Open Induction

Mizuhito Ogawa Christian Sternagel*

May 26, 2024

Abstract

A proof of the open induction schema based on [1].

Contents

1 Binary Predicates Restricted to Elements of a Given Set

theory *Restricted-Predicates*
imports *Main*
begin

A subset C of A is a *chain* on A (w.r.t. P) iff for all pairs of elements of C, one is less than or equal to the other one.

abbreviation *chain-on* P C $A \equiv$ *pred-on.chain* A P C
lemmas *chain-on-def* $=$ *pred-on.chain-def*

lemma *chain-on-subset*:
$A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow$ *chain-on* P C $A \Longrightarrow$ *chain-on* P C B

*The research was partly funded by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): J3202.

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *chain-on-imp-subset*:
  chain-on P C A ⟹ *C* ⊆ *A*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *subchain-on*:
  assumes *C* ⊆ *D* **and** *chain-on P D A*
  shows *chain-on P C A*
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *restrict-to* :: ('*a* ⇒ '*a* ⇒ *bool*) ⇒ '*a set* ⇒ ('*a* ⇒ '*a* ⇒ *bool*) **where**
  restrict-to P A = (λ*x y*. *x* ∈ *A* ∧ *y* ∈ *A* ∧ *P x y*)

abbreviation *strict P* ≡ λ*x y*. *P x y* ∧ ¬ (*P y x*)

abbreviation *incomparable P* ≡ λ*x y*. ¬ *P x y* ∧ ¬ *P y x*

abbreviation *antichain-on P f A* ≡ ∀ (*i*::*nat*) *j*. *f i* ∈ *A* ∧ (*i* < *j* ⟶ *incomparable P* (*f i*) (*f j*))

lemma *strict-reflclp-conv* [*simp*]:
  strict (*P*==) = *strict P* ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *reflp-on-reflclp-simp* [*simp*]:
  assumes *reflp-on A P* **and** *a* ∈ *A* **and** *b* ∈ *A*
  shows *P*== *a b* = *P a b*
  ⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *reflp-on-converse-simp* = *reflp-on-conversp*
lemmas *irreflp-on-converse-simp* = *irreflp-on-converse*
lemmas *transp-on-converse-simp* = *transp-on-conversep*

lemma *transp-on-strict*:
  transp-on A P ⟹ *transp-on A* (*strict P*)
  ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *wfp-on* :: ('*a* ⇒ '*a* ⇒ *bool*) ⇒ '*a set* ⇒ *bool*
where
  wfp-on P A ⟷ ¬ (∃ *f*. ∀ *i*. *f i* ∈ *A* ∧ *P* (*f* (*Suc i*)) (*f i*))

definition *inductive-on* :: ('*a* ⇒ '*a* ⇒ *bool*) ⇒ '*a set* ⇒ *bool* **where**
  inductive-on P A ⟷ (∀ *Q*. (∀ *y*∈*A*. (∀ *x*∈*A*. *P x y* ⟶ *Q x*) ⟶ *Q y*) ⟶ (∀ *x*∈*A*. *Q x*))

lemma *inductive-onI* [*Pure.intro*]:
  assumes ⋀*Q x*. ⟦*x* ∈ *A*; (⋀*y*. ⟦*y* ∈ *A*; ⋀*x*. ⟦*x* ∈ *A*; *P x y*⟧ ⟹ *Q x*⟧ ⟹ *Q y*)⟧ ⟹ *Q x*
  shows *inductive-on P A*

⟨*proof*⟩

If P is well-founded on A then every non-empty subset Q of A has a minimal element z w.r.t. P, i.e., all elements that are P-smaller than z are not in Q.

lemma *wfp-on-imp-minimal*:
assumes *wfp-on P A*
shows $\forall\, Q\ x.\ x \in Q \wedge Q \subseteq A \longrightarrow (\exists\, z{\in}Q.\ \forall\, y.\ P\ y\ z \longrightarrow y \notin Q)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *minimal-imp-inductive-on*:
assumes $\forall\, Q\ x.\ x \in Q \wedge Q \subseteq A \longrightarrow (\exists\, z{\in}Q.\ \forall\, y.\ P\ y\ z \longrightarrow y \notin Q)$
shows *inductive-on P A*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *wfp-on-imp-inductive-on* =
wfp-on-imp-minimal [*THEN minimal-imp-inductive-on*]

lemma *inductive-on-induct* [*consumes 2*, *case-names less*, *induct pred*: *inductive-on*]:
assumes *inductive-on P A* **and** $x \in A$
and $\bigwedge y.\ [\![\ y \in A;\ \bigwedge x.\ [\![\ x \in A;\ P\ x\ y\ ]\!] \Longrightarrow Q\ x\ ]\!] \Longrightarrow Q\ y$
shows $Q\ x$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *inductive-on-imp-wfp-on*:
assumes *inductive-on P A*
shows *wfp-on P A*
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *qo-on* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
qo-on P A $\longleftrightarrow$ *reflp-on A P* $\wedge$ *transp-on A P*

definition *po-on* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
po-on P A $\longleftrightarrow$ (*irreflp-on A P* $\wedge$ *transp-on A P*)

lemma *po-onI* [*Pure.intro*]:
$[\![$*irreflp-on A P*; *transp-on A P*$]\!] \Longrightarrow$ *po-on P A*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *po-on-converse-simp* [*simp*]:
po-on P^{-1-1} *A* $\longleftrightarrow$ *po-on P A*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *po-on-imp-qo-on*:
po-on P A $\Longrightarrow$ *qo-on* $(P^{==})$ *A*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *po-on-imp-irreflp-on*:
po-on P A $\Longrightarrow$ *irreflp-on A P*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *po-on-imp-transp-on*:
po-on P A $\Longrightarrow$ *transp-on A P*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *po-on-subset*:
assumes $A \subseteq B$ **and** *po-on P B*
shows *po-on P A*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *transp-on-irreflp-on-imp-antisymp-on*:
assumes *transp-on A P* **and** *irreflp-on A P*
shows *antisymp-on A* ($P^{==}$)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *po-on-imp-antisymp-on*:
assumes *po-on P A*
shows *antisymp-on A P*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *strict-reflclp* [*simp*]:
assumes $x \in A$ **and** $y \in A$
and *transp-on A P* **and** *irreflp-on A P*
shows *strict* ($P^{==}$) *x y* = *P x y*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *qo-on-imp-reflp-on*:
qo-on P A $\Longrightarrow$ *reflp-on A P*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *qo-on-imp-transp-on*:
qo-on P A $\Longrightarrow$ *transp-on A P*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *qo-on-subset*:
$A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow$ *qo-on P B* $\Longrightarrow$ *qo-on P A*
⟨*proof*⟩

Quasi-orders are instances of the *preorder* class.

lemma *qo-on-UNIV-conv*:
qo-on P UNIV $\longleftrightarrow$ *class.preorder P* (*strict P*) (**is** *?lhs* = *?rhs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *wfp-on-iff-inductive-on*:
wfp-on P A $\longleftrightarrow$ *inductive-on P A*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *wfp-on-iff-minimal*:
wfp-on P A $\longleftrightarrow$ ($\forall$ *Q x*.

$x \in Q \wedge Q \subseteq A \longrightarrow$
$(\exists z{\in}Q.\ \forall y.\ P\ y\ z \longrightarrow y \notin Q))$
⟨*proof*⟩

Every non-empty well-founded set A has a minimal element, i.e., an element that is not greater than any other element.

lemma *wfp-on-imp-has-min-elt*:
assumes *wfp-on P A* **and** $A \neq \{\}$
shows $\exists x{\in}A.\ \forall y{\in}A.\ \neg\ P\ y\ x$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *wfp-on-induct* [*consumes 2*, *case-names less*, *induct pred*: *wfp-on*]:
assumes *wfp-on P A* **and** $x \in A$
and $\bigwedge y.\ [\![\ y \in A;\ \bigwedge x.\ [\![\ x \in A;\ P\ x\ y\ ]\!] \Longrightarrow Q\ x\ ]\!] \Longrightarrow Q\ y$
shows $Q\ x$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *wfp-on-UNIV* [*simp*]:
wfp-on P UNIV $\longleftrightarrow$ *wfP P*
⟨*proof*⟩

1.1 Measures on Sets (Instead of Full Types)

definition
inv-image-betw ::
$('b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow 'b\ set \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool)$
where
inv-image-betw P f A B = $(\lambda x\ y.\ x \in A \wedge y \in A \wedge f\ x \in B \wedge f\ y \in B \wedge P\ (f\ x)\ (f\ y))$

definition
measure-on :: $('a \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$
where
measure-on f A = *inv-image-betw* $(<)$ *f A UNIV*

lemma *in-inv-image-betw* [*simp*]:
inv-image-betw P f A B x y $\longleftrightarrow$ $x \in A \wedge y \in A \wedge f\ x \in B \wedge f\ y \in B \wedge P\ (f\ x)\ (f\ y)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *in-measure-on* [*simp*, *code-unfold*]:
measure-on f A x y $\longleftrightarrow$ $x \in A \wedge y \in A \wedge f\ x < f\ y$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *wfp-on-inv-image-betw* [*simp*, *intro*!]:
assumes *wfp-on P B*
shows *wfp-on* (*inv-image-betw P f A B*) *A* (**is** *wfp-on ?P A*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *wfp-less*:
wfp-on (<) (*UNIV* :: *nat set*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *wfp-on-measure-on* [*iff*]:
wfp-on (*measure-on f A*) *A*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *wfp-on-mono*:
$A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge x\ y.\ x \in A \Longrightarrow y \in A \Longrightarrow P\ x\ y \Longrightarrow Q\ x\ y) \Longrightarrow$ *wfp-on Q B* $\Longrightarrow$ *wfp-on P A*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *wfp-on-subset*:
$A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow$ *wfp-on P B* $\Longrightarrow$ *wfp-on P A*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *restrict-to-iff* [*iff*]:
restrict-to P A x y $\longleftrightarrow x \in A \wedge y \in A \wedge P\ x\ y$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *wfp-on-restrict-to* [*simp*]:
wfp-on (*restrict-to P A*) *A* = *wfp-on P A*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *irreflp-on-strict* [*simp*, *intro*]:
irreflp-on A (*strict P*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *transp-on-map′*:
assumes *transp-on B Q*
and *g* ‘ *A* ⊆ *B*
and *h* ‘ *A* ⊆ *B*
and $\bigwedge x.\ x \in A \Longrightarrow Q^{==}\ (h\ x)\ (g\ x)$
shows *transp-on A* (λ*x y*. *Q* (*g x*) (*h y*))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *transp-on-map*:
assumes *transp-on B Q*
and *h* ‘ *A* ⊆ *B*
shows *transp-on A* (λ*x y*. *Q* (*h x*) (*h y*))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *irreflp-on-map*:
assumes *irreflp-on B Q*
and *h* ‘ *A* ⊆ *B*
shows *irreflp-on A* (λ*x y*. *Q* (*h x*) (*h y*))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *po-on-map*:
assumes *po-on Q B*
and *h ‘ A ⊆ B*
shows *po-on* (*λx y. Q* (*h x*) (*h y*)) *A*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *chain-transp-on-less*:
assumes *∀ i. f i ∈ A ∧ P* (*f i*) (*f* (*Suc i*)) **and** *transp-on A P* **and** *i < j*
shows *P* (*f i*) (*f j*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *wfp-on-imp-irreflp-on*:
assumes *wfp-on P A*
shows *irreflp-on A P*
⟨*proof*⟩

inductive
accessible-on :: (*'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool*) *⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool*
for *P* **and** *A*
where
accessible-onI [*Pure.intro*]:
⟦x ∈ A; ⋀y. ⟦y ∈ A; P y x⟧ ⟹ accessible-on P A y⟧ ⟹ accessible-on P A x

lemma *accessible-on-imp-mem*:
assumes *accessible-on P A a*
shows *a ∈ A*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *accessible-on-induct* [*consumes 1*, *induct pred*: *accessible-on*]:
assumes *∗*: *accessible-on P A a*
and *IH*: *⋀x. ⟦accessible-on P A x; ⋀y. ⟦y ∈ A; P y x⟧ ⟹ Q y⟧ ⟹ Q x*
shows *Q a*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *accessible-on-downward*:
accessible-on P A b ⟹ a ∈ A ⟹ P a b ⟹ accessible-on P A a
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *accessible-on-restrict-to-downwards*:
assumes (*restrict-to P A*)$^{++}$ *a b* **and** *accessible-on P A b*
shows *accessible-on P A a*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *accessible-on-imp-inductive-on*:
assumes *∀ x∈A. accessible-on P A x*
shows *inductive-on P A*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *accessible-on-imp-wfp-on = accessible-on-imp-inductive-on* [*THEN induc-*

tive-on-imp-wfp-on]

lemma *wfp-on-tranclp-imp-wfp-on*:
assumes *wfp-on* (P^{++}) A
shows *wfp-on* P A
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *inductive-on-imp-accessible-on*:
assumes *inductive-on* P A
shows $\forall x{\in}A.$ *accessible-on* P A x
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *inductive-on-accessible-on-conv*:
inductive-on P $A \longleftrightarrow (\forall x{\in}A.$ *accessible-on* P A $x)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *wfp-on-imp-accessible-on* =
wfp-on-imp-inductive-on [*THEN inductive-on-imp-accessible-on*]

lemma *wfp-on-accessible-on-iff*:
wfp-on P $A \longleftrightarrow (\forall x{\in}A.$ *accessible-on* P A $x)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *accessible-on-tranclp*:
assumes *accessible-on* P A x
shows *accessible-on* $((\textit{restrict-to}\ P\ A)^{++})$ A x
(**is** *accessible-on* $?P$ A x)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *wfp-on-restrict-to-tranclp*:
assumes *wfp-on* P A
shows *wfp-on* $((\textit{restrict-to}\ P\ A)^{++})$ A
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *wfp-on-restrict-to-tranclp'*:
assumes *wfp-on* $(\textit{restrict-to}\ P\ A)^{++}$ A
shows *wfp-on* P A
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *wfp-on-restrict-to-tranclp-wfp-on-conv*:
wfp-on $(\textit{restrict-to}\ P\ A)^{++}$ $A \longleftrightarrow$ *wfp-on* P A
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *tranclp-idemp* [*simp*]:
$(P^{++})^{++} = P^{++}$ (**is** $?l = ?r$)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *stepfun-imp-tranclp*:

assumes $f\ 0 = x$ **and** $f\ (Suc\ n) = z$
and $\forall\, i{\leq}n.\ P\ (f\ i)\ (f\ (Suc\ i))$
shows $P^{++}\ x\ z$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *tranclp-imp-stepfun*:
assumes $P^{++}\ x\ z$
shows $\exists f\ n.\ f\ 0 = x \wedge f\ (Suc\ n) = z \wedge (\forall\, i{\leq}n.\ P\ (f\ i)\ (f\ (Suc\ i)))$
(**is** $\exists f\ n.\ ?P\ x\ z\ f\ n$)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *tranclp-stepfun-conv*:
$P^{++}\ x\ y \longleftrightarrow (\exists f\ n.\ f\ 0 = x \wedge f\ (Suc\ n) = y \wedge (\forall\, i{\leq}n.\ P\ (f\ i)\ (f\ (Suc\ i))))$
⟨*proof*⟩

1.2 Facts About Predecessor Sets

lemma *qo-on-predecessor-subset-conv'*:
assumes *qo-on* $P\ A$ **and** $B \subseteq A$ **and** $C \subseteq A$
shows $\{x{\in}A.\ \exists\, y{\in}B.\ P\ x\ y\} \subseteq \{x{\in}A.\ \exists\, y{\in}C.\ P\ x\ y\} \longleftrightarrow (\forall\, x{\in}B.\ \exists\, y{\in}C.\ P\ x\ y)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *qo-on-predecessor-subset-conv*:
$[\![qo\text{-}on\ P\ A;\ x \in A;\ y \in A]\!] \Longrightarrow \{z{\in}A.\ P\ z\ x\} \subseteq \{z{\in}A.\ P\ z\ y\} \longleftrightarrow P\ x\ y$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *po-on-predecessors-eq-conv*:
assumes *po-on* $P\ A$ **and** $x \in A$ **and** $y \in A$
shows $\{z{\in}A.\ P^{==}\ z\ x\} = \{z{\in}A.\ P^{==}\ z\ y\} \longleftrightarrow x = y$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *restrict-to-rtranclp*:
assumes *transp-on* $A\ P$
and $x \in A$ **and** $y \in A$
shows $(restrict\text{-}to\ P\ A)^{**}\ x\ y \longleftrightarrow P^{==}\ x\ y$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *reflp-on-restrict-to-rtranclp*:
assumes *reflp-on* $A\ P$ **and** *transp-on* $A\ P$
and $x \in A$ **and** $y \in A$
shows $(restrict\text{-}to\ P\ A)^{**}\ x\ y \longleftrightarrow P\ x\ y$
⟨*proof*⟩

end

2 Open Induction

theory *Open-Induction*
imports *Restricted-Predicates*

begin

2.1 (Greatest) Lower Bounds and Chains

A set B has the *lower bound* x iff x is less than or equal to every element of B.

definition $lb\ P\ B\ x \longleftrightarrow (\forall\, y{\in}B.\ P^{==}\ x\ y)$

lemma *lbI* [*Pure.intro*]:
$(\bigwedge y.\ y \in B \Longrightarrow P^{==}\ x\ y) \Longrightarrow lb\ P\ B\ x$
⟨*proof*⟩

A set B has the *greatest lower bound* x iff x is a lower bound of B *and* less than or equal to every other lower bound of B.

definition $glb\ P\ B\ x \longleftrightarrow lb\ P\ B\ x \wedge (\forall\, y.\ lb\ P\ B\ y \longrightarrow P^{==}\ y\ x)$

lemma *glbI* [*Pure.intro*]:
$lb\ P\ B\ x \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge y.\ lb\ P\ B\ y \Longrightarrow P^{==}\ y\ x) \Longrightarrow glb\ P\ B\ x$
⟨*proof*⟩

Antisymmetric relations have unique glbs.

lemma *glb-unique*:
$antisymp\text{-}on\ A\ P \Longrightarrow x \in A \Longrightarrow y \in A \Longrightarrow glb\ P\ B\ x \Longrightarrow glb\ P\ B\ y \Longrightarrow x = y$
⟨*proof*⟩

context *pred-on*
begin

lemma *chain-glb*:
assumes *transp-on A* $(\sqsubset)$
shows $chain\ C \Longrightarrow glb\ (\sqsubset)\ C\ x \Longrightarrow x \in A \Longrightarrow y \in A \Longrightarrow y \sqsubset x \Longrightarrow chain\ (\{y\} \cup C)$
⟨*proof*⟩

2.2 Open Properties

definition $open\ Q \longleftrightarrow (\forall\, C.\ chain\ C \wedge C \neq \{\} \wedge (\exists\, x{\in}A.\ glb\ (\sqsubset)\ C\ x \wedge Q\ x) \longrightarrow (\exists\, y{\in}C.\ Q\ y))$

lemma *openI* [*Pure.intro*]:
$(\bigwedge C.\ chain\ C \Longrightarrow C \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow \exists\, x{\in}A.\ glb\ (\sqsubset)\ C\ x \wedge Q\ x \Longrightarrow \exists\, y{\in}C.\ Q\ y) \Longrightarrow open\ Q$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *open-glb*:
$[\![chain\ C;\ C \neq \{\};\ open\ Q;\ \forall\, x{\in}C.\ \neg\ Q\ x;\ x \in A;\ glb\ (\sqsubset)\ C\ x]\!] \Longrightarrow \neg\ Q\ x$
⟨*proof*⟩

2.3 Downward Completeness

A relation $\sqsubset$ is *downward-complete* iff every non-empty $\sqsubset$-chain has a greatest lower bound.

definition *downward-complete* $\longleftrightarrow$ $(\forall\, C.\ chain\ C \wedge C \neq \{\} \longrightarrow (\exists\, x{\in}A.\ glb\ (\sqsubset)\ C\ x))$

lemma *downward-completeI* [*Pure.intro*]:
assumes $\bigwedge C.\ chain\ C \Longrightarrow C \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow \exists\, x{\in}A.\ glb\ (\sqsubset)\ C\ x$
shows *downward-complete*
⟨*proof*⟩

end

abbreviation *open-on* $P\ Q\ A \equiv$ *pred-on.open* $A\ P\ Q$
abbreviation *dc-on* $P\ A \equiv$ *pred-on.downward-complete* $A\ P$
lemmas *open-on-def* = *pred-on.open-def*
and *dc-on-def* = *pred-on.downward-complete-def*

lemma *dc-onI* [*Pure.intro*]:
assumes $\bigwedge C.\ chain\text{-}on\ P\ C\ A \Longrightarrow C \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow \exists\, x{\in}A.\ glb\ P\ C\ x$
shows *dc-on* $P\ A$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *open-onI* [*Pure.intro*]:
$(\bigwedge C.\ chain\text{-}on\ P\ C\ A \Longrightarrow C \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow \exists\, x{\in}A.\ glb\ P\ C\ x \wedge Q\ x \Longrightarrow \exists\, y{\in}C.\ Q\ y) \Longrightarrow open\text{-}on\ P\ Q\ A$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *chain-on-reflclp*:
$chain\text{-}on\ P^{==}\ A\ C \longleftrightarrow chain\text{-}on\ P\ A\ C$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *lb-reflclp*:
$lb\ P^{==}\ B\ x \longleftrightarrow lb\ P\ B\ x$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *glb-reflclp*:
$glb\ P^{==}\ B\ x \longleftrightarrow glb\ P\ B\ x$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *dc-on-reflclp*:
$dc\text{-}on\ P^{==}\ A \longleftrightarrow dc\text{-}on\ P\ A$
⟨*proof*⟩

2.4 The Open Induction Principle

lemma *open-induct-on* [*consumes 4*, *case-names less*]:
assumes *qo*: *qo-on* $P\ A$ **and** *dc-on* $P\ A$ **and** *open-on* $P\ Q\ A$

and $x \in A$
and *ind*: $\bigwedge x.\ [\![x \in A;\ \bigwedge y.\ [\![y \in A;\ \mathit{strict}\ P\ y\ x]\!] \Longrightarrow Q\ y]\!] \Longrightarrow Q\ x$
shows $Q\ x$
⟨*proof*⟩

2.5 Open Induction on Universal Domains

Open induction on quasi-orders (i.e., *preorder*).

lemma (**in** *preorder*) *dc-open-induct* [*consumes 2*, *case-names less*]:
assumes *dc-on* $(\leq)$ *UNIV*
and *open-on* $(\leq)$ *Q UNIV*
and $\bigwedge x.\ (\bigwedge y.\ y < x \Longrightarrow Q\ y) \Longrightarrow Q\ x$
shows $Q\ x$
⟨*proof*⟩

2.6 Type Class of Downward Complete Orders

class *dcorder* = *preorder* +
assumes *dc-on-UNIV*: *dc-on* $(\leq)$ *UNIV*
begin

Open induction on downward-complete orders.

lemmas *open-induct* [*consumes 1*, *case-names less*] = *dc-open-induct* [*OF dc-on-UNIV*]

end

end

References

[1] J.-C. Raoult. Proving open properties by induction. *Information Processing Letters*, 29(1):19–23, 1988. doi:10.1016/0020-0190(88)90126-3.