Automating Public Announcement Logic and the Wise Men Puzzle in Isabelle/HOL

Christoph Benzmüller and Sebastian Reiche

December 14, 2021

Abstract

We present a shallow embedding of public announcement logic (PAL) with relativized general knowledge in HOL. We then use PAL to obtain an elegant encoding of the wise men puzzle, which we solve automatically using sledgehammer.

Contents

- 1 Public Announcement Logic (PAL) in HOL

1

3

2 Automating the Wise Men Puzzle

1 Public Announcement Logic (PAL) in HOL

An earlier encoding and automation of the wise men puzzle, utilizing a shallow embedding of higher-order (multi-)modal logic in HOL, has been presented in [1, 2]. However, this work did not convincingly address the interaction dynamics between the involved agents. Here we therefore extend and adapt the universal (meta-)logical reasoning approach of [1] for public announcement logic (PAL) and we demonstrate how it can be utilized to achieve a convincing encoding and automation of the wise men puzzle in HOL, so that also the interaction dynamics as given in the scenario is adequately addressed. For further background information on the work presented here we refer to [3, 4].

theory PAL imports Main begin nitpick-params[user-axioms,expect=genuine]

Type i is associated with possible worlds

typedecl itype-synonym $\sigma = i \Rightarrow bool$ type-synonym $\tau = \sigma \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow bool$ type-synonym $\alpha = i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow bool$

```
type-synonym \varrho = \alpha \Rightarrow bool
      Some useful relations (for constraining accessibility relations)
definition reflexive:: \alpha \Rightarrow bool
   where reflexive R \equiv \forall x. R x x
definition symmetric:: \alpha \Rightarrow bool
   where symmetric R \equiv \forall x \ y. \ R \ x \ y \longrightarrow R \ y \ x
definition transitive:: \alpha \Rightarrow bool
   where transitive R \equiv \forall x \ y \ z. R \ x \ y \land R \ y \ z \longrightarrow R \ x \ z
definition euclidean:: \alpha \Rightarrow bool
   where euclidean R \equiv \forall x \ y \ z. \ R \ x \ y \land R \ x \ z \longrightarrow R \ y \ z
definition intersection\text{-}rel::\alpha \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha
   where intersection-rel R Q \equiv \lambda u \ v. R u \ v \land Q \ u \ v
definition union\text{-}rel::\alpha \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha
  where union-rel R Q \equiv \lambda u \ v. R u \ v \lor Q \ u \ v
definition sub-rel::\alpha \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow bool
   where sub-rel R Q \equiv \forall u \ v. \ R \ u \ v \longrightarrow Q \ u \ v
definition inverse\text{-}rel::\alpha \Rightarrow \alpha
   where inverse-rel R \equiv \lambda u \ v. \ R \ v \ u
definition big-union-rel::\rho \Rightarrow \alpha
   where big-union-rel X \equiv \lambda u \ v. \ \exists R. \ (X \ R) \land (R \ u \ v)
definition big-intersection-rel::\rho \Rightarrow \alpha
   where big-intersection-rel X \equiv \lambda u \ v. \ \forall R. \ (X \ R) \longrightarrow (R \ u \ v)
      In HOL the transitive closure of a relation can be defined in a single line.
definition tc::\alpha \Rightarrow \alpha
   where tc R \equiv \lambda x \ y. \forall \ Q. \ transitive \ Q \longrightarrow (sub\text{-rel } R \ Q \longrightarrow Q \ x \ y)
      Logical connectives for PAL
abbreviation patom:: \sigma \Rightarrow \tau \ (^{A}-[79]80)
   where {}^{A}p \equiv \lambda W w. W w \wedge p w
abbreviation ptop::\tau (\top)
  where \top \equiv \lambda W w. True
abbreviation pneg:: \tau \Rightarrow \tau \ (\neg -[52]53)
   where \neg \varphi \equiv \lambda W w. \neg (\varphi W w)
abbreviation pand:: \tau \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \tau \text{ (infixr} \land 51)
   where \varphi \wedge \psi \equiv \lambda W w. (\varphi W w) \wedge (\psi W w)
abbreviation por:: \tau \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \tau \text{ (infixr} \lor 50)
   where \varphi \lor \psi \equiv \lambda W w. (\varphi W w) \lor (\psi W w)
abbreviation pimp:: \tau \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \tau \text{ (infixr} \rightarrow 49)
   where \varphi \rightarrow \psi \equiv \lambda W w. (\varphi W w) \longrightarrow (\psi W w)
abbreviation pequ:: \tau \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \tau \text{ (infixr} \leftrightarrow 48)
   where \varphi \leftrightarrow \psi \equiv \lambda W w. (\varphi W w) \longleftrightarrow (\psi W w)
abbreviation pknow:: \alpha \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \tau \ (\mathbf{K} - -)
   where K r \varphi \equiv \lambda W w. \forall v. (W v \wedge r w v) \longrightarrow (\varphi W v)
abbreviation ppal:: \tau \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \tau ([!-]-)
   where [!\varphi]\psi \equiv \lambda W w. (\varphi W w) \longrightarrow (\psi (\lambda z. W z \land \varphi W z) w)
      Glogal validity of PAL formulas
abbreviation pvalid::\tau \Rightarrow bool(|-|[7]8)
```

```
Introducing agent knowledge (K), mutual knowledge (E), distributed
knowledge (D) and common knowledge (C).
abbreviation EVR:: \rho \Rightarrow \alpha
  where EVR G \equiv big\text{-}union\text{-}rel G
abbreviation DIS:: \rho \Rightarrow \alpha
  where DIS G \equiv big\text{-}intersection\text{-}rel G
abbreviation agttknows:: \alpha \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \tau \ (\mathbf{K}_{-} \ -)
  where \mathbf{K}_r \varphi \equiv \mathbf{K} r \varphi
abbreviation evrknows:: \rho \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \tau (E. -)
  where \mathbf{E}_G \varphi \equiv \mathbf{K} (EVR \ G) \varphi
abbreviation disknows :: \varrho \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \tau (\mathbf{D}_{-} -)
  where \mathbf{D}_G \ \varphi \equiv \mathbf{K} \ (DIS \ G) \ \varphi
abbreviation prck:: \rho \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \tau (C_{-}(-|-|))
  where \mathbf{C}_G(\varphi|\psi) \equiv \lambda W w. \forall v. (tc (intersection-rel (EVR G) (\lambda u v. W v \wedge \varphi
(W \ v)) \ w \ v) \longrightarrow (\psi \ W \ v)
abbreviation pcmn:: \rho \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \tau \ (\mathbf{C}_{-} \ -)
  where C_G \varphi \equiv C_G(T|\varphi)
     Postulating S5 principles for the agent's accessibility relations.
abbreviation S5Agent:: \alpha \Rightarrow bool
  where S5Agent \ i \equiv reflexive \ i \land transitive \ i \land euclidean \ i
abbreviation S5Agents:: \rho \Rightarrow bool
  where S5Agents\ A \equiv \forall\ i.\ (A\ i \longrightarrow S5Agent\ i)
     Introducing "Defs" as the set of the above definitions; useful for conve-
nient unfolding.
named-theorems Defs
declare reflexive-def[Defs] symmetric-def[Defs] transitive-def[Defs]
  euclidean-def[Defs] intersection-rel-def[Defs] union-rel-def[Defs]
  sub-rel-def[Defs] inverse-rel-def[Defs] big-union-rel-def[Defs]
  big-intersection-rel-def[Defs] tc-def[Defs]
     Consistency: nitpick reports a model.
 lemma True nitpick [satisfy] \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{2}
       Automating the Wise Men Puzzle
Agents are modeled as accessibility relations.
consts a :: \alpha \ b :: \alpha \ c :: \alpha
abbreviation Agent::\alpha \Rightarrow bool(A) where A = x = a \lor x = b \lor x = c
axiomatization where group-S5: S5Agents A
     Common knowledge: At least one of a, b and c has a white spot.
consts ws::\alpha \Rightarrow \sigma
```

where $\lfloor \varphi \rfloor \equiv \forall W. \forall w. W w \longrightarrow \varphi W w$

axiomatization where WM1: $\lfloor \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{A}} \ (^{A}ws\ a \lor ^{A}ws\ b \lor ^{A}ws\ c) \rfloor$

Common knowledge: If x does not have a white spot then y knows this.

axiomatization where

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathit{WM2ab}\colon \lfloor \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{A}} \; (\neg(^{A}ws\; a) \to (\mathbf{K}_{b}\; (\neg(^{A}ws\; a))))\rfloor \; \text{and} \\ \mathit{WM2ac}\colon \lfloor \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{A}} \; (\neg(^{A}ws\; a) \to (\mathbf{K}_{c}\; (\neg(^{A}ws\; a))))\rfloor \; \text{and} \\ \mathit{WM2ba}\colon \lfloor \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{A}} \; (\neg(^{A}ws\; b) \to (\mathbf{K}_{a}\; (\neg(^{A}ws\; b))))\rfloor \; \text{and} \\ \mathit{WM2bc}\colon \lfloor \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{A}} \; (\neg(^{A}ws\; b) \to (\mathbf{K}_{c}\; (\neg(^{A}ws\; b))))\rfloor \; \text{and} \\ \mathit{WM2ca}\colon \lfloor \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{A}} \; (\neg(^{A}ws\; c) \to (\mathbf{K}_{a}\; (\neg(^{A}ws\; c))))\rfloor \; \text{and} \\ \mathit{WM2cb}\colon \lfloor \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{A}} \; (\neg(^{A}ws\; c) \to (\mathbf{K}_{b}\; (\neg(^{A}ws\; c))))\rfloor \end{array}
```

Positive introspection principles are implied.

```
lemma WM2ab': \lfloor \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{A}} \ ((^{A}ws\ a) \to \mathbf{K}_{b}\ (^{A}ws\ a)) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle lemma WM2ac': \lfloor \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{A}}\ ((^{A}ws\ a) \to \mathbf{K}_{c}\ (^{A}ws\ a)) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle lemma WM2ba': \lfloor \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{A}}\ ((^{A}ws\ b) \to \mathbf{K}_{a}\ (^{A}ws\ b)) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle lemma WM2bc': \lfloor \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{A}}\ ((^{A}ws\ b) \to \mathbf{K}_{c}\ (^{A}ws\ b)) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle lemma WM2ca': \lfloor \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{A}}\ ((^{A}ws\ c) \to \mathbf{K}_{a}\ (^{A}ws\ c)) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle lemma WM2cb': \lfloor \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{A}}\ ((^{A}ws\ c) \to \mathbf{K}_{b}\ (^{A}ws\ c)) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle
```

Automated solutions of the Wise Men Puzzle.

```
theorem whitespot-c: \lfloor [!\neg \mathbf{K}_a(^Aws\ a)]([!\neg \mathbf{K}_b(^Aws\ b)](\mathbf{K}_c\ (^Aws\ c))) \rfloor \langle proof \rangle
```

For the following, alternative formulation a proof is found by sledgehammer, while the reconstruction of this proof using trusted methods (often) fails; this hints at further opportunities to improve the reasoning tools in Isabelle/HOL.

```
theorem whitespot-c':  \lfloor [!\neg((\mathbf{K}_a \ (^Aws \ a)) \lor (\mathbf{K}_a \ (\neg^Aws \ a)))]([!\neg((\mathbf{K}_b \ (^Aws \ b)) \lor (\mathbf{K}_b \ (\neg^Aws \ b)))](\mathbf{K}_c \ (^Aws \ c))) \rfloor  \langle proof \rangle
```

Consistency: nitpick reports a model.

```
lemma True nitpick [satisfy] \langle proof \rangle end
```

References

- [1] C. Benzmüller. Universal (meta-)logical reasoning: Recent successes. Science of Computer Programming, 172:48–62, 2019.
- [2] C. Benzmüller. Universal (meta-)logical reasoning: The wise men puzzle (Isabelle/HOL Dataset). *Data in Brief*, 24(103823):1–5, 2019.

- [3] C. Benzmüller and S. Reiche. Modeling and automating public announcement logic with relativized common knowledge as a fragment of HOL in LogiKEy. Technical Report arXiv:2111.01654, CoRR, 2021.
- [4] S. Reiche and C. Benzmüller. Public announcement logic in HOL. In M. A. Martins and S. Igor, editors, *Dynamic Logic. New Trends and Applications. DaLi 2020*, volume 12569 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. Springer, Cham, 2020.