

Landau Symbols

Manuel Eberl

March 17, 2025

Contents

1	Sorting and grouping factors	1
2	Decision procedure for real functions	4
2.1	Eventual non-negativity/non-zeroness	4
2.2	Rewriting Landau symbols	8
2.3	Preliminary facts	9
2.4	Decision procedure	10
2.5	Reification	16
3	Simplification procedures	20
3.1	Simplification under Landau symbols	20
3.2	Simproc setup	21
3.3	Tests	22
3.3.1	Product simplification tests	22
3.3.2	Real product decision procure tests	22
3.3.3	Sum cancelling tests	23

1 Sorting and grouping factors

```
theory Group-Sort
imports Main HOL-Library.Multiset
begin
```

For the reification of products of powers of primitive functions such as $\lambda x. x * (\ln x)^2$ into a canonical form, we need to be able to sort the factors according to the growth of the primitive function it contains and merge terms with the same function by adding their exponents. The following locale defines such an operation in a general setting; we can then instantiate it for our setting.

The locale takes as parameters a key function f that sends list elements into a linear ordering that determines the sorting order, a $merge$ function to merge two equivalent (w.r.t. f) elements into one, and a list reduction

function g that reduces a list to a single value. This function must be invariant w.r.t. the order of list elements and be compatible with merging of equivalent elements. In our case, this list reduction function will be the product of all list elements.

```

locale groupsort =
  fixes f :: 'a ⇒ ('b:linorder)
  fixes merge :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
  fixes g :: 'a list ⇒ 'c
  assumes f-merge:  $f x = f y \Rightarrow f (\text{merge } x y) = f x$ 
  assumes g-cong:  $\text{mset } xs = \text{mset } ys \Rightarrow g xs = g ys$ 
  assumes g-merge:  $f x = f y \Rightarrow g [x,y] = g [\text{merge } x y]$ 
  assumes g-append-cong:  $g xs1 = g xs2 \Rightarrow g ys1 = g ys2 \Rightarrow g (xs1 @ ys1) = g (xs2 @ ys2)$ 
begin

context
begin

private function part-aux :: 'b ⇒ 'a list × ('a list) × ('a list) × ('a list) × ('a list)
where
  part-aux p [] (ls, eq, gs) = (ls, eq, gs)
  | f x < p ⇒ part-aux p (x#xs) (ls, eq, gs) = part-aux p xs (x#ls, eq, gs)
  | f x > p ⇒ part-aux p (x#xs) (ls, eq, gs) = part-aux p xs (ls, eq, x#gs)
  | f x = p ⇒ part-aux p (x#xs) (ls, eq, gs) = part-aux p xs (ls, eq@[x], gs)
  ⟨proof⟩
termination ⟨proof⟩ lemma groupsort-locale: groupsort f merge g ⟨proof⟩ lemmas part-aux-induct = part-aux.induct[split-format (complete), OF groupsort-locale]

private definition part where part p xs = part-aux (f p) xs ([][], [p], [])

private lemma part:
  part p xs = (rev (filter (λx. f x < f p) xs),
  p # filter (λx. f x = f p) xs, rev (filter (λx. f x > f p) xs))
  ⟨proof⟩ function sort :: 'a list ⇒ 'a list where
    sort [] = []
    | sort (x#xs) = (case part x xs of (ls, eq, gs) ⇒ sort ls @ eq @ sort gs)
  ⟨proof⟩
termination ⟨proof⟩ lemma filter-mset-union:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in A \Rightarrow P x \Rightarrow Q x \Rightarrow \text{False}$ 
  shows filter-mset P A + filter-mset Q A = filter-mset ( $\lambda x. P x \vee Q x$ ) A (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma multiset-of-sort: mset (sort xs) = mset xs
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma g-sort: g (sort xs) = g xs
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma set-sort: set (sort xs) = set xs
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma sorted-all-equal: ( $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \Rightarrow x = y$ ) ⇒ sorted xs
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma sorted-sort: sorted (map f (sort xs))
  ⟨proof⟩ fun group where
    group [] = []
  
```

```

| group (x#xs) = (case partition ( $\lambda y. f y = f x$ ) xs of (xs', xs'')  $\Rightarrow$ 
  fold merge xs' x # group xs'')
private lemma f-fold-merge: ( $\bigwedge y. y \in set xs \Rightarrow f y = f x$ )  $\Rightarrow$  f (fold merge xs
x) = f x
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma f-group:  $x \in set (group xs) \Rightarrow \exists x' \in set xs. f x = f x'$ 
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma sorted-group: sorted (map f xs)  $\Rightarrow$  sorted (map f (group xs))
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma distinct-group: distinct (map f (group xs))
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma g-fold-same:
    assumes  $\bigwedge z. z \in set xs \Rightarrow f z = f x$ 
    shows g (fold merge xs x # ys) = g (x#xs@ys)
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma g-group: g (group xs) = g xs
  ⟨proof⟩

function group-part-aux :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  ('a list)  $\times$  'a  $\times$  ('a list)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a list)  $\times$  'a  $\times$  ('a list)
where
  group-part-aux p [] (ls, eq, gs) = (ls, eq, gs)
  | f x < p  $\Rightarrow$  group-part-aux p (x#xs) (ls, eq, gs) = group-part-aux p xs (x#ls, eq,
  gs)
  | f x > p  $\Rightarrow$  group-part-aux p (x#xs) (ls, eq, gs) = group-part-aux p xs (ls, eq,
  x#gs)
  | f x = p  $\Rightarrow$  group-part-aux p (x#xs) (ls, eq, gs) = group-part-aux p xs (ls, merge
  x eq, gs)
  ⟨proof⟩
termination ⟨proof⟩ lemmas group-part-aux-induct =
  group-part-aux.induct[split-format (complete), OF groupsort-locale]

definition group-part where group-part p xs = group-part-aux (f p) xs ([] , p, [])
private lemma group-part:
  group-part p xs = (rev (filter ( $\lambda x. f x < f p$ ) xs),
  fold merge (filter ( $\lambda x. f x = f p$ ) xs) p, rev (filter ( $\lambda x. f x > f p$ ) xs))
  ⟨proof⟩

function group-sort :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where
  group-sort [] = []
  | group-sort (x#xs) = (case group-part x xs of (ls, eq, gs)  $\Rightarrow$  group-sort ls @ eq #
  group-sort gs)
  ⟨proof⟩
termination ⟨proof⟩ lemma group-append:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x y. x \in set xs \Rightarrow y \in set ys \Rightarrow f x \neq f y$ 
  shows group (xs @ ys) = group xs @ group ys
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma group-empty-iff [simp]: group xs = []  $\longleftrightarrow$  xs = []
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma group-sort-correct: group-sort xs = group (sort xs)

```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma sorted-group-sort: sorted (map f (group-sort xs))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma distinct-group-sort: distinct (map f (group-sort xs))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma g-group-sort: g (group-sort xs) = g xs
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas [simp del] = group-sort.simps group-part-aux.simps

end
end

end
```

2 Decision procedure for real functions

```
theory Landau-Real-Products
imports
  Main
  HOL-Library.Function-Algebras
  HOL-Library.Set-Algebras
  HOL-Library.Landau-Symbols
  Group-Sort
begin
```

2.1 Eventual non-negativity/non-zeroness

For certain transformations of Landau symbols, it is required that the functions involved are eventually non-negative or non-zero. In the following, we set up a system to guide the simplifier to discharge these requirements during simplification at least in obvious cases.

```
definition eventually-nonzero F f  $\longleftrightarrow$  eventually ( $\lambda x. (f x :: - :: \text{real-normed-field}) \neq 0$ ) F
definition eventually-nonneg F f  $\longleftrightarrow$  eventually ( $\lambda x. (f x :: - :: \text{linordered-field}) \geq 0$ ) F
```

```
named-theorems eventually-nonzero-simps
```

```
lemmas [eventually-nonzero-simps] =
  eventually-nonzero-def [symmetric] eventually-nonneg-def [symmetric]
```

```
lemma eventually-nonzeroD: eventually-nonzero F f  $\implies$  eventually ( $\lambda x. f x \neq 0$ )
F
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eventually-nonzero-const* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
 eventually-nonzero $F (\lambda\cdots:\text{linorder}. c) \longleftrightarrow F = \text{bot} \vee c \neq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eventually-nonzero-inverse* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
 eventually-nonzero $F (\lambda x. \text{inverse}(f x)) \longleftrightarrow \text{eventually-nonzero } F f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eventually-nonzero-mult* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
 eventually-nonzero $F (\lambda x. f x * g x) \longleftrightarrow \text{eventually-nonzero } F f \wedge \text{eventually-nonzero } F g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eventually-nonzero-pow* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
 eventually-nonzero $F (\lambda x:\text{linorder}. f x \wedge n) \longleftrightarrow n = 0 \vee \text{eventually-nonzero } F f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eventually-nonzero-divide* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
 eventually-nonzero $F (\lambda x. f x / g x) \longleftrightarrow \text{eventually-nonzero } F f \wedge \text{eventually-nonzero } F g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eventually-nonzero-ident-at-top-linorder* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
 eventually-nonzero $\text{at-top} (\lambda x:\{ \text{real-normed-field}, \text{linordered-field} \}. x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eventually-nonzero-ident-nhds* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
 eventually-nonzero $(\text{nhds } a) (\lambda x. x) \longleftrightarrow a \neq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eventually-nonzero-ident-at-within* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
 eventually-nonzero $(\text{at } a \text{ within } A) (\lambda x. x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eventually-nonzero-ln-at-top* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
 eventually-nonzero $\text{at-top} (\lambda x:\text{real}. \ln x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eventually-nonzero-ln-const-at-top* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
 $b > 0 \implies \text{eventually-nonzero } \text{at-top} (\lambda x. \ln(b * x :: \text{real}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eventually-nonzero-ln-const'-at-top* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
 $b > 0 \implies \text{eventually-nonzero } \text{at-top} (\lambda x. \ln(x * b :: \text{real}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eventually-nonzero-powr-at-top* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
eventually-nonzero at-top ($\lambda x::\text{real}. f x \text{ powr } p$) \longleftrightarrow *eventually-nonzero at-top* f
(proof)

lemma *eventually-nonneg-const* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
eventually-nonneg F ($\lambda \cdot. c$) \longleftrightarrow $F = \text{bot} \vee c \geq 0$
(proof)

lemma *eventually-nonneg-inverse* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
eventually-nonneg F ($\lambda x. \text{inverse}(f x)$) \longleftrightarrow *eventually-nonneg F* f
(proof)

lemma *eventually-nonneg-add* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
assumes *eventually-nonneg F* f *eventually-nonneg F* g
shows *eventually-nonneg F* ($\lambda x. f x + g x$)
(proof)

lemma *eventually-nonneg-mult* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
assumes *eventually-nonneg F* f *eventually-nonneg F* g
shows *eventually-nonneg F* ($\lambda x. f x * g x$)
(proof)

lemma *eventually-nonneg-mult'* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
assumes *eventually-nonneg F* ($\lambda x. -f x$) *eventually-nonneg F* ($\lambda x. -g x$)
shows *eventually-nonneg F* ($\lambda x. f x * g x$)
(proof)

lemma *eventually-nonneg-divide* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
assumes *eventually-nonneg F* f *eventually-nonneg F* g
shows *eventually-nonneg F* ($\lambda x. f x / g x$)
(proof)

lemma *eventually-nonneg-divide'* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
assumes *eventually-nonneg F* ($\lambda x. -f x$) *eventually-nonneg F* ($\lambda x. -g x$)
shows *eventually-nonneg F* ($\lambda x. f x / g x$)
(proof)

lemma *eventually-nonneg-ident-at-top* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
eventually-nonneg at-top ($\lambda x. x$) *(proof)*

lemma *eventually-nonneg-ident-nhds* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
fixes $a :: 'a :: \{\text{linorder-topology}, \text{linordered-field}\}$
shows $a > 0 \implies \text{eventually-nonneg} (\text{nhds } a) (\lambda x. x)$ *(proof)*

lemma *eventually-nonneg-ident-at-within* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
fixes $a :: 'a :: \{\text{linorder-topology}, \text{linordered-field}\}$
shows $a > 0 \implies \text{eventually-nonneg} (\text{at } a \text{ within } A) (\lambda x. x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eventually-nonneg-pow* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
 eventually-nonneg F f \implies *eventually-nonneg F* ($\lambda x. f x^{\wedge n}$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eventually-nonneg-powr* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
 eventually-nonneg F ($\lambda x. f x \text{ powr } y :: \text{real}$) $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eventually-nonneg-ln-at-top* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
 eventually-nonneg at-top ($\lambda x. \ln x :: \text{real}$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eventually-nonneg-ln-const* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
 $b > 0 \implies$ *eventually-nonneg at-top* ($\lambda x. \ln(b*x) :: \text{real}$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eventually-nonneg-ln-const'* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
 $b > 0 \implies$ *eventually-nonneg at-top* ($\lambda x. \ln(x*b) :: \text{real}$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eventually-nonzero-bigtheta'*:
 $f \in \Theta[F](g) \implies$ *eventually-nonzero F f* \longleftrightarrow *eventually-nonzero F g*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eventually-nonneg-at-top*:
 assumes *filterlim f at-top F*
 shows *eventually-nonneg F f*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eventually-nonzero-at-top*:
 assumes *filterlim (f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: {linordered-field, real-normed-field}) at-top F*
 shows *eventually-nonzero F f*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eventually-nonneg-at-top-ASSUMPTION* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
 ASSUMPTION (filterlim f at-top F) \implies *eventually-nonneg F f*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eventually-nonzero-at-top-ASSUMPTION* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
 ASSUMPTION (filterlim f (at-top :: 'a :: {linordered-field, real-normed-field} filter) F) \implies
 eventually-nonzero F f
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filterlim-at-top-iff-smallomega*:
 fixes $f :: - \Rightarrow \text{real}$
 shows *filterlim f at-top F* \longleftrightarrow $f \in \omega[F](\lambda -. 1) \wedge$ *eventually-nonneg F f*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *smallomega-1-iff*:
eventually-nonneg F f $\implies f \in \omega[F](\lambda x. 1 :: \text{real}) \longleftrightarrow \text{filterlim } f \text{ at-top } F$
(proof)

lemma *smallo-1-iff*:
eventually-nonneg F f $\implies (\lambda x. 1 :: \text{real}) \in o[F](f) \longleftrightarrow \text{filterlim } f \text{ at-top } F$
(proof)

lemma *eventually-nonneg-add1* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
assumes *eventually-nonneg F f g* $\in o[F](f)$
shows *eventually-nonneg F* $(\lambda x. f x + g x :: \text{real})$
(proof)

lemma *eventually-nonneg-add2* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
assumes *eventually-nonneg F g f* $\in o[F](g)$
shows *eventually-nonneg F* $(\lambda x. f x + g x :: \text{real})$
(proof)

lemma *eventually-nonneg-diff1* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
assumes *eventually-nonneg F f g* $\in o[F](f)$
shows *eventually-nonneg F* $(\lambda x. f x - g x :: \text{real})$
(proof)

lemma *eventually-nonneg-diff2* [*eventually-nonzero-simps*]:
assumes *eventually-nonneg F* $(\lambda x. - g x) f \in o[F](g)$
shows *eventually-nonneg F* $(\lambda x. f x - g x :: \text{real})$
(proof)

2.2 Rewriting Landau symbols

lemma *bigrtheta-mult-eq*: $\Theta[F](\lambda x. f x * g x) = \Theta[F](f) * \Theta[F](g)$
(proof)

Since the simplifier does not currently rewriting with relations other than equality, but we want to rewrite terms like $\Theta(\lambda x. \log 2 x * x)$ to $\Theta(\lambda x. \ln x * x)$, we need to bring the term into something that contains $\Theta(\log 2)$ and $\Theta(\lambda x. x)$, which can then be rewritten individually. For this, we introduce the following constants and rewrite rules. The rules are mainly used by the simprocs, but may be useful for manual reasoning occasionally.

definition *set-mult A B* = $\{\lambda x. f x * g x \mid f g. f \in A \wedge g \in B\}$
definition *set-inverse A* = $\{\lambda x. \text{inverse}(f x) \mid f. f \in A\}$
definition *set-divide A B* = $\{\lambda x. f x / g x \mid f g. f \in A \wedge g \in B\}$
definition *set-pow A n* = $\{\lambda x. f x ^ n \mid f. f \in A\}$
definition *set-powr A y* = $\{\lambda x. f x \text{ powr } y \mid f. f \in A\}$

lemma *bigrtheta-mult-eq-set-mult*:
shows $\Theta[F](\lambda x. f x * g x) = \text{set-mult}(\Theta[F](f))(\Theta[F](g))$
(proof)

```

lemma bigtheta-inverse-eq-set-inverse:
  shows  $\Theta[F](\lambda x. \text{inverse } (f x)) = \text{set-inverse } (\Theta[F](f))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma set-divide-inverse:
   $\text{set-divide } (A :: (- \Rightarrow (- :: \text{division-ring})) \text{ set}) B = \text{set-mult } A (\text{set-inverse } B)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma bigtheta-divide-eq-set-divide:
  shows  $\Theta[F](\lambda x. f x / g x) = \text{set-divide } (\Theta[F](f)) (\Theta[F](g))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

primrec bigtheta-pow where
   $\text{bigtheta-pow } F A 0 = \Theta[F](\lambda -. 1)$ 
   $| \text{bigtheta-pow } F A (\text{Suc } n) = \text{set-mult } A (\text{bigtheta-pow } F A n)$ 

lemma bigtheta-pow-eq-set-pow:  $\Theta[F](\lambda x. f x \wedge n) = \text{bigtheta-pow } F (\Theta[F](f)) n$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition bigtheta-powr where
   $\text{bigtheta-powr } F A y = (\text{if } y = 0 \text{ then } \{f. \exists g \in A. \text{eventually-nonneg } F g \wedge f \in \Theta[F](\lambda x. g x \text{ powr } y)\}$ 
   $\text{else } \{f. \exists g \in A. \text{eventually-nonneg } F g \wedge (\forall x. (\text{norm } (f x)) = g x \text{ powr } y)\})$ 

lemma bigtheta-powr-eq-set-powr:
  assumes eventually-nonneg F f
  shows  $\Theta[F](\lambda x. f x \text{ powr } (y :: \text{real})) = \text{bigtheta-powr } F (\Theta[F](f)) y$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemmas bigtheta-factors-eq =
  bigtheta-mult-eq-set-mult bigtheta-inverse-eq-set-inverse bigtheta-divide-eq-set-divide
  bigtheta-pow-eq-set-pow bigtheta-powr-eq-set-powr

lemmas landau-bigtheta-congs = landau-symbols[THEN landau-symbol.cong-bigtheta]

lemma (in landau-symbol) meta-cong-bigtheta:  $\Theta[F](f) \equiv \Theta[F](g) \implies L F (f) \equiv L F (g)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemmas landau-bigtheta-meta-congs = landau-symbols[THEN landau-symbol.meta-cong-bigtheta]

```

2.3 Preliminary facts

```

lemma real-powr-at-top:
  assumes  $(p :: \text{real}) > 0$ 
  shows  $\text{filterlim } (\lambda x. x \text{ powr } p) \text{ at-top at-top}$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tendsto-ln-over-powr*:
assumes $(a::real) > 0$
shows $((\lambda x. \ln x / x^{\text{powr } a}) \longrightarrow 0) \text{ at-top}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tendsto-ln-powr-over-powr*:
assumes $(a::real) > 0 b > 0$
shows $((\lambda x. \ln x^{\text{powr } a} / x^{\text{powr } b}) \longrightarrow 0) \text{ at-top}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tendsto-ln-powr-over-powr'*:
assumes $b > 0$
shows $((\lambda x::real. \ln x^{\text{powr } a} / x^{\text{powr } b}) \longrightarrow 0) \text{ at-top}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tendsto-ln-over-ln*:
assumes $(a::real) > 0 c > 0$
shows $((\lambda x. \ln(a*x) / \ln(c*x)) \longrightarrow 1) \text{ at-top}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tendsto-ln-powr-over-ln-powr*:
assumes $(a::real) > 0 c > 0$
shows $((\lambda x. \ln(a*x)^{\text{powr } d} / \ln(c*x)^{\text{powr } d}) \longrightarrow 1) \text{ at-top}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tendsto-ln-powr-over-ln-powr'*:
 $c > 0 \implies ((\lambda x::real. \ln x^{\text{powr } d} / \ln(c*x)^{\text{powr } d}) \longrightarrow 1) \text{ at-top}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tendsto-ln-powr-over-ln-powr''*:
 $a > 0 \implies ((\lambda x::real. \ln(a*x)^{\text{powr } d} / \ln x^{\text{powr } d}) \longrightarrow 1) \text{ at-top}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bigtheta-const-ln-powr* [simp]: $a > 0 \implies (\lambda x::real. \ln(a*x)^{\text{powr } d}) \in \Theta(\lambda x. \ln x^{\text{powr } d})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bigtheta-const-ln-pow* [simp]: $a > 0 \implies (\lambda x::real. \ln(a*x) \wedge d) \in \Theta(\lambda x. \ln x^{\wedge d})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bigtheta-const-ln* [simp]: $a > 0 \implies (\lambda x::real. \ln(a*x)) \in \Theta(\lambda x. \ln x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

If there are two functions f and g where any power of g is asymptotically smaller than f , propositions like $(\lambda x. (f x)^{p1} * (g x)^{q1}) \in O(\lambda x. (f x)^{p2} * (g x)^{q2})$ can be decided just by looking at the exponents: the proposition is

true iff $p1 < p2$ or $p1 = p2 \wedge q1 \leq q2$.

The functions $\lambda x. x$, \ln , $\lambda x. \ln(\ln x)$, ... form a chain in which every function dominates all succeeding functions in the above sense, allowing to decide propositions involving Landau symbols and functions that are products of powers of functions from this chain by reducing the proposition to a statement involving only logical connectives and comparisons on the exponents.

We will now give the mathematical background for this and implement reification to bring functions from this class into a canonical form, allowing the decision procedure to be implemented in a simproc.

2.4 Decision procedure

definition *powr-closure* $f \equiv \{\lambda x. f x \text{ powr } p :: \text{real} \mid p. \text{True}\}$

lemma *powr-closureI* [simp]: $(\lambda x. f x \text{ powr } p) \in \text{powr-closure } f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *powr-closureE*:
assumes $g \in \text{powr-closure } f$
obtains p **where** $g = (\lambda x. f x \text{ powr } p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

locale *landau-function-family* =
fixes $F :: 'a \text{ filter}$ **and** $H :: ('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \text{ set}$
assumes $F\text{-nontrivial}: F \neq \text{bot}$
assumes $\text{pos}: h \in H \implies \text{eventually } (\lambda x. h x > 0) F$
assumes $\text{linear}: h1 \in H \implies h2 \in H \implies h1 \in o[F](h2) \vee h2 \in o[F](h1) \vee h1 \in \Theta[F](h2)$
assumes $\text{mult}: h1 \in H \implies h2 \in H \implies (\lambda x. h1 x * h2 x) \in H$
assumes $\text{inverse}: h \in H \implies (\lambda x. \text{inverse}(h x)) \in H$
begin

lemma *div*: $h1 \in H \implies h2 \in H \implies (\lambda x. h1 x / h2 x) \in H$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nonzero*: $h \in H \implies \text{eventually } (\lambda x. h x \neq 0) F$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *landau-cases*:
assumes $h1 \in H$ $h2 \in H$
obtains $h1 \in o[F](h2) \mid h2 \in o[F](h1) \mid h1 \in \Theta[F](h2)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *small-big-antisym*:
assumes $h1 \in H$ $h2 \in H$ $h1 \in o[F](h2)$ $h2 \in O[F](h1)$ **shows** *False*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma small-antisym:
  assumes  $h1 \in H$   $h2 \in H$   $h1 \in o[F](h2)$   $h2 \in o[F](h1)$  shows False
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

locale landau-function-family-pair =
   $G: landau\text{-}function\text{-}family F G + H: landau\text{-}function\text{-}family F H$  for  $F G H +$ 
  fixes  $g$ 
  assumes gs-dominate:  $g1 \in G \implies g2 \in G \implies h1 \in H \implies h2 \in H \implies g1 \in o[F](g2) \implies (\lambda x. g1 x * h1 x) \in o[F](\lambda x. g2 x * h2 x)$ 
  assumes  $g: g \in G$ 
  assumes g-dominates:  $h \in H \implies h \in o[F](g)$ 
begin

sublocale GH: landau-function-family F G * H
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma smallo-iff:
  assumes  $g1 \in G$   $g2 \in G$   $h1 \in H$   $h2 \in H$ 
  shows  $(\lambda x. g1 x * h1 x) \in o[F](\lambda x. g2 x * h2 x) \longleftrightarrow g1 \in o[F](g2) \vee (g1 \in \Theta[F](g2) \wedge h1 \in o[F](h2))$  (is  $?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma bigo-iff:
  assumes  $g1 \in G$   $g2 \in G$   $h1 \in H$   $h2 \in H$ 
  shows  $(\lambda x. g1 x * h1 x) \in O[F](\lambda x. g2 x * h2 x) \longleftrightarrow g1 \in o[F](g2) \vee (g1 \in \Theta[F](g2) \wedge h1 \in O[F](h2))$  (is  $?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma bigtheta-iff:
   $g1 \in G \implies g2 \in G \implies h1 \in H \implies h2 \in H \implies (\lambda x. g1 x * h1 x) \in \Theta[F](\lambda x. g2 x * h2 x) \longleftrightarrow g1 \in \Theta[F](g2) \wedge h1 \in \Theta[F](h2)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

lemma landau-function-family-powr-closure:
  assumes  $F \neq \text{bot filterlim } f \text{ at-top } F$ 
  shows landau-function-family F (powr-closure f)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma landau-function-family-pair-trans:
  assumes landau-function-family-pair Ftr F G f
  assumes landau-function-family-pair Ftr G H g
  shows landau-function-family-pair Ftr F (G * H) f
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma landau-function-family-pair-trans-powr:
  assumes landau-function-family-pair F (powr-closure g) H ( $\lambda x. g x \text{ powr } 1$ )
  assumes filterlim f at-top F
  assumes  $\bigwedge p. (\lambda x. g x \text{ powr } p) \in o[F](f)$ 
  shows landau-function-family-pair F (powr-closure f) (powr-closure g * H) ( $\lambda x. f x \text{ powr } 1$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

definition dominates :: 'a filter  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  real)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  real)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  dominates F f g = ( $\forall p. (\lambda x. g x \text{ powr } p) \in o[F](f)$ )

lemma dominates-trans:
  assumes eventually ( $\lambda x. g x > 0$ ) F
  assumes dominates F f g dominates F g h
  shows dominates F f h
  ⟨proof⟩

fun landau-dominating-chain where
  landau-dominating-chain F (f # g # gs)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    dominates F f g  $\wedge$  landau-dominating-chain F (g # gs)
  | landau-dominating-chain F [f]  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\lambda x. 1$ )  $\in o[F](f)$ 
  | landau-dominating-chain F []  $\longleftrightarrow$  True

primrec landau-dominating-chain' where
  landau-dominating-chain' F []  $\longleftrightarrow$  True
  | landau-dominating-chain' F (f # gs)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    landau-function-family-pair F (powr-closure f) (prod-list (map powr-closure gs))
    ( $\lambda x. f x \text{ powr } 1$ )  $\wedge$ 
    landau-dominating-chain' F gs

primrec nonneg-list where
  nonneg-list []  $\longleftrightarrow$  True
  | nonneg-list (x#xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  x > 0  $\vee$  (x = 0  $\wedge$  nonneg-list xs)

primrec pos-list where
  pos-list []  $\longleftrightarrow$  False
  | pos-list (x#xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  x > 0  $\vee$  (x = 0  $\wedge$  pos-list xs)

lemma dominating-chain-imp-dominating-chain':
   $Ftr \neq bot \implies (\bigwedge g. g \in set gs \implies \text{filterlim } g \text{ at-top } Ftr) \implies$ 
  landau-dominating-chain Ftr gs  $\implies$  landau-dominating-chain' Ftr gs
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

locale landau-function-family-chain =
  fixes F :: 'b filter
  fixes gs :: 'a list
  fixes get-param :: 'a ⇒ real
  fixes get-fun :: 'a ⇒ ('b ⇒ real)
  assumes F-nontrivial: F ≠ bot
  assumes gs-pos: g ∈ set (map get-fun gs) ⇒ filterlim g at-top F
  assumes dominating-chain: landau-dominating-chain F (map get-fun gs)
begin

lemma dominating-chain': landau-dominating-chain' F (map get-fun gs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gs-powr-0-eq-one:
  eventually (λx. (Π g←gs. get-fun g x powr 0) = 1) F
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma listmap-gs-in-listmap:
  (λx. Π g←fs. h g x powr p g) ∈ prod-list (map powr-closure (map h fs))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma smallo-iff:
  (λ-. 1) ∈ o[F](λx. Π g←gs. get-fun g x powr get-param g) ↔ pos-list (map
  get-param gs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bigo-iff:
  (λ-. 1) ∈ O[F](λx. Π g←gs. get-fun g x powr get-param g) ↔ nonneg-list (map
  get-param gs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bigtheta-iff:
  (λ-. 1) ∈ Θ[F](λx. Π g←gs. get-fun g x powr get-param g) ↔ list-all ((=) 0)
  (map get-param gs)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma fun-chain-at-top-at-top:
  assumes filterlim (f :: ('a::order) ⇒ 'a) at-top at-top
  shows filterlim (f ^ n) at-top at-top
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma const-smallo-ln-chain: (λ-. 1) ∈ o((ln::real⇒real) ^ n)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ln-fun-in-smallo-fun:

```

```

assumes filterlim f at-top at-top
shows ( $\lambda x. \ln(fx) \text{ powr } p :: \text{real} \in o(f)$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma ln-chain-dominates:  $m > n \implies \text{dominates at-top } ((\ln :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real})^{\wedge n})$ 
( $\ln^{\wedge m}$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

```

datatype primfun = LnChain nat

instantiation primfun :: linorder
begin

fun less-eq-primfun :: primfun  $\Rightarrow$  primfun  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
 $\text{LnChain } x \leq \text{LnChain } y \longleftrightarrow x \leq y$ 

fun less-primfun :: primfun  $\Rightarrow$  primfun  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
 $\text{LnChain } x < \text{LnChain } y \longleftrightarrow x < y$ 

instance
⟨proof⟩

end

fun eval-primfun' :: -  $\Rightarrow$  -  $\Rightarrow$  real where
 $\text{eval-primfun}'(\text{LnChain } n) = (\lambda x. (\ln^{\wedge n}) x)$ 

fun eval-primfun :: -  $\Rightarrow$  -  $\Rightarrow$  real where
 $\text{eval-primfun}(f, e) = (\lambda x. \text{eval-primfun}' f x \text{ powr } e)$ 

lemma eval-primfun-altdef: eval-primfun f x = eval-primfun' (fst f) x powr snd f
⟨proof⟩

fun merge-primfun where
 $\text{merge-primfun}(x :: \text{primfun}, a)(y, b) = (x, a + b)$ 

fun inverse-primfun where
 $\text{inverse-primfun}(x :: \text{primfun}, a) = (x, -a)$ 

fun powr-primfun where
 $\text{powr-primfun}(x :: \text{primfun}, a) e = (x, e * a)$ 

lemma primfun-cases:

```

assumes ($\bigwedge n e. P (LnChain n, e)$)
shows $P x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eval-primfun'-at-top: filterlim (eval-primfun' f) at-top at-top
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma primfun-dominates:
 $f < g \implies \text{dominates at-top} (\text{eval-primfun}' f) (\text{eval-primfun}' g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eval-primfun-pos: eventually ($\lambda x::\text{real}. \text{eval-primfun } f x > 0$) at-top
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eventually-nonneg-primfun: eventually-nonneg at-top (eval-primfun f)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eval-primfun-nonzero: eventually ($\lambda x. \text{eval-primfun } f x \neq 0$) at-top
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eval-merge-primfun:
 $\text{fst } f = \text{fst } g \implies \text{eval-primfun} (\text{merge-primfun } f g) x = \text{eval-primfun } f x * \text{eval-primfun } g x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eval-inverse-primfun:
 $\text{eval-primfun} (\text{inverse-primfun } f) x = \text{inverse} (\text{eval-primfun } f x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eval-powr-primfun:
 $\text{eval-primfun} (\text{powr-primfun } f e) x = \text{eval-primfun } f x \text{ powr } e$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition eval-primfun where
 $\text{eval-primfun } fs x = (\prod f \leftarrow fs. \text{eval-primfun } f x)$

lemma eval-primfun-pos: eventually ($\lambda x. \text{eval-primfun } fs x > 0$) at-top
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma eval-primfun-nonzero: eventually ($\lambda x. \text{eval-primfun } fs x \neq 0$) at-top
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.5 Reification

definition LANDAU-PROD' where
 $\text{LANDAU-PROD}' L c f = L(\lambda x. c * f x)$

```

definition LANDAU-PROD where
  LANDAU-PROD L c1 c2 fs  $\longleftrightarrow (\lambda x. c2 * eval\text{-}primfun\ fs\ x)$ 

definition BIGTHETA-CONST' where BIGTHETA-CONST' c =  $\Theta(\lambda x. c)$ 
definition BIGTHETA-CONST where BIGTHETA-CONST c A = set-mult  $\Theta(\lambda x. c) A$ 
definition BIGTHETA-FUN where BIGTHETA-FUN f =  $\Theta(f)$ 

lemma BIGTHETA-CONST'-tag:  $\Theta(\lambda x. c) = BIGTHETA\text{-}CONST'\ c \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma BIGTHETA-CONST-tag:  $\Theta(f) = BIGTHETA\text{-}CONST\ 1\ \Theta(f) \langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma BIGTHETA-FUN-tag:  $\Theta(f) = BIGTHETA\text{-}FUN\ f \langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma set-mult-is-times: set-mult A B = A * B
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma set-powr-mult:
  assumes eventually-nonneg F f and eventually-nonneg F g
  shows  $\Theta[F](\lambda x. (f\ x\ * g\ x :: real)\ powr\ p) = set\text{-}mult\ (\Theta[F](\lambda x. f\ x\ powr\ p))\ (\Theta[F](\lambda x. g\ x\ powr\ p)) \langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma eventually-nonneg-bigtheta-pow-realpow:
   $\Theta(\lambda x. eval\text{-}primfun\ f\ x\ ^ e) = \Theta(\lambda x. eval\text{-}primfun\ f\ x\ powr\ real\ e) \langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma BIGTHETA-CONST-fold:
  BIGTHETA-CONST (c::real) (BIGTHETA-CONST d A) = BIGTHETA-CONST (c*d) A
  bigtheta-pow at-top (BIGTHETA-CONST c  $\Theta(eval\text{-}primfun\ pf))\ k =$ 
    BIGTHETA-CONST (c ^ k)  $\Theta(\lambda x. eval\text{-}primfun\ pf\ x\ powr\ k)$ 
  set-inverse (BIGTHETA-CONST c  $\Theta(f)) = BIGTHETA\text{-}CONST\ (inverse\ c)$ 
   $\Theta(\lambda x. inverse\ (f\ x))$ 
  set-mult (BIGTHETA-CONST c  $\Theta(f))\ (BIGTHETA\text{-}CONST\ d\ \Theta(g)) =$ 
    BIGTHETA-CONST (c*d)  $\Theta(\lambda x. f\ x\ * g\ x)$ 
  BIGTHETA-CONST' (c::real) = BIGTHETA-CONST c  $\Theta(\lambda x. 1)$ 
  BIGTHETA-FUN (f::real  $\Rightarrow$  real) = BIGTHETA-CONST 1  $\Theta(f) \langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fold-fun-chain:
  g x = (g ^ 1) x (g ^ m) ((g ^ n) x) = (g ^ (m+n)) x
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma reify-ln-chain-1:
   $\Theta(\lambda x. (ln\ ^ n\ x) = \Theta(eval\text{-}primfun\ (LnChain\ n,\ 1))) \langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma reify-monom-1:
 $\Theta(\lambda x::\text{real}. x) = \Theta(\text{eval-primfun} (\text{LnChain } 0, 1))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma reify-monom-pow:
 $\Theta(\lambda x::\text{real}. x \wedge e) = \Theta(\text{eval-primfun} (\text{LnChain } 0, \text{real } e))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma reify-monom-powr:
 $\Theta(\lambda x::\text{real}. x \text{ powr } e) = \Theta(\text{eval-primfun} (\text{LnChain } 0, e))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemmas reify-monom = reify-monom-1 reify-monom-pow reify-monom-powr

lemma reify-ln-chain-pow:
 $\Theta(\lambda x. (\ln \wedge n) x \wedge e) = \Theta(\text{eval-primfun} (\text{LnChain } n, \text{real } e))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma reify-ln-chain-powr:
 $\Theta(\lambda x. (\ln \wedge n) x \text{ powr } e) = \Theta(\text{eval-primfun} (\text{LnChain } n, e))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemmas reify-ln-chain = reify-ln-chain-1 reify-ln-chain-pow reify-ln-chain-powr

lemma numeral-power-Suc: numeral n  $\wedge$  Suc a = numeral n * numeral n  $\wedge$  a
⟨proof⟩

lemmas landau-product-preprocess =
one-add-one one-plus-numeral numeral-plus-one arith-simps numeral-power-Suc
power-0
fold-fun-chain[where g = ln] reify-ln-chain reify-monom

lemma LANDAU-PROD'-fold:
BIGTHETA-CONST e  $\Theta(\lambda \cdot. d) = \text{BIGTHETA-CONST} (e * d) \Theta(\text{eval-primfun} \text{[]})$ 
LANDAU-PROD' c ( $\lambda \cdot. 1) = \text{LANDAU-PROD}' c (\text{eval-primfun} \text{[]})$ 
eval-primfun f = eval-primfun [f]
eval-primfun fs x * eval-primfun gs x = eval-primfun (fs @ gs) x
⟨proof⟩

lemma inverse-prod-list-field:
prod-list (map ( $\lambda x. \text{inverse} (f x)$ ) xs) = inverse (prod-list (map f xs :: - :: field
list))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma landau-prod-meta-cong:
  assumes landau-symbol L L' Lr
  assumes  $\Theta(f) \equiv \text{BIGTHETA-CONST } c1 (\Theta(\text{eval-primfun } fs))$ 
  assumes  $\Theta(g) \equiv \text{BIGTHETA-CONST } c2 (\Theta(\text{eval-primfun } gs))$ 
  shows  $f \in L \text{ at-top } (g) \equiv \text{LANDAU-PROD } (L \text{ at-top}) c1 c2 (\text{map inverse-primfun } fs @ gs)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

fun pos-primfun-list where
  pos-primfun-list []  $\longleftrightarrow$  False
  | pos-primfun-list ((-,x)#xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$   $x > 0 \vee (x = 0 \wedge \text{pos-primfun-list } xs)$ 

fun nonneg-primfun-list where
  nonneg-primfun-list []  $\longleftrightarrow$  True
  | nonneg-primfun-list ((-,x)#xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$   $x > 0 \vee (x = 0 \wedge \text{nonneg-primfun-list } xs)$ 

fun iszero-primfun-list where
  iszero-primfun-list []  $\longleftrightarrow$  True
  | iszero-primfun-list ((-,x)#xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$   $x = 0 \wedge \text{iszero-primfun-list } xs$ 

definition group-primfun ≡ groupsort.group-sort fst merge-primfun

lemma list-ConsCons-induct:
  assumes  $P [] \wedge x. P [x] \wedge x y xs. P (y#xs) \implies P (x#y#xs)$ 
  shows  $P xs$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma landau-function-family-chain-primfun:
  assumes sorted (map fst fs)
  assumes distinct (map fst fs)
  shows landau-function-family-chain at-top fs (eval-primfun' o fst)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in monoid-mult) fold-plus-prod-list-rev:
  fold times xs = times (prod-list (rev xs))
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation groupsort-primfun: groupsort fst merge-primfun eval-primfun
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nonneg-primfun-list-iff: nonneg-primfun-list fs = nonneg-list (map snd fs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pos-primfun-list-iff: pos-primfun-list fs = pos-list (map snd fs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma iszero-primfun-list-iff: iszero-primfun-list fs = list-all ((=) 0) (map snd

```

fs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *landau-primfun-list iff*:

$((\lambda _. 1) \in O(\text{eval-primfun-list } fs)) = \text{nonneg-primfun-list} (\text{group-primfun-list } fs) \text{ (is } ?A)$
 $((\lambda _. 1) \in o(\text{eval-primfun-list } fs)) = \text{pos-primfun-list} (\text{group-primfun-list } fs) \text{ (is } ?B)$
 $((\lambda _. 1) \in \Theta(\text{eval-primfun-list } fs)) = \text{iszero-primfun-list} (\text{group-primfun-list } fs) \text{ (is } ?C)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *LANDAU-PROD-bigo-iff*:

LANDAU-PROD (bigo at-top) c1 c2 fs $\longleftrightarrow c1 = 0 \vee (c2 \neq 0 \wedge \text{nonneg-primfun-list} (\text{group-primfun-list } fs))$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *LANDAU-PROD-smallo-iff*:

LANDAU-PROD (smallo at-top) c1 c2 fs $\longleftrightarrow c1 = 0 \vee (c2 \neq 0 \wedge \text{pos-primfun-list} (\text{group-primfun-list } fs))$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *LANDAU-PROD-bigtheta-iff*:

LANDAU-PROD (bigtheta at-top) c1 c2 fs $\longleftrightarrow (c1 = 0 \wedge c2 = 0) \vee (c1 \neq 0 \wedge \text{iszero-primfun-list} (\text{group-primfun-list } fs))$
⟨proof⟩

lemmas *LANDAU-PROD-iff* = *LANDAU-PROD-bigo-iff* *LANDAU-PROD-smallo-iff*
LANDAU-PROD-bigtheta-iff

lemmas *landau-real-prod-simps [simp]* =
groupsort-primfun.group-part-def
group-primfun-def groupsort-primfun.group-sort.simps
groupsort-primfun.group-part-aux.simps pos-primfun-list.simps
nonneg-primfun-list.simps iszero-primfun-list.simps

end

3 Simplification procedures

theory *Landau-Simprocs*
imports *Landau-Real-Products*
begin

3.1 Simplification under Landau symbols

The following can be seen as simpset for terms under Landau symbols. When given a rule $f \in \Theta(g)$, the simproc will attempt to rewrite any occurrence of f under a Landau symbol to g .

named-theorems *landau-simp* *BigTheta* rules for simplification of Landau symbols
 $\langle ML \rangle$

lemma *bigrtheta-const* [*landau-simp*]:

NO-MATCH $1 c \Rightarrow c \neq 0 \Rightarrow (\lambda x. c) \in \Theta(\lambda x. 1)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [*landau-simp*] = *bigrtheta-const-ln* *bigrtheta-const-ln-powr* *bigrtheta-const-ln-pow*

lemma *bigrtheta-const-ln'* [*landau-simp*]:

$0 < a \Rightarrow (\lambda x::real. ln(x * a)) \in \Theta(ln)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bigrtheta-const-ln-powr'* [*landau-simp*]:

$0 < a \Rightarrow (\lambda x::real. ln(x * a) powr p) \in \Theta(\lambda x. ln x powr p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bigrtheta-const-ln-pow'* [*landau-simp*]:

$0 < a \Rightarrow (\lambda x::real. ln(x * a) ^ p) \in \Theta(\lambda x. ln x ^ p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.2 Simproc setup

lemma *landau-gt-1-cong*:

landau-symbol $L L' Lr \Rightarrow (\bigwedge x::real. x > 1 \Rightarrow f x = g x) \Rightarrow L$ at-top (f) = L at-top (g)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *landau-gt-1-in-cong*:

landau-symbol $L L' Lr \Rightarrow (\bigwedge x::real. x > 1 \Rightarrow f x = g x) \Rightarrow f \in L$ at-top (h)
 $\longleftrightarrow g \in L$ at-top (h)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *landau-prop-equalsI*:

landau-symbol $L L' Lr \Rightarrow (\bigwedge x::real. x > 1 \Rightarrow f1 x = f2 x) \Rightarrow (\bigwedge x. x > 1 \Rightarrow g1 x = g2 x) \Rightarrow$
 $f1 \in L$ at-top ($g1$) $\longleftrightarrow f2 \in L$ at-top ($g2$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ab-diff-conv-add-uminus'*: $(a::ab-group-add) - b = -b + a$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *extract-diff-middle*: $(a::ab-group-add) - (x + b) = -x + (a - b)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma divide-inverse': ( $a :: \{division-ring, ab-semigroup-mult\}$ ) /  $b = inverse b * a$ 
proof
lemma extract-divide-middle:( $a :: \{field\}$ ) / ( $x * b$ ) = inverse  $x * (a / b)$ 
proof

lemmas landau-cancel = landau-symbol.mult-cancel-left

lemmas mult-cancel-left' = landau-symbol.mult-cancel-left[ $OF - bigtheta-refl$  even-tually-nonzeroD]

lemma mult-cancel-left-1:
assumes landau-symbol  $L L'$   $Lr$  eventually-nonzero  $F f$ 
shows  $f \in L F (\lambda x. f x * g2 x) \longleftrightarrow (\lambda -. 1) \in L F (g2)$ 
 $(\lambda x. f x * f2 x) \in L F (f) \longleftrightarrow f2 \in L F (\lambda -. 1)$ 
 $f \in L F (f) \longleftrightarrow (\lambda -. 1) \in L F (\lambda -. 1)$ 
proof

```

lemmas landau-mult-cancel-simps = mult-cancel-left' mult-cancel-left-1

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemmas bigtheta-simps =

 landau-theta.cong-bigtheta[$OF bigtheta-const-ln$]

 landau-theta.cong-bigtheta[$OF bigtheta-const-ln-powr$]

The following simproc attempts to cancel common factors in Landau symbols, i. e. in a goal like $f(x)h(x) \in L(g(x)h(x))$, the common factor $h(x)$ will be cancelled. This only works if the simproc can prove that $h(x)$ is eventually non-zero, for which it uses some heuristics.

$\langle ML \rangle$

The next simproc attempts to cancel dominated summands from Landau symbols; e. g. $O(x + \ln x)$ is simplified to $O(x)$, since $\ln x \in o(x)$. This can be very slow on large terms, so it is not enabled by default.

$\langle ML \rangle$

This simproc attempts to simplify factors of an expression in a Landau symbol statement independently from another, i. e. in something like $O(f(x)g(x))$, a simp rule that rewrites $O(f(x))$ to $O(f'(x))$ will also rewrite $O(f(x)g(x))$ to $O(f'(x)g(x))$ without any further setup.

$\langle ML \rangle$

Lastly, the next very specialised simproc can solve goals of the form $f(x) \in L(g(x))$ where f and g are real-valued functions consisting only of multiplications, powers of x , and powers of iterated logarithms of x . This is done by rewriting both sides into the form $x^a(\ln x)^b(\ln \ln x)^c$ etc. and then comparing the exponents lexicographically.

Note that for historic reasons, this only works for $x \rightarrow \infty$.

$\langle ML \rangle$

3.3 Tests

lemma *asymp-equiv-plus-const-left*: $(\lambda n. c + \text{real } n) \sim [\text{at-top}] (\lambda n. \text{real } n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *asymp-equiv-plus-const-right*: $(\lambda n. \text{real } n + c) \sim [\text{at-top}] (\lambda n. \text{real } n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.3.1 Product simplification tests

lemma $(\lambda x::\text{real}. f x * x) \in O(\lambda x. g x / (h x / x)) \longleftrightarrow f \in O(\lambda x. g x / h x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $(\lambda x::\text{real}. x) \in \omega(\lambda x. g x / (h x / x)) \longleftrightarrow (\lambda x. 1) \in \omega(\lambda x. g x / h x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.3.2 Real product decision procure tests

lemma $(\lambda x. x \text{ powr } 1) \in O(\lambda x. x \text{ powr } 2 :: \text{real})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\Theta(\lambda x::\text{real}. 2*x \text{ powr } 3 - 4*x \text{ powr } 2) = \Theta(\lambda x::\text{real}. x \text{ powr } 3)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $p < q \implies (\lambda x::\text{real}. c * x \text{ powr } p * \ln x \text{ powr } r) \in o(\lambda x::\text{real}. x \text{ powr } q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $c \neq 0 \implies p > q \implies (\lambda x::\text{real}. c * x \text{ powr } p * \ln x \text{ powr } r) \in \omega(\lambda x::\text{real}. x \text{ powr } q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $b > 0 \implies (\lambda x::\text{real}. x / \ln (2*b*x) * 2) \in o(\lambda x. x * \ln (b*x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $o(\lambda x::\text{real}. x * \ln (3*x)) = o(\lambda x. \ln x * x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $(\lambda x::\text{real}. x) \in o(\lambda x. x * \ln (3*x))$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma $(\lambda x. 3 * \ln x * \ln x / x * \ln (\ln (\ln x))) \in$
 $\omega(\lambda x::\text{real}. 5 * \ln (\ln x) \wedge 2 / (2*x) \text{ powr } 1.5 * \text{inverse } 2)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.3.3 Sum cancelling tests

lemma $\Theta(\lambda x::\text{real}. 2 * x \text{ powr } 3 + x * x^2 / \ln x) = \Theta(\lambda x::\text{real}. x \text{ powr } 3)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma  $\Theta(\lambda x::real. 2 * x \text{ powr } 3 + x * x^2 / \ln x + 42 * x \text{ powr } 9 + 213 * x \text{ powr } 5 - 4 * x \text{ powr } 7) =$ 
 $\Theta(\lambda x::real. x^3 + x / \ln x * x \text{ powr } (3/2) - 2*x \text{ powr } 9)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $(\lambda x::real. x + x * \ln(3*x)) \in o(\lambda x::real. x^2 + \ln(2*x) \text{ powr } 3) \langle proof \rangle$ 

end

theory Landau-More
imports
  HOL-Library.Landau-Symbols
  Landau-Simprocs
begin

lemma bigo-const-inverse [simp]:
  assumes filterlim f at-top F F ≠ bot
  shows  $(\lambda -. c) \in O[F](\lambda x. \text{inverse}(f x) :: real) \longleftrightarrow c = 0$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma smallo-const-inverse [simp]:
  filterlim f at-top F  $\implies$  F ≠ bot  $\implies$   $(\lambda -. c :: real) \in o[F](\lambda x. \text{inverse}(f x)) \longleftrightarrow c = 0$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma const-in-smallo-const [simp]:  $(\lambda -. b) \in o(\lambda -. :: - :: \text{linorder}. c) \longleftrightarrow b = 0$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma smallomega-1-conv-filterlim:  $f \in \omega[F](\lambda -. 1) \longleftrightarrow \text{filterlim } f \text{ at-infinity } F$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma bigtheta-powr-1 [landau-simp]:
  eventually  $(\lambda x. (f x :: real) \geq 0) F \implies (\lambda x. f x \text{ powr } 1) \in \Theta[F](f)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma bigtheta-powr-0 [landau-simp]:
  eventually  $(\lambda x. (f x :: real) \neq 0) F \implies (\lambda x. f x \text{ powr } 0) \in \Theta[F](\lambda -. 1)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma bigtheta-powr-nonzero [landau-simp]:
  eventually  $(\lambda x. (f x :: real) \neq 0) F \implies (\lambda x. \text{if } f x = 0 \text{ then } g x \text{ else } h x) \in \Theta[F](h)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma bigtheta-powr-nonzero' [landau-simp]:

```

eventually $(\lambda x. (f x :: \text{real}) \neq 0) F \implies (\lambda x. \text{if } f x \neq 0 \text{ then } g x \text{ else } h x) \in \Theta[F](g)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bigtheta-powr-nonneg* [*landau-simp*]:

eventually $(\lambda x. (f x :: \text{real}) \geq 0) F \implies (\lambda x. \text{if } f x \geq 0 \text{ then } g x \text{ else } h x) \in \Theta[F](g)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bigtheta-powr-nonneg'* [*landau-simp*]:

eventually $(\lambda x. (f x :: \text{real}) \geq 0) F \implies (\lambda x. \text{if } f x < 0 \text{ then } g x \text{ else } h x) \in \Theta[F](h)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bigo-powr-iff*:

assumes $0 < p$ *eventually* $(\lambda x. f x \geq 0) F$ *eventually* $(\lambda x. g x \geq 0) F$
shows $(\lambda x. (f x :: \text{real}) \text{ powr } p) \in O[F](\lambda x. g x \text{ powr } p) \longleftrightarrow f \in O[F](g)$ (**is** $?lhs$
 $\longleftrightarrow ?rhs$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inverse-powr* [*simp*]:

assumes $(x :: \text{real}) \geq 0$
shows $\text{inverse } x \text{ powr } y = \text{inverse } (x \text{ powr } y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bigo-neg-powr-iff*:

assumes $p < 0$ *eventually* $(\lambda x. f x \geq 0) F$ *eventually* $(\lambda x. g x \geq 0) F$
eventually $(\lambda x. f x \neq 0) F$ *eventually* $(\lambda x. g x \neq 0) F$
shows $(\lambda x. (f x :: \text{real}) \text{ powr } p) \in O[F](\lambda x. g x \text{ powr } p) \longleftrightarrow g \in O[F](f)$ (**is** $?lhs$
 $\longleftrightarrow ?rhs$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *smallo-powr-iff*:

assumes $0 < p$ *eventually* $(\lambda x. f x \geq 0) F$ *eventually* $(\lambda x. g x \geq 0) F$
shows $(\lambda x. (f x :: \text{real}) \text{ powr } p) \in o[F](\lambda x. g x \text{ powr } p) \longleftrightarrow f \in o[F](g)$ (**is** $?lhs$
 $\longleftrightarrow ?rhs$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *smallo-neg-powr-iff*:

assumes $p < 0$ *eventually* $(\lambda x. f x \geq 0) F$ *eventually* $(\lambda x. g x \geq 0) F$
eventually $(\lambda x. f x \neq 0) F$ *eventually* $(\lambda x. g x \neq 0) F$
shows $(\lambda x. (f x :: \text{real}) \text{ powr } p) \in o[F](\lambda x. g x \text{ powr } p) \longleftrightarrow g \in o[F](f)$ (**is** $?lhs$
 $\longleftrightarrow ?rhs$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *const-smallo-powr*:

assumes $\text{filterlim } f \text{ at-top } F F \neq \text{bot}$
shows $(\lambda -. c :: \text{real}) \in o[F](\lambda x. f x \text{ powr } p) \longleftrightarrow p > 0 \vee c = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma bigo-const-powr:
  assumes filterlim f at-top F F ≠ bot
  shows (λ-. c :: real) ∈ O[F](λx. f x powr p) ↔ p ≥ 0 ∨ c = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma filterlim-powr-at-top:
  (b::real) > 1 ⇒ filterlim (λx. b powr x) at-top at-top
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma power-smallo-exponential:
  fixes b :: real
  assumes b: b > 1
  shows (λx. x powr n) ∈ o(λx. b powr x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma powr-fast-growth-tendsto:
  assumes gf: g ∈ O[F](f)
  and n: n ≥ 0
  and k: k > 1
  and f: filterlim f at-top F
  and g: eventually (λx. g x ≥ 0) F
  shows (λx. g x powr n) ∈ o[F](λx. k powr f x :: real)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bigo-abs-powr-iff [simp]:
  0 < p ⇒ (λx. |f x :: real| powr p) ∈ O[F](λx. |g x| powr p) ↔ f ∈ O[F](g)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma smallo-abs-powr-iff [simp]:
  0 < p ⇒ (λx. |f x :: real| powr p) ∈ o[F](λx. |g x| powr p) ↔ f ∈ o[F](g)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma const-smallo-inverse-powr:
  assumes filterlim f at-top at-top
  shows (λ- :: - :: linorder. c :: real) ∈ o(λx. inverse (f x powr p)) ↔ (p ≥ 0 →
  c = 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bigo-const-inverse-powr:
  assumes filterlim f at-top at-top
  shows (λ- :: - :: linorder. c :: real) ∈ O(λx. inverse (f x powr p)) ↔ c = 0 ∨
  p ≤ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```