

# The Oneway to Hiding Theorem\*

Katharina Heidler      Dominique Unruh

July 7, 2025

## Abstract

As the standardization process for post-quantum cryptography progresses, the need for computer-verified security proofs against classical and quantum attackers increases. Even though some tools are already tackling this issue, none are foundational. We take a first step in this direction and present a complete formalization of the One-way to Hiding (O2H) Theorem, a central theorem for security proofs against quantum attackers. With this new formalization, we build more secure foundations for proof-checking tools in the quantum setting. Using the theorem prover Isabelle, we verify the semi-classical O2H Theorem by Ambainis, Hamburg and Unruh (Crypto 2019) in different variations. We also give a novel (and for the formalization simpler) proof to the O2H Theorem for mixed states and extend the theorem to non-terminating adversaries. This work provides a theoretical and foundational background for several verification tools and for security proofs in the quantum setting.

A paper describing this work in more detail appeared at [2].

## Contents

<b>1 Definitions for the one-way to Hiding (O2H) Lemma</b>	<b>7</b>
1.1 Locale for the general O2H setting . . . . .	7
1.2 Linear operator $US$ . . . . .	19
1.3 Towards the Definition of $U\text{-}S'$ . . . . .	21
<b>2 Running the Adversary</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>3 Definition of <math>B</math>-count</b>	<b>28</b>
3.1 Defining the run of adversary $B$ . . . . .	28
3.2 Locale for the pure O2H setting . . . . .	30

---

\*Supported by the research training group ConVeY of the German Research Foundation under grant GRK 2428 and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – NI 491/18-1, by the ERC consolidator grant CerQuS (Certified Quantum Security, 819317), by the Estonian Centre of Excellence in IT (EXCITE, TK148), by the Estonian Centre of Excellence "Foundations of the Universe" (TK202), and by the Estonian Research Council PRG grant "Secure Quantum Technology" (PRG946).

<b>4 Defining and Representing the Adversary <math>B</math></b>	<b>31</b>
4.1 Representing the run of Adversary $B$ as a finite sum . . . . .	32
<b>5 Defining and Representing the Adversary <math>B</math> with Counting</b>	<b>34</b>
5.1 Representing the run of Adversary $B$ with counting as a finite sum . . . . .	34
<b>6 Auxiliary lemma: Estimation</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>7 Limit Processes</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>8 Purification of the Adversary</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>9 Mixed O2H Setting and Preliminaries</b>	<b>46</b>
9.1 Final states . . . . .	47
9.2 Measurement at the end . . . . .	49
<b>10 <i>empty-tc</i> is the trace-class representative of the 0.</b>	<b>50</b>
10.1 Projective measurement PM . . . . .	50
10.2 Pright and Pleft' . . . . .	52
10.3 Pfind . . . . .	53
10.4 Nontermination Part . . . . .	54
<b>11 Proof of Mixed O2H</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>12 General O2H Setting and Theorem</b>	<b>57</b>

*theory O2H-Additional-Lemmas  
imports Registers.Pure-States  
begin*

**unbundle cblinfun-syntax  
unbundle lattice-syntax**

This theory contains additional lemmas on summability, trace, tensor product, sandwiching operator, arithmetic-quadratic mean inequality, matrices with norm less or equal one, projections and more.

An additional lemma

```
lemma abs-summable-on-reindex:
  assumes ⟨inj-on h A⟩
  shows ⟨g abs-summable-on (h ` A) ↔ (g ∘ h) abs-summable-on A⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma abs-summable-norm:
  assumes ⟨f abs-summable-on A⟩
  shows ⟨(λx. norm (f x)) abs-summable-on A⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma abs-summable-on-add:
  assumes ⟨f abs-summable-on A⟩ and ⟨g abs-summable-on A⟩
  shows ⟨(λx. f x + g x) abs-summable-on A⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sandwich-tc-has-sum:
  assumes (f has-sum x) A
  shows ((sandwich-tc ρ o f) has-sum sandwich-tc ρ x) A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sandwich-tc-abs-summable-on:
  assumes f abs-summable-on A
  shows (sandwich-tc ρ o f) abs-summable-on A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma trace-tc-abs-summable-on:
  assumes f abs-summable-on A
  shows (trace-tc o f) abs-summable-on A
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Defining a self butterfly on trace class.

```

lemma trace-selfbutter-norm:
  trace (selfbutter A) = norm A ^2
  ⟨proof⟩

```

**definition** tc-selfbutter **where** tc-selfbutter a = tc-butterfly a a

```

lemma norm-tc-selfbutter[simp]:
  norm (tc-selfbutter a) = (norm a)^2
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma trace-tc-sandwich-tc-isometry:
  assumes isometry U
  shows trace-tc (sandwich-tc U A) = trace-tc A
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma norm-sandwich-tc-unitary:
  assumes isometry U ρ ≥ 0
  shows norm (sandwich-tc U ρ) = norm ρ
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Lemmas on trace-tc

```

lemma trace-tc-minus:
  trace-tc (a-b) = trace-tc a - trace-tc b
  ⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** *trace-tc-sum*:  

$$\text{trace-tc}(\text{sum } a I) = (\sum i \in I. \text{trace-tc}(a i))$$
*(proof)*

**lemma** *selfbutter-sandwich*:  
**fixes**  $A :: 'a \text{ ell2} \Rightarrow_{CL} 'a \text{ ell2}$  **and**  $B :: 'a \text{ ell2}$   
**shows**  $\text{selfbutter}(A *_V B) = \text{sandwich } A *_V \text{selfbutter } B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *tc-tensor-sum-left*:  

$$\text{tc-tensor}(\text{sum } g S) x = (\sum i \in S. \text{tc-tensor}(g i) x)$$
*(proof)*

**lemma** *tc-tensor-sum-right*:  

$$\text{tc-tensor } x (\text{sum } g S) = (\sum i \in S. \text{tc-tensor } x (g i))$$
*(proof)*

**lemma** *complex-of-real-abs*:  $\text{complex-of-real } |f| = |\text{complex-of-real } f|$   
*(proof)*

Additional Lemmas on Tensors

**lemma** *tensor-op-padding*:  

$$(A \otimes_o B) *_V v = (A \otimes_o \text{id-cblinfun}) *_V (\text{id-cblinfun} \otimes_o B) *_V v$$
*(proof)*

**lemma** *tensor-op-padding'*:  

$$(A \otimes_o B) *_V v = (\text{id-cblinfun} \otimes_o B) *_V (A \otimes_o \text{id-cblinfun}) *_V v$$
*(proof)*

**lemma** *tensor-op-left-minus*:  $(x - y) \otimes_o b = x \otimes_o b - y \otimes_o b$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *tensor-op-right-minus*:  $b \otimes_o (x - y) = b \otimes_o x - b \otimes_o y$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *id-cblinfun-selfbutter-tensor-ell2-right*:  

$$\text{id-cblinfun} \otimes_o \text{selfbutter}(\text{ket } i) = (\text{tensor-ell2-right}(\text{ket } i)) o_{CL} (\text{tensor-ell2-right}(\text{ket } i) *)$$
*(proof)*

A lot of lemmas on limit processes with several functions.

**lemma** *tendsto-Re*:  
**assumes**  $(f \longrightarrow x) F$   
**shows**  $((\lambda x. \text{Re}(f x)) \longrightarrow \text{Re } x) F$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *tendsto-tc-tensor*:  
**assumes**  $(f \longrightarrow x) F$   
**shows**  $((\lambda x. \text{tc-tensor}(f x) a) \longrightarrow \text{tc-tensor } x a) F$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma tc-tensor-has-sum:  
  assumes (f has-sum x) A  
  shows ((λy. tc-tensor y a) o f has-sum tc-tensor x a) A  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma Re-has-sum:  
  assumes (f has-sum x) A  
  shows ((λn. Re n) o f has-sum Re x) A  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

Relationship norm and condition

```
lemma norm-1-to-cond:  
  fixes A :: 'a ell2 ⇒CL 'a ell2  
  assumes norm A ≤ 1  
  shows A* oCL A ≤ id-cblinfun  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma cond-to-norm-1:  
  fixes A :: 'a ell2 ⇒CL 'a ell2  
  assumes A* oCL A ≤ id-cblinfun  
  shows norm A ≤ 1  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

Arithmetic mean - quadratic mean inequality (AM-QM)

```
lemma arith-quad-mean-ineq:  
  fixes n::nat and x :: nat ⇒ real  
  assumes ⋀i. i ∈ I ⇒ x i ≥ 0  
  shows (∑ i ∈ I. x i) ^ 2 ≤ (card I) * (∑ i ∈ I. (x i) ^ 2)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma sqrt-binom:  
  assumes a ≥ 0 b ≥ 0  
  shows |a - b| = |sqrt a - sqrt b| * |sqrt a + sqrt b|  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

Lemmas on sandwich-tc

```
lemma sandwich-tc-compose':  
  sandwich-tc (A oCL B) ρ = sandwich-tc A (sandwich-tc B ρ)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma sandwich-tc-sum:  
  sandwich-tc E (sum f A) = sum (sandwich-tc E o f) A  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```

lemma isCont-sandwich-tc:
  isCont (sandwich-tc A) x
  {proof}

lemma bounded-linear-trace-norm-sandwich-tc:
  bounded-linear ( $\lambda y. \text{trace-tc} (\text{sandwich-tc } E y)$ )
  {proof}

lemma sandwich-add1:
  sandwich ( $A+B$ ) C = sandwich A C + sandwich B C +  $A \circ_{CL} C \circ_{CL} B^*$  +  $B \circ_{CL} C \circ_{CL}$ 
   $A^*$ 
  {proof}

lemma sandwich-tc-add1:
  sandwich-tc ( $A+B$ ) C = sandwich-tc A C + sandwich-tc B C +
  compose-tcl (compose-tcr B C) ( $A^*$ ) + compose-tcl (compose-tcr A C) ( $B^*$ )
  {proof}

lemma sandwich-add2:
  sandwich A (B+C) = sandwich A B + sandwich A C
  {proof}

```

On the spaces of projections with and/or or events.

```

lemma splitting-Proj-and:
  assumes is-Proj P is-Proj Q
  shows Proj (((P  $\otimes_o$  id-cblinfun) *S  $\top$ )  $\sqcap$  ((id-cblinfun  $\otimes_o$  Q) *S  $\top$ )) = P  $\otimes_o$  Q
  {proof}

```

```

lemma splitting-Proj-or:
  assumes is-Proj P is-Proj Q
  shows Proj (((P  $\otimes_o$  id-cblinfun) *S  $\top$ )  $\sqcup$  ((id-cblinfun  $\otimes_o$  Q) *S  $\top$ )) =
  P  $\otimes_o$  (id-cblinfun - Q) + id-cblinfun  $\otimes_o$  Q
  {proof}

```

Additional stuff

```

lemma Union-cong:
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in A \implies f i = g i$ 
  shows  $(\bigcup i \in A. f i) = (\bigcup i \in A. g i)$ 
  {proof}

```

```

lemma proj-ket-apply:
  proj (ket i) *V ket j = (if i=j then ket i else 0)
  {proof}

```

Missing lemmas for Kraus maps

```

lemma infsum-in-finite:
  assumes finite F
  assumes <Hausdorff-space T>
  assumes <sum f F ∈ topspace T>
  shows infsum-in T f F = sum f F
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bdd-above-transform-mono-pos:
  assumes bdd: <bdd-above ((λx. g x) ` M)>
  assumes gpos: <A x. x ∈ M ⇒ g x ≥ 0>
  assumes mono: <mono-on (Collect ((≤) 0)) f>
  shows <bdd-above ((λx. f (g x)) ` M)>
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma clinear-of-complex[iff]: <clinear of-complex>
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inj-on-CARD-1[iff]: <inj-on f X> for X :: <'a::CARD-1 set>
  ⟨proof⟩

unbundle no cblinfun-syntax
unbundle no lattice-syntax

end
theory Definition-O2H

imports Registers.Pure-States
O2H-Additional-Lemmas

begin

unbundle cblinfun-syntax
unbundle lattice-syntax

```

## 1 Definitions for the one-way to Hiding (O2H) Lemma

Here, we first define the context of the O2H Lemma and foundations.

First of all, we need a notion of a query to the oracle. This is defined in the unitary *Uquery*, where the input *H* is the (classical) oracle.

**definition** *Uquery* :: <('x ⇒ ('y::plus)) ⇒ (('x × 'y) ell2 ⇒<sub>CL</sub> ('x × 'y) ell2)> **where**  
*Uquery H* = classical-operator (Some o (λ(x,y). (x, y + (H x))))

### 1.1 Locale for the general O2H setting

Locale for O2H assumptions and setting.

```

locale o2h-setting =
— Fix types for instantiations of locales
fixes type-x :: 'x itself
fixes type-y :: ('y::group-add) itself
fixes type-mem :: 'mem itself
fixes type-l :: 'l itself

—  $X$  and  $Y$  are the embeddings of the (classical) oracle domain types.  $'mem$  is the type of the quantum memory we work on.
fixes X :: 'x update  $\Rightarrow$  'mem update
fixes Y :: ('y::group-add) update  $\Rightarrow$  'mem update

— The embeddings  $X$  and  $Y$  must be compatible with the registers.
assumes compat[register]: mutually compatible ( $X, Y$ )
— We fix the query depth  $d$  of  $A$ . We ensure that we have queries at least once.
fixes d :: nat
assumes d-gr-0:  $d > 0$ 
— The initial quantum state  $init$  of the registers. For this version of the O2H, we work with a pure initial state.
fixes init :: <'mem ell2>
assumes norm-init: norm init = 1 —  $init$  is a pure state

— The type  $'l$  represents the quantum register for the query log. We also need three functions depending on the type  $'l$ , namely  $flip$ ,  $bit$  and  $valid$ .  $flip$  is a bit-flipping operation that changes bits on the valid set and may behave like an identity function on the rest.  $bit$  is a function returning the  $i$ -th bit of a valid element in  $'l$ .  $valid$  is a functional representation of the valid set of the query log. Since  $'l$  may be (theoretically) infinitely large, we need to restrict on the valid set in many lemmas.

fixes flip:: <nat  $\Rightarrow$  'l  $\Rightarrow$  'l>
fixes bit:: <'l  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool>
fixes valid:: <'l  $\Rightarrow$  bool>
fixes empty :: <'l>

— Empty is the initial state on  $'l$  (equalling the zero state).
assumes valid-empty: valid empty

— Assumptions on  $flip$ ,  $bit$  and  $valid$ :  $flip$  is a function that takes an index  $i$  and an element  $l::'l$  and "flips the  $i$ -th bit". However, to remain in the valid range, this flip is only performed for indices smaller than  $d$ , otherwise we may assume  $flip$  to be the identity.
assumes valid-flip:  $i < d \Rightarrow valid\ l \Rightarrow valid\ (flip\ i\ l)$ 
— The  $flip$  operation must be idempotent.
assumes inj-flip: inj (flip i)
assumes valid-flip-flip:  $i < d \Rightarrow valid\ l \Rightarrow flip\ i\ (flip\ i\ l) = l$ 
— The  $flip$  operation must be commutative with itself.
assumes valid-flip-comm:  $i < d \Rightarrow j < d \Rightarrow valid\ l \Rightarrow flip\ i\ (flip\ j\ l) = flip\ j\ (flip\ i\ l)$ 

```

— For valid elements, the bits in the range up to  $d$  behave as in a normal bit-flipping operation.  
**assumes** *valid-bit-flip-same*:  $i < d \Rightarrow \text{valid } l \Rightarrow \text{bit } (\text{flip } i \ l) \ i = (\neg (\text{bit } l \ i))$   
**assumes** *valid-bit-flip-diff*:  $i < d \Rightarrow \text{valid } l \Rightarrow i \neq j \Rightarrow \text{bit } (\text{flip } i \ l) \ j = \text{bit } l \ j$

**begin**

We introduce a set of  $2^d$  valid elements for counting. Since we need a finite set for easier proofs while counting the adversarial queries, we embed the set of  $2^d$  elements into the valid set. The elements from *blog* can all be derived by flipping bits from the initial empty state. We then only look at the elements with bits in the first  $d$  entries.

**inductive** *blog* :: '*l*  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**

*blog empty*  
| *blog l*  $\Rightarrow$   $i < d \Rightarrow \text{blog } (\text{flip } i \ l)$

**lemma** *blog-empty*: *blog empty*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *blog-flip*:  $i < d \Rightarrow \text{blog } l \Rightarrow \text{blog } (\text{flip } i \ l)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *blog-valid*:

*blog l*  $\Rightarrow$  *valid l*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *flip-flip*:  $i < d \Rightarrow \text{blog } l \Rightarrow \text{flip } i \ (\text{flip } i \ l) = l$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bit-flip-same*:  $i < d \Rightarrow \text{blog } l \Rightarrow \text{bit } (\text{flip } i \ l) \ i = (\neg (\text{bit } l \ i))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bit-flip-diff*:  $i < d \Rightarrow \text{blog } l \Rightarrow i \neq j \Rightarrow \text{bit } (\text{flip } i \ l) \ j = \text{bit } l \ j$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The embedding of a boolean list (of length  $d$ ) into the *blog* set.

**fun** *list-to-l* :: 'bool list  $\Rightarrow$  '*l* **where**

*list-to-l []* = *empty* |  
*list-to-l (False # list)* = *list-to-l list* |  
*list-to-l (True # list)* = *flip (length list) (list-to-l list)*

**definition** *len-d-lists* :: 'bool list set **where**

*len-d-lists* = {*xs*. *length xs* =  $d$ }

**lemma** *card-len-d-lists*:

```
card (len-d-lists) = (2::nat) ^d
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma finite-len-d-lists[simp]:
finite len-d-lists
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma blog-list-to-l:
assumes length ls ≤ d
shows blog (list-to-l ls)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma flip-commute:
assumes i ≠ j i < d j < d length ls ≤ d
shows flip i (flip j (list-to-l ls)) = flip j (flip i (list-to-l ls))
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma flip-list-to-l:
assumes i < length ls length ls ≤ d
shows flip i (list-to-l ls) = list-to-l (ls[length ls - i - 1 := ¬ ls ! (length ls - i - 1)])
⟨proof⟩
```

The initial list corresponding to the initial value *empty* is the list containing only *False*.

```
definition empty-list where
empty-list = replicate d False
```

```
lemma empty-list-to-l-replicate:
list-to-l (replicate n False) = empty
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma empty-list-to-l [simp]:
list-to-l empty-list = empty
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma empty-list-len-d[simp]:
empty-list ∈ len-d-lists
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma empty-list-to-l-elem [simp]:
empty ∈ list-to-l ` len-d-lists
⟨proof⟩
```

Lemmas on how *list-to-l* works with *flip* and *bit*.

```
lemma list-to-l-flip:
assumes i < length ls length ls ≤ d
shows list-to-l (ls[i := ¬ ls ! i]) = flip (length ls - 1 - i) (list-to-l ls)
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *surj-list-to-l*: *list-to-l* ‘ *len-d-lists* = *Collect blog*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bit-list-to-l-over*:  
  **assumes** *length l* ≤ *d* *i < d length l* ≤ *i*  
  **shows** *bit (list-to-l l)* *i* = *bit empty i*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bit-list-to-l*:  
  **assumes** *length l* ≤ *d* *i < length l*  
  **shows** *bit (list-to-l l)* *i* = (*if l!(length l - i - 1) then*  $\neg$  *bit empty i* *else bit empty i*)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *list-to-l-eq*:  
  **assumes** *list-to-l xs* = *list-to-l ys* *length xs* = *d* *length ys* = *d*  
  **shows** *xs* = *ys*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inj-list-to-l*: *inj-on list-to-l (len-d-lists)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bij-betw-list-to-l*: *bij-betw list-to-l len-d-lists (Collect blog)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card-blog*: *card (Collect blog)* =  $2^d$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

We split the  $2^d$  elements into elements that have bits only in a certain set. This is later used to argue that an adversary running up to some *n* can only generate a count up to the *n*-th bit.

**definition** *has-bits* :: *nat set* ⇒ *bool list set* **where**  
*has-bits A* = {*l*. *l* ∈ *len-d-lists* ∧ *True* ∈ ( $\lambda i$ . *l!*(*d - i - 1*)) ‘ *A*}

**lemma** *has-bits-empty[simp]*:  
  *has-bits {}* = {}  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *has-bits-not-empty*:  
  **assumes** *y* ∈ *has-bits A* *A* ≠ {} *y* ∈ *len-d-lists*  
  **shows** *list-to-l y* ≠ *empty*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *has-bits-empty-list*:

*empty-list*  $\notin$  *has-bits*  $\{0..<d\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *has-bits-incl*:  
**assumes**  $A \subseteq B$   
**shows** *has-bits*  $A \subseteq$  *has-bits*  $B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *has-bits-in-len-d-lists*[*simp*]:  
*has-bits*  $A \subseteq$  *len-d-lists*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *finite-has-bits*[*simp*]:  
*finite* (*has-bits*  $A$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *has-bits-not-elem*:  
**assumes**  $y \in$  *has-bits*  $A$   $A \neq \{\}$   $A \subseteq \{0..<d\}$   $y \in$  *len-d-lists*  $n \notin A$   $n < d$   
**shows**  $y[d-n-1 := \neg y!(d-n-1)] \in$  *has-bits*  $A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *has-bits-split-Suc*:  
**assumes**  $n < d$   
**shows** *has-bits*  $\{n..<d\} =$  *has-bits*  $\{n\} \cup$  *has-bits*  $\{Suc\ n..<d\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The function *has-bits-upto* looks only at elements with bits lower than some  $n$ .

**definition** *has-bits-upto* **where**  
 $has\text{-bits-upto } n = len\text{-d-lists} - has\text{-bits } \{n..<d\}$

**lemma** *finite-has-bits-upto* [*simp*]:  
*finite* (*has-bits-upto*  $n$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *has-bits-elem*:  
**assumes**  $x \in len\text{-d-lists} - has\text{-bits } A$   $a \in A$   
**shows**  $\neg x!(d-a-1)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *has-bits-upto-elem*:  
**assumes**  $x \in has\text{-bits-upto } n$   $n < d$   
**shows**  $\neg x!(d-n-1)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *has-bits-upto-incl*:  
**assumes**  $n \leq m$   
**shows** *has-bits-upto*  $n \subseteq$  *has-bits-upto*  $m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma has-bits-up-to-d:
  has-bits-up-to d = len-d-lists
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma empty-list-has-bits-up-to:
  empty-list ∈ has-bits-up-to n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma empty-list-to-l-has-bits-up-to:
  empty ∈ list-to-l ` has-bits-up-to n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma len-d-empty-has-bits:
  len-d-lists – {empty-list} = has-bits {0..<d}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Properties of  $d$

```

lemma two-d-gr-1:
   $2^d > (1::nat)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Lemmas on  $flip$ ,  $bit$  and  $valid$ .

```

lemma valid-inv: – Collect valid = valid –` {False} ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma blog-inv: – Collect blog = blog –` {False} ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma not-blog-flip:  $i < d \implies (\neg \text{blog } l) \implies (\neg \text{blog } (\text{flip } i \ l))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Lemmas on  $X$  and  $Y$ .  $X$  and  $Y$  are embeddings of the classical memory parts of input and output registers to the oracle function into the quantum register ' $mem$ '.

```

lemma register-X:
  register X
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma register-Y:
  register Y
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma X-0:
  X 0 = 0 ⟨proof⟩

```

How to check that no qubit in ' $x$ ' is in the set  $S$  in a quantum setting. This is more complicated, since we cannot just ask if  $x \in S$ . We need to ask for the embedding of the projection of the classical set  $S$  in the register  $X$ .

```

definition proj-classical-set M = Proj (ccspan (ket ` M))

```

```

definition S-embed S' = X (proj-classical-set (Collect S'))

```

**definition** *not-S-embed S' = X (proj-classical-set (– (Collect S')))*

**lemma** *is-Proj-proj-classical-set:*

*is-Proj (proj-classical-set M)  
 ⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *proj-classical-set-split-id:*

*id-cblinfun = proj-classical-set M + proj-classical-set (–M)  
 ⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *proj-classical-set-sum-ket-finite:*

**assumes** *finite A*  
**shows** *proj-classical-set A = (Σ i∈A. selfbutter (ket i))*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *proj-classical-set-not-elem:*

**assumes** *inotin A*  
**shows** *proj-classical-set A \*V ket i = 0*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *proj-classical-set-elem:*

**assumes** *iin A*  
**shows** *proj-classical-set A \*V ket i = ket i*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *proj-classical-set-up-to:*

**assumes** *i < j*  
**shows** *proj-classical-set {j..} \*V ket (i::nat) = 0*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *proj-classical-set-apply:*

**assumes** *finite A*  
**shows** *proj-classical-set A \*V y = (Σ i∈A. Rep-ell2 y i \*C ket i)*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *proj-classical-set-split-Suc:*

*proj-classical-set {n..} = proj (ket n) + proj-classical-set {Suc n..}*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *proj-classical-set-union:*

**assumes** *¬x y. x ∈ ket ‘A ⇒ y ∈ ket ‘B ⇒ is-orthogonal x y*  
**shows** *proj-classical-set (A ∪ B) = proj-classical-set A + proj-classical-set B*  
*⟨proof⟩*

Later, we need to project only on the second part of the register (the counting part).

**definition** *Proj-ket-set :: 'a set ⇒ ('mem × 'a) update where*

*Proj-ket-set*  $A = \text{id-cblinfun} \otimes_o \text{proj-classical-set } A$

**lemma** *Proj-ket-set-vec*:  
**assumes**  $y \in A$   
**shows** *Proj-ket-set*  $A *_V (v \otimes_s \text{ket } y) = v \otimes_s \text{ket } y$   
*(proof)*

**definition** *Proj-ket-upto* ::  $\text{bool list set} \Rightarrow (\text{'mem} \times \text{'l}) \text{ update}$  **where**  
 $\text{Proj-ket-upto } A = \text{Proj-ket-set} (\text{list-to-l} ` A)$

**lemma** *Proj-ket-upto-vec*:  
**assumes**  $y \in A$   
**shows** *Proj-ket-upto*  $A *_V (v \otimes_s \text{ket} (\text{list-to-l } y)) = v \otimes_s \text{ket} (\text{list-to-l } y)$   
*(proof)*

We can split a state into two parts: the part in  $S$  and the one not in  $S$ .

**lemma** *S-embed-not-S-embed-id* [simp]:  
 $S\text{-embed } S' + \text{not-}S\text{-embed } S' = \text{id-cblinfun}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *S-embed-not-S-embed-add*:  
 $S\text{-embed } S' (\text{ket } a) + \text{not-}S\text{-embed } S' (\text{ket } a) = \text{ket } a$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *S-embed-idem* [simp]:  
 $S\text{-embed } S' o_{CL} S\text{-embed } S' = S\text{-embed } S'$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *S-embed-adj*:  
 $(S\text{-embed } S')^* = S\text{-embed } S'$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *not-S-embed-idem*:  
 $\text{not-}S\text{-embed } S' o_{CL} \text{not-}S\text{-embed } S' = \text{not-}S\text{-embed } S'$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *not-S-embed-adj*:  
 $(\text{not-}S\text{-embed } S')^* = \text{not-}S\text{-embed } S'$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *not-S-embed-S-embed* [simp]:  
 $\text{not-}S\text{-embed } S' o_{CL} S\text{-embed } S' = 0$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *S-embed-not-S-embed* [simp]:  
 $S\text{-embed } S' o_{CL} \text{not-}S\text{-embed } S' = 0$   
*(proof)*

```
lemma not-S-embed-Proj:
  not-S-embed S = Proj (not-S-embed S *S ⊤)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma not-S-embed-in-X-image:
  assumes a ∈ space-as-set (¬(not-S-embed S *S ⊤))
  shows (not-S-embed S)*V a = 0
  ⟨proof⟩
```

In the register for the adversary runs *run-B* and *run-B-count*, we want to look at the '*mem*' part only.  $\Psi_s$  lets us look at the '*mem*' part that is tensored with the *i*-th ket state.

```
definition  $\Psi_s$  where  $\Psi_s i v = (\text{tensor-ell2-right} (\text{ket } i)*) *_V v$ 
```

```
lemma tensor-ell2-right-compose-id-cblinfun:
  tensor-ell2-right (ket a)* oCL A ⊗o id-cblinfun = A oCL tensor-ell2-right (ket a)*
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma  $\Psi_s$ -id-cblinfun:
   $\Psi_s a ((A \otimes_o \text{id-cblinfun}) *_V v) = A *_V (\Psi_s a v)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

Additional Lemmas

```
lemma id-cblinfun-tensor-split-finite:
  assumes finite A
  shows (id-cblinfun:: ('mem × 'a) ell2 ⇒CL ('mem × 'a) ell2) =
  (Σ i ∈ A. (tensor-ell2-right (ket i)) oCL (tensor-ell2-right (ket i)*)) +
  Proj-ket-set (¬A)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

Lemmas on sums of butterflys

```
lemma sum-butterfly-ket0:
  assumes (y::nat) < d + 1
  shows (Σ i < d + 1. butterfly (ket 0) (ket i)) *_V (ket y) = ket 0
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma sum-butterfly-ket0':
  (Σ i < d + 1. butterfly (ket 0) (ket i)) *_V proj-classical-set {.. < d + 1} *_V y =
  (Σ i < d + 1. Rep-ell2 y i) *C ket 0
  for y::nat ell2
  ⟨proof⟩
```

The oracle query is a unitary.

```
lemma inj-Uquery-map:
  inj (λ(x, (y::'y)). (x, y + H x))
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma classical-operator-exists-Uquery:
```

*classical-operator-exists* (*Some o* ( $\lambda(x, (y :: 'y)). (x, y + (H x))$ ))  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Uquery-ket*:  
 $Uquery F *_V \text{ket } (a :: 'x) \otimes_s \text{ket } (b :: 'y) = \text{ket } a \otimes_s \text{ket } (b + F a)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *unitary-H*: *unitary* ( $Uquery (H :: 'x \Rightarrow 'y)$ )  
*(proof)*

**end**

**unbundle** *no cblinfun-syntax*  
**unbundle** *no lattice-syntax*

**end**

**theory** *More-Kraus-Maps*

**imports** *Kraus-Maps.Kraus-Maps*

*O2H-Additional-Lemmas*

**begin**

**unbundle** *cblinfun-syntax*  
**unbundle** *lattice-syntax*

Fst on kraus families.

**lemma** *inj-Fst-alt*:  
**assumes**  $c \neq 0$   
**shows**  $a \otimes_o c = b \otimes_o c \implies a = b$   
*(proof)*

**lift-definition** *kf-Fst* ::  $('a \text{ ell2}, 'c \text{ ell2}, \text{unit}) \text{ kraus-family} \Rightarrow (('a \times 'b) \text{ ell2}, ('c \times 'b) \text{ ell2}, \text{unit}) \text{ kraus-family}$  **is**  
 $\lambda E. (\lambda(x, -). (x \otimes_o \text{id-cblinfun}, ()))`E$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *summable-on-in-kf-Fst*:  
**fixes**  $f :: 'c \Rightarrow 'a \text{ ell2} \Rightarrow_{CL} 'a \text{ ell2}$   
**and**  $b :: 'b \text{ ell2} \Rightarrow_{CL} 'b \text{ ell2}$   
**shows** *summable-on-in cweak-operator-topology* ( $\lambda x. (fst x * o_{CL} fst x) \otimes_o \text{id-cblinfun}$ ) (*Rep-kraus-family*  
 $G$ )  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *infsum-in-kf-Fst*:

```

fixes  $f :: 'c \Rightarrow 'a$  ell2  $\Rightarrow_{CL} 'a$  ell2
      and  $b :: 'b$  ell2  $\Rightarrow_{CL} 'b$  ell2
shows infsum-in cweak-operator-topology  $(\lambda x. (fst x * o_{CL} fst x) \otimes_o id\_cblinfun)$  (Rep-kraus-family G)  $\leq$ 
      (infsum-in cweak-operator-topology  $(\lambda x. fst x * o_{CL} fst x)$  (Rep-kraus-family G))  $\otimes_o$ 
      id\_cblinfun
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma kf-bound-kf-Fst:
  kf-bound (kf-Fst F :: (('a × 'b) ell2, ('c × 'b) ell2, unit) kraus-family)  $\leq$ 
    kf-bound F  $\otimes_o$  id\_cblinfun
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sandwich-tc-kf-apply-Fst:
  sandwich-tc (Snd (Q :: 'd update)) (kf-apply (kf-Fst F :: (('a × 'd) ell2, ('a × 'd) ell2, unit) kraus-family) ρ) =
    kf-apply (kf-Fst F) (sandwich-tc (Snd Q) ρ)
⟨proof⟩

```

kraus family Fst is trace preserving.

```

lemma kf-apply-Fst-tensor:
  ⟨kf-apply (kf-Fst E :: (('c × 'b) ell2, ('a × 'b) ell2, unit) kraus-family) (tc-tensor ρ σ) = tc-tensor (kf-apply E ρ) σ⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma partial-trace-ignore-trace-preserving-map-Fst:
  assumes ⟨kf-trace-preserving E⟩
  shows ⟨partial-trace (kf-apply (kf-Fst E) ρ) = kf-apply E (partial-trace ρ)⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma trace-preserving-kf-Fst:
  assumes km-trace-preserving (kf-apply E)
  shows km-trace-preserving (kf-apply (
    kf-Fst E :: (('a × 'c) ell2, ('a × 'c) ell2, unit) kraus-family))
⟨proof⟩

```

Summability on Kraus maps

```

lemma finite-kf-apply-has-sum:
  assumes (f has-sum x) A
  shows ((kf-apply F o f) has-sum kf-apply F x) A
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma finite-kf-apply-abs-summable-on:
  assumes f abs-summable-on A
  shows (kf-apply F o f) abs-summable-on A
⟨proof⟩

```

```

unbundle no cblinfun-syntax

```

```
unbundle no lattice-syntax
```

```
end
theory Unitary-S
```

```
imports Definition-O2H
```

```
begin
```

```
unbundle cblinfun-syntax
unbundle lattice-syntax
```

```
context o2h-setting
begin
```

## 1.2 Linear operator $U_S$

```
definition  $Ub :: nat \Rightarrow 'l$  update where
   $Ub i = \text{classical-operator} (\text{Some } o (\text{flip } i))$ 
```

```
lemma  $Ub\text{-exists}: \text{classical-operator-exists} (\text{Some } o (\text{flip } i))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma isometry- $Ub$ :
  isometry ( $Ub k$ )
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma  $Ub\text{-ket-range}: (Ub i *_V \text{ket } y) \in \text{range ket}$  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma  $Ub\text{-ket}:$ 
   $Ub k *_V (\text{ket } x) = \text{ket } (\text{flip } k x)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

Using the operator  $Ub$ , we define the unitary  $U_S$ . Whenever we queried an element in the set  $S$ , we add a bit-flip in the register  $'l$ , otherwise not. The linear operator  $Ub$  works only on the second register part (the counting register). This is the operator between the oracle queries while running  $B$ .

```
definition  $US :: \langle ('x \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('mem \times 'l) \text{ update} \rangle$  where
  ⟨ $US S k = S\text{-embed } S \otimes_o Ub k + \text{not-}S\text{-embed } S \otimes_o \text{id-cblinfun}$ ⟩
```

```
lemma isometry- $US$ :
  isometry ( $US S k$ )
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma  $US\text{-ket-split}:$ 
   $(US S k) *_V \text{ket } (x,y) = (S\text{-embed } S *_V \text{ket } x) \otimes_s ((Ub k) *_V \text{ket } y) + (\text{not-}S\text{-embed } S *_V \text{ket } x) \otimes_s \text{ket } y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma US-ket-only01:
  US S k (v  $\otimes_s$  ket n) = (not-S-embed S *V v)  $\otimes_s$  ket n + (S-embed S *V v)  $\otimes_s$  ket (flip k n)
  {proof}

```

```

lemma norm-US:
  assumes i < Suc d shows norm (US S i) = 1
  {proof}

```

Projection upto bit i

How the counting unitary *Ub* behaves with respect to projections on the counting register.

```

lemma proj-classical-set-not-blog-Ub:
  assumes n < d
  shows proj-classical-set (– Collect blog) oCL Ub n =
    Ub n oCL proj-classical-set (– Collect blog)
  {proof}

```

```

lemma proj-classical-set-over-Ub:
  assumes n ≤ d m < d
  shows proj-classical-set (list-to-l ‘ has-bits {n..<d}) oCL Ub m =
    Ub m oCL proj-classical-set (flip m ‘ list-to-l ‘ has-bits {n..<d})
  {proof}

```

```

lemma Ψs-US-Proj-ket-upto:
  assumes i < d
  shows tensor-ell2-right (ket empty)* oCL ((US S i) oCL Proj-ket-upto (has-bits-upto i)) =
    not-S-embed S oCL tensor-ell2-right (ket empty)*
  {proof}

```

**end**

```

unbundle no cblinfun-syntax
unbundle no lattice-syntax

```

```

end
theory Unitary-S-prime
  imports Definition-O2H
begin

```

```

unbundle cblinfun-syntax
unbundle lattice-syntax

```

```

context o2h-setting
begin

```

### 1.3 Towards the Definition of $U\text{-}S'$

For the definition of  $U\text{-}S'$ , we need a counting function on the additional register. We model this with the function  $c\text{-}add$  that works on  $\text{nat}$  as a addition of 1 modulo  $d + 1$  (as long as we stay under the query depth  $d$ ) and as an identity otherwise.

```
definition c-add :: nat ⇒ nat where
  c-add c = (if c < d+1 then (c+1) mod (d+1) else c)
```

```
lemma surj-c-add-c-valid: c-add ` {..<d+1} = {..<d+1}
  ⟨proof⟩
```

$c\text{-}add$  needs to be bijective, so that the resulting operator is unitary.

```
lemma inj-c-add: inj c-add
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma surj-c-add: c-add ` UNIV = UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma bij-c-add: bij c-add
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma c-add-0: c-add 0 ≠ 0
  ⟨proof⟩
```

Finally, we can define the operator for the adversary  $B_{count}$ .

```
definition Uc = classical-operator (Some o c-add)
```

```
lemma Uc-exists:
  classical-operator-exists (Some o c-add)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma unitary-Uc:
  unitary Uc
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma Uc-ket-d:
  Uc *V ket d = ket 0
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma Uc-ket-less:
  assumes n < d
  shows Uc *V ket n = ket (n+1)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma Uc-ket-leq:
  assumes n < d+1
  shows Uc *V ket n = ket ((n+1) mod (d+1))
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma Uc-ket-greater:
  assumes n>d
  shows Uc *V ket n = ket n
  {proof}

lemma Uc-ket-range:
  (Uc *V ket y) ∈ range ket {proof}

```

```

lemma Uc-ket-range-valid:
  assumes y<d+1
  shows (Uc *V ket y) ∈ ket ‘ {..<d+1} {proof}

```

Using the operator *Uc*, we define the unitary  $U'_S$ . Whenever, we queried an element in the set  $S$ , we add a count in the counting register, otherwise not. The linear operator *Uc* works only on the second register part (the counting register).

```

definition U-S' :: (x ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('mem × nat) update where
  ⟨U-S' S = S-embed S ⊗o Uc + not-S-embed S ⊗o id-cblinfun⟩

```

```

lemma unitary-U-S':
  unitary (U-S' S)
  {proof}

```

```

lemma iso-U-S': isometry (U-S' S)
  {proof}

```

```

lemma U-S'-ket-split:
  U-S' S *V ket (x,y) = (S-embed S *V ket x) ⊗s (Uc *V ket y) + (not-S-embed S *V ket x)
  ⊗s ket y
  {proof}

```

```

lemma norm-U-S':
  assumes i < Suc d shows norm (U-S' S) = 1
  {proof}

```

We ensure that the  $\Phi_s$  is the same as the left part of  $\Psi_{count}$  (ie. *run-B-count*) with right part  $|0\rangle$ .

```

lemma Ψs-U-S'-Proj-ket-upto:
  assumes i < d
  shows tensor-ell2-right (ket 0)* oCL (U-S' S oCL Proj-ket-set {..<i+1}) =
    not-S-embed S oCL tensor-ell2-right (ket 0)*
  {proof}

```

**end**

**unbundle no cblinfun-syntax**

```
unbundle no lattice-syntax
```

```
end
```

```
theory Run-Adversary
```

```
imports Definition-O2H
```

```
More-Kraus-Maps
```

```
Unitary-S
```

```
Unitary-S-prime
```

```
begin
```

```
unbundle cblinfun-syntax
```

```
unbundle lattice-syntax
```

```
unbundle register-syntax
```

## 2 Running the Adversary

Modelling the adversary, some type synonyms.

```
type-synonym 'a tc-op = ('a ell2,'a ell2) trace-class
```

```
type-synonym 'a kraus-adv = nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('a ell2,'a ell2,unit) kraus-family
```

```
type-synonym 'a pure-adv = nat  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a update)  $\Rightarrow$  'a ell2
```

```
type-synonym 'a mixed-adv = nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a kraus-adv  $\Rightarrow$  'a update
```

We define the run of the quantum algorithm of our adversaries. Each adversary can make quantum calculations (in form of unitaries) before and after each query to the oracle  $Uquery H$ . Since the oracle function  $H : X \rightarrow Y$  works on (classical) registers, we need to embed the domain and target registers  $X$  and  $Y$  as well.  $((X;Y) (Uquery H))$  is the notation for the query to  $H$  applied to the registers  $X$  and  $Y$ .  $init$  is the initial quantum state which may also be manipulated by the adversary in the first step.

Running the adversary with Uquerys

Definitions for pure adversaries

```
fun run-pure-adv :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a update)  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a update)  $\Rightarrow$  'a ell2  $\Rightarrow$  -  $\Rightarrow$  -  $\Rightarrow$  -  $\Rightarrow$  'a ell2 where
```

```
run-pure-adv 0 UAs UB init X Y H = (UAs 0) *V init
```

```
| run-pure-adv (Suc n) UAs UB init X Y H =
```

```
    (UAs (Suc n)) *V (X;Y) (Uquery H) *V (UB n) *V (run-pure-adv n UAs UB init X Y H)
```

```
fun run-pure-adv-update :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a update)  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a update)  $\Rightarrow$  'a ell2  $\Rightarrow$  -  $\Rightarrow$  -  $\Rightarrow$  -  $\Rightarrow$  'a update where
```

```
run-pure-adv-update 0 UAs UB init X Y H = sandwich (UAs 0) *V (selfbutter init)
```

```
| run-pure-adv-update (Suc n) UAs UB init X Y H =
```

```
    sandwich (UAs (Suc n) oCL (X;Y) (Uquery H) oCL UB n) *V (run-pure-adv-update n UAs UB init X Y H)
```

```

fun run-pure-adv-tc :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a update)  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a update)  $\Rightarrow$  'a ell2  $\Rightarrow$  -  $\Rightarrow$  -  $\Rightarrow$  -  $\Rightarrow$  'a tc-op where
  run-pure-adv-tc 0 UAs UB init X Y H = sandwich-tc (UAs 0) (tc-selfbutter init)
  | run-pure-adv-tc (Suc n) UAs UB init X Y H =
    sandwich-tc (UAs (Suc n) oCL (X;Y) (Uquery H) oCL UB n) (run-pure-adv-tc n UAs UB init X Y H)

```

```

lemma run-pure-adv-tc-pos:
  run-pure-adv-tc n UAs UB init X Y H  $\geq$  0
  ⟨proof⟩

```

How a pure run is mapped from ell2 to trace class.

```

lemma run-pure-adv-ell2-update:
  run-pure-adv-update n UAs UB init X Y H = selfbutter (run-pure-adv n UAs UB init X Y H)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma run-pure-adv-update-tc':
  from-trace-class (run-pure-adv-tc n UAs UB init X Y H) = run-pure-adv-update n UAs UB init X Y H
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma run-pure-adv-update-tc:
  run-pure-adv-tc n UAs UB init X Y H = Abs-trace-class (run-pure-adv-update n UAs UB init X Y H)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Definitions for mixed adversaries

```

fun run-mixed-adv :: 
  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a kraus-adv  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a update)  $\Rightarrow$  'a ell2  $\Rightarrow$  -  $\Rightarrow$  -  $\Rightarrow$  -  $\Rightarrow$  'a tc-op where
  run-mixed-adv 0 Es UB init X Y H = (kf-apply (Es 0)) (tc-selfbutter init)
  | run-mixed-adv (Suc n) Es UB init X Y H = (kf-apply (Es (Suc n)))
    (sandwich-tc ((X;Y) (Uquery H) oCL UB n) (run-mixed-adv n Es UB init X Y H))

```

```

lemma run-mixed-adv-pos:
  run-mixed-adv n Es UB init X Y H  $\geq$  0
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in o2h-setting) norm-run-mixed-adv:
  assumes Es-norm-id:  $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \implies$  kf-bound (Es i)  $\leq$  id-cblinfun
  and n: n  $<$  d+1
  and normUB:  $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \implies$  norm (UB i)  $\leq$  1
  and register: register (X';Y')
  and norm-init': norm init' = 1
  fixes H :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  'y

```

```

shows norm (run-mixed-adv n Es UB init' X' Y' H) ≤ 1
⟨proof⟩

```

Trace preserving Kraus maps/adversaries preserve the norm.

**lemma** km-trace-preserving-kf-apply:

```

assumes km-trace-preserving (kf-apply F) ρ ≥ 0
shows norm (kf-apply F ρ) = norm ρ
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in o2h-setting) trace-preserving-norm-run-mixed-adv:
assumes trace-pres:  $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \Rightarrow$  km-trace-preserving (kf-apply (Es i))
and n:  $n < d+1$ 
and iso-UB:  $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \Rightarrow$  isometry (UB i)
and register: register (X';Y')
and norm-init': norm init' = 1
fixes H :: 'x ⇒ 'y
shows norm (run-mixed-adv n Es UB init' X' Y' H) = 1
⟨proof⟩

```

```

context o2h-setting
begin

```

The run of the adversaries A and B (= A with counting in register 'l) for mixed states.

For pure adversaries

**definition** run-pure-A-ell2 **where**

```

run-pure-A-ell2 UA H = run-pure-adv d UA (λ-. id-cblinfun) init X Y H

```

**definition** run-pure-A-update :: (nat ⇒ 'mem update) ⇒ ('x ⇒ 'y) ⇒ 'mem update **where**

```

run-pure-A-update UA H = run-pure-adv-update d UA (λ-. id-cblinfun) init X Y H

```

**definition** run-pure-A-tc :: (nat ⇒ 'mem update) ⇒ ('x ⇒ 'y) ⇒ 'mem tc-op **where**

```

run-pure-A-tc UA H = run-pure-adv-tc d UA (λ-. id-cblinfun) init X Y H

```

**lemma** run-pure-A-ell2-update:

```

run-pure-A-update UA H = selfbutter (run-pure-A-ell2 UA H)

```

⟨proof⟩

**lemma** run-pure-A-update-tc:

```

run-pure-A-tc UA H = Abs-trace-class (run-pure-A-update UA H)

```

⟨proof⟩

**lemma** run-pure-A-tc-pos: 0 ≤ run-pure-A-tc UA H

⟨proof⟩

For mixed adversaries

```
definition run-mixed-A :: 'mem kraus-adv  $\Rightarrow$  ('x  $\Rightarrow$  'y)  $\Rightarrow$  'mem tc-op where
  run-mixed-A kraus-A H = run-mixed-adv d kraus-A ( $\lambda$ -. id-cblinfun) init X Y H
```

**lemma** run-mixed-A-pos:  
 $0 \leq \text{run-mixed-A kraus-A } H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** norm-run-mixed-A:  
**assumes** F-norm-id:  $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \implies \text{kf-bound } (F i) \leq \text{id-cblinfun}$   
**shows** norm (run-mixed-A F H)  $\leq 1$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Embeddings of  $X$  and  $Y$  in the counting register of  $B$

**definition** X-for-B :: 'x update  $\Rightarrow$  ('mem  $\times$  'l) update **where**  
 $\langle X\text{-for-}B = \text{Fst } o X \rangle$

**definition** Y-for-B :: 'y update  $\Rightarrow$  ('mem  $\times$  'l) update **where**  
 $\langle Y\text{-for-}B = \text{Fst } o Y \rangle$

**lemma** [register]:  $\langle \text{register } X\text{-for-}B \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** [register]:  $\langle \text{register } Y\text{-for-}B \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** register-XY-for-B:  
 $\text{register } (X\text{-for-}B; Y\text{-for-}B)$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Alternative representation of  $Uquery H$  on ' $l$ '

**lemma** UqueryH-tensor-id-cblinfunB:  
 $(X\text{-for-}B; Y\text{-for-}B) (Uquery H) = (X; Y) (Uquery H) \otimes_o \text{id-cblinfun}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The oracle query on the extended register stays unitary.

**lemma** unitary-H-B: unitary  $((X\text{-for-}B; Y\text{-for-}B) (Uquery H))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** iso-H-B: isometry  $((X\text{-for-}B; Y\text{-for-}B) (Uquery H))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The initial register state  $init$  is extended by zeros in the register ' $l$ '. Here, we need the embedding of the counter into the type ' $l$ ' by *list-to-l*.

**definition** init-B =  $init \otimes_s \text{ket empty}$

**lemma** norm-init-B: norm init-B = 1  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Definition of adversary B

For pure adversaries

```
definition run-pure-B-ell2 where
  run-pure-B-ell2 UB H S =
    run-pure-adv d (Fst o UB) (US S) init-B X-for-B Y-for-B H

definition run-pure-B-update :: 
  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'mem update)  $\Rightarrow$  ('x  $\Rightarrow$  'y)  $\Rightarrow$  ('x  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('mem  $\times$  'l) update where
  run-pure-B-update UB H S = run-pure-adv-update d (Fst o UB) (US S) init-B X-for-B Y-for-B H

definition run-pure-B-tc :: 
  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'mem update)  $\Rightarrow$  ('x  $\Rightarrow$  'y)  $\Rightarrow$  ('x  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('mem  $\times$  'l) tc-op where
  run-pure-B-tc UB H S = run-pure-adv-tc d (Fst o UB) (US S) init-B X-for-B Y-for-B H
```

```
lemma run-pure-B-ell2-update:
  run-pure-B-update UB H S = selfbutter (run-pure-B-ell2 UB H S)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma run-pure-B-update-tc:
  run-pure-B-tc UB H S = Abs-trace-class (run-pure-B-update UB H S)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma run-pure-B-update-tc':
  run-pure-B-update UB H S = from-trace-class (run-pure-B-tc UB H S)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma run-pure-B-tc-pos: 0  $\leq$  run-pure-B-tc UB H S
  ⟨proof⟩
```

For mixed adversaries

```
definition run-mixed-B :: 
  'mem kraus-adv  $\Rightarrow$  ('x  $\Rightarrow$  'y)  $\Rightarrow$  ('x  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('mem  $\times$  'l) tc-op where
  run-mixed-B kraus-B H S = run-mixed-adv d ( $\lambda n.$  kf-Fst (kraus-B n))
  (US S) init-B X-for-B Y-for-B H
```

```
lemma run-mixed-B-pos:
  0  $\leq$  run-mixed-B kraus-B H S
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma norm-run-mixed-B:
  assumes F-norm-id:  $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \implies$  kf-bound (F i)  $\leq$  id-cblinfun
  shows norm (run-mixed-B F H S)  $\leq$  1
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma trace-preserving-norm-run-mixed-B:
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \implies$  km-trace-preserving (kf-apply
  (kf-Fst (F i)::((mem  $\times$  'l) ell2, (mem  $\times$  'l) ell2, unit) kraus-family))
```

**shows**  $\text{norm}(\text{run-mixed-}B F H S) = 1$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 3 Definition of $B$ -count

#### 3.1 Defining the run of adversary $B$

Embeddings of  $X$  and  $Y$  in the counting register for  $B_{\text{count}}$

**definition**  $X\text{-for-}C :: \langle 'x \text{ update} \Rightarrow ('mem \times \text{nat}) \text{ update} \rangle \text{ where}$   
 $\langle X\text{-for-}C = \text{Fst o } X \rangle$

**definition**  $Y\text{-for-}C :: \langle 'y \text{ update} \Rightarrow ('mem \times \text{nat}) \text{ update} \rangle \text{ where}$   
 $\langle Y\text{-for-}C = \text{Fst o } Y \rangle$

**lemma** [register]:  $\langle \text{register } X\text{-for-}C \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** [register]:  $\langle \text{register } Y\text{-for-}C \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{register-}XY\text{-for-}C:$   
 $\text{register } (X\text{-for-}C; Y\text{-for-}C)$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The oracle query on the extended register stays unitary.

**lemma**  $\text{unitary-}H\text{-}C: \text{unitary } ((X\text{-for-}C; Y\text{-for-}C)) (U\text{query } H)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{iso-}H\text{-}C: \text{isometry } ((X\text{-for-}C; Y\text{-for-}C)) (U\text{query } H)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Alternative representation of  $U\text{query } H$ .

**lemma**  $U\text{query-}H\text{-tensor-}id\text{-cblinfun-}C:$   
 $(X\text{-for-}C; Y\text{-for-}C) (U\text{query } H) = (X; Y) (U\text{query } H) \otimes_o id\text{-cblinfun}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The initial register for the adversary  $B$  with counting is the initial state and starting with 0 in the counting register.

**definition**  $\text{init-}B\text{-count} :: ('mem \times \text{nat}) \text{ ell2 where}$   
 $\langle \text{init-}B\text{-count} = \text{init} \otimes_s \text{ket } 0 \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{norm-}init\text{-}B\text{-count}:$   
 $\text{norm } (\text{init-}B\text{-count}) = 1$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Definition of adversary  $B$  with counting

For pure adversaries

```

definition run-pure-B-count-ell2 where
  run-pure-B-count-ell2 UB H S = run-pure-adv d (Fst o UB) ( $\lambda\_. U \cdot S' S$ ) init-B-count X-for-C Y-for-C H

definition run-pure-B-count-update :: 
  ( $nat \Rightarrow 'mem\ update$ )  $\Rightarrow ('x \Rightarrow 'y) \Rightarrow ('x \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('mem \times nat)\ update$  where
  run-pure-B-count-update UB H S = run-pure-adv-update d (Fst o UB) ( $\lambda\_. U \cdot S' S$ ) init-B-count X-for-C Y-for-C H

definition run-pure-B-count-tc :: 
  ( $nat \Rightarrow 'mem\ update$ )  $\Rightarrow ('x \Rightarrow 'y) \Rightarrow ('x \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('mem \times nat)\ tc\text{-}op$  where
  run-pure-B-count-tc UB H S = run-pure-adv-tc d (Fst o UB) ( $\lambda\_. U \cdot S' S$ ) init-B-count X-for-C Y-for-C H

lemma run-pure-B-count-ell2-update:
  run-pure-B-count-update UB H S = selfbutter (run-pure-B-count-ell2 UB H S)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma run-pure-B-count-update-tc:
  run-pure-B-count-tc UB H S = Abs-trace-class (run-pure-B-count-update UB H S)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma run-pure-B-count-update-tc':
  run-pure-B-count-update UB H S = from-trace-class (run-pure-B-count-tc UB H S)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma run-pure-B-count-tc-pos:  $0 \leq run\text{-}pure\text{-}B\text{-}count\text{-}tc\ UB\ H\ S$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

For mixed adversaries

definition run-mixed-B-count :: 
  'mem kraus-adv  $\Rightarrow ('x \Rightarrow 'y) \Rightarrow ('x \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('mem \times nat)\ tc\text{-}op$  where
  run-mixed-B-count kraus-B H S = run-mixed-adv d ( $\lambda n. kf\text{-}Fst(kraus-B\ n)$ )
  ( $\lambda n. U \cdot S' S$ ) init-B-count X-for-C Y-for-C H

lemma run-mixed-B-count-pos:
   $0 \leq run\text{-}mixed\text{-}B\text{-}count\ kraus\text{-}B\ H\ S$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma norm-run-mixed-B-count:
  assumes F-norm-id:  $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \implies kf\text{-}bound(F\ i) \leq id\text{-}cblinfun$ 
  shows norm (run-mixed-B-count F H S)  $\leq 1$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma trace-preserving-norm-run-mixed-B-count:
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \implies km\text{-}trace\text{-}preserving(kf\text{-}apply$ 

```

```

(kf-Fst (F i)::((mem × nat) ell2, (mem × nat) ell2, unit) kaus-family))
shows norm (run-mixed-B-count F H S) = 1
⟨proof⟩

end

unbundle no cblinfun-syntax
unbundle no lattice-syntax
unbundle no register-syntax

end
theory Definition-Pure-O2H

imports Definition-O2H
Run-Adversary

begin

unbundle cblinfun-syntax
unbundle lattice-syntax
unbundle register-syntax

```

### 3.2 Locale for the pure O2H setting

For the pure state case, we define a separate locale for the pure one-way to hiding lemma.

**locale** *pure-o2h* = *o2h-setting* TYPE('x) TYPE('y:group-add) TYPE('mem) TYPE('l) +  
— We fix the oracle function *H*, a subset of the oracle domain *S* and the sequence of operations  
the adversary *A* undertakes in the function *UA*.

```

fixes H :: 'x ⇒ ('y:group-add)
and S :: 'x ⇒ bool
and UA :: 'nat ⇒ 'mem update

```

— All operations by the adversary *A* must be isometries.  
**assumes** *norm-UA*:  $\langle \bigwedge i. i < d+1 \Rightarrow \text{norm } (\text{UA } i) \leq 1 \rangle$   
**begin**

Given the initial register state *init*, *run-A* returns the register state after performing the  
algorithm describing the adversary *A*.

**definition** ⟨*run-A* = *run-pure-adv* *d* *UA* ( $\lambda$ . *id-cblinfun*::'mem update) *init* *X Y H*⟩

**lemma** *norm-UA-Suc*:  $n < d \Rightarrow \text{norm } (\text{UA } (\text{Suc } n)) \leq 1$   
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *norm-UA-0-init*:  
*norm* (*UA* 0 \*<sub>V</sub> *init*) ≤ 1  
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma tensor-proj-UA-tensor-commute:
  (id-cblinfun  $\otimes_o$  proj-classical-set A)  $o_{CL}$  (UA (Suc n)  $\otimes_o$  id-cblinfun) =
  (UA (Suc n)  $\otimes_o$  id-cblinfun)  $o_{CL}$  (id-cblinfun  $\otimes_o$  proj-classical-set A)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

unbundle no cblinfun-syntax
unbundle no lattice-syntax
unbundle no register-syntax

end
theory Run-Pure-B

imports Definition-Pure-O2H

```

```

begin

unbundle cblinfun-syntax
unbundle lattice-syntax
unbundle register-syntax

```

```

context pure-o2h
begin

```

## 4 Defining and Representing the Adversary $B$

For the proof of the O2H, the final adversary  $B$  is restricted to information on the set  $S$ . That means,  $B$  takes note in a separate register of type ' $l$ ' whether a value in  $S$  was queried and in which step with a unitary  $U\text{-}S$ .

Given the initial state  $init \otimes_s ket 0 :: 'mem \times 'l$ , we run the adversary with counting by performing consecutive bit-flips.  $run\text{-}B\text{-}upto } n$  is the function that allows the adversary  $n$  calls to the query oracle.  $run\text{-}B$  allows exactly  $d$  query calls. The final state  $\Psi_{right}$  as in the paper is then  $run\text{-}B$ .

```

definition  $\langle run\text{-}B\text{-}upto } n = run\text{-}pure\text{-}adv } n (\lambda i. UA i \otimes_o id\text{-}cblinfun) (US S) init\text{-}B X\text{-}for\text{-}B Y\text{-}for\text{-}B H \rangle$ 

```

```

definition  $\langle run\text{-}B = run\text{-}pure\text{-}adv } d (\lambda i. UA i \otimes_o id\text{-}cblinfun) (US S) init\text{-}B X\text{-}for\text{-}B Y\text{-}for\text{-}B H \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma run-B-altdef:  $run\text{-}B = run\text{-}B\text{-}upto } d$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma run-B-upto-I:
  run-B-upto (Suc n) = (UA (Suc n)  $\otimes_o$  id-cblinfun) *V (X-for-B; Y-for-B) (Uquery H) *V
    US S n *V run-B-upto n
  ⟨proof⟩

```

This version of the O2H is only for pure states. Therefore, the norm of states is always 1.

```

lemma norm-run-B-upto:
  assumes n < d + 1
  shows norm (run-B-upto n) ≤ 1
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma norm-run-B:
  norm run-B ≤ 1
  ⟨proof⟩

```

#### 4.1 Representing the run of Adversary $B$ as a finite sum

How the state after the  $n$ -th query behaves with respect to projections.

```

lemma run-B-upto-proj-not-valid:
  assumes n ≤ d
  shows Proj-ket-set (– Collect blog) *V run-B-upto n = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma orth-run-B-upto:
  fixes C :: 'mem update
  assumes y: y ∈ has-bits {Suc m..<d} and m: m < d
  shows is-orthogonal ((C  $\otimes_o$  id-cblinfun) *V run-B-upto m) (x  $\otimes_s$  ket (list-to-l y))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma orth-run-B-upto-ket:
  assumes y: y ∈ has-bits {Suc m..<d} and Sucm: Suc m < d
  shows is-orthogonal (run-B-upto m) (x  $\otimes_s$  ket (list-to-l y))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma orth-run-B-upto-flip:
  assumes y: y ∈ has-bits {Suc m..<d} and Sucm: Suc m < d
  shows is-orthogonal (run-B-upto m) (x  $\otimes_s$  ket (flip m (list-to-l y)))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma run-B-upto-proj-over:
  assumes n ≤ d
  shows Proj-ket-set (list-to-l ` has-bits {n..<d}) *V run-B-upto n = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

```

How  $\Psi s$  relate to  $run\text{-}B$ . We can write  $run\text{-}B$  as a sum counting over all valid ket states

in the counting register.

```
lemma not-empty-list-nth:
  assumes  $x \in \text{len-d-lists}$ 
  shows  $x \neq \text{empty-list} \longleftrightarrow (\exists i < d. x!i)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

First we show the mere existence of such a form.

```
lemma run-B-up-to-sum:
  assumes  $n < d$ 
  shows  $\exists v. \text{run-B-upto } n = (\sum_{i \in \text{has-bits-upto } n} v_i \otimes_s \text{ket}(\text{list-to-l } i))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

As a shorthand, we define *Proj-ket-upto*.

```
lemma run-B-projection:
  assumes  $n < d$ 
  shows  $\text{Proj-ket-upto}(\text{has-bits-upto } n) *_V \text{run-B-upto } n = \text{run-B-upto } n$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

How  $\Psi$ s relate to *run-B*.

```
lemma run-B-up-to-split:
  assumes  $n \leq d$ 
  shows  $\text{run-B-upto } n = (\sum_{i \in \text{has-bits-upto } n} \Psi_s(\text{list-to-l } i) (\text{run-B-upto } n) \otimes_s \text{ket}(\text{list-to-l } i))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma run-B-split:
   $\text{run-B} = (\sum_{i \in \text{len-d-lists}} \Psi_s(\text{list-to-l } i) \text{run-B} \otimes_s (\text{ket}(\text{list-to-l } i)))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

**end**

```
unbundle no cblinfun-syntax
unbundle no lattice-syntax
unbundle no register-syntax
```

```
end
theory Run-Pure-B-count
```

```
imports Definition-Pure-O2H
```

**begin**

```
unbundle cblinfun-syntax
unbundle lattice-syntax
unbundle register-syntax
```

```

context pure-o2h
begin

```

## 5 Defining and Representing the Adversary $B$ with Counting

For the proof of the O2H, we need an intermediate operator  $U\text{-}S'$ . The operator  $U\text{-}S'$  counts, how many oracle queries were made so far in a separate register (modelled by  $\text{nat}$ ).

Given the initial state  $\text{init} \otimes_s \text{ket } 0 :: 'mem \times \text{nat}$ , we run the adversary with counting by adding  $+1$  in  $\{0.. < d+1\}$ .  $\text{run-}B\text{-count-upto } n$  is the function that allows the adversary  $n$  calls to the query oracle.  $\text{run-}B\text{-count}$  allows exactly  $d$  query calls (ie. queries up to the full query depth  $d$ ). The final state called  $\Psi_{\text{count}}$  in the paper is represented by  $\text{run-}B\text{-count}$ .

```

definition ⟨run-B-count-upto n =
  run-pure-adv n (λi. UA i ⊗o id-cblinfun) (λ-. U-S' S) init-B-count X-for-C Y-for-C H

definition ⟨run-B-count = run-pure-adv d (λi. UA i ⊗o id-cblinfun) (λ-. U-S' S) init-B-count
X-for-C Y-for-C Hlemma run-B-count-altdef: run-B-count = run-B-count-upto d
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma run-B-count-upto-I:
  run-B-count-upto (Suc n) = (UA (Suc n) ⊗o id-cblinfun) *V (X-for-C; Y-for-C) (Uquery H)
  *V
  U-S' S *V run-B-count-upto n
  ⟨proof⟩

```

This version of the O2H is only for pure states. Therefore, the norm of states is always 1.

```

lemma norm-run-B-count-upto:
  assumes n < d + 1
  shows norm (run-B-count-upto n) ≤ 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma norm-run-B-count:
  norm run-B-count ≤ 1
  ⟨proof⟩

```

### 5.1 Representing the run of Adversary $B$ with counting as a finite sum

Preparation for representation of  $\text{run-}B\text{-count}$

```
lemma tensor-proj-UqueryH-commute:
```

$(id\text{-}cblinfun} \otimes_o \text{proj-classical-set } A) \circ_{CL} (X\text{-for-}C; Y\text{-for-}C) (Uquery H) =$   
 $(X\text{-for-}C; Y\text{-for-}C) (Uquery H) \circ_{CL} (id\text{-}cblinfun} \otimes_o \text{proj-classical-set } A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

How the counting unitary  $Uc$  behaves with respect to projections on the counting register.

**lemma**  $proj\text{-}Uc$ :  
**assumes**  $m > 0$   
**shows**  $\text{proj-classical-set } \{m\} \circ_{CL} Uc = Uc \circ_{CL}$   
 $(\text{if } m < d+1 \text{ then } \text{proj-classical-set } \{m-1\} \text{ else } \text{proj-classical-set } \{m\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{proj-classical-set-over-}Uc$ :  
 $\text{proj-classical-set } \{\text{Suc } n..\} \circ_{CL} Uc = Uc \circ_{CL} \text{proj-classical-set}$   
 $(\text{if } n > d \text{ then } \{\text{Suc } n..\} \text{ else } \{n..\} - \{d\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

How the state after the  $n$ -th query behaves with respect to projections.

**lemma**  $run\text{-}B\text{-}count\text{-}proj\text{-}gr$ :  
**assumes**  $m > n$   
**shows**  $\text{Proj-ket-set } \{m\} *_V run\text{-}B\text{-}count\text{-}upto } n = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $run\text{-}B\text{-}count\text{-}upto\text{-}proj\text{-}over$ :  
 $\text{Proj-ket-set } \{n+1..\} *_V run\text{-}B\text{-}count\text{-}upto } n = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

How  $\Psi s$  relate to  $run\text{-}B\text{-}count$ . We can write  $run\text{-}B\text{-}count$  as a sum counting over all valid ket states in the counting register.

**lemma**  $run\text{-}B\text{-}count\text{-}upto\text{-}split$ :  
 $run\text{-}B\text{-}count\text{-}upto } n = (\sum i < n+1. \Psi s i (run\text{-}B\text{-}count\text{-}upto } n) \otimes_s \text{ket } i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $run\text{-}B\text{-}count\text{-}split$ :  
 $run\text{-}B\text{-}count} = (\sum i < d+1. \Psi s i run\text{-}B\text{-}count} \otimes_s \text{ket } i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $run\text{-}B\text{-}count\text{-}projection$ :  
 $\text{Proj-ket-set } \{.. < n+1\} *_V (run\text{-}B\text{-}count\text{-}upto } n) = (run\text{-}B\text{-}count\text{-}upto } n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

```

unbundle no cblinfun-syntax
unbundle no lattice-syntax
unbundle no register-syntax

end
theory Pure-O2H

```

```

imports Run-Pure-B
Run-Pure-B-count

begin

unbundle cblinfun-syntax
unbundle lattice-syntax
unbundle register-syntax

context pure-o2h
begin

```

The probability that the find event occurs. That is the event that the adversary  $B$  notices that a query in  $S$  was made.

**definition**  $\langle P\text{find}' = (\text{norm } (\text{Snd } (\text{id-cblinfun} - \text{selfbutter } (\text{ket empty})) *_V \text{run-}B))^2 \rangle$

What happens only to the first part of the memory when executing  $B$  or  $B\text{-count}$  is the same. This is recorded in  $\Phi$ . The second registers only serve as counting registers.

**definition**  $\Phi_s$  **where**

$\Phi_s n = \text{run-pure-adv } n (\lambda i. \text{UA } i) (\lambda -. \text{not-}S\text{-embed } S) \text{ init } X Y H$

We ensure that the  $\Phi_s$  is the same as the left part of  $\Psi_{\text{count}}$  (ie.  $\text{run-}B\text{-count}$ ) with right part  $| 0 \rangle$ .

**lemma**  $\Psi_s\text{-run-}B\text{-count-up-to-eq-}\Phi_s$ :

**assumes**  $i < d+1$

**shows**  $\Psi_s 0 (\text{run-}B\text{-count-up-to } i) = \Phi_s i$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Analogously,  $\Phi_s$  is the same as the left part of  $\Psi_{\text{right}}$  (ie.  $\text{run-}B$ ) with right part  $| \text{embed } 0 \rangle$ .

**lemma**  $\Psi_s\text{-run-}B\text{-up-to-eq-}\Phi_s$ :

**assumes**  $i \leq d$

**shows**  $\Psi_s \text{empty } (\text{run-}B\text{-up-to } i) = \Phi_s i$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

For the version of o2h with  $\text{norm } UA \leq 1$ , we need to introduce the following error term: when an adversary does not terminate, we get an additional term in the Pfind.

**definition**  $P\text{-nonterm} = (\text{norm } \text{run-}B\text{-count})^2 - (\text{norm } \text{run-}B)^2$

The One-Way-to-Hiding Lemma for pure states. Intuition: The difference of two games where we may change queries on a set  $S$  in game  $B$  can be bounded by the fining event  $P\text{find}'$ . Proof idea: We introduce an intermediate game  $B_{count}$  and show first equivalence between  $A$  and the left part of  $B_{count}$  in  $| 0 \rangle$  and then equivalence of  $B_{count}$  and  $B$  in  $| 0 \rangle$ .

```

lemma pure-o2h: <(norm ((run-A  $\otimes_s$  ket empty) – run-B))2 ≤ (d+1) * Pfind' + d * P-nonterm>
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pure-o2h-sqrt: <norm ((run-A  $\otimes_s$  ket empty) – run-B) ≤ sqrt ((d+1) * Pfind' + d * P-nonterm)>
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma error-term-pos:
  (d+1) * Pfind' + d * P-nonterm ≥ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

end

unbundle no cblinfun-syntax
unbundle no lattice-syntax
unbundle no register-syntax

end
theory Estimation

imports Complex-Main

begin

```

## 6 Auxiliary lemma: Estimation

For the proof of the mixed state O2H, we need an auxiliary lemma on the square roots of sums.

```

lemma abc-ineq:
  assumes a≥0 b≥0 c≥0 |sqrt a – sqrt b| ≤ sqrt c
  shows a + b ≤ c + 2 * sqrt (a * b)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma two-ab-ineq:
  assumes a≥0 b≥0
  shows 2 * sqrt (a * b) ≤ a + b
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sqrt-estimate-real:
  assumes fin-M: finite M
  and pos-t: ∀ x∈M. t x ≥ (0::real)
  and pos-u: ∀ x∈M. u x ≥ (0::real)

```

```

and pos-v:  $\forall x \in M. v x \geq (0::real)$ 
and pos-a:  $\forall x \in M. a x \geq (0::real)$ 
and ineq:  $\forall x \in M. |\sqrt{t x} - \sqrt{u x}| \leq \sqrt{v x}$ 
shows  $|\sqrt{\sum x \in M. a x * t x} - \sqrt{\sum x \in M. a x * u x}| \leq \sqrt{\sum x \in M. a x * v x}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

end
theory Limit-Process

```

```
imports Run-Adversary
```

```
begin
```

```

unbundle cblinfun-syntax
unbundle lattice-syntax
unbundle register-syntax

```

## 7 Limit Processes

We need some concept of limes of Kraus families, i.e. finite Kraus maps tending to a Kraus map. Therefore, we define a filter on the Kraus family.

*kf-elems* is the set of Kraus maps with only one element that are part of the original Kraus map.

```

lift-definition kf-elems ::  

  ('a::chilbert-space, 'b::chilbert-space, unit) kraus-family  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b, unit) kraus-family set is  

   $\lambda E. (\lambda x. \{x\})`E$   

  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma kf-elems-Rep-kraus-family:  

  kf-elems  $\mathfrak{E} = (\lambda x. \text{Abs-kraus-family } \{x\})` \text{Rep-kraus-family } \mathfrak{E}$   

  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma kf-elems-finite:  

  assumes  $F \in \text{kf-elems } \mathfrak{E}$   

  shows finite (Rep-kraus-family  $F$ )  

  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma kf-bound-of-elems:  

  assumes  $F \in \text{kf-elems } E$   

  shows kf-bound  $F \leq \text{kf-bound } E$   

  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma kf-elems-card-1:  

  assumes  $F \in \text{kf-elems } E$   

  shows card (Rep-kraus-family  $F$ ) = 1

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma inj-on-kf-singleton:**

inj-on  $(\lambda x. \text{Abs-kraus-family } \{x\}) (\text{Rep-kraus-family } \mathfrak{E})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma kf-apply-singleton:**

fixes  $E :: \langle 'a::chilbert-space \Rightarrow_{CL} 'b::chilbert-space \times 'x \rangle$

assumes  $\langle \text{fst } E \neq 0 \rangle$

shows kf-apply  $(\text{Abs-kraus-family } \{E\}) \varrho = \text{sandwich-tc } (\text{fst } E) \varrho$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma kf-apply-summable-on-kf-elems:**

fixes  $\mathfrak{E} :: ('a::chilbert-space, 'b::chilbert-space, unit) \text{ kraus-family}$

shows  $(\lambda \mathfrak{F}. \text{kf-apply } \mathfrak{F} \varrho) \text{ summable-on } (\text{kf-elems } \mathfrak{E})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma kf-apply-has-sum-kf-elems:**

fixes  $\mathfrak{E} :: ('a::chilbert-space, 'b::chilbert-space, unit) \text{ kraus-family}$

shows  $((\lambda \mathfrak{F}. \text{kf-apply } \mathfrak{F} \varrho) \text{ has-sum } (\text{kf-apply } \mathfrak{E} \varrho)) (\text{kf-elems } \mathfrak{E})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma kf-apply-abs-summable-on-kf-elems:**

fixes  $\mathfrak{E} :: ('a::chilbert-space, 'b::chilbert-space, unit) \text{ kraus-family}$

shows  $(\lambda \mathfrak{F}. \text{kf-apply } \mathfrak{F} \varrho) \text{ abs-summable-on } (\text{kf-elems } \mathfrak{E})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Now, we can define a sub-adversary. An adversary is modeled by a sequence of  $n$  Kraus maps. A sub-adversary is then defined as a sequence of  $n$  elements of the respective Kraus maps. Adding all sub-adversaries together yields the original Kraus map.

**definition finite-kraus-subadv :: 'a kraus-adv  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a kraus-adv set where**

$\text{finite-kraus-subadv } \mathfrak{E} n = \text{PiE } \{0..<n+1\} (\lambda i. \text{kf-elems } (\mathfrak{E} i))$

**lemma finite-kraus-subadv-I:**

assumes  $f \in \text{finite-kraus-subadv } \mathfrak{E} n \ i < n+1$

shows  $f i \in \text{kf-elems } (\mathfrak{E} i)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma finite-kraus-subadv-rewrite:**

$\text{finite-kraus-subadv } \mathfrak{E} (\text{Suc } n) =$

$(\lambda(x, f). \text{fun-upd } f (\text{Suc } n) x) \ ' (\text{kf-elems } (\mathfrak{E} (\text{Suc } n)) \times \text{finite-kraus-subadv } \mathfrak{E} n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma finite-kraus-subadv-rewrite-inj:**

inj-on  $(\lambda(x, f). f (\text{Suc } n := x)) (\text{kf-elems } (\mathfrak{E} (\text{Suc } n)) \times \text{finite-kraus-subadv } \mathfrak{E} n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma norm-kf-apply-singleton-trace-tc:
  assumes  $0 \leq \varrho$  and  $\langle \text{fst } x \neq 0 \rangle$ 
  shows  $\text{norm}(\text{kf-apply}(\text{Abs-kraus-family}\{x\})\varrho) = \text{trace-tc}(\text{sandwich-tc}(\text{fst } x)\varrho)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma infsum-norm-kf-apply-step:
  assumes  $\varrho_n$ -summable:  $\varrho_n$  summable-on finite-kraus-subadv  $\mathfrak{E} n$ 
  and pos:  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{finite-kraus-subadv } \mathfrak{E} n \implies 0 \leq \varrho_n x$ 
  shows  $(\lambda x. \sum_{y \in \text{finite-kraus-subadv } \mathfrak{E} n. \text{norm}(\text{kf-apply } x(\varrho_n y))})$ 
    abs-summable-on kf-elems  $(\mathfrak{E} (\text{Suc } n))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

Run of adversary is summable on sub-adversaries.

```

lemma run-mixed-adv-greater-indifferent:
  assumes  $m > n$ 
  shows  $\text{run-mixed-adv } n(f(m := x)) \text{ UB init } X Y H = \text{run-mixed-adv } n f \text{ UB init } X Y H$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma run-mixed-adv-Suc-indifferent:
   $\text{run-mixed-adv } n(f(\text{Suc } n := x)) \text{ UB init } X Y H = \text{run-mixed-adv } n f \text{ UB init } X Y H$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma run-mixed-adv-abs-summable:
  fixes  $\mathfrak{E} :: \text{'a kraus-adv}$ 
  shows  $(\lambda f. \text{run-mixed-adv } n f \text{ UB init } X Y H)$  abs-summable-on (finite-kraus-subadv  $\mathfrak{E} n$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma run-mixed-adv-summable:
  fixes  $\mathfrak{E} :: \text{'a kraus-adv}$ 
  shows  $(\lambda f. \text{run-mixed-adv } n f \text{ UB init } X Y H)$  summable-on (finite-kraus-subadv  $\mathfrak{E} n$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma run-mixed-adv-has-sum:
  fixes  $\mathfrak{E} :: \text{'a kraus-adv}$ 
  shows  $((\lambda f. \text{run-mixed-adv } n f \text{ UB init } X Y H)$  has-sum  $\text{run-mixed-adv } n \mathfrak{E} \text{ UB init } X Y H)$ 
  (finite-kraus-subadv  $\mathfrak{E} n$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

Now, we cover limits for adversary runs in the O2H setting.

```

context o2h-setting
begin

```

```

lemma run-mixed-A-has-sum:
   $((\lambda f. \text{run-mixed-A } f H)$  has-sum  $\text{run-mixed-A kraus-A } H)$  (finite-kraus-subadv kraus-A d)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

**lemma** *run-mixed-B-has-sum*:  
 $((\lambda f. \text{run-mixed-adv } d f (\text{US } S) \text{ init-}B X\text{-for-}B Y\text{-for-}B H) \text{ has-sum run-mixed-}B \text{ kraus-}B H S)$   
 $(\text{finite-kraus-subadv } (\lambda n. \text{kf-Fst } (\text{kraus-}B n)) d)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *run-mixed-B-count-has-sum*:  
 $((\lambda f. \text{run-mixed-adv } d f (\lambda -. \text{U-}S' S) \text{ init-}B \text{-count } X\text{-for-}C Y\text{-for-}C H) \text{ has-sum run-mixed-}B \text{-count kraus-}B H S)$   
 $(\text{finite-kraus-subadv } (\lambda n. \text{kf-Fst } (\text{kraus-}B n)) d)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *kf-elems-kf-Fst*:  
 $\text{kf-elems } (\text{kf-Fst } \mathfrak{E}) = (\lambda f. \text{kf-Fst } f) \text{ ' kf-elems } \mathfrak{E}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *finite-kraus-subadv-Fst-invert*:  
 $\text{finite-kraus-subadv } (\lambda m. (\text{kf-Fst } :: \text{-}\Rightarrow((\text{'a } \times \text{ 'c}) \text{ ell2}, -, -) \text{ kraus-family}) (\mathfrak{E} m)) n =$   
 $(\lambda f. \lambda i \in \{0..<n+1\}. \text{kf-Fst } (f i)) \text{ ' } (\text{finite-kraus-subadv } \mathfrak{E} n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *inj-kf-Fst*:  $\langle E = F \rangle \text{ if } \langle \text{kf-Fst } E = \text{kf-Fst } F \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *inj-on-kf-Fst*:  
 $\text{inj-on } (\lambda f. \lambda n \in \{0..<n+1\}. (\text{kf-Fst } (f n) :: ((\text{'a } \times \text{ 'b}) \text{ ell2}, -, -) \text{ kraus-family}))$   
 $(\text{finite-kraus-subadv } \mathfrak{E} n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *run-mixed-adv-kf-Fst-restricted*:  
 $\text{run-mixed-adv } m (\lambda n. \text{kf-Fst } (f n)) U \text{ init'} X' Y' H =$   
 $\text{run-mixed-adv } m (\lambda n \in \{0..<m+1\}. \text{kf-Fst } (f n)) U \text{ init'} X' Y' H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *run-mixed-B-has-sum'*:  
 $((\lambda f. \text{run-mixed-}B f H S) \text{ has-sum run-mixed-}B \text{ kraus-}B H S) (\text{finite-kraus-subadv } \text{kraus-}B d)$   
 $(\text{is } (?f \text{ has-sum } ?x) \text{ ?A})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *run-mixed-B-count-has-sum'*:

```
((λf. run-mixed-B-count f H S) has-sum run-mixed-B-count kraus-B H S) (finite-kraus-subadv
kraus-B d)
(is (?f has-sum ?x) ?A)
⟨proof⟩
```

Limit with finite sums

```
lemma has-sum-finite-sum:
fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'c:: {comm-monoid-add,topological-space, topological-comm-monoid-add}
assumes ∏val. (f val has-sum g val) A finite S
shows ((λx. (∑ val ∈ S. f val x)) has-sum (∑ val ∈ S. g val)) A
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma fin-subadv-fin-Rep-kraus-family:
assumes F ∈ finite-kraus-subadv E n i < n+1 n < d+1
shows finite (Rep-kraus-family (F i))
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma fin-subadv-bound-leq-id:
assumes F ∈ finite-kraus-subadv E d
assumes i < d+1
assumes E-norm-id: ∏i. i < d+1 ⇒ kf-bound (E i) ≤ id-cblinfun
shows kf-bound (F i) ≤ id-cblinfun
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma fin-subadv-nonzero:
assumes F ∈ finite-kraus-subadv E n i < n+1 n < d+1
shows Rep-kraus-family (F i) ≠ {}
⟨proof⟩
```

end

```
unbundle no cblinfun-syntax
unbundle no lattice-syntax
unbundle no register-syntax
```

```
end
theory Purification
```

```
imports Run-Adversary
```

```
begin
context o2h-setting
begin
```

```
unbundle cblinfun-syntax
```

```
unbundle lattice-syntax
unbundle register-syntax
```

## 8 Purification of the Adversary

Purification of composed kraus maps.

```
definition purify-comp-kraus ::  

  nat  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('a::chilbert-space, 'b::chilbert-space, 'c) kraus-family)  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow_{CL}$  'b)  

set where  

  purify-comp-kraus n  $\mathfrak{E}$  = PiE {0..<n+1} ( $\lambda i.$  (fst ' (Rep-kraus-family ( $\mathfrak{E}$  i))))
```

```
definition comp-upto :: (nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('a::chilbert-space)  $\Rightarrow_{CL}$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow_{CL}$  'a where  

  comp-upto f n = fold ( $\lambda i x.$  f i oCL x) [0..<n+1] id-cblinfun
```

Some auxiliary lemmas on injectivity, Fst and finiteness.

```
lemma Rep-kf-id:  

  Rep-kraus-family kf-id = {(id-cblinfun :: 'a  $\Rightarrow_{CL}$  'a::{ chilbert-space, not-singleton },())}  

  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma fst-Rep-kf-Fst:  

  fixes  $\mathfrak{E}$  :: ('a ell2, 'b ell2, unit) kraus-family  

  shows fst ' (Rep-kraus-family (kf-Fst  $\mathfrak{E}$ )) = Fst ' (fst ' (Rep-kraus-family  $\mathfrak{E}$ ))  

  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma inj-on-Fst:  

  shows inj-on Fst A  

  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma finite-kf-Fst:  

  fixes  $\mathfrak{E}$  :: ('mem ell2, 'mem ell2, unit) kraus-family  

  assumes finite (Rep-kraus-family  $\mathfrak{E}$ )  

  shows finite (Rep-kraus-family (kf-Fst  $\mathfrak{E}$ ))  

  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma finite-kf-id:  

  finite (Rep-kraus-family kf-id)  

  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma inj-on-fst-Rep-kraus-family:  

  fixes  $\mathfrak{E}$  :: ('a ell2, 'b ell2, unit) kraus-family  

  shows inj-on fst (Rep-kraus-family  $\mathfrak{E}$ )  

  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma comp-kraus-maps-set-finite:
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i < n+1 \implies \text{finite}(\text{Rep-kraus-family}(\mathfrak{E} i))$ 
  shows  $\text{finite}(\text{purify-comp-kraus } n \mathfrak{E})$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

```

Showing conditions of Kraus maps.

```

lemma norm-square-in-kraus-map:
  fixes  $\mathfrak{E} :: ('a ell2, 'a ell2, unit) \text{kraus-family}$ 
  assumes kf-bound  $\mathfrak{E} \leq \text{id-cblinfun}$ 
  assumes  $U \in \text{fst} \text{'Rep-kraus-family}' \mathfrak{E}$ 
  shows  $U^* o_{CL} U \leq \text{id-cblinfun}$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

```

```

lemma norm-in-kraus-map:
  fixes  $\mathfrak{E} :: ('a ell2, 'a ell2, unit) \text{kraus-family}$ 
  assumes kf-bound  $\mathfrak{E} \leq \text{id-cblinfun}$ 
  assumes  $U \in \text{fst} \text{'Rep-kraus-family}' \mathfrak{E}$ 
  shows  $\text{norm } U \leq 1$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

```

```

lemma purify-comp-kraus-in-kraus-family:
  assumes  $UA \in \text{purify-comp-kraus } n \mathfrak{E} j < n+1$ 
  shows  $UA j \in \text{fst} \text{'Rep-kraus-family}' (\mathfrak{E} j)$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

```

```

lemma norm-in-purify-comp-kraus:
  fixes  $\mathfrak{E} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a ell2, 'a ell2, unit) \text{kraus-family}$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i < n+1 \implies \text{kf-bound}(\mathfrak{E} i) \leq \text{id-cblinfun}$ 
  assumes  $UA \in \text{purify-comp-kraus } n \mathfrak{E}$ 
  shows  $\bigwedge i. i < n+1 \implies \text{norm}(UA i) \leq 1$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

```

```

lemma run-pure-adv-tc-over:
  assumes  $m > n$ 
  shows  $\text{run-pure-adv-tc } n (UA(m := x)) UB \text{ init}' X' Y' H = \text{run-pure-adv-tc } n UA UB \text{ init}' X' Y' H$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

```

```

lemma run-pure-adv-tc-Fst-over:
  assumes  $m > n$ 
  shows  $\text{run-pure-adv-tc } n (Fst o UA(m := x)) UB \text{ init}' X' Y' H =$ 
     $\text{run-pure-adv-tc } n (Fst o UA) UB \text{ init}' X' Y' H$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

```

Purifications of the adversarial runs.

```

lemma purification-run-mixed-adv:
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i < n+1 \implies \text{finite}(\text{Rep-kraus-family}(\mathfrak{E} i))$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i < n+1 \implies \text{fst} \text{'Rep-kraus-family}' (\mathfrak{E} i) \neq \{\}$ 

```

```

shows run-mixed-adv n  $\mathfrak{E}$  UB init' X' Y' H =
 $(\sum UAs \in \text{purify-comp-kraus } n \mathfrak{E}. \text{run-pure-adv-tc } n UAs \text{ UB init' X' Y' H})$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma purification-run-mixed-A:
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \implies \text{finite}(\text{Rep-kraus-family}(\mathfrak{E} i))$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \implies \text{fst} ' \text{Rep-kraus-family}(\mathfrak{E} i) \neq \{\}$ 
shows run-mixed-A  $\mathfrak{E} H = (\sum UAs \in \text{purify-comp-kraus } d \mathfrak{E}. \text{run-pure-A-tc } UAs H)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma purification-run-mixed-B:
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \implies \text{finite}(\text{Rep-kraus-family}(\mathfrak{E} i))$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \implies \text{fst} ' \text{Rep-kraus-family}(\mathfrak{E} i) \neq \{\}$ 
shows run-mixed-B  $\mathfrak{E} H S = (\sum UAs \in \text{purify-comp-kraus } d \mathfrak{E}. \text{run-pure-B-tc } UAs H S)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma purification-run-mixed-B-count-prep:
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \implies \text{finite}(\text{Rep-kraus-family}(\mathfrak{E} i))$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \implies \text{fst} ' \text{Rep-kraus-family}(\mathfrak{E} i) \neq \{\}$ 
assumes  $n < d+1$ 
shows run-mixed-adv n  $(\lambda n. kf-Fst(\mathfrak{E} n)) (\lambda n. U-S' S)$ 
init-B-count X-for-C Y-for-C H =
 $(\sum UAs \in (\Pi_E i \in \{0..n+1\}. fst ' \text{Rep-kraus-family}(\mathfrak{E} i)).$ 
 $\text{run-pure-adv-tc } n (Fst \circ UAs) (\lambda n. U-S' S) \text{ init-B-count X-for-C}$ 
 $Y\text{-for-}C H)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma purification-run-mixed-B-count:
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \implies \text{finite}(\text{Rep-kraus-family}(\mathfrak{E} i))$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \implies \text{fst} ' \text{Rep-kraus-family}(\mathfrak{E} i) \neq \{\}$ 
shows run-mixed-B-count  $\mathfrak{E} H S = (\sum UAs \in \text{purify-comp-kraus } d \mathfrak{E}. \text{run-pure-B-count-tc } UAs H S)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

Purification of *kf-Fst*

```

lemma purification-kf-Fst:
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i < n+1 \implies \text{fst} ' \text{Rep-kraus-family}(F i) \neq \{\}$ 
assumes  $x \in \text{purify-comp-kraus } n (\lambda n. kf-Fst(F n)::((a \times 'c) \text{ ell2}, (b \times 'c) \text{ ell2}, \text{unit})$ 
kraus-family})
shows  $\exists UA. x = (\lambda a. \text{if } a < n+1 \text{ then } (Fst(UA a)::(a \times 'c) \text{ ell2} \Rightarrow_{CL} (b \times 'c) \text{ ell2}) \text{ else }$ 
 $\text{undefined})$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

**end**

```

unbundle no cblinfun-syntax
unbundle no lattice-syntax
unbundle no register-syntax

```

```
end
```

```
theory Mixed-O2H
```

```
imports Pure-O2H
```

```
  Estimation
```

```
  Run-Adversary
```

```
  Limit-Process
```

```
  Purification
```

```
begin
```

## 9 Mixed O2H Setting and Preliminaries

```
hide-const (open) Determinants.trace
```

```
locale mixed-o2h = o2h-setting TYPE('x) TYPE('y::group-add) TYPE('mem) TYPE('l) +
— We fix the distributions on H and S. (They might be correlated.) So far, we assume that they are discrete distributions and model them in the following way:
```

```

fixes carrier :: (('x ⇒ 'y) × ('x ⇒ bool) × -) set
fixes distr :: (('x ⇒ 'y) × ('x ⇒ bool) × -) ⇒ real

```

```

assumes distr-pos: ∀ (H,S,z) ∈ carrier. distr (H,S,z) ≥ 0
and distr-sum-1: (∑ (H,S,z) ∈ carrier. distr (H,S,z)) = 1
and finite-carrier: finite carrier

```

```

fixes E:: 'mem kraus-adv
assumes E-norm-id: ∀ i. i < d+1 ⇒ kf-bound (E i) ≤ id-cblinfun
assumes E-nonzero: ∀ i. i < d+1 ⇒ Rep-kraus-family (E i) ≠ {}

```

```

fixes P:: 'mem update
assumes is-Proj-P: is-Proj P

```

```
begin
```

```
lemma norm-P:
```

```
  norm P ≤ 1
```

```
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma distr-pos':
  assumes  $(H,S,z) \in \text{carrier}$  shows  $\text{distr}(H,S,z) \geq 0$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma norm-Fst-P:
   $\text{norm}(\text{Fst } P :: (\text{'mem} \times \text{'a}) \text{ update}) \leq 1$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

## 9.1 Final states

```

definition  $\varrho_{\text{left-pure}} :: (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{'mem update}) \Rightarrow \text{'mem tc-op where}$ 
 $\varrho_{\text{left-pure}} \text{UA} = (\sum (H,S,z) \in \text{carrier}. \text{distr}(H,S,z) *_C \text{run-pure-A-tc} \text{UA} H)$ 

```

```

definition  $\varrho_{\text{left}} :: \text{'mem kraus-adv} \Rightarrow \text{'mem tc-op where}$ 
 $\varrho_{\text{left}} F = (\sum (H,S,z) \in \text{carrier}. \text{distr}(H,S,z) *_C \text{run-mixed-A} F H)$ 

```

```

definition  $\varrho_{\text{right-pure}} :: (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{'mem update}) \Rightarrow (\text{'mem} \times \text{'l}) \text{ tc-op where}$ 
 $\varrho_{\text{right-pure}} \text{UA} = (\sum (H,S,z) \in \text{carrier}. \text{distr}(H,S,z) *_C \text{run-pure-B-tc} \text{UA} H S)$ 

```

```

definition  $\varrho_{\text{right}} :: \text{'mem kraus-adv} \Rightarrow (\text{'mem} \times \text{'l}) \text{ tc-op where}$ 
 $\varrho_{\text{right}} F = (\sum (H,S,z) \in \text{carrier}. \text{distr}(H,S,z) *_C \text{run-mixed-B} F H S)$ 

```

```

definition  $\varrho_{\text{count-pure}} :: (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{'mem update}) \Rightarrow (\text{'mem} \times \text{nat}) \text{ tc-op where}$ 
 $\varrho_{\text{count-pure}} \text{UA} = (\sum (H,S,z) \in \text{carrier}. \text{distr}(H,S,z) *_C \text{run-pure-B-count-tc} \text{UA} H S)$ 

```

```

definition  $\varrho_{\text{count}} :: \text{'mem kraus-adv} \Rightarrow (\text{'mem} \times \text{nat}) \text{ tc-op where}$ 
 $\varrho_{\text{count}} F = (\sum (H,S,z) \in \text{carrier}. \text{distr}(H,S,z) *_C \text{run-mixed-B-count} F H S)$ 

```

Positivity

```

lemma  $\varrho_{\text{left-pure-pos}} : 0 \leq \varrho_{\text{left-pure}} \text{UA}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $\varrho_{\text{left-pos}} : 0 \leq \varrho_{\text{left}} F$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $\varrho_{\text{right-pure-pos}} : 0 \leq \varrho_{\text{right-pure}} \text{UA}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $\varrho_{\text{right-pos}} : 0 \leq \varrho_{\text{right}} F$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $\varrho_{\text{count-pure-pos}} : 0 \leq \varrho_{\text{count-pure}} \text{UA}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $\varrho_{\text{count-pos}} : 0 \leq \varrho_{\text{count}} F$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

Norm leq 1, trace-preserving adversary states have norm 1

**lemma** *norm- $\varrho_{left}$ :*

*norm* ( $\varrho_{left} E$ )  $\leq 1$

*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *norm- $\varrho_{right}$ :*

*norm* ( $\varrho_{right} E$ )  $\leq 1$

*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *norm- $\varrho_{count}$ :*

*norm* ( $\varrho_{count} E$ )  $\leq 1$

*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *trace-preserving-norm- $\varrho_{right}$ :*

**assumes**  $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \implies km\text{-trace-preserving } (kf\text{-apply}$

$(kf\text{-Fst } (E i)::((\text{'mem} \times \text{'l}) \text{ ell2}, (\text{'mem} \times \text{'l}) \text{ ell2}, \text{unit}) \text{ kraus-family}))$

**shows** *norm* ( $\varrho_{right} E$ )  $= 1$

*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *trace-preserving-norm- $\varrho_{count}$ :*

**assumes**  $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \implies km\text{-trace-preserving } (kf\text{-apply}$

$(kf\text{-Fst } (E i)::((\text{'mem} \times \text{nat}) \text{ ell2}, (\text{'mem} \times \text{nat}) \text{ ell2}, \text{unit}) \text{ kraus-family}))$

**shows** *norm* ( $\varrho_{count} E$ )  $= 1$

*⟨proof⟩*

Summability and Infsums

**lemma** *from-trace-class- $\varrho_{right}$ -pure:*

*from-trace-class* ( $\varrho_{right}$ -pure  $UA$ )  $= (\sum (H,S,z) \in carrier. \text{distr } (H,S,z) *_C \text{run-pure-B-update } UA H S)$

*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *has-sum-scaleC-tc:*

**fixes**  $x :: (\text{'a::chilbert-space}, \text{'a}) \text{ trace-class}$

**assumes** ( $f$  has-sum  $x$ )  $A$

**shows**  $((\lambda y. c *_C f y) \text{ has-sum } c *_C x) A$

*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma**  *$\varrho_{left}$ -has-sum:*

( $\varrho_{left}$  has-sum  $\varrho_{left} E$ ) ( $\text{finite-kraus-subadv } E d$ )

*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma**  *$\varrho_{right}$ -has-sum:*

(( $\lambda f. \varrho_{right} f$ ) has-sum  $\varrho_{right} E$ ) ( $\text{finite-kraus-subadv } E d$ )

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\varrho_{right\text{-}abs\text{-}summable}$ :  
 $\varrho_{right\text{-}abs\text{-}summable\text{-}on} (\text{finite-kraus-subadv } E d)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\varrho_{count\text{-}has\text{-}sum}$ :  
 $(\varrho_{count\text{-}has\text{-}sum} \varrho_{count} E) (\text{finite-kraus-subadv } E d)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Connection pure and mixed states

**lemma**  $\varrho_{left\text{-}pure\text{-}mixed}$ :  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge i. i < d + 1 \implies \text{finite} (\text{Rep-kraus-family} (F i))$   
 $\bigwedge i. i < d + 1 \implies \text{fst} ' \text{Rep-kraus-family} (F i) \neq \{\}$   
**shows**  $\varrho_{left} F = (\sum UAs \in \text{purify-comp-kraus } d F. \varrho_{left\text{-}pure} UAs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\varrho_{right\text{-}pure\text{-}mixed}$ :  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge i. i < d + 1 \implies \text{finite} (\text{Rep-kraus-family} (F i))$   
 $\bigwedge i. i < d + 1 \implies \text{fst} ' \text{Rep-kraus-family} (F i) \neq \{\}$   
**shows**  $\varrho_{right} F = (\sum UAs \in \text{purify-comp-kraus } d F. \varrho_{right\text{-}pure} UAs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\varrho_{count\text{-}pure\text{-}mixed}$ :  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge i. i < d + 1 \implies \text{finite} (\text{Rep-kraus-family} (F i))$   
 $\bigwedge i. i < d + 1 \implies \text{fst} ' \text{Rep-kraus-family} (F i) \neq \{\}$   
**shows**  $\varrho_{count} F = (\sum UAs \in \text{purify-comp-kraus } d F. \varrho_{count\text{-}pure} UAs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 9.2 Measurement at the end

Measurement at the end of the adversary run. *end-measure* measures whether there was a find element (event "Find").

**definition**  $\text{end-measure} :: ('mem \times 'l) \text{ update where}$   
 $\text{end-measure} = \text{Snd} (\text{id-cblinfun} - \text{selfbutter} (\text{ket empty}))$

**lemma**  $\text{is-}\varrho_{Proj}\text{-}\text{Snd}$ :  
**assumes**  $\text{is-}\varrho_{Proj} f$   
**shows**  $\text{is-}\varrho_{Proj} (\text{Snd } f)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{is-}\varrho_{Proj}\text{-end-measure}$ :  
 $\text{is-}\varrho_{Proj} \text{end-measure}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma Proj-end-measure:
  Proj (end-measure *S  $\top$ ) = end-measure
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma norm-end-measure:
  norm (end-measure) ≤ 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma end-measure-butterfly:
  sandwich end-measure (selfbutter  $\Psi$ ) = selfbutter (end-measure *V  $\Psi$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma trace-end-measure:
  trace (end-measure oCL selfbutter  $\Psi$ ) = (complex-of-real (norm (end-measure *V  $\Psi$ )))2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma trace-endmeasure-pos:
  assumes  $\varrho \geq 0$ 
  shows trace-tc (compose-ter end-measure  $\varrho$ ) ≥ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma trace-class-end-measure:
  assumes trace-class a
  shows trace-class (end-measure oCL a)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma abs-op-id-cblinfun [simp]:
  abs-op id-cblinfun = id-cblinfun
  ⟨proof⟩

```

## 10 empty-tc is the trace-class representative of the 0.

```

definition empty-tc :: 'l tc-op where
  empty-tc = Abs-trace-class (selfbutter (ket empty))

```

```

lemma norm-empty-tc:
  norm empty-tc = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma empty-tc-pos: 0 ≤ empty-tc
  ⟨proof⟩

```

### 10.1 Projective measurement PM

The projective measurement PM Q at the end

```

definition PM-update :: ('mem × 'l) update ⇒ ('mem × 'l) update ⇒ complex where
  PM-update Q  $\varrho$  = trace (sandwich Q  $\varrho$ )

```

**lemma** *PM-update-linear*:  
**assumes** *trace-class*  $\varrho$  *trace-class*  $\psi$   
**shows** *PM-update*  $Q(\varrho + \psi) = \text{PM-update } Q \varrho + \text{PM-update } Q \psi$   
*(proof)*

**definition**  $PM :: ('mem \times 'l) \text{ update} \Rightarrow ('mem \times 'l) \text{ tc-op} \Rightarrow \text{complex}$  **where**  
 $PM Q = \text{PM-update } Q \circ \text{from-trace-class}$

**lemma** *PM-altdef*:  
 $PM Q \varrho = \text{trace-tc} (\text{sandwich-tc } Q \varrho)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *PM-linear*:  
 $PM Q (\varrho + \psi) = PM Q \varrho + PM Q \psi$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *PM-sum-distr*:  
 $PM Q (\text{sum } f S) = \text{sum} (PM Q \circ f) S$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *PM-scale*:  
 $PM Q (a *_C \varrho) = a * PM Q \varrho$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *PM-case*:  
 $PM Q (\text{case } x \text{ of } (H, S, z) \Rightarrow f H S) = (\text{case } x \text{ of } (H, S, z) \Rightarrow PM Q (f H S))$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *PM-Re*:  
**assumes**  $\varrho \geq 0$   
**shows**  $\text{Re} (PM Q \varrho) = PM Q \varrho$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *PM-pos*:  
**assumes**  $\varrho \geq 0$   
**shows**  $\text{PM } Q \varrho \geq 0$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Re-PM-pos*:  
**assumes**  $\varrho \geq 0$   
**shows**  $\text{Re} (PM Q \varrho) \geq 0$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *norm-PM*:  
**assumes**  $\text{norm } \varrho \leq 1$   $\text{norm } Q \leq 1$   
**shows**  $\text{norm} (PM Q \varrho) \leq 1$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *PM-bounded-linear*:  
**shows** *bounded-linear (PM Q)*  
*(proof)*

has\_sum property of PM

**lemma** *PM-has-sum*:  
**assumes** *(f has-sum x) A*  
**shows** *(PM Q o f has-sum PM Q x) A*  
*(proof)*

## 10.2 Pright and Pleft'

**definition** *Pleft' where Pleft' Q = Re (PM Q (tc-tensor (qleft E) empty-tc))*

**definition** *Pleft where Pleft Q = Re (trace-tc (sandwich-tc Q (qleft E)))*

**lemma** *trace-tensor-tc*:  
*trace-tc (tc-tensor a b) = trace-tc a \* trace-tc b*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Pleft-Pleft'*:  
**assumes** *sandwich-tc A empty-tc = tc-selfbutter (ket empty)*  
**shows** *Pleft Q = Pleft' (Q ⊗\_o A)*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Pleft-Pleft'-empty*:  
*Pleft Q = Pleft' (Q ⊗\_o selfbutter (ket empty))*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Pleft-Pleft'-id*:  
*Pleft Q = Pleft' (Q ⊗\_o id-cblinfun)*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Pleft-Pleft'-case5*:  
**assumes** *is-Proj Q*  
**shows** *Pleft Q = Pleft' (Q ⊗\_o selfbutter (ket empty) + end-measure)*  
*(proof)*

**definition** *Pright where Pright Q = Re (PM Q (qright E))*

**lemma** *Re-PM-left-has-sum*:  
 $((\lambda F. \text{Re} (\text{PM } Q (\text{tc-tensor} (\text{qleft } F) \text{ empty-tc}))) \text{ has-sum Pleft' } Q)$   
*(finite-kraus-subadv E d)*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Re-PM-right-has-sum*:  
 $((\lambda F. \text{Re}(\text{PM } Q (\varrho_{\text{right}} F))) \text{ has-sum } \text{Pr}_{\text{right}}(Q) \text{ (finite-kraus-subadv } E \text{ d)})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 10.3 Pfind

The definition of the find event

**definition** *Pfind-update* ::  
 $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{'mem update}) \Rightarrow ('x \Rightarrow 'y) \Rightarrow ('x \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{complex where}$   
 $\text{Pfind-update } UA H S = \text{trace}(\text{end-measure } o_{CL}(\text{run-pure-B-update } UA H S))$

**definition** *Pfind-pure* ::  $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{'mem update}) \Rightarrow \text{complex where}$   
 $\text{Pfind-pure } UA = \text{trace-tc}(\text{compose-tcr end-measure } (\varrho_{\text{right-pure}} UA))$

**definition** *Pfind* ::  $\text{'mem kraus-adv} \Rightarrow \text{complex where}$   
 $\text{Pfind } F = \text{trace-tc}(\text{compose-tcr end-measure } (\varrho_{\text{right}} F))$

**lemma** *Pfind-altdef*:  
 $\text{Pfind } E = \text{Pr}_{\text{right}} \text{ end-measure}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Pfind-Pright*:  
 $\text{Re}(\text{Pfind } E) = \text{Pr}_{\text{right}} \text{ end-measure}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Write mixed in pure states, pure in updates and connect updates to *pure-o2h* version.

**lemma** *Re-Pfind-update-altdef*:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \implies \text{norm}(UA i) \leq 1$   
**shows**  $\text{Re}(\text{Pfind-update } UA H S) = \text{pure-o2h.Pfind}' X Y d \text{ init flip empty } H S UA$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Pfind-pure-update*:  
 $\text{Pfind-pure } UA = (\sum (H, S, z) \in \text{carrier. distr } (H, S, z) * \text{Pfind-update } UA H S)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Pfind-pure-mixed*:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge i. i < d + 1 \implies \text{finite}(\text{Rep-kraus-family } (F i))$   
 $\bigwedge i. i < d + 1 \implies \text{fst } \text{'Rep-kraus-family } (F i) \neq \{\}$   
**shows**  $\text{Pfind } F = (\sum UA \in \text{purify-comp-kraus } d F. \text{Pfind-pure } UA)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Pfind positivity

**lemma** *Pfind-pure-pos*:  
 $\text{Pfind-pure } UA \geq 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Pfind-pos*:  
*Pfind F ≥ 0* ⟨proof⟩

*Pfind* is already real

**lemma** *Re-Pfind-update*:  
 $Re(Pfind\text{-}update\ UA\ H\ S) = Pfind\text{-}update\ UA\ H\ S$   
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *Re-Pfind-pure*:  
 $Re(Pfind\text{-}pure\ UA) = Pfind\text{-}pure\ UA$   
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *Re-Pfind*:  
 $Re(Pfind\ F) = Pfind\ F$   
⟨proof⟩

*Pfind*, (*has-sum*), and (*summable-on*) properties

**lemma** *Pfind-abs-summable-on*:  
*Pfind abs-summable-on (finite-kraus-subadv E d)*  
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *Pfind-summable-on*:  
*Pfind summable-on (finite-kraus-subadv E d)*  
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *Pfind-has-sum*:  
 $((\lambda F. Pfind\ F)\ has\text{-}sum\ Pfind\ E) (finite\text{-}kraus\text{-}subadv\ E\ d)$   
⟨proof⟩

## 10.4 Nontermination Part

This introduces the non-termination part needed for pure o2h with *norm UA ≤ 1*.

**definition** *P-nonterm-update*:: $('x \Rightarrow 'y) \Rightarrow ('x \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow '\text{mem update}) \Rightarrow \text{real}$  **where**  
*P-nonterm-update H S UA* =  
 $Re(\text{trace}(\text{run-pure-B-count-update}\ UA\ H\ S) - \text{trace}(\text{run-pure-B-update}\ UA\ H\ S))$

**definition** *P-nonterm-pure*:: $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow '\text{mem ell2} \Rightarrow_{CL} '\text{mem ell2}) \Rightarrow \text{real}$  **where**  
*P-nonterm-pure UA* =  $Re(\text{trace-tc}(\varrho\text{count-pure}\ UA) - \text{trace-tc}(\varrho\text{right-pure}\ UA))$

**definition** *P-nonterm* ::  $'\text{mem kraus-adv} \Rightarrow \text{real}$  **where**  
*P-nonterm F* =  $Re(\text{trace-tc}(\varrho\text{count}\ F) - \text{trace-tc}(\varrho\text{right}\ F))$

Connecting mixed with pure, pure with updates and updates with *pure-o2h* version.

**lemma** *P-nonterm-update-altdef*:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \implies \text{norm}(UA\ i) \leq 1$   
**shows** *P-nonterm-update H S UA* = *pure-o2h.P-nonterm X Y d init flip empty H S UA*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *P-nonterm-pure-update*:

*P-nonterm-pure UA* =  $(\sum (H, S, z) \in carrier. distr (H, S, z)) * P\text{-nonterm-update } H S UA$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *P-nonterm-purification*:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge i. i < d + 1 \implies \text{finite} (\text{Rep-kraus-family} (F i))$   
 $\bigwedge i. i < d + 1 \implies \text{Rep-kraus-family} (F i) \neq \{\}$   
**shows** *P-nonterm F* =  $(\sum UA \in \text{purify-comp-kraus } d F. P\text{-nonterm-pure } UA)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Positive error term

**lemma** *error-term-update-pos*:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge i. i < d + 1 \implies \text{norm} (UA i) \leq 1$   
**shows**  $0 \leq (d+1) * \text{Re} (P\text{find-update } UA H S) + d * (P\text{-nonterm-update } H S UA)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *error-term-pure-pos*:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge i. i < d + 1 \implies \text{norm} (UA i) \leq 1$   
**shows**  $0 \leq (d+1) * \text{Re} (P\text{find-pure } UA) + d * (P\text{-nonterm-pure } UA)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *error-term-pos*:

**assumes**  $\text{finite}: \bigwedge i. i < d + 1 \implies \text{finite} (\text{Rep-kraus-family} (F i))$   
**and**  $F\text{-norm-id}: \bigwedge i. i < d + 1 \implies \text{kf-bound} (F i) \leq id\text{-cblinfun}$   
**and**  $F\text{-nonzero}: \bigwedge i. i < d + 1 \implies \text{Rep-kraus-family} (F i) \neq \{\}$   
**shows**  $0 \leq (d + 1) * \text{Re} (P\text{find } F) + d * P\text{-nonterm } F$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

has sum property

**lemma** *P-nonterm-has-sum*:

$((\lambda F. P\text{-nonterm } F) \text{ has-sum } P\text{-nonterm } E) (\text{finite-kraus-subadv } E d)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 11 Proof of Mixed O2H

We prove the mixed O2H in several steps.

Step 1: Connect the updates version to the *pure-o2h* lemma

**lemma** *estimate-Pfind-update-sqrt*:

**fixes** *UA H S*  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge i. i < d + 1 \implies \text{norm} (UA i) \leq 1$   
**and**  $\text{norm-Q}: \text{norm } Q \leq 1$   
**shows**  $|\sqrt{\text{Re} (P\text{M-update } Q ((\text{run-pure-A-update } UA H) \otimes_o (\text{selfbutter} (\text{ket empty}))))})| -$

```

sqrt (Re (PM-update Q (run-pure-B-update UA H S)))|
≤ sqrt ((d+1) * Re (Pfind-update UA H S) + d * P-nonterm-update H S UA)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma estimate-Pfind-tc-sqrt:
  fixes UA H S
  assumes ∀i. i < d+1 ⇒ norm (UA i) ≤ 1 norm Q ≤ 1
  shows |sqrt (Re (PM Q (tc-tensor (run-pure-A-tc UA H) empty-tc))) −
        sqrt (Re (PM Q (run-pure-B-tc UA H S)))|
    ≤ sqrt ((d+1) * Re (Pfind-update UA H S) + d * (P-nonterm-update H S UA))
⟨proof⟩

```

Step 2: Connect the pure version with the update version by summation over the distribution of H and S

```

lemma estimate-Pfind-pure-sqrt:
  fixes UA
  assumes ∀i. i < d+1 ⇒ norm (UA i) ≤ 1 norm Q ≤ 1
  shows |sqrt (Re (PM Q (tc-tensor (gleft-pure UA) empty-tc))) − sqrt (Re (PM Q (gright-pure
    UA)))|
    ≤ sqrt (real (d + 1) * Re (Pfind-pure UA) + d * P-nonterm-pure UA)
⟨proof⟩

```

Step 3: prove the mixed O2H only for finite kraus maps using the pure version

```

lemma estimate-Pfind-finite-sqrt:
  assumes finite: ∀i. i < d+1 ⇒ finite (Rep-kraus-family (F i))
    and F-norm-id: ∀i. i < d+1 ⇒ kf-bound (F i) ≤ id-cblinfun
    and F-nonzero: ∀i. i < d+1 ⇒ Rep-kraus-family (F i) ≠ {}
    and norm-Q: norm Q ≤ 1
  shows |csqrt (PM Q (tc-tensor (gleft F) empty-tc)) − csqrt (PM Q (gright F))| ≤
    csqrt ((d+1) * Pfind F + d * P-nonterm F)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma estimate-Pfind-finite-sqrt':
  assumes finite: ∀i. i < d+1 ⇒ finite (Rep-kraus-family (F i))
    and F-norm-id: ∀i. i < d+1 ⇒ kf-bound (F i) ≤ id-cblinfun
    and F-nonzero: ∀i. i < d+1 ⇒ Rep-kraus-family (F i) ≠ {}
    and norm-Q: norm Q ≤ 1
  shows |sqrt (Re (PM Q (tc-tensor (gleft F) empty-tc))) − sqrt (Re (PM Q (gright F)))| ≤
    sqrt ((d+1) * Re (Pfind F) + d * P-nonterm F)
⟨proof⟩

```

Step 4: Prove the mixed O2H for possibly infinite kraus maps using a limit process from finite to infinite kraus maps

```

lemma Re-Pfind-has-sum:
  ((λF. (1 + real d) * Re (Pfind F)) has-sum (1 + real d) * Re (Pfind E)) (finite-kraus-subadv
  E d)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** scale-P-nonterm-has-sum:  
 $((\lambda F. \text{real } d * P\text{-nonterm } F) \text{ has-sum real } d * P\text{-nonterm } E) \text{ (finite-kraus-subadv } E \text{ d)}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** estimate-Pfind-sqrt:  
**assumes** norm-Q: norm Q  $\leq 1$   
**shows**  $|\sqrt{Pleft' Q} - \sqrt{Pright Q}| \leq \sqrt{(d+1) * Re(Pfind E) + d * P\text{-nonterm } E}$   
 $(\text{is } ?left \leq ?right)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** estimate-Pfind:  
**assumes** norm-Q: norm Q  $\leq 1$   
**shows**  
 $|Pleft' Q - Pright Q| \leq 2 * \sqrt{(d+1) * Re(Pfind E) + d * P\text{-nonterm } E}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end  
end  
**theory** O2H-Theorem

imports Mixed-O2H

begin

unbundle cblinfun-syntax  
unbundle lattice-syntax  
unbundle register-syntax

## 12 General O2H Setting and Theorem

General O2H setting

**locale** o2h-theorem = o2h-setting TYPE('x) TYPE('y::group-add) TYPE('mem) TYPE('l) +  
**fixes** carrier ::  $(('x \Rightarrow 'y) \times ('x \Rightarrow 'y) \times ('x \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \times -) \text{ set}$   
**fixes** distr ::  $(('x \Rightarrow 'y) \times ('x \Rightarrow 'y) \times ('x \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \times -) \Rightarrow \text{real}$   
**assumes** distr-pos:  $\forall (H, G, S, z) \in \text{carrier}. \text{distr } (H, G, S, z) \geq 0$   
**and** distr-sum-1:  $(\sum (H, G, S, z) \in \text{carrier}. \text{distr } (H, G, S, z)) = 1$   
**and** finite-carrier: finite carrier

**and** *H-G-same-up-to-S*:  
 $\bigwedge H G S z. (H,G,S,z) \in carrier \implies x \in - \text{Collect } S \implies H x = G x$

**fixes** *E*:: 'mem kraus-adv  
**assumes** *E-norm-id*:  $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \implies kf-bound (E i) \leq id-cblinfun$   
**assumes** *E-nonzero*:  $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \implies Rep-kraus-family (E i) \neq \{\}$

**fixes** *P*:: 'mem update  
**assumes** *is-Proj-P*: *is-Proj P*

**begin**

**lemma** *Fst-E-nonzero*:  
 $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \implies Rep-kraus-family (kf-Fst (E i)) \neq \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Some properties of the joint distribution.

**lemma** *Uquery-G-H-same-on-not-S-embed'*:  
**assumes**  $(H,G,S,z) \in carrier$   
**shows**  
 $Uquery H o_{CL} proj-classical-set (- (\text{Collect } S)) \otimes_o id-cblinfun =$   
 $Uquery G o_{CL} proj-classical-set (- (\text{Collect } S)) \otimes_o id-cblinfun$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Uquery-G-H-same-on-not-S-embed*:  
**assumes**  $(H,G,S,z) \in carrier$   
**shows**  $((X;Y) (Uquery H) o_{CL} (\text{not-S-embed } S)) = ((X;Y) (Uquery G) o_{CL} (\text{not-S-embed } S))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Uquery-G-H-same-on-not-S-embed-tensor*:  
**assumes**  $(H,G,S,z) \in carrier$   
**shows**  $((X\text{-for-}B; Y\text{-for-}B) (Uquery H) o_{CL} Fst (\text{not-S-embed } S)) =$   
 $((X\text{-for-}B; Y\text{-for-}B) (Uquery G) o_{CL} Fst (\text{not-S-embed } S))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Instantiations of mixed o2h locale for H and G

**definition** *carrier-G* **where**  $carrier-G = (\lambda(H,G,S,z). (G,S,(H,z)))`carrier$   
**definition** *distr-G* **where**  $distr-G = (\lambda(G,S,(H,z)). distr (H,G,S,z))$

**lemma** *distr-G-pos*:  $\forall (G,S,z) \in carrier-G. distr-G (G,S,z) \geq 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *distr-G-sum-1*:  $(\sum (G,S,z) \in carrier-G. distr-G (G,S,z)) = 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *finite-carrier-G*: *finite carrier-G*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *carrier-H* **where** *carrier-H* =  $(\lambda(H,G,S,z). (H,S,(G,z)))`carrier$   
**definition** *distr-H* **where** *distr-H* =  $(\lambda(H,S,(G,z)). distr(H,G,S,z))$

**lemma** *distr-H-pos*:  $\forall (H,S,z) \in carrier-H. distr-H(H,S,z) \geq 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *distr-H-sum-1*:  $(\sum (H,S,z) \in carrier-H. distr-H(H,S,z)) = 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *finite-carrier-H*: *finite carrier-H*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**interpretation** *mixed-H*: *mixed-o2h X Y d init flip bit valid empty carrier-H distr-H E P*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**interpretation** *mixed-G*: *mixed-o2h X Y d init flip bit valid empty carrier-G distr-G E P*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Lemmas on *Proj-ket-upto* and *run-adv-mixed*. The adversary run upto i can be projected to the first i ket states in the counting register.

**lemma** *length-has-bits-upto*:  
  **assumes**  $l \in has-bits-upto n$   
  **shows** *length l = d*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *empty-not-flip*:  
  **assumes**  $x \in list-to-l`has-bits-upto n \ n < d$   
  **shows** *empty ≠ flip n x*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *empty-not-flip'*:  
  **assumes**  $x \neq flip n \ empty \ n < d$   
  **shows** *empty ≠ flip n x*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Proj-ket-upto-Snd*:  
  *Proj-ket-upto A = Snd (proj-classical-set (list-to-l`A))*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *from-trace-class-tc-selfbutter*:  
  *from-trace-class (tc-selfbutter x) = selfbutter x*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma selfbutter-empty-US-Proj-ket-upto:
  assumes i<d
  shows Snd (selfbutter (ket empty)) oCL ((US S i) oCL Proj-ket-upto (has-bits-upto i)) =
    Fst (not-S-embed S) oCL Snd (selfbutter (ket empty))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma list-to-l-has-bits-upto-flip:
  assumes b ∈ list-to-l ‘ has-bits-upto n n<d
  shows flip n b ∈ list-to-l ‘ has-bits-upto (Suc n)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Proj-ket-upto-US:
  assumes n<d
  shows US S n oCL Proj-ket-upto (has-bits-upto n) =
    Proj-ket-upto (has-bits-upto (Suc n)) oCL US S n oCL Proj-ket-upto (has-bits-upto n)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma run-pure-adv-projection:
  assumes n< d+1
  and ρ: ρ = run-pure-adv-tc n (λm. if m< n+1 then Fst (UA m) else UB m) (US S) init-B
    X-for-B Y-for-B H
  shows sandwich-tc (Proj-ket-upto (has-bits-upto n)) ρ = ρ
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma run-mixed-adv-projection-finite:
  assumes ⋀i. i < n + 1 ⇒ finite (Rep-kraus-family (kf-Fst (F i)::(
    ('mem × 'l) ell2, ('mem × 'l) ell2, unit) kraus-family))
  and ⋀i. i < n + 1 ⇒ fst ‘ Rep-kraus-family (kf-Fst (F i)::(
    ('mem × 'l) ell2, ('mem × 'l) ell2, unit) kraus-family) ≠ {}
  assumes n< d+1
  shows sandwich-tc (Proj-ket-upto (has-bits-upto n))
    (run-mixed-adv n (λn. kf-Fst (F n)) (US S) init-B X-for-B Y-for-B H) =
    run-mixed-adv n (λn. kf-Fst (F n)) (US S) init-B X-for-B Y-for-B H
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma run-mixed-adv-projection:
  assumes ⋀i. i < d + 1 ⇒ fst ‘ Rep-kraus-family (kf-Fst (F i)::(
    ('mem × 'l) ell2, ('mem × 'l) ell2, unit) kraus-family) ≠ {}
  assumes n< d+1

```

**shows** sandwich-tc (Proj-ket-upto (has-bits-upto n))  
 $(run\text{-}mixed\text{-}adv\ n\ (\lambda n.\ kf\text{-}Fst\ (F\ n))\ (US\ S)\ init\text{-}B\ X\text{-}for\text{-}B\ Y\text{-}for\text{-}B\ H) =$   
 $run\text{-}mixed\text{-}adv\ n\ (\lambda n.\ kf\text{-}Fst\ (F\ n))\ (US\ S)\ init\text{-}B\ X\text{-}for\text{-}B\ Y\text{-}for\text{-}B\ H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Lemmas of commutation with non-Find event

**lemma** Proj-commutes-with-Uquery:  
 $Snd\ (selfbutter\ (ket\ empty))\ o_{CL}\ (X\text{-}for\text{-}B; Y\text{-}for\text{-}B)\ (Uquery\ G) =$   
 $(X\text{-}for\text{-}B; Y\text{-}for\text{-}B)\ (Uquery\ G)\ o_{CL}\ Snd\ (selfbutter\ (ket\ empty))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** run-mixed-adv-G-H-same:  
**assumes**  $(H, G, S, z) \in carrier$   $n < d + 1$   
**shows** sandwich-tc ( $Snd\ (selfbutter\ (ket\ empty))$ )  
 $(run\text{-}mixed\text{-}adv\ n\ (\lambda n.\ kf\text{-}Fst\ (E\ n))\ (US\ S)\ init\text{-}B\ X\text{-}for\text{-}B\ Y\text{-}for\text{-}B\ H) =$   
 $sandwich\text{-}tc\ (Snd\ (selfbutter\ (ket\ empty)))$   
 $(run\text{-}mixed\text{-}adv\ n\ (\lambda n.\ kf\text{-}Fst\ (E\ n))\ (US\ S)\ init\text{-}B\ X\text{-}for\text{-}B\ Y\text{-}for\text{-}B\ G)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** run-mixed-B-G-H-same:  
**assumes**  $(H, G, S, z) \in carrier$   
**shows** sandwich-tc ( $Q \otimes_o selfbutter\ (ket\ empty)$ ) ( $run\text{-}mixed\text{-}B\ E\ H\ S$ ) =  
 $sandwich\text{-}tc\ (Q \otimes_o selfbutter\ (ket\ empty))\ (run\text{-}mixed\text{-}B\ E\ G\ S)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** qright-G-H-same:  
 $sandwich\text{-}tc\ (Q \otimes_o selfbutter\ (ket\ empty))\ (mixed\text{-}H.\varrho right\ E) =$   
 $sandwich\text{-}tc\ (Q \otimes_o selfbutter\ (ket\ empty))\ (mixed\text{-}G.\varrho right\ E)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** trace-compose-tcr-H-G-same:  
 $trace\text{-}tc\ (compose\text{-}tcr\ (Snd\ (selfbutter\ (ket\ empty))))\ (mixed\text{-}H.\varrho right\ E) =$   
 $trace\text{-}tc\ (compose\text{-}tcr\ (Snd\ (selfbutter\ (ket\ empty))))\ (mixed\text{-}G.\varrho right\ E)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The probability of not Find and the adversary succeeding for H and G are the same.  
 $Pr[b \not\models \text{Find} : b \leftarrow A^{H \setminus S}(z)] = Pr[b \not\models \text{Find} : b \leftarrow A^{G \setminus S}(z)]$

**lemma** Pright-G-H-same:  
 $mixed\text{-}H.\varrho right\ (Q \otimes_o selfbutter\ (ket\ empty)) = mixed\text{-}G.\varrho right\ (Q \otimes_o selfbutter\ (ket\ empty))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The finding event occurs with the same probability for G and H if the overall norm stays the same.

**lemma** Pfind-G-H-same:

**assumes**  $\text{norm}(\text{mixed-}H.\varrho_{\text{right}} E) = \text{norm}(\text{mixed-}G.\varrho_{\text{right}} E)$   
**shows**  $\text{mixed-}H.P_{\text{find}} E = \text{mixed-}G.P_{\text{find}} E$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $P_{\text{find}}\text{-}G\text{-}H\text{-same-nonterm}$ :

**shows**  $(\text{mixed-}H.P_{\text{find}} E - \text{mixed-}G.P_{\text{find}} E) =$   
 $(\text{norm}(\text{mixed-}H.\varrho_{\text{right}} E) - \text{norm}(\text{mixed-}G.\varrho_{\text{right}} E))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The general version of the O2H with non-termination part.

**theorem**  $\text{mixed-}o2h\text{-nonterm}$ :

**shows**  
 $| \text{mixed-}H.P_{\text{left}} P - \text{mixed-}G.P_{\text{left}} P | \leq$   
 $2 * \sqrt{((d+1) * \text{Re}(\text{mixed-}H.P_{\text{find}} E) + d * \text{mixed-}H.P\text{-nonterm } E)}$   
 $+ 2 * \sqrt{((d+1) * \text{Re}(\text{mixed-}G.P_{\text{find}} E) + d * \text{mixed-}G.P\text{-nonterm } E)}$   
**and**  
 $|\sqrt{\text{mixed-}H.P_{\text{left}} P} - \sqrt{\text{mixed-}G.P_{\text{left}} P}| \leq$   
 $\sqrt{((d+1) * \text{Re}(\text{mixed-}H.P_{\text{find}} E) + d * \text{mixed-}H.P\text{-nonterm } E)}$   
 $+ \sqrt{((d+1) * \text{Re}(\text{mixed-}G.P_{\text{find}} E) + d * \text{mixed-}G.P\text{-nonterm } E)}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The general version of the O2H with terminating adversary. This formulation corresponds to Theorem 1.

**theorem**  $\text{mixed-}o2h\text{-term}$ :

**assumes**  $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \implies \text{km-trace-preserving}(\text{kf-apply}(E i))$   
**shows**  
 $| \text{mixed-}H.P_{\text{left}} P - \text{mixed-}G.P_{\text{left}} P | \leq 4 * \sqrt{((d+1) * \text{Re}(\text{mixed-}H.P_{\text{find}} E))}$   
**and**  
 $|\sqrt{\text{mixed-}H.P_{\text{left}} P} - \sqrt{\text{mixed-}G.P_{\text{left}} P}| \leq 2 * \sqrt{((d+1) * \text{Re}(\text{mixed-}H.P_{\text{find}} E))}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Other formulations of the mixed o2h.

Theorem 1, definition of Pright (2)

**definition**  $\text{Proj-2} :: (\text{'mem} \times \text{'l}) \text{ ell2} \Rightarrow_{CL} (\text{'mem} \times \text{'l}) \text{ ell2}$  **where**  
 $\text{Proj-2} = P \otimes_o \text{id-cblinfun}$

**lemma**  $\text{norm-}\text{Proj-2}$ :

$\text{norm Proj-2} \leq 1$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**theorem**  $\text{mixed-}o2h\text{-nonterm-2}$ :

**shows**  
 $| \text{mixed-}H.P_{\text{left}} P - \text{mixed-}H.P_{\text{right}} \text{Proj-2} | \leq$   
 $2 * \sqrt{((d+1) * \text{Re}(\text{mixed-}H.P_{\text{find}} E) + d * \text{mixed-}H.P\text{-nonterm } E)}$   
**and**  
 $|\sqrt{\text{mixed-}H.P_{\text{left}} P} - \sqrt{\text{mixed-}H.P_{\text{right}} \text{Proj-2}}| \leq$

$\text{sqrt}((d+1) * \text{Re}(\text{mixed-H.Pfind } E) + d * \text{mixed-H.P-nonterm } E)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**theorem** *mixed-o2h-term-2*:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \implies \text{km-trace-preserving}(\text{kf-apply}(E i))$   
**shows**

$|\text{mixed-H.Pleft } P - \text{mixed-H.Pright } \text{Proj-2}| \leq$   
 $2 * \text{sqrt}((d+1) * \text{Re}(\text{mixed-H.Pfind } E))$   
**and**  
 $|\text{sqrt}(\text{mixed-H.Pleft } P) - \text{sqrt}(\text{mixed-H.Pright } \text{Proj-2})| \leq$   
 $\text{sqrt}((d+1) * \text{Re}(\text{mixed-H.Pfind } E))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Theorem 1, definition of Pright (3)

**definition**  $\text{Proj-3} :: (\text{'mem} \times \text{'l}) \text{ ell2} \Rightarrow_{\text{CL}} (\text{'mem} \times \text{'l}) \text{ ell2}$  **where**  
 $\text{Proj-3} = P \otimes_o \text{selfbutter}(\text{ket empty})$

**lemma** *is-Proj-3*:

*is-Proj Proj-3*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Proj-3-altdef*:

$\text{Proj-3} = \text{Proj}((P \otimes_o \text{id-cblinfun}) *_S \top \sqcup (\text{id-cblinfun} \otimes_o \text{selfbutter}(\text{ket empty})) *_S \top)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *norm-Proj-3*:

$\text{norm } \text{Proj-3} \leq 1$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**theorem** *mixed-o2h-nonterm-3*:

**shows**

$|\text{mixed-H.Pleft } P - \text{mixed-H.Pright } \text{Proj-3}| \leq$   
 $2 * \text{sqrt}((d+1) * \text{Re}(\text{mixed-H.Pfind } E) + d * \text{mixed-H.P-nonterm } E)$   
**and**  
 $|\text{sqrt}(\text{mixed-H.Pleft } P) - \text{sqrt}(\text{mixed-H.Pright } \text{Proj-3})| \leq$   
 $\text{sqrt}((d+1) * \text{Re}(\text{mixed-H.Pfind } E) + d * \text{mixed-H.P-nonterm } E)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**theorem** *mixed-o2h-term-3*:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \implies \text{km-trace-preserving}(\text{kf-apply}(E i))$

**shows**

$|\text{mixed-H.Pleft } P - \text{mixed-H.Pright } \text{Proj-3}| \leq$   
 $2 * \text{sqrt}((d+1) * \text{Re}(\text{mixed-H.Pfind } E))$   
**and**  
 $|\text{sqrt}(\text{mixed-H.Pleft } P) - \text{sqrt}(\text{mixed-H.Pright } \text{Proj-3})| \leq$   
 $\text{sqrt}((d+1) * \text{Re}(\text{mixed-H.Pfind } E))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Theorem 1, definition of Pright (4)

**theorem** *mixed-o2h-nonterm-4*:

**shows**

$$|\text{mixed-}H.\text{Pleft } P - \text{mixed-}G.\text{Pright Proj-3}| \leq 2 * \sqrt{((d+1) * \text{Re } (\text{mixed-}H.\text{Pfind } E)) + d * \text{mixed-}H.\text{P-nonterm } E}$$

**and**

$$|\sqrt{\text{mixed-}H.\text{Pleft } P} - \sqrt{\text{mixed-}G.\text{Pright Proj-3}}| \leq \sqrt{((d+1) * \text{Re } (\text{mixed-}H.\text{Pfind } E)) + d * \text{mixed-}H.\text{P-nonterm } E}$$

*(proof)*

**theorem** *mixed-o2h-term-4*:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \implies \text{km-trace-preserving } (\text{kf-apply } (E i))$

**shows**

$$|\text{mixed-}H.\text{Pleft } P - \text{mixed-}G.\text{Pright Proj-3}| \leq 2 * \sqrt{((d+1) * \text{Re } (\text{mixed-}H.\text{Pfind } E))}$$

**and**

$$|\sqrt{\text{mixed-}H.\text{Pleft } P} - \sqrt{\text{mixed-}G.\text{Pright Proj-3}}| \leq \sqrt{((d+1) * \text{Re } (\text{mixed-}H.\text{Pfind } E))}$$

*(proof)*

Theorem 1: the definition of *Pright* (5) is  $\text{Pright} = P[\text{find} \vee b=1 \text{ for } b < - A \setminus \{H \setminus S\}] = P(\text{find for } b < - A \setminus \{H \setminus S\}) + P(\neg \text{find} \wedge b=1 \text{ for } b < - A \setminus \{H \setminus S\})$

Careful: In general, we cannot state quantum events with and or or. However, in the case that the two projectors commute, we may say  $\text{Pr}(A \wedge B) \equiv \text{PM-}A \circ \text{PM-}B \text{ Pr}(A \vee B) \equiv \text{PM-}A + \text{PM-}B - \text{PM-}A \circ \text{PM-}B$

Still, for the projection, we need to joint the two projective spaces.

**definition** *Proj-5* :: ('mem × 'l) ell2 ⇒<sub>CL</sub> ('mem × 'l) ell2 **where**

$$\text{Proj-5} = \text{Proj } (((P \otimes_o \text{id-cblinfun}) *_S \top) \sqcup ((\text{id-cblinfun} \otimes_o (\text{id-cblinfun} - \text{selfbutter } (\text{ket empty}))) *_S \top))$$

**lemma** *is-Proj-5*:

*is-Proj Proj-5*

*(proof)*

**lemma** *Proj-5-altdef*:

$$\text{Proj-5} = \text{Proj-3} + \text{mixed-}H.\text{end-measure}$$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *norm-Proj-5*:

$$\text{norm Proj-5} \leq 1$$

*(proof)*

**theorem** *mixed-o2h-nonterm-5*:

**shows**

$|mixed\text{-}H.Pleft P - (mixed\text{-}H.Pright Proj-5)| \leq$   
 $2 * sqrt((d+1) * Re(mixed\text{-}H.Pfind E)) + d * mixed\text{-}H.P\text{-nonterm } E)$   
**and**  
 $|sqrt(mixed\text{-}H.Pleft P) - sqrt(mixed\text{-}H.Pright Proj-5)| \leq$   
 $sqrt((d+1) * Re(mixed\text{-}H.Pfind E)) + d * mixed\text{-}H.P\text{-nonterm } E)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *mixed-o2h-term-5*:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \implies km\text{-trace-preserving}(kf\text{-apply}(E i))$   
**shows**

$|mixed\text{-}H.Pleft P - mixed\text{-}H.Pright Proj-5| \leq$   
 $2 * sqrt((d+1) * Re(mixed\text{-}H.Pfind E))$   
**and**  
 $|sqrt(mixed\text{-}H.Pleft P) - sqrt(mixed\text{-}H.Pright Proj-5)| \leq$   
 $sqrt((d+1) * Re(mixed\text{-}H.Pfind E))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Theorem 1, definition of Pright (6)

**lemma** *Pright-G-H-case5-nonterm*:

$mixed\text{-}H.Pright Proj-5 - mixed\text{-}G.Pright Proj-5 = norm(mixed\text{-}H.\varrho right E) - norm(mixed\text{-}G.\varrho right E)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Pright-G-H-case5*:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \implies km\text{-trace-preserving}(kf\text{-apply}(E i))$   
**shows**  $mixed\text{-}H.Pright Proj-5 = mixed\text{-}G.Pright Proj-5$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *mixed-o2h-nonterm-6*:

**shows**

$|mixed\text{-}H.Pleft P - mixed\text{-}G.Pright Proj-5| \leq$   
 $2 * sqrt((d+1) * Re(mixed\text{-}H.Pfind E)) + d * mixed\text{-}H.P\text{-nonterm } E) +$   
 $|norm(mixed\text{-}H.\varrho right E) - norm(mixed\text{-}G.\varrho right E)|$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *mixed-o2h-term-6*:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge i. i < d+1 \implies km\text{-trace-preserving}(kf\text{-apply}(E i))$   
**shows**

$|mixed\text{-}H.Pleft P - mixed\text{-}G.Pright Proj-5| \leq$   
 $2 * sqrt((d+1) * Re(mixed\text{-}H.Pfind E))$   
**and**  
 $|sqrt(mixed\text{-}H.Pleft P) - sqrt(mixed\text{-}G.Pright Proj-5)| \leq$   
 $sqrt((d+1) * Re(mixed\text{-}H.Pfind E))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```
end  
  
unbundle no cblinfun-syntax  
unbundle no lattice-syntax  
unbundle no register-syntax
```

```
end
```

## References

- [1] A. Ambainis, M. Hamburg, and D. Unruh. *Quantum Security Proofs Using Semi-classical Oracles*, page 269295. Springer International Publishing, 2019.
- [2] K. Heidler and D. Unruh. Formalizing the one-way to hiding theorem. In K. Stark, A. Timany, S. Blazy, and N. Tabareau, editors, *Proceedings of the 14th ACM SIGPLAN International Conference on Certified Programs and Proofs, CPP 2025, Denver, CO, USA, January 20-21, 2025*, pages 243–256. ACM, 2025.