

The Nash-Williams Theorem

Lawrence C. Paulson

December 14, 2021

Abstract

In 1965, Nash-Williams [1] discovered a generalisation of the infinite form of Ramsey’s theorem. Where the latter concerns infinite sets of n -element sets for some fixed n , the Nash-Williams theorem concerns infinite sets of finite sets (or lists) subject to a “no initial segment” condition. The present formalisation follows Todorčević [2].

Contents

1	The Pointwise Less-Than Relation Between Two Sets	1
2	The Nash-Williams Theorem	2
2.1	Initial segments	3
2.2	Definitions and basic properties	5
2.3	Main Theorem	16
3	Acknowledgements	19

1 The Pointwise Less-Than Relation Between Two Sets

theory *Nash-Extras*

imports *HOL-Library.Ramsey* *HOL-Library.Countable-Set*

begin

definition *less-sets* :: [*'a::order set, 'a::order set*] \Rightarrow *bool* (**infixr** \ll 50)
where $A \ll B \equiv \forall x \in A. \forall y \in B. x < y$

lemma *less-setsD*: $\ll A B; a \in A; b \in B \implies a < b$
by (*auto simp: less-sets-def*)

lemma *less-sets-irrefl* [*simp*]: $A \ll A \longleftrightarrow A = \{\}$
by (*auto simp: less-sets-def*)

lemma *less-sets-trans*: $\llbracket A \ll B; B \ll C; B \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies A \ll C$
unfolding *less-sets-def* **using** *less-trans* **by** *blast*

lemma *less-sets-weaken1*: $\llbracket A' \ll B; A \subseteq A' \rrbracket \implies A \ll B$
by (*auto simp: less-sets-def*)

lemma *less-sets-weaken2*: $\llbracket A \ll B'; B \subseteq B' \rrbracket \implies A \ll B$
by (*auto simp: less-sets-def*)

lemma *less-sets-imp-disjnt*: $A \ll B \implies \text{disjnt } A \ B$
by (*auto simp: less-sets-def disjnt-def*)

lemma *less-sets-UN1*: $\text{less-sets } (\bigcup \mathcal{A}) \ B \longleftrightarrow (\forall A \in \mathcal{A}. A \ll B)$
by (*auto simp: less-sets-def*)

lemma *less-sets-UN2*: $\text{less-sets } A \ (\bigcup \mathcal{B}) \longleftrightarrow (\forall B \in \mathcal{B}. A \ll B)$
by (*auto simp: less-sets-def*)

lemma *less-sets-Un1*: $\text{less-sets } (A \cup A') \ B \longleftrightarrow A \ll B \wedge A' \ll B$
by (*auto simp: less-sets-def*)

lemma *less-sets-Un2*: $\text{less-sets } A \ (B \cup B') \longleftrightarrow A \ll B \wedge A \ll B'$
by (*auto simp: less-sets-def*)

lemma *strict-sorted-imp-less-sets*:
 $\text{strict-sorted } (as \ @ \ bs) \implies (\text{list.set } as) \ll (\text{list.set } bs)$
by (*simp add: less-sets-def sorted-wrt-append*)

lemma *Sup-nat-less-sets-singleton*:
fixes $n :: \text{nat}$
assumes $\text{Sup } T < n$ *finite* T
shows $\text{less-sets } T \ \{n\}$
using *assms Max-less-iff*
by (*auto simp: Sup-nat-def less-sets-def split: if-split-asm*)

end

2 The Nash-Williams Theorem

Following S. Todorćević, *Introduction to Ramsey Spaces*, Princeton University Press (2010), 11–12.

theory *Nash-Williams*
imports *Nash-Extras*
begin

lemma *finite-nat-Int-greaterThan-iff*:
fixes $N :: \text{nat set}$
shows $\text{finite } (N \cap \{n < ..\}) \longleftrightarrow \text{finite } N$

apply (*simp add: finite-nat-iff-bounded subset-iff*)
by (*metis dual-order.strict-trans2 nat-less-le not-less-eq*)

2.1 Initial segments

definition *init-segment* :: *nat set* \Rightarrow *nat set* \Rightarrow *bool*
where *init-segment* *S T* $\equiv \exists S'. T = S \cup S' \wedge S \ll S'$

lemma *init-segment-subset*: *init-segment* *S T* $\Longrightarrow S \subseteq T$
by (*auto simp: init-segment-def*)

lemma *init-segment-refl*: *init-segment* *S S*
by (*metis empty-iff init-segment-def less-sets-def sup-bot.right-neutral*)

lemma *init-segment-antisym*: $\llbracket \text{init-segment } S T; \text{init-segment } T S \rrbracket \Longrightarrow S=T$
by (*auto simp: init-segment-def*)

lemma *init-segment-trans*: $\llbracket \text{init-segment } S T; \text{init-segment } T U \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{init-segment } S U$

unfolding *init-segment-def*
by (*meson UnE Un-assoc Un-upper1 less-sets-def less-sets-weaken1*)

lemma *init-segment-empty2* [*iff*]: *init-segment* *S* $\{\}$ $\longleftrightarrow S=\{\}$
by (*auto simp: init-segment-def less-sets-def*)

lemma *init-segment-Un*: $S \ll S' \Longrightarrow \text{init-segment } S (S \cup S')$
by (*auto simp: init-segment-def less-sets-def*)

lemma *init-segment-iff*:
shows *init-segment* *S T* $\longleftrightarrow S=T \vee (\exists m \in T. S = \{n \in T. n < m\})$ (*is ?lhs=?rhs*)

proof

assume *?lhs*
then obtain *S'* **where** *S'*: $T = S \cup S' \wedge S \ll S'$

by (*meson init-segment-def*)

then have $S \subseteq T$

by *auto*

then have *eq*: $S' = T - S$

using *S'* **by** (*auto simp: less-sets-def*)

show *?rhs*

proof (*cases* $T \subseteq S$)

case *True*

with $\langle S \subseteq T \rangle$ **show** *?rhs* **by** *blast*

next

case *False*

then have $\text{Inf } S' \in T$

by (*metis Diff-eq-empty-iff Diff-iff Inf-nat-def1 eq*)

moreover have $\bigwedge x. x \in S \Longrightarrow x < \text{Inf } S'$

using *S'* *False* **by** (*metis Diff-eq-empty-iff Inf-nat-def1 eq less-sets-def*)

```

moreover have  $\{n \in T. n < \text{Inf } S'\} \subseteq S$ 
  using Inf-nat-def eq not-less-Least by fastforce
ultimately show ?rhs
  using  $\langle S \subseteq T \rangle$  by blast
qed
next
assume ?rhs
then show ?lhs
proof (elim disjE bexE)
  fix m
  assume  $m: m \in T \ S = \{n \in T. n < m\}$ 
  then have  $T = S \cup \{n \in T. m \leq n\}$ 
  by auto
  moreover have  $S \ll \{n \in T. m \leq n\}$ 
  using m by (auto simp: less-sets-def)
  ultimately show init-segment S T
  using init-segment-Un by force
qed (use init-segment-refl in blast)
qed

lemma init-segment-empty [iff]: init-segment {} S
  by (auto simp: init-segment-def less-sets-def)

lemma init-segment-insert-iff:
  assumes  $S_n: S \ll \{n\}$  and  $TS: \bigwedge x. x \in T - S \implies n \leq x$ 
  shows init-segment (insert n S) T  $\longleftrightarrow$  init-segment S T  $\wedge$   $n \in T$  (is ?lhs=?rhs)
proof
  assume ?lhs then show ?rhs
  by (metis S_n Un-commute init-segment-Un init-segment-subset init-segment-trans insertI1 insert-is-Un subsetD)
next
  assume rhs: ?rhs
  then obtain R where  $T = S \cup R \ S \ll R$ 
  by (auto simp: init-segment-def less-sets-def)
  then have  $S \cup R = \text{insert } n \ (S \cup (R - \{n\})) \wedge \text{insert } n \ S \ll R - \{n\}$ 
  unfolding less-sets-def using rhs TS nat-less-le by auto
  then show ?lhs
  using R init-segment-Un by force
qed

lemma init-segment-insert:
  assumes init-segment S T and  $T: T \ll \{n\}$ 
  shows init-segment S (insert n T)
proof (cases T={})
  case False
  obtain S' where  $T = S \cup S' \ S \ll S'$ 
  by (meson assms init-segment-def)
  then have  $\text{insert } n \ T = S \cup (\text{insert } n \ S') \ S \ll (\text{insert } n \ S')$ 
  using T False by (auto simp: less-sets-def)

```

then show *?thesis*
using *init-segment-Un* **by** *presburger*
qed (*use assms in auto*)

2.2 Definitions and basic properties

definition *Ramsey* :: $[nat\ set\ set, nat] \Rightarrow bool$
where $Ramsey\ \mathcal{F}\ r \equiv \forall f \in \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \{..<r\}$.
 $\forall M. infinite\ M \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists N\ i. N \subseteq M \wedge infinite\ N \wedge i < r \wedge$
 $(\forall j < r. j \neq i \longrightarrow f\ -'\ \{j\} \cap \mathcal{F} \cap Pow\ N = \{\}))$

Alternative, simpler definition suggested by a referee.

lemma *Ramsey-eq*:
 $Ramsey\ \mathcal{F}\ r \longleftrightarrow (\forall f \in \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \{..<r\}$.
 $\forall M. infinite\ M \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists N\ i. N \subseteq M \wedge infinite\ N \wedge i < r \wedge \mathcal{F} \cap Pow\ N \subseteq f\ -'$
 $\{i\}))$
unfolding *Ramsey-def*
by (*intro ball-cong all-cong ex-cong1 conj-cong refl*) *blast*

definition *thin-set* :: $nat\ set\ set \Rightarrow bool$
where $thin\ set\ \mathcal{F} \equiv \mathcal{F} \subseteq Collect\ finite \wedge (\forall S \in \mathcal{F}. \forall T \in \mathcal{F}. init\ segment\ S\ T \longrightarrow S = T)$

definition *comparables* :: $nat\ set \Rightarrow nat\ set \Rightarrow nat\ set\ set$
where $comparables\ S\ M \equiv \{T. finite\ T \wedge (init\ segment\ T\ S \vee init\ segment\ S\ T \wedge T - S \subseteq M)\}$

lemma *comparables-iff*: $T \in comparables\ S\ M \longleftrightarrow finite\ T \wedge (init\ segment\ T\ S \vee init\ segment\ S\ T \wedge T \subseteq S \cup M)$
by (*auto simp: comparables-def init-segment-def*)

lemma *comparables-subset*: $\bigcup (comparables\ S\ M) \subseteq S \cup M$
by (*auto simp: comparables-def init-segment-def*)

lemma *comparables-empty* [*simp*]: $comparables\ \{\}\ M = Fpow\ M$
by (*auto simp: comparables-def Fpow-def*)

lemma *comparables-mono*: $N \subseteq M \implies comparables\ S\ N \subseteq comparables\ S\ M$
by (*auto simp: comparables-def*)

definition *rejects* $\mathcal{F}\ S\ M \equiv comparables\ S\ M \cap \mathcal{F} = \{\}$

abbreviation *accepts*
where $accepts\ \mathcal{F}\ S\ M \equiv \neg rejects\ \mathcal{F}\ S\ M$

definition *strongly-accepts*
where $strongly\ accepts\ \mathcal{F}\ S\ M \equiv (\forall N \subseteq M. rejects\ \mathcal{F}\ S\ N \longrightarrow finite\ N)$

definition *decides*

where *decides* $\mathcal{F} S M \equiv \text{rejects } \mathcal{F} S M \vee \text{strongly-accepts } \mathcal{F} S M$

definition *decides-subsets*

where *decides-subsets* $\mathcal{F} M \equiv \forall T. T \subseteq M \longrightarrow \text{finite } T \longrightarrow \text{decides } \mathcal{F} T M$

lemma *strongly-accepts-imp-accepts*:

$\llbracket \text{strongly-accepts } \mathcal{F} S M; \text{infinite } M \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{accepts } \mathcal{F} S M$

unfolding *strongly-accepts-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *rejects-trivial*: $\llbracket \text{rejects } \mathcal{F} S M; \text{thin-set } \mathcal{F}; \text{init-segment } F S; F \in \mathcal{F} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{False}$

unfolding *rejects-def* *thin-set-def*

using *comparables-iff* **by** *blast*

lemma *rejects-subset*: $\llbracket \text{rejects } \mathcal{F} S M; N \subseteq M \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{rejects } \mathcal{F} S N$

by (*fastforce simp add: rejects-def comparables-def*)

lemma *strongly-accepts-subset*: $\llbracket \text{strongly-accepts } \mathcal{F} S M; N \subseteq M \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{strongly-accepts } \mathcal{F} S N$

by (*auto simp: strongly-accepts-def*)

lemma *decides-subset*: $\llbracket \text{decides } \mathcal{F} S M; N \subseteq M \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{decides } \mathcal{F} S N$

unfolding *decides-def*

using *rejects-subset strongly-accepts-subset* **by** *blast*

lemma *decides-subsets-subset*: $\llbracket \text{decides-subsets } \mathcal{F} M; N \subseteq M \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{decides-subsets } \mathcal{F} N$

by (*meson decides-subset decides-subsets-def subset-trans*)

lemma *rejects-empty* [*simp*]: $\text{rejects } \mathcal{F} \{\} M \longleftrightarrow \text{Fpow } M \cap \mathcal{F} = \{\}$

by (*auto simp: rejects-def comparables-def Fpow-def*)

lemma *strongly-accepts-empty* [*simp*]: $\text{strongly-accepts } \mathcal{F} \{\} M \longleftrightarrow (\forall N \subseteq M. \text{Fpow } N \cap \mathcal{F} = \{\} \longrightarrow \text{finite } N)$

by (*simp add: strongly-accepts-def Fpow-def disjoint-iff*)

lemma *ex-infinite-decides-1*:

assumes *infinite* M

obtains N **where** $N \subseteq M$ *infinite* N *decides* $\mathcal{F} S N$

by (*meson assms decides-def order-refl strongly-accepts-def*)

proposition *ex-infinite-decides-finite*:

assumes *infinite* M *finite* S

obtains N **where** $N \subseteq M$ *infinite* $N \wedge T. T \subseteq S \Longrightarrow \text{decides } \mathcal{F} T N$

proof –

have *finite* ($\text{Pow } S$)

by (*simp add: ‹finite S›*)

then obtain $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat set}$ **where** $f: f' \{.. < \text{card} (\text{Pow } S)\} = \text{Pow } S$
by (*metis bij-betw-imp-surj-on [OF bij-betw-from-nat-into-finite]*)
obtain $M0$ **where** $M0: \text{infinite } M0 \ M0 \subseteq M \ \text{decides } \mathcal{F} (f \ 0) \ M0$
by (*meson <infinite M> ex-infinite-decides-1*)
define F **where** $F \equiv \text{rec-nat } M0 \ (\lambda n \ N. \ @N'. \ N' \subseteq N \wedge \text{infinite } N' \wedge \text{decides } \mathcal{F} (f (Suc \ n)) \ N')$
define Φ **where** $\Phi \equiv \lambda n \ N'. \ N' \subseteq F \ n \wedge \text{infinite } N' \wedge \text{decides } \mathcal{F} (f (Suc \ n)) \ N'$
have $P\text{-Suc}: F (Suc \ n) = (@N'. \ \Phi \ n \ N')$ **for** n
by (*auto simp: F-def \Phi-def*)
have $*$: $\text{infinite} (F \ n) \wedge \text{decides } \mathcal{F} (f \ n) (F \ n) \wedge F \ n \subseteq M$ **for** n
proof (*induction n*)
case ($Suc \ n$) **then show** $?case$
by (*metis P-Suc \Phi-def ex-infinite-decides-1 someI-ex subset-trans*)
qed (*auto simp: F-def M0*)
then have $telescope: F (Suc \ n) \subseteq F \ n$ **for** n
by (*metis P-Suc \Phi-def ex-infinite-decides-1 someI-ex*)
let $?N = \bigcap_{n < \text{card} (\text{Pow } S)}. F \ n$
show $thesis$
proof
show $?N \subseteq M$
by (*metis * INF-lower2 Pow-iff f imageE order-refl*)
next
have $eq: (\bigcap_{n \leq m}. F \ n) = F \ m$ **for** m
by (*induction m*) (*use telescope in <auto simp: atMost-Suc>*)
then show $\text{infinite } ?N$
by (*metis * Suc-le-D Suc-le-eq finite-subset le-INF-iff lessThan-Suc-atMost lessThan-iff*)
next
fix T
assume $T \subseteq S$
then obtain m **where** $f \ m = T \ m < \text{card} (\text{Pow } S)$
using f **by** (*blast elim: equalityE*)
then show $\text{decides } \mathcal{F} \ T \ ?N$
by (*metis * INT-lower decides-subset lessThan-iff*)
qed
qed

lemma $sorted\text{-wrt}\text{-subset}: \llbracket X \in \text{list.set } l; \text{sorted-wrt } (\leq) \ l \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{hd } l \subseteq X$
by (*induction l*) *auto*

Todorčević's Lemma 1.18

proposition $ex\text{-infinite}\text{-decides}\text{-subsets}$:

assumes $thin\text{-set } \mathcal{F} \ \text{infinite } M$

obtains N **where** $N \subseteq M \ \text{infinite } N \ \text{decides}\text{-subsets } \mathcal{F} \ N$

proof –

obtain $M0$ **where** $M0: \text{infinite } M0 \ M0 \subseteq M \ \text{decides } \mathcal{F} \ \{\} \ M0$

by (*meson <infinite M> ex-infinite-decides-1*)

define $decides\text{-all}$ **where** $decides\text{-all} \equiv \lambda S \ N. \ \forall T \subseteq S. \ \text{decides } \mathcal{F} \ T \ N$

```

define  $\Phi$  where  $\Phi \equiv \lambda NL N. N \subseteq hd\ NL \wedge Inf\ N > Inf\ (hd\ NL) \wedge infinite\ N$ 
 $\wedge decides\text{-}all\ (List.set\ (map\ Inf\ NL))\ N$ 
have  $\exists N. \Phi\ NL\ N$  if  $infinite\ (hd\ NL)$  for  $NL$ 
proof –
obtain  $N$  where  $N: N \subseteq hd\ NL \wedge infinite\ N \wedge decides\text{-}all\ (List.set\ (map\ Inf\ NL))\ N$ 
unfolding  $\Phi\text{-}def\ decides\text{-}all\text{-}def$ 
by  $(metis\ List.\text{finite}\text{-}set\ ex\text{-}infinite\text{-}decides\text{-}finite\ \langle infinite\ (hd\ NL) \rangle)$ 
then have  $Inf\ (N \cap \{Inf\ (hd\ NL) <..\}) > Inf\ (hd\ NL)$ 
by  $(metis\ Inf\text{-}nat\text{-}def1\ Int\text{-}iff\ finite.\text{empty}I\ finite\text{-}nat\text{-}Int\text{-}greaterThan\text{-}iff\ greaterThan\text{-}iff)$ 
then show  $?thesis$ 
unfolding  $\Phi\text{-}def$ 
by  $(meson\ Int\text{-}lower1\ N\ decides\text{-}all\text{-}def\ decides\text{-}subset\ finite\text{-}nat\text{-}Int\text{-}greaterThan\text{-}iff\ subset\text{-}trans)$ 
qed
then have  $\Phi\text{-}Eps: \Phi\ NL\ (Eps\ (\Phi\ NL))$  if  $infinite\ (hd\ NL)$  for  $NL$ 
by  $(simp\ add: someI\text{-}ex\ that)$ 
define  $F$  where  $F \equiv rec\text{-}nat\ [M0]\ (\lambda n\ NL. (Eps\ (\Phi\ NL)) \# NL)$ 
have  $F\text{-}simps\ [simp]: F\ 0 = [M0]\ F\ (Suc\ n) = Eps\ (\Phi\ (F\ n)) \# F\ n$  for  $n$ 
by  $(auto\ simp: F\text{-}def)$ 
have  $F: F\ n \neq [] \wedge sorted\text{-}wrt\ (\leq)\ (F\ n) \wedge list.set\ (F\ n) \subseteq Collect\ infinite \wedge list.set\ (F\ n) \subseteq Pow\ M$  for  $n$ 
proof  $(induction\ n)$ 
case  $0$ 
then show  $?case$ 
by  $(simp\ add: M0)$ 
next
case  $(Suc\ n)$ 
then have  $*$ :  $\Phi\ (F\ n)\ (Eps\ (\Phi\ (F\ n)))$ 
using  $\Phi\text{-}Eps\ hd\text{-}in\text{-}set$  by  $blast$ 
show  $?case$ 
proof  $(intro\ conjI)$ 
show  $sorted\text{-}wrt\ (\subseteq)\ (F\ (Suc\ n))$ 
using  $subset\text{-}trans\ [OF\ \text{-}\ sorted\text{-}wrt\text{-}subset]\ Suc.IH\ \Phi\text{-}def\ *$  by  $auto$ 
show  $list.set\ (F\ (Suc\ n)) \subseteq \{S.\ infinite\ S\}$ 
using  $*$   $\Phi\text{-}def\ Suc.IH$  by  $force$ 
show  $list.set\ (F\ (Suc\ n)) \subseteq Pow\ M$ 
using  $*$   $Suc.IH\ \Phi\text{-}def\ hd\text{-}in\text{-}set$  by  $force$ 
qed  $auto$ 
qed
have  $\Phi F: \Phi\ (F\ n)\ (Eps\ (\Phi\ (F\ n)))$  for  $n$ 
using  $F\ \Phi\text{-}Eps\ hd\text{-}in\text{-}set$  by  $blast$ 
then have  $decides: decides\text{-}all\ (List.set\ (map\ Inf\ (F\ n)))\ (Eps\ (\Phi\ (F\ n)))$  for  $n$ 
using  $\Phi\text{-}def$  by  $blast$ 
have  $Eps\text{-}subset\text{-}hd: Eps\ (\Phi\ (F\ n)) \subseteq hd\ (F\ n)$  for  $n$ 
using  $\Phi F\ \Phi\text{-}def$  by  $blast$ 
have  $List.set\ (map\ Inf\ (F\ n)) \subseteq List.set\ (map\ Inf\ (F\ (Suc\ n)))$  for  $n$ 
by  $auto$ 
then have  $map\text{-}Inf\text{-}subset: m \leq n \implies List.set\ (map\ Inf\ (F\ m)) \subseteq List.set\ (map$ 

```



```

Inf (F n) for m n
  by (rule order-class.lift-Suc-mono-le) auto
define mmap where mmap  $\equiv \lambda n. \text{Inf (hd (F (Suc n)))}$ 
have mmap n < mmap (Suc n) for n
  by (metis F-simps(2)  $\Phi F \Phi\text{-def list.sel(1)}$  mmap-def)
then have strict-mono mmap
  by (simp add: lift-Suc-mono-less strict-mono-def)
then have inj mmap
  by (simp add: strict-mono-imp-inj-on)
have finite-F-bound:  $\exists n. S \subseteq \text{List.set (map Inf (F n))}$ 
  if  $S: S \subseteq \text{range mmap finite } S$  for S
proof -
  obtain K where finite K  $S \subseteq \text{mmap ' } K$ 
  by (metis S finite-subset-image order-refl)
  show ?thesis
  proof
    have  $\text{mmap ' } K \subseteq \text{list.set (map Inf (F (Suc (Max K))))}$ 
      unfolding mmap-def image-subset-iff
    by (metis F Max-ge Suc-le-mono  $\langle \text{finite } K \rangle$  hd-in-set imageI map-Inf-subset
      set-map subsetD)
    with S show  $S \subseteq \text{list.set (map Inf (F (Suc (Max K))))}$ 
      using  $\langle S \subseteq \text{mmap ' } K \rangle$  by auto
  qed
qed
have Eps ( $\Phi (F (Suc n))$ )  $\subseteq$  Eps ( $\Phi (F n)$ ) for n
  by (metis F-simps(2)  $\Phi F \Phi\text{-def list.sel(1)}$ )
then have Eps- $\Phi$ -decreasing:  $m \leq n \implies \text{Eps } (\Phi (F n)) \subseteq \text{Eps } (\Phi (F m))$  for
m n
  by (rule order-class.lift-Suc-antimono-le)
have hd-Suc-eq-Eps:  $\text{hd (F (Suc n))} = \text{Eps } (\Phi (F n))$  for n
  by simp
have Inf (hd (F n))  $\in$  hd (F n) for n
  by (metis Inf-nat-def1  $\Phi F \Phi\text{-def finite.emptyI rev-finite-subset}$ )
then have Inf-hd-in-Eps:  $\text{Inf (hd (F m))} \in \text{Eps } (\Phi (F n))$  if  $m > n$  for m n
  by (metis Eps- $\Phi$ -decreasing Nat.lessE hd-Suc-eq-Eps less-imp-le-nat subsetD
  that)
then have image-mmap-subset-hd-F:  $\text{mmap ' } \{n..\} \subseteq \text{hd (F (Suc n))}$  for n
  by (metis hd-Suc-eq-Eps atLeast-iff image-subsetI le-imp-less-Suc mmap-def)
have list.set (F k)  $\subseteq$  list.set (F n) if  $k \leq n$  for k n
  by (rule order-class.lift-Suc-mono-le) (use that in auto)
then have hd-F-in-F:  $\text{hd (F k)} \in \text{list.set (F n)}$  if  $k \leq n$  for k n
  by (meson F hd-in-set subsetD that)
show thesis
proof
  show infinite-mm: infinite (range mmap)
    using  $\langle \text{inj mmap} \rangle$  range-inj-infinite by blast
  show range mmap  $\subseteq M$ 
    using Eps-subset-hd  $\langle M0 \subseteq M \rangle$  image-mmap-subset-hd-F by fastforce
  show decides-subsets  $\mathcal{F}$  (range mmap)

```

```

unfolding decides-subsets-def
proof (intro strip)
  fix S
  assume  $S \subseteq \text{range } \text{mmap } \text{finite } S$ 
  define n where  $n \equiv \text{LEAST } n. S \subseteq \text{List.set } (\text{map } \text{Inf } (F n))$ 
  have  $\exists m. S \subseteq \text{List.set } (\text{map } \text{Inf } (F m))$ 
    using  $\langle S \subseteq \text{range } \text{mmap} \rangle \langle \text{finite } S \rangle \text{finite-}F\text{-bound}$  by blast
  then have  $S: S \subseteq \text{List.set } (\text{map } \text{Inf } (F n))$  and  $\text{min}S: \bigwedge m. m < n \implies \neg S$ 
 $\subseteq \text{List.set } (\text{map } \text{Inf } (F m))$ 
    unfolding n-def by (meson LeastI-ex not-less-Least)+
  have decides-Fn: decides  $\mathcal{F} S$  (Eps ( $\Phi (F n)$ ))
    using S decides decides-all-def by blast
  show decides  $\mathcal{F} S$  (range mmap)
  proof (cases n=0)
    case True
      then show ?thesis
      by (metis image-mmap-subset-hd-F decides-Fn decides-subset hd-Suc-eq-Eps
atLeast-0)
    next
      case False
      have notin-map-Inf:  $x \notin \text{List.set } (\text{map } \text{Inf } (F n))$  if  $S \ll \{x\}$  for x
      proof clarsimp
        fix T
        assume  $x = \text{Inf } T$  and  $T \in \text{list.set } (F n)$ 
        with that have ls:  $S \ll \{\text{Inf } T\}$ 
          by auto
        have  $S \subseteq \text{List.set } (\text{map } \text{Inf } (F j))$  if  $T: T \in \text{list.set } (F (\text{Suc } j))$  for j
        proof clarsimp
          fix x
          assume  $x \in S$ 
          then have  $x < \text{Inf } T$ 
            using less-setsD ls by blast
          then have  $x \notin T$ 
            using Inf-nat-def not-less-Least by auto
          obtain k where  $k: x = \text{mmap } k$ 
            using  $\langle S \subseteq \text{range } \text{mmap} \rangle \langle x \in S \rangle$  by blast
          with  $T \langle x < \text{Inf } T \rangle$  have  $k < j$ 
            by (metis F Inf-hd-in-Eps  $\langle x \notin T \rangle$  hd-Suc-eq-Eps mmap-def not-less-eq
sorted-wrt-subset subsetD)
          then have  $\text{Eps } (\Phi (F k)) \in \text{list.set } (F j)$ 
            by (metis Suc-leI hd-Suc-eq-Eps hd-F-in-F)
          then show  $x \in \text{Inf } ' \text{list.set } (F j)$ 
            by (auto simp: k image-iff mmap-def)
        qed
      then obtain m where  $m < n$   $S \subseteq \text{List.set } (\text{map } \text{Inf } (F m))$ 
        using  $\langle n \neq 0 \rangle$  by (metis  $\langle T \in \text{list.set } (F n) \rangle$  lessI less-Suc-eq-0-disj)
      then show False
        using minS by blast
    qed

```

```

    have Inf-hd-F:  $\text{Inf} (\text{hd} (F m)) \in \text{Eps} (\Phi (F n))$  if  $S \ll \{\text{Inf} (\text{hd} (F m))\}$ 
for  $m$ 
    by (metis Inf-hd-in-Eps hd-F-in-F notin-map-Inf imageI leI set-map that)
    have less-S:  $S \ll \{\text{Inf} (\text{hd} (F m))\}$ 
    if init-segment  $S T \text{Inf} (\text{hd} (F m)) \in T \text{Inf} (\text{hd} (F m)) \notin S$  for  $T m$ 
    using  $\langle \text{finite } S \rangle$  that by (auto simp: init-segment-iff less-sets-def)
    consider rejects  $\mathcal{F} S (\text{Eps} (\Phi (F n)))$  | strongly-accepts  $\mathcal{F} S (\text{Eps} (\Phi (F$ 
 $n)))$ 
    using decides-Fn by (auto simp: decides-def)
then show ?thesis
proof cases
  case 1
  then have rejects  $\mathcal{F} S (\text{range } \text{mmap})$ 
  apply (simp add: rejects-def disjoint-iff mmap-def comparables-def image-iff
subset-iff)
  by (metis less-S Inf-hd-F hd-Suc-eq-Eps)
  then show ?thesis
  by (auto simp: decides-def)
next
  case 2
  have False
  if  $N \subseteq \text{range } \text{mmap}$  and rejects  $\mathcal{F} S N$  and infinite  $N$  for  $N$ 
  proof –
  have  $N = \text{mmap} \text{ ` } \{n..\} \cap N \cup \text{mmap} \text{ ` } \{..<n\} \cap N$ 
  using in-mono that(1) by fastforce
  then have infinite  $(\text{mmap} \text{ ` } \{n..\} \cap N)$ 
  by (metis finite-Int finite-Un finite-imageI finite-lessThan that(3))
  moreover have rejects  $\mathcal{F} S (\text{mmap} \text{ ` } \{n..\} \cap N)$ 
  using rejects-subset  $\langle \text{rejects } \mathcal{F} S N \rangle$  by fastforce
  moreover have  $\text{mmap} \text{ ` } \{n..\} \cap N \subseteq \text{Eps} (\Phi (F n))$ 
  using image-mmap-subset-hd-F by fastforce
  ultimately show ?thesis
  using 2 by (auto simp: strongly-accepts-def)
  qed
  with 2 show ?thesis
  by (auto simp: decides-def strongly-accepts-def)
  qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

Todorčević's Lemma 1.19

```

proposition strongly-accepts-1-19:
  assumes acc: strongly-accepts  $\mathcal{F} S M$ 
  and thin-set  $\mathcal{F}$  infinite  $M S \subseteq M$  finite  $S$ 
  and dsM: decides-subsets  $\mathcal{F} M$ 
  shows finite  $\{n \in M. \neg \text{strongly-accepts } \mathcal{F} (\text{insert } n S) M\}$ 
proof (rule ccontr)

```

```

define  $N$  where  $N \equiv \{n \in M. \text{rejects } \mathcal{F} (\text{insert } n \ S) \ M\} \cap \{\text{Sup } S <..\}$ 
have  $N \subseteq M$  and  $N: \bigwedge n. n \in N \longleftrightarrow n \in M \wedge \text{rejects } \mathcal{F} (\text{insert } n \ S) \ M \wedge n > \text{Sup } S$ 
  by (auto simp:  $N$ -def)
assume  $\neg ?thesis$ 
moreover have  $\{n \in M. \neg \text{strongly-accepts } \mathcal{F} (\text{insert } n \ S) \ M\} = \{n \in M. \text{rejects } \mathcal{F} (\text{insert } n \ S) \ M\}$ 
  using  $dsM \langle \text{finite } S \rangle \langle \text{infinite } M \rangle \langle S \subseteq M \rangle$  unfolding  $decides\text{-subsets}\text{-def}$ 
  by (meson  $decides\text{-def}$   $finite.insertI$   $insert\text{-subset}$   $strongly\text{-accepts}\text{-imp}\text{-accepts}$ )
ultimately have  $\text{infinite } N$ 
  by (simp add:  $N$ -def  $finite\text{-nat}\text{-Int}\text{-greaterThan}\text{-iff}$ )
then have  $\text{accepts } \mathcal{F} \ S \ N$ 
  using  $acc \text{strongly}\text{-accepts}\text{-def} \langle N \subseteq M \rangle$  by blast
then obtain  $T$  where  $T: T \in \text{comparables } S \ N \ T \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $TSN: T \subseteq S \cup N$ 
  unfolding  $rejects\text{-def}$  using  $comparables\text{-iff}$   $init\text{-segment}\text{-subset}$  by fastforce
then consider  $init\text{-segment } T \ S \mid init\text{-segment } S \ T \ S \neq T \neg init\text{-segment } T \ S$ 
  by (auto simp:  $comparables\text{-def}$ )
then show  $False$ 
proof cases
  case 1
then have  $init\text{-segment } T (\text{insert } n \ S)$  if  $n \in N$  for  $n$ 
  by (meson  $Sup\text{-nat}\text{-less}\text{-sets}\text{-singleton } N \langle \text{finite } S \rangle \text{init}\text{-segment}\text{-insert that}$ )
with  $\langle \text{infinite } N \rangle \langle \text{thin}\text{-set } \mathcal{F} \rangle \langle T \in \mathcal{F} \rangle$  show  $False$ 
  by (meson  $N \text{infinite}\text{-nat}\text{-iff}\text{-unbounded}$   $rejects\text{-trivial}$ )
next
let  $?n = \text{Min } (T - S)$ 
case 2
then obtain  $TS: ?n \in T - S$   $finite (T - S)$ 
  using  $T$  unfolding  $comparables\text{-iff}$ 
by (meson  $Diff\text{-eq}\text{-empty}\text{-iff}$   $Min\text{-in}$   $finite\text{-Diff}$   $init\text{-segment}\text{-subset}$   $subset\text{-antisym}$ )
then have  $?n \in N$ 
  by (meson  $Diff\text{-subset}\text{-conv}$   $TSN \text{in}\text{-mono}$ )
then have  $\text{rejects } \mathcal{F} (\text{insert } ?n \ S) \ N$ 
  using  $rejects\text{-subset} \langle N \subseteq M \rangle$  by (auto simp:  $N$ -def)
then have  $\S: \neg \text{init}\text{-segment } T (\text{insert } ?n \ S) \wedge (\text{init}\text{-segment } (\text{insert } ?n \ S) \ T \longrightarrow \text{insert } ?n \ S = T)$ 
  using  $T \text{Diff}\text{-partition } TSN \langle ?n \in N \rangle \langle \text{finite } S \rangle$ 
  by (auto simp:  $rejects\text{-def}$   $comparables\text{-iff}$   $disjoint\text{-iff}$ )
moreover have  $S \ll \{?n\}$ 
  using  $Sup\text{-nat}\text{-less}\text{-sets}\text{-singleton } N \langle ?n \in N \rangle \langle \text{finite } S \rangle$  by blast
ultimately show  $?thesis$ 
using 2  $TS \text{Min}\text{-in}$   $init\text{-segment}\text{-insert}\text{-iff}$  by fastforce
qed
qed

```

Much work is needed for this slight strengthening of the previous result!

proposition $strongly\text{-accepts}\text{-1}\text{-19}\text{-plus}$:

assumes $\text{thin}\text{-set } \mathcal{F}$ $\text{infinite } M$
and $dsM: \text{decides}\text{-subsets } \mathcal{F} \ M$

obtains N **where** $N \subseteq M$ *infinite* N
 $\bigwedge S n. \llbracket S \subseteq N; \text{finite } S; \text{strongly-accepts } \mathcal{F} S N; n \in N; S \ll \{n\} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{strongly-accepts } \mathcal{F} (\text{insert } n S) N$

proof –
define *insert-closed* **where**
 $\text{insert-closed} \equiv \lambda NL N. \forall T \subseteq \text{Inf } ' \text{ set } NL. \forall n \in N.$
 $\text{strongly-accepts } \mathcal{F} T ((\text{Inf } ' \text{ set } NL) \cup \text{hd } NL) \longrightarrow$
 $T \ll \{n\} \longrightarrow \text{strongly-accepts } \mathcal{F} (\text{insert } n T) ((\text{Inf } ' \text{ set } NL) \cup$
 $\text{hd } NL)$

define Φ **where** $\Phi \equiv \lambda NL N. N \subseteq \text{hd } NL \wedge \text{Inf } N > \text{Inf } (\text{hd } NL) \wedge \text{infinite } N$
 $\wedge \text{insert-closed } NL N$

have $\exists N. \Phi NL N$ **if** $NL: \text{infinite } (\text{hd } NL) \text{ Inf } ' \text{ set } NL \cup \text{hd } NL \subseteq M$ **for** NL

proof –
let $?m = \text{Inf } ' \text{ set } NL$
let $?M = ?m \cup \text{hd } NL$
define P **where** $P \equiv \lambda S. \{n \in ?M. \neg \text{strongly-accepts } \mathcal{F} (\text{insert } n S) ?M\}$
have $\exists k. P S \subseteq \{..k\}$
if $S \subseteq \text{Inf } ' \text{ set } NL$ *strongly-accepts* $\mathcal{F} S ?M$ **for** S

proof –
have *decides-subsets* $\mathcal{F} ?M$
using $NL(2)$ *decides-subsets-subset* dsM **by** *blast*
with *that* NL *assms* *finite-surj* **have** *finite* $(P S)$
unfolding $P\text{-def}$ **by** (*blast intro!*: *strongly-accepts-1-19*)
then show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: finite-nat-iff-bounded-le*)

qed

then obtain f **where** $f: \bigwedge S. \llbracket S \subseteq \text{Inf } ' \text{ set } NL; \text{strongly-accepts } \mathcal{F} S ?M \rrbracket \implies$
 $P S \subseteq \{..f S\}$
by *metis*

define m **where** $m \equiv \text{Max } (\text{insert } (\text{Inf } (\text{hd } NL)) (f ' \text{Pow } (\text{Inf } ' \text{ set } NL)))$
have $\S: \text{strongly-accepts } \mathcal{F} (\text{insert } n S) ?M$
if $S: S \subseteq \text{Inf } ' \text{ set } NL$ *strongly-accepts* $\mathcal{F} S ?M$ **and** $n: n \in \text{hd } NL \cap \{m<..\}$

for $S n$

proof –
have $f S \leq m$
unfolding $m\text{-def}$ **using** *that(1)* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis*
using $f [OF S] n$ **unfolding** $P\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*

qed

have $\Phi NL (\text{hd } NL \cap \{m<..\})$
unfolding $\Phi\text{-def}$

proof (*intro conjI*)
show *infinite* $(\text{hd } NL \cap \{m<..\})$
by (*simp add: finite-nat-Int-greaterThan-iff that(1)*)
moreover have $\text{Inf } (\text{hd } NL) \leq m$
by (*simp add: m-def*)
ultimately show $\text{Inf } (\text{hd } NL) < \text{Inf } (\text{hd } NL \cap \{m<..\})$
using *Inf-nat-def1 [of (hd NL \cap {m<..})]* **by** *force*
show *insert-closed* $NL (\text{hd } NL \cap \{m<..\})$

```

    by (auto intro: § simp: insert-closed-def)
  qed auto
  then show ?thesis ..
  qed
  then have  $\Phi$ -Eps:  $\Phi$  NL (Eps ( $\Phi$  NL)) if infinite (hd NL) (Inf ' set NL)  $\cup$  hd
  NL  $\subseteq$  M for NL
    by (meson someI-ex that)
  define F where  $F \equiv \text{rec-nat } [M] (\lambda n \text{ NL. } (Eps (\Phi \text{ NL})) \# \text{ NL})$ 
  have F-simps [simp]:  $F \ 0 = [M] \ F \ (Suc \ n) = Eps (\Phi (F \ n)) \# \ F \ n$  for n
    by (auto simp: F-def)
  have InfM: Inf M  $\in$  M
    by (metis Inf-nat-def1 assms(2) finite.emptyI)
  have F:  $F \ n \neq [] \wedge \text{sorted-wrt } (\leq) (F \ n) \wedge \text{list.set } (F \ n) \subseteq \text{Collect infinite} \wedge \text{set}$ 
  ( $F \ n$ )  $\subseteq$  Pow M  $\wedge$  Inf ' set (F n)  $\subseteq$  M for n
  proof (induction n)
    case (Suc n)
    have hd (F n)  $\subseteq$  M
      by (meson Pow-iff Suc.IH hd-in-set subsetD)
    then obtain  $\Phi$ : Ball (list.set (F n)) (( $\subseteq$ ) (Eps ( $\Phi$  (F n)))) infinite (Eps ( $\Phi$  (F
  n)))
      using order-trans [OF - sorted-wrt-subset]
      by (metis Suc.IH Un-subset-iff  $\Phi$ -Eps  $\Phi$ -def hd-in-set mem-Collect-eq subsetD)
    then have M: Eps ( $\Phi$  (F n))  $\subseteq$  M
      by (meson Pow-iff Suc.IH hd-in-set subset-iff)
    with  $\Phi$  have Inf (Eps ( $\Phi$  (F n)))  $\in$  M
      by (metis Inf-nat-def1 finite.simps in-mono)
    with  $\Phi$  M show ?case
      using Suc by simp
  qed (auto simp: InfM <infinite M>)
  have  $\Phi F$ :  $\Phi (F \ n) (Eps (\Phi (F \ n)))$  for n
    by (metis Ball-Collect F Pow-iff Un-subset-iff  $\Phi$ -Eps hd-in-set subsetD)
  then have insert-closed: insert-closed (F n) (Eps ( $\Phi$  (F n))) for n
    using  $\Phi$ -def by blast
  have Eps-subset-hd: Eps ( $\Phi$  (F n))  $\subseteq$  hd (F n) for n
    using  $\Phi F$   $\Phi$ -def by blast
  define mmap where  $mmap \equiv \lambda n. \text{Inf } (hd (F (Suc \ n)))$ 
  have mmap n < mmap (Suc n) for n
    by (metis F-simps(2)  $\Phi F$   $\Phi$ -def list.sel(1) mmap-def)
  then have strict-mono mmap
    by (simp add: lift-Suc-mono-less strict-mono-def)
  then have inj mmap
    by (simp add: strict-mono-imp-inj-on)
  have Eps ( $\Phi$  (F (Suc n)))  $\subseteq$  Eps ( $\Phi$  (F n)) for n
    by (metis F-simps(2)  $\Phi F$   $\Phi$ -def list.sel(1))
  then have Eps- $\Phi$ -decreasing:  $m \leq n \implies Eps (\Phi (F \ n)) \subseteq Eps (\Phi (F \ m))$  for
  m n
    by (rule order-class.lift-Suc-antimono-le)
  have hd-Suc-eq-Eps: hd (F (Suc n)) = Eps ( $\Phi$  (F n)) for n
    by simp

```

have $\text{Inf } (\text{hd } (F n)) \in \text{hd } (F n)$ **for** n
 by (metis *Inf-nat-def1* ΦF Φ -def *finite.emptyI* *finite-subset*)
then have Inf-hd-in-Eps : $\text{Inf } (\text{hd } (F m)) \in \text{Eps } (\Phi (F n))$ **if** $m > n$ **for** $m n$
 by (metis *Eps- Φ -decreasing* *Nat.lessE* *hd-Suc-eq-Eps* *nat-less-le* *subsetD* that)
then have $\text{image-mmap-subset-hd-F}$: $\text{mmap } \{n..\} \subseteq \text{hd } (F (Suc n))$ **for** n
 by (metis *hd-Suc-eq-Eps* *atLeast-iff* *image-subsetI* *le-imp-less-Suc* *mmap-def*)
have $\text{list.set } (F k) \subseteq \text{list.set } (F n)$ **if** $k \leq n$ **for** $k n$
 by (rule *order-class.lift-Suc-mono-le*) (use that **in** *auto*)
then have hd-F-in-F : $\text{hd } (F k) \in \text{list.set } (F n)$ **if** $k \leq n$ **for** $k n$
 by (meson *F hd-in-set* *subsetD* that)
show ?thesis
proof
 show *infinite-mm*: *infinite* (range *mmap*)
 using $\langle \text{inj } \text{mmap} \rangle$ *range-inj-infinite* **by** *blast*
 show $\text{range } \text{mmap} \subseteq M$
 using *Eps-subset-hd* *image-mmap-subset-hd-F* **by** *fastforce*
next
fix $S a$
 assume S : $S \subseteq \text{range } \text{mmap}$ *finite* S **and** *acc*: *strongly-accepts* \mathcal{F} S (range *mmap*)
 and a : $a \in \text{range } \text{mmap}$ **and** S_n : $S \ll \{a\}$
then obtain n **where** n : $a = \text{mmap } n$
 by *auto*
define N **where** $N \equiv \text{LEAST } n. S \subseteq \text{mmap } \{..<n\}$
have $\exists n. S \subseteq \text{mmap } \{..<n\}$
 by (metis *S finite-nat-iff-bounded* *finite-subset-image* *image-mono*)
then have S : $S \subseteq \text{mmap } \{..<N\}$ **and** $\text{min}S$: $\bigwedge m. m < N \implies \neg S \subseteq \text{mmap } \{..<m\}$
unfolding *N-def* **by** (meson *LeastI-ex* *not-less-Least*)+
have range-mmap-subset : $\text{range } \text{mmap} \subseteq \text{Inf } \{ \text{list.set } (F n) \cup \text{hd } (F n) \}$ **for** n
proof (*induction n*)
 case 0
then show ?case
 using *Eps-subset-hd* *image-mmap-subset-hd-F* **by** *fastforce*
next
 case (*Suc n*)
then show ?case
 by *clarsimp* (metis *Inf-hd-in-Eps* *hd-F-in-F* *image-iff* *leI* *mmap-def*)
qed
have subM : $(\text{Inf } \{ \text{list.set } (F N) \cup \text{hd } (F N) \}) \subseteq M$
 by (meson *F PowD* *hd-in-set* *subsetD* *sup.boundedI*)
have *strongly-accepts* \mathcal{F} (*insert a S*) $(\text{Inf } \{ \text{list.set } (F N) \cup \text{hd } (F N) \})$
proof (rule *insert-closed* [*unfolded insert-closed-def*, *rule-format*])
have $\text{mmap } \{..<N\} \subseteq \text{Inf } \{ \text{list.set } (F N) \}$
 using *Suc-leI* *hd-F-in-F* **by** (*fastforce simp*: *mmap-def* *le-eq-less-or-eq*)
with S **show** S_{sub} : $S \subseteq \text{Inf } \{ \text{list.set } (F N) \}$
 by *auto*
have $S \subseteq \text{mmap } \{..<n\}$
 using S_n S *strict-mono mmap* *strict-mono-less*

```

    by (fastforce simp: less-sets-def n image-iff subset-iff Bex-def)
  with leI minS have  $n \geq N$  by blast
  then show  $a \in Eps (\Phi (F N))$ 
    using image-mmap-subset-hd-F n by fastforce
  show strongly-accepts  $\mathcal{F} S$  (Inf 'list.set (F N)  $\cup$  hd (F N))
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume  $\neg$  strongly-accepts  $\mathcal{F} S$  (Inf 'list.set (F N)  $\cup$  hd (F N))
    then have rejects  $\mathcal{F} S$  (Inf 'list.set (F N)  $\cup$  hd (F N))
      using dsM subM unfolding decides-subsets-def
      by (meson F Ssub 'finite S' decides-def decides-subset subset-trans)
    moreover have accepts  $\mathcal{F} S$  (range mmap)
      using 'inj mmap' acc range-inj-infinite strongly-accepts-imp-accepts by
blast
    ultimately show False
      by (meson range-mmap-subset rejects-subset)
  qed
  qed (auto simp: Sn)
  then show strongly-accepts  $\mathcal{F}$  (insert a S) (range mmap)
    using range-mmap-subset strongly-accepts-subset by auto
  qed
qed

```

2.3 Main Theorem

lemma *Nash-Williams-1: Ramsey \mathcal{F} 1*
 by (auto simp: Ramsey-eq)

theorem *Nash-Williams-2:*

```

  assumes thin-set  $\mathcal{F}$  shows Ramsey  $\mathcal{F}$  2
  unfolding Ramsey-eq
  proof clarify
    fix  $f :: nat \text{ set} \Rightarrow nat$  and  $M :: nat \text{ set}$ 
    assume infinite M and  $f2: f \in \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \{..<2\}$ 
    let  $?F = \lambda i. f - \{i\} \cap \mathcal{F}$  — needed with Ramsey-eq, not with Ramsey-def
    have  $\mathcal{F}: ?F 0 \cup ?F 1 = \mathcal{F}$ 
      using  $f2$  less-2-cases by (auto simp: PiE)
    have  $fin\mathcal{F}: \bigwedge X. X \in \mathcal{F} \implies finite X$  and  $thin: \bigwedge i. thin\text{-set} (?F i)$ 
      using assms thin-set-def by auto
    then obtain N where  $N \subseteq M$  infinite N and N: decides-subsets (?F 0) N
      using 'infinite M' ex-infinite-decides-subsets by blast
    then consider rejects (?F 0) {} N | strongly-accepts (?F 0) {} N
      unfolding decides-def decides-subsets-def by blast
    then show  $\exists N i. N \subseteq M \wedge infinite N \wedge i < 2 \wedge \mathcal{F} \cap Pow N \subseteq f - \{i\}$ 
  proof cases
    case 1
    then have  $(?F 0 \cup ?F 1) \cap Pow N \subseteq f - \{1\}$ 
      using  $f2$  fin $\mathcal{F}$ 
      by (force simp add: Fpow-def rejects-def disjoint-iff comparables-iff Pi-iff
less-2-cases-iff simp flip: neq0-conv)

```


then show *?thesis*
 by (*metis* \mathcal{F} *Suc-1* $\langle N \subseteq M \rangle$ *infinite* N *lessI*)
next
case 2
then have §: $\bigwedge P. \llbracket P \subseteq N; \bigwedge S. \llbracket S \subseteq P; \text{finite } S \rrbracket \implies S \notin ?\mathcal{F} 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{finite } P$
 by (*auto simp: Fpow-def disjoint-iff*)
obtain P **where** $P \subseteq N$ *infinite* P **and** P :
 $\bigwedge S n. \llbracket S \subseteq P; \text{finite } S; \text{strongly-accepts } (?F 0) S P; n \in P; S \ll \{n\} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{strongly-accepts } (?F 0) (\text{insert } n S) P$
using *strongly-accepts-1-19-plus* [*OF thin* $\langle \text{infinite } N \rangle N$] **by** *blast*
have $\mathcal{F} \cap \text{Pow } P \subseteq f^{-1} \{0\}$
proof (*clarsimp simp: subset-vimage-iff*)
fix T
assume $T: T \in \mathcal{F}$ **and** $T \subseteq P$
then have *finite* T
using *finF* **by** *blast*
moreover have *strongly-accepts* $(?F 0) S P$ **if** *finite* S $S \subseteq P$ **for** S
using *that*
proof (*induction card S arbitrary: S*)
case (*Suc n*)
then have *Seq*: $S = \text{insert } (\text{Sup } S) (S - \{\text{Sup } S\})$
using *Sup-nat-def Max-eq-iff* **by** *fastforce*
then have *sacc*: *strongly-accepts* $(?F 0) (S - \{\text{Sup } S\}) P$
by (*metis Suc card-Diff-singleton diff-Suc-1 finite-Diff insertCI insert-subset*)

have $S - \{\text{Sup } S\} \ll \{\text{Sup } S\}$
using *Suc* **by** (*simp add: Sup-nat-def dual-order.strict-iff-order less-sets-def*)
then have *strongly-accepts* $(?F 0) (\text{insert } (\text{Sup } S) (S - \{\text{Sup } S\})) P$
by (*metis P Seq Suc.premis finite-Diff insert-subset sacc*)
then show *?case*
using *Seq* **by** *auto*
qed (*use* 2 $\langle P \subseteq N \rangle$ **in** *auto*)
ultimately have $\exists x \in \text{comparables } T P. f x = 0 \wedge x \in \mathcal{F}$
using $\langle T \subseteq P \rangle$ $\langle \text{infinite } P \rangle$ *rejects-def strongly-accepts-def* **by** *fastforce*
then show $f T = 0$
using T *assms thin-set-def comparables-def* **by** *force*
qed
then show *?thesis*
 by (*meson* $\langle N \subseteq M \rangle$ $\langle P \subseteq N \rangle$ $\langle \text{infinite } P \rangle$ *less-2-cases-iff subset-trans*)
qed
qed

theorem *Nash-Williams*:
assumes \mathcal{F} : *thin-set* \mathcal{F} $r > 0$ **shows** *Ramsey* \mathcal{F} r
using $\langle r > 0 \rangle$
proof (*induction r*)
case (*Suc r*)
show *?case*

```

proof (cases r<2)
  case True
    with less-2-cases Nash-Williams-1 Nash-Williams-2 assms show ?thesis
      by (auto simp: numeral-2-eq-2)
next
  case False
    with Suc.IH have Ram: Ramsey  $\mathcal{F}$  r r  $\geq$  2
      by auto
    show ?thesis
      unfolding Ramsey-eq
    proof clarify
      fix f and M :: nat set
      assume fim: f  $\in$   $\mathcal{F}$   $\rightarrow$  {.. $\text{Suc } r$ }
        and infinite M
      let ?within =  $\lambda g \ i \ N. \mathcal{F} \cap \text{Pow } N \subseteq g - \{i\}$ 
      define g where g  $\equiv$   $\lambda x. \text{if } f \ x = r \text{ then } r-1 \text{ else } f \ x$ 
      have gim: g  $\in$   $\mathcal{F}$   $\rightarrow$  {.. $r$ }
        using fim False by (force simp: g-def)
      then obtain N i where N  $\subseteq$  M infinite N  $i < r$  and i: ?within g i N
        using Ram  $\langle$ infinite M $\rangle$  by (metis Ramsey-eq)
      show  $\exists N \ j. N \subseteq M \wedge \text{infinite } N \wedge j < \text{Suc } r \wedge ?within \ f \ j \ N$ 
      proof (cases  $i < r-1$ )
        case True
          then have ?within f i N
            using  $\langle N \subseteq M \rangle \langle$ infinite N $\rangle \langle i < r \rangle$  i by (fastforce simp add: g-def)
          then show ?thesis
            by (meson  $\langle N \subseteq M \rangle \langle i < r \rangle \langle$ infinite N $\rangle$  less-Suc-eq)
        next
        case False
          then have i = r-1
            using  $\langle i < r \rangle$  by linarith
          then have null:  $\mathcal{F} \cap \text{Pow } N \subseteq f - \{i, r\}$ 
            using i  $\langle i < r \rangle$ 
            by (auto simp: g-def split: if-split-asm)
          define h where h  $\equiv$   $\lambda x. \text{if } f \ x = r \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } f \ x$ 
          have him: h  $\in$   $\mathcal{F}$   $\rightarrow$  {.. $r$ }
            using fim i False  $\langle i < r \rangle$  by (force simp: h-def)
          then obtain P j where P  $\subseteq$  N infinite P  $j < r$  and j: ?within h j P
            using Ram  $\langle i < r \rangle \langle$ infinite N $\rangle$  unfolding Ramsey-eq by metis
          have  $\exists i < \text{Suc } r. ?within \ f \ i \ P$ 
          proof (cases j=0)
            case True
              then have  $\mathcal{F} \cap \text{Pow } P \subseteq f - \{r\}$ 
                using Ram(2)  $\langle P \subseteq N \rangle \langle i = r - 1 \rangle$  i j
              unfolding subset-vimage-iff g-def h-def
                by (metis Int-iff Pow-iff Suc-1 diff-is-0-eq insert-iff not-less-eq-eq sub-
set-trans)
            then show ?thesis
              by blast

```

```

next
  case False
  then show ?thesis
    using  $j \langle j < r \rangle$  by (fastforce simp add: h-def less-Suc-eq)
  qed
  then show ?thesis
    by (meson  $\langle N \subseteq M \rangle \langle P \subseteq N \rangle \langle \text{infinite } P \rangle$  subset-trans)
  qed
qed
qed
qed auto

end

```

3 Acknowledgements

The author was supported by the ERC Advanced Grant ALEXANDRIA (Project 742178) funded by the European Research Council. Todorčević provided help with the proofs by email.

References

- [1] C. S. J. A. Nash-Williams. On well-quasi-ordering transfinite sequences. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 61(1):33–39, 1965.
- [2] S. Todorčević. *Introduction to Ramsey Spaces*. Princeton University Press, 2010.