

Higher Globular Catoids and Quantaes

Cameron Calk and Georg Struth

February 1, 2024

Abstract

We formalise strict 2-catoids, 2-categories, 2-Kleene algebras and 2-quantaes, as well as their ω -variants. Due to strictness, the cells of these higher categories have globular shape. We use a single-set approach, generalised to catoids and based on type classes. The higher Kleene algebras and quantaes introduced extend features of modal and concurrent Kleene algebras and quantaes. They arise for instance as powerset extensions of higher catoids, and have been used in algebraic confluence proofs in higher-dimensional rewriting. Details are described in two companion articles.

Contents

1	Introductory remarks	2
2	2-Catoids	2
2.1	0-Structures and 1-structures	3
2.2	2-Catoids	6
2.3	2-Catoids and single-set 2-categories	8
2.4	Reduced axiomatisations	11
3	2-Kleene algebras	15
3.1	Copies for 0-structures	15
3.2	Copies for 1-structures	17
3.3	Globular 2-semirings	19
3.4	Globular 2-Kleene algebras	24
4	2-Quantaes	26
5	Lifting 2-Catoids to powerset 2-quantaes	32
6	2-Catoids with (too) strong homomorphisms	33
6.1	2-st-Multimagmas with strong homomorphism laws	33
6.2	2-Catoids with (too) strong homomorphisms	34
6.3	Single-set 2-categories with (too) strong homomorphisms . . .	34

6.4	2-lr-Multimagmas with strong interchange law	35
7	ω-Catoids	36
7.1	Indexed catoids.	37
7.2	ω -Catoids	38
7.3	ω -Catoids and single-set ω -categories	43
7.4	Reduced axiomatisations	44
8	ω-Kleene algebras	47
8.1	Copies for i-structures	47
8.2	Globular ω -semirings	49
8.3	Globular ω -Kleene algebras	56
9	ω-Quantales	57
10	Lifting ω-catoids to powerset ω-quantales	60

1 Introductory remarks

We extend formalisations of catoids, categories and quantales from the AFP [5, 4, 3] to higher variants, as described in a companion article [2]. The categories, in particular, are formalised in a single-set approach. They are strict so that their cells have globular shape. We formalise the cases of 2 and ω separately. First, strict 2-categories are important in category theory: the category of all small categories, for example, forms such a category. Second, strict ω -categories are simply given by pairs of strict 2-categories in all dimensions, so that many properties for ω generalise easily from 2-properties. Fourth, Isabelle’s Nitpick tool can find interesting counterexamples at dimension 2, but not for ω . Finally, in the type classes formalising our ω -structures, the numerical indices of higher operations cannot simply be instantiated to a fixed value such as 2. Applications of higher Kleene algebras and quantales in higher-dimensional rewriting are explained in [1], where these structures were introduced.

With higher catoids, the partial compositions of cells in higher categories are relaxed to multioperations, which assign each pair of elements to a set of elements, so that mapping to the empty set captures partiality. In addition, a composition of two elements may be undefined even though the target of the first equals the source of the second in a given dimension.

2 2-Catoids

```
theory Two-Catoid
  imports Catoids.Catoid
```

begin

We define 2-catoids and in particular (strict) 2-categories as local functional 2-catoids. With Isabelle we first need to make two copies of catoids for the 0-structure and 1-structure.

2.1 0-Structures and 1-structures.

class *multimagma0* =
 fixes *mcomp0* :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a set (**infixl** \odot_0 70)

begin

sublocale *mm0*: *multimagma mcomp0* \langle proof \rangle

abbreviation $\Delta_0 \equiv mm0.\Delta$

abbreviation *conv0* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set (**infixl** $*_0$ 70) **where**
 $X *_0 Y \equiv mm0.conv X Y$

lemma $X *_0 Y = (\bigcup x \in X. \bigcup y \in Y. x \odot_0 y)$
 \langle proof \rangle

end

class *multimagma1* =
 fixes *mcomp1* :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a set (**infixl** \odot_1 70)

begin

sublocale *mm1*: *multimagma mcomp1* \langle proof \rangle

abbreviation $\Delta_1 \equiv mm1.\Delta$

abbreviation *conv1* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set (**infixl** $*_1$ 70) **where**
 $X *_1 Y \equiv mm1.conv X Y$

end

class *multisemigroup0* = *multimagma0* +
 assumes *assoc*: $(\bigcup v \in y \odot_0 z. x \odot_0 v) = (\bigcup v \in x \odot_0 y. v \odot_0 z)$

sublocale *multisemigroup0* \subseteq *msg0*: *multisemigroup mcomp0*
 \langle proof \rangle

class *multisemigroup1* = *multimagma1* +
 assumes *assoc*: $(\bigcup v \in y \odot_1 z. x \odot_1 v) = (\bigcup v \in x \odot_1 y. v \odot_1 z)$

sublocale *multisemigroup1* \subseteq *msg1*: *multisemigroup mcomp1*

```

    <proof>

class st-multimagma0 = multimagma0 +
fixes  $\sigma_0 :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ 
    and  $\tau_0 :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ 
    assumes Dst0:  $x \odot_0 y \neq \{\}$   $\implies \tau_0 x = \sigma_0 y$ 
    and src0-absorb [simp]:  $\sigma_0 x \odot_0 x = \{x\}$ 
    and tgt0-absorb [simp]:  $x \odot_0 \tau_0 x = \{x\}$ 

begin

sublocale stmm0: st-multimagma mcomp0  $\sigma_0 \tau_0$ 
    <proof>

abbreviation s0fix  $\equiv$  stmm0.sfix

abbreviation t0fix  $\equiv$  stmm0.tfix

abbreviation Src0  $\equiv$  stmm0.Src

abbreviation Tgt0  $\equiv$  stmm0.Tgt

end

class st-multimagma1 = multimagma1 +
fixes  $\sigma_1 :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ 
    and  $\tau_1 :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ 
    assumes Dst1:  $x \odot_1 y \neq \{\}$   $\implies \tau_1 x = \sigma_1 y$ 
    and src1-absorb [simp]:  $\sigma_1 x \odot_1 x = \{x\}$ 
    and tgt1-absorb [simp]:  $x \odot_1 \tau_1 x = \{x\}$ 

begin

sublocale stmm1: st-multimagma mcomp1  $\sigma_1 \tau_1$ 
    <proof>

abbreviation s1fix  $\equiv$  stmm1.sfix

abbreviation t1fix  $\equiv$  stmm1.tfix

abbreviation Src1  $\equiv$  stmm1.Src

abbreviation Tgt1  $\equiv$  stmm1.Tgt

end

class catoid0 = st-multimagma0 + multisemigroup0

sublocale catoid0  $\subseteq$  stmsg0: catoid mcomp0  $\sigma_0 \tau_0$  <proof>

```

```

class catoid1 = st-multimagma1 + multisemigroup1

sublocale catoid1  $\subseteq$  stmsg1: catoid mcomp1  $\sigma_1$   $\tau_1$ ⟨proof⟩

class local-catoid0 = catoid0 +
  assumes src0-local:  $\text{Src}_0(x \odot_0 \sigma_0 y) \subseteq \text{Src}_0(x \odot_0 y)$ 
  and tgt0-local:  $\text{Tgt}_0(\tau_0 x \odot_0 y) \subseteq \text{Tgt}_0(x \odot_0 y)$ 

class local-catoid1 = catoid1 +
  assumes l1-local:  $\text{Src}_1(x \odot_1 \sigma_1 y) \subseteq \text{Src}_1(x \odot_1 y)$ 
  and r1-local:  $\text{Tgt}_1(\tau_1 x \odot_1 y) \subseteq \text{Tgt}_1(x \odot_1 y)$ 

sublocale local-catoid0  $\subseteq$  ssmsg0: local-catoid mcomp0  $\sigma_0$   $\tau_0$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale local-catoid1  $\subseteq$  stmsg1: local-catoid mcomp1  $\sigma_1$   $\tau_1$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

class functional-magma0 = multimagma0 +
  assumes functionality0:  $x \in y \odot_0 z \implies x' \in y \odot_0 z \implies x = x'$ 

sublocale functional-magma0  $\subseteq$  pm0: functional-magma mcomp0
  ⟨proof⟩

class functional-magma1 = multimagma1 +
  assumes functionality1:  $x \in y \odot_1 z \implies x' \in y \odot_1 z \implies x = x'$ 

sublocale functional-magma1  $\subseteq$  pm1: functional-magma mcomp1
  ⟨proof⟩

class functional-semigroup0 = functional-magma0 + multisemigroup0

sublocale functional-semigroup0  $\subseteq$  psg0: functional-semigroup mcomp0⟨proof⟩

class functional-semigroup1 = functional-magma1 + multisemigroup1

sublocale functional-semigroup1  $\subseteq$  psg1: functional-semigroup mcomp1⟨proof⟩

class functional-catoid0 = functional-semigroup0 + catoid0

sublocale functional-catoid0  $\subseteq$  psg0: functional-catoid mcomp0  $\sigma_0$   $\tau_0$ ⟨proof⟩

class functional-catoid1 = functional-semigroup1 + catoid1

sublocale functional-catoid1  $\subseteq$  psg1: functional-catoid mcomp1  $\sigma_1$   $\tau_1$ ⟨proof⟩

class single-set-category0 = functional-catoid0 + local-catoid0

```

sublocale *single-set-category0* \subseteq *sscat0*: *single-set-category mcomp0* $\sigma_0 \tau_0$ ⟨*proof*⟩

class *single-set-category1* = *functional-catoid1* + *local-catoid1*

sublocale *single-set-category1* \subseteq *sscat1*: *single-set-category mcomp1* $\sigma_1 \tau_1$ ⟨*proof*⟩

2.2 2-Catoids

We define 2-catoids and 2-categories.

class *two-st-multimagma* = *st-multimagma0* + *st-multimagma1* +

assumes *comm-s0s1*: $\sigma_0 (\sigma_1 x) = \sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x)$

and *comm-s0t1*: $\sigma_0 (\tau_1 x) = \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)$

and *comm-t0s1*: $\tau_0 (\sigma_1 x) = \sigma_1 (\tau_0 x)$

and *comm-t0t1*: $\tau_0 (\tau_1 x) = \tau_1 (\tau_0 x)$

assumes *interchange*: $(w \odot_1 x) *_0 (y \odot_1 z) \subseteq (w \odot_0 y) *_1 (x \odot_0 z)$

and *s1-hom*: $Src_1 (x \odot_0 y) \subseteq \sigma_1 x \odot_0 \sigma_1 y$

and *t1-hom*: $Tgt_1 (x \odot_0 y) \subseteq \tau_1 x \odot_0 \tau_1 y$

and *s0-hom*: $Src_0 (x \odot_1 y) \subseteq \sigma_0 x \odot_1 \sigma_0 y$

and *t0-hom*: $Tgt_0 (x \odot_1 y) \subseteq \tau_0 x \odot_1 \tau_0 y$

and *s1s0* [*simp*]: $\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) = \sigma_0 x$

and *s1t0* [*simp*]: $\sigma_1 (\tau_0 x) = \tau_0 x$

and *t1s0* [*simp*]: $\tau_1 (\sigma_0 x) = \sigma_0 x$

and *t1t0* [*simp*]: $\tau_1 (\tau_0 x) = \tau_0 x$

class *two-st-multimagma-strong* = *two-st-multimagma* +

assumes *s1-hom-strong*: $Src_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \sigma_1 x \odot_0 \sigma_1 y$

and *t1-hom-strong*: $Tgt_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \tau_1 x \odot_0 \tau_1 y$

context *two-st-multimagma*

begin

sublocale *twolropp*: *two-st-multimagma* $\lambda x y. y \odot_0 x \tau_0 \sigma_0 \lambda x y. y \odot_1 x \tau_1 \sigma_1$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *s0s1* [*simp*]: $\sigma_0 (\sigma_1 x) = \sigma_0 x$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *s0t1* [*simp*]: $\sigma_0 (\tau_1 x) = \sigma_0 x$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *t0s1* [*simp*]: $\tau_0 (\sigma_1 x) = \tau_0 x$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *t1t1* [*simp*]: $\tau_0 (\tau_1 x) = \tau_0 x$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *src0-comp1*: $\Delta_1 x y \implies Src_0 (x \odot_1 y) = \{\sigma_0 x\}$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *src0-comp1-var*: $\Delta_1 x y \implies \text{Src}_0 (x \odot_1 y) = \{\sigma_0 y\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *tgt0-comp1*: $\Delta_1 x y \implies \text{Tgt}_0 (x \odot_1 y) = \{\tau_0 x\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *tgt0-comp1-var*: $\Delta_1 x y \implies \text{Tgt}_0 (x \odot_1 y) = \{\tau_0 y\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

We lift the axioms to the powerset level.

lemma *comm-SOS1*: $\text{Src}_0 (\text{Src}_1 X) = \text{Src}_1 (\text{Src}_0 X)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *comm-TOT1*: $\text{Tgt}_0 (\text{Tgt}_1 X) = \text{Tgt}_1 (\text{Tgt}_0 X)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *comm-SOT1*: $\text{Src}_0 (\text{Tgt}_1 x) = \text{Tgt}_1 (\text{Src}_0 x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *comm-TOS1*: $\text{Tgt}_0 (\text{Src}_1 x) = \text{Src}_1 (\text{Tgt}_0 x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *interchange-lifting*: $(W *_1 X) *_0 (Y *_1 Z) \subseteq (W *_0 Y) *_1 (X *_0 Z)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Src1-hom*: $\text{Src}_1 (X *_0 Y) \subseteq \text{Src}_1 X *_0 \text{Src}_1 Y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Tgt1-hom*: $\text{Tgt}_1 (X *_0 Y) \subseteq \text{Tgt}_1 X *_0 \text{Tgt}_1 Y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Src0-hom*: $\text{Src}_0 (X *_1 Y) \subseteq \text{Src}_0 X *_1 \text{Src}_0 Y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Tgt0-hom*: $\text{Tgt}_0 (X *_1 Y) \subseteq \text{Tgt}_0 X *_1 \text{Tgt}_0 Y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *S1S0* [*simp*]: $\text{Src}_1 (\text{Src}_0 X) = \text{Src}_0 X$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *S1T0* [*simp*]: $\text{Src}_1 (\text{Tgt}_0 X) = \text{Tgt}_0 X$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *T1S0* [*simp*]: $\text{Tgt}_1 (\text{Src}_0 X) = \text{Src}_0 X$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *T1T0* [*simp*]: $\text{Tgt}_1 (\text{Tgt}_0 X) = \text{Tgt}_0 X$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *two-st-multimagma*)
 $s1fix *_0 s1fix \subseteq s1fix$

<proof>

lemma *id1-comp0-eq*: $s1fix \subseteq s1fix *_0 s1fix$
<proof>

lemma (in *two-st-multimagma*) *id01*:
 $s0fix \subseteq s1fix$
<proof>

end

context *two-st-multimagma-strong*
begin

lemma *Src1-hom-strong*: $Src_1 (X *_0 Y) = Src_1 X *_0 Src_1 Y$
<proof>

lemma *Tgt1-hom-strong*: $Tgt_1 (X *_0 Y) = Tgt_1 X *_0 Tgt_1 Y$
<proof>

lemma *id1-comp0*: $s1fix *_0 s1fix \subseteq s1fix$
<proof>

lemma *id1-comp0-eq [simp]*: $s1fix *_0 s1fix = s1fix$
<proof>

end

2.3 2-Catoids and single-set 2-categories

class *two-catoid* = *two-st-multimagma* + *catoid0* + *catoid1*

lemma (in *two-catoid*) $\Delta_0 x y \implies Src_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \{\sigma_1 x\}$

<proof>

lemma (in *two-catoid*) $\Delta_0 x y \implies Tgt_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \{\tau_1 x\}$

<proof>

class *two-catoid-strong* = *two-st-multimagma-strong* + *catoid0* + *catoid1*

class *local-two-catoid* = *two-st-multimagma* + *local-catoid0* + *local-catoid1*

begin

local 2-catoids need not be strong

lemma $Src_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \sigma_1 x \odot_0 \sigma_1 y$

<proof>

lemma $Tgt_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \tau_1 x \odot_0 \tau_1 y$

<proof>

lemma $Src_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \sigma_1 x \odot_0 \sigma_1 y \vee Tgt_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \tau_1 x \odot_0 \tau_1 y$

<proof>

end

class *functional-two-catoid* = *two-st-multimagma* + *functional-catoid0* + *functional-catoid1*

begin

lemma $Src_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \sigma_1 x \odot_0 \sigma_1 y$

<proof>

lemma $Tgt_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \tau_1 x \odot_0 \tau_1 y$

<proof>

lemma $Src_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \sigma_1 x \odot_0 \sigma_1 y \vee Tgt_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \tau_1 x \odot_0 \tau_1 y$

<proof>

end

class *local-two-catoid-strong* = *two-st-multimagma-strong* + *local-catoid0* + *local-catoid1*

class *two-category* = *two-st-multimagma* + *single-set-category0* + *single-set-category1*

begin

lemma *s1-hom-strong* [*simp*]: $Src_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \sigma_1 x \odot_0 \sigma_1 y$

<proof>

lemma *s1-hom-strong-delta*: $\Delta_0 x y = \Delta_0 (\sigma_1 x) (\sigma_1 y)$

<proof>

lemma *t1-hom-strong* [*simp*]: $Tgt_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \tau_1 x \odot_0 \tau_1 y$

<proof>

lemma *t1-hom-strong-delta*: $\Delta_0 x y = \Delta_0 (\tau_1 x) (\tau_1 y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *conv0-sgl*: $a \in x \odot_0 y \implies \{a\} = x \odot_0 y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *conv1-sgl*: $a \in \{x\} *_1 \{y\} \implies \{a\} = \{x\} *_1 \{y\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

Next we derive some simple globular properties.

lemma *strong-interchange-St1*:
assumes $a \in (w \odot_0 x) *_1 (y \odot_0 z)$
shows $Tgt_1 (w \odot_0 x) = Src_1 (y \odot_0 z)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *strong-interchange-l10*:
assumes $a \in (w \odot_0 x) *_1 (y \odot_0 z)$
shows $\sigma_0 w = \sigma_0 y$
 ⟨proof⟩

There is no strong interchange law, and the homomorphism laws for zero sources and targets stay weak, too.

lemma $(w \odot_1 y) *_0 (x \odot_1 z) = (w \odot_0 x) *_1 (y \odot_0 z)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $R_0 (x \odot_1 y) = r_0 x \odot_1 r_0 y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $L_0 (x \odot_1 y) = l_0 x \odot_1 l_0 y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $(W *_0 Y) *_1 (X *_0 Z) = (W *_1 X) *_0 (Y *_1 Z)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $\Delta_0 x y \implies Src_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \{\sigma_1 x\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $\Delta_0 x y \implies Tgt_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \{\tau_1 x\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

2.4 Reduced axiomatisations

class *two-st-multimagma-red* = *st-multimagma0* + *st-multimagma1* +
assumes *interchange*: $(w \odot_1 x) *_0 (y \odot_1 z) \subseteq (w \odot_0 y) *_1 (x \odot_0 z)$
assumes *src1-hom*: $Src_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \sigma_1 x \odot_0 \sigma_1 y$
and *tgt1-hom*: $Tgt_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \tau_1 x \odot_0 \tau_1 y$
and *src0-weak-hom*: $Src_0 (x \odot_1 y) \subseteq \sigma_0 x \odot_1 \sigma_0 y$
and *tgt0-weak-hom*: $Tgt_0 (x \odot_1 y) \subseteq \sigma_0 x \odot_1 \sigma_0 y$

begin

lemma *s0t1s0* [*simp*]: $\sigma_0 (\tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)) = \sigma_0 x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *t0s1s0* [*simp*]: $\tau_0 (\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x)) = \sigma_0 x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *s1s0* [*simp*]: $\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) = \sigma_0 x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *s1t0* [*simp*]: $\sigma_1 (\tau_0 x) = \tau_0 x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *t1s0* [*simp*]: $\tau_1 (\sigma_0 x) = \sigma_0 x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *t1t0* [*simp*]: $\tau_1 (\tau_0 x) = \tau_0 x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comm-s0s1*: $\sigma_0 (\sigma_1 x) = \sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comm-s0t1*: $\sigma_0 (\tau_1 x) = \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comm-t0s1*: $\tau_0 (\sigma_1 x) = \sigma_1 (\tau_0 x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comm-t0t1*: $\tau_0 (\tau_1 x) = \tau_1 (\tau_0 x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\sigma_0 x = \sigma_1 x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\sigma_0 x = \tau_1 x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\tau_0 x = \tau_1 x$

<proof>

lemma $\sigma_0 x = \tau_0 x$

<proof>

lemma $\sigma_1 x = \tau_1 x$

<proof>

lemma $x \odot_0 y = x \odot_1 y$

<proof>

lemma $x \odot_0 y = y \odot_0 x$

<proof>

lemma $x \odot_1 y = y \odot_1 x$

<proof>

end

class *two-catoid-red* = *catoid0* + *catoid1* +
 assumes *interchange*: $(w \odot_1 x) *_0 (y \odot_1 z) \subseteq (w \odot_0 y) *_1 (x \odot_0 z)$
 and *s1-hom*: $\text{Src}_1 (x \odot_0 y) \subseteq \sigma_1 x \odot_0 \sigma_1 y$
 and *t1-hom*: $\text{Tgt}_1 (x \odot_0 y) \subseteq \tau_1 x \odot_0 \tau_1 y$

begin

lemma *s0t1s0* [*simp*]: $\sigma_0 (\tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)) = \sigma_0 x$
<proof>

lemma *t0s1s0* [*simp*]: $\tau_0 (\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x)) = \sigma_0 x$
<proof>

lemma *s1s0* [*simp*]: $\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) = \sigma_0 x$
<proof>

lemma *s1t0* [*simp*]: $\sigma_1 (\tau_0 x) = \tau_0 x$
<proof>

lemma *t1s0* [*simp*]: $\tau_1 (\sigma_0 x) = \sigma_0 x$
<proof>

lemma *t1t0* [*simp*]: $\tau_1 (\tau_0 x) = \tau_0 x$
<proof>

lemma *comm-s0s1*: $\sigma_0 (\sigma_1 x) = \sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x)$
<proof>

lemma *comm-s0t1*: $\sigma_0 (\tau_1 x) = \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)$
<proof>

lemma *comm-t0s1*: $\tau_0 (\sigma_1 x) = \sigma_1 (\tau_0 x)$
<proof>

lemma *comm-t0t1*: $\tau_0 (\tau_1 x) = \tau_1 (\tau_0 x)$
<proof>

lemma *s0-hom*: $\text{Src}_0 (x \odot_1 y) \subseteq \sigma_0 x \odot_1 \sigma_0 y$
<proof>

lemma *t0-hom*: $\text{Tgt}_0 (x \odot_1 y) \subseteq \tau_0 x \odot_1 \tau_0 y$
<proof>

end

class *two-catoid-red-strong* = *catoid0* + *catoid1* +
 assumes *interchange*: $(w \odot_1 x) *_0 (y \odot_1 z) \subseteq (w \odot_0 y) *_1 (x \odot_0 z)$
 and *s1-hom-strong*: $\text{Src}_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \sigma_1 x \odot_0 \sigma_1 y$
 and *t1-hom-strong*: $\text{Tgt}_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \tau_1 x \odot_0 \tau_1 y$

begin

lemma *s0t1s0* [*simp*]: $\sigma_0 (\tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)) = \sigma_0 x$
<proof>

lemma *t0s1s0* [*simp*]: $\tau_0 (\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x)) = \sigma_0 x$
<proof>

lemma *s1s0* [*simp*]: $\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) = \sigma_0 x$
<proof>

lemma *s1t0* [*simp*]: $\sigma_1 (\tau_0 x) = \tau_0 x$
<proof>

lemma *t1s0* [*simp*]: $\tau_1 (\sigma_0 x) = \sigma_0 x$
<proof>

lemma *t1t0* [*simp*]: $\tau_1 (\tau_0 x) = \tau_0 x$
<proof>

lemma *comm-s0s1*: $\sigma_0 (\sigma_1 x) = \sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x)$
<proof>

lemma *comm-s0t1*: $\sigma_0 (\tau_1 x) = \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)$
<proof>

lemma *comm-t0s1*: $\tau_0 (\sigma_1 x) = \sigma_1 (\tau_0 x)$
<proof>

lemma *comm-t0t1*: $\tau_0 (\tau_1 x) = \tau_1 (\tau_0 x)$
<proof>

lemma *s0-weak-hom*: $\text{Src}_0 (x \odot_1 y) \subseteq \sigma_0 x \odot_1 \sigma_0 y$
<proof>

lemma *t0-weak-hom*: $\text{Tgt}_0 (x \odot_1 y) \subseteq \tau_0 x \odot_1 \tau_0 y$
<proof>

end

class *two-catoid-red2* = *single-set-category0* + *single-set-category1* +
assumes *comm-s0s1*: $\sigma_0 (\sigma_1 x) = \sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x)$
and *comm-s0t1*: $\sigma_0 (\tau_1 x) = \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)$
and *comm-t0s1*: $\tau_0 (\sigma_1 x) = \sigma_1 (\tau_0 x)$
and *comm-t0t1*: $\tau_0 (\tau_1 x) = \tau_1 (\tau_0 x)$
and *s1s0* [*simp*]: $\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) = \sigma_0 x$
and *s1t0* [*simp*]: $\sigma_1 (\tau_0 x) = \tau_0 x$
and *t1s0* [*simp*]: $\tau_1 (\sigma_0 x) = \sigma_0 x$
and *t1t0* [*simp*]: $\tau_1 (\tau_0 x) = \tau_0 x$

begin

lemma $(w \odot_1 x) *_0 (y \odot_1 z) \subseteq (w \odot_0 y) *_1 (x \odot_0 z)$
<proof>

lemma $\text{Src}_1 (x \odot_0 y) \subseteq \sigma_1 x \odot_0 \sigma_1 y$
<proof>

lemma $\text{Tgt}_1 (x \odot_0 y) \subseteq \tau_1 x \odot_0 \tau_1 y$
<proof>

lemma *s0-hom*: $\text{Src}_0 (x \odot_1 y) \subseteq \sigma_0 x \odot_1 \sigma_0 y$
<proof>

lemma *t0-hom*: $\text{Tgt}_0 (x \odot_1 y) \subseteq \tau_0 x \odot_1 \tau_0 y$
<proof>

end

```

class two-catoid-red3 = catoid0 + catoid1 +
  assumes interchange: (w  $\odot_1$  x) *0 (y  $\odot_1$  z)  $\subseteq$  (w  $\odot_0$  y) *1 (x  $\odot_0$  z)
  and s1-hom: Src0 (x  $\odot_1$  y)  $\subseteq$   $\sigma_0$  x  $\odot_1$   $\sigma_0$  y
  and t1-hom: Tgt0 (x  $\odot_1$  y)  $\subseteq$   $\tau_0$  x  $\odot_1$   $\tau_0$  y

```

```

lemma (in two-catoid-red3)
  Src1 (x  $\odot_0$  y)  $\subseteq$   $\sigma_1$  x  $\odot_0$   $\sigma_1$  y

```

<proof>

```

lemma (in two-catoid-red3)
  Tgt1 (x  $\odot_0$  y)  $\subseteq$   $\tau_1$  x  $\odot_0$   $\tau_1$  y

```

<proof>

end

3 2-Kleene algebras

```

theory Two-Kleene-Algebra
  imports Quantales-Converse.Modal-Kleene-Algebra-Var

```

begin

Here we develop (globular) 2-semigroups and (globular) 2-Kleene algebras. These should eventually be extended to n-structures and omega-structures.

3.1 Copies for 0-structures

```

class comp0-op =
  fixes comp0 :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a (infixl  $\cdot_0$  70)

```

```

class id0-op =
  fixes id0 :: 'a (10)

```

```

class star0-op =
  fixes star0 :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a

```

```

class dom0-op =
  fixes dom0 :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a

```

```

class cod0-op =
  fixes cod0 :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a

```

```

class monoid-mult0 = comp0-op + id0-op +
  assumes par-assoc0: x  $\cdot_0$  (y  $\cdot_0$  z) = (x  $\cdot_0$  y)  $\cdot_0$  z
  and comp0-unl: 10  $\cdot_0$  x = x
  and comp0-unr: x  $\cdot_0$  10 = x

```

```

class dioid0 = monoid-mult0 + join-semilattice-zero +
  assumes distl0: x ·0 (y + z) = x ·0 y + x ·0 z
  and distr0: (x + y) ·0 z = x ·0 z + y ·0 z
  and annil0: 0 ·0 x = 0
  and annir0: x ·0 0 = 0

class kleene-algebra0 = dioid0 + star0-op +
  assumes star0-unfoldl: 10 + x ·0 star0 x ≤ star0 x
  and star0-unfoldr: 10 + star0 x ·0 x ≤ star0 x
  and star0-inductl: z + x ·0 y ≤ y ⇒ star0 x ·0 z ≤ y
  and star0-inductr: z + y ·0 x ≤ y ⇒ z ·0 star0 x ≤ y

class domain-semiring0 = dioid0 + dom0-op +
  assumes d0-absorb: x ≤ dom0 x ·0 x
  and d0-local: dom0 (x ·0 dom0 y) = dom0 (x ·0 y)
  and d0-add: dom0 (x + y) = dom0 x + dom0 y
  and d0-subid: dom0 x ≤ 10
  and d0-zero: dom0 0 = 0

class codomain-semiring0 = dioid0 + cod0-op +
  assumes cod0-absorb: x ≤ x ·0 cod0 x
  and cod0-local: cod0 (cod0 x ·0 y) = cod0 (x ·0 y)
  and cod0-add: cod0 (x + y) = cod0 x + cod0 y
  and cod0-subid: cod0 x ≤ 10
  and cod0-zero: cod0 0 = 0

class modal-semiring0 = domain-semiring0 + codomain-semiring0 +
  assumes dc-compat0: dom0 (cod0 x) = cod0 x
  and cd-compat0: cod0 (dom0 x) = dom0 x

class modal-kleene-algebra0 = modal-semiring0 + kleene-algebra0

sublocale monoid-mult0 ⊆ mm0: monoid-mult 10 (·0)
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale dioid0 ⊆ d0: dioid-one-zero - (·0) 10 - - -
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale dioid0 ⊆ dd0: dioid0 - - - λx y. y ·0 x -
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale kleene-algebra0 ⊆ k0: kleene-algebra - (·0) 10 - - - star0
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale kleene-algebra0 ⊆ dk0: kleene-algebra0 - - - λx y. y ·0 x - -
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale domain-semiring0 ⊆ dsr0: domain-semiring - (·0) 10 - dom0 - -

```


<proof>

sublocale *codomain-semiring0* \subseteq *csr0*: *range-semiring* - (\cdot_0) 1_0 - *cod0* - -
<proof>

sublocale *codomain-semiring0* \subseteq *ds0dual*: *domain-semiring0* - - - - $\lambda x y. y \cdot_0 x$ -
cod0
<proof>

sublocale *modal-semiring0* \subseteq *msr0*: *dr-modal-semiring* - (\cdot_0) 1_0 - *dom0* - - *cod0*
<proof>

sublocale *modal-semiring0* \subseteq *msr0dual*: *modal-semiring0* *dom0* - - - - $\lambda x y. y \cdot_0$
x - cod0
<proof>

sublocale *modal-kleene-algebra0* \subseteq *mka0*: *dr-modal-kleene-algebra* - (\cdot_0) 1_0 - - -
star0 *dom0* *cod0**<proof>*

sublocale *modal-kleene-algebra0* \subseteq *mka0dual*: *modal-kleene-algebra0* - - - - $\lambda x y.$
*y \cdot_0 x - dom0 cod0**<proof>*

3.2 Copies for 1-structures

class *comp1-op* =
 fixes *comp1* :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a (**infixl** \cdot_1 70)

class *id1-op* =
 fixes *id1* :: 'a (1_1)

class *star1-op* =
 fixes *star1* :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a

class *dom1-op* =
 fixes *dom1* :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a

class *cod1-op* =
 fixes *cod1* :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a

class *monoid-mult1* = *comp1-op* + *id1-op* +
 assumes *par-assoc1*: $x \cdot_1 (y \cdot_1 z) = (x \cdot_1 y) \cdot_1 z$
 and *comp1-unl*: $1_1 \cdot_1 x = x$
 and *comp1-unr*: $x \cdot_1 1_1 = x$

class *diod1* = *monoid-mult1* + *join-semilattice-zero* +
 assumes *distl1*: $x \cdot_1 (y + z) = x \cdot_1 y + x \cdot_1 z$
 and *distr1*: $(x + y) \cdot_1 z = x \cdot_1 z + y \cdot_1 z$
 and *annil1*: $0 \cdot_1 x = 0$
 and *annir1*: $x \cdot_1 0 = 0$

```

class kleene-algebra1 = dioid1 + star1-op +
  assumes star1-unfoldl:  $1_1 + x \cdot_1 \text{star1 } x \leq \text{star1 } x$ 
  and star1-unfoldr:  $1_1 + \text{star1 } x \cdot_1 x \leq \text{star1 } x$ 
  and star1-inductl:  $z + x \cdot_1 y \leq y \implies \text{star1 } x \cdot_1 z \leq y$ 
  and star1-inductr:  $z + y \cdot_1 x \leq y \implies z \cdot_1 \text{star1 } x \leq y$ 

class domain-semiring1 = dioid1 + dom1-op +
  assumes d1-absorb:  $x \leq \text{dom}_1 x \cdot_1 x$ 
  and d1-local:  $\text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_1 y) = \text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_1 y)$ 
  and d1-add:  $\text{dom}_1 (x + y) = \text{dom}_1 x + \text{dom}_1 y$ 
  and d1-subid:  $\text{dom}_1 x \leq 1_1$ 
  and d1-zero:  $\text{dom}_1 0 = 0$ 

class codomain-semiring1 = dioid1 + cod1-op +
  assumes cod1-absorb:  $x \leq x \cdot_1 \text{cod}_1 x$ 
  and cod1-local:  $\text{cod}_1 (\text{cod}_1 x \cdot_1 y) = \text{cod}_1 (x \cdot_1 y)$ 
  and cod1-add:  $\text{cod}_1 (x + y) = \text{cod}_1 x + \text{cod}_1 y$ 
  and cod1-subid:  $\text{cod}_1 x \leq 1_1$ 
  and cod1-zero:  $\text{cod}_1 0 = 0$ 

class modal-semiring1 = domain-semiring1 + codomain-semiring1 +
  assumes dc-compat1:  $\text{dom}_1 (\text{cod}_1 x) = \text{cod}_1 x$ 
  and cd-compat1:  $\text{cod}_1 (\text{dom}_1 x) = \text{dom}_1 x$ 

class modal-kleene-algebra1 = modal-semiring1 + kleene-algebra1

sublocale monoid-mult1  $\subseteq$  mm1: monoid-mult 11 ( $\cdot_1$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale dioid1  $\subseteq$  d1: dioid-one-zero - ( $\cdot_1$ ) 11 - - -
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale dioid1  $\subseteq$  dd1: dioid1 - - - -  $\lambda x y. y \cdot_1 x$  11
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale kleene-algebra1  $\subseteq$  k1: kleene-algebra - ( $\cdot_1$ ) 11 - - - star1
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale kleene-algebra1  $\subseteq$  dk1: kleene-algebra1 - - - -  $\lambda x y. y \cdot_1 x$  11 star1
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale domain-semiring1  $\subseteq$  dsr1: domain-semiring - ( $\cdot_1$ ) 11 - dom1 - -
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale codomain-semiring1  $\subseteq$  csr1: range-semiring - ( $\cdot_1$ ) 11 - cod1 - -
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale codomain-semiring1  $\subseteq$  ds1dual: domain-semiring1 - - - -  $\lambda x y. y \cdot_1 x$  -

```

*cod*₁
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *modal-semiring1* ⊆ *msr1*: *dr-modal-semiring* - (*·*₁) *1*₁ - *dom*₁ - - *cod*₁
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *modal-semiring1* ⊆ *msr1dual*: *modal-semiring1* *dom*₁ - - - - λ*x y*. *y* ·₁ *x* - *cod*₁
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *modal-kleene-algebra1* ⊆ *mka1*: *dr-modal-kleene-algebra* - (*·*₁) *1*₁ - - - *star1* *dom*₁ *cod*₁⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *modal-kleene-algebra1* ⊆ *mka1dual*: *modal-kleene-algebra1* - - - - λ*x y*. *y* ·₁ *x* - - *dom*₁ *cod*₁⟨*proof*⟩

3.3 Globular 2-semirings

class *two-semiring* = *modal-semiring0* + *modal-semiring1* +
assumes *interchange*: $(w \cdot_1 x) \cdot_0 (y \cdot_1 z) \leq (w \cdot_0 y) \cdot_1 (x \cdot_0 z)$
and *d1-hom*: $\text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_0 y) \leq \text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_1 y$
and *c1-hom*: $\text{cod}_1 (x \cdot_0 y) \leq \text{cod}_1 x \cdot_0 \text{cod}_1 y$
and *d0-hom*: $\text{dom}_0 (x \cdot_1 y) \leq \text{dom}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 y$
and *c0-hom*: $\text{cod}_0 (x \cdot_1 y) \leq \text{cod}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{cod}_0 y$
and *d1d0* [*simp*]: $\text{dom}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x) = \text{dom}_0 x$

class *strong-two-semiring* = *two-semiring* +
assumes *d1-strong-hom* [*simp*]: $\text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_0 y) = \text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_1 y$
and *c1-strong-hom*: $\text{cod}_1 (x \cdot_0 y) = \text{cod}_1 x \cdot_0 \text{cod}_1 y$

sublocale *two-semiring* ⊆ *tgsdual*: *two-semiring* *dom*₀ - - - - λ*x y*. *y* ·₀ *x* - *cod*₀
*dom*₁ λ*x y*. *y* ·₁ *x* - *cod*₁
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *strong-two-semiring* ⊆ *stgsdual*: *strong-two-semiring* *dom*₀ - - - - λ*x y*.
y ·₀ *x* - *cod*₀ *dom*₁ λ*x y*. *y* ·₁ *x* - *cod*₁
 ⟨*proof*⟩

context *two-semiring*
begin

lemma *c1d0* [*simp*]: $\text{cod}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x) = \text{dom}_0 x$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *d1c0* [*simp*]: $\text{dom}_1 (\text{cod}_0 x) = \text{cod}_0 x$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *c1c0* [*simp*]: $\text{cod}_1 (\text{cod}_0 x) = \text{cod}_0 x$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma $1_1 \cdot_0 1_1 \leq 1_1$

<proof>

lemma *id1-comp0-var*: $1_1 \leq 1_1 \cdot_0 1_1$

<proof>

lemma $1_1 \cdot_0 1_1 = 1_1$

<proof>

lemma *id0-le-id1*: $1_0 \leq 1_1$

<proof>

lemma *id0-comp1-eq* [*simp*]: $1_0 \cdot_1 1_0 = 1_0$

<proof>

lemma *d1-id0* [*simp*]: $\text{dom}_1 1_0 = 1_0$

<proof>

lemma *d0-id1* [*simp*]: $\text{dom}_0 1_1 = 1_0$

<proof>

lemma *c0-id1*: $\text{cod}_0 1_1 = 1_0$

<proof>

lemma *c0-id0*: $\text{cod}_1 1_0 = 1_0$

<proof>

lemma *comm-d0d1*: $\text{dom}_0 (\text{dom}_1 x) = \text{dom}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x)$

<proof>

lemma *comm-d0c1*: $\text{dom}_0 (\text{cod}_1 x) = \text{cod}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x)$

<proof>

lemma *comm-c0c1*: $\text{cod}_0 (\text{cod}_1 x) = \text{cod}_1 (\text{cod}_0 x)$

<proof>

lemma *comm-c0d1*: $\text{cod}_0 (\text{dom}_1 x) = \text{dom}_1 (\text{cod}_0 x)$

<proof>

We prove further lemmas that are not related to the globular structure.

lemma *d0-comp1-idem* [*simp*]: $\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 x = \text{dom}_0 x$

<proof>

lemma *cod0-comp1-idem*: $\text{cod}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{cod}_0 x = \text{cod}_0 x$

<proof>

lemma *dom01-loc* [*simp*]: $dom_0 (x \cdot_1 dom_1 y) = dom_0 (x \cdot_1 y)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cod01-loc1*: $cod_0 (cod_1 x \cdot_1 y) = cod_0 (x \cdot_1 y)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *dom01-exp* [*simp*]: $dom_0 (cod_1 x \cdot_1 y) = dom_0 (x \cdot_1 y)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cod01-exo*: $cod_0 (x \cdot_1 dom_1 y) = cod_0 (x \cdot_1 y)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *dom01-loc-var* [*simp*]: $dom_0 (x \cdot_0 dom_1 y) = dom_0 (x \cdot_0 y)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cod01-loc-var*: $cod_0 (cod_1 x \cdot_0 y) = cod_0 (x \cdot_0 y)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *dom0cod1-exp*: $dom_0 (x \cdot_0 y) \leq dom_0 (cod_1 x \cdot_0 y)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cod0dom1-exp*: $cod_0 (x \cdot_0 y) \leq cod_0 (x \cdot_0 dom_1 y)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *two-semiring*) *d0-comp1*: $dom_0 x \cdot_0 (y \cdot_1 z) \leq (dom_0 x \cdot_0 y) \cdot_1 (dom_0 x \cdot_0 z)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *d1-comp1*: $dom_1 x \cdot_0 (y \cdot_1 z) \leq (dom_1 x \cdot_0 y) \cdot_1 (dom_1 x \cdot_0 z)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *d01-export*: $dom_0 (dom_1 x \cdot_1 y) \leq dom_0 x \cdot_1 dom_0 y$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cod01-export*: $cod_0 (x \cdot_1 cod_1 y) \leq cod_0 x \cdot_1 cod_0 y$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *d10-export* [*simp*]: $dom_1 (dom_0 x \cdot_1 y) = dom_0 x \cdot_1 dom_1 y$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cod10-export*: $cod_1 (x \cdot_1 cod_0 y) = cod_1 x \cdot_1 cod_0 y$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *d0-comp10*: $dom_0 x \cdot_1 dom_0 y = dom_0 x \cdot_0 dom_0 y$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *dom-exchange-strong*: $(dom_0 w \cdot_1 dom_0 x) \cdot_0 (dom_0 y \cdot_1 dom_0 z) = (dom_0 w \cdot_0 dom_0 y) \cdot_1 (dom_0 x \cdot_0 dom_0 z)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

context *strong-two-semiring*
begin

lemma *id1-comp0*: $1_1 \cdot_0 1_1 \leq 1_1$
<proof>

lemma *id1-comp0-eq* [*simp*]: $1_1 \cdot_0 1_1 = 1_1$
<proof>

lemma $1_0 = 1_1$
<proof>

lemma *dom0cod1-exp*: $dom_0 (x \cdot_0 y) = dom_0 (cod_1 x \cdot_0 y)$
<proof>

lemma *cod0dom1-exp*: $cod_0 (x \cdot_0 dom_1 y) = cod_0 (x \cdot_0 y)$
<proof>

end

The following laws are diamond laws. It remains to define diamonds for them.

context *two-semiring*
begin

lemma *fdia0fdia1-prop*: $dom_0 (y \cdot_0 dom_1 (x \cdot_1 z)) = dom_0 (y \cdot_0 (x \cdot_1 z))$
<proof>

lemma *bdia0fdia1-prop* [*simp*]: $cod_0 (dom_1 (x \cdot_1 z) \cdot_0 y) = cod_0 ((x \cdot_1 z) \cdot_0 y)$
<proof>

lemma *fdia0bdia1-prop*: $dom_0 (y \cdot_0 cod_1 (x \cdot_1 z)) = dom_0 (y \cdot_0 (x \cdot_1 z))$
<proof>

lemma *bdia0bdia1-prop*: $cod_0 (cod_1 (x \cdot_1 z) \cdot_0 y) = cod_0 ((x \cdot_1 z) \cdot_0 y)$
<proof>

lemma *fdia0fdia1-prop2*: $dom_0 (y \cdot_0 dom_1 (x \cdot_1 z)) \leq dom_0 (y \cdot_0 (dom_0 x \cdot_1 dom_0 z))$
<proof>

lemma *fdia00-prop2*: $dom_0 (y \cdot_0 dom_0 (x \cdot_1 z)) \leq dom_0 (y \cdot_0 (dom_0 x \cdot_1 dom_0 z))$
<proof>

lemma *bdia0dom1-prop2*: $\text{cod}_0 (\text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_1 z) \cdot_0 y) \leq \text{cod}_0 ((\text{cod}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{cod}_0 z) \cdot_0 y)$

<proof>

lemma *bdia0dom0-prop2*: $\text{cod}_0 (\text{dom}_0 (x \cdot_1 z) \cdot_0 y) \leq \text{cod}_0 ((\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 z) \cdot_0 y)$

<proof>

lemma *fdia0bdia1-prop-2*: $\text{dom}_0 (y \cdot_0 \text{cod}_1 (z \cdot_1 x)) \leq \text{dom}_0 (y \cdot_0 (\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 z))$

<proof>

lemma *fdia0bdia0-prop2*: $\text{dom}_0 (y \cdot_0 \text{cod}_0 (z \cdot_1 x)) \leq \text{dom}_0 (y \cdot_0 (\text{cod}_0 z \cdot_1 \text{cod}_0 x))$

<proof>

lemma *bdia0bdia1-prop2*: $\text{cod}_0 (\text{cod}_1 (z \cdot_1 x) \cdot_0 y) \leq \text{cod}_0 ((\text{cod}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{cod}_0 z) \cdot_0 y)$

<proof>

lemma *bdia0bdia0-prop2*: $\text{cod}_0 (\text{cod}_0 (x \cdot_1 z) \cdot_0 y) \leq \text{cod}_0 ((\text{cod}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{cod}_0 z) \cdot_0 y)$

<proof>

lemma *fdia1fdia0-prop3 [simp]*: $\text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 (y \cdot_0 z)) \leq \text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 (\text{dom}_1 y \cdot_0 z))$

<proof>

lemma *fdia1bdia0-prop3 [simp]*: $\text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_1 \text{cod}_0 (z \cdot_0 y)) \leq \text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_1 \text{cod}_0 (z \cdot_0 \text{dom}_1 y))$

<proof>

lemma *bdia1fdia0-prop3*: $\text{cod}_1 (\text{dom}_0 (y \cdot_0 z) \cdot_1 x) \leq \text{cod}_1 (\text{dom}_0 (\text{cod}_1 y \cdot_0 z) \cdot_1 x)$

<proof>

lemma *bdia1bdia0-prop3*: $\text{cod}_1 (\text{cod}_0 (z \cdot_0 y) \cdot_1 x) \leq \text{cod}_1 (\text{cod}_0 (z \cdot_0 \text{cod}_1 y) \cdot_1 x)$

<proof>

end

context *strong-two-semiring*

begin

lemma *fdia1fdia0-prop3 [simp]*: $\text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 (\text{dom}_1 y \cdot_0 z)) = \text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 (y \cdot_0 z))$

<proof>

lemma *fdia1bdia0-prop3 [simp]*: $\text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_1 \text{cod}_0 (z \cdot_0 \text{dom}_1 y)) = \text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_1 \text{cod}_0 (z \cdot_0 y))$

<proof>

lemma *bdia1fdia0-prop3*: $\text{cod}_1 (\text{dom}_0 (\text{cod}_1 y \cdot_0 z) \cdot_1 x) = \text{cod}_1 (\text{dom}_0 (y \cdot_0 z) \cdot_1 x)$

<proof>

lemma *bdia1bdia0-prop3*: $\text{cod}_1 (\text{cod}_0 (z \cdot_0 \text{cod}_1 y) \cdot_1 x) = \text{cod}_1 (\text{cod}_0 (z \cdot_0 y) \cdot_1 x)$

<proof>

lemma *fdia0fdia1-prop4*: $\text{dom}_0 z \cdot_0 \text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_1 y) \leq \text{dom}_1 ((\text{dom}_0 z \cdot_0 x) \cdot_1 (\text{dom}_0 z \cdot_0 y))$

<proof>

lemma *fdia0bdia1-prop4*: $\text{dom}_0 z \cdot_0 \text{cod}_1 (y \cdot_1 x) \leq \text{cod}_1 ((\text{dom}_0 z \cdot_0 y) \cdot_1 (\text{dom}_0 z \cdot_0 x))$

<proof>

lemma *fdia1fdia1-prop4*: $\text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_1 y) \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 z \leq \text{dom}_1 ((x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 z) \cdot_1 (y \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 z))$

<proof>

lemma *bdia1bdia1-prop4*: $\text{cod}_1 (y \cdot_1 x) \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 z \leq \text{cod}_1 ((y \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 z) \cdot_1 (x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 z))$

<proof>

end

3.4 Globular 2-Kleene algebras

class *two-kleene-algebra* = *two-semiring* + *kleene-algebra0* + *kleene-algebra1*

class *strong-two-kleene-algebra* = *strong-two-semiring* + *kleene-algebra0* + *kleene-algebra1*

lemma (in *strong-two-kleene-algebra*) $\text{star1 } x \cdot_0 \text{star1 } y \leq \text{star0 } (x \cdot_1 y)$

<proof>

lemma (in *strong-two-kleene-algebra*) $\text{star1 } x \cdot_0 \text{star1 } y \leq \text{star1 } (x \cdot_1 y)$

<proof>

context *two-kleene-algebra*

begin

lemma *interchange-var1*: $(x \cdot_1 x) \cdot_0 (y \cdot_1 y) \cdot_0 (z \cdot_1 z) \leq (x \cdot_0 y \cdot_0 z) \cdot_1 (x \cdot_0 y \cdot_0 z)$

<proof>

lemma *interchange-var2*: $(x \cdot_1 y) \cdot_0 (x \cdot_1 y) \cdot_0 (x \cdot_1 y) \leq (x \cdot_0 x \cdot_0 x) \cdot_1 (y \cdot_0 y \cdot_0 y)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *star0-comp1*: $\text{star0 } (x \cdot_1 y) \leq \text{star0 } x \cdot_1 \text{star0 } y$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

context *strong-two-kleene-algebra*
begin

lemma *star1* $(x \cdot_1 y) \leq \text{star1 } x \cdot_0 \text{star1 } y$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *star1* $x \cdot_0 \text{star1 } y \leq \text{star1 } (x \cdot_0 y)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *star1* $(x \cdot_0 y) \leq \text{star1 } x \cdot_0 \text{star1 } y$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *star0* $x \cdot_1 \text{star0 } y \leq \text{star0 } (x \cdot_0 y)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *star0* $(x \cdot_0 y) \leq \text{star0 } x \cdot_1 \text{star0 } y$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *star0* $x \cdot_1 \text{star0 } y \leq \text{star0 } (x \cdot_1 y)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *strong-two-kleene-algebra*) $\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_0 \text{star1 } y \leq \text{star1 } (\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_0 y)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

class *two-quantale-lmcs* = *modal-semiring0* + *modal-semiring1* +
assumes *interchange*: $(w \cdot_1 x) \cdot_0 (y \cdot_1 z) \leq (w \cdot_0 y) \cdot_1 (x \cdot_0 z)$
and *d1-hom*: $\text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_0 y) = \text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_1 y$
and *c1-hom*: $\text{cod}_1 (x \cdot_0 y) = \text{cod}_1 x \cdot_0 \text{cod}_1 y$
and *d1d0* [*simp*]: $\text{dom}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x) = \text{dom}_0 x$
and *c1d0* [*simp*]: $\text{cod}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x) = \text{dom}_0 x$
and *d1c0* [*simp*]: $\text{dom}_1 (\text{cod}_0 x) = \text{cod}_0 x$
and *c1c0* [*simp*]: $\text{cod}_1 (\text{cod}_0 x) = \text{cod}_0 x$

```

and d0d1 [simp]:  $dom_0 (dom_1 x) = dom_0 x$ 
and c0d1 [simp]:  $cod_0 (dom_1 x) = dom_0 x$ 
and d0c1 [simp]:  $dom_0 (cod_1 x) = cod_0 x$ 
and c0c1 [simp]:  $cod_0 (cod_1 x) = cod_0 x$ 

```

begin

```

lemma dom0 ( $x \cdot_1 y$ )  $\leq dom_0 x \cdot_1 dom_0 y$ 

```

<proof>

```

lemma cod0 ( $x \cdot_1 y$ )  $\leq cod_0 x \cdot_1 cod_0 y$ 

```

<proof>

end

end

4 2-Quantales

theory *Two-Quantale*

imports *Quantales-Converse.Modal-Quantale Two-Kleene-Algebra*

begin

```

class quantale0 = complete-lattice + monoid-mult0 +
  assumes Sup-distl0:  $x \cdot_0 \sqcup Y = (\sqcup y \in Y. x \cdot_0 y)$ 
  assumes Sup-distr0:  $\sqcup X \cdot_0 y = (\sqcup x \in X. x \cdot_0 y)$ 

```

```

sublocale quantale0  $\subseteq$  q0q: unital-quantale  $1_0 (\cdot_0)$  - - - - -
  <proof>

```

```

definition (in quantale0) qstar0 = q0q.qstar

```

```

lemma (in quantale0) qstar0-unfold:  $qstar0 x = (\sqcup i. mm0.power x i)$ 
  <proof>

```

```

sublocale quantale0  $\subseteq$  dq0s0: dioid0 ( $\sqcup$ ) ( $\leq$ ) ( $<$ )  $\perp$  ( $\cdot_0$ )  $1_0$ 
  <proof>

```

```

sublocale quantale0  $\subseteq$  dq0ka0: kleene-algebra0 ( $\sqcup$ ) ( $\leq$ ) ( $<$ )  $\perp$  ( $\cdot_0$ )  $1_0$  qstar0
  <proof>

```

```

class domain-quantale0 = quantale0 + dom0-op +
  assumes dom0-absorb:  $x \leq dom_0 x \cdot_0 x$ 
  and dom0-local:  $dom_0 (x \cdot_0 dom_0 y) = dom_0 (x \cdot_0 y)$ 
  and dom0-add:  $dom_0 (x \sqcup y) = dom_0 x \sqcup dom_0 y$ 
  and dom0-subid:  $dom_0 x \leq 1_0$ 

```

```

and dom0-zero: dom0 ⊥ = ⊥

sublocale domain-quantale0 ⊆ dq0dq: domain-quantale dom0 10 (·0) -----
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale domain-quantale0 ⊆ dq0ds0: domain-semiring0 (⊔) (≤) (<) ⊥ (·0) 10
  dom0
  ⟨proof⟩

class codomain-quantale0 = quantale0 + cod0-op +
  assumes cod0-absorb: x ≤ x ·0 cod0 x
  and cod0-local: cod0 (cod0 x ·0 y) = cod0 (x ·0 y)
  and cod0-add: cod0 (x ⊔ y) = cod0 x ⊔ cod0 y
  and cod0-subid: cod0 x ≤ 10
  and cod0-zero: cod0 ⊥ = ⊥

sublocale codomain-quantale0 ⊆ cdq0cdq: codomain-quantale 10 (·0) -----
  cod0
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale codomain-quantale0 ⊆ cdq0dcs0: codomain-semiring0 cod0 (⊔) (≤) (<)
  ⊥ (·0) 10
  ⟨proof⟩

class modal-quantale0 = domain-quantale0 + codomain-quantale0 +
  assumes dc-compat: dom0 (cod0 x) = cod0 x
  and cd-compat: cod0 (dom0 x) = dom0 x

sublocale modal-quantale0 ⊆ mq0mq: dc-modal-quantale 10 (·0) ----- cod0
  dom0
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale modal-quantale0 ⊆ mq0mka: modal-kleene-algebra0 (⊔) (≤) (<) ⊥ (·0)
  10 qstar0 cod0 dom0
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale modal-quantale0 ⊆ mq0dual: modal-quantale0 dom0 ----- λx y.
  y ·0 x - cod0
  ⟨proof⟩

class quantale1 = complete-lattice + monoid-mult1 +
  assumes Sup-distl1: x ·1 ⊔ Y = (⊔ y ∈ Y. x ·1 y)
  assumes Sup-distr1: ⊔ X ·1 y = (⊔ x ∈ X. x ·1 y)

sublocale quantale1 ⊆ q1q: unital-quantale 11 (·1) -----
  ⟨proof⟩

definition (in quantale1) qstar1 = q1q.qstar

```

lemma (in *quantale1*) *qstar1-unfold*: $qstar1\ x = (\bigsqcup i. mm1.power\ x\ i)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *quantale1* \subseteq *dq1s1*: *dioid1* (\sqcup) (\leq) ($<$) \perp (\cdot_1) 1_1
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *quantale1* \subseteq *dq0ka1*: *kleene-algebra1* (\sqcup) (\leq) ($<$) \perp (\cdot_1) 1_1 *qstar1*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

class *domain-quantale1* = *quantale1* + *dom1-op* +
assumes *dom1-absorb*: $x \leq dom_1\ x \cdot_1\ x$
and *dom1-local*: $dom_1\ (x \cdot_1\ dom_1\ y) = dom_1\ (x \cdot_1\ y)$
and *dom1-add*: $dom_1\ (x \sqcup y) = dom_1\ x \sqcup dom_1\ y$
and *dom1-subid*: $dom_1\ x \leq 1_1$
and *dom1-zero*: $dom_1\ \perp = \perp$

sublocale *domain-quantale1* \subseteq *dq1dq*: *domain-quantale* dom_1 1_1 (\cdot_1) - - - - -
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *domain-quantale1* \subseteq *dq1ds1*: *domain-semiring1* (\sqcup) (\leq) ($<$) \perp (\cdot_1) 1_1
 dom_1
 ⟨*proof*⟩

class *codomain-quantale1* = *quantale1* + *cod1-op* +
assumes *cod1-absorb*: $x \leq x \cdot_1\ cod_1\ x$
and *cod1-local*: $cod_1\ (cod_1\ x \cdot_1\ y) = cod_1\ (x \cdot_1\ y)$
and *cod1-add*: $cod_1\ (x \sqcup y) = cod_1\ x \sqcup cod_1\ y$
and *cod1-subid*: $cod_1\ x \leq 1_1$
and *cod1-zero*: $cod_1\ \perp = \perp$

sublocale *codomain-quantale1* \subseteq *cdq1cdq*: *codomain-quantale* 1_1 (\cdot_1) - - - - -
 cod_1
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *codomain-quantale1* \subseteq *cdq1dcs1*: *codomain-semiring1* cod_1 (\sqcup) (\leq) ($<$)
 \perp (\cdot_1) 1_1
 ⟨*proof*⟩

class *modal-quantale1* = *domain-quantale1* + *codomain-quantale1* +
assumes *dc-compat*: $dom_1\ (cod_1\ x) = cod_1\ x$
and *cd-compat*: $cod_1\ (dom_1\ x) = dom_1\ x$

sublocale *modal-quantale1* \subseteq *mq1mq*: *dc-modal-quantale* 1_1 (\cdot_1) - - - - - cod_1
 dom_1
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *modal-quantale1* \subseteq *mq1mka*: *modal-kleene-algebra1* (\sqcup) (\leq) ($<$) \perp (\cdot_1)
 1_1 *qstar1* cod_1 dom_1

<proof>

sublocale *modal-quantale1* \subseteq *mq1dual*: *modal-quantale1* dom_1 - - - - - $\lambda x y.$
y $\cdot_1 x - \text{cod}_1$
<proof>

class *two-quantale* = *modal-quantale0* + *modal-quantale1* +
assumes *interchange*: $(w \cdot_1 x) \cdot_0 (y \cdot_1 z) \leq (w \cdot_0 y) \cdot_1 (x \cdot_0 z)$
and *d1-hom*: $\text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_0 y) \leq \text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_1 y$
and *c1-hom*: $\text{cod}_1 (x \cdot_0 y) \leq \text{cod}_1 x \cdot_0 \text{cod}_1 y$
and *d0-weak-hom*: $\text{dom}_0 (x \cdot_1 y) \leq \text{dom}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 y$
and *c0-weak-hom*: $\text{cod}_0 (x \cdot_1 y) \leq \text{cod}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{cod}_0 y$
and *d1d0 [simp]*: $\text{dom}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x) = \text{dom}_0 x$

class *strong-two-quantale* = *two-quantale* +
assumes *d1-strong-hom [simp]*: $\text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_0 y) = \text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_1 y$
and *c1-strong-hom [simp]*: $\text{cod}_1 (x \cdot_0 y) = \text{cod}_1 x \cdot_0 \text{cod}_1 y$

sublocale *two-quantale* \subseteq *tgqs*: *two-semiring* $\text{cod}_0 (\sqcup) (\leq) (<) \perp (\cdot_0) 1_0 \text{dom}_0$
 $\text{cod}_1 (\cdot_1) 1_1 \text{dom}_1$
<proof>

sublocale *strong-two-quantale* \subseteq *stgqs*: *strong-two-semiring* $\text{cod}_0 (\sqcup) (\leq) (<) \perp$
 $(\cdot_0) 1_0 \text{dom}_0 \text{cod}_1 (\cdot_1) 1_1 \text{dom}_1$
<proof>

sublocale *two-quantale* \subseteq *tgqs*: *two-kleene-algebra* $(\sqcup) (\leq) (<) \perp (\cdot_0) 1_0 \text{qstar0}$
 $(\cdot_1) 1_1 \text{qstar1} \text{cod}_0 \text{dom}_0 \text{cod}_1 \text{dom}_1$ *<proof>*

sublocale *strong-two-quantale* \subseteq *tgqs*: *strong-two-kleene-algebra* $(\sqcup) (\leq) (<) \perp$
 $(\cdot_0) 1_0 \text{qstar0} (\cdot_1) 1_1 \text{qstar1} \text{cod}_0 \text{dom}_0 \text{cod}_1 \text{dom}_1$ *<proof>*

lemma (**in** *strong-two-quantale*) *id0-le-id1*: $1_0 = 1_1$

<proof>

context *two-quantale*
begin

lemma *qstar0-aux*: $\text{mm0.power} (x \cdot_1 y) i \leq \text{mm0.power} x i \cdot_1 \text{mm0.power} y i$
<proof>

lemma *qstar0-oplax*: $\text{qstar0} (x \cdot_1 y) \leq \text{qstar0} x \cdot_1 \text{qstar0} y$
<proof>

lemma *qstar1-distl0*: $x \cdot_0 (\text{qstar1} y) = (\bigsqcup i. x \cdot_0 \text{mm1.power} y i)$
<proof>

lemma *qstar1-distr0*: $(\text{qstar1} x) \cdot_0 y = (\bigsqcup i. \text{mm1.power} x i \cdot_0 y)$

<proof>

lemma *qstar0-distl1*: $x \cdot_1 (qstar0\ y) = (\bigsqcup i. x \cdot_1\ mm0.power\ y\ i)$
<proof>

lemma *qstar0-distr1*: $(qstar0\ x) \cdot_1\ y = (\bigsqcup i. mm0.power\ x\ i \cdot_1\ y)$
<proof>

lemma *star1-laxl-aux-var*: $dom_0\ x \cdot_0\ mm1.power\ y\ i \leq mm1.power\ (dom_0\ x \cdot_0\ y)\ i$
<proof>

lemma *star1-laxl-var*: $dom_0\ x \cdot_0\ qstar1\ y \leq qstar1\ (dom_0\ x \cdot_0\ y)$
<proof>

lemma *star1-laxr-aux-var*: $mm1.power\ x\ i \cdot_0\ cod_0\ y \leq mm1.power\ (x \cdot_0\ cod_0\ y)\ i$
<proof>

lemma *qstar1-laxr-var*: $qstar1\ x \cdot_0\ cod_0\ y \leq qstar1\ (x \cdot_0\ cod_0\ y)$
<proof>

lemma *qstar1-1-power*: $qstar1\ x \cdot_0\ qstar1\ y = (\bigsqcup i\ j. mm1.power\ x\ i \cdot_0\ mm1.power\ y\ j)$
<proof>

end

context *strong-two-quantale*
begin

lemma *star1-laxl-aux*: $dom_1\ x \cdot_0\ mm1.power\ y\ i \leq mm1.power\ (dom_1\ x \cdot_0\ y)\ i$
<proof>

lemma *star1-laxl*: $dom_1\ x \cdot_0\ qstar1\ y \leq qstar1\ (dom_1\ x \cdot_0\ y)$
<proof>

lemma *star1-laxr-aux*: $mm1.power\ x\ i \cdot_0\ cod_1\ y \leq mm1.power\ (x \cdot_0\ cod_1\ y)\ i$
<proof>

lemma *qstar1-laxr*: $qstar1\ x \cdot_0\ cod_1\ y \leq qstar1\ (x \cdot_0\ cod_1\ y)$
<proof>

lemma *qstar1-aux*: $mm1.power\ x\ i \cdot_0\ mm1.power\ y\ i \leq mm1.power\ (x \cdot_0\ y)\ i$
<proof>

lemma *qstar1* $x \cdot_0\ qstar1\ y \leq qstar0\ (x \cdot_1\ y)$

<proof>

lemma $qstar1\ x \cdot_0\ qstar1\ y \leq qstar1\ (x \cdot_1\ y)$

<proof>

lemma $qstar1\ (x \cdot_1\ y) \leq qstar1\ x \cdot_0\ qstar1\ y$

<proof>

lemma $qstar1\ x \cdot_0\ qstar1\ y \leq qstar1\ (x \cdot_0\ y)$

<proof>

lemma $qstar1\ (x \cdot_0\ y) \leq qstar1\ x \cdot_0\ qstar1\ y$

<proof>

lemma $qstar0\ x \cdot_1\ qstar0\ y \leq qstar0\ (x \cdot_0\ y)$

<proof>

end

lemma (in *strong-two-kleene-algebra*) $qstar0\ x \cdot_1\ qstar0\ y \leq qstar0\ (x \cdot_1\ y)$

<proof>

lemma (in *strong-two-kleene-algebra*) $qstar0\ (x \cdot_1\ y) \leq qstar0\ x \cdot_1\ qstar0\ y$

<proof>

class *two-quantale-lmcs* = *modal-quantale0* + *modal-quantale1* +

assumes *interchange*: $(w \cdot_1\ x) \cdot_0\ (y \cdot_1\ z) \leq (w \cdot_0\ y) \cdot_1\ (x \cdot_0\ z)$

and *d1-hom*: $dom_1\ (x \cdot_0\ y) = dom_1\ x \cdot_0\ dom_1\ y$

and *c1-hom*: $cod_1\ (x \cdot_0\ y) = cod_1\ x \cdot_0\ cod_1\ y$

and *d1d0* [*simp*]: $dom_1\ (dom_0\ x) = dom_0\ x$

and *c1d0* [*simp*]: $cod_1\ (dom_0\ x) = dom_0\ x$

and *d1c0* [*simp*]: $dom_1\ (cod_0\ x) = cod_0\ x$

and *c1c0* [*simp*]: $cod_1\ (cod_0\ x) = cod_0\ x$

and *d0d1* [*simp*]: $dom_0\ (dom_1\ x) = dom_0\ x$

and *c0d1* [*simp*]: $cod_0\ (dom_1\ x) = dom_0\ x$

and *d0c1* [*simp*]: $dom_0\ (cod_1\ x) = cod_0\ x$

and *c0c1* [*simp*]: $cod_0\ (cod_1\ x) = cod_0\ x$

begin

lemma $dom_0\ (x \cdot_1\ y) \leq dom_0\ x \cdot_1\ dom_0\ y$

<proof>

lemma $cod_0 (x \cdot_1 y) \leq cod_0 x \cdot_1 cod_0 y$

<proof>

end

end

5 Lifting 2-Catoids to powerset 2-quantales

theory *Two-Catoid-Lifting*

imports *Two-Catoid Two-Quantale Catoids.Catoid-Lifting*

begin

instantiation *set* :: (*local-two-catoid*) *two-quantale*

begin

definition *dom₀-set* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set **where**

$dom_0 X = Src_0 X$

definition *cod₀-set* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set **where**

$cod_0 X = Tgt_0 X$

definition *comp₀-set* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set **where**

$X \cdot_0 Y = X *_0 Y$

definition *id₀-set* :: 'a set

where $1_0 = s0fix$

definition *dom₁-set* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set **where**

$dom_1 X = Src_1 X$

definition *cod₁-set* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set **where**

$cod_1 X = Tgt_1 X$

definition *comp₁-set* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set **where**

$X \cdot_1 Y = X *_1 Y$

definition *id₁-set* :: 'a set **where**

$1_1 = t1fix$

instance

<proof>

end

end

6 2-Catoids with (too) strong homomorphisms

```
theory Two-Catoid-Collapse
  imports Two-Catoid
```

```
begin
```

Here we present variants of 2-categories where the axioms are too strong. There is an Eckmann-Hilton style collapse of the two structures.

6.1 2-st-Multimagmas with strong homomorphism laws

```
class two-st-multimagma-collapse = st-multimagma0 + st-multimagma1 +
  assumes comm-s0s1:  $\sigma_0 (\sigma_1 x) = \sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x)$ 
  and comm-t0t1:  $\tau_0 (\tau_1 x) = \tau_1 (\tau_0 x)$ 
  and comm-s0t1:  $\sigma_0 (\tau_1 x) = \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)$ 
  and commtr0s1:  $\tau_0 (\sigma_1 x) = \sigma_1 (\tau_0 x)$ 
  assumes interchange:  $(w \odot_1 x) *_0 (y \odot_1 z) \subseteq (w \odot_0 y) *_1 (x \odot_0 z)$ 
  and t0-hom:  $Tgt_0 (x \odot_1 y) = \tau_0 x \odot_1 \tau_0 y$ 
  and t1-hom:  $Tgt_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \tau_1 x \odot_0 \tau_1 y$ 
  and s0-hom:  $Src_0 (x \odot_1 y) = \sigma_0 x \odot_1 \sigma_0 y$ 
  and s1-hom:  $Src_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \sigma_1 x \odot_0 \sigma_1 y$ 
  and s1-s0 [simp]:  $\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) = \sigma_0 x$ 
  and t1-s0 [simp]:  $\tau_1 (\sigma_0 x) = \sigma_0 x$ 
  and s1-t0 [simp]:  $\sigma_1 (\tau_0 x) = \tau_0 x$ 
  and t1-t0 [simp]:  $\tau_1 (\tau_0 x) = \tau_0 x$ 
```

```
begin
```

The source and target structure collapses.

```
lemma s0s1:  $\sigma_0 x = \sigma_1 x$ 
<proof>
```

```
lemma t0t1:  $\tau_0 x = \tau_1 x$ 
<proof>
```

```
lemma s0t0:  $\sigma_0 x = \tau_0 x$ 
<proof>
```

```
lemma  $\sigma_0 x = x$ 
<proof>
```

```
lemma s1t1:  $\sigma_1 x = \tau_1 x$ 
<proof>
```

```
lemma  $x \in y \odot_0 z \implies x' \in y \odot_0 z \implies x = x'$ 
<proof>
```

lemma $x \in y \odot_1 z \implies x' \in y \odot_1 z \implies x = x'$

<proof>

The two compositions are still different—but see below for 2-catoids.

end

6.2 2-Catoids with (too) strong homomorphisms

class *two-catoid-collapse* = *two-st-multimagma-collapse* + *catoid0* + *catoid1*

begin

The two compositions are still different, and neither of them commutes.

lemma $x \odot_0 y = x \odot_1 y$

<proof>

lemma $x \odot_0 y = y \odot_0 x$

<proof>

lemma $x \odot_1 y = y \odot_1 x$

<proof>

end

6.3 Single-set 2-categories with (too) strong homomorphisms

class *two-category-collapse* = *two-catoid-collapse* + *single-set-category0* + *single-set-category1*

begin

lemma *comp-collapse*: $x \odot_0 y = x \odot_1 y$

<proof>

lemma *comp0-comm*: $x \odot_0 y = y \odot_0 x$

<proof>

lemma *comp1-comm*: $x \odot_1 y = y \odot_1 x$

<proof>

lemma $\sigma_0 x = x$

<proof>

lemma $\sigma_0 x = \sigma_0 y$

<proof>

lemma $x \odot_0 y \neq \{\}$

<proof>

lemma $x \odot_1 y \neq \{\}$

<proof>

end

6.4 2-lr-Multimagmas with strong interchange law

class *two-lr-multimagma-bad* = *st-multimagma0* + *st-multimagma1* +
assumes *comm-s0s1*: $\sigma_0 (\sigma_1 x) = \sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x)$
and *comm-t0t1*: $\tau_0 (\tau_1 x) = \tau_1 (\tau_0 x)$
and *comm-s0t1*: $\sigma_0 (\tau_1 x) = \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)$
and *comm-t0s1*: $\tau_0 (\sigma_1 x) = \sigma_1 (\tau_0 x)$
assumes *interchange*: $(w \odot_1 x) *_0 (y \odot_1 z) = (w \odot_0 y) *_1 (x \odot_0 z)$
and *t0-hom*: $Tgt_0 (x \odot_1 y) = \tau_0 x \odot_1 \tau_0 y$
and *t1-hom*: $Tgt_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \tau_1 x \odot_0 \tau_1 y$
and *s0-hom*: $Src_0 (x \odot_1 y) \subseteq \sigma_0 x \odot_1 \sigma_0 y$
and *s1-hom*: $Src_1 (x \odot_0 y) \subseteq \sigma_1 x \odot_0 \sigma_1 y$
and *s1-s0* [*simp*]: $\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) = \sigma_0 x$
and *t1-s0* [*simp*]: $\tau_1 (\sigma_0 x) = \sigma_0 x$
and *s1-t0* [*simp*]: $\sigma_1 (\tau_0 x) = \tau_0 x$
and *t1-t0* [*simp*]: $\tau_1 (\tau_0 x) = \tau_0 x$

begin

The source and target structure collapses.

lemma *s0s1*: $\sigma_0 x = \sigma_1 x$

<proof>

lemma *t0t1*: $\tau_0 x = \tau_1 x$

<proof>

lemma *s0t0*: $\sigma_0 x = \tau_0 x$

<proof>

lemma *s1t1*: $\sigma_1 x = \tau_1 x$

<proof>

lemma *comp-collapse*: $x \odot_0 y = x \odot_1 y$

<proof>

lemma *comp0-comm*: $x \odot_0 y = y \odot_0 x$
<proof>

lemma *comp1-comm*: $x \odot_1 y = y \odot_1 x$
<proof>

lemma *comp0-assoc*: $\{x\} *_0 (y \odot_0 z) = (x \odot_0 y) *_0 \{z\}$
<proof>

lemma *comp1-assoc*: $\{x\} *_1 (y \odot_1 z) = (x \odot_1 y) *_1 \{z\}$
<proof>

lemma $\sigma_0 x = x$
<proof>

lemma $\sigma_0 x = \sigma_0 y$
<proof>

lemma $x \odot_0 y \neq \{\}$
<proof>

lemma $x \in y \odot_0 z \implies x' \in y \odot_0 z \implies x = x'$
<proof>

lemma $x \odot_1 y \neq \{\}$
<proof>

lemma $x \in y \odot_1 z \implies x' \in y \odot_1 z \implies x = x'$
<proof>

end

end

7 ω -Catoids

theory *Omega-Catoid*
imports *Two-Catoid*

begin

7.1 Indexed catoids.

We add an index to the operations of catoids.

```

class imultimagma =
  fixes imcomp :: 'a ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a set (-⊙ - - [70,70,70]70)

definition (in imultimagma) iconv :: 'a set ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a set (-*--[70,70,70]70)
where
   $X \star_i Y = (\bigcup x \in X. \bigcup y \in Y. x \odot_i y)$ 

class multisemigroup = imultimagma +
  assumes assoc:  $(\bigcup v \in y \odot_i z. x \odot_i v) = (\bigcup v \in x \odot_i y. v \odot_i z)$ 

begin

sublocale ims: multisemigroup λx y. x ⊙i y
  ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation DD ≡ ims.Δ

lemma iconv-prop:  $X \star_i Y \equiv \text{ims.conv } i \ X \ Y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

class st-imultimagma = imultimagma +
  fixes src :: nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
  and tgt :: nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
  assumes Dst:  $x \odot_i y \neq \{\}$  ⇒  $\text{tgt } i \ x = \text{src } i \ y$ 
  and src-absorb [simp]:  $(\text{src } i \ x) \odot_i x = \{x\}$ 
  and tgt-absorb [simp]:  $x \odot_i (\text{tgt } i \ x) = \{x\}$ 

begin

lemma inst:  $(\text{src } 1 \ x) \odot_1 x = \{x\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale stimm: st-multimagma λx y. x ⊙i y src i tgt i
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale stimm0: st-multimagma0 λx y. x ⊙i y src i tgt i
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale stimm1: st-multimagma1 λx y. x ⊙i y src i tgt i
  ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation srcfix ≡ stimm.sfix

abbreviation tgtfix ≡ stimm.tfix

```

abbreviation $Srci \equiv stimm.Src$

abbreviation $Tgti \equiv stimm.Tgt$

end

class $icatoid = st-multimagma + imultisemigroup$

sublocale $icatoid \subseteq icat: catoid \ \lambda x y. x \odot_i y \ src \ i \ tgt \ i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

class $local-icatoid = icatoid +$
assumes $src-local: Srci \ i \ (x \odot_i \ src \ i \ y) \subseteq Srci \ i \ (x \odot_i \ y)$
and $tgt-local: Tgti \ i \ (tgt \ i \ x \odot_i \ y) \subseteq Tgti \ i \ (x \odot_i \ y)$

sublocale $local-icatoid \subseteq licat: local-catoid \ \lambda x y. x \odot_i y \ src \ i \ tgt \ i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

class $functional-imagma = imultimagma +$
assumes $functionality: x \in y \odot_i z \implies x' \in y \odot_i z \implies x = x'$

sublocale $functional-imagma \subseteq pmi: functional-magma \ \lambda x y. x \odot_i y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

class $functional-isemigroup = functional-imagma + imultisemigroup$

sublocale $functional-isemigroup \subseteq psgi: functional-semigroup \ \lambda x y. x \odot_i y \langle proof \rangle$

class $functional-icatoid = functional-isemigroup + icatoid$

sublocale $functional-icatoid \subseteq psgi: functional-catoid \ \lambda x y. x \odot_i y \ src \ i \ tgt \ i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

class $icategory = functional-icatoid + local-icatoid$

sublocale $icategory \subseteq icatt: single-set-category \ \lambda x y. x \odot_i y \ src \ i \ tgt \ i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

7.2 ω -Catoids

Next we define ω -catoids and ω -categories.

class $omega-st-multimagma = st-multimagma +$
assumes $comm-sisj: i \neq j \implies src \ i \ (src \ j \ x) = src \ j \ (src \ i \ x)$
and $comm-sitj: i \neq j \implies src \ i \ (tgt \ j \ x) = tgt \ j \ (src \ i \ x)$
and $comm-titj: i \neq j \implies tgt \ i \ (tgt \ j \ x) = tgt \ j \ (tgt \ i \ x)$
and $si-hom: i \neq j \implies Srci \ i \ (x \odot_j y) \subseteq src \ i \ x \odot_j \ src \ i \ y$
and $ti-hom: i \neq j \implies Tgti \ i \ (x \odot_j y) \subseteq tgt \ i \ x \odot_j \ tgt \ i \ y$
and $omega-interchange: i < j \implies (w \odot_j x) \star_i (y \odot_j z) \subseteq (w \odot_i y) \star_j (x \odot_i z)$

and *sjsi* [*simp*]: $i < j \implies \text{src } j (\text{src } i x) = \text{src } i x$
and *sjti* [*simp*]: $i < j \implies \text{src } j (\text{tgt } i x) = \text{tgt } i x$
and *tjsi* [*simp*]: $i < j \implies \text{tgt } j (\text{src } i x) = \text{src } i x$
and *tjti* [*simp*]: $i < j \implies \text{tgt } j (\text{tgt } i x) = \text{tgt } i x$

class *omega-catoid* = *omega-st-multimagma* + *icatoid*

context *omega-st-multimagma*
begin

lemma *omega-interchange-var*: $(w \odot_{(i+k+1)} x) \star_i (y \odot_{(i+k+1)} z) \subseteq (w \odot_i y) \star_{(i+k+1)} (x \odot_i z)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *all-sisj*: $\text{src } i (\text{src } j x) = \text{src } j (\text{src } i x)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *all-titj*: $\text{tgt } i (\text{tgt } j x) = \text{tgt } j (\text{tgt } i x)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *sjsi-var* [*simp*]: $\text{src } (i+k) (\text{src } i x) = \text{src } i x$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *sjti-var* [*simp*]: $\text{src } (i+k) (\text{tgt } i x) = \text{tgt } i x$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *tjsi-var* [*simp*]: $\text{tgt } (i+k) (\text{src } i x) = \text{src } i x$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *tjti-var* [*simp*]: $\text{tgt } (i+k) (\text{tgt } i x) = \text{tgt } i x$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

The following sublocale statement should help us to translate statements for 2-catoids to ω -catoids. But it does not seem to work. Hence we duplicate the work done for 2-catoids, and later also for semirings and quantales.

sublocale *otmm*: *two-st-multimagma*

$\lambda x y. x \odot_i y$
 $\text{src } i$
 $\text{tgt } i$
 $\lambda x y. x \odot_{(i+k+1)} y$
 $\text{src } (i+k+1)$
 $\text{tgt } (i+k+1)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

class *omega-st-multimagma-strong* = *omega-st-multimagma* +
assumes *sj-hom-strong*: $i < j \implies \text{Src } i j (x \odot_i y) = \text{src } j x \odot_i \text{src } j y$

and *tj-hom-strong*: $i < j \implies Tgt\ i\ j\ (x \odot_i y) = tgt\ j\ x \odot_i tgt\ j\ y$

begin

lemma *sj-hom-strong-var*: $Src\ i\ (i + k + 1)\ (x \odot_i y) = src\ (i + k + 1)\ x \odot_i src\ (i + k + 1)\ y$
<proof>

lemma *tj-hom-strong-var*: $Tgt\ i\ (i + k + 1)\ (x \odot_i y) = tgt\ (i + k + 1)\ x \odot_i tgt\ (i + k + 1)\ y$
<proof>

end

sublocale *omega-st-multimagma* \subseteq *olropp*: *omega-st-multimagma* $\lambda\ x\ i\ y.\ y \odot_i x$
tgt src
<proof>

context *omega-st-multimagma*
begin

lemma *sisj*: $i \leq j \implies src\ i\ (src\ j\ x) = src\ i\ x$
<proof>

lemma *sisj-var [simp]*: $src\ i\ (src\ (i + k)\ x) = src\ i\ x$
<proof>

lemma *sitj*: $i < j \implies src\ i\ (tgt\ j\ x) = src\ i\ x$
<proof>

lemma *sitj-var [simp]*: $src\ i\ (tgt\ (i + k + 1)\ x) = src\ i\ x$
<proof>

lemma *tisj*: $i < j \implies tgt\ i\ (src\ j\ x) = tgt\ i\ x$
<proof>

lemma *tisj-var [simp]*: $tgt\ i\ (src\ (i + k + 1)\ x) = tgt\ i\ x$
<proof>

lemma *titi*: $i \leq j \implies tgt\ i\ (tgt\ j\ x) = tgt\ i\ x$
<proof>

lemma *titi-var [simp]*: $tgt\ i\ (tgt\ (i + k)\ x) = tgt\ i\ x$
<proof>

end

context *omega-catoid*
begin

lemma *src-icat1*:
assumes $i \leq j$
and $DD\ j\ x\ y$
shows $Srci\ i\ (x \odot_j y) = \{src\ i\ x\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *src-icat2*:
assumes $i < j$
and $DD\ j\ x\ y$
shows $Srci\ i\ (x \odot_j y) = \{src\ i\ y\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tgt-icat1*:
assumes $i < j$
and $DD\ j\ x\ y$
shows $Tgti\ i\ (x \odot_j y) = \{tgt\ i\ x\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tgt-icat2*:
assumes $i \leq j$
and $DD\ j\ x\ y$
shows $Tgti\ i\ (x \odot_j y) = \{tgt\ i\ y\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

We lift the axioms to the powerset level.

context *omega-st-multimagma*
begin

lemma *comm-SiSj*: $Srci\ i\ (Srci\ j\ X) = Srci\ j\ (Srci\ i\ X)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comm-TiTj*: $Tgti\ i\ (Tgti\ j\ X) = Tgti\ j\ (Tgti\ i\ X)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comm-SiTj*: $i \neq j \implies Srci\ i\ (Tgti\ j\ x) = Tgti\ j\ (Srci\ i\ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comm-TiSj*: $i \neq j \implies Tgti\ i\ (Srci\ j\ x) = Srci\ j\ (Tgti\ i\ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *interchange-lift*:
assumes $i < j$
shows $(W \star_j X) \star_i (Y \star_j Z) \subseteq (W \star_i Y) \star_j (X \star_i Z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Srcj-hom*:

assumes $i \neq j$
shows $\text{Srci } j (X \star_i Y) \subseteq \text{Srci } j X \star_i \text{Srci } j Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Tgtj-hom*:
assumes $i \neq j$
shows $\text{Tgti } j (X \star_i Y) \subseteq \text{Tgti } j X \star_i \text{Tgti } j Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SjSi*: $i \leq j \implies \text{Srci } j (\text{Srci } i X) = \text{Srci } i X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SjSi-var [simp]*: $\text{Srci } (i + k) (\text{Srci } i X) = \text{Srci } i X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SjTi*: $i \leq j \implies \text{Srci } j (\text{Tgti } i X) = \text{Tgti } i X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SjTi-var [simp]*: $\text{Srci } (i + k) (\text{Tgti } i X) = \text{Tgti } i X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *TjSi*: $i \leq j \implies \text{Tgti } j (\text{Srci } i X) = \text{Srci } i X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *TjSi-var [simp]*: $\text{Tgti } (i + k) (\text{Srci } i X) = \text{Srci } i X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *TjTi*: $i \leq j \implies \text{Tgti } j (\text{Tgti } i X) = \text{Tgti } i X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *TjTi-var [simp]*: $\text{Tgti } (i + k) (\text{Tgti } i X) = \text{Tgti } i X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *srcfixij*: $i \leq j \implies \text{srcfix } i \subseteq \text{srcfix } i \star_j \text{srcfix } i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *srcfixij-var*: $\text{srcfix } i \subseteq \text{srcfix } i \star_{(j+k)} \text{srcfix } i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *srcfixij-var2*: $i \leq j \implies \text{srcfix } i \subseteq \text{srcfix } j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *srcfixij-var3*: $\text{srcfix } i \subseteq \text{srcfix } (i + k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

context *omega-st-multimagma-strong*
begin

lemma *Srcj-hom-strong*:

assumes $i < j$

shows $\text{Srci } j (X \star_i Y) = \text{Srci } j X \star_i \text{Srci } j Y$

<proof>

lemma *Srcj-hom-strong-var*: $\text{Srci } (i + k + 1) (X \star_i Y) = \text{Srci } (i + k + 1) X \star_i$

$\text{Srci } (i + k + 1) Y$

<proof>

lemma *Tgtj-hom-strong*:

assumes $i < j$

shows $\text{Tgti } j (X \star_i Y) = \text{Tgti } j X \star_i \text{Tgti } j Y$

<proof>

lemma *Tgtj-hom-strong-var*: $\text{Tgti } (i + k + 1) (X \star_i Y) = \text{Tgti } (i + k + 1) X \star_i$

$\text{Tgti } (i + k + 1) Y$

<proof>

lemma *srcfixij-var2*: $i < j \implies \text{srcfix } j \star_i \text{srcfix } j \subseteq \text{srcfix } j$

<proof>

lemma *srcfixij-var22*: $\text{srcfix } (i + k + 1) \star_i \text{srcfix } (i + k + 1) \subseteq \text{srcfix } (i + k + 1)$

<proof>

lemma *srcfixij-eq*: $i < j \implies \text{srcfix } j \star_i \text{srcfix } j = \text{srcfix } j$

<proof>

lemma *srcfixij-eq-var [simp]*: $\text{srcfix } (i + k + 1) \star_i \text{srcfix } (i + k + 1) = \text{srcfix } (i + k + 1)$

<proof>

end

7.3 ω -Catoids and single-set ω -categories

class *omega-catoid-strong* = *omega-st-multimagma-strong* + *omega-catoid*

class *local-omega-catoid* = *omega-st-multimagma* + *local-icatoid*

class *functional-omega-catoid* = *omega-st-multimagma* + *functional-icatoid*

class *local-omega-catoid-strong* = *omega-st-multimagma-strong* + *local-icatoid*

class *omega-category* = *omega-st-multimagma* + *icategory*

begin

lemma *sj-hom-strong*:

assumes $i < j$

shows $\text{Srci } j (x \odot_i y) = \text{src } j x \odot_i \text{src } j y$

<proof>

lemma *sj-hom-strong-var*: $\text{Srci } (i + k + 1) (x \odot_i y) = \text{src } (i + k + 1) x \odot_i \text{src } (i + k + 1) y$

<proof>

lemma *sj-hom-strong-delta*: $i < j \implies \text{DD } i x y = \text{DD } i (\text{src } j x) (\text{src } j y)$

<proof>

lemma *tj-hom-strong*: $i < j \implies \text{Tgti } j (x \odot_i y) = \text{tgt } j x \odot_i \text{tgt } j y$

<proof>

lemma *tj-hom-strong-var*: $\text{Tgti } (i + k + 1) (x \odot_i y) = \text{tgt } (i + k + 1) x \odot_i \text{tgt } (i + k + 1) y$

<proof>

lemma *tj-hom-strong-delta*: $i < j \implies \text{DD } i x y = \text{DD } i (\text{tgt } j x) (\text{tgt } j y)$

<proof>

lemma *convi-sgl*: $a \in x \odot_i y \implies \{a\} = x \odot_i y$

<proof>

Next we derive some simple globular properties.

lemma *strong-interchange-STj*:

assumes $i < j$

and $a \in (w \odot_i x) \star_j (y \odot_i z)$

shows $\text{Tgti } j (w \odot_i x) = \text{Srci } j (y \odot_i z)$

<proof>

lemma *strong-interchange-ssi*:

assumes $i < j$

and $a \in (w \odot_i x) \star_j (y \odot_i z)$

shows $\text{src } i w = \text{src } i y$

<proof>

end

7.4 Reduced axiomatisations

class *omega-st-multimagma-red* = *st-imultimagma* +

assumes *interchange*: $i < j \implies (w \odot_j x) \star_i (y \odot_j z) \subseteq (w \odot_i y) \star_j (x \odot_i z)$

assumes *srcj-hom*: $i < j \implies \text{Srci } j (x \odot_i y) = \text{src } j x \odot_i \text{src } j y$

and *tgtj-hom*: $i < j \implies \text{Tgti } j (x \odot_i y) = \text{tgt } j x \odot_i \text{tgt } j y$

and *srci-weak-hom*: $i < j \implies \text{Srci } i (x \odot_j y) \subseteq \text{src } i x \odot_j \text{src } i y$

and *tgti-weak-hom*: $i < j \implies \text{Tgti } i (x \odot_j y) \subseteq \text{tgt } i x \odot_j \text{tgt } i y$

begin

lemma *sitjsi* [*simp*]: $\text{src } i (\text{tgt } j (\text{src } i x)) = \text{src } i x$
<proof>

lemma *tisjsi* [*simp*]: $\text{tgt } i (\text{src } j (\text{src } i x)) = \text{src } i x$
<proof>

lemma *sjsi*:
 assumes $i \leq j$
 shows $\text{src } j (\text{src } i x) = \text{src } i x$
<proof>

lemma *sjti*: $i \leq j \implies \text{src } j (\text{tgt } i x) = \text{tgt } i x$
<proof>

lemma *tjsi*: $i \leq j \implies \text{tgt } j (\text{src } i x) = \text{src } i x$
<proof>

lemma *tjti*: $i \leq j \implies \text{tgt } j (\text{tgt } i x) = \text{tgt } i x$
<proof>

lemma *comm-sisj*: $i \neq j \implies \text{src } i (\text{src } j x) = \text{src } j (\text{src } i x)$
<proof>

lemma *comm-sitj*: $i \neq j \implies \text{src } i (\text{tgt } j x) = \text{tgt } j (\text{src } i x)$
<proof>

lemma *comm-titj*: $i \neq j \implies \text{tgt } i (\text{tgt } j x) = \text{tgt } j (\text{tgt } i x)$
<proof>

end

class *omega-catoid-red* = *icatoid* +
 assumes *interchange*: $i < j \implies (w \odot_j x) \star_i (y \odot_j z) \subseteq (w \odot_i y) \star_j (x \odot_i z)$
 and *sj-hom*: $i < j \implies \text{Src } j (x \odot_i y) \subseteq \text{src } j x \odot_i \text{src } j y$
 and *tj-hom*: $i < j \implies \text{Tgt } j (x \odot_i y) \subseteq \text{tgt } j x \odot_i \text{tgt } j y$

begin

lemma *sitjsi*:
 assumes $i < j$
 shows $\text{src } i (\text{tgt } j (\text{src } i x)) = \text{src } i x$
<proof>

lemma *tisjsi*: $i < j \implies \text{tgt } i (\text{src } j (\text{src } i x)) = \text{src } i x$
<proof>

lemma *sjsi*:

assumes $i < j$
shows $\text{src } j (\text{src } i x) = \text{src } i x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sjti*: $i < j \implies \text{src } j (\text{tgt } i x) = \text{tgt } i x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tjsi*: $i < j \implies \text{tgt } j (\text{src } i x) = \text{src } i x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tjti*: $i < j \implies \text{tgt } j (\text{tgt } i x) = \text{tgt } i x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *comm-sisj*: $i < j \implies \text{src } i (\text{src } j x) = \text{src } j (\text{src } i x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *comm-sitj*: $i < j \implies \text{src } i (\text{tgt } j x) = \text{tgt } j (\text{src } i x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *comm-tisj*: $i < j \implies \text{tgt } i (\text{src } j x) = \text{src } j (\text{tgt } i x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *comm-titj*: $i < j \implies \text{tgt } i (\text{tgt } j x) = \text{tgt } j (\text{tgt } i x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *si-hom*: $i < j \implies \text{Src } i (x \odot_j y) \subseteq \text{src } i x \odot_j \text{src } i y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ti-hom*: $i < j \implies \text{Tgt } i (x \odot_j y) \subseteq \text{tgt } i x \odot_j \text{tgt } i y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

class *omega-catoid-red-strong* = *icatoid* +
assumes *interchange*: $i < j \implies (w \odot_j x) \star_i (y \odot_j z) \subseteq (w \odot_i y) \star_j (x \odot_i z)$
and *sj-hom-strong*: $i \leq j \implies \text{Src } j (x \odot_i y) = \text{src } j x \odot_i \text{src } j y$
and *tj-hom-strong*: $i \leq j \implies \text{Tgt } j (x \odot_i y) = \text{tgt } j x \odot_i \text{tgt } j y$

begin

lemma *sitjsi*: $i < j \implies \text{src } i (\text{tgt } j (\text{src } i x)) = \text{src } i x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tisjsi*: $i < j \implies \text{tgt } i (\text{src } j (\text{src } i x)) = \text{src } i x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sjsi*:
assumes $i < j$
shows $\text{src } j (\text{src } i x) = \text{src } i x$

<proof>

lemma *sjti*: $i < j \implies \text{src } j (\text{tgt } i \ x) = \text{tgt } i \ x$
<proof>

lemma *tjsi*: $i < j \implies \text{tgt } j (\text{src } i \ x) = \text{src } i \ x$
<proof>

lemma *tjti*: $i < j \implies \text{tgt } j (\text{tgt } i \ x) = \text{tgt } i \ x$
<proof>

lemma *comm-sisj*: $i < j \implies \text{src } i (\text{src } j \ x) = \text{src } j (\text{src } i \ x)$
<proof>

lemma *comm-sitj*: $i < j \implies \text{src } i (\text{tgt } j \ x) = \text{tgt } j (\text{src } i \ x)$
<proof>

lemma *comm-tisj*: $i < j \implies \text{tgt } i (\text{src } j \ x) = \text{src } j (\text{tgt } i \ x)$
<proof>

lemma *comm-titj*: $i < j \implies \text{tgt } i (\text{tgt } j \ x) = \text{tgt } j (\text{tgt } i \ x)$
<proof>

lemma *s0-weak-hom*: $i < j \implies \text{Srci } i (x \odot_j y) \subseteq \text{src } i \ x \odot_j \text{src } i \ y$
<proof>

lemma *t0-weak-hom*: $i < j \implies \text{Tgti } i (x \odot_j y) \subseteq \text{tgt } i \ x \odot_j \text{tgt } i \ y$
<proof>

end

end

8 ω -Kleene algebras

theory *Omega-Kleene-Algebra*

imports *Quantales-Converse.Modal-Kleene-Algebra-Var*

begin

Here we develop ω -semigroups and ω -Kleene algebras.

8.1 Copies for i-structures

class *icomp-op* =

fixes *icomp* :: 'a \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a (- .. - [70,70,70]70)

class *iid-op* =

fixes *un* :: nat \Rightarrow 'a

```

class istar-op =
  fixes star :: nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a

class idom-op =
  fixes do :: nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a

class icod-op =
  fixes cd :: nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a

class imonoid-mult = icomp-op + iid-op +
  assumes assoc:  $x \cdot_i (y \cdot_i z) = (x \cdot_i y) \cdot_i z$ 
  and comp-unl:  $un\ i \cdot_i x = x$ 
  and comp-unr:  $x \cdot_i un\ i = x$ 

class idiod = imonoid-mult + join-semilattice-zero +
  assumes distl:  $x \cdot_i (y + z) = x \cdot_i y + x \cdot_i z$ 
  and distr:  $(x + y) \cdot_i z = x \cdot_i z + y \cdot_i z$ 
  and annil:  $0 \cdot_i x = 0$ 
  and annir:  $x \cdot_i 0 = 0$ 

class ikleene-algebra = idiod + istar-op +
  assumes star-unfoldl:  $un\ i + x \cdot_i star\ i\ x \leq star\ i\ x$ 
  and star-unfoldr:  $un\ i + star\ i\ x \cdot_i x \leq star\ i\ x$ 
  and star-inductl:  $z + x \cdot_i y \leq y \implies star\ i\ x \cdot_i z \leq y$ 
  and star-inductr:  $z + y \cdot_i x \leq y \implies z \cdot_i star\ i\ x \leq y$ 

class idomain-semiring = idiod + idom-op +
  assumes do-absorb:  $x \leq do\ i\ x \cdot_i x$ 
  and do-local:  $do\ i\ (x \cdot_i do\ i\ y) = do\ i\ (x \cdot_i y)$ 
  and do-add:  $do\ i\ (x + y) = do\ i\ x + do\ i\ y$ 
  and do-subid:  $do\ i\ x \leq un\ i$ 
  and do-zero:  $do\ i\ 0 = 0$ 

class icodomain-semiring = idiod + icod-op +
  assumes cd-absorb:  $x \leq x \cdot_i cd\ i\ x$ 
  and cd-local:  $cd\ i\ (cd\ i\ x \cdot_i y) = cd\ i\ (x \cdot_i y)$ 
  and cd-add:  $cd\ i\ (x + y) = cd\ i\ x + cd\ i\ y$ 
  and cd-subid:  $cd\ i\ x \leq un\ i$ 
  and cd-zero:  $cd\ i\ 0 = 0$ 

class imodal-semiring = idomain-semiring + icodomain-semiring +
  assumes dc-compat:  $do\ i\ (cd\ i\ x) = cd\ i\ x$ 
  and cd-compat:  $cd\ i\ (do\ i\ x) = do\ i\ x$ 

class imodal-ikleene-algebra = imodal-semiring + ikleene-algebra

sublocale imonoid-mult  $\subseteq$  mm: monoid-mult un i  $\lambda x y. x \cdot_i y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```


sublocale *idioid* \subseteq *di*: *diod-one-zero* - $\lambda x y. x \cdot_i y$ un *i* - - -
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *idioid* \subseteq *ddi*: *idioid* - - - - $\lambda x i y. \text{icompr } y \text{ } i \text{ } x$ -
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *ikleene-algebra* \subseteq *ki*: *ikleene-algebra* - $\lambda x y. x \cdot_i y$ un *i* - - - *star i*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *ikleene-algebra* \subseteq *dki*: *ikleene-algebra* - - - - $\lambda x i y. y \cdot_i x$ - -
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *idomain-semiring* \subseteq *dsri*: *domain-semiring* - $\lambda x y. x \cdot_i y$ un *i* - *do i* - -
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *icodomain-semiring* \subseteq *csri*: *range-semiring* - $\lambda x y. x \cdot_i y$ un *i* - *cd i* - -
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *icodomain-semiring* \subseteq *ds0dual*: *idomain-semiring* - - - - $\lambda x i y. y \cdot_i x$ -
cd
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *imodal-semiring* \subseteq *msri*: *dr-modal-semiring* - $\lambda x y. x \cdot_i y$ un *i* - *do i* -
 - *cd i*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *imodal-semiring* \subseteq *msridual*: *imodal-semiring* *do* - - - - $\lambda x i y. y \cdot_i x$ -
cd
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *imodal-kleene-algebra* \subseteq *mkai*: *dr-modal-kleene-algebra* - $\lambda x y. x \cdot_i y$ un
i - - - *star i* *do i* *cd i* ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *imodal-kleene-algebra* \subseteq *mkaidual*: *imodal-kleene-algebra* - - - - $\lambda x i y.$
 $y \cdot_i x$ - - *do cd* ⟨*proof*⟩

8.2 Globular ω -semirings

class *omega-semiring* = *imodal-semiring* +
assumes *interchange*: $i < j \implies (w \cdot_j x) \cdot_i (y \cdot_j z) \leq (w \cdot_i y) \cdot_j (x \cdot_i z)$
and *di-hom*: $i \neq j \implies \text{do } i (x \cdot_j y) \leq \text{do } i x \cdot_j \text{do } i y$
and *ci-hom*: $i \neq j \implies \text{cd } i (x \cdot_j y) \leq \text{cd } i x \cdot_j \text{cd } i y$
and *djdi*: $i < j \implies \text{do } j (\text{do } i x) = \text{do } i x$

class *strong-omega-semiring* = *omega-semiring* +
assumes *dj-strong-hom*: $i < j \implies \text{do } j (x \cdot_i y) = \text{do } j x \cdot_i \text{do } j y$
and *cj-strong-hom*: $i < j \implies \text{cd } j (x \cdot_i y) = \text{cd } j x \cdot_i \text{cd } j y$

sublocale *omega-semiring* \subseteq *tgsdual*: *omega-semiring* *do* - - - $\lambda x i y. y \cdot_i x - cd$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *strong-omega-semiring* \subseteq *stgsdual*: *strong-omega-semiring* *do* - - - λx
 $i y. y \cdot_i x - cd$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

context *omega-semiring*
begin

lemma *interchange-var*: $(w \cdot_{(i+k+1)} x) \cdot_i (y \cdot_{(i+k+1)} z) \leq (w \cdot_i y) \cdot_{(i+k+1)} (x \cdot_i z)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *djdi-var* [*simp*]: $do (i+k+1) (do i x) = do i x$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cjdi*: $i \leq j \implies cd j (do i x) = do i x$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cjdi-var* [*simp*]: $cd (i+k) (do i x) = do i x$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *djci*: $i \leq j \implies do j (cd i x) = cd i x$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *djci-var* [*simp*]: $do (i+k) (cd i x) = cd i x$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cjci*: $i \leq j \implies cd j (cd i x) = cd i x$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cjci-var* [*simp*]: $cd (i+k) (cd i x) = cd i x$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *unj-compi-var*: $i \leq j \implies un j \leq un j \cdot_i un j$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *un-iso*: $i \leq j \implies un i \leq un j$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *uni-compj-eq* : $i < j \implies un i \cdot_j un i = un i$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *uni-compj-eq-var* [*simp*]: $un i \cdot_{(i+k)} un i = un i$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *dj-uni*: $i < j \implies do j (un i) = un i$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *dj-uni-var* [*simp*]: $do (i + k) (un i) = un i$
<proof>

lemma *di-unj*: $i < j \implies do i (un j) = un i$
<proof>

lemma *di-unj-var* [*simp*]: $do i (un (i + k)) = un i$
<proof>

lemma *ci-unj*: $i < j \implies cd i (un j) = un i$
<proof>

lemma *ci-unj-var* [*simp*]: $cd i (un (i + k)) = un i$
<proof>

lemma *cj-uni*: $i < j \implies cd j (un i) = un i$
<proof>

lemma *cj-uni-var* [*simp*]: $cd (i + k) (un i) = un i$
<proof>

lemma *comm-didj*: $i \leq j \implies do i (do j x) = do j (do i x)$
<proof>

lemma *comm-didj-var*: $do i (do (i + k) x) = do (i + k) (do i x)$
<proof>

lemma *comm-dicj*: $i < j \implies do i (cd j x) = cd j (do i x)$
<proof>

lemma *comm-dicj-var*: $do i (cd (i + k + 1) x) = cd (i + k + 1) (do i x)$
<proof>

lemma *comm-cicj*: $i \leq j \implies cd i (cd j x) = cd j (cd i x)$
<proof>

lemma *comm-cicj-var* [*simp*]: $cd i (cd (i + k) x) = cd (i + k) (cd i x)$
<proof>

lemma *comm-cidj*: $i < j \implies cd i (do j x) = do j (cd i x)$
<proof>

We prove further lemmas that are not related to the globular structure.

lemma *di-compi-idem*: $i \leq j \implies do i x \cdot_j do i x = do i x$
<proof>

lemma *di-compi-idem-var* [*simp*]: $do i x \cdot_{(i + k)} do i x = do i x$
<proof>

lemma *codi-compj-idem*: $i \leq j \implies cd\ i\ x \cdot_j\ cd\ i\ x = cd\ i\ x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *codi-compj-idem-var* [simp]: $cd\ i\ x \cdot_{(i+k)}\ cd\ i\ x = cd\ i\ x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *domij-loc*: $i \leq j \implies do\ i\ (x \cdot_j\ do\ j\ y) = do\ i\ (x \cdot_j\ y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *domij-loc-var* [simp]: $do\ i\ (x \cdot_{(i+k)}\ do\ (i+k)\ y) = do\ i\ (x \cdot_{(i+k)}\ y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *codij-locl*: $i \leq j \implies cd\ i\ (cd\ j\ x \cdot_j\ y) = cd\ i\ (x \cdot_j\ y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *codij-locl-var* [simp]: $cd\ i\ (cd\ (i+k)\ x \cdot_{(i+k)}\ y) = cd\ i\ (x \cdot_{(i+k)}\ y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *domij-exp*: $i < j \implies do\ i\ (cd\ j\ x \cdot_j\ y) = do\ i\ (x \cdot_j\ y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *domij-exp-var* [simp]: $do\ i\ (cd\ (i+k+1)\ x \cdot_{(i+k+1)}\ y) = do\ i\ (x \cdot_{(i+k+1)}\ y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *codij-exp*: $i < j \implies cd\ i\ (x \cdot_j\ do\ j\ y) = cd\ i\ (x \cdot_j\ y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *codij-exp-var* [simp]: $cd\ i\ (x \cdot_{(i+k+1)}\ do\ (i+k+1)\ y) = cd\ i\ (x \cdot_{(i+k+1)}\ y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *domij-loc-var2*: $i \leq j \implies do\ i\ (x \cdot_i\ do\ j\ y) = do\ i\ (x \cdot_i\ y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *domij-loc-var3*: $do\ i\ (x \cdot_i\ do\ (i+k)\ y) = do\ i\ (x \cdot_i\ y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *codij-loc-var*: $i \leq j \implies cd\ i\ (cd\ j\ x \cdot_i\ y) = cd\ i\ (x \cdot_i\ y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *codij-loc-var2*: $cd\ i\ (cd\ (i+k)\ x \cdot_i\ y) = cd\ i\ (x \cdot_i\ y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *di-compj*: $i < j \implies do\ i\ x \cdot_i\ (y \cdot_j\ z) \leq (do\ i\ x \cdot_i\ y) \cdot_j\ (do\ i\ x \cdot_i\ z)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *dj-compj*: $i < j \implies do\ j\ x \cdot_i (y \cdot_j z) \leq (do\ j\ x \cdot_i y) \cdot_j (do\ j\ x \cdot_i z)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *dij-export*: $i \leq j \implies do\ i (do\ j\ x \cdot_j y) \leq do\ i\ x \cdot_j do\ i\ y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *dij-export-var* [*simp*]: $do\ i (do\ (i+k)\ x \cdot_{(i+k)} y) \leq do\ i\ x \cdot_{(i+k)} do\ i\ y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *codij-export*: $i \leq j \implies cd\ i (x \cdot_j cd\ j\ y) \leq cd\ i\ x \cdot_j cd\ i\ y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *codij-export-var* [*simp*]: $cd\ i (x \cdot_{(i+k)} cd\ (i+k)\ y) \leq cd\ i\ x \cdot_{(i+k)} cd\ i\ y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *dji-export*: $i \leq j \implies do\ j (do\ i\ x \cdot_j y) = do\ i\ x \cdot_j do\ j\ y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *dji-export-var*: $do\ (i+k) (do\ i\ x \cdot_{(i+k)} y) = do\ i\ x \cdot_{(i+k)} do\ (i+k)\ y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *codji-export*: $i \leq j \implies cd\ j (x \cdot_j cd\ i\ y) = cd\ j\ x \cdot_j cd\ i\ y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *codji-export-var*: $cd\ (i+k) (x \cdot_{(i+k)} cd\ i\ y) = cd\ (i+k)\ x \cdot_{(i+k)} cd\ i\ y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *di-compji*: $i \leq j \implies do\ i\ x \cdot_j do\ i\ y = do\ i\ x \cdot_i do\ i\ y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *di-compji-var*: $do\ i\ x \cdot_{(i+k)} do\ i\ y = do\ i\ x \cdot_i do\ i\ y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *dom-exchange-strong*: $i \leq j \implies (do\ i\ w \cdot_j do\ i\ x) \cdot_i (do\ i\ y \cdot_j do\ i\ z) = (do\ i\ w \cdot_i do\ i\ y) \cdot_j (do\ i\ x \cdot_i do\ i\ z)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *codidomj-exp*: $i < j \implies cd\ i (x \cdot_i y) \leq cd\ i (x \cdot_i cd\ j\ y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *codidomj-exp-var*: $cd\ i (x \cdot_i y) \leq cd\ i (x \cdot_i cd\ (i+k+1)\ y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

The following laws are diamond laws. It remains to define diamonds for them.

lemma *fdiaifdiaj-prop*: $i \leq j \implies do\ i (y \cdot_i do\ j (x \cdot_j z)) = do\ i (y \cdot_i (x \cdot_j z))$

<proof>

lemma *bdiiaifdiaj-prop*: $i < j \implies cd\ i\ (do\ j\ (x\ \cdot_j\ z)\ \cdot_i\ y) = cd\ i\ ((x\ \cdot_j\ z)\ \cdot_i\ y)$
<proof>

lemma *fdiaibdiaj-prop*: $i < j \implies do\ i\ (y\ \cdot_i\ cd\ j\ (x\ \cdot_j\ z)) = do\ i\ (y\ \cdot_i\ (x\ \cdot_j\ z))$
<proof>

lemma *bdiiaibdiaj-prop*: $i \leq j \implies cd\ i\ (cd\ j\ (x\ \cdot_j\ z)\ \cdot_i\ y) = cd\ i\ ((x\ \cdot_j\ z)\ \cdot_i\ y)$
<proof>

lemma *fdiaifdiaj-prop2*: $i < j \implies do\ i\ (y\ \cdot_i\ do\ j\ (x\ \cdot_j\ z)) \leq do\ i\ (y\ \cdot_i\ (do\ i\ x\ \cdot_j\ do\ i\ z))$
<proof>

lemma *fdiaii-prop2*: $i < j \implies do\ i\ (y\ \cdot_i\ do\ i\ (x\ \cdot_j\ z)) \leq do\ i\ (y\ \cdot_i\ (do\ i\ x\ \cdot_j\ do\ i\ z))$
<proof>

lemma *bdiiaidomj-prop2*: $i < j \implies cd\ i\ (do\ j\ (x\ \cdot_j\ z)\ \cdot_i\ y) \leq cd\ i\ ((cd\ i\ x\ \cdot_j\ cd\ i\ z)\ \cdot_i\ y)$
<proof>

lemma *bdiiaidomi-prop2*: $i < j \implies cd\ i\ (do\ i\ (x\ \cdot_j\ z)\ \cdot_i\ y) \leq cd\ i\ ((do\ i\ x\ \cdot_j\ do\ i\ z)\ \cdot_i\ y)$
<proof>

lemma *fdiaibdiaj-prop-2*: $i < j \implies do\ i\ (y\ \cdot_i\ cd\ j\ (z\ \cdot_j\ x)) \leq do\ i\ (y\ \cdot_i\ (do\ i\ x\ \cdot_j\ do\ i\ z))$
<proof>

lemma *fdiaibdiai-prop2*: $i < j \implies do\ i\ (y\ \cdot_i\ cd\ i\ (z\ \cdot_j\ x)) \leq do\ i\ (y\ \cdot_i\ (cd\ i\ z\ \cdot_j\ cd\ i\ x))$
<proof>

lemma *bdiiaibdiaj-prop2*: $i < j \implies cd\ i\ (cd\ j\ (z\ \cdot_j\ x)\ \cdot_i\ y) \leq cd\ i\ ((cd\ i\ x\ \cdot_j\ cd\ i\ z)\ \cdot_i\ y)$
<proof>

lemma *bdiiaibdiai-prop2*: $i < j \implies cd\ i\ (cd\ i\ (x\ \cdot_j\ z)\ \cdot_i\ y) \leq cd\ i\ ((cd\ i\ x\ \cdot_j\ cd\ i\ z)\ \cdot_i\ y)$
<proof>

lemma *fdiajfdiai-prop3*: $i < j \implies do\ j\ (x\ \cdot_j\ do\ i\ (y\ \cdot_i\ z)) \leq do\ j\ (x\ \cdot_j\ do\ i\ (do\ j\ y\ \cdot_i\ z))$
<proof>

lemma *bdiajibdiai-prop3*: $i < j \implies cd\ j\ (cd\ i\ (z\ \cdot_i\ y)\ \cdot_j\ x) \leq cd\ j\ (cd\ i\ (z\ \cdot_i\ cd\ j\ y)\ \cdot_j\ x)$

<proof>

end

The following proofs need the domain codomain duality, which has been formalised using a sublocale statement above. It is only available outside of a context.

lemma (in *omega-semiring*) *domicodj-exp*: $i < j \implies do\ i\ (x \cdot_i\ y) \leq do\ i\ (cd\ j\ x \cdot_i\ y)$
<proof>

lemma (in *omega-semiring*) *domicodj-exp-var*: $do\ i\ (x \cdot_i\ y) \leq do\ i\ (cd\ (i + k + 1)\ x \cdot_i\ y)$
<proof>

lemma (in *omega-semiring*) *fdiajbdiai-prop3*: $i < j \implies do\ j\ (x \cdot_j\ cd\ i\ (z \cdot_i\ y)) \leq do\ j\ (x \cdot_j\ cd\ i\ (z \cdot_i\ do\ j\ y))$
<proof>

lemma (in *omega-semiring*) *bdiajfdiai-prop3*: $i < j \implies cd\ j\ (do\ i\ (y \cdot_i\ z) \cdot_j\ x) \leq cd\ j\ (do\ i\ (cd\ j\ y \cdot_i\ z) \cdot_j\ x)$
<proof>

context *strong-omega-semiring*

begin

lemma *idj-compj*: $i \leq j \implies un\ j \cdot_i\ un\ j \leq un\ j$
<proof>

lemma *idj-compi-eq*: $i < j \implies un\ j = un\ j \cdot_i\ un\ j$
<proof>

lemma *domicodj-exp*: $i < j \implies do\ i\ (x \cdot_i\ y) = do\ i\ (cd\ j\ x \cdot_i\ y)$
<proof>

lemma *domicodj-exp-var [simp]*: $do\ i\ (cd\ (i + k + 1)\ x \cdot_i\ y) = do\ i\ (x \cdot_i\ y)$
<proof>

lemma *codidomj-exp*: $i < j \implies cd\ i\ (x \cdot_i\ do\ j\ y) = cd\ i\ (x \cdot_i\ y)$
<proof>

lemma *codidomj-exp-var [simp]*: $cd\ i\ (x \cdot_i\ do\ (i + k + 1)\ y) = cd\ i\ (x \cdot_i\ y)$
<proof>

lemma *fdiajfdiai-prop3*: $i < j \implies do\ j\ (x \cdot_j\ do\ i\ (do\ j\ y \cdot_i\ z)) = do\ j\ (x \cdot_j\ do\ i\ (y \cdot_i\ z))$
<proof>

lemma *fdiajbdiai-prop3*: $i < j \implies do\ j\ (x \cdot_j\ cd\ i\ (z \cdot_i\ do\ j\ y)) = do\ j\ (x \cdot_j\ cd\ i\ (z$

$\cdot_i y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bdiajfdiai-prop3*: $i < j \implies cd\ j\ (do\ i\ (cd\ j\ y\ \cdot_i\ z)\ \cdot_j\ x) = cd\ j\ (do\ i\ (y\ \cdot_i\ z)\ \cdot_j\ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bdiajbdiai-prop3*: $i < j \implies cd\ j\ (cd\ i\ (z\ \cdot_i\ cd\ j\ y)\ \cdot_j\ x) = cd\ j\ (cd\ i\ (z\ \cdot_i\ y)\ \cdot_j\ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fdiaifdiaj-prop4*: $i < j \implies do\ i\ z\ \cdot_i\ do\ j\ (x\ \cdot_j\ y) \leq do\ j\ ((do\ i\ z\ \cdot_i\ x)\ \cdot_j\ (do\ i\ z\ \cdot_i\ y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fdia0bdia1-prop4*: $i < j \implies do\ i\ z\ \cdot_i\ cd\ j\ (y\ \cdot_j\ x) \leq cd\ j\ ((do\ i\ z\ \cdot_i\ y)\ \cdot_j\ (do\ i\ z\ \cdot_i\ x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fdiajfdiaj-prop4*: $i < j \implies do\ j\ (x\ \cdot_j\ y)\ \cdot_i\ do\ i\ z \leq do\ j\ ((x\ \cdot_i\ do\ i\ z)\ \cdot_j\ (y\ \cdot_i\ do\ i\ z))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bdiajbdiaj-prop4*: $i < j \implies cd\ j\ (y\ \cdot_j\ x)\ \cdot_i\ do\ i\ z \leq cd\ j\ ((y\ \cdot_i\ do\ i\ z)\ \cdot_j\ (x\ \cdot_i\ do\ i\ z))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

8.3 Globular ω -Kleene algebras

class *omega-kleene-algebra* = *omega-semiring* + *ikleene-algebra*

class *strong-omega-kleene-algebra* = *strong-omega-semiring* + *ikleene-algebra*

context *omega-kleene-algebra*

begin

lemma *interchange-var1*: $i < j \implies (x\ \cdot_j\ x)\ \cdot_i\ ((y\ \cdot_j\ y)\ \cdot_i\ (z\ \cdot_j\ z)) \leq (x\ \cdot_i\ (y\ \cdot_i\ z))\ \cdot_j\ (x\ \cdot_i\ (y\ \cdot_i\ z))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *interchange-var2*: $i < j \implies (x\ \cdot_j\ y)\ \cdot_i\ ((x\ \cdot_j\ y)\ \cdot_i\ (x\ \cdot_j\ y)) \leq (x\ \cdot_i\ (x\ \cdot_i\ x))\ \cdot_j\ (y\ \cdot_i\ (y\ \cdot_i\ y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *star-compj*:

assumes $i < j$

shows $star\ i\ (x\ \cdot_j\ y) \leq star\ i\ x\ \cdot_j\ star\ i\ y$

<proof>

lemma *star-compj-var*: $star\ i\ (x \cdot_{(i+k+1)}\ y) \leq star\ i\ x \cdot_{(i+k+1)}\ star\ i\ y$
<proof>

end

end

9 ω -Quantales

theory *Omega-Quantale*

imports *Quantales-Converse.Modal-Quantale Omega-Kleene-Algebra*

begin

class *iquantale* = *complete-lattice* + *imonoid-mult* +
assumes *Sup-distl*: $x \cdot_i \sqcup Y = (\sqcup y \in Y. x \cdot_i y)$
assumes *Sup-distr*: $\sqcup X \cdot_i y = (\sqcup x \in X. x \cdot_i y)$

sublocale *iquantale* \subseteq *qiq*: *unital-quantale un i $\lambda x y. x \cdot_i y$* - - - - -
<proof>

definition (**in** *iquantale*) *istar* = *qiq.qstar*

lemma (**in** *iquantale*) *istar-unfold*: $istar\ i\ x = (\sqcup k. mm.power\ i\ x\ k)$
<proof>

sublocale *iquantale* \subseteq *dqisi*: *idiodid* (\sqcup) (\leq) ($<$) \perp *icompl* *un*
<proof>

sublocale *iquantale* \subseteq *dqikai*: *ikleene-algebra* (\sqcup) (\leq) ($<$) \perp *icompl un istar*
<proof>

class *idomain-quantale* = *iquantale* + *idom-op* +
assumes *do-absorb*: $x \leq do\ i\ x \cdot_i\ x$
and *do-local [simp]*: $do\ i\ (x \cdot_i\ do\ i\ y) = do\ i\ (x \cdot_i\ y)$
and *do-add*: $do\ i\ (x \sqcup y) = do\ i\ x \sqcup do\ i\ y$
and *do-subid*: $do\ i\ x \leq un\ i$
and *do-zero [simp]*: $do\ i\ \perp = \perp$

sublocale *idomain-quantale* \subseteq *dqidq*: *domain-quantale do i un i $\lambda x y. x \cdot_i y$* - - -
- - - - -
<proof>

sublocale *idomain-quantale* \subseteq *dqidsi*: *idomain-semiring* (\sqcup) (\leq) ($<$) \perp *icompl un do*
<proof>

```

class icodomain-quantale = iquantale + icod-op +
  assumes cd-absorb:  $x \leq x \cdot_i cd\ i\ x$ 
  and cd-local [simp]:  $cd\ i\ (cd\ i\ x \cdot_i y) = cd\ i\ (x \cdot_i y)$ 
  and cd-add:  $cd\ i\ (x \sqcup y) = cd\ i\ x \sqcup cd\ i\ y$ 
  and cd-subid:  $cd\ i\ x \leq un\ i$ 
  and cd-zero [simp]:  $cd\ i\ \perp = \perp$ 

sublocale icodomain-quantale  $\subseteq$  cdqicdq: codomain-quantale un i  $\lambda x\ y. x \cdot_i y$  - - -
- - - - cd i
  <proof>

sublocale icodomain-quantale  $\subseteq$  cdqidcsi: icodomain-semiring cd ( $\sqcup$ ) ( $\leq$ ) ( $<$ )  $\perp$ 
  icomp un
  <proof>

class imodal-quantale = idomain-quantale + icodomain-quantale +
  assumes dc-compat [simp]:  $do\ i\ (cd\ i\ x) = cd\ i\ x$ 
  and cd-compat [simp]:  $cd\ i\ (do\ i\ x) = do\ i\ x$ 

sublocale imodal-quantale  $\subseteq$  mqimq: dc-modal-quantale un i  $\lambda x\ y. x \cdot_i y$  - - - - -
- - - cd i do i
  <proof>

sublocale imodal-quantale  $\subseteq$  mqimka: imodal-kleene-algebra ( $\sqcup$ ) ( $\leq$ ) ( $<$ )  $\perp$  icomp
  un istar cd do
  <proof>

sublocale imodal-quantale  $\subseteq$  mqidual: imodal-quantale do - - - - -  $\lambda x\ i\ y. y$ 
 $\cdot_i x - cd$ 
  <proof>

class omega-quantale = imodal-quantale +
  assumes interchange:  $i < j \implies (w \cdot_j x) \cdot_i (y \cdot_j z) \leq (w \cdot_i y) \cdot_j (x \cdot_i z)$ 
  and dj-hom:  $i \neq j \implies do\ j\ (x \cdot_i y) \leq do\ j\ x \cdot_i do\ j\ y$ 
  and cj-hom:  $i \neq j \implies cd\ j\ (x \cdot_i y) \leq cd\ j\ x \cdot_i cd\ j\ y$ 
  and djdi:  $i < j \implies do\ j\ (do\ i\ x) = do\ i\ x$ 

class strong-omega-quantale = omega-quantale +
  assumes dj-strong-hom:  $i < j \implies do\ j\ (x \cdot_i y) = do\ j\ x \cdot_i do\ j\ y$ 
  and cj-strong-hom:  $i < j \implies cd\ j\ (x \cdot_i y) = cd\ j\ x \cdot_i cd\ j\ y$ 

sublocale omega-quantale  $\subseteq$  tqqs: omega-semiring cd ( $\sqcup$ ) ( $\leq$ ) ( $<$ )  $\perp$  icomp un do
  <proof>

sublocale strong-omega-quantale  $\subseteq$  stgqs: strong-omega-semiring cd ( $\sqcup$ ) ( $\leq$ ) ( $<$ )
 $\perp$  icomp un do
  <proof>

sublocale omega-quantale  $\subseteq$  tqqs: omega-kleene-algebra ( $\sqcup$ ) ( $\leq$ ) ( $<$ )  $\perp$  icomp un

```

istar cd do $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *strong-omega-quantale* \subseteq *tgqs: strong-omega-kleene-algebra* $(\sqcup) (\leq) (<)$
 \perp *icomp un istar cd do* $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context *omega-quantale*
begin

lemma *istar-aux*: $i < j \implies \text{mm.power } i (x \cdot_j y) k \leq \text{mm.power } i x k \cdot_j \text{mm.power } i y k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *istar-oplax*: $i < j \implies \text{istar } i (x \cdot_j y) \leq \text{istar } i x \cdot_j \text{istar } i y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *istar-distli*: $i < j \implies x \cdot_i (\text{istar } j y) = (\bigsqcup k. x \cdot_i (\text{mm.power } j y k))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *istar-distri*: $i < j \implies (\text{istar } j x) \cdot_i y = (\bigsqcup k. \text{mm.power } j x k \cdot_i y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *istar-distlj*: $i < j \implies x \cdot_j (\text{istar } i y) = (\bigsqcup k. x \cdot_j (\text{mm.power } i y k))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *istar-distrj*: $i < j \implies (\text{istar } i x) \cdot_j y = (\bigsqcup k. \text{mm.power } i x k \cdot_j y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *istar-laxl-aux-var*: $i < j \implies \text{do } i x \cdot_i \text{mm.power } j y k \leq \text{mm.power } j (\text{do } i x \cdot_i y) k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *istar-laxl-var*:
 assumes $i < j$
 shows $\text{do } i x \cdot_i \text{istar } j y \leq \text{istar } j (\text{do } i x \cdot_i y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *istar-laxl-var2*: $\text{do } i x \cdot_i \text{istar } (i + k + 1) y \leq \text{istar } (i + k + 1) (\text{do } i x \cdot_i y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *istar-laxr-aux-var*: $i < j \implies \text{mm.power } j x k \cdot_i \text{cd } i y \leq \text{mm.power } j (x \cdot_i \text{cd } i y) k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *istar-laxr-var*:
 assumes $i < j$
 shows $\text{istar } j x \cdot_i \text{cd } i y \leq \text{istar } j (x \cdot_i \text{cd } i y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *istar-laxr-var2*: $istar (i + k + 1) x \cdot_i cd i y \leq istar (i + k + 1) (x \cdot_i cd i y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *istar-prop*:
 assumes $i < j$
 shows $istar j x \cdot_i istar j y = (\bigsqcup k l. mm.power j x k \cdot_i mm.power j y l)$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

context *strong-omega-quantale*
begin

lemma *istar-laxl-aux*: $i < j \implies do j x \cdot_i mm.power j y k \leq mm.power j (do j x \cdot_i y) k$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *istar-laxl*:
 assumes $i < j$
 shows $do j x \cdot_i istar j y \leq istar j (do j x \cdot_i y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *istar-laxr-aux*: $i < j \implies mm.power j x k \cdot_i cd j y \leq mm.power j (x \cdot_i cd j y) k$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *iqstar-laxr*:
 assumes $i < j$
 shows $istar j x \cdot_i cd j y \leq istar j (x \cdot_i cd j y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *qstar1-aux*: $i < j \implies mm.power j x k \cdot_i mm.power j y k \leq mm.power j (x \cdot_i y) k$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

end

10 Lifting ω -catoids to powerset ω -quantales

theory *Omega-Catoid-Lifting*
 imports *Omega-Catoid Omega-Quantale*

begin

instantiation *set* :: (*local-omega-catoid*) *omega-quantale*

begin

definition *do-set* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
 $\text{do } i \ X = \text{Srci } i \ X$

definition *cd-set* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
 $\text{cd } i \ X = \text{Tgti } i \ X$

definition *icomp-set* :: $'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
 $X \cdot_i Y = X \star_i Y$

definition *un-set* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
 $\text{un } i = \text{srcfix } i$

instance
<proof>

end

end

References

- [1] C. Calk, E. Goubault, P. Malbos, and G. Struth. Algebraic coherent confluence and higher globular Kleene algebras. *Log. Methods Comput. Sci.*, 18(4), 2022.
- [2] C. Calk, P. Malbos, D. Pous, and G. Struth. Higher catoids, higher quantales and their correspondences. *CoRR*, abs/2307.09253, 2023.
- [3] C. Calk and G. Struth. Modal quantales, involutive quantales, Dedekind quantales. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, July 2023. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Quantales_Converse.html, Formal proof development.
- [4] G. Struth. Quantales. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, December 2018. <https://isa-afp.org/entries/Quantales.html>, Formal proof development.
- [5] G. Struth. Catoids, categories, groupoids. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, August 2023. <https://isa-afp.org/entries/Catoids.html>, Formal proof development.