

A Partition Theorem for the Ordinal ω^ω

Lawrence C. Paulson

December 14, 2021

Abstract

The theory of partition relations concerns generalisations of Ramsey's theorem. For any ordinal α , write $\alpha \rightarrow (\alpha, m)^2$ if for each function f from unordered pairs of elements of α into $\{0, 1\}$, either there is a subset $X \subseteq \alpha$ order-isomorphic to α such that $f\{x, y\} = 0$ for all $\{x, y\} \subseteq X$, or there is an m element set $Y \subseteq \alpha$ such that $f\{x, y\} = 1$ for all $\{x, y\} \subseteq Y$. (In both cases, with $\{x, y\}$ we require $x \neq y$.) In particular, the infinite Ramsey theorem can be written in this notation as $\omega \rightarrow (\omega, \omega)^2$, or if we restrict m to the positive integers as above, then $\omega \rightarrow (\omega, m)^2$ for all m [3].

This entry formalises Larson's proof of $\omega^\omega \rightarrow (\omega^\omega, m)^2$ along with a similar proof of a result due to Specker: $\omega^2 \rightarrow (\omega^2, m)^2$. Also proved is a necessary result by Erdős and Milner [1, 2]: $\omega^{1+\alpha \cdot n} \rightarrow (\omega^{1+\alpha}, 2^n)^2$.

These examples demonstrate the use of Isabelle/HOL to formalise advanced results that combine ZF set theory with basic concepts like lists and natural numbers.

Contents

1	Library additions	2
1.1	Other material	4
1.2	The list-of function	5
1.3	Monotonic enumeration of a countably infinite set	6
2	Ordinal Partitions	9
2.1	Ordinal Partitions: Definitions	10
2.2	Relating partition properties on VWF to the general case . .	13
2.3	Simple consequences of the definitions	16
2.4	Specker's theorem	19
2.5	Erds-Milner theorem	29
3	An ordinal partition theorem by Jean A. Larson	57
3.1	Cantor normal form for ordinals below $\omega \uparrow \omega$	57
3.2	Larson's set $W(n)$	61
3.3	Definitions required for the lemmas	64

3.3.1	Larson's " $<$ "-relation on ordered lists	64
3.4	Nash Williams for lists	66
3.4.1	Thin sets of lists	66
3.5	Specialised functions on lists	68
3.6	Forms and interactions	72
3.6.1	Forms	72
3.6.2	Interactions	72
3.6.3	Injectivity of interactions	74
3.7	For Lemma 3.8 AND PROBABLY 3.7	81
3.8	Larson's Lemma 3.11	82
3.9	Larson's Lemma 3.6	84
3.10	Larson's Lemma 3.7	87
3.10.1	Preliminaries	87
3.10.2	Lemma 3.7 of Jean A. Larson, <i>ibid.</i>	89
3.11	Larson's Lemma 3.8	107
3.11.1	Primitives needed for the inductive construction of b	107
3.11.2	Special primitives for the ordertype proof	108
3.11.3	The final part of 3.8, where two sequences are merged	112
3.11.4	Actual proof of Larson's Lemma 3.8	118
3.12	The main partition theorem for $\omega \uparrow \omega$	143

4 Acknowledgements **151**

1 Library additions

```

theory Library-Additions
  imports ZFC-in-HOL.Ordinal-Exp HOL-Library.Ramsey Nash-Williams.Nash-Williams

begin

lemma finite-enumerate-Diff-singleton:
  fixes  $S :: 'a::wellorder\ set$ 
  assumes finite S and  $i: i < card\ S\ enumerate\ S\ i < x$ 
  shows enumerate (S - {x}) i = enumerate S i
  using  $i$ 
proof (induction i)
  case 0
  have  $(LEAST\ i.\ i \in S \wedge i \neq x) = (LEAST\ i.\ i \in S)$ 
  proof (rule Least-equality)
    have  $\exists t.\ t \in S \wedge t \neq x$ 
      using 0  $\langle finite\ S \rangle$  finite-enumerate-in-set by blast
    then show  $(LEAST\ i.\ i \in S) \in S \wedge (LEAST\ i.\ i \in S) \neq x$ 
      by (metis 0.prem(2) LeastI enumerate-0 not-less-Least)
  qed (simp add: Least-le)
then show ?case
  by (auto simp: enumerate-0)

```

next
case (*Suc i*)
then have *x*: *enumerate S i < x*
by (*meson enumerate-step finite-enumerate-step less-trans*)
have *cardSx*: *Suc i < card (S - {x})* **and** *i < card S*
using *Suc* \langle *finite S* \rangle *card-Diff-singleton-if*[*of S*] *finite-enumerate-Ex* **by** *fast-force+*
have (*LEAST s. s ∈ S ∧ s ≠ x ∧ enumerate (S - {x}) i < s*) = (*LEAST s. s ∈ S ∧ enumerate S i < s*)
(is - = ?r)
proof (*intro Least-equality conjI*)
show *?r ∈ S*
by (*metis (lifting) LeastI Suc.prem(1) assms(1) finite-enumerate-in-set finite-enumerate-step*)
show *?r ≠ x*
using *Suc.prem not-less-Least* [*of - λt. t ∈ S ∧ enumerate S i < t*]
 \langle *finite S* \rangle *finite-enumerate-in-set finite-enumerate-step* **by** *blast*
show *enumerate (S - {x}) i < ?r*
by (*metis (full-types) Suc.IH Suc.prem(1) <i < card S> enumerate-Suc''*
enumerate-step finite-enumerate-Suc'' finite-enumerate-step x)
show $\bigwedge y. y ∈ S ∧ y ≠ x ∧ enumerate (S - {x}) i < y \implies ?r \leq y$
by (*simp add: Least-le Suc.IH <i < card S> x*)
qed
then show *?case*
using *Suc assms* **by** (*simp add: finite-enumerate-Suc'' cardSx*)
qed

lemma *hd-lex*: $\llbracket hd\ ms < hd\ ns; length\ ms = length\ ns; ns \neq [] \rrbracket \implies (ms, ns) \in lex\ less-than$
by (*metis hd-Cons-tl length-0-conv less-than-iff lexord-cons-cons lexord-lex*)

lemma *sorted-hd-le*:
assumes *sorted xs x ∈ list.set xs*
shows *hd xs ≤ x*
using *assms* **by** (*induction xs*) (*auto simp: less-imp-le*)

lemma *sorted-le-last*:
assumes *sorted xs x ∈ list.set xs*
shows *x ≤ last xs*
using *assms* **by** (*induction xs*) (*auto simp: less-imp-le*)

lemma *hd-list-of*:
assumes *finite A A ≠ {}*
shows *hd (sorted-list-of-set A) = Min A*
proof (*rule antisym*)
have *Min A ∈ A*
by (*simp add: assms*)
then show *hd (sorted-list-of-set A) ≤ Min A*
by (*simp add: sorted-hd-le <finite A>*)

next
show $\text{Min } A \leq \text{hd } (\text{sorted-list-of-set } A)$
by (*metis Min-le assms hd-in-set set-sorted-list-of-set sorted-list-of-set-eq-Nil-iff*)
qed

lemma *sorted-hd-le-last*:
assumes *sorted xs xs \neq []*
shows $\text{hd } xs \leq \text{last } xs$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: sorted-hd-le*)

lemma *sorted-list-of-set-set-of [simp]*: $\text{strict-sorted } l \implies \text{sorted-list-of-set } (\text{list.set } l) = l$
by (*simp add: strict-sorted-equal*)

lemma *range-strict-mono-ext*:
fixes $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{linorder}$
assumes $\text{eq: range } f = \text{range } g$
and $\text{sm: strict-mono } f \text{ strict-mono } g$
shows $f = g$

proof
fix n
show $f n = g n$
proof (*induction n rule: less-induct*)
case (*less n*)
obtain $x y$ **where** $xy: f n = g y \wedge f x = g n$
by (*metis eq imageE rangeI*)
then have $n = y$
by (*metis (no-types) less.IH neq-iff sm strict-mono-less xy*)
then show *?case* **using** xy **by** *auto*
qed
qed

1.1 Other material

definition *strict-mono-sets* :: $['a :: \text{order set}, 'a :: \text{order} \Rightarrow 'b :: \text{order set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{strict-mono-sets } A f \equiv \forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. x < y \longrightarrow \text{less-sets } (f x) (f y)$

lemma *strict-mono-setsD*:
assumes $\text{strict-mono-sets } A f \wedge x < y \wedge x \in A \wedge y \in A$
shows $\text{less-sets } (f x) (f y)$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp: strict-mono-sets-def*)

lemma *strict-mono-on-o*: $\llbracket \text{strict-mono-on } r \text{ } A; \text{ strict-mono-on } s \text{ } B; s \text{ ' } B \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies \text{strict-mono-on } (r \circ s) \text{ } B$
by (*auto simp: image-subset-iff strict-mono-on-def*)

lemma *strict-mono-sets-imp-disjoint*:
fixes $A :: 'a :: \text{linorder set}$
assumes $\text{strict-mono-sets } A f$

shows *pairwise* $(\lambda x y. \text{disjnt } (f x) (f y)) A$
using *assms unfolding strict-mono-sets-def pairwise-def*
by (*meson antisym-conv3 disjnt-sym less-sets-imp-disjnt*)

lemma *strict-mono-sets-subset*:
assumes *strict-mono-sets* $B f A \subseteq B$
shows *strict-mono-sets* $A f$
using *assms by (auto simp: strict-mono-sets-def)*

lemma *strict-mono-less-sets-Min*:
assumes *strict-mono-sets* $I f \text{finite } I I \neq \{\}$
shows *less-sets* $(f (\text{Min } I)) (\bigcup (f ' (I - \{\text{Min } I\})))$
using *assms by (simp add: strict-mono-sets-def less-sets-UN2 dual-order.strict-iff-order)*

lemma *pair-less-iff1* [*simp*]: $((x,y), (x,z)) \in \text{pair-less} \longleftrightarrow y < z$
by (*simp add: pair-less-def*)

lemma *infinite-finite-Inter*:
assumes *finite* $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \neq \{\} \bigwedge A. A \in \mathcal{A} \implies \text{infinite } A$
and $\bigwedge A B. \llbracket A \in \mathcal{A}; B \in \mathcal{A} \rrbracket \implies A \cap B \in \mathcal{A}$
shows *infinite* $(\bigcap \mathcal{A})$
by (*simp add: assms finite-Inf-in*)

lemma *atLeast-less-sets*: $\llbracket \text{less-sets } A \{x\}; B \subseteq \{x..\} \rrbracket \implies \text{less-sets } A B$
by (*force simp: less-sets-def subset-iff*)

1.2 The list-of function

lemma *sorted-list-of-set-insert-remove-cons*:
assumes *finite* $A \text{less-sets } \{a\} A$
shows *sorted-list-of-set* $(\text{insert } a A) = a \# \text{sorted-list-of-set } A$
proof –
have *strict-sorted* $(a \# \text{sorted-list-of-set } A)$
using *assms less-setsD* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** *list.set* $(a \# \text{sorted-list-of-set } A) = \text{insert } a A$
using *assms* **by** *force*
moreover **have** *length* $(a \# \text{sorted-list-of-set } A) = \text{card } (\text{insert } a A)$
using *assms card-insert-if less-setsD* **by** *fastforce*
ultimately **show** *?thesis*
by (*metis <finite A> finite-insert sorted-list-of-set-unique*)
qed

lemma *sorted-list-of-set-Un*:
assumes *AB: less-sets* $A B$ **and** *fin: finite* $A \text{finite } B$
shows *sorted-list-of-set* $(A \cup B) = \text{sorted-list-of-set } A @ \text{sorted-list-of-set } B$
proof –
have *strict-sorted* $(\text{sorted-list-of-set } A @ \text{sorted-list-of-set } B)$
using *AB unfolding less-sets-def*
by (*metis fin set-sorted-list-of-set sorted-wrt-append strict-sorted-list-of-set*)

moreover have $\text{card } A + \text{card } B = \text{card } (A \cup B)$
using *less-sets-imp-disjnt* [*OF AB*]
by (*simp add: assms card-Un-disjoint disjnt-def*)
ultimately show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: assms strict-sorted-equal*)
qed

lemma *sorted-list-of-set-UN-lessThan*:

fixes $k::\text{nat}$
assumes *sm: strict-mono-sets* $\{..<k\}$ *A* **and** $\bigwedge i. i < k \implies \text{finite } (A \ i)$
shows $\text{sorted-list-of-set } (\bigcup_{i<k}. A \ i) = \text{concat } (\text{map } (\text{sorted-list-of-set } \circ A)$
 $(\text{sorted-list-of-set } \{..<k\}))$
using *assms*
proof (*induction k*)
case *0*
then show *?case*
by *auto*
next
case (*Suc k*)
have *ls: less-sets* $(\bigcup (A \ ' \{..<k\})) (A \ k)$
using *sm Suc.prem1 strict-mono-setsD* **by** (*force simp: less-sets-UN1*)
have $\text{sorted-list-of-set } (\bigcup (A \ ' \{..<Suc \ k\})) = \text{sorted-list-of-set } (\bigcup (A \ ' \{..<k\})$
 $\cup A \ k)$
by (*simp add: Un-commute lessThan-Suc*)
also have $\dots = \text{sorted-list-of-set } (\bigcup (A \ ' \{..<k\})) @ \text{sorted-list-of-set } (A \ k)$
by (*rule sorted-list-of-set-Un*) (*auto simp: Suc.prem1 ls*)
also have $\dots = \text{concat } (\text{map } (\text{sorted-list-of-set } \circ A) (\text{sorted-list-of-set } \{..<k\}))$
 $@ \text{sorted-list-of-set } (A \ k)$
using *Suc strict-mono-sets-def* **by** *fastforce*
also have $\dots = \text{concat } (\text{map } (\text{sorted-list-of-set } \circ A) (\text{sorted-list-of-set } \{..<Suc$
 $k\}))$
using *strict-mono-sets-def* **by** *fastforce*
finally show *?case .*
qed

lemma *sorted-list-of-set-UN-atMost*:

fixes $k::\text{nat}$
assumes *strict-mono-sets* $\{..k\}$ *A* **and** $\bigwedge i. i \leq k \implies \text{finite } (A \ i)$
shows $\text{sorted-list-of-set } (\bigcup_{i\leq k}. A \ i) = \text{concat } (\text{map } (\text{sorted-list-of-set } \circ A)$
 $(\text{sorted-list-of-set } \{..k\}))$
by (*metis assms lessThan-Suc-atMost less-Suc-eq-le sorted-list-of-set-UN-lessThan*)

1.3 Monotonic enumeration of a countably infinite set

abbreviation *enum* \equiv *enumerate*

Could be generalised to infinite countable sets of any type

lemma *nat-infinite-iff*:

fixes $N :: \text{nat set}$
shows $\text{infinite } N \iff (\exists f::\text{nat}\Rightarrow\text{nat}. N = \text{range } f \wedge \text{strict-mono } f)$

```

proof safe
  assume infinite  $N$ 
  then show  $\exists f. N = \text{range } (f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}) \wedge \text{strict-mono } f$ 
    by (metis bij-betw-imp-surj-on bij-enumerate enumerate-mono strict-mono-def)
next
  fix  $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ 
  assume strict-mono  $f$  and  $N = \text{range } f$  and finite ( $\text{range } f$ )
  then show False
    using range-inj-infinite strict-mono-imp-inj-on by blast
qed

```

```

lemma enum-works:
  fixes  $N :: \text{nat set}$ 
  assumes infinite  $N$ 
  shows  $N = \text{range } (\text{enum } N) \wedge \text{strict-mono } (\text{enum } N)$ 
  by (metis assms bij-betw-imp-surj-on bij-enumerate enumerate-mono strict-monoI)

```

```

lemma range-enum:  $\text{range } (\text{enum } N) = N$  and strict-mono-enum: strict-mono
( $\text{enum } N$ )
  if infinite  $N$  for  $N :: \text{nat set}$ 
  using enum-works [OF that] by auto

```

```

lemma enum-0-eq-Inf:
  fixes  $N :: \text{nat set}$ 
  assumes infinite  $N$ 
  shows  $\text{enum } N 0 = \text{Inf } N$ 
proof –
  have  $\text{enum } N 0 \in N$ 
    using assms range-enum by auto
  moreover have  $\bigwedge x. x \in N \implies \text{enum } N 0 \leq x$ 
    by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) assms imageE le0 less-mono-imp-le-mono
range-enum strict-monoD strict-mono-enum)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (metis cInf-eq-minimum)
qed

```

```

lemma enum-works-finite:
  fixes  $N :: \text{nat set}$ 
  assumes finite  $N$ 
  shows  $N = \text{enum } N \text{ ‘ } \{..<\text{card } N\} \wedge \text{strict-mono-on } (\text{enum } N) \{..<\text{card } N\}$ 
  using assms
  by (metis bij-betw-imp-surj-on finite-bij-enumerate finite-enumerate-mono lessThan-iff
strict-mono-onI)

```

```

lemma enum-obtain-index-finite:
  fixes  $N :: \text{nat set}$ 
  assumes  $x \in N$  finite  $N$ 
  obtains  $i$  where  $i < \text{card } N$   $x = \text{enum } N i$ 
  by (metis  $\langle x \in N \rangle \langle \text{finite } N \rangle$  enum-works-finite imageE lessThan-iff)

```

lemma *enum-0-eq-Inf-finite*:
fixes $N :: \text{nat set}$
assumes $\text{finite } N \ N \neq \{\}$
shows $\text{enum } N \ 0 = \text{Inf } N$
proof –
have $\text{enum } N \ 0 \in N$
by (*metis Nat.neq0-conv assms empty-is-image enum-works-finite image-eqI lessThan-empty-iff lessThan-iff*)
moreover have $\text{enum } N \ 0 \leq x$ **if** $x \in N$ **for** x
proof –
obtain i **where** $i < \text{card } N \ x = \text{enum } N \ i$
by (*metis* $\langle x \in N \rangle \langle \text{finite } N \rangle$ *enum-obtain-index-finite*)
with *assms* **show** *?thesis*
by (*metis Nat.neq0-conv finite-enumerate-mono less-or-eq-imp-le*)
qed
ultimately show *?thesis*
by (*metis cInf-eq-minimum*)
qed

lemma *greaterThan-less-enum*:
fixes $N :: \text{nat set}$
assumes $N \subseteq \{x < ..\}$ *infinite* N
shows $x < \text{enum } N \ i$
using *assms range-enum* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *atLeast-le-enum*:
fixes $N :: \text{nat set}$
assumes $N \subseteq \{x ..\}$ *infinite* N
shows $x \leq \text{enum } N \ i$
using *assms range-enum* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *less-sets-empty1* [*simp*]: $\text{less-sets } \{\} \ A$ **and** *less-sets-empty2* [*simp*]: $\text{less-sets } A \ \{\}$
by (*simp-all add: less-sets-def*)

lemma *less-sets-singleton1* [*simp*]: $\text{less-sets } \{a\} \ A \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. a < x)$
and *less-sets-singleton2* [*simp*]: $\text{less-sets } A \ \{a\} \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. x < a)$
by (*simp-all add: less-sets-def*)

lemma *less-sets-atMost* [*simp*]: $\text{less-sets } \{..a\} \ A \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. a < x)$
and *less-sets-atLeast* [*simp*]: $\text{less-sets } A \ \{a.. \} \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. x < a)$
by (*auto simp: less-sets-def*)

lemma *less-sets-imp-strict-mono-sets*:
assumes $\bigwedge i. \text{less-sets } (A \ i) \ (A \ (\text{Suc } i)) \ \bigwedge i. i > 0 \implies A \ i \neq \{\}$
shows *strict-mono-sets UNIV A*
proof (*clarsimp simp: strict-mono-sets-def*)
fix $i \ j :: \text{nat}$


```

assume  $i < j$ 
then show less-sets ( $A\ i$ ) ( $A\ j$ )
proof (induction  $j-i$  arbitrary: i j)
  case (Suc  $x$ )
  then show ?case
    by (metis Suc-diff-Suc Suc-inject Suc-mono assms less-Suc-eq less-sets-trans
zero-less-Suc)
  qed auto
qed

```

```

lemma less-sets-Suc-Max:
  assumes finite  $A$ 
  shows less-sets  $A$   $\{Suc\ (Max\ A)\}$ 
proof (cases  $A = \{\}$ )
  case False
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: assms less-Suc-eq-le)
qed auto

```

```

lemma infinite-nat-greaterThan:
  fixes  $m::nat$ 
  assumes infinite  $N$ 
  shows infinite ( $N \cap \{m<..\}$ )
proof –
  have  $N \subseteq -\{m<..\} \cup (N \cap \{m<..\})$ 
    by blast
  moreover have finite ( $-\{m<..\}$ )
    by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using assms finite-subset by blast
qed

```

end

2 Ordinal Partitions

Material from Jean A. Larson, A short proof of a partition theorem for the ordinal ω^ω . *Annals of Mathematical Logic*, 6:129–145, 1973. Also from “Partition Relations” by A. Hajnal and J. A. Larson, in *Handbook of Set Theory*, edited by Matthew Foreman and Akihiro Kanamori (Springer, 2010).

```

theory Partitions
  imports Library-Additions ZFC-in-HOL.ZFC-Typeclasses ZFC-in-HOL.Cantor-NF

```

```

begin

```

```

abbreviation  $tp :: V\ set \Rightarrow V$ 
  where  $tp\ A \equiv ordertype\ A\ VWF$ 

```

2.1 Ordinal Partitions: Definitions

definition *partn- lst* :: $[('a \times 'a) \text{ set}, 'a \text{ set}, V \text{ list}, \text{ nat}] \Rightarrow \text{ bool}$

where *partn- lst* $r B \alpha n \equiv \forall f \in [B]^n \rightarrow \{..\langle l \rangle\}$.
 $\exists i < \text{length } \alpha. \exists H. H \subseteq B \wedge \text{ordertype } H r = (\alpha!i) \wedge f ' (nsets H n) \subseteq \{i\}$

abbreviation *partn- lst -VWF* :: $V \Rightarrow V \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{ nat} \Rightarrow \text{ bool}$

where *partn- lst -VWF* $\beta \equiv \text{partn- lst VWF (elts } \beta)$

lemma *partn- lst -E*:

assumes *partn- lst* $r B \alpha n f \in nsets B n \rightarrow \{..\langle l \rangle\}$ $l = \text{length } \alpha$

obtains $i H$ **where** $i < l$ $H \subseteq B$

$\text{ordertype } H r = \alpha!i f ' (nsets H n) \subseteq \{i\}$

using *assms* **by** (*auto simp: partn- lst -def*)

lemma *partn- lst -VWF-nontriv*:

assumes *partn- lst -VWF* $\beta \alpha n l = \text{length } \alpha$ $\text{Ord } \beta l > 0$

obtains i **where** $i < l$ $\alpha!i \leq \beta$

proof –

have $\{..\langle l \rangle\} \neq \{\}$

by (*simp add: <l > 0 lessThan-empty-iff*)

then obtain f **where** $f \in nsets (\text{elts } \beta) n \rightarrow \{..\langle l \rangle\}$

by (*meson Pi-eq-empty equals0I*)

then obtain $i H$ **where** $i < l$ $H \subseteq \text{elts } \beta$ **and** *eq: tp* $H = \alpha!i$

using *assms* **by** (*metis partn- lst -E*)

then have $\alpha!i \leq \beta$

by (*metis <H <= elts beta> <Ord beta> eq ordertype-le-Ord*)

then show *thesis*

using $\langle i < l \rangle$ **that** **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *partn- lst -triv0*:

assumes $\alpha!i = 0$ $i < \text{length } \alpha$ $n \neq 0$

shows *partn- lst* $r B \alpha n$

by (*metis partn- lst -def assms bot-least image-empty nsets-empty-iff ordertype-empty*)

lemma *partn- lst -triv1*:

assumes $\alpha!i \leq 1$ $i < \text{length } \alpha$ $n > 1$ $B \neq \{\}$ *wf* r

shows *partn- lst* $r B \alpha n$

unfolding *partn- lst -def*

proof *clarsimp*

obtain γ **where** $\gamma \in B$ $\alpha \neq []$

using *assms mem-0-Ord* **by** *fastforce*

have *01*: $\alpha!i = 0 \vee \alpha!i = 1$

using *assms* **by** (*fastforce simp: one-V-def*)

fix f

assume $f: f \in [B]^n \rightarrow \{..\langle \text{length } \alpha \rangle\}$

with *assms* **have** $\text{ordertype } \{\gamma\} r = 1 \wedge f ' [\{\gamma\}]^n \subseteq \{i\}$

$\text{ordertype } \{\} r = 0 \wedge f ' [\{\}]^n \subseteq \{i\}$

by (auto simp: one-V-def ordertype-insert nsets-eq-empty)
 with assms 01 show $\exists i < \text{length } \alpha. \exists H \subseteq B. \text{ordertype } H \ r = \alpha ! i \wedge f' [H]^n \subseteq \{i\}$
 using $\langle \gamma \in B \rangle$ by auto
 qed

lemma *partn-lst-two-swap*:
 assumes *partn-lst* $r \ B \ [x,y] \ n$ shows *partn-lst* $r \ B \ [y,x] \ n$
proof –
 { fix $f :: 'a \ \text{set} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$
 assume $f: f \in [B]^n \rightarrow \{..<2\}$
 then have $f': (\lambda i. 1 - i) \circ f \in [B]^n \rightarrow \{..<2\}$
 by (auto simp: Pi-def)
 obtain $i \ H$ where $i < 2 \wedge H \subseteq B \wedge \text{ordertype } H \ r = ([x,y]!i) ((\lambda i. 1 - i) \circ f)'$
 ($nsets \ H \ n) \subseteq \{i\}$
 by (auto intro: partn-lst-E [OF assms f'])
 moreover have $f \ x = \text{Suc } 0$ if $\text{Suc } 0 \leq f \ x \ x \in [H]^n$ for x
 using f that $\langle H \subseteq B \rangle$ nsets-mono by (fastforce simp: Pi-iff)
 ultimately have $\text{ordertype } H \ r = [y,x] ! (1-i) \wedge f' [H]^n \subseteq \{1-i\}$
 by (force simp: eval-nat-numeral less-Suc-eq)
 then have $\exists i \ H. i < 2 \wedge H \subseteq B \wedge \text{ordertype } H \ r = [y,x] ! i \wedge f' [H]^n \subseteq \{i\}$
 by (metis Suc-1 $\langle H \subseteq B \rangle$ diff-less-Suc) }
 then show ?thesis
 by (auto simp: partn-lst-def eval-nat-numeral)
 qed

lemma *partn-lst-greater-resource*:
 assumes $M: \text{partn-lst } r \ B \ \alpha \ n$ and $B \subseteq C$
 shows *partn-lst* $r \ C \ \alpha \ n$
proof (clarsimp simp: partn-lst-def)
 fix f
 assume $f \in [C]^n \rightarrow \{..<\text{length } \alpha\}$
 then have $f \in [B]^n \rightarrow \{..<\text{length } \alpha\}$
 by (metis $\langle B \subseteq C \rangle$ part-fn-def part-fn-subset)
 then obtain $i \ H$ where $i < \text{length } \alpha$
 and $H \subseteq B \wedge \text{ordertype } H \ r = (\alpha!i)$
 and $f' \ nsets \ H \ n \subseteq \{i\}$
 using M partn-lst-def by metis
 then show $\exists i < \text{length } \alpha. \exists H \subseteq C. \text{ordertype } H \ r = \alpha ! i \wedge f' [H]^n \subseteq \{i\}$
 using $\langle B \subseteq C \rangle$ by blast
 qed

lemma *partn-lst-less*:
 assumes $M: \text{partn-lst } r \ B \ \alpha \ n$ and $\text{eq: length } \alpha' = \text{length } \alpha$ and $\text{List.set } \alpha' \subseteq \text{ON}$
 and $le: \bigwedge i. i < \text{length } \alpha \implies \alpha'!i \leq \alpha!i$
 and $r: \text{wf } r \ \text{trans } r \ \text{total-on } B \ r$ and *small* B
 shows *partn-lst* $r \ B \ \alpha' \ n$

```

proof (clarsimp simp: partn-lst-def)
  fix f
  assume  $f \in [B]^n \rightarrow \{.. < \text{length } \alpha'\}$ 
  then obtain  $i \in H$  where  $i < \text{length } \alpha$ 
    and  $H \subseteq B$  small  $H$  and  $H: \text{ordertype } H \ r = (\alpha!i)$ 
    and  $f \text{ ' } n\text{-sets } H \ n \subseteq \{i\}$ 
    using assms by (auto simp: partn-lst-def smaller-than-small)
  then have  $\text{bij: } \text{bij-betw } (\text{ordermap } H \ r) \ H \ (\text{elts } (\alpha!i))$ 
    using ordermap-bij [of  $r \ H$ ]
    by (smt assms(8) in-mono  $r$ (1)  $r$ (3) smaller-than-small total-on-def)
  define  $H'$  where  $H' = \text{inv-into } H \ (\text{ordermap } H \ r) \ \text{' } (\text{elts } (\alpha!i))$ 
  have  $H' \subseteq H$ 
    using  $\text{bij } \langle i < \text{length } \alpha \rangle \text{ bij-betw-imp-surj-on } \text{le}$ 
    by (force simp: H'-def image-subset-iff intro: inv-into-into)
  moreover have  $\text{ot: } \text{ordertype } H' \ r = (\alpha!i)$ 
  proof (subst ordertype-eq-iff)
    show  $\text{Ord } (\alpha!i)$ 
      using assms by (simp add: } i < \text{length } \alpha \rangle \text{ subset-eq})
    show small  $H'$ 
      by (simp add: H'-def)
    show  $\exists f. \text{bij-betw } f \ H' \ (\text{elts } (\alpha!i)) \wedge (\forall x \in H'. \forall y \in H'. (f \ x < f \ y) = ((x, y) \in r))$ 
  proof (intro exI conjI ballI)
    show  $\text{bij-betw } (\text{ordermap } H \ r) \ H' \ (\text{elts } (\alpha!i))$ 
      using  $\langle H' \subseteq H \rangle$ 
      by (metis H'-def } i < \text{length } \alpha \rangle \text{ bij bij-betw-inv-into-RIGHT bij-betw-subset}
le less-eq-V-def)
    show  $(\text{ordermap } H \ r \ x < \text{ordermap } H \ r \ y) = ((x, y) \in r)$ 
      if  $x \in H' \ y \in H'$  for  $x \ y$ 
    proof (intro iffI ordermap-mono-less)
      assume  $\text{ordermap } H \ r \ x < \text{ordermap } H \ r \ y$ 
      then show  $(x, y) \in r$ 
        by (metis } H \subseteq B \rangle \text{ assms}(8) \text{ calculation in-mono leD ordermap-mono-le}
r smaller-than-small that total-on-def)
      qed (use assms that } H' \subseteq H \rangle \langle \text{small } H \rangle \text{ in auto})
    qed
    show total-on  $H' \ r$ 
      using  $r$  by (meson } H \subseteq B \rangle \langle H' \subseteq H \rangle \text{ subsetD total-on-def})
    qed (use r in auto)
  ultimately show  $\exists i < \text{length } \alpha'. \exists H \subseteq B. \text{ordertype } H \ r = \alpha!i \wedge f \ \text{' } [H]^n \subseteq \{i\}$ 
    using  $\langle H \subseteq B \rangle \langle i < \text{length } \alpha \rangle \text{ fi } \text{assms}$ 
    by (metis image-mono nsets-mono subset-trans)
  qed

```

Holds because no n -sets exist!

lemma *partn-lst-VWF-degenerate*:

assumes $k < n$

shows *partn-lst-VWF* ω (*ord-of-nat* $k \ \# \ \alpha$) n

proof (*clarsimp simp: partn-lst-def*)

```

fix f :: V set => nat
have [elts (ord-of-nat k)]n = {}
  by (simp add: nsets-eq-empty assms finite-Ord-omega)
then have f ' [elts (ord-of-nat k)]n ⊆ {0}
  by auto
then show ∃ i < Suc (length αs). ∃ H ⊆ elts ω. tp H = (ord-of-nat k # αs) ! i ∧
f ' [H]n ⊆ {i}
  using assms ordertype-eq-Ord [of ord-of-nat k] elts-ord-of-nat less-Suc-eq-0-disj
  by fastforce
qed

```

lemma *partn-lst-VWF-ω-2*:

```

assumes Ord α
shows partn-lst-VWF (ω ↑ (1+α)) [2, ω ↑ (1+α)] 2 (is partn-lst-VWF ?β - -)
proof (clarsimp simp: partn-lst-def)
fix f
assume f: f ∈ [elts ?β]2 → {..Suc (Suc 0)}
show ∃ i < Suc (Suc 0). ∃ H ⊆ elts ?β. tp H = [2, ?β] ! i ∧ f ' [H]2 ⊆ {i}
proof (cases ∃ x ∈ elts ?β. ∃ y ∈ elts ?β. x ≠ y ∧ f {x,y} = 0)
  case True
    then obtain x y where x ∈ elts ?β y ∈ elts ?β x ≠ y f {x,y} = 0
    by auto
    then have {x,y} ⊆ elts ?β tp {x,y} = 2
    f ' [{x,y}]2 ⊆ {0}
    by auto (simp add: eval-nat-numeral ordertype-VWF-finite-nat)
    with ⟨x ≠ y⟩ show ?thesis
    by (metis nth-Cons-0 zero-less-Suc)
  next
    case False
    with f have ∀ x ∈ elts ?β. ∀ y ∈ elts ?β. x ≠ y → f {x,y} = 1
    unfolding Pi-iff using lessThan-Suc by force
    then have tp (elts ?β) = ?β f ' [elts ?β]2 ⊆ {Suc 0}
    by (auto simp: assms nsets-2-eq)
    then show ?thesis
    by (metis lessI nth-Cons-0 nth-Cons-Suc subsetI)
qed
qed

```

2.2 Relating partition properties on VWF to the general case

Two very similar proofs here!

lemma *partn-lst-imp-partn-lst-VWF-eq*:

```

assumes part: partn-lst r U α n and β: ordertype U r = β small U
  and r: wf r trans r total-on U r
shows partn-lst-VWF β α n
unfolding partn-lst-def
proofclarsimp
fix f
assume f: f ∈ [elts β]n → {..length α}

```

```

define cv where cv  $\equiv \lambda X. \text{ordermap } U \text{ } r \text{ } X$ 
have bij: bij-betw (ordermap U r) U (elts  $\beta$ )
  using ordermap-bij [of r U] assms by blast
then have bij-cv: bij-betw cv ( $[U]^n$ ) ( $[\text{elts } \beta]^n$ )
  using bij-betw-nsets cv-def by blast
then have func:  $f \circ cv \in [U]^n \rightarrow \{..<\text{length } \alpha\}$  and inj-on (ordermap U r) U
  using bij bij-betw-def bij-betw-apply f by fastforce+
then have cv-part:  $\llbracket \forall x \in [X]^n. f (cv \ x) = i; X \subseteq U; a \in [cv \ X]^n \rrbracket \implies f \ a = i$ 
for a X i n
  by (force simp: cv-def nsets-def subset-image-iff inj-on-subset finite-image-iff card-image)
have ot-eq [simp]:  $tp (cv \ X) = \text{ordertype } X \ r$  if  $X \subseteq U$  for X
  unfolding cv-def
proof (rule ordertype-inc-eq)
  fix u v
  assume  $u \in X \ v \in X$  and  $(u, v) \in r$ 
  with that have ordermap U r  $u < \text{ordermap } U \ r \ v$ 
    by (simp add: assms ordermap-mono-less subset-eq)
  then show  $(\text{ordermap } U \ r \ u, \text{ordermap } U \ r \ v) \in VWF$ 
    by (simp add: r)
next
  show total-on X r
    using that r by (auto simp: total-on-def)
  show small X
    by (meson <small U> smaller-than-small that)
qed (use assms in auto)
obtain X i where  $X \subseteq U$  and  $X: \text{ordertype } X \ r = \alpha ! i$   $(f \circ cv) \text{ } [X]^n \subseteq \{i\}$ 
  and  $i < \text{length } \alpha$ 
  using part func by (auto simp: partn-lst-def)
show  $\exists i < \text{length } \alpha. \exists H \subseteq \text{elts } \beta. tp \ H = \alpha ! i \wedge f \text{ } [H]^n \subseteq \{i\}$ 
proof (intro exI conjI)
  show  $i < \text{length } \alpha$ 
    by (simp add: <i < length alpha>)
  show  $cv \ X \subseteq \text{elts } \beta$ 
    using  $X \subseteq U$  bij bij-betw-imp-surj-on cv-def by blast
  show  $tp (cv \ X) = \alpha ! i$ 
    by (simp add: X(1) <X subset U>)
  show  $f \text{ } [cv \ X]^n \subseteq \{i\}$ 
    using  $X \subseteq U$  cv-part unfolding image-subset-iff cv-def
    by (metis comp-apply insertCI singletonD)
qed
qed

```

lemma *partn-lst-imp-partn-lst-VWF*:

assumes *part*: *partn-lst* *r* *U* $\alpha \ n$ **and** $\beta: \text{ordertype } U \ r \leq \beta$ *small* *U*

and *r*: *wf* *r* *trans* *r* *total-on* *U* *r*

shows *partn-lst-VWF* $\beta \ \alpha \ n$

by (*metis assms less-eq-V-def partn-lst-imp-partn-lst-VWF-eq partn-lst-greater-resource*)

lemma *partn-lst-VWF-imp-partn-lst-eq*:
assumes *part: partn-lst-VWF* $\beta \alpha n$ **and** β : *ordertype* $U r = \beta$ *small* U
and r : *wf* r *trans* r *total-on* $U r$
shows *partn-lst* $r U \alpha n$
unfolding *partn-lst-def*
proof *clarsimp*
fix f
assume f : $f \in [U]^n \rightarrow \{..<length \alpha\}$
define cv **where** $cv \equiv \lambda X. inv\text{-into } U (ordermap U r) \text{ ` } X$
have bij : *bij-betw* $(ordermap U r) U (elts \beta)$
using *ordermap-bij* [*of* $r U$] *assms* **by** *blast*
then have $bij\text{-}cv$: *bij-betw* $cv ([elts \beta]^n) ([U]^n)$
using *bij-betw-nsets* *bij-betw-inv-into* **unfolding** *cv-def* **by** *blast*
then have $func$: $f \circ cv \in [elts \beta]^n \rightarrow \{..<length \alpha\}$
using *bij-betw-apply* f **by** *fastforce*
have $inj\text{-on}$ $(ordermap U r) U$
using bij *bij-betw-def* **by** *blast*
then have $cv\text{-part}$: $\llbracket \forall x \in [X]^n. f (cv x) = i; X \subseteq elts \beta; a \in [cv X]^n \rrbracket \implies f a =$
 i **for** $a X i n$
apply (*simp* *add: cv-def nsets-def subset-image-iff inj-on-subset finite-image-iff*
card-image)
by (*metis* bij *bij-betw-def* *card-image* *inj-on-finite* *inj-on-inv-into* *subset-eq*)
have $ot\text{-eq}$ [*simp*]: *ordertype* $(cv X) r = tp X$ **if** $X \subseteq elts \beta$ **for** X
unfolding *cv-def*
proof (*rule* *ordertype-inc-eq*)
show *small* X
using *down that* **by** *auto*
show $(inv\text{-into } U (ordermap U r) x, inv\text{-into } U (ordermap U r) y) \in r$
if $x \in X y \in X$ **and** $(x,y) \in VWF$ **for** $x y$
proof –
have xy : $x \in ordermap U r \text{ ` } U y \in ordermap U r \text{ ` } U$
using $\langle X \subseteq elts \beta \rangle \langle x \in X \rangle \langle y \in X \rangle$ bij *bij-betw-imp-surj-on* **by** *blast+*
then have eq : $ordermap U r (inv\text{-into } U (ordermap U r) x) = x ordermap U$
 $r (inv\text{-into } U (ordermap U r) y) = y$
by (*meson* *f-inv-into-f*)
then have $y \notin elts x$
by (*metis* (*no-types*) *VWF-non-refl* *mem-imp-VWF* *that(3)* *trans-VWF*
trans-def)
then show *?thesis*
by (*metis* (*no-types*) *VWF-non-refl* $xy eq$ *assms(3)* *inv-into-into* *ordermap-mono*
 $r(1)$ $r(3)$ *that(3)* *total-on-def*)
qed
qed (*use* r **in** *auto*)
obtain $X i$ **where** $X \subseteq elts \beta$ **and** X : $tp X = \alpha!i (f \circ cv) \text{ ` } [X]^n \subseteq \{i\}$
and $i < length \alpha$
using *part* $func$ **by** (*auto* *simp: partn-lst-def*)
show $\exists i < length \alpha. \exists H \subseteq U. ordertype H r = \alpha!i \wedge f \text{ ` } [H]^n \subseteq \{i\}$
proof (*intro* *exI* *conjI*)
show $i < length \alpha$

```

    by (simp add: ⟨i < length α⟩)
  show cv X ⊆ U
    using ⟨X ⊆ elts β⟩ bij bij-betw-imp-surj-on bij-betw-inv-into cv-def by blast
  show ordertype (cv X) r = α ! i
    by (simp add: X(1) ⟨X ⊆ elts β⟩)
  show f ‘ [cv X]n ⊆ {i}
    using X ⟨X ⊆ elts β⟩ cv-part unfolding image-subset-iff cv-def
    by (metis comp-apply insertCI singletonD)
qed
qed

corollary partn-lst-VWF-imp-partn-lst:
  assumes partn-lst-VWF β α n and β: ordertype U r ≥ β small U
    wf r trans r total-on U r
  shows partn-lst r U α n
  by (metis assms less-eq-V-def partn-lst-VWF-imp-partn-lst-eq partn-lst-greater-resource)

```

2.3 Simple consequences of the definitions

A restatement of the infinite Ramsey theorem using partition notation

```

lemma Ramsey-partn: partn-lst-VWF ω [ω,ω] 2
proof (clarsimp simp: partn-lst-def)
  fix f
  assume f ∈ [elts ω]2 → {..<Suc (Suc 0)}
  then have *: ∀ x ∈ elts ω. ∀ y ∈ elts ω. x ≠ y → f {x, y} < 2
    by (auto simp: nsets-def eval-nat-numeral)
  obtain H i where H: H ⊆ elts ω and infinite H
    and t: i < Suc (Suc 0)
    and teq: ∀ x ∈ H. ∀ y ∈ H. x ≠ y → f {x, y} = i
    using Ramsey2 [OF infinite-ω *] by (auto simp: eval-nat-numeral)
  then have tp H = [ω, ω] ! i
    using less-2-cases eval-nat-numeral ordertype-infinite-ω by force
  moreover have f ‘ {N. N ⊆ H ∧ finite N ∧ card N = 2} ⊆ {i}
    by (force simp: teq card-2-iff)
  ultimately have f ‘ [H]2 ⊆ {i}
    by (metis (no-types) nsets-def numeral-2-eq-2)
  then show ∃ i < Suc (Suc 0). ∃ H ⊆ elts ω. tp H = [ω, ω] ! i ∧ f ‘ [H]2 ⊆ {i}
    using H ⟨tp H = [ω, ω] ! i⟩ t by blast
qed

```

This is the counterexample sketched in Hajnal and Larson, section 9.1.

```

proposition omega-basic-counterexample:
  assumes Ord α
  shows ¬ partn-lst-VWF α [succ (vcard α), ω] 2
proof -
  obtain π where funπ: π ∈ elts α → elts (vcard α) and injπ: inj-on π (elts α)
    using inj-into-vcard by auto
  have Ordπ: Ord (π x) if x ∈ elts α for x
    using Ord-in-Ord funπ that by fastforce

```



```

define  $f$  where  $f A \equiv @i::nat. \exists x y. A = \{x,y\} \wedge x < y \wedge (\pi x < \pi y \wedge i=0$ 
 $\vee \pi y < \pi x \wedge i=1)$  for  $A$ 
have  $f\text{-Pi}: f \in [elts \alpha]^2 \rightarrow \{..<Suc (Suc 0)\}$ 
proof
  fix  $A$ 
  assume  $A \in [elts \alpha]^2$ 
  then obtain  $x y$  where  $xy: x \in elts \alpha \ y \in elts \alpha \ x < y$  and  $A: A = \{x,y\}$ 
  apply (clarsimp simp: nsets-2-eq)
  by (metis Ord-in-Ord Ord-linear-lt assms insert-commute)
  consider  $\pi x < \pi y \mid \pi y < \pi x$ 
  by (metis Ord $\pi$  Ord-linear-lt inj $\pi$  inj-onD less-imp-not-eq2 xy)
  then show  $f A \in \{..<Suc (Suc 0)\}$ 
  by (metis A One-nat-def lessI lessThan-iff zero-less-Suc  $\langle x < y \rangle A \text{ exE-some}$ )
[OF - f-def]
qed
have  $f\text{iff}: \pi x < \pi y \wedge i=0 \vee \pi y < \pi x \wedge i=1$ 
if  $f \{x,y\} = i$  and  $xy: x \in elts \alpha \ y \in elts \alpha \ x < y$  for  $x y i$ 
proof -
  consider  $\pi x < \pi y \mid \pi y < \pi x$ 
  using  $xy$  by (metis Ord $\pi$  Ord-linear-lt inj $\pi$  inj-onD less-V-def)
  then show ?thesis
proof cases
  case 1
  then have  $f\{x,y\} = 0$ 
  using  $\langle x < y \rangle$  by (force simp: f-def Set.doubleton-eq-iff)
  then show ?thesis
  using 1  $f$  by auto
next
  case 2
  then have  $f\{x,y\} = 1$ 
  using  $\langle x < y \rangle$  by (force simp: f-def Set.doubleton-eq-iff)
  then show ?thesis
  using 2  $f$  by auto
qed
qed
have False
if  $eq: tp H = succ (vcard \alpha)$  and  $H: H \subseteq elts \alpha$ 
and  $0: \bigwedge A. A \in [H]^2 \implies f A = 0$  for  $H$ 
proof -
  have [simp]: small H
  using  $H$  down by auto
  have  $OH: Ord x$  if  $x \in H$  for  $x$ 
  using  $H$  Ord-in-Ord  $\langle Ord \alpha \rangle$  that by blast
  have  $\pi: \pi x < \pi y$  if  $x \in H \ y \in H \ x < y$  for  $x y$ 
  using 0 [of  $\{x,y\}$  that H fiff by (fastforce simp: nsets-2-eq)]
  have sub-vcard:  $\pi \text{ ' } H \subseteq elts (vcard \alpha)$ 
  using  $H$  fun $\pi$  by auto
  have  $tp H = tp (\pi \text{ ' } H)$ 
proof (rule ordertype-VWF-inc-eq [symmetric])

```

```

    show  $\pi \cdot H \subseteq ON$ 
    using  $H \text{ Ord} \pi$  by blast
  qed (auto simp:  $\pi \text{ OH subsetI}$ )
  also have  $\dots \leq \text{vcard } \alpha$ 
    by (simp add:  $H \text{ sub-vcard assms ordertype-le-Ord}$ )
  finally show False
    by (simp add:  $\text{eq succ-le-iff}$ )
  qed
  moreover have False
    if  $\text{eq: } \text{tp } H = \omega$  and  $H: H \subseteq \text{elts } \alpha$ 
    and  $1: \bigwedge A. A \in [H]^2 \implies f A = \text{Suc } 0$  for  $H$ 
  proof -
    have [simp]: small  $H$ 
      using  $H \text{ down}$  by auto
    define  $\eta$  where  $\eta \equiv \text{inv-into } H (\text{ordermap } H \text{ VWF}) \circ \text{ord-of-nat}$ 
    have  $\text{bij: } \text{bij-betw } (\text{ordermap } H \text{ VWF}) H (\text{elts } \omega)$ 
      by (metis  $\text{ordermap-bij } \langle \text{small } H \rangle \text{ eq total-on-VWF wf-VWF}$ )
    then have  $\text{bij-betw } (\text{inv-into } H (\text{ordermap } H \text{ VWF})) (\text{elts } \omega) H$ 
      by (simp add:  $\text{bij-betw-inv-into}$ )
    then have  $\eta: \text{bij-betw } \eta \text{ UNIV } H$ 
      unfolding  $\eta\text{-def}$ 
      by (metis  $\omega\text{-def bij-betw-comp-iff2 bij-betw-def elts-of-set inf inj-ord-of-nat order-refl}$ )
    have  $\text{Ord}\eta: \text{Ord } (\eta k)$  for  $k$ 
      by (meson  $H \text{ Ord-in-Ord UNIV-I } \eta \text{ assms bij-betw-apply subsetD}$ )
    obtain  $k$  where  $k: ((\pi \circ \eta)(\text{Suc } k), (\pi \circ \eta) k) \notin \text{VWF}$ 
      using  $\text{wf-VWF wf-iff-no-infinite-down-chain}$  by blast
    have  $\pi: \pi y < \pi x$  if  $x \in H \ y \in H \ x < y$  for  $x \ y$ 
      using  $1$  [of  $\{x, y\}$ ] that  $H$  fiff by (fastforce simp:  $\text{nsets-2-eq}$ )
    have False if  $\eta (\text{Suc } k) \leq \eta k$ 
      proof -
        have  $(\eta (\text{Suc } k), \eta k) \in \text{VWF} \vee \eta (\text{Suc } k) = \eta k$ 
          using that  $\text{Ord}\eta \text{ Ord-mem-iff-lt}$  by auto
        then have  $\text{ordermap } H \text{ VWF } (\eta (\text{Suc } k)) \leq \text{ordermap } H \text{ VWF } (\eta k)$ 
          by (metis  $\eta \langle \text{small } H \rangle \text{ bij-betw-imp-surj-on ordermap-mono-le rangeI trans-VWF wf-VWF}$ )
        moreover have  $\text{ordermap } H \text{ VWF } (\eta (\text{Suc } k)) = \text{succ } (\text{ord-of-nat } k)$ 
          unfolding  $\eta\text{-def}$  using  $\text{bij bij-betw-inv-into-right}$  by force
        moreover have  $\text{ordermap } H \text{ VWF } (\eta k) = \text{ord-of-nat } k$ 
          apply (simp add:  $\eta\text{-def}$ )
          by (meson  $\text{bij bij-betw-inv-into-right ord-of-nat-}\omega$ )
        ultimately have  $\text{succ } (\text{ord-of-nat } k) \leq \text{ord-of-nat } k$ 
          by simp
        then show False
          by (simp add:  $\text{less-eq-V-def}$ )
      qed
    then have  $\eta k < \eta (\text{Suc } k)$ 
      by (metis  $\text{Ord}\eta \text{ Ord-linear-lt dual-order.strict-implies-order eq-refl}$ )
    then have  $(\pi \circ \eta)(\text{Suc } k) < (\pi \circ \eta)k$ 

```

using $\pi \eta$ *bij-betw-apply* **by** *force*
then show *False*
using k
apply (*simp add: subset-iff*)
by (*metis H Ord π UNIV-I VWF-iff-Ord-less η bij-betw-imp-surj-on image-subset-iff*)
qed
ultimately show *?thesis*
apply (*simp add: partn-lst-def image-subset-iff*)
by (*metis f-Pi less-2-cases nth-Cons-0 nth-Cons-Suc numeral-2-eq-2*)
qed

2.4 Specker's theorem

definition *form-split* :: $[nat, nat, nat, nat, nat] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
form-split $a\ b\ c\ d\ i \equiv a \leq c \wedge (i=0 \wedge a < b \wedge b < c \wedge c < d \vee$
 $i=1 \wedge a < c \wedge c < b \wedge b < d \vee$
 $i=2 \wedge a < c \wedge c < d \wedge d < b \vee$
 $i=3 \wedge a = c \wedge b \neq d)$

definition *form* :: $[(nat * nat) set, nat] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
form $u\ i \equiv \exists a\ b\ c\ d. u = \{(a, b), (c, d)\} \wedge form-split\ a\ b\ c\ d\ i$

definition *scheme* :: $[(nat * nat) set] \Rightarrow nat\ set$ **where**
scheme $u \equiv fst\ 'u \cup snd\ 'u$

definition *UU* :: $(nat * nat)\ set$
where $UU \equiv \{(a, b). a < b\}$

lemma *ordertype-UNIV- ω 2*: *ordertype UNIV pair-less = $\omega \uparrow 2$*
using *ordertype-Times* [*of concl: UNIV UNIV less-than less-than*]
by (*simp add: total-less-than pair-less-def ordertype-nat- ω numeral-2-eq-2*)

lemma *ordertype-UU-ge- ω 2*: *ordertype UNIV pair-less \leq ordertype UU pair-less*
proof (*rule ordertype-inc-le*)

define π **where** $\pi \equiv \lambda(m, n). (m, Suc\ (m+n))$
show $(\pi\ (x::nat \times nat), \pi\ y) \in pair-less$ **if** $(x, y) \in pair-less$ **for** $x\ y$
using *that* **by** (*auto simp: π -def pair-less-def split: prod.split*)
show $range\ \pi \subseteq UU$
by (*auto simp: π -def UU-def*)
qed *auto*

lemma *ordertype-UU- ω 2*: *ordertype UU pair-less = $\omega \uparrow 2$*
by (*metis eq-iff ordertype-UNIV- ω 2 ordertype-UU-ge- ω 2 ordertype-mono small top-greatest trans-pair-less wf-pair-less*)

Lemma 2.3 of Jean A. Larson, A short proof of a partition theorem for the ordinal ω^ω . *Annals of Mathematical Logic*, 6:129–145, 1973.

lemma *lemma-2-3*:

fixes $f :: (\text{nat} \times \text{nat}) \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$
assumes $f \in [UU]^2 \rightarrow \{..< \text{Suc} (\text{Suc } 0)\}$
obtains $N \text{ js where infinite } N \text{ and } \bigwedge k u. \llbracket k < 4; u \in [UU]^2; \text{form } u \text{ } k; \text{scheme } u \subseteq N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow f u = \text{js!}k$
proof –
have $f\text{-less2}: f \{p, q\} < \text{Suc} (\text{Suc } 0)$ **if** $p \neq q$ $p \in UU$ $q \in UU$ **for** p q
proof –
have $\{p, q\} \in [UU]^2$
using *that* **by** (*simp add: nsets-def*)
then show *?thesis*
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: Pi-iff*)
qed
define $f0$ **where** $f0 \equiv (\lambda A::\text{nat set. THE } x. \exists a \ b \ c \ d. A = \{a, b, c, d\} \wedge a < b \wedge b < c \wedge c < d \wedge x = f \{(a, b), (c, d)\})$
have $f0: f0 \{a, b, c, d\} = f \{(a, b), (c, d)\}$ **if** $a < b$ $b < c$ $c < d$ **for** a b c d
unfolding $f0\text{-def}$
apply (*rule theI2 [where a = f \{(a, b), (c, d)\}]*)
using *that* **by** (*force simp: insert-eq-iff split: if-split-asm*)
have $f0 X < \text{Suc} (\text{Suc } 0)$
if *finite* X **and** $\text{card } X = 4$ **for** X
proof –
have $X \in [X]^4$
using *that* **by** (*auto simp: nsets-def*)
then obtain $a \ b \ c \ d$ **where** $X = \{a, b, c, d\} \wedge a < b \wedge b < c \wedge c < d$
by (*auto simp: ordered-nsets-4-eq*)
then show *?thesis*
using $f0$ $f\text{-less2}$ **by** (*auto simp: UU-def*)
qed
then have $\exists N \ t. \text{infinite } N \wedge t < \text{Suc} (\text{Suc } 0)$
 $\wedge (\forall X. X \subseteq N \wedge \text{finite } X \wedge \text{card } X = 4 \longrightarrow f0 X = t)$
using *Ramsey [of UNIV 4 f0 2]* **by** (*simp add: eval-nat-numeral*)
then obtain $N0 \ j0$ **where** *infinite* $N0$ **and** $j0: j0 < \text{Suc} (\text{Suc } 0)$ **and** $N0: \bigwedge A. A \in [N0]^4 \Longrightarrow f0 A = j0$
by (*auto simp: nsets-def*)

define $f1$ **where** $f1 \equiv (\lambda A::\text{nat set. THE } x. \exists a \ b \ c \ d. A = \{a, b, c, d\} \wedge a < b \wedge b < c \wedge c < d \wedge x = f \{(a, c), (b, d)\})$
have $f1: f1 \{a, b, c, d\} = f \{(a, c), (b, d)\}$ **if** $a < b$ $b < c$ $c < d$ **for** a b c d
unfolding $f1\text{-def}$
apply (*rule theI2 [where a = f \{(a, c), (b, d)\}]*)
using *that* **by** (*force simp: insert-eq-iff split: if-split-asm*)
have $f1 X < \text{Suc} (\text{Suc } 0)$
if *finite* X **and** $\text{card } X = 4$ **for** X
proof –
have $X \in [X]^4$
using *that* **by** (*auto simp: nsets-def*)
then obtain $a \ b \ c \ d$ **where** $X = \{a, b, c, d\} \wedge a < b \wedge b < c \wedge c < d$
by (*auto simp: ordered-nsets-4-eq*)
then show *?thesis*

using $f1$ f -less2 **by** (auto simp: UU-def)
qed
then have $\exists N t. N \subseteq N0 \wedge \text{infinite } N \wedge t < \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0)$
 $\wedge (\forall X. X \subseteq N \wedge \text{finite } X \wedge \text{card } X = 4 \longrightarrow f1 X = t)$
using $\langle \text{infinite } N0 \rangle$ Ramsey [of $N0$ 4 $f1$ 2] **by** (simp add: eval-nat-numeral)
then obtain $N1 j1$ **where** $N1 \subseteq N0$ **infinite** $N1$ **and** $j1: j1 < \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0)$ **and**
 $N1: \bigwedge A. A \in [N1]^4 \implies f1 A = j1$
by (auto simp: nsets-def)

define $f2$ **where** $f2 \equiv (\lambda A::\text{nat set. THE } x. \exists a b c d. A = \{a,b,c,d\} \wedge a < b \wedge$
 $b < c \wedge c < d \wedge x = f \{(a,d),(b,c)\})$
have $f2: f2 \{a,b,c,d\} = f \{(a,d),(b,c)\}$ **if** $a < b b < c c < d$ **for** $a b c d$
unfolding $f2$ -def
apply (rule theI2 [where $a = f \{(a,d), (b,c)\}$])
using that **by** (force simp: insert-eq-iff split: if-split-asm)+
have $f2 X < \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0)$
if $\text{finite } X$ **and** $\text{card } X = 4$ **for** X
proof –
have $X \in [X]^4$
using that **by** (auto simp: nsets-def)
then obtain $a b c d$ **where** $X = \{a,b,c,d\} \wedge a < b \wedge b < c \wedge c < d$
by (auto simp: ordered-nsets-4-eq)
then show ?thesis
using $f2$ f -less2 **by** (auto simp: UU-def)
qed

then have $\exists N t. N \subseteq N1 \wedge \text{infinite } N \wedge t < \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0)$
 $\wedge (\forall X. X \subseteq N \wedge \text{finite } X \wedge \text{card } X = 4 \longrightarrow f2 X = t)$
using $\langle \text{infinite } N1 \rangle$ Ramsey [of $N1$ 4 $f2$ 2] **by** (simp add: eval-nat-numeral)
then obtain $N2 j2$ **where** $N2 \subseteq N1$ **infinite** $N2$ **and** $j2: j2 < \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0)$ **and**
 $N2: \bigwedge A. A \in [N2]^4 \implies f2 A = j2$
by (auto simp: nsets-def)

define $f3$ **where** $f3 \equiv (\lambda A::\text{nat set. THE } x. \exists a b c. A = \{a,b,c\} \wedge a < b \wedge b < c$
 $\wedge x = f \{(a,b),(a,c)\})$
have $f3: f3 \{a,b,c\} = f \{(a,b),(a,c)\}$ **if** $a < b b < c$ **for** $a b c$
unfolding $f3$ -def
apply (rule theI2 [where $a = f \{(a,b), (a,c)\}$])
using that **by** (force simp: insert-eq-iff split: if-split-asm)+
have $f3': f3 \{a,b,c\} = f \{(a,b),(a,c)\}$ **if** $a < c c < b$ **for** $a b c$
using $f3$ [of $a c b$] that
by (simp add: insert-commute)
have $f3 X < \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0)$
if $\text{finite } X$ **and** $\text{card } X = 3$ **for** X
proof –
have $X \in [X]^3$
using that **by** (auto simp: nsets-def)
then obtain $a b c$ **where** $X = \{a,b,c\} \wedge a < b \wedge b < c$
by (auto simp: ordered-nsets-3-eq)
then show ?thesis

using $f3$ f -less2 by (auto simp: UU-def)
 qed
 then have $\exists N t. N \subseteq N2 \wedge \text{infinite } N \wedge t < \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0)$
 $\wedge (\forall X. X \subseteq N \wedge \text{finite } X \wedge \text{card } X = 3 \longrightarrow f3 X = t)$
 using $\langle \text{infinite } N2 \rangle$ Ramsey [of $N2$ 3 $f3$ 2] by (simp add: eval-nat-numeral)
 then obtain $N3 j3$ where $N3 \subseteq N2$ $\text{infinite } N3$ and $j3: j3 < \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0)$ and
 $N3: \bigwedge A. A \in [N3]^3 \implies f3 A = j3$
 by (auto simp: nsets-def)

show thesis

proof

fix $k u$

assume $k < 4$

and $u: \text{form } u k \text{ scheme } u \subseteq N3$

and $UU: u \in [UU]^2$

then consider (0) $k=0$ | (1) $k=1$ | (2) $k=2$ | (3) $k=3$

by *linarith*

then show $f u = [j0, j1, j2, j3] ! k$

proof cases

case 0

have $N3 \subseteq N0$

using $\langle N1 \subseteq N0 \rangle$ $\langle N2 \subseteq N1 \rangle$ $\langle N3 \subseteq N2 \rangle$ by *auto*

then show *?thesis*

using $u 0$

apply (auto simp: form-def form-split-def scheme-def simp flip: f0)

apply (force simp: nsets-def intro: N0)

done

next

case 1

have $N3 \subseteq N1$

using $\langle N2 \subseteq N1 \rangle$ $\langle N3 \subseteq N2 \rangle$ by *auto*

then show *?thesis*

using $u 1$

apply (auto simp: form-def form-split-def scheme-def simp flip: f1)

apply (force simp: nsets-def intro: N1)

done

next

case 2

then show *?thesis*

using $u \langle N3 \subseteq N2 \rangle$

apply (auto simp: form-def form-split-def scheme-def nsets-def simp flip: f2)

apply (force simp: nsets-def intro: N2)

done

next

case 3

{ fix $a b d$

assume $\{(a, b), (a, d)\} \in [UU]^2$

and $*$: $a \in N3$ $b \in N3$ $d \in N3$ $b \neq d$

then have $a < b$ $a < d$

```

    by (auto simp: UU-def nsets-def)
  then have  $f \{(a, b), (a, d)\} = j3$ 
    using *
    apply (auto simp: neq-iff simp flip: f3 f3')
    apply (force simp: nsets-def intro: N3)+
  done
}
then show ?thesis
  using u UU 3
  by (auto simp: form-def form-split-def scheme-def)
qed
qed (rule ‹infinite N3›)
qed

```

Lemma 2.4 of Jean A. Larson, *ibid.*

lemma *lemma-2-4*:

```

  assumes infinite N  $k < 4$ 
  obtains M where  $M \in [UU]^m \wedge u. u \in [M]^2 \implies form\ u\ k \wedge u. u \in [M]^2 \implies$ 
  scheme  $u \subseteq N$ 
proof –
  obtain  $f :: nat \Rightarrow nat$  where bij-betw f UNIV N strict-mono f
    using assms by (meson bij-enumerate enumerate-mono strict-monoI)
  then have iff[simp]:  $f\ x = f\ y \longleftrightarrow x=y$   $f\ x < f\ y \longleftrightarrow x < y$  for  $x\ y$ 
    by (simp-all add: strict-mono-eq strict-mono-less)
  have [simp]:  $f\ x \in N$  for  $x$ 
    using bij-betw-apply [OF ‹bij-betw f UNIV N›] by blast
  define M0 where  $M0 = (\lambda i. (f(2*i), f(Suc(2*i)))) \{..<m\}$ 
  define M1 where  $M1 = (\lambda i. (f\ i, f(m+i))) \{..<m\}$ 
  define M2 where  $M2 = (\lambda i. (f\ i, f(2*m-i))) \{..<m\}$ 
  define M3 where  $M3 = (\lambda i. (f\ 0, f(Suc\ i))) \{..<m\}$ 
  consider (0)  $k=0$  | (1)  $k=1$  | (2)  $k=2$  | (3)  $k=3$ 
    using assms by linarith
  then show thesis
proof cases
  case 0
  show ?thesis
proof
  have inj-on  $(\lambda i. (f(2*i), f(Suc(2*i)))) \{..<m\}$ 
    by (auto simp: inj-on-def)
  then show  $M0 \in [UU]^m$ 
    by (simp add: M0-def nsets-def card-image UU-def image-subset-iff)
next
  fix u
  assume  $u: (u :: (nat \times nat)\ set) \in [M0]^2$ 
  then obtain  $x\ y$  where  $u = \{x, y\}$   $x \neq y$   $x \in M0$   $y \in M0$ 
    by (auto simp: nsets-2-eq)
  then obtain  $i\ j$  where  $i < j < m$  and ueq:  $u = \{(f(2*i), f(Suc(2*i))),$ 
  ( $f(2*j), f(Suc(2*j))\}$ )
    apply (clarsimp simp: M0-def)

```

```

    apply (metis (full-types) insert-commute less-linear)+
  done
moreover have  $f(2 * i) \leq f(2 * j)$ 
  by (simp add: <i<j> less-imp-le-nat)
ultimately show form u k
  apply (simp add: 0 form-def form-split-def nsets-def)
  apply (rule-tac x=f (2 * i) in exI)
  apply (rule-tac x=f (Suc (2 * i)) in exI)
  apply (rule-tac x=f (2 * j) in exI)
  apply (rule-tac x=f (Suc (2 * j)) in exI)
  apply auto
  done
show scheme  $u \subseteq N$ 
  using ueq by (auto simp: scheme-def)
qed
next
case 1
show ?thesis
proof
  have inj-on  $(\lambda i. (f i, f(m+i))) \{..<m\}$ 
    by (auto simp: inj-on-def)
  then show  $M1 \in [UU]^m$ 
    by (simp add: M1-def nsets-def card-image UU-def image-subset-iff)
next
fix u
assume u:  $(u::(nat \times nat) set) \in [M1]^2$ 
then obtain x y where  $u = \{x, y\}$   $x \neq y$   $x \in M1$   $y \in M1$ 
  by (auto simp: nsets-2-eq)
then obtain i j where  $i < j < m$  and ueq:  $u = \{(f i, f(m+i)), (f j, f(m+j))\}$ 
  apply (auto simp: M1-def)
  apply (metis (full-types) insert-commute less-linear)+
  done
then show form u k
  apply (simp add: 1 form-def form-split-def nsets-def)
  by (metis iff(2) nat-add-left-cancel-less nat-less-le trans-less-add1)
show scheme  $u \subseteq N$ 
  using ueq by (auto simp: scheme-def)
qed
next
case 2
show ?thesis
proof
  have inj-on  $(\lambda i. (f i, f(2*m-i))) \{..<m\}$ 
    by (auto simp: inj-on-def)
  then show  $M2 \in [UU]^m$ 
    by (auto simp: M2-def nsets-def card-image UU-def image-subset-iff)
next
fix u
assume u:  $(u::(nat \times nat) set) \in [M2]^2$ 

```



```

then obtain  $x\ y$  where  $u = \{x,y\}$   $x \neq y$   $x \in M2$   $y \in M2$ 
  by (auto simp: nsets-2-eq)
  then obtain  $i\ j$  where  $i < j$   $j < m$  and  $ueq: u = \{(f\ i, f(2*m-i)), (f\ j,$ 
 $f(2*m-j))\}$ 
  apply (auto simp: M2-def)
  apply (metis (full-types) insert-commute less-linear)+
  done
then show form  $u\ k$ 
  apply (simp add: 2 form-def form-split-def nsets-def)
  apply (rule-tac x=f i in exI)
  apply (rule-tac x=f (2 * m - i) in exI)
  apply (rule-tac x=f j in exI)
  apply (rule-tac x=f (2 * m - j) in exI)
  apply (auto simp: less-imp-le-nat)
  done
show scheme  $u \subseteq N$ 
  using  $ueq$  by (auto simp: scheme-def)
qed
next
case 3
show ?thesis
proof
  have inj-on  $(\lambda i. (f\ 0, f (Suc\ i))) \{..<m\}$ 
    by (auto simp: inj-on-def)
  then show  $M3 \in [UU]^m$ 
    by (auto simp: M3-def nsets-def card-image UU-def image-subset-iff)
next
fix  $u$ 
assume  $u: (u::(nat \times nat)\ set) \in [M3]^2$ 
then obtain  $x\ y$  where  $u = \{x,y\}$   $x \neq y$   $x \in M3$   $y \in M3$ 
  by (auto simp: nsets-2-eq)
then obtain  $i\ j$  where  $i < j$   $j < m$  and  $ueq: u = \{(f\ 0, f(Suc\ i)), (f\ 0, f(Suc$ 
 $j))\}$ 
  apply (auto simp: M3-def)
  apply (metis (full-types) insert-commute less-linear)+
  done
then show form  $u\ k$ 
  by (fastforce simp: 3 form-def form-split-def nsets-def)
show scheme  $u \subseteq N$ 
  using  $ueq$  by (auto simp: scheme-def)
qed
qed
qed

```

Lemma 2.5 of Jean A. Larson, *ibid.*

lemma *lemma-2-5:*

assumes *infinite* N

obtains X **where** $X \subseteq UU$ *ordertype* X *pair-less* $= \omega \uparrow 2$

$\bigwedge u. u \in [X]^2 \implies (\exists k < 4. \text{form } u\ k) \wedge \text{scheme } u \subseteq N$

```

proof –
  obtain  $C$ 
    where  $dis$ : pairwise ( $\lambda i j. disjnt (C i) (C j)$ ) UNIV
      and  $N$ : ( $\bigcup i. C i$ )  $\subseteq N$  and  $infC$ :  $\bigwedge i::nat. infinite (C i)$ 
      using  $assms$  infinite-infinite-partition by blast
    then have  $\exists \varphi::nat \Rightarrow nat. inj \varphi \wedge range \varphi = C i \wedge strict-mono \varphi$  for  $i$ 
      by (metis bij-betw-imp-inj-on bij-betw-imp-surj-on bij-enumerate enumerate-mono
         $infC$  strict-mono-def)
    then obtain  $\varphi::[nat,nat] \Rightarrow nat$ 
      where  $\varphi$ :  $\bigwedge i. inj (\varphi i) \wedge range (\varphi i) = C i \wedge strict-mono (\varphi i)$ 
      by metis
    then have  $\pi$ -in- $C$  [ $simp$ ]:  $\varphi i j \in C i' \longleftrightarrow i'=i$  for  $i i' j$ 
      using  $dis$  by (fastforce  $simp$ : pairwise-def disjnt-def)
    have  $less$ -iff [ $simp$ ]:  $\varphi i j' < \varphi i j \longleftrightarrow j' < j$  for  $i j' j$ 
      by ( $simp$  add:  $\varphi$  strict-mono-less)
    let  $?a = \varphi 0$ 
    define  $X$  where  $X \equiv \{(?a i, b) \mid i b. ?a i < b \wedge b \in C (Suc i)\}$ 
    show  $thesis$ 
  proof
    show  $X \subseteq UU$ 
      by (auto  $simp$ :  $X$ -def  $UU$ -def)
    show  $ordertype X$   $pair$ -less =  $\omega \uparrow 2$ 
  proof (rule antisym)
    have  $ordertype X$   $pair$ -less  $\leq ordertype UU$   $pair$ -less
      by ( $simp$  add:  $\langle X \subseteq UU \rangle$   $ordertype$ -mono)
    then show  $ordertype X$   $pair$ -less  $\leq \omega \uparrow 2$ 
      using  $ordertype$ - $UU$ - $\omega 2$  by auto
    define  $\pi$  where  $\pi \equiv \lambda(i,j::nat). (?a i, \varphi (Suc i) (?a j))$ 
    have  $\bigwedge i j. i < j \implies \varphi 0 i < \varphi (Suc i) (\varphi 0 j)$ 
      by (meson  $\varphi$  le-less-trans less-iff strict-mono-imp-increasing)
    then have  $subX$ :  $\pi ' UU \subseteq X$ 
      by (auto  $simp$ :  $UU$ -def  $\pi$ -def  $X$ -def)
    then have  $ordertype (\pi ' UU)$   $pair$ -less  $\leq ordertype X$   $pair$ -less
      by ( $simp$  add:  $ordertype$ -mono)
    moreover have  $ordertype (\pi ' UU)$   $pair$ -less =  $ordertype UU$   $pair$ -less
  proof (rule  $ordertype$ -inc-eq)
    show  $(\pi x, \pi y) \in pair$ -less
      if  $x \in UU$   $y \in UU$  and  $(x, y) \in pair$ -less for  $x y$ 
      using that by (auto  $simp$ :  $UU$ -def  $\pi$ -def  $pair$ -less-def)
    qed auto
    ultimately show  $\omega \uparrow 2 \leq ordertype X$   $pair$ -less
      using  $ordertype$ - $UU$ - $\omega 2$  by  $simp$ 
  qed
next
  fix  $U$ 
  assume  $U \in [X]^2$ 
  then obtain  $a b c d$  where  $Ueq$ :  $U = \{(a,b),(c,d)\}$  and  $ne$ :  $(a,b) \neq (c,d)$  and
 $inX$ :  $(a,b) \in X$   $(c,d) \in X$  and  $a \leq c$ 
  apply (auto  $simp$ :  $nsets$ -def subset-iff eval-nat-numeral card-Suc-eq Set.doubleton-eq-iff)

```

```

    apply (metis nat-le-linear)+
  done
show  $(\exists k < 4. \text{form } U k) \wedge \text{scheme } U \subseteq N$ 
proof
  show  $\text{scheme } U \subseteq N$ 
    using  $\text{in } X \ N \ \varphi$  by (fastforce simp: scheme-def Ueq X-def)
next
consider  $a < c \mid a = c \wedge b \neq d$ 
  using  $\langle a \leq c \rangle$  ne nat-less-le by blast
then show  $\exists k < 4. \text{form } U k$ 
proof cases
  case 1
  have *:  $a < b \ b \neq c \ c < d$ 
    using  $\text{in } X$  by (auto simp: X-def)
  moreover have  $\llbracket a < c; c < b; \neg d < b \rrbracket \implies b < d$ 
    using  $\text{in } X$  apply (clarsimp simp: X-def not-less)
    by (metis  $\varphi \ \pi$ -in-C imageE nat.inject nat-less-le)
  ultimately consider  $(k0) \ a < b \wedge b < c \wedge c < d \mid (k1) \ a < c \wedge c < b \wedge b < d \mid$ 
 $(k2) \ a < c \wedge c < d \wedge d < b$ 
    using 1 less-linear by blast
  then show ?thesis
proof cases
  case k0
  then have form U 0
    unfolding form-def form-split-def using Ueq  $\langle a \leq c \rangle$  by blast
  then show ?thesis by force
next
  case k1
  then have form U 1
    unfolding form-def form-split-def using Ueq  $\langle a \leq c \rangle$  by blast
  then show ?thesis by force
next
  case k2
  then have form U 2
    unfolding form-def form-split-def using Ueq  $\langle a \leq c \rangle$  by blast
  then show ?thesis by force
qed
next
case 2
then have form-split a b c d 3
  by (auto simp: form-split-def)
then show ?thesis
  using Ueq form-def leI by force
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

Theorem 2.1 of Jean A. Larson, *ibid.*

lemma *Specker-aux*:
assumes $\alpha \in \text{elts } \omega$
shows *partn-1st pair-less UU* $[\omega \uparrow 2, \alpha]$ 2
unfolding *partn-1st-def*
proof *clarsimp*
fix f
assume $f: f \in [UU]^2 \rightarrow \{..< \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0)\}$
let $?P0 = \exists X \subseteq UU. \text{ordertype } X \text{ pair-less} = \omega \uparrow 2 \wedge f \text{ ` } [X]^2 \subseteq \{0\}$
let $?P1 = \exists M \subseteq UU. \text{ordertype } M \text{ pair-less} = \alpha \wedge f \text{ ` } [M]^2 \subseteq \{1\}$
have $\dagger: ?P0 \vee ?P1$
proof (*rule disjCI*)
assume $\neg ?P1$
then have *not1*: $\bigwedge M. \llbracket M \subseteq UU; \text{ordertype } M \text{ pair-less} = \alpha \rrbracket \implies \exists x \in [M]^2. f$
 $x \neq \text{Suc } 0$
by *auto*
obtain m **where** $m: \alpha = \text{ord-of-nat } m$
using *assms elts- ω by auto*
then have *f-eq-0*: $M \in [UU]^m \implies \exists x \in [M]^2. f x = 0$ **for** M
using *not1 [of M] finite-ordertype-eq-card [of M pair-less m] f*
apply (*clarsimp simp: nsets-def eval-nat-numeral Pi-def*)
by (*meson less-Suc0 not-less-less-Suc-eq subset-trans*)
obtain N js **where** *infinite N and N*: $\bigwedge k u. \llbracket k < 4; u \in [UU]^2; \text{form } u k;$
scheme u $\subseteq N$ \rrbracket \implies f u = js!k
using *f lemma-2-3 by blast*
obtain $M0$ **where** $M0: M0 \in [UU]^m \wedge u. u \in [M0]^2 \implies \text{form } u 0 \wedge u. u \in$
 $[M0]^2 \implies \text{scheme } u \subseteq N$
by (*rule lemma-2-4 [OF <infinite N>] auto*)
obtain $M1$ **where** $M1: M1 \in [UU]^m \wedge u. u \in [M1]^2 \implies \text{form } u 1 \wedge u. u \in$
 $[M1]^2 \implies \text{scheme } u \subseteq N$
by (*rule lemma-2-4 [OF <infinite N>] auto*)
obtain $M2$ **where** $M2: M2 \in [UU]^m \wedge u. u \in [M2]^2 \implies \text{form } u 2 \wedge u. u \in$
 $[M2]^2 \implies \text{scheme } u \subseteq N$
by (*rule lemma-2-4 [OF <infinite N>] auto*)
obtain $M3$ **where** $M3: M3 \in [UU]^m \wedge u. u \in [M3]^2 \implies \text{form } u 3 \wedge u. u \in$
 $[M3]^2 \implies \text{scheme } u \subseteq N$
by (*rule lemma-2-4 [OF <infinite N>] auto*)
have $js!0 = 0$
using N [*of 0*] $M0$ *f-eq-0* [*of M0*] **by** (*force simp: nsets-def eval-nat-numeral*)
moreover have $js!1 = 0$
using N [*of 1*] $M1$ *f-eq-0* [*of M1*] **by** (*force simp: nsets-def eval-nat-numeral*)
moreover have $js!2 = 0$
using N [*of 2*] $M2$ *f-eq-0* [*of M2*] **by** (*force simp: nsets-def eval-nat-numeral*)
moreover have $js!3 = 0$
using N [*of 3*] $M3$ *f-eq-0* [*of M3*] **by** (*force simp: nsets-def eval-nat-numeral*)
ultimately have $js0: js!k = 0$ **if** $k < 4$ **for** k
using *that by (auto simp: eval-nat-numeral less-Suc-eq)*
obtain X **where** $X \subseteq UU$ **and** $otX: \text{ordertype } X \text{ pair-less} = \omega \uparrow 2$
and $X: \bigwedge u. u \in [X]^2 \implies (\exists k < 4. \text{form } u k) \wedge \text{scheme } u \subseteq N$
using *<infinite N> lemma-2-5 by auto*

```

moreover have  $f \text{ ‘ } [X]^2 \subseteq \{0\}$ 
proof (clarsimp simp: image-subset-iff)
  fix  $u$ 
  assume  $u: u \in [X]^2$ 
  then have  $u\text{-}UU2: u \in [UU]^2$ 
    using  $\langle X \subseteq UU \rangle$  nsets-mono by blast
  show  $f\ u = 0$ 
    using  $X\ u\ N\ [OF - u\text{-}UU2]$  js0 by auto
qed
ultimately show  $\exists X \subseteq UU. \text{ordertype } X\ \text{pair-less} = \omega \uparrow 2 \wedge f \text{ ‘ } [X]^2 \subseteq \{0\}$ 
  by blast
qed
then show  $\exists i < \text{Suc } ( \text{Suc } 0 ). \exists H \subseteq UU. \text{ordertype } H\ \text{pair-less} = [\omega \uparrow 2, \alpha] ! i \wedge f$ 
 $\text{ ‘ } [H]^2 \subseteq \{i\}$ 
proof
  show  $?P0 \implies ?thesis$ 
    by (metis nth-Cons-0 numeral-2-eq-2 pos2)
  show  $?P1 \implies ?thesis$ 
    by (metis One-nat-def lessI nth-Cons-0 nth-Cons-Suc)
qed
qed

```

```

theorem Specker:  $\alpha \in \text{elts } \omega \implies \text{partn-lst-VWF } (\omega \uparrow 2) [\omega \uparrow 2, \alpha] 2$ 
  using partn-lst-imp-partn-lst-VWF-eq [OF Specker-aux] ordertype-UU- $\omega$ 2 wf-pair-less
by blast

```

```

end
theory Erdos-Milner
  imports Partitions

```

```

begin

```

2.5 Erdos-Milner theorem

P. Erds and E. C. Milner, A Theorem in the Partition Calculus. Canadian Math. Bull. 15:4 (1972), 501-505. Corrigendum, Canadian Math. Bull. 17:2 (1974), 305.

The paper defines strong types as satisfying the criteria below. It remarks that ordinals satisfy those criteria. Here is a (too complicated) proof.

proposition *strong-ordertype-eq*:

```

assumes  $D: D \subseteq \text{elts } \beta$  and  $\text{Ord } \beta$ 
obtains  $L$  where  $\bigcup (\text{List.set } L) = D \wedge X. X \in \text{List.set } L \implies \text{indecomposable}$ 
 $(tp\ X)$ 
and  $\bigwedge M. \llbracket M \subseteq D; \bigwedge X. X \in \text{List.set } L \implies tp\ (M \cap X) \geq tp\ X \rrbracket \implies tp\ M =$ 
 $tp\ D$ 

```

proof –

```

define  $\varphi$  where  $\varphi \equiv \text{inv-into } D\ (\text{ordermap } D\ \text{VWF})$ 
then have  $\text{bij-}\varphi: \text{bij-betw } \varphi\ (\text{elts } (tp\ D))\ D$ 

```

using D *bij-betw-inv-into down ordermap-bij* **by** *blast*
have φ -cancel-left: $\bigwedge d. d \in D \implies \varphi (\text{ordermap } D \text{ VWF } d) = d$
by (*metis* D φ -def *bij-betw-inv-into-left down ordermap-bij total-on-VWF wf-VWF*)
have φ -cancel-right: $\bigwedge \gamma. \gamma \in \text{elts } (tp \ D) \implies \text{ordermap } D \text{ VWF } (\varphi \ \gamma) = \gamma$
by (*metis* φ -def *f-inv-into-f ordermap-surj subsetD*)
have *small* $D \ D \subseteq ON$
using *assms down elts-subset-ON [of β]* **by** *auto*
then have φ -less-iff: $\bigwedge \gamma \ \delta. [\gamma \in \text{elts } (tp \ D); \delta \in \text{elts } (tp \ D)] \implies \varphi \ \gamma < \varphi \ \delta$
 $\longleftrightarrow \gamma < \delta$
by (*metis* φ -def *inv-ordermap-VWF-mono-iff inv-ordermap-mono-eq less-V-def*)
obtain αs **where** $List.set \ \alpha s \subseteq ON$ **and** ω -dec αs **and** tpD -eq: $tp \ D = \omega$ -sum
 αs
using *Ord-ordertype ω -nf-exists* **by** *blast* — Cantor normal form of
the ordertype
have *ord [simp]:* $Ord \ (\alpha s \ ! \ k) \ Ord \ (\omega$ -sum $(take \ k \ \alpha s))$ **if** $k < length \ \alpha s$ **for** k
using *that* $\langle list.set \ \alpha s \subseteq ON \rangle$
by (*auto simp: dual-order.trans set-take-subset elim: ON-imp-Ord*)
define E **where** $E \equiv \lambda k. lift \ (\omega$ -sum $(take \ k \ \alpha s)) \ (\omega \uparrow (\alpha s ! k))$
define L **where** $L \equiv map \ (\lambda k. \varphi \ ' \ (\text{elts } (E \ k))) \ [0..<length \ \alpha s]$
have *lengthL [simp]:* $length \ L = length \ \alpha s$
by (*simp add: L-def*)
have *in-elts-E-less:* $\text{elts } (E \ k') \ll \text{elts } (E \ k)$ **if** $k' < k$ $k < length \ \alpha s$ **for** $k \ k'$
— The ordinals have been partitioned into disjoint intervals
proof —
have *ord ω :* $Ord \ (\omega \uparrow \alpha s \ ! \ k')$
using *that* **by** *auto*
from *that id-take-nth-drop [of k' take $k \ \alpha s$]*
obtain l **where** $take \ k \ \alpha s = take \ k' \ \alpha s \ @ \ (\alpha s ! k') \ \# \ l$
by (*simp add: min-def*)
then show *?thesis*
using *that unfolding E-def lift-def less-sets-def*
by (*auto dest!: OrdmemD [OF ord ω] intro: less-le-trans*)
qed
have *elts-E:* $\text{elts } (E \ k) \subseteq \text{elts } (\omega$ -sum $\alpha s)$
if $k < length \ \alpha s$ **for** k
proof —
have $\omega \uparrow (\alpha s ! k) \leq \omega$ -sum $(drop \ k \ \alpha s)$
by (*metis that Cons-nth-drop-Suc ω -sum-Cons add-le-cancel-left0*)
then have $(+)$ $(\omega$ -sum $(take \ k \ \alpha s)) \ ' \ \text{elts } (\omega \uparrow (\alpha s ! k)) \subseteq \text{elts } (\omega$ -sum $(take \ k$
 $\alpha s) + \omega$ -sum $(drop \ k \ \alpha s))$
by *blast*
also have $\dots = \text{elts } (\omega$ -sum $\alpha s)$
using ω -sum-take-drop **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: lift-def E-def*)
qed
have ω -sum-in- tpD : ω -sum $(take \ k \ \alpha s) + \gamma \in \text{elts } (tp \ D)$
if $\gamma \in \text{elts } (\omega \uparrow \alpha s ! k)$ $k < length \ \alpha s$ **for** $\gamma \ k$
using *elts-E lift-def that tpD-eq* **by** (*auto simp: E-def*)

have *Ord-φ*: *Ord* (φ (ω -sum (take k αs) + γ))
if $\gamma \in \text{elts } (\omega \uparrow \alpha s!k)$ $k < \text{length } \alpha s$ **for** γ k
using ω -sum-in-tpD $\langle D \subseteq ON \rangle$ *bij-φ* *bij-betw-imp-surj-on* that **by** *fastforce*
define π **where** $\pi \equiv \lambda k. ((\lambda y. \text{odiff } y (\omega$ -sum (take k $\alpha s))) \circ \text{ordermap } D \text{ VWF})$
— mapping the segments of D into some power of ω
have *bij-π*: *bij-betw* (π k) (φ ‘ *elts* (E k)) (*elts* ($\omega \uparrow (\alpha s!k)$))
if $k < \text{length } \alpha s$ **for** k
using that **by** (*auto simp*: *bij-betw-def* π -*def* E -*def* *inj-on-def* *lift-def* *image-comp*
 ω -sum-in-tpD φ -*cancel-right* that)
have π -*iff*: π k $x < \pi$ k $y \iff (x, y) \in \text{VWF}$
if $x \in \varphi$ ‘ *elts* (E k) $y \in \varphi$ ‘ *elts* (E k) $k < \text{length } \alpha s$ **for** k x y
using that
by (*auto simp*: π -*def* E -*def* *lift-def* ω -sum-in-tpD φ -*cancel-right* *Ord-φ* φ -*less-iff*)
have *tp-E-eq* [*simp*]: *tp* (φ ‘ *elts* (E k)) = $\omega \uparrow (\alpha s!k)$
if $k: k < \text{length } \alpha s$ **for** k
by (*metis* *Ord-ω* *Ord-oexp* π -*iff* *bij-π* *ord(1)* *ordertype-VWF-eq-iff* *replacement*
small-elts that)
have *tp-L-eq* [*simp*]: *tp* ($L!k$) = $\omega \uparrow (\alpha s!k)$ **if** $k < \text{length } \alpha s$ **for** k
by (*simp add*: L -*def* that)
have *UL-eq-D*: $\bigcup (\text{list.set } L) = D$
proof (*rule antisym*)
show $\bigcup (\text{list.set } L) \subseteq D$
by (*force simp*: L -*def* *tpD-eq* *bij-betw-apply* [*OF* *bij-φ*] *dest*: *elts-E*)
show $D \subseteq \bigcup (\text{list.set } L)$
proof
fix δ
assume $\delta \in D$
then have *ordermap* D *VWF* $\delta \in \text{elts } (\omega$ -sum αs)
by (*metis* $\langle \text{small } D \rangle$ *ordermap-in-ordertype* *tpD-eq*)
then show $\delta \in \bigcup (\text{list.set } L)$
using $\langle \delta \in D \rangle$ φ -*cancel-left* *in-elts-ω-sum*
by (*fastforce simp*: L -*def* E -*def* *image-iff* *lift-def*)
qed
qed
show *thesis*
proof
show *indecomposable* (*tp* X) **if** $X \in \text{list.set } L$ **for** X
using that **by** (*auto simp*: L -*def* *indecomposable-ω-power*)
next
fix M
assume $M \subseteq D$ **and** $*$: $\bigwedge X. X \in \text{list.set } L \implies \text{tp } X \leq \text{tp } (M \cap X)$
show $\text{tp } M = \text{tp } D$
proof (*rule antisym*)
show $\text{tp } M \leq \text{tp } D$
by (*simp add*: $\langle M \subseteq D \rangle$ $\langle \text{small } D \rangle$ *ordertype-VWF-mono*)
define σ **where** $\sigma \equiv \lambda X. \text{inv-into } (M \cap X) (\text{ordermap } (M \cap X) \text{ VWF})$
— The bijection from an ω -power into the appropriate
segment of M
have *bij-σ*: *bij-betw* (σ X) (*elts* (*tp* ($M \cap X$))) ($M \cap X$) **for** X

unfolding σ -def
by (meson $\langle M \subseteq D \rangle \langle \text{small } D \rangle$ bij-betw-inv-into inf-le1 ordermap-bij smaller-than-small total-on-VWF wf-VWF)
have Ord- σ : Ord ($\sigma X \alpha$) **if** $\alpha \in \text{elts } (tp (M \cap X))$ **for** αX
using $\langle D \subseteq ON \rangle \langle M \subseteq D \rangle$ bij-betw-apply [OF bij- σ] **that** **by** blast
have σ -cancel-right: $\bigwedge \gamma X. \gamma \in \text{elts } (tp (M \cap X)) \implies \text{ordermap } (M \cap X)$
VWF ($\sigma X \gamma$) = γ
by (metis σ -def f-inv-into-f ordermap-surj subsetD)
have smM: small ($M \cap X$) **for** X
by (meson $\langle M \subseteq D \rangle \langle \text{small } D \rangle$ inf-le1 subset-iff-less-eq-V)
have $\exists k < \text{length } \alpha s. \gamma \in \text{elts } (E k)$ **if** $\gamma: \gamma \in \text{elts } (tp D)$ **for** γ
proof –
obtain X **where** $X \in \text{set } L$ **and** $X: \varphi \gamma \in X$
by (metis UL-eq-D γ Union-iff φ -def in-mono inv-into-into ordermap-surj)
then **have** $\exists k < \text{length } \alpha s. \gamma \in \text{elts } (E k) \wedge X = \varphi \text{ ' elts } (E k)$
apply (clarsimp simp: L-def)
by (metis γ φ -cancel-right elts-E in-mono tpD-eq)
then **show** ?thesis
by blast
qed
then **obtain** K **where** $K: \bigwedge \gamma. \gamma \in \text{elts } (tp D) \implies K \gamma < \text{length } \alpha s \wedge \gamma \in \text{elts } (E (K \gamma))$
by metis — The index from $tp D$ to the appropriate segment number
define ψ **where** $\psi \equiv \lambda d. \sigma (L ! K (\text{ordermap } D \text{ VWF } d)) (\pi (K (\text{ordermap } D \text{ VWF } d)) d)$
show $tp D \leq tp M$
proof (rule ordertype-inc-le)
show small D small M
using $\langle M \subseteq D \rangle \langle \text{small } D \rangle$ subset-iff-less-eq-V **by** auto
next
fix $d' d$
assume $xy: d' \in D d \in D$ **and** $(d', d) \in \text{VWF}$
then **have** $d' < d$
using ON-imp-Ord $\langle D \subseteq ON \rangle$ **by** auto
let $? \gamma' = \text{ordermap } D \text{ VWF } d'$
let $? \gamma = \text{ordermap } D \text{ VWF } d$
have $len': K ? \gamma' < \text{length } \alpha s$ **and** $elts': ? \gamma' \in \text{elts } (E (K ? \gamma'))$
and $len: K ? \gamma < \text{length } \alpha s$ **and** $elts: ? \gamma \in \text{elts } (E (K ? \gamma))$
using $K \langle d' \in D \rangle \langle d \in D \rangle$ **by** (auto simp: $\langle \text{small } D \rangle$ ordermap-in-ordertype)
have Ord- σL : Ord ($\sigma (L!k) (\pi k d)$) **if** $d \in \varphi \text{ ' elts } (E k)$ $k < \text{length } \alpha s$ **for**
 $k d$
by (metis (mono-tags) * Ord- σ bij- π bij-betw-apply lengthL nth-mem that tp-L-eq vsubsetD)
have $? \gamma' < ? \gamma$
by (simp add: $\langle (d', d) \in \text{VWF} \rangle \langle \text{small } D \rangle$ ordermap-mono-less xy)
then **have** $K ? \gamma' \leq K ? \gamma$
using $elts' \text{ elts in-elts-E-less}$ **by** (meson leI len' less-asym less-sets-def)
then **consider** (less) $K ? \gamma' < K ? \gamma \mid$ (equal) $K ? \gamma' = K ? \gamma$
by linarith

then have $\sigma (L ! K ?\gamma') (\pi (K ?\gamma') d') < \sigma (L ! K ?\gamma) (\pi (K ?\gamma) d)$
proof cases
case less
obtain $\dagger: \sigma (L ! K ?\gamma') (\pi (K ?\gamma') d') \in M \cap L ! K ?\gamma' \sigma (L ! K ?\gamma) (\pi (K ?\gamma) d) \in M \cap L ! K ?\gamma$
using $\text{elts' elts len' len} * [\text{THEN vsubsetD}]$
by $(\text{metis lengthL } \varphi\text{-cancel-left bij-}\pi \text{ bij-}\sigma \text{ bij-betw-imp-surj-on imageI nth-mem tp-L-eq } xy)$
then have $\text{ordermap } D \text{ VWF } (\sigma (L ! K ?\gamma') (\pi (K ?\gamma') d')) \in \text{elts } (E (K ?\gamma'))$
 $\text{ordermap } D \text{ VWF } (\sigma (L ! K ?\gamma) (\pi (K ?\gamma) d)) \in \text{elts } (E (K ?\gamma))$
using $\text{len' len elts-E tpD-eq}$
by $(\text{fastforce simp: L-def } \varphi\text{-cancel-right})+$
then have $\text{ordermap } D \text{ VWF } (\sigma (L ! K ?\gamma') (\pi (K ?\gamma') d')) < \text{ordermap } D \text{ VWF } (\sigma (L ! K ?\gamma) (\pi (K ?\gamma) d))$
using $\text{in-elts-E-less len less by (meson less-sets-def)}$
moreover have $\sigma (L ! K ?\gamma') (\pi (K ?\gamma') d') \in D \sigma (L ! K ?\gamma) (\pi (K ?\gamma) d) \in D$
using $\langle M \subseteq D \rangle \dagger$ **by** auto
ultimately show $?thesis$
by $(\text{metis } \langle \text{small } D \rangle \varphi\text{-cancel-left } \varphi\text{-less-iff ordermap-in-ordertype})$
next
case equal
have $\sigma\text{-less: } \bigwedge X \gamma \delta. [\gamma < \delta; \gamma \in \text{elts } (tp (M \cap X)); \delta \in \text{elts } (tp (M \cap X))]$
 $\implies \sigma X \gamma < \sigma X \delta$
by $(\text{metis } \langle D \subseteq ON \rangle \langle M \subseteq D \rangle \sigma\text{-def dual-order.trans inv-ordermap-VWF-strict-mono-iff le-infI1 smM})$
have $\pi (K ?\gamma) d' < \pi (K ?\gamma) d$
by $(\text{metis equal } \langle (d', d) \in \text{VWF} \rangle \varphi\text{-cancel-left } \pi\text{-iff elts elts' imageI len } xy)$
then show $?thesis$
unfolding equal
by $(\text{metis } * [\text{THEN vsubsetD}] \text{ lengthL } \varphi\text{-cancel-left } \sigma\text{-less bij-}\pi \text{ bij-betw-imp-surj-on elts elts' image-eqI len local.equal nth-mem tp-L-eq } xy)$
qed
moreover have $\text{Ord } (\sigma (L ! K ?\gamma') (\pi (K ?\gamma') d')) \text{ Ord } (\sigma (L ! K ?\gamma) (\pi (K ?\gamma) d))$
using $\text{Ord-}\sigma L \varphi\text{-cancel-left elts len elts' len' } xy$ **by** fastforce+
ultimately show $(\psi d', \psi d) \in \text{VWF}$
by $(\text{simp add: } \psi\text{-def})$
next
show $\psi ' D \subseteq M$
proof $(\text{clarsimp simp: } \psi\text{-def})$
fix d
assume $d \in D$
let $?\gamma = \text{ordermap } D \text{ VWF } d$
have $\text{len: } K ?\gamma < \text{length } \alpha s$ **and** $\text{elts: } ?\gamma \in \text{elts } (E (K ?\gamma))$
using $K \langle d \in D \rangle$ **by** $(\text{auto simp: } \langle \text{small } D \rangle \text{ ordermap-in-ordertype})$

have $\pi (K \text{ ?}\gamma) d \in \text{elts } (tp (L! (K \text{ ?}\gamma)))$
using $\text{bij-}\pi [OF \text{ len}] \langle d \in D \rangle$
by $(\text{metis } \varphi\text{-cancel-left } \text{bij-betw-apply } \text{elts } \text{imageI } \text{len } \text{tp-L-eq})$
then show $\sigma (L! K (\text{ordermap } D \text{ VWF } d)) (\pi (K (\text{ordermap } D \text{ VWF } d))) d \in M$
by $(\text{metis } * \text{lengthL } \text{Int-iff } \text{bij-}\sigma \text{ bij-betw-apply } \text{len } \text{nth-mem } \text{vsubsetD})$
qed
qed *auto*
qed
qed $(\text{simp } \text{add: } UL\text{-eq-D})$
qed

The “remark” of Erds and E. C. Milner, *Canad. Math. Bull.* Vol. 17 (2), 1974

proposition *indecomposable-imp-Ex-less-sets:*

assumes *indec: indecomposable* α **and** $\alpha \geq \omega$

and $A: tp A = \alpha \text{ small } A A \subseteq ON$

and $x \in A$ **and** $A1: tp A1 = \alpha A1 \subseteq A$

obtains $A2$ **where** $tp A2 = \alpha A2 \subseteq A1 \{x\} \ll A2$

proof –

have $Ord \alpha$

using *indec indecomposable-imp-Ord* **by** *blast*

have $Limit \alpha$

by $(\text{meson } \omega\text{-gt1 } \text{assms } \text{indec } \text{indecomposable-imp-Limit } \text{less-le-trans})$

define φ **where** $\varphi \equiv \text{inv-into } A (\text{ordermap } A \text{ VWF})$

then have $\text{bij-}\varphi: \text{bij-betw } \varphi (\text{elts } \alpha) A$

using $A \text{ bij-betw-inv-into } \text{down } \text{ordermap-bij}$ **by** *blast*

have $\text{bij-om: } \text{bij-betw } (\text{ordermap } A \text{ VWF}) A (\text{elts } \alpha)$

using $A \text{ down } \text{ordermap-bij}$ **by** *blast*

define γ **where** $\gamma \equiv \text{ordermap } A \text{ VWF } x$

have $\gamma: \gamma \in \text{elts } \alpha$

unfolding $\gamma\text{-def}$ **using** $A \langle x \in A \rangle \text{ down}$ **by** *auto*

then have $Ord \gamma$

using $Ord\text{-in-Ord } \langle Ord \alpha \rangle$ **by** *blast*

define B **where** $B \equiv \varphi ' (\text{elts } (\text{succ } \gamma))$

have $B: \{y \in A. \text{ordermap } A \text{ VWF } y \leq \text{ordermap } A \text{ VWF } x\} \subseteq B$

apply $(\text{clarsimp } \text{simp } \text{add: } B\text{-def } \gamma\text{-def } \text{image-iff } \varphi\text{-def})$

by $(\text{metis } Ord\text{-linear } Ord\text{-ordermap } Ord\text{mem.D } \text{bij-betw-inv-into-left } \text{bij-om } \text{leD})$

show *thesis*

proof

have *small* $A1$

by $(\text{meson } \langle \text{small } A \rangle A1 \text{ smaller-than-small})$

then have $tp (A1 - B) \leq tp A1$

by $(\text{simp } \text{add: } \text{ordertype-VWF-mono})$

moreover have $tp (A1 - B) \geq \alpha$

proof –

have $\neg (\alpha \leq tp B)$

unfolding $B\text{-def}$

proof $(\text{subst } \text{ordertype-VWF-inc-eq})$

```

show  $elts (succ \ \gamma) \subseteq ON$ 
  by (auto simp:  $\gamma$ -def ordertype-VWF-inc-eq intro: Ord-in-Ord)
have  $sub: elts (succ \ \gamma) \subseteq elts \ \alpha$ 
  using Ord-trans  $\gamma$   $\langle Ord \ \alpha \rangle$  by auto
then show  $\varphi \ ' elts (succ \ \gamma) \subseteq ON$ 
  using  $\langle A \subseteq ON \rangle$  bij- $\varphi$  bij-betw-imp-surj-on by blast
have  $succ \ \gamma \in elts \ \alpha$ 
  using  $\gamma$  Limit-def  $\langle Limit \ \alpha \rangle$  by blast
with A sub show  $\varphi \ u < \varphi \ v$ 
  if  $u \in elts (succ \ \gamma)$  and  $v \in elts (succ \ \gamma)$  and  $u < v$  for  $u \ v$ 
  by (metis  $\varphi$ -def inv-ordermap-VWF-strict-mono-iff subsetD that)
show  $\neg \ \alpha \leq tp (elts (succ \ \gamma))$ 
  by (metis Limit-def Ord-succ  $\gamma$   $\langle Limit \ \alpha \rangle$   $\langle Ord \ \gamma \rangle$  mem-not-refl order-  
type-eq-Ord vsubsetD)
qed auto
then show ?thesis
  using indecomposable-ordertype-ge [OF indec, of A1 B]  $\langle small \ A1 \rangle$  A1 by
(auto simp: B-def)
qed
ultimately show  $tp (A1 - B) = \alpha$ 
  using A1 by blast
have  $\{x\} \ll (A - B)$ 
  using assms B
  apply (clarsimp simp: less-sets-def  $\varphi$ -def subset-iff)
  by (metis Ord-not-le VWF-iff-Ord-less less-V-def order-refl ordermap-mono-less  
trans-VWF wf-VWF)
  with  $\langle A1 \subseteq A \rangle$  show  $\{x\} \ll (A1 - B)$  by auto
qed auto
qed

```

the main theorem, from which they derive the headline result

theorem *Erdos-Milner-aux:*

```

assumes partn-lst-VWF  $\alpha [k, \gamma] \ 2$ 
  and indec: indecomposable  $\alpha$  and  $k > 1$  Ord  $\gamma$  and  $\beta: \beta \in elts \ \omega 1$ 
shows partn-lst-VWF  $(\alpha * \beta) [ord-of-nat (2 * k), min \ \gamma (\omega * \beta)] \ 2$ 
proof (cases  $\alpha \leq 1 \vee \beta = 0$ )
  case True
  have Ord  $\alpha$ 
  using indec indecomposable-def by blast
show ?thesis
proof (cases  $\beta = 0$ )
  case True then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: partn-lst-triv0 [where  $i = 1$ ])
  next
  case False
  then consider  $(0) \ \alpha = 0 \mid (1) \ \alpha = 1$ 
  by (metis Ord-0 OrdmemD True  $\langle Ord \ \alpha \rangle$  mem-0-Ord one-V-def order.antisym  
succ-le-iff)
  then show ?thesis

```

```

proof cases
  case 0
  with part show ?thesis
    by (force simp add: partn-lst-def nsets-empty-iff less-Suc-eq)
  next
  case 1
  then obtain Ord  $\beta$ 
    by (meson ON-imp-Ord Ord- $\omega$ 1 True  $\beta$  elts-subset-ON)
  then obtain i where  $i < \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0)$  [ord-of-nat k,  $\gamma$ ] !  $i \leq \alpha$ 
    using partn-lst-VWF-nontriv [OF part] 1 by auto
  then have  $\gamma \leq 1$ 
    using  $\langle \alpha=1 \rangle \langle k > 1 \rangle$  by (fastforce simp: less-Suc-eq)
  then have  $\min \gamma (\omega * \beta) \leq 1$ 
    by (metis Ord-1 Ord- $\omega$  Ord-linear-le Ord-mult  $\langle \text{Ord } \beta \rangle$  min-def order-trans)
  then show ?thesis
    using False by (auto simp: True  $\langle \text{Ord } \beta \rangle \langle \beta \neq 0 \rangle \langle \alpha=1 \rangle$  intro!: partn-lst-triv1)
[where  $i=1$ ])
  qed
  qed
next
  case False
  then have  $\alpha \geq \omega$ 
    by (meson Ord-1 Ord-not-less indec indecomposable-def indecomposable-imp-Limit
omega-le-Limit)
  have  $0 \in \text{elts } \beta$   $\beta \neq 0$ 
    using False Ord- $\omega$ 1 Ord-in-Ord  $\beta$  mem-0-Ord by blast+
  show ?thesis
    unfolding partn-lst-def
  proof clarsimp
    fix f
    assume  $f \in [\text{elts } (\alpha * \beta)]^2 \rightarrow \{.. < \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0)\}$ 
    then have  $f: f \in [\text{elts } (\alpha * \beta)]^2 \rightarrow \{.. < 2::\text{nat}\}$ 
      by (simp add: eval-nat-numeral)
    obtain ord [iff]: Ord  $\alpha$  Ord  $\beta$  Ord  $(\alpha * \beta)$ 
      using Ord- $\omega$ 1 Ord-in-Ord  $\beta$  indec indecomposable-imp-Ord Ord-mult by blast
    have  $*$ : False
      if i [rule-format]:  $\forall H. \text{tp } H = \text{ord-of-nat } (2 * k) \longrightarrow H \subseteq \text{elts } (\alpha * \beta) \longrightarrow \neg f$ 
        ‘ $[H]^2 \subseteq \{0\}$ ’
        and ii [rule-format]:  $\forall H. \text{tp } H = \gamma \longrightarrow H \subseteq \text{elts } (\alpha * \beta) \longrightarrow \neg f$  ‘ $[H]^2 \subseteq$ 
           $\{1\}$ ’
        and iii [rule-format]:  $\forall H. \text{tp } H = (\omega * \beta) \longrightarrow H \subseteq \text{elts } (\alpha * \beta) \longrightarrow \neg f$  ‘ $[H]^2$ 
           $\subseteq \{1\}$ ’
    proof –
      have  $Ak0$ :  $\exists X \in [A]^k. f$  ‘ $[X]^2 \subseteq \{0\}$ ’ — remark (8) about  $A \subseteq S$ 
      if  $A-\alpha\beta$ :  $A \subseteq \text{elts } (\alpha * \beta)$  and ot:  $\text{tp } A \geq \alpha$  for  $A$ 
      proof –
        let  $?g = \text{inv-into } A$  (ordermap A VWF)
        have small A
          using down that by auto

```

then have *inj-g: inj-on ?g (elts α)*
by (*meson inj-on-inv-into less-eq-V-def ordermap-surj ot subset-trans*)
have *om-A-less: $\bigwedge x y. \llbracket x \in A; y \in A; x < y \rrbracket \implies \text{ordermap } A \text{ VWF } x <$*
ordermap } A \text{ VWF } y
using *ord*
by (*meson A- $\alpha\beta$ Ord-in-Ord VWF-iff-Ord-less \langle small A \rangle in-mono ordermap-mono-less trans-VWF wf-VWF*)
have *α -sub: elts $\alpha \subseteq \text{ordermap } A \text{ VWF } \text{' } A$*
by (*metis \langle small A \rangle elts-of-set less-eq-V-def ordertype-def ot replacement*)
have *g: ?g \in elts $\alpha \rightarrow$ elts $(\alpha*\beta)$*
by (*meson A- $\alpha\beta$ Pi-I' α -sub inv-into-into subset-eq*)
then have *fg: $f \circ (\lambda X. ?g \text{' } X) \in [\text{elts } \alpha]^2 \rightarrow \{..<2\}$*
by (*rule nsets-compose-image-funcset [OF f - inj-g]*)
obtain *i H where $i < 2$ $H \subseteq$ elts α*
and *ot-eq: $tp \ H = [k,\gamma]!i (f \circ (\lambda X. ?g \text{' } X)) \text{' } (nsets \ H \ 2) \subseteq \{i\}$*
using *ii partn-lst-E [OF part fg] by (auto simp: eval-nat-numeral)*
then consider *(0) $i=0$ | (1) $i=1$*
by *linarith*
then show *?thesis*
proof cases
case 0
then have *f $\text{' } [inv-into \ A \ (\text{ordermap } A \ \text{VWF}) \text{' } H]^2 \subseteq \{0\}$*
using *ot-eq $\langle H \subseteq$ elts $\alpha \rangle$ α -sub by (auto simp: nsets-def [of - k]*
inj-on-inv-into elim!: nset-image-obtains)
moreover have *finite $H \wedge$ card $H = k$*
using *0 ot-eq $\langle H \subseteq$ elts $\alpha \rangle$ down by (simp add: finite-ordertype-eq-card)*
then have *inv-into $A \ (\text{ordermap } A \ \text{VWF}) \text{' } H \in [A]^k$*
using *$\langle H \subseteq$ elts $\alpha \rangle$ α -sub by (auto simp: nsets-def [of - k] card-image*
inj-on-inv-into inv-into-into)
ultimately show *?thesis*
by *blast*
next
case 1
have *gH: ?g $\text{' } H \subseteq$ elts $(\alpha*\beta)$*
by (*metis A- $\alpha\beta$ α -sub $\langle H \subseteq$ elts $\alpha \rangle$ image-subsetI inv-into-into subset-eq*)
have *g-less: ?g $x < ?g \ y$ if $x < y$ $x \in$ elts α $y \in$ elts α for $x \ y$*
using *Pi-mem [OF g] ord that*
by (*meson A- $\alpha\beta$ Ord-in-Ord Ord-not-le \langle small A \rangle dual-order.trans elts-subset-ON inv-ordermap-VWF-mono-le ot vsubsetD*)
have *[simp]: $tp \ (?g \text{' } H) = tp \ H$*
by (*meson $\langle H \subseteq$ elts $\alpha \rangle$ ord down dual-order.trans elts-subset-ON gH*
g-less ordertype-VWF-inc-eq subsetD)
show *?thesis*
using *ii [of ?g $\text{' } H$] subset-inj-on [OF inj-g $\langle H \subseteq$ elts $\alpha \rangle$] ot-eq 1*
by (*auto simp: gH elim!: nset-image-obtains*)
qed
qed
define *K where $K \equiv \lambda i x. \{y \in \text{elts } (\alpha*\beta). x \neq y \wedge f\{x,y\} = i\}$*
have *small-K: small $(K \ i \ x)$ for $i \ x$*

by (simp add: K-def)
 define KI where KI $\equiv \lambda i X. (\bigcap x \in X. K i x)$
 have KI-disj-self: $X \cap KI i X = \{\}$ for $i X$
 by (auto simp: KI-def K-def)
 define M where M $\equiv \lambda D \mathfrak{A} x. \{\nu :: V. \nu \in D \wedge tp (K 1 x \cap \mathfrak{A} \nu) \geq \alpha\}$
 have M-sub-D: $M D \mathfrak{A} x \subseteq D$ for $D \mathfrak{A} x$
 by (auto simp: M-def)
 have small-M [simp]: small (M D $\mathfrak{A} x$) if small D for $D \mathfrak{A} x$
 by (simp add: M-def that)
 have 9: $tp \{x \in A. tp (M D \mathfrak{A} x) \geq tp D\} \geq \alpha$ (is ordertype ?AD - $\geq \alpha$)
 if inD: indecomposable (tp D) and D: $D \subseteq elts \beta$ and A: $A \subseteq elts (\alpha * \beta)$
 and tpA: $tp A = \alpha$
 and $\mathfrak{A}: \mathfrak{A} \in D \rightarrow \{X. X \subseteq elts (\alpha * \beta) \wedge tp X = \alpha\}$ for $D A \mathfrak{A}$
 — remark (9), assuming an indecomposable order type
 proof (rule ccontr)
 define A' where A' $\equiv \{x \in A. \neg tp (M D \mathfrak{A} x) \geq tp D\}$
 have small [iff]: small A small D
 using A D down by (auto simp: M-def)
 have small- \mathfrak{A} : small ($\mathfrak{A} \delta$) if $\delta \in D$ for δ
 using that \mathfrak{A} by (auto simp: Pi-iff subset-iff-less-eq-V)
 assume not- α -le: $\neg \alpha \leq tp \{x \in A. tp (M D \mathfrak{A} x) \geq tp D\}$
 moreover
 obtain small A small A' A' $\subseteq A$ and A'-sub: A' $\subseteq elts (\alpha * \beta)$
 using A'-def A down by auto
 moreover have A' = A - ?AD
 by (force simp: A'-def)
 ultimately have A'-ge: $tp A' \geq \alpha$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) dual-order.refl indec indecomposable-ordertype-eq mem-Collect-eq subsetI tpA)
 obtain X where X $\subseteq A'$ finite X card X = k and fX0: $f '[X]^2 \subseteq \{0\}$
 using Ak0 [OF A'-sub A'-ge] by (auto simp: nsets-def [of - k])
 then have ‡: $\neg tp (M D \mathfrak{A} x) \geq tp D$ if $x \in X$ for x
 using that by (auto simp: A'-def)
 obtain x where $x \in X$
 using $\langle card X = k \rangle \langle k > 1 \rangle$ by fastforce
 have $\neg D \subseteq (\bigcup x \in X. M D \mathfrak{A} x)$
 proof
 assume not: $D \subseteq (\bigcup x \in X. M D \mathfrak{A} x)$
 have $\exists X \in M D \mathfrak{A} ' X. tp D \leq tp X$
 proof (rule indecomposable-ordertype-finite-ge [OF inD])
 show $M D \mathfrak{A} ' X \neq \{\}$
 using A'-def A'-ge not not- α -le by auto
 show small ($\bigcup (M D \mathfrak{A} ' X)$)
 using $\langle finite X \rangle$ by (simp add: finite-imp-small)
 qed (use $\langle finite X \rangle$ not in auto)
 then show False
 by (simp add: ‡)
 qed
 then obtain ν where $\nu \in D$ and $\nu: \nu \notin (\bigcup x \in X. M D \mathfrak{A} x)$

by *blast*
define \mathcal{A} **where** $\mathcal{A} \equiv \{KI\ 0\ X \cap \mathfrak{A}\ \nu, \bigcup_{x \in X}. K\ 1\ x \cap \mathfrak{A}\ \nu, X \cap \mathfrak{A}\ \nu\}$
have $\alpha\beta: X \subseteq \text{elts}(\alpha*\beta)\ \mathfrak{A}\ \nu \subseteq \text{elts}(\alpha*\beta)$
 using $A'\text{-sub}\ \langle X \subseteq A' \rangle\ \mathfrak{A}\ \langle \nu \in D \rangle$ **by** *auto*
then have $KI\ 0\ X \cup (\bigcup_{x \in X}. K\ 1\ x) \cup X = \text{elts}(\alpha*\beta)$
 using $\langle x \in X \rangle\ f$ **by** (*force simp: K-def KI-def Pi-iff less-2-cases-iff*)
with $\alpha\beta$ **have** $\mathfrak{A}\nu\text{-A: finite}\ \mathcal{A}\ \mathfrak{A}\ \nu \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{A}$
 by (*auto simp: A-def*)
then have $\neg\ tp\ (K\ 1\ x \cap \mathfrak{A}\ \nu) \geq \alpha$ **if** $x \in X$ **for** x
 using *that* $\langle \nu \in D \rangle\ \nu\ \langle k > 1 \rangle\ \langle \text{card}\ X = k \rangle$ **by** (*fastforce simp: M-def*)
moreover have $sm\text{-}K1: \text{small}\ (\bigcup_{x \in X}. K\ 1\ x \cap \mathfrak{A}\ \nu)$
 by (*meson Finite-V Int-lower2* $\langle \nu \in D \rangle\ \langle \text{finite}\ X \rangle\ \text{small-}\mathfrak{A}\ \text{small-UN}$
smaller-than-small)
ultimately have $not1: \neg\ tp\ (\bigcup_{x \in X}. K\ 1\ x \cap \mathfrak{A}\ \nu) \geq \alpha$
 using $\langle \text{finite}\ X \rangle\ \langle x \in X \rangle$ *indecomposable-order-type-finite-ge* [*OF indec, of*
($\lambda x. K\ 1\ x \cap \mathfrak{A}\ \nu$) ' X] **by** *blast*
moreover have $\neg\ tp\ (X \cap \mathfrak{A}\ \nu) \geq \alpha$
 using $\langle \text{finite}\ X \rangle\ \langle \alpha \geq \omega \rangle$
 by (*meson finite-Int mem-not-refl order-type-VWF- ω vsubsetD*)
moreover have $\alpha \leq tp\ (\mathfrak{A}\ \nu)$
 using $\mathfrak{A}\ \langle \nu \in D \rangle$ *small-}\mathfrak{A}* **by** *fastforce+*
moreover have $\text{small}\ (\bigcup \mathcal{A})$
 using $\langle \nu \in D \rangle$ *small-}\mathfrak{A}* **by** (*fastforce simp: A-def intro: smaller-than-small*
sm-K1)
ultimately have $K0\mathfrak{A}\text{-ge: } tp\ (KI\ 0\ X \cap \mathfrak{A}\ \nu) \geq \alpha$
 using *indecomposable-order-type-finite-ge* [*OF indec* $\mathfrak{A}\nu\text{-A}$] **by** (*auto simp:*
A-def)
have $\mathfrak{A}\nu: \mathfrak{A}\ \nu \subseteq \text{elts}(\alpha*\beta)\ tp\ (\mathfrak{A}\ \nu) = \alpha$
 using $\langle \nu \in D \rangle\ \mathfrak{A}$ **by** *blast+*
then obtain Y **where** $Y\text{sub: } Y \subseteq KI\ 0\ X \cap \mathfrak{A}\ \nu$ **and** *finite* Y $\text{card}\ Y = k$
and $fY0: f\ ' [Y]^2 \subseteq \{0\}$
 using $Ak0$ [*OF - K0}\mathfrak{A}\text{-ge}*] **by** (*auto simp: nsets-def [of - k]*)
have $\dagger: X \cap Y = \{ \}$
 using $Y\text{sub}$ *KI-disj-self* **by** *blast*
then have $\text{card}\ (X \cup Y) = 2*k$
 by (*simp add: card X = k card Y = k finite X finite Y*
card-Un-disjoint)
moreover have $X \cup Y \subseteq \text{elts}(\alpha*\beta)$
 using $A'\text{-sub}\ \langle X \subseteq A' \rangle\ \mathfrak{A}\nu(1)\ \langle Y \subseteq KI\ 0\ X \cap \mathfrak{A}\ \nu \rangle$ **by** *auto*
moreover have $f\ ' [X \cup Y]^2 \subseteq \{0\}$
 using $fX0\ fY0\ Y\text{sub}$ **by** (*auto simp: † nsets-disjoint-2 image-Un image-UN*
KI-def K-def)
ultimately show *False*
 using $i\ \langle \text{finite}\ X \rangle\ \langle \text{finite}\ Y \rangle$ *order-type-VWF-finite-nat* **by** *auto*
qed
have $IX: tp\ \{x \in A. tp\ (M\ D\ \mathfrak{A}\ x) \geq tp\ D\} \geq \alpha$
if $D: D \subseteq \text{elts}\ \beta$ **and** $A: A \subseteq \text{elts}(\alpha*\beta)$ **and** $tp\ A = \alpha$
 and $\mathfrak{A}: \mathfrak{A} \in D \rightarrow \{X. X \subseteq \text{elts}(\alpha*\beta) \wedge tp\ X = \alpha\}$ **for** $D\ A\ \mathfrak{A}$
 — *remark (9) for any order type*

proof –
obtain L **where** $UL: \bigcup (List.set L) \subseteq D$
and $indL: \bigwedge X. X \in List.set L \implies indecomposable (tp X)$
and $eqL: \bigwedge M. [M \subseteq D; \bigwedge X. X \in List.set L \implies tp (M \cap X) \geq tp X]$
 $\implies tp M = tp D$
using ord **by** (*metis strong-ordertype-eq D order-refl*)
obtain A'' **where** $A'': A'' \subseteq A \text{ tp } A'' \geq \alpha$
and $\bigwedge x X. [x \in A''; X \in List.set L] \implies tp (M D \mathfrak{A} x \cap X) \geq tp X$
using $UL indL$
proof (*induction L arbitrary: thesis*)
case ($Cons X L$)
then obtain A'' **where** $A'': A'' \subseteq A \text{ tp } A'' \geq \alpha$ **and** $X \subseteq D$
and $ge-X: \bigwedge x X. [x \in A''; X \in List.set L] \implies tp (M D \mathfrak{A} x \cap X) \geq tp X$
 X **by** *auto*
then have $tp-A'': tp A'' = \alpha$
by (*metis A antisym down ordertype-VWF-mono tpA*)
have $ge-\alpha: tp \{x \in A''. tp (M X \mathfrak{A} x) \geq tp X\} \geq \alpha$
by (*rule 9*) (*use A A'' tp-A'' Cons.premis $\langle D \subseteq elts \beta \rangle \langle X \subseteq D \rangle \mathfrak{A}$ in*
auto)
let $?A = \{x \in A''. tp (M D \mathfrak{A} x \cap X) \geq tp X\}$
have $M-eq: M D \mathfrak{A} x \cap X = M X \mathfrak{A} x$ **if** $x \in A''$ **for** x
using *that* $\langle X \subseteq D \rangle$ **by** (*auto simp: M-def*)
show *thesis*
proof (*rule Cons.premis*)
show $\alpha \leq tp ?A$
using $ge-\alpha$ **by** (*simp add: M-eq cong: conj-cong*)
show $tp Y \leq tp (M D \mathfrak{A} x \cap Y)$ **if** $x \in ?A \ Y \in list.set (X \# L)$ **for** $x Y$
using *that* $ge-X$ **by** *force*
qed (*use A'' in auto*)
qed (*use tpA in auto*)
then have $tp-M-ge: tp (M D \mathfrak{A} x) \geq tp D$ **if** $x \in A''$ **for** x
using eqL *that* **by** (*auto simp: M-def*)
have $\alpha \leq tp A''$
by (*simp add: A''*)
also have $\dots \leq tp \{x \in A''. tp (M D \mathfrak{A} x) \geq tp D\}$
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) tp-M-ge eq-iff mem-Collect-eq subsetI*)
also have $\dots \leq tp \{x \in A. tp D \leq tp (M D \mathfrak{A} x)\}$
by (*rule ordertype-mono*) (*use A'' A down in auto*)
finally show $?thesis$.
qed
have $IX': tp \{x \in A'. tp (K 1 x \cap A) \geq \alpha\} \geq \alpha$
if $A: A \subseteq elts (\alpha * \beta) \text{ tp } A = \alpha$ **and** $A': A' \subseteq elts (\alpha * \beta) \text{ tp } A' = \alpha$ **for** $A A'$
proof –
have $\ddagger: \alpha \leq tp (K 1 t \cap A)$ **if** $1 \leq tp \{\nu. \nu = 0 \wedge \alpha \leq tp (K 1 t \cap A)\}$ **for**
 t
using *that*
by (*metis Collect-empty-eq less-eq-V-0-iff ordertype-empty zero-neq-one*)
have $tp \{x \in A'. 1 \leq tp \{\nu. \nu = 0 \wedge \alpha \leq tp (K 1 x \cap A)\}\}$
 $\leq tp \{x \in A'. \alpha \leq tp (K 1 x \cap A)\}$

by (rule ordertype-mono) (use † A' in ‹auto simp: down›)
 then show ?thesis
 using IX [of {0} A' λx. A] that ‹0 ∈ elts β› by (force simp: M-def)
 qed

have 10: $\exists x0 \in A. \exists g \in \text{elts } \beta \rightarrow \text{elts } \beta. \text{strict-mono-on } g (\text{elts } \beta) \wedge (\forall \nu \in F. g \nu = \nu)$
 $\wedge (\forall \nu \in \text{elts } \beta. \text{tp } (K \ 1 \ x0 \cap \mathfrak{A} (g \ \nu)) \geq \alpha)$
 if F: finite F F ⊆ elts β
 and A: A ⊆ elts (α*β) tp A = α
 and $\mathfrak{A}: \mathfrak{A} \in \text{elts } \beta \rightarrow \{X. X \subseteq \text{elts } (\alpha*\beta) \wedge \text{tp } X = \alpha\}$
 for F A \mathfrak{A}
 proof –
 define p where p ≡ card F
 have β ∉ F
 using that by auto
 then obtain ι :: nat ⇒ V where bijι: bij-betw ι {..p} (insert β F) and
 monι: strict-mono-on ι {..p}
 using ZFC-Cardinals.ex-bij-betw-strict-mono-card [of insert β F] elts-subset-ON
 ‹Ord β› F
 by (simp add: p-def lessThan-Suc-atMost) blast
 have less-ι-I: ι k < ι l if k < l l ≤ p for k l
 using monι that by (auto simp: strict-mono-on-def)
 then have less-ι-D: k < l if ι k < ι l k ≤ p for k l
 by (metis less-asym linorder-neqE-nat that)
 have Ord-ι: Ord (ι k) if k ≤ p for k
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) ON-imp-Ord atMost-iff insert-subset mem-Collect-eq
 order-trans ‹F ⊆ elts β› bijι bij-betwE elts-subset-ON ‹Ord β› that)
 have le-ι0 [simp]: $\bigwedge j. j \leq p \implies \iota \ 0 \leq \iota \ j$
 by (metis eq-refl leI le-0-eq less-ι-I less-imp-le)
 have le-ι: ι i ≤ ι (j - Suc 0) if i < j j ≤ p for i j
 proof (cases i)
 case 0 then show ?thesis
 using le-ι0 that by auto
 next
 case (Suc i') then show ?thesis
 by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Suc-pred le-less less-Suc-eq less-Suc-eq-0-disj
 less-ι-I not-less-eq that)
 qed

have [simp]: ι p = β
 proof –
 obtain k where k: ι k = β k ≤ p
 by (meson atMost-iff bijι bij-betw-iff-bijections insertI1)
 then have k = p ∨ k < p
 by linarith
 then show ?thesis
 using bijι ord k that(2)
 by (metis OrdmemD atMost-iff bij-betw-iff-bijections insert-iff leD less-ι-D)

order-refl subsetD)

qed

have *F-imp-Ex*: $\exists k < p. \xi = \iota k$ **if** $\xi \in F$ **for** ξ

proof –

obtain k **where** $k: k \leq p \ \xi = \iota k$

by (*metis* $\langle \xi \in F \rangle$ *atMost-iff bij* *bij-betw-def imageE insert-iff*)

then show *?thesis*

using $\langle \beta \notin F \rangle \ \langle \iota p = \beta \rangle$ *le-imp-less-or-eq* **that** **by** *blast*

qed

have *F-imp-ge*: $\xi \geq \iota 0$ **if** $\xi \in F$ **for** ξ

using *F-imp-Ex* [*OF that*] **by** (*metis dual-order.order-iff-strict le0 less- ι -I*)

define D **where** $D \equiv \lambda k. (if\ k=0\ then\ \{..<\iota\ 0\}\ else\ \{\iota\ (k-1)<..<\iota\ k\}) \cap$

elts β

have $D\beta$: $D\ k \subseteq elts\ \beta$ **for** k

by (*auto simp: D-def*)

then have *small-D* [*simp*]: *small* ($D\ k$) **for** k

by (*meson down*)

have *M-Int-D*: $M\ (elts\ \beta)\ \mathfrak{A}\ x \cap D\ k = M\ (D\ k)\ \mathfrak{A}\ x$ **if** $k \leq p$ **for** $x\ k$

using $D\beta$ **by** (*auto simp: M-def*)

have *ι -le-if-D*: $\iota\ k \leq \mu$ **if** $\mu \in D\ (Suc\ k)$ **for** $\mu\ k$

using *that* **by** (*simp add: D-def order.order-iff-strict*)

have *mono-D*: $D\ i \ll D\ j$ **if** $i < j\ j \leq p$ **for** $i\ j$

proof (*cases j*)

case (*Suc j'*)

with that show *?thesis*

apply (*simp add: less-sets-def D-def Ball-def*)

by (*metis One-nat-def diff-Suc-1 le- ι less-le-trans less-trans*)

qed (*use that in auto*)

then have *disjnt-DD*: *disjnt* ($D\ i$) ($D\ j$) **if** $i \neq j\ i \leq p\ j \leq p$ **for** $i\ j$

by (*meson disjnt-sym less-linear less-sets-imp-disjnt that*)

have *UN-D-eq*: $(\bigcup l \leq k. D\ l) = \{..<\iota\ k\} \cap (elts\ \beta - F)$ **if** $k \leq p$ **for** k

using *that*

proof (*induction k*)

case 0

then show *?case*

by (*auto simp: D-def F-imp-ge leD*)

next

case (*Suc k*)

have $D\ (Suc\ k) \cup \{..<\iota\ k\} \cap (elts\ \beta - F) = \{..<\iota\ (Suc\ k)\} \cap (elts\ \beta - F)$

(**is** *?lhs = ?rhs*)

proof

show *?lhs* \subseteq *?rhs*

using *Suc.prem*s

by (*auto simp: D-def if-split-mem2 intro: less- ι -I less-trans dest!: less- ι -D*)

F-imp-Ex)

have $\bigwedge x. \llbracket x < \iota\ (Suc\ k); x \in elts\ \beta; x \notin F; \iota\ k \leq x \rrbracket \implies \iota\ k < x$

using *Suc.prem*s $\langle F \subseteq elts\ \beta \rangle$ *bij* *le-imp-less-or-eq*

by (*fastforce simp: bij-betw-iff-bijections*)

```

    then show ?rhs  $\subseteq$  ?lhs
      using Suc.prem2 by (auto simp: D-def Ord-not-less Ord-in-Ord [OF
<Ord  $\beta$ >] Ord- $\iota$  if-split-mem2)
    qed
    then
      show ?case
        using Suc by (simp add: atMost-Suc)
    qed
  have  $\beta$ -decomp: elts  $\beta$  =  $F \cup (\bigcup k \leq p. D k)$ 
    using  $\langle F \subseteq \text{elts } \beta \rangle$  OrdmemD [OF  $\langle \text{Ord } \beta \rangle$ ] by (auto simp: UN-D-eq)
  define  $\beta$ idx where  $\beta$ idx  $\equiv \lambda \nu. @k. \nu \in D k \wedge k \leq p$ 
  have  $\beta$ idx:  $\nu \in D (\beta$ idx  $\nu) \wedge \beta$ idx  $\nu \leq p$  if  $\nu \in \text{elts } \beta - F$  for  $\nu$ 
    using that by (force simp:  $\beta$ idx-def  $\beta$ -decomp intro: someI-ex del: conjI)
  have any-imp- $\beta$ idx:  $k = \beta$ idx  $\nu$  if  $\nu \in D k$   $k \leq p$  for  $k \nu$ 
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume non:  $k \neq \beta$ idx  $\nu$ 
    have  $\nu \notin F$ 
      using that UN-D-eq by auto
    then show False
      using disjnt-DD [OF non] by (metis D $\beta$  Diff-iff  $\beta$ idx disjnt-iff subsetD
that)
  qed
  have  $\exists A'. A' \subseteq A \wedge \text{tp } A' = \alpha \wedge (\forall x \in A'. F \subseteq M (\text{elts } \beta) \mathfrak{A} x)$ 
    using F
  proof induction
    case (insert  $\nu$  F)
      then obtain  $A'$  where  $A' \subseteq A$  and  $A': A' \subseteq \text{elts } (\alpha * \beta)$   $\text{tp } A' = \alpha$  and
FN:  $\bigwedge x. x \in A' \implies F \subseteq M (\text{elts } \beta) \mathfrak{A} x$ 
        using A(1) by auto
      define  $A''$  where  $A'' \equiv \{x \in A'. \alpha \leq \text{tp } (K 1 x \cap \mathfrak{A} \nu)\}$ 
      have  $\nu \in \text{elts } \beta$   $F \subseteq \text{elts } \beta$ 
        using insert by auto
      note ordertype-eq-Ord [OF  $\langle \text{Ord } \beta \rangle$ , simp]
      show ?case
        proof (intro exI conjI)
          show  $A'' \subseteq A$ 
            using  $\langle A' \subseteq A \rangle$  by (auto simp:  $A''$ -def)
          show  $\text{tp } A'' = \alpha$ 
            proof (rule antisym)
              show  $\text{tp } A'' \leq \alpha$ 
                using  $\langle A'' \subseteq A \rangle$  down ordertype-VWF-mono A by blast
              have  $\mathfrak{A} \nu \subseteq \text{elts } (\alpha * \beta)$   $\text{tp } (\mathfrak{A} \nu) = \alpha$ 
                using  $\mathfrak{A} \langle \nu \in \text{elts } \beta \rangle$  by auto
              then show  $\alpha \leq \text{tp } A''$ 
                using IX' [OF - - A'] by (simp add:  $A''$ -def)
            qed
        qed
      show  $\forall x \in A''. \text{insert } \nu F \subseteq M (\text{elts } \beta) \mathfrak{A} x$ 
        using  $A''$ -def FN M-def  $\langle \nu \in \text{elts } \beta \rangle$  by blast
    qed
  qed

```

qed (*use A in auto*)
then obtain A' **where** $A': A' \subseteq A$ **tp** $A' = \alpha$ **and** $FN: \bigwedge x. x \in A' \implies F$
 $\subseteq M$ ($elts \beta$) $\mathfrak{A} x$
by *metis*
have *False*
if $*$: $\bigwedge x0 g. \llbracket x0 \in A; g \in elts \beta \rightarrow elts \beta; strict-mono-on g (elts \beta) \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\exists \nu \in F. g \nu \neq \nu) \vee (\exists \nu \in elts \beta. tp (K 1 x0 \cap \mathfrak{A} (g \nu)) < \alpha)$
proof –
{ **fix** x — construction of the monotone map g mentioned above
assume $x \in A'$
with A' **have** $x \in A$ **by** *blast*
have $\exists k. k \leq p \wedge tp (M (D k) \mathfrak{A} x) < tp (D k)$ (**is** $?P$)
proof (*rule ccontr*)
assume $\neg ?P$
then have $le: tp (D k) \leq tp (M (D k) \mathfrak{A} x)$ **if** $k \leq p$ **for** k
by (*meson Ord-linear2 Ord-ordertype that*)
have $\exists f \in D k \rightarrow M (D k) \mathfrak{A} x. inj-on f (D k) \wedge (strict-mono-on f (D$
 $k))$
if $k \leq p$ **for** k
using le [*OF that*] *that VWF-iff-Ord-less*
apply (*clarsimp simp: ordertype-le-ordertype strict-mono-on-def*)
by (*metis (full-types) D β M-sub-D Ord-in-Ord PiE VWF-iff-Ord-less*
 $ord(2)$ *subsetD*)
then obtain h **where** $fun-h: \bigwedge k. k \leq p \implies h k \in D k \rightarrow M (D k) \mathfrak{A} x$
and $inj-h: \bigwedge k. k \leq p \implies inj-on (h k) (D k)$
and $mono-h: \bigwedge k x y. k \leq p \implies strict-mono-on (h k) (D k)$
by *metis*
then have $fun-hD: \bigwedge k. k \leq p \implies h k \in D k \rightarrow D k$
by (*auto simp: M-def*)
have $h-increasing: \nu \leq h k \nu$
if $k \leq p$ **and** $\nu: \nu \in D k$ **for** $k \nu$
proof (*rule Ord-mono-imp-increasing*)
show $h k \in D k \rightarrow D k$
by (*simp add: fun-hD that(1)*)
show $D k \subseteq ON$
using $D\beta$ *elts-subset-ON ord(2)* **by** *blast*
qed (*auto simp: that mono-h*)
define g **where** $g \equiv \lambda \nu. if \nu \in F then \nu else h (\beta idx \nu) \nu$
have [*simp*]: $g \nu = \nu$ **if** $\nu \in F$ **for** ν
using *that* **by** (*auto simp: g-def*)
have $fun-g: g \in elts \beta \rightarrow elts \beta$
proof (*rule Pi-I*)
fix x **assume** $x \in elts \beta$
then have $x \in D (\beta idx x)$ $\beta idx x \leq p$ **if** $x \notin F$
using *that* **by** (*auto simp: βidx*)
then show $g x \in elts \beta$
using $fun-h$ $D\beta$ *M-sub-D* $\langle x \in elts \beta \rangle$
by (*simp add: g-def*) *blast*
qed

have *h-in-D*: $h (\beta dx \nu) \nu \in D (\beta dx \nu)$ **if** $\nu \notin F \nu \in elts \beta$ **for** ν
using *βdx fun-hD* **that** **by** *fastforce*
have $1: \iota k < h (\beta dx \nu) \nu$
if $k < p$ **and** $\nu: \nu \notin F \nu \in elts \beta$ **and** $\iota k < \nu$ **for** $k \nu$
using *that h-in-D [OF ν] βdx*
by (*fastforce simp: D-def dest: h-increasing split: if-split-asm*)
moreover **have** $2: h (\beta dx \mu) \mu < \iota k$
if $\mu: \mu \notin F \mu \in elts \beta$ **and** $k < p \mu < \iota k$ **for** μk
proof –
have $\beta dx \mu \leq k$
proof (*rule ccontr*)
assume $\neg \beta dx \mu \leq k$
then **have** $k < \beta dx \mu$
by *linarith*
then **show** *False*
using *ι-le-if-D βdx*
by (*metis Diff-iff Suc-pred le0 leD le-ι le-less-trans μ < μ < ι k*)
qed
then **show** *?thesis*
using *that h-in-D [OF μ]*
by (*smt (verit, best) Int-lower1 UN-D-eq UN-I atMost-iff lessThan-iff less-imp-le subset-eq*)
qed
moreover **have** $h (\beta dx \mu) \mu < h (\beta dx \nu) \nu$
if $\mu: \mu \notin F \mu \in elts \beta$ **and** $\nu: \nu \notin F \nu \in elts \beta$ **and** $\mu < \nu$ **for** $\mu \nu$
proof –
have *le*: $\beta dx \mu \leq \beta dx \nu$ **if** $\iota (\beta dx \mu - Suc 0) < h (\beta dx \mu) \mu$ $h (\beta dx \nu) \nu < \iota (\beta dx \nu)$
by (*metis 2 that Diff-iff βdx μ ν < μ < ν dual-order.strict-implies-order dual-order.strict-trans1 h-increasing leI le-ι less-asm*)
have $h 0 \mu < h 0 \nu$ **if** $\beta dx \mu = 0 \beta dx \nu = 0$
using *that mono-h unfolding strict-mono-on-def*
by (*metis Diff-iff βdx μ ν < μ < ν*)
moreover **have** $h 0 \mu < h (\beta dx \nu) \nu$
if $0 < \beta dx \nu$ $h 0 \mu < \iota 0$ **and** $\iota (\beta dx \nu - Suc 0) < h (\beta dx \nu) \nu$
by (*meson DiffI βdx ν le-ι le-less-trans less-le-not-le that*)
moreover **have** $\beta dx \nu \neq 0$
if $0 < \beta dx \mu$ $h 0 \nu < \iota 0$ $\iota (\beta dx \mu - Suc 0) < h (\beta dx \mu) \mu$
using *le le-0-eq that by fastforce*
moreover **have** $h (\beta dx \mu) \mu < h (\beta dx \nu) \nu$
if $\iota (\beta dx \mu - Suc 0) < h (\beta dx \mu) \mu$ $h (\beta dx \nu) \nu < \iota (\beta dx \nu)$
 $h (\beta dx \mu) \mu < \iota (\beta dx \mu) \iota (\beta dx \nu - Suc 0) < h (\beta dx \nu) \nu$
using *mono-h unfolding strict-mono-on-def*
by (*metis le Diff-iff βdx μ ν < μ < ν le-ι le-less le-less-trans that*)
ultimately **show** *?thesis*
using *h-in-D [OF μ] h-in-D [OF ν] by (simp add: D-def split: if-split-asm)*
qed
ultimately **have** *sm-g: strict-mono-on g (elts β)*

by (*auto simp: g-def strict-mono-on-def dest!: F-imp-Ex*)
 have *False* if $\nu \in \text{elts } \beta$ and $\nu: tp (K 1 x \cap \mathfrak{A} (g \nu)) < \alpha$ for ν
 proof –
 have $F \subseteq M (\text{elts } \beta) \mathfrak{A} x$
 by (*meson FN $\langle x \in A' \rangle$*)
 then have *False* if $tp (K (Suc 0) x \cap \mathfrak{A} \nu) < \alpha$ $\nu \in F$
 using that by (*auto simp: M-def*)
 moreover have *False* if $tp (K (Suc 0) x \cap \mathfrak{A} (g \nu)) < \alpha$ $\nu \in D k k$
 $\leq p \nu \notin F$ for k
 proof –
 have $h (\beta \text{id} x \nu) \nu \in M (D (\beta \text{id} x \nu)) \mathfrak{A} x$
 using *fun-h $\beta \text{id} x \langle \nu \in \text{elts } \beta \rangle \langle \nu \notin F \rangle$* by *auto*
 then show *False*
 using that by (*simp add: M-def g-def leD*)
 qed
 ultimately show *False*
 using $\langle \nu \in \text{elts } \beta \rangle \nu$ by (*force simp: β -decomp*)
 qed
 then show *False*
 using * [*OF $\langle x \in A \rangle \text{fun-g sm-g}$*] by *auto*
 qed
 then have $\exists l. l \leq p \wedge tp (M (\text{elts } \beta) \mathfrak{A} x \cap D l) < tp (D l)$
 using *M-Int-D* by *auto*
 }
 then obtain l where $lp: \bigwedge x. x \in A' \implies l x \leq p$
 and $lless: \bigwedge x. x \in A' \implies tp (M (\text{elts } \beta) \mathfrak{A} x \cap D (l x)) < tp (D (l x))$
 by *metis*
 obtain $A'' L$ where $A'' \subseteq A'$ and $A'': A'' \subseteq \text{elts } (\alpha * \beta) tp A'' = \alpha$ and
 $lL: \bigwedge x. x \in A'' \implies l x = L$
 proof –
 have $eq: A' = (\bigcup_{i \leq p}. \{x \in A'. l x = i\})$
 using lp by *auto*
 have $\exists X \in (\lambda i. \{x \in A'. l x = i\}) ' \{..p\}. \alpha \leq tp X$
 proof (*rule indecomposable-ordertype-finite-ge [OF indec]*)
 show *small* $(\bigcup_{i \leq p}. \{x \in A'. l x = i\})$
 by (*metis A'(1) A(1) eq down smaller-than-small*)
 qed (*use A' eq in auto*)
 then show *thesis*
 proof
 fix A''
 assume $A'': A'' \in (\lambda i. \{x \in A'. l x = i\}) ' \{..p\}$ and $\alpha \leq tp A''$
 then obtain L where $L: \bigwedge x. x \in A'' \implies l x = L$
 by *auto*
 have $A'' \subseteq A'$
 using A'' by *force*
 then have $tp A'' \leq tp A'$
 by (*meson A' A down order-trans ordertype-VWF-mono*)
 with $\langle \alpha \leq tp A'' \rangle$ have $tp A'' = \alpha$
 using $A'(2)$ by *auto*

moreover have $A'' \subseteq \text{elts } (\alpha * \beta)$
using $A' A \langle A'' \subseteq A' \rangle$ **by** *auto*
ultimately show *thesis*
using L **that** $[OF \langle A'' \subseteq A' \rangle]$ **by** *blast*
qed
qed
have $\mathfrak{A}D: \mathfrak{A} \in D L \rightarrow \{X. X \subseteq \text{elts } (\alpha * \beta) \wedge tp X = \alpha\}$
using $\mathfrak{A} D\beta$ **by** *blast*
have $\alpha: \alpha \leq tp \{x \in A''. tp (D L) \leq tp (M (D L) \mathfrak{A} x)\}$
using $IX [OF D\beta A'' \mathfrak{A}D]$ **by** *simp*
have $M (\text{elts } \beta) \mathfrak{A} x \cap D L = M (D L) \mathfrak{A} x$ **for** x
using $D\beta$ **by** (*auto simp: M-def*)
then have $tp (M (D L) \mathfrak{A} x) < tp (D L)$ **if** $x \in A''$ **for** x
using *less that* $\langle A'' \subseteq A' \rangle$ ll **by** *force*
then have $[simp]: \{x \in A''. tp (D L) \leq tp (M (D L) \mathfrak{A} x)\} = \{\}$
using leD **by** *blast*
show *False*
using $\alpha \langle \alpha \geq \omega \rangle$ **by** *simp*
qed
then show *?thesis*
by (*meson Ord-linear2 Ord-ordertype* $\langle Ord \alpha \rangle$)
qed
let $?U = UNIV :: nat \text{ set}$
define μ **where** $\mu \equiv fst \circ \text{from-nat-into } (\text{elts } \beta \times ?U)$
define q **where** $q \equiv \text{to-nat-on } (\text{elts } \beta \times ?U)$
have $co-\beta U: \text{countable } (\text{elts } \beta \times ?U)$
by (*simp add: \beta less-\omega 1-imp-countable*)
moreover have $\text{elts } \beta \times ?U \neq \{\}$
using $\langle 0 \in \text{elts } \beta \rangle$ **by** *blast*
ultimately have $\text{range } (\text{from-nat-into } (\text{elts } \beta \times ?U)) = (\text{elts } \beta \times ?U)$
by (*metis range-from-nat-into*)
then have $\mu\text{-in-}\beta [simp]: \mu i \in \text{elts } \beta$ **for** i
by (*metis SigmaE \mu-def comp-apply fst-conv range-eqI*)

then have $Ord-\mu [simp]: Ord (\mu i)$ **for** i
using *Ord-in-Ord* **by** *blast*

have $inf-\beta U: \text{infinite } (\text{elts } \beta \times ?U)$
using $\langle 0 \in \text{elts } \beta \rangle$ *finite-cartesian-productD2* **by** *auto*

have $11 [simp]: \mu (q (\nu, n)) = \nu$ **if** $\nu \in \text{elts } \beta$ **for** νn
by (*simp add: \mu-def q-def that co-\beta U*)
have $\text{range-}\mu [simp]: \text{range } \mu = \text{elts } \beta$
by (*auto simp: image-iff*) (*metis 11*)
have $[simp]: KI i \{\} = UNIV KI i (\text{insert } a X) = K i a \cap KI i X$ **for** $i a X$
by (*auto simp: KI-def*)
define Φ **where** $\Phi \equiv \lambda n::nat. \lambda \mathfrak{A} x. (\forall \nu \in \text{elts } \beta. \mathfrak{A} \nu \subseteq \text{elts } (\alpha * \beta) \wedge tp (\mathfrak{A} \nu) = \alpha)$
 $\wedge x \in \{\dots < n\} \subseteq \text{elts } (\alpha * \beta)$

$$\wedge (\bigcup \nu \in \text{elts } \beta. \mathfrak{A} \nu \subseteq \text{KI } 1 (x \text{ ' } \{..<n\}))$$

$$\wedge \text{strict-mono-sets } (\text{elts } \beta) \mathfrak{A}$$

define Ψ **where** $\Psi \equiv \lambda n::\text{nat. } \lambda g \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{A}' xn. g \in \text{elts } \beta \rightarrow \text{elts } \beta \wedge \text{strict-mono-on } g (\text{elts } \beta)$

$$\wedge (\forall i \leq n. g (\mu i) = \mu i)$$

$$\wedge (\forall \nu \in \text{elts } \beta. \mathfrak{A}' \nu \subseteq \text{K } 1 xn \cap \mathfrak{A} (g \nu))$$

$$\wedge \{xn\} \ll (\mathfrak{A}' (\mu n)) \wedge xn \in \mathfrak{A} (\mu n)$$

let $\mathfrak{A}0 = \lambda \nu. \text{plus } (\alpha * \nu) \text{ ' elts } \alpha$

have base: $\Phi 0 \mathfrak{A}0 x$ **for** x

by (*auto simp: Φ -def add-mult-less add-mult-less-add-mult ordertype-image-plus strict-mono-sets-def less-sets-def*)

have step: $Ex (\lambda(g, \mathfrak{A}', xn). \Psi n g \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{A}' xn \wedge \Phi (\text{Suc } n) \mathfrak{A}' (x(n:=xn)))$ **if** $\Phi n \mathfrak{A} x$ **for** $n \mathfrak{A} x$

proof –

have \mathfrak{A} : $\wedge \nu. \nu \in \text{elts } \beta \implies \mathfrak{A} \nu \subseteq \text{elts } (\alpha * \beta) \wedge \text{tp } (\mathfrak{A} \nu) = \alpha$

and x : $x \text{ ' } \{..<n\} \subseteq \text{elts } (\alpha * \beta)$

and sub : $\bigcup (\mathfrak{A} \text{ ' elts } \beta) \subseteq \text{KI } (\text{Suc } 0) (x \text{ ' } \{..<n\})$

and sm : *strict-mono-sets* (*elts* β) \mathfrak{A}

and $\mu\beta$: $\mu \text{ ' } \{..n\} \subseteq \text{elts } \beta$ **and** $\mathfrak{A}_{\text{sub}}$: $\mathfrak{A} (\mu n) \subseteq \text{elts } (\alpha * \beta)$

and $\mathfrak{A}_{\text{fun}}$: $\mathfrak{A} \in \text{elts } \beta \rightarrow \{X. X \subseteq \text{elts } (\alpha * \beta) \wedge \text{tp } X = \alpha\}$

using that by (*auto simp: Φ -def*)

then obtain $xn g$ **where** xn : $xn \in \mathfrak{A} (\mu n)$ **and** g : $g \in \text{elts } \beta \rightarrow \text{elts } \beta$

and sm-g : *strict-mono-on* $g (\text{elts } \beta)$ **and** $g\text{-}\mu$: $\forall \nu \in \mu \text{ ' } \{..n\}. g \nu = \nu$

and $g\text{-}\alpha$: $\forall \nu \in \text{elts } \beta. \alpha \leq \text{tp } (\text{K } 1 xn \cap \mathfrak{A} (g \nu))$

using 10 [*OF* - $\mu\beta$ $\mathfrak{A}_{\text{sub}}$ - $\mathfrak{A}_{\text{fun}}$] **by** (*auto simp: \mathfrak{A}*)

have tp1 : $\text{tp } (\text{K } 1 xn \cap \mathfrak{A} (g \nu)) = \alpha$ **if** $\nu \in \text{elts } \beta$ **for** ν

proof (*rule antisym*)

have $\text{tp } (\text{K } 1 xn \cap \mathfrak{A} (g \nu)) \leq \text{tp } (\mathfrak{A} (g \nu))$

by (*metis Int-lower2 PiE \mathfrak{A} down g ordertype-VWF-mono that*)

also have $\dots = \alpha$

using $\mathfrak{A} g$ **that by force**

finally show $\text{tp } (\text{K } 1 xn \cap \mathfrak{A} (g \nu)) \leq \alpha$.

qed (*use that g- α in auto*)

have tp2 : $\text{tp } (\mathfrak{A} (\mu n)) = \alpha$

by (*auto simp: \mathfrak{A}*)

obtain $\text{small } (\mathfrak{A} (\mu n)) \mathfrak{A} (\mu n) \subseteq \text{ON}$

by (*meson $\mathfrak{A}_{\text{sub}}$ ord down elts-subset-ON subset-trans*)

then obtain $A2$ **where** $A2$: $\text{tp } A2 = \alpha$ $A2 \subseteq \text{K } 1 xn \cap \mathfrak{A} (\mu n) \{xn\} \ll$

$A2$

using *indecomposable-imp-Ex-less-sets* [*OF indec* $\langle \alpha \geq \omega \rangle \text{tp2}$]

by (*metis μ -in- β atMost-iff image-eqI inf-le2 le-refl xn tp1 g- μ*)

then have $A2\text{-sub}$: $A2 \subseteq \mathfrak{A} (\mu n)$ **by** *simp*

let $\mathfrak{A} = \lambda \nu. \text{if } \nu = \mu n \text{ then } A2 \text{ else } \text{K } 1 xn \cap \mathfrak{A} (g \nu)$

have [*simp*]: $(\{..<\text{Suc } n\} \cap \{x. x \neq n\}) = (\{..<n\})$

by *auto*

have $\text{K } (\text{Suc } 0) xn \cap (\bigcup x \in \text{elts } \beta \cap \{\nu. \nu \neq \mu n\}. \mathfrak{A} (g x)) \subseteq \text{KI } (\text{Suc } 0)$

$(x \text{ ' } \{..<n\})$

using *sub g by (auto simp: KI-def)*

moreover have $A2 \subseteq \text{KI } (\text{Suc } 0) (x \text{ ' } \{..<n\})$ $A2 \subseteq \text{elts } (\alpha * \beta)$ $xn \in \text{elts}$

($\alpha*\beta$)

using \mathfrak{A}_{sub} *sub* $A2$ xn **by** *fastforce+*
moreover have *strict-mono-sets* ($elts\ \beta$) \mathfrak{A}
using *sm sm-g g g- μ A2-sub*
unfolding *strict-mono-sets-def strict-mono-on-def less-sets-def Pi-iff sub-set-iff Ball-def Bex-def image-iff*
by (*simp (no-asm-use) add: if-split-mem2*) (*smt order-refl*)
ultimately have Φ (*Suc n*) \mathfrak{A} ($x(n := xn)$)
using *tp1 x A2* **by** (*auto simp: Φ -def K-def*)
with $A2$ **show** *?thesis*
by (*rule-tac x=(g, \mathfrak{A} ,xn) in exI*) (*simp add: Ψ -def g sm-g g- μ xn*)
qed
define G **where** $G \equiv \lambda n\ \mathfrak{A}\ x.\ @ (g, \mathfrak{A}', x'). \exists xn. \Psi\ n\ g\ \mathfrak{A}\ \mathfrak{A}'\ xn \wedge x' =$
 $(x(n:=xn)) \wedge \Phi$ (*Suc n*) $\mathfrak{A}'\ x'$
have $G\Phi$: ($\lambda (g, \mathfrak{A}', x'). \Phi$ (*Suc n*) $\mathfrak{A}'\ x'$) ($G\ n\ \mathfrak{A}\ x$)
and $G\Psi$: ($\lambda (g, \mathfrak{A}', x'). \Psi\ n\ g\ \mathfrak{A}\ \mathfrak{A}'\ (x'\ n)$) ($G\ n\ \mathfrak{A}\ x$) **if** $\Phi\ n\ \mathfrak{A}\ x$ **for** $n\ \mathfrak{A}\ x$
using *step [OF that] by (force simp: G-def dest: some-eq-imp)+*
define H **where** $H \equiv rec\ nat$ (*id, ? $\mathfrak{A}0$, undefined*) ($\lambda n\ (g0, \mathfrak{A}, x0). G\ n\ \mathfrak{A}\ x0$)
have ($\lambda (g, \mathfrak{A}, x). \Phi\ n\ \mathfrak{A}\ x$) ($H\ n$) **for** n
unfolding *H-def* **by** (*induction n*) (*use G Φ base in fastforce*)
then have $H\text{-imp-}\Phi$: $\Phi\ n\ \mathfrak{A}\ x$ **if** $H\ n = (g, \mathfrak{A}, x)$ **for** $g\ \mathfrak{A}\ x\ n$
by (*metis case-prodD that*)
then have $H\text{-imp-}\Psi$: ($\lambda (g, \mathfrak{A}', x'). let\ (g0, \mathfrak{A}, x) = H\ n\ in\ \Psi\ n\ g\ \mathfrak{A}\ \mathfrak{A}'\ (x'\ n)$)
 $(H\ (Suc\ n))$ **for** n
using $G\Psi$ **by** (*fastforce simp: H-def split: prod.split*)
define g **where** $g \equiv \lambda n. (\lambda (g, \mathfrak{A}, x). g)$ ($H\ (Suc\ n)$)
have g : $g\ n \in elts\ \beta \rightarrow elts\ \beta$ **and** *sm-g: strict-mono-on* ($g\ n$) ($elts\ \beta$)
and 13 : $\bigwedge i. i \leq n \implies g\ n\ (\mu\ i) = \mu\ i$ **for** n
using $H\text{-imp-}\Psi$ [*of n*] **by** (*auto simp: g-def Ψ -def*)
define \mathfrak{A} **where** $\mathfrak{A} \equiv \lambda n. (\lambda (g, \mathfrak{A}, x). \mathfrak{A})$ ($H\ n$)
define x **where** $x \equiv \lambda n. (\lambda (g, \mathfrak{A}, x). x\ n)$ ($H\ (Suc\ n)$)
have 14 : $\mathfrak{A}\ (Suc\ n)\ \nu \subseteq K\ 1\ (x\ n) \cap \mathfrak{A}\ n\ (g\ n\ \nu)$ **if** $\nu \in elts\ \beta$ **for** $\nu\ n$
using $H\text{-imp-}\Psi$ [*of n*] **that** **by** (*force simp: Ψ -def \mathfrak{A} -def x -def g -def*)
then have $x14$: $\mathfrak{A}\ (Suc\ n)\ \nu \subseteq \mathfrak{A}\ n\ (g\ n\ \nu)$ **if** $\nu \in elts\ \beta$ **for** $\nu\ n$
using *that* **by** *blast*
have 15 : $x\ n \in \mathfrak{A}\ n\ (\mu\ n)$ **and** 16 : $\{x\ n\} \ll (\mathfrak{A}\ (Suc\ n)\ (\mu\ n))$ **for** n
using $H\text{-imp-}\Psi$ [*of n*] **by** (*force simp: Ψ -def \mathfrak{A} -def x -def*)
have $\mathfrak{A}\text{-}\alpha\beta$: $\mathfrak{A}\ n\ \nu \subseteq elts\ (\alpha*\beta)$ **if** $\nu \in elts\ \beta$ **for** $\nu\ n$
using $H\text{-imp-}\Phi$ [*of n*] **that** **by** (*auto simp: Φ -def \mathfrak{A} -def split: prod.split*)
have 12 : *strict-mono-sets* ($elts\ \beta$) ($\mathfrak{A}\ n$) **for** n
using $H\text{-imp-}\Phi$ [*of n*] **that** **by** (*auto simp: Φ -def \mathfrak{A} -def split: prod.split*)
have $tp\text{-}\mathfrak{A}$: $tp\ (\mathfrak{A}\ n\ \nu) = \alpha$ **if** $\nu \in elts\ \beta$ **for** $\nu\ n$
using $H\text{-imp-}\Phi$ [*of n*] **that** **by** (*auto simp: Φ -def \mathfrak{A} -def split: prod.split*)
let $?Z = range\ x$
have $S\text{-dec}$: $\bigcup (\mathfrak{A}\ (m+k)\ \text{' } elts\ \beta) \subseteq \bigcup (\mathfrak{A}\ m\ \text{' } elts\ \beta)$ **for** $k\ m$
by (*induction k*) (*use 14 g in <fastforce+>*)
have $x\ n \in K\ 1\ (x\ m)$ **if** $m < n$ **for** $m\ n$
proof –
have $x\ n \in (\bigcup \nu \in elts\ \beta. \mathfrak{A}\ n\ \nu)$

by (*meson 15 UN-I μ -in- β*)
 also have $\dots \subseteq (\bigcup \nu \in \text{elts } \beta. \mathfrak{A} (\text{Suc } m) \nu)$
 using *S-dec [of Suc m] less-iff-Suc-add* that by *auto*
 also have $\dots \subseteq K 1 (x m)$
 using *14* by *auto*
 finally show *?thesis* .
 qed
 then have $f\{x m, x n\} = 1$ if $m < n$ for $m n$
 using that by (*auto simp: K-def*)
 then have *Z-K1*: $f ' [?Z]^2 \subseteq \{1\}$
 by (*clarsimp simp: nsets-2-eq*) (*metis insert-commute less-linear*)
 moreover have *Z-sub*: $?Z \subseteq \text{elts } (\alpha * \beta)$
 using *15 \mathfrak{A} - $\alpha\beta$ μ -in- β* by *blast*
 moreover have *tp* $?Z \geq \omega * \beta$
 proof –
 define **g** where $\mathbf{g} \equiv \lambda i j x. \text{wfrec } (\lambda k. j - k) (\lambda \mathbf{g} k. \text{if } k < j \text{ then } \mathbf{g} k (\text{Suc } k) \text{ else } x) i$
 have **g**: $\mathbf{g} i j x = (\text{if } i < j \text{ then } \mathbf{g} i (\mathbf{g} (\text{Suc } i) j x) \text{ else } x)$ for $i j x$
 by (*simp add: g-def wfrec cut-apply*)
 have *17*: $\mathbf{g} k j (\mu i) = \mu i$ if $i \leq k$ for $i j k$
 using *wf-measure [of $\lambda k. j - k$]* that
 by (*induction k rule: wf-induct-rule*) (*simp add: 13 g le-imp-less-Suc*)
 have **g-in- β** : $\mathbf{g} i j \nu \in \text{elts } \beta$ if $\nu \in \text{elts } \beta$ for $i j \nu$
 using *wf-measure [of $\lambda k. j - k$]* that
 proof (*induction i rule: wf-induct-rule*)
 case (*less i*)
 with *g* show *?case* by (*force simp: g [of i]*)
 qed
 then have **g-fun**: $\mathbf{g} i j \in \text{elts } \beta \rightarrow \text{elts } \beta$ for $i j$
 by *simp*
 have **sm-g**: *strict-mono-on* ($\mathbf{g} i j$) ($\text{elts } \beta$) for $i j$
 using *wf-measure [of $\lambda k. j - k$]*
 proof (*induction i rule: wf-induct-rule*)
 case (*less i*)
 with *sm-g* show *?case*
 by (*auto simp: g [of i] strict-mono-on-def g-in- β*)
 qed
 have *: $\mathfrak{A} j (\mu j) \subseteq \mathfrak{A} i (\mathbf{g} i j (\mu j))$ if $i < j$ for $i j$
 using *wf-measure [of $\lambda k. j - k$]* that
 proof (*induction i rule: wf-induct-rule*)
 case (*less i*)
 then have $j - \text{Suc } i < j - i$
 by (*metis (no-types) Suc-diff-Suc lessI*)
 with *less g-in- β* show *?case*
 by (*simp add: g [of i]*) (*metis 17 Suc-lessI μ -in- β order-refl order-trans*)
x14)
 qed
 have *le-iff*: $\mathbf{g} i j (\mu j) \leq \mu i \iff \mu j \leq \mu i$ for $i j$
 using *sm-g unfolding strict-mono-on-def*

by (metis 17 Ord-in-Ord Ord-linear2 μ -in- β leD le-refl less-V-def \langle Ord β \rangle)
 then have less-iff: $\mathbf{g} \ i \ j \ (\mu \ j) < \mu \ i \longleftrightarrow \mu \ j < \mu \ i$ for $i \ j$
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) 17 μ -in- β less-V-def order-refl sm-g strict-mono-on-def)
 have eq-iff: $\mathbf{g} \ i \ j \ (\mu \ j) = \mu \ i \longleftrightarrow \mu \ j = \mu \ i$ for $i \ j$
 by (metis eq-refl le-iff less-iff less-le)
 have μ -if-ne: $\mu \ m < \mu \ n$ if mn : $\mathfrak{A} \ m \ (\mu \ m) \ll \mathfrak{A} \ n \ (\mu \ n) \ m \neq n$ for $m \ n$
 proof –
 have xmn : $x \ m < x \ n$
 using 15 less-setsD that(1) by blast
 have Ordg: Ord (g n m ($\mu \ m$))
 using Ord-in-Ord g-in- β μ -in- β ord(2) by presburger
 have $\neg \mathfrak{A} \ m \ (\mu \ m) \ll \mathfrak{A} \ n \ (\mu \ n)$ if $\mu \ n = \mu \ m$
 using * 15 eq-iff that unfolding less-sets-def
 by (metis in-mono less-irrefl not-less-iff-gr-or-eq)
 moreover
 have $\mathfrak{A} \ n \ (\mu \ n) \subseteq \mathfrak{A} \ m \ (\mathbf{g} \ m \ n \ (\mu \ n)) \vee \mathfrak{A} \ m \ (\mu \ m) \subseteq \mathfrak{A} \ n \ (\mathbf{g} \ n \ m \ (\mu \ m))$
 using * mn
 by (meson antisym-conv3)
 then have False if $\mu \ n < \mu \ m$
 using strict-mono-setsD [OF 12] 15 xmn g-in- β μ -in- β that
 by (smt (verit, best) Ordg Ord- μ Ord-linear2 leD le-iff less-asym less-iff
 less-setsD subset-iff)
 ultimately show $\mu \ m < \mu \ n$
 by (meson that(1) Ord- μ Ord-linear-lt)
 qed
 have 18: $\mathfrak{A} \ m \ (\mu \ m) \ll \mathfrak{A} \ n \ (\mu \ n) \longleftrightarrow \mu \ m < \mu \ n$ for $m \ n$
 proof (cases n m rule: linorder-cases)
 case less
 show ?thesis
 proof (intro iffI)
 assume $\mu \ m < \mu \ n$
 then have $\mathfrak{A} \ n \ (\mathbf{g} \ n \ m \ (\mu \ m)) \ll \mathfrak{A} \ n \ (\mu \ n)$
 by (metis 12 g-in- β μ -in- β eq-iff le-iff less-V-def strict-mono-sets-def)
 then show $\mathfrak{A} \ m \ (\mu \ m) \ll \mathfrak{A} \ n \ (\mu \ n)$
 by (meson * less less-sets-weaken1)
 qed (use μ -if-ne less in blast)
 next
 case equal
 with 15 show ?thesis by auto
 next
 case greater
 show ?thesis
 proof (intro iffI)
 assume $\mu \ m < \mu \ n$
 then have $\mathfrak{A} \ m \ (\mu \ m) \ll (\mathfrak{A} \ m \ (\mathbf{g} \ m \ n \ (\mu \ n)))$
 by (meson 12 Ord-in-Ord Ord-linear2 g-in- β μ -in- β le-iff leD ord(2)
 strict-mono-sets-def)
 then show $\mathfrak{A} \ m \ (\mu \ m) \ll \mathfrak{A} \ n \ (\mu \ n)$
 by (meson * greater less-sets-weaken2)

qed (use μ -if-ne greater in blast)
qed
have \mathfrak{A} -increasing- μ : $\mathfrak{A} n (\mu n) \subseteq \mathfrak{A} m (\mu m)$ **if** $m \leq n$ $\mu m = \mu n$ **for** $m n$
by (metis * 17 dual-order.order-iff-strict that)
moreover have INF : $infinite \{n. n \geq m \wedge \mu m = \mu n\}$ **for** m
proof –
have $infinite (range (\lambda n. q (\mu m, n)))$
unfolding q -def
using to -nat-on-infinite [OF co- βU inf- βU] $finite$ -image-iff
by (simp add: $finite$ -image-iff inj-on-def)
moreover have $(range (\lambda n. q (\mu m, n))) \subseteq \{n. \mu m = \mu n\}$
using 11 [of μm] **by** auto
ultimately have $infinite \{n. \mu m = \mu n\}$
using $finite$ -subset **by** auto
then have $infinite (\{n. \mu m = \mu n\} - \{..<m\})$
by simp
then show ?thesis
by (auto simp: $finite$ -nat-set-iff-bounded Bex-def not-less)
qed
let $?eqv = \lambda m. \{n. m \leq n \wedge \mu m = \mu n\}$
have sm - x : $strict$ -mono-on x ($?eqv m$) **for** m
proof (clarsimp simp: $strict$ -mono-on-def)
fix $n p$
assume $m \leq n$ $\mu p = \mu n$ $\mu m = \mu n$ $n < p$
with 16 [of n] **show** $x n < x p$
by (metis * 15 17 Suc-lessI insert-absorb insert-subset le-SucI less-sets-singleton1)
qed
then have inj - x : inj -on x ($?eqv m$) **for** m
using $strict$ -mono-on-imp-inj-on **by** blast
define ZA **where** $ZA \equiv \lambda m. ?Z \cap \mathfrak{A} m (\mu m)$
have $small$ - ZA [simp]: $small (ZA m)$ **for** m
by (metis ZA -def inf-le1 $small$ -image-nat smaller-than-small)
have 19: $tp (ZA m) \geq \omega$ **for** m
proof –
have $x ' \{n. m \leq n \wedge \mu m = \mu n\} \subseteq ZA m$
unfolding ZA -def **using** 15 \mathfrak{A} -increasing- μ **by** blast
then have $infinite (ZA m)$
using INF [of m] $finite$ -image-iff [OF inj- x] **by** (meson $finite$ -subset)
then show ?thesis
by (simp add: ordertype-infinite-ge- ω)
qed
have $\exists f \in elts \omega \rightarrow ZA m. strict$ -mono-on f ($elts \omega$) **for** m
proof –
obtain Z **where** $Z \subseteq ZA m$ $tp Z = \omega$
by (meson 19 Ord- ω le-ordertype-obtains-subset $small$ - ZA)
moreover have $ZA m \subseteq ON$
using Ord-in-Ord \mathfrak{A} - $\alpha\beta$ μ -in- β **unfolding** ZA -def **by** blast
ultimately show ?thesis
by (metis $strict$ -mono-on-ordertype Pi-mono $small$ - ZA smaller-than-small)

subset-iff)
qed
then obtain φ **where** $\varphi: \bigwedge m. \varphi m \in \text{elts } \omega \rightarrow ZA m$
and $sm\text{-}\varphi: \bigwedge m. \text{strict-mono-on } (\varphi m) (\text{elts } \omega)$
by *metis*
have $Ex(\lambda(m,\nu). \nu \in \text{elts } \beta \wedge \gamma = \omega * \nu + \text{ord-of-nat } m)$ **if** $\gamma \in \text{elts } (\omega * \beta)$ **for** γ
using *that by (auto simp: mult [of $\omega \beta$] lift-def elts- ω)*
then obtain *split* **where** $split: \bigwedge \gamma. \gamma \in \text{elts } (\omega * \beta) \implies$
 $(\lambda(m,\nu). \nu \in \text{elts } \beta \wedge \gamma = \omega * \nu + \text{ord-of-nat } m)(split \gamma)$
by *meson*
have *split-eq [simp]: split* $(\omega * \nu + \text{ord-of-nat } m) = (m,\nu)$ **if** $\nu \in \text{elts } \beta$ **for**
 νm
proof –
have *[simp]: $\omega * \nu + \text{ord-of-nat } m = \omega * \xi + \text{ord-of-nat } n \iff \xi = \nu \wedge n = m$* **if** $\xi \in \text{elts } \beta$ **for** ξn
by *(metis Ord- ω that Ord-mem-iff-less-TC mult-cancellation-lemma ord-of-nat- ω ord-of-nat-inject)*
show *?thesis*
using *split [of $\omega * \nu + m$] that by (auto simp: mult [of $\omega \beta$] lift-def cong: conj-cong)*
qed
define π **where** $\pi \equiv \lambda \gamma. (\lambda(m,\nu). \varphi (q(\nu,0)) m)(split \gamma)$
have $\pi\text{-}Pi: \pi \in \text{elts } (\omega * \beta) \rightarrow (\bigcup m. ZA m)$
using φ **by** *(fastforce simp: $\pi\text{-def mult [of $\omega \beta$] lift-def elts- ω)$*
moreover **have** $(\bigcup m. ZA m) \subseteq ON$
unfolding *ZA-def using $\mathfrak{A}\text{-}\alpha\beta \mu\text{-in-}\beta \text{elts-subset-ON}$* **by** *blast*
ultimately **have** $Ord\text{-}\pi\text{-}Pi: \pi \in \text{elts } (\omega * \beta) \rightarrow ON$
by *fastforce*
show $tp \text{ ?}Z \geq \omega * \beta$
proof –
have $\dagger: (\bigcup m. ZA m) = \text{?}Z$
using *15 by (force simp: ZA-def)*
moreover
have $tp (\text{elts } (\omega * \beta)) \leq tp (\bigcup m. ZA m)$
proof *(rule ordertype-inc-le)*
show $\pi \text{ ' } \text{elts } (\omega * \beta) \subseteq (\bigcup m. ZA m)$
using $\pi\text{-}Pi$ **by** *blast*
next
fix $u v$
assume $x: u \in \text{elts } (\omega * \beta)$ **and** $y: v \in \text{elts } (\omega * \beta)$ **and** $(u,v) \in VWF$
then **have** $u < v$
by *(meson Ord- ω Ord-in-Ord Ord-mult VWF-iff-Ord-less ord(2))*
moreover
obtain $m \nu n \xi$ **where** $ueq: u = \omega * \nu + \text{ord-of-nat } m$ **and** $\nu: \nu \in \text{elts } \beta$
and $veq: v = \omega * \xi + \text{ord-of-nat } n$ **and** $\xi: \xi \in \text{elts } \beta$
using $x y$ **by** *(auto simp: mult [of $\omega \beta$] lift-def elts- ω)*
ultimately **have** $\nu \leq \xi$
by *(meson Ord- ω Ord-in-Ord Ord-linear2 $\langle Ord \beta \rangle$ add-mult-less-add-mult*

```

less-asym ord-of-nat- $\omega$ 
  consider (eq)  $\nu = \xi \mid$  (lt)  $\nu < \xi$ 
    using  $\langle \nu \leq \xi \rangle$  le-neq-trans by blast
  then have  $\pi u < \pi v$ 
  proof cases
    case eq
      then have  $m < n$ 
        using ueq veq  $\langle u < v \rangle$  by simp
      then have  $\varphi (q (\xi, 0)) m < \varphi (q (\xi, 0)) n$ 
        using sm- $\varphi$  strict-mono-onD by blast
      then show ?thesis
        using eq ueq veq  $\nu \langle m < n \rangle$  by (simp add:  $\pi$ -def)
    next
      case lt
        have  $\varphi (q(\nu, 0)) m \in \mathfrak{A} (q(\nu, 0)) (\mu(q(\nu, 0))) \varphi (q (\xi, 0)) n \in \mathfrak{A} (q(\xi, 0))$ 
          ( $\mu(q(\xi, 0))$ )
          using  $\varphi$  unfolding ZA-def by blast+
        then show ?thesis
          using lt ueq veq  $\nu \xi$  18 [of  $q(\nu, 0)$   $q(\xi, 0)$ ]
          by (simp add:  $\pi$ -def less-sets-def)
        qed
        then show  $(\pi u, \pi v) \in VWF$ 
          using  $\pi$ -Pi by (metis Ord- $\pi$ -Pi PiE VWF-iff-Ord-less x y mem-Collect-eq)
        qed (use  $\dagger$  in auto)
        ultimately show ?thesis by simp
        qed
      qed
    then obtain  $Z$  where  $Z \subseteq ?Z$  tp  $Z = \omega * \beta$ 
      by (meson Ord- $\omega$  Ord-mult ord Z-sub down le-ordertype-obtains-subset)
    ultimately show False
      using iii [of  $Z$ ] by (meson dual-order.trans image-mono nsets-mono)
    qed
  have False
    if 0:  $\forall H. \text{tp } H = \text{ord-of-nat } (2*k) \longrightarrow H \subseteq \text{elts } (\alpha*\beta) \longrightarrow \neg f ' [H]^2 \subseteq \{0\}$ 
      and 1:  $\forall H. \text{tp } H = \text{min } \gamma (\omega * \beta) \longrightarrow H \subseteq \text{elts } (\alpha*\beta) \longrightarrow \neg f ' [H]^2 \subseteq$ 
      {1}
    proof (cases  $\omega*\beta \leq \gamma$ )
      case True
        then have  $\dagger: \exists H' \subseteq H. \text{tp } H' = \omega * \beta$  if tp  $H = \gamma$  small  $H$  for  $H$ 
          by (metis Ord- $\omega$  Ord- $\omega$ 1 Ord-in-Ord Ord-mult  $\beta$  le-ordertype-obtains-subset)
        that)
        have [simp]:  $\text{min } \gamma (\omega*\beta) = \omega*\beta$ 
          by (simp add: min-absorb2 that True)
        then show ?thesis
          using * [OF 0] 1 True
          by simp (meson  $\dagger$  down image-mono nsets-mono subset-trans)
      next
        case False
          then have  $\dagger: \exists H' \subseteq H. \text{tp } H' = \gamma$  if tp  $H = \omega * \beta$  small  $H$  for  $H$ 

```

by (metis Ord-linear-le Ord-ordertype ‹Ord γ › le-ordertype-obtains-subset
 that)
 then have $\gamma \leq \omega * \beta$
 by (meson Ord- ω Ord- $\omega 1$ Ord-in-Ord Ord-linear-le Ord-mult β ‹Ord γ ›
 False)
 then have [simp]: $\min \gamma (\omega * \beta) = \gamma$
 by (simp add: min-absorb1)
 then show ?thesis
 using * [OF 0] 1 False
 by simp (meson † down image-mono nsets-mono subset-trans)
 qed
 then show $\exists i < \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0). \exists H \subseteq \text{elts } (\alpha * \beta). \text{tp } H = [\text{ord-of-nat } (2 * k), \min$
 $\gamma (\omega * \beta)] ! i \wedge f ' [H]^2 \subseteq \{i\}$
 by force
 qed
 qed

theorem Erdos-Milner:

assumes $\nu: \nu \in \text{elts } \omega 1$
 shows partn-lst-VWF ($\omega \uparrow (1 + \nu * n)$) [ord-of-nat ($2 \hat{n}$), $\omega \uparrow (1 + \nu)$] 2
 proof (induction n)
 case 0
 then show ?case
 using partn-lst-VWF-degenerate [of 1 2] by simp
 next
 case (Suc n)
 have Ord ν
 using Ord- $\omega 1$ Ord-in-Ord assms by blast
 have $1 + \nu \leq \nu + 1$
 by (simp add: ‹Ord ν › one-V-def plus-Ord-le)
 then have [simp]: $\min (\omega \uparrow (1 + \nu)) (\omega * \omega \uparrow \nu) = \omega \uparrow (1 + \nu)$
 by (simp add: ‹Ord ν › oexp-add min-def)
 have ind: indecomposable ($\omega \uparrow (1 + \nu * \text{ord-of-nat } n)$)
 by (simp add: ‹Ord ν › indecomposable- ω -power)
 show ?case
 proof (cases n = 0)
 case True
 then show ?thesis
 using partn-lst-VWF- ω -2 ‹Ord ν › one-V-def by auto
 next
 case False
 then have $\text{Suc } 0 < 2 \hat{n}$
 using less-2-cases not-less-eq by fastforce
 then have partn-lst-VWF ($\omega \uparrow (1 + \nu * n) * \omega \uparrow \nu$) [ord-of-nat ($2 * 2 \hat{n}$),
 $\omega \uparrow (1 + \nu)$] 2
 using Erdos-Milner-aux [OF Suc ind, where $\beta = \omega \uparrow \nu$] ‹Ord ν › ν
 by (auto simp: countable-oexp)
 then show ?thesis

```

    using ⟨Ord ν⟩ by (simp add: mult-succ mult.assoc oexp-add)
  qed
qed

```

corollary *remark-3: partn-lst-VWF* $(\omega \uparrow (\text{Suc}(4 * k))) [4, \omega \uparrow (\text{Suc}(2 * k))] 2$
 using *Erdos-Milner* [of $2 * k$ 2]
 apply (simp flip: ord-of-nat-mult ord-of-nat.simps)
 by (simp add: one-V-def)

Theorem 3.2 of Jean A. Larson, *ibid.*

corollary *Theorem-3-2:*

```

  fixes k n::nat
  shows partn-lst-VWF  $(\omega \uparrow (n * k)) [\omega \uparrow n, \text{ord-of-nat } k] 2$ 
proof (cases  $n=0 \vee k=0$ )
  case True
  then show ?thesis
    by (auto intro: partn-lst-triv0 [where  $i=1$ ] partn-lst-triv1 [where  $i=0$ ])
  next
  case False
  then have  $n > 0 \ k > 0$ 
    by auto
  from ⟨ $k > 0$ ⟩ less-exp [of ⟨ $k - 1$ ⟩] have  $\langle k \leq 2 \wedge (k - 1) \rangle$ 
    by (cases k) (simp-all add: less-eq-Suc-le)
  have PV: partn-lst-VWF  $(\omega \uparrow (1 + \text{ord-of-nat } (n - 1) * \text{ord-of-nat } (k - 1)))$ 
    [ord-of-nat  $(2 \wedge (k - 1))$ ,  $\omega \uparrow (1 + \text{ord-of-nat } (n - 1))$ ] 2
    using Erdos-Milner [of ord-of-nat  $(n - 1)$   $k - 1$ ] Ord- $\omega 1$  Ord-mem-iff-lt less-imp-le
  by blast
  have  $k + n \leq \text{Suc } (k * n)$ 
    using False not0-implies-Suc by fastforce
  then have  $1 + (n - 1) * (k - 1) \leq n * k$ 
    using False by (auto simp: algebra-simps)
  then have  $(1 + \text{ord-of-nat } (n - 1) * \text{ord-of-nat } (k - 1)) \leq \text{ord-of-nat}(n * k)$ 
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) One-nat-def one-V-def ord-of-nat.simps ord-of-nat-add
    ord-of-nat-mono-iff ord-of-nat-mult)
  then have  $x: \omega \uparrow (1 + \text{ord-of-nat } (n - 1) * \text{ord-of-nat } (k - 1)) \leq \omega \uparrow (n * k)$ 
    by (simp add: oexp-mono-le)
  then have partn-lst-VWF  $(\omega \uparrow (n * k)) [\omega \uparrow (1 + \text{ord-of-nat } (n - 1)), \text{ord-of-nat } (2 \wedge (k - 1))] 2$ 
    by (metis PV partn-lst-two-swap Partitions.partn-lst-greater-resource less-eq-V-def)
  then have partn-lst-VWF  $(\omega \uparrow (n * k)) [\omega \uparrow n, \text{ord-of-nat } (2 \wedge (k - 1))] 2$ 
    using ord-of-minus-1 [OF ⟨ $n > 0$ ⟩] by (simp add: one-V-def)
  then show ?thesis
    using ⟨ $k \leq 2 \wedge (k - 1) \rangle$ 
    by (auto elim!: partn-lst-less simp add: less-Suc-eq)
qed
end

```


3 An ordinal partition theorem by Jean A. Larson

Jean A. Larson, A short proof of a partition theorem for the ordinal ω^ω .
Annals of Mathematical Logic, 6:129–145, 1973.

theory *Omega-Omega*
imports *HOL-Library.Product-Lexorder Erdos-Milner*

begin

abbreviation *list-of* \equiv *sorted-list-of-set*

3.1 Cantor normal form for ordinals below $\omega \uparrow \omega$

Unlike *Cantor-sum*, there is no list of ordinal exponents, which are instead taken as consecutive. We obtain an order-isomorphism between $\omega \uparrow \omega$ and increasing lists of natural numbers (ordered lexicographically).

fun *omega-sum-aux* **where**
Nil: *omega-sum-aux* 0 = 0
| *Suc*: *omega-sum-aux* (Suc n) [] = 0
| *Cons*: *omega-sum-aux* (Suc n) (m#ms) = ($\omega \uparrow n$) * (*ord-of-nat* m) + *omega-sum-aux* n ms

abbreviation *omega-sum* **where** *omega-sum* ms \equiv *omega-sum-aux* (length ms) ms

A normal expansion has no leading zeroes

inductive *normal*:: nat list \Rightarrow bool **where**
normal-Nil[*iff*]: *normal* []
| *normal-Cons*: $m > 0 \Rightarrow$ *normal* (m#ms)

inductive-simps *normal-Cons-iff* [*simp*]: *normal* (m#ms)

lemma *omega-sum-0-iff* [*simp*]: *normal* ns \Rightarrow *omega-sum* ns = 0 \longleftrightarrow ns = []
by (*induction* ns rule: *normal.induct*) *auto*

lemma *Ord-omega-sum-aux* [*simp*]: *Ord* (*omega-sum-aux* k ms)
by (*induction* rule: *omega-sum-aux.induct*) *auto*

lemma *Ord-omega-sum*: *Ord* (*omega-sum* ms)
by *simp*

lemma *omega-sum-less- $\omega\omega$* [*intro*]: *omega-sum* ms $<$ $\omega \uparrow \omega$

proof (*induction* ms)

case (*Cons* m ms)

have $\omega \uparrow$ (length ms) * *ord-of-nat* m \in *elts* ($\omega \uparrow$ Suc (length ms))

using *Ord-mem-iff-lt* **by** *auto*

then have $\omega \uparrow$ (length ms) * *ord-of-nat* m \in *elts* ($\omega \uparrow \omega$)

using *Ord-ord-of-nat oexp-mono-le omega-nonzero ord-of-nat-le-omega* **by** *blast*

with *Cons* **show** *?case*
by (*auto simp: mult-succ OrdmemD oexp-less indecomposableD indecomposable- ω -power*)
qed (*auto simp: zero-less-Limit*)

lemma *omega-sum-aux-less: omega-sum-aux k ms < $\omega \uparrow k$*
proof (*induction rule: omega-sum-aux.induct*)
case ($\exists n m ms$)
have $\omega \uparrow n * \text{ord-of-nat } m + \omega \uparrow n < \omega \uparrow n * \omega$
by (*metis Ord-ord-of-nat ω -power-succ-gtr mult-succ oexp-succ ord-of-nat.simps(2)*)
with \exists **show** *?case*
using *dual-order.strict-trans* **by** *force*
qed *auto*

lemma *omega-sum-less: omega-sum ms < $\omega \uparrow (\text{length } ms)$*
by (*rule omega-sum-aux-less*)

lemma *omega-sum-ge: $m \neq 0 \implies \omega \uparrow (\text{length } ms) \leq \text{omega-sum } (m\#ms)$*
apply *clarsimp*
by (*metis Ord-ord-of-nat add-le-cancel-left0 le-mult Nat.neq0-conv ord-of-eq-0-iff vsubsetD*)

lemma *omega-sum-length-less:*
assumes *normal ns length ms < length ns*
shows *omega-sum ms < omega-sum ns*
using *assms*
proof (*induction rule: normal.induct*)
case (*normal-Cons n ns'*)
have $\omega \uparrow \text{length } ms \leq \omega \uparrow \text{length } ns'$
using *normal-Cons oexp-mono-le* **by** *auto*
then show *?case*
by (*metis gr-implies-not-zero less-le-trans normal-Cons.hyps omega-sum-aux-less omega-sum-ge*)
qed *auto*

lemma *omega-sum-length-leD:*
assumes *omega-sum ms \leq omega-sum ns normal ms*
shows *length ms \leq length ns*
by (*meson assms leD leI omega-sum-length-less*)

lemma *omega-sum-less-eqlen-iff-cases [simp]:*
assumes *length ms = length ns*
shows *omega-sum (m#ms) < omega-sum (n#ns)*
 $\iff m < n \vee m = n \wedge \text{omega-sum } ms < \text{omega-sum } ns$
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof
assume *L: ?lhs*
have $\neg \text{Suc } n < \text{Suc } m$

using *omega-sum-less [of ms] omega-sum-less [of ns] L assms mult-nat-less-add-less*
by *fastforce*
with *L assms* **show** *?rhs*
by *auto*
qed (*auto simp: mult-nat-less-add-less omega-sum-aux-less assms*)

lemma *omega-sum-lex-less-iff-cases:*
 $((\text{length } ms, \text{omega-sum } (m\#ms)), (\text{length } ns, \text{omega-sum } (n\#ns))) \in \text{less-than} <*\text{lex}*> \text{VWF}$
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{length } ms < \text{length } ns$
 $\quad \vee \text{length } ms = \text{length } ns \wedge m < n$
 $\quad \vee m = n \wedge ((\text{length } ms, \text{omega-sum } ms), (\text{length } ns, \text{omega-sum } ns)) \in$
 $\text{less-than} <*\text{lex}*> \text{VWF}$
using *omega-sum-less-eqlen-iff-cases* **by** *force*

lemma *omega-sum-less-iff-cases:*
assumes $m > 0 \ n > 0$
shows $\text{omega-sum } (m\#ms) < \text{omega-sum } (n\#ns)$
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{length } ms < \text{length } ns$
 $\quad \vee \text{length } ms = \text{length } ns \wedge m < n$
 $\quad \vee \text{length } ms = \text{length } ns \wedge m = n \wedge \text{omega-sum } ms < \text{omega-sum } ns$
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof
assume *?lhs* **then show** *?rhs*
by (*metis Suc-less-eq <m>0> length-Cons less-asym nat-neq-iff normal-Cons*
omega-sum-length-less omega-sum-less-eqlen-iff-cases)
next
assume *?rhs* **then show** *?lhs*
by (*metis (full-types) Suc-less-eq <n>0> length-Cons normal-Cons omega-sum-length-less*
omega-sum-less-eqlen-iff-cases)
qed

lemma *omega-sum-less-iff:*
 $((\text{length } ms, \text{omega-sum } ms), (\text{length } ns, \text{omega-sum } ns)) \in \text{less-than} <*\text{lex}*> \text{VWF}$
 $\longleftrightarrow (ms, ns) \in \text{lenlex less-than}$
proof (*induction ms arbitrary: ns*)
case (*Cons m ms*)
then show *?case*
proof (*induction ns*)
case (*Cons n ns'*)
show *?case*
using *Cons.premis Cons-lenlex-iff omega-sum-less-eqlen-iff-cases* **by** *fastforce*
qed *auto*
qed *auto*

lemma *eq-omega-sum-less-iff:*
assumes $\text{length } ms = \text{length } ns$
shows $(\text{omega-sum } ms, \text{omega-sum } ns) \in \text{VWF} \longleftrightarrow (ms, ns) \in \text{lenlex less-than}$

by (*metis assms in-lex-prod less-not-refl less-than-iff omega-sum-less-iff*)

lemma *eq-omega-sum-eq-iff*:

assumes *length ms = length ns*

shows *omega-sum ms = omega-sum ns \longleftrightarrow ms=ns*

proof

assume *omega-sum ms = omega-sum ns*

then have (*omega-sum ms, omega-sum ns*) \notin *VWF (omega-sum ns, omega-sum ms) \notin VWF*

by *auto*

then obtain (*ms,ns*) \notin *lenlex less-than (ns,ms) \notin lenlex less-than*

using *assms eq-omega-sum-less-iff* by *metis*

moreover have *total (lenlex less-than)*

by (*simp add: total-lenlex total-less-than*)

ultimately show *ms=ns*

by (*meson UNIV-I total-on-def*)

qed *auto*

lemma *inj-omega-sum*: *inj-on omega-sum {l. length l = n}*

unfolding *inj-on-def* using *eq-omega-sum-eq-iff* by *fastforce*

lemma *Ex-omega-sum*: $\gamma \in \text{elts } (\omega \uparrow n) \implies \exists ns. \gamma = \text{omega-sum } ns \wedge \text{length } ns = n$

proof (*induction n arbitrary: γ*)

case 0

then show *?case*

by (*rule-tac x=[] in exI*) *auto*

next

case (*Suc n*)

then obtain *k::nat* where *k: $\gamma \in \text{elts } (\omega \uparrow n * k)$*

and *kmin: $\bigwedge k'. k' < k \implies \gamma \notin \text{elts } (\omega \uparrow n * k')$*

by (*metis Ord-ord-of-nat elts-mult- ω E oexp-succ ord-of-nat.simps(2)*)

show *?case*

proof (*cases k*)

case (*Suc k'*)

then obtain δ where $\delta: \gamma = (\omega \uparrow n * k') + \delta$

by (*metis lessI mult-succ ord-of-nat.simps(2) k kmin mem-plus-V-E*)

then have $\delta \in \text{elts } (\omega \uparrow n)$

using *Suc k mult-succ* by *auto*

then obtain *ns* where *ns: $\delta = \text{omega-sum } ns$ and *len: length ns = n**

using *Suc.IH* by *auto*

moreover have *omega-sum ns < $\omega \uparrow n$*

using *OrdmemD ns $\delta \in$* by *auto*

ultimately show *?thesis*

by (*rule-tac x=k'#ns in exI*) (*simp add: δ*)

qed (*use k in auto*)

qed

lemma *omega-sum-drop* [*simp*]: *omega-sum (dropWhile ($\lambda n. n=0$) ns) = omega-sum*

```

ns
  by (induction ns) auto

lemma normal-drop [simp]: normal (dropWhile (λn. n=0) ns)
  by (induction ns) auto

lemma omega-sum-ωω:
  assumes  $\gamma \in \text{elts } (\omega \uparrow \omega)$ 
  obtains ns where  $\gamma = \text{omega-sum } ns \text{ normal } ns$ 
proof –
  obtain ms where  $\gamma = \text{omega-sum } ms$ 
  using assms Ex-omega-sum by (auto simp: oexp-Limit elts-ω)
  show thesis
proof
  show  $\gamma = \text{omega-sum } (\text{dropWhile } (\lambda n. n=0) ms)$ 
  by (simp add: <γ = omega-sum ms>)
  show normal (dropWhile (λn. n=0) ms)
  by auto
qed
qed

definition Cantor-ωω ::  $V \Rightarrow \text{nat list}$ 
  where Cantor-ωω  $\equiv \lambda x. \text{SOME } ns. x = \text{omega-sum } ns \wedge \text{normal } ns$ 

lemma
  assumes  $\gamma \in \text{elts } (\omega \uparrow \omega)$ 
  shows Cantor-ωω:  $\text{omega-sum } (\text{Cantor-ωω } \gamma) = \gamma$ 
  and normal-Cantor-ωω:  $\text{normal } (\text{Cantor-ωω } \gamma)$ 
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Cantor-ωω-def assms omega-sum-ωω someI)+

3.2 Larson's set  $W(n)$ 

definition WW ::  $\text{nat list set}$ 
  where WW  $\equiv \{l. \text{strict-sorted } l\}$ 

fun into-WW ::  $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat list} \Rightarrow \text{nat list}$  where
  into-WW  $k \ [] = []$ 
  | into-WW  $k (n\#ns) = (k+n) \# \text{into-WW } (\text{Suc } (k+n)) ns$ 

fun from-WW ::  $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat list} \Rightarrow \text{nat list}$  where
  from-WW  $k \ [] = []$ 
  | from-WW  $k (n\#ns) = (n - k) \# \text{from-WW } (\text{Suc } n) ns$ 

lemma from-into-WW [simp]:  $\text{from-WW } k (\text{into-WW } k ns) = ns$ 
  by (induction ns arbitrary: k) auto

lemma inj-into-WW:  $\text{inj } (\text{into-WW } k)$ 
  by (metis from-into-WW injI)

```

lemma *into-from-WW-aux*:
 $\llbracket \text{strict-sorted } ns; \forall n \in \text{list.set } ns. k \leq n \rrbracket \implies \text{into-WW } k \text{ (from-WW } k \text{ } ns) = ns$
by (*induction ns arbitrary: k*) (*auto simp: Suc-leI*)

lemma *into-from-WW [simp]*: *strict-sorted ns* \implies *into-WW 0 (from-WW 0 ns)*
 $= ns$
by (*simp add: into-from-WW-aux*)

lemma *into-WW-imp-ge*: $y \in \text{List.set (into-WW } x \text{ } ns) \implies x \leq y$
by (*induction ns arbitrary: x*) *fastforce+*

lemma *strict-sorted-into-WW*: *strict-sorted (into-WW x ns)*
by (*induction ns arbitrary: x*) (*auto simp: dest: into-WW-imp-ge*)

lemma *length-into-WW*: *length (into-WW x ns) = length ns*
by (*induction ns arbitrary: x*) *auto*

lemma *WW-eq-range-into*: $WW = \text{range (into-WW 0)}$
proof –
have $\bigwedge ns. \text{strict-sorted } ns \implies ns \in \text{range (into-WW 0)}$
by (*metis into-from-WW rangeI*)
then show *?thesis* **by** (*auto simp: WW-def strict-sorted-into-WW*)
qed

lemma *into-WW-lenlex-iff*: $(\text{into-WW } k \text{ } ms, \text{into-WW } k \text{ } ns) \in \text{lenlex less-than}$
 $\iff (ms, ns) \in \text{lenlex less-than}$
proof (*induction ms arbitrary: ns k*)
case *Nil*
then show *?case*
by *simp (metis length-0-conv length-into-WW)*
next
case (*Cons m ms*)
then show *?case*
by (*induction ns*) (*auto simp: Cons-lenlex-iff length-into-WW*)
qed

lemma *wf-llt [simp]*: *wf (lenlex less-than)* **and** *trans-llt [simp]*: *trans (lenlex less-than)*
by *blast+*

lemma *total-llt [simp]*: *total-on A (lenlex less-than)*
by (*meson UNIV-I total-lenlex total-less-than total-on-def*)

lemma *omega-sum-1-less*:
assumes $(ms, ns) \in \text{lenlex less-than}$ **shows** $\text{omega-sum (1\#ms)} < \text{omega-sum (1\#ns)}$
proof –
have $\text{omega-sum (1\#ms)} < \text{omega-sum (1\#ns)}$ **if** $\text{length } ms < \text{length } ns$
using *omega-sum-less-iff-cases that zero-less-one* **by** *blast*
then show *?thesis*

using *assms* **by** (*auto simp: mult-succ simp flip: omega-sum-less-iff*)
qed

lemma *ordertype-WW-1*: *ordertype WW (lenlex less-than) ≤ ordertype UNIV (lenlex less-than)*
by (*rule ordertype-mono*) *auto*

lemma *ordertype-WW-2*: *ordertype UNIV (lenlex less-than) ≤ ω↑ω*
proof (*rule ordertype-inc-le-Ord*)
show *range (λms. omega-sum (1#ms)) ⊆ elts (ω↑ω)*
by (*meson Ord-ω Ord-mem-iff-lt Ord-oexp Ord-omega-sum image-subset-iff omega-sum-less-ωω*)
qed (*use omega-sum-1-less in auto*)

lemma *ordertype-WW-3*: *ω↑ω ≤ ordertype WW (lenlex less-than)*
proof –

define π **where** $\pi \equiv \text{into-WW } 0 \circ \text{Cantor-}\omega\omega$
have $\omega\omega: \omega\uparrow\omega = \text{tp } (\text{elts } (\omega\uparrow\omega))$
by *simp*
also have $\dots \leq \text{ordertype WW (lenlex less-than)}$
proof (*rule ordertype-inc-le*)
fix $\alpha \beta$
assume $\alpha: \alpha \in \text{elts } (\omega\uparrow\omega)$ **and** $\beta: \beta \in \text{elts } (\omega\uparrow\omega)$ **and** $(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{VWF}$
then obtain $*$: *Ord* α *Ord* β $\alpha < \beta$
by (*metis Ord-in-Ord Ord-ordertype VWF-iff-Ord-less ωω*)
then have *length* (*Cantor-ωω* α) \leq *length* (*Cantor-ωω* β)
using $\alpha \beta$ **by** (*simp add: Cantor-ωω normal-Cantor-ωω omega-sum-length-leD*)
with $\alpha \beta$ ***** **have** (*Cantor-ωω* α , *Cantor-ωω* β) \in *lenlex less-than*
by (*auto simp: Cantor-ωω simp flip: omega-sum-less-iff*)
then show $(\pi \alpha, \pi \beta) \in \text{lenlex less-than}$
by (*simp add: π-def into-WW-lenlex-iff*)
qed (*auto simp: π-def WW-def strict-sorted-into-WW*)
finally show $\omega\uparrow\omega \leq \text{ordertype WW (lenlex less-than)}$.
qed

lemma *ordertype-WW*: *ordertype WW (lenlex less-than) = ω↑ω*
and *ordertype-UNIV-ωω*: *ordertype UNIV (lenlex less-than) = ω↑ω*
using *ordertype-WW-1 ordertype-WW-2 ordertype-WW-3* **by** *auto*

lemma *ordertype-ωω*:
fixes $F :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat list set}$
assumes $\bigwedge j::\text{nat. ordertype } (F j) \text{ (lenlex less-than)} = \omega\uparrow j$
shows *ordertype* $(\bigcup j. F j) \text{ (lenlex less-than)} = \omega\uparrow\omega$
proof (*rule antisym*)
show *ordertype* $(\bigcup (\text{range } F)) \text{ (lenlex less-than)} \leq \omega \uparrow \omega$
by (*metis ordertype-UNIV-ωω ordertype-mono small top-greatest trans-llt wf-llt*)
have $\bigwedge n. \omega \uparrow \text{ord-of-nat } n \leq \text{ordertype } (\bigcup (\text{range } F)) \text{ (lenlex less-than)}$
by (*metis TC-small Union-upper assms ordertype-mono rangeI trans-llt wf-llt*)

then show $\omega \uparrow \omega \leq \text{ordertype } (\bigcup (\text{range } F)) \text{ (lenlex less-than)}$
by (*auto simp: oexp- ω -Limit ZFC-in-HOL.SUP-le-iff elts- ω*)
qed

definition *WW-seg* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *nat list set*
where *WW-seg* *n* $\equiv \{l \in \text{WW}. \text{length } l = n\}$

lemma *WW-seg-subset-WW*: *WW-seg* *n* \subseteq *WW*
by (*auto simp: WW-seg-def*)

lemma *WW-eq-UN-WW-seg*: *WW* = $(\bigcup n. \text{WW-seg } n)$
by (*auto simp: WW-seg-def*)

lemma *ordertype-list-seg*: *ordertype* $\{l. \text{length } l = n\}$ (*lenlex less-than*) = $\omega \uparrow n$
proof –
have *bij-betw omega-sum* $\{l. \text{length } l = n\}$ (*elts* ($\omega \uparrow n$))
unfolding *WW-seg-def* *bij-betw-def*
by (*auto simp: inj-omega-sum Ord-mem-iff-lt omega-sum-less dest: Ex-omega-sum*)
then show *?thesis*
by (*force simp: ordertype-eq-iff simp flip: eq-omega-sum-less-iff*)
qed

lemma *ordertype-WW-seg*: *ordertype* (*WW-seg* *n*) (*lenlex less-than*) = $\omega \uparrow n$
(is *ordertype* *?W* *?R* = $\omega \uparrow n$)

proof –
have *ordertype* $\{l. \text{length } l = n\}$ *?R* = *ordertype* *?W* *?R*
proof (*subst ordertype-eq-ordertype*)
show $\exists f. \text{bij-betw } f \{l. \text{length } l = n\} \text{ ?W} \wedge (\forall x \in \{l. \text{length } l = n\}. \forall y \in \{l. \text{length } l = n\}. ((f \ x, f \ y) \in \text{lenlex less-than}) = ((x, y) \in \text{lenlex less-than}))$
proof (*intro exI conjI*)
have *inj-on* (*into-WW* 0) $\{l. \text{length } l = n\}$
by (*metis from-into-WW inj-onI*)
then show *bij-betw* (*into-WW* 0) $\{l. \text{length } l = n\}$ *?W*
by (*auto simp: bij-betw-def WW-seg-def WW-eq-range-into length-into-WW*)
qed (*simp add: into-WW-lenlex-iff*)
qed *auto*
then show *?thesis*
using *ordertype-list-seg* **by** *auto*
qed

3.3 Definitions required for the lemmas

3.3.1 Larson's "<"-relation on ordered lists

instantiation *list* :: (*ord*)*ord*
begin

definition $xs < ys \equiv xs \neq [] \wedge ys \neq [] \longrightarrow \text{last } xs < \text{hd } ys$ **for** $xs \ ys :: 'a \text{ list}$

definition $xs \leq ys \equiv xs < ys \vee xs = ys$ for $xs\ ys :: 'a\ list$

instance

by *standard*

end

lemma *less-Nil* [simp]: $xs < [] \ \ [] < xs$
by (*auto simp: less-list-def*)

lemma *less-sets-imp-list-less*:
assumes $list.set\ xs \ll list.set\ ys$
shows $xs < ys$
by (*metis assms last-in-set less-list-def less-sets-def list.set-sel(1)*)

lemma *less-sets-imp-sorted-list-of-set*:
assumes $A \ll B$ *finite A finite B*
shows $list-of\ A < list-of\ B$
by (*simp add: assms less-sets-imp-list-less*)

lemma *sorted-list-of-set-imp-less-sets*:
assumes $xs < ys$ *sorted xs sorted ys*
shows $list.set\ xs \ll list.set\ ys$
using *assms sorted-hd-le sorted-le-last*
by (*force simp: less-list-def less-sets-def intro: order.trans*)

lemma *less-list-iff-less-sets*:
assumes *sorted xs sorted ys*
shows $xs < ys \longleftrightarrow list.set\ xs \ll list.set\ ys$
using *assms sorted-hd-le sorted-le-last*
by (*force simp: less-list-def less-sets-def intro: order.trans*)

lemma *strict-sorted-append-iff*:
 $strict-sorted\ (xs\ @\ ys) \longleftrightarrow xs < ys \wedge strict-sorted\ xs \wedge strict-sorted\ ys$ (*is ?lhs = ?rhs*)
by (*metis less-list-iff-less-sets less-setsD sorted-wrt-append strict-sorted-imp-less-sets strict-sorted-imp-sorted*)

lemma *singleton-less-list-iff*: $sorted\ xs \implies [n] < xs \longleftrightarrow \{..n\} \cap list.set\ xs = \{\}$
apply (*simp add: less-list-def disjoint-iff*)
by (*metis empty-iff less-le-trans list.set(1) list.set-sel(1) not-le sorted-hd-le*)

lemma *less-hd-imp-less*: $xs < [hd\ ys] \implies xs < ys$
by (*simp add: less-list-def*)

lemma *strict-sorted-concat-I*:
assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in list.set\ xs \implies strict-sorted\ x$
 $\bigwedge n. Suc\ n < length\ xs \implies xs!n < xs!Suc\ n$
 $xs \in lists\ (-\ \{\}\}$

```

shows strict-sorted (concat xs)
using assms
proof (induction xs)
  case (Cons x xs)
  then have  $x < \text{concat } xs$ 
    apply (simp add: less-list-def)
    by (metis Compl-iff hd-concat insertI1 length-greater-0-conv length-pos-if-in-set
list.sel(1) lists.cases nth-Cons-0)
  with Cons show ?case
    by (force simp: strict-sorted-append-iff)
qed auto

```

3.4 Nash Williams for lists

3.4.1 Thin sets of lists

```

inductive initial-segment :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where initial-segment xs (xs@ys)

```

```

definition thin :: 'a list set  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where thin A  $\equiv \neg (\exists x y. x \in A \wedge y \in A \wedge x \neq y \wedge \text{initial-segment } x y)$ 

```

```

lemma initial-segment-ne:
  assumes initial-segment xs ys xs  $\neq$  []
  shows  $ys \neq [] \wedge \text{hd } ys = \text{hd } xs$ 
  using assms by (auto elim!: initial-segment.cases)

```

```

lemma take-initial-segment:
  assumes initial-segment xs ys k  $\leq$  length xs
  shows  $\text{take } k \text{ } xs = \text{take } k \text{ } ys$ 
  by (metis append-eq-conv-conj assms initial-segment.cases min-def take-take)

```

```

lemma initial-segment-length-eq:
  assumes initial-segment xs ys length xs = length ys
  shows  $xs = ys$ 
  using assms initial-segment.cases by fastforce

```

```

lemma initial-segment-Nil [simp]: initial-segment [] ys
  by (simp add: initial-segment.simps)

```

```

lemma initial-segment-Cons [simp]: initial-segment (x#xs) (y#ys)  $\longleftrightarrow$  x=y  $\wedge$ 
initial-segment xs ys
  by (metis append-Cons initial-segment.simps list.inject)

```

```

lemma init-segment-iff-initial-segment:
  assumes strict-sorted xs strict-sorted ys
  shows  $\text{init-segment } (\text{list.set } xs) (\text{list.set } ys) \longleftrightarrow \text{initial-segment } xs \text{ } ys$  (is ?lhs =
?rhs)
proof
  assume ?lhs

```

```

then obtain  $S'$  where  $S': list.set\ ys = list.set\ xs \cup S'\ list.set\ xs \ll S'$ 
  by (auto simp: init-segment-def)
then have finite  $S'$ 
  by (metis List.finite-set finite-Un)
have  $ys = xs @ list-of\ S'$ 
  using  $S' \langle strict-sorted\ xs \rangle$ 
proof (induction  $xs$ )
  case Nil
  with  $\langle strict-sorted\ ys \rangle$  show ?case
    by auto
next
  case (Cons  $a\ xs$ )
  with  $\langle finite\ S' \rangle$  have  $ys = a \# xs @ list-of\ S'$ 
    by (metis List.finite-set append-Cons assms(2) sorted-list-of-set-Un sorted-list-of-set-set-of)
  then show ?case
    by (auto simp: Cons)
qed
then show ?rhs
  using initial-segment.intros by blast
next
assume ?rhs
then show ?lhs
proof cases
  case (1  $ys$ )
  with assms(2) show ?thesis
    by (metis init-segment-def set-append sorted-list-of-set-imp-less-sets strict-sorted-append-iff
strict-sorted-imp-sorted)
qed
qed

```

theorem Nash-Williams-WW:

```

fixes  $h :: nat\ list \Rightarrow nat$ 
assumes infinite  $M$  and  $h: h \langle \{l \in A. List.set\ l \subseteq M\} \subseteq \{..<2\}$  and thin  $A\ A$ 
 $\subseteq WW$ 
obtains  $i\ N$  where  $i < 2$  infinite  $N\ N \subseteq M\ h \langle \{l \in A. List.set\ l \subseteq N\} \subseteq \{i\}$ 
proof -
define  $AM$  where  $AM \equiv \{l \in A. List.set\ l \subseteq M\}$ 
have thin-set (list.set '  $A$ )
  using  $\langle thin\ A \rangle \langle A \subseteq WW \rangle$  unfolding thin-def thin-set-def WW-def
  by (auto simp: subset-iff init-segment-iff-initial-segment)
then have thin-set (list.set '  $AM$ )
  by (simp add: AM-def image-subset-iff thin-set-def)
then have Ramsey (list.set '  $AM$ ) 2
  using Nash-Williams-2 by metis
moreover have  $(h \circ list-of) \in list.set \langle AM \rightarrow \{..<2\}$ 
  unfolding AM-def
proof clarsimp
  fix  $l$ 
  assume  $l \in A\ list.set\ l \subseteq M$ 

```

```

then have strict-sorted l
  using WW-def ⟨A ⊆ WW⟩ by blast
then show h (list-of (list.set l)) < 2
  using h ⟨l ∈ A⟩ ⟨list.set l ⊆ M⟩ by auto
qed
ultimately obtain N i where N: N ⊆ M infinite N i < 2
  and list.set ‘AM ∩ Pow N ⊆ (h ∘ list-of) - ‘{i}
  unfolding Ramsey-eq by (metis ⟨infinite M⟩)
then have N-disjoint: (h ∘ list-of) - ‘{1-i} ∩ (list.set ‘AM) ∩ Pow N = {}
  unfolding subset-vimage-iff less-2-cases-iff by force
have h ‘{l ∈ A. list.set l ⊆ N} ⊆ {i}
proof clarify
  fix l
  assume l ∈ A and list.set l ⊆ N
  then have h l < 2
    using h ⟨N ⊆ M⟩ by force
  with ⟨i < 2⟩ have h l ≠ Suc 0 - i ⇒ h l = i
    by (auto simp: eval-nat-numeral less-Suc-eq)
  moreover have strict-sorted l
    using ⟨A ⊆ WW⟩ ⟨l ∈ A⟩ unfolding WW-def by blast
  moreover have h (list-of (list.set l)) = 1 - i ⇒ ¬(list.set l ⊆ N)
    using N-disjoint ⟨N ⊆ M⟩ ⟨l ∈ A⟩ by (auto simp: AM-def)
  ultimately
  show h l = i
    using N ⟨N ⊆ M⟩ ⟨l ∈ A⟩ ⟨list.set l ⊆ N⟩
    by (auto simp: vimage-def set-eq-iff AM-def WW-def subset-iff)
qed
then show thesis
  using that ⟨i < 2⟩ N by auto
qed

```

3.5 Specialised functions on lists

lemma *mem-lists-non-Nil*: $xss \in \text{lists } (- \{\}) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in \text{list.set } xss. x \neq \{\})$
 by *auto*

fun *acc-lengths* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list list} \Rightarrow \text{nat list}$
 where *acc-lengths* acc [] = []
 | *acc-lengths* acc (l#ls) = (acc + length l) # *acc-lengths* (acc + length l) ls

lemma *length-acc-lengths* [*simp*]: $\text{length } (\text{acc-lengths } acc \text{ } ls) = \text{length } ls$
 by (*induction* ls *arbitrary*: acc) *auto*

lemma *acc-lengths-eq-Nil-iff* [*simp*]: $\text{acc-lengths } acc \text{ } ls = [] \longleftrightarrow ls = []$
 by (*metis* *length-0-conv* *length-acc-lengths*)

lemma *set-acc-lengths*:
 assumes $ls \in \text{lists } (- \{\})$ shows $\text{list.set } (\text{acc-lengths } acc \text{ } ls) \subseteq \{\text{acc} < ..\}$
 using *assms* by (*induction* ls *rule*: *acc-lengths.induct*) *fastforce*+

Useful because *acc-lengths.simps* will sometimes be deleted from the simpset.

lemma *hd-acc-lengths* [*simp*]: $hd (acc-lengths\ acc\ (l\#\!l)) = acc + length\ l$
by *simp*

lemma *last-acc-lengths* [*simp*]:
 $ls \neq [] \implies last (acc-lengths\ acc\ ls) = acc + sum-list (map\ length\ ls)$
by (*induction acc ls rule: acc-lengths.induct*) *auto*

lemma *nth-acc-lengths* [*simp*]:
 $\llbracket ls \neq []; k < length\ ls \rrbracket \implies acc-lengths\ acc\ ls\ !\ k = acc + sum-list (map\ length\ (take\ (Suc\ k)\ ls))$
by (*induction acc ls arbitrary: k rule: acc-lengths.induct*) (*fastforce simp: less-Suc-eq nth-Cons*)⁺

lemma *acc-lengths-plus*: $acc-lengths\ (m+n)\ as = map\ ((+)\ m)\ (acc-lengths\ n\ as)$
by (*induction n as arbitrary: m rule: acc-lengths.induct*) (*auto simp: add.assoc*)

lemma *acc-lengths-shift*: *NO-MATCH* $0\ acc \implies acc-lengths\ acc\ as = map\ ((+)\ acc)\ (acc-lengths\ 0\ as)$
by (*metis acc-lengths-plus add.comm-neutral*)

lemma *length-concat-acc-lengths*:
 $ls \neq [] \implies k + length (concat\ ls) \in list.set (acc-lengths\ k\ ls)$
by (*metis acc-lengths-eq-Nil-iff last-acc-lengths last-in-set length-concat*)

lemma *strict-sorted-acc-lengths*:
assumes $ls \in lists\ (-\ \{\!\!\}\})$ **shows** *strict-sorted* (*acc-lengths acc ls*)
using *assms*
proof (*induction ls rule: acc-lengths.induct*)
case ($2\ acc\ l\ ls$)
then have *strict-sorted* (*acc-lengths (acc + length l) ls*)
by *auto*
then show *?case*
using *set-acc-lengths 2.prem* **by** *auto*
qed *auto*

lemma *acc-lengths-append*:
 $acc-lengths\ acc\ (xs\ @\ ys) = acc-lengths\ acc\ xs\ @\ acc-lengths\ (acc + sum-list (map\ length\ xs))\ ys$
by (*induction acc xs rule: acc-lengths.induct*) (*auto simp: add.assoc*)

lemma *length-concat-ge*:
assumes $as \in lists\ (-\ \{\!\!\}\})$
shows $length (concat\ as) \geq length\ as$
using *assms*
proof (*induction as*)

```

case (Cons a as)
then have  $\text{length } a \geq \text{Suc } 0 \wedge l. l \in \text{list.set } as \implies \text{length } l \geq \text{Suc } 0$ 
  by (auto simp: Suc-leI)
then show ?case
  using Cons.IH by force
qed auto

```

```

fun interact :: 'a list list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list
  where
    interact [] ys = concat ys
  | interact xs [] = concat xs
  | interact (x#xs) (y#ys) = x @ y @ interact xs ys

```

```

lemma (in monoid-add) length-interact:
   $\text{length } (\text{interact } xs \ ys) = \text{sum-list } (\text{map length } xs) + \text{sum-list } (\text{map length } ys)$ 
by (induction rule: interact.induct) (auto simp: length-concat)

```

```

lemma length-interact-ge:
  assumes  $xs \in \text{lists } (- \{\{\}\}) \ ys \in \text{lists } (- \{\{\}\})$ 
  shows  $\text{length } (\text{interact } xs \ ys) \geq \text{length } xs + \text{length } ys$ 
by (metis add-mono assms length-concat length-concat-ge length-interact)

```

```

lemma set-interact [simp]:
  shows  $\text{list.set } (\text{interact } xs \ ys) = \text{list.set } (\text{concat } xs) \cup \text{list.set } (\text{concat } ys)$ 
by (induction rule: interact.induct) auto

```

```

lemma interact-eq-Nil-iff [simp]:
  assumes  $xs \in \text{lists } (- \{\{\}\}) \ ys \in \text{lists } (- \{\{\}\})$ 
  shows  $\text{interact } xs \ ys = [] \iff xs=[] \wedge ys=[]$ 
  using length-interact-ge [OF assms] by fastforce

```

```

lemma interact-sing [simp]:  $\text{interact } [x] \ ys = x @ \text{concat } ys$ 
by (metis (no-types) concat.simps(2) interact.simps neq-Nil-conv)

```

```

lemma hd-interact:  $\llbracket xs \neq []; \text{hd } xs \neq [] \rrbracket \implies \text{hd } (\text{interact } xs \ ys) = \text{hd } (\text{hd } xs)$ 
by (smt (verit, best) hd-append2 hd-concat interact.elims list.sel(1))

```

```

lemma acc-lengths-concat-injective:
  assumes  $\text{concat } as' = \text{concat } as \ \text{acc-lengths } n \ as' = \text{acc-lengths } n \ as$ 
  shows  $as' = as$ 
  using assms
proof (induction as arbitrary: n as')
  case Nil
  then show ?case
    by (metis acc-lengths-eq-Nil-iff)
next
  case (Cons a as)
  then obtain  $a' \ bs$  where  $as' = a' \# bs$ 

```

by (*metis Suc-length-conv length-acc-lengths*)
 with *Cons* show ?case
 by *simp*
 qed

lemma *acc-lengths-interact-injective*:

assumes *interact as' bs' = interact as bs acc-lengths a as' = acc-lengths a as*
acc-lengths b bs' = acc-lengths b bs
 shows $as' = as \wedge bs' = bs$
 using *assms*
proof (*induction as bs arbitrary: a b as' bs' rule: interact.induct*)
 case (1 *cs*) then show ?case
 by (*metis acc-lengths-concat-injective acc-lengths-eq-Nil-iff interact.simps(1)*)
next
 case (2 *c cs*)
 then show ?case
 by (*metis acc-lengths-concat-injective acc-lengths-eq-Nil-iff interact.simps(2)*)
list.exhaust
next
 case (3 *x xs y ys*)
 then obtain *a' us b' vs* where $as' = a'\#us$ $bs' = b'\#vs$
 by (*metis length-Suc-conv length-acc-lengths*)
 with 3 show ?case
 by *auto*
 qed

lemma *strict-sorted-interact-I*:

assumes $length\ ys \leq length\ xs$ $length\ xs \leq Suc\ (length\ ys)$
 $\bigwedge x. x \in list.set\ xs \implies strict-sorted\ x$
 $\bigwedge y. y \in list.set\ ys \implies strict-sorted\ y$
 $\bigwedge n. n < length\ ys \implies xs!n < ys!n$
 $\bigwedge n. Suc\ n < length\ xs \implies ys!n < xs!Suc\ n$
 assumes $xs \in lists\ (-\ \{\}\}$ $ys \in lists\ (-\ \{\}\}$
 shows *strict-sorted* (*interact xs ys*)
 using *assms*
proof (*induction rule: interact.induct*)
 case (3 *x xs y ys*)
 then have $x < y$
 by *force*
 moreover have *strict-sorted* (*interact xs ys*)
 using 3 by *simp* (*metis Suc-less-eq nth-Cons-Suc*)
 moreover have $y < interact\ xs\ ys$
 using 3 apply (*simp add: less-list-def*)
 by (*metis hd-interact le-zero-eq length-greater-0-conv list.sel(1) list.set-sel(1)*)
list.size(3) lists.simps mem-lists-non-Nil nth-Cons-0
 ultimately show ?case
 using 3 by (*simp add: strict-sorted-append-iff less-list-def*)
 qed *auto*

3.6 Forms and interactions

3.6.1 Forms

inductive *Form-Body* :: [nat, nat, nat list, nat list, nat list] ⇒ bool
where *Form-Body* ka kb xs ys zs
if length xs < length ys xs = concat (a#as) ys = concat (b#bs)
a#as ∈ lists (- {[]}) b#bs ∈ lists (- {[]})
length (a#as) = ka length (b#bs) = kb
c = acc-lengths 0 (a#as)
d = acc-lengths 0 (b#bs)
zs = concat [c, a, d, b] @ interact as bs
strict-sorted zs

inductive *Form* :: [nat, nat list set] ⇒ bool
where *Form* 0 {xs,ys} **if** length xs = length ys xs ≠ ys
| *Form* (2*k-1) {xs,ys} **if** *Form-Body* k k xs ys zs k > 0
| *Form* (2*k) {xs,ys} **if** *Form-Body* (Suc k) k xs ys zs k > 0

inductive-cases *Form-0-cases-raw*: *Form* 0 u

lemma *Form-elim-upair*:

assumes *Form* l U

obtains xs ys **where** xs ≠ ys U = {xs,ys} length xs ≤ length ys

using *assms*

by (smt (verit, best) *Form.simps Form-Body.cases less-or-eq-imp-le nat-neq-iff*)

lemma **assumes** *Form-Body* ka kb xs ys zs

shows *Form-Body-WW*: zs ∈ WW

and *Form-Body-nonempty*: length zs > 0

and *Form-Body-length*: length xs < length ys

using *Form-Body.cases [OF assms]* **by** (fastforce simp: *WW-def*)⁺

lemma *form-cases*:

fixes l::nat

obtains (zero) l = 0 | (nz) ka kb **where** l = ka+kb - 1 0 < kb kb ≤ ka ka ≤ Suc kb

proof -

have l = 0 ∨ (∃ ka kb. l = ka+kb - 1 ∧ 0 < kb ∧ kb ≤ ka ∧ ka ≤ Suc kb)

by *presburger*

then show *thesis*

using *nz zero* **by** *blast*

qed

3.6.2 Interactions

lemma *interact*:

assumes *Form* l U l > 0

obtains $ka\ kb\ xs\ ys\ zs$ **where** $l = ka+kb - 1$ $U = \{xs,ys\}$ *Form-Body* $ka\ kb\ xs\ ys\ zs$ $0 < kb\ kb \leq ka\ ka \leq Suc\ kb$
using *assms*
unfolding *Form.simps*
by (*smt (verit, best) add-Suc diff-Suc-1 lessI mult-2 nat-less-le order-refl*)

definition *inter-scheme* :: $nat \Rightarrow nat\ list\ set \Rightarrow nat\ list$

where *inter-scheme* $l\ U \equiv$
 $SOME\ zs.\ \exists k\ xs\ ys.\ U = \{xs,ys\} \wedge$
 $(l = 2*k-1 \wedge Form-Body\ k\ k\ xs\ ys\ zs \vee l = 2*k \wedge Form-Body\ (Suc\ k)$
 $k\ xs\ ys\ zs)$

lemma *inter-scheme*:

assumes *Form* $l\ U\ l > 0$
obtains $ka\ kb\ xs\ ys$ **where** $l = ka+kb - 1$ $U = \{xs,ys\}$ *Form-Body* $ka\ kb\ xs\ ys$
 $(inter-scheme\ l\ U)\ 0 < kb\ kb \leq ka\ ka \leq Suc\ kb$
using *interact [OF ⟨Form l U⟩]*
proof *cases*
case $(2\ ka\ kb\ xs\ ys\ zs)$
then have $\S: \bigwedge ka\ kb\ zs.\ \neg Form-Body\ ka\ kb\ ys\ xs\ zs$
using *Form-Body-length less-asym'* **by** *blast*
have *Form-Body* $ka\ kb\ xs\ ys\ (inter-scheme\ l\ U)$
proof (*cases* $ka = kb$)
case *True*
with 2 **have** $l: \forall k.\ l \neq k * 2$
by *presburger*
have [*simp*]: $\bigwedge k.\ kb + kb - Suc\ 0 = k * 2 - Suc\ 0 \longleftrightarrow k=kb$
by *auto*
show *?thesis*
unfolding *inter-scheme-def* **using** $2\ l\ True$
by (*auto simp: § ⟨l > 0⟩ Set.doubleton-eq-iff conj-disj-distribR ex-disj-distrib algebra-simps some-eq-ex*)
next
case *False*
with 2 **have** $l: \forall k.\ l \neq k * 2 - Suc\ 0$ **and** [*simp*]: $ka = Suc\ kb$
by *presburger+*
have [*simp*]: $\bigwedge k.\ kb + kb = k * 2 \longleftrightarrow k=kb$
by *auto*
show *?thesis*
unfolding *inter-scheme-def* **using** $2\ l\ False$
by (*auto simp: § ⟨l > 0⟩ Set.doubleton-eq-iff conj-disj-distribR ex-disj-distrib algebra-simps some-eq-ex*)
qed
then show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: 2 that*)
qed (*use ⟨l > 0⟩ in auto*)

lemma *inter-scheme-strict-sorted*:
assumes $Form\ l\ U\ l > 0$
shows *strict-sorted* (*inter-scheme* $l\ U$)
using *Form-Body.simps* *assms* *inter-scheme* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *inter-scheme-simple*:
assumes $Form\ l\ U\ l > 0$
shows $inter-scheme\ l\ U \in WW \wedge length\ (inter-scheme\ l\ U) > 0$
using *inter-scheme* [*OF* *assms*] **by** (*meson* *Form-Body-WW* *Form-Body-nonempty*)

3.6.3 Injectivity of interactions

proposition *inter-scheme-injective*:

assumes $Form\ l\ U\ Form\ l\ U'\ l > 0$ **and** $eq: inter-scheme\ l\ U' = inter-scheme\ l\ U$

shows $U' = U$

proof –

obtain $ka\ kb\ xs\ ys$

where $l: l = ka + kb - 1$ **and** $U: U = \{xs, ys\}$
and $FB: Form-Body\ ka\ kb\ xs\ ys\ (inter-scheme\ l\ U)$
and $kb: 0 < kb\ kb \leq ka\ ka \leq Suc\ kb$
using *assms* *inter-scheme* **by** *blast*

then obtain $a\ as\ b\ bs\ c\ d$

where $xs: xs = concat\ (a\ \#as)$ **and** $ys: ys = concat\ (b\ \#bs)$
and $len: length\ (a\ \#as) = ka\ length\ (b\ \#bs) = kb$
and $c: c = acc-lengths\ 0\ (a\ \#as)$
and $d: d = acc-lengths\ 0\ (b\ \#bs)$
and $Ueq: inter-scheme\ l\ U = concat\ [c,\ a,\ d,\ b] @ interact\ as\ bs$
by (*auto simp: Form-Body.simps*)

obtain $ka'\ kb'\ xs'\ ys'$

where $l': l = ka' + kb' - 1$ **and** $U': U' = \{xs', ys'\}$
and $FB': Form-Body\ ka'\ kb'\ xs'\ ys'\ (inter-scheme\ l\ U')$
and $kb': 0 < kb'\ kb' \leq ka'\ ka' \leq Suc\ kb'$
using *assms* *inter-scheme* **by** *blast*

then obtain $a'\ as'\ b'\ bs'\ c'\ d'$

where $xs': xs' = concat\ (a'\ \#as')$ **and** $ys': ys' = concat\ (b'\ \#bs')$
and $len': length\ (a'\ \#as') = ka'\ length\ (b'\ \#bs') = kb'$
and $c': c' = acc-lengths\ 0\ (a'\ \#as')$
and $d': d' = acc-lengths\ 0\ (b'\ \#bs')$
and $Ueq': inter-scheme\ l\ U' = concat\ [c',\ a',\ d',\ b'] @ interact\ as'\ bs'$
using *Form-Body.simps* **by** *auto*

have [*simp*]: $ka' = ka \wedge kb' = kb$

using $\langle l > 0 \rangle\ l\ l'\ kb\ kb'\ le-SucE\ le-antisym\ mult-2$ **by** *linarith*

have [*simp*]: $length\ c = length\ c'\ length\ d = length\ d'$

using $c\ c'\ d\ d'\ len'\ len$ **by** *auto*

have *c-off*: $c' = c\ a' @ d' @ b' @ interact\ as'\ bs' = a @ d @ b @ interact\ as\ bs$

using *eq* **by** (*auto simp: Ueq Ueq'*)

then have *len-a*: $length\ a' = length\ a$

by (*metis* *acc-lengths.simps*(2) *add.left-neutral* $c\ c'\ nth-Cons-0$)

with $c\text{-off}$ **have** \S : $a' = a \ d' = d \ b' @ \text{interact } as' \ bs' = b @ \text{interact } as \ bs$
by *auto*
then have $\text{length } (\text{interact } as' \ bs') = \text{length } (\text{interact } as \ bs)$
by (*metis acc-lengths.simps(2) add-left-cancel append-eq-append-conv d d' list.inject*)
with \S **have** $b' = b \ \text{interact } as' \ bs' = \text{interact } as \ bs$
by *auto*
moreover have $\text{acc-lengths } 0 \ as' = \text{acc-lengths } 0 \ as$
using $\langle a' = a \rangle \langle c' = c \rangle$ **by** (*simp add: c' c acc-lengths-shift*)
moreover have $\text{acc-lengths } 0 \ bs' = \text{acc-lengths } 0 \ bs$
using $\langle b' = b \rangle \langle d' = d \rangle$ **by** (*simp add: d' d acc-lengths-shift*)
ultimately have $as' = as \wedge bs' = bs$
using *acc-lengths-interact-injective* **by** *blast*
then show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: \langle a' = a \rangle U U' \langle b' = b \rangle xs xs' ys ys'*)
qed

lemma *strict-sorted-interact-imp-concat*:
 $\text{strict-sorted } (\text{interact } as \ bs) \implies \text{strict-sorted } (\text{concat } as) \wedge \text{strict-sorted } (\text{concat } bs)$
proof (*induction as bs rule: interact.induct*)
case $(\exists x \ xs \ y \ ys)$
have $x < \text{concat } xs$
using *\3.prem*s
by (*smt (verit, del-insts) Un-iff hd-in-set interact.simps(3) last-in-set less-list-def set-append set-interact sorted-wrt-append*)
moreover have $y < \text{concat } ys$
using *\3 sorted-wrt-append strict-sorted-append-iff* **by** *fastforce*
ultimately show *?case*
using *\3* **by** (*auto simp add: strict-sorted-append-iff*)
qed *auto*

lemma *strict-sorted-interact-hd*:
 $\llbracket \text{strict-sorted } (\text{interact } cs \ ds); cs \neq []; ds \neq []; hd \ cs \neq []; hd \ ds \neq [] \rrbracket$
 $\implies hd \ (hd \ cs) < hd \ (hd \ ds)$
by (*metis append-is-Nil-conv hd-append2 hd-in-set interact.simps(3) list.exhaust-sel sorted-wrt-append*)

the lengths of the two lists can differ by one

proposition *interaction-scheme-unique-aux*:
assumes $\text{concat } as = \text{concat } as' \ \text{and } ys': \text{concat } bs = \text{concat } bs'$
and $as \in \text{lists } (- \{\}\}) \ bs \in \text{lists } (- \{\}\})$
and $\text{strict-sorted } (\text{interact } as \ bs)$
and $\text{length } bs \leq \text{length } as \ \text{length } as \leq \text{Suc } (\text{length } bs)$
and $as' \in \text{lists } (- \{\}\}) \ bs' \in \text{lists } (- \{\}\})$
and $\text{strict-sorted } (\text{interact } as' \ bs')$
and $\text{length } bs' \leq \text{length } as' \ \text{length } as' \leq \text{Suc } (\text{length } bs')$
and $\text{length } as = \text{length } as' \ \text{length } bs = \text{length } bs'$

```

shows  $as = as' \wedge bs = bs'$ 
using assms
proof (induction length as arbitrary: as bs as' bs')
  case 0 then show ?case
    by auto
next
  case (Suc k)
  show ?case
  proof (cases k)
    case 0
    then obtain a a' where  $aa': as = [a] \ as' = [a']$ 
      by (metis Suc.hyps(2) <length as = length as'> Suc-length-conv length-0-conv)
    show ?thesis
  proof
    show  $as = as'$ 
      using  $aa' \langle concat\ as = concat\ as' \rangle$  by force
    with Suc 0 show  $bs = bs'$ 
      by (metis Suc-leI append-Nil2 concat.simps impossible-Cons le-antisym
length-greater-0-conv list.exhaust)
    qed
  next
  case (Suc k')
  then obtain a cs b ds where  $eq: as = a \# cs \ bs = b \# ds$ 
    using Suc.prems
    by (metis Suc.hyps(2) le0 list.exhaust list.size(3) not-less-eq-eq)
  have  $length\ as' \neq 0$ 
    using Suc.hyps(2) <length as = length as'> by force
  then obtain a' cs' b' ds' where  $eq': as' = a' \# cs' \ bs' = b' \# ds'$ 
    by (metis <length bs = length bs'> eq(2) length-0-conv list.exhaust)
  obtain k:  $k = length\ cs \ k \leq Suc\ (length\ ds)$ 
    using  $eq \langle Suc\ k = length\ as \rangle \langle length\ bs \leq length\ as \rangle \langle length\ as \leq Suc\ (length\$ 
bs)> by auto
  case (Suc k')
  obtain [simp]:  $b \neq [] \ b' \neq [] \ a \neq [] \ a' \neq []$ 
    using Suc.prems by (simp add: eq eq')
  then have  $hd\ b' = hd\ b$ 
    using Suc.prems(2) by (metis concat.simps(2) eq'(2) eq(2) hd-append2)
  have ss-ab: strict-sorted (concat as) strict-sorted (concat bs)
    using strict-sorted-interact-imp-concat Suc.prems(5) by blast+
  have sw-ab: strict-sorted (a @ b @ interact cs ds)
    by (metis Suc.prems(5) eq interact.simps(3))
  then obtain  $a < b$  strict-sorted a strict-sorted b
    by (metis append-assoc strict-sorted-append-iff)
  have b-cs: strict-sorted (concat (b # cs))
    by (metis append.simps(1) concat.simps(2) interact.simps(3) strict-sorted-interact-imp-concat
sw-ab)
  then have  $b < concat\ cs$ 
    using strict-sorted-append-iff by auto
  have strict-sorted (a @ concat cs)

```

```

    using eq(1) ss-ab(1) by force
  have list.set a = list.set (concat as) ∩ {.. $hd\ b$ }
  proof -
    have  $x \in list.set\ a$ 
      if  $x < hd\ b$  and  $l \in list.set\ cs$  and  $x \in list.set\ l$  for  $x\ l$ 
      using b-cs sorted-hd-le strict-sorted-imp-sorted that by fastforce
    then show ?thesis
      using  $\langle b \neq [] \rangle sw-ab$  by (force simp: strict-sorted-append-iff sorted-wrt-append
eq)
  qed
  moreover
  have ss-ab': strict-sorted (concat as') strict-sorted (concat bs')
    using strict-sorted-interact-imp-concat Suc.prem(10) by blast+
  have sw-ab': strict-sorted (a' @ b' @ interact cs' ds')
    by (metis Suc.prem(10) eq' interact.simp(3))
  then obtain  $a' < b'$  strict-sorted a' strict-sorted b'
    by (metis append-assoc strict-sorted-append-iff)
  have b-cs': strict-sorted (concat (b' # cs'))
  by (metis (no-types) Suc.prem(10) append-Nil eq' interact.simp(3) strict-sorted-append-iff
strict-sorted-interact-imp-concat)
  then have  $b' < concat\ cs'$ 
    by (simp add: strict-sorted-append-iff)
  then have  $hd\ b' \notin list.set\ (concat\ cs')$ 
    by (metis Un-iff  $\langle b' \neq [] \rangle list.set-sel(1)$  not-less-iff-gr-or-eq set-interact
sorted-wrt-append sw-ab')
  have strict-sorted (a' @ concat cs')
    using eq'(1) ss-ab'(1) by force
  then have b-cs': strict-sorted (b' @ concat cs')
    using  $\langle b' < concat\ cs' \rangle eq'(2)$  ss-ab'(2) strict-sorted-append-iff by auto
  have list.set a' = list.set (concat as') ∩ {.. $hd\ b'$ }
  proof -
    have  $x \in list.set\ a'$ 
      if  $x < hd\ b'$  and  $l \in list.set\ cs'$  and  $x \in list.set\ l$  for  $x\ l$ 
      using b-cs' sorted-hd-le strict-sorted-imp-sorted that by fastforce
    then show ?thesis
      using  $\langle b' \neq [] \rangle sw-ab'$  by (force simp: strict-sorted-append-iff sorted-wrt-append
eq')
  qed
  ultimately have  $a = a'$ 
    by (simp add: Suc.prem(1)  $\langle hd\ b' = hd\ b \rangle \langle strict-sorted\ a' \rangle \langle strict-sorted\ a \rangle$ 
strict-sorted-equal)
  moreover
  have ccat-cs-cs': concat cs = concat cs'
    using Suc.prem(1)  $\langle a = a' \rangle eq'(1)$  eq(1) by fastforce
  have  $b = b'$ 
  proof (cases  $ds = [] \vee ds' = []$ )
  case True
  then show ?thesis
    using  $\langle length\ bs = length\ bs' \rangle Suc.prem(2)$  eq'(2) eq(2) by auto

```

```

next
  case False
  then have  $ds \neq []$   $ds' \neq []$  sorted (concat ds) sorted (concat ds')
  using eq(2) ss-ab(2) eq'(2) ss-ab'(2) strict-sorted-append-iff strict-sorted-imp-sorted
by auto
  have strict-sorted b strict-sorted b'
  using b-cs b-cs' sorted-wrt-append by auto
  moreover
  have  $cs \neq []$ 
  using k local.Suc by auto
  then obtain  $hd\ cs \neq []$   $hd\ ds \neq []$ 
  using Suc.prem(3) Suc.prem(4) eq list.set-sel(1)
  by (simp add: <ds ≠ []> mem-lists-non-Nil)
  then have  $concat\ cs \neq []$ 
  using <cs ≠ []> hd-in-set by auto
  have  $hd\ (concat\ cs) < hd\ (concat\ ds)$ 
  using strict-sorted-interact-hd
  by (metis <cs ≠ []> <ds ≠ []> <hd cs ≠ []> <hd ds ≠ []> hd-concat sorted-wrt-append
sw-ab)

  have  $list.set\ b = list.set\ (concat\ bs) \cap \{..< hd\ (concat\ cs)\}$ 
  proof -
  have  $1: x \in list.set\ b$ 
  if  $x < hd\ (concat\ cs)$  and  $l \in list.set\ ds$  and  $x \in list.set\ l$  for  $x\ l$ 
  using <hd (concat cs) < hd (concat ds)> <sorted (concat ds)> sorted-hd-le
that by fastforce
  have  $2: l < hd\ (concat\ cs)$  if  $l \in list.set\ b$  for  $l$ 
  by (meson <b < concat cs> <b ≠ []> <concat cs ≠ []> <strict-sorted b>
le-less-trans less-list-def sorted-le-last strict-sorted-imp-sorted that)
  show ?thesis
  using  $1\ 2$  by (auto simp: strict-sorted-append-iff sorted-wrt-append eq)
qed
  moreover
  have  $cs' \neq []$ 
  using k local.Suc <concat cs ≠ []> ccat-cs-cs' by auto
  then obtain  $hd\ cs' \neq []$   $hd\ ds' \neq []$ 
  using Suc.prem(8,9) <ds' ≠ []> eq'(1) eq'(2) list.set-sel(1) by auto
  then have  $concat\ cs' \neq []$ 
  using <cs' ≠ []> hd-in-set by auto
  have  $hd\ (concat\ cs') < hd\ (concat\ ds')$ 
  using strict-sorted-interact-hd
  by (metis <cs' ≠ []> <ds' ≠ []> <hd cs' ≠ []> <hd ds' ≠ []> hd-concat
sorted-wrt-append sw-ab')
  have  $list.set\ b' = list.set\ (concat\ bs') \cap \{..< hd\ (concat\ cs')\}$ 
  proof -
  have  $1: x \in list.set\ b'$ 
  if  $x < hd\ (concat\ cs')$  and  $l \in list.set\ ds'$  and  $x \in list.set\ l$  for  $x\ l$ 
  using <hd (concat cs') < hd (concat ds')> <sorted (concat ds')> sorted-hd-le
that by fastforce

```

```

    have 2:  $l < hd (concat\ cs')$  if  $l \in list.set\ b'$  for  $l$ 
      by (metis  $\langle concat\ cs' \neq [] \rangle b\text{-}cs'\ list.set\text{-}sel(1)$  sorted-wrt-append that)
    show ?thesis
      using 1 2 by (auto simp: strict-sorted-append-iff sorted-wrt-append eq')
  qed
  ultimately show  $b = b'$ 
    by (simp add: Suc.prem(2) ccat-cs-cs' strict-sorted-equal)
  qed
  moreover
  have  $cs = cs' \wedge ds = ds'$ 
  proof (rule Suc.hyps)
    show  $k = length\ cs$ 
      using eq Suc.hyps(2) by auto[1]
    show  $concat\ ds = concat\ ds'$ 
      using Suc.prem(2)  $\langle b = b' \rangle eq'(2)$  eq(2) by auto
    show strict-sorted (interact cs ds)
      using eq Suc.prem(5) strict-sorted-append-iff by auto
    show  $length\ ds \leq length\ cs$   $length\ cs \leq Suc\ (length\ ds)$ 
      using eq Suc.hyps(2) Suc.prem(6)  $k$  by auto
    show strict-sorted (interact cs' ds')
      using eq' Suc.prem(10) strict-sorted-append-iff by auto
    show  $length\ cs = length\ cs'$ 
      using Suc.hyps(2) Suc.prem(13) eq'(1)  $k(1)$  by force
  qed (use ccat-cs-cs' eq eq' Suc.prem in auto)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (simp add:  $\langle a = a' \rangle \langle b = b' \rangle eq\ eq'$ )
  qed
  qed

```

proposition *Form-Body-unique:*

assumes *Form-Body* $ka\ kb\ xs\ ys\ zs$ *Form-Body* $ka\ kb\ xs\ ys\ zs'$ and $kb \leq ka$ $ka \leq Suc\ kb$

shows $zs' = zs$

proof –

obtain $a\ as\ b\ bs\ c\ d$

where $xs: xs = concat\ (a\#\ as)$ and $ys: ys = concat\ (b\#\ bs)$

and $ne: a\#\ as \in lists\ (-\ \{\}\}$ $b\#\ bs \in lists\ (-\ \{\}\}$

and $len: length\ (a\#\ as) = ka$ $length\ (b\#\ bs) = kb$

and $c: c = acc\text{-}lengths\ 0\ (a\#\ as)$

and $d: d = acc\text{-}lengths\ 0\ (b\#\ bs)$

and $Ueq: zs = concat\ [c, a, d, b]$ @ interact $as\ bs$

and $ss\text{-}zs: strict\text{-}sorted\ zs$

using *Form-Body.cases* [OF *assms*(1)] by (metis (no-types))

obtain $a'\ as'\ b'\ bs'\ c'\ d'$

where $xs': xs = concat\ (a'\#\ as')$ and $ys': ys = concat\ (b'\#\ bs')$

and $ne': a'\#\ as' \in lists\ (-\ \{\}\}$ $b'\#\ bs' \in lists\ (-\ \{\}\}$

and $len': length\ (a'\#\ as') = ka$ $length\ (b'\#\ bs') = kb$

and $c': c' = acc\text{-}lengths\ 0\ (a'\#\ as')$

```

    and d': d' = acc-lengths 0 (b'#bs')
    and Ueq': zs' = concat [c', a', d', b'] @ interact as' bs'
    and ss-zs': strict-sorted zs'
  using Form-Body.cases [OF assms(2)] by (metis (no-types))
  have [simp]: length c = length c' length d = length d'
  using c c' d d' len' len by auto
  note acc-lengths.simps [simp del]
  have a < b
  using ss-zs by (auto simp: Ueq strict-sorted-append-iff less-list-def c d)
  have a' < b'
  using ss-zs' by (auto simp: Ueq' strict-sorted-append-iff less-list-def c' d')
  have a#as = a'#as' ^ b#bs = b'#bs'
  proof (rule interaction-scheme-unique-aux)
    show strict-sorted (interact (a # as) (b # bs))
    using ss-zs <a < b> by (auto simp: Ueq strict-sorted-append-iff less-list-def d)
    show strict-sorted (interact (a' # as') (b' # bs'))
    using ss-zs' <a' < b'> by (auto simp: Ueq' strict-sorted-append-iff less-list-def
d')
    show length (b # bs) ≤ length (a # as) length (b' # bs') ≤ length (a' # as')
    using <kb ≤ ka> len len' by auto
    show length (a # as) ≤ Suc (length (b # bs))
    using <ka ≤ Suc kb> len by linarith
    then show length (a' # as') ≤ Suc (length (b' # bs'))
    using len len' by fastforce
  qed (use len len' xs xs' ys ys' ne ne' in fastforce)+
  then show ?thesis
  using Ueq Ueq' c c' d d' by blast
qed

```

lemma *Form-Body-imp-inter-scheme:*

```

  assumes FB: Form-Body ka kb xs ys zs and 0 < kb kb ≤ ka ka ≤ Suc kb
  shows zs = inter-scheme ((ka+kb) - Suc 0) {xs,ys}
  proof -
    have length xs < length ys
    by (meson Form-Body-length assms(1))
    have [simp]: a + a = b + b ↔ a=b a + a - Suc 0 = b + b - Suc 0 ↔
a=b for a b::nat
    by auto
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases ka = kb)
      case True
      show ?thesis
      unfolding inter-scheme-def
      apply (rule some-equality [symmetric], metis One-nat-def True FB mult-2)
      subgoal for zs'
      using assms <length xs < length ys>
      by (auto simp: True mult-2 Set.doubleton-eq-iff Form-Body-unique dest:
Form-Body-length, presburger)
    qed
  qed

```



```

done
next
case False
then have eq:  $ka = \text{Suc } kb$ 
  using assms by linarith
show ?thesis
  unfolding inter-scheme-def
  apply (rule some-equality [symmetric], use assms False mult-2 one-is-add eq
in fastforce)
  subgoal for zs'
    using assms  $\langle \text{length } xs < \text{length } ys \rangle$ 
    by (auto simp: eq mult-2 Set.doubleton-eq-iff Form-Body-unique dest:
Form-Body-length, presburger)
  done
qed
qed

```

3.7 For Lemma 3.8 AND PROBABLY 3.7

definition *grab* :: $\text{nat set} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat set} \times \text{nat set}$
 where $\text{grab } N n \equiv (N \cap \text{enumerate } N \text{ ' } \{..<n\}, N \cap \{\text{enumerate } N n..\})$

lemma *grab-0* [*simp*]: $\text{grab } N 0 = (\{\}, N)$
 by (*fastforce simp*: *grab-def enumerate-0 Least-le*)

lemma *less-sets-grab*:
 $\text{infinite } N \Longrightarrow \text{fst } (\text{grab } N n) \ll \text{snd } (\text{grab } N n)$
 by (auto *simp*: *grab-def less-sets-def intro*: *enumerate-mono less-le-trans*)

lemma *finite-grab* [*iff*]: $\text{finite } (\text{fst } (\text{grab } N n))$
 by (*simp add*: *grab-def*)

lemma *card-grab* [*simp*]:
 assumes *infinite* *N* shows $\text{card } (\text{fst } (\text{grab } N n)) = n$
proof –
 have $N \cap \text{enumerate } N \text{ ' } \{..<n\} = \text{enumerate } N \text{ ' } \{..<n\}$
 using *assms* by (auto *simp*: *enumerate-in-set*)
 with *assms* show *?thesis*
 by (*simp add*: *card-image grab-def strict-mono-enum strict-mono-imp-inj-on*)
qed

lemma *fst-grab-subset*: $\text{fst } (\text{grab } N n) \subseteq N$
 using *grab-def range-enum* by *fastforce*

lemma *snd-grab-subset*: $\text{snd } (\text{grab } N n) \subseteq N$
 by (auto *simp*: *grab-def*)

lemma *grab-Un-eq*:
 assumes *infinite* *N* shows $\text{fst } (\text{grab } N n) \cup \text{snd } (\text{grab } N n) = N$

proof

show $N \subseteq \text{fst}(\text{grab } N \ n) \cup \text{snd}(\text{grab } N \ n)$
unfolding *grab-def*
using *assms enumerate-Ex le-less-linear strict-mono-enum strict-mono-less* **by**
fastforce
qed (*simp add: grab-def*)

lemma *finite-grab-iff* [*simp*]: $\text{finite}(\text{snd}(\text{grab } N \ n)) \longleftrightarrow \text{finite } N$
by (*metis finite-grab grab-Un-eq infinite-Un infinite-super snd-grab-subset*)

lemma *grab-eqD*:

$\llbracket \text{grab } N \ n = (A, M); \text{infinite } N \rrbracket$
 $\implies A \ll M \wedge \text{finite } A \wedge \text{card } A = n \wedge \text{infinite } M \wedge A \subseteq N \wedge M \subseteq N$
using *card-grab grab-def less-sets-grab finite-grab-iff* **by** *auto*

lemma *less-sets-fst-grab*: $A \ll N \implies A \ll \text{fst}(\text{grab } N \ n)$
by (*simp add: fst-grab-subset less-sets-weaken2*)

Possibly redundant, given *grab*

definition *nxt* **where** $\text{nxt} \equiv \lambda N. \lambda n::\text{nat}. N \cap \{n<..\}$

lemma *infinite-nxtN*: $\text{infinite } N \implies \text{infinite}(\text{nxt } N \ n)$
by (*simp add: infinite-nat-greaterThan nxt-def*)

lemma *nxt-subset*: $\text{nxt } N \ n \subseteq N$
unfolding *nxt-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *nxt-subset-greaterThan*: $m \leq n \implies \text{nxt } N \ n \subseteq \{m<..\}$
by (*auto simp: nxt-def*)

lemma *nxt-subset-atLeast*: $m \leq n \implies \text{nxt } N \ n \subseteq \{m..\}$
by (*auto simp: nxt-def*)

lemma *enum-nxt-ge*: $\text{infinite } N \implies a \leq \text{enum}(\text{nxt } N \ a) \ n$
by (*simp add: atLeast-le-enum infinite-nxtN nxt-subset-atLeast*)

lemma *inj-enum-nxt*: $\text{infinite } N \implies \text{inj-on}(\text{enum}(\text{nxt } N \ a)) \ A$
by (*simp add: infinite-nxtN strict-mono-enum strict-mono-imp-inj-on*)

3.8 Larson's Lemma 3.11

Again from Jean A. Larson, A short proof of a partition theorem for the ordinal ω^ω . *Annals of Mathematical Logic*, 6:129–145, 1973.

lemma *lemma-3-11*:

assumes $l > 0$
shows *thin* (*inter-scheme* $l \cdot \{U. \text{Form } l \ U\}$)
using *form-cases* [*of l*]

proof *cases*

case *zero*

```

then show ?thesis
  using assms by auto
next
  case (nz ka kb)
  note acc-lengths.simps [simp del]
  show ?thesis
    unfolding thin-def
  proof clarify
    fix U U'
      assume ne: inter-scheme l U ≠ inter-scheme l U' and init: initial-segment
      (inter-scheme l U) (inter-scheme l U')
      assume Form l U
      then obtain kp kq xs ys where  $l = kp+kq - 1$   $U = \{xs,ys\}$ 
        and U: Form-Body kp kq xs ys (inter-scheme l U) and 0 < kq kq ≤ kp
         $kp ≤ Suc\ kq$ 
        using assms inter-scheme by blast
      then have  $kp = ka \wedge kq = kb$ 
        using nz by linarith
      then obtain a as b bs c d
        where len: length (a#as) = ka length (b#bs) = kb
          and c: c = acc-lengths 0 (a#as)
          and d: d = acc-lengths 0 (b#bs)
          and Ueq: inter-scheme l U = concat [c, a, d, b] @ interact as bs
        using U by (auto simp: Form-Body.simps)
      assume Form l U'
      then obtain kp' kq' xs' ys' where  $l = kp'+kq' - 1$   $U' = \{xs',ys'\}$ 
        and U': Form-Body kp' kq' xs' ys' (inter-scheme l U') and 0 < kq' kq'
         $≤ kp' kp' ≤ Suc\ kq'$ 
        using assms inter-scheme by blast
      then have  $kp' = ka \wedge kq' = kb$ 
        using nz by linarith
      then obtain a' as' b' bs' c' d'
        where len': length (a'#as') = ka length (b'#bs') = kb
          and c': c' = acc-lengths 0 (a'#as')
          and d': d' = acc-lengths 0 (b'#bs')
          and Ueq': inter-scheme l U' = concat [c', a', d', b'] @ interact as' bs'
        using U' by (auto simp: Form-Body.simps)
      have [simp]:  $length\ bs' = length\ bs\ length\ as' = length\ as$ 
        using len len' by auto
      have inter-scheme l U ≠ [] inter-scheme l U' ≠ []
        using Form-Body-nonempty U U' by auto
      define u1 where  $u1 ≡ hd (inter-scheme l U)$ 
      have u1-eq': u1 = hd (inter-scheme l U')
        using  $\langle inter-scheme\ l\ U\ ≠\ [] \rangle$  init u1-def initial-segment-ne by fastforce
      have au1: u1 = length a
        by (simp add: u1-def Ueq c)
      have au1': u1 = length a'
        by (simp add: u1-eq' Ueq' c')
      have len-eqk: length c' = ka length d' = kb length c' = ka length d' = kb

```

```

using  $c\ d\ len\ c'\ d'\ len'$  by auto
have take:  $take\ (ka + u1 + kb)\ (c\ @\ a\ @\ d\ @\ l) = c\ @\ a\ @\ d$ 
            $take\ (ka + u1 + kb)\ (c'\ @\ a'\ @\ d'\ @\ l) = c'\ @\ a'\ @\ d'$  for  $l$ 
using  $c\ d\ c'\ d'\ len$  by (simp-all flip: au1 au1')
have  $leU$ :  $ka + u1 + kb \leq length\ (inter-scheme\ l\ U)$ 
using  $c\ d\ len$  by (simp add: au1 Ueq)
then have  $take\ (ka + u1 + kb)\ (inter-scheme\ l\ U) = take\ (ka + u1 + kb)$ 
(inter-scheme l U')
using take-initial-segment init by blast
then have  $\S$ :  $c\ @\ a\ @\ d = c'\ @\ a'\ @\ d'$ 
by (metis Ueq Ueq' append.assoc concat.simps(2) take)
have  $length\ (inter-scheme\ l\ U) = ka + (c\ @\ a\ @\ d)!(ka-1) + kb + last\ d$ 
by (simp add: Ueq c d length-interact nth-append flip: len)
moreover have  $length\ (inter-scheme\ l\ U') = ka + (c'\ @\ a'\ @\ d')!(ka-1) +$ 
 $kb + last\ d'$ 
by (simp add: Ueq' c' d' length-interact nth-append flip: len')
moreover have  $last\ d = last\ d'$ 
using  $\S\ c\ d\ d'\ len'(1)\ len-ek(1)$  by auto
ultimately have  $length\ (inter-scheme\ l\ U) = length\ (inter-scheme\ l\ U')$ 
by (simp add: \S)
then show False
using init initial-segment-length-eq ne by blast
qed
qed

```

3.9 Larson's Lemma 3.6

proposition *lemma-3-6*:

```

fixes  $g :: nat\ list\ set \Rightarrow nat$ 
assumes  $g$ :  $g \in [WW]^2 \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ 
obtains  $N\ j$  where infinite N
and  $\bigwedge k\ u.$   $\llbracket k > 0; u \in [WW]^2; Form\ k\ u; [enum\ N\ k] < inter-scheme\ k\ u;$ 
 $List.set\ (inter-scheme\ k\ u) \subseteq N \rrbracket \implies g\ u = j\ k$ 

```

proof –

```

define  $\Phi$  where  $\Phi \equiv \lambda m :: nat. \lambda M. infinite\ M \wedge m < Inf\ M$ 
define  $\Psi$  where  $\Psi \equiv \lambda l\ m\ n :: nat. \lambda M\ N\ j. n > m \wedge N \subseteq M \wedge n \in M$ 
 $\wedge (\forall U. Form\ l\ U \wedge U \subseteq WW \wedge [n] < inter-scheme\ l\ U \wedge list.set$ 
(inter-scheme l U)  $\subseteq N \longrightarrow g\ U = j)$ 
have  $*$ :  $\exists n\ N\ j. \Phi\ n\ N \wedge \Psi\ l\ m\ n\ M\ N\ j$  if  $l > 0$   $\Phi\ m\ M$  for  $l :: nat$  and  $M$ 
 $:: nat\ set$ 

```

proof –

```

define  $FF$  where  $FF \equiv \{U \in [WW]^2. Form\ l\ U\}$ 
define  $h$  where  $h \equiv \lambda zs. g\ (inv-into\ FF\ (inter-scheme\ l)\ zs)$ 
have thin (inter-scheme l ' FF)
using  $\langle l > 0 \rangle$  lemma-3-11 by (simp add: thin-def FF-def)
moreover
have inter-scheme l ' FF  $\subseteq WW$ 
using inter-scheme-simple  $\langle 0 < l \rangle$  FF-def by blast
moreover

```

have $h \text{ ‘ } \{xs \in \text{inter-scheme } l \text{ ‘ } FF. \text{List.set } xs \subseteq M\} \subseteq \{..<2\}$
using $g \text{ inv-into-into[of concl: } FF \text{ inter-scheme } l]$
by $(\text{force simp: } h\text{-def } FF\text{-def } Pi\text{-iff})$
ultimately
obtain $j \ N$ **where** $j < 2$ $\text{infinite } N \ N \subseteq M$ **and** $hj: h \text{ ‘ } \{xs \in \text{inter-scheme } l \text{ ‘ } FF. \text{List.set } xs \subseteq N\} \subseteq \{j\}$
using $\langle \Phi \ m \ M \rangle$ **unfolding** $\Phi\text{-def}$ **by** $(\text{blast intro: Nash-Williams-WW [of } M])$
let $?n = \text{Inf } N$
have $?n > m$
using $\langle \Phi \ m \ M \rangle \langle \text{infinite } N \rangle$ **unfolding** $\Phi\text{-def } \text{Inf-nat-def } \text{infinite-nat-iff-unbounded}$
by $(\text{metis } \text{LeastI-ex } \langle N \subseteq M \rangle \text{le-less-trans } \text{not-less } \text{not-less-Least } \text{subsetD})$
have $g \ U = j$ **if** $\text{Form } l \ U \ U \subseteq WW \ [?n] < \text{inter-scheme } l \ U \ \text{list.set } (\text{inter-scheme } l \ U) \subseteq N - \{?n\}$ **for** U
proof –
obtain $xs \ ys$ **where** $xy: xs \neq ys \ U = \{xs, ys\}$
using $\text{Form-elim-upair } \langle \text{Form } l \ U \rangle$ **by** blast
moreover **have** $\text{inj-on } (\text{inter-scheme } l) \ FF$
using $\langle 0 < l \rangle \text{inj-on-def } \text{inter-scheme-injective } FF\text{-def}$ **by** blast
moreover
have $g \ (\text{inv-into } FF \ (\text{inter-scheme } l) \ (\text{inter-scheme } l \ U)) = j$
using $hj \ \text{that } xys \ \text{subset-Diff-insert}$ **by** $(\text{fastforce simp: } h\text{-def } FF\text{-def } \text{image-iff})$
ultimately **show** $?thesis$
using $\text{that } FF\text{-def}$ **by** auto
qed
moreover **have** $?n < \text{Inf } (N - \{?n\})$
by $(\text{metis } \text{Diff-iff } \text{Inf-nat-def } \text{Inf-nat-def1 } \langle \text{infinite } N \rangle \text{finite.emptyI } \text{infinite-remove } \text{linorder-neqE-nat } \text{not-less-Least } \text{singletonI})$
moreover **have** $?n \in M$
by $(\text{metis } \text{Inf-nat-def1 } \langle N \subseteq M \rangle \langle \text{infinite } N \rangle \text{finite.emptyI } \text{subsetD})$
ultimately **have** $\Phi \ ?n \ (N - \{?n\}) \wedge \Psi \ l \ m \ ?n \ M \ (N - \{?n\}) \ j$
using $\langle \Phi \ m \ M \rangle \langle \text{infinite } N \rangle \langle N \subseteq M \rangle \langle ?n > m \rangle$ **by** $(\text{auto simp: } \Phi\text{-def } \Psi\text{-def})$
then **show** $?thesis$
by blast
qed
have $\text{base: } \Phi \ 0 \ \{0<..\}$
unfolding $\Phi\text{-def}$ **by** $(\text{metis } \text{infinite-Ioi } \text{Inf-nat-def1 } \text{greaterThan-iff } \text{greaterThan-non-empty})$
have $\text{step: } \text{Ex } (\lambda(n, N, j). \Phi \ n \ N \wedge \Psi \ l \ m \ n \ M \ N \ j)$ **if** $\Phi \ m \ M \ l > 0$ **for** $m \ M \ l$
using $*$ $[\text{of } l \ m \ M]$ **that** **by** $(\text{auto simp: } \Phi\text{-def})$
define G **where** $G \equiv \lambda l \ m \ M. @ (n, N, j). \Phi \ n \ N \wedge \Psi \ (\text{Suc } l) \ m \ n \ M \ N \ j$
have $G\Phi: (\lambda(n, N, j). \Phi \ n \ N) \ (G \ l \ m \ M)$ **and** $G\Psi: (\lambda(n, N, j). \Psi \ (\text{Suc } l) \ m \ n \ M \ N \ j) \ (G \ l \ m \ M)$
if $\Phi \ m \ M$ **for** $l \ m \ M$
using $\text{step } [\text{OF } \text{that, of } \text{Suc } l]$ **by** $(\text{force simp: } G\text{-def } \text{dest: some-eq-imp})+$
have $G\text{-increasing: } (\lambda(n, N, j). n > m \wedge N \subseteq M \wedge n \in M) \ (G \ l \ m \ M)$ **if** $\Phi \ m \ M$ **for** $l \ m \ M$
using $G\Psi$ $[\text{OF } \text{that, of } l]$ **that** **by** $(\text{simp add: } \Psi\text{-def } \text{split: prod.split-asm})$
define H **where** $H \equiv \text{rec-nat } (0, \{0<..\}, 0) \ (\lambda l \ (m, M, j). G \ l \ m \ M)$
have $H\text{-simps: } H \ 0 = (0, \{0<..\}, 0) \wedge l. H \ (\text{Suc } l) = (\text{case } H \ l \ \text{of } (m, M, j) \Rightarrow G$

```

l m M)
  by (simp-all add: H-def)
  have HΦ: (λ(n,N,j). Φ n N) (H l) for l
  by (induction l) (use base GΦ in ⟨auto simp: H-simps split: prod.split-asm⟩)
  define ν where ν ≡ (λl. case H l of (n,M,j) ⇒ n)
  have H-inc: ν l ≥ l for l
  proof (induction l)
    case (Suc l)
    then show ?case
      using HΦ [of l] G-increasing [of ν l]
      apply (clarsimp simp: H-simps ν-def split: prod.split)
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) case-prodD leD le-less-trans not-less-eq-eq)
  qed auto
  let ?N = range ν
  define j where j ≡ λl. case H l of (n,M,j) ⇒ j
  have H-increasing-Suc: (case H k of (n, N, j') ⇒ N) ⊇ (case H (Suc k) of (n,
N, j') ⇒ insert n N) for k
  using HΦ [of k]
  by (force simp: H-simps split: prod.split dest: G-increasing [where l=k])
  have H-increasing-superset: (case H k of (n, N, j') ⇒ N) ⊇ (case H (n+k) of
(n, N, j') ⇒ N) for k n
  proof (induction n)
    case (Suc n)
    then show ?case
      using H-increasing-Suc [of n+k] by (auto split: prod.split-asm)
  qed auto
  then have H-increasing-less: (case H k of (n, N, j') ⇒ N) ⊇ (case H l of (n,
N, j') ⇒ insert n N)
  if k < l for k l
  by (smt (verit, best) H-increasing-Suc add.commute less-natE order-trans that)
  have ν k < ν (Suc k) for k
  using HΦ [of k] unfolding ν-def
  by (auto simp: H-simps split: prod.split dest: G-increasing [where l=k])
  then have strict-mono-ν: strict-mono ν
  by (simp add: strict-mono-Suc-iff)
  then have enum-N: enum ?N = ν
  by (metis enum-works nat-infinite-iff range-strict-mono-ext)
  have **: ?N ∩ {n<..} ⊆ N' if H: H k = (n, N', j) for n N' k j
  proof clarify
    fix l
    assume n < ν l
    then have False if l ≤ k
      using that strict-monoD [OF strict-mono-ν, of l k] H by (force simp: ν-def)
    then have k < l using not-less by blast
    then obtain M j where Mj: H l = (ν l, M, j)
      unfolding ν-def
      by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) case-prod-conv old.prod.exhaust)
    then show ν l ∈ N'
      using that H-increasing-less [OF ⟨k < l⟩] Mj by auto
  
```

```

qed
show thesis
proof
  show infinite (?N::nat set)
  using H-inc infinite-nat-iff-unbounded-le by auto
next
fix l U
assume 0 < l and U: U ∈ [WW]2
  and interU: [enum ?N l] < inter-scheme l U Form l U
  and sub: list.set (inter-scheme l U) ⊆ ?N
obtain k where k: l = Suc k
  using ⟨0 < l⟩ gr0-conv-Suc by blast
have g U = v if H k = (m, M, j0) and G k m M = (n, N', v)
  for m M j0 n N' v
proof -
  have n: ν (Suc k) = n
  using that by (simp add: ν-def H-simps)
  have {..enum (range ν) l} ∩ list.set (inter-scheme l U) = {}
  using inter-scheme-strict-sorted ⟨0 < l⟩ interU singleton-less-list-iff strict-sorted-iff
by blast
  then have list.set (inter-scheme (Suc k) U) ⊆ N'
  using that sub ** [of Suc k n N' v] Suc-le-eq not-less-eq-eq
  by (fastforce simp: k n enum-N H-simps)
  then show ?thesis
  using that interU U GΨ [of m M k] HΦ [of k]
  by (auto simp: Ψ-def k enum-N H-simps n nsets-def)
qed
with U show g U = j l
  by (auto simp: k j-def H-simps split: prod.split)
qed
qed

```

3.10 Larson's Lemma 3.7

3.10.1 Preliminaries

Analogous to *ordered-nsets-2-eq*, but without type classes

lemma *total-order-nsets-2-eq*:

assumes *tot*: total-on A r **and** *irr*: irrefl r

shows $nsets\ A\ 2 = \{\{x,y\} \mid x\ y.\ x \in A \wedge y \in A \wedge (x,y) \in r\}$
 (**is** - = ?rhs)

proof

show $nsets\ A\ 2 \subseteq ?rhs$

using *tot*

unfolding numeral-nat total-on-def nsets-def

by (fastforce simp: card-Suc-eq Set.doubleton-eq-iff not-less)

show $?rhs \subseteq nsets\ A\ 2$

using *irr* **unfolding** numeral-nat **by** (force simp: nsets-def card-Suc-eq ir-refl-def)

qed

lemma *lenlex-nsets-2-eq*: $nsets\ A\ 2 = \{\{x,y\} \mid x\ y.\ x \in A \wedge y \in A \wedge (x,y) \in \text{lenlex\ less-than}\}$
using *total-order-nsets-2-eq* **by** (*simp add: total-order-nsets-2-eq irrefl-def*)

lemma *sum-sorted-list-of-set-map*: $\text{finite}\ I \implies \text{sum-list}\ (\text{map}\ f\ (\text{list-of}\ I)) = \text{sum}\ f\ I$

proof (*induction card I arbitrary: I*)

case (*Suc n I*)

then have [*simp*]: $I \neq \{\}$

by *auto*

have $\text{sum-list}\ (\text{map}\ f\ (\text{list-of}\ (I - \{\text{Min}\ I\}))) = \text{sum}\ f\ (I - \{\text{Min}\ I\})$

using *Suc* **by** *auto*

then show *?case*

using *Suc.prem1 sum.remove [of I Min I f]*

by (*simp add: sorted-list-of-set-nonempty Suc*)

qed *auto*

lemma *sorted-list-of-set-UN-eq-concat*:

assumes *I: strict-mono-sets I f finite I* **and** *fin: $\bigwedge i.$ finite (f i)*

shows $\text{list-of}\ (\bigcup i \in I. f\ i) = \text{concat}\ (\text{map}\ (\text{list-of}\ \circ f)\ (\text{list-of}\ I))$

using *I*

proof (*induction card I arbitrary: I*)

case (*Suc n I*)

then have $I \neq \{\}$ **and** *Iexp: $I = \text{insert}\ (\text{Min}\ I)\ (I - \{\text{Min}\ I\})$*

using *Min-in Suc.hyps(2) Suc.prem1(2)* **by** *fastforce+*

have *IH: $\text{list-of}\ (\bigcup (f\ ' (I - \{\text{Min}\ I\}))) = \text{concat}\ (\text{map}\ (\text{list-of}\ \circ f)\ (\text{list-of}\ (I - \{\text{Min}\ I\})))$*

using *Suc unfolding strict-mono-sets-def*

by (*metis DiffE Iexp card-Diff-singleton diff-Suc-1 finite-Diff insertI1*)

have $\text{list-of}\ (\bigcup (f\ ' I)) = \text{list-of}\ (\bigcup (f\ ' (\text{insert}\ (\text{Min}\ I)\ (I - \{\text{Min}\ I\}))))$

using *Iexp* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = \text{list-of}\ (f\ (\text{Min}\ I) \cup \bigcup (f\ ' (I - \{\text{Min}\ I\})))$

by (*metis Union-image-insert*)

also have $\dots = \text{list-of}\ (f\ (\text{Min}\ I))\ @\ \text{list-of}\ (\bigcup (f\ ' (I - \{\text{Min}\ I\})))$

proof (*rule sorted-list-of-set-Un*)

show $f\ (\text{Min}\ I) \ll \bigcup (f\ ' (I - \{\text{Min}\ I\}))$

using *Suc.prem1 $\langle I \neq \{\} \rangle$ strict-mono-less-sets-Min* **by** *blast*

show *finite* $(\bigcup (f\ ' (I - \{\text{Min}\ I\})))$

by (*simp add: $\langle \text{finite}\ I \rangle$ fin*)

qed (*use fin in auto*)

also have $\dots = \text{list-of}\ (f\ (\text{Min}\ I))\ @\ \text{concat}\ (\text{map}\ (\text{list-of}\ \circ f)\ (\text{list-of}\ (I - \{\text{Min}\ I\})))$

using *IH* **by** *metis*

also have $\dots = \text{concat}\ (\text{map}\ (\text{list-of}\ \circ f)\ (\text{list-of}\ I))$

by (*simp add: Suc.prem1(2) $\langle I \neq \{\} \rangle$ sorted-list-of-set-nonempty*)

finally show *?case* .

qed auto

3.10.2 Lemma 3.7 of Jean A. Larson, ibid.

proposition lemma-3-7:

assumes infinite N $l > 0$

obtains M where $M \in [WW]^m$

$$\bigwedge U. U \in [M]^2 \implies \text{Form } l \ U \wedge \text{List.set (inter-scheme } l \ U) \subseteq N$$

proof (cases $m < 2$)

case True

obtain w where $w: w \in WW$

using WW-def strict-sorted-into-WW by auto

define M where $M \equiv$ if $m=0$ then $\{\}$ else $\{w\}$

have $M: M \in [WW]^m$

using True by (auto simp: M-def nsets-def w)

have [simp]: $[M]^2 = \{\}$

using True by (auto simp: M-def nsets-def w dest: subset-singletonD)

show ?thesis

using M that by fastforce

next

case False

then have $m \geq 2$

by auto

have nonz: (enum $N \circ \text{Suc}$) $i > 0$ for i

using assms(1) le-enumerate less-le-trans by fastforce

note infinite-nxt- $N =$ infinite-nxt N [OF \langle infinite N \rangle , iff]

note \langle infinite N \rangle [iff]

have [simp]: $\{n <.. < \text{Suc } n\} = \{\}$ $\{.. < 1 :: \text{nat}\} = \{0\}$ for n

by auto

note One-nat-def [simp del]

define DF-Suc where DF-Suc $\equiv \lambda k \ D. \text{enum (nxt } N \ (\text{enum (nxt } N \ (\text{Max } D))$
(Inf $D - 1$))) \langle $\{.. < \text{Suc } k\}$

define DF where DF $\equiv \lambda k \ n. (\text{DF-Suc } k \ \sim n) ((\text{enum } N \circ \text{Suc}) \langle$ $\{.. < \text{Suc } k\}$)

have DF-simps: DF $k \ 0 = (\text{enum } N \circ \text{Suc}) \langle$ $\{.. < \text{Suc } k\}$ DF $k \ (\text{Suc } i) = \text{DF-Suc}$
 $k \ (\text{DF } k \ i)$ for $i \ k$

by (auto simp: DF-def)

have card-DF: card (DF $k \ i) = \text{Suc } k$ for $i \ k$

proof (induction i)

case 0

have inj-on (enum $N \circ \text{Suc}$) $\{.. < \text{Suc } k\}$

by (simp add: assms(1) strict-mono-def strict-mono-imp-inj-on)

with 0 show ?case

using card-image DF-simps by fastforce

next

case (Suc i)

then show ?case

by (simp add: \langle infinite N \rangle DF-simps DF-Suc-def card-image infinite-nxt N)

```

strict-mono-enum strict-mono-imp-inj-on)
qed
have DF-ne: DF k i ≠ {} for i k
  by (metis card-DF card-lessThan lessThan-empty-iff nat.simps(3))

have finite-DF: finite (DF k i) for i k
  by (induction i) (auto simp: DF-simps DF-Suc-def)
have DF-Suc: DF k i ≪ DF k (Suc i) for i k
  unfolding less-sets-def
  by (force simp: finite-DF DF-simps DF-Suc-def
      intro!: greaterThan-less-enum next-subset-greaterThan atLeast-le-enum next-subset-atLeast
infinite-nextN [OF <infinite N>])
have DF-DF: DF k i ≪ DF k j if i < j for i j k
  by (meson DF-Suc DF-ne UNIV-I less-sets-imp-strict-mono-sets strict-mono-setsD
that)
then have sm-DF: strict-mono-sets UNIV (DF k) for k
  by (simp add: strict-mono-sets-def)

have DF-gt0: 0 < Inf (DF k i) for i k
proof (cases i)
  case 0
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis DF-ne DF-simps(1) Inf-nat-def1 imageE nonz)
next
  case (Suc n)
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis DF-Suc DF-ne Inf-nat-def1 grOI gr-implies-not0 less-sets-def)
qed
have DF-N: DF k i ⊆ N for i k
proof (induction i)
  case 0
  then show ?case
    using <infinite N> range-enum by (auto simp: DF-simps)
next
  case (Suc i)
  then show ?case
    unfolding DF-simps DF-Suc-def image-subset-iff
    by (metis IntE <infinite N> enumerate-in-set infinite-nextN next-def)
qed

have sm-enum-DF: strict-mono-on (enum (DF k i)) {..k} for k i
  by (metis card-DF enum-works-finite finite-DF lessThan-Suc-atMost)

define AF where AF ≡ λk i. enum (next N (Max (DF k i))) ‘ {..<Inf (DF k
i)}
have AF-ne: AF k i ≠ {} for i k
  by (auto simp: AF-def lessThan-empty-iff DF-gt0)
have finite-AF [simp]: finite (AF k i) for i k
  by (simp add: AF-def)

```

have *card-AF*: $\text{card } (AF\ k\ i) = \sqcap (DF\ k\ i)$ **for** $k\ i$
by (*simp add: AF-def card-image inj-enum-nxt*)

have *DF-AF*: $DF\ k\ i \ll AF\ k\ i$ **for** $i\ k$
unfolding *less-sets-def AF-def*
by (*simp add: finite-DF greaterThan-less-enum nxt-subset-greaterThan*)

have *E*: $\llbracket x \leq y; \text{infinite } M \rrbracket \implies \text{enum } M\ x < \text{enum } (\text{nxt } N\ (\text{enum } M\ y))\ z$ **for**
 $x\ y\ z\ M$
by (*metis infinite-nxt-N dual-order.eq-iff enumerate-mono greaterThan-less-enum nat-less-le nxt-subset-greaterThan*)

have *AF-DF-Suc*: $AF\ k\ i \ll DF\ k\ (\text{Suc } i)$ **for** $i\ k$
by (*auto simp: DF-simps DF-Suc-def less-sets-def AF-def E*)

have *AF-DF*: $AF\ k\ p \ll DF\ k\ q$ **if** $p < q$ **for** $k\ p\ q$
by (*metis AF-DF-Suc DF-ne Suc-lessI UNIV-I less-sets-trans sm-DF strict-mono-sets-def that*)

have *AF-Suc*: $AF\ k\ i \ll AF\ k\ (\text{Suc } i)$ **for** $i\ k$
using *AF-DF-Suc DF-AF DF-ne less-sets-trans* **by** *blast*
then have *sm-AF*: *strict-mono-sets UNIV (AF k)* **for** k
by (*simp add: AF-ne less-sets-imp-strict-mono-sets*)

define *del* **where** $\text{del} \equiv \lambda k\ i\ j. \text{enum } (DF\ k\ i)\ j - \text{enum } (DF\ k\ i)\ (j - 1)$

define *QF* **where** $QF\ k \equiv \text{wfrec pair-less } (\lambda f\ (j, i).$
 $\text{if } j=0 \text{ then } AF\ k\ i$
 $\text{else let } r = (\text{if } i=0 \text{ then } f\ (j-1, m-1) \text{ else } f\ (j, i-1)) \text{ in}$
 $\text{enum } (\text{nxt } N\ (\text{Suc } (\text{Max } r)))\ \{.. < \text{del } k\ (\text{if } j=k \text{ then } m - \text{Suc } i \text{ else}$
 $i)\ j\}$
for k
note *cut-apply [simp]*

have *finite-QF [simp]*: *finite (QF k p)* **for** $p\ k$
using *wf-pair-less*
proof (*induction p rule: wf-induct-rule*)
case (*less p*)
then show *?case*
by (*simp add: def-wfrec [OF QF-def, of k p] split: prod.split*)
qed

have *del-gt-0*: $\llbracket j < \text{Suc } k; 0 < j \rrbracket \implies 0 < \text{del } k\ i\ j$ **for** $i\ j\ k$
by (*simp add: card-DF del-def finite-DF*)

have *QF-ne [simp]*: $QF\ k\ (j, i) \neq \{\}$ **if** $j: j < \text{Suc } k$ **for** $j\ i\ k$
using *wf-pair-less j*
proof (*induction (j, i) rule: wf-induct-rule*)
case *less*

```

then show ?case
  by (auto simp: def-wfrec [OF QF-def, of k (j,i)] AF-ne lessThan-empty-iff
del-gt-0)
qed

have QF-0 [simp]: QF k (0,i) = AF k i for i k
  by (simp add: def-wfrec [OF QF-def])

have QF-Suc: QF k (Suc j,0) = enum (nxt N (Suc (Max (QF k (j, m - 1))))
  {..for j k
apply (simp add: def-wfrec [OF QF-def, of k (Suc j,0)] One-nat-def)
apply (simp add: pair-less-def cut-def)
done

have QF-Suc-Suc: QF k (Suc j, Suc i)
  = enum (nxt N (Suc (Max (QF k (Suc j, i)))) {..for i j k
  by (simp add: def-wfrec [OF QF-def, of k (Suc j,Suc i)])

have less-QF1: QF k (j, m - 1)  $\ll$  QF k (Suc j,0) for j k
  by (auto simp: def-wfrec [OF QF-def, of k (Suc j,0)] pair-lessI1 enum-nxt-ge
intro!: less-sets-weaken2 [OF less-sets-Suc-Max])

have less-QF2: QF k (j,i)  $\ll$  QF k (j, Suc i) for j i k
  by (auto simp: def-wfrec [OF QF-def, of k (j, Suc i)] pair-lessI2 enum-nxt-ge
intro: less-sets-weaken2 [OF less-sets-Suc-Max] strict-mono-setsD [OF
sm-AF])

have less-QF-same: QF k (j,i')  $\ll$  QF k (j,i)
  if i' < i j  $\leq$  k for i' i j k
proof (rule strict-mono-setsD [OF less-sets-imp-strict-mono-sets])
  show QF k (j, i)  $\ll$  QF k (j, Suc i) for i
    by (simp add: less-QF2)
  show QF k (j, i)  $\neq$  {} if 0 < i for i
    using that by (simp add: <j  $\leq$  k> le-imp-less-Suc)
qed (use that in auto)

have less-QF-step: QF k (j-1, i')  $\ll$  QF k (j,i)
  if 0 < j j  $\leq$  k i' < m for j i' i k
proof -
  have less-QF1': QF k (j - 1, m-1)  $\ll$  QF k (j,0) if j > 0 for j
    by (metis less-QF1 that Suc-pred One-nat-def)
  have QF k (j-1, i')  $\ll$  QF k (j,0)
proof (cases i' = m - 1)
  case True
  then show ?thesis
    using less-QF1' <0 < j> by blast

```

```

next
  case False
  show ?thesis
  using False that less-sets-trans [OF less-QF-same less-QF1' QF-ne] by auto
qed
then show ?thesis
by (metis QF-ne less-QF-same less-Suc-eq-le less-sets-trans ⟨j ≤ k⟩ zero-less-iff-neq-zero)
qed

```

```

have less-QF:  $QF\ k\ (j',i') \ll QF\ k\ (j,i)$ 
  if  $j: j' < j\ j \leq k$  and  $i: i' < m\ i < m$  for  $j' j i' i k$ 
  using j
proof (induction j-j' arbitrary: j)
  case (Suc d)
  show ?case
  proof (cases j' < j - 1)
    case True
    then have  $QF\ k\ (j', i') \ll QF\ k\ (j - 1, i)$ 
      using Suc.hyps Suc.prem(2) by force
    then show ?thesis
      by (rule less-sets-trans [OF - less-QF-step QF-ne]) (use Suc i in auto)
  next
  case False
  then have  $j' = j - 1$ 
    using  $\langle j' < j \rangle$  by linarith
  then show ?thesis
    using Suc.hyps ⟨j ≤ k⟩ less-QF-step i by auto
qed
qed auto

```

```

have sm-QF: strict-mono-sets  $\{..k\} \times \{..<m\}$  ( $QF\ k$ ) for  $k$ 
  unfolding strict-mono-sets-def
proof (intro strip)
  fix  $p\ q$ 
  assume  $p: p \in \{..k\} \times \{..<m\}$  and  $q: q \in \{..k\} \times \{..<m\}$  and  $p < q$ 
  then obtain  $j' i' j i$  where  $\S: p = (j',i')\ q = (j,i)\ i' < m\ i < m\ j' \leq k\ j \leq k$ 
    using surj-pair [of p] surj-pair [of q] by blast
  with  $\langle p < q \rangle$  have  $j' < j \vee j' = j \wedge i' < i$ 
    by auto
  then show  $QF\ k\ p \ll QF\ k\ q$ 
    using  $\S$  less-QF less-QF-same by presburger
qed
then have sm-QF1: strict-mono-sets  $\{..<ka\}$   $(\lambda j. QF\ k\ (j,i))$ 
  if  $i < m\ Suc\ k \geq ka\ ka \geq k$  for  $ka\ k\ i$ 
proof -
  have  $\{..<ka\} \subseteq \{..k\}$ 
  by (metis lessThan-Suc-atMost lessThan-subset-iff ⟨Suc k ≥ ka⟩)
  then show ?thesis
  by (simp add: less-QF strict-mono-sets-def subset-iff that)

```

qed

have *disjoint-QF*: $i'=i \wedge j'=j$ **if** $\neg \text{disjnt } (QF\ k\ (j',\ i'))\ (QF\ k\ (j,\ i))$ $j' \leq k\ j \leq k$ $i' < m\ i < m$ **for** $i'\ i\ j'\ j\ k$
using *that strict-mono-sets-imp-disjoint* [*OF sm-QF*]
by (*force simp: pairwise-def*)

have *card-QF*: $\text{card } (QF\ k\ (j,\ i)) = (\text{if } j=0 \text{ then } \square\ (DF\ k\ i) \text{ else } \text{del } k\ (\text{if } j = k \text{ then } m - \text{Suc } i \text{ else } i)\ j)$
for $i\ k\ j$
proof (*cases j*)
case 0
then show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: AF-def card-image inj-enum-nxt*)
next
case (*Suc j'*)
show *?thesis*
by (*cases i; simp add: Suc One-nat-def QF-Suc QF-Suc-Suc card-image inj-enum-nxt*)

qed

have *AF-non-Nil*: $\text{list-of } (AF\ k\ i) \neq []$ **for** $k\ i$
by (*simp add: AF-ne*)

have *QF-non-Nil*: $\text{list-of } (QF\ k\ (j,\ i)) \neq []$ **if** $j < \text{Suc } k$ **for** $i\ j\ k$
by (*simp add: that*)

have *AF-subset-N*: $AF\ k\ i \subseteq N$ **for** $i\ k$
unfolding *AF-def image-subset-iff*
using *nxt-subset enumerate-in-set infinite-nxtN* $\langle \text{infinite } N \rangle$ **by** *blast*

have *QF-subset-N*: $QF\ k\ (j,\ i) \subseteq N$ **for** $i\ j\ k$
proof (*induction j*)
case (*Suc j*)
show *?case*
by (*cases i*) (*use nxt-subset enumerate-in-set in* $\langle (\text{force simp: QF-Suc QF-Suc-Suc})+ \rangle$)

qed (*use AF-subset-N in auto*)

obtain $ka\ k$ **where** $k > 0$ **and** $kka: k \leq ka\ ka \leq \text{Suc } k\ l = ((ka+k) - 1)$
by (*metis One-nat-def assms(2) diff-add-inverse form-cases le0 le-refl*)

then have $ka > 0$
using *dual-order.strict-trans1* **by** *blast*

have *ka-k-or-Suc*: $ka = k \vee ka = \text{Suc } k$
using *kka* **by** *linarith*

have *lessThan-k*: $\{.. $k\} = \text{insert } 0\ \{0 <.. $k\}$ **if** $k > 0$ **for** $k::\text{nat}$
using *that* **by** *auto*$$

then have *sorted-list-of-set-k*: $\text{list-of } \{.. $k\} = 0 \# \text{list-of } \{0 <.. $k\}$ **if** $k > 0$ **for** $k::\text{nat}$
using *sorted-list-of-set-insert-remove-cons* [*of concl: 0* $\{0 <.. $k\}$] *that* **by** *simp*$$$

define *RF* **where** $RF \equiv \lambda j\ i. \text{if } j = k \text{ then } QF\ k\ (j,\ m - \text{Suc } i) \text{ else } QF\ k\ (j,\ i)$

have *RF-subset-N*: $RF\ j\ i \subseteq N$ **if** $i < m$ **for** $i\ j$
using *that QF-subset-N* **by** (*simp add: RF-def*)
have *finite-RF* [*simp*]: $finite\ (RF\ k\ p)$ **for** $p\ k$
by (*simp add: RF-def*)
have *RF-0*: $RF\ 0\ i = AF\ k\ i$ **for** i
using *RF-def* $\langle 0 < k \rangle$ **by** *auto*
have *disjoint-RF*: $i'=i \wedge j'=j$ **if** $\neg\ disjnt\ (RF\ j'\ i')\ (RF\ j\ i)$ $j' \leq k\ j \leq k\ i' < m$
 $i < m$ **for** $i'\ i\ j'\ j$
using *disjoint-QF* *that*
by (*auto simp: RF-def split: if-split-asm dest: disjoint-QF*)

have *sum-card-RF* [*simp*]: $(\sum_{j \leq n}. card\ (RF\ j\ i)) = enum\ (DF\ k\ i)\ n$ **if** $n \leq k$
 $i < m$ **for** $i\ n$
using *that*
proof (*induction n*)
case 0
then show *?case*
using *DF-ne* [*of k i*] *finite-DF* [*of k i*] $\langle k > 0 \rangle$
by (*simp add: RF-def AF-def card-image inj-enum-nxt enum-0-eq-Inf-finite*)
next
case (*Suc n*)
then have $enum\ (DF\ k\ i)\ 0 \leq enum\ (DF\ k\ i)\ n \wedge enum\ (DF\ k\ i)\ n \leq enum\ (DF\ k\ i)\ (Suc\ n)$
using *sm-enum-DF* [*of k i*]
by (*metis Suc-leD card-DF dual-order.eq-iff finite-DF finite-enumerate-mono le-imp-less-Suc less-imp-le-nat not-gr-zero*)
with *Suc show* *?case*
by (*auto simp: RF-def card-QF del-def*)
qed
have *DF-in-N*: $enum\ (DF\ k\ i)\ j \in N$ **if** $j \leq k$ **for** $i\ j$
by (*metis DF-N card-DF finite-DF finite-enumerate-in-set le-imp-less-Suc subsetD that*)
have *Inf-DF-N*: $\prod\ (DF\ k\ p) \in N$ **for** $k\ p$
using *DF-N DF-ne Inf-nat-def1* **by** *blast*
have *RF-in-N*: $(\sum_{j \leq n}. card\ (RF\ j\ i)) \in N$ **if** $n \leq k$ $i < m$ **for** $i\ n$
by (*auto simp: DF-in-N that*)

have $ka - 1 \leq k$
using *kka(2)* **by** *linarith*
then have *sum-card-RF'* [*simp*]:
 $(\sum_{j < ka}. card\ (RF\ j\ i)) = enum\ (DF\ k\ i)\ (ka - 1)$ **if** $i < m$ **for** i
using *sum-card-RF* [*of ka - 1 i*]
by (*metis Suc-diff-1* $\langle 0 < ka \rangle$ *lessThan-Suc-atMost that*)

have *enum-DF-le-iff* [*simp*]:
 $enum\ (DF\ k\ i)\ j \leq enum\ (DF\ k\ i')\ j \iff i \leq i'$ (*is ?lhs = -*)
if $j \leq k$ **for** $i'\ i\ j\ k$
proof
show $i \leq i'$ **if** *?lhs*

proof –
have $enum (DF\ k\ i)\ j \in DF\ k\ i$
by (*simp add: card-DF finite-enumerate-in-set finite-DF le-imp-less-Suc* $\langle j \leq k \rangle$)
moreover have $enum (DF\ k\ i')\ j \in DF\ k\ i'$
by (*simp add: $\langle j \leq k \rangle$ card-DF finite-enumerate-in-set finite-DF le-imp-less-Suc* *that*)
ultimately have $enum (DF\ k\ i')\ j < enum (DF\ k\ i)\ j$ **if** $i' < i$
using *sm-DF [of k]* **by** (*meson UNIV-I less-sets-def strict-mono-setsD* *that*)
then show *?thesis*
using *not-less that* **by** *blast*
qed
show *?lhs* **if** $i \leq i'$
using *sm-DF [of k]* *that* $\langle j \leq k \rangle$ *card-DF finite-enumerate-in-set finite-DF*
le-eq-less-or-eq
by (*force simp: strict-mono-sets-def less-sets-def finite-enumerate-in-set*)
qed
then have *enum-DF-eq-iff [simp]*:
 $enum (DF\ k\ i)\ j = enum (DF\ k\ i')\ j \longleftrightarrow i = i'$ **if** $j \leq k$ **for** $i\ i\ j\ k$
by (*metis le-antisym order-refl* *that*)
have *enum-DF-less-iff [simp]*:
 $enum (DF\ k\ i)\ j < enum (DF\ k\ i')\ j \longleftrightarrow i < i'$ **if** $j \leq k$ **for** $i\ i\ j\ k$
by (*meson enum-DF-le-iff not-less that*)

have *card-AF-sum*: $card (AF\ k\ i) + (\sum_{j \in \{0 <..<k\}}. card (RF\ j\ i)) = enum$
 $(DF\ k\ i) (ka-1)$
if $i < m$ **for** i
using *that* $\langle k > 0 \rangle$ $\langle k \leq ka \rangle$ $\langle ka \leq Suc\ k \rangle$
by (*simp add: lessThan-k RF-0 flip: sum-card-RF'*)

have *sorted-list-of-set-iff [simp]*: $list-of\ \{0 <..<k\} = [] \longleftrightarrow k = 1$ **if** $k > 0$ **for**
 $k::nat$
proof –
have $list-of\ \{0 <..<k\} = [] \longleftrightarrow \{0 <..<k\} = \{\}$
by *simp*
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow k = 1$
using $\langle k > 0 \rangle$ *atLeastSucLessThan-greaterThanLessThan* **by** *fastforce*
finally show *?thesis* .
qed
show *thesis* — proof of main result
proof
have *inj*: $inj-on\ (\lambda i. list-of\ (\bigcup_{j < ka}. RF\ j\ i))\ \{..<m\}$
proof (*clarsimp simp: inj-on-def*)
fix $x\ y$
assume $x < m\ y < m$ $list-of\ (\bigcup_{j < ka}. RF\ j\ x) = list-of\ (\bigcup_{j < ka}. RF\ j\ y)$
then have *eq*: $(\bigcup_{j < ka}. RF\ j\ x) = (\bigcup_{j < ka}. RF\ j\ y)$
by (*simp add: sorted-list-of-set-inject*)
show $x = y$
proof –

obtain n **where** $n: n \in RF\ 0\ x$
using $AF\text{-ne}\ QF\text{-}0\ \langle 0 < k \rangle\ Inf\text{-nat}\text{-def}1\ \langle k \leq ka \rangle$ **by** (*force simp: RF-def*)
with $eq\ \langle ka > 0 \rangle$ **obtain** j' **where** $j' < ka\ n \in RF\ j'\ y$
by *blast*
then show *?thesis*
using $disjoint\text{-}QF\ [of\ k\ 0\ x\ j']\ n\ \langle x < m \rangle\ \langle y < m \rangle\ \langle ka \leq Suc\ k \rangle\ \langle 0 < k \rangle$
by (*force simp: RF-def disjoint-iff simp del: QF-0 split: if-split-asm*)
qed
qed

define M **where** $M \equiv (\lambda i. list\text{-of}\ (\bigcup_{j < ka. RF\ j\ i}))\ \text{'}\ \{..<m\}$
have *finite* M
unfolding $M\text{-def}$ **by** *blast*
moreover have $card\ M = m$
by (*simp add: M-def <k ≤ ka> card-image inj*)
moreover have $M \subseteq WW$
by (*force simp: M-def WW-def*)
ultimately show $M \in [WW]^m$
by (*simp add: nsets-def*)

have $sm\text{-}RF: strict\text{-mono}\text{-sets}\ \{..<ka\}\ (\lambda j. RF\ j\ i)$ **if** $i < m$ **for** i
using $sm\text{-}QF1$ **that** kka
by (*simp add: less-QF RF-def strict-mono-sets-def*)

have $RF\text{-non}\text{-Nil}: list\text{-of}\ (RF\ j\ i) \neq []$ **if** $j < Suc\ k$ **for** $i\ j$
using **that** **by** (*simp add: RF-def*)

have $less\text{-}RF\text{-same}: RF\ j\ i' \ll RF\ j\ i$
if $i' < i\ j < k$ **for** $i'\ i\ j$
using **that** **by** (*simp add: less-QF-same RF-def*)

have $less\text{-}RF\text{-same}\text{-}k: RF\ k\ i' \ll RF\ k\ i$ — reversed version for k
if $i < i'\ i' < m$ **for** $i'\ i$
using **that** **by** (*simp add: less-QF-same RF-def*)

show $Form\ l\ U \wedge list.set\ (inter\text{-scheme}\ l\ U) \subseteq N$ **if** $U \in [M]^2$ **for** U
proof –
from **that** **obtain** $x\ y$ **where** $U = \{x, y\}\ x \in M\ y \in M$ **and** $xy: (x, y) \in lenlex$
less-than
by (*auto simp: lenlex-nsets-2-eq*)
let $?R = \lambda p. list\text{-of} \circ (\lambda j. RF\ j\ p)$
obtain $p\ q$ **where** $x = list\text{-of}\ (\bigcup_{j < ka. RF\ j\ p})$
and $y = list\text{-of}\ (\bigcup_{j < ka. RF\ j\ q})$ **and** $p < m\ q < m$
using $\langle x \in M \rangle\ \langle y \in M \rangle$ **by** (*auto simp: M-def*)
then have $pq: p < q\ length\ x < length\ y$
using $xy\ \langle k \leq ka \rangle\ \langle ka \leq Suc\ k \rangle\ lexl\text{-not}\text{-refl}\ [OF\ irrefl\text{-less}\text{-than}]$
by (*auto simp: lenlex-def sm-RF sorted-list-of-set-UN-lessThan length-concat sum-sorted-list-of-set-map*)
moreover

```

have xc:  $x = \text{concat} (\text{map} (?R\ p) (\text{list-of} \{..<ka\}))$ 
  by (simp add:  $x \text{ sorted-list-of-set-UN-eq-concat } \langle k \leq ka \rangle \langle ka \leq \text{Suc } k \rangle \langle p < m \rangle \text{ sm-RF}$ )
have yc:  $y = \text{concat} (\text{map} (?R\ q) (\text{list-of} \{..<ka\}))$ 
  by (simp add:  $y \text{ sorted-list-of-set-UN-eq-concat } \langle k \leq ka \rangle \langle ka \leq \text{Suc } k \rangle \langle q < m \rangle \text{ sm-RF}$ )
have enum-DF-AF:  $\text{enum} (DF\ k\ p) (ka - 1) < \text{hd} (\text{list-of} (AF\ k\ p))$  for  $p$ 
proof (rule less-setsD [OF DF-AF])
  show  $\text{enum} (DF\ k\ p) (ka - 1) \in DF\ k\ p$ 
  using  $\langle ka \leq \text{Suc } k \rangle \text{ card-DF finite-DF}$  by (auto simp: finite-enumerate-in-set)
  show  $\text{hd} (\text{list-of} (AF\ k\ p)) \in AF\ k\ p$ 
  using AF-non-Nil finite-AF hd-in-set set-sorted-list-of-set by blast
qed

have less-RF-RF:  $RF\ n\ p \ll RF\ n\ q$  if  $n < k$  for  $n$ 
  using that  $\langle p < q \rangle$  by (simp add: less-RF-same)
have less-RF-Suc:  $RF\ n\ q \ll RF\ (\text{Suc } n)\ q$  if  $n < k$  for  $n$ 
  using  $\langle q < m \rangle$  that by (auto simp: RF-def less-QF)
have less-RF-k:  $RF\ k\ q \ll RF\ k\ p$ 
  using  $\langle q < m \rangle$  less-RF-same-k  $\langle p < q \rangle$  by blast
have less-RF-k-ka:  $RF\ (k-1)\ p \ll RF\ (ka - 1)\ q$ 
  using ka-k-or-Suc less-RF-RF
  by (metis One-nat-def RF-def  $\langle 0 < k \rangle \langle ka - 1 \leq k \rangle \langle p < m \rangle$  diff-Suc-1 diff-Suc-less less-QF-step)
have Inf-DF-eq-enum:  $\bigcap (DF\ k\ i) = \text{enum} (DF\ k\ i)\ 0$  for  $k\ i$ 
  by (simp add: Inf-nat-def enumerate-0)

have Inf-DF-less:  $\bigcap (DF\ k\ i') < \bigcap (DF\ k\ i)$  if  $i' < i$  for  $i'\ i\ k$ 
  by (metis DF-ne enum-0-eq-Inf enum-0-eq-Inf-finite enum-DF-less-iff le0 that)
have AF-Inf-DF-less:  $\bigwedge x. x \in AF\ k\ i \implies \bigcap (DF\ k\ i') < x$  if  $i' \leq i$  for  $i'\ i\ k$ 
  using less-setsD [OF DF-AF] DF-ne that
by (metis Inf-DF-less Inf-nat-def1 dual-order.order-iff-strict dual-order.strict-trans)

show ?thesis — The general case requires  $1 < k$ , necessitating a painful special case
proof (cases k=1)
  case True
  with kka consider ka=1 | ka=2 by linarith
  then show ?thesis
  proof cases
    case 1
    define zs where  $zs = \text{card} (AF\ 1\ p) \# \text{list-of} (AF\ 1\ p)$ 
       $\quad @ \text{card} (AF\ 1\ q) \# \text{list-of} (AF\ 1\ q)$ 
    have zs: Form-Body ka k x y zs
    proof (intro that exI conjI Form-Body.intros)
      show  $x = \text{concat} ([\text{list-of} (AF\ k\ p)])\ y = \text{concat} ([\text{list-of} (AF\ k\ q)])$ 
      by (simp-all add: x y 1 lessThan-Suc RF-0)
      have  $AF\ k\ p \ll \text{insert} (\bigcap (DF\ k\ q)) (AF\ k\ q)$ 

```

```

    by (metis AF-DF DF-ne Inf-nat-def1 RF-0 ‹0 < k› insert-iff less-RF-RF
less-sets-def pq(1))
    then have strict-sorted (list-of (AF k p) @  $\sqcap$  (DF k q) # list-of (AF k
q))
        by (auto simp: strict-sorted-append-iff intro: less-sets-imp-list-less
AF-Inf-DF-less)
        moreover have  $\bigwedge x. x \in AF\ k\ q \implies \sqcap (DF\ k\ p) < x$ 
            by (meson AF-Inf-DF-less less-imp-le-nat ‹p < q›)
        moreover have  $\bigwedge x. x \in AF\ 1\ p \implies \sqcap (DF\ 1\ p) < x$ 
            by (meson DF-AF DF-ne Inf-nat-def1 less-setsD)
        ultimately show strict-sorted zs
            using ‹p < q› True Inf-DF-less DF-AF DF-ne
            by (auto simp: zs-def less-sets-def card-AF AF-Inf-DF-less)
    qed (auto simp: ‹k=1› ‹ka=1› zs-def AF-ne ‹length x < length y›)
    have zs-N: list.set zs  $\subseteq$  N
        using AF-subset-N by (auto simp: zs-def card-AF Inf-DF-N ‹k=1›)
    show ?thesis
    proof
        have l = 1
            using kka ‹k=1› ‹ka=1› by auto
        have Form (2*1-1) {x,y}
            using 1 Form.intros(2) True zs by fastforce
        then show Form l U
            by (simp add: ‹U = {x,y}› ‹l = 1› One-nat-def)
        show list.set (inter-scheme l U)  $\subseteq$  N
            using kka zs zs-N ‹k=1› Form-Body-imp-inter-scheme by (fastforce
simp: ‹U = {x,y}›)
        qed
    next
    case 2
    note True [simp] note 2 [simp]
    have [simp]: {0<.. $\leq$ 2} = {1::nat}
        by auto
    have enum-DF1-eq: enum (DF 1 i) 1 = card (AF 1 i) + card (RF 1 i)
        if i < m for i
        using card-AF-sum that by (simp add: One-nat-def)
    have card-RF: card (RF 1 i) = enum (DF 1 i) 1 - enum (DF 1 i) 0 if i
    < m for i
        using that by (auto simp: RF-def card-QF del-def)
    have list-of-AF-RF: list-of (AF 1 q  $\cup$  RF 1 q) = list-of (AF 1 q) @ list-of
(RF 1 q)
        by (metis One-nat-def RF-0 True ‹0 < k› finite-RF less-RF-Suc
sorted-list-of-set-Un)

    define zs where zs = card (AF 1 p) # (card (AF 1 p) + card (RF 1 p))
# list-of (AF 1 p)
        @ (card (AF 1 q) + card (RF 1 q)) # list-of (AF 1 q) @ list-of (RF
1 q) @ list-of (RF 1 p)
    have zs: Form-Body ka k x y zs

```

proof (*intro that exI conjI Form-Body.intros*)
have $x = \text{list-of } (RF\ 0\ p \cup RF\ 1\ p)$
by (*simp add: x eval-nat-numeral lessThan-Suc RF-0 Un-commute One-nat-def*)
also have $\dots = \text{list-of } (RF\ 0\ p) @ \text{list-of } (RF\ 1\ p)$
using *RF-def True $\langle p < m \rangle$ less-QF-step*
by (*metis QF-0 RF-0 diff-self-eq-0 finite-RF le-refl sorted-list-of-set-Un zero-less-one*)
finally show $x = \text{concat } ([\text{list-of } (AF\ 1\ p), \text{list-of } (RF\ 1\ p)])$
by (*simp add: RF-0*)
show $y = \text{concat } [\text{list-of } (RF\ 1\ q \cup AF\ 1\ q)]$
by (*simp add: y eval-nat-numeral lessThan-Suc RF-0 One-nat-def*)
show $zs: zs = \text{concat } [[\text{card } (AF\ 1\ p), \text{card } (AF\ 1\ p) + \text{card } (RF\ 1\ p)],$
 $\text{list-of } (AF\ 1\ p),$
 $[\text{card } (AF\ 1\ q) + \text{card } (RF\ 1\ q)], \text{list-of } (RF\ 1\ q \cup AF\ 1$
 $q)] @ \text{interact } [\text{list-of } (RF\ 1\ p)] []$
using *list-of-AF-RF* **by** (*simp add: zs-def Un-commute*)
show *strict-sorted zs*
proof (*simp add: $\langle p < m \rangle$ $\langle q < m \rangle$ $\langle p < q \rangle$ zs-def strict-sorted-append-iff, intro conjI strip*)
show $0 < \text{card } (RF\ 1\ p)$
using $\langle p < m \rangle$ **by** (*simp add: card-RF card-DF finite-DF*)
show $\text{card } (AF\ 1\ p) < \text{card } (AF\ 1\ q) + \text{card } (RF\ 1\ q)$
using $\langle p < q \rangle$ $\langle q < m \rangle$ **by** (*simp add: Inf-DF-less card-AF trans-less-add1*)
show $\text{card } (AF\ 1\ p) < x$
if $x \in AF\ 1\ p \cup (AF\ 1\ q \cup (RF\ 1\ q \cup RF\ 1\ p))$ **for** x
using *that*
apply (*simp add: card-AF*)
by (*metis AF-ne DF-AF DF-ne less-RF-RF less-RF-Suc less-RF-k Inf-nat-def1 One-nat-def RF-0 RF-non-Nil True finite-RF lessI less-setsD less-sets-trans sorted-list-of-set-eq-Nil-iff*)
show $\text{card } (AF\ 1\ p) + \text{card } (RF\ 1\ p) < \text{card } (AF\ 1\ q) + \text{card } (RF\ 1\ q)$
using $\langle p < q \rangle$ $\langle p < m \rangle$ $\langle q < m \rangle$ **by** (*metis enum-DF1-eq enum-DF-less-iff le-refl*)
show $\text{card } (AF\ 1\ p) + \text{card } (RF\ 1\ p) < x$
if $x \in AF\ 1\ p \cup (AF\ 1\ q \cup (RF\ 1\ q \cup RF\ 1\ p))$ **for** x
using *that $\langle p < m \rangle$*
apply (*simp flip: enum-DF1-eq*)
by (*metis AF-ne DF-AF less-RF-RF less-RF-Suc less-RF-k One-nat-def RF-0 RF-non-Nil Suc-mono True $\langle 0 < k \rangle$ card-DF finite-enumerate-in-set finite-DF less-setsD less-sets-trans sorted-list-of-set-empty*)
have $\text{list-of } (AF\ 1\ p) < \text{list-of } \{\text{enum } (DF\ 1\ q)\ 1\}$
proof (*rule less-sets-imp-sorted-list-of-set*)
show $AF\ 1\ p \ll \{\text{enum } (DF\ 1\ q)\ 1\}$
by (*metis AF-DF card-DF empty-subsetI finite-DF finite-enumerate-in-set insert-subset less-Suc-eq less-sets-weaken2 pq(1)*)
qed *auto*
then show $\text{list-of } (AF\ 1\ p) < (\text{card } (AF\ 1\ q) + \text{card } (RF\ 1\ q)) \#$
 $\text{list-of } (AF\ 1\ q) @ \text{list-of } (RF\ 1\ q) @ \text{list-of } (RF\ 1\ p)$

```

    using ⟨q < m⟩ by (simp add: less-list-def enum-DF1-eq)
  show card (AF 1 q) + card (RF 1 q) < x
  if x ∈ AF 1 q ∪ (RF 1 q ∪ RF 1 p) for x
  using that ⟨q < m⟩
  apply (simp flip: enum-DF1-eq)
    by (metis AF-ne DF-AF less-RF-Suc less-RF-k One-nat-def
RF-0 RF-non-Nil True card-DF finite-enumerate-in-set finite-DF finite-RF lessI
less-setsD less-sets-trans sorted-list-of-set-eq-Nil-iff)
  have list-of (AF 1 q) < list-of (RF 1 q)
  proof (rule less-sets-imp-sorted-list-of-set)
    show AF 1 q ≪ RF 1 q
      by (metis less-RF-Suc One-nat-def RF-0 True ⟨0 < k⟩)
    qed auto
  then show list-of (AF 1 q) < list-of (RF 1 q) @ list-of (RF 1 p)
    using RF-non-Nil by (auto simp: less-list-def)
  show list-of (RF 1 q) < list-of (RF 1 p)
  proof (rule less-sets-imp-sorted-list-of-set)
    show RF 1 q ≪ RF 1 p
      by (metis less-RF-k True)
    qed auto
  qed
  show [list-of (AF 1 p), list-of (RF 1 p)] ∈ lists (- {[]})
    using RF-non-Nil ⟨0 < k⟩ by (auto simp: zs-def AF-ne)
  show [card (AF 1 q) + card (RF 1 q)] = acc-lengths 0 [list-of (RF 1 q)
∪ AF 1 q]
    using list-of-AF-RF
      by (auto simp: zs-def AF-ne sup-commute)
  qed (auto simp: zs-def AF-ne ⟨length x < length y⟩)
  have zs-N: list.set zs ⊆ N
    using ⟨p < m⟩ ⟨q < m⟩ DF-in-N enum-DF1-eq [symmetric]
      by (auto simp: zs-def card-AF AF-subset-N RF-subset-N Inf-DF-N)
  show ?thesis
  proof
    have Form (2*1) {x,y}
      by (metis 2 Form.simps Suc-1 True zero-less-one zs)
    with kka show Form l U
      by (simp add: ⟨U = {x,y}⟩)
    show list.set (inter-scheme l U) ⊆ N
      using kka zs zs-N ⟨k=1⟩ Form-Body-imp-inter-scheme by (fastforce
simp: ⟨U = {x, y}⟩)
    qed
  qed
next
case False
then have k ≥ 2 ka ≥ 2
  using kka ⟨k>0⟩ by auto
then have k-minus-1 [simp]: Suc (k - Suc (Suc 0)) = k - Suc 0
  by auto
have [simp]: Suc (k - 2) = k-1

```

```

    using ⟨k ≥ 2⟩ by linarith
    define PP where PP ≡ map (?R p) (list-of {0<..

```

```

(RF k q)
  by (simp add: card-Un-disjoint)
  then show ?thesis
    using ⟨k ≥ 2⟩ ⟨q < m⟩
    apply (simp add: QQ-def True flip: RF-0)
    apply (simp add: lessThan-k split-nat-interval sum-sorted-list-of-set-map)
    done
  next
  case False
  with kka have ka=k by linarith
  with ⟨k ≥ 2⟩ show ?thesis by (simp add: QQ-def lessThan-k split-nat-interval
sum-sorted-list-of-set-map flip: RF-0)
  qed

  define LENS where LENS ≡ λi. acc-lengths 0 (list-of (AF k i) # map
(?R i) (list-of {0 <..

```

```

    unfolding QQ-def LENS-QQ-def LENS-def
    by (auto simp: list-of-RF-Un split-list-interval acc-lengths-append)
next
  case False
  then have ka=k
    using kka by linarith
  with ⟨k ≥ 2⟩ show ?thesis
    by (simp add: QQ-def LENS-QQ-def LENS-def split-list-interval)
qed
have ss-INT: strict-sorted ?INT
proof (rule strict-sorted-interact-I)
  fix n
  assume n < length QQ
  then have n: n < k-1
    by simp
  have n = k - 2 if ¬ n < k - 2
    using n that by linarith
  moreover have list-of (RF (Suc (k - 2))) p < list-of (RF (k-1)) q ∪
RF (ka - 1) q)
    by (auto simp: less-sets-imp-sorted-list-of-set less-sets-Un2 less-RF-RF
less-RF-k-ka ⟨0 < k⟩)
  ultimately show PP ! n < QQ ! n
    using ⟨k ≤ ka⟩ n by (auto simp: PP-n QQ-n less-sets-imp-sorted-list-of-set
less-RF-RF)
  next
  fix n
  have V: [Suc n < ka - 1] ⇒ list-of (RF (Suc n) q) < list-of (RF (Suc
(Suc n)) p) for n
    by (smt RF-def Suc-leI ⟨ka - 1 ≤ k⟩ ⟨q < m⟩ diff-Suc-1 finite-RF
less-QF-step less-le-trans less-sets-imp-sorted-list-of-set nat-neq-iff zero-less-Suc)
  have RF (k - 1) q ≪ RF k p
    by (metis One-nat-def RF-non-Nil Suc-pred ⟨0 < k⟩ finite-RF lessI
less-RF-Suc less-RF-k less-sets-trans sorted-list-of-set-eq-Nil-iff)
  with kka have RF (k-1) q ∪ RF (ka - 1) q ≪ RF k p
    by (metis less-RF-k One-nat-def less-sets-Un1 antisym-conv2 diff-Suc-1
le-less-Suc-eq)
  then have VI: list-of (RF (k-1) q ∪ RF (ka - 1) q) < list-of (RF k p)
    by (rule less-sets-imp-sorted-list-of-set) auto
  assume Suc n < length PP
  with ⟨ka ≤ Suc k⟩ VI
  show QQ ! n < PP ! Suc n
    apply (clarsimp simp: PP-n QQ-n V)
    by (metis One-nat-def Suc-1 Suc-lessI add.right-neutral add-Suc-right
diff-Suc-Suc ka-k-or-Suc less-diff-conv)
  next
  show PP ∈ lists (- {[]})
    using RF-non-Nil kka
    by (clarsimp simp: PP-def) (metis RF-non-Nil less-le-trans)
  show QQ ∈ lists (- {[]})

```



```

    using RF-non-Nil kka
    by (clarsimp simp: QQ-def) (metis RF-non-Nil Suc-pred ⟨0 < k⟩ less-SucI
One-nat-def)
qed (use kka PP-def QQ-def in auto)
then have ss-QQ: strict-sorted (concat QQ)
    using strict-sorted-interact-imp-concat by blast

obtain zs where zs: Form-Body ka k x y zs and zs-N: list.set zs ⊆ N
proof (intro that exI conjI Form-Body.intros [OF ⟨length x < length y⟩])
  show x = concat (list-of (AF k p) # PP)
    using ⟨ka > 0⟩ by (simp add: PP-def RF-0 xc sorted-list-of-set-k)
  let ?YR = (map (list-of ∘ (λj. RF j q)) (list-of {0<..

```

$q) @ ?INT)) \subseteq N$
using *AF-subset-N RF-subset-N LENS-subset-N* $\langle p < m \rangle \langle q < m \rangle$
LENS-QQ-subset
by (*auto simp: subset-iff PP-def QQ-def*)
show $\text{length } (\text{list-of } (AF\ k\ p) \# PP) = ka\ \text{length } (\text{list-of } (AF\ k\ q) \# QQ)$
 $= k$
using $\langle 0 < ka \rangle \langle 0 < k \rangle$ **by** *auto*
show $LENS\ p = \text{acc-lengths } 0\ (\text{list-of } (AF\ k\ p) \# PP)$
by (*auto simp: LENS-def PP-def*)
show $\text{strict-sorted } (LENS\ p @ \text{list-of } (AF\ k\ p) @ LENS\ QQ @ \text{list-of } (AF\ k\ q) @ ?INT)$
unfolding *strict-sorted-append-iff*
proof (*intro conjI ss-INT*)
show $LENS\ p < \text{list-of } (AF\ k\ p) @ LENS\ QQ @ \text{list-of } (AF\ k\ q) @ ?INT$
using *AF-non-Nil* [*of k p*] $\langle k \leq ka \rangle \langle ka \leq Suc\ k \rangle \langle p < m \rangle$ *card-AF-sum enum-DF-AF*
by (*simp add: enum-DF-AF less-list-def card-AF-sum LENS-def sum-sorted-list-of-set-map del: acc-lengths.simps*)
show $\text{strict-sorted } (LENS\ p)$
unfolding *LENS-def*
by (*rule strict-sorted-acc-lengths*) (*use RF-non-Nil AF-non-Nil kka in* $\langle \text{auto simp: in-lists-conv-set} \rangle$)
show $\text{strict-sorted } LENS\ QQ$
unfolding *LENS-QQ-def QQ-def*
by (*rule strict-sorted-acc-lengths*) (*use RF-non-Nil AF-non-Nil kka in* $\langle \text{auto simp: in-lists-conv-set} \rangle$)
have $\text{last-AF-DF: last } (\text{list-of } (AF\ k\ p)) < \sqcap\ (DF\ k\ q)$
using *AF-DF* [*OF* $\langle p < q \rangle$, *of k*] *AF-non-Nil* [*of k p*] *DF-ne* [*of k q*]
by (*metis Inf-nat-def1 finite-AF last-in-set less-sets-def set-sorted-list-of-set*)
then show $\text{list-of } (AF\ k\ p) < LENS\ QQ @ \text{list-of } (AF\ k\ q) @ ?INT$
by (*simp add: less-list-def card-AF LENS-QQ-def*)
show $LENS\ QQ < \text{list-of } (AF\ k\ q) @ ?INT$
using *AF-non-Nil* [*of k q*] $\langle q < m \rangle$ *card-AF-sum enum-DF-AF card-AF-sum-QQ*
by (*auto simp: less-list-def AF-ne hd-append card-AF-sum LENS-QQ-def*)
show $\text{list-of } (AF\ k\ q) < ?INT$
proof –
have $AF\ k\ q \ll RF\ 1\ p$
using $\langle 0 < k \rangle \langle p < m \rangle \langle q < m \rangle$ **by** (*simp add: RF-def less-QF flip: QF-0*)
then have $\text{last } (\text{list-of } (AF\ k\ q)) < \text{hd } (\text{list-of } (RF\ 1\ p))$
proof (*rule less-setsD*)
show $\text{last } (\text{list-of } (AF\ k\ q)) \in AF\ k\ q$
using *AF-non-Nil finite-AF last-in-set set-sorted-list-of-set* **by** *blast*
show $\text{hd } (\text{list-of } (RF\ 1\ p)) \in RF\ 1\ p$
by (*metis One-nat-def RF-non-Nil* $\langle 0 < k \rangle$ *finite-RF hd-in-set not-less-eq set-sorted-list-of-set*)

```

      qed
      with ⟨k > 0⟩ ⟨ka ≥ 2⟩ RF-non-Nil show ?thesis
      by (simp add: One-nat-def hd-interact less-list-def sorted-list-of-set-greaterThanLessThan
PP-def QQ-def)
      qed
      qed auto
      qed (auto simp: LENS-QQ-def)
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases ka = k)
      case True
      then have l = 2*k-1
      by (simp add: kka(3) mult-2)
      then show ?thesis
      by (metis One-nat-def Form.intros(2) Form-Body-imp-inter-scheme True
⟨0 < k⟩ ⟨U = {x, y}⟩ kka zs zs-N)
      next
      case False
      then have l = 2*k
      using kka by linarith
      then show ?thesis
      by (metis One-nat-def False Form.intros(3) Form-Body-imp-inter-scheme
⟨0 < k⟩ ⟨U = {x, y}⟩ antisym kka le-SucE zs zs-N)
      qed
      qed
      qed
      qed
      qed

```

3.11 Larson's Lemma 3.8

3.11.1 Primitives needed for the inductive construction of b

definition IJ where $IJ \equiv \lambda k. \text{Sigma } \{..k\} (\lambda j::\text{nat}. \{..<j\})$

lemma $IJ\text{-iff}$: $u \in IJ\ k \longleftrightarrow (\exists j\ i. u = (j, i) \wedge i < j \wedge j \leq k)$
 by (auto simp: $IJ\text{-def}$)

lemma $\text{finite-}IJ$: $\text{finite } (IJ\ k)$
 by (auto simp: $IJ\text{-def}$)

fun prev where
 $\text{prev } 0\ 0 = \text{None}$
 $|\ \text{prev } (\text{Suc } 0)\ 0 = \text{None}$
 $|\ \text{prev } (\text{Suc } j)\ 0 = \text{Some } (j, j - \text{Suc } 0)$
 $|\ \text{prev } j\ (\text{Suc } i) = \text{Some } (j, i)$

lemma prev-eq-None-iff : $\text{prev } j\ i = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow j \leq \text{Suc } 0 \wedge i = 0$
 by (auto simp: $\text{le-Suc-eq elim: prev.elims}$)

lemma prev-pair-less :

$prev\ j\ i = Some\ ji' \implies (ji', (j,i)) \in pair\text{-}less$
by (*auto simp: pair-lessI1 elim: prev.elims*)

lemma *prev-Some-less*: $\llbracket prev\ j\ i = Some\ (j',i');\ i \leq j \rrbracket \implies i' < j'$
by (*auto elim: prev.elims*)

lemma *prev-maximal*:
 $\llbracket prev\ j\ i = Some\ (j',i');\ (ji'', (j,i)) \in pair\text{-}less;\ ji'' \in IJ\ k \rrbracket$
 $\implies (ji'', (j',i')) \in pair\text{-}less \vee ji'' = (j',i')$
by (*force simp: IJ-def pair-less-def elim: prev.elims*)

lemma *pair-less-prev*:
assumes $(u, (j,i)) \in pair\text{-}less\ u \in IJ\ k$
shows $prev\ j\ i = Some\ u \vee (\exists x. (u, x) \in pair\text{-}less \wedge prev\ j\ i = Some\ x)$
proof (*cases prev j i*)
 case *None*
 then show *?thesis*
 using *assms* **by** (*force simp: prev-eq-None-iff pair-less-def IJ-def split: prod.split*)
next
 case (*Some a*)
 then show *?thesis*
 by (*metis assms prev-maximal prod.exhaust-sel*)
qed

3.11.2 Special primitives for the ordertype proof

definition *USigma* :: $'a\ set\ set \Rightarrow ('a\ set \Rightarrow 'a\ set) \Rightarrow 'a\ set\ set$
where $USigma\ A\ B \equiv \bigcup X \in A. \bigcup y \in B\ X. \{insert\ y\ X\}$

definition *usplit*
where $usplit\ f\ A \equiv f\ (A - \{Max\ A\})\ (Max\ A)$

lemma *USigma-empty* [*simp*]: $USigma\ \{\}\ B = \{\}$
by (*auto simp: USigma-def*)

lemma *USigma-iff*:
assumes $\bigwedge I\ j. I \in \mathcal{I} \implies I \ll J\ I \wedge finite\ I$
shows $x \in USigma\ \mathcal{I}\ J \iff usplit\ (\lambda I\ j. I \in \mathcal{I} \wedge j \in J\ I \wedge x = insert\ j\ I)\ x$
proof –
 have [*simp*]: $\bigwedge I\ j. \llbracket I \in \mathcal{I};\ j \in J\ I \rrbracket \implies Max\ (insert\ j\ I) = j$
 by (*meson Max-insert2 assms less-imp-le less-sets-def*)
 show *?thesis*
 proof –
 have $\S: j \notin I\ \text{if}\ I \in \mathcal{I}\ j \in J\ I\ \text{for}\ I\ j$
 using *that* **by** (*metis assms less-irrefl less-sets-def*)
 have $\exists I \in \mathcal{I}. \exists j \in J\ I. x = insert\ j\ I$
 if $x - \{Max\ x\} \in \mathcal{I}$ **and** $Max\ x \in J\ (x - \{Max\ x\})\ x \neq \{\}$
 using *that* **by** (*metis Max-in assms infinite-remove insert-Diff*)
 then show *?thesis*

by (auto simp: USigma-def usplit-def §)
qed
qed

proposition *ordertype-append-image-IJ*:

assumes *lenB* [simp]: $\bigwedge i j. i \in \mathcal{I} \implies j \in J i \implies \text{length } (B j) = c$
and *AB*: $\bigwedge i j. i \in \mathcal{I} \implies j \in J i \implies A i < B j$
and *IJ*: $\bigwedge i. i \in \mathcal{I} \implies i \ll J i \wedge \text{finite } i$
and β : $\bigwedge i. i \in \mathcal{I} \implies \text{ordertype } (B \text{ ' } J i) (\text{lenlex less-than}) = \beta$
and *A*: *inj-on* *A* \mathcal{I}
shows $\text{ordertype } (\text{usplit } (\lambda i j. A i @ B j) \text{ ' } \text{USigma } \mathcal{I} J) (\text{lenlex less-than})$
 $= \beta * \text{ordertype } (A \text{ ' } \mathcal{I}) (\text{lenlex less-than})$
(is *ordertype* ?*AB* ?*R* = - * ? α)

proof (cases $\mathcal{I} = \{\}$)

case *False*

have *Ord* β

using β *False wf-Ord-ordertype* by *fastforce*

show ?*thesis*

proof (*subst ordertype-eq-iff*)

define *split* where *split* $\equiv \lambda l::\text{nat list. } (\text{take } (\text{length } l - c) l, (\text{drop } (\text{length } l - c) l))$

have *oB*: $\text{ordermap } (B \text{ ' } J i) ?R (B j) \sqsubset \beta$ if $\langle i \in \mathcal{I} \rangle \langle j \in J i \rangle$ for *i j*

using β *less-TC-iff* that by *fastforce*

then show *Ord* $(\beta * ?\alpha)$

by (*intro* $\langle \text{Ord } \beta \rangle$ *wf-Ord-ordertype Ord-mult; simp*)

define *f* where *f* $\equiv \lambda u. \text{let } (x,y) = \text{split } u \text{ in let } i = \text{inv-into } \mathcal{I} A x \text{ in}$

$\beta * \text{ordermap } (A \text{ ' } \mathcal{I}) ?R x + \text{ordermap } (B \text{ ' } J i) ?R y$

have *inv-into-IA* [simp]: $\text{inv-into } \mathcal{I} A (A i) = i$ if $i \in \mathcal{I}$ for *i*

by (*simp add: A that*)

show $\exists f. \text{bij-betw } f ?AB (\text{elts } (\beta * ?\alpha)) \wedge (\forall x \in ?AB. \forall y \in ?AB. (f x < f y) = ((x, y) \in ?R))$

unfolding *bij-betw-def*

proof (*intro exI conjI strip*)

show *inj-on* *f* ?*AB*

proof (*clarsimp simp: f-def inj-on-def split-def USigma-iff IJ usplit-def*)

fix *x y*

assume §: $\beta * \text{ordermap } (A \text{ ' } \mathcal{I}) ?R (A (x - \{\text{Max } x\})) + \text{ordermap } (B \text{ ' } J (x - \{\text{Max } x\})) ?R (B (\text{Max } x))$

$= \beta * \text{ordermap } (A \text{ ' } \mathcal{I}) ?R (A (y - \{\text{Max } y\})) + \text{ordermap } (B \text{ ' } J (y - \{\text{Max } y\})) ?R (B (\text{Max } y))$

and *x*: $x - \{\text{Max } x\} \in \mathcal{I}$

and *y*: $y - \{\text{Max } y\} \in \mathcal{I}$

and *mx*: $\text{Max } x \in J (x - \{\text{Max } x\})$

and *x* = *insert* (*Max* *x*) *x*

and *my*: $\text{Max } y \in J (y - \{\text{Max } y\})$

have $\text{ordermap } (A \text{ ' } \mathcal{I}) ?R (A (x - \{\text{Max } x\})) = \text{ordermap } (A \text{ ' } \mathcal{I}) ?R (A (y - \{\text{Max } y\}))$

and *B-eq*: $\text{ordermap } (B \text{ ' } J (x - \{\text{Max } x\})) ?R (B (\text{Max } x)) = \text{ordermap}$

```

(B ‘ J (y - {Max y})) ?R (B (Max y))
  using mult-cancellation-lemma [OF §] oB mx my x y by blast+
  then have A (x - {Max x}) = A (y - {Max y})
    using x y by auto
  then have x - {Max x} = y - {Max y}
    by (metis x y inv-into-IA)
  then show A (x - {Max x}) = A (y - {Max y}) ∧ B (Max x) = B (Max
y)
    using B-eq mx my by auto
qed
show f ‘ ?AB = elts (β * ?α)
proof
  show f ‘ ?AB ⊆ elts (β * ?α)
    using ‹Ord β›
    apply (clarsimp simp add: f-def split-def USigma-iff IJ usplit-def)
    by (metis TC-small β add-mult-less image-eqI ordermap-in-ordertype
trans-llt wf-Ord-ordertype wf-llt)
  show elts (β * ?α) ⊆ f ‘ ?AB
  proof (clarsimp simp: f-def split-def image-iff USigma-iff IJ usplit-def Bex-def
elim!: elts-multE split: prod.split)
    fix γ δ
    assume δ: δ ∈ elts β and γ: γ ∈ elts ?α
    have γ ∈ ordermap (A ‘ I) (lenlex less-than) ‘ A ‘ I
      by (meson γ ordermap-surj subset-iff)
    then obtain i where i ∈ I and yv: γ = ordermap (A ‘ I) ?R (A i)
      by blast
    have δ ∈ ordermap (B ‘ J i) (lenlex less-than) ‘ B ‘ J i
      by (metis (no-types) β δ ‹i ∈ I› in-mono ordermap-surj)
    then obtain j where j ∈ J i and xu: δ = ordermap (B ‘ J i) ?R (B j)
      by blast
    then have mji: Max (insert j i) = j
      by (meson IJ Max-insert2 ‹i ∈ I› less-imp-le less-sets-def)
    have [simp]: i - {j} = i
      using IJ ‹i ∈ I› ‹j ∈ J i› less-setsD by fastforce
    show ∃ l. (∃ K. K - {Max K} ∈ I ∧ Max K ∈ J (K - {Max K}) ∧ K
= insert (Max K) K ∧
      l = A (K - {Max K}) @ B (Max K) ∧ β * γ + δ =
      β *
      ordermap (A ‘ I) ?R (take (length l - c) l) +
      ordermap (B ‘ J (inv-into I A (take (length l - c) l)))
      ?R (drop (length l - c) l)
    proof (intro conjI exI)
      let ?ji = insert j i
      show A i @ B j = A (?ji - {Max ?ji}) @ B (Max ?ji)
        by (auto simp: mji)
    qed (use ‹i ∈ I› ‹j ∈ J i› mji xu yv in auto)
  qed
qed
next

```

```

fix  $p\ q$ 
assume  $p \in ?AB$  and  $q \in ?AB$ 
then obtain  $x\ y$  where  $peq: p = A (x - \{Max\ x\}) @ B (Max\ x)$ 
  and  $qeq: q = A (y - \{Max\ y\}) @ B (Max\ y)$ 
  and  $x: x - \{Max\ x\} \in \mathcal{I}$ 
  and  $y: y - \{Max\ y\} \in \mathcal{I}$ 
  and  $mx: Max\ x \in J (x - \{Max\ x\})$ 
  and  $my: Max\ y \in J (y - \{Max\ y\})$ 
  by (auto simp: USigma-iff IJ usplit-def)
let  $?mx = x - \{Max\ x\}$ 
let  $?my = y - \{Max\ y\}$ 
show  $(f\ p < f\ q) \longleftrightarrow ((p, q) \in ?R)$ 
proof
  assume  $f\ p < f\ q$ 
  then
    consider  $ordermap (A\ \mathcal{I})\ ?R (A (x - \{Max\ x\})) < ordermap (A\ \mathcal{I})\ ?R (A$ 
       $(y - \{Max\ y\}))$ 
      |  $ordermap (A\ \mathcal{I})\ ?R (A (x - \{Max\ x\})) = ordermap (A\ \mathcal{I})\ ?R (A (y -$ 
       $\{Max\ y\}))$ 
       $ordermap (B\ J (x - \{Max\ x\}))\ ?R (B (Max\ x)) < ordermap (B\ J (y -$ 
       $\{Max\ y\}))\ ?R (B (Max\ y))$ 
      using  $x\ y\ mx\ my$ 
      by (auto dest: mult-cancellation-less simp: f-def split-def peq qeq oB)
    then have  $(A\ ?mx @ B (Max\ x), A\ ?my @ B (Max\ y)) \in ?R$ 
    proof cases
      case 1
      then have  $(A\ ?mx, A\ ?my) \in ?R$ 
      using  $x\ y$  by (force simp: Ord-mem-iff-lt intro: converse-ordermap-mono)
      then show ?thesis
      using  $x\ y\ mx\ my\ lenB\ lenlex-append1$  by blast
    next
      case 2
      then have  $A\ ?mx = A\ ?my$ 
      using  $\langle ?my \in \mathcal{I} \rangle \langle ?mx \in \mathcal{I} \rangle$  by auto
      then have  $eq: ?mx = ?my$ 
      by (metis  $\langle ?my \in \mathcal{I} \rangle \langle ?mx \in \mathcal{I} \rangle$  inv-into-IA)
      then have  $(B (Max\ x), B (Max\ y)) \in ?R$ 
      using  $mx\ my\ 2$  by (force simp: Ord-mem-iff-lt intro: converse-ordermap-mono)
      with 2 show ?thesis
      by (simp add: eq irreft-less-than)
    qed
  then show  $(p, q) \in ?R$ 
  by (simp add: peq qeq f-def split-def sorted-list-of-set-Un AB)
next
  assume  $pqR: (p, q) \in ?R$ 
  then have  $\S: (A\ ?mx @ B (Max\ x), A\ ?my @ B (Max\ y)) \in ?R$ 
  using  $peq\ qeq$  by blast
  then consider  $(A\ ?mx, A\ ?my) \in ?R \mid A\ ?mx = A\ ?my \wedge (B (Max\ x), B$ 
     $(Max\ y)) \in ?R$ 

```

```

proof (cases (A ?mx, A ?my) ∈ ?R)
  case False
  have False if (A ?my, A ?mx) ∈ ?R
    by (metis ‹?my ∈ ℐ› ‹?mx ∈ ℐ› § ‹(Max y) ∈ J ?my› ‹(Max x) ∈ J
?mx› lenB lenlex-append1 omega-sum-1-less order.asym that)
  then have A ?mx = A ?my
    by (meson False UNIV-I total-llt total-on-def)
  then show ?thesis
    using § irrefl-less-than that(2) by auto
  qed (use that in blast)
  then have β * ordermap (A ℐ) ?R (A ?mx) + ordermap (B ′ J ?mx) ?R (B
(Max x))
    < β * ordermap (A ℐ) ?R (A ?my) + ordermap (B ′ J ?my) ?R (B
(Max y))
  proof cases
  case 1
  show ?thesis
  proof (rule add-mult-less-add-mult)
    show ordermap (A ℐ) (lenlex less-than) (A ?mx) < ordermap (A ℐ)
(lenlex less-than) (A ?my)
    by (simp add: 1 ‹?my ∈ ℐ› ‹?mx ∈ ℐ› ordermap-mono-less)
    show Ord (ordertype (A ℐ) ?R)
    using wf-Ord-ordertype by blast
    show ordermap (B ′ J ?mx) ?R (B (Max x)) ∈ elts β
    using Ord-less-TC-mem ‹Ord β› ‹?mx ∈ ℐ› ‹(Max x) ∈ J ?mx› oB
by blast
    show ordermap (B ′ J ?my) ?R (B (Max y)) ∈ elts β
    using Ord-less-TC-mem ‹Ord β› ‹?my ∈ ℐ› ‹(Max y) ∈ J ?my› oB
by blast
  qed (use ‹?my ∈ ℐ› ‹?mx ∈ ℐ› ‹Ord β› in auto)
  next
  case 2
  with ‹?mx ∈ ℐ› show ?thesis
    using ‹(Max y) ∈ J ?my› ‹(Max x) ∈ J ?mx› ordermap-mono-less
    by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Kirby.add-less-cancel-left TC-small
image-iff inv-into-IA trans-llt wf-llt y)
  qed
  then show f p < f q
    using ‹?my ∈ ℐ› ‹?mx ∈ ℐ› ‹(Max y) ∈ J ?my› ‹(Max x) ∈ J ?mx›
    by (auto simp: peq qeq f-def split-def AB)
  qed
  qed
  qed auto
  qed auto

```

3.11.3 The final part of 3.8, where two sequences are merged

inductive merge :: [nat list list, nat list list, nat list list, nat list list] ⇒ bool
 where NullNull: merge [] [] [] []

| *Null*: $as \neq [] \implies merge\ as\ []\ [concat\ as]\ []$
 | *App*: $[[as1 \neq []; bs1 \neq [];$
 $concat\ as1 < concat\ bs1; concat\ bs1 < concat\ as2; merge\ as2\ bs2\ as$
 $bs]]$
 $\implies merge\ (as1@as2)\ (bs1@bs2)\ (concat\ as1\ \# \ as)\ (concat\ bs1\ \# \ bs)$

inductive-simps *Null1* [*simp*]: $merge\ []\ bs\ us\ vs$

inductive-simps *Null2* [*simp*]: $merge\ as\ []\ us\ vs$

lemma *merge-single*:

$[[concat\ as < concat\ bs; concat\ as \neq []; concat\ bs \neq []]] \implies merge\ as\ bs\ [concat\ as]\ [concat\ bs]$

using *merge.App* [*of as bs [] []*]

by (*fastforce simp: less-list-def*)

lemma *merge-length1-nonempty*:

assumes $merge\ as\ bs\ us\ vs\ as \in lists\ (-\ \{\}\}$

shows $us \in lists\ (-\ \{\}\}$

using *assms* **by** *induction (auto simp: mem-lists-non-Nil)*

lemma *merge-length2-nonempty*:

assumes $merge\ as\ bs\ us\ vs\ bs \in lists\ (-\ \{\}\}$

shows $vs \in lists\ (-\ \{\}\}$

using *assms* **by** *induction (auto simp: mem-lists-non-Nil)*

lemma *merge-length1-gt-0*:

assumes $merge\ as\ bs\ us\ vs\ as \neq []$

shows $length\ us > 0$

using *assms* **by** *induction auto*

lemma *merge-length-le*:

assumes $merge\ as\ bs\ us\ vs$

shows $length\ vs \leq length\ us$

using *assms* **by** *induction auto*

lemma *merge-length-le-Suc*:

assumes $merge\ as\ bs\ us\ vs$

shows $length\ us \leq Suc\ (length\ vs)$

using *assms* **by** *induction auto*

lemma *merge-length-less2*:

assumes $merge\ as\ bs\ us\ vs$

shows $length\ vs \leq length\ as$

using *assms*

proof *induction*

case (*App as1 bs1 as2 bs2 as bs*)

then show *?case*

using *length-greater-0-conv [of as1]* **by** (*simp, presburger*)

qed *auto*

lemma *merge-preserves*:
assumes *merge as bs us vs*
shows $\text{concat } as = \text{concat } us \wedge \text{concat } bs = \text{concat } vs$
using *assms* **by** *induction auto*

lemma *merge-interact*:
assumes *merge as bs us vs strict-sorted (concat as) strict-sorted (concat bs)*
 $bs \in \text{lists } (- \{\{\}\})$
shows *strict-sorted (interact us vs)*
using *assms*
proof *induction*
case (*App as1 bs1 as2 bs2 as bs*)
then have *bs: concat bs1 < concat bs concat bs1 < concat as* **and** *xx: concat bs1*
 $\neq []$
using *merge-preserves strict-sorted-append-iff* **by** *fastforce+*
then have *concat bs1 < interact as bs*
unfolding *less-list-def* **using** *App bs*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Un-iff concat-append hd-in-set last-in-set merge-preserves*
set-interact sorted-wrt-append strict-sorted-append-iff)
with *App* **show** *?case*
apply (*simp add: strict-sorted-append-iff del: concat-eq-Nil-conv*)
by (*metis hd-append2 less-list-def xx*)
qed *auto*

lemma *acc-lengths-merge1*:
assumes *merge as bs us vs*
shows $\text{list.set } (\text{acc-lengths } k \text{ us}) \subseteq \text{list.set } (\text{acc-lengths } k \text{ as})$
using *assms*
proof (*induction arbitrary: k*)
case (*App as1 bs1 as2 bs2 as bs*)
then show *?case*
apply (*simp add: acc-lengths-append strict-sorted-append-iff length-concat-acc-lengths*)
by (*simp add: le-supI2 length-concat*)
qed (*auto simp: length-concat-acc-lengths*)

lemma *acc-lengths-merge2*:
assumes *merge as bs us vs*
shows $\text{list.set } (\text{acc-lengths } k \text{ vs}) \subseteq \text{list.set } (\text{acc-lengths } k \text{ bs})$
using *assms*
proof (*induction arbitrary: k*)
case (*App as1 bs1 as2 bs2 as bs*)
then show *?case*
apply (*simp add: acc-lengths-append strict-sorted-append-iff length-concat-acc-lengths*)
by (*simp add: le-supI2 length-concat*)
qed (*auto simp: length-concat-acc-lengths*)

lemma *length-hd-le-concat*:

assumes $as \neq []$ **shows** $length\ (hd\ as) \leq length\ (concat\ as)$
by (*metis* (*no-types*) *add.commute* *assms* *concat.simps(2)* *le-add2* *length-append* *list.exhaust-sel*)

lemma *length-hd-merge2*:
assumes *merge* $as\ bs\ us\ vs$
shows $length\ (hd\ bs) \leq length\ (hd\ vs)$
using *assms* **by** *induction* (*auto* *simp*: *length-hd-le-concat*)

lemma *merge-less-sets-hd*:
assumes *merge* $as\ bs\ us\ vs$ *strict-sorted* (*concat* as) *strict-sorted* (*concat* bs) $bs \in lists\ (-\ \{\}\}$
shows $list.set\ (hd\ us) \ll list.set\ (concat\ vs)$
using *assms*
proof *induction*
case (*App* $as1\ bs1\ as2\ bs2\ as\ bs$)
then **have** \S : $list.set\ (concat\ bs1) \ll list.set\ (concat\ bs2)$
by (*force* *simp*: *dest*: *strict-sorted-imp-less-sets*)
have $*$: $list.set\ (concat\ as1) \ll list.set\ (concat\ bs1)$
using *App* **by** (*metis* *concat-append* *strict-sorted-append-iff* *strict-sorted-imp-less-sets*)
then **have** $list.set\ (concat\ as1) \ll list.set\ (concat\ bs)$
using *App* \S *less-sets-trans* *merge-preserves*
by (*metis* *List.set-empty* *append-in-lists-conv* *le-zero-eq* *length-0-conv* *length-concat-ge*)
with $*$ *App.hyps* **show** *?case*
by (*fastforce* *simp*: *less-sets-UN1* *less-sets-UN2* *less-sets-Un2*)
qed *auto*

lemma *set-takeWhile*:
assumes *strict-sorted* (*concat* as) $as \in lists\ (-\ \{\}\}$
shows $list.set\ (takeWhile\ (\lambda x.\ x < y)\ as) = \{x \in list.set\ as.\ x < y\}$
using *assms*
proof (*induction* as)
case (*Cons* $a\ as$)
have $a < y$
if a : $a < concat\ as$ *strict-sorted* a *strict-sorted* (*concat* as) $x < y$ $x \neq []$ $x \in list.set\ as$
for x
proof $-$
have $last\ x \in list.set\ (concat\ as)$
using *set-concat* *that(5)* *that(6)* **by** *fastforce*
then **have** $last\ a < hd\ (concat\ as)$
using *Cons.prem* *that* **by** (*auto* *simp*: *less-list-def*)
also **have** $\dots \leq hd\ y$ **if** $y \neq []$
using *that* a
by (*meson* $\langle last\ x \in list.set\ (concat\ as) \rangle$ *dual-order.strict-trans* *less-list-def* *not-le* *sorted-hd-le* *strict-sorted-imp-sorted*)
finally **show** *?thesis*
by (*simp* *add*: *less-list-def*)
qed

```

then show ?case
  using Cons by (auto simp: strict-sorted-append-iff)
qed auto

proposition merge-exists:
  assumes strict-sorted (concat as) strict-sorted (concat bs)
    as ∈ lists (- {}) bs ∈ lists (- {})
    hd as < hd bs as ≠ [] bs ≠ []
  and disj:  $\bigwedge a b. [a \in \text{list.set } as; b \in \text{list.set } bs] \implies a < b \vee b < a$ 
shows  $\exists us \text{ vs. merge } as \ bs \ us \ vs$ 
  using assms
proof (induction length as + length bs arbitrary: as bs rule: less-induct)
  case (less as bs)
  obtain as1 as2 bs1 bs2
    where A: as1 ≠ [] bs1 ≠ [] concat as1 < concat bs1 concat bs1 < concat as2
      and B: as = as1@as2 bs = bs1@bs2 and C: bs2 = []  $\vee$  (as2 ≠ []  $\wedge$  hd as2
    < hd bs2)
  proof
    define as1 where as1  $\equiv$  takeWhile ( $\lambda x. x < \text{hd } bs$ ) as
    define as2 where as2  $\equiv$  dropWhile ( $\lambda x. x < \text{hd } bs$ ) as
    define bs1 where bs1  $\equiv$  if as2=[] then bs else takeWhile ( $\lambda x. x < \text{hd } as2$ ) bs
    define bs2 where bs2  $\equiv$  if as2=[] then [] else dropWhile ( $\lambda x. x < \text{hd } as2$ ) bs

  have as1: as1 = takeWhile ( $\lambda x. \text{last } x < \text{hd } (\text{hd } bs)$ ) as
    using less.prem1 by (auto simp: as1-def less-list-def cong: takeWhile-cong)
  have as2: as2 = dropWhile ( $\lambda x. \text{last } x < \text{hd } (\text{hd } bs)$ ) as
    using less.prem2 by (auto simp: as2-def less-list-def cong: dropWhile-cong)

  have hd-as2: as2 ≠ []  $\implies \neg \text{hd } as2 < \text{hd } bs$ 
    using as2-def hd-dropWhile by metis
  have hd-bs2: bs2 ≠ []  $\implies \neg \text{hd } bs2 < \text{hd } as2$ 
    using bs2-def hd-dropWhile by metis
  show as1 ≠ []
    by (simp add: as1-def less.prem1 takeWhile-eq-Nil-iff)
  show bs1 ≠ []
    by (metis as2 bs1-def hd-as2 hd-in-set less.prem1(7) less.prem1(8) set-dropWhileD
    takeWhile-eq-Nil-iff)
  show bs2 = []  $\vee$  (as2 ≠ []  $\wedge$  hd as2 < hd bs2)
    by (metis as2-def bs2-def hd-bs2 less.prem1(8) list.set-sel(1) set-dropWhileD)
  have AB: list.set A  $\ll$  list.set B
    if A ∈ list.set as1 B ∈ list.set bs for A B
  proof -
    have A ∈ list.set as
      using that by (metis as1 set-takeWhileD)
    then have sorted A
      by (metis concat.simps(2) concat-append less.prem1(1) sorted-append
      split-list-last strict-sorted-imp-sorted)
    moreover have sorted (hd bs)
      by (metis concat.simps(2) hd-Cons-tl less.prem1(2) less.prem1(7) strict-sorted-append-iff)

```

```

strict-sorted-imp-sorted)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using that less.prem1
  apply (clarsimp simp add: as1-def set-takeWhile less-list-iff-less-sets less-sets-def)
    by (metis (full-types) UN-I hd-concat less-le-trans list.set-sel(1) set-concat
sorted-hd-le strict-sorted-imp-sorted)
  qed
  show as = as1@as2
    by (simp add: as1-def as2-def)
  show bs = bs1@bs2
    by (simp add: bs1-def bs2-def)
  have list.set (concat as1) << list.set (concat bs1)
    using AB set-takeWhileD by (fastforce simp: as1-def bs1-def less-sets-UN1
less-sets-UN2)
  then show concat as1 < concat bs1
    by (rule less-sets-imp-list-less)
  have list.set (concat bs1) << list.set (concat as2) if as2 ≠ []
  proof (clarsimp simp add: bs1-def less-sets-UN1 less-sets-UN2 set-takeWhile
less.prem1)
    fix A B
    assume A ∈ list.set as2 B ∈ list.set bs B < hd as2
    with that show list.set B << list.set A
      using hd-as2 less.prem1(1,2)
    apply (clarsimp simp add: less-sets-def less-list-def)
    apply (auto simp: as2-def)
    apply (simp flip: as2-def)
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) UN-I ⟨as = as1 @ as2⟩ concat.simps(2) con-
cat-append hd-concat in-set-conv-decomp-first le-less-trans less-le-trans set-concat
sorted-append sorted-hd-le sorted-le-last strict-sorted-imp-sorted that)
  qed
  then show concat bs1 < concat as2
    by (simp add: bs1-def less-sets-imp-list-less)
  qed
  obtain cs ds where merge as2 bs2 cs ds
  proof (cases as2 = [] ∨ bs2 = [])
    case True
    then show thesis
      using that C NullNull Null by metis
  next
  have †: length as2 + length bs2 < length as + length bs
    by (simp add: A B)
  case False
  moreover have strict-sorted (concat as2) strict-sorted (concat bs2)
    as2 ∈ lists (− {[]}) bs2 ∈ lists (− {[]})
    ∧ a b. [a ∈ list.set as2; b ∈ list.set bs2] ⇒ a < b ∨ b < a
    using B less.prem1 strict-sorted-append-iff by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using C less.hyps [OF †] False that by force
  qed

```

then obtain cs **where** $merge (as1 @ as2) (bs1 @ bs2) (concat as1 \# cs) (concat bs1 \# ds)$
using A $merge.App$ **by** $blast$
then show $?case$
using B **by** $blast$
qed

3.11.4 Actual proof of Larson's Lemma 3.8

proposition $lemma-3-8$:

assumes $infinite N$

obtains X **where** $X \subseteq WW$ $ordertype X (lenlex less-than) = \omega \uparrow \omega$

$\bigwedge u. u \in [X]^2 \implies$

$\exists l. Form l u \wedge (l > 0 \longrightarrow [enum N l] < inter-scheme l u \wedge List.set$

$(inter-scheme l u) \subseteq N)$

proof –

let $?LL = lenlex less-than$

define bf **where** $bf \equiv \lambda M q. wfrec pair-less (\lambda f (j,i).$

$let R = (case prev j i of None \Rightarrow M \mid Some u \Rightarrow snd (f$

$u))$

$in grab R (q j i))$

have $bf-rec: bf M q (j,i) =$

$(let R = (case prev j i of None \Rightarrow M \mid Some u \Rightarrow snd (bf M q u))$

$in grab R (q j i))$ **for** $M q j i$

by $(subst (1) bf-def) (simp add: Let-def wfrec bf-def cut-apply prev-pair-less cong: conj-cong split: option.split)$

have $infinite (snd (bf M q u)) = infinite M \wedge fst (bf M q u) \subseteq M \wedge snd (bf M q u) \subseteq M$ **for** $M q u$

using $wf-pair-less$

proof $(induction u rule: wf-induct-rule)$

case $(less u)$

then show $?case$

proof $(cases u)$

case $(Pair j i)$

with $less.IH prev-pair-less$ **show** $?thesis$

apply $(simp add: bf-rec [of M q j i] split: option.split)$

using $fst-grab-subset snd-grab-subset$ **by** $blast$

qed

qed

then have $infinite-bf [simp]: infinite (snd (bf M q u)) = infinite M$

and $bf-subset: fst (bf M q u) \subseteq M \wedge snd (bf M q u) \subseteq M$ **for** $M q u$

by $auto$

have $bf-less-sets: fst (bf M q ij) \ll snd (bf M q ij)$ **if** $infinite M$ **for** $M q ij$

using $wf-pair-less$

proof $(induction ij rule: wf-induct-rule)$

case $(less u)$

```

then show ?case
proof (cases u)
  case (Pair j i)
  with less-sets-grab show ?thesis
  by (simp add: bf-rec [of M q j i] less.IH prev-pair-less that split: option.split)
qed
qed

have card-fst-bf: finite (fst (bf M q (j,i))) ∧ card (fst (bf M q (j,i))) = q j i if
infinite M for M q j i
  by (simp add: that bf-rec [of M q j i] split: option.split)

have bf-cong: bf M q u = bf M q' u
  if snd u ≤ fst u and eq: ∧x y. [x ≤ y; y ≤ fst u] ⇒ q' y x = q y x for M q q' u
  using wf-pair-less that
proof (induction u rule: wf-induct-rule)
  case (less u)
  show ?case
proof (cases u)
  case (Pair j i)
  with less.premis show ?thesis
proof (clarisimp simp add: bf-rec [of M - j i] split: option.split)
  fix j' i'
  assume *: prev j i = Some (j',i')
  then have **: ((j', i'), u) ∈ pair-less
  by (simp add: Pair prev-pair-less)
  moreover have i' < j'
  using Pair less.premis by (simp add: prev-Some-less [OF *])
  moreover have ∧x y. [x ≤ y; y ≤ j'] ⇒ q' y x = q y x
  using ** less.premis by (auto simp: pair-less-def Pair)
  ultimately show grab (snd (bf M q (j',i'))) (q j i) = grab (snd (bf M q'
(j',i'))) (q j i)
  using less.IH by auto
qed
qed
qed

define ediff where ediff ≡ λD:: nat ⇒ nat set. λj i. enum (D j) (Suc i) – enum
(D j) i
define F where F ≡ λl (dl,a0::nat set,b0::nat × nat ⇒ nat set,M).
  let (d,Md) = grab (nxt M (enum N (Suc (2 * Suc l)))) (Suc l) in
  let (a,Ma) = grab Md (Min d) in
  let Gb = bf Ma (ediff (dl(l := d))) in
  let dl' = dl(l := d) in
  (dl', a, fst ∘ Gb, snd (Gb(l, l-1)))
define DF where DF ≡ rec-nat (λi∈{..<0}. {}, {}, λp. {}, N) F
have DF-simps: DF 0 = (λi∈{..<0}. {}, {}, λp. {}, N)
  DF (Suc l) = F l (DF l) for l
  by (auto simp: DF-def)

```

```

note cut-apply [simp]

have inf [rule-format]:  $\forall dl\ al\ bl\ L. DF\ l = (dl,al,bl,L) \longrightarrow infinite\ L$  for l
  by (induction l) (auto simp: DF-simps F-def Let-def grab-eqD infinite-nxtN
assms split: prod.split)

define  $\Psi$  where
   $\Psi \equiv \lambda(dl, a, b, M). \lambda l::nat.$ 
     $dl\ l \ll a \wedge card\ a > 0 \wedge$ 
     $(\forall j \leq l. card\ (dl\ j) = Suc\ j) \wedge a \ll \bigcup (range\ b) \wedge range\ b \subseteq Collect\ finite$ 
 $\wedge$ 
     $a \subseteq N \wedge \bigcup (range\ b) \subseteq N \wedge infinite\ M \wedge b(l,l-1) \ll M \wedge M \subseteq N$ 
have  $\Psi$ -DF:  $\Psi\ (DF\ (Suc\ l))\ l$  for l
proof (induction l)
  case 0
  show ?case
    using assms
    apply (clarsimp simp add: bf-rec F-def DF-simps  $\Psi$ -def split: prod.split)
    apply (drule grab-eqD, blast dest: grab-eqD infinite-nxtN)+
    apply (auto simp: less-sets-UN2 less-sets-grab card-fst-bf elim!: less-sets-weaken2)
    apply (metis card-1-singleton-iff Min-singleton greaterThan-iff insertI1 le0
nxt-subset-greaterThan subsetD)
    using nxt-subset snd-grab-subset bf-subset by blast+
  next
  case (Suc l)
  then show ?case
    using assms
    unfolding Let-def DF-simps(2)[of Suc l] F-def  $\Psi$ -def
    apply (clarsimp simp add: bf-rec DF-simps split: prod.split)
    apply (drule grab-eqD, metis grab-eqD infinite-nxtN)+
    apply (safe, simp-all add: less-sets-UN2 less-sets-grab card-fst-bf card-Suc-eq-finite)
    apply (meson less-sets-weaken2)
    apply (metis Min-in grOI greaterThan-iff insert-not-empty le-inf-iff
less-asm nxt-def subsetD)
    apply (meson bf-subset less-sets-weaken2)
    apply (meson nxt-subset subset-eq)
    apply (meson bf-subset nxt-subset subset-eq)
    using bf-rec infinite-bf apply force
    using bf-less-sets bf-rec apply force
    by (metis bf-rec bf-subset nxt-subset subsetD)
qed

define d where  $d \equiv \lambda k. let\ (dk,ak,bk,M) = DF(Suc\ k)\ in\ dk\ k$ 
define a where  $a \equiv \lambda k. let\ (dk,ak,bk,M) = DF(Suc\ k)\ in\ ak$ 
define b where  $b \equiv \lambda k. let\ (dk,ak,bk,M) = DF(Suc\ k)\ in\ bk$ 
define M where  $M \equiv \lambda k. let\ (dk,ak,bk,M) = DF\ k\ in\ M$ 

have infinite-M [simp]: infinite (M k) for k
  by (auto simp: M-def inf split: prod.split)

```


have $M\text{-Suc-subset}$: $M (Suc\ k) \subseteq M\ k$ **for** k
apply ($clarsimp\ simp\ add$: $Let\text{-def}\ M\text{-def}\ F\text{-def}\ DF\text{-simps}\ split$: $prod.split$)
apply ($drule\ grab\ eqD$, $blast\ dest$: $infinite\ nextN\ local.inf$)
using $bf\text{-subset}\ next\text{-subset}$ **by** $blast$

have $Inf\text{-}M\text{-}Suc\text{-}ge$: $Inf\ (M\ k) \leq Inf\ (M\ (Suc\ k))$ **for** k
by ($simp\ add$: $M\text{-}Suc\text{-}subset\ cInf\text{-}superset\ mono\ infinite\ imp\ nonempty$)

have $Inf\text{-}M\text{-}telescoping$: $\{Inf\ (M\ k).. \} \subseteq \{Inf\ (M\ k').. \}$ **if** k' : $k' \leq k$ **for** $k\ k'$
using $that\ Inf\text{-}nat\text{-}def1\ infinite\text{-}M\ unfolding\ Inf\text{-}nat\text{-}def\ atLeast\text{-}subset\text{-}iff$
by ($metis\ M\text{-}Suc\text{-}subset\ finite.emptyI\ le\text{-}less\text{-}linear\ lift\text{-}Suc\text{-}antimono\ le\ not\text{-}less\text{-}Least\ subsetD$)

have $d\text{-}eq$: $d\ k = fst\ (grab\ (next\ (M\ k)\ (enum\ N\ (Suc\ (2 * Suc\ k))))\ (Suc\ k))$ **for** k
by ($simp\ add$: $d\text{-}def\ M\text{-}def\ Let\text{-}def\ DF\text{-simps}\ F\text{-}def\ split$: $prod.split$)
then have $finite\text{-}d$ [$simp$]: $finite\ (d\ k)$ **for** k
by $simp$
then have $d\text{-}ne$ [$simp$]: $d\ k \neq \{\}$ **for** k
by ($metis\ card.empty\ card\ grab\ d\text{-}eq\ infinite\text{-}M\ infinite\ nextN\ nat.distinct(1)$)
have $a\text{-}eq$: $\exists M. a\ k = fst\ (grab\ M\ (Min\ (d\ k))) \wedge infinite\ M$ **for** k
apply ($simp\ add$: $a\text{-}def\ d\text{-}def\ M\text{-}def\ Let\text{-}def\ DF\text{-simps}\ F\text{-}def\ split$: $prod.split$)
by ($metis\ fst\ conv\ grab\ eqD\ infinite\ nextN\ local.inf$)
then have $card\text{-}a$: $card\ (a\ k) = Inf\ (d\ k)$ **for** k
by ($metis\ cInf\ eq\ Min\ card\ grab\ d\text{-}ne\ finite\text{-}d$)

have $d\text{-}eq\ dl$: $d\ k = dl\ k$ **if** $(dl, a, b, P) = DF\ l\ k < l$ **for** $k\ l\ dl\ a\ b\ P$
using $that$
by ($induction\ l\ arbitrary$: $dl\ a\ b\ P$) ($simp\ all\ add$: $d\text{-}def\ DF\text{-simps}\ F\text{-}def\ Let\text{-}def\ split$: $prod.split\text{-}asm\ prod.split$)

have $card\text{-}d$ [$simp$]: $card\ (d\ k) = Suc\ k$ **for** k
by ($auto\ simp$: $d\text{-}eq\ infinite\ nextN$)

have $d\text{-}ne$ [$simp$]: $d\ j \neq \{\}$ **and** $a\text{-}ne$ [$simp$]: $a\ j \neq \{\}$
and $finite\text{-}d$ [$simp$]: $finite\ (d\ j)$ **and** $finite\text{-}a$ [$simp$]: $finite\ (a\ j)$ **for** j
using $\Psi\text{-}DF$ [$of\ j$] **by** ($auto\ simp$: $\Psi\text{-}def\ a\text{-}def\ d\text{-}def\ card\text{-}gt\ 0\ iff\ split$: $prod.split\text{-}asm$)

have da : $d\ k \ll a\ k$ **for** k
using $\Psi\text{-}DF$ [$of\ k$] **by** ($simp\ add$: $\Psi\text{-}def\ a\text{-}def\ d\text{-}def\ split$: $prod.split\text{-}asm$)

have $ab\text{-}same$: $a\ k \ll \bigcup (range\ (b\ k))$ **for** k
using $\Psi\text{-}DF$ [$of\ k$] **by** ($simp\ add$: $\Psi\text{-}def\ a\text{-}def\ b\text{-}def\ M\text{-}def\ split$: $prod.split\text{-}asm$)

have $snd\text{-}bf\text{-}subset$: $snd\ (bf\ M\ r\ (j, i)) \subseteq snd\ (bf\ M\ r\ (j', i'))$
if ji : $((j', i'), (j, i)) \in pair\text{-}less\ (j', i') \in IJ\ k$
for $M\ r\ k\ j\ i\ j'\ i'$
using $wf\text{-}pair\text{-}less\ ji$

```

proof (induction rule: wf-induct-rule [where a= (j,i)])
  case (less u)
  show ?case
  proof (cases u)
    case (Pair j i)
    then consider prev j i = Some (j', i') | x where ((j', i'), x) ∈ pair-less prev
  j i = Some x
    using less.premis pair-less-prev by blast
    then show ?thesis
    proof cases
      case 2 with less.IH show ?thesis
      unfolding bf-rec Pair
      by (metis in-mono option.simps(5) prev-pair-less snd-grab-subset subsetI
that(2))
    qed (simp add: Pair bf-rec snd-grab-subset)
  qed
  qed

have less-bf: fst (bf M r (j',i')) ≤ fst (bf M r (j,i))
  if ji: ((j',i'), (j,i)) ∈ pair-less (j',i') ∈ IJ k and infinite M
  for M r k j i j' i'
  proof –
    consider prev j i = Some (j', i') | j'' i'' where ((j', i'), (j'',i'')) ∈ pair-less
  prev j i = Some (j'',i'')
    by (metis pair-less-prev ji prod.exhaust-sel)
    then show ?thesis
    proof cases
      case 1
      then show ?thesis
      using bf-less-sets bf-rec less-sets-fst-grab ⟨infinite M⟩ by force
    next
      case 2
      then have fst (bf M r (j',i')) ≤ snd (bf M r (j'',i''))
      by (meson bf-less-sets snd-bf-subset less-sets-weaken2 that)
      with 2 show ?thesis
      using bf-rec bf-subset less-sets-fst-grab ⟨infinite M⟩ by auto
    qed
  qed

have aM: a k ≤ M (Suc k) for k
  apply (clarsimp simp add: a-def M-def DF-simps F-def Let-def split: prod.split)
  by (meson bf-subset grab-eqD infinite-nxtN less-sets-weaken2 local.inf)
  then have a k ≤ a (Suc k) for k
  by (metis IntE card-d card.empty d-eq da fst-grab-subset less-sets-trans less-sets-weaken2
nat.distinct(1) nxt-def subsetI)
  then have aa: a j ≤ a k if j < k for k j
  by (meson UNIV-I a-ne less-sets-imp-strict-mono-sets strict-mono-sets-def that)
  then have ab: a k' ≤ b k (j,i) if k' ≤ k for k k' j i
  by (metis a-ne ab-same le-less less-sets-UN2 less-sets-trans rangeI that)

```

have $db: d j \ll b k (j,i)$ **if** $j \leq k$ **for** $k j i$
by (*meson a-ne ab da less-sets-trans that*)

have $bMk: b k (k,k-1) \ll M (Suc k)$ **for** k
using $\Psi\text{-}DF$ [*of k*]
by (*simp add: $\Psi\text{-}def$ b-def d-def M-def split: prod.split-asm*)

have $b: \exists P \subseteq M k. infinite P \wedge (\forall j i. i \leq j \longrightarrow j \leq k \longrightarrow b k (j,i) = fst (bf P (ediff d) (j,i)))$ **for** k
proof (*clarsimp simp: b-def DF-simps F-def Let-def split: prod.split*)
fix $a a' d' dl bb P M' M''$
assume $gr: grab M'' (Min d') = (a', M')$ $grab (nxt P (enum N (Suc (Suc (Suc (2 * k)))))) (Suc k) = (d', M'')$
and $DF: DF k = (dl, a, bb, P)$
have $deg: d j = (if j = k then d' else dl j)$ **if** $j \leq k$ **for** j
proof (*cases j < k*)
case *True*
then show *?thesis* **by** (*metis DF d-eq-dl less-not-refl*)
next
case *False*
then show *?thesis*
using *that DF gr* **by** (*auto simp: d-def DF-simps F-def Let-def split: prod.split*)
qed
have $M' \subseteq P$
by (*metis gr in-mono nxt-subset snd-conv snd-grab-subset subsetI*)
also have $P \subseteq M k$
using DF **by** (*simp add: M-def*)
finally have $M' \subseteq M k$.
moreover have *infinite M'*
using DF **by** (*metis (mono-tags) finite-grab-iff gr infinite-nxtN local.inf snd-conv*)
moreover
have $ediff (dl(k := d')) j i = ediff d j i$ **if** $j \leq k$ **for** $j i$
by (*simp add: deg that ediff-def*)
then have $bf M' (ediff (dl(k := d')) (j,i))$
 $= bf M' (ediff d) (j,i)$ **if** $i \leq j j \leq k$ **for** $j i$
using *bf-cong that* **by** *fastforce*
ultimately show $\exists P \subseteq M k. infinite P \wedge$
 $(\forall j i. i \leq j \longrightarrow j \leq k$
 $\longrightarrow fst (bf M' (ediff (dl(k := d')) (j,i)))$
 $= fst (bf P (ediff d) (j,i)))$
by *auto*
qed

have $card\text{-}b: card (b k (j,i)) = enum (d j) (Suc i) - enum (d j) i$ **if** $j \leq k$ **for** $k j i$
i
— there's a short proof of this from the previous result but it would need $i \leq j$
proof (*clarsimp simp: b-def DF-simps F-def Let-def split: prod.split*)
fix dl

```

    and a a' d':: nat set
    and bb M M' M''
    assume gr: grab M'' (Min d') = (a', M') grab (nxt M (enum N (Suc (Suc (Suc
(2 * k)))))) (Suc k) = (d', M'')
    and DF: DF k = (dl, a, bb, M)
    have d j = (if j = k then d' else dl j)
    proof (cases j < k)
      case True
      then show ?thesis by (metis DF d-eq-dl less-not-refl)
    next
      case False
      then show ?thesis
      using that DF gr by (auto simp: d-def DF-simps F-def Let-def split: prod.split)
    qed
    then show card (fst (bf M' (ediff (dl(k := d')) (j,i)))
      = enum (d j) (Suc i) - enum (d j) i
      using DF gr card-fst-bf grab-eqD infinite-nxtN local.inf ediff-def by auto
    qed

have card-b-pos: card (b k (j,i)) > 0 if i < j j ≤ k for k j i
  by (simp add: card-b that finite-enumerate-step)
have b-ne [simp]: b k (j,i) ≠ {} if i < j j ≤ k for k j i
  using card-b-pos [OF that] less-imp-neq by fastforce+

have card-b-finite [simp]: finite (b k u) for k u
  using Ψ-DF [of k] by (fastforce simp: Ψ-def b-def)

have bM: b k (j,i) ≪ M (Suc k) if i < j j ≤ k for i j k
proof -
  obtain M' where M' ⊆ M k infinite M'
  and bk: ⋀ j i. i ≤ j ⇒ j ≤ k ⇒ b k (j,i) = fst (bf M' (ediff d) (j,i))
  using b by (metis (no-types, lifting))
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases j=k ∧ i = k-1)
    case False
    show ?thesis
    proof (rule less-sets-trans [OF - bMkk])
      show b k (j,i) ≪ b k (k, k-1)
      using that ⟨infinite M'⟩ False
      by (force simp: bk pair-less-def IJ-def intro: less-bf)
      show b k (k, k-1) ≠ {}
      using b-ne that by auto
    qed
  qed
  qed (use bMkk in auto)
qed

have b-InfM: ⋃ (range (b k)) ⊆ {⋂ (M k)..} for k
  proof (clarsimp simp add: Ψ-def b-def M-def DF-simps F-def Let-def split:
prod.split)

```

```

fix r dl :: nat ⇒ nat set
  and a b and d' a' M'' M' P and x j' i' :: nat
assume gr: grab M'' (Min d') = (a', M')
      grab (nxt P (enum N (Suc (Suc (Suc (2 * k)))))) (Suc k) = (d', M'')
  and DF: DF k = (dl, a, b, P)
  and x: x ∈ fst (bf M' (ediff (dl(k := d'))) (j', i'))
have infinite P
  using DF local.inf by blast
then have M' ⊆ P
  by (meson gr grab-eqD infinite-nxtN nxt-subset order.trans)
with bf-subset show [] P ≤ x
  using Inf-nat-def x le-less-linear not-less-Least by fastforce
qed

have b-Inf-M-Suc: b k (j,i) ≪ {Inf(M (Suc k))} if i < j j ≤ k for k j i
  using bMkk [of k] that
  by (metis Inf-nat-def1 bM finite.emptyI infinite-M less-setsD less-sets-singleton2)

have bb-same: b k (j',i') ≪ b k (j,i)
  if ((j',i'), (j,i)) ∈ pair-less (j',i') ∈ IJ k for k j i j' i'
  using that
  unfolding b-def DF-simps F-def Let-def
  by (auto simp: less-bf grab-eqD infinite-nxtN local.inf split: prod.split)

have bb: b k' (j',i') ≪ b k (j,i)
  if j: i' < j' j' ≤ k' and k: k' < k for i i' j j' k' k
proof (rule atLeast-less-sets)
  show b k' (j', i') ≪ {Inf(M (Suc k'))}
    using Suc-lessD b-Inf-M-Suc nat-less-le j by blast
  show b k (j,i) ⊆ {Inf(M (Suc k'))..}
    by (meson Inf-M-telescoping Suc-leI UnionI b-InfM rangeI subset-eq k)
qed

have M-subset-N: M k ⊆ N for k
proof (cases k)
  case (Suc k')
  with Ψ-DF [of k'] show ?thesis
  by (auto simp: M-def Let-def Ψ-def split: prod.split)
qed (auto simp: M-def DF-simps)
have a-subset-N: a k ⊆ N for k
  using Ψ-DF [of k] by (simp add: a-def Ψ-def split: prod.split prod.split-asm)
have d-subset-N: d k ⊆ N for k
  using M-subset-N [of k] d-eq fst-grab-subset nxt-subset by blast
have b-subset-N: b k (j,i) ⊆ N for k j i
  using Ψ-DF [of k] by (force simp: b-def Ψ-def)

define K:: [nat,nat] ⇒ nat set set
  where K ≡ λj0 j. nsets {j0<..} j
have K-finite: finite K and K-card: card K = j if K ∈ K j0 j for K j0 j

```

using that by (*auto simp add: K-def nsets-def*)
have $\mathcal{K}\text{-enum}: j0 < \text{enum } K \text{ } i \text{ if } K \in \mathcal{K} \text{ } j0 \text{ } j \text{ } i < \text{card } K \text{ for } K \text{ } j0 \text{ } j \text{ } i$
using that by (*auto simp: K-def nsets-def finite-enumerate-in-set subset-eq*)
have $\mathcal{K}\text{-0 [simp]: } \mathcal{K} \text{ } k \text{ } 0 = \{\{\}\}$ **for** k
by (*auto simp: K-def*)

have $\mathcal{K}\text{-Suc}: \mathcal{K} \text{ } j0 \text{ } (\text{Suc } j) = \text{USigma } (\mathcal{K} \text{ } j0 \text{ } j) (\lambda K. \{\text{Max } (\text{insert } j0 \text{ } K) <..\})$ **(is**
 $?lhs = ?rhs)$
for $j \text{ } j0$
proof
show $\mathcal{K} \text{ } j0 \text{ } (\text{Suc } j) \subseteq \text{USigma } (\mathcal{K} \text{ } j0 \text{ } j) (\lambda K. \{\text{Max } (\text{insert } j0 \text{ } K) <..\})$
unfolding $\mathcal{K}\text{-def nsets-def USigma-def}$
proof *clarsimp*
fix K
assume $K: K \subseteq \{j0 <..\}$ *finite* K $\text{card } K = \text{Suc } j$
then have $\text{Max } K \in K$
by (*metis Max-in card-0-eq nat.distinct(1)*)
then obtain i **where** $\text{Max } (\text{insert } j0 \text{ } (K - \{\text{Max } K\})) < i \text{ } K = \text{insert } i \text{ } (K - \{\text{Max } K\})$
using K
by (*simp add: subset-iff*) (*metis DiffE Max.coboundedI insertCI insert-Diff le-neq-implies-less*)
then show $\exists L \subseteq \{j0 <..\}. \text{finite } L \wedge \text{card } L = j \wedge (\exists i \in \{\text{Max } (\text{insert } j0 \text{ } L) <..\}. K = \text{insert } i \text{ } L)$
using K
by (*metis Max K ∈ K card-Diff-singleton-if diff-Suc-1 finite-Diff greaterThan-iff insert-subset*)
qed
show $?rhs \subseteq \mathcal{K} \text{ } j0 \text{ } (\text{Suc } j)$
by (*force simp: K-def nsets-def USigma-def*)
qed

define BB **where** $BB \equiv \lambda j0 \text{ } j \text{ } K. \text{list-of } (a \text{ } j0 \cup (\bigcup i < j. b \text{ } (\text{enum } K \text{ } i) \text{ } (j0, i)))$
define XX **where** $XX \equiv \lambda j. BB \text{ } j \text{ } j \text{ } \mathcal{K} \text{ } j \text{ } j$

have *less-list-of: BB j i K < list-of (b l (j,i))*
if $K: K \in \mathcal{K} \text{ } j \text{ } i \forall j \in K. j < l$ **and** $i \leq j \text{ } j \leq l$ **for** $j \text{ } i \text{ } K \text{ } l$
unfolding $BB\text{-def}$
proof (*rule less-sets-imp-sorted-list-of-set*)
have $\bigwedge i. i < \text{card } K \implies b \text{ } (\text{enum } K \text{ } i) \text{ } (j, i) \ll b \text{ } l \text{ } (j, \text{card } K)$
using that by (*metis K-card K-enum K-finite bb finite-enumerate-in-set nat-less-le less-le-trans*)
then show $a \text{ } j \cup (\bigcup i < i. b \text{ } (\text{enum } K \text{ } i) \text{ } (j, i)) \ll b \text{ } l \text{ } (j, i)$
using that unfolding $\mathcal{K}\text{-def nsets-def}$
by (*auto simp: less-sets-Un1 less-sets-UN1 ab finite-enumerate-in-set subset-eq*)
qed *auto*
have $BB\text{-Suc}: BB \text{ } j0 \text{ } (\text{Suc } j) \text{ } K = \text{usplit } (\lambda L \text{ } k. BB \text{ } j0 \text{ } j \text{ } L @ \text{list-of } (b \text{ } k \text{ } (j0, j)))$
 K
if $j: j \leq j0$ **and** $K: K \in \mathcal{K} \text{ } j0 \text{ } (\text{Suc } j)$ **for** $j0 \text{ } j \text{ } K$

— towards the ordertype proof

proof –

have $Kj: K \subseteq \{j0<..\}$ **and** $[simp]: finite\ K$ **and** $cardK: card\ K = Suc\ j$

using K **by** $(auto\ simp: \mathcal{K}\text{-def}\ nsets\text{-def})$

have $KMK: K - \{Max\ K\} \in \mathcal{K}\ j0\ j$

using **that** **by** $(simp\ add: \mathcal{K}\text{-Suc}\ USigma\text{-iff}\ \mathcal{K}\text{-finite}\ less\text{-sets}\text{-def}\ usplit\text{-def})$

have $j0 < Max\ K$

by $(metis\ Kj\ Max\text{-in}\ cardK\ card\text{-gt}\text{-0}\text{-iff}\ greaterThan\text{-iff}\ subsetD\ zero\text{-less}\text{-Suc})$

have $MaxK: Max\ K = enum\ K\ j$

proof $(rule\ Max\text{-eqI})$

fix k

assume $k \in K$

with $K\ cardK$ **show** $k \leq enum\ K\ j$

by $(metis\ \langle finite\ K \rangle\ finite\text{-enumerate}\text{-Ex}\ finite\text{-enumerate}\text{-mono}\text{-iff}\ leI\ lessI\ not\text{-less}\text{-eq})$

qed $(auto\ simp: cardK\ finite\text{-enumerate}\text{-in}\text{-set})$

have $ene: i < j \implies enum\ (K - \{enum\ K\ j\})\ i = enum\ K\ i$ **for** i

using $finite\text{-enumerate}\text{-Diff}\text{-singleton}\ [OF\ \langle finite\ K \rangle]$ **by** $(simp\ add: cardK)$

have $BB\ j0\ (Suc\ j)\ K = list\text{-of}\ ((a\ j0 \cup (\bigcup_{x < j}. b\ (enum\ K\ x)\ (j0, x))) \cup b\ (enum\ K\ j)\ (j0, j))$

by $(simp\ add: BB\text{-def}\ lessThan\text{-Suc}\ Un\text{-ac})$

also **have** $\dots = list\text{-of}\ ((a\ j0 \cup (\bigcup_{i < j}. b\ (enum\ K\ i)\ (j0, i)))) @ list\text{-of}\ (b\ (enum\ K\ j)\ (j0, j))$

proof $(rule\ sorted\text{-list}\text{-of}\text{-set}\text{-Un})$

have $b\ (enum\ K\ i)\ (j0, i) \ll b\ (enum\ K\ j)\ (j0, j)$ **if** $i < j$ **for** i

proof $(rule\ bb)$

show $i < j0$

using j **that** **by** $linarith$

show $j0 \leq enum\ K\ i$

using **that** K **by** $(metis\ \mathcal{K}\text{-enum}\ cardK\ less\text{-SucI}\ less\text{-imp}\text{-le}\text{-nat})$

show $enum\ K\ i < enum\ K\ j$

by $(simp\ add: cardK\ finite\text{-enumerate}\text{-mono}\ that)$

qed

moreover **have** $a\ j0 \ll b\ (enum\ K\ j)\ (j0, j)$

using $MaxK\ \langle j0 < Max\ K \rangle\ ab$ **by** $auto$

ultimately **show** $a\ j0 \cup (\bigcup_{x < j}. b\ (enum\ K\ x)\ (j0, x)) \ll b\ (enum\ K\ j)\ (j0, j)$

by $(simp\ add: less\text{-sets}\text{-Un1}\ less\text{-sets}\text{-UN1})$

qed $(auto\ simp: finite\text{-UnI})$

also **have** $\dots = BB\ j0\ j\ (K - \{Max\ K\}) @ list\text{-of}\ (b\ (Max\ K)\ (j0, j))$

by $(simp\ add: BB\text{-def}\ MaxK\ ene)$

also **have** $\dots = usplit\ (\lambda L\ k. BB\ j0\ j\ L @ list\text{-of}\ (b\ k\ (j0, j)))\ K$

by $(simp\ add: usplit\text{-def})$

finally **show** $?thesis$.

qed

have $enum\text{-d}\text{-0}: enum\ (d\ j)\ 0 = Inf\ (d\ j)$ **for** j

using $enum\text{-0}\text{-eq}\text{-Inf}\text{-finite}$ **by** $auto$

have *Inf-b-less*: $\prod (b\ k'\ (j', i')) < \prod (b\ k\ (j, i))$
if $j: i' < j' \ i < j \ j' \leq k' \ j \leq k$ **and** $k: k' < k$ **for** $i \ i' \ j \ j' \ k' \ k$
using *bb* [of $i' \ j' \ k' \ k \ j \ i$] *that* *b-ne* [of $i' \ j' \ k'$] *b-ne* [of $i \ j \ k$]
by (*simp add: less-sets-def Inf-nat-def1*)

have *b-ge-k*: $\prod (b\ k\ (k, k-1)) \geq k-1$ **for** k
proof (*induction k*)
case (*Suc k*)
show *?case*
proof (*cases k=0*)
case *False*
then have $\prod (b\ k\ (k, k-1)) < \prod (b\ (Suc\ k)\ (Suc\ k, k))$
using *Inf-b-less* **by** *auto*
with *Suc* **show** *?thesis*
by *simp*
qed *auto*
qed *auto*

have *b-ge*: $\prod (b\ k\ (j, i)) \geq k-1$ **if** $k \geq j \ j > i$ **for** $k \ j \ i$
proof -
have $\neg\ Suc\ (\prod (b\ k\ (j, i))) < k$
by (*metis (no-types) Inf-b-less Suc-leI b-ge-k diff-Suc-1 lessI not-less that*)
then show *?thesis*
by *simp*
qed

have *hd-b*: $hd\ (list-of\ (b\ k\ (j, i))) = \prod (b\ k\ (j, i))$
if $i < j \ j \leq k$ **for** $k \ j \ i$
using *that* **by** (*simp add: hd-list-of cInf-eq-Min*)

have *b-disjoint-less*: $b\ (enum\ K\ i)\ (j0, i) \cap b\ (enum\ K\ i')\ (j0, i') = \{\}$
if $K: K \subseteq \{j0 <..\}$ *finite* K $card\ K \geq j0$ $i' < j \ i < i' \ j \leq j0$ **for** $i \ i' \ j \ j0 \ K$
proof (*intro bb less-sets-imp-disjnt [unfolded disjnt-def]*)
show $i < j0$
using *that* **by** *linarith*
then show $j0 \leq enum\ K\ i$
by (*meson K finite-enumerate-in-set greaterThan-iff less-imp-le-nat less-le-trans subsetD*)
show $enum\ K\ i < enum\ K\ i'$
using $K \langle j \leq j0 \rangle$ **that** **by** *auto*
qed

have *b-disjoint*: $b\ (enum\ K\ i)\ (j0, i) \cap b\ (enum\ K\ i')\ (j0, i') = \{\}$
if $K: K \subseteq \{j0 <..\}$ *finite* K $card\ K \geq j0$ $i < j \ i' < j \ i \neq i' \ j \leq j0$ **for** $i \ i' \ j \ j0 \ K$
using *that* *b-disjoint-less inf-commute neq-iff* **by** *metis*

have *otw*: *ordertype* $((\lambda k. list-of\ (b\ k\ (j, i))) \text{ ` } \{Max\ (insert\ j\ K) <..\}) \text{ ?LL} = \omega$
(is *?lhs = -)*
if $K: K \in \mathcal{K} \ j \ i \ j > i$ **for** $j \ i \ K$


```

proof –
  have Sucj: Suc (Max (insert j K))  $\geq j$ 
    using K-finite that(1) le-Suc-eq by auto
  let ?N = {Inf(b k (j,i)) | k. Max (insert j K) < k}
  have infN: infinite ?N
  proof (clarsimp simp add: infinite-nat-iff-unbounded-le)
    fix m
    show  $\exists n \geq m. \exists k. n = \sqcap (b\ k\ (j,i)) \wedge \text{Max}\ (insert\ j\ K) < k$ 
      using b-ge <j > i> Sucj
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) diff-Suc-1 le-SucI le-trans less-Suc-eq-le nat-le-linear)
    qed
  have [simp]: Max (insert j K) < k  $\longleftrightarrow j < k \wedge (\forall a \in K. a < k)$  for k
    using that by (auto simp: K-finite)
  have ?lhs = ordertype ?N less-than
  proof (intro ordertype-eqI strip)
    have list-of (b k (j,i)) = list-of (b k' (j,i))
      if  $j \leq k\ j \leq k'$  hd (list-of (b k (j,i))) = hd (list-of (b k' (j,i)))
      for k k'
      by (metis Inf-b-less <i < j> hd-b nat-less-le not-le that)
    moreover have  $\exists k' j' i'. \text{hd}\ (list\ of\ (b\ k\ (j,i))) = \sqcap (b\ k'\ (j',i')) \wedge i' < j'$ 
       $\wedge j' \leq k'$ 
      if  $j \leq k$  for k
      using that <i < j> hd-b less-imp-le-nat by blast
    moreover have  $\exists k'. \text{hd}\ (list\ of\ (b\ k\ (j,i))) = \sqcap (b\ k'\ (j,i)) \wedge j < k' \wedge$ 
       $(\forall a \in K. a < k')$ 
      if  $j < k \forall a \in K. a < k$  for k
      using that K hd-b less-imp-le-nat by blast
    moreover have  $\sqcap (b\ k\ (j,i)) \in \text{hd}\ '(\lambda k. \text{list-of}\ (b\ k\ (j,i)))\ '\{Max\ (insert\ j\ K) <..\}$ 
       $K) <..\}$ 
      if  $j < k \forall a \in K. a < k$  for k
      using that K by (auto simp: hd-b image-iff)
    ultimately
    show bij-betw hd (( $\lambda k. \text{list-of}\ (b\ k\ (j,i))\ '\{Max\ (insert\ j\ K) <..\}$ ) { $\sqcap (b\ k$ 
       $(j,i)) | k. \text{Max}\ (insert\ j\ K) < k$ }
      by (auto simp: bij-betw-def inj-on-def)
  next
  fix ms ns
  assume ms  $\in (\lambda k. \text{list-of}\ (b\ k\ (j,i))\ '\{Max\ (insert\ j\ K) <..\}$ 
    and ns  $\in (\lambda k. \text{list-of}\ (b\ k\ (j,i))\ '\{Max\ (insert\ j\ K) <..\}$ 
  with that obtain k k' where
    ms: ms = list-of (b k (j,i)) and ns: ns = list-of (b k' (j,i))
    and  $j < k\ j < k'$  and lt-k:  $\forall a \in K. a < k$  and lt-k':  $\forall a \in K. a < k'$ 
    by (auto simp: K-finite)
  then have len-eq [simp]: length ns = length ms
    by (simp add: card-b)
  have nz: length ns  $\neq 0$ 
    using b-ne <i < j> <j < k'> ns by auto
  show (hd ms, hd ns)  $\in$  less-than  $\longleftrightarrow$  (ms, ns)  $\in$  ?LL
  proof

```

```

assume (hd ms, hd ns) ∈ less-than
then show (ms, ns) ∈ ?LL
  using that nz
  by (fastforce simp: lenlex-def K-finite card-b intro: hd-lex)
next
assume §: (ms, ns) ∈ ?LL
then have (list-of (b k' (j,i)), list-of (b k (j,i))) ∉ ?LL
  using less-asym ms ns omega-sum-1-less by blast
then show (hd ms, hd ns) ∈ less-than
  using ⟨j < k⟩ ⟨j < k'⟩ Inf-b-less [of i j i j] ms ns
  by (metis Cons-lenlex-iff § len-eq b-ne card-b-finite diff-Suc-1 hd-Cons-tl hd-b
length-Cons less-or-eq-imp-le less-than-iff linorder-neqE-nat sorted-list-of-set-eq-Nil-iff
that(2))
qed
qed auto
also have ... = ω
  using infN ordertype-nat-ω by blast
finally show ?thesis .
qed

have otwj: ordertype (BB j0 j ' K j0 j) ?LL = ω ↑ j if j ≤ j0 for j j0
  using that
proof (induction j) — a difficult proof, but no hints in Larson's text
  case 0
  then show ?case
    by (auto simp: XX-def)
next
case (Suc j)
then have ih: ordertype (BB j0 j ' K j0 j) ?LL = ω ↑ j
  by simp
have j ≤ j0
  by (simp add: Suc.premis Suc-leD)
have inj-BB: inj-on (BB j0 j) ({j0<..})j
proof (clarsimp simp: inj-on-def BB-def nsets-def sorted-list-of-set-Un less-sets-UN2)
  fix X Y
  assume X: X ⊆ {j0<..} and Y: Y ⊆ {j0<..}
  and finite X finite Y
  and jeq: j = card X
  and card Y = card X
  and eq: list-of (a j0 ∪ (⋃ i < card X. b (enum X i) (j0, i)))
    = list-of (a j0 ∪ (⋃ i < card X. b (enum Y i) (j0, i)))
have enumX: ∧n. [n < card X] ⇒ j0 ≤ enum X n
  using X ⟨finite X⟩ finite-enumerate-in-set less-imp-le-nat by blast
have enumY: ∧n. [n < card X] ⇒ j0 ≤ enum Y n
  using subsetD [OF Y]
by (metis ⟨card Y = card X⟩ ⟨finite Y⟩ finite-enumerate-in-set greaterThan-iff
less-imp-le-nat)
have smX: strict-mono-sets {..<card X} (λi. b (enum X i) (j0, i))
  and smY: strict-mono-sets {..<card X} (λi. b (enum Y i) (j0, i))

```

using *Suc.prem*s $\langle \text{card } Y = \text{card } X \rangle \langle \text{finite } X \rangle \langle \text{finite } Y \rangle$ *bb enumX enumY*
jeq
by (*auto simp: strict-mono-sets-def*)

have *len-eq*: $\text{length } ms = \text{length } ns$
if $(ms, ns) \in \text{list.set } (\text{zip } (\text{map } (\text{list-of } \circ (\lambda i. b (\text{enum } X i) (j0, i)))) (\text{list-of } \{..<n\}))$
 $(\text{map } (\text{list-of } \circ (\lambda i. b (\text{enum } Y i) (j0, i)))) (\text{list-of } \{..<n\}))$
 $n \leq \text{card } X$
for *ms ns n*
using *that*
by (*induction n rule: nat.induct*) (*auto simp: card-b enumX enumY*)
have *concat* $(\text{map } (\text{list-of } \circ (\lambda i. b (\text{enum } X i) (j0, i)))) (\text{list-of } \{..<\text{card } X\})$
 $= \text{concat } (\text{map } (\text{list-of } \circ (\lambda i. b (\text{enum } Y i) (j0, i)))) (\text{list-of } \{..<\text{card } X\})$
using *eq*
by (*simp add: sorted-list-of-set-Un less-sets-UN2 sorted-list-of-set-UN-lessThan*
ab enumX enumY smX smY)
then have *map-eq*: $\text{map } (\text{list-of } \circ (\lambda i. b (\text{enum } X i) (j0, i))) (\text{list-of } \{..<\text{card } X\})$
 $= \text{map } (\text{list-of } \circ (\lambda i. b (\text{enum } Y i) (j0, i))) (\text{list-of } \{..<\text{card } X\})$
by (*rule concat-injective*) (*auto simp: len-eq split: prod.split*)
have $\text{enum } X i = \text{enum } Y i$ **if** $i < \text{card } X$ **for** *i*
proof –
have $\text{Inf } (b (\text{enum } X i) (j0, i)) = \text{Inf } (b (\text{enum } Y i) (j0, i))$
using *iffD1 [OF map-eq-conv, OF map-eq] Suc.prem*s *that*
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) card-b-finite comp-apply finite-lessThan*
lessThan-iff set-sorted-list-of-set)
moreover have $\text{Inf } (b (\text{enum } X i) (j0, i)) \in (b (\text{enum } X i) (j0, i))$
 $\text{Inf } (b (\text{enum } Y i) (j0, i)) \in (b (\text{enum } Y i) (j0, i))$ $i < j0$
using *Inf-nat-def1 Suc.prem*s *b-ne enumX enumY jeq* **that** **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis*
by (*metis Inf-b-less enumX enumY leI nat-less-le* *that*)
qed
then show $X = Y$
by (*simp add: card Y = card X finite X finite Y finite-enum-ext*)
qed
have *BB-Suc'*: $\text{BB } j0 (\text{Suc } j) X = \text{usplit } (\lambda L k. \text{BB } j0 j L @ \text{list-of } (b k (j0, j))) X$
if $X \in \text{USigma } (\mathcal{K} j0 j) (\lambda K. \{\text{Max } (\text{insert } j0 K) <..\})$ **for** *X*
using *that*
by (*simp add: USigma-iff K-finite less-sets-def usplit-def K-Suc BB-Suc j ≤ j0*)
have *ordertype* $(\text{BB } j0 (\text{Suc } j) \text{ ' } \mathcal{K} j0 (\text{Suc } j)) \text{ ?LL}$
 $= \text{ordertype}$
 $(\text{usplit } (\lambda L k. \text{BB } j0 j L @ \text{list-of } (b k (j0, j))) \text{ ' } \text{USigma } (\mathcal{K} j0 j) (\lambda K. \{\text{Max } (\text{insert } j0 K) <..\})) \text{ ?LL}$
by (*simp add: BB-Suc' K-Suc*)
also have $\dots = \omega * \text{ordertype } (\text{BB } j0 j \text{ ' } \mathcal{K} j0 j) \text{ ?LL}$

```

proof (intro ordertype-append-image-IJ)
  fix L k
  assume L ∈  $\mathcal{K}$  j0 j and k ∈ {Max (insert j0 L)<..}
  then have j0 < k and L:  $\bigwedge a. a \in L \implies a < k$ 
    by (simp-all add:  $\mathcal{K}$ -finite)
  then show BB j0 j L < list-of (b k (j0, j))
    by (simp add:  $\langle L \in \mathcal{K} \ j0 \ j \rangle \langle j \leq j0 \rangle$   $\mathcal{K}$ -finite less-list-of)
next
  show inj-on (BB j0 j) ( $\mathcal{K}$  j0 j)
    by (simp add:  $\mathcal{K}$ -def inj-BB)
next
  fix L
  assume L: L ∈  $\mathcal{K}$  j0 j
  then show L  $\ll$  {Max (insert j0 L)<..}  $\wedge$  finite L
    by (simp add:  $\mathcal{K}$ -finite less-sets-def)
  show ordertype (( $\lambda i. \text{list-of } (b \ i \ (j0, \ j))$ ) ‘ {Max (insert j0 L)<..}) ?LL =  $\omega$ 
    using L Suc.premis Suc-le-lessD ot $\omega$  by blast
  qed (auto simp:  $\mathcal{K}$ -finite card-b)
  also have ... =  $\omega \uparrow \text{ord-of-nat } (Suc \ j)$ 
    by (simp add: oexp-mult-commute ih)
  finally show ?case .
qed

define seqs where seqs  $\equiv \lambda j0 \ j \ K. \text{list-of } (a \ j0) \ \# \ (\text{map } (\text{list-of } \circ (\lambda i. b \ (\text{enum} \ K \ i) \ (j0, i))) \ (\text{list-of } \{..<j\}))$ 

have length-seqs [simp]: length (seqs j0 j K) = Suc j for j0 j K
  by (simp add: seqs-def)

have BB-eq-concat-seqs: BB j0 j K = concat (seqs j0 j K)
  and seqs-ne: seqs j0 j K ∈ lists (– {[]})
  if K: K ∈  $\mathcal{K}$  j0 j and j ≤ j0 for K j j0
proof –
  have j0:  $\bigwedge i. i < \text{card } K \implies j0 \leq \text{enum } K \ i$  and le-j0: card K ≤ j0
    using finite-enumerate-in-set that unfolding  $\mathcal{K}$ -def nsets-def by fastforce+
  show BB j0 j K = concat (seqs j0 j K)
    using that unfolding BB-def  $\mathcal{K}$ -def nsets-def seqs-def
    by (fastforce simp: j0 ab bb less-sets-UN2 sorted-list-of-set-Un
      strict-mono-sets-def sorted-list-of-set-UN-lessThan)
  have b (enum K i) (j0, i) ≠ {} if i < card K for i
    using j0 le-j0 less-le-trans that by simp
  moreover have card K = j
    using K  $\mathcal{K}$ -card by blast
  ultimately show seqs j0 j K ∈ lists (– {[]})
    by (clarsimp simp: seqs-def) (metis card-b-finite sorted-list-of-set-eq-Nil-iff)
qed

have BB-decomp:  $\exists cs. BB \ j0 \ j \ K = \text{concat } cs \wedge cs \in \text{lists } (– \{[]\})$ 
  if K: K ∈  $\mathcal{K}$  j0 j and j ≤ j0 for K j j0

```

```

using BB-eq-concat-seqs seqs-ne K that(2) by blast

have a-subset-M: a k ⊆ M k for k
apply (clarsimp simp: a-def M-def DF-simps F-def Let-def split: prod.split-asm)
by (metis (no-types) fst-conv fst-grab-subset nat-subset snd-conv snd-grab-subset
subsetD)
have ba-Suc: b k (j,i) ≪ a (Suc k) if i < j j ≤ k for i j k
by (meson a-subset-M bM less-sets-weaken2 nat-less-le that)
have ba: b k (j,i) ≪ a r if i < j j ≤ k k < r for i j k r
by (metis Suc-lessI a-ne aa ba-Suc less-sets-trans that)

have disjnt-ba: disjnt (b k (j,i)) (a r) if i < j j ≤ k for i j k r
by (meson ab ba disjnt-sym less-sets-imp-disjnt not-le that)

have bb-disjnt: disjnt (b k (j,i)) (b l (r,q))
if q < r i < j j ≤ k r ≤ l j < r for i j q r k l
proof (cases k=l)
case True
with that show ?thesis
by (force simp: pair-less-def IJ-def intro: bb-same less-sets-imp-disjnt)
next
case False
with that show ?thesis
by (metis bb less-sets-imp-disjnt disjnt-sym nat-neq-iff)
qed

have sum-card-b: (∑ i<j. card (b (enum K i) (j0, i))) = enum (d j0) j - enum
(d j0) 0
if K: K ⊆ {j0<..} finite K card K ≥ j0 and j ≤ j0 for j0 j K
using ⟨j ≤ j0⟩
proof (induction j)
case 0
then show ?case
by auto
next
case (Suc j)
then have j < card K
using that(3) by linarith
have dis: disjnt (b (enum K j) (j0, j)) (∪ i<j. b (enum K i) (j0, i))
unfolding disjoint-UN-iff
by (meson Suc.prem b-disjoint-less disjnt-def disjnt-sym lessThan-iff less-Suc-eq
that)
have j0-less: j0 < enum K j
using K ⟨j < card K⟩ by (force simp: finite-enumerate-in-set)
have (∑ i<Suc j. card (b (enum K i) (j0, i)))
= card (b (enum K j) (j0, j)) + (∑ i<j. card (b (enum K i) (j0, i)))
by (simp add: lessThan-Suc card-Un-disjnt [OF - - dis])
also have ... = card (b (enum K j) (j0, j)) + enum (d j0) j - enum (d j0) 0
using ⟨Suc j ≤ j0⟩ by (simp add: Suc.IH split: nat-diff-split)

```

also have $\dots = \text{enum } (d \ j0) \ (\text{Suc } j) - \text{enum } (d \ j0) \ 0$
using *j0-less Suc.prem card-b less-or-eq-imp-le* **by force**
finally show *?case* .
qed

have *card-UN-b*: $\text{card } (\bigcup_{i < j}. b \ (\text{enum } K \ i) \ (j0, \ i)) = \text{enum } (d \ j0) \ j - \text{enum } (d \ j0) \ 0$
if $K: K \subseteq \{j0 <..\}$ *finite* K $\text{card } K \geq j0$ **and** $j \leq j0$ **for** $j0 \ j \ K$
using *that* **by** (*simp add: card-UN-disjoint sum-card-b b-disjoint*)

have *len-BB*: $\text{length } (BB \ j \ j \ K) = \text{enum } (d \ j) \ j$
if $K \in \mathcal{K} \ j \ j$ **and** $j \leq j$ **for** $j \ K$
proof –
have *dis-ab*: $\bigwedge i. i < j \implies \text{disjnt } (a \ j) \ (b \ (\text{enum } K \ i) \ (j, i))$
using $K \ \mathcal{K}\text{-card } \mathcal{K}\text{-enum } ab \ \text{less-sets-imp-disjnt } \text{nat-less-le}$ **by blast**
show *?thesis*
using K **unfolding** *BB-def* $\mathcal{K}\text{-def}$ *nsets-def*
by (*simp add: card-UN-b card-Un-disjnt dis-ab card-a cInf-le-finite finite-enumerate-in-set enum-0-eq-Inf-finite*)
qed

have $d \ k \ll d \ (\text{Suc } k)$ **for** k
by (*metis aM a-ne d-eq da less-sets-fst-grab less-sets-trans less-sets-weaken2 next-subset*)
then have *dd*: $d \ k' \ll d \ k$ **if** $k' < k$ **for** $k' \ k$
by (*meson UNIV-I d-ne less-sets-imp-strict-mono-sets strict-mono-sets-def that*)

show *thesis*
proof
show $(\bigcup (\text{range } XX)) \subseteq WW$
by (*auto simp: XX-def BB-def WW-def*)
show *ordertype* $(\bigcup (\text{range } XX)) \ (\?LL) = \omega \uparrow \omega$
using *otwj* **by** (*simp add: XX-def ordertype- $\omega\omega$*)
next
fix U
assume $U: U \in [\bigcup (\text{range } XX)]^2$
then obtain $x \ y$ **where** $Ueq: U = \{x, y\}$ **and** *len-xy*: $\text{length } x \leq \text{length } y$
by (*auto simp: lenlex-nsets-2-eq lenlex-length*)

show $\exists l. \text{Form } l \ U \wedge (0 < l \implies [\text{enum } N \ l] < \text{inter-scheme } l \ U \wedge \text{list.set } (\text{inter-scheme } l \ U) \subseteq N)$
proof (*cases length x = length y*)
case *True*
then show *?thesis*
using *Form.intros(1) U Ueq* **by fastforce**
next
case *False*
then have *xy*: $\text{length } x < \text{length } y$
using *len-xy* **by auto**

```

obtain  $j\ r\ K\ L$  where  $K: K \in \mathcal{K}\ j\ j$  and  $xeq: x = BB\ j\ j\ K$  and  $ne: BB\ j\ j\ K \neq BB\ r\ r\ L$ 
and  $L: L \in \mathcal{K}\ r\ r$  and  $yeq: y = BB\ r\ r\ L$ 
using  $U$  by (auto simp: Ueq XX-def)
then have  $length\ x = enum\ (d\ j)\ j$   $length\ y = enum\ (d\ r)\ r$ 
by (auto simp: len-BB)
then have  $j < r$ 
using  $xy\ dd$ 
by (metis card-d finite-enumerate-in-set finite-d lessI less-asym less-setsD linorder-neqE-nat)
then have  $aj\text{-}ar: a\ j \ll a\ r$ 
using  $aa$  by auto
have  $Ksub: K \subseteq \{j<..\}$  and  $finite\ K\ card\ K \geq j$ 
using  $K$  by (auto simp: K-def nsets-def)
have  $Lsub: L \subseteq \{r<..\}$  and  $finite\ L\ card\ L \geq r$ 
using  $L$  by (auto simp: K-def nsets-def)
have  $enumK: enum\ K\ i > j$  if  $i < j$  for  $i$ 
using  $K\ K\text{-}card\ K\text{-}enum$  that by blast
have  $enumL: enum\ L\ i > r$  if  $i < r$  for  $i$ 
using  $L\ K\text{-}card\ K\text{-}enum$  that by blast
have  $list.set\ (acc\text{-}lengths\ w\ (seqs\ j0\ j\ K)) \subseteq (+)\ w\ \text{'}\ d\ j0$ 
if  $K: K \subseteq \{j0<..\}$   $finite\ K\ card\ K \geq j0$  and  $j \leq j0$  for  $j0\ j\ K\ w$ 
using  $\langle j \leq j0 \rangle$ 
proof (induction j arbitrary: w)
case  $0$ 
then show  $?case$ 
by (simp add: seqs-def Inf-nat-def1 card-a)
next
case ( $Suc\ j$ )
let  $?db = \prod (d\ j0) + ((\sum i < j. card\ (b\ (enum\ K\ i)\ (j0, i))) + card\ (b\ (enum\ K\ j)\ (j0, j)))$ 
have  $j0 < enum\ K\ j$ 
by (meson Suc.premS Suc-le-lessD finite-enumerate-in-set greaterThan-iff le-trans subsetD K)
then have  $enum\ (d\ j0)\ j \geq \prod (d\ j0)$ 
using  $Suc.premS\ card\text{-}d$  by (simp add: cInf-le-finite finite-enumerate-in-set)
then have  $?db = enum\ (d\ j0)\ (Suc\ j)$ 
using  $Suc.premS$  that
by (simp add: cInf-le-finite finite-enumerate-in-set sum-card-b card-b enum-d-0 \langle j0 < enum\ K\ j \rangle less-or-eq-imp-le)
then have  $?db \in d\ j0$ 
using  $Suc.premS\ finite-enumerate-in-set$  by (auto simp: finite-enumerate-in-set)
moreover have  $list.set\ (acc\text{-}lengths\ w\ (seqs\ j0\ j\ K)) \subseteq (+)\ w\ \text{'}\ d\ j0$ 
by (simp add: Suc Suc-leD)
then have  $list.set\ (acc\text{-}lengths\ (w + \prod (d\ j0))\ (map\ (list\text{-}of\ \circ\ (\lambda i. b\ (enum\ K\ i)\ (j0, i)))\ (list\text{-}of\ \{..<j\}))) \subseteq (+)\ w\ \text{'}\ d\ j0$ 
by (simp add: seqs-def card-a subset-insertI)
ultimately show  $?case$ 

```

```

    by (simp add: seqs-def acc-lengths-append image-iff Inf-nat-def1
        sum-sorted-list-of-set-map card-a)
qed
then have acc-lengths-subset-d: list.set (acc-lengths 0 (seqs j0 j K))  $\subseteq$  d j0
  if K: K  $\subseteq$  {j0<..} finite K card K  $\geq$  j0 and j  $\leq$  j0 for j0 j K
  by (metis image-add-0 that)

have strict-sorted x strict-sorted y
  by (auto simp: xeq yeq BB-def)
have disjnt-xy: disjnt (list.set x) (list.set y)
proof -
  have disjnt (a j) (a r)
    using  $\langle j < r \rangle$  aa less-sets-imp-disjnt by blast
  moreover have disjnt (b (enum K i) (j,i)) (a r) if i < j for i
    by (simp add: disjnt-ba enumK less-imp-le-nat that)
  moreover have disjnt (a j) (b (enum L q) (r,q)) if q < r for q
    by (meson disjnt-ba disjnt-sym enumL less-imp-le-nat that)
  moreover have disjnt (b (enum K i) (j,i)) (b (enum L q) (r,q)) if i < j q
    < r for i q
    by (meson  $\langle j < r \rangle$  bb-disjnt enumK enumL less-imp-le that)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (simp add: xeq yeq BB-def)
qed
have  $\exists$  us vs. merge (seqs j j K) (seqs r r L) us vs
proof (rule merge-exists)
  show strict-sorted (concat (seqs j j K))
    using BB-eq-concat-seqs K  $\langle$ strict-sorted x $\rangle$  xeq by auto
  show strict-sorted (concat (seqs r r L))
    using BB-eq-concat-seqs L  $\langle$ strict-sorted y $\rangle$  yeq by auto
  show seqs j j K  $\in$  lists (- {[]}) seqs r r L  $\in$  lists (- {[]})
    by (auto simp: K L seqs-ne)
  show hd (seqs j j K) < hd (seqs r r L)
    by (simp add: aj-ar less-sets-imp-list-less seqs-def)
  show seqs j j K  $\neq$  [] seqs r r L  $\neq$  []
    using seqs-def by blast+
  have less-bb: b (enum K i) (j,i)  $\ll$  b (enum L p) (r, p)
    if  $\neg$  b (enum L p) (r, p)  $\ll$  b (enum K i) (j,i) and i < j p < r
    for i p
    by (metis IJ-iff  $\langle j < r \rangle$  bb bb-same enumK enumL less-imp-le-nat
linorder-neqE-nat pair-lessI1 that)
  show u < v  $\vee$  v < u
    if u  $\in$  list.set (seqs j j K) and v  $\in$  list.set (seqs r r L) for u v
    using that enumK enumL unfolding seqs-def
    apply (auto simp: seqs-def aj-ar intro!: less-bb less-sets-imp-list-less)
    apply (meson ab ba less-imp-le-nat not-le)+
    done
qed
then obtain uus vvs where merge: merge (seqs j j K) (seqs r r L) uus vvs
  by metis

```



```

then have  $us \neq []$ 
  using merge-length1-gt-0 by (auto simp: seqs-def)
then obtain  $u1\ us$  where  $us: u1\#\us = us$ 
  by (metis neq-Nil-conv)
define  $ku$  where  $ku \equiv length\ (u1\#\us)$ 
define  $ps$  where  $ps \equiv acc-lengths\ 0\ (u1\#\us)$ 
have  $us-ne: u1\#\us \in lists\ (-\ \{\}\}$ 
  using merge-length1-nonempty seqs-ne us merge us K by auto
have  $xu-eq: x = concat\ (u1\#\us)$ 
  using BB-eq-concat-seqs K merge merge-preserves us xeq by auto
then have strict-sorted u1
  using  $\langle strict-sorted\ x \rangle\ strict-sorted-append-iff$  by auto
have  $u-sub: list.set\ ps \subseteq list.set\ (acc-lengths\ 0\ (seqs\ j\ j\ K))$ 
  using acc-lengths-merge1 merge ps-def us by blast
have  $vvs \neq []$ 
  using merge BB-eq-concat-seqs L merge-preserves xy yeq by auto
then obtain  $v1\ vs$  where  $vs: v1\#\vs = vvs$ 
  by (metis neq-Nil-conv)
define  $kv$  where  $kv \equiv length\ (v1\#\vs)$ 
define  $qs$  where  $qs \equiv acc-lengths\ 0\ (v1\#\vs)$ 
have  $vs-ne: v1\#\vs \in lists\ (-\ \{\}\}$ 
  using L merge merge-length2-nonempty seqs-ne vs by auto
have  $yv-eq: y = concat\ (v1\#\vs)$ 
  using BB-eq-concat-seqs L merge merge-preserves vs yeq by auto
then have strict-sorted v1
  using  $\langle strict-sorted\ y \rangle\ strict-sorted-append-iff$  by auto
have  $v-sub: list.set\ qs \subseteq list.set\ (acc-lengths\ 0\ (seqs\ r\ r\ L))$ 
  using acc-lengths-merge2 merge qs-def vs by blast

have  $ss-concat-jj: strict-sorted\ (concat\ (seqs\ j\ j\ K))$ 
  using BB-eq-concat-seqs K \langle strict-sorted x \rangle xeq by auto
then obtain  $k: 0 < kv\ kv \leq ku\ ku \leq Suc\ kv\ kv \leq Suc\ j$ 
  using  $us\ vs\ merge-length-le\ merge-length-le-Suc\ merge-length-less2\ merge$ 
  unfolding ku-def kv-def by fastforce

define  $zs$  where  $zs \equiv concat\ [ps, u1, qs, v1]\ @\ interact\ us\ vs$ 
have  $ss: strict-sorted\ zs$ 
proof –
  have  $ssp: strict-sorted\ ps$ 
    unfolding ps-def by (meson strict-sorted-acc-lengths us-ne)
  have  $ssq: strict-sorted\ qs$ 
    unfolding qs-def by (meson strict-sorted-acc-lengths vs-ne)

have  $d\ j \ll list.set\ x$ 
  using  $da\ [of\ j]\ db\ [of\ j]\ K\ K-card\ K-enum\ nat-less-le$ 
  by (auto simp: xeq BB-def less-sets-Un2 less-sets-UN2)
then have  $ac-x: acc-lengths\ 0\ (seqs\ j\ j\ K) < x$ 
  by (meson Ksub \langle finite K \rangle \langle j \leq card K \rangle acc-lengths-subset-d le-refl
less-sets-imp-list-less less-sets-weaken1)

```

```

then have ps < x
by (meson Ksub ⟨d j ≪ list.set x⟩ ⟨finite K⟩ ⟨j ≤ card K⟩ acc-lengths-subset-d
le-refl less-sets-imp-list-less less-sets-weaken1 u-sub)
then have ps < u1
by (metis Nil-is-append-conv concat.simps(2) hd-append2 less-list-def xu-eq)

have d r ≪ list.set y
using da [of r] db [of r] L K-card K-enum nat-less-le
by (auto simp: yeq BB-def less-sets-Un2 less-sets-UN2)
then have acc-lengths 0 (seqs r r L) < y
by (meson Lsub ⟨finite L⟩ ⟨r ≤ card L⟩ acc-lengths-subset-d le-refl
less-sets-imp-list-less less-sets-weaken1)
then have qs < y
by (metis L Lsub K-card ⟨d r ≪ list.set y⟩ ⟨finite L⟩ acc-lengths-subset-d
less-sets-imp-list-less less-sets-weaken1 order-refl v-sub)
then have qs < v1
by (metis concat.simps(2) gr-implies-not0 hd-append2 less-list-def list.size(3)
xy yv-eq)

have carda-v1: card (a r) ≤ length v1
using length-hd-merge2 [OF merge] unfolding vs [symmetric] by (simp
add: seqs-def)
have ab-enumK:  $\bigwedge i. i < j \implies a j \ll b (enum K i) (j, i)$ 
by (meson ab enumK le-trans less-imp-le-nat)

have ab-enumL:  $\bigwedge q. q < r \implies a j \ll b (enum L q) (r, q)$ 
by (meson ⟨j < r⟩ ab enumL le-trans less-imp-le-nat)
then have ay: a j ≪ list.set y
by (auto simp: yeq BB-def less-sets-Un2 less-sets-UN2 aj-ar)

have disjnt-hd-last-K-y: disjnt {hd l..last l} (list.set y)
if l: l ∈ list.set (seqs j j K) for l
proof (clarsimp simp add: yeq BB-def disjnt-iff Ball-def, intro conjI strip)
fix u
assume u: u ≤ last l and hd l ≤ u
with l consider u ≤ last (list-of (a j)) hd (list-of (a j)) ≤ u
| i where i < j u ≤ last (list-of (b (enum K i) (j, i))) hd (list-of (b (enum
K i) (j, i))) ≤ u
by (force simp: seqs-def)
note l-cases = this
then show u ∉ a r
proof cases
case 1
then show ?thesis
by (metis a-ne aj-ar finite-a last-in-set leD less-setsD set-sorted-list-of-set
sorted-list-of-set-eq-Nil-iff)
next
case 2
then show ?thesis

```

```

      by (metis enumK ab ba Inf-nat-def1 b-ne card-b-finite hd-b last-in-set
less-asyM less-setsD not-le set-sorted-list-of-set sorted-list-of-set-eq-Nil-iff)
    qed
  fix q
  assume q < r
  show u ∉ b (enum L q) (r,q)
    using l-cases
  proof cases
    case 1
    then show ?thesis
      by (metis ‹q < r› a-ne ab-enumL finite-a last-in-set leD less-setsD
set-sorted-list-of-set sorted-list-of-set-eq-Nil-iff)
    next
    case 2
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases enum K i = enum L q)
      case True
      then show ?thesis
        using 2 bb-same [of concl: enum L q j i r q] ‹j < r› u
        by (metis IJ-iff b-ne card-b-finite enumK last-in-set leD less-imp-le-nat
less-setsD pair-lessI1 set-sorted-list-of-set sorted-list-of-set-eq-Nil-iff)
      next
      case False
      with 2 bb enumK enumL show ?thesis
        unfolding less-sets-def
        by (metis ‹q < r› b-ne card-b-finite last-in-set leD less-imp-le-nat
list.set-sel(1) nat-neq-iff set-sorted-list-of-set sorted-list-of-set-eq-Nil-iff)
    qed
  qed
qed

have u1-y: list.set u1 ≪ list.set y
  using vs yv-eq L ‹strict-sorted y› merge merge-less-sets-hd merge-preserves
seqs-ne ss-concat-jj us by fastforce
have u1-subset-seqs: list.set u1 ⊆ list.set (concat (seqs j j K))
  using merge-preserves [OF merge] us by auto

have b k (j,i) ≪ d (Suc k) if j ≤ k i < j for k j i
  by (metis bM d-eq less-sets-fst-grab less-sets-weaken2 nxt-subset that)
then have bd: b k (j,i) ≪ d k' if j ≤ k i < j k < k' for k k' j i
  by (metis Suc-lessI d-ne dd less-sets-trans that)

have a k ≪ d (Suc k) for k
  by (metis aM d-eq less-sets-fst-grab less-sets-weaken2 nxt-subset)
then have ad: a k ≪ d k' if k < k' for k k'
  by (metis Suc-lessI d-ne dd less-sets-trans that)

have u1 < y
  by (simp add: u1-y less-sets-imp-list-less)

```

```

have n < Inf (d r) if n: n ∈ list.set u1 for n
proof -
  obtain l where l: l ∈ list.set (seqs j j K) and n: n ∈ list.set l
  using n u1-subset-seqs by auto
  then consider l = list-of (a j) | i where l = list-of (b (enum K i) (j,i))
i < j
  by (force simp: seqs-def)
  then show ?thesis
  proof cases
    case 1
    then show ?thesis
  by (metis Inf-nat-def1 ⟨j < r⟩ ad d-ne finite-a less-setsD n set-sorted-list-of-set)
  next
  case 2
  then have hd (list-of (b (enum K i) (j,i))) = Min (b (enum K i) (j,i))
    by (meson b-ne card-b-finite enumK hd-list-of less-imp-le-nat)
  also have ... ≤ n
    using 2 n by (simp add: less-list-def disjnt-iff less-sets-def)
  also have f8: n < hd y
    using less-setsD that u1-y
  by (metis gr-implies-not0 list.set-sel(1) list.size(3) xy)
  finally have l < y
    using 2 disjnt-hd-last-K-y [OF l]
    by (simp add: disjnt-iff) (metis leI less-imp-le-nat less-list-def
list.set-sel(1))
  moreover have last (list-of (b (enum K i) (j,i))) < hd (list-of (a r))
    using ⟨l < y⟩ L n by (auto simp: 2yeq BB-eq-concat-seqs seqs-def
less-list-def)
  then have enum K i < r
    by (metis 2(1) a-ne ab card-b-finite empty-iff finite.emptyI finite-a
last-in-set leI less-asm less-setsD list.set-sel(1) n set-sorted-list-of-set)
  moreover have j ≤ enum K i
    by (simp add: 2(2) enumK less-imp-le-nat)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using 2 n bd [of j enum K i i r] Inf-nat-def1 less-setsD by force
  qed
qed
then have last u1 < Inf (d r)
  using ⟨uus ≠ []⟩ us-ne by auto
also have ... ≤ length v1
  using card-a carda-v1 by auto
finally have last u1 < length v1 .
then have u1 < qs
  by (simp add: qs-def less-list-def)

have strict-sorted (interact (u1#us) (v1#vs))
using L ⟨strict-sorted x⟩ ⟨strict-sorted y⟩ merge merge-interact merge-preserves
seqs-ne us vs xu-eq yv-eq by auto
then have strict-sorted (interact us vs) v1 < interact us vs

```

```

    by (auto simp: strict-sorted-append-iff)
  moreover have  $ps < u1 @ qs @ v1 @ interact\ us\ vs$ 
    using  $\langle ps < u1 \rangle us\text{-}ne$  unfolding less-list-def by auto
  moreover have  $u1 < qs @ v1 @ interact\ us\ vs$ 
    by (metis  $\langle u1 < qs \rangle \langle vvs \neq [] \rangle acc\text{-}lengths\text{-}eq\text{-}Nil\text{-}iff\ hd\text{-}append\ less\text{-}list\text{-}def$ 
 $qs\text{-}def\ vs$ )
  moreover have  $qs < v1 @ interact\ us\ vs$ 
    using  $\langle qs < v1 \rangle us\text{-}ne\ \langle last\ u1 < length\ v1 \rangle vs\text{-}ne$  by (auto simp:
less-list-def)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (simp add: zs-def strict-sorted-append-iff ssp ssq  $\langle strict\text{-}sorted\ u1 \rangle$ 
 $\langle strict\text{-}sorted\ v1 \rangle$ )
  qed
  have ps-subset-d:  $list.set\ ps \subseteq d\ j$ 
    using  $K\ Ksub\ \mathcal{K}\text{-}card\ \langle finite\ K \rangle acc\text{-}lengths\text{-}subset\text{-}d\ u\text{-}sub$  by blast
  have ps-less-u1:  $ps < u1$ 
  proof -
    have  $hd\ u1 = hd\ x$ 
      using us-ne by (auto simp: xu-eq)
    then have  $hd\ u1 \in a\ j$ 
      by (simp add: xeq BB-eq-concat-seqs K seqs-def hd-append hd-list-of)
    then have  $list.set\ ps \ll \{hd\ u1\}$ 
      by (metis da ps-subset-d less-sets-def singletonD subset-iff)
    then show ?thesis
      by (metis less-hd-imp-less list.set(2) empty-set less-sets-imp-list-less)
  qed
  have qs-subset-d:  $list.set\ qs \subseteq d\ r$ 
    using  $L\ Lsub\ \mathcal{K}\text{-}card\ \langle finite\ L \rangle acc\text{-}lengths\text{-}subset\text{-}d\ v\text{-}sub$  by blast
  have qs-less-v1:  $qs < v1$ 
  proof -
    have  $hd\ v1 = hd\ y$ 
      using vs-ne by (auto simp: yv-eq)
    then have  $hd\ v1 \in a\ r$ 
      by (simp add: yeq BB-eq-concat-seqs L seqs-def hd-append hd-list-of)
    then have  $list.set\ qs \ll \{hd\ v1\}$ 
      by (metis da qs-subset-d less-sets-def singletonD subset-iff)
    then show ?thesis
      by (metis less-hd-imp-less list.set(2) empty-set less-sets-imp-list-less)
  qed
  have FB: Form-Body  $ku\ kv\ x\ y\ zs$ 
    unfolding Form-Body.simps
    using ku-def kv-def ps-def qs-def ss us-ne vs-ne xu-eq xy yv-eq zs-def by blast
  then have  $zs = (inter\text{-}scheme\ ((ku+kv) - Suc\ 0)\ \{x,y\})$ 
    by (simp add: Form-Body-imp-inter-scheme k)
  obtain l where  $l \leq 2 * (Suc\ j)$  and l: Form l U and zs-eq-interact:  $zs =$ 
inter-scheme l  $\{x,y\}$ 
  proof
    show  $ku+kv-1 \leq 2 * (Suc\ j)$ 
      using k by auto

```

```

show Form (ku+kv-1) U
proof (cases ku=kv)
  case True
  then show ?thesis
    using FB Form.simps Ueq ⟨0 < kv⟩ by (auto simp: mult-2)
  next
  case False
  then have ku = Suc kv
    using k by auto
  then show ?thesis
    using FB Form.simps Ueq ⟨0 < kv⟩ by auto
qed
show zs = inter-scheme (ku + kv - 1) {x, y}
  using Form-Body-imp-inter-scheme by (simp add: FB k)
qed
then have enum N l ≤ enum N (Suc (2 * Suc j))
  by (simp add: assms less-imp-le-nat)
also have ... < Min (d j)
  by (smt (verit, best) Min-gr-iff d-eq d-ne finite-d fst-grab-subset greaterThan-iff
in-mono le-inf-iff nat-def)
finally have ls: {enum N l} ≪ d j
  by simp
have l > 0
  by (metis l False Form-0-cases-raw Set.doubleton-eq-iff Ueq gr0I)
show ?thesis
  unfolding Ueq
  proof (intro exI conjI impI)
    have zs-subset: list.set zs ⊆ list.set (acc-lengths 0 (seqs j j K)) ∪ list.set
(acc-lengths 0 (seqs r r L)) ∪ list.set x ∪ list.set y
      using u-sub v-sub by (auto simp: zs-def xu-eq yv-eq)
    also have ... ⊆ N
      proof (simp, intro conjI)
        show list.set (acc-lengths 0 (seqs j j K)) ⊆ N
          using d-subset-N Ksub ⟨finite K⟩ ⟨j ≤ card K⟩ acc-lengths-subset-d by
blast
        show list.set (acc-lengths 0 (seqs r r L)) ⊆ N
          using d-subset-N Lsub ⟨finite L⟩ ⟨r ≤ card L⟩ acc-lengths-subset-d by
blast
        show list.set x ⊆ N list.set y ⊆ N
          by (simp-all add: xeq yeq BB-def a-subset-N UN-least b-subset-N)
      qed
    finally show list.set (inter-scheme l {x, y}) ⊆ N
      using zs-eq-interact by blast
    have [enum N l] < ps
      using ps-subset-d ls
      by (metis empty-set less-sets-imp-list-less less-sets-weaken2 list.simps(15))
    then show [enum N l] < inter-scheme l {x, y}
      by (simp add: zs-def less-list-def ps-def flip: zs-eq-interact)
  qed (use Ueq l in blast)

```

qed
 qed
 qed

3.12 The main partition theorem for $\omega \uparrow \omega$

definition *iso-ll* **where** *iso-ll* $A B \equiv iso (lenlex\ less\ than \cap (A \times A)) (lenlex\ less\ than \cap (B \times B))$

corollary *ordertype-eq-ordertype-iso-ll*:

assumes *Field* (*Restr* (*lenlex less-than*) A) = *A Field* (*Restr* (*lenlex less-than*) B) = B

shows (*ordertype* A (*lenlex less-than*) = *ordertype* B (*lenlex less-than*))
 $\longleftrightarrow (\exists f. iso-ll\ A\ B\ f)$

proof –

have *total-on* A (*lenlex less-than*) \wedge *total-on* B (*lenlex less-than*)

by (*meson UNIV-I total-lenlex total-on-def total-on-less-than*)

then show *?thesis*

by (*simp add: assms wf-lenlex lenlex-transI iso-ll-def ordertype-eq-ordertype-iso-Restr*)

qed

theorem *partition- $\omega\omega$ -aux*:

assumes $\alpha \in elts\ \omega$

shows *partn-lst* (*lenlex less-than*) WW [$\omega \uparrow \omega, \alpha$] 2 (**is** *partn-lst* *?R* WW [$\omega \uparrow \omega, \alpha$] 2)

proof (*cases* $\alpha \leq 1$)

case *True*

then show *?thesis*

using *strict-sorted-into-WW unfolding WW-def* **by** (*auto intro!: partn-lst-triv1* [**where** $i=1$])

next

case *False*

obtain m **where** $m: \alpha = ord\ of\ nat\ m$

using *assms elts- ω* **by** *auto*

then have $m > 1$

using *False* **by** *auto*

show *?thesis*

unfolding *partn-lst-def*

proof *clarsimp*

fix f

assume $f: f \in [WW]^2 \rightarrow \{..<Suc\ (Suc\ 0)\}$

let $?P0 = \exists X \subseteq WW. ordertype\ X\ ?R = \omega \uparrow \omega \wedge f \ ' [X]^2 \subseteq \{0\}$

let $?P1 = \exists M \subseteq WW. ordertype\ M\ ?R = \alpha \wedge f \ ' [M]^2 \subseteq \{1\}$

have $\dagger: ?P0 \vee ?P1$

proof (*rule disjCI*)

assume *not1*: $\neg ?P1$

have $\exists W'. ordertype\ W'\ ?R = \omega \uparrow n \wedge f \ ' [W']^2 \subseteq \{0\} \wedge W' \subseteq WW\text{-seg}$

($n * m$) **for** $n :: nat$

proof –

```

have fnm: f ∈ [WW-seg (n*m)]2 → {..<Suc (Suc 0)}
using f WW-seg-subset-WW [of n*m] by (meson in-mono nsets-Pi-contr)
have *: partn-lst ?R (WW-seg (n*m)) [ω↑n, ord-of-nat m] 2
using ordertype-WW-seg [of n*m]
by (simp add: partn-lst-VWF-imp-partn-lst [OF Theorem-3-2])
show ?thesis
using partn-lst-E [OF * fnm, simplified]
by (metis One-nat-def WW-seg-subset-WW less-2-cases m not1 nth-Cons-0
nth-Cons-Suc numeral-2-eq-2 subset-trans)
qed
then obtain W':: nat ⇒ nat list set
where otW': ∧n. ordertype (W' n) ?R = ω↑n
and f-W': ∧n. f ' [W' n]2 ⊆ {0}
and seg-W': ∧n. W' n ⊆ WW-seg (n*m)
by metis
define WW' where WW' ≡ (∪ n. W' n)
have WW' ⊆ WW
using seg-W' WW-seg-subset-WW by (force simp: WW'-def)
with f have f': f ∈ [WW']2 → {..<Suc (Suc 0)}
using nsets-mono by fastforce
have ot': ordertype WW' ?R = ω↑ω
proof (rule antisym)
have ordertype WW' ?R ≤ ordertype WW ?R
by (simp add: ⟨WW' ⊆ WW⟩ lenlex-transI ordertype-mono wf-lenlex)
with ordertype-WW
show ordertype WW' ?R ≤ ω ↑ ω
by simp
have ω ↑ n ≤ ordertype (∪ (range W')) ?R for n::nat
using oexp-Limit ordertype-ω otW' by auto
then show ω ↑ ω ≤ ordertype WW' ?R
by (auto simp: elts-ω oexp-Limit ZFC-in-HOL.SUP-le-iff WW'-def)
qed
have FR-WW: Field (Restr (lenlex less-than) WW) = WW
by (simp add: Limit-omega-oexp Limit-ordertype-imp-Field-Restr order-
type-WW)
have FR-WW': Field (Restr (lenlex less-than) WW') = WW'
by (simp add: Limit-omega-oexp Limit-ordertype-imp-Field-Restr ot')
have FR-W: Field (Restr (lenlex less-than) (WW-seg n)) = WW-seg n if
n>0 for n
by (simp add: Limit-omega-oexp ordertype-WW-seg that Limit-ordertype-imp-Field-Restr)
have FR-W': Field (Restr (lenlex less-than) (W' n)) = W' n if n>0 for n
by (simp add: Limit-omega-oexp otW' that Limit-ordertype-imp-Field-Restr)
have ∃ h. iso-ll (WW-seg n) (W' n) h if n>0 for n
proof (subst ordertype-eq-ordertype-iso-ll [symmetric])
show ordertype (WW-seg n) (lenlex less-than) = ordertype (W' n) (lenlex
less-than)
by (simp add: ordertype-WW-seg otW')
qed (auto simp: FR-W FR-W' that)
then obtain h-seg where h-seg: ∧n. n > 0 ⇒ iso-ll (WW-seg n) (W' n)

```



```

(h-seg n)
  by metis
  define h where h ≡ λl. if l=[] then [] else h-seg (length l) l

  have bij-h-seg: ∧n. n > 0 ⇒ bij-betw (h-seg n) (WW-seg n) (W' n)
    using h-seg by (simp add: iso-ll-def iso-iff2 FR-W FR-W')
  have len-h-seg: length (h-seg (length l) l) = length l * m
    if length l > 0 l ∈ WW for l
    using bij-betwE [OF bij-h-seg] seg-W' that by (simp add: WW-seg-def
subset-iff)
  have hlen: length (h x) = length (h y) ↔ length x = length y if x ∈ WW y
    ∈ WW for x y
    using that ⟨1 < m⟩ h-def len-h-seg by force

  have h: iso-ll WW WW' h
    unfolding iso-ll-def iso-iff2 FR-WW FR-WW'
  proof (intro conjI strip)
    have W'-ne: W' n ≠ {} for n
      using otW' [of n] by auto
    then have [] ∈ WW'
      using seg-W' [of 0] by (auto simp: WW'-def WW-seg-def)
    let ?g = λl. if l=[] then l else inv-into (WW-seg (length l div m)) (h-seg
(length l div m)) l
    have h-seg-iff: ∧n a b. [a ∈ WW-seg n; b ∈ WW-seg n; n>0] ⇒
      (a, b) ∈ lenlex less-than ↔
      (h-seg n a, h-seg n b) ∈ lenlex less-than ∧ h-seg n a ∈ W' n
    ∧ h-seg n b ∈ W' n
      using h-seg by (auto simp: iso-ll-def iso-iff2 FR-W FR-W')

  show bij-betw h WW WW'
    unfolding bij-betw-iff-bijections
  proof (intro exI conjI ballI)
    fix l
    assume l ∈ WW
    then have l: l ∈ WW-seg (length l)
      by (simp add: WW-seg-def)
    have h l ∈ W' (length l)
    proof (cases l=[])
      case True
        with seg-W' [of 0] W'-ne show ?thesis
          by (auto simp: WW-seg-def h-def)
      next
        case False
          then show ?thesis
            using bij-betwE bij-h-seg h-def l by fastforce
    qed
    show h l ∈ WW'
      using WW'-def ⟨h l ∈ W' (length l)⟩ by blast
    show ?g (h l) = l

```

```

proof (cases l=[])
  case False
  then have length l > 0
    by auto
  then have h-seg (length l) l ≠ []
    using ⟨1 < m⟩ ⟨l ∈ WW⟩ len-h-seg by fastforce
  moreover have bij-betw (h-seg (length l)) (WW-seg (length l)) (W'
(length l))
    using ⟨0 < length l⟩ bij-h-seg by presburger
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using ⟨l ∈ WW⟩ bij-betw-inv-into-left h-def l len-h-seg by fastforce
qed (auto simp: h-def)
next
fix l
assume l ∈ WW'
then have l: l ∈ W' (length l div m)
  using WW-seg-def ⟨1 < m⟩ seg-W' by (fastforce simp: WW'-def)
show ?g l ∈ WW
proof (cases l=[])
  case False
  then have l ∉ W' 0
    using WW-seg-def seg-W' by fastforce
  with l have inv-into (WW-seg (length l div m)) (h-seg (length l div m))
l ∈ WW-seg (length l div m)
    by (metis Nat.neq0-conv bij-betwE bij-betw-inv-into bij-h-seg)
  then show ?thesis
    using False WW-seg-subset-WW by auto
qed (auto simp: WW-def)

show h (?g l) = l
proof (cases l=[])
  case False
  then have 0 < length l div m
    using WW-seg-def l seg-W' by fastforce
  then have inv-into (WW-seg (length l div m)) (h-seg (length l div m)) l
∈ WW-seg (length l div m)
    by (metis bij-betw-imp-surj-on bij-h-seg inv-into-into l)
  then show ?thesis
    using bij-h-seg [of length l div m] WW-seg-def ⟨0 < length l div m⟩
bij-betw-inv-into-right l
    by (fastforce simp: h-def)
qed (auto simp: h-def)
qed
fix a b
assume a ∈ WW b ∈ WW
show (a, b) ∈ Restr (lenlex less-than) WW ⟷ (h a, h b) ∈ Restr (lenlex
less-than) WW'
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof

```

```

assume  $L: ?lhs$ 
  then consider  $length\ a < length\ b \mid length\ a = length\ b\ (a, b) \in lex$ 
less-than
  by  $(auto\ simp: lenlex-conv)$ 
then show  $?rhs$ 
proof cases
  case 1
  then have  $length\ (h\ a) < length\ (h\ b)$ 
    using  $\langle 1 < m \rangle \langle a \in WW \rangle \langle b \in WW \rangle h-def\ len-h-seg$  by  $auto$ 
  then have  $(h\ a, h\ b) \in lenlex\ less-than$ 
    by  $(auto\ simp: lenlex-conv)$ 
  then show  $?thesis$ 
    using  $\langle a \in WW \rangle \langle b \in WW \rangle \langle bij-betw\ h\ WW\ WW' \rangle bij-betwE$  by
fastforce
  next
  case 2
  then have  $ab: a \in WW-seg\ (length\ a)\ b \in WW-seg\ (length\ a)$ 
    using  $\langle a \in WW \rangle \langle b \in WW \rangle$  by  $(auto\ simp: WW-seg-def)$ 
  have  $length\ (h\ a) = length\ (h\ b)$ 
    using  $2\ \langle a \in WW \rangle \langle b \in WW \rangle h-def\ len-h-seg$  by  $force$ 
  moreover have  $(a, b) \in lenlex\ less-than$ 
    using  $L$  by  $blast$ 
  then have  $(h-seg\ (length\ a)\ a, h-seg\ (length\ a)\ b) \in lenlex\ less-than$ 
    using  $2\ ab\ h-seg-iff$  by  $blast$ 
  ultimately show  $?thesis$ 
    using  $2\ \langle a \in WW \rangle \langle b \in WW \rangle \langle bij-betw\ h\ WW\ WW' \rangle bij-betwE\ h-def$ 
by  $fastforce$ 
  qed
next
assume  $R: ?rhs$ 
then have  $R': (h\ a, h\ b) \in lenlex\ less-than$ 
  by  $blast$ 
then consider  $length\ a < length\ b$ 
   $\mid length\ a = length\ b\ (h\ a, h\ b) \in lex\ less-than$ 
  using  $\langle a \in WW \rangle \langle b \in WW \rangle \langle m > 1 \rangle$ 
  by  $(auto\ simp: lenlex-conv\ h-def\ len-h-seg\ split: if-split-asm)$ 
then show  $?lhs$ 
proof cases
  case 1
  then show  $?thesis$ 
    using  $omega-sum-less-iff\ \langle a \in WW \rangle \langle b \in WW \rangle$  by  $auto$ 
  next
  case 2
  then have  $ab: a \in WW-seg\ (length\ a)\ b \in WW-seg\ (length\ a)$ 
    using  $\langle a \in WW \rangle \langle b \in WW \rangle$  by  $(auto\ simp: WW-seg-def)$ 
  then have  $(a, b) \in lenlex\ less-than$ 
    using  $bij-betwE\ [OF\ bij-h-seg]\ \langle a \in WW \rangle \langle b \in WW \rangle R'\ 2$ 
    by  $(simp\ add: h-def\ h-seg-iff\ split: if-split-asm)$ 
  then show  $?thesis$ 

```

```

    using ⟨a ∈ WW⟩ ⟨b ∈ WW⟩ by blast
  qed
  qed
  qed

let ?fh = f ∘ image h
have bij-betw h WW WW'
  using h unfolding iso-ll-def iso-iff2 by (fastforce simp: FR-WW FR-WW')
moreover have {..Suc (Suc 0)} = {0,1}
  by auto
ultimately have fh: ?fh ∈ [WW]2 → {0,1}
unfolding Pi-iff using bij-betwE f' bij-betw-nsets by (metis PiE comp-apply)
have f{x,y} = 0 if x ∈ WW' y ∈ WW' length x = length y x ≠ y for x y
proof -
  obtain p q where x ∈ W' p and y ∈ W' q
    using WW'-def ⟨x ∈ WW'⟩ ⟨y ∈ WW'⟩ by blast
  then obtain n where {x,y} ∈ [W' n]2
    using seg-W' ⟨1 < m⟩ ⟨length x = length y⟩ ⟨x ≠ y⟩
    by (auto simp: WW'-def WW-seg-def subset-iff)
  then show f{x,y} = 0
    using f-W' by blast
  qed
then have fh-eq-0-eqlen: ?fh{x,y} = 0 if x ∈ WW y ∈ WW length x = length
y x ≠ y for x y
  using ⟨bij-betw h WW WW'⟩ that hlen by (simp add: bij-betw-iff-bijections)
metis
have m-f-0: ∃x∈[M]2. f x = 0 if M ⊆ WW card M = m for M
proof -
  have finite M
    using False m that by auto
  with not1 [simplified, rule-format, of M] f
  show ?thesis
    using that ⟨1 < m⟩
    apply (simp add: Pi-iff image-subset-iff finite-ordertype-eq-card m)
    by (metis less-2-cases nsets-mono numeral-2-eq-2 subset-iff)
  qed
have m-fh-0: ∃x∈[M]2. ?fh x = 0 if M ⊆ WW card M = m for M
proof -
  have h ' M ⊆ WW
    using ⟨WW' ⊆ WW⟩ ⟨bij-betw h WW WW'⟩ bij-betwE that(1) by fastforce
  moreover have card (h ' M) = m
    by (metis ⟨bij-betw h WW WW'⟩ bij-betw-def bij-betw-subset card-image
that)
  ultimately have ∃x ∈ [h ' M]2. f x = 0
    by (metis m-f-0)
  then obtain Y where Y: f (h ' Y) = 0 Y ⊆ M and finite (h ' Y) card
(h ' Y) = 2
    by (auto simp: nsets-def subset-image-iff)
  then have card Y = 2

```

using $\langle \text{bij-betw } h \text{ } WW \text{ } WW' \rangle \langle M \subseteq WW \rangle$
by $(\text{metis } \text{bij-betw-def } \text{card-image } \text{inj-on-subset})$
with $Y \text{ card.infinite}[\text{of } Y]$ **show** $?thesis$
by $(\text{auto simp: nsets-def})$
qed

obtain $N \ j$ **where** $\text{infinite } N$
and $N: \bigwedge k \ u. \llbracket k > 0; u \in [WW]^2; \text{Form } k \ u; [\text{enum } N \ k] < \text{inter-scheme } k \ u; \text{List.set } (\text{inter-scheme } k \ u) \subseteq N \rrbracket \implies ?fh \ u = j \ k$
using $\text{lemma-3-6 } [OF \ fh]$ **by** blast

have infN' : $\text{infinite } (\text{enum } N \ ' \{k<..\})$ **for** k
by $(\text{simp add: } \langle \text{infinite } N \rangle \text{enum-works } \text{finite-image-iff } \text{infinite-Ioi } \text{strict-mono-imp-inj-on})$
have $j=0$: $j \ k = 0$ **if** $k > 0$ **for** k
proof –
obtain M **where** $M: M \in [WW]^m$
and $MF: \bigwedge u. u \in [M]^2 \implies \text{Form } k \ u$
and $Mi: \bigwedge u. u \in [M]^2 \implies \text{List.set } (\text{inter-scheme } k \ u) \subseteq \text{enum } N \ ' \{k<..\}$
using $\text{lemma-3-7 } [OF \ \text{infN}' \ \langle k > 0 \rangle]$ **by** metis
obtain u **where** $u: u \in [M]^2 \ ?fh \ u = 0$
using $m\text{-fh-0 } [of \ M] \ M$ $[\text{unfolded } \text{nsets-def}]$ **by** force
moreover
have \S : $\text{Form } k \ u \ \text{List.set } (\text{inter-scheme } k \ u) \subseteq \text{enum } N \ ' \{k<..\}$
by $(\text{simp-all add: } MF \ Mi \ \langle u \in [M]^2 \rangle)$
then have hd $(\text{inter-scheme } k \ u) \in \text{enum } N \ ' \{k<..\}$
using $hd\text{-in-set } \text{inter-scheme-simple}$ **that** **by** blast
then have $[\text{enum } N \ k] < \text{inter-scheme } k \ u$
using $\text{strict-mono-enum } [OF \ \langle \text{infinite } N \rangle]$ **by** $(\text{auto simp: } \text{less-list-def } \text{strict-mono-def})$
moreover have $u \in [WW]^2$
using $M \ u$ **by** $(\text{auto simp: } \text{nsets-def})$
moreover have $\text{enum } N \ ' \{k<..\} \subseteq N$
using $\langle \text{infinite } N \rangle \text{range-enum}$ **by** auto
ultimately show $?thesis$
using $N \ \S$ **that** **by** auto
qed

obtain X **where** $X \subseteq WW$ **and** otX : $\text{ordertype } X \ (\text{lenlex } \text{less-than}) = \omega \uparrow \omega$
and $X: \bigwedge u. u \in [X]^2 \implies \exists l. \text{Form } l \ u \wedge (l > 0 \implies [\text{enum } N \ l] < \text{inter-scheme } l \ u \wedge \text{List.set } (\text{inter-scheme } l \ u) \subseteq N)$
using $\text{lemma-3-8 } [OF \ \langle \text{infinite } N \rangle]$ ot' **by** blast
have 0 : $?fh \ ' [X]^2 \subseteq \{0\}$
proof clarsimp
fix u
assume $u: u \in [X]^2$
obtain l **where** $\text{Form } l \ u$ **and** $l: l > 0 \implies [\text{enum } N \ l] < \text{inter-scheme } l \ u \wedge \text{List.set } (\text{inter-scheme } l \ u) \subseteq N$
using $u \ X$ **by** blast

```

    have ?fh u = 0
    proof (cases l = 0)
      case True
      then show ?thesis
        by (metis Form-0-cases-raw ⟨Form l u⟩ ⟨X ⊆ WW⟩ doubleton-in-nsets-2
fh-eq-0-eqlen subset-iff u)
      next
      case False
      then obtain [enum N l] < inter-scheme l u List.set (inter-scheme l u) ⊆
N j l = 0
        using Nat.neq0-conv j-0 l by blast
      with False show ?thesis
        using ⟨X ⊆ WW⟩ N inter-scheme ⟨Form l u⟩ doubleton-in-nsets-2 u by
(auto simp: nsets-def)
    qed
    then show f (h ‘ u) = 0
      by auto
    qed
    show ?P0
    proof (intro exI conjI)
      show h ‘ X ⊆ WW
      using ⟨WW' ⊆ WW⟩ ⟨X ⊆ WW⟩ ⟨bij-betw h WW WW'⟩ bij-betw-imp-surj-on
by fastforce
      show ordertype (h ‘ X) (lenlex less-than) = ω ↑ ω
      proof (subst ordertype-inc-eq)
        show (h x, h y) ∈ lenlex less-than
          if x ∈ X y ∈ X (x, y) ∈ lenlex less-than for x y
          using that h ⟨X ⊆ WW⟩ by (auto simp: FR-WW FR-WW' iso-iff2
iso-ll-def)
        qed (use otX in auto)
      show f ‘ [h ‘ X]2 ⊆ {0}
      proof (clarsimp simp: image-subset-iff nsets-def)
        fix Y
        assume Y ⊆ h ‘ X and finite Y and card Y = 2
        have inv-into WW h ‘ Y ⊆ X
        using ⟨X ⊆ WW⟩ ⟨Y ⊆ h ‘ X⟩ ⟨bij-betw h WW WW'⟩ bij-betw-inv-into-LEFT
by blast
        moreover have finite (inv-into WW h ‘ Y)
          using ⟨finite Y⟩ by blast
        moreover have card (inv-into WW h ‘ Y) = 2
        by (metis ⟨X ⊆ WW⟩ ⟨Y ⊆ h ‘ X⟩ ⟨card Y = 2⟩ card-image inj-on-inv-into
subset-image-iff subset-trans)
        ultimately have f (h ‘ inv-into WW h ‘ Y) = 0
          using 0 by (auto simp: image-subset-iff nsets-def)
        then show f Y = 0
          by (metis ⟨X ⊆ WW⟩ ⟨Y ⊆ h ‘ X⟩ image-inv-into-cancel image-mono
order-trans)
      qed
    qed
  qed

```

qed
then show $\exists i < \text{Suc } 0. \exists H \subseteq WW. \text{ordertype } H \text{ ?}R = [\omega \uparrow \omega, \alpha] ! i \wedge f'$
 $[H]^2 \subseteq \{i\}$
by (*metis One-nat-def lessI nth-Cons-0 nth-Cons-Suc zero-less-Suc*)
qed
qed

Theorem 3.1 of Jean A. Larson, *ibid.*

theorem *partition- $\omega\omega$: $\alpha \in \text{elts } \omega \implies \text{partn-lst-VWF } (\omega \uparrow \omega) [\omega \uparrow \omega, \alpha] 2$*
using *partn-lst-imp-partn-lst-VWF-eq [OF partition- $\omega\omega$ -aux] ordertype-WW* **by**
auto
end

4 Acknowledgements

The author was supported by the ERC Advanced Grant ALEXANDRIA (Project 742178) funded by the European Research Council. Many thanks to Mirna Džamonja (who suggested the project) and Angeliki Koutsoukou-Argyrazi for assistance at tricky moments.

References

- [1] P. Erdős and E. C. Milner. A theorem in the partition calculus. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 15(4):501–505, Dec. 1972.
- [2] P. Erdős and E. C. Milner. A theorem in the partition calculus corrigendum. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 17(2):305, June 1974.
- [3] J. A. Larson. A short proof of a partition theorem for the ordinal ω^ω . *Annals of Mathematical Logic*, 6(2):129–145, Dec. 1973.