

Higher Globular Catoids and Quantaes

Cameron Calk and Georg Struth

March 17, 2025

Abstract

We formalise strict 2-catoids, 2-categories, 2-Kleene algebras and 2-quantaes, as well as their ω -variants. Due to strictness, the cells of these higher categories have globular shape. We use a single-set approach, generalised to catoids and based on type classes. The higher Kleene algebras and quantaes introduced extend features of modal and concurrent Kleene algebras and quantaes. They arise for instance as powerset extensions of higher catoids, and have been used in algebraic confluence proofs in higher-dimensional rewriting. Details are described in two companion articles.

Contents

1	Introductory remarks	2
2	2-Catoids	2
2.1	0-Structures and 1-structures.	3
2.2	2-Catoids	6
2.3	2-Catoids and single-set 2-categories	11
2.4	Reduced axiomatisations	13
3	2-Kleene algebras	22
3.1	Copies for 0-structures	22
3.2	Copies for 1-structures	25
3.3	Globular 2-semirings	27
3.4	Globular 2-Kleene algebras	37
4	2-Quantaes	39
5	Lifting 2-Catoids to powerset 2-quantaes	48
6	2-Catoids with (too) strong homomorphisms	50
6.1	2-st-Multimagnas with strong homomorphism laws	50
6.2	2-Catoids with (too) strong homomorphisms	52
6.3	Single-set 2-categories with (too) strong homomorphisms . . .	52

6.4	2-lr-Multimagmas with strong interchange law	53
7	ω-Catoids	55
7.1	Indexed catoids.	55
7.2	ω -Catoids	57
7.3	ω -Catoids and single-set ω -categories	63
7.4	Reduced axiomatisations	64
8	ω-Kleene algebras	68
8.1	Copies for i-structures	68
8.2	Globular ω -semirings	70
8.3	Globular ω -Kleene algebras	78
9	ω-Quantales	79
10	Lifting ω-catoids to powerset ω-quantales	86

1 Introductory remarks

We extend formalisations of catoids, categories and quantales from the AFP [5, 4, 3] to higher variants, as described in a companion article [2]. The categories, in particular, are formalised in a single-set approach. They are strict so that their cells have globular shape. We formalise the cases of 2 and ω separately. First, strict 2-categories are important in category theory: the category of all small categories, for example, forms such a category. Second, strict ω -categories are simply given by pairs of strict 2-categories in all dimensions, so that many properties for ω generalise easily from 2-properties. Fourth, Isabelle’s Nitpick tool can find interesting counterexamples at dimension 2, but not for ω . Finally, in the type classes formalising our ω -structures, the numerical indices of higher operations cannot simply be instantiated to a fixed value such as 2. Applications of higher Kleene algebras and quantales in higher-dimensional rewriting are explained in [1], where these structures were introduced.

With higher catoids, the partial compositions of cells in higher categories are relaxed to multioperations, which assign each pair of elements to a set of elements, so that mapping to the empty set captures partiality. In addition, a composition of two elements may be undefined even though the target of the first equals the source of the second in a given dimension.

2 2-Catoids

```
theory Two-Catoid
  imports Catoids.Catoid
```

begin

We define 2-catoids and in particular (strict) 2-categories as local functional 2-catoids. With Isabelle we first need to make two copies of catoids for the 0-structure and 1-structure.

2.1 0-Structures and 1-structures.

class *multimagma0* =
fixes *mcomp0* :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a set (**infixl** $\langle \odot_0 \rangle$ 70)

begin

sublocale *mm0*: *multimagma mcomp0*.

abbreviation $\Delta_0 \equiv mm0.\Delta$

abbreviation *conv0* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set (**infixl** $\langle *_0 \rangle$ 70) **where**
 $X *_0 Y \equiv mm0.conv X Y$

lemma $X *_0 Y = (\bigcup x \in X. \bigcup y \in Y. x \odot_0 y)$
by (*simp add: mm0.conv-def*)

end

class *multimagma1* =
fixes *mcomp1* :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a set (**infixl** $\langle \odot_1 \rangle$ 70)

begin

sublocale *mm1*: *multimagma mcomp1*.

abbreviation $\Delta_1 \equiv mm1.\Delta$

abbreviation *conv1* :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set (**infixl** $\langle *_1 \rangle$ 70) **where**
 $X *_1 Y \equiv mm1.conv X Y$

end

class *multisemigroup0* = *multimagma0* +
assumes *assoc*: $(\bigcup v \in y \odot_0 z. x \odot_0 v) = (\bigcup v \in x \odot_0 y. v \odot_0 z)$

sublocale *multisemigroup0* $\subseteq msg0$: *multisemigroup mcomp0*
by (*unfold-locales, simp add: local.assoc*)

class *multisemigroup1* = *multimagma1* +
assumes *assoc*: $(\bigcup v \in y \odot_1 z. x \odot_1 v) = (\bigcup v \in x \odot_1 y. v \odot_1 z)$

sublocale *multisemigroup1* $\subseteq msg1$: *multisemigroup mcomp1*

```

    by (unfold-locales, simp add: local.assoc)

class st-multimagma0 = multimagma0 +
fixes  $\sigma_0 :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ 
  and  $\tau_0 :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ 
  assumes  $Dst0: x \odot_0 y \neq \{\} \implies \tau_0 x = \sigma_0 y$ 
  and  $src0-absorb [simp]: \sigma_0 x \odot_0 x = \{x\}$ 
  and  $tgt0-absorb [simp]: x \odot_0 \tau_0 x = \{x\}$ 

begin

sublocale stmm0: st-multimagma mcomp0  $\sigma_0 \tau_0$ 
  by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: local.Dst0)

abbreviation s0fix  $\equiv stmm0.sfix$ 

abbreviation t0fix  $\equiv stmm0.tfix$ 

abbreviation Src0  $\equiv stmm0.Src$ 

abbreviation Tgt0  $\equiv stmm0.Tgt$ 

end

class st-multimagma1 = multimagma1 +
fixes  $\sigma_1 :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ 
  and  $\tau_1 :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ 
  assumes  $Dst1: x \odot_1 y \neq \{\} \implies \tau_1 x = \sigma_1 y$ 
  and  $src1-absorb [simp]: \sigma_1 x \odot_1 x = \{x\}$ 
  and  $tgt1-absorb [simp]: x \odot_1 \tau_1 x = \{x\}$ 

begin

sublocale stmm1: st-multimagma mcomp1  $\sigma_1 \tau_1$ 
  by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: local.Dst1)

abbreviation s1fix  $\equiv stmm1.sfix$ 

abbreviation t1fix  $\equiv stmm1.tfix$ 

abbreviation Src1  $\equiv stmm1.Src$ 

abbreviation Tgt1  $\equiv stmm1.Tgt$ 

end

class catoid0 = st-multimagma0 + multisemigroup0

sublocale catoid0  $\subseteq stmsg0: catoid mcomp0 \sigma_0 \tau_0..$ 

```

```

class catoid1 = st-multimagma1 + multisemigroup1

sublocale catoid1  $\subseteq$  stmsg1: catoid mcomp1  $\sigma_1 \tau_1$ ..

class local-catoid0 = catoid0 +
  assumes src0-local:  $\text{Src}_0 (x \odot_0 \sigma_0 y) \subseteq \text{Src}_0 (x \odot_0 y)$ 
  and tgt0-local:  $\text{Tgt}_0 (\tau_0 x \odot_0 y) \subseteq \text{Tgt}_0 (x \odot_0 y)$ 

class local-catoid1 = catoid1 +
  assumes l1-local:  $\text{Src}_1 (x \odot_1 \sigma_1 y) \subseteq \text{Src}_1 (x \odot_1 y)$ 
  and r1-local:  $\text{Tgt}_1 (\tau_1 x \odot_1 y) \subseteq \text{Tgt}_1 (x \odot_1 y)$ 

sublocale local-catoid0  $\subseteq$  ssmsg0: local-catoid mcomp0  $\sigma_0 \tau_0$ 
  apply unfold-locale using local.src0-local local.tgt0-local by auto

sublocale local-catoid1  $\subseteq$  stmsg1: local-catoid mcomp1  $\sigma_1 \tau_1$ 
  apply unfold-locale using local.l1-local local.r1-local by auto

class functional-magma0 = multimagma0 +
  assumes functionality0:  $x \in y \odot_0 z \implies x' \in y \odot_0 z \implies x = x'$ 

sublocale functional-magma0  $\subseteq$  pm0: functional-magma mcomp0
  by (unfold-locale, simp add: local.functionality0)

class functional-magma1 = multimagma1 +
  assumes functionality1:  $x \in y \odot_1 z \implies x' \in y \odot_1 z \implies x = x'$ 

sublocale functional-magma1  $\subseteq$  pm1: functional-magma mcomp1
  by (unfold-locale, simp add: local.functionality1)

class functional-semigroup0 = functional-magma0 + multisemigroup0

sublocale functional-semigroup0  $\subseteq$  psg0: functional-semigroup mcomp0..

class functional-semigroup1 = functional-magma1 + multisemigroup1

sublocale functional-semigroup1  $\subseteq$  psg1: functional-semigroup mcomp1..

class functional-catoid0 = functional-semigroup0 + catoid0

sublocale functional-catoid0  $\subseteq$  psg0: functional-catoid mcomp0  $\sigma_0 \tau_0$ ..

class functional-catoid1 = functional-semigroup1 + catoid1

sublocale functional-catoid1  $\subseteq$  psg1: functional-catoid mcomp1  $\sigma_1 \tau_1$ ..

class single-set-category0 = functional-catoid0 + local-catoid0

```

sublocale *single-set-category0* \subseteq *sscat0*: *single-set-category* *mcomp0* σ_0 τ_0 ..

class *single-set-category1* = *functional-catoid1* + *local-catoid1*

sublocale *single-set-category1* \subseteq *sscat1*: *single-set-category* *mcomp1* σ_1 τ_1 ..

2.2 2-Catoids

We define 2-catoids and 2-categories.

class *two-st-multimagma* = *st-multimagma0* + *st-multimagma1* +
assumes *comm-s0s1*: $\sigma_0 (\sigma_1 x) = \sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x)$
and *comm-s0t1*: $\sigma_0 (\tau_1 x) = \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)$
and *comm-t0s1*: $\tau_0 (\sigma_1 x) = \sigma_1 (\tau_0 x)$
and *comm-t0t1*: $\tau_0 (\tau_1 x) = \tau_1 (\tau_0 x)$
assumes *interchange*: $(w \odot_1 x) *_0 (y \odot_1 z) \subseteq (w \odot_0 y) *_1 (x \odot_0 z)$
and *s1-hom*: $\text{Src}_1 (x \odot_0 y) \subseteq \sigma_1 x \odot_0 \sigma_1 y$
and *t1-hom*: $\text{Tgt}_1 (x \odot_0 y) \subseteq \tau_1 x \odot_0 \tau_1 y$
and *s0-hom*: $\text{Src}_0 (x \odot_1 y) \subseteq \sigma_0 x \odot_1 \sigma_0 y$
and *t0-hom*: $\text{Tgt}_0 (x \odot_1 y) \subseteq \tau_0 x \odot_1 \tau_0 y$
and *s1s0* [*simp*]: $\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) = \sigma_0 x$
and *s1t0* [*simp*]: $\sigma_1 (\tau_0 x) = \tau_0 x$
and *t1s0* [*simp*]: $\tau_1 (\sigma_0 x) = \sigma_0 x$
and *t1t0* [*simp*]: $\tau_1 (\tau_0 x) = \tau_0 x$

class *two-st-multimagma-strong* = *two-st-multimagma* +
assumes *s1-hom-strong*: $\text{Src}_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \sigma_1 x \odot_0 \sigma_1 y$
and *t1-hom-strong*: $\text{Tgt}_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \tau_1 x \odot_0 \tau_1 y$

context *two-st-multimagma*
begin

sublocale *twolropp*: *two-st-multimagma* $\lambda x y. y \odot_0 x \tau_0 \sigma_0 \lambda x y. y \odot_1 x \tau_1 \sigma_1$
apply *unfold-locales*
apply (*simp-all* *add*: *stmm0.stopp.Dst stmm1.stopp.Dst comm-t0t1*
comm-t0s1 comm-s0t1 comm-s0s1 s1-hom t1-hom s0-hom t0-hom)
by (*metis* *local.interchange local.stmm0.stopp.conv-exp local.stmm1.stopp.conv-exp*
multimagma.conv-exp)

lemma *s0s1* [*simp*]: $\sigma_0 (\sigma_1 x) = \sigma_0 x$
by (*simp add*: *local.comm-s0s1*)

lemma *s0t1* [*simp*]: $\sigma_0 (\tau_1 x) = \sigma_0 x$
by (*simp add*: *local.comm-s0t1*)

lemma *t0s1* [*simp*]: $\tau_0 (\sigma_1 x) = \tau_0 x$
by (*simp add*: *local.comm-t0s1*)

lemma *t1t1* [*simp*]: $\tau_0 (\tau_1 x) = \tau_0 x$
by (*simp add*: *local.comm-t0t1*)

lemma *src0-comp1*: $\Delta_1 x y \implies \text{Src}_0 (x \odot_1 y) = \{\sigma_0 x\}$
by (*metis empty-is-image local.Dst1 local.comm-s0t1 local.s1s0 local.src1-absorb*
local.t1s0 s0s1 subset-singleton-iff twolropp.t0-hom)

lemma *src0-comp1-var*: $\Delta_1 x y \implies \text{Src}_0 (x \odot_1 y) = \{\sigma_0 y\}$
by (*metis local.Dst1 s0s1 s0t1 src0-comp1*)

lemma *tgt0-comp1*: $\Delta_1 x y \implies \text{Tgt}_0 (x \odot_1 y) = \{\tau_0 x\}$
by (*metis empty-is-image local.Dst1 local.comm-t0t1 local.s1t0 local.src1-absorb*
local.t1t0 subset-singleton-iff t0s1 twolropp.s0-hom)

lemma *tgt0-comp1-var*: $\Delta_1 x y \implies \text{Tgt}_0 (x \odot_1 y) = \{\tau_0 y\}$
by (*metis local.Dst1 t0s1 t1t1 tgt0-comp1*)

We lift the axioms to the powerset level.

lemma *comm-S0S1*: $\text{Src}_0 (\text{Src}_1 X) = \text{Src}_1 (\text{Src}_0 X)$
by (*simp add: image-image*)

lemma *comm-T0T1*: $\text{Tgt}_0 (\text{Tgt}_1 X) = \text{Tgt}_1 (\text{Tgt}_0 X)$
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) image-cong image-image local.comm-t0t1*)

lemma *comm-S0T1*: $\text{Src}_0 (\text{Tgt}_1 x) = \text{Tgt}_1 (\text{Src}_0 x)$
by (*simp add: image-image*)

lemma *comm-T0S1*: $\text{Tgt}_0 (\text{Src}_1 x) = \text{Src}_1 (\text{Tgt}_0 x)$
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) image-cong image-image local.comm-t0s1*)

lemma *interchange-lifting*: $(W *_1 X) *_0 (Y *_1 Z) \subseteq (W *_0 Y) *_1 (X *_0 Z)$

proof–

{**fix** *a*
assume $a \in (W *_1 X) *_0 (Y *_1 Z)$
hence $\exists w \in W. \exists x \in X. \exists y \in Y. \exists z \in Z. a \in (w \odot_1 x) *_0 (y \odot_1 z)$
using *local.mm0.conv-exp2 local.mm1.conv-exp2* **by** *fastforce*
hence $\exists w \in W. \exists x \in X. \exists y \in Y. \exists z \in Z. a \in (w \odot_0 y) *_1 (x \odot_0 z)$
using *local.interchange* **by** *blast*
hence $a \in (W *_0 Y) *_1 (X *_0 Z)$
using *local.mm0.conv-exp2 local.mm1.conv-exp2* **by** *auto*}
thus *?thesis..*

qed

lemma *Src1-hom*: $\text{Src}_1 (X *_0 Y) \subseteq \text{Src}_1 X *_0 \text{Src}_1 Y$

proof–

{**fix** *a*
have $(a \in \text{Src}_1 (X *_0 Y)) = (\exists b \in X *_0 Y. a = \sigma_1 b)$
by *blast*
also have $\dots = (\exists b. \exists c \in X. \exists d \in Y. a = \sigma_1 b \wedge b \in c \odot_0 d)$
by (*metis multimagma.conv-exp2*)
also have $\dots = (\exists c \in X. \exists d \in Y. a \in \text{Src}_1 (c \odot_0 d))$

by *blast*
 also have $\dots \longrightarrow (\exists c \in X. \exists d \in Y. a \in \sigma_1 c \odot_0 \sigma_1 d)$
 using *local.s1-hom* by *fastforce*
 also have $\dots = (\exists c \in \text{Src}_1 X. \exists d \in \text{Src}_1 Y. a \in c \odot_0 d)$
 by *blast*
 also have $\dots = (a \in \text{Src}_1 X *_0 \text{Src}_1 Y)$
 using *local.mm0.conv-exp2* by *auto*
 finally have $(a \in \text{Src}_1 (X *_0 Y)) \longrightarrow (a \in \text{Src}_1 X *_0 \text{Src}_1 Y).$
 thus *?thesis*
 by *force*
 qed

lemma *Tgt1-hom*: $Tgt_1 (X *_0 Y) \subseteq Tgt_1 X *_0 Tgt_1 Y$
proof–
 {fix *a*
 have $(a \in Tgt_1 (X *_0 Y)) = (\exists c \in X. \exists d \in Y. a \in Tgt_1 (c \odot_0 d))$
 by (*smt (verit, best) image-iff multimagma.conv-exp2*)
 also have $\dots \longrightarrow (\exists c \in X. \exists d \in Y. a \in \tau_1 c \odot_0 \tau_1 d)$
 using *local.t1-hom* by *fastforce*
 also have $\dots = (a \in Tgt_1 X *_0 Tgt_1 Y)$
 using *local.mm0.conv-exp2* by *auto*
 finally have $(a \in Tgt_1 (X *_0 Y)) \longrightarrow (a \in Tgt_1 X *_0 Tgt_1 Y).$
 thus *?thesis*
 by *force*
 qed

lemma *Src0-hom*: $\text{Src}_0 (X *_1 Y) \subseteq \text{Src}_0 X *_1 \text{Src}_0 Y$
proof–
 {fix *a*
 assume $a \in \text{Src}_0 (X *_1 Y)$
 hence $\exists c \in X. \exists d \in Y. a \in \text{Src}_0 (c \odot_1 d)$
 using *local.mm1.conv-exp2* by *fastforce*
 hence $\exists c \in X. \exists d \in Y. a \in \sigma_0 c \odot_1 \sigma_0 d$
 using *local.s0-hom* by *blast*
 hence $a \in \text{Src}_0 X *_1 \text{Src}_0 Y$
 using *local.mm1.conv-exp2* by *auto*}
 thus *?thesis*
 by *force*
 qed

lemma *Tgt0-hom*: $Tgt_0 (X *_1 Y) \subseteq Tgt_0 X *_1 Tgt_0 Y$
proof–
 {fix *a*
 assume $a \in Tgt_0 (X *_1 Y)$
 hence $\exists c \in X. \exists d \in Y. a \in Tgt_0 (c \odot_1 d)$
 using *local.mm1.conv-exp2* by *fastforce*
 hence $\exists c \in X. \exists d \in Y. a \in \tau_0 c \odot_1 \tau_0 d$
 using *local.t0-hom* by *blast*
 hence $a \in Tgt_0 X *_1 Tgt_0 Y$


```

    using local.mm1.conv-exp2 by auto}
  thus ?thesis
    by force
qed

lemma S1S0 [simp]: Src1 (Src0 X) = Src0 X
  by force

lemma S1T0 [simp]: Src1 (Tgt0 X) = Tgt0 X
  by force

lemma T1S0 [simp]: Tgt1 (Src0 X) = Src0 X
  by force

lemma T1T0 [simp]: Tgt1 (Tgt0 X) = Tgt0 X
  by force

lemma (in two-st-multimagma)
  s1fix *0 s1fix ⊆ s1fix

oops

lemma id1-comp0-eq: s1fix ⊆ s1fix *0 s1fix
  by (metis S1S0 local.stmm0.stopp.conv-isor local.stmm0.stopp.conv-uns local.stmm0.stopp.stfix-set
    local.stmm0.stopp.tfix-im local.stmm1.stopp.Tgt-subid)

lemma (in two-st-multimagma) id01:
  s0fix ⊆ s1fix
proof-
  {fix a
    have (a ∈ s0fix) = (∃ b. a = σ0 b)
    by (metis imageE local.stmm0.stopp.tfix-im rangeI)
    hence (a ∈ s0fix) = (∃ b. a = σ1 (σ0 b))
    by fastforce
    hence (a ∈ s0fix) ⇒ (∃ b. a = σ1 b)
    by blast
    hence (a ∈ s0fix) ⇒ (a ∈ s1fix)
    using local.stmm1.stopp.tfix-im by blast}
  thus ?thesis
    by blast
qed

end

context two-st-multimagma-strong
begin

lemma Src1-hom-strong: Src1 (X *0 Y) = Src1 X *0 Src1 Y
proof-

```

```

{fix a
have (a ∈ Src1 (X *0 Y)) = (∃ b ∈ X *0 Y. a = σ1 b)
  by blast
also have ... = (∃ b. ∃ c ∈ X. ∃ d ∈ Y. a = σ1 b ∧ b ∈ c ⊙0 d)
  by (metis multimagma.conv-exp2)
also have ... = (∃ c ∈ X. ∃ d ∈ Y. a ∈ Src1 (c ⊙0 d))
  by blast
also have ... = (∃ c ∈ X. ∃ d ∈ Y. a ∈ σ1 c ⊙0 σ1 d)
  using local.s1-hom-strong by fastforce
also have ... = (∃ c ∈ Src1 X. ∃ d ∈ Src1 Y. a ∈ c ⊙0 d)
  by blast
also have ... = (a ∈ Src1 X *0 Src1 Y)
  using local.mm0.conv-exp2 by auto
finally have (a ∈ Src1 (X *0 Y)) = (a ∈ Src1 X *0 Src1 Y).}
thus ?thesis
  by force
qed

```

```

lemma Tgt1-hom-strong: Tgt1 (X *0 Y) = Tgt1 X *0 Tgt1 Y
proof-
{fix a
have (a ∈ Tgt1 (X *0 Y)) = (∃ c ∈ X. ∃ d ∈ Y. a ∈ Tgt1 (c ⊙0 d))
  by (smt (verit, best) image-iff multimagma.conv-exp2)
also have ... = (∃ c ∈ X. ∃ d ∈ Y. a ∈ τ1 c ⊙0 τ1 d)
  using local.t1-hom-strong by fastforce
also have ... = (a ∈ Tgt1 X *0 Tgt1 Y)
  using local.mm0.conv-exp2 by auto
finally have (a ∈ Tgt1 (X *0 Y)) = (a ∈ Tgt1 X *0 Tgt1 Y).}
thus ?thesis
  by force
qed

```

```

lemma id1-comp0: s1fix *0 s1fix ⊆ s1fix
proof-
{fix a
have (a ∈ s1fix *0 s1fix) = (∃ b ∈ s1fix. ∃ c ∈ s1fix. a ∈ b ⊙0 c)
  by (meson local.mm0.conv-exp2)
also have ... = (∃ b c. a ∈ σ1 b ⊙0 σ1 c)
  by (metis image-iff local.stmm1.stopp.tfix-im rangeI)
finally have (a ∈ s1fix *0 s1fix) = (∃ b c. a ∈ Src1 (b ⊙0 c))
  using local.s1-hom-strong by presburger
hence (a ∈ s1fix *0 s1fix) ⇒ (∃ b. a = σ1 b)
  by blast
hence (a ∈ s1fix *0 s1fix) ⇒ (a ∈ s1fix)
  using local.stmm1.stopp.Tgt-subid by blast}
thus ?thesis
  by blast
qed

```

```

lemma id1-comp0-eq [simp]: s1fix *0 s1fix = s1fix
  using local.id1-comp0 local.id1-comp0-eq by force

```

```

end

```

2.3 2-Catoids and single-set 2-categories

```

class two-catoid = two-st-multimagma + catoid0 + catoid1

```

```

lemma (in two-catoid)  $\Delta_0 \ x \ y \implies \text{Src}_1 \ (x \odot_0 \ y) = \{\sigma_1 \ x\}$ 

```

```

  oops

```

```

lemma (in two-catoid)  $\Delta_0 \ x \ y \implies \text{Tgt}_1 \ (x \odot_0 \ y) = \{\tau_1 \ x\}$ 

```

```

  oops

```

```

class two-catoid-strong = two-st-multimagma-strong + catoid0 + catoid1

```

```

class local-two-catoid = two-st-multimagma + local-catoid0 + local-catoid1

```

```

begin

```

```

  local 2-catoids need not be strong

```

```

lemma  $\text{Src}_1 \ (x \odot_0 \ y) = \sigma_1 \ x \odot_0 \ \sigma_1 \ y$ 

```

```

  oops

```

```

lemma  $\text{Tgt}_1 \ (x \odot_0 \ y) = \tau_1 \ x \odot_0 \ \tau_1 \ y$ 

```

```

  oops

```

```

lemma  $\text{Src}_1 \ (x \odot_0 \ y) = \sigma_1 \ x \odot_0 \ \sigma_1 \ y \vee \text{Tgt}_1 \ (x \odot_0 \ y) = \tau_1 \ x \odot_0 \ \tau_1 \ y$ 

```

```

  oops

```

```

end

```

```

class functional-two-catoid = two-st-multimagma + functional-catoid0 + functional-catoid1

```

```

begin

```

```

lemma  $\text{Src}_1 \ (x \odot_0 \ y) = \sigma_1 \ x \odot_0 \ \sigma_1 \ y$ 

```

```

  oops

```

```

lemma  $\text{Tgt}_1 \ (x \odot_0 \ y) = \tau_1 \ x \odot_0 \ \tau_1 \ y$ 

```

```

oops

lemma  $Src_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \sigma_1 x \odot_0 \sigma_1 y \vee Tgt_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \tau_1 x \odot_0 \tau_1 y$ 

oops

end

class local-two-catoid-strong = two-st-multimagma-strong + local-catoid0 + local-catoid1

class two-category = two-st-multimagma + single-set-category0 + single-set-category1

begin

lemma s1-hom-strong [simp]:  $Src_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \sigma_1 x \odot_0 \sigma_1 y$ 
proof cases
  assume  $\sigma_1 x \odot_0 \sigma_1 y = \{\}$ 
  thus ?thesis
  using local.twolropp.t1-hom by blast
next
  assume  $h: \sigma_1 x \odot_0 \sigma_1 y \neq \{\}$ 
  hence  $(\tau_0 (\sigma_1 x) = \sigma_0 (\sigma_1 y))$ 
  using local.Dst0 by blast
  hence  $\tau_0 x = \sigma_0 y$ 
  by auto
  hence  $x \odot_0 y \neq \{\}$ 
  by (simp add: smsg0.st-local)
  thus ?thesis
  by (metis h image-is-empty local.pm0.fun-in-sgl local.pm0.functionality-lem
local.twolropp.t1-hom subset-singletonD)
qed

lemma s1-hom-strong-delta:  $\Delta_0 x y = \Delta_0 (\sigma_1 x) (\sigma_1 y)$ 
by (simp add: smsg0.st-local)

lemma t1-hom-strong [simp]:  $Tgt_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \tau_1 x \odot_0 \tau_1 y$ 
by (metis (no-types, lifting) empty-is-image local.pm0.functionality-lem-var local.s0t1 local.t1t1 local.twolropp.s1-hom smsg0.st-local subset-singleton-iff)

lemma t1-hom-strong-delta:  $\Delta_0 x y = \Delta_0 (\tau_1 x) (\tau_1 y)$ 
by (simp add: smsg0.st-local)

lemma conv0-sgl:  $a \in x \odot_0 y \implies \{a\} = x \odot_0 y$ 
using local.functionality0 by fastforce

lemma conv1-sgl:  $a \in \{x\} *_1 \{y\} \implies \{a\} = \{x\} *_1 \{y\}$ 
using local.functionality1 local.mm1.conv-exp by force

```

Next we derive some simple globular properties.

lemma *strong-interchange-St1*:

assumes $a \in (w \odot_0 x) *_1 (y \odot_0 z)$
shows $Tgt_1 (w \odot_0 x) = Src_1 (y \odot_0 z)$
by (*smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) assms empty-iff image-insert image-is-empty insertE local.Dst1 local.mm1.conv-exp2 local.pm0.functionality-lem-var*)

lemma *strong-interchange-ll0*:

assumes $a \in (w \odot_0 x) *_1 (y \odot_0 z)$
shows $\sigma_0 w = \sigma_0 y$
by (*metis assms empty-iff local.Dst1 local.s0s1 local.s0t1 local.stmm1.stopp.conv-exp2 stmsg0.src-comp-aux*)

There is no strong interchange law, and the homomorphism laws for zero sources and targets stay weak, too.

lemma $(w \odot_1 y) *_0 (x \odot_1 z) = (w \odot_0 x) *_1 (y \odot_0 z)$

oops

lemma $R_0 (x \odot_1 y) = r_0 x \odot_1 r_0 y$

oops

lemma $L_0 (x \odot_1 y) = l_0 x \odot_1 l_0 y$

oops

lemma $(W *_0 Y) *_1 (X *_0 Z) = (W *_1 X) *_0 (Y *_1 Z)$

oops

lemma $\Delta_0 x y \implies Src_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \{\sigma_1 x\}$

oops

lemma $\Delta_0 x y \implies Tgt_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \{\tau_1 x\}$

oops

end

2.4 Reduced axiomatisations

class *two-st-multimagma-red* = *st-multimagma0* + *st-multimagma1* +
assumes *interchange*: $(w \odot_1 x) *_0 (y \odot_1 z) \subseteq (w \odot_0 y) *_1 (x \odot_0 z)$
assumes *src1-hom*: $Src_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \sigma_1 x \odot_0 \sigma_1 y$
and *tgt1-hom*: $Tgt_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \tau_1 x \odot_0 \tau_1 y$
and *src0-weak-hom*: $Src_0 (x \odot_1 y) \subseteq \sigma_0 x \odot_1 \sigma_0 y$
and *tgt0-weak-hom*: $Tgt_0 (x \odot_1 y) \subseteq \sigma_0 x \odot_1 \sigma_0 y$

begin

lemma *s0t1s0* [*simp*]: $\sigma_0 (\tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)) = \sigma_0 x$

proof–

have $\{\tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)\} = Tgt_1 (\sigma_0 x \odot_0 \sigma_0 x)$
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots = \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_0 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)$
 by (*meson local.tgt1-hom*)
 also have $\dots = \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_0 \tau_1 (\tau_1 (\sigma_0 x))$
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots = Tgt_1 (\sigma_0 x \odot_0 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x))$
 by (*simp add: local.tgt1-hom*)
 finally have $Tgt_1 (\sigma_0 x \odot_0 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)) \neq \{\}$
 by *force*
 hence $\sigma_0 x \odot_0 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x) \neq \{\}$
 by *blast*
 thus *?thesis*
 using *stmm0.s-absorb-var3* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *t0s1s0* [*simp*]: $\tau_0 (\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x)) = \sigma_0 x$

proof–

have $\{\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x)\} = Src_1 (\sigma_0 x \odot_0 \sigma_0 x)$
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots = \sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_0 \sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x)$
 by (*meson local.src1-hom*)
 also have $\dots = \sigma_1 (\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x)) \odot_0 \sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x)$
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots = Src_1 (\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_0 \sigma_0 x)$
 using *local.src1-hom* **by** *force*
 finally have $Src_1 (\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_0 \sigma_0 x) \neq \{\}$
 by *force*
 hence $\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_0 \sigma_0 x \neq \{\}$
 by *blast*
 thus *?thesis*
 by (*simp add: local.Dst0*)

qed

lemma *s1s0* [*simp*]: $\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) = \sigma_0 x$

proof–

have $\{\sigma_0 x\} = \sigma_0 x \odot_0 \sigma_0 x$
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots = (\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_1 \sigma_0 x) *_0 (\sigma_0 x \odot_1 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x))$
 by (*simp add: multimap.conv-atom*)
 also have $\dots \subseteq (\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_0 \sigma_0 x) *_1 (\sigma_0 x \odot_0 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x))$
 using *local.interchange* **by** *blast*
 also have $\dots = (\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_0 \tau_0 (\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x))) *_1 (\sigma_0 (\tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)) \odot_0 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x))$
 by *simp*

also have $\dots = \sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_1 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)$
using *local.mm1.conv-atom local.src0-absorb local.tgt0-absorb* **by** *presburger*
finally have $\{\sigma_0 x\} \subseteq \sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_1 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)$.
thus *?thesis*
by (*metis empty-iff insert-subset singletonD stmm1.st-comm stmm1.st-prop stmm1.t-idem*)
qed

lemma *s1t0 [simp]*: $\sigma_1 (\tau_0 x) = \tau_0 x$
by (*metis local.s1s0 local.stmm0.stopp.ts-compat*)

lemma *t1s0 [simp]*: $\tau_1 (\sigma_0 x) = \sigma_0 x$
by (*simp add: stmm1.st-fix*)

lemma *t1t0 [simp]*: $\tau_1 (\tau_0 x) = \tau_0 x$
by (*simp add: stmm1.st-fix*)

lemma *comm-s0s1*: $\sigma_0 (\sigma_1 x) = \sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x)$
proof–
have $\{\sigma_1 x\} = \sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_0 \sigma_1 x$
by (*metis image-empty image-insert local.src0-absorb local.src1-hom*)
also have $\dots = \sigma_0 x \odot_0 \sigma_1 x$
by *simp*
finally have $\sigma_0 x \odot_0 \sigma_1 x \neq \{\}$
by *force*
hence $\tau_0 (\sigma_0 x) = \sigma_0 (\sigma_1 x)$
by (*meson local.Dst0*)
hence $\sigma_0 x = \sigma_0 (\sigma_1 x)$
by *simp*
thus *?thesis*
by *simp*
qed

lemma *comm-s0t1*: $\sigma_0 (\tau_1 x) = \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)$
proof–
have $\{\tau_1 x\} = \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_0 \tau_1 x$
by (*metis local.src0-absorb local.t1s0 local.tgt1-hom stmm0.s-absorb-var*)
hence $\tau_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_0 \tau_1 x \neq \{\}$
by *force*
hence $\tau_0 (\tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)) = \sigma_0 (\tau_1 x)$
using *local.Dst0* **by** *blast*
thus *?thesis*
by *simp*
qed

lemma *comm-t0s1*: $\tau_0 (\sigma_1 x) = \sigma_1 (\tau_0 x)$
proof–
have $\{\sigma_1 x\} = \sigma_1 x \odot_0 \sigma_1 (\tau_0 x)$
by (*metis local.s1t0 local.src1-hom local.stmm0.stopp.s-absorb-var local.tgt0-absorb*)

```

hence  $\sigma_1 x \odot_0 \sigma_1 (\tau_0 x) \neq \{\}$ 
  by force
hence  $\tau_0 (\sigma_1 x) = \tau_0 (\sigma_1 (\tau_0 x))$ 
  by (metis local.s1t0 local.stmm0.stopp.s-absorb-var stmm0.tt-idem)
thus ?thesis
  by simp
qed

lemma comm-t0t1:  $\tau_0 (\tau_1 x) = \tau_1 (\tau_0 x)$ 
  by (metis local.s1t0 local.stmm0.stopp.s-absorb-var3 local.tgt1-hom stmm1.st-fix)

lemma  $\sigma_0 x = \sigma_1 x$ 

  oops

lemma  $\sigma_0 x = \tau_1 x$ 

  oops

lemma  $\tau_0 x = \tau_1 x$ 

  oops

lemma  $\sigma_0 x = \tau_0 x$ 

  oops

lemma  $\sigma_1 x = \tau_1 x$ 

  oops

lemma  $x \odot_0 y = x \odot_1 y$ 

  oops

lemma  $x \odot_0 y = y \odot_0 x$ 

  oops

lemma  $x \odot_1 y = y \odot_1 x$ 

  oops

end

class two-catoid-red = catoid0 + catoid1 +
  assumes interchange:  $(w \odot_1 x) *_0 (y \odot_1 z) \subseteq (w \odot_0 y) *_1 (x \odot_0 z)$ 
  and s1-hom:  $\text{Src}_1 (x \odot_0 y) \subseteq \sigma_1 x \odot_0 \sigma_1 y$ 
  and t1-hom:  $\text{Tgt}_1 (x \odot_0 y) \subseteq \tau_1 x \odot_0 \tau_1 y$ 

```


begin

lemma *s0t1s0* [*simp*]: $\sigma_0 (\tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)) = \sigma_0 x$

proof–

have $\{\sigma_0 x\} = (\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_1 \sigma_0 x) *_0 (\sigma_0 x \odot_1 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x))$
by *simp*

also have $\dots \subseteq (\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_0 \sigma_0 x) *_1 (\sigma_0 x \odot_0 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x))$
using *local.interchange* **by** *blast*

finally have $\{\sigma_0 x\} \subseteq (\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_0 \sigma_0 x) *_1 (\sigma_0 x \odot_0 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x))$.

hence $(\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_0 \sigma_0 x) *_1 (\sigma_0 x \odot_0 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)) \neq \{\}$
by *fastforce*

hence $\sigma_0 x \odot_0 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x) \neq \{\}$

using *local.mm1.conv-exp2* **by** *force*

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: stmm0.s-absorb-var3*)

qed

lemma *t0s1s0* [*simp*]: $\tau_0 (\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x)) = \sigma_0 x$

proof–

have $\{\sigma_0 x\} = (\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_1 \sigma_0 x) *_0 (\sigma_0 x \odot_1 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x))$
by *simp*

also have $\dots \subseteq (\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_0 \sigma_0 x) *_1 (\sigma_0 x \odot_0 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x))$
using *local.interchange* **by** *blast*

finally have $\{\sigma_0 x\} \subseteq (\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_0 \sigma_0 x) *_1 (\sigma_0 x \odot_0 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x))$.

hence $(\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_0 \sigma_0 x) *_1 (\sigma_0 x \odot_0 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)) \neq \{\}$
by *fastforce*

hence $\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_0 \sigma_0 x \neq \{\}$

using *local.mm1.conv-exp2* **by** *force*

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: local.Dst0*)

qed

lemma *s1s0* [*simp*]: $\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) = \sigma_0 x$

proof–

have $\{\sigma_0 x\} = \sigma_0 x \odot_0 \sigma_0 x$
by *simp*

also have $\dots = (\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_1 \sigma_0 x) *_0 (\sigma_0 x \odot_1 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x))$
by (*simp add: multimagma.conv-atom*)

also have $\dots \subseteq (\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_0 \sigma_0 x) *_1 (\sigma_0 x \odot_0 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x))$
using *local.interchange* **by** *blast*

also have $\dots = (\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_0 \tau_0 (\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x))) *_1 (\sigma_0 (\tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)) \odot_0 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x))$
by (*metis calculation empty-iff insert-subset local.t0s1s0 multimagma.conv-exp2*

stmm0.s-absorb-var)

also have $\dots = \sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_1 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)$

using *local.mm1.conv-atom* *local.src0-absorb* *local.tgt0-absorb* **by** *presburger*

finally have $\{\sigma_0 x\} \subseteq \sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_1 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)$.

thus *?thesis*

using *local.stmm1.stopp.Dst* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *s1t0* [*simp*]: $\sigma_1 (\tau_0 x) = \tau_0 x$
by (*metis* *local.s1s0* *local.stmm0.stopp.ts-compat*)

lemma *t1s0* [*simp*]: $\tau_1 (\sigma_0 x) = \sigma_0 x$
by (*simp* *add: stmm1.st-fix*)

lemma *t1t0* [*simp*]: $\tau_1 (\tau_0 x) = \tau_0 x$
by (*simp* *add: stmm1.st-fix*)

lemma *comm-s0s1*: $\sigma_0 (\sigma_1 x) = \sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x)$
by (*metis* *image-empty* *image-insert* *local.s1-hom* *local.s1s0* *local.src0-absorb* *order-class.order-eq-iff* *stmm0.s-absorb-var3*)

lemma *comm-s0t1*: $\sigma_0 (\tau_1 x) = \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)$
by (*metis* *local.src0-absorb* *local.src1-absorb* *local.stmsg1.ts-msg.src-comp-cond* *local.t1-hom* *local.t1s0* *order-antisym-conv* *stmm0.s-absorb-var3* *subset-insertI*)

lemma *comm-t0s1*: $\tau_0 (\sigma_1 x) = \sigma_1 (\tau_0 x)$
by (*metis* *equalityI* *image-empty* *image-insert* *local.s1-hom* *local.s1t0* *local.stmm0.stopp.s-absorb* *local.stmm0.stopp.s-absorb-var2*)

lemma *comm-t0t1*: $\tau_0 (\tau_1 x) = \tau_1 (\tau_0 x)$
by (*metis* *empty-is-image* *local.src1-absorb* *local.stmm0.stopp.s-absorb-var2* *local.stmsg1.ts-msg.src-comp-cond* *local.t1-hom* *local.t1t0* *local.tgt0-absorb* *subset-antisym*)

lemma *s0-hom*: $\text{Src}_0 (x \odot_1 y) \subseteq \sigma_0 x \odot_1 \sigma_0 y$

proof *cases*

assume $\text{Src}_0 (x \odot_1 y) = \{\}$

thus *?thesis*

by *auto*

next

assume $h: \text{Src}_0 (x \odot_1 y) \neq \{\}$

hence $h1: \tau_1 x = \sigma_1 y$

by (*simp* *add: local.Dst1*)

hence $\text{Src}_0 (x \odot_1 y) = \text{Src}_0 (\text{Src}_1 (x \odot_1 y))$

unfolding *image-def* **using** *local.comm-s0s1* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = \text{Src}_0 (\text{Src}_1 (x \odot_1 \sigma_1 y))$

using *h* *stmsg1.src-local-cond* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = \text{Src}_0 (\text{Src}_1 (x \odot_1 \tau_1 x))$

using *h1* **by** *presburger*

also have $\dots = \{\sigma_0 x\}$

by (*simp* *add: local.comm-s0s1*)

also have $\dots = \sigma_0 x \odot_1 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)$

using *local.tgt1-absorb* **by** *presburger*

also have $\dots = \sigma_0 x \odot_1 \sigma_0 (\tau_1 x)$

by (*simp* *add: local.comm-s0t1*)

also have $\dots = \sigma_0 x \odot_1 \sigma_0 (\sigma_1 y)$

by (*simp add: h1*)
 also have $\dots = \sigma_0 x \odot_1 \sigma_0 y$
 by (*simp add: local.comm-s0s1*)
 finally show *?thesis*
 by *blast*
 qed

lemma *t0-hom*: $Tgt_0 (x \odot_1 y) \subseteq \tau_0 x \odot_1 \tau_0 y$
 by (*metis equals0D image-subsetI local.Dst1 local.comm-t0s1 local.comm-t0t1 local.stmsg1.ts-msg.src-comp-aux local.t1t0 local.tgt1-absorb singletonI*)

end

class *two-catoid-red-strong* = *catoid0* + *catoid1* +
 assumes *interchange*: $(w \odot_1 x) *_0 (y \odot_1 z) \subseteq (w \odot_0 y) *_1 (x \odot_0 z)$
 and *s1-hom-strong*: $Src_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \sigma_1 x \odot_0 \sigma_1 y$
 and *t1-hom-strong*: $Tgt_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \tau_1 x \odot_0 \tau_1 y$

begin

lemma *s0t1s0* [*simp*]: $\sigma_0 (\tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)) = \sigma_0 x$
proof–
 have $\{\tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)\} = Tgt_1 (\sigma_0 x \odot_0 \sigma_0 x)$
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots = \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_0 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)$
 using *local.t1-hom-strong* by *blast*
 also have $\dots = \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_0 \tau_1 (\tau_1 (\sigma_0 x))$
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots = Tgt_1 (\sigma_0 x \odot_0 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x))$
 by (*simp add: local.t1-hom-strong*)
 finally have $Tgt_1 (\sigma_0 x \odot_0 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)) \neq \{\}$
 by *force*
 hence $\sigma_0 x \odot_0 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x) \neq \{\}$
 by *blast*
 thus *?thesis*
 using *stmm0.s-absorb-var3* by *blast*
 qed

lemma *t0s1s0* [*simp*]: $\tau_0 (\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x)) = \sigma_0 x$
proof–
 have $\{\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x)\} = Src_1 (\sigma_0 x \odot_0 \sigma_0 x)$
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots = \sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_0 \sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x)$
 using *local.s1-hom-strong* by *blast*
 also have $\dots = \sigma_1 (\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x)) \odot_0 \sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x)$
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots = Src_1 (\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_0 \sigma_0 x)$
 using *local.s1-hom-strong* by *auto*
 finally have $Src_1 (\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_0 \sigma_0 x) \neq \{\}$

by *force*
 hence $\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_0 \sigma_0 x \neq \{\}$
 by *blast*
 thus *?thesis*
 by (*simp add: local.Dst0*)
 qed

lemma *s1s0 [simp]*: $\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) = \sigma_0 x$

proof–

have $\{\sigma_0 x\} = \sigma_0 x \odot_0 \sigma_0 x$
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots = (\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_1 \sigma_0 x) *_0 (\sigma_0 x \odot_1 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x))$
 by (*simp add: multimagma.conv-atom*)
 also have $\dots \subseteq (\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_0 \sigma_0 x) *_1 (\sigma_0 x \odot_0 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x))$
 using *local.interchange* by *blast*
 also have $\dots = (\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_0 \tau_0 (\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x))) *_1 (\sigma_0 (\tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)) \odot_0 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x))$
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots = \sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_1 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)$
 using *local.mm1.conv-atom local.src0-absorb local.tgt0-absorb* by *presburger*
 finally have $\{\sigma_0 x\} \subseteq \sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) \odot_1 \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)$.
 thus *?thesis*
 using *local.stmm1.stopp.Dst* by *fastforce*
 qed

lemma *s1t0 [simp]*: $\sigma_1 (\tau_0 x) = \tau_0 x$

by (*metis local.s1s0 local.stmm0.stopp.ts-compat*)

lemma *t1s0 [simp]*: $\tau_1 (\sigma_0 x) = \sigma_0 x$

by (*simp add: stmm1.st-fix*)

lemma *t1t0 [simp]*: $\tau_1 (\tau_0 x) = \tau_0 x$

by (*simp add: stmm1.st-fix*)

lemma *comm-s0s1*: $\sigma_0 (\sigma_1 x) = \sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x)$

by (*metis local.s1-hom-strong local.s1s0 stmm0.s-absorb-var*)

lemma *comm-s0t1*: $\sigma_0 (\tau_1 x) = \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)$

by (*metis local.t1-hom-strong local.t1s0 stmm0.s-absorb-var*)

lemma *comm-t0s1*: $\tau_0 (\sigma_1 x) = \sigma_1 (\tau_0 x)$

by (*metis empty-not-insert local.Dst0 local.s1-hom-strong local.s1t0 local.tgt0-absorb*)

lemma *comm-t0t1*: $\tau_0 (\tau_1 x) = \tau_1 (\tau_0 x)$

using *local.t1-hom-strong local.stmm0.stopp.s-absorb-var2* by *fastforce*

lemma *s0-weak-hom*: $\text{Src}_0 (x \odot_1 y) \subseteq \sigma_0 x \odot_1 \sigma_0 y$

proof *cases*

assume $\text{Src}_0 (x \odot_1 y) = \{\}$

thus *?thesis*

```

    by auto
next
  assume h: Src0 (x ⊙1 y) ≠ {}
  hence h1: τ1 x = σ1 y
    by (simp add: local.Dst1)
  hence Src0 (x ⊙1 y) = Src0 (Src1 (x ⊙1 y))
    unfolding image-def using local.comm-s0s1 by auto
  also have ... = Src0 (Src1 (x ⊙1 σ1 y))
    using h stmsg1.src-local-cond by auto
  also have ... = Src0 (Src1 (x ⊙1 τ1 x))
    using h1 by presburger
  also have ... = {σ0 x}
    by (simp add: local.comm-s0s1)
  also have ... = σ0 x ⊙1 τ1 (σ0 x)
    using local.tgt1-absorb by presburger
  also have ... = σ0 x ⊙1 σ0 (τ1 x)
    by (simp add: local.comm-s0t1)
  also have ... = σ0 x ⊙1 σ0 (σ1 y)
    by (simp add: h1)
  also have ... = σ0 x ⊙1 σ0 y
    by (simp add: local.comm-s0s1)
  finally show ?thesis
    by blast
qed

```

lemma *t0-weak-hom*: $Tgt_0 (x \odot_1 y) \subseteq \tau_0 x \odot_1 \tau_0 y$
 by (metis equals0D image-subsetI local.Dst1 local.comm-t0s1 local.comm-t0t1 local.stmsg1.ts-msg.src-comp-aux local.t1t0 local.tgt1-absorb singletonI)

end

```

class two-catoid-red2 = single-set-category0 + single-set-category1 +
  assumes comm-s0s1: σ0 (σ1 x) = σ1 (σ0 x)
  and comm-s0t1: σ0 (τ1 x) = τ1 (σ0 x)
  and comm-t0s1: τ0 (σ1 x) = σ1 (τ0 x)
  and comm-t0t1: τ0 (τ1 x) = τ1 (τ0 x)
  and s1s0 [simp]: σ1 (σ0 x) = σ0 x
  and s1t0 [simp]: σ1 (τ0 x) = τ0 x
  and t1s0 [simp]: τ1 (σ0 x) = σ0 x
  and t1t0 [simp]: τ1 (τ0 x) = τ0 x

```

begin

lemma $(w \odot_1 x) *_{\mathbf{0}} (y \odot_1 z) \subseteq (w \odot_0 y) *_{\mathbf{1}} (x \odot_0 z)$

oops

lemma $Src_1 (x \odot_0 y) \subseteq \sigma_1 x \odot_0 \sigma_1 y$

```

oops

lemma  $Tgt_1 (x \odot_0 y) \subseteq \tau_1 x \odot_0 \tau_1 y$ 

oops

lemma  $s0\text{-}hom: Src_0 (x \odot_1 y) \subseteq \sigma_0 x \odot_1 \sigma_0 y$ 
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) image-subsetI insertCI local.Dst1 local.comm-s0s1 local.comm-s0t1 local.src0-absorb local.t1s0 local.tgt1-absorb stmm0.s-absorb-var3 stmsg1.src-twisted-aux)

lemma  $t0\text{-}hom: Tgt_0 (x \odot_1 y) \subseteq \tau_0 x \odot_1 \tau_0 y$ 
  by (metis equals0D image-subsetI insertI1 local.comm-t0s1 local.comm-t0t1 local.stmm1.stopp.Dst local.t1t0 local.tgt1-absorb stmsg1.tgt-comp-aux)

end

class two-catoid-red3 = catoid0 + catoid1 +
  assumes interchange:  $(w \odot_1 x) *_0 (y \odot_1 z) \subseteq (w \odot_0 y) *_1 (x \odot_0 z)$ 
  and s1-hom:  $Src_0 (x \odot_1 y) \subseteq \sigma_0 x \odot_1 \sigma_0 y$ 
  and t1-hom:  $Tgt_0 (x \odot_1 y) \subseteq \tau_0 x \odot_1 \tau_0 y$ 

lemma (in two-catoid-red3)
   $Src_1 (x \odot_0 y) \subseteq \sigma_1 x \odot_0 \sigma_1 y$ 

oops

lemma (in two-catoid-red3)
   $Tgt_1 (x \odot_0 y) \subseteq \tau_1 x \odot_0 \tau_1 y$ 

oops

end

```

3 2-Kleene algebras

```

theory Two-Kleene-Algebra
  imports Quantales-Converse.Modal-Kleene-Algebra-Var

```

begin

Here we develop (globular) 2-semigroups and (globular) 2-Kleene algebras. These should eventually be extended to n-structures and omega-structures.

3.1 Copies for 0-structures

```

class comp0-op =
  fixes comp0 :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a (infixl  $\langle \cdot_0 \rangle$  70)

```

```

class id0-op =
  fixes id0 :: 'a (⟨1_0⟩)

class star0-op =
  fixes star0 :: 'a ⇒ 'a

class dom0-op =
  fixes dom0 :: 'a ⇒ 'a

class cod0-op =
  fixes cod0 :: 'a ⇒ 'a

class monoid-mult0 = comp0-op + id0-op +
  assumes par-assoc0:  $x \cdot_0 (y \cdot_0 z) = (x \cdot_0 y) \cdot_0 z$ 
  and comp0-unl:  $1_0 \cdot_0 x = x$ 
  and comp0-unr:  $x \cdot_0 1_0 = x$ 

class dioid0 = monoid-mult0 + join-semilattice-zero +
  assumes distl0:  $x \cdot_0 (y + z) = x \cdot_0 y + x \cdot_0 z$ 
  and distr0:  $(x + y) \cdot_0 z = x \cdot_0 z + y \cdot_0 z$ 
  and annil0:  $0 \cdot_0 x = 0$ 
  and annir0:  $x \cdot_0 0 = 0$ 

class kleene-algebra0 = dioid0 + star0-op +
  assumes star0-unfoldl:  $1_0 + x \cdot_0 \text{star0 } x \leq \text{star0 } x$ 
  and star0-unfoldr:  $1_0 + \text{star0 } x \cdot_0 x \leq \text{star0 } x$ 
  and star0-inductl:  $z + x \cdot_0 y \leq y \implies \text{star0 } x \cdot_0 z \leq y$ 
  and star0-inductr:  $z + y \cdot_0 x \leq y \implies z \cdot_0 \text{star0 } x \leq y$ 

class domain-semiring0 = dioid0 + dom0-op +
  assumes d0-absorb:  $x \leq \text{dom0 } x \cdot_0 x$ 
  and d0-local:  $\text{dom0 } (x \cdot_0 \text{dom0 } y) = \text{dom0 } (x \cdot_0 y)$ 
  and d0-add:  $\text{dom0 } (x + y) = \text{dom0 } x + \text{dom0 } y$ 
  and d0-subid:  $\text{dom0 } x \leq 1_0$ 
  and d0-zero:  $\text{dom0 } 0 = 0$ 

class codomain-semiring0 = dioid0 + cod0-op +
  assumes cod0-absorb:  $x \leq x \cdot_0 \text{cod0 } x$ 
  and cod0-local:  $\text{cod0 } (\text{cod0 } x \cdot_0 y) = \text{cod0 } (x \cdot_0 y)$ 
  and cod0-add:  $\text{cod0 } (x + y) = \text{cod0 } x + \text{cod0 } y$ 
  and cod0-subid:  $\text{cod0 } x \leq 1_0$ 
  and cod0-zero:  $\text{cod0 } 0 = 0$ 

class modal-semiring0 = domain-semiring0 + codomain-semiring0 +
  assumes dc-compat0:  $\text{dom0 } (\text{cod0 } x) = \text{cod0 } x$ 
  and cd-compat0:  $\text{cod0 } (\text{dom0 } x) = \text{dom0 } x$ 

class modal-kleene-algebra0 = modal-semiring0 + kleene-algebra0

```

sublocale *monoid-mult0* \subseteq *mm0*: *monoid-mult* $1_0 (\cdot_0)$
by (*unfold-locales*, *simp-all* *add*: *local.par-assoc0* *local.comp0-unl* *local.comp0-unr*)

sublocale *diod0* \subseteq *d0*: *diod-one-zero* $-(\cdot_0) 1_0 - - -$
by (*unfold-locales*, *simp-all* *add*: *local.distl0* *local.distr0* *annil0* *annir0*)

sublocale *diod0* \subseteq *dd0*: *diod0* $- - - - \lambda x y. y \cdot_0 x -$
by *unfold-locales* (*simp-all* *add*: *local.mm0.mult-assoc* *local.d0.distrib-left*)

sublocale *kleene-algebra0* \subseteq *k0*: *kleene-algebra* $-(\cdot_0) 1_0 - - - star0$
apply *unfold-locales*
using *local.star0-unfoldl* **apply** *blast*
by (*simp-all* *add*: *local.star0-inductl* *local.star0-inductr* *local.star0-unfoldl*)

sublocale *kleene-algebra0* \subseteq *dk0*: *kleene-algebra0* $- - - - \lambda x y. y \cdot_0 x -$
by (*unfold-locales*, *simp-all* *add*: *local.star0-inductr* *local.star0-inductl*)

sublocale *domain-semiring0* \subseteq *d0*: *domain-semiring* $-(\cdot_0) 1_0 - dom_0 - -$
apply *unfold-locales*
apply (*simp* *add*: *local.d0-absorb* *local.join.sup-absorb2*)
apply (*simp* *add*: *local.d0-local*)
apply (*simp* *add*: *local.d0-subid* *local.join.sup-absorb2*)
apply (*simp* *add*: *local.d0-zero*)
by (*simp* *add*: *local.d0-add*)

sublocale *codomain-semiring0* \subseteq *c0*: *range-semiring* $-(\cdot_0) 1_0 - cod_0 - -$
apply *unfold-locales*
apply (*simp* *add*: *local.cod0-absorb* *local.join.sup-absorb2*)
apply (*simp* *add*: *local.cod0-local*)
apply (*simp* *add*: *local.cod0-subid* *local.join.sup-absorb2*)
apply (*simp* *add*: *local.cod0-zero*)
by (*simp* *add*: *local.cod0-add*)

sublocale *codomain-semiring0* \subseteq *ds0dual*: *domain-semiring0* $- - - - \lambda x y. y \cdot_0 x - cod_0$
by *unfold-locales* *simp-all*

sublocale *modal-semiring0* \subseteq *msr0*: *dr-modal-semiring* $-(\cdot_0) 1_0 - dom_0 - - cod_0$
by (*unfold-locales*, *simp-all* *add*: *local.dc-compat0* *local.cd-compat0*)

sublocale *modal-semiring0* \subseteq *msr0dual*: *modal-semiring0* $dom_0 - - - - \lambda x y. y \cdot_0 x - cod_0$
by *unfold-locales* *simp-all*

sublocale *modal-kleene-algebra0* \subseteq *mka0*: *dr-modal-kleene-algebra* $-(\cdot_0) 1_0 - - - star0 dom_0 cod_0..$

sublocale *modal-kleene-algebra0* \subseteq *mka0dual*: *modal-kleene-algebra0* $- - - - \lambda x y. y \cdot_0 x - - dom_0 cod_0..$

3.2 Copies for 1-structures

```

class comp1-op =
  fixes comp1 :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a (infixl ⟨·₁⟩ 70)

class id1-op =
  fixes id1 :: 'a (⟨1₁⟩)

class star1-op =
  fixes star1 :: 'a ⇒ 'a

class dom1-op =
  fixes dom₁ :: 'a ⇒ 'a

class cod1-op =
  fixes cod₁ :: 'a ⇒ 'a

class monoid-mult1 = comp1-op + id1-op +
  assumes par-assoc1: x ·₁ (y ·₁ z) = (x ·₁ y) ·₁ z
  and comp1-unl: 1₁ ·₁ x = x
  and comp1-unr: x ·₁ 1₁ = x

class dioid1 = monoid-mult1 + join-semilattice-zero +
  assumes distl1: x ·₁ (y + z) = x ·₁ y + x ·₁ z
  and distr1: (x + y) ·₁ z = x ·₁ z + y ·₁ z
  and annil1: 0 ·₁ x = 0
  and annir1: x ·₁ 0 = 0

class kleene-algebra1 = dioid1 + star1-op +
  assumes star1-unfoldl: 1₁ + x ·₁ star1 x ≤ star1 x
  and star1-unfoldr: 1₁ + star1 x ·₁ x ≤ star1 x
  and star1-inductl: z + x ·₁ y ≤ y ⇒ star1 x ·₁ z ≤ y
  and star1-inductr: z + y ·₁ x ≤ y ⇒ z ·₁ star1 x ≤ y

class domain-semiring1 = dioid1 + dom1-op +
  assumes d1-absorb: x ≤ dom₁ x ·₁ x
  and d1-local: dom₁ (x ·₁ dom₁ y) = dom₁ (x ·₁ y)
  and d1-add: dom₁ (x + y) = dom₁ x + dom₁ y
  and d1-subid: dom₁ x ≤ 1₁
  and d1-zero: dom₁ 0 = 0

class codomain-semiring1 = dioid1 + cod1-op +
  assumes cod1-absorb: x ≤ x ·₁ cod₁ x
  and cod1-local: cod₁ (cod₁ x ·₁ y) = cod₁ (x ·₁ y)
  and cod1-add: cod₁ (x + y) = cod₁ x + cod₁ y
  and cod1-subid: cod₁ x ≤ 1₁
  and cod1-zero: cod₁ 0 = 0

class modal-semiring1 = domain-semiring1 + codomain-semiring1 +
  assumes dc-compat1: dom₁ (cod₁ x) = cod₁ x

```

```

and cd-compat1: cod1 (dom1 x) = dom1 x

class modal-kleene-algebra1 = modal-semiring1 + kleene-algebra1

sublocale monoid-mult1  $\subseteq$  mm1: monoid-mult 11 ( $\cdot$ 1)
  by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: local.par-assoc1 comp1-unl comp1-unr)

sublocale diod1  $\subseteq$  d1: diod-one-zero - ( $\cdot$ 1) 11 - - -
  by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: local.distl1 local.distr1 local.annil1 local.annir1)

sublocale diod1  $\subseteq$  dd1: diod1 - - - -  $\lambda x y. y \cdot_1 x$  11
  apply unfold-locales
  apply simp-all
  apply (simp add: local.mm1.mult-assoc)
  by (simp add: local.d1.distrib-left)

sublocale kleene-algebra1  $\subseteq$  k1: kleene-algebra - ( $\cdot$ 1) 11 - - - star1
  apply unfold-locales
  using local.star1-unfoldl apply blast
  apply (simp add: local.star1-inductl)
  by (simp add: local.star1-inductr)

sublocale kleene-algebra1  $\subseteq$  dk1: kleene-algebra1 - - - -  $\lambda x y. y \cdot_1 x$  11 star1
  by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: local.star1-inductr local.star1-inductl)

sublocale domain-semiring1  $\subseteq$  dsr1: domain-semiring - ( $\cdot$ 1) 11 - dom1 - -
  apply unfold-locales
  using local.d1-absorb local.join.sup-absorb2 apply force
  apply (simp add: local.d1-local)
  using local.d1-subid local.join.sup-absorb2 apply force
  using local.d1-zero apply fastforce
  by (simp add: local.d1-add)

sublocale codomain-semiring1  $\subseteq$  csr1: range-semiring - ( $\cdot$ 1) 11 - cod1 - -
  apply unfold-locales
  apply (simp add: local.cod1-absorb local.join.sup-absorb2)
  apply (simp add: local.cod1-local)
  apply (simp add: local.cod1-subid local.join.sup-absorb2)
  using local.cod1-zero apply fastforce
  by (simp add: local.cod1-add)

sublocale codomain-semiring1  $\subseteq$  ds1dual: domain-semiring1 - - - -  $\lambda x y. y \cdot_1 x$  -
cod1
  by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: local.cod1-absorb local.cod1-local local.cod1-add
local.cod1-subid)

sublocale modal-semiring1  $\subseteq$  msr1: dr-modal-semiring - ( $\cdot$ 1) 11 - dom1 - - cod1
  apply unfold-locales
  apply (simp add: local.dc-compat1)

```

```

by (simp add: local.cd-compat1)

sublocale modal-semiring1  $\subseteq$  msr1dual: modal-semiring1 dom1 - - -  $\lambda x y. y \cdot_1 x - \text{cod}_1$ 
by unfold-locales simp-all

sublocale modal-kleene-algebra1  $\subseteq$  mka1: dr-modal-kleene-algebra - ( $\cdot_1$ ) 11 - - -
star1 dom1 cod1..

sublocale modal-kleene-algebra1  $\subseteq$  mka1dual: modal-kleene-algebra1 - - -  $\lambda x y. y \cdot_1 x - \text{dom}_1 \text{cod}_1$ ..

```

3.3 Globular 2-semirings

```

class two-semiring = modal-semiring0 + modal-semiring1 +
  assumes interchange:  $(w \cdot_1 x) \cdot_0 (y \cdot_1 z) \leq (w \cdot_0 y) \cdot_1 (x \cdot_0 z)$ 
  and d1-hom:  $\text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_0 y) \leq \text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_1 y$ 
  and c1-hom:  $\text{cod}_1 (x \cdot_0 y) \leq \text{cod}_1 x \cdot_0 \text{cod}_1 y$ 
  and d0-hom:  $\text{dom}_0 (x \cdot_1 y) \leq \text{dom}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 y$ 
  and c0-hom:  $\text{cod}_0 (x \cdot_1 y) \leq \text{cod}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{cod}_0 y$ 
  and d1d0 [simp]:  $\text{dom}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x) = \text{dom}_0 x$ 

class strong-two-semiring = two-semiring +
  assumes d1-strong-hom [simp]:  $\text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_0 y) = \text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_1 y$ 
  and c1-strong-hom:  $\text{cod}_1 (x \cdot_0 y) = \text{cod}_1 x \cdot_0 \text{cod}_1 y$ 

sublocale two-semiring  $\subseteq$  tgsdual: two-semiring dom0 - - -  $\lambda x y. y \cdot_0 x - \text{cod}_0$ 
dom1  $\lambda x y. y \cdot_1 x - \text{cod}_1$ 
apply unfold-locales
apply (simp-all add: local.interchange local.d0-hom local.c0-hom local.c1-hom
local.d1-hom)
by (metis local.cd-compat1 local.d1d0 local.dc-compat0)

sublocale strong-two-semiring  $\subseteq$  stgsdual: strong-two-semiring dom0 - - -  $\lambda x y. y \cdot_0 x - \text{cod}_0$ 
dom1  $\lambda x y. y \cdot_1 x - \text{cod}_1$ 
apply unfold-locales by (simp-all add: local.c1-strong-hom)

context two-semiring
begin

lemma c1d0 [simp]:  $\text{cod}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x) = \text{dom}_0 x$ 
proof -
  have  $\text{cod}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x) = \text{cod}_1 (\text{dom}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x))$ 
  by simp
  also have  $\dots = \text{dom}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x)$ 
  using local.cd-compat1 by presburger
  also have  $\dots = \text{dom}_0 x$ 
  by simp
  finally show ?thesis.

```

qed

lemma *d1c0* [*simp*]: $\text{dom}_1 (\text{cod}_0 x) = \text{cod}_0 x$
by (*simp add: msr1.d-cod-fix*)

lemma *c1c0* [*simp*]: $\text{cod}_1 (\text{cod}_0 x) = \text{cod}_0 x$
by *simp*

lemma $1_1 \cdot_0 1_1 \leq 1_1$

oops

lemma *id1-comp0-var*: $1_1 \leq 1_1 \cdot_0 1_1$

proof–

have $1_1 = 1_1 \cdot_0 1_0$

by *simp*

also have $\dots = (1_1 \cdot_1 1_1) \cdot_0 (1_0 \cdot_1 1_1)$

by *simp*

also have $\dots \leq (1_1 \cdot_0 1_0) \cdot_1 (1_1 \cdot_0 1_1)$

using *local.interchange* **by** *presburger*

also have $\dots = 1_1 \cdot_1 (1_1 \cdot_0 1_1)$

by *simp*

also have $\dots = 1_1 \cdot_0 1_1$

by *simp*

finally show *?thesis*.

qed

lemma $1_1 \cdot_0 1_1 = 1_1$

oops

lemma *id0-le-id1*: $1_0 \leq 1_1$

proof–

have $1_0 = 1_0 \cdot_0 1_0$

by *simp*

also have $\dots = (1_1 \cdot_1 1_0) \cdot_0 (1_0 \cdot_1 1_1)$

by *simp*

also have $\dots \leq (1_1 \cdot_0 1_0) \cdot_1 (1_0 \cdot_0 1_1)$

using *local.interchange* **by** *blast*

also have $\dots = 1_1 \cdot_1 1_1$

by *simp*

also have $\dots = 1_1$

by *simp*

finally show *?thesis*.

qed

lemma *id0-comp1-eq* [*simp*]: $1_0 \cdot_1 1_0 = 1_0$

proof–

have $1_0 \cdot_1 1_0 = \text{dom}_0 1_0 \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 1_0$

by *simp*
 also have $\dots = \text{dom}_1 (\text{dom}_0 1_0) \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 1_0$
 using *local.d1d0* by *presburger*
 also have $\dots = \text{dom}_0 1_0$
 by *simp*
 finally show *?thesis*
 by *simp*
 qed

lemma *d1-id0* [*simp*]: $\text{dom}_1 1_0 = 1_0$
proof–
 have $\text{dom}_1 1_0 = \text{dom}_1 (\text{dom}_0 1_0)$
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots = \text{dom}_0 1_0$
 using *local.d1d0* by *blast*
 also have $\dots = 1_0$
 by *simp*
 finally show *?thesis*.
 qed

lemma *d0-id1* [*simp*]: $\text{dom}_0 1_1 = 1_0$
proof–
 have $a: \text{dom}_0 1_1 \leq 1_0$
 by *simp*
 have $1_0 \leq 1_1$
 by (*simp add: local.id0-le-id1*)
 hence $1_0 \leq \text{dom}_0 1_1$
 using *local.dsr0.d-iso* by *fastforce*
 thus *?thesis*
 by (*simp add: local.dual-order.antisym*)
 qed

lemma *c0-id1*: $\text{cod}_0 1_1 = 1_0$
 using *id0-le-id1 local.csr0.rdual.dom-iso local.dual-order.antisym* by *fastforce*

lemma *c0-id0*: $\text{cod}_1 1_0 = 1_0$
 using *c1d0 d0-id1* by *blast*

lemma *comm-d0d1*: $\text{dom}_0 (\text{dom}_1 x) = \text{dom}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x)$
proof–
 have $\text{dom}_0 (\text{dom}_1 x) = \text{dom}_0 (\text{dom}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_0 x))$
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots \leq \text{dom}_0 (\text{dom}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x) \cdot_0 \text{dom}_1 x)$
 using *local.d1-hom local.dsr0.d-iso* by *blast*
 also have $\dots = \text{dom}_0 (\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_1 x)$
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots = \text{dom}_0 x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 (\text{dom}_1 x)$
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots = \text{dom}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x) \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 (\text{dom}_1 x)$

by *simp*
 also have $\dots \leq \text{dom}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x) \cdot_0 1_0$
 using *d0.mult-isol local.d0-subid* by *blast*
 finally have $a: \text{dom}_0 (\text{dom}_1 x) \leq \text{dom}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x)$
 by *simp*
 have $\text{dom}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x) = \text{dom}_0 x$
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots = \text{dom}_0 (\text{dom}_1 x \cdot_1 x)$
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots \leq \text{dom}_0 (\text{dom}_1 x) \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 x$
 using *local.d0-hom* by *blast*
 also have $\dots \leq \text{dom}_0 (\text{dom}_1 x) \cdot_1 1_0$
 by (*simp add: d1.mult-isol*)
 also have $\dots \leq \text{dom}_0 (\text{dom}_1 x) \cdot_1 1_1$
 using *d1.mult-isol local.id0-le-id1* by *presburger*
 finally have $\text{dom}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x) \leq \text{dom}_0 (\text{dom}_1 x)$
 by *simp*
 thus ?thesis
 using *a* by *auto*
 qed

lemma *comm-d0c1*: $\text{dom}_0 (\text{cod}_1 x) = \text{cod}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x)$
 proof—
 have $\text{dom}_0 (\text{cod}_1 x) = \text{dom}_0 (\text{cod}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_0 x))$
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots \leq \text{dom}_0 (\text{cod}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x) \cdot_0 \text{cod}_1 x)$
 using *local.c1-hom local.dsr0.d-iso* by *blast*
 also have $\dots = \text{dom}_0 (\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_0 \text{cod}_1 x)$
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots = \text{dom}_0 x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 (\text{cod}_1 x)$
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots = \text{cod}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x) \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 (\text{cod}_1 x)$
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots \leq \text{cod}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x) \cdot_0 1_0$
 using *d0.mult-isol local.d0-subid* by *blast*
 finally have $a: \text{dom}_0 (\text{cod}_1 x) \leq \text{cod}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x)$
 by *simp*
 have $\text{cod}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x) = \text{dom}_0 x$
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots = \text{dom}_0 (x \cdot_1 \text{cod}_1 x)$
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots \leq \text{dom}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 (\text{cod}_1 x)$
 using *local.d0-hom* by *blast*
 also have $\dots \leq 1_0 \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 (\text{cod}_1 x)$
 by (*simp add: d1.mult-isor*)
 also have $\dots \leq 1_1 \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 (\text{cod}_1 x)$
 using *d1.mult-isor local.id0-le-id1* by *blast*
 finally have $\text{cod}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x) \leq \text{dom}_0 (\text{cod}_1 x)$
 by *simp*

thus ?thesis
 using a by auto
 qed

lemma comm-c0c1: $\text{cod}_0 (\text{cod}_1 x) = \text{cod}_1 (\text{cod}_0 x)$
 by (metis c1c0 local.csr0.rdual.dom-llp local.csr0.rdual.dom-ord local.csr0.rdual.dsg1
 local.csr0.rdual.dsg4 local.csr1.rdual.dom-llp local.csr1.rdual.dsg1 local.tgsdual.d0-hom
 local.tgsdual.d1-hom)

lemma comm-c0d1: $\text{cod}_0 (\text{dom}_1 x) = \text{dom}_1 (\text{cod}_0 x)$
 by (metis d1c0 local.c0-hom local.csr0.rdual.dom-subid-aux2 local.csr0.rdual.domain-1''
 local.csr0.rdual.domain-invol local.csr0.rdual.dsg1 local.d1-hom local.dsr1.dom-subid-aux2
 local.dsr1.dom-subid-aux2'' local.dsr1.dsg1 local.dual-order.antisym)

We prove further lemmas that are not related to the globular structure.

lemma d0-comp1-idem [simp]: $\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 x = \text{dom}_0 x$

proof –

have $\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 x = \text{dom}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x) \cdot_1 \text{dom}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x)$

by simp

also have $\dots = \text{dom}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x)$

using local.dsr1.dom-el-idem by blast

also have $\dots = \text{dom}_0 x$

by simp

finally show ?thesis.

qed

lemma cod0-comp1-idem: $\text{cod}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{cod}_0 x = \text{cod}_0 x$

by (metis d1c0 local.dsr1.dsg1)

lemma dom01-loc [simp]: $\text{dom}_0 (x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_1 y) = \text{dom}_0 (x \cdot_1 y)$

proof –

have $\text{dom}_0 (x \cdot_1 y) = \text{dom}_0 (\text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_1 y))$

by (simp add: local.comm-d0d1)

also have $\dots = \text{dom}_0 (\text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_1 y))$

by simp

also have $\dots = \text{dom}_0 (x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_1 y)$

using local.comm-d0d1 local.d1d0 by presburger

finally show ?thesis..

qed

lemma cod01-loc: $\text{cod}_0 (\text{cod}_1 x \cdot_1 y) = \text{cod}_0 (x \cdot_1 y)$

by (metis c1c0 comm-c0c1 local.cod1-local)

lemma dom01-exp [simp]: $\text{dom}_0 (\text{cod}_1 x \cdot_1 y) = \text{dom}_0 (x \cdot_1 y)$

by (metis local.c1d0 local.cod1-local local.comm-d0c1)

lemma cod01-exo: $\text{cod}_0 (x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_1 y) = \text{cod}_0 (x \cdot_1 y)$

by (metis comm-c0d1 d1c0 local.d1-local)

lemma *dom01-loc-var* [*simp*]: $\text{dom}_0 (x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_1 y) = \text{dom}_0 (x \cdot_0 y)$

proof–

have $\text{dom}_0 (x \cdot_0 y) = \text{dom}_0 (x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 y)$
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots = \text{dom}_0 (x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_1 (\text{dom}_0 y))$
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots = \text{dom}_0 (x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 (\text{dom}_1 y))$
 by (*simp add: local.comm-d0d1*)
 also have $\dots = \text{dom}_0 (x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_1 y)$
 by *simp*
 finally show ?thesis..
qed

lemma *cod01-loc-var*: $\text{cod}_0 (\text{cod}_1 x \cdot_0 y) = \text{cod}_0 (x \cdot_0 y)$

by (*metis c1c0 comm-c0c1 local.cod0-local*)

lemma *dom0cod1-exp*: $\text{dom}_0 (x \cdot_0 y) \leq \text{dom}_0 (\text{cod}_1 x \cdot_0 y)$

proof–

have $\text{dom}_0 (x \cdot_0 y) = \text{dom}_0 (\text{cod}_1 (x \cdot_0 y))$
 by (*simp add: local.comm-d0c1*)
 also have $\dots \leq \text{dom}_0 (\text{cod}_1 x \cdot_0 \text{cod}_1 y)$
 by (*simp add: local.c1-hom local.dsr0.d-iso*)
 also have $\dots = \text{dom}_0 (\text{cod}_1 x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 (\text{cod}_1 y))$
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots = \text{dom}_0 (\text{cod}_1 x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 y)$
 by (*simp add: local.comm-d0c1*)
 also have $\dots = \text{dom}_0 (\text{cod}_1 x \cdot_0 y)$
 by *simp*
 finally show ?thesis..
qed

lemma *cod0dom1-exp*: $\text{cod}_0 (x \cdot_0 y) \leq \text{cod}_0 (x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_1 y)$

by (*metis comm-c0d1 d1c0 local.cod0-local local.csr0.rdual.dom-iso local.d1-hom*)

lemma (*in two-semiring*) *d0-comp1*: $\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_0 (y \cdot_1 z) \leq (\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_0 y) \cdot_1 (\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_0 z)$

proof–

have $\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_0 (y \cdot_1 z) = (\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 x) \cdot_0 (y \cdot_1 z)$
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots \leq (\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_0 y) \cdot_1 (\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_0 z)$
 using *local.interchange* by *presburger*
 finally show ?thesis..
qed

lemma *d1-comp1*: $\text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 (y \cdot_1 z) \leq (\text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 y) \cdot_1 (\text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 z)$

by (*metis local.dsr1.dom-el-idem local.tgsdual.interchange*)

lemma *d01-export*: $\text{dom}_0 (\text{dom}_1 x \cdot_1 y) \leq \text{dom}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 y$

proof–

have $\text{dom}_0 (\text{dom}_1 x \cdot_1 y) \leq \text{dom}_0 (\text{dom}_1 x) \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 y$
by (*simp add: local.d0-hom*)
also have $\dots = \text{dom}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 y$
by (*simp add: local.comm-d0d1*)
finally show ?thesis.
qed

lemma *cod01-export*: $\text{cod}_0 (x \cdot_1 \text{cod}_1 y) \leq \text{cod}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{cod}_0 y$
by (*metis local.c0-hom local.c1c0 local.comm-c0c1*)

lemma *d10-export* [*simp*]: $\text{dom}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_1 y) = \text{dom}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_1 y$
by (*metis local.d1d0 local.dsr1.dsg3*)

lemma *cod10-export*: $\text{cod}_1 (x \cdot_1 \text{cod}_0 y) = \text{cod}_1 x \cdot_1 \text{cod}_0 y$
by (*metis local.c1c0 local.csr1.rdual.dsg3*)

lemma *d0-comp10*: $\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 y = \text{dom}_0 x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 y$
proof (*rule order.antisym*)
have $\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 y \leq \text{dom}_0 (\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 y) \cdot_0 (\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 y)$
by *simp*
also have $\dots \leq (\text{dom}_0 (\text{dom}_0 x) \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 (\text{dom}_0 y)) \cdot_0 (\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 y)$
using *d0.mult-isor local.tgsdual.c0-hom* **by** *blast*
also have $\dots \leq (\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_1 1_0) \cdot_0 (1_0 \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 y)$
by (*simp add: local.d0.mult-isol-var local.d1.mult-isol-var*)
also have $\dots \leq (\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_1 1_1) \cdot_0 (1_1 \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 y)$
using *local.d0.mult-isol-var local.dd1.d1.mult-isol local.dd1.d1.mult-isor local.id0-le-id1* **by** *presburger*
also have $\dots \leq \text{dom}_0 x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 y$
by *simp*
finally show $\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 y \leq \text{dom}_0 x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 y$.
next
have $\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 y = (\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 x) \cdot_0 (\text{dom}_0 y \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 y)$
by *simp*
also have $\dots \leq (\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 y) \cdot_1 (\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 y)$
using *local.interchange* **by** *blast*
also have $\dots \leq (\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_0 1_0) \cdot_1 (1_0 \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 y)$
by (*simp add: local.d1.mult-isol-var local.dsr0.dom-subid-aux2 local.dsr0.dom-subid-aux2''*)
also have $\dots = \text{dom}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 y$
by *simp*
finally show $\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 y \leq \text{dom}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 y$.
qed

lemma *dom-exchange-strong*: $(\text{dom}_0 w \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 x) \cdot_0 (\text{dom}_0 y \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 z) = (\text{dom}_0 w \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 y) \cdot_1 (\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 z)$
proof–
have $(\text{dom}_0 w \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 x) \cdot_0 (\text{dom}_0 y \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 z) = (\text{dom}_0 w \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 x) \cdot_0 (\text{dom}_0 y \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 z)$
by (*simp add: local.d0-comp10*)
also have $\dots = (\text{dom}_0 w \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 y) \cdot_0 (\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 z)$

```

    by (metis local.dd0.mm0.mult-assoc local.dsr0.dsg4)
  also have ... = dom0 (dom0 w ·0 dom0 y) ·0 dom0 (dom0 x ·0 dom0 z)
    by simp
  also have ... = dom0 (dom0 w ·0 dom0 y) ·1 dom0 (dom0 x ·0 dom0 z)
    using local.d0-comp10 by presburger
  also have ... = (dom0 w ·0 dom0 y) ·1 (dom0 x ·0 dom0 z)
    by simp
  finally show ?thesis.
qed

```

end

```

context strong-two-semiring
begin

```

```

lemma id1-comp0:  $1_1 \cdot_0 1_1 \leq 1_1$ 
proof-
  have  $1_1 \cdot_0 1_1 = \text{dom}_1 1_1 \cdot_0 \text{dom}_1 1_1$ 
    by simp
  also have ... =  $\text{dom}_1 (1_1 \cdot_0 1_1)$ 
    by simp
  also have ...  $\leq 1_1$ 
    using local.d1-subid by blast
  finally show ?thesis.
qed

```

```

lemma id1-comp0-eq [simp]:  $1_1 \cdot_0 1_1 = 1_1$ 
proof-
  have  $1_1 = 1_1 \cdot_0 1_0$ 
    by simp
  also have ... =  $(1_1 \cdot_1 1_1) \cdot_0 (1_0 \cdot_1 1_1)$ 
    by simp
  also have ...  $\leq (1_1 \cdot_0 1_0) \cdot_1 (1_1 \cdot_0 1_1)$ 
    using local.interchange by presburger
  also have ... =  $1_1 \cdot_1 (1_1 \cdot_0 1_1)$ 
    by simp
  also have ... =  $1_1 \cdot_0 1_1$ 
    by simp
  finally have  $1_1 \leq 1_1 \cdot_0 1_1$ .
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: local.antisym-conv local.id1-comp0)
qed

```

```

lemma  $1_0 = 1_1$ 

```

oops

```

lemma dom0cod1-exp:  $\text{dom}_0 (x \cdot_0 y) = \text{dom}_0 (\text{cod}_1 x \cdot_0 y)$ 
proof-

```

```

have dom0 (x ·0 y) = dom0 (cod1 (x ·0 y))
  using local.c1d0 local.comm-d0c1 by presburger
also have ... = dom0 (cod1 x ·0 cod1 y)
  by (simp add: local.c1-hom local.dsr0.d-iso)
also have ... = dom0 (cod1 x ·0 dom0 (cod1 y))
  by simp
also have ... = dom0 (cod1 x ·0 dom0 y)
  by (simp add: local.comm-d0c1)
also have ... = dom0 (cod1 x ·0 y)
  by simp
finally show ?thesis.
qed

```

```

lemma cod0dom1-exp: cod0 (x ·0 dom1 y) = cod0 (x ·0 y)
  by (metis local.comm-c0d1 local.d1c0 local.ds0dual.d0-local local.stgsdual.c1-strong-hom)

end

```

The following laws are diamond laws. It remains to define diamonds for them.

```

context two-semiring
begin

```

```

lemma fdia0fdia1-prop: dom0 (y ·0 dom1 (x ·1 z)) = dom0 (y ·0 (x ·1 z))
  by simp

```

```

lemma bdia0fdia1-prop [simp]: cod0 (dom1 (x ·1 z) ·0 y) = cod0 ((x ·1 z) ·0 y)
  by (metis local.comm-c0d1 local.d1c0 local.ds0dual.d0-local)

```

```

lemma fdia0bdia1-prop: dom0 (y ·0 cod1 (x ·1 z)) = dom0 (y ·0 (x ·1 z))
  by (metis local.c1d0 local.comm-d0c1 local.d0-local)

```

```

lemma bdia0bdia1-prop: cod0 (cod1 (x ·1 z) ·0 y) = cod0 ((x ·1 z) ·0 y)
  by simp

```

```

lemma fdia0fdia1-prop2: dom0 (y ·0 dom1 (x ·1 z)) ≤ dom0 (y ·0 (dom0 x ·1 dom0 z))

```

```

proof –

```

```

  have dom0 (y ·0 dom1 (x ·1 z)) = dom0 (y ·0 dom0 (x ·1 z))

```

```

    by simp

```

```

  also have ... ≤ dom0 (y ·0 (dom0 x ·1 dom0 z))

```

```

    using d0.mult-isol local.dsr0.d-iso local.tgsdual.c0-hom by presburger

```

```

  finally show ?thesis.

```

```

qed

```

```

lemma fdia00-prop2: dom0 (y ·0 dom0 (x ·1 z)) ≤ dom0 (y ·0 (dom0 x ·1 dom0 z))

```

```

  using local.fdia0fdia1-prop2 by auto

```

lemma *bdia0dom1-prop2*: $\text{cod}_0 (\text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_1 z) \cdot_0 y) \leq \text{cod}_0 ((\text{cod}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{cod}_0 z) \cdot_0 y)$
using *local.c0-hom local.csr0.rdual.fd-def local.csr0.rdual.fd-iso1 local.tgsdual.d0-comp10*
by *auto*

lemma *bdia0dom0-prop2*: $\text{cod}_0 (\text{dom}_0 (x \cdot_1 z) \cdot_0 y) \leq \text{cod}_0 ((\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 z) \cdot_0 y)$
by (*simp add: local.csr0.rdual.dom-iso local.dd0.d0.mult-isol local.tgsdual.c0-hom*)

lemma *fdia0bdia1-prop-2*: $\text{dom}_0 (y \cdot_0 \text{cod}_1 (z \cdot_1 x)) \leq \text{dom}_0 (y \cdot_0 (\text{dom}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 z))$
by (*metis fdia00-prop2 local.c1d0 local.csr1.rdual.dsg1 local.csr1.rdual.dsg4 local.dom01-exp local.msr0dual.cod0-local*)

lemma *fdia0bdia0-prop2*: $\text{dom}_0 (y \cdot_0 \text{cod}_0 (z \cdot_1 x)) \leq \text{dom}_0 (y \cdot_0 (\text{cod}_0 z \cdot_1 \text{cod}_0 x))$
by (*simp add: local.c0-hom local.dd0.d0.mult-isol local.dsr0.dom-iso*)

lemma *bdia0bdia1-prop2*: $\text{cod}_0 (\text{cod}_1 (z \cdot_1 x) \cdot_0 y) \leq \text{cod}_0 ((\text{cod}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{cod}_0 z) \cdot_0 y)$
using *bdia0dom1-prop2 local.csr0.rdual.dsg4 local.tgsdual.d0-comp10* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *bdia0bdia0-prop2*: $\text{cod}_0 (\text{cod}_0 (x \cdot_1 z) \cdot_0 y) \leq \text{cod}_0 ((\text{cod}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{cod}_0 z) \cdot_0 y)$
using *bdia0dom1-prop2* **by** *force*

lemma *fdia1fdia0-prop3* [*simp*]: $\text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 (y \cdot_0 z)) \leq \text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 (\text{dom}_1 y \cdot_0 z))$
by (*metis d1.mult-isol local.comm-d0d1 local.d1-hom local.d1d0 local.dsr0.d-iso local.dsr1.d-iso local.tgsdual.cod01-loc-var*)

lemma *fdia1bdia0-prop3* [*simp*]: $\text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_1 \text{cod}_0 (z \cdot_0 y)) \leq \text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_1 \text{cod}_0 (z \cdot_0 \text{dom}_1 y))$
by (*simp add: d1.mult-isol local.dsr1.d-iso local.tgsdual.dom0cod1-exp*)

lemma *bdia1fdia0-prop3*: $\text{cod}_1 (\text{dom}_0 (y \cdot_0 z) \cdot_1 x) \leq \text{cod}_1 (\text{dom}_0 (\text{cod}_1 y \cdot_0 z) \cdot_1 x)$
by (*simp add: local.csr1.rdual.dom-iso local.dd1.d1.mult-isol local.tgsdual.cod0dom1-exp*)

lemma *bdia1bdia0-prop3*: $\text{cod}_1 (\text{cod}_0 (z \cdot_0 y) \cdot_1 x) \leq \text{cod}_1 (\text{cod}_0 (z \cdot_0 \text{cod}_1 y) \cdot_1 x)$
by (*metis local.c1-hom local.c1c0 local.comm-c0c1 local.csr0.rdual.dom-iso local.csr1.rdual.dom-iso local.dd1.d1.mult-isol local.tgsdual.dom01-loc-var*)

end

context *strong-two-semiring*
begin

lemma *fdia1fdia0-prop3* [*simp*]: $\text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 (\text{dom}_1 y \cdot_0 z)) = \text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 (y \cdot_0 z))$
by (*metis local.comm-d0d1 local.d1-strong-hom local.d1d0 local.dom01-loc-var*)

lemma *fdia1bdia0-prop3* [*simp*]: $\text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_1 \text{cod}_0 (z \cdot_0 \text{dom}_1 y)) = \text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_1 \text{cod}_0 (z \cdot_0 y))$

by (*simp add: local.cod0dom1-exp*)

lemma *bdia1fdia0-prop3*: $\text{cod}_1 (\text{dom}_0 (\text{cod}_1 y \cdot_0 z) \cdot_1 x) = \text{cod}_1 (\text{dom}_0 (y \cdot_0 z) \cdot_1 x)$

by (*simp add: local.stgsdual.cod0dom1-exp*)

lemma *bdia1bdia0-prop3*: $\text{cod}_1 (\text{cod}_0 (z \cdot_0 \text{cod}_1 y) \cdot_1 x) = \text{cod}_1 (\text{cod}_0 (z \cdot_0 y) \cdot_1 x)$

by (*metis local.c1-strong-hom local.c1c0 local.cod01-loc-var local.comm-c0c1*)

lemma *fdia0fdia1-prop4*: $\text{dom}_0 z \cdot_0 \text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_1 y) \leq \text{dom}_1 ((\text{dom}_0 z \cdot_0 x) \cdot_1 (\text{dom}_0 z \cdot_0 y))$

proof–

have $\text{dom}_0 z \cdot_0 \text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_1 y) = \text{dom}_1 (\text{dom}_0 z) \cdot_0 \text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_1 y)$

by *simp*

also have $\dots = \text{dom}_1 (\text{dom}_0 z \cdot_0 (x \cdot_1 y))$

by *simp*

also have $\dots \leq \text{dom}_1 ((\text{dom}_0 z \cdot_0 x) \cdot_1 (\text{dom}_0 z \cdot_0 y))$

using *local.d0-comp1 local.dsr1.d-iso* **by** *presburger*

finally show *?thesis*.

qed

lemma *fdia0bdia1-prop4*: $\text{dom}_0 z \cdot_0 \text{cod}_1 (y \cdot_1 x) \leq \text{cod}_1 ((\text{dom}_0 z \cdot_0 y) \cdot_1 (\text{dom}_0 z \cdot_0 x))$

by (*metis local.c1d0 local.csr1.rdual.dom-iso local.d0-comp1 local.stgsdual.d1-strong-hom*)

lemma *fdia1fdia1-prop4*: $\text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_1 y) \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 z \leq \text{dom}_1 ((x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 z) \cdot_1 (y \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 z))$

by (*metis local.d0-comp1-idem local.d1-strong-hom local.d1d0 local.dsr1.d-iso local.tgsdual.interchange*)

lemma *bdia1bdia1-prop4*: $\text{cod}_1 (y \cdot_1 x) \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 z \leq \text{cod}_1 ((y \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 z) \cdot_1 (x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_0 z))$

by (*metis local.c1d0 local.csr1.rdual.dom-iso local.stgsdual.d1-strong-hom local.tgsdual.d1-comp1*)

end

3.4 Globular 2-Kleene algebras

class *two-kleene-algebra* = *two-semiring* + *kleene-algebra0* + *kleene-algebra1*

class *strong-two-kleene-algebra* = *strong-two-semiring* + *kleene-algebra0* + *kleene-algebra1*

lemma (**in** *strong-two-kleene-algebra*) $\text{star1 } x \cdot_0 \text{star1 } y \leq \text{star0 } (x \cdot_1 y)$

```

oops

lemma (in strong-two-kleene-algebra) star1 x ·0 star1 y ≤ star1 (x ·1 y)

oops

context two-kleene-algebra
begin

lemma interchange-var1: (x ·1 x) ·0 (y ·1 y) ·0 (z ·1 z) ≤ (x ·0 y ·0 z) ·1 (x ·0 y
·0 z)
  by (meson local.dd0.d0.mult-isol local.dual-order.trans local.tgsdual.interchange)

lemma interchange-var2: (x ·1 y) ·0 (x ·1 y) ·0 (x ·1 y) ≤ (x ·0 x ·0 x) ·1 (y ·0 y
·0 y)
  by (meson local.dd0.d0.mult-isol local.dual-order.trans local.tgsdual.interchange)

lemma star0-comp1: star0 (x ·1 y) ≤ star0 x ·1 star0 y
proof-
  have a: 10 ≤ star0 x ·1 star0 y
  by (metis local.d1.mult-isol-var local.id0-comp1-eq local.k0.star-ref)
  have (x ·1 y) ·0 (star0 x ·1 star0 y) ≤ (x ·0 star0 x) ·1 (y ·0 star0 y)
  by (simp add: local.interchange)
  also have ... ≤ star0 x ·1 star0 y
  by (simp add: local.dd1.d1.mult-isol-var)
  finally have (x ·1 y) ·0 (star0 x ·1 star0 y) ≤ star0 x ·1 star0 y.
  hence 10 + (x ·1 y) ·0 (star0 x ·1 star0 y) ≤ star0 x ·1 star0 y
  by (simp add: a)
  thus ?thesis
  using local.star0-inductl by force
qed

end

context strong-two-kleene-algebra
begin

lemma star1 (x ·1 y) ≤ star1 x ·0 star1 y

oops

lemma star1 x ·0 star1 y ≤ star1 (x ·0 y)

oops

lemma star1 (x ·0 y) ≤ star1 x ·0 star1 y

oops

```

```

lemma  $star0\ x \cdot_1\ star0\ y \leq star0\ (x \cdot_0\ y)$ 

  oops

lemma  $star0\ (x \cdot_0\ y) \leq star0\ x \cdot_1\ star0\ y$ 

  oops

lemma  $star0\ x \cdot_1\ star0\ y \leq star0\ (x \cdot_1\ y)$ 

  oops

lemma (in strong-two-kleene-algebra)  $dom_0\ x \cdot_0\ star1\ y \leq star1\ (dom_0\ x \cdot_0\ y)$ 
  oops

end

class two-quantale-lmcs = modal-semiring0 + modal-semiring1 +
  assumes interchange:  $(w \cdot_1\ x) \cdot_0\ (y \cdot_1\ z) \leq (w \cdot_0\ y) \cdot_1\ (x \cdot_0\ z)$ 
  and d1-hom:  $dom_1\ (x \cdot_0\ y) = dom_1\ x \cdot_0\ dom_1\ y$ 
  and c1-hom:  $cod_1\ (x \cdot_0\ y) = cod_1\ x \cdot_0\ cod_1\ y$ 
  and d1d0 [simp]:  $dom_1\ (dom_0\ x) = dom_0\ x$ 
  and c1d0 [simp]:  $cod_1\ (dom_0\ x) = dom_0\ x$ 
  and d1c0 [simp]:  $dom_1\ (cod_0\ x) = cod_0\ x$ 
  and c1c0 [simp]:  $cod_1\ (cod_0\ x) = cod_0\ x$ 
  and d0d1 [simp]:  $dom_0\ (dom_1\ x) = dom_0\ x$ 
  and c0d1 [simp]:  $cod_0\ (dom_1\ x) = dom_0\ x$ 
  and d0c1 [simp]:  $dom_0\ (cod_1\ x) = cod_0\ x$ 
  and c0c1 [simp]:  $cod_0\ (cod_1\ x) = cod_0\ x$ 

begin

lemma  $dom_0\ (x \cdot_1\ y) \leq dom_0\ x \cdot_1\ dom_0\ y$ 

  oops

lemma  $cod_0\ (x \cdot_1\ y) \leq cod_0\ x \cdot_1\ cod_0\ y$ 

  oops

end

end

```

4 2-Quantales

```

theory Two-Quantale
  imports Quantales-Converse.Modal-Quantale Two-Kleene-Algebra

```

begin

class *quantale0* = *complete-lattice* + *monoid-mult0* +
assumes *Sup-distl0*: $x \cdot_0 \sqcup Y = (\sqcup y \in Y. x \cdot_0 y)$
assumes *Sup-distr0*: $\sqcup X \cdot_0 y = (\sqcup x \in X. x \cdot_0 y)$

sublocale *quantale0* \subseteq *q0q*: *unital-quantale* $1_0 (\cdot_0)$ - - - - -
apply *unfold-locale* **using** *local.Sup-distr0* *local.Sup-distl0* **by** *auto*

definition (in *quantale0*) *qstar0* = *q0q.qstar*

lemma (in *quantale0*) *qstar0-unfold*: $qstar0\ x = (\sqcup i. mm0.power\ x\ i)$
by (*simp add: local.q0q.qstar-def local.qstar0-def*)

sublocale *quantale0* \subseteq *dq0s0*: *diod0* $(\sqcup) (\leq) (<) \perp (\cdot_0) 1_0$
by *unfold-locale* (*simp-all add: local.q0q.sup-distl*)

sublocale *quantale0* \subseteq *dq0ka0*: *kleene-algebra0* $(\sqcup) (\leq) (<) \perp (\cdot_0) 1_0\ qstar0$
by *unfold-locale* (*simp-all add: local.qstar0-def local.q0q.uwqlka.star-inductl local.q0q.uqlka.star-inductr'*)

class *domain-quantale0* = *quantale0* + *dom0-op* +
assumes *dom0-absorb*: $x \leq dom_0\ x \cdot_0 x$
and *dom0-local*: $dom_0\ (x \cdot_0 dom_0\ y) = dom_0\ (x \cdot_0 y)$
and *dom0-add*: $dom_0\ (x \sqcup y) = dom_0\ x \sqcup dom_0\ y$
and *dom0-subid*: $dom_0\ x \leq 1_0$
and *dom0-zero*: $dom_0\ \perp = \perp$

sublocale *domain-quantale0* \subseteq *dq0dq*: *domain-quantale* $dom_0\ 1_0 (\cdot_0)$ - - - - -
by *unfold-locale* (*simp-all add: local.dom0-absorb local.dom0-local local.dom0-add local.dom0-subid dom0-zero*)

sublocale *domain-quantale0* \subseteq *dq0ds0*: *domain-semiring0* $(\sqcup) (\leq) (<) \perp (\cdot_0) 1_0\ dom_0$
by *unfold-locale* (*simp-all add: local.dom0-local local.dom0-add local.dom0-subid dom0-zero*)

class *codomain-quantale0* = *quantale0* + *cod0-op* +
assumes *cod0-absorb*: $x \leq x \cdot_0 cod_0\ x$
and *cod0-local*: $cod_0\ (cod_0\ x \cdot_0 y) = cod_0\ (x \cdot_0 y)$
and *cod0-add*: $cod_0\ (x \sqcup y) = cod_0\ x \sqcup cod_0\ y$
and *cod0-subid*: $cod_0\ x \leq 1_0$
and *cod0-zero*: $cod_0\ \perp = \perp$

sublocale *codomain-quantale0* \subseteq *cdq0cdq*: *codomain-quantale* $1_0 (\cdot_0)$ - - - - -
cod_0
by (*unfold-locale, simp-all add: local.cod0-absorb local.cod0-local local.cod0-add local.cod0-subid cod0-zero*)


```

sublocale codomain-quantale0  $\subseteq$  cdq0dcs0: codomain-semiring0 cod0 ( $\sqcup$ ) ( $\leq$ ) ( $<$ )
 $\perp$  ( $\cdot_0$ )  $1_0$ 
  by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: local.cod0-absorb local.cod0-local local.cod0-add
local.cod0-subid cod0-zero)

class modal-quantale0 = domain-quantale0 + codomain-quantale0 +
  assumes dc-compat: dom0 (cod0 x) = cod0 x
  and cd-compat: cod0 (dom0 x) = dom0 x

sublocale modal-quantale0  $\subseteq$  mq0mq: dc-modal-quantale  $1_0$  ( $\cdot_0$ ) - - - - - cod0
dom0
  by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: dc-compat cd-compat)

sublocale modal-quantale0  $\subseteq$  mq0mka: modal-kleene-algebra0 ( $\sqcup$ ) ( $\leq$ ) ( $<$ )  $\perp$  ( $\cdot_0$ )
 $1_0$  qstar0 cod0 dom0
  by unfold-locales simp-all

sublocale modal-quantale0  $\subseteq$  mq0dual: modal-quantale0 dom0 - - - - -  $\lambda x y.$ 
 $y \cdot_0 x - \text{cod}_0$ 
  by unfold-locales (simp-all add: local.cdq0cdq.coddual.Sup-distl local.Sup-distl0)

class quantale1 = complete-lattice + monoid-mult1 +
  assumes Sup-distl1:  $x \cdot_1 \sqcup Y = (\sqcup y \in Y. x \cdot_1 y)$ 
  assumes Sup-distr1:  $\sqcup X \cdot_1 y = (\sqcup x \in X. x \cdot_1 y)$ 

sublocale quantale1  $\subseteq$  q1q: unital-quantale  $1_1$  ( $\cdot_1$ ) - - - - -
  by (unfold-locales, auto simp: local.Sup-distl1 local.Sup-distr1)

definition (in quantale1) qstar1 = q1q.qstar

lemma (in quantale1) qstar1-unfold: qstar1 x =  $(\sqcup i. \text{mm1.power } x \ i)$ 
  by (simp add: local.q1q.qstar-def local.qstar1-def)

sublocale quantale1  $\subseteq$  dq1s1: diod1 ( $\sqcup$ ) ( $\leq$ ) ( $<$ )  $\perp$  ( $\cdot_1$ )  $1_1$ 
  by unfold-locales (simp-all add: local.q1q.wswq.distrib-left)

sublocale quantale1  $\subseteq$  dq0ka1: kleene-algebra1 ( $\sqcup$ ) ( $\leq$ ) ( $<$ )  $\perp$  ( $\cdot_1$ )  $1_1$  qstar1
  by unfold-locales (simp-all add: local.qstar1-def local.q1q.uwqlka.star-inductl local.q1q.uqlka.star-inductr')

class domain-quantale1 = quantale1 + dom1-op +
  assumes dom1-absorb:  $x \leq \text{dom}_1 x \cdot_1 x$ 
  and dom1-local:  $\text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_1 y) = \text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_1 y)$ 
  and dom1-add:  $\text{dom}_1 (x \sqcup y) = \text{dom}_1 x \sqcup \text{dom}_1 y$ 
  and dom1-subid:  $\text{dom}_1 x \leq 1_1$ 
  and dom1-zero:  $\text{dom}_1 \perp = \perp$ 

sublocale domain-quantale1  $\subseteq$  dq1dq: domain-quantale dom1  $1_1$  ( $\cdot_1$ ) - - - - -

```

by (*unfold-locales*, *simp-all add: local.dom1-absorb local.dom1-local local.dom1-add local.dom1-subid dom1-zero*)

sublocale *domain-quantale1* \subseteq *dq1ds1: domain-semiring1* (\sqcup) (\leq) ($<$) \perp (\cdot_1) 1_1
dom₁

by (*unfold-locales*, *simp-all add: local.dom1-local local.dom1-add local.dom1-subid dom1-zero*)

class *codomain-quantale1* = *quantale1* + *cod1-op* +
assumes *cod1-absorb*: $x \leq x \cdot_1 \text{cod}_1 x$
and *cod1-local*: $\text{cod}_1 (\text{cod}_1 x \cdot_1 y) = \text{cod}_1 (x \cdot_1 y)$
and *cod1-add*: $\text{cod}_1 (x \sqcup y) = \text{cod}_1 x \sqcup \text{cod}_1 y$
and *cod1-subid*: $\text{cod}_1 x \leq 1_1$
and *cod1-zero*: $\text{cod}_1 \perp = \perp$

sublocale *codomain-quantale1* \subseteq *cdq1cdq: codomain-quantale* 1_1 (\cdot_1) - - - - -
cod₁

by (*unfold-locales*, *simp-all add: local.cod1-absorb local.cod1-local local.cod1-add local.cod1-subid cod1-zero*)

sublocale *codomain-quantale1* \subseteq *cdq1dcs1: codomain-semiring1* *cod₁* (\sqcup) (\leq) ($<$)
 \perp (\cdot_1) 1_1

by (*unfold-locales*, *simp-all add: local.cod1-absorb local.cod1-local local.cod1-add local.cod1-subid cod1-zero*)

class *modal-quantale1* = *domain-quantale1* + *codomain-quantale1* +
assumes *dc-compatible*: $\text{dom}_1 (\text{cod}_1 x) = \text{cod}_1 x$
and *cd-compatible*: $\text{cod}_1 (\text{dom}_1 x) = \text{dom}_1 x$

sublocale *modal-quantale1* \subseteq *mq1mq: dc-modal-quantale* 1_1 (\cdot_1) - - - - - *cod₁*
dom₁

by (*unfold-locales*, *simp-all add: local.dc-compatible local.cd-compatible*)

sublocale *modal-quantale1* \subseteq *mq1mka: modal-kleene-algebra1* (\sqcup) (\leq) ($<$) \perp (\cdot_1)
 1_1 *qstar1* *cod₁* *dom₁*

by *unfold-locales simp-all*

sublocale *modal-quantale1* \subseteq *mq1dual: modal-quantale1* *dom₁* - - - - - $\lambda x y.$
 $y \cdot_1 x - \text{cod}_1$

by *unfold-locales (simp-all add: local.Sup-distr1 local.Sup-distl1)*

class *two-quantale* = *modal-quantale0* + *modal-quantale1* +
assumes *interchange*: $(w \cdot_1 x) \cdot_0 (y \cdot_1 z) \leq (w \cdot_0 y) \cdot_1 (x \cdot_0 z)$
and *d1-hom*: $\text{dom}_1 (x \cdot_0 y) \leq \text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 \text{dom}_1 y$
and *c1-hom*: $\text{cod}_1 (x \cdot_0 y) \leq \text{cod}_1 x \cdot_0 \text{cod}_1 y$
and *d0-weak-hom*: $\text{dom}_0 (x \cdot_1 y) \leq \text{dom}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_0 y$
and *c0-weak-hom*: $\text{cod}_0 (x \cdot_1 y) \leq \text{cod}_0 x \cdot_1 \text{cod}_0 y$
and *d1d0 [simp]*: $\text{dom}_1 (\text{dom}_0 x) = \text{dom}_0 x$

```

class strong-two-quantale = two-quantale +
  assumes d1-strong-hom [simp]: dom1 (x ·0 y) = dom1 x ·0 dom1 y
  and c1-strong-hom [simp]: cod1 (x ·0 y) = cod1 x ·0 cod1 y

sublocale two-quantale ⊆ tgqs: two-semiring cod0 (⊔) (≤) (<) ⊥ (·0) 10 dom0
cod1 (·1) 11 dom1
  by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: local.mq0mq.mqs.msrdual.cd-compat local.mq0mq.mqs.msrdual.dc-compat
local.dc-compat local.cd-compat local.interchange local.c0-weak-hom local.c1-hom lo-
cal.d0-weak-hom local.d1-hom)

sublocale strong-two-quantale ⊆ stgqs: strong-two-semiring cod0 (⊔) (≤) (<) ⊥
(·0) 10 dom0 cod1 (·1) 11 dom1
  by unfold-locales simp-all

sublocale two-quantale ⊆ tgqs: two-kleene-algebra (⊔) (≤) (<) ⊥ (·0) 10 qstar0
(·1) 11 qstar1 cod0 dom0 cod1 dom1 ..

sublocale strong-two-quantale ⊆ tgqs: strong-two-kleene-algebra (⊔) (≤) (<) ⊥
(·0) 10 qstar0 (·1) 11 qstar1 cod0 dom0 cod1 dom1 ..

lemma (in strong-two-quantale) id0-le-id1: 10 = 11

oops

context two-quantale
begin

lemma qstar0-aux: mm0.power (x ·1 y) i ≤ mm0.power x i ·1 mm0.power y i
proof (induct i)
  case 0
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc i)
  fix i
  assume h: mm0.power (x ·1 y) i ≤ mm0.power x i ·1 mm0.power y i
  have mm0.power (x ·1 y) (Suc i) = (x ·1 y) ·0 mm0.power (x ·1 y) i
    by simp
  also have ... ≤ (x ·1 y) ·0 (mm0.power x i ·1 mm0.power y i)
    by (simp add: h local.q0q.psrpq.mult-isol)
  also have ... ≤ (x ·0 mm0.power x i) ·1 (y ·0 mm0.power y i)
    by (simp add: local.interchange)
  also have ... = mm0.power x (Suc i) ·1 mm0.power y (Suc i)
    by simp
  finally show mm0.power (x ·1 y) (Suc i) ≤ mm0.power x (Suc i) ·1 mm0.power
y (Suc i).
qed

lemma qstar0-oplax: qstar0 (x ·1 y) ≤ qstar0 x ·1 qstar0 y
  by (simp add: local.tgqs.star0-comp1)

```

```

lemma qstar1-distl0:  $x \cdot_0 (qstar1\ y) = (\bigsqcup i. x \cdot_0 mm1.power\ y\ i)$ 
  by (simp add: image-image local.Sup-distl0 local.qstar1-unfold)

lemma qstar1-distr0:  $(qstar1\ x) \cdot_0 y = (\bigsqcup i. mm1.power\ x\ i \cdot_0 y)$ 
  by (simp add: image-image local.Sup-distr0 local.qstar1-unfold)

lemma qstar0-distl1:  $x \cdot_1 (qstar0\ y) = (\bigsqcup i. x \cdot_1 mm0.power\ y\ i)$ 
  by (simp add: image-image local.Sup-distl1 local.qstar0-unfold)

lemma qstar0-distr1:  $(qstar0\ x) \cdot_1 y = (\bigsqcup i. mm0.power\ x\ i \cdot_1 y)$ 
  by (smt (verit, best) image-image local.SUP-cong local.Sup-distr1 local.qstar0-unfold)

lemma star1-laxl-aux-var:  $dom_0\ x \cdot_0 mm1.power\ y\ i \leq mm1.power\ (dom_0\ x \cdot_0 y)\ i$ 
i
proof (induct i)
  case 0
    have  $dom_0\ x \cdot_0 1_1 = dom_1\ (dom_0\ x) \cdot_0 1_1$ 
    by simp
    also have  $\dots \leq 1_1 \cdot_0 1_1$ 
    using local.dom1-subid local.q0q.nsrnq.mult-isor by blast
    finally have  $dom_0\ x \cdot_0 1_1 \leq 1_1$ 
    by (simp add: local.dq0dq.dqmsr.dom-subid-aux2)
    thus  $dom_0\ x \cdot_0 mm1.power\ y\ 0 \leq mm1.power\ (dom_0\ x \cdot_0 y)\ 0$ 
    by simp
  next
    case (Suc i)
    fix i
    assume h:  $dom_0\ x \cdot_0 mm1.power\ y\ i \leq mm1.power\ (dom_0\ x \cdot_0 y)\ i$ 
    have  $dom_0\ x \cdot_0 mm1.power\ y\ (Suc\ i) = dom_0\ x \cdot_0 (y \cdot_1 mm1.power\ y\ i)$ 
    by simp
    also have  $\dots = (dom_0\ x \cdot_1 dom_0\ x) \cdot_0 (y \cdot_1 mm1.power\ y\ i)$ 
    by simp
    also have  $\dots \leq (dom_0\ x \cdot_0 y) \cdot_1 (dom_0\ x \cdot_0 mm1.power\ y\ i)$ 
    using local.interchange by blast
    also have  $\dots \leq (dom_0\ x \cdot_0 y) \cdot_1 mm1.power\ (dom_0\ x \cdot_0 y)\ i$ 
    by (simp add: h local.q1q.psrpq.mult-isol)
    finally show  $dom_0\ x \cdot_0 mm1.power\ y\ (Suc\ i) \leq mm1.power\ (dom_0\ x \cdot_0 y)\ (Suc\ i)$ 
    by simp
qed

lemma star1-laxl-var:  $dom_0\ x \cdot_0 qstar1\ y \leq qstar1\ (dom_0\ x \cdot_0 y)$ 
proof –
  have  $dom_0\ x \cdot_0 qstar1\ y = (\bigsqcup i. dom_0\ x \cdot_0 mm1.power\ y\ i)$ 
  using local.qstar1-distl0 by auto
  also have  $\dots \leq (\bigsqcup i. mm1.power\ (dom_0\ x \cdot_0 y)\ i)$ 
  by (simp add: local.SUP-mono' local.star1-laxl-aux-var)
  finally show ?thesis

```

by (simp add: local.qstar1-unfold)
qed

lemma star1-laxr-aux-var: $mm1.power\ x\ i \cdot_0 cod_0\ y \leq mm1.power\ (x \cdot_0 cod_0\ y)\ i$
proof (induct i)
 case 0 show ?case
 by (simp add: local.cdq0cdq.coddual.dqmsr.dom-subid-aux2)
next
 case (Suc i)
 fix i
 assume h: $mm1.power\ x\ i \cdot_0 cod_0\ y \leq mm1.power\ (x \cdot_0 cod_0\ y)\ i$
 have $mm1.power\ x\ (Suc\ i) \cdot_0 cod_0\ y = (x \cdot_1 mm1.power\ x\ i) \cdot_0 (cod_0\ y \cdot_1 cod_0\ y)$
 by simp
 also have $\dots \leq (x \cdot_0 cod_0\ y) \cdot_1 (mm1.power\ x\ i \cdot_0 cod_0\ y)$
 by (simp add: local.tgqs.tgsdual.d0-comp1)
 finally show $mm1.power\ x\ (Suc\ i) \cdot_0 cod_0\ y \leq mm1.power\ (x \cdot_0 cod_0\ y)\ (Suc\ i)$
 by (simp add: h local.q0q.h-w2 local.q1q.psrpq.mult-isol)
 qed

lemma qstar1-laxr-var: $qstar1\ x \cdot_0 cod_0\ y \leq qstar1\ (x \cdot_0 cod_0\ y)$
proof–
 have $qstar1\ x \cdot_0 cod_0\ y = (\bigsqcup i. mm1.power\ x\ i \cdot_0 cod_0\ y)$
 using local.qstar1-distr0 by auto
 also have $\dots \leq (\bigsqcup i. mm1.power\ (x \cdot_0 cod_0\ y)\ i)$
 by (simp add: local.SUP-mono' local.star1-laxr-aux-var)
 finally show ?thesis
 by (simp add: local.qstar1-unfold)
 qed

lemma qstar1-power: $qstar1\ x \cdot_0 qstar1\ y = (\bigsqcup i\ j. mm1.power\ x\ i \cdot_0 mm1.power\ y\ j)$
proof–
 have $qstar1\ x \cdot_0 qstar1\ y = qstar1\ x \cdot_0 (\bigsqcup j. mm1.power\ y\ j)$
 using bot-nat-0.extremum local.qstar1-unfold by presburger
 also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup j. qstar1\ x \cdot_0 mm1.power\ y\ j)$
 using calculation local.qstar1-distl0 by auto
 also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup j. (\bigsqcup i. mm1.power\ x\ i) \cdot_0 mm1.power\ y\ j)$
 unfolding qstar1-def q1q.qstar-def by (simp add: full-SetCompr-eq)
 also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup i\ j. mm1.power\ x\ i \cdot_0 mm1.power\ y\ j)$
 by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) Sup.SUP-cong calculation local.qstar1-distl0 local.qstar1-distr0)
 finally show ?thesis.
 qed

end

context strong-two-quantale
begin

```

lemma star1-laxl-aux:  $\text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 \text{mm1.power } y \ i \leq \text{mm1.power } (\text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 y) \ i$ 
proof (induct i)
  case 0
  have  $\text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 1_1 \leq 1_1 \cdot_0 1_1$ 
  using local.dom1-subid local.q0q.nsrnq.mult-isor by blast
  thus  $\text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 \text{mm1.power } y \ 0 \leq \text{mm1.power } (\text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 y) \ 0$ 
  by simp
next
  case (Suc i)
  fix i
  assume h:  $\text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 \text{mm1.power } y \ i \leq \text{mm1.power } (\text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 y) \ i$ 
  have  $\text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 \text{mm1.power } y \ (\text{Suc } i) = \text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 (y \cdot_1 \text{mm1.power } y \ i)$ 
  by simp
  also have  $\dots = (\text{dom}_1 x \cdot_1 \text{dom}_1 x) \cdot_0 (y \cdot_1 \text{mm1.power } y \ i)$ 
  by simp
  also have  $\dots \leq (\text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 y) \cdot_1 (\text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 \text{mm1.power } y \ i)$ 
  using local.interchange by blast
  also have  $\dots \leq (\text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 y) \cdot_1 \text{mm1.power } (\text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 y) \ i$ 
  by (simp add: h local.q1q.psrpq.mult-isol)
  finally show  $\text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 \text{mm1.power } y \ (\text{Suc } i) \leq \text{mm1.power } (\text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 y) \ (\text{Suc } i)$ 
  by simp
qed

lemma star1-laxl:  $\text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 \text{qstar1 } y \leq \text{qstar1 } (\text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 y)$ 
proof–
  have  $\text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 \text{qstar1 } y = (\bigsqcup i. \text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 \text{mm1.power } y \ i)$ 
  using local.qstar1-distl0 by auto
  also have  $\dots \leq (\bigsqcup i. \text{mm1.power } (\text{dom}_1 x \cdot_0 y) \ i)$ 
  by (simp add: local.SUP-mono' local.star1-laxl-aux)
  finally show ?thesis
  by (simp add: local.qstar1-unfold)
qed

lemma star1-laxr-aux:  $\text{mm1.power } x \ i \cdot_0 \text{cod}_1 y \leq \text{mm1.power } (x \cdot_0 \text{cod}_1 y) \ i$ 
apply (induct i)
  apply (metis local.cod1-subid local.mm1.power.power-0 local.q0q.psrpq.mult-isol
local.stgqs.stgsdual.id1-comp0-eq)
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) local.dual-order.trans local.q1q.psrpq.mult-isol local.tgqs.tgsdual.d1-comp1
power.power.power-Suc)

lemma qstar1-laxr:  $\text{qstar1 } x \cdot_0 \text{cod}_1 y \leq \text{qstar1 } (x \cdot_0 \text{cod}_1 y)$ 
proof–
  have  $\text{qstar1 } x \cdot_0 \text{cod}_1 y = (\bigsqcup i. \text{mm1.power } x \ i \cdot_0 \text{cod}_1 y)$ 
  using local.qstar1-distr0 by auto
  also have  $\dots \leq (\bigsqcup i. \text{mm1.power } (x \cdot_0 \text{cod}_1 y) \ i)$ 
  by (simp add: local.SUP-mono' local.star1-laxr-aux)
  finally show ?thesis

```

by (simp add: local.qstar1-unfold)
qed

lemma qstar1-aux: $mm1.power\ x\ i \cdot_0\ mm1.power\ y\ i \leq mm1.power\ (x \cdot_0\ y)\ i$
proof (induct i)
 case 0
 then show ?case
 by simp
next
 case (Suc i)
 fix i
 assume h: $mm1.power\ x\ i \cdot_0\ mm1.power\ y\ i \leq mm1.power\ (x \cdot_0\ y)\ i$
 have $mm1.power\ x\ (Suc\ i) \cdot_0\ mm1.power\ y\ (Suc\ i) = (x \cdot_1\ mm1.power\ x\ i) \cdot_0\ (y \cdot_1\ mm1.power\ y\ i)$
 by simp
 also have $\dots \leq (x \cdot_0\ y) \cdot_1\ (mm1.power\ x\ i \cdot_0\ mm1.power\ y\ i)$
 using local.interchange **by** force
 also have $\dots \leq (x \cdot_0\ y) \cdot_1\ mm1.power\ (x \cdot_0\ y)\ i$
 by (simp add: h local.q1q.psrpq.mult-isol)
 also have $\dots = mm1.power\ (x \cdot_0\ y)\ (Suc\ i)$
 by simp
 finally show $mm1.power\ x\ (Suc\ i) \cdot_0\ mm1.power\ y\ (Suc\ i) \leq mm1.power\ (x \cdot_0\ y)\ (Suc\ i)$.
qed

lemma qstar1 $x \cdot_0\ qstar1\ y \leq qstar0\ (x \cdot_1\ y)$

oops

lemma qstar1 $x \cdot_0\ qstar1\ y \leq qstar1\ (x \cdot_1\ y)$

oops

lemma qstar1 $(x \cdot_1\ y) \leq qstar1\ x \cdot_0\ qstar1\ y$

oops

lemma qstar1 $x \cdot_0\ qstar1\ y \leq qstar1\ (x \cdot_0\ y)$

oops

lemma qstar1 $(x \cdot_0\ y) \leq qstar1\ x \cdot_0\ qstar1\ y$

oops

lemma qstar0 $x \cdot_1\ qstar0\ y \leq qstar0\ (x \cdot_0\ y)$

oops

```

end

lemma (in strong-two-kleene-algebra) qstar0 x ·1 qstar0 y ≤ qstar0 (x ·1 y)

oops

lemma (in strong-two-kleene-algebra) qstar0 (x ·1 y) ≤ qstar0 x ·1 qstar0 y

oops

class two-quantale-lmcs = modal-quantale0 + modal-quantale1 +
  assumes interchange: (w ·1 x) ·0 (y ·1 z) ≤ (w ·0 y) ·1 (x ·0 z)
  and d1-hom: dom1 (x ·0 y) = dom1 x ·0 dom1 y
  and c1-hom: cod1 (x ·0 y) = cod1 x ·0 cod1 y
  and d1d0 [simp]: dom1 (dom0 x) = dom0 x
  and c1d0 [simp]: cod1 (dom0 x) = dom0 x
  and d1c0 [simp]: dom1 (cod0 x) = cod0 x
  and c1c0 [simp]: cod1 (cod0 x) = cod0 x
  and d0d1 [simp]: dom0 (dom1 x) = dom0 x
  and c0d1 [simp]: cod0 (dom1 x) = dom0 x
  and d0c1 [simp]: dom0 (cod1 x) = cod0 x
  and c0c1 [simp]: cod0 (cod1 x) = cod0 x

begin

lemma dom0 (x ·1 y) ≤ dom0 x ·1 dom0 y

oops

lemma cod0 (x ·1 y) ≤ cod0 x ·1 cod0 y

oops

end

end

```

5 Lifting 2-Catoids to powerset 2-quantales

```

theory Two-Catoid-Lifting
  imports Two-Catoid Two-Quantale Catoids.Catoid-Lifting

begin

instantiation set :: (local-two-catoid) two-quantale

begin

definition dom0-set :: 'a set ⇒ 'a set where

```


$dom_0 X = Src_0 X$

definition $cod_0\text{-set} :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
 $cod_0 X = Tgt_0 X$

definition $comp0\text{-set} :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
 $X \cdot_0 Y = X *_0 Y$

definition $id0\text{-set} :: 'a \text{ set}$
where $1_0 = s0fix$

definition $dom_1\text{-set} :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
 $dom_1 X = Src_1 X$

definition $cod_1\text{-set} :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
 $cod_1 X = Tgt_1 X$

definition $comp1\text{-set} :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
 $X \cdot_1 Y = X *_1 Y$

definition $id1\text{-set} :: 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
 $1_1 = t1fix$

instance

apply *intro-classes*

unfolding $comp0\text{-set-def}$ $dom_0\text{-set-def}$ $cod_0\text{-set-def}$ $id0\text{-set-def}$ $comp1\text{-set-def}$ $dom_1\text{-set-def}$ $cod_1\text{-set-def}$ $id1\text{-set-def}$

apply (*simp add: msg0.conv-assoc*)

using $stmm0.stopp.stopp.conv-uns$ **apply** *blast*

apply (*metis stmm0.stopp.stopp.conv-unt stmm0.stopp.stopp.st-fix-set*)

apply (*smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) Collect-cong image-def*

$stmm0.stopp.conv-distr$)

apply (*smt (z3) Collect-cong image-def multimagma.conv-distr*)

apply *simp+*

apply (*simp add: image-Un*)

using $stmm0.stopp.stopp.Tgt\text{-subid}$ $stmm0.stopp.stopp.st-fix-set$ **apply** *blast*

apply *force*

apply *simp+*

apply (*simp add: image-Un*)

using $stmm0.stopp.stopp.Src\text{-subid}$ **apply** *blast*

apply *force*

apply *simp+*

apply (*simp add: msg1.conv-assoc*)

apply (*metis stmm1.stopp.stopp.conv-uns stmm1.stopp.stopp.st-fix-set*)

using $stmm1.stopp.stopp.conv-unt$ **apply** *blast*

apply (*smt (verit, best) Collect-cong image-def multimagma.conv-distl*)

apply (*smt (verit) Collect-cong image-def multimagma.conv-distr*)

apply *simp+*

apply (*simp add: image-Un*)

```

using stmm1.stopp.stopp.Tgt-subid apply blast
  apply simp+
  apply (simp add: image-Un)
  apply force
  apply simp+
  apply (simp add: interchange-lifting)
  apply (simp add: Src1-hom)
  apply (simp add: Tgt1-hom)
using Src0-hom apply blast
  apply (simp add: Tgt0-hom)
by simp

end

end

```

6 2-Catoids with (too) strong homomorphisms

```

theory Two-Catoid-Collapse
  imports Two-Catoid

```

```
begin
```

Here we present variants of 2-categories where the axioms are too strong. There is an Eckmann-Hilton style collapse of the two structures.

6.1 2-st-Multimagmas with strong homomorphism laws

```

class two-st-multimagma-collapse = st-multimagma0 + st-multimagma1 +
  assumes comm-s0s1:  $\sigma_0 (\sigma_1 x) = \sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x)$ 
  and comm-t0t1:  $\tau_0 (\tau_1 x) = \tau_1 (\tau_0 x)$ 
  and comm-s0t1:  $\sigma_0 (\tau_1 x) = \tau_1 (\sigma_0 x)$ 
  and comm-tr0s1:  $\tau_0 (\sigma_1 x) = \sigma_1 (\tau_0 x)$ 
  assumes interchange:  $(w \odot_1 x) *_0 (y \odot_1 z) \subseteq (w \odot_0 y) *_1 (x \odot_0 z)$ 
  and t0-hom:  $Tgt_0 (x \odot_1 y) = \tau_0 x \odot_1 \tau_0 y$ 
  and t1-hom:  $Tgt_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \tau_1 x \odot_0 \tau_1 y$ 
  and s0-hom:  $Src_0 (x \odot_1 y) = \sigma_0 x \odot_1 \sigma_0 y$ 
  and s1-hom:  $Src_1 (x \odot_0 y) = \sigma_1 x \odot_0 \sigma_1 y$ 
  and s1-s0 [simp]:  $\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 x) = \sigma_0 x$ 
  and t1-s0 [simp]:  $\tau_1 (\sigma_0 x) = \sigma_0 x$ 
  and s1-t0 [simp]:  $\sigma_1 (\tau_0 x) = \tau_0 x$ 
  and t1-t0 [simp]:  $\tau_1 (\tau_0 x) = \tau_0 x$ 

```

```
begin
```

The source and target structure collapses.

```

lemma s0s1:  $\sigma_0 x = \sigma_1 x$ 
proof –

```

```

have Src0 (σ1 x ⊙1 σ0 (σ1 x)) = σ0 (σ1 x) ⊙1 σ0 (σ0 (σ1 x))
  by (simp add: local.s0-hom)
also have ... = σ1 (σ0 (σ1 x)) ⊙1 σ0 (σ1 x)
  by simp
also have ... = {σ0 (σ1 x)}
  using local.src1-absorb by presburger
also have ... ≠ {}
  by (metis insert-not-empty)
finally have Src0 (σ1 x ⊙1 σ0 (σ1 x)) ≠ {}.
hence σ1 x ⊙1 σ0 (σ1 x) ≠ {}
  by (metis image-empty)
hence τ1 (σ1 x) = σ1 (σ0 (σ1 x))
  using local.Dst1 by presburger
hence σ1 x = σ1 (σ0 (σ1 x))
  by simp
also have ... = σ1 (σ1 (σ0 x))
  by (simp add: local.comm-s0s1)
also have ... = σ1 (σ0 x)
  by simp
also have ... = σ0 x
  by simp
finally show ?thesis..
qed

lemma t0t1: τ0 x = τ1 x
  using local.comm-s0t1 local.commtr0s1 local.s0s1 stmm0.ts-compat by force

lemma s0t0: σ0 x = τ0 x
proof-
  have σ0 x = τ1 (σ0 x)
    by simp
  also have ... = σ0 (τ1 x)
    by (simp add: local.comm-s0t1)
  also have ... = σ0 (τ0 x)
    by (simp add: t0t1)
  also have ... = τ0 x
    by simp
  finally show ?thesis.
qed

lemma σ0 x = x

oops

lemma s1t1: σ1 x = τ1 x
  using local.s0s1 s0t0 t0t1 by force

lemma x ∈ y ⊙0 z ⇒ x' ∈ y ⊙0 z ⇒ x = x'

```

oops

lemma $x \in y \odot_1 z \implies x' \in y \odot_1 z \implies x = x'$

oops

The two compositions are still different—but see below for 2-catoids.

end

6.2 2-Catoids with (too) strong homomorphisms

class *two-catoid-collapse* = *two-st-multimagma-collapse* + *catoid0* + *catoid1*

begin

The two compositions are still different, and neither of them commutes.

lemma $x \odot_0 y = x \odot_1 y$

oops

lemma $x \odot_0 y = y \odot_0 x$

oops

lemma $x \odot_1 y = y \odot_1 x$

oops

end

6.3 Single-set 2-categories with (too) strong homomorphisms

class *two-category-collapse* = *two-catoid-collapse* + *single-set-category0* + *single-set-category1*

begin

lemma *comp-collapse*: $x \odot_0 y = x \odot_1 y$

by (*smt* (*verit*, *del-insts*) *local.interchange local.mm0.conv-atom local.mm1.conv-atom local.pm1.functionality-lem-var local.s0s1 local.src0-absorb local.src1-absorb local.stmsg1.sts-msg.st-local local.t0t1 local.tgt0-absorb local.tgt1-absorb ssmmsg0.st-local subset-singleton-iff*)

lemma *comp0-comm*: $x \odot_0 y = y \odot_0 x$

by (*smt* (*verit*, *best*) *bot-set-def comp-collapse doubleton-eq-iff local.interchange local.mm0.conv-atom local.mm1.conv-atom local.pm0.functionality-lem-var local.s0t0 local.src0-absorb local.tgt0-absorb singleton-insert-inj-eq' ssmmsg0.st-local*)

lemma *comp1-comm*: $x \odot_1 y = y \odot_1 x$

using *comp0-comm comp-collapse* **by** *auto*

```

lemma  $\sigma_0 \ x = x$ 

  oops

lemma  $\sigma_0 \ x = \sigma_0 \ y$ 

  oops

lemma  $x \odot_0 \ y \neq \{\}$ 

  oops

lemma  $x \odot_1 \ y \neq \{\}$ 

  oops

end

```

6.4 2-lr-Multimagmas with strong interchange law

```

class two-lr-multimagma-bad = st-multimagma0 + st-multimagma1 +
  assumes comm-s0s1:  $\sigma_0 (\sigma_1 \ x) = \sigma_1 (\sigma_0 \ x)$ 
  and comm-t0t1:  $\tau_0 (\tau_1 \ x) = \tau_1 (\tau_0 \ x)$ 
  and comm-s0t1:  $\sigma_0 (\tau_1 \ x) = \tau_1 (\sigma_0 \ x)$ 
  and comm-t0s1:  $\tau_0 (\sigma_1 \ x) = \sigma_1 (\tau_0 \ x)$ 
  assumes interchange:  $(w \odot_1 \ x) *_0 (y \odot_1 \ z) = (w \odot_0 \ y) *_1 (x \odot_0 \ z)$ 
  and t0-hom:  $Tgt_0 (x \odot_1 \ y) = \tau_0 \ x \odot_1 \ \tau_0 \ y$ 
  and t1-hom:  $Tgt_1 (x \odot_0 \ y) = \tau_1 \ x \odot_0 \ \tau_1 \ y$ 
  and s0-hom:  $Src_0 (x \odot_1 \ y) \subseteq \sigma_0 \ x \odot_1 \ \sigma_0 \ y$ 
  and s1-hom:  $Src_1 (x \odot_0 \ y) \subseteq \sigma_1 \ x \odot_0 \ \sigma_1 \ y$ 
  and s1-s0 [simp]:  $\sigma_1 (\sigma_0 \ x) = \sigma_0 \ x$ 
  and t1-s0 [simp]:  $\tau_1 (\sigma_0 \ x) = \sigma_0 \ x$ 
  and s1-t0 [simp]:  $\sigma_1 (\tau_0 \ x) = \tau_0 \ x$ 
  and t1-t0 [simp]:  $\tau_1 (\tau_0 \ x) = \tau_0 \ x$ 

```

begin

The source and target structure collapses.

```

lemma s0s1:  $\sigma_0 \ x = \sigma_1 \ x$ 
  by (metis image-empty local.comm-s0s1 local.s1-s0 local.stmm0.stopp.st-fix local.stmm0.stopp.ts-compat local.t0-hom stmm1.s-absorb-var3)

lemma t0t1:  $\tau_0 \ x = \tau_1 \ x$ 
  using local.comm-s0t1 local.comm-t0s1 local.s0s1 stmm0.ts-compat by auto

lemma s0t0:  $\sigma_0 \ x = \tau_0 \ x$ 
proof-
  have  $\sigma_0 \ x = \tau_1 (\sigma_0 \ x)$ 

```

by *simp*
 also have $\dots = \sigma_0 (\tau_1 x)$
 by (*simp add: local.comm-s0t1*)
 also have $\dots = \sigma_0 (\tau_0 x)$
 by (*simp add: local.t0t1*)
 also have $\dots = \tau_0 x$
 by *simp*
 finally show *?thesis*.
 qed

lemma *s1t1*: $\sigma_1 x = \tau_1 x$
 using *local.comm-t0s1 local.t0t1* by *force*

lemma *comp-collapse*: $x \odot_0 y = x \odot_1 y$
 by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) local.interchange local.s0s1 local.src0-absorb local.src1-absorb local.stmm0.stopp.Dst local.stmm1.stopp.Dst local.t0t1 local.tgt0-absorb local.tgt1-absorb multimagma.conv-atom*)

lemma *comp0-comm*: $x \odot_0 y = y \odot_0 x$
 by (*metis local.comp-collapse local.interchange local.mm0.conv-atom local.s0s1 local.s1t1 local.src0-absorb local.tgt1-absorb stmm1.t-comm*)

lemma *comp1-comm*: $x \odot_1 y = y \odot_1 x$
 using *local.comp0-comm local.comp-collapse* by *auto*

lemma *comp0-assoc*: $\{x\} *_0 (y \odot_0 z) = (x \odot_0 y) *_0 \{z\}$
 by (*smt (z3) local.comp-collapse local.interchange local.s1t1 local.src1-absorb local.stmm0.stopp.Dst local.stmm1.stopp.s-assoc local.stmm1.stopp.conv-atom local.t0t1 local.t1-t0 local.tgt1-absorb*)

lemma *comp1-assoc*: $\{x\} *_1 (y \odot_1 z) = (x \odot_1 y) *_1 \{z\}$
 by (*metis (full-types) local.comp0-assoc local.comp1-comm local.comp-collapse local.interchange local.tgt1-absorb*)

lemma $\sigma_0 x = x$

oops

lemma $\sigma_0 x = \sigma_0 y$

oops

lemma $x \odot_0 y \neq \{\}$

oops

lemma $x \in y \odot_0 z \implies x' \in y \odot_0 z \implies x = x'$

oops

```

lemma  $x \odot_1 y \neq \{\}$ 

  oops

lemma  $x \in y \odot_1 z \implies x' \in y \odot_1 z \implies x = x'$ 

  oops

end

end

```

7 ω -Catoids

```

theory Omega-Catoid
  imports Two-Catoid

```

```

begin

```

7.1 Indexed catoids.

We add an index to the operations of catoids.

```

class multimagma =
  fixes imcomp :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a set ( $\hookrightarrow \odot - \rightarrow [70,70,70] 70$ )

definition (in multimagma) iconv :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set ( $\hookrightarrow \star - \rightarrow [70,70,70] 70$ )
where
   $X \star_i Y = (\bigcup x \in X. \bigcup y \in Y. x \odot_i y)$ 

class multisemigroup = multimagma +
  assumes assoc:  $(\bigcup v \in y \odot_i z. x \odot_i v) = (\bigcup v \in x \odot_i y. v \odot_i z)$ 

begin

sublocale ims: multisemigroup  $\lambda x y. x \odot_i y$ 
  by unfold-locales (simp add: local.assoc)

abbreviation DD  $\equiv$  ims. $\Delta$ 

lemma iconv-prop:  $X \star_i Y \equiv$  ims.conv i X Y
  by (simp add: local.iconv-def multimagma.conv-def)

end

class st-multimagma = multimagma +
  fixes src :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
  and tgt :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a

```

```

assumes Dst:  $x \odot_i y \neq \{\}$   $\implies \text{tgt } i \ x = \text{src } i \ y$ 
and src-absorb [simp]:  $(\text{src } i \ x) \odot_i x = \{x\}$ 
and tgt-absorb [simp]:  $x \odot_i (\text{tgt } i \ x) = \{x\}$ 

begin

lemma inst:  $(\text{src } 1 \ x) \odot_1 x = \{x\}$ 
  by simp

sublocale stimm: st-multimagma  $\lambda x \ y. x \odot_i y$  src i tgt i
  by unfold-locales (simp-all add: local.Dst)

sublocale stimm0: st-multimagma0  $\lambda x \ y. x \odot_i y$  src i tgt i
  by unfold-locales (simp-all add: local.Dst)

sublocale stimm1: st-multimagma1  $\lambda x \ y. x \odot_i y$  src i tgt i
  by unfold-locales (simp-all add: local.Dst)

abbreviation srcfix  $\equiv \text{stimm.sfix}$ 

abbreviation tgtfix  $\equiv \text{stimm.tfix}$ 

abbreviation Srci  $\equiv \text{stimm.Src}$ 

abbreviation Tgti  $\equiv \text{stimm.Tgt}$ 

end

class icatoid = st-multimagma + multisemigroup

sublocale icatoid  $\subseteq \text{icat}$ : catoid  $\lambda x \ y. x \odot_i y$  src i tgt i
  by unfold-locales

class local-icatoid = icatoid +
  assumes src-local: Srci i  $(x \odot_i \text{src } i \ y) \subseteq \text{Srci } i \ (x \odot_i y)$ 
  and tgt-local: Tgti i  $(\text{tgt } i \ x \odot_i y) \subseteq \text{Tgti } i \ (x \odot_i y)$ 

sublocale local-icatoid  $\subseteq \text{licat}$ : local-catoid  $\lambda x \ y. x \odot_i y$  src i tgt i
  by unfold-locales (simp-all add: local.src-local local.tgt-local)

class functional-imagma = multimagma +
  assumes functionality:  $x \in y \odot_i z \implies x' \in y \odot_i z \implies x = x'$ 

sublocale functional-imagma  $\subseteq \text{pmi}$ : functional-magma  $\lambda x \ y. x \odot_i y$ 
  by unfold-locales (simp add: local.functionality)

class functional-isemigroup = functional-imagma + multisemigroup

sublocale functional-isemigroup  $\subseteq \text{psgi}$ : functional-semigroup  $\lambda x \ y. x \odot_i y..$ 

```



```

class functional-icatoid = functional-isemigroup + icatoid

sublocale functional-icatoid  $\subseteq$  psgi: functional-catoid  $\lambda x y. x \odot_i y$  src  $i$  tgt  $i$ 
  by unfold-locales

class icategory = functional-icatoid + local-icatoid

sublocale icategory  $\subseteq$  icatt: single-set-category  $\lambda x y. x \odot_i y$  src  $i$  tgt  $i$ 
  by unfold-locales

```

7.2 ω -Catoids

Next we define ω -catoids and ω -categories.

```

class omega-st-multimagma = st-multimagma +
  assumes comm-sisj:  $i \neq j \implies \text{src } i (\text{src } j x) = \text{src } j (\text{src } i x)$ 
  and comm-sitj:  $i \neq j \implies \text{src } i (\text{tgt } j x) = \text{tgt } j (\text{src } i x)$ 
  and comm-titj:  $i \neq j \implies \text{tgt } i (\text{tgt } j x) = \text{tgt } j (\text{tgt } i x)$ 
  and si-hom:  $i \neq j \implies \text{Src } i (x \odot_j y) \subseteq \text{src } i x \odot_j \text{src } i y$ 
  and ti-hom:  $i \neq j \implies \text{Tgt } i (x \odot_j y) \subseteq \text{tgt } i x \odot_j \text{tgt } i y$ 
  and omega-interchange:  $i < j \implies (w \odot_j x) \star_i (y \odot_j z) \subseteq (w \odot_i y) \star_j (x \odot_i z)$ 
  and sjsi [simp]:  $i < j \implies \text{src } j (\text{src } i x) = \text{src } i x$ 
  and sjti [simp]:  $i < j \implies \text{src } j (\text{tgt } i x) = \text{tgt } i x$ 
  and tjsi [simp]:  $i < j \implies \text{tgt } j (\text{src } i x) = \text{src } i x$ 
  and tjti [simp]:  $i < j \implies \text{tgt } j (\text{tgt } i x) = \text{tgt } i x$ 

class omega-catoid = omega-st-multimagma + icatoid

context omega-st-multimagma
begin

lemma omega-interchange-var:  $(w \odot_{(i+k+1)} x) \star_i (y \odot_{(i+k+1)} z) \subseteq (w \odot_i y) \star_{(i+k+1)} (x \odot_i z)$ 
  using local.omega-interchange by auto

lemma all-sisj:  $\text{src } i (\text{src } j x) = \text{src } j (\text{src } i x)$ 
  by (metis local.comm-sisj)

lemma all-titj:  $\text{tgt } i (\text{tgt } j x) = \text{tgt } j (\text{tgt } i x)$ 
  by (metis local.comm-titj)

lemma sjsi-var [simp]:  $\text{src } (i+k) (\text{src } i x) = \text{src } i x$ 
  by (metis le-add1 local.sjsi local.stimm.stopp.tt-idem nless-le)

lemma sjti-var [simp]:  $\text{src } (i+k) (\text{tgt } i x) = \text{tgt } i x$ 
  by (metis local.stimm.stopp.ts-compatible sjsi-var)

lemma tjsi-var [simp]:  $\text{tgt } (i+k) (\text{src } i x) = \text{src } i x$ 

```

```

    by (simp add: stimm.st-fix)

lemma tjti-var [simp]:  $\text{tgt } (i + k) (\text{tgt } i \ x) = \text{tgt } i \ x$ 
    by (simp add: stimm.st-fix)

The following sublocale statement should help us to translate statements for
2-catoids to  $\omega$ -catoids. But it does not seem to work. Hence we duplicate
the work done for 2-catoids, and later also for semirings and quantales.

sublocale otmm: two-st-multimagma
   $\lambda x \ y. x \odot_i y$ 
  src i
  tgt i
   $\lambda x \ y. x \odot_{(i + k + 1)} y$ 
  src (i + k + 1)
  tgt (i + k + 1)
  apply unfold-locales
    apply (simp-all add: comm-sisj comm-sitj comm-titj si-hom ti-hom)
  using less-add-Suc1 local.all-sisj local.sjsi apply simp
  apply (metis lessI less-add-Suc1 local.comm-sitj local.sjti not-add-less1)
  apply (metis less-add-Suc1 local.comm-titj local.tjti)
  using local.iconv-def local.omega-interchange local.stimm.conv-def by simp

end

class omega-st-multimagma-strong = omega-st-multimagma +
  assumes sj-hom-strong:  $i < j \implies \text{Srci } j \ (x \odot_i y) = \text{src } j \ x \odot_i \text{src } j \ y$ 
  and tj-hom-strong:  $i < j \implies \text{Tgti } j \ (x \odot_i y) = \text{tgt } j \ x \odot_i \text{tgt } j \ y$ 

begin

lemma sj-hom-strong-var:  $\text{Srci } (i + k + 1) \ (x \odot_i y) = \text{src } (i + k + 1) \ x \odot_i \text{src } (i + k + 1) \ y$ 
  by (simp add: local.sj-hom-strong)

lemma tj-hom-strong-var:  $\text{Tgti } (i + k + 1) \ (x \odot_i y) = \text{tgt } (i + k + 1) \ x \odot_i \text{tgt } (i + k + 1) \ y$ 
  by (simp add: local.tj-hom-strong)

end

sublocale omega-st-multimagma  $\subseteq$  olropp: omega-st-multimagma  $\lambda x \ i \ y. y \odot_i x$ 
  tgt src
  apply unfold-locales
  apply (simp-all add: local.Dst)
  using local.comm-titj apply simp
  using local.comm-sitj apply simp
  using local.all-sisj apply simp
  apply (simp add: local.ti-hom)
  apply (simp add: local.si-hom)

```

```

  unfolding imultimagma.iconv-def
  using local.iconv-def local.omega-interchange local.stimm.conv-def local.stimm.stopp.conv-def
  by simp

context omega-st-multimagma
begin

lemma sisj:  $i \leq j \implies \text{src } i (\text{src } j \ x) = \text{src } i \ x$ 
  using antisym-conv2 local.all-sisj local.sjsi by fastforce

lemma sisj-var [simp]:  $\text{src } i (\text{src } (i + k) \ x) = \text{src } i \ x$ 
  by (simp add: sisj)

lemma sitj:  $i < j \implies \text{src } i (\text{tgt } j \ x) = \text{src } i \ x$ 
  by (simp add: local.comm-sitj)

lemma sitj-var [simp]:  $\text{src } i (\text{tgt } (i + k + 1) \ x) = \text{src } i \ x$ 
  using local.otmm.twolropp.t0s1 by auto

lemma tisj:  $i < j \implies \text{tgt } i (\text{src } j \ x) = \text{tgt } i \ x$ 
  by (simp add: local.olropp.comm-sitj)

lemma tisj-var [simp]:  $\text{tgt } i (\text{src } (i + k + 1) \ x) = \text{tgt } i \ x$ 
  using local.otmm.twolropp.s0t1 by auto

lemma titi:  $i \leq j \implies \text{tgt } i (\text{tgt } j \ x) = \text{tgt } i \ x$ 
  using antisym-conv2 local.olropp.all-sisj local.tjti by fastforce

lemma titi-var [simp]:  $\text{tgt } i (\text{tgt } (i + k) \ x) = \text{tgt } i \ x$ 
  by (simp add: titi)

end

context omega-catoid
begin

lemma src-icat1:
  assumes  $i \leq j$ 
  and  $DD \ j \ x \ y$ 
  shows  $\text{Src } i \ (x \odot_j \ y) = \{\text{src } i \ x\}$ 
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) assms icat.src-comp-cond image-is-empty local.comm-sitj
local.si-hom local.sisj local.stimm.stopp.Dst local.tgt-absorb subset-singletonD)

lemma src-icat2:
  assumes  $i < j$ 
  and  $DD \ j \ x \ y$ 
  shows  $\text{Src } i \ (x \odot_j \ y) = \{\text{src } i \ y\}$ 
  by (metis assms less-or-eq-imp-le local.all-sisj local.sitj local.sjsi local.stimm.stopp.Dst
src-icat1)

```

```

lemma tgt-icat1:
  assumes  $i < j$ 
  and  $DD\ j\ x\ y$ 
  shows  $Tgt\ i\ (x \odot_j y) = \{tgt\ i\ x\}$ 
  by (smt (verit) assms image-is-empty local.olropp.all-sisj local.stimm.stopp.Dst
      local.ti-hom local.tisj local.tjti not-less-iff-gr-or-eq stim.t-idem subset-singletonD)

lemma tgt-icat2:
  assumes  $i \leq j$ 
  and  $DD\ j\ x\ y$ 
  shows  $Tgt\ i\ (x \odot_j y) = \{tgt\ i\ y\}$ 
  by (smt (verit, best) assms(1) assms(2) icat.tgt-comp-cond local.all-titj local.stimm.stopp.Dst
      local.tisj local.tjti nat-less-le tgt-icat1)

```

end

We lift the axioms to the powerset level.

```

context omega-st-multimagma
begin

```

```

lemma comm-SiSj:  $Src\ i\ (Src\ j\ X) = Src\ j\ (Src\ i\ X)$ 
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) image-cong image-image local.comm-sisj)

```

```

lemma comm-TiTj:  $Tgt\ i\ (Tgt\ j\ X) = Tgt\ j\ (Tgt\ i\ X)$ 
  by (simp add: image-image local.olropp.all-sisj)

```

```

lemma comm-SiTj:  $i \neq j \implies Src\ i\ (Tgt\ j\ x) = Tgt\ j\ (Src\ i\ x)$ 
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) image-cong image-image local.comm-sitj)

```

```

lemma comm-TiSj:  $i \neq j \implies Tgt\ i\ (Src\ j\ x) = Src\ j\ (Tgt\ i\ x)$ 
  using local.comm-SiTj by simp

```

```

lemma interchange-lift:
  assumes  $i < j$ 
  shows  $(W \star_j X) \star_i (Y \star_j Z) \subseteq (W \star_i Y) \star_j (X \star_i Z)$ 
proof -
  {fix a
   assume  $a \in (W \star_j X) \star_i (Y \star_j Z)$ 
   hence  $\exists w \in W. \exists x \in X. \exists y \in Y. \exists z \in Z. a \in (w \odot_j x) \star_i (y \odot_j z)$ 
   unfolding iconv-def by force
   hence  $\exists w \in W. \exists x \in X. \exists y \in Y. \exists z \in Z. a \in (w \odot_i y) \star_j (x \odot_i z)$ 
   using assms local.omega-interchange by fastforce
   hence  $a \in (W \star_i Y) \star_j (X \star_i Z)$ 
   unfolding iconv-def by force}
  thus ?thesis..
qed

```

```

lemma Srcj-hom:

```

assumes $i \neq j$
shows $\text{Srci } j \ (X \star_i Y) \subseteq \text{Srci } j \ X \star_i \text{Srci } j \ Y$
unfolding *iconv-def* **by** (*clarsimp*, *metis* *assms* *image-subset-iff* *local.si-hom*)

lemma *Tgtj-hom*:
assumes $i \neq j$
shows $\text{Tgti } j \ (X \star_i Y) \subseteq \text{Tgti } j \ X \star_i \text{Tgti } j \ Y$
unfolding *iconv-def* **by** (*clarsimp*, *metis* *assms* *image-subset-iff* *local.ti-hom*)

lemma *SjSi*: $i \leq j \implies \text{Srci } j \ (\text{Srci } i \ X) = \text{Srci } i \ X$
by (*smt* (*verit*, *best*) *antisym-conv2* *imageE* *image-cong* *local.sjsi* *local.stimm.stopp.ST-compat* *local.stimm.stopp.ts-compat* *stimm.ts-compat*)

lemma *SjSi-var* [*simp*]: $\text{Srci } (i + k) \ (\text{Srci } i \ X) = \text{Srci } i \ X$
by (*simp* *add: image-image*)

lemma *SjTi*: $i \leq j \implies \text{Srci } j \ (\text{Tgti } i \ X) = \text{Tgti } i \ X$
by (*metis* *SjSi-var* *less-eqE* *local.stimm.stopp.TS-compat*)

lemma *SjTi-var* [*simp*]: $\text{Srci } (i + k) \ (\text{Tgti } i \ X) = \text{Tgti } i \ X$
by (*simp* *add: SjTi*)

lemma *TjSi*: $i \leq j \implies \text{Tgti } j \ (\text{Srci } i \ X) = \text{Srci } i \ X$
by (*metis* *local.SjSi* *local.stimm.stopp.ST-compat*)

lemma *TjSi-var* [*simp*]: $\text{Tgti } (i + k) \ (\text{Srci } i \ X) = \text{Srci } i \ X$
using *TjSi* *le-add1* **by** *presburger*

lemma *TjTi*: $i \leq j \implies \text{Tgti } j \ (\text{Tgti } i \ X) = \text{Tgti } i \ X$
by (*metis* *local.SjTi* *local.stimm.stopp.ST-compat*)

lemma *TjTi-var* [*simp*]: $\text{Tgti } (i + k) \ (\text{Tgti } i \ X) = \text{Tgti } i \ X$
by (*simp* *add: TjTi*)

lemma *srcfixij*: $i \leq j \implies \text{srcfix } i \subseteq \text{srcfix } i \star_j \text{srcfix } i$
by (*smt* (*verit*, *ccfv-SIG*) *UN-iff* *antisym-conv2* *local.iconv-def* *local.tgt-absorb* *local.tjti* *mem-Collect-eq* *singletonI* *stimm.ts-compat* *subsetI*)

lemma *srcfixij-var*: $\text{srcfix } i \subseteq \text{srcfix } i \star_{(j+k)} \text{srcfix } i$
by (*smt* (*verit*, *ccfv-SIG*) *UN-iff* *local.comm-sitj* *local.iconv-def* *local.stimm.stopp.ts-compat* *local.tgt-absorb* *mem-Collect-eq* *singletonI* *subsetI*)

lemma *srcfixij-var2*: $i \leq j \implies \text{srcfix } i \subseteq \text{srcfix } j$
by (*metis* *local.SjSi* *local.stimm.stopp.Tgt-subid* *local.stimm.stopp.tfix-im*)

lemma *srcfixij-var3*: $\text{srcfix } i \subseteq \text{srcfix } (i + k)$
using *le-add1* *srcfixij-var2* **by** *blast*

end

context *omega-st-multimagma-strong*

begin

lemma *Srcj-hom-strong*:

assumes $i < j$

shows $\text{Srci } j \ (X \star_i Y) = \text{Srci } j \ X \star_i \text{Srci } j \ Y$

proof–

{fix a

have $(a \in \text{Srci } j \ (X \star_i Y)) = (\exists b \in X \star_i Y. a = \text{src } j \ b)$

by *force*

also have $\dots = (\exists b. \exists c \in X. \exists d \in Y. a = \text{src } j \ b \wedge b \in c \odot_i d)$

using *local.iconv-def* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = (\exists c \in X. \exists d \in Y. a \in \text{Srci } j \ (c \odot_i d))$

by *force*

also have $\dots = (\exists c \in X. \exists d \in Y. a \in \text{src } j \ c \odot_i \text{src } j \ d)$

using *assms local.sj-hom-strong* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = (\exists c \in \text{Srci } j \ X. \exists d \in \text{Srci } j \ Y. a \in c \odot_i d)$

by *force*

also have $\dots = (a \in \text{Srci } j \ X \star_i \text{Srci } j \ Y)$

by (*simp add: local.iconv-def*)

finally have $(a \in \text{Srci } j \ (X \star_i Y)) = (a \in \text{Srci } j \ X \star_i \text{Srci } j \ Y).$

thus *?thesis*

by *force*

qed

lemma *Srcj-hom-strong-var*: $\text{Srci } (i + k + 1) \ (X \star_i Y) = \text{Srci } (i + k + 1) \ X \star_i$

$\text{Srci } (i + k + 1) \ Y$

by (*simp add: Srcj-hom-strong*)

lemma *Tgtj-hom-strong*:

assumes $i < j$

shows $\text{Tgti } j \ (X \star_i Y) = \text{Tgti } j \ X \star_i \text{Tgti } j \ Y$

proof–

{fix a

have $(a \in \text{Tgti } j \ (X \star_i Y)) = (\exists c \in X. \exists d \in Y. a \in \text{Tgti } j \ (c \odot_i d))$

using *local.iconv-def* **by** *force*

also have $\dots = (\exists c \in X. \exists d \in Y. a \in \text{tgt } j \ c \odot_i \text{tgt } j \ d)$

using *assms local.tj-hom-strong* **by** *force*

also have $\dots = (a \in \text{Tgti } j \ X \star_i \text{Tgti } j \ Y)$

by (*simp add: local.iconv-def*)

finally have $(a \in \text{Tgti } j \ (X \star_i Y)) = (a \in \text{Tgti } j \ X \star_i \text{Tgti } j \ Y).$

thus *?thesis*

by *force*

qed

lemma *Tgtj-hom-strong-var*: $\text{Tgti } (i + k + 1) \ (X \star_i Y) = \text{Tgti } (i + k + 1) \ X \star_i$

$\text{Tgti } (i + k + 1) \ Y$

using *Tgtj-hom-strong* **by** *auto*

```

lemma srcfixij-var2:  $i < j \implies \text{srcfix } j \star_i \text{srcfix } j \subseteq \text{srcfix } j$ 
  by (metis local.Srcj-hom-strong local.stimm.stopp.Tgt-subid local.stimm.stopp.tfix-im)

lemma srcfixij-var22:  $\text{srcfix } (i + k + 1) \star_i \text{srcfix } (i + k + 1) \subseteq \text{srcfix } (i + k + 1)$ 
  using Suc-eq-plus1 less-add-Suc1 local.srcfixij-var2 by presburger

lemma srcfixij-eq:  $i < j \implies \text{srcfix } j \star_i \text{srcfix } j = \text{srcfix } j$ 
  unfolding iconv-def
  apply safe
  apply (metis imageE local.sj-hom-strong local.stimm.stopp.tt-idem)
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) UN-iff local.sjsi local.stimm.stopp.ts-compat local.tgt-absorb mem-Collect-eq singletonI)

lemma srcfixij-eq-var [simp]:  $\text{srcfix } (i + k + 1) \star_i \text{srcfix } (i + k + 1) = \text{srcfix } (i + k + 1)$ 
  using Suc-eq-plus1 less-add-Suc1 srcfixij-eq by presburger

end

```

7.3 ω -Catoids and single-set ω -categories

```

class omega-catoid-strong = omega-st-multimagma-strong + omega-catoid

class local-omega-catoid = omega-st-multimagma + local-icatoid

class functional-omega-catoid = omega-st-multimagma + functional-icatoid

class local-omega-catoid-strong = omega-st-multimagma-strong + local-icatoid

class omega-category = omega-st-multimagma + icategory

begin

lemma sj-hom-strong:
  assumes  $i < j$ 
  shows  $\text{Srci } j (x \odot_i y) = \text{src } j x \odot_i \text{src } j y$ 
  by (smt (verit, best) assms image-is-empty less-or-eq-imp-le licat.src-local-eq local.pmi.functionality-lem-var local.si-hom local.sisj local.stimm.stopp.Dst local.tgt-absorb local.tisj nat-neq-iff subset-singletonD)

lemma sj-hom-strong-var:  $\text{Srci } (i + k + 1) (x \odot_i y) = \text{src } (i + k + 1) x \odot_i \text{src } (i + k + 1) y$ 
  using local.sj-hom-strong by force

lemma sj-hom-strong-delta:  $i < j \implies DD \ i \ x \ y = DD \ i \ (\text{src } j \ x) \ (\text{src } j \ y)$ 
  using local.sisj local.tisj stim.locality by simp

```

lemma *tj-hom-strong*: $i < j \implies Tgt\ i\ j\ (x \odot_i y) = tgt\ j\ x \odot_i tgt\ j\ y$
by (*smt* (*verit*, *best*) *empty-is-image* *licat.st-local* *local.olropp.si-hom* *local.pmi.functionality-lem-var* *local.sitj* *local.titi* *order.strict-iff-order* *subset-singleton-iff*)

lemma *tj-hom-strong-var*: $Tgt\ (i + k + 1)\ (x \odot_i y) = tgt\ (i + k + 1)\ x \odot_i tgt\ (i + k + 1)\ y$
by (*simp* *add*: *local.tj-hom-strong*)

lemma *tj-hom-strong-delta*: $i < j \implies DD\ i\ x\ y = DD\ i\ (tgt\ j\ x)\ (tgt\ j\ y)$
using *less-or-eq-imp-le* *licat.st-local* *local.sitj* *local.titi* **by** *simp*

lemma *convi-sgl*: $a \in x \odot_i y \implies \{a\} = x \odot_i y$
by (*simp* *add*: *local.pmi.fun-in-sgl*)

Next we derive some simple globular properties.

lemma *strong-interchange-STj*:
assumes $i < j$
and $a \in (w \odot_i x) \star_j (y \odot_i z)$
shows $Tgt\ i\ j\ (w \odot_i x) = Src\ i\ j\ (y \odot_i z)$
by (*smt* (*verit*) *assms*(2) *image-empty* *image-insert* *local.iconv-prop* *local.pmi.fun-in-sgl* *local.pmi.pcomp-def-var* *local.stimm.stopp.Dst* *multimagma.conv-exp2*)

lemma *strong-interchange-ssi*:
assumes $i < j$
and $a \in (w \odot_i x) \star_j (y \odot_i z)$
shows $src\ i\ w = src\ i\ y$
by (*smt* (*verit*, *ccfv-threshold*) *assms* *icat.src-comp-aux* *icat.tgt-comp-aux* *less-or-eq-imp-le* *local.iconv-prop* *local.sisj* *local.sitj* *multimagma.conv-exp2*)

end

7.4 Reduced axiomatisations

class *omega-st-multimagma-red* = *st-imultimagma* +
assumes *interchange*: $i < j \implies (w \odot_j x) \star_i (y \odot_j z) \subseteq (w \odot_i y) \star_j (x \odot_i z)$
assumes *srcj-hom*: $i < j \implies Src\ i\ j\ (x \odot_i y) = src\ j\ x \odot_i src\ j\ y$
and *tgtj-hom*: $i < j \implies Tgt\ i\ j\ (x \odot_i y) = tgt\ j\ x \odot_i tgt\ j\ y$
and *srci-weak-hom*: $i < j \implies Src\ i\ i\ (x \odot_j y) \subseteq src\ i\ x \odot_j src\ i\ y$
and *tgti-weak-hom*: $i < j \implies Tgt\ i\ i\ (x \odot_j y) \subseteq tgt\ i\ x \odot_j tgt\ i\ y$

begin

lemma *sitjsi* [*simp*]: $src\ i\ (tgt\ j\ (src\ i\ x)) = src\ i\ x$
by (*smt* (*z3*) *empty-iff* *image-empty* *image-insert* *insert-subset* *less-add-Suc1* *local.srcj-hom* *local.stimm.stopp.t-idem* *stimm.s-absorb-var2* *subset-empty*)

lemma *tisjsi* [*simp*]: $tgt\ i\ (src\ j\ (src\ i\ x)) = src\ i\ x$
by (*smt* (*verit*) *local.srcj-hom* *local.stimm.stopp.s-absorb-var3* *local.stimm.stopp.ts-compatible* *not-less-iff-gr-or-eq* *sitjsi*)


```

lemma sjsi:
  assumes  $i \leq j$ 
  shows  $\text{src } j (\text{src } i \ x) = \text{src } i \ x$ 
  by (smt (verit) antisym-conv2 assms insertE insert-absorb insert-subset local.Dst
local.iconv-def local.interchange local.src-absorb local.stimm.conv-def local.stimm.stopp.conv-atom
local.tgt-absorb local.tgtj-hom stimm.s-absorb-var2 stimm.t-export tisjsi)

lemma sjti:  $i \leq j \implies \text{src } j (\text{tgt } i \ x) = \text{tgt } i \ x$ 
  by (metis local.sjsi local.stimm.stopp.ts-compatible)

lemma tjsi:  $i \leq j \implies \text{tgt } j (\text{src } i \ x) = \text{src } i \ x$ 
  by (metis antisym-conv2 local.sjsi stimm.ts-compatible)

lemma tjti:  $i \leq j \implies \text{tgt } j (\text{tgt } i \ x) = \text{tgt } i \ x$ 
  by (simp add: local.sjti stimm.st-fix)

lemma comm-sisj:  $i \neq j \implies \text{src } i (\text{src } j \ x) = \text{src } j (\text{src } i \ x)$ 
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) less-or-eq-imp-le local.sjsi local.srcj-hom not-less-iff-gr-or-eq
stimm.s-ortho-iff tisjsi)

lemma comm-sitj:  $i \neq j \implies \text{src } i (\text{tgt } j \ x) = \text{tgt } j (\text{src } i \ x)$ 
  by (smt (verit, best) local.srcj-hom local.stimm.stopp.ts-compatible local.tjsi nat-less-le
nle-le stimm.s-absorb-var)

lemma comm-titj:  $i \neq j \implies \text{tgt } i (\text{tgt } j \ x) = \text{tgt } j (\text{tgt } i \ x)$ 
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) local.comm-sisj local.comm-sitj local.stimm.stopp.ts-compatible
tisjsi)

end

class omega-catoid-red = icatoid +
  assumes interchange:  $i < j \implies (w \odot_j x) \star_i (y \odot_j z) \subseteq (w \odot_i y) \star_j (x \odot_i z)$ 
  and sj-hom:  $i < j \implies \text{Src } i \ j (x \odot_i y) \subseteq \text{src } j \ x \odot_i \text{src } j \ y$ 
  and tj-hom:  $i < j \implies \text{Tgt } i \ j (x \odot_i y) \subseteq \text{tgt } j \ x \odot_i \text{tgt } j \ y$ 

begin

lemma sitjsi:
  assumes  $i < j$ 
  shows  $\text{src } i (\text{tgt } j (\text{src } i \ x)) = \text{src } i \ x$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) SUP-empty assms local.iconv-prop local.interchange
local.src-absorb local.stimm.stopp.conv-atom local.stimm.stopp.conv-def local.tgt-absorb
order-less-imp-le stimm.s-absorb-var3 subset-empty)

lemma tisjsi:  $i < j \implies \text{tgt } i (\text{src } j (\text{src } i \ x)) = \text{src } i \ x$ 
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) image-is-empty local.sitjsi local.sj-hom local.stimm.stopp.Dst
local.stimm.stopp.st-ortho-iff stimm.s-absorb-var subset-empty)

```

```

lemma sjsi:
  assumes  $i < j$ 
  shows  $\text{src } j (\text{src } i x) = \text{src } i x$ 
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) assms empty-iff insert-iff insert-subset less-or-eq-imp-le
local.iconv-prop local.interchange local.sitjsi local.src-absorb local.stimm.stopp.conv-atom
local.stimm.stopp.s-absorb-var2 local.tgt-absorb local.tisjsi stim.s-ortho-iff)

lemma sjti:  $i < j \implies \text{src } j (\text{tgt } i x) = \text{tgt } i x$ 
  by (metis local.sjsi local.stimm.stopp.ts-compat)

lemma tjsi:  $i < j \implies \text{tgt } j (\text{src } i x) = \text{src } i x$ 
  by (simp add: local.sjsi stim.s-st-fix)

lemma tjti:  $i < j \implies \text{tgt } j (\text{tgt } i x) = \text{tgt } i x$ 
  by (simp add: local.sjti stim.s-st-fix)

lemma comm-sisj:  $i < j \implies \text{src } i (\text{src } j x) = \text{src } j (\text{src } i x)$ 
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) empty-iff image-insert insert-subset local.sj-hom
local.sjsi local.src-absorb local.stimm.stopp.Dst stim.ts-compat)

lemma comm-sitj:  $i < j \implies \text{src } i (\text{tgt } j x) = \text{tgt } j (\text{src } i x)$ 
  by (metis empty-iff image-insert insert-subset local.src-absorb local.tj-hom local.tjsi
stim.s-absorb-var2)

lemma comm-tisj:  $i < j \implies \text{tgt } i (\text{src } j x) = \text{src } j (\text{tgt } i x)$ 
  by (metis empty-iff image-insert insert-subset local.sj-hom local.sjti local.stimm.stopp.s-absorb-var3
local.tgt-absorb)

lemma comm-titj:  $i < j \implies \text{tgt } i (\text{tgt } j x) = \text{tgt } j (\text{tgt } i x)$ 
  by (smt (verit) bot.extremum-uniqueI local.sjti local.stimm.stopp.s-absorb-var local.stimm.stopp.s-export
local.tgt-absorb local.tj-hom stim.s-st-fix)

lemma si-hom:  $i < j \implies \text{Src } i (x \odot_j y) \subseteq \text{src } i x \odot_j \text{src } i y$ 
  by (smt (verit, del-insts) icat.tgt-comp-aux image-subset-iff local.comm-sisj local.comm-sitj
local.icat.ts-msg.src-twisted-aux local.src-absorb local.stimm.stopp.Dst local.tjsi singletonI)

lemma ti-hom:  $i < j \implies \text{Tgt } i (x \odot_j y) \subseteq \text{tgt } i x \odot_j \text{tgt } i y$ 
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) comm-tisj icat.tgt-comp-aux image-subset-iff local.comm-titj
local.stimm.stopp.Dst local.tgt-absorb local.tjti singletonI)

end

class omega-catoid-red-strong = icatoid +
  assumes interchange:  $i < j \implies (w \odot_j x) \star_i (y \odot_j z) \subseteq (w \odot_i y) \star_j (x \odot_i z)$ 
  and sj-hom-strong:  $i \leq j \implies \text{Src } j (x \odot_i y) = \text{src } j x \odot_i \text{src } j y$ 
  and tj-hom-strong:  $i \leq j \implies \text{Tgt } j (x \odot_i y) = \text{tgt } j x \odot_i \text{tgt } j y$ 

begin

```

lemma *sitjsi*: $i < j \implies \text{src } i (\text{tgt } j (\text{src } i x)) = \text{src } i x$
 by (metis UN-empty Union-empty dual-order.strict-iff-not local.src-absorb local.stimm.stopp.s-ortho-id local.tj-hom-strong stimmm.Tgt-Sup-pres stimmm.s-absorb-var stimmm.s-ortho-id stimmm.t-export stimmm.tt-idem)

lemma *tisjsi*: $i < j \implies \text{tgt } i (\text{src } j (\text{src } i x)) = \text{src } i x$
 by (metis image-empty less-or-eq-imp-le local.sj-hom-strong local.stimm.stopp.Dst local.stimm.stopp.s-absorb-var2 stimmm.ts-compatible)

lemma *sjsi*:
 assumes $i < j$
 shows $\text{src } j (\text{src } i x) = \text{src } i x$
proof –
 have $\{\text{src } i x\} = \text{src } i x \odot_i \text{src } i x$
 by simp
 also have $\dots = (\text{src } j (\text{src } i x) \odot_j \text{src } i x) \star_i (\text{src } i x \odot_j \text{tgt } j (\text{src } i x))$
 by (simp add: local.iconv-prop)
 also have $\dots \subseteq (\text{src } j (\text{src } i x) \odot_i \text{src } i x) \star_j (\text{src } i x \odot_i \text{tgt } j (\text{src } i x))$
 by (meson assms local.interchange)
 also have $\dots = (\text{src } j (\text{src } i x) \odot_i \text{tgt } i (\text{src } j (\text{src } i x))) \star_j (\text{src } i (\text{tgt } j (\text{src } i x)))$
 $\odot_i \text{tgt } j (\text{src } i x)$
 by (simp add: assms local.sitjsi local.tisjsi)
 also have $\dots = \text{src } j (\text{src } i x) \odot_j \text{tgt } j (\text{src } i x)$
 using local.iconv-prop by simp
 finally have $\{\text{src } i x\} \subseteq \text{src } j (\text{src } i x) \odot_j \text{tgt } j (\text{src } i x)$.
 thus ?thesis
 using local.stimm.stopp.Dst by force
qed

lemma *sjti*: $i < j \implies \text{src } j (\text{tgt } i x) = \text{tgt } i x$
 by (metis local.sjsi local.stimm.stopp.ts-compatible)

lemma *tjsi*: $i < j \implies \text{tgt } j (\text{src } i x) = \text{src } i x$
 using local.sjsi stimmm.st-fix by blast

lemma *tjti*: $i < j \implies \text{tgt } j (\text{tgt } i x) = \text{tgt } i x$
 by (simp add: local.sjti stimmm.st-fix)

lemma *comm-sisj*: $i < j \implies \text{src } i (\text{src } j x) = \text{src } j (\text{src } i x)$
 by (smt (verit) less-or-eq-imp-le local.sj-hom-strong local.sjsi local.src-absorb stimmm.s-absorb-var3)

lemma *comm-sitj*: $i < j \implies \text{src } i (\text{tgt } j x) = \text{tgt } j (\text{src } i x)$
 by (metis local.tj-hom-strong local.tjsi order.strict-iff-not stimmm.s-absorb-var2)

lemma *comm-tisj*: $i < j \implies \text{tgt } i (\text{src } j x) = \text{src } j (\text{tgt } i x)$
 by (metis dual-order.strict-implies-order local.sj-hom-strong local.sjti local.stimm.stopp.s-absorb-var)

```

lemma comm-titj:  $i < j \implies \text{tgt } i (\text{tgt } j x) = \text{tgt } j (\text{tgt } i x)$ 
  by (metis image-empty less-or-eq-imp-le local.comm-sitj local.sj-hom-strong local.stimm.stopp.Dst stim.s-ortho-iff)

lemma s0-weak-hom:  $i < j \implies \text{Srci } i (x \odot_j y) \subseteq \text{src } i x \odot_j \text{src } i y$ 
  by (smt (verit, best) image-subsetI insertI1 local.comm-sisj local.comm-sitj local.icat.ts-msg.tgt-comp-aux local.sjsi local.src-absorb local.stimm.stopp.Dst local.tjsi)

lemma t0-weak-hom:  $i < j \implies \text{Tgti } i (x \odot_j y) \subseteq \text{tgt } i x \odot_j \text{tgt } i y$ 
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) icat.tgt-comp-aux image-subset-iff local.comm-tisj local.comm-titj local.sjsi local.stimm.stopp.Dst local.stimm.stopp.ts-compat local.tgt-absorb singletonI stim.ts-compat)

end

end

```

8 ω -Kleene algebras

```

theory Omega-Kleene-Algebra
  imports Quantales-Converse.Modal-Kleene-Algebra-Var

```

```

begin

```

Here we develop ω -semigroups and ω -Kleene algebras.

8.1 Copies for i-structures

```

class icomp-op =
  fixes icomp :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a ( $\langle \cdot \cdot \cdot \rangle$  [70,70,70] 70)

class iid-op =
  fixes un :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a

class istar-op =
  fixes star :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a

class idom-op =
  fixes do :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a

class icod-op =
  fixes cd :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a

class imonoid-mult = icomp-op + iid-op +
  assumes assoc:  $x \cdot_i (y \cdot_i z) = (x \cdot_i y) \cdot_i z$ 
  and comp-unl:  $un \ i \cdot_i x = x$ 
  and comp-unr:  $x \cdot_i un \ i = x$ 

class idiod = imonoid-mult + join-semilattice-zero +

```

```

assumes distl:  $x \cdot_i (y + z) = x \cdot_i y + x \cdot_i z$ 
and distr:  $(x + y) \cdot_i z = x \cdot_i z + y \cdot_i z$ 
and annil:  $0 \cdot_i x = 0$ 
and annir:  $x \cdot_i 0 = 0$ 

class ikleene-algebra = idiod + istar-op +
  assumes star-unfoldl:  $un\ i + x \cdot_i star\ i\ x \leq star\ i\ x$ 
  and star-unfoldr:  $un\ i + star\ i\ x \cdot_i x \leq star\ i\ x$ 
  and star-inductl:  $z + x \cdot_i y \leq y \implies star\ i\ x \cdot_i z \leq y$ 
  and star-inductr:  $z + y \cdot_i x \leq y \implies z \cdot_i star\ i\ x \leq y$ 

class idomain-semiring = idiod + idom-op +
  assumes do-absorb:  $x \leq do\ i\ x \cdot_i x$ 
  and do-local:  $do\ i\ (x \cdot_i do\ i\ y) = do\ i\ (x \cdot_i y)$ 
  and do-add:  $do\ i\ (x + y) = do\ i\ x + do\ i\ y$ 
  and do-subid:  $do\ i\ x \leq un\ i$ 
  and do-zero:  $do\ i\ 0 = 0$ 

class icodomain-semiring = idiod + icod-op +
  assumes cd-absorb:  $x \leq x \cdot_i cd\ i\ x$ 
  and cd-local:  $cd\ i\ (cd\ i\ x \cdot_i y) = cd\ i\ (x \cdot_i y)$ 
  and cd-add:  $cd\ i\ (x + y) = cd\ i\ x + cd\ i\ y$ 
  and cd-subid:  $cd\ i\ x \leq un\ i$ 
  and cd-zero:  $cd\ i\ 0 = 0$ 

class imodal-semiring = idomain-semiring + icodomain-semiring +
  assumes dc-compat:  $do\ i\ (cd\ i\ x) = cd\ i\ x$ 
  and cd-compat:  $cd\ i\ (do\ i\ x) = do\ i\ x$ 

class imodal-kleene-algebra = imodal-semiring + ikleene-algebra

sublocale imonoid-mult  $\subseteq$  mm: monoid-mult  $un\ i\ \lambda x\ y.\ x \cdot_i y$ 
  by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: local.assoc local.comp-unl local.comp-unr)

sublocale idiod  $\subseteq$  di: diod-one-zero -  $\lambda x\ y.\ x \cdot_i y\ un\ i$  - - -
  by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: local.distl local.distr annil annir)

sublocale idiod  $\subseteq$  ddi: idiod - - -  $\lambda x\ i\ y.\ icomp\ y\ i\ x$  -
  by unfold-locales (simp-all add: local.mm.mult-assoc local.distl)

sublocale ikleene-algebra  $\subseteq$  ki: kleene-algebra -  $\lambda x\ y.\ x \cdot_i y\ un\ i$  - - - star\ i
  apply unfold-locales
  using local.star-unfoldl apply blast
  by (simp-all add: local.star-inductl local.star-inductr local.star-unfoldl)

sublocale ikleene-algebra  $\subseteq$  dki: ikleene-algebra - - - -  $\lambda x\ i\ y.\ y \cdot_i x$  - -
  by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: local.star-inductr local.star-inductl)

sublocale idomain-semiring  $\subseteq$  dsri: domain-semiring -  $\lambda x\ y.\ x \cdot_i y\ un\ i$  - do\ i - -

```

by *unfold-locales* (*simp-all* add: *local.do-absorb local.join.sup-absorb2 local.do-local local.do-zero local.do-add local.do-subid*)

sublocale *icodomain-semiring* \subseteq *csri*: *range-semiring* - $\lambda x y. x \cdot_i y$ un *i* - *cd i* - -
by *unfold-locales* (*simp-all* add: *local.cd-absorb local.join.sup-absorb2 local.cd-local local.cd-subid local.cd-zero local.cd-add*)

sublocale *icodomain-semiring* \subseteq *ds0dual*: *idomain-semiring* - - - - $\lambda x i y. y \cdot_i x$ - *cd*
by *unfold-locales simp-all*

sublocale *imodal-semiring* \subseteq *msri*: *dr-modal-semiring* - $\lambda x y. x \cdot_i y$ un *i* - *do i* - - *cd i*
by (*unfold-locales, simp-all* add: *local.dc-compat local.cd-compat*)

sublocale *imodal-semiring* \subseteq *msridual*: *imodal-semiring* *do* - - - - $\lambda x i y. y \cdot_i x$ - *cd*
by *unfold-locales simp-all*

sublocale *imodal-kleene-algebra* \subseteq *mkai*: *dr-modal-kleene-algebra* - $\lambda x y. x \cdot_i y$ un *i* - - - *star i* *do i* *cd i*..

sublocale *imodal-kleene-algebra* \subseteq *mkaidual*: *imodal-kleene-algebra* - - - - $\lambda x i y. y \cdot_i x$ - - *do cd* ..

8.2 Globular ω -semirings

class *omega-semiring* = *imodal-semiring* +
assumes *interchange*: $i < j \implies (w \cdot_j x) \cdot_i (y \cdot_j z) \leq (w \cdot_i y) \cdot_j (x \cdot_i z)$
and *di-hom*: $i \neq j \implies \text{do } i (x \cdot_j y) \leq \text{do } i x \cdot_j \text{do } i y$
and *ci-hom*: $i \neq j \implies \text{cd } i (x \cdot_j y) \leq \text{cd } i x \cdot_j \text{cd } i y$
and *djdi*: $i < j \implies \text{do } j (\text{do } i x) = \text{do } i x$

class *strong-omega-semiring* = *omega-semiring* +
assumes *dj-strong-hom*: $i < j \implies \text{do } j (x \cdot_i y) = \text{do } j x \cdot_i \text{do } j y$
and *cj-strong-hom*: $i < j \implies \text{cd } j (x \cdot_i y) = \text{cd } j x \cdot_i \text{cd } j y$

sublocale *omega-semiring* \subseteq *tgsdual*: *omega-semiring* *do* - - - - $\lambda x i y. y \cdot_i x$ - *cd*
apply *unfold-locales*
apply (*simp-all* add: *local.interchange local.ci-hom local.di-hom*)
by (*metis local.dc-compat local.djdi msri.d-cod-fix*)

sublocale *strong-omega-semiring* \subseteq *stgsdual*: *strong-omega-semiring* *do* - - - - $\lambda x i y. y \cdot_i x$ - *cd*
by *unfold-locales* (*simp-all* add: *local.cj-strong-hom local.dj-strong-hom*)

context *omega-semiring*
begin

lemma *interchange-var*: $(w \cdot (i + k + 1) \ x) \cdot_i (y \cdot (i + k + 1) \ z) \leq (w \cdot_i y) \cdot (i + k + 1) \ (x \cdot_i z)$
by (*simp add: local.interchange*)

lemma *djdi-var* [*simp*]: $\text{do } (i + k + 1) \ (\text{do } i \ x) = \text{do } i \ x$
by (*simp add: local.djdi*)

lemma *cjdi*: $i \leq j \implies \text{cd } j \ (\text{do } i \ x) = \text{do } i \ x$
by (*metis local.cd-compat local.djdi order-class.nless-le*)

lemma *cjdi-var* [*simp*]: $\text{cd } (i + k) \ (\text{do } i \ x) = \text{do } i \ x$
by (*simp add: cjdi*)

lemma *djci*: $i \leq j \implies \text{do } j \ (\text{cd } i \ x) = \text{cd } i \ x$
by (*metis cjdi local.dc-compat*)

lemma *djci-var* [*simp*]: $\text{do } (i + k) \ (\text{cd } i \ x) = \text{cd } i \ x$
by (*simp add: djci*)

lemma *cjci*: $i \leq j \implies \text{cd } j \ (\text{cd } i \ x) = \text{cd } i \ x$
using *djci msri.d-cod-fix* **by** *force*

lemma *cjci-var* [*simp*]: $\text{cd } (i + k) \ (\text{cd } i \ x) = \text{cd } i \ x$
by (*simp add: cjci*)

lemma *unj-compi-var*: $i \leq j \implies \text{un } j \leq \text{un } j \cdot_i \text{un } j$
by (*metis cjdi local.cd-subid local.di.mult-isol local.dsri.dom-one local.mm.mult-1-right*)

lemma *un-iso*: $i \leq j \implies \text{un } i \leq \text{un } j$
by (*metis cjdi-var le-Suc-ex local.cd-subid local.dsri.dom-one*)

lemma *uni-compj-eq* : $i < j \implies \text{un } i \cdot_j \text{un } i = \text{un } i$
by (*metis local.djdi local.dsri.dom-one local.dsri.dsg1*)

lemma *uni-compj-eq-var* [*simp*]: $\text{un } i \cdot_{(i + k)} \text{un } i = \text{un } i$
by (*metis cjdi le-add1 local.csri.rdual.dns1'' local.dsri.dom-one*)

lemma *dj-uni*: $i < j \implies \text{do } j \ (\text{un } i) = \text{un } i$
by (*metis local.djdi local.dsri.dom-one*)

lemma *dj-uni-var* [*simp*]: $\text{do } (i + k) \ (\text{un } i) = \text{un } i$
by (*metis djci-var local.csri.rdual.dom-one*)

lemma *di-unj*: $i < j \implies \text{do } i \ (\text{un } j) = \text{un } i$
by (*metis dj-uni local.do-subid local.dsri.dom-iso local.dsri.dom-one local.dual-order.antisym*)

lemma *di-unj-var* [*simp*]: $\text{do } i \ (\text{un } (i + k)) = \text{un } i$
by (*metis di-unj le-add1 local.dsri.dom-one order-neq-le-trans*)

lemma *ci-unj*: $i < j \implies \text{cd } i (\text{un } j) = \text{un } i$
by (*metis less-or-eq-imp-le local.cd-subid local.csri.rdual.dom-iso local.csri.rdual.dom-one local.dual-order.antisym un-iso*)

lemma *ci-unj-var* [*simp*]: $\text{cd } i (\text{un } (i + k)) = \text{un } i$
by (*metis ci-unj le-add1 local.csri.rdual.dom-one order-neq-le-trans*)

lemma *cj-uni*: $i < j \implies \text{cd } j (\text{un } i) = \text{un } i$
using *dj-uni local.msri.msrdual.d-cod-fix* **by** *force*

lemma *cj-uni-var* [*simp*]: $\text{cd } (i + k) (\text{un } i) = \text{un } i$
by (*simp add: local.msri.msrdual.d-cod-fix*)

lemma *comm-didj*: $i \leq j \implies \text{do } i (\text{do } j x) = \text{do } j (\text{do } i x)$
proof –
have *h*: $i < j \implies \text{do } i (\text{do } j x) = \text{do } j (\text{do } i x)$
by (*smt (verit) local.di-hom local.djdi local.dsri.dom-llp local.dsri.dom-subid-aux2 local.dual-order.eq-iff*)
have $i = j \implies \text{do } i (\text{do } j x) = \text{do } j (\text{do } i x)$
by *simp*
thus *?thesis*
by (*smt (verit) local.di-hom local.djdi local.dsri.dom-llp local.dsri.dsg1 local.dual-order.antisym nat-neq-iff*)
qed

lemma *comm-didj-var*: $\text{do } i (\text{do } (i + k) x) = \text{do } (i + k) (\text{do } i x)$
by (*meson comm-didj le-add1*)

lemma *comm-dicj*: $i < j \implies \text{do } i (\text{cd } j x) = \text{cd } j (\text{do } i x)$
by (*smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) cjdi less-or-eq-imp-le local.csri.rdual.d-preserves-equation local.csri.rdual.dns1'' local.dc-compatible local.di-hom local.dsri.d-preserves-equation local.dsri.dom-llp local.dsri.dsg1 local.tgsdual.di-hom nat-neq-iff*)

lemma *comm-dicj-var*: $\text{do } i (\text{cd } (i + k + 1) x) = \text{cd } (i + k + 1) (\text{do } i x)$
using *comm-dicj* **by** *auto*

lemma *comm-cicj*: $i \leq j \implies \text{cd } i (\text{cd } j x) = \text{cd } j (\text{cd } i x)$
by (*smt (verit) cjcj local.csri.rdual.dns1'' local.csri.rdual.dom-llp local.dual-order.antisym local.tgsdual.di-hom*)

lemma *comm-cicj-var* [*simp*]: $\text{cd } i (\text{cd } (i + k) x) = \text{cd } (i + k) (\text{cd } i x)$
by (*meson comm-cicj le-add1*)

lemma *comm-cidj*: $i < j \implies \text{cd } i (\text{do } j x) = \text{do } j (\text{cd } i x)$
by (*smt (verit) comm-cicj djci less-or-eq-imp-le local.cd-compatible local.csri.rdual.dns1'' local.csri.rdual.dom-llp local.di-hom local.dsri.dom-subid-aux2'' local.dsri.dsg1 local.dual-order.antisym local.tgsdual.di-hom nat-neq-iff*)

We prove further lemmas that are not related to the globular structure.

lemma *di-compi-idem*: $i \leq j \implies \text{do } i \ x \cdot_j \text{ do } i \ x = \text{do } i \ x$
by (*metis* *cjdi local.csri.rdual.dns1''*)

lemma *di-compi-idem-var* [*simp*]: $\text{do } i \ x \cdot_{(i+k)} \text{ do } i \ x = \text{do } i \ x$
by (*simp* *add: di-compi-idem*)

lemma *codi-compj-idem*: $i \leq j \implies \text{cd } i \ x \cdot_j \text{ cd } i \ x = \text{cd } i \ x$
by (*metis* *djci local.dsri.dsg1*)

lemma *codi-compj-idem-var* [*simp*]: $\text{cd } i \ x \cdot_{(i+k)} \text{ cd } i \ x = \text{cd } i \ x$
by (*simp* *add: codi-compj-idem*)

lemma *domij-loc*: $i \leq j \implies \text{do } i \ (x \cdot_j \text{ do } j \ y) = \text{do } i \ (x \cdot_j y)$
by (*smt* (*verit*, *ccfv-SIG*) *comm-didj local.djdi local.do-local order-class.dual-order.order-iff-strict*)

lemma *domij-loc-var* [*simp*]: $\text{do } i \ (x \cdot_{(i+k)} \text{ do } (i+k) \ y) = \text{do } i \ (x \cdot_{(i+k)} y)$
by (*simp* *add: domij-loc*)

lemma *codij-loc1*: $i \leq j \implies \text{cd } i \ (\text{cd } j \ x \cdot_j y) = \text{cd } i \ (x \cdot_j y)$
by (*smt* (*verit*, *ccfv-SIG*) *cjci comm-cicj local.ds0dual.do-local*)

lemma *codij-loc1-var* [*simp*]: $\text{cd } i \ (\text{cd } (i+k) \ x \cdot_{(i+k)} y) = \text{cd } i \ (x \cdot_{(i+k)} y)$
by (*simp* *add: codij-loc1*)

lemma *domij-exp*: $i < j \implies \text{do } i \ (\text{cd } j \ x \cdot_j y) = \text{do } i \ (x \cdot_j y)$
by (*metis* *cjdi comm-dicj local.cd-local preorder-class.dual-order.strict-implies-order*)

lemma *domij-exp-var* [*simp*]: $\text{do } i \ (\text{cd } (i+k+1) \ x \cdot_{(i+k+1)} y) = \text{do } i \ (x \cdot_{(i+k+1)} y)$
using *domij-exp* **by** *force*

lemma *codij-exp*: $i < j \implies \text{cd } i \ (x \cdot_j \text{ do } j \ y) = \text{cd } i \ (x \cdot_j y)$
by (*metis* *comm-cidj djci less-or-eq-imp-le local.do-local*)

lemma *codij-exp-var* [*simp*]: $\text{cd } i \ (x \cdot_{(i+k+1)} \text{ do } (i+k+1) \ y) = \text{cd } i \ (x \cdot_{(i+k+1)} y)$
using *codij-exp* **by** *force*

lemma *domij-loc-var2*: $i \leq j \implies \text{do } i \ (x \cdot_i \text{ do } j \ y) = \text{do } i \ (x \cdot_i y)$
by (*metis* *domij-loc local.do-local local.dsri.dom-el-idem local.dsri.dsg1*)

lemma *domij-loc-var3*: $\text{do } i \ (x \cdot_i \text{ do } (i+k) \ y) = \text{do } i \ (x \cdot_i y)$
by (*simp* *add: domij-loc-var2*)

lemma *codij-loc-var*: $i \leq j \implies \text{cd } i \ (\text{cd } j \ x \cdot_i y) = \text{cd } i \ (x \cdot_i y)$
by (*metis* *codij-loc1 local.csri.rdual.dom-el-idem local.csri.rdual.dsg1 local.ds0dual.do-local*)

lemma *codij-loc-var2*: $\text{cd } i \ (\text{cd } (i+k) \ x \cdot_i y) = \text{cd } i \ (x \cdot_i y)$

by (*simp add: codij-loc-var*)

lemma *di-compj*: $i < j \implies \text{do } i \ x \cdot_i (y \cdot_j z) \leq (\text{do } i \ x \cdot_i y) \cdot_j (\text{do } i \ x \cdot_i z)$
by (*metis di-compi-idem local.tgsdual.interchange preorder-class.less-le-not-le*)

lemma *dj-compj*: $i < j \implies \text{do } j \ x \cdot_i (y \cdot_j z) \leq (\text{do } j \ x \cdot_i y) \cdot_j (\text{do } j \ x \cdot_i z)$
by (*metis local.dsri.dom-el-idem local.tgsdual.interchange*)

lemma *dij-export*: $i \leq j \implies \text{do } i (\text{do } j \ x \cdot_j y) \leq \text{do } i \ x \cdot_j \text{do } i \ y$
by (*smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) comm-didj domij-loc local.ddi.di.mult-isol-var local.dsri.dom-iso local.dsri.dom-subid-aux2 local.dsri.dsg1 local.dsri.dsg4*)

lemma *dij-export-var* [*simp*]: $\text{do } i (\text{do } (i + k) \ x \cdot_{(i+k)} y) \leq \text{do } i \ x \cdot_{(i+k)} \text{do } i \ y$
by (*simp add: dij-export*)

lemma *codij-export*: $i \leq j \implies \text{cd } i (x \cdot_j \text{cd } j \ y) \leq \text{cd } i \ x \cdot_j \text{cd } i \ y$
by (*smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) cjcj comm-cicj local.ci-hom local.csri.rdual.dsg3 local.dual-order.eq-iff*)

lemma *codij-export-var* [*simp*]: $\text{cd } i (x \cdot_{(i+k)} \text{cd } (i+k) \ y) \leq \text{cd } i \ x \cdot_{(i+k)} \text{cd } i \ y$
by (*simp add: codij-export*)

lemma *dji-export*: $i \leq j \implies \text{do } j (\text{do } i \ x \cdot_j y) = \text{do } i \ x \cdot_j \text{do } j \ y$
by (*smt (verit, del-insts) comm-didj domij-loc local.dsri.dsg1 local.dsri.dsg3*)

lemma *dji-export-var*: $\text{do } (i+k) (\text{do } i \ x \cdot_{(i+k)} y) = \text{do } i \ x \cdot_{(i+k)} \text{do } (i+k) \ y$
by (*simp add: dji-export*)

lemma *codji-export*: $i \leq j \implies \text{cd } j (x \cdot_j \text{cd } i \ y) = \text{cd } j \ x \cdot_j \text{cd } i \ y$
by (*metis cjcj local.csri.rdual.dsg3*)

lemma *codji-export-var*: $\text{cd } (i+k) (x \cdot_{(i+k)} \text{cd } i \ y) = \text{cd } (i+k) \ x \cdot_{(i+k)} \text{cd } i \ y$
by (*simp add: codji-export*)

lemma *di-compji*: $i \leq j \implies \text{do } i \ x \cdot_j \text{do } i \ y = \text{do } i \ x \cdot_i \text{do } i \ y$
apply (*rule order.antisym*)
apply (*metis cjdi local.csri.rdual.dom-subid-aux2 local.csri.rdual.dom-subid-aux2'' local.ddi.di.mult-isol-var local.dsri.dom-iso local.dsri.domain-invol local.dsri.dsg1*)
by (*metis local.ddi.di.mult-isol-var local.djdi local.dsri.dom-iso local.dsri.dom-subid-aux2 local.dsri.dom-subid-aux2'' local.dsri.dsg1 order-le-imp-less-or-eq*)

lemma *di-compji-var*: $\text{do } i \ x \cdot_{(i+k)} \text{do } i \ y = \text{do } i \ x \cdot_i \text{do } i \ y$
by (*meson di-compji le-add1*)

lemma *dom-exchange-strong*: $i \leq j \implies (\text{do } i \ w \cdot_j \text{do } i \ x) \cdot_i (\text{do } i \ y \cdot_j \text{do } i \ z) = (\text{do } i \ w \cdot_i \text{do } i \ y) \cdot_j (\text{do } i \ x \cdot_i \text{do } i \ z)$

by (*metis di-compji local.ddi.assoc local.dsri.dsg3 local.dsri.dsg4*)

lemma *codidomj-exp*: $i < j \implies cd\ i\ (x \cdot_i y) \leq cd\ i\ (x \cdot_i cd\ j\ y)$

by (*smt (verit) local.cjci local.codij-loc-var local.comm-cicj local.csri.rdual.dom-iso order-less-le local.tgsdual.di-hom*)

lemma *codidomj-exp-var*: $cd\ i\ (x \cdot_i y) \leq cd\ i\ (x \cdot_i cd\ (i + k + 1)\ y)$

using *codidomj-exp* **by** *force*

The following laws are diamond laws. It remains to define diamonds for them.

lemma *fdiaifdiaj-prop*: $i \leq j \implies do\ i\ (y \cdot_i do\ j\ (x \cdot_j z)) = do\ i\ (y \cdot_i (x \cdot_j z))$

by (*simp add: domij-loc-var2*)

lemma *bdiaifdiaj-prop*: $i < j \implies cd\ i\ (do\ j\ (x \cdot_j z) \cdot_i y) = cd\ i\ ((x \cdot_j z) \cdot_i y)$

by (*metis codij-exp local.cd-local local.dsri.dom-el-idem local.dsri.dsg1*)

lemma *fdiaibdiaj-prop*: $i < j \implies do\ i\ (y \cdot_i cd\ j\ (x \cdot_j z)) = do\ i\ (y \cdot_i (x \cdot_j z))$

by (*metis domij-exp local.csri.rdual.dom-el-idem local.csri.rdual.dsg1 local.msridual.cd-local*)

lemma *bdiaibdiaj-prop*: $i \leq j \implies cd\ i\ (cd\ j\ (x \cdot_j z) \cdot_i y) = cd\ i\ ((x \cdot_j z) \cdot_i y)$

by (*simp add: codij-loc-var*)

lemma *fdiaifdiaj-prop2*: $i < j \implies do\ i\ (y \cdot_i do\ j\ (x \cdot_j z)) \leq do\ i\ (y \cdot_i (do\ i\ x \cdot_j do\ i\ z))$

by (*metis di-compji domij-loc local.di-hom local.dsri.ddual.bd-def local.dsri.dom-mult-closed local.dsri.dsg1 local.dsri.fd-iso1 nat-neq-iff preorder-class.order.strict-implies-order*)

lemma *fdiaii-prop2*: $i < j \implies do\ i\ (y \cdot_i do\ i\ (x \cdot_j z)) \leq do\ i\ (y \cdot_i (do\ i\ x \cdot_j do\ i\ z))$

using *local.di.mult-isol local.di-hom local.dsri.dom-iso nat-neq-iff* **by** *presburger*

lemma *bdiaidomj-prop2*: $i < j \implies cd\ i\ (do\ j\ (x \cdot_j z) \cdot_i y) \leq cd\ i\ ((cd\ i\ x \cdot_j cd\ i\ z) \cdot_i y)$

by (*metis bdiaifdiaj-prop csri.bd-def less-not-reft local.csri.rdual.dom-iso local.csri.rdual.domain-invol local.csri.rdual.fd-iso1 local.tgsdual.di-hom*)

lemma *bdiaidomi-prop2*: $i < j \implies cd\ i\ (do\ i\ (x \cdot_j z) \cdot_i y) \leq cd\ i\ ((do\ i\ x \cdot_j do\ i\ z) \cdot_i y)$

by (*simp add: local.csri.rdual.dom-iso local.ddi.di.mult-isol-var local.di-hom*)

lemma *fdiaibdiaj-prop-2*: $i < j \implies do\ i\ (y \cdot_i cd\ j\ (z \cdot_j x)) \leq do\ i\ (y \cdot_i (do\ i\ x \cdot_j do\ i\ z))$

by (*smt (verit) fdiaibdiaj-prop fdiaii-prop2 local.djdi local.dsri.dsg4 local.msridual.cd-local msri.d-cod-fix*)

lemma *fdiaibdiai-prop2*: $i < j \implies do\ i\ (y \cdot_i cd\ i\ (z \cdot_j x)) \leq do\ i\ (y \cdot_i (cd\ i\ z \cdot_j cd\ i\ x))$

by (*simp add: local.di.mult-isol local.dsri.dom-iso local.tgsdual.di-hom*)

lemma *bdiaibdiaj-prop2*: $i < j \implies \text{cd } i (\text{cd } j (z \cdot_j x) \cdot_i y) \leq \text{cd } i ((\text{cd } i x \cdot_j \text{cd } i z) \cdot_i y)$
by (*smt* (*z3*) *bdiaibdiaj-prop bdiaidomj-prop2 bdiaifdiaj-prop codji-export djci less-or-eq-imp-le local.dsri.dsg4 msri.d-cod-fix*)

lemma *bdiaibdiai-prop2*: $i < j \implies \text{cd } i (\text{cd } i (x \cdot_j z) \cdot_i y) \leq \text{cd } i ((\text{cd } i x \cdot_j \text{cd } i z) \cdot_i y)$
using *bdiaidomj-prop2 bdiaifdiaj-prop* **by** *force*

lemma *fdiajfdiai-prop3*: $i < j \implies \text{do } j (x \cdot_j \text{do } i (y \cdot_i z)) \leq \text{do } j (x \cdot_j \text{do } i (\text{do } j y \cdot_i z))$
by (*smt* (*verit*) *comm-didj domij-loc-var2 local.di.mult-isol local.di-hom local.djdi local.dsri.dom-iso nat-neq-iff preorder-class.order.strict-implies-order*)

lemma *bdiajbdiai-prop3*: $i < j \implies \text{cd } j (\text{cd } i (z \cdot_i y) \cdot_j x) \leq \text{cd } j (\text{cd } i (z \cdot_i \text{cd } j y) \cdot_j x)$
by (*simp add: codidomj-exp local.csri.rdual.dom-iso local.di.mult-isol*)

end

The following proofs need the domain codomain duality, which has been formalised using a sublocale statement above. It is only available outside of a context.

lemma (*in omega-semiring*) *domicodj-exp*: $i < j \implies \text{do } i (x \cdot_i y) \leq \text{do } i (\text{cd } j x \cdot_i y)$
by (*smt* (*verit*, *ccfv-SIG*) *local.cjdi local.comm-dicj local.dsri.dom-iso local.order-eq-iff local.tgsdual.domij-loc-var local.djdi local.msridual.cd-local local.tgsdual.di-hom msri.d-cod-fix nat-neq-iff*)

lemma (*in omega-semiring*) *domicodj-exp-var*: $\text{do } i (x \cdot_i y) \leq \text{do } i (\text{cd } (i + k + 1) x \cdot_i y)$
using *local.domicodj-exp* **by** *force*

lemma (*in omega-semiring*) *fdiajbdiai-prop3*: $i < j \implies \text{do } j (x \cdot_j \text{cd } i (z \cdot_i y)) \leq \text{do } j (x \cdot_j \text{cd } i (z \cdot_i \text{do } j y))$
by (*simp add: local.di.mult-isol local.dsri.dom-iso local.tgsdual.domicodj-exp*)

lemma (*in omega-semiring*) *bdiajfdiai-prop3*: $i < j \implies \text{cd } j (\text{do } i (y \cdot_i z) \cdot_j x) \leq \text{cd } j (\text{do } i (\text{cd } j y \cdot_i z) \cdot_j x)$
by (*simp add: local.tgsdual.fdiajbdiai-prop3*)

context *strong-omega-semiring*
begin

lemma *idj-compj*: $i \leq j \implies \text{un } j \cdot_i \text{un } j \leq \text{un } j$
by (*metis local.cd-subid local.cj-strong-hom local.csri.rdual.dns1'' local.csri.rdual.dom-one order-le-imp-less-or-eq*)

lemma *idj-compi-eq*: $i < j \implies \text{un } j = \text{un } j \cdot_i \text{un } j$
by (*simp add: idj-compj local.order-eq-iff local.unj-compi-var*)

lemma *domicodj-exp*: $i < j \implies \text{do } i (x \cdot_i y) = \text{do } i (\text{cd } j x \cdot_i y)$
by (*smt (verit, del-ists) local.csri.rdual.domain-invol local.csri.rdual.dsg1 local.domij-exp local.stgsdual.dj-strong-hom*)

lemma *domicodj-exp-var [simp]*: $\text{do } i (\text{cd } (i + k + 1) x \cdot_i y) = \text{do } i (x \cdot_i y)$
by (*metis Suc-eq-plus1 less-add-Suc1 local.domicodj-exp*)

lemma *codidomj-exp*: $i < j \implies \text{cd } i (x \cdot_i \text{do } j y) = \text{cd } i (x \cdot_i y)$
by (*smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) local.comm-cidj local.dc-compat local.dj-strong-hom local.ds0dual.do-local local.tgsdual.djdi*)

lemma *codidomj-exp-var [simp]*: $\text{cd } i (x \cdot_i \text{do } (i + k + 1) y) = \text{cd } i (x \cdot_i y)$
using *local.codidomj-exp by force*

lemma *fdiajfdiai-prop3*: $i < j \implies \text{do } j (x \cdot_j \text{do } i (\text{do } j y \cdot_i z)) = \text{do } j (x \cdot_j \text{do } i (y \cdot_i z))$
by (*smt (verit, best) local.comm-didj local.dj-strong-hom local.tgsdual.cjci local.tgsdual.codij-loc-var preorder-class.less-le-not-le*)

lemma *fdiajbdi-ai-prop3*: $i < j \implies \text{do } j (x \cdot_j \text{cd } i (z \cdot_i \text{do } j y)) = \text{do } j (x \cdot_j \text{cd } i (z \cdot_i y))$
by (*simp add: local.codidomj-exp*)

lemma *bdiajfdiai-prop3*: $i < j \implies \text{cd } j (\text{do } i (\text{cd } j y \cdot_i z) \cdot_j x) = \text{cd } j (\text{do } i (y \cdot_i z) \cdot_j x)$
by (*metis local.domicodj-exp*)

lemma *bdiajbdi-ai-prop3*: $i < j \implies \text{cd } j (\text{cd } i (z \cdot_i \text{cd } j y) \cdot_j x) = \text{cd } j (\text{cd } i (z \cdot_i y) \cdot_j x)$
by (*smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) less-or-eq-imp-le local.cj-strong-hom local.cjci local.comm-cicj*)

lemma *fdiaifdiaj-prop4*: $i < j \implies \text{do } i z \cdot_i \text{do } j (x \cdot_j y) \leq \text{do } j ((\text{do } i z \cdot_i x) \cdot_j (\text{do } i z \cdot_i y))$
by (*smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) local.di-compj local.djdi local.dsri.dom-iso local.stgsdual.cj-strong-hom*)

lemma *fdia0bdia1-prop4*: $i < j \implies \text{do } i z \cdot_i \text{cd } j (y \cdot_j x) \leq \text{cd } j ((\text{do } i z \cdot_i y) \cdot_j (\text{do } i z \cdot_i x))$
by (*smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) local.csri.rdual.dom-iso local.di-compj local.djdi local.stgsdual.dj-strong-hom msri.d-cod-fix*)

lemma *fdiajfdiaj-prop4*: $i < j \implies \text{do } j (x \cdot_j y) \cdot_i \text{do } i z \leq \text{do } j ((x \cdot_i \text{do } i z) \cdot_j (y \cdot_i \text{do } i z))$
by (*smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) local.djdi local.dsri.dom-iso local.dsri.dsg1 local.stgsdual.cj-strong-hom local.tgsdual.interchange*)

lemma *bdiajbdi**aj-prop4*: $i < j \implies cd\ j\ (y \cdot_j x) \cdot_i do\ i\ z \leq cd\ j\ ((y \cdot_i do\ i\ z) \cdot_j (x \cdot_i do\ i\ z))$
by (*smt* (*verit*) *local.cd-compat local.csri.rdual.dom-iso local.stgsdual.dj-strong-hom local.tgsdual.di-compj local.tgsdual.djdi*)

end

8.3 Globular ω -Kleene algebras

class *omega-kleene-algebra* = *omega-semiring* + *ikleene-algebra*

class *strong-omega-kleene-algebra* = *strong-omega-semiring* + *ikleene-algebra*

context *omega-kleene-algebra*
begin

lemma *interchange-var1*: $i < j \implies (x \cdot_j x) \cdot_i ((y \cdot_j y) \cdot_i (z \cdot_j z)) \leq (x \cdot_i (y \cdot_i z)) \cdot_j (x \cdot_i (y \cdot_i z))$
by (*meson local.di.mult-isol local.interchange local.order-trans*)

lemma *interchange-var2*: $i < j \implies (x \cdot_j y) \cdot_i ((x \cdot_j y) \cdot_i (x \cdot_j y)) \leq (x \cdot_i (x \cdot_i x)) \cdot_j (y \cdot_i (y \cdot_i y))$
by (*meson local.di.mult-isol local.interchange local.order-trans*)

lemma *star-compj*:

assumes $i < j$

shows $star\ i\ (x \cdot_j y) \leq star\ i\ x \cdot_j star\ i\ y$

proof –

have $a: un\ i \leq star\ i\ x \cdot_j star\ i\ y$

by (*metis assms local.di.mult-isol-var local.ki.star-ref local.uni-compj-eq*)

have $(x \cdot_j y) \cdot_i (star\ i\ x \cdot_j star\ i\ y) \leq (x \cdot_i star\ i\ x) \cdot_j (y \cdot_i star\ i\ y)$

by (*simp add: assms local.interchange*)

also have $\dots \leq star\ i\ x \cdot_j star\ i\ y$

by (*simp add: local.di.mult-isol-var*)

finally have $(x \cdot_j y) \cdot_i (star\ i\ x \cdot_j star\ i\ y) \leq star\ i\ x \cdot_j star\ i\ y.$

hence $un\ i + (x \cdot_j y) \cdot_i (star\ i\ x \cdot_j star\ i\ y) \leq star\ i\ x \cdot_j star\ i\ y$

by (*simp add: a*)

thus *?thesis*

using *local.star-inductl* **by** *force*

qed

lemma *star-compj-var*: $star\ i\ (x \cdot_{(i+k+1)} y) \leq star\ i\ x \cdot_{(i+k+1)} star\ i\ y$
using *star-compj* **by** *force*

end

end

9 ω -Quantales

theory *Omega-Quantale*

imports *Quantales-Converse.Modal-Quantale Omega-Kleene-Algebra*

begin

class *iquantale* = *complete-lattice* + *imonoid-mult* +
assumes *Sup-distl*: $x \cdot_i \sqcup Y = (\sqcup y \in Y. x \cdot_i y)$
assumes *Sup-distr*: $\sqcup X \cdot_i y = (\sqcup x \in X. x \cdot_i y)$

sublocale *iquantale* \subseteq *qiq*: *unital-quantale* *un i* $\lambda x y. x \cdot_i y$ - - - - -
apply *unfold-locales* **using** *local.Sup-distr local.Sup-distl* **by** *auto*

definition (*in iquantale*) *istar* = *qiq.qstar*

lemma (*in iquantale*) *istar-unfold*: *istar i x* = $(\sqcup k. \text{mm.power } i \ x \ k)$
unfolding *local.qiq.qstar-def local.istar-def* **by** *simp*

sublocale *iquantale* \subseteq *dqisi*: *idiod* $(\sqcup) (\leq) (<) \perp$ *icom* *un*
by *unfold-locales (simp-all add: local.qiq.sup-distl)*

sublocale *iquantale* \subseteq *dqikai*: *ikleene-algebra* $(\sqcup) (\leq) (<) \perp$ *icom* *un istar*
by *unfold-locales (simp-all add: local.istar-def local.qiq.uwqlka.star-inductl local.qiq.uqlka.star-inductr')*

class *idomain-quantale* = *iquantale* + *idom-op* +
assumes *do-absorb*: $x \leq \text{do } i \ x \cdot_i x$
and *do-local [simp]*: $\text{do } i \ (x \cdot_i \text{do } i \ y) = \text{do } i \ (x \cdot_i y)$
and *do-add*: $\text{do } i \ (x \sqcup y) = \text{do } i \ x \sqcup \text{do } i \ y$
and *do-subid*: $\text{do } i \ x \leq \text{un } i$
and *do-zero [simp]*: $\text{do } i \ \perp = \perp$

sublocale *idomain-quantale* \subseteq *dqidq*: *domain-quantale* *do i un i* $\lambda x y. x \cdot_i y$ - - -
- - - - -
by (*unfold-locales, simp-all add: local.do-absorb local.do-add local.do-subid*)

sublocale *idomain-quantale* \subseteq *dqidsi*: *idomain-semiring* $(\sqcup) (\leq) (<) \perp$ *icom* *un do*
by (*unfold-locales, simp-all add: local.do-add local.do-subid*)

class *icodomain-quantale* = *iquantale* + *icod-op* +
assumes *cd-absorb*: $x \leq x \cdot_i \text{cd } i \ x$
and *cd-local [simp]*: $\text{cd } i \ (\text{cd } i \ x \cdot_i y) = \text{cd } i \ (x \cdot_i y)$
and *cd-add*: $\text{cd } i \ (x \sqcup y) = \text{cd } i \ x \sqcup \text{cd } i \ y$
and *cd-subid*: $\text{cd } i \ x \leq \text{un } i$
and *cd-zero [simp]*: $\text{cd } i \ \perp = \perp$

sublocale *icodomain-quantale* \subseteq *cdqidq*: *codomain-quantale* *un i* $\lambda x y. x \cdot_i y$ - - -

```

- - - - - cd i
  by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: local.cd-absorb local.cd-add local.cd-subid)

sublocale icodomain-quantale  $\subseteq$  cdqidcsi: icodomain-semiring cd ( $\sqcup$ ) ( $\leq$ ) ( $<$ )  $\perp$ 
icomp un
  by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: local.cd-absorb local.cd-add local.cd-subid)

class imodal-quantale = idomain-quantale + icodomain-quantale +
  assumes dc-compat [simp]: do i (cd i x) = cd i x
  and cd-compat [simp]: cd i (do i x) = do i x

sublocale imodal-quantale  $\subseteq$  mqimq: dc-modal-quantale un i  $\lambda x y. x \cdot_i y$  - - - - -
- - - cd i do i
  by unfold-locales simp-all

sublocale imodal-quantale  $\subseteq$  mqimka: imodal-kleene-algebra ( $\sqcup$ ) ( $\leq$ ) ( $<$ )  $\perp$  icomp
un istar cd do
  by unfold-locales simp-all

sublocale imodal-quantale  $\subseteq$  mqidual: imodal-quantale do - - - - -  $\lambda x i y. y$ 
 $\cdot_i x - cd$ 
  by unfold-locales (simp-all add: local.cdqidq.coddual.Sup-distl local.Sup-distl)

class omega-quantale = imodal-quantale +
  assumes interchange:  $i < j \implies (w \cdot_j x) \cdot_i (y \cdot_j z) \leq (w \cdot_i y) \cdot_j (x \cdot_i z)$ 
  and dj-hom:  $i \neq j \implies do j (x \cdot_i y) \leq do j x \cdot_i do j y$ 
  and cj-hom:  $i \neq j \implies cd j (x \cdot_i y) \leq cd j x \cdot_i cd j y$ 
  and djdi:  $i < j \implies do j (do i x) = do i x$ 

class strong-omega-quantale = omega-quantale +
  assumes dj-strong-hom:  $i < j \implies do j (x \cdot_i y) = do j x \cdot_i do j y$ 
  and cj-strong-hom:  $i < j \implies cd j (x \cdot_i y) = cd j x \cdot_i cd j y$ 

sublocale omega-quantale  $\subseteq$  tqqs: omega-semiring cd ( $\sqcup$ ) ( $\leq$ ) ( $<$ )  $\perp$  icomp un do
  by unfold-locales (simp-all add: local.interchange local.dj-hom local.cj-hom lo-
cal.djdi)

sublocale strong-omega-quantale  $\subseteq$  stgqs: strong-omega-semiring cd ( $\sqcup$ ) ( $\leq$ ) ( $<$ )
 $\perp$  icomp un do
  by unfold-locales (simp-all add: local.dj-strong-hom local.cj-strong-hom)

sublocale omega-quantale  $\subseteq$  tqqs: omega-kleene-algebra ( $\sqcup$ ) ( $\leq$ ) ( $<$ )  $\perp$  icomp un
istar cd do ..

sublocale strong-omega-quantale  $\subseteq$  tqqs: strong-omega-kleene-algebra ( $\sqcup$ ) ( $\leq$ ) ( $<$ )
 $\perp$  icomp un istar cd do ..

context omega-quantale
begin

```


lemma *istar-aux*: $i < j \implies \text{mm.power } i \ (x \cdot_j y) \ k \leq \text{mm.power } i \ x \ k \cdot_j \text{mm.power } i \ y \ k$
proof (*induct k*)
 case 0
 then show ?*case*
 by (*simp add: tgqs.uni-compj-eq*)
next
 case (*Suc k*)
 fix *k*
 assume $i < j$
 assume $h: i < j \implies \text{mm.power } i \ (x \cdot_j y) \ k \leq \text{mm.power } i \ x \ k \cdot_j \text{mm.power } i \ y \ k$
 have $\text{mm.power } i \ (x \cdot_j y) \ (\text{Suc } k) = (x \cdot_j y) \cdot_i \text{mm.power } i \ (x \cdot_j y) \ k$
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots \leq (x \cdot_j y) \cdot_i (\text{mm.power } i \ x \ k \cdot_j \text{mm.power } i \ y \ k)$
 by (*simp add: Suc.premis h local.qiq.psrpq.mult-isol*)
 also have $\dots \leq (x \cdot_i \text{mm.power } i \ x \ k) \cdot_j (y \cdot_i \text{mm.power } i \ y \ k)$
 by (*simp add: Suc.premis local.tgqs.tgsdual.interchange*)
 also have $\dots = \text{mm.power } i \ x \ (\text{Suc } k) \cdot_j \text{mm.power } i \ y \ (\text{Suc } k)$
 by *simp*
 finally show $\text{mm.power } i \ (x \cdot_j y) \ (\text{Suc } k) \leq \text{mm.power } i \ x \ (\text{Suc } k) \cdot_j \text{mm.power } i \ y \ (\text{Suc } k)$.
qed

lemma *istar-oplax*: $i < j \implies \text{istar } i \ (x \cdot_j y) \leq \text{istar } i \ x \cdot_j \text{istar } i \ y$
by (*simp add: local.tgqs.star-compj*)

lemma *istar-distli*: $i < j \implies x \cdot_i (\text{istar } j \ y) = (\bigsqcup k. x \cdot_i (\text{mm.power } j \ y \ k))$
by (*simp add: image-image local.qiq.Sup-distl local.istar-unfold*)

lemma *istar-distri*: $i < j \implies (\text{istar } j \ x) \cdot_i y = (\bigsqcup k. \text{mm.power } j \ x \ k \cdot_i y)$
by (*simp add: image-image local.qiq.Sup-distr local.istar-unfold*)

lemma *istar-distlj*: $i < j \implies x \cdot_j (\text{istar } i \ y) = (\bigsqcup k. x \cdot_j (\text{mm.power } i \ y \ k))$
by (*simp add: image-image local.Sup-distl local.istar-unfold*)

lemma *istar-distrj*: $i < j \implies (\text{istar } i \ x) \cdot_j y = (\bigsqcup k. \text{mm.power } i \ x \ k \cdot_j y)$
by (*simp add: image-image local.qiq.Sup-distr local.istar-unfold*)

lemma *istar-laxl-aux-var*: $i < j \implies \text{do } i \ x \cdot_i \text{mm.power } j \ y \ k \leq \text{mm.power } j \ (\text{do } i \ x \cdot_i y) \ k$

proof (*induct k*)
 case 0
 assume $i < j$
 have $\text{do } i \ x \cdot_i \text{un } j = \text{do } j \ (\text{do } i \ x) \cdot_i \text{un } j$
 by (*simp add: 0 local.djdi*)
 also have $\dots \leq \text{un } j \cdot_i \text{un } j$
 by (*simp add: local.qiq.nsrnq.mult-isor*)
 finally have $\text{do } i \ x \cdot_i \text{un } j \leq \text{un } j$

```

    by (simp add: local.dqidq.dqmsr.dom-subid-aux2)
  thus do i x ·i mm.power j y 0 ≤ mm.power j (do i x ·i y) 0
    by simp
next
case (Suc k)
fix k
assume i < j
assume h: i < j ⇒ do i x ·i mm.power j y k ≤ mm.power j (do i x ·i y) k
have do i x ·i mm.power j y (Suc k) = do i x ·i (y ·j mm.power j y k)
  by simp
also have ... = (do i x ·j do i x) ·i (y ·j mm.power j y k)
  using Suc.premis less-imp-add-positive by fastforce
also have ... ≤ (do i x ·i y) ·j (do i x ·i mm.power j y k)
  by (simp add: Suc.premis local.interchange)
also have ... ≤ (do i x ·i y) ·j mm.power j (do i x ·i y) k
  by (simp add: Suc.premis h local.qiq.psrpq.mult-isol)
finally show do i x ·i mm.power j y (Suc k) ≤ mm.power j (do i x ·i y) (Suc k)
  by simp
qed

```

```

lemma istar-laxl-var:
  assumes i < j
  shows do i x ·i istar j y ≤ istar j (do i x ·i y)
proof-
  have do i x ·i istar j y = (⋒ k. do i x ·i mm.power j y k)
    by (simp add: image-image local.Sup-distl local.istar-unfold)
  also have ... ≤ (⋒ k. mm.power j (do i x ·i y) k)
    by (simp add: asms local.SUP-mono' local.istar-laxl-aux-var)
  finally show ?thesis
    using local.istar-unfold by auto
qed

```

```

lemma istar-laxl-var2: do i x ·i istar (i + k + 1) y ≤ istar (i + k + 1) (do i x ·i y)
  using istar-laxl-var by force

```

```

lemma istar-laxr-aux-var: i < j ⇒ mm.power j x k ·i cd i y ≤ mm.power j (x ·i cd i y) k
proof (induct k)
case 0 show ?case
  by (simp add: local.cdqidq.coddual.dqmsr.dom-subid-aux2)
next
case (Suc k)
assume h0: i < j
fix k
assume h: i < j ⇒ mm.power j x k ·i cd i y ≤ mm.power j (x ·i cd i y) k
have mm.power j x (Suc k) ·i cd i y = (x ·j mm.power j x k) ·i (cd i y ·j cd i y)
  using h0 less-imp-add-positive by fastforce
also have ... ≤ (x ·i cd i y) ·j (mm.power j x k ·i cd i y)

```

by (simp add: h0 local.tgqs.tgsdual.interchange)
 finally show $mm.power\ j\ x\ (Suc\ k) \cdot_i cd\ i\ y \leq mm.power\ j\ (x \cdot_i cd\ i\ y)\ (Suc\ k)$
 by (simp add: h h0 local.qiq.h-w2 local.qiq.psrpq.mult-isol)
 qed

lemma *istar-laxr-var*:
 assumes $i < j$
 shows $istar\ j\ x \cdot_i cd\ i\ y \leq istar\ j\ (x \cdot_i cd\ i\ y)$
 proof –
 have $istar\ j\ x \cdot_i cd\ i\ y = (\bigsqcup k. mm.power\ j\ x\ k \cdot_i cd\ i\ y)$
 using *assms istar-distri* by presburger
 also have $\dots \leq (\bigsqcup k. mm.power\ j\ (x \cdot_i cd\ i\ y)\ k)$
 by (simp add: *assms local.SUP-mono'* local.istar-laxr-aux-var)
 finally show ?thesis
 by (simp add: local.istar-unfold)
 qed

lemma *istar-laxr-var2*: $istar\ (i + k + 1)\ x \cdot_i cd\ i\ y \leq istar\ (i + k + 1)\ (x \cdot_i cd\ i\ y)$
 using *istar-laxr-var* by force

lemma *istar-prop*:
 assumes $i < j$
 shows $istar\ j\ x \cdot_i istar\ j\ y = (\bigsqcup k\ l. mm.power\ j\ x\ k \cdot_i mm.power\ j\ y\ l)$
 proof –
 have $istar\ j\ x \cdot_i istar\ j\ y = istar\ j\ x \cdot_i (\bigsqcup k. mm.power\ j\ y\ k)$
 using local.istar-unfold by auto
 also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup l. istar\ j\ x \cdot_i mm.power\ j\ y\ l)$
 by (simp add: *image-image local.Sup-distl*)
 also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup l. (\bigsqcup k. mm.power\ j\ x\ k) \cdot_i mm.power\ j\ y\ l)$
 unfolding *istar-def qiq.qstar-def* by (simp add: *full-SetCompr-eq*)
 also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup l. (\bigsqcup k. mm.power\ j\ x\ k \cdot_i mm.power\ j\ y\ l))$
 using *assms istar-distri local.istar-unfold* by auto
 also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup k\ l. mm.power\ j\ x\ k \cdot_i mm.power\ j\ y\ l)$
 using local.SUP-commute by force
 finally show ?thesis.
 qed

end

context *strong-omega-quantale*
 begin

lemma *istar-laxl-aux*: $i < j \implies do\ j\ x \cdot_i mm.power\ j\ y\ k \leq mm.power\ j\ (do\ j\ x \cdot_i y)\ k$
 proof (induct k)
 case 0
 assume $i < j$
 have $do\ i\ x \cdot_i un\ j \leq un\ j \cdot_i un\ j$

```

using 0 local.dqidq.dqmsr.dom-subid-aux2 local.stgqs.stgsdual.idj-compi-eq by
force
thus do j x ·i mm.power j y 0 ≤ mm.power j (do j x ·i y) 0
by (metis 0 local.do-subid local.mm.power.power-0 local.qiq.nsrnq.mult-isol lo-
cal.stgqs.stgsdual.idj-compi-eq)
next
case (Suc k)
assume i < j
fix k
assume h: i < j ⇒ do j x ·i mm.power j y k ≤ mm.power j (do j x ·i y) k
have do j x ·i mm.power j y (Suc k) = do j x ·i (y ·j mm.power j y k)
by simp
also have ... = (do j x ·j do j x) ·i (y ·j mm.power j y k)
by simp
also have ... ≤ (do j x ·i y) ·j (do j x ·i mm.power j y k)
using Suc.premis local.interchange by blast
also have ... ≤ (do j x ·i y) ·j mm.power j (do j x ·i y) k
by (simp add: Suc.premis h local.qiq.psrpq.mult-isol)
finally show do j x ·i mm.power j y (Suc k) ≤ mm.power j (do j x ·i y) (Suc k)
by simp
qed

```

```

lemma istar-laxl:
assumes i < j
shows do j x ·i istar j y ≤ istar j (do j x ·i y)
proof–
have do j x ·i istar j y = (⋒ k. do j x ·i mm.power j y k)
using asms local.istar-distli by force
also have ... ≤ (⋒ k. mm.power j (do j x ·i y) k)
by (simp add: asms istar-laxl-aux local.SUP-mono')
finally show ?thesis
by (simp add: local.istar-unfold)
qed

```

```

lemma istar-laxr-aux: i < j ⇒ mm.power j x k ·i cd j y ≤ mm.power j (x ·i cd
j y) k
proof (induct k)
case 0 thus ?case
by (metis local.cd-subid local.mm.power.power-0 local.qiq.psrpq.mult-isol lo-
cal.stgqs.stgsdual.idj-compi-eq)
next
case (Suc k)
assume i < j
fix k
assume h: i < j ⇒ mm.power j x k ·i cd j y ≤ mm.power j (x ·i cd j y) k
have mm.power j x (Suc k) ·i cd j y = (x ·j mm.power j x k) ·i cd j y
by simp
also have ... = (x ·j mm.power j x k) ·i (cd j y ·j cd j y)
by simp

```

also have $\dots \leq (x \cdot_i \text{cd } j \ y) \cdot_j (\text{mm.power } j \ x \ k \cdot_i \text{cd } j \ y)$
 using *Suc.premis local.interchange* **by** *blast*
 also have $\dots \leq (x \cdot_i \text{cd } j \ y) \cdot_j \text{mm.power } j \ (x \cdot_i \text{cd } j \ y) \ k$
 by (*simp add: Suc.premis h local.qiq.psrpq.mult-isol*)
 finally show $\text{mm.power } j \ x \ (\text{Suc } k) \cdot_i \text{cd } j \ y \leq \text{mm.power } j \ (x \cdot_i \text{cd } j \ y) \ (\text{Suc } k)$
 by *simp*
qed

lemma *iqstar-laxr*:
 assumes $i < j$
 shows $\text{istar } j \ x \cdot_i \text{cd } j \ y \leq \text{istar } j \ (x \cdot_i \text{cd } j \ y)$
proof –
 have $\text{istar } j \ x \cdot_i \text{cd } j \ y = (\bigsqcup k. \text{mm.power } j \ x \ k \cdot_i \text{cd } j \ y)$
 using *assms local.istar-distri* **by** *force*
 also have $\dots \leq (\bigsqcup k. \text{mm.power } j \ (x \cdot_i \text{cd } j \ y) \ k)$
 by (*simp add: assms istar-laxr-aux local.SUP-mono*)
 finally show *?thesis*
 by (*simp add: local.istar-unfold*)
qed

lemma *qstar1-aux*: $i < j \implies \text{mm.power } j \ x \ k \cdot_i \text{mm.power } j \ y \ k \leq \text{mm.power } j \ (x \cdot_i y) \ k$
proof (*induct k*)
 case 0
 then show *?case*
 using *local.stgqs.stgsdual.idj-compi-eq* **by** *force*
next
 case (*Suc k*)
 assume $i < j$
fix k
 assume $h: i < j \implies \text{mm.power } j \ x \ k \cdot_i \text{mm.power } j \ y \ k \leq \text{mm.power } j \ (x \cdot_i y)$
 have $\text{mm.power } j \ x \ (\text{Suc } k) \cdot_i \text{mm.power } j \ y \ (\text{Suc } k) = (x \cdot_j \text{mm.power } j \ x \ k) \cdot_i (y \cdot_j \text{mm.power } j \ y \ k)$
 by *simp*
 also have $\dots \leq (x \cdot_i y) \cdot_j (\text{mm.power } j \ x \ k \cdot_i \text{mm.power } j \ y \ k)$
 using *Suc.premis local.interchange* **by** *force*
 also have $\dots \leq (x \cdot_i y) \cdot_j \text{mm.power } j \ (x \cdot_i y) \ k$
 by (*simp add: Suc.premis h local.qiq.psrpq.mult-isol*)
 also have $\dots = \text{mm.power } j \ (x \cdot_i y) \ (\text{Suc } k)$
 by *simp*
 finally show $\text{mm.power } j \ x \ (\text{Suc } k) \cdot_i \text{mm.power } j \ y \ (\text{Suc } k) \leq \text{mm.power } j \ (x \cdot_i y) \ (\text{Suc } k)$.
qed
end
end

10 Lifting ω -catoids to powerset ω -quantales

```

theory Omega-Catoid-Lifting
  imports Omega-Catoid Omega-Quantale

begin

instantiation set :: (local-omega-catoid) omega-quantale

begin

definition do-set :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set where
  do i X = Srci i X

definition cd-set :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set where
  cd i X = Tgti i X

definition icomp-set :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set where
  X  $\cdot_i$  Y = X  $\star_i$  Y

definition un-set :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a set where
  un i = srcfix i

instance
  apply intro-classes
  unfolding icomp-set-def do-set-def cd-set-def un-set-def iconv-prop
    apply (simp add: ims.conv-assoc)
  using stimm.stopp.stopp.conv-uns apply blast
    apply (metis stimm.stopp.stopp.conv-unt stimm.stopp.stopp.st-fix-set)
    apply (simp add: ims.conv-distl)
    apply (simp add: multimagma.conv-distr)
    apply force+
    apply (metis iconv-prop interchange-lift)
    apply (metis iconv-prop omega-st-multimagma-class.Srcj-hom)
    apply (metis iconv-prop omega-st-multimagma-class.Tgtj-hom)
  by (simp add: olropp.TjTi)

end

end

```

References

- [1] C. Calk, E. Goubault, P. Malbos, and G. Struth. Algebraic coherent confluence and higher globular Kleene algebras. *Log. Methods Comput. Sci.*, 18(4), 2022.

- [2] C. Calk, P. Malbos, D. Pous, and G. Struth. Higher catoids, higher quantales and their correspondences. *CoRR*, abs/2307.09253, 2023.
- [3] C. Calk and G. Struth. Modal quantales, involutive quantales, Dedekind quantales. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, July 2023. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Quantales_Converse.html, Formal proof development.
- [4] G. Struth. Quantales. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, December 2018. <https://isa-afp.org/entries/Quantales.html>, Formal proof development.
- [5] G. Struth. Catoids, categories, groupoids. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, August 2023. <https://isa-afp.org/entries/Catoids.html>, Formal proof development.