The Inversions of a List

Manuel Eberl

March 17, 2025

Abstract

This entry defines the set of *inversions* of a list, i.e. the pairs of indices that violate sortedness. It also proves the correctness of the well-known $O(n \log n)$ divide-and-conquer algorithm to compute the number of inversions.

Contents

1.1 Definition of inversions	•••	•	•	•	1
1.2 Counting inversions		•			3
1.3 Stability of inversions between lists under permutation	\mathbf{s}				5
1.4 Inversions between sorted lists					6
1.5 Merge sort					8
1.6 Merge sort with inversion counting					9

1 The Inversions of a List

theory List-Inversions imports Main HOL-Combinatorics.Permutations begin

1.1 Definition of inversions

context preorder begin

We define inversions as pair of indices w.r.t. a preorder.

inductive-set inversions :: 'a list \Rightarrow (nat \times nat) set for xs :: 'a list where $i < j \Longrightarrow j < length xs \Longrightarrow less (xs ! j) (xs ! i) \Longrightarrow (i, j) \in inversions xs$

lemma inversions-subset: inversions $xs \subseteq Sigma \{... < length xs\} (\lambda i. \{i < ... < length xs\})$

by (*auto simp: inversions.simps*)

lemma finite-inversions [intro]: finite (inversions xs) **by** (rule finite-subset[OF inversions-subset]) auto **lemma** inversions-altdef: inversions $xs = \{(i, j), i < j \land j < length xs \land less (xs)\}$! j) (xs ! i)**by** (*auto simp: inversions.simps*) **lemma** *inversions-code*: inversions xs =Sigma {..<length xs} (λi . Set.filter (λj . less (xs ! j) (xs ! i)) {i<..<length xs}) **by** (*auto simp: inversions-altdef*) lemmas (in -) [code] = inversions-code **lemma** inversions-trivial [simp]: length $xs \leq Suc \ 0 \implies$ inversions $xs = \{\}$ **by** (*auto simp: inversions-altdef*) lemma inversions-imp-less: $z \in inversions \ xs \Longrightarrow fst \ z < snd \ z$ $z \in inversions \ xs \Longrightarrow snd \ z < length \ xs$ **by** (*auto simp: inversions-altdef*) **lemma** inversions-Nil [simp]: inversions $[] = \{\}$ **by** (*auto simp: inversions-altdef*) lemma inversions-Cons: inversions (x # xs) = $(\lambda j. (0, j + 1)) ` \{j \in \{.. < length xs\}. less (xs ! j) x\} \cup$ map-prod Suc Suc ' inversions xs (is - = ?rhs) proof have $z \in inversions \ (x \ \# \ xs) \longleftrightarrow z \in ?rhs$ for z by (cases z) (auto simp: inversions-altdef map-prod-def nth-Cons split: nat.splits) thus ?thesis by blast qed

The following function returns the inversions between two lists, i. e. all pairs of an element in the first list with an element in the second list such that the former is greater than the latter.

definition inversions-between :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow (nat \times nat) set where inversions-between xs ys = $\{(i, j) \in \{... < length xs\} \times \{... < length ys\}$. less (ys ! j) (xs ! i)}

lemma finite-inversions-between [intro]: finite (inversions-between xs ys) **by** (rule finite-subset[of - {..<length xs} × {..<length xs + length ys}]) (auto simp: inversions-between-def)

lemma inversions-between-Nil [simp]:

inversions-between [] $ys = \{\}$ inversions-between xs [] = {} by (simp-all add: inversions-between-def)

We can now show the following equality for the inversions of the concatenation of two lists:

```
proposition inversions-append:
    fixes xs ys
    defines m \equiv length xs and n \equiv length ys
    shows inversions (xs @ ys) =
                       inversions xs \cup map-prod ((+) m) ((+) m) ' inversions ys \cup
                       map-prod id ((+) m) ' inversions-between xs ys
                (\mathbf{is} - = ?rhs)
proof -
    note defs = inversions-alt def inversions-between-def m-def n-def map-prod-def
    have z \in inversions (xs @ ys) \leftrightarrow z \in ?rhs for z
    proof
        assume z \in inversions (xs @ ys)
        then obtain i j where [simp]: z = (i, j)
                                              and ij: i < j j < m + n less ((xs @ ys) ! j) ((xs @ ys) ! i)
            by (cases z) (auto simp: inversions-altdef m-def n-def)
        from ij consider j < m \mid i \ge m \mid i < m j \ge m by linarith
        thus z \in ?rhs
        proof cases
            assume i < m j \ge m
            define j' where j' = j - m
            have [simp]: j = m + j'
                using \langle j \geq m \rangle by (simp add: j'-def)
            from ij and \langle i < m \rangle show ?thesis
            \mathbf{by}\ (auto\ simp:\ inversions-altdef\ map-prod-def\ inversions-between-def\ nth-appender of the simple of the 
m-def n-def)
        \mathbf{next}
            assume i \ge m
            define i'j' where i' = i - m and j' = j - m
            have [simp]: i = m + i' j = m + j'
                using \langle i < j \rangle and \langle i \geq m \rangle by (simp-all add: i'-def j'-def)
            from ij show ?thesis
                by (auto simp: inversions-altdef map-prod-def nth-append m-def n-def)
        qed (use ij in (auto simp: nth-append defs))
    qed (auto simp: nth-append defs)
    thus ?thesis by blast
qed
```

1.2 Counting inversions

We now define versions of *inversions* and *inversions-between* that only return the *number* of inversions.

definition inversion-number :: 'a list \Rightarrow nat where

inversion-number xs = card (inversions xs)

definition inversion-number-between where inversion-number-between $xs \ ys = card$ (inversions-between $xs \ ys$)

lemma inversions-between-code: inversions-between $xs \ ys =$ Set.filter $(\lambda(i,j). \ less \ (ys \ ! \ j) \ (xs \ ! \ i)) \ (\{..< length \ xs\} \times \{..< length \ ys\})$ **by** (auto simp: inversions-between-def)

lemmas (in -) [code] = inversions-between-code

lemma inversion-number-Nil [simp]: inversion-number [] = 0 **by** (simp add: inversion-number-def)

lemma inversion-number-trivial [simp]: length $xs \leq Suc \ 0 \implies$ inversion-number xs = 0

by (auto simp: inversion-number-def)

```
lemma inversion-number-between-Nil [simp]:
inversion-number-between [] ys = 0
inversion-number-between xs [] = 0
by (simp-all add: inversion-number-between-def)
```

We again get the following nice equation for the number of inversions of a concatenation:

proposition *inversion-number-append*:

inversion-number (xs @ ys) =inversion-number xs + inversion-number ys + inversion-number-between xs ysproof – define m n where m = length xs and n = length yslet ?A = inversions xslet ?B = map-prod ((+) m) ((+) m) ' inversions ys

let $?C = map-prod \ id \ ((+) \ m)$ 'inversions-between xs ys

have inversion-number (xs @ ys) = card ($?A \cup ?B \cup ?C$)

by (simp add: inversion-number-def inversions-append m-def)

also have $\ldots = card (?A \cup ?B) + card ?C$

by (intro card-Un-disjoint finite-inversions finite-inversions-between finite-UnI finite-imageI)

(auto simp: inversions-altdef inversions-between-def m-def n-def)

also have card $(?A \cup ?B) = inversion-number xs + card ?B$ **unfolding**inversion-number-def

by (*intro* card-Un-disjoint finite-inversions finite-UnI finite-imageI) (*auto* simp: inversions-altdef m-def n-def)

also have card ?B = inversion-number ys unfolding inversion-number-def

by (intro card-image) (auto simp: map-prod-def inj-on-def)

also have card ?C = inversion-number-between xs ysunfolding inversion-number-between-def by (intro card-image inj-onI) (auto

```
simp: map-prod-def)
finally show ?thesis .
qed
```

1.3 Stability of inversions between lists under permutations

A crucial fact for counting list inversions with merge sort is that the number of inversions *between* two lists does not change when the lists are permuted. This is true because the set of inversions commutes with the act of permuting the list:

```
lemma inversions-between-permute1:
 assumes \pi permutes {... < length xs}
 shows inversions-between (permute-list \pi xs) ys =
          map-prod (inv \pi) id 'inversions-between xs ys
proof -
 from assms have [simp]: \pi i < length xs if i < length xs \pi permutes {..<length}
xs for i \pi
   using permutes-in-image [OF that (2)] that by auto
 have *: inv \pi permutes \{..< length xs\}
   using assms by (rule permutes-inv)
 from assms * show ?thesis unfolding inversions-between-def map-prod-def
   by (force simp: image-iff permute-list-nth permutes-inverses intro: exI[of - \pi i
for i])
qed
lemma inversions-between-permute2:
 assumes \pi permutes {... < length ys}
 shows inversions-between xs (permute-list \pi ys) =
          map-prod id (inv \pi) ' inversions-between xs ys
proof -
 from assms have [simp]: \pi i < length ys if i < length ys \pi permutes {..<length}
ys} for i \pi
   using permutes-in-image [OF that (2)] that by auto
 have *: inv \pi permutes {..<length ys}
   using assms by (rule permutes-inv)
 from assms * show ?thesis unfolding inversions-between-def map-prod-def
   by (force simp: image-iff permute-list-nth permutes-inverses intro: exI[of - \pi i
for i])
qed
proposition inversions-between-permute:
 assumes \pi 1 permutes {..<length xs} and \pi 2 permutes {..<length ys}
          inversions-between (permute-list \pi 1 xs) (permute-list \pi 2 ys) =
 shows
           map-prod (inv \pi 1) (inv \pi 2) ' inversions-between xs ys
 by (simp add: inversions-between-permute1 inversions-between-permute2 assms
```

by (simp aaa: inversions-between-permute1 inversions-between-permute2 assms map-prod-def image-image case-prod-unfold)

corollary *inversion-number-between-permute*:

The following form of the above theorem is nicer to apply since it has the form of a congruence rule.

corollary inversion-number-between-cong-mset: assumes $mset \ xs = mset \ xs'$ and $mset \ ys = mset \ ys'$ shows inversion-number-between $xs \ ys = inversion$ -number-between $xs' \ ys'$ proof – obtain $\pi 1 \ \pi 2$ where $\pi 12$: $\pi 1$ permutes {..<length xs'} $xs = permute-list \ \pi 1 \ xs'$ $\pi 2 \ permutes \ {..<length \ ys'} \ ys = permute-list \ \pi 2 \ ys'$ using $assms[THEN \ mset-eq-permutation]$ by metisthus ?thesis by (simp add: inversion-number-between-permute) qed

1.4 Inversions between sorted lists

Another fact that is crucial to the efficient computation of the inversion number is this: If we have two sorted lists, we can reduce computing the inversions by inspecting the first elements and deleting one of them.

This leads to the following analogous equation for counting the inversions between two sorted lists. Note that a single step of this only takes constant time (assuming we pre-computed the lengths of the lists) so that the entire function runs in linear time.

lemma *inversion-number-between-Cons-Cons:*

assumes sorted-wrt less-eq (x # xs) and sorted-wrt less-eq (y # ys)**shows** inversion-number-between (x # xs) (y # ys) =(if $\neg less \ y \ x \ then$ inversion-number-between xs (y # ys)else inversion-number-between (x # xs) ys + length (x # xs))**proof** (cases less y x) case False hence inversion-number-between (x # xs) (y # ys) =card (map-prod Suc id ' inversions-between xs (y # ys)) by (simp add: inversion-number-between-def inversions-between-Cons-Cons[OF] assms]) also have $\ldots = inversion$ -number-between xs (y # ys) ${\bf unfolding} \ inversion-number-between-def \ {\bf by} \ (intro \ card-image \ inj-onI) \ (auto$ simp: map-prod-def) finally show ?thesis using False by simp next case True hence inversion-number-between (x # xs) (y # ys) =card ({..<length (x # xs)} × {0} \cup map-prod id Suc ' inversions-between $(x \ \# \ xs) \ ys)$ by (simp add: inversion-number-between-def inversions-between-Cons-Cons[OF assms]) also have $\ldots = length (x \# xs) + card (map-prod id Suc 'inversions-between$ $(x \ \# \ xs) \ ys)$ by (subst card-Un-disjoint) auto also have card (map-prod id Suc ' inversions-between (x # xs) ys) =inversion-number-between (x # xs) ys unfolding inversion-number-between-def by (intro card-image inj-onI) (auto simp: map-prod-def) finally show ?thesis using True by simp qed

We now define a function to compute the inversion number between two lists that are assumed to be sorted using the equalities we just derived.

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{fun inversion-number-between-sorted :: 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow nat \ \textbf{where} \\ inversion-number-between-sorted [] \ ys = 0 \\ | \ inversion-number-between-sorted \ xs \ [] = 0 \\ | \ inversion-number-between-sorted \ (x \ \# \ xs) \ (y \ \# \ ys) = \\ & (if \ \neg less \ y \ x \ then \\ & inversion-number-between-sorted \ xs \ (y \ \# \ ys) \\ else \\ & inversion-number-between-sorted \ (x \ \# \ xs) \ ys \ + \ length \ (x \ \# \ xs)) \end{array}$

theorem *inversion-number-between-sorted-correct*:

sorted-wrt less-eq $xs \Longrightarrow$ sorted-wrt less-eq $ys \Longrightarrow$

inversion-number-between-sorted $xs \ ys =$ inversion-number-between $xs \ ys$ by (induction $xs \ ys \ rule:$ inversion-number-between-sorted.induct)

(simp-all add: inversion-number-between-Cons-Cons)

1.5 Merge sort

For convenience, we first define a simple merge sort that does not compute the inversions. At this point, we need to start assuming a linear ordering since the merging function does not work otherwise.

```
context linorder begin
```

```
definition split-list

where split-list xs = (let \ n = length \ xs \ div \ 2 \ in \ (take \ n \ xs, \ drop \ n \ xs))

fun merge-lists :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list where

merge-lists [] ys = ys

| merge-lists xs [] = xs

| merge-lists (x \ \# \ xs) \ (y \ \# \ ys) =

(if less-eq x \ y then x \ \# merge-lists xs \ (y \ \# \ ys) else y \ \# merge-lists (x \ \# \ xs) \ ys)

lemma set-merge-lists [simp]: set (merge-lists xs \ ys) = set \ xs \ vs \ set \ ys

by (induction xs \ ys \ rule: merge-lists.induct) auto
```

lemma mset-merge-lists [simp]: mset (merge-lists xs ys) = mset xs + mset ys by (induction xs ys rule: merge-lists.induct) auto

lemma sorted-merge-lists [simp, intro]: sorted $xs \implies$ sorted $ys \implies$ sorted (merge-lists xs ys) **by** (induction xs ys rule: merge-lists.induct) auto

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{fun merge-sort :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list where} \\ merge-sort xs = \\ (if length xs \leq 1 then \\ xs \\ else \\ merge-lists (merge-sort (take (length xs div 2) xs)) \\ (merge-sort (drop (length xs div 2) xs))) \end{array}
```

lemmas $[simp \ del] = merge-sort.simps$

lemma merge-sort-trivial [simp]: length $xs \leq Suc \ 0 \implies$ merge-sort xs = xsby (subst merge-sort.simps) auto

theorem mset-merge-sort [simp]: mset (merge-sort xs) = mset xs
by (induction xs rule: merge-sort.induct)
 (subst merge-sort.simps, auto simp flip: mset-append)

 \mathbf{end}

corollary set-merge-sort [simp]: set (merge-sort xs) = set xs **by** (rule mset-eq-setD) simp-all

theorem sorted-merge-sort [simp, intro]: sorted (merge-sort xs)
by (induction xs rule: merge-sort.induct)
 (subst merge-sort.simps, use sorted01 in auto)

lemma *inversion-number-between-code*:

inversion-number-between $xs \ ys = inversion-number-between-sorted \ (sort \ xs) \ (sort \ ys)$

by (subst inversion-number-between-sorted-correct) (simp-all add: cong: inversion-number-between-cong-mset)

lemmas (in -) [code-unfold] = inversion-number-between-code

1.6 Merge sort with inversion counting

Finally, we can put together all the components and define a variant of merge sort that counts the number of inversions in the original list:

function sort-and-count-inversions :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \times nat where sort-and-count-inversions xs =(if length $xs \leq 1$ then (xs, 0)else let (xs1, xs2) = split-list xs; (xs1', m) = sort-and-count-inversions xs1; (xs2', n) = sort-and-count-inversions xs2in (merge-lists xs1' xs2', m + n + inversion-number-between-sorted <math>xs1'xs2'))

```
by auto
```

termination by (relation measure length) (auto simp: split-list-def Let-def)

lemmas $[simp \ del] = sort-and-count-inversions.simps$

The projection of this function to the first component is simply the standard merge sort algorithm that we defined and proved correct before.

theorem fst-sort-and-count-inversions [simp]:
 fst (sort-and-count-inversions xs) = merge-sort xs
 by (induction xs rule: length-induct)
 (subst sort-and-count-inversions.simps, subst merge-sort.simps,
 simp-all add: split-list-def case-prod-unfold Let-def)

The projection to the second component is the inversion number.

theorem snd-sort-and-count-inversions [simp]: snd (sort-and-count-inversions xs) = inversion-number xs**proof** (induction xs rule: length-induct) **case** (1 xs) show ?case proof (cases length $xs \leq 1$) case False have xs = take (length $xs \ div \ 2$) $xs \ 0 \ drop$ (length $xs \ div \ 2$) $xs \ by \ simp$ also have inversion-number ... = snd (sort-and-count-inversions xs) by (subst inversion-number-append, subst sort-and-count-inversions.simps) (use False 1 in <auto simp: Let-def split-list-def case-prod-unfold inversion-number-between-sorted-correct cong: inversion-number-between-cong-mset>) finally show ?thesis .. qed (auto simp: sort-and-count-inversions.simps) qed

lemmas (in -) [code-unfold] = snd-sort-and-count-inversions [symmetric]

 \mathbf{end}

 \mathbf{end}

References

[1] T. H. Cormen, C. Lee, and E. Lin. Instructor's Manual to accompany Introduction to Algorithms, 2nd Edition. MIT Press, 2002.