The Median Method

Emin Karayel

March 17, 2025

Abstract

The median method is an amplification result for randomized approximation algorithms described in [1]. Given an algorithm whose result is in a desired interval with a probability larger than $\frac{1}{2}$, it is possible to improve the success probability, by running the algorithm multiple times independently and using the median. In contrast to using the mean, the amplification of the success probability grows exponentially with the number of independent runs.

This entry contains a formalization of the underlying theorem: Given a sequence of n independent random variables, which are in a desired interval with a probability $\frac{1}{2} + \alpha$. Then their median will be in the desired interval with a probability of $1 - \exp(-2\alpha^2 n)$. In particular, the success probability approaches 1 exponentially with the number of variables.

In addition to that, this entry also contains a proof that orderstatistics of Borel-measurable random variables are themselves measurable and that generalized intervals in linearly ordered Borel-spaces are measurable.

Contents

1	Intervals are Borel measurable	1
2	Order statistics are Borel measurable	4
3	The Median Method	8
4	Some additional results about the median	19

1 Intervals are Borel measurable

theory Median imports HOL–Probability.Probability HOL–Library.Multiset Universal-Hash-Families.Universal-Hash-Families-More-Independent-Families

begin

This section contains a proof that intervals are Borel measurable, where an interval is defined as a convex subset of linearly ordered space, more precisely, a set is an interval, if for each triple of points x < y < z: If x and z are in the set so is y. This includes ordinary intervals like $\{a..b\}, \{a<..<b\}$ but also for example $\{x::rat. x * x < (2::rat)\}$ which cannot be expressed in the standard notation.

In the *HOL*-Analysis.Borel-Space there are proofs for the measurability of each specific type of interval, but those unfortunately do not help if we want to express the result about the median bound for arbitrary types of intervals.

```
definition interval :: ('a :: linorder) set \Rightarrow bool where
  interval \ I = (\forall x \ y \ z. \ x \in I \longrightarrow z \in I \longrightarrow x \le y \longrightarrow y \le z \longrightarrow y \in I)
definition up-ray :: ('a :: linorder) set \Rightarrow bool where
  up-ray I = (\forall x \ y. \ x \in I \longrightarrow x \le y \longrightarrow y \in I)
lemma up-ray-borel:
  assumes up-ray (I :: (('a :: linorder-topology) set))
 shows I \in borel
proof (cases closed I)
  case True
  then show ?thesis using borel-closed by blast
next
  case False
 hence b:\neg closed I by blast
  have open I
  proof (rule Topological-Spaces.openI)
   fix x
   assume c:x \in I
   show \exists T. open T \land x \in T \land T \subseteq I
   proof (cases \exists y. y < x \land y \in I)
     case True
     then obtain y where a: y < x \land y \in I by blast
     have open \{y < ..\} by simp
     moreover have x \in \{y < ..\} using a by simp
     moreover have \{y < ..\} \subseteq I
       using a assms(1) by (auto simp: up-ray-def)
     ultimately show ?thesis by blast
   next
     case False
     hence I \subseteq \{x..\} using linorder-not-less by auto
     moreover have \{x..\} \subseteq I
```

using $c \ assms(1)$ unfolding up-ray-def by blast ultimately have $I = \{x..\}$ by (rule order-antisym)

moreover have closed $\{x..\}$ by simp

```
ultimately have False using b by auto
     then show ?thesis by simp
   qed
 qed
 then show ?thesis by simp
qed
definition down-ray :: ('a :: linorder) set \Rightarrow bool where
  down-ray I = (\forall x \ y. \ y \in I \longrightarrow x \le y \longrightarrow x \in I)
lemma down-ray-borel:
 assumes down-ray (I :: (('a :: linorder-topology) set))
 shows I \in borel
proof -
 have up-ray (-I) using assms
   by (simp add: up-ray-def down-ray-def, blast)
 hence (-I) \in borel using up-ray-borel by blast
 thus I \in borel
   by (metis borel-comp double-complement)
qed
Main result of this section:
lemma interval-borel:
 assumes interval (I :: (('a :: linorder-topology) set))
 shows I \in borel
proof (cases I = \{\})
 case True
 then show ?thesis by simp
\mathbf{next}
 case False
 then obtain x where a:x \in I by blast
 have \bigwedge y \ z. \ y \in I \cup \{x..\} \Longrightarrow y \le z \Longrightarrow z \in I \cup \{x..\}
   by (metis assms a interval-def IntE UnE Un-Int-eq(1) Un-Int-eq(2) atLeast-iff
nle-le order.trans)
 hence up-ray (I \cup \{x..\})
   using up-ray-def by blast
 hence b: I \cup \{x..\} \in borel
   using up-ray-borel by blast
 have \bigwedge y \ z. \ y \in I \cup \{..x\} \Longrightarrow z \leq y \Longrightarrow z \in I \cup \{..x\}
     by (metis assms a interval-def UnE UnI1 UnI2 atMost-iff dual-order.trans
linorder-le-cases)
 hence down-ray (I \cup \{..x\})
   using down-ray-def by blast
 hence c: I \cup \{...x\} \in borel
   using down-ray-borel by blast
 have I = (I \cup \{x..\}) \cap (I \cup \{..x\})
   using a by fastforce
```

```
then show ?thesis using b c
    by (metis sets.Int)
ged
```

2 Order statistics are Borel measurable

This section contains a proof that order statistics of Borel measurable random variables are themselves Borel measurable.

The proof relies on the existence of branch-free comparison-sort algorithms. Given a sequence length these algorithms perform compare-swap operations on predefined pairs of positions. In particular the result of a comparison does not affect future operations. An example for a branch-free comparison sort algorithm is shell-sort and also bubble-sort without the early exit.

The advantage of using such a comparison-sort algorithm is that it can be lifted to work on random variables, where the result of a comparison-swap operation on two random variables X and Y can be represented as the expressions $\lambda\omega$. min $(X \ \omega)$ $(Y \ \omega)$ and $\lambda\omega$. max $(X \ \omega)$ $(Y \ \omega)$.

Because taking the point-wise minimum (resp. maximum) of two random variables is still Borel measurable, and because the entire sorting operation can be represented using such compare-swap operations, we can show that all order statistics are Borel measuable.

```
fun sort-primitive where
```

sort-primitive i j f k = (if k = i then min (f i) (f j) else (if k = j then max (f i) (f j) else f k))

fun sort-map **where** sort-map f n = fold id [sort-primitive j i. i < -[0..<n], j < -[0..<i]] f

lemma sort-map-ind: sort-map f (Suc n) = fold id [sort-primitive j n. j < -[0..<n]] (sort-map f n) by simp

lemma sort-map-strict-mono: **fixes** $f :: nat \Rightarrow 'b :: linorder$ **shows** $j < n \implies i < j \implies$ sort-map $f n i \leq$ sort-map f n j **proof** (induction n arbitrary: i j) **case** 0 **then show** ?case **by** simp **next case** (Suc n) **define** g **where** $g = (\lambda k. fold id [sort-primitive <math>j n. j < -[0..<k]]$ (sort-map f n)) **define** k **where** k = n **have** $a:(\forall i j. j < n \longrightarrow i < j \longrightarrow g k i \leq g k j) \land (\forall l. l < k \longrightarrow g k l \leq g k n)$ **proof** (induction k)

case θ then show ?case using Suc by (simp add:g-def del:sort-map.simps) \mathbf{next} case (Suc k) have g (Suc k) = sort-primitive k n (g k) **by** (*simp* add:g-def) then show ?case using Suc apply (cases $q \ k \ k \leq q \ k \ n$) **apply** (simp add:min-def max-def) using less-antisym apply blast apply (cases $g \ k \ n \leq g \ k \ k$) **apply** (*simp add:min-def max-def*) **apply** (*metis less-antisym max.coboundedI2 max.orderE*) by simp qed hence $\bigwedge i j$. $j < Suc \ n \Longrightarrow i < j \Longrightarrow g \ n \ i \le g \ n \ j$ **apply** (*simp add:k-def*) **using** *less-antisym* **by** *blast* **moreover have** sort-map $f(Suc \ n) = g \ n$ **by** (*simp* add:*sort-map-ind g-def del:sort-map.simps*) ultimately show ?case using Suc by (simp del:sort-map.simps) qed lemma *sort-map-mono*: fixes $f :: nat \Rightarrow 'b :: linorder$ shows $j < n \implies i \le j \implies sort-map \ f \ n \ i \le sort-map \ f \ n \ j$ by (metis sort-map-strict-mono eq-iff le-imp-less-or-eq) **lemma** *sort-map-perm*: fixes $f :: nat \Rightarrow 'b :: linorder$ **shows** image-mset (sort-map f n) (mset [0..< n]) = image-mset f (mset [0..< n]) proof **define** *is-swap* where *is-swap* = ($\lambda(ts :: ((nat \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'b))$. $\exists i < n. \exists j$ < n. ts = sort-primitive i j**define** $t :: ((nat \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'b)$ list where $t = [sort-primitive \ j \ i. \ i < -[0..<n], \ j < -[0..<i]]$ have a: $\bigwedge x f$. is-swap $x \Longrightarrow$ image-mset (x f) (mset-set $\{0..< n\}$) = image-mset $f (mset-set \{0..< n\})$ proof fix xfix $f :: nat \Rightarrow 'b :: linorder$ assume is-swap xthen obtain i j where x-def: x = sort-primitive i j and i-bound: i < n and j-bound:j < nusing *is-swap-def* by *blast* define *inv* where *inv* = *mset-set* {k. $k < n \land k \neq i \land k \neq j$ } have $b: \{0.. < n\} = \{k. \ k < n \land k \neq i \land k \neq j\} \cup \{i, j\}$

$\mathbf{5}$

```
apply (rule order-antisym, rule subsetI, simp, blast, rule subsetI, simp)
     using i-bound j-bound by meson
   have c: \bigwedge k. \ k \in \# \ inv \Longrightarrow (x \ f) \ k = f \ k
     by (simp add:x-def inv-def)
   have image-mset (x f) inv = image-mset f inv
     apply (rule multiset-eqI)
     using c multiset.map-cong\theta by force
   moreover have image-mset (x f) (mset-set \{i,j\}) = image-mset f (mset-set
{i,j}
     apply (cases i = j)
     by (simp add:x-def max-def min-def)+
   moreover have mset-set \{0.. < n\} = inv + mset-set \{i, j\}
     by (simp only:inv-def b, rule mset-set-Union, simp, simp, simp)
  ultimately show image-mset (xf) (mset-set \{0..< n\}) = image-mset f (mset-set
\{0..< n\})
    by simp
 \mathbf{qed}
  have (\forall x \in set \ t. \ is-swap \ x) \implies image-mset \ (fold \ id \ t \ f) \ (mset \ [0..< n]) =
image-mset f (mset [0..< n])
   by (induction t arbitrary:f, simp, simp add:a)
 moreover have \bigwedge x. x \in set \ t \Longrightarrow is-swap x
   apply (simp add:t-def is-swap-def)
   by (meson atLeastLessThan-iff imageE less-imp-le less-le-trans)
 ultimately have image-mset (fold id t f) (mset [0..< n]) = image-mset f (mset
[0..< n]) by blast
 then show ?thesis by (simp add:t-def)
qed
lemma list-eq-iff:
 assumes mset xs = mset ys
 assumes sorted xs
 assumes sorted ys
 shows xs = ys
 using assms properties-for-sort by blast
lemma sort-map-eq-sort:
 fixes f :: nat \Rightarrow ('b :: linorder)
 shows map (sort-map f n) [0..< n] = sort (map f [0..< n]) (is ?A = ?B)
proof -
 have mset ?A = mset ?B
   using sort-map-perm[where f=f and n=n]
   by (simp del:sort-map.simps)
 moreover have sorted ?B
   by simp
 moreover have sorted ?A
   apply (subst sorted-wrt-iff-nth-less)
   apply (simp del:sort-map.simps)
   by (metis sort-map-mono nat-less-le)
```

```
ultimately show ?A = ?B
    using list-eq-iff by blast
qed
lemma order-statistics-measurable-aux:
  fixes X :: nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('b :: \{linorder-topology, second-countable-topology\})
 assumes n \ge 1
 assumes j < n
 assumes \bigwedge i. i < n \Longrightarrow X i \in measurable M borel
 shows (\lambda x. (sort-map (\lambda i. X i x) n) j) \in measurable M borel
proof –
  have n-ge-\theta:n > \theta using assms by simp
 define is-swap where is-swap = (\lambda(ts :: ((nat \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'b)). \exists i < n. \exists j
< n. ts = sort-primitive i j)
  define t :: ((nat \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'b) list
    where t = [sort-primitive \ j \ i. \ i < -[0..<n], \ j < -[0..<i]]
  define meas-ptw :: (nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow bool
    where meas-ptw = (\lambda f. (\forall k. k < n \longrightarrow f k \in borel-measurable M))
  have ind-step:
    \bigwedge x \ (g :: nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b). \ meas-ptw \ g \Longrightarrow is-swap \ x \Longrightarrow meas-ptw \ (\lambda k \ \omega. \ x \ (\lambda i.
g \ i \ \omega) \ k)
  proof -
    fix x g
    assume meas-ptw g
   hence a: \bigwedge k. \ k < n \implies g \ k \in borel-measurable \ M by (simp \ add:meas-ptw-def)
    assume is-swap x
    then obtain i j where x-def:x=sort-primitive i j and i-le:i < n and j-le:j < n
n
      by (simp add:is-swap-def, blast)
    have \bigwedge k. \ k < n \Longrightarrow (\lambda \omega. \ x \ (\lambda i. \ g \ i \ \omega) \ k) \in borel-measurable M
    proof -
      fix k
      assume k < n
      thus (\lambda \omega. x (\lambda i. g i \omega) k) \in borel-measurable M
        apply (simp add:x-def)
        apply (cases k = i, simp)
        using a i-le j-le borel-measurable-min apply blast
        apply (cases k = j, simp)
        using a i-le j-le borel-measurable-max apply blast
        using a by simp
    qed
    thus meas-ptw (\lambda k \ \omega. x (\lambda i. g i \omega) k)
      by (simp add:meas-ptw-def)
  qed
```

have $(\forall x \in set \ t. \ is-swap \ x) \implies meas-ptw \ (\lambda \ k \ \omega. \ (fold \ id \ t \ (\lambda k. \ X \ k \ \omega)) \ k)$ proof (induction t rule:rev-induct) case Nil then show ?case using assms by (simp add:meas-ptw-def) next case (snoc x xs) have a:meas-ptw ($\lambda k \ \omega$. fold (λa . a) xs (λk . X k ω) k) using snoc by simp have b:is-swap x using snoc by simp show ?case using ind-step[OF a b] by simp qed moreover have $\Lambda x. \ x \in set \ t \implies is-swap \ x$ apply (simp add:t-def is-swap-def) by (meson atLeastLessThan-iff imageE less-imp-le less-le-trans) ultimately show ?thesis using assms by (simp add:t-def[symmetric] meas-ptw-def) qed

Main results of this section:

lemma order-statistics-measurable:

fixes $X ::: nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('b :: \{linorder-topology, second-countable-topology\})$ assumes $n \ge 1$ assumes j < nassumes $\bigwedge i. \ i < n \Longrightarrow X \ i \in measurable \ M \ borel$ shows $(\lambda x. (sort (map (<math>\lambda i. \ X \ i \ x) \ [0..< n])) \ ! \ j) \in measurable \ M \ borel$ apply (subst sort-map-eq-sort[symmetric]) using assms by (simp add:order-statistics-measurable-aux del:sort-map.simps)

definition median :: $nat \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow ('a :: linorder)) \Rightarrow 'a$ where median n f = sort (map f [0..< n]) ! (n div 2)

lemma median-measurable: **fixes** $X :: nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('b :: \{linorder-topology, second-countable-topology\})$ **assumes** $n \ge 1$ **assumes** $\bigwedge i. i < n \Longrightarrow X i \in measurable M borel$ **shows** $(\lambda x. median n (\lambda i. X i x)) \in measurable M borel$ **apply** $(simp \ add:median-def)$ **apply** $(rule \ order-statistics-measurable[OF \ assms(1) - assms(2)])$ **using** assms(1) **by** force+

3 The Median Method

This section contains the proof for the probability that the median of independent random variables will be in an interval with high probability if the individual variables are in the same interval with probability larger than $\frac{1}{2}$. The proof starts with the elementary observation that the median of a sequence with n elements is in an interval I if at least half of them are in I. This works because after sorting the sequence the elements that will be in the interval must necessarily form a consecutive subsequence, if its length is larger than $\frac{n}{2}$ the median must be in it. The remainder follows the proof in $[1, \S2.1]$ using the Hoeffding inequality to estimate the probability that at least half of the sequence elements will be in the interval I.

```
lemma interval-rule:
 assumes interval I
 assumes a \leq x x \leq b
 assumes a \in I
 assumes b \in I
 shows x \in I
 using assms(1) apply (simp add:interval-def)
 using assms by blast
lemma sorted-int:
 assumes interval I
 assumes sorted xs
 assumes k < length xs \ i \leq j \ j \leq k
 assumes xs \mid i \in I xs \mid k \in I
 shows xs \mid j \in I
 apply (rule interval-rule [where a=xs \mid i and b=xs \mid k])
  using assms by (simp add: sorted-nth-mono)+
lemma mid-in-interval:
 assumes 2*length (filter (\lambda x. x \in I) xs) > length xs
 assumes interval I
 assumes sorted xs
 shows xs ! (length xs div 2) \in I
proof -
 have length (filter (\lambda x. x \in I) xs) > 0 using assms(1) by linarith
 then obtain v where v-1: v < length xs and v-2: xs \mid v \in I
   by (metis filter-False in-set-conv-nth length-greater-0-conv)
 define J where J = \{k. \ k < length \ xs \land xs \ ! \ k \in I\}
 have card-J-min: 2* card J >  length xs
   using assms(1) by (simp add: J-def length-filter-conv-card)
  consider
   (a) xs ! (length xs div 2) \in I \mid
   (b) xs ! (length xs div 2) \notin I \land v > (length xs div 2) |
   (c) xs ! (length xs div 2) \notin I \land v < (length xs div 2)
   by (metis linorder-cases v-2)
  thus ?thesis
  proof (cases)
   case a
   then show ?thesis by simp
 \mathbf{next}
   case b
   have p: \bigwedge k. \ k \leq length \ xs \ div \ 2 \implies xs \ ! \ k \notin I
```

```
apply simp by blast
   hence card J \leq card \{Suc \ (length xs \ div \ 2).. < length xs\}
    unfolding J-def using not-less-eq-eq[symmetric] by (intro card-mono subsetI)
auto
   hence card J \leq length xs - (Suc (length xs div 2))
     using card-atLeastLessThan by metis
   hence length xs \leq 2*( length xs - (Suc (length xs div 2)))
     using card-J-min by linarith
   hence False using b v-1 by auto
   then show ?thesis by simp
  next
   case c
   have \bigwedge k. k \ge length xs div 2 \implies k < length xs \implies xs ! k \notin I
     using c v-1 v-2 sorted-int[OF assms(2,3), where i = v and j = length xs div
\mathcal{2}]
     by simp blast
   hence card J \leq card \{0..<(length xs div 2)\}
      unfolding J-def using linorder-le-less-linear by (intro card-mono subsetI)
auto
   hence card J \leq (length xs div 2)
     using card-atLeastLessThan by simp
   then show ?thesis using card-J-min by linarith
  qed
qed
lemma median-est:
  assumes interval I
 assumes 2*card \{k. k < n \land f k \in I\} > n
 shows median n f \in I
proof -
  have \{k. \ k < n \land f \ k \in I\} = \{i. \ i < n \land map \ f \ [0...< n] \ ! \ i \in I\} by auto
  thus ?thesis using assms unfolding median-def
   by (intro mid-in-interval [OF - assms(1), where xs=sort (map f [0..< n]), sim-
plified])
     (simp-all add:filter-sort comp-def length-filter-conv-card)
qed
lemma median-est-rev:
  assumes interval I
  assumes median n f \notin I
 shows 2*card \{k. k < n \land f k \notin I\} \ge n
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume a: \neg (2 \ast card \{k. \ k < n \land f \ k \notin I\} \ge n)
  have 2 * n = 2 * card \{k. k < n\} by simp
  also have \dots = 2 * card (\{k. k < n \land f k \in I\} \cup \{k. k < n \land f k \notin I\})
   by (intro arg-cong2[where f=(*)] refl arg-cong[where f=card]) auto
  also have ... = 2 * card \{k. \ k < n \land f \ k \in I\} + 2 * card \{k. \ k < n \land f \ k \notin I\}
   by (subst card-Un-disjoint) auto
```

also have $... \le n + 2 * card \{k. \ k < n \land f \ k \notin I\}$ using median-est[OF assms(1)] assms(2) not-less by (intro add-mono) auto also have ... < n + nusing a by (intro add-strict-left-mono) auto finally show False by auto ged

lemma prod-pmf-bernoulli-mono: assumes finite I assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies 0 \leq f i \wedge f i \leq g i \wedge g i \leq 1$ assumes $\bigwedge x \ y. \ x \in A \Longrightarrow (\forall i \in I. \ x \ i \leq y \ i) \Longrightarrow y \in A$ shows measure (Pi-pmf I d (bernoulli-pmf \circ f)) $A \leq$ measure (Pi-pmf I d $(bernoulli-pmf \circ g)) A$ $(\mathbf{is} ?L \leq ?R)$ proof – define q where q i = pmf-of-list [(0::nat, f i), (1, q i - f i), (2, 1 - q i)] for i have wf:pmf-of-list-wf [(0::nat, f i), (1, g i - f i), (2, 1 - g i)] if $i \in I$ for i using assms(2)[OF that] by (intro pmf-of-list-wfI) auto have 0: bernoulli-pmf (f i) = map-pmf ($\lambda x. x = 0$) (q i) (is ?L1 = ?R1) if $i \in I$ for iproof – have $0 \le f \ i \ f \ i \le 1$ using $assms(2)[OF \ that]$ by auto hence pmf ?L1 x = pmf ?R1 x for x **unfolding** *q-def pmf-map measure-pmf-of-list*[*OF wf*[*OF that*]] **by** (cases x;simp-all add:vimage-def) thus ?thesis by (intro pmf-eqI) auto \mathbf{qed} have 1: bernoulli-pmf $(g i) = map-pmf (\lambda x. x \in \{0,1\}) (q i)$ (is ?L1 = ?R1) if $i \in I$ for iproof have $0 \le g \ i \ g \ i \le 1$ using $assms(2)[OF \ that]$ by auto hence pmf ?L1 x = pmf ?R1 x for x **unfolding** *q*-def pmf-map measure-pmf-of-list[OF wf[OF that]] **by** (cases x;simp-all add:vimage-def) thus ?thesis by (intro pmf-eqI) auto \mathbf{qed} have $2: (\lambda k. \ x \ k = 0) \in A \implies (\lambda k. \ x \ k = 0 \lor x \ k = Suc \ 0) \in A$ for x

have $?L = measure (Pi-pmf I d (\lambda i. map-pmf (\lambda x. x = 0) (q i))) A$ unfolding comp-def by (simp add:0 cong: Pi-pmf-cong) also have ... = measure (map-pmf ((\circ) ($\lambda x. x = 0$)) (Pi-pmf I (if d then 0 else 2) q)) A

by (erule assms(3)) auto

by (intro arg-cong2[where f=measure-pmf.prob] Pi-pmf-map[OF assms(1)]) autoalso have ... = measure (*Pi-pmf I* (if d then 0 else 2) q) {x. $(\lambda k. x k = 0) \in A$ } by (simp add:comp-def vimage-def) **also have** ... \leq measure (Pi-pmf I (if d then 0 else 2) q) {x. ($\lambda k. x k \in \{0,1\}$) $\in A$ using 2 by (intro measure-pmf.finite-measure-mono subsetI) auto **also have** ... = measure (map-pmf ((\circ) ($\lambda x. x \in \{0,1\}$)) (Pi-pmf I (if d then 0) else 2) q)) A **by** (*simp add:vimage-def comp-def*) also have ... = measure (Pi-pmf I d (λi . map-pmf (λx . $x \in \{0,1\}$) (q i))) A by (intro arg-cong2[where f=measure-pmf.prob] Pi-pmf-map[OF assms(1), symmetric]) auto also have $\dots = ?R$ **unfolding** comp-def **by** (simp add:1 conq: Pi-pmf-conq) finally show ?thesis by simp qed **lemma** discrete-measure-eqI: assumes sets M = count-space UNIV assumes sets N = count-space UNIV assumes countable Ω assumes $\bigwedge x. \ x \in \Omega \implies emeasure \ M \ \{x\} = emeasure \ N \ \{x\} \land emeasure \ M \ \{x\}$ $\neq \infty$ assumes $AE \ x \ in \ M. \ x \in \Omega$ assumes $AE \ x \ in \ N. \ x \in \Omega$ shows M = Nproof define E where $E = insert \{\} ((\lambda x. \{x\}) ` \Omega)$ have 0: Int-stable E unfolding E-def by (intro Int-stableI) auto have 1: countable E using assms(3) unfolding E-def by simphave $E \subseteq Pow \ \Omega$ unfolding *E*-def by *auto* have emeasure M A = emeasure N A if A-range: $A \in E$ for A using that assms(4) unfolding *E*-def by auto moreover have sets $M = sets \ N \text{ using } assms(1,2)$ by simpmoreover have $\Omega \in sets \ M \text{ using } assms(1)$ by simpmoreover have $E \neq \{\}$ unfolding *E*-def by simp moreover have $\bigcup E = \Omega$ unfolding *E*-def by simp moreover have emeasure $M \ a \neq \infty$ if $a \in E$ for a using that assms(4) unfolding *E*-def by auto **moreover have** sets (restrict-space $M \Omega$) = Pow Ω using assms(1) by $(simp \ add:sets-restrict-space \ range-inter)$ **moreover have** sets (restrict-space $N \Omega$) = Pow Ω using assms(2) by $(simp \ add:sets-restrict-space \ range-inter)$ **moreover have** sigma-sets $\Omega E = Pow \Omega$ **unfolding** *E*-def by (intro sigma-sets-singletons-and-empty assms(3)) ultimately show ?thesis

by (intro measure-eqI-restrict-generator [OF 0 - - - - assms(5,6) 1]) auto qed

Main results of this section:

The next theorem establishes a bound for the probability of the median of independent random variables using the binomial distribution. In a follow-up step, we will establish tail bounds for the binomial distribution and corresponding median bounds.

This two-step strategy was suggested by Yong Kiam Tan. In a previous version, I only had verified the exponential tail bound (see theorem median_bound below).

theorem (in *prob-space*) *median-bound-raw*: **fixes** I :: ('b :: {linorder-topology, second-countable-topology}) set assumes n > 0 $p \ge 0$ assumes interval I assumes indep-vars (λ -. borel) X {0..<n} assumes $\bigwedge i. i < n \Longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\omega \text{ in } M. X i \omega \in I) \ge p$ shows $\mathcal{P}(\omega \text{ in } M. \text{ median } n \ (\lambda i. X \ i \ \omega) \in I) \geq 1 - \text{ measure (binomial-pmf } n \ p)$ $\{..n \ div \ 2\}$ $(is ?L \ge ?R)$ proof let $?pi = Pi-pmf \{.. < n\}$ undefined define q where $q \ i = \mathcal{P}(\omega \text{ in } M. X \ i \ \omega \in I)$ for i have *n*-ge-1: $n \ge 1$ using assms(1) by simphave $0: \{k, k < n \land (k < n \longrightarrow X \ k \ \omega \in I)\} = \{k, k < n \land X \ k \ \omega \in I\}$ for ω by *auto* have countable $(\{..< n\} \rightarrow_E (UNIV :: bool set))$ by (intro countable-PiE) auto **hence** countable-ext: countable (extensional $\{..<n\}$:: (nat \Rightarrow bool) set) unfolding *PiE-def* by *auto* have $m0: I \in sets \ borel$ using interval-borel[OF assms(3)] by simphave m1: random-variable borel (λx . X k x) if $k \in \{..< n\}$ for k using assms(4) that unfolding indep-vars-def by auto have $m2: (\lambda x. x \in I) \in borel \to_M (measure-pmf ((bernoulli-pmf \circ q) k))$ for k using $m\theta$ by measurable **hence** m3: random-variable (measure-pmf ((bernoulli-pmf $\circ q$) k)) (λx . X k x \in I)if $k \in \{.. < n\}$ for k by (intro measurable-compose [OF m1] that)

hence m4: random-variable (PiM {..<n} (bernoulli-pmf \circ q)) ($\lambda \omega$. $\lambda k \in \{..<n\}$. $X k \omega \in I$) by (intro measurable-restrict) auto **moreover have** $A \in sets$ ($Pi_M \{... < n\}$ ($\lambda x.$ measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf (q x)))) if $A \subseteq extensional \{..< n\}$ for A proof have $A = (\bigcup a \in A, \{a\})$ by *auto* also have $\ldots = (\bigcup a \in A. PiE \{\ldots < n\} (\lambda k. \{a k\}))$ using that by (intro arg-cong [where f = Union] image-cong reft PiE-singleton[symmetric]) autoalso have $... \in sets (Pi_M \{..< n\} (\lambda x. measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf (q x))))$ using that countable-ext countable-subset by (intro sets.countable-Union countable-image image-subsetI sets-PiM-I-finite) autofinally show ?thesis by simp qed hence $m5: id \in (PiM \{..< n\} (bernoulli-pmf \circ q)) \rightarrow_M (count-space UNIV)$ by (intro measurableI) (simp-all add:vimage-def space-PiM PiE-def) ultimately have random-variable (count-space UNIV) (id $\circ (\lambda \omega, \lambda k \in \{... < n\})$. X $k \ \omega \in I)$ by (rule measurable-comp) hence m6: random-variable (count-space UNIV) ($\lambda \omega$. $\lambda k \in \{... < n\}$. X k $\omega \in I$) by simp have indep: indep-vars (bernoulli-pmf $\circ q$) ($\lambda i x. X i x \in I$) {0...<n} by (intro indep-vars-compose2[OF assms(4)] m2) have measure $M \{x \in space M. (X k x \in I) = \omega\} = measure (bernoulli-pmf (q))$ $k)) \{\omega\}$ ${\bf if} \ k < n \ {\bf for} \ \omega \ k \\$ **proof** (cases ω) case True then show ?thesis unfolding q-def by (simp add:measure-pmf-single) next case False have $\{x \in space \ M. \ X \ k \ x \in I\} \in events$ using that m0 by (intro measurable-sets-Collect[OF m1]) auto hence prob { $x \in space \ M. \ X \ k \ x \notin I$ } = 1 - prob { $\omega \in space \ M. \ X \ k \ \omega \in I$ } **by** (subst prob-neg) auto thus ?thesis using False unfolding q-def by (simp add:measure-pmf-single) \mathbf{qed} hence 1: emeasure $M \{x \in space M. (X \mid x \in I) = \omega\}$ = emeasure (bernoulli-pmf $(q \ k)) \ \{\omega\}$ ${\bf if} \; k < n \; {\bf for} \; \omega \; k \\$

using that unfolding emeasure-eq-measure measure-pmf.emeasure-eq-measure by simp

interpret product-sigma-finite (bernoulli-pm $f \circ q$)

by standard

have distr M (count-space UNIV) ($\lambda \omega$. ($\lambda k \in \{..< n\}$. X k $\omega \in I$)) = distr $(distr \ M \ (PiM \ \{..< n\} \ (bernoulli-pmf \circ q)) \ (\lambda \omega. \ \lambda k \in \{..< n\}. \ X \ k \ \omega \in I))$ (count-space UNIV) id by (subst distr-distr[OF m5 m4]) (simp add:comp-def) also have ... = distr (PiM {..<n} (λi . (distr M ((bernoulli-pmf $\circ q$) i) ($\lambda \omega$. X $i \ \omega \in I$))) (count-space UNIV) id using assms(1) indep atLeast0LessThan by (intro arg-cong2[where $f = \lambda x y$. $distr \ x \ y \ id$ *iffD1*[OF indep-vars-iff-distr-eq-PiM'] m3) auto also have $\dots = distr (PiM \{ \dots < n \} (bernoulli-pmf \circ q)) (count-space UNIV) id$ using m3 1 by (intro distr-cong PiM-cong refl discrete-measure-eqI[where $\Omega = UNIV$]) (simp-all add:emeasure-distr vimage-def Int-def conj-commute) also have $\dots = ?pi (bernoulli-pmf \circ q)$ **proof** (rule discrete-measure-eqI[where Ω =extensional {...<n}], goal-cases) case 1 show ?case by simp \mathbf{next} case 2 show ?case by simp next case 3 show ?case using countable-ext by simp next case (4 x)have emeasure $(Pi_M \{..< n\} (bernoulli-pmf \circ q)) \{x\} =$ emeasure $(Pi_M \{..< n\} (bernoulli-pmf \circ q))$ $(PiE \{..< n\} (\lambda k. \{x k\}))$ using *PiE-singleton*[*OF* 4] by *simp* also have ... = $(\prod i < n. emeasure (measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf (q i))) \{x i\})$ by (subst emeasure-PiM) auto also have $\dots = emeasure (Pi-pmf \{\dots < n\} undefined (bernoulli-pmf \circ q))$ $(PiE-dflt \{..< n\} undefined (\lambda k. \{x k\}))$ **unfolding** *measure-pmf.emeasure-eq-measure* by (subst measure-Pi-pmf-PiE-dflt) (simp-all add:prod-ennreal) also have ... = emeasure (Pi-pmf {... < n} undefined (bernoulli-pmf $\circ q$)) {x} using 4 by (intro arg-cong2[where f=emeasure]) (auto simp add: PiE-dflt-def extensional-def) finally have emeasure $(Pi_M \{.. < n\} (bernoulli-pmf \circ q)) \{x\} =$ emeasure (Pi-pmf {..<n} undefined (bernoulli-pmf \circ q)) {x} by simp thus ?case using 4 by (subst (1 2) emeasure-distr[OF m5]) (simp-all add:vimage-def space-PiM PiE-def) next case 5have $AE \ x \ in \ Pi_M \ \{..< n\}$ (bernoulli-pmf $\circ q$). $x \in extensional \ \{..< n\}$ **by** (*intro* AE-I2) (*simp* add:space-PiM PiE-def) then show ?case by (subst AE-distr-iff[OF m5]) simp-all next

case b

then show ?case by (intro AE-pmfI) (simp add: set-Pi-pmf PiE-dflt-def extensional-def) qed finally have 2: distr M (count-space UNIV) ($\lambda \omega$. ($\lambda k \in \{.. < n\}$). X k $\omega \in I$)) = $?pi (bernoulli-pmf \circ q)$ by simp have 3: $n < 2 * card \{k. k < n \land y k\}$ if $n < 2 * card \{k. \ k < n \land x \ k\} \land i. \ i < n \Longrightarrow x \ i \Longrightarrow y \ i \ for \ x \ y$ proof have $2 * card \{k. \ k < n \land x \ k\} \le 2 * card \{k. \ k < n \land y \ k\}$ using that(2) by (intro mult-left-mono card-mono) auto thus ?thesis using that(1) by simp qed have $4: 0 \leq p \land p \leq q \ i \land q \ i \leq 1$ if i < n for iunfolding q-def using assms(2,5) that by auto have *p*-range: $p \in \{0..1\}$ using 4[OF assms(1)] by auto have ?R = 1 - measure-pmf.prob (binomial-pmf n p) {k. $2 * k \le n$ } by (intro arg-cong2[where f=(-)] arg-cong2[where f=measure-pmf.prob]) autoalso have $\dots = measure (binomial-pmf n p) \{k. n < 2 * k\}$ by (subst measure-pmf.prob-compl[symmetric]) (simp-all add:set-diff-eq not-le) also have ... = measure (?pi (bernoulli-pmf $\circ (\lambda$ -. p))) { ω . n < 2 * card {k. k $< n \wedge \omega k\}$ using *p*-range by (subst binomial-pmf-altdef'[where $A = \{.. < n\}$ and dflt=undefined]) auto also have ... \leq measure (?pi (bernoulli-pmf \circ q)) { ω . n < 2 * card {k. k < n $\wedge \omega k$ using 3 4 by (intro prod-pmf-bernoulli-mono) auto also have ... = $\mathcal{P}(\omega \text{ in distr } M \text{ (count-space UNIV)} (\lambda \omega. \lambda k \in \{..< n\}. X k \omega \in I). n < 2 * card$ $\{k. \ k < n \land \omega \ k\})$ unfolding 2 by simp also have $\dots = \mathcal{P}(\omega \text{ in } M. n < 2*card \{k. k < n \land X k \omega \in I\})$ by (subst measure-distr[OF m6]) (simp-all add:vimage-def Int-def conj-commute θ) also have $\dots \leq ?L$ using median-est[OF assms(3)] m0 m1 $\mathbf{by} \ (intro\ finite-measure-mono\ measurable-sets-Collect [OF\ median-measurable] OF$ n-ge-1]) auto finally show $?R \leq ?L$ by simpged

Cumulative distribution of the binomial distribution (contributed by Yong

Kiam Tan):

lemma prob-binomial-pmf-upto: **assumes** $0 \le p \ p \le 1$ **shows** measure-pmf.prob (binomial-pmf n p) {..m} = $sum (\lambda i. real (n \ choose \ i) * p^{i} * (1 - p)^{i} (n-i)) \{0..m\}$ **by** (auto simp: pmf-binomial[OF assms] measure-measure-pmf-finite introl: sum.cong)

A tail bound for the binomial distribution using Hoeffding's inequality:

lemma *binomial-pmf-tail*: assumes $p \in \{0..1\}$ real $k \leq real \ n * p$ shows measure (binomial-pmf n p) $\{..k\} \leq exp (-2 * real n * (p - real k / p))$ $n)^2$ (**is** $?L \leq ?R)$ **proof** (cases n = 0) case True then show ?thesis by simp \mathbf{next} case False let $?A = \{..< n\}$ let ?pi = Pi pmf ?A undefined $(\lambda -. bernoulli pmf p)$ define μ where $\mu = (\sum i < n. (\int x. (of-bool (x i) :: real) \partial ?pi))$ define $\varepsilon :: real$ where $\varepsilon = \mu - k$ have $\mu = (\sum i < n. (\int x. (of-bool x :: real) \partial (map-pmf (\lambda \omega. \omega i) ?pi)))$ unfolding μ -def by simp also have ... = $(\sum i < n. (\int x. (of-bool x :: real) \partial (bernoulli-pmf p)))$ by (simp add: Pi-pmf-component) also have $\dots = real \ n * p \ using \ assms(1)$ by simpfinally have μ -alt: μ = real n * pby simp have ε -ge- θ : $\varepsilon \geq \theta$ using assms(2) unfolding ε -def μ -alt by auto have indep: prob-space.indep-vars ?pi (λ -. borel) ($\lambda k \omega$. of-bool (ωk)) {...<n} by (intro prob-space.indep-vars-compose 2[OF prob-space-measure-pmfindep-vars-Pi-pmf])auto**interpret** Hoeffding-ineq ?pi {...<n} $\lambda k \omega$. of-bool (ωk) λ -.0 λ -.1 μ using indep unfolding μ -def by (unfold-locales) simp-all have $?L = measure (map-pmf (\lambda f. card \{x \in ?A. f x\}) ?pi) \{..k\}$ by (intro arg-cong2 [where f=measure-pmf.prob] binomial-pmf-altdef 'assms(1)) autoalso have ... = $\mathcal{P}(\omega \text{ in } ?pi. (\sum i < n. of-bool (\omega i)) \le \mu - \varepsilon)$ **unfolding** ε -def by (simp add:vimage-def Int-def) also have $\dots \leq exp \ (-2 * \varepsilon^2 / (\sum i < n. (1 - \theta)^2))$ using False by (intro Hoeffding-ineq-le ε -ge-0) auto also have $\dots = ?R$ **unfolding** ε -def μ -alt by (simp add:power2-eq-square field-simps)

finally show ?thesis by simp qed **theorem** (in *prob-space*) *median-bound*: fixes n :: nat**fixes** I :: ('b :: {linorder-topology, second-countable-topology}) set assumes interval I assumes $\alpha > \theta$ assumes $\varepsilon \in \{0 < .. < 1\}$ assumes indep-vars (λ -. borel) X { θ ..<n} assumes $n \geq -\ln \varepsilon / (2 * \alpha^2)$ assumes $\bigwedge i. i < n \Longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\omega \text{ in } M. X i \omega \in I) \ge 1/2 + \alpha$ shows $\mathcal{P}(\omega \text{ in } M. \text{ median } n \ (\lambda i. X \ i \ \omega) \in I) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ proof have $\theta < -\ln \varepsilon / (2 * \alpha^2)$ using assms by (intro divide-pos-pos) auto also have $\dots \leq real \ n \text{ using } assms \text{ by } simp$ finally have real n > 0 by simp hence n-ge- θ : $n > \theta$ by simp have d0: real-of-int | real n / 2 | $* 2 / real n \le 1$ using n-ge-0 by simp linarith hence d1: real (nat | real n / 2 |) \leq real n * (1 / 2)using *n*-ge- θ by (simp add:field-simps) also have ... $\leq real \ n * (1 \ / \ 2 + \alpha)$ using assms(2) by (intro mult-left-mono) auto finally have d1: real (nat | real n / 2 |) \leq real $n * (1 / 2 + \alpha)$ by simp have $1/2 + \alpha \leq \mathcal{P}(\omega \text{ in } M. X \ \theta \ \omega \in I)$ using *n*-ge- θ by (intro assms(θ)) also have $\dots \leq 1$ by simp finally have $d2: 1 / 2 + \alpha \leq 1$ by simp have d3: nat $\lfloor real n / 2 \rfloor = n \text{ div } 2$ by linarith have $1 - \varepsilon < 1 - exp (-2 * real n * \alpha^2)$ using assms(2,3,5) by (intro diff-mono order.refl iffD1[OF ln-ge-iff]) (auto simp:field-simps) also have $\dots \leq 1 - exp \left(-2 * real n * \left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha\right) - real \left(nat |real n/2|\right) / real$ $n)^{2})$ using $d\theta$ n-ge- θ assms(2) by (intro diff-mono order.refl iffD2[OF exp-le-cancel-iff] mult-left-mono-neg power-mono) auto also have $\dots \leq 1 - measure$ (binomial-pmf $n(1/2+\alpha)$) {...nat |real n/2|} using assms(2) d1 d2 by (intro diff-mono order.refl binomial-pmf-tail) auto also have $\dots = 1 - measure$ (binomial-pmf $n (1/2+\alpha)$) { $\dots div 2$ } by (simp add:d3) also have $\dots \leq \mathcal{P}(\omega \text{ in } M. \text{ median } n \ (\lambda i. X \ i \ \omega) \in I)$ using assms(2) by (intro median-bound-raw n-ge-0 assms(1,4,6) add-nonneg-nonneg)

```
auto
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
```

This is a specialization of the above to closed real intervals.

corollary (in prob-space) median-bound-1: assumes $\alpha > 0$ assumes $\varepsilon \in \{0 < ... < 1\}$ assumes indep-vars (λ -. borel) X {0... < n} assumes $n \ge -\ln \varepsilon / (2 * \alpha^2)$ assumes $\forall i \in \{0... < n\}$. $\mathcal{P}(\omega \text{ in } M. \text{ X } i \ \omega \in (\{a..b\} :: real \ set)) \ge 1/2 + \alpha$ shows $\mathcal{P}(\omega \text{ in } M. \text{ median } n \ (\lambda i. \text{ X } i \ \omega) \in \{a..b\}) \ge 1 - \varepsilon$ using assms(5) by (intro median-bound[OF - assms(1,2,3,4)]) (auto simp:interval-def)

This is a specialization of the above, where $\alpha = \frac{1}{6}$ and the interval is described using a mid point μ and radius δ . The choice of $\alpha = \frac{1}{6}$ implies a success probability per random variable of $\frac{2}{3}$. It is a commonly chosen success probability for Monte-Carlo algorithms (cf. [2, §4] or [3, §1]).

corollary (in *prob-space*) *median-bound-2*: fixes $\mu \ \delta :: real$ assumes $\varepsilon \in \{0 < .. < 1\}$ assumes indep-vars (λ -. borel) X {0..<n} assumes $n \ge -18 * \ln \varepsilon$ assumes $\bigwedge i. i < n \Longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\omega \text{ in } M. abs (X i \omega - \mu) > \delta) \leq 1/3$ shows $\mathcal{P}(\omega \text{ in } M. \text{ abs } (\text{median } n \ (\lambda i. X \ i \ \omega) - \mu) \leq \delta) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ proof have b:space $M - \{\omega \in \text{space } M. X \ i \ \omega \in \{\mu - \delta .. \mu + \delta\}\} = \{\omega \in \text{space } M. abs$ $(X \ i \ \omega - \mu) > \delta$ for i by *auto* have $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies 1 - \mathcal{P}(\omega \text{ in } M. X i \omega \in \{\mu - \delta..\mu + \delta\}) \le 1/3$ using assms **apply** (*subst prob-compl[symmetric*]) **apply** (*measurable*, *simp* add:*indep-vars-def*) **by** (subst b, simp) hence $a: \Lambda i$. $i < n \implies \mathcal{P}(\omega \text{ in } M. X i \ \omega \in \{\mu - \delta ... \mu + \delta\}) \ge 2/3$ by simp have $1-\varepsilon \leq \mathcal{P}(\omega \text{ in } M. \text{ median } n \ (\lambda i. X i \ \omega) \in \{\mu-\delta..\mu+\delta\})$ using a assms(3)

by (intro median-bound-1[OF - assms(1,2), where $\alpha = 1/6$]) (simp-all add:power2-eq-square) also have ... = $\mathcal{P}(\omega \text{ in } M. \text{ abs } (median \ n \ (\lambda i. X \ i \ \omega) - \mu) \leq \delta)$ by (intro arg-cong2[where f=measure] Collect-cong) auto finally show ?thesis by simp qed

4 Some additional results about the median

lemma sorted-mono-map:

assumes sorted xs assumes mono f shows sorted (map f xs) using assms(2) unfolding sorted-wrt-map by (intro sorted-wrt-mono-rel[OF - assms(1)]) (simp add:mono-def)

This could be added to *HOL.List*:

lemma map-sort:
 assumes mono f
 shows sort (map f xs) = map f (sort xs)
 using assms by (intro properties-for-sort sorted-mono-map) auto

lemma median-cong: **assumes** $\bigwedge i$. $i < n \implies f i = g i$ **shows** median n f = median n g **unfolding** median-def **using** assms **by** (intro arg-cong2[**where** f=(!)] arg-cong[**where** f=sort] map-cong) auto

lemma median-restrict: median $n \ (\lambda i \in \{0..< n\}.f \ i) = median \ n \ f$ **by** (rule median-cong, simp)

```
lemma median-commute-mono:
assumes n > 0
assumes mono q
```

shows $g \pmod{n edian n f} = median n (g \circ f)$ apply $(simp \ add: \ median-def \ del:map-map)$ apply $(subst \ map-map[symmetric])$ apply $(subst \ map-sort[OF \ assms(2)])$ apply $(subst \ nth-map, \ simp)$ using assms apply fastforce

```
by simp
```

lemma median-rat:

assumes n > 0shows real-of-rat (median n f) = median $n (\lambda i. real-of-rat (f i))$ apply (subst (2) comp-def[where g=f, symmetric]) apply (rule median-commute-mono[OF assms(1)]) by (simp add: mono-def of-rat-less-eq)

lemma median-const: assumes k > 0shows median $k \ (\lambda i \in \{0..< k\}. a) = a$ proof – have b: sorted (map (λ -. a) [0..< k]) by (subst sorted-wrt-map, simp) have a: sort (map (λ -. a) [0..< k]) = map (λ -. a) [0..< k] by (subst sorted-sort-id[OF b], simp) have median $k \ (\lambda i \in \{0..< k\}. a) = median \ k \ (\lambda$ -. a) by (subst median-restrict, simp)

```
also have ... = a using assms by (simp add:median-def a)
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
```

 \mathbf{end}

References

- N. Alon, Y. Matias, and M. Szegedy. The space complexity of approximating the frequency moments. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 58(1):137–147, 1999.
- [2] Z. Bar-Yossef, T. S. Jayram, R. Kumar, D. Sivakumar, and L. Trevisan. Counting distinct elements in a data stream. In *Randomization and Approximation Techniques in Computer Science*, pages 1–10. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2002.
- [3] D. M. Kane, J. Nelson, and D. P. Woodruff. An optimal algorithm for the distinct elements problem. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Ninth ACM* SIGMOD-SIGACT-SIGART Symposium on Principles of Database Systems, PODS '10, pages 41–52, New York, 2010.