

A Partition Theorem for the Ordinal ω^ω

Lawrence C. Paulson

September 13, 2023

Abstract

The theory of partition relations concerns generalisations of Ramsey's theorem. For any ordinal α , write $\alpha \rightarrow (\alpha, m)^2$ if for each function f from unordered pairs of elements of α into $\{0, 1\}$, either there is a subset $X \subseteq \alpha$ order-isomorphic to α such that $f\{x, y\} = 0$ for all $\{x, y\} \subseteq X$, or there is an m element set $Y \subseteq \alpha$ such that $f\{x, y\} = 1$ for all $\{x, y\} \subseteq Y$. (In both cases, with $\{x, y\}$ we require $x \neq y$.) In particular, the infinite Ramsey theorem can be written in this notation as $\omega \rightarrow (\omega, \omega)^2$, or if we restrict m to the positive integers as above, then $\omega \rightarrow (\omega, m)^2$ for all m [3].

This entry formalises Larson's proof of $\omega^\omega \rightarrow (\omega^\omega, m)^2$ along with a similar proof of a result due to Specker: $\omega^2 \rightarrow (\omega^2, m)^2$. Also proved is a necessary result by Erdős and Milner [1, 2]: $\omega^{1+\alpha \cdot n} \rightarrow (\omega^{1+\alpha}, 2^n)^2$.

These examples demonstrate the use of Isabelle/HOL to formalise advanced results that combine ZF set theory with basic concepts like lists and natural numbers.

Contents

1	Library additions	2
1.1	Other material	3
1.2	The list-of function	4
1.3	Monotonic enumeration of a countably infinite set	4
2	Ordinal Partitions	6
2.1	Ordinal Partitions: Definitions	6
2.2	Relating partition properties on VWF to the general case	8
2.3	Simple consequences of the definitions	8
2.4	Specker's theorem	8
2.5	Erds-Milner theorem	10
3	An ordinal partition theorem by Jean A. Larson	11
3.1	Cantor normal form for ordinals below $\omega \uparrow \omega$	11
3.2	Larson's set $W(n)$	13
3.3	Definitions required for the lemmas	15

3.3.1	Larson's " $<$ "-relation on ordered lists	15
3.4	Nash Williams for lists	16
3.4.1	Thin sets of lists	16
3.5	Specialised functions on lists	17
3.6	Forms and interactions	19
3.6.1	Forms	19
3.6.2	Interactions	20
3.6.3	Injectivity of interactions	21
3.7	For Lemma 3.8 AND PROBABLY 3.7	22
3.8	Larson's Lemma 3.11	23
3.9	Larson's Lemma 3.6	23
3.10	Larson's Lemma 3.7	23
3.10.1	Preliminaries	23
3.10.2	Lemma 3.7 of Jean A. Larson, <i>ibid.</i>	24
3.11	Larson's Lemma 3.8	24
3.11.1	Primitives needed for the inductive construction of b	24
3.11.2	Special primitives for the ordertype proof	25
3.11.3	The final part of 3.8, where two sequences are merged	25
3.11.4	Actual proof of Larson's Lemma 3.8	27
3.12	The main partition theorem for $\omega \uparrow \omega$	28

4 Acknowledgements **28**

1 Library additions

theory *Library-Additions*
imports *ZFC-in-HOL.Ordinal-Exp HOL-Library.Ramsey Nash-Williams.Nash-Williams*

begin

lemma *finite-enumerate-Diff-singleton*:
fixes $S :: 'a::wellorder\ set$
assumes *finite* S **and** $i: i < \text{card } S$ *enumerate* $S\ i < x$
shows *enumerate* $(S - \{x\})\ i = \text{enumerate } S\ i$
<proof>

lemma *hd-lex*: $[[\text{hd } ms < \text{hd } ns; \text{length } ms = \text{length } ns; ns \neq []]] \implies (ms, ns) \in \text{lex less-than}$
<proof>

lemma *sorted-hd-le*:
assumes *sorted* $xs\ x \in \text{list.set } xs$
shows $\text{hd } xs \leq x$
<proof>

lemma *sorted-le-last*:

assumes *sorted xs* $x \in \text{list.set } xs$
shows $x \leq \text{last } xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *hd-list-of*:
assumes *finite A* $A \neq \{\}$
shows $\text{hd } (\text{sorted-list-of-set } A) = \text{Min } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sorted-hd-le-last*:
assumes *sorted xs* $xs \neq []$
shows $\text{hd } xs \leq \text{last } xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sorted-list-of-set-set-of* [*simp*]: $\text{strict-sorted } l \implies \text{sorted-list-of-set } (\text{list.set } l) = l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *range-strict-mono-ext*:
fixes $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{linorder}$
assumes $\text{eq} : \text{range } f = \text{range } g$
and $\text{sm} : \text{strict-mono } f \text{ strict-mono } g$
shows $f = g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.1 Other material

definition *strict-mono-sets* :: $['a :: \text{order set}, 'b :: \text{order set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{strict-mono-sets } A f \equiv \forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. x < y \longrightarrow \text{less-sets } (f x) (f y)$

lemma *strict-mono-setsD*:
assumes $\text{strict-mono-sets } A f$ $x < y$ $x \in A$ $y \in A$
shows $\text{less-sets } (f x) (f y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *strict-mono-sets-imp-disjoint*:
fixes $A :: 'a :: \text{linorder set}$
assumes $\text{strict-mono-sets } A f$
shows $\text{pairwise } (\lambda x y. \text{disjnt } (f x) (f y)) A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *strict-mono-sets-subset*:
assumes $\text{strict-mono-sets } B f$ $A \subseteq B$
shows $\text{strict-mono-sets } A f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *strict-mono-less-sets-Min*:
assumes $\text{strict-mono-sets } I f$ *finite I* $I \neq \{\}$
shows $\text{less-sets } (f (\text{Min } I)) (\bigcup (f ` (I - \{\text{Min } I\})))$

<proof>

lemma *pair-less-iff1* [*simp*]: $((x,y), (x,z)) \in \text{pair-less} \longleftrightarrow y < z$
<proof>

lemma *infinite-finite-Inter*:
assumes *finite* \mathcal{A} $\mathcal{A} \neq \{\}$ $\wedge A. A \in \mathcal{A} \implies \text{infinite } A$
and $\wedge A B. \llbracket A \in \mathcal{A}; B \in \mathcal{A} \rrbracket \implies A \cap B \in \mathcal{A}$
shows *infinite* $(\bigcap \mathcal{A})$
<proof>

lemma *atLeast-less-sets*: $\llbracket \text{less-sets } A \{x\}; B \subseteq \{x..\} \rrbracket \implies \text{less-sets } A B$
<proof>

1.2 The list-of function

lemma *sorted-list-of-set-insert-remove-cons*:
assumes *finite* A *less-sets* $\{a\} A$
shows *sorted-list-of-set* (*insert* $a A$) = $a \# \text{sorted-list-of-set } A$
<proof>

lemma *sorted-list-of-set-Un*:
assumes AB : *less-sets* $A B$ **and** *fin*: *finite* A *finite* B
shows *sorted-list-of-set* $(A \cup B)$ = *sorted-list-of-set* $A @ \text{sorted-list-of-set } B$
<proof>

lemma *sorted-list-of-set-UN-lessThan*:
fixes $k::\text{nat}$
assumes *sm*: *strict-mono-sets* $\{..<k\} A$ **and** $\wedge i. i < k \implies \text{finite } (A i)$
shows *sorted-list-of-set* $(\bigcup i < k. A i)$ = *concat* (*map* (*sorted-list-of-set* $\circ A$)
(*sorted-list-of-set* $\{..<k\}$))
<proof>

lemma *sorted-list-of-set-UN-atMost*:
fixes $k::\text{nat}$
assumes *strict-mono-sets* $\{..k\} A$ **and** $\wedge i. i \leq k \implies \text{finite } (A i)$
shows *sorted-list-of-set* $(\bigcup i \leq k. A i)$ = *concat* (*map* (*sorted-list-of-set* $\circ A$)
(*sorted-list-of-set* $\{..k\}$))
<proof>

1.3 Monotonic enumeration of a countably infinite set

abbreviation *enum* \equiv *enumerate*

Could be generalised to infinite countable sets of any type

lemma *nat-infinite-iff*:
fixes $N :: \text{nat set}$
shows *infinite* $N \longleftrightarrow (\exists f::\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}. N = \text{range } f \wedge \text{strict-mono } f)$
<proof>

lemma *enum-works*:

fixes $N :: \text{nat set}$

assumes *infinite* N

shows $N = \text{range } (\text{enum } N) \wedge \text{strict-mono } (\text{enum } N)$

<proof>

lemma *range-enum*: $\text{range } (\text{enum } N) = N$ **and** *strict-mono-enum*: *strict-mono* $(\text{enum } N)$

if *infinite* N **for** $N :: \text{nat set}$

<proof>

lemma *enum-0-eq-Inf*:

fixes $N :: \text{nat set}$

assumes *infinite* N

shows $\text{enum } N 0 = \text{Inf } N$

<proof>

lemma *enum-works-finite*:

fixes $N :: \text{nat set}$

assumes *finite* N

shows $N = \text{enum } N \text{ ' } \{..<\text{card } N\} \wedge \text{strict-mono-on } \{..<\text{card } N\} (\text{enum } N)$

<proof>

lemma *enum-obtain-index-finite*:

fixes $N :: \text{nat set}$

assumes $x \in N$ *finite* N

obtains i **where** $i < \text{card } N$ $x = \text{enum } N i$

<proof>

lemma *enum-0-eq-Inf-finite*:

fixes $N :: \text{nat set}$

assumes *finite* N $N \neq \{\}$

shows $\text{enum } N 0 = \text{Inf } N$

<proof>

lemma *greaterThan-less-enum*:

fixes $N :: \text{nat set}$

assumes $N \subseteq \{x<..\}$ *infinite* N

shows $x < \text{enum } N i$

<proof>

lemma *atLeast-le-enum*:

fixes $N :: \text{nat set}$

assumes $N \subseteq \{x..\}$ *infinite* N

shows $x \leq \text{enum } N i$

<proof>

lemma *less-sets-empty1* [*simp*]: *less-sets* $\{\}$ A **and** *less-sets-empty2* [*simp*]: *less-sets* A $\{\}$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *less-sets-singleton1* [simp]: *less-sets* {*a*} *A* $\longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. a < x)$
and *less-sets-singleton2* [simp]: *less-sets* *A* {*a*} $\longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. x < a)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *less-sets-atMost* [simp]: *less-sets* {..*a*} *A* $\longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. a < x)$
and *less-sets-atLeast* [simp]: *less-sets* *A* {*a*..} $\longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. x < a)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *less-sets-imp-strict-mono-sets*:
assumes $\bigwedge i. \text{less-sets } (A \ i) \ (A \ (\text{Suc } i)) \ \wedge i. i > 0 \implies A \ i \neq \{\}$
shows *strict-mono-sets UNIV A*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *less-sets-Suc-Max*:
assumes *finite A*
shows *less-sets A {Suc (Max A)..}*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *infinite-nat-greaterThan*:
fixes *m::nat*
assumes *infinite N*
shows *infinite (N ∩ {m<..})*
⟨proof⟩

end

2 Ordinal Partitions

Material from Jean A. Larson, A short proof of a partition theorem for the ordinal ω^ω . *Annals of Mathematical Logic*, 6:129–145, 1973. Also from “Partition Relations” by A. Hajnal and J. A. Larson, in *Handbook of Set Theory*, edited by Matthew Foreman and Akihiro Kanamori (Springer, 2010).

theory *Partitions*

imports *Library-Additions ZFC-in-HOL.ZFC-Typeclasses ZFC-in-HOL.Cantor-NF*

begin

abbreviation *tp* :: *V set* \Rightarrow *V*
where *tp A* \equiv *ordertype A VWF*

2.1 Ordinal Partitions: Definitions

definition *partn-1st* :: [*'a* \times *'a*] *set*, *'a set*, *V list*, *nat*] \Rightarrow *bool*
where *partn-1st r B* α *n* $\equiv \forall f \in [B]^n \rightarrow \{..
 $\exists i < \text{length } \alpha. \exists H. H \subseteq B \wedge \text{ordertype } H \ r = (\alpha!i) \wedge f' (nsets \ H \ n)$
 $\subseteq \{i\}$$

abbreviation *partn- lst -VWF* :: $V \Rightarrow V \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where *partn- lst -VWF* $\beta \equiv \text{partn- lst VWF (elts } \beta)$

lemma *partn- lst -E*:

assumes *partn- lst r B α n f \in nsets B n \rightarrow {.. l }* $l = \text{length } \alpha$

obtains $i \in H$ **where** $i < l$ $H \subseteq B$

ordertype H r = $\alpha!i$ f ' (nsets H n) \subseteq {i}

<proof>

lemma *partn- lst -VWF-nontriv*:

assumes *partn- lst -VWF* $\beta \alpha n l = \text{length } \alpha$ *Ord* $\beta l > 0$

obtains i **where** $i < l$ $\alpha!i \leq \beta$

<proof>

lemma *partn- lst -triv0*:

assumes $\alpha!i = 0$ $i < \text{length } \alpha$ $n \neq 0$

shows *partn- lst r B α n*

<proof>

lemma *partn- lst -triv1*:

assumes $\alpha!i \leq 1$ $i < \text{length } \alpha$ $n > 1$ $B \neq \{\}$ *wf r*

shows *partn- lst r B α n*

<proof>

lemma *partn- lst -two-swap*:

assumes *partn- lst r B [x,y] n* **shows** *partn- lst r B [y,x] n*

<proof>

lemma *partn- lst -greater-resource*:

assumes M : *partn- lst r B α n* **and** $B \subseteq C$

shows *partn- lst r C α n*

<proof>

lemma *partn- lst -less*:

assumes M : *partn- lst r B α n* **and** *eq: length $\alpha' = \text{length } \alpha$ and List.set $\alpha' \subseteq ON$*

and le : $\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } \alpha \implies \alpha!i \leq \alpha!i$

and r : *wf r trans r total-on B r* **and** *small B*

shows *partn- lst r B α' n*

<proof>

Holds because no n -sets exist!

lemma *partn- lst -VWF-degenerate*:

assumes $k < n$

shows *partn- lst -VWF ω (ord-of-nat k # α s) n*

<proof>

lemma *partn-lst-VWF- ω -2*:

assumes *Ord* α

shows *partn-lst-VWF* $(\omega \uparrow (1+\alpha))$ $[2, \omega \uparrow (1+\alpha)]$ 2 (**is** *partn-lst-VWF* $? \beta$ - -)
<proof>

2.2 Relating partition properties on *VWF* to the general case

Two very similar proofs here!

lemma *partn-lst-imp-partn-lst-VWF-eq*:

assumes *part*: *partn-lst* r U α n **and** β : *ordertype* U $r = \beta$ **and** *small* U

and r : *wf* r *trans* r *total-on* U r

shows *partn-lst-VWF* β α n

<proof>

lemma *partn-lst-imp-partn-lst-VWF*:

assumes *part*: *partn-lst* r U α n **and** β : *ordertype* U $r \leq \beta$ *small* U

and r : *wf* r *trans* r *total-on* U r

shows *partn-lst-VWF* β α n

<proof>

lemma *partn-lst-VWF-imp-partn-lst-eq*:

assumes *part*: *partn-lst-VWF* β α n **and** β : *ordertype* U $r = \beta$ *small* U

and r : *wf* r *trans* r *total-on* U r

shows *partn-lst* r U α n

<proof>

corollary *partn-lst-VWF-imp-partn-lst*:

assumes *partn-lst-VWF* β α n **and** β : *ordertype* U $r \geq \beta$ *small* U

wf r *trans* r *total-on* U r

shows *partn-lst* r U α n

<proof>

2.3 Simple consequences of the definitions

A restatement of the infinite Ramsey theorem using partition notation

lemma *Ramsey-partn*: *partn-lst-VWF* ω $[\omega, \omega]$ 2

<proof>

This is the counterexample sketched in Hajnal and Larson, section 9.1.

proposition *omega-basic-counterexample*:

assumes *Ord* α

shows \neg *partn-lst-VWF* α $[succ$ (*vcard* α), $\omega]$ 2

<proof>

2.4 Specker's theorem

definition *form-split* :: $[nat, nat, nat, nat, nat] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

form-split a b c d $i \equiv a \leq c \wedge (i=0 \wedge a < b \wedge b < c \wedge c < d \vee$
 $i=1 \wedge a < c \wedge c < b \wedge b < d \vee$

$$i=2 \wedge a < c \wedge c < d \wedge d < b \vee \\ i=3 \wedge a=c \wedge b \neq d)$$

definition *form* :: [(nat*nat)set, nat] \Rightarrow bool **where**
form *u* *i* $\equiv \exists a b c d. u = \{(a,b),(c,d)\} \wedge \text{form-split } a b c d i$

definition *scheme* :: [(nat*nat)set] \Rightarrow nat set **where**
scheme *u* $\equiv \text{fst } ' u \cup \text{snd } ' u$

definition *UU* :: (nat*nat) set
where *UU* $\equiv \{(a,b). a < b\}$

lemma *ordertype-UNIV- ω 2*: ordertype UNIV pair-less = $\omega \uparrow 2$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ordertype-UU-ge- ω 2*: ordertype UNIV pair-less \leq ordertype UU pair-less
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ordertype-UU- ω 2*: ordertype UU pair-less = $\omega \uparrow 2$
 ⟨proof⟩

Lemma 2.3 of Jean A. Larson, A short proof of a partition theorem for the ordinal ω^ω . *Annals of Mathematical Logic*, 6:129–145, 1973.

lemma *lemma-2-3*:

fixes *f* :: (nat \times nat) set \Rightarrow nat
assumes *f* $\in [UU]^2 \rightarrow \{..< \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0)\}$
obtains *N js* **where** infinite *N* and $\bigwedge k u. [k < 4; u \in [UU]^2; \text{form } u k; \text{scheme } u \subseteq N] \implies f u = \text{js}!k$
 ⟨proof⟩

Lemma 2.4 of Jean A. Larson, *ibid.*

lemma *lemma-2-4*:

assumes infinite *N* *k* < 4
obtains *M* **where** $M \in [UU]^m \wedge u. u \in [M]^2 \implies \text{form } u k \wedge u. u \in [M]^2 \implies \text{scheme } u \subseteq N$
 ⟨proof⟩

Lemma 2.5 of Jean A. Larson, *ibid.*

lemma *lemma-2-5*:

assumes infinite *N*
obtains *X* **where** $X \subseteq UU$ ordertype *X* pair-less = $\omega \uparrow 2$
 $\bigwedge u. u \in [X]^2 \implies (\exists k < 4. \text{form } u k) \wedge \text{scheme } u \subseteq N$
 ⟨proof⟩

Theorem 2.1 of Jean A. Larson, *ibid.*

lemma *Specker-aux*:

assumes $\alpha \in \text{elts } \omega$
shows *partn-lst* pair-less UU [$\omega \uparrow 2, \alpha$] 2
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *Specker*: $\alpha \in \text{elts } \omega \implies \text{partn-lst-VWF } (\omega \uparrow 2) [\omega \uparrow 2, \alpha] 2$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

theory *Erdos-Milner*

imports *Partitions*

begin

2.5 Erdos-Milner theorem

P. Erds and E. C. Milner, A Theorem in the Partition Calculus. Canadian Math. Bull. 15:4 (1972), 501-505. Corrigendum, Canadian Math. Bull. 17:2 (1974), 305.

The paper defines strong types as satisfying the criteria below. It remarks that ordinals satisfy those criteria. Here is a (too complicated) proof.

proposition *strong-ordertype-eq*:

assumes $D: D \subseteq \text{elts } \beta$ **and** $\text{Ord } \beta$

obtains L **where** $\bigcup (\text{List.set } L) = D \wedge X. X \in \text{List.set } L \implies \text{indecomposable } (tp\ X)$

and $\bigwedge M. \llbracket M \subseteq D; \bigwedge X. X \in \text{List.set } L \implies tp\ (M \cap X) \geq tp\ X \rrbracket \implies tp\ M = tp\ D$

⟨*proof*⟩

The “remark” of Erds and E. C. Milner, Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. 17 (2), 1974

proposition *indecomposable-imp-Ex-less-sets*:

assumes *indec*: *indecomposable* α **and** $\alpha \geq \omega$

and $A: tp\ A = \alpha$ *small* $A \subseteq ON$

and $x \in A$ **and** $A1: tp\ A1 = \alpha$ $A1 \subseteq A$

obtains $A2$ **where** $tp\ A2 = \alpha$ $A2 \subseteq A1 \setminus \{x\} \ll A2$

⟨*proof*⟩

the main theorem, from which they derive the headline result

theorem *Erdos-Milner-aux*:

assumes *part*: *partn-lst-VWF* $\alpha [k, \gamma] 2$

and *indec*: *indecomposable* α **and** $k > 1$ *Ord* γ **and** $\beta: \beta \in \text{elts } \omega 1$

shows *partn-lst-VWF* $(\alpha * \beta) [\text{ord-of-nat } (2 * k), \text{min } \gamma (\omega * \beta)] 2$

⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *Erdos-Milner*:

assumes $\nu: \nu \in \text{elts } \omega 1$

shows *partn-lst-VWF* $(\omega \uparrow (1 + \nu * n)) [\text{ord-of-nat } (2 \hat{\sim} n), \omega \uparrow (1 + \nu)] 2$

⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *remark-3: partn-lst-VWF* $(\omega^\uparrow(\text{Suc}(4*k))) [4, \omega^\uparrow(\text{Suc}(2*k))] 2$
 ⟨proof⟩

Theorem 3.2 of Jean A. Larson, *ibid.*

corollary *Theorem-3-2:*

fixes $k n :: \text{nat}$

shows *partn-lst-VWF* $(\omega^\uparrow(n*k)) [\omega^\uparrow n, \text{ord-of-nat } k] 2$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

3 An ordinal partition theorem by Jean A. Larson

Jean A. Larson, A short proof of a partition theorem for the ordinal ω^ω .
Annals of Mathematical Logic, 6:129–145, 1973.

theory *Omega-Omega*

imports *HOL-Library.Product-Lexorder Erdos-Milner*

begin

abbreviation *list-of* \equiv *sorted-list-of-set*

3.1 Cantor normal form for ordinals below $\omega \uparrow \omega$

Unlike *Cantor-sum*, there is no list of ordinal exponents, which are instead taken as consecutive. We obtain an order-isomorphism between $\omega \uparrow \omega$ and increasing lists of natural numbers (ordered lexicographically).

fun *omega-sum-aux* **where**

Nil: omega-sum-aux $0 - = 0$

| *Suc: omega-sum-aux* $(\text{Suc } n) [] = 0$

| *Cons: omega-sum-aux* $(\text{Suc } n) (m\#ms) = (\omega^\uparrow n) * (\text{ord-of-nat } m) + \text{omega-sum-aux } n \ ms$

abbreviation *omega-sum* **where** *omega-sum* $ms \equiv \text{omega-sum-aux } (\text{length } ms) \ ms$

A normal expansion has no leading zeroes

inductive *normal* :: $\text{nat list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

normal-Nil[*iff*]: *normal* $[]$

| *normal-Cons*: $m > 0 \implies \text{normal } (m\#ms)$

inductive-simps *normal-Cons-iff* [*simp*]: *normal* $(m\#ms)$

lemma *omega-sum-0-iff* [*simp*]: *normal* $ns \implies \text{omega-sum } ns = 0 \iff ns = []$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Ord-omega-sum-aux* [*simp*]: *Ord* $(\text{omega-sum-aux } k \ ms)$

<proof>

lemma *Ord-omega-sum: Ord (omega-sum ms)*
<proof>

lemma *omega-sum-less- $\omega\omega$ [intro]: omega-sum ms < $\omega \uparrow \omega$*
<proof>

lemma *omega-sum-aux-less: omega-sum-aux k ms < $\omega \uparrow k$*
<proof>

lemma *omega-sum-less: omega-sum ms < $\omega \uparrow (\text{length ms})$*
<proof>

lemma *omega-sum-ge: $m \neq 0 \implies \omega \uparrow (\text{length ms}) \leq \text{omega-sum } (m\#ms)$*
<proof>

lemma *omega-sum-length-less:*
assumes *normal ns length ms < length ns*
shows *omega-sum ms < omega-sum ns*
<proof>

lemma *omega-sum-length-leD:*
assumes *omega-sum ms \leq omega-sum ns normal ms*
shows *length ms \leq length ns*
<proof>

lemma *omega-sum-less-eqlen-iff-cases [simp]:*
assumes *length ms = length ns*
shows *omega-sum (m#ms) < omega-sum (n#ns) $\longleftrightarrow m < n \vee m = n \wedge \text{omega-sum } ms < \text{omega-sum } ns$*
<proof>

lemma *omega-sum-less-iff-cases:*
assumes *$m > 0 \ n > 0$*
shows *omega-sum (m#ms) < omega-sum (n#ns)*
 \longleftrightarrow *length ms < length ns*
 \vee *length ms = length ns $\wedge m < n$*
 \vee *length ms = length ns $\wedge m = n \wedge \text{omega-sum } ms < \text{omega-sum } ns$*
<proof>

lemma *omega-sum-less-iff:*
*((length ms, omega-sum ms), (length ns, omega-sum ns)) \in less-than $\langle *lex* \rangle$*
VWF
 \longleftrightarrow *(ms, ns) \in lenlex less-than*
<proof>

lemma *eq-omega-sum-less-iff:*
assumes *length ms = length ns*

shows $(\text{omega-sum } ms, \text{omega-sum } ns) \in VWF \longleftrightarrow (ms, ns) \in \text{lenlex less-than}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *eq-omega-sum-eq-iff*:
assumes $\text{length } ms = \text{length } ns$
shows $\text{omega-sum } ms = \text{omega-sum } ns \longleftrightarrow ms=ns$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *inj-omega-sum*: $\text{inj-on } \text{omega-sum } \{l. \text{length } l = n\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Ex-omega-sum*: $\gamma \in \text{elts } (\omega \uparrow n) \implies \exists ns. \gamma = \text{omega-sum } ns \wedge \text{length } ns = n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *omega-sum-drop [simp]*: $\text{omega-sum } (\text{dropWhile } (\lambda n. n=0) ns) = \text{omega-sum } ns$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *normal-drop [simp]*: $\text{normal } (\text{dropWhile } (\lambda n. n=0) ns)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *omega-sum- $\omega\omega$* :
assumes $\gamma \in \text{elts } (\omega \uparrow \omega)$
obtains ns **where** $\gamma = \text{omega-sum } ns$ *normal* ns
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *Cantor- $\omega\omega$* :: $V \Rightarrow \text{nat list}$
where $\text{Cantor-}\omega\omega \equiv \lambda x. \text{SOME } ns. x = \text{omega-sum } ns \wedge \text{normal } ns$

lemma
assumes $\gamma \in \text{elts } (\omega \uparrow \omega)$
shows *Cantor- $\omega\omega$* : $\text{omega-sum } (\text{Cantor-}\omega\omega \ \gamma) = \gamma$
and *normal-Cantor- $\omega\omega$* : $\text{normal } (\text{Cantor-}\omega\omega \ \gamma)$
 ⟨proof⟩

3.2 Larson's set $W(n)$

definition *WW* :: nat list set
where $WW \equiv \{l. \text{strict-sorted } l\}$

fun *into-WW* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat list} \Rightarrow \text{nat list}$ **where**
 $\text{into-WW } k \ [] = []$
 $|\ \text{into-WW } k \ (n\#\text{ns}) = (k+n) \# \text{into-WW } (\text{Suc } (k+n)) \ ns$

fun *from-WW* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat list} \Rightarrow \text{nat list}$ **where**
 $\text{from-WW } k \ [] = []$
 $|\ \text{from-WW } k \ (n\#\text{ns}) = (n - k) \# \text{from-WW } (\text{Suc } n) \ ns$

lemma *from-into-WW* [simp]: $\text{from-WW } k \ (\text{into-WW } k \ ns) = ns$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *inj-into-WW*: $\text{inj} \ (\text{into-WW } k)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *into-from-WW-aux*:
[[*strict-sorted* ns ; $\forall n \in \text{list.set } ns. k \leq n$]] $\implies \text{into-WW } k \ (\text{from-WW } k \ ns) = ns$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *into-from-WW* [simp]: $\text{strict-sorted } ns \implies \text{into-WW } 0 \ (\text{from-WW } 0 \ ns) = ns$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *into-WW-imp-ge*: $y \in \text{List.set} \ (\text{into-WW } x \ ns) \implies x \leq y$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *strict-sorted-into-WW*: $\text{strict-sorted} \ (\text{into-WW } x \ ns)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *length-into-WW*: $\text{length} \ (\text{into-WW } x \ ns) = \text{length } ns$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *WW-eq-range-into*: $WW = \text{range} \ (\text{into-WW } 0)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *into-WW-lenlex-iff*: $(\text{into-WW } k \ ms, \text{into-WW } k \ ns) \in \text{lenlex less-than} \iff (ms, ns) \in \text{lenlex less-than}$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *wf-llt* [simp]: $\text{wf} \ (\text{lenlex less-than})$ **and** *trans-llt* [simp]: $\text{trans} \ (\text{lenlex less-than})$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *total-llt* [simp]: $\text{total-on } A \ (\text{lenlex less-than})$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *omega-sum-1-less*:
assumes $(ms, ns) \in \text{lenlex less-than}$ **shows** $\text{omega-sum} \ (1 \# ms) < \text{omega-sum} \ (1 \# ns)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *ordertype-WW-1*: $\text{ordertype } WW \ (\text{lenlex less-than}) \leq \text{ordertype } UNIV \ (\text{lenlex less-than})$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *ordertype-WW-2*: $\text{ordertype } UNIV \ (\text{lenlex less-than}) \leq \omega \uparrow \omega$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *ordertype-WW-3*: $\omega \uparrow \omega \leq \text{ordertype } WW \ (\text{lenlex less-than})$

<proof>

lemma *ordertype-WW*: *ordertype WW (lenlex less-than) = $\omega \uparrow \omega$*
and *ordertype-UNIV- $\omega\omega$* : *ordertype UNIV (lenlex less-than) = $\omega \uparrow \omega$*
<proof>

lemma *ordertype- $\omega\omega$* :
fixes *F :: nat \Rightarrow nat list set*
assumes $\bigwedge j::nat.$ *ordertype (F j) (lenlex less-than) = $\omega \uparrow j$*
shows *ordertype ($\bigcup j.$ F j) (lenlex less-than) = $\omega \uparrow \omega$*
<proof>

definition *WW-seg :: nat \Rightarrow nat list set*
where *WW-seg n \equiv {l \in WW. length l = n}*

lemma *WW-seg-subset-WW*: *WW-seg n \subseteq WW*
<proof>

lemma *WW-eq-UN-WW-seg*: *WW = ($\bigcup n.$ WW-seg n)*
<proof>

lemma *ordertype-list-seg*: *ordertype {l. length l = n} (lenlex less-than) = $\omega \uparrow n$*
<proof>

lemma *ordertype-WW-seg*: *ordertype (WW-seg n) (lenlex less-than) = $\omega \uparrow n$*
(is *ordertype ?W ?R = $\omega \uparrow n$*)
<proof>

3.3 Definitions required for the lemmas

3.3.1 Larson's "<"-relation on ordered lists

instantiation *list :: (ord)ord*
begin

definition *xs < ys \equiv xs \neq [] \wedge ys \neq [] \longrightarrow last xs < hd ys* **for** *xs ys :: 'a list*

definition *xs \leq ys \equiv xs < ys \vee xs = ys* **for** *xs ys :: 'a list*

instance
<proof>

end

lemma *less-Nil [simp]*: *xs < [] \wedge [] < xs*
<proof>

lemma *less-sets-imp-list-less*:

assumes $list.set\ xs \ll list.set\ ys$
shows $xs < ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *less-sets-imp-sorted-list-of-set*:
assumes $A \ll B$ *finite A finite B*
shows $list-of\ A < list-of\ B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sorted-list-of-set-imp-less-sets*:
assumes $xs < ys$ *sorted xs sorted ys*
shows $list.set\ xs \ll list.set\ ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *less-list-iff-less-sets*:
assumes *sorted xs sorted ys*
shows $xs < ys \longleftrightarrow list.set\ xs \ll list.set\ ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *strict-sorted-append-iff*:
 $strict-sorted\ (xs\ @\ ys) \longleftrightarrow xs < ys \wedge strict-sorted\ xs \wedge strict-sorted\ ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *singleton-less-list-iff*: $sorted\ xs \implies [n] < xs \longleftrightarrow \{..n\} \cap list.set\ xs = \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *less-hd-imp-less*: $xs < [hd\ ys] \implies xs < ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *strict-sorted-concat-I*:
assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in list.set\ xs \implies strict-sorted\ x$
 $\bigwedge n. Suc\ n < length\ xs \implies xs!n < xs!Suc\ n$
 $xs \in lists\ (-\ \{\}\}$
shows $strict-sorted\ (concat\ xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.4 Nash Williams for lists

3.4.1 Thin sets of lists

inductive *initial-segment* :: $'a\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow bool$
where *initial-segment xs (xs@ys)*

definition *thin* :: $'a\ list\ set \Rightarrow bool$
where $thin\ A \equiv \neg (\exists x\ y. x \in A \wedge y \in A \wedge x \neq y \wedge initial-segment\ x\ y)$

lemma *initial-segment-ne*:
assumes *initial-segment xs ys xs \neq []*
shows $ys \neq [] \wedge hd\ ys = hd\ xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *take-initial-segment*:

assumes *initial-segment* $xs\ ys\ k \leq \text{length } xs$

shows $\text{take } k\ xs = \text{take } k\ ys$

<proof>

lemma *initial-segment-length-eq*:

assumes *initial-segment* $xs\ ys\ \text{length } xs = \text{length } ys$

shows $xs = ys$

<proof>

lemma *initial-segment-Nil* [simp]: *initial-segment* $[]\ ys$

<proof>

lemma *initial-segment-Cons* [simp]: *initial-segment* $(x\#\!xs)\ (y\#\!ys) \longleftrightarrow x=y \wedge$
initial-segment $xs\ ys$

<proof>

lemma *init-segment-iff-initial-segment*:

assumes *strict-sorted* $xs\ \text{strict-sorted } ys$

shows *init-segment* $(\text{list.set } xs)\ (\text{list.set } ys) \longleftrightarrow$ *initial-segment* $xs\ ys$ (**is** ?lhs =
?rhs)

<proof>

theorem *Nash-Williams-WW*:

fixes $h :: \text{nat list} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$

assumes *infinite* M **and** $h\ ' \{l \in A.\ \text{List.set } l \subseteq M\} \subseteq \{..<2\}$ **and** *thin* $A\ A$
 $\subseteq WW$

obtains $i\ N$ **where** $i < 2$ *infinite* $N\ N \subseteq M\ h\ ' \{l \in A.\ \text{List.set } l \subseteq N\} \subseteq \{i\}$

<proof>

3.5 Specialised functions on lists

lemma *mem-lists-non-Nil*: $xss \in \text{lists } (-\ \{\}) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in \text{list.set } xss.\ x \neq [])$

<proof>

fun *acc-lengths* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a\ \text{list list} \Rightarrow \text{nat list}$

where *acc-lengths* $acc\ [] = []$

| *acc-lengths* $acc\ (l\#\!ls) = (acc + \text{length } l)\ \#\ \text{acc-lengths } (acc + \text{length } l)\ ls$

lemma *length-acc-lengths* [simp]: $\text{length } (\text{acc-lengths } acc\ ls) = \text{length } ls$

<proof>

lemma *acc-lengths-eq-Nil-iff* [simp]: $\text{acc-lengths } acc\ ls = [] \longleftrightarrow ls = []$

<proof>

lemma *set-acc-lengths*:

assumes $ls \in \text{lists } (-\ \{\})$ **shows** $\text{list.set } (\text{acc-lengths } acc\ ls) \subseteq \{acc<..\}$

<proof>

Useful because *acc-lengths.simps* will sometimes be deleted from the simpset.

lemma *hd-acc-lengths* [*simp*]: $hd (acc-lengths\ acc\ (l\#\!ls)) = acc + length\ l$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *last-acc-lengths* [*simp*]:
 $ls \neq [] \implies last (acc-lengths\ acc\ ls) = acc + sum-list (map\ length\ ls)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *nth-acc-lengths* [*simp*]:
 $\llbracket ls \neq []; k < length\ ls \rrbracket \implies acc-lengths\ acc\ ls\ !\ k = acc + sum-list (map\ length\ (take\ (Suc\ k)\ ls))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *acc-lengths-plus*: $acc-lengths\ (m+n)\ as = map\ ((+)\ m)\ (acc-lengths\ n\ as)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *acc-lengths-shift*: *NO-MATCH* $0\ acc \implies acc-lengths\ acc\ as = map\ ((+)\ acc)\ (acc-lengths\ 0\ as)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *length-concat-acc-lengths*:
 $ls \neq [] \implies k + length (concat\ ls) \in list.set (acc-lengths\ k\ ls)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *strict-sorted-acc-lengths*:
assumes $ls \in lists\ (-\ \{\!\!\}\!)$ **shows** *strict-sorted* $(acc-lengths\ acc\ ls)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *acc-lengths-append*:
 $acc-lengths\ acc\ (xs\ @\ ys)$
 $= acc-lengths\ acc\ xs\ @\ acc-lengths\ (acc + sum-list (map\ length\ xs))\ ys$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *length-concat-ge*:
assumes $as \in lists\ (-\ \{\!\!\}\!)$
shows $length (concat\ as) \geq length\ as$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

fun *interact* :: 'a list list \Rightarrow 'a list list \Rightarrow 'a list
where
 $interact\ []\ ys = concat\ ys$
 $| interact\ xs\ [] = concat\ xs$
 $| interact\ (x\#\!xs)\ (y\#\!ys) = x\ @\ y\ @\ interact\ xs\ ys$

lemma (**in** *monoid-add*) *length-interact*:
 $length (interact\ xs\ ys) = sum-list (map\ length\ xs) + sum-list (map\ length\ ys)$

<proof>

lemma *length-interact-ge*:

assumes $xs \in \text{lists } (- \{\ \})$ $ys \in \text{lists } (- \{\ \})$

shows $\text{length } (\text{interact } xs \ ys) \geq \text{length } xs + \text{length } ys$

<proof>

lemma *set-interact [simp]*:

shows $\text{list.set } (\text{interact } xs \ ys) = \text{list.set } (\text{concat } xs) \cup \text{list.set } (\text{concat } ys)$

<proof>

lemma *interact-eq-Nil-iff [simp]*:

assumes $xs \in \text{lists } (- \{\ \})$ $ys \in \text{lists } (- \{\ \})$

shows $\text{interact } xs \ ys = [] \iff xs = [] \wedge ys = []$

<proof>

lemma *interact-sing [simp]*: $\text{interact } [x] \ ys = x \ @ \ \text{concat } ys$

<proof>

lemma *hd-interact*: $xs \neq []; \text{hd } xs \neq [] \implies \text{hd } (\text{interact } xs \ ys) = \text{hd } (\text{hd } xs)$

<proof>

lemma *acc-lengths-concat-injective*:

assumes $\text{concat } as' = \text{concat } as$ $\text{acc-lengths } n \ as' = \text{acc-lengths } n \ as$

shows $as' = as$

<proof>

lemma *acc-lengths-interact-injective*:

assumes $\text{interact } as' \ bs' = \text{interact } as \ bs$ $\text{acc-lengths } a \ as' = \text{acc-lengths } a \ as$
 $\text{acc-lengths } b \ bs' = \text{acc-lengths } b \ bs$

shows $as' = as \wedge bs' = bs$

<proof>

lemma *strict-sorted-interact-I*:

assumes $\text{length } ys \leq \text{length } xs$ $\text{length } xs \leq \text{Suc } (\text{length } ys)$

$\bigwedge x. x \in \text{list.set } xs \implies \text{strict-sorted } x$

$\bigwedge y. y \in \text{list.set } ys \implies \text{strict-sorted } y$

$\bigwedge n. n < \text{length } ys \implies xs!n < ys!n$

$\bigwedge n. \text{Suc } n < \text{length } xs \implies ys!n < xs!\text{Suc } n$

assumes $xs \in \text{lists } (- \{\ \})$ $ys \in \text{lists } (- \{\ \})$

shows $\text{strict-sorted } (\text{interact } xs \ ys)$

<proof>

3.6 Forms and interactions

3.6.1 Forms

inductive *Form-Body* :: $[nat, nat, nat \ \text{list}, nat \ \text{list}, nat \ \text{list}] \Rightarrow bool$

where *Form-Body* $ka \ kb \ xs \ ys \ zs$

if $\text{length } xs < \text{length } ys$ $xs = \text{concat } (a\#as)$ $ys = \text{concat } (b\#bs)$
 $a\#as \in \text{lists } (- \{\}\}$ $b\#bs \in \text{lists } (- \{\}\}$
 $\text{length } (a\#as) = ka$ $\text{length } (b\#bs) = kb$
 $c = \text{acc-lengths } 0 (a\#as)$
 $d = \text{acc-lengths } 0 (b\#bs)$
 $zs = \text{concat } [c, a, d, b]$ @ *interact as bs*
strict-sorted zs

inductive $\text{Form} :: [\text{nat}, \text{nat list set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $\text{Form } 0 \{xs,ys\}$ **if** $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys$ $xs \neq ys$
| $\text{Form } (2*k-1) \{xs,ys\}$ **if** $\text{Form-Body } k k xs ys zs k > 0$
| $\text{Form } (2*k) \{xs,ys\}$ **if** $\text{Form-Body } (\text{Suc } k) k xs ys zs k > 0$

inductive-cases $\text{Form-0-cases-raw}: \text{Form } 0 u$

lemma *Form-elim-upair*:
assumes $\text{Form } l U$
obtains $xs ys$ **where** $xs \neq ys$ $U = \{xs,ys\}$ $\text{length } xs \leq \text{length } ys$
<proof>

lemma **assumes** $\text{Form-Body } ka kb xs ys zs$
shows $\text{Form-Body-WW}: zs \in WW$
and $\text{Form-Body-nonempty}: \text{length } zs > 0$
and $\text{Form-Body-length}: \text{length } xs < \text{length } ys$
<proof>

lemma *form-cases*:
fixes $l::\text{nat}$
obtains $(\text{zero}) l = 0$ | $(\text{nz}) ka kb$ **where** $l = ka+kb - 1$ $0 < kb$ $kb \leq ka$ $ka \leq \text{Suc } kb$
<proof>

3.6.2 Interactions

lemma *interact*:
assumes $\text{Form } l U l > 0$
obtains $ka kb xs ys zs$ **where** $l = ka+kb - 1$ $U = \{xs,ys\}$ $\text{Form-Body } ka kb xs ys zs$ $0 < kb$ $kb \leq ka$ $ka \leq \text{Suc } kb$
<proof>

definition *inter-scheme* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat list set} \Rightarrow \text{nat list}$
where $\text{inter-scheme } l U \equiv$
 $\text{SOME } zs. \exists k xs ys. U = \{xs,ys\} \wedge$
 $(l = 2*k-1 \wedge \text{Form-Body } k k xs ys zs \vee l = 2*k \wedge \text{Form-Body } (\text{Suc } k) k xs ys zs)$

lemma *inter-scheme*:

assumes $\text{Form } l \ U \ l > 0$

obtains $ka \ kb \ xs \ ys$ **where** $l = ka + kb - 1 \ U = \{xs, ys\}$ *Form-Body* $ka \ kb \ xs \ ys$
(*inter-scheme* $l \ U$) $0 < kb \ kb \leq ka \ ka \leq \text{Suc } kb$
(*proof*)

lemma *inter-scheme-strict-sorted*:

assumes $\text{Form } l \ U \ l > 0$

shows *strict-sorted* (*inter-scheme* $l \ U$)

(*proof*)

lemma *inter-scheme-simple*:

assumes $\text{Form } l \ U \ l > 0$

shows *inter-scheme* $l \ U \in WW \wedge \text{length } (\text{inter-scheme } l \ U) > 0$

(*proof*)

3.6.3 Injectivity of interactions

proposition *inter-scheme-injective*:

assumes $\text{Form } l \ U \ \text{Form } l \ U' \ l > 0$ **and** $\text{eq: } \text{inter-scheme } l \ U' = \text{inter-scheme } l \ U$

shows $U' = U$

(*proof*)

lemma *strict-sorted-interact-imp-concat*:

$\text{strict-sorted } (\text{interact } as \ bs) \implies \text{strict-sorted } (\text{concat } as) \wedge \text{strict-sorted } (\text{concat } bs)$

(*proof*)

lemma *strict-sorted-interact-hd*:

$[\text{strict-sorted } (\text{interact } cs \ ds); \ cs \neq []; \ ds \neq []; \ \text{hd } cs \neq []; \ \text{hd } ds \neq []]$
 $\implies \text{hd } (\text{hd } cs) < \text{hd } (\text{hd } ds)$

(*proof*)

the lengths of the two lists can differ by one

proposition *interaction-scheme-unique-aux*:

assumes $\text{concat } as = \text{concat } as' \ \text{and } ys': \text{concat } bs = \text{concat } bs'$

and $as \in \text{lists } (- \{\}) \ bs \in \text{lists } (- \{\})$

and *strict-sorted* (*interact* $as \ bs$)

and $\text{length } bs \leq \text{length } as \ \text{length } as \leq \text{Suc } (\text{length } bs)$

and $as' \in \text{lists } (- \{\}) \ bs' \in \text{lists } (- \{\})$

and *strict-sorted* (*interact* $as' \ bs'$)

and $\text{length } bs' \leq \text{length } as' \ \text{length } as' \leq \text{Suc } (\text{length } bs')$

and $\text{length } as = \text{length } as' \ \text{length } bs = \text{length } bs'$

shows $as = as' \wedge bs = bs'$

(*proof*)

proposition *Form-Body-unique:*

assumes *Form-Body* $ka\ kb\ xs\ ys\ zs$ *Form-Body* $ka\ kb\ xs\ ys\ zs'$ **and** $kb \leq ka$ $ka \leq Suc\ kb$
shows $zs' = zs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Form-Body-imp-inter-scheme:*

assumes *FB: Form-Body* $ka\ kb\ xs\ ys\ zs$ **and** $0 < kb$ $kb \leq ka$ $ka \leq Suc\ kb$
shows $zs = inter\ scheme\ ((ka+kb) - Suc\ 0)\ \{xs,ys\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.7 For Lemma 3.8 AND PROBABLY 3.7

definition $grab :: nat\ set \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat\ set \times nat\ set$

where $grab\ N\ n \equiv (N \cap enumerate\ N\ '\{..<n\}, N \cap \{enumerate\ N\ n.. \})$

lemma *grab-0 [simp]:* $grab\ N\ 0 = (\{\}, N)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *less-sets-grab:*

$infinite\ N \Longrightarrow fst\ (grab\ N\ n) \ll snd\ (grab\ N\ n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *finite-grab [iff]:* $finite\ (fst\ (grab\ N\ n))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *card-grab [simp]:*

assumes $infinite\ N$ **shows** $card\ (fst\ (grab\ N\ n)) = n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fst-grab-subset:* $fst\ (grab\ N\ n) \subseteq N$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *snd-grab-subset:* $snd\ (grab\ N\ n) \subseteq N$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *grab-Un-eq:*

assumes $infinite\ N$ **shows** $fst\ (grab\ N\ n) \cup snd\ (grab\ N\ n) = N$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *finite-grab-iff [simp]:* $finite\ (snd\ (grab\ N\ n)) \longleftrightarrow finite\ N$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *grab-eqD:*

$\llbracket grab\ N\ n = (A,M); infinite\ N \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow A \ll M \wedge finite\ A \wedge card\ A = n \wedge infinite\ M \wedge A \subseteq N \wedge M \subseteq N$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *less-sets-fst-grab*: $A \ll N \implies A \ll \text{fst } (\text{grab } N \ n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

Possibly redundant, given *grab*

definition *next* **where** $\text{next} \equiv \lambda N. \lambda n::\text{nat}. N \cap \{n<..\}$

lemma *infinite-nextN*: $\text{infinite } N \implies \text{infinite } (\text{next } N \ n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *next-subset*: $\text{next } N \ n \subseteq N$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *next-subset-greaterThan*: $m \leq n \implies \text{next } N \ n \subseteq \{m<..\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *next-subset-atLeast*: $m \leq n \implies \text{next } N \ n \subseteq \{m..\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *enum-next-ge*: $\text{infinite } N \implies a \leq \text{enum } (\text{next } N \ a) \ n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *inj-enum-next*: $\text{infinite } N \implies \text{inj-on } (\text{enum } (\text{next } N \ a)) \ A$
 ⟨proof⟩

3.8 Larson's Lemma 3.11

Again from Jean A. Larson, A short proof of a partition theorem for the ordinal ω^ω . *Annals of Mathematical Logic*, 6:129–145, 1973.

lemma *lemma-3-11*:
assumes $l > 0$
shows *thin* (*inter-scheme* $l \ ' \ \{U. \text{Form } l \ U\}$)
 ⟨proof⟩

3.9 Larson's Lemma 3.6

proposition *lemma-3-6*:
fixes $g :: \text{nat list set} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$
assumes $g: g \in [WW]^2 \rightarrow \{0,1\}$
obtains $N \ j$ **where** *infinite* N
and $\bigwedge k \ u. \llbracket k > 0; u \in [WW]^2; \text{Form } k \ u; [\text{enum } N \ k] < \text{inter-scheme } k \ u; \text{List.set } (\text{inter-scheme } k \ u) \subseteq N \rrbracket \implies g \ u = j \ k$
 ⟨proof⟩

3.10 Larson's Lemma 3.7

3.10.1 Preliminaries

Analogous to *ordered-nsets-2-eq*, but without type classes

lemma *total-order-nsets-2-eq*:

assumes *tot*: *total-on A r* **and** *irr*: *irrefl r*

shows $nsets\ A\ 2 = \{\{x,y\} \mid x\ y.\ x \in A \wedge y \in A \wedge (x,y) \in r\}$

(**is** - = ?*rhs*)

<proof>

lemma *lenlex-nsets-2-eq*: $nsets\ A\ 2 = \{\{x,y\} \mid x\ y.\ x \in A \wedge y \in A \wedge (x,y) \in lenlex\ less-than\}$

<proof>

lemma *sum-sorted-list-of-set-map*: $finite\ I \implies sum-list\ (map\ f\ (list-of\ I)) = sum\ f\ I$

<proof>

lemma *sorted-list-of-set-UN-eq-concat*:

assumes *I*: *strict-mono-sets I f finite I* **and** *fin*: $\bigwedge i.\ finite\ (f\ i)$

shows $list-of\ (\bigcup i \in I.\ f\ i) = concat\ (map\ (list-of\ \circ\ f)\ (list-of\ I))$

<proof>

3.10.2 Lemma 3.7 of Jean A. Larson, *ibid.*

proposition *lemma-3-7*:

assumes *infinite N l > 0*

obtains *M* **where** $M \in [WW]^m$

$\bigwedge U.\ U \in [M]^2 \implies Form\ l\ U \wedge List.set\ (inter-scheme\ l\ U) \subseteq N$

<proof>

3.11 Larson's Lemma 3.8

3.11.1 Primitives needed for the inductive construction of *b*

definition *IJ* **where** $IJ \equiv \lambda k.\ Sigma\ \{..k\}\ (\lambda j::nat.\ \{..<j\})$

lemma *IJ-iff*: $u \in IJ\ k \longleftrightarrow (\exists j\ i.\ u = (j,i) \wedge i < j \wedge j \leq k)$

<proof>

lemma *finite-IJ*: $finite\ (IJ\ k)$

<proof>

fun *prev* **where**

prev 0 0 = None

| *prev (Suc 0) 0 = None*

| *prev (Suc j) 0 = Some (j, j - Suc 0)*

| *prev j (Suc i) = Some (j,i)*

lemma *prev-eq-None-iff*: $prev\ j\ i = None \longleftrightarrow j \leq Suc\ 0 \wedge i = 0$

<proof>

lemma *prev-pair-less*:

$prev\ j\ i = Some\ ji' \implies (ji', (j,i)) \in pair-less$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *prev-Some-less*: $\llbracket prev\ j\ i = Some\ (j',i');\ i \leq j \rrbracket \implies i' < j'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *prev-maximal*:
 $\llbracket prev\ j\ i = Some\ (j',i');\ (ji'', (j,i)) \in pair-less;\ ji'' \in IJ\ k \rrbracket$
 $\implies (ji'', (j',i')) \in pair-less \vee ji'' = (j',i')$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *pair-less-prev*:
 assumes $(u, (j,i)) \in pair-less\ u \in IJ\ k$
 shows $prev\ j\ i = Some\ u \vee (\exists x. (u, x) \in pair-less \wedge prev\ j\ i = Some\ x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

3.11.2 Special primitives for the ordertype proof

definition *USigma* :: $'a\ set\ set \Rightarrow ('a\ set \Rightarrow 'a\ set) \Rightarrow 'a\ set\ set$
 where $USigma\ A\ B \equiv \bigcup X \in A. \bigcup y \in B\ X. \{insert\ y\ X\}$

definition *usplit*
 where $usplit\ f\ A \equiv f\ (A - \{Max\ A\})\ (Max\ A)$

lemma *USigma-empty* [*simp*]: $USigma\ \{\}\ B = \{\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *USigma-iff*:
 assumes $\bigwedge i\ j. I \in \mathcal{I} \implies I \ll J\ I \wedge finite\ I$
 shows $x \in USigma\ \mathcal{I}\ J \iff usplit\ (\lambda I\ j. I \in \mathcal{I} \wedge j \in J\ I \wedge x = insert\ j\ I)\ x$
 ⟨proof⟩

proposition *ordertype-append-image-IJ*:
 assumes $lenB\ [simp]: \bigwedge i\ j. i \in \mathcal{I} \implies j \in J\ i \implies length\ (B\ j) = c$
 and $AB: \bigwedge i\ j. i \in \mathcal{I} \implies j \in J\ i \implies A\ i < B\ j$
 and $IJ: \bigwedge i. i \in \mathcal{I} \implies i \ll J\ i \wedge finite\ i$
 and $\beta: \bigwedge i. i \in \mathcal{I} \implies ordertype\ (B\ 'J\ i)\ (lenlex\ less-than) = \beta$
 and $A: inj-on\ A\ \mathcal{I}$
 shows $ordertype\ (usplit\ (\lambda i\ j. A\ i @ B\ j)\ 'USigma\ \mathcal{I}\ J)\ (lenlex\ less-than)$
 $= \beta * ordertype\ (A\ ' \mathcal{I})\ (lenlex\ less-than)$
 (is $ordertype\ ?AB\ ?R = - * ?\alpha$)
 ⟨proof⟩

3.11.3 The final part of 3.8, where two sequences are merged

inductive *merge* :: $[nat\ list\ list, nat\ list\ list, nat\ list\ list, nat\ list\ list] \Rightarrow bool$
 where $NullNull: merge\ []\ []\ []\ []$
 | $Null: as \neq [] \implies merge\ as\ []\ [concat\ as]\ []$
 | $App: \llbracket as1 \neq [];\ bs1 \neq [] \rrbracket;$

$concat\ as1 < concat\ bs1; concat\ bs1 < concat\ as2; merge\ as2\ bs2\ as$
 $bs]$
 $\implies merge\ (as1@as2)\ (bs1@bs2)\ (concat\ as1\ \#)\ as)\ (concat\ bs1\ \#)\ bs)$

inductive-simps *Null1* [*simp*]: $merge\ []\ bs\ us\ vs$

inductive-simps *Null2* [*simp*]: $merge\ as\ []\ us\ vs$

lemma *merge-single*:

$\llbracket concat\ as < concat\ bs; concat\ as \neq []; concat\ bs \neq [] \rrbracket \implies merge\ as\ bs\ [concat$
 $as]\ [concat\ bs]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *merge-length1-nonempty*:

assumes $merge\ as\ bs\ us\ vs\ as \in lists\ (-\ \{\})$

shows $us \in lists\ (-\ \{\})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *merge-length2-nonempty*:

assumes $merge\ as\ bs\ us\ vs\ bs \in lists\ (-\ \{\})$

shows $vs \in lists\ (-\ \{\})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *merge-length1-gt-0*:

assumes $merge\ as\ bs\ us\ vs\ as \neq []$

shows $length\ us > 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *merge-length-le*:

assumes $merge\ as\ bs\ us\ vs$

shows $length\ vs \leq length\ us$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *merge-length-le-Suc*:

assumes $merge\ as\ bs\ us\ vs$

shows $length\ us \leq Suc\ (length\ vs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *merge-length-less2*:

assumes $merge\ as\ bs\ us\ vs$

shows $length\ vs \leq length\ as$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *merge-preserves*:

assumes $merge\ as\ bs\ us\ vs$

shows $concat\ as = concat\ us \wedge concat\ bs = concat\ vs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *merge-interact*:

assumes $merge\ as\ bs\ us\ vs\ strict\ sorted\ (concat\ as)\ strict\ sorted\ (concat\ bs)$

$bs \in \text{lists } (- \{\emptyset\})$
shows *strict-sorted* (*interact us vs*)
<proof>

lemma *acc-lengths-merge1*:
assumes *merge as bs us vs*
shows $\text{list.set } (\text{acc-lengths } k \text{ us}) \subseteq \text{list.set } (\text{acc-lengths } k \text{ as})$
<proof>

lemma *acc-lengths-merge2*:
assumes *merge as bs us vs*
shows $\text{list.set } (\text{acc-lengths } k \text{ vs}) \subseteq \text{list.set } (\text{acc-lengths } k \text{ bs})$
<proof>

lemma *length-hd-le-concat*:
assumes $as \neq []$ **shows** $\text{length } (\text{hd } as) \leq \text{length } (\text{concat } as)$
<proof>

lemma *length-hd-merge2*:
assumes *merge as bs us vs*
shows $\text{length } (\text{hd } bs) \leq \text{length } (\text{hd } vs)$
<proof>

lemma *merge-less-sets-hd*:
assumes *merge as bs us vs strict-sorted (concat as) strict-sorted (concat bs) bs*
 $\in \text{lists } (- \{\emptyset\})$
shows $\text{list.set } (\text{hd } us) \ll \text{list.set } (\text{concat } vs)$
<proof>

lemma *set-takeWhile*:
assumes *strict-sorted (concat as) as* $\in \text{lists } (- \{\emptyset\})$
shows $\text{list.set } (\text{takeWhile } (\lambda x. x < y) \text{ as}) = \{x \in \text{list.set } as. x < y\}$
<proof>

proposition *merge-exists*:
assumes *strict-sorted (concat as) strict-sorted (concat bs)*
 $as \in \text{lists } (- \{\emptyset\})$ $bs \in \text{lists } (- \{\emptyset\})$
 $\text{hd } as < \text{hd } bs$ $as \neq []$ $bs \neq []$
and *disj*: $\bigwedge a b. \llbracket a \in \text{list.set } as; b \in \text{list.set } bs \rrbracket \implies a < b \vee b < a$
shows $\exists us \text{ vs. merge } as \text{ bs } us \text{ vs}$
<proof>

3.11.4 Actual proof of Larson's Lemma 3.8

proposition *lemma-3-8*:
assumes *infinite N*
obtains *X* where $X \subseteq WW \text{ ordertype } X$ (*lenlex less-than*) $= \omega \uparrow \omega$
 $\bigwedge u. u \in [X]^2 \implies$

$\exists l. \text{Form } l \ u \wedge (l > 0 \longrightarrow [\text{enum } N \ l] < \text{inter-scheme } l \ u \wedge \text{List.set } (\text{inter-scheme } l \ u) \subseteq N)$
 ⟨proof⟩

3.12 The main partition theorem for $\omega \uparrow \omega$

definition *iso-ll* where $\text{iso-ll } A \ B \equiv \text{iso } (\text{lenlex less-than} \cap (A \times A)) (\text{lenlex less-than} \cap (B \times B))$

corollary *ordertype-eq-ordertype-iso-ll*:

assumes $\text{Field } (\text{Restr } (\text{lenlex less-than}) \ A) = A \ \text{Field } (\text{Restr } (\text{lenlex less-than}) \ B) = B$

shows $(\text{ordertype } A \ (\text{lenlex less-than}) = \text{ordertype } B \ (\text{lenlex less-than}))$

$\longleftrightarrow (\exists f. \text{iso-ll } A \ B \ f)$

⟨proof⟩

theorem *partition- $\omega\omega$ -aux*:

assumes $\alpha \in \text{elts } \omega$

shows $\text{partn-lst } (\text{lenlex less-than}) \ WW \ [\omega \uparrow \omega, \alpha] \ 2 \ (\text{is } \text{partn-lst } ?R \ WW \ [\omega \uparrow \omega, \alpha] \ 2)$

⟨proof⟩

Theorem 3.1 of Jean A. Larson, *ibid.*

theorem *partition- $\omega\omega$* : $\alpha \in \text{elts } \omega \implies \text{partn-lst-VWF } (\omega \uparrow \omega) \ [\omega \uparrow \omega, \alpha] \ 2$

⟨proof⟩

end

4 Acknowledgements

The author was supported by the ERC Advanced Grant ALEXANDRIA (Project 742178) funded by the European Research Council. Many thanks to Mirna Džamonja (who suggested the project) and Angeliki Koutsoukou-Argraki for assistance at tricky moments.

References

- [1] P. Erdős and E. C. Milner. A theorem in the partition calculus. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 15(4):501–505, Dec. 1972.
- [2] P. Erdős and E. C. Milner. A theorem in the partition calculus corrigendum. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 17(2):305, June 1974.
- [3] J. A. Larson. A short proof of a partition theorem for the ordinal ω^ω . *Annals of Mathematical Logic*, 6(2):129–145, Dec. 1973.