

# Lie Groups and Algebras

Richard Schmoetten and Jacques D. Fleuriot

March 17, 2025

## Abstract

Lie Groups are formalised as locales, building on the theory of Smooth Manifolds [1]. We formalise the diffeomorphism group of a manifold, and the action of a Lie group on a manifold. The general linear group is shown to be a Lie group by proving properties of the determinant, and matrix inverses. We also develop a theory of smooth vector fields on a  $C^\infty$  manifold  $M$ , defined as smooth maps from the manifold to its tangent bundle  $TM$ . We employ a shortcut that avoids difficulties in defining the tangent bundle as a manifold, but which still leads to vector fields with the properties one would expect. Notably, they are derivations  $C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ . We construct *the* Lie algebra of a Lie group as an algebra of left-invariant smooth vector fields. Our main reference for the mathematics of smooth manifolds is Lee's textbook [2], which also contains material on Lie groups and algebras.

## Contents

<b>1 Abstract algebra locales over a field</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1 Bilinearity, Jacobi identity . . . . .	3
1.2 Unital and associative algebras . . . . .	5
1.3 Lie algebra (locale) . . . . .	8
1.4 Division algebras . . . . .	10
<b>2 Continuity of the determinant (and other maps)</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>3 Component expressions for inverse matrices over fields</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>4 Smoothness of real matrix operations and <math>\det</math></b>	<b>17</b>
4.1 Smoothness of matrix multiplication . . . . .	17
4.2 Smoothness of $\prod$ and $\det$ . . . . .	20
4.3 Smoothness of matrix inversion . . . . .	21
<b>5 Smooth vector fields</b>	<b>26</b>
5.1 (Smooth) vector fields on an (entire) manifold. . . . .	26
5.1.1 Charts for the tangent bundle . . . . .	26

5.1.2	Proofs about <i>apply-chart-TM</i> that mimic the properties of $('a, 'b) \text{ chart}$ . . . . .	27
5.1.3	Differentiability of vector fields . . . . .	42
5.2	Smoothness criterion for a vector field in a single chart. . . . .	46
5.2.1	Connecting the types $'a \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{real}$ (used for <i>smooth-vector-field-local</i> ) and $'a \Rightarrow 'a \times (('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{real})$ (used for $\lambda \text{charts } k. \text{ c-manifold.section-of-TM-on charts } k$ ( <i>manifold.carrier charts</i> )). . . . .	47
5.2.2	Some theorems about smooth vector fields, locally and globally. . . . .	49
5.3	Smooth vector fields as maps $C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ . . . . .	61
5.4	Smooth vector fields are derivations . . . . .	65
5.5	Derivations are smooth vector fields . . . . .	66
<b>6</b>	<b>The Lie bracket of smooth vector fields</b>	<b>67</b>
6.1	General lemmas . . . . .	68
6.2	Properties of the Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{X}$ . . . . .	68
<b>7</b>	<b>Definition of Lie Groups (as Locales)</b>	<b>76</b>
7.1	Topological groups . . . . .	76
7.2	Lie groups . . . . .	77
7.3	Some lemmas about Lie groups (and other needed results). . . . .	79
<b>8</b>	<b>Morphisms of Lie groups, actions and representations</b>	<b>81</b>
8.1	Morphism of Lie groups. . . . .	81
8.2	Action of a Lie group on a manifold. . . . .	82
8.3	Action of a Lie Group on itself. . . . .	83
8.3.1	The left action. . . . .	83
8.3.2	The right action. . . . .	88
<b>9</b>	<b>Models/Instances</b>	<b>93</b>
9.1	Euclidean Space . . . . .	93
9.1.1	Euclidean Spaces are Lie groups under $(+)$ . . . . .	93
9.2	The real numbers as a Lie group . . . . .	96
<b>10</b>	<b>The Lie algebra of a Lie Group</b>	<b>96</b>
10.1	(Left-)invariant vector fields . . . . .	97
<b>11</b>	<b>Matrix Groups</b>	<b>99</b>
11.1	Entry Type . . . . .	99
11.2	$\text{Mat}(n, F)$ . . . . .	100
11.3	$\text{GL}(n, F)$ . . . . .	100

theory *Algebra-On*

```

imports
HOL-Types-To-Sets.Linear-Algebra-On
Jacobson-Basic-Algebra.Ring-Theory
begin

```

## 1 Abstract algebra locales over a field

... with carrier set and some implicit operations (only algebraic multiplication, scaling, and derived constants are not implicit).

For full generality, one could define an algebra as a ring that is also a module (rather than a vector space, i.e. have a (non/commutative) base ring instead of a base field).

### 1.1 Bilinearity, Jacobi identity

```

lemma (in module-hom-on) mem-hom:
assumes x ∈ S1
shows f x ∈ S2
using scale[OF assms, of 1] m2.mem-scale[of f x 1] m2.scale-one-on[of f x] oops

locale bilinear-on =
vector-space-pair-on V W scaleV scaleW +
vector-space-on X scaleX
for V::'b::ab-group-add set and W::'c::ab-group-add set and X::'d::ab-group-add
set
and scaleV::'a::field⇒'b⇒'b (infixr ⟨•V⟩ 75)
and scaleW::'a⇒'c⇒'c (infixr ⟨•W⟩ 75)
and scaleX::'a⇒'d⇒'d (infixr ⟨•X⟩ 75) +
fixes f::'b⇒'c⇒'d
assumes linearL: w ∈ W ⇒ linear-on V X scaleV scaleX (λv. f v w)
and linearR: v ∈ V ⇒ linear-on W X scaleW scaleX (λw. f v w)
begin

lemma linearL': [[v ∈ V; w ∈ W]] ⇒ f (a •V v) w = a •X (f v w)
[[v ∈ V; v' ∈ V; w ∈ W]] ⇒ f (v + v') w = (f v w) + (f v' w)
using linearL unfolding linear-on-def module-hom-on-def module-hom-on-axioms-def
by simp+

```

```

lemma linearR': [[v ∈ V; w ∈ W]] ⇒ f v (a •W w) = a •X (f v w)
[[v ∈ V; w ∈ W; w' ∈ W]] ⇒ f v (w + w') = (f v w) + (f v w')
using linearR unfolding linear-on-def module-hom-on-def module-hom-on-axioms-def
by simp+

```

```

lemma bilinear-zero [simp]:
shows w ∈ W ⇒ f 0 w = 0 v ∈ V ⇒ f v 0 = 0
using linearL'(2) m1.mem-zero linearR'(2) m2.mem-zero by fastforce+

```

```

lemma bilinear-uminus [simp]:
  assumes  $v: v \in V$  and  $w: w \in W$ 
  shows  $f(-v)w = - (f v w)$   $f v (-w) = - (f v w)$ 
  using  $v w$  linearL'(2) m1.mem-uminus bilinear-zero(1) ab-left-minus add-right-imp-eq
  apply metis
  using  $v w$  linearR'(2) m2.mem-uminus bilinear-zero(2) add-left-cancel add.right-inverse
  by metis

```

```
end
```

For bilinear maps, "alternating" means the same as "skew-symmetric", which is the same as "anti-symmetric".

```

locale alternating-bilinear-on = bilinear-on  $S S S$  scale scale scale  $f$  for  $S$  scale  $f$ 
+
  assumes alternating:  $x \in S \implies f x x = 0$ 
begin

```

```

lemma antisym:
  assumes  $x \in S$   $y \in S$ 
  shows  $(f x y) + (f y x) = 0$ 
proof -
  have  $f(x+y)(x+y) = (f x x) + (f x y) + (f y x) + (f y y)$ 
  using linearL'(2) linearR'(2) by (simp add: assms m1.mem-add)
  thus ?thesis
  using alternating by (simp add: assms m1.mem-add)
qed

```

```

lemma antisym':
  assumes  $x \in S$   $y \in S$ 
  shows  $(f x y) = - (f y x)$ 
  using antisym[OF assms] by (simp add: eq-neg-iff-add-eq-0)

```

```

lemma antisym-uminus:
  assumes  $x \in S$   $y \in S$ 
  shows  $f(-x)y = f y xf x(-y) = f y x$ 
  using bilinear-uminus by (metis antisym' assms)+

```

```
end
```

```

abbreviation (input) jacobi-identity-with::' $a \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ 
where jacobi-identity-with zero-add f-add f-mult  $x y z \equiv$ 
  zero-add = f-add (f-add (f-mult x (f-mult y z)) (f-mult y (f-mult z x))) (f-mult z (f-mult x y))

```

```
abbreviation (input) jacobi-identity::('a:{monoid-add}  $\Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow$ 
```

```

'a ⇒ bool
where jacobi-identity f-mult x y z ≡ jacobi-identity-with 0 (+) f-mult x y z

lemma (in module-hom-on) mapsto-zero: f 0 = 0
  using add m1.mem-zero by fastforce

lemma (in module-hom-on) mapsto-uminus: a ∈ S1 ⇒ f (-a) = - f a
  by (metis add m1.mem-uminus neg-eq-iff-add-eq-0 mapsto-zero)

lemma (in module-hom-on) mapsto-closed: a ∈ S1 ⇒ f a ∈ S2
  using mapsto-zero add mapsto-uminus
oops

```

## 1.2 Unital and associative algebras

```

locale algebra-on = bilinear-on S S S scale scale scale amult
  for S
    and scale :: 'a::field ⇒ 'b::ab-group-add ⇒ 'b (infixr ∘*_S 75)
    and amult (infixr ∘_S 74) +
  assumes amult-closed [simp]: a ∈ S ⇒ b ∈ S ⇒ amult a b ∈ S
begin

lemma
  shows distR: ⟦x ∈ S; y ∈ S; z ∈ S⟧ ⇒ (x + y) ∘ z = x ∘ z + y ∘ z
  and distL: ⟦x ∈ S; y ∈ S; z ∈ S⟧ ⇒ z ∘ (x + y) = z ∘ x + z ∘ y
  and scalar-compat : ⟦x ∈ S; y ∈ S⟧ ⇒ (a ∘_S x) ∘ (b ∘_S y) = (a ∘ b) ∘_S (x ∘ y)
  using algebra-on-axioms unfolding algebra-on-def bilinear-on-def bilinear-on-axioms-def
    linear-on-def module-hom-on-def module-hom-on-axioms-def
    by (blast, blast, metis m1.mem-scale m1.scale-scale-on)

lemma scalar-compat' [simp]:
  shows ⟦x ∈ S; y ∈ S⟧ ⇒ (a ∘_S x) ∘ y = a ∘_S (x ∘ y)
  and ⟦x ∈ S; y ∈ S⟧ ⇒ x ∘ (a ∘_S y) = a ∘_S (x ∘ y)
  by (simp-all add: linearL' linearR')

end

```

Sometimes an associative algebra is defined as a ring that is also a module (over a comm. ring), with the module and scalar multiplication being compatible, and the ring and module addition being the same. That definition implies an associative algebra is also unital, i.e. there is a multiplicative identity; in contrast, our definition doesn't. This is in agreement with how a ' $a$ ' needs no identity, and an additional type class  $\text{typ} > 'a::ring-1$  is provided (instead of the terminology of rng vs. ring).

```

locale assoc-algebra-on = algebra-on +
  assumes amult-assoc: ⟦x ∈ S; y ∈ S; z ∈ S⟧ ⇒ (x ∘ y) ∘ z = x ∘ (y ∘ z)

```

```

locale unital-algebra-on = algebra-on +

```

```

fixes a-id
assumes amult-id [simp]: a-id ∈ S a ∈ S ⇒ a • a-id = a a ∈ S ⇒ a-id • a = a
begin

lemma id-neq-0-iff: ∃ a ∈ S. ∃ b ∈ S. a ≠ b ⇔ 0 ≠ a-id
  using amult-id(1) m1.mem-zero by blast

lemma id-neq-0-if:
  shows a ∈ S ⇒ b ∈ S ⇒ a ≠ b ⇒ 0 ≠ a-id
    and card S ≥ 2 ⇒ 0 ≠ a-id
    and infinite S ⇒ 0 ≠ a-id
  proof -
    have ex-card: ∃ S ⊆ A. card S = n
      if n ≤ card A
        for n and A::'a set
        proof (cases finite A)
          case True
            from ex-bij-betw-nat-finite[OF this] obtain f where f: bij-betw f {0..< card A} A ..
            moreover from f ⟨n ≤ card A⟩ have {..< n} ⊆ {..< card A} inj-on f {..< n}
              by (auto simp: bij-betw-def intro: subset-inj-on)
            ultimately have f ` {..< n} ⊆ A card (f ` {..< n}) = n
              by (auto simp: bij-betw-def card-image)
            then show ?thesis by blast
        next
          case False
          with ⟨n ≤ card A⟩ show ?thesis by force
        qed
      show a ∈ S ⇒ b ∈ S ⇒ a ≠ b ⇒ 0 ≠ a-id
        using amult-id(2) linearR' m1.mem-zero m1.scale-zero-left by metis
        thus card S ≥ 2 ⇒ 0 ≠ a-id
          by (metis amult-id(2) card-2-iff' ex-card m1.mem-zero m1.scale-zero-left
            scalar-compat'(2) subset-iff)
        thus infinite S ⇒ 0 ≠ a-id
          using infinite-arbitrarily-large
          by (metis amult-id(2) card-2-iff' linearR'(1) m1.mem-zero m1.scale-zero-left
            subset-iff)
        qed
    lemma id-neq-0-implies-elements : ∃ a ∈ S. ∃ b ∈ S. a ≠ b if 0 ≠ a-id
      using amult-id(1) m1.mem-zero that by blast

    lemma id-neq-0-implies-card:
      assumes 0 ≠ a-id
      obtains card S ≥ 2 | infinite S

```

```

using id-neq-0-implies-elements[OF assms] unfolding numeral-2-eq-2
using card-le-Suc0-iff-eq not-less-eq-eq by blast

```

```

lemma id-unique [simp]:
  fixes other-id
  assumes other-id ∈ S ∧ a ∈ S ⇒ a • other-id = a ∧ other-id • a = a
  shows other-id = a-id
  using assms amult-id by fastforce

```

```
end
```

```

locale assoc-algebra-1-on = assoc-algebra-on + unital-algebra-on +
assumes id-neq-0 [simp]: a-id ≠ 0 — this is as in the class ring-1, and merely
assures S has at least two elements
begin

```

```

lemma is-ring-1-axioms:
  shows ∧ a b c. a ∈ S ⇒ b ∈ S ⇒ c ∈ S ⇒ a • b • c = a • (b • c)
    and ∧ a. a ∈ S ⇒ a-id • a = a
    and ∧ a. a ∈ S ⇒ a • a-id = a
    and ∧ a b c. a ∈ S ⇒ b ∈ S ⇒ c ∈ S ⇒ (a + b) • c = a • c + b • c
    and ∧ a b c. a ∈ S ⇒ b ∈ S ⇒ c ∈ S ⇒ a • (b + c) = a • b + a • c
  by (simp-all add: distR distL algebra-simps)

```

```

lemma inverse-unique [simp]:
  assumes a: a ∈ S a ≠ 0
    and x: x ∈ S a • x = a-id ∧ x • a = a-id
    and y: y ∈ S a • y = a-id ∧ y • a = a-id
  shows x = y
  using amult-assoc[of x a x] amult-assoc[of x a y]
  by (simp add: assms)

```

```

lemma inverse-unique':
  assumes a: a ∈ S a ≠ 0
    and inv-ex: ∃ x ∈ S. a • x = a-id ∧ x • a = a-id
  shows ∃ !x ∈ S. a • x = a-id ∧ x • a = a-id
  using a inv-ex inverse-unique by (metis (no-types, lifting))

```

```
end
```

```

lemma algebra-onI [intro]:
  fixes scale :: 'a::field ⇒ 'b::ab-group-add ⇒ 'b (infixr ∘*_S 75)
    and amult (infixr ∘• 74)
  assumes vector-space-on S scale
    and distR: ∀ x y z. [|x ∈ S; y ∈ S; z ∈ S|] ⇒ (x + y) • z = x • z + y • z
    and distL: ∀ x y z. [|x ∈ S; y ∈ S; z ∈ S|] ⇒ z • (x + y) = z • x + z • y
    and scalar-compat: ∀ a x y. [|x ∈ S; y ∈ S|] ⇒ (a *_S x) • y = a *_S (x • y) ∧ x
      • (a *_S y) = a *_S (x • y)

```

```

and closure:  $\bigwedge x y. \llbracket x \in S; y \in S \rrbracket \implies x \bullet y \in S$ 
shows algebra-on S scale amult
unfolding algebra-on-def bilinear-on-def vector-space-pair-on-def bilinear-on-axioms-def
apply (intro conjI algebra-on-axioms.intro, simp-all add: assms(1))
unfolding linear-on-def module-hom-on-def module-hom-on-axioms-def
by (auto simp: assms vector-space-on.axioms)

```

```

lemma (in vector-space-on) scalar-compat-iff:
fixes scale-notatation (infixr  $\langle *_S \rangle$  75)
and amult (infixr  $\langle \bullet \rangle$  74)
defines scale-notatation  $\equiv$  scale
assumes distR:  $\bigwedge x y z. \llbracket x \in S; y \in S; z \in S \rrbracket \implies (x+y) \bullet z = x \bullet z + y \bullet z$ 
and distL:  $\bigwedge x y z. \llbracket x \in S; y \in S; z \in S \rrbracket \implies z \bullet (x+y) = z \bullet x + z \bullet y$ 
shows ( $\forall a. \forall x \in S. \forall y \in S. (a *_S x) \bullet y = a *_S (x \bullet y) \wedge x \bullet (a *_S y) = a *_S (x \bullet y)$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
 $(\forall a b. \forall x \in S. \forall y \in S. (a *_S x) \bullet (b *_S y) = (a * b) *_S (x \bullet y))$ 
proof (intro iffI)
{ assume asm:  $\bigwedge a b x y. x \in S \implies y \in S \implies a *_S x \bullet b *_S y = (a * b) *_S (x \bullet y)$ 
{ fix a x y
assume S:  $x \in S y \in S$ 
have  $a *_S x \bullet y = a *_S (x \bullet y) x \bullet a *_S y = a *_S (x \bullet y)$ 
using asm[of x y a 1] S apply (simp add: scale-notatation-def)
using asm[of x y 1 a] S by (simp add: scale-notatation-def) }
thus  $\forall a b. \forall x \in S. \forall y \in S. a *_S x \bullet b *_S y = (a * b) *_S (x \bullet y) \implies$ 
 $\forall a. \forall x \in S. \forall y \in S. a *_S x \bullet y = a *_S (x \bullet y) \wedge x \bullet a *_S y = a *_S (x \bullet y)$ 
by blast
qed (metis mem-scale scale-notatation-def scale-scale-on)

```

```

lemma (in vector-space-on) algebra-onI:
fixes scale-notatation (infixr  $\langle *_S \rangle$  75)
and amult (infixr  $\langle \bullet \rangle$  74)
defines scale-notatation  $\equiv$  scale
assumes distR:  $\bigwedge x y z. \llbracket x \in S; y \in S; z \in S \rrbracket \implies (x+y) \bullet z = x \bullet z + y \bullet z$ 
and distL:  $\bigwedge x y z. \llbracket x \in S; y \in S; z \in S \rrbracket \implies z \bullet (x+y) = z \bullet x + z \bullet y$ 
and scalar-compat:  $\bigwedge a x y. \llbracket x \in S; y \in S \rrbracket \implies (a *_S x) \bullet y = a *_S (x \bullet y) \wedge x$ 
•  $(a *_S y) = a *_S (x \bullet y)$ 
and closure:  $\bigwedge x y. \llbracket x \in S; y \in S \rrbracket \implies x \bullet y \in S$ 
shows algebra-on S scale amult
using algebra-onI[of S scale amult] assms scale-notatation-def vector-space-on-axioms
by simp

```

### 1.3 Lie algebra (locale)

List syntax interferes with the standard notation for the Lie bracket, so it can be disabled here. Instead, we add a delimiter to the notation for Lie brackets, which also helps with unambiguous parsing.

```

locale lie-algebra = algebra-on g scale lie-bracket + alternating-bilinear-on g scale
lie-bracket
  for g
    and scale :: 'a::field ⇒ 'b::ab-group-add ⇒ 'b (infixr ∘*_S 75)
    and lie-bracket :: 'b ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b (⟨[-; -]⟩ 74) +
  assumes jacobi: [[x; y]; z] + [y; [z; x]] + [z; [x; y]] = 0
  lemma (in algebra-on) lie-algebraI:
    assumes alternating: ∀ x ∈ S. amult x x = 0
    and jacobi: ∀ x ∈ S. ∀ y ∈ S. ∀ z ∈ S. jacobi-identity amult x y z
    shows lie-algebra S scale amult
    apply unfold-locales using assms by auto
  lemma (in vector-space-on) lie-algebraI:
    fixes lie-bracket :: 'b ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b (⟨[-; -]⟩ 74)
    and scale-notation (infixr ∘*_S 75)
    defines scale-notation ≡ scale
    assumes distributivity:
      ∧ x y z. [[x; y]; z] + [y; [z; x]] = [x; z] + [y; z] ∧ [z; (x+y)] = [z; x] + [z; y]
      and scalar-compatibility:
        ∧ a x y. [[a *_S x]; y] = a *_S ([x; y]) ∧ [x; (a *_S y)] = a *_S ([x; y])
        and closure: ∧ x y. [[x; y]; z] = [x; y] ∈ S
        and alternating: ∀ x ∈ S. lie-bracket x x = 0
        and jacobi: ∀ x ∈ S. ∀ y ∈ S. ∀ z ∈ S. jacobi-identity lie-bracket x y z
        shows lie-algebra S scale lie-bracket
        using assms(1,3,6) by (auto simp: assms(2,4,5) intro!: algebra-on.lie-algebraI
algebra-onI)
  context lie-algebra begin
  lemma jacobi-alt:
    assumes x: x ∈ g and y: y ∈ g and z: z ∈ g
    shows [[x; y]; z] = [[x; y]; z] + [y; [x; z]]
  proof -
    have [[x; y]; z] = - ([[y; z]; x]) + (- ([[z; x]; y]))
    using jacobi[OF assms] add-implies-diff[of [[x; y]; z] [[y; z]; x] + [[z; x]; y]] 0]
    by (simp add: add.commute add.left_commute)
    moreover have [[x; y]; z] = - ([[z; x]; y]) - ([[y; z]; x]) = [y; [x; z]]
    using antisym'[OF amult-closed[OF x y z] antisym'[OF z x]] by (simp_all add:
assms)
    ultimately show [[x; y]; z] = [[x; y]; z] + [y; [x; z]] by simp
  qed
  lemma lie-subalgebra:
    assumes h: h ⊆ g m1.subspace h and closed: ∀ x y. x ∈ h ⇒ y ∈ h ⇒ lie-bracket
x y ∈ h

```

```

shows lie-algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  scale lie-bracket
proof -
  interpret  $\mathfrak{h}$ : vector-space-on  $\mathfrak{h}$  scale
    apply unfold-locales
    apply (meson h(1) m1.scale-right-distrib-on subset-iff)
    apply (meson h(1) in-mono m1.scale-left-distrib-on)
    using h(1) m1.scale-scale-on m1.scale-one-on apply auto[2]
    by (simp-all add: h m1.subspace-add m1.subspace-0 m1.subspace-scale)

  show ?thesis
    apply (intro  $\mathfrak{h}.\text{lie-algebraI}$ )
    using alternating h(1) jacobi linearL' linearR' by (auto simp: closed subset-iff)
qed

end

```

## 1.4 Division algebras

**abbreviation (in algebra-on)**  $\text{is-left-divisor } x \ a \ b \equiv x \in S \wedge a = amult x b$   
**abbreviation (in algebra-on)**  $\text{is-right-divisor } x \ a \ b \equiv x \in S \wedge a = amult b x$

```

locale div-algebra-on = algebra-on +
  fixes divL::' $a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ 
  and divR::' $a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ 
  assumes divL:  $\llbracket a \in S; b \in S; b \neq 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{is-left-divisor} (\text{divL } a \ b) a \ b$ 
          $\llbracket a \in S; b \in S; b \neq 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{is-left-divisor} y \ a \ b \implies y = (\text{divL } a \ b)$ 
  and divR:  $\llbracket a \in S; b \in S; b \neq 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{is-right-divisor} (\text{divR } a \ b) a \ b$ 
          $\llbracket a \in S; b \in S; b \neq 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{is-right-divisor} y \ a \ b \implies y = (\text{divR } a \ b)$ 
begin

```

In terms of the vocabulary of division rings, the expression  $a = \text{divL } a \ b \bullet b$  means that  $\text{divL } a \ b$  is a left divisor of  $a$ , and conversely that  $a$  is a right multiple of  $\text{divL } a \ b$ .

For  $b = 0$ , the divisors still exist as members of the correct type (necessarily), but they have no properties. Similarly for correctly-typed input outside the algebra.

```

lemma [simp]:
  assumes  $a \in S \ b \in S \ b \neq 0$ 
  shows divL':  $\text{divL } a \ b \in S \ (\text{divL } a \ b) \bullet b = a \ \forall y \in S. \ a = y \bullet b \implies y = \text{divL } a \ b$ 
  and divR':  $\text{divR } a \ b \in S \ b \bullet (\text{divR } a \ b) = a \ \forall y \in S. \ a = b \bullet y \implies y = \text{divR } a \ b$ 
  using assms divL divR by simp-all
end

```

```

lemma (in algebra-on) div-algebra-onI:
  assumes  $\forall a \in S. \ \forall b \in S. \ b \neq 0 \implies (\exists!x \in S. \ a = b \bullet x) \wedge (\exists!y \in S. \ a = y \bullet b)$ 
  shows div-algebra-on S scale amult ( $\lambda a \ b. \ \text{THE } y. \ y \in S \wedge a = y \bullet b$ ) ( $\lambda a \ b. \ \text{THE } x. \ x \in S \wedge a = b \bullet x$ )

```

```

proof (unfold div-algebra-on-def div-algebra-on-axioms-def, intro conjI allI impI)
  fix a b x
  assume a ∈ S b ∈ S b ≠ 0
  have exL: ∃!x ∈ S. a = x • b by (simp add: ⟨a ∈ S⟩ ⟨b ∈ S⟩ ⟨b ≠ 0⟩ assms)
  from theI'[OF this]
    show L: (THE y. y ∈ S ∧ a = y • b) ∈ S a = (THE y. y ∈ S ∧ a = y • b) • b
      by simp+
  have exR: ∃!x ∈ S. a = b • x by (simp add: ⟨a ∈ S⟩ ⟨b ∈ S⟩ ⟨b ≠ 0⟩ assms)
  from theI'[OF this]
    show R: (THE x. x ∈ S ∧ a = b • x) ∈ S a = b • (THE x. x ∈ S ∧ a = b • x)
      by simp+
    { assume x ∈ S ∧ a = x • b
      thus x = (THE y. y ∈ S ∧ a = y • b) using L exL by auto
    } { assume x ∈ S ∧ a = b • x
      thus x = (THE x. x ∈ S ∧ a = b • x) using R exR by auto
    }
  qed (simp add: algebra-on-axioms)

lemma (in assoc-algebra-1-on) div-algebra-onI':
  fixes ainv adivL adivR
  defines ainv a ≡ (THE x. x ∈ S ∧ a-id=x•a ∧ a-id=a•x)
  and adivL b a ≡ b • (ainv a)
  and adivR b a ≡ (ainv a) • b
  assumes ∀a ∈ S. a ≠ 0 → (∃x ∈ S. a-id=x•a ∧ a-id=a•x)
  shows div-algebra-on S scale amult adivL adivR
proof (unfold-locales)
  fix a b
  assume asm: a ∈ S b ∈ S b ≠ 0
  have inv-ex: ∃!x ∈ S. a-id=x•b ∧ a-id=b•x
    using assms(4) inverse-unique' asm(2,3) by metis
  let ?a = THE x. x ∈ S ∧ a-id = x • b ∧ a-id = b • x
  from theI'[OF inv-ex] show 1: adivR a b ∈ S ∧ a = b • adivR a b
    unfolding adivR-def ainv-def apply (intro conjI)
    using asm(1) apply simp
    using amult-assoc amult-id(2) asm(1,2) is-ring-1-axioms(2) by (metis (no-types,
    lifting))
  from theI'[OF inv-ex] show 2: adivL a b ∈ S ∧ a = adivL a b • b
    unfolding adivL-def ainv-def apply (intro conjI)
    apply (simp add: asm(1))
    using amult-assoc asm(1,2) is-ring-1-axioms(3) by presburger
    { fix y assume y ∈ S ∧ a = y • b
      thus y = adivL a b
        by (metis inv-ex 2 amult-assoc asm(2) amult-id(2))
    } { fix y assume y ∈ S ∧ a = b • y
      thus y = adivR a b
        by (metis 1 amult-assoc asm(2) inv-ex is-ring-1-axioms(2)) }
  qed

lemma (in assoc-algebra-on) div-algebra-on-imp-inverse:

```

```

assumes div-algebra-on S scale amult divL divR card S ≥ 2 ∨ infinite S
shows ∃ a-id∈S. (∀ a∈S. a•a-id=a ∧ a-id•a=a) ∧ (∀ a∈S. a≠0 → divL a-id a
= divR a-id a)
proof -
  obtain x where x≠0 x∈S
  using assms(2) unfolding numeral-2-eq-2
  by (metis card-1-singleton-iff card-gt-0-iff card-le-Suc0-iff-eq insertI1 not-less-eq-eq
      rev-finite-subset subsetI zero-less-Suc)
  let ?id = divL x x
  show ?thesis
  proof (intro bexI conjI ballI impI)
    show 1: ?id ∈ S
    using assms unfolding div-algebra-on-def div-algebra-on-axioms-def
    using ⟨x ∈ S⟩ ⟨x ≠ 0⟩ by blast
    fix a assume a∈S
    show 2: a • ?id = a
    by (smt (verit) 1 ⟨a∈S⟩ ⟨x∈S⟩ ⟨x≠0⟩ amult-assoc amult-closed assms(1)
        div-algebra-on.divL)
    show 3: ?id • a = a
    by (smt (verit) ⟨a∈S⟩ ⟨x∈S⟩ ⟨x≠0⟩ amult-assoc assms(1) div-algebra-on.divL(1)
        div-algebra-on.divR')
    assume a≠0
    show 4: divL ?id a = divR ?id a
    by (smt (verit) 1 3 ⟨a∈S⟩ ⟨a≠0⟩ amult-assoc amult-closed assms(1) div-algebra-on.divL
        div-algebra-on.divR(2))
    qed
qed

lemma (in assoc-algebra-on) assoc-div-algebra-on-iff:
assumes card S ≥ 2 ∨ infinite S
shows (∃ divL divR. div-algebra-on S scale amult divL divR) ↔
      (∃ id. unital-algebra-on S scale amult id ∧ (∀ a∈S. a≠0 → (∃ x∈S. a•x=id
      ∧ x•a=id)))
proof (intro iffI)
  assume ∃ id. unital-algebra-on S (*S) (•) id ∧ (∀ a∈S. a ≠ 0 → (∃ x∈S. a •
  x = id ∧ x • a = id))
  then obtain id
    where id: id∈S ∀ a∈S. a•id=a ∧ id•a=a and inv: ∀ a∈S. a≠0 → (∃ x∈S.
    a•x=id ∧ x•a=id)
    using unital-algebra-on.amult-id by blast
  then have unital: unital-algebra-on S scale amult id
    by (unfold-locales, simp-all)
  then have assoc-alg: assoc-algebra-1-on S scale amult id
    unfolding assoc-algebra-1-on-def assoc-algebra-1-on-axioms-def
    using assms unital-algebra-on.id-neq-0-if(2,3) assoc-algebra-on-axioms
    by blast
  show ∃ divL divR. div-algebra-on S (*S) (•) divL divR
    using assoc-algebra-1-on.div-algebra-onI'[OF assoc-alg] inv by fastforce
next

```

```

assume  $\exists \text{divL } \text{divR. div-algebra-on } S (*_S) (\bullet) \text{divL divR}$ 
then obtain  $\text{divL divR where div-alg: div-algebra-on } S (*_S) (\bullet) \text{divL divR by}$ 
blast
show  $\exists \text{id. unital-algebra-on } S (*_S) (\bullet) \text{id} \wedge (\forall a \in S. a \neq 0 \longrightarrow (\exists x \in S. a \bullet x = id \wedge x \bullet a = id))$ 
using  $\text{div-algebra-on-imp-inverse[OF div-alg assms] unital-algebra-on-axioms.intro}$ 
assoc-algebra-on-axioms
unfolding  $\text{unital-algebra-on-def unital-algebra-on-axioms-def assoc-algebra-on-def}$ 
by  $(\text{smt (verit) div-alg div-algebra-on.divL(1) div-algebra-on.divR(1)})$ 
qed

```

```

locale  $\text{assoc-div-algebra-on} =$ 
 $\text{assoc-algebra-1-on } S \text{ scale amult a-id} +$ 
 $\text{div-algebra-on } S \text{ scale amult } \lambda a b. \text{amult } a (\text{a-inv } b) \lambda a b. \text{amult} (\text{a-inv } b) a$ 
for  $S$ 
and  $\text{scale} :: 'a::\text{field} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{ab-group-add} \Rightarrow 'b (\text{infixr } (*_S) 75)$ 
and  $\text{amult} :: 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b (\text{infixr } (\bullet) 74)$ 
and  $\text{a-id} :: 'b(\langle 1 \rangle)$ 
and  $\text{a-inv} :: 'b \Rightarrow 'b$ 

```

**begin**

The definition *assoc-div-algebra-on* is justified by  $\mathcal{Z} \leq \text{card } S \vee \text{infinite } S \implies (\exists \text{divL } \text{divR. div-algebra-on } S (*_S) (\bullet) \text{divL divR}) = (\exists \text{id. unital-algebra-on } S (*_S) (\bullet) \text{id} \wedge (\forall a \in S. a \neq 0 \longrightarrow (\exists x \in S. a \bullet x = id \wedge x \bullet a = id)))$  above: If we have an associative algebra already, the only way it can be a division algebra is to be unital as well. Since now left and right divisors can be defined through multiplicative inverses, we take only the inverse as a locale parameter, and construct the divisors. The only case we miss here (due to the requirement  $1 \neq 0$ ) is the trivial algebra, which contains only the zero element (which acts as identity as well). This is for compatibility with the standard Isabelle/HOL type classes, which are subclasses of *zero-neq-one*.

```

abbreviation (input)  $\text{divL} :: 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b$ 
where  $\text{divL } a b \equiv \text{amult } a (\text{a-inv } b)$ 

```

```

abbreviation (input)  $\text{divR} :: 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b$ 
where  $\text{divR } a b \equiv \text{amult} (\text{a-inv } b) a$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{div-self-eq-id}:$ 
assumes  $a \in S \wedge a \neq 0$ 
shows  $\text{divL } a a = a\text{-id}$ 
and  $\text{divR } a a = a\text{-id}$ 
apply (metis amult-id(1,3) assms divL'(3))
by (metis amult-id(1,2) assms divR'(3))

```

**end**

```

locale finite-dimensional-assoc-div-algebra-on =
  assoc-div-algebra-on S scale amult a-id a-inv +
  finite-dimensional-vector-space-on S scale basis
for S :: <'b::ab-group-add set>
  and scale :: <'a::field  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b> (infixr  $\langle *_{S} \rangle$  75)
  and amult :: <'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b> (infixr  $\langle \bullet \rangle$  74)
  and a-id :: <'b> (1)
  and a-inv :: <'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b>
  and basis :: <'b set>

lemma (in assoc-div-algebra-on) finite-dimensional-assoc-div-algebra-onI [intro]:
  fixes basis :: 'b set
  assumes finite-Basis: finite basis
    and independent-Basis:  $\neg$  m1.dependent basis
    and span-Basis: m1.span basis = S
    and basis-subset: basis  $\subseteq$  S
  shows finite-dimensional-assoc-div-algebra-on S scale amult a-id a-inv basis
    by (unfold-locales, simp-all add: assms)

end

```

```

theory Linear-Algebra-More
imports
  HOL-Analysis.Analysis
  Smooth-Manifolds.Smooth
  Transfer-Cayley-Hamilton
begin

```

## 2 Continuity of the determinant (and other maps)

```

lemma continuous-on-proj: continuous-on s fst continuous-on s snd
  apply (simp add: continuous-on-fst[OF continuous-on-id])
  by (simp add: continuous-on-snd[OF continuous-on-id])

lemma continuous-on-plus:
  fixes s::('a  $\times$  'a::topological-monoid-add) set
  shows continuous-on s ( $\lambda(x,y).$  x+y)
  by (simp add: continuous-on-add[OF continuous-on-proj] case-prod-beta')

lemma continuous-on-times:
  fixes s::('a  $\times$  'a::real-normed-algebra) set
  shows continuous-on s ( $\lambda(x,y).$  x*y)
  by (simp add: case-prod-beta' continuous-on-mult[OF continuous-on-proj])

lemma continuous-on-times':
  fixes s::('a  $\times$  'a::topological-monoid-mult) set

```

```

shows continuous-on s ( $\lambda(x,y). x*y$ )
by (simp add: case-prod-beta' continuous-on-mult'[OF continuous-on-proj])

```

Only functions between *real-normed-vector* spaces can be *bounded-linear*...

```

lemma continuous-on-nth-of-vec:
  fixes s::('a::real-normed-field,'n::finite)vec set
  shows continuous-on s ( $\lambda x. x \$ n$ )
  by (simp add: bounded-linear-vec-nth linear-continuous-on)

```

```

lemma bounded-linear-mat-ijth[intro]: bounded-linear ( $\lambda x. x \$ i \$ j$ )
  apply (standard; simp?)
  apply (intro exI[of - 1])
  apply (simp add: norm-nth-le)
  by (meson Finite-Cartesian-Product.norm-nth-le dual-order.trans)

```

```

lemma continuous-on-ijth-of-mat:
  fixes s::('a::real-normed-field,'n::finite)square-matrix set
  shows continuous-on s ( $\lambda x. x \$ i \$ j$ )
  by (simp add: bounded-linear-mat-ijth linear-continuous-on)

```

```

lemma continuous-on-det:
  fixes s::('a::real-normed-field,'n::finite)square-matrix set
  shows continuous-on s det
  proof (unfold det-def, intro continuous-on-sum)
    fix p
    assume p ∈ {p. p permutes (UNIV::'n set)}
    show continuous-on s ( $\lambda A. of-int (sign p) * (\prod i \in UNIV. A \$ i \$ p i)$ )
    proof (intro continuous-on-mult)
      show continuous-on s ( $\lambda x. of-int (sign p)$ )
      by simp
      show continuous-on s ( $\lambda x. \prod i \in UNIV. x \$ i \$ p i$ )
      apply (intro continuous-on-prod)
      by (simp add: continuous-on-ijth-of-mat)
    qed
  qed

```

```

lemma invertible-inv-ex:
  fixes a::'a::semiring-1^n^n
  assumes invertible a
  shows (matrix-inv a)**a = mat 1 a** (matrix-inv a) = mat 1
  using some-eq-ex assms invertible-def matrix-inv-def
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG))+
```

A similar result to the below already exists for fields, see e.g. *invertible-left-inverse*. This is more general, as it applies to any semiring (with 1).

```

lemma invertible-matrix-inv:
  fixes a::'a::semiring-1^n^n
  assumes invertible a

```

```

shows invertible (matrix-inv a)
using invertible-inv-ex assms invertible-def
by auto

```

### 3 Component expressions for inverse matrices over fields

```

lemma inv-adj-det-field-component:
  fixes i j::'n::finite and A A'::'a::field^'n^'n
  defines invA: A' ≡ map-matrix (λx. x / (det A)) (adjugate A)
  assumes invertible A
  shows (A**A')$i$j = (if i=j then 1 else 0)
proof -
  let ?D = det A
  have det-not-0: ?D ≠ 0
  using assms by (metis det-I det-mul invertible-inv-ex(2) mult-zero-left zero-neq-one)
  have (∑ k∈UNIV. (A$i*k * (adjugate A)$k$j)) = (if i=j then ?D else 0)
    using mult-adjugate-det-2 [of A] unfolding matrix-matrix-mult-def mat-def
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) iso-tuple-UNIV-I vec-lambda-inverse)
  then have (if i=j then 1 else 0) = (∑ k∈UNIV. (A$i*k * (adjugate A)$k$j))
  / ?D
    by (simp add: det-not-0)
  also have ... = (∑ k∈UNIV. (A$i*k * A'$k$j))
    using sum-divide-distrib invA by force
  finally show ?thesis
    unfolding matrix-matrix-mult-def by simp
qed

lemma inverse-adjugate-det-2:
  fixes A::'a::field^'n^'n
  assumes invertible A
  shows matrix-inv A = map-matrix (λx. x / (det A)) (adjugate A)
  (is matrix-inv A = ?A')
proof -
  let ?D = det A
  have det-not-0: ?D ≠ 0
  using assms by (metis det-I det-mul invertible-inv-ex(2) mult-zero-left zero-neq-one)
  have AA': A ** ?A' = mat 1
    unfolding mat-def using inv-adj-det-field-component[OF assms] by (simp add:
    vec-eq-iff)
  moreover have ?A' ** A = mat 1
    using AA' by (simp add: matrix-left-right-inverse)
  ultimately show matrix-inv A = ?A'
    by (metis (no-types) invertible-def invertible-inv-ex(2) matrix-mul-assoc matrix-mul-lid)
qed

lemma inverse-adjugate-det:

```

```

fixes A::'a::fieldnn
assumes invertible A
shows matrix-inv A = (1 / (det A)) *s (adjugate A)
using inverse-adjugate-det-2[OF assms] unfolding map-matrix-def smult-mat-def
by auto

lemma transpose-component: (transpose A) $i$j = A$j$i
unfolding transpose-def by simp

lemma matrix-inverse-component:
fixes A::'a::fieldnn and i j::'n::finite
assumes invertible A
shows (matrix-inv A)$i$j = det (χ k l. if k = j ∧ l = i then 1 else if k = j ∨ l
= i then 0 else A $ k $ l) / (det A)
using inverse-adjugate-det-2 [OF assms]
by (simp add: transpose-component adjugate-def cofac-def minor-mat-def)

lemma matrix-adjugate-component:
fixes A::'a::fieldnn and i j::'n::finite
assumes invertible A
shows (adjugate A)$i$j = det (χ k l. if k = j ∧ l = i then 1 else if k = j ∨ l =
i then 0 else A $ k $ l)
by (simp add: transpose-component adjugate-def cofac-def minor-mat-def)

```

## 4 Smoothness of real matrix operations and *det*

### 4.1 Smoothness of matrix multiplication

```

lemma smooth-on-ijth-of-mat:
fixes s::('a::real-normed-field,'n::finite)square-matrix set
shows smooth-on s (λx. x $ i $ j)
by (simp add: bounded-linear.smooth-on bounded-linear-mat-ijth)

```

Notice the following result holds only for matrices over the real numbers. (Try removing the type annotations: Isabelle automatically casts to the indicated type anyway.) This is because only real inner product spaces are defined: thus whatever "base field" a matrix is defined over, is implicitly assumed to also be a real inner product space (as is possible, for example, for  $\mathbb{C}$  with the normal inner product of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ), and the inner product is built on top of the existing one to return a *real* result.

```

lemma matrix-matrix-mul-component-real:
fixes A::realkn
and B::realmk
shows A**B = (χ i j. inner (row i A) (column j B))
and A**B = (χ i j. inner (A$i) (transpose B$j))
proof –
have (∑ k∈UNIV. A $ i $ k * B $ k $ j) = inner (row i A) (column j B)
for i j

```

```

unfolding column-def row-def inner-vec-def inner-real-def
using UNIV-I sum.cong vec-lambda-inverse by force
thus c1: A**B = ( $\chi$  i j. inner (row i A) (column j B))
    by (simp add: matrix-matrix-mult-def)
show A**B = ( $\chi$  i j. inner (A$i) (transpose B$j))
proof -
  have ( $\chi$  i j. A $ i · transpose B $ j) = ( $\chi$  i j. row i A · column j B)
    by (simp add: row-def column-def transpose-def)
  then show ?thesis
    using c1 by metis
qed
qed

```

```

lemma matrix-inner-sum:
shows x · y = ( $\sum_{i \in \text{UNIV}} \sum_{j \in \text{UNIV}} (x\$i\$j) \cdot (y\$i\$j)$ )
and x · y = ( $\sum_{(i,j) \in \text{UNIV}} (x\$i\$j) \cdot (y\$i\$j)$ )
apply (simp add: inner-vec-def)+
by (simp add: sum.cartesian-product)

```

```

lemma matrix-norm-sum-sqr:
shows norm x = sqrt( $\sum_{i \in \text{UNIV}} \sum_{j \in \text{UNIV}} (\text{norm } (x\$i\$j))^2$ )
and norm x = sqrt( $\sum_{(i,j) \in \text{UNIV}} (\text{norm } (x\$i\$j))^2$ )
using real-sqrt-abs real-sqrt-power
by (auto simp: norm-vec-def L2-set-def sum-nonneg sum.cartesian-product)

```

```

lemma norm-transpose:
shows norm x = norm (transpose x)
proof -
  have ( $\sum_{(i,j) \in \text{UNIV}} (\text{norm } (x\$i\$j))^2 = \sum_{(j,i) \in \text{UNIV}} (\text{norm } (x\$i\$j))^2$ )
  using sum.swap[of  $\lambda i j. (\text{norm } (x\$i\$j))^2$  UNIV UNIV] by (simp add: sum.cartesian-product)
  then show ?thesis
    unfoldng transpose-def matrix-norm-sum-sqr(2) by simp
qed

```

```

lemma matrix-norm-inner:
fixes x::realnm
shows norm x = sqrt( $\sum_{(i,j) \in \text{UNIV}} (x\$i\$j) \cdot (x\$i\$j)$ )
using matrix-inner-sum(2)[of x x] by (simp add: norm-eq-sqrt-inner)

```

```

lemma matrix-norm-row:
shows norm x = sqrt( $\sum_{i \in \text{UNIV}} (\text{norm } (\text{row } i x))^2$ )
unfoldng norm-vec-def L2-set-def row-def by simp

```

```

lemma matrix-norm-column:
  shows norm x = sqrt(∑ j∈UNIV. (norm (column j x))2)
  using matrix-norm-row norm-transpose row-transpose
  by (metis (lifting) Finite-Cartesian-Product.sum-cong-aux)

lemma mat-mul-indexed: (A**B)$i$j = (∑ k∈UNIV. A $ i $ k * B $ k $ j)
  using matrix-matrix-mult-def vec-lambda-beta
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Finite-Cartesian-Product.sum-cong-aux)

lemma norm-matrix-mult-ineq:
  fixes A :: realln
  and B :: realml
  shows norm (A ** B) ≤ norm A * norm B
  proof –
    have (A**B)$i$j = row i A · column j B for i j
      by (simp add: matrix-matrix-mul-component-real(1)[of A B])
    then have norm (A**B) = sqrt(∑ (i,j)∈UNIV. (norm (row i A · column j B))2)
      by (simp add: matrix-norm-sum-sqr(2)[of A**B])
    then have (norm (A**B))2 = (∑ (i,j)∈UNIV. (norm (row i A · column j B))2)
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) norm-ge-zero real-sqrt-ge-0-iff real-sqrt-pow2)
    also have (∑ (i,j)∈UNIV. (norm (row i A · column j B))2)
      ≤ (∑ (i,j)∈UNIV. (norm (row i A) * norm (column j B))2)
    proof –
      obtain f g where defs:
        f = (λ(i::'n,j::'m). (row i A · column j B)2)
        g = (λ(i::'n,j::'m). (norm (row i A) * norm (column j B))2)
        by simp
      then have f (i,j) ≤ g (i,j) for i::'n and j::'m
        by (simp add: Cauchy-Schwarz-ineq power2-norm-eq-inner power-mult-distrib)
      hence (∑ (i,j)∈UNIV. f (i,j)) ≤ (∑ (i,j)∈UNIV. g (i,j))
        using sum-mono[of UNIV f g] by fastforce
      thus ?thesis
        by (simp add: defs)
      qed
    also have (∑ (i,j)∈UNIV. (norm (row i A) * norm (column j B))2) = (norm A * norm B)2
    proof –
      let ?f = λi. (norm (row i A))2
      let ?g = λj. (norm (column j B))2
      have (∑ (i,j)∈UNIV. (norm (row i A) * norm (column j B))2)
        = (∑ (i,j)∈UNIV. (norm (row i A))2 * (norm (column j B))2)
        by (simp add: power-mult-distrib)
      then have 1: (∑ (i,j)∈UNIV. (norm (row i A) * norm (column j B))2)
        = (∑ i∈UNIV. (norm (row i A))2) * (∑ j∈UNIV. (norm (column j B))2)
        by (simp add: sum-product sum.cartesian-product)
      have 2: (∑ i∈UNIV. (norm (row i A))2) = (norm A)2 (∑ j∈UNIV. (norm (column j B))2) = (norm B)2

```

```

using matrix-norm-row matrix-norm-column abs-norm-cancel real-sqrt-abs
real-sqrt-eq-iff
  by (smt (verit, best) sum.cong) +
  show ?thesis
    using 1 2 by (metis power-mult-distrib)
qed
finally show ?thesis
  by simp
qed

lemma bounded-bilinear-matrix-mult: bounded-bilinear ((**)
  :: real^l^m ⇒ real^n^l ⇒ real^n^m)
  apply (rule bounded-bilinear.intro)
  apply (metis (no-types, lifting) matrix-eq matrix-vector-mul-assoc matrix-vector-mult-add-rdistrib)
  apply (simp add: matrix-add-l distrib matrix-scalar-ac scalar-matrix-assoc) +
  by (intro exI[of _ 1], simp add: norm-matrix-mult-ineq)

lemma smooth-on-matrix-mult:
  fixes f::'a::real-normed-vector ⇒ (real^n^m)
  assumes k-smooth-on S f k-smooth-on S g open S
  shows k-smooth-on S (λx. f x ** g x)
  by (rule bounded-bilinear.smooth-on[OF bounded-bilinear-matrix-mult assms])

```

## 4.2 Smoothness of $\prod$ and $\det$

```

lemma higher-differentiable-on-prod:
  fixes f:: - ⇒ 'c:{real-normed-algebra, comm-monoid-mult}
  assumes ∀i. i ∈ F ⇒ finite F ⇒ higher-differentiable-on S (f i) n open S
  shows higher-differentiable-on S (λx. ∏ i∈F. f i x) n
  using assms apply (induction F rule: infinite-finite-induct)
  by (simp add: higher-differentiable-on-const higher-differentiable-on-mult) +

lemma smooth-on-prod:
  fixes f:: - ⇒ 'c:{real-normed-algebra, comm-monoid-mult}
  assumes (∀i. i ∈ F ⇒ finite F ⇒ k-smooth-on S (f i)) open S
  shows k-smooth-on S (λx. ∏ i∈F. f i x)
  using higher-differentiable-on-prod by (metis assms smooth-on-def)

lemma smooth-on-det:
  fixes s::('a::real-normed-field,'n::finite)square-matrix set
  assumes open s
  shows k-smooth-on s det
  proof (unfold det-def, intro smooth-on-sum)
    fix p
    assume p ∈ {p. p permutes (UNIV::'n set)}
    show k-smooth-on s (λA. of-int (sign p) * (∏ i∈UNIV. A $ i $ p i))
    proof (intro smooth-on-mult)
      show k-smooth-on s (λx. of-int (sign p))
    qed
  qed

```

```

    by (simp add: smooth-on-const)
show k-smooth-on s ( $\lambda x. \prod_{i \in UNIV} x \$ i \$ p i$ ) open s
  apply (intro smooth-on-prod)
  apply (simp add: bounded-linear.smooth-on bounded-linear-mat-ijth)
  by (rule assms) +
qed
qed (rule assms)

```

### 4.3 Smoothness of matrix inversion

```

lemma invertible-mat-1: invertible (mat 1)
  by (simp add: invertible-def)

```

```

lemma continuous-on-vec:
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. \text{continuous-on } S (\lambda x. f x \$ i)$ 
  shows continuous-on  $S f$ 
  using assms unfolding continuous-on-def by (simp add: vec-tendstoI)

```

```

lemma frechet-derivative-eucl:
  fixes  $f: 'a::euclidean-space \Rightarrow 'b::real-normed-vector$ 
  assumes  $f$  differentiable at  $x$ 
  shows frechet-derivative  $f$  (at  $x$ ) =
     $(\lambda v. \sum_{i \in \text{Basis}.} (v \cdot i) *_R \text{frechet-derivative } f \text{ (at } x\text{)} i)$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $id$  differentiable at  $x$   $f$  differentiable at  $(id x)$ 
    by (simp add: frechet-derivative-works, simp add: assms)
  show ?thesis using frechet-derivative-compose-eucl[OF 1] frechet-derivative-id[of x]
    by (auto, metis comp-id fun.map-ident)
qed

```

TODO! This should maybe be changed in *Finite-Cartesian-Product.norm-le-l1-cart*. That result only works for  $'a::real^{'n}$ , this one should work for all  $'a::real-normed-vector^{'n}$ .

```

lemma norm-le-l1-cart': norm  $x \leq \text{sum}(\lambda i. \text{norm } (x\$i))$  UNIV
  by (simp add: norm-vec-def L2-set-le-sum)

```

```

lemma bounded-linear-vec-nth-fun:
  fixes  $f: 'a::real-normed-vector \Rightarrow 'b::real-normed-vector^{'m}$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. \text{bounded-linear } (\lambda x. (f x)\$i)$ 
  shows bounded-linear  $f$ 
proof
  fix  $x y$  and  $r::real$ 
  interpret fi: bounded-linear  $\lambda x. (f x)\$i$  for  $i$  by fact
  show  $f (r*_R x) = r *_R f x$ 
    using fi.scale by (simp add: vec-eq-iff)
  show  $f (x+y) = f x + f y$ 
    using fi.add by (simp add: vec-eq-iff)
  obtain F where  $0 < F$   $i$  and  $\text{norm-}f: \bigwedge x. \text{norm } ((f x)\$i) \leq \text{norm } x * F$   $i$  for  $i$ 
    using fi.pos-bounded by metis

```

```

have  $\forall x. \text{norm } (f x) \leq \text{norm } x * (\sum i \in \text{UNIV}. F i)$ 
proof (rule allI)
fix x
have  $\text{norm } (f x) \leq (\sum i \in \text{UNIV}. \text{norm } (f x \$ i))$ 
by (rule norm-le-l1-cart'[of f x for x])
also have ...  $\leq (\sum i \in \text{UNIV}. \text{norm } x * F i)$ 
using norm-f[of x i for i] by (simp add: sum-mono)
also have ...  $\leq \text{norm } x * (\sum i \in \text{UNIV}. F i)$ 
by (simp add: sum-distrib-left)
finally show  $\text{norm } (f x) \leq \text{norm } x * (\sum i \in \text{UNIV}. F i)$  .
qed
thus  $\exists K. \forall x. \text{norm } (f x) \leq \text{norm } x * K$  by blast
qed

lemma has-derivative-vec-lambda [derivative-intros]:
fixes f::'a::real-normed-vector  $\Rightarrow$  'b::real-normed-vector $^m$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge i. ((\lambda x. (f x \$ i) \text{ has-derivative } (\lambda x. (f' x) \$ i)) \text{ (at } x \text{ within } s)$ 
shows  $(f \text{ has-derivative } f')$  (at x within s)
proof (intro has-derivativeI-sandwich[of 1])
show bounded-linear f'
using assms by (intro bounded-linear-vec-nth-fun has-derivative-bounded-linear)

let ?Ri =  $\lambda i y. (f y) \$ i - (f x) \$ i - (f' (y-x)) \$ i$ 
let ?R =  $\lambda y. f y - f x - f' (y-x)$ 

show  $((\lambda y. (\sum i \in \text{UNIV}. \text{norm } (?R i y) / \text{norm } (y-x))) \longrightarrow 0)$  (at x within s)
using assms apply (intro tendsto-null-sum) by (auto simp: has-derivative-iff-norm)

fix y assume y  $\neq x$ 
show  $\text{norm } (?R y) / \text{norm } (y-x) \leq (\sum i \in \text{UNIV}. \text{norm } (?R i y) / \text{norm } (y-x))$ 
unfolding sum-divide-distrib[symmetric]
apply (rule divide-right-mono) prefer 2 apply simp
using norm-le-l1-cart' by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) real-norm-def sum-mono vector-minus-component)
qed (simp)

lemma has-derivative-vec-lambda-2:
fixes f::'a::real-normed-vector  $\Rightarrow$  'b::real-normed-vector $^m$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge i. ((\lambda x. (f x) \$ i) \text{ has-derivative } (f' i)) \text{ (at } x \text{ within } s)$ 
shows  $(f \text{ has-derivative } (\lambda x. \chi i. f' i x))$  (at x within s)
apply (intro has-derivative-vec-lambda[of f  $\lambda x. \chi i. f' i x$  x s])
using assms by auto

lemma differentiable-componentwise:
fixes f::'a::real-normed-vector  $\Rightarrow$  'b::real-normed-vector $^m$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge i. (\lambda x. f x \$ i) \text{ differentiable } \text{ (at } x \text{ within } s)$ 
shows f differentiable (at x within s)
proof (unfold differentiable-def, intro exI)

```

```

let ?f' =  $\lambda i. \text{SOME } f'. ((\lambda x. f x \$ i) \text{ has-derivative } f') \text{ (at } x \text{ within } s)$ 
have 1:  $\bigwedge i. ((\lambda x. (f x \$ i) \text{ has-derivative } (?f' i)) \text{ (at } x \text{ within } s)$ 
  by (metis assms differentiable-def some-eq-imp)
show (f has-derivative ( $\lambda x. \chi i. ?f' i x$ )) (at x within s)
  by (rule has-derivative-vec-lambda-2[OF 1])
qed

lemma frechet-derivative-vec:
fixes f::'a::real-normed-vector  $\Rightarrow$  'b::real-normed-vector $^m$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge i. (\lambda x. f x \$ i) \text{ differentiable (at } x)$ 
shows frechet-derivative f (at x) = ( $\lambda v. \chi i. (\text{frechet-derivative } (\lambda x. f x \$ i) \text{ (at } x) v)$ )
apply (rule frechet-derivative-at')
apply (intro has-derivative-vec-lambda)
by (auto intro: derivative-eq-intros frechet-derivative-worksI[OF assms])

lemma higher-differentiable-on-vec:
fixes f::'a::real-normed-vector  $\Rightarrow$  'b::real-normed-vector $^m$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge i. \text{higher-differentiable-on } S (\lambda x. (f x \$ i) n$ 
  and open S
shows higher-differentiable-on S f n
using assms
proof (induction n arbitrary: f)
case 0
then show ?case
  using continuous-on-vec by (metis higher-differentiable-on.simps(1))
next
case (Suc n)
have f:  $\bigwedge x i. x \in S \implies (\lambda x. f x \$ i) \text{ differentiable (at } x)$ 
  and hf:  $\bigwedge i. \text{higher-differentiable-on } S (\lambda x. \text{frechet-derivative } (\lambda y. f y \$ i) \text{ (at } x) v) n$ 
for v using Suc.preds higher-differentiable-on.simps(2) by blast+
have f': higher-differentiable-on S f n
  using Suc(1,2) assms(2) higher-differentiable-on-SucD by blast
have 1:  $\forall x \in S. f \text{ differentiable (at } x)$ 
  using f differentiable-componentwise[of f - UNIV] by simp
have 2:  $\forall v. \text{higher-differentiable-on } S (\lambda x. \text{frechet-derivative } f \text{ (at } x) v) n$ 
proof (intro allI)
fix v
let ?f' =  $\lambda x. \text{frechet-derivative } f \text{ (at } x) v$ 
let ?f'_i =  $\lambda x. \chi i. \text{frechet-derivative } (\lambda y. f y \$ i) \text{ (at } x) v$ 
{ fix x assume x ∈ S
hence ?f' x = ( $\chi i. \text{frechet-derivative } (\lambda y. f y \$ i) \text{ (at } x) v$ )
  using frechet-derivative-vec[OF f] by simp
then have higher-differentiable-on S ?f' n = higher-differentiable-on S ?f'_i n
  using higher-differentiable-on-cong[of S S ?f' ?f'_i n] assms(2)
  by simp
then show higher-differentiable-on S ?f' n
  using hf Suc.IH assms(2) by auto
}

```

```

qed
show ?case
  by (simp add: 1 2 higher-differentiable-on.simps(2))
qed

lemma smooth-on-vec:
  fixes f::'a::real-normed-vector ⇒ 'b::real-normed-vector^'m
  assumes ∀i. k-smooth-on S (λx. (f x) $ i) open S
  shows k-smooth-on S f
proof (unfold smooth-on-def, intro allI impI)
  fix n assume asm: enat n ≤ k
  show higher-differentiable-on S f n
    apply (intro higher-differentiable-on-vec)
    using assms asm unfolding smooth-on-def by simp+
qed

lemma smooth-on-mat:
  fixes f::('a::real-normed-vector) ⇒ ('b::real-normed-vector^'k^'l)
  assumes ∀i j. k-smooth-on S (λx. (f x)$i$j) open S
  shows k-smooth-on S f
  by (simp add: smooth-on-vec assms)

```

This type constraint is annoying. The *euclidean-space* is inherited from *higher-differentiable-on-compose*, where it is marked as: ‘TODO: can we get around this restriction<sub>C</sub>?’. Notice this type constraint is exactly *real-normed-eucl* as defined in *Classical-Groups*.

```

lemma smooth-on-matrix-inv-component:
  fixes S::('a::{euclidean-space,real-normed-field})^'n^'n set
  assumes ∀A∈S. invertible A open S
  shows k-smooth-on S (λA. (matrix-inv A)$i$j)
  using matrix-inverse-component smooth-on-mat smooth-on-det smooth-on-compose
  smooth-on-divide smooth-on-cong
proof –
  have smooth-on-div-det: k-smooth-on S (λx. f x / (det x)) if smooth-on S f for
  f
    apply (intro smooth-on-divide[of k S f det])
    using that smooth-on-det[OF assms(2)] assms by (auto simp: smooth-on-def
  invertible-det-nz)

  let ?inv-comp' = λA::'a^'n^'n. χ k l. if k = j ∧ l = i then 1 else if k = j ∨ l =
  i then 0 else A $ k $ l
  let ?inv-comp = λA::'a^'n^'n. det (?inv-comp' A) / det A

  have matrix-inv-cong: ∀A. A∈S ⇒ (matrix-inv A)$i$j = ?inv-comp A
  using matrix-inverse-component assms by blast

  have smooth-on-component: smooth-on S ?inv-comp'
  proof (intro smooth-on-mat[of ∞ S ?inv-comp'])
    fix n m

```

```

consider  $n=j \wedge m=i | n=j \wedge m \neq i | n \neq j \wedge m=i | n \neq j \wedge m \neq i$  by linarith
hence smooth-on  $S (\lambda x. \text{if } n = j \wedge m = i \text{ then } 1 \text{ else if } n = j \vee m = i \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } x \$ n \$ m)$ 
apply cases by (simp add: smooth-on-const smooth-on-ijth-of-mat) +
thus smooth-on  $S (\lambda x. (\chi k l. \text{if } k = j \wedge l = i \text{ then } 1 \text{ else if } k = j \vee l = i \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } x \$ k \$ l) \$ n \$ m)$ 
by simp
qed (fact)

thus  $k$ -smooth-on  $S (\lambda A. (\text{matrix-inv } A) \$ i \$ j)$ 
apply (intro smooth-on-cong[OF - assms(2) matrix-inv-cong])
apply (intro smooth-on-div-det[of  $\lambda A. \det (?inv-comp' A)$ ])
using smooth-on-compose[of  $\infty$  UNIV det  $S ?inv-comp'$ ] smooth-on-det[OF open-UNIV]
using assms(2) smooth-on-cong by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma fin-sum-over-delta:
fixes  $f::'n::finite \Rightarrow 'a::semiring_1$ 
shows  $(\sum (i::'n::finite) \in \text{UNIV}. ((\text{if } i=j \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0) * f i)) = f j$ 
proof -
have  $(\sum i \in \text{UNIV}. (\text{if } i = j \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0) * f i) = (\sum i \in \text{UNIV}. (\text{if } i=j \text{ then } f j \text{ else } 0))$ 
by (simp add: mult-delta-left)
also have  $(\sum i \in \text{UNIV}. (\text{if } i=j \text{ then } f j \text{ else } 0)) = f j$ 
using sum.delta by auto
then show ?thesis
by (simp add: calculation)
qed

```

```

lemma matrix-is-linear-map:
fixes  $A::('a::\{real-algebra-1,comm-semiring-1\})^m \wedge ^n$  — again, real-based entries only...
shows linear  $((\ast v) A) \wedge \text{matrix } ((\ast v) A) = A$ 
proof (rule conjI)
let  $?f = \lambda v. (A \ast v v)$ 
show linear  $?f$ 
using matrix-vector-mul-linear by simp
{
fix  $i::'n$  and  $j::'m$ 
let  $?v = \chi j'. \text{if } j' = j \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0$ 
have  $?v \$ k = (\text{if } k=j \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$  for  $k$ 
by simp
then have  $A \ast v ?v = \text{transpose } A \$ j$ 
using matrix-vector-column[where  $A=A$  and  $x=?v$ ] fin-sum-over-delta
by (smt (verit, best) mult.commute mult.right-neutral mult.zero-right sum.cong
vector-smult-lid vector-smult-lzero)

```

```

then have ( $A * v (\chi j'. if j' = j then 1 else 0))\$i = A\$i\$j$ 
  using matrix-vector-column[where  $x=?v$ ] transpose-def vec-lambda-beta
  by (smt (z3))
}
then show matrix ?f = A
  unfolding matrix-def axis-def by auto
qed

lemma smooth-on-matrix-inv:
assumes  $\forall A. A \in S \rightarrow invertible A$  open  $S$ 
shows  $k$ -smooth-on  $S$  (matrix-inv::'a:{euclidean-space,real-normed-field}^n^n
 $\Rightarrow 'a^n^n$ )
apply (intro smooth-on-mat[of  $k$   $S$ ])
apply (intro smooth-on-matrix-inv-component[of  $S$ ])
by (auto simp add: assms) +

```

end

## 5 Smooth vector fields

```

theory Smooth-Vector-Fields
imports
  More-Manifolds
begin

```

Type synonyms for use later: these already follow our later split between defining “charts” for the tangent bundle as a product, and talking about vector fields as maps  $p \mapsto v \in T_p M$  as well as sections of the tangent bundle  $M \rightarrow TM$ .

```

type-synonym 'a tangent-bundle = 'a × (('a⇒real)⇒real)
type-synonym 'a vector-field = 'a ⇒ (('a⇒real)⇒real)

```

### 5.1 (Smooth) vector fields on an (entire) manifold.

Since we only get an isomorphism between tangent vectors and directional derivatives in the smooth case of  $k = \infty$ , we create a locale for infinitely smooth manifolds.

```

locale smooth-manifold = c-manifold charts ∞ for charts

```

```

context c-manifold begin

```

#### 5.1.1 Charts for the tangent bundle

```

definition in-TM :: 'a ⇒ (('a⇒real)⇒real) ⇒ bool
where in-TM p v ≡ p ∈ carrier ∧ v ∈ tangent-space p

```

**abbreviation**  $TM \equiv \{(p, v). \text{in-TM } p \ v\}$

**lemma**  $\text{in-TM-E} [\text{elim}]:$

**assumes**  $\text{in-TM } p \ v$   
**shows**  $v \in \text{tangent-space } p \ p \in \text{carrier}$   
**using**  $\text{assms unfolding in-TM-def by auto}$

**lemma**  $\text{TM-PairE} [\text{elim}]:$

**assumes**  $(p, v) \in TM$   
**shows**  $v \in \text{tangent-space } p \ p \in \text{carrier}$   
**using**  $\text{assms unfolding in-TM-def by auto}$

**lemma**  $\text{TM-E} [\text{elim}]:$

**assumes**  $x \in TM$   
**shows**  $\text{snd } x \in \text{tangent-space } (\text{fst } x) \ \text{fst } x \in \text{carrier}$   
**using**  $\text{assms by auto}$

We can construct a chart for  $\text{tangent-space } p$  given a chart around  $p$ . Notice the appearance of *charts* in the definition, which specifies that we're charting the set  $\text{tangent-space } p$ , not  $c\text{-manifold.tangent-space}(\text{charts-submanifold } c) \infty p$ .

**definition**  $\text{apply-chart-TM} :: ('a, 'b) \text{chart} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ tangent-bundle} \Rightarrow 'b \times 'b$

**where**  $\text{apply-chart-TM } c \equiv \lambda(p, v). (c \ p \ , \ c\text{-manifold-point.tangent-chart-fun charts} \infty c \ p \ v)$

**definition**  $\text{inv-chart-TM} :: ('a, 'b) \text{chart} \Rightarrow ('b \times 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \times (('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{real})$

**where**  $\text{inv-chart-TM } c \equiv \lambda((p::'b), (v::'b)). (\text{inv-chart } c \ p \ , \ c\text{-manifold-point.coordinate-vector charts} \infty c \ (\text{inv-chart } c \ p) \ v)$

**definition**  $\text{domain-TM} :: ('a, 'b) \text{chart} \Rightarrow ('a \times (('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{real})) \text{set}$

**where**  $\text{domain-TM } c \equiv \{(p, v). p \in \text{domain } c \wedge v \in \text{tangent-space } p\}$

**definition**  $\text{codomain-TM} :: ('a, 'b) \text{chart} \Rightarrow ('b \times 'b) \text{set}$

**where**  $\text{codomain-TM } c \equiv \{(p, v). p \in \text{codomain } c\}$

**definition**  $\text{restrict-chart-TM } S \ c \equiv \text{apply-chart-TM} (\text{restrict-chart } S \ c)$

**definition**  $\text{restrict-domain-TM } S \ c \equiv \text{domain-TM} (\text{restrict-chart } S \ c)$

**definition**  $\text{restrict-codomain-TM } S \ c \equiv \text{codomain-TM} (\text{restrict-chart } S \ c)$

**definition**  $\text{restrict-inv-chart-TM } S \ c \equiv \text{inv-chart-TM} (\text{restrict-chart } S \ c)$

### 5.1.2 Proofs about $\text{apply-chart-TM}$ that mimic the properties of $('a, 'b)$ chart.

**lemma**  $\text{domain-TM}:$

**assumes**  $c \in \text{atlas}$   
**shows**  $\text{domain-TM } c \subseteq TM$   
**unfolding**  $\text{domain-TM-def in-TM-def using assms by auto}$

**lemma**  $\text{codomain-TM-alt}: \text{codomain-TM } c = \text{codomain } c \times (\text{UNIV} :: 'b \text{set})$

```

unfolding codomain-TM-def by auto

lemma open-codomain-TM:
  assumes c ∈ atlas
  shows open (codomain-TM c)
  using codomain-TM-alt open-Times[OF open-codomain open-UNIV] by auto

end

context smooth-manifold begin

lemma apply-chart-TM-inverse [simp]:
  assumes c: c ∈ atlas
  shows ⋀p v. (p,v) ∈ domain-TM c ==> inv-chart-TM c (apply-chart-TM c (p,v))
  = (p,v)
  and ⋀x u. (x,u) ∈ codomain-TM c ==> apply-chart-TM c (inv-chart-TM c (x,u)) = (x,u)
proof -
  fix p v assume (p,v) ∈ domain-TM c
  then have asm: c ∈ atlas p ∈ domain c v ∈ tangent-space p
    using c by (auto simp add: domain-TM-def)
  interpret p: c-manifold-point charts ∞ c p
    using c-manifold-point[OF asm(1,2)] by simp
  have v ∈ p.T_p M using asm(3) by simp
  from p.coordinate-vector-inverse(1)[OF - this] show inv-chart-TM c (apply-chart-TM c (p,v)) = (p,v)
    by (simp add: inv-chart-TM-def apply-chart-TM-def p.tangent-chart-fun-def)
next
  fix x u assume (x,u) ∈ codomain-TM c
  then have asm: c ∈ atlas x ∈ codomain c
    using c by (auto simp add: codomain-TM-def)
  interpret x: c-manifold-point charts ∞ c inv-chart c x
    using c-manifold-point[OF asm(1)] by (simp add: asm(2))
  from x.coordinate-vector-inverse(2) show apply-chart-TM c (inv-chart-TM c (x,u)) = (x,u)
    by (simp add: inv-chart-TM-def apply-chart-TM-def x.tangent-chart-fun-def
      asm(2))
qed

lemma image-domain-TM-eq:
  assumes c ∈ atlas
  shows apply-chart-TM c ` domain-TM c = codomain-TM c
proof -
  { fix x :: 'b × 'b assume x: x ∈ codomain c × UNIV
    obtain y1 y2 where y: y1 = inv-chart c (fst x) y2 = c-manifold-point.coordinate-vector
      charts ∞ c y1 (snd x)
      by simp
    have y1 ∈ domain c using y(1) x by auto
  }

```

```

then interpret  $y_1$ :  $c$ -manifold-point charts  $\infty c y_1$ 
  by (simp add: assms(1)  $c$ -manifold-point)
have  $y_2 \in$  tangent-space  $y_1$ 
  using  $y(2)$   $x$  assms  $y_1$ .coordinate-vector-surj by blast
then have  $(y_1, y_2) \in \{(p, v). p \in \text{domain } c \wedge v \in \text{tangent-space } p\}$ 
  using  $\langle y_1 \in \text{domain } c \rangle$  by simp
moreover have  $\text{fst } x = c y_1$   $\text{snd } x = c$ -manifold-point.tangent-chart-fun charts
 $\infty c y_1 y_2$ 
  using  $y x$  assms  $y_1$ .tangent-chart-fun-inverse(2) by auto
ultimately have  $x \in (\lambda(p, v). (c p, c\text{-manifold-point.tangent-chart-fun charts}$ 
 $\infty c p v))` \{(p, v). p \in \text{domain } c \wedge v \in \text{tangent-space } p\}$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) pair-imageI prod.collapse)
thus ?thesis by (auto simp: apply-chart-TM-def domain-TM-def codomain-TM-alt)
qed

lemma inv-image-codomain-TM-eq:
assumes  $c \in$  atlas
shows inv-chart-TM  $c`$  codomain-TM  $c = \text{domain-TM } c$ 
apply (subst image-domain-TM-eq[OF assms, symmetric])
using apply-chart-TM-inverse(1)[OF assms] by force

lemma (in  $c$ -manifold) restrict-domain-TM-intersection:
shows restrict-domain-TM (domain  $c_1 \cap \text{domain } c_2$ )  $c_1 = \text{domain-TM } c_1 \cap$ 
domain-TM  $c_2$ 
unfolding restrict-domain-TM-def by (auto simp: domain-TM-def open-Int)

lemma (in  $c$ -manifold) restrict-domain-TM-intersection':
shows restrict-domain-TM (domain  $c_1 \cap \text{domain } c_2$ )  $c_2 = \text{domain-TM } c_1 \cap$ 
domain-TM  $c_2$ 
unfolding restrict-domain-TM-def by (auto simp: domain-TM-def open-Int)

lemma (in  $c$ -manifold) restrict-domain-TM:
assumes open  $S$   $S \subseteq \text{domain } c$ 
shows restrict-domain-TM  $S c = \{(p, v). p \in S \wedge v \in \text{tangent-space } p\}$ 
unfolding restrict-domain-TM-def domain-TM-def using domain-restrict-chart
assms by auto

lemma image-restrict-domain-TM-eq:
assumes  $c \in$  atlas
shows restrict-chart-TM  $S c`$  restrict-domain-TM  $S c = \text{restrict-codomain-TM}$ 
 $S c$ 
unfolding restrict-chart-TM-def restrict-domain-TM-def restrict-codomain-TM-def
using image-domain-TM-eq assms restrict-chart-in-atlas by blast

```

```

lemma inv-image-restrict-codomain-TM-eq:
  assumes  $c \in \text{atlas}$ 
  shows  $\text{restrict-inv-chart-TM } S c \circ \text{restrict-codomain-TM } S c = \text{restrict-domain-TM } S c$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) inv-image-codomain-TM-eq assms restrict-chart-in-atlas
    restrict-codomain-TM-def restrict-domain-TM-def restrict-inv-chart-TM-def)

```

  

```

lemma codomain-restrict-chart-TM[simp]:
  assumes  $c \in \text{atlas}$  open  $S$ 
  shows  $\text{restrict-codomain-TM } S c = \text{codomain-TM } c \cap \text{inv-chart-TM } c - \{(p,$ 
 $v) . p \in S \wedge v \in \text{tangent-space } p\}$ 
  proof -
    {
      fix  $a b p v$ 
      assume  $\text{asm: } a \in \text{codomain } c \text{ inv-chart-TM } c (a, b) = (p, v)$ 
      interpret  $p: c\text{-manifold-point charts} \propto c \text{ inv-chart } c a$ 
        using  $\text{asm}(1)$  assms c-manifold-point[OF assms(1), of inv-chart c a for a]
      by blast
      have  $p.\text{coordinate-vector } b \in \text{tangent-space } (\text{inv-chart } c a)$ 
        using bij-betwE[OF p.coordinate-vector-bij] by simp
      then have  $\text{inv-chart } c a \in S \implies v \in \text{tangent-space } p$ 
        and  $\text{inv-chart } c a \in S \implies p \in S$ 
        and  $\llbracket p \in S; v \in \text{tangent-space } p \rrbracket \implies \text{inv-chart } c a \in S$ 
        subgoal using inv-chart-TM-def inv-image-codomain-TM-eq[OF assms(1)]
      asm by auto
        subgoal using  $\text{asm}(2)$  by (auto simp add: assms(2) inv-chart-TM-def)
        subgoal using  $\text{asm}(2)$  c-manifold.inv-chart-TM-def[OF c-manifold-axioms]
      by simp
        done
    }
    thus ?thesis by (auto simp add: restrict-codomain-TM-def codomain-TM-def assms(2))
  qed

```

  

```

lemma (in c-manifold) image-subset-TM-eq [simp]:
  assumes  $S \subseteq \text{domain-TM } c$ 
  shows  $\text{apply-chart-TM } c ' S \subseteq \text{codomain-TM } c$ 
  using assms unfolding apply-chart-TM-def codomain-TM-def domain-TM-def
  by auto

```

  

```

lemma (in c-manifold) image-subset-restrict-TM-eq [simp]:
  assumes  $T \subseteq \text{restrict-domain-TM } S c$ 
  shows  $\text{restrict-chart-TM } S c ' T \subseteq \text{restrict-codomain-TM } S c$ 
  using assms unfolding restrict-chart-TM-def restrict-codomain-TM-def restrict-domain-TM-def
  by auto

```

**lemma** *restrict-chart-domain-Int*:

```

assumes c1 ∈ atlas
shows apply-chart-TM c1 ‘ (domain-TM c1 ∩ domain-TM c2) = restrict-chart-TM
(domain c1 ∩ domain c2) c1 ‘ (restrict-domain-TM (domain c1 ∩ domain c2) c1)
(is ⟨?TM-dom-Int = ?restr-TM-dom⟩)
proof (intro subset-antisym)
have dom-eq: domain (restrict-chart (domain c1 ∩ domain c2) c1) = domain
c1 ∩ domain c2
using domain-restrict-chart[OF open-domain] by (metis inf.left-idem)

{ fix x assume x ∈ (domain-TM c1 ∩ domain-TM c2)
then obtain p v where x: x = (p,v) p ∈ domain c1 p ∈ domain c2 v ∈
tangent-space p
unfolding domain-TM-def by blast
interpret p1: c-manifold-point charts ∞ c1 p using c-manifold-point[OF
assms(1) x(2)] by simp
interpret p2: c-manifold-point charts ∞ restrict-chart (domain c1 ∩ domain
c2) c1 p
using c-manifold-point[OF assms(1) x(2)] restrict-chart-in-atlas[OF assms(1)]
domain-restrict-chart[OF open-domain]
by (metis IntI c-manifold-point p1.p x(3))

have [simp]: p2.sub-ψ.sub.restrict-codomain-TM (domain c1 ∩ domain c2) c1
=
{(p, v). p ∈ codomain (restrict-chart (domain c1 ∩ domain c2) c1)}
unfolding p2.sub-ψ.sub.restrict-codomain-TM-def p2.sub-ψ.sub.codomain-TM-def
by simp

have apply-chart-TM c1 x ∈ ?restr-TM-dom
apply (simp add: x(1) image-restrict-domain-TM-eq[OF assms(1)])
unfolding apply-chart-TM-def using p2.ψp-in by (auto simp: p1.euclidean-coordinates-eq-iff)
}
thus ?TM-dom-Int ⊆ ?restr-TM-dom by auto

{ fix x assume x ∈ restrict-domain-TM (domain c1 ∩ domain c2) c1
then obtain p v where x: x = (p,v) p ∈ domain c1 p ∈ domain c2 v ∈
tangent-space p
unfolding restrict-domain-TM-def domain-TM-def by (auto simp: dom-eq)
interpret p1: c-manifold-point charts ∞ c1 p using c-manifold-point[OF
assms(1) x(2)] by simp
interpret p2: c-manifold-point charts ∞ restrict-chart (domain c1 ∩ domain
c2) c1 p
using c-manifold-point[OF assms(1) x(2)] restrict-chart-in-atlas[OF assms(1)]
domain-restrict-chart[OF open-domain]
by (metis IntI c-manifold-point p1.p x(3))
have restrict-chart-TM (domain c1 ∩ domain c2) c1 x ∈ ?TM-dom-Int
proof -
have apply-chart c1 p ∈ apply-chart c1 ‘ (domain c1 ∩ domain c2)
using p1.p x(3) by blast
moreover have p2.tangent-chart-fun v ∈ c-manifold-point.tangent-chart-fun

```

```

charts ∞ c1 p ‘ {v. v∈tangent-space p}
  using p1.coordinate-vector-surj p1.tangent-chart-fun-inverse(2) by fastforce
  ultimately show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: apply-chart-TM-def)
    apply (simp add: x(1) restrict-chart-TM-def)
    apply (simp add: apply-chart-TM-def apply-chart-restrict-chart[of domain
c1 ∩ domain c2 c1])
    unfolding domain-TM-def by force
  qed }
thus ?restr-TM-dom ⊆ ?TM-dom-Int by blast
qed

lemma open-intersection-TM:
assumes c1 ∈ atlas
shows open (apply-chart-TM c1 ‘ (domain-TM c1 ∩ domain-TM c2))
using restrict-chart-domain-Int image-restrict-domain-TM-eq restrict-chart-in-atlas
assms
by (auto simp: restrict-codomain-TM-def open-codomain-TM)

lemma apply-restrict-chart-TM:
assumes c: c ∈ atlas and S: open S S ⊆ domain c x ∈ restrict-domain-TM S c
shows apply-chart-TM c x = restrict-chart-TM S c x
proof –
{ fix p v assume x: x = (p,v) p ∈ S v ∈ tangent-space p
  interpret p1: c-manifold-point charts ∞ c p
    using c-manifold-point[OF c] x(2) S(2) by blast
  interpret p2: c-manifold-point charts ∞ restrict-chart S c p
    apply (rule c-manifold.c-manifold-point, unfold-locales)
    using S(1) x(2) by (auto simp add: restrict-chart-in-atlas)
  have TpM-eq: p2.TpM = tangent-space p by simp
  have p1.tangent-chart-fun v = p2.tangent-chart-fun v
    unfolding p1.tangent-chart-fun-def p2.tangent-chart-fun-def
    using p1.component-function-restrict-chart[OF x(2) S(1)] TpM-eq x(3) by
simp }
thus ?thesis
  using S(3) restrict-domain-TM[OF S(1,2)] unfolding restrict-chart-TM-def
apply-chart-TM-def by auto
qed

lemma inverse-restrict-chart-TM:
assumes c: c ∈ atlas and S: open S S ⊆ domain c x ∈ restrict-codomain-TM S
c
shows inv-chart-TM c x = restrict-inv-chart-TM S c x
proof –
{ fix p v assume x: x = (p,v) p ∈ c‘S
  interpret p1: c-manifold-point charts ∞ c inv-chart c p

```

```

using c-manifold-point[OF c] x(2) S(2) by blast
have pS: inv-chart c p ∈ S
  using restrict-chart-in-atlas x(2) S(2) image-domain-eq by auto
interpret p2: c-manifold-point charts ∞ restrict-chart S c inv-chart c p
  apply (rule c-manifold.c-manifold-point, unfold-locales)
  using pS restrict-chart-in-atlas S(1) by auto
have p1.coordinate-vector v = p2.coordinate-vector v
  using p1.coordinate-vector-restrict-chart[OF pS S(1)]
  using p1.coordinate-vector-def p2.coordinate-vector-def by presburger }
thus ?thesis
  using S(3) inv-chart-TM-def apply-chart-TM-def
  apply (simp add: codomain-restrict-chart-TM[OF c S(1)] restrict-inv-chart-TM-def)
  using apply-chart-TM-inverse(2)[OF c] surj-pair by (smt (verit) case-prod-conv
image-eqI)
qed

```

```

lemma (in c-manifold-point) dκ-inv-directional-derivative-eq:
assumes k = ∞
shows dκ⁻¹ (directional-derivative k (ψ p) x) = restrict0 (diffeo-ψ.dest.diff-fun-space)
(λf. frechet-derivative f (at (ψ p)) x)
proof -
  let ?is-ext = λff'. f ∈ diffeo-ψ.dest.diff-fun-space ∧ f' ∈ manifold-eucl.dest.diff-fun-space
  ∧ f' ∈ diffeo-ψ.dest.diff-fun-space ∧
    (∃N. ψ p ∈ N ∧ open N ∧ closure N ⊆ diffeo-ψ.dest.carrier ∧ (∀x ∈ closure N.
  f' x = f x) ∧
    (∀v ∈ TψpψU. v f = v f') ∧ (∀v ∈ TψpψU. v f' = dκ v f') ∧ (∀v ∈ TψpE. dκ⁻¹
  v f = v f'))
  let ?extend = λf. SOME f'. ?is-ext f f'
  obtain extend where extend-def: extend ≡ ?extend by blast
  have extend: ?is-ext f (extend f) ?is-ext f (?extend f)
    if f ∈ diffeo-ψ.dest.diff-fun-space for f
  proof -
    show ?is-ext f (?extend f)
    by (rule someI-ex[of λf'. ?is-ext ff']) (smt (verit) that extension-lemma-localE2)
    thus ?is-ext f (extend f) unfolding extend-def by blast
  qed
  have extend ` diffeo-ψ.dest.diff-fun-space ⊆ manifold-eucl.dest.diff-fun-space
  using extend by blast
  have dκ⁻¹ (directional-derivative k (ψ p) x) f = restrict0 (diffeo-ψ.dest.diff-fun-space)
  (λf. frechet-derivative f (at (ψ p)) x) f
    for f
    proof (cases f ∈ diffeo-ψ.dest.diff-fun-space)
      case True
      have frechet-derivative-extend: frechet-derivative f (at (ψ p)) x = frechet-derivative
      (extend f) (at (ψ p)) x

```

```

if f: f ∈ diffeo-ψ.dest.diff-fun-space for f
proof -
  obtain N where N: ψ p ∈ N ∧ open N ∧ closure N ⊆ diffeo-ψ.dest.carrier
  ∧ (∀ x ∈ closure N. (extend f) x = f x) ∧
    (∀ v ∈ TψpψU. v f = v (extend f)) ∧ (∀ v ∈ TψpψU. v (extend f) = dκ v
  (extend f)) ∧ (∀ v ∈ TψpE. dκ⁻¹ v f = v (extend f))
  using extend(1)[OF f] by presburger
  show ?thesis
    apply (rule frechet-derivative-transform-within-open-ext[where f=f and
g=extend f and X=N for f])
    using sub-eucl.submanifold-atlasI sub-eucl.sub-diff-fun-differentiable-at
      [OF diffeo-ψ.dest.diff-fun-spaceD[OF f], of restrict-chart (codomain ψ)
chart-eucl]
    apply (simp add: id-def[symmetric] assms)
    using N by simp-all
  qed
  have dκ⁻¹ (directional-derivative k (ψ p) x) f = (directional-derivative k (ψ p)
x) (extend f)
    using assms eq-TψpE-range-inclusion eq-TψpE-range-inclusion2 extend(1)
True by blast
  also have ... = frechet-derivative (extend f) (at (ψ p)) x
    unfolding directional-derivative-def using extend(1)[OF True] by simp
  finally show ?thesis
    using True frechet-derivative-extend by simp
next
  case False
  then show ?thesis
  proof -
    have RHS-0: restrict0 diffeo-ψ.dest.diff-fun-space (λf. frechet-derivative f (at
(ψ p)) x) f = 0
      using restrict0-apply-out[OF False] by blast
    moreover have LHS-0: dκ⁻¹ (directional-derivative k (ψ p) x) f = 0
      using bij-betwE[OF bij-betw-dκ-inv] bij-betwE[OF bij-betw-directional-derivative[OF
assms]]
        using diffeo-ψ.dest.tangent-spaceD extensional0-outside[OF False] by blast
    ultimately show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  qed
  thus ?thesis by blast
qed

```

**lemma smooth-on-compat-charts-TM:**  
**assumes** c1 ∈ atlas c2 ∈ atlas  
**shows** smooth-on (c1 ‘ (domain c1 ∩ domain c2) × UNIV)  
 $(\lambda x. \text{frechet-derivative} ((\lambda y. (\text{restrict-chart} (\text{domain } c1 \cap \text{domain } c2) c2) y \cdot i) \circ \text{inv-chart} (\text{restrict-chart} (\text{domain } c1 \cap \text{domain } c2) c1)) (\text{at } (\text{fst } x)) (\text{snd } x))$

```

(is <smooth-on ?D ( $\lambda x. \text{frechet-derivative } ((\lambda y. ?r2 y \cdot i) \circ ?r1i) (\text{at } (\text{fst } x))$ 
(snd x))>
proof -
  let ?dom-Int = domain c1  $\cap$  domain c2
  have open-simps[simp]: open ?dom-Int open ?D
    by (auto simp: open-Int open-Times)

  have smooth-on-1: smooth-on (fst' ?D) ( $(\lambda y. ?r2 y \cdot i) \circ ?r1i$ ) for i
    apply simp
    apply (rule smooth-on-cong'[of - c1 '(domain c1  $\cap$  domain c2)])
    apply (rule smooth-on-cong[of - - ( $\lambda y. c2 (\text{inv-chart } c1 y) \cdot i$ )])
    apply (rule smooth-on-inner[ $OF$  - smooth-on-const[of - - i]])
    using atlas-is-atlas[unfolded smooth-compat-def o-def, OF assms(1,2)] apply
  auto[4]
    unfolding restrict-codomain-TM-def codomain-TM-alt using image-domain-eq
  by fastforce
  have smooth-on-2: smooth-on ?D ( $\lambda x. \text{frechet-derivative } ((\lambda y. (?r2 y) \cdot i) \circ ?r1i)$ 
(at (fst x)) v) for v i
    apply (rule smooth-on-compose2[ $OF$  derivative-is-smooth, unfolded o-def, where
S=UNIV and T=fst' ?D])
    using smooth-on-fst smooth-on-1 by (auto simp: open-image-fst)

  have r2-r1i-differentiable: ( $\lambda x. ?r2 (?r1i x) \cdot i$ ) differentiable (at (fst p)) if p  $\in$ 
?D for i::'b and p
proof -
  have 1: open (c1 '(domain c1  $\cap$  domain c2))
  and 2: c1 (inv-chart c1 (fst p)) = fst p
  and 3: inv-chart c1 (fst p)  $\in$  ?dom-Int using that by auto
  show ?thesis
  using smooth-on-imp-differentiable-on[unfolded differentiable-on-def, OF smooth-on-1]
  by (simp add: o-def) (metis at-within-open image-eqI 1 2 3)
qed

show ?thesis
  unfolding o-def
  apply (rule smooth-on-cong[ $OF$  - frechet-derivative-componentwise[ $OF$  r2-r1i-differentiable]])
  apply (rule smooth-on-sum)
  apply (rule smooth-on-times-fun[of  $\infty$  ?D, unfolded times-fun-def])
  subgoal by (auto intro!: smooth-on-inner smooth-on-snd)
  subgoal using smooth-on-2[unfolded o-def] by simp
  by simp-all
qed

```

— The charts defined above for the tangent bundle of an infinitely smooth manifold are compatible (see *smooth-compat*) if the charts used for the construction are compatible. Thus, we can construct an atlas (up to type class issues) for  $TM$  from the atlas of the manifold.

**lemma** *atlas-TM*:

```

assumes  $c1 \in atlas$   $c2 \in atlas$ 
shows smooth-on ((apply-chart-TM  $c1$ )  $\circ$  (domain-TM  $c1 \cap domain-TM c2$ ))
((apply-chart-TM  $c2$ )  $\circ$  (inv-chart-TM  $c1$ ))
(is <smooth-on ( $?c1 \circ (?dom1 \cap ?dom2)$ ) (( $?c2 \circ (?i1)$ ))>
proof -
  let  $?dom-Int = domain c1 \cap domain c2$ 

  have  $dom-eq: ?dom1 \cap ?dom2 = \{(p,v). p \in domain c1 \wedge p \in domain c2 \wedge v \in tangent-space p\}$ 
  unfolding domain-TM-def by auto
  have open-Int-dom[simp]: open (domain  $c1 \cap domain c2$ ) by blast
  have open-image-dom-TM[simp]: open (apply-chart-TM  $c1 \circ (domain-TM c1 \cap domain-TM c2)$ )
  using assms open-intersection-TM by blast
  have inv-chart-x-in: (inv-chart  $c1 x$ )  $\in domain c1 \cap domain c2$ 
    if  $x \in c1 \cap (domain c1 \cap domain c2)$  for  $x$ 
    using that by force

  let  $?snd-c2i1 = \lambda(p, v). c\text{-manifold-point.tangent-chart-fun charts} \circ c2 (inv-chart c1 p)$ 
      ( $c\text{-manifold-point.coordinate-vector charts} \circ c1 (inv-chart c1 p) v$ )

  let  $?R1i = restrict-inv-chart-TM (domain c1 \cap domain c2) c1$ 
  and  $?R1 = restrict-chart-TM (domain c1 \cap domain c2) c1$ 
  and  $?R2 = restrict-chart-TM (domain c1 \cap domain c2) c2$ 
  and  $?r1 = restrict-chart ?dom-Int c1$ 
  and  $?r2 = restrict-chart ?dom-Int c2$ 
  and  $?r1i = inv-chart (restrict-chart ?dom-Int c1)$ 
  and  $?r2i = inv-chart (restrict-chart ?dom-Int c2)$ 

  show ?thesis
  proof (subst restrict-chart-domain-Int[OF assms(1)], subst image-restrict-domain-TM-eq[OF assms(1)], rule smooth-on-cong)
    fix  $x$  assume  $x: x \in restrict-codomain-TM (domain c1 \cap domain c2) c1$ 
    then have  $y: (?R1i x) \in restrict-domain-TM (domain c1 \cap domain c2) c2$ 
      using inv-image-restrict-codomain-TM-eq[OF assms(1)]
      using restrict-domain-TM-intersection restrict-domain-TM-intersection'
      by blast
    show (apply-chart-TM  $c2 \circ inv-chart-TM c1$ )  $x = (?R2 \circ ?R1i) x$ 
      using inverse-restrict-chart-TM apply-restrict-chart-TM open-Int-dom  $x y$ 
      assms(1,2) by simp
    next
      show open-restrict-codomain[simp]: open (restrict-codomain-TM (domain  $c1 \cap domain c2$ )  $c1$ )
        by (simp add: image-restrict-domain-TM-eq[OF assms(1), symmetric] restrict-chart-domain-Int[OF assms(1), symmetric])
      show smooth-on (restrict-codomain-TM (domain  $c1 \cap domain c2$ )  $c1$ ) ( $?R2 \circ ?R1i$ )

```

```

proof (rule smooth-on-Pair'[OF open-restrict-codomain])
  have fst-eq:  $\text{fst} \circ (\text{?R2} \circ \text{?R1i}) = \text{?r2} \circ \text{?r1i} \circ \text{fst}$ 
  unfolding restrict-chart-TM-def restrict-inv-chart-TM-def apply-chart-TM-def
  inv-chart-TM-def by auto
  show smooth-on (restrict-codomain-TM (domain c1 ∩ domain c2) c1) (fst ∘
  (?R2 ∘ ?R1i))
    apply (simp add: fst-eq)
    apply (rule smooth-on-compose[of - c1 ` (domain c1 ∩ domain c2)])
    subgoal using atlas-is-atlas assms smooth-compat-D1 by blast
    subgoal by (auto intro: smooth-on-fst)
    subgoal by simp
    subgoal by simp
    subgoal unfolding restrict-codomain-TM-def codomain-TM-alt using im-
    age-domain-eq by fastforce
    done

  let ?g =  $\lambda x. (\sum i \in \text{Basis}. (\text{frechet-derivative} ((\lambda y. (\text{?r2 } y) \cdot i) \circ \text{?r1i}) (\text{at } (\text{fst } x)) (\text{snd } x)) *_R i)$ 

  have local-simps:  $\text{?r2} \circ \text{?r1i} = (\lambda x. \text{?r2 } (\text{?r1i } x))$ 
  and [simp]: domain c2 ∩ (domain c1 ∩ domain c2) = domain c1 ∩ domain
  c2
  by auto
  have r2-r1i-differentiable:  $(\lambda x. \text{?r2 } (\text{?r1i } x) \cdot i)$  differentiable (at (?r1 p)) if
  p ∈ ?dom-Int for i::'b and p
    apply (rule differentiable-compose[of λx. x · i], simp)
    apply (subst local-simps(1)[symmetric])
    apply (rule c-manifold.diff-fun-differentiable-at[of charts-submanifold ?dom-Int
    ∞])
    subgoal using atlas-is-atlas charts-submanifold-def in-charts-in-atlas re-
    strict-chart-in-atlas by unfold-locales (auto)
    subgoal unfolding diff-fun-def using diff-apply-chart[of ?r2] assms(2)
    restrict-chart-in-atlas by simp
    subgoal using restrict-chart-in-atlas[OF assms(1)] c-manifold-local.sub-ψ
    by (metis c-manifold-point.axioms(1)[OF c-manifold-point] domain-restrict-chart
    inf.left-idem open-Int-dom that)
    using that by auto
    have r2p-deriv: frechet-derivative ( $\lambda x. - (\text{?r2 } p) \cdot i$ ) (at (?r1 p)) = 0 for i::'b
    and p by auto
    hence r2p-differentiable:  $(\lambda x. - (\text{?r2 } p) \cdot i)$  differentiable (at (?r1 p)) for
    i::'b and p by simp

  show smooth-on (restrict-codomain-TM (domain c1 ∩ domain c2) c1) (snd
  (?R2 ∘ ?R1i))
    proof (rule smooth-on-cong[of - - ?g, OF - open-restrict-codomain])
      fix x assume x:  $x \in \text{restrict-codomain-TM} (\text{domain c1} \cap \text{domain c2}) c1$ 
      then obtain xp xv where Pair-x:  $x = (x_p, x_v)$  and xp:  $x_p \in \text{codomain c1}$ 
      xp ∈ inv-chart c1 - ` ?dom-Int
      unfolding restrict-codomain-TM-def codomain-TM-alt

```

```

using codomain-restrict-chart[OF open-Int-dom, of c1] by blast

obtain p where p-def:  $p = \text{inv-chart } ?r1 x_p$  and  $p[\text{simp}]: p \in ?\text{dom-Int}$ 
  using  $x_p(2)$  by auto

interpret p1: c-manifold-point charts  $\infty ?r1 p$ 
using  $x_p(2)$  by (auto intro!: c-manifold-point simp add: restrict-chart-in-atlas
assms(1) p-def)
interpret p2: c-manifold-point charts  $\infty ?r2 p$ 
using  $x_p(2)$  by (auto intro!: c-manifold-point simp add: restrict-chart-in-atlas
assms(2) p-def)

let ?v = p1.coordinate-vector  $x_v$ 
obtain v where v-def:  $v = p1.coordinate-vector x_v$  and  $v[\text{simp}]: v \in$ 
  tangent-space p
  using p1.coordinate-vector-surj by blast

have pvx: ?R1 (p,v) = x
  using Pair-x  $x_p(1)$  p1.tangent-chart-fun-inverse(2)
  by (auto simp: p-def v-def restrict-chart-TM-def apply-chart-TM-def)

have p1-coord-in-Tp2M:  $p1.coordinate-vector x_v \in p2.T_p M$ 
  using v v-def by auto

have diff-fun-spaces-eq[simp]:  $p2.\text{sub-}\psi.\text{sub.diff-fun-space} = p1.\text{sub-}\psi.\text{sub.diff-fun-space}$ 
  unfolding p2.sub- $\psi$ .sub.diff-fun-space-def p1.sub- $\psi$ .sub.diff-fun-space-def
by simp
have TpU-eq[simp]:  $p2.T_p U = p1.T_p U$ 
  unfolding p2.sub- $\psi$ .sub.tangent-space-def p1.sub- $\psi$ .sub.tangent-space-def
by simp
have sub-carriers-eq[simp]:  $p2.\text{sub-}\psi.\text{sub.carrier} = p1.\text{sub-}\psi.\text{sub.carrier}$ 
  unfolding p2.sub- $\psi$ .sub.carrier-def p1.sub- $\psi$ .sub.carrier-def by simp

have in-diff-fun-space: restrict0 ?dom-Int  $(\lambda x. (?r2 x - ?r2 p) \cdot i) \in$ 
  p1.sub- $\psi$ .sub.diff-fun-space
  for i::'b
  proof -
    have diff-fun  $\infty (\text{charts-submanifold } ?\text{dom-Int})$   $(\lambda x. (?r2 x - ?r2 p) \cdot i)$ 
    proof (rule diff-fun.diff-fun-cong)
      show diff-fun  $\infty (\text{charts-submanifold } ?\text{dom-Int})$   $((\lambda x. x \cdot i) \circ ((\lambda x. (x -$ 
      ?r2 p)) \circ ?r2))
        proof (intro diff-fun-compose diff-compose)
          — This result could easily be an instance of an axiom of Lie groups. However,
          I think it may be harder to start from differentiability of a binary operation on the
          product manifold than it is to just use composition of basic smooth operations.
        have eucl-diff-add-uminus: diff  $\infty \text{charts-eucl charts-eucl}$   $(\lambda y. y + -$ 
          x)
          if x:  $x \in \text{manifold-eucl.carrier}$  for x::'b
          apply (intro diff-fun-charts-euclI[unfolded diff-fun-def])
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

        using smooth-on-add[OF smooth-on-id smooth-on-const[of  $\infty$  UNIV
-x]] open-UNIV by simp
        show diff  $\infty$  (charts-submanifold ?dom-Int) (manifold-eucl.dest.charts-submanifold
(codomain ?r2)) ?r2
            using p2.diffeo- $\psi$ .diff-axioms by auto
            show diff  $\infty$  (manifold-eucl.dest.charts-submanifold (codomain ?r2))
charts-eucl ( $\lambda x. x - ?r2 p$ )
            using eucl-diff-add-uminus[of ?r2 p] diff.diff-submanifold p2.sub-eucl.open-submanifold
by auto
            show diff-fun  $\infty$  charts-eucl ( $\lambda x. x \cdot i$ )
                using smooth-on-inner-const by (simp add: diff-fun-charts-euclI)
            qed
            qed (simp)
            moreover have ( $?r2 x - ?r2 p \cdot i = (\text{restrict0 } ?\text{dom-Int} (\lambda x. (?r2 x -$ 
?r2 p)  $\cdot i)) x$ )
                if  $x \in \text{manifold.carrier}$  (charts-submanifold ?dom-Int) for x
                using p1.sub- $\psi$ -carrier that by auto
                ultimately show ?thesis
                    using p1.sub- $\psi$ .sub.restrict0-in-fun-space p2.sub- $\psi$ -carrier by auto
            qed

            have p2-comp-p1-coord-xv: p2.component-function (p1.coordinate-vector xv)
i =
                frechet-derivative (( $\lambda y. (?r2 y) \cdot i$ )  $\circ$  ?r1i) (at (?r1 p)) xv for i::'b
            proof -
                have 1: p2.component-function (p1.coordinate-vector xv) i =
                    (p1.differential-inv-chart (p1.dRestr (directional-derivative  $\infty$  (?r1 p)
xv))) (restrict0 ?dom-Int ( $\lambda x. (?r2 x - ?r2 p) \cdot i$ ))
                proof -
                    have p2.component-function (p1.coordinate-vector xv) i =
                        p2.dRestr2 (p1.coordinate-vector xv) (restrict0 ?dom-Int ( $\lambda x. (?r2$ 
x - ?r2 p) \cdot i))
                        using p2.component-function-apply-in-TpM[OF p1-coord-in-Tp2M]
                        by (simp add: Int-absorb1)
                    also have ... = p1.dRestr2 (p1.coordinate-vector xv) (restrict0 ?dom-Int
( $\lambda x. (?r2 x - ?r2 p) \cdot i$ ))
                    unfolding the-inv-into-def by simp
                    also have ... = (p1.differential-inv-chart (p1.dRestr (directional-derivative
 $\infty$  (?r1 p) xv)))
                        (restrict0 ?dom-Int ( $\lambda x. (?r2 x - ?r2 p) \cdot i$ ))
                    using the-inv-into-f-f[OF bij-betw-imp-inj-on[OF p1.tangent-submanifold-isomorphism(1)]]
                    using bij-betwE[OF p1.bij-betw-d $\psi$ -inv] bij-betwE[OF p1.bij-betw-d $\kappa$ -inv]
p1-coord-in-Tp2M
                    by (auto simp: p1.coordinate-vector-apply)
                    finally show ?thesis .
                qed
                also have ... = frechet-derivative (restrict0 p1.diffeo- $\psi$ .dest.carrier
((restrict0 ?dom-Int ( $\lambda x. (?r2 x - ?r2 p) \cdot i$ ))  $\circ$  ?r1i)) (at (?r1 p)) xv
                proof -

```

```

have ... = (p1.differential-inv-chart (restrict0 (p1.diffeo-ψ.dest.diff-fun-space)
  (λf. frechet-derivative f (at (?r1 p)) x_v)))
  (restrict0 ?dom-Int (λx. (?r2 x - ?r2 p) · i))
  using p1.dk-inv-directional-derivative-eq by simp
  also have ... = (λg. restrict0 p1.diffeo-ψ.dest.diff-fun-space (λf.
  frechet-derivative f (at (?r1 p)) x_v)
  (restrict0 p1.diffeo-ψ.dest.carrier (g ∘ ?r1i)))
  (restrict0 ?dom-Int (λx. (?r2 x - ?r2 p) · i))
  unfolding p1.diffeo-ψ.inv.push-forward-def using in-diff-fun-space by
  simp
  also have ... = (λf. frechet-derivative f (at (?r1 p)) x_v)
  (restrict0 p1.diffeo-ψ.dest.carrier ((restrict0 ?dom-Int (λx. (?r2 x -
  ?r2 p) · i)) ∘ ?r1i))
  using in-diff-fun-space p1.diffeo-ψ.inv.restrict-compose-in-diff-fun-space
  by auto
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = frechet-derivative ((λx. (?r2 x) · i) ∘ ?r1i) (at (?r1 p))
  x_v
proof -
  let ?X = p1.diffeo-ψ.dest.carrier
  have X-eq-codomain-r1[simp]: p1.diffeo-ψ.dest.carrier = codomain ?r1
  using chart-eucl-simps(1) manifold.carrier-def
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Int-UNIV-right Int-commute ccpo-Sup-singleton
    image-insert image-is-empty p1.sub-eucl.carrier-submanifold)
  have 1: frechet-derivative (restrict0 p1.diffeo-ψ.dest.carrier ((restrict0
  ?dom-Int (λx. (?r2 x - ?r2 p) · i)) ∘ ?r1i)) (at (?r1 p)) =
    frechet-derivative ((λx. (?r2 x - ?r2 p) · i) ∘ ?r1i) (at (?r1 p))
    (is ‹frechet-derivative ?f_L (at -) = frechet-derivative ?f_R (at -)›)
  proof (rule frechet-derivative-transform-within-open)
    show ?f_L x = ?f_R x if x ∈ ?X for x
    using X-eq-codomain-r1 that by simp
    show open ?X by blast
    show ?r1 p ∈ ?X using p1.ψp-in by blast
    let ?f_L' = (restrict0 ?dom-Int (λx. (?r2 x - ?r2 p) · i)) ∘ ?r1i
    show ?f_L differentiable at (?r1 p)
      apply (rule differentiable-transform-within-open[of ?f_L' - - ?X])
      apply (rule p1.sub-ψ.sub-diff-fun-differentiable-at)
      using p1.ψp-in p1.diffeo-ψ.dest.open-carrier in-diff-fun-space p1.sub-ψ
      p1.p p1.sub-ψ.sub-diff-fun-spaceD by auto
    qed
    also have 2: ... = frechet-derivative ((λx. (?r2 x) · i) ∘ ?r1i) (at (?r1
    p))
  proof -
    have frechet-derivative ((λx. (?r2 x - ?r2 p) · i) ∘ ?r1i) (at (?r1 p)) =
      frechet-derivative ((λx. ?r2 (?r1i x) · i) + (λx. - (?r2 p) · i))
    (at (?r1 p)) by (simp add: plus-fun-def inner-diff-left) (meson comp-apply)
    also have ... = frechet-derivative (λx. ?r2 (?r1i x) · i) (at (?r1 p))

```

```

+ (frechet-derivative ( $\lambda x. - (\text{?r2 } p) \cdot i$ ) (at (?r1 p)))
  using r2-r1i-differentiable[OF p] r2p-differentiable by (auto simp:
  frechet-derivative-plus-fun)
  finally show frechet-derivative (( $\lambda x. (\text{?r2 } x - \text{?r2 } p) \cdot i$ )  $\circ$  ?r1i) (at
  (?r1 p)) =
    frechet-derivative (( $\lambda x. \text{?r2 } x \cdot i$ )  $\circ$  ?r1i) (at (?r1 p))
    using r2p-deriv by simp (metis comp-apply)
    qed
    finally show ?thesis using 1 by presburger
    qed
    finally show p2.component-function (p1.coordinate-vector x_v) i =
  frechet-derivative (( $\lambda x. (\text{?r2 } x) \cdot i$ )  $\circ$  ?r1i) (at (?r1 p)) x_v .
  qed

  have (snd  $\circ$  (?R2  $\circ$  ?R1i)) x = (p2.tangent-chart-fun  $\circ$  p1.coordinate-vector)
  x_v
  unfolding restrict-chart-TM-def restrict-inv-chart-TM-def
  unfolding apply-chart-TM-def inv-chart-TM-def by (simp add: Pair-x
  p-def)
  then show (snd  $\circ$  (?R2  $\circ$  ?R1i)) x = ?g x
  unfolding p2.tangent-chart-fun-def using p2-comp-p1-coord-x_v p-def p
  Pair-x x_p(1) by auto
  next
  let ?D = restrict-codomain-TM (domain c1  $\cap$  domain c2) c1
  show smooth-on ?D ?g
  proof (rule smooth-on-sum, rule smooth-on-scaleR)
    fix i::'b assume i: i $\in$ Basis
    have D-product: ?D = c1 ` (domain c1  $\cap$  domain c2)  $\times$  UNIV
    unfolding restrict-codomain-TM-def codomain-TM-def
    by auto (metis IntI chart-inverse-inv-chart imageI inv-chart-in-domain)
    show smooth-on ?D ( $\lambda x. \text{frechet-derivative } ((\lambda y. (\text{?r2 } y) \cdot i) \circ$  ?r1i) (at
    (fst x)) (snd x))
      unfolding D-product by (rule smooth-on-compat-charts-TM[OF assms])
      qed (auto)
      qed
      qed
      qed
      qed
      qed

  lemma atlas-TM':
    assumes c1  $\in$  atlas c2  $\in$  atlas
    shows smooth-on ((apply-chart-TM c2) ` (domain-TM c1  $\cap$  domain-TM c2))
    ((apply-chart-TM c1)  $\circ$  (inv-chart-TM c2))
    using atlas-TM[OF assms(2,1)] by (simp add: Int-commute)

end

```

### 5.1.3 Differentiability of vector fields

**context** *c-manifold* begin

**abbreviation** *k-diff-from-M-to-TM-at-in* :: enat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('a,'b)chart  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a tangent-bundle)  $\Rightarrow$  bool

**where** *k-diff-from-M-to-TM-at-in k' x c X*  $\equiv$   $x \in \text{domain } c \wedge X \subseteq \text{domain } c \subseteq \text{domain-TM } c \wedge k'-\text{smooth-on}(\text{codomain } c) (\text{apply-chart-TM } c \circ X \circ \text{inv-chart } c)$

— Compare this definition to *diff-axioms ?k ?charts1.0 ?charts2.0 ?f*  $\equiv \forall x. x \in \text{manifold.carrier} \rightarrow (\exists c \in \text{c-manifold.atlas} \text{ ?charts1.0 ?k}. \exists c2 \in \text{c-manifold.atlas} \text{ ?charts2.0 ?k}. x \in \text{domain } c1 \wedge ?f \text{ ' domain } c1 \subseteq \text{domain } c2 \wedge ?k-\text{smooth-on}(\text{codomain } c1) (\text{apply-chart } c2 \circ ?f \circ \text{inv-chart } c1))$ . It's the same, except the charts for TM aren't of type ('a, 'b) chart.

**definition** *k-diff-from-M-to-TM* ( $\langle\!\langle -\text{diff}'\text{-from}'\text{-M}'\text{-to}'\text{-TM}\rangle\!\rangle$  [1000])

**where** *diff-from-M-to-TM-def*: *k'-diff-from-M-to-TM X*  $\equiv \forall x. x \in \text{carrier} \rightarrow (\exists c \in \text{atlas}. k\text{-diff-from-M-to-TM-at-in } k' x c X)$

**abbreviation** *continuous-from-M-to-TM*  $\equiv 0\text{-diff-from-M-to-TM}$

**abbreviation** (in smooth-manifold) *smooth-from-M-to-TM*  $\equiv k\text{-diff-from-M-to-TM}$   
 $\infty$

**lemma** *diff-from-M-to-TM-E*:

**assumes** *k'-diff-from-M-to-TM X x*  $\in$  *carrier*

**obtains** *c where c in atlas x in domain c X ' domain c ⊆ domain-TM c k'-smooth-on (codomain c) (apply-chart-TM c o X o inv-chart c)*

**using assms unfolding diff-from-M-to-TM-def by auto**

**lemma** *continuous-from-M-to-TM-D*:

**assumes** *continuous-from-M-to-TM X x*  $\in$  *carrier*

**obtains** *c where c in atlas x in domain c X ' domain c ⊆ domain-TM c continuous-on (codomain c) (apply-chart-TM c o X o inv-chart c)*

**using assms by (meson diff-from-M-to-TM-E smooth-on-imp-continuous-on that)**

**definition** *section-of-TM-def*: *section-of-TM-on S X*  $\equiv \forall p \in S. (X p) \in \text{TM} \wedge \text{fst}(X p) = p$

**abbreviation** *section-of-TM*  $\equiv$  *section-of-TM-on carrier*

**lemma** *section-of-TM-subset*:

**assumes** *section-of-TM-on S X T ⊆ S*

**shows** *section-of-TM-on T X*

**using assms unfolding section-of-TM-def by force**

**lemma** *section-domain-TM*:

**assumes** *section-of-TM-on (domain c) X*

**shows** *X ' domain c ⊆ domain-TM c*

**using assms unfolding domain-TM-def section-of-TM-def in-TM-def by auto**

**lemma** *section-domain-TM'*:

```

assumes section-of-TM X c ∈ atlas
shows X ` domain c ⊆ domain-TM c
using assms section-domain-TM section-of-TM-subset by blast

lemma section-vimage-domain-TM:
assumes section-of-TM X c ∈ atlas
shows carrier ∩ X ` domain-TM c = domain c
using assms unfolding domain-TM-def section-of-TM-def in-TM-def
by simp force

end

```

```
context smooth-manifold begin
```

Show that a smooth/differentiable vector field is smooth in any chart. This would be  $\llbracket \text{diff } ?k \text{ ?charts1.0 ?charts2.0 ?f; ?d1.0} \in c\text{-manifold.atlas} \text{ ?charts1.0 ?k; ?d2.0} \in c\text{-manifold.atlas} \text{ ?charts2.0 ?k} \rrbracket \implies ?k\text{-smooth-on}(\text{codomain } ?d1.0 \cap \text{inv-chart } ?d1.0 -` (\text{carrier } ?charts1.0 \cap ?f -` \text{domain } ?d2.0)) (\text{apply-chart } ?d2.0 \circ ?f \circ \text{inv-chart } ?d1.0)$  if we could write  $TM$  as a  $c\text{-manifold}$ ; it relies on the compatibility of charts for  $TM$  given in  $\llbracket \text{smooth-manifold } ?charts; ?c1.0 \in c\text{-manifold.atlas} \text{ ?charts } \infty; ?c2.0 \in c\text{-manifold.atlas} \text{ ?charts } \infty \rrbracket \implies \text{smooth-on}(\text{c-manifold.apply-chart-TM } ?charts ?c1.0 -` (\text{c-manifold.domain-TM } ?charts \infty ?c1.0 \cap \text{c-manifold.domain-TM } ?charts \infty ?c2.0)) (\text{c-manifold.apply-chart-TM } ?charts ?c2.0 \circ \text{c-manifold.inv-chart-TM } ?charts ?c1.0)$ .

```

lemma diff-from-M-to-TM-chartsD:
assumes X: k-diff-from-M-to-TM k' X section-of-TM X and c: c ∈ atlas
shows k'-smooth-on (codomain c) (apply-chart-TM c ∘ X ∘ inv-chart c)
proof -
have codom-simp: codomain c ∩ inv-chart c -` (carrier ∩ X -` domain-TM c) = codomain c
using section-vimage-domain-TM[OF X(2) c] by (simp add: Int-absorb2 subset-vimage-iff)
{ fix y assume y ∈ codomain c ∩ inv-chart c -` (carrier ∩ X -` domain-TM c)
then have y: X (inv-chart c y) ∈ domain-TM c y ∈ codomain c by auto
then obtain x where x: c x = y x ∈ domain c by force
then have x ∈ carrier using assms by force
obtain c1 where c1 ∈ atlas
and fc1: X ` domain c1 ⊆ domain-TM c1
and xc1: x ∈ domain c1
and d: k'-smooth-on (codomain c1) (apply-chart-TM c1 ∘ X ∘ inv-chart c1)
by (meson ⟨x ∈ carrier⟩ assms(1) diff-from-M-to-TM-E)
have fc1' [simp]: x ∈ domain c1 ⇒ X x ∈ domain-TM c1 for x using fc1
by auto

```

```

have r1:  $k' \text{-smooth-on } (c \circ (\text{domain } c \cap \text{domain } c1)) (c1 \circ \text{inv-chart } c)$ 
  using smooth-compat-D1[ $\text{OF smooth-compat-le}[\text{OF atlas-is-atlas}[\text{OF } c \circ c1 \in \text{atlas}]]]$  by force
  — Important: this is where we use  $\llbracket \text{smooth-manifold } ?\text{charts}; ?c1.0 \in c\text{-manifold.atlas} ?\text{charts} \infty; ?c2.0 \in c\text{-manifold.atlas } ?\text{charts} \infty \rrbracket \implies \text{smooth-on } (c\text{-manifold.apply-chart-TM } ?\text{charts } ?c1.0 \circ (c\text{-manifold.domain-TM } ?\text{charts} \infty ?c1.0 \cap c\text{-manifold.domain-TM } ?\text{charts} \infty ?c2.0)) (c\text{-manifold.apply-chart-TM } ?\text{charts } ?c2.0 \circ c\text{-manifold.inv-chart-TM } ?\text{charts } ?c1.0).$ 
have r2:  $k' \text{-smooth-on } (\text{apply-chart-TM } c1 \circ (\text{domain-TM } c \cap \text{domain-TM } c1)) (\text{apply-chart-TM } c \circ \text{inv-chart-TM } c1)$ 
  apply (rule smooth-on-le[ $\text{OF atlas-TM}[\text{OF } c \circ c1 \in \text{atlas}]$ ]) by simp

define T where  $T = c \circ (\text{domain } c \cap \text{domain } c1) \cap \text{inv-chart } c \circ (c\text{-carrier} \cap (X \circ \text{domain-TM } c))$ 

have simps-1:  $(\text{apply-chart-TM } c1 \circ X \circ \text{inv-chart } c1) \circ (\text{apply-chart } c1 \circ \text{inv-chart } c) \circ T = (\text{apply-chart-TM } c1 \circ X \circ \text{inv-chart } c) \circ T$ 
  if  $\text{inv-chart } c \circ T \subseteq \text{domain } c1$  for T
  unfolding image-comp[symmetric] using that by auto
    (smt (verit) image-eqI image-subset-iff inv-chart-inverse)
have inv-chart c ‘  $T \subseteq \text{domain } c1$ 
  by (auto simp: T-def)
note T-simps = simps-1[ $\text{OF this}$ ] section-vimage-domain-TM[ $\text{OF } X(2) \ c$ ]
have open T
  by (auto intro!: open-continuous-vimage' continuous-intros simp: T-simps(2)
T-def)

have T-subset:  $T \subseteq \text{apply-chart } c \circ (\text{domain } c \cap \text{domain } c1)$ 
  by (auto simp: T-def)
have opens:  $\text{open } (c1 \circ \text{inv-chart } c \circ T) \text{ open } (\text{apply-chart-TM } c1 \circ (\text{domain-TM } c \cap \text{domain-TM } c1))$ 
  using T-subset fc1 ⟨open T⟩⟨inv-chart c ‘ T ⊆ domain c1⟩ apply blast
  by (metis Int-commute ⟨c1 ∈ atlas⟩ open-intersection-TM)
have k'-smooth-on ((apply-chart c1 ∘ inv-chart c) ‘ T) (apply-chart-TM c ∘ inv-chart-TM c1 ∘ (apply-chart-TM c1 ∘ X ∘ inv-chart c1))
  using r2 d opens unfolding image-comp[symmetric] apply (rule smooth-on-compose2)
  by (auto simp: T-def) (metis IntI fc1 image-subset-iff subset-refl)
from this r1 ⟨open T⟩ opens(1) have k'-smooth-on T
  ((apply-chart-TM c ∘ inv-chart-TM c1) ∘ (apply-chart-TM c1 ∘ X ∘ inv-chart c1) ∘ (c1 ∘ inv-chart c))
  unfolding image-comp[symmetric]
  by (rule smooth-on-compose2) (force simp: T-def)+
then have k'-smooth-on T (apply-chart-TM c ∘ X ∘ inv-chart c)
  using ⟨open T⟩ apply (rule smooth-on-cong)
  using apply-chart-TM-inverse(1)[of c1 fst (X xa) snd (X xa) for xa] fc1 ‘ c1
  ∈ atlas
  by (auto simp: T-def)
moreover have y ∈ T
  using x xc1 fc1 y ‘ c1 ∈ atlas by (auto simp: T-def)

```

```

ultimately have  $\exists T. y \in T \wedge \text{open } T \wedge k' - \text{smooth-on } T (\text{apply-chart-TM } c$ 
 $\circ X \circ \text{inv-chart } c)$ 
  using  $\langle \text{open } T \rangle$  by metis }
  thus ?thesis
    apply (rule smooth-on-open-subsetsI)
    using codom-simp by simp
qed

```

```

definition smooth-section-of-TM X  $\equiv$  section-of-TM X  $\wedge$  smooth-from-M-to-TM X

```

```

abbreviation set-of-smooth-sections-of-TM ( $\mathfrak{X}$ )
  where set-of-smooth-sections-of-TM  $\equiv$  {X. smooth-section-of-TM X}

```

```

lemma in $\mathfrak{X}$ -E:
  assumes  $X \in \mathfrak{X} p \in \text{carrier}$ 
  shows  $(\exists c \in \text{atlas}. p \in \text{domain } c \wedge X \cdot \text{domain } c \subseteq \text{domain-TM } c \wedge \text{smooth-on}$ 
   $(\text{codomain } c) (\text{apply-chart-TM } c \circ X \circ \text{inv-chart } c))$ 
    and  $\text{snd } (X p) \in \text{tangent-space } p$ 
    and  $\text{fst } (X p) = p$ 
    using assms TM-E[of X p for p]
    by (auto simp: smooth-section-of-TM-def section-of-TM-def diff-from-M-to-TM-def)
      (metis)

```

```

lemma in $\mathfrak{X}$ -chartsD:
  assumes  $X \in \mathfrak{X} c \in \text{atlas}$ 
  shows smooth-on ( $\text{codomain } c$ ) ( $\text{apply-chart-TM } c \circ X \circ \text{inv-chart } c$ )
  using diff-from-M-to-TM-chartsD[of  $\infty X c$ ] assms smooth-section-of-TM-def
  by auto

```

```

end

```

A vector field is smooth if it is smooth as a map  $M \rightarrow TM$ . As a shortcut, we define a smooth vector field as one that is smooth in the chart - this avoids problems with defining a  $('a \times (('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{real}), 'b)$  chart. We also introduce a duality of predicates with strongly related meaning: this allows us to consider vector fields as either maps  $'a \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{real}$ , i.e. mapping a point to a vector; or maps  $'a \Rightarrow 'a \times (('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{real})$ , i.e. sections of  $TM$  properly speaking.

```

context c-manifold begin

```

```

definition rough-vector-field :: 'a vector-field  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where rough-vector-field X  $\equiv$  extensional0 carrier X  $\wedge$   $(\forall p \in \text{carrier}. X p \in$ 
   $\text{tangent-space } p)$ 

```

```

lemma rough-vector-fieldE [elim]:
  assumes rough-vector-field X
  shows  $\bigwedge p. X p \in \text{tangent-space } p$  extensional0 carrier X

```

```

using assms by (auto simp: rough-vector-field-def extensional0-outside tangent-space.mem-zero)

lemma rough-vector-field-subset:
  assumes rough-vector-field X T ⊆ carrier
  shows rough-vector-field (restrict0 T X)
  unfolding rough-vector-field-def using assms rough-vector-fieldE tangent-space.mem-zero
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) extensional0-def restrict0-def)

end

abbreviation (input) vec-field-apply-fun :: 'a vector-field ⇒ ('a⇒real) ⇒ ('a⇒real)
(infix <") 100)
  where vec-field-apply-fun X f ≡ λp. X p f

lemma (in c-manifold) vec-field-apply-fun-cong:
  assumes X: rough-vector-field X and U: open U U ⊆ carrier ∀x∈U. f x = g x
    and f: f ∈ diff-fun-space and g: g ∈ diff-fun-space
  shows ∀p∈U. X p f = X p g
  using assms by (auto intro: derivation-eq-localI simp: rough-vector-field-def)

lemma (in c-manifold) ext0-vec-field-apply-fun:
  assumes X: rough-vector-field X
  shows extensional0 diff-fun-space (vec-field-apply-fun X)
  using rough-vector-fieldE[OF X] unfolding tangent-space-def extensional0-def
  by fastforce

```

## 5.2 Smoothness criterion for a vector field in a single chart.

A smooth vector field is one that is infinitely differentiable when expanded in the charting Euclidean space using  $\llbracket c\text{-manifold-point} \ ?charts \ ?k \ ?ψ \ ?p; \ ?v \in c\text{-manifold.tangent-space} \ ?charts \ ?k \ ?p; \ ?k = \infty \rrbracket \implies ?v = (\sum_{i \in Basis} c\text{-manifold-point.component-function} \ ?charts \ ?k \ ?ψ \ ?p \ ?v \ i *_R c\text{-manifold-point.coordinate-vector} \ ?charts \ ?k \ ?ψ \ ?p \ i)$ . This should be the chart that makes each tangent space into a manifold anyway, but the type constraints are tricky to satisfy.

Since tangent spaces at the same point differ between a manifold and a submanifold, it's important to note that the differentiability condition can be relaxed to only apply to a subset, but the tangent bundle is always the disjoint union of tangent spaces of the *entire* manifold, which implies the chart function for the tangent space is defined in the entire manifold, not a submanifold.

```

locale smooth-vector-field-local = c-manifold-local charts ∞ ψ for charts ψ +
  fixes X
  assumes vector-field: ∀p∈domain ψ. X p ∈ tangent-space p
  and smooth-in-chart: diff-fun ∞ (charts-submanifold (domain ψ)) (λp. (c-manifold-point.tangent-chart-fun
  charts ∞ ψ p) (X p))

```

```

begin
lemma rough-vector-field: rough-vector-field (restrict0 (domain ψ) X)
  apply (simp only: rough-vector-field-def, intro conjI)
  using extensional0-def sub-ψ-carrier apply fastforce
  using vector-field by (metis restrict0-apply-in restrict0-apply-out tangent-space.mem-zero)
end

```

**5.2.1 Connecting the types  $'a \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow real$  (used for smooth-vector-field-local) and  $'a \Rightarrow 'a \times (('a \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow real)$  (used for  $\lambda$ charts  $k$ .  $c$ -manifold.section-of-TM-on charts  $k$  (manifold.carrier charts)).**

```
context c-manifold begin
```

```

lemma fst-apply-chart-TM-id [simp]: (fst o (apply-chart-TM ψ o X o inv-chart ψ)) x = x
  if section-of-TM-on (domain ψ) X ψ∈atlas x∈codomain ψ for x
  using that by (simp add: case-prod-beta' apply-chart-TM-def section-of-TM-def)

```

The justification for the definition of *smooth-vector-field-local* is the lemma below, connecting it to the smoothness requirement used to define the set of smooth sections  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

```

lemma apply-chart-TM-chartX:
  fixes X :: ('a ⇒ 'a × (('a ⇒ real) ⇒ real)) and c :: ('a, 'b) chart and chart-X
  :: 'a ⇒ 'b
  defines chart-X ≡ λp. (c-manifold-point.tangent-chart-fun charts ∞ c p) (snd (X p))
  assumes k: k=∞ and X: section-of-TM-on (domain c) X and c: c ∈ atlas
  shows smooth-on (codomain c) (apply-chart-TM c o X o inv-chart c) ↔ diff-fun
  ∞ (charts-submanifold (domain c)) chart-X
  (is ↪?smooth-in-chart-TM c X ↔ ?diff-domain c chart-X)
proof –

```

```

interpret c: c-manifold-local charts ∞ c
  using k c pairwise-compat by unfold-locales simp-all
have p: c-manifold-point charts ∞ c p if p ∈ domain c for p
  using that by unfold-locales simp
have X-in-TM: fst (X p) = p snd (X p) ∈ tangent-space p if p ∈ domain c for p
  using that X c.ψ in-TM-E(1) by (auto simp: section-of-TM-def)
have chart-X-alt: chart-X p = (snd o (c.apply-chart-TM c o X)) p if p ∈ domain c for p
  by (simp add: that chart-X-def c.apply-chart-TM-def X-in-TM(1) split-beta)
  have smooth-comp-snd: smooth-on (codomain c) (snd o f) if smooth-on (codomain c) f for f :: 'b ⇒ 'b × 'b
    using open-codomain that by (auto intro!: smooth-on-snd simp: comp-def)
  have c-in-sub-atlas: c ∈ c.sub-ψ.sub.atlas
    by (metis c.ψ c.atlas-is-atlas c.sub-ψ.sub.maximal-atlas c.sub-ψ.submanifold-atlasE
    c.sub-ψ-carrier set-eq-subset)

```

```
show ?thesis
```

**proof**

```

assume asm: ?smooth-in-chart-TM c X
have 1: smooth-on (codomain c) (snd o (c.apply-chart-TM c o X o inv-chart
c))
  using smooth-comp-snd[OF asm] by (simp only: comp-assoc)
have 2: smooth-on (codomain c) ((λx. x) o chart-X o inv-chart c)
  by (auto intro!: smooth-on-cong[OF 1] simp: chart-X-alt)
{
  fix x assume x ∈ domain c
  then interpret x: c-manifold-point charts ∞ c x using p by blast
  have ∃ c1 ∈ c.sub-ψ.sub.atlas. ∃ c2 ∈ manifold-eucl.atlas ∞.
    x ∈ domain c1 ∧ chart-X ‘ domain c1 ⊆ domain c2 ∧
    smooth-on (codomain c1) (apply-chart c2 o chart-X o inv-chart c1)
    using 2 c-in-sub-atlas by (intro bexI) auto
}
then show ?diff-domain c chart-X
  unfolding diff-fun-def diff-def diff-axioms-def
  using c.sub-ψ.sub.manifold-eucl.c-manifolds-axioms c.sub-ψ-carrier by blast
next

  assume asm: ?diff-domain c chart-X
  interpret asm-df: diff-fun ∞ charts-submanifold (domain c) snd o (c.apply-chart-TM
c o X)
    using diff-fun.diff-fun-cong[OF asm chart-X-alt] by fastforce
  have codomain-c-eq: codomain c = codomain c ∩ inv-chart c –‘(c.sub-ψ.sub.carrier
  ∩ (snd o (c.apply-chart-TM c o X)) –‘ domain chart-eucl)
    using c.ψ by (simp, blast)
  let ?X = (c.apply-chart-TM c o X o inv-chart c)
  let ?X' = λx. (x, (snd o ?X) x)
  have X-eq: ?X x = ?X' x if x ∈ codomain c for x
    using c.fst-apply-chart-TM-id X k that by (metis c comp-apply prod.collapse)
  have smooth-on-snd-chart-TM: smooth-on (codomain c) (snd o ?X)
    using asm-df.diff-chartsD[OF c-in-sub-atlas, of chart-eucl] codomain-c-eq
    by (auto simp add: comp-assoc smooth-on-cong)

  show ?smooth-in-chart-TM c X
    apply (rule smooth-on-cong[OF - - X-eq])
    using smooth-on-Pair smooth-on-id smooth-on-snd-chart-TM by blast+
  qed
qed

end

```

**context** smooth-vector-field-local **begin**

```

definition chart-X ≡ λp. (c-manifold-point.tangent-chart-fun charts ∞ ψ p) (X p)

lemma smooth-in-chart-X [simp]: diff-fun ∞ (charts-submanifold (domain ψ)) chart-X
  unfolding chart-X-def using smooth-in-chart by simp

lemma apply-chart-TM-chart-X:
  smooth-on (codomain ψ) (apply-chart-TM ψ o (λp. (p, X p)) o inv-chart ψ) ←→
  diff-fun ∞ (charts-submanifold (domain ψ)) chart-X
  unfolding chart-X-def
  apply (rule apply-chart-TM-chartX[of ψ λp. (p, X p), simplified])
  unfolding section-of-TM-def in-TM-def apply (clar simp, intro conjI)
  using ψ vector-field by (blast, auto)

end

```

### 5.2.2 Some theorems about smooth vector fields, locally and globally.

**context** c-manifold-local **begin**

It is often convenient to keep a stronger handle on which chart we're (locally) working in. Since the first component of the *apply-chart-TM* is just the identity, we can safely omit it for a lot of our reasoning about smoothness in a chart (see  $\llbracket \text{section-of-TM-on} (\text{domain } ?\psi) ?X; ?\psi \in \text{atlas}; ?x \in \text{codomain } ?\psi \rrbracket \implies (\text{fst} \circ (\text{apply-chart-TM } ?\psi \circ ?X \circ \text{inv-chart } ?\psi)) ?x = ?x$  and  $\llbracket k = \infty; \text{section-of-TM-on} (\text{domain } ?c) ?X; ?c \in \text{atlas} \rrbracket \implies \text{smooth-on} (\text{codomain } ?c) (\text{apply-chart-TM } ?c \circ ?X \circ \text{inv-chart } ?c) = \text{diff-fun} \infty (\text{charts-submanifold} (\text{domain } ?c)) (\lambda p. \text{c-manifold-point.tangent-chart-fun charts} \infty ?c p (\text{snd} (?X p)))$ ).

```

definition vector-field-component :: ('a ⇒ (('a ⇒ real) ⇒ real)) ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'a ⇒ real
  where vector-field-component X i ≡ λp. (c-manifold-point.component-function
charts k ψ p) (X p) i
definition coordinate-vector-field :: 'b ⇒ ('a ⇒ (('a ⇒ real) ⇒ real))
  where coordinate-vector-field i p ≡ c-manifold-point.coordinate-vector charts k ψ
p i

```

Eqn. 8.2, page 175, Lee 2012

```

lemma vector-field-local-representation:
  assumes k: k = ∞ and X: rough-vector-field X and p: p ∈ domain ψ
  shows X p = (∑ i ∈ Basis. (vector-field-component X i p) *R (coordinate-vector-field
i p))
  unfolding vector-field-component-def coordinate-vector-field-def
  apply (rule c-manifold-point.coordinate-vector-representation)
  apply unfold-locales
  subgoal using p rough-vector-fieldE[OF X] sub-ψ-carrier by blast

```

**subgoal using**  $p$  *rough-vector-fieldE*[ $OF X$ ] *in-carrier-atlasI*[ $OF \psi$ ] **by** *blast*  
**by** (*simp add:*  $k$ )

**definition** *local-coord-at* ::  $'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow real$   
**where** *local-coord-at*  $q i \equiv restrict0 (domain \psi) (\lambda y:'a. (\psi y - \psi q) \cdot i)$

**lemma** *local-coord-diff-fun*:  
**assumes**  $k: k = \infty$  **and**  $q: q \in domain \psi$   
**shows** *local-coord-at*  $q i \in sub-\psi.sub.diff-fun-space$   
**proof –**  
**note** *local-simps[simp]* = *local-coord-at-def*  
**have** *diff-fun*  $k$  (*charts-submanifold* (*domain*  $\psi$ ))  $((\lambda y:'a. (\psi y - \psi q) \cdot i))$   
**apply** (*rule diff-fun-compose[unfolded o-def, of k - charts-eucl ψ]*)  
**using** *diff-fun-ψ.diff-axioms*  $k$  **by** (*auto intro!: diff-fun-charts-euclI smooth-on-inner smooth-on-minus*)  
**from** *diff-fun.diff-fun-cong[OF this]*  $q$   
**have** *diff-fun*  $k$  (*charts-submanifold* (*domain*  $\psi$ )) (*local-coord-at*  $q i$ ) **by** *simp*  
**then show** *local-coord-at*  $q i \in sub-\psi.sub.diff-fun-space$   
**by** *auto* (*metis restrict0-subset sub-ψ sub-ψ.sub.domain-atlas-subset-carrier sub-ψ.sub.restrict0-in-fun-space*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *vector-apply-coord-at*:  
**fixes**  $x_\psi$  **defines** [*simp*]:  $x_\psi \equiv local-coord-at$   
**assumes**  $q: q \in domain \psi$  **and**  $p: p \in domain \psi$  **and**  $X: X \in tangent-space q$  **and**  
 $k: k = \infty$   
**shows**  $(d\iota^{-1} q) X (x_\psi p i) = (d\iota^{-1} q) X (x_\psi q i)$   
**proof –**  
**note** *local-simps[simp]* = *local-coord-at-def*  
**have** *diff-x-ψ-i'*:  $x_\psi q i \in sub-\psi.sub.diff-fun-space$  **if**  $q \in domain \psi$  **for**  $i q$   
**using** *local-coord-diff-fun[OF k that]* **by** *simp*

**interpret**  $q: c\text{-manifold-point charts } k \psi q$  **using**  $q \psi$  **by** *unfold-locales simp*  
**let**  $?x_q = x_\psi q$   
**have**  $Xq: q.dRestr2 X \in q.T_p U$   
**using** *bij-betwE[OF q.bij-betw-dι-inv]*  $X$  **by** *simp*  
{  
**fix**  $x' b$  **assume**  $x' \in domain \psi$   
**have** *Dp-simp: frechet-derivative*  $((x_\psi p' i) \circ inv-chart \psi) (at (\psi x')) = frechet-derivative ((\lambda y. y - \psi p' \cdot i)) (at (\psi x'))$  **for**  $p'$   
**proof –**  
**have** *frechet-derivative*  $((x_\psi p' i) \circ inv-chart \psi) (at (\psi x')) = frechet-derivative ((\lambda y. y - \psi p' \cdot i)) (at (\psi x'))$   
**apply** (*rule frechet-derivative-transform-within-open[OF - open-codomain[of ψ], symmetric]*)  
**by** (*simp-all add: <x' ∈ domain ψ>*)  
**then show**  $?thesis$

```

by (auto simp: algebra-simps zero-fun-def
    intro!: frechet-derivative-at[symmetric] has-derivative-diff[where g'=0,
simplified] derivative-intros)
qed
have frechet-derivative ((xψ p i) ∘ inv-chart ψ) (at (ψ x')) b = frechet-derivative
((xψ q i) ∘ inv-chart ψ) (at (ψ x')) b
by (simp only: Dp-simp)
} note deriv-eq = this
show ?thesis
apply (rule sub-ψ.sub.derivation-eq-localI'[OF k q -- Xq, of ψ])
using local-coord-diff-fun diff-xψi' k deriv-eq sub-ψ
by (auto simp: p sub-ψ.sub.diff-fun-space-def)
qed

end

```

**context** c-manifold **begin**

**abbreviation** (input) real-linear-on S1 S2 ≡ linear-on S1 S2 scaleR scaleR

— Sometimes we want to apply a vector field meaningfully to a function that is in the *c-manifold.diff-fun-space* of a submanifold (e.g. a single chart). For this to make sense, the function has to be in the correct space, and the submanifold's carrier set has to be open.

**definition** vec-field-apply-fun-in-at :: ('a vector-field) ⇒ ('a ⇒ real) ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a ⇒ real
**where** vec-field-apply-fun-in-at X f U q = restrict0 (tangent-space q)
(*the-inv-into*
(c-manifold.tangent-space (charts-submanifold U) k q)
(diff.push-forward k (charts-submanifold U) charts (λx. x)))
(X q) f

**abbreviation** vec-field-restr :: ('a vector-field) ⇒ 'a set ⇒ ('a vector-field)
**where** vec-field-restr X U q f ≡ restrict0 U (vec-field-apply-fun-in-at X f U) q
**notation** vec-field-restr (⟨-⟩ [60,60])

**lemma** (in smooth-manifold) vec-field-restr: (X ↾ U) p ∈ c-manifold.tangent-space
(charts-submanifold U) ∞ p
**if** open U U ⊆ carrier rough-vector-field X **for** U X
**proof** –
**interpret** U: submanifold charts ∞ U
**by** (unfold-locales, simp add: that)
**have** U-simps[simp]: U.sub.carrier = U
**using** that **by** auto
**show** ?thesis
**apply** (cases p ∈ U)
**subgoal**
**apply** (simp add: vec-field-apply-fun-in-at-def)

**using**  $bij\text{-}betwE[OF U.bij\text{-}betw\text{-}di\text{-}inv]$  that  $rough\text{-}vector\text{-}fieldE(1)$  **by** *auto*  
**by** (*simp add:*  $U.\text{sub}.\text{diff}\text{-}\text{fun}\text{-}\text{space}.\text{linear}\text{-}\text{zero}$   $U.\text{sub}.\text{tangent}\text{-}\text{space}I \text{extensional0}\text{-}\text{def}$ )  
**qed**

**lemma**  $\text{vec}\text{-}\text{field}\text{-}\text{apply}\text{-}\text{fun}\text{-}\text{alt}'$ :  
**assumes**  $\text{open } U \ q \in U \ f \in c\text{-manifold}.\text{diff}\text{-}\text{fun}\text{-}\text{space} (\text{charts}\text{-}\text{submanifold } U) \ k$   
 $rough\text{-}\text{vector}\text{-}\text{field } X$   
**shows**  $\text{vec}\text{-}\text{field}\text{-}\text{apply}\text{-}\text{fun}\text{-}\text{in}\text{-}\text{at } X f \ U \ q = (\text{the}\text{-}\text{inv}\text{-}\text{into} (c\text{-}\text{manifold}.\text{tangent}\text{-}\text{space} (\text{charts}\text{-}\text{submanifold } U) \ k \ q) (\text{diff}.\text{push}\text{-}\text{forward } k (\text{charts}\text{-}\text{submanifold } U) \ \text{charts} (\lambda x. \ x))) (X \ q) \ f$   
**using**  $rough\text{-}\text{vector}\text{-}\text{fieldE}(1)[OF \text{assms}(4)]$  **by** (*auto simp: vec-field-apply-fun-in-at-def assms(1–3)*)

**lemma**  $\text{vec}\text{-}\text{field}\text{-}\text{apply}\text{-}\text{fun}\text{-}\text{alt}$ :  
**assumes**  $\text{open } U \ q \in U \ f \in c\text{-manifold}.\text{diff}\text{-}\text{fun}\text{-}\text{space} (\text{charts}\text{-}\text{submanifold } U) \ k$   
 $rough\text{-}\text{vector}\text{-}\text{field } X$   
**shows**  $\text{vec}\text{-}\text{field}\text{-}\text{restr } X \ U \ q \ f = (\text{the}\text{-}\text{inv}\text{-}\text{into} (c\text{-}\text{manifold}.\text{tangent}\text{-}\text{space} (\text{charts}\text{-}\text{submanifold } U) \ k \ q) (\text{diff}.\text{push}\text{-}\text{forward } k (\text{charts}\text{-}\text{submanifold } U) \ \text{charts} (\lambda x. \ x))) (X \ q) \ f$   
**using**  $rough\text{-}\text{vector}\text{-}\text{fieldE}(1)[OF \text{assms}(4)]$  **by** (*auto simp: vec-field-apply-fun-in-at-def assms(1–3)*)

**lemma** (*in submanifold*)  $\text{vec}\text{-}\text{field}\text{-}\text{apply}\text{-}\text{fun}\text{-}\text{sub}$ :  
**assumes**  $q \in carrier \ q \in S \ f \in sub.\text{diff}\text{-}\text{fun}\text{-}\text{space} rough\text{-}\text{vector}\text{-}\text{field } X$   
**shows**  $\text{vec}\text{-}\text{field}\text{-}\text{apply}\text{-}\text{fun}\text{-}\text{in}\text{-}\text{at } X f \ (S \cap carrier) \ q = (\text{the}\text{-}\text{inv}\text{-}\text{into} (\text{sub}.\text{tangent}\text{-}\text{space} q) \ inclusion.\text{push}\text{-}\text{forward}) (X \ q) \ f$   
**using**  $assms \ charts\text{-}\text{submanifold}\text{-}\text{Int}\text{-}\text{carrier} \ sub.\text{open}\text{-}\text{carrier} \ \text{vec}\text{-}\text{field}\text{-}\text{apply}\text{-}\text{fun}\text{-}\text{alt}$   
**by** *auto*

**lemma**  $\text{vec}\text{-}\text{field}\text{-}\text{apply}\text{-}\text{fun}\text{-}\text{in}\text{-}\text{open}[simp]$ :  $\text{vec}\text{-}\text{field}\text{-}\text{apply}\text{-}\text{fun}\text{-}\text{in}\text{-}\text{at } X f' \ U \ p = X \ p$   
 $f$   
**if**  $U: p \in U \ \text{open } U \ U \subseteq carrier$   
**and**  $f: f \in \text{diff}\text{-}\text{fun}\text{-}\text{space} \ f' \in c\text{-}\text{manifold}.\text{diff}\text{-}\text{fun}\text{-}\text{space} (\text{charts}\text{-}\text{submanifold } U) \ k \ \forall x \in U. \ f \ x = f' \ x$   
**and**  $X: rough\text{-}\text{vector}\text{-}\text{field } X$   
**proof –**  
**interpret**  $U: submanifold \ charts \ k \ U$  **using**  $U(2)$  **by** *unfold-locales*  
**show**  $?thesis$   
**using**  $U.\text{vec}\text{-}\text{field}\text{-}\text{apply}\text{-}\text{fun}\text{-}\text{sub}[OF \text{subsetD}[OF U(3,1)] \ U(1) \ f(2) \ X] \ U.\text{vector}\text{-}\text{apply}\text{-}\text{sub}\text{-}\text{eq}\text{-}\text{localI}(2)$   
**using**  $rough\text{-}\text{vector}\text{-}\text{fieldE}(1)[OF X]$  **that**( $1,3\text{--}6$ ) **by** (*auto simp: Int-absorb2[OF U(3)] U.open-submanifold*)  
**qed**

**lemma**  $\text{open}\text{-}\text{imp}\text{-}\text{submanifold}$ :  $submanifold \ charts \ k \ S$  **if**  $\text{open } S$   
**using** *that* **by** *unfold-locales*

**lemmas**  $charts\text{-}\text{submanifold} = submanifold.\text{charts}\text{-}\text{submanifold}[OF \text{open}\text{-}\text{imp}\text{-}\text{submanifold}]$

**lemma**  $charts\text{-}\text{submanifold}\text{-}\text{Int}$ :

```

manifold.charts-submanifold (charts-submanifold U) N = charts-submanifold (N
∩ U)
if open N open U
  using restrict-chart-restrict-chart[OF that] by (auto simp add: image-def manifold.charts-submanifold-def)

lemma vec-field-apply-fun-in-restrict0[simp]:
  vec-field-restr X U p f = vec-field-restr X N p (restrict0 N f)
  if U: open U U ⊆ carrier and N: p∈N N ⊆ U open N
    and f: f ∈ c-manifold.diff-fun-space (charts-submanifold U) k
    and X: rough-vector-field X
proof -
  let ?f = restrict0 N f
  have f-diff-N: diff-fun k (charts-submanifold N) f
    using diff-fun.diff-fun-submanifold[OF c-manifold.diff-fun-spaceD[OF charts-submanifold[OF U(1)]], OF f N(3)]
      by (simp only: charts-submanifold-Int[OF N(3) U(1)] Int-absorb2[OF N(2)])
  have f': ?f ∈ c-manifold.diff-fun-space (charts-submanifold N) k
    unfolding c-manifold.diff-fun-space-def[OF charts-submanifold[OF N(3)]] apply (safe, rule diff-fun.diff-fun-cong)
    using f-diff-N submanifold.carrier-submanifold[OF open-imp-submanifold[OF N(3)]]
      by (auto simp: Int-absorb2[OF subset-trans, OF N(2) U(2)])
  have p: p ∈ U p ∈ carrier using U N by auto
  have N-carrier [simp]: manifold.carrier (charts-submanifold N) = N
    using submanifold.carrier-submanifold open-imp-submanifold N(3) Int-absorb2
    N(2) U(2)
    by (metis subset-trans)

  obtain N' where N': p ∈ N' open N' compact (closure N') closure N' ⊆ N
    using manifold.precompact-neighborhoodE[of p charts-submanifold N, simplified,
    OF N(1)] by blast
    from submanifold.extension-lemma-submanifoldE[OF open-imp-submanifold[OF
    N(3)] f-diff-N closed-closure] this(4)
  obtain g where g: diff-fun k charts g ∧ x. x ∈ closure N' ⇒ g x = f x
    csupport-on carrier g ∩ carrier ⊆ N
    by auto
  let ?g = restrict0 carrier g
  have diff-g': diff-fun k charts ?g ?g ∈ diff-fun-space
    subgoal by (rule diff-fun.diff-fun-cong[OF g(1)]) simp
    subgoal unfolding diff-fun-space-def using (diff-fun k charts ?g) by simp
    done

  note [simp] = charts-submanifold-Int[OF N(3) U(1)] Int-absorb2[OF N(2)]
  rough-vector-fieldE(1)[OF X]
  vec-field-apply-fun-alt[OF N(3,1) f] vec-field-apply-fun-alt[OF U(1) p(1) f]
  note Xp-eq-localI = submanifold.vector-apply-sub-eq-localI(2)
  [OF open-imp-submanifold N'(1) - N'(2)]

```

$\text{subset-trans}[\text{OF closure-subset}, \text{OF subset-trans}[\text{OF } N'(4)]]$   
 $\text{diff-g}'(2) \dashv \text{rough-vector-fieldE}(1)[\text{OF } X]]$

**have**  $f\text{-eq}: \text{restrict0 carrier } g x = f x \text{ restrict0 carrier } g x = \text{restrict0 } N f x$

if  $x \in N'$  for  $x$

**proof** –

have  $x \in \text{carrier } x \in N$

using that  $N'(4) N(2) U(2)$  by auto

thus  $\text{restrict0 carrier } g x = f x \text{ restrict0 carrier } g x = \text{restrict0 } N f x$

using that  $g(2)$  by auto

**qed**

**show**  $?thesis$

using  $Xp\text{-eq-localI}[\text{OF } N(3) \text{ subset-trans}[\text{OF } N(2)], \text{ OF } U(2) \dashv f' \text{ f-eq}(2)]$

$Xp\text{-eq-localI}[\text{OF } U N(2) \text{ f-f-eq}(1)]$

by (simp add:  $X f'$  that(3) that(5) vec-field-apply-fun-alt')

**qed**

**lemma (in submanifold)**  $\text{vec-field-apply-fun-in-open}[simp]$ :

$\text{vec-field-restr } X S p f' = X p f$

if  $S: S \subseteq \text{carrier}$

and  $N: \text{open } N N \subseteq S p \in N$

and  $f: f \in \text{diff-fun-space } f' \in \text{sub.diff-fun-space } \forall x \in N. f x = f' x$

and  $X: \text{rough-vector-field } X$

using  $\text{vector-apply-sub-eq-localI}(2)[\text{OF } N(3) S N(1,2) f(1,2)]$  that(3,4,6,7,8)

by (auto simp: vec-field-apply-fun-alt' rough-vector-fieldE(1) open-submanifold)

**lemma (in smooth-manifold)**  $\text{vec-field-apply-fun-in-restrict0}'$ :

$\text{restrict0 } U (X''f) = X \upharpoonright U$  (restrict0  $U f$ )

if  $U: \text{open } U U \subseteq \text{carrier}$  and  $f: f \in \text{diff-fun-space}$  and  $X: \text{rough-vector-field } X$

for  $U X f$

**proof**

fix  $p$

interpret  $U: \text{submanifold charts } \infty U$

by (unfold-locales, simp add:  $U$ )

have  $U\text{-simps}[simp]: U.\text{sub.carrier} = U$

using  $U$  by auto

**show**  $\text{restrict0 } U (X''f) p = X \upharpoonright U p$  (restrict0  $U f$ ) (**is**  $\langle ?LHS = ?RHS \rangle$ )

by (metis (mono-tags, lifting)  $U.\text{open-submanifold } X U(2) \text{ charts-submanifold-carrier}$

diff.defined diff-id  $f$  image-ident open-carrier open-imp-submanifold re-

strict0-def

submanifold.vec-field-apply-fun-in-open vec-field-apply-fun-in-restrict0)

**qed**

```

lemma (in submanifold) vec-field-apply-fun-in-open'[simp]:
  vec-field-restr X S p f' = X p f
  if S: p ∈ S S ⊆ carrier
    and f: f ∈ diff-fun-space f' ∈ sub.diff-fun-space ∀ x∈S. f x = f' x
    and X: rough-vector-field X
  using vec-field-apply-fun-in-open[OF S(2) open-submanifold - S(1) f X] by simp

```

```

lemma (in c-manifold) vec-field-apply-fun-in-chart[simp]:
  vec-field-apply-fun-in-at X f (domain c) p = X p f
  if p: p ∈ domain c and c: c ∈ atlas
    and f: f ∈ diff-fun-space f ∈ c-manifold.diff-fun-space (charts-submanifold (domain c)) k
    and X: rough-vector-field X
  using vec-field-apply-fun-in-open that by blast

```

**end**

**context** *c-manifold-local begin*

```

lemma vec-field-apply-fun-eq-component:
  fixes xψ defines [simp]: xψ ≡ local-coord-at
  assumes q: q ∈ domain ψ and p: p ∈ domain ψ and X: rough-vector-field X and
k: k = ∞
  shows vec-field-apply-fun-in-at X (xψ q i) (domain ψ) q = vector-field-component
X i q
proof –
  note [simp] = local-coord-at-def sub-ψ.sub.diff-fun-space-def vector-field-component-def
  interpret q: c-manifold-point charts k ψ q using q ψ by unfold-locales simp
  let ?xq = xψ q
  have Xq: X q ∈ q.TpM q.dRestr2 (X q) ∈ q.TpU
    subgoal using rough-vector-fieldE[OF X] q ψ by blast
    using bij-betwE[OF q.bij-betw-dl-inv] <X q ∈ q.TpM> by simp
  note 1 = vector-apply-coord-at[OF q p Xq(1) k]
  have 2: q.dRestr2 (X q) (local-coord-at q i) = vector-field-component X i q
    using q.component-function-apply-in-TpM[OF Xq(1)] by simp
  show ?thesis
    apply (simp only: 2[symmetric] 1[symmetric] restrict0-apply-in[OF Xq(1)])
    using vec-field-apply-fun-alt'[OF open-domain q] local-coord-diff-fun[OF k q] X
xψ-def
    by blast
qed

```

Prop 8.1, page 175, Lee 2012. The main difference is that our vector field  $X$  here is only a map  $M \rightarrow \text{snd}^*TM$ , not a section  $M \rightarrow TM$  properly speaking. See also  $\llbracket k = \infty; \text{section-of-}TM\text{-on } (\text{domain } ?c) ?X; ?c \in \text{atlas} \rrbracket \implies \text{smooth-on } (\text{codomain } ?c) (\text{apply-chart-}TM ?c \circ ?X \circ \text{inv-chart } ?c) =$

*diff-fun*  $\infty$  (*charts-submanifold* (*domain*  $?c$ )) ( $\lambda p. c\text{-manifold-point.tangent-chart-fun}$   
*charts*  $\infty$   $?c p$  (*snd* ( $?X p$ ))).

**lemma** *vector-field-smooth-local-iff*:

**assumes**  $k: k = \infty$  **and**  $X: \forall p \in \text{domain } \psi. X p \in \text{tangent-space } p$   
**shows** *smooth-vector-field-local charts*  $\psi X \longleftrightarrow (\forall i \in \text{Basis}. \text{diff-fun-on} (\text{domain } \psi) (\text{vector-field-component } X i))$   
 $(\text{is } \langle ?\text{smooth-vf } X \longleftrightarrow (\forall i \in \text{Basis}. ?\text{diff-component } X i) \rangle)$

**proof** –

A bit of house-keeping. Maybe having both *vector-field-component* and *c-manifold-point.tangent-chart-fun* is redundant, or maybe the second statement below could be a simp rule (probably in the opposite direction).

```

have cpt1: c-manifold-point charts  $k \psi a$  if  $a \in \text{domain } \psi$  for  $a$ 
  apply unfold-locales by (simp-all add: sub-ψ that)
have vfc-tcf:  $(\sum_{i \in \text{Basis}} \text{vector-field-component } X i p *_R i) = c\text{-manifold-point.tangent-chart-fun}$   

charts  $\infty \psi p (X p)$ 
  if  $p \in \text{domain } \psi$  for  $p$ 
  using c-manifold-point.tangent-chart-fun-def[of charts  $k \psi$ ] vector-field-component-def  

cpt1  $k$  that by auto

```

**show** *?thesis*

**proof**

**assume** *asm*:  $? \text{smooth-vf } X$

**then interpret** *smooth-X-local*: *smooth-vector-field-local charts*  $\psi X$   
**unfolding** *smooth-vector-field-local-def*.

Notice we don't even need our Euclidean representation theorem  $\llbracket k = \infty; \text{rough-vector-field } ?X; ?p \in \text{domain } \psi \rrbracket \implies ?X ?p = (\sum_{i \in \text{Basis}} \text{vector-field-component } ?X i ?p *_R \text{coordinate-vector-field } i ?p)$ . The crux is that we already know differentiability works well with components: *diff-fun k charts* ( $\lambda x. \sum_{i \in \text{Basis}} ?f i x *_R i$ ) =  $(\forall i \in \text{Basis}. \text{diff-fun } k \text{ charts } (?f i))$ .

```

have  $\forall i \in \text{Basis}. \text{diff-fun} \infty (\text{charts-submanifold} (\text{domain } \psi)) (\text{vector-field-component } X i)$ 
  apply (subst smooth-X-local.sub-ψ.sub.diff-fun-components-iff[of vector-field-component X, symmetric])
  using smooth-X-local.smooth-in-chart-X[unfolded smooth-X-local.chart-X-def]
  by (auto intro: diff-fun.diff-fun-cong[OF - vfc-tcf[symmetric]])

```

**then show**  $\forall i \in \text{Basis}. ?\text{diff-component } X i$

**using** *diff-fun-onI*[*of domain*  $\psi$  *domain*  $\psi$  *ff for f*] *domain-atlas-subset-carrier*  
 $k$  **by auto**

**next**

**assume** *asm*:  $\forall i \in \text{Basis}. ?\text{diff-component } X i$

**interpret** *local-ψ*: *c-manifold-local charts*  $\infty \psi$  **using** *c-manifold-local-axioms*  
 $k$  **by auto**

```

have 2: diff-fun  $\infty (\text{charts-submanifold} (\text{domain } \psi)) (\lambda p. c\text{-manifold-point.tangent-chart-fun}$   

charts  $\infty \psi p (X p))$ 

```

```

apply (rule diff-fun.diff-fun-cong[OF - vfc-tcf])
  using sub-ψ.sub.diff-fun-components-iff[of vector-field-component X] k asm
diff-fun-on-open
  by auto
  have 1: smooth-on (codomain ψ) ((λp. c-manifold-point.tangent-chart-fun
charts ∞ ψ p (X p)) ∘ inv-chart ψ)
    if x ∈ domain ψ for x
    apply (rule diff-fun.diff-fun-between-chartsD[of - charts-submanifold (domain
ψ)])
    using 2 that by (auto simp: local-ψ.sub-ψ)
  show ?smooth-vf X
    apply unfold-locales
    using 1 X k by (auto intro!: bexI[of - ψ] bexI[of - chart-eucl] simp: local-ψ.sub-ψ
id-comp[unfolded id-def])
  qed
qed

end

lemma (in smooth-vector-field-local) diff-component':
  fixes i :: 'b
  assumes i ∈ Basis
  shows diff-fun-on (domain ψ) (vector-field-component X i)
  using vector-field-smooth-local-iff[OF - vector-field] smooth-vector-field-local-axioms
assms by auto

```

**context** *smooth-manifold begin*

Prop. 8.8 in Lee 2012.

Do we want extensional0 vector fields? It would make the usual simplification for writing addition and scaling by real numbers. So  $\mathfrak{X}$  could be a vector space under  $(+)$  and  $scaleR$ ? Maybe a double problem: \*  $\mathfrak{X} \setminus \{0\}$  is ill-defined when ' $a$ ' is not of the sort *zero*. \* Also I think the function  $0$  always assigns zero, i.e. for a pair it returns the constant  $(0,0)$ . We would want the zero vector field to be  $p \mapsto (p,0)$  instead.

We will need to use locales anyway if we also want to talk about  $\mathfrak{X}$  as a module over *diff-fun-space*, since that is a set already. - Actually, probably not true, because *extensional0* works out quite neatly.

A predicate analogous to *smooth-vector-field-local*, but for the entire manifold.

**definition** *smooth-vector-field* :: 'a vector-field  $\Rightarrow$  bool

**where** *smooth-vector-field*  $X \equiv$  *rough-vector-field*  $X \wedge$  *smooth-from-M-to-TM*  $(\lambda p. (p, X p))$

**lemma** *smooth-vector-field-alt*:

*smooth-vector-field*  $X \equiv (\lambda p. (p, X p)) \in \mathfrak{X} \wedge$  *extensional0 carrier*  $X$

**by** (*auto simp: smooth-vector-field-def smooth-section-of-TM-def section-of-TM-def rough-vector-field-def in-TM-def, linarith*)

— For displaying.

**lemma** *smooth-vector-field*  $X \equiv (\forall p \in \text{carrier}. X p \in \text{tangent-space } p) \wedge$  *smooth-from-M-to-TM*  $(\lambda p. (p, X p)) \wedge$  *extensional0 carrier*  $X$

**by** (*auto simp: smooth-vector-field-def smooth-section-of-TM-def section-of-TM-def rough-vector-field-def in-TM-def, linarith*)

**lemma** *smooth-vector-fieldE [elim]*:

**assumes** *smooth-vector-field*  $X$

**shows**  $\lambda p. X p \in \text{tangent-space } p$  *extensional0 carrier*  $X$  *rough-vector-field*  $X$   
*smooth-from-M-to-TM*  $(\lambda p. (p, X p))$

**using** *rough-vector-fieldE assms unfolding smooth-vector-field-def by auto*

**lemma** *smooth-vector-field-imp-local*:

**assumes** *smooth-vector-field*  $X \psi \in \text{atlas}$

**shows** *smooth-vector-field-local charts*  $\psi X$

**proof** —

**interpret**  $\psi$ : *c-manifold-local charts*  $\propto \psi$  **using** *assms(2)* **by** *unfold-locales*

**have** 1: *section-of-TM*  $(\lambda p. (p, X p))$

**using** *assms(1,2) smooth-section-of-TM-def smooth-vector-field-alt by blast*

**have** 2: *smooth-from-M-to-TM*  $(\lambda p. (p, X p))$

**using** *assms(1) smooth-vector-field-def by auto*

**have** *vec-field-X*:  $\forall p \in \text{domain } \psi. X p \in \text{tangent-space } p$

**using** *assms(1) by (auto simp: smooth-vector-field-def)*

**moreover have** *diff-fun-X*:  $\text{diff-fun} \propto (\text{charts-submanifold } (\text{domain } \psi)) (\lambda p. c\text{-manifold-point.tangent-chart-fun charts} \propto \psi p (X p))$

**using** *apply-chart-TM-chartX diff-from-M-to-TM-chartsD[OF 2 1, of ] section-of-TM-subset[OF 1]*

**using**  $\psi.\psi$  **by** (*simp add: domain-atlas-subset-carrier*)

**ultimately show** ?thesis

**using**  $\psi.c\text{-manifold-local-axioms}$  **by** (*auto simp: smooth-vector-field-local-def smooth-vector-field-local-axioms-def*)

**qed**

**lemma** *smooth-vector-field-imp-local'*:

**fixes**  $X \psi$   $X_\psi$  **defines**  $X_\psi \equiv \text{restrict0 } (\text{domain } \psi) X$

**assumes** *smooth-vector-field*  $X \psi \in \text{atlas}$

**shows** *smooth-vector-field-local charts*  $\psi X_\psi$

**unfolding** *smooth-vector-field-local-def smooth-vector-field-local-axioms-def assms(1)*

**using** *smooth-vector-field-imp-local[OF assms(2-)] apply safe*

**subgoal using** *smooth-vector-field-local.axioms(1) by blast*

```

subgoal using rough-vector-fieldE(1) smooth-vector-field-local.rough-vector-field
by blast
  apply (rule diff-fun.diff-fun-cong[of -- ( $\lambda p. c\text{-manifold-point.tangent-chart-fun}$ 
charts  $\infty \psi p (X p)$ )])
  subgoal by (simp add: assms(2,3) smooth-vector-field-imp-local smooth-vector-field-local.smooth-in-chart)
  subgoal by (metis IntD2 inf-sup-aci(1) open-domain open-imp-submanifold re-
strict0-apply-in submanifold.carrier-submanifold)
  done

```

```

lemma smooth-vector-field-if-local:
  assumes  $\forall p \in \text{carrier}. \exists c \in \text{atlas}. p \in \text{domain } c \wedge \text{smooth-vector-field-local charts}$ 
 $c X \text{extensional0 carrier } X$ 
  shows smooth-vector-field  $X$ 
proof (unfold smooth-vector-field-def diff-from-M-to-TM-def, intro conjI allI impI)
  show vec-field- $X$ : rough-vector-field  $X$ 
  by (metis assms(1,2) rough-vector-field-def smooth-vector-field-local.vector-field)
  fix  $p$  assume  $p \in \text{carrier}$ 
  then obtain  $c$  where  $c: c \in \text{atlas} \text{ and } p: p \in \text{domain } c \text{ and } X: \text{smooth-vector-field-local}$ 
  charts  $c X$ 
    using assms(1) by blast
    interpret  $X: \text{smooth-vector-field-local charts } c X$  using  $X$  .
    have im-domain:  $(\lambda p. (p, X p))` \text{domain } c \subseteq \text{domain-TM } c$ 
    using rough-vector-fieldE[OF vec-field- $X$ ] in-carrier-atlasI[OF  $c$ ] by (auto simp:
  domain-TM-def)
    have smooth- $X$ : smooth-on (codomain  $c$ ) (apply-chart-TM  $c \circ (\lambda p. (p, X p)) \circ$ 
inv-chart  $c$ )
      using  $X.\text{apply-chart-TM-chart-}X X.\text{smooth-in-chart-}X$  by blast
      show  $\exists c \in \text{atlas}. p \in \text{domain } c \wedge (\lambda p. (p, X p))` \text{domain } c \subseteq \text{domain-TM } c \wedge$ 
        smooth-on (codomain  $c$ ) (apply-chart-TM  $c \circ (\lambda p. (p, X p)) \circ \text{inv-chart } c$ )
      using  $c p$  im-domain smooth- $X$  by blast
  qed

```

```

lemma smooth-vector-field-iff-local:
  assumes extensional0 carrier  $X$ 
  shows  $(\forall c \in \text{atlas}. \text{smooth-vector-field-local charts } c X) \longleftrightarrow \text{smooth-vector-field}$ 
 $X$ 
  using smooth-vector-field-imp-local smooth-vector-field-if-local by (meson assms
atlasE)

```

— For display mostly.

```

lemma (in smooth-manifold) smooth-vector-field-local:
  assumes  $c \in \text{atlas} \forall p \in \text{domain } c. X p \in \text{tangent-space } p$ 
  shows smooth-vector-field-local charts  $c X \longleftrightarrow$ 
  smooth-on (codomain  $c$ ) (apply-chart-TM  $c \circ (\lambda p. (p, X p)) \circ \text{inv-chart } c$ )

```

```

proof -
  interpret c: submanifold charts  $\infty$  domain c
    using open-domain by unfold-locales simp
  let ?c1 =  $\lambda x. c$  (inv-chart c x)
  let ?c2 =  $\lambda x. c\text{-manifold-point.tangent-chart-fun charts} \infty c$  (inv-chart c x) (X
    (inv-chart c x))
  {
    fix x assume smoothTM: smooth-on (codomain c) ( $\lambda x. (?c1 x, ?c2 x)$ ) and x:
    x  $\in$  c.sub.carrier
    have loc-simp: snd o ( $\lambda x. (?c1 x, ?c2 x)$ ) = ?c2 by auto
    have x  $\in$  domain c  $\wedge$  c  $\in$  c.sub.atlas  $\wedge$  smooth-on (codomain c) ?c2
    using x apply (simp add: assms(1) atlas-is-atlas c.sub.maximal-atlas c.sub.manifold-atlasE
      domain-atlas-subset-carrier)
    apply (subst loc-simp[symmetric, unfolded o-def])
    apply (rule smooth-on-snd[of  $\infty$ , OF - open-codomain[of c]])
    using smoothTM .
  }
  thus ?thesis
  unfolding smooth-vector-field-local-def smooth-vector-field-local-axioms-def
  apply (intro iffI)
  using smooth-vector-field-local.apply-chart-TM-chart-X smooth-vector-field-local.intro
  smooth-vector-field-local.smooth-in-chart-X smooth-vector-field-local-axioms-def ap-
  ply blast
  apply (simp add: assms c-manifold-axioms c-manifold-local.intro c-manifold-local-axioms.intro)
  apply (rule c-manifold.diff-funI[OF charts-submanifold, OF open-domain[of
    c]])
  unfolding apply-chart-TM-def apply (simp add: o-def)
  apply (rule bexI[of - c c-manifold.atlas (charts-submanifold (domain c))  $\infty$ ])
  by blast+
qed

lemma (in c-manifold) diff-fun-deriv-chart':
  fixes i::'b
  assumes c:c $\in$ atlas and f:diff-fun-on (domain c) f and k: k>0
  shows diff-fun (k-1) (charts-submanifold (domain c)) ( $\lambda x. \text{frechet-derivative } (f$ 
  o inv-chart c) (at (c x)) i)
proof -
  have local-simps [simp]: k - 1 + 1 = k
  using k by (metis add.commute add-diff-assoc-enat add-diff-cancel-enat ileI1
    infinity-ne-i1 one-eSuc)
  interpret c1: c-manifold-local charts k-1 c
  apply unfold-locales
  apply (metis c-manifold-def c-manifold-order-le le-iff-add local-simps)

```

```

by (metis c in-atlas-order-le le-iff-add local-simps)
interpret f': diff-fun k charts-submanifold (domain c) f
  using diff-fun-on-open[of domain c f] f by simp
  { fix x and j::'b assume x: x∈domain c x∈carrier
    have (k-1)-smooth-on (codomain c) (λy. frechet-derivative (f ∘ (inv-chart c)))
      (at y) j
      apply (rule derivative-is-smooth'[of - codomain c], simp)
      apply (rule f'.diff-fun-between-chartsD)
      using c c-manifold-local.sub-ψ c-manifold-point c-manifold-point.axioms(1) k
      x(1) by blast+
      then have (k-1)-smooth-on (codomain c) (λx. frechet-derivative (λx. f (inv-chart
      c x))) (at (c (inv-chart c x))) j)
      by (auto intro: smooth-on-cong simp: o-def) }
    then show ?thesis
    by (auto intro!: c1.sub-ψ.sub.diff-funI bexI[of - c] simp: o-def c1.sub-ψ)
qed

lemma diff-fun-deriv-chart:
  fixes i::'b
  assumes c:c∈atlas and f:diff-fun-on (domain c) f
  shows diff-fun ∞ (charts-submanifold (domain c)) (λx. frechet-derivative (f ∘
  inv-chart c) (at (c x)) i)
  using diff-fun-deriv-chart'[OF assms] by auto

lemma (in c-manifolds) diff-localI2: diff k charts1 charts2 f
  if ∀ x∈src.carrier. (∃ U. diff k (src.charts-submanifold U) charts2 f ∧ open U ∧
  x ∈ U)
  using diff-localI that by metis

```

### 5.3 Smooth vector fields as maps $C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ .

Proposition 8.14 in Lee 2012.

```

lemma vector-field-smooth-iff:
  assumes X: rough-vector-field X
  shows smooth-vector-field X ↔ (∀ f∈diff-fun-space. (X " f) ∈ diff-fun-space)
    (is ⟨?LHS ↔ ?RHS1⟩)
    and smooth-vector-field X ↔ (∀ U f. open U ∧ U ⊆ carrier ∧ f∈(c-manifold.diff-fun-space
    (charts-submanifold U) ∞) →
    diff-fun ∞ (charts-submanifold U))
    (vec-field-apply-fun-in-at X f U))
    (is ⟨?LHS ↔ ?RHS2⟩)
proof -

```

Prove a chain of implications  $\text{smooth-vector-field } X \longrightarrow (\forall f \in \text{diff-fun-space}.$   
 $(\lambda p. X p f) \in \text{diff-fun-space}) \longrightarrow (\forall U f. \text{open } U \wedge U \subseteq \text{carrier} \wedge f \in$   
 $c\text{-manifold}.diff\text{-fun-space}(\text{charts-submanifold } U) \infty \longrightarrow \text{diff-fun} \infty (\text{charts-submanifold } U)$   
 $(\text{vec-field-apply-fun-in-at } X f U)) \longrightarrow \text{smooth-vector-field } X$  to conclude  
both equivalences, following Lee 2012, pp. 180–181.

```

have ?RHS1 if smooth-X: ?LHS
proof
fix f assume f: f ∈ diff-fun-space
{ fix p assume p: p ∈ carrier
obtain c where c: p ∈ domain c c ∈ atlas using atlasE p by blast
interpret p: c-manifold-point charts ∞ c p by (simp add: p c-manifold-point
c)
{ fix x assume x: x ∈ domain c
interpret x: c-manifold-point charts ∞ c x by (simp add: x c-manifold-point)
have Xxf-1: X x f = (∑ i ∈ Basis. p.vector-field-component X i x *R
p.coordinate-vector-field i x) f
by (simp only: p.vector-field-local-representation[OF - X x])
then have Xxf-2: X x f = (∑ i ∈ Basis. p.vector-field-component X i x *R
(frechet-derivative (f ∘ inv-chart c) (at (c x)) i))
using x.coordinate-vector-apply-in[OF - f] by (simp add: sum-apply
p.coordinate-vector-field-def)
}
then have Xxf: X x f = (∑ i ∈ Basis. p.vector-field-component X i x *R
frechet-derivative (f ∘ inv-chart c) (at (c x)) i)
if x ∈ p.sub-ψ.sub.carrier for x using that by simp
have diff-components-X: (∀ i ∈ Basis. diff-fun-on (domain c) (p.vector-field-component
X i))
using p.vector-field-smooth-local-iff rough-vector-field-subset[OF X] smooth-X
domain-atlas-subset-carrier p.ψ smooth-vector-field-imp-local
by (meson smooth-vector-field-local.diff-component')
have diff-fun-on (domain c) f
using diff-fun.diff-fun-submanifold[OF diff-fun-spaceD[OF f]]
by (simp add: diff-fun-on-open domain-atlas-subset-carrier)
note diff-fun-deriv-chart-f = diff-fun-deriv-chart[OF c(2) this]
have diff-Xf: diff-fun ∞ (charts-submanifold (domain c)) (X" f)
apply (rule diff-fun.diff-fun-cong[OF - Xxf[symmetric]])
apply (rule p.sub-ψ.sub.diff-fun-sum)
apply (rule p.sub-ψ.sub.diff-fun-scaleR)
using diff-components-X diff-fun-deriv-chart-f by (simp-all add: diff-fun-on-open)
have smooth-on (codomain c) ((X" f) ∘ inv-chart c)
using diff-Xf apply (rule diff-fun.diff-fun-between-chartsD)
using p.sub-ψ c(1) by (simp, blast)
hence ∃ c1 ∈ atlas. p ∈ domain c1 ∧ ∞-smooth-on (codomain c1) ((X" f) ∘
inv-chart c1)
using c by blast }
moreover have extensional0 carrier (X " f)
using rough-vector-fieldE(2)[OF X] by (simp add: extensional0-def)
ultimately show (X " f) ∈ diff-fun-space
unfolding diff-fun-space-def by (auto intro: diff-funI)
qed

moreover have ?RHS2 if ?RHS1
proof (safe)
fix U f

```

```

assume  $U: \text{open } U \subseteq \text{carrier}$ 
      and  $f: f \in c\text{-manifold}.diff\text{-fun-space} (\text{charts-submanifold } U) \infty$ 
interpret  $U: \text{submanifold charts } \infty U$  using  $U(1)$  by unfold-locales simp

show  $\text{diff-fun } \infty (\text{charts-submanifold } U) (\text{vec-field-apply-fun-in-at } X f U)$ 
proof (intro  $U.\text{sub.manifold-eucl.diff-localI2 ballI}$ )
  fix  $x$  assume  $x: x \in U.\text{sub.carrier}$ 
  from  $U.\text{sub.precompact-neighborhoodE}[OF \text{ this}]$ 
  obtain  $C$  where  $C: x \in C \text{ open } C \text{ compact } (\text{closure } C) \subseteq C \subseteq U.\text{sub.carrier}$ .
  from  $U.\text{extension-lemma-submanifoldE}[OF U.\text{sub.diff-fun-spaceD}[OF f] \text{ closed-closure } C(4)]$ 
  obtain  $f'$  where  $f': \text{diff-fun } \infty \text{charts } f' (\bigwedge x. x \in \text{closure } C \implies f' x = f x)$ 
    csupport-on carrier  $f' \cap \text{carrier} \subseteq U.\text{sub.carrier}$  by blast

  let  $?f' = \text{restrict0 } U f'$ 
  have 1:  $?f' \in \text{diff-fun-space}$ 
    unfolding diff-fun-space-def apply safe
    subgoal
      apply (rule diff-fun.diff-fun-cong[OF  $f'(1)$ ])
      using  $f'(2,3)$  by (metis (no-types) IntE IntI U.carrier-submanifold
        not-in-csupportD restrict0-def subset-iff)
    subgoal
      using  $U(2)$  extensional0-subset by blast
    done

  show  $\exists C. \text{diff } \infty (U.\text{sub.charts-submanifold } C) \text{ charts-eucl } (\text{vec-field-apply-fun-in-at } X f U) \wedge$ 
    open  $C \wedge x \in C$ 
  proof (intro exI conjI)
    have carrier-UsubC [simp]: manifold.carrier ( $U.\text{sub.charts-submanifold } C$ )  $= C$ 
    by (metis C(2,4) Int-absorb2 U.sub.open-imp-submanifold closure-subset
      submanifold.carrier-submanifold subset-trans)
    have diff-fun  $\infty (U.\text{sub.charts-submanifold } C) (\text{vec-field-apply-fun-in-at } X f U)$ 
    proof (rule diff-fun.diff-fun-cong[where  $f=X"??f?]$ )
      have diff-fun  $\infty \text{charts } (\lambda p. X p ?f')$  using 1 that by (simp add:
        diff-fun-spaceD)
        from diff-fun.diff-fun-submanifold[OF this]
        show diff-fun  $\infty (U.\text{sub.charts-submanifold } C) (\lambda p. X p ?f')$ 
        by (simp add: C(2) U.open-submanifold charts-submanifold-Int open-Int)
        show  $X x (\text{restrict0 } U f') = \text{vec-field-apply-fun-in-at } X f U x$ 
          if  $x \in \text{manifold.carrier } (U.\text{sub.charts-submanifold } C)$  for  $x$ 
        proof -
          have  $X p ?f' = \text{vec-field-apply-fun-in-at } X f U p$  if  $p: p \in C$  for  $p$ 
          using U.vec-field-apply-fun-in-open[OF U(2) C(2) - - 1 f - X, symmetric]
            using C p ff'(2) C(4) by (auto simp: subset-iff)
            thus ?thesis using that by simp
    
```

```

qed
qed
thus  $\text{diff} \in (U.\text{sub.charts-submanifold } C) \text{ charts-eucl } (\text{vec-field-apply-fun-in-at}$ 
 $X f U)$ 
  unfolding  $\text{diff-fun-def}$  .
qed (simp-all add:  $C(1,2)$ )
qed
qed

moreover have ?LHS if  $\text{diff-apply-fun}: ?RHS2$ 
proof (intro smooth-vector-field-if-local ballI)
fix  $p$  assume  $p: p \in \text{carrier}$ 
obtain  $c$  where  $c: c \in \text{atlas } p \in \text{domain } c$  using  $p \text{ atlasE by blast}$ 
then interpret  $p: c\text{-manifold-point charts} \infty c p$  using  $c\text{-manifold-point}$  by
blast

have  $\text{vf-smooth-local-iff}: \text{smooth-vector-field-local charts } c X$ 
if ( $\forall i \in \text{Basis}. \text{diff-fun-on } (\text{domain } c) (p.\text{vector-field-component } X i)$ )
  using  $p.\text{vector-field-smooth-local-iff rough-vector-field-subset[OF } X]$ 
  by (meson assms in-carrier-atlasI  $p.\psi$  rough-vector-field-def that)
have  $\text{smooth-vector-field-local charts } c X$ 
proof (rule vf-smooth-local-iff, intro ballI)
fix  $i::'b$  assume  $i: i \in \text{Basis}$ 

— Add simp rules to make  $\text{diff-fun-on}$  equivalent to  $\text{diff-fun}$  on domain  $c$ .
note local-simps[simp] = diff-fun-on-open domain-atlas-subset-carrier

define  $\text{apply-}X\text{-in-}c\text{-at } (\langle X_c \rangle \dashrightarrow [80,80])$ 
  where [simp]:  $\text{apply-}X\text{-in-}c\text{-at} \equiv \lambda f q. \text{vec-field-apply-fun-in-at } X f (\text{domain}$ 
 $c) q$ 

have  $(X \upharpoonright (\text{domain } c)) q (p.\text{local-coord-at } p i) = p.\text{vector-field-component } X i q$ 
  if  $q \in \text{domain } c$  for  $q$ 
proof -
interpret  $q: c\text{-manifold-point charts} \infty c q$  using that  $c$  by unfold-locales
simp-all
have  $Xq: X q \in q.T_p M$  using rough-vector-fieldE[OF  $X$ ] that  $c(1)$  by blast
show ?thesis
  using vec-field-apply-fun-alt[OF open-domain that] apply (simp add:
 $p.\text{local-coord-diff-fun } X)$ 
  using restrict0-apply-in[OF  $Xq$ ]
  using  $p.\text{vector-apply-coord-at } p.p$  that  $q.\text{component-function-apply-in-} T_p M$ 
  by (smt (verit, best)  $Xq$  assms  $c\text{-manifold-local.vec-field-apply-fun-eq-component}$ 
 $p.c\text{-manifold-local-axioms } p.\text{local-coord-diff-fun}$ )
qed
moreover have  $\text{diff-fun-on } (\text{domain } c) (\lambda q. X_c \upharpoonright (p.\text{local-coord-at } p i) q)$ 
  using diff-apply-fun by (simp add:  $p.\text{local-coord-diff-fun}$ )

ultimately show  $\text{diff-fun-on } (\text{domain } c) (p.\text{vector-field-component } X i)$ 

```

```

    by (simp add: diff-fun-on-def)
qed
with c show  $\exists c \in atlas. p \in domain c \wedge smooth\text{-vector\text{-}field-local charts } c X$  by
blast
qed (simp add: assms rough-vector-fieldE(2))

```

```

ultimately show ?LHS  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?RHS1 ?LHS  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?RHS2 by auto
qed

```

```

lemma vector-field-smooth-iff':
fixes C-inf
defines  $\bigwedge U. C\text{-inf } U \equiv c\text{-manifold.diff-fun-space (charts-submanifold } U) \cong$ 
assumes  $X: rough\text{-vector\text{-}field } X$ 
shows smooth-vector-field  $X \longleftrightarrow (\forall f \in diff\text{-fun\text{-}space}. (X " f) \in diff\text{-fun\text{-}space})$ 
and smooth-vector-field  $X \longleftrightarrow (\forall U f. open U \wedge U \subseteq carrier \wedge f \in C\text{-inf } U$ 
 $\longrightarrow$ 
 $diff\text{-fun-on } U (X|_U " f))$ 
proof -
show smooth-vector-field  $X = (\forall U f. open U \wedge U \subseteq carrier \wedge f \in C\text{-inf } U \longrightarrow$ 
diff-fun-on  $U (\lambda p. X|_U p f))$ 
apply (simp add: diff-fun-on-open assms(1))
using vector-field-smooth-iff(2)[OF assms(2-)]
by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) Un-Int-eq(4) diff-fun.cong open-imp-submanifold
restrict0-apply-in submanifold.carrier-submanifold sup.order-iff)
qed (simp add: vector-field-smooth-iff(1)[OF assms(2-)])

```

```

lemma smooth-vf-diff-fun-space:
assumes  $X: smooth\text{-vector\text{-}field } X$ 
and  $f: f \in diff\text{-fun\text{-}space}$ 
shows  $X" f \in diff\text{-fun\text{-}space}$ 
using vector-field-smooth-iff(1) smooth-vector-field-def X f rough-vector-fieldE
by (auto simp: diff-fun-space-def extensional0-def)

```

```
end
```

## 5.4 Smooth vector fields are derivations

```
context c-manifold begin
```

— Generalising *is-derivation* (which might have been called *is-derivation-at*) over the carrier set. Relative to that definition, we also add a condition on the codomain.

```
definition is-derivation-on :: (('a ⇒ real) ⇒ ('a ⇒ real)) ⇒ bool where
```

```
is-derivation-on D ≡ real-linear-on diff-fun-space diff-fun-space D ∧
(∀ f ∈ diff-fun-space. ∀ g ∈ diff-fun-space. D (f * g) = f * (D g) +
g * (D f)) ∧
D ` diff-fun-space ⊆ diff-fun-space
```

```

lemma vec-field-linear-on:
  assumes X: rough-vector-field X
    and b: b1 ∈ diff-fun-space b2 ∈ diff-fun-space
  shows X " (b1+b2) = (X"b1 + X"b2) X " (r *R b1) = (r *R (X"b1))
  using tangent-space-linear-on[OF rough-vector-fieldE(1)[OF X]]
  by (auto simp: linear-on-def module-hom-on-def module-hom-on-axioms-def assms(2-))

lemma linear-on-vec-field:
  assumes rough-vector-field X
  shows real-linear-on diff-fun-space diff-fun-space ((") X)
  using vec-field-linear-on[OF assms] by (unfold-locales, auto)

lemma product-rule-vf:
  assumes X: rough-vector-field X
    and f ∈ diff-fun-space g ∈ diff-fun-space
  shows X " (f*g) = f * (X " g) + g * (X " f)
  using tangent-space-derivation rough-vector-fieldE(1) assms by auto

end

context smooth-manifold begin

lemma vector-field-is-derivation:
  assumes X: smooth-vector-field X
  shows is-derivation-on (λf. X"f)
  using linear-on-vec-field product-rule-vf vector-field-smooth-iff(1)
  using smooth-vector-field-def assms unfolding is-derivation-on-def by auto

```

## 5.5 Derivations are smooth vector fields

```

lemma extensional-derivation-is-smooth-vector-field:
  fixes D :: ('a⇒real) ⇒ ('a⇒real) and X :: 'a⇒('a⇒real) ⇒ real
  defines [simp]: X ≡ λp. λf. D f p
  assumes der-D: is-derivation-on D
    and ext-X: extensional0 carrier X
    and ext-D: extensional0 diff-fun-space D
  shows smooth-vector-field X
proof -
  have rough-vf-X: rough-vector-field X
  proof (unfold rough-vector-field-def tangent-space-def is-derivation-def, safe)
    fix p assume p: p∈carrier
    show extensional0 diff-fun-space (λf. X p f)
      by (simp, metis (mono-tags, lifting) ext-D extensional0-def zero-fun-apply)
    show real-linear-on diff-fun-space UNIV (λf. X p f)
      using der-D[unfolded is-derivation-on-def]
      unfolding linear-on-def module-hom-on-def module-hom-on-axioms-def
      using manifold-eucl.diff-fun-space.m2.module-on-axioms by auto
  qed

```

```

fix f g assume f ∈ diff-fun-space g ∈ diff-fun-space
thus X p (f * g) = f p * X p g + g p * X p f
  using der-D[unfolded is-derivation-on-def] by simp
qed (rule ext-X)
show smooth-vector-field X
  unfolding vector-field-smooth-iff(1)[OF rough-vf-X]
  using der-D[unfolded is-derivation-on-def] diff-fun-spaceD X-def by blast
qed

lemma extensional-derivation-is-smooth-vector-field':
fixes D :: ('a⇒real) ⇒ ('a⇒real)
assumes der-D: is-derivation-on D
  and ext-X: extensional0 carrier (λp f. D f p)
  and ext-D: extensional0 diff-fun-space D
obtains X where smooth-vector-field X and ∀f∈diff-fun-space. D f = X" f
using extensional-derivation-is-smooth-vector-field[OF assms] by auto

theorem smooth-vector-field-iff-derivation:
fixes extensional-derivation defines ∧D. extensional-derivation D ≡
  is-derivation-on D ∧ extensional0 carrier (λp f. D f p) ∧ extensional0 diff-fun-space
D
shows smooth-vector-field X ⇒ extensional-derivation (λf. X " f)
  and extensional-derivation D ⇒ smooth-vector-field (λp f. D f p)
unfolding assms(1) using vector-field-is-derivation extensional-derivation-is-smooth-vector-field
by (simp-all add: ext0-vec-field-apply-fun smooth-vector-fieldE(2,3))

end

end

```

## 6 The Lie bracket of smooth vector fields

```

theory Manifold-Lie-Bracket
imports
  Smooth-Vector-Fields
  Algebra-On
begin

definition lie-bracket-of-smooth-vector-fields :: 'a vector-field ⇒ 'a vector-field ⇒
'a vector-field
  where lie-bracket-of-smooth-vector-fields X Y ≡ λp::'a. λf::'a⇒real. X p (Y " f) − Y p (X " f)

notation lie-bracket-of-smooth-vector-fields (⟨[-;-]⟩ [65,65])

lemma lie-bracket-def: [X;Y] p f = X p (Y" f) − Y p (X" f)
  unfolding lie-bracket-of-smooth-vector-fields-def by simp

context c-manifold begin

```

## 6.1 General lemmas

```

lemma is-derivation-uminus: is-derivation ( $-x$ )  $p$  if  $x$ : is-derivation  $x$   $p$ 
  using is-derivation-scaleR[ $\text{OF } x, \text{ of } -1$ ] by simp

lemma is-derivation-minus: is-derivation  $(x - y)$   $p$ 
  if  $x$ : is-derivation  $x$   $p$  and  $y$ : is-derivation  $y$   $p$ 
  using is-derivation-add[ $\text{OF } x \text{ is-derivation-uminus } [\text{OF } y]$ ] by simp

lemma diff-fun-space-minus:  $f - g \in \text{diff-fun-space}$ 
  if  $f \in \text{diff-fun-space}$   $g \in \text{diff-fun-space}$ 
  by (simp add: diff-fun-space.m1.subspace-UNIV diff-fun-space.m1.subspace-diff
that(1) that(2))

lemma rough-vector-field-add:
  assumes rough-vector-field  $X$  rough-vector-field  $Y$ 
  shows rough-vector-field  $(X + Y)$ 
  using assms rough-vector-field-def tangent-space.mem-add by force

abbreviation (input) scaleR-vf  $\equiv$  scaleR :: real  $\Rightarrow$  'a vector-field  $\Rightarrow$  'a vector-field

lemma scaleR-vf: scaleR-vf  $= (\lambda r X p f. r * X p f)$  by fastforce

lemma rough-vector-field-scaleR:
  assumes rough-vector-field  $X$ 
  shows rough-vector-field  $(\text{scaleR-vf } a X)$ 
  using assms tangent-space.mem-scale by (simp add: rough-vector-field-def)

```

## 6.2 Properties of the Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{X}$

```

lemma lie-bracket-antisym:  $[X; Y] = -[Y; X]$ 
  unfoldng lie-bracket-def by fastforce

lemma ext0-lie-bracket:
  shows extensional0 carrier  $X \implies$  extensional0 carrier  $Y \implies$  extensional0 carrier
 $[X; Y]$ 
  and rough-vector-field  $X \implies$  rough-vector-field  $Y \implies$  extensional0 diff-fun-space
(vec-field-apply-fun  $[X; Y]$ )
proof -
  show extensional0 carrier  $X \implies$  extensional0 carrier  $Y \implies$  extensional0 carrier
 $[X; Y]$ 
  unfoldng lie-bracket-def extensional0-def by auto
  assume asm: rough-vector-field  $X$  rough-vector-field  $Y$ 
  then show extensional0 diff-fun-space (vec-field-apply-fun  $[X; Y]$ )
  proof — This proof was fiddly to get into a form where methods would not
time out.

note vf-0 = linear-on.linear-0[ $\text{OF linear-on-vec-field}$ ]
have  $\forall p. (X " 0) p - (Y " 0) p = 0$ 

```

```

using vf-0[OF asm(1)] vf-0[OF asm(2)] by (metis diff-0-right zero-fun-apply)
then have 0: ( $\lambda p. (X " 0) p - (Y " 0) p$ ) = 0 by auto
thus ?thesis
  using ext0-vec-field-apply-fun asm unfolding lie-bracket-def extensional0-def
by presburger
qed
qed

end

```

**context** smooth-manifold **begin**

A nice computational proof that I try to keep close-ish to Lee's original pen-and-paper [?, p. 186].

```

lemma product-rule-lie-bracket:
  assumes X: smooth-vector-field X
  and Y: smooth-vector-field Y
  and diff-funs:  $f \in \text{diff-fun-space}$   $g \in \text{diff-fun-space}$ 
  shows  $[X; Y] " (f * g) = f * [X; Y] " g + g * [X; Y] " f$ 
proof -
  have rough-vf[simp]: rough-vector-field X rough-vector-field Y
  using smooth-vector-field-def assms by auto
  interpret linear-X: linear-on diff-fun-space diff-fun-space scaleR scaleR vec-field-apply-fun
X
  using linear-on-vec-field[OF rough-vf(1)] .
  interpret linear-Y: linear-on diff-fun-space diff-fun-space scaleR scaleR vec-field-apply-fun
Y
  using linear-on-vec-field[OF rough-vf(2)] .

note [simp] = diff-funs diff-fun-space.m1.mem-add diff-fun-space-times
have local-simps [simp]:
   $\bigwedge f. f \in \text{diff-fun-space} \implies X " f \in \text{diff-fun-space}$ 
   $\bigwedge f. f \in \text{diff-fun-space} \implies Y " f \in \text{diff-fun-space}$ 
  using X Y by (simp-all add: vector-field-smooth-iff(1))

have  $([X; Y] " (f * g)) = X " (Y " (f * g)) - Y " (X " (f * g))$ 
  unfolding lie-bracket-def by (simp add: fun-diff-def)
also have ... =  $X " (f * Y " g + g * Y " f) - Y " (f * X " g + g * X " f)$ 
  using rough-vf diff-funs product-rule-vf by presburger
also have ... =  $X " (f * Y " g) + X " (g * Y " f) - Y " (f * X " g) - Y " (g * X " f)$ 
  — Extra step to invoke linearity of both X and Y.
  using linear-X.add linear-Y.add by simp
also have ... =  $(f * X " (Y " g)) + (g * X " (Y " f)) - (f * Y " (X " g)) - (g * Y " (X " f))$ 
  — No separate step for term cancellation.
  using product-rule-vf by auto
finally show ?thesis
  by (simp add: lie-bracket-def fun-diff-def add-diff-eq diff-add-eq plus-fun-def

```

```

vector-space-over-itself.scale-right-diff-distrib)
qed

lemma lie-bracket-is-derivation-on:
assumes X: smooth-vector-field X
and Y: smooth-vector-field Y
shows is-derivation-on ( $\lambda f. [X; Y] " f$ )
proof (unfold is-derivation-on-def, safe)
have 0: ( $\lambda p. Y p (\lambda p. X p f)$ )  $\in$  diff-fun-space ( $\lambda p. X p (\lambda p. Y p f)$ )  $\in$ 
diff-fun-space
if f: f  $\in$  diff-fun-space for f
using smooth-vf-diff-fun-space[ $OF Y$  smooth-vf-diff-fun-space[ $OF X f$ ]]
using smooth-vf-diff-fun-space[ $OF X$  smooth-vf-diff-fun-space[ $OF Y f$ ]] by simp-all
show 1:  $[X; Y] " f \in$  diff-fun-space if f: f  $\in$  diff-fun-space for f
using 0[ $OF f$ ] diff-fun-space-minus by (simp add: fun-diff-def lie-bracket-def)
thus 2:  $[X; Y] " (f*g) = f * ([X; Y]"g) + g * ([X; Y]"f)$ 
if f: f  $\in$  diff-fun-space and g: g  $\in$  diff-fun-space for f g
using product-rule-lie-bracket[ $OF X Y f g$ ] by simp
show 3: linear-on diff-fun-space diff-fun-space scaleR scaleR ( $\lambda f. [X; Y] " f$ )
proof -
have lin-X: real-linear-on diff-fun-space diff-fun-space ( $\lambda f. X" f$ )
using linear-on-vec-field X[unfolded smooth-vector-field-def] by simp
have lin-Y: real-linear-on diff-fun-space diff-fun-space ( $\lambda f. Y" f$ )
using linear-on-vec-field Y[unfolded smooth-vector-field-def] by simp
have lin-XY: real-linear-on diff-fun-space diff-fun-space ((vec-field-apply-fun X)
o (vec-field-apply-fun Y))
using smooth-vf-diff-fun-space[ $OF Y$ ] by (auto intro: linear-on-compose[ $OF$ 
lin-Y lin-X])
have lin-YX: real-linear-on diff-fun-space diff-fun-space ((vec-field-apply-fun Y)
o (vec-field-apply-fun X))
using smooth-vf-diff-fun-space[ $OF X$ ] by (auto intro: linear-on-compose[ $OF$ 
lin-X lin-Y])
have real-linear-on diff-fun-space diff-fun-space ( $\lambda x. (X " (Y " x)) - (Y " (X$ 
" x)))
apply (intro vector-space-pair-on.linear-compose-sub[ $OF \dots lin-XY lin-YX$ ,
simplified])
using linear-on.vector-space-pair-on[ $OF lin-X$ ] 0 by auto
then show ?thesis by (simp add: lie-bracket-def fun-diff-def)
qed
qed

```

This is Lee's [?, Lemma 8.25].

```

lemma lie-bracket-closed:
assumes X: smooth-vector-field X
and Y: smooth-vector-field Y
shows smooth-vector-field [X; Y]
using extensional-derivation-is-smooth-vector-field lie-bracket-is-derivation-on
ext0-lie-bracket assms smooth-vector-field-def rough-vector-fieldE(2) by auto

```

```

lemma
  assumes X: smooth-vector-field X
  and Y: smooth-vector-field Y
  and Z: smooth-vector-field Z
  shows lie-bracket-add-left: [X+Y;Z] = [X;Z] + [Y;Z]
  and lie-bracket-add-right: [X;Y+Z] = ([X;Y] + [X;Z])
proof -
  have distrib-left: [X+Y;Z] = ([X;Z] + [Y;Z])
  if X: smooth-vector-field X
    and Y: smooth-vector-field Y
    and Z: smooth-vector-field Z
    for X Y Z
    proof (standard+)
      fix p f
      show [X+Y;Z] p f = ([X;Z] + [Y;Z]) p f
      proof (cases p ∈ carrier ∧ f ∈ diff-fun-space)

```

We deal with the cases outside our interest off the bat. This is just taking care of *extensional0* in both (point and function) arguments of the vector field.

```

case False
then show ?thesis
apply (cases p ∈ carrier, simp-all)
subgoal
  using False X Y Z smooth-vector-field-def rough-vector-field-add[of X Y]
  using extensional0-outside[OF - ext0-lie-bracket(2)]
  extensional0-add[OF smooth-vector-fieldE(2)[OF X] smooth-vector-fieldE(2)[OF
Y]]
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) zero-fun-apply)
  using X Y Z smooth-vector-fieldE(2) extensional0-outside[OF - ext0-lie-bracket(1)]
by force
next

```

The rest of this proof is just linearity of the tangent vector  $Z p$ .

```

case True hence p: p ∈ carrier and f: f ∈ diff-fun-space by simp+
interpret linZ: linear-on diff-fun-space UNIV scaleR scaleR Z p
  using tangent-spaceD(1)[OF smooth-vector-fieldE(1)[OF Z]] by blast
show ?thesis
  using linZ.add X Y smooth-vf-diff-fun-space f by (auto simp: lie-bracket-def
plus-fun-def)
  qed
qed
thus [X+Y;Z] = [X;Z] + [Y;Z] using assms by blast
show [X;Y+Z] = ([X;Y] + [X;Z])
  using distrib-left[OF Y Z X] lie-bracket-antisym by (metis minus-add-distrib)
qed

```

```

lemma
  assumes  $X$ : smooth-vector-field  $X$ 
    and  $Y$ : smooth-vector-field  $Y$ 
  shows lie-bracket-scale-left:  $[scaleR\text{-}vf\ a\ X; Y] = scaleR\text{-}vf\ a\ [X; Y]$ 
    and lie-bracket-scale-right:  $[X; scaleR\text{-}vf\ a\ Y] = scaleR\text{-}vf\ a\ [X; Y]$ 
proof –

```

We proceed as above, dealing with extensionality before using an existing linearity result.

```

have scaleR-vf-left:  $[scaleR\text{-}vf\ a\ X; Y] = scaleR\text{-}vf\ a\ [X; Y]$ 
  if  $X$ : smooth-vector-field  $X$ 
    and  $Y$ : smooth-vector-field  $Y$ 
    for  $X\ Y\ a$ 
  proof (standard+)
    fix  $p\ f$ 
    show  $[scaleR\text{-}vf\ a\ X; Y]\ p\ f = scaleR\text{-}vf\ a\ [X; Y]\ p\ f$ 
    proof (cases  $p \in carrier \wedge f \in diff\text{-}fun\text{-}space$ )
      case False
      then show ?thesis
        apply (cases  $p \in carrier$ )
        subgoal
          using False  $X\ Y$  smooth-vector-field-def rough-vector-field-scaleR
          using extensional0-outside[ $OF - ext0\text{-}lie\text{-}bracket(2)$ ] extensional0-scaleR
          by (smt (verit, del-insts) scaleR-cancel-right scaleR-fun-beta scaleR-zero-left)
          using smooth-vector-fieldE(2)  $X\ Y$  extensional0-outside[ $OF - ext0\text{-}lie\text{-}bracket(1)$ ]
    by simp
    next
      case True hence  $f: f \in diff\text{-}fun\text{-}space$  by simp+
      interpret linY: linear-on diff-fun-space UNIV scaleR scaleR Y p
        using tangent-spaceD(1)[ $OF$  smooth-vector-fieldE(1)[ $OF\ Y$ ]] by blast
      show ?thesis
        using linY.scale[ $OF$  smooth-vf-diff-fun-space,  $OF\ X\ f$ ]
        by (auto simp: lie-bracket-def scaleR-fun-def right-diff-distrib)
    qed
    qed
  thus  $[scaleR\text{-}vf\ a\ X; Y] = scaleR\text{-}vf\ a\ [X; Y]$  by (simp add:  $X\ Y$ )
  show  $[X; scaleR\text{-}vf\ a\ Y] = scaleR\text{-}vf\ a\ [X; Y]$ 
    apply (simp only: lie-bracket-antisym[of  $X$  scaleR-vf a  $Y$ ] lie-bracket-antisym[of  $X\ Y$ ])
    using scaleR-vf-left[ $OF\ Y\ X$ ] by fastforce
  qed

```

```

lemmas lie-bracket-bilinear-simps [simp] = lie-bracket-scale-left
                                               lie-bracket-scale-right
                                               lie-bracket-add-left
                                               lie-bracket-add-right

```

**lemma (in module-hom-on) diff:**  
 $b1 \in S1 \implies b2 \in S1 \implies f(b1 - b2) = f b1 - f b2$   
**by** (metis add diff-eq-eq m1.subspace-UNIV m1.subspace-diff set-eq-subset)

**lemma lie-bracket-jacobi:**  $[X; [Y; Z]] + [Y; [Z; X]] + [Z; [X; Y]] = 0$   
**if**  $X$ : smooth-vector-field  $X$   
**and**  $Y$ : smooth-vector-field  $Y$   
**and**  $Z$ : smooth-vector-field  $Z$

**proof –**  
**have** rough-vf: rough-vector-field  $X$  rough-vector-field  $Y$  rough-vector-field  $Z$   
**using** smooth-vector-field-def that by auto  
**interpret** linear-X: linear-on diff-fun-space diff-fun-space scaleR scaleR vec-field-apply-fun  $X$   
**using** linear-on-vec-field[ $OF$  rough-vf(1)].  
**interpret** linear-Y: linear-on diff-fun-space diff-fun-space scaleR scaleR vec-field-apply-fun  $Y$   
**using** linear-on-vec-field[ $OF$  rough-vf(2)].  
**interpret** linear-Z: linear-on diff-fun-space diff-fun-space scaleR scaleR vec-field-apply-fun  $Z$   
**using** linear-on-vec-field[ $OF$  rough-vf(3)].

**have local-simps:**  
 $\wedge f. f \in \text{diff-fun-space} \implies X'' f \in \text{diff-fun-space}$   
 $\wedge f. f \in \text{diff-fun-space} \implies Y'' f \in \text{diff-fun-space}$   
 $\wedge f. f \in \text{diff-fun-space} \implies Z'' f \in \text{diff-fun-space}$   
**using**  $X Y Z$  **by** (simp-all add: vector-field-smooth-iff rough-vf)

{  
**fix**  $f$  **assume**  $f: f \in \text{diff-fun-space}$   
**have**  $[X; [Y; Z]]'' f + [Y; [Z; X]]'' f + [Z; [X; Y]]'' f =$   
 $X''([Y; Z]'' f) - [Y; Z]''(X'' f) + Y''([Z; X]'' f) - [Z; X]''(Y'' f) + Z''([X; Y]'' f) - [X; Y]''(Z'' f)$   
**unfolding** lie-bracket-def **by** auto  
**also have** ...  $= X''(Y''(Z'' f)) - X''(Z''(Y'' f))$   
 $- Y''(Z''(X'' f)) + Z''(Y''(X'' f))$   
 $+ Y''(Z''(X'' f)) - Y''(X''(Z'' f))$   
 $- Z''(X''(Y'' f)) + X''(Z''(Y'' f))$   
 $+ Z''(X''(Y'' f)) - Z''(Y''(X'' f))$   
 $- X''(Y''(Z'' f)) + Y''(X''(Z'' f))$   
**using** linear-X.diff linear-Y.diff linear-Z.diff **by** (auto simp: f fun-diff-def lie-bracket-def local-simps)  
**finally have**  $[X; [Y; Z]]'' f + [Y; [Z; X]]'' f + [Z; [X; Y]]'' f = 0$  **by** simp  
}  
**moreover {**  
**fix**  $f$  **assume**  $f: f \notin \text{diff-fun-space}$   
**have**  $[X; [Y; Z]]'' f = 0$   $[Y; [Z; X]]'' f = 0$   $[Z; [X; Y]]'' f = 0$   
**using** ext0-lie-bracket(2)[ $OF$  smooth-vector-fieldE(3) smooth-vector-fieldE(3)]  
 $X Y Z$   
**using** lie-bracket-closed(1)[ $OF$   $YZ$ ] lie-bracket-closed(1)[ $OF$   $ZX$ ] lie-bracket-closed(1)[ $OF$

```

 $X \cdot Y]$ 
  by (simp-all add: extensional0-def f smooth-vector-field-alt)
  hence  $[X; [Y; Z]]'' f + [Y; [Z; X]]'' f + [Z; [X; Y]]'' f = 0$  by simp
} ultimately have  $\bigwedge p f. [X; [Y; Z]] p f + [Y; [Z; X]] p f + [Z; [X; Y]] p f = 0$ 
  by (smt (verit, best) plus-fun-apply zero-fun-apply)
thus ?thesis by (intro HOL.ext) fastforce
qed

```

**definition**  $SVF \equiv \{X. \text{smooth-vector-field } X\}$

**lemma** *lie-algebra-of-smooth-vector-fields: lie-algebra SVF scaleR-vf lie-bracket-of-smooth-vector-fields*

**proof** –

**note**  $svf\text{-if-derivI} = \text{extensional-derivation-is-smooth-vector-field}[unfolded \text{ is-derivation-on-def}]$

```

have svf-0: smooth-vector-field 0
  apply (intro svf-if-derivI, safe, unfold-locales)
  apply auto[3]
  using diff-fun-space.m1.mem-zero uminus-apply apply fastforce
  using extensional0-def zero-fun-def by auto

have local-simps:  $(\lambda r f p. r * f (p::'a)) = scaleR$ 
  by fastforce

have svf-scaleR: smooth-vector-field  $(a *_R X)$ 
  if  $X: \text{smooth-vector-field } X$  for  $a X$ 
  proof (intro svf-if-derivI, intro conjI ballI)
    show extensional0 carrier  $(a *_R X)$  by (simp add: smooth-vector-fieldE(2))
    that)
    have derX: linear-on diff-fun-space diff-fun-space scaleR scaleR  $(\lambda f p. X p f)$ 
       $(\bigwedge f g. f \in \text{diff-fun-space} \implies g \in \text{diff-fun-space} \implies X''(f * g) = f * (X'' g)$ 
       $+ g * (X'' f))$ 
       $(\lambda f p. X p f) \text{ ' diff-fun-space} \subseteq \text{diff-fun-space}$ 
      using X vector-field-is-derivation unfolding is-derivation-on-def by auto
    show real-linear-on diff-fun-space diff-fun-space  $(\lambda f p. (a *_R X) p f)$ 
      using linear-on-compose[OF derX(1)] diff-fun-space.m1.linear-scale-self
      derX(3)]
      by (simp add: o-def, metis local-simps)
    show  $(\lambda p. (a *_R X) p (f * g)) = f * (\lambda p. (a *_R X) p g) + g * (\lambda p. (a *_R X) p f)$ 
      if  $f \in \text{diff-fun-space}$   $g \in \text{diff-fun-space}$  for  $f g$ 
      proof –
        have  $(\lambda p. a * X p (f * g)) = (\lambda x. a) * (\lambda p. X p (f * g))$  by auto
        then show  $(\lambda p. (a *_R X) p (f * g)) = f * (\lambda p. (a *_R X) p g) + g * (\lambda p. (a *_R X) p f)$ 
          using derX(2)[OF that] by (auto simp: distrib-left)
      qed
      show  $(\lambda f p. (a *_R X) p f) \text{ ' diff-fun-space} \subseteq \text{diff-fun-space}$ 

```

```

using derX(3) diff-fun-space.m1.mem-scale by (auto, metis image-subset-iff
local-simps)
show extensional0 diff-fun-space ( $\lambda f p. (a *_R X) p f$ )
  using X[unfolded smooth-vector-field-def] ext0-vec-field-apply-fun
  by (meson extensional0-scaleR rough-vector-field-scaleR)
qed

have svf-add: smooth-vector-field ( $X + Y$ )
  if  $X$ : smooth-vector-field  $X$  and  $Y$ : smooth-vector-field  $Y$ 
  for  $X Y$ 
proof (intro svf-if-derivI, intro conjI ballI)
  have derX: real-linear-on diff-fun-space diff-fun-space ( $\lambda f p. X p f$ )
    ( $\bigwedge f g. f \in \text{diff-fun-space} \implies g \in \text{diff-fun-space} \implies X''(f * g) = f * (X''g)$ 
+  $g * (X''f)$ )
    ( $\lambda f p. X p f$ ) ‘ diff-fun-space  $\subseteq$  diff-fun-space
  and derY: real-linear-on diff-fun-space diff-fun-space ( $\lambda f p. Y p f$ )
    ( $\bigwedge f g. f \in \text{diff-fun-space} \implies g \in \text{diff-fun-space} \implies Y''(f * g) = f * (Y''g)$ 
+  $g * (Y''f)$ )
    ( $\lambda f p. Y p f$ ) ‘ diff-fun-space  $\subseteq$  diff-fun-space
  using X Y vector-field-is-derivation unfolding is-derivation-on-def by auto

interpret D: vector-space-pair-on diff-fun-space diff-fun-space scaleR scaleR by
unfold-locales

show real-linear-on diff-fun-space diff-fun-space ( $\lambda f p. (X + Y) p f$ )
  apply (simp, intro D.linear-compose-add[unfolded plus-fun-def])
  using derX(1,3) derY(1,3) by auto
show ( $\lambda p. (X + Y) p (f * g)$ ) =  $f * (\lambda p. (X + Y) p g) + g * (\lambda p. (X + Y)$ 
 $p f)$ 
  if  $f \in \text{diff-fun-space}$   $g \in \text{diff-fun-space}$  for  $f g$ 
  using derX(2)[OF that] derY(2)[OF that]
  by (simp add: plus-fun-def distrib-left, metis (no-types) add.commute add.left-commute)
show ( $\lambda f p. (X + Y) p f$ ) ‘ diff-fun-space  $\subseteq$  diff-fun-space
  using derX(3) derY(3) diff-fun-space.m1.mem-add by (auto simp: plus-fun-def)
show extensional0 diff-fun-space ( $\lambda f p. (X + Y) p f$ )
  using X Y ext0-vec-field-apply-fun rough-vector-field-add smooth-vector-field-def
by blast
show extensional0 carrier ( $X + Y$ ) by (simp add: X Y smooth-vector-fieldE(2))
qed

interpret vector-space-svf: vector-space-on SVF scaleR-vf
  using svf-0 svf-scaleR svf-add SVF-def
  by (unfold-locales, auto simp: scaleR-right-distrib scaleR-left-distrib)

have lie-bracket-antisym':  $[X; X] = 0$ 
  if  $X$ : smooth-vector-field  $X$  extensional0 carrier  $X$  for  $X$ 
  using lie-bracket-antisym by (metis one-neq-neg-one scaleR-cancel-right scaleR-minus1-left
scaleR-one)

```

```

show ?thesis
  apply (intro vector-space-svf.lie-algebraI, unfold SVF-def)
  using lie-bracket-closed lie-bracket-antisym' lie-bracket-jacobi
  by (simp-all add: smooth-vector-fieldE(2))
qed

end

end

```

**theory** *Lie-Group*

```

imports
HOL-Analysis.Analysis
HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach
More-Manifolds
begin

```

## 7 Definition of Lie Groups (as Locales)

Some abbreviations for easier reading first. A binary operation is colloquially said continuous/smooth/differentiable on a manifold  $M$  if it is so on the product manifold  $M^2$ . We fix the types of the binary operations in two of the definitions below, as the target space is made explicit only in the third (the one using  $\text{diff } \infty$ ).

```

abbreviation (input) continuous-on-product-manifold charts (binop::'a⇒'a⇒'a::{second-countable-topology,t2-space}) ≡
continuous-on (c-manifold-prod.carrier charts charts) (λ(a,b). binop a b)
abbreviation (input) smooth-on-product-manifold charts (binop::'a⇒'a⇒'a::{second-countable-topology,real-space}) ≡
smooth-on (c-manifold-prod.carrier charts charts) (λ(a,b). binop a b)
abbreviation (input) diff-on-product-manifold charts binop ≡
diff ∞ (c-manifold-prod.prod-charts charts charts) charts (λ(a,b). binop a b)

```

### 7.1 Topological groups

A group with a topology, such that the group operations are continuous.

```

locale topological-group =
manifold charts + group-on-with carrier tms tms-one dvsn invs
for charts::('a::{t2-space,second-countable-topology}, 'e::euclidean-space) chart set
  and tms tms-one dvsn invs +
assumes cts-mult: continuous-on-product-manifold charts tms
  and cts-inv: continuous-on carrier invs

```

## 7.2 Lie groups

A Lie group is a group on a set, but instead of a carrier set, we specify a set of charts, which imply the carrier set as a (smooth) manifold  $M$ . Internally, we consider the product manifold, to define smoothness of multiplication  $M \times M \rightarrow M$ . It may be overkill to keep inverse and division separate, considering *group-on-with* includes an axiom to relate the two, but this is how it's done in other Isabelle theories, so I'll keep it. It gives some extra flexibility, and an intro lemma using the more traditional group parameters (an operation, and an identity) and axioms is already provided in  $\llbracket \forall a \in ?G. \forall b \in ?G. ?mult a b \in ?G; \forall a \in ?G. \forall b \in ?G. \forall c \in ?G. ?mult (?mult a b) c = ?mult a (?mult b c); ?e \in ?G \wedge (\forall a \in ?G. ?mult ?e a = a \wedge ?mult a ?e = a); \forall x \in ?G. \exists y. y \in ?G \wedge ?mult x y = ?e \wedge ?mult y x = ?e \rrbracket \implies group\text{-}on\text{-}with ?G ?mult ?e (\lambda x z. ?mult x (\text{THE } y. y \in ?G \wedge ?mult z y = ?e \wedge ?mult y z = ?e)) (\lambda x. \text{THE } y. y \in ?G \wedge ?mult x y = ?e \wedge ?mult y x = ?e)$ .

```
locale lie-group =
  c-manifold charts ∞ + group-on-with carrier tms tms-one dvsn invs
  for charts::('a::{t2-space,second-countable-topology}, 'e::euclidean-space) chart set
    and tms tms-one dvsn invs +
  assumes smooth-mult: diff-on-product-manifold charts tms
    and smooth-inv: diff ∞ charts charts invs
```

We can make a shortened locale for Lie groups where the inversion and division are implied. This does *not* say anything about the implementation of inversion or division outside the carrier set. See also *grp-on*.

```
locale lie-grp =
  c-manifold charts ∞ + grp-on carrier tms one
  for charts::('a::{t2-space,second-countable-topology}, 'e::euclidean-space) chart set
    and tms one +
    — multiplication and inversion are smooth
  assumes smooth-mult: diff-on-product-manifold charts tms
    and smooth-inv: diff ∞ charts charts invs
begin

lemma is-lie-group: lie-group charts tms one mns invs
  unfolding lie-group-def lie-group-axioms-def
  by (auto simp: c-manifold-axioms smooth-mult is-group-on-with smooth-inv)

sublocale lie-group charts tms one mns invs
  using is-lie-group .

end

lemma lie-group-imp-lie-grp:
  assumes lie-group charts pls one any-mns any-invs
  shows lie-grp charts pls one
```

```

unfolding lie-grp-def lie-grp-axioms-def apply (intro conjI)
subgoal using assms lie-group-def by blast
subgoal
using assms unfolding grp-on-def grp-on-axioms-def lie-group-def group-on-with-def
group-on-with-axioms-def
by (meson assms group-on-with.right-minus lie-group.axioms(2))
subgoal using assms unfolding lie-group-def lie-group-axioms-def by simp
subgoal using assms unfolding lie-group-def lie-group-axioms-def
by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) <grp-on (manifold.carrier charts) pls one> diff.diff-cong
group-on-with.inv-is-unique group-on-with.right-minus group-on-with.uminus-mem
grp-on.is-group-on-with)
done

```

We give a few intro rules for the *lie-group* predicate, as well as an Eisbach method for further breaking down the proof of smoothness of the multiplication and inversion maps. This should lead to fairly organised proofs that some structure is a *lie-group*. In general, I would prefer *group-manifold-imp-lie-group*<sup>2</sup> to *group-manifold-imp-lie-group*.

```

lemma group-manifold-imp-lie-group [intro]:
assumes is-manifold: c-manifold c ∞
and is-group: group-on-with (∪(domain ` c)) tms tms-1 dvsn invs
and smooth-mult: diff ∞ (c-manifold-prod.prod-charts c c) c (λ(a,b). tms a b)
and smooth-inv: diff ∞ c c invs
shows lie-group c tms tms-1 dvsn invs
unfolding lie-group-def manifold.carrier-def lie-group-axioms-def
by (simp-all add: c-manifold-prod-def is-manifold is-group smooth-inv smooth-mult)

lemma group-manifold-imp-lie-group2 [intro]:
assumes is-manifold: c-manifold c ∞
and is-group: group-on-with (∪(domain ` c)) tms tms-1 dvsn invs
and smooth-mult: diff-axioms ∞ (c-manifold-prod.prod-charts c c) c (λ(a,b).
tms a b)
and smooth-inv: diff-axioms ∞ c c invs
shows lie-group c tms tms-1 dvsn invs
by (auto intro!: c-manifolds.intro diff.intro simp: assms c-manifold-prod.c-manifold-atlas-product
c-manifold-prod-def)

lemma lie-grpI [intro]:
fixes tms tms-1 c
defines invs ≡ grp-on.invs (∪(domain ` c)) tms tms-1
assumes is-manifold: c-manifold c ∞
and is-group: grp-on (∪(domain ` c)) tms tms-1
and smooth-mult: diff-axioms ∞ (c-manifold-prod.prod-charts c c) c (λ(a,b).
tms a b)
and smooth-inv: diff-axioms ∞ c c invs
shows lie-grp c tms tms-1
by (metis group-manifold-imp-lie-group2 grp-on.is-group-on-with invs-def is-group
is-manifold
lie-group-imp-lie-grp smooth-inv smooth-mult)

```

A small method to unfold the axioms of differentiability of group operations. Allows for succinct goals to be stated while quickly unfolding to a useful level of technicality.

```
method unfold-diff-axioms = (
  unfold diff-axioms-def,
  rule allI,
  rule impI,
  (rule bexI)+,
  (rule conjI),
  rule-tac[2] conjI
)
```

### 7.3 Some lemmas about Lie groups (and other needed results).

```
context lie-group begin
```

```
lemma obtain-chart-cover:
  assumes S ⊆ carrier
  obtains C where ∀ c ∈ C. c ∈ atlas ∀ s ∈ S. ∃ c ∈ C. s ∈ domain c
  by (metis assms carrierE in-charts-in-atlas subset-iff)

lemma open-covered-by-charts:
  assumes S ⊆ carrier open S
  obtains C where ∀ c ∈ C. c ∈ atlas S = ∪ {domain c | c. c ∈ C}
  proof –
    obtain C where C: ∀ c ∈ C. c ∈ atlas ∀ s ∈ S. ∃ c ∈ C. s ∈ domain c
    using obtain-chart-cover assms by blast
    let ?restr-chart = λc. if domain c ⊆ S then c else restrict-chart S c
    let ?C = {?restr-chart c | c. c ∈ C}
    have ∀ c ∈ ?C. c ∈ atlas
      using C(1) restrict-chart-in-atlas by auto
    moreover have S = ∪ {domain c | c. c ∈ ?C}
      using assms(2) domain-restrict-chart by (auto, metis C(2) Int-iff, fastforce)
    ultimately show ?thesis using that by presburger
  qed

lemma lie-prod: c-manifold-prod ∞ charts charts
  by unfold-locales

interpretation lie-prod: c-manifold-prod ∞ charts charts
  by unfold-locales

lemma continuous-on-tms:
  assumes x ∈ carrier
  shows continuous-on carrier (λy. tms x y)
  and continuous-on carrier (λy. tms y x)
  proof –
    have cts-tms: continuous-on lie-prod.carrier (λ(a, b). tms a b)
```

```

using lie-group-axioms diff.continuous-on unfolding lie-group-def lie-group-axioms-def
by blast
have tms-is-comp: (tms x) = ( $\lambda(a, b). tms a b \circ (\lambda y. (x, y))$ )
  by (simp add: comp-def)
show continuous-on carrier ( $\lambda y. tms x y$ )
proof -
  have cts-R: continuous-on carrier ( $\lambda y. (x, y)$ )
    using continuous-on-Pair[OF continuous-on-const[of carrier x] continuous-on-id].
      have pair-carrier: Pair x ` carrier  $\subseteq$  lie-prod.carrier
        unfolding image-def using lie-prod.prod-carrier assms by blast
      thus ?thesis
        using continuous-on-compose[OF cts-R] cts-tms tms-is-comp continuous-on-subset[OF
- pair-carrier]
          by metis
qed
show continuous-on carrier ( $\lambda y. tms y x$ )
proof -
  have cts-L: continuous-on carrier ( $\lambda y. (y, x)$ )
    using continuous-on-Pair[OF continuous-on-id continuous-on-const[of carrier
x]].
      have pair-carrier': ( $\lambda y. (y, x)$ ) ` carrier  $\subseteq$  lie-prod.carrier
        unfolding image-def using lie-prod.prod-carrier assms by blast
      thus ?thesis
        using continuous-on-compose[OF cts-L] cts-tms tms-is-comp continuous-on-subset[OF
- pair-carrier']
          by force
qed
qed

lemma diff-tms:
assumes x ∈ carrier
shows diff ∞ charts charts ( $\lambda y. tms x y$ )
  and diff ∞ charts charts ( $\lambda y. tms y x$ )
subgoal
  using diff-compose[OF lie-prod.diff-left-Pair[OF assms] smooth-mult] diff.diff-cong
by fastforce
subgoal
  using diff-compose[OF lie-prod.diff-right-Pair[OF assms] smooth-mult] diff.diff-cong
by fastforce
done

lemma diff-tms-invs:
assumes x ∈ carrier
shows diff ∞ charts charts ( $\lambda y. tms (invs x) y$ )
  and diff ∞ charts charts ( $\lambda y. tms y (invs x)$ )
using diff-tms[of invs x] assms uminus-mem by blast+

lemma diff-tms-invs':

```

```

assumes  $x \in carrier$ 
shows  $diff \in charts charts (\lambda y. tms x (invs y))$ 
      and  $diff \in charts charts (\lambda y. tms (invs y) x)$ 
      using  $diff\text{-compose}[OF smooth\text{-}inv diff\text{-}tms(1)][OF assms]]$  apply ( $simp add:$ 
 $diff\text{.}diff\text{-}cong$ )
      using  $diff\text{-compose}[OF smooth\text{-}inv diff\text{-}tms(2)][OF assms]]$  by ( $simp add: diff\text{.}diff\text{-}cong$ )
end

```

## 8 Morphisms of Lie groups, actions and representations

### 8.1 Morphism of Lie groups.

```

locale lie-group-pair =
  L1: lie-group c1 t1 i1 d1 m1 +
  L2: lie-group c2 t2 i2 d2 m2
  for c1 :: ('a::{second-countable-topology,t2-space}, 'b::euclidean-space) chart set
    and c2 :: ('c::{second-countable-topology,t2-space}, 'd::euclidean-space) chart
set
  and t1 t2 and i1 i2 and d1 d2 and m1 m2

locale lie-group-morphism-with =
  lie-group-pair c1 c2 t1 t2 i1 i2 d1 d2 m1 m2 +
  diff ∞ c1 c2 f +
  group-hom-betw L1.carrier L2.carrier t1 t2 i1 i2 d1 d2 m1 m2 f
  for c1 :: ('a::{second-countable-topology,t2-space}, 'b::euclidean-space) chart set
    and c2 :: ('c::{second-countable-topology,t2-space}, 'd::euclidean-space) chart
set
  and t1 t2 and i1 i2 and d1 d2 and m1 m2 and f

lemma (in lie-group-pair) lie-group-morphismI:
  assumes diff ∞ c1 c2 f
    and group-hom:  $\forall x \in L1.carrier. \forall y \in L1.carrier. f(t1 x y) = t2(f x)(f y)$ 
    and closure:  $\forall x \in L1.carrier. f x \in L2.carrier$ 
  shows lie-group-morphism-with c1 c2 t1 t2 i1 i2 d1 d2 m1 m2 f
proof -
  have 1: group-on-with-pair L1.carrier L2.carrier t1 t2 i1 i2 d1 d2 m1 m2
  using lie-group-pair-axioms unfolding lie-group-pair-def lie-group-def group-on-with-pair-def
by presburger
  show ?thesis
  unfolding lie-group-morphism-with-def group-hom-betw-def group-hom-betw-axioms-def
    by (simp add: assms lie-group-pair-axioms 1)
qed

lemma (in lie-group) lie-group-morphismI:
  assumes lie-group c2 t2 i2 d2 m2
    and diff ∞ charts c2 f

```

```

and group-hom:  $\forall x \in carrier. \forall y \in carrier. f(tms x y) = t2(f x)(f y)$ 
and closure:  $\forall x \in carrier. f x \in (manifold.carrier c2)$ 
shows lie-group-morphism-with charts c2 tms t2 tms-one i2 dvsn d2 invs m2 f
by (auto intro: lie-group-pair.lie-group-morphismI simp: lie-group-pair-def lie-group-axioms
assms)

locale lie-group-isomorphism =
lie-group-pair c1 c2 t1 t2 i1 i2 d1 d2 m1 m2 +
diffeomorphism  $\infty$  c1 c2 f f' +
group-hom-betw L1.carrier L2.carrier t1 t2 i1 i2 d1 d2 m1 m2 f
for c1 :: ('a:{second-countable-topology,t2-space}, 'b:euclidean-space) chart set
and c2 :: ('c:{second-countable-topology,t2-space}, 'd:euclidean-space) chart
set
and t1 t2 and i1 i2 and d1 d2 and m1 m2 and f f'

```

## 8.2 Action of a Lie group on a manifold.

```

abbreviation (input) diff-action-map g-charts m-charts action ≡
diff  $\infty$  (c-manifold-prod.prod-charts g-charts m-charts) m-charts action

```

A Lie group action is a homomorphism from the Lie group to the automorphism group of a space, here a manifold, which is differentiable (smooth). I take here the more explicit definition given in Kirillov's lecture notes (2008; page 12), and derive the more abstract version later (after showing *c-manifold.Diff* is not just a group, but a Lie group).

Take care: there are now two manifolds, of which the Lie group is the primary one as far as namespace is concerned. Everything pertaining to the manifold acted upon is accessed with qualified syntax. This disappears for Lie groups acting on themselves.

```

locale lie-group-action =
lie-group charts tms tms-one dvsn invs + M: c-manifold m-charts k
for charts::('a:{t2-space,second-countable-topology}, 'e:euclidean-space) chart set
and tms tms-one dvsn invs
and m-charts::('b:{t2-space,second-countable-topology}, 'f:euclidean-space) chart
set and k +
fixes action ( $\langle \varrho \rangle$ )
assumes act-diff:  $g \in carrier \implies (\varrho g) \in M.Diff$ 
and act-one:  $\varrho tms-one = M.Diff-id$ 
and act-hom:  $f \in G \implies g \in G \implies \varrho(tms f g) = M.Diff-comp(\varrho f)(\varrho g)$ 
and act-diff-prod: diff-action-map charts m-charts ( $\lambda(g,m). the((\varrho g) m)$ )

```

After proving Diff is a group, some of these axioms can be replaced.

```

locale lie-group-action' =
lie-group charts tms tms-one dvsn invs +
M: c-manifold m-charts k +
A: group-hom-betw carrier M.Diff tms M.Diff-comp tms-one M.Diff-id dvsn M.Diff-comp-inv
invs M.Diff-inv  $\varrho$ 
for charts::('a:{t2-space,second-countable-topology}, 'e:euclidean-space) chart set

```

```

and tms tms-one dvsn invs
and m-charts::('b:{t2-space,second-countable-topology}, 'f:euclidean-space) chart
set and k
and  $\varrho :: 'a \Rightarrow ('b \rightarrow 'b)$  +
assumes diff-action-map: diff-action-map charts m-charts ( $\lambda(g,m).$  the  $((\varrho g) m))$ 

```

### 8.3 Action of a Lie Group on itself.

**context** lie-group **begin**

**abbreviation** (input) left-self-action :: ' $a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$  ( $\langle \mathcal{L} \rightarrow [91]$ )  
**where** left-self-action  $g g' \equiv$  tms  $g g'$

**abbreviation** left-action :: ' $a \Rightarrow ('a \rightarrow 'a)$   
**where** left-action  $g \equiv (\lambda x.$  if  $x \in \text{carrier}$  then Some (left-self-action  $g x)$  else None)

**abbreviation** (input) right-self-action :: ' $a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$  ( $\langle \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [91]$ )  
**where** right-self-action  $g g' \equiv$  tms  $g' (invs g)$

**abbreviation** right-action :: ' $a \Rightarrow ('a \rightarrow 'a)$   
**where** right-action  $g \equiv (\lambda x.$  if  $x \in \text{carrier}$  then Some (right-self-action  $g x)$  else None)

**abbreviation** (input) adjoint-self-action :: ' $a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$   
**where** adjoint-self-action  $g g' \equiv$  tms  $g (tms g' (invs g))$

#### 8.3.1 The left action.

**lemma** L-action-in: (left-self-action  $g g')$   $\in \text{carrier}$  **if**  $g \in \text{carrier}$   $g' \in \text{carrier}$   
**by** (simp add: add-mem that)

**lemma** the-left-action: left-self-action  $x y =$  the (left-action  $x y)$  **if**  $y \in \text{carrier}$   
**by** (simp add: that)

**lemma** L-action-invs: (left-self-action (invs  $x)) \circ$  left-self-action  $x)$   $y = y$   
 $(\text{left-self-action } x \circ \text{left-self-action } (\text{invs } x)) y = y$   
**if**  $x \in \text{carrier}$   $y \in \text{carrier}$   
**apply** (metis (no-types, lifting) add-assoc add-zeroL comp-apply left-minus that uminus-mem)  
**by** (metis (no-types, lifting) add-assoc add-zeroL comp-apply right-minus that uminus-mem)

**lemma** L-homeomorphism: homeomorphism carrier carrier ( $\mathcal{L} x$ ) ( $\mathcal{L} (invs x)$ ) **if**  
 $x \in \text{carrier}$   
**proof** –  
{  
fix  $x y$  **assume** xy-in-carrier:  $x \in \text{carrier}$   $y \in \text{carrier}$   
**then have** tms (invs  $x$ ) (tms  $x y) = y$  **and** tms  $x (tms (invs x) y) = y$   
**using** add-assoc add-zeroL uminus-mem **by** (metis left-minus, metis right-minus)

```

}

thus homeomorphism carrier carrier (tms x) (tms (invs x))
  using that continuous-on-tms(1) by (auto intro: homeomorphismI simp: L-action-in
image-subset-iff uminus-mem)
qed

lemma L-homeomorphism': homeomorphism carrier carrier (L (invs x)) (L x)
  if x ∈ carrier
  using L-homeomorphism homeomorphism-sym that by blast

lemma L-homeomorphism-chart: homeomorphism (domain c) (L x ` domain c) (L
x) (L (invs x))
  if x ∈ carrier c ∈ atlas
  using L-homeomorphism homeomorphism-of-subsets that by blast

lemma L-homeomorphism-chart': homeomorphism (L x ` domain c) (domain c)
(L (invs x)) (L x)
  if x ∈ carrier c ∈ atlas
  using L-homeomorphism-chart that homeomorphism-sym by blast

lemma L-open-map:
  assumes x ∈ carrier open S S ⊆ carrier
  shows open (L x ` S)
proof -
  obtain C where C: ∀ c ∈ C. c ∈ atlas S = ∪ {domain c | c. c ∈ C}
    using open-covered-by-charts assms by blast
  have L x ` S = ∪ {L x ` domain c | c. c ∈ C}
    using C(2) by auto
  thus open (L x ` S)
    using homeomorphism-imp-open-map' L-homeomorphism by (metis assms
open-carrier)
qed

lift-definition L-chart :: 'a ⇒ ('a,'e) chart ⇒ ('a,'e) chart
  is λx. λ(d,d',f,f'). if x ∈ carrier ∧ d ⊆ carrier then (L x ` d, d', f ∘ L (invs x), L
x ∘ f') else ({}, {}, f, f')
  using L-homeomorphism by (auto split: if-splits intro!: L-open-map)
  (meson homeomorphism-compose homeomorphism-of-subsets homeomorphism-symD)

lemma L-chart-apply-chart[simp]: apply-chart (L-chart x c) = apply-chart c ∘ L
(invs x)
  and L-chart-inv-chart[simp]: inv-chart (L-chart x c) = L x ∘ inv-chart c
  and domain-L-chart[simp]: domain (L-chart x c) = L x ` domain c
  and codomain-L-chart[simp]: codomain (L-chart x c) = codomain c
  if x ∈ carrier c ∈ atlas
  using that(1) domain-atlas-subset-carrier[OF that(2)] by (transfer, auto)+

lemma L-chart-apply-chart'[simp]: apply-chart (L-chart x c) = apply-chart c ∘ L
(invs x)

```

```

and L-chart-inv-chart'[simp]: inv-chart (L-chart x c) =  $\mathcal{L} x \circ \text{inv-chart } c$ 
and domain-L-chart'[simp]: domain (L-chart x c) =  $\mathcal{L} x` \text{domain } c$ 
and codomain-L-chart'[simp]: codomain (L-chart x c) = codomain c
if  $x \in \text{carrier domain } c \subseteq \text{carrier}$ 
using that by (transfer, auto)+

lemma smooth-compat-L-chart:
assumes  $x \in \text{carrier } c \in \text{atlas } c' \in \text{atlas}$ 
shows  $\infty\text{-smooth-compat } (\text{L-chart } x \ c) \ c'$ 
proof -
  let ?dom1 =  $(\lambda y. c (tms (\text{invs } x) y))` (tms x` \text{domain } c \cap \text{domain } c')$ 
  let ?dom2 = codomain c  $\cap \text{inv-chart } c` -` (\text{carrier} \cap tms x` -` \text{domain } c')$ 
  let ?dom3 =  $c` (tms x` \text{domain } c \cap \text{domain } c')$ 
  let ?dom4 = codomain c'  $\cap \text{inv-chart } c` -` (\text{carrier} \cap tms (\text{invs } x) -` \text{domain } c)$ 

  have invs-tms-defined:  $c (tms (\text{invs } x) (tms x y)) \in \text{codomain } c$  if  $y \in \text{domain } c$ 
  for y
    by (metis add-assoc add-uminus add-zeroL assms(1,2) chart-in-codomain in-carrier-atlasI
    uminus-mem that)
  have domain-simp-1: ?dom1 = ?dom2
  proof -
    {
      fix y assume y:  $tms x y \in \text{domain } c` y \in \text{domain } c$ 
      have inv-chart c (c (tms (invs x) (tms x y)))  $\in \text{carrier}$ 
        and  $tms x (\text{inv-chart } c (c (tms (\text{invs } x) (tms x y)))) \in \text{domain } c'$ 
        subgoal using y assms(2) invs-tms-defined by blast
        subgoal using y by (metis add-assoc add-zeroL assms(1,2) in-carrier-atlasI
        inv-chart-inverse left-minus uminus-mem)
        done
    } moreover {
      fix y assume y:  $y \in \text{codomain } c \text{ inv-chart } c y \in \text{carrier } tms x (\text{inv-chart } c y)$ 
       $\in \text{domain } c'$ 
      have  $y = c (tms (\text{invs } x) (tms x (\text{inv-chart } c y)))$ 
      by (metis (full-types) assms(1) chart-inverse-inv-chart homeomorphism-apply1
      L-homeomorphism y(1,2))
      then have  $y \in (\lambda y. c (tms (\text{invs } x) y))` (tms x` \text{domain } c \cap \text{domain } c')$ 
        using y(1,3) by blast
    }
    ultimately show ?dom1 = ?dom2 using invs-tms-defined by auto
  qed
  have domain-simp-2: ?dom3 = ?dom4
  proof -
    {
      fix y assume y:  $tms x y \in \text{domain } c` y \in \text{domain } c$ 
      have  $tms x y \in \text{carrier}$  and  $tms (\text{invs } x) (tms x y) \in \text{domain } c$ 
        subgoal using y assms(3) by simp
        subgoal using y by (metis add-assoc add-zeroL assms(1,2) in-carrier-atlasI
        local.left-minus uminus-mem)
    }

```

```

        done
    } moreover {
      fix y assume y ∈ codomain c' inv-chart c' y ∈ carrier tms (invs x) (inv-chart
      c' y) ∈ domain c
      then have y ∈ c' ‘(tms x ‘ domain c ∩ domain c')
        by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) Int-iff add-assoc add-uminus add-zeroL
      assms(1)
        chart-inverse-inv-chart inv-chart-in-domain rev-image-eqI uminus-mem)
    }
    ultimately show ?dom3 = ?dom4 by auto
qed

have smooth-on ?dom1 (c' ∘ (tms x ∘ inv-chart c))
  using diff.diff-chartsD[OF diff-tms(1)[OF assms(1)] assms(2,3)]
  by (simp add: comp-assoc domain-simp-1)
moreover have smooth-on ?dom3 (c ∘ tms (invs x) ∘ inv-chart c')
  using diff.diff-chartsD[OF diff-tms-invs(1)[OF assms(1)] assms(3,2)] by (simp
add: domain-simp-2)
ultimately show ?thesis
  by (unfold smooth-compat-def, auto simp: assms)
qed

lemma L-chart-compat:
assumes x ∈ carrier c ∈ atlas
shows ∞-smooth-compat c (L-chart x c)
using smooth-compat-L-chart[OF assms(1,2,2)] by (simp add: smooth-compat-commute)

lemma L-chart-in-atlas: L-chart x c ∈ atlas if x ∈ carrier c ∈ atlas
proof (rule maximal-atlas)
  show domain (L-chart x c) ⊆ carrier using L-action-in that by auto
  fix c' assume c' ∈ atlas
  with that(2) have ∞-smooth-compat c c' by (simp add: atlas-is-atlas)
  thus ∞-smooth-compat (L-chart x c) c'
    using smooth-compat-L-chart[OF that] by (simp add: ‹c' ∈ atlas›)
qed

lemma left-action-automorphic: c-automorphism ∞ charts (L x) (L (invs x))
  if x ∈ carrier
proof (unfold-locales)
  fix y assume y ∈ carrier
  then obtain c1 where c1: c1 ∈ atlas y ∈ domain c1 using atlasE by blast
  let ?L = left-self-action x
  let ?Li = left-self-action (invs x)

```

To find the second chart, for the codomain of *tms x*, just shift the first chart across.

```

show ∃ c1 ∈ atlas. ∃ c2 ∈ atlas.
  y ∈ domain c1 ∧
  ?L ‘ domain c1 ⊆ domain c2 ∧

```

```

smooth-on (codomain c1) (c2 o ?L o inv-chart c1)
proof (intro bexI conjI)
let ?c2 = L-chart x c1

show y ∈ domain c1 by (simp add: c1(2))
show c1 ∈ atlas ?c2 ∈ atlas by (simp add: L-chart-in-atlas c1(1) that)+
show tms x ‘ domain c1 ⊆ domain ?c2 by (simp add: c1(1) that)

have (c1 o ?Li o ?L o inv-chart c1) a = a if a ∈ codomain c1 for a
  using L-action-invs(1) ‘x ∈ carrier’ c1(1) that by force
thus smooth-on (codomain c1) (?c2 o ?L o inv-chart c1)
  using smooth-on-id smooth-on-cong
  by (smt (verit, del-insts) L-chart-apply-chart c1(1) open-codomain that)
qed

show ∃ c1 ∈ atlas. ∃ c2 ∈ atlas.
  y ∈ domain c1 ∧
  ?Li ‘ domain c1 ⊆ domain c2 ∧
  smooth-on (codomain c1) (c2 o ?Li o inv-chart c1)
proof (intro bexI conjI)
let ?c2 = L-chart (invs x) c1

have [simp]: invs x ∈ carrier by (simp add: that uminus-mem)

show y ∈ domain c1 by (simp add: c1(2))
show c1 ∈ atlas ?c2 ∈ atlas by (simp add: L-chart-in-atlas c1(1) that)+
show tms (invs x) ‘ domain c1 ⊆ domain ?c2 by (simp add: c1(1) that)

have 1: (c1 o ?L o ?Li o inv-chart c1) a = a
  if a ∈ codomain c1 for a
  using L-action-invs(2) ‘x ∈ carrier’ c1 that by force
show smooth-on (codomain c1) (?c2 o ?Li o inv-chart c1)
  apply (simp add: c1 uminus-uminus[OF that])
  using smooth-on-id 1 by (smt (verit, del-insts) open-codomain smooth-on-cong)
qed

{ fix y assume y ∈ carrier
show tms (invs x) (tms x y) = y
  by (metis ‘y ∈ carrier’ add-assoc add-zeroL left-minus that uminus-mem)
show tms x (tms (invs x) y) = y
  by (metis ‘y ∈ carrier’ add-assoc add-zeroL right-minus that uminus-mem) }

qed

lemma left-action-in-Diff: left-action x ∈ Diff if x ∈ carrier
apply (intro DiffI automorphismI exI[where x=left-self-action (invs x)])
subgoal using c-automorphism.c-automorphism-cong left-action-automorphic
that by fastforce
subgoal by (simp add: domIff order-class.order-eq-iff subset-iff)
done

```

```

lemma diff-the-L: diff  $\infty$  (c-manifold-prod.prod-charts charts charts) charts ( $\lambda(g, m)$ . the (left-action g m))
  (is diff  $\infty$  ?prod-charts charts ?L)
proof -
  let ?prod-carrier = manifold.carrier ?prod-charts
  have L-eq: ?L (g,m) = ( $\mathcal{L}$  g) m if (g,m)  $\in$  ?prod-carrier for g m
    using c-manifold-prod.prod-carrier[OF lie-prod] that by fastforce
  show ?thesis
    apply (rule diff.diff-cong[OF smooth-mult])
    using L-eq by fastforce
qed

lemma left-action: lie-group-action' charts tms tms-one dvsn invs charts  $\infty$  left-action
  unfolding lie-group-action'-def lie-group-action'-axioms-def
  apply (simp add: lie-group-axioms c-manifold-axioms, intro conjI)
  subgoal using add-assoc add-mem left-action-in-Diff by (unfold-locales, auto)
  subgoal by (rule diff-the-L)
  done

sublocale left-action: lie-group-action' charts tms tms-one dvsn invs charts  $\infty$  left-action
  by (rule left-action)

```

### 8.3.2 The right action.

```

lemma R-action-in: (right-self-action g g')  $\in$  carrier if g  $\in$  carrier g'  $\in$  carrier
  by (simp add: add-mem that uminus-mem)

lemma the-right-action: right-self-action x y = the (right-action x y) if y  $\in$  carrier
  by (simp add: that)

lemma R-action-invs: (right-self-action (invs x)  $\circ$  right-self-action x) y = y
  (right-self-action x  $\circ$  right-self-action (invs x)) y = y
  if x  $\in$  carrier y  $\in$  carrier
  using add-assoc add-zeroR comp-apply right-minus left-minus that uminus-mem
  by simp-all

lemma R-homeomorphism: homeomorphism carrier carrier ( $\mathcal{R}$  x) ( $\mathcal{R}$  (invs x))
  if x  $\in$  carrier
proof -
  {
    fix x y assume xy-in-carrier: x  $\in$  carrier y  $\in$  carrier
    then have tms (tms y (invs x)) (invs (invs x)) = y and tms (tms y (invs (invs x))) (invs x) = y
      using add-assoc add-zeroR uminus-mem by (metis right-minus, metis left-minus)
  }
  thus homeomorphism carrier carrier ( $\mathcal{R}$  x) ( $\mathcal{R}$  (invs x))
    using that continuous-on-tms(2) by (auto intro!: homeomorphismI simp: R-action-in)

```

```

image-subset-iff uminus-mem)
qed

lemma R-homeomorphism': homeomorphism carrier carrier ( $\mathcal{R} (\text{invs } x)$ ) ( $\mathcal{R} x$ )
  if  $x \in \text{carrier}$ 
  using R-homeomorphism homeomorphism-sym that by blast

lemma R-homeomorphism-chart: homeomorphism (domain c) ( $\mathcal{R} x \cdot \text{domain } c$ )
  ( $\mathcal{R} x$ ) ( $\mathcal{R} (\text{invs } x)$ )
  if  $x \in \text{carrier}$   $c \in \text{atlas}$ 
  using R-homeomorphism homeomorphism-of-subsets that by blast

lemma R-homeomorphism-chart': homeomorphism ( $\mathcal{R} x \cdot \text{domain } c$ ) (domain c)
  ( $\mathcal{R} (\text{invs } x)$ ) ( $\mathcal{R} x$ )
  if  $x \in \text{carrier}$   $c \in \text{atlas}$ 
  using R-homeomorphism-chart that homeomorphism-sym by blast

lemma R-open-map:
  assumes  $x \in \text{carrier}$  open  $S$   $S \subseteq \text{carrier}$ 
  shows open ( $\mathcal{R} x \cdot S$ )
  proof -
    obtain  $C$  where  $C: \forall c \in C. c \in \text{atlas} S = \bigcup \{\text{domain } c \mid c. c \in C\}$ 
      using open-covered-by-charts assms by blast
    have  $\mathcal{R} x \cdot S = \bigcup \{\mathcal{R} x \cdot \text{domain } c \mid c. c \in C\}$ 
      using  $C(2)$  by auto
    thus open ( $\mathcal{R} x \cdot S$ )
      using homeomorphism-imp-open-map' R-homeomorphism assms open-carrier
    by fast
  qed

lift-definition R-chart :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('a,'e) chart  $\Rightarrow$  ('a,'e) chart
  is  $\lambda x. \lambda(d,d',f,f'). \text{if } x \in \text{carrier} \wedge d \subseteq \text{carrier} \text{ then } (\mathcal{R} x \cdot d, d', f \circ \mathcal{R} (\text{invs } x), \mathcal{R} x \circ f') \text{ else } (\{\}, \{\}, f, f')$ 
  using R-homeomorphism by (auto split: if-splits intro!: R-open-map)
  (meson homeomorphism-compose homeomorphism-of-subsets homeomorphism-symD)

lemma R-chart-apply-chart[simp]: apply-chart (R-chart x c) = apply-chart c  $\circ \mathcal{R}$  (invs x)
  and R-chart-inv-chart[simp]: inv-chart (R-chart x c) =  $\mathcal{R} x \circ \text{inv-chart } c$ 
  and domain-R-chart[simp]: domain (R-chart x c) =  $\mathcal{R} x \cdot \text{domain } c$ 
  and codomain-R-chart[simp]: codomain (R-chart x c) = codomain c
  if  $x \in \text{carrier}$   $c \in \text{atlas}$ 
  using that(1) domain-atlas-subset-carrier[OF that(2)] by (transfer, auto)+

lemma R-chart-apply-chart'[simp]: apply-chart (R-chart x c) = apply-chart c  $\circ \mathcal{R}$  (invs x)
  and R-chart-inv-chart'[simp]: inv-chart (R-chart x c) =  $\mathcal{R} x \circ \text{inv-chart } c$ 
  and domain-R-chart'[simp]: domain (R-chart x c) =  $\mathcal{R} x \cdot \text{domain } c$ 
  and codomain-R-chart'[simp]: codomain (R-chart x c) = codomain c

```

```

if  $x \in \text{carrier}$  domain  $c \subseteq \text{carrier}$ 
using that by (transfer, auto)+

lemma smooth-compat-R-chart:
assumes  $x \in \text{carrier}$   $c \in \text{atlas}$   $c' \in \text{atlas}$ 
shows  $\infty\text{-smooth-compat}(\text{R-chart } x \ c) \ c'$ 
proof -
let ?dom1 =  $(\lambda y. c (\text{tms } y (\text{invs } (\text{invs } x))))`((\lambda g'. \text{tms } g' (\text{invs } x))`(\text{domain } c \cap \text{domain } c'))$ 
let ?dom2 =  $\text{codomain } c \cap \text{inv-chart } c -`(\text{carrier} \cap (\lambda y. \text{tms } y (\text{invs } x)) -` \text{domain } c')$ 
let ?dom3 =  $c'`((\lambda y. \text{tms } y (\text{invs } x))`(\text{domain } c \cap \text{domain } c'))$ 
let ?dom4 =  $\text{codomain } c' \cap \text{inv-chart } c' -`(\text{carrier} \cap (\lambda y. \text{tms } y \ x)) -` \text{domain } c)$ 

have invs-tms-defined:  $c (\text{tms } (\text{tms } y (\text{invs } x)) (\text{invs } (\text{invs } x))) \in \text{codomain } c$  if
 $y \in \text{domain } c$  for  $y$ 
using add-assoc add-zeroR assms(1,2) local.right-minus that uminus-mem by
auto
then have domain-simp-1: ?dom1 = ?dom2
proof -
{
fix y assume  $y: \text{tms } y (\text{invs } x) \in \text{domain } c' \ y \in \text{domain } c$ 
have inv-chart  $c (c (\text{tms } (\text{tms } y (\text{invs } x)) (\text{invs } (\text{invs } x)))) \in \text{carrier}$ 
and  $\text{tms } (\text{inv-chart } c (c (\text{tms } (\text{tms } y (\text{invs } x)) (\text{invs } (\text{invs } x)))) (\text{invs } x)) \in \text{domain } c'$ 
subgoal using y invs-tms-defined assms(2) by blast
subgoal using y add-assoc add-zeroR assms(1,2) in-carrier-atlasI inv-chart-inverse
right-minus uminus-mem by metis
done
} moreover {
fix y assume  $y \in \text{codomain } c$   $\text{inv-chart } c \ y \in \text{carrier}$   $\text{tms } (\text{inv-chart } c \ y) (\text{invs } x) \in \text{domain } c'$ 
then have  $y \in (\lambda y. \text{apply-chart } c (\text{tms } y (\text{invs } (\text{invs } x))))`((\lambda g'. \text{tms } g' (\text{invs } x))`(\text{domain } c \cap \text{domain } c'))$ 
by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) IntI R-action-invs(1) assms(1) chart-inverse-inv-chart
comp-apply imageI inv-chart-in-domain)
}
ultimately show ?dom1 = ?dom2 using invs-tms-defined by auto
qed
have domain-simp-2: ?dom3 = ?dom4
proof -
{
fix y assume  $y: \text{tms } y (\text{invs } x) \in \text{domain } c' \ y \in \text{domain } c$ 
have  $\text{tms } y (\text{invs } x) \in \text{carrier}$  and  $\text{tms } (\text{tms } y (\text{invs } x)) \ x \in \text{domain } c$ 
subgoal using y assms(3) by simp
subgoal using y by (metis add-assoc add-zeroR assms(1,2) in-carrier-atlasI
left-minus uminus-mem)
done
}

```

```

} moreover {
  fix xa assume xa:  $xa \in \text{codomain } c' \text{ inv-chart } c'$   $xa \in \text{carrier tms } (\text{inv-chart } c' \text{ } xa)$   $x \in \text{domain } c$ 
  then have  $xa \in \text{apply-chart } c' \cdot ((\lambda y. \text{tms } y \text{ } (\text{invs } x)) \cdot \text{domain } c \cap \text{domain } c')$ 
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) Int-iff add-assoc add-zero assms(1) chart-inverse-inv-chart
       inv-chart-in-domain right-minus rev-image-eqI uminus-mem)
}
ultimately show ?dom3 = ?dom4 by auto
qed

have smooth-on ?dom1 ( $c' \circ ((\lambda g'. \text{tms } g' \text{ } (\text{invs } x)) \circ \text{inv-chart } c)$ )
  using diff.diff-chartsD[OF diff-tms-invs(2)[OF assms(1)] assms(2,3)]
  by (simp add: comp-assoc domain-simp-1)
moreover have smooth-on ?dom3 ( $c \circ (\lambda g'. \text{tms } g' \text{ } (\text{invs } (\text{invs } x))) \circ \text{inv-chart } c')$ 
  using diff.diff-chartsD[OF diff-tms(2)] uminus-uminus assms by (simp add:
domain-simp-2)
ultimately show ?thesis
  by (unfold smooth-compat-def, auto simp: assms)
qed

lemma R-chart-compat:
assumes x ∈ carrier c ∈ atlas
shows ∞-smooth-compat c (R-chart x c)
using smooth-compat-R-chart[OF assms(1,2,2)] by (simp add: smooth-compat-commute)

lemma R-chart-in-atlas: R-chart x c ∈ atlas if x ∈ carrier c ∈ atlas
proof (rule maximal-atlas)
show domain (R-chart x c) ⊆ carrier using R-action-in that by auto
fix c' assume c' ∈ atlas
with that(2) have ∞-smooth-compat c c' by (simp add: atlas-is-atlas)
thus ∞-smooth-compat (R-chart x c) c'
  using smooth-compat-R-chart[OF that] by (simp add: c' ∈ atlas)
qed

lemma right-action-automorphic: c-automorphism ∞ charts (R x) (R (invs x))
if x ∈ carrier
proof (unfold-locales)
fix y assume y ∈ carrier
then obtain c1 where c1: c1 ∈ atlas y ∈ domain c1 using atlasE by blast
let ?R = right-self-action x
let ?Ri = right-self-action (invs x)

```

To find the second chart, for the codomain of  $\lambda g'. \text{tms } g' \text{ } (\text{invs } x)$ , just shift the first chart across.

```

show ∃ c1 ∈ atlas. ∃ c2 ∈ atlas.
y ∈ domain c1 ∧
?R · domain c1 ⊆ domain c2 ∧

```

```

smooth-on (codomain c1) (c2 o ?R o inv-chart c1)
proof (intro bexI conjI)
let ?c2 = R-chart x c1

show y ∈ domain c1 by (simp add: c1(2))
show c1 ∈ atlas ?c2 ∈ atlas by (simp add: R-chart-in-atlas c1(1) that)+
show (λy. tms y (invs x)) ‘ domain c1 ⊆ domain ?c2 by (simp add: c1(1)
that)

have cong-to-id: (c1 o ?Ri o ?R o inv-chart c1) a = a if a ∈ codomain c1 for
a
    using R-action-invs(1) {x ∈ carrier} c1(1) that by force
show smooth-on (codomain c1) (?c2 o ?R o inv-chart c1)
    using smooth-on-id smooth-on-cong cong-to-id
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) R-chart-apply-chart c1(1) comp-apply open-codomain
that uminus-uminus)
qed

show ∃ c1 ∈ atlas. ∃ c2 ∈ atlas.
    y ∈ domain c1 ∧
    ?Ri ‘ domain c1 ⊆ domain c2 ∧
    smooth-on (codomain c1) (c2 o ?Ri o inv-chart c1)
proof (intro bexI conjI)
let ?c2 = R-chart (invs x) c1

have [simp]: invs x ∈ carrier by (simp add: that uminus-mem)

show y ∈ domain c1 by (simp add: c1(2))
show c1 ∈ atlas ?c2 ∈ atlas by (simp add: R-chart-in-atlas c1(1) that)+
show (λg'. tms g' (invs (invs x))) ‘ domain c1 ⊆ domain ?c2 by (simp add:
c1(1) that)

have 1: (c1 o ?R o ?Ri o inv-chart c1) a = a
    if a ∈ codomain c1 for a
    using R-action-invs(2) {x ∈ carrier} c1 that by force
show smooth-on (codomain c1) (?c2 o ?Ri o inv-chart c1)
    apply (rule smooth-on-cong)
    using 1 by (auto simp add: c1 uminus-uminus[OF that])
qed

{ fix y assume y ∈ carrier
show tms (tms y (invs x)) (invs (invs x)) = y
    by (metis {y ∈ carrier} add-assoc add-zeroR right-minus that uminus-mem)
show tms (tms y (invs (invs x))) (invs x) = y
    by (metis {y ∈ carrier} add-assoc add-zeroR left-minus that uminus-mem) }

qed

lemma right-action-in-Diff: right-action x ∈ Diff if x ∈ carrier
apply (intro DiffI automorphismI exI[where x=right-self-action (invs x)])

```

```

  subgoal using c-automorphism.c-automorphism-cong right-action-automorphic
  that by fastforce
    subgoal by (simp add: domIff order-class.order-eq-iff subset-iff)
      done
end

```

## 9 Models/Instances

### 9.1 Euclidean Space

Euclidean spaces are dealt with at the start of the section “Differentiable Functions” in *Smooth-Manifolds.Differentiable-Manifold*. Therefore, this section is really just a “trivial” exercise to get used to things.

#### 9.1.1 Euclidean Spaces are Lie groups under (+).

```

locale euclidean-lie-group-add
begin

abbreviation C
  where C ≡ manifold-eucl.carrier

abbreviation C-prod
  where C-prod ≡ manifold.carrier prod-charts-eucl

lemma eucl-is-group: group-on-with C (+) 0 (-) uminus
proof (unfold group-on-with-def, intro conjI)
  show monoid-on-with C (+) 0
    unfolding monoid-on-with-def semigroup-add-on-with-def
    using manifold-eucl-carrier
    by (simp add: monoid-on-with-axioms.intro)
  show group-on-with-axioms C (+) 0 (-) uminus
    unfolding group-on-with-axioms-def
    using manifold-eucl-carrier UNIV-I ab-group-add-class.ab-diff-conv-add-uminus
    add.left-inverse
    by auto
qed

lemma prod-domain-codomain: domain prod-chart-eucl = C × C C × C = C-prod
codomain prod-chart-eucl = C × C
using c-manifold-prod.domain-prod-chart [OF eucl-makes-product-manifold]
apply fastforce
using c-manifold-prod.prod-carrier eucl-makes-product-manifold
apply metis
using c-manifold-prod.codomain-prod-chart [OF eucl-makes-product-manifold]
by fastforce

```

```

lemma smooth-on-add-const: smooth-on C ( $\lambda a. a+b$ )
proof -
  have sm-id: smooth-on C ( $\lambda a. a$ )
    by (simp add: smooth-on-id)
  have sm-add: smooth-on C ( $\lambda a. b$ )
    by (simp add: smooth-on-const)
  show smooth-on C ( $\lambda a. a+b$ )
    using smooth-on-add [OF sm-id sm-add manifold.open-carrier]
    by simp
qed

lemma smooth-binop-diff:
  fixes tms::' $a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ ::euclidean-space
  assumes smooth-on C-prod ( $\lambda(a,b). tms a b$ )
  shows diff  $\infty$  prod-charts-eucl charts-eucl ( $\lambda(x, y). tms x y$ )
  proof (unfold diff-def diff-axioms-def, intro conjI allI impI)
    let ?prod = prod-charts-eucl
    let ?mult =  $\lambda(x, y). tms x y$ 
    let ?c1 = prod-chart-eucl
    let ?c2 = chart-eucl
    let ?atl = manifold-eucl.atlas  $\infty$ 
    let ?prod-atl = c-manifold.atlas prod-charts-eucl  $\infty$ 
    fix p::' $a \times 'a$ 
    assume p $\in$ manifold.carrier ?prod
    show  $\exists c1 \in c\text{-manifold.atlas prod-charts-eucl} \infty. \exists c2 \in manifold\text{-eucl.atlas} \infty.$ 
      p  $\in$  domain c1  $\wedge$ 
      ( $\lambda(x, y). tms x y$ ) ` domain c1  $\subseteq$  domain c2  $\wedge$ 
      smooth-on (codomain c1) (apply-chart c2  $\circ$  ( $\lambda(x, y). tms x y$ )  $\circ$  inv-chart c1)
    proof (intro bexI, intro conjI)
      show ?c1  $\in$  ?prod-atl
        by (rule c-manifold.in-charts-in-atlas [
          OF c-manifold-prod.c-manifold-atlas-product [
            OF eucl-makes-product-manifold
            ] prod-chart-in-prod-charts
          ])
      show ?c2  $\in$  ?atl
        using c-manifold.in-charts-in-atlas by simp
      show p  $\in$  domain ?c1
        by (simp add: prod-domain-codomain)
      show ( $\lambda(x, y). tms x y$ ) ` domain ?c1  $\subseteq$  domain ?c2
        by simp
      show smooth-on (codomain ?c1) (apply-chart ?c2  $\circ$  ( $\lambda(x, y). tms x y$ )  $\circ$  inv-chart
        ?c1)
        using map-fun-eucl-prod-id-f prod-domain-codomain assms
        by metis
    qed
qed (simp add: c-manifold-prod.c-manifold-atlas-product c-manifolds.intro
  eucl-makes-product-manifold manifold-eucl.c-manifold-axioms)

```

```

lemma smooth-unop-diff:
  fixes invs::'a⇒'a::euclidean-space
  assumes smooth-on C invs
  shows diff ∞ charts-eucl charts-eucl invs
proof (unfold diff-def diff-axioms-def, intro conjI allI impI)
  let ?c1 = prod-chart-eucl
  let ?c2 = chart-eucl
  let ?atl = manifold-eucl.atlas ∞
  fix x::'a
  assume x ∈ manifold-eucl.carrier
  show ∃ c1∈manifold-eucl.atlas ∞. ∃ c2∈manifold-eucl.atlas ∞.
    x ∈ domain c1 ∧
    invs ` domain c1 ⊆ domain c2 ∧
    smooth-on (codomain c1) (apply-chart c2 ∘ invs ∘ inv-chart c1)
proof (intro bexI conjI)
  show invs ` domain chart-eucl ⊆ domain ?c2
  by (simp add: image-subsetI)
  have manifold-eucl.carrier = codomain chart-eucl
  by simp
  thus smooth-on (codomain chart-eucl) (apply-chart chart-eucl ∘ invs ∘ inv-chart
chart-eucl)
  using assms map-fun-eucl-id-f
  by metis
qed (simp+)
qed (simp add: manifold-eucl.self.c-manifolds-axioms)

lemma eucl-smooth-group-imp-lie-group:
  assumes is-group: group-on-with C tms tms-1 dvsn invs
  and smooth-mult: smooth-on C-prod (λ(a,b). tms a b)
  and smooth-inv: smooth-on C invs
  shows lie-group charts-eucl tms tms-1 dvsn invs
proof (unfold lie-group-def lie-group-axioms-def, (intro conjI))
  show c-manifold charts-eucl ∞
  using c-manifold-def by (simp add: c1-manifold-atlas-eucl)
  show group-on-with manifold-eucl.carrier tms tms-1 dvsn invs
  using is-group by simp
  show diff ∞ prod-charts-eucl charts-eucl (λ(a, b). tms a b)
  using smooth-binop-diff smooth-mult by auto
  show diff ∞ charts-eucl charts-eucl invs
  using smooth-unop-diff smooth-inv by simp
qed

```

Any Euclidean space is a Lie group under addition.

**theorem** lie-group-eucl: lie-group charts-eucl (+) 0 (-) uminus  
**by** (rule eucl-smooth-group-imp-lie-group [OF eucl-is-group eucl-add-smooth eucl-um-smooth])

**interpretation** lie-group-eucl: lie-group charts-eucl (+) 0 (-) uminus  
**using** lie-group-eucl .

```
end
```

## 9.2 The real numbers as a Lie group

```
lift-definition chart-real::(real, real) chart is
  (UNIV, UNIV,  $\lambda x. x$ ,  $\lambda x. x$ )
  by (auto simp: homeomorphism-def)
```

```
abbreviation charts-real ≡ {chart-real}
```

```
lemma chart-real-is-eucl: charts-eucl = charts-real chart-eucl = chart-real
  by (transfer, simp)+
```

```
theorem lie-group-real: lie-group charts-real (+) 0 (-) uminus
  using euclidean-lie-group-add.lie-group-eucl chart-real-is-eucl by metis
```

```
end
```

## 10 The Lie algebra of a Lie Group

```
theory Lie-Algebra
  imports
    Lie-Group
    Manifold-Lie-Bracket
    Smooth-Manifolds.Cotangent-Space
begin
```

```
sublocale lie-group ⊆ smooth-manifold by unfold-locales
```

```
locale lie-algebra-morphism =
  src: lie-algebra S1 scale1 bracket1 +
  dest: lie-algebra S2 scale2 bracket2 +
  linear-on S1 S2 scale1 scale2 f
  for S1 S2
  and scale1::'a::field ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b::ab-group-add and scale2::'a::field ⇒ 'c ⇒
  'c::ab-group-add
  and bracket1 and bracket2
  and f +
  assumes bracket-hom:  $\bigwedge X Y. X \in S1 \implies Y \in S1 \implies f(\text{bracket1 } X Y) =$ 
  bracket2(f X) (f Y)
```

Multiple isomorphic Lie algebras can be referred to as “the” Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of a given Lie group  $G$ . One Lie algebra is already guaranteed to exist for any Lie group by virtue of *smooth-manifold* ?charts  $\implies$  *lie-algebra* (*smooth-manifold.SVF* ?charts) (\*<sub>R</sub>) *lie-bracket-of-smooth-vector-fields*. We give an isomorphism between the subalgebra of *left-invariant* (smooth) vector fields and the tangent space at identity, and take the latter to be “the”

Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**context** *lie-group* **begin**

Some notation, for simplicity: the Lie group (or here, its carrier) is  $G$ , and the tangent space at the identity (the Lie algebra) is  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**notation** *carrier* ( $\langle G \rangle$ )

**definition** *tangent-space-at-identity* ( $\langle \mathfrak{g} \rangle$ )

**where** *tangent-space-at-identity* = *tangent-space tms-one*

## 10.1 (Left-)invariant vector fields

A vector field  $X$  is invariant under some  $k$ -smooth map  $F$  if the vector assigned to a point  $F(p)$  by  $X$  is the same as the vector assigned by (the push-forward under)  $F$  to the vector  $X(p)$ . Essentially,  $F$  and  $X$  “commute”.

**definition** (in *c-manifold*) *vector-field-invariant-under* :: 'a vector-field  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  bool

(**infix** *<invariant'-under>* 80)

**where** *X invariant-under F*  $\equiv$   $\forall p \in \text{carrier}. \forall f \in \text{diff-fun-space}. X(F(p)) f = (\text{diff.push-forward } k \text{ charts charts } F)(X(p)) f$

— TODO this could be in an instance of *diff* going from a manifold to itself, rather than *diffeomorphism*, i.e. an endomorphism rather than an automorphism.

**definition** (in *c-automorphism*) *invariant* :: 'a vector-field  $\Rightarrow$  bool

**where** *invariant X*  $\equiv$   $\forall p \in \text{carrier}. \forall g \in \text{src.diff-fun-space}. X(f(p)) g = \text{push-forward}(X(p)) g$

**lemma** (in *c-automorphism*) *invariant-simp*: *src.vector-field-invariant-under X f = invariant X*

**unfolding** *src.vector-field-invariant-under-def invariant-def* **by** *simp*

**lemma** (in *c-manifold*) *vector-field-invariant-underD*:  $X(F(p)) f = X(p) (\text{restrict0 carrier } (f \circ F))$

**if** *X invariant-under F diff k charts charts F p ∈ carrier f ∈ diff-fun-space*

**using that by** (auto simp: *vector-field-invariant-under-def diff.push-forward-def*)

**lemma** (in *c-manifold*) *vector-field-invariant-underI*: *X invariant-under F*

**if** *diff k charts charts F ∩ p f. p ∈ carrier  $\implies f \in \text{diff-fun-space} \implies X(F(p)) f = X(p) (\text{restrict0 carrier } (f \circ F))$*

**by** (simp add: *vector-field-invariant-under-def diff.push-forward-def that*)

— Repeat notation from *c-manifold* ?charts ?k  $\implies$  *c-manifold.vector-field-invariant-under ?charts ?k ?X ?F*  $\equiv$   $\forall p \in \text{manifold.carrier} \ ?charts. \forall f \in \text{c-manifold.diff-fun-space} \ ?charts ?k. ?X(?F(p)) f = \text{diff.push-forward } ?k ?charts ?F(?X(p)) f$ .

**notation** *vector-field-invariant-under* (**infix** *<invariant'-under>* 80)

**abbreviation** *L-invariant X*  $\equiv$   $\forall p \in \text{carrier}. X \text{ invariant-under } (\mathcal{L}(p))$

**lemma** *L-invariantD [dest]*:  $X(tms p q) f = X(q) (\text{restrict0 } G(f \circ (\mathcal{L}(p))))$   
**if** *L-invariant X p ∈ G q ∈ G f ∈ diff-fun-space*

**using** *vector-field-invariant-underD diff-tms(1)* **that by auto**

```

lemma L-invariantI [intro]: L-invariant X
  if  $\bigwedge p q f. p \in \text{carrier} \implies q \in \text{carrier} \implies f \in \text{diff-fun-space} \implies X (\text{tms } p q) f = X$ 
   $q (\text{restrict0 } \text{carrier} (f \circ (\mathcal{L} p)))$ 
  using that vector-field-invariant-underI diff-tms(1) by auto

lemma lie-bracket-left-invariant:
  assumes L-invariant X smooth-vector-field X
  and L-invariant Y smooth-vector-field Y
  shows L-invariant [X; Y] smooth-vector-field [X; Y]
proof
  fix p assume p:  $p \in G$ 
  show vector-field-invariant-under [X; Y] ( $\mathcal{L} p$ )
  proof (intro vector-field-invariant-underI)
    fix q f
    assume q:  $q \in G$  and f:  $f \in \text{diff-fun-space}$ 
    have 1:  $\text{restrict0 } G ((Z " f) \circ \mathcal{L} p) = Z " (\text{restrict0 } G (f \circ \mathcal{L} p))$ 
    if Z: L-invariant Z extensional0 carrier Z for Z
    proof
      fix t show  $\text{restrict0 } G ((Z " f) \circ \text{tms } p) t = Z t (\text{restrict0 } G (f \circ \text{tms } p))$ 
      apply (cases t  $\in G$ )
      subgoal
        using f p Z vector-field-invariant-underD[OF -- q smooth-vf-diff-fun-space]
        by (auto)
        using Z by (simp add: extensional0-outside)
    qed
    show [X; Y] ( $\text{tms } p q$ ) f = [X; Y] q ( $\text{restrict0 } G (f \circ \text{tms } p)$ )
    unfolding lie-bracket-def
    using assms diff-tms(1) assms
    by (auto simp: 1 p f vector-field-invariant-underD[OF -- q smooth-vf-diff-fun-space]
    smooth-vector-fieldE(2))
    qed (simp add: p diff-tms(1))
    qed (simp-all add: assms(2,4) lie-bracket-closed)
  
```

In fact, left-invariant smooth vector fields form a Lie subalgebra.

```

lemma subspace-of-left-invariant-svf:
  fixes  $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{L}}$  defines  $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{L}} \equiv \{X \in \text{SVF}. L\text{-invariant } X\}$ 
  shows subspace  $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{L}}$ 
proof (unfold subspace-def, safe)
  interpret SVF: lie-algebra SVF scaleR lie-bracket-of-smooth-vector-fields
  using lie-algebra-of-smooth-vector-fields by simp

  have L-invariant 0
  apply (intro ballI vector-field-invariant-underI) by (simp-all add: diff-tms(1))
  thus 0  $\in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{L}}$  unfolding assms(1) using SVF.m1.mem-zero by blast

  fix c and x
  assume x:  $x \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{L}}$ 

```

```

then have  $L$ -invariant ( $c *_R x$ )
  apply (intro ballI vector-field-invariant-underI) using assms by (auto simp
  add: diff-tms(1))
  thus  $c *_R x \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{L}}$  unfolding assms(1) using SVF.m1.mem-scale x assms by
  blast

fix  $y$ 
assume  $y: y \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{L}}$ 
then have  $L$ -invariant ( $x + y$ )
  apply (intro ballI vector-field-invariant-underI) using assms vector-field-invariant-underD
   $x$  by (auto simp: diff-tms(1))
  thus  $x + y \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{L}}$  unfolding assms(1) using SVF.m1.mem-add assms x y by
  blast
qed

```

```

lemma lie-algebra-of-left-invariant-svf:
  fixes  $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{L}}$  defines  $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{L}} \equiv \{X. \text{smooth-vector-field } X \wedge L\text{-invariant } X\}$ 
  shows lie-algebra  $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{L}}$  ( $*_R$ ) ( $\lambda X Y. [X; Y]$ )
  proof -
    interpret SVF: lie-algebra SVF scaleR lie-bracket-of-smooth-vector-fields
    using lie-algebra-of-smooth-vector-fields by simp
    show ?thesis
      using assms subspace-of-left-invariant-svf by (auto intro: SVF.lie-subalgebra
        simp: SVF.m1.implicit-subspace-with_subspace-with_lie-bracket-left-invariant
        SVF-def)
    qed

end

end

```

**theory** Classical-Groups

```

imports
  Lie-Group
  Linear-Algebra-More

```

**begin**

## 11 Matrix Groups

### 11.1 Entry Type

What would be a good type for the entries of our matrices? Ideally, I would be able to talk about matrices over reals  $\mathbb{R}$ , the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ , and the quaternionic skew-field  $\mathbb{H}$ . This is hard: only algebras and inner product

spaces over  $\mathbb{R}$  are well-supported in Isabelle's Main.

For now, for simplicity, I will work with real matrices only. Alternatively, one could try to characterise the type class containing  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $\mathbb{H}$  only. Below is a first attempt to maintain at least some generality. I give some trivial type instantiations, as a basic check.

However, locales are the way to go, in my opinion.

```
class real-normed-eucl = real-normed-field + euclidean-space
```

```
instance real-normed-eucl ⊆ euclidean-space by standard
instance real-normed-eucl ⊆ real-normed-field by standard
instance real-normed-eucl ⊆ topological-space by standard
```

```
instance real-normed-eucl ⊆ comm-ring by standard
instance real-normed-eucl ⊆ comm-ring-1 by standard
instance real-normed-eucl ⊆ real-algebra-1 by standard
```

```
instance vec :: (real-normed-eucl, finite) topological-space by standard
instance vec :: (real-normed-eucl, finite) euclidean-space by standard
```

```
instance real :: real-normed-eucl by standard
instance complex :: real-normed-eucl by standard
```

## 11.2 Mat(n, F)

The set of all ' $n$ -vectors over a *topological-space* is a *topological-space*: this is proved in *Finite-Cartesian-Product*. Similar for vectors over a *euclidean-space*. Therefore, a vector of vectors over a topological space (i.e. a matrix) is also a topological space. We can thus define the identity as a chart; this is not superbly useful, but serves as a template for charts for the multiplicative matrix groups later on.

```
lift-definition chart-mat::((a::real-normed-eucl,'n::finite)square-matrix, ('a,'n)square-matrix)chart
  is (UNIV, UNIV, λm. m, λm. m)
  by (auto simp: homeomorphism-def)
```

## 11.3 GL(n, F)

We define polymorphic abbreviations for the carrier set of the general linear group as a matrix group over a commutative ring. This group can be considered as the automorphism group on arbitrary modules of non-commutative rings too, but one loses the isomorphism with matrices, and I'm mostly interested in much more specific general linear groups anyway (namely, over real and complex numbers). Using commutative rings (with 1) also means that determinants play nicely.

```

abbreviation in-GL::('a::comm-ring-1,'n::finite)square-matrix ⇒ bool
  where in-GL ≡ invertible
abbreviation GL where GL ≡ Collect in-GL

```

As an example for making the polymorphic  $GL$  concrete, we specify the general linear group in four real/complex dimensions.

```

abbreviation GLR4::(real,4)square-matrix set where GLR4 ≡ GL
abbreviation GLC4::(complex,4)square-matrix set where GLC4 ≡ GL

```

PROBLEM: the inner product on the LHS is real, not complex, which is why the commented line (involving complex multiplication) cannot work (it only passes type checking because *complex-of-real* is a coercion).

```

lemma
  assumes x ∈ GLC4

```

```

  shows ((row i x · row i x)::real) = (∑ j ∈ UNIV. (row i x)$j · (row i x)$j)
  by (simp add: inner-vec-def)

```

We now define the chart that makes  $GL(n,F)$  a Lie group. Since a chart is a homeomorphism, we first need to show that  $GL$  is an open set. Notice this  $GL$  is already restricted to have much more powerful entries, since we require topology (continuity) now.

```

lemma GL-preimage-det: det −‘ (UNIV − {0::'a::real-normed-eucl}) = GL
proof (safe)

```

```

  fix x::('a::real-normed-eucl, 'n::finite) square-matrix
  assume in-GL x
  then show x ∈ det −‘ (UNIV − {0})
    using invertible-det-nz by auto

```

```

next

```

```

  fix x::('a::real-normed-eucl, 'n::finite) square-matrix
  assume det x ≠ 0
  then show in-GL x
    by (simp add: invertible-det-nz)

```

```

qed

```

```

lemma open-GL: open (GL::('a::real-normed-eucl,'n::finite)square-matrix set)
  using open-vimage continuous-on-det GL-preimage-det
  by (metis open-UNIV open-delete)

```

```

lift-definition chart-GL::((('a::real-normed-eucl,'n::finite)square-matrix, ('a,'n)square-matrix)chart
  is (GL, GL, λm. m, λm. m)
  by (auto simp: homeomorphism-def open-GL)

```

```

lift-definition real-chart-GL::((real,'n::finite)square-matrix, (real,'n)square-matrix)chart
  is (GL, GL, λm. m, λm. m)
  by (auto simp: homeomorphism-def open-GL)

```

```

lemma transfer-GL [simp]:
  shows domain chart-GL = GL
  and codomain chart-GL = GL

```

**and** *apply-chart chart-GL* =  $(\lambda x. x)$   
**and** *inv-chart chart-GL* =  $(\lambda x. x)$   
**by** (*transfer, simp*) +

**abbreviation** *charts-GL* **where** *charts-GL*  $\equiv \{chart\text{-}GL\}$   
**abbreviation** *real-charts-GL* **where** *real-charts-GL*  $\equiv \{real\text{-}chart\text{-}GL\}$

**interpretation** *manifold-GL*: *c-manifold charts-GL k*  
**using** *smooth-compat-refl* **by** (*unfold-locales, simp*)

**abbreviation** *prod-chart-GL* ::  $(('a::real\text{-}normed\text{-}eucl, 'b::finite)square\text{-}matrix \times ('a, 'b)square\text{-}matrix, ('a, 'b)square\text{-}matrix \times ('a, 'b)square\text{-}matrix) chart$   
**where** *prod-chart-GL*  $\equiv c\text{-}manifold\text{-}prod.prod\text{-}chart chart\text{-}GL chart\text{-}GL$   
**abbreviation** *prod-charts-GL* ::  $(('a::real\text{-}normed\text{-}eucl, 'b::finite)square\text{-}matrix \times ('a, 'b)square\text{-}matrix, ('a, 'b)square\text{-}matrix \times ('a, 'b)square\text{-}matrix) chart\text{-}set$   
**where** *prod-charts-GL*  $\equiv c\text{-}manifold\text{-}prod.prod\text{-}charts charts\text{-}GL charts\text{-}GL$

**interpretation** *prod-manifold-GL*: *c-manifold-prod k*  
*charts-GL*:: $(('a::real\text{-}normed\text{-}eucl, 'n::finite)square\text{-}matrix, ('a, 'n)square\text{-}matrix) chart\text{-}set$   
*charts-GL*:: $(('a::real\text{-}normed\text{-}eucl, 'n::finite)square\text{-}matrix, ('a, 'n)square\text{-}matrix) chart\text{-}set$   
**unfolding** *c-manifold-prod-def apply* (*simp add: manifold-GL.c-manifold-axioms*)  
**done**

**abbreviation** *prod-GL-carrier*  $\equiv manifold.carrier prod\text{-}manifold\text{-}GL.prod\text{-}charts$   
**abbreviation** *prod-GL-atlas*  $\equiv c\text{-}manifold.atlas prod\text{-}manifold\text{-}GL.prod\text{-}charts \infty$

**lemma** *transfer-prod-GL* [*simp*]:  
**shows** *domain prod-chart-GL* =  $GL \times GL$   
**and** *codomain prod-chart-GL* =  $GL \times GL$   
**and** *apply-chart prod-chart-GL* =  $(\lambda x. x)$   
**and** *inv-chart prod-chart-GL* =  $(\lambda x. x)$   
**using** *c-manifold-prod.domain-prod-chart c-manifold-prod.codomain-prod-chart*  
*c-manifold-prod.apply-prod-chart c-manifold-prod.inv-chart-prod-chart transfer-GL*  
**by** *auto*

**lemma** *manifold-GL-carrier* [*simp*]: *manifold-GL.carrier* =  $GL$   
**by** (*simp add: manifold-GL.carrier-def*)

**lemma** *prod-manifold-GL-carrier* [*simp*]: *prod-GL-carrier* =  $GL \times GL$   
**using** *prod-manifold-GL.prod-carrier* **by** *auto*

The following lemma basically just does unfolding and type checking.  
Possibly useful once general results for *charts-GL* need to be specified down to *real-charts-GL*.

**lemma** *real-GL-is-a-GL*:

```

shows real-chart-GL = chart-GL
and real-charts-GL = charts-GL
and manifold.carrier (c-manifold-prod.prod-charts real-charts-GL real-charts-GL)
= prod-GL-carrier
unfolding chart-GL-def real-chart-GL-def by simp+

```

```

lemma mult-closed-on-GL:
fixes f-mult :: ('a,'b)square-matrix × ('a,'b)square-matrix
    ⇒ ('a::comm-ring-1, 'b::finite) square-matrix
defines f-mult: f-mult ≡ (λ(x, y). x ** y)
shows f-mult ‘ (GL×GL) ⊆ GL
proof
fix x
assume x ∈ f-mult ‘ (GL × GL)
then obtain y z::('a,'b)square-matrix where x = y**z invertible y invertible z
    using f-mult by auto
then show x ∈ GL
    by (simp add: invertible-mult)
qed

```

```

lemma GL-group-mult-right-div:
shows group-on-with (domain chart-GL) (**) (mat 1) (λm1 m2. m1 ** matrix-inv
m2) matrix-inv
apply unfold-locales
apply (simp-all add: matrix-mul-assoc invertible-mult invertible-mat-1 invert-
ible-matrix-inv)
by (simp add: matrix-inv-def invertible-right-inverse matrix-left-right-inverse verit-sko-ex-indirect)

```

```

lemma smooth-on-proj: smooth-on prod-GL-carrier fst smooth-on prod-GL-carrier
snd
using smooth-on-fst [OF smooth-on-id manifold.open-carrier] apply blast
using smooth-on-snd [OF smooth-on-id manifold.open-carrier] by blast

```

```

lemma mult-smooth-on-real-GL:
fixes f-mult :: (real,'n)square-matrix × (real,'n)square-matrix ⇒ (real,'n::finite)square-matrix
defines f-mult: f-mult ≡ (λ(x, y). x ** y)
shows smooth-on (GL×GL) f-mult
proof (unfold f-mult, simp add: case-prod-beta', intro smooth-on-matrix-mult)
— Isabelle doesn't seem to infer types for GL and prod-GL-carrier below, even
though they should be clear from being accepted in "show" statements (i.e. they
should be inferred from having to match the types in the lemma's goal).
let ?GL = GL:(real,'n)square-matrix set
show smooth-on (?GL × ?GL) fst
using smooth-on-proj(1) by simp
show smooth-on (?GL × ?GL) snd
using smooth-on-proj(2) by simp

```

```

show open (?GL × ?GL)
  using manifold.open-carrier[of prod-charts-GL] prod-manifold-GL-carrier by
simp
qed

```

```

lemma mult-smooth-on-GL-expanded:
  assumes x ∈ prod-GL-carrier
  shows x ∈ domain prod-chart-GL
    and (λ(x, y). x ** y) ‘ domain prod-chart-GL ⊆ domain chart-GL
    and smooth-on (codomain prod-chart-GL) (apply-chart chart-GL ∘ (λ(x, y). x
** y) ∘ inv-chart prod-chart-GL)
  using assms apply fastforce
  apply (simp add: mult-closed-on-GL)
  apply (simp add: fun.map-ident)
  using mult-smooth-on-real-GL — only for real entries
oops

```

```

lemma mult-smooth-on-real-GL-expanded:
  fixes f-mult :: (real,'n)square-matrix × (real,'n)square-matrix ⇒ (real,'n::finite)square-matrix
  and x :: (real,'n)square-matrix×(real,'n)square-matrix
  defines f-mult: f-mult ≡ (λ(x, y). x ** y)
  assumes x ∈ prod-GL-carrier
  shows x ∈ domain prod-chart-GL
    and f-mult ‘ domain prod-chart-GL ⊆ domain chart-GL
    and smooth-on (codomain prod-chart-GL) (apply-chart chart-GL ∘ f-mult ∘
inv-chart prod-chart-GL)
proof –
  show x ∈ domain prod-chart-GL
    using assms by fastforce
  show f-mult ‘ domain prod-chart-GL ⊆ domain chart-GL
    by (simp add: f-mult mult-closed-on-GL)
  show smooth-on (codomain prod-chart-GL) (apply-chart chart-GL ∘ f-mult ∘
inv-chart prod-chart-GL)
    apply (simp add: fun.map-ident)
    by (simp add: f-mult mult-smooth-on-real-GL)
qed

```

```

theorem real-GL-Lie-group: lie-group real-charts-GL (**)(mat 1) (λm1 m2. m1
** (matrix-inv m2)) matrix-inv
proof (intro group-manifold-imp-lie-group2)
  let ?div = λm1 m2. m1 ** matrix-inv m2
  let ?prod = c-manifold-prod.prod-charts real-charts-GL real-charts-GL
  show c-manifold real-charts-GL ∞
    by (simp add: manifold-GL.c-manifold-axioms real-GL-is-a-GL(2))
  show group-on-with (⋃ (domain ‘ real-charts-GL)) (**)(mat 1) ?div matrix-inv
    using GL-group-mult-right-div real-GL-is-a-GL(2)

```

```

by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) manifold.carrier-def manifold-GL-carrier transfer-GL(1))
show diff-axioms  $\infty$  ?prod real-charts-GL ( $\lambda(a, b). a ** b$ )
proof (unfold-diff-axioms; unfold real-GL-is-a-GL(1,2) prod-manifold-GL-carrier)
  fix  $x :: ((real, 'b) vec, 'b) vec \times ((real, 'b) vec, 'b) vec$ 
  assume  $x\text{-in: } x \in GL \times GL$ 
  show  $x \in domain prod\text{-chart-}GL$ 
    using  $x\text{-in}$  by simp
  show real-chart-GL  $\in$  manifold-GL.atlas  $\infty$ 
    by (simp add: manifold-GL.in-charts-in-atlas real-GL-is-a-GL(1))
  show prod-chart-GL  $\in$  prod-GL-atlas
    by (simp add: prod-manifold-GL.prod-chart-in-atlas)
  show mult-maps-domain:  $(\lambda(x, y). x ** y) ` domain prod\text{-chart-}GL \subseteq domain real\text{-chart-}GL$ 
    using  $x\text{-in}$  mult-smooth-on-real-GL-expanded(2)
    by (simp add: mult-closed-on-GL real-GL-is-a-GL(1))
  show smooth-on (codomain prod-chart-GL)
    apply-chart real-chart-GL  $\circ$   $(\lambda(x, y). x ** y) \circ inv\text{-chart prod\text{-chart-}GL}$ 
    using mult-smooth-on-real-GL-expanded(3)  $x\text{-in real-GL-is-a-GL(1)}$ 
    by (metis prod-manifold-GL-carrier smooth-on-open-subsetsI transfer-prod-GL(2))
qed

show diff-axioms  $\infty$  real-charts-GL real-charts-GL matrix-inv
proof (unfold-diff-axioms; unfold real-GL-is-a-GL(1,2) manifold-GL-carrier)
  fix  $x :: ((real, 'b) vec, 'b) vec$ 
  assume  $x\text{-in: } x \in GL$ 
— Cheeky: "cast up" the real matrix x to be in the domain of chart-GL, rather than real-chart-GL. This makes proofs easier for sledgehammer wherever they involve lemmas about GL in general.
  show  $x \in domain real\text{-chart-}GL$ 
    using  $x\text{-in}$  by (simp add: real-GL-is-a-GL(1))
  show chart-GL  $\in$  manifold-GL.atlas  $\infty$ 
    by (simp add: manifold-GL.in-charts-in-atlas)
  show real-chart-GL  $\in$  manifold-GL.atlas  $\infty$ 
    by (simp add: manifold-GL.in-charts-in-atlas real-GL-is-a-GL(1))
  show mult-maps-domain: matrix-inv ` domain real-chart-GL  $\subseteq domain chart\text{-}GL$ 
    by (simp add: image-subset-iff invertible-matrix-inv real-GL-is-a-GL(1))
  have 1:  $(\lambda x. x) \circ matrix\text{-inv} \circ (\lambda x. x) = matrix\text{-inv}$ 
    by auto
  show smooth-on (codomain real-chart-GL) (apply-chart chart-GL  $\circ$  matrix-inv
   $\circ inv\text{-chart real\text{-chart-}GL}$ )
    using smooth-on-matrix-inv[ $OF - open\text{-}GL$ ] by (simp add: real-GL-is-a-GL(1))
  1)
qed
qed

```

**corollary** real-GL-Lie-grp: lie-grp real-charts-GL (\*\*)(mat 1)  
**using** lie-group-imp-lie-grp[ $OF$  real-GL-Lie-group].

**end**

## References

- [1] F. Immler and B. Zhan. Smooth manifolds. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, October 2018. [https://isa-afp.org/entries/Smooth\\_Manifolds.html](https://isa-afp.org/entries/Smooth_Manifolds.html), Formal proof development.
- [2] J. M. Lee. *Introduction to Smooth Manifolds*, volume 218 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, NY, 2012.