

Minkowski's Theorem

Manuel Eberl

July 15, 2017

Abstract

Minkowski's theorem relates a subset of \mathbb{R}^n , the Lebesgue measure, and the integer lattice \mathbb{Z}^n : It states that any convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n with volume greater than 2^n contains at least one lattice point from $\mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$, i. e. a non-zero point with integer coefficients.

A related theorem which directly implies this is Blichfeldt's theorem, which states that any subset of \mathbb{R}^n with a volume greater than 1 contains two different points whose difference vector has integer components.

The entry contains a proof of both theorems.

Contents

1	Minkowski's theorem	2
1.1	Miscellaneous material	2
1.2	Auxiliary theorems about measure theory	3
1.3	Blichfeldt's theorem	4
1.4	Minkowski's theorem	8

1 Minkowski's theorem

```
theory Minkowskis-Theorem
  imports Analysis
begin
```

1.1 Miscellaneous material

lemma *bij-betw-UN*:

```
  assumes bij-betw f A B
  shows  $(\bigcup n \in A. g (f n)) = (\bigcup n \in B. g n)$ 
  using assms by (auto simp: bij-betw-def)
```

instance *vec* :: (*countable, finite*) *countable*
proof

```
  have countable (UNIV :: ('a, 'b) vec set)
```

```
  proof (rule countableI-bij2)
```

```
    show bij-betw vec-nth UNIV (Pi (UNIV :: 'b set) (λ-. UNIV :: 'a set))
```

```
      by (intro bij-betwI[of - - - vec-lambda]) (auto simp: vec-eq-iff)
```

```
    have countable (PiE (UNIV :: 'b set) (λ-. UNIV :: 'a set))
```

```
      by (intro countable-PiE) auto
```

```
    also have  $(PiE (UNIV :: 'b set) (λ-. UNIV :: 'a set)) = Pi UNIV (λ-. UNIV)$ 
```

```
      by auto
```

```
    finally show countable ... .
```

```
  qed
```

```
  thus  $\exists t :: ('a, 'b) vec \Rightarrow nat. inj t$ 
```

```
    by (auto elim!: countableE)
```

qed

definition *of-int-vec* **where**

```
  of-int-vec v = (χ i. of-int (v $ i))
```

lemma *of-int-vec-nth [simp]: of-int-vec v \$ n = of-int (v \$ n)*

```
  by (simp add: of-int-vec-def)
```

lemma *of-int-vec-eq-iff [simp]:*

```
   $(of-int-vec a :: ('a :: ring-char-0) ^ 'n) = of-int-vec b \longleftrightarrow a = b$ 
```

```
  by (simp add: of-int-vec-def vec-eq-iff)
```

lemma *inj-axis*:

```
  assumes  $c \neq 0$ 
```

```
  shows  $inj (\lambda k. axis k c :: ('a :: \{zero\}) ^ 'n)$ 
```

proof

```
  fix  $x y :: 'n$ 
```

```
  assume  $*$ :  $axis x c = axis y c$ 
```

```
  have  $axis x c \$ x = axis x c \$ y$ 
```

```
    by (subst *) simp
```

```
  thus  $x = y$  using assms
```

```
    by (auto simp: axis-def split: if-splits)
```

qed

1.2 Auxiliary theorems about measure theory

lemma *emeasure-lborel-cbox-eq'*:

emeasure lborel (cbox a b) = ennreal (∏ e∈Basis. max 0 ((b - a) · e))

proof (*cases* $\forall ba \in \text{Basis}. a \cdot ba \leq b \cdot ba$)

case *True*

hence *emeasure lborel (cbox a b) = ennreal (prod (op · (b - a)) Basis)*

unfolding *emeasure-lborel-cbox-eq* **by** *auto*

also have *prod (op · (b - a)) Basis = (∏ e∈Basis. max 0 ((b - a) · e))*

using *True* **by** (*intro prod.cong refl*) (*auto simp: max-def inner-simps*)

finally show *?thesis* .

next

case *False*

hence *emeasure lborel (cbox a b) = ennreal 0*

by (*auto simp: emeasure-lborel-cbox-eq*)

also from *False* **have** *0 = (∏ e∈Basis. max 0 ((b - a) · e))*

by (*auto simp: max-def inner-simps*)

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

lemma *emeasure-lborel-cbox-cart-eq*:

fixes *a b :: real ^ ('n :: finite)*

shows *emeasure lborel (cbox a b) = ennreal (∏ i ∈ UNIV. max 0 ((b - a) \$ i))*

proof –

have *emeasure lborel (cbox a b) = ennreal (∏ e∈Basis. max 0 ((b - a) · e))*

unfolding *emeasure-lborel-cbox-eq'* ..

also have (*Basis :: (real ^ 'n) set*) = *range (λk. axis k 1)*

unfolding *Basis-vec-def* **by** *auto*

also have ($\prod e \in \dots. \max 0 ((b - a) \cdot e)$) = ($\prod i \in \text{UNIV} . \max 0 ((b - a) \$ i)$)

by (*subst prod.reindex*) (*auto intro!: inj-axis simp: algebra-simps inner-axis*)

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

lemma *sum-emeasure'*:

assumes [*simp*]: *finite A*

assumes [*measurable*]: $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies B x \in \text{sets } M$

assumes $\bigwedge x y. x \in A \implies y \in A \implies x \neq y \implies \text{emeasure } M (B x \cap B y) = 0$

shows ($\sum x \in A. \text{emeasure } M (B x)$) = *emeasure M (∪ x∈A. B x)*

proof –

define *C* **where** *C = (∪ x∈A. ∪ y∈(A - {x}). B x ∩ B y)*

have *C*: *C ∈ null-sets M*

unfolding *C-def* **using** *assms*

by (*intro null-sets.finite-UN*) (*auto simp: null-sets-def*)

hence [*measurable*]: *C ∈ sets M* **and** [*simp*]: *emeasure M C = 0*

by (*simp-all add: null-sets-def*)

have ($\bigcup x \in A. B x$) = ($\bigcup x \in A. B x - C$) $\cup C$

by (auto simp: C-def)
 also have $\text{emeasure } M \dots = \text{emeasure } M (\bigcup_{x \in A}. B \ x - C)$
 by (subst *emeasure-Un-null-set*) (auto intro!: *sets.Un sets.Diff*)
 also from *assms* have $\dots = (\sum_{x \in A}. \text{emeasure } M (B \ x - C))$
 by (subst *sum-emeasure*)
 (auto simp: *disjoint-family-on-def C-def intro!: sets.Diff sets.finite-UN*)
 also have $\dots = (\sum_{x \in A}. \text{emeasure } M (B \ x))$
 by (*intro sum.cong refl emeasure-Diff-null-set*) auto
 finally show ?thesis ..
 qed

lemma *sums-emeasure'*:

assumes [*measurable*]: $\bigwedge x. B \ x \in \text{sets } M$
 assumes $\bigwedge x \ y. x \neq y \implies \text{emeasure } M (B \ x \cap B \ y) = 0$
 shows $(\lambda x. \text{emeasure } M (B \ x)) \text{ sums } \text{emeasure } M (\bigcup x. B \ x)$
 proof –
 define C where $C = (\bigcup x. \bigcup_{y \in -\{x\}}. B \ x \cap B \ y)$
 have $C: C \in \text{null-sets } M$
 unfolding C-def using *assms*
 by (*intro null-sets-UN'*) (auto simp: *null-sets-def*)
 hence [*measurable*]: $C \in \text{sets } M$ and [*simp*]: $\text{emeasure } M \ C = 0$
 by (*simp-all add: null-sets-def*)
 have $(\bigcup x. B \ x) = (\bigcup x. B \ x - C) \cup C$
 by (auto simp: C-def)
 also have $\text{emeasure } M \dots = \text{emeasure } M (\bigcup x. B \ x - C)$
 by (subst *emeasure-Un-null-set*) (auto intro!: *sets.Un sets.Diff*)
 also from *assms* have $(\lambda x. \text{emeasure } M (B \ x - C)) \text{ sums } \dots$
 by (*intro sums-emeasure*)
 (auto simp: *disjoint-family-on-def C-def intro!: sets.Diff sets.finite-UN*)
 also have $(\lambda x. \text{emeasure } M (B \ x - C)) = (\lambda x. \text{emeasure } M (B \ x))$
 by (*intro ext emeasure-Diff-null-set*) auto
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed

1.3 Blichfeldt's theorem

Blichfeldt's theorem states that, given a subset of \mathbb{R}^n with $n > 0$ and a volume of more than 1, there exist two different points in that set whose difference vector has integer components.

This will be the key ingredient in proving Minkowski's theorem.

Note that in the HOL Light version, it is additionally required – both for Blichfeldt's theorem and for Minkowski's theorem – that the set is bounded, which we do not need.

proposition *blichfeldt*:

fixes $S :: (\text{real } ^n \text{ set})$
 assumes [*measurable*]: $S \in \text{sets lebesgue}$
 assumes $\text{emeasure lebesgue } S > 1$
 obtains $x \ y$ where $x \neq y$ and $x \in S$ and $y \in S$ and $\bigwedge i. (x - y) \$ i \in \mathbb{Z}$

proof –

— We define for each lattice point in \mathbb{Z}^n the corresponding cell in \mathbb{R}^n .

define $R :: \text{int} \wedge 'n \Rightarrow (\text{real} \wedge 'n) \text{ set}$
where $R = (\lambda a. \text{cbox } (\text{of-int-vec } a) (\text{of-int-vec } (a + 1)))$

— For each lattice point, we can intersect the cell it defines with our set S to obtain a partitioning of S .

define $T :: \text{int} \wedge 'n \Rightarrow (\text{real} \wedge 'n) \text{ set}$
where $T = (\lambda a. S \cap R a)$

— We can then translate each such partition into the cell at the origin, i.e. the unit box $R 0$.

define $T' :: \text{int} \wedge 'n \Rightarrow (\text{real} \wedge 'n) \text{ set}$
where $T' = (\lambda a. (\lambda x. x - \text{of-int-vec } a) ' T a)$
have $T'\text{-altdef}: T' a = (\lambda x. x + \text{of-int-vec } a) - ' T a$ **for** a
unfolding $T'\text{-def}$ **by** *force*

— We need to show measurability of all the defined sets.

have $[\text{measurable}, \text{simp}]: R a \in \text{sets lebesgue}$ **for** a
unfolding $R\text{-def}$ **by** *simp*
have $[\text{measurable}, \text{simp}]: T a \in \text{sets lebesgue}$ **for** a
unfolding $T\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*
have $(\lambda x :: \text{real} \wedge 'n. x + \text{of-int-vec } a) \in \text{lebesgue} \rightarrow_M \text{lebesgue}$ **for** a
using $\text{lebesgue-affine-measurable}[\text{of } \lambda -. 1 \text{ of-int-vec } a]$
by $(\text{auto simp: euclidean-representation add-ac})$
from $\text{measurable-sets}[\text{OF this, of } T a a \text{ for } a]$
have $[\text{measurable}, \text{simp}]: T' a \in \text{sets lebesgue}$ **for** a
unfolding $T'\text{-altdef}$ **by** *simp*

— Obviously, the original set S is the union of all the lattice point cell partitions.

have $S\text{-decompose}: S = (\bigcup a. T a)$ **unfolding** $T\text{-def}$

proof *safe*

fix x **assume** $x: x \in S$
define a **where** $a = (\chi i. [x \$ i])$
have $x \in R a$
unfolding $R\text{-def}$
by $(\text{auto simp: cbox-interval less-eq-vec-def of-int-vec-def a-def})$
with x **show** $x \in (\bigcup a. S \cap R a)$ **by** *auto*

qed

— Translating the partitioned subsets does not change their volume.

have $\text{emeasure-lebesgue } (T' a) = \text{emeasure lebesgue } (T a)$ **for** a

proof –

have $T' a = (\lambda x. 1 *_R x + (- \text{of-int-vec } a)) ' T a$
by $(\text{simp add: } T'\text{-def})$
also have $\text{emeasure lebesgue } \dots = \text{emeasure lebesgue } (T a)$
by $(\text{subst emeasure-lebesgue-affine})$ *auto*
finally show *?thesis*

by *simp*
qed

— Each translated partition of S is a subset of the unit cell at the origin.
have T' -subset: $T' a \subseteq \text{cbox } 0 \ 1$ **for** a
unfolding T' -def T -def R -def
by (*auto simp: algebra-simps cbox-interval of-int-vec-def less-eq-vec-def*)

— It is clear that the intersection of two different lattice point cells is a null set.
have R -Int: $R a \cap R b \in \text{null-sets lebesgue}$ **if** $a \neq b$ **for** $a \ b$
proof —
from *that* **obtain** i **where** $a \ \$ \ i \neq b \ \$ \ i$
by (*auto simp: vec-eq-iff*)
have $R a \cap R b = \text{cbox } (\chi \ i. \max (a \ \$ \ i) (b \ \$ \ i)) (\chi \ i. \min (a \ \$ \ i + 1) (b \ \$ \ i + 1))$
unfolding *inter-interval-cart* R -def *interval-cbox*
by (*simp add: of-int-vec-def max-def min-def if-distrib cong: if-cong*)
hence *emeasure lebesgue* ($R a \cap R b$) = *emeasure lborel* ...
by *simp*
also **have** ... = *ennreal* ($\prod_{i \in \text{UNIV}} \max 0 ((\chi \ x. \text{real-of-int } (\min (a \ \$ \ x + 1) (b \ \$ \ x + 1))) - (\chi \ x. \text{real-of-int } (\max (a \ \$ \ x) (b \ \$ \ x)))) \ \$ \ i$)
(is - = ennreal ?P)
unfolding *emeasure-lborel-cbox-cart-eq* **by** *simp*
also **have** $?P = 0$
using i **by** (*auto simp: max-def intro!: exI[of - i]*)
finally **show** *?thesis*
by (*auto simp: null-sets-def R-def*)
qed

— Therefore, the intersection of two lattice point cell partitionings of S is also a null set.
have T -Int: $T a \cap T b \in \text{null-sets lebesgue}$ **if** $a \neq b$ **for** $a \ b$
proof —
have $T a \cap T b = (R a \cap R b) \cap S$
by (*auto simp: T-def*)
also **have** ... $\in \text{null-sets lebesgue}$
by (*rule null-set-Int2*) (*insert that, auto intro: R-Int assms*)
finally **show** *?thesis* .
qed

have *emeasure-T-Int*: *emeasure lebesgue* ($T a \cap T b$) = 0 **if** $a \neq b$ **for** $a \ b$
using T -Int[*OF that*] **unfolding** *null-sets-def* **by** *blast*

— The set of lattice points \mathbb{Z}^n is countably infinite, so there exists a bijection $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$. We need this for summing over all lattice points.
define $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{int} \ ^n$ **where** $f = \text{from-nat-into UNIV}$
have *countable* ($\text{UNIV} :: (\text{int} \ ^n) \text{ set}$) *infinite* ($\text{UNIV} :: (\text{int} \ ^n) \text{ set}$)
using *infinite-UNIV-char-0* **by** *simp-all*
from *bij-betw-from-nat-into* [*OF this*] **have** f : *bij* f

by (*simp add: f-def*)

— Suppose all the translated cell partitions T' are disjoint.

{

assume *disjoint*: $\bigwedge a b. a \neq b \implies T' a \cap T' b = \{\}$

— We know by assumption that the volume of S is greater than 1.

have $1 < \text{emeasure lebesgue } S$ **by** *fact*

also have $\text{emeasure lebesgue } S = \text{emeasure lebesgue } (\bigcup n. T' (f n))$

proof —

— The sum of the volumes of all the T' is precisely the volume of their union, which is S .

have $S = (\bigcup a. T a)$ **by** (*rule S-decompose*)

also have $\dots = (\bigcup n. T (f n))$

by (*rule bij-betw-UN [OF f, symmetric]*)

also have $(\lambda n. \text{emeasure lebesgue } (T (f n)))$ *sums* $\text{emeasure lebesgue } \dots$

by (*intro sums-emeasure' emeasure-T-Int*) (*insert f, auto simp: bij-betw-def inj-on-def*)

also have $(\lambda n. \text{emeasure lebesgue } (T (f n))) = (\lambda n. \text{emeasure lebesgue } (T' (f n)))$

by (*simp add: emeasure-T'*)

finally have $(\lambda n. \text{emeasure lebesgue } (T' (f n)))$ *sums* $\text{emeasure lebesgue } S$.

moreover have $(\lambda n. \text{emeasure lebesgue } (T' (f n)))$ *sums* $\text{emeasure lebesgue } (\bigcup n. T' (f n))$

using *disjoint* **by** (*intro sums-emeasure*) (*insert f, auto simp: disjoint-family-on-def bij-betw-def inj-on-def*)

ultimately show *?thesis*

by (*auto simp: sums-iff*)

qed

— On the other hand, all the translated partitions lie in the unit cell $\text{cbox } 0 \ 1$, so their combined volume cannot be greater than 1.

also have $\text{emeasure lebesgue } (\bigcup n. T' (f n)) \leq \text{emeasure lebesgue } (\text{cbox } 0 \ (1 :: \text{real} ^ 'n))$

using *T'-subset* **by** (*intro emeasure-mono*) *auto*

also have $\dots = 1$

by (*simp add: emeasure-lborel-cbox-cart-eq*)

— This leads to a contradiction.

finally have *False* **by** *simp*

}

— Therefore, there exists a point that lies in two different translated partitions, which obviously corresponds two two points in the non-translated partitions whose difference is the difference between two lattice points and therefore has integer components.

then obtain $a \ b \ x$ **where** $a \neq b \ x \in T' a \ x \in T' b$

by *auto*

thus *?thesis*

by (*intro that[of x + of-int-vec a x + of-int-vec b]*) (*auto simp: T'-def T-def algebra-simps*)

qed

1.4 Minkowski's theorem

Minkowski's theorem now states that, given a convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n that is symmetric around the origin and has a volume greater than 2^n , that set must contain a non-zero point with integer coordinates.

theorem *minkowski*:

fixes $B :: (\text{real} \wedge 'n)$ set

assumes *convex B and symmetric*: $\text{uminus } ' B \subseteq B$

assumes *meas-B [measurable]*: $B \in \text{sets lebesgue}$

assumes *measure-B: emeasure lebesgue* $B > 2 \wedge \text{CARD}('n)$

obtains x **where** $x \in B$ **and** $x \neq 0$ **and** $\bigwedge i. x \$ i \in \mathbb{Z}$

proof –

— We scale B with $\frac{1}{2}$.

define B' **where** $B' = (\lambda x. 2 *_R x) - ' B$

have *meas-B' [measurable]*: $B' \in \text{sets lebesgue}$

using *measurable-sets[OF lebesgue-measurable-scaling[of 2] meas-B]*

by (*simp add: B'-def*)

have B' -*altdef*: $B' = (\lambda x. (1/2) *_R x) - ' B$

unfolding B' -*def* **by** *force*

— The volume of the scaled set is 2^n times smaller than the original set, and therefore still has a volume greater than 1.

have $1 < \text{ennreal } ((1 / 2) \wedge \text{CARD}('n)) * \text{emeasure lebesgue } B$

proof (*cases emeasure lebesgue B*)

case (*real x*)

have $\text{ennreal } (2 \wedge \text{CARD}('n)) = 2 \wedge \text{CARD}('n)$

by (*subst ennreal-power [symmetric] auto*)

also from *measure-B and real* **have** $\dots < \text{ennreal } x$ **by** *simp*

finally have $(2 \wedge \text{CARD}('n)) < x$

by (*subst (asm) ennreal-less-iff*) *auto*

thus *?thesis*

using *real* **by** (*simp add: ennreal-1 [symmetric] ennreal-mult' [symmetric] ennreal-less-iff field-simps del: ennreal-1*)

qed (*simp-all add: ennreal-mult-top*)

also have $\dots = \text{emeasure lebesgue } B'$

unfolding B' -*altdef* **using** *emeasure-lebesgue-affine[of 1/2 0 B]* **by** *simp*

finally have $*$: $\text{emeasure lebesgue } B' > 1$.

— We apply Blichfeldt's theorem to get two points whose difference vector has integer coefficients. It only remains to show that that difference vector is itself a point in the original set.

obtain $x y$

where xy : $x \neq y$ $x \in B'$ $y \in B'$ $\bigwedge i. (x - y) \$ i \in \mathbb{Z}$

by (*erule blichfeldt [OF meas-B' *]*)

hence $2 *_R x \in B$ $2 *_R y \in B$ **by** (*auto simp: B'-def*)

— Exploiting the symmetric of B , the reflection of $2 *_R y$ is also in B .

moreover from *this and symmetric* **have** $-(2 *_R y) \in B$ **by** *blast*

— Since B is convex, the mid-point between $2 *_R x$ and $-(2 *_R y)$ is also in B , and that point is simply $x - y$ as desired.

ultimately have $(1 / 2) *_{R} 2 *_{R} x + (1 / 2) *_{R} (- 2 *_{R} y) \in B$
using $\langle convex B \rangle$ **by** $(intro convexD)$ *auto*
also have $(1 / 2) *_{R} 2 *_{R} x + (1 / 2) *_{R} (- 2 *_{R} y) = x - y$
by *simp*
finally show *?thesis* **using** *xy*
by $(intro that[of x - y])$ *auto*
qed
end

References

- [1] E. Dummit. Number Theory: The Geometry of Numbers.
https://web.math.rochester.edu/people/faculty/edummit/docs/numthy_7_geometry_of_numbers.pdf, 2014.