Minkowski's Theorem

Manuel Eberl

March 17, 2025

Abstract

Minkowski's theorem relates a subset of \mathbb{R}^n , the Lebesgue measure, and the integer lattice \mathbb{Z}^n : It states that any convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n with volume greater than 2^n contains at least one lattice point from $\mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$, i.e. a non-zero point with integer coefficients.

A related theorem which directly implies this is Blichfeldt's theorem, which states that any subset of \mathbb{R}^n with a volume greater than 1 contains two different points whose difference vector has integer components.

The entry contains a proof of both theorems.

Contents

1	Minkowski's theorem		
	1.1	Miscellaneous material	2
	1.2	Auxiliary theorems about measure theory	3
	1.3	Blichfeldt's theorem	5
	1.4	Minkowski's theorem	8

1 Minkowski's theorem

```
theory Minkowskis-Theorem
```

 ${\bf imports} \ HOL-Analysis. Equivalence-Lebesgue-Henstock-Integration \\ {\bf begin}$

1.1 Miscellaneous material

lemma *bij-betw-UN*: assumes bij-betw f A B shows $(\bigcup n \in A. g (f n)) = (\bigcup n \in B. g n)$ using assms by (auto simp: bij-betw-def) definition *of-int-vec* where of-int-vec $v = (\chi \ i. \ of-int \ (v \ i))$ **lemma** of-int-vec-nth [simp]: of-int-vec $v \$ $n = of-int (v \$ n)**by** (*simp add: of-int-vec-def*) **lemma** of-int-vec-eq-iff [simp]: $(of\text{-int-vec } a :: ('a :: ring\text{-char-0}) \land 'n) = of\text{-int-vec } b \longleftrightarrow a = b$ **by** (*simp add: of-int-vec-def vec-eq-iff*) lemma inj-axis: assumes $c \neq \theta$ **shows** inj $(\lambda k. axis k c :: ('a :: {zero}) ^ 'n)$ proof fix x y :: 'n**assume** *: axis x c = axis y chave axis x c \$ x = axis x c \$ y**by** (subst *) simp thus x = y using assms **by** (*auto simp: axis-def split: if-splits*) qed lemma compactD: **assumes** compact (A :: 'a :: metric-space set) range $f \subseteq A$ **shows** $\exists h \ l. \ strict-mono \ (h::nat \Rightarrow nat) \land (f \circ h) \longrightarrow l$ using assms unfolding compact-def by blast **lemma** closed-lattice: **fixes** $A :: (real \land 'n)$ set assumes $\bigwedge v \ i. \ v \in A \implies v \$ i $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ shows closed A **proof** (rule discrete-imp-closed[OF zero-less-one], safe, goal-cases) case (1 x y)have $x \ i = y \ i$ for iproof from 1 and assms have $x \ i - y \ i \in \mathbb{Z}$ by *auto*

then obtain m where m: of int m = (x \$ i - y \$ i)by (elim Ints-cases) auto hence of-int (abs m) = abs ((x - y) \$ i)by simp also have $\ldots \leq norm (x - y)$ **by** (*rule component-le-norm-cart*) also have $\ldots < of$ -int 1 using 1 by (simp add: dist-norm norm-minus-commute) finally have abs m < 1**by** (*subst* (*asm*) *of-int-less-iff*) thus $x \ i = y \ i$ using *m* by *simp* qed thus y = xby (simp add: vec-eq-iff) qed

1.2 Auxiliary theorems about measure theory

lemma *emeasure-lborel-cbox-eq'*: emeasure lborel (cbox a b) = ennreal ($\prod e \in Basis. max \ 0 \ ((b - a) \cdot e)$) **proof** (cases \forall ba \in Basis. $a \cdot ba \leq b \cdot ba$) case True hence emeasure lborel (cbox a b) = ennreal (prod ((·) (b - a)) Basis) unfolding emeasure-lborel-cbox-eq by auto also have prod $((\cdot) (b - a))$ Basis = $(\prod e \in Basis. max \ \theta ((b - a) \cdot e))$ using True by (intro prod.cong refl) (auto simp: max-def inner-simps) finally show ?thesis . \mathbf{next} case False hence emeasure lborel (cbox a b) = ennreal 0**by** (*auto simp*: *emeasure-lborel-cbox-eq*) also from False have $\theta = (\prod e \in Basis. max \ \theta \ ((b - a) \cdot e))$ **by** (*auto simp: max-def inner-simps*) finally show ?thesis . qed **lemma** *emeasure-lborel-cbox-cart-eq*: **fixes** $a \ b :: real \land ('n :: finite)$ shows emeasure lborel (cbox a b) = ennreal ($\prod i \in UNIV$. max 0 ((b - a) i)) proof – have emeasure lborel (cbox a b) = ennreal ($\prod e \in Basis. max 0 ((b - a) \cdot e)$) unfolding emeasure-lborel-cbox-eq'... also have $(Basis :: (real \land 'n) set) = range (\lambda k. axis k 1)$ unfolding Basis-vec-def by auto also have $(\prod e \in \dots \max \theta ((b-a) \cdot e)) = (\prod i \in UNIV \cdot \max \theta ((b-a)$ i))by (subst prod.reindex) (auto introl: inj-axis simp: algebra-simps inner-axis) finally show ?thesis .

\mathbf{qed}

lemma *sum-emeasure'*: assumes [simp]: finite A assumes [measurable]: $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies B x \in sets M$ assumes $\bigwedge x \ y. \ x \in A \Longrightarrow y \in A \Longrightarrow x \neq y \Longrightarrow$ emeasure $M \ (B \ x \cap B \ y) = 0$ $(\sum x \in A. emeasure M (B x)) = emeasure M (\bigcup x \in A. B x)$ shows proof define C where $C = (\bigcup x \in A. \bigcup y \in (A - \{x\}). B x \cap B y)$ have $C: C \in null-sets M$ unfolding C-def using assms **by** (*intro null-sets.finite-UN*) (*auto simp: null-sets-def*) hence [measurable]: $C \in sets M$ and [simp]: emeasure M C = 0by (simp-all add: null-sets-def) have $(\bigcup x \in A. B x) = (\bigcup x \in A. B x - C) \cup C$ by (auto simp: C-def) also have emeasure $M \ldots = emeasure M (\bigcup x \in A. B x - C)$ by (subst emeasure-Un-null-set) (auto introl: sets.Un sets.Diff) also from assms have $\ldots = (\sum x \in A. emeasure M (B x - C))$ **by** (*subst sum-emeasure*) (auto simp: disjoint-family-on-def C-def intro!: sets.Diff sets.finite-UN) also have $\ldots = (\sum x \in A. emeasure M (B x))$ **by** (*intro sum.cong refl emeasure-Diff-null-set*) *auto* finally show ?thesis .. qed **lemma** sums-emeasure': assumes [measurable]: $\bigwedge x$. $B \ x \in sets \ M$ assumes $\bigwedge x \ y. \ x \neq y \implies emeasure \ M \ (B \ x \cap B \ y) = 0$ $(\lambda x. emeasure M (B x))$ sums emeasure M $(\bigcup x. B x)$ shows proof define C where $C = (\bigcup x. \bigcup y \in -\{x\}. B x \cap B y)$ have $C: C \in null-sets M$ unfolding C-def using assms by (intro null-sets-UN') (auto simp: null-sets-def) hence [measurable]: $C \in sets \ M$ and [simp]: emeasure $M \ C = 0$ **by** (*simp-all add: null-sets-def*) have $(\bigcup x. B x) = (\bigcup x. B x - C) \cup C$ **by** (*auto simp*: C-def) also have emeasure $M \ldots = emeasure M (\bigcup x. B x - C)$ by (subst emeasure-Un-null-set) (auto introl: sets.Un sets.Diff) also from assms have $(\lambda x. emeasure M (B x - C))$ sums ... by (*intro sums-emeasure*) (auto simp: disjoint-family-on-def C-def intro!: sets.Diff sets.finite-UN) also have $(\lambda x. emeasure M (B x - C)) = (\lambda x. emeasure M (B x))$ by (intro ext emeasure-Diff-null-set) auto finally show ?thesis . qed

1.3 Blichfeldt's theorem

Blichfeldt's theorem states that, given a subset of \mathbb{R}^n with n > 0 and a volume of more than 1, there exist two different points in that set whose difference vector has integer components.

This will be the key ingredient in proving Minkowski's theorem.

Note that in the HOL Light version, it is additionally required – both for Blichfeldt's theorem and for Minkowski's theorem – that the set is bounded, which we do not need.

proposition blichfeldt: **fixes** $S :: (real ^ 'n)$ set **assumes** $[measurable]: S \in sets$ lebesgue **assumes** emeasure lebesgue S > 1 **obtains** x y **where** $x \neq y$ **and** $x \in S$ **and** $y \in S$ **and** $\bigwedge i. (x - y)$ $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ **proof** -— We define for each lattice point in \mathbb{Z}^n the corresponding cell in \mathbb{R}^n . **define** $R :: int ^ 'n \Rightarrow (real ^ 'n)$ set

where $R = (\lambda a. \ cbox \ (of\ int\ vec \ a) \ (of\ int\ vec \ (a + 1)))$

— For each lattice point, we can intersect the cell it defines with our set S to obtain a partitioning of S.

define $T :: int \uparrow n \Rightarrow (real \uparrow n)$ set where $T = (\lambda a. S \cap R a)$

— We can then translate each such partition into the cell at the origin, i.e. the unit box $R \ 0$.

define $T' :: int \uparrow n \Rightarrow (real \uparrow n)$ set where $T' = (\lambda a. (\lambda x. x - of\text{-}int\text{-}vec a) `T a)$ have T'-altdef: $T' a = (\lambda x. x + of\text{-}int\text{-}vec a) - `T a$ for a unfolding T'-def by force

We need to show measurability of all the defined sets.
have [measurable, simp]: R a ∈ sets lebesgue for a unfolding R-def by simp
have [measurable, simp]: T a ∈ sets lebesgue for a unfolding T-def by auto
have (λx::real^{¬n}. x + of-int-vec a) ∈ lebesgue →_M lebesgue for a using lebesgue-affine-measurable[of λ-. 1 of-int-vec a] by (auto simp: euclidean-representation add-ac)
from measurable-sets[OF this, of T a a for a] have [measurable, simp]: T' a ∈ sets lebesgue for a unfolding T'-altdef by simp
— Obviously, the original set S is the union of all the lattice point cell partitions. have S-decompose: S = (∪ a. T a) unfolding T-def

proof safe fix x assume $x: x \in S$

define a where $a = (\chi \ i. \ \lfloor x \ \$ \ i \rfloor)$

have $x \in R$ a unfolding *R*-def by (auto simp: cbox-interval less-eq-vec-def of-int-vec-def a-def) with x show $x \in (\bigcup a. S \cap R a)$ by auto ged

```
Translating the partitioned subsets does not change their volume.
have emeasure-T': emeasure lebesgue (T'a) = emeasure lebesgue (T a) for a
proof -
have T'a = (λx. 1 *<sub>R</sub> x + (- of-int-vec a)) 'T a
by (simp add: T'-def)
also have emeasure lebesgue ... = emeasure lebesgue (T a)
by (subst emeasure-lebesgue-affine) auto
finally show ?thesis
by simp
qed
```

```
— Each translated partition of S is a subset of the unit cell at the origin.

have T'-subset: T' a \subseteq cbox \ 0 \ 1 for a

unfolding T'-def T-def R-def
```

```
by (auto simp: algebra-simps cbox-interval of-int-vec-def less-eq-vec-def)
```

— It is clear that the intersection of two different lattice point cells is a null set. have R-Int: $R \ a \cap R \ b \in null-sets$ lebesgue if $a \neq b$ for $a \ b$ proof from that obtain i where i: $a \ i \neq b \ i$ by (auto simp: vec-eq-iff) have $R \ a \cap R \ b = cbox \ (\chi \ i. \ max \ (a \ \$ \ i) \ (b \ \$ \ i)) \ (\chi \ i. \ min \ (a \ \$ \ i + 1) \ (b \ \$ \ i))$ + 1))unfolding Int-interval-cart R-def interval-cbox by (simp add: of-int-vec-def max-def min-def if-distrib cong: if-cong) hence emeasure lebesgue $(R \ a \cap R \ b) =$ emeasure lebergue \ldots by simp also have ... = ennreal ($\prod i \in UNIV$. max 0 ((($\chi x. real-of-int$ (min (a x +1) (b \$ x + 1))) - $(\chi \ x. \ real-of-int \ (max \ (a \ x) \ (b \ x)))) \ (b \ x)))$ (is - = ennreal ?P)unfolding emeasure-lborel-cbox-cart-eq by simp also have $P = \theta$ using *i* by (auto simp: max-def introl: exI[of - i]) finally show ?thesis **by** (*auto simp: null-sets-def R-def*) qed

— Therefore, the intersection of two lattice point cell partitionings of S is also a null set.

have T-Int: $T a \cap T b \in null$ -sets lebesgue if $a \neq b$ for a bproof –

have $T \ a \cap T \ b = (R \ a \cap R \ b) \cap S$

by (auto simp: T-def) also have $\ldots \in null$ -sets lebesgue by (rule null-set-Int2) (insert that, auto intro: R-Int assms) finally show ?thesis . ged have emeasure-T-Int: emeasure lebesque $(T \ a \cap T \ b) = 0$ if $a \neq b$ for a b using T-Int[OF that] unfolding null-sets-def by blast — The set of lattice points \mathbb{Z}^n is countably infinite, so there exists a bijection $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}^n$. We need this for summing over all lattice points. define $f :: nat \Rightarrow int \land 'n$ where f = from-nat-into UNIVhave countable (UNIV ::: (int \uparrow 'n) set) infinite (UNIV ::: (int \uparrow 'n) set) using infinite-UNIV-char-0 by simp-all **from** *bij-betw-from-nat-into* [*OF this*] **have** *f*: *bij f* by (simp add: f-def) — Suppose all the translated cell partitions T' are disjoint. { assume disjoint: $\bigwedge a \ b. \ a \neq b \Longrightarrow T' \ a \cap T' \ b = \{\}$ — We know by assumption that the volume of S is greater than 1. have $1 < emeasure \ lebesgue \ S \ by \ fact$ also have emeasure lebesgue S = emeasure lebesgue $(\bigcup n. T'(f n))$ proof – — The sum of the volumes of all the T' is precisely the volume of their union, which is S. have S = ([]a. Ta) by (rule S-decompose) also have $\ldots = (\lfloor n, T(f n))$ **by** (rule bij-betw-UN [OF f, symmetric]) also have $(\lambda n. emeasure \ lebesgue \ (T \ (f \ n)))$ sums emeasure $\ lebesgue \ \dots$ by (intro sums-emeasure' emeasure-T-Int) (insert f, auto simp: bij-betw-def inj-on-def) also have $(\lambda n. emeasure \ lebesgue \ (T \ (f \ n))) = (\lambda n. emeasure \ lebesgue \ (T' \ (f \ n)))$ n)))by (simp add: emeasure-T') finally have $(\lambda n. emeasure \ lebesgue \ (T'(f n)))$ sums emeasure $lebesgue \ S$. **moreover have** $(\lambda n. emeasure \ lebesque \ (T'(f n)))$ sums emeasure \ lebesque $(\bigcup n. T'(f n))$ using disjoint by (intro sums-emeasure) (insert f, auto simp: disjoint-family-on-def bij-betw-def *inj-on-def*) ultimately show ?thesis by (auto simp: sums-iff) qed On the other hand, all the translated partitions lie in the unit cell $cbox \ 0 \ 1$, so their combined volume cannot be greater than 1. also have emeasure lebesgue $(\bigcup n. T'(fn)) \leq emeasure \ lebesgue \ (cbox \ 0 \ (1 ::$ real (n)using T'-subset by (intro emeasure-mono) auto also have $\ldots = 1$

which obviously corresponds two two points in the non-translated partitions, difference is the difference between two lattice points and therefore has integer components.

```
then obtain a b x where a \neq b x \in T' a x \in T' b
by auto
thus ?thesis
by (intro that[of x + of-int-vec a x + of-int-vec b])
(auto simp: T'-def T-def algebra-simps)
```

qed

1.4 Minkowski's theorem

Minkowski's theorem now states that, given a convex subset of \mathbb{R}^n that is symmetric around the origin and has a volume greater than 2^n , that set must contain a non-zero point with integer coordinates.

theorem minkowski: fixes B :: $(real \ n)$ set assumes convex B and symmetric: uminus $B \subseteq B$ assumes meas-B [measurable]: $B \in$ sets lebesgue assumes measure-B: emeasure lebesgue $B > 2 \ CARD(n)$ obtains x where $x \in B$ and $x \neq 0$ and $\bigwedge i. x \ i \in \mathbb{Z}$ proof – — We scale B with $\frac{1}{2}$. define B' where $B' = (\lambda x. \ 2 *_R x) - B$ have meas-B' [measurable]: $B' \in$ sets lebesgue using measurable-sets[OF lebesgue-measurable-scaling[of 2] meas-B] by (simp add: B'-def) have B'-altdef: $B' = (\lambda x. \ (1/2) *_R x)$ Bunfolding B'-def by force

- The volume of the scaled set is 2^n times smaller than the original set, and therefore still has a volume greater than 1. have $1 < ennreal ((1 / 2) \cap CARD('n)) * emeasure lebesgue B$ proof (cases emeasure lebesgue B) case (real x) have ennreal $(2 \cap CARD('n)) = 2 \cap CARD('n)$ by (subst ennreal-power [symmetric]) auto also from measure-B and real have ... < ennreal x by simp finally have $(2 \cap CARD('n)) < x$ by (subst (asm) ennreal-less-iff) auto thus ?thesis using real by (simp add: ennreal-1 [symmetric] ennreal-mult' [symmetric] ennreal-less-iff field-simps del: ennreal-1)

qed (*simp-all add: ennreal-mult-top*)

also have $\ldots = emeasure \ lebesgue \ B'$

unfolding B'-altdef using emeasure-lebesgue-affine[of $1/2 \ 0 \ B$] by simp finally have *: emeasure lebesgue B' > 1.

— We apply Blichfeldt's theorem to get two points whose difference vector has integer coefficients. It only remains to show that that difference vector is itself a point in the original set.

obtain x y

where $xy: x \neq y \ x \in B' \ y \in B' \ Ai. (x - y) \ i \in \mathbb{Z}$ by (erule blichfeldt [OF meas-B'*]) hence $2 \ast_R x \in B \ 2 \ast_R y \in B$ by (auto simp: B'-def) — Exploiting the symmetric of B, the reflection of $2 \ast_R y$ is also in B. moreover from this and symmetric have $-(2 \ast_R y) \in B$ by blast — Since B is convex, the mid-point between $2 \ast_R x$ and $-2 \ast_R y$ is also in B, and that point is simply x - y as desired. ultimately have $(1 \ / \ 2) \ast_R 2 \ast_R x + (1 \ / \ 2) \ast_R (-2 \ast_R y) \in B$ using <convex B> by (intro convexD) auto also have $(1 \ / \ 2) \ast_R 2 \ast_R x + (1 \ / \ 2) \ast_R (-2 \ast_R y) = x - y$ by simp finally show ?thesis using xyby (intro that[of x - y]) auto qed

If the set in question is compact, the restriction to the volume can be weakened to "at least 1" from "greater than 1".

theorem *minkowski-compact*: fixes $B :: (real \land 'n)$ set assumes convex B and compact B and symmetric: uninus ' $B \subseteq B$ assumes measure-B: emeasure lebesgue $B \ge 2 \ \widehat{} CARD('n)$ obtains x where $x \in B$ and $x \neq 0$ and $\bigwedge i. x \ i \in \mathbb{Z}$ **proof** (cases emeasure lebesgue $B = 2 \cap CARD(n)$) — If the volume is greater than 1, we can just apply the theorem from before. case False with measure-B have less: emeasure lebesgue $B > 2 \cap CARD(n)$ by simp from $\langle compact B \rangle$ have meas: $B \in sets \ lebesgue$ by (intro sets-completionI-sets lborelD borel-closed compact-imp-closed) **from** minkowski[OF assms(1) symmetric meas less] and that show ?thesis by blast next case True — If the volume is precisely one, we look at what happens when B is scaled with a factor of $1 + \varepsilon$. define B' where $B' = (\lambda \varepsilon. (*_R) (1 + \varepsilon) `B)$ **from** (compact B) have compact': compact $(B' \varepsilon)$ for ε **unfolding** B'-def **by** (intro compact-scaling) have B'-altdef: B' $\varepsilon = (*_R)$ (inverse $(1 + \varepsilon)$) - 'B if $\varepsilon: \varepsilon > 0$ for ε using ε unfolding B'-def by force

— Since the scaled sets are convex, they are stable under scaling. have B-scale: $a *_R x \in B$ if $x \in B$ $a \in \{0...1\}$ for a xproof have $((a + 1) / 2) *_R x + (1 - ((a + 1) / 2)) *_R (-x) \in B$ using that and $\langle convex B \rangle$ and symmetric by (intro convexD) auto also have $((a + 1) / 2) *_R x + (1 - ((a + 1) / 2)) *_R (-x) =$ $(1 + a) *_R ((1/2) *_R (x + x)) - x$ **by** (*simp add: algebra-simps del: scaleR-half-double*) also have $\ldots = a *_R x$ **by** (*subst scaleR-half-double*) (*simp add: algebra-simps*) finally show $\ldots \in B$. qed — This means that B' is monotonic. have B'-subset: B' $a \subseteq B'$ b if $0 \leq a \ a \leq b$ for a b proof fix x assume $x \in B' a$ then obtain y where $x = (1 + a) *_R y y \in B$ by (auto simp: B'-def) moreover then have (inverse $(1 + b) * (1 + a)) *_R y \in B$ using that by (intro B-scale) (auto simp: field-simps) ultimately show $x \in B' b$ using that by (force simp: B'-def) qed — We obtain some upper bound on the norm of B. **from** $\langle compact \ B \rangle$ have bounded B **by** (*rule compact-imp-bounded*) then obtain C where C: norm $x \leq C$ if $x \in B$ for x unfolding bounded-iff by blast — We can then bound the distance of any point in a scaled set to the original set. have set dist-le: set dist $\{x\} B \leq \varepsilon * C$ if $x \in B' \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon \geq 0$ for $x \varepsilon$ proof from that obtain y where y: $y \in B$ and [simp]: $x = (1 + \varepsilon) *_R y$ by (auto simp: B'-def) from y have set dist $\{x\} B \leq dist x y$ **by** (*intro setdist-le-dist*) *auto* also from that have dist $x y = \varepsilon * norm y$ **by** (*simp add: dist-norm algebra-simps*) also from y have norm $y \leq C$ by (rule C)

finally show setdist $\{x\} B \leq \varepsilon * C$ using that by (simp add: mult-left-mono)

qed

— By applying the standard Minkowski theorem to the a scaled set, we can see that any scaled set contains a non-zero point with integer coordinates. have $\exists v. v \in B' \varepsilon - \{0\} \land (\forall i. v \ i \in \mathbb{Z})$ if $\varepsilon: \varepsilon > 0$ for ε

proof from $\langle convex B \rangle$ have convex': $convex (B' \varepsilon)$ unfolding B'-def by (rule convex-scaling) from (compact B) have meas: $B' \varepsilon \in sets$ lebesgue unfolding B'-def by (intro sets-completionI-sets lborelD borel-closed compact-imp-closed com*pact-scaling*) from symmetric have symmetric': uninus ' $B' \varepsilon \subseteq B' \varepsilon$ by (auto simp: B'-altdef[OF ε]) have $2 \cap CARD(n) = ennreal (2 \cap CARD(n))$ **by** (subst ennreal-power [symmetric]) auto hence 1 * emeasure lebesgue $B < ennreal ((1 + \varepsilon) \cap CARD(n)) * emeasure$ lebesque Busing True and ε by (intro ennreal-mult-strict-right-mono) (auto) also have $\ldots = emeasure \ lebesque \ (B' \varepsilon)$ using emeasure-lebesque-affine [of $1+\varepsilon \ 0 \ B$] and ε by (simp add: B'-def) finally have measure-B': emeasure lebesque $(B' \varepsilon) > 2 \cap CARD(n)$ using True by simp obtain v where $v \in B' \varepsilon \ v \neq 0 \ \bigwedge i. \ v \ \ i \in \mathbb{Z}$ by $(erule \ minkowski | OF \ convex' \ symmetric' \ meas \ measure-B'|)$ thus ?thesis by blast qed hence $\forall n. \exists v. v \in B' (1/Suc n) - \{0\} \land (\forall i. v \ i \in \mathbb{Z})$ by auto — In particular, this means we can choose some sequence tending to zero – say $\frac{1}{n+1}$ – and always find a lattice point in the scaled set. hence $\exists v. \forall n. v n \in B' (1/Suc n) - \{0\} \land (\forall i. v n \$ i \in \mathbb{Z})$ by (subst (asm) choice-iff) then obtain v where v: $v n \in B'(1/Suc n) - \{0\} v n \$ $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for i nby blast — By the Bolzano–Weierstraß theorem, there exists a convergent subsequence of v. have $\exists h \ l. \ strict-mono \ (h::nat \Rightarrow nat) \land (v \circ h) \longrightarrow l$ **proof** (*rule compactD*)

proof (rule compactD) **show** compact $(B' \ 1)$ **by** (rule compact') **show** range $v \subseteq B' \ 1$ **using** B'-subset[of $1/Suc \ n \ 1$ for n] and v by auto **qed then obtain** $h \ l$ where h: strict-mono h and l: $(v \circ h) \longrightarrow l$ **by** blast

— Since the convergent subsequence tends to l, the distance of the sequence elements to B tends to the distance of l and B. Furthermore, the distance of the sequence elements is bounded by $(1 + \varepsilon)C$, which tends to 0, so the distance of l to B must be 0.

have set dist $\{l\} B \leq 0$

proof (rule tendsto-le)
show ((\lambda x. setdist {x} B) \circ (v \circ h)) → setdist {l} B
by (intro continuous-imp-tendsto l continuous-at-setdist)
show (\lambda n. inverse (Suc (h n)) * C) → 0
by (intro tendsto-mult-left-zero filterlim-compose[OF - filterlim-subseq[OF h]]
LIMSEQ-inverse-real-of-nat)
show ∀_F x in sequentially. ((\lambda x. setdist {x} B) \circ (v \circ h)) x
≤ inverse (real (Suc (h x))) * C
using setdist-le and v unfolding o-def
by (intro always-eventually allI setdist-le) (auto simp: field-simps)
qed auto
hence setdist {l} B = 0
by (intro antisym setdist-pos-le)
with assms and (compact B) have l ∈ B
by (subst (asm) setdist-eq-0-closed) (auto intro: compact-imp-closed)

— It is also easy to see that, since the lattice is a closed set and all sequence elements lie on it, the limit l also lies on it.

moreover have $l \in \{l. \forall i. l \ i \in \mathbb{Z}\} - \{0\}$ using v by (intro closed-sequentially[OF closed-lattice - l]) auto ultimately show ?thesis using that by blast qed

end

References

[1] E. Dummit. Number Theory: The Geometry of Numbers. https://web.math.rochester.edu/people/faculty/edummit/docs/ numthy_7_geometry_of_numbers.pdf, 2014.