightarrow (*nat* \Rightarrow *nat*) **where**

convergent-subseq-fun $s a 0 = 0$

convergent-subseq-fun $s a (\text{Suc } n) = (\text{SOME } k. k > (\text{convergent-subseq-fun } s a n) \wedge (s k (\text{Suc } n)) = a (\text{Suc } n))$

definition *convergent-subseq* :: *padic-int-seq* \Rightarrow *padic-int-seq* **where**
convergent-subseq $s = \text{take-subseq } s (\text{convergent-subseq-fun } s (\text{acc-point } s))$

lemma *increasing-conv-induction-0-pre*:

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$

assumes $a = \text{acc-point } s$

shows $\exists k > \text{convergent-subseq-fun } s a n. (s k (\text{Suc } n)) = a (\text{Suc } n)$

<proof>

lemma *increasing-conv-subseq-fun-0*:

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$

assumes $\exists s'. s' = \text{convergent-subseq } s$

assumes $a = \text{acc-point } s$

shows $\text{convergent-subseq-fun } s a (\text{Suc } n) > \text{convergent-subseq-fun } s a n$

<proof>

lemma *increasing-conv-subseq-fun*:

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$

assumes $a = \text{acc-point } s$

assumes $\exists s'. s' = \text{convergent-subseq } s$

shows *is-increasing* ($\text{convergent-subseq-fun } s a$)

<proof>

lemma *convergent-subseq-is-subseq*:

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$

shows *is-subseq-of* $s (\text{convergent-subseq } s)$

<proof>

lemma *is-closed-seq-conv-subseq*:

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$

shows $(\text{convergent-subseq } s) \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$

<proof>

lemma *convergent-subseq-res*:

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$

assumes $a = \text{acc-point } s$

shows $\text{convergent-subseq } s l l = \text{residue } (p \wedge l) (\text{acc-point } s l)$

<proof>

lemma *convergent-subseq-res'*:

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$

assumes $n > l$

shows $\text{convergent-subseq } s n l = \text{convergent-subseq } s l l$

<proof>

lemma *convergent-subsequence-is-convergent*:

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$

assumes $a = \text{acc-point } s$

shows *Zp-converges-to* ($\text{convergent-subseq } s$) ($\text{acc-point } s$)

<proof>

theorem *Zp-is-compact:*

assumes $s \in \text{closed-seqs } Zp$

shows $\exists s'. \text{is-subseq-of } s \ s' \wedge (\text{Zp-converges-to } s' \ (\text{acc-point } s))$

<proof>

end

end

References

- [1] K. Conrad. Hensel's lemma.
- [2] J. Denef. p-adic semi-algebraic sets and cell decomposition. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 369:154–166, 1986.
- [3] D. Dummit. *Abstract algebra*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc, Hoboken, NJ, 2004.
- [4] A. Engler. *Valued fields*. Springer, Berlin New York, 2005.
- [5] J. Pas. On the angular component map modulo p. *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 55(3):1125–1129, 1990.