# Latin Square

## Alexander Bentkamp

March 17, 2025

#### Abstract

A theory about Latin Squares following [1]. A Latin Square is a  $n \times n$  table filled with integers from 1 to n where each number appears exactly once in each row and each column. A Latin Rectangle is a partially filled  $n \times n$  table with r filled rows and n-r empty rows, such that each number appears at most once in each row and each column. The main result of this theory is that any Latin Rectangle can be completed to a Latin Square.

### Contents

theory Latin-Square imports Marriage.Marriage begin

This theory is about Latin Squares. A Latin Square is a  $n \times n$  table filled with integers from 1 to n where each number appears exactly once in each row and each column.

As described in "Das Buch der Beweise" a nice way to describe these squares by a  $3 \times n$  matrix. Each column of this matrix contains the index of the row r, the index of the column c and the number in the cell (r,c). This  $3 \times n$  matrix is called orthogonal array ("Zeilenmatrix").

I thought about different ways to formalize this orthogonal array, and came up with this: As the order of the columns in the array does not matter at all and no column can be a duplicate of another column, the orthogonal array is in fact a set of 3-tuples. Another advantage of formalizing it as a set is that it can easily model partially filled squares. For these 3-tuples I decided against 3-lists and against  $nat \times nat \times nat$  (which is really  $(nat \times nat) \times nat$ ) in favor of a function from a type with three elements to nat.

Additionally I use the numbers 0 to n-1 instead of 1 to n for indexing the rows and columns as well as for filling the cells.

 $datatype \ latin-type = Row \mid Col \mid Num$ 

```
latin_type is of sort enum, needed for "value" command
```

```
instantiation latin-type :: enum begin definition enum-latin-type == [Row, Col, Num] definition enum-all-latin-type (P:: latin-type \Rightarrow bool) = (P Row \land P Col \land P Num) definition enum-ex-latin-type (P:: latin-type \Rightarrow bool) = (\exists x. P x) instance \langle proof \rangle
```

#### end

Given a latin\_type t, you might want to reference the other two. These are "next t" and "next (next t)":

```
definition [simp]:next t \equiv (case \ t \ of \ Row \Rightarrow Col \ | \ Col \Rightarrow Num \ | \ Num \Rightarrow Row)
```

```
lemma all-types-next-eqiv:(\forall t. \ P \ (next \ t)) \longleftrightarrow (\forall t. \ P \ t) \land proof \rangle
```

We call a column of the orthogonal array a latin\_entry:

```
type-synonym latin-entry = latin-type \Rightarrow nat
```

This function removes one element of the 3-tupel and returns the other two as a pair:

```
definition without :: latin-type \Rightarrow latin-entry \Rightarrow nat \times nat where [simp]:without t \equiv \lambda e. (e (next t), e (next (next t)))
```

value without Row ( $\lambda t$ . case t of Row  $\Rightarrow 0 \mid Col \Rightarrow 1 \mid Num \Rightarrow 2$ ) — returns (1,2)

abbreviation row- $col \equiv without Num$ 

returns row and column of a latin\_entry as a pair.

```
abbreviation col-num \equiv without Row
```

returns column and number of a latin entry as a pair.

 $\textbf{abbreviation} \ \textit{num-row} \equiv \textit{without} \ \textit{Col}$ 

returns number and row of a latin\_entry as a pair.

A partial latin square is a square that contains each number at most once in each row and each column, but not all cells have to be filled. Equivalently we can say that any two rows of the orthogonal array contain each pair of two numbers at most once. This can be expressed using the inj\_on predicate:

```
definition partial-latin-square :: latin-entry set \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool where partial-latin-square s n \equiv
```

```
(\forall t. inj\text{-}on \ (without \ t) \ s) \land -- numbers are unique in each column (t=Row), numbers are unique in each row (t=Col), rows-column combinations are specified unambiguously (t=Num)
```

 $(\forall e \in s. \ \forall t. \ e \ t < n)$  — all numbers, column indices and row indices are <n

```
value partial-latin-square {  (\lambda t. \ case \ t \ of \ Row \Rightarrow 0 \mid Col \Rightarrow 1 \mid Num \Rightarrow 0), \\ (\lambda t. \ case \ t \ of \ Row \Rightarrow 1 \mid Col \Rightarrow 0 \mid Num \Rightarrow 1) \\ \} \ \mathcal{Z} - \text{True}  value partial-latin-square {  (\lambda t. \ case \ t \ of \ Row \Rightarrow 0 \mid Col \Rightarrow 0 \mid Num \Rightarrow 1), \\ (\lambda t. \ case \ t \ of \ Row \Rightarrow 1 \mid Col \Rightarrow 0 \mid Num \Rightarrow 1), \\ \{ \mathcal{Z} - \text{False, because 1 appears twice in column 0}
```

Looking at the orthogonal array a latin square is given iff any two rows of the orthogonal array contain each pair of two numbers at exactly once:

```
definition latin-square :: latin-entry set \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool where latin-square s n \equiv (\forall t. \ bij\text{-betw}\ (without\ t)\ s\ (\{0...< n\} \times \{0...< n\}))

value latin-square {
    (\lambda t. \ case\ t\ of\ Row\ \Rightarrow\ 0\ |\ Col\ \Rightarrow\ 0\ |\ Num\ \Rightarrow\ 1),\ (\lambda t. \ case\ t\ of\ Row\ \Rightarrow\ 0\ |\ Col\ \Rightarrow\ 1\ |\ Num\ \Rightarrow\ 0),\ (\lambda t. \ case\ t\ of\ Row\ \Rightarrow\ 1\ |\ Col\ \Rightarrow\ 1\ |\ Num\ \Rightarrow\ 1)\}

2— True

value latin-square {
    (\lambda t. \ case\ t\ of\ Row\ \Rightarrow\ 0\ |\ Col\ \Rightarrow\ 0\ |\ Num\ \Rightarrow\ 1),\ (\lambda t. \ case\ t\ of\ Row\ \Rightarrow\ 0\ |\ Col\ \Rightarrow\ 1\ |\ Num\ \Rightarrow\ 0),\ (\lambda t. \ case\ t\ of\ Row\ \Rightarrow\ 1\ |\ Col\ \Rightarrow\ 1\ |\ Num\ \Rightarrow\ 0),\ (\lambda t. \ case\ t\ of\ Row\ \Rightarrow\ 1\ |\ Col\ \Rightarrow\ 1\ |\ Num\ \Rightarrow\ 0),\ (\lambda t. \ case\ t\ of\ Row\ \Rightarrow\ 1\ |\ Col\ \Rightarrow\ 1\ |\ Num\ \Rightarrow\ 0)
} 2 — False, because 0 appears twice in Col 1 and twice in Row 1
```

A latin rectangle is a partial latin square in which the first m rows are filled and the following rows are empty:

```
definition latin-rect :: latin-entry set \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool where latin-rect s m n \equiv m \leq n \land partial-latin-square s n \land bij-betw row-col s (\{0..< m\} \times \{0..< n\}) \land bij-betw num-row s (\{0..< m\} \times \{0..< m\}) value latin-rect \{ (\lambda t. \ case \ t \ of \ Row \Rightarrow 0 \mid Col \Rightarrow 0 \mid Num \Rightarrow 1), \ (\lambda t. \ case \ t \ of \ Row \Rightarrow 0 \mid Col \Rightarrow 1 \mid Num \Rightarrow 0) \} 1 2 — True
```

```
value latin\text{-}rect { (\lambda t.\ case\ t\ of\ Row\ \Rightarrow\ 0\mid Col\ \Rightarrow\ 0\mid Num\ \Rightarrow\ 1),\ (\lambda t.\ case\ t\ of\ Row\ \Rightarrow\ 0\mid Col\ \Rightarrow\ 1\mid Num\ \Rightarrow\ 0),\ (\lambda t.\ case\ t\ of\ Row\ \Rightarrow\ 1\mid Col\ \Rightarrow\ 1\mid Num\ \Rightarrow\ 1) } latin Value\ variable 1 } latin\ Value\ value
```

There is another equivalent description of latin rectangles, which is easier to prove:

#### lemma latin-rect-iff:

```
m \le n \land partial\text{-}latin\text{-}square } s \ n \land card \ s = n*m \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin\text{-}rect } s \ m \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin\text{-}rect } s \ m \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin\text{-}rect } s \ m \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin\text{-}rect } s \ m \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin\text{-}rect } s \ m \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin\text{-}rect } s \ m \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin\text{-}rect } s \ m \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin\text{-}rect } s \ m \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin\text{-}rect } s \ m \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin\text{-}rect } s \ m \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin\text{-}rect } s \ m \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin\text{-}rect } s \ m \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin\text{-}rect } s \ m \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin\text{-}rect } s \ m \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin\text{-}rect } s \ m \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin\text{-}rect } s \ m \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin\text{-}rect } s \ m \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow latin \ n \land (\forall \ e \in s. \ e \ Row < m) \longleftrightarrow
```

A square is a latin square iff it is a partial latin square with all  $n^2$  cells filled:

```
lemma partial-latin-square-full:
partial-latin-square s n \land card s = n*n \longleftrightarrow latin-square <math>s n \land card s = n*n \longleftrightarrow latin-square s
```

Now we prove Lemma 1 from chapter 27 in "Das Buch der Beweise". But first some lemmas, that prove very intuitive facts:

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma} \ \textit{bij-restrict:} \\ \textbf{assumes} \ \textit{bij-betw} \ f \ A \ B \ \forall \ a {\in} A. \ P \ a \longleftrightarrow Q \ (f \ a) \\ \textbf{shows} \ \textit{bij-betw} \ f \ \{a {\in} A. \ P \ a\} \ \{b {\in} B. \ Q \ b\} \\ \langle \textit{proof} \rangle \\ \end{array}
```

lemma cartesian-product-margin1: assumes  $a \in A$ 

```
shows \{p \in A \times B. \text{ fst } p = a\} = \{a\} \times B \ \langle proof \rangle
```

**lemma** cartesian-product-margin2:

```
assumes b \in B
shows \{p \in A \times B. \ snd \ p = b\} = A \times \{b\}
\langle proof \rangle
```

The union of sets containing at most k elements each cannot contain more elements than the number of sets times k:

```
lemma limited-family-union: finite B \Longrightarrow \forall P \in B. card P \leq k \Longrightarrow card (\bigcup B) \leq card B * k \langle proof \rangle
```

If f hits each element at most k times, the domain of f can only be k times bigger than the image of f:

```
lemma limited-preimages: assumes \forall x \in f 'D. card ((f - `\{x\}) \cap D) \leq k finite D shows card D \leq card (f ' D) * k
```

```
\langle proof \rangle
```

Let  $A_1, \ldots, A_n$  be sets with k > 0 elements each. Any element is only contained in at most k of these sets. Then there are more different elements in total than sets  $A_i$ :

```
lemma union-limited-replicates: assumes finite I \ \forall i \in I. finite (A \ i) \ k > 0 \ \forall i \in I. card (A \ i) = k \ \forall i \in I. \forall x \in (A \ i). card \{i \in I. \ x \in A \ i\} \leq k shows card \ (\bigcup i \in I. \ (A \ i)) \geq card \ I \ \langle proof \rangle
```

In a  $m \times n$  latin rectangle each number appears in m columns:

```
lemma latin-rect-card-col: assumes latin-rect s m n x < n shows card \{e Col|e. e \in s \land e Num = x\} = m \langle proof \rangle
```

In a  $m \times n$  latin rectangle each column contains m numbers:

```
lemma latin-rect-card-num: assumes latin-rect s m n x < n shows card \{e Num|e. e \in s \land e Col = x\} = m \langle proof \rangle
```

Finally we prove lemma 1 chapter 27 of "Das Buch der Beweise":

```
theorem
```

```
assumes latin\text{-}rect\ s\ (n-m)\ n\ m\leq n

shows \exists\ s'.\ s\subseteq s' \land\ latin\text{-}square\ s'\ n

\langle\ proof\ \rangle
```

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

### References

[1] M. Aigner and G. Ziegler. Das Buch der Beweise. Springer, 2004.