

Formalizing MLTL in Isabelle/HOL

Zili Wang and Elizabeth Sloan and Katherine Kosaian

March 17, 2025

Abstract

We formalize the syntax, semantics, and some useful properties of Mission-time Linear Temporal Logic (MLTL) [4, 3], following [2, 1]. MLTL is a variant of Linear Temporal Logic, which has already been formalized in Isabelle/HOL [6]. In contrast to LTL, MLTL includes finite discrete time bounds on the temporal operators. We do not directly build on the LTL entry, but aim to mirror its style; in particular, we found it useful when defining our syntactic sugar binding precedences. Another closely related AFP entry is [5].

Contents

1	MLTL Encoding	1
1.1	Syntax	2
1.1.1	Binding Examples	2
1.2	Semantics	2
1.2.1	Examples	3
2	Properties of MLTL	3
2.1	Useful Functions	3
2.2	Semantic Equivalence	4
2.3	Basic Properties	4
2.4	Duality Properties	5
2.5	Additional Basic Properties	6
2.6	NNF Transformation and Properties	6
2.7	Computation Length and Properties	7
2.7.1	Capture (not (a <= b)) in an MLTL formula	8
2.8	Custom Induction Rules	8

1 MLTL Encoding

theory *MLTL-encoding*

imports *Main*

begin

1.1 Syntax

```

datatype (atoms-mltl: 'a) mltl =
  | True-mltl          ( $\text{True}_m$ )
  | False-mltl         ( $\text{False}_m$ )
  | Prop-mltl 'a       ( $\text{Prop}_m '(-')$ )
  | Not-mltl 'a mltl  ( $\text{Not}_m - [85] 85$ )
  | And-mltl 'a mltl 'a mltl  ( $\text{-And}_m - [82, 82] 81$ )
  | Or-mltl 'a mltl 'a mltl  ( $\text{-Or}_m - [81, 81] 80$ )
  | Future-mltl nat nat 'a mltl  ( $\text{F}_m '[-,-] - [88, 88, 88] 87$ )
  | Global-mltl nat nat 'a mltl  ( $\text{G}_m '[-,-] - [88, 88, 88] 87$ )
  | Until-mltl 'a mltl nat nat 'a mltl  ( $\text{-U}_m '[-,-] - [84, 84, 84, 84] 83$ )
  | Release-mltl 'a mltl nat nat 'a mltl  ( $\text{-R}_m '[-,-] - [84, 84, 84, 84] 83$ )

```

```

definition Implies-mltl (- Impliesm - [81, 81] 80)
  where  $\varphi \text{ Implies}_m \psi \equiv \text{Not}_m \varphi \text{ Or}_m \psi$ 

```

```

definition Iff-mltl (- Iffm - [81, 81] 80)
  where  $\varphi \text{ Iff}_m \psi \equiv (\varphi \text{ Implies}_m \psi) \text{ And}_m (\psi \text{ Implies}_m \varphi)$ 

```

1.1.1 Binding Examples

```

value Notm Propm (p) Andm Propm (q) =
  And-mltl (Not-mltl (Prop-mltl p)) (Prop-mltl q)

```

```

value p Andm q Orm r = Or-mltl (And-mltl p q) r

```

```

value Fm [0, 1] p Andm q = And-mltl (Future-mltl 0 1 p) q

```

```

value p Um [0,1] q Andm r = And-mltl (Until-mltl p 0 1 q) r

```

1.2 Semantics

```

primrec semantics-mltl :: ['a set list, 'a mltl]  $\Rightarrow$  bool (-  $\models_m - [80, 80] 80$ )
  where

```

```

     $\pi \models_m \text{True}_m = \text{True}$ 
    |  $\pi \models_m \text{False}_m = \text{False}$ 
    |  $\pi \models_m \text{Prop}_m (q) = (\pi \neq [] \wedge q \in (\pi ! 0))$ 
    |  $\pi \models_m \text{Not}_m \varphi = (\neg \pi \models_m \varphi)$ 
    |  $\pi \models_m \varphi \text{ And}_m \psi = (\pi \models_m \varphi \wedge \pi \models_m \psi)$ 
    |  $\pi \models_m \varphi \text{ Or}_m \psi = (\pi \models_m \varphi \vee \pi \models_m \psi)$ 
    |  $\pi \models_m (F_m [a, b] \varphi) = (a \leq b \wedge \text{length } \pi > a \wedge$ 
       $(\exists i:\text{nat}. (i \geq a \wedge i \leq b) \wedge (\text{drop } i \pi) \models_m \varphi))$ 
    |  $\pi \models_m (G_m [a, b] \varphi) = (a \leq b \wedge (\text{length } \pi \leq a \vee$ 
       $(\forall i:\text{nat}. (i \geq a \wedge i \leq b) \longrightarrow (\text{drop } i \pi) \models_m \varphi)))$ 
    |  $\pi \models_m (\varphi U_m [a, b] \psi) = (a \leq b \wedge \text{length } \pi > a \wedge$ 
       $(\exists i:\text{nat}. (i \geq a \wedge i \leq b) \wedge ((\text{drop } i \pi) \models_m \psi)$ 

```

$$\begin{aligned}
& \wedge (\forall j. j \geq a \wedge j < i \longrightarrow (drop j \pi \models_m \varphi))) \\
| \pi \models_m (\varphi R_m [a, b] \psi) = & (a \leq b \wedge (length \pi \leq a \vee \\
& (\forall i::nat. (i \geq a \wedge i \leq b) \longrightarrow (((drop i \pi) \models_m \psi)))) \vee \\
& (\exists j. j \geq a \wedge j \leq b-1 \wedge (drop j \pi) \models_m \varphi \wedge \\
& (\forall k. a \leq k \wedge k \leq j \longrightarrow (drop k \pi) \models_m \psi)))
\end{aligned}$$

1.2.1 Examples

lemma

$\{\{0::nat\}\} \models_m Not_m [F_m [0,2] Prop_m (0)] = False$
⟨proof⟩

lemma

$\{\{0::nat\}\} \models_m F_m [0,2] (Not_m Prop_m (0)) = True$
⟨proof⟩

lemma

$\{\{0::nat\}\} \models_m G_m [0,2] Prop_m (0::nat) = False$
⟨proof⟩

end

2 Properties of MLTL

theory *MLTL-Properties*

imports *MLTL-Encoding*

begin

2.1 Useful Functions

We use the following function to assume that an MLTL formula is well-defined: i.e., that all intervals in the formula satisfy a is less than or equal to b

```

fun intervals-welldef:: 'a mtl ⇒ bool
  where intervals-welldef Truem = True
  | intervals-welldef Falsem = True
  | intervals-welldef (Propm (p)) = True
  | intervals-welldef (Notm φ) = intervals-welldef φ
  | intervals-welldef (φ Andm ψ) = (intervals-welldef φ ∧ intervals-welldef ψ)
  | intervals-welldef (φ Orm ψ) = (intervals-welldef φ ∨ intervals-welldef ψ)
  | intervals-welldef (Fm [a,b] φ) = (a ≤ b ∧ intervals-welldef φ)
  | intervals-welldef (Gm [a,b] φ) = (a ≤ b ∧ intervals-welldef φ)
  | intervals-welldef (φ Um [a,b] ψ) =
    (a ≤ b ∧ intervals-welldef φ ∧ intervals-welldef ψ)
  | intervals-welldef (φ Rm [a,b] ψ) =

```

$$(a \leq b \wedge \text{intervals-welldef } \varphi \wedge \text{intervals-welldef } \psi)$$

2.2 Semantic Equivalence

```

definition semantic-equiv:: 'a mltl  $\Rightarrow$  'a mltl  $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $\cdot \equiv_m \cdot$  [80, 80] 80)
  where  $\varphi \equiv_m \psi \equiv (\forall \pi. \pi \models_m \varphi = \pi \models_m \psi)$ 

fun depth-mltl:: 'a mltl  $\Rightarrow$  nat
  where depth-mltl Truem = 0
    | depth-mltl Falsem = 0
    | depth-mltl Propm (p) = 0
    | depth-mltl (Notm  $\varphi$ ) = 1 + depth-mltl  $\varphi$ 
    | depth-mltl ( $\varphi$  Andm  $\psi$ ) = 1 + max (depth-mltl  $\varphi$ ) (depth-mltl  $\psi$ )
    | depth-mltl ( $\varphi$  Orm  $\psi$ ) = 1 + max (depth-mltl  $\varphi$ ) (depth-mltl  $\psi$ )
    | depth-mltl (Gm [a,b]  $\varphi$ ) = 1 + depth-mltl  $\varphi$ 
    | depth-mltl (Fm [a,b]  $\varphi$ ) = 1 + depth-mltl  $\varphi$ 
    | depth-mltl ( $\varphi$  Um [a,b]  $\psi$ ) = 1 + max (depth-mltl  $\varphi$ ) (depth-mltl  $\psi$ )
    | depth-mltl ( $\varphi$  Rm [a,b]  $\psi$ ) = 1 + max (depth-mltl  $\varphi$ ) (depth-mltl  $\psi$ )

fun subformulas:: 'a mltl  $\Rightarrow$  'a mltl set
  where subformulas Truem = {}
    | subformulas Falsem = {}
    | subformulas Propm (p) = {}
    | subformulas (Notm  $\varphi$ ) = { $\varphi$ }  $\cup$  subformulas  $\varphi$ 
    | subformulas ( $\varphi$  Andm  $\psi$ ) = { $\varphi, \psi$ }  $\cup$  subformulas  $\varphi$   $\cup$  subformulas  $\psi$ 
    | subformulas ( $\varphi$  Orm  $\psi$ ) = { $\varphi, \psi$ }  $\cup$  subformulas  $\varphi$   $\cup$  subformulas  $\psi$ 
    | subformulas (Gm [a,b]  $\varphi$ ) = { $\varphi$ }  $\cup$  subformulas  $\varphi$ 
    | subformulas (Fm [a,b]  $\varphi$ ) = { $\varphi$ }  $\cup$  subformulas  $\varphi$ 
    | subformulas ( $\varphi$  Um [a,b]  $\psi$ ) = { $\varphi, \psi$ }  $\cup$  subformulas  $\varphi$   $\cup$  subformulas  $\psi$ 
    | subformulas ( $\varphi$  Rm [a,b]  $\psi$ ) = { $\varphi, \psi$ }  $\cup$  subformulas  $\varphi$   $\cup$  subformulas  $\psi$ 

```

2.3 Basic Properties

```

lemma future-or-distribute:
  shows Fm [a,b] ( $\varphi_1$  Orm  $\varphi_2$ )  $\equiv_m$  (Fm [a,b]  $\varphi_1$ ) Orm (Fm [a,b]  $\varphi_2$ )
  <proof>

lemma global-and-distribute:
  shows Gm [a,b] ( $\varphi_1$  Andm  $\varphi_2$ )  $\equiv_m$  (Gm [a,b]  $\varphi_1$ ) Andm (Gm [a,b]  $\varphi_2$ )
  <proof>

lemma not-not-equiv:
  shows  $\varphi \equiv_m (\text{Not}_m (\text{Not}_m \varphi))$ 
  <proof>

lemma demorgan-and-or:
  shows Notm ( $\varphi$  Andm  $\psi$ )  $\equiv_m$  (Notm  $\varphi$ ) Orm (Notm  $\psi$ )
  <proof>

lemma demorgan-or-and:

```

```

shows semantic-equiv (Not-mltl ( $\varphi$  Orm  $\psi$ ))
          (And-mltl (Notm  $\varphi$ ) (Not-mltl  $\psi$ ))
          ⟨proof⟩

lemma future-as-until:
fixes a b::nat
assumes a ≤ b
shows (Fm [a,b]  $\varphi$ ) ≡m (Truem Um [a,b]  $\varphi$ )
          ⟨proof⟩

lemma globally-as-release:
fixes a b::nat
assumes a ≤ b
shows (Gm [a,b]  $\varphi$ ) ≡m (Falsem Rm [a,b]  $\varphi$ )
          ⟨proof⟩

lemma until-or-distribute:
fixes a b ::nat
assumes a ≤ b
shows  $\varphi$  Um [a,b] ( $\alpha$  Orm  $\beta$ ) ≡m
          ( $\varphi$  Um [a,b]  $\alpha$ ) Orm ( $\varphi$  Um [a,b]  $\beta$ )
          ⟨proof⟩

lemma until-and-distribute:
fixes a b ::nat
assumes a ≤ b
shows ( $\alpha$  Andm  $\beta$ ) Um [a,b]  $\varphi$  ≡m
          ( $\alpha$  Um [a,b]  $\varphi$ ) Andm ( $\beta$  Um [a,b]  $\varphi$ )
          ⟨proof⟩

lemma release-or-distribute:
fixes a b ::nat
assumes a ≤ b
shows ( $\alpha$  Orm  $\beta$ ) Rm [a,b]  $\varphi$  ≡m
          ( $\alpha$  Rm [a,b]  $\varphi$ ) Orm ( $\beta$  Rm [a,b]  $\varphi$ )
          ⟨proof⟩

lemma different-next-operators:
shows  $\neg$ (Gm [1,1]  $\varphi$  ≡m Fm [1,1]  $\varphi$ )
          ⟨proof⟩

```

2.4 Duality Properties

```

lemma globally-future-dual:
fixes a b::nat
assumes a ≤ b
shows (Gm [a,b]  $\varphi$ ) ≡m Notm (Fm [a,b] (Notm  $\varphi$ ))
          ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma future-globally-dual:
  fixes a b::nat
  assumes a ≤ b
  shows (Fm [a,b] φ) ≡m Notm (Gm [a,b] (Notm φ))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Proof altered from source material in the last case.

```

lemma release-until-dual1:
  fixes a b::nat
  assumes π ⊨m (φ Rm [a,b] ψ)
  shows π ⊨m ((Notm φ) Um [a,b] (Notm ψ)))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma release-until-dual2:
  fixes a b::nat
  assumes a-leq-b: a ≤ b
  assumes π ⊨m ((Notm φ) Um [a,b] (Notm ψ))
  shows semantics-mtl π (φ Rm [a,b] ψ)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma release-until-dual:
  fixes a b::nat
  assumes a-leq-b: a ≤ b
  shows (φ Rm [a,b] ψ) ≡m ((Notm φ) Um [a,b] (Notm ψ))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma until-release-dual:
  fixes a b::nat
  assumes a-leq-b: a ≤ b
  shows (φ Um [a,b] ψ) ≡m ((Notm φ) Rm [a,b] (Notm ψ))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

2.5 Additional Basic Properties

```

lemma release-and-distribute:
  fixes a b ::nat
  assumes a ≤ b
  shows (φ Rm [a,b] (α Andm β)) ≡m
    (((φ Rm [a,b] α) Andm (φ Rm [a,b] β))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

2.6 NNF Transformation and Properties

```

fun convert-nnf:: 'a mtl ⇒ 'a mtl
  where convert-nnf Truem = Truem
  | convert-nnf Falsem = Falsem
  | convert-nnf Propm (p) = Propm (p)
  | convert-nnf (φ Andm ψ) = ((convert-nnf φ) Andm (convert-nnf ψ))
  | convert-nnf (φ Orm ψ) = ((convert-nnf φ) Orm (convert-nnf ψ))
  | convert-nnf (Fm [a,b] φ) = (Fm [a,b] (convert-nnf φ))

```

```

| convert-nnf ( $G_m [a,b] \varphi$ ) = ( $G_m [a,b]$  (convert-nnf  $\varphi$ ))
| convert-nnf ( $\varphi U_m [a,b] \psi$ ) = ((convert-nnf  $\varphi$ )  $U_m [a,b]$  (convert-nnf  $\psi$ ))
| convert-nnf ( $\varphi R_m [a,b] \psi$ ) = ((convert-nnf  $\varphi$ )  $R_m [a,b]$  (convert-nnf  $\psi$ ))

| convert-nnf ( $Not_m True_m$ ) =  $False_m$ 
| convert-nnf ( $Not_m False_m$ ) =  $True_m$ 
| convert-nnf ( $Not_m Prop_m (p)$ ) = ( $Not_m Prop_m (p)$ )
| convert-nnf ( $Not_m (Not_m \varphi)$ ) = convert-nnf  $\varphi$ 
| convert-nnf ( $Not_m (\varphi And_m \psi)$ ) = ((convert-nnf ( $Not_m \varphi$ ))  $Or_m$  (convert-nnf ( $Not_m \psi$ )))
| convert-nnf ( $Not_m (\varphi Or_m \psi)$ ) = ((convert-nnf ( $Not_m \varphi$ ))  $And_m$  (convert-nnf ( $Not_m \psi$ )))
| convert-nnf ( $Not_m (F_m [a,b] \varphi)$ ) = ( $G_m [a,b]$  (convert-nnf ( $Not_m \varphi$ )))
| convert-nnf ( $Not_m (G_m [a,b] \varphi)$ ) = ( $F_m [a,b]$  (convert-nnf ( $Not_m \varphi$ )))
| convert-nnf ( $Not_m (\varphi U_m [a,b] \psi)$ ) = ((convert-nnf ( $Not_m \varphi$ ))  $R_m [a,b]$  (convert-nnf ( $Not_m \psi$ )))
| convert-nnf ( $Not_m (\varphi R_m [a,b] \psi)$ ) = ((convert-nnf ( $Not_m \varphi$ ))  $U_m [a,b]$  (convert-nnf ( $Not_m \psi$ )))

```

lemma convert-nnf-preserves-semantics:

```

assumes intervals-welldef  $\varphi$ 
shows  $(\pi \models_m (convert-nnf \varphi)) \longleftrightarrow (\pi \models_m \varphi)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma convert-nnf-form-Not-Implies-Prop:

```

assumes  $Not_m F = convert-nnf init-F$ 
shows  $\exists p. F = Prop_m (p)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma convert-nnf-convert-nnf:

```

shows convert-nnf (convert-nnf  $F$ ) = convert-nnf  $F$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma nnf-subformulas:

```

assumes  $F = convert-nnf init-F$ 
assumes  $G \in subformulas F$ 
shows  $\exists init-G. G = convert-nnf init-G$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

2.7 Computation Length and Properties

```

fun compleen-mltl:: 'a mltl  $\Rightarrow$  nat
where compleen-mltl  $False_m = 1$ 
| compleen-mltl  $True_m = 1$ 
| compleen-mltl  $Prop_m (p) = 1$ 
| compleen-mltl ( $Not_m \varphi$ ) = compleen-mltl  $\varphi$ 
| compleen-mltl ( $\varphi And_m \psi$ ) = max (compleen-mltl  $\varphi$ ) (compleen-mltl  $\psi$ )
| compleen-mltl ( $\varphi Or_m \psi$ ) = max (compleen-mltl  $\varphi$ ) (compleen-mltl  $\psi$ )

```

```

| complen-mltl ( $G_m [a,b] \varphi$ ) =  $b + (\text{complen-mltl } \varphi)$ 
| complen-mltl ( $F_m [a,b] \varphi$ ) =  $b + (\text{complen-mltl } \varphi)$ 
| complen-mltl ( $\varphi R_m [a,b] \psi$ ) =  $b + (\max((\text{complen-mltl } \varphi) - 1)) (\text{complen-mltl } \psi)$ )
| complen-mltl ( $\varphi U_m [a,b] \psi$ ) =  $b + (\max((\text{complen-mltl } \varphi) - 1)) (\text{complen-mltl } \psi)$ )

```

lemma *complen-geq-one*: *complen-mltl* $F \geq 1$
(proof)

2.7.1 Capture (not (a <= b)) in an MLTL formula

```

fun make-empty-trace:: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a set list
  where make-empty-trace 0 = []
  | make-empty-trace n = [{ } ]@ make-empty-trace (n-1)

```

lemma *length-make-empty-trace*:
 shows *length* (*make-empty-trace* n) = n
(proof)

lemma *semantics-of-not-a-lteq-b*:
 shows (*make-empty-trace* (a+1)) $\models_m (\text{Global-mltl } a b \text{ True}_m) = (a \leq b)$
(proof)

lemma *semantics-of-not-a-lteq-b2*:
 shows (*make-empty-trace* (a+1)) $\models_m (\text{Not-mltl } (\text{Global-mltl } a b \text{ True}_m)) = (\neg (a \leq b))$
(proof)

2.8 Custom Induction Rules

In some cases, it is sufficient to consider just a subset of MLTL operators when proving a property. We facilitate this with the following custom induction rules.

In order to use the MLTL-induct rule, one must establish *IntervalsWellDef*, which states that the input formula is well-formed, and also prove *PProp*, which states that the property being established is not dependent on the syntax of the input formula but only on its semantics.

lemma *MLTL-induct*[*case-names IntervalsWellDef PProp True False Prop Not And Until*]:
 assumes *IntervalsWellDef*: *intervals-welldef* F
 and *PProp*: $(\bigwedge F G. ((\forall \pi. \text{semantics-mltl } \pi F = \text{semantics-mltl } \pi G) \longrightarrow P F = P G))$
and *True*: $P \text{ True}_m$
and *False*: $P \text{ False}_m$
and *Prop*: $\bigwedge p. P \text{ Prop}_m (p)$
and *Not*: $\bigwedge F G. [F = \text{Not}_m G; P G] \implies P F$

```

and And:  $\bigwedge F F1 F2$ .  $\llbracket F = F1 \text{ And}_m F2;$   

 $P F1; P F2 \rrbracket \implies P F$   

and Until:  $\bigwedge F F1 F2 a b$ .  $\llbracket F = F1 U_m [a,b] F2;$   

 $P F1; P F2 \rrbracket \implies P F$   

shows  $P F \langle proof \rangle$ 

```

In order to use the nnf-induct rule, one must establish that the input formula (i.e. the formula being inducted on) is in NNF format.

```

lemma nnf-induct[case-names nnf True False Prop And Or Final Global Until Release NotProp]:  

assumes nnf:  $\exists init\text{-}F. F = convert\text{-}nnf init\text{-}F$   

and True:  $P True_m$   

and False:  $P False_m$   

and Prop:  $\bigwedge p. P Prop_m (p)$   

and And:  $\bigwedge F F1 F2$ .  $\llbracket F = F1 \text{ And}_m F2;$   

 $P F1; P F2 \rrbracket \implies P F$   

and Or:  $\bigwedge F F1 F2$ .  $\llbracket F = F1 Or_m F2;$   

 $P F1; P F2 \rrbracket \implies P F$   

and Final:  $\bigwedge F F1 a b$ .  $\llbracket F = F_m [a,b] F1;$   

 $P F1 \rrbracket \implies P F$   

and Global:  $\bigwedge F F1 a b$ .  $\llbracket F = G_m [a,b] F1;$   

 $P F1 \rrbracket \implies P F$   

and Until:  $\bigwedge F F1 F2 a b$ .  $\llbracket F = F1 U_m [a,b] F2;$   

 $P F1; P F2 \rrbracket \implies P F$   

and Release:  $\bigwedge F F1 F2 a b$ .  $\llbracket F = F1 R_m [a,b] F2;$   

 $P F1; P F2 \rrbracket \implies P F$   

and Not-Prop:  $\bigwedge F p. F = Not_m Prop_m (p) \implies P F$   

shows  $P F \langle proof \rangle$ 

```

end

References

- [1] J. Elwing, L. Gamboa-Guzman, J. Sorkin, C. Travisset, Z. Wang, and K. Y. Rozier. Mission-time LTL (MLTL) formula validation via regular expressions. In P. Herber and A. Wijs, editors, *iFM*, volume 14300 of *LNCS*, pages 279–301. Springer, 2023.
- [2] J. Li and K. Y. Rozier. MLTL benchmark generation via formula progression. In C. Colombo and M. Leucker, editors, *RV*, volume 11237 of *LNCS*, pages 426–433. Springer, 2018.
- [3] J. Li, M. Y. Vardi, and K. Y. Rozier. Satisfiability checking for mission-time LTL. In I. Dillig and S. Tasiran, editors, *CAV*, volume 11562 of *LNCS*, pages 3–22. Springer, 2019.
- [4] T. Reinbacher, K. Y. Rozier, and J. Schumann. Temporal-logic based runtime observer pairs for system health management of real-time sys-

tems. In *TACAS*, volume 8413 of *LNCS*, pages 357–372. Springer-Verlag, April 2014.

- [5] J. Schneider and D. Traytel. Formalization of a monitoring algorithm for metric first-order temporal logic. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, July 2019. https://isa-afp.org/entries/MFOTL_Monitor.html, Formal proof development.
- [6] S. Sickert. Linear temporal logic. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, March 2016. <https://isa-afp.org/entries/LTL.html>, Formal proof development.