

# Executable Matrix Operations on Matrices of Arbitrary Dimensions

Christian Sternagel and René Thiemann

March 17, 2025

## Abstract

We provide the operations of matrix addition, multiplication, transposition, and matrix comparisons as executable functions over ordered semirings. Moreover, it is proven that strongly normalizing (monotone) orders can be lifted to strongly normalizing (monotone) orders over matrices.

We further show that the standard semirings over the naturals, integers, and rationals, as well as the arctic semirings satisfy the axioms that are required by our matrix theory.

Our formalization was performed as part of the `IsaFoR/CeTA`-system [3]<sup>1</sup> which contains several termination techniques. The provided theories have been essential to formalize matrix-interpretations [1] and arctic interpretations [2]. A short description of this formalization can be found in [4].

## Contents

<b>1 Utility Functions and Lemmas</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1 Miscellaneous . . . . .	2
1.2 A connection between class based semirings and set based semirings . . . . .	5
<b>2 Basic Operations on Matrices</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1 types and well-formedness of vectors / matrices . . . . .	6
2.2 definitions / algorithms . . . . .	6
2.3 algorithms preserve dimensions . . . . .	8
2.4 properties of algorithms which do not depend on properties of type of matrix . . . . .	10
2.5 lemmas requiring properties of plus, times, ... . . . . .	14
2.6 Connection to HOL-Algebra . . . . .	18

---

<sup>1</sup><http://cl-informatik.uibk.ac.at/software/ceta>

# 1 Utility Functions and Lemmas

```
theory Utility
imports Main
begin
```

## 1.1 Miscellaneous

```
lemma ballI2[Pure.intro]:
assumes  $\bigwedge x y. (x, y) \in A \implies P x y$ 
shows  $\forall (x, y) \in A. P x y$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma infinite-imp-elem:  $\neg \text{finite } A \implies \exists x. x \in A$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma infinite-imp-many-elems:
infinite A  $\implies \exists xs. \text{set } xs \subseteq A \wedge \text{length } xs = n \wedge \text{distinct } xs$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma inf-pigeonhole-principle:
assumes  $\forall k::nat. \exists i < n::nat. f k i$ 
shows  $\exists i < n. \forall k. \exists k' \geq k. f k' i$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma map-upt-Suc:  $\text{map } f [0 .. < \text{Suc } n] = f 0 \# \text{map } (\lambda i. f (\text{Suc } i)) [0 .. < n]$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma map-upt-add:  $\text{map } f [0 .. < n + m] = \text{map } f [0 .. < n] @ \text{map } (\lambda i. f (i + n)) [0 .. < m]$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma map-upt-split: assumes  $i : i < n$ 
shows  $\text{map } f [0 .. < n] = \text{map } f [0 .. < i] @ f i \# \text{map } (\lambda j. f (j + \text{Suc } i)) [0 .. < n - \text{Suc } i]$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma all-Suc-conv:
 $(\forall i < \text{Suc } n. P i) \longleftrightarrow P 0 \wedge (\forall i < n. P (\text{Suc } i))$  (is ?l = ?r)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma ex-Suc-conv:
 $(\exists i < \text{Suc } n. P i) \longleftrightarrow P 0 \vee (\exists i < n. P (\text{Suc } i))$  (is ?l = ?r)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
fun sorted-list-subset :: 'a :: linorder list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a option where
sorted-list-subset (a # as) (b # bs) =
(if a = b then sorted-list-subset as (b # bs)
```

```

else if  $a > b$  then sorted-list-subset ( $a \# as$ )  $bs$ 
else Some  $a$ )
| sorted-list-subset [] - = None
| sorted-list-subset ( $a \# -$ ) [] = Some  $a$ 

lemma sorted-list-subset:
  assumes sorted  $as$  and sorted  $bs$ 
  shows (sorted-list-subset  $as$   $bs$  = None) = (set  $as \subseteq$  set  $bs$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma zip-nth-conv: length  $xs$  = length  $ys$   $\implies$  zip  $xs$   $ys$  = map ( $\lambda i. (xs ! i, ys ! i)$ ) [0 ..< length  $ys$ ]
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nth-map-conv:
  assumes length  $xs$  = length  $ys$ 
  and  $\forall i < \text{length } xs. f (xs ! i) = g (ys ! i)$ 
  shows map  $f$   $xs$  = map  $g$   $ys$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-list-0:  $\llbracket \bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies x = 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{sum-list } xs = 0$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma foldr-foldr-concat: foldr (foldr  $f$ )  $m$   $a$  = foldr  $f$  (concat  $m$ )  $a$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-list-double-concat:
  fixes  $f :: 'b \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{comm-monoid-add}$  and  $g$  as  $bs$ 
  shows sum-list (concat (map ( $\lambda i. \text{map} (\lambda j. f i j + g i j) as$ )  $bs$ ))
    = sum-list (concat (map ( $\lambda i. \text{map} (\lambda j. f i j) as$ )  $bs$ )) +
    sum-list (concat (map ( $\lambda i. \text{map} (\lambda j. g i j) as$ )  $bs$ ))
  ⟨proof⟩

fun max-list :: nat list  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  max-list [] = 0
  | max-list ( $x \# xs$ ) = max  $x$  (max-list  $xs$ )

lemma max-list:  $x \in \text{set } xs \implies x \leq \text{max-list } xs$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma max-list-mem:  $xs \neq [] \implies \text{max-list } xs \in \text{set } xs$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma max-list-set: max-list  $xs$  = (if set  $xs$  = {} then 0 else (THE  $x. x \in \text{set } xs$ 
   $\wedge (\forall y \in \text{set } xs. y \leq x))$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma max-list-eq-set: set  $xs$  = set  $ys \implies \text{max-list } xs = \text{max-list } ys$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** *all-less-two*:  $(\forall i < \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0). P i) = (P 0 \wedge P (\text{Suc } 0))$  (**is**  $?l = ?r$ )  
*(proof)*

Induction over a finite set of natural numbers.

**lemma** *bound-nat-induct*[consumes 1]:  
**assumes**  $n \in \{l..u\}$  **and**  $P l$  **and**  $\bigwedge n. [P n; n \in \{l..<u\}] \implies P (\text{Suc } n)$   
**shows**  $P n$   
*(proof)*  
**end**

**theory** *Ordered-Semiring*  
**imports**  
*HOL-Algebra.Ring*  
*Abstract-Rewriting.SN-Orders*  
**begin**

**record** '*a ordered-semiring* = '*a ring* +  
*geq* :: '*a*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* (**infix**  $\trianglelefteq_1$  50)  
*gt* :: '*a*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* (**infix**  $\triangleright_1$  50)  
*max* :: '*a*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a* (**Max1**)

**lemmas** *ordered-semiring-record-simps* = *ring-record-simps* *ordered-semiring.simps*

**locale** *ordered-semiring* = *semiring* +  
**assumes** *compat*:  $[s \succeq (t :: 'a); t \succ u; s \in \text{carrier } R; t \in \text{carrier } R; u \in \text{carrier } R] \implies s \succ u$   
**and** *compat2*:  $[s \succ (t :: 'a); t \succeq u; s \in \text{carrier } R; t \in \text{carrier } R; u \in \text{carrier } R] \implies s \succ u$   
**and** *plus-left-mono*:  $[x \succeq y; x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R; z \in \text{carrier } R] \implies x \oplus z \succeq y \oplus z$   
**and** *times-left-mono*:  $[z \succeq \mathbf{0}; x \succeq y; x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R; z \in \text{carrier } R] \implies x \otimes z \succeq y \otimes z$   
**and** *times-right-mono*:  $[x \succeq \mathbf{0}; y \succeq z; x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R; z \in \text{carrier } R] \implies x \otimes y \succeq x \otimes z$   
**and** *geq-refl*:  $x \in \text{carrier } R \implies x \succeq x$   
**and** *geq-trans*[*trans*]:  $[x \succeq y; y \succeq z; x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R; z \in \text{carrier } R] \implies x \succeq z$   
**and** *gt-trans*[*trans*]:  $[x \succ y; y \succ z; x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R; z \in \text{carrier } R] \implies x \succ z$   
**and** *gt-imp-ge*:  $x \succ y \implies x \in \text{carrier } R \implies y \in \text{carrier } R \implies x \succeq y$   
**and** *max-comm*:  $x \in \text{carrier } R \implies y \in \text{carrier } R \implies \text{Max } x \ y = \text{Max } y \ x$   
**and** *max-ge*:  $x \in \text{carrier } R \implies y \in \text{carrier } R \implies \text{Max } x \ y \succeq x$   
**and** *max-id*:  $x \in \text{carrier } R \implies y \in \text{carrier } R \implies x \succeq y \implies \text{Max } x \ y = x$   
**and** *max-mono*:  $x \succeq y \implies x \in \text{carrier } R \implies y \in \text{carrier } R \implies z \in \text{carrier } R \implies \text{Max } z \ x \succeq \text{Max } z \ y$

```

and wf-max[simp, intro]:  $x \in \text{carrier } R \implies y \in \text{carrier } R \implies \text{Max } x \ y \in \text{carrier } R$ 
and one-geq-zero:  $\mathbf{1} \succeq \mathbf{0}$ 
begin
lemma max-ge-right: assumes  $x: x \in \text{carrier } R$  and  $y: y \in \text{carrier } R$  shows  $\text{Max } x \ y \succeq y$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma wf-max0:  $x \in \text{carrier } R \implies \text{Max } \mathbf{0} \ x \in \text{carrier } R$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma max0-id-pos: assumes  $x: x \succeq \mathbf{0}$  and wf:  $x \in \text{carrier } R$ 
    shows  $\text{Max } \mathbf{0} \ x = x$  ⟨proof⟩
end
hide-const (open) gt geq max

```

## 1.2 A connection between class based semirings and set based semirings

```

definition class-semiring :: 'a itself  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  ('a :: {plus,times,one,zero},'b)ring-scheme
where
    class-semiring - b  $\equiv$  () carrier = UNIV, mult = (*), one = 1, zero = 0, add =
    (+), ... = b()

lemma class-semiring: semiring (class-semiring (TYPE('a :: ordered-semiring-1)))
b)
    ⟨proof⟩

definition class-ordered-semiring :: 'a itself  $\Rightarrow$  ('a :: ordered-semiring-1  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$ 
bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  ('a,'b) ordered-semiring-scheme where
    class-ordered-semiring a gt b  $\equiv$  class-semiring a ()
        ordered-semiring.geq = ( $\geq$ ),
        gt = gt,
        max = max,
        ... = b()

lemma class-ordered-semiring: assumes order-pair (gt :: ('a :: ordered-semiring-1
 $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)) d
    shows ordered-semiring
        (class-ordered-semiring (TYPE('a)) gt b)
        (is ordered-semiring ?R)
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in one-mono-ordered-semiring-1) class-ordered-semiring:
    ordered-semiring
        (class-ordered-semiring (TYPE('a)) ( $\succ$ ) b)
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in both-mono-ordered-semiring-1) class-ordered-semiring:
    ordered-semiring

```

(*class-ordered-semiring* (*TYPE('a)*) ( $\succ$ ) *b*)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

## 2 Basic Operations on Matrices

```
theory Matrix-Legacy
imports
  Utility
  Ordered-Semiring
begin
```

This theory is marked as legacy, since there is a better implementation of matrices available in `../Jordan_Normal_Form/Matrix.thy`. That formalization is more abstract, more complete in terms of operations, and it still provides an efficient implementation.

This theory provides the operations of matrix addition, multiplication, and transposition as executable functions. Most properties are proven via pointwise equality of matrices.

### 2.1 types and well-formedness of vectors / matrices

```
type-synonym 'a vec = 'a list
type-synonym 'a mat = 'a vec list
```

```
definition vec :: nat ⇒ 'x vec ⇒ bool
  where vec n x = (length x = n)
```

```
definition mat :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a mat ⇒ bool where
  mat nr nc m = (length m = nc ∧ Ball (set m) (vec nr))
```

### 2.2 definitions / algorithms

note that these algorithms are generic in all basic definitions / operations like 0 (ze) 1 (on) addition (pl) multiplication (ti) and in the dimension(s) of the matrix/vector. Hence, many of these algorithms require these definitions/operations/sizes as arguments. All indices start from 0.

```
definition vec0I :: 'a ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a vec where
  vec0I ze n = replicate n ze
```

```
definition mat0I :: 'a ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a mat where
```

```
definition mat0I ze nr nc = replicate nc (vec0I ze nr)
```

```
definition vec1I :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a vec
where vec1I ze on n i ≡ replicate i ze @ on # replicate (n - 1 - i) ze
```

```
definition mat1I :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a mat
where mat1I ze on n ≡ map (vec1I ze on n) [0 ..< n]
```

```
definition vec-plusI :: ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a vec ⇒ 'a vec ⇒ 'a vec where
vec-plusI pl v w = map (λ xy. pl (fst xy) (snd xy)) (zip v w)
```

```
definition mat-plusI :: ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a mat ⇒ 'a mat ⇒ 'a mat
where mat-plusI pl m1 m2 = map (λ uv. vec-plusI pl (fst uv) (snd uv)) (zip m1 m2)
```

```
definition scalar-prodI :: 'a ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a vec ⇒ 'a
vec ⇒ 'a where
scalar-prodI ze pl ti v w = foldr (λ (x,y) s. pl (ti x y) s) (zip v w) ze
```

```
definition row :: 'a mat ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a vec
where row m i ≡ map (λ w. w ! i) m
```

```
definition col :: 'a mat ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a vec
where col m i ≡ m ! i
```

```
fun transpose :: nat ⇒ 'a mat ⇒ 'a mat
where transpose nr [] = replicate nr []
| transpose nr (v # m) = map (λ (vi,mi). (vi # mi)) (zip v (transpose nr m))
```

```
definition matT-vec-multI :: 'a ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a mat
⇒ 'a vec ⇒ 'a vec
where matT-vec-multI ze pl ti m v = map (λ w. scalar-prodI ze pl ti w v) m
```

```
definition mat-multiI :: 'a ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a mat
⇒ 'a mat ⇒ 'a mat
where mat-multiI ze pl ti nr m1 m2 ≡ map (matT-vec-multI ze pl ti (transpose nr m1)) m2
```

```

fun mat-powI :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a mat
⇒ nat ⇒ 'a mat
where mat-powI ze on pl ti n m 0 = mat1I ze on n
      | mat-powI ze on pl ti n m (Suc i) = mat-multI ze pl ti n (mat-powI ze on pl
ti n m i) m

```

```

definition sub-vec :: nat ⇒ 'a vec ⇒ 'a vec
where sub-vec = take

```

```

definition sub-mat :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a mat ⇒ 'a mat
where sub-mat nr nc m = map (sub-vec nr) (take nc m)

```

```

definition vec-map :: ('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a vec ⇒ 'a vec
where vec-map = map

```

```

definition mat-map :: ('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a mat ⇒ 'a mat
where mat-map f = map (vec-map f)

```

## 2.3 algorithms preserve dimensions

```

lemma vec0[simp,intro]: vec nr (vec0I ze nr)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma replicate-prop:
assumes P x
shows ∀ y∈set (replicate n x). P y
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mat0[simp,intro]: mat nr nc (mat0I ze nr nc)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma vec1[simp,intro]: assumes i < nr shows vec nr (vec1I ze on nr i)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mat1[simp,intro]: mat nr nr (mat1I ze on nr)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma vec-plus[simp,intro]: [vec nr u; vec nr v] ⇒ vec nr (vec-plusI pl u v)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mat-plus[simp,intro]: assumes mat nr nc m1 and mat nr nc m2 shows
mat nr nc (mat-plusI pl m1 m2)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma vec-map[simp,intro]: vec nr u  $\implies$  vec nr (vec-map f u)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mat-map[simp,intro]: mat nr nc m  $\implies$  mat nr nc (mat-map f m)
⟨proof⟩

fun vec-fold :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  'a vec  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b
where [code-unfold]: vec-fold f = foldr f

fun mat-fold :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  'a mat  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b
where [code-unfold]: mat-fold f = foldr (vec-fold f)

lemma concat-mat: mat nr nc m  $\implies$ 
concat m = [ m ! i ! j. i  $\leftarrow$  [0 ..< nc], j  $\leftarrow$  [0 ..< nr] ]
⟨proof⟩

lemma row: assumes mat nr nc m
and i < nr
shows vec nc (row m i)
⟨proof⟩

lemma col: assumes mat nr nc m
and i < nc
shows vec nr (col m i)
⟨proof⟩

lemma transpose[simp,intro]: assumes mat nr nc m
shows mat nc nr (transpose nr m)
⟨proof⟩

lemma matT-vec-multI: assumes mat nr nc m
shows vec nc (matT-vec-multI ze pl ti m v)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mat-mult[simp,intro]: assumes wf1: mat nr n m1
and wf2: mat n nc m2
shows mat nr nc (mat-multI ze pl ti nr m1 m2)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mat-pow[simp,intro]: assumes mat n n m
shows mat n n (mat-powI ze on pl ti n m i)
⟨proof⟩

lemma sub-vec[simp,intro]: assumes vec nr v and sd  $\leq$  nr
shows vec sd (sub-vec sd v)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sub-mat[simp,intro]: assumes wf: mat nr nc m and sr: sr ≤ nr and sc:
sc ≤ nc
shows mat sr sc (sub-mat sr sc m)
⟨proof⟩

```

## 2.4 properties of algorithms which do not depend on properties of type of matrix

```

lemma mat0-index[simp]: assumes i < nc and j < nr
shows mat0I ze nr nc ! i ! j = ze
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mat0-row[simp]: assumes i < nr
shows row (mat0I ze nr nc) i = vec0I ze nc
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mat0-col[simp]: assumes i < nc
shows col (mat0I ze nr nc) i = vec0I ze nr
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma vec1-index: assumes j: j < n
shows vec1I ze on n i ! j = (if i = j then on else ze) (is - = ?r)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma col-transpose-is-row[simp]:
assumes wf: mat nr nc m
and i: i < nr
shows col (transpose nr m) i = row m i
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mat-col-eq:
assumes wf1: mat nr nc m1
and wf2: mat nr nc m2
shows (m1 = m2) = (forall i < nc. col m1 i = col m2 i) (is ?l = ?r)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mat-col-eqI:
assumes wf1: mat nr nc m1
and wf2: mat nr nc m2
and id: forall i. i < nc ==> col m1 i = col m2 i
shows m1 = m2
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mat-eq:
assumes wf1: mat nr nc m1
and wf2: mat nr nc m2

```

**shows**  $(m1 = m2) = (\forall i < nc. \forall j < nr. m1 ! i ! j = m2 ! i ! j)$  (**is**  $?l = ?r$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mat-eqI*:  
**assumes** *wf1*: mat *nr nc m1*  
**and** *wf2*: mat *nr nc m2*  
**and** *id*:  $\bigwedge i j. i < nc \implies j < nr \implies m1 ! i ! j = m2 ! i ! j$   
**shows** *m1 = m2*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *vec-eq*:  
**assumes** *wf1*: vec *n v1*  
**and** *wf2*: vec *n v2*  
**shows**  $(v1 = v2) = (\forall i < n. v1 ! i = v2 ! i)$  (**is**  $?l = ?r$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *vec-eqI*:  
**assumes** *wf1*: vec *n v1*  
**and** *wf2*: vec *n v2*  
**and** *id*:  $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies v1 ! i = v2 ! i$   
**shows** *v1 = v2*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *row-col*: **assumes** mat *nr nc m*  
**and** *i < nr and j < nc*  
**shows** *row m i ! j = col m j ! i*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *col-index*: **assumes** *m*: mat *nr nc m*  
**and** *i*: *i < nc*  
**shows** *col m i = map*  $(\lambda j. m ! i ! j)$  [0 ..< *nr*]  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *row-index*: **assumes** *m*: mat *nr nc m*  
**and** *i*: *i < nr*  
**shows** *row m i = map*  $(\lambda j. m ! j ! i)$  [0 ..< *nc*]  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mat-row-eq*:  
**assumes** *wf1*: mat *nr nc m1*  
**and** *wf2*: mat *nr nc m2*  
**shows**  $(m1 = m2) = (\forall i < nr. \text{row } m1 i = \text{row } m2 i)$  (**is**  $?l = ?r$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mat-row-eqI*:  
**assumes** *wf1*: mat *nr nc m1*  
**and** *wf2*: mat *nr nc m2*

```

and id:  $\bigwedge i. i < nr \implies \text{row } m1\ i = \text{row } m2\ i$ 
shows  $m1 = m2$ 
{proof}

lemma row-transpose-is-col[simp]: assumes wf: mat nr nc m
and i:  $i < nc$ 
shows  $\text{row}(\text{transpose}\ nr\ m)\ i = \text{col}\ m\ i$ 
{proof}

lemma matT-vec-mult-to-scalar:
assumes mat nr nc m
and vec nr v
and i:  $i < nc$ 
shows  $\text{matT-vec-multI}\ ze\ pl\ ti\ m\ v\ !\ i = \text{scalar-prodI}\ ze\ pl\ ti\ (\text{col}\ m\ i)\ v$ 
{proof}

lemma mat-vec-mult-index:
assumes wf: mat nr nc m
and wfV: vec nc v
and i:  $i < nr$ 
shows  $\text{matT-vec-multI}\ ze\ pl\ ti\ (\text{transpose}\ nr\ m)\ v\ !\ i = \text{scalar-prodI}\ ze\ pl\ ti\ (\text{row}\ m\ i)\ v$ 
{proof}

lemma mat-mult-index[simp] :
assumes wf1: mat nr n m1
and wf2: mat n nc m2
and i:  $i < nr$ 
and j:  $j < nc$ 
shows  $\text{mat-multI}\ ze\ pl\ ti\ nr\ m1\ m2\ !\ j\ !\ i = \text{scalar-prodI}\ ze\ pl\ ti\ (\text{row}\ m1\ i)\ (\text{col}\ m2\ j)$ 
{proof}

lemma col-mat-mult-index :
assumes wf1: mat nr n m1
and wf2: mat n nc m2
and j:  $j < nc$ 
shows  $\text{col}(\text{mat-multI}\ ze\ pl\ ti\ nr\ m1\ m2)\ j = \text{map}(\lambda i. \text{scalar-prodI}\ ze\ pl\ ti\ (\text{row}\ m1\ i)\ (\text{col}\ m2\ j))\ [0..< nr]$  (is col ?l j = ?r)
{proof}

lemma row-mat-mult-index :
assumes wf1: mat nr n m1
and wf2: mat n nc m2
and i:  $i < nr$ 
shows  $\text{row}(\text{mat-multI}\ ze\ pl\ ti\ nr\ m1\ m2)\ i = \text{map}(\lambda j. \text{scalar-prodI}\ ze\ pl\ ti\ (\text{row}\ m1\ i)\ (\text{col}\ m2\ j))\ [0..< nc]$  (is row ?l i = ?r)
{proof}

```

```

lemma scalar-prod-cons:
  scalar-prodI ze pl ti (a # as) (b # bs) = pl (ti a b) (scalar-prodI ze pl ti as bs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma vec-plus-index[simp]:
  assumes wf1: vec nr v1
  and wf2: vec nr v2
  and i: i < nr
  shows vec-plusI pl v1 v2 ! i = pl (v1 ! i) (v2 ! i)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mat-map-index[simp]: assumes wf: mat nr nc m and i: i < nc and j: j < nr
  shows mat-map f m ! i ! j = f (m ! i ! j)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mat-plus-index[simp]:
  assumes wf1: mat nr nc m1
  and wf2: mat nr nc m2
  and i: i < nc
  and j: j < nr
  shows mat-plusI pl m1 m2 ! i ! j = pl (m1 ! i ! j) (m2 ! i ! j)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma col-mat-plus: assumes wf1: mat nr nc m1
  and wf2: mat nr nc m2
  and i: i < nc
  shows col (mat-plusI pl m1 m2) i = vec-plusI pl (col m1 i) (col m2 i)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma transpose-index[simp]: assumes wf: mat nr nc m
  and i: i < nr
  and j: j < nc
  shows transpose nr m ! i ! j = m ! j ! i
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma transpose-mat-plus: assumes wf: mat nr nc m1 mat nr nc m2
  shows transpose nr (mat-plusI pl m1 m2) = mat-plusI pl (transpose nr m1)
  (transpose nr m2) (is ?l = ?r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma row-mat-plus: assumes wf1: mat nr nc m1
  and wf2: mat nr nc m2
  and i: i < nr
  shows row (mat-plusI pl m1 m2) i = vec-plusI pl (row m1 i) (row m2 i)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma col-mat1: assumes i < nr
  shows col (mat1I ze on nr) i = vec1I ze on nr i
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mat1-index: assumes i: i < n and j: j < n
  shows mat1I ze on n ! i ! j = (if i = j then on else ze)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma transpose-mat1: transpose nr (mat1I ze on nr) = (mat1I ze on nr) (is ?l
= ?r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma row-mat1: assumes i: i < nr
  shows row (mat1I ze on nr) i = vec1I ze on nr i
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sub-mat-index:
  assumes wf: mat nr nc m
  and sr: sr ≤ nr
  and sc: sc ≤ nc
  and j: j < sr
  and i: i < sc
  shows sub-mat sr sc m ! i ! j = m ! i ! j
  ⟨proof⟩

```

## 2.5 lemmas requiring properties of plus, times, ...

```

context plus
begin

abbreviation vec-plus :: 'a vec ⇒ 'a vec ⇒ 'a vec
where vec-plus ≡ vec-plusI plus

abbreviation mat-plus :: 'a mat ⇒ 'a mat ⇒ 'a mat
where mat-plus ≡ mat-plusI plus
end

context semigroup-add
begin
lemma vec-plus-assoc: assumes vec: vec nr u vec nr v vec nr w
  shows vec-plus u (vec-plus v w) = vec-plus (vec-plus u v) w
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mat-plus-assoc: assumes wf: mat nr nc m1 mat nr nc m2 mat nr nc m3
  shows mat-plus m1 (mat-plus m2 m3) = mat-plus (mat-plus m1 m2) m3 (is ?l
= ?r)

```

```

⟨proof⟩
end

context ab-semigroup-add
begin
lemma vec-plus-comm: vec-plus x y = vec-plus y x
⟨proof⟩

lemma mat-plus-comm: mat-plus m1 m2 = mat-plus m2 m1
⟨proof⟩
end

context zero
begin
abbreviation vec0 :: nat ⇒ 'a vec
where vec0 ≡ vec0I zero

abbreviation mat0 :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a mat
where mat0 ≡ mat0I zero
end

context monoid-add
begin
lemma vec0-plus[simp]: assumes vec nr u shows vec-plus (vec0 nr) u = u
⟨proof⟩

lemma plus-vec0[simp]: assumes vec nr u shows vec-plus u (vec0 nr) = u
⟨proof⟩

lemma plus-mat0[simp]: assumes wf: mat nr nc m shows mat-plus m (mat0 nr
nc) = m (is ?l = ?r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mat0-plus[simp]: assumes wf: mat nr nc m shows mat-plus (mat0 nr nc)
m = m (is ?l = ?r)
⟨proof⟩
end

context semiring-0
begin
abbreviation scalar-prod :: 'a vec ⇒ 'a vec ⇒ 'a
where scalar-prod ≡ scalar-prodI zero plus times

abbreviation mat-mult :: nat ⇒ 'a mat ⇒ 'a mat ⇒ 'a mat
where mat-mult ≡ mat-multI zero plus times

lemma scalar-prod: scalar-prod v1 v2 = sum-list (map (λ(x,y). x * y) (zip v1 v2))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma scalar-prod-last: assumes length v1 = length v2
  shows scalar-prod (v1 @ [x1]) (v2 @ [x2]) = x1 * x2 + scalar-prod v1 v2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma scalar-product-assoc:
  assumes wfm: mat nr nc m
  and wfr: vec nr r
  and wfc: vec nc c
  shows scalar-prod (map (λk. scalar-prod r (col m k)) [0..<nc]) c = scalar-prod
    r (map (λk. scalar-prod (row m k) c) [0..<nr])
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mat-mult-assoc:
  assumes wf1: mat nr n1 m1
  and wf2: mat n1 n2 m2
  and wf3: mat n2 nc m3
  shows mat-mult nr (mat-mult nr m1 m2) m3 = mat-mult nr m1 (mat-mult n1
    m2 m3) (is ?m12-3 = ?m1-23)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mat-mult-assoc-n:
  assumes wf1: mat n n m1
  and wf2: mat n n m2
  and wf3: mat n n m3
  shows mat-mult n (mat-mult n m1 m2) m3 = mat-mult n m1 (mat-mult n m2
    m3)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma scalar-left-zero: scalar-prod (vec0 nn) v = zero
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma scalar-right-zero: scalar-prod v (vec0 nn) = zero
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mat0-mult-left: assumes wf: mat nc ncc m
  shows mat-mult nr (mat0 nr nc) m = (mat0 nr ncc)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mat0-mult-right: assumes wf: mat nr nc m
  shows mat-mult nr m (mat0 nc ncc) = (mat0 nr ncc)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma scalar-vec-plus-distrib-right:
  assumes wf1: vec nr u
  assumes wf2: vec nr v

```

```

assumes wf3: vec nr w
shows scalar-prod u (vec-plus v w) = plus (scalar-prod u v) (scalar-prod u w)
⟨proof⟩

lemma scalar-vec-plus-distrib-left:
assumes wf1: vec nr u
assumes wf2: vec nr v
assumes wf3: vec nr w
shows scalar-prod (vec-plus u v) w = plus (scalar-prod u w) (scalar-prod v w)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mat-mult-plus-distrib-right:
assumes wf1: mat nr nc m1
and wf2: mat nc ncc m2
and wf3: mat nc ncc m3
shows mat-mult nr m1 (mat-plus m2 m3) = mat-plus (mat-mult nr m1 m2)
(mat-mult nr m1 m3) (is mat-mult nr m1 ?m23 = mat-plus ?m12 ?m13)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mat-mult-plus-distrib-left:
assumes wf1: mat nr nc m1
and wf2: mat nr nc m2
and wf3: mat nc ncc m3
shows mat-mult nr (mat-plus m1 m2) m3 = mat-plus (mat-mult nr m1 m3)
(mat-mult nr m2 m3) (is mat-mult nr ?m12 - = mat-plus ?m13 ?m23)
⟨proof⟩
end

context semiring-1
begin
abbreviation vec1 :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a vec
where vec1 ≡ vec1I zero one

abbreviation mat1 :: nat ⇒ 'a mat
where mat1 ≡ mat1I zero one

abbreviation mat-pow where mat-pow ≡ mat-powI (0 :: 'a) 1 (+) (*)

lemma scalar-left-one: assumes wf: vec nn v
and i: i < nn
shows scalar-prod (vec1 nn i) v = v ! i
⟨proof⟩

lemma scalar-right-one: assumes wf: vec nn v
and i: i < nn
shows scalar-prod v (vec1 nn i) = v ! i
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mat1-mult-right: assumes wf: mat nr nc m
  shows mat-mult nr m (mat1 nc) = m
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mat1-mult-left: assumes wf: mat nr nc m
  shows mat-mult nr (mat1 nr) m = m
  ⟨proof⟩
end

```

```

declare vec0[simp del] mat0[simp del] vec0-plus[simp del] plus-vec0[simp del] plus-mat0[simp del]

```

## 2.6 Connection to HOL-Algebra

```

definition mat-monoid :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ 'b ⇒ (('a :: {plus,zero}) mat,'b) monoid-scheme
where

```

```

  mat-monoid nr nc b ≡ ⟨
    carrier = Collect (mat nr nc),
    mult = mat-plus,
    one = mat0 nr nc,
    ... = b⟩

```

```

definition mat-ring :: nat ⇒ 'b ⇒ (('a :: semiring-1) mat,'b) ring-scheme where

```

```

  mat-ring n b ≡ ⟨
    carrier = Collect (mat n n),
    mult = mat-mult n,
    one = mat1 n,
    zero = mat0 n n,
    add = mat-plus,
    ... = b⟩

```

```

lemma mat-monoid: monoid (mat-monoid nr nc b :: (('a :: monoid-add) mat,'b) monoid-scheme)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mat-group: group (mat-monoid nr nc b :: (('a :: group-add) mat,'b) monoid-scheme)
  (is group ?G)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mat-comm-monoid:
  comm-monoid (mat-monoid nr nc b :: (('a :: comm-monoid-add) mat,'b) monoid-scheme)
  (is comm-monoid ?G)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mat-comm-group:
  comm-group (mat-monoid nr nc b :: (('a :: ab-group-add) mat,'b) monoid-scheme)

```

```

(is comm-group ?G)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mat-abelian-monoid: abelian-monoid (mat-ring n b :: (('a :: semiring-1)
mat,'b)ring-scheme)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mat-abelian-group: abelian-group (mat-ring n b :: (('a :: {ab-group-add,semiring-1})
mat,'b)ring-scheme)
(is abelian-group ?R)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mat-semiring: semiring (mat-ring n b :: (('a :: semiring-1) mat,'b)ring-scheme)
(is semiring ?R)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mat-ring: ring (mat-ring n b :: (('a :: ring-1) mat,'b)ring-scheme)
(is ring ?R)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mat-pow-ring-pow: assumes mat: mat n n (m :: ('a :: semiring-1)mat)
shows mat-pow n m k = m [↑]mat-ring n b k
(is - = m [↑]?C k)
⟨proof⟩

end

```

## References

- [1] J. Endrullis, J. Waldmann, and H. Zantema. Matrix Interpretations for Proving Termination of Term Rewriting. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 40(2-3):195–220, 2008.
- [2] A. Koprowski and J. Waldmann. Arctic termination ... below zero. In *Proc. RTA ’08*, LNCS 5117, pages 202–216, 2008.
- [3] R. Thiemann and C. Sternagel. Certification of termination proofs using CeTA. In *Proc. TPHOLs’09*, LNCS 5674, pages 452–468, 2009.
- [4] R. Thiemann and C. Sternagel. Certified polynomial interpretations over matrices and over domains. In *Proc. WST ’10*, 2010. To appear.