

Linear Inequalities*

Ralph Bottesch¹, Alban Reynaud², and René Thiemann¹

¹University of Innsbruck

²ENS Lyon

March 17, 2025

Abstract

We formalize results about linear inequalities, mainly from Schrijver's book [3]. The main results are the proof of the fundamental theorem on linear inequalities, Farkas' lemma, Carathéodory's theorem, the Farkas-Minkowsky-Weyl theorem, the decomposition theorem of polyhedra, and Meyer's result that the integer hull of a polyhedron is a polyhedron itself. Several theorems include bounds on the appearing numbers, and in particular we provide an a-priori bound on mixed-integer solutions of linear inequalities.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Missing Lemmas on Vectors and Matrices	3
3	Missing Lemmas on Vector Spaces	9
4	Basis Extension	11
5	Sum of Vector Sets	12
6	Integral and Bounded Matrices and Vectors	13
7	Cones	18
8	Convex Hulls	21
9	Normal Vectors	24

*Supported by FWF (Austrian Science Fund) project Y757.

10 Dimension of Spans	26
11 The Fundamental Theorem of Linear Inequalities	27
12 Farkas' Lemma	30
13 The Theorem of Farkas, Minkowsky and Weyl	30
14 The Decomposition Theorem	31
15 Mixed Integer Solutions	34
16 Integer Hull	37

1 Introduction

The motivation for this formalization is the aim of developing a verified theory solver for linear integer arithmetic. Such a solver can be a combination of a simplex-implementation within a branch-and-bound approach, that might also utilize Gomory cuts [1, Section 4 of the extended version]. However, the branch-and-bound algorithm does not terminate in general, since the search space is infinite. To solve this latter problem, one can use results of Papadimitriou: he showed that whenever a set of linear inequalities has an integer solution, then it also has a small solution, where the bound on such a solution can be computed easily from the input [2].

In this entry, we therefore formalize several results on linear inequalities which are required to obtain the desired bound, by following the proofs of Schrijver's textbook [3, Sections 7 and 16].

We start with basic definitions and results on cones, convex hulls, and polyhedra. Next, we verify the fundamental theorem of linear inequalities, which in our formalization shows the equivalence of four statements to describe a cone. From this theorem, one easily derives Farkas' Lemma and Carathéodory's theorem. Moreover we verify the Farkas-Minkowsky-Weyl theorem, that a convex cone is polyhedral if and only if it is finitely generated, and use this result to obtain the decomposition theorem for polyhedra, i.e., that a polyhedron can always be decomposed into a polytope and a finitely generated cone. For most of the previously mentioned results, we include bounds, so that in particular we have a quantitative version of the decomposition theorem, which provides bounds on the vectors that construct the polytope and the cone, and where these bounds are computed directly from the input polyhedron that should be decomposed.

We further prove the decomposition theorem also for the integer hull of a polyhedron, using the same bounds, which gives rise to small integer solutions for linear inequalities. We finally formalize a direct proof for the

more general case of mixed integer solutions, where we also permit both strict and non-strict linear inequalities.

Theorem 1. Consider $A_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^{m_1 \times n}$, $b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^{m_1}$, $A_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^{m_2 \times n}$, $b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^{m_2}$. Let β be a bound on A_1, b_1, A_2, b_2 , i.e., $\beta \geq |z|$ for all numbers z that occur within A_1, b_1, A_2, b_2 . Let $n = n_1 + n_2$. Then if $x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a mixed integer solution of the linear inequalities, i.e., $A_1 x \leq b_1$ and $A_2 x < b_2$, then there also exists a mixed integer solution $y \in \mathbb{Z}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ where $|y_i| \leq (n+1) \cdot \sqrt{n^n} \cdot \beta^n$ for each entry y_i of y .

The verified bound in Theorem 1 in particular implies that integer-satisfiability of linear-inequalities with integer coefficients is in NP.

2 Missing Lemmas on Vectors and Matrices

We provide some results on vector spaces which should be merged into Jordan-Normal-Form/Matrix.

theory Missing-Matrix

imports Jordan-Normal-Form.Matrix

begin

```
lemma orthogonalD': assumes orthogonal vs
and v ∈ set vs and w ∈ set vs
shows (v · w = 0) = (v ≠ w)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma zero-mat-mult-vector[simp]: x ∈ carrier-vec nc ⇒ 0_m nr nc *_v x = 0_v
nr
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma add-diff-cancel-right-vec:
a ∈ carrier-vec n ⇒ (b :: 'a :: cancel-ab-semigroup-add vec) ∈ carrier-vec n ⇒
(a + b) - b = a
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma elements-four-block-mat-id:
assumes c: A ∈ carrier-mat nr1 nc1 B ∈ carrier-mat nr1 nc2
C ∈ carrier-mat nr2 nc1 D ∈ carrier-mat nr2 nc2
shows
elements-mat (four-block-mat A B C D) =
elements-mat A ∪ elements-mat B ∪ elements-mat C ∪ elements-mat D
(is elements-mat ?four = ?X)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma elements-mat-append-rows: A ∈ carrier-mat nr n ⇒ B ∈ carrier-mat nr2
n ⇒
elements-mat (A @_r B) = elements-mat A ∪ elements-mat B
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *elements-mat-uminus*[simp]: *elements-mat* ($-A$) = *uminus* ‘ *elements-mat* A
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vec-set-uminus*[simp]: *vec-set* ($-A$) = *uminus* ‘ *vec-set* A
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *append-cols* :: ‘ a :: zero mat \Rightarrow ‘ a mat \Rightarrow ‘ a mat (infixr ‘@c’ 65)
where
 $A @_c B = (A^T @_r B^T)^T$

lemma *carrier-append-cols*[simp, intro]:
 $A \in \text{carrier-mat} \text{ nr } nc1 \implies$
 $B \in \text{carrier-mat} \text{ nr } nc2 \implies (A @_c B) \in \text{carrier-mat} \text{ nr } (nc1 + nc2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *elements-mat-transpose-mat*[simp]: *elements-mat* (A^T) = *elements-mat* A
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *elements-mat-append-cols*: $A \in \text{carrier-mat} \text{ n } nc \implies B \in \text{carrier-mat} \text{ n } nc1$
 $\implies \text{elements-mat} (A @_c B) = \text{elements-mat} A \cup \text{elements-mat} B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vec-first-index*:
assumes $v: \text{dim-vec } v \geq n$
and $i: i < n$
shows $(\text{vec-first } v \text{ n}) \$ i = v \$ i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vec-last-index*:
assumes $v: v \in \text{carrier-vec} (n + m)$
and $i: i < m$
shows $(\text{vec-last } v \text{ m}) \$ i = v \$ (n + i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vec-first-add*:
assumes $\text{dim-vec } x \geq n$
and $\text{dim-vec } y \geq n$
shows $\text{vec-first} (x + y) \text{ n} = \text{vec-first} x \text{ n} + \text{vec-first} y \text{ n}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vec-first-zero*[simp]: $m \leq n \implies \text{vec-first} (\mathbf{0}_v \text{ n}) \text{ m} = \mathbf{0}_v \text{ m}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vec-first-smult*:
 $\llbracket m \leq n; x \in \text{carrier-vec} n \rrbracket \implies \text{vec-first} (c \cdot_v x) \text{ m} = c \cdot_v \text{vec-first} x \text{ m}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *elements-mat-mat-of-row*[simp]: *elements-mat* (*mat-of-row* v) = *vec-set* v
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vec-set-append-vec*[simp]: *vec-set* ($v @_v w$) = *vec-set* $v \cup *vec-set* w
 $\langle proof \rangle$$

lemma *vec-set-vNil*[simp]: *set_v* $vNil = \{\}$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *diff-smult-distrib-vec*: $((x :: 'a::ring) - y) \cdot_v v = x \cdot_v v - y \cdot_v v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-diff-eq-vec*: **fixes** $y :: 'a :: group-add vec$
shows $y \in carrier\text{-}vec n \implies x \in carrier\text{-}vec n \implies z \in carrier\text{-}vec n \implies y + (x - z) = y + x - z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *mat-of-col* $v = (\text{mat-of-row } v)^T$

lemma *elements-mat-mat-of-col*[simp]: *elements-mat* (*mat-of-col* v) = *vec-set* v
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mat-of-col-dim*[simp]: *dim-row* (*mat-of-col* v) = *dim-vec* v
dim-col (*mat-of-col* v) = 1
mat-of-col $v \in carrier\text{-}mat (*dim-vec* v) 1
 $\langle proof \rangle$$

lemma *col-mat-of-col*[simp]: *col* (*mat-of-col* v) 0 = v
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mult-mat-of-col*: $A \in carrier\text{-}mat$ $nr nc \implies v \in carrier\text{-}vec nc \implies A * mat\text{-}of\text{-}col v = mat\text{-}of\text{-}col (A *_v v)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mat-mult-append-cols*: **fixes** $A :: 'a :: comm\text{-}semiring\text{-}0 mat$
assumes $A: A \in carrier\text{-}mat$ $nr nc1$
and $B: B \in carrier\text{-}mat$ $nr nc2$
and $v1: v1 \in carrier\text{-}vec nc1$
and $v2: v2 \in carrier\text{-}vec nc2$
shows $(A @_c B) *_v (v1 @_v v2) = A *_v v1 + B *_v v2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vec-first-append*:
assumes $v \in carrier\text{-}vec n$
shows *vec-first* ($v @_v w$) $n = v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vec-le-iff-diff-le-0*: **fixes** $a :: 'a :: \text{ordered-ab-group-add vec}$
shows $(a \leq b) = (a - b \leq 0_v (\dim\text{-vec } a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *mat-row-first A n* $\equiv \text{mat } n (\dim\text{-col } A) (\lambda (i, j). A \$\$ (i, j))$

definition *mat-row-last A n* $\equiv \text{mat } n (\dim\text{-col } A) (\lambda (i, j). A \$\$ (\dim\text{-row } A - n + i, j))$

lemma *mat-row-first-carrier[simp]*: $\text{mat-row-first } A n \in \text{carrier-mat } n (\dim\text{-col } A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mat-row-first-dim[simp]*:
 $\dim\text{-row} (\text{mat-row-first } A n) = n$
 $\dim\text{-col} (\text{mat-row-first } A n) = \dim\text{-col } A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mat-row-last-carrier[simp]*: $\text{mat-row-last } A n \in \text{carrier-mat } n (\dim\text{-col } A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mat-row-last-dim[simp]*:
 $\dim\text{-row} (\text{mat-row-last } A n) = n$
 $\dim\text{-col} (\text{mat-row-last } A n) = \dim\text{-col } A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mat-row-first-nth[simp]*: $i < n \implies \text{row} (\text{mat-row-first } A n) i = \text{row } A i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *append-rows-nth*:
assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } nr1 nc$
and $B \in \text{carrier-mat } nr2 nc$
shows $i < nr1 \implies \text{row} (A @_r B) i = \text{row } A i$
and $[i \geq nr1; i < nr1 + nr2] \implies \text{row} (A @_r B) i = \text{row } B (i - nr1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mat-of-row-last-nth[simp]*:
 $i < n \implies \text{row} (\text{mat-row-last } A n) i = \text{row } A (\dim\text{-row } A - n + i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mat-row-first-last-append*:
assumes $\dim\text{-row } A = m + n$
shows $(\text{mat-row-first } A m) @_r (\text{mat-row-last } A n) = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *mat-col-first A n* $\equiv (\text{mat-row-first } A^T n)^T$

definition *mat-col-last A n* $\equiv (\text{mat-row-last } A^T n)^T$

lemma *mat-col-first-carrier[simp]*: $\text{mat-col-first } A n \in \text{carrier-mat } (\dim\text{-row } A) n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mat-col-first-dim*[simp]:
 $\dim\text{-row}(\text{mat-col-first } A \ n) = \dim\text{-row } A$
 $\dim\text{-col}(\text{mat-col-first } A \ n) = n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mat-col-last-carrier*[simp]: $\text{mat-col-last } A \ n \in \text{carrier-mat}(\dim\text{-row } A) \ n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mat-col-last-dim*[simp]:
 $\dim\text{-row}(\text{mat-col-last } A \ n) = \dim\text{-row } A$
 $\dim\text{-col}(\text{mat-col-last } A \ n) = n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mat-col-first-nth*[simp]:
 $\llbracket i < n; i < \dim\text{-col } A \rrbracket \implies \text{col}(\text{mat-col-first } A \ n) \ i = \text{col } A \ i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *append-cols-nth*:
assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat} \ nr \ nc1$
and $B \in \text{carrier-mat} \ nr \ nc2$
shows $i < nc1 \implies \text{col}(A @_c B) \ i = \text{col } A \ i$
and $\llbracket i \geq nc1; i < nc1 + nc2 \rrbracket \implies \text{col}(A @_c B) \ i = \text{col } B \ (i - nc1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mat-of-col-last-nth*[simp]:
 $\llbracket i < n; i < \dim\text{-col } A \rrbracket \implies \text{col}(\text{mat-col-last } A \ n) \ i = \text{col } A \ (\dim\text{-col } A - n + i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mat-col-first-last-append*:
assumes $\dim\text{-col } A = m + n$
shows $(\text{mat-col-first } A \ m) @_c (\text{mat-col-last } A \ n) = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mat-of-row-dim-row-1*: $(\dim\text{-row } A = 1) = (A = \text{mat-of-row}(\text{row } A \ 0))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mat-of-col-dim-col-1*: $(\dim\text{-col } A = 1) = (A = \text{mat-of-col}(\text{col } A \ 0))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *vec-of-scal* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ vec}$ **where** $\text{vec-of-scal } x \equiv \text{vec } 1 \ (\lambda i. \ x)$

lemma *vec-of-scal-dim*[simp]:
 $\dim\text{-vec}(\text{vec-of-scal } x) = 1$
 $\text{vec-of-scal } x \in \text{carrier-vec } 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *index-vec-of-scal*[simp]: $(\text{vec-of-scal } x) \$ 0 = x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *row-mat-of-col*[simp]: $i < \dim\text{-vec } v \implies \text{row } (\text{mat-of-col } v) i = \text{vec-of-scal } (v \$ i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *vec-of-scal-dim-1*: $(v \in \text{carrier-vec } 1) = (v = \text{vec-of-scal } (v \$ 0))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-mat-of-row-vec-of-scal*: **fixes** $x :: 'a :: \text{comm-ring-1}$
shows $\text{mat-of-col } v *_v \text{vec-of-scal } x = x \cdot_v v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *smult-pos-vec*[simp]: **fixes** $l :: 'a :: \text{linordered-ring-strict}$
assumes $l: l > 0$
shows $(l \cdot_v v \leq 0_v n) = (v \leq 0_v (n / l))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *finite-elements-mat*[simp]: *finite* (*elements-mat* A)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *finite-vec-set*[simp]: *finite* (*vec-set* A)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lesseq-vecI*: **assumes** $v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ $w \in \text{carrier-vec } n$
 $\wedge i. i < n \implies v \$ i \leq w \$ i$
shows $v \leq w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lesseq-vecD*: **assumes** $w \in \text{carrier-vec } n$
and $v \leq w$
and $i < n$
shows $v \$ i \leq w \$ i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *vec-add-mono*: **fixes** $a :: 'a :: \text{ordered-ab-semigroup-add vec}$
assumes $\dim: \dim\text{-vec } b = \dim\text{-vec } d$
and $ab: a \leq b$
and $cd: c \leq d$
shows $a + c \leq b + d$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *smult-nneg-npos-vec*: **fixes** $l :: 'a :: \text{ordered-semiring-0}$
assumes $l: l \geq 0$
and $v: v \leq 0_v n$
shows $l \cdot_v v \leq 0_v n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma smult-vec-nonneg-eq: fixes c :: 'a :: field
  shows c ≠ 0  $\implies$  (c ·v x = c ·v y) = (x = y)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma distinct-smult-nonneg: fixes c :: 'a :: field
  assumes c: c ≠ 0
  shows distinct lC  $\implies$  distinct (map ((·v) c) lC)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma exists-vec-append: ( $\exists$  x ∈ carrier-vec (n + m). P x)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  x1 ∈ carrier-vec n.  $\exists$  x2 ∈ carrier-vec m. P (x1 @v x2))
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

3 Missing Lemmas on Vector Spaces

We provide some results on vector spaces which should be merged into other AFP entries.

```

theory Missing-VS-Connect
imports
  Jordan-Normal-Form.VS-Connect
  Missing-Matrix
  Polynomial-Factorization.Missing-List
begin

context vec-space
begin
lemma span-diff: assumes A: A ⊆ carrier-vec n
  and a: a ∈ span A and b: b ∈ span A
  shows a - b ∈ span A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finsum-scalar-prod-sum':
  assumes f: f ∈ U → carrier-vec n
  and w: w ∈ carrier-vec n
  shows w · finsum V f U = sum (λu. w · f u) U
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lincomb-scalar-prod-left: assumes W ⊆ carrier-vec n v ∈ carrier-vec n
  shows lincomb a W · v = (∑ w ∈ W. a w * (w · v))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lincomb-scalar-prod-right: assumes W ⊆ carrier-vec n v ∈ carrier-vec n
  shows v · lincomb a W = (∑ w ∈ W. a w * (v · w))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lin-indpt-empty[simp]: lin-indpt {}

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *span-carrier-lin-indpt-card-n*:
 assumes $W \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$ $\text{card } W = n$ $\text{lin-indpt } W$
 shows $\text{span } W = \text{carrier-vec } n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ortho-span*: **assumes** $W: W \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$
 and $X: X \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$
 and $\text{ortho}: \bigwedge w x. w \in W \implies x \in X \implies w \cdot x = 0$
 and $w: w \in \text{span } W$ **and** $x: x \in X$
 shows $w \cdot x = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ortho-span'*: **assumes** $W: W \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$
 and $X: X \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$
 and $\text{ortho}: \bigwedge w x. w \in W \implies x \in X \implies x \cdot w = 0$
 and $w: w \in \text{span } W$ **and** $x: x \in X$
 shows $x \cdot w = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ortho-span-span*: **assumes** $W: W \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$
 and $X: X \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$
 and $\text{ortho}: \bigwedge w x. w \in W \implies x \in X \implies w \cdot x = 0$
 and $w: w \in \text{span } W$ **and** $x: x \in \text{span } X$
 shows $w \cdot x = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lincomb-in-span[intro]*:
 assumes $X: X \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$
 shows $\text{lincomb } a X \in \text{span } X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *generating-card-n-basis*: **assumes** $X: X \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$
 and $\text{span}: \text{carrier-vec } n \subseteq \text{span } X$
 and $\text{card}: \text{card } X = n$
 shows $\text{basis } X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lincomb-list-append*:
 assumes $Ws: \text{set } Ws \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$
 shows $\text{set } Vs \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n \implies \text{lincomb-list } f (Vs @ Ws) =$
 $\text{lincomb-list } f Vs + \text{lincomb-list } (\lambda i. f (i + \text{length } Vs)) Ws$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lincomb-list-snoc[simp]*:
 shows $\text{set } Vs \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n \implies x \in \text{carrier-vec } n \implies$
 $\text{lincomb-list } f (Vs @ [x]) = \text{lincomb-list } f Vs + f (\text{length } Vs) \cdot_v x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma lincomb-list-smult:
  set Vs ⊆ carrier-vec n ==> lincomb-list (λ i. a * c i) Vs = a ·_v lincomb-list c Vs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lincomb-list-index:
  assumes i: i < n
  shows set Xs ⊆ carrier-vec n ==>
    lincomb-list c Xs $ i = sum (λ j. c j * (Xs ! j) $ i) {0..end
end

```

4 Basis Extension

We prove that every linear independent set/list of vectors can be extended into a basis. Similarly, from every set of vectors one can extract a linear independent set of vectors that spans the same space.

```

theory Basis-Extension
  imports
    LLL-Basis-Reduction.Gram-Schmidt-2
  begin

  context cof-vec-space
  begin

  lemma lin-indpt-list-length-le-n: assumes lin-indpt-list xs
    shows length xs ≤ n
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma lin-indpt-list-length-eq-n: assumes lin-indpt-list xs
    and length xs = n
    shows span (set xs) = carrier-vec n basis (set xs)
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma expand-to-basis: assumes lin: lin-indpt-list xs
    shows ∃ ys. set ys ⊆ set (unit-vecs n) ∧ lin-indpt-list (xs @ ys) ∧ length (xs @ ys) = n
    ⟨proof⟩

  definition basis-extension xs = (SOME ys.
    set ys ⊆ set (unit-vecs n) ∧ lin-indpt-list (xs @ ys) ∧ length (xs @ ys) = n)

  lemma basis-extension: assumes lin-indpt-list xs
    shows set (basis-extension xs) ⊆ set (unit-vecs n)
      lin-indpt-list (xs @ basis-extension xs)

```

```

length (xs @ basis-extension xs) = n
⟨proof⟩

lemma exists-lin-indpt-sublist: assumes X: X ⊆ carrier-vec n
  shows ∃ Ls. lin-indpt-list Ls ∧ span (set Ls) = span X ∧ set Ls ⊆ X
⟨proof⟩

lemma exists-lin-indpt-subset: assumes X ⊆ carrier-vec n
  shows ∃ Ls. lin-indpt Ls ∧ span (Ls) = span X ∧ Ls ⊆ X
⟨proof⟩
end

end

```

5 Sum of Vector Sets

We use Isabelle's Set-Algebra theory to be able to write $V + W$ for sets of vectors V and W , and prove some obvious properties about them.

```

theory Sum-Vec-Set
imports
  Missing-Matrix
  HOL-Library.Set-Algebras
begin

lemma add-0-right-vecset:
  assumes (A :: 'a :: monoid-add vec set) ⊆ carrier-vec n
  shows A + {0_v n} = A
⟨proof⟩

lemma add-0-left-vecset:
  assumes (A :: 'a :: monoid-add vec set) ⊆ carrier-vec n
  shows {0_v n} + A = A
⟨proof⟩

lemma assoc-add-vecset:
  assumes (A :: 'a :: semigroup-add vec set) ⊆ carrier-vec n
  and B ⊆ carrier-vec n
  and C ⊆ carrier-vec n
  shows A + (B + C) = (A + B) + C
⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-carrier-vec[intro]: A ⊆ carrier-vec n ⟹ B ⊆ carrier-vec n ⟹ A +
B ⊆ carrier-vec n
⟨proof⟩

lemma comm-add-vecset:
  assumes (A :: 'a :: ab-semigroup-add vec set) ⊆ carrier-vec n

```

and $B \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$
shows $A + B = B + A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

6 Integral and Bounded Matrices and Vectors

We define notions of integral vectors and matrices and bounded vectors and matrices and prove some preservation lemmas. Moreover, we prove two bounds on determinants.

```

theory Integral-Bounded-Vectors
imports
  Missing-VS-Connect
  Sum-Vec-Set
  LLL-Basis-Reduction.Gram-Schmidt-2
begin

lemma sq-norm-unit-vec[simp]: assumes  $i: i < n$ 
  shows  $\|\text{unit-vec } n i\|^2 = (1 :: 'a :: \{\text{comm-ring-1}, \text{conjugatable-ring}\})$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition Ints-vec ( $\langle \mathbb{Z}_v \rangle$ ) where
   $\mathbb{Z}_v = \{x. \forall i < \text{dim-vec } x. x \$ i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ 

definition indexed-Ints-vec where
   $\text{indexed-Ints-vec } I = \{x. \forall i < \text{dim-vec } x. i \in I \longrightarrow x \$ i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ 

lemma indexed-Ints-vec-UNIV:  $\mathbb{Z}_v = \text{indexed-Ints-vec } \text{UNIV}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma indexed-Ints-vec-subset:  $\mathbb{Z}_v \subseteq \text{indexed-Ints-vec } I$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Ints-vec-vec-set:  $v \in \mathbb{Z}_v = (\text{vec-set } v \subseteq \mathbb{Z})$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition Ints-mat ( $\langle \mathbb{Z}_m \rangle$ ) where
   $\mathbb{Z}_m = \{A. \forall i < \text{dim-row } A. \forall j < \text{dim-col } A. A \$\$ (i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ 

lemma Ints-mat-elements-mat:  $A \in \mathbb{Z}_m = (\text{elements-mat } A \subseteq \mathbb{Z})$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma minus-in-Ints-vec-iff[simp]:  $(-x) \in \mathbb{Z}_v \longleftrightarrow (x :: 'a :: \text{ring-1 vec}) \in \mathbb{Z}_v$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemma *minus-in-Ints-mat-iff*[simp]: $(-A) \in \mathbb{Z}_m \longleftrightarrow (A :: 'a :: \text{ring-1 mat}) \in \mathbb{Z}_m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ints-vec-rows-Ints-mat*[simp]: *set (rows A)* $\subseteq \mathbb{Z}_v \longleftrightarrow A \in \mathbb{Z}_m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unit-vec-integral*[simp,intro]: *unit-vec n i* $\in \mathbb{Z}_v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diff-indexed-Ints-vec*:
 $x \in \text{carrier-vec } n \implies y \in \text{carrier-vec } n \implies x \in \text{indexed-Ints-vec } I \implies y \in \text{indexed-Ints-vec } I$
 $\implies x - y \in \text{indexed-Ints-vec } I$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *smult-indexed-Ints-vec*: $x \in \mathbb{Z} \implies v \in \text{indexed-Ints-vec } I \implies x \cdot_v v \in \text{indexed-Ints-vec } I$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-indexed-Ints-vec*:
 $x \in \text{carrier-vec } n \implies y \in \text{carrier-vec } n \implies x \in \text{indexed-Ints-vec } I \implies y \in \text{indexed-Ints-vec } I$
 $\implies x + y \in \text{indexed-Ints-vec } I$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in vec-space) *lincomb-indexed-Ints-vec*: **assumes** $cI: \bigwedge x. x \in C \implies c x \in \mathbb{Z}$
and $C: C \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$
and $CI: C \subseteq \text{indexed-Ints-vec } I$
shows *lincomb c C* $\in \text{indexed-Ints-vec } I$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *Bounded-vec* ($b :: 'a :: \text{linordered-idom}$) = $\{x . \forall i < \text{dim-vec } x . \text{abs}(x \$ i) \leq b\}$

lemma *Bounded-vec-vec-set*: $v \in \text{Bounded-vec } b \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in \text{vec-set } v. \text{abs } x \leq b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *Bounded-mat* ($b :: 'a :: \text{linordered-idom}$) =
 $\{A . (\forall i < \text{dim-row } A . \forall j < \text{dim-col } A. \text{abs } (A \$\$ (i,j)) \leq b)\}$

lemma *Bounded-mat-elements-mat*: $A \in \text{Bounded-mat } b \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in \text{elements-mat } A. \text{abs } x \leq b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Bounded-vec-rows-Bounded-mat*[simp]: *set (rows A)* $\subseteq \text{Bounded-vec } B \longleftrightarrow A \in \text{Bounded-mat } B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unit-vec-Bounded-vec*[simp,intro]: *unit-vec n i ∈ Bounded-vec (max 1 Bnd)*
(proof)

lemma *unit-vec-int-bounds*: *set (unit-vecs n) ⊆ ℤ_v ∩ Bounded-vec (of-int (max 1 Bnd))*
(proof)

lemma *Bounded-matD*: **assumes** *A ∈ Bounded-mat b*
A ∈ carrier-mat nr nc
shows *i < nr ⇒ j < nc ⇒ abs (A \$\\$ (i,j)) ≤ b*
(proof)

lemma *Bounded-vec-mono*: *b ≤ B ⇒ Bounded-vec b ⊆ Bounded-vec B*
(proof)

lemma *Bounded-mat-mono*: *b ≤ B ⇒ Bounded-mat b ⊆ Bounded-mat B*
(proof)

lemma *finite-Bounded-vec-Max*:
assumes *A: A ⊆ carrier-vec n*
and *fin: finite A*
shows *A ⊆ Bounded-vec (Max { abs (a \\$ i) | a i. a ∈ A ∧ i < n})*
(proof)

definition *is-det-bound* :: *(nat ⇒ 'a :: linordered-idom ⇒ 'a) ⇒ bool* **where**
is-det-bound f = (forall A n x. A ∈ carrier-mat n n → A ∈ Bounded-mat x →
abs (det A) ≤ f n x)

lemma *is-det-bound-ge-zero*: **assumes** *is-det-bound f*
and *x ≥ 0*
shows *f n x ≥ 0*
(proof)

definition *det-bound-fact* :: *nat ⇒ 'a :: linordered-idom ⇒ 'a* **where**
*det-bound-fact n x = fact n * (x^n)*

lemma *det-bound-fact*: *is-det-bound det-bound-fact*
(proof)

lemma (**in** *gram-schmidt-fs*) *Gramian-determinant-det*: **assumes** *A: A ∈ carrier-mat n n*
shows *Gramian-determinant (rows A) n = det A * det A*
(proof)

lemma (**in** *gram-schmidt-fs-lin-indpt*) *det-bound-main*: **assumes** *rows: rows A = fs*
and *A: A ∈ carrier-mat n n*
and *n0: n > 0*

```

and Bnd:  $A \in \text{Bounded-mat } c$ 
shows
 $(\text{abs}(\det A))^{\wedge 2} \leq \text{of-nat } n^{\wedge n} * c^{\wedge (2 * n)}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma det-bound-hadamard-squared: fixes  $A::'a :: \text{trivial-conjugatable-linordered-field}$ 
mat
assumes  $A: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ 
and Bnd:  $A \in \text{Bounded-mat } c$ 
shows  $(\text{abs}(\det A))^{\wedge 2} \leq \text{of-nat } n^{\wedge n} * c^{\wedge (2 * n)}$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition det-bound-hadamard :: nat ⇒ int ⇒ int where
det-bound-hadamard  $n \ c = (\text{sqrt-int-floor } ((\text{int } n * c^{\wedge 2})^{\wedge n}))$ 

lemma det-bound-hadamard-altdef[code]:
det-bound-hadamard  $n \ c = (\text{if } n = 1 \vee \text{even } n \text{ then } \text{int } n^{\wedge (n \text{ div } 2)} * (\text{abs } c)^{\wedge n}$ 
else  $\text{sqrt-int-floor } ((\text{int } n * c^{\wedge 2})^{\wedge n})$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma det-bound-hadamard: is-det-bound det-bound-hadamard
⟨proof⟩

lemma n-pow-n-le-fact-square:  $n^{\wedge n} \leq (\text{fact } n)^{\wedge 2}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma sqrt-int-floor-bound:  $0 \leq x \implies (\text{sqrt-int-floor } x)^{\wedge 2} \leq x$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma det-bound-hadamard-improves-det-bound-fact: assumes  $c: c \geq 0$ 
shows det-bound-hadamard  $n \ c \leq \text{det-bound-fact } n \ c$ 
⟨proof⟩

context
begin
private fun syl :: int ⇒ nat ⇒ int mat where
 $syl \ c \ 0 = \text{mat } 1 \ 1 \ (\lambda \_. \ c)$ 
|  $syl \ c \ (\text{Suc } n) = (\text{let } A = syl \ c \ n \text{ in }$ 
 $\quad \text{four-block-mat } A \ A \ (-A) \ A)$ 

private lemma syl: assumes  $c: c \geq 0$ 
shows  $syl \ c \ n \in \text{Bounded-mat } c \wedge syl \ c \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } (2^{\wedge n}) \ (2^{\wedge n})$ 
 $\wedge \det(syl \ c \ n) = \text{det-bound-hadamard } (2^{\wedge n}) \ c$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma det-bound-hadamard-tight:
assumes  $c: c \geq 0$ 
and  $n = 2^{\wedge m}$ 

```

```

shows  $\exists A. A \in \text{carrier-mat } n n \wedge A \in \text{Bounded-mat } c \wedge \det A = \text{det-bound-hadamard}$ 
n c
⟨proof⟩
end

lemma Ints-matE: assumes  $A \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ 
shows  $\exists B. A = \text{map-mat of-int } B$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma is-det-bound-of-int: fixes  $A :: 'a :: \text{linordered-idom mat}$ 
assumes  $db: \text{is-det-bound } db$ 
and  $A: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n n$ 
and  $A \in \mathbb{Z}_m \cap \text{Bounded-mat (of-int } bnd)$ 
shows  $\text{abs}(\det A) \leq \text{of-int} (db n bnd)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma minus-in-Bounded-vec[simp]:
 $(-x) \in \text{Bounded-vec } b \longleftrightarrow x \in \text{Bounded-vec } b$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-in-Bounded-vecI[intro]: assumes
 $xB: x \in \text{Bounded-vec } B1 \text{ and}$ 
 $yB: y \in \text{Bounded-vec } B2 \text{ and}$ 
 $x: x \in \text{carrier-vec } n \text{ and}$ 
 $y: y \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
shows  $x + y \in \text{Bounded-vec } (B1 + B2)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in gram-schmidt) lincomb-card-bound: assumes  $XBnd: X \subseteq \text{Bounded-vec }$ 
 $Bnd$ 
and  $X: X \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
and  $Bnd: Bnd \geq 0$ 
and  $c: \bigwedge x. x \in X \implies \text{abs}(c x) \leq 1$ 
and  $card: \text{card } X \leq k$ 
shows  $\text{lincomb } c X \in \text{Bounded-vec } (\text{of-nat } k * Bnd)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma bounded-vecset-sum:
assumes  $Acarr: A \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
and  $Bcarr: B \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
and  $sum: C = A + B$ 
and  $Cbnd: \exists bndC. C \subseteq \text{Bounded-vec } bndC$ 
shows  $A \neq \{\} \implies (\exists bndB. B \subseteq \text{Bounded-vec } bndB)$ 
and  $B \neq \{\} \implies (\exists bndA. A \subseteq \text{Bounded-vec } bndA)$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

```

7 Cones

We define the notions like cone, polyhedral cone, etc. and prove some basic facts about them.

```

theory Cone
  imports
    Basis-Extension
    Missing-VS-Connect
    Integral-Bounded-Vectors
  begin

  context gram-schmidt
  begin

    definition nonneg-lincomb c Vs b = (lincomb c Vs = b ∧ c ` Vs ⊆ {x. x ≥ 0})
    definition nonneg-lincomb-list c Vs b = (lincomb-list c Vs = b ∧ (∀ i < length Vs. c i ≥ 0))

    definition finite-cone :: 'a vec set ⇒ 'a vec set where
      finite-cone Vs = ({b. ∃ c. nonneg-lincomb c (if finite Vs then Vs else {}) b})

    definition cone :: 'a vec set ⇒ 'a vec set where
      cone Vs = ({x. ∃ Ws. finite Ws ∧ Ws ⊆ Vs ∧ x ∈ finite-cone Ws})

    definition cone-list :: 'a vec list ⇒ 'a vec set where
      cone-list Vs = {b. ∃ c. nonneg-lincomb-list c Vs b}

    lemma finite-cone-iff-cone-list: assumes Vs: Vs ⊆ carrier-vec n
      and id: Vs = set Vsl
      shows finite-cone Vs = cone-list Vsl
      ⟨proof⟩

    lemma cone-alt-def: assumes Vs: Vs ⊆ carrier-vec n
      shows cone Vs = ({x. ∃ Ws. set Ws ⊆ Vs ∧ x ∈ cone-list Ws})
      ⟨proof⟩

    lemma cone-mono: Vs ⊆ Ws ⇒ cone Vs ⊆ cone Ws
      ⟨proof⟩

    lemma finite-cone-mono: assumes fin: finite Ws
      and Ws: Ws ⊆ carrier-vec n
      and sub: Vs ⊆ Ws
      shows finite-cone Vs ⊆ finite-cone Ws
      ⟨proof⟩

    lemma finite-cone-carrier: A ⊆ carrier-vec n ⇒ finite-cone A ⊆ carrier-vec n
      ⟨proof⟩

    lemma cone-carrier: A ⊆ carrier-vec n ⇒ cone A ⊆ carrier-vec n
  
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cone-iff-finite-cone: **assumes** $A: A \subseteq carrier\text{-}vec n$
and $fin: finite A$
shows $cone A = finite\text{-}cone A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma set-in-finite-cone:
assumes $Vs: Vs \subseteq carrier\text{-}vec n$
and $fin: finite Vs$
shows $Vs \subseteq finite\text{-cone } Vs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma set-in-cone:
assumes $Vs: Vs \subseteq carrier\text{-}vec n$
shows $Vs \subseteq cone Vs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma zero-in-finite-cone:
assumes $Vs: Vs \subseteq carrier\text{-}vec n$
shows $0_v, n \in finite\text{-cone } Vs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma lincomb-in-finite-cone:
assumes $x = lincomb l W$
and $finite W$
and $\forall i \in W . l i \geq 0$
and $W \subseteq carrier\text{-}vec n$
shows $x \in finite\text{-cone } W$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma lincomb-in-cone:
assumes $x = lincomb l W$
and $finite W$
and $\forall i \in W . l i \geq 0$
and $W \subseteq carrier\text{-}vec n$
shows $x \in cone W$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma zero-in-cone: $0_v, n \in cone Vs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cone-smult:
assumes $a: a \geq 0$
and $Vs: Vs \subseteq carrier\text{-}vec n$
and $x: x \in cone Vs$
shows $a \cdot_v x \in cone Vs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *finite-cone-empty*[simp]: *finite-cone* $\{\}$ = $\{0_v \ n\}$
(proof)

lemma *cone-empty*[simp]: *cone* $\{\}$ = $\{0_v \ n\}$
(proof)

lemma *cone-elem-sum*:
assumes *Vs*: *Vs* \subseteq *carrier-vec* n
and *x*: *x* \in *cone Vs*
and *y*: *y* \in *cone Vs*
shows *x + y* \in *cone Vs*
(proof)

lemma *cone-cone*:
assumes *Vs*: *Vs* \subseteq *carrier-vec* n
shows *cone* (*cone Vs*) = *cone Vs*
(proof)

lemma *cone-smult-basis*:
assumes *Vs*: *Vs* \subseteq *carrier-vec* n
and *l*: *l* ‘ *Vs* \subseteq {*x*. *x* > 0}
shows *cone* {*l v* ·_{*v*} *v* | *v* . *v* \in *Vs*} = *cone Vs*
(proof)

lemma *cone-add-cone*:
assumes *C*: *C* \subseteq *carrier-vec* n
shows *cone C* + *cone C* = *cone C*
(proof)

lemma *orthogonal-cone*:
assumes *X*: *X* \subseteq *carrier-vec n*
and *W*: *W* \subseteq *carrier-vec n*
and *finX*: *finite X*
and *spanLW*: *span* (*set Ls* \cup *W*) = *carrier-vec n*
and *ortho*: $\bigwedge w \ x. \ w \in W \implies x \in \text{set Ls} \implies w \cdot x = 0$
and *WWs*: *W* = *set Ws*
and *spanL*: *span* (*set Ls*) = *span X*
and *LX*: *set Ls* \subseteq *X*
and *lin-Ls-Bs*: *lin-indpt-list* (*Ls* @ *Bs*)
and *len-Ls-Bs*: *length* (*Ls* @ *Bs*) = n
shows *cone* (*X* \cup *set Bs*) \cap {*x* \in *carrier-vec n*. $\forall w \in W. \ w \cdot x = 0$ } = *cone X*
 $\bigwedge x. \ \forall w \in W. \ w \cdot x = 0 \implies Z \subseteq X \implies B \subseteq \text{set Bs} \implies x = \text{lincomb } c \ (Z \cup B)$
 $\implies x = \text{lincomb } c \ (Z - B)$
(proof)

definition *polyhedral-cone* (*A* :: 'a mat) = { *x* . *x* \in *carrier-vec n* \wedge *A *v x* \leq *0_v* (*dim-row A*) }

```

lemma polyhedral-cone-carrier: assumes  $A \in \text{carrier-mat nr } n$ 
shows polyhedral-cone  $A \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma cone-in-polyhedral-cone:
assumes  $CA: C \subseteq \text{polyhedral-cone } A$ 
and  $A: A \in \text{carrier-mat nr } n$ 
shows cone  $C \subseteq \text{polyhedral-cone } A$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma bounded-cone-is-zero:
assumes  $Ccarr: C \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$  and  $bnd: \text{cone } C \subseteq \text{Bounded-vec } bnd$ 
shows cone  $C = \{\mathbf{0}_v \ n\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma cone-of-cols: fixes  $A :: 'a \text{ mat}$  and  $b :: 'a \text{ vec}$ 
assumes  $A: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \text{ nr}$  and  $b: b \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
shows  $b \in \text{cone} (\text{set} (\text{cols } A)) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x. x \geq \mathbf{0}_v \text{ nr} \wedge A *_v x = b)$ 
⟨proof⟩

end
end

```

8 Convex Hulls

We define the notion of convex hull of a set or list of vectors and derive basic properties thereof.

```

theory Convex-Hull
  imports Cone
begin

context gram-schmidt
begin

definition convex-lincomb  $c \ Vs \ b = (\text{nonneg-lincomb } c \ Vs \ b \wedge \text{sum } c \ Vs = 1)$ 

definition convex-lincomb-list  $c \ Vs \ b = (\text{nonneg-lincomb-list } c \ Vs \ b \wedge \text{sum } c \ \{0..<\text{length } Vs\} = 1)$ 

definition convex-hull  $Vs = \{x. \exists Ws \ c. \text{finite } Ws \wedge Ws \subseteq Vs \wedge \text{convex-lincomb } c \ Ws \ x\}$ 

lemma convex-hull-carrier[intro]:  $Vs \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n \implies \text{convex-hull } Vs \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma convex-hull-mono:  $Vs \subseteq Ws \implies \text{convex-hull } Vs \subseteq \text{convex-hull } Ws$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *convex-lincomb-empty*[simp]: $\neg (\text{convex-lincomb } c \{ \} x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *set-in-convex-hull*:
 assumes $A \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$
 shows $A \subseteq \text{convex-hull } A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *convex-hull-empty*[simp]:
 $\text{convex-hull } \{ \} = \{ \}$
 $A \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n \implies \text{convex-hull } A = \{ \} \longleftrightarrow A = \{ \}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *convex-hull-bound*: **assumes** *XBnd*: $X \subseteq \text{Bounded-vec } Bnd$
 and $X: X \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$
 shows $\text{convex-hull } X \subseteq \text{Bounded-vec } Bnd$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *convex-hull-list* $Vs = \{x. \exists c. \text{convex-lincomb-list } c Vs x\}$

lemma *lincomb-list-elem*:
 set $Vs \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n \implies$
 $\text{lincomb-list } (\lambda j. \text{if } i=j \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0) Vs = (\text{if } i < \text{length } Vs \text{ then } Vs ! i \text{ else } 0_v)$
 $n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *set-in-convex-hull-list*: **fixes** $Vs :: 'a \text{ vec list}$
 assumes $\text{set } Vs \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$
 shows $\text{set } Vs \subseteq \text{convex-hull-list } Vs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *convex-hull-list-combination*:
 assumes $Vs: \text{set } Vs \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$
 and $x: x \in \text{convex-hull-list } Vs$
 and $y: y \in \text{convex-hull-list } Vs$
 and $l0: 0 \leq l \text{ and } l1: l \leq 1$
 shows $l \cdot_v x + (1 - l) \cdot_v y \in \text{convex-hull-list } Vs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *convex-hull-list-mono*:
 assumes $\text{set } Ws \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$
 shows $\text{set } Vs \subseteq \text{set } Ws \implies \text{convex-hull-list } Vs \subseteq \text{convex-hull-list } Ws$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *convex-hull-list-eq-set*:
 $\text{set } Vs \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n \implies \text{set } Vs = \text{set } Ws \implies \text{convex-hull-list } Vs = \text{convex-hull-list } Ws$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *find-indices-empty*: $(\text{find-indices } x \text{ } Vs = []) = (x \notin \text{set } Vs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *distinct-list-find-indices*:

shows $\llbracket i < \text{length } Vs; Vs ! i = x; \text{distinct } Vs \rrbracket \implies \text{find-indices } x \text{ } Vs = [i]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *finite-convex-hull-iff-convex-hull-list*: **assumes** $Vs: Vs \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$
and $\text{id}': Vs = \text{set } Vsl'$
shows $\text{convex-hull } Vs = \text{convex-hull-list } Vsl'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $\text{convex } S = (\text{convex-hull } S = S)$

lemma *convex-convex-hull*: $\text{convex } S \implies \text{convex-hull } S = S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *convex-hull-convex-hull-listD*: **assumes** $A: A \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$
and $x: x \in \text{convex-hull } A$
shows $\exists \text{ as. set } as \subseteq A \wedge x \in \text{convex-hull-list } as$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *convex-hull-convex-sum*: **assumes** $A: A \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$
and $x: x \in \text{convex-hull } A$
and $y: y \in \text{convex-hull } A$
and $a: 0 \leq a \leq 1$
shows $a \cdot_v x + (1 - a) \cdot_v y \in \text{convex-hull } A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *convexI*: **assumes** $S: S \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$
and $\text{step}: \bigwedge a \text{ } x \text{ } y. x \in S \implies y \in S \implies 0 \leq a \implies a \leq 1 \implies a \cdot_v x + (1 - a) \cdot_v y \in S$
shows $\text{convex } S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *convex-hulls-are-convex*: **assumes** $A \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$
shows $\text{convex } (\text{convex-hull } A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *convex-hull-sum*: **assumes** $A: A \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$ **and** $B: B \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$
shows $\text{convex-hull } (A + B) = \text{convex-hull } A + \text{convex-hull } B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *convex-hull-in-cone*:
 $\text{convex-hull } C \subseteq \text{cone } C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma convex-cone:
  assumes C:  $C \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
  shows convex (cone C)
  ⟨proof⟩

end
end

```

9 Normal Vectors

We provide a function for the normal vector of a half-space (given as n-1 linearly independent vectors). We further provide a function that returns a list of normal vectors that span the orthogonal complement of some subspace of R^n . Bounds for all normal vectors are provided.

```

theory Normal-Vector
imports
  Integral-Bounded-Vectors
  Basis-Extension
begin

context gram-schmidt
begin

lemma ortho-sum-in-span:
  assumes W:  $W \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
  and X:  $X \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
  and ortho:  $\bigwedge w x. w \in W \implies x \in X \implies x \cdot w = 0$ 
  and inspan: lincomb l1 X + lincomb l2 W ∈ span X
  shows lincomb l2 W = 0v n
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ortho-lin-indpt: assumes W:  $W \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
  and X:  $X \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
  and ortho:  $\bigwedge w x. w \in W \implies x \in X \implies x \cdot w = 0$ 
  and linW: lin-indpt W
  and linX: lin-indpt X
  shows lin-indpt (W ∪ X)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition normal-vector :: 'a vec set ⇒ 'a vec where
  normal-vector W = (let ws = (SOME ws. set ws = W ∧ distinct ws);
    m = length ws;
    B = (λ j. mat m m (λ(i, j'). ws ! i $ (if j' < j then j' else Suc j'))))
    in vec n (λ j. (−1)^(m+j) * det (B j)))

```

```

lemma normal-vector: assumes fin: finite W

```

```

and card:  $\text{Suc}(\text{card } W) = n$ 
and lin:  $\text{lin-indpt } W$ 
and  $W: W \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
shows normal-vector  $W \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
normal-vector  $W \neq 0_v \ n$ 
 $w \in W \implies w \cdot \text{normal-vector } W = 0$ 
 $w \in W \implies \text{normal-vector } W \cdot w = 0$ 
 $\text{lin-indpt}(\text{insert}(\text{normal-vector } W) \ W)$ 
normal-vector  $W \notin W$ 
 $\text{is-det-bound } db \implies W \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_v \cap \text{Bounded-vec}(\text{of-int } Bnd) \implies \text{normal-vector } W$ 
 $\in \mathbb{Z}_v \cap \text{Bounded-vec}(\text{of-int}(\text{db}(n-1) \ Bnd))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma normal-vector-span:
assumes card:  $\text{Suc}(\text{card } D) = n$ 
and  $D: D \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$  and fin:  $\text{finite } D$  and lin:  $\text{lin-indpt } D$ 
shows span  $D = \{x. x \in \text{carrier-vec } n \wedge x \cdot \text{normal-vector } D = 0\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition normal-vectors :: 'a vec list  $\Rightarrow$  'a vec list where
normal-vectors  $ws = (\text{let } us = \text{basis-extension } ws$ 
 $\text{in map } (\lambda i. \text{normal-vector}(\text{set}(ws @ us) - \{us ! i\})) [0..<\text{length } us])$ 

lemma normal-vectors:
assumes lin:  $\text{lin-indpt-list } ws$ 
shows set (normal-vectors  $ws$ )  $\subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
 $w \in \text{set } ws \implies nv \in \text{set}(\text{normal-vectors } ws) \implies nv \cdot w = 0$ 
 $w \in \text{set } ws \implies nv \in \text{set}(\text{normal-vectors } ws) \implies w \cdot nv = 0$ 
lin-indpt-list ( $ws @ \text{normal-vectors } ws$ )
 $\text{length } ws + \text{length}(\text{normal-vectors } ws) = n$ 
set  $ws \cap \text{set}(\text{normal-vectors } ws) = \{\}$ 
 $\text{is-det-bound } db \implies \text{set } ws \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_v \cap \text{Bounded-vec}(\text{of-int } Bnd) \implies$ 
 $\text{set}(\text{normal-vectors } ws) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_v \cap \text{Bounded-vec}(\text{of-int}(\text{db}(n-1)(\max 1 Bnd)))$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition pos-norm-vec :: 'a vec set  $\Rightarrow$  'a vec  $\Rightarrow$  'a vec where
pos-norm-vec  $D \ x = (\text{let } c' = \text{normal-vector } D;$ 
 $c = (\text{if } c' \cdot x > 0 \text{ then } c' \text{ else } -c') \text{ in } c)$ 

lemma pos-norm-vec:
assumes  $D: D \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$  and fin:  $\text{finite } D$  and lin:  $\text{lin-indpt } D$ 
and card:  $\text{Suc}(\text{card } D) = n$ 
and c-def:  $c = \text{pos-norm-vec } D \ x$ 
shows  $c \in \text{carrier-vec } n$  span  $D = \{x. x \in \text{carrier-vec } n \wedge x \cdot c = 0\}$ 
 $x \notin \text{span } D \implies x \in \text{carrier-vec } n \implies c \cdot x > 0$ 
 $c \in \{\text{normal-vector } D, -\text{normal-vector } D\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

```

```
end
```

10 Dimension of Spans

We define the notion of dimension of a span of vectors and prove some natural results about them. The definition is made as a function, so that no interpretation of locales like subspace is required.

```
theory Dim-Span
  imports Missing-VS-Connect
begin

context vec-space
begin
definition dim-span W = Max (card ` {V. V ⊆ carrier-vec n ∧ V ⊆ span W ∧ lin-indpt V})

lemma fixes V W :: 'a vec set
  shows
    card-le-dim-span:
      V ⊆ carrier-vec n ⇒ V ⊆ span W ⇒ lin-indpt V ⇒ card V ≤ dim-span W and
    card-eq-dim-span-imp-same-span:
      W ⊆ carrier-vec n ⇒ V ⊆ span W ⇒ lin-indpt V ⇒ card V = dim-span W ⇒ span V = span W and
    same-span-imp-card-eq-dim-span:
      V ⊆ carrier-vec n ⇒ W ⊆ carrier-vec n ⇒ span V = span W ⇒ lin-indpt V ⇒ card V = dim-span W and
    dim-span-cong:
      span V = span W ⇒ dim-span V = dim-span W and
    ex-basis-span:
      V ⊆ carrier-vec n ⇒ ∃ W. W ⊆ carrier-vec n ∧ lin-indpt W ∧ span V = span W ∧ dim-span V = card W
      ⟨proof⟩

lemma dim-span-le-n: assumes W: W ⊆ carrier-vec n shows dim-span W ≤ n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dim-span-insert: assumes W: W ⊆ carrier-vec n
  and v: v ∈ carrier-vec n and vs: v ∉ span W
  shows dim-span (insert v W) = Suc (dim-span W)
  ⟨proof⟩
end
end
```

11 The Fundamental Theorem of Linear Inequalities

The theorem states that for a given set of vectors A and vector b, either b is in the cone of a linear independent subset of A, or there is a hyperplane that contains $\text{span}(A, b) - 1$ linearly independent vectors of A that separates A from b. We prove this theorem and derive some consequences, e.g., Caratheodory's theorem that b is the cone of A iff b is in the cone of a linear independent subset of A.

```
theory Fundamental-Theorem-Linear-Inequalities
imports
  Cone
  Normal-Vector
  Dim-Span
begin
```

```
context gram-schmidt
begin
```

The mentions equivances A-D are:

- A: b is in the cone of vectors A,
- B: b is in the cone of a subset of linear independent of vectors A,
- C: there is no separating hyperplane of b and the vectors A, which contains dim many linear independent vectors of A
- D: there is no separating hyperplane of b and the vectors A

```
lemma fundamental-theorem-of-linear-inequalities-A-imp-D:
assumes A:  $A \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
and fin: finite A
and b:  $b \in \text{cone } A$ 
shows  $\nexists c. c \in \text{carrier-vec } n \wedge (\forall a_i \in A. c \cdot a_i \geq 0) \wedge c \cdot b < 0$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

The difficult direction is that C implies B. To this end we follow the proof that at least one of B and the negation of C is satisfied.

```
context
fixes a :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a vec
and b :: 'a vec
and m :: nat
assumes a:  $a \setminus \{0\} \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
and inj-a: inj-on a {0 .. < m}
and b:  $b \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
and full-span: span (a {0 .. < m}) = carrier-vec n
begin
```

```

private definition goal = (( $\exists I. I \subseteq \{0 .. < m\} \wedge \text{card } (a' I) = n \wedge \text{lin-indpt}$ 
 $(a' I) \wedge b \in \text{finite-cone } (a' I)$ )
 $\vee (\exists c I. I \subseteq \{0 .. < m\} \wedge c \in \{\text{normal-vector } (a' I), -\text{normal-vector } (a' I)\}$ 
 $\wedge \text{Suc } (\text{card } (a' I)) = n$ 
 $\wedge \text{lin-indpt } (a' I) \wedge (\forall i < m. c \cdot a_i \geq 0) \wedge c \cdot b < 0))$ 

private lemma card-a-I[simp]:  $I \subseteq \{0 .. < m\} \implies \text{card } (a' I) = \text{card } I$ 
⟨proof⟩ lemma in-a-I[simp]:  $I \subseteq \{0 .. < m\} \implies i < m \implies (a_i \in a' I) = (i \in I)$ 
⟨proof⟩ definition valid-I = { I.  $\text{card } I = n \wedge \text{lin-indpt } (a' I) \wedge I \subseteq \{0 .. < m\}$  }

private definition cond where cond I I' l c h k ≡
 $b = \text{lincomb } l (a' I) \wedge$ 
 $h \in I \wedge l(a h) < 0 \wedge (\forall h'. h' \in I \longrightarrow h' < h \longrightarrow l(a h') \geq 0) \wedge$ 
 $c \in \text{carrier-vec } n \wedge \text{span } (a' (I - \{h\})) = \{x. x \in \text{carrier-vec } n \wedge c \cdot x = 0\}$ 
 $\wedge c \cdot b < 0 \wedge$ 
 $k < m \wedge c \cdot a_k < 0 \wedge (\forall k'. k' < k \longrightarrow c \cdot a_{k'} \geq 0) \wedge$ 
 $I' = \text{insert } k (I - \{h\})$ 

private definition step-rel = Restr { (I'', I).  $\exists l c h k. \text{cond } I I'' l c h k$  } valid-I

private lemma finite-step-rel: finite step-rel
⟨proof⟩ lemma acyclic-imp-goal: acyclic step-rel ⟹ goal
⟨proof⟩ lemma acyclic-step-rel: acyclic step-rel
⟨proof⟩

lemma fundamental-theorem-neg-C-or-B-in-context:
assumes W:  $W = a' \{0 .. < m\}$ 
shows ( $\exists U. U \subseteq W \wedge \text{card } U = n \wedge \text{lin-indpt } U \wedge b \in \text{finite-cone } U$ )  $\vee$ 
 $(\exists c U. U \subseteq W \wedge$ 
 $c \in \{\text{normal-vector } U, -\text{normal-vector } U\} \wedge$ 
 $\text{Suc } (\text{card } U) = n \wedge \text{lin-indpt } U \wedge (\forall w \in W. 0 \leq c \cdot w) \wedge c \cdot b < 0)$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma fundamental-theorem-of-linear-inequalities-C-imp-B-full-dim:
assumes A:  $A \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
and fin: finite A
and span:  $\text{span } A = \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
and b:  $b \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
and C:  $\nexists c B. B \subseteq A \wedge c \in \{\text{normal-vector } B, -\text{normal-vector } B\} \wedge \text{Suc } (\text{card } B) = n$ 
 $\wedge \text{lin-indpt } B \wedge (\forall a_i \in A. c \cdot a_i \geq 0) \wedge c \cdot b < 0$ 
shows  $\exists B \subseteq A. \text{lin-indpt } B \wedge \text{card } B = n \wedge b \in \text{finite-cone } B$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma fundamental-theorem-of-linear-inequalities-full-dim: **fixes** $A :: 'a \text{ vec set}$
defines $\text{HyperN} \equiv \{b. b \in \text{carrier-vec } n \wedge (\nexists c. B \subseteq A \wedge c \in \{\text{normal-vector } B, -\text{normal-vector } B\} \wedge \text{Suc}(\text{card } B) = n \wedge \text{lin-indpt } B \wedge (\forall a_i \in A. c \cdot a_i \geq 0) \wedge c \cdot b < 0)\}$
defines $\text{HyperA} \equiv \{b. b \in \text{carrier-vec } n \wedge (\nexists c. c \in \text{carrier-vec } n \wedge (\forall a_i \in A. c \cdot a_i \geq 0) \wedge c \cdot b < 0)\}$
defines $\text{lin-indpt-cone} \equiv \bigcup \{ \text{finite-cone } B \mid B. B \subseteq A \wedge \text{card } B = n \wedge \text{lin-indpt } B\}$
assumes $A: A \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$
and $\text{fin}: \text{finite } A$
and $\text{span}: \text{span } A = \text{carrier-vec } n$
shows
 $\text{cone } A = \text{lin-indpt-cone}$
 $\text{cone } A = \text{HyperN}$
 $\text{cone } A = \text{HyperA}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma fundamental-theorem-of-linear-inequalities-C-imp-B:
assumes $A: A \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$
and $\text{fin}: \text{finite } A$
and $b: b \in \text{carrier-vec } n$
and $C: \nexists c. A'. c \in \text{carrier-vec } n \wedge A' \subseteq A \wedge \text{Suc}(\text{card } A') = \text{dim-span}(\text{insert } b A) \wedge (\forall a \in A'. c \cdot a = 0) \wedge \text{lin-indpt } A' \wedge (\forall a_i \in A. c \cdot a_i \geq 0) \wedge c \cdot b < 0$
shows $\exists B \subseteq A. \text{lin-indpt } B \wedge \text{card } B = \text{dim-span } A \wedge b \in \text{finite-cone } B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma fundamental-theorem-of-linear-inequalities: **fixes** $A :: 'a \text{ vec set}$
defines $\text{HyperN} \equiv \{b. b \in \text{carrier-vec } n \wedge (\nexists c. B. c \in \text{carrier-vec } n \wedge B \subseteq A \wedge \text{Suc}(\text{card } B) = \text{dim-span}(\text{insert } b A) \wedge \text{lin-indpt } B \wedge (\forall a \in B. c \cdot a = 0) \wedge (\forall a_i \in A. c \cdot a_i \geq 0) \wedge c \cdot b < 0)\}$
defines $\text{HyperA} \equiv \{b. b \in \text{carrier-vec } n \wedge (\nexists c. c \in \text{carrier-vec } n \wedge (\forall a_i \in A. c \cdot a_i \geq 0) \wedge c \cdot b < 0)\}$
defines $\text{lin-indpt-cone} \equiv \bigcup \{ \text{finite-cone } B \mid B. B \subseteq A \wedge \text{card } B = \text{dim-span } A \wedge \text{lin-indpt } B\}$
assumes $A: A \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$
and $\text{fin}: \text{finite } A$
shows
 $\text{cone } A = \text{lin-indpt-cone}$
 $\text{cone } A = \text{HyperN}$
 $\text{cone } A = \text{HyperA}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary Caratheodory-theorem: **assumes** $A: A \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$
shows $\text{cone } A = \bigcup \{ \text{finite-cone } B \mid B. B \subseteq A \wedge \text{lin-indpt } B\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```
end
end
```

12 Farkas' Lemma

We prove two variants of Farkas' lemma. Note that type here is more general than in the versions of Farkas' Lemma which are in the AFP-entry Farkas-Lemma, which is restricted to rational matrices. However, there δ -rationals are supported, which are not present here.

```
theory Farkas-Lemma
  imports Fundamental-Theorem-Linear-Inequalities
begin

context gram-schmidt
begin

lemma Farkas-Lemma: fixes A :: 'a mat and b :: 'a vec
  assumes A: A ∈ carrier-mat n nr and b: b ∈ carrier-vec n
  shows (exists x. x ≥ 0_v nr ∧ A *_v x = b) ←→ (forall y. y ∈ carrier-vec n → A^T *_v y ≥ 0_v nr → y · b ≥ 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Farkas-Lemma':
  fixes A :: 'a mat and b :: 'a vec
  assumes A: A ∈ carrier-mat nr nc and b: b ∈ carrier-vec nr
  shows (exists x. x ∈ carrier-vec nc ∧ A *_v x ≤ b)
    ←→ (forall y. y ≥ 0_v nr ∧ A^T *_v y = 0_v nc → y · b ≥ 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

end
end
```

13 The Theorem of Farkas, Minkowsky and Weyl

We prove the theorem of Farkas, Minkowsky and Weyl that a cone is finitely generated iff it is polyhedral. Moreover, we provide quantitative bounds via determinant bounds.

```
theory Farkas-Minkowsky-Weyl
  imports Fundamental-Theorem-Linear-Inequalities
begin

context gram-schmidt
begin
```

We first prove the one direction of the theorem for the case that the span of the vectors is the full n-dimensional space.

```

lemma farkas-minkowsky-weyl-theorem-1-full-dim:
  assumes  $X: X \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
    and  $\text{fin}: \text{finite } X$ 
    and  $\text{span}: \text{span } X = \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
  shows  $\exists \text{ nr } A. A \in \text{carrier-mat nr } n \wedge \text{cone } X = \text{polyhedral-cone } A$ 
     $\wedge (\text{is-det-bound } db \longrightarrow X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_v \cap \text{Bounded-vec } (\text{of-int } Bnd) \longrightarrow A \in \mathbb{Z}_m \cap$ 
     $\text{Bounded-mat } (\text{of-int } (db \ (n-1) \ Bnd)))$ 
  (proof)

```

We next generalize the theorem to the case where X does not span the full space. To this end, we extend X by unit-vectors until the full space is spanned, and then add the normal-vectors of these unit-vectors which are orthogonal to span X as additional constraints to the resulting matrix.

```

lemma farkas-minkowsky-weyl-theorem-1:
  assumes  $X: X \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
    and  $\text{fin}X: \text{finite } X$ 
  shows  $\exists \text{ nr } A. A \in \text{carrier-mat nr } n \wedge \text{cone } X = \text{polyhedral-cone } A \wedge$ 
     $(\text{is-det-bound } db \longrightarrow X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_v \cap \text{Bounded-vec } (\text{of-int } Bnd) \longrightarrow A \in \mathbb{Z}_m \cap$ 
     $\text{Bounded-mat } (\text{of-int } (db \ (n-1) \ (\max 1 \ Bnd))))$ 
  (proof)

```

Now for the other direction.

```

lemma farkas-minkowsky-weyl-theorem-2:
  assumes  $A: A \in \text{carrier-mat nr } n$ 
  shows  $\exists X. X \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n \wedge \text{finite } X \wedge \text{polyhedral-cone } A = \text{cone } X$ 
     $\wedge (\text{is-det-bound } db \longrightarrow A \in \mathbb{Z}_m \cap \text{Bounded-mat } (\text{of-int } Bnd) \longrightarrow X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_v \cap$ 
     $\text{Bounded-vec } (\text{of-int } (db \ (n-1) \ (\max 1 \ Bnd))))$ 
  (proof)

```

```

lemma farkas-minkowsky-weyl-theorem:
   $(\exists X. X \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n \wedge \text{finite } X \wedge P = \text{cone } X)$ 
   $\longleftrightarrow (\exists A \text{ nr. } A \in \text{carrier-mat nr } n \wedge P = \text{polyhedral-cone } A)$ 
  (proof)
end
end

```

14 The Decomposition Theorem

This theory contains a proof of the fact, that every polyhedron can be decomposed into a convex hull of a finite set of points + a finitely generated cone, including bounds on the numbers that are required in the decomposition. We further prove the inverse direction of this theorem (without bounds) and as a corollary, we derive that a polyhedron is bounded iff it is the convex hull of finitely many points, i.e., a polytope.

```

theory Decomposition-Theorem
  imports
    Farkas-Minkowsky-Weyl

```

```

Convex-Hull
begin

context gram-schmidt
begin

definition polytope  $P = (\exists V. V \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n \wedge \text{finite } V \wedge P = \text{convex-hull } V)$ 

definition polyhedron  $A b = \{x \in \text{carrier-vec } n. A *_v x \leq b\}$ 

lemma polyhedra-are-convex:
  assumes  $A: A \in \text{carrier-mat nr } n$ 
  and  $b: b \in \text{carrier-vec nr}$ 
  and  $P: P = \text{polyhedron } A b$ 
  shows convex  $P$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale gram-schmidt-m =  $n: \text{gram-schmidt } n \text{ f-ty} + m: \text{gram-schmidt } m \text{ f-ty}$ 
  for  $n m :: \text{nat}$  and  $f\text{-ty}$ 
begin

lemma vec-first-lincomb-list:
  assumes  $Xs: \text{set } Xs \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
  and  $nm: m \leq n$ 
  shows vec-first ( $n.\text{lincomb-list } c Xs$ )  $m =$ 
     $m.\text{lincomb-list } c (\text{map } (\lambda v. \text{vec-first } v m) Xs)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma convex-hull-next-dim:
  assumes  $n = m + 1$ 
  and  $X: X \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
  and finite  $X$ 
  and  $Xm1: \forall y \in X. y \$ m = 1$ 
  and  $y\text{-dim}: y \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
  and  $y: y \$ m = 1$ 
  shows (vec-first  $y m \in m.\text{convex-hull } \{\text{vec-first } y m \mid y. y \in X\}$ )  $= (y \in n.\text{cone } X)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cone-next-dim:
  assumes  $n = m + 1$ 
  and  $X: X \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
  and finite  $X$ 
  and  $Xm0: \forall y \in X. y \$ m = 0$ 

```

```

and y-dim:  $y \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
and  $y: y \$ m = 0$ 
shows  $(\text{vec-first } y \ m \in m.\text{cone} \{\text{vec-first } y \ m \mid y. \ y \in X\}) = (y \in n.\text{cone } X)$ 
<proof>

end

context gram-schmidt
begin

lemma decomposition-theorem-polyhedra-1:
assumes  $A: A \in \text{carrier-mat nr } n$ 
and  $b: b \in \text{carrier-vec nr}$ 
and  $P: P = \text{polyhedron } A \ b$ 
shows  $\exists Q \ X. \ X \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n \wedge \text{finite } X \wedge$ 
 $Q \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n \wedge \text{finite } Q \wedge$ 
 $P = \text{convex-hull } Q + \text{cone } X \wedge$ 
 $(\text{is-det-bound } db \longrightarrow A \in \mathbb{Z}_m \cap \text{Bounded-mat (of-int Bnd)} \longrightarrow b \in \mathbb{Z}_v \cap$ 
 $\text{Bounded-vec (of-int Bnd)} \longrightarrow$ 
 $X \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_v \cap \text{Bounded-vec (of-int (db n (max 1 Bnd)))}$ 
 $\wedge Q \subseteq \text{Bounded-vec (of-int (db n (max 1 Bnd)))})$ 
<proof>

lemma decomposition-theorem-polyhedra-2:
assumes  $Q: Q \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n \text{ and } \text{fin-}Q: \text{finite } Q$ 
and  $X: X \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n \text{ and } \text{fin-}X: \text{finite } X$ 
and  $P: P = \text{convex-hull } Q + \text{cone } X$ 
shows  $\exists A \ b \ \text{nr}. \ A \in \text{carrier-mat nr } n \wedge b \in \text{carrier-vec nr} \wedge P = \text{polyhedron } A \ b$ 
<proof>

lemma decomposition-theorem-polyhedra:
 $(\exists A \ b \ \text{nr}. \ A \in \text{carrier-mat nr } n \wedge b \in \text{carrier-vec nr} \wedge P = \text{polyhedron } A \ b)$ 
 $\longleftrightarrow$ 
 $(\exists Q \ X. \ Q \cup X \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n \wedge \text{finite } (Q \cup X) \wedge P = \text{convex-hull } Q + \text{cone } X) \ (\text{is } ?l = ?r)$ 
<proof>

lemma polytope-equiv-bounded-polyhedron:
polytope  $P \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $(\exists A \ b \ \text{nr bnd}. \ A \in \text{carrier-mat nr } n \wedge b \in \text{carrier-vec nr} \wedge P = \text{polyhedron } A \ b$ 
 $\wedge P \subseteq \text{Bounded-vec bnd})$ 
<proof>
end

end

```

15 Mixed Integer Solutions

We prove that if an integral system of linear inequalities $Ax \leq b \wedge A'x < b'$ has a (mixed)integer solution, then there is also a small (mixed)integer solution, where the numbers are bounded by $(n + 1) * db m n$ where n is the number of variables, m is a bound on the absolute values of numbers occurring in A, A', b, b' , and $db m n$ is a bound on determinants for matrices of size n with values of at most m .

```

theory Mixed-Integer-Solutions
  imports Decomposition-Theorem
  begin

  definition less-vec :: 'a vec  $\Rightarrow$  ('a :: ord) vec  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\langle\langle_v\rangle\rangle$  50) where
     $v <_v w = (\text{dim-vec } v = \text{dim-vec } w \wedge (\forall i < \text{dim-vec } w. v \$ i < w \$ i))$ 

  lemma less-vecD: assumes  $v <_v w$  and  $w \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
    shows  $i < n \implies v \$ i < w \$ i$ 
     $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

  lemma less-vecI: assumes  $v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$   $w \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
     $\wedge i. i < n \implies v \$ i < w \$ i$ 
    shows  $v <_v w$ 
     $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

  lemma less-vec-lesseq-vec:  $v <_v (w :: 'a :: \text{preorder vec}) \implies v \leq w$ 
     $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

  lemma floor-less:  $x \notin \mathbb{Z} \implies \text{of-int } \lfloor x \rfloor < x$ 
     $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

  lemma floor-of-int-eq[simp]:  $x \in \mathbb{Z} \implies \text{of-int } \lfloor x \rfloor = x$ 
     $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

  locale gram-schmidt-floor = gram-schmidt n f-ty
    for  $n :: \text{nat}$  and f-ty :: 'a :: {floor-ceiling,
      trivial-conjugatable-linordered-field} itself
  begin

    lemma small-mixed-integer-solution-main: fixes  $A_1 :: 'a \text{ mat}$ 
      assumes db: is-det-bound db
        and  $A_1: A_1 \in \text{carrier-mat } nr_1 n$ 
        and  $A_2: A_2 \in \text{carrier-mat } nr_2 n$ 
        and  $b_1: b_1 \in \text{carrier-vec } nr_1$ 
        and  $b_2: b_2 \in \text{carrier-vec } nr_2$ 
        and  $A1Bnd: A_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_m \cap \text{Bounded-mat } (\text{of-int } Bnd)$ 
        and  $b1Bnd: b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_v \cap \text{Bounded-vec } (\text{of-int } Bnd)$ 
```

```

and A2Bnd:  $A_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_m \cap \text{Bounded-mat (of-int Bnd)}$ 
and b2Bnd:  $b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_v \cap \text{Bounded-vec (of-int Bnd)}$ 
and x:  $x \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
and xI:  $x \in \text{indexed-Ints-vec } I$ 
and sol-nonstrict:  $A_1 *_v x \leq b_1$ 
and sol-strict:  $A_2 *_v x <_v b_2$ 
shows  $\exists x.$ 
x  $\in$  carrier-vec  $n \wedge$ 
x  $\in$  indexed-Ints-vec  $I \wedge$ 
 $A_1 *_v x \leq b_1 \wedge$ 
 $A_2 *_v x <_v b_2 \wedge$ 
x  $\in$  Bounded-vec (of-int (of-nat ( $n + 1$ ) * db  $n$  (max 1 Bnd)))
⟨proof⟩

```

We get rid of the max-1 operation, by showing that a smaller value of Bnd can only occur in very special cases where the theorem is trivially satisfied.

```

lemma small-mixed-integer-solution: fixes  $A_1 :: 'a \text{ mat}$ 
assumes db: is-det-bound db
and A1:  $A_1 \in \text{carrier-mat } nr_1 \ n$ 
and A2:  $A_2 \in \text{carrier-mat } nr_2 \ n$ 
and b1:  $b_1 \in \text{carrier-vec } nr_1$ 
and b2:  $b_2 \in \text{carrier-vec } nr_2$ 
and A1Bnd:  $A_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_m \cap \text{Bounded-mat (of-int Bnd)}$ 
and b1Bnd:  $b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_v \cap \text{Bounded-vec (of-int Bnd)}$ 
and A2Bnd:  $A_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_m \cap \text{Bounded-mat (of-int Bnd)}$ 
and b2Bnd:  $b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_v \cap \text{Bounded-vec (of-int Bnd)}$ 
and x:  $x \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
and xI:  $x \in \text{indexed-Ints-vec } I$ 
and sol-nonstrict:  $A_1 *_v x \leq b_1$ 
and sol-strict:  $A_2 *_v x <_v b_2$ 
and non-degenerate:  $nr_1 \neq 0 \vee nr_2 \neq 0 \vee \text{Bnd} \geq 0$ 
shows  $\exists x.$ 
x  $\in$  carrier-vec  $n \wedge$ 
x  $\in$  indexed-Ints-vec  $I \wedge$ 
 $A_1 *_v x \leq b_1 \wedge$ 
 $A_2 *_v x <_v b_2 \wedge$ 
x  $\in$  Bounded-vec (of-int (int ( $n+1$ ) * db  $n$  Bnd))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemmas small-mixed-integer-solution-hadamard =
small-mixed-integer-solution[OF det-bound-hadamard, unfolded det-bound-hadamard-def
of-int-mult of-int-of-nat-eq]

```

```

lemma Bounded-vec-of-int: assumes v  $\in$  Bounded-vec bnd
shows (map-vec of-int v :: 'a vec)  $\in$   $\mathbb{Z}_v \cap \text{Bounded-vec (of-int bnd)}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Bounded-mat-of-int: assumes A  $\in$  Bounded-mat bnd

```

shows (*map-mat of-int A :: 'a mat*) $\in \mathbb{Z}_m \cap \text{Bounded-mat (of-int bnd)}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *small-mixed-integer-solution-int-mat*: **fixes** *x :: 'a vec*
assumes *db: is-det-bound db*
and *A1: A1 ∈ carrier-mat nr1 n*
and *A2: A2 ∈ carrier-mat nr2 n*
and *b1: b1 ∈ carrier-vec nr1*
and *b2: b2 ∈ carrier-vec nr2*
and *A1Bnd: A1 ∈ Bounded-mat Bnd*
and *b1Bnd: b1 ∈ Bounded-vec Bnd*
and *A2Bnd: A2 ∈ Bounded-mat Bnd*
and *b2Bnd: b2 ∈ Bounded-vec Bnd*
and *x: x ∈ carrier-vec n*
and *xI: x ∈ indexed-Ints-vec I*
and *sol-nonstrict: map-mat of-int A1 *v x ≤ map-vec of-int b1*
and *sol-strict: map-mat of-int A2 *v x <v map-vec of-int b2*
and *non-degenerate: nr1 ≠ 0 ∨ nr2 ≠ 0 ∨ Bnd ≥ 0*
shows $\exists x :: 'a \text{ vec.}$
x ∈ carrier-vec n \wedge
x ∈ indexed-Ints-vec I \wedge
*map-mat of-int A1 *v x ≤ map-vec of-int b1* \wedge
*map-mat of-int A2 *v x <v map-vec of-int b2* \wedge
*x ∈ Bounded-vec (of-int (of-nat (n+1) * db n Bnd))*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *small-mixed-integer-solution-int-mat-hadamard* =
small-mixed-integer-solution-int-mat[Of det-bound-hadamard, unfolded det-bound-hadamard-def
of-int-mult of-int-of-nat-eq]

end

lemma *of-int-hom-le*: (*of-int-hom.vec-hom v :: 'a :: linordered-field vec*) \leq *of-int-hom.vec-hom w*
 $w \longleftrightarrow v \leq w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *of-int-hom-less*: (*of-int-hom.vec-hom v :: 'a :: linordered-field vec*) $<_v$ *of-int-hom.vec-hom w*
 $w \longleftrightarrow v <_v w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ints-vec-to-int-vec*: **assumes** *v ∈ Zv*
shows $\exists w. v = \text{map-vec of-int } w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *small-integer-solution*: **fixes** *A1 :: int mat*
assumes *db: is-det-bound db*
and *A1: A1 ∈ carrier-mat nr1 n*
and *A2: A2 ∈ carrier-mat nr2 n*
and *b1: b1 ∈ carrier-vec nr1*

```

and b2:  $b_2 \in \text{carrier-vec } nr_2$ 
and A1Bnd:  $A_1 \in \text{Bounded-mat } Bnd$ 
and b1Bnd:  $b_1 \in \text{Bounded-vec } Bnd$ 
and A2Bnd:  $A_2 \in \text{Bounded-mat } Bnd$ 
and b2Bnd:  $b_2 \in \text{Bounded-vec } Bnd$ 
and x:  $x \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
and sol-nonstrict:  $A_1 *_v x \leq b_1$ 
and sol-strict:  $A_2 *_v x <_v b_2$ 
and non-degenerate:  $nr_1 \neq 0 \vee nr_2 \neq 0 \vee Bnd \geq 0$ 
shows  $\exists x.$ 
   $x \in \text{carrier-vec } n \wedge$ 
   $A_1 *_v x \leq b_1 \wedge$ 
   $A_2 *_v x <_v b_2 \wedge$ 
   $x \in \text{Bounded-vec } (\text{of-nat } (n+1) * db n Bnd)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary small-integer-solution-nonstrict: fixes A :: int mat
assumes db: is-det-bound db
  and A:  $A \in \text{carrier-mat } nr n$ 
  and b:  $b \in \text{carrier-vec } nr$ 
  and ABnd:  $A \in \text{Bounded-mat } Bnd$ 
  and bBnd:  $b \in \text{Bounded-vec } Bnd$ 
  and x:  $x \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
  and sol:  $A *_v x \leq b$ 
  and non-degenerate:  $nr \neq 0 \vee Bnd \geq 0$ 
shows  $\exists y.$ 
   $y \in \text{carrier-vec } n \wedge$ 
   $A *_v y \leq b \wedge$ 
   $y \in \text{Bounded-vec } (\text{of-nat } (n+1) * db n Bnd)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemmas small-integer-solution-nonstrict-hadamard =
  small-integer-solution-nonstrict[OF det-bound-hadamard, unfolded det-bound-hadamard-def]

```

```
end
```

16 Integer Hull

We define the integer hull of a polyhedron, i.e., the convex hull of all integer solutions. Moreover, we prove the result of Meyer that the integer hull of a polyhedron defined by an integer matrix is again a polyhedron, and give bounds for a corresponding decomposition theorem.

```

theory Integer-Hull
imports
  Decomposition-Theorem
  Mixed-Integer-Solutions
begin

```

```

context gram-schmidt
begin
definition integer-hull  $P = \text{convex-hull} (P \cap \mathbb{Z}_v)$ 

lemma integer-hull-mono:  $P \subseteq Q \implies \text{integer-hull } P \subseteq \text{integer-hull } Q$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

lemma abs-neg-floor:  $|of\text{-int } b| \leq Bnd \implies -(\text{floor } Bnd) \leq b$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma abs-pos-floor:  $|of\text{-int } b| \leq Bnd \implies b \leq \text{floor } Bnd$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

context gram-schmidt-floor
begin

lemma integer-hull-integer-cone: assumes  $C: C \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
  and  $CI: C \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_v$ 
  shows  $\text{integer-hull} (\text{cone } C) = \text{cone } C$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

theorem decomposition-theorem-integer-hull-of-polyhedron:
  assumes  $db: \text{is-det-bound } db$ 
  and  $A: A \in \text{carrier-mat } nr \ n$ 
  and  $b: b \in \text{carrier-vec } nr$ 
  and  $AI: A \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ 
  and  $bI: b \in \mathbb{Z}_v$ 
  and  $P: P = \text{polyhedron } A \ b$ 
  and  $Bnd: of\text{-int } Bnd \geq \text{Max} (abs \ '(\text{elements-mat } A \cup \text{vec-set } b))$ 
  shows  $\exists H \ C. \ H \cup C \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n \cap \mathbb{Z}_v$ 
     $\wedge H \subseteq \text{Bounded-vec} (of\text{-nat} (n + 1) * of\text{-int} (db \ n (\max 1 \ Bnd)))$ 
     $\wedge C \subseteq \text{Bounded-vec} (of\text{-int} (db \ n (\max 1 \ Bnd)))$ 
     $\wedge \text{finite} (H \cup C)$ 
     $\wedge \text{integer-hull } P = \text{convex-hull } H + \text{cone } C$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

corollary integer-hull-of-polyhedron: assumes  $A: A \in \text{carrier-mat } nr \ n$ 
  and  $b: b \in \text{carrier-vec } nr$ 
  and  $AI: A \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ 
  and  $bI: b \in \mathbb{Z}_v$ 
  and  $P: P = \text{polyhedron } A \ b$ 
  shows  $\exists A' \ b' \ nr'. \ A' \in \text{carrier-mat } nr' \ n \wedge b' \in \text{carrier-vec } nr' \wedge$ 
     $\text{integer-hull } P = \text{polyhedron } A' \ b'$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

corollary small-integer-solution-nonstrict-via-decomp: fixes  $A :: 'a \ \text{mat}$ 

```

```

assumes db: is-det-bound db
and A: A ∈ carrier-mat nr n
and b: b ∈ carrier-vec nr
and AI: A ∈  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ 
and bI: b ∈  $\mathbb{Z}_v$ 
and Bnd: of-int Bnd ≥ Max (abs ‘(elements-mat A ∪ vec-set b))
and x: x ∈ carrier-vec n
and xI: x ∈  $\mathbb{Z}_v$ 
and sol: A *v x ≤ b
shows ∃ y.
y ∈ carrier-vec n ∧
y ∈  $\mathbb{Z}_v$  ∧
A *v y ≤ b ∧
y ∈ Bounded-vec (of-nat (n+1) * of-int (db n (max 1 Bnd)))
⟨proof⟩

lemmas small-integer-solution-nonstrict-via-decomp-hadamard =
small-integer-solution-nonstrict-via-decomp[OF det-bound-hadamard, unfolded det-bound-hadamard-def]

end
end

```

References

- [1] B. Dutertre and L. M. de Moura. A fast linear-arithmetic solver for DPLL(T). In *Proc. Computer Aided Verification*, volume 4144 of *LNCS*, pages 81–94. Springer, 2006. Extended version available as Technical Report, CSL-06-01, SRI International.
- [2] C. H. Papadimitriou. On the complexity of integer programming. *J. ACM*, 28(4):765–768, 1981.
- [3] A. Schrijver. *Theory of linear and integer programming*. John Wiley & Sons, 1998.