Minkowski's Theorem

Manuel Eberl

December 14, 2021

Abstract

Minkowski's theorem relates a subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$, the Lebesgue measure, and the integer lattice $\mathbb{Z}^n$: It states that any convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with volume greater than 2^n contains at least one lattice point from $\mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{0\}$, i. e. a non-zero point with integer coefficients.

A related theorem which directly implies this is Blichfeldt's theorem, which states that any subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with a volume greater than 1 contains two different points whose difference vector has integer components.

The entry contains a proof of both theorems.

Contents

1 Minkowski's theorem

theory *Minkowskis-Theorem*
imports *HOL−Analysis.Equivalence-Lebesgue-Henstock-Integration*
begin

1.1 Miscellaneous material

lemma *bij-betw-UN*:
assumes *bij-betw f A B*
shows $(\bigcup n{\in}A.\ g\ (f\ n)) = (\bigcup n{\in}B.\ g\ n)$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp*: *bij-betw-def*)

definition *of-int-vec* **where**
of-int-vec v = (*χ i. of-int* (*v* $ *i*))

lemma *of-int-vec-nth* [*simp*]: *of-int-vec v* $ *n* = *of-int* (*v* $ *n*)
by (*simp add*: *of-int-vec-def*)

lemma *of-int-vec-eq-iff* [*simp*]:
(*of-int-vec a* :: (*'a* :: *ring-char-0*) ^ *'n*) = *of-int-vec b* ⟷ *a* = *b*
by (*simp add*: *of-int-vec-def vec-eq-iff*)

lemma *inj-axis*:
assumes *c* ≠ *0*
shows *inj* (*λk. axis k c* :: (*'a* :: {*zero*}) ^ *'n*)
proof
fix *x y* :: *'n*
assume ∗: *axis x c* = *axis y c*
have *axis x c* $ *x* = *axis x c* $ *y*
by (*subst* ∗) *simp*
thus *x* = *y* **using** *assms*
by (*auto simp*: *axis-def split*: *if-splits*)
qed

lemma *compactD*:
assumes *compact* (*A* :: *'a* :: *metric-space set*) *range f* ⊆ *A*
shows ∃ *h l. strict-mono* (*h*::*nat*⇒*nat*) ∧ (*f* ∘ *h*) ⟶ *l*
using *assms* **unfolding** *compact-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *closed-lattice*:
fixes *A* :: (*real* ^ *'n*) *set*
assumes ⋀*v i. v* ∈ *A* ⟹ *v* $ *i* ∈ ℤ
shows *closed A*
proof (*rule discrete-imp-closed*[*OF zero-less-one*], *safe*, *goal-cases*)
case (*1 x y*)
have *x* $ *i* = *y* $ *i* **for** *i*
proof −
from *1* **and** *assms* **have** *x* $ *i* − *y* $ *i* ∈ ℤ
by *auto*

then obtain m **where** m: *of-int* $m = (x \$ i - y \$ i)$
by (*elim Ints-cases*) *auto*
hence *of-int* $(abs\ m) = abs\ ((x - y) \$ i)$
by *simp*
also have $\ldots \leq norm\ (x - y)$
by (*rule component-le-norm-cart*)
also have $\ldots <$ *of-int 1*
using *1* **by** (*simp add*: *dist-norm norm-minus-commute*)
finally have $abs\ m < 1$
by (*subst* (*asm*) *of-int-less-iff*)
thus $x \$ i = y \$ i$
using m **by** *simp*
qed
thus $y = x$
by (*simp add*: *vec-eq-iff*)
qed

1.2 Auxiliary theorems about measure theory

lemma *emeasure-lborel-cbox-eq'*:
emeasure lborel (*cbox a b*) = *ennreal* $(\prod e{\in}Basis.\ max\ 0\ ((b - a) \cdot e))$
proof (*cases* $\forall\, ba{\in}Basis.\ a \cdot ba \leq b \cdot ba$)
case *True*
hence *emeasure lborel* (*cbox a b*) = *ennreal* (*prod* ((·) (*b* − *a*)) *Basis*)
unfolding *emeasure-lborel-cbox-eq* **by** *auto*
also have *prod* ((·) (*b* − *a*)) *Basis* = $(\prod e{\in}Basis.\ max\ 0\ ((b - a) \cdot e))$
using *True* **by** (*intro prod.cong refl*) (*auto simp*: *max-def inner-simps*)
finally show *?thesis* **.**
next
case *False*
hence *emeasure lborel* (*cbox a b*) = *ennreal 0*
by (*auto simp*: *emeasure-lborel-cbox-eq*)
also from *False* **have** *0* = $(\prod e{\in}Basis.\ max\ 0\ ((b - a) \cdot e))$
by (*auto simp*: *max-def inner-simps*)
finally show *?thesis* **.**
qed

lemma *emeasure-lborel-cbox-cart-eq*:
fixes *a b* :: *real* ^ ('*n* :: *finite*)
shows *emeasure lborel* (*cbox a b*) = *ennreal* $(\prod i \in UNIV.\ max\ 0\ ((b - a) \$ i))$
proof −
have *emeasure lborel* (*cbox a b*) = *ennreal* $(\prod e{\in}Basis.\ max\ 0\ ((b - a) \cdot e))$
unfolding *emeasure-lborel-cbox-eq'* **..**
also have (*Basis* :: (*real* ^ '*n*) *set*) = *range* (λ*k*. *axis k 1*)
unfolding *Basis-vec-def* **by** *auto*
also have $(\prod e{\in}\ldots.\ max\ 0\ ((b - a) \cdot e)) = (\prod\ i \in UNIV\ .\ max\ 0\ ((b - a) \$ i))$
by (*subst prod.reindex*) (*auto intro*!: *inj-axis simp*: *algebra-simps inner-axis*)
finally show *?thesis* **.**

qed

lemma *sum-emeasure'*:
assumes [*simp*]: *finite A*
assumes [*measurable*]: $\bigwedge x.\ x \in A \Longrightarrow B\ x \in sets\ M$
assumes $\bigwedge x\ y.\ x \in A \Longrightarrow y \in A \Longrightarrow x \neq y \Longrightarrow emeasure\ M\ (B\ x \cap B\ y) = 0$
shows $(\sum x{\in}A.\ emeasure\ M\ (B\ x)) = emeasure\ M\ (\bigcup x{\in}A.\ B\ x)$
proof −
define *C* **where** $C = (\bigcup x{\in}A.\ \bigcup y{\in}(A-\{x\}).\ B\ x \cap B\ y)$
have *C*: $C \in null\text{-}sets\ M$
unfolding *C-def* **using** *assms*
by (*intro null-sets.finite-UN*) (*auto simp*: *null-sets-def*)
hence [*measurable*]: $C \in sets\ M$ **and** [*simp*]: $emeasure\ M\ C = 0$
by (*simp-all add*: *null-sets-def*)
have $(\bigcup x{\in}A.\ B\ x) = (\bigcup x{\in}A.\ B\ x - C) \cup C$
by (*auto simp*: *C-def*)
also have $emeasure\ M\ \ldots = emeasure\ M\ (\bigcup x{\in}A.\ B\ x - C)$
by (*subst emeasure-Un-null-set*) (*auto intro*!: *sets.Un sets.Diff*)
also from *assms* **have** $\ldots = (\sum x{\in}A.\ emeasure\ M\ (B\ x - C))$
by (*subst sum-emeasure*)
(*auto simp*: *disjoint-family-on-def C-def intro*!: *sets.Diff sets.finite-UN*)
also have $\ldots = (\sum x{\in}A.\ emeasure\ M\ (B\ x))$
by (*intro sum.cong refl emeasure-Diff-null-set*) *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **..**
qed

lemma *sums-emeasure'*:
assumes [*measurable*]: $\bigwedge x.\ B\ x \in sets\ M$
assumes $\bigwedge x\ y.\ x \neq y \Longrightarrow emeasure\ M\ (B\ x \cap B\ y) = 0$
shows $(\lambda x.\ emeasure\ M\ (B\ x))\ sums\ emeasure\ M\ (\bigcup x.\ B\ x)$
proof −
define *C* **where** $C = (\bigcup x.\ \bigcup y{\in}-\{x\}.\ B\ x \cap B\ y)$
have *C*: $C \in null\text{-}sets\ M$
unfolding *C-def* **using** *assms*
by (*intro null-sets-UN'*) (*auto simp*: *null-sets-def*)
hence [*measurable*]: $C \in sets\ M$ **and** [*simp*]: $emeasure\ M\ C = 0$
by (*simp-all add*: *null-sets-def*)
have $(\bigcup x.\ B\ x) = (\bigcup x.\ B\ x - C) \cup C$
by (*auto simp*: *C-def*)
also have $emeasure\ M\ \ldots = emeasure\ M\ (\bigcup x.\ B\ x - C)$
by (*subst emeasure-Un-null-set*) (*auto intro*!: *sets.Un sets.Diff*)
also from *assms* **have** $(\lambda x.\ emeasure\ M\ (B\ x - C))\ sums\ \ldots$
by (*intro sums-emeasure*)
(*auto simp*: *disjoint-family-on-def C-def intro*!: *sets.Diff sets.finite-UN*)
also have $(\lambda x.\ emeasure\ M\ (B\ x - C)) = (\lambda x.\ emeasure\ M\ (B\ x))$
by (*intro ext emeasure-Diff-null-set*) *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **.**
qed

1.3 Blichfeldt's theorem

Blichfeldt's theorem states that, given a subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $n > 0$ and a volume of more than 1, there exist two different points in that set whose difference vector has integer components.

This will be the key ingredient in proving Minkowski's theorem.

Note that in the HOL Light version, it is additionally required – both for Blichfeldt's theorem and for Minkowski's theorem – that the set is bounded, which we do not need.

proposition *blichfeldt*:
  fixes $S :: (real \hat{} 'n)\ set$
  assumes [*measurable*]: $S \in sets\ lebesgue$
  assumes $emeasure\ lebesgue\ S > 1$
  obtains $x\ y$ **where** $x \neq y$ **and** $x \in S$ **and** $y \in S$ **and** $\bigwedge i.\ (x - y)\ \$\ i \in \mathbb{Z}$
proof −
  — We define for each lattice point in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ the corresponding cell in $\mathbb{R}^n$.
  define $R :: int \hat{} 'n \Rightarrow (real \hat{} 'n)\ set$
    where $R = (\lambda a.\ cbox\ (of\text{-}int\text{-}vec\ a)\ (of\text{-}int\text{-}vec\ (a + 1)))$

  — For each lattice point, we can intersect the cell it defines with our set S to obtain a partitioning of S.
  define $T :: int \hat{} 'n \Rightarrow (real \hat{} 'n)\ set$
    where $T = (\lambda a.\ S \cap R\ a)$

  — We can then translate each such partition into the cell at the origin, i. e. the unit box $R\ 0$.
  define $T' :: int \hat{} 'n \Rightarrow (real \hat{} 'n)\ set$
    where $T' = (\lambda a.\ (\lambda x.\ x - of\text{-}int\text{-}vec\ a)\ `\ T\ a)$
  have $T'\text{-}altdef$: $T'\ a = (\lambda x.\ x + of\text{-}int\text{-}vec\ a) -` T\ a$ **for** a
    unfolding $T'\text{-}def$ **by** *force*

  — We need to show measurability of all the defined sets.
  have [*measurable*, *simp*]: $R\ a \in sets\ lebesgue$ **for** a
    unfolding $R\text{-}def$ **by** *simp*
  have [*measurable*, *simp*]: $T\ a \in sets\ lebesgue$ **for** a
    unfolding $T\text{-}def$ **by** *auto*
  have $(\lambda x{::}real\hat{}'n.\ x + of\text{-}int\text{-}vec\ a) \in lebesgue \rightarrow_M lebesgue$ **for** a
    using $lebesgue\text{-}affine\text{-}measurable[of\ \lambda\text{-}.\ 1\ of\text{-}int\text{-}vec\ a]$
    by (*auto simp*: *euclidean-representation add-ac*)
  from $measurable\text{-}sets[OF\ this,\ of\ T\ a\ a$ **for** $a]$
    have [*measurable*, *simp*]: $T'\ a \in sets\ lebesgue$ **for** a
      unfolding $T'\text{-}altdef$ **by** *simp*

  — Obviously, the original set S is the union of all the lattice point cell partitions.
  have $S\text{-}decompose$: $S = (\bigcup a.\ T\ a)$ **unfolding** $T\text{-}def$
  proof *safe*
    fix x **assume** x: $x \in S$
    define a **where** $a = (\chi\ i.\ \lfloor x\ \$\ i \rfloor)$

```
  have x ∈ R a
    unfolding R-def
    by (auto simp: cbox-interval less-eq-vec-def of-int-vec-def a-def)
  with x show x ∈ (⋃ a. S ∩ R a) by auto
 qed

 — Translating the partitioned subsets does not change their volume.
 have emeasure-T': emeasure lebesgue (T' a) = emeasure lebesgue (T a) for a
 proof −
  have T' a = (λx. 1 *R x + (− of-int-vec a)) ‘ T a
    by (simp add: T'-def)
  also have emeasure lebesgue ... = emeasure lebesgue (T a)
    by (subst emeasure-lebesgue-affine) auto
  finally show ?thesis
    by simp
 qed

 — Each translated partition of S is a subset of the unit cell at the origin.
 have T'-subset: T' a ⊆ cbox 0 1 for a
  unfolding T'-def T-def R-def
  by (auto simp: algebra-simps cbox-interval of-int-vec-def less-eq-vec-def)

 — It is clear that the intersection of two different lattice point cells is a null set.
 have R-Int: R a ∩ R b ∈ null-sets lebesgue if a ≠ b for a b
 proof −
  from that obtain i where i: a $ i ≠ b $ i
    by (auto simp: vec-eq-iff)
  have R a ∩ R b = cbox (χ i. max (a $ i) (b $ i)) (χ i. min (a $ i + 1) (b $ i
+ 1))
    unfolding Int-interval-cart R-def interval-cbox
    by (simp add: of-int-vec-def max-def min-def if-distrib cong: if-cong)
  hence emeasure lebesgue (R a ∩ R b) = emeasure lborel ...
    by simp
  also have ... = ennreal (∏ i∈UNIV. max 0 (((χ x. real-of-int (min (a $ x +
1) (b $ x + 1))) −
                                (χ x. real-of-int (max (a $ x) (b $ x)))) $ i))
    (is - = ennreal ?P)
    unfolding emeasure-lborel-cbox-cart-eq by simp
  also have ?P = 0
    using i by (auto simp: max-def intro!: exI[of - i])
  finally show ?thesis
    by (auto simp: null-sets-def R-def)
 qed

 — Therefore, the intersection of two lattice point cell partitionings of S is also a
null set.
 have T-Int: T a ∩ T b ∈ null-sets lebesgue if a ≠ b for a b
 proof −
  have T a ∩ T b = (R a ∩ R b) ∩ S
```

by (*auto simp*: *T-def*)
also have ... ∈ *null-sets lebesgue*
by (*rule null-set-Int2*) (*insert that*, *auto intro*: *R-Int assms*)
finally show *?thesis* **.**
qed
have *emeasure-T-Int*: *emeasure lebesgue* (*T a* ∩ *T b*) = *0* **if** *a* ≠ *b* **for** *a b*
using *T-Int*[*OF that*] **unfolding** *null-sets-def* **by** *blast*

— The set of lattice points $\mathbb{Z}^n$ is countably infinite, so there exists a bijection $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}^n$. We need this for summing over all lattice points.
define *f* :: *nat* ⇒ *int* ^ *'n* **where** *f* = *from-nat-into UNIV*
have *countable* (*UNIV* :: (*int* ^ *'n*) *set*) *infinite* (*UNIV* :: (*int* ^ *'n*) *set*)
using *infinite-UNIV-char-0* **by** *simp-all*
from *bij-betw-from-nat-into* [*OF this*] **have** *f*: *bij f*
by (*simp add*: *f-def*)

— Suppose all the translated cell partitions T' are disjoint.
{
assume *disjoint*: ⋀*a b*. *a* ≠ *b* ⟹ *T' a* ∩ *T' b* = {}
— We know by assumption that the volume of S is greater than 1.
have *1* < *emeasure lebesgue S* **by** *fact*
also have *emeasure lebesgue S* = *emeasure lebesgue* (⋃ *n*. *T'* (*f n*))
proof −
— The sum of the volumes of all the T' is precisely the volume of their union, which is S.
have *S* = (⋃ *a*. *T a*) **by** (*rule S-decompose*)
also have ... = (⋃ *n*. *T* (*f n*))
by (*rule bij-betw-UN* [*OF f*, *symmetric*])
also have (λ*n*. *emeasure lebesgue* (*T* (*f n*))) *sums emeasure lebesgue* ...
by (*intro sums-emeasure' emeasure-T-Int*) (*insert f*, *auto simp*: *bij-betw-def inj-on-def*)
also have (λ*n*. *emeasure lebesgue* (*T* (*f n*))) = (λ*n*. *emeasure lebesgue* (*T'* (*f n*)))
by (*simp add*: *emeasure-T'*)
finally have (λ*n*. *emeasure lebesgue* (*T'* (*f n*))) *sums emeasure lebesgue S* **.**
moreover have (λ*n*. *emeasure lebesgue* (*T'* (*f n*))) *sums emeasure lebesgue* (⋃ *n*. *T'* (*f n*))
using *disjoint* **by** (*intro sums-emeasure*)
(*insert f*, *auto simp*: *disjoint-family-on-def bij-betw-def inj-on-def*)
ultimately show *?thesis*
by (*auto simp*: *sums-iff*)
qed
— On the other hand, all the translated partitions lie in the unit cell *cbox 0 1*, so their combined volume cannot be greater than 1.
also have *emeasure lebesgue* (⋃ *n*. *T'* (*f n*)) ≤ *emeasure lebesgue* (*cbox 0* (*1* :: *real* ^ *'n*))
using *T'-subset* **by** (*intro emeasure-mono*) *auto*
also have ... = *1*

by (*simp add*: *emeasure-lborel-cbox-cart-eq*)
— This leads to a contradiction.
finally have *False* **by** *simp*
}
— Therefore, there exists a point that lies in two different translated partitions, which obviously corresponds two two points in the non-translated partitions whose difference is the difference between two lattice points and therefore has integer components.
then obtain *a b x* **where** $a \neq b$ $x \in T' a$ $x \in T' b$
by *auto*
thus *?thesis*
by (*intro that*[*of x + of-int-vec a x + of-int-vec b*])
(*auto simp*: *T'-def T-def algebra-simps*)
qed

1.4 Minkowski's theorem

Minkowski's theorem now states that, given a convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ that is symmetric around the origin and has a volume greater than 2^n, that set must contain a non-zero point with integer coordinates.

theorem *minkowski*:
fixes *B* :: (*real* ^ *'n*) *set*
assumes *convex B* **and** *symmetric*: *uminus ' B* ⊆ *B*
assumes *meas-B* [*measurable*]: *B* ∈ *sets lebesgue*
assumes *measure-B*: *emeasure lebesgue B* > *2* ^ *CARD*(*'n*)
obtains *x* **where** *x* ∈ *B* **and** *x* ≠ *0* **and** ⋀*i*. *x* $ *i* ∈ ℤ
proof −
— We scale *B* with $\frac{1}{2}$.
define *B'* **where** *B'* = (λ*x*. *2* ∗*R x*) −*' B*
have *meas-B'* [*measurable*]: *B'* ∈ *sets lebesgue*
using *measurable-sets*[*OF lebesgue-measurable-scaling*[*of 2*] *meas-B*]
by (*simp add*: *B'-def*)
have *B'-altdef*: *B'* = (λ*x*. (*1*/*2*) ∗*R x*) *' B*
unfolding *B'-def* **by** *force*

— The volume of the scaled set is 2^n times smaller than the original set, and therefore still has a volume greater than 1.
have *1* < *ennreal* ((*1* / *2*) ^ *CARD*(*'n*)) ∗ *emeasure lebesgue B*
proof (*cases emeasure lebesgue B*)
case (*real x*)
have *ennreal* (*2* ^ *CARD*(*'n*)) = *2* ^ *CARD*(*'n*)
by (*subst ennreal-power* [*symmetric*]) *auto*
also from *measure-B* **and** *real* **have** ... < *ennreal x* **by** *simp*
finally have (*2* ^ *CARD*(*'n*)) < *x*
by (*subst* (*asm*) *ennreal-less-iff*) *auto*
thus *?thesis*
using *real* **by** (*simp add*: *ennreal-1* [*symmetric*] *ennreal-mult'* [*symmetric*]
ennreal-less-iff field-simps del: *ennreal-1*)
qed (*simp-all add*: *ennreal-mult-top*)

also have ... = *emeasure lebesgue* B'
unfolding B'*-altdef* **using** *emeasure-lebesgue-affine*[*of 1/2 0 B*] **by** *simp*
finally have $*$: *emeasure lebesgue* $B' > 1$ **.**

— We apply Blichfeldt's theorem to get two points whose difference vector has integer coefficients. It only remains to show that that difference vector is itself a point in the original set.
obtain x y
where *xy*: $x \neq y$ $x \in B'$ $y \in B'$ $\bigwedge i.\ (x - y)\ \$\ i \in \mathbb{Z}$
by (*erule blichfeldt* [*OF meas-B'* $*$])
hence $2 *_R x \in B$ $2 *_R y \in B$ **by** (*auto simp*: B'*-def*)
— Exploiting the symmetric of B, the reflection of $2 *_R y$ is also in B.
moreover from *this* **and** *symmetric* **have** $-(2 *_R y) \in B$ **by** *blast*
— Since B is convex, the mid-point between $2 *_R x$ and $- 2 *_R y$ is also in B, and that point is simply $x - y$ as desired.
ultimately have $(1 / 2) *_R 2 *_R x + (1 / 2) *_R (- 2 *_R y) \in B$
using ‹*convex B*› **by** (*intro convexD*) *auto*
also have $(1 / 2) *_R 2 *_R x + (1 / 2) *_R (- 2 *_R y) = x - y$
by *simp*
finally show *?thesis* **using** *xy*
by (*intro that*[*of* $x - y$]) *auto*
qed

If the set in question is compact, the restriction to the volume can be weakened to "at least 1" from "greater than 1".

theorem *minkowski-compact*:
fixes $B :: (real\ \hat{}\ 'n)\ set$
assumes *convex B* **and** *compact B* **and** *symmetric*: *uminus* ‘ $B \subseteq B$
assumes *measure-B*: *emeasure lebesgue* $B \geq 2\ \hat{}\ CARD('n)$
obtains x **where** $x \in B$ **and** $x \neq 0$ **and** $\bigwedge i.\ x\ \$\ i \in \mathbb{Z}$
proof (*cases emeasure lebesgue* $B = 2\ \hat{}\ CARD('n)$)
— If the volume is greater than 1, we can just apply the theorem from before.
case *False*
with *measure-B* **have** *less*: *emeasure lebesgue* $B > 2\ \hat{}\ CARD('n)$
by *simp*
from ‹*compact B*› **have** *meas*: $B \in$ *sets lebesgue*
by (*intro sets-completionI-sets lborelD borel-closed compact-imp-closed*)
from *minkowski*[*OF assms*(*1*) *symmetric meas less*] **and** *that*
show *?thesis* **by** *blast*
next
case *True*
— If the volume is precisely one, we look at what happens when B is scaled with a factor of $1 + \varepsilon$.
define B' **where** $B' = (\lambda\varepsilon.\ (*_R)\ (1 + \varepsilon)$ ‘ $B)$
from ‹*compact B*› **have** *compact'*: *compact* $(B'\ \varepsilon)$ **for** ε
unfolding B'*-def* **by** (*intro compact-scaling*)
have B'*-altdef*: $B'\ \varepsilon = (*_R)\ (inverse\ (1 + \varepsilon)) -$‘ B **if** ε: $\varepsilon > 0$ **for** ε
using ε **unfolding** B'*-def* **by** *force*

— Since the scaled sets are convex, they are stable under scaling.
have *B-scale*: $a *_R x \in B$ **if** $x \in B$ $a \in \{0..1\}$ **for** a x
proof −
have $((a + 1) / 2) *_R x + (1 - ((a + 1) / 2)) *_R (-x) \in B$
using *that* **and** ‹*convex B*› **and** *symmetric* **by** (*intro convexD*) *auto*
also have $((a + 1) / 2) *_R x + (1 - ((a + 1) / 2)) *_R (-x) =$
$(1 + a) *_R ((1/2) *_R (x + x)) - x$
by (*simp add*: *algebra-simps del*: *scaleR-half-double*)
also have $\ldots = a *_R x$
by (*subst scaleR-half-double*) (*simp add*: *algebra-simps*)
finally show $\ldots \in B$ **.**
qed

— This means that B' is monotonic.
have *B'-subset*: $B' a \subseteq B' b$ **if** $0 \leq a$ $a \leq b$ **for** a b
proof
fix x **assume** $x \in B' a$
then obtain y **where** $x = (1 + a) *_R y$ $y \in B$
by (*auto simp*: *B'-def*)
moreover then have (*inverse* $(1 + b) * (1 + a)) *_R y \in B$
using *that* **by** (*intro B-scale*) (*auto simp*: *field-simps*)
ultimately show $x \in B' b$
using *that* **by** (*force simp*: *B'-def*)
qed

— We obtain some upper bound on the norm of B.
from ‹*compact B*› **have** *bounded B*
by (*rule compact-imp-bounded*)
then obtain C **where** C: *norm* $x \leq C$ **if** $x \in B$ **for** x
unfolding *bounded-iff* **by** *blast*

— We can then bound the distance of any point in a scaled set to the original set.
have *setdist-le*: *setdist* $\{x\}$ $B \leq \varepsilon * C$ **if** $x \in B' \varepsilon$ **and** $\varepsilon \geq 0$ **for** x ε
proof −
from *that* **obtain** y **where** y: $y \in B$ **and** [*simp*]: $x = (1 + \varepsilon) *_R y$
by (*auto simp*: *B'-def*)
from y **have** *setdist* $\{x\}$ $B \leq$ *dist* x y
by (*intro setdist-le-dist*) *auto*
also from *that* **have** *dist* x $y = \varepsilon *$ *norm* y
by (*simp add*: *dist-norm algebra-simps*)
also from y **have** *norm* $y \leq C$
by (*rule C*)
finally show *setdist* $\{x\}$ $B \leq \varepsilon * C$
using *that* **by** (*simp add*: *mult-left-mono*)
qed

— By applying the standard Minkowski theorem to the a scaled set, we can see that any scaled set contains a non-zero point with integer coordinates.
have $\exists v.\ v \in B' \varepsilon - \{0\} \wedge (\forall i.\ v \$ i \in \mathbb{Z})$ **if** ε: $\varepsilon > 0$ **for** ε

proof −
from ‹*convex B*› **have** *convex'*: *convex* (*B'* *ε*)
unfolding *B'-def* **by** (*rule convex-scaling*)
from ‹*compact B*› **have** *meas*: *B'* *ε* ∈ *sets lebesgue* **unfolding** *B'-def*
by (*intro sets-completionI-sets lborelD borel-closed compact-imp-closed compact-scaling*)
from *symmetric* **have** *symmetric'*: *uminus* ‘ *B'* *ε* ⊆ *B'* *ε*
by (*auto simp*: *B'-altdef*[*OF ε*])

have *2* ^ *CARD*('*n*) = *ennreal* (*2* ^ *CARD*('*n*))
by (*subst ennreal-power* [*symmetric*]) *auto*
hence *1* ∗ *emeasure lebesgue B* < *ennreal* ((*1* + *ε*) ^ *CARD*('*n*)) ∗ *emeasure lebesgue B*
using *True* **and** *ε* **by** (*intro ennreal-mult-strict-right-mono*) (*auto*)
also have ... = *emeasure lebesgue* (*B'* *ε*)
using *emeasure-lebesgue-affine*[*of 1+ε 0 B*] **and** *ε* **by** (*simp add*: *B'-def*)
finally have *measure-B'*: *emeasure lebesgue* (*B'* *ε*) > *2* ^ *CARD*('*n*)
using *True* **by** *simp*

obtain *v* **where** *v* ∈ *B'* *ε* *v* ≠ *0* ⋀*i*. *v* $ *i* ∈ ℤ
by (*erule minkowski*[*OF convex' symmetric' meas measure-B'*])
thus *?thesis*
by *blast*
qed
hence ∀ *n*. ∃ *v*. *v* ∈ *B'* (*1*/*Suc n*) − {*0*} ∧ (∀ *i*. *v* $ *i* ∈ ℤ)
by *auto*
— In particular, this means we can choose some sequence tending to zero – say $\frac{1}{n+1}$ – and always find a lattice point in the scaled set.
hence ∃ *v*. ∀ *n*. *v n* ∈ *B'* (*1*/*Suc n*) − {*0*} ∧ (∀ *i*. *v n* $ *i* ∈ ℤ)
by (*subst* (*asm*) *choice-iff*)
then obtain *v* **where** *v*: *v n* ∈ *B'* (*1*/*Suc n*) − {*0*} *v n* $ *i* ∈ ℤ **for** *i n*
by *blast*

— By the Bolzano–Weierstraß theorem, there exists a convergent subsequence of *v*.
have ∃ *h l*. *strict-mono* (*h*::*nat*⇒*nat*) ∧ (*v* ∘ *h*) ⟶ *l*
proof (*rule compactD*)
show *compact* (*B'* *1*) **by** (*rule compact'*)
show *range v* ⊆ *B'* *1*
using *B'-subset*[*of 1*/*Suc n 1* **for** *n*] **and** *v* **by** *auto*
qed
then obtain *h l* **where** *h*: *strict-mono h* **and** *l*: (*v* ∘ *h*) ⟶ *l*
by *blast*

— Since the convergent subsequence tends to l, the distance of the sequence elements to B tends to the distance of l and B. Furthermore, the distance of the sequence elements is bounded by $(1+\varepsilon)C$, which tends to 0, so the distance of l to B must be 0.
have *setdist* {*l*} *B* ≤ *0*

```
  proof (rule tendsto-le)
    show ((λx. setdist {x} B) ∘ (v ∘ h)) ⟶ setdist {l} B
      by (intro continuous-imp-tendsto l continuous-at-setdist)
    show (λn. inverse (Suc (h n)) * C) ⟶ 0
      by (intro tendsto-mult-left-zero filterlim-compose[OF - filterlim-subseq[OF h]]
            LIMSEQ-inverse-real-of-nat)
    show ∀F x in sequentially. ((λx. setdist {x} B) ∘ (v ∘ h)) x
                                 ≤ inverse (real (Suc (h x))) * C
      using setdist-le and v unfolding o-def
      by (intro always-eventually allI setdist-le) (auto simp: field-simps)
  qed auto
  hence setdist {l} B = 0
    by (intro antisym setdist-pos-le)
  with assms and ‹compact B› have l ∈ B
    by (subst (asm) setdist-eq-0-closed) (auto intro: compact-imp-closed)
```

— It is also easy to see that, since the lattice is a closed set and all sequence elements lie on it, the limit l also lies on it.

```
  moreover have l ∈ {l. ∀i. l $ i ∈ ℤ} - {0}
    using v by (intro closed-sequentially[OF closed-lattice - l]) auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using that by blast
qed

end
```

References

[1] E. Dummit. Number Theory: The Geometry of Numbers. https://web.math.rochester.edu/people/faculty/edummit/docs/numthy_7_geometry_of_numbers.pdf, 2014.