

Formalization of an Optimized Monitoring Algorithm for Metric First-Order Dynamic Logic with Aggregations

March 17, 2025

Abstract

A monitor is a runtime verification tool that solves the following problem: Given a stream of time-stamped events and a policy formulated in a specification language, decide whether the policy is satisfied at every point in the stream. We verify the correctness of an executable monitor for specifications given as formulas in metric first-order dynamic logic (MFODL), which combines the features of metric first-order temporal logic (MFOTL) [2] and metric dynamic logic [3]. Thus, MFODL supports real-time constraints, first-order parameters, and regular expressions. Additionally, the monitor supports aggregation operations such as count and sum. This formalization, which is described in a paper at IJCAR 2020 [1], significantly extends [previous work on a verified monitor](#) for MFOTL [4]. Apart from the addition of regular expressions and aggregations, we implemented [multi-way joins](#) and a specialized sliding window algorithm to further optimize the monitor.

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 1 | Code adaptation for IEEE double-precision floats | 2 |
| 1.1 | copysign | 2 |
| 1.2 | Additional lemmas about generic floats | 2 |
| 1.3 | Doubles with a unified NaN value | 4 |
| 1.4 | Linear ordering | 6 |
| 1.4.1 | Code setup | 8 |
| 2 | Event parameters | 9 |
| 3 | Regular expressions | 11 |
| 4 | Metric first-order dynamic logic | 14 |
| 4.1 | Formulas and satisfiability | 15 |
| 4.1.1 | Syntax | 15 |
| 4.1.2 | Future reach | 18 |
| 4.1.3 | Semantics | 18 |
| 4.2 | Past-only formulas | 20 |
| 4.3 | Safe formulas | 20 |
| 4.4 | Slicing traces | 22 |
| 4.5 | Translation to n-ary conjunction | 23 |
| 5 | Optimized relational join | 25 |
| 5.1 | Binary join | 25 |
| 5.2 | Multi-way join | 26 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 6 Generic monitoring algorithm | 30 |
| 6.1 Monitorable formulas | 30 |
| 6.2 Handling regular expressions | 31 |
| 6.2.1 LPD | 33 |
| 6.2.2 RPD | 35 |
| 6.3 The executable monitor | 36 |
| 6.4 Verdict delay | 45 |
| 6.5 Specification | 48 |
| 6.6 Correctness | 49 |
| 6.6.1 Invariants | 49 |
| 6.6.2 Initialisation | 54 |
| 6.6.3 Evaluation | 55 |
| 6.6.4 Monitor step | 70 |
| 6.6.5 Monitor function | 71 |
| 6.7 Collected correctness results | 72 |
| 7 Efficient implementation of temporal operators | 73 |
| 7.1 Optimized queue data structure | 73 |
| 7.2 Optimized data structure for Since | 76 |
| 7.3 Optimized data structure for Until | 83 |
| 8 Instantiation of the generic algorithm and code setup | 88 |

1 Code adaptation for IEEE double-precision floats

1.1 copysign

```
lift_definition copysign :: "('e, 'f) float ⇒ ('e, 'f) float ⇒ ('e, 'f) float is
  λ(__, e::'e word, f::'f word) (s::1 word, __, __). (s, e, f) ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma is_nan_copysign[simp]: is_nan (copysign x y) ↔ is_nan x
  ⟨proof⟩
```

1.2 Additional lemmas about generic floats

```
lemma is_nan_some_nan[simp]: is_nan (some_nan :: ('e, 'f) float)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma not_is_nan_0[simp]: ¬ is_nan 0
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma not_is_nan_1[simp]: ¬ is_nan 1
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma is_nan_plus: is_nan x ∨ is_nan y ⇒ is_nan (x + y)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma is_nan_minus: is_nan x ∨ is_nan y ⇒ is_nan (x - y)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma is_nan_times: is_nan x ∨ is_nan y ⇒ is_nan (x * y)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma is_nan_divide: is_nan x ∨ is_nan y ⇒ is_nan (x / y)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma is_nan_float_sqrt: is_nan x  $\implies$  is_nan (float_sqrt x)
  (proof)

lemma nan_fcompare: is_nan x  $\vee$  is_nan y  $\implies$  fcompare x y = Und
  (proof)

lemma nan_not_le: is_nan x  $\vee$  is_nan y  $\implies$   $\neg x \leq y$ 
  (proof)

lemma nan_not_less: is_nan x  $\vee$  is_nan y  $\implies$   $\neg x < y$ 
  (proof)

lemma nan_not_zero: is_nan x  $\implies$   $\neg$  is_zero x
  (proof)

lemma nan_not_infinity: is_nan x  $\implies$   $\neg$  is_infinity x
  (proof)

lemma zero_not_infinity: is_zero x  $\implies$   $\neg$  is_infinity x
  (proof)

lemma zero_not_nan: is_zero x  $\implies$   $\neg$  is_nan x
  (proof)

lemma minus_one_power_one_word: ( $-1 :: \text{real}$ )  $\wedge$  unat (x :: 1 word) = (if unat x = 0 then 1 else  $-1$ )
  (proof)

definition valofn :: ('e, 'f) float  $\Rightarrow$  real where
  valofn x = ( $2^{\text{exponent } x} / 2^{\text{bias}}$  TYPE((e, f) float)) *
    ( $1 + \text{real}(\text{fraction } x) / 2^{\text{LENGTH}('f)}$ )

definition valofd :: ('e, 'f) float  $\Rightarrow$  real where
  valofd x = ( $2 / 2^{\text{bias}}$  TYPE((e, f) float)) * ( $\text{real}(\text{fraction } x) / 2^{\text{LENGTH}('f)}$ )

lemma valof_alt: valof x = (if exponent x = 0 then
  if sign x = 0 then valofd x else - valofd x
  else if sign x = 0 then valofn x else - valofn x)
  (proof)

lemma fraction_less_2p: fraction (x :: ('e, 'f) float)  $< 2^{\text{LENGTH}('f)}$ 
  (proof)

lemma valofn_ge_0:  $0 \leq$  valofn x
  (proof)

lemma valofn_ge_2p:  $2^{\text{exponent}}(x :: ('e, 'f) \text{float}) / 2^{\text{bias}}$  TYPE((e, f) float)  $\leq$  valofn x
  (proof)

lemma valofn_less_2p:
  fixes x :: ('e, 'f) float
  assumes exponent x  $< e$ 
  shows valofn x  $< 2^e / 2^{\text{bias}}$  TYPE((e, f) float)
  (proof)

lemma valofd_ge_0:  $0 \leq$  valofd x
  (proof)

```

```

lemma valofd_less_2p: valofd (x :: ('e, 'f) float) < 2 / 2^bias TYPE(('e, 'f) float)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma valofn_le_imp_exponent_le:
  fixes x y :: ('e, 'f) float
  assumes valofn x ≤ valofn y
  shows exponent x ≤ exponent y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma valofn_eq:
  fixes x y :: ('e, 'f) float
  assumes valofn x = valofn y
  shows exponent x = exponent y fraction x = fraction y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma valofd_eq:
  fixes x y :: ('e, 'f) float
  assumes valofd x = valofd y
  shows fraction x = fraction y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is_zero_valof_conv: is_zero x ↔ valof x = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma valofd_neg_valofn:
  fixes x y :: ('e, 'f) float
  assumes exponent y ≠ 0
  shows valofd x ≠ valofn y valofn y ≠ valofd x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sign_gt_0_conv: 0 < sign x ↔ sign x = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma valof_eq:
  assumes ¬ is_zero x ∨ ¬ is_zero y
  shows valof x = valof y ↔ x = y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma zero_fcompare: is_zero x ⇒ is_zero y ⇒ fcompare x y = ccode.Eq
  ⟨proof⟩

```

1.3 Doubles with a unified NaN value

```

quotient_type double = (11, 52) float / λx y. is_nan x ∧ is_nan y ∨ x = y
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

instantiation double :: {zero, one, plus, minus, uminus, times, ord}
begin

```

```

lift_definition zero_double :: double is 0 ⟨proof⟩
lift_definition one_double :: double is 1 ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lift_definition plus_double :: double ⇒ double ⇒ double is plus
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lift_definition minus_double :: double ⇒ double ⇒ double is minus
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lift_definition uminus_double :: double ⇒ double is uminus
  ⟨proof⟩

lift_definition times_double :: double ⇒ double ⇒ double is times
  ⟨proof⟩

lift_definition less_eq_double :: double ⇒ double ⇒ bool is (≤)
  ⟨proof⟩

lift_definition less_double :: double ⇒ double ⇒ bool is (<)
  ⟨proof⟩

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation double :: inverse
begin

lift_definition divide_double :: double ⇒ double ⇒ double is divide
  ⟨proof⟩

definition inverse_double :: double ⇒ double where
  inverse_double x = 1 div x

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

lift_definition sqrt_double :: double ⇒ double is float_sqrt
  ⟨proof⟩

no_notation plus_infinity (⟨∞⟩)

lift_definition infinity :: double is plus_infinity ⟨proof⟩

lift_definition nan :: double is some_nan ⟨proof⟩

lift_definition is_zero :: double ⇒ bool is IEEE.is_zero
  ⟨proof⟩

lift_definition is_infinite :: double ⇒ bool is IEEE.is_infinity
  ⟨proof⟩

lift_definition is_nan :: double ⇒ bool is IEEE.is_nan
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is_nan_conv: is_nan x ↔ x = nan
  ⟨proof⟩

lift_definition copysign_double :: double ⇒ double ⇒ double is
  λx y. if IEEE.is_nan y then some_nan else copysign x y
  ⟨proof⟩

Note: copysign_double deviates from the IEEE standard in cases where the second argument is a NaN.

lift_definition fcompare_double :: double ⇒ double ⇒ ccode is fcompare
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma nan_fcompare_double: is_nan x ∨ is_nan y  $\implies$  fcompare_double x y = Und
  ⟨proof⟩

consts compare_double :: double  $\Rightarrow$  double  $\Rightarrow$  integer

specification (compare_double)
  compare_double_less: compare_double x y < 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  is_nan x  $\wedge$   $\neg$  is_nan y  $\vee$  fcompare_double x y = ccode.Lt
  compare_double_eq: compare_double x y = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  is_nan x  $\wedge$  is_nan y  $\vee$  fcompare_double x y = ccode.Eq
  compare_double_greater: compare_double x y > 0  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\neg$  is_nan x  $\wedge$  is_nan y  $\vee$  fcompare_double x y = ccode.Gt
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas compare_double_simps = compare_double_less compare_double_eq compare_double_greater

lemma compare_double_le_0: compare_double x y  $\leq$  0  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  is_nan x  $\vee$  fcompare_double x y  $\in$  {ccode.Eq, ccode.Lt}
  ⟨proof⟩

lift_definition double_of_integer :: integer  $\Rightarrow$  double is
   $\lambda x.$  zerosign 0 (intround RNE (int_of_integer x)) ⟨proof⟩

definition double_of_int where [code del]: double_of_int x = double_of_integer (integer_of_int x)

lemma [code]: double_of_int (int_of_integer x) = double_of_integer x
  ⟨proof⟩

lift_definition integer_of_double :: double  $\Rightarrow$  integer is
   $\lambda x.$  if IEEE.is_nan x  $\vee$  IEEE.is_infinity x then undefined
    else integer_of_int [valof (intround roundTowardZero (valof x) :: (11, 52) float)]
  ⟨proof⟩

definition int_of_double: int_of_double x = int_of_integer (integer_of_double x)

```

1.4 Linear ordering

```

definition lcompare_double :: double  $\Rightarrow$  double  $\Rightarrow$  integer where
  lcompare_double x y = (if is_zero x  $\wedge$  is_zero y then
    compare_double (copysign_double 1 x) (copysign_double 1 y)
    else compare_double x y)

lemma fcompare_double_swap: fcompare_double x y = ccode.Gt  $\longleftrightarrow$  fcompare_double y x = ccode.Lt
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fcompare_double_refl:  $\neg$  is_nan x  $\implies$  fcompare_double x x = ccode.Eq
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fcompare_double_Eq1: fcompare_double x y = ccode.Eq  $\implies$  fcompare_double y z = c  $\implies$  fcompare_double x z = c
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fcompare_double_Eq2: fcompare_double y z = ccode.Eq  $\implies$  fcompare_double x y = c  $\implies$  fcompare_double x z = c
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fcompare_double_Lt_trans: fcompare_double x y = ccode.Lt  $\implies$  fcompare_double y z = ccode.Lt

```

```

 $\implies fcompare\_double\ x\ z = ccode.Lt$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fcompare_double_eq:  $\neg is\_zero\ x \vee \neg is\_zero\ y \implies fcompare\_double\ x\ y = ccode.Eq \implies x = y$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fcompare_double_Lt_asym:  $fcompare\_double\ x\ y = ccode.Lt \implies fcompare\_double\ y\ x = ccode.Lt$ 
 $\implies False$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma compare_double_swap:  $0 < compare\_double\ x\ y \longleftrightarrow compare\_double\ y\ x < 0$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma compare_double_refl:  $compare\_double\ x\ x = 0$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma compare_double_trans:  $compare\_double\ x\ y \leq 0 \implies compare\_double\ y\ z \leq 0 \implies compare\_double\ x\ z \leq 0$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma compare_double_antisym:  $compare\_double\ x\ y \leq 0 \implies compare\_double\ y\ x \leq 0 \implies$ 
 $\neg is\_zero\ x \vee \neg is\_zero\ y \implies x = y$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma zero_compare_double_copysign:  $compare\_double\ (copysign\_double\ 1\ x)\ (copysign\_double\ 1\ y) \leq 0 \implies$ 
 $is\_zero\ x \implies is\_zero\ y \implies compare\_double\ x\ y \leq 0$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma is_zero_double_cases:  $is\_zero\ x \implies (x = 0 \implies P) \implies (x = -0 \implies P) \implies P$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma copysign_1_0[simp]:  $copysign\_double\ 1\ 0 = 1$   $copysign\_double\ 1\ (-0) = -1$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma is_zero_uminus_double[simp]:  $is\_zero\ (-x) \longleftrightarrow is\_zero\ x$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma not_is_zero_one_double[simp]:  $\neg is\_zero\ 1$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma uminus_one_neq_one_double[simp]:  $-1 \neq (1 :: double)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition lle_double ::  $double \Rightarrow double \Rightarrow bool$  where
 $lle\_double\ x\ y \longleftrightarrow lcompare\_double\ x\ y \leq 0$ 

definition lless_double ::  $double \Rightarrow double \Rightarrow bool$  where
 $lless\_double\ x\ y \longleftrightarrow lcompare\_double\ x\ y < 0$ 

lemma lcompare_double_ge_0:  $lcompare\_double\ x\ y \geq 0 \longleftrightarrow lle\_double\ y\ x$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lcompare_double_gt_0:  $lcompare\_double\ x\ y > 0 \longleftrightarrow lless\_double\ y\ x$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lcompare_double_eq_0:  $lcompare\_double\ x\ y = 0 \longleftrightarrow x = y$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemmas lcompare_double_0_folds = lle_double_def[symmetric] lless_double_def[symmetric]
lcompare_double_ge_0 lcompare_double_gt_0 lcompare_double_eq_0

interpretation double_linorder: linorder lle_double lless_double
⟨proof⟩

instantiation double :: equal
begin

definition equal_double :: double ⇒ double ⇒ bool where
equal_double x y ↔ lcompare_double x y = 0

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

derive (eq) ceq double

definition comparator_double :: double comparator where
comparator_double x y = (let c = lcompare_double x y in
if c = 0 then order.Eq else if c < 0 then order.Lt else order.Gt)

lemma comparator_double: comparator comparator_double
⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩

derive ccompare double

```

1.4.1 Code setup

```

declare [[code drop:
  0 :: double
  1 :: double
  plus :: double ⇒ _
  minus :: double ⇒ _
  uminus :: double ⇒ _
  times :: double ⇒ _
  less_eq :: double ⇒ _
  less :: double ⇒ _
  divide :: double ⇒ _
  sqrt_double infinity nan is_zero is_infinite is_nan copysign_double fcompare_double
  double_of_integer integer_of_double
  ]]

code_printing
code_module FloatUtil → (OCaml)
module FloatUtil : sig
  val iszero : float → bool
  val isinfinite : float → bool
  val isnan : float → bool
  val copysign : float → float → float
  val compare : float → float → Z.t
end = struct
  let iszero x = (Pervasives.classify_float x = Pervasives.FP_zero);;
  let isinfinite x = (Pervasives.classify_float x = Pervasives.FP_infinite);;
  let isnan x = (Pervasives.classify_float x = Pervasives.FP_nan);;
  let copysign x y = if isnan y then Pervasives.nan else Pervasives.copysign x y;;

```

```

let compare x y = Z.of_int (Pervasives.compare x y);;
end;;

```

code_reserved (OCaml) Pervasives FloatUtil

```

code_printing
type_constructor double  $\rightarrow$  (OCaml) float
| constant uminus :: double  $\Rightarrow$  double  $\rightarrow$  (OCaml) Pervasives.(~-.)
| constant (+) :: double  $\Rightarrow$  double  $\rightarrow$  (OCaml) Pervasives.(+.)
| constant (*) :: double  $\Rightarrow$  double  $\rightarrow$  (OCaml) Pervasives.( * .)
| constant (/) :: double  $\Rightarrow$  double  $\rightarrow$  (OCaml) Pervasives.( / .)
| constant (–) :: double  $\Rightarrow$  double  $\rightarrow$  (OCaml) Pervasives.(–.)
| constant 0 :: double  $\rightarrow$  (OCaml) 0.0
| constant 1 :: double  $\rightarrow$  (OCaml) 1.0
| constant ( $\leq$ ) :: double  $\Rightarrow$  double  $\rightarrow$  bool  $\rightarrow$  (OCaml) Pervasives.( $\leq=$ )
| constant (<) :: double  $\Rightarrow$  double  $\rightarrow$  bool  $\rightarrow$  (OCaml) Pervasives.(<)
| constant sqrt_double :: double  $\Rightarrow$  double  $\rightarrow$  (OCaml) Pervasives.sqrt
| constant infinity :: double  $\rightarrow$  (OCaml) Pervasives.infinity
| constant nan :: double  $\rightarrow$  (OCaml) Pervasives.nan
| constant is_zero :: double  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\rightarrow$  (OCaml) FloatUtil.iszero
| constant is_infinite :: double  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\rightarrow$  (OCaml) FloatUtil.isinfinite
| constant is_nan :: double  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\rightarrow$  (OCaml) FloatUtil.isnan
| constant copysign_double :: double  $\Rightarrow$  double  $\Rightarrow$  double  $\rightarrow$  (OCaml) FloatUtil.copysign
| constant compare_double :: double  $\Rightarrow$  double  $\Rightarrow$  integer  $\rightarrow$  (OCaml) FloatUtil.compare
| constant double_of_integer :: integer  $\Rightarrow$  double  $\rightarrow$  (OCaml) Z.to'_float
| constant integer_of_double :: double  $\Rightarrow$  integer  $\rightarrow$  (OCaml) Z.of'_float

hide_const (open) fcompare_double

```

2 Event parameters

```

definition div_to_zero :: integer  $\Rightarrow$  integer  $\Rightarrow$  integer where
  div_to_zero x y = (let z = fst (Code_Numerical.divmod_abs x y) in
    if (x < 0)  $\neq$  (y < 0) then – z else z)

definition mod_to_zero :: integer  $\Rightarrow$  integer  $\Rightarrow$  integer where
  mod_to_zero x y = (let z = snd (Code_Numerical.divmod_abs x y) in
    if x < 0 then – z else z)

lemma b  $\neq$  0  $\implies$  div_to_zero a b * b + mod_to_zero a b = a
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

datatype event_data = EInt integer | EFloat double | EString String.literal

derive (eq) ceq event_data
derive ccompare event_data

instantiation event_data :: {ord, plus, minus, uminus, times, divide, modulo}
begin

fun less_eq_event_data where
  EInt x  $\leq$  EInt y  $\longleftrightarrow$  x  $\leq$  y
  | EInt x  $\leq$  EFloat y  $\longleftrightarrow$  double_of_integer x  $\leq$  y
  | EInt _  $\leq$  EString _  $\longleftrightarrow$  False
  | EFloat x  $\leq$  EInt y  $\longleftrightarrow$  x  $\leq$  double_of_integer y
  | EFloat x  $\leq$  EFloat y  $\longleftrightarrow$  x  $\leq$  y

```

```

| EFloat _ ≤ EString _ ↔ False
| EString x ≤ EString y ↔ lexordp_eq (String.explode x) (String.explode y)
| EString _ ≤ _ ↔ False

definition less_event_data :: event_data ⇒ event_data ⇒ bool where
less_event_data x y ↔ x ≤ y ∧ ¬ y ≤ x

fun plus_event_data where
  EInt x + EInt y = EInt (x + y)
| EInt x + EFloat y = EFloat (double_of_integer x + y)
| EFloat x + EInt y = EFloat (x + double_of_integer y)
| EFloat x + EFloat y = EFloat (x + y)
| (_::event_data) + _ = EFloat nan

fun minus_event_data where
  EInt x - EInt y = EInt (x - y)
| EInt x - EFloat y = EFloat (double_of_integer x - y)
| EFloat x - EInt y = EFloat (x - double_of_integer y)
| EFloat x - EFloat y = EFloat (x - y)
| (_::event_data) - _ = EFloat nan

fun uminus_event_data where
  - EInt x = EInt (- x)
| - EFloat x = EFloat (- x)
| - (_::event_data) = EFloat nan

fun times_event_data where
  EInt x * EInt y = EInt (x * y)
| EInt x * EFloat y = EFloat (double_of_integer x * y)
| EFloat x * EInt y = EFloat (x * double_of_integer y)
| EFloat x * EFloat y = EFloat (x * y)
| (_::event_data) * _ = EFloat nan

fun divide_event_data where
  EInt x div EInt y = EInt (div_to_zero x y)
| EInt x div EFloat y = EFloat (double_of_integer x div y)
| EFloat x div EInt y = EFloat (x div double_of_integer y)
| EFloat x div EFloat y = EFloat (x div y)
| (_::event_data) div _ = EFloat nan

fun modulo_event_data where
  EInt x mod EInt y = EInt (mod_to_zero x y)
| (_::event_data) mod _ = EFloat nan

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

primrec integer_of_event_data :: event_data ⇒ integer where
  integer_of_event_data (EInt x) = x
| integer_of_event_data (EFloat x) = integer_of_double x
| integer_of_event_data (EString _) = 0

primrec double_of_event_data :: event_data ⇒ double where
  double_of_event_data (EInt x) = double_of_integer x
| double_of_event_data (EFloat x) = x
| double_of_event_data (EString _) = nan

```

3 Regular expressions

context begin

```

qualified datatype (atms: 'a) regex = Skip nat | Test 'a
| Plus 'a regex 'a regex | Times 'a regex 'a regex | Star 'a regex

lemma finite_atms[simp]: finite (atms r)
⟨proof⟩

definition Wild = Skip 1

lemma size_regex_estimation[termination_simp]: x ∈ atms r ⇒ y < f x ⇒ y < size_regex f r
⟨proof⟩

lemma size_regex_estimation'[termination_simp]: x ∈ atms r ⇒ y ≤ f x ⇒ y ≤ size_regex f r
⟨proof⟩ definition TimesL r S = Times r ` S
qualified definition TimesR R s = (λr. Times r s) ` R

qualified primrec fv_regex where
  fv_regex fv (Skip n) = {}
| fv_regex fv (Test φ) = fv φ
| fv_regex fv (Plus r s) = fv_regex fv r ∪ fv_regex fv s
| fv_regex fv (Times r s) = fv_regex fv r ∪ fv_regex fv s
| fv_regex fv (Star r) = fv_regex fv r

lemma fv_regex_cong[fundef_cong]:
  r = r' ⇒ (λz. z ∈ atms r ⇒ fv z = fv' z) ⇒ fv_regex fv r = fv_regex fv' r'
⟨proof⟩

lemma finite_fv_regex[simp]: (λz. z ∈ atms r ⇒ finite (fv z)) ⇒ finite (fv_regex fv r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma fv_regex_commute:
  (λz. z ∈ atms r ⇒ x ∈ fv z ↔ g x ∈ fv' z) ⇒ x ∈ fv_regex fv r ↔ g x ∈ fv_regex fv' r
⟨proof⟩

lemma fv_regex_alt: fv_regex fv r = (⊔ z ∈ atms r. fv z)
⟨proof⟩ definition nfv_regex where
  nfv_regex fv r = Max (insert 0 (Suc ` fv_regex fv r))

lemma insert_Un: insert x (A ∪ B) = insert x A ∪ insert x B
⟨proof⟩

lemma nfv_regex.simps[simp]:
  assumes [simp]: (λz. z ∈ atms r ⇒ finite (fv z)) (λz. z ∈ atms s ⇒ finite (fv z))
  shows
    nfv_regex fv (Skip n) = 0
    nfv_regex fv (Test φ) = Max (insert 0 (Suc ` fv φ))
    nfv_regex fv (Plus r s) = max (nfv_regex fv r) (nfv_regex fv s)
    nfv_regex fv (Times r s) = max (nfv_regex fv r) (nfv_regex fv s)
    nfv_regex fv (Star r) = nfv_regex fv r
⟨proof⟩

abbreviation min_regex_default f r j ≡ (if atms r = {} then j else Min ((λz. f z j) ` atms r))

qualified primrec match :: (nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a regex ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ bool where
  match test (Skip n) = (λi j. j = i + n)
| match test (Test φ) = (λi j. i = j ∧ test i φ)

```

```

| match test (Plus r s) = match test r ∪ match test s
| match test (Times r s) = match test r OO match test s
| match test (Star r) = (match test r)**

lemma match_cong[fundef_cong]:
 $r = r' \implies (\bigwedge i z. z \in \text{atms } r \implies t i z = t' i z) \implies \text{match } t r = \text{match } t' r'$ 
⟨proof⟩ primrec eps where
| eps test i (Skip n) = (n = 0)
| eps test i (Test φ) = test i φ
| eps test i (Plus r s) = (eps test i r ∨ eps test i s)
| eps test i (Times r s) = (eps test i r ∧ eps test i s)
| eps test i (Star r) = True

qualified primrec lpd where
lpd test i (Skip n) = (case n of 0 ⇒ {} | Suc m ⇒ {Skip m})
| lpd test i (Test φ) = {}
| lpd test i (Plus r s) = (lpd test i r ∪ lpd test i s)
| lpd test i (Times r s) = TimesR (lpd test i r) s ∪ (if eps test i r then lpd test i s else {})
| lpd test i (Star r) = TimesR (lpd test i r) (Star r)

qualified primrec lpdκ where
lpdκ κ test i (Skip n) = (case n of 0 ⇒ {} | Suc m ⇒ {κ (Skip m)})
| lpdκ κ test i (Test φ) = {}
| lpdκ κ test i (Plus r s) = lpdκ κ test i r ∪ lpdκ κ test i s
| lpdκ κ test i (Times r s) = lpdκ (λt. κ (Times t s)) test i r ∪ (if eps test i r then lpdκ κ test i s else {})
| lpdκ κ test i (Star r) = lpdκ (λt. κ (Times t (Star r))) test i r

qualified primrec rpd where
rpd test i (Skip n) = (case n of 0 ⇒ {} | Suc m ⇒ {Skip m})
| rpd test i (Test φ) = {}
| rpd test i (Plus r s) = (rpd test i r ∪ rpd test i s)
| rpd test i (Times r s) = TimesL r (rpd test i s) ∪ (if eps test i s then rpd test i r else {})
| rpd test i (Star r) = TimesL (Star r) (rpd test i r)

qualified primrec rpdκ where
rpdκ κ test i (Skip n) = (case n of 0 ⇒ {} | Suc m ⇒ {κ (Skip m)})
| rpdκ κ test i (Test φ) = {}
| rpdκ κ test i (Plus r s) = rpdκ κ test i r ∪ rpdκ κ test i s
| rpdκ κ test i (Times r s) = rpdκ (λt. κ (Times r t)) test i s ∪ (if eps test i s then rpdκ κ test i r else {})
| rpdκ κ test i (Star r) = rpdκ (λt. κ (Times (Star r) t)) test i r

lemma lpdκ_lpd: lpdκ κ test i r = κ ‘ lpd test i r
⟨proof⟩

lemma rpdκ_rpd: rpdκ κ test i r = κ ‘ rpd test i r
⟨proof⟩

lemma match_le: match test r i j ⇒ i ≤ j
⟨proof⟩

lemma match_rtranclp_le: (match test r)** i j ⇒ i ≤ j
⟨proof⟩

lemma eps_match: eps test i r ⇔ match test r i i
⟨proof⟩

lemma lpd_match: i < j ⇒ match test r i j ⇔ (∐ s ∈ lpd test i r. match test s) (i + 1) j

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma rpd_match:  $i < j \implies \text{match test } r \ i \ j \longleftrightarrow (\bigsqcup s \in \text{rpd test } j \ r. \text{match test } s) \ i \ (j - 1)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lpd_fv_regex:  $s \in \text{lpd test } i \ r \implies \text{fv\_regex } fv \ s \subseteq \text{fv\_regex } fv \ r$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma rpd_fv_regex:  $s \in \text{rpd test } i \ r \implies \text{fv\_regex } fv \ s \subseteq \text{fv\_regex } fv \ r$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma match_fv_cong:
 $(\bigwedge i \ x. \ x \in \text{atms } r \implies \text{test } i \ x = \text{test}' \ i \ x) \implies \text{match test } r = \text{match test}' \ r$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma eps_fv_cong:
 $(\bigwedge i \ x. \ x \in \text{atms } r \implies \text{test } i \ x = \text{test}' \ i \ x) \implies \text{eps test } i \ r = \text{eps test}' \ i \ r$ 
⟨proof⟩

datatype modality = Past | Future
datatype safety = Strict | Lax

context
  fixes fv :: 'a ⇒ 'b set
  and safe :: safety ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
begin

qualified fun safe_regex :: modality ⇒ safety ⇒ 'a regex ⇒ bool where
  safe_regex m _ (Skip n) = True
  | safe_regex m g (Test φ) = safe g φ
  | safe_regex m g (Plus r s) = ((g = Lax ∨ fv_regex fv r = fv_regex fv s) ∧ safe_regex m g r ∧ safe_regex m g s)
  | safe_regex Future g (Times r s) =
    ((g = Lax ∨ fv_regex fv r ⊆ fv_regex fv s) ∧ safe_regex Future g s ∧ safe_regex Future Lax r)
  | safe_regex Past g (Times r s) =
    ((g = Lax ∨ fv_regex fv s ⊆ fv_regex fv r) ∧ safe_regex Past g r ∧ safe_regex Past Lax s)
  | safe_regex m g (Star r) = ((g = Lax ∨ fv_regex fv r = {}) ∧ safe_regex m g r)

lemmas safe_regex_induct = safe_regex.induct[case_names Skip Test Plus TimesF TimesP Star]

lemma safe_cosafe:
 $(\bigwedge x. \ x \in \text{atms } r \implies \text{safe Strict } x \implies \text{safe Lax } x) \implies \text{safe\_regex } m \text{ Strict } r \implies \text{safe\_regex } m \text{ Lax } r$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma safe_lpd_fv_regex_le:  $\text{safe\_regex Future Strict } r \implies s \in \text{lpd test } i \ r \implies \text{fv\_regex } fv \ r \subseteq \text{fv\_regex } fv \ s$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma safe_lpd_fv_regex:  $\text{safe\_regex Future Strict } r \implies s \in \text{lpd test } i \ r \implies \text{fv\_regex } fv \ s = \text{fv\_regex } fv \ r$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma cosafe_lpd:  $\text{safe\_regex Future Lax } r \implies s \in \text{lpd test } i \ r \implies \text{safe\_regex Future Lax } s$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma safe_lpd:  $(\forall x \in \text{atms } r. \text{safe Strict } x \longrightarrow \text{safe Lax } x) \implies$ 
 $\text{safe\_regex Future Strict } r \implies s \in \text{lpd test } i \ r \implies \text{safe\_regex Future Strict } s$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma safe_rpd_fv_regex_le: safe_regex Past Strict r ==> s ∈ rpd test i r ==> fv_regex fv r ⊆ fv_regex
fv s
⟨proof⟩

lemma safe_rpd_fv_regex: safe_regex Past Strict r ==> s ∈ rpd test i r ==> fv_regex fv s = fv_regex fv
r
⟨proof⟩

lemma cosafe_rpd: safe_regex Past Lax r ==> s ∈ rpd test i r ==> safe_regex Past Lax s
⟨proof⟩

lemma safe_rpd: (∀x ∈ atms r. safe Strict x —> safe Lax x) ==>
safe_regex Past Strict r ==> s ∈ rpd test i r ==> safe_regex Past Strict s
⟨proof⟩

lemma safe_regex_safe: (∀g r. safe g r ==> safe Lax r) ==>
safe_regex m g r ==> x ∈ atms r ==> safe Lax x
⟨proof⟩

lemma safe_regex_map_regex:
(∀g x. x ∈ atms r ==> safe g x ==> safe g (f x)) ==> (∀x. x ∈ atms r ==> fv (f x) = fv x) ==>
safe_regex m g r ==> safe_regex m g (map_regex f r)
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma safe_regex_cong[fundef_cong]:
(∀g x. x ∈ atms r ==> safe g x = safe' g x) ==>
Regex.safe_regex fv safe m g r = Regex.safe_regex fv safe' m g r
⟨proof⟩

lemma safe_regex_mono:
(∀g x. x ∈ atms r ==> safe g x ==> safe' g x) ==>
Regex.safe_regex fv safe m g r ==> Regex.safe_regex fv safe' m g r
⟨proof⟩

lemma match_map_regex: match t (map_regex f r) = match (λk z. t k (f z)) r
⟨proof⟩

lemma match_cong_strong:
(∀k z. k ∈ {i ..< j + 1} ==> z ∈ atms r ==> t k z = t' k z) ==> match t r i j = match t' r i j
⟨proof⟩

end

```

4 Metric first-order dynamic logic

```

derive (eq) ceq enat

instantiation enat :: ccompare begin
definition ccompare_enat :: enat comparator option where
ccompare_enat = Some (λx y. if x = y then order.Eq else if x < y then order.Lt else order.Gt)

instance ⟨proof⟩
end

```

```
context begin
```

4.1 Formulas and satisfiability

```
qualified type_synonym name = String.literal
qualified type_synonym event = (name × event_data list)
qualified type_synonym database = (name, event_data list set list) mapping
qualified type_synonym prefix = (name × event_data list) prefix
qualified type_synonym trace = (name × event_data list) trace

qualified type_synonym env = event_data list
```

4.1.1 Syntax

```
qualified datatype trm = is_Var: Var nat | is_Const: Const event_data
| Plus trm trm | Minus trm trm | UMinus trm | Mult trm trm | Div trm trm | Mod trm trm
| F2i trm | I2f trm
```

```
qualified primrec fvi_trm :: nat ⇒ trm ⇒ nat set where
  fvi_trm b (Var x) = (if b ≤ x then {x - b} else {})
  | fvi_trm b (Const _) = {}
  | fvi_trm b (Plus x y) = fvi_trm b x ∪ fvi_trm b y
  | fvi_trm b (Minus x y) = fvi_trm b x ∪ fvi_trm b y
  | fvi_trm b (UMinus x) = fvi_trm b x
  | fvi_trm b (Mult x y) = fvi_trm b x ∪ fvi_trm b y
  | fvi_trm b (Div x y) = fvi_trm b x ∪ fvi_trm b y
  | fvi_trm b (Mod x y) = fvi_trm b x ∪ fvi_trm b y
  | fvi_trm b (F2i x) = fvi_trm b x
  | fvi_trm b (I2f x) = fvi_trm b x
```

```
abbreviation fv_trm ≡ fvi_trm 0
```

```
qualified primrec eval_trm :: env ⇒ trm ⇒ event_data where
  eval_trm v (Var x) = v ! x
  | eval_trm v (Const x) = x
  | eval_trm v (Plus x y) = eval_trm v x + eval_trm v y
  | eval_trm v (Minus x y) = eval_trm v x - eval_trm v y
  | eval_trm v (UMinus x) = - eval_trm v x
  | eval_trm v (Mult x y) = eval_trm v x * eval_trm v y
  | eval_trm v (Div x y) = eval_trm v x div eval_trm v y
  | eval_trm v (Mod x y) = eval_trm v x mod eval_trm v y
  | eval_trm v (F2i x) = EInt (integer_of_event_data (eval_trm v x))
  | eval_trm v (I2f x) = EFloat (double_of_event_data (eval_trm v x))
```

```
lemma eval_trm_fv_cong: ∀ x ∈ fv_trm t. v ! x = v' ! x ⇒ eval_trm v t = eval_trm v' t
  {proof} datatype agg_type = Agg_Cnt | Agg_Min | Agg_Max | Agg_Sum | Agg_Avg | Agg_Med
qualified type_synonym agg_op = agg_type × event_data
```

```
definition flatten_multiset :: (event_data × enat) set ⇒ event_data list where
  flatten_multiset M = concat (map (λ(x, c). replicate (the_enat c) x) (csorted_list_of_set M))
```

```
fun eval_agg_op :: agg_op ⇒ (event_data × enat) set ⇒ event_data where
  eval_agg_op (Agg_Cnt, y0) M = EInt (integer_of_int (length (flatten_multiset M)))
  | eval_agg_op (Agg_Min, y0) M = (case flatten_multiset M of
    [] ⇒ y0
    | x # xs ⇒ foldl min x xs)
  | eval_agg_op (Agg_Max, y0) M = (case flatten_multiset M of
    [] ⇒ y0
    | x # xs ⇒ foldl max x xs)
```

```

| eval_agg_op (Agg_Sum, y0) M = foldl plus y0 (flatten_multiset M)
| eval_agg_op (Agg_Avg, y0) M = EFloat (let xs = flatten_multiset M in case xs of
  [] => 0
  | _ => double_of_event_data (foldl plus (EInt 0) xs) / double_of_int (length xs))
| eval_agg_op (Agg_Med, y0) M = EFloat (let xs = flatten_multiset M; u = length xs in
  if u = 0 then 0 else
  let u' = u div 2 in
  if even u then
    (double_of_event_data (xs ! (u'-1)) + double_of_event_data (xs ! u')) / double_of_int 2
  else double_of_event_data (xs ! u'))

```

qualified datatype (discs_sels) formula = Pred name trm list

```

| Let name formula formula
| Eq trm trm | Less trm trm | LessEq trm trm
| Neg formula | Or formula formula | And formula formula | Ands formula list | Exists formula
| Agg nat agg_op nat trm formula
| Prev I formula | Next I formula
| Since formula I formula | Until formula I formula
| MatchF I formula Regex.regex | MatchP I formula Regex.regex

```

qualified definition FF = Exists (Neg (Eq (Var 0) (Var 0)))

qualified definition TT ≡ Neg FF

qualified fun fvi :: nat ⇒ formula ⇒ nat set **where**

```

fvi b (Pred r ts) = (⋃ t ∈ set ts. fvi_trm b t)
| fvi b (Let p φ ψ) = fvi b φ
| fvi b (Eq t1 t2) = fvi_trm b t1 ∪ fvi_trm b t2
| fvi b (Less t1 t2) = fvi_trm b t1 ∪ fvi_trm b t2
| fvi b (LessEq t1 t2) = fvi_trm b t1 ∪ fvi_trm b t2
| fvi b (Neg φ) = fvi b φ
| fvi b (Or φ ψ) = fvi b φ ∪ fvi b ψ
| fvi b (And φ ψ) = fvi b φ ∪ fvi b ψ
| fvi b (Ands φs) = (let xs = map (fvi b) φs in ⋃ x ∈ set xs. x)
| fvi b (Exists φ) = fvi (Suc b) φ
| fvi b (Agg y ω b' f φ) = fvi (b + b') φ ∪ fvi_trm (b + b') f ∪ (if b ≤ y then {y - b} else {})
| fvi b (Prev I φ) = fvi b φ
| fvi b (Next I φ) = fvi b φ
| fvi b (Since φ I ψ) = fvi b φ ∪ fvi b ψ
| fvi b (Until φ I ψ) = fvi b φ ∪ fvi b ψ
| fvi b (MatchF I r) = Regex.fv_regex (fvi b) r
| fvi b (MatchP I r) = Regex.fv_regex (fvi b) r

```

abbreviation fv ≡ fvi 0

abbreviation fv_regex ≡ Regex.fv_regex fv

lemma fv_abbrevs[simp]: fv TT = {} fv FF = {}
(proof)

lemma fv_subset_Ands: φ ∈ set φs ⇒ fv φ ⊆ fv (Ands φs)
(proof)

lemma finite_fvi_trm[simp]: finite (fvi_trm b t)
(proof)

lemma finite_fvi[simp]: finite (fvi b φ)
(proof)

lemma fvi_trm_plus: x ∈ fvi_trm (b + c) t ↔ x + c ∈ fvi_trm b t

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fvi_trm_iff_fv_trm*: $x \in fvi_trm b t \longleftrightarrow x + b \in fv_trm t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fvi_plus*: $x \in fvi (b + c) \varphi \longleftrightarrow x + c \in fvi b \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fvi_Suc*: $x \in fvi (Suc b) \varphi \longleftrightarrow Suc x \in fvi b \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fvi_plus_bound*:
 assumes $\forall i \in fvi (b + c) \varphi. i < n$
 shows $\forall i \in fvi b \varphi. i < c + n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fvi_Suc_bound*:
 assumes $\forall i \in fvi (Suc b) \varphi. i < n$
 shows $\forall i \in fvi b \varphi. i < Suc n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fvi_iff_fv*: $x \in fvi b \varphi \longleftrightarrow x + b \in fv \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$ **definition** *nfv* :: formula \Rightarrow nat **where**
 $nfv \varphi = Max (insert 0 (Suc ` fv \varphi))$

qualified abbreviation *nfv_regex* **where**
 $nfv_regex \equiv Regex.nfv_regex fv$

qualified definition *envs* :: formula \Rightarrow env set **where**
 $envs \varphi = \{v. length v = nfv \varphi\}$

lemma *nfv_simps[simp]*:
 $nfv (Let p \varphi \psi) = nfv \psi$
 $nfv (Neg \varphi) = nfv \varphi$
 $nfv (Or \varphi \psi) = max (nfv \varphi) (nfv \psi)$
 $nfv (And \varphi \psi) = max (nfv \varphi) (nfv \psi)$
 $nfv (Prev I \varphi) = nfv \varphi$
 $nfv (Next I \varphi) = nfv \varphi$
 $nfv (Since \varphi I \psi) = max (nfv \varphi) (nfv \psi)$
 $nfv (Until \varphi I \psi) = max (nfv \varphi) (nfv \psi)$
 $nfv (MatchP I r) = Regex.nfv_regex fv r$
 $nfv (MatchF I r) = Regex.nfv_regex fv r$
 $nfv_regex (Regex.Skip n) = 0$
 $nfv_regex (Regex.Test \varphi) = Max (insert 0 (Suc ` fv \varphi))$
 $nfv_regex (Regex.Plus r s) = max (nfv_regex r) (nfv_regex s)$
 $nfv_regex (Regex.Times r s) = max (nfv_regex r) (nfv_regex s)$
 $nfv_regex (Regex.Star r) = nfv_regex r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nfv_Ands[simp]*: $nfv (Ands l) = Max (insert 0 (nfv ` set l))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fvi_less_nfv*: $\forall i \in fv \varphi. i < nfv \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fvi_less_nfv_regex*: $\forall i \in fv_regex \varphi. i < nfv_regex \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.1.2 Future reach

```

qualified fun future_bounded :: formula  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  future_bounded (Pred __) = True
  | future_bounded (Let p  $\varphi$   $\psi$ ) = (future_bounded  $\varphi$   $\wedge$  future_bounded  $\psi$ )
  | future_bounded (Eq __) = True
  | future_bounded (Less __) = True
  | future_bounded (LessEq __) = True
  | future_bounded (Neg  $\varphi$ ) = future_bounded  $\varphi$ 
  | future_bounded (Or  $\varphi$   $\psi$ ) = (future_bounded  $\varphi$   $\wedge$  future_bounded  $\psi$ )
  | future_bounded (And  $\varphi$   $\psi$ ) = (future_bounded  $\varphi$   $\wedge$  future_bounded  $\psi$ )
  | future_bounded (Ands l) = list_all future_bounded l
  | future_bounded (Exists  $\varphi$ ) = future_bounded  $\varphi$ 
  | future_bounded (Agg y  $\omega$  b f  $\varphi$ ) = future_bounded  $\varphi$ 
  | future_bounded (Prev I  $\varphi$ ) = future_bounded  $\varphi$ 
  | future_bounded (Next I  $\varphi$ ) = future_bounded  $\varphi$ 
  | future_bounded (Since  $\varphi$  I  $\psi$ ) = (future_bounded  $\varphi$   $\wedge$  future_bounded  $\psi$ )
  | future_bounded (Until  $\varphi$  I  $\psi$ ) = (future_bounded  $\varphi$   $\wedge$  future_bounded  $\psi$   $\wedge$  right I  $\neq \infty$ )
  | future_bounded (MatchP I r) = Regex.pred_regex future_bounded r
  | future_bounded (MatchF I r) = (Regex.pred_regex future_bounded r  $\wedge$  right I  $\neq \infty$ )

```

4.1.3 Semantics

definition ecard A = (if finite A then card A else ∞)

```

qualified fun sat :: trace  $\Rightarrow$  (name  $\rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  event_data list set)  $\Rightarrow$  env  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  formula  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  sat  $\sigma$  V v i (Pred r ts) = (case V r of
    None  $\Rightarrow$  (r, map (eval_trm v) ts)  $\in$   $\Gamma$   $\sigma$  i
    | Some X  $\Rightarrow$  map (eval_trm v) ts  $\in$  X i)
  | sat  $\sigma$  V v i (Let p  $\varphi$   $\psi$ ) =
    sat  $\sigma$  (V(p  $\mapsto$   $\lambda i. \{v. length v = nfv \varphi \wedge sat \sigma V v i \varphi\}$ )) v i  $\psi$ 
  | sat  $\sigma$  V v i (Eq t1 t2) = (eval_trm v t1 = eval_trm v t2)
  | sat  $\sigma$  V v i (Less t1 t2) = (eval_trm v t1 < eval_trm v t2)
  | sat  $\sigma$  V v i (LessEq t1 t2) = (eval_trm v t1  $\leq$  eval_trm v t2)
  | sat  $\sigma$  V v i (Neg  $\varphi$ ) = ( $\neg$  sat  $\sigma$  V v i  $\varphi$ )
  | sat  $\sigma$  V v i (Or  $\varphi$   $\psi$ ) = (sat  $\sigma$  V v i  $\varphi \vee sat \sigma V v i \psi$ )
  | sat  $\sigma$  V v i (And  $\varphi$   $\psi$ ) = (sat  $\sigma$  V v i  $\varphi \wedge sat \sigma V v i \psi$ )
  | sat  $\sigma$  V v i (Ands l) = ( $\forall \varphi \in set l. sat \sigma V v i \varphi$ )
  | sat  $\sigma$  V v i (Exists  $\varphi$ ) = ( $\exists z. sat \sigma V (z \# v) i \varphi$ )
  | sat  $\sigma$  V v i (Agg y  $\omega$  b f  $\varphi$ ) =
    (let M = {(x, ecard Zs) | x Zs. Zs = {zs. length zs = b  $\wedge$  sat  $\sigma$  V (zs @ v) i  $\varphi \wedge eval\_trm (zs @ v) f = x}}$   $\wedge$  Zs  $\neq \{\}$ )
    in (M = {}  $\longrightarrow$  fv  $\varphi \subseteq \{0..< b\} \wedge v ! y = eval\_agg\_op \omega M$ )
  | sat  $\sigma$  V v i (Prev I  $\varphi$ ) = (case i of 0  $\Rightarrow$  False | Suc j  $\Rightarrow$  mem ( $\tau \sigma i - \tau \sigma j$ ) I  $\wedge$  sat  $\sigma V v j \varphi$ )
  | sat  $\sigma$  V v i (Next I  $\varphi$ ) = (mem ( $\tau \sigma (Suc i) - \tau \sigma i$ ) I  $\wedge$  sat  $\sigma V v (Suc i) \varphi$ )
  | sat  $\sigma$  V v i (Since  $\varphi$  I  $\psi$ ) = ( $\exists j \leq i. mem (\tau \sigma i - \tau \sigma j) I \wedge sat \sigma V v j \psi \wedge (\forall k \in \{j .. < i\}. sat \sigma V v k \varphi)$ )
  | sat  $\sigma$  V v i (Until  $\varphi$  I  $\psi$ ) = ( $\exists j \geq i. mem (\tau \sigma j - \tau \sigma i) I \wedge sat \sigma V v j \psi \wedge (\forall k \in \{i .. < j\}. sat \sigma V v k \varphi)$ )
  | sat  $\sigma$  V v i (MatchP I r) = ( $\exists j \leq i. mem (\tau \sigma i - \tau \sigma j) I \wedge Regex.match (sat \sigma V v) r j i$ )
  | sat  $\sigma$  V v i (MatchF I r) = ( $\exists j \geq i. mem (\tau \sigma j - \tau \sigma i) I \wedge Regex.match (sat \sigma V v) r i j$ )

```

lemma sat_abbrevs[simp]:

```

sat  $\sigma$  V v i TT  $\dashv$  sat  $\sigma$  V v i FF
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma sat_Ands: sat σ V v i (Ands l) \longleftrightarrow ($\forall \varphi \in set l. sat \sigma V v i \varphi$)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *sat_Until_rec*: $\text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v i (\text{Until } \varphi I \psi) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{mem } 0 I \wedge \text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v i \psi \vee$
 $(\Delta \sigma (i + 1) \leq \text{right } I \wedge \text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v i \varphi \wedge \text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v (i + 1) (\text{Until } \varphi (\text{subtract } (\Delta \sigma (i + 1)) I) \psi))$
 $(\text{is } ?L \longleftrightarrow ?R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sat_Since_rec*: $\text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v i (\text{Since } \varphi I \psi) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{mem } 0 I \wedge \text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v i \psi \vee$
 $(i > 0 \wedge \Delta \sigma i \leq \text{right } I \wedge \text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v i \varphi \wedge \text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v (i - 1) (\text{Since } \varphi (\text{subtract } (\Delta \sigma i) I) \psi))$
 $(\text{is } ?L \longleftrightarrow ?R)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sat_MatchF_rec*: $\text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v i (\text{MatchF } I r) \longleftrightarrow \text{mem } 0 I \wedge \text{Regex.eps } (\text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v) i r \vee$
 $\Delta \sigma (i + 1) \leq \text{right } I \wedge (\exists s \in \text{Regex.lpd } (\text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v) i r. \text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v (i + 1) (\text{MatchF } (\text{subtract } (\Delta \sigma (i + 1)) I) s))$
 $(\text{is } ?L \longleftrightarrow ?R1 \vee ?R2)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sat_MatchP_rec*: $\text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v i (\text{MatchP } I r) \longleftrightarrow \text{mem } 0 I \wedge \text{Regex.eps } (\text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v) i r \vee$
 $i > 0 \wedge \Delta \sigma i \leq \text{right } I \wedge (\exists s \in \text{Regex.rpd } (\text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v) i r. \text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v (i - 1) (\text{MatchP } (\text{subtract } (\Delta \sigma i) I) s))$
 $(\text{is } ?L \longleftrightarrow ?R1 \vee ?R2)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sat_Since_0*: $\text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v 0 (\text{Since } \varphi I \psi) \longleftrightarrow \text{mem } 0 I \wedge \text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v 0 \psi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sat_MatchP_0*: $\text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v 0 (\text{MatchP } I r) \longleftrightarrow \text{mem } 0 I \wedge \text{Regex.eps } (\text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v) 0 r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sat_Since_point*: $\text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v i (\text{Since } \varphi I \psi) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge j. j \leq i \implies \text{mem } (\tau \sigma i - \tau \sigma j) I \implies \text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v i (\text{Since } \varphi (\text{point } (\tau \sigma i - \tau \sigma j)) \psi) \implies P)$
 $\implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sat_MatchP_point*: $\text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v i (\text{MatchP } I r) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge j. j \leq i \implies \text{mem } (\tau \sigma i - \tau \sigma j) I \implies \text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v i (\text{MatchP } (\text{point } (\tau \sigma i - \tau \sigma j)) r) \implies P)$
 $\implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sat_Since_pointD*: $\text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v i (\text{Since } \varphi (\text{point } t) \psi) \implies \text{mem } t I \implies \text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v i (\text{Since } \varphi I \psi)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sat_MatchP_pointD*: $\text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v i (\text{MatchP } (\text{point } t) r) \implies \text{mem } t I \implies \text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v i (\text{MatchP } I r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sat fv cong*: $\forall x \in \text{fv } \varphi. v!x = v'!x \implies \text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v i \varphi = \text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v' i \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *match fv cong*:
 $\forall x \in \text{fv_regex } r. v!x = v'!x \implies \text{Regex.match } (\text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v) r = \text{Regex.match } (\text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v') r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eps fv cong*:
 $\forall x \in \text{fv_regex } r. v!x = v'!x \implies \text{Regex.eps } (\text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v) i r = \text{Regex.eps } (\text{sat } \sigma \text{ } V v') i r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.2 Past-only formulas

```

fun past_only :: formula  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  past_only (Pred __) = True
  | past_only (Eq __) = True
  | past_only (Less __) = True
  | past_only (LessEq __) = True
  | past_only (Let  $\alpha$   $\beta$ ) = (past_only  $\alpha$   $\wedge$  past_only  $\beta$ )
  | past_only (Neg  $\psi$ ) = past_only  $\psi$ 
  | past_only (Or  $\alpha$   $\beta$ ) = (past_only  $\alpha$   $\wedge$  past_only  $\beta$ )
  | past_only (And  $\alpha$   $\beta$ ) = (past_only  $\alpha$   $\wedge$  past_only  $\beta$ )
  | past_only (Ands l) = ( $\forall \alpha \in \text{set } l.$  past_only  $\alpha$ )
  | past_only (Exists  $\psi$ ) = past_only  $\psi$ 
  | past_only (Agg __ __ __  $\psi$ ) = past_only  $\psi$ 
  | past_only (Prev __  $\psi$ ) = past_only  $\psi$ 
  | past_only (Next __) = False
  | past_only (Since  $\alpha$   $\beta$ ) = (past_only  $\alpha$   $\wedge$  past_only  $\beta$ )
  | past_only (Until  $\alpha$   $\beta$ ) = False
  | past_only (MatchP __ r) = Regex.pred_regex past_only r
  | past_only (MatchF __) = False

lemma past_only_sat:
  assumes prefix_of  $\pi$   $\sigma$  prefix_of  $\pi$   $\sigma'$ 
  shows  $i < \text{plen } \pi \implies \text{dom } V = \text{dom } V' \implies$ 
    ( $\bigwedge p. i. p \in \text{dom } V \implies i < \text{plen } \pi \implies \text{the } (V p) i = \text{the } (V' p) i$ )  $\implies$ 
    past_only  $\varphi \implies \text{sat } \sigma V v i \varphi = \text{sat } \sigma' V' v i \varphi$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

4.3 Safe formulas

```

fun remove_neg :: formula  $\Rightarrow$  formula where
  remove_neg (Neg  $\varphi$ ) =  $\varphi$ 
  | remove_neg  $\varphi$  =  $\varphi$ 

lemma fvi_remove_neg[simp]: fvi b (remove_neg  $\varphi$ ) = fvi b  $\varphi$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma partition_cong[fundef_cong]:
   $xs = ys \implies (\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies f x = g x) \implies \text{partition } f xs = \text{partition } g ys$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma size_remove_neg[termination_simp]: size (remove_neg  $\varphi$ )  $\leq$  size  $\varphi$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

fun is_constraint :: formula  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is_constraint (Eq t1 t2) = True
  | is_constraint (Less t1 t2) = True
  | is_constraint (LessEq t1 t2) = True
  | is_constraint (Neg (Eq t1 t2)) = True
  | is_constraint (Neg (Less t1 t2)) = True
  | is_constraint (Neg (LessEq t1 t2)) = True
  | is_constraint _ = False

definition safe_assignment :: nat set  $\Rightarrow$  formula  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  safe_assignment X  $\varphi$  = (case  $\varphi$  of
    Eq (Var x) (Var y)  $\Rightarrow$  ( $x \notin X \longleftrightarrow y \in X$ )
    | Eq (Var x) t  $\Rightarrow$  ( $x \notin X \wedge \text{fv\_trm } t \subseteq X$ )
    | Eq t (Var x)  $\Rightarrow$  ( $x \notin X \wedge \text{fv\_trm } t \subseteq X$ )
    | _  $\Rightarrow$  False)

```

```

fun safe_formula :: formula  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  safe_formula (Eq t1 t2) = (is_Const t1  $\wedge$  (is_Const t2  $\vee$  is_Var t2))  $\vee$  is_Var t1  $\wedge$  is_Const t2
  | safe_formula (Neg (Eq (Var x) (Var y))) = (x = y)
  | safe_formula (Less t1 t2) = False
  | safe_formula (LessEq t1 t2) = False
  | safe_formula (Pred e ts) = ( $\forall t \in set\ ts$ . is_Var t  $\vee$  is_Const t)
  | safe_formula (Let p  $\varphi$   $\psi$ ) = ( $\{0..<nfv\ \varphi\} \subseteq fv\ \varphi \wedge$  safe_formula  $\varphi \wedge$  safe_formula  $\psi$ )
  | safe_formula (Neg  $\varphi$ ) = (fv  $\varphi$  = {}  $\wedge$  safe_formula  $\varphi$ )
  | safe_formula (Or  $\varphi$   $\psi$ ) = (fv  $\psi$  = fv  $\varphi \wedge$  safe_formula  $\varphi \wedge$  safe_formula  $\psi$ )
  | safe_formula (And  $\varphi$   $\psi$ ) = (safe_formula  $\varphi \wedge$ 
    (safe_assignment (fv  $\varphi$ )  $\psi \vee$  safe_formula  $\psi \vee$ 
     (fv  $\psi$   $\subseteq$  fv  $\varphi \wedge$  (is_constraint  $\psi$   $\vee$  (case  $\psi$  of Neg  $\psi'$   $\Rightarrow$  safe_formula  $\psi'$   $| \_\Rightarrow$  False))))))
  | safe_formula (Ands l) = (let (pos, neg) = partition safe_formula l in pos  $\neq$  []  $\wedge$ 
    list_all safe_formula (map remove_neg neg)  $\wedge$   $\bigcup$ (set (map fv neg))  $\subseteq$   $\bigcup$ (set (map fv pos)))
  | safe_formula (Exists  $\varphi$ ) = (safe_formula  $\varphi$ )
  | safe_formula (Agg y  $\omega$  b f  $\varphi$ ) = (safe_formula  $\varphi \wedge$  y + b  $\notin$  fv  $\varphi \wedge$   $\{0..<b\} \subseteq fv\ \varphi \wedge fv\_trm\ f \subseteq fv\ \varphi$ )
  | safe_formula (Prev I  $\varphi$ ) = (safe_formula  $\varphi$ )
  | safe_formula (Next I  $\varphi$ ) = (safe_formula  $\varphi$ )
  | safe_formula (Since  $\varphi$  I  $\psi$ ) = (fv  $\varphi \subseteq fv\ \psi \wedge$ 
    (safe_formula  $\varphi \vee$  (case  $\varphi$  of Neg  $\varphi'$   $\Rightarrow$  safe_formula  $\varphi'$   $| \_\Rightarrow$  False))  $\wedge$  safe_formula  $\psi$ )
  | safe_formula (Until  $\varphi$  I  $\psi$ ) = (fv  $\varphi \subseteq fv\ \psi \wedge$ 
    (safe_formula  $\varphi \vee$  (case  $\varphi$  of Neg  $\varphi'$   $\Rightarrow$  safe_formula  $\varphi'$   $| \_\Rightarrow$  False))  $\wedge$  safe_formula  $\psi$ )
  | safe_formula (MatchP I r) = Regex.safe_regex fv ( $\lambda g\ \varphi$ . safe_formula  $\varphi \vee$ 
    (g = Lax  $\wedge$  (case  $\varphi$  of Neg  $\varphi'$   $\Rightarrow$  safe_formula  $\varphi'$   $| \_\Rightarrow$  False))) Past Strict r
  | safe_formula (MatchF I r) = Regex.safe_regex fv ( $\lambda g\ \varphi$ . safe_formula  $\varphi \vee$ 
    (g = Lax  $\wedge$  (case  $\varphi$  of Neg  $\varphi'$   $\Rightarrow$  safe_formula  $\varphi'$   $| \_\Rightarrow$  False))) Futu Strict r

abbreviation safe_regex  $\equiv$  Regex.safe_regex fv ( $\lambda g\ \varphi$ . safe_formula  $\varphi \vee$ 
  (g = Lax  $\wedge$  (case  $\varphi$  of Neg  $\varphi'$   $\Rightarrow$  safe_formula  $\varphi'$   $| \_\Rightarrow$  False)))

lemma safe_regex_safe_formula:
  safe_regex m g r  $\implies$   $\varphi \in Regex.atms\ r \implies$  safe_formula  $\varphi \vee$ 
  ( $\exists \psi$ .  $\varphi = Neg\ \psi \wedge$  safe_formula  $\psi$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma safe_abbrevs[simp]: safe_formula TT safe_formula FF
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition safe_neg :: formula  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  safe_neg  $\varphi \longleftrightarrow$  ( $\neg$  safe_formula  $\varphi \longrightarrow$  safe_formula (remove_neg  $\varphi$ ))

definition atms :: formula Regex.regex  $\Rightarrow$  formula set where
  atms r = ( $\bigcup \varphi \in Regex.atms\ r$ .
    if safe_formula  $\varphi$  then  $\{\varphi\}$  else case  $\varphi$  of Neg  $\varphi'$   $\Rightarrow$   $\{\varphi'\}$   $| \_\Rightarrow$  {})

lemma atms_simps[simp]:
  atms (Regex.Skip n) = {}
  atms (Regex.Test  $\varphi$ ) = (if safe_formula  $\varphi$  then  $\{\varphi\}$  else case  $\varphi$  of Neg  $\varphi'$   $\Rightarrow$   $\{\varphi'\}$   $| \_\Rightarrow$  {})
  atms (Regex.Plus r s) = atms r  $\cup$  atms s
  atms (Regex.Times r s) = atms r  $\cup$  atms s
  atms (Regex.Star r) = atms r
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma finite_atms[simp]: finite (atms r)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma disjE_Not2: P  $\vee$  Q  $\implies$  (P  $\implies$  R)  $\implies$  ( $\neg$ P  $\implies$  Q  $\implies$  R)  $\implies$  R

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma safe_formula_induct[consumes 1, case_names Eq_Const Eq_Var1 Eq_Var2 neq_Var Pred Let
And_assign And_safe And_constraint And_Not Ands Neg Or Exists Agg
Prev Next Since Not_Since Until Not_Until MatchP MatchF]:
assumes safe_formula  $\varphi$ 
and Eq_Const:  $\bigwedge c d. P(Eq(Const c)(Const d))$ 
and Eq_Var1:  $\bigwedge c x. P(Eq(Const c)(Var x))$ 
and Eq_Var2:  $\bigwedge c x. P(Eq(Var x)(Const c))$ 
and neq_Var:  $\bigwedge x. P(Neg(Eq(Var x)(Var x)))$ 
and Pred:  $\bigwedge e ts. \forall t \in set ts. is\_Var t \vee is\_Const t \implies P(Pred e ts)$ 
and Let:  $\bigwedge p \varphi \psi. \{0..<nfv \varphi\} \subseteq fv \varphi \implies safe\_formula \varphi \implies safe\_formula \psi \implies P \varphi \implies P \psi$ 
 $\implies P(Let p \varphi \psi)$ 
and And_assign:  $\bigwedge \varphi \psi. safe\_formula \varphi \implies safe\_assignment(fv \varphi) \psi \implies P \varphi \implies P(And \varphi \psi)$ 
and And_safe:  $\bigwedge \varphi \psi. safe\_formula \varphi \implies \neg safe\_assignment(fv \varphi) \psi \implies safe\_formula \psi \implies P \varphi \implies P \psi \implies P(And \varphi \psi)$ 
and And_constraint:  $\bigwedge \varphi \psi. safe\_formula \varphi \implies \neg safe\_assignment(fv \varphi) \psi \implies \neg safe\_formula \psi \implies fv \psi \subseteq fv \varphi \implies is\_constraint \psi \implies P \varphi \implies P(And \varphi \psi)$ 
and And_Not:  $\bigwedge \varphi \psi. safe\_formula \varphi \implies \neg safe\_assignment(fv \varphi) (Neg \psi) \implies \neg safe\_formula (Neg \psi) \implies fv (Neg \psi) \subseteq fv \varphi \implies \neg is\_constraint (Neg \psi) \implies safe\_formula \psi \implies P \varphi \implies P \psi \implies P(And \varphi (Neg \psi))$ 
and Ands:  $\bigwedge l pos neg. (pos, neg) = partition safe\_formula l \implies pos \neq [] \implies list\_all safe\_formula pos \implies list\_all safe\_formula (map remove\_neg neg) \implies (\bigcup_{\varphi \in set neg. fv \varphi} \subseteq (\bigcup_{\varphi \in set pos. fv \varphi}) \implies list\_all P pos \implies list\_all P (map remove\_neg neg) \implies P(Ands l)$ 
and Neg:  $\bigwedge \varphi. fv \varphi = [] \implies safe\_formula \varphi \implies P \varphi \implies P(Neg \varphi)$ 
and Or:  $\bigwedge \varphi \psi. fv \psi = fv \varphi \implies safe\_formula \varphi \implies safe\_formula \psi \implies P \varphi \implies P \psi \implies P(Or \varphi \psi)$ 
and Exists:  $\bigwedge \varphi. safe\_formula \varphi \implies P \varphi \implies P(Exists \varphi)$ 
and Agg:  $\bigwedge y \omega b f \varphi. y + b \notin fv \varphi \implies \{0..<b\} \subseteq fv \varphi \implies fv\_trm f \subseteq fv \varphi \implies safe\_formula \varphi \implies P \varphi \implies P(Agg y \omega b f \varphi)$ 
and Prev:  $\bigwedge I \varphi. safe\_formula \varphi \implies P \varphi \implies P(Prev I \varphi)$ 
and Next:  $\bigwedge I \varphi. safe\_formula \varphi \implies P \varphi \implies P(Next I \varphi)$ 
and Since:  $\bigwedge \varphi I \psi. fv \varphi \subseteq fv \psi \implies safe\_formula \varphi \implies safe\_formula \psi \implies P \varphi \implies P \psi \implies P(Since \varphi I \psi)$ 
and Not_Since:  $\bigwedge \varphi I \psi. fv (Neg \varphi) \subseteq fv \psi \implies safe\_formula \varphi \implies \neg safe\_formula (Neg \varphi) \implies safe\_formula \psi \implies P \varphi \implies P \psi \implies P(Since (Neg \varphi) I \psi)$ 
and Until:  $\bigwedge \varphi I \psi. fv \varphi \subseteq fv \psi \implies safe\_formula \varphi \implies safe\_formula \psi \implies P \varphi \implies P \psi \implies P(Until \varphi I \psi)$ 
and Not_Until:  $\bigwedge \varphi I \psi. fv (Neg \varphi) \subseteq fv \psi \implies safe\_formula \varphi \implies \neg safe\_formula (Neg \varphi) \implies safe\_formula \psi \implies P \varphi \implies P \psi \implies P(Until (Neg \varphi) I \psi)$ 
and MatchP:  $\bigwedge I r. safe\_regex Past Strict r \implies \forall \varphi \in atms r. P \varphi \implies P(MatchP I r)$ 
and MatchF:  $\bigwedge I r. safe\_regex Futu Strict r \implies \forall \varphi \in atms r. P \varphi \implies P(MatchF I r)$ 
shows  $P \varphi$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma safe_formula_NegD:
safe_formula (Formula.Neg  $\varphi$ )  $\implies fv \varphi = [] \vee (\exists x. \varphi = Formula.Eq(Var x)(Var x))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

4.4 Slicing traces

qualified fun matches ::

```

env  $\Rightarrow$  formula  $\Rightarrow$  name  $\times$  event_data list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
matches  $v$  (Pred  $r$  ts)  $e = (fst e = r \wedge map(eval\_trm v) ts = snd e)$ 

```

```

| matches v (Let p φ ψ) e =
  ((∃v'. matches v' φ e ∧ matches v ψ (p, v')) ∨
   fst e ≠ p ∧ matches v ψ e)
| matches v (Eq __) e = False
| matches v (Less __) e = False
| matches v (LessEq __) e = False
| matches v (Neg φ) e = matches v φ e
| matches v (Or φ ψ) e = (matches v φ e ∨ matches v ψ e)
| matches v (And φ ψ) e = (matches v φ e ∨ matches v ψ e)
| matches v (Ands l) e = (∃φ∈set l. matches v φ e)
| matches v (Exists φ) e = (∃z. matches (z # v) φ e)
| matches v (Agg y ω b f φ) e = (∃zs. length zs = b ∧ matches (zs @ v) φ e)
| matches v (Prev I φ) e = matches v φ e
| matches v (Next I φ) e = matches v φ e
| matches v (Since φ I ψ) e = (matches v φ e ∨ matches v ψ e)
| matches v (Until φ I ψ) e = (matches v φ e ∨ matches v ψ e)
| matches v (MatchP I r) e = (∃φ ∈ Regex.atms r. matches v φ e)
| matches v (MatchF I r) e = (∃φ ∈ Regex.atms r. matches v φ e)

```

lemma *matches_cong*:
 $\forall x \in fv \varphi. v!x = v'!x \implies \text{matches } v \varphi e = \text{matches } v' \varphi e$
(proof)

abbreviation *relevant_events* **where** *relevant_events* $\varphi S \equiv \{e. S \cap \{v. \text{matches } v \varphi e\} \neq \{\}\}$

lemma *sat_slice_strong*:
assumes $v \in S \text{ dom } V = \text{dom } V'$
 $\wedge p v i. p \in \text{dom } V \implies (p, v) \in \text{relevant_events } \varphi S \implies v \in \text{the } (V p) i \longleftrightarrow v \in \text{the } (V' p) i$
shows $\text{relevant_events } \varphi S - \{e. \text{fst } e \in \text{dom } V\} \subseteq E \implies$
 $\text{sat } \sigma V v i \varphi \longleftrightarrow \text{sat } (\text{map}_\Gamma (\lambda D. D \cap E) \sigma) V' v i \varphi$
(proof)

4.5 Translation to n-ary conjunction

```

fun get_and_list :: formula  $\Rightarrow$  formula list where
  get_and_list (Ands l) = l
  | get_and_list φ = [φ]

lemma fv_get_and:  $(\bigcup x \in (\text{set } (\text{get\_and\_list } \varphi)). \text{fvi } b x) = \text{fvi } b \varphi$   

(proof)

lemma safe_get_and: safe_formula  $\varphi \implies \text{list\_all } \text{safe\_neg } (\text{get\_and\_list } \varphi)$   

(proof)

lemma sat_get_and: sat σ  $V v i \varphi \longleftrightarrow \text{list\_all } (\text{sat } \sigma V v i) (\text{get\_and\_list } \varphi)$   

(proof)

fun convert_multiway :: formula  $\Rightarrow$  formula where
  convert_multiway (Neg φ) = Neg (convert_multiway φ)
  | convert_multiway (Or φ ψ) = Or (convert_multiway φ) (convert_multiway ψ)
  | convert_multiway (And φ ψ) = (if safe_assignment (fv φ) ψ then
    And (convert_multiway φ) ψ
    else if safe_formula ψ then
      Ands (get_and_list (convert_multiway φ) @ get_and_list (convert_multiway ψ)))
    else if is_constraint ψ then
      And (convert_multiway φ) ψ
    else Ands (convert_multiway ψ # get_and_list (convert_multiway φ)))
  | convert_multiway (Exists φ) = Exists (convert_multiway φ)

```

```

| convert_multiway (Agg y ω b f φ) = Agg y ω b f (convert_multiway φ)
| convert_multiway (Prev I φ) = Prev I (convert_multiway φ)
| convert_multiway (Next I φ) = Next I (convert_multiway φ)
| convert_multiway (Since φ I ψ) = Since (convert_multiway φ) I (convert_multiway ψ)
| convert_multiway (Until φ I ψ) = Until (convert_multiway φ) I (convert_multiway ψ)
| convert_multiway (MatchP I r) = MatchP I (Regex.map_regex convert_multiway r)
| convert_multiway (MatchF I r) = MatchF I (Regex.map_regex convert_multiway r)
| convert_multiway φ = φ

abbreviation convert_multiway_regex ≡ Regex.map_regex convert_multiway

lemma fv_safe_get_and:
  safe_formula φ ⇒ fv φ ⊆ (⋃ x ∈ (set (filter safe_formula (get_and_list φ))). fv x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ex_safe_get_and:
  safe_formula φ ⇒ list_ex safe_formula (get_and_list φ)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma case_NegE: (case φ of Neg φ' ⇒ P φ' | _ ⇒ False) ⇒ (⋀φ'. φ = Neg φ' ⇒ P φ' ⇒ Q)
  ⇒ Q
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma convert_multiway_remove_neg: safe_formula (remove_neg φ) ⇒ convert_multiway (remove_neg φ) = remove_neg (convert_multiway φ)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fv_convert_multiway: safe_formula φ ⇒ fvi b (convert_multiway φ) = fvi b φ
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma get_and_nonempty:
  assumes safe_formula φ
  shows get_and_list φ ≠ []
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma future_bounded_get_and:
  list_all future_bounded (get_and_list φ) = future_bounded φ
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma safe_convert_multiway: safe_formula φ ⇒ safe_formula (convert_multiway φ)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma future_bounded_convert_multiway: safe_formula φ ⇒ future_bounded (convert_multiway φ) = future_bounded φ
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sat_convert_multiway: safe_formula φ ⇒ sat σ V v i (convert_multiway φ) ↔ sat σ V v i φ
  ⟨proof⟩

end

interpretation Formula_slicer: abstract_slicer relevant_events φ for φ ⟨proof⟩

lemma sat_slice_iff:
  assumes v ∈ S
  shows Formula.sat σ V v i φ ↔ Formula.sat (Formula_slicer.slice φ S σ) V v i φ
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Neg_splits:
   $P(\text{case } \varphi \text{ of formula.Neg } \psi \Rightarrow f \psi \mid \varphi \Rightarrow g \varphi) =$ 
   $((\forall \psi. \varphi = \text{formula.Neg } \psi \longrightarrow P(f \psi)) \wedge ((\neg \text{Formula.is\_Neg } \varphi) \longrightarrow P(g \varphi)))$ 
   $P(\text{case } \varphi \text{ of formula.Neg } \psi \Rightarrow f \psi \mid \_ \Rightarrow g \varphi) =$ 
   $(\neg ((\exists \psi. \varphi = \text{formula.Neg } \psi \wedge \neg P(f \psi)) \vee ((\neg \text{Formula.is\_Neg } \varphi) \wedge \neg P(g \varphi))))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

5 Optimized relational join

5.1 Binary join

```

definition join_mask :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat set  $\Rightarrow$  bool list where
  join_mask n X = map ( $\lambda i. i \in X$ ) [0.. $<n$ ]

```

```

fun proj_tuple :: bool list  $\Rightarrow$  'a tuple  $\Rightarrow$  'a tuple where
  proj_tuple [] [] = []
  | proj_tuple (True # bs) (a # as) = a # proj_tuple bs as
  | proj_tuple (False # bs) (a # as) = None # proj_tuple bs as
  | proj_tuple (b # bs) [] = []
  | proj_tuple [] (a # as) = []

```

```

lemma proj_tuple_replicate:  $(\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } bs \implies \neg i) \implies \text{length } bs = \text{length } as \implies$ 
  proj_tuple bs as = replicate (length bs) None
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma proj_tuple_join_mask_empty: length as = n  $\implies$ 
  proj_tuple (join_mask n {}) as = replicate n None
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma proj_tuple_alt: proj_tuple bs as = map2 ( $\lambda b a. \text{if } b \text{ then } a \text{ else } \text{None}$ ) bs as
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma map2_map: map2 f (map g [0.. $<\text{length } as$ ]) as = map ( $\lambda i. f(g i)$ ) (as ! i) [0.. $<\text{length } as$ ]
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma proj_tuple_join_mask_restrict: length as = n  $\implies$ 
  proj_tuple (join_mask n X) as = restrict X as
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma wf_tuple_proj_idle:
  assumes wf: wf_tuple n X as
  shows proj_tuple (join_mask n X) as = as
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma wf_tuple_change_base:
  assumes wf: wf_tuple n X as
  and mask: join_mask n X = join_mask n Y
  shows wf_tuple n Y as
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

definition proj_tuple_in_join :: bool  $\Rightarrow$  bool list  $\Rightarrow$  'a tuple  $\Rightarrow$  'a table  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  proj_tuple_in_join pos bs as t = (if pos then proj_tuple bs as  $\in$  t else proj_tuple bs as  $\notin$  t)

```

```

abbreviation join_cond pos t  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda as. \text{if } pos \text{ then } as \in t \text{ else } as \notin t$ )

```

```

abbreviation join_filter_cond pos t  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda as. \text{join\_cond } pos t as$ )

```

```

lemma proj_tuple_in_join_mask_idle:
  assumes wf: wf_tuple n X as
  shows proj_tuple_in_join pos (join_mask n X) as t  $\longleftrightarrow$  join_cond pos t as
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma join_sub:
  assumes L ⊆ R table n L t1 table n R t2
  shows join t2 pos t1 = {as ∈ t2. proj_tuple_in_join pos (join_mask n L) as t1}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma join_sub':
  assumes R ⊆ L table n L t1 table n R t2
  shows join t2 True t1 = {as ∈ t1. proj_tuple_in_join True (join_mask n R) as t2}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma join_eq:
  assumes tab: table n R t1 table n R t2
  shows join t2 pos t1 = (if pos then t2 ∩ t1 else t2 - t1)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma join_no_cols:
  assumes tab: table n {} t1 table n R t2
  shows join t2 pos t1 = (if (pos  $\longleftrightarrow$  replicate n None ∈ t1) then t2 else {})
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma join_empty_left: join {} pos t = {}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma join_empty_right: join t pos {} = (if pos then {} else t)
  ⟨proof⟩

fun bin_join :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat set  $\Rightarrow$  'a table  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\Rightarrow$  nat set  $\Rightarrow$  'a table  $\Rightarrow$  'a table where
bin_join n A t pos A' t' =
  (if t = {} then {}
  else if t' = {} then (if pos then {} else t)
  else if A' = {} then (if (pos  $\longleftrightarrow$  replicate n None ∈ t') then t else {})
  else if A' = A then (if pos then t ∩ t' else t - t')
  else if A' ⊆ A then {as ∈ t. proj_tuple_in_join pos (join_mask n A') as t'}
  else if A ⊆ A'  $\wedge$  pos then {as ∈ t'. proj_tuple_in_join pos (join_mask n A) as t}
  else join t pos t')

lemma bin_join_table:
  assumes tab: table n A t table n A' t'
  shows bin_join n A t pos A' t' = join t pos t'
  ⟨proof⟩

```

5.2 Multi-way join

```

fun mmulti_join' :: (nat set list  $\Rightarrow$  nat set list  $\Rightarrow$  'a table list  $\Rightarrow$  'a table) where
mmulti_join' A_pos A_neg L = (
  let Q = set (zip A_pos L) in
  let Q_neg = set (zip A_neg (drop (length A_pos) L)) in
  New_max_getIJ_wrapperGenericJoin Q Q_neg)

lemma mmulti_join'_correct:
  assumes A_pos  $\neq$  []
  and list_all2 ( $\lambda A X$ . table n A X  $\wedge$  wf_set n A) (A_pos @ A_neg) L
  shows z ∈ mmulti_join' A_pos A_neg L  $\longleftrightarrow$  wf_tuple n (( $\bigcup A \in$  set A_pos. A) z  $\wedge$ 

```

```

list_all2 ( $\lambda A X. \text{restrict } A z \in X$ ) A_pos (take (length A_pos) L)  $\wedge$ 
list_all2 ( $\lambda A X. \text{restrict } A z \notin X$ ) A_neg (drop (length A_pos) L)
⟨proof⟩

lemmas restrict_nested = New_max.restrict_nested

lemma list_all2_opt_True:
assumes list_all2 ( $\lambda A X. \text{table } n A X \wedge \text{wf\_set } n A$ ) ((A_zs @ A_x # A_xs @ A_y # A_ys) @ A_neg)
((zs @ x # xs @ y # ys) @ L_neg)
length A_xs = length xs length A_ys = length ys length A_zs = length zs
shows list_all2 ( $\lambda A X. \text{table } n A X \wedge \text{wf\_set } n A$ )
((A_zs @ (A_x ∪ A_y) # A_xs @ A_ys) @ A_neg) ((zs @ join x True y # xs @ ys) @ L_neg)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mmulti_join'_opt_True:
assumes list_all2 ( $\lambda A X. \text{table } n A X \wedge \text{wf\_set } n A$ ) ((A_zs @ A_x # A_xs @ A_y # A_ys) @ A_neg)
((zs @ x # xs @ y # ys) @ L_neg)
length A_xs = length xs length A_ys = length ys length A_zs = length zs
shows mmulti_join' (A_zs @ A_x # A_xs @ A_y # A_ys) A_neg ((zs @ x # xs @ y # ys) @ L_neg) =
mmulti_join' (A_zs @ (A_x ∪ A_y) # A_xs @ A_ys) A_neg
((zs @ join x True y # xs @ ys) @ L_neg)
⟨proof⟩

lemma list_all2_opt_False:
assumes list_all2 ( $\lambda A X. \text{table } n A X \wedge \text{wf\_set } n A$ )
((A_zs @ A_x # A_xs) @ (A_ws @ A_y # A_ys)) ((zs @ x # xs) @ (ws @ y # ys))
length A_ws = length ws length A_xs = length xs
length A_ys = length ys length A_zs = length zs
A_y ⊆ A_x
shows list_all2 ( $\lambda A X. \text{table } n A X \wedge \text{wf\_set } n A$ )
((A_zs @ A_x # A_xs) @ (A_ws @ A_ys)) ((zs @ join x False y # xs) @ (ws @ ys))
⟨proof⟩

lemma mmulti_join'_opt_False:
assumes list_all2 ( $\lambda A X. \text{table } n A X \wedge \text{wf\_set } n A$ )
((A_zs @ A_x # A_xs) @ (A_ws @ A_y # A_ys)) ((zs @ x # xs) @ (ws @ y # ys))
length A_ws = length ws length A_xs = length xs
length A_ys = length ys length A_zs = length zs
A_y ⊆ A_x
shows mmulti_join' (A_zs @ A_x # A_xs) (A_ws @ A_y # A_ys) ((zs @ x # xs) @ (ws @ y # ys)) =
mmulti_join' (A_zs @ A_x # A_xs) (A_ws @ A_ys) ((zs @ join x False y # xs) @ (ws @ ys))
⟨proof⟩

fun find_sub_in :: 'a set ⇒ 'a set list ⇒ bool ⇒
('a set list × 'a set × 'a set list) option where
find_sub_in X [] b = None
| find_sub_in X (x # xs) b = (if (x ⊆ X ∨ (b ∧ X ⊆ x)) then Some ([], x, xs)
else (case find_sub_in X xs b of None ⇒ None | Some (ys, z, zs) ⇒ Some (x # ys, z, zs)))

```

lemma find_sub_in_sound: $\text{find_sub_in } X \text{ xs } b = \text{Some } (ys, z, zs) \implies$
 $xs = ys @ z \# zs \wedge (z \subseteq X \vee (b \wedge X \subseteq z))$

⟨proof⟩

fun find_sub_True :: 'a set list ⇒

```

('a set list × 'a set × 'a set list × 'a set × 'a set list) option where
find_sub_True [] = None
| find_sub_True (x # xs) = (case find_sub_in x xs True of None =>
  (case find_sub_True xs of None => None
   | Some (ys, w, ws, z, zs) => Some (x # ys, w, ws, z, zs))
   | Some (ys, z, zs) => Some ([]), x, ys, z, zs))

lemma find_sub_True_sound: find_sub_True xs = Some (ys, w, ws, z, zs) ==>
  xs = ys @ w # ws @ z # zs ∧ (z ⊆ w ∨ w ⊆ z)
  ⟨proof⟩

fun find_sub_False :: 'a set list ⇒ 'a set list ⇒
  (('a set list × 'a set × 'a set list) × ('a set list × 'a set × 'a set list)) option where
find_sub_False [] ns = None
| find_sub_False (x # xs) ns = (case find_sub_in x ns False of None =>
  (case find_sub_False xs ns of None => None
   | Some ((rs, w, ws), (ys, z, zs)) => Some ((x # rs, w, ws), (ys, z, zs)))
   | Some (ys, z, zs) => Some ([]), x, xs, (ys, z, zs)))

lemma find_sub_False_sound: find_sub_False xs ns = Some ((rs, w, ws), (ys, z, zs)) ==>
  xs = rs @ w # ws ∧ ns = ys @ z # zs ∧ (z ⊆ w)
  ⟨proof⟩

fun proj_list_3 :: 'a list ⇒ ('b list × 'b × 'b list) ⇒ ('a list × 'a × 'a list) where
proj_list_3 xs (ys, z, zs) = (take (length ys) xs, xs ! (length ys),
  take (length zs) (drop (length ys + 1) xs))

lemma proj_list_3_same:
  assumes proj_list_3 xs (ys, z, zs) = (ys', z', zs')
    length xs = length ys + 1 + length zs
  shows xs = ys' @ z' # zs'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma proj_list_3_length:
  assumes proj_list_3 xs (ys, z, zs) = (ys', z', zs')
    length xs = length ys + 1 + length zs
  shows length ys = length ys' length zs = length zs'
  ⟨proof⟩

fun proj_list_5 :: 'a list ⇒
  ('b list × 'b × 'b list × 'b × 'b list) ⇒
  ('a list × 'a × 'a list × 'a × 'a list) where
proj_list_5 xs (ys, w, ws, z, zs) = (take (length ys) xs, xs ! (length ys),
  take (length ws) (drop (length ys + 1) xs), xs ! (length ys + 1 + length ws),
  drop (length ys + 1 + length ws + 1) xs)

lemma proj_list_5_same:
  assumes proj_list_5 xs (ys, w, ws, z, zs) = (ys', w', ws', z', zs')
    length xs = length ys + 1 + length ws + 1 + length zs
  shows xs = ys' @ w' # ws' @ z' # zs'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma proj_list_5_length:
  assumes proj_list_5 xs (ys, w, ws, z, zs) = (ys', w', ws', z', zs')
    length xs = length ys + 1 + length ws + 1 + length zs
  shows length ys = length ys' length ws = length ws'
    length zs = length zs'
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

fun dominate_True :: nat set list  $\Rightarrow$  'a table list  $\Rightarrow$ 
((nat set list  $\times$  nat set  $\times$  nat set list  $\times$  nat set  $\times$  nat set list)  $\times$ 
('a table list  $\times$  'a table  $\times$  'a table list  $\times$  'a table  $\times$  'a table list)) option where
dominate_True A_pos L_pos = (case find_sub_True A_pos of None  $\Rightarrow$  None
| Some split  $\Rightarrow$  Some (split, proj_list_5 L_pos split))

lemma find_sub_True_proj_list_5_same:
assumes find_sub_True xs = Some (ys, w, ws, z, zs) length xs = length xs'
proj_list_5 xs' (ys, w, ws, z, zs) = (ys', w', ws', z', zs')
shows xs' = ys' @ w' # ws' @ z' # zs'
⟨proof⟩

lemma find_sub_True_proj_list_5_length:
assumes find_sub_True xs = Some (ys, w, ws, z, zs) length xs = length xs'
proj_list_5 xs' (ys, w, ws, z, zs) = (ys', w', ws', z', zs')
shows length ys = length ys' length ws = length ws'
length zs = length zs'
⟨proof⟩

lemma dominate_True_sound:
assumes dominate_True A_pos L_pos = Some ((A_zs, A_x, A_xs, A_y, A_ys), (zs, x, xs, y, ys))
length A_pos = length L_pos
shows A_pos = A_zs @ A_x # A_xs @ A_y # A_ys L_pos = zs @ x # xs @ y # ys
length A_xs = length xs length A_ys = length ys length A_zs = length zs
⟨proof⟩

fun dominate_False :: nat set list  $\Rightarrow$  'a table list  $\Rightarrow$  nat set list  $\Rightarrow$  'a table list  $\Rightarrow$ 
(((nat set list  $\times$  nat set  $\times$  nat set list)  $\times$  nat set list  $\times$  nat set  $\times$  nat set list)  $\times$ 
('a table list  $\times$  'a table  $\times$  'a table list)) option where
dominate_False A_pos L_pos A_neg L_neg = (case find_sub_False A_pos A_neg of None  $\Rightarrow$  None
| Some (pos_split, neg_split)  $\Rightarrow$ 
Some ((pos_split, neg_split), (proj_list_3 L_pos pos_split, proj_list_3 L_neg neg_split)))

lemma find_sub_False_proj_list_3_same_left:
assumes find_sub_False xs ns = Some ((rs, w, ws), (ys, z, zs))
length xs = length xs' proj_list_3 xs' (rs, w, ws) = (rs', w', ws')
shows xs' = rs' @ w' # ws'
⟨proof⟩

lemma find_sub_False_proj_list_3_length_left:
assumes find_sub_False xs ns = Some ((rs, w, ws), (ys, z, zs))
length xs = length xs' proj_list_3 xs' (rs, w, ws) = (rs', w', ws')
shows length rs = length rs' length ws = length ws'
⟨proof⟩

lemma find_sub_False_proj_list_3_same_right:
assumes find_sub_False xs ns = Some ((rs, w, ws), (ys, z, zs))
length ns = length ns' proj_list_3 ns' (ys, z, zs) = (ys', z', zs')
shows ns' = ys' @ z' # zs'
⟨proof⟩

lemma find_sub_False_proj_list_3_length_right:
assumes find_sub_False xs ns = Some ((rs, w, ws), (ys, z, zs))
length ns = length ns' proj_list_3 ns' (ys, z, zs) = (ys', z', zs')
shows length ys = length ys' length zs = length zs'
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma dominate_False_sound:
assumes dominate_False A_pos L_pos A_neg L_neg =
  Some (((A_zs, A_x, A_xs), A_ws, A_y, A_ys), ((zs, x, xs), ws, y, ys))
  length A_pos = length L_pos length A_neg = length L_neg
shows A_pos = (A_zs @ A_x # A_xs) A_neg = A_ws @ A_y # A_ys
  L_pos = (zs @ x # xs) L_neg = ws @ y # ys
  length A_ws = length ws length A_xs = length xs
  length A_ys = length ys length A_zs = length zs
  A_y ⊆ A_x
⟨proof⟩

function mmulti_join :: (nat ⇒ nat set list ⇒ nat set list ⇒ 'a table list ⇒ 'a table) where
mmulti_join n A_pos A_neg L = (if length A_pos + length A_neg ≠ length L then {} else
  let L_pos = take (length A_pos) L; L_neg = drop (length A_pos) L in
  (case dominate_True A_pos L_pos of None ⇒
    (case dominate_False A_pos L_pos A_neg L_neg of None ⇒ mmulti_join' A_pos A_neg L
     | Some (((A_zs, A_x, A_xs), A_ws, A_y, A_ys), ((zs, x, xs), ws, y, ys)) ⇒
       mmulti_join n (A_zs @ A_x # A_xs) (A_ws @ A_ys)
       ((zs @ bin_join n A_x x False A_y y # xs) @ (ws @ ys)))
    | Some ((A_zs, A_x, A_xs, A_y, A_ys), (zs, x, xs, y, ys)) ⇒
      mmulti_join n (A_zs @ (A_x ∪ A_y) # A_xs @ A_ys) A_neg
      ((zs @ bin_join n A_x x True A_y y # xs @ ys) @ L_neg))
  ⟨proof⟩
termination
⟨proof⟩

lemma mmulti_join_link:
assumes A_pos ≠ []
  and list_all2 (λA X. table n A X ∧ wf_set n A) (A_pos @ A_neg) L
shows mmulti_join n A_pos A_neg L = mmulti_join' A_pos A_neg L
⟨proof⟩

lemma mmulti_join_correct:
assumes A_pos ≠ []
  and list_all2 (λA X. table n A X ∧ wf_set n A) (A_pos @ A_neg) L
shows z ∈ mmulti_join n A_pos A_neg L ↔ wf_tuple n (⋃ A ∈ set A_pos. A) z ∧
  list_all2 (λA X. restrict A z ∈ X) A_pos (take (length A_pos) L) ∧
  list_all2 (λA X. restrict A z ∉ X) A_neg (drop (length A_pos) L)
⟨proof⟩

```

6 Generic monitoring algorithm

The algorithm defined here abstracts over the implementation of the temporal operators.

6.1 Monitorable formulas

```

definition mmonitorable φ ↔ safe_formula φ ∧ Formula.future_bound φ
definition mmonitorable_regex b g r ↔ safe_regex b g r ∧ Regex.pred_regex Formula.future_bound r

definition is_simple_eq :: Formula.trm ⇒ Formula.trm ⇒ bool where
is_simple_eq t1 t2 = (Formula.is_Const t1 ∧ (Formula.is_Const t2 ∨ Formula.is_Var t2) ∨
  Formula.is_Var t1 ∧ Formula.is_Const t2)

fun mmonitorable_exec :: Formula.formula ⇒ bool where
  mmonitorable_exec (Formula.Eq t1 t2) = is_simple_eq t1 t2

```

```

| mmonitorable_exec (Formula.Neg (Formula.Eq (Formula.Var x) (Formula.Var y))) = (x = y)
| mmonitorable_exec (Formula.Pred e ts) = list_all ( $\lambda t. \text{Formula.is\_Var } t \vee \text{Formula.is\_Const } t$ ) ts
| mmonitorable_exec (Formula.Let p  $\varphi$   $\psi$ ) = ( $\{0..<\text{Formula.nfv } \varphi\} \subseteq \text{Formula.fv } \varphi \wedge \text{mmonitorable\_exec } \varphi \wedge \text{mmonitorable\_exec } \psi$ )
| mmonitorable_exec (Formula.Neg  $\varphi$ ) = ( $\text{fv } \varphi = \{\} \wedge \text{mmonitorable\_exec } \varphi$ )
| mmonitorable_exec (Formula.Or  $\varphi$   $\psi$ ) = ( $\text{fv } \varphi = \text{fv } \psi \wedge \text{mmonitorable\_exec } \varphi \wedge \text{mmonitorable\_exec } \psi$ )
| mmonitorable_exec (Formula.And  $\varphi$   $\psi$ ) = ( $\text{mmonitorable\_exec } \varphi \wedge (\text{safe\_assignment } (\text{fv } \varphi) \psi \vee \text{mmonitorable\_exec } \psi \wedge \text{fv } \psi \subseteq \text{fv } \varphi \wedge (\text{is\_constraint } \psi \text{ of } \text{Formula.Neg } \psi' \Rightarrow \text{mmonitorable\_exec } \psi' \mid \_ \Rightarrow \text{False}))$ )
| mmonitorable_exec (Formula.Ands l) = ( $\text{let } (pos, neg) = \text{partition mmonitorable\_exec } l \text{ in } pos \neq [] \wedge \text{list\_all mmonitorable\_exec } (\text{map remove\_neg } neg) \wedge \bigcup(\text{set } (\text{map fv neg})) \subseteq \bigcup(\text{set } (\text{map fv pos}))$ )
| mmonitorable_exec (Formula.Exists  $\varphi$ ) = ( $\text{mmonitorable\_exec } \varphi$ )
| mmonitorable_exec (Formula.Agg y w b f  $\varphi$ ) = ( $\text{mmonitorable\_exec } \varphi \wedge y + b \notin \text{Formula.fv } \varphi \wedge \{0..<b\} \subseteq \text{Formula.fv } \varphi \wedge \text{Formula.fv\_trm } f \subseteq \text{Formula.fv } \varphi$ )
| mmonitorable_exec (Formula.Prev I  $\varphi$ ) = ( $\text{mmonitorable\_exec } \varphi$ )
| mmonitorable_exec (Formula.Next I  $\varphi$ ) = ( $\text{mmonitorable\_exec } \varphi$ )
| mmonitorable_exec (Formula.Since  $\varphi$  I  $\psi$ ) = ( $\text{Formula.fv } \varphi \subseteq \text{Formula.fv } \psi \wedge (\text{mmonitorable\_exec } \varphi \vee (\text{case } \varphi \text{ of } \text{Formula.Neg } \varphi' \Rightarrow \text{mmonitorable\_exec } \varphi' \mid \_ \Rightarrow \text{False})) \wedge \text{mmonitorable\_exec } \psi$ )
| mmonitorable_exec (Formula.Until  $\varphi$  I  $\psi$ ) = ( $\text{Formula.fv } \varphi \subseteq \text{Formula.fv } \psi \wedge \text{right } I \neq \infty \wedge (\text{mmonitorable\_exec } \varphi \vee (\text{case } \varphi \text{ of } \text{Formula.Neg } \varphi' \Rightarrow \text{mmonitorable\_exec } \varphi' \mid \_ \Rightarrow \text{False})) \wedge \text{mmonitorable\_exec } \psi$ )
| mmonitorable_exec (Formula.MatchP I r) = ( $\text{Regex.safe\_regex } \text{Formula.fv } (\lambda g. \varphi. \text{mmonitorable\_exec } \varphi \vee (g = \text{Lax} \wedge (\text{case } \varphi \text{ of } \text{Formula.Neg } \varphi' \Rightarrow \text{mmonitorable\_exec } \varphi' \mid \_ \Rightarrow \text{False}))) \text{ Past Strict } r$ )
| mmonitorable_exec (Formula.MatchF I r) = ( $(\text{Regex.safe\_regex } \text{Formula.fv } (\lambda g. \varphi. \text{mmonitorable\_exec } \varphi \vee (g = \text{Lax} \wedge (\text{case } \varphi \text{ of } \text{Formula.Neg } \varphi' \Rightarrow \text{mmonitorable\_exec } \varphi' \mid \_ \Rightarrow \text{False}))) \text{ Futu Strict } r \wedge \text{right } I \neq \infty)$ )
| mmonitorable_exec _ = False

```

lemma cases_Neg_iff:

```
(case  $\varphi$  of formula.Neg  $\psi$   $\Rightarrow P \psi \mid \_ \Rightarrow \text{False}$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists \psi. \varphi = \text{formula.Neg } \psi \wedge P \psi$ )
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma safe_formula_mmonitorable_exec: safe_formula $\varphi \Rightarrow \text{Formula.future_bounded } \varphi \Rightarrow \text{mmonitorable_exec } \varphi$
⟨proof⟩

lemma safe_assignment_future_bounded: safe_assignment X $\varphi \Rightarrow \text{Formula.future_bounded } \varphi$
⟨proof⟩

lemma is_constraint_future_bounded: is_constraint $\varphi \Rightarrow \text{Formula.future_bounded } \varphi$
⟨proof⟩

lemma mmonitorable_exec_mmonitorable: mmonitorable_exec $\varphi \Rightarrow \text{mmonitorable } \varphi$
⟨proof⟩

lemma monitorable_formula_code[code]: monitorable $\varphi = \text{mmonitorable_exec } \varphi$
⟨proof⟩

6.2 Handling regular expressions

```
datatype mregex =
MSkip nat
| MTestPos nat
| MTestNeg nat
```

```

| MPlus mregex mregex
| MTimes mregex mregex
| MStar mregex

primrec ok where
  ok _ (MSkip n) = True
| ok m (MTestPos n) = (n < m)
| ok m (MTestNeg n) = (n < m)
| ok m (MPlus r s) = (ok m r ∧ ok m s)
| ok m (MTimes r s) = (ok m r ∧ ok m s)
| ok m (MStar r) = ok m r

primrec from_mregex where
  from_mregex (MSkip n) _ = Regex.Skip n
| from_mregex (MTestPos n) φs = Regex.Test (φs ! n)
| from_mregex (MTestNeg n) φs = (if safe_formula (Formula.Neg (φs ! n))
  then Regex.Test (Formula.Neg (Formula.Neg (Formula.Neg (φs ! n)))))
  else Regex.Test (Formula.Neg (φs ! n)))
| from_mregex (MPlus r s) φs = Regex.Plus (from_mregex r φs) (from_mregex s φs)
| from_mregex (MTimes r s) φs = Regex.Times (from_mregex r φs) (from_mregex s φs)
| from_mregex (MStar r) φs = Regex.Star (from_mregex r φs)

primrec to_mregex_exec where
  to_mregex_exec (Regex.Skip n) xs = (MSkip n, xs)
| to_mregex_exec (Regex.Test φ) xs = (if safe_formula φ then (MTestPos (length xs), xs @ [φ])
  else case φ of Formula.Neg φ' ⇒ (MTestNeg (length xs), xs @ [φ']) | _ ⇒ (MSkip 0, xs))
| to_mregex_exec (Regex.Plus r s) xs =
  (let (mr, ys) = to_mregex_exec r xs; (ms, zs) = to_mregex_exec s ys
  in (MPlus mr ms, zs))
| to_mregex_exec (Regex.Times r s) xs =
  (let (mr, ys) = to_mregex_exec r xs; (ms, zs) = to_mregex_exec s ys
  in (MTimes mr ms, zs))
| to_mregex_exec (Regex.Star r) xs =
  (let (mr, ys) = to_mregex_exec r xs in (MStar mr, ys))

primrec shift where
  shift (MSkip n) k = MSkip n
| shift (MTestPos i) k = MTestPos (i + k)
| shift (MTestNeg i) k = MTestNeg (i + k)
| shift (MPlus r s) k = MPlus (shift r k) (shift s k)
| shift (MTimes r s) k = MTimes (shift r k) (shift s k)
| shift (MStar r) k = MStar (shift r k)

primrec to_mregex where
  to_mregex (Regex.Skip n) = (MSkip n, [])
| to_mregex (Regex.Test φ) = (if safe_formula φ then (MTestPos 0, [φ])
  else case φ of Formula.Neg φ' ⇒ (MTestNeg 0, [φ']) | _ ⇒ (MSkip 0, []))
| to_mregex (Regex.Plus r s) =
  (let (mr, ys) = to_mregex r; (ms, zs) = to_mregex s
  in (MPlus mr (shift ms (length ys)), ys @ zs))
| to_mregex (Regex.Times r s) =
  (let (mr, ys) = to_mregex r; (ms, zs) = to_mregex s
  in (MTimes mr (shift ms (length ys)), ys @ zs))
| to_mregex (Regex.Star r) =
  (let (mr, ys) = to_mregex r in (MStar mr, ys))

lemma shift_0: shift r 0 = r
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma shift_shift: shift (shift r k) j = shift r (k + j)
  <proof>

lemma to_mregex_to_mregex_exec:
  case to_mregex r of (mr, φs) ⇒ to_mregex_exec r xs = (shift mr (length xs), xs @ φs)
  <proof>

lemma to_mregex_to_mregex_exec_Nil[code]: to_mregex r = to_mregex_exec r []
  <proof>

lemma ok_mono: ok m mr ⇒ m ≤ n ⇒ ok n mr
  <proof>

lemma from_mregex_cong: ok m mr ⇒ ( $\forall i < m. \text{xs} ! i = \text{ys} ! i$ ) ⇒ from_mregex mr xs = from_mregex mr ys
  <proof>

lemma not_Neg_cases:
  ( $\forall \psi. \varphi \neq \text{Formula.Neg } \psi$ ) ⇒ (case  $\varphi$  of formula.Neg  $\psi$  ⇒  $f \psi \mid \_ \Rightarrow x$ ) =  $x$ 
  <proof>

lemma to_mregex_exec_ok:
  to_mregex_exec r xs = (mr, ys) ⇒  $\exists \text{zs}. \text{ys} = \text{xs} @ \text{zs} \wedge \text{set zs} = \text{atms r} \wedge \text{ok} (\text{length ys}) \text{ mr}$ 
  <proof>

lemma ok_shift: ok (i + m) (Monitor.shift r i) ←→ ok m r
  <proof>

lemma to_mregex_ok: to_mregex r = (mr, ys) ⇒ set ys = atms r ∧ ok (length ys) mr
  <proof>

lemma from_mregex_shift: from_mregex (shift r (length xs)) (xs @ ys) = from_mregex r ys
  <proof>

lemma from_mregex_to_mregex: safe_regex m g r ⇒ case_prod from_mregex (to_mregex r) = r
  <proof>

lemma from_mregex_eq: safe_regex m g r ⇒ to_mregex r = (mr, φs) ⇒ from_mregex mr φs = r
  <proof>

lemma from_mregex_to_mregex_exec: safe_regex m g r ⇒ case_prod from_mregex (to_mregex_exec r xs) = r
  <proof>

```

derive linorder mregex

6.2.1 LPD

definition saturate where
 $\text{saturate } f = \text{while } (\lambda S. f S \neq S) f$

lemma saturate_code[code]:
 $\text{saturate } f S = (\text{let } S' = f S \text{ in if } S' = S \text{ then } S \text{ else saturate } f S')$
<proof>

definition MTimesL *r S* = *MTimes r ` S*
definition MTimesR *R s* = ($\lambda r. \text{MTimes } r s$) ` *R*

```

primrec LPD where
  LPD (MSkip n) = (case n of 0 => {} | Suc m => {MSkip m})
| LPD (MTestPos φ) = {}
| LPD (MTestNeg φ) = {}
| LPD (MPlus r s) = (LPD r ∪ LPD s)
| LPD (MTimes r s) = MTimesR (LPD r) s ∪ LPD s
| LPD (MStar r) = MTimesR (LPD r) (MStar r)

primrec LPDi where
  LPDi 0 r = {r}
| LPDi (Suc i) r = (⋃ s ∈ LPD r. LPDi i s)

lemma LPDi_Suc_alt: LPDi (Suc i) r = (⋃ s ∈ LPDi i r. LPD s)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition LPDs r = (⋃ i. LPDi i r)

lemma LPDs_refl: r ∈ LPDs r
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma LPDs_trans: r ∈ LPD s ==> s ∈ LPDs t ==> r ∈ LPDs t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma LPDi_Test:
  LPDi i (MSkip 0) ⊆ {MSkip 0}
  LPDi i (MTestPos φ) ⊆ {MTestPos φ}
  LPDi i (MTestNeg φ) ⊆ {MTestNeg φ}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma LPDs_Test:
  LPDs (MSkip 0) ⊆ {MSkip 0}
  LPDs (MTestPos φ) ⊆ {MTestPos φ}
  LPDs (MTestNeg φ) ⊆ {MTestNeg φ}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma LPDi_MSKip: LPDi i (MSkip n) ⊆ MSkip ` {i. i ≤ n}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma LPDs_MSKip: LPDs (MSkip n) ⊆ MSkip ` {i. i ≤ n}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma LPDi_Plus: LPDi i (MPlus r s) ⊆ {MPlus r s} ∪ LPDi i r ∪ LPDi i s
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma LPDs_Plus: LPDs (MPlus r s) ⊆ {MPlus r s} ∪ LPDs r ∪ LPDs s
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma LPDi_Times:
  LPDi i (MTimes r s) ⊆ {MTimes r s} ∪ MTimesR (⋃ j ≤ i. LPDi j r) s ∪ (⋃ j ≤ i. LPDi j s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma LPDs_Times: LPDs (MTimes r s) ⊆ {MTimes r s} ∪ MTimesR (LPDs r) s ∪ LPDs s
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma LPDi_Star: j ≤ i ==> LPDi j (MStar r) ⊆ {MStar r} ∪ MTimesR (⋃ j ≤ i. LPDi j r) (MStar r)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma LPDs_Star: LPDs (MStar r) ⊆ {MStar r} ∪ MTimesR (LPDs r) (MStar r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite_LPDs: finite (LPDs r)
  ⟨proof⟩

context begin

private abbreviation (input) addLPD r ≡ λS. insert r S ∪ Set.bind (insert r S) LPD

private lemma mono_addLPD: mono (addLPD r)
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma LPDs_aux1: lfp (addLPD r) ⊆ LPDs r
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma LPDs_aux2: LPDi i r ⊆ lfp (addLPD r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma LPDs_alt: LPDs r = lfp (addLPD r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma LPDs_code[code]:
  LPDs r = saturate (addLPD r) {}
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

6.2.2 RPD

```

primrec RPD where
  RPD (MSkip n) = (case n of 0 ⇒ {} | Suc m ⇒ {MSkip m})
  | RPD (MTestPos φ) = {}
  | RPD (MTestNeg φ) = {}
  | RPD (MPlus r s) = (RPD r ∪ RPD s)
  | RPD (MTimes r s) = MTimesL r (RPD s) ∪ RPD r
  | RPD (MStar r) = MTimesL (MStar r) (RPD r)

primrec RPDi where
  RPDi 0 r = {r}
  | RPDi (Suc i) r = (⋃ s ∈ RPD r. RPDi i s)

lemma RPDi_Suc_alt: RPDi (Suc i) r = (⋃ s ∈ RPDi i r. RPD s)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition RPDs r = (⋃ i. RPDi i r)

lemma RPDs_refl: r ∈ RPDs r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma RPDs_trans: r ∈ RPD s ⇒ s ∈ RPD t ⇒ r ∈ RPDs t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma RPDi_Test:
  RPDi i (MSkip 0) ⊆ {MSkip 0}
  RPDi i (MTestPos φ) ⊆ {MTestPos φ}
  RPDi i (MTestNeg φ) ⊆ {MTestNeg φ}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma RPDs_Test:
  RPDs (MSkip 0) ⊆ {MSkip 0}
  RPDs (MTestPos φ) ⊆ {MTestPos φ}
  RPDs (MTestNeg φ) ⊆ {MTestNeg φ}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma RPDi_MSKip: RPDi i (MSkip n) ⊆ MSkip ‘{i. i ≤ n}
⟨proof⟩

lemma RPDs_MSKip: RPDs (MSkip n) ⊆ MSkip ‘{i. i ≤ n}
⟨proof⟩

lemma RPDi_Plus: RPDi i (MPlus r s) ⊆ {MPlus r s} ∪ RPDi i r ∪ RPDi i s
⟨proof⟩

lemma RPDi_Suc_RPD_Plus:
  RPDi (Suc i) r ⊆ RPDs (MPlus r s)
  RPDi (Suc i) s ⊆ RPDs (MPlus r s)
⟨proof⟩

lemma RPDs_Plus: RPDs (MPlus r s) ⊆ {MPlus r s} ∪ RPDs r ∪ RPDs s
⟨proof⟩

lemma RPDi_Times:
  RPDi i (MTimes r s) ⊆ {MTimes r s} ∪ MTimesL r (⋃ j ≤ i. RPDi j s) ∪ (⋃ j ≤ i. RPDi j r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma RPDs_Times: RPDs (MTimes r s) ⊆ {MTimes r s} ∪ MTimesL r (RPDs s) ∪ RPDs r
⟨proof⟩

lemma RPDi_Star: j ≤ i ⇒ RPDi j (MStar r) ⊆ {MStar r} ∪ MTimesL (MStar r) (⋃ j ≤ i. RPDi j r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma RPDs_Star: RPDs (MStar r) ⊆ {MStar r} ∪ MTimesL (MStar r) (RPDs r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma finite_RPDs: finite (RPDs r)
⟨proof⟩

context begin

private abbreviation (input) addRPD r ≡ λS. insert r S ∪ Set.bind (insert r S) RPD

private lemma mono_addRPD: mono (addRPD r)
⟨proof⟩ lemma RPDs_aux1: lfp (addRPD r) ⊆ RPDs r
⟨proof⟩ lemma RPDs_aux2: RPDi i r ⊆ lfp (addRPD r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma RPDs_alt: RPDs r = lfp (addRPD r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma RPDs_code[code]:
  RPDs r = saturate (addRPD r) {}
⟨proof⟩

end

```

6.3 The executable monitor

type_ssynonym ts = nat

type_ssynonym 'a mbuf2 = 'a table list × 'a table list

```

type_synonym 'a mbufn = 'a table list list
type_synonym 'a msaux = (ts × 'a table) list
type_synonym 'a muaux = (ts × 'a table × 'a table) list
type_synonym 'a mrδaux = (ts × (mregex, 'a table) mapping) list
type_synonym 'a mlδaux = (ts × 'a table list × 'a table) list

datatype mconstraint = MEq | MLess | MLessEq

record args =
  args_ivl :: I
  args_n :: nat
  args_L :: nat set
  args_R :: nat set
  args_pos :: bool

datatype (dead 'msaux, dead 'muaux) mformula =
  MRel event_data table
  | MPred Formula.name Formula.trm list
  | MLet Formula.name nat ('msaux, 'muaux) mformula ('msaux, 'muaux) mformula
  | MAnd nat set ('msaux, 'muaux) mformula bool nat set ('msaux, 'muaux) mformula event_data mbuf2
  | MAndAssign ('msaux, 'muaux) mformula nat × Formula.trm
  | MAndRel ('msaux, 'muaux) mformula Formula.trm × bool × mconstraint × Formula.trm
  | MAnds nat set list nat set list ('msaux, 'muaux) mformula list event_data mbufn
  | MOOr ('msaux, 'muaux) mformula ('msaux, 'muaux) mformula event_data mbuf2
  | MNeg ('msaux, 'muaux) mformula
  | MExists ('msaux, 'muaux) mformula
  | MAgg bool nat Formula.agg_op nat Formula.trm ('msaux, 'muaux) mformula
  | MPrev I ('msaux, 'muaux) mformula bool event_data table list ts list
  | MNext I ('msaux, 'muaux) mformula bool ts list
  | MSince args ('msaux, 'muaux) mformula ('msaux, 'muaux) mformula event_data mbuf2 ts list 'msaux
  | MUntil args ('msaux, 'muaux) mformula ('msaux, 'muaux) mformula event_data mbuf2 ts list 'muaux
  | MMatchP I mregex mregex list ('msaux, 'muaux) mformula list event_data mbufn ts list event_data
    mrδaux
  | MMatchF I mregex mregex list ('msaux, 'muaux) mformula list event_data mbufn ts list event_data
    mlδaux

record ('msaux, 'muaux) mstate =
  mstate_i :: nat
  mstate_m :: ('msaux, 'muaux) mformula
  mstate_n :: nat

fun eq_rel :: nat ⇒ Formula.trm ⇒ Formula.trm ⇒ event_data table where
  eq_rel n (Formula.Const x) (Formula.Const y) = (if x = y then unit_table n else empty_table)
  | eq_rel n (Formula.Var x) (Formula.Var y) = singleton_table n x y
  | eq_rel n (Formula.Const x) (Formula.Var y) = singleton_table n y x
  | eq_rel n _ _ = undefined

lemma regex_atms_size: x ∈ regex.atms r ⇒ size x < regex.size_regex size r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma atms_size:
  assumes x ∈ atms r
  shows size x < Regex.size_regex size r
  ⟨proof⟩

definition init_args :: I ⇒ nat ⇒ nat set ⇒ nat set ⇒ bool ⇒ args where
  init_args I n L R pos = (args_ivl = I, args_n = n, args_L = L, args_R = R, args_pos = pos)

```

```

locale msaux =
fixes valid_msaux :: args ⇒ ts ⇒ 'msaux ⇒ event_data msaux ⇒ bool
and init_msaux :: args ⇒ 'msaux
and add_new_ts_msaux :: args ⇒ ts ⇒ 'msaux ⇒ 'msaux
and join_msaux :: args ⇒ event_data table ⇒ 'msaux ⇒ 'msaux
and add_new_table_msaux :: args ⇒ event_data table ⇒ 'msaux ⇒ 'msaux
and result_msaux :: args ⇒ 'msaux ⇒ event_data table
assumes valid_init_msaux: L ⊆ R ==>
  valid_msaux (init_args I n L R pos) 0 (init_msaux (init_args I n L R pos)) []
assumes valid_add_new_ts_msaux: valid_msaux args cur aux auxlist ==> nt ≥ cur ==>
  valid_msaux args nt (add_new_ts_msaux args nt aux)
  (filter (λ(t, rel). enat (nt - t) ≤ right (args_ivl args)) auxlist)
assumes valid_join_msaux: valid_msaux args cur aux auxlist ==>
  table (args_n args) (args_L args) rel1 ==>
  valid_msaux args cur (join_msaux args rel1 aux)
  (map (λ(t, rel). (t, join rel (args_pos args) rel1)) auxlist)
assumes valid_add_new_table_msaux: valid_msaux args cur aux auxlist ==>
  table (args_n args) (args_R args) rel2 ==>
  valid_msaux args cur (add_new_table_msaux args rel2 aux)
  (case auxlist of
    [] => [(cur, rel2)]
    | ((t, y) # ts) => if t = cur then (t, y ∪ rel2) # ts else (cur, rel2) # auxlist)
  and valid_result_msaux: valid_msaux args cur aux auxlist ==> result_msaux args aux =
    foldr (UNION) [rel. (t, rel) ← auxlist, left (args_ivl args) ≤ cur - t] {}

fun check_before :: I ⇒ ts ⇒ (ts × 'a × 'b) ⇒ bool where
  check_before I dt (t, a, b) ↔ enat t + right I < enat dt

fun proj_thd :: ('a × 'b × 'c) ⇒ 'c where
  proj_thd (t, a1, a2) = a2

definition update_until :: args ⇒ event_data table ⇒ event_data table ⇒ ts ⇒ event_data muaux ⇒
event_data muaux where
  update_until args rel1 rel2 nt aux =
    (map (λx. case x of (t, a1, a2) ⇒ (t, if (args_pos args) then join a1 True rel1 else a1 ∪ rel1,
      if mem (nt - t) (args_ivl args) then a2 ∪ join rel2 (args_pos args) a1 else a2)) aux) @
    [(nt, rel1, if left (args_ivl args) = 0 then rel2 else empty_table)]]

lemma map_proj_thd_update_until: map proj_thd (takeWhile (check_before (args_ivl args) nt) auxlist) =
= map proj_thd (takeWhile (check_before (args_ivl args) nt) (update_until args rel1 rel2 nt auxlist))
⟨proof⟩

fun eval_until :: I ⇒ ts ⇒ event_data muaux ⇒ event_data table list × event_data muaux where
  eval_until I nt [] = ([], [])
  | eval_until I nt ((t, a1, a2) # aux) = (if t + right I < nt then
    (let (xs, aux) = eval_until I nt aux in (a2 # xs, aux)) else ([], (t, a1, a2) # aux))

lemma eval_until_length:
  eval_until I nt auxlist = (res, auxlist') ==> length auxlist = length res + length auxlist'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eval_until_res: eval_until I nt auxlist = (res, auxlist') ==>
  res = map proj_thd (takeWhile (check_before I nt) auxlist)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eval_until_auxlist': eval_until I nt auxlist = (res, auxlist') ==>
  auxlist' = drop (length res) auxlist

```

(proof)

```

locale muaux =
  fixes valid_muaux :: args ⇒ ts ⇒ 'muaux ⇒ event_data muaux ⇒ bool
  and init_muaux :: args ⇒ 'muaux
  and add_new_muaux :: args ⇒ event_data table ⇒ event_data table ⇒ ts ⇒ 'muaux ⇒ 'muaux
  and length_muaux :: args ⇒ 'muaux ⇒ nat
  and eval_muaux :: args ⇒ ts ⇒ 'muaux ⇒ event_data table list × 'muaux
assumes valid_init_muaux: L ⊆ R ==>
  valid_muaux (init_args I n L R pos) 0 (init_muaux (init_args I n L R pos)) []
assumes valid_add_new_muaux: valid_muaux args cur aux auxlist ==>
  table (args_n args) (args_L args) rel1 ==>
  table (args_n args) (args_R args) rel2 ==>
  nt ≥ cur ==>
  valid_muaux args nt (add_new_muaux args rel1 rel2 nt aux)
  (update_until args rel1 rel2 nt auxlist)
assumes valid_length_muaux: valid_muaux args cur aux auxlist ==> length_muaux args aux = length auxlist
assumes valid_eval_muaux: valid_muaux args cur aux auxlist ==> nt ≥ cur ==>
  eval_muaux args nt aux = (res, aux') ==> eval_until (args_ivl args) nt auxlist = (res', auxlist') ==>
  res = res' ∧ valid_muaux args cur aux' auxlist'

locale maux = msaux valid_msaux init_msaux add_new_ts_msaux join_msaux add_new_table_msaux
result_msaux +
  msaux valid_muaux init_muaux add_new_muaux length_muaux eval_muaux
for valid_msaux :: args ⇒ ts ⇒ 'msaux ⇒ event_data msaux ⇒ bool
  and init_msaux :: args ⇒ 'msaux
  and add_new_ts_msaux :: args ⇒ ts ⇒ 'msaux ⇒ 'msaux
  and join_msaux :: args ⇒ event_data table ⇒ 'msaux ⇒ 'msaux
  and add_new_table_msaux :: args ⇒ event_data table ⇒ 'msaux ⇒ 'msaux
  and result_msaux :: args ⇒ 'msaux ⇒ event_data table
  and valid_msaux :: args ⇒ ts ⇒ 'msaux ⇒ event_data msaux ⇒ bool
  and init_muaux :: args ⇒ 'muaux
  and add_new_muaux :: args ⇒ event_data table ⇒ event_data table ⇒ ts ⇒ 'muaux ⇒ 'muaux
  and length_muaux :: args ⇒ 'muaux ⇒ nat
  and eval_muaux :: args ⇒ nat ⇒ 'muaux ⇒ event_data table list × 'muaux

fun split_assignment :: nat set ⇒ Formula.formula ⇒ nat × Formula.trm where
  split_assignment X (Formula.Eq t1 t2) = (case (t1, t2) of
    (Formula.Var x, Formula.Var y) ⇒ if x ∈ X then (y, t1) else (x, t2)
    | (Formula.Var x, _) ⇒ (x, t2)
    | (_, Formula.Var y) ⇒ (y, t1))
  | split_assignment _ _ = undefined

fun split_constraint :: Formula.formula ⇒ Formula.trm × bool × mconstraint × Formula.trm where
  split_constraint (Formula.Eq t1 t2) = (t1, True, MEq, t2)
  | split_constraint (Formula.Less t1 t2) = (t1, True, MLess, t2)
  | split_constraint (Formula.LessEq t1 t2) = (t1, True, MLessEq, t2)
  | split_constraint (Formula.Neg (Formula.Eq t1 t2)) = (t1, False, MEq, t2)
  | split_constraint (Formula.Neg (Formula.Less t1 t2)) = (t1, False, MLess, t2)
  | split_constraint (Formula.Neg (Formula.LessEq t1 t2)) = (t1, False, MLessEq, t2)
  | split_constraint _ = undefined

function (in maux) (sequential) minit0 :: nat ⇒ Formula.formula ⇒ ('msaux, 'muaux) mformula where
  minit0 n (Formula.Neg φ) = (if fv φ = {} then MNeg (minit0 n φ) else MRel empty_table)
  | minit0 n (Formula.Eq t1 t2) = MRel (eq_rel n t1 t2)
  | minit0 n (Formula.Pred e ts) = MPred e ts
  | minit0 n (Formula.Let p φ ψ) = MLet p (Formula.nfv φ) (minit0 (Formula.nfv φ) φ) (minit0 n ψ)

```

```

| minit0 n (Formula.Or φ ψ) = MOr (minit0 n φ) (minit0 n ψ) ([] [])
| minit0 n (Formula.And φ ψ) = (if safe_assignment (fv φ) ψ then
    MAndAssign (minit0 n φ) (split_assignment (fv φ) ψ)
    else if safe_formula ψ then
        MAnd (fv φ) (minit0 n φ) True (fv ψ) (minit0 n ψ) ([] [])
    else if is_constraint ψ then
        MAndRel (minit0 n φ) (split_constraint ψ)
    else (case ψ of Formula.Neg ψ ⇒
        MAnd (fv φ) (minit0 n φ) False (fv ψ) (minit0 n ψ) ([] [])))
| minit0 n (Formula.Ands l) = (let (pos, neg) = partition safe_formula l in
    let mpos = map (minit0 n) pos in
    let mneg = map (minit0 n) (map remove_neg neg) in
    let vpos = map fv pos in
    let vneg = map fv neg in
        MAnds vpos vneg (mpos @ mneg) (replicate (length l) []))
| minit0 n (Formula.Exists φ) = MExists (minit0 (Suc n) φ)
| minit0 n (Formula.Agg y ω b f φ) = MAgg (fv φ ⊆ {0..<b}) y ω b f (minit0 (b + n) φ)
| minit0 n (Formula.Prev I φ) = MPREV I (minit0 n φ) True [] []
| minit0 n (Formula.Next I φ) = MNext I (minit0 n φ) True []
| minit0 n (Formula.Since I ψ) = (if safe_formula φ
    then MSince (init_args I n (Formula.fv φ) (Formula.fv ψ) True) (minit0 n φ) (minit0 n ψ) ([] [])
    (init_msaux (init_args I n (Formula.fv φ) (Formula.fv ψ) True)))
    else (case φ of
        Formula.Neg φ ⇒ MSince (init_args I n (Formula.fv φ) (Formula.fv ψ) False) (minit0 n φ) (minit0 n ψ) ([] [])
        | _ ⇒ undefined))
| minit0 n (Formula.Until φ I ψ) = (if safe_formula φ
    then MUntil (init_args I n (Formula.fv φ) (Formula.fv ψ) True) (minit0 n φ) (minit0 n ψ) ([] [])
    (init_muaux (init_args I n (Formula.fv φ) (Formula.fv ψ) True)))
    else (case φ of
        Formula.Neg φ ⇒ MUntil (init_args I n (Formula.fv φ) (Formula.fv ψ) False) (minit0 n φ) (minit0 n ψ) ([] [])
        | _ ⇒ undefined))
| minit0 n (Formula.MatchP I r) =
    (let (mr, φs) = to_mregex r
     in MMatchP I mr (sorted_list_of_set (RPDs mr)) (map (minit0 n) φs) (replicate (length φs) []) [] [])
| minit0 n (Formula.MatchF I r) =
    (let (mr, φs) = to_mregex r
     in MMatchF I mr (sorted_list_of_set (LPDs mr)) (map (minit0 n) φs) (replicate (length φs) []) [] [])
| minit0 n _ = undefined
<proof>
termination (in maux)
<proof>

definition (in maux) minit :: Formula.formula ⇒ ('msaux, 'muaux) mstate where
  minit φ = (let n = Formula.nfv φ in (mstate_i = 0, mstate_m = minit0 n φ, mstate_n = n))

definition (in maux) minit_safe where
  minit_safe φ = (if mmonitorable_exec φ then minit φ else undefined)

fun mprev_next :: I ⇒ event_data table list ⇒ ts list ⇒ event_data table list × event_data table list × ts list where
  mprev_next I [] ts = ([] [], [], ts)
  | mprev_next I xs [] = ([] [], xs, [])
  | mprev_next I xs [t] = ([] [], xs, [t])
  | mprev_next I (x # xs) (t # t' # ts) = (let (ys, zs) = mprev_next I xs (t' # ts)
    in ((if mem (t' - t) I then x else empty_table) # ys, zs))

```

```

fun mbuf2_add :: event_data table list  $\Rightarrow$  event_data table list  $\Rightarrow$  event_data mbuf2  $\Rightarrow$  event_data mbuf2 where
  mbuf2_add xs' ys' (xs, ys) = (xs @ xs', ys @ ys')

fun mbuf2_take :: (event_data table  $\Rightarrow$  event_data table  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  event_data mbuf2  $\Rightarrow$  'b list  $\times$  event_data mbuf2 where
  mbuf2_take f (x # xs, y # ys) = (let (zs, buf) = mbuf2_take f (xs, ys) in (f x y # zs, buf))
| mbuf2_take f (xs, ys) = ([], (xs, ys))

fun mbuf2t_take :: (event_data table  $\Rightarrow$  event_data table  $\Rightarrow$  ts  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$ 
  event_data mbuf2  $\Rightarrow$  ts list  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\times$  event_data mbuf2  $\times$  ts list where
  mbuf2t_take f z (x # xs, y # ys) (t # ts) = mbuf2t_take f (f x y t z) (xs, ys) ts
| mbuf2t_take f z (xs, ys) ts = (z, (xs, ys), ts)

lemma size_list_length_diff1: xs  $\neq$  []  $\Rightarrow$  []  $\notin$  set xs  $\Rightarrow$ 
  size_list ( $\lambda$ xs. length xs - Suc 0) xs < size_list length xs
{proof}

fun mbufn_add :: event_data table list list  $\Rightarrow$  event_data mbufn  $\Rightarrow$  event_data mbufn where
  mbufn_add xs' xs = List.map2 (@) xs xs'

function mbufn_take :: (event_data table list  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  event_data mbufn  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\times$  event_data mbufn where
  mbufn_take f z buf = (if buf = []  $\vee$  []  $\in$  set buf then (z, buf)
    else mbufn_take f (f (map hd buf) z) (map tl buf))
{proof}
termination {proof}

fun mbufnt_take :: (event_data table list  $\Rightarrow$  ts  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  'b  $\Rightarrow$  event_data mbufn  $\Rightarrow$  ts list  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\times$  event_data mbufn  $\times$  ts list where
  mbufnt_take f z buf ts =
  (if []  $\in$  set buf  $\vee$  ts = [] then (z, buf, ts)
  else mbufnt_take f (f (map hd buf) (hd ts) z) (map tl buf) (tl ts))

fun match :: Formula.trm list  $\Rightarrow$  event_data list  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\rightarrow$  event_data) option where
  match [] [] = Some Map.empty
| match (Formula.Const x # ts) (y # ys) = (if x = y then match ts ys else None)
| match (Formula.Var x # ts) (y # ys) = (case match ts ys of
  None  $\Rightarrow$  None
  | Some f  $\Rightarrow$  (case f x of
    None  $\Rightarrow$  Some (f(x  $\mapsto$  y))
    | Some z  $\Rightarrow$  if y = z then Some f else None))
| match _ _ = None

fun meval_trm :: Formula.trm  $\Rightarrow$  event_data tuple  $\Rightarrow$  event_data where
  meval_trm (Formula.Var x) v = the (v ! x)
| meval_trm (Formula.Const x) v = x
| meval_trm (Formula.Plus x y) v = meval_trm x v + meval_trm y v
| meval_trm (Formula.Minus x y) v = meval_trm x v - meval_trm y v
| meval_trm (Formula.UMinus x) v = - meval_trm x v
| meval_trm (Formula.Mult x y) v = meval_trm x v * meval_trm y v
| meval_trm (Formula.Div x y) v = meval_trm x v div meval_trm y v
| meval_trm (Formula.Mod x y) v = meval_trm x v mod meval_trm y v
| meval_trm (Formula.F2i x) v = EInt (integer_of_event_data (meval_trm x v))
| meval_trm (Formula.I2f x) v = EFfloat (double_of_event_data (meval_trm x v))

definition eval_agg :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  Formula.agg_op  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  Formula.trm  $\Rightarrow$ 
  event_data table  $\Rightarrow$  event_data table where

```

```

eval_agg n g0 y ω b f rel = (if g0 ∧ rel = empty_table
  then singleton_table n y (eval_agg_op ω {})
  else (λk.
    let group = Set.filter (λx. drop b x = k) rel;
    M = (λy. (y, ecard (Set.filter (λx. meval_trm f x = y) group))) ‘ meval_trm f ‘ group
    in k[y:=Some (eval_agg_op ω M)])) ‘ (drop b) ‘ rel)

definition (in maux) update_since :: args ⇒ event_data table ⇒ event_data table ⇒ ts ⇒
'msaux ⇒ event_data table × 'msaux where
update_since args rel1 rel2 nt aux =
(let aux0 = join_msaux args rel1 (add_new_ts_msaux args nt aux);
 aux' = add_new_table_msaux args rel2 aux0
 in (result_msaux args aux', aux'))

definition lookup = Mapping.lookup_default empty_table

fun ε_lax where
ε_lax guard φs (MSkip n) = (if n = 0 then guard else empty_table)
| ε_lax guard φs (MTestPos i) = join guard True (φs ! i)
| ε_lax guard φs (MTestNeg i) = join guard False (φs ! i)
| ε_lax guard φs (MPlus r s) = ε_lax guard φs r ∪ ε_lax guard φs s
| ε_lax guard φs (MTimes r s) = join (ε_lax guard φs r) True (ε_lax guard φs s)
| ε_lax guard φs (MStar r) = guard

fun rε_strict where
rε_strict n φs (MSkip m) = (if m = 0 then unit_table n else empty_table)
| rε_strict n φs (MTestPos i) = φs ! i
| rε_strict n φs (MTestNeg i) = (if φs ! i = empty_table then unit_table n else empty_table)
| rε_strict n φs (MPlus r s) = rε_strict n φs r ∪ rε_strict n φs s
| rε_strict n φs (MTimes r s) = ε_lax (rε_strict n φs r) φs s
| rε_strict n φs (MStar r) = unit_table n

fun lε_strict where
lε_strict n φs (MSkip m) = (if m = 0 then unit_table n else empty_table)
| lε_strict n φs (MTestPos i) = φs ! i
| lε_strict n φs (MTestNeg i) = (if φs ! i = empty_table then unit_table n else empty_table)
| lε_strict n φs (MPlus r s) = lε_strict n φs r ∪ lε_strict n φs s
| lε_strict n φs (MTimes r s) = ε_lax (lε_strict n φs s) φs r
| lε_strict n φs (MStar r) = unit_table n

fun rδ :: (mregex ⇒ mregex) ⇒ (mregex, 'a table) mapping ⇒ 'a table list ⇒ mregex ⇒ 'a table where
rδ κ X φs (MSkip n) = (case n of 0 ⇒ empty_table | Suc m ⇒ lookup X (κ (MSkip m)))
| rδ κ X φs (MTestPos i) = empty_table
| rδ κ X φs (MTestNeg i) = empty_table
| rδ κ X φs (MPlus r s) = rδ κ X φs r ∪ rδ κ X φs s
| rδ κ X φs (MTimes r s) = rδ (λt. κ (MTimes r t)) X φs s ∪ ε_lax (rδ κ X φs r) φs s
| rδ κ X φs (MStar r) = rδ (λt. κ (MTimes (MStar r) t)) X φs r

fun lδ :: (mregex ⇒ mregex) ⇒ (mregex, 'a table) mapping ⇒ 'a table list ⇒ mregex ⇒ 'a table where
lδ κ X φs (MSkip n) = (case n of 0 ⇒ empty_table | Suc m ⇒ lookup X (κ (MSkip m)))
| lδ κ X φs (MTestPos i) = empty_table
| lδ κ X φs (MTestNeg i) = empty_table
| lδ κ X φs (MPlus r s) = lδ κ X φs r ∪ lδ κ X φs s
| lδ κ X φs (MTimes r s) = lδ (λt. κ (MTimes t s)) X φs r ∪ ε_lax (lδ κ X φs s) φs r
| lδ κ X φs (MStar r) = lδ (λt. κ (MTimes t (MStar r))) X φs r

lift_definition mrtabulate :: mregex list ⇒ (mregex ⇒ 'b table) ⇒ (mregex, 'b table) mapping
  is λks f. (map_of (List.map_filter (λk. let fk = f k in if fk = empty_table then None else Some (k,

```

```

fk)) ks)) ⟨proof⟩

lemma lookup_tabulate:
distinct xs  $\implies$  lookup (mrtabulate xs f) x = (if x ∈ set xs then f x else empty_table)
⟨proof⟩

definition update_matchP :: nat  $\Rightarrow$   $\mathcal{I}$   $\Rightarrow$  mregex  $\Rightarrow$  mregex list  $\Rightarrow$  event_data table list  $\Rightarrow$  ts  $\Rightarrow$ 
event_data mrδaux  $\Rightarrow$  event_data table  $\times$  event_data mrδaux where
update_matchP n I mr mrs rels nt aux =

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{(let } aux = (\text{case } [(t, mrtabulate mrs (\lambda mr.} \\ & \quad r\delta id rel rels mr \cup (\text{if } t = nt \text{ then } re\_\text{strict } n rels mr \text{ else } \{\}))).} \\ & \quad (t, rel) \leftarrow aux, enat (nt - t) \leq right I] \\ & \quad \text{of } [] \Rightarrow [(nt, mrtabulate mrs (re\_\text{strict } n rels))] \\ & \quad | x \# aux' \Rightarrow (\text{if } fst x = nt \text{ then } x \# aux' \\ & \quad \quad \quad \text{else } (nt, mrtabulate mrs (re\_\text{strict } n rels)) \# x \# aux') \\ & \quad \text{in } (foldr (\cup) [lookup rel mr. (t, rel) \leftarrow aux, left I \leq nt - t] \{\}, aux)) \end{aligned}$$


definition update_matchF_base where
update_matchF_base n I mr mrs rels nt =

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{(let } X = mrtabulate mrs (l\varepsilon\_\text{strict } n rels)} \\ & \text{in }([(nt, rels, if left I = 0 then lookup X mr else empty_table)], X)) \end{aligned}$$


definition update_matchF_step where
update_matchF_step I mr mrs nt =  $(\lambda(t, rels', rel) (aux', X).$ 

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{(let } Y = mrtabulate mrs (l\delta id X rels')} \\ & \text{in }((t, rels', \text{if mem } (nt - t) I \text{ then } rel \cup \text{lookup } Y mr \text{ else } rel) \# aux', Y))) \end{aligned}$$


definition update_matchF :: nat  $\Rightarrow$   $\mathcal{I}$   $\Rightarrow$  mregex  $\Rightarrow$  mregex list  $\Rightarrow$  event_data table list  $\Rightarrow$  ts  $\Rightarrow$ 
event_data mlδaux  $\Rightarrow$  event_data mlδaux where
update_matchF n I mr mrs rels nt aux =

$$\text{fst } (foldr (\text{update\_matchF\_step } I mr mrs nt) aux (\text{update\_matchF\_base } n I mr mrs rels nt))$$


fun eval_matchF ::  $\mathcal{I}$   $\Rightarrow$  mregex  $\Rightarrow$  ts  $\Rightarrow$  event_data mlδaux  $\Rightarrow$  event_data table list  $\times$  event_data mlδaux where
eval_matchF I mr nt [] =  $([], [])$ 

$$\begin{aligned} & | \text{eval\_matchF } I \text{ mr nt } ((t, rels, rel) \# aux) = (\text{if } t + right I < nt \text{ then} \\ & \quad \text{let } (xs, aux) = \text{eval\_matchF } I \text{ mr nt aux in } (rel \# xs, aux) \text{ else } ([])) \end{aligned}$$


$$(\text{let } (xs, aux) = \text{eval\_matchF } I \text{ mr nt aux in } (rel \# xs, aux)) \text{ else } ([]))$$


primrec map_split where
map_split f [] =  $([], [])$ 

$$\begin{aligned} & | \text{map\_split } f (x \# xs) = \\ & \quad (\text{let } (y, z) = f x; (ys, zs) = \text{map\_split } f xs \\ & \quad \text{in } (y \# ys, z \# zs)) \end{aligned}$$


fun eval_assignment :: nat  $\times$  Formula.trm  $\Rightarrow$  event_data tuple  $\Rightarrow$  event_data tuple where
eval_assignment (x, t) y =  $(y[x:=\text{Some } (\text{meval\_trm } t y)])$ 

fun eval_constraint0 :: mconstraint  $\Rightarrow$  event_data  $\Rightarrow$  event_data  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
eval_constraint0 MEq x y =  $(x = y)$ 

$$\begin{aligned} & | \text{eval\_constraint0 MLess } x y = (x < y) \\ & | \text{eval\_constraint0 MLessEq } x y = (x \leq y) \end{aligned}$$


fun eval_constraint :: Formula.trm  $\times$  bool  $\times$  mconstraint  $\times$  Formula.trm  $\Rightarrow$  event_data tuple  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
eval_constraint (t1, p, c, t2) x =  $(\text{eval\_constraint0 } c (\text{meval\_trm } t1 x) (\text{meval\_trm } t2 x) = p)$ 

primrec (in maux) meval :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  ts  $\Rightarrow$  Formula.database  $\Rightarrow$  ('msaux, 'muaux) mformula  $\Rightarrow$ 
event_data table list  $\times$  ('msaux, 'muaux) mformula where

```

```

meval n t db (MRel rel) = ([rel], MRel rel)
| meval n t db (MPred e ts) = (map (λX. (λf. Table.tabulate f 0 n) ` Option.some
  (match ts ` X)) (case Mapping.lookup db e of None ⇒ [{}] | Some xs ⇒ xs), MPred e ts)
| meval n t db (MLet p m φ ψ) =
  (let (xs, φ) = meval m t db φ; (ys, ψ) = meval n t (Mapping.update p (map (image (map the)) xs)
db) ψ
  in (ys, MLet p m φ ψ))
| meval n t db (MAnd A_φ φ pos A_ψ ψ buf) =
  (let (xs, φ) = meval n t db φ; (ys, ψ) = meval n t db ψ;
  (zs, buf) = mbuf2_take (λr1 r2. bin_join n A_φ r1 pos A_ψ r2) (mbuf2_add xs ys buf)
  in (zs, MAnd A_φ φ pos A_ψ ψ buf))
| meval n t db (MAndAssign φ conf) =
  (let (xs, φ) = meval n t db φ in (map (λr. eval_assignment conf ` r) xs, MAndAssign φ conf))
| meval n t db (MAndRel φ conf) =
  (let (xs, φ) = meval n t db φ in (map (Set.filter (eval_constraint conf)) xs, MAndRel φ conf))
| meval n t db (MAnds A_pos A_neg L buf) =
  (let R = map (meval n t db) L in
  let buf = mbufn_add (map fst R) buf in
  let (zs, buf) = mbufn_take (λxs zs. zs @ [mmulti_join n A_pos A_neg xs]) [] buf in
  (zs, MAnds A_pos A_neg (map snd R) buf))
| meval n t db (MOr φ ψ buf) =
  (let (xs, φ) = meval n t db φ; (ys, ψ) = meval n t db ψ;
  (zs, buf) = mbuf2_take (λr1 r2. r1 ∪ r2) (mbuf2_add xs ys buf)
  in (zs, MOr φ ψ buf))
| meval n t db (MNeg φ) =
  (let (xs, φ) = meval n t db φ in (map (λr. (if r = empty_table then unit_table n else empty_table)) xs, MNeg φ))
| meval n t db (MExists φ) =
  (let (xs, φ) = meval (Suc n) t db φ in (map (λr. tl ` r) xs, MExists φ))
| meval n t db (MAgg g0 y ω b f φ) =
  (let (xs, φ) = meval (b + n) t db φ in (map (eval_agg n g0 y ω b f) xs, MAgg g0 y ω b f φ))
| meval n t db (MPrev I φ first buf nts) =
  (let (xs, φ) = meval n t db φ;
  (zs, buf, nts) = mprev_next I (buf @ xs) (nts @ [t])
  in (if first then empty_table # zs else zs, MPrec I φ False buf nts))
| meval n t db (MNext I φ first nts) =
  (let (xs, φ) = meval n t db φ;
  (xs, first) = (case (xs, first) of (_ # xs, True) ⇒ (xs, False) | a ⇒ a);
  (zs, _, nts) = mprev_next I xs (nts @ [t])
  in (zs, MNext I φ first nts))
| meval n t db (MSince args φ ψ buf nts aux) =
  (let (xs, φ) = meval n t db φ; (ys, ψ) = meval n t db ψ;
  ((zs, aux), buf, nts) = mbuf2t_take (λr1 r2 t (zs, aux).
    let (z, aux) = update_since args r1 r2 t aux
    in (zs @ [z], aux)) ([][], aux) (mbuf2_add xs ys buf) (nts @ [t])
  in (zs, MSince args φ ψ buf nts aux))
| meval n t db (MUntil args φ ψ buf nts aux) =
  (let (xs, φ) = meval n t db φ; (ys, ψ) = meval n t db ψ;
  (aux, buf, nts) = mbuf2t_take (add_new_muargs args) aux (mbuf2_add xs ys buf) (nts @ [t]);
  (zs, aux) = eval_muargs args (case nts of [] ⇒ t | nt # _ ⇒ nt) aux
  in (zs, MUntil args φ ψ buf nts aux))
| meval n t db (MMatchP I mr mrs φs buf nts aux) =
  (let (xss, φs) = map_split id (map (meval n t db) φs);
  ((zs, aux), buf, nts) = mbufnt_take (λrels t (zs, aux).
    let (z, aux) = update_matchP n I mr mrs rels t aux
    in (zs @ [z], aux)) ([][], aux) (mbufn_add xss buf) (nts @ [t])
  in (zs, MMatchP I mr mrs φs buf nts aux))
| meval n t db (MMatchF I mr mrs φs buf nts aux) =

```

```

(let (xss, φs) = map_split id (map (meval n t db) φs);
  (aux, buf, nts) = mbufn_take (update_matchF n I mr mrs) aux (mbufn_add xss buf) (nts @ [t]);
  (zs, aux) = eval_matchF I mr (case nts of [] ⇒ t | nt # _ ⇒ nt) aux
in (zs, MMatchF I mr mrs φs buf nts aux))

```

```

definition (in maux) mstep :: Formula.database × ts ⇒ ('msaux, 'muaux) mstate ⇒ (nat × event_data
table) list × ('msaux, 'muaux) mstate where
  mstep tdb st =
    (let (xs, m) = meval (mstate_n st) (snd tdb) (fst tdb) (mstate_m st)
     in (List.enumerate (mstate_i st) xs,
          (mstate_i = mstate_i st + length xs, mstate_m = m, mstate_n = mstate_n st)))

```

6.4 Verdict delay

context fixes σ :: *Formula.trace* begin

```

fun progress :: (Formula.name → nat) ⇒ Formula.formula ⇒ nat ⇒ nat where
  progress P (Formula.Pred e ts) j = (case P e of None ⇒ j | Some k ⇒ k)
  | progress P (Formula.Let p φ ψ) j = progress (P(p ↦ progress P φ j)) ψ j
  | progress P (Formula.Eq t1 t2) j = j
  | progress P (Formula.Less t1 t2) j = j
  | progress P (Formula.LessEq t1 t2) j = j
  | progress P (Formula.Neg φ) j = progress P φ j
  | progress P (Formula.Or φ ψ) j = min (progress P φ j) (progress P ψ j)
  | progress P (Formula.And φ ψ) j = min (progress P φ j) (progress P ψ j)
  | progress P (Formula.Ands l) j = (if l = [] then j else Min (set (map (λφ. progress P φ j) l)))
  | progress P (Formula.Exists φ) j = progress P φ j
  | progress P (Formula.Agg y ω b f φ) j = progress P φ j
  | progress P (Formula.Prev I φ) j = (if j = 0 then 0 else min (Suc (progress P φ j)) j)
  | progress P (Formula.Next I φ) j = progress P φ j - 1
  | progress P (Formula.Since φ I ψ) j = min (progress P φ j) (progress P ψ j)
  | progress P (Formula.Until φ I ψ) j =
    Inf {i. ∀k. k < j ∧ k ≤ min (progress P φ j) (progress P ψ j) → τ σ i + right I ≥ τ σ k}
  | progress P (Formula.MatchP I r) j = min_regex_default (progress P) r j
  | progress P (Formula.MatchF I r) j =
    Inf {i. ∀k. k < j ∧ k ≤ min_regex_default (progress P) r j → τ σ i + right I ≥ τ σ k}

```

definition progress_regex P = min_regex_default (progress P)

declare progress.simps[simp del]

lemmas progress.simps[simp] = progress.simps[folded progress_regex_def[THEN fun_cong, THEN fun_cong]]

end

definition pred_mapping Q = pred_fun (λ_. True) (pred_option Q)
 definition rel_mapping Q = rel_fun (=) (rel_option Q)

lemma pred_mapping_alt: pred_mapping Q P = (forall p ∈ dom P. Q (the (P p)))
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma rel_mapping_alt: rel_mapping Q P P' = (dom P = dom P' ∧ (forall p ∈ dom P. Q (the (P p))) (the (P' p)))
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma rel_mapping_map_upd[simp]: Q x y ⇒ rel_mapping Q P P' ⇒ rel_mapping Q (P(p ↦ x))
 (P'(p ↦ y))
 ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma pred_mapping_map_upd[simp]:  $Q \ x \implies \text{pred\_mapping } Q \ P \implies \text{pred\_mapping } Q \ (P(p \mapsto x))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma pred_mapping_empty[simp]:  $\text{pred\_mapping } Q \ \text{Map.empty}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma pred_mapping_mono:  $\text{pred\_mapping } Q \ P \implies Q \leq R \implies \text{pred\_mapping } R \ P$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma pred_mapping_mono_strong:  $\text{pred\_mapping } Q \ P \implies$ 
   $(\bigwedge p. \ p \in \text{dom } P \implies Q(\text{the}(P \ p)) \implies R(\text{the}(P \ p))) \implies \text{pred\_mapping } R \ P$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma progress_mono_gen:  $j \leq j' \implies \text{rel\_mapping } (\leq) \ P \ P' \implies \text{progress } \sigma \ P \ \varphi \ j \leq \text{progress } \sigma \ P' \ \varphi \ j'$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma rel_mapping_refl:  $\text{refl } Q \implies \text{rel\_mapping } Q \ P \ P$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemmas progress_mono = progress_mono_gen[OF _ rel_mapping_refl[unfolded reflp_def], simplified]

lemma progress_le_gen:  $\text{pred\_mapping } (\lambda x. \ x \leq j) \ P \implies \text{progress } \sigma \ P \ \varphi \ j \leq j$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma progress_le:  $\text{progress } \sigma \ \text{Map.empty} \ \varphi \ j \leq j$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma progress_0_gen[simp]:  $\text{pred\_mapping } (\lambda x. \ x = 0) \ P \implies \text{progress } \sigma \ P \ \varphi \ 0 = 0$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma progress_0[simp]:  $\text{progress } \sigma \ \text{Map.empty} \ \varphi \ 0 = 0$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition max_mapping ::  $('b \Rightarrow 'a \text{ option}) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'a \text{ option}) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('a :: \text{linorder}) \text{ option}$  where
   $\text{max\_mapping } P \ P' \ x = (\text{case } (P \ x, P' \ x) \ \text{of}$ 
   $| (\text{None}, \text{None}) \Rightarrow \text{None}$ 
   $| (\text{Some } x, \text{None}) \Rightarrow \text{None}$ 
   $| (\text{None}, \text{Some } x) \Rightarrow \text{None}$ 
   $| (\text{Some } x, \text{Some } y) \Rightarrow \text{Some } (\text{max } x \ y))$ 

definition Max_mapping ::  $('b \Rightarrow 'a \text{ option}) \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('a :: \text{linorder}) \text{ option}$  where
   $\text{Max\_mapping } Ps \ x = (\text{if } (\forall P \in Ps. \ P \ x \neq \text{None}) \text{ then Some } (\text{Max } ((\lambda P. \ \text{the}(P \ x)) ` Ps)) \text{ else None})$ 

lemma dom_max_mapping[simp]:  $\text{dom } (\text{max\_mapping } P1 \ P2) = \text{dom } P1 \cap \text{dom } P2$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma dom_Max_mapping[simp]:  $\text{dom } (\text{Max\_mapping } X) = (\bigcap P \in X. \ \text{dom } P)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma Max_mapping_coboundedI:
  assumes finite  $X \ \forall Q \in X. \ \text{dom } Q = \text{dom } P \ P \in X$ 
  shows  $\text{rel\_mapping } (\leq) \ P \ (\text{Max\_mapping } X)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma rel_mapping_trans:  $P \ OO \ Q \leq R \implies$ 

```

```

rel_mapping P P1 P2 ==> rel_mapping Q P2 P3 ==> rel_mapping R P1 P3
⟨proof⟩

abbreviation range_mapping :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ ('b ⇒ nat option) ⇒ bool where
range_mapping i j P ≡ pred_mapping (λx. i ≤ x ∧ x ≤ j) P

lemma range_mapping_relax:
range_mapping i j P ==> i' ≤ i ==> j' ≥ j ==> range_mapping i' j' P
⟨proof⟩

lemma range_mapping_max_mapping[simp]:
range_mapping i j1 P1 ==> range_mapping i j2 P2 ==> range_mapping i (max j1 j2) (max_mapping
P1 P2)
⟨proof⟩

lemma range_mapping_Max_mapping[simp]:
finite X ==> X ≠ {} ==> ∀x ∈ X. range_mapping i (j x) (P x) ==> range_mapping i (Max (j ` X))
(Max_mapping (P ` X))
⟨proof⟩

lemma pred_mapping_le:
pred_mapping ((≤) i) P1 ==> rel_mapping (≤) P1 P2 ==> pred_mapping ((≤) (i :: nat)) P2
⟨proof⟩

lemma pred_mapping_le':
pred_mapping ((≤) j) P1 ==> i ≤ j ==> rel_mapping (≤) P1 P2 ==> pred_mapping ((≤) (i :: nat))
P2
⟨proof⟩

lemma max_mapping_cobounded1: dom P1 ⊆ dom P2 ==> rel_mapping (≤) P1 (max_mapping P1
P2)
⟨proof⟩

lemma max_mapping_cobounded2: dom P2 ⊆ dom P1 ==> rel_mapping (≤) P2 (max_mapping P1
P2)
⟨proof⟩

lemma max_mapping_fun_upd2[simp]:
(max_mapping P1 (P2(p := y)))(p ↦ x) = (max_mapping P1 P2)(p ↦ x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma rel_mapping_max_mapping_fun_upd: dom P2 ⊆ dom P1 ==> p ∈ dom P2 ==> the (P2 p) ≤
y ==>
rel_mapping (≤) P2 ((max_mapping P1 P2)(p ↦ y))
⟨proof⟩

lemma progress_ge_gen: Formula.future_bounded φ ==>
∃ P j. dom P = S ∧ range_mapping i j P ∧ i ≤ progress σ P φ j
⟨proof⟩

lemma progress_ge: Formula.future_bounded φ ==> ∃ j. i ≤ progress σ Map.empty φ j
⟨proof⟩

lemma cInf_restrict_nat:
fixes x :: nat
assumes x ∈ A
shows Inf A = Inf {y ∈ A. y ≤ x}
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma progress_time_conv:
assumes "i < j. τ σ i = τ σ' i"
shows progress σ P φ j = progress σ' P φ j
⟨proof⟩

lemma Inf_UNIV_nat: (Inf UNIV :: nat) = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma progress_prefix_conv:
assumes prefix_of π σ and prefix_of π σ'
shows progress σ P φ (plen π) = progress σ' P φ (plen π)
⟨proof⟩

lemma bounded_rtranclp_mono:
fixes n :: 'x :: linorder
assumes "i j. R i j ⟹ j < n ⟹ S i j" "i j. R i j ⟹ i ≤ j"
shows rtranclp R i j ⟹ j < n ⟹ rtranclp S i j
⟨proof⟩

lemma sat_prefix_conv_gen:
assumes prefix_of π σ and prefix_of π σ'
shows i < progress σ P φ (plen π) ⟹ dom V = dom V' ⟹ dom P = dom V ⟹
pred_mapping (λx. x ≤ plen π) P ⟹
(λp i φ. p ∈ dom V ⟹ i < the (P p) ⟹ the (V p) i = the (V' p) i) ⟹
Formula.sat σ V v i φ ⟷ Formula.sat σ' V' v i φ
⟨proof⟩

lemma sat_prefix_conv:
assumes prefix_of π σ and prefix_of π σ'
shows i < progress σ Map.empty φ (plen π) ⟹
Formula.sat σ Map.empty v i φ ⟷ Formula.sat σ' Map.empty v i φ
⟨proof⟩

lemma progress_remove_neg[simp]: progress σ P (remove_neg φ) j = progress σ P φ j
⟨proof⟩

lemma safe_progress_get_and: safe_formula φ ⟹
Min ((λφ. progress σ P φ j) ` set (get_and_list φ)) = progress σ P φ j
⟨proof⟩

lemma progress_convert_multiway: safe_formula φ ⟹ progress σ P (convert_multiway φ) j = progress σ P φ j
⟨proof⟩

6.5 Specification

definition pprogress :: Formula.formula ⇒ Formula.prefix ⇒ nat where
pprogress φ π = (THE n. ∀σ. prefix_of π σ → progress σ Map.empty φ (plen π) = n)

lemma pprogress_eq: prefix_of π σ ⟹ pprogress φ π = progress σ Map.empty φ (plen π)
⟨proof⟩

locale future_bounded_mfodl =
fixes φ :: Formula.formula
assumes future_bounded: Formula.future_bounded φ

sublocale future_bounded_mfodl ⊆ sliceable_timed_progress Formula.nfv φ Formula.fv φ relevant_events

```

$$\varphi \\ \lambda\sigma\ v\ i.\ Formula.sat\ \sigma\ Map.empty\ v\ i\ \varphi\ pprogress\ \varphi \\ \langle proof \rangle$$

```
locale verimon_spec =
  fixes  $\varphi :: Formula.formula$ 
  assumes monitorable: mmonitorable  $\varphi$ 

sublocale verimon_spec  $\subseteq$  future_boundeds_mfodl
  ⟨proof⟩
```

6.6 Correctness

6.6.1 Invariants

```
definition wf_mbuf2 :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  event_data table  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  event_data table  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$ 
  event_data mbuf2  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  wf_mbuf2 i ja jb P Q buf  $\longleftrightarrow$  i  $\leq$  ja  $\wedge$  i  $\leq$  jb  $\wedge$  (case buf of (xs, ys)  $\Rightarrow$ 
    list_all2 P [i..<ja] xs  $\wedge$  list_all2 Q [i..<jb] ys)

inductive list_all3 :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'c  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'b list  $\Rightarrow$  'c list  $\Rightarrow$  bool for P :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'c  $\Rightarrow$  bool) where
  list_all3 P [] [] []
| P a1 a2 a3  $\Longrightarrow$  list_all3 P q1 q2 q3  $\Longrightarrow$  list_all3 P (a1 # q1) (a2 # q2) (a3 # q3)

lemma list_all3_list_all2D: list_all3 P xs ys zs  $\Longrightarrow$ 
  (length xs = length ys  $\wedge$  list_all2 (case_prod P) (zip xs ys) zs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma list_all2_list_all3I: length xs = length ys  $\Longrightarrow$  list_all2 (case_prod P) (zip xs ys) zs  $\Longrightarrow$ 
  list_all3 P xs ys zs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma list_all3_list_all2_eq: list_all3 P xs ys zs  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  (length xs = length ys  $\wedge$  list_all2 (case_prod P) (zip xs ys) zs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma list_all3_mapD: list_all3 P (map f xs) (map g ys) (map h zs)  $\Longrightarrow$ 
  list_all3 (λx y z. P (f x) (g y) (h z)) xs ys zs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma list_all3_mapI: list_all3 (λx y z. P (f x) (g y) (h z)) xs ys zs  $\Longrightarrow$ 
  list_all3 P (map f xs) (map g ys) (map h zs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma list_all3_map_iff: list_all3 P (map f xs) (map g ys) (map h zs)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  list_all3 (λx y z. P (f x) (g y) (h z)) xs ys zs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas list_all3_map =
  list_all3_map_if[where g=id and h=id, unfolded list.map_id id_apply]
  list_all3_map_if[where f=id and h=id, unfolded list.map_id id_apply]
  list_all3_map_if[where f=id and g=id, unfolded list.map_id id_apply]

lemma list_all3_conv_all_nth:
  list_all3 P xs ys zs =
  (length xs = length ys  $\wedge$  length ys = length zs  $\wedge$  ( $\forall$  i < length xs. P (xs!i) (ys!i) (zs!i)))
  ⟨proof⟩
```

lemma *list_all3_refl* [intro?]:
 $(\bigwedge x. x \in set xs \Rightarrow P x x x) \Rightarrow list_all3 P xs xs xs$
⟨proof⟩

definition *wf_mbufn* :: $nat \Rightarrow nat list \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow event_data table \Rightarrow bool) list \Rightarrow event_data mbufn \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $wf_mbufn i js Ps buf \longleftrightarrow list_all3 (\lambda P j xs. i \leq j \wedge list_all2 P [i..<j] xs) Ps js buf$

definition *wf_mbuf2'* :: $Formula.trace \Rightarrow _ \Rightarrow _ \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow event_data list set \Rightarrow Formula.formula \Rightarrow Formula.formula \Rightarrow event_data mbuf2 \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $wf_mbuf2' \sigma P V j n R \varphi \psi buf \longleftrightarrow wf_mbuf2 (min (progress \sigma P \varphi j) (progress \sigma P \psi j))$
 $(progress \sigma P \varphi j) (progress \sigma P \psi j)$
 $(\lambda i. qtable n (Formula.fv \varphi) (mem_restr R) (\lambda v. Formula.sat \sigma V (map the v) i \varphi))$
 $(\lambda i. qtable n (Formula.fv \psi) (mem_restr R) (\lambda v. Formula.sat \sigma V (map the v) i \psi)) buf$

definition *wf_mbufn'* :: $Formula.trace \Rightarrow _ \Rightarrow _ \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow event_data list set \Rightarrow Formula.formula Regex.regex \Rightarrow event_data mbufn \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $wf_mbufn' \sigma P V j n R r buf \longleftrightarrow (case to_mregex r of (mr, \varphi s) \Rightarrow$
 $wf_mbufn (progress_regex \sigma P r j) (map (\lambda \varphi. progress \sigma P \varphi j) \varphi s)$
 $(map (\lambda \varphi i. qtable n (Formula.fv \varphi) (mem_restr R) (\lambda v. Formula.sat \sigma V (map the v) i \varphi)) \varphi s)$
 $buf)$

lemma *wf_mbuf2'_UNIV_alt*: $wf_mbuf2' \sigma P V j n UNIV \varphi \psi buf \longleftrightarrow (case buf of (xs, ys) \Rightarrow$
 $list_all2 (\lambda i. wf_table n (Formula.fv \varphi) (\lambda v. Formula.sat \sigma V (map the v) i \varphi))$
 $[min (progress \sigma P \varphi j) (progress \sigma P \psi j) ..< (progress \sigma P \varphi j)] xs \wedge$
 $list_all2 (\lambda i. wf_table n (Formula.fv \psi) (\lambda v. Formula.sat \sigma V (map the v) i \psi))$
 $[min (progress \sigma P \varphi j) (progress \sigma P \psi j) ..< (progress \sigma P \psi j)] ys$
⟨proof⟩

definition *wf_ts* :: $Formula.trace \Rightarrow _ \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow Formula.formula \Rightarrow Formula.formula \Rightarrow ts list \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $wf_ts \sigma P j \varphi \psi ts \longleftrightarrow list_all2 (\lambda i t. t = \tau \sigma i) [min (progress \sigma P \varphi j) (progress \sigma P \psi j)..<j] ts$

definition *wf_ts_regex* :: $Formula.trace \Rightarrow _ \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow Formula.formula Regex.regex \Rightarrow ts list \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $wf_ts_regex \sigma P j r ts \longleftrightarrow list_all2 (\lambda i t. t = \tau \sigma i) [progress_regex \sigma P r j..<j] ts$

abbreviation *Sincep pos* $\varphi I \psi \equiv Formula.Since (if pos then \varphi else Formula.Neg \varphi) I \psi$

definition (**in** *msaux*) *wf_since_aux* :: $Formula.trace \Rightarrow _ \Rightarrow event_data list set \Rightarrow args \Rightarrow Formula.formula \Rightarrow Formula.formula \Rightarrow 'msaux \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $wf_since_aux \sigma V R args \varphi \psi aux ne \longleftrightarrow Formula.fv \varphi \subseteq Formula.fv \psi \wedge (\exists cur auxlist. valid_msaux args cur aux auxlist \wedge$
 $cur = (if ne = 0 then 0 else \tau \sigma (ne - 1)) \wedge$
 $sorted_wrt (\lambda x y. fst x > fst y) auxlist \wedge$
 $(\forall t X. (t, X) \in set auxlist \longrightarrow ne \neq 0 \wedge t \leq \tau \sigma (ne - 1) \wedge \tau \sigma (ne - 1) - t \leq right (args_ivl args) \wedge (\exists i. \tau \sigma i = t) \wedge$
 $qtable (args_n args) (Formula.fv \psi) (mem_restr R) (\lambda v. Formula.sat \sigma V (map the v) (ne-1))$
 $(Sincep (args_pos args) \varphi (point (\tau \sigma (ne - 1) - t) \psi)) X) \wedge$
 $(\forall t. ne \neq 0 \wedge t \leq \tau \sigma (ne - 1) \wedge \tau \sigma (ne - 1) - t \leq right (args_ivl args) \wedge (\exists i. \tau \sigma i = t) \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists X. (t, X) \in set auxlist)))$

definition *wf_matchP_aux* :: $Formula.trace \Rightarrow _ \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow event_data list set \Rightarrow \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow Formula.formula Regex.regex \Rightarrow event_data mr\delta aux \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $wf_matchP_aux \sigma V n R I r aux ne \longleftrightarrow sorted_wrt (\lambda x y. fst x > fst y) aux \wedge$
 $(\forall t X. (t, X) \in set aux \longrightarrow ne \neq 0 \wedge t \leq \tau \sigma (ne-1) \wedge \tau \sigma (ne-1) - t \leq right I \wedge (\exists i. \tau \sigma i = t) \wedge$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\text{case to_mregex } r \text{ of } (mr, \varphi_s) \Rightarrow \\
& (\forall ms \in RPDs mr. qtable n (Formula.fv_regex r) (\text{mem_restr } R) (\lambda v. Formula.sat } \sigma V (\text{map the } v) \\
& (ne-1) \\
& \quad (\text{Formula.MatchP } (\text{point } (\tau \sigma (ne-1) - t)) (\text{from_mregex } ms \varphi_s))) \\
& \quad (\text{lookup } X ms))) \wedge \\
& (\forall t. ne \neq 0 \wedge t \leq \tau \sigma (ne-1) \wedge \tau \sigma (ne-1) - t \leq \text{right } I \wedge (\exists i. \tau \sigma i = t) \longrightarrow \\
& \quad (\exists X. (t, X) \in \text{set aux}))
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *qtable_mem_restr_UNIV*: $qtable n A(\text{mem_restr } UNIV) Q X = wf_table n A Q X$
(proof)

lemma (in msaux) wf_since_aux_UNIV_alt:

$$\begin{aligned}
& wf_since_aux \sigma V UNIV args \varphi \psi aux ne \longleftrightarrow \text{Formula.fv } \varphi \subseteq \text{Formula.fv } \psi \wedge (\exists cur auxlist. \\
& \text{valid_msaux args cur aux auxlist} \wedge \\
& \quad cur = (\text{if } ne = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \tau \sigma (ne - 1)) \wedge \\
& \quad \text{sorted_wrt } (\lambda x y. \text{fst } x > \text{fst } y) auxlist \wedge \\
& \quad (\forall t X. (t, X) \in \text{set auxlist} \longrightarrow ne \neq 0 \wedge t \leq \tau \sigma (ne - 1) \wedge \tau \sigma (ne - 1) - t \leq \text{right } (\text{args_ivl } \\
& \quad \text{args}) \wedge (\exists i. \tau \sigma i = t) \wedge \\
& \quad wf_table (\text{args_n args}) (\text{Formula.fv } \psi) \\
& \quad (\lambda v. \text{Formula.sat } \sigma V (\text{map the } v) (ne - 1) (\text{Sincep } (\text{args_pos args}) \varphi (\text{point } (\tau \sigma (ne - 1) - \\
& \quad t) \psi)) X) \wedge \\
& \quad (\forall t. ne \neq 0 \wedge t \leq \tau \sigma (ne - 1) \wedge \tau \sigma (ne - 1) - t \leq \text{right } (\text{args_ivl args}) \wedge (\exists i. \tau \sigma i = t) \longrightarrow \\
& \quad (\exists X. (t, X) \in \text{set auxlist}))) \\
& \langle \text{proof} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

definition *wf_until_auxlist* :: $\text{Formula.trace} \Rightarrow _ \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{event_data list set} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \Rightarrow$
 $\text{Formula.formula} \Rightarrow \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow \text{Formula.formula} \Rightarrow \text{event_data muaux} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{wf_until_auxlist } \sigma V n R pos \varphi I \psi auxlist ne \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{list_all2 } (\lambda x i. \text{case } x \text{ of } (t, r1, r2) \Rightarrow t = \tau \sigma i \wedge$
 $qtable n (\text{Formula.fv } \varphi) (\text{mem_restr } R) (\lambda v. \text{if } pos \text{ then } (\forall k \in \{i..<ne+length auxlist\}. \text{Formula.sat } \sigma V (\text{map the } v) k \varphi)$
 $\text{else } (\exists k \in \{i..<ne+length auxlist\}. \text{Formula.sat } \sigma V (\text{map the } v) k \varphi)) r1 \wedge$
 $qtable n (\text{Formula.fv } \psi) (\text{mem_restr } R) (\lambda v. (\exists j. i \leq j \wedge j < ne + \text{length auxlist} \wedge \text{mem } (\tau \sigma j -$
 $\tau \sigma i) I \wedge$
 $\text{Formula.sat } \sigma V (\text{map the } v) j \psi \wedge$
 $(\forall k \in \{i..<j\}. \text{if } pos \text{ then } \text{Formula.sat } \sigma V (\text{map the } v) k \varphi \text{ else } \neg \text{Formula.sat } \sigma V (\text{map the } v)$
 $k \varphi)) r2)$
 $\text{auxlist } [ne..<ne+\text{length auxlist}]$

definition (in muaux) wf_until_aux :: $\text{Formula.trace} \Rightarrow _ \Rightarrow \text{event_data list set} \Rightarrow \text{args} \Rightarrow$
 $\text{Formula.formula} \Rightarrow \text{Formula.formula} \Rightarrow \text{'muaux} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{wf_until_aux } \sigma V R args \varphi \psi aux ne \longleftrightarrow \text{Formula.fv } \varphi \subseteq \text{Formula.fv } \psi \wedge$
 $(\exists cur auxlist. \text{valid_muaux args cur aux auxlist} \wedge$
 $cur = (\text{if } ne + \text{length auxlist} = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \tau \sigma (ne + \text{length auxlist} - 1)) \wedge$
 $\text{wf_until_auxlist } \sigma V (\text{args_n args}) R (\text{args_pos args}) \varphi (\text{args_ivl args}) \psi auxlist ne)$

lemma (in muaux) wf_until_aux_UNIV_alt:

$$\begin{aligned}
& wf_until_aux \sigma V UNIV args \varphi \psi aux ne \longleftrightarrow \text{Formula.fv } \varphi \subseteq \text{Formula.fv } \psi \wedge \\
& (\exists cur auxlist. \text{valid_muaux args cur aux auxlist} \wedge \\
& \quad cur = (\text{if } ne + \text{length auxlist} = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \tau \sigma (ne + \text{length auxlist} - 1)) \wedge \\
& \quad \text{list_all2 } (\lambda x i. \text{case } x \text{ of } (t, r1, r2) \Rightarrow t = \tau \sigma i \wedge \\
& \quad wf_table (\text{args_n args}) (\text{Formula.fv } \varphi) (\lambda v. \text{if } (\text{args_pos args}) \\
& \quad \text{then } (\forall k \in \{i..<ne+\text{length auxlist}\}. \text{Formula.sat } \sigma V (\text{map the } v) k \varphi) \\
& \quad \text{else } (\exists k \in \{i..<ne+\text{length auxlist}\}. \text{Formula.sat } \sigma V (\text{map the } v) k \varphi)) r1 \wedge \\
& \quad wf_table (\text{args_n args}) (\text{Formula.fv } \psi) (\lambda v. \exists j. i \leq j \wedge j < ne + \text{length auxlist} \wedge \text{mem } (\tau \sigma j - \\
& \quad \tau \sigma i) (\text{args_ivl args}) \wedge \\
& \quad \text{Formula.sat } \sigma V (\text{map the } v) j \psi \wedge \\
& \quad (\forall k \in \{i..<j\}. \text{if } (\text{args_pos args}) \text{ then } \text{Formula.sat } \sigma V (\text{map the } v) k \varphi \text{ else } \neg \text{Formula.sat } \sigma V
\end{aligned}$$

```

(map the v) k φ)) r2)
auxlist [ne..<ne+length auxlist])
⟨proof⟩

definition wf_matchF_aux :: Formula.trace ⇒ _ ⇒ nat ⇒ event_data list set ⇒
I ⇒ Formula.formula Regex.regex ⇒ event_data mlδaux ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ bool where
wf_matchF_aux σ V n R I r aux ne k ⇔ (case to_mregex r of (mr, φs) ⇒
list_all2 (λx i. case x of (t, rels, rel) ⇒ t = τ σ i ∧
list_all2 (λφ. qtable n (Formula.fv φ) (mem_restr R)) (λv.
Formula.sat σ V (map the v) i φ)) φs rels ∧
qtable n (Formula.fv_regex r) (mem_restr R) (λv. (∃j. i ≤ j ∧ j < ne + length aux + k ∧ mem
(τ σ j - τ σ i) I ∧
Regex.match (Formula.sat σ V (map the v)) r i j)) rel)
aux [ne..<ne+length aux])

definition wf_matchF_invar where
wf_matchF_invar σ V n R I r st i =
(case st of (aux, Y) ⇒ aux ≠ [] ∧ wf_matchF_aux σ V n R I r aux i 0 ∧
(case to_mregex r of (mr, φs) ⇒ ∀ms ∈ LPDs mr.
qtable n (Formula.fv_regex r) (mem_restr R) (λv.
Regex.match (Formula.sat σ V (map the v)) (from_mregex ms φs) i (i + length aux - 1)) (lookup
Y ms)))

definition lift_envs' :: nat ⇒ event_data list set ⇒ event_data list set where
lift_envs' b R = (λ(xs, ys). xs @ ys) ‘({xs. length xs = b} × R)

fun formula_of_constraint :: Formula.trm × bool × mconstraint × Formula.trm ⇒ Formula.formula
where
formula_of_constraint (t1, True, MEq, t2) = Formula.Eq t1 t2
| formula_of_constraint (t1, True, MLess, t2) = Formula.Less t1 t2
| formula_of_constraint (t1, True, MLessEq, t2) = Formula.LessEq t1 t2
| formula_of_constraint (t1, False, MEq, t2) = Formula.Neg (Formula.Eq t1 t2)
| formula_of_constraint (t1, False, MLess, t2) = Formula.Neg (Formula.Less t1 t2)
| formula_of_constraint (t1, False, MLessEq, t2) = Formula.Neg (Formula.LessEq t1 t2)

inductive (in maux) wf_mformula :: Formula.trace ⇒ nat ⇒ _ ⇒ _ ⇒
nat ⇒ event_data list set ⇒ ('msaux, 'muaux) mformula ⇒ Formula.formula ⇒ bool
for σ j where
Eq: is_simple_eq t1 t2 ⇒
  ∀x ∈ Formula.fv_trm t1. x < n ⇒ ∀x ∈ Formula.fv_trm t2. x < n ⇒
  wf_mformula σ j P V n R (MRel (eq_rel n t1 t2)) (Formula.Eq t1 t2)
| neq_Var: x < n ⇒
  wf_mformula σ j P V n R (MRel empty_table) (Formula.Neg (Formula.Eq (Formula.Var x) (Formula.Var
x)))
| Pred: ∀x ∈ Formula.fv (Formula.Pred e ts). x < n ⇒
  ∀t ∈ set ts. Formula.is_Var t ∨ Formula.is_Const t ⇒
  wf_mformula σ j P V n R (MPred e ts) (Formula.Pred e ts)
| Let: wf_mformula σ j P V m UNIV φ φ' ⇒
  wf_mformula σ j (P(p ↦ progress σ P φ' j))
  (V(p ↦ λi. {v. length v = m ∧ Formula.sat σ V v i φ'})) n R ψ ψ' ⇒
  {0..<m} ⊆ Formula.fv φ' ⇒ b ≤ m ⇒ m = Formula.nfv φ' ⇒
  wf_mformula σ j P V n R (MLet p m φ ψ) (Formula.Let p φ' ψ')
| And: wf_mformula σ j P V n R φ φ' ⇒ wf_mformula σ j P V n R ψ ψ' ⇒
  if pos then χ = Formula.And φ' ψ'
  else χ = Formula.And φ' (Formula.Neg ψ') ∧ Formula.fv ψ' ⊆ Formula.fv φ' ⇒
  wf_mbuf2' σ P V j n R φ' ψ' buf ⇒
  wf_mformula σ j P V n R (MAnd (fv φ') φ pos (fv ψ') ψ buf) χ
| AndAssign: wf_mformula σ j P V n R φ φ' ⇒

```

$x < n \implies x \notin \text{Formula.fv } \varphi' \implies \text{Formula.fv_trm } t \subseteq \text{Formula.fv } \varphi' \implies (x, t) = \text{conf} \implies$
 $\psi' = \text{Formula.Eq}(\text{Formula.Var } x) t \vee \psi' = \text{Formula.Eq}(t, \text{Formula.Var } x) \implies$
 $\text{wf_mformula } \sigma j P V n R (\text{MAndAssign } \varphi \text{ conf}) (\text{Formula.And } \varphi' \psi')$
| AndRel: $\text{wf_mformula } \sigma j P V n R \varphi \varphi' \implies$
 $\psi' = \text{formula_of_constraint } \text{conf} \implies$
 $(\text{let } (t1, _, _, t2) = \text{conf} \text{ in } \text{Formula.fv_trm } t1 \cup \text{Formula.fv_trm } t2 \subseteq \text{Formula.fv } \varphi') \implies$
 $\text{wf_mformula } \sigma j P V n R (\text{MAndRel } \varphi \text{ conf}) (\text{Formula.And } \varphi' \psi')$
| Ands: $\text{list_all2 } (\lambda \varphi \varphi'. \text{wf_mformula } \sigma j P V n R \varphi \varphi') l (l_{\text{pos}} @ \text{map remove_neg } l_{\text{neg}}) \implies$
 $\text{wf_mbufn } (\text{progress } \sigma P (\text{Formula.Ands } l') j) (\text{map } (\lambda \psi. \text{progress } \sigma P \psi j) (l_{\text{pos}} @ \text{map remove_neg } l_{\text{neg}})) (\text{map } (\lambda \psi. i.$
 $\text{qtable } n (\text{Formula.fv } \psi) (\text{mem_restr } R) (\lambda v. \text{Formula.sat } \sigma V (\text{map the } v) i \psi)) (l_{\text{pos}} @ \text{map remove_neg } l_{\text{neg}}) \text{ buf} \implies$
 $(l_{\text{pos}}, l_{\text{neg}}) = \text{partition safe_formula } l' \implies$
 $l_{\text{pos}} \neq [] \implies$
 $\text{list_all safe_formula } (\text{map remove_neg } l_{\text{neg}}) \implies$
 $A_{\text{pos}} = \text{map fv } l_{\text{pos}} \implies$
 $A_{\text{neg}} = \text{map fv } l_{\text{neg}} \implies$
 $\bigcup (\text{set } A_{\text{neg}}) \subseteq \bigcup (\text{set } A_{\text{pos}}) \implies$
 $\text{wf_mformula } \sigma j P V n R (\text{MAnds } A_{\text{pos}} A_{\text{neg}} l \text{ buf}) (\text{Formula.Ands } l')$
| Or: $\text{wf_mformula } \sigma j P V n R \varphi \varphi' \implies \text{wf_mformula } \sigma j P V n R \psi \psi' \implies$
 $\text{Formula.fv } \varphi' = \text{Formula.fv } \psi' \implies$
 $\text{wf_mbuf2}' \sigma P V j n R \varphi' \psi' \text{ buf} \implies$
 $\text{wf_mformula } \sigma j P V n R (\text{MOr } \varphi \psi \text{ buf}) (\text{Formula.Or } \varphi' \psi')$
| Neg: $\text{wf_mformula } \sigma j P V n R \varphi \varphi' \implies \text{Formula.fv } \varphi' = [] \implies$
 $\text{wf_mformula } \sigma j P V n R (\text{MNeg } \varphi) (\text{Formula.Neg } \varphi')$
| Exists: $\text{wf_mformula } \sigma j P V (\text{Suc } n) (\text{lift_envs } R) \varphi \varphi' \implies$
 $\text{wf_mformula } \sigma j P V n R (\text{MExists } \varphi) (\text{Formula.Exists } \varphi')$
| Agg: $\text{wf_mformula } \sigma j P V (b + n) (\text{lift_envs } b R) \varphi \varphi' \implies$
 $y < n \implies$
 $y + b \notin \text{Formula.fv } \varphi' \implies$
 $\{0..<b\} \subseteq \text{Formula.fv } \varphi' \implies$
 $\text{Formula.fv_trm } f \subseteq \text{Formula.fv } \varphi' \implies$
 $g0 = (\text{Formula.fv } \varphi' \subseteq \{0..<b\}) \implies$
 $\text{wf_mformula } \sigma j P V n R (\text{MAgg } g0 y \omega b f \varphi) (\text{Formula.Agg } y \omega b f \varphi')$
| Prev: $\text{wf_mformula } \sigma j P V n R \varphi \varphi' \implies$
 $\text{first} \longleftrightarrow j = 0 \implies$
 $\text{list_all2 } (\lambda i. \text{qtable } n (\text{Formula.fv } \varphi') (\text{mem_restr } R) (\lambda v. \text{Formula.sat } \sigma V (\text{map the } v) i \varphi'))$
 $[\min (\text{progress } \sigma P \varphi' j) (j-1)..<\text{progress } \sigma P \varphi' j] \text{ buf} \implies$
 $\text{list_all2 } (\lambda i t. t = \tau \sigma i) [\min (\text{progress } \sigma P \varphi' j) (j-1)..<j] \text{ nts} \implies$
 $\text{wf_mformula } \sigma j P V n R (\text{MPrev } I \varphi \text{ first buf nts}) (\text{Formula.Prev } I \varphi')$
| Next: $\text{wf_mformula } \sigma j P V n R \varphi \varphi' \implies$
 $\text{first} \longleftrightarrow \text{progress } \sigma P \varphi' j = 0 \implies$
 $\text{list_all2 } (\lambda i t. t = \tau \sigma i) [\text{progress } \sigma P \varphi' j - 1..<j] \text{ nts} \implies$
 $\text{wf_mformula } \sigma j P V n R (\text{MNext } I \varphi \text{ first nts}) (\text{Formula.Next } I \varphi')$
| Since: $\text{wf_mformula } \sigma j P V n R \varphi \varphi' \implies \text{wf_mformula } \sigma j P V n R \psi \psi' \implies$
 $\text{if args_pos args then } \varphi'' = \varphi' \text{ else } \varphi'' = \text{Formula.Neg } \varphi' \implies$
 $\text{safe_formula } \varphi'' = \text{args_pos args} \implies$
 $\text{args_ivl args} = I \implies$
 $\text{args_n args} = n \implies$
 $\text{args_L args} = \text{Formula.fv } \varphi' \implies$
 $\text{args_R args} = \text{Formula.fv } \psi' \implies$
 $\text{Formula.fv } \varphi' \subseteq \text{Formula.fv } \psi' \implies$
 $\text{wf_mbuf2}' \sigma P V j n R \varphi' \psi' \text{ buf} \implies$
 $\text{wf_ts } \sigma P j \varphi' \psi' \text{ nts} \implies$
 $\text{wf_since_aux } \sigma V R \text{ args } \varphi' \psi' \text{ aux } (\text{progress } \sigma P (\text{Formula.Since } \varphi'' I \psi') j) \implies$
 $\text{wf_mformula } \sigma j P V n R (\text{MSince } \text{args } \varphi \psi \text{ buf nts aux}) (\text{Formula.Since } \varphi'' I \psi')$
| Until: $\text{wf_mformula } \sigma j P V n R \varphi \varphi' \implies \text{wf_mformula } \sigma j P V n R \psi \psi' \implies$
 $\text{if args_pos args then } \varphi'' = \varphi' \text{ else } \varphi'' = \text{Formula.Neg } \varphi' \implies$

```

safe_formula  $\varphi'' = \text{args\_pos args} \implies$ 
 $\text{args\_ivl args} = I \implies$ 
 $\text{args\_n args} = n \implies$ 
 $\text{args\_L args} = \text{Formula.fv } \varphi' \implies$ 
 $\text{args\_R args} = \text{Formula.fv } \psi' \implies$ 
 $\text{Formula.fv } \varphi' \subseteq \text{Formula.fv } \psi' \implies$ 
 $\text{wf\_mbuf2}' \sigma P V j n R \varphi' \psi' \text{buf} \implies$ 
 $\text{wf\_ts } \sigma P j \varphi' \psi' \text{nts} \implies$ 
 $\text{wf\_until\_aux } \sigma V R \text{args } \varphi' \psi' \text{aux} (\text{progress } \sigma P (\text{Formula.Until } \varphi'' I \psi') j) \implies$ 
 $\text{progress } \sigma P (\text{Formula.Until } \varphi'' I \psi') j + \text{length\_muaux args aux} = \min (\text{progress } \sigma P \varphi' j) (\text{progress}$ 
 $\sigma P \psi' j) \implies$ 
 $\text{wf\_mformula } \sigma j P V n R (\text{MUntil args } \varphi \psi \text{ buf nts aux}) (\text{Formula.Until } \varphi'' I \psi')$ 
| MatchP: (case to_mregex r of (mr', φs') ⇒
  list_all2 (wf_mformula σ j P V n R) φs φs' ∧ mr = mr') ⇒
  mrs = sorted_list_of_set (RPDs mr) ⇒
  safe_regex Past Strict r ⇒
  wf_mbufn' σ P V j n R r buf ⇒
  wf_ts_regex σ P j r nts ⇒
  wf_matchP_aux σ V n R I r aux (progress σ P (Formula.MatchP I r) j) ⇒
  wf_mformula σ j P V n R (MMatchP I mr mrs φs buf nts aux) (Formula.MatchP I r)
| MatchF: (case to_mregex r of (mr', φs') ⇒
  list_all2 (wf_mformula σ j P V n R) φs φs' ∧ mr = mr') ⇒
  mrs = sorted_list_of_set (LPDs mr) ⇒
  safe_regex Futu Strict r ⇒
  wf_mbufn' σ P V j n R r buf ⇒
  wf_ts_regex σ P j r nts ⇒
  wf_matchF_aux σ V n R I r aux (progress σ P (Formula.MatchF I r) j) 0 ⇒
  progress σ P (Formula.MatchF I r) j + length aux = progress_regex σ P r j ⇒
  wf_mformula σ j P V n R (MMatchF I mr mrs φs buf nts aux) (Formula.MatchF I r)

```

```

definition (in muaux) wf_mstate :: Formula.formula ⇒ Formula.prefix ⇒ event_data list set ⇒ ('msaux,
'muaux) mstate ⇒ bool where
  wf_mstate φ π R st ↔ mstate_n st = Formula.nfv φ ∧ (∀σ. prefix_of π σ →
    mstate_i st = progress σ Map.empty φ (plen π) ∧
    wf_mformula σ (plen π) Map.empty Map.empty (mstate_n st) R (mstate_m st) φ)

```

6.6.2 Initialisation

```

lemma wf_mbuf2'_0: pred_mapping (λx. x = 0) P ⇒ wf_mbuf2' σ P V 0 n R φ ψ ([] [])
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma wf_mbufn'_0: to_mregex r = (mr, φs) ⇒ pred_mapping (λx. x = 0) P ⇒ wf_mbufn' σ P
V 0 n R r (replicate (length φs) [])
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma wf_ts_0: wf_ts σ P 0 φ ψ []
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma wf_ts_regex_0: wf_ts_regex σ P 0 r []
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in msaux) wf_since_aux_Nil: Formula.fv φ' ⊆ Formula.fv ψ' ⇒
  wf_since_aux σ V R (init_args I n (Formula.fv φ') (Formula.fv ψ') b) φ' ψ' (init_msaux (init_args I
n (Formula.fv φ') (Formula.fv ψ') b)) 0
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in muaux) wf_until_aux_Nil: Formula.fv φ' ⊆ Formula.fv ψ' ⇒
  wf_until_aux σ V R (init_args I n (Formula.fv φ') (Formula.fv ψ') b) φ' ψ' (init_muaux (init_args I
n (Formula.fv φ') (Formula.fv ψ') b)) 0

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma wf_matchP_aux_Nil: wf_matchP_aux  $\sigma$  V n R I r [] 0
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma wf_matchF_aux_Nil: wf_matchF_aux  $\sigma$  V n R I r [] 0 k
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fv_regex_alt: safe_regex m g r  $\implies$  Formula.fv_regex r = ( $\bigcup \varphi \in atms r. Formula.fv \varphi$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas to_mregex_atms =
  to_mregex_ok[THEN conjunct1, THEN equalityD1, THEN set_mp, rotated]

lemma (in maux) wf_minit0: safe_formula  $\varphi \implies \forall x \in Formula.fv \varphi. x < n \implies$ 
  pred_mapping ( $\lambda x. x = 0$ ) P  $\implies$ 
  wf_informula  $\sigma$  0 P V n R (minit0 n  $\varphi$ )  $\varphi$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in maux) wf_mstate_minit: safe_formula  $\varphi \implies wf_mstate \varphi pnil R (minit \varphi)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

6.6.3 Evaluation

```

lemma match_wf_tuple: Some f = match ts xs  $\implies$ 
  wf_tuple n ( $\bigcup t \in set ts. Formula.fv_trm t$ ) (Table.tabulate f 0 n)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma match_fvi_trm_None: Some f = match ts xs  $\implies \forall t \in set ts. x \notin Formula.fv_trm t \implies f x = None$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma match_fvi_trm_Some: Some f = match ts xs  $\implies t \in set ts \implies x \in Formula.fv_trm t \implies f x \neq None$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma match_eval_trm:  $\forall t \in set ts. \forall i \in Formula.fv_trm t. i < n \implies$  Some f = match ts xs  $\implies$ 
  map (Formula.eval_trm (Table.tabulate ( $\lambda i. the(f i)$ ) 0 n)) ts = xs
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma wf_tuple_tabulate_Some: wf_tuple n A (Table.tabulate f 0 n)  $\implies x \in A \implies x < n \implies \exists y. f x = Some y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ex_match: wf_tuple n ( $\bigcup t \in set ts. Formula.fv_trm t$ ) v  $\implies$ 
   $\forall t \in set ts. (\forall x \in Formula.fv_trm t. x < n) \wedge (Formula.is_Var t \vee Formula.is_Const t) \implies$ 
   $\exists f. match ts (map (Formula.eval_trm (map the v)) ts) = Some f \wedge v = Table.tabulate f 0 n$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma eq_rel_eval_trm: v  $\in eq\_rel\ n\ t1\ t2 \implies is\_simple\_eq\ t1\ t2 \implies$ 
   $\forall x \in Formula.fv_trm t1 \cup Formula.fv_trm t2. x < n \implies$ 
   $Formula.eval_trm (map the v) t1 = Formula.eval_trm (map the v) t2$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma in_eq_rel: wf_tuple n (Formula.fv_trm t1  $\cup$  Formula.fv_trm t2) v  $\implies$ 
  is_simple_eq t1 t2  $\implies$ 
  Formula.eval_trm (map the v) t1 = Formula.eval_trm (map the v) t2  $\implies$ 
  v  $\in eq\_rel\ n\ t1\ t2$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma table_eq_rel: is_simple_eq t1 t2 ==>
  table n (Formula.fv_trm t1 ∪ Formula.fv_trm t2) (eq_rel n t1 t2)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma wf_tuple_Suc_fviD: wf_tuple (Suc n) (Formula.fvi b φ) v ==> wf_tuple n (Formula.fvi (Suc b) φ) (tl v)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma table_fvi_tl: table (Suc n) (Formula.fvi b φ) X ==> table n (Formula.fvi (Suc b) φ) (tl ` X)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma wf_tuple_Suc_fvi_SomeI: 0 ∈ Formula.fvi b φ ==> wf_tuple n (Formula.fvi (Suc b) φ) v ==>
  wf_tuple (Suc n) (Formula.fvi b φ) (Some x # v)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma wf_tuple_Suc_fvi_NoneI: 0 ∉ Formula.fvi b φ ==> wf_tuple n (Formula.fvi (Suc b) φ) v ==>
  wf_tuple (Suc n) (Formula.fvi b φ) (None # v)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qtable_project_fv: qtable (Suc n) (fv φ) (mem_restr (lift_envs R)) P X ==>
  qtable n (Formula.fvi (Suc 0) φ) (mem_restr R)
  (λv. ∃x. P ((if 0 ∈ fv φ then Some x else None) # v)) (tl ` X)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mem_restr_lift_envs'_append[simp]:
  length xs = b ==> mem_restr (lift_envs' b R) (xs @ ys) = mem_restr R ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nth_list_update_alt: xs[i := x] ! j = (if i < length xs ∧ i = j then x else xs ! j)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma wf_tuple_upd_None: wf_tuple n A xs ==> A - {i} = B ==> wf_tuple n B (xs[i:=None])
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mem_restr_upd_None: mem_restr R xs ==> mem_restr R (xs[i:=None])
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mem_restr_dropI: mem_restr (lift_envs' b R) xs ==> mem_restr R (drop b xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mem_restr_dropD:
  assumes b ≤ length xs and mem_restr R (drop b xs)
  shows mem_restr (lift_envs' b R) xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma wf_tuple_append: wf_tuple a {x ∈ A. x < a} xs ==>
  wf_tuple b {x - a | x. x ∈ A ∧ x ≥ a} ys ==>
  wf_tuple (a + b) A (xs @ ys)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma wf_tuple_map_Some: length xs = n ==> {0..<n} ⊆ A ==> wf_tuple n A (map Some xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma wf_tuple_drop: wf_tuple (b + n) A xs ==> {x - b | x. x ∈ A ∧ x ≥ b} = B ==>
  wf_tuple n B (drop b xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ecard_image: inj_on f A  $\implies$  ecard (f ` A) = ecard A
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma meval_trm_eval_trm: wf_tuple n A x  $\implies$  fv_trm t  $\subseteq$  A  $\implies$   $\forall i \in A. i < n \implies$ 
  meval_trm t x = Formula.eval_trm (map the x) t
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma list_update_id: xs ! i = z  $\implies$  xs[i:=z] = xs
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma qtable_wf_tupleD: qtable n A P Q X  $\implies$   $\forall x \in X. wf\_tuple n A x$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma qtable_eval_agg:
  assumes inner: qtable (b + n) (Formula.fv  $\varphi$ ) (mem_restr (lift_envs' b R))
    ( $\lambda v. Formula.sat \sigma V$  (map the v) i  $\varphi$ ) rel
  and n:  $\forall x \in Formula.fv (Formula.Agg y \omega b f \varphi). x < n$ 
  and fresh:  $y + b \notin Formula.fv \varphi$ 
  and b_fv:  $\{0..<b\} \subseteq Formula.fv \varphi$ 
  and f_fv:  $Formula.fv\_trm f \subseteq Formula.fv \varphi$ 
  and g0:  $g0 = (Formula.fv \varphi \subseteq \{0..<b\})$ 
  shows qtable n (Formula.fv (Formula.Agg y  $\omega$  b f  $\varphi$ )) (mem_restr R)
    ( $\lambda v. Formula.sat \sigma V$  (map the v) i (Formula.Agg y  $\omega$  b f  $\varphi$ )) (eval_agg n g0 y  $\omega$  b f rel)
    (is qtable _ ?fv _ ?Q ?rel')
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mprev: mprev_next I xs ts = (ys, xs', ts')  $\implies$ 
  list_all2 P [i..<j] xs  $\implies$  list_all2 ( $\lambda i t. t = \tau \sigma i$ ) [i..<j] ts  $\implies$  i  $\leq$  j'  $\implies$  i < j  $\implies$ 
  list_all2 ( $\lambda i X. if mem (\tau \sigma (Suc i) - \tau \sigma i) I then P i X else X = empty\_table$ )
    [i..<min j' (j-1)] ys  $\wedge$ 
  list_all2 P [min j' (j-1)..<j] xs'  $\wedge$ 
  list_all2 ( $\lambda i t. t = \tau \sigma i$ ) [min j' (j-1)..<j] ts'
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mnnext: mprev_next I xs ts = (ys, xs', ts')  $\implies$ 
  list_all2 P [Suc i..<j] xs  $\implies$  list_all2 ( $\lambda i t. t = \tau \sigma i$ ) [i..<j] ts  $\implies$  Suc i  $\leq$  j'  $\implies$  i < j  $\implies$ 
  list_all2 ( $\lambda i X. if mem (\tau \sigma (Suc i) - \tau \sigma i) I then P (Suc i) X else X = empty\_table$ )
    [i..<min (j'-1) (j-1)] ys  $\wedge$ 
  list_all2 P [Suc (min (j'-1) (j-1))..<j] xs'  $\wedge$ 
  list_all2 ( $\lambda i t. t = \tau \sigma i$ ) [min (j'-1) (j-1)..<j] ts'
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma in_foldr_UnI: x  $\in$  A  $\implies$  A  $\in$  set xs  $\implies$  x  $\in$  foldr ( $\cup$ ) xs {}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma in_foldr_UnE: x  $\in$  foldr ( $\cup$ ) xs {}  $\implies$  ( $\bigwedge A. A \in set xs \implies x \in A \implies P$ )  $\implies$  P
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sat_the_restrict: fv  $\varphi \subseteq A \implies$  Formula.sat  $\sigma V$  (map the (restrict A v)) i  $\varphi$  = Formula.sat  $\sigma V$  (map the v) i  $\varphi$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma eps_the_restrict: fv_regex r  $\subseteq A \implies$  Regex.eps (Formula.sat  $\sigma V$  (map the (restrict A v))) i r
  = Regex.eps (Formula.sat  $\sigma V$  (map the v)) i r
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sorted_wrt_filter[simp]: sorted_wrt R xs  $\implies$  sorted_wrt R (filter P xs)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma concat_map_filter[simp]:
  concat (map f (filter P xs)) = concat (map (λx. if P x then f x else []) xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map_filter_alt:
  map f (filter P xs) = concat (map (λx. if P x then [f x] else []) xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in maux) update_since:
  assumes pre: wf_since_aux σ V R args φ ψ aux ne
  and qtable1: qtable n (Formula.fv φ) (mem_restr R) (λv. Formula.sat σ V (map the v) ne φ) rel1
  and qtable2: qtable n (Formula.fv ψ) (mem_restr R) (λv. Formula.sat σ V (map the v) ne ψ) rel2
  and result_eq: (rel, aux') = update_since args rel1 rel2 (τ σ ne) aux
  and fvi_subset: Formula.fv φ ⊆ Formula.fv ψ
  and args_ivl: args_ivl args = I
  and args_n: args_n args = n
  and args_L: args_L args = Formula.fv φ
  and args_R: args_R args = Formula.fv ψ
  and args_pos: args_pos args = pos
  shows wf_since_aux σ V R args φ ψ aux' (Since ne)
    and qtable n (Formula.fv ψ) (mem_restr R) (λv. Formula.sat σ V (map the v) ne (Since pos φ I ψ)) rel
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fv_regex_from_mregex:
  ok (length φs) mr ==> fv_regex (from_mregex mr φs) ⊆ (⋃ φ ∈ set φs. fv φ)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qtable_ε_lax:
  assumes ok (length φs) mr
  and list_all2 (λφ rel. qtable n (Formula.fv φ) (mem_restr R) (λv. Formula.sat σ V (map the v) i φ) rel) φs rels
  and fv_regex (from_mregex mr φs) ⊆ A and qtable n A (mem_restr R) Q guard
  shows qtable n A (mem_restr R)
    (λv. Regex.eps (Formula.sat σ V (map the v)) i (from_mregex mr φs) ∧ Q v) (ε_lax guard rels mr)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nullary_qtable_cases: qtable n {} P Q X ==> (X = empty_table ∨ X = unit_table n)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qtable_empty_unit_table:
  qtable n {} R P empty_table ==> qtable n {} R (λv. ¬ P v) (unit_table n)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qtable_unit_empty_table:
  qtable n {} R P (unit_table n) ==> qtable n {} R (λv. ¬ P v) empty_table
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qtable_nonempty_empty_table:
  qtable n {} R P X ==> x ∈ X ==> qtable n {} R (λv. ¬ P v) empty_table
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma qtable_rε_strict:
  assumes safe_regex Past Strict (from_mregex mr φs) ok (length φs) mr A = fv_regex (from_mregex mr φs)
  and list_all2 (λφ rel. qtable n (Formula.fv φ) (mem_restr R) (λv. Formula.sat σ V (map the v) i φ) rel) φs rels

```

```

 $\varphi) \text{ rel}) \varphi s \text{ rels}$ 
shows qtable n A (mem_restr R) ( $\lambda v.$  Regex.eps (Formula.sat  $\sigma$  V (map the v)) i (from_mregex mr  $\varphi s)) (r\epsilon\_strict n \text{ rels } mr)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma qtable_l\epsilon strict:
assumes safe_regex Futu Strict (from_mregex mr  $\varphi s) \text{ ok} (\text{length } \varphi s) \text{ mr } A = fv\_regex (from\_mregex mr \varphi s)
and list_all2 ( $\lambda \varphi \text{ rel}.$  qtable n (Formula.fv  $\varphi) (\text{mem\_restr } R) (\lambda v.$  Formula.sat  $\sigma$  V (map the v) i  $\varphi) \text{ rel}) \varphi s \text{ rels}$ 
shows qtable n A (mem_restr R) ( $\lambda v.$  Regex.eps (Formula.sat  $\sigma$  V (map the v)) i (from_mregex mr  $\varphi s)) (l\epsilon\_strict n \text{ rels } mr)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma rtranclp_False:  $(\lambda i j. \text{False})^{**} = (=)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

inductive ok_rctxt for  $\varphi s$  where
ok_rctxt  $\varphi s \text{ id id}$ 
| ok_rctxt  $\varphi s \kappa \kappa' \implies \text{ok\_rctxt } \varphi s (\lambda t. \kappa (\text{MTimes } mr t)) (\lambda t. \kappa' (\text{Regex.Times } (\text{from\_mregex } mr \varphi s) t))$ 

lemma ok_rctxt_swap: ok_rctxt  $\varphi s \kappa \kappa' \implies \text{from\_mregex } (\kappa \text{ mr}) \varphi s = \kappa' (\text{from\_mregex } mr \varphi s)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma ok_rctxt_cong: ok_rctxt  $\varphi s \kappa \kappa' \implies \text{Regex.match } (\text{Formula.sat } \sigma V v) r = \text{Regex.match } (\text{Formula.sat } \sigma V v) s \implies$ 
 $\text{Regex.match } (\text{Formula.sat } \sigma V v) (\kappa' r) i j = \text{Regex.match } (\text{Formula.sat } \sigma V v) (\kappa' s) i j$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma qtable_r\delta\kappa:
assumes ok (length  $\varphi s) \text{ mr } fv\_regex (from\_mregex mr \varphi s) \subseteq A$ 
and list_all2 ( $\lambda \varphi \text{ rel}.$  qtable n (Formula.fv  $\varphi) (\text{mem\_restr } R) (\lambda v.$  Formula.sat  $\sigma$  V (map the v) j  $\varphi) \text{ rel}) \varphi s \text{ rels}$ 
and ok_rctxt  $\varphi s \kappa \kappa'$ 
and  $\forall ms \in \kappa \text{ 'RPD } mr.$  qtable n A (mem_restr R) ( $\lambda v.$  Q (map the v) (from_mregex ms  $\varphi s)) (\text{lookup } \text{rel } ms)$ 
shows qtable n A (mem_restr R)
 $(\lambda v. \exists s \in \text{Regex.rpd}\kappa \kappa' (\text{Formula.sat } \sigma V (\text{map the v})) j (\text{from\_mregex } mr \varphi s). Q (\text{map the v}) s)$ 
 $(r\delta \kappa \text{ rel } \text{rels } mr)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemmas qtable_r\delta = qtable_r\delta\kappa[OF — — — ok_rctxt.intros(1), unfolded rpd\kappa_rpd image_id id_apply]

inductive ok_lctxt for  $\varphi s$  where
ok_lctxt  $\varphi s \text{ id id}$ 
| ok_lctxt  $\varphi s \kappa \kappa' \implies \text{ok\_lctxt } \varphi s (\lambda t. \kappa (\text{MTimes } t mr)) (\lambda t. \kappa' (\text{Regex.Times } t (\text{from\_mregex } mr \varphi s)))$ 

lemma ok_lctxt_swap: ok_lctxt  $\varphi s \kappa \kappa' \implies \text{from\_mregex } (\kappa \text{ mr}) \varphi s = \kappa' (\text{from\_mregex } mr \varphi s)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma ok_lctxt_cong: ok_lctxt  $\varphi s \kappa \kappa' \implies \text{Regex.match } (\text{Formula.sat } \sigma V v) r = \text{Regex.match } (\text{Formula.sat } \sigma V v) s \implies$ 
 $\text{Regex.match } (\text{Formula.sat } \sigma V v) (\kappa' r) i j = \text{Regex.match } (\text{Formula.sat } \sigma V v) (\kappa' s) i j$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma qtable_l\delta\kappa:
assumes ok (length  $\varphi s) \text{ mr } fv\_regex (from\_mregex mr \varphi s) \subseteq A$$ 
```

and $\text{list_all2 } (\lambda \varphi \text{ rel. } qtable n (\text{Formula.fv } \varphi) (\text{mem_restr } R) (\lambda v. \text{Formula.sat } \sigma V (\text{map the } v) j \varphi) \text{ rel}) \varphi s \text{ rels}$
and $\text{ok_lctxt } \varphi s \kappa \kappa'$
and $\forall ms \in \kappa' \text{ 'LPD mr. } qtable n A (\text{mem_restr } R) (\lambda v. Q (\text{map the } v) (\text{from_mregex } ms \varphi s)) (\text{lookup } \text{rel } ms)$
shows $qtable n A (\text{mem_restr } R)$
 $(\lambda v. \exists s \in \text{Regex.lpd}\kappa \kappa' (\text{Formula.sat } \sigma V (\text{map the } v) j (\text{from_mregex } mr \varphi s). Q (\text{map the } v) s) (l\delta \kappa \text{ rel } \text{rels } mr)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas $qtable_l\delta = qtable_l\delta\kappa[\text{OF } \dots \text{ ok_lctxt.intros(1)}, \text{unfolded lpd}\kappa \text{ lpd image_id id_apply}]$

lemma $RPD_fv_regex_le:$
 $ms \in RPD \text{ mr} \implies fv_regex (\text{from_mregex } ms \varphi s) \subseteq fv_regex (\text{from_mregex } mr \varphi s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $RPD_safe: safe_regex Past g (\text{from_mregex } mr \varphi s) \implies$
 $ms \in RPD \text{ mr} \implies safe_regex Past g (\text{from_mregex } ms \varphi s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $RPDi_safe: safe_regex Past g (\text{from_mregex } mr \varphi s) \implies$
 $ms \in RPDi n \text{ mr} \implies safe_regex Past g (\text{from_mregex } ms \varphi s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $RPDs_safe: safe_regex Past g (\text{from_mregex } mr \varphi s) \implies$
 $ms \in RPDs \text{ mr} \implies safe_regex Past g (\text{from_mregex } ms \varphi s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $RPD_safe_fv_regex: safe_regex Past Strict (\text{from_mregex } mr \varphi s) \implies$
 $ms \in RPD \text{ mr} \implies fv_regex (\text{from_mregex } ms \varphi s) = fv_regex (\text{from_mregex } mr \varphi s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $RPDi_safe_fv_regex: safe_regex Past Strict (\text{from_mregex } mr \varphi s) \implies$
 $ms \in RPDi n \text{ mr} \implies fv_regex (\text{from_mregex } ms \varphi s) = fv_regex (\text{from_mregex } mr \varphi s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $RPDs_safe_fv_regex: safe_regex Past Strict (\text{from_mregex } mr \varphi s) \implies$
 $ms \in RPDs \text{ mr} \implies fv_regex (\text{from_mregex } ms \varphi s) = fv_regex (\text{from_mregex } mr \varphi s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $RPD_ok: ok m \text{ mr} \implies ms \in RPD \text{ mr} \implies ok m \text{ ms}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $RPDi_ok: ok m \text{ mr} \implies ms \in RPDi n \text{ mr} \implies ok m \text{ ms}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $RPDs_ok: ok m \text{ mr} \implies ms \in RPDs \text{ mr} \implies ok m \text{ ms}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $LPD_fv_regex_le:$
 $ms \in LPD \text{ mr} \implies fv_regex (\text{from_mregex } ms \varphi s) \subseteq fv_regex (\text{from_mregex } mr \varphi s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $LPD_safe: safe_regex Futu g (\text{from_mregex } mr \varphi s) \implies$
 $ms \in LPD \text{ mr} \implies safe_regex Futu g (\text{from_mregex } ms \varphi s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $LPDi_safe: safe_regex Futu g (\text{from_mregex } mr \varphi s) \implies$

$ms \in LPDi n mr \implies safe_regex F\acute{u}tu g (from_mregex ms \varphi s)$
(proof)

lemma $LPDs_safe: safe_regex F\acute{u}tu g (from_mregex mr \varphi s) \implies$
 $ms \in LPDs mr \implies safe_regex F\acute{u}tu g (from_mregex ms \varphi s)$
(proof)

lemma $LPD_safe_fv_regex: safe_regex F\acute{u}tu Strict (from_mregex mr \varphi s) \implies$
 $ms \in LPD mr \implies fv_regex (from_mregex ms \varphi s) = fv_regex (from_mregex mr \varphi s)$
(proof)

lemma $LPDi_safe_fv_regex: safe_regex F\acute{u}tu Strict (from_mregex mr \varphi s) \implies$
 $ms \in LPDi n mr \implies fv_regex (from_mregex ms \varphi s) = fv_regex (from_mregex mr \varphi s)$
(proof)

lemma $LPDs_safe_fv_regex: safe_regex F\acute{u}tu Strict (from_mregex mr \varphi s) \implies$
 $ms \in LPDs mr \implies fv_regex (from_mregex ms \varphi s) = fv_regex (from_mregex mr \varphi s)$
(proof)

lemma $LPD_ok: ok m mr \implies ms \in LPD mr \implies ok m ms$
(proof)

lemma $LPDi_ok: ok m mr \implies ms \in LPDi n mr \implies ok m ms$
(proof)

lemma $LPDs_ok: ok m mr \implies ms \in LPDs mr \implies ok m ms$
(proof)

lemma $update_matchP:$
assumes $pre: wf_matchP_aux \sigma V n R I r aux ne$
and $safe: safe_regex Past Strict r$
and $mr: to_mregex r = (mr, \varphi s)$
and $mrs: mrs = sorted_list_of_set (RPDs mr)$
and $qtables: list_all2 (\lambda \varphi rel. qtable n (Formula.fv \varphi) (mem_restr R) (\lambda v. Formula.sat \sigma V (map the v) ne \varphi) rel) \varphi s rels$
and $result_eq: (rel, aux') = update_matchP n I mr mrs rels (\tau \sigma ne) aux$
shows $wf_matchP_aux \sigma V n R I r aux' (Suc ne)$
and $qtable n (Formula.fv_regex r) (mem_restr R) (\lambda v. Formula.sat \sigma V (map the v) ne (Formula.MatchP I r)) rel$
(proof)

lemma $length_update_until: length (update_until args rel1 rel2 nt aux) = Suc (length aux)$
(proof)

lemma $wf_update_until_auxlist:$
assumes $pre: wf_until_auxlist \sigma V n R pos \varphi I \psi auxlist ne$
and $qtable1: qtable n (Formula.fv \varphi) (mem_restr R) (\lambda v. Formula.sat \sigma V (map the v) (ne + length auxlist) \varphi) rel1$
and $qtable2: qtable n (Formula.fv \psi) (mem_restr R) (\lambda v. Formula.sat \sigma V (map the v) (ne + length auxlist) \psi) rel2$
and $fvi_subset: Formula.fv \varphi \subseteq Formula.fv \psi$
and $args_iwl: args_iwl args = I$
and $args_n: args_n args = n$
and $args_pos: args_pos args = pos$
shows $wf_until_auxlist \sigma V n R pos \varphi I \psi (update_until args rel1 rel2 (\tau \sigma (ne + length auxlist)) auxlist) ne$
(proof)

```

lemma (in muaux) wf_update_until:
  assumes pre: wf_until_aux σ V R args φ ψ aux ne
    and qtable1: qtable n (Formula.fv φ) (mem_restr R) (λv. Formula.sat σ V (map the v) (ne + length_muaux args aux) φ) rel1
    and qtable2: qtable n (Formula.fv ψ) (mem_restr R) (λv. Formula.sat σ V (map the v) (ne + length_muaux args aux) ψ) rel2
    and fvi_subset: Formula.fv φ ⊆ Formula.fv ψ
    and args_ivl: args_ivl args = I
    and args_n: args_n args = n
    and args_L: args_L args = Formula.fv φ
    and args_R: args_R args = Formula.fv ψ
    and args_pos: args_pos args = pos
  shows wf_until_aux σ V R args φ ψ (add_new_muaux args rel1 rel2 (τ σ (ne + length_muaux args aux)) aux) ne ∧
    length_muaux args (add_new_muaux args rel1 rel2 (τ σ (ne + length_muaux args aux)) aux) = Suc (length_muaux args aux)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma length_update_matchF_base:
  length (fst (update_matchF_base I mr mrs nt entry st)) = Suc 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma length_update_matchF_step:
  length (fst (update_matchF_step I mr mrs nt entry st)) = Suc (length (fst st))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma length_foldr_update_matchF_step:
  length (fst (foldr (update_matchF_step I mr mrs nt) aux base)) = length aux + length (fst base)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma length_update_matchF: length (update_matchF n I mr mrs rels nt aux) = Suc (length aux)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma wf_update_matchF_base_invar:
  assumes safe: safe_regex Futu Strict r
    and mr: to_mregex r = (mr, φs)
    and mrs: mrs = sorted_list_of_set (LPDs mr)
    and qtables: list_all2 (λφ rel. qtable n (Formula.fv φ) (mem_restr R) (λv. Formula.sat σ V (map the v) j φ) rel) φs rels
  shows wf_matchF_invar σ V n R I r (update_matchF_base n I mr mrs rels (τ σ j)) j
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Un_empty_table[simp]: rel ∪ empty_table = rel empty_table ∪ rel = rel
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma wf_matchF_invar_step:
  assumes wf: wf_matchF_invar σ V n R I r st (Suc i)
    and safe: safe_regex Futu Strict r
    and mr: to_mregex r = (mr, φs)
    and mrs: mrs = sorted_list_of_set (LPDs mr)
    and qtables: list_all2 (λφ rel. qtable n (Formula.fv φ) (mem_restr R) (λv. Formula.sat σ V (map the v) i φ) rel) φs rels
      and rel: qtable n (Formula.fv_regex r) (mem_restr R) (λv. (∃j. i ≤ j ∧ j < i + length (fst st) ∧ mem (τ σ j - τ σ i) I ∧
        Regex.match (Formula.sat σ V (map the v)) r i j)) rel
      and entry: entry = (τ σ i, rels, rel)
      and nt: nt = τ σ (i + length (fst st))
  shows wf_matchF_invar σ V n R I r (update_matchF_step I mr mrs nt entry st) i

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma wf_update_matchF_invar:
  assumes pre: wf_matchF_aux σ V n R I r aux ne (length (fst st) − 1)
  and wf: wf_matchF_invar σ V n R I r st (ne + length aux)
  and safe: safe_regex FUTU Strict r
  and mr: to_mregex r = (mr, φs)
  and mrs: mrs = sorted_list_of_set (LPDs mr)
  and j: j = ne + length aux + length (fst st) − 1
  shows wf_matchF_invar σ V n R I r (foldr (update_matchF_step I mr mrs (τ σ j)) aux st) ne
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma wf_update_matchF:
  assumes pre: wf_matchF_aux σ V n R I r aux ne 0
  and safe: safe_regex FUTU Strict r
  and mr: to_mregex r = (mr, φs)
  and mrs: mrs = sorted_list_of_set (LPDs mr)
  and nt: nt = τ σ (ne + length aux)
  and qtables: list_all2 (λφ rel. qtable n (Formula.fv φ) (mem_restr R) (λv. Formula.sat σ V (map the v) (ne + length aux) φ) rel) φs rels
  shows wf_matchF_aux σ V n R I r (update_matchF n I mr mrs rels nt aux) ne 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma wf_until_aux_Cons: wf_until_auxlist σ V n R pos φ I ψ (a # aux) ne ==>
  wf_until_auxlist σ V n R pos φ I ψ aux (Suc ne)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma wf_matchF_aux_Cons: wf_matchF_aux σ V n R I r (entry # aux) ne i ==>
  wf_matchF_aux σ V n R I r aux (Suc ne) i
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma wf_until_aux_Cons1: wf_until_auxlist σ V n R pos φ I ψ ((t, a1, a2) # aux) ne ==> t = τ σ ne
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma wf_matchF_aux_Cons1: wf_matchF_aux σ V n R I r ((t, rels, rel) # aux) ne i ==> t = τ σ ne
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma wf_until_aux_Cons3: wf_until_auxlist σ V n R pos φ I ψ ((t, a1, a2) # aux) ne ==>
  qtable n (Formula.fv ψ) (mem_restr R) (λv. (exists j. ne ≤ j ∧ j < Suc (ne + length aux) ∧ mem (τ σ j - τ σ ne) I) ∧
    Formula.sat σ V (map the v) j ψ ∧ (forall k in {ne..<j}. if pos then Formula.sat σ V (map the v) k φ else
    ~Formula.sat σ V (map the v) k φ)) a2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma wf_matchF_aux_Cons3: wf_matchF_aux σ V n R I r ((t, rels, rel) # aux) ne i ==>
  qtable n (Formula.fv_regex r) (mem_restr R) (λv. (exists j. ne ≤ j ∧ j < Suc (ne + length aux + i) ∧ mem (τ σ j - τ σ ne) I) ∧
    Regex.match (Formula.sat σ V (map the v)) r ne j) rel
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma upt_append: a ≤ b ==> b ≤ c ==> [a..<b] @ [b..<c] = [a..<c]
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma wf_mbuf2_add:
  assumes wf_mbuf2 i ja jb P Q buf

```

```

and list_all2 P [ja..<ja] xs
and list_all2 Q [jb..<jb] ys
and ja ≤ ja' jb ≤ jb'
shows wf_mbuf2 i ja' jb' P Q (mbuf2_add xs ys buf)
⟨proof⟩

lemma wf_mbufn_add:
assumes wf_mbufn i js Ps buf
and list_all3 list_all2 Ps (List.map2 (λj j'. [j..<j']) js js') xss
and list_all2 (≤) js js'
shows wf_mbufn i js' Ps (mbufn_add xss buf)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mbuf2_take_eqD:
assumes mbuf2_take f buf = (xs, buf')
and wf_mbuf2 i ja jb P Q buf
shows wf_mbuf2 (min ja jb) ja jb P Q buf'
and list_all2 (λi z. ∃x y. P i x ∧ Q i y ∧ z = f x y) [i..<min ja jb] xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma list_all3_Nil[simp]:
list_all3 P xs ys [] ↔ xs = [] ∧ ys = []
list_all3 P xs [] zs ↔ xs = [] ∧ zs = []
list_all3 P [] ys zs ↔ ys = [] ∧ zs = []
⟨proof⟩

lemma list_all3_Cons:
list_all3 P xs ys (z # zs) ↔ (∃x xs' y ys'. xs = x # xs' ∧ ys = y # ys' ∧ P x y z ∧ list_all3 P xs' ys' zs)
list_all3 P xs (y # ys) zs ↔ (∃x xs' z zs'. xs = x # xs' ∧ zs = z # zs' ∧ P x y z ∧ list_all3 P xs' ys zs')
list_all3 P (x # xs) ys zs ↔ (∃y ys' z zs'. ys = y # ys' ∧ zs = z # zs' ∧ P x y z ∧ list_all3 P xs' ys' zs')
⟨proof⟩

lemma list_all3_mono_strong: list_all3 P xs ys zs ==>
(∀x y z. x ∈ set xs ==> y ∈ set ys ==> z ∈ set zs ==> P x y z ==> Q x y z) ==>
list_all3 Q xs ys zs
⟨proof⟩

definition Mini where
Mini i js = (if js = [] then i else Min (set js))

lemma wf_mbufn_in_set_Mini:
assumes wf_mbufn i js Ps buf
shows [] ∈ set buf ==> Mini i js = i
⟨proof⟩

lemma wf_mbufn_notin_set:
assumes wf_mbufn i js Ps buf
shows [] ∉ set buf ==> j ∈ set js ==> i < j
⟨proof⟩

lemma wf_mbufn_map_tl:
wf_mbufn i js Ps buf ==> [] ∉ set buf ==> wf_mbufn (Suc i) js Ps (map tl buf)
⟨proof⟩

lemma list_all3_list_all2I: list_all3 (λx y z. Q x z) xs ys zs ==> list_all2 Q xs zs

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma mbuf2t_take_eqD:
assumes mbuf2t_take f z buf nts = (z', buf', nts')
  and wf_mbuf2 i ja jb P Q buf
  and list_all2 R [i..<j] nts
  and ja ≤ j jb ≤ j
shows wf_mbuf2 (min ja jb) ja jb P Q buf'
  and list_all2 R [min ja jb..<j] nts'
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma wf_mbufn_take:
assumes mbufn_take f z buf = (z', buf')
  and wf_mbufn i js Ps buf
shows wf_mbufn (Mini i js) js Ps buf'
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma mbufnt_take_eqD:
assumes mbufnt_take f z buf nts = (z', buf', nts')
  and wf_mbufn i js Ps buf
  and list_all2 R [i..<j] nts
  and  $\bigwedge k. k \in \text{set } js \implies k \leq j$ 
  and  $k = \text{Mini} (i + \text{length } nts) \in js$ 
shows wf_mbufn k js Ps buf'
  and list_all2 R [k..<j] nts'
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma mbuf2t_take_induct[consumes 5, case_names base step]:
assumes mbuf2t_take f z buf nts = (z', buf', nts')
  and wf_mbuf2 i ja jb P Q buf
  and list_all2 R [i..<j] nts
  and ja ≤ j jb ≤ j
  and U i z
  and  $\bigwedge k x y t z. i \leq k \implies \text{Suc } k \leq ja \implies \text{Suc } k \leq jb \implies$ 
     $P k x \implies Q k y \implies R k t \implies U k z \implies U (\text{Suc } k) (f x y t z)$ 
shows U (min ja jb) z'
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma list_all2_hdD:
assumes list_all2 P [i..<j] xs xs ≠ []
shows P i (hd xs) i < j
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma mbufn_take_induct[consumes 3, case_names base step]:
assumes mbufn_take f z buf = (z', buf')
  and wf_mbufn i js Ps buf
  and U i z
  and  $\bigwedge k xs z. i \leq k \implies \text{Suc } k \leq \text{Mini } i js \implies$ 
    list_all2 ( $\lambda P x. P k x$ ) Ps xs  $\implies U k z \implies U (\text{Suc } k) (f xs z)$ 
shows U (Mini i js) z'
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma mbufnt_take_induct[consumes 5, case_names base step]:
assumes mbufnt_take f z buf nts = (z', buf', nts')
  and wf_mbufn i js Ps buf
  and list_all2 R [i..<j] nts
  and  $\bigwedge k. k \in \text{set } js \implies k \leq j$ 
  and U i z
```

and $\bigwedge k \ xs \ t \ z. \ i \leq k \implies \text{Suc } k \leq \text{Mini } j \ js \implies$
 $\text{list_all2 } (\lambda P \ x. \ P \ k \ x) \ Ps \ xs \implies R \ k \ t \implies U \ k \ z \implies U \ (\text{Suc } k) \ (f \ xs \ t \ z)$
shows $U \ (\text{Mini } (i + \text{length } nts) \ js) \ z'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{mbuf2_take_add}'$:
assumes $\text{eq: } \text{mbuf2_take } f \ (\text{mbuf2_add } xs \ ys \ buf) = (zs, \ buf')$
and $\text{pre: } \text{wf_mbuf2}' \sigma \ P \ V \ j \ n \ R \ \varphi \ \psi \ buf$
and $\text{rm: rel_mapping } (\leq) \ P \ P'$
and $\text{xs: list_all2 } (\lambda i. \ qtable \ n \ (\text{Formula.fv } \varphi) \ (\text{mem_restr } R) \ (\lambda v. \ \text{Formula.sat } \sigma \ V \ (\text{map the } v) \ i \ \varphi))$
 $[\text{progress } \sigma \ P \ \varphi \ j..<\text{progress } \sigma \ P' \ \varphi \ j'] \ xs$
and $\text{ys: list_all2 } (\lambda i. \ qtable \ n \ (\text{Formula.fv } \psi) \ (\text{mem_restr } R) \ (\lambda v. \ \text{Formula.sat } \sigma \ V \ (\text{map the } v) \ i \ \psi))$
 $[\text{progress } \sigma \ P \ \psi \ j..<\text{progress } \sigma \ P' \ \psi \ j'] \ ys$
and $j \leq j'$
shows $\text{wf_mbuf2}' \sigma \ P' \ V \ j' \ n \ R \ \varphi \ \psi \ buf'$
and $\text{list_all2 } (\lambda i. \ Z. \ \exists X. \ Y)$
 $qtable \ n \ (\text{Formula.fv } \varphi) \ (\text{mem_restr } R) \ (\lambda v. \ \text{Formula.sat } \sigma \ V \ (\text{map the } v) \ i \ \varphi) \ X \wedge$
 $qtable \ n \ (\text{Formula.fv } \psi) \ (\text{mem_restr } R) \ (\lambda v. \ \text{Formula.sat } \sigma \ V \ (\text{map the } v) \ i \ \psi) \ Y \wedge$
 $Z = f \ X \ Y)$
 $[\min \ (\text{progress } \sigma \ P \ \varphi \ j) \ (\text{progress } \sigma \ P \ \psi \ j)..<\min \ (\text{progress } \sigma \ P' \ \varphi \ j') \ (\text{progress } \sigma \ P' \ \psi \ j')] \ zs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{mbuf2t_take_add}'$:
assumes $\text{eq: } \text{mbuf2t_take } f \ z \ (\text{mbuf2_add } xs \ ys \ buf) \ nts = (z', \ buf', \ nts')$
and $\text{bounded: pred_mapping } (\lambda x. \ x \leq j) \ P \ \text{pred_mapping } (\lambda x. \ x \leq j') \ P'$
and $\text{rm: rel_mapping } (\leq) \ P \ P'$
and $\text{pre_buf: wf_mbuf2}' \sigma \ P \ V \ j \ n \ R \ \varphi \ \psi \ buf$
and $\text{pre_nts: list_all2 } (\lambda i. \ t. \ t = \tau \sigma \ i) \ [\min \ (\text{progress } \sigma \ P \ \varphi \ j) \ (\text{progress } \sigma \ P \ \psi \ j)..<j'] \ nts$
and $\text{xs: list_all2 } (\lambda i. \ qtable \ n \ (\text{Formula.fv } \varphi) \ (\text{mem_restr } R) \ (\lambda v. \ \text{Formula.sat } \sigma \ V \ (\text{map the } v) \ i \ \varphi))$
 $[\text{progress } \sigma \ P \ \varphi \ j..<\text{progress } \sigma \ P' \ \varphi \ j'] \ xs$
and $\text{ys: list_all2 } (\lambda i. \ qtable \ n \ (\text{Formula.fv } \psi) \ (\text{mem_restr } R) \ (\lambda v. \ \text{Formula.sat } \sigma \ V \ (\text{map the } v) \ i \ \psi))$
 $[\text{progress } \sigma \ P \ \psi \ j..<\text{progress } \sigma \ P' \ \psi \ j'] \ ys$
and $j \leq j'$
shows $\text{wf_mbuf2}' \sigma \ P' \ V \ j' \ n \ R \ \varphi \ \psi \ buf'$
and $\text{wf_ts } \sigma \ P' \ j' \ \varphi \ \psi \ nts'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma ok_0_atms : $\text{ok } 0 \ mr \implies \text{regex.atms } (\text{from_mregex } mr \ \square) = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma ok_0_progress : $\text{ok } 0 \ mr \implies \text{progress_regex } \sigma \ P \ (\text{from_mregex } mr \ \square) \ j = j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma atms_empty_atms : $\text{safe_regex } m \ g \ r \implies \text{atms } r = \{\} \longleftrightarrow \text{regex.atms } r = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{atms_empty_progress}$: $\text{safe_regex } m \ g \ r \implies \text{atms } r = \{\} \implies \text{progress_regex } \sigma \ P \ r \ j = j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{to_mregex_empty_progress}$: $\text{safe_regex } m \ g \ r \implies \text{to_mregex } r = (mr, \ \square) \implies$
 $\text{progress_regex } \sigma \ P \ r \ j = j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma progress_regex_le : $\text{pred_mapping } (\lambda x. \ x \leq j) \ P \implies \text{progress_regex } \sigma \ P \ r \ j \leq j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma Neg_acyclic : $\text{formula.Neg } x = x \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma case_Neg_in_iff:  $x \in (\text{case } y \text{ of formula.Neg } \varphi' \Rightarrow \{\varphi'\} \mid \_ \Rightarrow \{\}) \longleftrightarrow y = \text{formula.Neg } x$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma atms_nonempty_progress:
   $\text{safe\_regex } m \ g \ r \implies \text{atms } r \neq \{\} \implies (\lambda \varphi. \text{progress } \sigma \ P \ \varphi \ j) \cdot \text{atms } r = (\lambda \varphi. \text{progress } \sigma \ P \ \varphi \ j) \cdot \text{regex.atms } r$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma to_mregex_nonempty_progress:  $\text{safe\_regex } m \ g \ r \implies \text{to\_mregex } r = (mr, \varphi s) \implies \varphi s \neq [] \implies$ 
   $\text{progress\_regex } \sigma \ P \ r \ j = (\text{MIN } \varphi \in \text{set } \varphi s. \text{progress } \sigma \ P \ \varphi \ j)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma to_mregex_progress:  $\text{safe\_regex } m \ g \ r \implies \text{to\_mregex } r = (mr, \varphi s) \implies$ 
   $\text{progress\_regex } \sigma \ P \ r \ j = (\text{if } \varphi s = [] \text{ then } j \text{ else } (\text{MIN } \varphi \in \text{set } \varphi s. \text{progress } \sigma \ P \ \varphi \ j))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma mbufnt_take_add':
  assumes eq:  $\text{mbufnt\_take } f \ z \ (\text{mbufn\_add } xss \ buf) \ nts = (z', \ buf', \ nts')$ 
  and bounded:  $\text{pred\_mapping } (\lambda x. x \leq j) \ P \ \text{pred\_mapping } (\lambda x. x \leq j') \ P'$ 
  and rm:  $\text{rel\_mapping } (\leq) \ P \ P'$ 
  and safe:  $\text{safe\_regex } m \ g \ r$ 
  and mr:  $\text{to\_mregex } r = (mr, \varphi s)$ 
  and pre_buf:  $\text{wf\_mbufn}' \sigma \ P \ V \ j \ n \ R \ r \ buf$ 
  and pre_nts:  $\text{list\_all2 } (\lambda i. t. t = \tau \sigma i) [\text{progress\_regex } \sigma \ P \ r \ j..<j] \ nts$ 
  and xss:  $\text{list\_all3 } \text{list\_all2}$ 
     $(\text{map } (\lambda \varphi \ i. \text{qtable } n \ (\text{fv } \varphi) \ (\text{mem\_restr } R) \ (\lambda v. \text{Formula.sat } \sigma \ V \ (\text{map the } v) \ i \ \varphi)) \ \varphi s)$ 
     $(\text{map2 } \text{upt} \ (\text{map } (\lambda \varphi. \text{progress } \sigma \ P \ \varphi \ j) \ \varphi s) \ (\text{map } (\lambda \varphi. \text{progress } \sigma \ P' \ \varphi \ j') \ \varphi s)) \ xss$ 
  and  $j \leq j'$ 
  shows  $\text{wf\_mbufn}' \sigma \ P' \ V \ j' \ n \ R \ r \ buf'$ 
    and  $\text{wf\_ts\_regex } \sigma \ P' \ j' \ r \ nts'$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma mbuf2t_take_add_induct'[consumes 6, case_names base step]:
  assumes eq:  $\text{mbuf2t\_take } f \ z \ (\text{mbuf2\_add } xs \ ys \ buf) \ nts = (z', \ buf', \ nts')$ 
  and bounded:  $\text{pred\_mapping } (\lambda x. x \leq j) \ P \ \text{pred\_mapping } (\lambda x. x \leq j') \ P'$ 
  and rm:  $\text{rel\_mapping } (\leq) \ P \ P'$ 
  and pre_buf:  $\text{wf\_mbuf2}' \sigma \ P \ V \ j \ n \ R \ \varphi \ \psi \ buf$ 
  and pre_nts:  $\text{list\_all2 } (\lambda i. t. t = \tau \sigma i) [\min \ (\text{progress } \sigma \ P \ \varphi \ j) \ (\text{progress } \sigma \ P \ \psi \ j)..<j] \ nts$ 
  and xs:  $\text{list\_all2 } (\lambda i. \text{qtable } n \ (\text{Formula.fv } \varphi) \ (\text{mem\_restr } R) \ (\lambda v. \text{Formula.sat } \sigma \ V \ (\text{map the } v) \ i \ \varphi))$ 
     $[\text{progress } \sigma \ P \ \varphi \ j..<\text{progress } \sigma \ P' \ \varphi \ j'] \ xs$ 
  and ys:  $\text{list\_all2 } (\lambda i. \text{qtable } n \ (\text{Formula.fv } \psi) \ (\text{mem\_restr } R) \ (\lambda v. \text{Formula.sat } \sigma \ V \ (\text{map the } v) \ i \ \psi))$ 
     $[\text{progress } \sigma \ P \ \psi \ j..<\text{progress } \sigma \ P' \ \psi \ j'] \ ys$ 
  and  $j \leq j'$ 
  and base:  $U \ (\min \ (\text{progress } \sigma \ P \ \varphi \ j) \ (\text{progress } \sigma \ P \ \psi \ j)) \ z$ 
  and step:  $\bigwedge k \ X \ Y \ z. \min \ (\text{progress } \sigma \ P \ \varphi \ j) \ (\text{progress } \sigma \ P \ \psi \ j) \leq k \implies$ 
     $\text{Suc } k \leq \text{progress } \sigma \ P' \ \varphi \ j' \implies \text{Suc } k \leq \text{progress } \sigma \ P' \ \psi \ j' \implies$ 
     $\text{qtable } n \ (\text{Formula.fv } \varphi) \ (\text{mem\_restr } R) \ (\lambda v. \text{Formula.sat } \sigma \ V \ (\text{map the } v) \ k \ \varphi) \ X \implies$ 
     $\text{qtable } n \ (\text{Formula.fv } \psi) \ (\text{mem\_restr } R) \ (\lambda v. \text{Formula.sat } \sigma \ V \ (\text{map the } v) \ k \ \psi) \ Y \implies$ 
     $U \ k \ z \implies U \ (\text{Suc } k) \ (f \ X \ Y \ (\tau \sigma k) \ z)$ 
  shows  $U \ (\min \ (\text{progress } \sigma \ P' \ \varphi \ j') \ (\text{progress } \sigma \ P' \ \psi \ j')) \ z'$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma mbufnt_take_add_induct'[consumes 6, case_names base step]:
  assumes eq:  $\text{mbufnt\_take } f \ z \ (\text{mbufn\_add } xss \ buf) \ nts = (z', \ buf', \ nts')$ 
  and bounded:  $\text{pred\_mapping } (\lambda x. x \leq j) \ P \ \text{pred\_mapping } (\lambda x. x \leq j') \ P'$ 
  and rm:  $\text{rel\_mapping } (\leq) \ P \ P'$ 
  and safe:  $\text{safe\_regex } m \ g \ r$ 

```

```

and mr: to_mregex r = (mr, φs)
and pre_buf: wf_mbufn' σ P V j n R r buf
and pre_nts: list_all2 (λi t. t = τ σ i) [progress_regex σ P r j..<j'] nts
and xss: list_all3 list_all2
  (map (λφ i. qtable n (fv φ) (mem_restr R) (λv. Formula.sat σ V (map the v) i φ)) φs)
  (map2 upt (map (λφ. progress σ P φ j) φs) (map (λφ. progress σ P' φ j') φs)) xss)
and j ≤ j'
and base: U (progress_regex σ P r j) z
and step:  $\bigwedge k Xs z. \text{progress\_regex } \sigma P r j \leq k \implies \text{Suc } k \leq \text{progress\_regex } \sigma P' r j' \implies$ 
  list_all2 (λφ. qtable n (Formula.fv φ) (mem_restr R) (λv. Formula.sat σ V (map the v) k φ)) φs
Xs  $\implies$ 
  U k z  $\implies$  U (Suc k) (f Xs (τ σ k) z)
shows U (progress_regex σ P' r j') z'
{proof}

lemma progress_Until_le: progress σ P (Formula.Until φ I ψ) j ≤ min (progress σ P φ j) (progress σ P ψ j)
{proof}

lemma progress_MatchF_le: progress σ P (Formula.MatchF I r) j ≤ progress_regex σ P r j
{proof}

lemma list_all2_upt_Cons: P a x  $\implies$  list_all2 P [Suc a..<b] xs  $\implies$  Suc a ≤ b  $\implies$ 
  list_all2 P [a..<b] (x # xs)
{proof}

lemma list_all2_upt_append: list_all2 P [a..<b] xs  $\implies$  list_all2 P [b..<c] ys  $\implies$ 
  a ≤ b  $\implies$  b ≤ c  $\implies$  list_all2 P [a..<c] (xs @ ys)
{proof}

lemma list_all3_list_all2_conv: list_all3 R xs xs ys = list_all2 (λx. R x x) xs ys
{proof}

lemma map_split_map: map_split f (map g xs) = map_split (f o g) xs
{proof}

lemma map_split_alt: map_split f xs = (map (fst o f) xs, map (snd o f) xs)
{proof}

lemma fv_formula_of_constraint: fv (formula_of_constraint (t1, p, c, t2)) = fv_trm t1 ∪ fv_trm t2
{proof}

lemma (in maux) wf_mformula_wf_set: wf_mformula σ j P V n R φ φ'  $\implies$  wf_set n (Formula.fv φ')
{proof}

lemma qtable_mmulti_join:
assumes pos: list_all3 (λA Qi X. qtable n A P Qi X ∧ wf_set n A) A_pos Q_pos L_pos
and neg: list_all3 (λA Qi X. qtable n A P Qi X ∧ wf_set n A) A_neg Q_neg L_neg
and C_eq: C = ∪(set A_pos) and L_eq: L = L_pos @ L_neg
and A_pos ≠ [] and fv_subset: ∪(set A_neg) ⊆ ∪(set A_pos)
and restrict_pos: λx. wf_tuple n C x  $\implies$  P x  $\implies$  list_all (λA. P (restrict A x)) A_pos
and restrict_neg: λx. wf_tuple n C x  $\implies$  P x  $\implies$  list_all (λA. P (restrict A x)) A_neg
and Qs: λx. wf_tuple n C x  $\implies$  P x  $\implies$  Q x  $\leftrightarrow$ 
  list_all2 (λA Qi. Qi (restrict A x)) A_pos Q_pos  $\wedge$ 
  list_all2 (λA Qi. ¬ Qi (restrict A x)) A_neg Q_neg
shows qtable n C P Q (mmulti_join n A_pos A_neg L)
{proof}

```

```

lemma nth_filter:  $i < \text{length } (\text{filter } P \ xs) \implies$   

 $(\bigwedge i'. i' < \text{length } xs \implies P (xs ! i') \implies Q (xs ! i')) \implies Q (\text{filter } P \ xs ! i)$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma nth_partition:  $i < \text{length } xs \implies$   

 $(\bigwedge i'. i' < \text{length } (\text{filter } P \ xs) \implies Q (\text{filter } P \ xs ! i')) \implies$   

 $(\bigwedge i'. i' < \text{length } (\text{filter } (\text{Not} \circ P) \ xs) \implies Q (\text{filter } (\text{Not} \circ P) \ xs ! i')) \implies Q (xs ! i)$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma qtable_bin_join:  

assumes qtable n A P Q1 X qtable n B P Q2 Y  $\neg b \implies B \subseteq A \ C = A \cup B$   

 $\bigwedge x. \text{wf\_tuple } n \ C \ x \implies P \ x \implies P (\text{restrict } A \ x) \wedge P (\text{restrict } B \ x)$   

 $\bigwedge x. b \implies \text{wf\_tuple } n \ C \ x \implies P \ x \implies Q \ x \longleftrightarrow Q1 (\text{restrict } A \ x) \wedge Q2 (\text{restrict } B \ x)$   

 $\bigwedge x. \neg b \implies \text{wf\_tuple } n \ C \ x \implies P \ x \implies Q \ x \longleftrightarrow Q1 (\text{restrict } A \ x) \wedge \neg Q2 (\text{restrict } B \ x)$   

shows qtable n C P Q (bin_join n A X b B Y)  

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma restrict_update:  $y \notin A \implies y < \text{length } x \implies \text{restrict } A (x[y:=z]) = \text{restrict } A \ x$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma qtable_assign:  

assumes qtable n A P Q X  

 $y < n \ \text{insert } y \ A = A' \ y \notin A$   

 $\bigwedge x'. \text{wf\_tuple } n \ A' \ x' \implies P \ x' \implies P (\text{restrict } A \ x')$   

 $\bigwedge x. \text{wf\_tuple } n \ A \ x \implies P \ x \implies Q \ x \implies Q' (x[y:=\text{Some } (f \ x)])$   

 $\bigwedge x'. \text{wf\_tuple } n \ A' \ x' \implies P \ x' \implies Q' \ x' \implies Q (\text{restrict } A \ x') \wedge x' ! y = \text{Some } (f (\text{restrict } A \ x'))$   

shows qtable n A' P Q' (( $\lambda x. x[y:=\text{Some } (f \ x)]$ ) ' X) (is qtable_ _ _ _ ?Y)  

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sat_the_update:  $y \notin \text{fv } \varphi \implies \text{Formula.sat } \sigma \ V (\text{map the } (x[y:=z])) \ i \ \varphi = \text{Formula.sat } \sigma \ V (\text{map the } x) \ i \ \varphi$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma progress_constraint: progress  $\sigma \ P (\text{formula\_of\_constraint } c) \ j = j$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma qtable_filter:  

assumes qtable n A P Q X  

 $\bigwedge x. \text{wf\_tuple } n \ A \ x \implies P \ x \implies Q \ x \wedge R \ x \longleftrightarrow Q' \ x$   

shows qtable n A P Q' (Set.filter R X) (is qtable_ _ _ _ ?Y)  

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma eval_constraint_sat_eq: wf_tuple n A x  $\implies \text{fv\_trm } t1 \subseteq A \implies \text{fv\_trm } t2 \subseteq A \implies$   

 $\forall i \in A. i < n \implies \text{eval\_constraint } (t1, p, c, t2) \ x =$   

 $\text{Formula.sat } \sigma \ V (\text{map the } x) \ i (\text{formula\_of\_constraint } (t1, p, c, t2))$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

declare progress_le_gen[simp]

definition wf_envs  $\sigma \ j \ P \ P' \ V \ db =$   

 $(\text{dom } V = \text{dom } P \wedge$   

 $\text{Mapping.keys } db = \text{dom } P \cup \{p. p \in \text{fst } ' \Gamma \ \sigma \ j\} \wedge$   

 $\text{rel\_mapping } (\leq) \ P \ P' \wedge$   

 $\text{pred\_mapping } (\lambda i. i \leq j) \ P \wedge$   

 $\text{pred\_mapping } (\lambda i. i \leq \text{Suc } j) \ P' \wedge$   

 $(\forall p \in \text{Mapping.keys } db - \text{dom } P. \text{the } (\text{Mapping.lookup } db \ p) = [\{ts. (p, ts) \in \Gamma \ \sigma \ j\}]) \wedge$   

 $(\forall p \in \text{dom } P. \text{list\_all2 } (\lambda i \ X. X = \text{the } (V \ p) \ i) [\text{the } (P \ p) .. < \text{the } (P' \ p)] (\text{the } (\text{Mapping.lookup } db \ p)))$ 
```

```

lift_definition mk_db :: (Formula.name × event_data list) set ⇒ Formula.database is
   $\lambda X p. (\text{if } p \in \text{fst } X \text{ then Some } [\{ts. (p, ts) \in X\}] \text{ else None}) \langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma wf_envs_mk_db: wf_envs σ j Map.empty Map.empty Map.empty (mk_db (Γ σ j))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma wf_envs_update:
  assumes wf_envs: wf_envs σ j P P' V db
    and m_eq: m = Formula.nfv φ
    and in_fv: {0 .. < m} ⊆ fv φ
    and xs: list_all2 (λi. qtable m (Formula.fv φ) (mem_restr UNIV) (λv. Formula.sat σ V (map the v) i φ))
      [progress σ P φ j..<progress σ P' φ (Suc j)] xs
  shows wf_envs σ j (P(p ↦ progress σ P φ j)) (P'(p ↦ progress σ P' φ (Suc j)))
    (V(p ↦ λi. {v. length v = m ∧ Formula.sat σ V v i φ}))  

    (Mapping.update p (map (image (map the)) xs) db)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma wf_envs_P_simps[simp]:
  wf_envs σ j P P' V db ⇒ pred_mapping (λi. i ≤ j) P
  wf_envs σ j P P' V db ⇒ pred_mapping (λi. i ≤ Suc j) P'
  wf_envs σ j P P' V db ⇒ rel_mapping (≤) P P'
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma wf_envs_progress_le[simp]:
  wf_envs σ j P P' V db ⇒ progress σ P φ j ≤ j
  wf_envs σ j P P' V db ⇒ progress σ P' φ (Suc j) ≤ Suc j
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma wf_envs_progress_regex_le[simp]:
  wf_envs σ j P P' V db ⇒ progress_regex σ P r j ≤ j
  wf_envs σ j P P' V db ⇒ progress_regex σ P' r (Suc j) ≤ Suc j
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma wf_envs_progress_mono[simp]:
  wf_envs σ j P P' V db ⇒ a ≤ b ⇒ progress σ P φ a ≤ progress σ P' φ b
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma qtable_wf_tuple_cong: qtable n A P Q X ⇒ A = B ⇒ (A = B ⇒ (λv. wf_tuple n A v ⇒ P v ⇒ Q v = Q' v) ⇒ qtable n B P Q' X)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in maux) meval:
  assumes wf_mformula σ j P V n R φ φ' wf_envs σ j P P' V db
  shows case meval n (τ σ j) db φ of (xs, φ_n) ⇒ wf_mformula σ (Suc j) P' V n R φ_n φ' ∧
    list_all2 (λi. qtable n (Formula.fv φ') (mem_restr R) (λv. Formula.sat σ V (map the v) i φ'))  

    [progress σ P φ' j..<progress σ P' φ' (Suc j)] xs
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

6.6.4 Monitor step

```

lemma (in maux) wf_mstate_mstep: wf_mstate φ π R st ⇒ last_ts π ≤ snd tdb ⇒
  wf_mstate φ (psnoc π tdb) R (snd (mstep (map_prod mk_db id tdb) st))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

definition flatten_verdicts Vs = (U (set (map (λ(i, X). (λv. (i, v)) ` X) Vs)))

```

```

lemma flatten_verdicts_append[simp]:

```

```

flatten_verdicts (Vs @ Us) = flatten_verdicts Vs ∪ flatten_verdicts Us
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in maux) mstep_output_iff:
  assumes wf_mstate φ π R st last_ts π ≤ snd tdb prefix_of (psnoc π tdb) σ mem_restr R v
  shows (i, v) ∈ flatten_verdicts (fst (mstep (map_prod mk_db id tdb) st)) ←→
    progress σ Map.empty φ (plen π) ≤ i ∧ i < progress σ Map.empty φ (Suc (plen π)) ∧
    wf_tuple (Formula.nfv φ) (Formula.fv φ) v ∧ Formula.sat σ Map.empty (map the v) i φ
⟨proof⟩

```

6.6.5 Monitor function

```
locale verimon = verimon_spec + maux
```

```

lemma (in verimon) mstep_mverdicts:
  assumes wf: wf_mstate φ π R st
  and le[simp]: last_ts π ≤ snd tdb
  and restrict: mem_restr R v
  shows (i, v) ∈ flatten_verdicts (fst (mstep (map_prod mk_db id tdb) st)) ←→
    (i, v) ∈ M (psnoc π tdb) − M π
⟨proof⟩

context maux
begin

primrec msteps0 where
  msteps0 [] st = ([] , st)
| msteps0 (tdb # π) st =
  (let (V', st') = mstep (map_prod mk_db id tdb) st; (V'', st'') = msteps0 π st' in (V' @ V'', st''))

primrec msteps0_stateless where
  msteps0_stateless [] st = []
| msteps0_stateless (tdb # π) st = (let (V', st') = mstep (map_prod mk_db id tdb) st in V' @ msteps0_stateless π st')

lemma msteps0_msteps0_stateless: fst (msteps0 w st) = msteps0_stateless w st
⟨proof⟩

lift_definition msteps :: Formula.prefix ⇒ ('msaux, 'muaux) mstate ⇒ (nat × event_data_table) list ×
('msaux, 'muaux) mstate
  is msteps0 ⟨proof⟩

lift_definition msteps_stateless :: Formula.prefix ⇒ ('msaux, 'muaux) mstate ⇒ (nat × event_data_table) list
  is msteps0_stateless ⟨proof⟩

lemma msteps_msteps_stateless: fst (msteps w st) = msteps_stateless w st
⟨proof⟩

lemma msteps0_snoc: msteps0 (π @ [tdb]) st =
  (let (V', st') = msteps0 π st; (V'', st'') = mstep (map_prod mk_db id tdb) st' in (V' @ V'', st''))
⟨proof⟩

lemma msteps_psnoc: last_ts π ≤ snd tdb ⇒ msteps (psnoc π tdb) st =
  (let (V', st') = msteps π st; (V'', st'') = mstep (map_prod mk_db id tdb) st' in (V' @ V'', st''))
⟨proof⟩

definition monitor where
  monitor φ π = msteps_stateless π (minit_safe φ)

```

```

end

lemma Suc_length_conv_snoc: (Suc n = length xs) = ( $\exists y \text{ ys. } xs = ys @ [y] \wedge \text{length } ys = n$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in verimon) wf_mstate_msteps: wf_mstate  $\varphi \pi R st \implies \text{mem_restr } R v \implies \pi \leq \pi' \implies$ 
 $X = msteps(pdrop(\text{plen } \pi) \pi') st \implies wf_mstate \varphi \pi' R (\text{snd } X) \wedge$ 
 $((i, v) \in \text{flatten_verdicts}(\text{fst } X)) = ((i, v) \in M \pi' - M \pi)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in verimon) wf_mstate_msteps_stateless:
  assumes wf_mstate  $\varphi \pi R st \text{mem_restr } R v \pi \leq \pi'$ 
  shows  $(i, v) \in \text{flatten_verdicts}(\text{msteps_stateless}(pdrop(\text{plen } \pi) \pi') st) \longleftrightarrow (i, v) \in M \pi' - M \pi$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in verimon) wf_mstate_msteps_stateless_UNIV: wf_mstate  $\varphi \pi UNIV st \implies \pi \leq \pi' \implies$ 
 $\text{flatten_verdicts}(\text{msteps_stateless}(pdrop(\text{plen } \pi) \pi') st) = M \pi' - M \pi$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in verimon) mverdicts_Nil:  $M pnil = \{\}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

context maux
begin

lemma minit_safe_minit: mmonitorable  $\varphi \implies minit\_safe \varphi = minit \varphi$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma wf_mstate_minit_safe: mmonitorable  $\varphi \implies wf_mstate \varphi pnil R (minit\_safe \varphi)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

lemma (in verimon) monitor_mverdicts: flatten_verdicts (monitor  $\varphi \pi$ ) =  $M \pi$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

6.7 Collected correctness results

```

context verimon
begin

```

We summarize the main results proved above.

1. The term M describes semantically the monitor's expected behaviour:
 - *mono_monitor*: $\pi \leq \pi' \implies M \pi \subseteq M \pi'$
 - *sound_monitor*: $\llbracket (i, v) \in M \pi; \text{prefix_of } \pi \sigma \rrbracket \implies \text{Formula.sat } \sigma (\lambda x. \text{None}) \text{ (map the } v \text{) } i \varphi$
 - *complete_monitor*: $\llbracket \text{prefix_of } \pi \sigma; \text{wf_tuple}(\text{Formula.nfv } \varphi)(\text{fv } \varphi) v; \bigwedge \sigma. \text{prefix_of } \pi \sigma \rrbracket \implies \text{Formula.sat } \sigma (\lambda x. \text{None}) \text{ (map the } v \text{) } i \varphi \rrbracket \implies \exists \pi'. \text{prefix_of } \pi' \sigma \wedge (i, v) \in M \pi'$
 - *sliceable_M*: $\text{mem_restr } S v \implies ((i, v) \in M \text{ (pmap}_\Gamma(\lambda D. D \cap \text{relevant_events } \varphi S) \pi) = ((i, v) \in M \pi)$
2. The executable monitor's online interface *minit_safe* and *mstep* preserves the invariant *wf_mstate* and produces the the verdicts according to M :

- $wf_mstate_minit_safe: mmonitorable \varphi' \implies wf_mstate \varphi' pnil R (minit_safe \varphi')$
- $wf_mstate_mstep: [wf_mstate \varphi' \pi R st; last_ts \pi \leq snd tdb] \implies wf_mstate \varphi' (psnoc \pi tdb) R (snd (mstep (map_prod mk_db id tdb) st))$
- $mstep_mverdicts: [wf_mstate \varphi \pi R st; last_ts \pi \leq snd tdb; mem_restr R v] \implies ((i, v) \in flatten_verdicts (fst (mstep (map_prod mk_db id tdb) st))) = ((i, v) \in M (psnoc \pi tdb) - M \pi)$

3. The executable monitor's offline interface *local.monitor* implements M :

- $monitor_mverdicts: flatten_verdicts (local.monitor \varphi \pi) = M \pi$

end

7 Efficient implementation of temporal operators

7.1 Optimized queue data structure

```

lemma less_enat_iff:  $a < enat i \iff (\exists j. a = enat j \wedge j < i)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

type_synonym 'a queue_t = 'a list × 'a list

definition queue_invariant :: 'a queue_t ⇒ bool where
  queue_invariant q = (case q of ([], []) ⇒ True | (fs, l # ls) ⇒ True | _ ⇒ False)

typedef 'a queue = {q :: 'a queue_t. queue_invariant q}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

setup_lifting type_definition_queue

lift_definition linearize :: 'a queue ⇒ 'a list is ( $\lambda q. case q of (fs, ls) \Rightarrow fs @ rev ls$ )  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lift_definition empty_queue :: 'a queue is ([], [])
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma empty_queue_rep: linearize empty_queue = []
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lift_definition is_empty :: 'a queue ⇒ bool is  $\lambda q. (case q of ([], []) \Rightarrow True | _ \Rightarrow False)$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma linearize_t_Nil: (case q of (fs, ls) ⇒ fs @ rev ls) = []  $\iff q = ([], [])$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma is_empty_alt: is_empty q  $\iff$  linearize q = []
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

fun prepend_queue_t :: 'a ⇒ 'a queue_t ⇒ 'a queue_t where
  prepend_queue_t a ([], []) = ([], [a])
  | prepend_queue_t a (fs, l # ls) = (a # fs, l # ls)
  | prepend_queue_t a (f # fs, []) = undefined

lift_definition prepend_queue :: 'a ⇒ 'a queue ⇒ 'a queue is prepend_queue_t
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma prepend_queue_rep: linearize (prepend_queue a q) = a # linearize q
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lift_definition append_queue :: 'a ⇒ 'a queue ⇒ 'a queue is
  ( $\lambda a\ q.\ \text{case } q \text{ of } (fs, ls) \Rightarrow (fs, a \# ls)$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma append_queue_rep: linearize (append_queue a q) = linearize q @ [a]
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

fun safe_last_t :: 'a queue_t ⇒ 'a option × 'a queue_t where
  | safe_last_t ([] , []) = (None, ([] , []))
  | safe_last_t (fs, l # ls) = (Some l, (fs, l # ls))
  | safe_last_t (f # fs, []) = undefined

lift_definition safe_last :: 'a queue ⇒ 'a option × 'a queue is safe_last_t
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma safe_last_rep: safe_last q = ( $\alpha$ , q')  $\implies$  linearize q = linearize q'  $\wedge$ 
  (case  $\alpha$  of None  $\Rightarrow$  linearize q = []  $|$  Some a  $\Rightarrow$  linearize q ≠ []  $\wedge$  a = last (linearize q))
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

fun safe_hd_t :: 'a queue_t ⇒ 'a option × 'a queue_t where
  | safe_hd_t ([] , []) = (None, ([] , []))
  | safe_hd_t ([] , [l]) = (Some l, ([] , [l]))
  | safe_hd_t ([] , l # ls) = (let fs = rev ls in (Some (hd fs), (fs, [l])))
  | safe_hd_t (f # fs, l # ls) = (Some f, (f # fs, l # ls))
  | safe_hd_t (f # fs, []) = undefined

lift_definition(code_dt) safe_hd :: 'a queue ⇒ 'a option × 'a queue is safe_hd_t
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma safe_hd_rep: safe_hd q = ( $\alpha$ , q')  $\implies$  linearize q = linearize q'  $\wedge$ 
  (case  $\alpha$  of None  $\Rightarrow$  linearize q = []  $|$  Some a  $\Rightarrow$  linearize q ≠ []  $\wedge$  a = hd (linearize q))
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

fun replace_hd_t :: 'a ⇒ 'a queue_t ⇒ 'a queue_t where
  | replace_hd_t a ([] , []) = ([] , [])
  | replace_hd_t a ([] , [l]) = ([] , [a])
  | replace_hd_t a ([] , l # ls) = (let fs = rev ls in (a # tl fs, [l]))
  | replace_hd_t a (f # fs, l # ls) = (a # fs, l # ls)
  | replace_hd_t a (f # fs, []) = undefined

lift_definition replace_hd :: 'a ⇒ 'a queue ⇒ 'a queue is replace_hd_t
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma tl_append: xs ≠ []  $\implies$  tl xs @ ys = tl (xs @ ys)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma replace_hd_rep: linearize q = f # fs  $\implies$  linearize (replace_hd a q) = a # fs
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

fun replace_last_t :: 'a ⇒ 'a queue_t ⇒ 'a queue_t where
  | replace_last_t a ([] , []) = ([] , [])
  | replace_last_t a (fs, l # ls) = (fs, a # ls)
  | replace_last_t a (fs, []) = undefined

lift_definition replace_last :: 'a ⇒ 'a queue ⇒ 'a queue is replace_last_t
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma replace_last_rep: linearize q = fs @ [f]  $\implies$  linearize (replace_last a q) = fs @ [a]
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

fun tl_queue_t :: 'a queue_t  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue_t where
  tl_queue_t ([] , []) = ([] , [])
  | tl_queue_t ([] , [l]) = ([] , [])
  | tl_queue_t ([] , l # ls) = (tl (rev ls) , [l])
  | tl_queue_t (a # as , fs) = (as , fs)

lift_definition tl_queue :: 'a queue  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue is tl_queue_t
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma tl_queue_rep:  $\neg$ is_empty q  $\implies$  linearize (tl_queue q) = tl (linearize q)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma length_tl_queue_rep:  $\neg$ is_empty q  $\implies$ 
  length (linearize (tl_queue q)) < length (linearize q)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma length_tl_queue_safe_hd:
  assumes safe_hd q = (Some a , q')
  shows length (linearize (tl_queue q')) < length (linearize q)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

function dropWhile_queue :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue where
  dropWhile_queue f q = (case safe_hd q of (None , q')  $\Rightarrow$  q'
  | (Some a , q')  $\Rightarrow$  iff a then dropWhile_queue f (tl_queue q') else q')
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
termination
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma dropWhile_hd_tl: xs  $\neq$  []  $\implies$ 
  dropWhile P xs = (if P (hd xs) then dropWhile P (tl xs) else xs)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma dropWhile_queue_rep: linearize (dropWhile_queue f q) = dropWhile f (linearize q)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

function takeWhile_queue :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue where
  takeWhile_queue f q = (case safe_hd q of (None , q')  $\Rightarrow$  q'
  | (Some a , q')  $\Rightarrow$  iff a
    then prepend_queue a (takeWhile_queue f (tl_queue q'))
    else empty_queue)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
termination
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma takeWhile_hd_tl: xs  $\neq$  []  $\implies$ 
  takeWhile P xs = (if P (hd xs) then hd xs # takeWhile P (tl xs) else [])
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma takeWhile_queue_rep: linearize (takeWhile_queue f q) = takeWhile f (linearize q)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

function takedropWhile_queue :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue  $\Rightarrow$  'a queue  $\times$  'a list where
  takedropWhile_queue f q = (case safe_hd q of (None , q')  $\Rightarrow$  (q' , []))
  | (Some a , q')  $\Rightarrow$  iff a
    then (case takedropWhile_queue f (tl_queue q') of (q'' , as)  $\Rightarrow$  (q'' , a # as))

```

```

else (q', [])
⟨proof⟩
termination
⟨proof⟩

lemma takedropWhile_queue_fst: fst (takedropWhile_queue f q) = dropWhile_queue f q
⟨proof⟩

lemma takedropWhile_queue_snd: snd (takedropWhile_queue f q) = takeWhile f (linearize q)
⟨proof⟩

```

7.2 Optimized data structure for Since

```

type_synonym 'a mmsaux = ts × ts × bool list × bool list ×
(ts × 'a table) queue × (ts × 'a table) queue ×
((('a tuple, ts) mapping) × ((('a tuple, ts) mapping)

fun time_mmsaux :: 'a mmsaux ⇒ ts where
time_mmsaux aux = (case aux of (nt, _) ⇒ nt)

definition ts_tuple_rel :: (ts × 'a table) set ⇒ (ts × 'a tuple) set where
ts_tuple_rel ys = {(t, as). ∃ X. as ∈ X ∧ (t, X) ∈ ys}

lemma finite_fst_ts_tuple_rel: finite (fst ‘{tas ∈ ts_tuple_rel (set xs). P tas})
⟨proof⟩

lemma ts_tuple_rel_ext_Cons: tas ∈ ts_tuple_rel {(nt, X)} ⇒
tas ∈ ts_tuple_rel (set ((nt, X) # tass))
⟨proof⟩

lemma ts_tuple_rel_ext_Cons': tas ∈ ts_tuple_rel (set tass) ⇒
tas ∈ ts_tuple_rel (set ((nt, X) # tass))
⟨proof⟩

lemma ts_tuple_rel_intro: as ∈ X ⇒ (t, X) ∈ ys ⇒ (t, as) ∈ ts_tuple_rel ys
⟨proof⟩

lemma ts_tuple_rel_dest: (t, as) ∈ ts_tuple_rel ys ⇒ ∃ X. (t, X) ∈ ys ∧ as ∈ X
⟨proof⟩

lemma ts_tuple_rel_Un: ts_tuple_rel (ys ∪ zs) = ts_tuple_rel ys ∪ ts_tuple_rel zs
⟨proof⟩

lemma ts_tuple_rel_ext: tas ∈ ts_tuple_rel {(nt, X)} ⇒
tas ∈ ts_tuple_rel (set ((nt, Y ∪ X) # tass))
⟨proof⟩

lemma ts_tuple_rel_ext': tas ∈ ts_tuple_rel (set ((nt, X) # tass)) ⇒
tas ∈ ts_tuple_rel (set ((nt, X ∪ Y) # tass))
⟨proof⟩

lemma ts_tuple_rel_mono: ys ⊆ zs ⇒ ts_tuple_rel ys ⊆ ts_tuple_rel zs
⟨proof⟩

lemma ts_tuple_rel_filter: ts_tuple_rel (set (filter (λ(t, X). P t) xs)) =
{(t, X) ∈ ts_tuple_rel (set xs). P t}
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ts_tuple_rel_set_filter:  $x \in \text{ts\_tuple\_rel}(\text{set}(\text{filter } P \text{ xs})) \Rightarrow$ 
 $x \in \text{ts\_tuple\_rel}(\text{set } xs)$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition valid_tuple :: (('a tuple, ts) mapping)  $\Rightarrow$  (ts  $\times$  'a tuple)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
valid_tuple tuple_since = ( $\lambda(t, as).$  case Mapping.lookup tuple_since as of None  $\Rightarrow$  False
| Some t'  $\Rightarrow$  t  $\geq$  t')

definition safe_max :: 'a :: linorder set  $\Rightarrow$  'a option where
safe_max X = (if X = {} then None else Some (Max X))

lemma safe_max_empty: safe_max X = None  $\longleftrightarrow$  X = {}
⟨proof⟩

lemma safe_max_empty_dest: safe_max X = None  $\Rightarrow$  X = {}
⟨proof⟩

lemma safe_max_Some_intro:  $x \in X \Rightarrow \exists y.$  safe_max X = Some y
⟨proof⟩

lemma safe_max_Some_dest_in: finite X  $\Rightarrow$  safe_max X = Some x  $\Rightarrow$  x  $\in$  X
⟨proof⟩

lemma safe_max_Some_dest_le: finite X  $\Rightarrow$  safe_max X = Some x  $\Rightarrow$  y  $\in$  X  $\Rightarrow$  y  $\leq$  x
⟨proof⟩

fun valid_mmsaux :: args  $\Rightarrow$  ts  $\Rightarrow$  'a mmsaux  $\Rightarrow$  'a Monitor.msaux  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
valid_mmsaux args cur (nt, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since) ys  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
(args_L args)  $\subseteq$  (args_R args)  $\wedge$ 
maskL = join_mask (args_n args) (args_L args)  $\wedge$ 
maskR = join_mask (args_n args) (args_R args)  $\wedge$ 
( $\forall (t, X) \in \text{set } ys.$  table (args_n args) (args_R args) X)  $\wedge$ 
table (args_n args) (args_R args) (Mapping.keys tuple_in)  $\wedge$ 
table (args_n args) (args_R args) (Mapping.keys tuple_since)  $\wedge$ 
( $\forall as \in \bigcup(snd ` (\text{set}(\text{linearize data_prev}))).$  wf_tuple (args_n args) (args_R args) as)  $\wedge$ 
cur = nt  $\wedge$ 
ts_tuple_rel (set ys) =
{tas  $\in$  ts_tuple_rel (set (linearize data_prev)  $\cup$  set (linearize data_in))}.
valid_tuple tuple_since tas  $\wedge$ 
sorted (map fst (linearize data_prev))  $\wedge$ 
( $\forall t \in fst ` \text{set}(\text{linearize data_prev}).$  t  $\leq$  nt  $\wedge$  nt - t  $<$  left (args_ivl args))  $\wedge$ 
sorted (map fst (linearize data_in))  $\wedge$ 
( $\forall t \in fst ` \text{set}(\text{linearize data_in}).$  t  $\leq$  nt  $\wedge$  nt - t  $\geq$  left (args_ivl args))  $\wedge$ 
( $\forall as.$  Mapping.lookup tuple_in as = safe_max (fst ` {tas  $\in$  ts_tuple_rel (set (linearize data_in)). valid_tuple tuple_since tas  $\wedge$  as = snd tas}))  $\wedge$ 
( $\forall as \in \text{Mapping.keys tuple_since}.$  case Mapping.lookup tuple_since as of Some t  $\Rightarrow$  t  $\leq$  nt)

lemma Mapping_lookup_filter_keys: k  $\in$  Mapping.keys (Mapping.filter f m)  $\Rightarrow$ 
Mapping.lookup (Mapping.filter f m) k = Mapping.lookup m k
⟨proof⟩

lemma Mapping_filter_keys: ( $\forall k \in \text{Mapping.keys } m.$  P (Mapping.lookup m k))  $\Rightarrow$ 
( $\forall k \in \text{Mapping.keys } ( \text{Mapping.filter } f m).$  P (Mapping.lookup (Mapping.filter f m) k))
⟨proof⟩

lemma Mapping_filter_keys_le: ( $\bigwedge x.$  P x  $\Rightarrow$  P' x)  $\Rightarrow$ 
( $\forall k \in \text{Mapping.keys } m.$  P (Mapping.lookup m k))  $\Rightarrow$  ( $\forall k \in \text{Mapping.keys } m.$  P' (Mapping.lookup m k))

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma Mapping_keys_dest:  $x \in \text{Mapping.keys } f \implies \exists y. \text{Mapping.lookup } f x = \text{Some } y$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma Mapping_keys_intro:  $\text{Mapping.lookup } f x \neq \text{None} \implies x \in \text{Mapping.keys } f$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma valid_mmsaux_tuple_in_keys:  $\text{valid\_mmsaux args cur}$   

 $(nt, gc, maskL, maskR, data\_prev, data\_in, tuple\_in, tuple\_since) ys \implies$   

 $\text{Mapping.keys tuple\_in} = \text{snd} ' \{ \text{tas} \in \text{ts\_tuple\_rel} (\text{set} (\text{linearize data\_in})) .$   

 $\text{valid\_tuple tuple\_since tas} \}$ 
⟨proof⟩

fun init_mmsaux :: args  $\Rightarrow$  'a mmsaux where  

 $\text{init\_mmsaux args} = (0, 0, \text{join\_mask} (\text{args\_n args}) (\text{args\_L args}),$   

 $\text{join\_mask} (\text{args\_n args}) (\text{args\_R args}), \text{empty\_queue}, \text{empty\_queue}, \text{Mapping.empty}, \text{Mapping.empty})$ 

lemma valid_init_mmsaux:  $L \subseteq R \implies \text{valid\_mmsaux} (\text{init\_args I n L R b}) 0$   

 $(\text{init\_mmsaux} (\text{init\_args I n L R b})) []$ 
⟨proof⟩

abbreviation filter_cond X' ts t'  $\equiv (\lambda \text{as }_. \neg (\text{as} \in X' \wedge \text{Mapping.lookup ts as} = \text{Some } t'))$ 

lemma dropWhile_filter:  

 $\text{sorted} (\text{map fst xs}) \implies \forall t \in \text{fst} ' \text{set xs}. t \leq nt \implies$   

 $\text{dropWhile} (\lambda(t, X). \text{enat} (nt - t) > c) xs = \text{filter} (\lambda(t, X). \text{enat} (nt - t) \leq c) xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma dropWhile_filter':  

fixes nt :: nat  

shows sorted (map fst xs)  $\implies \forall t \in \text{fst} ' \text{set xs}. t \leq nt \implies$   

 $\text{dropWhile} (\lambda(t, X). nt - t \geq c) xs = \text{filter} (\lambda(t, X). nt - t < c) xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma dropWhile_filter'':  

 $\text{sorted xs} \implies \forall t \in \text{set xs}. t \leq nt \implies$   

 $\text{dropWhile} (\lambda t. \text{enat} (nt - t) > c) xs = \text{filter} (\lambda t. \text{enat} (nt - t) \leq c) xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma takeWhile_filter:  

 $\text{sorted} (\text{map fst xs}) \implies \forall t \in \text{fst} ' \text{set xs}. t \leq nt \implies$   

 $\text{takeWhile} (\lambda(t, X). \text{enat} (nt - t) > c) xs = \text{filter} (\lambda(t, X). \text{enat} (nt - t) > c) xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma takeWhile_filter':  

fixes nt :: nat  

shows sorted (map fst xs)  $\implies \forall t \in \text{fst} ' \text{set xs}. t \leq nt \implies$   

 $\text{takeWhile} (\lambda(t, X). nt - t \geq c) xs = \text{filter} (\lambda(t, X). nt - t \geq c) xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma takeWhile_filter'':  

 $\text{sorted xs} \implies \forall t \in \text{set xs}. t \leq nt \implies$   

 $\text{takeWhile} (\lambda t. \text{enat} (nt - t) > c) xs = \text{filter} (\lambda t. \text{enat} (nt - t) > c) xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma fold_Mapping_filter_None:  $\text{Mapping.lookup ts as} = \text{None} \implies$   

 $\text{Mapping.lookup} (\text{fold} (\lambda(t, X) ts. \text{Mapping.filter}$ 

```

```

(filter_cond X ts t) ts) ds ts) as = None
⟨proof⟩

lemma Mapping_lookup_filter_Some_P: Mapping.lookup (Mapping.filter P m) k = Some v  $\implies$  P k v
⟨proof⟩

lemma Mapping_lookup_filter_None: ( $\bigwedge v. \neg P k v$ )  $\implies$ 
Mapping.lookup (Mapping.filter P m) k = None
⟨proof⟩

lemma Mapping_lookup_filter_Some: ( $\bigwedge v. P k v$ )  $\implies$ 
Mapping.lookup (Mapping.filter P m) k = Mapping.lookup m k
⟨proof⟩

lemma Mapping_lookup_filter_not_None: Mapping.lookup (Mapping.filter P m) k  $\neq$  None  $\implies$ 
Mapping.lookup (Mapping.filter P m) k = Mapping.lookup m k
⟨proof⟩

lemma fold_Mapping_filter_Some_None: Mapping.lookup ts as = Some t  $\implies$ 
as  $\in$  X  $\implies$  (t, X)  $\in$  set ds  $\implies$ 
Mapping.lookup (fold ( $\lambda(t, X)$  ts. Mapping.filter (filter_cond X ts t) ts) ds ts) as = None
⟨proof⟩

lemma fold_Mapping_filter_Some_Some: Mapping.lookup ts as = Some t  $\implies$ 
( $\bigwedge X. (t, X) \in$  set ds  $\implies$  as  $\notin$  X)  $\implies$ 
Mapping.lookup (fold ( $\lambda(t, X)$  ts. Mapping.filter (filter_cond X ts t) ts) ds ts) as = Some t
⟨proof⟩

fun shift_end :: args  $\Rightarrow$  ts  $\Rightarrow$  'a mmsaux  $\Rightarrow$  'a mmsaux where
shift_end args nt (t, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since) =
 $\begin{aligned} & \text{let } I = \text{args\_ivl args}; \\ & \text{data\_prev}' = \text{dropWhile\_queue} (\lambda(t, X). \text{enat}(nt - t) > \text{right } I) \text{ data\_prev}; \\ & (\text{data\_in}, \text{discard}) = \text{takedropWhile\_queue} (\lambda(t, X). \text{enat}(nt - t) > \text{right } I) \text{ data\_in}; \\ & \text{tuple\_in} = \text{fold} (\lambda(t, X) \text{ tuple\_in}. \text{Mapping.filter} \\ & \quad (\text{filter\_cond } X \text{ tuple\_in } t) \text{ tuple\_in}) \text{ discard tuple\_in } in \\ & (t, gc, maskL, maskR, \text{data\_prev}', \text{data\_in}, \text{tuple\_in}, \text{tuple\_since})) \end{aligned}$ 

lemma valid_shift_end_mmsaux_unfolded:
assumes valid_before: valid_mmsaux args cur
 $\begin{aligned} & (ot, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since) \text{ auxlist} \\ & \text{and } nt\_mono: nt \geq cur \end{aligned}$ 
shows valid_mmsaux args cur (shift_end args nt
 $\begin{aligned} & (ot, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since)) \\ & (\text{filter } (\lambda(t, rel). \text{enat}(nt - t) \leq \text{right } (\text{args\_ivl args})) \text{ auxlist}) \end{aligned}$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma valid_shift_end_mmsaux: valid_mmsaux args cur aux auxlist  $\implies$  nt  $\geq$  cur  $\implies$ 
valid_mmsaux args cur (shift_end args nt aux)
 $\begin{aligned} & (\text{filter } (\lambda(t, rel). \text{enat}(nt - t) \leq \text{right } (\text{args\_ivl args})) \text{ auxlist}) \end{aligned}$ )
⟨proof⟩

setup_lifting type_definition_mapping

lift_definition upd_set :: ('a, 'b) mapping  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) mapping is
 $\lambda m f X a. \text{if } a \in X \text{ then Some } (f a) \text{ else } m a$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Mapping_lookup_upd_set: Mapping.lookup (upd_set m f X) a =
(if a  $\in$  X then Some (f a) else Mapping.lookup m a)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Mapping_upd_set_keys*: $Mapping.keys (upd_set m f X) = Mapping.keys m \cup X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lift_definition *upd_keys_on* :: $('a, 'b) mapping \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) mapping$ **is**
 $\lambda m f X a. case Mapping.lookup m a of Some b \Rightarrow Some (if a \in X then f a b else b)$
 $| None \Rightarrow None$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Mapping_lookup_upd_keys_on*: $Mapping.lookup (upd_keys_on m f X) a =$
 $(case Mapping.lookup m a of Some b \Rightarrow Some (if a \in X then f a b else b) | None \Rightarrow None)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Mapping_upd_keys_sub*: $Mapping.keys (upd_keys_on m f X) = Mapping.keys m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fold_append_queue_rep*: $linearize (fold (\lambda x q. append_queue x q) xs q) = linearize q @ xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Max_Un_absorb*:
assumes $finite X X \neq \{\}$ $finite Y (\bigwedge x y. y \in Y \Rightarrow x \in X \Rightarrow y \leq x)$
shows $Max (X \cup Y) = Max X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Mapping_lookup_fold_upd_set_idle*: $\{(t, X) \in set xs. as \in Z X t\} = \{\} \Rightarrow$
 $Mapping.lookup (fold (\lambda(t, X) m. upd_set m (\lambda_. t) (Z X t)) xs m) as = Mapping.lookup m as$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Mapping_lookup_fold_upd_set_max*: $\{(t, X) \in set xs. as \in Z X t\} \neq \{\} \Rightarrow$
 $sorted (map fst xs) \Rightarrow$
 $Mapping.lookup (fold (\lambda(t, X) m. upd_set m (\lambda_. t) (Z X t)) xs m) as =$
 $Some (Max (fst ' \{(t, X) \in set xs. as \in Z X t\}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

fun *add_new_ts_mmsaux'* :: $args \Rightarrow ts \Rightarrow 'a mmsaux \Rightarrow 'a mmsaux$ **where**
 $add_new_ts_mmsaux' args nt (t, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since) =$
 $(let I = args_ivl args;$
 $(data_prev, move) = takedropWhile_queue (\lambda(t, X). nt - t \geq left I) data_prev;$
 $data_in = fold (\lambda(t, X) data_in. append_queue (t, X) data_in) move data_in;$
 $tuple_in = fold (\lambda(t, X) tuple_in. upd_set tuple_in (\lambda_. t)$
 $\{as \in X. valid_tuple tuple_since (t, as)\}) move tuple_in in$
 $(nt, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since))$

lemma *Mapping_keys_fold_upd_set*: $k \in Mapping.keys (fold (\lambda(t, X) m. upd_set m (\lambda_. t) (Z t X))$
 $xs m) \Rightarrow k \in Mapping.keys m \vee (\exists (t, X) \in set xs. k \in Z t X)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *valid_add_new_ts_mmsaux'_unfolded*:
assumes *valid_before*: $valid_mmsaux args cur$
 $(ot, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since) auxlist$
and *nt_mono*: $nt \geq cur$
shows *valid_mmsaux args nt* (*add_new_ts_mmsaux'* $args nt$
 $(ot, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since)) auxlist$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *valid_add_new_ts_mmsaux'*: $valid_mmsaux args cur aux auxlist \Rightarrow nt \geq cur \Rightarrow$
 $valid_mmsaux args nt (add_new_ts_mmsaux' args nt aux) auxlist$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

definition add_new_ts_mmsaux :: args  $\Rightarrow$  ts  $\Rightarrow$  'a mmsaux  $\Rightarrow$  'a mmsaux where
  add_new_ts_mmsaux args nt aux = add_new_ts_mmsaux' args nt (shift_end args nt aux)

lemma valid_add_new_ts_mmsaux:
  assumes valid_mmsaux args cur aux auxlist nt  $\geq$  cur
  shows valid_mmsaux args nt (add_new_ts_mmsaux args nt aux)
    (filter ( $\lambda(t, rel)$ . enat (nt - t)  $\leq$  right (args_ivl args)) auxlist)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

fun join_mmsaux :: args  $\Rightarrow$  'a table  $\Rightarrow$  'a mmsaux  $\Rightarrow$  'a mmsaux where
  join_mmsaux args X (t, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since) =
    (let pos = args_pos args in
     (if maskL = maskR then
      (let tuple_in = Mapping.filter (join_filter_cond pos X) tuple_in;
       tuple_since = Mapping.filter (join_filter_cond pos X) tuple_since in
       (t, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since))
     else if ( $\forall i \in set maskL$ .  $-i$ ) then
      (let nones = replicate (length maskL) None;
       take_all = (pos  $\longleftrightarrow$  nones  $\in$  X);
       tuple_in = (if take_all then tuple_in else Mapping.empty);
       tuple_since = (if take_all then tuple_since else Mapping.empty) in
       (t, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since))
     else
      (let tuple_in = Mapping.filter ( $\lambda as \_. proj\_tuple\_in\_join pos maskL as X$ ) tuple_in;
       tuple_since = Mapping.filter ( $\lambda as \_. proj\_tuple\_in\_join pos maskL as X$ ) tuple_since in
       (t, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since)))
    )

fun join_mmsaux_abs :: args  $\Rightarrow$  'a table  $\Rightarrow$  'a mmsaux  $\Rightarrow$  'a mmsaux where
  join_mmsaux_abs args X (t, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since) =
    (let pos = args_pos args in
     (let tuple_in = Mapping.filter ( $\lambda as \_. proj\_tuple\_in\_join pos maskL as X$ ) tuple_in;
      tuple_since = Mapping.filter ( $\lambda as \_. proj\_tuple\_in\_join pos maskL as X$ ) tuple_since in
      (t, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since)))

lemma Mapping_filter_cong:
  assumes cong: ( $\bigwedge k v$ .  $k \in Mapping.keys m \implies f k v = f' k v$ )
  shows Mapping.filter f m = Mapping.filter f' m
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma join_mmsaux_abs_eq:
  assumes valid_before: valid_mmsaux args cur
  (nt, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since) auxlist
  and table_left: table (args_n args) (args_L args) X
  shows join_mmsaux args X (nt, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since) =
    join_mmsaux_abs args X (nt, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma valid_join_mmsaux_unfolded:
  assumes valid_before: valid_mmsaux args cur
  (nt, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since) auxlist
  and table_left': table (args_n args) (args_L args) X
  shows valid_mmsaux args cur
    (join_mmsaux args X (nt, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since))
    (map ( $\lambda(t, rel)$ . (t, join rel (args_pos args) X)) auxlist)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma valid_join_mmsaux: valid_mmsaux args cur aux auxlist ==>
  table (args_n args) (args_L args) X ==> valid_mmsaux args cur
  (join_mmsaux args X aux) (map (λ(t, rel). (t, join rel (args_pos args) X)) auxlist)
  ⟨proof⟩

fun gc_mmsaux :: 'a mmsaux => 'a mmsaux where
  gc_mmsaux (nt, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since) =
    (let all_tuples = ∪(snd ‘(set (linearize data_prev) ∪ set (linearize data_in)));
     tuple_since' = Mapping.filter (λas _. as ∈ all_tuples) tuple_since in
     (nt, nt, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since'))

lemma valid_gc_mmsaux_unfolded:
  assumes valid_before: valid_mmsaux args cur (nt, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in,
  tuple_in, tuple_since) ys
  shows valid_mmsaux args cur (gc_mmsaux (nt, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in,
  tuple_in, tuple_since)) ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma valid_gc_mmsaux: valid_mmsaux args cur aux ys ==> valid_mmsaux args cur (gc_mmsaux aux)
ys
  ⟨proof⟩

fun gc_join_mmsaux :: args => 'a table => 'a mmsaux => 'a mmsaux where
  gc_join_mmsaux args X (t, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since) =
    (if enat (t - gc) > right (args_ivl args) then join_mmsaux args X (gc_mmsaux (t, gc, maskL, maskR,
    data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since))
    else join_mmsaux args X (t, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since))

lemma gc_join_mmsaux_alt: gc_join_mmsaux args rel1 aux = join_mmsaux args rel1 (gc_mmsaux
aux) ∨
  gc_join_mmsaux args rel1 aux = join_mmsaux args rel1 aux
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma valid_gc_join_mmsaux:
  assumes valid_mmsaux args cur aux auxlist table (args_n args) (args_L args) rel1
  shows valid_mmsaux args cur (gc_join_mmsaux args rel1 aux)
  (map (λ(t, rel). (t, join rel (args_pos args) rel1)) auxlist)
  ⟨proof⟩

fun add_new_table_mmsaux :: args => 'a table => 'a mmsaux => 'a mmsaux where
  add_new_table_mmsaux args X (t, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since) =
    (let tuple_since = upd_set tuple_since (λ_. t) (X - Mapping.keys tuple_since) in
     (if 0 ≥ left (args_ivl args) then (t, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, append_queue (t, X) data_in,
     upd_set tuple_in (λ_. t) X, tuple_since)
     else (t, gc, maskL, maskR, append_queue (t, X) data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since)))

lemma valid_add_new_table_mmsaux_unfolded:
  assumes valid_before: valid_mmsaux args cur
  (nt, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since) auxlist
  and table_X: table (args_n args) (args_R args) X
  shows valid_mmsaux args cur (add_new_table_mmsaux args X
  (nt, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since))
  (case auxlist of
   [] => [(cur, X)]
   | ((t, y) # ts) => if t = cur then (t, y ∪ X) # ts else (cur, X) # auxlist)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma valid_add_new_table_mmsaux:

```

```

assumes valid_before: valid_mmsaux args cur aux auxlist
and table_X: table (args_n args) (args_R args) X
shows valid_mmsaux args cur (add_new_table_mmsaux args X aux)
  (case auxlist of
    [] => [(cur, X)]
    | ((t, y) # ts) => if t = cur then (t, y ∪ X) # ts else (cur, X) # auxlist)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma foldr_ts_tuple_rel:
  as ∈ foldr (⊔) (concat (map (λ(t, rel). if P t then [rel] else [])) auxlist)) {} ↔
  (exists t. (t, as) ∈ ts_tuple_rel (set auxlist) ∧ P t)
⟨proof⟩

lemma image_snd: (a, b) ∈ X ⇒ b ∈ snd ` X
⟨proof⟩

fun result_mmsaux :: args ⇒ 'a mmsaux ⇒ 'a table where
  result_mmsaux args (nt, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since) =
    Mapping.keys tuple_in

lemma valid_result_mmsaux_unfolded:
  assumes valid_mmsaux args cur
  (t, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since) auxlist
  shows result_mmsaux args (t, gc, maskL, maskR, data_prev, data_in, tuple_in, tuple_since) =
    foldr (⊔) [rel. (t, rel) ← auxlist, left (args_ivl args) ≤ cur - t] {}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma valid_result_mmsaux: valid_mmsaux args cur aux auxlist ==>
  result_mmsaux args aux = foldr (⊔) [rel. (t, rel) ← auxlist, left (args_ivl args) ≤ cur - t] {}
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation default_msaux: msaux valid_mmsaux init_mmsaux add_new_ts_mmsaux gc_join_mmsaux
  add_new_table_mmsaux result_mmsaux
⟨proof⟩

```

7.3 Optimized data structure for Until

type_synonym tp = nat

type_synonym 'a mmuaux = tp × ts queue × nat × bool list × bool list × ('a tuple, tp) mapping × (tp, ('a tuple, ts + tp) mapping) mapping × 'a table list × nat

definition tstop_lt :: ts + tp ⇒ ts ⇒ tp ⇒ bool **where**
 $tstop_lt\ tstop\ ts\ tp = case_sum\ (\lambda ts'. ts' \leq ts)\ (\lambda tp'. tp' < tp)\ tstop$

definition tstop_le :: ts + tp ⇒ ts ⇒ tp ⇒ bool **where**
 $tstop_le\ tstop\ ts\ tp = case_sum\ (\lambda ts'. ts' \leq ts)\ (\lambda tp'. tp' \leq tp)\ tstop$

definition ts_tp_lt :: ts ⇒ tp ⇒ ts + tp ⇒ bool **where**
 $ts_tp_lt\ ts\ tp\ tstop = case_sum\ (\lambda ts'. ts \leq ts')\ (\lambda tp'. tp < tp')\ tstop$

definition ts_tp_lt' :: ts ⇒ tp ⇒ ts + tp ⇒ bool **where**
 $ts_tp_lt'\ ts\ tp\ tstop = case_sum\ (\lambda ts'. ts < ts')\ (\lambda tp'. tp \leq tp')\ tstop$

definition ts_tp_le :: ts ⇒ tp ⇒ ts + tp ⇒ bool **where**
 $ts_tp_le\ ts\ tp\ tstop = case_sum\ (\lambda ts'. ts \leq ts')\ (\lambda tp'. tp \leq tp')\ tstop$

fun max_tstop :: ts + tp ⇒ ts + tp ⇒ ts + tp **where**

```

max_tstp (Inl ts) (Inl ts') = Inl (max ts ts')
| max_tstp (Inr tp) (Inr tp') = Inr (max tp tp')
| max_tstp (Inl ts) _ = Inl ts
| max_tstp _ (Inl ts) = Inl ts

lemma max_tstp_idem: max_tstp (max_tstp x y) y = max_tstp x y
⟨proof⟩

lemma max_tstp_idem': max_tstp x (max_tstp x y) = max_tstp x y
⟨proof⟩

lemma max_tstp_d_d: max_tstp d d = d
⟨proof⟩

lemma max_cases: (max a b = a ==> P) ==> (max a b = b ==> P) ==> P
⟨proof⟩

lemma max_tstpE: isl tstop <=> isl tstop' ==> (max_tstp tstop tstop' = tstop ==> P) ==>
(max_tstp tstop tstop' = tstop' ==> P) ==> P
⟨proof⟩

lemma max_tstp_intro: tstop_lt tstop ts tp ==> tstop_lt tstop' ts tp ==> isl tstop <=> isl tstop' ==>
tstop_lt (max_tstp tstop tstop') ts tp
⟨proof⟩

lemma max_tstp_intro': isl tstop <=> isl tstop' ==>
ts_tp_le ts' tp' tstop ==> ts_tp_le ts' tp' (max_tstp tstop tstop')
⟨proof⟩

lemma max_tstp_intro'': isl tstop <=> isl tstop' ==>
ts_tp_le ts' tp' tstop ==> ts_tp_le ts' tp' (max_tstp tstop tstop')
⟨proof⟩

lemma max_tstp_intro'''': isl tstop <=> isl tstop' ==>
ts_tp_lt' ts' tp' tstop ==> ts_tp_lt' ts' tp' (max_tstp tstop tstop')
⟨proof⟩

lemma max_tstp_intro'''''': isl tstop <=> isl tstop' ==>
ts_tp_lt' ts' tp' tstop ==> ts_tp_lt' ts' tp' (max_tstp tstop tstop')
⟨proof⟩

lemma max_tstp_isl: isl tstop <=> isl tstop' ==> isl (max_tstp tstop tstop') <=> isl tstop
⟨proof⟩

definition filter_a1_map :: bool => tp => ('a tuple, tp) mapping => 'a table where
filter_a1_map pos tp a1_map =
{xs ∈ Mapping.keys a1_map. case Mapping.lookup a1_map xs of Some tp' =>
(pos → tp' ≤ tp) ∧ (¬pos → tp ≤ tp')}
```

definition filter_a2_map :: $\mathcal{I} \Rightarrow ts \Rightarrow tp \Rightarrow (tp, ('a tuple, ts + tp) mapping) mapping \Rightarrow 'a table$ **where**

$filter_a2_map I ts tp a2_map = \{xs. \exists tp' \leq tp. (\text{case } Mapping.lookup a2_map tp' \text{ of Some } m \Rightarrow (case Mapping.lookup m xs of Some tstop \Rightarrow ts_tp_lt' ts tp tstop | _ \Rightarrow False) \mid _ \Rightarrow False)\}$

fun triple_eq_pair :: $('a \times 'b \times 'c) \Rightarrow ('a \times 'd) \Rightarrow ('d \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'd \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$triple_eq_pair (t, a1, a2) (ts', tp') f g \longleftrightarrow t = ts' \wedge a1 = f tp' \wedge a2 = g ts' tp'$

```

fun valid_mmuaux' :: args  $\Rightarrow$  ts  $\Rightarrow$  ts  $\Rightarrow$  'a mmuaux  $\Rightarrow$  'a muaux  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  valid_mmuaux' args cur dt (tp, tss, len, maskL, maskR, a1_map, a2_map,
  done, done_length) auxlist  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  args_L args  $\subseteq$  args_R args  $\wedge$ 
  maskL = join_mask (args_n args) (args_L args)  $\wedge$ 
  maskR = join_mask (args_n args) (args_R args)  $\wedge$ 
  len  $\leq$  tp  $\wedge$ 
  length (linearize tss) = len  $\wedge$  sorted (linearize tss)  $\wedge$ 
  ( $\forall$  t  $\in$  set (linearize tss). t  $\leq$  cur  $\wedge$  enat cur  $\leq$  enat t + right (args_ivl args))  $\wedge$ 
  table (args_n args) (args_L args) (Mapping.keys a1_map)  $\wedge$ 
  Mapping.keys a2_map = {tp - len..tp}  $\wedge$ 
  ( $\forall$  xs  $\in$  Mapping.keys a1_map. case Mapping.lookup a1_map xs of Some tp'  $\Rightarrow$  tp' < tp)  $\wedge$ 
  ( $\forall$  tp'  $\in$  Mapping.keys a2_map. case Mapping.lookup a2_map tp' of Some m  $\Rightarrow$ 
    table (args_n args) (args_R args) (Mapping.keys m)  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\forall$  xs  $\in$  Mapping.keys m. case Mapping.lookup m xs of Some tstp  $\Rightarrow$ 
      tstp_lt tstp (cur - (left (args_ivl args) - 1)) tp  $\wedge$  (isl tstp  $\longleftrightarrow$  left (args_ivl args) > 0)))  $\wedge$ 
    length done = done_length  $\wedge$  length done + len = length auxlist  $\wedge$ 
    rev done = map proj_thd (take (length done) auxlist)  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\forall$  x  $\in$  set (take (length done) auxlist). check_before (args_ivl args) dt x)  $\wedge$ 
    sorted (map fst auxlist)  $\wedge$ 
    list_all2 ( $\lambda$  x y. triple_eq_pair x y ( $\lambda$  tp'. filter_a1_map (args_pos args) tp' a1_map)
    ( $\lambda$  ts' tp'. filter_a2_map (args_ivl args) ts' tp' a2_map)) (drop (length done) auxlist)
    (zip (linearize tss) [tp - len..<tp]))

definition valid_mmuaux :: args  $\Rightarrow$  ts  $\Rightarrow$  'a mmuaux  $\Rightarrow$  'a muaux  $\Rightarrow$ 
  bool where
  valid_mmuaux args cur = valid_mmuaux' args cur cur

fun eval_step_mmuaux :: 'a mmuaux  $\Rightarrow$  'a mmuaux where
  eval_step_mmuaux (tp, tss, len, maskL, maskR, a1_map, a2_map,
  done, done_length) = (case safe_hd tss of (Some ts, tss')  $\Rightarrow$ 
  (case Mapping.lookup a2_map (tp - len) of Some m  $\Rightarrow$ 
    let m = Mapping.filter ( $\lambda$  tstp. ts_tp_lt' ts (tp - len) tstp) m;
    T = Mapping.keys m;
    a2_map = Mapping.update (tp - len + 1)
    (case Mapping.lookup a2_map (tp - len + 1) of Some m'  $\Rightarrow$ 
      Mapping.combine ( $\lambda$  tstp tstp'. max_tstp tstp tstp') m m') a2_map;
    a2_map = Mapping.delete (tp - len) a2_map in
    (tp, tl_queue tss', len - 1, maskL, maskR, a1_map, a2_map,
    T # done, done_length + 1)))
  )

lemma Mapping_update_keys: Mapping.keys (Mapping.update a b m) = Mapping.keys m  $\cup$  {a}
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma drop_is_Cons_take: drop n xs = y # ys  $\implies$  take (Suc n) xs = take n xs @ [y]
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma list_all2_weaken: list_all2 f xs ys  $\implies$ 
  ( $\bigwedge$  x y. (x, y)  $\in$  set (zip xs ys)  $\implies$  f x y  $\implies$  f' x y)  $\implies$  list_all2 f' xs ys
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma Mapping_lookup_delete: Mapping.lookup (Mapping.delete k m) k' =
  (if k = k' then None else Mapping.lookup m k')
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma Mapping_lookup_update: Mapping.lookup (Mapping.update k v m) k' =
  (if k = k' then Some v else Mapping.lookup m k')
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

```

```

lemma hd_le_set: sorted xs ==> x ∈ set xs ==> hd xs ≤ x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Mapping_lookup_combineE: Mapping.lookup (Mapping.combine f m m') k = Some v ==>
  (Mapping.lookup m k = Some v ==> P) ==>
  (Mapping.lookup m' k = Some v ==> P) ==>
  (Λv' v''. Mapping.lookup m k = Some v' ==> Mapping.lookup m' k = Some v'' ==>
  f v' v'' = v ==> P) ==> P
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Mapping_keys_filterI: Mapping.lookup m k = Some v ==> f k v ==>
  k ∈ Mapping.keys (Mapping.filter f m)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Mapping_keys_filterD: k ∈ Mapping.keys (Mapping.filter f m) ==>
  ∃v. Mapping.lookup m k = Some v ∧ f k v
  ⟨proof⟩

fun lin_ts_mmuaux :: 'a mmuaux ⇒ ts list where
  lin_ts_mmuaux (tp, tss, len, maskL, maskR, a1_map, a2_map, done, done_length) =
    linearize tss

lemma valid_eval_step_mmuaux':
  assumes valid_mmuaux' args cur dt aux auxlist
  lin_ts_mmuaux aux = ts # tss'' enat ts + right (args_ivl args) < dt
  shows valid_mmuaux' args cur dt (eval_step_mmuaux aux) auxlist ∧
    lin_ts_mmuaux (eval_step_mmuaux aux) = tss''
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma done_empty_valid_mmuaux'_intro:
  assumes valid_mmuaux' args cur dt
  (tp, tss, len, maskL, maskR, a1_map, a2_map, done, done_length) auxlist
  shows valid_mmuaux' args cur dt
  (tp, tss, len, maskL, maskR, a1_map, a2_map, [], 0)
  (drop (length done) auxlist)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma valid_mmuaux'_mono:
  assumes valid_mmuaux' args cur dt aux auxlist dt ≤ dt'
  shows valid_mmuaux' args cur dt' aux auxlist
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma valid_foldl_eval_step_mmuaux':
  assumes valid_before: valid_mmuaux' args cur dt aux auxlist
  lin_ts_mmuaux aux = tss @ tss'
  Λts. ts ∈ set (take (length tss) (lin_ts_mmuaux aux)) ==> enat ts + right (args_ivl args) < dt
  shows valid_mmuaux' args cur dt (foldl (λaux_. eval_step_mmuaux aux) aux tss) auxlist ∧
    lin_ts_mmuaux (foldl (λaux_. eval_step_mmuaux aux) aux tss) = tss'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sorted_dropWhile_filter: sorted xs ==> dropWhile (λt. enat t + right I < enat nt) xs =
  filter (λt. ¬enat t + right I < enat nt) xs
  ⟨proof⟩

fun shift_mmuaux :: args ⇒ ts ⇒ 'a mmuaux ⇒ 'a mmuaux where
  shift_mmuaux args nt (tp, tss, len, maskL, maskR, a1_map, a2_map, done, done_length) =
    (let tss_list = linearize (takeWhile_queue (λt. enat t + right (args_ivl args) < enat nt) tss) in

```

```

foldl (λaux _. eval_step_mmuaux aux) (tp, tss, len, maskL, maskR,
a1_map, a2_map, done, done_length) tss_list)

lemma valid_shift_mmuaux':
assumes valid_mmuaux' args cur cur aux auxlist nt ≥ cur
shows valid_mmuaux' args cur nt (shift_mmuaux args nt aux) auxlist ∧
(∀ ts ∈ set (lin_ts_mmuaux (shift_mmuaux args nt aux))). ¬enat ts + right (args_ivl args) < nt)
⟨proof⟩

lift_definition upd_set' :: ('a, 'b) mapping ⇒ 'b ⇒ ('b ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'a set ⇒ ('a, 'b) mapping is
λm d f X a. (if a ∈ X then (case Mapping.lookup m a of Some b ⇒ Some (f b) | None ⇒ Some d)
else Mapping.lookup m a) ⟨proof⟩

lemma upd_set'_lookup: Mapping.lookup (upd_set' m d f X) a = (if a ∈ X then
(case Mapping.lookup m a of Some b ⇒ Some (f b) | None ⇒ Some d) else Mapping.lookup m a)
⟨proof⟩

lemma upd_set'_keys: Mapping.keys (upd_set' m d f X) = Mapping.keys m ∪ X
⟨proof⟩

lift_definition upd_nested :: ('a, ('b, 'c) mapping) mapping ⇒
'c ⇒ ('c ⇒ 'c) ⇒ ('a × 'b) set ⇒ ('a, ('b, 'c) mapping) mapping is
λm d f X a. case Mapping.lookup m a of Some m' ⇒ Some (upd_set' m' d f {b. (a, b) ∈ X})
| None ⇒ if a ∈ fst 'X then Some (upd_set' Mapping.empty d f {b. (a, b) ∈ X}) else None ⟨proof⟩

lemma upd_nested_lookup: Mapping.lookup (upd_nested m d f X) a =
(case Mapping.lookup m a of Some m' ⇒ Some (upd_set' m' d f {b. (a, b) ∈ X})
| None ⇒ if a ∈ fst 'X then Some (upd_set' Mapping.empty d f {b. (a, b) ∈ X}) else None)
⟨proof⟩

lemma upd_nested_keys: Mapping.keys (upd_nested m d f X) = Mapping.keys m ∪ fst 'X
⟨proof⟩

fun add_new_mmuaux :: args ⇒ 'a table ⇒ 'a table ⇒ ts ⇒ 'a mmuaux ⇒ 'a mmuaux where
add_new_mmuaux args rel1 rel2 nt aux =
(let (tp, tss, len, maskL, maskR, a1_map, a2_map, done, done_length) =
shift_mmuaux args nt aux;
I = args_ivl args; pos = args_pos args;
new_tstp = (if left I = 0 then Inr tp else Inl (nt - (left I - 1)));
tmp = ∪ ((λas. case Mapping.lookup a1_map (proj_tuple maskL as) of None ⇒
(if ¬pos then {(tp - len, as)} else {})
| Some tp' ⇒ if pos then {(max (tp - len) tp', as)}
else {(max (tp - len) (tp' + 1), as)}) ‘ rel2) ∪ (if left I = 0 then {tp} × rel2 else {});
a2_map = Mapping.update (tp + 1) Mapping.empty
(upd_nested a2_map new_tstp (max_tstp new_tstp) tmp);
a1_map = (if pos then Mapping.filter (λas _. as ∈ rel1)
(upd_set a1_map (λ_. tp) (rel1 - Mapping.keys a1_map)) else upd_set a1_map (λ_. tp) rel1);
tss = append_queue nt tss in
(tp + 1, tss, len + 1, maskL, maskR, a1_map, a2_map, done, done_length))

lemma fst_case: (λx. fst (case x of (t, a1, a2) ⇒ (t, y t a1 a2, z t a1 a2))) = fst
⟨proof⟩

lemma list_all2_in_setE: list_all2 P xs ys ⇒ x ∈ set xs ⇒ (A y. y ∈ set ys ⇒ P x y ⇒ Q) ⇒ Q
⟨proof⟩

lemma list_all2_zip: list_all2 (λx y. triple_eq_pair x y f g) xs (zip ys zs) ⇒
(λy. y ∈ set ys ⇒ Q y) ⇒ x ∈ set xs ⇒ Q (fst x)

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma list_appendE:  $xs = ys @ zs \implies x \in set xs \implies$   

 $(x \in set ys \implies P) \implies (x \in set zs \implies P) \implies P$   

⟨proof⟩

lemma take_takeWhile:  $n \leq length ys \implies$   

 $(\bigwedge y. y \in set (take n ys) \implies P y) \implies$   

 $(\bigwedge y. y \in set (drop n ys) \implies \neg P y) \implies$   

 $take n ys = takeWhile P ys$   

⟨proof⟩

lemma valid_add_new_mmuaux:  

assumes valid_before: valid_mmuaux args cur aux auxlist  

and tabs: table (args_n args) (args_L args) rel1 table (args_n args) (args_R args) rel2  

and nt_mono: nt ≥ cur  

shows valid_mmuaux args nt (add_new_mmuaux args rel1 rel2 nt aux)  

(update_until args rel1 rel2 nt auxlist)  

⟨proof⟩

lemma list_all2_check_before: list_all2 ( $\lambda x y. triple\_eq\_pair x y f g$ ) xs (zip ys zs)  $\implies$   

 $(\bigwedge y. y \in set ys \implies \neg enat y + right I < nt) \implies x \in set xs \implies \neg check\_before I nt x$   

⟨proof⟩

fun eval_mmuaux :: args  $\Rightarrow$  ts  $\Rightarrow$  'a mmuaux  $\Rightarrow$  'a table list  $\times$  'a mmuaux where  

eval_mmuaux args nt aux =  

(let (tp, tss, len, maskL, maskR, a1_map, a2_map, done, done_length) =  

shift_mmuaux args nt aux in  

(rev done, (tp, tss, len, maskL, maskR, a1_map, a2_map, [], 0)))

lemma valid_eval_mmuaux:  

assumes valid_mmuaux args cur aux auxlist nt ≥ cur  

eval_mmuaux args nt aux = (res, aux') eval_until (args_ivl args) nt auxlist = (res', auxlist')  

shows res = res'  $\wedge$  valid_mmuaux args cur aux' auxlist'  

⟨proof⟩

definition init_mmuaux :: args  $\Rightarrow$  'a mmuaux where  

init_mmuaux args = (0, empty_queue, 0,  

join_mask (args_n args) (args_L args), join_mask (args_n args) (args_R args),  

Mapping.empty, Mapping.update 0 Mapping.empty Mapping.empty, [], 0)

lemma valid_init_mmuaux:  $L \subseteq R \implies valid\_mmuaux (init\_args I n L R b) 0$   

(init_mmuaux (init_args I n L R b)) []  

⟨proof⟩

fun length_mmuaux :: args  $\Rightarrow$  'a mmuaux  $\Rightarrow$  nat where  

length_mmuaux args (tp, tss, len, maskL, maskR, a1_map, a2_map, done, done_length) =  

len + done_length

lemma valid_length_mmuaux:  

assumes valid_mmuaux args cur aux auxlist  

shows length_mmuaux args aux = length auxlist  

⟨proof⟩

```

8 Instantiation of the generic algorithm and code setup

declare [[code drop: card]] Set_Impl.card_code[code]

```

instantiation enat :: set_<sub>impl</sub> begin
definition set_<sub>impl</sub>_enat :: (enat, set_<sub>impl</sub>) phantom where
  set_<sub>impl</sub>_enat = phantom set_RBT

instance <proof>
end

derive ccompare Formula.trm
derive (eq) ceq Formula.trm
derive (rbt) set_<sub>impl</sub> Formula.trm
derive (eq) ceq Monitor.mregex
derive ccompare Monitor.mregex
derive (rbt) set_<sub>impl</sub> Monitor.mregex
derive (rbt) mapping_<sub>impl</sub> Monitor.mregex
derive (no) cenum Monitor.mregex
derive (rbt) set_<sub>impl</sub> event_data
derive (rbt) mapping_<sub>impl</sub> event_data

definition add_new_mmuaux' :: args ⇒ event_data table ⇒ event_data table ⇒ ts ⇒ event_data
mmuaux ⇒
  event_data mmuaux where
  add_new_mmuaux' = add_new_mmuaux

interpretation muaux valid_mmuaux init_mmuaux add_new_mmuaux' length_mmuaux eval_mmuaux
<proof>

type_synonym 'a vmsaux = nat × (nat × 'a table) list

definition valid_vmsaux :: args ⇒ nat ⇒ event_data vmsaux ⇒
  (nat × event_data table) list ⇒ bool where
  valid_vmsaux = (λ cur (t, aux) auxlist. t = cur ∧ aux = auxlist)

definition init_vmsaux :: args ⇒ event_data vmsaux where
  init_vmsaux = (λ_. (0, []))

definition add_new_ts_vmsaux :: args ⇒ nat ⇒ event_data vmsaux ⇒ event_data vmsaux where
  add_new_ts_vmsaux = (λ args nt (t, auxlist). (nt, filter (λ(t, rel).
    enat (nt - t) ≤ right (args_ivl args)) auxlist))

definition join_vmsaux :: args ⇒ event_data table ⇒ event_data vmsaux ⇒ event_data vmsaux where
  join_vmsaux = (λ args rel1 (t, auxlist). (t, map (λ(t, rel).
    (t, join rel (args_pos args) rel1)) auxlist))

definition add_new_table_vmsaux :: args ⇒ event_data table ⇒ event_data vmsaux ⇒
  event_data vmsaux where
  add_new_table_vmsaux = (λ args rel2 (cur, auxlist). (cur, (case auxlist of
    [] => [(cur, rel2)]
    | ((t, y) # ts) => if t = cur then (t, y ∪ rel2) # ts else (cur, rel2) # auxlist)))

definition result_vmsaux :: args ⇒ event_data vmsaux ⇒ event_data table where
  result_vmsaux = (λ args (cur, auxlist).
    foldr (λ (t, rel) ← auxlist, left (args_ivl args) ≤ cur - t) {})

type_synonym 'a vmuaux = nat × (nat × 'a table × 'a table) list

definition valid_vmuaux :: args ⇒ nat ⇒ event_data vmuaux ⇒
  (nat × event_data table × event_data table) list ⇒ bool where
  valid_vmuaux = (λ cur (t, aux) auxlist. t = cur ∧ aux = auxlist)

```

```

definition init_vmuaux :: args  $\Rightarrow$  event_data vmuaux where
  init_vmuaux = ( $\lambda$ _. (0, []))

definition add_new_vmuaux :: args  $\Rightarrow$  event_data table  $\Rightarrow$  event_data table  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$ 
  event_data vmuaux  $\Rightarrow$  event_data vmuaux where
  add_new_vmuaux = ( $\lambda$ args rel1 rel2 nt (t, auxlist). (nt, update_until args rel1 rel2 nt auxlist))

definition length_vmuaux :: args  $\Rightarrow$  event_data vmuaux  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  length_vmuaux = ( $\lambda$ _. (_, auxlist). length auxlist)

definition eval_vmuaux :: args  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  event_data vmuaux  $\Rightarrow$ 
  event_data table list  $\times$  event_data vmuaux where
  eval_vmuaux = ( $\lambda$ args nt (t, auxlist).
    (let (res, auxlist') = eval_until (args_ivl args) nt auxlist in (res, (t, auxlist'))))

global_interpretation verimon_maux: maux valid_vmsaux init_vmsaux add_new_ts_vmsaux join_vmsaux
  add_new_table_vmsaux result_vmsaux valid_vmuaux init_vmuaux add_new_vmuaux length_vmuaux
  eval_vmuaux
  defines vminit0 = maux.minit0 (init_vmsaux :: _  $\Rightarrow$  event_data vmsaux) (init_vmuaux :: _  $\Rightarrow$ 
  event_data vmuaux) :: _  $\Rightarrow$  Formula.formula  $\Rightarrow$  _
  and vminit = maux.minit (init_vmsaux :: _  $\Rightarrow$  event_data vmsaux) (init_vmuaux :: _  $\Rightarrow$  event_data
  vmuaux) :: Formula.formula  $\Rightarrow$  _
  and vminit_safe = maux.minit_safe (init_vmsaux :: _  $\Rightarrow$  event_data vmsaux) (init_vmuaux :: _  $\Rightarrow$ 
  event_data vmuaux) :: Formula.formula  $\Rightarrow$  _
  and vmupdate_since = maux.update_since add_new_ts_vmsaux join_vmsaux add_new_table_vmsaux
  (result_vmsaux :: _  $\Rightarrow$  event_data vmsaux  $\Rightarrow$  event_data table)
  and vmeval = maux.meval add_new_ts_vmsaux join_vmsaux add_new_table_vmsaux (result_vmsaux
  :: _  $\Rightarrow$  event_data vmsaux  $\Rightarrow$  _) add_new_vmuaux (eval_vmuaux :: _  $\Rightarrow$  _  $\Rightarrow$  event_data vmuaux  $\Rightarrow$ 
  _)
  and vmstep = maux.mstep add_new_ts_vmsaux join_vmsaux add_new_table_vmsaux (result_vmsaux
  :: _  $\Rightarrow$  event_data vmsaux  $\Rightarrow$  _) add_new_vmuaux (eval_vmuaux :: _  $\Rightarrow$  _  $\Rightarrow$  event_data vmuaux  $\Rightarrow$ 
  _)
  and vmsteps0_stateless = maux.msteps0_stateless add_new_ts_vmsaux join_vmsaux add_new_table_vmsaux
  (result_vmsaux :: _  $\Rightarrow$  event_data vmsaux  $\Rightarrow$  _) add_new_vmuaux (eval_vmuaux :: _  $\Rightarrow$  _  $\Rightarrow$  event_data
  vmuaux  $\Rightarrow$  _)
  and vmsteps_stateless = maux.msteps_stateless add_new_ts_vmsaux join_vmsaux add_new_table_vmsaux
  (result_vmsaux :: _  $\Rightarrow$  event_data vmsaux  $\Rightarrow$  _) add_new_vmuaux (eval_vmuaux :: _  $\Rightarrow$  _  $\Rightarrow$  event_data
  vmuaux  $\Rightarrow$  _)
  and vmonitor = maux.monitor init_vmsaux add_new_ts_vmsaux join_vmsaux add_new_table_vmsaux
  (result_vmsaux :: _  $\Rightarrow$  event_data vmsaux  $\Rightarrow$  _) init_vmuaux add_new_vmuaux (eval_vmuaux :: _  $\Rightarrow$ 
  _  $\Rightarrow$  event_data vmuaux  $\Rightarrow$  _)
  (proof)

global_interpretation default_maux: maux valid_mmsaux init_mmsaux :: _  $\Rightarrow$  event_data mmsaux
  add_new_ts_mmsaux gc_join_mmsaux add_new_table_mmsaux result_mmsaux
  valid_mmuaux init_mmuaux :: _  $\Rightarrow$  event_data mmuaux add_new_mmuaux' length_mmuaux eval_mmuaux
  defines minit0 = maux.minit0 (init_mmsaux :: _  $\Rightarrow$  event_data mmsaux) (init_mmuaux :: _  $\Rightarrow$ 
  event_data mmuaux) :: _  $\Rightarrow$  Formula.formula  $\Rightarrow$  _
  and minit = maux.minit (init_mmsaux :: _  $\Rightarrow$  event_data mmsaux) (init_mmuaux :: _  $\Rightarrow$  event_data
  mmuaux) :: Formula.formula  $\Rightarrow$  _
  and minit_safe = maux.minit_safe (init_mmsaux :: _  $\Rightarrow$  event_data mmsaux) (init_mmuaux :: _  $\Rightarrow$ 
  event_data mmuaux) :: Formula.formula  $\Rightarrow$  _
  and mupdate_since = maux.update_since add_new_ts_mmsaux gc_join_mmsaux add_new_table_mmsaux
  (result_mmsaux :: _  $\Rightarrow$  event_data mmsaux  $\Rightarrow$  event_data table)
  and meval = maux.meval add_new_ts_mmsaux gc_join_mmsaux add_new_table_mmsaux (result_mmsaux
  :: _  $\Rightarrow$  event_data mmsaux  $\Rightarrow$  _) add_new_mmuaux' (eval_mmuaux :: _  $\Rightarrow$  _  $\Rightarrow$  event_data mmuaux
   $\Rightarrow$  _)

```

```

and mstep = maux.mstep add_new_ts_mmsaux gc_join_mmsaux add_new_table_mmsaux (result_mmsaux
:: _ ⇒ event_data mmsaux ⇒ _) add_new_mmuaux' (eval_mmuaux :: _ ⇒ _ ⇒ event_data mmuaux
⇒ _)
and msteps0_stateless = maux.msteps0_stateless add_new_ts_mmsaux gc_join_mmsaux add_new_table_mmsaux
(result_mmsaux :: _ ⇒ event_data mmsaux ⇒ _) add_new_mmuaux' (eval_mmuaux :: _ ⇒ _ ⇒
event_data mmuaux ⇒ _)
and msteps_stateless = maux.msteps_stateless add_new_ts_mmsaux gc_join_mmsaux add_new_table_mmsaux
(result_mmsaux :: _ ⇒ event_data mmsaux ⇒ _) add_new_mmuaux' (eval_mmuaux :: _ ⇒ _ ⇒
event_data mmuaux ⇒ _)
and monitor = maux.monitor init_mmsaux add_new_ts_mmsaux gc_join_mmsaux add_new_table_mmsaux
(result_mmsaux :: _ ⇒ event_data mmsaux ⇒ _) init_mmuaux add_new_mmuaux' (eval_mmuaux :: _ ⇒ _ ⇒
event_data mmuaux ⇒ _)
⟨proof⟩

lemma image_these:  $f`Option.these X = Option.these (map_option f`X)$ 
⟨proof⟩

thm default_maux.meval.simps(2)

lemma meval_MPred: meval n t db (MPred e ts) =
(case Mapping.lookup db e of None ⇒ [] | Some Xs ⇒ map (λX. ∪ v ∈ X.
(set_option (map_option (λf. Table.tabulate f 0 n) (match ts v)))) Xs, MPred e ts)
⟨proof⟩

lemmas meval_code[code] = default_maux.meval.simps(1) meval_MPred default_maux.meval.simps(3–)

definition mk_db :: (Formula.name × event_data list set) list ⇒ _ where
mk_db t = Monitor.mk_db (∪ n ∈ set (map fst t). (λv. (n, v)) ` the (map_of t n))

definition rbt_fold :: _ ⇒ event_data tuple set_rbt ⇒ _ ⇒ _ where
rbt_fold = RBT_Set2.fold

definition rbt_empty :: event_data list set_rbt where
rbt_empty = RBT_Set2.empty

definition rbt_insert :: _ ⇒ event_data list set_rbt where
rbt_insert = RBT_Set2.insert

lemma saturate_commute:
assumes  $\bigwedge s. r \in g s \wedge s. g (insert r s) = g s \wedge s. r \in s \implies h s = g s$ 
and terminates: mono g  $\bigwedge X. X \subseteq C \implies g X \subseteq C$  finite C
shows saturate g {} = saturate h {r}
⟨proof⟩

definition RPDs_aux = saturate (λS. S ∪ ∪ (RPD ` S))

lemma RPDs_aux_code[code]:
 $RPDs\_aux S = (\text{let } S' = S \cup \text{Set.bind } S \text{ RPD in if } S' \subseteq S \text{ then } S \text{ else } RPDs\_aux S')$ 
⟨proof⟩

declare RPDs_code[code del]
lemma RPDs_code[code]: RPDs r = RPDs_aux {r}
⟨proof⟩

definition LPDs_aux = saturate (λS. S ∪ ∪ (LPD ` S))

lemma LPDs_aux_code[code]:
 $LPDs\_aux S = (\text{let } S' = S \cup \text{Set.bind } S \text{ LPD in if } S' \subseteq S \text{ then } S \text{ else } LPDs\_aux S')$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

declare LPDs_code[code del]
lemma LPDs_code[code]: LPDs r = LPDs_aux {r}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma is_empty_table_unfold [code_unfold]:
   $X = \text{empty\_table} \leftrightarrow \text{Set.is\_empty } X$ 
   $\text{empty\_table} = X \leftrightarrow \text{Set.is\_empty } X$ 
   $\text{set\_eq } X \text{ empty\_table} \leftrightarrow \text{Set.is\_empty } X$ 
   $\text{set\_eq } \text{empty\_table } X \leftrightarrow \text{Set.is\_empty } X$ 
   $X = (\text{set\_empty impl}) \leftrightarrow \text{Set.is\_empty } X$ 
   $(\text{set\_empty impl}) = X \leftrightarrow \text{Set.is\_empty } X$ 
   $\text{set\_eq } X (\text{set\_empty impl}) \leftrightarrow \text{Set.is\_empty } X$ 
   $\text{set\_eq } (\text{set\_empty impl}) X \leftrightarrow \text{Set.is\_empty } X$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma tabulate_rbt_code[code]: Monitor.mrtabulate (xs :: mregex list) f =
  (case ID CCOMPARE(mregex) of None  $\Rightarrow$  Code.abort (STR "tabulate RBT_Mapping: ccompare = None")  

   |  $\Rightarrow$  RBT_Mapping (RBT_Mapping2.bulkload (List.map_filter ( $\lambda k.$  let fk = fk in if fk = empty_table  

   then None else Some (k, fk)) xs)))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma combine_Mapping[code]:
  fixes t :: ('a :: ccompare, 'b) mapping_rbt shows
  Mapping.combine f (RBT_Mapping t) (RBT_Mapping u) =
  (case ID CCOMPARE('a) of None  $\Rightarrow$  Code.abort (STR "combine RBT_Mapping: ccompare = None")
   |  $\lambda_.$  Mapping.combine f (RBT_Mapping t) (RBT_Mapping u)
     | Some _  $\Rightarrow$  RBT_Mapping (RBT_Mapping2.join ( $\lambda_. f$ ) t u))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma upd_set_empty[simp]: upd_set m f {} = m
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma upd_set_insert[simp]: upd_set m f (insert x A) = Mapping.update x (f x) (upd_set m f A)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma upd_set_fold:
  assumes finite A
  shows upd_set m f A = Finite_Set.fold ( $\lambda a.$  Mapping.update a (f a)) m A
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lift_definition upd_cfi :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, ('a, 'b) mapping) comp_fun_idem
  is  $\lambda f a m.$  Mapping.update a (f a) m
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma upd_set_code[code]:
  upd_set m f A = (if finite A then set_fold_cfi (upd_cfi f) m A else Code.abort (STR "upd_set: infinite"))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lexordp_eq_code[code]: lexordp_eq xs ys  $\leftrightarrow$  (case xs of []  $\Rightarrow$  True  

  | x # xs  $\Rightarrow$  (case ys of []  $\Rightarrow$  False  

   | y # ys  $\Rightarrow$  if x < y then True else if x > y then False else lexordp_eq xs ys))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition filter_set m X t = Mapping.filter (filter_cond X m t) m

```

```

declare [[code drop: shift_end]]
declare shift_end.simps[folded filter_set_def, code]

lemma upd_set'_empty[simp]: upd_set' m d f {} = m
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma upd_set'_insert: d = f d ⟹ (Λx. f (f x) = f x) ⟹ upd_set' m d f (insert x A) =
  (let m' = (upd_set' m d f A) in case Mapping.lookup m' x of None ⇒ Mapping.update x d m'
  | Some v ⇒ Mapping.update x (f v) m')
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma upd_set'_aux1: upd_set' Mapping.empty d f {b. b = k ∨ (a, b) ∈ A} =
  Mapping.update k d (upd_set' Mapping.empty d f {b. (a, b) ∈ A})
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma upd_set'_aux2: Mapping.lookup m k = None ⟹ upd_set' m d f {b. b = k ∨ (a, b) ∈ A} =
  Mapping.update k d (upd_set' m d f {b. (a, b) ∈ A})
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma upd_set'_aux3: Mapping.lookup m k = Some v ⟹ upd_set' m d f {b. b = k ∨ (a, b) ∈ A} =
  Mapping.update k (f v) (upd_set' m d f {b. (a, b) ∈ A})
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma upd_set'_aux4: k ≠ fst ` A ⟹ upd_set' Mapping.empty d f {b. (k, b) ∈ A} = Mapping.empty
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma upd_nested_empty[simp]: upd_nested m d f {} = m
  ⟨proof⟩

definition upd_nested_step :: 'c ⇒ ('c ⇒ 'c) ⇒ 'a × 'b ⇒ ('a, ('b, 'c) mapping) mapping ⇒
  ('a, ('b, 'c) mapping) mapping where
  upd_nested_step d f x m = (case x of (k, k') ⇒
    (case Mapping.lookup m k of Some m' ⇒
      (case Mapping.lookup m' k' of Some v ⇒ Mapping.update k (Mapping.update k' (f v) m') m
      | None ⇒ Mapping.update k (Mapping.update k' d m') m)
      | None ⇒ Mapping.update k (Mapping.update k' d Mapping.empty) m))

lemma upd_nested_insert:
  d = f d ⟹ (Λx. f (f x) = f x) ⟹ upd_nested m d f (insert x A) =
  upd_nested_step d f x (upd_nested m d f A)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition upd_nested_max_tstp where
  upd_nested_max_tstp m d X = upd_nested m d (max_tstp d) X

lemma upd_nested_max_tstp_fold:
  assumes finite X
  shows upd_nested_max_tstp m d X = Finite_Set.fold (upd_nested_step d (max_tstp d)) m X
  ⟨proof⟩

lift_definition upd_nested_max_tstp_cfi :: ts + tp ⇒ ('a × 'b, ('a, ('b, ts + tp) mapping) mapping) comp_fun_idem
  is λd. upd_nested_step d (max_tstp d)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma upd_nested_max_tstp_code[code]:
  upd_nested_max_tstp m d X = (if finite X then set_fold_cfi (upd_nested_max_tstp_cfi d) m X

```

```

else Code.abort (STR "upd_nested_max_tstp: infinite") ( $\lambda_.\ upd\_nested\_max\_tstp\ m\ d\ X$ )
⟨proof⟩

declare [[code drop: add_new_mmuaux']]
declare add_new_mmuaux'_def[unfolded add_new_mmuaux.simps, folded upd_nested_max_tstp_def,
code]

lemma filter_set_empty[simp]: filter_set m {} t = m
⟨proof⟩

lemma filter_set_insert[simp]: filter_set m (insert x A) t = (let m' = filter_set m A t in
  case Mapping.lookup m' x of Some u ⇒ if t = u then Mapping.delete x m' else m' | _ ⇒ m')
⟨proof⟩

lemma filter_set_fold:
  assumes finite A
  shows filter_set m A t = Finite_Set.fold (λa m.
    case Mapping.lookup m a of Some u ⇒ if t = u then Mapping.delete a m else m | _ ⇒ m) m A
⟨proof⟩

lift_definition filter_cfi :: 'b ⇒ ('a, ('a, 'b) mapping) comp_fun_idem
  is λt a m.
  case Mapping.lookup m a of Some u ⇒ if t = u then Mapping.delete a m else m | _ ⇒ m
⟨proof⟩

lemma filter_set_code[code]:
  filter_set m A t = (if finite A then set_fold_cfi (filter_cfi t) m A else Code.abort (STR "upd_set:
infinite") ( $\lambda_.\ filter\_set\ m\ A\ t$ ))
⟨proof⟩

lemma filter_Mapping[code]:
  fixes t :: ('a :: ccompare, 'b) mapping_rbt shows
  Mapping.filter P (RBT_Mapping t) =
  (case ID CCOMPARE('a) of None ⇒ Code.abort (STR "filter RBT_Mapping: ccompare = None")
  ( $\lambda_.\ Mapping.filter\ P\ (RBT\_Mapping\ t)$ )
  | Some _ ⇒ RBT_Mapping (RBT_Mapping2.filter (case_prod P) t))
⟨proof⟩

definition filter_join pos X m = Mapping.filter (join_filter_cond pos X) m

declare [[code drop: join_mmsaux]]
declare join_mmsaux.simps[folded filter_join_def, code]

lemma filter_join_False_empty: filter_join False {} m = m
⟨proof⟩

lemma filter_join_False_insert: filter_join False (insert a A) m =
  filter_join False A (Mapping.delete a m)
⟨proof⟩

lemma filter_join_False:
  assumes finite A
  shows filter_join False A m = Finite_Set.fold Mapping.delete m A
⟨proof⟩

lift_definition filter_not_in_cfi :: ('a, ('a, 'b) mapping) comp_fun_idem is Mapping.delete
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma filter_join_code[code]:
filter_join pos A m =
(if  $\neg pos \wedge \text{finite } A \wedge \text{card } A < \text{Mapping.size } m$  then set_fold_cfi filter_not_in_cfi m A
else Mapping.filter (join_filter_cond pos A) m)
⟨proof⟩

definition set_minus :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set where
set_minus X Y = X - Y

lift_definition remove_cfi :: ('a, 'a set) comp_fun_idem
is  $\lambda b\ a. a - \{b\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma set_minus_finite:
assumes fin: finite Y
shows set_minus X Y = Finite_Set.fold ( $\lambda a\ X. X - \{a\}$ ) X Y
⟨proof⟩

lemma set_minus_code[code]: set_minus X Y =
(if finite Y  $\wedge$  card Y < card X then set_fold_cfi remove_cfi X Y else X - Y)
⟨proof⟩

declare [[code drop: bin_join]]
declare bin_join.simps[folded set_minus_def, code]

definition remove_Union where
remove_Union A X B = A - ( $\bigcup x \in X. B x$ )

lemma remove_Union_finite:
assumes finite X
shows remove_Union A X B = Finite_Set.fold ( $\lambda x\ A. A - B x$ ) A X
⟨proof⟩

lift_definition remove_Union_cfi :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b set)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b set) comp_fun_idem is  $\lambda B\ x\ A. A - B x$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma remove_Union_code[code]: remove_Union A X B =
(if finite X then set_fold_cfi (remove_Union_cfi B) A X else A - ( $\bigcup x \in X. B x$ ))
⟨proof⟩

lemma tabulate_remdups: Mapping.tabulate xs f = Mapping.tabulate (remdups xs) f
⟨proof⟩

lift_definition clearjunk :: (String.literal  $\times$  event_data list set) list  $\Rightarrow$  (String.literal, event_data list set list) alist is
λt. List.map_filter (λ(p, X). if X = {} then None else Some (p, [X])) (AList.clearjunk t)
⟨proof⟩

lemma map_filter_snd_map_filter: List.map_filter (λ(a, b). if P b then None else Some (f a b)) xs =
map (λ(a, b). f a b) (filter (λx.  $\neg P (\text{snd } x)$ ) xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mk_db_code_alist:
mk_db t = Assoc_List_Mapping (clearjunk t)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mk_db_code[code]:
mk_db t = Mapping.of_alist (List.map_filter (λ(p, X). if X = {} then None else Some (p, [X])))

```

```

(AList.clearjunk t)
⟨proof⟩

declare [[code drop: New_max_getIJ_genericJoin New_max_getIJ_wrapperGenericJoin]]
declare New_max.genericJoin.simps[folded remove_Union_def, code]
declare New_max.wrapperGenericJoin.simps[folded remove_Union_def, code]

```

References

- [1] D. Basin, T. Dardinier, L. Heimes, S. Krstić, M. Raszyk, J. Schneider, and D. Traytel. A formally verified, optimized monitor for metric first-order dynamic logic. In N. Peltier and V. Sofronie-Stokkermans, editors, *IJCAR 2020*, volume 12166 of *LNCS*, pages 432–453. Springer, 2020.
- [2] D. Basin, F. Klaedtke, S. Müller, and E. Zălinescu. Monitoring metric first-order temporal properties. *J. ACM*, 62(2):15:1–15:45, 2015.
- [3] D. Basin, S. Krstić, and D. Traytel. Almost event-rate independent monitoring of metric dynamic logic. In S. K. Lahiri and G. Reger, editors, *RV 2017*, volume 10548 of *LNCS*, pages 85–102. Springer, 2017.
- [4] J. Schneider, D. Basin, S. Krstić, and D. Traytel. A formally verified monitor for metric first-order temporal logic. In B. Finkbeiner and L. Mariani, editors, *RV 2019*, volume 11757 of *LNCS*, pages 310–328. Springer, 2019.