

# On the Formalization of Martingales

Ata Keskin

March 29, 2024

## Abstract

In the scope of this project, we present a formalization of martingales in arbitrary Banach spaces using Isabelle/HOL.

The current formalization of conditional expectation in the Isabelle library is limited to real-valued functions. To overcome this limitation, we extend the construction of conditional expectation to general Banach spaces, employing an approach similar to the one described in [2]. We use measure theoretic arguments to construct the conditional expectation using suitable limits of simple functions.

Subsequently, we define stochastic processes and introduce the concepts of adapted, progressively measurable and predictable processes using suitable locale definitions<sup>1</sup>. We show the relation

$$\text{adapted} \supseteq \text{progressive} \supseteq \text{predictable}$$

Furthermore, we show that progressive measurability and adaptedness are equivalent when the indexing set is discrete. We pay special attention to predictable processes in discrete-time, showing that  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is predictable if and only if  $(X_{n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is adapted.

Moving forward, we rigorously define martingales, submartingales, and supermartingales, presenting their first consequences and corollaries<sup>2</sup>. Discrete-time martingales are given special attention in the formalization. In every step of our formalization, we make extensive use of the powerful locale system of Isabelle.

The formalization further contributes by generalizing concepts in Bochner integration by extending their application from the real numbers to arbitrary Banach spaces equipped with a second-countable topology. Induction schemes for integrable simple functions on Banach spaces are introduced, accommodating various scenarios with or without a real vector ordering<sup>3</sup>. Specifically, we formalize a powerful result called the “Averaging Theorem”[4] which allows us to show that densities are unique in Banach spaces.

In-depth information on the formalization and the proofs of the individual theorems can be found in [3].

---

<sup>1</sup>[Martingale.Stochastic\\_Process](#)

<sup>2</sup>[Martingale.Martingale](#)

<sup>3</sup>[Martingale.Bochner\\_Integration\\_Addendum](#)

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Supplementary Lemmas for Measure Spaces</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1	$\sigma$ -Algebra Generated by a Family of Functions	4
<b>2</b>	<b>Supplementary Lemmas for Elementary Metric Spaces</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Diameter Lemma	5
<b>3</b>	<b>Supplementary Lemmas for Bochner Integration</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1	Integrable Simple Functions	5
3.2	Totally Ordered Banach Spaces	7
3.3	Integrability and Measurability of the Diameter	8
3.4	Auxiliary Lemmas for Set Integrals	9
3.5	Averaging Theorem	9
<b>4</b>	<b>Conditional Expectation in Banach Spaces</b>	<b>11</b>
4.1	Existence	15
4.2	Properties	17
4.3	Linearly Ordered Banach Spaces	19
4.4	Probability Spaces	21
<b>5</b>	<b>Filtered Measure Spaces</b>	<b>22</b>
5.1	Filtered Measure	22
5.2	$\sigma$ -Finite Filtered Measure	22
5.3	Finite Filtered Measure	23
5.4	Constant Filtration	23
<b>6</b>	<b>Stochastic Processes</b>	<b>24</b>
6.1	Stochastic Process	24
6.1.1	Natural Filtration	25
6.2	Adapted Process	27
6.3	Progressively Measurable Process	29
6.4	Predictable Process	31
<b>7</b>	<b>Martingales</b>	<b>34</b>
7.1	Additional Locale Definitions	34
7.2	Martingale	36
7.3	Submartingale	36
7.4	Supermartingale	37
7.5	Martingale Lemmas	37
7.6	Submartingale Lemmas	38
7.7	Supermartingale Lemmas	40
7.8	Discrete Time Martingales	41
7.9	Discrete Time Submartingales	42
7.10	Discrete Time Supermartingales	43



```

theory Measure-Space-Supplement
  imports HOL-Analysis.Measure-Space
begin

```

## 1 Supplementary Lemmas for Measure Spaces

### 1.1 $\sigma$ -Algebra Generated by a Family of Functions

**definition** family-vimage-algebra :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b) set  $\Rightarrow$  'b measure  $\Rightarrow$  'a measure  
**where**  

$$\text{family-vimage-algebra } \Omega S M \equiv \text{sigma } \Omega (\bigcup_{f \in S} \{f - 'A \cap \Omega \mid A. A \in M\})$$

For singleton  $S$ , i.e.  $S = \{f\}$  for some  $f$ , the definition simplifies to that of vimage-algebra.

**lemma** family-vimage-algebra-singleton: family-vimage-algebra  $\Omega \{f\} M = \text{vimage-algebra } \Omega f M \langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma**  
**shows** sets-family-vimage-algebra: sets (family-vimage-algebra  $\Omega S M) = \text{sigma-sets } \Omega (\bigcup_{f \in S} \{f - 'A \cap \Omega \mid A. A \in M\})$   
**and** space-family-vimage-algebra[simp]: space (family-vimage-algebra  $\Omega S M) = \Omega$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** measurable-family-vimage-algebra:  
**assumes**  $f \in S f \in \Omega \rightarrow \text{space } M$   
**shows**  $f \in \text{family-vimage-algebra } \Omega S M \rightarrow_M M$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** measurable-family-vimage-algebra-singleton:  
**assumes**  $f \in \Omega \rightarrow \text{space } M$   
**shows**  $f \in \text{family-vimage-algebra } \Omega \{f\} M \rightarrow_M M$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

A collection of functions are measurable with respect to some  $\sigma$ -algebra  $N$ , if and only if the  $\sigma$ -algebra they generate is contained in  $N$ .

**lemma** measurable-family-iff-sets:  
**shows**  $(S \subseteq N \rightarrow_M M) \longleftrightarrow S \subseteq \text{space } N \rightarrow \text{space } M \wedge \text{family-vimage-algebra } (\text{space } N) S M \subseteq N$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

**lemma** family-vimage-algebra-diff:  
**shows** family-vimage-algebra  $\Omega S M = \text{sigma } \Omega (\text{sets (family-vimage-algebra } \Omega (S - I) M) \cup \text{family-vimage-algebra } \Omega (S \cap I) M)$   
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

```

end
theory Elementary-Metric-Spaces-Supplement
imports HOL-Analysis.Elementary-Metric-Spaces
begin

```

## 2 Supplementary Lemmas for Elementary Metric Spaces

### 2.1 Diameter Lemma

```

lemma diameter-comp-strict-mono:
fixes s :: nat ⇒ 'a :: metric-space
assumes strict-mono r bounded {s i | i. r n ≤ i}
shows diameter {s (r i) | i. n ≤ i} ≤ diameter {s i | i. r n ≤ i}
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma diameter-bounded-bound':
fixes S :: 'a :: metric-space set
assumes S: bdd-above (case-prod dist ` (S×S)) x ∈ S y ∈ S
shows dist x y ≤ diameter S
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma bounded-imp-dist-bounded:
assumes bounded (range s)
shows bounded ((λ(i, j). dist (s i) (s j)) ` ({n..} × {n..}))
⟨proof⟩

```

A sequence is Cauchy, if and only if it is bounded and its diameter tends to zero. The diameter is well-defined only if the sequence is bounded.

```

lemma cauchy-iff-diameter-tends-to-zero-and-bounded:
fixes s :: nat ⇒ 'a :: metric-space
shows Cauchy s ↔ ((λn. diameter {s i | i. i ≥ n}) —→ 0 ∧ bounded (range s))
⟨proof⟩
end

```

```

theory Bochner-Integration-Supplement
imports HOL-Analysis.Bochner-Integration HOL-Analysis.Set-Integral Elementary-Metric-Spaces-Supplement
begin

```

## 3 Supplementary Lemmas for Bochner Integration

### 3.1 Integrable Simple Functions

We restate some basic results concerning Bochner-integrable functions.

```

lemma integrable-implies-simple-function-sequence:
  fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b:{banach, second-countable-topology}
  assumes integrable M f
  obtains s where ⋀i. simple-function M (s i)
    and ⋀i. emeasure M {y ∈ space M. s i y ≠ 0} ≠ ∞
    and ⋀x. x ∈ space M ⇒ (λi. s i x) —→ f x
    and ⋀x i. x ∈ space M ⇒ norm (s i x) ≤ 2 * norm (f x)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Simple functions can be represented by sums of indicator functions.

```

lemma simple-function-indicator-representation:
  fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b :: {second-countable-topology, banach}
  assumes simple-function M f x ∈ space M
  shows f x = (∑ y ∈ f ` space M. indicator (f - ` {y} ∩ space M) x *R y)
    (is ?l = ?r)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma simple-function-indicator-representation-AE:
  fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b :: {second-countable-topology, banach}
  assumes simple-function M f
  shows AE x in M. f x = (∑ y ∈ f ` space M. indicator (f - ` {y} ∩ space M) x
    *R y)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemmas simple-function-scaleR[intro] = simple-function-compose2[where h=(*)R]
lemmas integrable-simple-function = simple-bochner-integrable.intros[THEN has-bochner-integral-simple-bochner]
THEN integrable.intros]

```

Induction rule for simple integrable functions.

```

lemma integrable-simple-function-induct[consumes 2, case-names cong indicator
add, induct set: simple-function]:
  fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b :: {second-countable-topology, banach}
  assumes f: simple-function M f emeasure M {y ∈ space M. f y ≠ 0} ≠ ∞
  assumes cong: ⋀f g. simple-function M f ⇒ emeasure M {y ∈ space M. f y ≠
  0} ≠ ∞
    ⇒ simple-function M g ⇒ emeasure M {y ∈ space M. g y ≠
  0} ≠ ∞
    ⇒ (⋀x. x ∈ space M ⇒ f x = g x) ⇒ P f ⇒ P g
  assumes indicator: ⋀A y. A ∈ sets M ⇒ emeasure M A < ∞ ⇒ P (λx.
  indicator A x *R y)
  assumes add: ⋀f g. simple-function M f ⇒ emeasure M {y ∈ space M. f y ≠
  0} ≠ ∞ ⇒
    simple-function M g ⇒ emeasure M {y ∈ space M. g y ≠ 0} ≠
  ∞ ⇒
    (⋀z. z ∈ space M ⇒ norm (f z + g z) = norm (f z) + norm
    (g z)) ⇒
    P f ⇒ P g ⇒ P (λx. f x + g x)
  shows P f
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Induction rule for non-negative simple integrable functions

```
lemma integrable-simple-function-induct-nn[consumes 3, case-names cong indicator add, induct set: simple-function]:
  fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b :: {second-countable-topology, banach, linorder-topology, ordered-real-vector}
  assumes f: simple-function M f emeasure M {y ∈ space M. f y ≠ 0} ≠ ∞ ∧ x. x ∈ space M → f x ≥ 0
  assumes cong: ∀f g. simple-function M f ⇒ emeasure M {y ∈ space M. f y ≠ 0} ≠ ∞ ⇒ (∀x. x ∈ space M ⇒ f x ≥ 0) ⇒ simple-function M g ⇒ emeasure M {y ∈ space M. g y ≠ 0} ≠ ∞ ⇒ (∀x. x ∈ space M ⇒ g x ≥ 0) ⇒ (∀x. x ∈ space M ⇒ f x = g x) ⇒ P f ⇒ P g
  assumes indicator: ∀A y. y ≥ 0 ⇒ A ∈ sets M ⇒ emeasure M A < ∞ ⇒ P (λx. indicator A x *R y)
  assumes add: ∀f g. (∀x. x ∈ space M ⇒ f x ≥ 0) ⇒ simple-function M f ⇒ emeasure M {y ∈ space M. f y ≠ 0} ≠ ∞ ⇒
    (∀x. x ∈ space M ⇒ g x ≥ 0) ⇒ simple-function M g ⇒ emeasure M {y ∈ space M. g y ≠ 0} ≠ ∞ ⇒
      (∀z. z ∈ space M ⇒ norm (f z + g z) = norm (f z) + norm (g z)) ⇒
        P f ⇒ P g ⇒ P (λx. f x + g x)
  shows P f
  ⟨proof⟩
```

**lemma** finite-nn-integral-imp-ae-finite:

```
fixes f :: 'a ⇒ ennreal
assumes f ∈ borel-measurable M (∫⁺ x. f x ∂M) < ∞
shows AE x in M. f x < ∞
⟨proof⟩
```

Convergence in L1-Norm implies existence of a subsequence which converges almost everywhere. This lemma is easier to use than the existing one in *HOL-Analysis.Bochner-Integration*

```
lemma cauchy-L1-AE-cauchy-subseq:
  fixes s :: nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'b:: {banach, second-countable-topology}
  assumes [measurable]: ∀n. integrable M (s n)
    and ∀e. e > 0 ⇒ ∃N. ∀i≥N. ∀j≥N. LINT x|M. norm (s i x - s j x) < e
  obtains r where strict-mono r AE x in M. Cauchy (λi. s (r i) x)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

### 3.2 Totally Ordered Banach Spaces

```
lemma integrable-max[simp, intro]:
  fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b :: {second-countable-topology, banach, linorder-topology}
  assumes fg[measurable]: integrable M f integrable M g
  shows integrable M (λx. max (f x) (g x))
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma integrable-min[simp, intro]:
  fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b :: {second-countable-topology, banach, linorder-topology}
```

```

assumes [measurable]: integrable M f integrable M g
shows integrable M ( $\lambda x. \min(f x) (g x)$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

Restatement of *integral-nonneg-AE* for functions taking values in a Banach space.

```

lemma integral-nonneg-AE-banach:
  fixes f :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b :: {second-countable-topology, banach, linorder-topology, ordered-real-vector}
  assumes [measurable]:  $f \in \text{borel-measurable } M$  and nonneg: AE x in M.  $0 \leq f x$ 
  shows  $0 \leq \text{integral}^L M f$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma integral-mono-AE-banach:
  fixes f g :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b :: {second-countable-topology, banach, linorder-topology, ordered-real-vector}
  assumes integrable M f integrable M g AE x in M.  $f x \leq g x$ 
  shows  $\text{integral}^L M f \leq \text{integral}^L M g$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma integral-mono-banach:
  fixes f g :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b :: {second-countable-topology, banach, linorder-topology, ordered-real-vector}
  assumes integrable M f integrable M g  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies f x \leq g x$ 
  shows  $\text{integral}^L M f \leq \text{integral}^L M g$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

### 3.3 Integrability and Measurability of the Diameter

```

context
  fixes s :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b :: {second-countable-topology, banach} and M
  assumes bounded:  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies \text{bounded}(\text{range}(\lambda i. s i x))$ 
begin

```

```

lemma borel-measurable-diameter:
  assumes [measurable]:  $\bigwedge i. (s i) \in \text{borel-measurable } M$ 
  shows  $(\lambda x. \text{diameter}\{s i x \mid i. n \leq i\}) \in \text{borel-measurable } M$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma integrable-bound-diameter:
  fixes f :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  real
  assumes integrable M f
  and [measurable]:  $\bigwedge i. (s i) \in \text{borel-measurable } M$ 
  and  $\bigwedge x i. x \in \text{space } M \implies \text{norm}(s i x) \leq f x$ 
  shows integrable M ( $\lambda x. \text{diameter}\{s i x \mid i. n \leq i\}$ )
  ⟨proof⟩
end

```

### 3.4 Auxiliary Lemmas for Set Integrals

```

lemma set-integral-scaleR-left:
  assumes  $A \in \text{sets } M$   $c \neq 0 \implies \text{integrable } M f$ 
  shows  $\text{LINT } t:A|M. f t *_R c = (\text{LINT } t:A|M. f t) *_R c$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nn-set-integral-eq-set-integral:
  assumes [measurable]:integrable  $M f$ 
  and  $\text{AE } x \in A \text{ in } M. 0 \leq f x \in \text{sets } M$ 
  shows  $(\int^+ x \in A. f x \partial M) = (\int x \in A. f x \partial M)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-integral-restrict-space:
  fixes  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{\text{banach}, \text{second-countable-topology}\}$ 
  assumes  $\Omega \cap \text{space } M \in \text{sets } M$ 
  shows set-lebesgue-integral (restrict-space  $M \Omega$ )  $A f = \text{set-lebesgue-integral } M A$ 
   $(\lambda x. \text{indicator } \Omega x *_R f x)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-integral-const:
  fixes  $c :: 'b :: \{\text{banach}, \text{second-countable-topology}\}$ 
  assumes  $A \in \text{sets } M$   $\text{emeasure } M A \neq \infty$ 
  shows set-lebesgue-integral  $M A (\lambda-. c) = \text{measure } M A *_R c$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-integral-mono-banach:
  fixes  $f g :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{\text{second-countable-topology}, \text{banach}, \text{linorder-topology}, \text{ordered-real-vector}\}$ 
  assumes set-integrable  $M A f$  set-integrable  $M A g$ 
   $\wedge x. x \in A \implies f x \leq g x$ 
  shows  $(\text{LINT } x:A|M. f x) \leq (\text{LINT } x:A|M. g x)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-integral-mono-AE-banach:
  fixes  $f g :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{\text{second-countable-topology}, \text{banach}, \text{linorder-topology}, \text{ordered-real-vector}\}$ 
  assumes set-integrable  $M A f$  set-integrable  $M A g$   $\text{AE } x \in A \text{ in } M. f x \leq g x$ 
  shows set-lebesgue-integral  $M A f \leq \text{set-lebesgue-integral } M A g$  ⟨proof⟩

```

### 3.5 Averaging Theorem

We aim to lift results from the real case to arbitrary Banach spaces. Our fundamental tool in this regard will be the averaging theorem. The proof of this theorem is due to Serge Lang (Real and Functional Analysis) [4]. The theorem allows us to make statements about a functions value almost everywhere, depending on the value its integral takes on various sets of the measure space.

Before we introduce and prove the averaging theorem, we will first show the following lemma which is crucial for our proof. While not stated exactly in this manner, our proof makes use of the characterization of second-countable topological spaces given in the book General Topology by Ryszard Engelking (Theorem 4.1.15) [1].

```
lemma balls-countable-basis:
  obtains D :: 'a :: {metric-space, second-countable-topology} set
  where topological-basis (case-prod ball '(D × (Q ∩ {0 < ..})))
    and countable D
    and D ≠ {}
⟨proof⟩
```

```
context sigma-finite-measure
begin
```

To show statements concerning  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces, one usually shows the statement for finite measure spaces and uses a limiting argument to show it for the  $\sigma$ -finite case. The following induction scheme formalizes this.

```
lemma sigma-finite-measure-induct[case-names finite-measure, consumes 0]:
  assumes  $\bigwedge (N :: 'a \text{ measure}) \Omega. \text{finite-measure } N$ 
     $\implies N = \text{restrict-space } M \Omega$ 
     $\implies \Omega \in \text{sets } M$ 
     $\implies \text{emeasure } N \Omega \neq \infty$ 
     $\implies \text{emeasure } N \Omega \neq 0$ 
     $\implies \text{almost-everywhere } N Q$ 
  and [measurable]: Measurable.pred M Q
  shows almost-everywhere M Q
⟨proof⟩
```

The Averaging Theorem allows us to make statements concerning how a function behaves almost everywhere, depending on its behaviour on average.

```
lemma averaging-theorem:
  fixes f::- ⇒ 'b:{second-countable-topology, banach}
  assumes [measurable]:integrable M f
  and closed: closed S
  and  $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } M \implies \text{measure } M A > 0 \implies (1 / \text{measure } M A) *_R$ 
    set-lebesgue-integral M A f ∈ S
  shows AE x in M. f x ∈ S
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma density-zero:
  fixes f::'a ⇒ 'b:{second-countable-topology, banach}
  assumes integrable M f
  and density-0:  $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } M \implies \text{set-lebesgue-integral } M A f = 0$ 
  shows AE x in M. f x = 0
⟨proof⟩
```

The following lemma shows that densities are unique in Banach spaces.

```

lemma density-unique-banach:
  fixes  $f f' : 'a \Rightarrow 'b : \{second-countable-topology, banach\}$ 
  assumes integrable  $M f$  integrable  $M f'$ 
  and density-eq:  $\bigwedge A. A \in sets M \implies set-lebesgue-integral M A f = set-lebesgue-integral M A f'$ 
  shows AE  $x$  in  $M. f x = f' x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma density-nonneg:
  fixes  $f : - \Rightarrow 'b : \{second-countable-topology, banach, linorder-topology, ordered-real-vector\}$ 
  assumes integrable  $M f$ 
  and  $\bigwedge A. A \in sets M \implies set-lebesgue-integral M A f \geq 0$ 
  shows AE  $x$  in  $M. f x \geq 0$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary integral-nonneg-eq-0-iff-AE-banach:
  fixes  $f : 'a \Rightarrow 'b : \{second-countable-topology, banach, linorder-topology, ordered-real-vector\}$ 
  assumes  $f[\text{measurable}]: \text{integrable } M f$  and nonneg: AE  $x$  in  $M. 0 \leq f x$ 
  shows integralL  $M f = 0 \longleftrightarrow (\text{AE } x \text{ in } M. f x = 0)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary integral-eq-mono-AE-eq-AE:
  fixes  $f g : 'a \Rightarrow 'b : \{second-countable-topology, banach, linorder-topology, ordered-real-vector\}$ 
  assumes integrable  $M f$  integrable  $M g$  integralL  $M f = integral^L M g$  AE  $x$  in  $M. f x \leq g x$ 
  shows AE  $x$  in  $M. f x = g x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

```

theory Conditional-Expectation-Banach
  imports HOL-Probability.Conditional-Expectation HOL-Probability.Independent-Family
  Bochner-Integration-Supplement
  begin

```

## 4 Conditional Expectation in Banach Spaces

While constructing the conditional expectation operator, we have come up with the following approach, which is based on the construction in [2]. Both our approach, and the one in [2] are based on showing that the conditional expectation is a contraction on some dense subspace of the space of functions  $L^1(E)$ . In our approach, we start by constructing the conditional expectation explicitly for simple functions. Then we show that the condi-

tional expectation is a contraction on simple functions, i.e.  $\|E(s|F)(x)\| \leq E(\|s(x)\||F)$  for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in \Omega$  with  $s : \Omega \rightarrow E$  simple and integrable. Using this, we can show that the conditional expectation of a convergent sequence of simple functions is again convergent. Finally, we show that this limit exhibits the properties of a conditional expectation. This approach has the benefit of being straightforward and easy to implement, since we could make use of the existing formalization for real-valued functions. To use the construction in [2] we need more tools from functional analysis, which Isabelle/HOL currently does not have.

Before we can talk about 'the' conditional expectation, we must define what it means for a function to have a conditional expectation.

**definition** *has-cond-exp* :: 'a measure  $\Rightarrow$  'a measure  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b::{real-normed-vector, second-countable-topology})  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{has-cond-exp } M F f g = & ((\forall A \in \textit{sets } F. (\int x \in A. f x \partial M) = (\int x \in A. g x \partial M)) \\ & \wedge \textit{integrable } M f \\ & \wedge \textit{integrable } M g \\ & \wedge g \in \textit{borel-measurable } F) \end{aligned}$$

This predicate precisely characterizes what it means for a function  $f$  to have a conditional expectation  $g$ , with respect to the measure  $M$  and the sub- $\sigma$ -algebra  $F$ .

**lemma** *has-cond-expI'*:

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{assumes } & \bigwedge A. A \in \textit{sets } F \implies (\int x \in A. f x \partial M) = (\int x \in A. g x \partial M) \\ & \textit{integrable } M f \\ & \textit{integrable } M g \\ & g \in \textit{borel-measurable } F \end{aligned}$$

**shows** *has-cond-exp M F f g*

*{proof}*

**lemma** *has-cond-expD*:

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{assumes } & \textit{has-cond-exp } M F f g \\ \textit{shows } & \bigwedge A. A \in \textit{sets } F \implies (\int x \in A. f x \partial M) = (\int x \in A. g x \partial M) \\ & \textit{integrable } M f \\ & \textit{integrable } M g \\ & g \in \textit{borel-measurable } F \end{aligned}$$

*{proof}*

Now we can use Hilberts  $\epsilon$ -operator to define the conditional expectation, if it exists.

**definition** *cond-exp* :: 'a measure  $\Rightarrow$  'a measure  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b::{banach, second-countable-topology}) **where**

$$\textit{cond-exp } M F f = (\textit{if } \exists g. \textit{has-cond-exp } M F f g \textit{ then } (\textit{SOME } g. \textit{has-cond-exp } M F f g) \textit{ else } (\lambda-. 0))$$

**lemma** *borel-measurable-cond-exp[measurable]*: *cond-exp M F f*  $\in$  *borel-measurable F*

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma integrable-cond-exp[intro]: integrable M (cond-exp M F f)
⟨proof⟩

lemma set-integrable-cond-exp[intro]:
assumes A ∈ sets M
shows set-integrable M A (cond-exp M F f) ⟨proof⟩

lemma has-cond-exp-self:
assumes integrable M f
shows has-cond-exp M (vimage-algebra (space M) f borel) f f
⟨proof⟩

lemma has-cond-exp-sets-cong:
assumes sets F = sets G
shows has-cond-exp M F = has-cond-exp M G
⟨proof⟩

lemma cond-exp-sets-cong:
assumes sets F = sets G
shows AE x in M. cond-exp M F f x = cond-exp M G f x
⟨proof⟩

```

**context** sigma-finite-subalgebra  
**begin**

```

lemma borel-measurable-cond-exp'[measurable]: cond-exp M F f ∈ borel-measurable
M
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cond-exp-null:
assumes ∉ g. has-cond-exp M F f g
shows cond-exp M F f = (λ-. 0)
⟨proof⟩

```

We state the tower property of the conditional expectation in terms of the predicate *has-cond-exp*.

```

lemma has-cond-exp-nested-subalg:
fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b:{second-countable-topology, banach}
assumes subalgebra G F has-cond-exp M F f h has-cond-exp M G f h'
shows has-cond-exp M F h' h
⟨proof⟩

```

The following lemma shows that the conditional expectation is unique as an element of L1, given that it exists.

```

lemma has-cond-exp-charact:
fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b:{second-countable-topology, banach}
assumes has-cond-exp M F f g

```

**shows**  $\text{has-cond-exp } M F f \ (\text{cond-exp } M F f)$   
 $\text{AE } x \text{ in } M. \ \text{cond-exp } M F f x = g x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary**  $\text{cond-exp-charact}:$   
**fixes**  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{\text{second-countable-topology}, \text{banach}\}$   
**assumes**  $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } F \implies (\int x \in A. f x \partial M) = (\int x \in A. g x \partial M)$   
 $\text{integrable } M f$   
 $\text{integrable } M g$   
 $g \in \text{borel-measurable } F$   
**shows**  $\text{AE } x \text{ in } M. \ \text{cond-exp } M F f x = g x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Identity on  $F$ -measurable functions:

If an integrable function  $f$  is already  $F$ -measurable, then  $\text{cond-exp } M F f = f$   $\mu$ -a.e. This is a corollary of the lemma on the characterization of  $\text{cond-exp}$ .

**corollary**  $\text{cond-exp-F-meas[intro, simp]}:$   
**fixes**  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{\text{second-countable-topology}, \text{banach}\}$   
**assumes**  $\text{integrable } M f$   
 $f \in \text{borel-measurable } F$   
**shows**  $\text{AE } x \text{ in } M. \ \text{cond-exp } M F f x = f x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Congruence

**lemma**  $\text{has-cond-exp-cong}:$   
**assumes**  $\text{integrable } M f \ \bigwedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies f x = g x \text{ has-cond-exp } M F g h$   
**shows**  $\text{has-cond-exp } M F f h$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{cond-exp-cong}:$   
**fixes**  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{\text{second-countable-topology}, \text{banach}\}$   
**assumes**  $\text{integrable } M f \text{ integrable } M g \ \bigwedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies f x = g x$   
**shows**  $\text{AE } x \text{ in } M. \ \text{cond-exp } M F f x = \text{cond-exp } M F g x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{has-cond-exp-cong-AE}:$   
**assumes**  $\text{integrable } M f \ \text{AE } x \text{ in } M. f x = g x \text{ has-cond-exp } M F g h$   
**shows**  $\text{has-cond-exp } M F f h$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{has-cond-exp-cong-AE}'$ :  
**assumes**  $h \in \text{borel-measurable } F \ \text{AE } x \text{ in } M. h x = h' x \text{ has-cond-exp } M F f h'$   
**shows**  $\text{has-cond-exp } M F f h$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{cond-exp-cong-AE}:$   
**fixes**  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{\text{second-countable-topology}, \text{banach}\}$   
**assumes**  $\text{integrable } M f \text{ integrable } M g \ \text{AE } x \text{ in } M. f x = g x$

**shows**  $\text{AE } x \text{ in } M. \text{ cond-exp } M F f x = \text{cond-exp } M F g x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The conditional expectation operator on the reals, *real-cond-exp*, satisfies the conditions of the conditional expectation as we have defined it.

```

lemma has-cond-exp-real:
  fixes  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 
  assumes integrable  $M f$ 
  shows has-cond-exp  $M F f$  (real-cond-exp  $M F f$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma cond-exp-real[intro]:
  fixes  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 
  assumes integrable  $M f$ 
  shows  $\text{AE } x \text{ in } M. \text{ cond-exp } M F f x = \text{real-cond-exp } M F f x$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma cond-exp-cmult:
  fixes  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 
  assumes integrable  $M f$ 
  shows  $\text{AE } x \text{ in } M. \text{ cond-exp } M F (\lambda x. c * f x) x = c * \text{cond-exp } M F f x$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

## 4.1 Existence

Showing the existence is a bit involved. Specifically, what we aim to show is that *has-cond-exp*  $M F f$  (*cond-exp*  $M F f$ ) holds for any Bochner-integrable  $f$ . We will employ the standard machinery of measure theory. First, we will prove existence for indicator functions. Then we will extend our proof by linearity to simple functions. Finally we use a limiting argument to show that the conditional expectation exists for all Bochner-integrable functions.

Indicator functions

```

lemma has-cond-exp-indicator:
  assumes  $A \in \text{sets } M$  emeasure  $M A < \infty$ 
  shows has-cond-exp  $M F (\lambda x. \text{indicat-real } A x *_R y)$  ( $\lambda x. \text{real-cond-exp } M F$ 
  (indicator  $A$ )  $x *_R y$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma cond-exp-indicator[intro]:
  fixes  $y :: 'b:\{\text{second-countable-topology}, \text{banach}\}$ 
  assumes [measurable]:  $A \in \text{sets } M$  emeasure  $M A < \infty$ 
  shows  $\text{AE } x \text{ in } M. \text{ cond-exp } M F (\lambda x. \text{indicat-real } A x *_R y) x = \text{cond-exp } M F$ 
  (indicator  $A$ )  $x *_R y$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

Addition

```

lemma has-cond-exp-add:

```

```

fixes f g :: 'a ⇒ 'b:{second-countable-topology,banach}
assumes has-cond-exp M F f f' has-cond-exp M F g g'
shows has-cond-exp M F (λx. f x + g x) (λx. f' x + g' x)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma has-cond-exp-scaleR-right:
fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b:{second-countable-topology,banach}
assumes has-cond-exp M F f f'
shows has-cond-exp M F (λx. c *R f x) (λx. c *R f' x)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cond-exp-scaleR-right:
fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b:{second-countable-topology,banach}
assumes integrable M f
shows AE x in M. cond-exp M F (λx. c *R f x) x = c *R cond-exp M F f x
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cond-exp-uminus:
fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b:{second-countable-topology,banach}
assumes integrable M f
shows AE x in M. cond-exp M F (λx. - f x) x = - cond-exp M F f x
⟨proof⟩

```

Together with the induction scheme *integrable-simple-function-induct*, we can show that the conditional expectation of an integrable simple function exists.

```

corollary has-cond-exp-simple:
fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b:{second-countable-topology,banach}
assumes simple-function M f emeasure M {y ∈ space M. f y ≠ 0} ≠ ∞
shows has-cond-exp M F f (cond-exp M F f)
⟨proof⟩

```

Now comes the most difficult part. Given a convergent sequence of integrable simple functions  $s$ , we must show that the sequence  $\lambda n. \text{cond-exp } M F (s_n)$  is also convergent. Furthermore, we must show that this limit satisfies the properties of a conditional expectation. Unfortunately, we will only be able to show that this sequence converges in the L1-norm. Luckily, this is enough to show that the operator  $\text{cond-exp } M F$  preserves limits as a function from L1 to L1.

In anticipation of this result, we show that the conditional expectation operator is a contraction for simple functions. We first reformulate the lemma *real-cond-exp-abs*, which shows the statement for real-valued functions, using our definitions. Then we show the statement for simple functions via induction.

```

lemma cond-exp-contraction-real:
fixes f :: 'a ⇒ real
assumes integrable[measurable]: integrable M f

```

**shows**  $\text{AE } x \text{ in } M. \text{ norm}(\text{cond-exp } M F f x) \leq \text{cond-exp } M F (\lambda x. \text{norm}(f x)) x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cond-exp-contraction-simple*:

**fixes**  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{\text{second-countable-topology}, \text{banach}\}$   
**assumes** *simple-function*  $M f$  *emeasure*  $M \{y \in \text{space } M. f y \neq 0\} \neq \infty$   
**shows**  $\text{AE } x \text{ in } M. \text{ norm}(\text{cond-exp } M F f x) \leq \text{cond-exp } M F (\lambda x. \text{norm}(f x)) x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *has-cond-exp-simple-lim*:

**fixes**  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{\text{second-countable-topology}, \text{banach}\}$   
**assumes** *integrable[measurable]*: *integrable*  $M f$   
**and**  $\bigwedge i. \text{simple-function } M(s i)$   
**and**  $\bigwedge i. \text{emeasure } M \{y \in \text{space } M. s i y \neq 0\} \neq \infty$   
**and**  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies (\lambda i. s i x) \xrightarrow{} f x$   
**and**  $\bigwedge x i. x \in \text{space } M \implies \text{norm}(s i x) \leq 2 * \text{norm}(f x)$   
**obtains**  $r$   
**where** *strict-mono*  $r$  *has-cond-exp*  $M F f (\lambda x. \lim(\lambda i. \text{cond-exp } M F (s(r i)) x))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$   
 $\text{AE } x \text{ in } M. \text{ convergent } (\lambda i. \text{cond-exp } M F (s(r i)) x)$

Now, we can show that the conditional expectation is well-defined for all integrable functions.

**corollary** *has-cond-expI*:

**fixes**  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{\text{second-countable-topology}, \text{banach}\}$   
**assumes** *integrable*  $M f$   
**shows** *has-cond-exp*  $M F f (\text{cond-exp } M F f)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 4.2 Properties

The defining property of the conditional expectation now always holds, given that the function  $f$  is integrable.

**lemma** *cond-exp-set-integral*:

**fixes**  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{\text{second-countable-topology}, \text{banach}\}$   
**assumes** *integrable*  $M f A \in \text{sets } F$   
**shows**  $(\int x \in A. f x \partial M) = (\int x \in A. \text{cond-exp } M F f x \partial M)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The following property of the conditional expectation is called the "Tower Property".

**lemma** *cond-exp-nested-subalg*:

**fixes**  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{\text{second-countable-topology}, \text{banach}\}$   
**assumes** *integrable*  $M f$  *subalgebra*  $M G$  *subalgebra*  $G F$   
**shows**  $\text{AE } \xi \text{ in } M. \text{ cond-exp } M F f \xi = \text{cond-exp } M F (\text{cond-exp } M G f) \xi$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The conditional expectation is linear.

```

lemma cond-exp-add:
  fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b::{second-countable-topology, banach}
  assumes integrable M f integrable M g
  shows AE x in M. cond-exp M F (λx. f x + g x) x = cond-exp M F f x +
  cond-exp M F g x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cond-exp-diff:
  fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b :: {second-countable-topology, banach}
  assumes integrable M f integrable M g
  shows AE x in M. cond-exp M F (λx. f x - g x) x = cond-exp M F f x -
  cond-exp M F g x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cond-exp-diff':
  fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b :: {second-countable-topology, banach}
  assumes integrable M f integrable M g
  shows AE x in M. cond-exp M F (f - g) x = cond-exp M F f x - cond-exp M
  F g x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cond-exp-scaleR-left:
  fixes f :: 'a ⇒ real
  assumes integrable M f
  shows AE x in M. cond-exp M F (λx. f x *R c) x = cond-exp M F f x *R c
  ⟨proof⟩

```

The conditional expectation operator is a contraction, i.e. a bounded linear operator with operator norm less than or equal to 1.

To show this we first obtain a subsequence  $\lambda x \ i. s(r i)$   $x$ , such that  $\lambda i.$   $cond-exp M F (s(r i)) x$  converges to  $cond-exp M F f x$  a.e. Afterwards, we obtain a sub-subsequence  $\lambda x \ i. s(r(r' i)) x$ , such that  $\lambda i.$   $cond-exp M F (\lambda x. norm(s(r i))) x$  converges to  $cond-exp M F (\lambda x. norm(f x)) x$  a.e. Finally, we show that the inequality holds by showing that the terms of the subsequences obey the inequality and the fact that a subsequence of a convergent sequence converges to the same limit.

```

lemma cond-exp-contraction:
  fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b::{second-countable-topology, banach}
  assumes integrable M f
  shows AE x in M. norm (cond-exp M F f x) ≤ cond-exp M F (λx. norm (f x))
  x
  ⟨proof⟩

```

The following lemmas are called "pulling out whats known". We first show the statement for real-valued functions using the lemma *real-cond-exp-intg*, which is already present. We then show it for arbitrary  $g$  using the lecture

notes of Gordan Zitkovic for the course "Theory of Probability I" [5].

```

lemma cond-exp-measurable-mult:
  fixes f g :: 'a ⇒ real
  assumes [measurable]: integrable M (λx. f x * g x) integrable M g f ∈ borel-measurable
  F
  shows integrable M (λx. f x * cond-exp M F g x)
    AE x in M. cond-exp M F (λx. f x * g x) x = f x * cond-exp M F g x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cond-exp-measurable-scaleR:
  fixes f :: 'a ⇒ real and g :: 'a ⇒ 'b :: {second-countable-topology, banach}
  assumes [measurable]: integrable M (λx. f x *R g x) integrable M g f ∈ borel-measurable
  F
  shows integrable M (λx. f x *R cond-exp M F g x)
    AE x in M. cond-exp M F (λx. f x *R g x) x = f x *R cond-exp M F g x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cond-exp-sum [intro, simp]:
  fixes f :: 't ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'b :: {second-countable-topology, banach}
  assumes [measurable]: ⋀ i. integrable M (f i)
  shows AE x in M. cond-exp M F (λx. ∑ i∈I. f i x) x = (∑ i∈I. cond-exp M F
  (f i) x)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

### 4.3 Linearly Ordered Banach Spaces

In this subsection we show monotonicity results concerning the conditional expectation operator.

```

lemma cond-exp-gr-c:
  fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b :: {second-countable-topology, banach, linorder-topology, or-
  dered-real-vector}
  assumes integrable M f AE x in M. f x > c
  shows AE x in M. cond-exp M F f x > c
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary cond-exp-less-c:
  fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b :: {second-countable-topology, banach, linorder-topology, or-
  dered-real-vector}
  assumes integrable M f AE x in M. f x < c
  shows AE x in M. cond-exp M F f x < c
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cond-exp-mono-strict:
  fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b :: {second-countable-topology, banach, linorder-topology, or-
  dered-real-vector}
  assumes integrable M f integrable M g AE x in M. f x < g x
  shows AE x in M. cond-exp M F f x < cond-exp M F g x
  ⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** *cond-exp-ge-c*:

**fixes**  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{\text{second-countable-topology, banach, linorder-topology, ordered-real-vector}\}$   
  **assumes** [measurable]: integrable  $M f$   
    **and**  $\text{AE } x \text{ in } M. f x \geq c$   
  **shows**  $\text{AE } x \text{ in } M. \text{cond-exp } M F f x \geq c$   
  ⟨proof⟩

**corollary** *cond-exp-le-c*:

**fixes**  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{\text{second-countable-topology, banach, linorder-topology, ordered-real-vector}\}$   
  **assumes** integrable  $M f$   
    **and**  $\text{AE } x \text{ in } M. f x \leq c$   
  **shows**  $\text{AE } x \text{ in } M. \text{cond-exp } M F f x \leq c$   
  ⟨proof⟩

**corollary** *cond-exp-mono*:

**fixes**  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{\text{second-countable-topology, banach, linorder-topology, ordered-real-vector}\}$   
  **assumes** integrable  $M f$  integrable  $M g$   $\text{AE } x \text{ in } M. f x \leq g x$   
  **shows**  $\text{AE } x \text{ in } M. \text{cond-exp } M F f x \leq \text{cond-exp } M F g x$   
  ⟨proof⟩

**corollary** *cond-exp-min*:

**fixes**  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{\text{second-countable-topology, banach, linorder-topology, ordered-real-vector}\}$   
  **assumes** integrable  $M f$  integrable  $M g$   
  **shows**  $\text{AE } \xi \text{ in } M. \text{cond-exp } M F (\lambda x. \min(f x) (g x)) \xi \leq \min(\text{cond-exp } M F f \xi) (\text{cond-exp } M F g \xi)$   
  ⟨proof⟩

**corollary** *cond-exp-max*:

**fixes**  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{\text{second-countable-topology, banach, linorder-topology, ordered-real-vector}\}$   
  **assumes** integrable  $M f$  integrable  $M g$   
  **shows**  $\text{AE } \xi \text{ in } M. \text{cond-exp } M F (\lambda x. \max(f x) (g x)) \xi \geq \max(\text{cond-exp } M F f \xi) (\text{cond-exp } M F g \xi)$   
  ⟨proof⟩

**corollary** *cond-exp-inf*:

**fixes**  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{\text{second-countable-topology, banach, linorder-topology, ordered-real-vector, lattice}\}$   
  **assumes** integrable  $M f$  integrable  $M g$   
  **shows**  $\text{AE } \xi \text{ in } M. \text{cond-exp } M F (\lambda x. \inf(f x) (g x)) \xi \leq \inf(\text{cond-exp } M F f \xi) (\text{cond-exp } M F g \xi)$   
  ⟨proof⟩

**corollary** *cond-exp-sup*:

```

fixes f :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b :: {second-countable-topology, banach, linorder-topology, ordered-real-vector, lattice}
assumes integrable M f integrable M g
shows AE  $\xi$  in M. cond-exp M F ( $\lambda x. \sup(f x) (g x)$ )  $\xi \geq \sup(\text{cond-exp } M F f \xi) (\text{cond-exp } M F g \xi)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

## 4.4 Probability Spaces

```

lemma (in prob-space) sigma-finite-subalgebra-restr-to-subalg:
assumes subalgebra M F
shows sigma-finite-subalgebra M F
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma (in prob-space) cond-exp-trivial:
fixes f :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b :: {second-countable-topology, banach}
assumes integrable M f
shows AE x in M. cond-exp M (sigma (space M) {}) f x = expectation f
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

The following lemma shows that independent  $\sigma$ -algebras don't matter for the conditional expectation. The proof is adapted from [5].

```

lemma (in prob-space) cond-exp-indep-subalgebra:
fixes f :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b :: {second-countable-topology, banach, real-normed-field}
assumes subalgebra: subalgebra M F subalgebra M G
and independent: indep-set G (sigma (space M) (F  $\cup$  vimage-algebra (space M) f borel))
assumes [measurable]: integrable M f
shows AE x in M. cond-exp M (sigma (space M) (F  $\cup$  G)) f x = cond-exp M F f x
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

If a random variable is independent of a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $F$ , its conditional expectation  $\text{cond-exp } M F f$  is just its expectation.

```

lemma (in prob-space) cond-exp-indep:
fixes f :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b :: {second-countable-topology, banach, real-normed-field}
assumes subalgebra: subalgebra M F
and independent: indep-set F (vimage-algebra (space M) f borel)
and integrable: integrable M f
shows AE x in M. cond-exp M F f x = expectation f
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

**end**

```

theory Filtered-Measure
imports HOL-Probability.Conditional-Expectation

```

```
begin
```

## 5 Filtered Measure Spaces

### 5.1 Filtered Measure

```
locale filtered-measure =
  fixes M F and t0 :: 'b :: {second-countable-topology, order-topology, t2-space}
  assumes subalgebras:  $\bigwedge i. t_0 \leq i \implies \text{subalgebra } M (F i)$ 
    and sets-F-mono:  $\bigwedge i j. t_0 \leq i \implies i \leq j \implies \text{sets } (F i) \leq \text{sets } (F j)$ 
begin

lemma space-F[simp]:
  assumes t0 ≤ i
  shows space (F i) = space M
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma subalgebra-F[intro]:
  assumes t0 ≤ i i ≤ j
  shows subalgebra (F j) (F i)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma borel-measurable-mono:
  assumes t0 ≤ i i ≤ j
  shows borel-measurable (F i) ⊆ borel-measurable (F j)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale linearly-filtered-measure = filtered-measure M F t0 for M and F :: - :: {linorder-topology} ⇒ - and t0

locale nat-filtered-measure = linearly-filtered-measure M F 0 for M and F :: nat
⇒ -
locale real-filtered-measure = linearly-filtered-measure M F 0 for M and F :: real
⇒ -
```

### 5.2 σ-Finite Filtered Measure

The locale presented here is a generalization of the *sigma-finite-subalgebra* for a particular filtration.

```
locale sigma-finite-filtered-measure = filtered-measure +
  assumes sigma-finite-initial: sigma-finite-subalgebra M (F t0)

lemma (in sigma-finite-filtered-measure) sigma-finite-subalgebra-F[intro]:
  assumes t0 ≤ i
  shows sigma-finite-subalgebra M (F i)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

locale nat-sigma-finite-filtered-measure = sigma-finite-filtered-measure M F 0 ::  

  nat for M F  

locale real-sigma-finite-filtered-measure = sigma-finite-filtered-measure M F 0 ::  

  real for M F

sublocale nat-sigma-finite-filtered-measure ⊆ sigma-finite-subalgebra M F i ⟨proof⟩  

sublocale real-sigma-finite-filtered-measure ⊆ sigma-finite-subalgebra M F |i| ⟨proof⟩

```

### 5.3 Finite Filtered Measure

```
locale finite-filtered-measure = filtered-measure + finite-measure
```

```
sublocale finite-filtered-measure ⊆ sigma-finite-filtered-measure  

  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
locale nat-finite-filtered-measure = finite-filtered-measure M F 0 :: nat for M F  

locale real-finite-filtered-measure = finite-filtered-measure M F 0 :: real for M F
```

```
sublocale nat-finite-filtered-measure ⊆ nat-sigma-finite-filtered-measure ⟨proof⟩  

sublocale real-finite-filtered-measure ⊆ real-sigma-finite-filtered-measure ⟨proof⟩
```

### 5.4 Constant Filtration

```
lemma filtered-measure-constant-filtration:  

  assumes subalgebra M F  

  shows filtered-measure M (λ-. F) t₀  

  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
sublocale sigma-finite-subalgebra ⊆ constant-filtration: sigma-finite-filtered-measure  

  M λ- :: 't :: {second-countable-topology, linorder-topology}. F t₀  

  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma (in finite-measure) filtered-measure-constant-filtration:  

  assumes subalgebra M F  

  shows finite-filtered-measure M (λ-. F) t₀  

  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```
theory Stochastic-Process
imports Filtered-Measure Measure-Space-Supplement HOL-Probability.Independent-Family
begin
```

## 6 Stochastic Processes

### 6.1 Stochastic Process

A stochastic process is a collection of random variables, indexed by a type ' $b$ '.

```
locale stochastic-process =
  fixes M t₀ and X :: 'b :: {second-countable-topology, order-topology, t2-space} ⇒
  'a ⇒ 'c :: {second-countable-topology, banach}
  assumes random-variable[measurable]: ∀i. t₀ ≤ i ⇒ X i ∈ borel-measurable M
begin

definition left-continuous where left-continuous = (AE ξ in M. ∀t. continuous
(at-left t) (λi. X i ξ))
definition right-continuous where right-continuous = (AE ξ in M. ∀t. continuous
(at-right t) (λi. X i ξ))

end
```

We specify the following locales to formalize discrete time and continuous time processes.

```
locale nat-stochastic-process = stochastic-process M 0 :: nat X for M X
locale real-stochastic-process = stochastic-process M 0 :: real X for M X
```

```
lemma stochastic-process-const-fun:
  assumes f ∈ borel-measurable M
  shows stochastic-process M t₀ (λi. f) ⟨proof⟩

lemma stochastic-process-const:
  shows stochastic-process M t₀ (λi. c) ⟨proof⟩
```

In the following segment, we cover basic operations on stochastic processes.

```
context stochastic-process
begin

lemma compose-stochastic:
  assumes ∀i. t₀ ≤ i ⇒ f i ∈ borel-measurable borel
  shows stochastic-process M t₀ (λi. ξ. (f i) (X i ξ))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma norm-stochastic: stochastic-process M t₀ (λi. ξ. norm (X i ξ)) ⟨proof⟩

lemma scaleR-right-stochastic:
  assumes stochastic-process M t₀ Y
  shows stochastic-process M t₀ (λi. ξ. (Y i ξ) *ᵣ (X i ξ))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma scaleR-right-const-fun-stochastic:
```

```

assumes  $f \in \text{borel-measurable } M$ 
shows  $\text{stochastic-process } M t_0 (\lambda i \xi. f \xi *_R (X i \xi))$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{scaleR-right-const-stochastic}: \text{stochastic-process } M t_0 (\lambda i \xi. c i *_R (X i \xi))$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{add-stochastic}:$ 
assumes  $\text{stochastic-process } M t_0 Y$ 
shows  $\text{stochastic-process } M t_0 (\lambda i \xi. X i \xi + Y i \xi)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{diff-stochastic}:$ 
assumes  $\text{stochastic-process } M t_0 Y$ 
shows  $\text{stochastic-process } M t_0 (\lambda i \xi. X i \xi - Y i \xi)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{uminus-stochastic}: \text{stochastic-process } M t_0 (-X) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{partial-sum-stochastic}: \text{stochastic-process } M t_0 (\lambda n \xi. \sum_{i \in \{t_0..n\}} X i \xi)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{partial-sum'-stochastic}: \text{stochastic-process } M t_0 (\lambda n \xi. \sum_{i \in \{t_0..<n\}} X i \xi) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

lemma  $\text{stochastic-process-sum}:$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow \text{stochastic-process } M t_0 (X i)$ 
shows  $\text{stochastic-process } M t_0 (\lambda k \xi. \sum_{i \in I} X i k \xi) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

### 6.1.1 Natural Filtration

The natural filtration induced by a stochastic process  $X$  is the filtration generated by all events involving the process up to the time index  $t$ , i.e.  $F_t = \sigma(\{X s \mid s. s \leq t\})$ .

**definition**  $\text{natural-filtration} :: 'a measure \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'c :: \text{topological-space}) \Rightarrow 'b :: \{\text{second-countable-topology}, \text{order-topology}\} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ measure where}$   
 $\text{natural-filtration } M t_0 Y = (\lambda t. \text{family-vimage-algebra} (\text{space } M) \{Y i \mid i. i \in \{t_0..t\}\} \text{ borel})$

**abbreviation**  $\text{nat-natural-filtration} \equiv \lambda M. \text{natural-filtration } M (0 :: \text{nat})$   
**abbreviation**  $\text{real-natural-filtration} \equiv \lambda M. \text{natural-filtration } M (0 :: \text{real})$

**lemma**  $\text{space-natural-filtration[simp]}: \text{space} (\text{natural-filtration } M t_0 X t) = \text{space } M \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{sets-natural-filtration}: \text{sets} (\text{natural-filtration } M t_0 X t) = \text{sigma-sets} (\text{space } M) (\bigcup_{i \in \{t_0..t\}} \{X i - 'A \cap \text{space } M \mid A. A \in \text{borel}\})$

*(proof)*

**lemma** sets-natural-filtration':

assumes borel = sigma UNIV S

shows sets (natural-filtration M t0 X t) = sigma-sets (space M) ( $\bigcup_{i \in \{t_0..t\}} \{X i - ' A \cap space M \mid A. A \in S\}$ )

*(proof)*

**lemma** sets-natural-filtration-open:

sets (natural-filtration M t0 X t) = sigma-sets (space M) ( $\bigcup_{i \in \{t_0..t\}} \{X i - ' A \cap space M \mid A. open A\}$ )

*(proof)*

**lemma** sets-natural-filtration-oi:

sets (natural-filtration M t0 X t) = sigma-sets (space M) ( $\bigcup_{i \in \{t_0..t\}} \{X i - ' A \cap space M \mid A :: - :: \{\text{linorder-topology, second-countable-topology}\} \text{ set. } A \in \text{range greaterThan}\}$ )

*(proof)*

**lemma** sets-natural-filtration-io:

sets (natural-filtration M t0 X t) = sigma-sets (space M) ( $\bigcup_{i \in \{t_0..t\}} \{X i - ' A \cap space M \mid A :: - :: \{\text{linorder-topology, second-countable-topology}\} \text{ set. } A \in \text{range lessThan}\}$ )

*(proof)*

**lemma** sets-natural-filtration-ci:

sets (natural-filtration M t0 X t) = sigma-sets (space M) ( $\bigcup_{i \in \{t_0..t\}} \{X i - ' A \cap space M \mid A :: \text{real set. } A \in \text{range atLeast}\}$ )

*(proof)*

**context** stochastic-process

begin

**lemma** subalgebra-natural-filtration:

shows subalgebra M (natural-filtration M t0 X i)

*(proof)*

**lemma** filtered-measure-natural-filtration:

shows filtered-measure M (natural-filtration M t0 X) t0

*(proof)*

In order to show that the natural filtration constitutes a filtered  $\sigma$ -finite measure, we need to provide a countable exhausting set in the preimage of  $X t_0$ .

**lemma** sigma-finite-filtered-measure-natural-filtration:

assumes exhausting-set: countable A ( $\bigcup A = space M \wedge a. a \in A \implies emeasure M a \neq \infty \wedge a. a \in A \implies \exists b \in borel. a = X t_0 - ' b \cap space M$ )

shows sigma-finite-filtered-measure M (natural-filtration M t0 X) t0

*(proof)*

```

lemma finite-filtered-measure-natural-filtration:
  assumes finite-measure M
  shows finite-filtered-measure M (natural-filtration M t0 X) t0
  ⟨proof⟩

end

Filtration generated by independent variables.

lemma (in prob-space) indep-set-natural-filtration:
  assumes t0 ≤ s s < t indep-vars (λ-. borel) X {t0..}
  shows indep-set (natural-filtration M t0 X s) (vimage-algebra (space M) (X t)
  borel)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

## 6.2 Adapted Process

We call a collection a stochastic process  $X$  adapted if  $X_i$  is  $F_i$ -borel-measurable for all indices  $i$ .

```

locale adapted-process = filtered-measure M F t0 for M F t0 and X :: - ⇒ - ⇒ -
:: {second-countable-topology, banach} +
assumes adapted[measurable]: ∀i. t0 ≤ i ⇒ X i ∈ borel-measurable (F i)
begin

lemma adaptedE[elim]:
  assumes [∀j i. t0 ≤ j ⇒ j ≤ i ⇒ X j ∈ borel-measurable (F i)] ⇒ P
  shows P
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma adaptedD:
  assumes t0 ≤ j j ≤ i
  shows X j ∈ borel-measurable (F i) ⟨proof⟩

end

```

```

locale nat-adapted-process = adapted-process M F 0 :: nat X for M F X
locale real-adapted-process = adapted-process M F 0 :: real X for M F X

```

```

sublocale nat-adapted-process ⊆ nat-filtered-measure ⟨proof⟩
sublocale real-adapted-process ⊆ real-filtered-measure ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in filtered-measure) adapted-process-const-fun:
  assumes f ∈ borel-measurable (F t0)
  shows adapted-process M F t0 (λ-. f)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in filtered-measure) adapted-process-const:
  shows adapted-process M F t0 (λi -. c i) ⟨proof⟩

```

Again, we cover basic operations.

**context** adapted-process

**begin**

**lemma** compose-adapted:

**assumes**  $\bigwedge i. t_0 \leq i \implies f i \in \text{borel-measurable borel}$   
**shows** adapted-process  $M F t_0 (\lambda i \xi. (f i) (X i \xi))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** norm-adapted: adapted-process  $M F t_0 (\lambda i \xi. \text{norm} (X i \xi)) \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** scaleR-right-adapted:

**assumes** adapted-process  $M F t_0 R$   
**shows** adapted-process  $M F t_0 (\lambda i \xi. (R i \xi) *_R (X i \xi))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** scaleR-right-const-fun-adapted:

**assumes**  $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (F t_0)$   
**shows** adapted-process  $M F t_0 (\lambda i \xi. f \xi *_R (X i \xi))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** scaleR-right-const-adapted: adapted-process  $M F t_0 (\lambda i \xi. c i *_R (X i \xi)) \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** add-adapted:

**assumes** adapted-process  $M F t_0 Y$   
**shows** adapted-process  $M F t_0 (\lambda i \xi. X i \xi + Y i \xi)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** diff-adapted:

**assumes** adapted-process  $M F t_0 Y$   
**shows** adapted-process  $M F t_0 (\lambda i \xi. X i \xi - Y i \xi)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** uminus-adapted: adapted-process  $M F t_0 (-X) \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** partial-sum-adapted: adapted-process  $M F t_0 (\lambda n \xi. \sum_{i \in \{t_0..n\}} X i \xi) \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** partial-sum'-adapted: adapted-process  $M F t_0 (\lambda n \xi. \sum_{i \in \{t_0..<n\}} X i \xi) \langle proof \rangle$

**end**

In the discrete time case, we have the following lemma which will be useful later on.

**lemma** (**in** nat-adapted-process) partial-sum-Suc-adapted: nat-adapted-process  $M F (\lambda n \xi. \sum_{i < n} X (\text{Suc } i) \xi)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in filtered-measure)** adapted-process-sum:  
  **assumes**  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{adapted-process } M F t_0 (X i)$   
  **shows** adapted-process  $M F t_0 (\lambda k \xi. \sum i \in I. X i k \xi)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

An adapted process is necessarily a stochastic process.

**sublocale** adapted-process  $\subseteq$  stochastic-process  $\langle proof \rangle$

**sublocale** nat-adapted-process  $\subseteq$  nat-stochastic-process  $\langle proof \rangle$

**sublocale** real-adapted-process  $\subseteq$  real-stochastic-process  $\langle proof \rangle$

A stochastic process is always adapted to the natural filtration it generates.

**lemma (in stochastic-process)** adapted-process-natural-filtration: adapted-process  $M$  (natural-filtration  $M t_0 X$ )  $t_0 X$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 6.3 Progressively Measurable Process

**locale** progressive-process = filtered-measure  $M F t_0$  **for**  $M F t_0$  **and**  $X :: - \Rightarrow - \Rightarrow - :: \{\text{second-countable-topology, banach}\} +$   
  **assumes** progressive[measurable]:  $\bigwedge t. t_0 \leq t \implies (\lambda(i, x). X i x) \in \text{borel-measurable}(\text{restrict-space borel } \{t_0..t\} \otimes_M F t)$   
**begin**

**lemma** progressiveD:  
  **assumes**  $S \in \text{borel}$   
  **shows**  $(\lambda(j, \xi). X j \xi) -` S \cap (\{t_0..i\} \times \text{space } M) \in (\text{restrict-space borel } \{t_0..i\} \otimes_M F i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**locale** nat-progressive-process = progressive-process  $M F 0 :: \text{nat } X$  **for**  $M F X$   
**locale** real-progressive-process = progressive-process  $M F 0 :: \text{real } X$  **for**  $M F X$

**lemma (in filtered-measure)** progressive-process-const-fun:  
  **assumes**  $f \in \text{borel-measurable}(F t_0)$   
  **shows** progressive-process  $M F t_0 (\lambda i. f)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in filtered-measure)** progressive-process-const:  
  **assumes**  $c \in \text{borel-measurable borel}$   
  **shows** progressive-process  $M F t_0 (\lambda i. c)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**context** progressive-process  
**begin**

```

lemma compose-progressive:
  assumes case-prod  $f \in \text{borel-measurable borel}$ 
  shows progressive-process  $M F t_0 (\lambda i \xi. (f i) (X i \xi))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma norm-progressive: progressive-process  $M F t_0 (\lambda i \xi. \text{norm} (X i \xi)) \langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma scaleR-right-progressive:
  assumes progressive-process  $M F t_0 R$ 
  shows progressive-process  $M F t_0 (\lambda i \xi. (R i \xi) *_R (X i \xi))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma scaleR-right-const-fun-progressive:
  assumes  $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (F t_0)$ 
  shows progressive-process  $M F t_0 (\lambda i \xi. f \xi *_R (X i \xi))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma scaleR-right-const-progressive:
  assumes  $c \in \text{borel-measurable borel}$ 
  shows progressive-process  $M F t_0 (\lambda i \xi. c i *_R (X i \xi))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma add-progressive:
  assumes progressive-process  $M F t_0 Y$ 
  shows progressive-process  $M F t_0 (\lambda i \xi. X i \xi + Y i \xi)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma diff-progressive:
  assumes progressive-process  $M F t_0 Y$ 
  shows progressive-process  $M F t_0 (\lambda i \xi. X i \xi - Y i \xi)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma uminus-progressive: progressive-process  $M F t_0 (-X) \langle proof \rangle$ 

end

A progressively measurable process is also adapted.

sublocale progressive-process  $\subseteq$  adapted-process  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale nat-progressive-process  $\subseteq$  nat-adapted-process  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
sublocale real-progressive-process  $\subseteq$  real-adapted-process  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

In the discrete setting, adaptedness is equivalent to progressive measurability.

theorem nat-progressive-iff-adapted: nat-progressive-process  $M F X \longleftrightarrow$  nat-adapted-process  $M F X$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

## 6.4 Predictable Process

We introduce the constant  $\Sigma_P$  to denote the predictable  $\sigma$ -algebra.

```

context linearly-filtered-measure
begin

definition  $\Sigma_P :: ('b \times 'a) \text{ measure where }$  predictable-sigma:  $\Sigma_P \equiv \text{sigma } (\{t_0..\} \times \text{space } M) (\{\{s <.. t\} \times A \mid A \text{ s.t. } A \in F \text{ s } \wedge t_0 \leq s \wedge s < t\} \cup \{\{t_0\} \times A \mid A \in F \text{ t}_0\})$ 

lemma space-predictable-sigma[simp]:  $\text{space } \Sigma_P = (\{t_0..\} \times \text{space } M) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sets-predictable-sigma:  $\text{sets } \Sigma_P = \text{sigma-sets } (\{t_0..\} \times \text{space } M) (\{\{s <.. t\} \times A \mid A \text{ s.t. } A \in F \text{ s } \wedge t_0 \leq s \wedge s < t\} \cup \{\{t_0\} \times A \mid A \in F \text{ t}_0\}) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma measurable-predictable-sigma-snd:
  assumes countable  $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \{\{s <.. t\} \mid s \text{ t. } t_0 \leq s \wedge s < t\} \{t_0 <..\} \subseteq (\bigcup \mathcal{I})$ 
  shows  $\text{snd} \in \Sigma_P \rightarrow_M F \text{ t}_0$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma measurable-predictable-sigma-fst:
  assumes countable  $\mathcal{I}$   $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \{\{s <.. t\} \mid s \text{ t. } t_0 \leq s \wedge s < t\} \{t_0 <..\} \subseteq (\bigcup \mathcal{I})$ 
  shows  $\text{fst} \in \Sigma_P \rightarrow_M \text{borel}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

locale predictable-process = linearly-filtered-measure M F t0 for M F t0 and X :: 
  -  $\Rightarrow$  -  $\Rightarrow$  - :: {second-countable-topology, banach} +
  assumes predictable:  $(\lambda(t, x). X t x) \in \text{borel-measurable } \Sigma_P$ 
begin

lemmas predictableD = measurable-sets[OF predictable, unfolded space-predictable-sigma]

end

locale nat-predictable-process = predictable-process M F 0 :: nat X for M F X
locale real-predictable-process = predictable-process M F 0 :: real X for M F X

lemma (in nat-filtered-measure) measurable-predictable-sigma-snd':
  shows  $\text{snd} \in \Sigma_P \rightarrow_M F 0$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in nat-filtered-measure) measurable-predictable-sigma-fst':
  shows  $\text{fst} \in \Sigma_P \rightarrow_M \text{borel}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

**lemma (in real-filtered-measure) measurable-predictable-sigma-snd':**

**shows**  $\text{snd} \in \Sigma_P \rightarrow_M F 0$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in real-filtered-measure) measurable-predictable-sigma-fst':**

**shows**  $\text{fst} \in \Sigma_P \rightarrow_M \text{borel}$

*(proof)*

We show sufficient conditions for functions constant in one argument to constitute a predictable process. In contrast to the cases before, this is not a triviality.

**lemma (in linearly-filtered-measure) predictable-process-const-fun:**

**assumes**  $\text{snd} \in \Sigma_P \rightarrow_M F t_0 f \in \text{borel-measurable}(F t_0)$

**shows**  $\text{predictable-process } M F t_0 (\lambda i. f)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in nat-filtered-measure) predictable-process-const-fun'[intro]:**

**assumes**  $f \in \text{borel-measurable}(F 0)$

**shows**  $\text{nat-predictable-process } M F (\lambda i. f)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in real-filtered-measure) predictable-process-const-fun'[intro]:**

**assumes**  $f \in \text{borel-measurable}(F 0)$

**shows**  $\text{real-predictable-process } M F (\lambda i. f)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in linearly-filtered-measure) predictable-process-const:**

**assumes**  $\text{fst} \in \text{borel-measurable } \Sigma_P c \in \text{borel-measurable borel}$

**shows**  $\text{predictable-process } M F t_0 (\lambda i. c i)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in linearly-filtered-measure) predictable-process-const-const[intro]:**

**shows**  $\text{predictable-process } M F t_0 (\lambda i. c)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in nat-filtered-measure) predictable-process-const'[intro]:**

**assumes**  $c \in \text{borel-measurable borel}$

**shows**  $\text{nat-predictable-process } M F (\lambda i. c i)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in real-filtered-measure) predictable-process-const[intro]:**

**assumes**  $c \in \text{borel-measurable borel}$

**shows**  $\text{real-predictable-process } M F (\lambda i. c i)$

*(proof)*

**context**  $\text{predictable-process}$

**begin**

```

lemma compose-predictable:
  assumes fst ∈ borel-measurable  $\Sigma_P$  case-prod f ∈ borel-measurable borel
  shows predictable-process M F t0  $(\lambda i \xi. (f i) (X i \xi))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma norm-predictable: predictable-process M F t0  $(\lambda i \xi. norm (X i \xi))$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma scaleR-right-predictable:
  assumes predictable-process M F t0 R
  shows predictable-process M F t0  $(\lambda i \xi. (R i \xi) *_R (X i \xi))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma scaleR-right-const-fun-predictable:
  assumes snd ∈  $\Sigma_P \rightarrow_M F$  t0 f ∈ borel-measurable  $(F t_0)$ 
  shows predictable-process M F t0  $(\lambda i \xi. f \xi *_R (X i \xi))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma scaleR-right-const-predictable:
  assumes fst ∈ borel-measurable  $\Sigma_P$  c ∈ borel-measurable borel
  shows predictable-process M F t0  $(\lambda i \xi. c i *_R (X i \xi))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma scaleR-right-const'-predictable: predictable-process M F t0  $(\lambda i \xi. c *_R (X i \xi))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma add-predictable:
  assumes predictable-process M F t0 Y
  shows predictable-process M F t0  $(\lambda i \xi. X i \xi + Y i \xi)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma diff-predictable:
  assumes predictable-process M F t0 Y
  shows predictable-process M F t0  $(\lambda i \xi. X i \xi - Y i \xi)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma uminus-predictable: predictable-process M F t0  $(-X)$  ⟨proof⟩

end

Every predictable process is also progressively measurable.

sublocale predictable-process ⊆ progressive-process
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale nat-predictable-process ⊆ nat-progressive-process ⟨proof⟩
sublocale real-predictable-process ⊆ real-progressive-process ⟨proof⟩

The following lemma characterizes predictability in a discrete-time setting.

lemma (in nat-filtered-measure) sets-in-filtration:

```

```

assumes ( $\bigcup i. \{i\} \times A_i \in \Sigma_P$ )
shows  $A(Suc i) \in F_i A$   $0 \in F_0$ 
{proof}

```

This leads to the following useful fact.

```

lemma (in nat-predictable-process) adapted-Suc: nat-adapted-process  $M F (\lambda i. X(Suc i))$ 
{proof}

```

The following lemma characterizes predictability in the discrete setting.

```

theorem nat-predictable-process-iff: nat-predictable-process  $M F X \longleftrightarrow$  nat-adapted-process  $M F (\lambda i. X(Suc i)) \wedge X_0 \in borel-measurable(F_0)$ 
{proof}

```

**end**

```

theory Martingale
  imports Stochastic-Process Conditional-Expectation-Banach
begin

```

## 7 Martingales

The following locales are necessary for defining martingales.

### 7.1 Additional Locale Definitions

```

locale sigma-finite-adapted-process = sigma-finite-filtered-measure  $M F t_0 + adapted-process M F t_0 X$  for  $M F t_0 X$ 

```

```

locale nat-sigma-finite-adapted-process = sigma-finite-adapted-process  $M F 0 :: nat X$  for  $M F X$ 

```

```

locale real-sigma-finite-adapted-process = sigma-finite-adapted-process  $M F 0 :: real X$  for  $M F X$ 

```

```

sublocale nat-sigma-finite-adapted-process  $\subseteq$  nat-sigma-finite-filtered-measure {proof}
sublocale real-sigma-finite-adapted-process  $\subseteq$  real-sigma-finite-filtered-measure {proof}

```

```

locale finite-adapted-process = finite-filtered-measure  $M F t_0 + adapted-process M F t_0 X$  for  $M F t_0 X$ 

```

```

sublocale finite-adapted-process  $\subseteq$  sigma-finite-adapted-process {proof}

```

```

locale nat-finite-adapted-process = finite-adapted-process  $M F 0 :: nat X$  for  $M F X$ 

```

```

locale real-finite-adapted-process = finite-adapted-process  $M F 0 :: real X$  for  $M F X$ 

```

```

sublocale nat-finite-adapted-process ⊆ nat-sigma-finite-adapted-process ⟨proof⟩
sublocale real-finite-adapted-process ⊆ real-sigma-finite-adapted-process ⟨proof⟩

```

```

locale sigma-finite-adapted-process-order = sigma-finite-adapted-process M F t₀ X
for M F t₀ and X :: - ⇒ - ⇒ - :: {order-topology, ordered-real-vector}

```

```

locale nat-sigma-finite-adapted-process-order = sigma-finite-adapted-process-order
M F 0 :: nat X for M F X
locale real-sigma-finite-adapted-process-order = sigma-finite-adapted-process-order
M F 0 :: real X for M F X

```

```

sublocale nat-sigma-finite-adapted-process-order ⊆ nat-sigma-finite-adapted-process
⟨proof⟩
sublocale real-sigma-finite-adapted-process-order ⊆ real-sigma-finite-adapted-process
⟨proof⟩

```

```

locale finite-adapted-process-order = finite-adapted-process M F t₀ X for M F t₀
and X :: - ⇒ - ⇒ - :: {order-topology, ordered-real-vector}

```

```

locale nat-finite-adapted-process-order = finite-adapted-process-order M F 0 :: nat
X for M F X
locale real-finite-adapted-process-order = finite-adapted-process-order M F 0 :: real
X for M F X

```

```

sublocale nat-finite-adapted-process-order ⊆ nat-sigma-finite-adapted-process-order
⟨proof⟩
sublocale real-finite-adapted-process-order ⊆ real-sigma-finite-adapted-process-order
⟨proof⟩

```

```

locale sigma-finite-adapted-process-linorder = sigma-finite-adapted-process-order
M F t₀ X for M F t₀ and X :: - ⇒ - ⇒ - :: {linorder-topology}

```

```

locale nat-sigma-finite-adapted-process-linorder = sigma-finite-adapted-process-linorder
M F 0 :: nat X for M F X
locale real-sigma-finite-adapted-process-linorder = sigma-finite-adapted-process-linorder
M F 0 :: real X for M F X

```

```

sublocale nat-sigma-finite-adapted-process-linorder ⊆ nat-sigma-finite-adapted-process-order
⟨proof⟩
sublocale real-sigma-finite-adapted-process-linorder ⊆ real-sigma-finite-adapted-process-order
⟨proof⟩

```

```

locale finite-adapted-process-linorder = finite-adapted-process-order M F t₀ X for
M F t₀ and X :: - ⇒ - ⇒ - :: {linorder-topology}

```

```

locale nat-finite-adapted-process-linorder = finite-adapted-process-linorder M F 0
:: nat X for M F X
locale real-finite-adapted-process-linorder = finite-adapted-process-linorder M F 0
:: real X for M F X

sublocale nat-finite-adapted-process-linorder ⊆ nat-sigma-finite-adapted-process-linorder
⟨proof⟩
sublocale real-finite-adapted-process-linorder ⊆ real-sigma-finite-adapted-process-linorder
⟨proof⟩

```

## 7.2 Martingale

A martingale is an adapted process where the expected value of the next observation, given all past observations, is equal to the current value.

```

locale martingale = sigma-finite-adapted-process +
assumes integrable:  $\bigwedge i. t_0 \leq i \implies \text{integrable } M (X i)$ 
and martingale-property:  $\bigwedge i j. t_0 \leq i \leq j \implies i \leq j \implies \text{AE } \xi \text{ in } M. X i \xi = \text{cond-exp } M (F i) (X j) \xi$ 

```

```

locale martingale-order = martingale M F t0 X for M F t0 and X :: - ⇒ - ⇒ -
:: {order-topology, ordered-real-vector}
locale martingale-linorder = martingale M F t0 X for M F t0 and X :: - ⇒ - ⇒ -
:: {linorder-topology, ordered-real-vector}
sublocale martingale-linorder ⊆ martingale-order ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in sigma-finite-filtered-measure) martingale-const-fun[intro]:
assumes integrable M f f ∈ borel-measurable (F t0)
shows martingale M F t0 (λ-. f)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in sigma-finite-filtered-measure) martingale-cond-exp[intro]:
assumes integrable M f
shows martingale M F t0 (λi. cond-exp M (F i) f)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary (in sigma-finite-filtered-measure) martingale-zero[intro]: martingale M
F t0 (λ-. 0) ⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary (in finite-filtered-measure) martingale-const[intro]: martingale M F t0
(λ-. c) ⟨proof⟩

```

## 7.3 Submartingale

A submartingale is an adapted process where the expected value of the next observation, given all past observations, is greater than or equal to the current value.

```

locale submartingale = sigma-finite-adapted-process-order +
assumes integrable:  $\bigwedge i. t_0 \leq i \implies \text{integrable } M (X i)$ 

```

**and** submartingale-property:  $\bigwedge i j. t_0 \leq i \implies i \leq j \implies AE \xi \text{ in } M. X i \xi \leq cond-exp M (F i) (X j) \xi$

**locale** submartingale-linorder = submartingale M F t<sub>0</sub> X **for** M F t<sub>0</sub> **and** X :: -  $\Rightarrow - \Rightarrow - \Rightarrow - :: \{\text{linorder-topology}\}$

**sublocale** martingale-order  $\subseteq$  submartingale ⟨proof⟩

**sublocale** martingale-linorder  $\subseteq$  submartingale-linorder ⟨proof⟩

## 7.4 Supermartingale

A supermartingale is an adapted process where the expected value of the next observation, given all past observations, is less than or equal to the current value.

**locale** supermartingale = sigma-finite-adapted-process-order +

**assumes** integrable:  $\bigwedge i. t_0 \leq i \implies \text{integrable } M (X i)$

**and** supermartingale-property:  $\bigwedge i j. t_0 \leq i \implies i \leq j \implies AE \xi \text{ in } M. X i \xi \geq cond-exp M (F i) (X j) \xi$

**locale** supermartingale-linorder = supermartingale M F t<sub>0</sub> X **for** M F t<sub>0</sub> **and** X :: -  $\Rightarrow - \Rightarrow - \Rightarrow - :: \{\text{linorder-topology}\}$

**sublocale** martingale-order  $\subseteq$  supermartingale ⟨proof⟩

**sublocale** martingale-linorder  $\subseteq$  supermartingale-linorder ⟨proof⟩

A stochastic process is a martingale, if and only if it is both a submartingale and a supermartingale.

**lemma** martingale-iff:

**shows** martingale M F t<sub>0</sub> X  $\longleftrightarrow$  submartingale M F t<sub>0</sub> X  $\wedge$  supermartingale M F t<sub>0</sub> X  
⟨proof⟩

## 7.5 Martingale Lemmas

In the following segment, we cover basic properties of martingales.

**context** martingale

**begin**

**lemma** cond-exp-diff-eq-zero:

**assumes** t<sub>0</sub> ≤ i i ≤ j

**shows** AE ξ in M. cond-exp M (F i) (λξ. X j ξ - X i ξ) ξ = 0  
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** set-integral-eq:

**assumes** A ∈ F i t<sub>0</sub> ≤ i i ≤ j

**shows** set-lebesgue-integral M A (X i) = set-lebesgue-integral M A (X j)  
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma scaleR-const[intro]:
  shows martingale M F t0 ( $\lambda i x. c *_R X i x$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma uminus[intro]:
  shows martingale M F t0 ( $- X$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma add[intro]:
  assumes martingale M F t0 Y
  shows martingale M F t0 ( $\lambda i \xi. X i \xi + Y i \xi$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma diff[intro]:
  assumes martingale M F t0 Y
  shows martingale M F t0 ( $\lambda i x. X i x - Y i x$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

**end**

Using properties of the conditional expectation, we present the following alternative characterizations of martingales.

```

lemma (in sigma-finite-adapted-process) martingale-of-cond-exp-diff-eq-zero:
  assumes integrable:  $\bigwedge i. t_0 \leq i \implies \text{integrable } M(X i)$ 
  and diff-zero:  $\bigwedge i j. t_0 \leq i \leq j \implies \text{AE } x \text{ in } M. \text{cond-exp } M(F i)(\lambda \xi. X j \xi - X i \xi) x = 0$ 
  shows martingale M F t0 X
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in sigma-finite-adapted-process) martingale-of-set-integral-eq:
  assumes integrable:  $\bigwedge i. t_0 \leq i \implies \text{integrable } M(X i)$ 
  and  $\bigwedge A i j. t_0 \leq i \leq j \implies A \in F i \implies \text{set-lebesgue-integral } M A (X i) = \text{set-lebesgue-integral } M A (X j)$ 
  shows martingale M F t0 X
  ⟨proof⟩

```

## 7.6 Submartingale Lemmas

```

context submartingale
begin

```

```

lemma cond-exp-diff-nonneg:
  assumes  $t_0 \leq i \leq j$ 
  shows AE x in M. cond-exp M(F i)( $\lambda \xi. X j \xi - X i \xi$ ) x ≥ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma add[intro]:
  assumes submartingale M F t0 Y

```

```

shows submartingale M F t0 ( $\lambda i \xi. X i \xi + Y i \xi$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma diff[intro]:
assumes supermartingale M F t0 Y
shows submartingale M F t0 ( $\lambda i \xi. X i \xi - Y i \xi$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma scaleR-nonneg:
assumes c ≥ 0
shows submartingale M F t0 ( $\lambda i \xi. c *_R X i \xi$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma scaleR-le-zero:
assumes c ≤ 0
shows supermartingale M F t0 ( $\lambda i \xi. c *_R X i \xi$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma uminus[intro]:
shows supermartingale M F t0 (- X)
⟨proof⟩

end

context submartingale-linorder
begin

lemma set-integral-le:
assumes A ∈ F i t0 ≤ i i ≤ j
shows set-lebesgue-integral M A (X i) ≤ set-lebesgue-integral M A (X j)
⟨proof⟩

lemma max:
assumes submartingale-linorder M F t0 Y
shows submartingale-linorder M F t0 ( $\lambda i \xi. \max(X i \xi) (Y i \xi)$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma max-0:
shows submartingale-linorder M F t0 ( $\lambda i \xi. \max 0 (X i \xi)$ )
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma (in sigma-finite-adapted-process-order) submartingale-of-cond-exp-diff-nonneg:
assumes integrable:  $\bigwedge i. t_0 \leq i \implies \text{integrable } M (X i)$ 
and diff-nonneg:  $\bigwedge i j. t_0 \leq i \implies i \leq j \implies \text{AE } x \text{ in } M. \text{cond-exp } M (F i) (\lambda \xi. X j \xi - X i \xi) x \geq 0$ 
shows submartingale M F t0 X
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in sigma-finite-adapted-process-linorder) submartingale-of-set-integral-le:
  assumes integrable:  $\bigwedge i. t_0 \leq i \Rightarrow$  integrable  $M(X i)$ 
    and  $\bigwedge A i j. t_0 \leq i \leq j \Rightarrow i \leq j \Rightarrow A \in F i \Rightarrow$  set-lebesgue-integral  $M A(X i) \leq$  set-lebesgue-integral  $M A(X j)$ 
    shows submartingale  $M F t_0 X$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

## 7.7 Supermartingale Lemmas

The following lemmas are exact duals of the ones for submartingales.

```

context supermartingale
begin

```

```

lemma cond-exp-diff-nonneg:
  assumes  $t_0 \leq i \leq j$ 
  shows  $\text{AE } x \text{ in } M. \text{cond-exp } M(F i)(\lambda \xi. X i \xi - X j \xi) x \geq 0$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma add[intro]:
  assumes supermartingale  $M F t_0 Y$ 
  shows supermartingale  $M F t_0 (\lambda i \xi. X i \xi + Y i \xi)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma diff[intro]:
  assumes submartingale  $M F t_0 Y$ 
  shows supermartingale  $M F t_0 (\lambda i \xi. X i \xi - Y i \xi)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma scaleR-nonneg:
  assumes  $c \geq 0$ 
  shows supermartingale  $M F t_0 (\lambda i \xi. c *_R X i \xi)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma scaleR-le-zero:
  assumes  $c \leq 0$ 
  shows submartingale  $M F t_0 (\lambda i \xi. c *_R X i \xi)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma uminus[intro]:
  shows submartingale  $M F t_0 (-X)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

```

context supermartingale-linorder
begin

```

```

lemma set-integral-ge:

```

```

assumes  $A \in F i$   $t_0 \leq i$   $i \leq j$ 
shows set-lebesgue-integral  $M A (X i) \geq$  set-lebesgue-integral  $M A (X j)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma min:
assumes supermartingale-linorder  $M F t_0 Y$ 
shows supermartingale-linorder  $M F t_0 (\lambda i \xi. \min (X i \xi) (Y i \xi))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma min-0:
shows supermartingale-linorder  $M F t_0 (\lambda i \xi. \min 0 (X i \xi))$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma (in sigma-finite-adapted-process-order) supermartingale-of-cond-exp-diff-le-zero:
assumes integrable:  $\bigwedge i. t_0 \leq i \implies$  integrable  $M (X i)$ 
and diff-le-zero:  $\bigwedge i j. t_0 \leq i \implies i \leq j \implies$  AE  $x$  in  $M$ . cond-exp  $M (F i)$ 
 $(\lambda \xi. X j \xi - X i \xi) x \leq 0$ 
shows supermartingale  $M F t_0 X$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in sigma-finite-adapted-process-linorder) supermartingale-of-set-integral-ge:
assumes integrable:  $\bigwedge i. t_0 \leq i \implies$  integrable  $M (X i)$ 
and  $\bigwedge A i j. t_0 \leq i \implies i \leq j \implies A \in F i \implies$  set-lebesgue-integral  $M A (X j) \leq$  set-lebesgue-integral  $M A (X i)$ 
shows supermartingale  $M F t_0 X$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

Many of the statements we have made concerning martingales can be simplified when the indexing set is the natural numbers. Given a point in time  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , it suffices to consider the successor  $i + (1::'a)$ , instead of all future times  $i \leq j$ .

## 7.8 Discrete Time Martingales

```

locale nat-martingale = martingale  $M F 0 :: nat X$  for  $M F X$ 
locale nat-submartingale = submartingale  $M F 0 :: nat X$  for  $M F X$ 
locale nat-supermartingale = supermartingale  $M F 0 :: nat X$  for  $M F X$ 

locale nat-submartingale-linorder = submartingale-linorder  $M F 0 :: nat X$  for  $M F X$ 
locale nat-supermartingale-linorder = supermartingale-linorder  $M F 0 :: nat X$  for  $M F X$ 

sublocale nat-submartingale-linorder ⊆ nat-submartingale ⟨proof⟩
sublocale nat-supermartingale-linorder ⊆ nat-supermartingale ⟨proof⟩

```

A predictable martingale is necessarily constant.

**lemma (in nat-martingale) predictable-const:**

assumes nat-predictable-process  $M F X$

shows  $\text{AE } \xi \text{ in } M. X i \xi = X j \xi$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in nat-sigma-finite-adapted-process) martingale-of-set-integral-eq-Suc:**

assumes integrable:  $\bigwedge i. \text{integrable } M (X i)$

and  $\bigwedge A i. A \in F i \implies \text{set-lebesgue-integral } M A (X i) = \text{set-lebesgue-integral } M A (X (\text{Suc } i))$

shows nat-martingale  $M F X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in nat-sigma-finite-adapted-process) martingale-nat:**

assumes integrable:  $\bigwedge i. \text{integrable } M (X i)$

and  $\bigwedge i. \text{AE } \xi \text{ in } M. X i \xi = \text{cond-exp } M (F i) (X (\text{Suc } i)) \xi$

shows nat-martingale  $M F X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in nat-sigma-finite-adapted-process) martingale-of-cond-exp-diff-Suc-eq-zero:**

assumes integrable:  $\bigwedge i. \text{integrable } M (X i)$

and  $\bigwedge i. \text{AE } \xi \text{ in } M. \text{cond-exp } M (F i) (\lambda \xi. X (\text{Suc } i) \xi - X i \xi) \xi = 0$

shows nat-martingale  $M F X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

## 7.9 Discrete Time Submartingales

**lemma (in nat-submartingale) predictable-mono:**

assumes nat-predictable-process  $M F X i \leq j$

shows  $\text{AE } \xi \text{ in } M. X i \xi \leq X j \xi$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in nat-sigma-finite-adapted-process-linorder) submartingale-of-set-integral-le-Suc:**

assumes integrable:  $\bigwedge i. \text{integrable } M (X i)$

and  $\bigwedge A i. A \in F i \implies \text{set-lebesgue-integral } M A (X i) \leq \text{set-lebesgue-integral } M A (X (\text{Suc } i))$

shows nat-submartingale  $M F X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in nat-sigma-finite-adapted-process-linorder) submartingale-nat:**

assumes integrable:  $\bigwedge i. \text{integrable } M (X i)$

and  $\bigwedge i. \text{AE } \xi \text{ in } M. X i \xi \leq \text{cond-exp } M (F i) (X (\text{Suc } i)) \xi$

shows nat-submartingale  $M F X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in nat-sigma-finite-adapted-process-linorder) submartingale-of-cond-exp-diff-Suc-nonneg:**

assumes integrable:  $\bigwedge i. \text{integrable } M (X i)$

and  $\bigwedge i. \text{AE } \xi \text{ in } M. \text{cond-exp } M (F i) (\lambda \xi. X (\text{Suc } i) \xi - X i \xi) \xi \geq 0$

shows nat-submartingale  $M F X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in nat-submartingale-linorder) partial-sum-scaleR:**  
**assumes** nat-adapted-process  $M F C \bigwedge i. AE \xi$  in  $M. 0 \leq C i \xi \bigwedge i. AE \xi$  in  $M. C i \xi \leq R$   
**shows** nat-submartingale  $M F (\lambda n \xi. \sum i < n. C i \xi *_R (X (Suc i) \xi - X i \xi))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in nat-submartingale-linorder) partial-sum-scaleR':**  
**assumes** nat-predictable-process  $M F C \bigwedge i. AE \xi$  in  $M. 0 \leq C i \xi \bigwedge i. AE \xi$  in  $M. C i \xi \leq R$   
**shows** nat-submartingale  $M F (\lambda n \xi. \sum i < n. C (Suc i) \xi *_R (X (Suc i) \xi - X i \xi))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 7.10 Discrete Time Supermartingales

**lemma (in nat-supermartingale) predictable-mono:**  
**assumes** nat-predictable-process  $M F X i \leq j$   
**shows**  $AE \xi$  in  $M. X i \xi \geq X j \xi$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in nat-sigma-finite-adapted-process-linorder) supermartingale-of-set-integral-ge-Suc:**  
**assumes integrable:**  $\bigwedge i. \text{integrable } M (X i)$   
**and**  $\bigwedge A i. A \in F i \implies \text{set-lebesgue-integral } M A (X i) \geq \text{set-lebesgue-integral } M A (X (Suc i))$   
**shows** nat-supermartingale  $M F X$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in nat-sigma-finite-adapted-process-linorder) supermartingale-nat:**  
**assumes integrable:**  $\bigwedge i. \text{integrable } M (X i)$   
**and**  $\bigwedge i. AE \xi$  in  $M. X i \xi \geq \text{cond-exp } M (F i) (X (Suc i)) \xi$   
**shows** nat-supermartingale  $M F X$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in nat-sigma-finite-adapted-process-linorder) supermartingale-of-cond-exp-diff-Suc-le-zero:**  
**assumes integrable:**  $\bigwedge i. \text{integrable } M (X i)$   
**and**  $\bigwedge i. AE \xi$  in  $M. \text{cond-exp } M (F i) (\lambda \xi. X (Suc i) \xi - X i \xi) \xi \leq 0$   
**shows** nat-supermartingale  $M F X$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**theory Example-Coin-Toss**  
**imports** Martingale HOL-Probability.Stream-Space HOL-Probability.Probability-Mass-Function  
**begin**

## 8 Example: Coin Toss

Consider a coin-tossing game, where the coin lands on heads with probability  $p \in [0, 1]$ . Assume that the gambler wins a fixed amount  $c > 0$  on a heads outcome and loses the same amount  $c$  on a tails outcome. Let  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a stochastic process, where  $X_n$  denotes the gamblers fortune after the  $n$ -th coin toss. Then, we have the following three cases.

1. If  $p = 1/2$ , it means the coin is fair and has an equal chance of landing heads or tails. In this case, the gambler, on average, neither wins nor loses money over time. The expected value of the gamblers fortune stays the same over time. Therefore,  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a martingale.
2. If  $p \geq 1/2$ , it means the coin is biased in favor of heads. In this case, the gambler is more likely to win money on each bet. Over time, the gamblers fortune tends to increase on average. Therefore,  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a submartingale.
3. If  $p \leq 1/2$ , it means the coin is biased in favor of tails. In this scenario, the gambler is more likely to lose money on each bet. Over time, the gamblers fortune decreases on average. Therefore,  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a supermartingale.

To formalize this example, we first consider a probability space consisting of infinite sequences of coin tosses.

```
definition bernoulli-stream :: real  $\Rightarrow$  (bool stream) measure where
  bernoulli-stream p = stream-space (measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf p))
```

```
lemma space-bernoulli-stream[simp]: space (bernoulli-stream p) = UNIV  $\langle$  proof  $\rangle$ 
```

We define the fortune of the player at time  $n$  to be the number of heads minus number of tails.

```
definition fortune :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool stream  $\Rightarrow$  real where
  fortune n = ( $\lambda s$ .  $\sum b \leftarrow$  stake ( $Suc\ n$ )  $s$ . if  $b$  then 1 else -1)
```

```
definition toss :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool stream  $\Rightarrow$  real where
  toss n = ( $\lambda s$ . if  $snth\ s\ n$  then 1 else -1)
```

```
lemma toss-indicator-def: toss n = indicator {s.  $s !! n$ } - indicator {s.  $\neg s !! n$ }  

 $\langle$  proof  $\rangle$ 
```

```
lemma range-toss: range (toss n) = {-1, 1}  

 $\langle$  proof  $\rangle$ 
```

```
lemma vimage-toss: toss n - ` A = (if  $1 \in A$  then {s.  $s !! n$ } else {})  $\cup$  (if  $-1 \in A$  then {s.  $\neg s !! n$ } else {})  

 $\langle$  proof  $\rangle$ 
```

```
lemma fortune-Suc: fortune ( $Suc\ n$ ) s = fortune n s + toss ( $Suc\ n$ ) s
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fortune-toss-sum*:  $fortune\ n\ s = (\sum i \in \{..n\}. toss\ i\ s)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fortune-bound*:  $norm\ (fortune\ n\ s) \leq Suc\ n$   $\langle proof \rangle$

Our definition of *bernoulli-stream* constitutes a probability space.

**interpretation** *prob-space bernoulli-stream p*  $\langle proof \rangle$

**abbreviation** *toss-filtration p*  $\equiv$  *nat-natural-filtration (bernoulli-stream p) toss*

The stochastic process *toss* is adapted to the filtration it generates.

**interpretation** *toss*: *nat-adapted-process bernoulli-stream p nat-natural-filtration (bernoulli-stream p) toss toss*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Similarly, the stochastic process *fortune* is adapted to the filtration generated by the tosses.

**interpretation** *fortune*: *nat-finite-adapted-process-linorder bernoulli-stream p nat-natural-filtration (bernoulli-stream p) toss fortune*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *integrable-toss*: *integrable (bernoulli-stream p) (toss n)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *integrable-fortune*: *integrable (bernoulli-stream p) (fortune n)*  $\langle proof \rangle$

We provide the following lemma to explicitly calculate the probability of events in this probability space.

**lemma** *measure-bernoulli-stream-snth-pred*:  
**assumes**  $0 \leq p$  **and**  $p \leq 1$  **and** *finite J*  
**shows** *prob p {w ∈ space (bernoulli-stream p). ∀j ∈ J. P j = w !! j} = p ^ card (J ∩ Collect P) \* (1 - p) ^ (card (J - Collect P))*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  
**assumes**  $0 \leq p$  **and**  $p \leq 1$   
**shows** *measure-bernoulli-stream-snth*: *prob p {w ∈ space (bernoulli-stream p). w !! i} = p*  
**and** *measure-bernoulli-stream-neg-snth*: *prob p {w ∈ space (bernoulli-stream p). ¬w !! i} = 1 - p*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Now we can express the expected value of a single coin toss.

**lemma** *integral-toss*:  
**assumes**  $0 \leq p \leq 1$   
**shows** *expectation p (toss n) = 2 \* p - 1*

$\langle proof \rangle$

Now, we show that the tosses are independent from one another.

**lemma** *indep-vars-toss*:

**assumes**  $0 \leq p \leq 1$

**shows** *indep-vars p (λ-. borel) toss {0..}*

$\langle proof \rangle$

The fortune of a player is a martingale (resp. sub- or supermartingale) with respect to the filtration generated by the coin tosses.

**theorem** *fortune-martingale*:

**assumes**  $p = 1/2$

**shows** *nat-martingale (bernoulli-stream p) (toss-filtration p) fortune*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *fortune-submartingale*:

**assumes**  $1/2 \leq p \leq 1$

**shows** *nat-submartingale (bernoulli-stream p) (toss-filtration p) fortune*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *fortune-supermartingale*:

**assumes**  $0 \leq p \leq 1/2$

**shows** *nat-supermartingale (bernoulli-stream p) (toss-filtration p) fortune*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

## References

- [1] R. Engelking. *General Topology*. Sigma series in pure mathematics. Heldermann, 1989.
- [2] T. Hytönen, J. v. Neerven, M. Veraar, and L. Weis. *Analysis in Banach Spaces Volume I: Martingales and Littlewood-Paley theory*. Springer International Publishing, 2016.
- [3] A. Keskin. A formalization of martingales in Isabelle/HOL. 2023.
- [4] S. Lang. *Real and Functional Analysis*. Springer, 1993.
- [5] G. Zitkovic. Lecture notes on conditional expectation, theory of probability I, UT Austin, Jan 2015. [https://web.ma.utexas.edu/users/gordanz/notes/conditional\\_expectation.pdf](https://web.ma.utexas.edu/users/gordanz/notes/conditional_expectation.pdf).