

More Operations on Lazy Lists

Andrei Popescu Jamie Wright

March 19, 2025

Abstract

We formalize some operations and reasoning infrastructure on lazy (coinductive) lists. The operations include: building a lazy list from a function on naturals and an extended natural indicating the intended domain, take-until and drop-until (which are variations of take-while and drop-while), splitting a lazy list into a lazy list of lists with cut points being those elements that satisfy a predicate, and filtermap. The reasoning infrastructure includes: a variation of the corecursion combinator, multi-step (list-based) coinduction for lazy-list equality, and a criterion for the filtermapped equality of two lazy lists.

Contents

1	Filtermap for Lazy Lists	1
1.1	Preliminaries	1
1.2	Filtermap	2
2	Some Operations on Lazy Lists	4
2.1	Preliminaries	4
2.2	More properties of operators from the Coinductive library . . .	4
2.3	A convenient adaptation of the lazy-list corecursor	6
2.4	Multi-step coinduction for llist equality	6
2.5	Interval lazy lists	7
2.6	Function builders for lazy lists	7
2.7	The butlast (reverse tail) operation	8
2.8	Consecutive-elements sublists	9
2.9	Take-until and drop-until	10
2.10	Splitting a lazy list according to the points where a predicate is satisfied	12
2.11	The split remainder	13
2.12	The first index for which a predicate holds (if any)	14
2.13	The first index for which the list in a lazy-list of lists is non-empty	14

3 Filtermap for Lazy Lists	15
3.1 Lazy lists filtermap	15
3.2 Coinductive criterion for filtermap equality	18
3.3 A concrete instantiation of the criterion	20

1 Filtermap for Lazy Lists

```
theory List-Filtermap
  imports Main
begin
```

This theory defines the filtermap operator for lazy lists, proves its basic properties, and proves coinductive criteria for the equality of two filtermapped lazy lists.

1.1 Preliminaries

```
hide-const filtermap
```

```
abbreviation never :: ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool where never U ≡ list-all (λ a. ¬ U a)
```

```
lemma never-list-ex: never pred xs ↔ ¬ list-ex pred xs
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
abbreviation Rcons (infix ### 70) where xs ### x ≡ xs @ [x]
```

```
lemma two-singl-Rcons: [a,b] = [a] ### b ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma length-gt-1-Cons-snoc:
  assumes length ys > 1
  obtains x1 xs x2 where ys = x1 # xs ### x2
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma right-cons-left[simp]: i < length as ⇒ (as ### a)!i = as!i
  ⟨proof⟩
```

1.2 Filtermap

```
definition filtermap :: ('b ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('b ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'b list ⇒ 'a list where
  filtermap pred func xs ≡ map func (filter pred xs)
```

```
lemma filtermap-Nil[simp]:
```

```

filtermap pred func [] = []
⟨proof⟩

lemma filtermap-Cons-not[simp]:
¬ pred x ==> filtermap pred func (x # xs) = filtermap pred func xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma filtermap-Cons[simp]:
pred x ==> filtermap pred func (x # xs) = func x # filtermap pred func xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma filtermap-append: filtermap pred func (xs @ xs1) = filtermap pred func xs
@ filtermap pred func xs1
⟨proof⟩

lemma filtermap-Nil-list-ex: filtermap pred func xs = []  $\longleftrightarrow$  ¬ list-ex pred xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma filtermap-Nil-never: filtermap pred func xs = []  $\longleftrightarrow$  never pred xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma length-filtermap: length (filtermap pred func xs)  $\leq$  length xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma filtermap-list-all[simp]: filtermap pred func xs = map func xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  list-all
pred xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma filtermap-eq-Cons:
assumes filtermap pred func xs = a # al1
shows  $\exists$  x xs2 xs1.
xs = xs2 @ [x] @ xs1  $\wedge$  never pred xs2  $\wedge$  pred x  $\wedge$  func x = a  $\wedge$  filtermap pred
func xs1 = al1
⟨proof⟩

lemma filtermap-eq-append:
assumes filtermap pred func xs = al1 @ al2
shows  $\exists$  xs1 xs2. xs = xs1 @ xs2  $\wedge$  filtermap pred func xs1 = al1  $\wedge$  filtermap
pred func xs2 = al2
⟨proof⟩

lemma holds-filtermap-RCons[simp]:
pred x ==> filtermap pred func (xs ## x) = filtermap pred func xs ## func x
⟨proof⟩

lemma not-holds-filtermap-RCons[simp]:
¬ pred x ==> filtermap pred func (xs ## x) = filtermap pred func xs
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma filtermap-eq-RCons:
assumes filtermap pred func xs = al1 ## a
shows  $\exists x \in xs1 \in xs2.$ 
       $xs = xs1 @ [x] @ xs2 \wedge \text{never pred } xs2 \wedge \text{pred } x \wedge \text{func } x = a \wedge \text{filtermap pred}$ 
       $\text{func } xs1 = al1$ 
      ⟨proof⟩

lemma filtermap-eq-Cons-RCons:
assumes filtermap pred func xs = a # al1 ## b
shows  $\exists xsa \in xa \in xs1 \in xb \in xsb.$ 
       $xs = xsa @ [xa] @ xs1 @ [xb] @ xsb \wedge$ 
       $\text{never pred } xsa \wedge$ 
       $\text{pred } xa \wedge \text{func } xa = a \wedge$ 
       $\text{filtermap pred func } xs1 = al1 \wedge$ 
       $\text{pred } xb \wedge \text{func } xb = b \wedge$ 
       $\text{never pred } xsb$ 
      ⟨proof⟩

lemma filter-Nil-never:  $[] = \text{filter pred } xs \implies \text{never pred } xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma never-Nil-filter:  $\text{never pred } xs \longleftrightarrow [] = \text{filter pred } xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma set-filtermap:
set (filtermap pred func xs)  $\subseteq \{\text{func } x \mid x \in \text{set } xs \wedge \text{pred } x\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

```

2 Some Operations on Lazy Lists

```

theory LazyList-Operations
imports Coinductive.Coinductive-List List-Filtermap
begin

```

This theory defines some operations for lazy lists, and proves their basic properties.

2.1 Preliminaries

```

lemma enat-ls-minius-1:  $\text{enat } i < j - 1 \implies \text{enat } i < j$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

abbreviation LNil-abbr ( $\langle [] \rangle$ ) where LNil-abbr  $\equiv$  LNil

```

```

abbreviation LCons-abbr (infixr \$ 65) where x \$ xs ≡ LCons x xs

abbreviation lnever :: ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a llist ⇒ bool where lnever U ≡ llist-all
(λ a. ⊥ U a)

syntax
— llist Enumeration
-llist :: args => 'a llist   (⟨[[(-)]⟩)

syntax-consts
-llist == LCons

translations
[[x, xs]] == x \$ [[xs]]
[[x]] == x \$ []

```

```

declare llist-of-eq-LNil-conv[simp]
declare lmap-eq-LNil[simp]
declare llength-ltl[simp]

```

2.2 More properties of operators from the Coinductive library

```

lemma lnth-lconcat:
assumes i < llength (lconcat xss)
shows ∃ j < llength xss. ∃ k < llength (lnth xss j). lnth (lconcat xss) i = lnth (lnth xss j) k
⟨proof⟩

lemma lnth-0-lset: xs ≠ [] ⇒ lnth xs 0 ∈ lset xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma lconcat-eq-LNil-iff: lconcat xss = [] ⇔ (∀ xs ∈ lset xss. xs = [])
⟨proof⟩

lemma llast-last-llist-of: lfinite xs ⇒ llast xs = last (list-of xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lappend-llist-of-inj:
length xs = length ys ⇒
lappend (llist-of xs) as = lappend (llist-of ys) bs ⇔ xs = ys ∧ as = bs
⟨proof⟩

lemma llist-all-lnth: llist-all P xs = (∀ n < llength xs. P (lnth xs n))
⟨proof⟩

lemma llist-eq-cong:
assumes llength xs = llength ys ∧ i < llength xs ⇒ lnth xs i = lnth ys i

```

shows $xs = ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-cases*: $llength xs = \infty \vee (\exists ys. xs = llist-of ys)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-all-lappend*: $lfinite xs \implies$
 $llist-all pred (lappend xs ys) \longleftrightarrow llist-all pred xs \wedge llist-all pred ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-all-lappend-llist-of*:
 $llist-all pred (lappend (llist-of xs) ys) \longleftrightarrow list-all pred xs \wedge llist-all pred ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llist-all-conduct*:
 $X xs \implies$
 $(\bigwedge xs. X xs \implies \neg lnull xs \implies P (lhd xs) \wedge (X (ltl xs) \vee llist-all P (ltl xs))) \implies$
 $llist-all P xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lfilter-lappend-llist-of*:
 $lfilter P (lappend (llist-of xs) ys) = lappend (llist-of (filter P xs)) (lfilter P ys)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ldrop-Suc*: $n < llength xs \implies ldrop (enat n) xs = LCons (lnth xs n) (ldrop (enat (Suc n)) xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lappend-ltake-lnth-ldrop*: $n < llength xs \implies$
 $lappend (ltake (enat n) xs) (LCons (lnth xs n) (ldrop (enat (Suc n)) xs)) = xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ltake-eq-LNil*: $ltake i tr = [] \longleftrightarrow i = 0 \vee tr = []$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ex-llength-infty*:
 $\exists a. llength a = \infty \wedge lhd a = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *repeat-not-Nil[simp]*: $repeat a \neq []$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.3 A convenient adaptation of the lazy-list corecursor

definition *ccorec-llist* :: $('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b \text{ llist})$
 $\Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \text{ llist}$
where *ccorec-llist isn h ec e t* \equiv
 $\text{corec-llist isn } (\lambda a. \text{if } ec a \text{ then } lhd (e a) \text{ else } h a) \text{ ec } (\lambda a. \text{case } e a \text{ of } b \$ a' \Rightarrow a') t$

lemma *llist-ccorec-LNil*: $\text{isn } a \implies \text{ccorec-llist } \text{isn } h \text{ ec } e \text{ t } a = []$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *llist-ccorec-LCons*:

$\neg \text{lnull } (e \ a) \implies \neg \text{isn } a \implies$
 $\text{ccorec-llist } \text{isn } h \text{ ec } e \text{ t } a = (\text{if } \text{ec } a \text{ then } e \ a \text{ else } h \ a \ \$ \ \text{ccorec-llist } \text{isn } h \text{ ec } e \text{ t } (t \ a))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *llist-ccorec* = *llist-ccorec-LNil* *llist-ccorec-LCons*

2.4 Multi-step coinduction for llist equality

In this principle, the coinductive step can consume any non-empty list, not just single elements.

proposition *llist-lappend-coind*:

assumes $P: P \text{ lxs lxs'}$

and *lappend*:

$\wedge \text{lxs lxs'. } P \text{ lxs lxs'} \implies$
 $\text{lxs} = \text{lxs}' \vee$
 $(\exists ys \text{ llxs llxs'. } ys \neq [] \wedge$
 $\text{lxs} = \text{lappend } (\text{llist-of } ys) \text{ llxs} \wedge \text{lxs'} = \text{lappend } (\text{llist-of } ys) \text{ llxs'} \wedge$
 $P \text{ llxs llxs'})$
shows $\text{lxs} = \text{lxs'}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.5 Interval lazy lists

The list of all naturals between a natural and an extended-natural

primcorec *betw* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{enat} \Rightarrow \text{nat llist}$ **where**
 $\text{betw } i n = (\text{if } i \geq n \text{ then } LNil \text{ else } i \$ \text{ betw } (\text{Suc } i) \ n)$

lemma *betw-more-simps*:

$\neg n \leq i \implies \text{betw } i n = i \$ \text{ betw } (\text{Suc } i) \ n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lhd-betw*: $i < n \implies \text{lhd } (\text{betw } i n) = i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-lfinite-betw-infty*: $\neg \text{lfinite } (\text{betw } i \infty)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *llength-betw-infty[simp]*: $\text{llength } (\text{betw } i \infty) = \infty$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *llength-betw*: $\text{llength } (\text{betw } i n) = n - i$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lfinite-betw-not-infty*: $n < \infty \implies lfinite(betw i n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lfinite-betw-enat*: $lfinite(betw i (enat n))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lnth-betw*: $enat j < n - i \implies lnth(betw i n) j = i + j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.6 Function builders for lazy lists

Building an llist from a function, more precisely from its values between 0 and a given extended natural n

definition *build* $n f \equiv lmap f (betw 0 n)$

lemma *llength-build*[simp]: $llength(build n f) = n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lnth-build*[simp]: $i < n \implies lnth(build n f) i = f i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *build-lnth*[simp]: $build(llength xs) (lnth xs) = xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *build-eq-LNil*[simp]: $build n f = [] \longleftrightarrow n = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.7 The butlast (reverse tail) operation

definition *lbutlast* :: 'a llist \Rightarrow 'a llist **where**
 $lbutlast sl \equiv \text{if } lfinite sl \text{ then } llist-of(\text{butlast}(\text{list-of } sl)) \text{ else } sl$

lemma *llength-lbutlast-lfinite*[simp]:
 $sl \neq [] \implies lfinite sl \implies llength(lbutlast sl) = llength sl - 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *llength-lbutlast-not-lfinite*[simp]:
 $\neg lfinite sl \implies llength(lbutlast sl) = \infty$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lbutlast-LNil*[simp]:
 $lbutlast [] = []$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lbutlast-singl*[simp]:
 $lbutlast [[s]] = []$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lbutlast-lfinite*[simp]:
lfinite sl \implies *lbutlast sl* = *llist-of (butlast (list-of sl))*
{proof}

lemma *lbutlast-Cons*[simp]: *tr* \neq $[]$ \implies *lbutlast (s \$ tr)* = *s \$ lbutlast tr*
{proof}

lemma *llist-of-butlast*: *llist-of (butlast xs)* = *lbutlast (llist-of xs)*
{proof}

lemma *lprefix-lbutlast*: *lprefix xs ys* \implies *lprefix (lbutlast xs) (lbutlast ys)*
{proof}

lemma *lbutlast-lappend*:
assumes *(ys::'a llist) \neq []* **shows** *lbutlast (lappend xs ys)* = *lappend xs (lbutlast ys)*
{proof}

lemma *lbutlast-llist-of*: *lbutlast (llist-of xs)* = *llist-of (butlast xs)*
{proof}

lemma *butlast-list-of*: *lfinite xs* \implies *butlast (list-of xs)* = *list-of (lbutlast xs)*
{proof}

lemma *butlast-length-le1*[simp]: *llength xs* \leq *Suc 0* \implies *lbutlast xs* = $[]$
{proof}

lemma *llength-lbutlast*[simp]: *llength (lbutlast tr)* = *llength tr - 1*
{proof}

lemma *lnth-lbutlast*: *i < llength xs - 1* \implies *lnth (lbutlast xs) i* = *lnth xs i*
{proof}

2.8 Consecutive-elements sublists

definition *lsublist xs ys* \equiv $\exists us vs. \text{lfinite } us \wedge ys = lappend us (lappend xs vs)$

lemma *lsublist-refl*: *lsublist xs xs*
{proof}

lemma *lsublist-trans*:
assumes *lsublist xs ys* **and** *lsublist ys zs* **shows** *lsublist xs zs*
{proof}

lemma *lnth-lconcat-lsublist*:
assumes *xs: xs = lconcat (lmap llist-of xss)* **and** *i < llength xss*
shows *lsublist (llist-of (lnth xss i)) xs*
{proof}

```

lemma lnth-lconcat-lsublist2:
assumes xs:  $xs = lconcat(lmap llist-of xss)$  and  $Suc i < llengt h xss$ 
shows lsublist (llist-of (append (lnth xss i) (lnth xss (Suc i)))) xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma lnth-lconcat-lconcat-lsublist:
assumes xs:  $xs = lappend(lconcat(lmap llist-of xss)) ys$  and  $i < llengt h xss$ 
shows lsublist (llist-of (lnth xss i)) xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma lnth-lconcat-lconcat-lsublist2:
assumes xs:  $xs = lappend(lconcat(lmap llist-of xss)) ys$  and  $Suc i < llengt h xss$ 
shows lsublist (llist-of (append (lnth xss i) (lnth xss (Suc i)))) xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma su-lset-lconcat-llist-of:
assumes xs ∈ lset xss
shows set xs ⊆ lset (lconcat (lmap llist-of xss))
⟨proof⟩

lemma lsublist-lnth-lconcat:  $i < llengt h tr1s \Rightarrow lsublist(llist-of(lnth tr1s i))$ 
( $lconcat(lmap llist-of tr1s)$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma lsublist-lset:
lsublist xs ys  $\Rightarrow$  lset xs ⊆ lset ys
⟨proof⟩

lemma lsublist-LNil:
lsublist xs ys  $\Rightarrow$  ys = LNil  $\Rightarrow$  xs = LNil
⟨proof⟩

```

2.9 Take-until and drop-until

```

definition ltakeUntil :: ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a llist ⇒ 'a list where
ltakeUntil pred xs ≡
list-of (lappend (ltakeWhile (λx. ¬ pred x) xs) [[lhd (ldropWhile (λx. ¬ pred x)
xs)]])

```



```

definition ldropUntil :: ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a llist ⇒ 'a llist where
ldropUntil pred xs ≡ ltl (ldropWhile (λx. ¬ pred x) xs)

lemma lappend-ltakeUntil-ldropUntil:
 $\exists x \in lset xs. pred x \Rightarrow lappend(llist-of(ltakeUntil pred xs)) (ldropUntil pred xs)$ 
 $= xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ltakeUntil-not-Nil:
assumes  $\exists x \in lset xs. \text{pred } x$ 
shows ltakeUntil pred xs  $\neq []$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma ltakeUntil-ex-butlast:
assumes  $\exists x \in lset xs. \text{pred } x y \in set (\text{butlast} (\text{ltakeUntil pred xs}))$ 
shows  $\neg \text{pred } y$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma ltakeUntil-never-butlast:
assumes  $\exists x \in lset xs. \text{pred } x$ 
shows never pred (butlast (ltakeUntil pred xs))
⟨proof⟩

lemma ltakeUntil-last:
assumes  $\exists x \in lset xs. \text{pred } x$ 
shows pred (last (ltakeUntil pred xs))
⟨proof⟩

lemma ltakeUntil-last-butlast:
assumes  $\exists x \in lset xs. \text{pred } x$ 
shows ltakeUntil pred xs = append (butlast (ltakeUntil pred xs)) [last (ltakeUntil pred xs)]
⟨proof⟩

lemma ltakeUntil-LCons1[simp]:  $\exists x \in lset xs. \text{pred } x \implies \neg \text{pred } x \implies \text{ltakeUntil pred } (\text{LCons } x xs) = x \# \text{ltakeUntil pred xs}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma ldropUntil-LCons1[simp]:  $\exists x \in lset xs. \text{pred } x \implies \neg \text{pred } x \implies \text{ldropUntil pred } (\text{LCons } x xs) = \text{ldropUntil pred xs}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma ltakeUntil-LCons2[simp]:  $\exists x \in lset xs. \text{pred } x \implies \text{pred } x \implies \text{ltakeUntil pred } (\text{LCons } x xs) = [x]$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma ldropUntil-LCons2[simp]:  $\exists x \in lset xs. \text{pred } x \implies \text{pred } x \implies \text{ldropUntil pred } (\text{LCons } x xs) = xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma ltakeUntil-tl1[simp]:
 $\exists x \in lset xs. \text{pred } x \implies \neg \text{pred } (\text{lhd } xs) \implies \text{ltakeUntil pred } (\text{tl } (\text{ltakeUntil pred xs})) = \text{tl } (\text{ltakeUntil pred xs})$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma ldropUntil-tl1[simp]:

```

$\exists x \in lset xs. \ pred x \implies \neg pred (lhd xs) \implies ldropUntil pred (ltl xs) = ldropUntil pred xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ltakeUntil-tl2*[simp]:
 $xs \neq [] \implies pred (lhd xs) \implies tl (ltakeUntil pred xs) = []$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ldropUntil-tl2*[simp]:
 $xs \neq [] \implies pred (lhd xs) \implies ldropUntil pred xs = ltl xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *LCons-lfilter-ldropUntil*: $y \$ ys = lfilter pred xs \implies ys = lfilter pred (ldropUntil pred xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-ltakeUntil-ge-0*:
assumes $\exists x \in lset xs. \ pred x$
shows $length (ltakeUntil pred xs) > 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-ltakeUntil-eq-1*:
assumes $\exists x \in lset xs. \ pred x$
shows $length (ltakeUntil pred xs) = Suc 0 \longleftrightarrow pred (lhd xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-ltakeUntil-Suc*:
assumes $\exists x \in lset xs. \ pred x \neg pred (lhd xs)$
shows $length (ltakeUntil pred xs) = Suc (length (ltakeUntil pred (ltl xs)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.10 Splitting a lazy list according to the points where a predicate is satisfied

```
primcorec lsplit :: ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a llist ⇒ 'a list llist where
  lsplit pred xs =
    (if (exists (x ∈ lset xs. pred x))
      then LCons (ltakeUntil pred xs) (lsplit pred (ldropUntil pred xs))
      else [])
```

declare *lsplit.ctr*[simp]

lemma *infinite-split*[simp]:
 $\text{infinite } \{x \in lset xs. \ pred x\} \implies lsplit pred xs = LCons (ltakeUntil pred xs) (lsplit pred (ldropUntil pred xs))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lconcat-lsplit-not-lfinite*:
 $\neg lfinite (lfilter pred xs) \implies xs = lconcat (lmap llist-of (lsplit pred xs))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lfinite-lsplit*:
assumes *lfinite (lfilter pred xs)*
shows *lfinite (lsplit pred xs)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lconcat-lsplit-lfinite*:
assumes *lfinite (lfilter pred xs)*
shows $\exists ys. xs = lappend (lconcat (lmap llist-of (lsplit pred xs))) ys \wedge (\forall y \in lset ys. \neg pred y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lconcat-lsplit*:
 $\exists ys. xs = lappend (lconcat (lmap llist-of (lsplit pred xs))) ys \wedge (\forall y \in lset ys. \neg pred y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lsublist-lsplit*:
assumes $i < llengt (lsplit pred xs)$
shows *lsublist (llist-of (lnth (lsplit pred xs) i)) xs*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lsublist-lsplit2*:
assumes $Suc i < llengt (lsplit pred xs)$
shows *lsublist (llist-of (append (lnth (lsplit pred xs) i) (lnth (lsplit pred xs) (Suc i)))) xs*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lsplit-main*:
llist-all ($\lambda z. z \neq [] \wedge list-all (\lambda z. \neg pred z) (butlast zs) \wedge pred (last zs)$)
(lsplit pred xs)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lsplit-main-lset*:
assumes $ys \in lset (lsplit pred xs)$
shows $ys \neq [] \wedge$
list-all ($\lambda z. \neg pred z$) (butlast ys) \wedge
pred (last ys)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lsplit-main-lnth*:
assumes $i < llengt (lsplit pred xs)$
shows $lnth (lsplit pred xs) i \neq [] \wedge$
list-all ($\lambda z. \neg pred z$) (butlast (lnth (lsplit pred xs) i)) \wedge
pred (last (lnth (lsplit pred xs) i))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *hd-lhd-lsplit*: $\exists x \in lset xs. pred x \implies hd (lhd (lsplit pred xs)) = lhd xs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma lprefix-lsplit:  
assumes  $\exists x \in lset xs. \text{pred } x$   
shows lprefix (llist-of (lhd (lsplit pred xs))) xs  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma lprefix-lsplit-lbutlast:  
assumes  $\exists x \in lset xs. \text{pred } x$   
shows lprefix (llist-of (butlast (lhd (lsplit pred xs)))) (lbutlast xs)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma set-lset-lsplit:  
assumes  $ys \in lset (\text{lsplit pred } xs)$   
shows set ys  $\subseteq lset xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma set-lnth-lsplit:  
assumes  $i < llengt (lsplit pred xs)$   
shows set (lnth (lsplit pred xs) i)  $\subseteq lset xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

2.11 The split remainder

```
definition lsplitRemainder pred xs  $\equiv$  SOME ys. xs = lappend (lconcat (lmap llist-of (lsplit pred xs))) ys  $\wedge$  ( $\forall y \in lset ys. \neg \text{pred } y$ )
```

```
lemma lsplitRemainder:  
xs = lappend (lconcat (lmap llist-of (lsplit pred xs))) (lsplitRemainder pred xs)  $\wedge$   
( $\forall y \in lset (\text{lsplitRemainder pred } xs). \neg \text{pred } y$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemmas lsplit-lsplitRemainder = lsplitRemainder[THEN conjunct1]  
lemmas lset-lsplitRemainder = lsplitRemainder[THEN conjunct2, rule-format]
```

2.12 The first index for which a predicate holds (if any)

```
definition firstHolds where  
firstHolds pred xs  $\equiv$  length (ltakeUntil pred xs) - 1
```

```
lemma firstHolds-eq-0:  
assumes  $\exists x \in lset xs. \text{pred } x$   
shows firstHolds pred xs = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  pred (lhd xs)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma firstHolds-eq-0':  
assumes  $\neg \text{lnever pred } xs$   
shows firstHolds pred xs = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  pred (lhd xs)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```

lemma firstHolds-Suc:
assumes  $\exists x \in lset xs. \text{pred } x \text{ and } \neg \text{pred}(\text{lhd } xs)$ 
shows firstHolds pred xs = Suc (firstHolds pred (ltl xs))
⟨proof⟩

lemma firstHolds-Suc':
assumes  $\neg \text{lnever pred } xs \text{ and } \neg \text{pred}(\text{lhd } xs)$ 
shows firstHolds pred xs = Suc (firstHolds pred (ltl xs))
⟨proof⟩

lemma firstHolds-append:
assumes  $\neg \text{lnever pred } xs \text{ and } \text{never pred } ys$ 
shows firstHolds pred (lappend (llist-of ys) xs) = length ys + firstHolds pred xs
⟨proof⟩

```

2.13 The first index for which the list in a lazy-list of lists is non-empty

```

definition firstNC where
firstNC xss ≡ firstHolds ( $\lambda xs. xs \neq []$ ) xss

lemma firstNC-eq-0:
assumes  $\exists xs \in lset xss. xs \neq []$ 
shows firstNC xss = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  lhd xss ≠ []
⟨proof⟩

lemma firstNC-Suc:
assumes  $\exists xs \in lset xss. xs \neq [] \text{ and } \text{lhd } xss = []$ 
shows firstNC xss = Suc (firstNC (ltl xss))
⟨proof⟩

lemma firstNC-LCons-notNil:  $xs \neq [] \implies \text{firstNC}(xs \$ xss) = 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma firstNC-LCons-Nil:
 $(\exists ys \in lset xss. ys \neq []) \implies xs = [] \implies \text{firstNC}(xs \$ xss) = \text{Suc}(\text{firstNC } xss)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

end

3 Filtermap for Lazy Lists

```

theory LazyList-Filtermap
  imports LazyList-Operations List-Filtermap
begin

```

This theory defines the filtermap operator for lazy lists, proves its basic properties, and proves a coinductive criterion for the equality of two filtermapped

lazy lsits.

3.1 Lazy lists filtermap

definition *lfiltermap* ::

$('trans \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('trans \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'trans \text{ llist} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ llist}$

where

$\text{lfiltermap pred func tr} \equiv \text{lmap func} (\text{lfilter pred tr})$

lemmas *lfiltermap-lmap-lfilter* = *lfiltermap-def*

lemma *lfiltermap-lappend*: $\text{lfinite tr} \implies \text{lfiltermap pred func} (\text{lappend tr tr1}) = \text{lappend} (\text{lfiltermap pred func tr}) (\text{lfiltermap pred func tr1})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lfiltermap-LNil-never*: $\text{lfiltermap pred func tr} = [] \longleftrightarrow \text{lnever pred tr}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *llength-lfiltermap*: $\text{llength} (\text{lfiltermap pred func tr}) \leq \text{llength tr}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lfiltermap-llist-all[simp]*: $\text{lfinite tr} \implies \text{lfiltermap pred func tr} = \text{lmap func tr} \longleftrightarrow \text{llist-all pred tr}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lfilter-LNil-lnever*: $[] = \text{lfilter pred xs} \implies \text{lnever pred xs}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lnever-LNil-lfilter*: $\text{lnever pred xs} \longleftrightarrow [] = \text{lfilter pred xs}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lfilter-LNil-lnever'*: $\text{lfilter pred xs} = [] \implies \text{lnever pred xs}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lnever-LNil-lfilter'*: $\text{lnever pred xs} \longleftrightarrow \text{lfilter pred xs} = []$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lfiltermap-LCons2-eq*:
 $\text{lfiltermap pred func} [[x, x']] = \text{lfiltermap pred func} [[y, y']]$
 $\implies \text{lfiltermap pred func} (x \$ x' \$ zs) = \text{lfiltermap pred func} (y \$ y' \$ zs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lfiltermap-LCons-cong*:
 $\text{lfiltermap pred func} xs = \text{lfiltermap pred func} ys$
 $\implies \text{lfiltermap pred func} (x \$ xs) = \text{lfiltermap pred func} (x \$ ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lfiltermap-LCons-eq*:
 $\text{lfiltermap pred func} xs = \text{lfiltermap pred func} ys$

$\implies \text{pred } x \longleftrightarrow \text{pred } y$
 $\implies \text{pred } x \longrightarrow \text{func } x = \text{func } y$
 $\implies \text{lfiltermap pred func } (x \$ xs) = \text{lfiltermap pred func } (y \$ ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set-lfiltermap*:
 $\text{lset } (\text{lfiltermap pred func } xs) \subseteq \{\text{func } x \mid x . x \in \text{lset } xs \wedge \text{pred } x\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lfinite-lfiltermap-filtermap*:
 $\text{lfinite } xs \implies \text{lfiltermap pred func } xs = \text{llist-of } (\text{filtermap pred func } (\text{list-of } xs))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lfiltermap-llist-of-filtermap*:
 $\text{lfiltermap pred func } (\text{llist-of } xs) = \text{llist-of } (\text{filtermap pred func } xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *filtermap-butlast*: $xs \neq [] \implies$
 $\neg \text{pred } (\text{last } xs) \implies$
 $\text{filtermap pred func } xs = \text{filtermap pred func } (\text{butlast } xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *filtermap-butlast'*:
 $xs \neq [] \implies \text{pred } (\text{last } xs) \implies$
 $\text{filtermap pred func } xs = \text{filtermap pred func } (\text{butlast } xs) @ [\text{func } (\text{last } xs)]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lfinite-lfiltermap-butlast*: $xs \neq [] \implies (\text{lfinite } xs \implies \neg \text{pred } (\text{llast } xs)) \implies$
 $\text{lfiltermap pred func } xs = \text{lfiltermap pred func } (\text{lbutlast } xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *last-filtermap*: $xs \neq [] \implies \text{pred } (\text{last } xs) \implies$
 $\text{filtermap pred func } xs \neq [] \wedge \text{last } (\text{filtermap pred func } xs) = \text{func } (\text{last } xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *filtermap-ltakeUntil[simp]*:
 $\exists x \in \text{lset } xs. \text{pred } x \implies \text{filtermap pred func } (\text{ltakeUntil pred } xs) = [\text{func } (\text{last } (\text{ltakeUntil pred } xs))]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *last-ltakeUntil-filtermap[simp]*:
 $\exists x \in \text{lset } xs. \text{pred } x \implies \text{func } (\text{last } (\text{ltakeUntil pred } xs)) = \text{lhd } (\text{lfiltermap pred func } xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lfiltermap-lmap-filtermap-lsplit*:

```

assumes lfiltermap pred func xs = lfiltermap pred func ys
shows lmap (filtermap pred func) (lsplit pred xs) = lmap (filtermap pred func)
(lsplit pred ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lfiltermap-lfinite-lsplit:
assumes lfiltermap pred func xs = lfiltermap pred func ys
shows lfinite (lsplit pred xs) ↔ lfinite (lsplit pred ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lfiltermap-lsplitRemainder[simp]: lfiltermap pred func (lsplitRemainder pred
xs) = []
⟨proof⟩

lemma lfiltermap-lconcat-lsplit:
lfiltermap pred func xs =
lfiltermap pred func (lconcat (lmap llist-of (lsplit pred xs)))
⟨proof⟩

lemma lfilter-lconcat-lfinite': (¬ $\exists i. i < \text{length } yss \Rightarrow \text{lfinite} (\text{lnth } yss i)$ )
⇒ lfilter pred (lconcat yss) = lconcat (lmap (lfilter pred) yss)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lfilter-lconcat-llist-of:
lfilter pred (lconcat (lmap llist-of yss)) = lconcat (lmap (lfilter pred) (lmap llist-of
yss))
⟨proof⟩

lemma lfiltermap-lconcat-lmap-llist-of:
lfiltermap pred func (lconcat (lmap llist-of yss)) =
lconcat (lmap (llist-of o filtermap pred func) yss)
⟨proof⟩

lemma filtermap-noteq-imp-lsplit:
assumes len: length (lsplit pred xs) = length (lsplit pred xs')
and l: lfiltermap pred func xs ≠ lfiltermap pred func xs'
shows ∃ i0 < length (lsplit pred xs).
    filtermap pred func (lenth (lsplit pred xs) i0) ≠
    filtermap pred func (lenth (lsplit pred xs') i0)
⟨proof⟩

```

3.2 Coinductive criterion for filtermap equality

We work in a locale that fixes two function-predicate pairs, for performing two instances of filtermap. We will give criteria for when the two filtermap applications to two lazy lists are equal.

```

locale TwoFuncPred =
fixes pred :: 'a ⇒ bool and pred' :: 'a' ⇒ bool
and func :: 'a ⇒ 'b and func' :: 'a' ⇒ 'b

```

begin

lemma *LCons-eq-lmap-lfilter*:
assumes *LCons b bss = lmap func (lfilter pred as)*
shows $\exists as1 a ass.$
 $as = lappend (llist-of as1) (LCons a ass) \wedge$
 $never pred as1 \wedge pred a \wedge func a = b \wedge$
 $bss = lmap func (lfilter pred ass)$
(proof)

lemma *LCons-eq-lmap-lfilter'*:
assumes *LCons b bss = lmap func' (lfilter pred' as)*
shows $\exists as1 a ass.$
 $as = lappend (llist-of as1) (LCons a ass) \wedge$
 $never pred' as1 \wedge pred' a \wedge func' a = b \wedge$
 $bss = lmap func' (lfilter pred' ass)$
(proof)

lemma *lmap-lfilter-lappend-lnever*:
assumes *P: P lxs lxs'*
and *lappend*:
 $\wedge lxs lxs'. P lxs lxs' \implies$
 $lmap func (lfilter pred lxs) = lmap func' (lfilter pred' lxs') \vee$
 $(\exists ys llxs ys' llxs'.$
 $ys \neq [] \wedge ys' \neq [] \wedge$
 $map func (filter pred ys) = map func' (filter pred' ys') \wedge$
 $lxs = lappend (llist-of ys) llxs \wedge lxs' = lappend (llist-of ys') llxs' \wedge$
 $P llxs llxs')$
shows *lnever pred lxs = lnever pred' lxs'*
(proof)

lemma *lmap-lfilter-lappend-makeStronger*:
assumes *lappend*:
 $\wedge lxs lxs'. P lxs lxs' \implies$
 $lmap func (lfilter pred lxs) = lmap func' (lfilter pred' lxs') \vee$
 $(\exists ys llxs ys' llxs'.$
 $ys \neq [] \wedge ys' \neq [] \wedge$
 $map func (filter pred ys) = map func' (filter pred' ys') \wedge$
 $lxs = lappend (llist-of ys) llxs \wedge lxs' = lappend (llist-of ys') llxs' \wedge$
 $P llxs llxs')$
and *P: P lxs lxs'*
shows *lmap func (lfilter pred lxs) = lmap func' (lfilter pred' lxs') \vee*
 $(\exists ys llxs ys' llxs'.$
 $map func (filter pred ys) \neq [] \wedge$
 $map func (filter pred ys) = map func' (filter pred' ys') \wedge$
 $lxs = lappend (llist-of ys) llxs \wedge lxs' = lappend (llist-of ys') llxs' \wedge$
 $P llxs llxs')$
(proof)

proposition *lmap-lfilter-lappend-coind*:
assumes $P: P \text{ lxs lxs}'$
and *lappend*:
 $\wedge \text{lxs lxs}'. P \text{ lxs lxs}' \implies$
 $\text{lmap func} (\text{lfilter pred lxs}) = \text{lmap func}' (\text{lfilter pred}' \text{lxs}') \vee$
 $(\exists ys \text{ llxs ys}' \text{ llxs}'.$
 $ys \neq [] \wedge ys' \neq [] \wedge$
 $\text{map func} (\text{filter pred ys}) = \text{map func}' (\text{filter pred}' ys') \wedge$
 $\text{lxs} = \text{lappend} (\text{llist-of ys}) \text{ llxs} \wedge \text{lxs}' = \text{lappend} (\text{llist-of ys}') \text{ llxs}' \wedge$
 $P \text{ llxs llxs}')$
shows $\text{lmap func} (\text{lfilter pred lxs}) = \text{lmap func}' (\text{lfilter pred}' \text{lxs}')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

proposition *lmap-lfilter-lappend-coind-wf*:
assumes $W: wf W$ **and** $P: P w \text{ lxs lxs}'$
and *lappend*:
 $\wedge w \text{ lxs lxs}'. P w \text{ lxs lxs}' \implies$
 $\text{lmap func} (\text{lfilter pred lxs}) = \text{lmap func}' (\text{lfilter pred}' \text{lxs}') \vee$
 $(\exists v ys \text{ llxs ys}' \text{ llxs}'.$
 $(ys \neq [] \wedge ys' \neq [] \vee (v, w) \in W) \wedge$
 $\text{map func} (\text{filter pred ys}) = \text{map func}' (\text{filter pred}' ys') \wedge$
 $\text{lxs} = \text{lappend} (\text{llist-of ys}) \text{ llxs} \wedge \text{lxs}' = \text{lappend} (\text{llist-of ys}') \text{ llxs}' \wedge$
 $P v \text{ llxs llxs}')$
shows $\text{lmap func} (\text{lfilter pred lxs}) = \text{lmap func}' (\text{lfilter pred}' \text{lxs}')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

proposition *lmap-lfilter-lappend-coind-wf2*:
assumes $W1: wf (W1::'a1 rel)$ **and** $W2: wf (W2::'a2 rel)$
and $P: P w1 w2 \text{ lxs lxs}'$
and *lappend*:
 $\wedge w1 w2 \text{ lxs lxs}'. P w1 w2 \text{ lxs lxs}' \implies$
 $\text{lmap func} (\text{lfilter pred lxs}) = \text{lmap func}' (\text{lfilter pred}' \text{lxs}') \vee$
 $(\exists v1 v2 ys \text{ llxs ys}' \text{ llxs}'.$
 $((v1, w1) \in W1 \vee ys \neq []) \wedge ((v2, w2) \in W2 \vee ys' \neq []) \wedge$
 $\text{map func} (\text{filter pred ys}) = \text{map func}' (\text{filter pred}' ys') \wedge$
 $\text{lxs} = \text{lappend} (\text{llist-of ys}) \text{ llxs} \wedge \text{lxs}' = \text{lappend} (\text{llist-of ys}') \text{ llxs}' \wedge$
 $P v1 v2 \text{ llxs llxs}')$
shows $\text{lmap func} (\text{lfilter pred lxs}) = \text{lmap func}' (\text{lfilter pred}' \text{lxs}')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.3 A concrete instantiation of the criterion

```

coinductive sameFM :: enat  $\Rightarrow$  enat  $\Rightarrow$  'a llist  $\Rightarrow$  'a' llist  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  LNil:
    sameFM wL wR [] []
  |
  Singl:
    ( $\text{pred } a \longleftrightarrow \text{pred}' a'$ )  $\Rightarrow$  ( $\text{pred } a \longrightarrow \text{func } a = \text{func}' a'$ )  $\Rightarrow$  sameFM wL wR [[a]]
    [[a']]
  |
  lappend:
    ( $xs \neq [] \vee vL < wL$ )  $\Rightarrow$  ( $xs' \neq [] \vee vR < wR$ )  $\Rightarrow$ 
     $\text{map func}(\text{filter pred } xs) = \text{map func}'(\text{filter pred}' xs')$   $\Rightarrow$ 
    sameFM vL vR as as'
     $\Rightarrow$  sameFM wL wR (lappend (llist-of xs) as) (lappend (llist-of xs') as')
  |
  lmap-lfilter:
    lmap func (lfilter pred as) = lmap func' (lfilter pred' as')  $\Rightarrow$ 
    sameFM wL wR as as'

proposition sameFM-lmap-lfilter:
assumes sameFM wL wR as as'
shows lmap func (lfilter pred as) = lmap func' (lfilter pred' as')
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

```