

p -adic Fields

Aaron Crighton

March 17, 2025

Contents

1	The Field of Fractions of a Ring	6
1.1	The Monoid of Nonzero Elements in a Domain	6
1.2	Numerator and Denominator Choice Functions	7
1.3	Defining the Field of Fractions	9
1.3.1	Numerator and Denominator Choice Functions for <code>domain_frac</code>	10
1.3.2	The inclusion of R into its fraction field	10
1.3.3	Basic Properties of <code>numer</code> , <code>denom</code> , and <code>frac</code>	11
1.4	The Fraction Field as a Field	13
1.5	Facts About Ring Units	16
1.6	Facts About Fraction Field Units	17
2	Multivariable Polynomials Over a Commutative Ring	19
2.1	Lemmas about multisets	19
2.2	Turning monomials into polynomials	21
2.3	Degree Functions	22
2.3.1	Total Degree Function	22
2.3.2	Degree in One Variable	23
2.4	Constructing the Multiplication Operation on the Ring of Indexed Polynomials	25
2.4.1	The Set of Factors of a Fixed Monomial	25
2.4.2	Finiteness of the Factor Set of a Monomial	26
2.4.3	Definition of Indexed Polynomial Multiplication.	27
2.4.4	Distributivity Laws for Polynomial Multiplication	27
2.4.5	Multiplication Commutes with <code>indexed_pmult</code>	28
2.4.6	Associativity of Polynomial Multiplication.	28
2.4.7	Commutativity of Polynomial Multiplication	31
2.4.8	Closure properties for multiplication	31
2.5	Multivariable Polynomial Induction	33
2.6	Subtraction of Polynomials	35
2.7	The Carrier of the Ring of Indexed Polynomials	35
2.8	Scalar Multiplication	36

2.9	Defining the Ring of Indexed Polynomials	37
2.10	Defining the R-Algebra of Indexed Polynomials	41
2.11	Evaluation of Polynomials and Subring Structure	42
2.11.1	Nesting of Polynomial Rings According to Nesting of Index Sets	43
2.11.2	Inclusion Maps	44
2.11.3	Restricting a Multiset to a Subset of Variables	44
2.11.4	Total evaluation of a monomial	46
2.11.5	Partial Evaluation of a Polynomial	47
2.11.6	Partial Evaluation is a Homomorphism	54
2.11.7	Total Evaluation of a Polynomial	54
2.12	Constructing Homomorphisms from Indexed Polynomial Rings and a Universal Property	57
2.12.1	Mapping $R[x] \rightarrow S[x]$ along a homomorphism $R \rightarrow S$.	57
2.12.2	A Universal Property for Indexed Polynomial Rings .	59
2.13	Mapping Multivariate Polynomials over a Single Variable to Univariate Polynomials	60
2.14	Mapping Univariate Polynomials to Multivariate Polynomials over a Singleton Variable Set	63
2.14.1	The isomorphism $R[I \cup J] \sim R[I][J]$, where I and J are disjoint variable sets	64
2.14.2	Viewing a Multivariable Polynomial as a Univariate Polynomial over a Multivariate Polynomial Base Ring	67
2.14.3	Application: A Polynomial Ring over a Domain is a Domain	68
2.14.4	Relabelling of Variables for Indexed Polynomial Rings	69
3	Basic Lemmas for Manipulating Indices and Lists	70
4	Cartesian Powers of a Ring	76
4.1	Constructing the Cartesian Power of a Ring	76
4.2	Mapping the Carrier of a Ring to its 1-Dimensional Cartesian Power.	79
4.3	Simple Cartesian Products	80
4.4	Cartesian Products at Arbitrary Indices	83
4.5	Function Rings on Cartesian Powers	90
4.6	Coordinate Functions	92
4.7	Graphs of functions	93
5	Coordinate Rings on Cartesian Powers	94
5.1	Basic Facts and Definitions	94
5.2	Total Evaluation of a Polynomial	96
5.3	Partial Evaluation of a Polynomial	98
5.4	An induction rule for coordinate rings	99

5.5	Algebraic Sets in Cartesian Powers	100
5.5.1	The Zero Set of a Single Polynomial	100
5.5.2	The Zero Set of a Collection of Polynomials	100
5.5.3	Finite Unions and Intersections of Algebraic Sets are Algebraic	102
5.5.4	Finite Sets Are Algebraic	105
5.6	Polynomial Maps	106
5.7	The Action of Index Permutations on Polynomials	106
5.8	Inverse Images of Sets by Tuples of Polynomials	108
5.9	Composing Polynomial Tuples With Polynomials	111
5.10	Extensional Polynomial Maps	113
6	Nesting of Polynomial Rings	114
6.1	Diagonal sets in even powers of R	117
6.2	Tuples of Functions	118
6.3	Generic Univariate Polynomials	122
6.4	Factoring a Polynomial as a Univariate Polynomial over a Multivariable Polynomial Ring	126
7	Restricted Inverse Images and Complements	133
7.1	Inverse image of a function	133
8	Constructing the p-adic Valued Field	135
8.1	A Locale for p -adic Fields	135
8.2	The Valuation Ring in \mathbb{Q}_p	136
8.3	The Valuation on \mathbb{Q}_p	145
8.3.1	Extending the Valuation from \mathbb{Z}_p to \mathbb{Q}_p	145
8.3.2	Properties of the Valuation	145
8.3.3	The Ultrametric Inequality on \mathbb{Q}_p	152
8.4	Constructing the Angular Component Maps on \mathbb{Q}_p	156
8.4.1	Unreduced Angular Component Map	156
8.4.2	Reduced Angular Component Maps	160
8.5	An Inverse for the inclusion map ι	164
9	p-adic Univariate Polynomials and Hensel's Lemma	167
9.1	Gauss Norms of Polynomials	167
9.2	Mapping Polynomials with Value Ring Coefficients to Polynomials over \mathbb{Z}_p	170
9.3	Hensel's Lemma for p -adic fields	175
10	Topology of p-adic Fields	176
10.1	p -adic Balls	176
10.2	p -adic Open Sets	180
10.3	Convex Subsets of the Value Group	182

11	Generated Boolean Algebras of Sets	185
11.1	Definitions and Basic Lemmas	185
12	Basic notions about boolean algebras over a set S, generated by a set of generators B	186
12.1	Turning a Family of Sets into a Family of Disjoint Sets	188
12.2	The Atoms Generated by Collections of Sets	190
12.2.1	Defining the Atoms of a Family of Sets	190
12.2.2	Atoms Induced by Types of Points	192
12.2.3	Atoms of Generated Boolean Algebras	193
12.3	Partitions of a Set	194
12.4	Intersections of Families of Sets	195
13	Cartesian Powers of p-adic Fields	197
13.1	Polynomials over \mathbb{Q}_p and Polynomial Maps	198
13.2	Evaluation of Polynomials in \mathbb{Q}_p	199
13.3	Mapping Univariate Polynomials to Multivariable Polynomials in One Variable	201
13.4	n^{th} -Power Sets over \mathbb{Q}_p	203
13.5	Semialgebraic Sets	204
13.5.1	Defining Semialgebraic Sets	204
13.5.2	Algebraic Sets over p -adic Fields	207
13.5.3	Basic Lemmas about the Semialgebraic Predicate	207
13.5.4	One-Dimensional Semialgebraic Sets	209
13.5.5	Defining the p -adic Valuation Semialgebraically	210
13.5.6	Inverse Images of Semialgebraic Sets by Polynomial Maps	212
13.5.7	One Dimensional p -adic Balls are Semialgebraic	216
13.5.8	Finite Unions and Intersections of Semialgebraic Sets	216
13.6	Cartesian Products of Semialgebraic Sets	218
13.7	N^{th} Power Residues	220
13.8	Semialgebraic Sets Defined by Congruences	227
13.8.1	p -adic ord Congruence Sets	227
13.8.2	Congruence Sets for the order of the Evaluation of a Polynomial	228
13.8.3	Congruence Sets for Angular Components	229
13.9	Permutations of indices of semialgebraic sets	231
13.10	Semialgebraic Functions	233
13.10.1	Defining Semialgebraic Functions	234
13.11	More on graphs of functions	241
13.11.1	Tuples of Semialgebraic Functions	245
13.11.2	Semialgebraic Functions are Closed under Composition with Semialgebraic Tuples	253
13.11.3	Algebraic Operations on Semialgebraic Functions	253

13.12	Sets Defined by Residues of Valuation Ring Elements	254
14	Rings of Semialgebraic Functions	261
14.1	Some eint Arithmetic	261
14.2	Lemmas on Function Ring Operations	262
14.3	Defining the Rings of Semialgebraic Functions	265
14.4	Defining Semialgebraic Maps	274
14.5	Examples of Semialgebraic Maps	277
14.6	Application of Functions to Segments of Tuples	279
14.7	Level Sets of Semialgebraic Functions	281
14.8	Partitioning Semialgebraic Sets According to Valuations of Functions	285
14.9	Valuative Congruence Sets for Semialgebraic Functions	287
14.10	Gluing Functions Along Semialgebraic Sets	288
	14.10.1 Defining Piecewise Semialgebraic Functions	288
	14.10.2 Turning Functions into Units Via Gluing	291
14.11	Inclusions of Lower Dimensional Function Rings	293
14.12	Miscellaneous	294
14.13	Semialgebraic Polynomials	296
	14.13.1 Common Morphisms on Polynomial Rings	305
	14.13.2 Gluing Semialgebraic Polynomials	308
	14.13.3 Polynomials over the Valuation Ring	309
14.14	Partitioning Semialgebraic Sets By Zero Sets of Function	311

Abstract

We formalize the fields \mathbb{Q}_p of p -adic numbers within the framework of the HOL-Algebra library. The p -adic field is defined simply as the fraction field of the ring of p -adic integers. The valuation, and basic topological properties of \mathbb{Q}_p are developed, including deducing Hensel's Lemma for \mathbb{Q}_p from the same theorem for \mathbb{Z}_p . The theory of semialgebraic subsets of \mathbb{Q}_p^n and semialgebraic functions is also developed, as outlined in [1]. In order to formulate these results, general theory about multivariable polynomial rings and cartesian powers of a ring must also be developed. This work is done with a view to formalizing the proof in [1] of Macintyre's quantifier elimination theorem for semialgebraic subsets of \mathbb{Q}_p^n .

```

theory Fraction-Field
  imports HOL-Algebra.UnivPoly
           Localization-Ring.Localization
           HOL-Algebra.Subrings
           Padic-Ints.Supplementary-Ring-Facts
begin

```

1 The Field of Fractions of a Ring

This theory defines the fraction field of a domain and establishes its basic properties. The fraction field is defined in the standard way as the localization of a domain at its nonzero elements. This is done by importing the AFP session `Localization_Ring`. Choice functions for numerator and denominators of fractions are introduced, and the inclusion of a domain into its ring of fractions is defined.

1.1 The Monoid of Nonzero Elements in a Domain

locale *domain-frac* = *domain*

lemma *zero-not-in-nonzero*: $\mathbf{0}_R \notin \text{nonzero } R$
<proof>

lemma(*in domain*) *nonzero-is-submonoid*: *submonoid* R (*nonzero* R)
<proof>

lemma(*in domain*) *nonzero-closed*:
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } R$
shows $a \in \text{carrier } R$
<proof>

lemma(*in domain*) *nonzero-mult-closed*:
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } R$
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } R$
shows $a \otimes b \in \text{carrier } R$
<proof>

lemma(*in domain*) *nonzero-one-closed*:
 $\mathbf{1} \in \text{nonzero } R$
<proof>

lemma(*in domain*) *nonzero-memI*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $a \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $a \in \text{nonzero } R$
<proof>

lemma(*in domain*) *nonzero-memE*:
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } R$
shows $a \in \text{carrier } R$ $a \neq \mathbf{0}$
<proof>

lemma(*in domain*) *not-nonzero-memE*:
assumes $a \notin \text{nonzero } R$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $a = \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(**in** *domain*) *not-nonzero-memI*:
assumes $a = \mathbf{0}$
shows $a \notin \text{nonzero } R$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(**in** *domain*) *one-nonzero*:
 $\mathbf{1} \in \text{nonzero } R$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(**in** *domain-frac*) *eq-obj-rng-of-frac-nonzero*:
eq-obj-rng-of-frac R (*nonzero* R)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

1.2 Numerator and Denominator Choice Functions

definition(**in** *eq-obj-rng-of-frac*) *denom* **where**
denom $a = (\text{if } (a = \mathbf{0}_{\text{rec-rng-of-frac}}) \text{ then } \mathbf{1} \text{ else } (\text{snd } (\text{SOME } x. x \in a)))$

The choice function for numerators must be compatible with *denom*:

definition(**in** *eq-obj-rng-of-frac*) *numer* **where**
numer $a = (\text{if } (a = \mathbf{0}_{\text{rec-rng-of-frac}}) \text{ then } \mathbf{0} \text{ else } (\text{fst } (\text{SOME } x. x \in a \wedge (\text{snd } x = \text{denom } a))))$

Basic properties of *numer* and *denom*:

lemma(**in** *eq-obj-rng-of-frac*) *numer-denom-facts0*:
assumes *domain* R
assumes $\mathbf{0} \notin S$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } \text{rec-rng-of-frac}$
assumes $a \neq \mathbf{0}_{\text{rec-rng-of-frac}}$
shows $a = ((\text{numer } a) \mid_{\text{rel}} (\text{denom } a))$
 $(\text{numer } a) \in \text{carrier } R$
 $(\text{denom } a) \in S$
 $\text{numer } a = \mathbf{0} \implies a = \mathbf{0}_{\text{rec-rng-of-frac}}$
 $a \otimes_{\text{rec-rng-of-frac}} (\text{rng-to-rng-of-frac}(\text{denom } a)) = \text{rng-to-rng-of-frac } (\text{numer } a)$
 $(\text{rng-to-rng-of-frac}(\text{denom } a)) \otimes_{\text{rec-rng-of-frac}} a = \text{rng-to-rng-of-frac } (\text{numer } a)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(**in** *eq-obj-rng-of-frac*) *frac-zero*:
assumes *domain* R
assumes $\mathbf{0} \notin S$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in S$
assumes $(a \mid_{\text{rel}} b) = \mathbf{0}_{\text{rec-rng-of-frac}}$
shows $a = \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

When S does not contain 0, and R is a domain, the localization is a domain.

lemma(in *eq-obj-rng-of-frac*) *rec-rng-of-frac-is-domain*:

assumes *domain R*

assumes $0 \notin S$

shows *domain rec-rng-of-frac*

<proof>

lemma(in *eq-obj-rng-of-frac*) *numer-denom-facts*:

assumes *domain R*

assumes $0 \notin S$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } \text{rec-rng-of-frac}$

shows $a = (\text{numer } a \mid_{\text{rel}} \text{denom } a)$

$(\text{numer } a) \in \text{carrier } R$

$(\text{denom } a) \in S$

$a \neq \mathbf{0}_{\text{rec-rng-of-frac}} \implies (\text{numer } a) \neq \mathbf{0}$

$a \otimes_{\text{rec-rng-of-frac}} (\text{rng-to-rng-of-frac}(\text{denom } a)) = \text{rng-to-rng-of-frac}(\text{numer } a)$

$(\text{rng-to-rng-of-frac}(\text{denom } a)) \otimes_{\text{rec-rng-of-frac}} a = \text{rng-to-rng-of-frac}(\text{numer } a)$

<proof>

lemma(in *eq-obj-rng-of-frac*) *numer-denom-closed*:

assumes *domain R*

assumes $0 \notin S$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } \text{rec-rng-of-frac}$

shows $(\text{numer } a, \text{denom } a) \in \text{carrier rel}$

<proof>

Fraction function which suppresses the "rel" argument.

definition(in *eq-obj-rng-of-frac*) *fraction where*

fraction $x \ y = (x \mid_{\text{rel}} y)$

lemma(in *eq-obj-rng-of-frac*) *a-is-fraction*:

assumes *domain R*

assumes $0 \notin S$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } \text{rec-rng-of-frac}$

shows $a = \text{fraction}(\text{numer } a)(\text{denom } a)$

<proof>

lemma(in *eq-obj-rng-of-frac*) *add-fraction*:

assumes *domain R*

assumes $0 \notin S$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $b \in S$

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $d \in S$

shows $(\text{fraction } a \ b) \oplus_{\text{rec-rng-of-frac}} (\text{fraction } c \ d) = (\text{fraction } ((a \otimes d) \oplus (b \otimes c)) (b \otimes d))$
 <proof>

lemma(in *eq-obj-rng-of-frac*) *mult-fraction*:

assumes *domain R*

assumes $0 \notin S$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $b \in S$

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $d \in S$

shows $(\text{fraction } a \ b) \otimes_{\text{rec-rng-of-frac}} (\text{fraction } c \ d) = (\text{fraction } (a \otimes c) (b \otimes d))$

<proof>

lemma(in *eq-obj-rng-of-frac*) *fraction-zero*:

$0_{\text{rec-rng-of-frac}} = \text{fraction } 0 \ 1$

<proof>

lemma(in *eq-obj-rng-of-frac*) *fraction-zero'*:

assumes $a \in S$

assumes $0 \notin S$

shows $0_{\text{rec-rng-of-frac}} = \text{fraction } 0 \ a$

<proof>

lemma(in *eq-obj-rng-of-frac*) *fraction-one*:

$1_{\text{rec-rng-of-frac}} = \text{fraction } 1 \ 1$

<proof>

lemma(in *eq-obj-rng-of-frac*) *fraction-one'*:

assumes *domain R*

assumes $0 \notin S$

assumes $a \in S$

shows $\text{fraction } a \ a = 1_{\text{rec-rng-of-frac}}$

<proof>

lemma(in *eq-obj-rng-of-frac*) *fraction-closed*:

assumes *domain R*

assumes $0 \notin S$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $b \in S$

shows $\text{fraction } a \ b \in \text{carrier rec-rng-of-frac}$

<proof>

1.3 Defining the Field of Fractions

definition *Frac* **where**

$\text{Frac } R = \text{eq-obj-rng-of-frac.rec-rng-of-frac } R$ (*nonzero R*)

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *fraction-field-is-domain*:

domain (*Frac R*)
<proof>

1.3.1 Numerator and Denominator Choice Functions for `domain_frac`

definition *numerator* **where**

numerator R = eq-obj-rng-of-frac.numer R (nonzero R)

abbreviation(**in** *domain-frac*)(*input*) *numer* **where**

numer \equiv *numerator R*

definition *denominator* **where**

denominator R = eq-obj-rng-of-frac.denom R (nonzero R)

abbreviation(**in** *domain-frac*)(*input*) *denom* **where**

denom \equiv *denominator R*

definition *fraction* **where**

fraction R = eq-obj-rng-of-frac.fraction R (nonzero R)

abbreviation(**in** *domain-frac*)(*input*) *frac* **where**

frac \equiv *fraction R*

1.3.2 The inclusion of \mathbf{R} into its fraction field

definition *Frac-inc* **where**

Frac-inc R = eq-obj-rng-of-frac.rng-to-rng-of-frac R (nonzero R)

abbreviation(**in** *domain-frac*)(*input*) *inc* (ι) **where**

inc \equiv *Frac-inc R*

lemma(**in** *domain-frac*) *inc-equation*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\iota a = \text{frac } a \mathbf{1}$

<proof>

lemma(**in** *domain-frac*) *inc-is-hom*:

$\text{inc} \in \text{ring-hom } R (\text{Frac } R)$

<proof>

lemma(**in** *domain-frac*) *inc-is-hom1*:

$\text{ring-hom-ring } R (\text{Frac } R) \text{ inc}$

<proof>

Inclusion map is injective:

lemma(**in** *domain-frac*) *inc-inj0*:

$a\text{-kernel } R (\text{Frac } R) \text{ inc} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$

<proof>

lemma(**in** *domain-frac*) *inc-inj1*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $\text{inc } a = \mathbf{0}_{\text{Frac } R}$
shows $a = \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *domain-frac*) *inc-inj2*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $\text{inc } a = \text{inc } b$
shows $a = b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Image of inclusion map is a subring:

lemma(**in** *domain-frac*) *inc-im-is-subring*:
subring ($\iota \text{ ' (carrier } R)$) (*Frac* R)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.3.3 Basic Properties of numer, denom, and frac

lemma(**in** *domain-frac*) *numer-denom-facts*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (\text{Frac } R)$
shows $a = \text{frac } (\text{numer } a) (\text{denom } a)$
 $(\text{numer } a) \in \text{carrier } R$
 $(\text{denom } a) \in \text{nonzero } R$
 $a \neq \mathbf{0}_{\text{Frac } R} \implies \text{numer } a \neq \mathbf{0}$
 $a \otimes_{\text{Frac } R} (\text{inc } (\text{denom } a)) = \text{inc } (\text{numer } a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *domain-frac*) *frac-add*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } R$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $d \in \text{nonzero } R$
shows $(\text{frac } a b) \oplus_{\text{Frac } R} (\text{frac } c d) = (\text{frac } ((a \otimes d) \oplus (b \otimes c)) (b \otimes d))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *domain-frac*) *frac-mult*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } R$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $d \in \text{nonzero } R$
shows $(\text{frac } a b) \otimes_{\text{Frac } R} (\text{frac } c d) = (\text{frac } (a \otimes c) (b \otimes d))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *domain-frac*) *frac-one*:
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } R$
shows $\text{frac } a a = \mathbf{1}_{\text{Frac } R}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *frac-closed*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } R$
shows $\text{frac } a \ b \in \text{carrier } (\text{Frac } R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *nonzero-fraction*:
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } R$
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } R$
shows $\text{frac } a \ b \neq \mathbf{0}_{\text{Frac } R}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *comm-monoid*) *UnitsI*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } G$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } G$
assumes $a \otimes b = \mathbf{1}$
shows $a \in \text{Units } G \ b \in \text{Units } G$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *comm-monoid*) *is-invI*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } G$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } G$
assumes $a \otimes b = \mathbf{1}$
shows $\text{inv}_G \ b = a \ \text{inv}_G \ a = b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *ring*) *ring-in-Units-imp-not-zero*:
assumes $\mathbf{1} \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $a \in \text{Units } R$
shows $a \neq \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *in-Units-imp-not-zero*:
assumes $a \in \text{Units } R$
shows $a \neq \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *units-of-fraction-field0*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (\text{Frac } R)$
assumes $a \neq \mathbf{0}_{\text{Frac } R}$
shows $\text{inv}_{\text{Frac } R} \ a = \text{frac } (\text{denom } a) \ (\text{numer } a)$
 $a \otimes_{\text{Frac } R} (\text{inv}_{\text{Frac } R} \ a) = \mathbf{1}_{\text{Frac } R}$
 $(\text{inv}_{\text{Frac } R} \ a) \otimes_{\text{Frac } R} a = \mathbf{1}_{\text{Frac } R}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *units-of-fraction-field*:
 $\text{Units } (\text{Frac } R) = \text{carrier } (\text{Frac } R) - \{\mathbf{0}_{\text{Frac } R}\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.4 The Fraction Field as a Field

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *fraction-field-is-field*:
field (*Frac R*)
{*proof*}

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *frac-inv*:
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } R$
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } R$
shows $\text{inv}_{\text{Frac } R} (\text{frac } a \ b) = (\text{frac } b \ a)$
{*proof*}

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *frac-inv-id*:
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } R$
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } R$
assumes $c \in \text{nonzero } R$
assumes $d \in \text{nonzero } R$
assumes $\text{frac } a \ b = \text{frac } c \ d$
shows $\text{frac } b \ a = \text{frac } d \ c$
{*proof*}

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *frac-uminus*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } R$
shows $\ominus_{\text{Frac } R} (\text{frac } a \ b) = \text{frac } (\ominus a) \ b$
{*proof*}

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *frac-eqI*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } R$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $d \in \text{nonzero } R$
assumes $a \otimes d \ominus b \otimes c = \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{frac } a \ b = \text{frac } c \ d$
{*proof*}

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *frac-eqI'*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } R$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $d \in \text{nonzero } R$
assumes $a \otimes d = b \otimes c$
shows $\text{frac } a \ b = \text{frac } c \ d$
{*proof*}

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *frac-cancel-l*:
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } R$
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } R$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{frac } (a \otimes c) \ (a \otimes b) = \text{frac } c \ b$

<proof>

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *frac-cancel-r*:
 assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } R$
 assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } R$
 assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$
 shows $\text{frac } (c \otimes a) (b \otimes a) = \text{frac } c b$
<proof>

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *frac-cancel-lr*:
 assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } R$
 assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } R$
 assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$
 shows $\text{frac } (a \otimes c) (b \otimes a) = \text{frac } c b$
<proof>

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *frac-cancel-rl*:
 assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } R$
 assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } R$
 assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$
 shows $\text{frac } (c \otimes a) (a \otimes b) = \text{frac } c b$
<proof>

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *i-mult*:
 assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
 assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$
 assumes $d \in \text{nonzero } R$
 shows $(\iota a) \otimes_{\text{Frac } R} (\text{frac } c d) = \text{frac } (a \otimes c) d$
<proof>

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *frac-eqE*:
 assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
 assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } R$
 assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$
 assumes $d \in \text{nonzero } R$
 assumes $\text{frac } a b = \text{frac } c d$
 shows $a \otimes d = b \otimes c$
<proof>

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *frac-add-common-denom*:
 assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
 assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
 assumes $c \in \text{nonzero } R$
 shows $(\text{frac } a c) \oplus_{\text{Frac } R} (\text{frac } b c) = \text{frac } (a \oplus b) c$
<proof>

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *common-denominator*:
 assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (\text{Frac } R)$
 assumes $y \in \text{carrier } (\text{Frac } R)$

obtains $a\ b\ c$ **where**
 $a \in \text{carrier } R$
 $b \in \text{carrier } R$
 $c \in \text{nonzero } R$
 $x = \text{frac } a\ c$
 $y = \text{frac } b\ c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale $\text{domain-frac} < F: \text{field } \text{Frac } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Inclusions of natural numbers into $(\text{Frac } R)$:

lemma(**in** domain-frac) nat-0 :
 $[(0::\text{nat})]\cdot\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** domain-frac) nat-suc :
 $[\text{Suc } n]\cdot\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1} \oplus [n]\cdot\mathbf{1}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** domain-frac) nat-inc-rep :
fixes $n::\text{nat}$
shows $[n]\cdot_{\text{Frac } R} \mathbf{1}_{\text{Frac } R} = \text{frac } ([n]\cdot\mathbf{1})\ \mathbf{1}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** domain-frac) pow-0 :
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } R$
shows $a[\uparrow](0::\text{nat}) = \mathbf{1}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** domain-frac) pow-suc :
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $a[\uparrow](\text{Suc } n) = a \otimes (a[\uparrow]n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** domain-frac) nat-inc-add :
 $[(n::\text{nat}) + (m::\text{nat})]\cdot\mathbf{1} = [n]\cdot\mathbf{1} \oplus [m]\cdot\mathbf{1}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** domain-frac) int-inc-add :
 $[(n::\text{int}) + (m::\text{int})]\cdot\mathbf{1} = [n]\cdot\mathbf{1} \oplus [m]\cdot\mathbf{1}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** domain-frac) nat-inc-mult :
 $[(n::\text{nat}) * (m::\text{nat})]\cdot\mathbf{1} = [n]\cdot\mathbf{1} \otimes [m]\cdot\mathbf{1}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** domain-frac) int-inc-mult :
 $[(n::\text{int}) * (m::\text{int})]\cdot\mathbf{1} = [n]\cdot\mathbf{1} \otimes [m]\cdot\mathbf{1}$

<proof>

1.5 Facts About Ring Units

lemma(in *ring*) *Units-nonzero*:

assumes $u \in \text{Units } R$

assumes $\mathbf{1}_R \neq \mathbf{0}_R$

shows $u \in \text{nonzero } R$

<proof>

lemma(in *ring*) *Units-inverse*:

assumes $u \in \text{Units } R$

shows $\text{inv } u \in \text{Units } R$

<proof>

lemma(in *cring*) *invI*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $y \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $x \otimes_R y = \mathbf{1}_R$

shows $y = \text{inv }_R x$

$x = \text{inv }_R y$

<proof>

lemma(in *cring*) *inv-cancelR*:

assumes $x \in \text{Units } R$

assumes $y \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $z \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $y = x \otimes_R z$

shows $\text{inv}_R x \otimes_R y = z$

$y \otimes_R (\text{inv}_R x) = z$

<proof>

lemma(in *cring*) *inv-cancelL*:

assumes $x \in \text{Units } R$

assumes $y \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $z \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $y = z \otimes_R x$

shows $\text{inv}_R x \otimes_R y = z$

$y \otimes_R (\text{inv}_R x) = z$

<proof>

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *nat-pow-nonzero*:

assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } R$

shows $x^{[n]} \in \text{nonzero } R$

<proof>

lemma(in *monoid*) *Units-int-pow-closed*:

assumes $x \in \text{Units } G$

shows $x^{[n]} \in \text{Units } G$

<proof>

1.6 Facts About Fraction Field Units

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *frac-nonzero-Units*:
 $nonzero (Frac R) = Units (Frac R)$
<proof>

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *frac-nonzero-inv-Unit*:
assumes $b \in nonzero (Frac R)$
shows $inv_{Frac R} b \in Units (Frac R)$
<proof>

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *frac-nonzero-inv-closed*:
assumes $b \in nonzero (Frac R)$
shows $inv_{Frac R} b \in carrier (Frac R)$
<proof>

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *frac-nonzero-inv*:
assumes $b \in nonzero (Frac R)$
shows $b \otimes_{Frac R} inv_{Frac R} b = \mathbf{1}_{Frac R}$
 $inv_{Frac R} b \otimes_{Frac R} b = \mathbf{1}_{Frac R}$
<proof>

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *fract-cancel-right[simp]*:
assumes $a \in carrier (Frac R)$
assumes $b \in nonzero (Frac R)$
shows $b \otimes_{Frac R} (a \otimes_{Frac R} inv_{Frac R} b) = a$
<proof>

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *fract-cancel-left[simp]*:
assumes $a \in carrier (Frac R)$
assumes $b \in nonzero (Frac R)$
shows $(a \otimes_{Frac R} inv_{Frac R} b) \otimes_{Frac R} b = a$
<proof>

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *fract-mult-inv*:
assumes $b \in nonzero (Frac R)$
assumes $d \in nonzero (Frac R)$
shows $(inv_{Frac R} b) \otimes_{Frac R} (inv_{Frac R} d) = (inv_{Frac R} (b \otimes_{Frac R} d))$
<proof>

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *fract-mult*:
assumes $a \in carrier (Frac R)$
assumes $b \in nonzero (Frac R)$
assumes $c \in carrier (Frac R)$
assumes $d \in nonzero (Frac R)$
shows $(a \otimes_{Frac R} inv_{Frac R} b) \otimes_{Frac R} (c \otimes_{Frac R} inv_{Frac R} d) = ((a \otimes_{Frac R} c) \otimes_{Frac R} inv_{Frac R} (b \otimes_{Frac R} d))$

<proof>

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *Frac-nat-pow-nonzero*:
assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } (\text{Frac } R)$
shows $x[\wedge]_{\text{Frac } R}(n::\text{nat}) \in \text{nonzero } (\text{Frac } R)$
<proof>

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *Frac-nonzero-nat-pow*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (\text{Frac } R)$
assumes $n > 0$
assumes $x[\wedge]_{\text{Frac } R}(n::\text{nat}) \in \text{nonzero } (\text{Frac } R)$
shows $x \in \text{nonzero } (\text{Frac } R)$
<proof>

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *Frac-int-pow-nonzero*:
assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } (\text{Frac } R)$
shows $x[\wedge]_{\text{Frac } R}(n::\text{int}) \in \text{nonzero } (\text{Frac } R)$
<proof>

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *Frac-nonzero-int-pow*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (\text{Frac } R)$
assumes $n > 0$
assumes $x[\wedge]_{\text{Frac } R}(n::\text{int}) \in \text{nonzero } (\text{Frac } R)$
shows $x \in \text{nonzero } (\text{Frac } R)$
<proof>

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *numer-denom-frac[simp]*:
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } R$
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } R$
shows $\text{frac } (\text{numer } (\text{frac } a b)) (\text{denom } (\text{frac } a b)) = \text{frac } a b$
<proof>

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *numer-denom-swap*:
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } R$
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } R$
shows $a \otimes (\text{denom } (\text{frac } a b)) = b \otimes (\text{numer } (\text{frac } a b))$
<proof>

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *numer-nonzero*:
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } (\text{Frac } R)$
shows $\text{numer } a \in \text{nonzero } R$
<proof>

lemma(in *domain-frac*) *fraction-zero[simp]*:
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } R$
shows $\text{frac } \mathbf{0} b = \mathbf{0}_{\text{Frac } R}$
<proof>

end

```

theory Cring-Multivariable-Poly
imports HOL-Algebra.Indexed-Polynomials Padic-Ints.Cring-Poly
begin

```

2 Multivariable Polynomials Over a Commutative Ring

This theory extends the content of `HOL-Algebra.Indexed_Polynomials`, mainly in the context of a commutative base ring. In particular, the ring of polynomials over an arbitrary variable set is explicitly witnessed as a ring itself, which is commutative if the base is commutative, and a domain if the base ring is a domain. A universal property for polynomial evaluation is proved, which allows us to embed polynomial rings in a ring of functions over the base ring, as well as construe multivariable polynomials as univariate polynomials over a small base polynomial ring.

```

type-synonym 'a monomial = 'a multiset
type-synonym ('b,'a) mvar-poly = 'a multiset  $\Rightarrow$  'b
type-synonym ('a,'b) ring-hom = 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b
type-synonym 'a u-poly = nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a

```

2.1 Lemmas about multisets

Since multisets function as monomials in this formalization, we'll need some simple lemmas about multisets in order to define degree functions and certain lemmas about factorizations. Those are provided in this section.

```

lemma count-size:
  assumes size  $m \leq K$ 
  shows count  $m\ i \leq K$ 
  <proof>

```

The following defines the set of monomials with nonzero coefficients for a given polynomial:

```

definition monomials-of :: ('a,'c) ring-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) mvar-poly  $\Rightarrow$  ('b monomial) set
where
  monomials-of  $R\ P = \{m. P\ m \neq \mathbf{0}_R\}$ 

```

```

context ring
begin

```

```

lemma monomials-of-index-free:
  assumes index-free  $P\ i$ 
  assumes  $m \in$  monomials-of  $R\ P$ 
  shows count  $m\ i = 0$ 
  <proof>

```

lemma *index-freeI*:

assumes $\bigwedge m. m \in \text{monomials-of } R \ P \implies \text{count } m \ i = 0$

shows *index-free* $P \ i$

<proof>

monomials_of R is subadditive

lemma *monomials-of-add*:

monomials-of $R \ (P \oplus \ Q) \subseteq (\text{monomials-of } R \ P) \cup (\text{monomials-of } R \ Q)$

<proof>

lemma *monomials-of-add-finite*:

assumes *finite* (*monomials-of* $R \ P$)

assumes *finite* (*monomials-of* $R \ Q$)

shows *finite* (*monomials-of* $R \ (P \oplus \ Q)$)

<proof>

lemma *monomials-ofE*:

assumes $m \in \text{monomials-of } R \ p$

shows $p \ m \neq \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma *complement-of-monomials-of*:

assumes $m \notin \text{monomials-of } R \ P$

shows $P \ m = \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

Multiplication by an indexed variable corresponds to adding that index to each monomial:

lemma *monomials-of-p-mult*:

monomials-of $R \ (P \otimes \ i) = \{m. \exists n \in (\text{monomials-of } R \ P). m = \text{add-mset } i \ n\}$

<proof>

lemma *monomials-of-p-mult'*:

monomials-of $R \ (p \otimes \ i) = \text{add-mset } i \ ' (\text{monomials-of } R \ p)$

<proof>

lemma *monomials-of-p-mult-finite*:

assumes *finite* (*monomials-of* $R \ P$)

shows *finite* (*monomials-of* $R \ (P \otimes \ i)$)

<proof>

Monomials of a constant either consist of the empty multiset, or nothing:

lemma *monomials-of-const*:

monomials-of $R \ (\text{indexed-const } k) = (\text{if } (k = \mathbf{0}) \text{ then } \{\} \text{ else } \{\{\#\}\})$

<proof>

lemma *monomials-of-const-finite*:

finite (*monomials-of* $R \ (\text{indexed-const } k)$)

<proof>

A polynomial always has finitely many monomials:

lemma *monomials-finite*:
assumes $P \in \text{indexed-pset } K \ I$
shows *finite (monomials-of R P)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end

2.2 Turning monomials into polynomials

Constructor for turning a monomial into a polynomial

definition *mset-to-IP* :: $('a, 'b) \text{ ring-scheme} \Rightarrow 'c \text{ monomial} \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) \text{ mvar-poly}$
where
mset-to-IP $R \ m \ n = (\text{if } (n = m) \text{ then } \mathbf{1}_R \text{ else } \mathbf{0}_R)$

definition *var-to-IP* :: $('a, 'b) \text{ ring-scheme} \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) \text{ mvar-poly } (\langle \text{pvar} \rangle)$
where
var-to-IP $R \ i = \text{mset-to-IP } R \ \{\#i\# \}$

context *ring*
begin

lemma *mset-to-IP-simp[simp]*:
mset-to-IP $R \ m \ m = \mathbf{1}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mset-to-IP-simp'[simp]*:
assumes $n \neq m$
shows *mset-to-IP* $R \ m \ n = \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *cring*) *monomials-of-mset-to-IP*:
assumes $\mathbf{1} \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows *monomials-of* $R \ (\text{mset-to-IP } R \ m) = \{m\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

The set of monomials of a fixed P which include a given variable:

definition *monomials-with* :: $('a, 'b) \text{ ring-scheme} \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) \text{ mvar-poly} \Rightarrow ('c \text{ monomial}) \text{ set}$ **where**
monomials-with $R \ i \ P = \{m. m \in \text{monomials-of } R \ P \wedge i \in \# m\}$

context *ring*
begin

lemma *monomials-withE*:
assumes $m \in \text{monomials-with } R \ i \ P$
shows $i \in \# m$

$m \in \text{monomials-of } R \ P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *monomials-withI*:
assumes $i \in \# \ m$
assumes $m \in \text{monomials-of } R \ P$
shows $m \in \text{monomials-with } R \ i \ P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

Restricting a polynomial to be zero outside of a given monomial set:

definition *restrict-poly-to-monom-set* ::
 $('a, 'b) \text{ ring-scheme} \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) \text{ mvar-poly} \Rightarrow ('c \text{ monomial}) \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) \text{ mvar-poly}$
where
 $\text{restrict-poly-to-monom-set } R \ P \ m\text{-set } m = (\text{if } m \in m\text{-set then } P \ m \text{ else } \mathbf{0}_R)$

context *ring*
begin

lemma *restrict-poly-to-monom-set-coeff*:
assumes *carrier-coeff* P
shows *carrier-coeff* $(\text{restrict-poly-to-monom-set } R \ P \ Ms)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restrict-poly-to-monom-set-coeff'*:
assumes $P \in \text{indexed-pset } K \ I$
assumes $I \neq \{\}$
assumes $\bigwedge m. P \ m \in S$
assumes $\mathbf{0} \in S$
shows $\bigwedge m. (\text{restrict-poly-to-monom-set } R \ P \ m\text{-set } m) \in S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restrict-poly-to-monom-set-monom*:
assumes $P \in \text{indexed-pset } I \ K$
assumes $m\text{-set} \subseteq \text{monomials-of } R \ P$
shows $\text{monomials-of } R \ (\text{restrict-poly-to-monom-set } R \ P \ m\text{-set}) = m\text{-set}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end

2.3 Degree Functions

2.3.1 Total Degree Function

lemma *multi-set-size-count*:
fixes $m :: 'c \text{ monomial}$
shows $\text{size } m \geq \text{count } m \ i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Total degree function

definition *total-degree* :: ('a, 'b) ring-scheme \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) mvar-poly \Rightarrow nat **where**
total-degree R P = (if (monomials-of R P = {}) then 0 else Max (size ' (monomials-of R P)))

context ring
begin

lemma *total-degree-ineq*:
assumes $m \in \text{monomials-of } R P$
assumes finite (monomials-of R P)
shows $\text{total-degree } R P \geq \text{size } m$
 <proof>

lemma *total-degree-in-monomials-of*:
assumes $\text{monomials-of } R P \neq \{\}$
assumes finite (monomials-of R P)
obtains m **where** $m \in \text{monomials-of } R P \wedge \text{size } m = \text{total-degree } R P$
 <proof>

lemma *total-degreeI*:
assumes finite (monomials-of R P)
assumes $\exists m. m \in \text{monomials-of } R P \wedge \text{size } m = n$
assumes $\bigwedge m. m \in \text{monomials-of } R P \implies \text{size } m \leq n$
shows $n = \text{total-degree } R P$
 <proof>
end

2.3.2 Degree in One Variable

definition *degree-in-var* ::
 ('a, 'b) ring-scheme \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) mvar-poly \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow nat **where**
degree-in-var R P i = (if (monomials-of R P = {}) then 0 else Max (($\lambda m. \text{count } m i$) ' (monomials-of R P)))

context ring
begin

lemma *degree-in-var-ineq*:
assumes $m \in \text{monomials-of } R P$
assumes finite (monomials-of R P)
shows $\text{degree-in-var } R P i \geq \text{count } m i$
 <proof>

lemma *degree-in-var-in-monomials-of*:
assumes $\text{monomials-of } R P \neq \{\}$
assumes finite (monomials-of R P)
obtains m **where** $m \in \text{monomials-of } R P \wedge \text{count } m i = \text{degree-in-var } R P i$
 <proof>

lemma *degree-in-varI*:
assumes *finite (monomials-of R P)*
assumes $\exists m. m \in \text{monomials-of } R P \wedge \text{count } m i = n$
assumes $\bigwedge c. c \in \text{monomials-of } R P \implies \text{count } c i \leq n$
shows $n = \text{degree-in-var } R P i$
<proof>

Total degree bounds degree in a single variable:

lemma *total-degree-degree-in-var*:
assumes *finite (monomials-of R P)*
shows $\text{total-degree } R P \geq \text{degree-in-var } R P i$
<proof>
end

The set of monomials of maximal degree in variable i :

definition *max-degree-monomials-in-var* ::
 $('a, 'b) \text{ ring-scheme} \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) \text{ mvar-poly} \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow ('c \text{ monomial}) \text{ set}$ **where**
 $\text{max-degree-monomials-in-var } R P i = \{m. m \in \text{monomials-of } R P \wedge \text{count } m i = \text{degree-in-var } R P i\}$

context *ring*
begin

lemma *max-degree-monomials-in-var-memI*:
assumes $m \in \text{monomials-of } R P$
assumes $\text{count } m i = \text{degree-in-var } R P i$
shows $m \in \text{max-degree-monomials-in-var } R P i$
<proof>

lemma *max-degree-monomials-in-var-memE*:
assumes $m \in \text{max-degree-monomials-in-var } R P i$
shows $m \in \text{monomials-of } R P$
 $\text{count } m i = \text{degree-in-var } R P i$
<proof>
end

The set of monomials of P of fixed degree in variable i :

definition *fixed-degree-in-var* ::
 $('a, 'b) \text{ ring-scheme} \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) \text{ mvar-poly} \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('c \text{ monomial}) \text{ set}$ **where**
 $\text{fixed-degree-in-var } R P i n = \{m. m \in \text{monomials-of } R P \wedge \text{count } m i = n\}$

context *ring*
begin

lemma *fixed-degree-in-var-subset*:
 $\text{fixed-degree-in-var } R P i n \subseteq \text{monomials-of } R P$
<proof>

lemma *fixed-degree-in-var-max-degree-monomials-in-var*:

max-degree-monomials-in-var R P i = fixed-degree-in-var R P i (degree-in-var R P i)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *fixed-degree-in-varI:*

assumes $m \in \text{monomials-of } R P$

assumes $\text{count } m i = n$

shows $m \in \text{fixed-degree-in-var } R P i n$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *fixed-degree-in-varE:*

assumes $m \in \text{fixed-degree-in-var } R P i n$

shows $m \in \text{monomials-of } R P$

$\text{count } m i = n$

⟨proof⟩

definition *restrict-to-var-deg ::*

$('a, 'c) \text{ mvar-poly} \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'c \text{ monomial} \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**

$\text{restrict-to-var-deg } P i n = \text{restrict-poly-to-monom-set } R P (\text{fixed-degree-in-var } R P i n)$

lemma *restrict-to-var-deg-var-deg:*

assumes *finite (monomials-of R P)*

assumes $Q = \text{restrict-to-var-deg } P i n$

assumes $\text{monomials-of } R Q \neq \{\}$

shows $n = \text{degree-in-var } R Q i$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *index-free-degree-in-var[simp]:*

assumes *index-free P i*

shows $\text{degree-in-var } R P i = 0$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *degree-in-var-index-free:*

assumes $\text{degree-in-var } R P i = 0$

assumes *finite (monomials-of R P)*

shows *index-free P i*

⟨proof⟩

end

2.4 Constructing the Multiplication Operation on the Ring of Indexed Polynomials

2.4.1 The Set of Factors of a Fixed Monomial

The following function maps a monomial to the set of monomials which divide it:

definition *mset-factors :: 'c monomial \Rightarrow ('c monomial) set where*

$\text{mset-factors } m = \{n. n \subseteq\# m\}$

context *ring*

begin

lemma *monom-divides-factors*:

$n \in (\text{mset-factors } m) \longleftrightarrow n \subseteq\# m$
<proof>

lemma *mset-factors-mono*:

assumes $n \subseteq\# m$

shows $\text{mset-factors } n \subseteq \text{mset-factors } m$

<proof>

lemma *mset-factors-size-bound*:

assumes $n \in \text{mset-factors } m$

shows $\text{size } n \leq \text{size } m$

<proof>

lemma *sets-to-inds-finite*:

assumes *finite* I

shows $\text{finite } S \implies \text{finite } (\text{Pi}_E S (\lambda-. I))$

<proof>

end

2.4.2 Finiteness of the Factor Set of a Monomial

This section shows that any monomial m has only finitely many factors. This is done by mapping the set of factors injectively into a finite extensional function set. Explicitly, a monomial is just mapped to its count function, restricted to the set of indices where the count is nonzero.

definition *mset-factors-to-fun* ::

$(\text{'a}, \text{'b}) \text{ ring-scheme} \implies \text{'c monomial} \implies \text{'c monomial} \implies (\text{'c} \implies \text{nat})$ **where**
 $\text{mset-factors-to-fun } R \ m \ n = (\text{if } (n \in \text{mset-factors } m) \text{ then}$
 $\quad (\text{restrict } (\text{count } n) (\text{set-mset } m)) \text{ else undefined})$

context *ring*

begin

lemma *mset-factors-to-fun-prop*:

assumes $\text{size } m = n$

shows $\text{mset-factors-to-fun } R \ m \in (\text{mset-factors } m) \rightarrow (\text{Pi}_E (\text{set-mset } m) (\lambda-. \{0.. n\}))$

<proof>

lemma *mset-factors-to-fun-inj*:

shows $\text{inj-on } (\text{mset-factors-to-fun } R \ m) (\text{mset-factors } m)$

<proof>

lemma *finite-target*:
finite (Pi_E (*set-mset* m) ($\lambda\cdot. \{0..(n::nat)\}$))
 ⟨*proof*⟩

A multiset has only finitely many factors:

lemma *mset-factors-finite*[*simp*]:
finite (*mset-factors* m)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

2.4.3 Definition of Indexed Polynomial Multiplication.

context *ring*
begin

Monomial division:

lemma *monom-divide*:
assumes $n \in \text{mset-factors } m$
shows (*THE* $k. n + k = m$) = $m - n$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

A monomial is a factor of itself:

lemma *m-factor*[*simp*]:
 $m \in \text{mset-factors } m$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

The definition of polynomial multiplication:

definition *P-ring-mult* :: ($'a, 'b$) *ring-scheme* \Rightarrow ($'a, 'c$) *mvar-poly* \Rightarrow ($'a, 'c$) *mvar-poly*
 $\Rightarrow 'c$ *monomial* $\Rightarrow 'a$

where
 $P\text{-ring-mult } R P Q m = (\text{finsum } R (\lambda x. (P x) \otimes_R (Q (m - x)))) (\text{mset-factors } m)$

abbreviation(*in ring*) *P-ring-mult-in-ring* (**infixl** $\langle \otimes_p \rangle$ 65) **where**
 $P\text{-ring-mult-in-ring} \equiv P\text{-ring-mult } R$

2.4.4 Distributivity Laws for Polynomial Multiplication

context *ring*
begin

lemma *P-ring-rdistr*:
assumes *carrier-coeff* a
assumes *carrier-coeff* b
assumes *carrier-coeff* c
shows $a \otimes_p (b \oplus c) = (a \otimes_p b) \oplus (a \otimes_p c)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *P-ring-ldistr*:
assumes *carrier-coeff a*
assumes *carrier-coeff b*
assumes *carrier-coeff c*
shows $(b \oplus c) \otimes_p a = (b \otimes_p a) \oplus (c \otimes_p a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
end

2.4.5 Multiplication Commutes with indexed_pmult

context *ring*
begin

This lemma shows how we can write the factors of a monomial m times a variable i in terms of the factors of m :

lemma *mset-factors-add-mset*:
mset-factors (add-mset i m) = mset-factors m \cup add-mset i ' (mset-factors m)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

2.4.6 Associativity of Polynomial Multiplication.

context *ring*
begin

lemma *finsum-eq*:
assumes $f \in S \rightarrow carrier R$
assumes $g \in S \rightarrow carrier R$
assumes $(\lambda x \in S. f x) = (\lambda x \in S. g x)$
shows $finsum R f S = finsum R g S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *finsum-eq-induct*:
assumes $f \in S \rightarrow carrier R$
assumes $g \in T \rightarrow carrier R$
assumes *finite S*
assumes *finite T*
assumes *bij-betw h S T*
assumes $\bigwedge s. s \in S \implies f s = g (h s)$
shows $finite U \implies U \subseteq S \implies finsum R f U = finsum R g (h ' U)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *finsum-bij-eq*:
assumes $f \in S \rightarrow carrier R$
assumes $g \in T \rightarrow carrier R$
assumes *finite S*
assumes *bij-betw h S T*

assumes $\bigwedge s. s \in S \implies f s = g (h s)$
shows $\text{finsum } R f S = \text{finsum } R g T$
 <proof>

lemma *monom-comp*:
assumes $x \subseteq\# m$
assumes $y \subseteq\# m - x$
shows $x \subseteq\# m - y$
 <proof>

lemma *monom-comp'*:
assumes $x \subseteq\# m$
assumes $y = m - x$
shows $x = m - y$
 <proof>

This lemma turns iterated sums into sums over a product set. The first lemma is only a technical phrasing of `double_finsum` to facilitate an inductive proof, and likely can and should be simplified.

lemma *double-finsum-induct*:
assumes *finite* S
assumes $\bigwedge s. s \in S \implies \text{finite } (F s)$
assumes $P = (\lambda S. \{(s, t). s \in S \wedge t \in (F s)\})$
assumes $\bigwedge s y. s \in S \implies y \in (F s) \implies g s y \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{finite } T \implies T \subseteq S \implies \text{finsum } R (\lambda s. \text{finsum } R (g s) (F s)) T =$
 $\text{finsum } R (\lambda c. g (\text{fst } c) (\text{snd } c)) (P T)$
 <proof>

lemma *double-finsum*:
assumes *finite* S
assumes $\bigwedge s. s \in S \implies \text{finite } (F s)$
assumes $P = \{(s, t). s \in S \wedge t \in (F s)\}$
assumes $\bigwedge s y. s \in S \implies y \in (F s) \implies g s y \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{finsum } R (\lambda s. \text{finsum } R (g s) (F s)) S =$
 $\text{finsum } R (\lambda p. g (\text{fst } p) (\text{snd } p)) P$
 <proof>

end

The product index set for the double sums in the coefficients of the $((a \otimes_p b) \otimes_p c)$. It is the set of pairs (x, y) of monomials, such that x is a factor of monomial m , and y is a factor of monomial x .

definition *right-cuts* :: 'c monomial \Rightarrow ('c monomial \times 'c monomial) set **where**
right-cuts $m = \{(x, y). x \subseteq\# m \wedge y \subseteq\# x\}$

context *ring*
begin

lemma *right-cuts-alt-def*:

right-cuts $m = \{(x, y). x \in \text{mset-factors } m \wedge y \in \text{mset-factors } x\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *right-cuts-finite*:
finite (*right-cuts* m)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *assoc-aux0*:
assumes *carrier-coeff* a
assumes *carrier-coeff* b
assumes *carrier-coeff* c
assumes $g = (\lambda x y. a x \otimes (b y \otimes c (m - x - y)))$
shows $\bigwedge s y. s \in \text{mset-factors } m \implies y \in \text{mset-factors } (m - x)$
 $\implies g s y \in \text{carrier } R$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *assoc-aux1*:
assumes *carrier-coeff* a
assumes *carrier-coeff* b
assumes *carrier-coeff* c
assumes $g = (\lambda x y. (a y \otimes b (x - y)) \otimes c (m - x))$
shows $\bigwedge s y. s \in \text{mset-factors } m \implies y \in \text{mset-factors } x \implies g s y \in \text{carrier } R$
 ⟨proof⟩
end

The product index set for the double sums in the coefficients of the $(a \otimes_p (b \otimes_p c))$. It is the set of pairs (x, y) such that x is a factor of m and y is a factor of m/x .

definition *left-cuts* $:: 'c \text{ monomial} \Rightarrow ('c \text{ monomial} \times 'c \text{ monomial}) \text{ set}$ **where**
left-cuts $m = \{(x, y). x \subseteq \#m \wedge y \subseteq \#(m - x)\}$

context *ring*
begin

lemma *left-cuts-alt-def*:
left-cuts $m = \{(x, y). x \in \text{mset-factors } m \wedge y \in \text{mset-factors } (m - x)\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

This lemma witnesses the bijection between left and right cuts for the proof of associativity:

lemma *left-right-cuts-bij*:
bij-betw $(\lambda (x, y). (x + y, x))$ (*left-cuts* m) (*right-cuts* m)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *left-cuts-sum*:
assumes *carrier-coeff* a
assumes *carrier-coeff* b
assumes *carrier-coeff* c

shows $(a \otimes_p (b \otimes_p c)) m = (\bigoplus p \in \text{left-cuts } m. a (\text{fst } p) \otimes (b (\text{snd } p) \otimes c (m - (\text{fst } p) - (\text{snd } p))))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *right-cuts-sum*:

assumes *carrier-coeff a*
assumes *carrier-coeff b*
assumes *carrier-coeff c*
shows $(a \otimes_p b \otimes_p c) m = (\bigoplus p \in \text{right-cuts } m. a (\text{snd } p) \otimes (b ((\text{fst } p) - (\text{snd } p)) \otimes c (m - (\text{fst } p))))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The Associativity of Polynomial Multiplication:

lemma *P-ring-mult-assoc*:

assumes *carrier-coeff a*
assumes *carrier-coeff b*
assumes *carrier-coeff c*
shows $a \otimes_p (b \otimes_p c) = (a \otimes_p b) \otimes_p c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

2.4.7 Commutativity of Polynomial Multiplication

context *ring*

begin

lemma *mset-factors-bij*:

bij-betw $(\lambda x. m - x)$ $(\text{mset-factors } m)$ $(\text{mset-factors } m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *cring*) *P-ring-mult-comm*:

assumes *carrier-coeff a*
assumes *carrier-coeff b*
shows $a \otimes_p b = b \otimes_p a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.4.8 Closure properties for multiplication

Building monomials from polynomials:

lemma *indexed-const-P-mult-eq*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $(\text{indexed-const } a) \otimes_p (\text{indexed-const } b) = \text{indexed-const } (a \otimes b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *indexed-const-P-multE*:

assumes $P \in \text{indexed-pset } I (\text{carrier } R)$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $(P \otimes_p (\text{indexed-const } c)) m = (P m) \otimes c$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *indexed-const-var-mult*:

assumes $P \in \text{indexed-pset } I (\text{carrier } R)$

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $i \in I$

shows $P \otimes_p i \otimes_p \text{indexed-const } c = (P \otimes_p (\text{indexed-const } c)) \otimes_p i$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *indexed-const-P-mult-closed*:

assumes $a \in \text{indexed-pset } I (\text{carrier } R)$

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $a \otimes_p (\text{indexed-const } c) \in \text{indexed-pset } I (\text{carrier } R)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *monom-add-mset*:

$\text{mset-to-IP } R (\text{add-mset } i m) = \text{mset-to-IP } R m \otimes i$

⟨proof⟩

Monomials are closed under multiplication:

lemma *monom-mult*:

$\text{mset-to-IP } R m \otimes_p \text{mset-to-IP } R n = \text{mset-to-IP } R (m + n)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *poly-index-mult*:

assumes $a \in \text{indexed-pset } I (\text{carrier } R)$

assumes $i \in I$

shows $a \otimes i = a \otimes_p \text{mset-to-IP } R \{\#i\# \}$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *mset-to-IP-mult-closed*:

assumes $a \in \text{indexed-pset } I (\text{carrier } R)$

shows $\text{set-mset } m \subseteq I \implies a \otimes_p \text{mset-to-IP } R m \in \text{indexed-pset } I (\text{carrier } R)$

⟨proof⟩

A predicate which identifies when the variables used in a given polynomial P are only drawn from a fixed variable set I .

abbreviation *monoms-in where*

$\text{monoms-in } I P \equiv (\forall m \in \text{monomials-of } R P. \text{set-mset } m \subseteq I)$

lemma *monoms-of-const*:

$\text{monomials-of } R (\text{indexed-const } k) = (\text{if } k = \mathbf{0} \text{ then } \{\} \text{ else } \{\{\#\}\})$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *const-monoms-in*:

$\text{monoms-in } I (\text{indexed-const } k)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *mset-to-IP-indices*:
shows $P \in \text{indexed-pset } I (\text{carrier } R) \implies \text{monoms-in } I P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mset-to-IP-indices'*:
assumes $P \in \text{indexed-pset } I (\text{carrier } R)$
assumes $m \in \text{monomials-of } R P$
shows $\text{set-mset } m \subseteq I$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *one-mset-to-IP*:
 $\text{mset-to-IP } R \{\#\} = \text{indexed-const } \mathbf{1}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mset-to-IP-closed*:
shows $\text{set-mset } m \subseteq I \implies \text{mset-to-IP } R m \in \text{indexed-pset } I (\text{carrier } R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mset-to-IP-closed'*:
assumes $P \in \text{indexed-pset } I (\text{carrier } R)$
assumes $m \in \text{monomials-of } R P$
shows $\text{mset-to-IP } R m \in \text{indexed-pset } I (\text{carrier } R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

This lemma expresses closure under multiplication for indexed polynomials.

lemma *P-ring-mult-closed*:
assumes $\text{carrier-coeff } P$
assumes $\text{carrier-coeff } Q$
shows $\text{carrier-coeff } (P\text{-ring-mult } R P Q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.5 Multivariable Polynomial Induction

lemma *mpoly-induct*:
assumes $\bigwedge Q. Q \in \text{indexed-pset } I (\text{carrier } R) \wedge \text{card } (\text{monomials-of } R Q) = 0$
 $\implies P Q$
assumes $\bigwedge n. (\bigwedge Q. Q \in \text{indexed-pset } I (\text{carrier } R) \wedge \text{card } (\text{monomials-of } R Q) \leq n \implies P Q)$
 $\implies (\bigwedge Q. Q \in \text{indexed-pset } I (\text{carrier } R) \wedge \text{card } (\text{monomials-of } R Q) \leq (\text{Suc } n) \implies P Q)$
assumes $Q \in \text{indexed-pset } I (\text{carrier } R)$
shows $P Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *monomials-of-card-zero*:
assumes $Q \in \text{indexed-pset } I (\text{carrier } R) \wedge \text{card } (\text{monomials-of } R Q) = 0$
shows $Q = \text{indexed-const } \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Polynomial induction on the number of monomials with nonzero coefficient:

lemma *mpoly-induct'*:

assumes P (*indexed-const* $\mathbf{0}$)
assumes $\bigwedge n. (\bigwedge Q. Q \in \text{indexed-pset } I (\text{carrier } R) \wedge \text{card } (\text{monomials-of } R \ Q) \leq n \implies P \ Q)$
 $\implies (\bigwedge Q. Q \in \text{indexed-pset } I (\text{carrier } R) \wedge \text{card } (\text{monomials-of } R \ Q) = (\text{Suc } n) \implies P \ Q)$
assumes $Q \in \text{indexed-pset } I (\text{carrier } R)$
shows $P \ Q$
<proof>

lemma *monomial-poly-split*:

assumes $P \in \text{indexed-pset } I (\text{carrier } R)$
assumes $m \in \text{monomials-of } R \ P$
shows $(\text{restrict-poly-to-monom-set } R \ P ((\text{monomials-of } R \ P) - \{m\})) \oplus ((\text{mset-to-IP } R \ m) \otimes_p (\text{indexed-const } (P \ m))) = P$
<proof>

lemma *restrict-not-in-monom*:

assumes $a \notin \text{monomials-of } R \ P$
shows $\text{restrict-poly-to-monom-set } R \ P \ A = \text{restrict-poly-to-monom-set } R \ P (\text{insert } a \ A)$
<proof>

lemma *restriction-closed'*:

assumes $P \in \text{indexed-pset } I (\text{carrier } R)$
assumes *finite* ms
shows $(\text{restrict-poly-to-monom-set } R \ P \ ms) \in \text{indexed-pset } I (\text{carrier } R)$
<proof>

lemma *restriction-restrict*:

$\text{restrict-poly-to-monom-set } R \ P \ ms = \text{restrict-poly-to-monom-set } R \ P (ms \cap \text{monomials-of } R \ P)$
<proof>

lemma *restriction-closed*:

assumes $P \in \text{indexed-pset } I (\text{carrier } R)$
assumes $Q = \text{restrict-poly-to-monom-set } R \ P \ ms$
shows $Q \in \text{indexed-pset } I (\text{carrier } R)$
<proof>

lemma *monomial-split-card*:

assumes $P \in \text{indexed-pset } I (\text{carrier } R)$
assumes $m \in \text{monomials-of } R \ P$
shows $\text{card } (\text{monomials-of } R (\text{restrict-poly-to-monom-set } R \ P ((\text{monomials-of } R \ P) - \{m\}))) = \text{card } (\text{monomials-of } R \ P) - 1$
<proof>

lemma *P-ring-mult-closed'*:

assumes $a \in \text{indexed-pset } I \text{ (carrier } R)$
assumes $b \in \text{indexed-pset } I \text{ (carrier } R)$
shows $a \otimes_p b \in \text{indexed-pset } I \text{ (carrier } R)$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

2.6 Subtraction of Polynomials

definition $P\text{-ring-uminus} :: ('a, 'b) \text{ ring-scheme} \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) \text{ mvar-poly} \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) \text{ mvar-poly}$ **where**
 $P\text{-ring-uminus } R P = (\lambda m. \ominus_R (P m))$

context *ring*

begin

lemma $P\text{-ring-uminus-eq}$:

assumes $a \in \text{indexed-pset } I \text{ (carrier } R)$
shows $P\text{-ring-uminus } R a = a \otimes_p (\text{indexed-const } (\ominus \mathbf{1}))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $P\text{-ring-uminus-closed}$:

assumes $a \in \text{indexed-pset } I \text{ (carrier } R)$
shows $P\text{-ring-uminus } R a \in \text{indexed-pset } I \text{ (carrier } R)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $P\text{-ring-uminus-add}$:

assumes $a \in \text{indexed-pset } I \text{ (carrier } R)$
shows $P\text{-ring-uminus } R a \oplus a = \text{indexed-const } \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨proof⟩

multiplication by 1

lemma one-mult-left :

assumes $a \in \text{indexed-pset } I \text{ (carrier } R)$
shows $(\text{indexed-const } \mathbf{1}) \otimes_p a = a$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

2.7 The Carrier of the Ring of Indexed Polynomials

abbreviation(*input*) $P\text{ring-set}$ **where**
 $P\text{ring-set } R I \equiv \text{ring.indexed-pset } R I \text{ (carrier } R)$

context *ring*

begin

lemma *Pring-set-zero*:
assumes $f \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$
assumes $\neg \text{set-mset } m \subseteq I$
shows $f \ m = \mathbf{0}_R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *ring*) *Pring-cfs-closed*:
assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$
shows $P \ m \in \text{carrier } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *indexed-pset-mono-aux*:
assumes $P \in \text{indexed-pset } I \ S$
shows $S \subseteq T \implies P \in \text{indexed-pset } I \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *indexed-pset-mono*:
assumes $S \subseteq T$
shows $\text{indexed-pset } I \ S \subseteq \text{indexed-pset } I \ T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

2.8 Scalar Multiplication

definition *poly-scalar-mult* :: $('a, 'b) \text{ ring-scheme} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) \text{ mvar-poly} \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) \text{ mvar-poly}$ **where**
 $\text{poly-scalar-mult } R \ c \ P = (\lambda m. c \otimes_R P \ m)$

lemma(**in** *cring*) *poly-scalar-mult-eq*:
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ (I :: 'c \ \text{set}) \implies \text{poly-scalar-mult } R \ c \ P = \text{indexed-const } c \ \otimes_p \ P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *cring*) *poly-scalar-mult-const*:
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $k \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{poly-scalar-mult } R \ k \ (\text{indexed-const } c) = \text{indexed-const } (k \ \otimes \ c)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *cring*) *poly-scalar-mult-closed*:
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$
shows $\text{poly-scalar-mult } R \ c \ P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *cring*) *poly-scalar-mult-zero*:

assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$
shows $\text{poly-scalar-mult } R \ \mathbf{0} \ P = \text{indexed-const } \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *cring*) *poly-scalar-mult-one*:
assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$
shows $\text{poly-scalar-mult } R \ \mathbf{1} \ P = P$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *cring*) *times-poly-scalar-mult*:
assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$
assumes $Q \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$
assumes $k \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $P \otimes_p (\text{poly-scalar-mult } R \ k \ Q) = \text{poly-scalar-mult } R \ k \ (P \otimes_p Q)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *cring*) *poly-scalar-mult-times*:
assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$
assumes $Q \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$
assumes $k \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{poly-scalar-mult } R \ k \ (Q \otimes_p P) = (\text{poly-scalar-mult } R \ k \ Q) \otimes_p P$
 ⟨proof⟩

2.9 Defining the Ring of Indexed Polynomials

definition *Pring* :: ('b, 'e) ring-scheme \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow ('b, ('b,'a) mvar-poly) module
where

Pring $R \ I \equiv$ (| carrier = *Pring-set* $R \ I$,
 Group.monoid.mult = *P-ring-mult* R ,
 one = ring.indexed-const $R \ \mathbf{1}_R$,
 zero = ring.indexed-const $R \ \mathbf{0}_R$,
 add = ring.indexed-padd R ,
 smult = *poly-scalar-mult* R)

context *ring*

begin

lemma *Pring-car*:
 carrier (*Pring* $R \ I$) = *Pring-set* $R \ I$
 ⟨proof⟩

Definitions of the operations and constants:

lemma *Pring-mult*:
 $a \otimes_{\text{Pring } R \ I} b = a \otimes_p b$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Pring-add*:

$a \oplus_{Pring\ R\ I} b = a \oplus b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pring-zero*:
 $\mathbf{0}_{Pring\ R\ I} = indexed-const\ \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pring-one*:
 $\mathbf{1}_{Pring\ R\ I} = indexed-const\ \mathbf{1}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pring-smult*:
 $(\odot_{Pring\ R\ I}) = (poly-scalar-mult\ R)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pring-carrier-coeff*:
assumes $a \in carrier\ (Pring\ R\ I)$
shows $carrier-coeff\ a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pring-carrier-coeff'[simp]*:
assumes $a \in carrier\ (Pring\ R\ I)$
shows $a\ m \in carrier\ R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pring-add-closed*:
assumes $a \in carrier\ (Pring\ R\ I)$
assumes $b \in carrier\ (Pring\ R\ I)$
shows $a \oplus_{Pring\ R\ I} b \in carrier\ (Pring\ R\ I)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pring-mult-closed*:
assumes $a \in carrier\ (Pring\ R\ I)$
assumes $b \in carrier\ (Pring\ R\ I)$
shows $a \otimes_{Pring\ R\ I} b \in carrier\ (Pring\ R\ I)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pring-one-closed*:
 $\mathbf{1}_{Pring\ R\ I} \in carrier\ (Pring\ R\ I)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pring-zero-closed*:
 $\mathbf{0}_{Pring\ R\ I} \in carrier\ (Pring\ R\ I)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pring-var-closed*:
assumes $i \in I$
shows $var-to-IP\ R\ i \in carrier\ (Pring\ R\ I)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Properties of addition:

lemma *Pring-add-assoc:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$

shows $a \oplus_{\text{Pring } R \ I} (b \oplus_{\text{Pring } R \ I} c) = (a \oplus_{\text{Pring } R \ I} b) \oplus_{\text{Pring } R \ I} c$

<proof>

lemma *Pring-add-comm:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$

shows $a \oplus_{\text{Pring } R \ I} b = b \oplus_{\text{Pring } R \ I} a$

<proof>

lemma *Pring-add-zero:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$

shows $a \oplus_{\text{Pring } R \ I} \mathbf{0}_{\text{Pring } R \ I} = a$

$\mathbf{0}_{\text{Pring } R \ I} \oplus_{\text{Pring } R \ I} a = a$

<proof>

Properties of multiplication

lemma *Pring-mult-assoc:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$

shows $a \otimes_{\text{Pring } R \ I} (b \otimes_{\text{Pring } R \ I} c) = (a \otimes_{\text{Pring } R \ I} b) \otimes_{\text{Pring } R \ I} c$

<proof>

lemma *Pring-mult-comm:*

assumes $\text{cring } R$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$

shows $a \otimes_{\text{Pring } R \ I} b = b \otimes_{\text{Pring } R \ I} a$

<proof>

lemma *Pring-mult-one:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$

shows $a \otimes_{\text{Pring } R \ I} \mathbf{1}_{\text{Pring } R \ I} = a$

<proof>

lemma *Pring-mult-one':*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$

shows $\mathbf{1}_{\text{Pring } R \ I} \otimes_{\text{Pring } R \ I} a = a$

<proof>

Distributive laws

lemma *Pring-mult-rdistr:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$
shows $a \otimes_{\text{Pring } R \ I} (b \oplus_{\text{Pring } R \ I} c) = (a \otimes_{\text{Pring } R \ I} b) \oplus_{\text{Pring } R \ I} (a \otimes_{\text{Pring } R \ I} c)$
 <proof>

lemma *Pring-mult-ldistr*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$
shows $(b \oplus_{\text{Pring } R \ I} c) \otimes_{\text{Pring } R \ I} a = (b \otimes_{\text{Pring } R \ I} a) \oplus_{\text{Pring } R \ I} (c \otimes_{\text{Pring } R \ I} a)$
 <proof>

Properties of subtraction:

lemma *Pring-uminus*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$
shows $P\text{-ring-uminus } R \ a \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$
 <proof>

lemma *Pring-subtract*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$
shows $P\text{-ring-uminus } R \ a \oplus_{\text{Pring } R \ I} a = \mathbf{0}_{\text{Pring } R \ I}$
 $a \oplus_{\text{Pring } R \ I} P\text{-ring-uminus } R \ a = \mathbf{0}_{\text{Pring } R \ I}$
 <proof>

Pring R I is a ring

lemma *Pring-is-abelian-group*:
shows $\text{abelian-group } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$
 <proof>

lemma *Pring-is-monoid*:
 $\text{Group.monoid } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$
 <proof>

lemma *Pring-is-ring*:
shows $\text{ring } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$
 <proof>

lemma *Pring-is-cring*:
assumes $\text{cring } R$
shows $\text{cring } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$
 <proof>

lemma *Pring-a-inv*:
assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$
shows $\ominus_{\text{Pring } R \ I} P = P\text{-ring-uminus } R \ P$
 <proof>

end

2.10 Defining the R-Algebra of Indexed Polynomials

context *cring*
begin

lemma *Pring-smult-cfs*:
 assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
 assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$
 shows $(a \odot_{\text{Pring } R \ I} P) \ m = a \otimes (P \ m)$
 <proof>

lemma *Pring-smult-closed*:
 $\bigwedge a \ x. [\![a \in \text{carrier } R; x \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)]\!] \implies a \odot_{(\text{Pring } R \ I)} x \in$
 $\text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$
 <proof>

lemma *Pring-smult-l-distr*:
 $\llbracket a \ b \ x. [\![a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R; x \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)]\!] \implies$
 $(a \oplus b) \odot_{(\text{Pring } R \ I)} x = (a \odot_{(\text{Pring } R \ I)} x) \oplus_{(\text{Pring } R \ I)} (b \odot_{(\text{Pring } R \ I)} x)$
 <proof>

lemma *Pring-smult-r-distr*:
 $\llbracket a \ x \ y. [\![a \in \text{carrier } R; x \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I); y \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)]\!] \implies$
 $a \odot_{(\text{Pring } R \ I)} (x \oplus_{(\text{Pring } R \ I)} y) = (a \odot_{(\text{Pring } R \ I)} x) \oplus_{(\text{Pring } R \ I)} (a$
 $\odot_{(\text{Pring } R \ I)} y)$
 <proof>

lemma *Pring-smult-assoc1*:
 $\llbracket a \ b \ x. [\![a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R; x \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)]\!] \implies$
 $(a \otimes b) \odot_{\text{Pring } R \ I} x = a \odot_{\text{Pring } R \ I} (b \odot_{\text{Pring } R \ I} x)$
 <proof>

lemma *Pring-smult-one*:
 $\llbracket x. x \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I) \implies (\text{one } R) \odot_{\text{Pring } R \ I} x = x$
 <proof>

lemma *Pring-smult-assoc2*:
 $\llbracket a \ x \ y. [\![a \in \text{carrier } R; x \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I); y \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)]\!] \implies$
 $(a \odot_{\text{Pring } R \ I} x) \otimes_{\text{Pring } R \ I} y = a \odot_{\text{Pring } R \ I} (x \otimes_{\text{Pring } R \ I} y)$
 <proof>

lemma *Pring-algebra*:

algebra R (Pring R I)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

2.11 Evaluation of Polynomials and Subring Structure

In this section the aim is to define the evaluation of a polynomial over its base ring. We define both total evaluation of a polynomial at all variables, and partial evaluation at only a subset of variables. The basic input for evaluation is a variable assignment function mapping variables to ring elements. Once we can evaluate a polynomial P in variables I over a ring R at an assignment $f : I \rightarrow R$, this can be generalized to evaluation of P in some other ring S , given a variable assignment $f : I \rightarrow S$ and a ring homomorphism $\phi : R \rightarrow S$. We chose to define this by simply applying ϕ to the coefficients of P , and then using the first evaluation function over S . This could also have been done the other way around: define general polynomial evaluation over any ring, given a ring hom ϕ , and then defining evaluation over the base ring R as the specialization of this function to the case there $\phi = id_R$.

definition *remove-monom* ::

('a,'b) ring-scheme \Rightarrow 'c monomial \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) mvar-poly \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) mvar-poly

where

remove-monom R m P = ring.indexed-padd R P (poly-scalar-mult R (\ominus_R P m)
(mset-to-IP R m))

context *cring*

begin

lemma *remove-monom-alt-def:*

assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$

shows *remove-monom R m P n = (if n = m then 0 else P n)*

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *remove-monom-zero:*

assumes $m \notin \text{monomials-of } R \ P$

assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$

shows *remove-monom R m P = P*

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *remove-monom-closed:*

assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$

shows *remove-monom R m P \in Pring-set R I*

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *remove-monom-monomials*:

assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$

shows $\text{monomials-of } R \ (\text{remove-monom } R \ m \ P) = \text{monomials-of } R \ P - \{m\}$

<proof>

The additive decomposition of a polynomial by a monomial

lemma *remove-monom-eq*:

assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$

shows $P = (\text{remove-monom } R \ a \ P) \oplus \text{poly-scalar-mult } R \ (P \ a) \ (\text{mset-to-IP } R \ a)$

<proof>

lemma *remove-monom-restrict-poly-to-monom-set*:

assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$

assumes $\text{monomials-of } R \ P = \text{insert } a \ M$

assumes $a \notin M$

shows $(\text{remove-monom } R \ a \ P) = \text{restrict-poly-to-monom-set } R \ P \ M$

<proof>

end

2.11.1 Nesting of Polynomial Rings According to Nesting of Index Sets

lemma(*in ring*) *Pring-carrier-subset*:

assumes $J \subseteq I$

shows $(\text{Pring-set } R \ J) \subseteq (\text{Pring-set } R \ I)$

<proof>

lemma(*in cring*) *Pring-set-restrict-induct*:

shows $\text{finite } S \implies \forall P. \text{monomials-of } R \ P = S \wedge P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I \wedge (\forall m \in \text{monomials-of } R \ P. \text{set-mset } m \subseteq J) \longrightarrow P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ J$

<proof>

lemma(*in cring*) *Pring-set-restrict*:

assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$

assumes $(\bigwedge m. m \in \text{monomials-of } R \ P \implies \text{set-mset } m \subseteq J)$

shows $P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ J$

<proof>

lemma(*in ring*) *Pring-mult-eq*:

fixes $I:: 'c \ \text{set}$

fixes $J:: 'c \ \text{set}$

shows $(\otimes_{\text{Pring}} R \ I) = (\otimes_{\text{Pring}} R \ J)$

<proof>

lemma(*in ring*) *Pring-add-eq*:

fixes $I:: 'c \ \text{set}$

fixes $J:: 'c \ \text{set}$

shows $(\oplus_{Pring\ R\ I}) = (\oplus_{Pring\ R\ J})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *ring*) *Pring-one-eq*:
fixes $I:: 'c\ set$
fixes $J:: 'c\ set$
shows $(\mathbf{1}_{Pring\ R\ I}) = (\mathbf{1}_{Pring\ R\ J})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *ring*) *Pring-zero-eq*:
fixes $I:: 'c\ set$
fixes $J:: 'c\ set$
shows $(\mathbf{0}_{Pring\ R\ I}) = (\mathbf{0}_{Pring\ R\ J})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *ring*) *index-subset-Pring-subring*:
assumes $J \subseteq I$
shows *subring* (*carrier* (*Pring R J*)) (*Pring R I*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.11.2 Inclusion Maps

definition *Pring-inc* :: $('a, 'c)\ mvar\ poly \Rightarrow ('a, 'c)\ mvar\ poly$ **where**
Pring-inc $\equiv (\lambda P. P)$

lemma(in *ring*) *Princ-inc-is-ring-hom*:
assumes $J \subseteq I$
shows *ring-hom-ring* (*Pring R J*) (*Pring R I*) *Pring-inc*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.11.3 Restricting a Multiset to a Subset of Variables

definition *restrict-to-indices* :: $'c\ monomial \Rightarrow 'c\ set \Rightarrow 'c\ monomial$ **where**
restrict-to-indices $m\ S = filter\ mset\ (\lambda i. i \in S)\ m$

lemma *restrict-to-indicesE*:
assumes $i \in \# restrict\ to\ indices\ m\ S$
shows $i \in S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-to-indicesI[simp]*:
assumes $i \in \# m$
assumes $i \in S$
shows $i \in \# restrict\ to\ indices\ m\ S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-to-indices-not-in[simp]*:
assumes $i \in \# m$
assumes $i \notin S$

shows $i \notin \# \text{ restrict-to-indices } m \ S$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *restrict-to-indices-submultiset*[simp]:
 $\text{restrict-to-indices } m \ S \subseteq \# \ m$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *restrict-to-indices-add-element*:
 $\text{restrict-to-indices } (\text{add-mset } x \ m) \ S = (\text{if } x \in S \text{ then } (\text{add-mset } x \ (\text{restrict-to-indices } m \ S)) \text{ else } (\text{restrict-to-indices } m \ S))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *restrict-to-indices-count*[simp]:
 $\text{count } (\text{restrict-to-indices } m \ S) \ i = (\text{if } (i \in S) \text{ then } (\text{count } m \ i) \text{ else } 0)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *restrict-to-indices-subset*:
 $\text{restrict-to-indices } m \ S = \text{restrict-to-indices } m \ (\text{set-mset } m \cap S)$
 ⟨proof⟩

Restrict_to_indices only depends on the intersection of the index set with the set of indices in m :

lemma *restrict-to-indices-subset'*:
assumes $(\text{set-mset } m) \cap S = (\text{set-mset } m) \cap S'$
shows $\text{restrict-to-indices } m \ S = \text{restrict-to-indices } m \ S'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mset-add-plus*:
assumes $m = n + k$
shows $\text{add-mset } x \ m = (\text{add-mset } x \ n) + k$
 ⟨proof⟩

Restricting to S and the complement of S partitions m :

lemma *restrict-to-indices-decomp*:
 $m = (\text{restrict-to-indices } m \ S) + (\text{restrict-to-indices } m \ ((\text{set-mset } m) - S))$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *remove-indices* :: 'c monomial \Rightarrow 'c set \Rightarrow 'c monomial **where**
 $\text{remove-indices } m \ S = (\text{restrict-to-indices } m \ (\text{set-mset } m - S))$

lemma *remove-indices-decomp*:
 $m = (\text{restrict-to-indices } m \ S) + (\text{remove-indices } m \ S)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *remove-indices-indices*[simp]:
assumes $\text{set-mset } m \subseteq I$
shows $\text{set-mset } (\text{remove-indices } m \ S) \subseteq I - S$
 ⟨proof⟩

2.11.4 Total evaluation of a monomial

We define total evaluation of a monomial first, and then define the partial evaluation of a monomial in terms of this.

abbreviation *(input) closed-fun where*
closed-fun R $g \equiv g \in UNIV \rightarrow carrier\ R$

definition *monom-eval* $:: ('a, 'b)$ ring-scheme $\Rightarrow 'c$ monomial $\Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a$
where
monom-eval R ($m:: 'c$ monomial) $g = fold-mset (\lambda x . \lambda y. \text{if } y \in carrier\ R \text{ then } (g\ x) \otimes_R y \text{ else } \mathbf{0}_R) \mathbf{1}_R\ m$

context *cring*
begin

lemma *closed-fun-simp:*
assumes *closed-fun* R g
shows $g\ n \in carrier\ R$
<proof>

lemma *closed-funI:*
assumes $\bigwedge x. g\ x \in carrier\ R$
shows *closed-fun* R g
<proof>

The following are necessary technical lemmas to prove properties of about folds over multisets:

lemma *monom-eval-comp-fun:*
fixes $g:: 'c \Rightarrow 'a$
assumes *closed-fun* R g
shows *comp-fun-commute* $(\lambda x . \lambda y. \text{if } y \in carrier\ R \text{ then } (g\ x) \otimes y \text{ else } \mathbf{0})$
<proof>

lemma *monom-eval-car:*
assumes *closed-fun* R g
shows *monom-eval* R ($m:: 'c$ monomial) $g \in carrier\ R$
<proof>

Formula for recursive (total) evaluation of a monomial:

lemma *monom-eval-add:*
assumes *closed-fun* R g
shows *monom-eval* R (*add-mset* x M) $g = (g\ x) \otimes (monom-eval\ R\ M\ g)$
<proof>

end

This function maps a polynomial P to the set of monomials in P which, after evaluating all variables in the set S to values in the ring R , reduce to the monomial n .

definition *monomials-reducing-to* ::
 ('a,'b) ring-scheme \Rightarrow 'c monomial \Rightarrow ('a,'c) mvar-poly \Rightarrow 'c set \Rightarrow ('c monomial)
 set **where**
monomials-reducing-to R n P S = {m \in monomials-of R P. remove-indices m S
 = n}

lemma *monomials-reducing-to-subset[simp]*:
monomials-reducing-to R n P s \subseteq monomials-of R P
 <proof>

context *cring*
begin

lemma *monomials-reducing-to-finite*:
 assumes P \in Pring-set R I
 shows finite (*monomials-reducing-to* R n P s)
 <proof>

lemma *monomials-reducing-to-disjoint*:
 assumes n1 \neq n2
 shows *monomials-reducing-to* R n1 P S \cap *monomials-reducing-to* R n2 P S =
 {}
 <proof>

lemma *monomials-reducing-to-submset*:
 assumes n \subset # m
 shows n \notin *monomials-reducing-to* R m P S
 <proof>

end

2.11.5 Partial Evaluation of a Polynomial

This function takes as input a set S of variables, an evaluation function g , and a polynomial to evaluate P . The output is a polynomial which is the result of evaluating the variables from the set S which occur in P , according to the evaluation function g .

definition *poly-eval* ::
 ('a,'b) ring-scheme \Rightarrow 'c set \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) mvar-poly \Rightarrow ('a, 'c)
 mvar-poly**where**
poly-eval R S g P m = (finsum R (λ n. monom-eval R (restrict-to-indices n S) g
 \otimes_R (P n)) (*monomials-reducing-to* R m P S))

context *cring*
begin

lemma *finsum-singleton*:
 assumes S = {s}

assumes $f s \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{finsum } R f S = f s$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *poly-eval-constant*:
assumes $k \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{poly-eval } R S g (\text{indexed-const } k) = (\text{indexed-const } k)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *finsum-partition*:
assumes *finite* S
assumes $f \in S \rightarrow \text{carrier } R$
assumes $T \subseteq S$
shows $\text{finsum } R f S = \text{finsum } R f T \oplus \text{finsum } R f (S - T)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *finsum-eq-partition*:
assumes *finite* S
assumes $f \in S \rightarrow \text{carrier } R$
assumes $T \subseteq S$
assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in S - T \implies f x = \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{finsum } R f S = \text{finsum } R f T$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *poly-eval-scalar-mult*:
assumes $k \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes *closed-fun* $R g$
assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R I$
shows $\text{poly-eval } R S g (\text{poly-scalar-mult } R k P) =$
 $(\text{poly-scalar-mult } R k (\text{poly-eval } R S g P))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *poly-eval-monomial*:
assumes *closed-fun* $R g$
assumes $\mathbf{1} \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{poly-eval } R S g (\text{mset-to-IP } R m) =$
 $\text{poly-scalar-mult } R (\text{monom-eval } R (\text{restrict-to-indices } m S) g)$
 $(\text{mset-to-IP } R (\text{remove-indices } m S))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *cring*) *poly-eval-monomial-closed*:
assumes *closed-fun* $R g$
assumes $\mathbf{1} \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes *set-mset* $m \subseteq I$
shows $\text{poly-eval } R S g (\text{mset-to-IP } R m) \in \text{Pring-set } R (I - S)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *poly-scalar-mult-iter*:

assumes $\mathbf{1} \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$
assumes $k \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $n \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{poly-scalar-mult } R \ k \ (\text{poly-scalar-mult } R \ n \ P) = \text{poly-scalar-mult } R \ (k \otimes n) \ P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-scalar-mult-comm:*

assumes $\mathbf{1} \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{poly-scalar-mult } R \ a \ (\text{poly-scalar-mult } R \ b \ P) = \text{poly-scalar-mult } R \ b \ (\text{poly-scalar-mult } R \ a \ P)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-eval-monomial-term:*

assumes *closed-fun* $R \ g$
assumes $\mathbf{1} \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes *set-mset* $m \subseteq I$
assumes $k \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{poly-eval } R \ S \ g \ (\text{poly-scalar-mult } R \ k \ (\text{mset-to-IP } R \ m)) = \text{poly-scalar-mult } R \ (k \otimes (\text{monom-eval } R \ (\text{restrict-to-indices } m \ S) \ g)) \ (\text{mset-to-IP } R \ (\text{remove-indices } m \ S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-eval-monomial-term-closed:*

assumes *closed-fun* $R \ g$
assumes $\mathbf{1} \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes *set-mset* $m \subseteq I$
assumes $k \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{poly-eval } R \ S \ g \ (\text{poly-scalar-mult } R \ k \ (\text{mset-to-IP } R \ m)) \in \text{Pring-set } R \ (I - S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *finsum-split:*

assumes *finite* S
assumes $f \in S \rightarrow \text{carrier } R$
assumes $g \in S \rightarrow \text{carrier } R$
assumes $k \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $c \in S$
assumes $\bigwedge s. s \in S \wedge s \neq c \implies f \ s = g \ s$
assumes $g \ c = f \ c \oplus k$
shows $\text{finsum } R \ g \ S = k \oplus \text{finsum } R \ f \ S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-monom-induction:*

assumes $P \ (\text{indexed-const } \mathbf{0})$

assumes $\bigwedge m k. \text{set-mset } m \subseteq I \wedge k \in \text{carrier } R \implies P (\text{poly-scalar-mult } R k (\text{mset-to-IP } R m))$
assumes $\bigwedge Q m k. Q \in \text{Pring-set } R I \wedge (P Q) \wedge \text{set-mset } m \subseteq I \wedge k \in \text{carrier } R \implies P (Q \oplus (\text{poly-scalar-mult } R k (\text{mset-to-IP } R m)))$
shows $\bigwedge Q. Q \in \text{Pring-set } R I \implies P Q$
 <proof>

lemma *Pring-car-induct*:

assumes $q \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R I)$
assumes $P \mathbf{0}_{\text{Pring } R I}$
assumes $\bigwedge m k. \text{set-mset } m \subseteq I \wedge k \in \text{carrier } R \implies P (k \odot_{\text{Pring } R I} (\text{mset-to-IP } R m))$
assumes $\bigwedge Q m k. Q \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R I) \wedge (P Q) \wedge \text{set-mset } m \subseteq I \wedge k \in \text{carrier } R \implies$
 $P (Q \oplus (k \odot_{\text{Pring } R I} (\text{mset-to-IP } R m)))$
shows $P q$
 <proof>

lemma *poly-monom-induction2*:

assumes $P (\text{indexed-const } \mathbf{0})$
assumes $\bigwedge m k. \text{set-mset } m \subseteq I \wedge k \in \text{carrier } R \implies P (\text{poly-scalar-mult } R k (\text{mset-to-IP } R m))$
assumes $\bigwedge Q m k. Q \in \text{Pring-set } R I \wedge (P Q) \wedge \text{set-mset } m \subseteq I \wedge k \in \text{carrier } R \implies P (Q \oplus (\text{poly-scalar-mult } R k (\text{mset-to-IP } R m)))$
assumes $Q \in \text{Pring-set } R I$
shows $P Q$
 <proof>

lemma *poly-monom-induction3*:

assumes $Q \in \text{Pring-set } R I$
assumes $P (\text{indexed-const } \mathbf{0})$
assumes $\bigwedge m k. \text{set-mset } m \subseteq I \wedge k \in \text{carrier } R \implies P (\text{poly-scalar-mult } R k (\text{mset-to-IP } R m))$
assumes $\bigwedge p q. p \in \text{Pring-set } R I \implies (P p) \implies q \in \text{Pring-set } R I \implies (P q) \implies P (p \oplus q)$
shows $P Q$
 <proof>

lemma *Pring-car-induct'*:

assumes $Q \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R I)$
assumes $P \mathbf{0}_{\text{Pring } R I}$
assumes $\bigwedge m k. \text{set-mset } m \subseteq I \wedge k \in \text{carrier } R \implies P (k \odot_{\text{Pring } R I} (\text{mset-to-IP } R m))$
assumes $\bigwedge p q. p \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R I) \implies (P p) \implies q \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R I) \implies (P q) \implies P (p \oplus_{\text{Pring } R I} q)$
shows $P Q$
 <proof>

lemma *poly-eval-mono*:

assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$
assumes $\text{closed-fun } R \ g$
assumes $\text{finite } F$
assumes $\text{monomials-reducing-to } R \ m \ P \ S \subseteq F$
assumes $\bigwedge n. n \in F \implies \text{remove-indices } n \ S = m$
shows $\text{poly-eval } R \ S \ g \ P \ m = (\bigoplus_{n \in F. \text{monom-eval } R \ (\text{restrict-to-indices } n \ S)}$
 $g \otimes P \ n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *finsum-group*:

assumes $\bigwedge n. f \ n \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $\bigwedge n. g \ n \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{finite } S \implies \text{finsum } R \ f \ S \oplus \text{finsum } R \ g \ S = \text{finsum } R \ (\lambda n. f \ n \oplus g \ n) \ S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-eval-add*:

assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$
assumes $Q \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$
assumes $\text{closed-fun } R \ g$
shows $\text{poly-eval } R \ S \ g \ (P \oplus Q) = \text{poly-eval } R \ S \ g \ P \oplus \text{poly-eval } R \ S \ g \ Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-eval-Pring-add*:

assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$
assumes $Q \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$
assumes $\text{closed-fun } R \ g$
shows $\text{poly-eval } R \ S \ g \ (P \oplus_{\text{Pring } R \ I} Q) = \text{poly-eval } R \ S \ g \ P \oplus_{\text{Pring } R \ I}$
 $\text{poly-eval } R \ S \ g \ Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Closure of partial evaluation maps:

lemma(in *cring*) *poly-eval-closed*:

assumes $\text{closed-fun } R \ g$
assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$
shows $\text{poly-eval } R \ S \ g \ P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ (I - S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-scalar-mult-indexed-pmult*:

assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$
assumes $k \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{poly-scalar-mult } R \ k \ (P \otimes i) = (\text{poly-scalar-mult } R \ k \ P) \otimes i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *remove-indices-add-mset*:

assumes $i \notin S$
shows $\text{remove-indices } (\text{add-mset } i \ m) \ S = \text{add-mset } i \ (\text{remove-indices } m \ S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-eval-monom-insert*:

assumes *closed-fun R g*
assumes $\mathbf{1} \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $i \in S$
shows $\text{poly-eval } R \ S \ g \ (\text{mset-to-IP } R \ (\text{add-mset } i \ m))$
 $= \text{poly-scalar-mult } R \ (g \ i)$
 $(\text{poly-eval } R \ S \ g \ (\text{mset-to-IP } R \ m))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-eval-monom-insert'*:
assumes *closed-fun R g*
assumes $\mathbf{1} \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $i \notin S$
shows $\text{poly-eval } R \ S \ g \ (\text{mset-to-IP } R \ (\text{add-mset } i \ m))$
 $= (\text{poly-eval } R \ S \ g \ (\text{mset-to-IP } R \ m)) \otimes i$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-eval-indexed-pmult-monomial*:
assumes *closed-fun R g*
assumes $k \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $i \in S$
assumes $\mathbf{1} \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{poly-eval } R \ S \ g \ (\text{poly-scalar-mult } R \ k \ (\text{mset-to-IP } R \ m) \otimes i) =$
 $\text{poly-scalar-mult } R \ (g \ i) \ (\text{poly-eval } R \ S \ g \ (\text{poly-scalar-mult } R \ k \ (\text{mset-to-IP}$
 $R \ m)))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-eval-indexed-pmult-monomial'*:
assumes *closed-fun R g*
assumes $k \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $i \notin S$
assumes $\mathbf{1} \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{poly-eval } R \ S \ g \ (\text{poly-scalar-mult } R \ k \ (\text{mset-to-IP } R \ m) \otimes i) =$
 $(\text{poly-eval } R \ S \ g \ (\text{poly-scalar-mult } R \ k \ (\text{mset-to-IP } R \ m))) \otimes i$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *indexed-pmult-add*:
assumes $p \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$
assumes $q \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$
shows $p \oplus q \otimes i = (p \otimes i) \oplus (q \otimes i)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-eval-indexed-pmult*:
assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$
assumes *closed-fun R g*
shows $\text{poly-eval } R \ S \ g \ (P \otimes i) = (\text{if } i \in S \text{ then } \text{poly-scalar-mult } R \ (g \ i)$
 $(\text{poly-eval } R \ S \ g \ P) \text{ else } (\text{poly-eval } R \ S \ g \ P) \otimes i)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-eval-index*:

assumes $1 \neq 0$
assumes *closed-fun* R g
shows *poly-eval* R S g (*mset-to-IP* R $\{i\}$) = (if $i \in S$ then (*indexed-const* (g i)) else *mset-to-IP* R $\{i\}$)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-eval-indexed-pmult'*:
assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R$ I
assumes *closed-fun* R g
assumes $i \in I$
shows *poly-eval* R S g ($P \otimes_p$ (*mset-to-IP* R $\{i\}$)) = *poly-eval* R S g P
 \otimes_p *poly-eval* R S g (*mset-to-IP* R $\{i\}$)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-eval-monom-mult*:
assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R$ I
assumes *closed-fun* R g
shows *poly-eval* R S g ($P \otimes_p$ (*mset-to-IP* R m)) = *poly-eval* R S g P \otimes_p
poly-eval R S g (*mset-to-IP* R m)
⟨*proof*⟩

abbreviation *mon-term* ($\langle Mt \rangle$) **where**
 Mt k $m \equiv$ *poly-scalar-mult* R k (*mset-to-IP* R m)

lemma *poly-eval-monom-term-mult*:
assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R$ I
assumes *closed-fun* R g
assumes $k \in \text{carrier } R$
shows *poly-eval* R S g ($P \otimes_p$ (Mt k m)) = *poly-eval* R S g P \otimes_p *poly-eval*
 R S g (Mt k m)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-eval-mult*:
assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R$ I
assumes $Q \in \text{Pring-set } R$ I
assumes *closed-fun* R g
shows *poly-eval* R S g ($P \otimes_p$ Q) = *poly-eval* R S g P \otimes_p *poly-eval* R S g Q
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-eval-Pring-mult*:
assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R$ I
assumes $Q \in \text{Pring-set } R$ I
assumes *closed-fun* R g
shows *poly-eval* R S g ($P \otimes_{\text{Pring } R} Q$) = *poly-eval* R S g P $\otimes_{\text{Pring } R}$ *poly-eval*
 R S g Q
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-eval-smult*:
assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R$ I

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $\text{closed-fun } R \ g$
shows $\text{poly-eval } R \ S \ g \ (a \odot_{\text{Pring } R \ I} P) = a \odot_{\text{Pring } R \ I} \text{poly-eval } R \ S \ g \ P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.11.6 Partial Evaluation is a Homomorphism

lemma $\text{poly-eval-ring-hom}$:
assumes $I \subseteq J$
assumes $\text{closed-fun } R \ g$
assumes $J - S \subseteq I$
shows $\text{ring-hom-ring } (\text{Pring } R \ J) \ (\text{Pring } R \ I) \ (\text{poly-eval } R \ S \ g)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\text{poly_eval } R$ at the zero function is an inverse to the inclusion of polynomial rings

lemma $\text{poly-eval-zero-function}$:
assumes $g = (\lambda n. \mathbf{0})$
assumes $J - S = I$
shows $P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I \implies \text{poly-eval } R \ S \ g \ P = P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{poly-eval-eval-function-eq}$:
assumes $\text{closed-fun } R \ g$
assumes $\text{closed-fun } R \ g'$
assumes $\text{restrict } g \ S = \text{restrict } g' \ S$
assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$
shows $\text{poly-eval } R \ S \ g \ P = \text{poly-eval } R \ S \ g' \ P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{poly-eval-eval-set-eq}$:
assumes $\text{closed-fun } R \ g$
assumes $S \cap I = S' \cap I$
assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$
assumes $\mathbf{1} \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{poly-eval } R \ S \ g \ P = \text{poly-eval } R \ S' \ g \ P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma poly-eval-trivial :
assumes $\text{closed-fun } R \ g$
assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ (I - S)$
shows $\text{poly-eval } R \ S \ g \ P = P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.11.7 Total Evaluation of a Polynomial

lemma zero-fun-closed :
 $\text{closed-fun } R \ (\lambda n. \mathbf{0})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *deg-zero-cf-eval*:

shows $P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I \implies \text{poly-eval } R \ I \ (\lambda n. \mathbf{0}) \ P = \text{indexed-const } (P \ \{\#\})$
<proof>

lemma *deg-zero-cf-mult*:

assumes $P \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$
assumes $Q \in \text{Pring-set } R \ I$
shows $(P \otimes_P Q) \ \{\#\} = P \ \{\#\} \otimes Q \ \{\#\}$
<proof>

definition *deg-zero-cf* :: $('a, 'c) \text{ mvar-poly} \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
deg-zero-cf $P = P \ \{\#\}$

lemma *deg-zero-cf-ring-hom*:

shows *ring-hom-ring* $(\text{Pring } R \ I) \ R \ (\text{deg-zero-cf})$
<proof>

end

definition *eval-in-ring* ::

$('a, 'b) \text{ ring-scheme} \Rightarrow 'c \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) \text{ mvar-poly} \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
eval-in-ring $R \ S \ g \ P = (\text{poly-eval } R \ S \ g \ P) \ \{\#\}$

definition *total-eval* ::

$('a, 'b) \text{ ring-scheme} \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) \text{ mvar-poly} \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
total-eval $R \ g \ P = \text{eval-in-ring } R \ \text{UNIV } g \ P$

context *cring*

begin

lemma *eval-in-ring-ring-hom*:

assumes *closed-fun* $R \ g$
shows *ring-hom-ring* $(\text{Pring } R \ I) \ R \ (\text{eval-in-ring } R \ S \ g)$
<proof>

lemma *eval-in-ring-smult*:

assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes *closed-fun* $R \ g$
shows $\text{eval-in-ring } R \ S \ g \ (a \odot_{\text{Pring } R \ I} P) = a \otimes \text{eval-in-ring } R \ S \ g \ P$
<proof>

lemma *total-eval-ring-hom*:

assumes *closed-fun* $R \ g$
shows *ring-hom-ring* $(\text{Pring } R \ I) \ R \ (\text{total-eval } R \ g)$
<proof>

lemma *total-eval-smult*:

assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes *closed-fun* $R \ g$

shows $\text{total-eval } R \ g \ (a \odot_{\text{Pring } R \ I} P) = a \otimes \text{total-eval } R \ g \ P$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *total-eval-const*:

assumes $k \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{total-eval } R \ g \ (\text{indexed-const } k) = k$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *total-eval-var*:

assumes *closed-fun* $R \ g$

shows $(\text{total-eval } R \ g \ (\text{mset-to-IP } R \ \{\#i\#})) = g \ i$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *total-eval-indexed-pmult*:

assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$

assumes $i \in I$

assumes *closed-fun* $R \ g$

shows $\text{total-eval } R \ g \ (P \otimes i) = \text{total-eval } R \ g \ P \otimes_R g \ i$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *total-eval-mult*:

assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$

assumes $Q \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$

assumes *closed-fun* $R \ g$

shows $\text{total-eval } R \ g \ (P \otimes_{\text{Pring } R \ I} Q) = (\text{total-eval } R \ g \ P) \otimes_R (\text{total-eval } R \ g$

$Q)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *total-eval-add*:

assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$

assumes $Q \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$

assumes *closed-fun* $R \ g$

shows $\text{total-eval } R \ g \ (P \oplus_{\text{Pring } R \ I} Q) = (\text{total-eval } R \ g \ P) \oplus_R (\text{total-eval } R \ g$

$Q)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *total-eval-one*:

assumes *closed-fun* $R \ g$

shows $\text{total-eval } R \ g \ \mathbf{1}_{\text{Pring } R \ I} = \mathbf{1}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *total-eval-zero*:

assumes *closed-fun* $R \ g$

shows $\text{total-eval } R \ g \ \mathbf{0}_{\text{Pring } R \ I} = \mathbf{0}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *total-eval-closed*:
assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$
assumes *closed-fun* $R \ g$
shows *total-eval* $R \ g \ P \in \text{carrier } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.12 Constructing Homomorphisms from Indexed Polynomial Rings and a Universal Property

The inclusion of R into its polynomial ring

lemma *indexed-const-ring-hom*:
ring-hom-ring $R \ (\text{Pring } R \ I) \ (\text{indexed-const})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *indexed-const-inj-on*:
inj-on $(\text{indexed-const}) \ (\text{carrier } R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

2.12.1 Mapping $R[x] \rightarrow S[x]$ along a homomorphism $R \rightarrow S$

definition *ring-hom-to-IP-ring-hom* ::
 $(\ 'a, \ 'e) \text{ ring-hom} \Rightarrow (\ 'a, \ 'c) \text{ mvar-poly} \Rightarrow \ 'c \text{ monomial} \Rightarrow \ 'e$ **where**
ring-hom-to-IP-ring-hom $\varphi \ P \ m = \varphi \ (P \ m)$

context *cring*
begin

lemma *ring-hom-to-IP-ring-hom-one*:
assumes *cring* S
assumes *ring-hom-ring* $R \ S \ \varphi$
shows *ring-hom-to-IP-ring-hom* $\varphi \ \mathbf{1}_{\text{Pring } R \ I} = \mathbf{1}_{\text{Pring } S \ I}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ring-hom-to-IP-ring-hom-constant*:
assumes *cring* S
assumes *ring-hom-ring* $R \ S \ \varphi$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows *ring-hom-to-IP-ring-hom* $\varphi \ ((\text{indexed-const } a):: \ 'c \text{ monomial} \Rightarrow \ 'a) =$
ring.indexed-const $S \ (\varphi \ a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ring-hom-to-IP-ring-hom-add*:
assumes *cring* S
assumes *ring-hom-ring* $R \ S \ \varphi$
assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$
assumes $Q \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$

shows *ring-hom-to-IP-ring-hom* $\varphi (P \oplus_{Pring R I} Q) =$
 $(ring-hom-to-IP-ring-hom \varphi P) \oplus_{Pring S I} (ring-hom-to-IP-ring-hom \varphi Q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ring-hom-to-IP-ring-hom-closed*:
assumes *cring* S
assumes *ring-hom-ring* $R S \varphi$
assumes $P \in carrier (Pring R I)$
shows *ring-hom-to-IP-ring-hom* $\varphi P \in carrier (Pring S I)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ring-hom-to-IP-ring-hom-monom*:
assumes *cring* S
assumes *ring-hom-ring* $R S \varphi$
shows *ring-hom-to-IP-ring-hom* $\varphi (mset-to-IP R m) = mset-to-IP S m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pring-morphism*:
assumes *cring* S
assumes $\varphi \in (carrier (Pring R I)) \rightarrow (carrier S)$
assumes $\varphi \mathbf{1}_{Pring R I} = \mathbf{1}_S$
assumes $\varphi \mathbf{0}_{Pring R I} = \mathbf{0}_S$
assumes $\bigwedge P Q. P \in carrier (Pring R I) \implies Q \in carrier (Pring R I) \implies$
 $\varphi (P \oplus_{Pring R I} Q) = (\varphi P) \oplus_S (\varphi Q)$
assumes $\bigwedge i. \bigwedge P. i \in I \implies P \in carrier (Pring R I) \implies \varphi (P \otimes i) = (\varphi$
 $P) \otimes_S (\varphi (mset-to-IP R \{i\}))$
assumes $\bigwedge k Q. k \in carrier R \implies Q \in carrier (Pring R I) \implies \varphi (poly-scalar-mult$
 $R k Q) =$
 $(\varphi (indexed-const k)) \otimes_S (\varphi Q)$
shows *ring-hom-ring* $(Pring R I) S \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(*in cring*) *indexed-const-Pring-mult*:
assumes $k \in carrier R$
assumes $P \in carrier (Pring R I)$
shows $(indexed-const k \otimes_{Pring R I} P) m = k \otimes_R (P m)$
 $(P \otimes_{Pring R I} indexed-const k) m = k \otimes_R (P m)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(*in cring*) *ring-hom-to-IP-ring-hom-is-hom*:
assumes *cring* S
assumes *ring-hom-ring* $R S \varphi$
shows *ring-hom-ring* $(Pring R I) (Pring S I) (ring-hom-to-IP-ring-hom \varphi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ring-hom-to-IP-ring-hom-smult*:
assumes *cring* S
assumes *ring-hom-ring* $R S \varphi$
assumes $P \in carrier (Pring R I)$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{ring-hom-to-IP-ring-hom } \varphi (a \odot_{\text{Pring } R} I^P) =$
 $\varphi a \odot_{\text{Pring } S} I (\text{ring-hom-to-IP-ring-hom } \varphi P)$
 ⟨proof⟩

2.12.2 A Universal Property for Indexed Polynomial Rings

lemma *Pring-universal-prop-0*:

assumes $a\text{-cring}: \text{cring } S$
assumes $\text{index-map}: \text{closed-fun } S g$
assumes $\text{ring-hom}: \text{ring-hom-ring } R S \varphi$
assumes $\psi = (\text{total-eval } S g) \circ (\text{ring-hom-to-IP-ring-hom } \varphi)$
shows $(\text{ring-hom-ring } (\text{Pring } R I) S \psi)$
 $(\forall i \in I. \psi (\text{mset-to-IP } R \{\#i\}) = g i)$
 $(\forall a \in \text{carrier } R. \psi (\text{indexed-const } a) = \varphi a)$
 $\forall \varrho. (\text{ring-hom-ring } (\text{Pring } R I) S \varrho) \wedge$
 $(\forall i \in I. \varrho (\text{mset-to-IP } R \{\#i\}) = g i) \wedge$
 $(\forall a \in \text{carrier } R. \varrho (\text{indexed-const } a) = \varphi a) \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall x \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R I). \varrho x = \psi x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

definition $\text{close-fun} :: 'c \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('e, 'f) \text{ ring-scheme} \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow 'e) \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow 'e)$
where
 $\text{close-fun } I S g = (\lambda i. (\text{if } i \in I \text{ then } g i \text{ else } \mathbf{0}_S))$

context cring
begin

lemma *close-funE*:

assumes $\text{cring } S$
assumes $g \in I \rightarrow \text{carrier } S$
shows $\text{close-fun } S (\text{close-fun } I S g)$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

definition *indexed-poly-induced-morphism* ::

$'c \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('e, 'f) \text{ ring-scheme} \Rightarrow ('a, 'e) \text{ ring-hom} \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow 'e) \Rightarrow (('a, 'c) \text{ mvar-poly},$
 $'e) \text{ ring-hom}$ **where**

$\text{indexed-poly-induced-morphism } I S \varphi g = (\text{total-eval } S (\text{close-fun } I S g)) \circ (\text{ring-hom-to-IP-ring-hom } \varphi)$

context cring
begin

lemma *Pring-universal-prop*:

assumes $a\text{-cring}: \text{cring } S$

assumes *index-map*: $g \in I \rightarrow \text{carrier } S$
assumes *ring-hom*: *ring-hom-ring* $R S \varphi$
assumes $\psi = \text{indexed-poly-induced-morphism } I S \varphi g$
shows (*ring-hom-ring* (*Pring* $R I$) $S \psi$)
 $(\forall i \in I. \psi (\text{mset-to-IP } R \{\#i\}) = g i)$
 $(\forall a \in \text{carrier } R. \psi (\text{indexed-const } a) = \varphi a)$
 $\forall \varrho. (\text{ring-hom-ring } (\text{Pring } R I) S \varrho) \wedge$
 $(\forall i \in I. \varrho (\text{mset-to-IP } R \{\#i\}) = g i) \wedge$
 $(\forall a \in \text{carrier } R. \varrho (\text{indexed-const } a) = \varphi a) \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall x \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R I). \varrho x = \psi x)$
 <proof>

2.13 Mapping Multivariate Polynomials over a Single Variable to Univariate Polynomials

Constructor for multisets which have one distinct element

definition *nat-to-mset* :: ' $c \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'c$ monomial **where**
nat-to-mset $i n = \text{Abs-multiset } (\lambda j. \text{if } (j = i) \text{ then } n \text{ else } 0)$

lemma *nat-to-msetE*: $\text{count } (\text{nat-to-mset } i n) i = n$
 <proof>

lemma *nat-to-msetE'*:
assumes $j \neq i$
shows $\text{count } (\text{nat-to-mset } i n) j = 0$
 <proof>

lemma *nat-to-mset-add*: $\text{nat-to-mset } i (n + m) = (\text{nat-to-mset } i n) + (\text{nat-to-mset } i m)$
 <proof>

lemma *nat-to-mset-inj*:
assumes $n \neq m$
shows $(\text{nat-to-mset } i n) \neq (\text{nat-to-mset } i m)$
 <proof>

lemma *nat-to-mset-zero*: $\text{nat-to-mset } i 0 = \{\#\}$
 <proof>

lemma *nat-to-mset-Suc*: $\text{nat-to-mset } i (\text{Suc } n) = \text{add-mset } i (\text{nat-to-mset } i n)$
 <proof>

lemma *nat-to-mset-Pring-singleton*:
assumes *cring* R
assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \{i\})$
assumes $m \in \text{monomials-of } R P$
shows $m = \text{nat-to-mset } i (\text{count } m i)$
 <proof>

definition *IP-to-UP* :: 'd ⇒ ('e, 'd) mvar-poly ⇒ 'e u-poly **where**
IP-to-UP i P = (λ (n::nat). P (nat-to-mset i n))

lemma *IP-to-UP-closed*:

assumes cring R

assumes P ∈ carrier (Pring R {i::'c})

shows *IP-to-UP* i P ∈ carrier (UP R)

⟨proof⟩

lemma *IP-to-UP-var*:

shows *IP-to-UP* i (mset-to-IP R {#i#}) = X-poly R

⟨proof⟩

end

context UP-cring

begin

lemma *IP-to-UP-monom*:

shows *IP-to-UP* i (mset-to-IP R (nat-to-mset i n)) = ((X-poly R)[\uparrow]_{UP R}ⁿ)

⟨proof⟩

lemma *IP-to-UP-one*:

IP-to-UP i **1**_{Pring R {i}} = **1**_{UP R}

⟨proof⟩

lemma *IP-to-UP-zero*:

IP-to-UP i **0**_{Pring R {i}} = **0**_{UP R}

⟨proof⟩

lemma *IP-to-UP-add*:

assumes x ∈ carrier (Pring R {i})

assumes y ∈ carrier (Pring R {i})

shows *IP-to-UP* i (x ⊕_{Pring R {i}} y) =

IP-to-UP i x ⊕_{UP R} *IP-to-UP* i y

⟨proof⟩

lemma *IP-to-UP-indexed-const*:

assumes k ∈ carrier R

shows *IP-to-UP* i (ring.indexed-const R k) = to-polynomial R k

⟨proof⟩

lemma *IP-to-UP-indexed-pmult*:

assumes p ∈ carrier (Pring R {i})

shows *IP-to-UP* i (ring.indexed-pmult R p i) = (*IP-to-UP* i p) ⊗_{UP R} (X-poly R)

⟨proof⟩

lemma *IP-to-UP-ring-hom*:

shows *ring-hom-ring* (*Pring* $R \{i\}$) (*UP* R) (*IP-to-UP* i)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *IP-to-UP-ring-hom-inj*:

shows *inj-on* (*IP-to-UP* i) (*carrier* (*Pring* $R \{i\}$))
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *IP-to-UP-scalar-mult*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \{i\})$

shows (*IP-to-UP* i ($a \odot_{\text{Pring } R \{i\}} p$)) = $a \odot_{\text{UP } R} (\text{IP-to-UP } i p)$

⟨*proof*⟩

end

Evaluation of indexed polynomials commutes with evaluation of univariate polynomials:

lemma *pvar-closed*:

assumes *cring* R

assumes $i \in I$

shows (*pvar* $R i$) $\in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R I)$

⟨*proof*⟩

context *UP-cring*

begin

lemma *pvar-mult*:

assumes $i \in I$

assumes $j \in I$

shows (*pvar* $R i$) $\otimes_{\text{Pring } R I}$ (*pvar* $R j$) = *mset-to-IP* $R \{\#i, j\#}$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *pvar-pow*:

assumes $i \in I$

shows (*pvar* $R i$) $[\bigwedge]_{\text{Pring } R I} (n::\text{nat})$ = *mset-to-IP* $R (\text{nat-to-mset } i n)$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *IP-to-UP-poly-eval*:

assumes $p \in \text{Pring-set } R \{i\}$

assumes *closed-fun* $R g$

shows *total-eval* $R g p$ = *to-function* $R (\text{IP-to-UP } i p) (g i)$

⟨*proof*⟩

end

2.14 Mapping Univariate Polynomials to Multivariate Polynomials over a Singleton Variable Set

definition $UP\text{-to-IP} :: ('a, 'b) \text{ ring-scheme} \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow 'a \text{ u-poly} \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) \text{ mvar-poly}$
where

$UP\text{-to-IP } R \ i \ P = (\lambda m. \text{ if } (\text{set-mset } m) \subseteq \{i\} \text{ then } P \ (\text{count } m \ i) \text{ else } \mathbf{0}_R)$

context $UP\text{-cring}$

begin

lemma $UP\text{-to-IP-inv}$:

assumes $p \in \text{Pring-set } R \ \{i\}$

shows $UP\text{-to-IP } R \ i \ (IP\text{-to-UP } i \ p) = p$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $UP\text{-to-IP-const}$:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $UP\text{-to-IP } R \ i \ (\text{to-polynomial } R \ a) = \text{ring.indexed-const } R \ a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $UP\text{-to-IP-add}$:

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (UP \ R)$

assumes $Q \in \text{carrier } (UP \ R)$

shows $UP\text{-to-IP } R \ i \ (p \oplus_{UP \ R} Q) =$

$UP\text{-to-IP } R \ i \ p \oplus_{\text{Pring } R \ \{i\}} UP\text{-to-IP } R \ i \ Q$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $UP\text{-to-IP-var}$:

shows $UP\text{-to-IP } R \ i \ (X\text{-poly } R) = \text{pvar } R \ i$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $UP\text{-to-IP-var-pow}$:

shows $UP\text{-to-IP } R \ i \ ((X\text{-poly } R)[\uparrow]_{UP \ R} (n::\text{nat})) = (\text{pvar } R \ i)[\uparrow]_{\text{Pring } R \ \{i\}} n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{one-var-indexed-poly-monom-simp}$:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $(a \odot_{\text{Pring } R \ \{i\}} ((\text{pvar } R \ i) [\uparrow]_{\text{Pring } R \ \{i\}} n)) \ x = (\text{if } x = (\text{nat-to-mset } i \ n) \text{ then } a \text{ else } \mathbf{0})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $UP\text{-to-IP-monom}$:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $UP\text{-to-IP } R \ i \ (\text{up-ring.monom } (UP \ R) \ a \ n) = a \odot_{\text{Pring } R \ \{i\}} ((\text{pvar } R \ i) [\uparrow]_{\text{Pring } R \ \{i\}} n)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $UP\text{-to-IP-monom}'$:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $UP\text{-to-IP } R \ i \ (\text{up-ring.monom } (UP \ R) \ a \ n) = a \odot_{Pring \ R \ \{i\}} ((\text{pvar } R \ i)[\overset{\sim}{\wedge}_{Pring \ R \ \{i\}} n])$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UP-to-IP-closed:*

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $(UP\text{-to-IP } R \ i \ p) \in \text{carrier } (Pring \ R \ \{i\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *IP-to-UP-inv:*

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
shows $IP\text{-to-UP } i \ (UP\text{-to-IP } R \ i \ p) = p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UP-to-IP-mult:*

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (UP \ R)$
assumes $Q \in \text{carrier } (UP \ R)$
shows $UP\text{-to-IP } R \ i \ (p \otimes_{UP \ R} Q) =$
 $UP\text{-to-IP } R \ i \ p \otimes_{Pring \ R \ \{i\}} UP\text{-to-IP } R \ i \ Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UP-to-IP-ring-hom:*

shows $\text{ring-hom-ring } (UP \ R) \ (Pring \ R \ \{i\}) \ (UP\text{-to-IP } R \ i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

2.14.1 The isomorphism $R[I \cup J] \sim R[I][J]$, where I and J are disjoint variable sets

Given a ring R and variable sets I and J , we'd like to construct the canonical (iso)morphism $R[I \cup J] \rightarrow R[I][J]$. This can be done with the universal property of the previous section. Let $\phi : R \rightarrow R[J]$ be the inclusion of constants, and $f : J \rightarrow R[I]$ be the map which sends the variable i to the polynomial variable i over the ring $R[I][J]$. Then these are the two basic pieces of input required to give us a canonical homomorphism $R[I \cup J] \rightarrow R[I][J]$ with the universal property. The first map ϕ will be "`dist_varset_morpshim`" below, and the second map will be "`dist_varset_var_ass`". The desired induced isomorphism will be called "`var_factor`".

definition(in *ring*) *dist-varset-morphism*

$:: 'd \ \text{set} \Rightarrow 'd \ \text{set} \Rightarrow$
 $('a, (('a, 'd) \ \text{mvar-poly}, 'd) \ \text{mvar-poly}) \ \text{ring-hom} \ \mathbf{where}$
 $\text{dist-varset-morphism } (I :: 'd \ \text{set}) \ (J :: 'd \ \text{set}) =$
 $(\text{ring.indexed-const } (Pring \ R \ J) :: ('d \ \text{multiset} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'd \ \text{multiset} \Rightarrow ('d$
 $\text{multiset} \Rightarrow 'a)) \circ (\text{ring.indexed-const } R :: 'a \Rightarrow 'd \ \text{multiset} \Rightarrow 'a)$

definition(in ring) *dist-varset-var-ass*
 $:: 'd \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'd \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'd \Rightarrow (('a, 'd) \text{ mvar-poly}, 'd) \text{ mvar-poly}$
where
dist-varset-var-ass ($I:: 'd \text{ set}$) ($J:: 'd \text{ set}$) = ($\lambda i.$ if $i \in J$ then *ring.indexed-const* (*Pring* R J) (*pvar* R i) else
 $\text{pvar } (Pring \ R \ J) \ i$)

context *cring*
begin

lemma *dist-varset-morphism-is-morphism*:
assumes ($I:: 'd \text{ set}$) $\subseteq J0 \cup J1$
assumes $J1 \subseteq I$
assumes $\varphi = \text{dist-varset-morphism } I \ J0$
shows *ring-hom-ring* R (*Pring* (*Pring* R $J0$) $J1$) φ
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *var-factor* ::
 $'d \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'd \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'd \text{ set} \Rightarrow$
 $((('a, 'd) \text{ mvar-poly}, (('a, 'd) \text{ mvar-poly}, 'd) \text{ mvar-poly}) \text{ ring-hom } \mathbf{where}$
var-factor ($I:: 'd \text{ set}$) ($J0:: 'd \text{ set}$) ($J1:: 'd \text{ set}$) = *indexed-poly-induced-morphism*
 I (*Pring* (*Pring* R $J0$) $J1$)
 $(\text{dist-varset-morphism } I \ J0)$
 $(\text{dist-varset-var-ass } I \ J0)$

lemma *indexed-const-closed*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } R$
shows *indexed-const* $x \in \text{carrier } (Pring \ R \ I)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *var-factor-morphism*:
assumes ($I:: 'd \text{ set}$) $\subseteq J0 \cup J1$
assumes $J1 \subseteq I$
assumes $J1 \cap J0 = \{\}$
assumes $g = \text{dist-varset-var-ass } I \ J0$
assumes $\varphi = \text{dist-varset-morphism } I \ J0$
assumes $\psi = (\text{var-factor } I \ J0 \ J1)$
shows *ring-hom-ring* (*Pring* R I) (*Pring* (*Pring* R $J0$) $J1$) ψ
 $\bigwedge i. i \in J0 \cap I \Longrightarrow \psi (\text{pvar } R \ i) = \text{ring.indexed-const } (Pring \ R \ J0) (\text{pvar}$
 $R \ i)$
 $\bigwedge i. i \in J1 \Longrightarrow \psi (\text{pvar } R \ i) = \text{pvar } (Pring \ R \ J0) \ i$
 $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{carrier } (Pring \ R \ (J0 \cap I)) \Longrightarrow \psi \ a = \text{ring.indexed-const } (Pring \ R$
 $J0) \ a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *var-factor-morphism'*:
assumes $I = J0 \cup J1$
assumes $J1 \subseteq I$
assumes $J1 \cap J0 = \{\}$

assumes $\psi = (\text{var-factor } I \ J0 \ J1)$
shows $\text{ring-hom-ring } (\text{Pring } R \ I) \ (\text{Pring } (\text{Pring } R \ J0) \ J1) \ \psi$
 $\bigwedge i. i \in J1 \implies \psi (\text{pvar } R \ i) = \text{pvar } (\text{Pring } R \ J0) \ i$
 $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ (J0 \cap I)) \implies \psi \ a = \text{ring.indexed-const } (\text{Pring } R \ J0) \ a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Constructing the inverse morphism for `var_factor_morphism`

lemma *pvar-ass-closed*:

assumes $J1 \subseteq I$
shows $\text{pvar } R \in J1 \rightarrow \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The following function gives us the inverse morphism $R[I][J] \rightarrow R[I \cup J]$:

definition *var-factor-inv* :: $'d \ \text{set} \Rightarrow 'd \ \text{set} \Rightarrow 'd \ \text{set} \Rightarrow$
 $((('a, 'd) \ \text{mvar-poly}, 'd) \ \text{mvar-poly}, ('a, 'd) \ \text{mvar-poly}) \ \text{ring-hom}$ **where**
 $\text{var-factor-inv } (I:: 'd \ \text{set}) \ (J0:: 'd \ \text{set}) \ (J1:: 'd \ \text{set}) = \text{indexed-poly-induced-morphism}$
 $J1 \ (\text{Pring } R \ I)$
 $(\text{id}:: ('d \ \text{multiset} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'd \ \text{multiset}$
 $\Rightarrow 'a)$
 $(\text{pvar } R)$

lemma *var-factor-inv-morphism*:

assumes $I = J0 \cup J1$
assumes $J1 \subseteq I$
assumes $J1 \cap J0 = \{\}$
assumes $\psi = (\text{var-factor-inv } I \ J0 \ J1)$
shows $\text{ring-hom-ring } (\text{Pring } (\text{Pring } R \ J0) \ J1) \ (\text{Pring } R \ I) \ \psi$
 $\bigwedge i. i \in J1 \implies \psi (\text{pvar } (\text{Pring } R \ J0) \ i) = \text{pvar } R \ i$
 $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ J0) \implies \psi (\text{ring.indexed-const } (\text{Pring } R \ J0) \ a) =$
 a
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *var-factor-inv-inverse*:

assumes $I = J0 \cup J1$
assumes $J1 \subseteq I$
assumes $J1 \cap J0 = \{\}$
assumes $\psi1 = (\text{var-factor-inv } I \ J0 \ J1)$
assumes $\psi0 = (\text{var-factor } I \ J0 \ J1)$
assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$
shows $\psi1 \ (\psi0 \ P) = P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *var-factor-total-eval*:

assumes $I = J0 \cup J1$
assumes $J1 \subseteq I$
assumes $J1 \cap J0 = \{\}$
assumes $\psi = (\text{var-factor } I \ J0 \ J1)$
assumes $\text{closed-fun } R \ g$

assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$
shows $\text{total-eval } R \ g \ P = \text{total-eval } R \ g \ (\text{total-eval } (\text{Pring } R \ J0) \ (\text{indexed-const } \circ \ g) \ (\psi \ P))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *var-factor-closed*:

assumes $I = J0 \cup J1$
assumes $J1 \subseteq I$
assumes $J1 \cap J0 = \{\}$
assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$
shows $\text{var-factor } I \ J0 \ J1 \ P \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } (\text{Pring } R \ J0) \ J1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *var-factor-add*:

assumes $I = J0 \cup J1$
assumes $J1 \subseteq I$
assumes $J1 \cap J0 = \{\}$
assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$
assumes $Q \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$
shows $\text{var-factor } I \ J0 \ J1 \ (P \oplus_{\text{Pring } R \ I} Q) = \text{var-factor } I \ J0 \ J1 \ P \oplus_{\text{Pring } (\text{Pring } R \ J0) \ J1} \text{var-factor } I \ J0 \ J1 \ Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *var-factor-mult*:

assumes $I = J0 \cup J1$
assumes $J1 \subseteq I$
assumes $J1 \cap J0 = \{\}$
assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$
assumes $Q \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$
shows $\text{var-factor } I \ J0 \ J1 \ (P \otimes_{\text{Pring } R \ I} Q) = \text{var-factor } I \ J0 \ J1 \ P \otimes_{\text{Pring } (\text{Pring } R \ J0) \ J1} \text{var-factor } I \ J0 \ J1 \ Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.14.2 Viewing a Multivariable Polynomial as a Univariate Polynomial over a Multivariate Polynomial Base Ring

definition *multivar-poly-to-univ-poly* ::

$'c \ \text{set} \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) \ \text{mvar-poly} \Rightarrow$
 $((('a, 'c) \ \text{mvar-poly}) \ \text{u-poly} \ \mathbf{where}$
 $\text{multivar-poly-to-univ-poly } I \ i \ P = ((\text{IP-to-UP } i) \circ (\text{var-factor } I \ (I - \{i\}) \ \{i\})) \ P$

definition *univ-poly-to-multivar-poly* ::

$'c \ \text{set} \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow ((('a, 'c) \ \text{mvar-poly}) \ \text{u-poly} \Rightarrow$
 $('a, 'c) \ \text{mvar-poly} \ \mathbf{where}$
 $\text{univ-poly-to-multivar-poly } I \ i \ P = ((\text{var-factor-inv } I \ (I - \{i\}) \ \{i\}) \circ (\text{UP-to-IP } (\text{Pring } R \ (I - \{i\}) \ i))) \ P$

lemma *multivar-poly-to-univ-poly-is-hom*:

assumes $i \in I$

shows *multivar-poly-to-univ-poly* $I i \in \text{ring-hom} (\text{Pring } R I) (UP (\text{Pring } R (I - \{i\})))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *multivar-poly-to-univ-poly-inverse*:
assumes $i \in I$
assumes $\psi 0 = \text{multivar-poly-to-univ-poly } I i$
assumes $\psi 1 = \text{univ-poly-to-multivar-poly } I i$
assumes $P \in \text{carrier} (\text{Pring } R I)$
shows $\psi 1 (\psi 0 P) = P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *multivar-poly-to-univ-poly-total-eval*:
assumes $i \in I$
assumes $\psi = \text{multivar-poly-to-univ-poly } I i$
assumes $P \in \text{carrier} (\text{Pring } R I)$
assumes *closed-fun* $R g$
shows $\text{total-eval } R g P = \text{total-eval } R g (\text{to-function} (\text{Pring } R (I - \{i\})) (\psi P))$
 $(\text{indexed-const } (g i))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Induction for polynomial rings. Basically just `indexed_pset.induct` with some boilerplate translations removed

lemma(**in** *ring*) *Pring-car-induct''*:
assumes $Q \in \text{carrier} (\text{Pring } R I)$
assumes $\bigwedge c. c \in \text{carrier } R \implies P (\text{indexed-const } c)$
assumes $\bigwedge p q. p \in \text{carrier} (\text{Pring } R I) \implies q \in \text{carrier} (\text{Pring } R I) \implies P p \implies P q \implies P (p \oplus_{\text{Pring } R I} q)$
assumes $\bigwedge p i. p \in \text{carrier} (\text{Pring } R I) \implies i \in I \implies P p \implies P (p \otimes_{\text{Pring } R I} \text{pvar } R i)$
shows $P Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.14.3 Application: A Polynomial Ring over a Domain is a Domain

In this section, we use the fact the `UP` R is a domain when R is a domain to show the analogous result for indexed polynomial rings. We first prove it inductively for rings with a finite variable set, and then generalize to infinite variable sets using the fact that any two multivariable polynomials over an indexed polynomial ring must also lie in a finitely indexed polynomial subring.

lemma *indexed-const-mult*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{indexed-const } a \otimes_{\text{Pring } R I} \text{indexed-const } b = \text{indexed-const } (a \otimes b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *domain*) *Pring-fin-vars-is-domain*:

assumes *finite* ($I :: 'c \text{ set}$)
shows *domain* (*Pring R I*)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *locally-finite*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier}$ (*Pring R I*)
shows $\exists J. J \subseteq I \wedge \text{finite } J \wedge a \in \text{carrier}$ (*Pring R J*)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *domain*) *Pring-is-domain*:

domain (*Pring R I*)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.14.4 Relabelling of Variables for Indexed Polynomial Rings

definition *relabel-vars* :: $'d \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'e \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('d \Rightarrow 'e) \Rightarrow$

$('a, 'd) \text{ mvar-poly} \Rightarrow ('a, 'e) \text{ mvar-poly}$ **where**

relabel-vars I J g = *indexed-poly-induced-morphism I* (*Pring R J*) *indexed-const*
 $(\lambda i. \text{pvar } R (g i))$

lemma *relabel-vars-is-morphism*:

assumes $g \in I \rightarrow J$

shows *ring-hom-ring* (*Pring R I*) (*Pring R J*) (*relabel-vars I J g*)

$\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{relabel-vars } I J g (\text{pvar } R i) = \text{pvar } R (g i)$

$\bigwedge c. c \in \text{carrier } R \implies \text{relabel-vars } I J g (\text{indexed-const } c) = \text{indexed-const } c$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *relabel-vars-add*:

assumes $g \in I \rightarrow J$

assumes $P \in \text{carrier}$ (*Pring R I*)

assumes $Q \in \text{carrier}$ (*Pring R I*)

shows *relabel-vars I J g* ($P \oplus_{\text{Pring } R I} Q$) = *relabel-vars I J g* $P \oplus_{\text{Pring } R J}$

relabel-vars I J g Q

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *relabel-vars-mult*:

assumes $g \in I \rightarrow J$

assumes $P \in \text{carrier}$ (*Pring R I*)

assumes $Q \in \text{carrier}$ (*Pring R I*)

shows *relabel-vars I J g* ($P \otimes_{\text{Pring } R I} Q$) = *relabel-vars I J g* $P \otimes_{\text{Pring } R J}$

relabel-vars I J g Q

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *relabel-vars-closed*:

assumes $g \in I \rightarrow J$

assumes $P \in \text{carrier}$ (*Pring R I*)

shows *relabel-vars I J g* $P \in \text{carrier}$ (*Pring R J*)

<proof>

lemma *relabel-vars-smult*:

assumes $g \in I \rightarrow J$

assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{relabel-vars } I \ J \ g \ (a \odot_{\text{Pring } R} I^P) = a \odot_{\text{Pring } R} J^{\text{relabel-vars } I \ J \ g} P$

<proof>

lemma *relabel-vars-inverse*:

assumes $g \in I \rightarrow J$

assumes $h \in J \rightarrow I$

assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies h (g \ i) = i$

assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$

shows $\text{relabel-vars } J \ I \ h \ (\text{relabel-vars } I \ J \ g \ P) = P$

<proof>

lemma *relabel-vars-total-eval*:

assumes $g \in I \rightarrow J$

assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$

assumes *closed-fun* $R \ f$

shows $\text{total-eval } R \ (f \circ g) \ P = \text{total-eval } R \ f \ (\text{relabel-vars } I \ J \ g \ P)$

<proof>

end

end

theory *Indices*

imports *Main*

begin

3 Basic Lemmas for Manipulating Indices and Lists

fun *index-list* **where**

index-list $0 = []$

index-list $(\text{Suc } n) = \text{index-list } n \ @ \ [n]$

lemma *index-list-length*:

length $(\text{index-list } n) = n$

<proof>

lemma *index-list-indices*:

$k < n \implies (\text{index-list } n)!k = k$

<proof>

lemma *index-list-set*:

set $(\text{index-list } n) = \{..<n\}$

<proof>

fun *flat-map* :: ('a => 'b list) => 'a list => 'b list **where**
flat-map f [] = []
|*flat-map* f (h#t) = (f h)@(flat-map f t)

abbreviation(*input*) *project-at-indices* (< π_{-} >) **where**
project-at-indices S as \equiv *nths* as S

fun *insert-at-index* :: 'a list => 'a => nat => 'a list **where**
insert-at-index as a n = (take n as) @ (a#(drop n as))

lemma *insert-at-index-length*:
shows length (insert-at-index as a n) = length as + 1
<proof>

lemma *insert-at-index-eq[simp]*:
assumes n \leq length as
shows (insert-at-index as a n)!n = a
<proof>

lemma *insert-at-index-eq'[simp]*:
assumes n \leq length as
assumes k < n
shows (insert-at-index as a n)!k = as ! k
<proof>

lemma *insert-at-index-eq''[simp]*:
assumes n < length as
assumes k \leq n
shows (insert-at-index as a k)!(Suc n) = as ! n
<proof>

Correctness of *project_at_indices*

definition *indices-of* :: 'a list => nat set **where**
indices-of as = {.. \langle length as \rangle }

lemma *proj-at-index-list-length[simp]*:
assumes S \subseteq *indices-of* as
shows length (project-at-indices S as) = card S
<proof>

A function which enumerates finite sets

abbreviation(*input*) *set-to-list* :: nat set => nat list **where**
set-to-list S \equiv *sorted-list-of-set* S

lemma *set-to-list-set*:
assumes finite S
shows set (set-to-list S) = S
<proof>

lemma *set-to-list-length*:
assumes *finite S*
shows $\text{length } (\text{set-to-list } S) = \text{card } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set-to-list-empty*:
assumes $\text{card } S = 0$
shows $\text{set-to-list } S = []$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set-to-list-first*:
assumes $\text{card } S > 0$
shows $\text{Min } S = \text{set-to-list } S ! 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set-to-list-last*:
assumes $\text{card } S > 0$
shows $\text{Max } S = \text{last } (\text{set-to-list } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set-to-list-insert-Max*:
assumes *finite S*
assumes $\bigwedge s. s \in S \implies a > s$
shows $\text{set-to-list } (\text{insert } a S) = \text{set-to-list } S @ [a]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set-to-list-insert-Min*:
assumes *finite S*
assumes $\bigwedge s. s \in S \implies a < s$
shows $\text{set-to-list } (\text{insert } a S) = a \# \text{set-to-list } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *nth-elem* **where**
 $\text{nth-elem } S n = \text{set-to-list } S ! n$

lemma *nth-elem-closed*:
assumes $i < \text{card } S$
shows $\text{nth-elem } S i \in S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-elem-Min*:
assumes $\text{card } S > 0$
shows $\text{nth-elem } S 0 = \text{Min } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-elem-Max*:
assumes $\text{card } S > 0$
shows $\text{nth-elem } S (\text{card } S - 1) = \text{Max } S$

<proof>

lemma *nth-elem-Suc*:

assumes $\text{card } S > \text{Suc } n$

shows $\text{nth-elem } S (\text{Suc } n) > \text{nth-elem } S n$

<proof>

lemma *nth-elem-insert-Min*:

assumes $\text{card } S > 0$

assumes $a < \text{Min } S$

shows $\text{nth-elem } (\text{insert } a S) (\text{Suc } i) = \text{nth-elem } S i$

<proof>

lemma *set-to-list-Suc-map*:

assumes *finite* S

shows $\text{set-to-list } (\text{Suc } ` S) = \text{map } \text{Suc } (\text{set-to-list } S)$

<proof>

lemma *nth-elem-Suc-im*:

assumes $i < \text{card } S$

shows $\text{nth-elem } (\text{Suc } ` S) i = \text{Suc } (\text{nth-elem } S i)$

<proof>

lemma *set-to-list-upto*:

$\text{set-to-list } \{.. $n\} = [0.. $n]$$$

<proof>

lemma *nth-elem-upto*:

assumes $i < n$

shows $\text{nth-elem } \{.. $n\} i = i$$

<proof>

Characterizing the entries of `project_at_indices`

lemma *project-at-indices-append*:

$\text{project-at-indices } S (\text{as}@bs) = \text{project-at-indices } S \text{ as } @ \text{project-at-indices } \{j. j + \text{length } \text{as} \in S\} bs$

<proof>

lemma *project-at-indices-nth*:

assumes $S \subseteq \text{indices-of } \text{as}$

assumes $\text{card } S > i$

shows $\text{project-at-indices } S \text{ as } ! i = \text{as } ! (\text{nth-elem } S i)$

<proof>

An inverse for `nth_elem`

definition *set-rank* **where**

$\text{set-rank } S x = (\text{THE } i. i < \text{card } S \wedge x = \text{nth-elem } S i)$

lemma *set-rank-exist*:

assumes *finite S*
assumes $x \in S$
shows $\exists i. i < \text{card } S \wedge x = \text{nth-elem } S \ i$
 <proof>

lemma *set-rank-unique*:

assumes *finite S*
assumes $x \in S$
assumes $i < \text{card } S \wedge x = \text{nth-elem } S \ i$
assumes $j < \text{card } S \wedge x = \text{nth-elem } S \ j$
shows $i = j$
 <proof>

lemma *nth-elem-set-rank-inv*:

assumes *finite S*
assumes $x \in S$
shows $\text{nth-elem } S \ (\text{set-rank } S \ x) = x$
 <proof>

lemma *set-rank-nth-elem-inv*:

assumes *finite S*
assumes $i < \text{card } S$
shows $\text{set-rank } S \ (\text{nth-elem } S \ i) = i$
 <proof>

lemma *set-rank-range*:

assumes *finite S*
assumes $x \in S$
shows $\text{set-rank } S \ x < \text{card } S$
 <proof>

lemma *project-at-indices-nth'*:

assumes $S \subseteq \text{indices-of } as$
assumes $i \in S$
shows $as \ ! \ i = \text{project-at-indices } S \ as \ ! \ (\text{set-rank } S \ i)$
 <proof>

fun *proj-away-from-index* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{list} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{list} \ (\langle \pi_{\neq} \cdot \rangle)$ **where**
proj-away-from-index $n \ as = (\text{take } n \ as) @ (\text{drop } (\text{Suc } n) \ as)$

proj_away_from_index is an inverse to *insert_at_index*

lemma *insert-at-index-project-away[simp]*:

assumes $k < \text{length } as$
assumes $bs = (\text{insert-at-index } as \ a \ k)$
shows $\pi_{\neq k} \ bs = as$
 <proof>

definition *fibred-cell* :: $'a \ \text{list} \ \text{set} \Rightarrow ('a \ \text{list} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{list} \ \text{set}$ **where**
fibred-cell $C \ P = \{as \ . \ \exists x \ t. as = (t \# x) \wedge x \in C \wedge (P \ x \ t)\}$

definition *fibred-cell-at-ind* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list set} \Rightarrow ('a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list set}$ **where**
fibred-cell-at-ind $n \ C \ P = \{as . \exists x \ t. as = (\text{insert-at-index } x \ t \ n) \wedge x \in C \wedge (P \ x \ t)\}$

lemma *fibred-cell-lengths*:
assumes $\bigwedge k. k \in C \implies \text{length } k = n$
shows $k \in (\text{fibred-cell } C \ P) \implies \text{length } k = \text{Suc } n$
<proof>

lemma *fibred-cell-at-ind-lengths*:
assumes $\bigwedge k. k \in C \implies \text{length } k = n$
assumes $k \leq n$
shows $c \in (\text{fibred-cell-at-ind } k \ C \ P) \implies \text{length } c = \text{Suc } n$
<proof>

lemma *project-fibred-cell*:
assumes $\bigwedge k. k \in C \implies \text{length } k = n$
assumes $k < n$
assumes $\forall x \in C. \exists t. P \ x \ t$
shows $\pi_{\neq k} ' (\text{fibred-cell-at-ind } k \ C \ P) = C$
<proof>

definition *list-segment* **where**
list-segment $i \ j \ as = \text{map } (\text{nth } as) \ [i..<j]$

lemma *list-segment-length*:
assumes $i \leq j$
assumes $j \leq \text{length } as$
shows $\text{length } (\text{list-segment } i \ j \ as) = j - i$
<proof>

lemma *list-segment-drop*:
assumes $i < \text{length } as$
shows $(\text{list-segment } i \ (\text{length } as) \ as) = \text{drop } i \ as$
<proof>

lemma *list-segment-concat*:
assumes $j \leq k$
assumes $i \leq j$
shows $(\text{list-segment } i \ j \ as) @ (\text{list-segment } j \ k \ as) = (\text{list-segment } i \ k \ as)$
<proof>

lemma *list-segment-subset*:
assumes $j \leq k$
shows $\text{set } (\text{list-segment } i \ j \ as) \subseteq \text{set } (\text{list-segment } i \ k \ as)$
<proof>

lemma *list-segment-subset-list-set*:
assumes $j \leq \text{length } as$
shows $\text{set } (\text{list-segment } i \ j \ as) \subseteq \text{set } as$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *fun-inv* **where**
 $\text{fun-inv} = \text{inv}$

end

theory *Ring-Powers*

imports *HOL-Algebra.Chinese-Remainder HOL-Combinatorics.List-Permutation*
Padic-Ints.Function-Ring HOL-Algebra.Generated-Rings Cring-Multivariable-Poly
Indices

begin

type-synonym *arity* = *nat*
type-synonym *'a tuple* = *'a list*

4 Cartesian Powers of a Ring

4.1 Constructing the Cartesian Power of a Ring

Powers of a ring

$R_list \ n \ R$ produces the list $[R, \dots, R]$ of length n

fun *R-list* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \text{ ring-scheme} \Rightarrow (('a, 'b) \text{ ring-scheme}) \text{ list}$ **where**
 $R_list \ n \ R = \text{map } (\lambda-. R) \ (\text{index-list } n)$

Cartesian powers of a ring

definition *cartesian-power* :: $('a, 'b) \text{ ring-scheme} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a \text{ list}) \text{ ring}$ ($\langle \cdot \rangle$ 80)
where
 $R^n \equiv RDirProd_list \ (R_list \ n \ R)$

lemma *R-list-length*:
 $\text{length } (R_list \ n \ R) = n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *R-list-nth*:
 $i < n \implies R_list \ n \ R ! i = R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cartesian-power-car-memI*:
assumes $\text{length } as = n$
assumes $\text{set } as \subseteq \text{carrier } R$
shows $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cartesian-power-car-memI'*:
 assumes $\text{length } as = n$
 assumes $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies as ! i \in \text{carrier } R$
 shows $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cartesian-power-car-memE*:
 assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
 shows $\text{length } as = n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cartesian-power-car-memE'*:
 assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
 assumes $i < n$
 shows $as ! i \in \text{carrier } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cartesian-power-car-memE''*:
 assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
 shows $\text{set } as \subseteq \text{carrier } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cartesian-power-car-memI''*:
 assumes $\text{length } as = n + k$
 assumes $\text{take } n \text{ } as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
 assumes $\text{drop } n \text{ } as \in \text{carrier } (R^k)$
 shows $as \in \text{carrier } (R^{n+k})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cartesian-power-cons*:
 assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
 assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
 shows $a \# as \in \text{carrier } (R^{n+1})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cartesian-power-append*:
 assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
 assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
 shows $as @ [a] \in \text{carrier } (R^{n+1})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cartesian-power-head*:
 assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^{\text{Suc } n})$
 shows $\text{hd } as \in \text{carrier } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cartesian-power-tail*:
 assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^{\text{Suc } n})$

shows $tl\ as \in carrier\ (R^n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *insert-at-index-closed*:

assumes $length\ as = n$
assumes $as \in carrier\ (R^n)$
assumes $a \in carrier\ R$
assumes $k \leq n$
shows $(insert-at-index\ as\ a\ k) \in carrier\ (R^{Suc\ n})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *insert-at-index-pow-not-car*:

assumes $k < n$
assumes $length\ x = n$
assumes $(insert-at-index\ x\ a\ k) \in carrier\ (R^{Suc\ n})$
shows $x \in carrier\ (R^n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *insert-at-index-pow-not-car'*:

assumes $k \leq n$
assumes $length\ x = n$
assumes $x \notin carrier\ (R^n)$
shows $(insert-at-index\ x\ a\ n) \notin carrier\ (R^{Suc\ n})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *take-closed*:

assumes $k \leq n$
assumes $x \in carrier\ (R^n)$
shows $take\ k\ x \in carrier\ (R^k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *drop-closed*:

assumes $k < n$
assumes $x \in carrier\ (R^n)$
shows $drop\ k\ x \in carrier\ (R^{n-k})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *last-closed*:

assumes $n > 0$
assumes $x \in carrier\ (R^n)$
shows $last\ x \in carrier\ R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cartesian-power-concat*:

assumes $a \in carrier\ (R^n)$
assumes $b \in carrier\ (R^k)$
shows $a@b \in carrier\ (R^{n+k})$
 $b@a \in carrier\ (R^{n+k})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cartesian-power-decomp:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^{n+k})$
obtains $a0\ a1$ **where** $a0 \in \text{carrier } (R^n) \wedge a1 \in \text{carrier } (R^k) \wedge a0@a1 = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma list-segment-pow:
assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $j \leq n$
assumes $i \leq j$
shows $\text{list-segment } i\ j\ as \in \text{carrier } (R^{j-i})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma nth-list-segment:
assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $j \leq n$
assumes $i \leq j$
assumes $k < j - i$
shows $(\text{list-segment } i\ j\ as) ! k = as ! (i + k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.2 Mapping the Carrier of a Ring to its 1-Dimensional Cartesian Power.

context cring
begin

lemma R1-carI:
assumes $\text{length } as = 1$
assumes $as!0 \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $as \in \text{carrier } (R^1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation(*input*) to-R1 **where**
 $\text{to-R1 } a \equiv [a]$

abbreviation(*input*) $\text{to-R} :: 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $\text{to-R } as \equiv as!0$

lemma to-R1-to-R:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^1)$
shows $\text{to-R1 } (\text{to-R } a) = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma to-R-to-R1:
shows $\text{to-R } (\text{to-R1 } a) = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma to-R1-closed:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{to-}R1\ a \in \text{carrier } (R^1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-R-pow-closed*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^1)$
shows $\text{to-}R\ a \in \text{carrier } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-R1-intersection*:
assumes $A \subseteq \text{carrier } R$
assumes $B \subseteq \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{to-}R1\ ' (A \cap B) = \text{to-}R1\ ' A \cap \text{to-}R1\ ' B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-R1-finite*:
assumes *finite* A
shows *finite* $(\text{to-}R1\ ' A)$
 $\text{card } A = \text{card } (\text{to-}R1\ ' A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-R1-carrier*:
 $\text{to-}R1\ ' (\text{carrier } R) = \text{carrier } (R^1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-R1-diff*:
 $\text{to-}R1\ ' (A - B) = \text{to-}R1\ ' A - \text{to-}R1\ ' B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-R1-complement*:
shows $\text{to-}R1\ ' (\text{carrier } R - A) = \text{carrier } (R^1) - \text{to-}R1\ ' A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-R1-subset*:
assumes $A \subseteq B$
shows $\text{to-}R1\ ' A \subseteq \text{to-}R1\ ' B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-R1-car-subset*:
assumes $A \subseteq \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{to-}R1\ ' A \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end

4.3 Simple Cartesian Products

definition *cartesian-product* :: ('a list) set \Rightarrow ('a list) set \Rightarrow ('a list) set **where**
cartesian-product $A\ B \equiv \{xs. \exists as \in A. \exists bs \in B. xs = as@bs\}$

lemma cartesian-product-closed:
assumes $A \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $B \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^m)$
shows $\text{cartesian-product } A B \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n + m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma cartesian-product-closed':
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } (R^m)$
shows $(a@b) \in \text{carrier } (R^n + m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma cartesian-product-carrier:
 $\text{cartesian-product } (\text{carrier } (R^n)) (\text{carrier } (R^m)) = \text{carrier } (R^n + m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma cartesian-product-memI:
assumes $A \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $B \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^m)$
assumes $\text{take } n a \in A$
assumes $\text{drop } n a \in B$
shows $a \in \text{cartesian-product } A B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma cartesian-product-memI':
assumes $A \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $B \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^m)$
assumes $a \in A$
assumes $b \in B$
shows $a@b \in \text{cartesian-product } A B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma cartesian-product-memE:
assumes $a \in \text{cartesian-product } A B$
assumes $A \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n)$
shows $\text{take } n a \in A$
 $\text{drop } n a \in B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma cartesian-product-intersection:
assumes $A \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $B \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^m)$
assumes $C \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $D \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^m)$
shows $\text{cartesian-product } A B \cap \text{cartesian-product } C D = \text{cartesian-product } (A \cap C) (B \cap D)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma cartesian-product-subsetI:

assumes $C \subseteq A$
assumes $D \subseteq B$
shows $\text{cartesian-product } C D \subseteq \text{cartesian-product } A B$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cartesian-product-binary-union-right:*

assumes $C \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $D \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n)$
shows $\text{cartesian-product } A (C \cup D) = (\text{cartesian-product } A C) \cup (\text{cartesian-product } A D)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cartesian-product-binary-union-left:*

assumes $C \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $D \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n)$
shows $\text{cartesian-product } (C \cup D) A = (\text{cartesian-product } C A) \cup (\text{cartesian-product } D A)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cartesian-product-binary-intersection-right:*

assumes $C \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $D \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $A \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^m)$
shows $\text{cartesian-product } A (C \cap D) = (\text{cartesian-product } A C) \cap (\text{cartesian-product } A D)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cartesian-product-binary-intersection-left:*

assumes $C \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $D \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $A \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^m)$
shows $\text{cartesian-product } (C \cap D) A = (\text{cartesian-product } C A) \cap (\text{cartesian-product } D A)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cartesian-product-car-complement-right:*

assumes $A \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^m)$
shows $\text{carrier } (R^n + m) - \text{cartesian-product } (\text{carrier } (R^n)) A = \text{cartesian-product } (\text{carrier } (R^n)) ((\text{carrier } (R^m)) - A)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cartesian-product-car-complement-left:*

assumes $A \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n)$
shows $\text{carrier } (R^n + m) - \text{cartesian-product } A (\text{carrier } (R^m)) = \text{cartesian-product } ((\text{carrier } (R^n)) - A) (\text{carrier } (R^m))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cartesian-product-complement-right:*

assumes $B \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^m)$

assumes $A \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n)$
shows $\text{cartesian-product } A (\text{carrier } (R^m)) - (\text{cartesian-product } A B) =$
 $\text{cartesian-product } A ((\text{carrier } (R^m)) - B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cartesian-product-complement-left*:
assumes $B \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^m)$
assumes $A \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n)$
shows $\text{cartesian-product } (\text{carrier } (R^m)) A - (\text{cartesian-product } B A) =$
 $\text{cartesian-product } ((\text{carrier } (R^m)) - B) A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cartesian-product-empty-right*:
assumes $A \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $B = \{\}\}$
shows $\text{cartesian-product } A B = A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cartesian-product-empty-left*:
assumes $B \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $A = \{\}\}$
shows $\text{cartesian-product } A B = B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.4 Cartesian Products at Arbitrary Indices

definition(*in ring*) *ring-pow-proj* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow (\text{nat set}) \Rightarrow ('a \text{ list}) \Rightarrow ('a \text{ list})$
 $(\langle \pi_{-}, - \rangle)$ **where**
 $\text{ring-pow-proj } n S \equiv \text{restrict } (\text{project-at-indices } S) (\text{carrier } (R^n))$

The projection at an arbitrary index set

lemma *project-at-indices-closed*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $S \subseteq \text{indices-of } a$
shows $\pi_S a \in \text{carrier } (R^{\text{card } S})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(*in ring*) *ring-pow-proj-is-map*:
assumes $S \subseteq \{..<n\}$
shows $\pi_{n,S} \in \text{struct-maps } (R^n) (R^{\text{card } S})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(*in ring*) *project-at-indices-ring-pow-proj*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
shows $\pi_S x = \pi_{n,S} x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Cartesian products where the first factor A occurs at the entries of some arbitrary index set. Note that this product isn't completely arbitrary because

the entries of the factor of A still occurs in ascending order.

definition *twisted-cartesian-product* ($\langle \text{Prod}_-, \cdot \rangle$) **where**

twisted-cartesian-product $S S' A B = \{a \mid \text{length } a = \text{card } S + \text{card } S' \wedge \pi_S a \in A \wedge \pi_{S'} a \in B\}$

lemma *twisted-cartesian-product-mem-length*:

assumes $\text{card } S = n$

assumes $\text{card } S' = m$

assumes $a \in \text{Prod}_{S,S'} A B$

shows $\text{length } a = n + m$

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *twisted-cartesian-product-closed*:

assumes $A \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n)$

assumes $B \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^m)$

assumes $\text{card } S = n$

assumes $\text{card } S' = m$

assumes $S \cup S' = \{.. < n + m\}$

shows *twisted-cartesian-product* $S S' A B \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^{n+m})$

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *twisted-cartesian-product-memE*:

assumes $a \in \text{twisted-cartesian-product } S S' A B$

shows $\pi_S a \in A \wedge \pi_{S'} a \in B$

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *twisted-cartesian-product-memI*:

assumes $\pi_S a \in A$

assumes $\pi_{S'} a \in B$

assumes $\text{length } a = \text{card } S + \text{card } S'$

shows $a \in \text{twisted-cartesian-product } S S' A B$

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *twisted-cartesian-product-empty-left-factor*:

assumes $A = \{\}$

shows *twisted-cartesian-product* $S S' A B = \{\}$

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *twisted-cartesian-product-empty-right-factor*:

assumes $B = \{\}$

shows *twisted-cartesian-product* $S S' A B = \{\}$

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *twisted-cartesian-project-left*:

assumes $A \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n)$

assumes $B \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^m)$

assumes $A \neq \{\}$

assumes $B \neq \{\}$

assumes $\text{card } S = n$

assumes $\text{card } S' = m$
assumes $S \cup S' = \{..<n + m\}$
shows $\pi_S ' (Prod_{S,S'} A B) = A$
 <proof>

lemma *twisted-cartesian-product-swap*:
shows $(Prod_{S,S'} A B) = (Prod_{S',S} B A)$
 <proof>

lemma *twisted-cartesian-project-right*:
assumes $A \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $B \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^m)$
assumes $A \neq \{\}$
assumes $B \neq \{\}$
assumes $\text{card } S = n$
assumes $\text{card } S' = m$
assumes $S \cup S' = \{..<n + m\}$
shows $\pi_{S'} ' (Prod_{S,S'} A B) = B$
 <proof>

Cartesian products which send points $a = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$ and $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$
 to the point $(a_1, \dots, a_i, b_1, \dots, b_n, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_m)$

definition *splitting-permutation* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow$
 $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
splitting-permutation $l1\ l2\ i\ j =$ (if $j < i$ then j else
 (if $i \leq j \wedge j < l1$ then $(l2 + j)$ else
 (if $j < l1 + l2$ then $j - l1 + i$ else j)))

lemma *splitting-permutation-case-1-unique*:
assumes $i \leq l1$
assumes $y < i$
assumes *splitting-permutation* $l1\ l2\ i\ j = y$
shows $j = y$
 <proof>

lemma *splitting-permutation-case-1-exists*:
assumes $i \leq l1$
assumes $y < i$
shows *splitting-permutation* $l1\ l2\ i\ y = y$
 <proof>

lemma *splitting-permutation-case-2-unique*:
assumes $i \leq l1$
assumes $i \leq y \wedge y < l2 + i$
assumes *splitting-permutation* $l1\ l2\ i\ j = y$
shows $j = y + l1 - i$
 <proof>

lemma *splitting-permutation-case-2-exists*:

assumes $i \leq l1$
assumes $i \leq y \wedge y < l2 + i$
shows $\text{splitting-permutation } l1 \ l2 \ i \ (y + l1 - i) = y$
 <proof>

lemma *splitting-permutation-case-3-unique*:
assumes $i \leq l1$
assumes $l2 + i \leq y \wedge y < l1 + l2$
assumes $\text{splitting-permutation } l1 \ l2 \ i \ j = y$
shows $j = y - l2$
 <proof>

lemma *splitting-permutation-case-3-exists*:
assumes $i \leq l1$
assumes $l2 + i \leq y \wedge y < l1 + l2$
shows $\text{splitting-permutation } l1 \ l2 \ i \ (y - l2) = y$
 <proof>

lemma *splitting-permutation-case-4-unique*:
assumes $i \leq l1$
assumes $l1 + l2 \leq y$
assumes $\text{splitting-permutation } l1 \ l2 \ i \ j = y$
shows $j = y$
 <proof>

lemma *splitting-permutation-case-4-exists*:
assumes $i \leq l1$
assumes $l1 + l2 \leq y$
shows $\text{splitting-permutation } l1 \ l2 \ i \ y = y$
 <proof>

lemma *splitting-permutation-permutes*:
assumes $i \leq l1$
shows $(\text{splitting-permutation } l1 \ l2 \ i) \ \text{permutes } \{.. < l1 + l2\}$
 <proof>

lemma *splitting-permutation-action*:
assumes $i \leq l1$
assumes $\text{length } a1 = l1$
assumes $\text{length } a2 = l2$
shows $\text{permute-list } (\text{splitting-permutation } l1 \ l2 \ i) \ ((\text{take } i \ a1) @ a2 @ (\text{drop } i \ a1)) =$
 $\qquad\qquad\qquad a1 @ a2$
 <proof>

definition *scp-permutation where*
 $\text{scp-permutation } l1 \ l2 \ i = \text{fun-inv } (\text{splitting-permutation } l1 \ l2 \ i)$

lemma *scp-permutation-action*:

assumes $i \leq l1$
assumes $\text{length } a1 = l1$
assumes $\text{length } a2 = l2$
shows $\text{permute-list } (\text{scp-permutation } l1 \ l2 \ i) \ (a1@a2) = ((\text{take } i \ a1) \ @ \ a2 \ @ \ (\text{drop } i \ a1))$
 <proof>

lemma *scp-permutes*:
assumes $i \leq l1$
shows $(\text{scp-permutation } l1 \ l2 \ i) \ \text{permutes } \{..<l1 + l2\}$
 <proof>

definition *split-cartesian-product* **where**
 $\text{split-cartesian-product } l1 \ l2 \ i \ A \ B = \text{permute-list } (\text{scp-permutation } l1 \ l2 \ i) \ '(\text{cartesian-product } A \ B)$

lemma *split-cartesian-product-memI*:
assumes $a1@a2 \in A$
assumes $b \in B$
assumes $A \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^{l1})$
assumes $B \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^{l2})$
assumes $\text{length } a1 = i$
shows $a1@b@a2 \in \text{split-cartesian-product } l1 \ l2 \ i \ A \ B$
 <proof>

lemma *split-cartesian-product-memI'*:
assumes $a \in A$
assumes $b \in B$
assumes $A \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^{l1})$
assumes $B \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^{l2})$
assumes $i \leq l1$
shows $(\text{take } i \ a)@b@(\text{drop } i \ a) \in \text{split-cartesian-product } l1 \ l2 \ i \ A \ B$
 <proof>

lemma *split-cartesian-product-memE*:
assumes $a \in \text{split-cartesian-product } l1 \ l2 \ i \ A \ B$
assumes $A \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^{l1})$
assumes $B \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^{l2})$
assumes $i \leq l1$
shows $(\text{take } i \ a)@(\text{drop } (i + l2) \ a) \in A$
 $(\text{drop } i \ (\text{take } (i + l2) \ a)) \in B$
 <proof>

lemma *split-cartesian-product-mem-length*:
assumes $a \in \text{split-cartesian-product } l1 \ l2 \ i \ A \ B$
assumes $A \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^{l1})$
assumes $B \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^{l2})$
assumes $i \leq l1$
shows $\text{length } a = l1 + l2$

<proof>

lemma *split-cartesian-product-memE'*:

assumes $a1@b@a2 \in \text{split-cartesian-product } l1 \ l2 \ i \ A \ B$

assumes $A \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^{l1})$

assumes $B \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^{l2})$

assumes $i \leq l1$

assumes $\text{length } a1 = i$

assumes $\text{length } b = l2$

assumes $\text{length } as = (l1 - i)$

shows $a1@a2 \in A$

$b \in B$

<proof>

lemma *split-cartesian-product-closed*:

assumes $A \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^{l1})$

assumes $B \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^{l2})$

assumes $i \leq l1$

shows $\text{split-cartesian-product } l1 \ l2 \ i \ A \ B \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^{l1 + l2})$

<proof>

General function for permuting the elements of a simple cartesian product:

definition *intersperse* :: $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}) \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{tuple} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{tuple} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{tuple}$ **where**
intersperse $\sigma \ as \ bs = \text{permute-list } \sigma \ (as@bs)$

lemma *intersperseE*:

assumes $\sigma \ \text{permutes } (\{..<n\})$

assumes $\text{length } as + \text{length } bs = n$

shows $\text{length } (\text{intersperse } \sigma \ as \ bs) = n$

<proof>

lemma *intersperseE'*:

assumes $\sigma \ \text{permutes } (\{..<n\})$

assumes $\text{length } as + \text{length } bs = n$

assumes $\text{length } as = k$

assumes $\sigma \ i < k$

shows $(\text{intersperse } \sigma \ as \ bs)! \ i = as ! \ \sigma \ i$

<proof>

lemma *intersperseE''*:

assumes $\sigma \ \text{permutes } (\{..<n\})$

assumes $\text{length } as + \text{length } bs = n$

assumes $\text{length } as = k$

assumes $i < n$

assumes $\sigma \ i \geq k$

shows $(\text{intersperse } \sigma \ as \ bs)! \ i = bs ! \ ((\sigma \ i) - k)$

<proof>

Some more lemmas about the `project_at_indices` function.

lemma *project-at-indices-consecutive-ind-length:*

assumes $(i::nat) < j$

assumes $j \leq n$

assumes $length\ a = n$

shows $length\ (project-at-indices\ \{i..<j\}\ a) = j - i$
<proof>

lemma *project-at-indices-consecutive-ind-length':*

assumes $(i::nat) < j$

assumes $j \leq n$

assumes $a \in carrier\ (R^n)$

shows $length\ (project-at-indices\ \{i..<j\}\ a) = j - i$
<proof>

lemma *sorted-list-of-set-from-up-to:*

assumes $(i::nat) < j$

assumes $k < j - i$

shows $sorted-list-of-set\ \{i..<j\}\ !\ k = i + k$
<proof>

lemma *nth-elem-consecutive-indices:*

assumes $(i::nat) < j$

assumes $k < j - i$

shows $nth-elem\ \{i..<j\}\ k = i + k$
<proof>

lemma *project-at-indices-consecutive-indices:*

assumes $(i::nat) < j$

assumes $j \leq n$

assumes $length\ a = n$

assumes $k < j - i$

shows $(project-at-indices\ \{i..<j\}\ a)\ !\ k = a!\ (i + k)$
<proof>

lemma *project-at-indices-consecutive-indices':*

assumes $(i::nat) < j$

assumes $j \leq n$

assumes $a \in carrier\ (R^n)$

assumes $k < j - i$

shows $(project-at-indices\ \{i..<j\}\ a)\ !\ k = a!\ (i + k)$
<proof>

lemma *tl-as-projection:*

assumes $a \in carrier\ (R^n)$

shows $tl\ a = project-at-indices\ \{1::nat..<n\}\ a$
<proof>

4.5 Function Rings on Cartesian Powers

Complement operator

definition *ring-pow-comp* :: ('a, 'b) ring-scheme \Rightarrow arity \Rightarrow 'a tuple set \Rightarrow 'a tuple set **where**

ring-pow-comp R n $S \equiv$ carrier $(R^n) - S$

lemma *ring-pow-comp-closed*:

ring-pow-comp R n $S \subseteq$ carrier (R^n)

<proof>

lemma *ring-pow-comp-disjoint*:

ring-pow-comp R n $S \cap S = \{\}$

<proof>

lemma *ring-pow-comp-union*:

assumes $S \subseteq$ carrier (R^n)

shows (*ring-pow-comp* R n S) $\cup S =$ carrier (R^n)

<proof>

lemma *ring-pow-comp-carrier*:

ring-pow-comp R n (carrier (R^n)) = $\{\}$

<proof>

lemma *ring-pow-comp-empty*:

ring-pow-comp R n $\{\} =$ (carrier (R^n))

<proof>

lemma *ring-pow-comp-demorgans*:

assumes $A \subseteq$ carrier (R^n)

assumes $B \subseteq$ carrier (R^n)

shows *ring-pow-comp* R n $(A \cup B) =$ (*ring-pow-comp* R n A) \cap (*ring-pow-comp* R n B)

<proof>

lemma *ring-pow-comp-demorgans'*:

assumes $A \subseteq$ carrier (R^n)

assumes $B \subseteq$ carrier (R^n)

shows *ring-pow-comp* R n $(A \cap B) =$ (*ring-pow-comp* R n A) \cup (*ring-pow-comp* R n B)

<proof>

lemma *ring-pow-comp-inv*:

assumes $A \subseteq$ carrier (R^n)

shows *ring-pow-comp* R n (*ring-pow-comp* R n A) = A

<proof>

The function ring defined on the powers of a ring:

abbreviation(*input*) *ring-pow-function-ring* (\langle Fun_ \rightarrow) **where**

ring-pow-function-ring $n R \equiv \text{function-ring } (\text{carrier } (R^n)) R$

Partial function application. Given a function $f(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})$, an index i and a point $a \in \text{carrier } R$ returns the function $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, a, x_i, \dots, x_n)$

lemma *ring-pow-function-ring-car-memE*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n R)$
shows $f \in \text{extensional } (\text{carrier } (R^n))$
 $f \in \text{carrier } (R^n) \rightarrow \text{carrier } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *partial-eval* :: $('a, 'b) \text{ ring-scheme} \Rightarrow \text{arity} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where**
partial-eval $R m n f c = \text{restrict } (\lambda \text{ as. } f (\text{insert-at-index as } c n)) (\text{carrier } (R^m))$

context *ring*
begin

lemma *function-ring-car-mem-closed*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (\text{function-ring } S R)$
assumes $s \in S$
shows $f s \in \text{carrier } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *function-ring-car-mem-closed'*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_{\text{Suc } k} R)$
assumes $s \in \text{carrier } (R^{\text{Suc } k})$
shows $f s \in \text{carrier } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *ring*) *partial-eval-domain*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_{\text{Suc } k} R)$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $n \leq k$
shows $(\text{partial-eval } R k n f a) \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_k R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Pullbacks preserve ring power functions

lemma *fun-struct-maps*:

struct-maps $(R^n) R = \text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pullback-fun-closed*:

assumes $f \in \text{struct-maps } (R^n) (R^m)$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_m R)$
shows $\text{pullback } (R^n) f g \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

Includes $R^{|S|}$ into R^n by pulling back along the projection $R^n \mapsto R^{|S|}$ at indices S

context *ring*
begin

definition(in *ring*) *ring-pow-inc* :: (*nat set*) \Rightarrow *arity* \Rightarrow (*'a tuple* \Rightarrow *'a*) \Rightarrow (*'a tuple* \Rightarrow *'a*) **where**
ring-pow-inc S n f = *pullback* (R^n) ($\pi_{n,S}$) f

lemma *ring-pow-inc-is-fun*:
 assumes $S \subseteq \{..<n\}$
 assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_{\text{card } S} R)$
 shows *ring-pow-inc* S n $f \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The "standard" inclusion of powers of function rings into one another

abbreviation(*input*) *std-proj*:: *nat* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow (*'a list*) \Rightarrow (*'a list*) **where**
std-proj n $m \equiv \text{ring-pow-proj } n$ ($\{..<m\}$)

lemma *std-proj-id*:
 assumes $m \leq n$
 assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
 assumes $i < m$
 shows *std-proj* n m $as ! i = as ! i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation(*input*) *std-inc*:: *nat* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow (*'a list* \Rightarrow *'a*) \Rightarrow (*'a list* \Rightarrow *'a*)
where
std-inc n m $f \equiv \text{ring-pow-inc } (\{..<m\})$ n f

lemma *std-proj-is-map[simp]*:
 assumes $m \leq n$
 shows *std-proj* n $m \in \text{struct-maps } (R^n) (R^m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

4.6 Coordinate Functions

definition *var* :: (*'a, 'b*) *ring-scheme* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow (*'a list* \Rightarrow *'a*) **where**
var R n $i = \text{restrict } (\lambda x. x!i)$ (*carrier* (R^n))

context *ring*
begin

lemma *var-in-car*:
 assumes $i < n$
 shows *var* R n $i \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *varE[simp]*:

assumes $i < n$

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$

shows $\text{var } R \ n \ i \ x = x \ ! \ i$

<proof>

lemma *std-inc-of-var*:

assumes $i < n$

assumes $n \leq m$

shows $\text{std-inc } m \ n \ (\text{var } R \ n \ i) = (\text{var } R \ m \ i)$

<proof>

abbreviation *variable* (*v*.) **where**

variable $n \ i \equiv \text{var } R \ n \ i$

end

definition *var-set* :: (*'a*, *'b*) *ring-scheme* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow (*'a list* \Rightarrow *'a*) *set* **where**

var-set $R \ n = \text{var } R \ n \ \{..\<n\}$

lemma *var-setE*:

assumes $f \in \text{var-set } R \ n$

obtains i **where** $f = \text{var } R \ n \ i \wedge i \in \{..\<n\}$

<proof>

lemma *var-setI*:

assumes $i \in \{..\<n\}$

assumes $f = \text{var } R \ n \ i$

shows $f \in \text{var-set } R \ n$

<proof>

context *ring*

begin

lemma *var-set-in-fun-ring-car*:

shows $\text{var-set } R \ n \subseteq \text{carrier } \text{Fun}_n \ R$

<proof>

end

4.7 Graphs of functions

definition *fun-graph*:: (*'a*, *'b*) *ring-scheme* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow (*'a list* \Rightarrow *'a*) \Rightarrow *'a list set*
where

fun-graph $R \ n \ f = \{as. (\exists x \in \text{carrier } (R^n). as = x \ @ \ [f \ x])\}$

context *ring*
begin

lemma *function-ring-car-memE*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n R)$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
shows $f a \in \text{carrier } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *graph-range*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n R)$
shows $\text{fun-graph } R \ n \ f \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^{\text{Suc } n})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fun-graph-memE*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n R)$
assumes $p \in \text{fun-graph } R \ n \ f$
shows $(\text{take } n \ p) \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fun-graph-memE'*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n R)$
assumes $p \in \text{fun-graph } R \ n \ f$
shows $f (\text{take } n \ p) = p!n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

apply a function f to the tuple consisting of the first n indices, leaving the remaining indices unchanged

definition *partial-image* :: $\text{arity} \Rightarrow ('c \ \text{list} \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow 'c \ \text{list} \Rightarrow 'c \ \text{list}$ **where**
partial-image $n \ f \ as = (f (\text{take } n \ as)) \# (\text{drop } n \ as)$

lemma *partial-image-range*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n R)$
assumes $m \geq n$
assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^m)$
shows $\text{partial-image } n \ f \ as \in \text{carrier } (R^{m - n + 1})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

5 Coordinate Rings on Cartesian Powers

5.1 Basic Facts and Definitions

locale *cring-coord-rings* = *UP-cring* +
assumes *one-neq-zero*: $\mathbf{1} \neq \mathbf{0}$

coordinate polynomial ring in n variables over a commutative ring

definition *coord-ring* :: ('a, 'b) ring-scheme \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('a, ('a, nat) mvar-poly)
 module

($\langle \cdot \rangle$ [X] 80) **where** $R[\mathcal{X}_n] \equiv \text{Pring } R \{..< n::\text{nat}\}$

sublocale *cring-coord-rings* < *cring-functions* R carrier (R^n) $\text{Fun}_n R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *cring-coord-rings* < $MP?$: *cring* $R[\mathcal{X}_n]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *cring-coord-rings* < $F?$: *cring* $\text{Fun}_n R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context *cring-coord-rings*
begin

lemma *coord-cring-cring*:
cring ($R[\mathcal{X}_n]$) $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

coordinate constant functions

abbreviation(*input*) *coord-const* :: 'a \Rightarrow ('a, nat) mvar-poly **where**
coord-const $k \equiv \text{ring.indexed-const } R k$

lemma *coord-const-ring-hom*:
ring-hom-ring R ($R[\mathcal{X}_n]$) *coord-const*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

coordinate functions

lemma *pvar-closed*:
assumes $i < n$
shows $pvar R i \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

relationship between multiplication by a variable and index multiplication

lemma *pvar-indexed-pmult*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $(p \otimes i) = p \otimes_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} pvar R i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *coord-ring-cfs-closed*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $p m \in \text{carrier } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *coord-ring-plus*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $Q \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $(p \oplus_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} Q) m = p m \oplus Q m$

<proof>

lemma *coord-ring-uminus*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $(\ominus_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} p) m = \ominus (p m)$
<proof>

lemma *coord-ring-minus*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $Q \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $(p \ominus_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} Q) m = p m \ominus Q m$
<proof>

lemma *coord-ring-one*:
 $\mathbf{1}_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} m = (\text{if } m = \{\#\} \text{ then } \mathbf{1} \text{ else } \mathbf{0})$
<proof>

lemma *coord-ring-zero*:
 $\mathbf{0}_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} m = \mathbf{0}$
<proof>

Evaluation of a polynomial at a point

end

abbreviation(*input*) *point-to-eval-map* **where**
point-to-eval-map $R \text{ as} \equiv (\lambda i. (\text{if } i < \text{length as then as ! } i \text{ else } \mathbf{0}_R))$

definition *eval-at-point* :: ('a, 'b) *ring-scheme* \Rightarrow 'a *list* \Rightarrow ('a, nat) *mvar-poly* \Rightarrow 'a **where**
eval-at-point $R \text{ as } p \equiv \text{total-eval } R (\lambda i. (\text{if } i < \text{length as then as ! } i \text{ else } \mathbf{0}_R)) p$

lemma(**in** *cring-coord-rings*) *eval-at-point-factored*:
eval-at-point $R \text{ as } p = \text{total-eval } R (\text{point-to-eval-map } R \text{ as}) p$
<proof>

5.2 Total Evaluation of a Polynomial

abbreviation(*input*) *eval-at-poly* **where**
eval-at-poly $R p \text{ as} \equiv \text{eval-at-point } R \text{ as } p$

evaluation of coordinate polynomials

context *cring-coord-rings*
begin

lemma *eval-at-point-closed*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

shows *eval-at-point* R a $p \in \text{carrier } R$
<proof>

lemma *eval-pvar*:

assumes $i < (n::\text{nat})$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
shows *eval-at-point* R a (*pvar* R i) = $a!i$
<proof>

lemma *eval-at-point-const*:

assumes $k \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
shows *eval-at-point* R a (*R.indexed-const* k) = k
<proof>

lemma *eval-at-point-add*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $A \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $B \in \text{carrier } (\text{coord-ring } R \ n)$
shows *eval-at-point* R a ($A \oplus_{\text{coord-ring } R \ n} B$) =
eval-at-point R a $A \oplus_R$ *eval-at-point* R a B
<proof>

lemma *eval-at-point-mult*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $A \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $B \in \text{carrier } ((R[\mathcal{X}_n]))$
shows *eval-at-point* R a ($A \otimes_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} B$) =
eval-at-point R a $A \otimes_R$ *eval-at-point* R a B
<proof>

lemma *eval-at-point-indexed-pmult*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $A \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $i < n$
shows *eval-at-point* R a ($A \otimes i$) =
eval-at-point R a $A \otimes_R$ ($a!i$)
<proof>

lemma *eval-at-point-ring-hom*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
shows *ring-hom-ring* (*coord-ring* R I) R (*eval-at-point* R a)
<proof>

lemma *eval-at-point-scalar-mult*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $A \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $k \in \text{carrier } R$
shows *eval-at-point* R a (*poly-scalar-mult* R k A) = $k \otimes_R$ (*eval-at-point* R a A)

<proof>

lemma *eval-at-point-smult:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$

assumes $A \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

assumes $k \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{eval-at-point } R \ a \ (k \odot_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} A) = k \otimes_R (\text{eval-at-point } R \ a \ A)$

<proof>

lemma *eval-at-point-subtract:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$

assumes $A \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

assumes $B \in \text{carrier } (\text{coord-ring } R \ n)$

shows $\text{eval-at-point } R \ a \ (A \ominus_{\text{coord-ring } R \ n} B) =$
 $\text{eval-at-point } R \ a \ A \ominus_R \text{eval-at-point } R \ a \ B$

<proof>

lemma *eval-at-point-a-inv:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$

assumes $B \in \text{carrier } (\text{coord-ring } R \ n)$

shows $\text{eval-at-point } R \ a \ (\ominus_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} B) = \ominus_R \text{eval-at-point } R \ a \ B$

<proof>

lemma *eval-at-point-nat-pow:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$

assumes $A \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

shows $\text{eval-at-point } R \ a \ (A[\uparrow]_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]}(k::\text{nat})) = (\text{eval-at-point } R \ a \ A)[\uparrow]k$

<proof>

end

5.3 Partial Evaluation of a Polynomial

definition *coord-partial-eval* ::

$('a, 'b) \text{ ring-scheme} \Rightarrow \text{nat set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('a, \text{nat}) \text{ mvar-poly} \Rightarrow ('a, \text{nat})$

mvar-poly **where**

$\text{coord-partial-eval } R \ S \ as = \text{poly-eval } R \ S \ (\text{point-to-eval-map } R \ as)$

context *cring-coord-rings*

begin

lemma *point-to-eval-map-closed:*

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$

shows $\text{closed-fun } R \ (\text{point-to-eval-map } R \ as)$

<proof>

lemma *coord-partial-eval-hom:*

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$

shows $\text{coord-partial-eval } R \ S \ as \in \text{ring-hom } (R[\mathcal{X}_n]) \ (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

<proof>

lemma *coord-partial-eval-hom'*:

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$

shows $\text{coord-partial-eval } R \ S \ as \in \text{ring-hom } (R[\mathcal{X}_n]) \ (\text{Pring } R \ (\{..\<n\} - S))$

<proof>

lemma *coord-partial-eval-closed*:

assumes $S \subseteq \{..\<n\}$

assumes $\{..\<n\} - S \subseteq I$

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

shows $\text{coord-partial-eval } R \ S \ as \ p \in \text{carrier } (\text{Pring } R \ I)$

<proof>

lemma *coord-partial-eval-add*:

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

assumes $Q \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

shows $\text{coord-partial-eval } R \ S \ as \ (p \oplus_{(R[\mathcal{X}_n])} Q) =$

$(\text{coord-partial-eval } R \ S \ as \ p) \oplus_{(R[\mathcal{X}_n])} (\text{coord-partial-eval } R \ S \ as \ Q)$

<proof>

lemma *coord-partial-eval-mult*:

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

assumes $Q \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

shows $\text{coord-partial-eval } R \ S \ as \ (p \otimes_{(R[\mathcal{X}_n])} Q) =$

$(\text{coord-partial-eval } R \ S \ as \ p) \otimes_{(R[\mathcal{X}_n])} (\text{coord-partial-eval } R \ S \ as \ Q)$

<proof>

lemma *coord-partial-eval-pvar*:

assumes $1 \neq 0$

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$

assumes $i \in S \cap \{..\<n\}$

shows $\text{coord-partial-eval } R \ S \ as \ (\text{pvar } R \ i) = \text{coord-const } (as!i)$

<proof>

lemma *coord-partial-eval-pvar'*:

assumes $1 \neq 0$

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$

assumes $i \notin S$

shows $\text{coord-partial-eval } R \ S \ as \ (\text{pvar } R \ i) = (\text{pvar } R \ i)$

<proof>

5.4 An induction rule for coordinate rings

lemma *coord-ring-induct*:

assumes $A \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

assumes $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{carrier } R \implies p \text{ (coord-const } a)$
assumes $\bigwedge i Q. Q \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n]) \implies p Q \implies i < n \implies p (Q \otimes_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} \text{pvar } R i)$
assumes $\bigwedge Q0 Q1. Q0 \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n]) \implies Q1 \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n]) \implies p Q0 \implies p Q1 \implies p (Q0 \oplus_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} Q1)$
shows $p A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

5.5 Algebraic Sets in Cartesian Powers

5.5.1 The Zero Set of a Single Polynomial

definition *zero-set* :: ('a, 'b) ring-scheme \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('a, nat) mvar-poly \Rightarrow 'a list set

$\langle V_1 \rangle$ **where**
zero-set $R n p = \{a \in \text{carrier } (R^n). \text{eval-at-point } R a p = \mathbf{0}_R\}$

context *cring-coord-rings*

begin

lemma *zero-setI*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $\text{eval-at-point } R a p = \mathbf{0}_R$
shows $a \in \text{zero-set } R n p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zero-setE*:

assumes $a \in \text{zero-set } R n p$
shows $a \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
 $\text{eval-at-point } R a p = \mathbf{0}_R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zero-set-closed*:

$\text{zero-set } R n p \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

5.5.2 The Zero Set of a Collection of Polynomials

definition *affine-alg-set* :: ('a, 'b) ring-scheme \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('a, nat) mvar-poly set \Rightarrow 'a list set

where *affine-alg-set* $R n as = \{a \in \text{carrier } (R^n). \forall b \in as. a \in (\text{zero-set } R n b)\}$

context *cring-coord-rings*

begin

lemma *affine-alg-set-empty*:
affine-alg-set R n $\{\}$ = *carrier* (R^n)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *affine-alg-set-subset-zero-set*:
assumes $b \in as$
shows *affine-alg-set* R n $as \subseteq$ (*zero-set* R n b)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(*in cring-coord-rings*) *affine-alg-set-memE*:
assumes $b \in as$
assumes $a \in$ *affine-alg-set* R n as
shows *eval-at-poly* R b $a = \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *affine-alg-set-subset*:
assumes $as \subseteq bs$
shows *affine-alg-set* R n $bs \subseteq$ *affine-alg-set* R n as
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *affine-alg-set-empty-set*:
assumes $as = \{\}$
shows *affine-alg-set* R n $as =$ *carrier* (R^n)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *affine-alg-set-closed*:
shows *affine-alg-set* R n $as \subseteq$ *carrier* (R^n)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *affine-alg-set-singleton*:
affine-alg-set R n $\{a\} =$ *zero-set* R n a
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *affine-alg-set-insert*:
affine-alg-set R n (*insert* a A) = *zero-set* R n $a \cap$ (*affine-alg-set* R n A)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *affine-alg-set-intersect*:
affine-alg-set R n $(A \cup B) =$ (*affine-alg-set* R n A) \cap (*affine-alg-set* R n B)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *affine-alg-set-memI*:
assumes $a \in$ *carrier* (R^n)
assumes $\bigwedge p. p \in B \implies$ *eval-at-point* R a $p = \mathbf{0}$
shows $a \in$ (*affine-alg-set* R n B)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *affine-alg-set-not-memE*:
assumes $a \in$ *carrier* (R^n)

assumes $a \notin (\text{affine-alg-set } R \ n \ B)$
shows $\exists b \in B. \text{eval-at-poly } R \ b \ a \neq \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.5.3 Finite Unions and Intersections of Algebraic Sets are Algebraic

The product set of two sets in an arbitrary ring. That is, the set $\{xy \mid x \in A \wedge y \in B\}$ for two sets A, B .

definition(in *ring*) *prod-set* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set **where**
prod-set as bs = $(\lambda x. \text{fst } x \otimes \text{snd } x) \text{ ` } (as \times bs)$

lemma(in *ring*) *prod-setI*:
assumes $c \in \text{prod-set as bs}$
shows $\exists a \in as. \exists b \in bs. c = a \otimes b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *ring*) *prod-set-closed*:
assumes $as \subseteq \text{carrier } R$
assumes $bs \subseteq \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{prod-set as bs} \subseteq \text{carrier } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The set of products of elements from two finite sets is again finite.

lemma(in *ring*) *prod-set-finite*:
assumes *finite as*
assumes *finite bs*
shows *finite (prod-set as bs)* $\text{card } (\text{prod-set as bs}) \leq \text{card } as * \text{card } bs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *poly-prod-set* **where**
poly-prod-set n as bs = *ring.prod-set* $(R[\mathcal{X}_n])$ *as bs*

lemma *poly-prod-setE*:
assumes $c \in \text{poly-prod-set } n \ as \ bs$
shows $\exists a \in as. \exists b \in bs. c = a \otimes_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-prod-setI*:
assumes $a \in as$
assumes $b \in bs$
shows $a \otimes_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} b \in \text{poly-prod-set } n \ as \ bs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-prod-set-closed*:
assumes $as \subseteq \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $bs \subseteq \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $\text{poly-prod-set } n \ as \ bs \subseteq \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

<proof>

lemma *poly-prod-set-finite:*

assumes *finite as*

assumes *finite bs*

shows *finite (poly-prod-set n as bs) card (poly-prod-set n as bs) ≤ card as * card bs*

<proof>

end

locale *domain-coord-rings = cring-coord-rings + domain*

lemma(**in** *domain-coord-rings*) *poly-prod-set-algebraic-set:*

assumes *as ⊆ carrier (R[X_n])*

assumes *bs ⊆ carrier (R[X_n])*

shows *affine-alg-set R n as ∪ affine-alg-set R n bs = affine-alg-set R n (poly-prod-set n as bs)*

<proof>

definition *is-algebraic :: ('a, 'b) ring-scheme ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a list set ⇒ bool* **where**
is-algebraic R n S = (∃ ps. finite ps ∧ ps ⊆ carrier (R[X_n]) ∧ S = affine-alg-set R n ps)

context *cring-coord-rings*

begin

lemma *is-algebraicE:*

assumes *is-algebraic R n S*

obtains *ps* **where** *finite ps ps ⊆ carrier (R[X_n]) S = affine-alg-set R n ps*

<proof>

lemma *is-algebraicI:*

assumes *finite ps*

assumes *ps ⊆ carrier (R[X_n])*

assumes *S = affine-alg-set R n ps*

shows *is-algebraic R n S*

<proof>

lemma *is-algebraicI':*

assumes *p ∈ carrier (R[X_n])*

assumes *S = zero-set R n p*

shows *is-algebraic R n S*

<proof>

end

definition *alg-sets :: arity ⇒ ('a, 'b) ring-scheme ⇒ ('a list set) set* **where**

alg-sets $n R = \{S. \text{is-algebraic } R n S\}$

context *cring-coord-rings*
begin

lemma *intersection-is-alg*:
 assumes *is-algebraic* $R n A$
 assumes *is-algebraic* $R n B$
 shows *is-algebraic* $R n (A \cap B)$
<proof>

lemma(**in** *domain-coord-rings*) *union-is-alg*:
 assumes *is-algebraic* $R n A$
 assumes *is-algebraic* $R n B$
 shows *is-algebraic* $R n (A \cup B)$
<proof>

lemma *zero-set-zero*:
zero-set $R n \mathbf{0}_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} = \text{carrier } (R^n)$
<proof>

lemma *affine-alg-set-set*:
affine-alg-set $R n \{\mathbf{0}_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]}\} = \text{carrier } (R^n)$
<proof>

lemma *car-is-alg*:
is-algebraic $R n (\text{carrier } (R^n))$
<proof>

lemma *zero-set-nonzero-constant*:
 assumes $a \neq \mathbf{0}$
 assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
 shows *zero-set* $R n (\text{coord-const } a) = \{\}$
<proof>

lemma *zero-set-one*:
 assumes $a \neq \mathbf{0}$
 assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
 shows *zero-set* $R n \mathbf{1}_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} = \{\}$
<proof>

lemma *empty-set-as-affine-alg-set*:
affine-alg-set $R n \{\mathbf{1}_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]}\} = \{\}$
<proof>

lemma *empty-is-alg*:
is-algebraic $R n \{\}$
<proof>

5.5.4 Finite Sets Are Algebraic

the function mapping a point in R^n to the unique linear polynomial vanishing exclusively at that point

definition *pvar-trans* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a, \text{nat}) \text{mvar-poly}$ **where**
pvar-trans $n\ i\ a = (\text{pvar } R\ i) \ominus_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} \text{coord-const } a$

lemma *pvar-trans-closed*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $i < n$

shows $\text{pvar-trans } n\ i\ a \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

<proof>

lemma *pvar-trans-eval*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$

assumes $i < n$

shows $\text{eval-at-point } R\ b\ (\text{pvar-trans } n\ i\ a) = (b!i) \ominus a$

<proof>

definition *point-to-polys* :: $'a\ \text{list} \Rightarrow ('a, \text{nat}) \text{mvar-poly list}$ **where**

point-to-polys $as = \text{map } (\lambda x. \text{pvar-trans } (\text{length } as) (\text{snd } x) (\text{fst } x)) (\text{zip } as\ (\text{index-list } (\text{length } as)))$

lemma *point-to-polys-length*:

$\text{length } (\text{point-to-polys } as) = \text{length } as$

<proof>

lemma *point-to-polysE*:

assumes $i < \text{length } as$

shows $(\text{point-to-polys } as) ! i = (\text{pvar-trans } (\text{length } as) i (as ! i))$

<proof>

lemma *point-to-polysE'*:

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$

assumes $i < n$

shows $\text{eval-at-point } R\ as\ ((\text{point-to-polys } as) ! i) = \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma *point-to-polysE''*:

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$

assumes $b \in \text{set } (\text{point-to-polys } as)$

shows $\text{eval-at-point } R\ as\ b = \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma *point-to-polys-zero-set*:

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$

assumes $b \in \text{set } (\text{point-to-polys } as)$

shows $as \in \text{zero-set } R\ n\ b$

<proof>

lemma *point-to-polys-closed*:

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$

shows $\text{set } (\text{point-to-polys } as) \subseteq \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

<proof>

lemma *point-to-polys-affine-alg-set*:

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$

shows $\text{affine-alg-set } R \ n \ (\text{set } (\text{point-to-polys } as)) = \{as\}$

<proof>

lemma *singleton-is-algebraic*:

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$

shows $\text{is-algebraic } R \ n \ \{as\}$

<proof>

lemma(in *domain-coord-rings*) *finite-sets-are-algebraic*:

assumes *finite* F

shows $F \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n) \longrightarrow \text{is-algebraic } R \ n \ F$

<proof>

5.6 Polynomial Maps

5.7 The Action of Index Permutations on Polynomials

definition *permute-poly-args* ::

$\text{nat} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}) \Rightarrow ('a, \text{nat}) \text{ mvar-poly} \Rightarrow ('a, \text{nat}) \text{ mvar-poly}$ **where**
 $\text{permute-poly-args } (n::\text{nat}) \ \sigma \ p = \text{indexed-poly-induced-morphism } \{..<n\} \ (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
 $\text{coord-const } (\lambda i. \text{pvar } R \ (\sigma \ i)) \ p$

lemma *permute-poly-args-characterization*:

assumes $\sigma \text{ permutes } \{..<n\}$

shows $(\text{ring-hom-ring } (R[\mathcal{X}_n]) \ (R[\mathcal{X}_n]) \ (\text{permute-poly-args } (n::\text{nat}) \ \sigma))$

$(\forall i \in \{..<n\}. (\text{permute-poly-args } (n::\text{nat}) \ \sigma) (\text{pvar } R \ i) = \text{pvar } R \ (\sigma \ i))$

$(\forall a \in \text{carrier } R. \text{permute-poly-args } (n::\text{nat}) \ \sigma \ (\text{coord-const } a) = (\text{coord-const } a))$

<proof>

lemma *permute-poly-args-closed*:

assumes $\sigma \text{ permutes } \{..<n\}$

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

shows $\text{permute-poly-args } n \ \sigma \ p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

<proof>

lemma *permute-poly-args-constant*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $\sigma \text{ permutes } \{..<n\}$

shows $\text{permute-poly-args } n \ \sigma \ (\text{coord-const } a) = (\text{coord-const } a)$

<proof>

lemma *permute-poly-args-add:*

assumes σ *permutes* $\{..<n\}$

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

assumes $q \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

shows $\text{permute-poly-args } n \ \sigma \ (p \oplus_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} q) = (\text{permute-poly-args } n \ \sigma \ p) \oplus_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} (\text{permute-poly-args } n \ \sigma \ q)$

<proof>

lemma *permute-poly-args-mult:*

assumes σ *permutes* $\{..<n\}$

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

assumes $q \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

shows $\text{permute-poly-args } n \ \sigma \ (p \otimes_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} q) = (\text{permute-poly-args } n \ \sigma \ p) \otimes_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} (\text{permute-poly-args } n \ \sigma \ q)$

<proof>

lemma *permute-poly-args-indexed-pmult:*

assumes σ *permutes* $\{..<n\}$

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

assumes $i \in \{..<n\}$

shows $(\text{permute-poly-args } n \ \sigma \ (p \otimes i)) = (\text{permute-poly-args } n \ \sigma \ p) \otimes (\sigma \ i)$

<proof>

lemma *permute-list-closed:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$

assumes σ *permutes* $\{..<n\}$

shows $(\text{permute-list } \sigma \ a) \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$

<proof>

lemma *permute-list-set:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$

assumes σ *permutes* $\{..<n\}$

shows $\text{set } (\text{permute-list } \sigma \ a) = \text{set } a$

<proof>

end

definition *perm-map* :: ('a, 'b) *ring-scheme* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow (*nat* \Rightarrow *nat*) \Rightarrow 'a *list* \Rightarrow 'a *list* **where**

perm-map $R \ n \ \sigma = \text{restrict } (\text{permute-list } \sigma) (\text{carrier } (R^n))$

context *cring-coord-rings*

begin

lemma *perm-map-is-struct-map:*

assumes σ *permutes* $\{..<n\}$

shows $\text{perm-map } R \ n \ \sigma \in \text{struct-maps } (R^n) (R^n)$

<proof>

lemma *permute-poly-args-eval*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$

assumes σ *permutes* $\{..<n\}$

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

shows $\text{eval-at-point } R \ a \ (\text{permute-poly-args } n \ \sigma \ p) = \text{eval-at-point } R \ (\text{permute-list } \sigma \ a) \ p$

<proof>

5.8 Inverse Images of Sets by Tuples of Polynomials

definition *is-poly-tuple* $:: \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a, \text{nat}) \text{ mvar-poly list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
is-poly-tuple $(n::\text{nat}) \ fs = (\text{set } (fs) \subseteq \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n]))$

lemma *is-poly-tupleE*:

assumes *is-poly-tuple* $n \ fs$

assumes $j < \text{length } fs$

shows $fs ! j \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

<proof>

lemma *is-poly-tuple-Cons*:

assumes *is-poly-tuple* $n \ fs$

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

shows *is-poly-tuple* $n \ (f\#fs)$

<proof>

lemma *is-poly-tuple-append*:

assumes *is-poly-tuple* $n \ fs$

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

shows *is-poly-tuple* $n \ (fs@[f])$

<proof>

definition *poly-tuple-eval* $:: ('a, \text{nat}) \text{ mvar-poly list} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{list} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{list}$ **where**
poly-tuple-eval $fs \ as = \text{map } (\lambda f. \text{eval-at-poly } R \ f \ as) \ fs$

lemma *poly-tuple-evalE*:

assumes *is-poly-tuple* $n \ fs$

assumes $\text{length } fs = m$

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$

assumes $j < m$

shows $(\text{poly-tuple-eval } fs \ as) ! j \in \text{carrier } R$

<proof>

lemma *poly-tuple-evalE'*:

shows $\text{length } (\text{poly-tuple-eval } fs \ as) = \text{length } fs$

<proof>

lemma *poly-tuple-evalE''*:

assumes *is-poly-tuple* n fs
assumes *length* $fs = m$
assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $j < m$
shows $(\text{poly-tuple-eval } fs \ as)!j = (\text{eval-at-poly } R \ (fs!j) \ as)$
 <proof>

lemma *poly-tuple-eval-closed*:
assumes *is-poly-tuple* n fs
assumes *length* $fs = m$
assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
shows $(\text{poly-tuple-eval } fs \ as) \in \text{carrier } (R^m)$
 <proof>

lemma *poly-tuple-eval-Cons*:
assumes *is-poly-tuple* n fs
assumes *length* $fs = m$
assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $(\text{poly-tuple-eval } (f\#fs) \ as) = (\text{eval-at-point } R \ as \ f)\#(\text{poly-tuple-eval } fs \ as)$
 <proof>

definition *poly-tuple-pullback* ::
 $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list set} \Rightarrow ('a, \text{nat}) \text{ mvar-poly list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list set}$ **where**
 $\text{poly-tuple-pullback } n \ S \ fs = ((\text{poly-tuple-eval } fs) - ' S) \cap (\text{carrier } (R^n))$

lemma *poly-pullbackE*:
assumes *is-poly-tuple* n fs
assumes *length* $fs = m$
assumes $S \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^m)$
shows $\text{poly-tuple-pullback } n \ S \ fs \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n)$
 <proof>

lemma *poly-pullbackE'*:
assumes *is-poly-tuple* n fs
assumes *length* $fs = m$
assumes $S \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^m)$
assumes $as \in \text{poly-tuple-pullback } n \ S \ fs$
shows $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
 $\text{poly-tuple-eval } fs \ as \in S$
 <proof>

lemma *poly-pullbackI*:
assumes *is-poly-tuple* n fs
assumes *length* $fs = m$
assumes $S \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^m)$
assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $\text{poly-tuple-eval } fs \ as \in S$
shows $as \in \text{poly-tuple-pullback } n \ S \ fs$

<proof>

end

coordinate permutations as pullbacks. The point here is to realize that permutations of indices are just pullbacks (or pushforwards) by particular polynomial maps

abbreviation *pvar-list* **where**

pvar-list R $n \equiv \text{map } (\text{pvar } R) (\text{index-list } n)$

lemma *pvar-list-elements*:

assumes $i < n$

shows $\text{pvar-list } R$ n ! $i = \text{pvar } R$ i

<proof>

lemma *pvar-list-length*:

$\text{length } (\text{pvar-list } R$ $n) = n$

<proof>

context *cring-coord-rings*

begin

lemma *pvar-list-is-poly-tuple*:

shows *is-poly-tuple* n ($\text{pvar-list } R$ n)

<proof>

lemma *permutation-of-poly-list-is-poly-list*:

assumes *is-poly-tuple* k fs

assumes σ *permutes* $\{.. < \text{length } fs\}$

shows *is-poly-tuple* k (*permute-list* σ fs)

<proof>

lemma *permutation-of-poly-listE*:

assumes *is-poly-tuple* k fs

assumes σ *permutes* $\{.. < \text{length } fs\}$

assumes $i < \text{length } fs$

shows (*permute-list* σ fs) ! $i = fs$! (σ i)

<proof>

lemma *pushforward-by-permutation-of-poly-list*:

assumes *is-poly-tuple* k fs

assumes σ *permutes* $\{.. < \text{length } fs\}$

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^k)$

shows *poly-tuple-eval* (*permute-list* σ fs) $as = \text{permute-list } \sigma$ (*poly-tuple-eval* fs as)

<proof>

lemma *pushforward-by-pvar-list*:
assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
shows $\text{poly-tuple-eval } (\text{pvar-list } R \ n) \ as = as$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pushforward-by-permuted-pvar-list*:
assumes $\sigma \text{ permutes } \{..< n\}$
assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
shows $\text{poly-tuple-eval } (\text{permute-list } \sigma \ (\text{pvar-list } R \ n)) \ as = \text{permute-list } \sigma \ as$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pullback-by-permutation-of-poly-list*:
assumes $\sigma \text{ permutes } \{..< n\}$
assumes $S \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n)$
shows $\text{poly-tuple-pullback } n \ S \ (\text{permute-list } \sigma \ (\text{pvar-list } R \ n)) =$
 $\text{permute-list } (\text{fun-inv } \sigma) \ 'S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pullback-by-permutation-of-poly-list'*:
assumes $\sigma \text{ permutes } \{..< n\}$
assumes $S \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n)$
shows $\text{poly-tuple-pullback } n \ S \ (\text{permute-list } (\text{fun-inv } \sigma) \ (\text{pvar-list } R \ n)) =$
 $\text{permute-list } \sigma \ 'S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.9 Composing Polynomial Tuples With Polynomials

composition of a multivaribale polynomial by a list of polynomials

definition *poly-compose* ::
 $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a, \text{nat}) \text{ mvar-poly list} \Rightarrow ('a, \text{nat}) \text{ mvar-poly} \Rightarrow ('a, \text{nat}) \text{ mvar-poly}$
where
 $\text{poly-compose } n \ m \ fs = \text{indexed-poly-induced-morphism } \{..< n\} \ (\text{coord-ring } R \ m) \ (\lambda$
 $s. R.\text{indexed-const } s) \ (\lambda i. fs!i)$

lemma *poly-compose-var*:
assumes $\text{is-poly-tuple } m \ fs$
assumes $\text{length } fs = n$
assumes $j < n$
shows $\text{poly-compose } n \ m \ fs \ (\text{pvar } R \ j) = (fs!j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Pring-universal-prop-assms*:
assumes $\text{is-poly-tuple } m \ fs$
assumes $\text{length } fs = n$
shows $(\lambda i. fs!i) \in \{..< n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } (\text{coord-ring } R \ m)$
 $\text{ring-hom-ring } R \ (\text{coord-ring } R \ m) \ \text{coord-const}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-compose-ring-hom*:

assumes *is-poly-tuple* m fs
assumes $length\ fs = n$
shows $(ring-hom-ring\ (R[\mathcal{X}_n])\ (coord-ring\ R\ m)\ (poly-compose\ n\ m\ fs))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-compose-closed*:
assumes *is-poly-tuple* m fs
assumes $length\ fs = n$
assumes $f \in carrier\ (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $(poly-compose\ n\ m\ fs\ f) \in carrier\ (coord-ring\ R\ m)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-compose-add*:
assumes *is-poly-tuple* m fs
assumes $length\ fs = n$
assumes $f \in carrier\ (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $g \in carrier\ (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $poly-compose\ n\ m\ fs\ (f \oplus_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]}\ g) = (poly-compose\ n\ m\ fs\ f) \oplus_{coord-ring\ R\ m}$
 $(poly-compose\ n\ m\ fs\ g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-compose-mult*:
assumes *is-poly-tuple* m fs
assumes $length\ fs = n$
assumes $f \in carrier\ (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $g \in carrier\ (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $poly-compose\ n\ m\ fs\ (f \otimes_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]}\ g) = (poly-compose\ n\ m\ fs\ f) \otimes_{coord-ring\ R\ m}$
 $(poly-compose\ n\ m\ fs\ g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-compose-indexed-pmult*:
assumes *is-poly-tuple* m fs
assumes $length\ fs = n$
assumes $f \in carrier\ (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $i < n$
shows $poly-compose\ n\ m\ fs\ (f \otimes\ i) = (poly-compose\ n\ m\ fs\ f) \otimes_{coord-ring\ R\ m}$
 $(fs!i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-compose-const*:
assumes *is-poly-tuple* m fs
assumes $length\ fs = n$
assumes $a \in carrier\ R$
shows $poly-compose\ n\ m\ fs\ (coord-const\ a) = coord-const\ a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

evaluating polynomial compositions

lemma *poly-compose-eval*:
assumes *is-poly-tuple* m fs

assumes $length\ fs = n$
assumes $f \in carrier\ (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $as \in carrier\ (R^m)$
shows $eval-at-point\ R\ (poly-tuple-eval\ fs\ as)\ f = eval-at-point\ R\ as\ (poly-compose\ n\ m\ fs\ f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.10 Extensional Polynomial Maps

Polynomial Maps between powers of a ring

definition $poly-map :: nat \Rightarrow ('a, nat)\ mvar-poly\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ list$ **where**
 $poly-map\ n\ fs = (\lambda a \in carrier\ (R^n). poly-tuple-eval\ fs\ a)$

lemma $poly-map-is-struct-map$:
assumes $is-poly-tuple\ n\ fs$
assumes $length\ fs = m$
shows $poly-map\ n\ fs \in struct-maps\ (R^n)\ (R^m)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $poly-map-closed$:
assumes $is-poly-tuple\ n\ fs$
assumes $length\ fs = m$
assumes $as \in carrier\ (R^n)$
shows $poly-map\ n\ fs\ as \in carrier\ (R^m)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $poly-maps :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('a\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ list)\ set$ **where**
 $poly-maps\ n\ m = \{F. (\exists fs. length\ fs = m \wedge is-poly-tuple\ n\ fs \wedge F = poly-map\ n\ fs)\}$

lemma $poly-maps-memE$:
assumes $F \in poly-maps\ n\ m$
obtains fs **where** $length\ fs = m \wedge is-poly-tuple\ n\ fs \wedge F = poly-map\ n\ fs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $poly-maps-memI$:
assumes $is-poly-tuple\ n\ fs$
assumes $length\ fs = m$
assumes $F = poly-map\ n\ fs$
shows $F \in poly-maps\ n\ m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $poly-map-compose-closed$:
assumes $is-poly-tuple\ n\ fs$
assumes $length\ fs = m$
assumes $is-poly-tuple\ k\ gs$
assumes $length\ gs = n$
shows $is-poly-tuple\ k\ (map\ (poly-compose\ n\ k\ gs)\ fs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-map-compose-closed'*:
assumes *is-poly-tuple* n fs
assumes $length\ fs = m$
assumes *is-poly-tuple* k gs
assumes $length\ gs = n$
shows $poly\text{-}map\ k\ (map\ (poly\text{-}compose\ n\ k\ gs)\ fs) \in poly\text{-}maps\ k\ m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-map-pullback-char*:
assumes *is-poly-tuple* n fs
assumes $length\ fs = m$
assumes *is-poly-tuple* k gs
assumes $length\ gs = n$
shows $(pullback\ (R^k)\ (poly\text{-}map\ k\ gs)\ (poly\text{-}map\ n\ fs)) =$
 $poly\text{-}map\ k\ (map\ (poly\text{-}compose\ n\ k\ gs)\ fs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-map-pullback-closed*:
assumes $F \in poly\text{-}maps\ n\ m$
assumes $G \in poly\text{-}maps\ k\ n$
shows $(pullback\ (R^k)\ G\ F) \in poly\text{-}maps\ k\ m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-map-cons*:
assumes $a \in carrier\ (R^n)$
shows $poly\text{-}map\ n\ (f\#\#fs)\ a = (eval\text{-}at\text{-}point\ R\ a\ f)\#\#poly\text{-}map\ n\ fs\ a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-map-append*:
assumes $a \in carrier\ (R^n)$
shows $poly\text{-}map\ n\ (fs\@\@gs)\ a = (poly\text{-}map\ n\ fs\ a)\@\@(poly\text{-}map\ n\ gs\ a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6 Nesting of Polynomial Rings

lemma *poly-ring-car-mono*:
assumes $n \leq m$
shows $carrier\ (R[\mathcal{X}_n]) \subseteq carrier\ (coord\text{-}ring\ R\ m)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-ring-car-mono'[simp]*:
shows $carrier\ (R[\mathcal{X}_n]) \subseteq carrier\ (R[\mathcal{X}_{Suc\ n}])$
 $carrier\ (R[\mathcal{X}_n]) \subseteq carrier\ (R[\mathcal{X}_{n+m}])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-ring-add-mono*:
assumes $n \leq m$
assumes $A \in carrier\ (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

assumes $B \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $A \oplus_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} B = A \oplus_{\text{coord-ring } R \ m} B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-ring-add-mono'*:
assumes $A \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $B \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $A \oplus_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} B = A \oplus_{R[\mathcal{X}_{\text{Suc } n}]} B$
 $A \oplus_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} B = A \oplus_{R[\mathcal{X}_{n+m}]} B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-ring-times-mono*:
assumes $n \leq m$
assumes $A \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $B \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $A \otimes_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} B = A \otimes_{\text{coord-ring } R \ m} B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-ring-times-mono'*:
assumes $A \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $B \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $A \otimes_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} B = A \otimes_{R[\mathcal{X}_{\text{Suc } n}]} B$
 $A \otimes_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} B = A \otimes_{R[\mathcal{X}_{n+m}]} B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-ring-one-mono*:
assumes $n \leq m$
shows $\mathbf{1}_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} = \mathbf{1}_{\text{coord-ring } R \ m}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-ring-zero-mono*:
assumes $n \leq m$
shows $\mathbf{0}_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} = \mathbf{0}_{\text{coord-ring } R \ m}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

replacing the variables in a polynomial with new variables

definition *shift-vars* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a, \text{nat}) \text{ mvar-poly} \Rightarrow ('a, \text{nat}) \text{ mvar-poly}$
where
 $\text{shift-vars } n \ m \ p = \text{indexed-poly-induced-morphism } \{..<n\} \ (R[\mathcal{X}_{n+m}]) \ \text{coord-const}$
 $(\lambda i. \text{pvar } R \ (i + m)) \ p$

lemma *shift-vars-char*:
shows $(\text{ring-hom-ring } (R[\mathcal{X}_n]) \ (R[\mathcal{X}_{n+m}]) \ (\text{shift-vars } n \ m))$
 $(\forall i \in \{..<n\}. (\text{shift-vars } n \ m) \ (\text{pvar } R \ i) = \text{pvar } R \ (i + m))$
 $(\forall a \in \text{carrier } R. (\text{shift-vars } n \ m) \ (R.\text{indexed-const } a) = (\text{coord-const } a))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *shift-vars-constant*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{shift-vars } n \ m \ (\text{coord-const } a) = \text{coord-const } a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *shift-vars-pvar*:
assumes $i \in \{..<n\}$
shows $\text{shift-vars } n \ m \ (\text{pvar } R \ i) = \text{pvar } R \ (i + m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *shift-vars-add*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $Q \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $\text{shift-vars } n \ m \ (p \oplus_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} Q) = \text{shift-vars } n \ m \ p \oplus_{R[\mathcal{X}_{n+m}]} \text{shift-vars } n$
 $m \ Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *shift-vars-mult*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $Q \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $\text{shift-vars } n \ m \ (p \otimes_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} Q) = \text{shift-vars } n \ m \ p \otimes_{R[\mathcal{X}_{n+m}]} \text{shift-vars } n$
 $m \ Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *shift-vars-indexed-pmult*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $i \in \{..<n\}$
shows $\text{shift-vars } n \ m \ (p \otimes i) = (\text{shift-vars } n \ m \ p) \otimes_{R[\mathcal{X}_{n+m}]} (\text{pvar } R \ (i + m))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *shift-vars-closed*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $\text{shift-vars } n \ m \ p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_{n+m}])$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *shift-vars-eval*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^m)$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
shows $\text{eval-at-point } R \ (a \ @ \ b) \ (\text{shift-vars } n \ m \ p) = \text{eval-at-point } R \ b \ p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Evaluating a polynomial from a lower poly ring in a higher power:

lemma *poly-eval-cartesian-prod*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } (R^m)$
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $\text{eval-at-point } R \ a \ p = \text{eval-at-point } R \ (a \ @ \ b) \ p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Evaluating polynomials at points in higher powers:

lemma *eval-at-points-higher-pow*:

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

assumes $k \geq n$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^k)$

shows $\text{eval-at-point } R \ a \ p = \text{eval-at-point } R \ (\text{take } n \ a) \ p$

<proof>

6.1 Diagonal sets in even powers of R

In this section, by a diagonal set in $R^{(2m)}$ we will mean the set of points (x, x) , where $x \in R^m$. This is slightly different from the standard definition. Introducing these sets will be useful for reasoning about multiplicative inverses of functions later on.

definition *diagonal* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list set}$ **where**

$\text{diagonal } m = \{x \in \text{carrier } (R^{m+m}). \text{take } m \ x = \text{drop } m \ x\}$

lemma *diagonalE*:

assumes $x \in \text{diagonal } m$

shows $x = (\text{take } m \ x) @ (\text{take } m \ x)$

$x \in \text{carrier } (R^{m+m})$

$\text{take } m \ x \in \text{carrier } (R^m)$

$\bigwedge i. i < m \implies x!i = x!(i + m)$

<proof>

lemma *diagonalI*:

assumes $x = (\text{take } m \ x) @ (\text{take } m \ x)$

assumes $\text{take } m \ x \in \text{carrier } (R^m)$

shows $x \in \text{diagonal } m$

<proof>

definition *diag-def-poly* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a, \text{nat}) \text{ mvar-poly}$ **where**

$\text{diag-def-poly } n \ i = \text{pvar } R \ i \ominus_{\text{coord-ring } R \ (n + n)} \text{pvar } R \ (i + n)$

lemma *diag-def-poly-closed*:

assumes $i < n$

shows $\text{diag-def-poly } n \ i \in \text{carrier } (\text{coord-ring } R \ (n + n))$

<proof>

lemma *diag-def-poly-eval*:

assumes $i < n$

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (R^{n+n})$

shows $\text{eval-at-point } R \ x \ (\text{diag-def-poly } n \ i) = (x!i) \ominus (x!(i + n))$

<proof>

definition *diag-def-poly-set* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a, \text{nat}) \text{ mvar-poly set}$ **where**

$\text{diag-def-poly-set } n = \text{diag-def-poly } n \ ' \ \{..<n\}$

lemma *diag-def-poly-set-in-coord-ring*:
 shows $\text{diag-def-poly-set } n \subseteq \text{carrier } (\text{coord-ring } R (n + n))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *diag-def-poly-set-finite*:
 finite ($\text{diag-def-poly-set } n$)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *diag-def-poly-eval-at-diagonal*:
 assumes $x \in \text{diagonal } n$
 assumes $i < n$
 shows $\text{eval-at-point } R x (\text{diag-def-poly } n i) = \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *diagonal-as-affine-alg-set*:
 shows $\text{diagonal } n = \text{affine-alg-set } R (n + n) (\text{diag-def-poly-set } n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *diagonal-is-algebraic*:
 shows $\text{is-algebraic } R (n + n) (\text{diagonal } n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

6.2 Tuples of Functions

definition *is-function-tuple* :: $('a, 'b)$ ring-scheme \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow $('a$ list $\Rightarrow 'a)$ list \Rightarrow bool where
 $\text{is-function-tuple } R n fs = (\text{set } fs \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n) \rightarrow \text{carrier } R)$

lemma *is-function-tupleI*:
 assumes $(\text{set } fs \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n) \rightarrow \text{carrier } R)$
 shows $\text{is-function-tuple } R n fs$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *is-function-tuple-append*:
 assumes $\text{is-function-tuple } R n fs$
 assumes $\text{is-function-tuple } R n gs$
 shows $\text{is-function-tuple } R n (fs@gs)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *is-function-tuple-Cons*:
 assumes $\text{is-function-tuple } R n fs$
 assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (R^n) \rightarrow \text{carrier } R$
 shows $\text{is-function-tuple } R n (f\#fs)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *is-function-tuple-snoc*:

assumes *is-function-tuple* $R\ n\ fs$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (R^n) \rightarrow \text{carrier } R$
shows *is-function-tuple* $R\ n\ (fs@[f])$
 <proof>

lemma *is-function-tuple-list-update*:
assumes *is-function-tuple* $R\ n\ fs$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (R^n) \rightarrow \text{carrier } R$
assumes $i < n$
shows *is-function-tuple* $R\ n\ (fs[i := f])$
 <proof>

definition *function-tuple-eval* :: $'b \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow ('d \Rightarrow 'a)\ \text{list} \Rightarrow 'd \Rightarrow 'a\ \text{list}$ **where**
function-tuple-eval $R\ n\ fs\ x = \text{map } (\lambda f. f\ x)\ fs$

lemma *function-tuple-eval-closed*:
assumes *is-function-tuple* $R\ n\ fs$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
shows *function-tuple-eval* $R\ n\ fs\ x \in \text{carrier } (R^{\text{length } fs})$
 <proof>

definition *coord-fun* ::
 $('a, 'c)\ \text{ring-scheme} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a\ \text{list} \Rightarrow 'b\ \text{list}) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a\ \text{list} \Rightarrow 'b$ **where**
coord-fun $R\ n\ g\ i = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } (R^n). (g\ x)\ !\ i)$

lemma(in *cring*) *map-is-coord-fun-tuple*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (R^n) \rightarrow_E \text{carrier } (R^m)$
shows $g = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } (R^n). \text{function-tuple-eval } R\ n\ (\text{map } (\text{coord-fun } R\ n\ g)\ [0..<n])\ x)$
 <proof>

definition *function-tuple-comp* ::
 $'c \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'd)\ \text{list} \Rightarrow ('d\ \text{list} \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b$ **where**
function-tuple-comp $R\ fs\ f = f \circ (\text{function-tuple-eval } R\ (0::\text{nat})\ fs)$

lemma *function-tuple-comp-closed*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (R^n) \rightarrow \text{carrier } R$
assumes $\text{length } fs = n$
assumes *is-function-tuple* $R\ m\ fs$
shows *function-tuple-comp* $R\ fs\ f \in \text{carrier } (R^m) \rightarrow \text{carrier } R$
 <proof>

fun *id-function-tuple* **where**
id-function-tuple $(R::('a, 'b)\ \text{partial-object-scheme})\ 0 = []$
id-function-tuple $R\ (\text{Suc } n) = \text{id-function-tuple } R\ n\ @\ [(\lambda(x::'a\ \text{list}). x!\ n)]$

lemma *id-function-tuple-is-function-tuple*:
 $\bigwedge k. k \geq n \implies \text{is-function-tuple } R\ k\ (\text{id-function-tuple } R\ n)$
 <proof>

lemma *id-function-tuple-is-function-tuple'*:
is-function-tuple R n (*id-function-tuple* R n)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *id-function-tuple-eval-is-take*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
shows $k \leq n \implies \text{function-tuple-eval } R$ n (*id-function-tuple* R k) $a = \text{take } k$ a
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *id-function-tuple-eval-is-id*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
shows *function-tuple-eval* R n (*id-function-tuple* R n) $a = a$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

Composing a function tuple with a polynomial

definition *poly-function-tuple-comp* ::
 ($'a, 'b$) *ring-scheme* $\implies \text{nat} \implies ('a \text{ list} \implies 'a) \text{ list} \implies ('a, \text{nat}) \text{ mvar-poly} \implies 'a \text{ list}$
 $\implies 'a$ **where**
poly-function-tuple-comp R n fs $f = \text{eval-at-poly } R$ $f \circ \text{function-tuple-eval } R$ n fs

context *cring-coord-rings*
begin

lemma *poly-function-tuple-comp-closed*:
assumes *is-function-tuple* R n fs
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (\text{coord-ring } R$ ($\text{length } fs$))
shows *poly-function-tuple-comp* R n fs $f \in \text{carrier } (R^n) \rightarrow \text{carrier } R$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-function-tuple-comp-eq*:
assumes *is-function-tuple* R n fs
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (\text{coord-ring } R$ ($\text{length } fs$))
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
shows *poly-function-tuple-comp* R n fs f $a = \text{eval-at-poly } R$ f (*function-tuple-eval* R n fs a)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-function-tuple-comp-constant*:
assumes *is-function-tuple* R n fs
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
shows *poly-function-tuple-comp* R n fs (*coord-const* a) $x = a$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-function-tuple-comp-add*:
assumes *is-function-tuple* R n fs
assumes $k \leq \text{length } fs$
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (\text{coord-ring } R$ k)

assumes $Q \in \text{carrier } (\text{coord-ring } R \ k)$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
shows $\text{poly-function-tuple-comp } R \ n \ \text{fs } (p \oplus_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} Q) \ x =$
 $(\text{poly-function-tuple-comp } R \ n \ \text{fs } p \ x) \oplus (\text{poly-function-tuple-comp } R \ n \ \text{fs}$
 $Q \ x)$
<proof>

lemma *poly-function-tuple-comp-mult:*
assumes $\text{is-function-tuple } R \ n \ \text{fs}$
assumes $k \leq \text{length } \text{fs}$
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (\text{coord-ring } R \ k)$
assumes $Q \in \text{carrier } (\text{coord-ring } R \ k)$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
shows $\text{poly-function-tuple-comp } R \ n \ \text{fs } (p \otimes_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} Q) \ x =$
 $(\text{poly-function-tuple-comp } R \ n \ \text{fs } p \ x) \otimes (\text{poly-function-tuple-comp } R \ n \ \text{fs}$
 $Q \ x)$
<proof>

lemma *poly-function-tuple-comp-pvar:*
assumes $\text{is-function-tuple } R \ n \ \text{fs}$
assumes $k < \text{length } \text{fs}$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
shows $\text{poly-function-tuple-comp } R \ n \ \text{fs } (\text{pvar } R \ k) \ x = (\text{fs } ! \ k) \ x$
<proof>

end

The coordinate ring of polynomials indexed by natural numbers

definition *Coord-ring* :: $('a, 'b) \text{ ring-scheme} \Rightarrow ('a, ('a, \text{nat}) \text{ mvar-poly}) \text{ module}$

where

$\text{Coord-ring } R = \text{Pring } R \ (\text{UNIV} :: \text{nat set})$

Some general closure lemmas for coordinate rings

context *cring-coord-rings*

begin

lemma *coord-ring-monom-term-closed:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

shows $a \otimes_{(R[\mathcal{X}_n])} b[\overset{\frown}{\frown}]_{(R[\mathcal{X}_n])}(n::\text{nat}) \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

<proof>

lemma *coord-ring-monom-term-plus-closed:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

shows $c \oplus_{(R[\mathcal{X}_n])} a \otimes_{(R[\mathcal{X}_n])} b[\overset{\frown}{\frown}]_{(R[\mathcal{X}_n])}(n::\text{nat}) \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

<proof>

end

6.3 Generic Univariate Polynomials

By a generic univariate polynomial, we mean a polynomial in one variable whose coefficients are coordinate functions over a ring. That is, a polynomial of the form:

$$f(t) = x_0 + x_1 t + \cdots + x_n t^n$$

Such a polynomial can be construed as an element of $R[x_0, \dots, x_n](t)$, or as an element of $R[x_0, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}]$. We will initially define the latter version, and show that it can easily be cast to the former using the function “IP_to_UP”. Such a polynomial can be cast to a univariate polynomial over the ring R by substituting a tuple of ring elements for the coefficients.

definition *generic-poly-lt* :: ('a, 'b) ring-scheme \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('a, nat) mvar-poly where

generic-poly-lt R n = (pvar R (Suc n)) $\otimes_{\text{coord-ring R (Suc (Suc n))}$ (pvar R 0) \lceil coord-ring R (Suc (Suc n))
n

fun *generic-poly where*

generic-poly R (0::nat) = pvar R 1 |

generic-poly R (Suc n) = (*generic-poly* R n) $\oplus_{(\text{coord-ring R (n+3)})}$ *generic-poly-lt*
R (Suc n)

context *cring-coord-rings*

begin

lemma *generic-poly-lt-closed*:

generic-poly-lt R n \in carrier (coord-ring R (Suc (Suc n)))

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *generic-poly-lt-eval*:

assumes a \in carrier (R^{n+2})

shows eval-at-point R a (*generic-poly-lt* R n) = a!(Suc n) \otimes (a!0) \lceil n

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *generic-poly-closed*:

generic-poly R n \in carrier (coord-ring R (Suc (Suc n)))

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *generic-poly-closed'*:

assumes k \leq n

shows *generic-poly* R k \in carrier (coord-ring R (Suc (Suc n)))

\langle proof \rangle

lemma *generic-poly-eval-at-point*:

assumes a \in carrier (R^{n+3})

shows eval-at-point R a (*generic-poly* R (Suc n)) = (eval-at-point R a (*generic-poly*
R n)) \oplus

$$(a!(n + 2)) \otimes (a!0)[\ulcorner](\text{Suc } n)$$

<proof>

end

We can turn points in R^{n+1} into univariate polynomials with the associated coefficients via partial evaluation of the generic polynomials of degree n .

definition *ring-cfs-to-poly* ::

('a, 'b) ring-scheme \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow ('a, nat) mvar-poly **where**
ring-cfs-to-poly R n as = coord-partial-eval R {1.. $n+2$ } ($\mathbf{0}_R\#as$) (generic-poly R n)

context *cring-coord-rings*

begin

lemma *ring-cfs-to-poly-closed*:

assumes *as \in carrier ($R^{\text{Suc } n}$)*

shows *ring-cfs-to-poly R n as \in carrier (coord-ring R 1)*

<proof>

Variant which maps to the univariate polynomial ring

definition *ring-cfs-to-univ-poly* :: *nat \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a* **where**

ring-cfs-to-univ-poly n as = IP-to-UP (0::nat) (ring-cfs-to-poly R n as)

lemma *ring-cfs-to-univ-poly-closed*:

assumes *as \in carrier ($R^{\text{Suc } n}$)*

shows *ring-cfs-to-univ-poly n as \in carrier (UP R)*

<proof>

lemma *ring-cfs-to-poly-eq*:

assumes *as \in carrier ($R^{\text{Suc } n}$)*

assumes *k \leq n*

shows *ring-cfs-to-poly R k as = ring-cfs-to-poly R k (take (Suc k) as)*

<proof>

lemma *coord-partial-eval-generic-poly-lt*:

assumes *as \in carrier ($R^{\text{Suc } n}$)*

shows *coord-partial-eval R {1.. $n+2$ } ($\mathbf{0}_R\#as$) (generic-poly-lt R n) =
poly-scalar-mult R (as!n) ((pvar R 0)[\ulcorner]coord-ring R (n+2) n)*

<proof>

lemma *coord-partial-eval-generic-poly-lt'*:

assumes *as \in carrier ($R^{\text{Suc } n}$)*

shows *coord-partial-eval R {1.. $n+2$ } ($\mathbf{0}_R\#as$) (generic-poly-lt R n) =
poly-scalar-mult R (as!n) ((pvar R 0)[\ulcorner]coord-ring R 1 n)*

<proof>

lemma *ring-cfs-to-poly-decomp*:

assumes *as \in carrier ($R^{\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)}$)*

shows $\text{ring-cfs-to-poly } R \text{ (Suc } n) \text{ as} = \text{ring-cfs-to-poly } R \text{ } n \text{ as} \oplus_{\text{coord-ring } R} 1$
 $\text{poly-scalar-mult } R \text{ (as!(Suc } n)) \text{ ((pvar } R \text{ } 0)[\bigwedge]_{\text{coord-ring } R} 1 \text{ (Suc } n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ring-cfs-to-poly-decomp'*:

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^{\text{Suc } n})$

shows $\text{ring-cfs-to-poly } R \text{ (Suc } n) \text{ as} =$

$\text{ring-cfs-to-poly } R \text{ } n \text{ (take (Suc } n) \text{ as)} \oplus_{\text{coord-ring } R} 1$

$\text{poly-scalar-mult } R \text{ (as!(Suc } n)) \text{ ((pvar } R \text{ } 0)[\bigwedge]_{\text{coord-ring } R} 1 \text{ (Suc } n))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ring-cfs-to-univ-poly-decomp'*:

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^{\text{Suc } n})$

shows $\text{ring-cfs-to-univ-poly } (Suc \ n) \text{ as} =$

$\text{ring-cfs-to-univ-poly } n \text{ (take (Suc } n) \text{ as)} \oplus_{UP \ R}$

$(as!(Suc \ n)) \odot_{UP \ R} (X\text{-poly } R [\bigwedge]_{UP \ R} (Suc \ n))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ring-cfs-to-univ-poly-decomp*:

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^{\text{Suc } n})$

assumes $k < n$

shows $\text{ring-cfs-to-univ-poly } (Suc \ k) \text{ (take (Suc } (Suc \ k)) \text{ as)} = \text{ring-cfs-to-univ-poly}$
 $k \text{ (take (Suc } k) \text{ as)}$

$\oplus_{UP \ R} (as!(Suc \ k)) \odot_{UP \ R} (X\text{-poly } R) [\bigwedge]_{UP \ R} (Suc \ k)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ring-cfs-to-univ-poly-degree*:

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^{\text{Suc } n})$

shows $\text{deg } R \text{ (ring-cfs-to-univ-poly } n \text{ as)} \leq n$

$as!n \neq \mathbf{0} \implies \text{deg } R \text{ (ring-cfs-to-univ-poly } n \text{ as)} = n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ring-cfs-to-univ-poly-constant*:

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^1)$

shows $\text{ring-cfs-to-univ-poly } 0 \text{ as} = \text{to-polynomial } R \text{ (as!0)}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ring-cfs-to-univ-poly-top-coeff*:

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^{\text{Suc } n})$

shows $(\text{ring-cfs-to-univ-poly } n \text{ as}) \ n = as \ ! \ n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-crimg*) *monom-plus-lower-degree-top-coeff*:

assumes $\text{degree } p < n$

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (UP \ R)$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $(p \oplus_{UP \ R} (a \odot_{UP \ R} (X\text{-poly } R) [\bigwedge]_{UP \ R} n)) \ n = a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *monom-closed*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $a \odot_{UP R} ((X\text{-poly } R)[\bigwedge]_{UP R} (n::\text{nat})) \in \text{carrier } (UP R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *monom-bottom-coeff*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $n > 0$
shows $(a \odot_{UP R} ((X\text{-poly } R)[\bigwedge]_{UP R} (n::\text{nat}))) 0 = \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *monom-plus-lower-degree-bottom-coeff*:
assumes $0 < n$
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (UP R)$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $(p \oplus_{UP R} (a \odot_{UP R} (X\text{-poly } R)[\bigwedge]_{UP R} (n::\text{nat}))) 0 = p 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ring-cfs-to-univ-poly-bottom-coeff*:
assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^{\text{Suc } n})$
shows $(\text{ring-cfs-to-univ-poly } n \text{ as}) 0 = as ! 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ring-cfs-to-univ-poly-chain*:
assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^{\text{Suc } n})$
assumes $l \leq n$
shows $l \leq k \wedge k \leq n \implies (\text{ring-cfs-to-univ-poly } k (\text{take } (\text{Suc } k) \text{ as})) l =$
 $(\text{ring-cfs-to-univ-poly } l (\text{take } (\text{Suc } l) \text{ as})) l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ring-cfs-to-univ-poly-coeffs*:
assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^{\text{Suc } n})$
assumes $l \leq n$
shows $(\text{ring-cfs-to-univ-poly } n \text{ as}) l = (\text{ring-cfs-to-univ-poly } l (\text{take } (\text{Suc } l) \text{ as})) l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ring-cfs-to-univ-poly-coeffs'*:
assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^{\text{Suc } n})$
assumes $l \leq n$
shows $(\text{ring-cfs-to-univ-poly } n \text{ as}) l = as ! l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ring-cfs-to-univ-poly-coeffs''*:
assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (R^{\text{Suc } n})$
shows $(\text{ring-cfs-to-univ-poly } n \text{ as}) l = (\text{if } l \leq n \text{ then } as ! l \text{ else } \mathbf{0})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end

definition *fun-tuple-to-univ-poly* **where**

$\text{fun-tuple-to-univ-poly } R \ n \ m \ fs \ x = \text{cring-coord-rings.ring-cfs-to-univ-poly } R \ m$
 $(\text{function-tuple-eval } R \ n \ fs \ x)$

context *cring-coord-rings*
begin

lemma *fun-tuple-to-univ-poly-closed*:
assumes *is-function-tuple* $R \ n \ fs$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $\text{length } fs = \text{Suc } m$
shows $\text{fun-tuple-to-univ-poly } R \ n \ m \ fs \ x \in \text{carrier } (UP \ R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fun-tuple-to-univ-poly-degree-bound*:
assumes *is-function-tuple* $R \ n \ fs$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $\text{length } fs = \text{Suc } m$
shows $\text{deg } R \ (\text{fun-tuple-to-univ-poly } R \ n \ m \ fs \ x) \leq m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fun-tuple-to-univ-poly-degree*:
assumes *is-function-tuple* $R \ n \ fs$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $\text{length } fs = \text{Suc } m$
assumes $(fs!m) \ x \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{deg } R \ (\text{fun-tuple-to-univ-poly } R \ n \ m \ fs \ x) = m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

6.4 Factoring a Polynomial as a Univariate Polynomial over a Multivariable Polynomial Ring

definition *pre-to-univ-poly-hom* $:: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a, (('a, \text{nat}) \text{mvar-poly}, \text{nat}) \text{mvar-poly}) \text{ring-hom}$ **where**
 $\text{pre-to-univ-poly-hom } n \ i = MP.\text{indexed-const } (n-1) \circ R.\text{indexed-const}$

lemma *pre-to-univ-poly-hom-is-hom*:
assumes $i < n$
shows $\text{ring-hom-ring } R \ (\text{Pring } (\text{coord-ring } R \ (n-1)) \ \{i\}) \ (\text{pre-to-univ-poly-hom } n \ i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *pre-to-univ-poly-var-ass* $::$
 $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow (('a, \text{nat}) \text{mvar-poly}, \text{nat}) \text{mvar-poly}$ **where**
 $\text{pre-to-univ-poly-var-ass } n \ i \ j = (\text{if } j < i \text{ then } MP.\text{indexed-const } (n-1) \ (\text{pvar } R \ j)$
 else
 $(\text{if } j = i \text{ then } \text{pvar } (\text{coord-ring } R \ (n-1)) \ i \text{ else}$
 $(\text{if } j < n \text{ then } MP.\text{indexed-const } (n-1) \ (\text{pvar } R \ (j -$
 $1)) \ \text{else}$

$\mathbf{0} \text{Pring (coord-ring } R (n-1)) \{i\})$

lemma *pre-to-univ-poly-var-ass-closed*:

assumes $i < n$

shows $\text{closed-fun (Pring (coord-ring } R (n-1)) \{i\}) (pre-to-univ-poly-var-ass } n$
 $i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pre-to-univ-poly-var-ass-closed'*:

assumes $i < n$

shows $(pre-to-univ-poly-var-ass } n i) \in \{..<n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier (Pring (coord-ring } R$
 $(n-1)) \{i\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *pre-to-univ-poly* ::

$\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow ((a, \text{nat}) \text{mvar-poly}, ((a, \text{nat}) \text{mvar-poly}, \text{nat}) \text{mvar-poly}) \text{ring-hom}$

where

$pre-to-univ-poly (n::\text{nat}) (i::\text{nat}) = \text{indexed-poly-induced-morphism } \{..<n\} (\text{Pring}$
 $(\text{coord-ring } R (n-1)) \{i\})$

$(pre-to-univ-poly-hom } n i)$

$(pre-to-univ-poly-var-ass } n i)$

lemma *pre-to-univ-poly-is-hom*:

assumes $i < n$

assumes $\psi = pre-to-univ-poly } n i$

shows $\text{ring-hom-ring (R}[\mathcal{X}_n]) (\text{Pring (coord-ring } R (n-1)) \{i\}) \psi$

$\bigwedge j. j < i \implies \psi (pvar } R j) = MP.\text{indexed-const } (n-1) (pvar } R j)$

$\psi (pvar } R i) = pvar (\text{coord-ring } R (n-1)) i$

$\bigwedge j. i < j \wedge j < n \implies \psi (pvar } R j) = MP.\text{indexed-const } (n-1) (pvar } R (j$
 $- 1))$

$\bigwedge a. a \in \text{carrier } R \implies \psi (\text{coord-const } a) = MP.\text{indexed-const } (n-1)$
 $(\text{coord-const } a)$

$\bigwedge p. p \in \text{carrier (R}[\mathcal{X}_n]) \implies pre-to-univ-poly } n i p \in \text{carrier (Pring (coord-ring}$
 $R (n-1)) \{i\})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *insert-at-index-closed*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier (R}^n)$

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $i \leq n$

shows $\text{insert-at-index } a x i \in \text{carrier (R}^{\text{Suc } n})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pre-to-univ-poly-eval*:

assumes $i < \text{Suc } n$

assumes $p \in \text{carrier (R}[\mathcal{X}_{\text{Suc } n}])$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier (R}^n)$

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $as = \text{insert-at-index } a x i$

shows *eval-at-point* R as $p = \text{eval-at-point } R \ a \ (\text{total-eval } (R[\mathcal{X}_n]) \ (\lambda \ i. \ \text{co-ord-const } x) \ (\text{pre-to-univ-poly } (\text{Suc } n) \ i \ p))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *pre-to-univ-poly-inv-hom* ::

$\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow ((\text{'a}, \text{nat}) \ \text{mvar-poly}, (\text{'a}, \text{nat}) \ \text{mvar-poly}) \ \text{ring-hom}$ **where**
pre-to-univ-poly-inv-hom $n \ i = R.\text{relabel-vars } \{..<(n-1)\} \ \{..<n\} \ (\lambda j. \ \text{if } j < i \ \text{then } j \ \text{else } j + 1)$

lemma *pre-to-univ-poly-inv-hom-is-hom*:

assumes $i < \text{Suc } n$
shows *ring-hom-ring* $(R[\mathcal{X}_n]) \ (R[\mathcal{X}_{\text{Suc } n}]) \ (\text{pre-to-univ-poly-inv-hom } (\text{Suc } n) \ i)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *pre-to-univ-poly-inv-hom-const*:

assumes $i < \text{Suc } n$
assumes $k \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $(\text{pre-to-univ-poly-inv-hom } (\text{Suc } n) \ i) \ (R.\text{indexed-const } k) = R.\text{indexed-const } k$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *pre-to-univ-poly-inv-hom-pvar-0*:

assumes $i < \text{Suc } n$
assumes $j < i$
shows $\text{pre-to-univ-poly-inv-hom } (\text{Suc } n) \ i \ (\text{pvar } R \ j) = \text{pvar } R \ j$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *pre-to-univ-poly-inv-hom-pvar-1*:

assumes $i < \text{Suc } n$
assumes $i \leq j$
assumes $j < n$
shows $\text{pre-to-univ-poly-inv-hom } (\text{Suc } n) \ i \ (\text{pvar } R \ j) = \text{pvar } R \ (j + 1)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *pre-to-univ-poly-inv-var-ass* ::

$\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow (\text{'a}, \text{nat}) \ \text{mvar-poly}$ **where**
pre-to-univ-poly-inv-var-ass $n \ i \ j = \text{pvar } R \ i$

lemma *pre-to-univ-poly-inv-var-ass-closed*:

assumes $i < \text{Suc } n$
shows $\text{pre-to-univ-poly-inv-var-ass } (\text{Suc } n) \ i \in \{i\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_{\text{Suc } n}])$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *pre-to-univ-poly-inv* ::

$\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow ((\text{'a}, \text{nat}) \ \text{mvar-poly}, (\text{nat}) \ \text{mvar-poly}, (\text{'a}, \text{nat}) \ \text{mvar-poly}) \ \text{ring-hom}$ **where**
pre-to-univ-poly-inv $n \ i = \text{indexed-poly-induced-morphism } \{i\} \ (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

$(pre\text{-}to\text{-}univ\text{-}poly\text{-}inv\text{-}hom\ n\ i)\ (pre\text{-}to\text{-}univ\text{-}poly\text{-}inv\text{-}var\text{-}ass\ n\ i)$

lemma *pre-to-univ-poly-inv-is-hom*:

assumes $i < Suc\ n$

shows $ring\text{-}hom\text{-}ring\ (Pring\ (R[\mathcal{X}_n])\ \{i\})\ (R[\mathcal{X}_{Suc\ n}])\ (pre\text{-}to\text{-}univ\text{-}poly\text{-}inv\ (Suc\ n)\ i)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pre-to-univ-poly-inv-pvar*:

assumes $i < Suc\ n$

shows $(pre\text{-}to\text{-}univ\text{-}poly\text{-}inv\ (Suc\ n)\ i)\ (pvar\ (R[\mathcal{X}_n])\ i) = pvar\ R\ i$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pre-to-univ-poly-inv-const*:

assumes $i < Suc\ n$

assumes $p \in carrier\ (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

shows $(pre\text{-}to\text{-}univ\text{-}poly\text{-}inv\ (Suc\ n)\ i)\ (ring.\text{indexed}\text{-}const\ (R[\mathcal{X}_n])\ p) = pre\text{-}to\text{-}univ\text{-}poly\text{-}inv\text{-}hom\ (Suc\ n)\ i\ p$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pre-to-univ-poly-inverse*:

assumes $i < Suc\ n$

assumes $p \in carrier\ (R[\mathcal{X}_{Suc\ n}])$

shows $pre\text{-}to\text{-}univ\text{-}poly\text{-}inv\ (Suc\ n)\ i\ (pre\text{-}to\text{-}univ\text{-}poly\ (Suc\ n)\ i\ p) = p$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *coord-ring-car-induct*:

assumes $Q \in carrier\ (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

assumes $\bigwedge c. c \in carrier\ R \implies A\ (R.\text{indexed}\text{-}const\ c)$

assumes $\bigwedge p\ q. p \in carrier\ (R[\mathcal{X}_n]) \implies q \in carrier\ (R[\mathcal{X}_n]) \implies A\ p \implies A\ q \implies A\ (p \oplus_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]}\ q)$

assumes $\bigwedge p\ i. p \in carrier\ (R[\mathcal{X}_n]) \implies i < n \implies A\ p \implies A\ (p \otimes_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]}\ pvar\ R\ i)$

shows $A\ Q$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pre-to-univ-poly-inverse'*:

assumes $i < Suc\ n$

assumes $p \in carrier\ (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

shows $pre\text{-}to\text{-}univ\text{-}poly\ (Suc\ n)\ i\ (pre\text{-}to\text{-}univ\text{-}poly\text{-}inv\ (Suc\ n)\ i\ (MP.\text{indexed}\text{-}const\ n\ p)) = MP.\text{indexed}\text{-}const\ n\ p$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *to-univ-poly* :: $nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow$

$((('a, nat)\ mvar\text{-}poly\ ,\ ('a, nat)\ mvar\text{-}poly\ u\text{-}poly)\ ring\text{-}hom\ \mathbf{where}\ to\text{-}univ\text{-}poly\ n\ i = IP\text{-}to\text{-}UP\ i \circ (pre\text{-}to\text{-}univ\text{-}poly\ n\ i)$

definition *from-univ-poly* :: $nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow$

$((a, \text{nat}) \text{ mvar-poly } u\text{-poly}, (a, \text{nat}) \text{ mvar-poly}) \text{ ring-hom}$ **where**
 $\text{from-univ-poly } n \ i = \text{pre-to-univ-poly-inv } n \ i \circ (\text{UP-to-IP } (\text{coord-ring } R \ (n-1)) \ i)$

lemma *to-univ-poly-is-hom*:

assumes $i \leq n$

shows $(\text{to-univ-poly } (\text{Suc } n) \ i) \in \text{ring-hom } (R[\mathcal{X}_{\text{Suc } n}]) \ (UP \ (R[\mathcal{X}_n]))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *from-univ-poly-is-hom*:

assumes $i \leq n$

shows $(\text{from-univ-poly } (\text{Suc } n) \ i) \in \text{ring-hom } (UP \ (R[\mathcal{X}_n])) \ (R[\mathcal{X}_{\text{Suc } n}])$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-univ-poly-inverse*:

assumes $i \leq n$

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_{\text{Suc } n}])$

shows $\text{from-univ-poly } (\text{Suc } n) \ i \ (\text{to-univ-poly } (\text{Suc } n) \ i \ p) = p$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-univ-poly-closed*:

assumes $i \leq n$

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_{\text{Suc } n}])$

shows $\text{to-univ-poly } (\text{Suc } n) \ i \ p \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (R[\mathcal{X}_n]))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-univ-poly-add*:

assumes $i \leq n$

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_{\text{Suc } n}])$

assumes $Q \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_{\text{Suc } n}])$

shows $\text{to-univ-poly } (\text{Suc } n) \ i \ (p \oplus_{R[\mathcal{X}_{\text{Suc } n}]} Q) =$

$\text{to-univ-poly } (\text{Suc } n) \ i \ p \oplus_{UP \ (R[\mathcal{X}_n])} \text{to-univ-poly } (\text{Suc } n) \ i \ Q$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-univ-poly-mult*:

assumes $i \leq n$

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_{\text{Suc } n}])$

assumes $Q \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_{\text{Suc } n}])$

shows $\text{to-univ-poly } (\text{Suc } n) \ i \ (p \otimes_{R[\mathcal{X}_{\text{Suc } n}]} Q) =$

$\text{to-univ-poly } (\text{Suc } n) \ i \ p \otimes_{UP \ (R[\mathcal{X}_n])} \text{to-univ-poly } (\text{Suc } n) \ i \ Q$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *from-univ-poly-closed*:

assumes $i \leq n$

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (R[\mathcal{X}_n]))$

shows $\text{from-univ-poly } (\text{Suc } n) \ i \ p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_{\text{Suc } n}])$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *from-univ-poly-add*:

assumes $i \leq n$

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (UP (R[\mathcal{X}_n]))$

assumes $Q \in \text{carrier } (UP (R[\mathcal{X}_n]))$

shows $\text{from-univ-poly } (Suc\ n)\ i\ (p \oplus_{UP (R[\mathcal{X}_n])} Q) =$

$\text{from-univ-poly } (Suc\ n)\ i\ p \oplus_{R[\mathcal{X}_{Suc\ n}]} \text{from-univ-poly } (Suc\ n)\ i\ Q$

<proof>

lemma *from-univ-poly-mult*:

assumes $i \leq n$

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (UP (R[\mathcal{X}_n]))$

assumes $Q \in \text{carrier } (UP (R[\mathcal{X}_n]))$

shows $\text{from-univ-poly } (Suc\ n)\ i\ (p \otimes_{UP (R[\mathcal{X}_n])} Q) =$

$\text{from-univ-poly } (Suc\ n)\ i\ p \otimes_{R[\mathcal{X}_{Suc\ n}]} \text{from-univ-poly } (Suc\ n)\ i\ Q$

<proof>

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *monom-as-mult*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{up-ring.monom } (UP\ R)\ a\ n = \text{to-poly } a \otimes_{UP\ R} \text{up-ring.monom } (UP\ R)$

1 n

<proof>

lemma *cring-coord-rings-coord-ring*:

cring-coord-rings $(R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

<proof>

lemma *from-univ-poly-monom-inverse*:

assumes $i < Suc\ n$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$

shows $\text{to-univ-poly } (Suc\ n)\ i\ (\text{from-univ-poly } (Suc\ n)\ i\ (\text{up-ring.monom } (UP\ (R[\mathcal{X}_n]))\ a\ m)) = \text{up-ring.monom } (UP\ (R[\mathcal{X}_n]))\ a\ m$

<proof>

lemma *from-univ-poly-inverse*:

assumes $i \leq n$

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (UP (R[\mathcal{X}_n]))$

shows $\text{to-univ-poly } (Suc\ n)\ i\ (\text{from-univ-poly } (Suc\ n)\ i\ p) = p$

<proof>

lemma *to-univ-poly-eval*:

assumes $i < Suc\ n$

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_{Suc\ n}])$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $as = \text{insert-at-index } a\ x\ i$

shows $\text{eval-at-point } R\ as\ p = \text{eval-at-point } R\ a\ (\text{to-function } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])\ (\text{to-univ-poly } (Suc\ n)\ i\ p)\ (\text{coord-const } x))$

<proof>

The function `one_over_poly`, introduced in the theory `Cring_Poly`, maps a polynomial $p(x)$ to the unique polynomial $q(x)$ which satisfies the relation $q(x) = x^n p(1/x)$. This will be used later to show that the function $f(x) = 1/x$ is semialgebraic over the field \mathbb{Q}_p .

lemma *to-univ-poly-one-over-poly*:

assumes *field* R
assumes $i < (\text{Suc } n)$
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_{\text{Suc } n}])$
assumes $Q = \text{from-univ-poly } (\text{Suc } n) \ i \ (\text{UP-cring.one-over-poly } (R[\mathcal{X}_n]) \ (\text{to-univ-poly } (\text{Suc } n) \ i \ p))$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $x \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $b = \text{insert-at-index } a \ x \ i$
assumes $c = \text{insert-at-index } a \ (\text{inv } x) \ i$
assumes $N = \text{UP-ring.degree } (R[\mathcal{X}_n]) \ (\text{to-univ-poly } (\text{Suc } n) \ i \ p)$
shows $Q \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_{\text{Suc } n}])$
 $\text{eval-at-point } R \ b \ Q = (x[\uparrow]N) \otimes (\text{eval-at-point } R \ c \ p)$
<proof>

lemma *to-univ-poly-one-over-poly'*:

assumes *field* R
assumes $i < (\text{Suc } n)$
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_{\text{Suc } n}])$
assumes $Q = \text{from-univ-poly } (\text{Suc } n) \ i \ (\text{UP-cring.one-over-poly } (R[\mathcal{X}_n]) \ (\text{to-univ-poly } (\text{Suc } n) \ i \ p))$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (R^n)$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $x \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $b = \text{insert-at-index } a \ x \ i$
assumes $c = \text{insert-at-index } a \ (\text{inv } x) \ i$
assumes $N = \text{UP-ring.degree } (R[\mathcal{X}_n]) \ (\text{to-univ-poly } (\text{Suc } n) \ i \ p)$
assumes $q = (\text{pvar } R \ i)[\uparrow]_{R[\mathcal{X}_{\text{Suc } n}]}^{(k::\text{nat}) \otimes R[\mathcal{X}_{\text{Suc } n}]} Q$
shows $q \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_{\text{Suc } n}])$
 $\text{eval-at-point } R \ b \ q = (x[\uparrow](N + k)) \otimes (\text{eval-at-point } R \ c \ p)$
<proof>

lemma *to-univ-poly-one-over-poly''*:

assumes *field* R
assumes $i < (\text{Suc } n)$
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_{\text{Suc } n}])$
assumes $N \geq \text{UP-ring.degree } (R[\mathcal{X}_n]) \ (\text{to-univ-poly } (\text{Suc } n) \ i \ p)$
shows $\exists q \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_{\text{Suc } n}]). (\forall x \in \text{carrier } R - \{\mathbf{0}\}. (\forall a \in \text{carrier } (R^n).$
 $\text{eval-at-point } R \ (\text{insert-at-index } a \ x \ i) \ q = (x[\uparrow]N) \otimes (\text{eval-at-point } R \ (\text{insert-at-index } a \ (\text{inv } x) \ i) \ p))$
<proof>

7 Restricted Inverse Images and Complements

This section introduces some versions of basic set operations for extensional functions and sets. We would like a version of the inverse image which intersects the inverse image of a function with the set `carrier` (R^n), and a version of the complement of a set which takes the complement relative to `carrier` (R^n). These will have to be defined in parametrized families, with one such object for each natural number n .

definition *evimage* (**infixr** $\langle^{-1}_1\rangle$ 90) **where**
 $evimage\ n\ f\ S = ((f\ -'\ S) \cap carrier\ (R^n))$

definition *euminus-set* :: $nat \Rightarrow 'a\ list\ set \Rightarrow 'a\ list\ set$ ($\langle^{-1}_1\rangle$ 70) **where**
 $S^c_n = carrier\ (R^n) - S$

lemma *extensional-vimage-closed*:
 $f^{-1}_n\ S \subseteq carrier\ (R^n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

7.1 Inverse image of a function

lemma *evimage-eq* [*simp*]: $a \in f^{-1}_n\ B \longleftrightarrow a \in carrier\ (R^n) \wedge f\ a \in B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *evimage-singleton-eq*: $a \in f^{-1}_n\ \{b\} \longleftrightarrow a \in carrier\ (R^n) \wedge f\ a = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *evimageI* [*intro*]: $a \in carrier\ (R^n) \Longrightarrow f\ a = b \Longrightarrow b \in B \Longrightarrow a \in f^{-1}_n\ B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *evimageI2*: $a \in carrier\ (R^n) \Longrightarrow f\ a \in A \Longrightarrow a \in f^{-1}_n\ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *evimageE* [*elim!*]: $a \in f^{-1}_n\ B \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge x. f\ a = x \Longrightarrow x \in B \Longrightarrow p) \Longrightarrow p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *evimageD*: $a \in f^{-1}_n\ A \Longrightarrow f\ a \in A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *evimage-empty* [*simp*]: $f^{-1}_n\ \{\} = \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *evimage-Compl*:
assumes $f \in carrier\ (R^n) \rightarrow carrier\ (R^m)$
shows $(f^{-1}_n(A^c_m)) = ((f\ -'\ A)^c_n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *evimage-Un* [*simp*]: $f^{-1}_n\ (A \cup B) = (f^{-1}_n\ A) \cup (f^{-1}_n\ B)$

<proof>

lemma *evimage-Int* [simp]: $f^{-1}_n (A \cap B) = (f^{-1}_n A) \cap (f^{-1}_n B)$
<proof>

lemma *evimage-Collect-eq* [simp]: $f^{-1}_n \text{Collect } p = \{y \in \text{carrier } (R^n). p (f y)\}$
<proof>

lemma *evimage-Collect*: $(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{carrier } (R^n) \implies p (f x) = Q x) \implies f^{-1}_n (\text{Collect } p) = \text{Collect } Q \cap \text{carrier } (R^n)$
<proof>

lemma *evimage-insert*: $f^{-1}_n (\text{insert } a B) = (f^{-1}_n \{a\}) \cup (f^{-1}_n B)$
— NOT suitable for rewriting because of the recurrence of $\{a\}$.
<proof>

lemma *evimage-Diff*: $f^{-1}_n (A - B) = (f^{-1}_n A) - (f^{-1}_n B)$
<proof>

lemma *evimage-UNIV* [simp]: $f^{-1}_n \text{UNIV} = \text{carrier } (R^n)$
<proof>

lemma *evimage-mono*: $A \subseteq B \implies f^{-1}_n A \subseteq f^{-1}_n B$
— monotonicity
<proof>

lemma *evimage-image-eq*: $(f^{-1}_n (f' A)) = \{y \in \text{carrier } (R^n). \exists x \in A. f x = f y\}$
<proof>

lemma *image-evimage-subset*: $f' (f^{-1}_n A) \subseteq A$
<proof>

lemma *image-evimage-eq* [simp]: $f' (f^{-1}_n A) = A \cap (f' \text{carrier } (R^n))$
<proof>

lemma *image-subset-iff-subset-evimage*: $A \subseteq \text{carrier } (R^n) \implies f' A \subseteq B \iff A \subseteq f^{-1}_n B$
<proof>

lemma *evimage-const* [simp]: $((\lambda x. c)^{-1}_n A) = (\text{if } c \in A \text{ then } \text{carrier } (R^n) \text{ else } \{\})$
<proof>

lemma *evimage-if* [simp]: $((\lambda x. \text{if } x \in B \text{ then } c \text{ else } d)^{-1}_n A) =$
 $(\text{if } c \in A \text{ then } (\text{if } d \in A \text{ then } \text{carrier } (R^n) \text{ else } B \cap \text{carrier } (R^n))$
 $\text{else if } d \in A \text{ then } B^c \text{ else } \{\})$
<proof>

lemma *evimage-inter-cong*: $(\bigwedge w. w \in S \implies f w = g w) \implies f^{-1}_n y \cap S = g$

${}^{-1}_n y \cap S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *evimage-ident* [*simp*]: $(\lambda x. x) {}^{-1}_n Y = Y \cap carrier (R^n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end
theory *Padic-Fields*
imports *Fraction-Field Padic-Ints.Hensels-Lemma*

begin

8 Constructing the p -adic Valued Field

As a field, we can define the field \mathbb{Q}_p immediately as the fraction field of \mathbb{Z}_p . The valuation can then be extended from \mathbb{Z}_p to \mathbb{Q}_p by defining $\text{val}(a/b) = \text{val } a - \text{val } b$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

8.1 A Locale for p -adic Fields

This section builds a locale for reasoning about general p -adic fields for a fixed p . The locale fixes constants for the ring of p -adic integers (\mathbb{Z}_p) and the inclusion map $\iota : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$.

type-synonym *padic-number* = $((nat \Rightarrow int) \times (nat \Rightarrow int))$ *set*

locale *padic-fields*=

fixes $Q_p::$ - *ring* (**structure**)

fixes $Z_p::$ - *ring* (**structure**)

fixes p

fixes ι

defines $Z_p \equiv \text{padic-int } p$

defines $Q_p \equiv \text{Frac } Z_p$

defines $\iota \equiv \text{domain-frac.inc } Z_p$

assumes *prime*: *prime* p

sublocale *padic-fields* < $Zp?$: *domain-frac* Z_p

$\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale *padic-fields* < $Qp?$: *ring* Q_p

$\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale *padic-fields* < $Qp?$: *cring* Q_p

<proof>

sublocale *padic-fields* < *Qp?*: field \mathbb{Q}_p

<proof>

sublocale *padic-fields* < *Qp?*: domain \mathbb{Q}_p

<proof>

sublocale *padic-fields* < *padic-integers* \mathbb{Z}_p

<proof>

sublocale *padic-fields* < *UPQ?*: *UP-cring* \mathbb{Q}_p *UP* \mathbb{Q}_p

<proof>

8.2 The Valuation Ring in \mathbb{Q}_p

The valuation ring \mathcal{O}_p is the subring of elements in \mathbb{Q}_p with positive valuation. It is an isomorphic copy of \mathbb{Z}_p .

context *padic-fields*

begin

abbreviation \mathcal{O}_p where

$\mathcal{O}_p \equiv \iota$ ' carrier \mathbb{Z}_p

lemma *inc-closed*:

assumes $a \in$ carrier \mathbb{Z}_p

shows $\iota a \in$ carrier \mathbb{Q}_p

<proof>

lemma *inc-is-hom*:

$\iota \in$ ring-hom \mathbb{Z}_p \mathbb{Q}_p

<proof>

An alternate formula of the map ι

lemma *inc-def*:

assumes $a \in$ carrier \mathbb{Z}_p

shows $\iota a = \text{frac } a \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$

<proof>

lemma *inc-of-nonzero*:

assumes $a \in$ nonzero \mathbb{Z}_p

shows $\iota a \in$ nonzero \mathbb{Q}_p

<proof>

lemma *inc-of-one*:

$\iota \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} = \mathbf{1}$

<proof>

lemma *inc-of-zero*:

$\iota \mathbf{0}_{Z_p} = \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma *inc-of-sum*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Z_p$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Z_p$

shows $\iota (a \oplus_{Z_p} b) = (\iota a) \oplus (\iota b)$

<proof>

lemma *inc-of-prod*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Z_p$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Z_p$

shows $\iota (a \otimes_{Z_p} b) = (\iota a) \otimes (\iota b)$

<proof>

lemma *inc-pow*:

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$

shows $\iota (a[\wedge]_{Z_p} (n::\text{nat})) = (\iota a)[\wedge] n$

<proof>

lemma *inc-of-diff*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Z_p$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Z_p$

shows $\iota (a \ominus_{Z_p} b) = (\iota a) \ominus (\iota b)$

<proof>

lemma *Units-nonzero-Qp*:

assumes $u \in \text{Units } Q_p$

shows $u \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

<proof>

lemma *Units-eq-nonzero*:

$\text{Units } Q_p = \text{nonzero } Q_p$

<proof>

lemma *Units-inverse-Qp*:

assumes $u \in \text{Units } Q_p$

shows $\text{inv}_{Q_p} u \in \text{Units } Q_p$

<proof>

lemma *nonzero-inverse-Qp*:

assumes $u \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

shows $\text{inv}_{Q_p} u \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

<proof>

lemma *frac-add*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Z_p$

assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $d \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
shows $(\text{frac } a \ b) \oplus (\text{frac } c \ d) = (\text{frac } ((a \otimes_{Z_p} d) \oplus_{Z_p} (b \otimes_{Z_p} c)) (b \otimes_{Z_p} d))$
 <proof>

lemma *frac-add-common-denom:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $c \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
shows $(\text{frac } a \ c) \oplus (\text{frac } b \ c) = \text{frac } (a \oplus_{Z_p} b) \ c$
 <proof>

lemma *frac-mult:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $d \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
shows $(\text{frac } a \ b) \otimes (\text{frac } c \ d) = (\text{frac } (a \otimes_{Z_p} c) (b \otimes_{Z_p} d))$
 <proof>

lemma *frac-one:*

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
shows $\text{frac } a \ a = \mathbf{1}$
 <proof>

lemma *frac-closed:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
shows $\text{frac } a \ b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
 <proof>

lemma *inv-in-frac:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $a \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{inv}_{Q_p} a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
 $\text{inv}_{Q_p} a \neq \mathbf{0}$
 $\text{inv}_{Q_p} a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
 <proof>

lemma *nonzero-numer-imp-nonzero-fraction:*

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
shows $\text{frac } a \ b \neq \mathbf{0}$
 <proof>

lemma *nonzero-fraction-imp-numer-not-zero:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$

assumes $\text{frac } a \ b \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $a \neq \mathbf{0}_{Z_p}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nonzero-fraction-imp-nonzero-numer*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
assumes $\text{frac } a \ b \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $a \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-fields*) *frac-inv-id*:

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
assumes $c \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
assumes $d \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
assumes $\text{frac } a \ b = \text{frac } c \ d$
shows $\text{frac } b \ a = \text{frac } d \ c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-fields*) *frac-uminus*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
shows $\ominus (\text{frac } a \ b) = \text{frac } (\ominus_{Z_p} a) \ b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-fields*) *i-mult*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $d \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
shows $(\iota a) \otimes (\text{frac } c \ d) = \text{frac } (a \otimes_{Z_p} c) \ d$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *numer-denom-facts*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $(\text{numer } a) \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
 $(\text{denom } a) \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
 $a \neq \mathbf{0} \implies \text{numer } a \neq \mathbf{0}_{Z_p}$
 $a \otimes (\iota (\text{denom } a)) = \iota (\text{numer } a)$
 $a = \text{frac } (\text{numer } a) \ (\text{denom } a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *get-common-denominator*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
obtains $a \ b \ c$ **where**
 $a \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
 $b \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
 $c \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$

$x = \text{frac } a \ c$
 $y = \text{frac } b \ c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation $\text{frac} :: - \Rightarrow - \Rightarrow -$ (**infixl** $\langle \div \rangle$ 50) **where**
 $(\text{frac } a \ b) \equiv (a \otimes (\text{inv}_{Q_p} \ b))$

frac generalizes frac

lemma frac-frac :

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
shows $(\text{frac } a \ b) = (\iota \ a \div \iota \ b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma frac-eq :

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
assumes $\text{frac } a \ b = \mathbf{1}$
shows $a = b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma frac-cancel-right :

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
shows $b \otimes (a \div b) = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma frac-cancel-left :

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
shows $(a \div b) \otimes b = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma frac-mult :

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $d \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
shows $(a \div b) \otimes (c \div d) = ((a \otimes c) \div (b \otimes d))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $Qp\text{-nat-pow-nonzero}$:

assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
shows $x[\wedge](n::\text{nat}) \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $Qp\text{-nonzero-nat-pow}$:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $n > 0$

assumes $x[\ulcorner](n::nat) \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
shows $x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Qp-int-pow-nonzero*:
assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
shows $x[\ulcorner](n::int) \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Qp-nonzero-int-pow*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $n > 0$
assumes $x[\ulcorner](n::int) \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
shows $x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pow-p-frac-0*:
assumes $(m::int) \geq n$
assumes $n \geq 0$
shows $(\text{frac } (p[\ulcorner]_{Z_p} m) (p[\ulcorner]_{Z_p} n)) = \iota (p[\ulcorner]_{Z_p} (m-n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pow-p-frac*:
assumes $(m::int) \leq n$
assumes $m \geq 0$
shows $(\text{frac } (p[\ulcorner]_{Z_p} m) (p[\ulcorner]_{Z_p} n)) = \text{frac } \mathbf{1}_{Z_p} (p[\ulcorner]_{Z_p} (n-m))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The copy of the prime p living in \mathbb{Q}_p :

abbreviation \mathfrak{p} **where**
 $\mathfrak{p} \equiv [p] \cdot_{Q_p} \mathbf{1}$

lemma(**in** *domain-frac*) *frac-inc-of-nat*:
 $\text{Frac-inc } R ([(n::nat)] \cdot \mathbf{1}) = [n] \cdot \text{Frac } R \mathbf{1} \text{Frac } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inc-of-nat*:
 $(\iota ([(n::nat)] \cdot_{Z_p} \mathbf{1}_{Z_p})) = [n] \cdot_{Q_p} \mathbf{1}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *domain-frac*) *frac-inc-of-int*:
 $\text{Frac-inc } R ([(n::int)] \cdot \mathbf{1}) = [n] \cdot \text{Frac } R \mathbf{1} \text{Frac } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inc-of-int*:
 $(\iota ([(n::int)] \cdot_{Z_p} \mathbf{1}_{Z_p})) = [n] \cdot_{Q_p} \mathbf{1}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *p-inc*:

$\mathfrak{p} = \iota \mathfrak{p}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *p-nonzero*:
 $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{nonzero } Q_{\mathfrak{p}}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *p-natpow-inc*:
fixes $n::\text{nat}$
shows $\mathfrak{p}[\uparrow]n = \iota (\mathfrak{p} [\uparrow]_{Z_{\mathfrak{p}}} n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *p-intpow-inc*:
fixes $n::\text{int}$
assumes $n \geq 0$
shows $\mathfrak{p}[\uparrow]n = \iota (\mathfrak{p} [\uparrow]_{Z_{\mathfrak{p}}} n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *p-intpow*:
fixes $n::\text{int}$
assumes $n < 0$
shows $\mathfrak{p}[\uparrow]n = (\text{frac } \mathbf{1}_{Z_{\mathfrak{p}}} (\mathfrak{p} [\uparrow]_{Z_{\mathfrak{p}}} (-n)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *p-natpow-closed[simp]*:
fixes $n::\text{nat}$
shows $(\mathfrak{p}[\uparrow]n) \in (\text{carrier } Q_{\mathfrak{p}})$
 $(\mathfrak{p}[\uparrow]n) \in (\text{nonzero } Q_{\mathfrak{p}})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nonzero-int-pow-distrib*:
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_{\mathfrak{p}}$
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } Q_{\mathfrak{p}}$
shows $(a \otimes b) [\uparrow](k::\text{int}) = a[\uparrow]k \otimes b[\uparrow]k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-ring-subring*:
 $\text{subring } \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} Q_{\mathfrak{p}}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-ring-closed*:
 $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \text{carrier } Q_{\mathfrak{p}}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *p-pow-diff*:
fixes $n::\text{int}$
fixes $m::\text{int}$
assumes $n \geq 0$
assumes $m \geq 0$

shows $\mathfrak{p} \lceil \lceil (n - m) = \text{frac } (\mathfrak{p} \lceil \lceil_{Z_p} n) (\mathfrak{p} \lceil \lceil_{Z_p} m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Qp-int-pow-add:*

fixes $n::\text{int}$
fixes $m::\text{int}$
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
shows $a \lceil \lceil (n + m) = (a \lceil \lceil n) \otimes (a \lceil \lceil m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Qp-nat-pow-pow:*

fixes $n::\text{nat}$
fixes $m::\text{nat}$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $(a \lceil \lceil (n * m)) = ((a \lceil \lceil n) \lceil \lceil m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Qp-p-nat-pow-pow:*

fixes $n::\text{nat}$
fixes $m::\text{nat}$
shows $(\mathfrak{p} \lceil \lceil (n * m)) = ((\mathfrak{p} \lceil \lceil n) \lceil \lceil m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Qp-units-int-pow:*

fixes $n::\text{int}$
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
shows $a \lceil \lceil n = a \lceil \lceil_{\text{units-of } Q_p} n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Qp-int-pow-pow:*

fixes $n::\text{int}$
fixes $m::\text{int}$
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
shows $(a \lceil \lceil (n * m)) = ((a \lceil \lceil n) \lceil \lceil m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Qp-p-int-pow-pow:*

fixes $n::\text{int}$
fixes $m::\text{int}$
shows $(\mathfrak{p} \lceil \lceil (n * m)) = ((\mathfrak{p} \lceil \lceil n) \lceil \lceil m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Qp-int-nat-pow-pow:*

fixes $n::\text{int}$
fixes $m::\text{nat}$
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
shows $(a \lceil \lceil (n * m)) = ((a \lceil \lceil n) \lceil \lceil m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Qp-p-int-nat-pow-pow*:

fixes $n::int$

fixes $m::nat$

shows $(p \ [\] \ (n * m)) = ((p \ [\] \ n) \ [\] \ m)$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-nat-int-pow-pow*:

fixes $n::nat$

fixes $m::int$

assumes $a \in nonzero \ Q_p$

shows $(a \ [\] \ (n * m)) = ((a \ [\] \ n) \ [\] \ m)$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-p-nat-int-pow-pow*:

fixes $n::nat$

fixes $m::int$

shows $(p \ [\] \ (n * m)) = ((p \ [\] \ n) \ [\] \ m)$

<proof>

lemma *p-intpow-closed*:

fixes $n::int$

shows $(p \ [\] \ n) \in (carrier \ Q_p)$

$(p \ [\] \ n) \in (nonzero \ Q_p)$

<proof>

lemma *p-intpow-add*:

fixes $n::int$

fixes $m::int$

shows $p \ [\] \ (n + m) = (p \ [\] \ n) \otimes (p \ [\] \ m)$

<proof>

lemma *p-intpow-inv*:

fixes $n::int$

shows $(p \ [\] \ n) \otimes (p \ [\] \ -n) = \mathbf{1}$

<proof>

lemma *p-intpow-inv'*:

fixes $n::int$

shows $(p \ [\] \ -n) \otimes (p \ [\] \ n) = \mathbf{1}$

<proof>

lemma *p-intpow-inv''*:

fixes $n::int$

shows $(p \ [\] \ -n) = inv_{Q_p} (p \ [\] \ n)$

<proof>

lemma *p-int-pow-factor-int-pow*:

assumes $a \in nonzero \ Q_p$

shows $(p \ [\] \ (n * m)) \otimes a \ [\] \ k = p \ [\] \ (n * m * k) \otimes a \ [\] \ k$

<proof>

lemma *p-nat-pow-factor-int-pow*:

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

shows $(\mathfrak{p}[\uparrow](n::\text{nat}) \otimes a)[\uparrow](k::\text{int}) = \mathfrak{p}[\uparrow](n*k) \otimes a[\uparrow]k$

<proof>

lemma *p-pow-factor*:

$\mathfrak{p}[\uparrow]((\text{int } N)*l + k) = (\mathfrak{p}[\uparrow]l)[\uparrow](N::\text{nat}) \otimes \mathfrak{p}[\uparrow]k$

<proof>

lemma *p-nat-pow-factor-nat-pow*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

shows $(\mathfrak{p}[\uparrow](n::\text{nat}) \otimes a)[\uparrow](k::\text{nat}) = \mathfrak{p}[\uparrow](n*k) \otimes a[\uparrow]k$

<proof>

lemma *p-int-pow-factor-nat-pow*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

shows $(\mathfrak{p}[\uparrow](n::\text{int}) \otimes a)[\uparrow](k::\text{nat}) = \mathfrak{p}[\uparrow](n*k) \otimes a[\uparrow]k$

<proof>

lemma(*in ring*) *r-minus-distr*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } R$

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $a \otimes b \ominus a \otimes c = a \otimes (b \ominus c)$

<proof>

8.3 The Valuation on \mathbb{Q}_p

8.3.1 Extending the Valuation from \mathbb{Z}_p to \mathbb{Q}_p

The valuation of a p -adic number can be defined as the difference of the valuations of an arbitrary choice of numerator and denominator.

definition *ord where*

$\text{ord } x = (\text{ord-}Z_p(\text{numer } x)) - (\text{ord-}Z_p(\text{denom } x))$

definition *val where*

$\text{val } x = (\text{if } x = \mathbf{0} \text{ then } (\infty::\text{eint}) \text{ else } \text{eint } (\text{ord } x))$

lemma *val-ord[simp]*:

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

shows $\text{val } a = \text{ord } a$

<proof>

8.3.2 Properties of the Valuation

lemma *ord-of-frac*:

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$

assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
shows $\text{ord} (\text{frac } a \ b) = (\text{ord-}Z_p \ a) - (\text{ord-}Z_p \ b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-zero*:
 $\text{val } \mathbf{0} = \infty \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-one[simp]*:
 $\text{ord } \mathbf{1} = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-one[simp]*:
 $\text{val } (\mathbf{1}) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-of-frac*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
shows $\text{val} (\text{frac } a \ b) = (\text{val-}Z_p \ a) - (\text{val-}Z_p \ b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Z_p -division- $Qp-0$ [simp]*:
assumes $u \in \text{Units } Z_p$
assumes $v \in \text{Units } Z_p$
shows $\text{frac} (u \otimes_{Z_p} (\text{inv}_{Z_p} \ v)) \ \mathbf{1}_{Z_p} = \text{frac } u \ v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Z_p -division- $Qp-1$* :
assumes $u \in \text{Units } Z_p$
assumes $v \in \text{Units } Z_p$
obtains w **where** $w \in \text{Units } Z_p$
 $\iota \ w = \text{frac } u \ v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-ring-ord-criterion*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $a \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $\text{ord } a \geq 0$
shows $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-ring-val-criterion*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{val } a \geq 0$
shows $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-of-inv*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $a \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{ord}(\text{inv}_{Q_p} a) = -(\text{ord } a)$
<proof>

lemma *val-of-inv*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $a \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{val}(\text{inv}_{Q_p} a) = -(\text{val } a)$
<proof>

Z_p is a valuation ring in Q_p

lemma *Z_p-mem*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $a \in \mathcal{O}_p \vee (\text{inv}_{Q_p} a \in \mathcal{O}_p)$
<proof>

lemma *Q_p-val-ringI*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{val } a \geq 0$
shows $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$
<proof>

Criterion for determining when an element in Q_p is zero

lemma *val-nonzero*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $s > \text{val } a$
shows $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
<proof>

lemma *val-ineq*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{val } \mathbf{0} \leq \text{val } a$
shows $a = \mathbf{0}$
<proof>

lemma *ord-minus*:
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
shows $\text{ord } a = \text{ord}(\ominus a)$
<proof>

lemma *val-minus*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $\text{val } a = \text{val}(\ominus a)$
<proof>

The valuation is multiplicative:

lemma *ord-mult*:
assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

assumes $y \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
shows $(\text{ord } (x \otimes y)) = (\text{ord } x) + (\text{ord } y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-mult0*:
assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
assumes $y \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
shows $(\text{val } (x \otimes y)) = (\text{val } x) + (\text{val } y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

val is multiplicative everywhere

lemma *val-mult*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $(\text{val } (x \otimes y)) = (\text{val } x) + (\text{val } y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

val and ord are compatible with inclusion

lemma *ord-of-inc*:
assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
shows $\text{ord-}Z_p x = \text{ord}(\iota x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-of-inc*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
shows $\text{val-}Z_p x = \text{val } (\iota x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Qp-inc-id*:
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
assumes $\text{ord } a \geq 0$
obtains b **where** $b \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$ **and** $a = \iota b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-ring-memI*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{val } a \geq 0$
shows $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-ring-memE*:
assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$
shows $\text{val } a \geq 0$ $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-ring-add-closed*:
assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$
assumes $b \in \mathcal{O}_p$
shows $a \oplus b \in \mathcal{O}_p$

<proof>

lemma *val-ring-times-closed*:

assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$
assumes $b \in \mathcal{O}_p$
shows $a \otimes b \in \mathcal{O}_p$
<proof>

lemma *val-ring-ainv-closed*:

assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$
shows $\ominus a \in \mathcal{O}_p$
<proof>

lemma *val-ring-minus-closed*:

assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$
assumes $b \in \mathcal{O}_p$
shows $a \ominus b \in \mathcal{O}_p$
<proof>

lemma *one-in-val-ring*:

$\mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{O}_p$
<proof>

lemma *zero-in-val-ring*:

$\mathbf{0} \in \mathcal{O}_p$
<proof>

lemma *ord-p*:

$\text{ord } \mathfrak{p} = 1$
<proof>

lemma *ord-p-pow-nat*:

$\text{ord } (\mathfrak{p} [\ulcorner] (n::\text{nat})) = n$
<proof>

lemma *ord-p-pow-int*:

$\text{ord } (\mathfrak{p} [\ulcorner] (n::\text{int})) = n$
<proof>

lemma *ord-nonneg*:

assumes $x \in \mathcal{O}_p$
assumes $x \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{ord } x \geq 0$
<proof>

lemma *val-p*:

$\text{val } \mathfrak{p} = 1$
<proof>

lemma *val-p-int-pow*:

val ($\mathfrak{p}[\wedge](k::\text{int})$) = k

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-p-int-pow-neg*:

val ($\mathfrak{p}[\wedge](-k::\text{int})$) = $- \text{eint } k$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nonzero-nat-pow-ord*:

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

shows $\text{ord } (a [\wedge] (n::\text{nat})) = n * \text{ord } a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-cancel-eint-geq*:

assumes $(\text{eint } a) + x \geq (\text{eint } a) + y$

shows $x \geq y$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(*in padic-fields*) *prod-equal-val-imp-equal-val*:

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $\text{val } (a \otimes b) = \text{val } (a \otimes c)$

shows $\text{val } b = \text{val } c$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *two-times-eint*:

shows $2*(x::\text{eint}) = x + x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *times-cfs-val-mono*:

assumes $u \in \text{Units } Q_p$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $\text{val } (u \otimes a) \leq \text{val } (u \otimes b)$

shows $\text{val } a \leq \text{val } b$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *times-cfs-val-mono'*:

assumes $u \in \text{Units } Q_p$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $\text{val } (u \otimes a) \leq \text{val } (u \otimes b) + \alpha$

shows $\text{val } a \leq \text{val } b + \alpha$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *times-cfs-val-mono''*:

assumes $u \in \text{Units } Q_p$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{val } a \leq \text{val } b + \alpha$
shows $\text{val } (u \otimes a) \leq \text{val } (u \otimes b) + \alpha$
<proof>

lemma *val-ineq-cancel-leq*:
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{val } (a \otimes b) \leq \text{val } (a \otimes c)$
shows $\text{val } b \leq \text{val } c$
<proof>

lemma *val-ineq-cancel-leq'*:
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{val } b \leq \text{val } c$
shows $\text{val } (a \otimes b) \leq \text{val } (a \otimes c)$
<proof>

lemma *val-ineq-cancel-le*:
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{val } (a \otimes b) < \text{val } (a \otimes c)$
shows $\text{val } b < \text{val } c$
<proof>

lemma *val-ineq-cancel-le'*:
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{val } b < \text{val } c$
shows $\text{val } (a \otimes b) < \text{val } (a \otimes c)$
<proof>

lemma *finite-val-imp-nonzero*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{val } a \neq \infty$
shows $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
<proof>

lemma *val-ineq-cancel-leq''*:
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $val\ b \leq val\ c + eint\ N$
shows $val\ (a \otimes b) \leq val\ (a \otimes c) + eint\ N$
 ⟨proof⟩

8.3.3 The Ultrametric Inequality on \mathbb{Q}_p

lemma *ord-ultrametric*:

assumes $x \in nonzero\ \mathbb{Q}_p$
assumes $y \in nonzero\ \mathbb{Q}_p$
assumes $x \oplus y \in nonzero\ \mathbb{Q}_p$
shows $ord\ (x \oplus y) \geq \min\ (ord\ x)\ (ord\ y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ord-ultrametric'*:

assumes $x \in nonzero\ \mathbb{Q}_p$
assumes $y \in nonzero\ \mathbb{Q}_p$
assumes $x \ominus_{\mathbb{Q}_p} y \in nonzero\ \mathbb{Q}_p$
shows $ord\ (x \ominus_{\mathbb{Q}_p} y) \geq \min\ (ord\ x)\ (ord\ y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *val-ultrametric0*:

assumes $x \in nonzero\ \mathbb{Q}_p$
assumes $y \in nonzero\ \mathbb{Q}_p$
assumes $x \oplus y \in nonzero\ \mathbb{Q}_p$
shows $\min\ (val\ x)\ (val\ y) \leq val\ (x \oplus y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *val-ultrametric*:

assumes $x \in carrier\ \mathbb{Q}_p$
assumes $y \in carrier\ \mathbb{Q}_p$
shows $\min\ (val\ x)\ (val\ y) \leq val\ (x \oplus y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *val-ultrametric'*:

assumes $x \in carrier\ \mathbb{Q}_p$
assumes $y \in carrier\ \mathbb{Q}_p$
shows $\min\ (val\ x)\ (val\ y) \leq val\ (x \ominus y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *diff-ord-nonzero*:

assumes $x \in nonzero\ \mathbb{Q}_p$
assumes $y \in nonzero\ \mathbb{Q}_p$
assumes $ord\ x \neq ord\ y$
shows $x \oplus y \in nonzero\ \mathbb{Q}_p$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ord-ultrametric-noteq*:

assumes $x \in nonzero\ \mathbb{Q}_p$
assumes $y \in nonzero\ \mathbb{Q}_p$

assumes $\text{ord } x > \text{ord } y$
shows $\text{ord } (x \oplus y) = (\text{ord } y)$
<proof>

lemma *ord-ultrametric-noteq'*:
assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
assumes $y \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
assumes $\text{ord } x > \text{ord } y$
shows $\text{ord } (x \ominus y) = (\text{ord } y)$
<proof>

lemma *ord-ultrametric-noteq''*:
assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
assumes $y \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
assumes $\text{ord } y > \text{ord } x$
shows $\text{ord } (x \ominus y) = (\text{ord } x)$
<proof>

lemma *val-ultrametric-noteq*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{val } x > \text{val } y$
shows $\text{val } (x \oplus y) = \text{val } y$
<proof>

lemma *val-ultrametric-noteq'*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{val } x > \text{val } y$
shows $\text{val } (x \ominus y) = \text{val } y$
<proof>

lemma *ultrametric-equal-eq*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{val } (y \ominus x) > \text{val } x$
shows $\text{val } x = \text{val } y$
<proof>

lemma *ultrametric-equal-eq'*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{val } (x \ominus y) > \text{val } x$
shows $\text{val } x = \text{val } y$
<proof>

lemma *val-ultrametric-noteq''*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $val\ x > val\ y$
shows $val\ (y \ominus x) = val\ y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Ultrametric over finite sums:

lemma *Min-mono*:
assumes *finite* A
assumes $A \neq \{\}$
assumes $\bigwedge a. a \in A \implies f\ a \leq a$
shows $Min\ (f'A) \leq Min\ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Min-mono'*:
assumes *finite* A
assumes $\bigwedge (a::'a). a \in A \implies (f::'a \Rightarrow eint)\ a \leq g\ a$
shows $Min\ (f'A) \leq Min\ (g'A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eint-ord-trans*:
assumes $(a::eint) \leq b$
assumes $b \leq c$
shows $a \leq c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eint-Min-geq*:
assumes *finite* $(A::eint\ set)$
assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies x \geq c$
assumes $A \neq \{\}$
shows $Min\ A \geq c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eint-Min-gr*:
assumes *finite* $(A::eint\ set)$
assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies x > c$
assumes $A \neq \{\}$
shows $Min\ A > c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *finsum-val-ultrametric*:
assumes $g \in A \rightarrow carrier\ Q_p$
assumes *finite* A
assumes $A \neq \{\}$
shows $val\ (finsum\ Q_p\ g\ A) \geq Min\ (val\ ' (g'A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *padic-fields*) *finsum-val-ultrametric'*:
assumes $g \in A \rightarrow carrier\ Q_p$
assumes *finite* A
assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in A \implies val\ (g\ i) \geq c$

shows $\text{val} (\text{finsum } Q_p g A) \geq c$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *padic-fields*) *finsum-val-ultrametric''*:

assumes $g \in A \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes *finite* A
assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in A \implies \text{val} (g i) > c$
assumes $c < \infty$
shows $\text{val} (\text{finsum } Q_p g A) > c$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Qp-diff-diff*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $d \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $(x \ominus c) \ominus (d \ominus c) = x \ominus d$
 ⟨proof⟩

This variant of the ultrametric identity formalizes the common saying that "all triangles in \mathbb{Q}_p are isosceles":

lemma *Qp-isosceles*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $d \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{val} (x \ominus c) \geq v$
assumes $\text{val} (d \ominus c) \geq v$
shows $\text{val} (x \ominus d) \geq v$
 ⟨proof⟩

More variants on the ultrametric inequality

lemma *MinE*:

assumes *finite* $(A::\text{eint set})$
assumes $a = \text{Min } A$
assumes $b \in A$
shows $a \leq b$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *MinE'*:

assumes *finite* $(A::\text{eint set})$
assumes $a = \text{Min } A$
assumes $b \in A - \{a\}$
shows $a < b$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *MinE''*:

assumes *finite* A
assumes $f \in A \rightarrow (\text{UNIV} :: \text{eint set})$
assumes $a = \text{Min} (f ' A)$
assumes $b \in A$

shows $a \leq f b$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *finsum-val-ultrametric-diff*:

assumes $g \in A \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes *finite* A
assumes $A \neq \{\}$
assumes $\bigwedge a b. a \in A \implies b \in A \implies a \neq b \implies \text{val } (g a) \neq \text{val } (g b)$
shows $\text{val } (\text{finsum } Q_p g A) = \text{Min } (\text{val } 'g'A)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *finsum-val-ultrametric-diff'*:

assumes $g \in A \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes *finite* A
assumes $A \neq \{\}$
assumes $\bigwedge a b. a \in A \implies b \in A \implies a \neq b \implies \text{val } (g a) \neq \text{val } (g b)$
shows $\text{val } (\text{finsum } Q_p g A) = (\text{MIN } a \in A. (\text{val } (g a)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

8.4 Constructing the Angular Component Maps on \mathbb{Q}_p

8.4.1 Unreduced Angular Component Map

While one can compute the residue of a p -adic integer mod p^n , this operation does not generalize to the p -adic field unless we restrict our attention to the valuation ring. However, we can still define the angular component maps on the field \mathbb{Q}_p , which allows us to take a sort of residue for any element $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. Given a nonzero element $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$, we can normalize it to obtain $p^{-\text{ord}(x)}x$ which has of valuation zero, and then computes its residue (viewed as an element of \mathbb{Z}_p). The resulting map agrees with the standard residue map on elements of \mathbb{Q}_p of valuation zero, but not on terms of positive or negative valuation. For example, the element p^2 has an order 1 residue of 0, but its order 1 angular component is 1. In the formalism below, we will use the term "**angular_component**" to refer to the unreduced normalization map $x \mapsto p^{-\text{ord}(x)}x$, and use the notation "**ac n**" to refer to the angular component which has been reduced mod p^n . This is line with the terminology used in [1].

definition *angular-component where*

angular-component $a = (\text{ac-Zp } (\text{numer } a)) \otimes_{Z_p} (\text{inv }_{Z_p} \text{ ac-Zp } (\text{denom } a))$

lemma *ac-fract*:

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
assumes $c = \text{frac } a b$
shows *angular-component* $c = (\text{ac-Zp } a) \otimes_{Z_p} \text{inv }_{Z_p} (\text{ac-Zp } b)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *angular-component-closed*:

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
shows *angular-component* $a \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
<proof>

lemma *angular-component-unit*:

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
shows *angular-component* $a \in \text{Units } Z_p$
<proof>

lemma *angular-component-factors-x*:

assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
shows $x = (\mathfrak{p}[\uparrow](\text{ord } x)) \otimes \iota (\text{angular-component } x)$
<proof>

lemma *angular-component-mult*:

assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
assumes $y \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
shows *angular-component* $(x \otimes y) = (\text{angular-component } x) \otimes_{Z_p} (\text{angular-component } y)$
<proof>

lemma *angular-component-inv*:

assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
shows *angular-component* $(\text{inv}_{Q_p} x) = \text{inv}_{Z_p} (\text{angular-component } x)$
<proof>

lemma *angular-component-one*:

angular-component $\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}_{Z_p}$
<proof>

lemma *angular-component-ord-zero*:

assumes $\text{ord } x = 0$
assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
shows $\iota (\text{angular-component } x) = x$
<proof>

lemma *angular-component-of-inclusion*:

assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Z_p$
assumes $y = \iota x$
shows *angular-component* $y = \text{ac-}Z_p x$
<proof>

lemma *res-uminus*:

assumes $k > 0$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } (Z_p\text{-res-ring } k)$
assumes $c = \ominus_{Z_p\text{-res-ring } k} (f k)$

shows $c = ((\ominus_{Z_p} f) k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ord-fract*:

assumes $a \in nonzero\ Q_p$
assumes $b \in nonzero\ Q_p$
shows $ord\ (a \div b) = ord\ a - ord\ b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val-fract*:

assumes $a \in carrier\ Q_p$
assumes $b \in nonzero\ Q_p$
shows $val\ (a \div b) = val\ a - val\ b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zero-fract*:

assumes $a \in nonzero\ Q_p$
shows $0 \div a = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fract-closed*:

assumes $a \in carrier\ Q_p$
assumes $b \in nonzero\ Q_p$
shows $(a \div b) \in carrier\ Q_p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val-of-power*:

assumes $a \in nonzero\ Q_p$
shows $val\ (a[\wedge](n::nat)) = n*(val\ a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val-zero-imp-val-pow-zero*:

assumes $a \in carrier\ Q_p$
assumes $val\ a = 0$
shows $val\ (a[\wedge](n::nat)) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

val and ord of powers of p

lemma *val-p-nat-pow*:

$val\ (p[\wedge](k::nat)) = eint\ k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ord-p-int-pow*:

$ord\ (p[\wedge](k::int)) = k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ord-p-nat-pow*:

$ord\ (p[\wedge](k::nat)) = k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val-nonzero-frac*:

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

assumes $\text{val } (a \div b) = c$

shows $\text{val } a = \text{val } b + c$

<proof>

lemma *val-nonzero-frac'*:

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

assumes $\text{val } (a \div b) = 0$

shows $\text{val } a = \text{val } b$

<proof>

lemma *equal-val-imp-equal-ord*:

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $\text{val } a = \text{val } b$

shows $\text{ord } a = \text{ord } b \text{ } b \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

<proof>

lemma *int-pow-ord*:

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

shows $\text{ord } (a[\uparrow](i::\text{int})) = i * (\text{ord } a)$

<proof>

lemma *int-pow-val*:

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

shows $\text{val } (a[\uparrow](i::\text{int})) = i * (\text{val } a)$

<proof>

lemma *neg-int-pow-val*:

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

shows $\text{val } (a[\uparrow]-(i::\text{int})) = - (\text{val } (a[\uparrow]i))$

<proof>

lemma *int-pow-sum-val*:

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

shows $\text{val } (a[\uparrow]((i::\text{int}) + j)) = (\text{val } (a[\uparrow]i)) + \text{val } (a[\uparrow]j)$

<proof>

lemma *int-pow-diff-val*:

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

shows $\text{val } (a[\uparrow]((i::\text{int}) - j)) = (\text{val } (a[\uparrow]i)) - \text{val } (a[\uparrow]j)$

<proof>

lemma *nat-add-pow-mult-assoc*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

shows $[(n::nat)].a = [n].\mathbf{1} \otimes a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *equal-res-imp-equal-ord-Zp*:
assumes $N > 0$
assumes $a \in carrier\ Zp$
assumes $b \in carrier\ Zp$
assumes $a\ N = b\ N$
assumes $a\ N \neq 0$
shows $ord\text{-}Zp\ a = ord\text{-}Zp\ b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *equal-res-mod*:
assumes $N > k$
assumes $a \in carrier\ Zp$
assumes $b \in carrier\ Zp$
assumes $a\ N = b\ N$
shows $a\ k = b\ k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Qp-char-0*:
assumes $(n::nat) \neq 0$
shows $[n].\mathbf{1} \neq \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Qp-char-0-int*:
assumes $(n::int) \neq 0$
shows $[n].\mathbf{1} \neq \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-int-pow-inject*:
assumes $[(k::int)].\mathbf{1} = [(j::int)].\mathbf{1}$
shows $k = j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val-ord-nat-inc*:
assumes $(n::nat) > 0$
shows $ord\ ([n].\mathbf{1}) = val([n].\mathbf{1})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val-ord-int-inc*:
assumes $(n::int) \neq 0$
shows $ord\ ([n].\mathbf{1}) = val([n].\mathbf{1})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.4.2 Reduced Angular Component Maps

definition $ac :: nat \Rightarrow padic\text{-}number \Rightarrow int$ **where**
 $ac\ n\ x = (if\ x = \mathbf{0}\ then\ 0\ else\ (angular\text{-}component\ x)\ n)$

lemma *ac-in-res-ring*:

assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
shows $ac\ n\ x \in \text{carrier } (Zp\text{-res-ring } n)$
<proof>

lemma *ac-in-res-ring'[simp]*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $ac\ n\ x \in \text{carrier } (Zp\text{-res-ring } n)$
<proof>

lemma *ac-mult'*:

assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
assumes $y \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
shows $ac\ n\ (x \otimes y) = (ac\ n\ x) \otimes_{Zp\text{-res-ring } n} (ac\ n\ y)$
<proof>

lemma *ac-mult*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $ac\ n\ (x \otimes y) = (ac\ n\ x) \otimes_{Zp\text{-res-ring } n} (ac\ n\ y)$
<proof>

lemma *ac-one[simp]*:

assumes $n \geq 1$
shows $ac\ n\ \mathbf{1} = 1$
<proof>

lemma *ac-one'*:

assumes $n > 0$
shows $ac\ n\ \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}_{Zp\text{-res-ring } n}$
<proof>

lemma *ac-units*:

assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
assumes $n > 0$
shows $ac\ n\ x \in \text{Units } (Zp\text{-res-ring } n)$
<proof>

lemma *ac-inv*:

assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
assumes $n > 0$
shows $ac\ n\ (\text{inv } x) = \text{inv}_{Zp\text{-res-ring } n} (ac\ n\ x)$
<proof>

lemma *ac-inv'*:

assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
assumes $n > 0$
shows $ac\ n\ (\text{inv } x) \otimes_{Zp\text{-res-ring } n} (ac\ n\ x) = \mathbf{1}_{Zp\text{-res-ring } n}$

<proof>

lemma *ac-inv''*:

assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

assumes $n > 0$

shows $(ac\ n\ x) \otimes_{Zp\text{-res-ring } n} ac\ n\ (inv\ x) = \mathbf{1}_{Zp\text{-res-ring } n}$

<proof>

lemma *ac-inv'''*:

assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

assumes $n > 0$

shows $(ac\ n\ x) \otimes_{Zp\text{-res-ring } n} ac\ n\ (inv\ x) = 1$

$ac\ n\ (inv\ x) \otimes_{Zp\text{-res-ring } n} (ac\ n\ x) = 1$

<proof>

lemma *ac-val*:

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

assumes $val\ a = val\ b$

assumes $val\ (a \ominus b) \geq val\ a + n$

shows $ac\ n\ a = ac\ n\ b$

<proof>

lemma *angular-component-nat-pow*:

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

shows $angular\text{-component}\ (a\ [\wedge]\ (k::nat)) = (angular\text{-component}\ a)\ [\wedge]_{Z_p}\ k$

<proof>

lemma *angular-component-int-pow*:

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

shows $angular\text{-component}\ (a\ [\wedge]\ (k::int)) = (angular\text{-component}\ a)\ [\wedge]_{Z_p}\ k$

<proof>

lemma *ac-nat-pow*:

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

shows $ac\ n\ (a\ [\wedge]\ (k::nat)) = (ac\ n\ a)^k \text{ mod } (p^n)$

<proof>

lemma *ac-nat-pow'*:

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

assumes $n \neq 0$

shows $ac\ n\ (a\ [\wedge]\ (k::nat)) = (ac\ n\ a)[\wedge]_{Zp\text{-res-ring } n}\ k$

<proof>

lemma *ac-int-pow*:

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

assumes $n > 0$

shows $ac\ n\ (a\ [\wedge]\ (k::int)) = (ac\ n\ a)[\wedge]_{Zp\text{-res-ring } n}\ k$

<proof>

lemma *angular-component-p:*

angular-component $\mathfrak{p} = \mathbf{1}_{Z_p}$

<proof>

lemma *angular-component-p-nat-pow:*

angular-component $(\mathfrak{p} [\uparrow] (n::nat)) = \mathbf{1}_{Z_p}$

<proof>

lemma *angular-component-p-int-pow:*

angular-component $(\mathfrak{p} [\uparrow] (n::int)) = \mathbf{1}_{Z_p}$

<proof>

lemma *ac-p-nat-pow:*

assumes $k > 0$

shows *ac* k $(\mathfrak{p} [\uparrow] (n::nat)) = 1$

<proof>

lemma *ac-p:*

assumes $k > 0$

shows *ac* k $\mathfrak{p} = 1$

<proof>

lemma *ac-p-int-pow:*

assumes $k > 0$

shows *ac* k $(\mathfrak{p} [\uparrow] (n::int)) = 1$

<proof>

lemma *angular-component-p-nat-pow-factor:*

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

shows *angular-component* $((\mathfrak{p} [\uparrow] (n::nat)) \otimes a) = \text{angular-component } a$

<proof>

lemma *ac-p-nat-pow-factor:*

assumes $m > 0$

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

shows *ac* m $((\mathfrak{p} [\uparrow] (n::nat)) \otimes a) = \text{ac } m \ a$

<proof>

lemma *angular-component-p-nat-pow-factor-right:*

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

shows *angular-component* $(a \otimes (\mathfrak{p} [\uparrow] (n::nat))) = \text{angular-component } a$

<proof>

lemma *ac-p-nat-pow-factor-right:*

assumes $m > 0$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

shows *ac* m $(a \otimes (\mathfrak{p} [\uparrow] (n::nat))) = \text{ac } m \ a$

<proof>

lemma *angular-component-p-int-pow-factor:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

shows $\text{angular-component } ((\mathfrak{p} \uparrow (n::\text{int})) \otimes a) = \text{angular-component } a$

<proof>

lemma *ac-p-int-pow-factor:*

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

shows $\text{ac } m ((\mathfrak{p} \uparrow (n::\text{int})) \otimes a) = \text{ac } m a$

<proof>

lemma *angular-component-p-int-pow-factor-right:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

shows $\text{angular-component } (a \otimes (\mathfrak{p} \uparrow (n::\text{int}))) = \text{angular-component } a$

<proof>

lemma *ac-p-int-pow-factor-right:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

shows $\text{ac } m (a \otimes (\mathfrak{p} \uparrow (n::\text{int}))) = \text{ac } m a$

<proof>

8.5 An Inverse for the inclusion map ι

definition *to-Zp where*

$\text{to-Zp } a = (\text{if } (a \in \mathcal{O}_p) \text{ then } (\text{SOME } x. x \in \text{carrier } Z_p \wedge \iota x = a) \text{ else } \mathbf{0}_{Z_p})$

lemma *to-Zp-closed:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

shows $\text{to-Zp } a \in \text{carrier } Z_p$

<proof>

lemma *to-Zp-inc:*

assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$

shows $\iota (\text{to-Zp } a) = a$

<proof>

lemma *inc-to-Zp:*

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Z_p$

shows $\text{to-Zp } (\iota b) = b$

<proof>

lemma *to-Zp-add:*

assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $b \in \mathcal{O}_p$

shows $\text{to-Zp } (a \oplus b) = \text{to-Zp } a \oplus_{Z_p} (\text{to-Zp } b)$

<proof>

lemma *to-Zp-mult:*

assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $b \in \mathcal{O}_p$
shows $\text{to-}Z_p (a \otimes b) = \text{to-}Z_p a \otimes_{Z_p} (\text{to-}Z_p b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to- Z_p -minus*:
assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$
assumes $b \in \mathcal{O}_p$
shows $\text{to-}Z_p (a \ominus b) = \text{to-}Z_p a \ominus_{Z_p} (\text{to-}Z_p b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to- Z_p -one*:
shows $\text{to-}Z_p \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}_{Z_p}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to- Z_p -zero*:
shows $\text{to-}Z_p \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}_{Z_p}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to- Z_p -ominus*:
assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$
shows $\text{to-}Z_p (\ominus a) = \ominus_{Z_p} (\text{to-}Z_p a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to- Z_p -val*:
assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$
shows $\text{val-}Z_p (\text{to-}Z_p a) = \text{val } a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-of-nat-inc*:
 $\text{val } ([k::\text{nat}].\mathbf{1}) \geq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-of-int-inc*:
 $\text{val } ([k::\text{int}].\mathbf{1}) \geq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to- Z_p -nat-inc*:
 $\text{to-}Z_p ([a::\text{nat}].\mathbf{1}) = [a]_{Z_p} \mathbf{1}_{Z_p}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to- Z_p -int-neg*:
 $\text{to-}Z_p ([(-\text{int } (a::\text{nat}))].\mathbf{1}) = \ominus_{Z_p} ([\text{int } a]_{Z_p} \mathbf{1}_{Z_p})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(*in ring*) *int-add-pow*:
 $[\text{int } n] \cdot \mathbf{1} = [n].\mathbf{1}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *int-add-pow*:

$[int\ n] \cdot \mathbf{1} = [n] \cdot \mathbf{1}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Zp-int-add-pow*:

$[int\ n] \cdot_{Z_p} \mathbf{1}_{Z_p} = [n] \cdot_{Z_p} \mathbf{1}_{Z_p}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *to-Zp-int-inc*:

$to\text{-}Zp\ ([a::int]) \cdot \mathbf{1} = ([a] \cdot_{Z_p} \mathbf{1}_{Z_p})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *to-Zp-nat-add-pow*:

assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$

shows $to\text{-}Zp\ ([n::nat]) \cdot a = [n] \cdot_{Z_p} to\text{-}Zp\ a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val-ring-res*:

assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $b \in \mathcal{O}_p$

shows $to\text{-}Zp\ (a \ominus b)\ N = to\text{-}Zp\ a\ N \ominus_{Zp\text{-}res\text{-}ring\ N} to\text{-}Zp\ b\ N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *res-diff-in-val-ring-imp-in-val-ring*:

assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $b \in carrier\ Q_p$

assumes $a \ominus b \in \mathcal{O}_p$

shows $b \in \mathcal{O}_p$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-fields*) *equal-res-imp-res-diff-zero*:

assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $b \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $to\text{-}Zp\ a\ N = to\text{-}Zp\ b\ N$

shows $to\text{-}Zp\ (a \ominus b)\ N = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-fields*) *equal-res-imp-val-diff-bound*:

assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $b \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $to\text{-}Zp\ a\ N = to\text{-}Zp\ b\ N$

shows $val\ (a \ominus b) \geq N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-fields*) *equal-res-equal-val*:

assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $b \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $val\ a < N$

assumes $to\text{-}Zp\ a\ N = to\text{-}Zp\ b\ N$

```

    shows val a = val b
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in padic-fields) val-ring-equal-res-imp-equal-val:
  assumes a ∈ Op
  assumes b ∈ Op
  assumes val a < eint N
  assumes val b < eint N
  assumes to-Zp a N = to-Zp b N
  shows val a = val b
  ⟨proof⟩

end
end
theory Padic-Field-Polynomials
  imports Padic-Fields

```

```
begin
```

9 p -adic Univariate Polynomials and Hensel's Lemma

```
type-synonym padic-field-poly = nat ⇒ padic-number
```

```
type-synonym padic-field-fun = padic-number ⇒ padic-number
```

9.1 Gauss Norms of Polynomials

The Gauss norm of a polynomial is defined to be the minimum valuation of a coefficient of that polynomial. This induces a valuation on the ring of polynomials, and in particular it satisfies the ultrametric inequality. In addition, the Gauss norm of a polynomial $f(x)$ gives a lower bound for the value $\text{val}(f(a))$ in terms of $\text{val}(a)$, for a point $a \in \mathbb{Q}_p$. We introduce Gauss norms here as a useful tool for stating and proving Hensel's Lemma for the field \mathbb{Q}_p . We are abusing terminology slightly in calling this the Gauss norm, rather than the Gauss valuation, but this is just to conform with our decision to work exclusively with the p -adic valuation and not discuss the equivalent real-valued p -adic norm. For a detailed treatment of Gauss norms one can see, for example [2].

```
context padic-fields
begin
```

```
no-notation Zp.to-fun (infixl⟨·⟩ 70)
```

```
abbreviation(input) Qp-x where
  Qp-x ≡ UP Qp
```

```
definition gauss-norm where
```

$gauss\text{-}norm\ g = Min\ (val\ 'g\ '\{..degree\ g\})$

lemma *gauss-normE*:

assumes $g \in carrier\ Q_p\text{-}x$
shows $gauss\text{-}norm\ g \leq val\ (g\ k)$
<proof>

lemma *gauss-norm-geqI*:

assumes $g \in carrier\ (UP\ Q_p)$
assumes $\bigwedge n. val\ (g\ n) \geq \alpha$
shows $gauss\text{-}norm\ g \geq \alpha$
<proof>

lemma *gauss-norm-eqI*:

assumes $g \in carrier\ (UP\ Q_p)$
assumes $\bigwedge n. val\ (g\ n) \geq \alpha$
assumes $val\ (g\ i) = \alpha$
shows $gauss\text{-}norm\ g = \alpha$
<proof>

lemma *nonzero-poly-nonzero-coeff*:

assumes $g \in carrier\ Q_p\text{-}x$
assumes $g \neq \mathbf{0}_{Q_p\text{-}x}$
shows $\exists k. k \leq degree\ g \wedge g\ k \neq \mathbf{0}_{Q_p}$
<proof>

lemma *gauss-norm-prop*:

assumes $g \in carrier\ Q_p\text{-}x$
assumes $g \neq \mathbf{0}_{Q_p\text{-}x}$
shows $gauss\text{-}norm\ g \neq \infty$
<proof>

lemma *gauss-norm-coeff-norm*:

$\exists n \leq degree\ g. (gauss\text{-}norm\ g) = val\ (g\ n)$
<proof>

lemma *gauss-norm-smult-cfs*:

assumes $g \in carrier\ Q_p\text{-}x$
assumes $a \in carrier\ Q_p$
assumes $gauss\text{-}norm\ g = val\ (g\ k)$
shows $gauss\text{-}norm\ (a \odot_{Q_p\text{-}x} g) = val\ a + val\ (g\ k)$
<proof>

lemma *gauss-norm-smult*:

assumes $g \in carrier\ Q_p\text{-}x$
assumes $a \in carrier\ Q_p$
shows $gauss\text{-}norm\ (a \odot_{Q_p\text{-}x} g) = val\ a + gauss\text{-}norm\ g$
<proof>

lemma *gauss-norm-ultrametric*:

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } Q_p\text{-}x$

assumes $h \in \text{carrier } Q_p\text{-}x$

shows $\text{gauss-norm } (g \oplus_{Q_p\text{-}x} h) \geq \min (\text{gauss-norm } g) (\text{gauss-norm } h)$

<proof>

lemma *gauss-norm-a-inv*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP\ Q_p)$

shows $\text{gauss-norm } (\ominus_{UP\ Q_p} f) = \text{gauss-norm } f$

<proof>

lemma *gauss-norm-ultrametric'*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP\ Q_p)$

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP\ Q_p)$

shows $\text{gauss-norm } (f \ominus_{UP\ Q_p} g) \geq \min (\text{gauss-norm } f) (\text{gauss-norm } g)$

<proof>

lemma *gauss-norm-finsum*:

assumes $f \in A \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p\text{-}x$

assumes *finite* A

assumes $A \neq \{\}$

shows $\text{gauss-norm } (\bigoplus_{Q_p\text{-}x} i \in A. f\ i) \geq \text{Min } (\text{gauss-norm } ' (f\ A))$

<proof>

lemma *gauss-norm-monom*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

shows $\text{gauss-norm } (\text{monom } Q_p\text{-}x\ a\ n) = \text{val } a$

<proof>

lemma *val-val-ring-prod*:

assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

shows $\text{val } (a \otimes_{Q_p} b) \geq \text{val } b$

<proof>

lemma *val-val-ring-prod'*:

assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

shows $\text{val } (b \otimes_{Q_p} a) \geq \text{val } b$

<proof>

lemma *val-ring-nat-pow-closed*:

assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$

shows $(a[\overset{\wedge}{\sim}](n::\text{nat})) \in \mathcal{O}_p$

<proof>

lemma *val-ringI*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $\text{val } a \geq 0$

shows $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$
<proof>

notation *UPQ.to-fun* (*infixl* $\langle \cdot \rangle$ 70)

lemma *val-gauss-norm-eval*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } Q_p\text{-}x$
assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$
shows $\text{val } (g \cdot a) \geq \text{gauss-norm } g$
<proof>

lemma *positive-gauss-norm-eval*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } Q_p\text{-}x$
assumes $\text{gauss-norm } g \geq 0$
assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$
shows $(g \cdot a) \in \mathcal{O}_p$
<proof>

lemma *positive-gauss-norm-valuation-ring-coeffs*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } Q_p\text{-}x$
assumes $\text{gauss-norm } g \geq 0$
shows $g \cdot n \in \mathcal{O}_p$
<proof>

lemma *val-ring-cfs-imp-nonneg-gauss-norm*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ Q_p)$
assumes $\bigwedge n. g \cdot n \in \mathcal{O}_p$
shows $\text{gauss-norm } g \geq 0$
<proof>

lemma *val-of-add-pow*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $\text{val } ([(n::\text{nat})] \cdot a) \geq \text{val } a$
<proof>

lemma *gauss-norm-pderiv*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ Q_p)$
shows $\text{gauss-norm } g \leq \text{gauss-norm } (\text{pderiv } g)$
<proof>

9.2 Mapping Polynomials with Value Ring Coefficients to Polynomials over \mathbb{Z}_p

definition *to-Zp-poly* where
to-Zp-poly $g = (\lambda n. \text{to-Zp } (g \cdot n))$

lemma *to-Zp-poly-closed*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } Q_p\text{-}x$
assumes $\text{gauss-norm } g \geq 0$

shows $to\text{-}Z_p\text{-poly } g \in carrier (UP Z_p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $poly\text{-inc}$ where
 $poly\text{-inc } g = (\lambda n::nat. \iota (g n))$

lemma $poly\text{-inc-closed}$:
assumes $g \in carrier (UP Z_p)$
shows $poly\text{-inc } g \in carrier Q_p\text{-}x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $poly\text{-inc-inverse-right}$:
assumes $g \in carrier (UP Z_p)$
shows $to\text{-}Z_p\text{-poly } (poly\text{-inc } g) = g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $poly\text{-inc-inverse-left}$:
assumes $g \in carrier Q_p\text{-}x$
assumes $gauss\text{-norm } g \geq 0$
shows $poly\text{-inc } (to\text{-}Z_p\text{-poly } g) = g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $poly\text{-inc-plus}$:
assumes $f \in carrier (UP Z_p)$
assumes $g \in carrier (UP Z_p)$
shows $poly\text{-inc } (f \oplus_{UP Z_p} g) = poly\text{-inc } f \oplus_{UP Q_p} poly\text{-inc } g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $poly\text{-inc-monom}$:
assumes $a \in carrier Z_p$
shows $poly\text{-inc } (monom (UP Z_p) a m) = monom (UP Q_p) (\iota a) m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $poly\text{-inc-times}$:
assumes $f \in carrier (UP Z_p)$
assumes $g \in carrier (UP Z_p)$
shows $poly\text{-inc } (f \otimes_{UP Z_p} g) = poly\text{-inc } f \otimes_{UP Q_p} poly\text{-inc } g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $poly\text{-inc-one}$:
 $poly\text{-inc } (\mathbf{1}_{UP Z_p}) = \mathbf{1}_{UP Q_p}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $poly\text{-inc-zero}$:
 $poly\text{-inc } (\mathbf{0}_{UP Z_p}) = \mathbf{0}_{UP Q_p}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $poly\text{-inc-hom}$:
 $poly\text{-inc} \in ring\text{-hom } (UP Z_p) (UP Q_p)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-inc-as-poly-lift-hom*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ Z_p)$

shows $\text{poly-inc } f = \text{poly-lift-hom } Z_p \ Q_p \ \iota \ f$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-inc-eval*:

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ Z_p)$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Z_p$

shows $\text{to-function } Q_p \ (\text{poly-inc } g) \ (\iota \ a) = \iota \ (\text{to-function } Z_p \ g \ a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-ring-poly-eval*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ Q_p)$

assumes $\bigwedge i. f \ i \in \mathcal{O}_p$

shows $\bigwedge x. x \in \mathcal{O}_p \implies f \cdot x \in \mathcal{O}_p$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Zp-res-of-pow*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Z_p$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Z_p$

assumes $a \ n = b \ n$

shows $(a[\frown]_{Z_p}(k::\text{nat})) \ n = (b[\frown]_{Z_p}(k::\text{nat})) \ n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-Zp-nat-pow*:

assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$

shows $\text{to-Zp } (a[\frown](n::\text{nat})) = (\text{to-Zp } a)[\frown]_{Z_p}(n::\text{nat})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-Zp-res-of-pow*:

assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $b \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $\text{to-Zp } a \ n = \text{to-Zp } b \ n$

shows $\text{to-Zp } (a[\frown](k::\text{nat})) \ n = \text{to-Zp } (b[\frown](k::\text{nat})) \ n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-eval-cong*:

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ Q_p)$

assumes $\bigwedge i. g \ i \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $b \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $\text{to-Zp } a \ k = \text{to-Zp } b \ k$

shows $\text{to-Zp } (g \cdot a) \ k = \text{to-Zp } (g \cdot b) \ k$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-Zp-poly-eval*:

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } Q_p\text{-}x$

assumes *gauss-norm* $g \geq 0$
assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$
shows $\text{to-}Z_p (\text{to-function } Q_p g a) = \text{to-function } Z_p (\text{to-}Z_p\text{-poly } g) (\text{to-}Z_p a)$
 <proof>

lemma *poly-eval-equal-val*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP Q_p)$
assumes $\bigwedge x. g x \in \mathcal{O}_p$
assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$
assumes $b \in \mathcal{O}_p$
assumes $\text{val } (g \cdot a) < \text{eint } n$
assumes $\text{to-}Z_p a n = \text{to-}Z_p b n$
shows $\text{val } (g \cdot b) = \text{val } (g \cdot a)$
 <proof>

lemma *to-}Z_p\text{-poly-monom}*:
assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$
shows $\text{to-}Z_p\text{-poly } (\text{monom } (UP Q_p) a n) = \text{monom } (UP Z_p) (\text{to-}Z_p a) n$
 <proof>

lemma *to-}Z_p\text{-poly-add}*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP Q_p)$
assumes *gauss-norm* $f \geq 0$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP Q_p)$
assumes *gauss-norm* $g \geq 0$
shows $\text{to-}Z_p\text{-poly } (f \oplus_{UP Q_p} g) = \text{to-}Z_p\text{-poly } f \oplus_{UP Z_p} \text{to-}Z_p\text{-poly } g$
 <proof>

lemma *to-}Z_p\text{-poly-zero}*:
 $\text{to-}Z_p\text{-poly } (\mathbf{0}_{UP Q_p}) = \mathbf{0}_{UP Z_p}$
 <proof>

lemma *to-}Z_p\text{-poly-one}*:
 $\text{to-}Z_p\text{-poly } (\mathbf{1}_{UP Q_p}) = \mathbf{1}_{UP Z_p}$
 <proof>

lemma *val-ring-add-pow*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{val } a \geq 0$
shows $\text{val } ([n::\text{nat}].a) \geq 0$
 <proof>

lemma *to-}Z_p\text{-poly-pderiv}*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP Q_p)$
assumes *gauss-norm* $g \geq 0$
shows $\text{to-}Z_p\text{-poly } (\text{pderiv } g) = Z_p.\text{pderiv } (\text{to-}Z_p\text{-poly } g)$
 <proof>

lemma *val-p-int-pow*:

val $(\mathfrak{p}[\ulcorner]k) = \text{eint } (k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *int-gauss-norm where*

int-gauss-norm $g = (\text{SOME } n::\text{int. } \text{eint } n = \text{gauss-norm } g)$

lemma *int-gauss-norm-eq:*

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ Q_p)$

assumes $g \neq \mathbf{0}_{UP \ Q_p}$

shows $\text{eint } (\text{int-gauss-norm } g) = \text{gauss-norm } g$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *int-gauss-norm-smult:*

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ Q_p)$

assumes $g \neq \mathbf{0}_{UP \ Q_p}$

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

shows $\text{int-gauss-norm } (a \odot_{UP \ Q_p} g) = \text{ord } a + \text{int-gauss-norm } g$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *normalize-poly where*

normalize-poly $g = (\text{if } g = \mathbf{0}_{UP \ Q_p} \text{ then } g \text{ else } (\mathfrak{p}[\ulcorner](- \text{int-gauss-norm } g)) \odot_{Q_p-x} g)$

lemma *normalize-poly-zero:*

normalize-poly $\mathbf{0}_{UP \ Q_p} = \mathbf{0}_{UP \ Q_p}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *normalize-poly-nonzero-eq:*

assumes $g \neq \mathbf{0}_{UP \ Q_p}$

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ Q_p)$

shows $\text{normalize-poly } g = (\mathfrak{p}[\ulcorner](- \text{int-gauss-norm } g)) \odot_{UP \ Q_p} g$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *int-gauss-norm-normalize-poly:*

assumes $g \neq \mathbf{0}_{UP \ Q_p}$

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ Q_p)$

shows $\text{int-gauss-norm } (\text{normalize-poly } g) = 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *normalize-poly-closed:*

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ Q_p)$

shows $\text{normalize-poly } g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ Q_p)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *normalize-poly-nonzero:*

assumes $g \neq \mathbf{0}_{UP \ Q_p}$

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ Q_p)$

shows $\text{normalize-poly } g \neq \mathbf{0}_{UP \ Q_p}$

<proof>

lemma *gauss-norm-normalize-poly*:

assumes $g \neq \mathbf{0}_{UP\ Q_p}$

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP\ Q_p)$

shows $\text{gauss-norm } (\text{normalize-poly } g) = 0$

<proof>

lemma *taylor-term-eval-eq*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP\ Q_p)$

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $t \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $\bigwedge j. i \neq j \implies \text{val } (UPQ.\text{taylor-term } x\ f\ i \cdot t) < \text{val } (UPQ.\text{taylor-term } x\ f\ j \cdot t)$

shows $\text{val } (f \cdot t) = \text{val } (UPQ.\text{taylor-term } x\ f\ i \cdot t)$

<proof>

9.3 Hensel's Lemma for p -adic fields

theorem *hensels-lemma*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP\ Q_p)$

assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $\text{gauss-norm } f \geq 0$

assumes $\text{val } (f \cdot a) > 2 * \text{val } ((p\text{deriv } f) \cdot a)$

shows $\exists! \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_p. f \cdot \alpha = \mathbf{0} \wedge \text{val } (a \ominus \alpha) > \text{val } ((p\text{deriv } f) \cdot a)$

<proof>

lemma *nth-root-poly-root-fixed*:

assumes $(n::\text{nat}) > 1$

assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $\text{val } (\mathbf{1} \ominus_{Q_p} a) > 2 * \text{val } ([n] \cdot \mathbf{1})$

shows $(\exists! b \in \mathcal{O}_p. (b \uparrow^n) = a \wedge \text{val } (b \ominus \mathbf{1}) > \text{val } ([n] \cdot \mathbf{1}))$

<proof>

lemma *mod-zeroE*:

assumes $(a::\text{int}) \bmod k = 0$

shows $\exists l. a = l * k$

<proof>

lemma *to-Zp-poly-closed'*:

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP\ Q_p)$

assumes $\bigwedge i. g\ i \in \mathcal{O}_p$

shows $\text{to-Zp-poly } g \in \text{carrier } (UP\ Z_p)$

<proof>

lemma *to-Zp-poly-eval-to-Zp*:

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP\ Q_p)$

assumes $\bigwedge i. g\ i \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$

shows *to-function* Z_p (*to-Zp-poly* g) (*to-Zp* a) = *to-Zp* ($g \cdot a$)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *inc-nat-pow*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
shows $\iota ([n::\text{nat}]) \cdot_{Z_p} a = [n] \cdot (\iota a)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-inc-pderiv*:

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ Z_p)$
shows *poly-inc* ($Z_p.pderiv \ g$) = *UPQ.pderiv* (*poly-inc* g)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Zp-hensels-lemma*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } Z_p\text{-}x$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
assumes $Z_p.\text{to-fun } (Z_p.pderiv \ f) \ a \neq \mathbf{0}_{Z_p}$
assumes $Z_p.\text{to-fun } f \ a \neq \mathbf{0}_{Z_p}$
assumes $\text{val-}Z_p (Z_p.\text{to-fun } f \ a) > \text{eint } 2 * \text{val-}Z_p (Z_p.\text{to-fun } (Z_p.pderiv \ f) \ a)$
obtains α **where**
 $Z_p.\text{to-fun } f \ \alpha = \mathbf{0}_{Z_p}$ **and** $\alpha \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
 $\text{val-}Z_p (a \ominus_{Z_p} \alpha) > \text{val-}Z_p (Z_p.\text{to-fun } (Z_p.pderiv \ f) \ a)$
 $\text{val-}Z_p (a \ominus_{Z_p} \alpha) = \text{val-}Z_p (\text{divide } (Z_p.\text{to-fun } f \ a) (Z_p.\text{to-fun } (Z_p.pderiv \ f) \ a))$
 a))
 $\text{val-}Z_p (Z_p.\text{to-fun } (Z_p.pderiv \ f) \ \alpha) = \text{val-}Z_p (Z_p.\text{to-fun } (Z_p.pderiv \ f) \ a)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

end

theory *Padic-Field-Topology*

imports *Padic-Fields*

begin

10 Topology of p -adic Fields

In this section we develop some basic properties of the topology on the p -adics. Open and closed sets are defined, convex subsets of the value group are characterized.

type-synonym *padic-univ-poly* = *nat* \Rightarrow *padic-number*

10.1 p -adic Balls

context *padic-fields*

begin

definition *c-ball* :: *int* \Rightarrow *padic-number* \Rightarrow *padic-number set* ($\langle B.[-]\rangle$) **where**
c-ball $n \ c = \{x \in \text{carrier } Q_p. \text{val } (x \ominus c) \geq n\}$

lemma *c-ballI*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{val } (x \ominus c) \geq n$
shows $x \in \text{c-ball } n \ c$
<proof>

lemma *c-ballE*:

assumes $x \in \text{c-ball } n \ c$
shows $x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
 $\text{val } (x \ominus c) \geq n$
<proof>

lemma *c-ball-in-Qp*:

$B_n[c] \subseteq \text{carrier } Q_p$
<proof>

definition

q-ball :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{padic-number} \Rightarrow \text{padic-number set}$ **where**
 $\text{q-ball } n \ k \ m \ c = \{x \in \text{carrier } Q_p. (\text{ac } n \ (x \ominus c) = k \wedge (\text{ord } (x \ominus c)) = m)\}$

lemma *q-ballI*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{ac } n \ (x \ominus c) = k$
assumes $(\text{ord } (x \ominus c)) = m$
shows $x \in \text{q-ball } n \ k \ m \ c$
<proof>

lemma *q-ballE*:

assumes $x \in \text{q-ball } n \ k \ m \ c$
shows $x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

<proof>

lemma *q-ballE'*:

assumes $x \in \text{q-ball } n \ k \ m \ c$
shows $\text{ac } n \ (x \ominus c) = k$
 $(\text{ord } (x \ominus c)) = m$
<proof>

lemma *q-ball-in-Qp*:

$\text{q-ball } n \ k \ m \ c \subseteq \text{carrier } Q_p$
<proof>

lemma *ac-ord-prop*:

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
assumes $\text{ord } a = \text{ord } b$
assumes $\text{ord } a = n$

assumes $ac\ m\ a = ac\ m\ b$
assumes $m > 0$
shows $val\ (a \ominus b) \geq m + n$
 <proof>

lemma *c-ball-q-ball*:
assumes $b \in nonzero\ Q_p$
assumes $n > 0$
assumes $k = ac\ n\ b$
assumes $c \in carrier\ Q_p$
assumes $d \in q\text{-ball}\ n\ k\ m\ c$
shows $q\text{-ball}\ n\ k\ m\ c = c\text{-ball}\ (m + n)\ d$
 <proof>

definition *is-ball* :: *padic-number set* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-ball $B = (\exists (m::int). \exists c \in carrier\ Q_p. (B = B_m[c]))$

lemma *is-ball-imp-in-Qp*:
assumes *is-ball* B
shows $B \subseteq carrier\ Q_p$
 <proof>

lemma *c-ball-centers*:
assumes *is-ball* B
assumes $B = B_n[c]$
assumes $d \in B$
assumes $c \in carrier\ Q_p$
shows $B = B_n[d]$
 <proof>

lemma *c-ball-center-in*:
assumes *is-ball* B
assumes $B = B_n[c]$
assumes $c \in carrier\ Q_p$
shows $c \in B$
 <proof>

Every point a has a point b of distance exactly n away from it.

lemma *dist-nonempty*:
assumes $a \in carrier\ Q_p$
shows $\exists b \in carrier\ Q_p. val\ (b \ominus a) = eint\ n$
 <proof>

lemma *dist-nonempty'*:
assumes $a \in carrier\ Q_p$
shows $\exists b \in carrier\ Q_p. val\ (b \ominus a) = \alpha$
 <proof>

lemma *ball-rad-0*:

assumes *is-ball* B
assumes $B_m[c] \subseteq B_n[c]$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $n \leq m$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ball-rad*:
assumes *is-ball* B
assumes $B = B_n[c]$
assumes $B = B_m[c]$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $n = m$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *radius* :: *padic-number set* \Rightarrow *int* (*rad*) **where**
radius $B = (\text{SOME } n. (\exists c \in \text{carrier } Q_p . B = B_n[c]))$

lemma *radius-of-ball*:
assumes *is-ball* B
assumes $c \in B$
shows $B = B_{\text{rad } B}[c]$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ball-rad'*:
assumes *is-ball* B
assumes $B = B_n[c]$
assumes $B = B_m[d]$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $d \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $n = m$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *nested-balls*:
assumes *is-ball* B
assumes $B = B_n[c]$
assumes $B' = B_m[c]$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $d \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $n \geq m \iff B \subseteq B'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *nested-balls'*:
assumes *is-ball* B
assumes *is-ball* B'
assumes $B \cap B' \neq \{\}$
shows $B \subseteq B' \vee B' \subseteq B$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *is-bounded*:: *padic-number set* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

is-bounded $S = (\exists n. \exists c \in \text{carrier } Q_p. S \subseteq B_n[c])$

lemma *empty-is-bounded*:

is-bounded $\{ \}$

<proof>

10.2 p -adic Open Sets

definition *is-open*:: *padic-number set* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

is-open $U \equiv (U \subseteq \text{carrier } Q_p) \wedge (\forall c \in U. \exists n. B_n[c] \subseteq U)$

lemma *is-openI*:

assumes $U \subseteq \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $\bigwedge c. c \in U \Longrightarrow \exists n. B_n[c] \subseteq U$

shows *is-open* U

<proof>

lemma *ball-is-open*:

assumes *is-ball* B

shows *is-open* B

<proof>

lemma *is-open-imp-in-Qp*:

assumes *is-open* U

shows $U \subseteq \text{carrier } Q_p$

<proof>

lemma *is-open-imp-in-Qp'*:

assumes *is-open* U

assumes $x \in U$

shows $x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

<proof>

Owing to the total disconnectedness of the p -adic field, every open set can be decomposed into a disjoint union of balls which are maximal with respect to containment in that set. This unique decomposition is occasionally useful.

definition *is-max-ball-of* :: *padic-number set* \Rightarrow *padic-number set* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

is-max-ball-of $U B \equiv (is-ball B) \wedge (B \subseteq U) \wedge (\forall B'. ((is-ball B') \wedge (B' \subseteq U) \wedge B \subseteq B') \longrightarrow B' \subseteq B)$

lemma *is-max-ball-ofI*:

assumes $U \subseteq \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $(B_m[c]) \subseteq U$

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $\forall m'. m' < m \longrightarrow \neg B_{m'}[c] \subseteq U$

shows *is-max-ball-of* $U (B_m[c])$

<proof>

lemma *int-prop*:

fixes $P:: \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
assumes $P\ n$
assumes $\forall m. m \leq N \longrightarrow \neg P\ m$
shows $\exists n. P\ n \wedge (\forall n'. P\ n' \longrightarrow n' \geq n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *open-max-ball*:
assumes *is-open* U
assumes $U \neq \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $c \in U$
shows $\exists B. \text{is-max-ball-of } U\ B \wedge c \in B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *interior where*
 $\text{interior } U = \{a. \exists B. \text{is-open } B \wedge B \subseteq U \wedge a \in B\}$

lemma *interior-subset*:
assumes $U \subseteq \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $\text{interior } U \subseteq U$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *interior-open*:
assumes $U \subseteq \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows *is-open* ($\text{interior } U$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *interiorI*:
assumes $W \subseteq U$
assumes *is-open* W
shows $W \subseteq \text{interior } U$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *max-ball-interior*:
assumes $U \subseteq \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes *is-max-ball-of* ($\text{interior } U$) B
shows *is-max-ball-of* $U\ B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ball-in-max-ball*:
assumes $U \subseteq \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $U \neq \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $c \in U$
assumes $\exists B. B \subseteq U \wedge \text{is-ball } B \wedge c \in B$
shows $\exists B'. \text{is-max-ball-of } U\ B' \wedge c \in B'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ball-in-max-ball'*:
assumes $U \subseteq \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $U \neq \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $B \subseteq U \wedge \text{is-ball } B$
shows $\exists B'. \text{is-max-ball-of } U B' \wedge B \subseteq B'$
 <proof>

lemma *max-balls-disjoint*:
assumes $U \subseteq \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{is-max-ball-of } U B$
assumes $\text{is-max-ball-of } U B'$
assumes $B \neq B'$
shows $B \cap B' = \{\}$
 <proof>

definition *max-balls* :: *padic-number set* \Rightarrow *padic-number set set* **where**
max-balls $U = \{B. \text{is-max-ball-of } U B \}$

lemma *max-balls-interior*:
assumes $U \subseteq \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $U \neq \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $\text{interior } U = \{x \in \text{carrier } Q_p. (\exists B \in (\text{max-balls } U). x \in B)\}$
 <proof>

lemma *max-balls-interior'*:
assumes $U \subseteq \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $U \neq \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $B \in \text{max-balls } U$
shows $B \subseteq \text{interior } U$
 <proof>

lemma *max-balls-interior''*:
assumes $U \subseteq \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $U \neq \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $a \in \text{interior } U$
shows $\exists B \in \text{max-balls } U. a \in B$
 <proof>

lemma *open-interior*:
assumes $\text{is-open } U$
shows $\text{interior } U = U$
 <proof>

lemma *interior-idempotent*:
assumes $U \subseteq \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $\text{interior } (\text{interior } U) = \text{interior } U$
 <proof>

10.3 Convex Subsets of the Value Group

The content of this section will be useful for defining and reasoning about p -adic cells in the proof of Macintyre's theorem. It is proved that every

convex set in the extended integers is either an open ray, a closed ray, a closed interval, or a left-closed interval.

definition *is-convex* :: *eint set* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

is-convex $A = (\forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. \forall c. x \leq c \wedge c \leq y \longrightarrow c \in A)$

lemma *is-convexI*:

assumes $\bigwedge x y c. x \in A \implies y \in A \implies x \leq c \wedge c \leq y \implies c \in A$

shows *is-convex* A

<proof>

lemma *is-convexE*:

assumes *is-convex* A

assumes $x \in A$

assumes $y \in A$

assumes $x \leq a$

assumes $a \leq y$

shows $a \in A$

<proof>

lemma *empty-convex*:

is-convex $\{\}$

<proof>

lemma *UNIV-convex*:

is-convex *UNIV*

<proof>

definition *closed-interval* (*<I[- -]>*) **where**

closed-interval $\alpha \beta = \{a . \alpha \leq a \wedge a \leq \beta\}$

lemma *closed-interval-is-convex*:

assumes $A = \text{closed-interval } \alpha \beta$

shows *is-convex* A

<proof>

lemma *empty-closed-interval*:

$\{\} = \text{closed-interval } \infty (\text{eint } 1)$

<proof>

definition *left-closed-interval* **where**

left-closed-interval $\alpha \beta = \{a . \alpha \leq a \wedge a < \beta\}$

lemma *left-closed-interval-is-convex*:

assumes $A = \text{left-closed-interval } \alpha \beta$

shows *is-convex* A

<proof>

definition *closed-ray* **where**

closed-ray $\alpha \beta = \{a . a \leq \beta\}$

lemma *closed-ray-is-convex*:
assumes $A = \text{closed-ray } \alpha \beta$
shows *is-convex* A
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UNIV-closed-ray*:
 $(\text{UNIV}::\text{eint set}) = \text{closed-ray } \alpha \infty$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *open-ray* :: $\text{eint} \Rightarrow \text{eint} \Rightarrow \text{eint set}$ **where**
 $\text{open-ray } \alpha \beta = \{a . a < \beta\}$

lemma *open-ray-is-convex*:
assumes $A = \text{open-ray } \alpha \beta$
shows *is-convex* A
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *open-rayE*:
assumes $a < \beta$
shows $a \in \text{open-ray } \alpha \beta$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *value-group-is-open-ray*:
 $\text{UNIV} - \{\infty\} = \text{open-ray } \alpha \infty$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

This is a predicate which identifies a certain kind of set-valued function on the extended integers. Convex conditions will be important in the definition of p -adic cells later, and it will be proved that every convex set is induced by a convex condition.

definition *is-convex-condition* :: $(\text{eint} \Rightarrow \text{eint} \Rightarrow \text{eint set}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where *is-convex-condition* $I \equiv$
 $I = \text{closed-interval} \vee I = \text{left-closed-interval} \vee I = \text{closed-ray} \vee I = \text{open-ray}$

lemma *convex-condition-imp-convex*:
assumes *is-convex-condition* I
shows *is-convex* $(I \alpha \beta)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bounded-order*:
assumes $(a::\text{eint}) < \infty$
assumes $b \leq a$
obtains $k::\text{nat}$ **where** $a = b + k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Every convex set is given by a convex condition

lemma *convex-imp-convex-condition*:

assumes *is-convex* A
shows $\exists I \alpha \beta. \text{is-convex-condition } I \wedge A = (I \alpha \beta)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ex-val-less*:
shows $\exists (\alpha::\text{eint}). \alpha < \beta$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ex-dist-less*:
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $\exists a \in \text{carrier } Q_p. \text{val } (a \ominus c) < \beta$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

theory *Generated-Boolean-Algebra*

imports *Main*

begin

11 Generated Boolean Algebras of Sets

11.1 Definitions and Basic Lemmas

lemma *equalityI'*:
assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies x \in B$
assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in B \implies x \in A$
shows $A = B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equalityI''*:
assumes $\bigwedge x. A \ x \implies B \ x$
assumes $\bigwedge x. B \ x \implies A \ x$
shows $\{x. A \ x\} = \{x. B \ x\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SomeE*:
assumes $a = (\text{SOME } x. P \ x)$
assumes $P \ c$
shows $P \ a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SomeE'*:
assumes $a = (\text{SOME } x. P \ x)$
assumes $\exists x. P \ x$
shows $P \ a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

12 Basic notions about boolean algebras over a set S , generated by a set of generators B

Note that the generators B need not be subsets of the set S

inductive-set *gen-boolean-algebra*

for S **and** B **where**

universe: $S \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S B$

| *generator:* $A \in B \implies A \cap S \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S B$

| *union:* $\llbracket A \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S B; C \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S B \rrbracket \implies A \cup C \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S B$

| *complement:* $A \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S B \implies S - A \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S B$

lemma *gen-boolean-algebra-subset:*

shows $A \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S B \implies A \subseteq S$

<proof>

lemma *gen-boolean-algebra-intersect:*

assumes $A \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S B$

assumes $C \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S B$

shows $A \cap C \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S B$

<proof>

lemma *gen-boolean-algebra-diff:*

assumes $A \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S B$

assumes $C \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S B$

shows $A - C \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S B$

<proof>

lemma *gen-boolean-algebra-diff-eq:*

assumes $A \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S B$

assumes $C \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S B$

shows $A - C = A \cap (S - C)$

<proof>

lemma *gen-boolean-algebra-finite-union:*

assumes $\bigwedge a. a \in A \implies a \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S B$

assumes *finite* A

shows $\bigcup A \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S B$

<proof>

lemma *gen-boolean-algebra-finite-intersection:*

assumes $\bigwedge a. a \in A \implies a \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S B$

assumes *finite* A

assumes $A \neq \{\}$

shows $\bigcap A \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S B$

<proof>

lemma *gen-boolean-algebra-generators:*

assumes $\bigwedge b. b \in B \implies b \subseteq S$

assumes $b \in B$

shows $b \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S \ B$

<proof>

lemma *gen-boolean-algebra-generator-subset:*

assumes $A \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S \ As$

assumes $As \subseteq Bs$

shows $A \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S \ Bs$

<proof>

lemma *gen-boolean-algebra-generators-union:*

assumes $A \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S \ As$

assumes $C \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S \ Cs$

shows $A \cup C \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S \ (As \cup Cs)$

<proof>

lemma *gen-boolean-algebra-finite-gen-wits:*

assumes $A \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S \ B$

shows $\exists Bs. \text{finite } Bs \wedge Bs \subseteq B \wedge A \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S \ Bs$

<proof>

lemma *gen-boolean-algebra-univ-mono:*

assumes $A \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S \ B$

shows $\text{gen-boolean-algebra } A \ B \subseteq \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S \ B$

<proof>

The boolean algebra generated by a collection of elements in another algebra is contained in the original algebra:

lemma *gen-boolean-algebra-subalgebra:*

assumes $Xs \subseteq \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S \ B$

shows $\text{gen-boolean-algebra } S \ Xs \subseteq \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S \ B$

<proof>

lemma *gen-boolean-algebra-idempotent:*

assumes $S = \bigcup Xs$

shows $\text{gen-boolean-algebra } S \ (\text{gen-boolean-algebra } S \ Xs) = (\text{gen-boolean-algebra } S \ Xs)$

<proof>

We can always replace the set of generators Xs with their intersections with the universe set S , and obtain the same algebra.

lemma *gen-boolean-algebra-restrict-generators:*

$\text{gen-boolean-algebra } S \ Xs = \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S \ ((\cap) S \ ' Xs)$

<proof>

Adding a generator to a generated boolean algebra is redundant if the generator already lies in the algebra.

lemma *add-generators*:

assumes $A \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S \ Xs$

shows $\text{gen-boolean-algebra } S \ Xs = \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S \ (\text{insert } A \ Xs)$

<proof>

12.1 Turning a Family of Sets into a Family of Disjoint Sets

This section outlines the standard construction where sets A_0, \dots, A_n are replaced by sets $A_0, A_1 - A_0, A_2 - (A_0 \cup A_1), \dots, A_n - (\bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} A_i)$ to obtain a disjoint family of the same cardinality.

fun *rec-disjointify* **where**

rec-disjointify 0 $f = \{\}$

rec-disjointify (Suc m) $f = \text{insert } (f \ m - \bigcup (\text{rec-disjointify } m \ f)) (\text{rec-disjointify } m \ f)$

lemma *card-of-rec-disjointify*:

$\text{card } (\text{rec-disjointify } m \ f) \leq m$

<proof>

lemma *rec-disjointify-finite*:

finite (*rec-disjointify* $m \ f$)

<proof>

lemma *rec-disjointify-in-gen-boolean-algebra*:

assumes $f \ ' \ \{..<m\} \subseteq \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S \ B$

shows $\text{rec-disjointify } m \ f \subseteq \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S \ B$

<proof>

lemma *rec-disjointify-union*:

$\bigcup (\text{rec-disjointify } m \ f) = (\bigcup i \in \{..<m\}. f \ i)$

<proof>

definition *enum-rec-disjointify* **where**

enum-rec-disjointify $f \ m = f \ m - \bigcup (\text{rec-disjointify } m \ f)$

lemma *rec-disjointify-as-enum-rec-disjointify-image*:

$\text{rec-disjointify } m \ f = \text{enum-rec-disjointify } f \ ' \ \{..<m\}$

<proof>

lemma *enum-rec-disjointify-subset*:

$\text{enum-rec-disjointify } f \ m \subseteq f \ m$

<proof>

lemma *enum-rec-disjointify-disjoint*:

assumes $k < m$

shows $\text{enum-rec-disjointify } f \ m \cap \text{enum-rec-disjointify } f \ k = \{\}$

<proof>

lemma *enum-rec-disjointify-disjoint'*:

assumes $k \neq m$

shows $\text{enum-rec-disjointify } f \ m \cap \text{enum-rec-disjointify } f \ k = \{\}$

<proof>

lemma *rec-disjointify-is-disjoint*:

assumes $A \in \text{rec-disjointify } m \ f$

assumes $B \in \text{rec-disjointify } m \ f$

assumes $A \neq B$

shows $A \cap B = \{\}$

<proof>

definition *enumerates where*

$\text{enumerates } A \ f \equiv \text{finite } A \wedge A = f \ ' \ \{.. < (\text{card } A)\} \wedge \text{inj-on } f \ \{.. < (\text{card } A)\}$

lemma *finite-imp-exists-enumeration*:

assumes *finite* A

shows $\exists f. \text{enumerates } A \ f$

<proof>

lemma *enumeratesE*:

assumes *enumerates* $A \ f$

shows $\text{finite } A \ A = f \ ' \ \{.. < \text{card } A\} \ \text{inj-on } f \ \{.. < \text{card } A\}$

<proof>

lemma *rec-disjointify-finite-set*:

assumes *enumerates* $A \ f$

shows $\bigcup (\text{rec-disjointify } (\text{card } A) \ f) = \bigcup A$

<proof>

definition *enumerate where*

$\text{enumerate } A = (\text{SOME } f. \text{enumerates } A \ f)$

lemma *enumerate-enumerates*:

assumes *finite* A

shows *enumerates* $A \ (\text{enumerate } A)$

<proof>

lemma *enumerateE*:

assumes *finite* A

assumes $a \in A$

shows $\exists i < \text{card } A. a = (\text{enumerate } A) \ i$

<proof>

definition *disjointify where*

$\text{disjointify } As = \text{rec-disjointify } (\text{card } As) \ (\text{enumerate } As)$

lemma *disjointify-is-disjoint*:

assumes *finite As*
assumes $A \in \text{disjointify } As$
assumes $B \in \text{disjointify } As$
assumes $A \neq B$
shows $A \cap B = \{\}$
<proof>

lemma *disjointify-union:*
assumes *finite As*
shows $\bigcup (\text{disjointify } As) = \bigcup As$
<proof>

lemma *disjointify-gen-boolean-algebra:*
assumes *finite As*
assumes $As \subseteq \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S B$
shows $\text{disjointify } As \subseteq \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S B$
<proof>

lemma *disjointify-finite:*
assumes *finite As*
shows *finite (disjointify As)*
<proof>

lemma *disjointify-card:*
assumes *finite As*
shows $\text{card } (\text{disjointify } As) \leq \text{card } As$
<proof>

lemma *disjointify-subset:*
assumes *finite As*
assumes $A \in \text{disjointify } As$
shows $\exists B \in As. A \subseteq B$
<proof>

12.2 The Atoms Generated by Collections of Sets

We can also turn a family of sets into a disjoint family by taking the atoms of the boolean algebra generated by these sets. This will still yield a finite family if the initial family is finite, but in general will be much larger in size.

12.2.1 Defining the Atoms of a Family of Sets

Here we intend that As is a subset of the collection of sets Xs . This function associate to each subset $As \subseteq Xs$ a set which is contained in each element of As , and is disjoint from each element of $Xs - As$. Note that in general this may yield the empty set, but we will ultimately be interested in the cases where the result is nonempty.

definition *subset-to-atom* **where**

$$\text{subset-to-atom } Xs \text{ } As = \bigcap As - \bigcup (Xs - As)$$

lemma *subset-to-atom-memI*:

assumes $\bigwedge A. A \in As \implies x \in A$

assumes $\bigwedge A. A \in Xs \implies A \notin As \implies x \notin A$

shows $x \in \text{subset-to-atom } Xs \text{ } As$

<proof>

lemma *subset-to-atom-memE*:

assumes $x \in \text{subset-to-atom } Xs \text{ } As$

shows $\bigwedge A. A \in As \implies x \in A$

$\bigwedge A. A \in Xs \implies A \notin As \implies x \notin A$

<proof>

lemma *subset-to-atom-closed*:

assumes $As \neq \{\}$

assumes $As \subseteq Xs$

shows $\text{subset-to-atom } Xs \text{ } As \subseteq \bigcup Xs$

<proof>

lemma *subset-to-atom-as-intersection*:

assumes $As \neq \{\}$

assumes $As \subseteq Xs$

assumes $S = \bigcup Xs$

shows $\text{subset-to-atom } Xs \text{ } As = \bigcap As \cap (\bigcap X \in Xs - As. S - X)$

<proof>

definition *atoms-of where*

$\text{atoms-of } Xs = (\text{subset-to-atom } Xs \text{ } ((\text{Pow } Xs) - \{\{\}\})) - \{\{\}\}$

lemma *atoms-nonempty*:

assumes $A \in \text{atoms-of } Xs$

shows $A \neq \{\}$

<proof>

lemma *atoms-of-disjoint*:

assumes $A \in \text{atoms-of } Xs$

assumes $B \in \text{atoms-of } Xs$

assumes $A \neq B$

shows $A \cap B = \{\}$

<proof>

The atoms of a family of sets Xs are minimal in the sense that they are either contained in or disjoint from each element of Xs .

lemma *atoms-are-minimal*:

assumes $A \in \text{atoms-of } Xs$

assumes $X \in Xs$

shows $X \cap A = \{\} \vee A \subseteq X$

<proof>

12.2.2 Atoms Induced by Types of Points

The set of sets in Xs which contain some point x . In the case where Xs is some collection of first order formulas, this is just the type of x over these formulas.

definition *point-to-type* **where**
point-to-type $Xs\ x = \{X \in Xs. x \in X\}$

The type of a point x induces the unique atom of Xs which contains x .

lemma *point-in-atom-of-type*:
assumes $x \in \bigcup Xs$
shows $x \in \text{subset-to-atom } Xs$ (*point-to-type* $Xs\ x$)
<proof>

lemma *point-to-type-nonempty*:
assumes $x \in \bigcup Xs$
shows *point-to-type* $Xs\ x \neq \{\}$
<proof>

lemma *point-to-type-closed*:
point-to-type $Xs\ x \subseteq \text{Pow } (\bigcup Xs)$
<proof>

lemma *atoms-of-covers*:
assumes $X = \bigcup Xs$
shows $\bigcup (\text{atoms-of } Xs) = X$
<proof>

lemma *atoms-of-covers'*:
shows $\bigcup (\text{atoms-of } Xs) = \bigcup Xs$
<proof>

Every atom of a collection Xs of sets is realized as the atom generated by the type of an element in that atom.

lemma *nonempty-atom-from-point-to-type*:
assumes $A \in \text{atoms-of } Xs$
assumes $a \in A$
shows $A = \text{subset-to-atom } Xs$ (*point-to-type* $Xs\ a$)
<proof>

In light of the previous theorem, a point a and a collection of sets Xs is enough to recover the the unique atom of Xs which contains a .

definition *point-to-atom* **where**
point-to-atom $Xs\ a = \text{subset-to-atom } Xs$ (*point-to-type* $Xs\ a$)

lemma *point-to-atom-closed*:
assumes $x \in \bigcup Xs$
shows *point-to-atom* $Xs\ x \in \text{atoms-of } Xs$

<proof>

All atoms of Xs are the atom induced by some point in the union of Xs .

lemma *atoms-induced-by-points:*

atoms-of $Xs = \text{point-to-atom } Xs \text{ ' } (\bigcup Xs)$

<proof>

12.2.3 Atoms of Generated Boolean Algebras

lemma *atoms-of-gen-boolean-algebra:*

assumes $Xs \subseteq \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S B$

assumes *finite* Xs

shows *atoms-of* $Xs \subseteq \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S B$

<proof>

If the generators of a boolean algebra are contained in the universe, the atoms induced by the generators alone are minimal elements of the entire algebra.

lemma *finite-algebra-atoms-are-minimal:*

assumes *finite* Xs

assumes $\bigcup Xs \subseteq S$

assumes $A \in \text{atoms-of } Xs$

assumes $X \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S Xs$

shows $X \cap A = \{\} \vee A \subseteq X$

<proof>

lemma *finite-set-imp-finite-atoms:*

assumes *finite* Xs

shows *finite* (*atoms-of* Xs)

<proof>

Every element in the boolean algebra generated by Xs over S is a (disjoint) union of atoms of generators:

lemma *gen-boolean-algebra-elem-uni-of-atoms:*

assumes *finite* Xs

assumes $S = \bigcup Xs$

assumes $X \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S Xs$

shows $X = \bigcup \{a \in \text{atoms-of } Xs. a \subseteq X\}$

<proof>

In fact, every generated boolean algebra is the power set of the atoms of its generators:

lemma *gen-boolean-algebra-generated-by-atoms:*

assumes *finite* Xs

assumes $S = \bigcup Xs$

shows *gen-boolean-algebra* $S Xs = \bigcup \text{' } (\text{Pow } (\text{atoms-of } Xs))$

<proof>

Finitely generated boolean algebras are finite

lemma *fin-gens-imp-fin-algebra*:
assumes *finite Xs*
assumes $S = \bigcup Xs$
shows *finite (gen-boolean-algebra S Xs)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *point-to-atom-equal*:
assumes *finite Xs*
assumes $S = \bigcup Xs$
assumes $x \in S$
shows *point-to-atom Xs x = point-to-atom (gen-boolean-algebra S Xs) x*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

When the set Xs of generators covers the universe set S , the atoms of Xs in the above sense are the same as the atoms of the boolean algebra they generate over S .

lemma *atoms-of-sets-eq-atoms-of-algebra*:
assumes *finite Xs*
assumes $S = \bigcup Xs$
shows *atoms-of Xs = atoms-of (gen-boolean-algebra S Xs)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *atoms-closed*:
assumes *finite Xs*
assumes $A \in \text{atoms-of (gen-boolean-algebra S Xs)}$
assumes $S = \bigcup Xs$
shows $A \in (\text{gen-boolean-algebra S Xs})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *atoms-finite*:
assumes *finite Xs*
shows *finite ((atoms-of (gen-boolean-algebra S Xs)))*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

We can distinguish atoms of a set of generators Cs by finding some element of Cs which includes one and excludes the other.

lemma *distinct-atoms*:
assumes $Cs \neq \{\}$
assumes $a \in \text{atoms-of } Cs$
assumes $b \in \text{atoms-of } Cs$
assumes $a \neq b$
shows $(\exists B \in Cs. b \subseteq B \wedge a \cap B = \{\}) \vee (\exists A \in Cs. a \subseteq A \wedge b \cap A = \{\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

12.3 Partitions of a Set

definition *disjoint* :: 'a set set \Rightarrow bool **where**
 $disjoint Ss = (\forall A \in Ss. \forall B \in Ss. A \neq B \longrightarrow A \cap B = \{\})$

lemma disjointE:
assumes *disjoint Ss*
assumes $A \in Ss$
assumes $B \in Ss$
assumes $A \neq B$
shows $A \cap B = \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma disjointI:
assumes $\bigwedge A B. A \in Ss \implies B \in Ss \implies A \neq B \implies A \cap B = \{\}$
shows *disjoint Ss*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition is-partition :: 'a set set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow bool (**infixl** $\langle partitions \rangle$ 75) **where**
S partitions A = (*disjoint S* \wedge $\bigcup S = A$)

lemma is-partitionE:
assumes *S partitions A*
shows *disjoint S*
 $\bigcup S = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma is-partitionI:
assumes *disjoint S*
assumes $\bigcup S = A$
shows *S partitions A*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

If we start with a finite partition of a set A , and each element in that partition has a finite partition with some property P , then A itself has a finite partition where each element has property P .

lemma iter-partition:
assumes *As partitions A*
assumes *finite As*
assumes $\bigwedge a. a \in As \implies \exists Bs. \text{finite } Bs \wedge Bs \text{ partitions } a \wedge (\forall b \in Bs. P b)$
shows $\exists Bs. \text{finite } Bs \wedge Bs \text{ partitions } A \wedge (\forall b \in Bs. P b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

12.4 Intersections of Families of Sets

definition pairwise-intersect **where**
pairwise-intersect As Bs = $\{c. \exists a \in As. \exists b \in Bs. c = a \cap b\}$

lemma partition-intersection:
assumes *As partitions A*
assumes *Bs partitions B*
shows (*pairwise-intersect As Bs*) *partitions* $(A \cap B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pairwise-intersect-finite*:

assumes *finite As*

assumes *finite Bs*

shows *finite (pairwise-intersect As Bs)*

<proof>

definition *family-intersect where*

family-intersect parts = atoms-of (∪ parts)

lemma *family-intersect-partitions*:

assumes $\bigwedge Ps. Ps \in parts \implies Ps \text{ partitions } A$

assumes $\bigwedge Ps. Ps \in parts \implies \text{finite } Ps$

assumes *finite parts*

assumes $parts \neq \{\}$

shows *family-intersect parts partitions A*

<proof>

lemma *family-intersect-memE*:

assumes $\bigwedge Ps. Ps \in parts \implies Ps \text{ partitions } A$

assumes $\bigwedge Ps. Ps \in parts \implies \text{finite } Ps$

assumes *finite parts*

assumes $parts \neq \{\}$

shows $\bigwedge Ps a. a \in \text{family-intersect parts} \implies Ps \in parts \implies \exists P \in Ps. a \subseteq P$

<proof>

lemma *family-intersect-mem-inter*:

assumes $\bigwedge Ps. Ps \in (parts:: 'a \text{ set set set}) \implies Ps \text{ partitions } A$

assumes $\bigwedge Ps. Ps \in parts \implies \text{finite } Ps$

assumes *finite parts*

assumes $parts \neq \{\}$

assumes *a ∈ family-intersect parts*

shows $\exists f. \forall Ps \in parts. f Ps \in Ps \wedge a = (\bigcap Ps \in parts. f Ps)$

<proof>

If we take a finite family of partitions in a particular generated boolean algebra, where each partition itself is finite, then their induced partition is also in the algebra.

lemma *family-intersect-in-gen-boolean-algebra*:

assumes $A \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S B$

assumes $\bigwedge Ps. Ps \in parts \implies Ps \text{ partitions } A$

assumes $\bigwedge Ps. Ps \in parts \implies \text{finite } Ps$

assumes $\bigwedge Ps P. Ps \in parts \implies P \in Ps \implies P \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S B$

assumes *finite parts*

assumes $parts \neq \{\}$

shows $\bigwedge P. P \in \text{family-intersect parts} \implies P \in \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S B$

<proof>

```

end
theory Padic-Field-Powers
  imports Ring-Powers Padic-Field-Polynomials Generated-Boolean-Algebra
           Padic-Field-Topology

```

```

begin

```

This theory is intended to develop the necessary background on subsets of powers of a p -adic field to prove Macintyre's quantifier elimination theorem. In particular, we define semi-algebraic subsets of \mathbb{Q}_p^n , semi-algebraic functions $\mathbb{Q}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$, and semi-algebraic mappings $\mathbb{Q}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^m$ for arbitrary $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. In addition we prove that many common sets and functions are semi-algebraic. We are closely following the paper [1] by Denef, where an algebraic proof of Macintyre's theorem is developed.

13 Cartesian Powers of p -adic Fields

```

lemma list-tl:

```

```

tl (t#x) = x
  <proof>

```

```

lemma list-hd:

```

```

hd (t#x) = t
  <proof>

```

```

sublocale padic-fields < cring-coord-rings  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  UP  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ 

```

```

  <proof>

```

```

sublocale padic-fields <  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ : domain-coord-rings  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  UP  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ 

```

```

  <proof>

```

```

context padic-fields

```

```

begin

```

```

no-notation Zp.to-fun (infixl <·> 70)

```

```

no-notation ideal-prod (infixl <·> 80)

```

```

notation

```

```

evimage (infixr <-1> 90) and

```

```

euminus-set (<·c> 70)

```

```

type-synonym padic-tuple = padic-number list

```

```

type-synonym padic-function = padic-number  $\Rightarrow$  padic-number

```

```

type-synonym padic-nary-function = padic-tuple  $\Rightarrow$  padic-number

```

```

type-synonym padic-function-tuple = padic-nary-function list

```

type-synonym *padic-nary-function-poly* = nat \Rightarrow *padic-nary-function*

13.1 Polynomials over \mathbb{Q}_p and Polynomial Maps

lemma *last-closed'*:

assumes $x@[t] \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $t \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *segment-in-car'*:

assumes $x@[t] \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{\text{Suc } n})$
shows $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Qp-zero*:

$Q_p^0 = \text{nil-ring}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Qp-zero-carrier*:

$\text{carrier } (Q_p^0) = \{\{\}\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Abbreviation for constant polynomials

abbreviation(*input*) *Qp-to-IP* where
Qp-to-IP $k \equiv \text{Qp.indexed-const } k$

lemma *Qp-to-IP-car*:

assumes $k \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $\text{Qp-to-IP } k \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *cring-coord-rings*) *smult-closed*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } R$
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $a \odot_{R[\mathcal{X}_n]} q \in \text{carrier } (R[\mathcal{X}_n])$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Qp-poly-smult-cfs*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $(a \odot_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n]} P) m = a \otimes (P m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Qp-smult-r-distr*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $a \odot_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n]} (P \oplus_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n]} q) = (a \odot_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n]} P) \oplus_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n]} (a \odot_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n]} q)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Qp-smult-l-distr*:

assumes $a \in carrier\ Q_p$

assumes $b \in carrier\ Q_p$

assumes $P \in carrier\ (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$

shows $(a \oplus b) \odot_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n]} P = (a \odot_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n]} P) \oplus_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n]} (b \odot_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n]} P)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *(input) Qp-funs where*

Qp-funs $n \equiv Fun_n\ Q_p$

13.2 Evaluation of Polynomials in \mathbb{Q}_p

abbreviation *(input) Qp-ev where*

Qp-ev $P\ q \equiv (eval-at-point\ Q_p\ q\ P)$

lemma *Qp-ev-one*:

assumes $a \in carrier\ (Q_p^n)$

shows $Qp-ev\ \mathbf{1}_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n]}\ a = \mathbf{1}\ \langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Qp-ev-zero*:

assumes $a \in carrier\ (Q_p^n)$

shows $Qp-ev\ \mathbf{0}_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n]}\ a = \mathbf{0}\ \langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Qp-eval-pvar-pow*:

assumes $a \in carrier\ (Q_p^n)$

assumes $k < n$

assumes $(m::nat) \neq 0$

shows $Qp-ev\ ((pvar\ Q_p\ k)[\]_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n]}\ m)\ a = ((a!k)[\]m)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

composition of polynomials over \mathbb{Q}_p

definition *Qp-poly-comp where*

Qp-poly-comp $m\ fs = poly-compose\ (length\ fs)\ m\ fs$

lemmas about polynomial maps

lemma *Qp-is-poly-tupleI*:

assumes $\bigwedge i. i < length\ fs \implies fs!i \in carrier\ (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_m])$

shows *is-poly-tuple* $m\ fs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Qp-is-poly-tuple-append*:

assumes *is-poly-tuple* $m\ fs$

assumes *is-poly-tuple* $m\ gs$

shows *is-poly-tuple* $m\ (fs@gs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Qp-poly-mapE*:

assumes *is-poly-tuple* n fs
assumes $length\ fs = m$
assumes $as \in carrier\ (Q_p^n)$
assumes $j < m$
shows $(poly-map\ n\ fs\ as)!j \in carrier\ Q_p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Qp-poly-mapE'*:
assumes $as \in carrier\ (Q_p^n)$
shows $length\ (poly-map\ n\ fs\ as) = length\ fs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Qp-poly-mapE''*:
assumes *is-poly-tuple* n fs
assumes $length\ fs = m$
assumes $n \neq 0$
assumes $as \in carrier\ (Q_p^n)$
assumes $j < m$
shows $(poly-map\ n\ fs\ as)!j = (Qp-ev\ (fs!j)\ as)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-map-apply*:
assumes $as \in carrier\ (Q_p^n)$
shows $poly-map\ n\ fs\ as = poly-tuple-eval\ fs\ as$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-map-pullbackI*:
assumes *is-poly-tuple* n fs
assumes $as \in carrier\ (Q_p^n)$
assumes $poly-map\ n\ fs\ as \in S$
shows $as \in poly-map\ n\ fs^{-1}_n\ S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-map-pullbackI'*:
assumes *is-poly-tuple* n fs
assumes $as \in carrier\ (Q_p^n)$
assumes $poly-map\ n\ fs\ as \in S$
shows $as \in ((poly-map\ n\ fs) - ' S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas about polynomial composition

lemma *poly-compose-ring-hom*:
assumes *is-poly-tuple* m fs
assumes $length\ fs = n$
shows $(ring-hom-ring\ (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])\ (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_m])\ (Qp-poly-comp\ m\ fs))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-compose-closed*:
assumes *is-poly-tuple* m fs

assumes $length\ fs = n$
assumes $f \in carrier\ (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $(Qp\text{-poly-comp}\ m\ fs\ f) \in carrier\ (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_m])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-compose-add:*

assumes $is\text{-poly-tuple}\ m\ fs$
assumes $length\ fs = n$
assumes $f \in carrier\ (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $g \in carrier\ (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $Qp\text{-poly-comp}\ m\ fs\ (f \oplus_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n]}\ g) = (Qp\text{-poly-comp}\ m\ fs\ f) \oplus_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_m]}$
 $(Qp\text{-poly-comp}\ m\ fs\ g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-compose-mult:*

assumes $is\text{-poly-tuple}\ m\ fs$
assumes $length\ fs = n$
assumes $f \in carrier\ (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $g \in carrier\ (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $Qp\text{-poly-comp}\ m\ fs\ (f \otimes_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n]}\ g) = (Qp\text{-poly-comp}\ m\ fs\ f) \otimes_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_m]}$
 $(Qp\text{-poly-comp}\ m\ fs\ g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-compose-const:*

assumes $is\text{-poly-tuple}\ m\ fs$
assumes $length\ fs = n$
assumes $a \in carrier\ Q_p$
shows $Qp\text{-poly-comp}\ m\ fs\ (Qp\text{-to-IP}\ a) = Qp\text{-to-IP}\ a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Qp-poly-comp-eval:*

assumes $is\text{-poly-tuple}\ m\ fs$
assumes $length\ fs = n$
assumes $f \in carrier\ (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $as \in carrier\ (Q_p^m)$
shows $Qp\text{-ev}\ (Qp\text{-poly-comp}\ m\ fs\ f)\ as = Qp\text{-ev}\ f\ (poly\text{-map}\ m\ fs\ as)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

13.3 Mapping Univariate Polynomials to Multivariable Polynomials in One Variable

abbreviation(*input*) *to-Qp-x* **where**

$to\text{-}Qp\text{-}x \equiv (IP\text{-to-UP}\ (0::nat) :: (nat\ multiset \Rightarrow padic\text{-}number) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow padic\text{-}number)$

abbreviation(*input*) *from-Qp-x* **where**

$from\text{-}Qp\text{-}x \equiv UP\text{-to-IP}\ Q_p\ (0::nat)$

lemma *from-Qp-x-closed:*

assumes $q \in \text{carrier } Q_{p-x}$
shows $\text{from-}Q_{p-x} q \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_1])$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-}Q_{p-x}-closed:*
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_1])$
shows $\text{to-}Q_{p-x} q \in \text{carrier } Q_{p-x}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-}Q_{p-x}-from-}Q_{p-x}:*
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_1])$
shows $\text{from-}Q_{p-x} (\text{to-}Q_{p-x} q) = q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *from-}Q_{p-x}-to-}Q_{p-x}:*
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } Q_{p-x}$
shows $\text{to-}Q_{p-x} (\text{from-}Q_{p-x} q) = q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

ring hom properties of these maps

lemma *to-}Q_{p-x}-ring-hom:*
 $\text{to-}Q_{p-x} \in \text{ring-hom } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_1]) Q_{p-x}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *from-}Q_{p-x}-ring-hom:*
 $\text{from-}Q_{p-x} \in \text{ring-hom } Q_{p-x} (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_1])$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *from-}Q_{p-x}-add:*
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_{p-x}$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_{p-x}$
shows $\text{from-}Q_{p-x} (a \oplus_{Q_{p-x}} b) = \text{from-}Q_{p-x} a \oplus_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_1]} \text{from-}Q_{p-x} b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *from-}Q_{p-x}-mult:*
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_{p-x}$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_{p-x}$
shows $\text{from-}Q_{p-x} (a \otimes_{Q_{p-x}} b) = \text{from-}Q_{p-x} a \otimes_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_1]} \text{from-}Q_{p-x} b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

equivalence of evaluation maps

lemma *Q_{p-poly-}Q_{p-x}-eval:*
assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_1])$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^1)$
shows $Q_{p\text{-ev}} P a = (\text{to-}Q_{p-x} P) \cdot (Q_{p\text{-to-}R} a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Q_{p-x-}Q_{p-poly-}eval:*

assumes $P \in \text{carrier } Q_{p-x}$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $P \cdot a = Q_{p\text{-ev}} (\text{from-}Q_{p-x} P) (\text{to-R1 } a)$
 <proof>

13.4 n^{th} -Power Sets over Q_p

definition *P-set where*

P-set $(n::\text{nat}) = \{a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p. (\exists y \in \text{carrier } Q_p. (y[\wedge] n) = a))\}$

lemma *P-set-carrier:*

P-set $n \subseteq \text{carrier } Q_p$
 <proof>

lemma *P-set-memI:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $a \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $b[\wedge](n::\text{nat}) = a$
shows $a \in \text{P-set } n$
 <proof>

lemma *P-set-nonzero:*

P-set $n \subseteq \text{nonzero } Q_p$
 <proof>

lemma *P-set-nonzero':*

assumes $a \in \text{P-set } n$
shows $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
 $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
 <proof>

lemma *P-set-one:*

assumes $n \neq 0$
shows $\mathbf{1} \in \text{P-set } (n::\text{nat})$
 <proof>

lemma *zeroth-P-set:*

P-set $0 = \{\mathbf{1}\}$
 <proof>

lemma *P-set-mult-closed:*

assumes $n \neq 0$
assumes $a \in \text{P-set } n$
assumes $b \in \text{P-set } n$
shows $a \otimes b \in \text{P-set } n$
 <proof>

lemma *P-set-inv-closed*:

assumes $a \in P\text{-set } n$

shows $\text{inv } a \in P\text{-set } n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *P-set-val*:

assumes $a \in P\text{-set } (n::\text{nat})$

shows $(\text{ord } a) \bmod n = 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *P-set-pow*:

assumes $n > 0$

assumes $s \in P\text{-set } n$

shows $s[\wedge]k \in P\text{-set } (n*k)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

13.5 Semialgebraic Sets

In this section we introduce the notion of a p -adic semialgebraic set. Intuitively, these are the subsets of \mathbb{Q}_p^n which are definable by first order quantifier-free formulas in the standard first-order language of rings, with an additional relation symbol included for the relation $\text{val}(x) \leq \text{val}(y)$, interpreted according to the definition of the p -adic valuation on \mathbb{Q}_p . In fact, by Macintyre's quantifier elimination theorem for the first-order theory of \mathbb{Q}_p in this language, one can equivalently remove the "quantifier-free" clause from the latter definition. The definition we give here is also equivalent, and due to Denef in [1]. The given definition here is desirable mainly for its utility in producing a proof of Macintyre's theorem, which is our overarching goal.

13.5.1 Defining Semialgebraic Sets

definition *basic-semialg-set where*

basic-semialg-set $(m::\text{nat}) (n::\text{nat}) P = \{q \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m). \exists y \in \text{carrier } Q_p. Qp\text{-ev } P \ q = (y[\wedge]n)\}$

lemma *basic-semialg-set-zero-set*:

assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_m])$

assumes $q \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$

assumes $Qp\text{-ev } P \ q = \mathbf{0}$

assumes $n \neq 0$

shows $q \in \text{basic-semialg-set } (m::\text{nat}) (n::\text{nat}) P$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *basic-semialg-set-def'*:

assumes $n \neq 0$

assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_m])$

shows *basic-semialg-set* (m::nat) (n::nat) $P = \{q \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m). Qp\text{-ev } P q = \mathbf{0} \vee Qp\text{-ev } P q \in (P\text{-set } n)\}$
 <proof>

lemma *basic-semialg-set-memI*:
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $Qp\text{-ev } P q = (y[\uparrow]n)$
shows $q \in \text{basic-semialg-set } m n P$
 <proof>

lemma *basic-semialg-set-memE*:
assumes $q \in \text{basic-semialg-set } m n P$
shows $q \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$
 $\exists y \in \text{carrier } Q_p. Qp\text{-ev } P q = (y[\uparrow]n)$
 <proof>

definition *is-basic-semialg* :: nat $\Rightarrow ((\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{int}) \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{int})) \text{ set list set} \Rightarrow$
 bool **where**
is-basic-semialg m S $\equiv (\exists (n::\text{nat}) \neq 0. (\exists P \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_m]). S = \text{basic-semialg-set } m n P))$

abbreviation(input) *basic-semialgs* **where**
basic-semialgs m $\equiv \{S. (\text{is-basic-semialg } m S)\}$

definition *semialg-sets* **where**
semialg-sets n = *gen-boolean-algebra* (carrier (Q_pⁿ)) (basic-semialgs n)

lemma *carrier-is-semialg*:
 (carrier (Q_pⁿ)) \in *semialg-sets* n
 <proof>

lemma *empty-set-is-semialg*:
 {} \in *semialg-sets* n
 <proof>

lemma *semialg-intersect*:
assumes A \in *semialg-sets* n
assumes B \in *semialg-sets* n
shows (A \cap B) \in *semialg-sets* n
 <proof>

lemma *semialg-union*:
assumes A \in *semialg-sets* n
assumes B \in *semialg-sets* n
shows (A \cup B) \in *semialg-sets* n
 <proof>

lemma *semialg-complement*:

assumes $A \in \text{semialg-sets } n$
shows $(\text{carrier } (Q_p^n) - A) \in \text{semialg-sets } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *semialg-zero*:
assumes $A \in \text{semialg-sets } 0$
shows $A = \{\emptyset\} \vee A = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *basic-semialg-is-semialg*:
assumes *is-basic-semialg* n A
shows $A \in \text{semialg-sets } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *basic-semialg-is-semialg'*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $m \neq 0$
assumes $A = \text{basic-semialg-set } n$ m f
shows $A \in \text{semialg-sets } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *is-semialgebraic* **where**
is-semialgebraic n $S = (S \in \text{semialg-sets } n)$

lemma *is-semialgebraicE*:
assumes *is-semialgebraic* n S
shows $S \in \text{semialg-sets } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-semialgebraic-closed*:
assumes *is-semialgebraic* n S
shows $S \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-semialgebraicI*:
assumes $S \in \text{semialg-sets } n$
shows *is-semialgebraic* n S
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *basic-semialg-is-semialgebraic*:
assumes *is-basic-semialg* n A
shows *is-semialgebraic* n A
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *basic-semialg-is-semialgebraic'*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $m \neq 0$
assumes $A = \text{basic-semialg-set } n$ m f
shows *is-semialgebraic* n A

<proof>

13.5.2 Algebraic Sets over p -adic Fields

lemma *p-times-square-not-square:*

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

shows $\mathfrak{p} \otimes (a \text{ [} \text{] } (2::\text{nat})) \notin P\text{-set } (2::\text{nat})$

<proof>

lemma *p-times-square-not-square':*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

shows $\mathfrak{p} \otimes (a \text{ [} \text{] } (2::\text{nat})) = \mathbf{0} \implies a = \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma *zero-set-semialg-set:*

assumes $q \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

shows $Qp\text{-ev } q \ a = \mathbf{0} \iff (\exists y \in \text{carrier } Q_p. \mathfrak{p} \otimes ((Qp\text{-ev } q \ a) \text{ [} \text{] } (2::\text{nat})) = y \text{ [} \text{] } (2::\text{nat}))$

<proof>

lemma *alg-as-semialg:*

assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$

assumes $q = \mathfrak{p} \odot_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n]} (P \text{ [} \text{] }_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n]} (2::\text{nat}))$

shows $\text{zero-set } Q_p \ n \ P = \text{basic-semialg-set } n \ (2::\text{nat}) \ q$

<proof>

lemma *is-zero-set-imp-basic-semialg:*

assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$

assumes $S = \text{zero-set } Q_p \ n \ P$

shows $\text{is-basic-semialg } n \ S$

<proof>

lemma *is-zero-set-imp-semialg:*

assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$

assumes $S = \text{zero-set } Q_p \ n \ P$

shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } n \ S$

<proof>

Algebraic sets are semialgebraic

lemma *is-algebraic-imp-is-semialg:*

assumes $\text{is-algebraic } Q_p \ n \ S$

shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } n \ S$

<proof>

13.5.3 Basic Lemmas about the Semialgebraic Predicate

Finite and cofinite sets are semialgebraic

lemma *finite-is-semialg:*

assumes $F \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
assumes $\text{finite } F$
shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } n \ F$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition is-cofinite where
 $\text{is-cofinite } n \ F = \text{finite } (\text{ring-pow-comp } Q_p \ n \ F)$

lemma is-cofiniteE:
assumes $F \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
assumes $\text{is-cofinite } n \ F$
shows $\text{finite } (\text{carrier } (Q_p^n) - F)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{complement-is-semialg:}$
assumes $\text{is-semialgebraic } n \ F$
shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } n \ ((\text{carrier } (Q_p^n)) - F)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{cofinite-is-semialgebraic:}$
assumes $F \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
assumes $\text{is-cofinite } n \ F$
shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } n \ F$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{diff-is-semialgebraic:}$
assumes $\text{is-semialgebraic } n \ A$
assumes $\text{is-semialgebraic } n \ B$
shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } n \ (A - B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{intersection-is-semialg:}$
assumes $\text{is-semialgebraic } n \ A$
assumes $\text{is-semialgebraic } n \ B$
shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } n \ (A \cap B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{union-is-semialgebraic:}$
assumes $\text{is-semialgebraic } n \ A$
assumes $\text{is-semialgebraic } n \ B$
shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } n \ (A \cup B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{carrier-is-semialgebraic:}$
 $\text{is-semialgebraic } n \ (\text{carrier } (Q_p^n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{empty-is-semialgebraic:}$
 $\text{is-semialgebraic } n \ \{\}$

<proof>

13.5.4 One-Dimensional Semialgebraic Sets

definition *one-var-semialg* **where**

one-var-semialg $S = ((to-R1 \text{ ' } S) \in (semialg-sets \ 1))$

definition *univ-basic-semialg-set* **where**

univ-basic-semialg-set $(m::nat) \ P = \{a \in carrier \ Q_p. (\exists y \in carrier \ Q_p. (P \cdot a = (y[\wedge m])))\}$

Equivalence of *univ_basic_semialg_sets* and semialgebraic subsets of \mathbb{Q}^1

lemma *univ-basic-semialg-set-to-semialg-set*:

assumes $P \in carrier \ Q_{p-x}$

assumes $m \neq 0$

shows $to-R1 \text{ ' } (univ-basic-semialg-set \ m \ P) = basic-semialg-set \ 1 \ m \ (from-Qp-x \ P)$

<proof>

definition *is-univ-semialgebraic* **where**

is-univ-semialgebraic $S = (S \subseteq carrier \ Q_p \wedge is-semialgebraic \ 1 \ (to-R1 \text{ ' } S))$

lemma *is-univ-semialgebraicE*:

assumes *is-univ-semialgebraic* S

shows *is-semialgebraic 1* $(to-R1 \text{ ' } S)$

<proof>

lemma *is-univ-semialgebraicI*:

assumes *is-semialgebraic 1* $(to-R1 \text{ ' } S)$

shows *is-univ-semialgebraic* S

<proof>

lemma *univ-basic-semialg-set-is-univ-semialgebraic*:

assumes $P \in carrier \ Q_{p-x}$

assumes $m \neq 0$

shows *is-univ-semialgebraic* $(univ-basic-semialg-set \ m \ P)$

<proof>

lemma *intersection-is-univ-semialgebraic*:

assumes *is-univ-semialgebraic* A

assumes *is-univ-semialgebraic* B

shows *is-univ-semialgebraic* $(A \cap B)$

<proof>

lemma *union-is-univ-semialgebraic*:

assumes *is-univ-semialgebraic* A

assumes *is-univ-semialgebraic* B

shows *is-univ-semialgebraic* $(A \cup B)$

<proof>

lemma *diff-is-univ-semialgebraic*:
assumes *is-univ-semialgebraic* A
assumes *is-univ-semialgebraic* B
shows *is-univ-semialgebraic* $(A - B)$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *finite-is-univ-semialgebraic*:
assumes $A \subseteq \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes *finite* A
shows *is-univ-semialgebraic* A
 \langle *proof* \rangle

13.5.5 Defining the p -adic Valuation Semialgebraically

lemma *Qp-square-root-criterion0*:
assumes $p \neq 2$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{val } a \leq \text{val } b$
assumes $a \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $b \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $\text{val } a \geq 0$
shows $\exists y \in \text{carrier } Q_p. a[\wedge](2::\text{nat}) \oplus_{Q_p} \mathfrak{p} \otimes b[\wedge](2::\text{nat}) = (y [\wedge](2::\text{nat}))$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *eint-minus-ineq'*:
assumes $(a::\text{eint}) \geq b$
shows $a - b \geq 0$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *Qp-square-root-criterion*:
assumes $p \neq 2$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{ord } b \geq \text{ord } a$
assumes $a \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $b \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\exists y \in \text{carrier } Q_p. a[\wedge](2::\text{nat}) \oplus_{Q_p} \mathfrak{p} \otimes b[\wedge](2::\text{nat}) = (y [\wedge](2::\text{nat}))$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *Qp-val-ring-alt-def0*:
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
assumes $\text{ord } a \geq 0$
shows $\exists y \in \text{carrier } Q_p. \mathbf{1} \oplus_{Q_p} (\mathfrak{p}[\wedge](3::\text{nat})) \otimes (a[\wedge](4::\text{nat})) = (y[\wedge](2::\text{nat}))$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

Defining the valuation semialgebraically for odd primes

lemma *P-set-ord-semialg-odd-p*:

assumes $p \neq 2$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $\text{val } a \leq \text{val } b \iff (\exists y \in \text{carrier } Q_p. (a[\ulcorner(2::\text{nat})] \oplus_{Q_p} (\mathfrak{p} \otimes (b[\ulcorner(2::\text{nat})])))$
 $= (y[\ulcorner(2::\text{nat})]))$
<proof>

Defining the valuation ring semialgebraically for all primes

lemma *Qp-val-ring-alt-def:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $a \in \mathcal{O}_p \iff (\exists y \in \text{carrier } Q_p. \mathbf{1} \oplus_{Q_p} (\mathfrak{p}[\ulcorner(3::\text{nat})] \otimes (a[\ulcorner(4::\text{nat})] =$
 $(y[\ulcorner(2::\text{nat})])))$
<proof>

lemma *Qp-val-alt-def:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $\text{val } b \leq \text{val } a \iff (\exists y \in \text{carrier } Q_p. (b[\ulcorner(4::\text{nat})] \oplus_{Q_p} (\mathfrak{p}[\ulcorner(3::\text{nat})] \otimes$
 $(a[\ulcorner(4::\text{nat})] = (y[\ulcorner(2::\text{nat})])))$
<proof>

The polynomial in two variables which semialgebraically defines the valuation relation

definition *Qp-val-poly where*

$Qp\text{-val-poly} = (\text{pvar } Q_p \ 1)[\ulcorner_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_2]}(4::\text{nat}) \oplus_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_2]} (\mathfrak{p}[\ulcorner(3::\text{nat})] \odot_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_2]} ((\text{pvar}$
 $Q_p \ 0)[\ulcorner_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_2]}(4::\text{nat})]))$

lemma *Qp-val-poly-closed:*

$Qp\text{-val-poly} \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_2])$
<proof>

lemma *Qp-val-poly-eval:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $Qp\text{-ev } Qp\text{-val-poly } [a, b] = (b[\ulcorner(4::\text{nat})] \oplus_{Q_p} (\mathfrak{p}[\ulcorner(3::\text{nat})] \otimes (a[\ulcorner(4::\text{nat})]$
 $))$
<proof>

lemma *Qp-2I:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $[a, b] \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^2)$
<proof>

lemma *pair-id:*

assumes $\text{length } as = 2$
shows $as = [as!0, as!1]$
<proof>

lemma *Qp-val-semialg*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $\text{val } b \leq \text{val } a \iff [a,b] \in \text{basic-semialg-set } 2 \text{ (2::nat) } Q_p\text{-val-poly}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *val-relation-set where*
 $\text{val-relation-set} = \{as \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^2). \text{val } (as!1) \leq \text{val } (as!0)\}$

lemma *val-relation-setE*:
assumes $as \in \text{val-relation-set}$
shows $as!0 \in \text{carrier } Q_p \wedge as!1 \in \text{carrier } Q_p \wedge as = [as!0, as!1] \wedge \text{val } (as!1) \leq \text{val } (as!0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-relation-setI*:
assumes $as!0 \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $as!1 \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{length } as = 2$
assumes $\text{val } (as!1) \leq \text{val } (as!0)$
shows $as \in \text{val-relation-set}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-relation-semialg*:
 $\text{val-relation-set} = \text{basic-semialg-set } 2 \text{ (2::nat) } Q_p\text{-val-poly}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-relation-is-semialgebraic*:
 $\text{is-semialgebraic } 2 \text{ val-relation-set}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Qp-val-ring-is-semialg*:
obtains P **where** $P \in \text{carrier } Q_p\text{-x} \wedge \mathcal{O}_p = \text{univ-basic-semialg-set } 2 P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Qp-val-ring-is-univ-semialgebraic*:
 $\text{is-univ-semialgebraic } \mathcal{O}_p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Qp-val-ring-is-semialgebraic*:
 $\text{is-semialgebraic } 1 \text{ (to-R1' } \mathcal{O}_p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

13.5.6 Inverse Images of Semialgebraic Sets by Polynomial Maps

lemma *basic-semialg-pullback*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_k])$
assumes $\text{is-poly-tuple } n \text{ fs}$
assumes $\text{length } fs = k$

assumes $S = \text{basic-semialg-set } k \ m \ f$
assumes $m \neq 0$
shows $\text{poly-map } n \ fs \ ^{-1}_n S = \text{basic-semialg-set } n \ m \ (\text{Qp-poly-comp } n \ fs \ f)$
 <proof>

lemma *basic-semialg-pullback'*:
assumes *is-poly-tuple* $n \ fs$
assumes $\text{length } fs = k$
assumes $A \in \text{basic-semialgs } k$
shows $\text{poly-map } n \ fs \ ^{-1}_n A \in (\text{basic-semialgs } n)$
 <proof>

lemma *semialg-pullback*:
assumes *is-poly-tuple* $n \ fs$
assumes $\text{length } fs = k$
assumes $S \in \text{semialg-sets } k$
shows $\text{poly-map } n \ fs \ ^{-1}_n S \in \text{semialg-sets } n$
 <proof>

lemma *pullback-is-semialg*:
assumes *is-poly-tuple* $n \ fs$
assumes $\text{length } fs = k$
assumes $S \in \text{semialg-sets } k$
shows *is-semialgebraic* $n \ (\text{poly-map } n \ fs \ ^{-1}_n S)$
 <proof>

Equality and inequality sets for a pair of polynomials

definition *val-ineq-set* **where**
 $\text{val-ineq-set } n \ f \ g = \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n). \text{val } (Qp\text{-ev } f \ x) \leq \text{val } (Qp\text{-ev } g \ x)\}$

lemma *poly-map-length* :
assumes $\text{length } fs = m$
assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $\text{length } (\text{poly-map } n \ fs \ as) = m$
 <proof>

lemma *val-ineq-set-pullback*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $\text{val-ineq-set } n \ f \ g = \text{poly-map } n \ [g, f] \ ^{-1}_n \text{val-relation-set}$
 <proof>

lemma *val-ineq-set-is-semialg*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $\text{val-ineq-set } n \ f \ g \in \text{semialg-sets } n$
 <proof>

lemma *val-ineq-set-is-semialgebraic*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } n \text{ (val-ineq-set } n \text{ } f \text{ } g)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-ineq-setI*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $x \in (\text{val-ineq-set } n \text{ } f \text{ } g)$
shows $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
 $\text{val } (Qp\text{-ev } f \text{ } x) \leq \text{val } (Qp\text{-ev } g \text{ } x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-ineq-setE*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
assumes $\text{val } (Qp\text{-ev } f \text{ } x) \leq \text{val } (Qp\text{-ev } g \text{ } x)$
shows $x \in (\text{val-ineq-set } n \text{ } f \text{ } g)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-ineq-set-is-semialgebraic'*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } n \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n). \text{val } (Qp\text{-ev } f \text{ } x) \leq \text{val } (Qp\text{-ev } g \text{ } x)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-eq-set-is-semialgebraic*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } n \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n). \text{val } (Qp\text{-ev } f \text{ } x) = \text{val } (Qp\text{-ev } g \text{ } x)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equalityI''*:

assumes $\bigwedge x. A \text{ } x \implies B \text{ } x$
assumes $\bigwedge x. B \text{ } x \implies A \text{ } x$
shows $\{x. A \text{ } x\} = \{x. B \text{ } x\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-strict-ineq-set-is-semialgebraic*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } n \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n). \text{val } (Qp\text{-ev } f \text{ } x) < \text{val } (Qp\text{-ev } g \text{ } x)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *constant-poly-val-exists*:

shows $\exists g \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n]). (\forall x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n). \text{val } (Qp\text{-ev } g \text{ } x) = c)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-ineq-set-is-semialgebraic''*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } n \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n). \text{val } (Qp\text{-ev } f \ x) \leq c\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-ineq-set-is-semialgebraic'''*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } n \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n). c \leq \text{val } (Qp\text{-ev } f \ x)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-eq-set-is-semialgebraic'*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } n \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n). \text{val } (Qp\text{-ev } f \ x) = c\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-strict-ineq-set-is-semialgebraic'*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } n \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n). \text{val } (Qp\text{-ev } f \ x) < c\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-strict-ineq-set-is-semialgebraic''*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } n \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n). c < \text{val } (Qp\text{-ev } f \ x)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *cring*) *R1-memE*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (R^1)$
shows $x = [(hd \ x)]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *cring*) *R1-memE'*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (R^1)$
shows $hd \ x \in \text{carrier } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *univ-val-ineq-set-is-univ-semialgebraic*:
is-univ-semialgebraic $\{x \in \text{carrier } Q_p. \text{val } x \leq c\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *univ-val-strict-ineq-set-is-univ-semialgebraic*:
is-univ-semialgebraic $\{x \in \text{carrier } Q_p. \text{val } x < c\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *univ-val-eq-set-is-univ-semialgebraic*:
is-univ-semialgebraic $\{x \in \text{carrier } Q_p. \text{val } x = c\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

13.5.7 One Dimensional p -adic Balls are Semialgebraic

lemma *coord-ring-one-def:*

Pring $Q_p \{(0::nat)\} = (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_1])$

<proof>

lemma *times-p-pow-val:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $b = p[\wedge]n \otimes a$

shows $\text{val } b = \text{val } a + n$

<proof>

lemma *times-p-pow-neg-val:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $b = p[\wedge]-n \otimes a$

shows $\text{val } b = \text{val } a - n$

<proof>

lemma *eint-minus-int-pos:*

assumes $a - \text{eint } n \geq 0$

shows $a \geq n$

<proof>

p -adic balls as pullbacks of polynomial maps

lemma *balls-as-pullbacks:*

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

shows $\exists P \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_1]). \text{to-}R1' B_n[c] = \text{poly-map } 1 [P]^{-1}_1 (\text{to-}R1' \mathcal{O}_p)$

<proof>

lemma *ball-is-semialgebraic:*

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

shows *is-semialgebraic* $1 (\text{to-}R1' B_n[c])$

<proof>

lemma *ball-is-univ-semialgebraic:*

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

shows *is-univ-semialgebraic* $(B_n[c])$

<proof>

abbreviation *Qp-to-R1-set* **where**

Qp-to-R1-set $S \equiv \text{to-}R1' S$

13.5.8 Finite Unions and Intersections of Semialgebraic Sets

definition *are-semialgebraic* **where**

are-semialgebraic $n Xs = (\forall x. x \in Xs \longrightarrow \text{is-semialgebraic } n x)$

lemma *are-semialgebraicI:*

assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in Xs \implies \text{is-semialgebraic } n x$

shows *are-semialgebraic* $n Xs$

<proof>

lemma *are-semialgebraicE*:

assumes *are-semialgebraic n Xs*

assumes $x \in Xs$

shows *is-semialgebraic n x*

<proof>

definition *are-univ-semialgebraic where*

are-univ-semialgebraic Xs = ($\forall x. x \in Xs \longrightarrow is-univ-semialgebraic x$)

lemma *are-univ-semialgebraicI*:

assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in Xs \implies is-univ-semialgebraic x$

shows *are-univ-semialgebraic Xs*

<proof>

lemma *are-univ-semialgebraicE*:

assumes *are-univ-semialgebraic Xs*

assumes $x \in Xs$

shows *is-univ-semialgebraic x*

<proof>

lemma *are-univ-semialgebraic-semialgebraic*:

assumes *are-univ-semialgebraic Xs*

shows *are-semialgebraic 1 (Qp-to-R1-set ' Xs)*

<proof>

lemma *to-R1-set-union*:

to-R1 ' ($\bigcup Xs$) = \bigcup (Qp-to-R1-set ' Xs)

<proof>

lemma *to-R1-inter*:

assumes $Xs \neq \{\}$

shows *to-R1 ' ($\bigcap Xs$) = \bigcap (Qp-to-R1-set ' Xs)*

<proof>

lemma *finite-union-is-semialgebraic*:

assumes *finite Xs*

shows $Xs \subseteq semialg-sets n \longrightarrow is-semialgebraic n (\bigcup Xs)$

<proof>

lemma *finite-union-is-semialgebraic'*:

assumes *finite Xs*

assumes $Xs \subseteq semialg-sets n$

shows *is-semialgebraic n ($\bigcup Xs$)*

<proof>

lemma(in *padic-fields*) *finite-union-is-semialgebraic''*:

assumes *finite S*

assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in S \implies \text{is-semialgebraic } m (F x)$
shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } m (\bigcup x \in S. F x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *finite-union-is-univ-semialgebraic'*:

assumes *finite* Xs
assumes *are-univ-semialgebraic* Xs
shows $\text{is-univ-semialgebraic } (\bigcup Xs)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *finite-intersection-is-semialgebraic*:

assumes *finite* Xs
shows $Xs \subseteq \text{semialg-sets } n \wedge Xs \neq \{\}$ $\longrightarrow \text{is-semialgebraic } n (\bigcap Xs)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *finite-intersection-is-semialgebraic'*:

assumes *finite* Xs
assumes $Xs \subseteq \text{semialg-sets } n \wedge Xs \neq \{\}$
shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } n (\bigcap Xs)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *finite-intersection-is-semialgebraic''*:

assumes *finite* Xs
assumes *are-semialgebraic* $n Xs \wedge Xs \neq \{\}$
shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } n (\bigcap Xs)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *finite-intersection-is-univ-semialgebraic*:

assumes *finite* Xs
assumes *are-univ-semialgebraic* Xs
assumes $Xs \neq \{\}$
shows $\text{is-univ-semialgebraic } (\bigcap Xs)$
 ⟨proof⟩

13.6 Cartesian Products of Semialgebraic Sets

lemma *Qp-times-basic-semialg-right*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $\text{cartesian-product } (\text{basic-semialg-set } n k a) (\text{carrier } (Q_p^m)) = \text{basic-semialg-set } (n + m) k a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Qp-times-basic-semialg-right-is-semialgebraic*:

assumes $k > 0$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } (n + m) (\text{cartesian-product } (\text{basic-semialg-set } n k a) (\text{carrier } (Q_p^m)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Qp-times-basic-semialg-right-is-semialgebraic'*:
assumes $A \in \text{basic-semialgs } n$
shows *is-semialgebraic* $(n + m)$ (*cartesian-product* A (*carrier* (Q_p^m)))
<proof>

lemma *cartesian-product-memE'*:
assumes $x \in \text{cartesian-product } A B$
obtains $a b$ **where** $a \in A \wedge b \in B \wedge x = a@b$
<proof>

lemma *Qp-times-basic-semialg-left*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows *cartesian-product* (*carrier* (Q_p^m)) (*basic-semialg-set* $n k a$) = *basic-semialg-set* $(n+m) k$ (*shift-vars* $n m a$)
<proof>

lemma *Qp-times-basic-semialg-left-is-semialgebraic*:
assumes $k > 0$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows *is-semialgebraic* $(n + m)$ (*cartesian-product* (*carrier* (Q_p^m)) (*basic-semialg-set* $n k a$))
<proof>

lemma *Qp-times-basic-semialg-left-is-semialgebraic'*:
assumes $A \in \text{basic-semialgs } n$
shows *is-semialgebraic* $(n + m)$ (*cartesian-product* (*carrier* (Q_p^m)) A)
<proof>

lemma *product-of-basic-semialgs-is-semialg*:
assumes $k > 0$
assumes $l > 0$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_m])$
shows *is-semialgebraic* $(n + m)$ (*cartesian-product* (*basic-semialg-set* $n k a$) (*basic-semialg-set* $m l b$))
<proof>

lemma *product-of-basic-semialgs-is-semialg'*:
assumes $A \in (\text{basic-semialgs } n)$
assumes $B \in (\text{basic-semialgs } m)$
shows *is-semialgebraic* $(n + m)$ (*cartesian-product* $A B$)
<proof>

lemma *car-times-semialg-is-semialg*:
assumes *is-semialgebraic* $m B$
shows *is-semialgebraic* $(n + m)$ (*cartesian-product* (*carrier* (Q_p^n)) B)
<proof>

lemma *basic-semialg-times-semialg-is-semialg*:

assumes $A \in \text{basic-semialgs } n$
assumes $\text{is-semialgebraic } m B$
shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } (n + m)$ (*cartesian-product* $A B$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Semialgebraic sets are closed under cartesian products

lemma *cartesian-product-is-semialgebraic*:
assumes $\text{is-semialgebraic } n A$
assumes $\text{is-semialgebraic } m B$
shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } (n + m)$ (*cartesian-product* $A B$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

13.7 N^{th} Power Residues

definition *nth-root-poly where*
 $\text{nth-root-poly } (n::\text{nat}) a = ((X\text{-poly } Q_p) [\]_{Q_p-x} n) \ominus_{Q_p-x} (\text{to-poly } a)$

lemma *nth-root-poly-closed*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $\text{nth-root-poly } n a \in \text{carrier } Q_p-x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-root-poly-eval*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $(\text{nth-root-poly } n a) \cdot b = (b[\]n) \ominus_{Q_p} a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Hensel's lemma gives us this criterion for the existence of n -th roots

lemma *nth-root-poly-root*:
assumes $(n::\text{nat}) > 1$
assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$
assumes $a \neq \mathbf{1}$
assumes $\text{val } (\mathbf{1} \ominus_{Q_p} a) > 2 * \text{val } ([n] \cdot \mathbf{1})$
shows $(\exists b \in \mathcal{O}_p. (b[\]n) = a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

All points sufficiently close to 1 have n th roots

lemma *eint-nat-times-2*:
 $2 * (n::\text{nat}) = 2 * \text{eint } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *P-set-of-one*:
 $P\text{-set } 1 = \text{nonzero } Q_p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-power-fact*:
assumes $(n::\text{nat}) \geq 1$

shows $\exists (m::nat) > 0. \forall u \in \text{carrier } Q_p. \text{ac } m \ u = 1 \wedge \text{val } u = 0 \longrightarrow u \in P\text{-set } n$
 <proof>

definition pow-res where
 $\text{pow-res } (n::nat) \ x = \{a. a \in \text{carrier } Q_p \wedge (\exists y \in \text{nonzero } Q_p. (a = x \otimes (y[\uparrow n])))\}$

lemma nonzero-pow-res:
assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
shows $\text{pow-res } (n::nat) \ x \subseteq \text{nonzero } Q_p$
 <proof>

lemma pow-res-of-zero:
shows $\text{pow-res } n \ \mathbf{0} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$
 <proof>

lemma equal-pow-resI:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $y \in \text{pow-res } n \ x$
shows $\text{pow-res } n \ x = \text{pow-res } n \ y$
 <proof>

lemma zeroth-pow-res:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $\text{pow-res } 0 \ x = \{x\}$
 <proof>

lemma Zp-car-zero-res: **assumes** $x \in \text{carrier } Z_p$
shows $x \ 0 = 0$
 <proof>

lemma zeroth-ac:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $\text{ac } 0 \ x = 0$
 <proof>

lemma nonzero-ac-imp-nonzero:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{ac } m \ x \neq 0$
shows $x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
 <proof>

lemma nonzero-ac-val-ord:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{ac } m \ x \neq 0$
shows $\text{val } x = \text{ord } x$
 <proof>

lemma pow-res-equal-ord:

assumes $n > 0$
shows $\exists m > 0. \forall x y. x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p \wedge y \in \text{nonzero } Q_p \wedge ac\ m\ x = ac\ m\ y$
 $\wedge ord\ x = ord\ y \longrightarrow pow\text{-res } n\ x = pow\text{-res } n\ y$
 <proof>

lemma *pow-res-equal*:

assumes $n > 0$
shows $\exists m > 0. \forall x y. x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p \wedge y \in \text{nonzero } Q_p \wedge ac\ m\ x = ac\ m\ y \wedge$
 $ord\ x = (ord\ y \bmod n) \longrightarrow pow\text{-res } n\ x = pow\text{-res } n\ y$
 <proof>

definition *pow-res-classes where*

pow-res-classes $n = \{S. \exists x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p. S = pow\text{-res } n\ x\}$

lemma *pow-res-semialg-def*:

assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
assumes $n \geq 1$
shows $\exists P \in \text{carrier } Q_p\text{-}x. pow\text{-res } n\ x = (\text{univ-basic-semialg-set } n\ P) - \{0\}$
 <proof>

lemma *pow-res-is-univ-semialgebraic*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows *is-univ-semialgebraic* $(pow\text{-res } n\ x)$
 <proof>

lemma *pow-res-is-semialg*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows *is-semialgebraic 1* $(to\text{-R1 } (pow\text{-res } n\ x))$
 <proof>

lemma *pow-res-refl*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $x \in pow\text{-res } n\ x$
 <proof>

lemma *equal-pow-resE*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $n > 0$
assumes $pow\text{-res } n\ a = pow\text{-res } n\ b$
shows $\exists s \in P\text{-set } n. a = b \otimes s$
 <proof>

lemma *pow-res-one*:

assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
shows $pow\text{-res } 1\ x = \text{nonzero } Q_p$
 <proof>

lemma *pow-res-zero*:
 assumes $n > 0$
 shows $\text{pow-res } n \mathbf{0} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *equal-pow-resI'*:
 assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
 assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
 assumes $c \in P\text{-set } n$
 assumes $a = b \otimes c$
 assumes $n > 0$
 shows $\text{pow-res } n a = \text{pow-res } n b$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *equal-pow-resI''*:
 assumes $n > 0$
 assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
 assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
 assumes $a \otimes \text{inv } b \in P\text{-set } n$
 shows $\text{pow-res } n a = \text{pow-res } n b$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *equal-pow-resI'''*:
 assumes $n > 0$
 assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
 assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
 assumes $c \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
 assumes $\text{pow-res } n (c \otimes a) = \text{pow-res } n (c \otimes b)$
 shows $\text{pow-res } n a = \text{pow-res } n b$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *equal-pow-resI''''*:
 assumes $n > 0$
 assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
 assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
 assumes $a = b \otimes u$
 assumes $u \in P\text{-set } n$
 shows $\text{pow-res } n a = \text{pow-res } n b$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Zp-Units-ord-zero*:
 assumes $a \in \text{Units } Z_p$
 shows $\text{ord-}Z_p a = 0$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *pow-res-nth-pow*:
 assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

assumes $n > 0$
shows $\text{pow-res } n \ (a[\wedge]n) = \text{pow-res } n \ \mathbf{1}$
 <proof>

lemma *pow-res-of-p-pow*:
assumes $n > 0$
shows $\text{pow-res } n \ (\mathfrak{p}[\wedge]((l::\text{int})*n)) = \text{pow-res } n \ \mathbf{1}$
 <proof>

lemma *pow-res-nonzero*:
assumes $n > 0$
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{pow-res } n \ a = \text{pow-res } n \ b$
shows $b \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
 <proof>

lemma *pow-res-mult*:
assumes $n > 0$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $d \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{pow-res } n \ a = \text{pow-res } n \ c$
assumes $\text{pow-res } n \ b = \text{pow-res } n \ d$
shows $\text{pow-res } n \ (a \otimes b) = \text{pow-res } n \ (c \otimes d)$
 <proof>

lemma *pow-res-p-pow-factor*:
assumes $n > 0$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $\text{pow-res } n \ a = \text{pow-res } n \ (\mathfrak{p}[\wedge]((l::\text{int})*n) \otimes a)$
 <proof>

lemma *pow-res-classes-finite*:
assumes $n \geq 1$
shows $\text{finite } (\text{pow-res-classes } n)$
 <proof>

lemma *pow-res-classes-univ-semialg*:
assumes $S \in \text{pow-res-classes } n$
shows $\text{is-univ-semialgebraic } S$
 <proof>

lemma *pow-res-classes-semialg*:
assumes $S \in \text{pow-res-classes } n$
shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } \mathbf{1} \ (\text{to-R1}' S)$
 <proof>

definition *nth-pow-wits* **where**

nth-pow-wits $n = (\lambda S. (\text{SOME } x. x \in (S \cap \mathcal{O}_p)))' (\text{pow-res-classes } n)$

lemma *nth-pow-wits-finite*:

assumes $n > 0$

shows *finite* (*nth-pow-wits* n)

<proof>

lemma *nth-pow-wits-covers*:

assumes $n > 0$

assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } \mathcal{Q}_p$

shows $\exists y \in (\text{nth-pow-wits } n). y \in \text{nonzero } \mathcal{Q}_p \wedge y \in \mathcal{O}_p \wedge x \in \text{pow-res } n \ y$

<proof>

lemma *nth-pow-wits-closed*:

assumes $n > 0$

assumes $x \in \text{nth-pow-wits } n$

shows $x \in \text{carrier } \mathcal{Q}_p \ x \in \mathcal{O}_p \ x \in \text{nonzero } \mathcal{Q}_p \ \exists y \in \text{pow-res-classes } n. y = \text{pow-res } n \ x$

<proof>

lemma *finite-extensional-funcset*:

assumes *finite* A

assumes *finite* ($B::'b \text{ set}$)

shows *finite* ($A \rightarrow_E B$)

<proof>

lemma *nth-pow-wits-exists*:

assumes $m > 0$

assumes $c \in \text{pow-res-classes } m$

shows $\exists x. x \in c \cap \mathcal{O}_p$

<proof>

lemma *pow-res-classes-mem-eq*:

assumes $m > 0$

assumes $a \in \text{pow-res-classes } m$

assumes $x \in a$

shows $a = \text{pow-res } m \ x$

<proof>

lemma *nth-pow-wits-neq-pow-res*:

assumes $m > 0$

assumes $x \in \text{nth-pow-wits } m$

assumes $y \in \text{nth-pow-wits } m$

assumes $x \neq y$

shows $\text{pow-res } m \ x \neq \text{pow-res } m \ y$

<proof>

lemma *nth-pow-wits-disjoint-pow-res*:

assumes $m > 0$
assumes $x \in \text{nth-pow-wits } m$
assumes $y \in \text{nth-pow-wits } m$
assumes $x \neq y$
shows $\text{pow-res } m \ x \cap \text{pow-res } m \ y = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-power-fact'*:
assumes $0 < (n::\text{nat})$
shows $\exists m > 0. \forall u \in \text{carrier } Q_p. \text{ac } m \ u = 1 \wedge \text{val } u = 0 \longrightarrow u \in P\text{-set } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equal-pow-res-criterion*:
assumes $N > 0$
assumes $n > 0$
assumes $\forall u \in \text{carrier } Q_p. \text{ac } N \ u = 1 \wedge \text{val } u = 0 \longrightarrow u \in P\text{-set } n$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $a = b \otimes (\mathbf{1} \oplus c)$
assumes $\text{val } c \geq N$
shows $\text{pow-res } n \ a = \text{pow-res } n \ b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pow-res-nat-pow*:
assumes $n > 0$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{pow-res } n \ a = \text{pow-res } n \ b$
shows $\text{pow-res } n \ (a[\overset{\wedge}{\lceil} (k::\text{nat})]) = \text{pow-res } n \ (b[\overset{\wedge}{\lceil} k])$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pow-res-mult'*:
assumes $n > 0$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $d \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $e \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{pow-res } n \ a = \text{pow-res } n \ d$
assumes $\text{pow-res } n \ b = \text{pow-res } n \ e$
assumes $\text{pow-res } n \ c = \text{pow-res } n \ f$
shows $\text{pow-res } n \ (a \otimes b \otimes c) = \text{pow-res } n \ (d \otimes e \otimes f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pow-res-disjoint*:

assumes $n > 0$
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
assumes $a \notin \text{pow-res } n \mathbf{1}$
shows $\neg (\exists y \in \text{nonzero } Q_p. a = y[\wedge]n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pow-res-disjoint'*:
assumes $n > 0$
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
assumes $\text{pow-res } n a \neq \text{pow-res } n \mathbf{1}$
shows $\neg (\exists y \in \text{nonzero } Q_p. a = y[\wedge]n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pow-res-one-imp-nth-pow*:
assumes $n > 0$
assumes $a \in \text{pow-res } n \mathbf{1}$
shows $\exists y \in \text{nonzero } Q_p. a = y[\wedge]n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pow-res-eq*:
assumes $n > 0$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $b \in \text{pow-res } n a$
shows $\text{pow-res } n b = \text{pow-res } n a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pow-res-classes-n-eq-one*:
 $\text{pow-res-classes } 1 = \{\text{nonzero } Q_p\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-pow-wits-closed'*:
assumes $n > 0$
assumes $x \in \text{nth-pow-wits } n$
shows $x \in \mathcal{O}_p \wedge x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

13.8 Semialgebraic Sets Defined by Congruences

13.8.1 p -adic ord Congruence Sets

lemma *carrier-is-univ-semialgebraic*:
 $\text{is-univ-semialgebraic } (\text{carrier } Q_p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nonzero-is-univ-semialgebraic*:
 $\text{is-univ-semialgebraic } (\text{nonzero } Q_p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *ord-congruence-set* **where**
 $\text{ord-congruence-set } n a = \{x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p. \text{ord } x \text{ mod } n = a\}$

lemma *ord-congruence-set-nonzero*:
ord-congruence-set n $a \subseteq \text{nonzero } Q_p$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ord-congruence-set-closed*:
ord-congruence-set n $a \subseteq \text{carrier } Q_p$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ord-congruence-set-memE*:
assumes $x \in \text{ord-congruence-set } n$ a
shows $x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
 $\text{ord } x \text{ mod } n = a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ord-congruence-set-memI*:
assumes $x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
assumes $\text{ord } x \text{ mod } n = a$
shows $x \in \text{ord-congruence-set } n$ a
 ⟨proof⟩

We want to prove that *ord_congruence_set* is a finite union of semialgebraic sets, hence is also semialgebraic.

lemma *pow-res-ord-cong*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $x \in \text{ord-congruence-set } n$ a
shows $\text{pow-res } n$ $x \subseteq \text{ord-congruence-set } n$ a
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *pow-res-classes-are-univ-semialgebraic*:
shows *are-univ-semialgebraic* (*pow-res-classes* n)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ord-congruence-set-univ-semialg*:
assumes $n \geq 0$
shows *is-univ-semialgebraic* (*ord-congruence-set* n a)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ord-congruence-set-is-semialg*:
assumes $n \geq 0$
shows *is-semialgebraic 1* (*Qp-to-R1-set* (*ord-congruence-set* n a))
 ⟨proof⟩

13.8.2 Congruence Sets for the order of the Evaluation of a Polynomial

lemma *poly-map-singleton*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows *poly-map* n $[f]$ $x = [(Qp-ev f x)]$

<proof>

definition *poly-cong-set* **where**

poly-cong-set $n f m a = \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n). (Qp\text{-ev } f x) \neq \mathbf{0} \wedge (\text{ord } (Qp\text{-ev } f x) \text{ mod } m = a)\}$

lemma *poly-cong-set-as-pullback*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$

shows *poly-cong-set* $n f m a = \text{poly-map } n [f]^{-1}_n(Qp\text{-to-RI-set } (\text{ord-congruence-set } m a))$

<proof>

lemma *singleton-poly-tuple*:

is-poly-tuple $n [f] \longleftrightarrow f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$

<proof>

lemma *poly-cong-set-is-semialgebraic*:

assumes $m \geq 0$

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$

shows *is-semialgebraic* $n (\text{poly-cong-set } n f m a)$

<proof>

13.8.3 Congruence Sets for Angular Components

If a set is a union of n -th power residues, then it is semialgebraic.

lemma *pow-res-union-imp-semialg*:

assumes $n \geq 1$

assumes $S \subseteq \text{nonzero } Q_p$

assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in S \implies \text{pow-res } n x \subseteq S$

shows *is-univ-semialgebraic* S

<proof>

definition *ac-cong-set1* **where**

ac-cong-set1 $n y = \{x \in \text{carrier } Q_p. x \neq \mathbf{0} \wedge \text{ac } n x = \text{ac } n y\}$

lemma *ac-cong-set1-is-univ-semialg*:

assumes $n > 0$

assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

assumes $b \in \mathcal{O}_p$

shows *is-univ-semialgebraic* $(\text{ac-cong-set1 } n b)$

<proof>

definition *ac-cong-set* **where**

ac-cong-set $n k = \{x \in \text{carrier } Q_p. x \neq \mathbf{0} \wedge \text{ac } n x = k\}$

lemma *ac-cong-set-is-univ-semialg*:

assumes $n > 0$

assumes $k \in \text{Units } (Zp\text{-res-ring } n)$

shows *is-univ-semialgebraic* $(\text{ac-cong-set } n k)$

<proof>

definition *val-ring-constant-ac-set* **where**

val-ring-constant-ac-set $n\ k = \{a \in \mathcal{O}_p. \text{val } a = 0 \wedge \text{ac } n\ a = k\}$

lemma *val-nonzero'*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $\text{val } a = \text{eint } k$

shows $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

<proof>

lemma *val-ord'*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $a \neq 0$

shows $\text{val } a = \text{ord } a$

<proof>

lemma *val-ring-constant-ac-set-is-univ-semialgebraic*:

assumes $n > 0$

assumes $k \neq 0$

shows *is-univ-semialgebraic* (*val-ring-constant-ac-set* $n\ k$)

<proof>

definition *val-ring-constant-ac-sets* **where**

val-ring-constant-ac-sets $n = \text{val-ring-constant-ac-set } n\ '(\text{Units } (\text{Zp-res-ring } n))$

lemma *val-ring-constant-ac-sets-are-univ-semialgebraic*:

assumes $n > 0$

shows *are-univ-semialgebraic* (*val-ring-constant-ac-sets* n)

<proof>

definition *ac-cong-set3* **where**

ac-cong-set3 $n = \{as. \exists a\ b. a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p \wedge b \in \mathcal{O}_p \wedge \text{val } b = 0 \wedge (\text{ac } n\ a = \text{ac } n\ b) \wedge as = [a, b]\}$

definition *ac-cong-set2* **where**

ac-cong-set2 $n\ k = \{as. \exists a\ b. a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p \wedge b \in \mathcal{O}_p \wedge \text{val } b = 0 \wedge (\text{ac } n\ a = k) \wedge (\text{ac } n\ b) = k \wedge as = [a, b]\}$

lemma *ac-cong-set2-cartesian-product*:

assumes $k \in \text{Units } (\text{Zp-res-ring } n)$

assumes $n > 0$

shows *ac-cong-set2* $n\ k = \text{cartesian-product } (\text{to-R1}' (\text{ac-cong-set } n\ k)) (\text{to-R1}' (\text{val-ring-constant-ac-set } n\ k))$

<proof>

lemma *ac-cong-set2-is-semialg*:

assumes $k \in \text{Units } (\text{Zp-res-ring } n)$

assumes $n > 0$

shows *is-semialgebraic 2* (*ac-cong-set2* n k)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ac-cong-set3-as-union*:

assumes $n > 0$

shows *ac-cong-set3* $n = \bigcup$ (*ac-cong-set2* n ‘ (*Units* (*Zp-res-ring* n)))
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ac-cong-set3-is-semialgebraic*:

assumes $n > 0$

shows *is-semialgebraic 2* (*ac-cong-set3* n)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

13.9 Permutations of indices of semialgebraic sets

lemma *fun-inv-permute*:

assumes σ *permutes* $\{..<n\}$

shows *fun-inv* σ *permutes* $\{..<n\}$

$\sigma \circ (\text{fun-inv } \sigma) = \text{id}$

$(\text{fun-inv } \sigma) \circ \sigma = \text{id}$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-tuple-pullback-eq-poly-map-vimage*:

assumes *is-poly-tuple* n fs

assumes *length* $fs = m$

assumes $S \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$

shows *poly-map* n fs $^{-1}_n S = \text{poly-tuple-pullback } n$ S fs

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *permutation-is-semialgebraic*:

assumes *is-semialgebraic* n S

assumes σ *permutes* $\{..<n\}$

shows *is-semialgebraic* n (*permute-list* σ ‘ S)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *permute-list-closed*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes σ *permutes* $\{..<n\}$

shows *permute-list* σ $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *permute-list-closed'*:

assumes σ *permutes* $\{..<n\}$

assumes *permute-list* σ $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

shows $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *permute-list-compose-inv*:

assumes σ *permutes* $\{..<n\}$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $\text{permute-list } \sigma (\text{permute-list } (\text{fun-inv } \sigma) a) = a$
 $\text{permute-list } (\text{fun-inv } \sigma) (\text{permute-list } \sigma a) = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *permutation-is-semialgebraic-imp-is-semialgebraic*:
assumes *is-semialgebraic* n ($\text{permute-list } \sigma \text{ ' } S$)
assumes σ *permutes* $\{..<n\}$
shows *is-semialgebraic* n S
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *split-cartesian-product-is-semialgebraic*:
assumes $i \leq n$
assumes *is-semialgebraic* n A
assumes *is-semialgebraic* m B
shows *is-semialgebraic* $(n + m)$ (*split-cartesian-product* n m i A B)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *reverse-val-relation-set* **where**
 $\text{reverse-val-relation-set} = \{as \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^2). \text{val } (as ! 0) \leq \text{val } (as ! 1)\}$

lemma *Qp-2-car-memE*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^2)$
shows $x = [x!0, x!1]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *flip* **where**
 $\text{flip} = (\lambda i::\text{nat}. (\text{if } i = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } (\text{if } i = 1 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } i)))$

lemma *flip-permutes*:
 flip *permutes* $\{0,1\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *flip-eval*:
 $\text{flip } 0 = 1$
 $\text{flip } 1 = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *flip-x*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^2)$
shows $\text{permute-list } \text{flip } x = [x!1, x!0]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *permute-with-flip-closed*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{2::\text{nat}})$
shows $\text{permute-list } \text{flip } x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{2::\text{nat}})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reverse-val-relation-set-semialg*:

is-semialgebraic 2 reverse-val-relation-set
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *strict-val-relation-set* **where**
 $strict-val-relation-set = \{as \in carrier (Q_p^2). val (as ! 0) < val (as ! 1)\}$

definition *val-diag* **where**
 $val-diag = \{as \in carrier (Q_p^2). val (as ! 0) = val (as ! 1)\}$

lemma *val-diag-semialg:*
is-semialgebraic 2 val-diag
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *strict-val-relation-set-is-semialg:*
is-semialgebraic 2 strict-val-relation-set
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *singleton-length:*
 $length [a] = 1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *take-closed':*
assumes $m > 0$
assumes $x \in carrier (Q_p^{m+l})$
shows $take\ m\ x \in carrier (Q_p^m)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *triple-val-ineq-set-semialg:*
shows *is-semialgebraic 3* $\{as \in carrier (Q_p^3). val (as!0) \leq val (as!1) \wedge val (as!1) \leq val (as!2)\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *triple-val-ineq-set-semialg':*
shows *is-semialgebraic 3* $\{as \in carrier (Q_p^3). val (as!0) \leq val (as!1) \wedge val (as!1) < val (as!2)\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *triple-val-ineq-set-semialg'':*
shows *is-semialgebraic 3* $\{as \in carrier (Q_p^3). val (as!1) < val (as!2)\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *triple-val-ineq-set-semialg''':*
shows *is-semialgebraic 3* $\{as \in carrier (Q_p^3). val (as!1) \leq val (as!2)\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

13.10 Semialgebraic Functions

The most natural way to define a semialgebraic function $f : \mathbb{Q}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ is a function whose graph is a semialgebraic subset of \mathbb{Q}_p^{n+1} . However,

the definition given here is slightly different, and devised by Denef in [1] in order to prove Macintyre's theorem. As Denef notes, we can use Macintyre's theorem to deduce that the given definition perfectly aligns with the intuitive one.

13.10.1 Defining Semialgebraic Functions

Apply a function f to the tuple consisting of the first n indices, leaving the remaining indices unchanged

definition *partial-image* **where**

$$\text{partial-image } m \ f \ xs = (f \ (\text{take } m \ xs)) \# (\text{drop } m \ xs)$$

definition *partial-pullback* **where**

$$\text{partial-pullback } m \ f \ l \ S = (\text{partial-image } m \ f)^{-1}_{m+l} S$$

lemma *partial-pullback-memE*:

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{m+l} \ S)$

shows $as \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m \ S) \ \text{partial-image } m \ f \ as \in S$

<proof>

lemma *partial-pullback-closed*:

$$\text{partial-pullback } m \ f \ l \ S \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^{m+l} \ S)$$

<proof>

lemma *partial-pullback-memI*:

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{m+k} \ S)$

assumes $(f \ (\text{take } m \ as)) \# (\text{drop } m \ as) \in S$

shows $as \in \text{partial-pullback } m \ f \ k \ S$

<proof>

lemma *partial-image-eq*:

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n \ S)$

assumes $bs \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^k \ S)$

assumes $x = as \ @ \ bs$

shows $\text{partial-image } n \ f \ x = (f \ as) \# \ bs$

<proof>

lemma *partial-pullback-memE'*:

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n \ S)$

assumes $bs \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^k \ S)$

assumes $x = as \ @ \ bs$

assumes $x \in \text{partial-pullback } n \ f \ k \ S$

shows $(f \ as) \# \ bs \in S$

<proof>

Partial pullbacks have the same algebraic properties as pullbacks

lemma *partial-pullback-intersect*:

partial-pullback m f l ($S1 \cap S2$) = (*partial-pullback m f l* $S1$) \cap (*partial-pullback m f l* $S2$)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *partial-pullback-union:*

partial-pullback m f l ($S1 \cup S2$) = (*partial-pullback m f l* $S1$) \cup (*partial-pullback m f l* $S2$)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cartesian-power-drop:*

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{n+l})$
shows $\text{drop } n \ x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^l)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *partial-pullback-complement:*

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows *partial-pullback m f l* ($\text{carrier } (Q_p^{\text{Suc } l}) - S$) = $\text{carrier } (Q_p^{m+l}) -$
 (*partial-pullback m f l* S)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *partial-pullback-carrier:*

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows *partial-pullback m f l* ($\text{carrier } (Q_p^{\text{Suc } l})$) = $\text{carrier } (Q_p^{m+l})$
 ⟨proof⟩

Definition 1.4 from Denef

definition *is-semialg-function where*

is-semialg-function m f = ($(f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p) \wedge$
 $(\forall l \geq 0. \forall S \in \text{semialg-sets } (1+l). \text{is-semialgebraic } (m+l)$
 (*partial-pullback m f l* S)))

lemma *is-semialg-function-closed:*

assumes *is-semialg-function m f*
shows $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *is-semialg-functionE:*

assumes *is-semialg-function m f*
assumes *is-semialgebraic* $(1+k)$ S
shows *is-semialgebraic* $(m+k)$ (*partial-pullback m f k* S)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *is-semialg-functionI:*

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\bigwedge k \ S. S \in \text{semialg-sets } (1+k) \implies \text{is-semialgebraic } (m+k)$ (*partial-pullback m f k* S)
shows *is-semialg-function m f*
 ⟨proof⟩

Semialgebraicity for functions can be verified on basic semialgebraic sets

lemma *is-semialg-functionI'*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $\bigwedge k \ S. \ S \in \text{basic-semialgs } (1 + k) \implies \text{is-semialgebraic } (m + k)$
(partial-pullback m f k S)

shows *is-semialg-function m f*

<proof>

Graphs of semialgebraic functions are semialgebraic

abbreviation *graph where*

graph $\equiv \text{fun-graph } Q_p$

lemma *graph-memE*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $x \in \text{graph } m \ f$

shows $f (\text{take } m \ x) = x!m$

$x = (\text{take } m \ x)@[f (\text{take } m \ x)]$

$\text{take } m \ x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$

<proof>

lemma *graph-memI*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $f (\text{take } m \ x) = x!m$

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{m+1})$

shows $x \in \text{graph } m \ f$

<proof>

lemma *graph-mem-closed*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $x \in \text{graph } m \ f$

shows $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{m+1})$

<proof>

lemma *graph-closed*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$

shows $\text{graph } m \ f \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^{m+1})$

<proof>

The m -dimensional diagonal set is semialgebraic

notation *diagonal* $(\langle \Delta \rangle)$

lemma *diag-is-algebraic*:

shows *is-algebraic* $Q_p (n + n) (\Delta \ n)$

<proof>

lemma *diag-is-semialgebraic*:

shows *is-semialgebraic* $(n + n) (\Delta \ n)$

<proof>

Transposition permutations

definition *transpose* **where**

transpose $i\ j = (\text{Fun.swap } i\ j\ \text{id})$

lemma *transpose-permutes*:

assumes $i < n$

assumes $j < n$

shows *transpose* $i\ j$ permutes $\{..<n\}$

<proof>

lemma *transpose-alt-def*:

transpose $a\ b\ x = (\text{if } x = a \text{ then } b \text{ else if } x = b \text{ then } a \text{ else } x)$

<proof>

definition *last-to-first* **where**

last-to-first $n = (\lambda i. \text{if } i = (n-1) \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } i < n-1 \text{ then } i + 1 \text{ else } i)$

definition *first-to-last* **where**

first-to-last $n = \text{fun-inv } (\text{last-to-first } n)$

lemma *last-to-first-permutes*:

assumes $(n::\text{nat}) > 0$

shows *last-to-first* n permutes $\{..<n\}$

<proof>

definition *graph-swap* **where**

graph-swap $n\ f = \text{permute-list } ((\text{first-to-last } (n+1))) \text{ ` } (\text{graph } n\ f)$

lemma *last-to-first-eq*:

assumes $\text{length } as = n$

shows $\text{permute-list } (\text{last-to-first } (n+1))\ (a\#\text{as}) = (as@[a])$

<proof>

lemma *first-to-last-eq*:

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

shows $\text{permute-list } (\text{first-to-last } (n+1))\ (as@[a]) = (a\#\text{as})$

<proof>

lemma *graph-swapI*:

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$

shows $(f\ as)\#\text{as} \in \text{graph-swap } n\ f$

<proof>

lemma *graph-swapE*:

assumes $x \in \text{graph-swap } n\ f$

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$

shows $\text{hd } x = f\ (\text{tl } x)$

<proof>

Semialgebraic functions have semialgebraic graphs

lemma *graph-as-partial-pullback*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$

shows $\text{partial-pullback } n f 1 (\Delta 1) = \text{graph } n f$

<proof>

lemma *semialg-graph*:

assumes *is-semialg-function* $n f$

shows *is-semialgebraic* $(n + 1) (\text{graph } n f)$

<proof>

Functions induced by polynomials are semialgebraic

definition *var-list-segment* **where**

var-list-segment $i j = \text{map } (\lambda i. \text{pvar } Q_p i) [i..< j]$

lemma *var-list-segment-length*:

assumes $i \leq j$

shows $\text{length } (\text{var-list-segment } i j) = j - i$

<proof>

lemma *var-list-segment-entry*:

assumes $k < j - i$

assumes $i \leq j$

shows $\text{var-list-segment } i j ! k = \text{pvar } Q_p (i + k)$

<proof>

lemma *var-list-segment-is-poly-tuple*:

assumes $i \leq j$

assumes $j \leq n$

shows *is-poly-tuple* $n (\text{var-list-segment } i j)$

<proof>

lemma *map-by-var-list-segment*:

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $j \leq n$

assumes $i \leq j$

shows *poly-map* $n (\text{var-list-segment } i j) as = \text{list-segment } i j as$

<proof>

lemma *map-by-var-list-segment-to-length*:

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $i \leq n$

shows *poly-map* $n (\text{var-list-segment } i n) as = \text{drop } i as$

<proof>

lemma *map-tail-by-var-list-segment*:

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $i < n$
shows $\text{poly-map } (n+1) (\text{var-list-segment } 1 (n+1)) (a\#as) = as$
 <proof>

lemma *Qp-poly-tuple-Cons*:
assumes $\text{is-poly-tuple } n fs$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_k])$
assumes $k \leq n$
shows $\text{is-poly-tuple } n (f\#fs)$
 <proof>

lemma *poly-map-Cons*:
assumes $\text{is-poly-tuple } n fs$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $\text{poly-map } n (f\#fs) a = (Qp\text{-ev } f a)\#\text{poly-map } n fs a$
 <proof>

lemma *poly-map-append'*:
assumes $\text{is-poly-tuple } n fs$
assumes $\text{is-poly-tuple } n gs$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $\text{poly-map } n (fs@gs) a = \text{poly-map } n fs a @ \text{poly-map } n gs a$
 <proof>

lemma *partial-pullback-by-poly*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $S \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^{1+k})$
shows $\text{partial-pullback } n (Qp\text{-ev } f) k S = \text{poly-tuple-pullback } (n+k) S (f\#$
 $(\text{var-list-segment } n (n+k)))$
 <proof>

lemma *poly-is-semialg*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $\text{is-semialg-function } n (Qp\text{-ev } f)$
 <proof>

Families of polynomials defined by semialgebraic coefficient functions

lemma *semialg-function-on-carrier*:
assumes $\text{is-semialg-function } n f$
assumes $\text{restrict } f (\text{carrier } (Q_p^n)) = \text{restrict } g (\text{carrier } (Q_p^n))$
shows $\text{is-semialg-function } n g$
 <proof>

lemma *semialg-function-on-carrier'*:
assumes $\text{is-semialg-function } n f$
assumes $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \implies f a = g a$
shows $\text{is-semialg-function } n g$

<proof>

lemma *constant-function-is-semialg:*

assumes $n > 0$

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \implies f a = x$

shows *is-semialg-function* $n f$

<proof>

lemma *cartesian-product-singleton-factor-projection-is-semialg:*

assumes $A \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes *is-semialgebraic* $(m+n)$ (*cartesian-product* $A \{b\}$)

shows *is-semialgebraic* $m A$

<proof>

lemma *cartesian-product-factor-projection-is-semialg:*

assumes $A \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$

assumes $B \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $B \neq \{\}$

assumes *is-semialgebraic* $(m+n)$ (*cartesian-product* $A B$)

shows *is-semialgebraic* $m A$

<proof>

lemma *partial-pullback-cartesian-product:*

assumes $\xi \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $S \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^1)$

shows *cartesian-product* (*partial-pullback* $m \xi 0 S$) (*carrier* (Q_p^1)) = *partial-pullback* $m \xi 1$ (*cartesian-product* S (*carrier* (Q_p^1)))

<proof>

lemma *cartesian-product-swap:*

assumes $A \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $B \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$

assumes *is-semialgebraic* $(m+n)$ (*cartesian-product* $A B$)

shows *is-semialgebraic* $(m+n)$ (*cartesian-product* $B A$)

<proof>

lemma *Qp-zero-subset-is-semialg:*

assumes $S \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^0)$

shows *is-semialgebraic* $0 S$

<proof>

lemma *cartesian-product-empty-list:*

cartesian-product $A \{\}$ = A

cartesian-product $\{\}$ A = A

<proof>

lemma *cartesian-product-singleton-factor-projection-is-semialg':*

assumes $A \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
assumes *is-semialgebraic* $(m+n)$ (*cartesian-product* $A \{b\}$)
shows *is-semialgebraic* $m A$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

13.11 More on graphs of functions

This section lays the groundwork for showing that semialgebraic functions are closed under various algebraic operations

The take and drop functions on lists are polynomial maps

lemma *function-restriction*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \rightarrow S$
assumes $n \leq k$
shows $(g \circ (\text{take } n)) \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^k) \rightarrow S$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *partial-pullback-restriction*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $n < k$
shows *partial-pullback* $k (g \circ \text{take } n) m S =$
 $\text{split-cartesian-product } (n + m) (k - n) n (\text{partial-pullback } n g m S) (\text{carrier } (Q_p^{k - n}))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *comp-take-is-semialg*:
assumes *is-semialg-function* $n g$
assumes $n < k$
assumes $0 < n$
shows *is-semialg-function* $k (g \circ (\text{take } n))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

Restriction of a graph to a semialgebraic domain

lemma *graph-formula*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows *graph* $n g = \{as \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{\text{Suc } n}). g (\text{take } n as) = as!n\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *restricted-graph where*
 $\text{restricted-graph } n g S = \{as \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{\text{Suc } n}). \text{take } n as \in S \wedge g (\text{take } n as) = as!n \}$

lemma *restricted-graph-closed*:
 $\text{restricted-graph } n g S \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^{\text{Suc } n})$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *restricted-graph-memE*:

assumes $a \in \text{restricted-graph } n \ g \ S$
shows $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{\text{Suc } n}) \ \text{take } n \ a \in S \ g \ (\text{take } n \ a) = a!n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restricted-graph-mem-formula*:
assumes $a \in \text{restricted-graph } n \ g \ S$
shows $a = (\text{take } n \ a)@[g \ (\text{take } n \ a)]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restricted-graph-memI*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{\text{Suc } n})$
assumes $\text{take } n \ a \in S$
assumes $g \ (\text{take } n \ a) = a!n$
shows $a \in \text{restricted-graph } n \ g \ S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restricted-graph-memI'*:
assumes $a \in S$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $S \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $(a@[g \ a]) \in \text{restricted-graph } n \ g \ S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restricted-graph-subset*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $S \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $\text{restricted-graph } n \ g \ S \subseteq \text{graph } n \ g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restricted-graph-subset'*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $S \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $\text{restricted-graph } n \ g \ S \subseteq \text{cartesian-product } S \ (\text{carrier } (Q_p^1))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restricted-graph-intersection*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $S \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $\text{restricted-graph } n \ g \ S = \text{graph } n \ g \cap (\text{cartesian-product } S \ (\text{carrier } (Q_p^1)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restricted-graph-is-semialgebraic*:
assumes *is-semialg-function* $n \ g$
assumes *is-semialgebraic* $n \ S$
shows *is-semialgebraic* $(n+1) \ (\text{restricted-graph } n \ g \ S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-closed*:
assumes $n \leq k$

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^k)$
shows $\text{take } n \ x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-compose-closed*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $n < k$
shows $g \circ \text{take } n \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^k) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-graph-formula*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $n < k$
assumes $0 < n$
shows $\text{graph } k \ (g \circ (\text{take } n)) = \{as \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{k+1}). g \ (\text{take } n \ as) = as!k\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *graph-memI'*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{\text{Suc } n})$
assumes $\text{take } n \ a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
assumes $g \ (\text{take } n \ a) = a!n$
shows $a \in \text{graph } n \ g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *graph-memI''*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $(a@[g \ a]) \in \text{graph } n \ g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *graph-as-restricted-graph*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $\text{graph } n \ f = \text{restricted-graph } n \ f \ (\text{carrier } (Q_p^n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *double-graph where*
 $\text{double-graph } n \ f \ g = \{as \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{n+2}). f \ (\text{take } n \ as) = as!n \wedge g \ (\text{take } n \ as) = as!(n + 1)\}$

lemma *double-graph-rep*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $\text{double-graph } n \ f \ g = \text{restricted-graph } (n + 1) \ (g \circ \text{take } n) \ (\text{graph } n \ f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *double-graph-is-semialg*:
assumes $n > 0$
assumes *is-semialg-function* $n \ f$
assumes *is-semialg-function* $n \ g$

shows *is-semialgebraic* $(n+2)$ (*double-graph* n f g)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *add-vars* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{padic-tuple} \Rightarrow \text{padic-number}$ **where**
add-vars i j $as = as!i \oplus_{Q_p} as!j$

lemma *add-vars-rep*:

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $i < n$

assumes $j < n$

shows $\text{add-vars } i$ j $as = Qp\text{-ev } ((\text{pvar } Q_p \ i) \oplus_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n]} (\text{pvar } Q_p \ j)) \ as$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *add-vars-is-semialg*:

assumes $i < n$

assumes $j < n$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

shows *is-semialg-function* n (*add-vars* i j)

⟨*proof*⟩

definition *mult-vars* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{padic-tuple} \Rightarrow \text{padic-number}$ **where**
mult-vars i j $as = as!i \otimes as!j$

lemma *mult-vars-rep*:

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $i < n$

assumes $j < n$

shows $\text{mult-vars } i$ j $as = Qp\text{-ev } ((\text{pvar } Q_p \ i) \otimes_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n]} (\text{pvar } Q_p \ j)) \ as$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mult-vars-is-semialg*:

assumes $i < n$

assumes $j < n$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

shows *is-semialg-function* n (*mult-vars* i j)

⟨*proof*⟩

definition *minus-vars* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{padic-tuple} \Rightarrow \text{padic-number}$ **where**
minus-vars i $as = \ominus_{Q_p} as!i$

lemma *minus-vars-rep*:

assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $i < n$

shows $\text{minus-vars } i$ $as = Qp\text{-ev } (\ominus_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n]} (\text{pvar } Q_p \ i)) \ as$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *minus-vars-is-semialg*:

assumes $i < n$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

shows *is-semialg-function* n (*minus-vars* i)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *extended-graph* **where**

extended-graph $n f g h = \{as \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{n+3}).$
 $f (\text{take } n \text{ as}) = as!n \wedge g (\text{take } n \text{ as}) = as! (n + 1) \wedge h [(f (\text{take}$
 $n \text{ as})), (g (\text{take } n \text{ as}))] = as! (n + 2) \}$

lemma *extended-graph-rep*:

extended-graph $n f g h = \text{restricted-graph } (n + 2) (h \circ (\text{drop } n)) (\text{double-graph } n f$
 $g)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *function-tuple-eval-closed*:

assumes *is-function-tuple* $Q_p n fs$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows *function-tuple-eval* $Q_p n fs x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{\text{length } fs})$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *k-graph* **where**

k-graph $n fs = \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{n + \text{length } fs}). x = (\text{take } n x)@ (\text{function-tuple-eval}$
 $Q_p n fs (\text{take } n x)) \}$

lemma *k-graph-memI*:

assumes *is-function-tuple* $Q_p n fs$
assumes $x = as@(\text{function-tuple-eval } Q_p n fs as)$
assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $x \in \text{k-graph } n fs$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

composing a function with a function tuple

lemma *Qp-function-tuple-comp-closed*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{length } fs = n$
assumes *is-function-tuple* $Q_p m fs$
shows *function-tuple-comp* $Q_p fs f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

13.11.1 Tuples of Semialgebraic Functions

Predicate for a tuple of semialgebraic functions

definition *is-semialg-function-tuple* **where**

is-semialg-function-tuple $n fs = (\forall f \in \text{set } fs. \text{is-semialg-function } n f)$

lemma *is-semialg-function-tupleI*:

assumes $\bigwedge f. f \in \text{set } fs \implies \text{is-semialg-function } n f$
shows *is-semialg-function-tuple* $n fs$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *is-semialg-function-tupleE*:
assumes *is-semialg-function-tuple* n fs
assumes $i < \text{length } fs$
shows *is-semialg-function* n ($fs ! i$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-semialg-function-tupleE'*:
assumes *is-semialg-function-tuple* n fs
assumes $f \in \text{set } fs$
shows *is-semialg-function* n f
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *semialg-function-tuple-is-function-tuple*:
assumes *is-semialg-function-tuple* n fs
shows *is-function-tuple* Q_p n fs
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *const-poly-function-tuple-comp-is-semialg*:
assumes $n > 0$
assumes *is-semialg-function-tuple* n fs
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows *is-semialg-function* n (*poly-function-tuple-comp* Q_p n fs (*Qp-to-IP* a))
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pvar-poly-function-tuple-comp-is-semialg*:
assumes $n > 0$
assumes *is-semialg-function-tuple* n fs
assumes $i < \text{length } fs$
shows *is-semialg-function* n (*poly-function-tuple-comp* Q_p n fs (*pvar* Q_p i))
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Polynomial functions with semialgebraic coefficients

definition *point-to-univ-poly* $:: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{padic-tuple} \Rightarrow \text{padic-univ-poly}$ **where**
point-to-univ-poly n $a = \text{ring-cfs-to-univ-poly } n$ a

definition *tuple-partial-image* **where**
tuple-partial-image m fs $x = (\text{function-tuple-eval } Q_p$ m fs (*take* m x)) $\@$ (*drop* m x)

lemma *tuple-partial-image-closed*:
assumes $\text{length } fs > 0$
assumes *is-function-tuple* Q_p n fs
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{n+l})$
shows *tuple-partial-image* n fs $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{\text{length } fs + l})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tuple-partial-image-indices*:
assumes $\text{length } fs > 0$
assumes *is-function-tuple* Q_p n fs

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{n+l})$
assumes $i < \text{length } fs$
shows $(\text{tuple-partial-image } n \text{ } fs \ x) ! i = (fs!i) \text{ (take } n \ x)$
 <proof>

lemma *tuple-partial-image-indices'*:
assumes $\text{length } fs > 0$
assumes *is-function-tuple* $Q_p \ n \ fs$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{n+l})$
assumes $i < l$
shows $(\text{tuple-partial-image } n \ fs \ x) ! (\text{length } fs + i) = x!(n + i)$
 <proof>

definition *tuple-partial-pullback where*
 $\text{tuple-partial-pullback } n \ fs \ l \ S = ((\text{tuple-partial-image } n \ fs) - 'S) \cap \text{carrier } (Q_p^{n+l})$

lemma *tuple-partial-pullback-memE*:
assumes $as \in \text{tuple-partial-pullback } m \ fs \ l \ S$
shows $as \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{m+l}) \ \text{tuple-partial-image } m \ fs \ as \in S$
 <proof>

lemma *tuple-partial-pullback-closed*:
 $\text{tuple-partial-pullback } m \ fs \ l \ S \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^{m+l})$
 <proof>

lemma *tuple-partial-pullback-memI*:
assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{m+k})$
assumes *is-function-tuple* $Q_p \ m \ fs$
assumes $((\text{function-tuple-eval } Q_p \ m \ fs) \text{ (take } m \ as)) @ (\text{drop } m \ as) \in S$
shows $as \in \text{tuple-partial-pullback } m \ fs \ k \ S$
 <proof>

lemma *tuple-partial-image-eq*:
assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
assumes $bs \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^k)$
assumes $x = as @ bs$
shows $\text{tuple-partial-image } n \ fs \ x = ((\text{function-tuple-eval } Q_p \ n \ fs) \ as) @ bs$
 <proof>

lemma *tuple-partial-pullback-memE'*:
assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
assumes $bs \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^k)$
assumes $x = as @ bs$
assumes $x \in \text{tuple-partial-pullback } n \ fs \ k \ S$
shows $(\text{function-tuple-eval } Q_p \ n \ fs \ as) @ bs \in S$
 <proof>

tuple partial pullbacks have the same algebraic properties as pullbacks

lemma *tuple-partial-pullback-intersect*:

tuple-partial-pullback m f l ($S1 \cap S2$) = (*tuple-partial-pullback m f l* $S1$) \cap (*tuple-partial-pullback m f l* $S2$)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *tuple-partial-pullback-union*:
tuple-partial-pullback m f l ($S1 \cup S2$) = (*tuple-partial-pullback m f l* $S1$) \cup (*tuple-partial-pullback m f l* $S2$)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *tuple-partial-pullback-complement*:
assumes *is-function-tuple* Q_p m fs
shows *tuple-partial-pullback m fs l* ((*carrier* (Q_p ^{length fs + l}) - S) = *carrier* (Q_p ^{$m + l$}) - (*tuple-partial-pullback m fs l* S))
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *tuple-partial-pullback-carrier*:
assumes *is-function-tuple* Q_p m fs
shows *tuple-partial-pullback m fs l* (*carrier* (Q_p ^{length fs + l})) = *carrier* (Q_p ^{$m + l$})
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *is-semialg-map-tuple where*
is-semialg-map-tuple m fs = (*is-function-tuple* Q_p m fs \wedge
 ($\forall l \geq 0. \forall S \in \text{semialg-sets } ((\text{length } fs) + l). \text{is-semialgebraic}$
 ($m + l$) (*tuple-partial-pullback m fs l* S)))

lemma *is-semialg-map-tuple-closed*:
assumes *is-semialg-map-tuple m fs*
shows *is-function-tuple* Q_p m fs
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *is-semialg-map-tupleE*:
assumes *is-semialg-map-tuple m fs*
assumes *is-semialgebraic* (($\text{length } fs$) + l) S
shows *is-semialgebraic* ($m + l$) (*tuple-partial-pullback m fs l* S)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *is-semialg-map-tupleI*:
assumes *is-function-tuple* Q_p m fs
assumes $\bigwedge k. S. S \in \text{semialg-sets } ((\text{length } fs) + k) \implies \text{is-semialgebraic } (m + k)$ (*tuple-partial-pullback m fs k* S)
shows *is-semialg-map-tuple m fs*
 ⟨proof⟩

Semialgebraicity for maps can be verified on basic semialgebraic sets

lemma *is-semialg-map-tupleI'*:
assumes *is-function-tuple* Q_p m fs
assumes $\bigwedge k. S. S \in \text{basic-semialgs } ((\text{length } fs) + k) \implies \text{is-semialgebraic } (m + k)$ (*tuple-partial-pullback m fs k* S)
shows *is-semialg-map-tuple m fs*

<proof>

The goal of this section is to show that tuples of semialgebraic functions are semialgebraic maps.

The function $(x_0, x, y) \mapsto (x_0, f(x), y)$

definition *twisted-partial-image* **where**

twisted-partial-image $n\ m\ f\ xs = (take\ n\ xs) @ partial\ image\ m\ f\ (drop\ n\ xs)$

The set $(x_0, x, y) \mid (x_0, f(x), y) \in S$

Convention: a function which produces a subset of (\mathbb{Q}_p^{i+j+k}) will receive the 3 arity parameters in sequence, at the very beginning of the function

definition *twisted-partial-pullback* **where**

twisted-partial-pullback $n\ m\ l\ f\ S = ((twisted\ partial\ image\ n\ m\ f) - 'S) \cap carrier\ (Q_p^{n+m+l})$

lemma *twisted-partial-pullback-memE*:

assumes $as \in twisted\ partial\ pullback\ n\ m\ l\ f\ S$

shows $as \in carrier\ (Q_p^{n+m+l})$ *twisted-partial-image* $n\ m\ f\ as \in S$

<proof>

lemma *twisted-partial-pullback-closed*:

twisted-partial-pullback $n\ m\ l\ f\ S \subseteq carrier\ (Q_p^{n+m+l})$

<proof>

lemma *twisted-partial-pullback-memI*:

assumes $as \in carrier\ (Q_p^{n+m+l})$

assumes $(take\ n\ as) @ ((f\ (take\ m\ (drop\ n\ as))) \# (drop\ (n + m)\ as)) \in S$

shows $as \in twisted\ partial\ pullback\ n\ m\ l\ f\ S$

<proof>

lemma *twisted-partial-image-eq*:

assumes $as \in carrier\ (Q_p^n)$

assumes $bs \in carrier\ (Q_p^m)$

assumes $cs \in carrier\ (Q_p^l)$

assumes $x = as @ bs @ cs$

shows *twisted-partial-image* $n\ m\ f\ x = as @ ((f\ bs) \# cs)$

<proof>

lemma *twisted-partial-pullback-memE'*:

assumes $as \in carrier\ (Q_p^n)$

assumes $bs \in carrier\ (Q_p^m)$

assumes $cs \in carrier\ (Q_p^l)$

assumes $x = as @ bs @ cs$

assumes $x \in twisted\ partial\ pullback\ n\ m\ l\ f\ S$

shows $as @ ((f\ bs) \# cs) \in S$

<proof>

partial pullbacks have the same algebraic properties as pullbacks

permutation which moves the entry at index i to 0

definition *twisting-permutation* **where**

twisting-permutation $(i::nat) = (\lambda j. \text{if } j < i \text{ then } j + 1 \text{ else } (\text{if } j = i \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } j))$

lemma *twisting-permutation-permutes*:

assumes $i < n$

shows *twisting-permutation* i permutes $\{..<n\}$

<proof>

lemma *twisting-permutation-action*:

assumes $\text{length } as = i$

shows *permute-list* (*twisting-permutation* i) $(b\#(as@bs)) = as@(b\#bs)$

<proof>

lemma *twisting-permutation-action'*:

assumes $\text{length } as = i$

shows *permute-list* (*fun-inv* (*twisting-permutation* i)) $(as@(b\#bs)) = (b\#(as@bs))$

<proof>

lemma *twisting-semialg*:

assumes *is-semialgebraic* n S

assumes $n > i$

shows *is-semialgebraic* n (*permute-list* (*twisting-permutation* i)) $'S$)

<proof>

lemma *twisting-semialg'*:

assumes *is-semialgebraic* n S

assumes $n > i$

shows *is-semialgebraic* n (*permute-list* (*fun-inv* (*twisting-permutation* i)) $'S$)

<proof>

Defining a permutation that does: $(x_0, x_1, y) \mapsto (x_1, x_0, y)$

definition *tp-1* **where**

tp-1 i $j = (\lambda n. (\text{if } n < i \text{ then } j + n \text{ else } (\text{if } i \leq n \wedge n < i + j \text{ then } n - i \text{ else } n)))$

lemma *permutes-I*:

assumes $\bigwedge x. x \notin S \implies f x = x$

assumes $\bigwedge y. y \in S \implies \exists !x \in S. f x = y$

assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in S \implies f x \in S$

shows f permutes S

<proof>

lemma *tp-1-permutes*:

(tp-1 $(i::nat)$ j) permutes $\{..< i + j\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tp-1-permutes'*:

$(\text{tp-1 } (i::\text{nat}) j) \text{ permutes } \{..< i + j + k\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tp-1-permutation-action*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^i)$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^j)$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $\text{permute-list } (\text{tp-1 } i j) (b@a@c) = a@b@c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *tw where*

$\text{tw } i j = \text{permute-list } (\text{tp-1 } j i)$

lemma *tw-is-semialg*:

assumes $n > 0$
assumes *is-semialgebraic* $n S$
assumes $n \geq i + j$
shows *is-semialgebraic* $n ((\text{tw } i j) 'S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *twisted-partial-pullback-factored*:

assumes $f \in (\text{carrier } (Q_p^m)) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $S \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^{n+1+l})$
assumes $Y = \text{partial-pullback } m f (n + l) (\text{permute-list } (\text{fun-inv } (\text{twisting-permutation } n)) ' S)$
shows $\text{twisted-partial-pullback } n m l f S = (\text{tw } m n) ' Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *twisted-partial-pullback-is-semialgebraic*:

assumes *is-semialg-function* $m f$
assumes *is-semialgebraic* $(n + 1 + l) S$
shows *is-semialgebraic* $(n + m + l)(\text{twisted-partial-pullback } n m l f S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *augment where*

$\text{augment } n x = \text{take } n x @ \text{take } n x @ \text{drop } n x$

lemma *augment-closed*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{n+l})$
shows $\text{augment } n x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{n+n+l})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tuple-partial-image-factor*:

assumes *is-function-tuple* $Q_p m fs$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{length } fs = n$

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{m+l})$
shows $\text{tuple-partial-image } m \text{ (fs@[f]) } x = \text{twisted-partial-image } n \text{ m f (tuple-partial-image } m \text{ fs (augment } m \text{ x))}$
 <proof>

definition diagonalize where
 $\text{diagonalize } n \text{ m } S = S \cap \text{cartesian-product } (\Delta \ n) \text{ (carrier } (Q_p^m))$

lemma diagaonlize-is-semialgebraic:
assumes $\text{is-semialgebraic } (n+n+m) \ S$
shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } (n+n+m) \ (\text{diagonalize } n \text{ m } S)$
 <proof>

lemma list-segment-take:
assumes $\text{length } a \geq n$
shows $\text{list-segment } 0 \ n \ a = \text{take } n \ a$
 <proof>

lemma augment-inverse-is-semialgebraic:
assumes $\text{is-semialgebraic } (n+n+l) \ S$
shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } (n+l) \ ((\text{augment } n \text{ - ' } S) \cap \text{carrier } (Q_p^{n+l}))$
 <proof>

lemma tuple-partial-pullback-is-semialg-map-tuple-induct:
assumes $\text{is-semialg-map-tuple } m \ \text{fs}$
assumes $\text{is-semialg-function } m \ \text{f}$
assumes $\text{length } \text{fs} = n$
shows $\text{is-semialg-map-tuple } m \ \text{(fs@[f])}$
 <proof>

lemma singleton-tuple-partial-pullback-is-semialg-map-tuple:
assumes $\text{is-semialg-function-tuple } m \ \text{fs}$
assumes $\text{length } \text{fs} = 1$
shows $\text{is-semialg-map-tuple } m \ \text{fs}$
 <proof>

lemma empty-tuple-partial-pullback-is-semialg-map-tuple:
assumes $\text{is-semialg-function-tuple } m \ \text{fs}$
assumes $\text{length } \text{fs} = 0$
shows $\text{is-semialg-map-tuple } m \ \text{fs}$
 <proof>

lemma tuple-partial-pullback-is-semialg-map-tuple:
assumes $\text{is-semialg-function-tuple } m \ \text{fs}$
shows $\text{is-semialg-map-tuple } m \ \text{fs}$
 <proof>

13.11.2 Semialgebraic Functions are Closed under Composition with Semialgebraic Tuples

lemma *function-tuple-comp-partial-pullback*:

assumes *is-semialg-function-tuple* m fs

assumes $length\ fs = n$

assumes *is-semialg-function* n f

assumes $S \subseteq carrier\ (Q_p^{1+k})$

shows *partial-pullback* m (*function-tuple-comp* Q_p fs f) k $S =$
tuple-partial-pullback m fs k (*partial-pullback* n f k S)

<proof>

lemma *semialg-function-tuple-comp*:

assumes *is-semialg-function-tuple* m fs

assumes $length\ fs = n$

assumes *is-semialg-function* n f

shows *is-semialg-function* m (*function-tuple-comp* Q_p fs f)

<proof>

13.11.3 Algebraic Operations on Semialgebraic Functions

Defining the set of extensional semialgebraic functions

definition *Qp-add-fun* **where**

Qp-add-fun $xs = xs!0 \oplus_{Q_p} xs!1$

definition *Qp-mult-fun* **where**

Qp-mult-fun $xs = xs!0 \otimes xs!1$

Inversion function on first coordinates of Q_p tuples. Arbitrarily redefined at 0 to map to 0

definition *Qp-invert* **where**

Qp-invert $xs = (if\ ((xs!0) = \mathbf{0})\ then\ \mathbf{0}\ else\ (inv\ (xs!0)))$

Addition is semialgebraic

lemma *addition-is-semialg*:

is-semialg-function 2 *Qp-add-fun*

<proof>

Multiplication is semialgebraic:

lemma *multiplication-is-semialg*:

is-semialg-function 2 *Qp-mult-fun*

<proof>

Inversion is semialgebraic:

lemma(*in field*) *field-nat-pow-inv*:

assumes $a \in carrier\ R$

assumes $a \neq \mathbf{0}$

shows $inv\ (a\ [\wedge]\ (n::nat)) = (inv\ a)\ [\wedge]\ (n\ ::\ nat)$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-invert-basic-semialg:*

assumes *is-basic-semialg (1 + k) S*

shows *is-semialgebraic (1 + k) (partial-pullback 1 Qp-invert k S)*

<proof>

lemma *Qp-invert-is-semialg:*

is-semialg-function 1 Qp-invert

<proof>

lemma *Taylor-deg-1-expansion'':*

assumes *f ∈ carrier Q_p-x*

assumes $\bigwedge n. f\ n \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes *a ∈ O_p*

assumes *b ∈ O_p*

shows $\exists c\ c'\ c''.\ c = \text{to-fun } f\ a \wedge c' = \text{deriv } f\ a \wedge c \in \mathcal{O}_p \wedge c' \in \mathcal{O}_p \wedge c'' \in \mathcal{O}_p$

\wedge

$$\text{to-fun } f\ (b) = c \oplus c' \otimes (b \ominus a) \oplus (c'' \otimes (b \ominus a))[\ulcorner(2::\text{nat})]$$

<proof>

end

13.12 Sets Defined by Residues of Valuation Ring Elements

sublocale *padic-fields < Res: cring Zp-res-ring (Suc n)*

<proof>

context *padic-fields*

begin

definition *Qp-res where*

Qp-res x n = to-Zp x n

lemma *Qp-res-closed:*

assumes *x ∈ O_p*

shows *Qp-res x n ∈ carrier (Zp-res-ring n)*

<proof>

lemma *Qp-res-add:*

assumes *x ∈ O_p*

assumes *y ∈ O_p*

shows *Qp-res (x ⊕ y) n = Qp-res x n ⊕_{Zp-res-ring n} Qp-res y n*

<proof>

lemma *Qp-res-mult:*

assumes *x ∈ O_p*

assumes *y ∈ O_p*

shows *Qp-res (x ⊗ y) n = Qp-res x n ⊗_{Zp-res-ring n} Qp-res y n*

<proof>

lemma *Qp-res-diff:*

assumes $x \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $y \in \mathcal{O}_p$

shows $Qp\text{-res } (x \ominus y) n = Qp\text{-res } x n \ominus_{Zp\text{-res-ring } n} Qp\text{-res } y n$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-res-zero:*

shows $Qp\text{-res } \mathbf{0} n = 0$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-res-one:*

assumes $n > 0$

shows $Qp\text{-res } \mathbf{1} n = (1::int)$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-res-nat-inc:*

shows $Qp\text{-res } [(n::nat)].\mathbf{1} n = n \bmod p \hat{=} n$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-res-int-inc:*

shows $Qp\text{-res } [(k::int)].\mathbf{1} n = k \bmod p \hat{=} n$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-poly-res-monom:*

assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $x \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $Qp\text{-res } a n = 0$

assumes $k > 0$

shows $Qp\text{-res } (up\text{-ring.monom } (UP \ Q_p) \ a \ k \cdot x) n = 0$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-poly-res-zero:*

assumes $q \in \text{carrier } (UP \ Q_p)$

assumes $\bigwedge i. q \ i \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $\bigwedge i. Qp\text{-res } (q \ i) n = 0$

assumes $x \in \mathcal{O}_p$

shows $Qp\text{-res } (q \cdot x) n = 0$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-poly-res-eval-0:*

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ Q_p)$

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ Q_p)$

assumes $x \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $\bigwedge i. f \ i \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $\bigwedge i. g \ i \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $\bigwedge i. Qp\text{-res } (f \ i) n = Qp\text{-res } (g \ i) n$

shows $Qp\text{-res } (f \cdot x) n = Qp\text{-res } (g \cdot x) n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Qp-poly-res-eval-1:*

assumes $f \in carrier (UP Q_p)$

assumes $x \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $y \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $\bigwedge i. f i \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $Qp-res x n = Qp-res y n$

shows $Qp-res (f \cdot x) n = Qp-res (f \cdot y) n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Qp-poly-res-eval-2:*

assumes $f \in carrier (UP Q_p)$

assumes $g \in carrier (UP Q_p)$

assumes $x \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $y \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $\bigwedge i. f i \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $\bigwedge i. g i \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $\bigwedge i. Qp-res (f i) n = Qp-res (g i) n$

assumes $Qp-res x n = Qp-res y n$

shows $Qp-res (f \cdot x) n = Qp-res (g \cdot y) n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *poly-res-class where*

$poly-res-class n d f = \{q \in carrier (UP Q_p). deg Q_p q \leq d \wedge (\forall i. q i \in \mathcal{O}_p \wedge Qp-res (f i) n = Qp-res (q i) n)\}$

lemma *poly-res-class-closed:*

assumes $f \in carrier (UP Q_p)$

assumes $g \in carrier (UP Q_p)$

assumes $deg Q_p f \leq d$

assumes $deg Q_p g \leq d$

assumes $g \in poly-res-class n d f$

shows $poly-res-class n d f = poly-res-class n d g$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-res-class-memE:*

assumes $f \in poly-res-class n d g$

shows $f \in carrier (UP Q_p)$

$deg Q_p f \leq d$

$f i \in \mathcal{O}_p$

$Qp-res (g i) n = Qp-res (f i) n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *val-ring-polys where*

$val-ring-polys = \{f \in carrier (UP Q_p). (\forall i. f i \in \mathcal{O}_p)\}$

lemma *val-ring-polys-closed:*

$val-ring-polys \subseteq carrier (UP Q_p)$

<proof>

lemma *val-ring-polys-memI*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ Q_p)$
assumes $\bigwedge i. f \ i \in \mathcal{O}_p$
shows $f \in \text{val-ring-polys}$
<proof>

lemma *val-ring-polys-memE*:
assumes $f \in \text{val-ring-polys}$
shows $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ Q_p)$
 $f \ i \in \mathcal{O}_p$
<proof>

definition *val-ring-polys-grad where*
 $\text{val-ring-polys-grad } d = \{f \in \text{val-ring-polys}. \text{deg } Q_p \ f \leq d\}$

lemma *val-ring-polys-grad-closed*:
 $\text{val-ring-polys-grad } d \subseteq \text{val-ring-polys}$
<proof>

lemma *val-ring-polys-grad-closed'*:
 $\text{val-ring-polys-grad } d \subseteq \text{carrier } (UP \ Q_p)$
<proof>

lemma *val-ring-polys-grad-memI*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ Q_p)$
assumes $\bigwedge i. f \ i \in \mathcal{O}_p$
assumes $\text{deg } Q_p \ f \leq d$
shows $f \in \text{val-ring-polys-grad } d$
<proof>

lemma *val-ring-polys-grad-memE*:
assumes $f \in \text{val-ring-polys-grad } d$
shows $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ Q_p)$
 $\text{deg } Q_p \ f \leq d$
 $f \ i \in \mathcal{O}_p$
<proof>

lemma *poly-res-classes-in-val-ring-polys-grad*:
assumes $f \in \text{val-ring-polys-grad } d$
shows $\text{poly-res-class } n \ d \ f \subseteq \text{val-ring-polys-grad } d$
<proof>

lemma *poly-res-class-disjoint*:
assumes $f \in \text{val-ring-polys-grad } d$
assumes $f \notin \text{poly-res-class } n \ d \ g$
shows $\text{poly-res-class } n \ d \ f \cap \text{poly-res-class } n \ d \ g = \{\}$
<proof>

lemma *poly-res-class-reft*:
assumes $f \in \text{val-ring-polys-grad } d$
shows $f \in \text{poly-res-class } n \ d \ f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-res-class-memI*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ Q_p)$
assumes $\text{deg } Q_p \ f \leq d$
assumes $\bigwedge i. f \ i \in \mathcal{O}_p$
assumes $\bigwedge i. Qp\text{-res } (f \ i) \ n = Qp\text{-res } (g \ i) \ n$
shows $f \in \text{poly-res-class } n \ d \ g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *poly-res-classes where*
 $\text{poly-res-classes } n \ d = \text{poly-res-class } n \ d \ \text{'val-ring-polys-grad } d$

lemma *poly-res-classes-disjoint*:
assumes $A \in \text{poly-res-classes } n \ d$
assumes $B \in \text{poly-res-classes } n \ d$
assumes $g \in A - B$
shows $A \cap B = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *int-fun-to-poly where*
 $\text{int-fun-to-poly } (f::\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{int}) \ i = [(f \ i)].1$

lemma *int-fun-to-poly-closed*:
assumes $\bigwedge i. i > d \implies f \ i = 0$
shows $\text{int-fun-to-poly } f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ Q_p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *int-fun-to-poly-deg*:
assumes $\bigwedge i. i > d \implies f \ i = 0$
shows $\text{deg } Q_p \ (\text{int-fun-to-poly } f) \leq d$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Qp-res-mod-triv*:
assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$
shows $Qp\text{-res } a \ n \ \text{mod } p \ \hat{\ } n = Qp\text{-res } a \ n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *int-fun-to-poly-is-class-wit*:
assumes $f \in \text{poly-res-class } n \ d \ g$
shows $(\text{int-fun-to-poly } (\lambda i::\text{nat}. Qp\text{-res } (f \ i) \ n)) \in \text{poly-res-class } n \ d \ g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *finite-support-funs-finite*:
 $\text{finite } ((\{..d\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } (Zp\text{-res-ring } n)) \cap \{(f::\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{int}). \forall i > d. f \ i = 0\})$

<proof>

lemma *poly-res-classes-finite:*

finite (poly-res-classes n d)

<proof>

lemma *Qp-res-eq-zeroI:*

assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $\text{val } a \geq n$

shows $Qp\text{-res } a \ n = 0$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-res-eqI:*

assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $b \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $Qp\text{-res } (a \oplus b) \ n = 0$

shows $Qp\text{-res } a \ n = Qp\text{-res } b \ n$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-res-eqI':*

assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $b \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $\text{val } (a \oplus b) \geq n$

shows $Qp\text{-res } a \ n = Qp\text{-res } b \ n$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-res-eqE:*

assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $b \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $Qp\text{-res } a \ n = Qp\text{-res } b \ n$

shows $\text{val } (a \oplus b) \geq n$

<proof>

lemma *notin-closed:*

$(\neg ((c::\text{eint}) \leq x \wedge x \leq d)) = (x < c \vee d < x)$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-res-neqI:*

assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $b \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $\text{val } (a \oplus b) < n$

shows $Qp\text{-res } a \ n \neq Qp\text{-res } b \ n$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-res-equal:*

assumes $a \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $l = Qp\text{-res } a \ n$

shows $Qp\text{-res } a \ n = Qp\text{-res } ([l]\cdot\mathbf{1}) \ n$

<proof>

definition *Qp-res-class where*

$Qp\text{-res-class } n \ b = \{a \in \mathcal{O}_p. Qp\text{-res } a \ n = Qp\text{-res } b \ n\}$

definition *Qp-res-classes where*

$Qp\text{-res-classes } n = Qp\text{-res-class } n \ ' \ \mathcal{O}_p$

lemma *val-ring-int-inc-closed:*

$[(k::int)] \cdot \mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{O}_p$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val-ring-nat-inc-closed:*

$[(k::nat)] \cdot \mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{O}_p$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Qp-res-classes-wits:*

$Qp\text{-res-classes } n = (\lambda l::int. Qp\text{-res-class } n \ ([l] \cdot \mathbf{1})) \ ' \ (\text{carrier } (Zp\text{-res-ring } n))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Qp-res-classes-finite:*

$finite \ (Qp\text{-res-classes } n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *Qp-cong-set where*

$Qp\text{-cong-set } \alpha \ a = \{x \in \mathcal{O}_p. \text{to-}Zp \ x \ \alpha = a \ \alpha\}$

lemma *Qp-cong-set-as-ball:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Z_p$

assumes $a \ \alpha = 0$

shows $Qp\text{-cong-set } \alpha \ a = B_\alpha[0]$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Qp-cong-set-as-ball':*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Z_p$

assumes $\text{val-}Zp \ a < \text{eint } (int \ \alpha)$

shows $Qp\text{-cong-set } \alpha \ a = B_\alpha[(\iota \ a)]$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Qp-cong-set-is-univ-semialgebraic:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Z_p$

shows $\text{is-univ-semialgebraic } (Qp\text{-cong-set } \alpha \ a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *constant-res-set-semialg:*

assumes $l \in \text{carrier } (Zp\text{-res-ring } n)$

shows $\text{is-univ-semialgebraic } \{x \in \mathcal{O}_p. Qp\text{-res } x \ n = l\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

```

end
theory Padic-Semialgebraic-Function-Ring
  imports Padic-Field-Powers
begin

```

14 Rings of Semialgebraic Functions

In order to efficiently formalize Denef's proof of Macintyre's theorem, it is necessary to be able to reason about semialgebraic functions algebraically. For example, we need to consider polynomials in one variable whose coefficients are semialgebraic functions, and take their Taylor expansions centered at a semialgebraic function. To facilitate this kind of reasoning, it is necessary to construct, for each arity m , a ring $\mathbf{SA}(m)$ of semialgebraic functions in m variables. These functions must be extensional functions which are undefined outside of the carrier set of \mathbb{Q}_p^m .

The developments in this theory are mainly lemmas and definitions which build the necessary theory to prove the cell decomposition theorems of [1], and finally Macintyre's Theorem, which says that semi-algebraic sets are closed under projections.

14.1 Some eint Arithmetic

```

context padic-fields
begin

```

```

lemma eint-minus-ineq':
  assumes  $a \leq \text{eint } N$ 
  assumes  $b - a \leq c$ 
  shows  $b - \text{eint } N \leq c$ 
  <proof>

```

```

lemma eint-minus-plus:
 $a - (\text{eint } b + \text{eint } c) = a - \text{eint } b - \text{eint } c$ 
  <proof>

```

```

lemma eint-minus-plus':
 $a - (\text{eint } b + \text{eint } c) = a - \text{eint } c - \text{eint } b$ 
  <proof>

```

```

lemma eint-minus-plus'':
  assumes  $a - \text{eint } c - \text{eint } b = \text{eint } f$ 
  shows  $a - \text{eint } c - \text{eint } f = \text{eint } b$ 
  <proof>

```

```

lemma uminus-involutive[simp]:
 $-\text{eint } (-\text{eint } x) = x$ 

```

<proof>

lemma *eint-minus*:

$(a::\text{eint}) - (b::\text{eint}) = a + (-b)$

<proof>

lemma *eint-mult-Suc*:

$\text{eint } (\text{Suc } k) * a = \text{eint } k * a + a$

<proof>

lemma *eint-mult-Suc-mono*:

assumes $a \leq \text{eint } b \longrightarrow \text{eint } (\text{int } k) * a \leq \text{eint } (\text{int } k) * \text{eint } b$

shows $a \leq \text{eint } b \longrightarrow \text{eint } (\text{int } (\text{Suc } k)) * a \leq \text{eint } (\text{int } (\text{Suc } k)) * \text{eint } b$

<proof>

lemma *eint-nat-mult-mono*:

assumes $(a::\text{eint}) \leq b$

shows $\text{eint } (k::\text{nat}) * a \leq \text{eint } k * b$

<proof>

lemma *eint-Suc-zero*:

$\text{eint } (\text{int } (\text{Suc } 0)) * a = a$

<proof>

lemma *eint-add-mono*:

assumes $(a::\text{eint}) \leq b$

assumes $(c::\text{eint}) \leq d$

shows $a + c \leq b + d$

<proof>

lemma *eint-nat-mult-mono-rev*:

assumes $k > 0$

assumes $\text{eint } (k::\text{nat}) * a \leq \text{eint } k * b$

shows $(a::\text{eint}) \leq b$

<proof>

14.2 Lemmas on Function Ring Operations

lemma *Qp-funs-is-cring*:

cring $(\text{Fun}_n \text{ } Q_p)$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-funs-is-monoid*:

monoid $(\text{Fun}_n \text{ } Q_p)$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-funs-car-memE*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n \text{ } Q_p)$

shows $f \in (\text{carrier } (Q_p^n)) \rightarrow (\text{carrier } Q_p)$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-funs-car-memI*:

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $\bigwedge x. x \notin (\text{carrier } (Q_p^n)) \implies g x = \text{undefined}$

shows $g \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n Q_p)$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-funs-car-memI'*:

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $\text{restrict } g (\text{carrier } (Q_p^n)) = g$

shows $g \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n Q_p)$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-funs-car-memI''*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $g = (\lambda x \in (\text{carrier } (Q_p^n)). f x)$

shows $g \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n Q_p)$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-funs-one*:

1_{Fun_n Q_p} = $(\lambda x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n). \mathbf{1})$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-funs-zero*:

0_{Fun_n Q_p} = $(\lambda x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n). \mathbf{0}_{Q_p})$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-funs-add*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $f \in (\text{carrier } (Q_p^n)) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $g \in (\text{carrier } (Q_p^n)) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$

shows $(f \oplus_{\text{Fun}_n Q_p} g) x = f x \oplus_{Q_p} g x$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-funs-add'*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $f \in (\text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n Q_p))$

assumes $g \in (\text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n Q_p))$

shows $(f \oplus_{\text{Fun}_n Q_p} g) x = f x \oplus_{Q_p} g x$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-funs-add''*:

assumes $f \in (\text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n Q_p))$

assumes $g \in (\text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n Q_p))$

shows $(f \oplus_{\text{Fun}_n Q_p} g) = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n). f x \oplus_{Q_p} g x)$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-funs-add'''*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $(f \oplus_{\text{Fun}_n Q_p} g) x = f x \oplus_{Q_p} g x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Qp-funs-mult*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
assumes $f \in (\text{carrier } (Q_p^n)) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $g \in (\text{carrier } (Q_p^n)) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $(f \otimes_{\text{Fun}_n Q_p} g) x = f x \otimes g x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Qp-funs-mult'*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
assumes $f \in (\text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n Q_p))$
assumes $g \in (\text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n Q_p))$
shows $(f \otimes_{\text{Fun}_n Q_p} g) x = f x \otimes g x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Qp-funs-mult''*:

assumes $f \in (\text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n Q_p))$
assumes $g \in (\text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n Q_p))$
shows $(f \otimes_{\text{Fun}_n Q_p} g) = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n). f x \otimes g x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Qp-funs-mult'''*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $(f \otimes_{\text{Fun}_n Q_p} g) x = f x \otimes g x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Qp-funs-a-inv*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
assumes $f \in (\text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n Q_p))$
shows $(\ominus_{\text{Fun}_n Q_p} f) x = \ominus (f x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Qp-funs-a-inv'*:

assumes $f \in (\text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n Q_p))$
shows $(\ominus_{\text{Fun}_n Q_p} f) = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n). \ominus (f x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation(*input*) *Qp-const* ($\langle c \cdot \rangle$) **where**
Qp-const $n c \equiv \text{constant-function } (\text{carrier } (Q_p^n)) c$

lemma *Qp-constE*:

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows *Qp-const* $n c x = c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Qp-funs-Units-memI*:
assumes $f \in (\text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n Q_p))$
assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \implies f x \neq \mathbf{0}_{Q_p}$
shows $f \in (\text{Units } (\text{Fun}_n Q_p))$
 $\text{inv}_{\text{Fun}_n Q_p} f = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n). \text{inv}_{Q_p} (f x))$
<proof>

lemma *Qp-funs-Units-memE*:
assumes $f \in (\text{Units } (\text{Fun}_n Q_p))$
shows $f \otimes_{\text{Fun}_n Q_p} \text{inv}_{\text{Fun}_n Q_p} f = \mathbf{1}_{\text{Fun}_n Q_p}$
 $\text{inv}_{\text{Fun}_n Q_p} f \otimes_{\text{Fun}_n Q_p} f = \mathbf{1}_{\text{Fun}_n Q_p}$
 $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \implies f x \neq \mathbf{0}_{Q_p}$
<proof>

lemma *Qp-funs-m-inv*:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
assumes $f \in (\text{Units } (\text{Fun}_n Q_p))$
shows $(\text{inv}_{\text{Fun}_n Q_p} f) x = \text{inv}_{Q_p} (f x)$
<proof>

14.3 Defining the Rings of Semialgebraic Functions

definition *semialg-functions where*
 $\text{semialg-functions } n = \{f \in (\text{carrier } (Q_p^n)) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p. \text{ is-semialg-function } n f$
 $\wedge f = \text{restrict } f (\text{carrier } (Q_p^n))\}$

lemma *semialg-functions-memE*:
assumes $f \in \text{semialg-functions } n$
shows *is-semialg-function* $n f$
 $f \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n Q_p)$
 $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$
<proof>

lemma *semialg-functions-in-Qp-funs*:
 $\text{semialg-functions } n \subseteq \text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n Q_p)$
<proof>

lemma *semialg-functions-memI*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n Q_p)$
assumes *is-semialg-function* $n f$
shows $f \in \text{semialg-functions } n$
<proof>

lemma *restrict-is-semialg*:
assumes *is-semialg-function* $n f$
shows *is-semialg-function* $n (\text{restrict } f (\text{carrier } (Q_p^n)))$
<proof>

lemma *restrict-in-semialg-functions:*

assumes *is-semialg-function* n f

shows $(\text{restrict } f \text{ (carrier } (Q_p^n))) \in \text{semialg-functions } n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *constant-function-is-semialg:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

shows *is-semialg-function* n $(\text{constant-function (carrier } (Q_p^n)) a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *constant-function-in-semialg-functions:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

shows $Qp\text{-const } n a \in \text{semialg-functions } n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *function-one-as-constant:*

$\mathbf{1}_{\text{Fun}_n Q_p} = Qp\text{-const } n \mathbf{1}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *function-zero-as-constant:*

$\mathbf{0}_{\text{Fun}_n Q_p} = Qp\text{-const } n \mathbf{0}_{Q_p}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sum-in-semialg-functions:*

assumes $f \in \text{semialg-functions } n$

assumes $g \in \text{semialg-functions } n$

shows $f \oplus_{\text{Fun}_n Q_p} g \in \text{semialg-functions } n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-in-semialg-functions:*

assumes $f \in \text{semialg-functions } n$

assumes $g \in \text{semialg-functions } n$

shows $f \otimes_{\text{Fun}_n Q_p} g \in \text{semialg-functions } n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inv-in-semialg-functions:*

assumes $f \in \text{semialg-functions } n$

assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \implies f x \neq \mathbf{0}_{Q_p}$

shows $\text{inv}_{\text{Fun}_n Q_p} f \in \text{semialg-functions } n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *a-inv-in-semialg-functions:*

assumes $f \in \text{semialg-functions } n$

shows $\ominus_{\text{Fun}_n Q_p} f \in \text{semialg-functions } n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *semialg-functions-subring:*

shows *subring (semialg-functions* n) $(\text{Fun}_n Q_p)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *semialg-functions-subcring*:
shows *subcring* (*semialg-functions n*) (*Fun_n Q_p*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *SA where*
 $SA\ n = (Fun_n\ Q_p) \langle carrier := semialg-functions\ n \rangle$

lemma *SA-is-ring*:
shows *ring* (*SA n*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *SA-is-criring*:
shows *cring* (*SA n*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *SA-is-monoid*:
shows *monoid* (*SA n*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *SA-is-abelian-monoid*:
shows *abelian-monoid* (*SA n*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *SA-car*:
 $carrier\ (SA\ n) = semialg-functions\ n$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *SA-car-in-Qp-funs-car*:
 $carrier\ (SA\ n) \subseteq carrier\ (Fun_n\ Q_p)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *SA-car-memI*:
assumes $f \in carrier\ (Fun_n\ Q_p)$
assumes *is-semialg-function n f*
shows $f \in carrier\ (SA\ n)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *SA-car-memE*:
assumes $f \in carrier\ (SA\ n)$
shows *is-semialg-function n f*
 $f \in carrier\ (Fun_n\ Q_p)$
 $f \in carrier\ (Q_p^n) \rightarrow carrier\ Q_p$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *SA-plus*:
 $(\oplus SA\ n) = (\oplus_{Fun_n\ Q_p})$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *SA-times*:

$(\otimes_{SA} n) = (\otimes_{Fun_n} Q_p)$
<proof>

lemma *SA-one*:

$(\mathbf{1}_{SA} n) = (\mathbf{1}_{Fun_n} Q_p)$
<proof>

lemma *SA-zero*:

$(\mathbf{0}_{SA} n) = (\mathbf{0}_{Fun_n} Q_p)$
<proof>

lemma *SA-zero-is-function-ring*:

$(Fun_0 Q_p) = SA\ 0$
<proof>

lemma *constant-fun-closed*:

assumes $c \in carrier\ Q_p$

shows *constant-function* $(carrier\ (Q_p^m))\ c \in carrier\ (SA\ m)$

<proof>

lemma *SA-0-car-memI*:

assumes $\xi \in carrier\ (Q_p^0) \rightarrow carrier\ Q_p$

assumes $\bigwedge x. x \notin carrier\ (Q_p^0) \implies \xi\ x = undefined$

shows $\xi \in carrier\ (SA\ 0)$

<proof>

lemma *car-SA-0-mem-imp-const*:

assumes $a \in carrier\ (SA\ 0)$

shows $\exists c \in carrier\ Q_p. a = Qp-const\ 0\ c$

<proof>

lemma *SA-zeroE*:

assumes $a \in carrier\ (Q_p^n)$

shows $\mathbf{0}_{SA}\ n\ a = \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma *SA-oneE*:

assumes $a \in carrier\ (Q_p^n)$

shows $\mathbf{1}_{SA}\ n\ a = \mathbf{1}$

<proof>

end

sublocale *padic-fields* < *UPSA?*: *UP-cring* $SA\ m\ UP\ (SA\ m)$

<proof>

context *padic-fields*

begin

lemma *SA-add*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $(f \oplus_{SA\ n} g) x = f x \oplus_{Q_p} g x$
<proof>

lemma *SA-add'*:

assumes $x \notin \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $(f \oplus_{SA\ n} g) x = \text{undefined}$
<proof>

lemma *SA-mult*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $(f \otimes_{SA\ n} g) x = f x \otimes g x$
<proof>

lemma *SA-mult'*:

assumes $x \notin \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $(f \otimes_{SA\ n} g) x = \text{undefined}$
<proof>

lemma *SA-u-minus-eval*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $(\ominus_{SA\ n} f) x = \ominus (f x)$
<proof>

lemma *SA-a-inv-eval*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $(\ominus_{SA\ n} f) x = \ominus (f x)$
<proof>

lemma *SA-nat-pow*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $(f \ [\frown]_{SA\ n} (k::\text{nat})) x = (f x) \ [\frown]_{Q_p} k$
<proof>

lemma *SA-nat-pow'*:

assumes $x \notin \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $(f \ [\frown]_{SA\ n} (k::\text{nat})) x = \text{undefined}$
<proof>

lemma *SA-add-closed-id*:

assumes *is-semialg-function* $n\ f$
assumes *is-semialg-function* $n\ g$
shows $\text{restrict } f \ (\text{carrier } (Q_p^n)) \oplus_{SA\ n} \text{restrict } g \ (\text{carrier } (Q_p^n)) = f \oplus_{SA\ n} g$
<proof>

lemma *SA-mult-closed-id*:

assumes *is-semialg-function n f*
assumes *is-semialg-function n g*
shows $\text{restrict } f \text{ (carrier } (Q_p^n)) \otimes_{SA \ n} \text{ restrict } g \text{ (carrier } (Q_p^n)) = f \otimes_{SA \ n} g$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *SA-add-closed:*
assumes *is-semialg-function n f*
assumes *is-semialg-function n g*
shows $f \oplus_{SA \ n} g \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *SA-mult-closed:*
assumes *is-semialg-function n f*
assumes *is-semialg-function n g*
shows $f \otimes_{SA \ n} g \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *SA-add-closed-right:*
assumes *is-semialg-function n f*
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
shows $f \oplus_{SA \ n} g \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *SA-mult-closed-right:*
assumes *is-semialg-function n f*
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
shows $f \otimes_{SA \ n} g \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *SA-add-closed-left:*
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
assumes *is-semialg-function n g*
shows $f \oplus_{SA \ n} g \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *SA-mult-closed-left:*
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
assumes *is-semialg-function n g*
shows $f \otimes_{SA \ n} g \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *SA-nat-pow-closed:*
assumes *is-semialg-function n f*
shows $f [\]_{SA \ n} (k::\text{nat}) \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *SA-imp-semialg:*
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
shows *is-semialg-function n f*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SA-minus-closed*:

assumes $f \in carrier (SA\ n)$

assumes $g \in carrier (SA\ n)$

shows $(f \ominus_{SA\ n} g) \in carrier (SA\ n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *ring*) *add-pow-closed* :

assumes $b \in carrier\ R$

shows $[(m::nat)] \cdot_R b \in carrier\ R$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *ring*) *add-pow-Suc*:

assumes $b \in carrier\ R$

shows $[(Suc\ m)] \cdot b = [m] \cdot b \oplus b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma(in *ring*) *add-pow-zero*:

assumes $b \in carrier\ R$

shows $[(0::nat)] \cdot b = \mathbf{0}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Fun-add-pow-apply*:

assumes $b \in carrier (Fun_n\ Q_p)$

assumes $a \in carrier (Q_p^n)$

shows $[(m::nat)] \cdot_{Fun_n\ Q_p} b\ a = [m] \cdot (b\ a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SA-add-pow-apply*:

assumes $b \in carrier (SA\ n)$

assumes $a \in carrier (Q_p^n)$

shows $[(m::nat)] \cdot_{SA\ n} b\ a = [m] \cdot (b\ a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Qp-funs-Units-SA-Units*:

assumes $f \in Units (Fun_n\ Q_p)$

assumes *is-semialg-function* $n\ f$

shows $f \in Units (SA\ n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SA-Units-memE*:

assumes $f \in (Units (SA\ n))$

shows $f \otimes_{SA\ n} inv_{SA\ n}\ f = \mathbf{1}_{SA\ n}$

$inv_{SA\ n}\ f \otimes_{SA\ n}\ f = \mathbf{1}_{SA\ n}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SA-Units-closed*:

assumes $f \in (Units (SA\ n))$

shows $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SA-Units-inv-closed*:
assumes $f \in (\text{Units } (SA \ n))$
shows $\text{inv}_{SA \ n} f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SA-Units-Qp-funs-Units*:
assumes $f \in (\text{Units } (SA \ n))$
shows $f \in (\text{Units } (\text{Fun}_n \ Q_p))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SA-Units-Qp-funs-inv*:
assumes $f \in (\text{Units } (SA \ n))$
shows $\text{inv}_{SA \ n} f = \text{inv}_{\text{Fun}_n \ Q_p} f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SA-Units-memI*:
assumes $f \in (\text{carrier } (SA \ n))$
assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \implies f \ x \neq \mathbf{0}_{Q_p}$
shows $f \in (\text{Units } (SA \ n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SA-Units-memE'*:
assumes $f \in (\text{Units } (SA \ n))$
shows $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \implies f \ x \neq \mathbf{0}_{Q_p}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Qp-n-nonempty*:
shows $\text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \neq \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SA-one-not-zero*:
shows $\mathbf{1}_{SA \ n} \neq \mathbf{0}_{SA \ n}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SA-units-not-zero*:
assumes $f \in \text{Units } (SA \ n)$
shows $f \neq \mathbf{0}_{SA \ n}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SA-Units-nonzero*:
assumes $f \in \text{Units } (SA \ m)$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$
shows $f \ x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SA-car-closed*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ m)$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$
shows $f\ x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *SA-Units-closed-fun*:
assumes $f \in \text{Units } (SA\ m)$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$
shows $f\ x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *SA-inv-eval*:
assumes $f \in \text{Units } (SA\ n)$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $(\text{inv}_{SA\ n}\ f)\ x = \text{inv } (f\ x)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *SA-div-eval*:
assumes $f \in \text{Units } (SA\ n)$
assumes $h \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $(h \otimes_{SA\ n} (\text{inv}_{SA\ n}\ f))\ x = h\ x \otimes \text{inv } (f\ x)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *SA-unit-int-pow*:
assumes $f \in \text{Units } (SA\ m)$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$
shows $(f[\hat{\cdot}]_{SA\ m}(i::\text{int}))\ x = (f\ x)[\hat{\cdot}]^i$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *restrict-in-SA-car*:
assumes *is-semialg-function* $n\ f$
shows $\text{restrict } f\ (\text{carrier } (Q_p^n)) \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *SA-smult*:
 $(\odot_{SA\ n}) = (\odot_{\text{Fun}_n\ Q_p})$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *SA-smult-formula*:
assumes $h \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $(q \odot_{SA\ n}\ h)\ a = q \otimes (h\ a)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *SA-smult-closed*:
assumes $h \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$
assumes $q \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

shows $q \odot_{SA\ n} h \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *p-mult-function-val*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ m)$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$
shows $\text{val } ((\mathbf{p} \odot_{SA\ m} f) x) = \text{val } (f\ x) + 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Qp-char-0''*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $a \neq \mathbf{0}$
assumes $(k::\text{nat}) > 0$
shows $[k] \cdot a \neq \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SA-char-zero*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ m)$
assumes $f \neq \mathbf{0}_{SA\ m}$
assumes $n > 0$
shows $[(n::\text{nat})] \cdot_{SA\ m} f \neq \mathbf{0}_{SA\ m}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

14.4 Defining Semialgebraic Maps

We can define a semialgebraic map in essentially the same way that Denef defines semialgebraic functions. As for functions, we can define the partial pullback of a set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p^{n+l}$ by a map $g : \mathbb{Q}_p^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^n$ to be the set

$$\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q}_p^m \times \mathbb{Q}_p^l \mid (f(x), y) \in S\}$$

and say that g is a semialgebraic map if for every l , and every semialgebraic $S \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p^{n+l}$, the partial pullback of S by g is also semialgebraic. On this definition, it is immediate that the composition $f \circ g$ of a semialgebraic function $f : \mathbb{Q}_p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ and a semialgebraic map $g : \mathbb{Q}_p^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^n$ is semialgebraic. It is also not hard to show that a map is semialgebraic if and only if all of its coordinate functions are semialgebraic functions. This allows us to build new semialgebraic functions out of old ones via composition.

Generalizing the notion of partial image partial pullbacks from functions to maps:

definition *map-partial-image* **where**
 $\text{map-partial-image } m\ f\ xs = (f\ (\text{take } m\ xs)) @ (\text{drop } m\ xs)$

definition *map-partial-pullback* **where**
 $\text{map-partial-pullback } m\ f\ l\ S = (\text{map-partial-image } m\ f)^{-1}_{m+l} S$

lemma *map-partial-pullback-memE*:

assumes $as \in \text{map-partial-pullback } m \ f \ l \ S$
shows $as \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{m+l}) \ \text{map-partial-image } m \ f \ as \in S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-partial-pullback-closed*:
 $\text{map-partial-pullback } m \ f \ l \ S \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^{m+l})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-partial-pullback-memI*:
assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{m+k})$
assumes $(f \ (\text{take } m \ as)) @ (\text{drop } m \ as) \in S$
shows $as \in \text{map-partial-pullback } m \ f \ k \ S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-partial-image-eq*:
assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
assumes $bs \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^k)$
assumes $x = as @ bs$
shows $\text{map-partial-image } n \ f \ x = (f \ as) @ bs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-partial-pullback-memE'*:
assumes $as \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
assumes $bs \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^k)$
assumes $x = as @ bs$
assumes $x \in \text{map-partial-pullback } n \ f \ k \ S$
shows $(f \ as) @ bs \in S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Partial pullbacks have the same algebraic properties as pullbacks.

lemma *map-partial-pullback-intersect*:
 $\text{map-partial-pullback } m \ f \ l \ (S1 \cap S2) = (\text{map-partial-pullback } m \ f \ l \ S1) \cap (\text{map-partial-pullback } m \ f \ l \ S2)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-partial-pullback-union*:
 $\text{map-partial-pullback } m \ f \ l \ (S1 \cup S2) = (\text{map-partial-pullback } m \ f \ l \ S1) \cup (\text{map-partial-pullback } m \ f \ l \ S2)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-partial-pullback-complement*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m) \rightarrow \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $\text{map-partial-pullback } m \ f \ l \ (\text{carrier } (Q_p^{n+l}) - S) = \text{carrier } (Q_p^{m+l}) - (\text{map-partial-pullback } m \ f \ l \ S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-partial-pullback-carrier*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m) \rightarrow \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $\text{map-partial-pullback } m \ f \ l \ (\text{carrier } (Q_p^{n+l})) = \text{carrier } (Q_p^{m+l})$

<proof>

definition *is-semialg-map where*

is-semialg-map $m\ n\ f = (f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m) \rightarrow \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \wedge$
 $(\forall l \geq 0. \forall S \in \text{semialg-sets } (n + l). \text{is-semialgebraic } (m + l)$
 $(\text{map-partial-pullback } m\ f\ l\ S)))$

lemma *is-semialg-map-closed:*

assumes *is-semialg-map* $m\ n\ f$

shows $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m) \rightarrow \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

<proof>

lemma *is-semialg-map-closed':*

assumes *is-semialg-map* $m\ n\ f\ x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$

shows $f\ x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

<proof>

lemma *is-semialg-mapE:*

assumes *is-semialg-map* $m\ n\ f$

assumes *is-semialgebraic* $(n + k)\ S$

shows *is-semialgebraic* $(m + k)$ $(\text{map-partial-pullback } m\ f\ k\ S)$

<proof>

lemma *is-semialg-mapE':*

assumes *is-semialg-map* $m\ n\ f$

assumes *is-semialgebraic* $(n + k)\ S$

shows *is-semialgebraic* $(m + k)$ $(\text{map-partial-image } m\ f^{-1}_{m+k}\ S)$

<proof>

lemma *is-semialg-mapI:*

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m) \rightarrow \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $\bigwedge k\ S. S \in \text{semialg-sets } (n + k) \implies \text{is-semialgebraic } (m + k)$ $(\text{map-partial-pullback } m\ f\ k\ S)$

shows *is-semialg-map* $m\ n\ f$

<proof>

lemma *is-semialg-mapI':*

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m) \rightarrow \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $\bigwedge k\ S. S \in \text{semialg-sets } (n + k) \implies \text{is-semialgebraic } (m + k)$ $(\text{map-partial-image } m\ f^{-1}_{m+k}\ S)$

shows *is-semialg-map* $m\ n\ f$

<proof>

Semialgebraicity for functions can be verified on basic semialgebraic sets.

lemma *is-semialg-mapI'':*

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m) \rightarrow \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $\bigwedge k\ S. S \in \text{basic-semialgs } (n + k) \implies \text{is-semialgebraic } (m + k)$ $(\text{map-partial-pullback } m\ f\ k\ S)$

shows *is-semialg-map* $m\ n\ f$

<proof>

lemma *is-semialg-mapI'''*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m) \rightarrow \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $\bigwedge k \ S. S \in \text{basic-semialgs } (n + k) \implies \text{is-semialgebraic } (m + k)$
(map-partial-image m f⁻¹_{m+k} S)

shows *is-semialg-map m n f*

<proof>

lemma *id-is-semialg-map*:

is-semialg-map n n ($\lambda x. x$)

<proof>

lemma *map-partial-pullback-comp*:

assumes *is-semialg-map m n f*

assumes *is-semialg-map k m g*

shows *(map-partial-pullback k (f o g) l S) = (map-partial-pullback k g l (map-partial-pullback m f l S))*

<proof>

lemma *semialg-map-comp-closed*:

assumes *is-semialg-map m n f*

assumes *is-semialg-map k m g*

shows *is-semialg-map k n (f o g)*

<proof>

lemma *partial-pullback-comp*:

assumes *is-semialg-function m f*

assumes *is-semialg-map k m g*

shows *(partial-pullback k (f o g) l S) = (map-partial-pullback k g l (partial-pullback m f l S))*

<proof>

lemma *semialg-function-comp-closed*:

assumes *is-semialg-function m f*

assumes *is-semialg-map k m g*

shows *is-semialg-function k (f o g)*

<proof>

lemma *semialg-map-evimage-is-semialg*:

assumes *is-semialg-map k m g*

assumes *is-semialgebraic m S*

shows *is-semialgebraic k (g⁻¹_k S)*

<proof>

14.5 Examples of Semialgebraic Maps

lemma *semialg-map-on-carrier*:

assumes *is-semialg-map n m f*

assumes $\text{restrict } f (\text{carrier } (Q_p^n)) = \text{restrict } g (\text{carrier } (Q_p^n))$
shows $\text{is-semialg-map } n \ m \ g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *semialg-function-tuple-is-semialg-map*:
assumes $\text{is-semialg-function-tuple } m \ fs$
assumes $\text{length } fs = n$
shows $\text{is-semialg-map } m \ n \ (\text{function-tuple-eval } Q_p \ m \ fs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *index-is-semialg-function*:
assumes $n > k$
shows $\text{is-semialg-function } n \ (\lambda s. as!k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *Qp-ith where*
 $Qp\text{-ith } m \ i = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m). x!i)$

lemma *Qp-ith-closed*:
assumes $i < m$
shows $Qp\text{-ith } m \ i \in \text{carrier } (SA \ m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-is-semialg-map*:
assumes $n \geq k$
shows $\text{is-semialg-map } n \ k \ (\text{take } k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *drop-is-semialg-map*:
shows $\text{is-semialg-map } (k + n) \ n \ (\text{drop } k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *project-at-indices-is-semialg-map*:
assumes $S \subseteq \{..<n\}$
shows $\text{is-semialg-map } n \ (\text{card } S) \ \pi_S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tl-is-semialg-map*:
shows $\text{is-semialg-map } (Suc \ n) \ n \ tl$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Coordinate functions are semialgebraic maps.

lemma *coord-fun-is-SA*:
assumes $\text{is-semialg-map } n \ m \ g$
assumes $i < m$
shows $\text{coord-fun } Q_p \ n \ g \ i \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *coord-fun-map-is-semialg-tuple*:

assumes *is-semialg-map* $n\ m\ g$
shows *is-semialg-function-tuple* n ($\text{map } (\text{coord-fun } Q_p\ n\ g) [0..<m]$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *semialg-map-cons*:

assumes *is-semialg-map* $n\ m\ g$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$
shows *is-semialg-map* n ($Suc\ m$) ($\lambda x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n). f\ x \# g\ x$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Extensional Semialgebraic Maps:

definition *semialg-maps where*

$\text{semialg-maps } n\ m \equiv \{f. \text{is-semialg-map } n\ m\ f \wedge f \in \text{struct-maps } (Q_p^n) (Q_p^m)\}$

lemma *semialg-mapsE*:

assumes $f \in (\text{semialg-maps } n\ m)$
shows *is-semialg-map* $n\ m\ f$
 $f \in \text{struct-maps } (Q_p^n) (Q_p^m)$
 $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \rightarrow \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *to-semialg-map where*

$\text{to-semialg-map } n\ m\ f = \text{restrict } f (\text{carrier } (Q_p^n))$

lemma *to-semialg-map-is-semialg-map*:

assumes *is-semialg-map* $n\ m\ f$
shows *to-semialg-map* $n\ m\ f \in \text{semialg-maps } n\ m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

14.6 Application of Functions to Segments of Tuples

definition *take-apply where*

$\text{take-apply } m\ n\ f = \text{restrict } (f \circ \text{take } n) (\text{carrier } (Q_p^m))$

definition *drop-apply where*

$\text{drop-apply } m\ n\ f = \text{restrict } (f \circ \text{drop } n) (\text{carrier } (Q_p^m))$

lemma *take-apply-closed*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Fun_n\ Q_p)$
assumes $k \geq n$
shows *take-apply* $k\ n\ f \in \text{carrier } (Fun_k\ Q_p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-apply-SA-closed*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$
assumes $k \geq n$
shows *take-apply* $k\ n\ f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *drop-apply-closed*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_k - n \ Q_p)$
assumes $k \geq n$
shows $\text{drop-apply } k \ n \ f \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_k \ Q_p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *drop-apply-SA-closed*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ (k-n))$
assumes $k \geq n$
shows $\text{drop-apply } k \ n \ f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-apply-apply*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^k)$
shows $\text{take-apply } (n+k) \ n \ f \ (a@b) = f \ a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *drop-apply-apply*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ k)$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^k)$
shows $\text{drop-apply } (n+k) \ n \ f \ (a@b) = f \ b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *drop-apply-add*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
shows $\text{drop-apply } (n+k) \ k \ (f \oplus_{SA \ n} \ g) = \text{drop-apply } (n+k) \ k \ f \oplus_{SA \ (n+k)}$
 $\text{drop-apply } (n+k) \ k \ g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *drop-apply-mult*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
shows $\text{drop-apply } (n+k) \ k \ (f \otimes_{SA \ n} \ g) = \text{drop-apply } (n+k) \ k \ f \otimes_{SA \ (n+k)}$
 $\text{drop-apply } (n+k) \ k \ g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *drop-apply-one*:
shows $\text{drop-apply } (n+k) \ k \ \mathbf{1}_{SA \ n} = \mathbf{1}_{SA \ (n+k)}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *drop-apply-is-hom*:
shows $\text{drop-apply } (n+k) \ k \in \text{ring-hom } (SA \ n) \ (SA \ (n+k))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-apply-add*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ k)$

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (SA\ k)$

shows $\text{take-apply } (n+k)\ k\ (f \oplus_{SA\ k}\ g) = \text{take-apply } (n+k)\ k\ f \oplus_{SA\ (n+k)}$

take-apply $(n+k)\ k\ g$

<proof>

lemma *take-apply-mult*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ k)$

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (SA\ k)$

shows $\text{take-apply } (n+k)\ k\ (f \otimes_{SA\ k}\ g) = \text{take-apply } (n+k)\ k\ f \otimes_{SA\ (n+k)}$

take-apply $(n+k)\ k\ g$

<proof>

lemma *take-apply-one*:

shows $\text{take-apply } (n+k)\ k\ \mathbf{1}_{SA\ k} = \mathbf{1}_{SA\ (n+k)}$

<proof>

lemma *take-apply-is-hom*:

shows $\text{take-apply } (n+k)\ k \in \text{ring-hom } (SA\ k)\ (SA\ (n+k))$

<proof>

lemma *drop-apply-units*:

assumes $f \in \text{Units } (SA\ n)$

shows $\text{drop-apply } (n+k)\ k\ f \in \text{Units } (SA\ (n+k))$

<proof>

lemma *take-apply-units*:

assumes $f \in \text{Units } (SA\ k)$

shows $\text{take-apply } (n+k)\ k\ f \in \text{Units } (SA\ (n+k))$

<proof>

14.7 Level Sets of Semialgebraic Functions

lemma *evimage-is-semialg*:

assumes $h \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$

assumes *is-univ-semialgebraic* S

shows *is-semialgebraic* $n\ (h^{-1}_n\ S)$

<proof>

lemma *semialg-val-ineq-set-is-semialg*:

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (SA\ k)$

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ k)$

shows *is-semialgebraic* $k\ \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^k). \text{val } (g\ x) \leq \text{val } (f\ x)\}$

<proof>

lemma *semialg-val-ineq-set-is-semialg'*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ k)$

shows *is-semialgebraic* $k\ \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^k). \text{val } (f\ x) \leq C\}$

<proof>

lemma *semialg-val-ineq-set-is-semialg''*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ k)$

shows *is-semialgebraic* $k \ \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^k). \text{val } (f \ x) \geq C\}$

<proof>

lemma *semialg-level-set-is-semialg*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ k)$

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

shows *is-semialgebraic* $k \ \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^k). f \ x = c\}$

<proof>

lemma *semialg-val-eq-set-is-semialg'*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ k)$

shows *is-semialgebraic* $k \ \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^k). \text{val } (f \ x) = C\}$

<proof>

lemma *semialg-val-eq-set-is-semialg*:

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (SA \ k)$

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ k)$

shows *is-semialgebraic* $k \ \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^k). \text{val } (g \ x) = \text{val } (f \ x)\}$

<proof>

lemma *semialg-val-strict-ineq-set-formula*:

$\{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^k). \text{val } (g \ x) < \text{val } (f \ x)\} = \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^k). \text{val } (g \ x) \leq \text{val } (f \ x)\} - \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^k). \text{val } (g \ x) = \text{val } (f \ x)\}$

<proof>

lemma *semialg-val-ineq-set-complement*:

$\text{carrier } (Q_p^k) - \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^k). \text{val } (g \ x) \leq \text{val } (f \ x)\} = \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^k). \text{val } (f \ x) < \text{val } (g \ x)\}$

<proof>

lemma *semialg-val-strict-ineq-set-complement*:

$\text{carrier } (Q_p^k) - \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^k). \text{val } (g \ x) < \text{val } (f \ x)\} = \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^k). \text{val } (f \ x) \leq \text{val } (g \ x)\}$

<proof>

lemma *semialg-val-strict-ineq-set-is-semialg*:

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (SA \ k)$

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ k)$

shows *is-semialgebraic* $k \ \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^k). \text{val } (g \ x) < \text{val } (f \ x)\}$

<proof>

lemma *semialg-val-strict-ineq-set-is-semialg'*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ k)$

shows *is-semialgebraic* $k \ \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^k). \text{val } (f \ x) < C\}$

<proof>

lemma *semialg-val-strict-ineq-set-is-semialg''*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ k)$

shows *is-semialgebraic* $k \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^k). \text{val } (f\ x) > C\}$

<proof>

lemma *semialg-val-ineq-set-plus*:

assumes $N > 0$

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } (SA\ N)$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (SA\ N)$

shows *is-semialgebraic* $N \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^N). \text{val } (c\ x) \leq \text{val } (a\ x) + \text{eint } n\}$

<proof>

lemma *semialg-val-eq-set-plus*:

assumes $N > 0$

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } (SA\ N)$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (SA\ N)$

shows *is-semialgebraic* $N \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^N). \text{val } (c\ x) = \text{val } (a\ x) + \text{eint } n\}$

<proof>

definition *SA-zero-set where*

SA-zero-set $n\ f = \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n). f\ x = \mathbf{0}\}$

lemma *SA-zero-set-is-semialgebraic*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$

shows *is-semialgebraic* $n\ (SA\ \text{-zero-set } n\ f)$

<proof>

lemma *SA-zero-set-memE*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$

assumes $x \in SA\ \text{-zero-set } n\ f$

shows $f\ x = \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma *SA-zero-set-memI*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $f\ x = \mathbf{0}$

shows $x \in SA\ \text{-zero-set } n\ f$

<proof>

lemma *SA-zero-set-of-zero*:

SA-zero-set $m\ (\mathbf{0}_{SA\ m}) = \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$

<proof>

definition *SA-nonzero-set where*

SA-nonzero-set $n\ f = \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n). f\ x \neq \mathbf{0}\}$

lemma *nonzero-evimage-closed*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$

shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } n \ \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n). f\ x \neq \mathbf{0}\}$

<proof>

lemma *SA-nonzero-set-is-semialgebraic*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$

shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } n \ (SA\text{-nonzero-set } n\ f)$

<proof>

lemma *SA-nonzero-set-memE*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$

assumes $x \in SA\text{-nonzero-set } n\ f$

shows $f\ x \neq \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma *SA-nonzero-set-memI*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $f\ x \neq \mathbf{0}$

shows $x \in SA\text{-nonzero-set } n\ f$

<proof>

lemma *SA-nonzero-set-of-zero*:

$SA\text{-nonzero-set } m \ (\mathbf{0}_{SA\ m}) = \{\}$

<proof>

lemma *SA-car-memI'*:

assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m) \implies f\ x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $\bigwedge x. x \notin \text{carrier } (Q_p^m) \implies f\ x = \text{undefined}$

assumes $\bigwedge k\ n\ P. n > 0 \implies P \in \text{carrier } (Q_p [\mathcal{X}_{1+k}]) \implies \text{is-semialgebraic } (m+k) \text{ (partial-pullback } m\ f\ k \text{ (basic-semialg-set } (1+k)\ n\ P))$

shows $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ m)$

<proof>

lemma(*in padic-fields*) *SA-zero-set-is-semialg*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (SA\ m)$

shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } m \ \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m). a\ x = \mathbf{0}\}$

<proof>

lemma(*in padic-fields*) *SA-nonzero-set-is-semialg*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (SA\ m)$

shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } m \ \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m). a\ x \neq \mathbf{0}\}$

<proof>

lemma *zero-set-nonzero-set-covers*:

$\text{carrier } (Q_p^n) = SA\text{-zero-set } n\ f \cup SA\text{-nonzero-set } n\ f$

<proof>

lemma *zero-set-nonzero-set-covers'*:
assumes $S \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $S = (S \cap \text{SA-zero-set } n f) \cup (S \cap \text{SA-nonzero-set } n f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zero-set-nonzero-set-covers-semialg-set*:
assumes *is-semialgebraic* $n S$
shows $S = (S \cap \text{SA-zero-set } n f) \cup (S \cap \text{SA-nonzero-set } n f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

14.8 Partitioning Semialgebraic Sets According to Valuations of Functions

Given a semialgebraic set A and a finite set of semialgebraic functions F s, a common construction is to simplify one's understanding of the behaviour of the functions F s on A by finitely partitioning A into subsets where the element $f \in F$ for which $\text{val}(fx)$ is minimal is constant as x ranges over each piece of the partition. The function `Min_set` helps construct this by picking out the subset of a set A where the valuation of a particular element of F s is minimal. Such a set will always be semialgebraic.

lemma *disjointify-semialg*:
assumes *finite* As
assumes $As \subseteq \text{semialg-sets } n$
shows *disjointify* $As \subseteq \text{semialg-sets } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *semialgebraic-subalgebra*:
assumes *finite* Xs
assumes $Xs \subseteq \text{semialg-sets } n$
shows *atoms-of* $Xs \subseteq \text{semialg-sets } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(in *padic-fields*) *finite-intersection-is-semialg*:
assumes *finite* Xs
assumes $Xs \neq \{\}$
assumes $F \cdot Xs \subseteq \text{semialg-sets } m$
shows *is-semialgebraic* $m (\bigcap i \in Xs. F i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *Min-set where*
 $\text{Min-set } m As a = \text{carrier } (Q_p^m) \cap (\bigcap f \in As. \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m). \text{val } (a x) \leq \text{val } (f x)\})$

lemma *Min-set-memE*:
assumes $x \in \text{Min-set } m As a$
assumes $f \in As$
shows $\text{val } (a x) \leq \text{val } (f x)$

<proof>

lemma *Min-set-closed:*
Min-set m As $a \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$
<proof>

lemma *Min-set-semialg0:*
assumes $As \subseteq \text{carrier } (SA\ m)$
assumes *finite* As
assumes $a \in As$
assumes $As \neq \{\}$
shows *is-semialgebraic* m (*Min-set* m As a)
<proof>

lemma *Min-set-semialg:*
assumes $As \subseteq \text{carrier } (SA\ m)$
assumes *finite* As
assumes $a \in As$
shows *is-semialgebraic* m (*Min-set* m As a)
<proof>

lemma *Min-sets-cover:*
assumes $As \neq \{\}$
assumes *finite* As
shows $\text{carrier } (Q_p^m) = (\bigcup a \in As. \text{Min-set } m\ As\ a)$
<proof>

The sets defined by the function `Min_set` for a fixed set of functions may not all be disjoint, but we can easily refine them to obtain a finite partition via the function "disjointify".

definition *Min-set-partition where*
Min-set-partition m As $B = \text{disjointify } ((\cap)B \text{ ` } (Min\text{-set } m\ As \text{ ` } As))$

lemma *Min-set-partition-finite:*
assumes *finite* As
shows *finite* (*Min-set-partition* m As B)
<proof>

lemma *Min-set-partition-semialg0:*
assumes *finite* As
assumes $As \subseteq \text{carrier } (SA\ m)$
assumes *is-semialgebraic* m B
assumes $S \in ((\cap)B \text{ ` } (Min\text{-set } m\ As \text{ ` } As))$
shows *is-semialgebraic* m S
<proof>

lemma *Min-set-partition-semialg:*
assumes *finite* As
assumes $As \subseteq \text{carrier } (SA\ m)$

assumes *is-semialgebraic* m B
assumes $S \in (\text{Min-set-partition } m \text{ } As \text{ } B)$
shows *is-semialgebraic* m S
 <proof>

lemma *Min-set-partition-covers0*:
assumes *finite* As
assumes $As \neq \{\}$
assumes $As \subseteq \text{carrier } (SA \text{ } m)$
assumes *is-semialgebraic* m B
shows $\bigcup ((\bigcap) B \text{ } (Min-set \text{ } m \text{ } As \text{ } As)) = B$
 <proof>

lemma *Min-set-partition-covers*:
assumes *finite* As
assumes $As \subseteq \text{carrier } (SA \text{ } m)$
assumes $As \neq \{\}$
assumes *is-semialgebraic* m B
shows $\bigcup (\text{Min-set-partition } m \text{ } As \text{ } B) = B$
 <proof>

lemma *Min-set-partition-disjoint*:
assumes *finite* As
assumes $As \subseteq \text{carrier } (SA \text{ } m)$
assumes $As \neq \{\}$
assumes *is-semialgebraic* m B
assumes $s \in \text{Min-set-partition } m \text{ } As \text{ } B$
assumes $s' \in \text{Min-set-partition } m \text{ } As \text{ } B$
assumes $s \neq s'$
shows $s \cap s' = \{\}$
 <proof>

lemma *Min-set-partition-memE*:
assumes *finite* As
assumes $As \subseteq \text{carrier } (SA \text{ } m)$
assumes $As \neq \{\}$
assumes *is-semialgebraic* m B
assumes $s \in \text{Min-set-partition } m \text{ } As \text{ } B$
shows $\exists f \in As. (\forall x \in s. (\forall g \in As. \text{val } (f \text{ } x) \leq \text{val } (g \text{ } x)))$
 <proof>

14.9 Valiative Congruence Sets for Semialgebraic Functions

The set of points x where the values $\text{ord } f(x)$ satisfy a congruence are important basic examples of semialgebraic sets, and will be vital in the proof of Macintyre's Theorem. The lemma below is essentially the content of Denef's Lemma 2.1.3 from his cell decomposition paper.

lemma *pre-SA-unit-cong-set-is-semialg*:

assumes $k \geq 0$
assumes $f \in \text{Units}(SA\ n)$
shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } n \{x \in \text{carrier}(Q_p^n). \text{ord}(f\ x) \bmod k = a\}$
 <proof>

lemma *SA-unit-cong-set-is-semialg:*

assumes $f \in \text{Units}(SA\ n)$
shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } n \{x \in \text{carrier}(Q_p^n). \text{ord}(f\ x) \bmod k = a\}$
 <proof>

14.10 Gluing Functions Along Semialgebraic Sets

Semialgebraic functions have the useful property that they are closed under piecewise definitions. That is, if f, g are semialgebraic and $C \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p^m$ is a semialgebraic set, then the function:

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in C \\ g(x) & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^m - C \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is again semialgebraic. The function h can be obtained by the definition

$$\mathbf{h} = \text{fun_glue } m\ C\ f\ g$$

which is defined below. This closure property means that we can avoid having to define partial semialgebraic functions which are undefined outside of some proper subset of \mathbb{Q}_p^m , since it usually suffices to just define the function as some arbitrary constant outside of the desired domain. This is useful for defining partial multiplicative inverses of arbitrary functions. If f is semialgebraic, then its nonzero set $\{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p^m \mid f\ x \neq 0\}$ is semialgebraic. By gluing f to the constant function 1 outside of its nonzero set, we obtain an invertible element in the ring $\text{SA}(m)$ which evaluates to a multiplicative inverse of $f(x)$ on the largest domain possible.

14.10.1 Defining Piecewise Semialgebraic Functions

An important property that will be repeatedly used is that we can define piecewise semialgebraic functions, which will themselves be semialgebraic as long as the pieces are semialgebraic sets. An important application of this principle will be that a function f which is always nonzero on some semialgebraic set A can be replaced with a global unit in the ring of semialgebraic functions. This global unit admits a global multiplicative inverse that inverts f pointwise on A , and allows us to avoid having to consider localizations of function rings to locally invert such functions.

definition *fun-glue where*

$\text{fun-glue } n \ S \ f \ g = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n). \text{ if } x \in S \text{ then } f \ x \text{ else } g \ x)$

lemma *fun-glueE*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
assumes $S \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
assumes $x \in S$
shows $\text{fun-glue } n \ S \ f \ g \ x = f \ x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fun-glueE'*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
assumes $S \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) - S$
shows $\text{fun-glue } n \ S \ f \ g \ x = g \ x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fun-glue-evimage*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
assumes $S \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $\text{fun-glue } n \ S \ f \ g^{-1} \ n \ T = ((f^{-1} \ n \ T) \cap S) \cup ((g^{-1} \ n \ T) - S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fun-glue-partial-pullback*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ k)$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (SA \ k)$
assumes $S \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^k)$
shows $\text{partial-pullback } k \ (\text{fun-glue } k \ S \ f \ g) \ n \ T =$
 $((\text{cartesian-product } S \ (\text{carrier } (Q_p^n))) \cap \text{partial-pullback } k \ f \ n \ T) \cup$
 $((\text{partial-pullback } k \ g \ n \ T) - (\text{cartesian-product } S \ (\text{carrier } (Q_p^n))))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fun-glue-eval-closed*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
assumes *is-semialgebraic* $n \ S$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $\text{fun-glue } n \ S \ f \ g \ x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fun-glue-closed*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
assumes *is-semialgebraic* $n \ S$
shows $\text{fun-glue } n \ S \ f \ g \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fun-gluе-unit*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$

assumes *is-semialgebraic* $n\ S$

assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in S \implies f\ x \neq \mathbf{0}$

shows *fun-gluе* $n\ S\ f\ \mathbf{1}_{SA\ n} \in \text{Units } (SA\ n)$

<proof>

definition *parametric-fun-gluе where*

parametric-fun-gluе $n\ Xs\ fs = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n). \text{let } S = (\text{THE } S. S \in Xs \wedge x \in S) \text{ in } (fs\ S\ x))$

lemma *parametric-fun-gluе-formula*:

assumes *Xs partitions* $(\text{carrier } (Q_p^n))$

assumes $x \in S$

assumes $S \in Xs$

shows *parametric-fun-gluе* $n\ Xs\ fs\ x = fs\ S\ x$

<proof>

definition *char-fun where*

char-fun $n\ S = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n). \text{if } x \in S \text{ then } \mathbf{1} \text{ else } \mathbf{0})$

lemma *char-fun-is-semialg*:

assumes *is-semialgebraic* $n\ S$

shows *char-fun* $n\ S \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$

<proof>

lemma *SA-finsum-apply*:

assumes *finite* S

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

shows $F \in S \rightarrow \text{carrier } (SA\ n) \longrightarrow \text{finsum } (SA\ n)\ F\ S\ x = (\bigoplus_{s \in S. F\ s\ x})$

<proof>

lemma *SA-finsum-apply-zero*:

assumes *finite* S

assumes $F \in S \rightarrow \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $\bigwedge s. s \in S \implies F\ s\ x = \mathbf{0}$

shows *finsum* $(SA\ n)\ F\ S\ x = \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma *parametric-fun-gluе-is-SA*:

assumes *finite* Xs

assumes *Xs partitions* $(\text{carrier } (Q_p^n))$

assumes $fs \in Xs \rightarrow \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$

assumes $\forall S \in Xs. \text{is-semialgebraic } n\ S$

shows *parametric-fun-gluе* $n\ Xs\ fs \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$

<proof>

14.10.2 Turning Functions into Units Via Gluing

By gluing a function to the multiplicative unit on its zero set, we can get a canonical choice of local multiplicative inverse of a function f . Denef's proof frequently reasons about functions of the form $\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$ with the tacit understanding that they are meant to be defined on the largest domain of definition possible. This technical tool allows us to replicate this kind of reasoning in our formal proofs.

definition *to-fun-unit where*

to-fun-unit $n f = \text{fun-glue } n \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n). f x \neq \mathbf{0}\} f \mathbf{1}_{SA\ n}$

lemma *to-fun-unit-is-unit:*

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$

shows *to-fun-unit* $n f \in \text{Units } (SA\ n)$

<proof>

lemma *to-fun-unit-closed:*

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$

shows *to-fun-unit* $n f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$

<proof>

lemma *to-fun-unit-eq:*

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $f x \neq \mathbf{0}$

shows *to-fun-unit* $n f x = f x$

<proof>

lemma *to-fun-unit-eq':*

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $f x = \mathbf{0}$

shows *to-fun-unit* $n f x = \mathbf{1}$

<proof>

definition *one-over-fun where*

one-over-fun $n f = \text{inv}_{SA\ n} (\text{to-fun-unit } n f)$

lemma *one-over-fun-closed:*

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$

shows *one-over-fun* $n f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$

<proof>

lemma *one-over-fun-eq:*

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $f x \neq \mathbf{0}$

shows *one-over-fun* $n f x = \text{inv } (f x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *one-over-fun-smult-eval*:

assumes $f \in carrier (SA\ n)$

assumes $a \neq \mathbf{0}$

assumes $a \in carrier\ Q_p$

assumes $x \in carrier\ (Q_p^n)$

assumes $f\ x \neq \mathbf{0}$

shows $one-over-fun\ n\ (a \odot_{SA\ n} f)\ x = inv\ (a \otimes (f\ x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *one-over-fun-smult-eval'*:

assumes $f \in carrier (SA\ n)$

assumes $a \neq \mathbf{0}$

assumes $a \in carrier\ Q_p$

assumes $x \in carrier\ (Q_p^n)$

assumes $f\ x \neq \mathbf{0}$

shows $one-over-fun\ n\ (a \odot_{SA\ n} f)\ x = inv\ a \otimes inv\ (f\ x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SA-add-pow-closed*:

assumes $f \in carrier (SA\ n)$

shows $([k::nat] \cdot_{SA\ n} f) \in carrier (SA\ n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *one-over-fun-add-pow-eval*:

assumes $f \in carrier (SA\ n)$

assumes $x \in carrier\ (Q_p^n)$

assumes $f\ x \neq \mathbf{0}$

assumes $(k::nat) > 0$

shows $one-over-fun\ n\ ([k] \cdot_{SA\ n} f)\ x = inv\ ([k] \cdot f\ x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *one-over-fun-pow-closed*:

assumes $f \in carrier (SA\ n)$

shows $one-over-fun\ n\ (f[\wedge]_{SA\ n}(k::nat)) \in carrier (SA\ n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *one-over-fun-pow-eval*:

assumes $f \in carrier (SA\ n)$

assumes $x \in carrier\ (Q_p^n)$

assumes $f\ x \neq \mathbf{0}$

shows $one-over-fun\ n\ (f[\wedge]_{SA\ n}(k::nat))\ x = inv\ ((f\ x) [\wedge] k)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

14.11 Inclusions of Lower Dimensional Function Rings

definition *fun-inc* where

fun-inc $m\ n\ f = (\lambda\ x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m). f\ (\text{take } n\ x))$

lemma *fun-inc-closed*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$

assumes $m \geq n$

shows $\text{fun-inc } m\ n\ f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ m)$

<proof>

lemma *fun-inc-eval*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$

shows $\text{fun-inc } m\ n\ f\ x = f\ (\text{take } n\ x)$

<proof>

lemma *ord-congruence-set-univ-semialg-fixed*:

assumes $n \geq 0$

shows $\text{is-univ-semialgebraic } (\text{ord-congruence-set } n\ a)$

<proof>

lemma *ord-congruence-set-SA-function*:

assumes $n \geq 0$

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } (SA\ (m+l))$

shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } (m+l)\ \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{m+l}). c\ x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p \wedge \text{ord } (c\ x) \bmod n = a\}$

<proof>

lemma *ac-cong-set-SA*:

assumes $n > 0$

assumes $k \in \text{Units } (Zp\text{-res-ring } n)$

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } (SA\ (m+l))$

shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } (m+l)\ \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{m+l}). c\ x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p \wedge \text{ac } n\ (c\ x) = k\}$

<proof>

lemma *ac-cong-set-SA'*:

assumes $n > 0$

assumes $k \in \text{Units } (Zp\text{-res-ring } n)$

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } (SA\ m)$

shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } m\ \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m). c\ x \in \text{nonzero } Q_p \wedge \text{ac } n\ (c\ x) = k\}$

<proof>

lemma *ac-cong-set-SA''*:

assumes $n > 0$

assumes $m > 0$

assumes $k \in \text{Units } (Zp\text{-res-ring } n)$

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } (SA\ m)$

assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m) \implies c x \neq \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } m \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m). \text{ac } n (c x) = k\}$
 <proof>

14.12 Miscellaneous

lemma *nth-pow-wits-SA-fun-prep*:
assumes $n > 0$
assumes $h \in \text{carrier } (SA \ m)$
assumes $\varrho \in \text{nth-pow-wits } n$
shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } m (h^{-1} \text{mpow-res } n \ \varrho)$
 <proof>

definition *kth-rt where*
kth-rt $m (k::\text{nat}) f x = (\text{if } x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m) \text{ then } (THE \ b. b \in \text{carrier } Q_p \wedge b[\wedge]k = (f x) \wedge \text{ac } (\text{nat } (\text{ord } ([k]\cdot\mathbf{1})) + 1) \ b = 1)$
else undefined)

Normalizing a semialgebraic function to have a constant angular component

lemma *ac-res-Unit-inc*:
assumes $n > 0$
assumes $t \in \text{Units } (Zp\text{-res-ring } n)$
shows $\text{ac } n ([t]\cdot\mathbf{1}) = t$
 <proof>

lemma *val-of-res-Unit*:
assumes $n > 0$
assumes $t \in \text{Units } (Zp\text{-res-ring } n)$
shows $\text{val } ([t]\cdot\mathbf{1}) = 0$
 <proof>

lemma(in *padic-integers*) *res-map-is-hom*:
assumes $N > 0$
shows $\text{ring-hom-ring } Zp (Zp\text{-res-ring } N) (\lambda x. x \ N)$
 <proof>

lemma *ac-of-fraction*:
assumes $N > 0$
assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
assumes $b \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$
shows $\text{ac } N (a \div b) = \text{ac } N a \otimes_{Zp\text{-res-ring } N} \text{inv } Zp\text{-res-ring } N \ \text{ac } N b$
 <proof>

lemma *pow-res-eq-rel*:
assumes $n > 0$
assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $\{x \in \text{carrier } Q_p. \text{pow-res } n \ x = \text{pow-res } n \ b\} = \text{pow-res } n \ b$
 <proof>

lemma *pow-res-is-univ-semialgebraic'*:

assumes $n > 0$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

shows *is-univ-semialgebraic* $\{x \in \text{carrier } Q_p. \text{pow-res } n \ x = \text{pow-res } n \ b\}$

<proof>

lemma *evimage-eqI*:

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$

shows $c^{-1}_n \{x \in \text{carrier } Q_p. P \ x\} = \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n). P \ (c \ x)\}$

<proof>

lemma *SA-pow-res-is-semialgebraic*:

assumes $n > 0$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } (SA \ N)$

shows *is-semialgebraic* $N \ \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^N). \text{pow-res } n \ (c \ x) = \text{pow-res } n \ b\}$

<proof>

lemma *eint-diff-imp-eint*:

assumes $a \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

assumes $b \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

assumes $\text{val } a = \text{val } b + \text{eint } i$

shows $b \in \text{nonzero } Q_p$

<proof>

lemma *SA-minus-eval*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

shows $(f \ominus_{SA \ n} g) \ x = f \ x \ominus g \ x$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-cong-set-evimage*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Z_p$

shows *is-semialgebraic* $n \ (f^{-1}_n \ (Qp\text{-cong-set } \alpha \ a))$

<proof>

lemma *SA-constant-res-set-semialg*:

assumes $l \in \text{carrier } (Zp\text{-res-ring } n)$

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ m)$

shows *is-semialgebraic* $m \ \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m). f \ x \in \mathcal{O}_p \wedge Qp\text{-res } (f \ x) \ n = l\}$

<proof>

lemma *val-ring-cong-set*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ k)$

assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^k) \implies f \ x \in \mathcal{O}_p$

assumes $t \in \text{carrier } (Zp\text{-res-ring } n)$

shows *is-semialgebraic* $k \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^k). \text{to-Zp } (f \ x) \ n = t\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *val-ring-pullback-SA*:

assumes $N > 0$

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } (SA \ N)$

shows *is-semialgebraic* $N \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^N). \ c \ x \in \mathcal{O}_p\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(in *padic-fields*) *res-eq-set-is-semialg*:

assumes $k > 0$

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } (SA \ k)$

assumes $s \in \text{carrier } (Zp\text{-res-ring } n)$

shows *is-semialgebraic* $k \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^k). \ c \ x \in \mathcal{O}_p \wedge \text{to-Zp } (c \ x) \ n = s\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *SA-constant-res-set-semialg'*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ m)$

assumes $C \in Qp\text{-res-classes } n$

shows *is-semialgebraic* $m \ (f \ ^{-1}_m \ C)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

14.13 Semialgebraic Polynomials

lemma *UP-SA-n-is-ring*:

shows *ring* $(UP \ (SA \ n))$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *UP-SA-n-is-cring*:

shows *cring* $(UP \ (SA \ n))$

⟨*proof*⟩

The evaluation homomorphism from $\mathbb{Q}_p\text{-funs}$ to \mathbb{Q}_p

definition *eval-hom* **where**

eval-hom $a = (\lambda f. \ f \ a)$

lemma *eval-hom-is-hom*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

shows *ring-hom-ring* $(Fun_n \ Q_p) \ Q_p \ (eval\text{-hom } a)$

⟨*proof*⟩

the homomorphism from $Fun \ n \ \mathbb{Q}_p \ [x]$ to $\mathbb{Q}_p \ [x]$ induced by evaluation of coefficients

definition *Qp-fpoly-to-Qp-poly* **where**

Qp-fpoly-to-Qp-poly $n \ a = \text{poly-lift-hom } (Fun_n \ Q_p) \ Q_p \ (eval\text{-hom } a)$

lemma *Qp-fpoly-to-Qp-poly-is-hom*:

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

shows $(Qp\text{-fpoly-to-Qp-poly } n \ a) \in \text{ring-hom } (UP \ (Fun_n \ Q_p)) \ (Q_p\text{-}x)$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-fpoly-to-Qp-poly-extends-apply:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n Q_p)$

shows $Qp\text{-fpoly-to-}Qp\text{-poly } n \ a \ (\text{to-polynomial } (\text{Fun}_n Q_p) \ f) = \text{to-polynomial } Q_p \ (f \ a)$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-fpoly-to-Qp-poly-X-var:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

shows $Qp\text{-fpoly-to-}Qp\text{-poly } n \ a \ (X\text{-poly } (\text{Fun}_n Q_p)) = X\text{-poly } Q_p$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-fpoly-to-Qp-poly-monom:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n Q_p)$

shows $Qp\text{-fpoly-to-}Qp\text{-poly } n \ a \ (\text{up-ring.monom } (UP (\text{Fun}_n Q_p)) \ f \ m) = \text{up-ring.monom } Q_p\text{-x } (f \ a) \ m$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-fpoly-to-Qp-poly-coeff:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP (\text{Fun}_n Q_p))$

shows $Qp\text{-fpoly-to-}Qp\text{-poly } n \ a \ f \ k = (f \ k) \ a$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-fpoly-to-Qp-poly-eval:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (UP (\text{Fun}_n Q_p))$

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n Q_p)$

shows $(UP\text{-cring.to-fun } (\text{Fun}_n Q_p) \ P \ f) \ a = UP\text{-cring.to-fun } Q_p \ (Qp\text{-fpoly-to-}Qp\text{-poly } n \ a \ P) \ (f \ a)$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-fpoly-to-Qp-poly-sub:*

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP (\text{Fun}_n Q_p))$

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP (\text{Fun}_n Q_p))$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

shows $Qp\text{-fpoly-to-}Qp\text{-poly } n \ a \ (\text{compose } (\text{Fun}_n Q_p) \ f \ g) = \text{compose } Q_p \ (Qp\text{-fpoly-to-}Qp\text{-poly } n \ a \ f) \ (Qp\text{-fpoly-to-}Qp\text{-poly } n \ a \ g)$

<proof>

lemma *Qp-fpoly-to-Qp-poly-taylor-poly:*

assumes $F \in \text{carrier } (UP (\text{Fun}_n Q_p))$

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } (\text{Fun}_n Q_p)$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

shows $Qp\text{-fpoly-to-}Qp\text{-poly } n \ a \ (\text{taylor-expansion } (\text{Fun}_n Q_p) \ c \ F) = \text{taylor-expansion } Q_p \ (c \ a) \ (Qp\text{-fpoly-to-}Qp\text{-poly } n \ a \ F)$

<proof>

lemma *SA-is-UP-cring:*
shows *UP-cring (SA n)*
<proof>

lemma *eval-hom-is-SA-hom:*
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows *ring-hom-ring (SA n) Q_p (eval-hom a)*
<proof>

the homomorphism from $(SA\ n)[x]$ to $Q_p[x]$ induced by evaluation of coefficients

definition *SA-poly-to-Qp-poly where*
SA-poly-to-Qp-poly n a = poly-lift-hom (SA n) Q_p (eval-hom a)

lemma *SA-poly-to-Qp-poly-is-hom:*
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $(SA\text{-poly-to-}Q_p\text{-poly } n\ a) \in \text{ring-hom } (UP\ (SA\ n))\ (Q_p\text{-}x)$
<proof>

lemma *SA-poly-to-Qp-poly-closed:*
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (UP\ (SA\ n))$
shows *SA-poly-to-Qp-poly n a P \in carrier $Q_p\text{-}x$*
<proof>

lemma *SA-poly-to-Qp-poly-add:*
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP\ (SA\ n))$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP\ (SA\ n))$
shows *SA-poly-to-Qp-poly n a (f $\oplus_{UP\ (SA\ n)}$ g) = SA-poly-to-Qp-poly n a f*
 $\oplus_{Q_p\text{-}x}$ SA-poly-to-Qp-poly n a g
<proof>

lemma *SA-poly-to-Qp-poly-minus:*
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP\ (SA\ n))$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP\ (SA\ n))$
shows *SA-poly-to-Qp-poly n a (f $\ominus_{UP\ (SA\ n)}$ g) = SA-poly-to-Qp-poly n a f*
 $\ominus_{Q_p\text{-}x}$ SA-poly-to-Qp-poly n a g
<proof>

lemma *SA-poly-to-Qp-poly-mult:*
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP\ (SA\ n))$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP\ (SA\ n))$
shows *SA-poly-to-Qp-poly n a (f $\otimes_{UP\ (SA\ n)}$ g) = SA-poly-to-Qp-poly n a f*
 $\otimes_{Q_p\text{-}x}$ SA-poly-to-Qp-poly n a g

<proof>

lemma *SA-poly-to-Qp-poly-extends-apply:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$

shows $SA\text{-poly-to-Qp-poly } n\ a\ (\text{to-polynomial } (SA\ n)\ f) = \text{to-polynomial } Q_p\ (f\ a)$

<proof>

lemma *SA-poly-to-Qp-poly-X-var:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

shows $SA\text{-poly-to-Qp-poly } n\ a\ (X\text{-poly } (SA\ n)) = X\text{-poly } Q_p$

<proof>

lemma *SA-poly-to-Qp-poly-X-plus:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$

shows $SA\text{-poly-to-Qp-poly } n\ a\ (X\text{-poly-plus } (SA\ n)\ c) = UPQ.X\text{-plus } (c\ a)$

<proof>

lemma *SA-poly-to-Qp-poly-X-minus:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$

shows $SA\text{-poly-to-Qp-poly } n\ a\ (X\text{-poly-minus } (SA\ n)\ c) = UPQ.X\text{-minus } (c\ a)$

<proof>

lemma *SA-poly-to-Qp-poly-monom:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$

shows $SA\text{-poly-to-Qp-poly } n\ a\ (\text{up-ring.monom } (UP\ (SA\ n))\ f\ m) = \text{up-ring.monom } Q_p\text{-x } (f\ a)\ m$

<proof>

lemma *SA-poly-to-Qp-poly-coeff:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP\ (SA\ n))$

shows $SA\text{-poly-to-Qp-poly } n\ a\ f\ k = (f\ k)\ a$

<proof>

lemma *SA-poly-to-Qp-poly-eval:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (UP\ (SA\ n))$

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA\ n)$

shows $(UP\text{-cring.to-fun } (SA\ n)\ P\ f)\ a = UP\text{-cring.to-fun } Q_p\ (SA\text{-poly-to-Qp-poly } n\ a\ P)\ (f\ a)$

<proof>

lemma *SA-poly-to-Qp-poly-sub:*

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP\ (SA\ n))$

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ n))$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $SA\text{-poly-to-}Q_p\text{-poly } n \ a \ (compose \ (SA \ n) \ f \ g) = compose \ Q_p \ (SA\text{-poly-to-}Q_p\text{-poly } n \ a \ f) \ (SA\text{-poly-to-}Q_p\text{-poly } n \ a \ g)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *SA-poly-to- Q_p -poly-deg-bound:*
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ m))$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$
shows $deg \ Q_p \ (SA\text{-poly-to-}Q_p\text{-poly } m \ x \ g) \leq deg \ (SA \ m) \ g$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *SA-poly-to- Q_p -poly-taylor-poly:*
assumes $F \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ n))$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $SA\text{-poly-to-}Q_p\text{-poly } n \ a \ (taylor\text{-expansion } (SA \ n) \ c \ F) = taylor\text{-expansion } Q_p \ (c \ a) \ (SA\text{-poly-to-}Q_p\text{-poly } n \ a \ F)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *SA-poly-to- Q_p -poly-comm-taylor-term:*
assumes $F \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ n))$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $SA\text{-poly-to-}Q_p\text{-poly } n \ a \ (UP\text{-cring.taylor-term } (SA \ n) \ c \ F \ i) = UP\text{-cring.taylor-term } Q_p \ (c \ a) \ (SA\text{-poly-to-}Q_p\text{-poly } n \ a \ F) \ i$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *SA-poly-to- Q_p -poly-comm-pderiv:*
assumes $F \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ n))$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $SA\text{-poly-to-}Q_p\text{-poly } n \ a \ (UP\text{-cring.pderiv } (SA \ n) \ F) = UP\text{-cring.pderiv } Q_p \ (SA\text{-poly-to-}Q_p\text{-poly } n \ a \ F)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *SA-poly-to- Q_p -poly-pderiv:*
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ m))$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$
shows $UPQ.pderiv \ (SA\text{-poly-to-}Q_p\text{-poly } m \ x \ g) = (SA\text{-poly-to-}Q_p\text{-poly } m \ x \ (pderiv \ m \ g))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma(in *UP-cring*) *pderiv-deg-lt:*
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ R)$
assumes $deg \ R \ f > 0$
shows $deg \ R \ (pderiv \ f) < deg \ R \ f$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *deg-pderiv:*

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ m))$
assumes $\text{deg } (SA \ m) \ f > 0$
shows $\text{deg } (SA \ m) \ (\text{pderiv } m \ f) = \text{deg } (SA \ m) \ f - 1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *SA-poly-to-Qp-poly-smult:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (SA \ m)$
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ m))$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$
shows $SA\text{-poly-to-}Q_p\text{-poly } m \ x \ (a \odot_{UP} \ (SA \ m) \ f) = a \ x \odot_{UP} \ Q_p \ SA\text{-poly-to-}Q_p\text{-poly}$
 $m \ x \ f$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *SA-poly-constant-res-class-semialg:*

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ m))$
assumes $\bigwedge i \ x. \ x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m) \implies f \ i \ x \in \mathcal{O}_p$
assumes $\text{deg } (SA \ m) \ f \leq d$
assumes $C \in \text{poly-res-classes } n \ d$
shows $\text{is-semialgebraic } m \ \{x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m). \ SA\text{-poly-to-}Q_p\text{-poly } m \ x \ f \in C\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

Maps a polynomial $F(t) \in UP(SA n)$ to a function sending $(t, a) \in (Q_p(n + 1) \mapsto F(a)(t) \in Q_p$

definition *SA-poly-to-SA-fun where*

SA-poly-to-SA-fun $n \ P = (\lambda a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{Suc \ n}). \ UP\text{-cring.to-fun } Q_p \ (SA\text{-poly-to-}Q_p\text{-poly}$
 $n \ (tl \ a) \ P) \ (hd \ a))$

lemma *SA-poly-to-SA-fun-is-fun:*

assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ n))$
shows $SA\text{-poly-to-}SA\text{-fun } n \ P \in (\text{carrier } (Q_p^{Suc \ n}) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *SA-poly-to-SA-fun-formula:*

assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ n))$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
assumes $t \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $SA\text{-poly-to-}SA\text{-fun } n \ P \ (t\#x) = (SA\text{-poly-to-}Q_p\text{-poly } n \ x \ P) \cdot t$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *semialg-map-comp-in-SA:*

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
assumes $\text{is-semialg-map } m \ n \ g$
shows $(\lambda a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m). \ f \ (g \ a)) \in \text{carrier } (SA \ m)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *tl-comp-in-SA:*

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
shows $(\lambda a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{Suc \ n}). \ f \ (tl \ a)) \in \text{carrier } (SA \ (Suc \ n))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *SA-poly-to-SA-fun-add-eval:*

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ n))$

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ n))$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{Suc \ n})$

shows $SA\text{-poly-to-SA-fun } n \ (f \oplus_{UP \ (SA \ n)} \ g) \ a = SA\text{-poly-to-SA-fun } n \ f \ a \oplus_{Q_p}$
 $SA\text{-poly-to-SA-fun } n \ g \ a$

<proof>

lemma *SA-poly-to-SA-fun-add:*

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ n))$

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ n))$

shows $SA\text{-poly-to-SA-fun } n \ (f \oplus_{UP \ (SA \ n)} \ g) = SA\text{-poly-to-SA-fun } n \ f \oplus_{SA \ (Suc \ n)}$
 $SA\text{-poly-to-SA-fun } n \ g$

<proof>

lemma *SA-poly-to-SA-fun-monom:*

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{Suc \ n})$

shows $SA\text{-poly-to-SA-fun } n \ (up\text{-ring.monom } (UP \ (SA \ n)) \ f \ k) \ a = (f \ (tl \ a)) \otimes (hd$
 $a) [\uparrow]_{Q_p} k$

<proof>

lemma *SA-poly-to-SA-fun-monom':*

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$

assumes $t \in \text{carrier } Q_p$

shows $SA\text{-poly-to-SA-fun } n \ (up\text{-ring.monom } (UP \ (SA \ n)) \ f \ k) \ (t\#x) = (f$
 $x) \otimes t [\uparrow]_{Q_p} k$

<proof>

lemma *hd-is-semialg-function:*

assumes $n > 0$

shows *is-semialg-function* $n \ hd$

<proof>

lemma *SA-poly-to-SA-fun-monom-closed:*

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$

shows $SA\text{-poly-to-SA-fun } n \ (up\text{-ring.monom } (UP \ (SA \ n)) \ f \ k) \in \text{carrier } (SA$
 $(Suc \ n))$

<proof>

lemma *SA-poly-to-SA-fun-is-SA:*

assumes $P \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ n))$

shows $SA\text{-poly-to-SA-fun } n \ P \in \text{carrier } (SA \ (Suc \ n))$

<proof>

lemma *SA-poly-to-SA-fun-mult:*

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ n))$

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ n))$
shows $SA\text{-poly-to-}SA\text{-fun } n \ (f \otimes_{UP \ (SA \ n)} g) = SA\text{-poly-to-}SA\text{-fun } n \ f \otimes_{SA \ (Suc \ n)} SA\text{-poly-to-}SA\text{-fun } n \ g$
<proof>

lemma *SA-poly-to-SA-fun-one:*
shows $SA\text{-poly-to-}SA\text{-fun } n \ (\mathbf{1}_{UP \ (SA \ n)}) = \mathbf{1}_{SA \ (Suc \ n)}$
<proof>

lemma *SA-poly-to-SA-fun-ring-hom:*
shows $SA\text{-poly-to-}SA\text{-fun } n \in \text{ring-hom } (UP \ (SA \ n)) \ (SA \ (Suc \ n))$
<proof>

lemma *SA-poly-to-SA-fun-taylor-term:*
assumes $F \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ n))$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
assumes $t \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $f = SA\text{-poly-to-}Qp\text{-poly } n \ x \ F$
shows $SA\text{-poly-to-}SA\text{-fun } n \ (UP\text{-cring.taylor-term } (SA \ n) \ c \ F \ k) \ (t\#x) = (\text{taylor-expansion } Q_p \ (c \ x) \ f \ k) \ \otimes \ (t \ominus c \ x) \ [\cdot]_{Q_p} \ k$
<proof>

lemma *SA-finsum-eval:*
assumes *finite* I
assumes $F \in I \rightarrow \text{carrier } (SA \ m)$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$
shows $(\bigoplus_{SA \ m}^{i \in I} F \ i) \ x = (\bigoplus_{i \in I} F \ i \ x)$
<proof>

lemma(*in ring*) *finsum-ring-hom:*
assumes *ring* S
assumes $h \in \text{ring-hom } R \ S$
assumes $F \in I \rightarrow \text{carrier } R$
assumes *finite* I
shows $h \ (\bigoplus_{i \in I} F \ i) = (\bigoplus_{i \in I} h \ (F \ i))$
<proof>

lemma *SA-poly-to-SA-fun-finsum:*
assumes *finite* I
assumes $F \in I \rightarrow \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ m))$
assumes $f = (\bigoplus_{UP \ (SA \ m)}^{i \in I} F \ i)$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{Suc \ m})$
shows $SA\text{-poly-to-}SA\text{-fun } m \ f \ x = (\bigoplus_{i \in I} SA\text{-poly-to-}SA\text{-fun } m \ (F \ i) \ x)$
<proof>

lemma *SA-poly-to-SA-fun-taylor-expansion:*
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ m))$

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } (SA \ m)$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{Suc \ m})$
shows $SA\text{-poly-to-SA-fun } m \ f \ x = (\bigoplus_{i \in \{..deg \ (SA \ m) \ f\}} \text{taylor-expansion } (SA \ m) \ c \ f \ i \ (tl \ x) \otimes (hd \ x \ominus c \ (tl \ x)) \ [\uparrow] \ i)$
 <proof>

lemma *SA-deg-one-eval*:

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ m))$
assumes $deg \ (SA \ m) \ g = 1$
assumes $\xi \in \text{carrier } (Fun_m \ Q_p)$
assumes $UP\text{-ring.lcf } (SA \ m) \ g \in \text{Units } (SA \ m)$
assumes $\forall x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m). (SA\text{-poly-to-SA-fun } m \ g) (\xi \ x \# x) = \mathbf{0}$
shows $\xi = \ominus_{SA \ m} (g \ 0) \otimes_{SA \ m} (inv_{SA \ m} (g \ 1))$
 <proof>

lemma *SA-deg-one-eval'*:

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ m))$
assumes $deg \ (SA \ m) \ g = 1$
assumes $\xi \in \text{carrier } (Fun_m \ Q_p)$
assumes $UP\text{-ring.lcf } (SA \ m) \ g \in \text{Units } (SA \ m)$
assumes $\forall x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m). (SA\text{-poly-to-SA-fun } m \ g) (\xi \ x \# x) = \mathbf{0}$
shows $\xi \in \text{carrier } (SA \ m)$
 <proof>

lemma *Qp-pow-ConsI*:

assumes $t \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$
shows $t \# x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{Suc \ m})$
 <proof>

lemma *Qp-pow-ConsE*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^{Suc \ m})$
shows $tl \ x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$
 $hd \ x \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
 <proof>

lemma(in ring) *add-monoid-one*:

$\mathbf{1}_{add\text{-monoid } R} = \mathbf{0}$
 <proof>

lemma(in ring) *add-monoid-carrier*:

$\text{carrier } (add\text{-monoid } R) = \text{carrier } R$
 <proof>

lemma(in ring) *finsum-mono-neutral-cong*:

assumes $F \in I \rightarrow \text{carrier } R$
assumes *finite* I
assumes $\bigwedge i. i \notin J \implies F \ i = \mathbf{0}$
assumes $J \subseteq I$

shows $\text{finsum } R \ F \ I = \text{finsum } R \ F \ J$
 ⟨proof⟩

This lemma helps to formalize statements like "by passing to a partition, we can assume the Taylor coefficients are either always zero or never zero"

lemma *SA-poly-to-SA-fun-taylor-on-refined-set:*

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ m))$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } (SA \ m)$
assumes *is-semialgebraic* $m \ A$
assumes $\bigwedge i. A \subseteq SA\text{-zero-set } m \ (\text{taylor-expansion } (SA \ m) \ c \ f \ i) \vee A \subseteq SA\text{-nonzero-set } m \ (\text{taylor-expansion } (SA \ m) \ c \ f \ i)$
assumes $a = \text{to-fun-unit } m \circ \text{taylor-expansion } (SA \ m) \ c \ f$
assumes $\text{inds} = \{i. i \leq \text{deg } (SA \ m) \ f \wedge A \subseteq SA\text{-nonzero-set } m \ (\text{taylor-expansion } (SA \ m) \ c \ f \ i)\}$
assumes $x \in A$
assumes $t \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $SA\text{-poly-to-SA-fun } m \ f \ (t\#x) = (\bigoplus_{i \in \text{inds.}} (a \ i \ x) \otimes (t \ominus c \ x)) [\uparrow i]$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *SA-poly-to-Qp-poly-taylor-cfs:*

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ m))$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } (SA \ m)$
shows $\text{taylor-expansion } (SA \ m) \ c \ f \ i \ x = \text{taylor-expansion } Q_p \ (c \ x) \ (SA\text{-poly-to-Qp-poly } m \ x \ f) \ i$
 ⟨proof⟩

14.13.1 Common Morphisms on Polynomial Rings

Evaluation homomorphism from multivariable polynomials to semialgebraic functions

definition *Qp-ev-hom where*

$Qp\text{-ev-hom } n \ P = \text{restrict } (Qp\text{-ev } P) \ (\text{carrier } (Q_p^n))$

lemma *Qp-ev-hom-ev:*

assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
shows $Qp\text{-ev-hom } n \ P \ a = Qp\text{-ev } P \ a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Qp-ev-hom-closed:*

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $Qp\text{-ev-hom } n \ f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n) \rightarrow \text{carrier } Q_p$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Qp-ev-hom-is-semialg-function:*

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows *is-semialg-function* $n \ (Qp\text{-ev-hom } n \ f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Qp-ev-hom-closed'*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $Qp\text{-ev-hom } n \ f \in \text{carrier } (Fun_n \ Q_p)$
<proof>

lemma *Qp-ev-hom-in-SA*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $Qp\text{-ev-hom } n \ f \in \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
<proof>

lemma *Qp-ev-hom-add*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $Qp\text{-ev-hom } n \ (f \oplus_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n]} g) = (Qp\text{-ev-hom } n \ f) \oplus_{SA \ n} (Qp\text{-ev-hom } n \ g)$
<proof>

lemma *Qp-ev-hom-mult*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n])$
shows $Qp\text{-ev-hom } n \ (f \otimes_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n]} g) = (Qp\text{-ev-hom } n \ f) \otimes_{SA \ n} (Qp\text{-ev-hom } n \ g)$
<proof>

lemma *Qp-ev-hom-one*:
shows $Qp\text{-ev-hom } n \ \mathbf{1}_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n]} = \mathbf{1}_{SA \ n}$
<proof>

lemma *Qp-ev-hom-is-hom*:
shows $Qp\text{-ev-hom } n \in \text{ring-hom } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n]) \ (SA \ n)$
<proof>

lemma *Qp-ev-hom-constant*:
assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $Qp\text{-ev-hom } n \ (Qp.\text{indexed-const } c) = \mathbf{c}_n \ c$
<proof>

notation *Qp.variable* ($\langle \mathbf{v}_-, - \rangle$)

lemma *Qp-ev-hom-pvar*:
assumes $i < n$
shows $Qp\text{-ev-hom } n \ (pvar \ Q_p \ i) = \mathbf{v}_{n, i}$
<proof>

definition *ext-hd where*
 $ext\text{-hd } m = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m). \text{hd } x)$

lemma *hd-zeroth*:
 $\text{length } x > 0 \implies x!0 = \text{hd } x$
<proof>

lemma *ext-hd-pvar*:

assumes $m > 0$

shows $\text{ext-hd } m = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m). \text{eval-at-point } Q_p \ x \ (\text{pvar } Q_p \ 0))$

<proof>

lemma *ext-hd-closed*:

assumes $m > 0$

shows $\text{ext-hd } m \in \text{carrier } (SA \ m)$

<proof>

lemma *UP-Qp-poly-to-UP-SA-is-hom*:

shows $\text{poly-lift-hom } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n]) \ (SA \ n) \ (Q_p\text{-ev-hom } n) \in \text{ring-hom } (UP \ (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n]))$
 $(UP \ (SA \ n))$

<proof>

definition *coord-ring-to-UP-SA where*

$\text{coord-ring-to-UP-SA } n = \text{poly-lift-hom } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_n]) \ (SA \ n) \ (Q_p\text{-ev-hom } n) \circ \text{to-univ-poly}$
 $(Suc \ n) \ 0$

lemma *coord-ring-to-UP-SA-is-hom*:

shows $\text{coord-ring-to-UP-SA } n \in \text{ring-hom } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_{Suc \ n}]) \ (UP \ (SA \ n))$

<proof>

lemma *coord-ring-to-UP-SA-add*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_{Suc \ n}])$

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_{Suc \ n}])$

shows $\text{coord-ring-to-UP-SA } n \ (f \oplus_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_{Suc \ n}]} g) = \text{coord-ring-to-UP-SA } n \ f$

$\oplus_{UP \ (SA \ n)} \text{coord-ring-to-UP-SA } n \ g$

<proof>

lemma *coord-ring-to-UP-SA-mult*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_{Suc \ n}])$

assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_{Suc \ n}])$

shows $\text{coord-ring-to-UP-SA } n \ (f \otimes_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_{Suc \ n}]} g) = \text{coord-ring-to-UP-SA } n \ f$

$\otimes_{UP \ (SA \ n)} \text{coord-ring-to-UP-SA } n \ g$

<proof>

lemma *coord-ring-to-UP-SA-one*:

shows $\text{coord-ring-to-UP-SA } n \ \mathbf{1}_{Q_p[\mathcal{X}_{Suc \ n}]} = \mathbf{1}_{UP \ (SA \ n)}$

<proof>

lemma *coord-ring-to-UP-SA-closed*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_{Suc \ n}])$

shows $\text{coord-ring-to-UP-SA } n \ f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ n))$

<proof>

lemma *coord-ring-to-UP-SA-constant*:

assumes $c \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $\text{coord-ring-to-UP-SA } n \ (Q_p.\text{indexed-const } c) = \text{to-polynomial } (SA \ n) \ (\mathbf{c}_n \ c)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *coord-ring-to-UP-SA-pvar-0*:
shows $\text{coord-ring-to-UP-SA } n \ (\text{pvar } Q_p \ 0) = \text{up-ring.monom } (UP \ (SA \ n)) \ \mathbf{1}_{SA \ n} \ 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *coord-ring-to-UP-SA-pvar-Suc*:
assumes $i > 0$
assumes $i < \text{Suc } n$
shows $\text{coord-ring-to-UP-SA } n \ (\text{pvar } Q_p \ i) = \text{to-polynomial } (SA \ n) \ (\mathbf{v}_n, i-1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *coord-ring-to-UP-SA-eval*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (Q_p[\mathcal{X}_{\text{Suc } n}])$
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^n)$
assumes $t \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
shows $Q_p\text{-ev } f \ (t\#a) = ((SA\text{-poly-to-}Q_p\text{-poly } n \ a \ (\text{coord-ring-to-UP-SA } n \ f))) \cdot t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

14.13.2 Gluing Semialgebraic Polynomials

definition *SA-poly-glu* **where**
 $SA\text{-poly-glu } m \ S \ f \ g = (\lambda \ n. \ \text{fun-glu } m \ S \ (f \ n) \ (g \ n))$

lemma *SA-poly-glu-closed*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ m))$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ m))$
assumes *is-semialgebraic* $m \ S$
shows $SA\text{-poly-glu } m \ S \ f \ g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ m))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SA-poly-glu-deg*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ m))$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ m))$
assumes *is-semialgebraic* $m \ S$
assumes $\text{deg } (SA \ m) \ f \leq d$
assumes $\text{deg } (SA \ m) \ g \leq d$
shows $\text{deg } (SA \ m) \ (SA\text{-poly-glu } m \ S \ f \ g) \leq d$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UP-SA-cfs-closed*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ m))$
shows $g \ k \in \text{carrier } (SA \ m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SA-poly-glue-cfs1*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ m))$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ m))$
assumes *is-semialgebraic* $m \ S$
assumes $x \in S$
shows $(SA\text{-poly-glue } m \ S \ f \ g) \ n \ x = f \ n \ x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SA-poly-glue-cfs2*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ m))$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ m))$
assumes *is-semialgebraic* $m \ S$
assumes $x \notin S$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$
shows $(SA\text{-poly-glue } m \ S \ f \ g) \ n \ x = g \ n \ x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SA-poly-glue-to-Qp-poly1*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ m))$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ m))$
assumes *is-semialgebraic* $m \ S$
assumes $x \in S$
shows $SA\text{-poly-to-Qp-poly } m \ x \ (SA\text{-poly-glue } m \ S \ f \ g) = SA\text{-poly-to-Qp-poly } m \ x$
 f
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SA-poly-glue-to-Qp-poly2*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ m))$
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ m))$
assumes *is-semialgebraic* $m \ S$
assumes $x \notin S$
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$
shows $SA\text{-poly-to-Qp-poly } m \ x \ (SA\text{-poly-glue } m \ S \ f \ g) = SA\text{-poly-to-Qp-poly } m \ x$
 g
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

14.13.3 Polynomials over the Valuation Ring

definition *integral-on where*

integral-on $m \ B = \{f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ m)). (\forall x \in B. \forall i. SA\text{-poly-to-Qp-poly } m \ x \ f \ i \in \mathcal{O}_p)\}$

lemma *integral-on-memI*:

assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ m))$
assumes $\bigwedge x \ i. x \in B \implies SA\text{-poly-to-Qp-poly } m \ x \ f \ i \in \mathcal{O}_p$
shows $f \in \text{integral-on } m \ B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *integral-on-memE*:
assumes $f \in \text{integral-on } m \ B$
shows $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ m))$
 $\bigwedge x. x \in B \implies SA\text{-poly-to-}Qp\text{-poly } m \ x \ f \ i \in \mathcal{O}_p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *one-integral-on*:
assumes $B \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$
shows $1 \ UP \ (SA \ m) \in \text{integral-on } m \ B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *integral-on-plus*:
assumes $B \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$
assumes $f \in \text{integral-on } m \ B$
assumes $g \in \text{integral-on } m \ B$
shows $f \oplus_{UP \ (SA \ m)} g \in \text{integral-on } m \ B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *integral-on-times*:
assumes $B \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$
assumes $f \in \text{integral-on } m \ B$
assumes $g \in \text{integral-on } m \ B$
shows $f \otimes_{UP \ (SA \ m)} g \in \text{integral-on } m \ B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *integral-on-a-minus*:
assumes $B \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$
assumes $f \in \text{integral-on } m \ B$
shows $\ominus_{UP \ (SA \ m)} f \in \text{integral-on } m \ B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *integral-on-subring*:
assumes $B \subseteq \text{carrier } (Q_p^m)$
shows $\text{subring } (\text{integral-on } m \ B) \ (UP \ (SA \ m))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-ring-add-pow*:
assumes $a \in \text{carrier } Q_p$
assumes $\text{val } a \geq 0$
shows $\text{val } ([n::\text{nat}] \cdot a) \geq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-ring-poly-eval*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ Q_p)$
assumes $\bigwedge i. f \ i \in \mathcal{O}_p$
shows $\bigwedge x. x \in \mathcal{O}_p \implies f \cdot x \in \mathcal{O}_p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SA-poly-constant-res-class-semialg'*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ m))$
assumes $\bigwedge i \ x. \ x \in B \implies f \ i \ x \in \mathcal{O}_p$
assumes $\text{deg } (SA \ m) \ f \leq d$
assumes $C \in \text{poly-res-classes } n \ d$
assumes *is-semialgebraic* $m \ B$
shows *is-semialgebraic* $m \ \{x \in B. \ SA\text{-poly-to-}\mathcal{O}_p\text{-poly } m \ x \ f \in C\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SA-poly-constant-res-class-decomp*:
assumes $f \in \text{carrier } (UP \ (SA \ m))$
assumes $\bigwedge i \ x. \ x \in B \implies f \ i \ x \in \mathcal{O}_p$
assumes $\text{deg } (SA \ m) \ f \leq d$
assumes *is-semialgebraic* $m \ B$
shows $B = (\bigcup C \in \text{poly-res-classes } n \ d. \ \{x \in B. \ SA\text{-poly-to-}\mathcal{O}_p\text{-poly } m \ x \ f \in C\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

context *UP-cring*
begin

lemma *pderiv-deg-bound*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } P$
assumes $\text{deg } R \ p \leq (Suc \ d)$
shows $\text{deg } R \ (pderiv \ p) \leq d$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma(**in** *cring*) *minus-zero*:
 $a \in \text{carrier } R \implies a \ominus \mathbf{0} = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *UP-cring*) *taylor-expansion-at-zero*:
assumes $g \in \text{carrier } (UP \ R)$
shows *taylor-expansion* $R \ \mathbf{0} \ g = g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end

14.14 Partitioning Semialgebraic Sets By Zero Sets of Function

context *padic-fields*
begin

definition *SA-funs-to-SA-decomp* **where**
 $SA\text{-funs-to-}\mathcal{O}_p\text{-SA-decomp } n \ Fs \ S = \text{atoms-of } ((\bigcap) \ S \ ' ((SA\text{-zero-set } n \ ' F_s) \cup (SA\text{-nonzero-set } n \ ' F_s)))$

lemma *SA-funs-to-SA-decomp-closed-0:*
assumes $Fs \subseteq \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
assumes *is-semialgebraic* $n \ S$
shows $(\cap) \ S \ ' \ ((SA\text{-zero-set } n \ ' \ Fs) \cup (SA\text{-nonzero-set } n \ ' \ Fs)) \subseteq \text{semialg-sets } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SA-funs-to-SA-decomp-closed:*
assumes *finite* Fs
assumes $Fs \subseteq \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
assumes *is-semialgebraic* $n \ S$
shows *SA-funs-to-SA-decomp* $n \ Fs \ S \subseteq \text{semialg-sets } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SA-funs-to-SA-decomp-finite:*
assumes *finite* Fs
assumes $Fs \subseteq \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
assumes *is-semialgebraic* $n \ S$
shows *finite* (*SA-funs-to-SA-decomp* $n \ Fs \ S$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SA-funs-to-SA-decomp-disjoint:*
assumes *finite* Fs
assumes $Fs \subseteq \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
assumes *is-semialgebraic* $n \ S$
shows *disjoint* (*SA-funs-to-SA-decomp* $n \ Fs \ S$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pre-SA-funs-to-SA-decomp-in-algebra:*
shows $((\cap) \ S \ ' \ (SA\text{-zero-set } n \ ' \ Fs \cup SA\text{-nonzero-set } n \ ' \ Fs)) \subseteq \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S$
 $(SA\text{-zero-set } n \ ' \ Fs \cup SA\text{-nonzero-set } n \ ' \ Fs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SA-funs-to-SA-decomp-in-algebra:*
assumes *finite* Fs
shows *SA-funs-to-SA-decomp* $n \ Fs \ S \subseteq \text{gen-boolean-algebra } S \ (SA\text{-zero-set } n \ ' \ Fs \cup SA\text{-nonzero-set } n \ ' \ Fs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SA-funs-to-SA-decomp-subset:*
assumes *finite* Fs
assumes $Fs \subseteq \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$
assumes *is-semialgebraic* $n \ S$
assumes $A \in \text{SA-funs-to-SA-decomp } n \ Fs \ S$
shows $A \subseteq S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SA-funs-to-SA-decomp-memE:*
assumes *finite* Fs
assumes $Fs \subseteq \text{carrier } (SA \ n)$

assumes *is-semialgebraic* n S
assumes $A \in (SA\text{-funs-to-}SA\text{-decomp } n \text{ } Fs \text{ } S)$
assumes $f \in Fs$
shows $A \subseteq SA\text{-zero-set } n \text{ } f \vee A \subseteq SA\text{-nonzero-set } n \text{ } f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SA-funs-to-SA-decomp-covers*:
assumes *finite* Fs
assumes $Fs \neq \{\}$
assumes $Fs \subseteq carrier (SA \text{ } n)$
assumes *is-semialgebraic* n S
shows $S = \bigcup (SA\text{-funs-to-}SA\text{-decomp } n \text{ } Fs \text{ } S)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end
end

References

- [1] J. Denef. p -adic semi-algebraic sets and cell decomposition. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 369:154–166, 1986.
- [2] A. Engler. *Valued fields*. Springer, Berlin New York, 2005.