

Maximum Cardinality Matching

Christine Rizkallah

March 17, 2025

Abstract

A *matching* in a graph G is a subset M of the edges of G such that no two share an endpoint. A matching has maximum cardinality if its cardinality is at least as large as that of any other matching. An *odd-set cover* OSC of a graph G is a labeling of the nodes of G with integers such that every edge of G is either incident to a node labeled 1 or connects two nodes labeled with the same number $i \geq 2$.

Theorem 1 (Edmonds [2]). Let M be a matching in a graph G and let OSC be an odd-set cover of G . For any $i \geq 0$, let n_i be the number of nodes labeled i . If

$$|M| = n_1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} \lfloor n_i/2 \rfloor$$

then M is a maximum cardinality matching.

We provide an Isabelle proof of Edmonds theorem. For an explanation of the proof see [1].

Contents

1	Definitions	2
2	Lemmas	2
2.1	$ M \leq n_1$	3
2.2	$ M_i \leq \lfloor n_i/2 \rfloor$	4
2.3	$ M \leq \sum M_i $	4
3	Final Theorem	4
	<code>theory Matching</code>	
	<code>imports Main</code>	
	<code>begin</code>	
	<code>type-synonym label = nat</code>	

1 Definitions

definition *finite-graph* :: 'v set => ('v * 'v) set => bool **where**
finite-graph V E = (finite V ∧ finite E ∧
 (∀ e ∈ E. fst e ∈ V ∧ snd e ∈ V ∧ fst e ≈ snd e))

definition *degree* :: ('v * 'v) set => 'v => nat **where**
degree E v = card {e ∈ E. fst e = v ∨ snd e = v}

definition *edge-as-set* :: ('v * 'v) => 'v set **where**
edge-as-set e = {fst e, snd e}

definition *N* :: 'v set => ('v => label) => nat => nat **where**
N V L i = card {v ∈ V. L v = i}

definition *weight*:: label set => (label => nat) => nat **where**
weight LV f = f 1 + (∑ i∈LV. (f i) div 2)

definition *OSC* :: ('v => label) => ('v * 'v) set => bool **where**
OSC L E = (∀ e ∈ E. L (fst e) = 1 ∨ L (snd e) = 1 ∨
 L (fst e) = L (snd e) ∧ L (fst e) > 1)

definition *disjoint-edges* :: ('v * 'v) => ('v * 'v) => bool **where**
disjoint-edges e1 e2 = (fst e1 ≠ fst e2 ∧ fst e1 ≠ snd e2 ∧
 snd e1 ≠ fst e2 ∧ snd e1 ≠ snd e2)

definition *matching* :: 'v set => ('v * 'v) set => ('v * 'v) set => bool **where**
matching V E M = (M ⊆ E ∧ finite-graph V E ∧
 (∀ e1 ∈ M. ∀ e2 ∈ M. e1 ≠ e2 → disjoint-edges e1 e2))

definition *matching-i* :: nat => 'v set => ('v * 'v) set => ('v * 'v) set =>
 ('v => label) => ('v * 'v) set **where**
matching-i i V E M L = {e ∈ M. i=1 ∧ (L (fst e) = i ∨ L (snd e) = i)
 ∨ i>1 ∧ L (fst e) = i ∧ L (snd e) = i}

definition *V-i*:: nat => 'v set => ('v * 'v) set => ('v * 'v) set =>
 ('v => label) => 'v set **where**
V-i i V E M L = ∪ (edge-as-set ' matching-i i V E M L)

definition *endpoint-inV* :: 'v set => ('v * 'v) => 'v **where**
endpoint-inV V e = (if fst e ∈ V then fst e else snd e)

definition *relevant-endpoint* :: ('v => label) => 'v set =>
 ('v * 'v) => 'v **where**
relevant-endpoint L V e = (if L (fst e) = 1 then fst e else snd e)

2 Lemmas

lemma *definition-of-range*:

endpoint-inV V1 ‘ *matching-i 1 V E M L* =
 $\{ v. \exists e \in \text{matching-i } 1 \text{ V E M L. endpoint-inV V1 } e = v \}$ *<proof>*

lemma *matching-i-edges-as-sets*:

edge-as-set ‘ *matching-i i V E M L* =
 $\{ e1. \exists (u, v) \in \text{matching-i } i \text{ V E M L. edge-as-set } (u, v) = e1 \}$ *<proof>*

lemma *matching-disjointness*:

assumes *matching V E M*
assumes $e1 \in M$
assumes $e2 \in M$
assumes $e1 \neq e2$
shows $\text{edge-as-set } e1 \cap \text{edge-as-set } e2 = \{\}$
<proof>

lemma *expand-set-containment*:

assumes *matching V E M*
assumes $e \in M$
shows $e \in E$
<proof>

theorem *injectivity*:

assumes *is-osc: OSC L E*
assumes *is-m: matching V E M*
assumes *e1-in-M1: e1 ∈ matching-i 1 V E M L*
and *e2-in-M1: e2 ∈ matching-i 1 V E M L*
assumes *diff: (e1 ≠ e2)*
shows $\text{endpoint-inV } \{v \in V. L v = 1\} e1 \neq \text{endpoint-inV } \{v \in V. L v = 1\} e2$
<proof>

2.1 $|M1| \leq n1$

lemma *card-M1-le-NVL1*:

assumes *matching V E M*
assumes *OSC L E*
shows $\text{card } (\text{matching-i } 1 \text{ V E M L}) \leq (N V L 1)$
<proof>

lemma *edge-as-set-inj-on-Mi*:

assumes *matching V E M*
shows *inj-on edge-as-set (matching-i i V E M L)*
<proof>

lemma *card-Mi-eq-card-edge-as-set-Mi*:

assumes *matching V E M*
shows $\text{card } (\text{matching-i } i \text{ V E M L}) = \text{card } (\text{edge-as-set} \text{ ‘ } \text{matching-i } i \text{ V E M L})$
(is card ?Mi = card (?f ‘ -))
<proof>

lemma *card-edge-as-set-Mi-twice-card-partitions:*
assumes $OSC\ L\ E \wedge matching\ V\ E\ M \wedge i > 1$
shows $2 * card\ (edge-as-set\ matching-i\ i\ V\ E\ M\ L)$
 $= card\ (V-i\ i\ V\ E\ M\ L)$ (**is** $2 * card\ ?C = card\ ?Vi$)
<proof>

lemma *card-Mi-twice-card-Vi:*
assumes $OSC\ L\ E \wedge matching\ V\ E\ M \wedge i > 1$
shows $2 * card\ (matching-i\ i\ V\ E\ M\ L) = card\ (V-i\ i\ V\ E\ M\ L)$
<proof>

lemma *card-Mi-le-floor-div-2-Vi:*
assumes $OSC\ L\ E \wedge matching\ V\ E\ M \wedge i > 1$
shows $card\ (matching-i\ i\ V\ E\ M\ L) \leq (card\ (V-i\ i\ V\ E\ M\ L))\ div\ 2$
<proof>

lemma *card-Vi-le-NVLi:*
assumes $i > 1 \wedge matching\ V\ E\ M$
shows $card\ (V-i\ i\ V\ E\ M\ L) \leq N\ V\ L\ i$
<proof>

2.2 $|Mi| \leq \lfloor ni/2 \rfloor$

lemma *card-Mi-le-floor-div-2-NVLi:*
assumes $OSC\ L\ E \wedge matching\ V\ E\ M \wedge i > 1$
shows $card\ (matching-i\ i\ V\ E\ M\ L) \leq (N\ V\ L\ i)\ div\ 2$
<proof>

2.3 $|M| \leq \sum |Mi|$

lemma *card-M-le-sum-card-Mi:*
assumes *matching V E M and OSC L E*
shows $card\ M \leq (\sum\ i \in L \cdot V.\ card\ (matching-i\ i\ V\ E\ M\ L))$
(is card - \leq ?CardMi)
<proof>

theorem *card-M-le-weight-NVLi:*
assumes *matching V E M and OSC L E*
shows $card\ M \leq weight\ \{i \in L \cdot V.\ i > 1\}\ (N\ V\ L)$ (**is** $- \leq ?W$)
<proof>

3 Final Theorem

The following theorem is due to Edmond [2]:

theorem *maximum-cardinality-matching:*
assumes *matching V E M and OSC L E*
and $card\ M = weight\ \{i \in L \cdot V.\ i > 1\}\ (N\ V\ L)$

and *matching* $V E M'$
shows $\text{card } M' \leq \text{card } M$
<proof>

The widely used algorithmic library LEDA has a certifying algorithm for maximum cardinality matching. This Isabelle proof is part of the work done to verify the checker of this certifying algorithm. For more information see [1].

end

References

- [1] E. Alkassar, S. Böhme, K. Mehlhorn, and C. Rizkallah. Verification of certifying computations. In *Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Computer Aided Verification (CAV2011)*, Cliff Lodge, Snowbird, Utah, USA, 2011. To Appear.
- [2] J. Edmonds. Maximum matching and a polyhedron with 0,1 - vertices. *Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards*, 69B:125–130, 1965.