

Formalization of Recursive Path Orders for Lambda-Free Higher-Order Terms

Jasmin Christian Blanchette, Uwe Waldmann, and Daniel Wand

August 16, 2018

Abstract

This Isabelle/HOL formalization defines recursive path orders (RPOs) for higher-order terms without λ -abstraction and proves many useful properties about them. The main order fully coincides with the standard RPO on first-order terms also in the presence of currying, distinguishing it from previous work. An optimized variant is formalized as well. It appears promising as the basis of a higher-order superposition calculus.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Utilities for Lambda-Free Orders	2
2.1	Finite Sets	2
2.2	Function Power	3
2.3	Least Operator	3
2.4	Antisymmetric Relations	3
2.5	Acyclic Relations	3
2.6	Reflexive, Transitive Closure	4
2.7	Well-Founded Relations	4
2.8	Wellorders	6
2.9	Lists	6
2.10	Extended Natural Numbers	7
2.11	Multisets	7
3	Lambda-Free Higher-Order Terms	12
3.1	Precedence on Symbols	12
3.2	Heads	12
3.3	Terms	12
3.4	Substitutions	15
3.5	Subterms	15
3.6	Maximum Arities	16
3.7	Potential Heads of Ground Instances of Variables	18
4	Infinite (Non-Well-Founded) Chains	20
5	Extension Orders	23
5.1	Locales	23
5.2	Lexicographic Extension	27
5.3	Reverse (Right-to-Left) Lexicographic Extension	30
5.4	Generic Length Extension	32
5.5	Length-Lexicographic Extension	32
5.6	Reverse (Right-to-Left) Length-Lexicographic Extension	33
5.7	Dershowitz–Manna Multiset Extension	35
5.8	Huet–Oppen Multiset Extension	40
5.9	Componentwise Extension	50

6	The Applicative Recursive Path Order for Lambda-Free Higher-Order Terms	55
7	The Graceful Recursive Path Order for Lambda-Free Higher-Order Terms	56
7.1	Setup	56
7.2	Inductive Definitions	56
7.3	Transitivity	57
7.4	Irreflexivity	59
7.5	Subterm Property	60
7.6	Compatibility with Functions	60
7.7	Compatibility with Arguments	61
7.8	Stability under Substitution	62
7.9	Totality on Ground Terms	63
7.10	Well-foundedness	65
8	The Optimized Graceful Recursive Path Order for Lambda-Free Higher-Order Terms	68
8.1	Setup	68
8.2	Definition of the Order	69
8.3	Transitivity	69
8.4	Conditional Equivalence with Unoptimized Version	71
9	Recursive Path Orders for Lambda-Free Higher-Order Terms	75

1 Introduction

This Isabelle/HOL formalization defines recursive path orders (RPOs) for higher-order terms without λ -abstraction and proves many useful properties about them. The main order fully coincides with the standard RPO on first-order terms also in the presence of currying, distinguishing it from previous work. An optimized variant is formalized as well. It appears promising as the basis of a higher-order superposition calculus.

We refer to our FoSSaCS 2017 paper for details.¹

2 Utilities for Lambda-Free Orders

```
theory Lambda_Free_Util
imports HOL-Library.Extended_Nat HOL-Library.Multiset_Order
begin
```

This theory gathers various lemmas that likely belong elsewhere in Isabelle or the *Archive of Formal Proofs*. Most (but certainly not all) of them are used to formalize orders on λ -free higher-order terms.

```
hide-const (open) Complex.arg
```

2.1 Finite Sets

```
lemma finite_set_fold_singleton[simp]: Finite_Set.fold f z {x} = f x z
proof -
  have fold_graph f z {x} (f x z)
    by (auto intro: fold_graph.intros)
  moreover
  {
    fix X y
    have fold_graph f z X y  $\implies$  (X = {}  $\implies$  y = z)  $\wedge$  (X = {x}  $\implies$  y = f x z)
      by (induct rule: fold_graph.induct) auto
  }
  ultimately have (THE y. fold_graph f z {x} y) = f x z
    by blast
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: Finite_Set.fold_def)
qed
```

¹https://www21.in.tum.de/~blanchet/lambda_free_rpo_conf.pdf

2.2 Function Power

lemma *funpow_lesseq_iter*:
fixes $f :: ('a::order) \Rightarrow 'a$
assumes $mono: \bigwedge k. k \leq f k$ **and** $m_le_n: m \leq n$
shows $(f \hat{\hat{m}}) k \leq (f \hat{\hat{n}}) k$
using m_le_n **by** (*induct n*) (*fastforce simp: le_Suc_eq intro: mono order_trans*)+

lemma *funpow_less_iter*:
fixes $f :: ('a::order) \Rightarrow 'a$
assumes $mono: \bigwedge k. k < f k$ **and** $m_lt_n: m < n$
shows $(f \hat{\hat{m}}) k < (f \hat{\hat{n}}) k$
using m_lt_n **by** (*induct n*) (*auto, blast intro: mono less_trans dest: less_antisym*)

2.3 Least Operator

lemma *Least_eq[simp]*: $(LEAST y. y = x) = x$ **and** $(LEAST y. x = y) = x$ **for** $x :: 'a::order$
by (*blast intro: Least_equality*)+

lemma *Least_in_nonempty_set_imp_ex*:
fixes $f :: 'b \Rightarrow ('a::wellorder)$
assumes
 $A_nemp: A \neq \{\}$ **and**
 $P_least: P (LEAST y. \exists x \in A. y = f x)$
shows $\exists x \in A. P (f x)$

proof –
obtain a **where** $a: a \in A$
using A_nemp **by** *fast*
have $\exists x. x \in A \wedge (LEAST y. \exists x. x \in A \wedge y = f x) = f x$
by (*rule LeastI[of _ f a]*) (*fast intro: a*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*metis P_least*)
qed

lemma *Least_eq_0_enat*: $P 0 \implies (LEAST x :: enat. P x) = 0$
by (*simp add: Least_equality*)

2.4 Antisymmetric Relations

lemma *irrefl_trans_imp_antisym*: $irrefl\ r \implies trans\ r \implies antisym\ r$
unfolding *irrefl_def trans_def antisym_def* **by** *fast*

lemma *irreflp_transp_imp_antisymP*: $irreflp\ p \implies transp\ p \implies antisymp\ p$
by (*fact irrefl_trans_imp_antisym [to_pred]*)

2.5 Acyclic Relations

lemma *finite_nonempty_ex_succ_imp_cyclic*:
assumes
 $fin: finite\ A$ **and**
 $nemp: A \neq \{\}$ **and**
 $ex_y: \forall x \in A. \exists y \in A. (y, x) \in r$
shows $\neg acyclic\ r$

proof –
let $?R = \{(x, y). x \in A \wedge y \in A \wedge (x, y) \in r\}$

have $R_sub_r: ?R \subseteq r$
by *auto*

have $?R \subseteq A \times A$
by *auto*
hence $fin_R: finite\ ?R$
by (*auto intro: fin dest!: infinite_super*)

```

have  $\neg$  acyclic ?R
  by (rule notI, drule finite_acyclic_wf[OF fin_R], unfold wf_eq_minimal, drule spec[of _ A],
      use ex_y nemp in blast)
thus ?thesis
  using R_sub_r acyclic_subset by auto
qed

```

2.6 Reflexive, Transitive Closure

lemma *relcomp_subset_left_imp_relcomp_trancl_subset_left*:

```

assumes sub:  $R \circ S \subseteq R$ 
shows  $R \circ S^* \subseteq R$ 
proof
  fix x
  assume  $x \in R \circ S^*$ 
  then obtain n where  $x \in R \circ S \wedge n$ 
    using rtrancl_imp_relpow by fastforce
  thus  $x \in R$ 
  proof (induct n)
    case (Suc m)
    thus ?case
      by (metis (no_types) O_assoc inf_sup_ord(3) le_iff_sup relcomp_distrib2 relpow_simps(2)
          relpow_commute sub_subsetCE)
  qed auto
qed

```

lemma *f_chain_in_rtrancl*:

```

assumes m_le_n:  $m \leq n$  and f_chain:  $\forall i \in \{m..<n\}. (f\ i, f\ (Suc\ i)) \in R$ 
shows  $(f\ m, f\ n) \in R^*$ 
proof (rule relpow_imp_rtrancl, rule relpow_fun_conv[THEN iffD2], intro exI conjI)
  let ?g =  $\lambda i. f\ (m + i)$ 
  let ?k =  $n - m$ 

  show ?g 0 = f m
    by simp
  show ?g ?k = f n
    using m_le_n by force
  show  $(\forall i < ?k. (?g\ i, ?g\ (Suc\ i)) \in R)$ 
    by (simp add: f_chain)
qed

```

lemma *f_rev_chain_in_rtrancl*:

```

assumes m_le_n:  $m \leq n$  and f_chain:  $\forall i \in \{m..<n\}. (f\ (Suc\ i), f\ i) \in R$ 
shows  $(f\ n, f\ m) \in R^*$ 
by (rule f_chain_in_rtrancl[OF m_le_n, of  $\lambda i. f\ (n + m - i)$ , simplified])
  (metis f_chain le_add_diff Suc_diff_Suc Suc_leI atLeastLessThan_iff diff_Suc_diff_eq1 diff_less
  le_add1 less_le_trans zero_less_Suc)

```

2.7 Well-Founded Relations

lemma *wf_app*: $wf\ r \implies wf\ \{(x, y). (f\ x, f\ y) \in r\}$
 unfolding wf_eq_minimal by (intro allI, drule spec[of _ f ' Q for Q]) auto

lemma *wfP_app*: $wfP\ p \implies wfP\ (\lambda x\ y. p\ (f\ x)\ (f\ y))$
 unfolding wfP_def by (rule wf_app[of $\{(x, y). p\ x\ y\}$ f, simplified])

lemma *wf_exists_minimal*:

```

assumes wf: wf r and Q:  $Q\ x$ 
shows  $\exists x. Q\ x \wedge (\forall y. (f\ y, f\ x) \in r \implies \neg Q\ y)$ 
using wf_eq_minimal[THEN iffD1, OF wf_app[OF wf], rule_format, of _  $\{x. Q\ x\}$ , simplified, OF Q]
by blast

```

lemma *wfP_exists_minimal*:

```

assumes wf: wfP p and Q:  $Q\ x$ 

```

shows $\exists x. Q x \wedge (\forall y. p (f y) (f x) \longrightarrow \neg Q y)$
by (rule *wf_exists_minimal*[of $\{(x, y). p x y\}$ $Q x$, $OF wf[unfolded wfP_def]$ Q , *simplified*])

lemma *finite_irrefl_trans_imp_wf*: $finite\ r \implies irrefl\ r \implies trans\ r \implies wf\ r$
by (erule *finite_acyclic_wf*) (*simp add: acyclic_irrefl*)

lemma *finite_irreflp_transp_imp_wfp*:
 $finite\ \{(x, y). p\ x\ y\} \implies irreflp\ p \implies transp\ p \implies wfp\ p$
using *finite_irrefl_trans_imp_wf*[of $\{(x, y). p\ x\ y\}$]
unfolding *wfP_def transp_def irreflp_def trans_def irrefl_def mem_Collect_eq prod.case*
by *assumption*

lemma *wf_infinite_down_chain_compatible*:

assumes

wf_R: $wf\ R$ **and**

inf_chain_RS: $\forall i. (f\ (Suc\ i), f\ i) \in R \cup S$ **and**

O_subset: $R\ O\ S \subseteq R$

shows $\exists k. \forall i. (f\ (Suc\ (i + k)), f\ (i + k)) \in S$

proof (rule *ccontr*)

assume $\nexists k. \forall i. (f\ (Suc\ (i + k)), f\ (i + k)) \in S$

hence $\forall k. \exists i. (f\ (Suc\ (i + k)), f\ (i + k)) \notin S$

by *blast*

hence $\forall k. \exists i > k. (f\ (Suc\ i), f\ i) \notin S$

by (*metis add.commute add_Suc less_add_Suc1*)

hence $\forall k. \exists i > k. (f\ (Suc\ i), f\ i) \in R$

using *inf_chain_RS* **by** *blast*

hence $\exists i > k. (f\ (Suc\ i), f\ i) \in R \wedge (\forall j > k. (f\ (Suc\ j), f\ j) \in R \longrightarrow j \geq i)$ **for** k

using *wf_eq_minimal*[*THEN iffD1*, *OF wf_less*, *rule_format*,
of $\{i. i > k \wedge (f\ (Suc\ i), f\ i) \in R\}$, *simplified*]

by (*meson not_less*)

then obtain j_of **where**

j_of_gt : $\bigwedge k. j_of\ k > k$ **and**

$j_of_in_R$: $\bigwedge k. (f\ (Suc\ (j_of\ k)), f\ (j_of\ k)) \in R$ **and**

j_of_min : $\bigwedge k. \forall j > k. (f\ (Suc\ j), f\ j) \in R \longrightarrow j \geq j_of\ k$

by *moura*

have $j_of_min_s$: $\bigwedge k\ j. j > k \implies j < j_of\ k \implies (f\ (Suc\ j), f\ j) \in S$

using j_of_min *inf_chain_RS* **by** *fastforce*

define $g :: nat \Rightarrow 'a$ **where** $\bigwedge k. g\ k = f\ (Suc\ ((j_of\ \wedge\wedge\ k)\ 0))$

have *between_g*[*simplified*]: $(f\ ((j_of\ \wedge\wedge\ (Suc\ i))\ 0), f\ (Suc\ ((j_of\ \wedge\wedge\ i)\ 0))) \in S^*$ **for** i

proof (rule *f_rev_chain_in_rtrancl*; *clarify?*)

show $Suc\ ((j_of\ \wedge\wedge\ i)\ 0) \leq (j_of\ \wedge\wedge\ Suc\ i)\ 0$

using j_of_gt **by** (*simp add: Suc_leI*)

next

fix ia

assume ia : $ia \in \{Suc\ ((j_of\ \wedge\wedge\ i)\ 0)..<(j_of\ \wedge\wedge\ Suc\ i)\ 0\}$

have ia_gt : $ia > (j_of\ \wedge\wedge\ i)\ 0$

using ia **by** *auto*

have ia_lt : $ia < j_of\ ((j_of\ \wedge\wedge\ i)\ 0)$

using ia **by** *auto*

show $(f\ (Suc\ ia), f\ ia) \in S$

by (rule $j_of_min_s$ [*OF ia_gt ia_lt*])

qed

have $\bigwedge i. (g\ (Suc\ i), g\ i) \in R$

unfolding g_def *funpow.simps comp_def*

by (rule *set_mp*[*OF relcomp_subset_left_imp_relcomp_trancl_subset_left*[*OF O_subset*]])

(rule *relcompI*[*OF j_of_in_R between_g*])

moreover have $\forall f. \exists i. (f\ (Suc\ i), f\ i) \notin R$

using wf_R [*unfolded wf_iff_no_infinite_down_chain*] **by** *blast*

ultimately show *False*

by *blast*
qed

2.8 Wellorders

lemma (in *wellorder*) *exists_minimal*:
fixes $x :: 'a$
assumes $P\ x$
shows $\exists x. P\ x \wedge (\forall y. P\ y \longrightarrow y \geq x)$
using *assms* **by** (*auto intro: LeastI Least_le*)

2.9 Lists

lemma *rev_induct2*[*consumes 1, case_names Nil snoc*]:
 $length\ xs = length\ ys \Longrightarrow P\ []\ [] \Longrightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge x\ xs\ y\ ys. length\ xs = length\ ys \Longrightarrow P\ xs\ ys \Longrightarrow P\ (xs\ @\ [x])\ (ys\ @\ [y])) \Longrightarrow P\ xs\ ys$
proof (*induct xs arbitrary: ys rule: rev_induct*)
case (*snoc x xs ys*)
thus *?case*
by (*induct ys rule: rev_induct simp_all*)
qed *auto*

lemma *hd_in_set*: $length\ xs \neq 0 \Longrightarrow hd\ xs \in set\ xs$
by (*cases xs*) *auto*

lemma *in_lists_iff_set*: $xs \in lists\ A \longleftrightarrow set\ xs \subseteq A$
by *fast*

lemma *butlast_append_Cons*[*simp*]: $butlast\ (xs\ @\ y\ \#\ ys) = xs\ @\ butlast\ (y\ \#\ ys)$
using *butlast_append*[*of xs y # ys, simplified*] **by** *simp*

lemma *rev_in_lists*[*simp*]: $rev\ xs \in lists\ A \longleftrightarrow xs \in lists\ A$
by *auto*

lemma *hd_le_sum_list*:
fixes $xs :: 'a::ordered_ab_semigroup_monoid_add_imp_le\ list$
assumes $xs \neq []$ **and** $\forall i < length\ xs. xs\ !\ i \geq 0$
shows $hd\ xs \leq sum_list\ xs$
using *assms*
by (*induct xs rule: rev_induct, simp_all,*
metis add_cancel_right_left add_increasing2 hd_append2 lessI less_SucI list.sel(1) nth_append
nth_append_length order_refl self_append_conv2 sum_list.Nil)

lemma *sum_list_ge_length_times*:
fixes $a :: 'a::\{ordered_ab_semigroup_add,semiring_1\}$
assumes $\forall i < length\ xs. xs\ !\ i \geq a$
shows $sum_list\ xs \geq of_nat\ (length\ xs) * a$
using *assms*
proof (*induct xs*)
case (*Cons x xs*)
note $ih = this(1)$ **and** $xxs_i_ge_a = this(2)$

have $xxs_i_ge_a: \forall i < length\ xs. xs\ !\ i \geq a$
using $xxs_i_ge_a$ **by** *auto*

have $x \geq a$
using $xxs_i_ge_a$ **by** *auto*
thus *?case*
using $ih[OF\ xxs_i_ge_a]$ **by** (*simp add: ring_distrib ordered_ab_semigroup_add_class.add_mono*)
qed *auto*

lemma *prod_list_nonneg*:
fixes $xs :: ('a :: \{ordered_semiring_0,linordered_nonzero_semiring\})\ list$
assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in set\ xs \Longrightarrow x \geq 0$

shows $\text{prod_list } xs \geq 0$
using *assms* **by** (*induct xs*) *auto*

lemma *zip_append_0_upt*:
 $\text{zip } (xs @ ys) [0..<\text{length } xs + \text{length } ys] =$
 $\text{zip } xs [0..<\text{length } xs] @ \text{zip } ys [\text{length } xs..<\text{length } xs + \text{length } ys]$
proof (*induct ys arbitrary: xs*)
case (*Cons y ys*)
note *ih = this*
show *?case*
using *ih[of xs @ [y]]* **by** (*simp, cases ys, simp, simp add: upt_rec*)
qed *auto*

lemma *zip_eq_butlast_last*:
assumes *len_gt0: length xs > 0* **and** *len_eq: length xs = length ys*
shows $\text{zip } xs \text{ } ys = \text{zip } (\text{butlast } xs) (\text{butlast } ys) @ [(last \text{ } xs, last \text{ } ys)]$
using *len_eq len_gt0* **by** (*induct rule: list_induct2*) *auto*

2.10 Extended Natural Numbers

lemma *the_enat_0[simp]*: $\text{the_enat } 0 = 0$
by (*simp add: zero_enat_def*)

lemma *the_enat_1[simp]*: $\text{the_enat } 1 = 1$
by (*simp add: one_enat_def*)

lemma *enat_le_minus_1_imp_lt*: $m \leq n - 1 \implies n \neq \infty \implies n \neq 0 \implies m < n$ **for** $m \ n :: \text{enat}$
by (*cases m; cases n; simp add: zero_enat_def one_enat_def*)

lemma *enat_diff_diff_eq*: $k - m - n = k - (m + n)$ **for** $k \ m \ n :: \text{enat}$
by (*cases k; cases m; cases n*) *auto*

lemma *enat_sub_add_same[intro]*: $n \leq m \implies m = m - n + n$ **for** $m \ n :: \text{enat}$
by (*cases m; cases n*) *auto*

lemma *enat_the_enat_iden[simp]*: $n \neq \infty \implies \text{enat } (\text{the_enat } n) = n$
by *auto*

lemma *the_enat_minus_nat*: $m \neq \infty \implies \text{the_enat } (m - \text{enat } n) = \text{the_enat } m - n$
by *auto*

lemma *enat_the_enat_le*: $\text{enat } (\text{the_enat } x) \leq x$
by (*cases x; simp*)

lemma *enat_the_enat_minus_le*: $\text{enat } (\text{the_enat } (x - y)) \leq x$
by (*cases x; cases y; simp*)

lemma *enat_le_imp_minus_le*: $k \leq m \implies k - n \leq m$ **for** $k \ m \ n :: \text{enat}$
by (*metis Groups.add_ac(2) enat_diff_diff_eq enat_ord_simps(3) enat_sub_add_same*
 $\text{enat_the_enat_iden enat_the_enat_minus_le idiff_0_right idiff_infinity idiff_infinity_right}$
 $\text{order_trans_rules(23) plus_enat_simps(3)}$)

lemma *add_diff_assoc2_enat*: $m \geq n \implies m - n + p = m + p - n$ **for** $m \ n \ p :: \text{enat}$
by (*cases m; cases n; cases p; auto*)

lemma *enat_mult_minus_distrib*: $\text{enat } x * (y - z) = \text{enat } x * y - \text{enat } x * z$
by (*cases y; cases z; auto simp: enat_0_right_diff_distrib'*)

2.11 Multisets

lemma *add_mset_lt_left_lt*: $a < b \implies \text{add_mset } a \ A < \text{add_mset } b \ A$
unfolding *less_multiset_{HO}* **by** *auto*

lemma *add_mset_le_left_le*: $a \leq b \implies \text{add_mset } a \ A \leq \text{add_mset } b \ A$ **for** $a :: 'a :: \text{linorder}$

unfolding *less_multiset_{HO}* **by** *auto*

lemma *add_mset_lt_right_lt*: $A < B \implies \text{add_mset } a A < \text{add_mset } a B$
unfolding *less_multiset_{HO}* **by** *auto*

lemma *add_mset_le_right_le*: $A \leq B \implies \text{add_mset } a A \leq \text{add_mset } a B$
unfolding *less_multiset_{HO}* **by** *auto*

lemma *add_mset_lt_lt_lt*:
assumes *a lt b*: $a < b$ **and** *A le B*: $A < B$
shows *add_mset a A < add_mset b B*
by (*rule less_trans[OF add_mset_lt_left_lt[OF a lt b] add_mset_lt_right_lt[OF A le B]]*)

lemma *add_mset_lt_lt_le*: $a < b \implies A \leq B \implies \text{add_mset } a A < \text{add_mset } b B$
using *add_mset_lt_lt_le_neq_trans* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *add_mset_lt_le_lt*: $a \leq b \implies A < B \implies \text{add_mset } a A < \text{add_mset } b B$ **for** $a :: 'a :: \text{linorder}$
using *add_mset_lt_lt_le* **by** (*metis add_mset_lt_right_lt le_less*)

lemma *add_mset_le_le_le*:
fixes $a :: 'a :: \text{linorder}$
assumes *a le b*: $a \leq b$ **and** *A le B*: $A \leq B$
shows *add_mset a A \le add_mset b B*
by (*rule order.trans[OF add_mset_le_left_le[OF a le b] add_mset_le_right_le[OF A le B]]*)

declare *filter_eq_replicate_mset [simp] image_mset_subseteq_mono [intro]*

lemma *nonempty_subseteq_mset_eq_singleton*: $M \neq \{\#\} \implies M \subseteq\# \{\#x\# \} \implies M = \{\#x\# \}$
by (*cases M*) (*auto dest: subset_mset.diff_add*)

lemma *nonempty_subseteq_mset_iff_singleton*: $(M \neq \{\#\} \wedge M \subseteq\# \{\#x\# \} \wedge P) \longleftrightarrow M = \{\#x\# \} \wedge P$
by (*cases M*) (*auto dest: subset_mset.diff_add*)

lemma *count_gt_imp_in_mset*[*intro*]: $\text{count } M x > n \implies x \in\# M$
using *count_greater_zero_iff* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *size_lt_imp_ex_count_lt*: $\text{size } M < \text{size } N \implies \exists x \in\# N. \text{count } M x < \text{count } N x$
by (*metis count_eq_zero_iff leD not_le_imp_less not_less_zero size_mset_mono subseteq_mset_def*)

lemma *filter_filter_mset*[*simp*]: $\{\#x \in\# \{\#x \in\# M. Q x\# \}. P x\# \} = \{\#x \in\# M. P x \wedge Q x\# \}$
by (*induct M*) *auto*

lemma *size_filter_unsat_elem*:
assumes $x \in\# M$ **and** $\neg P x$
shows $\text{size } \{\#x \in\# M. P x\# \} < \text{size } M$
proof –
have $\text{size } (\text{filter_mset } P M) \neq \text{size } M$
using *assms* **by** (*metis add.right_neutral add_diff_cancel_left' count_filter_mset mem_Collect_eq multiset_partition nonempty_has_size set_mset_def size_union*)
then show *?thesis*
by (*meson leD nat_neq_iff size_filter_mset_lesseq*)
qed

lemma *size_filter_ne_elem*: $x \in\# M \implies \text{size } \{\#y \in\# M. y \neq x\# \} < \text{size } M$
by (*simp add: size_filter_unsat_elem[of x M \lambda y. y \neq x]*)

lemma *size_eq_ex_count_lt*:
assumes
sz_m_eq_n: $\text{size } M = \text{size } N$ **and**
m_eq_n: $M \neq N$
shows $\exists x. \text{count } M x < \text{count } N x$
proof –
obtain x **where** $\text{count } M x \neq \text{count } N x$


```

    using m_eq_n by (meson multiset_eqI)
moreover
{
  assume count M x < count N x
  hence ?thesis
    by blast
}
moreover
{
  assume cnt_x: count M x > count N x

  have size {#y ∈# M. y = x#} + size {#y ∈# M. y ≠ x#} =
    size {#y ∈# N. y = x#} + size {#y ∈# N. y ≠ x#}
    using sz_m_eq_n multiset_partition by (metis size_union)
  hence sz_m_minus_x: size {#y ∈# M. y ≠ x#} < size {#y ∈# N. y ≠ x#}
    using cnt_x by simp
  then obtain y where count {#y ∈# M. y ≠ x#} y < count {#y ∈# N. y ≠ x#} y
    using size_lt_imp_ex_count_lt[OF sz_m_minus_x] by blast
  hence count M y < count N y
    by (metis count_filter_mset_less_asym)
  hence ?thesis
    by blast
}
ultimately show ?thesis
  by fastforce
qed

```

lemma count_image_mset_lt_imp_lt_raw:

```

  assumes
    finite A and
    A = set_mset M ∪ set_mset N and
    count (image_mset f M) b < count (image_mset f N) b
  shows ∃x. f x = b ∧ count M x < count N x
  using assms
proof (induct A arbitrary: M N b rule: finite_induct)
  case (insert x F)
  note fin = this(1) and x_ni_f = this(2) and ih = this(3) and x_f_eq_m_n = this(4) and
    cnt_b = this(5)

```

```

let ?Ma = {#y ∈# M. y ≠ x#}
let ?Mb = {#y ∈# M. y = x#}
let ?Na = {#y ∈# N. y ≠ x#}
let ?Nb = {#y ∈# N. y = x#}

```

```

have m_part: M = ?Mb + ?Ma and n_part: N = ?Nb + ?Na
  using multiset_partition by blast+

```

```

have f_eq_ma_na: F = set_mset ?Ma ∪ set_mset ?Na
  using x_f_eq_m_n x_ni_f by auto

```

```

show ?case
proof (cases count (image_mset f ?Ma) b < count (image_mset f ?Na) b)
  case cnt_ba: True
  obtain xa where f xa = b and count ?Ma xa < count ?Na xa
    using ih[OF f_eq_ma_na cnt_ba] by blast
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis count_filter_mset_not_less0)

```

```

next
  case cnt_ba: False
  have fx_eq_b: f x = b
    using cnt_b cnt_ba by (subst (asm) m_part, subst (asm) n_part, auto, presburger)
  moreover have count M x < count N x
    using cnt_b cnt_ba by (subst (asm) m_part, subst (asm) n_part, auto simp: fx_eq_b)

```

```

ultimately show ?thesis
  by blast
qed
qed auto

lemma count_image_mset_lt_imp_lt:
  assumes cnt_b: count (image_mset f M) b < count (image_mset f N) b
  shows  $\exists x. f x = b \wedge \text{count } M x < \text{count } N x$ 
  by (rule count_image_mset_lt_imp_lt_raw[of set_mset M  $\cup$  set_mset N, OF_refl cnt_b]) auto

lemma count_image_mset_le_imp_lt_raw:
  assumes
    finite A and
    A = set_mset M  $\cup$  set_mset N and
    count (image_mset f M) (f a) + count N a < count (image_mset f N) (f a) + count M a
  shows  $\exists b. f b = f a \wedge \text{count } M b < \text{count } N b$ 
  using assms
proof (induct A arbitrary: M N rule: finite_induct)
  case (insert x F)
  note fin = this(1) and x_ni_f = this(2) and ih = this(3) and x_f_eq_m_n = this(4) and
    cnt_lt = this(5)

  let ?Ma = {#y  $\in$  # M. y  $\neq$  x#}
  let ?Mb = {#y  $\in$  # M. y = x#}
  let ?Na = {#y  $\in$  # N. y  $\neq$  x#}
  let ?Nb = {#y  $\in$  # N. y = x#}

  have m_part: M = ?Mb + ?Ma and n_part: N = ?Nb + ?Na
    using multiset_partition by blast+

  have f_eq_ma_na: F = set_mset ?Ma  $\cup$  set_mset ?Na
    using x_f_eq_m_n x_ni_f by auto

  show ?case
  proof (cases f x = f a)
    case fx_ne_fa: False

    have cnt_fma_fa: count (image_mset f ?Ma) (f a) = count (image_mset f M) (f a)
      using fx_ne_fa by (subst (2) m_part) auto
    have cnt_fna_fa: count (image_mset f ?Na) (f a) = count (image_mset f N) (f a)
      using fx_ne_fa by (subst (2) n_part) auto
    have cnt_ma_a: count ?Ma a = count M a
      using fx_ne_fa by (subst (2) m_part) auto
    have cnt_na_a: count ?Na a = count N a
      using fx_ne_fa by (subst (2) n_part) auto

    obtain b where fb_eq_fa: f b = f a and cnt_b: count ?Ma b < count ?Na b
      using ih[OF f_eq_ma_na] cnt_lt unfolding cnt_fma_fa cnt_fna_fa cnt_ma_a cnt_na_a by blast
    have fx_ne_fb: f x  $\neq$  f b
      using fb_eq_fa fx_ne_fa by simp

    have cnt_ma_b: count ?Ma b = count M b
      using fx_ne_fb by (subst (2) m_part) auto
    have cnt_na_b: count ?Na b = count N b
      using fx_ne_fb by (subst (2) n_part) auto

    show ?thesis
      using fb_eq_fa cnt_b unfolding cnt_ma_b cnt_na_b by blast
  next
  case fx_eq_fa: True
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases x = a)
    case x_eq_a: True

```

```

have count (image_mset f ?Ma) (f a) + count ?Na a
  < count (image_mset f ?Na) (f a) + count ?Ma a
  using cnt_lt x_eq_a by (subst (asm) (1 2) m_part, subst (asm) (1 2) n_part, auto)
thus ?thesis
  using ih[OF f_eq_ma_na] by (metis count_filter_mset nat_neq_iff)
next
case x_ne_a: False
show ?thesis
proof (cases count M x < count N x)
  case True
  thus ?thesis
  using fx_eq_fa by blast
next
case False
hence cnt_x: count M x ≥ count N x
  by fastforce
have count M x + count (image_mset f ?Ma) (f a) + count ?Na a
  < count N x + count (image_mset f ?Na) (f a) + count ?Ma a
  using cnt_lt x_ne_a fx_eq_fa by (subst (asm) (1 2) m_part, subst (asm) (1 2) n_part, auto)
hence count (image_mset f ?Ma) (f a) + count ?Na a
  < count (image_mset f ?Na) (f a) + count ?Ma a
  using cnt_x by linarith
thus ?thesis
  using ih[OF f_eq_ma_na] by (metis count_filter_mset nat_neq_iff)
qed
qed
qed
qed auto

```

```

lemma count_image_mset_le_imp_lt:
assumes
  count (image_mset f M) (f a) ≤ count (image_mset f N) (f a) and
  count M a > count N a
shows ∃ b. f b = f a ∧ count M b < count N b
using assms by (auto intro: count_image_mset_le_imp_lt_raw[of set_mset M ∪ set_mset N])

```

```

lemma Max_in_mset: M ≠ {#} ⇒ Max_mset M ∈# M
by simp

```

```

lemma Max_lt_imp_lt_mset:
assumes n_nemp: N ≠ {#} and max: Max_mset M < Max_mset N (is ?max_M < ?max_N)
shows M < N
proof (cases M = {#})
case m_nemp: False

```

```

  have max_n_in_n: ?max_N ∈# N
  using n_nemp by simp
  have max_n_nin_m: ?max_N ∉# M
  using max Max_ge leD by auto

```

```

have M ≠ N
using max by auto

```

```

moreover

```

```

{
  fix y
  assume count N y < count M y
  hence y ∈# M
  by blast
  hence ?max_M ≥ y
  by simp
  hence ?max_N > y
  using max by auto
  hence ∃ x > y. count M x < count N x

```

```

    using max_n_nin_m max_n_in_n by fastforce
  }
  ultimately show ?thesis
    unfolding less_multisetHO by blast
qed (auto simp: n_nemp)

lemma fold_mset_singleton[simp]: fold_mset f z {#x#} = f x z
  by (simp add: fold_mset_def)

end

```

3 Lambda-Free Higher-Order Terms

```

theory Lambda_Free_Term
imports Lambda_Free_Util
abbrevs >s = >s
  and >h = >hd
  and ≤s = ≤hd
begin

```

This theory defines λ -free higher-order terms and related locales.

3.1 Precedence on Symbols

```

locale gt_sym =
  fixes
    gt_sym :: 's ⇒ 's ⇒ bool (infix >s 50)
  assumes
    gt_sym_irrefl: ¬ f >s f and
    gt_sym_trans: h >s g ⇒ g >s f ⇒ h >s f and
    gt_sym_total: f >s g ∨ g >s f ∨ g = f and
    gt_sym_wf: wfP (λf g. g >s f)
begin

lemma gt_sym_antisym: f >s g ⇒ ¬ g >s f
  by (metis gt_sym_irrefl gt_sym_trans)

end

```

3.2 Heads

```

datatype (plugins del: size) (syms_hd: 's, vars_hd: 'v) hd =
  is_Var: Var (var: 'v)
| Sym (sym: 's)

abbreviation is_Sym :: ('s, 'v) hd ⇒ bool where
  is_Sym ζ ≡ ¬ is_Var ζ

lemma finite_vars_hd[simp]: finite (vars_hd ζ)
  by (cases ζ) auto

lemma finite_syms_hd[simp]: finite (syms_hd ζ)
  by (cases ζ) auto

```

3.3 Terms

```

consts head0 :: 'a

datatype (syms: 's, vars: 'v) tm =
  is_Hd: Hd (head: ('s, 'v) hd)
| App (fun: ('s, 'v) tm) (arg: ('s, 'v) tm)
where
  head (App s _) = head0 s

```

| $\text{fun } (Hd \zeta) = Hd \zeta$
| $\text{arg } (Hd \zeta) = Hd \zeta$

overloading $\text{head0} \equiv \text{head0} :: ('s, 'v) \text{tm} \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) \text{hd}$
begin

primrec $\text{head0} :: ('s, 'v) \text{tm} \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) \text{hd}$ **where**
 $\text{head0 } (Hd \zeta) = \zeta$
| $\text{head0 } (\text{App } s _) = \text{head0 } s$

end

lemma $\text{head_App}[simp]: \text{head } (\text{App } s t) = \text{head } s$
by ($\text{cases } s$) *auto*

declare $\text{tm.sel}(2)[simp \text{ del}]$

lemma $\text{head_fun}[simp]: \text{head } (\text{fun } s) = \text{head } s$
by ($\text{cases } s$) *auto*

abbreviation $\text{ground} :: ('s, 'v) \text{tm} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{ground } t \equiv \text{vars } t = \{\}$

abbreviation $\text{is_App} :: ('s, 'v) \text{tm} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{is_App } s \equiv \neg \text{is_Hd } s$

lemma
 $\text{size_fun_lt}: \text{is_App } s \Longrightarrow \text{size } (\text{fun } s) < \text{size } s$ **and**
 $\text{size_arg_lt}: \text{is_App } s \Longrightarrow \text{size } (\text{arg } s) < \text{size } s$
by ($\text{cases } s; \text{simp}$) $+$

lemma
 $\text{finite_vars}[simp]: \text{finite } (\text{vars } s)$ **and**
 $\text{finite_syms}[simp]: \text{finite } (\text{syms } s)$
by ($\text{induct } s$) *auto*

lemma
 $\text{vars_head_subsetq}: \text{vars_hd } (\text{head } s) \subseteq \text{vars } s$ **and**
 $\text{syms_head_subsetq}: \text{syms_hd } (\text{head } s) \subseteq \text{syms } s$
by ($\text{induct } s$) *auto*

fun $\text{args} :: ('s, 'v) \text{tm} \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) \text{tm list}$ **where**
 $\text{args } (Hd _) = []$
| $\text{args } (\text{App } s t) = \text{args } s @ [t]$

lemma $\text{set_args_fun}: \text{set } (\text{args } (\text{fun } s)) \subseteq \text{set } (\text{args } s)$
by ($\text{cases } s$) *auto*

lemma $\text{arg_in_args}: \text{is_App } s \Longrightarrow \text{arg } s \in \text{set } (\text{args } s)$
by ($\text{cases } s \text{ rule: tm.exhaust}$) *auto*

lemma
 $\text{vars_args_subsetq}: si \in \text{set } (\text{args } s) \Longrightarrow \text{vars } si \subseteq \text{vars } s$ **and**
 $\text{syms_args_subsetq}: si \in \text{set } (\text{args } s) \Longrightarrow \text{syms } si \subseteq \text{syms } s$
by ($\text{induct } s$) *auto*

lemma $\text{args_Nil_iff_is_Hd}: \text{args } s = [] \longleftrightarrow \text{is_Hd } s$
by ($\text{cases } s$) *auto*

abbreviation $\text{num_args} :: ('s, 'v) \text{tm} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
 $\text{num_args } s \equiv \text{length } (\text{args } s)$

lemma $\text{size_ge_num_args}: \text{size } s \geq \text{num_args } s$

by (induct s) auto

lemma *Hd_head_id*: $\text{num_args } s = 0 \implies \text{Hd } (\text{head } s) = s$
by (metis args.cases args.simps(2) length_0_conv snoc_eq_iff_butlast tm.collapse(1) tm.disc(1))

lemma *one_arg_imp_Hd*: $\text{num_args } s = 1 \implies s = \text{App } t \ u \implies t = \text{Hd } (\text{head } t)$
by (simp add: Hd_head_id)

lemma *size_in_args*: $s \in \text{set } (\text{args } t) \implies \text{size } s < \text{size } t$
by (induct t) auto

primrec *apps* :: ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) tm list \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) tm **where**
 apps s [] = s
| *apps* s (t # ts) = *apps* (App s t) ts

lemma
 vars_apps[simp]: $\text{vars } (\text{apps } s \ ss) = \text{vars } s \cup (\bigcup s \in \text{set } ss. \text{vars } s)$ **and**
 syms_apps[simp]: $\text{syms } (\text{apps } s \ ss) = \text{syms } s \cup (\bigcup s \in \text{set } ss. \text{syms } s)$ **and**
 head_apps[simp]: $\text{head } (\text{apps } s \ ss) = \text{head } s$ **and**
 args_apps[simp]: $\text{args } (\text{apps } s \ ss) = \text{args } s \ @ \ ss$ **and**
 is_App_apps[simp]: $\text{is_App } (\text{apps } s \ ss) \longleftrightarrow \text{args } (\text{apps } s \ ss) \neq []$ **and**
 fun_apps_Nil[simp]: $\text{fun } (\text{apps } s \ []) = \text{fun } s$ **and**
 fun_apps_Cons[simp]: $\text{fun } (\text{apps } (\text{App } s \ sa) \ ss) = \text{apps } s \ (\text{butlast } (sa \ # \ ss))$ **and**
 arg_apps_Nil[simp]: $\text{arg } (\text{apps } s \ []) = \text{arg } s$ **and**
 arg_apps_Cons[simp]: $\text{arg } (\text{apps } (\text{App } s \ sa) \ ss) = \text{last } (sa \ # \ ss)$
by (induct ss arbitrary: s sa) (auto simp: args_Nil_iff_is_Hd)

lemma *apps_append*[simp]: $\text{apps } s \ (ss \ @ \ ts) = \text{apps } (\text{apps } s \ ss) \ ts$
by (induct ss arbitrary: s ts) auto

lemma *App_apps*: $\text{App } (\text{apps } s \ ts) \ t = \text{apps } s \ (ts \ @ \ [t])$
by simp

lemma *tm_inject_apps*[iff, induct_simp]: $\text{apps } (\text{Hd } \zeta) \ ss = \text{apps } (\text{Hd } \xi) \ ts \longleftrightarrow \zeta = \xi \wedge ss = ts$
by (metis args_apps head_apps same_append_eq tm.sel(1))

lemma *tm_collapse_apps*[simp]: $\text{apps } (\text{Hd } (\text{head } s)) \ (\text{args } s) = s$
by (induct s) auto

lemma *tm_expand_apps*: $\text{head } s = \text{head } t \implies \text{args } s = \text{args } t \implies s = t$
by (metis tm_collapse_apps)

lemma *tm_exhaust_apps_sel*[case_names apps]: $(s = \text{apps } (\text{Hd } (\text{head } s)) \ (\text{args } s) \implies P) \implies P$
by (atomize_elim, induct s) auto

lemma *tm_exhaust_apps*[case_names apps]: $(\bigwedge \zeta \ ss. s = \text{apps } (\text{Hd } \zeta) \ ss \implies P) \implies P$
by (metis tm_collapse_apps)

lemma *tm_induct_apps*[case_names apps]:
 assumes $\bigwedge \zeta \ ss. (\bigwedge s. s \in \text{set } ss \implies P \ s) \implies P \ (\text{apps } (\text{Hd } \zeta) \ ss)$
 shows $P \ s$
 using *assms*
by (induct s taking: size_rule: measure_induct_rule) (metis size_in_args tm_collapse_apps)

lemma
 ground_fun: $\text{ground } s \implies \text{ground } (\text{fun } s)$ **and**
 ground_arg: $\text{ground } s \implies \text{ground } (\text{arg } s)$
by (induct s) auto

lemma *ground_head*: $\text{ground } s \implies \text{is_Sym } (\text{head } s)$
by (cases s rule: tm_exhaust_apps) (auto simp: is_Var_def)

lemma *ground_args*: $t \in \text{set } (\text{args } s) \implies \text{ground } s \implies \text{ground } t$

by (induct s rule: tm_induct_apps) auto

primrec vars_mset :: ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow 'v multiset **where**
 vars_mset (Hd ζ) = mset_set (vars_hd ζ)
 | vars_mset (App s t) = vars_mset s + vars_mset t

lemma set_vars_mset[simp]: set_mset (vars_mset t) = vars t
 by (induct t) auto

lemma vars_mset_empty_iff[iff]: vars_mset s = {#} \longleftrightarrow ground s
 by (induct s) (auto simp: mset_set_empty_iff)

lemma vars_mset_fun[intro]: vars_mset (fun t) $\subseteq\#$ vars_mset t
 by (cases t) auto

lemma vars_mset_arg[intro]: vars_mset (arg t) $\subseteq\#$ vars_mset t
 by (cases t) auto

3.4 Substitutions

primrec subst :: ('v \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) tm) \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) tm **where**
 subst ρ (Hd ζ) = (case ζ of Var x \Rightarrow ρ x | Sym f \Rightarrow Hd (Sym f))
 | subst ρ (App s t) = App (subst ρ s) (subst ρ t)

lemma subst_apps[simp]: subst ρ (apps s ts) = apps (subst ρ s) (map (subst ρ) ts)
 by (induct ts arbitrary: s) auto

lemma head_subst[simp]: head (subst ρ s) = head (subst ρ (Hd (head s)))
 by (cases s rule: tm_exhaust_apps) (auto split: hd.split)

lemma args_subst[simp]:
 args (subst ρ s) = (case head s of Var x \Rightarrow args (ρ x) | Sym f \Rightarrow []) @ map (subst ρ) (args s)
 by (cases s rule: tm_exhaust_apps) (auto split: hd.split)

lemma ground_imp_subst_iden: ground s \Longrightarrow subst ρ s = s
 by (induct s) (auto split: hd.split)

lemma vars_mset_subst[simp]: vars_mset (subst ρ s) = ($\bigcup\#$ {#vars_mset (ρ x). x $\in\#$ vars_mset s#})

proof (induct s)

case (Hd ζ)

show ?case

by (cases ζ) auto

qed auto

lemma vars_mset_subst_subseteq:

vars_mset t $\supseteq\#$ vars_mset s \Longrightarrow vars_mset (subst ρ t) $\supseteq\#$ vars_mset (subst ρ s)

unfolding vars_mset_subst

by (metis (no_types) add_diff_cancel_right' diff_subset_eq_self image_mset_union sum_mset.union subset_mset.add_diff_inverse)

lemma vars_subst_subseteq: vars t \supseteq vars s \Longrightarrow vars (subst ρ t) \supseteq vars (subst ρ s)
unfolding set_vars_mset[symmetric] vars_mset_subst **by** auto

3.5 Subterms

inductive sub :: ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow bool **where**

sub_refl: sub s s

| sub_fun: sub s t \Longrightarrow sub s (App u t)

| sub_arg: sub s t \Longrightarrow sub s (App t u)

inductive-cases sub_HdE[simplified, elim]: sub s (Hd ξ)

inductive-cases sub_AppE[simplified, elim]: sub s (App t u)

inductive-cases sub_Hd_HdE[simplified, elim]: sub (Hd ζ) (Hd ξ)

inductive-cases sub_Hd_AppE[simplified, elim]: sub (Hd ζ) (App t u)

lemma *in_vars_imp_sub*: $x \in \text{vars } s \iff \text{sub } (\text{Hd } (\text{Var } x)) s$
by *induct* (*auto intro: sub.intros elim: hd.set_cases(2)*)

lemma *sub_args*: $s \in \text{set } (\text{args } t) \implies \text{sub } s t$
by (*induct t*) (*auto intro: sub.intros*)

lemma *sub_size*: $\text{sub } s t \implies \text{size } s \leq \text{size } t$
by *induct auto*

lemma *sub_subst*: $\text{sub } s t \implies \text{sub } (\text{subst } \varrho s) (\text{subst } \varrho t)$
proof (*induct t*)
case (*Hd* ζ)
thus *?case*
by (*cases* ζ ; *blast intro: sub.intros*)
qed (*auto intro: sub.intros del: sub_AppE elim!: sub_AppE*)

abbreviation *proper_sub* :: $(\text{'s}, \text{'v}) \text{tm} \Rightarrow (\text{'s}, \text{'v}) \text{tm} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
proper_sub $s t \equiv \text{sub } s t \wedge s \neq t$

lemma *proper_sub_Hd[simp]*: $\neg \text{proper_sub } s (\text{Hd } \zeta)$
using *sub.cases* **by** *blast*

lemma *proper_sub_subst*:
assumes *psub*: *proper_sub* $s t$
shows *proper_sub* $(\text{subst } \varrho s) (\text{subst } \varrho t)$
proof (*cases t*)
case *Hd*
thus *?thesis*
using *psub* **by** *simp*

next
case *t*: (*App* $t1 t2$)
have *sub* $s t1 \vee \text{sub } s t2$
using *t psub* **by** *blast*
hence *sub* $(\text{subst } \varrho s) (\text{subst } \varrho t1) \vee \text{sub } (\text{subst } \varrho s) (\text{subst } \varrho t2)$
using *sub_subst* **by** *blast*
thus *?thesis*
unfolding *t* **by** (*auto intro: sub.intros dest: sub_size*)

qed

3.6 Maximum Arities

locale *arity* =
fixes
arity_sym :: $\text{'s} \Rightarrow \text{enat}$ **and**
arity_var :: $\text{'v} \Rightarrow \text{enat}$
begin

primrec *arity_hd* :: $(\text{'s}, \text{'v}) \text{hd} \Rightarrow \text{enat}$ **where**
arity_hd (*Var* x) = *arity_var* x
| *arity_hd* (*Sym* f) = *arity_sym* f

definition *arity* :: $(\text{'s}, \text{'v}) \text{tm} \Rightarrow \text{enat}$ **where**
arity $s = \text{arity_hd } (\text{head } s) - \text{num_args } s$

lemma *arity_simps[simp]*:
arity (*Hd* ζ) = *arity_hd* ζ
arity (*App* $s t$) = *arity* $s - 1$
by (*auto simp: arity_def enat_diff_diff_eq add commute eSuc_enat plus_1_eSuc(1)*)

lemma *arity_apps[simp]*: *arity* (*apps* $s ts$) = *arity* $s - \text{length } ts$
proof (*induct ts arbitrary: s*)
case (*Cons* $t ts$)
thus *?case*

by (case_tac arity s; simp add: one_enat_def)
qed simp

inductive wary :: ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow bool **where**
 wary_Hd[*intro*]: wary (Hd ζ)
 | wary_App[*intro*]: wary s \Longrightarrow wary t \Longrightarrow num_args s < arity_hd (head s) \Longrightarrow wary (App s t)

inductive-cases wary_HdE: wary (Hd ζ)
inductive-cases wary_AppE: wary (App s t)
inductive-cases wary_binaryE[*simplified*]: wary (App (App s t) u)

lemma wary_fun[*intro*]: wary t \Longrightarrow wary (fun t)
 by (cases t) (auto elim: wary.cases)

lemma wary_arg[*intro*]: wary t \Longrightarrow wary (arg t)
 by (cases t) (auto elim: wary.cases)

lemma wary_args: s \in set (args t) \Longrightarrow wary t \Longrightarrow wary s
 by (induct t arbitrary: s, simp)
 (metis Un_iff args.simps(2) wary.cases insert_iff length_pos_if_in_set
 less_numerals_extra(3) list.set(2) list.size(3) set_append tm.distinct(1) tm.inject(2))

lemma wary_sub: sub s t \Longrightarrow wary t \Longrightarrow wary s
 by (induct rule: sub.induct) (auto elim: wary.cases)

lemma wary_inf_ary: ($\bigwedge \zeta. \text{arity_hd } \zeta = \infty$) \Longrightarrow wary s
 by induct auto

lemma wary_num_args_le_arity_head: wary s \Longrightarrow num_args s \leq arity_hd (head s)
 by (induct rule: wary.induct) (auto simp: zero_enat_def[symmetric] Suc_ile_eq)

lemma wary_apps:
 wary s \Longrightarrow ($\bigwedge sa. sa \in \text{set } ss \Longrightarrow$ wary sa) \Longrightarrow length ss \leq arity s \Longrightarrow wary (apps s ss)

proof (induct ss arbitrary: s)
 case (Cons sa ss)
 note ih = this(1) and wary_s = this(2) and wary_ss = this(3) and nargs_s_sa_ss = this(4)
 show ?case
 unfolding apps.simps
proof (rule ih)
 have wary sa
 using wary_ss by simp
 moreover have enat (num_args s) < arity_hd (head s)
 by (metis (mono_tags) One_nat_def add.comm_neutral arity_def diff_add_zero enat_ord_simps(1)
 idiff_enat_enat less_one list.size(4) nargs_s_sa_ss not_add_less2
 order.not_eq_order_implies_strict wary_num_args_le_arity_head wary_s)
 ultimately show wary (App s sa)
 by (rule wary_App[OF wary_s])
 next
 show $\bigwedge sa. sa \in \text{set } ss \Longrightarrow$ wary sa
 using wary_ss by simp
 next
 show length ss \leq arity (App s sa)
proof (cases arity s)
 case enat
 thus ?thesis
 using nargs_s_sa_ss by (simp add: one_enat_def)
 qed simp
 qed
 qed simp

lemma wary_cases_apps[*consumes 1, case_names apps*]:
 assumes
 wary_t: wary t **and**

$apps: \bigwedge \zeta ss. t = apps (Hd \zeta) ss \implies (\bigwedge sa. sa \in set\ ss \implies wary\ sa) \implies length\ ss \leq arity_hd\ \zeta \implies P$
shows P
using $apps$
proof ($atomize_elim, cases\ t\ rule: tm_exhaust_apps$)
case $t: (apps\ \zeta\ ss)$
show $\exists \zeta ss. t = apps (Hd\ \zeta) ss \wedge (\forall sa. sa \in set\ ss \implies wary\ sa) \wedge enat\ (length\ ss) \leq arity_hd\ \zeta$
by ($rule\ exI[of_ \zeta], rule\ exI[of_ ss]$)
 $(auto\ simp: t\ wary_args[OF_ wary_t] wary_num_args_le_arity_head[OF\ wary_t, unfolded\ t, simplified])$
qed

lemma $arity_hd_head: wary\ s \implies arity_hd\ (head\ s) = arity\ s + num_args\ s$
by ($simp\ add: arity_def\ enat_sub_add_same\ wary_num_args_le_arity_head$)

lemma $arity_head_ge: arity_hd\ (head\ s) \geq arity\ s$
by ($induct\ s$) ($auto\ intro: enat_le_imp_minus_le$)

inductive $wary_fo :: ('s, 'v)\ tm \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $wary_foI[intro]: is_Hd\ s \vee is_Sym\ (head\ s) \implies length\ (args\ s) = arity_hd\ (head\ s) \implies$
 $(\forall t \in set\ (args\ s). wary_fo\ t) \implies wary_fo\ s$

lemma $wary_fo_args: s \in set\ (args\ t) \implies wary_fo\ t \implies wary_fo\ s$
by ($induct\ t\ arbitrary: s\ rule: tm_induct_apps, simp$)
 $(metis\ args.simps(1)\ args_apps\ self_append_conv2\ wary_fo.cases)$

lemma $wary_fo_arg: wary_fo\ (App\ s\ t) \implies wary_fo\ t$
by ($erule\ wary_fo.cases$) $auto$

end

3.7 Potential Heads of Ground Instances of Variables

locale $ground_heads = gt_sym (>_s) + arity\ arity_sym\ arity_var$
for
 $gt_sym :: 's \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $>_s\ 50$) **and**
 $arity_sym :: 's \Rightarrow enat$ **and**
 $arity_var :: 'v \Rightarrow enat +$
fixes
 $ground_heads_var :: 'v \Rightarrow 's\ set$
assumes
 $ground_heads_var_arity: f \in ground_heads_var\ x \implies arity_sym\ f \geq arity_var\ x$ **and**
 $ground_heads_var_nonempty: ground_heads_var\ x \neq \{\}$
begin

primrec $ground_heads :: ('s, 'v)\ hd \Rightarrow 's\ set$ **where**
 $ground_heads\ (Var\ x) = ground_heads_var\ x$
 $| ground_heads\ (Sym\ f) = \{f\}$

lemma $ground_heads_arity: f \in ground_heads\ \zeta \implies arity_sym\ f \geq arity_hd\ \zeta$
by ($cases\ \zeta$) ($auto\ simp: ground_heads_var_arity$)

lemma $ground_heads_nonempty[simp]: ground_heads\ \zeta \neq \{\}$
by ($cases\ \zeta$) ($auto\ simp: ground_heads_var_nonempty$)

lemma $sym_in_ground_heads: is_Sym\ \zeta \implies sym\ \zeta \in ground_heads\ \zeta$
by ($metis\ ground_heads.simps(2)\ hd.collapse(2)\ hd.set_sel(1)\ hd.simps(16)$)

lemma $ground_hd_in_ground_heads: ground\ s \implies sym\ (head\ s) \in ground_heads\ (head\ s)$
by ($simp\ add: ground_head\ sym_in_ground_heads$)

lemma $some_ground_head_arity: arity_sym\ (SOME\ f. f \in ground_heads\ (Var\ x)) \geq arity_var\ x$
by ($simp\ add: ground_heads_var_arity\ ground_heads_var_nonempty\ some_in_eq$)

definition $wary_subst :: ('v \Rightarrow ('s, 'v)\ tm) \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $wary_subst\ \varrho \iff$

$(\forall x. \text{wary } (\varrho x) \wedge \text{arity } (\varrho x) \geq \text{arity_var } x \wedge \text{ground_heads } (\text{head } (\varrho x)) \subseteq \text{ground_heads_var } x)$

definition *strict_wary_subst* :: $(\text{'v} \Rightarrow (\text{'s}, \text{'v}) \text{tm}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

strict_wary_subst $\varrho \iff$

$(\forall x. \text{wary } (\varrho x) \wedge \text{arity } (\varrho x) \in \{\text{arity_var } x, \infty\} \wedge \text{ground_heads } (\text{head } (\varrho x)) \subseteq \text{ground_heads_var } x)$

lemma *strict_imp_wary_subst*: *strict_wary_subst* $\varrho \implies \text{wary_subst } \varrho$

unfolding *strict_wary_subst_def* *wary_subst_def* **using** *eq_iff* **by force**

lemma *wary_subst_wary*:

assumes *wary_ρ*: *wary_subst* ϱ **and** *wary_s*: *wary s*

shows *wary* (*subst* ϱ *s*)

using *wary_s*

proof (*induct s rule: tm.induct*)

case (*App s t*)

note *wary_st* = *this*(\exists)

from *wary_st* **have** *wary_s*: *wary s*

by (*rule wary_AppE*)

from *wary_st* **have** *wary_t*: *wary t*

by (*rule wary_AppE*)

from *wary_st* **have** *nargs_s_lt*: *num_args s* < *arity_hd* (*head s*)

by (*rule wary_AppE*)

note *wary_ρs* = *App*(1)[*OF* *wary_s*]

note *wary_ρt* = *App*(2)[*OF* *wary_t*]

note *wary_ρx* = *wary_ρ*[*unfolded wary_subst_def*, *rule_format*, *THEN conjunct1*]

note *ary_ρx* = *wary_ρ*[*unfolded wary_subst_def*, *rule_format*, *THEN conjunct2*]

have *num_args* (ϱx) + *num_args s* < *arity_hd* (*head* (ϱx)) **if** *hd_s*: *head s* = *Var x* **for** *x*

proof –

have *ary_hd_s*: *arity_hd* (*head s*) = *arity_var x*

using *hd_s* *arity_hd.simps*(1) **by** *presburger*

hence *num_args s* ≤ *arity* (ϱx)

by (*metis* (*no_types*) *wary_num_args_le_arity_head* *ary_ρx* *dual_order.trans* *wary_s*)

hence *num_args s* + *num_args* (ϱx) ≤ *arity_hd* (*head* (ϱx))

by (*metis* (*no_types*) *arity_hd_head*[*OF* *wary_ρx*] *add_right_mono_plus_enat_simps*(1))

thus *?thesis*

using *ary_hd_s* **by** (*metis* (*no_types*) *add commute* *add_diff_cancel_left'* *ary_ρx* *arity_def* *idiff_enat_enat* *leD* *nargs_s_lt* *order.not_eq_order_implies_strict*)

qed

hence *nargs_ρs*: *num_args* (*subst* ϱ *s*) < *arity_hd* (*head* (*subst* ϱ *s*))

using *nargs_s_lt* **by** (*auto split: hd.split*)

show *?case*

by *simp* (*rule* *wary_App*[*OF* *wary_ρs* *wary_ρt* *nargs_ρs*])

qed (*auto simp: wary_ρ*[*unfolded wary_subst_def*] *split: hd.split*)

lemmas *strict_wary_subst_wary* = *wary_subst_wary*[*OF* *strict_imp_wary_subst*]

lemma *wary_subst_ground_heads*:

assumes *wary_ρ*: *wary_subst* ϱ

shows *ground_heads* (*head* (*subst* ϱ *s*)) ⊆ *ground_heads* (*head s*)

proof (*induct s rule: tm_induct_apps*)

case (*apps ζ ss*)

show *?case*

proof (*cases* ζ)

case *x*: (*Var x*)

thus *?thesis*

using *wary_ρ* *wary_subst_def* *x* **by** *auto*

qed *auto*

qed

lemmas *strict_wary_subst_ground_heads* = *wary_subst_ground_heads*[*OF strict_imp_wary_subst*]

definition *grounding_ρ* :: 'v ⇒ ('s, 'v) tm **where**
grounding_ρ x = (let s = Hd (Sym (SOME f. f ∈ *ground_heads_var* x)) in
 apps s (replicate (the_enat (arity s - arity_var x)) s))

lemma *ground_grounding_ρ*: *ground* (subst *grounding_ρ* s)
 by (induct s) (auto simp: Let_def *grounding_ρ_def* elim: hd.set_cases(2) split: hd.split)

lemma *strict_wary_grounding_ρ*: *strict_wary_subst* *grounding_ρ*

unfolding *strict_wary_subst_def*

proof (intro allI conjI)

fix x

define f **where** f = (SOME f. f ∈ *ground_heads_var* x)

define s :: ('s, 'v) tm **where** s = Hd (Sym f)

have *wary_s*: *wary* s

unfolding *s_def* **by** (rule *wary_Hd*)

have *ary_s_ge_x*: *arity* s ≥ *arity_var* x

unfolding *s_def* *f_def* **using** *some_ground_head_arity* **by** *simp*

have *gr_ρ_x*: *grounding_ρ* x = apps s (replicate (the_enat (arity s - arity_var x)) s)

unfolding *grounding_ρ_def* *Let_def* *f_def* [*symmetric*] *s_def* [*symmetric*] **by** (rule *refl*)

show *wary* (*grounding_ρ* x)

unfolding *gr_ρ_x* **by** (auto intro!: *wary_s* *wary_apps*[*OF wary_s*] *enat_the_enat_minus_le*)

show *arity* (*grounding_ρ* x) ∈ {*arity_var* x, ∞}

unfolding *gr_ρ_x* **using** *ary_s_ge_x* **by** (cases *arity* s; cases *arity_var* x; *simp*)

show *ground_heads* (head (*grounding_ρ* x)) ⊆ *ground_heads_var* x

unfolding *gr_ρ_x* *s_def* *f_def* **by** (*simp* add: *some_in_eq* *ground_heads_var_nonempty*)

qed

lemmas *wary_grounding_ρ* = *strict_wary_grounding_ρ*[*THEN strict_imp_wary_subst*]

definition *gt_hd* :: ('s, 'v) hd ⇒ ('s, 'v) hd ⇒ bool (**infix** >_{hd} 50) **where**

$\xi >_{hd} \zeta \longleftrightarrow (\forall g \in \text{ground_heads } \xi. \forall f \in \text{ground_heads } \zeta. g >_s f)$

definition *comp_hd* :: ('s, 'v) hd ⇒ ('s, 'v) hd ⇒ bool (**infix** ≤_{hd} 50) **where**

$\xi \leq_{hd} \zeta \longleftrightarrow \xi = \zeta \vee \xi >_{hd} \zeta \vee \zeta >_{hd} \xi$

lemma *gt_hd_irrefl*: ¬ ζ >_{hd} ζ

unfolding *gt_hd_def* **using** *gt_sym_irrefl* **by** (*meson* *ex_in_conv* *ground_heads_nonempty*)

lemma *gt_hd_trans*: χ >_{hd} ξ ⇒ ξ >_{hd} ζ ⇒ χ >_{hd} ζ

unfolding *gt_hd_def* **using** *gt_sym_trans* **by** (*meson* *ex_in_conv* *ground_heads_nonempty*)

lemma *gt_sym_imp_hd*: g >_s f ⇒ Sym g >_{hd} Sym f

unfolding *gt_hd_def* **by** *simp*

lemma *not_comp_hd_imp_Var*: ¬ ξ ≤_{hd} ζ ⇒ is_Var ζ ∨ is_Var ξ

using *gt_sym_total* **by** (cases ζ; cases ξ; auto simp: *comp_hd_def* *gt_hd_def*)

end

end

4 Infinite (Non-Well-Founded) Chains

theory *Infinite_Chain*

imports *Lambda_Free_Util*

begin

This theory defines the concept of a minimal bad (or non-well-founded) infinite chain, as found in the term

rewriting literature to prove the well-foundedness of syntactic term orders.

context

fixes $p :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

begin

definition $\text{inf_chain} :: (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\text{inf_chain } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall i. p (f i) (f (\text{Suc } i)))$

lemma $\text{wfP_iff_no_inf_chain}$: $\text{wfP } (\lambda x y. p y x) \longleftrightarrow (\nexists f. \text{inf_chain } f)$

unfolding wfP_def $\text{wf_iff_no_infinite_down_chain}$ inf_chain_def **by** simp

lemma inf_chain_offset : $\text{inf_chain } f \Longrightarrow \text{inf_chain } (\lambda j. f (j + i))$

unfolding inf_chain_def **by** simp

definition $\text{bad} :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\text{bad } x \longleftrightarrow (\exists f. \text{inf_chain } f \wedge f 0 = x)$

lemma inf_chain_bad :

assumes bad_f : $\text{inf_chain } f$

shows $\text{bad } (f i)$

unfolding bad_def **by** $(\text{rule } \text{exI}[\text{of } _ \lambda j. f (j + i)])$ $(\text{simp } \text{add}: \text{inf_chain_offset}[\text{OF } \text{bad_f}])$

context

fixes $gt :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

assumes wf : $\text{wf } \{(x, y). gt y x\}$

begin

primrec $\text{worst_chain} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**

$\text{worst_chain } 0 = (\text{SOME } x. \text{bad } x \wedge (\forall y. \text{bad } y \longrightarrow \neg gt x y))$

| $\text{worst_chain } (\text{Suc } i) = (\text{SOME } x. \text{bad } x \wedge p (\text{worst_chain } i) x \wedge$
 $(\forall y. \text{bad } y \wedge p (\text{worst_chain } i) y \longrightarrow \neg gt x y))$

declare $\text{worst_chain.simps}[\text{simp } \text{del}]$

context

fixes $x :: 'a$

assumes x_bad : $\text{bad } x$

begin

lemma

bad_worst_chain_0 : $\text{bad } (\text{worst_chain } 0)$ **and**

min_worst_chain_0 : $\neg gt (\text{worst_chain } 0) x$

proof –

obtain y **where** $\text{bad } y \wedge (\forall z. \text{bad } z \longrightarrow \neg gt y z)$

using $\text{wf_exists_minimal}[\text{OF } \text{wf}, \text{of } \text{bad}, \text{OF } x_bad]$ **by** force

hence $\text{bad } (\text{worst_chain } 0) \wedge (\forall z. \text{bad } z \longrightarrow \neg gt (\text{worst_chain } 0) z)$

unfolding worst_chain.simps **by** $(\text{rule } \text{someI})$

thus $\text{bad } (\text{worst_chain } 0)$ **and** $\neg gt (\text{worst_chain } 0) x$

using x_bad **by** blast+

qed

lemma

$\text{bad_worst_chain_Suc}$: $\text{bad } (\text{worst_chain } (\text{Suc } i))$ **and**

worst_chain_pred : $p (\text{worst_chain } i) (\text{worst_chain } (\text{Suc } i))$ **and**

$\text{min_worst_chain_Suc}$: $p (\text{worst_chain } i) x \Longrightarrow \neg gt (\text{worst_chain } (\text{Suc } i)) x$

proof $(\text{induct } i \text{ rule: less_induct})$

case $(\text{less } i)$

have $\text{bad } (\text{worst_chain } i)$

proof $(\text{cases } i)$

case 0

thus $?thesis$

using bad_worst_chain_0 **by** simp

```

next
  case (Suc j)
  thus ?thesis
    using less(1) by blast
qed
then obtain fa where fa_bad: inf_chain fa and fa_0: fa 0 = worst_chain i
  unfolding bad_def by blast

have  $\exists s0. bad\ s0 \wedge p\ (worst\_chain\ i)\ s0$ 
proof (intro exI conjI)
  let ?y0 = fa (Suc 0)

  show bad ?y0
    unfolding bad_def by (auto intro: exI[of _  $\lambda i. fa\ (Suc\ i)$ ] inf_chain_offset[OF fa_bad])
  show p (worst_chain i) ?y0
    using fa_bad[unfolded inf_chain_def] fa_0 by metis
qed
then obtain y0 where y0: bad y0  $\wedge$  p (worst_chain i) y0
  by blast

obtain y1 where
  y1: bad y1  $\wedge$  p (worst_chain i) y1  $\wedge$  ( $\forall z. bad\ z \wedge p\ (worst\_chain\ i)\ z \longrightarrow \neg\ gt\ y1\ z$ )
  using wf_exists_minimal[OF wf, of  $\lambda y. bad\ y \wedge p\ (worst\_chain\ i)\ y, OF\ y0$ ] by force

let ?y = worst_chain (Suc i)

have conj: bad ?y  $\wedge$  p (worst_chain i) ?y  $\wedge$  ( $\forall z. bad\ z \wedge p\ (worst\_chain\ i)\ z \longrightarrow \neg\ gt\ ?y\ z$ )
  unfolding worst_chain.simps using y1 by (rule someI)

show bad ?y
  by (rule conj[THEN conjunct1])
show p (worst_chain i) ?y
  by (rule conj[THEN conjunct2, THEN conjunct1])
show p (worst_chain i) x  $\implies \neg\ gt\ ?y\ x$ 
  using x_bad conj[THEN conjunct2, THEN conjunct2, rule_format] by meson
qed

lemma bad_worst_chain: bad (worst_chain i)
  by (cases i) (auto intro: bad_worst_chain_0 bad_worst_chain_Suc)

lemma worst_chain_bad: inf_chain worst_chain
  unfolding inf_chain_def using worst_chain_pred by metis

end

context
  fixes x :: 'a
  assumes
    x_bad: bad x and
    p_trans:  $\bigwedge z\ y\ x. p\ z\ y \implies p\ y\ x \implies p\ z\ x$ 
begin

lemma worst_chain_not_gt:  $\neg\ gt\ (worst\_chain\ i)\ (worst\_chain\ (Suc\ i))$  for i
proof (cases i)
  case 0
  show ?thesis
    unfolding 0 by (rule min_worst_chain_0[OF inf_chain_bad[OF worst_chain_bad[OF x_bad]])]
next
  case Suc
  show ?thesis
    unfolding Suc
  by (rule min_worst_chain_Suc[OF inf_chain_bad[OF worst_chain_bad[OF x_bad]])
    (rule p_trans[OF worst_chain_pred[OF x_bad] worst_chain_pred[OF x_bad]])
end

```

qed

end

end

end

lemma *inf_chain_subset*: $\text{inf_chain } p \ f \implies p \leq q \implies \text{inf_chain } q \ f$
unfolding *inf_chain_def* **by** *blast*

hide-fact (**open**) *bad_worst_chain_0 bad_worst_chain_Suc*

end

5 Extension Orders

theory *Extension_Orders*

imports *Lambda_Free_Util Infinite_Chain HOL-Cardinals.Wellorder_Extension*

begin

This theory defines locales for categorizing extension orders used for orders on λ -free higher-order terms and defines variants of the lexicographic and multiset orders.

5.1 Locales

locale *ext* =

fixes *ext* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

assumes

mono_strong: $(\forall y \in \text{set } ys. \forall x \in \text{set } xs. \text{gt } y \ x \longrightarrow \text{gt}' \ y \ x) \implies \text{ext } \text{gt } \text{ys } \text{xs} \implies \text{ext } \text{gt}' \ \text{ys } \text{xs}$ **and**

map: $\text{finite } A \implies \text{ys} \in \text{lists } A \implies \text{xs} \in \text{lists } A \implies (\forall x \in A. \neg \text{gt } (f \ x) \ (f \ x)) \implies$

$(\forall z \in A. \forall y \in A. \forall x \in A. \text{gt } (f \ z) \ (f \ y) \longrightarrow \text{gt } (f \ y) \ (f \ x) \longrightarrow \text{gt } (f \ z) \ (f \ x)) \implies$

$(\forall y \in A. \forall x \in A. \text{gt } y \ x \longrightarrow \text{gt } (f \ y) \ (f \ x)) \implies \text{ext } \text{gt } \text{ys } \text{xs} \implies \text{ext } \text{gt } (\text{map } f \ \text{ys}) \ (\text{map } f \ \text{xs})$

begin

lemma *mono[mono]*: $\text{gt} \leq \text{gt}' \implies \text{ext } \text{gt} \leq \text{ext } \text{gt}'$

using *mono_strong* **by** *blast*

end

locale *ext_irrefl* = *ext* +

assumes *irrefl*: $(\forall x \in \text{set } xs. \neg \text{gt } x \ x) \implies \neg \text{ext } \text{gt } \text{xs } \text{xs}$

locale *ext_trans* = *ext* +

assumes *trans*: $\text{zs} \in \text{lists } A \implies \text{ys} \in \text{lists } A \implies \text{xs} \in \text{lists } A \implies$

$(\forall z \in A. \forall y \in A. \forall x \in A. \text{gt } z \ y \longrightarrow \text{gt } y \ x \longrightarrow \text{gt } z \ x) \implies \text{ext } \text{gt } \text{zs } \text{ys} \implies \text{ext } \text{gt } \text{ys } \text{xs} \implies$

$\text{ext } \text{gt } \text{zs } \text{xs}$

locale *ext_irrefl_before_trans* = *ext_irrefl* +

assumes *trans_from_irrefl*: $\text{finite } A \implies \text{zs} \in \text{lists } A \implies \text{ys} \in \text{lists } A \implies \text{xs} \in \text{lists } A \implies$

$(\forall x \in A. \neg \text{gt } x \ x) \implies (\forall z \in A. \forall y \in A. \forall x \in A. \text{gt } z \ y \longrightarrow \text{gt } y \ x \longrightarrow \text{gt } z \ x) \implies \text{ext } \text{gt } \text{zs } \text{ys} \implies$

$\text{ext } \text{gt } \text{ys } \text{xs} \implies \text{ext } \text{gt } \text{zs } \text{xs}$

locale *ext_trans_before_irrefl* = *ext_trans* +

assumes *irrefl_from_trans*: $(\forall z \in \text{set } zs. \forall y \in \text{set } ys. \forall x \in \text{set } xs. \text{gt } z \ y \longrightarrow \text{gt } y \ x \longrightarrow \text{gt } z \ x) \implies$

$(\forall x \in \text{set } xs. \neg \text{gt } x \ x) \implies \neg \text{ext } \text{gt } \text{xs } \text{xs}$

locale *ext_irrefl_trans_strong* = *ext_irrefl* +

assumes *trans_strong*: $(\forall z \in \text{set } zs. \forall y \in \text{set } ys. \forall x \in \text{set } xs. \text{gt } z \ y \longrightarrow \text{gt } y \ x \longrightarrow \text{gt } z \ x) \implies$

$\text{ext } \text{gt } \text{zs } \text{ys} \implies \text{ext } \text{gt } \text{ys } \text{xs} \implies \text{ext } \text{gt } \text{zs } \text{xs}$

sublocale *ext_irrefl_trans_strong* < *ext_irrefl_before_trans*

by *standard* (*erule irrefl, metis in_listsD trans_strong*)

```

sublocale ext_irrefl_trans_strong < ext_trans
  by standard (metis in_listsD trans_strong)

sublocale ext_irrefl_trans_strong < ext_trans_before_irrefl
  by standard (rule irrefl)

locale ext_snoc = ext +
  assumes snoc: ext gt (xs @ [x]) xs

locale ext_compat_cons = ext +
  assumes compat_cons: ext gt ys xs  $\implies$  ext gt (x # ys) (x # xs)
begin

lemma compat_append_left: ext gt ys xs  $\implies$  ext gt (zs @ ys) (zs @ xs)
  by (induct zs) (auto intro: compat_cons)

end

locale ext_compat_snoc = ext +
  assumes compat_snoc: ext gt ys xs  $\implies$  ext gt (ys @ [x]) (xs @ [x])
begin

lemma compat_append_right: ext gt ys xs  $\implies$  ext gt (ys @ zs) (xs @ zs)
  by (induct zs arbitrary: xs ys rule: rev_induct)
  (auto intro: compat_snoc simp del: append_assoc simp: append_assoc[symmetric])

end

locale ext_compat_list = ext +
  assumes compat_list:  $y \neq x \implies$  gt y x  $\implies$  ext gt (xs @ y # xs') (xs @ x # xs')

locale ext_singleton = ext +
  assumes singleton:  $y \neq x \implies$  ext gt [y] [x]  $\longleftrightarrow$  gt y x

locale ext_compat_list_strong = ext_compat_cons + ext_compat_snoc + ext_singleton
begin

lemma compat_list:  $y \neq x \implies$  gt y x  $\implies$  ext gt (xs @ y # xs') (xs @ x # xs')
  using compat_append_left[of gt y # xs' x # xs' xs]
  compat_append_right[of gt, of [y] [x] xs'] singleton[of y x gt]
  by fastforce

end

sublocale ext_compat_list_strong < ext_compat_list
  by standard (fact compat_list)

locale ext_total = ext +
  assumes total:  $(\forall y \in B. \forall x \in A. \text{gt } y \ x \vee \text{gt } x \ y \vee y = x) \implies$   $ys \in \text{lists } B \implies xs \in \text{lists } A \implies$ 
  ext gt ys xs  $\vee$  ext gt xs ys  $\vee$  ys = xs

locale ext_wf = ext +
  assumes wf: wfP ( $\lambda x \ y. \text{gt } y \ x$ )  $\implies$  wfP ( $\lambda xs \ ys. \text{ext gt } ys \ xs$ )

locale ext_hd_or_tl = ext +
  assumes hd_or_tl:  $(\forall z \ y \ x. \text{gt } z \ y \longrightarrow \text{gt } y \ x \longrightarrow \text{gt } z \ x) \implies$   $(\forall y \ x. \text{gt } y \ x \vee \text{gt } x \ y \vee y = x) \implies$ 
  length ys = length xs  $\implies$  ext gt (y # ys) (x # xs)  $\implies$  gt y x  $\vee$  ext gt ys xs

locale ext_wf_bounded = ext_irrefl_before_trans + ext_hd_or_tl
begin

context

```



```

fixes gt :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
assumes
  gt_irrefl:  $\bigwedge z. \neg gt\ z\ z$  and
  gt_trans:  $\bigwedge z\ y\ x. gt\ z\ y \implies gt\ y\ x \implies gt\ z\ x$  and
  gt_total:  $\bigwedge y\ x. gt\ y\ x \vee gt\ x\ y \vee y = x$  and
  gt_wf: wfP ( $\lambda x\ y. gt\ y\ x$ )
begin

lemma irrefl_gt:  $\neg ext\ gt\ xs\ xs$ 
  using irrefl_gt_irrefl by simp

lemma trans_gt:  $ext\ gt\ zs\ ys \implies ext\ gt\ ys\ xs \implies ext\ gt\ zs\ xs$ 
  by (rule trans_from_irrefl[of set zs  $\cup$  set ys  $\cup$  set xs zs ys xs gt])
  (auto intro: gt_trans simp: gt_irrefl)

lemma hd_or_tl_gt:  $length\ ys = length\ xs \implies ext\ gt\ (y \# ys)\ (x \# xs) \implies gt\ y\ x \vee ext\ gt\ ys\ xs$ 
  by (rule hd_or_tl) (auto intro: gt_trans simp: gt_total)

lemma wf_same_length_if_total: wfP ( $\lambda xs\ ys. length\ ys = n \wedge length\ xs = n \wedge ext\ gt\ ys\ xs$ )
proof (induct n)
  case 0
  thus ?case
  unfolding wfP_def wf_def using irrefl by auto
next
  case (Suc n)
  note ih = this(1)

  define gt_hd where  $\bigwedge ys\ xs. gt\_hd\ ys\ xs \longleftrightarrow gt\ (hd\ ys)\ (hd\ xs)$ 
  define gt_tl where  $\bigwedge ys\ xs. gt\_tl\ ys\ xs \longleftrightarrow ext\ gt\ (tl\ ys)\ (tl\ xs)$ 

  have hd_tl:  $gt\_hd\ ys\ xs \vee gt\_tl\ ys\ xs$ 
  if len_ys:  $length\ ys = Suc\ n$  and len_xs:  $length\ xs = Suc\ n$  and ys_gt_xs:  $ext\ gt\ ys\ xs$ 
  for n ys xs
  using len_ys len_xs ys_gt_xs unfolding gt_hd_def gt_tl_def
  by (cases xs; cases ys) (auto simp: hd_or_tl_gt)

  show ?case
  unfolding wfP_iff_no_inf_chain
  proof (intro notI)
  let ?gtsn =  $\lambda ys\ xs. length\ ys = n \wedge length\ xs = n \wedge ext\ gt\ ys\ xs$ 
  let ?gtsSn =  $\lambda ys\ xs. length\ ys = Suc\ n \wedge length\ xs = Suc\ n \wedge ext\ gt\ ys\ xs$ 
  let ?gttlSn =  $\lambda ys\ xs. length\ ys = Suc\ n \wedge length\ xs = Suc\ n \wedge gt\_tl\ ys\ xs$ 

  assume  $\exists f. inf\_chain\ ?gtsSn\ f$ 
  then obtain xs where xs_bad: bad ?gtsSn xs
  unfolding inf_chain_def bad_def by blast

  let ?ff = worst_chain ?gtsSn gt_hd

  have wf_hd: wf {(xs, ys). gt_hd ys xs}
  unfolding gt_hd_def by (rule wfP_app[OF gt_wf, of hd, unfolded wfP_def])

  have inf_chain ?gtsSn ?ff
  by (rule worst_chain_bad[OF wf_hd xs_bad])
  moreover have  $\neg gt\_hd\ (?ff\ i)\ (?ff\ (Suc\ i))$  for i
  by (rule worst_chain_not_gt[OF wf_hd xs_bad]) (blast intro: trans_gt)
  ultimately have tl_bad: inf_chain ?gttlSn ?ff
  unfolding inf_chain_def using hd_tl by blast

  have  $\neg inf\_chain\ ?gtsn\ (tl \circ ?ff)$ 
  using wfP_iff_no_inf_chain[THEN iffD1, OF ih] by blast
  hence tl_good:  $\neg inf\_chain\ ?gttlSn\ ?ff$ 
  unfolding inf_chain_def gt_tl_def by force

```

```

  show False
  using tl_bad tl_good by sat
qed
qed

lemma wf_bounded_if_total: wfP ( $\lambda x s y s. \text{length } y s \leq n \wedge \text{length } x s \leq n \wedge \text{ext } g t y s x s$ )
  unfolding wfP_iff_no_inf_chain
proof (intro notI, induct n rule: less_induct)
  case (less n)
  note ih = this(1) and ex_bad = this(2)

  let ?gtsle =  $\lambda y s x s. \text{length } y s \leq n \wedge \text{length } x s \leq n \wedge \text{ext } g t y s x s$ 

  obtain xs where xs_bad: bad ?gtsle xs
  using ex_bad unfolding inf_chain_def bad_def by blast

  let ?ff = worst_chain ?gtsle ( $\lambda y s x s. \text{length } y s > \text{length } x s$ )

  note wf_len = wf_app[OF wellorder_class.wf, of length, simplified]

  have ff_bad: inf_chain ?gtsle ?ff
  by (rule worst_chain_bad[OF wf_len xs_bad])
  have ffi_bad:  $\bigwedge i. \text{bad } ?gtsle (\text{?ff } i)$ 
  by (rule inf_chain_bad[OF ff_bad])

  have len_le_n:  $\bigwedge i. \text{length } (\text{?ff } i) \leq n$ 
  using worst_chain_pred[OF wf_len xs_bad] by simp
  have len_le_Suc:  $\bigwedge i. \text{length } (\text{?ff } i) \leq \text{length } (\text{?ff } (\text{Suc } i))$ 
  using worst_chain_not_gt[OF wf_len xs_bad] not_le_imp_less by (blast intro: trans_gt)

  show False
  proof (cases  $\exists k. \text{length } (\text{?ff } k) = n$ )
  case False
  hence len_lt_n:  $\bigwedge i. \text{length } (\text{?ff } i) < n$ 
  using len_le_n by (blast intro: le_neq_implies_less)
  hence nm1_le:  $n - 1 < n$ 
  by fastforce

  let ?gtslt =  $\lambda y s x s. \text{length } y s \leq n - 1 \wedge \text{length } x s \leq n - 1 \wedge \text{ext } g t y s x s$ 

  have inf_chain ?gtslt ?ff
  using ff_bad len_lt_n unfolding inf_chain_def
  by (metis (no_types, lifting) Suc_diff_1 le_antisym nat_neq_iff not_less0 not_less_eq_eq)
  thus False
  using ih[OF nm1_le] by blast
next
  case True
  then obtain k where len_eq_n:  $\text{length } (\text{?ff } k) = n$ 
  by blast

  let ?gtssl =  $\lambda y s x s. \text{length } y s = n \wedge \text{length } x s = n \wedge \text{ext } g t y s x s$ 

  have len_eq_n:  $\text{length } (\text{?ff } (i + k)) = n$  for  $i$ 
  by (induct i) (simp add: len_eq_n,
  metis (lifting) len_le_n len_le_Suc add_Suc dual_order.antisym)

  have inf_chain ?gtsle ( $\lambda i. \text{?ff } (i + k)$ )
  by (rule inf_chain_offset[OF ff_bad])
  hence inf_chain ?gtssl ( $\lambda i. \text{?ff } (i + k)$ )
  unfolding inf_chain_def using len_eq_n by presburger
  hence  $\neg \text{wfP } (\lambda x s y s. \text{?gtssl } y s x s)$ 
  using wfP_iff_no_inf_chain by blast

```

```

    thus False
      using wf_same_length_if_total[of n] by sat
qed
end

context
  fixes gt :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
  assumes
    gt_irrefl:  $\bigwedge z. \neg gt\ z\ z$  and
    gt_wf: wfP ( $\lambda x\ y. gt\ y\ x$ )
begin

lemma wf_bounded: wfP ( $\lambda xs\ ys. length\ ys \leq n \wedge length\ xs \leq n \wedge ext\ gt\ ys\ xs$ )
proof -
  obtain Ge' where
    gt_sub_Ge':  $\{(x, y). gt\ y\ x\} \subseteq Ge'$  and
    Ge'_wo: Well_order Ge' and
    Ge'_fld: Field Ge' = UNIV
  using total_well_order_extension[OF gt_wf[unfolded wfP_def]] by blast

  define gt' where  $\bigwedge y\ x. gt'\ y\ x \longleftrightarrow y \neq x \wedge (x, y) \in Ge'$ 

  have gt_imp_gt':  $gt \leq gt'$ 
    by (auto simp: gt'_def gt_irrefl intro: gt_sub_Ge'[THEN set_mp])

  have gt'_irrefl:  $\bigwedge z. \neg gt'\ z\ z$ 
    unfolding gt'_def by simp

  have gt'_trans:  $\bigwedge z\ y\ x. gt'\ z\ y \implies gt'\ y\ x \implies gt'\ z\ x$ 
    using Ge'_wo
    unfolding gt'_def well_order_on_def linear_order_on_def partial_order_on_def preorder_on_def
    trans_def antisym_def
    by blast

  have wf  $\{(x, y). (x, y) \in Ge' \wedge x \neq y\}$ 
    by (rule Ge'_wo[unfolded well_order_on_def set_diff_eq
      case_prod_eta[symmetric, of  $\lambda xy. xy \in Ge' \wedge xy \notin Id$ ] pair_in_Id_conv, THEN conjunct2])
  moreover have  $\bigwedge y\ x. (x, y) \in Ge' \wedge x \neq y \longleftrightarrow y \neq x \wedge (x, y) \in Ge'$ 
    by auto
  ultimately have gt'_wf: wfP ( $\lambda x\ y. gt'\ y\ x$ )
    unfolding wfP_def gt'_def by simp

  have gt'_total:  $\bigwedge x\ y. gt'\ y\ x \vee gt'\ x\ y \vee y = x$ 
    using Ge'_wo unfolding gt'_def well_order_on_def linear_order_on_def total_on_def Ge'_fld
    by blast

  have wfP ( $\lambda xs\ ys. length\ ys \leq n \wedge length\ xs \leq n \wedge ext\ gt'\ ys\ xs$ )
    using wf_bounded_if_total gt'_total gt'_irrefl gt'_trans gt'_wf by blast
  thus ?thesis
    by (rule wfP_subset) (auto intro: mono[OF gt_imp_gt', THEN predicate2D])
qed

end

end

```

5.2 Lexicographic Extension

```

inductive lexext :: ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool for gt where
  lexext_Nil: lexext gt (y # ys) []
| lexext_Cons:  $gt\ y\ x \implies lexext\ gt\ (y\ \# ys)\ (x\ \# xs)$ 
| lexext_Cons_eq:  $lexext\ gt\ ys\ xs \implies lexext\ gt\ (x\ \# ys)\ (x\ \# xs)$ 

```

```

lemma lexert_simps[simp]:
  lexert gt ys []  $\longleftrightarrow$  ys  $\neq$  []
   $\neg$  lexert gt [] xs
  lexert gt (y # ys) (x # xs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  gt y x  $\vee$  x = y  $\wedge$  lexert gt ys xs
proof
  show lexert gt ys []  $\implies$  (ys  $\neq$  [])
    by (metis lexert.cases list.distinct(1))
next
  show ys  $\neq$  []  $\implies$  lexert gt ys []
    by (metis lexert_Nil list.exhaust)
next
  show  $\neg$  lexert gt [] xs
    using lexert.cases by auto
next
  show lexert gt (y # ys) (x # xs) = (gt y x  $\vee$  x = y  $\wedge$  lexert gt ys xs)
  proof -
    have fwdd: lexert gt (y # ys) (x # xs)  $\longrightarrow$  gt y x  $\vee$  x = y  $\wedge$  lexert gt ys xs
    proof
      assume lexert gt (y # ys) (x # xs)
      thus gt y x  $\vee$  x = y  $\wedge$  lexert gt ys xs
      using lexert.cases by blast
    qed
    have backd: gt y x  $\vee$  x = y  $\wedge$  lexert gt ys xs  $\longrightarrow$  lexert gt (y # ys) (x # xs)
      by (simp add: lexert_Cons lexert_Cons_eq)
    show lexert gt (y # ys) (x # xs) = (gt y x  $\vee$  x = y  $\wedge$  lexert gt ys xs)
      using fwdd backd by blast
    qed
  qed

lemma lexert_mono_strong:
  assumes
     $\forall y \in \text{set } ys. \forall x \in \text{set } xs. \text{gt } y \ x \longrightarrow \text{gt}' \ y \ x$  and
    lexert gt ys xs
  shows lexert gt' ys xs
  using assms by (induct ys xs rule: list_induct2') auto

lemma lexert_map_strong:
  ( $\forall y \in \text{set } ys. \forall x \in \text{set } xs. \text{gt } y \ x \longrightarrow \text{gt } (f \ y) \ (f \ x)$ )  $\implies$  lexert gt ys xs  $\implies$ 
  lexert gt (map f ys) (map f xs)
  by (induct ys xs rule: list_induct2') auto

lemma lexert_irrefl:
  assumes  $\forall x \in \text{set } xs. \neg \text{gt } x \ x$ 
  shows  $\neg$  lexert gt xs xs
  using assms by (induct xs) auto

lemma lexert_trans_strong:
  assumes
     $\forall z \in \text{set } zs. \forall y \in \text{set } ys. \forall x \in \text{set } xs. \text{gt } z \ y \longrightarrow \text{gt } y \ x \longrightarrow \text{gt } z \ x$  and
    lexert gt zs ys and lexert gt ys xs
  shows lexert gt zs xs
  using assms
proof (induct zs arbitrary: ys xs)
  case (Cons z zs)
  note zs_trans = this(1)
  show ?case
    using Cons(2-4)
  proof (induct ys arbitrary: xs rule: list.induct)
  case (Cons y ys)
  note ys_trans = this(1) and gt_trans = this(2) and zys_gt_yys = this(3) and yys_gt_xs = this(4)
  show ?case
  proof (cases xs)

```

```

case xs: (Cons x xs)
thus ?thesis
proof (unfold xs)
  note yys_gt_xxs = yys_gt_xs[unfolded xs]
  note gt_trans = gt_trans[unfolded xs]

  let ?case = lexext gt (z # zs) (x # xs)

  {
    assume gt z y and gt y x
    hence ?case
    using gt_trans by simp
  }
moreover
  {
    assume gt z y and x = y
    hence ?case
    by simp
  }
moreover
  {
    assume y = z and gt y x
    hence ?case
    by simp
  }
moreover
  {
    assume
      y_eq_z: y = z and
      zs_gt_ys: lexext gt zs ys and
      x_eq_y: x = y and
      ys_gt_xs: lexext gt ys xs

    have lexext gt zs xs
      by (rule zs_trans[OF _ zs_gt_ys ys_gt_xs]) (meson gt_trans[simplified])
    hence ?case
      by (simp add: x_eq_y y_eq_z)
  }
  ultimately show ?case
    using zys_gt_yys yys_gt_xxs by force
qed
qed auto
qed auto
qed auto

lemma lexext_snoc: lexext gt (xs @ [x]) xs
  by (induct xs) auto

lemmas lexext_compat_cons = lexext_Cons_eq

lemma lexext_compat_snoc_if_same_length:
  assumes length ys = length xs and lexext gt ys xs
  shows lexext gt (ys @ [x]) (xs @ [x])
  using assms(2,1) by (induct rule: lexext.induct) auto

lemma lexext_compat_list: gt y x  $\implies$  lexext gt (xs @ y # xs') (xs @ x # xs')
  by (induct xs) auto

lemma lexext_singleton: lexext gt [y] [x]  $\longleftrightarrow$  gt y x
  by simp

lemma lexext_total: ( $\forall y \in B. \forall x \in A. gt\ y\ x \vee gt\ x\ y \vee y = x$ )  $\implies$  ys  $\in$  lists B  $\implies$  xs  $\in$  lists A  $\implies$ 
  lexext gt ys xs  $\vee$  lexext gt xs ys  $\vee$  ys = xs

```

by (induct ys xs rule: list_induct2') auto

lemma *lexext_hd_or_tl*: $\text{lexext_gt } (y \# ys) (x \# xs) \implies \text{gt } y \ x \ \vee \ \text{lexext_gt } ys \ xs$
by auto

interpretation *lexext*: *ext lexext*
by standard (fact *lexext_mono_strong*, rule *lexext_map_strong*, metis in *listsD*)

interpretation *lexext*: *ext_irrefl_trans_strong lexext*
by standard (fact *lexext_irrefl*, fact *lexext_trans_strong*)

interpretation *lexext*: *ext_snoc lexext*
by standard (fact *lexext_snoc*)

interpretation *lexext*: *ext_compat_cons lexext*
by standard (fact *lexext_compat_cons*)

interpretation *lexext*: *ext_compat_list lexext*
by standard (rule *lexext_compat_list*)

interpretation *lexext*: *ext_singleton lexext*
by standard (rule *lexext_singleton*)

interpretation *lexext*: *ext_total lexext*
by standard (fact *lexext_total*)

interpretation *lexext*: *ext_hd_or_tl lexext*
by standard (rule *lexext_hd_or_tl*)

interpretation *lexext*: *ext_wf_bounded lexext*
by standard

5.3 Reverse (Right-to-Left) Lexicographic Extension

abbreviation *lexext_rev* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ where
 $\text{lexext_rev } \text{gt } ys \ xs \equiv \text{lexext_gt } (\text{rev } ys) (\text{rev } xs)$

lemma *lexext_rev_simps[simp]*:
 $\text{lexext_rev } \text{gt } ys \ [] \longleftrightarrow ys \neq []$
 $\neg \text{lexext_rev } \text{gt } [] \ xs$
 $\text{lexext_rev } \text{gt } (ys \ @ \ [y]) (xs \ @ \ [x]) \longleftrightarrow \text{gt } y \ x \ \vee \ x = y \ \wedge \ \text{lexext_rev } \text{gt } ys \ xs$
by *simp*+

lemma *lexext_rev_cons_cons*:
assumes $\text{length } ys = \text{length } xs$
shows $\text{lexext_rev } \text{gt } (y \# ys) (x \# xs) \longleftrightarrow \text{lexext_rev } \text{gt } ys \ xs \ \vee \ ys = xs \ \wedge \ \text{gt } y \ x$
using *assms*

proof (induct arbitrary: $y \ x$ rule: *rev_induct2*)

case *Nil*
thus ?case
by *simp*

next

case (snoc $y' \ ys \ x' \ xs$)
show ?case
using *snoc(2)* by auto

qed

lemma *lexext_rev_mono_strong*:
assumes
 $\forall y \in \text{set } ys. \forall x \in \text{set } xs. \text{gt } y \ x \longrightarrow \text{gt}' \ y \ x$ and
 $\text{lexext_rev } \text{gt } ys \ xs$
shows $\text{lexext_rev } \text{gt}' \ ys \ xs$
using *assms* by (*simp add: lexext_mono_strong*)

lemma *lexext_rev_map_strong*:
 $(\forall y \in \text{set } ys. \forall x \in \text{set } xs. \text{gt } y \ x \longrightarrow \text{gt } (f \ y) \ (f \ x)) \Longrightarrow \text{lexext_rev } \text{gt } \text{ys } \text{xs} \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{lexext_rev } \text{gt } (\text{map } f \ \text{ys}) \ (\text{map } f \ \text{xs})$
by (*simp add: lexext_map_strong rev_map*)

lemma *lexext_rev_irrefl*:
assumes $\forall x \in \text{set } xs. \neg \text{gt } x \ x$
shows $\neg \text{lexext_rev } \text{gt } \text{xs } \text{xs}$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: lexext_irrefl*)

lemma *lexext_rev_trans_strong*:
assumes
 $\forall z \in \text{set } zs. \forall y \in \text{set } ys. \forall x \in \text{set } xs. \text{gt } z \ y \longrightarrow \text{gt } y \ x \longrightarrow \text{gt } z \ x$ **and**
 $\text{lexext_rev } \text{gt } \text{zs } \text{ys}$ **and** $\text{lexext_rev } \text{gt } \text{ys } \text{xs}$
shows $\text{lexext_rev } \text{gt } \text{zs } \text{xs}$
using *assms*(1) *lexext_trans_strong*[*OF _ assms*(2,3), *unfolded set_rev*] **by** *sat*

lemma *lexext_rev_compat_cons_if_same_length*:
assumes $\text{length } \text{ys} = \text{length } \text{xs}$ **and** $\text{lexext_rev } \text{gt } \text{ys } \text{xs}$
shows $\text{lexext_rev } \text{gt } (x \ \# \ \text{ys}) \ (x \ \# \ \text{xs})$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: lexext_compat_snoc_if_same_length*)

lemma *lexext_rev_compat_snoc*: $\text{lexext_rev } \text{gt } \text{ys } \text{xs} \Longrightarrow \text{lexext_rev } \text{gt } (\text{ys} \ @ \ [x]) \ (\text{xs} \ @ \ [x])$
by (*simp add: lexext_compat_cons*)

lemma *lexext_rev_compat_list*: $\text{gt } y \ x \Longrightarrow \text{lexext_rev } \text{gt } (\text{xs} \ @ \ y \ \# \ \text{xs}') \ (\text{xs} \ @ \ x \ \# \ \text{xs}')$
by (*induct xs' rule: rev_induct*) *auto*

lemma *lexext_rev_singleton*: $\text{lexext_rev } \text{gt } [y] \ [x] \longleftrightarrow \text{gt } y \ x$
by *simp*

lemma *lexext_rev_total*:
 $(\forall y \in B. \forall x \in A. \text{gt } y \ x \vee \text{gt } x \ y \vee y = x) \Longrightarrow \text{ys} \in \text{lists } B \Longrightarrow \text{xs} \in \text{lists } A \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{lexext_rev } \text{gt } \text{ys } \text{xs} \vee \text{lexext_rev } \text{gt } \text{xs } \text{ys} \vee \text{ys} = \text{xs}$
by (*rule lexext_total*[*of _ _ _ rev ys rev xs, simplified*])

lemma *lexext_rev_hd_or_tl*:
assumes
 $\text{length } \text{ys} = \text{length } \text{xs}$ **and**
 $\text{lexext_rev } \text{gt } (y \ \# \ \text{ys}) \ (x \ \# \ \text{xs})$
shows $\text{gt } y \ x \vee \text{lexext_rev } \text{gt } \text{ys } \text{xs}$
using *assms* *lexext_rev_cons_cons* **by** *fastforce*

interpretation *lexext_rev*: *ext lexext_rev*
by *standard* (*fact lexext_rev_mono_strong, rule lexext_rev_map_strong, metis in_listsD*)

interpretation *lexext_rev*: *ext_irrefl_trans_strong lexext_rev*
by *standard* (*fact lexext_rev_irrefl, fact lexext_rev_trans_strong*)

interpretation *lexext_rev*: *ext_compat_snoc lexext_rev*
by *standard* (*fact lexext_rev_compat_snoc*)

interpretation *lexext_rev*: *ext_compat_list lexext_rev*
by *standard* (*rule lexext_rev_compat_list*)

interpretation *lexext_rev*: *ext_singleton lexext_rev*
by *standard* (*rule lexext_rev_singleton*)

interpretation *lexext_rev*: *ext_total lexext_rev*
by *standard* (*fact lexext_rev_total*)

interpretation *lexext_rev*: *ext_hd_or_tl lexext_rev*
by *standard* (*rule lexext_rev_hd_or_tl*)

interpretation *lenext_rev*: *ext_wf_bounded lenext_rev*
by *standard*

5.4 Generic Length Extension

definition *lenext* :: ('a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool **where**
lenext gts ys xs ↔ *length ys > length xs ∨ length ys = length xs ∧ gts ys xs*

lemma

lenext_mono_strong: (*gts ys xs* ⇒ *gts' ys xs*) ⇒ *lenext gts ys xs* ⇒ *lenext gts' ys xs* **and**
lenext_map_strong: (*length ys = length xs* ⇒ *gts ys xs* ⇒ *gts (map f ys) (map f xs)*) ⇒
lenext gts ys xs ⇒ *lenext gts (map f ys) (map f xs)* **and**
lenext_irrefl: ¬ *gts xs xs* ⇒ ¬ *lenext gts xs xs* **and**
lenext_trans: (*gts zs ys* ⇒ *gts ys xs* ⇒ *gts zs xs*) ⇒ *lenext gts zs ys* ⇒ *lenext gts ys xs* ⇒
lenext gts zs xs **and**
lenext_snoc: *lenext gts (xs @ [x]) xs* **and**
lenext_compat_cons: (*length ys = length xs* ⇒ *gts ys xs* ⇒ *gts (x # ys) (x # xs)*) ⇒
lenext gts ys xs ⇒ *lenext gts (x # ys) (x # xs)* **and**
lenext_compat_snoc: (*length ys = length xs* ⇒ *gts ys xs* ⇒ *gts (ys @ [x]) (xs @ [x])*) ⇒
lenext gts ys xs ⇒ *lenext gts (ys @ [x]) (xs @ [x])* **and**
lenext_compat_list: *gts (xs @ y # xs')* (*xs @ x # xs'*) ⇒
lenext gts (xs @ y # xs') (*xs @ x # xs'*) **and**
lenext_singleton: *lenext gts [y] [x]* ↔ *gts [y] [x]* **and**
lenext_total: (*gts ys xs ∨ gts xs ys ∨ ys = xs*) ⇒
lenext gts ys xs ∨ lenext gts xs ys ∨ ys = xs **and**
lenext_hd_or_tl: (*length ys = length xs* ⇒ *gts (y # ys) (x # xs)* ⇒ *gt y x ∨ gts ys xs*) ⇒
lenext gts (y # ys) (x # xs) ⇒ *gt y x ∨ lenext gts ys xs*
unfolding *lenext_def* **by** *auto*

5.5 Length-Lexicographic Extension

abbreviation *len_lexext* :: ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool **where**
len_lexext gt ≡ *lenext (lexext gt)*

lemma *len_lexext_mono_strong*:

(∀ *y* ∈ *set ys*. ∀ *x* ∈ *set xs*. *gt y x* → *gt' y x*) ⇒ *len_lexext gt ys xs* ⇒ *len_lexext gt' ys xs*
by (*rule lenext_mono_strong[OF lexext_mono_strong]*)

lemma *len_lexext_map_strong*:

(∀ *y* ∈ *set ys*. ∀ *x* ∈ *set xs*. *gt y x* → *gt (f y) (f x)*) ⇒ *len_lexext gt ys xs* ⇒
len_lexext gt (map f ys) (map f xs)
by (*rule lenext_map_strong*) (*metis lexext_map_strong*)

lemma *len_lexext_irrefl*: (∀ *x* ∈ *set xs*. ¬ *gt x x*) ⇒ ¬ *len_lexext gt xs xs*

by (*rule lenext_irrefl[OF lexext_irrefl]*)

lemma *len_lexext_trans_strong*:

(∀ *z* ∈ *set zs*. ∀ *y* ∈ *set ys*. ∀ *x* ∈ *set xs*. *gt z y* → *gt y x* → *gt z x*) ⇒ *len_lexext gt zs ys* ⇒
len_lexext gt ys xs ⇒ *len_lexext gt zs xs*
by (*rule lenext_trans[OF lexext_trans_strong]*)

lemma *len_lexext_snoc*: *len_lexext gt (xs @ [x]) xs*

by (*rule lenext_snoc*)

lemma *len_lexext_compat_cons*: *len_lexext gt ys xs* ⇒ *len_lexext gt (x # ys) (x # xs)*

by (*intro lenext_compat_cons lexext_compat_cons*)

lemma *len_lexext_compat_snoc*: *len_lexext gt ys xs* ⇒ *len_lexext gt (ys @ [x]) (xs @ [x])*

by (*intro lenext_compat_snoc lexext_compat_snoc_if_same_length*)

lemma *len_lexext_compat_list*: *gt y x* ⇒ *len_lexext gt (xs @ y # xs')* (*xs @ x # xs'*)

by (*intro lenext_compat_list lexext_compat_list*)

lemma *len_lexext_singleton[simp]*: $\text{len_lexext gt } [y] [x] \longleftrightarrow \text{gt } y x$
by (*simp only: lenext_singleton lexext_singleton*)

lemma *len_lexext_total*: $(\forall y \in B. \forall x \in A. \text{gt } y x \vee \text{gt } x y \vee y = x) \implies \text{ys} \in \text{lists } B \implies \text{xs} \in \text{lists } A \implies$
 $\text{len_lexext gt ys xs} \vee \text{len_lexext gt xs ys} \vee \text{ys} = \text{xs}$
by (*rule lenext_total[OF lexext_total]*)

lemma *len_lexext_iff_lenlex*: $\text{len_lexext gt ys xs} \longleftrightarrow (xs, ys) \in \text{lenlex } \{(x, y). \text{gt } y x\}$

proof –
{
 assume $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys$
 hence $\text{lexext gt ys xs} \longleftrightarrow (xs, ys) \in \text{lex } \{(x, y). \text{gt } y x\}$
 by (*induct xs ys rule: list_induct2*) *auto*
}
thus *?thesis*
 unfolding *lenext_def lenlex_conv* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *len_lexext_wf*: $\text{wfP } (\lambda x y. \text{gt } y x) \implies \text{wfP } (\lambda xs ys. \text{len_lexext gt ys xs})$
unfolding *wfP_def len_lexext_iff_lenlex* **by** (*simp add: wf_lenlex*)

lemma *len_lexext_hd_or_tl*: $\text{len_lexext gt } (y \# ys) (x \# xs) \implies \text{gt } y x \vee \text{len_lexext gt ys xs}$
using *lenext_hd_or_tl lexext_hd_or_tl* **by** *metis*

interpretation *len_lexext*: *ext len_lexext*
by *standard (fact len_lexext_mono_strong, rule len_lexext_map_strong, metis in_listsD)*

interpretation *len_lexext*: *ext_irrefl_trans_strong len_lexext*
by *standard (fact len_lexext_irrefl, fact len_lexext_trans_strong)*

interpretation *len_lexext*: *ext_snoc len_lexext*
by *standard (fact len_lexext_snoc)*

interpretation *len_lexext*: *ext_compat_cons len_lexext*
by *standard (fact len_lexext_compat_cons)*

interpretation *len_lexext*: *ext_compat_snoc len_lexext*
by *standard (fact len_lexext_compat_snoc)*

interpretation *len_lexext*: *ext_compat_list len_lexext*
by *standard (rule len_lexext_compat_list)*

interpretation *len_lexext*: *ext_singleton len_lexext*
by *standard (rule len_lexext_singleton)*

interpretation *len_lexext*: *ext_total len_lexext*
by *standard (fact len_lexext_total)*

interpretation *len_lexext*: *ext_wf len_lexext*
by *standard (fact len_lexext_wf)*

interpretation *len_lexext*: *ext_hd_or_tl len_lexext*
by *standard (rule len_lexext_hd_or_tl)*

interpretation *len_lexext*: *ext_wf_bounded len_lexext*
by *standard*

5.6 Reverse (Right-to-Left) Length-Lexicographic Extension

abbreviation *len_lexext_rev* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{len_lexext_rev gt} \equiv \text{lenext (lexext_rev gt)}$

lemma *len_lexext_rev_mono_strong*:
 $(\forall y \in \text{set } ys. \forall x \in \text{set } xs. \text{gt } y x \longrightarrow \text{gt}' y x) \implies \text{len_lexext_rev gt ys xs} \implies \text{len_lexext_rev gt}' ys xs$

by (rule lenext_mono_strong) (rule lexext_rev_mono_strong)

lemma len_lexext_rev_map_strong:
 $(\forall y \in \text{set } ys. \forall x \in \text{set } xs. \text{gt } y \ x \longrightarrow \text{gt } (f \ y) \ (f \ x)) \Longrightarrow \text{len_lexext_rev } \text{gt } ys \ xs \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{len_lexext_rev } \text{gt } (\text{map } f \ ys) \ (\text{map } f \ xs)$
by (rule lenext_map_strong) (rule lexext_rev_map_strong)

lemma len_lexext_rev_irrefl: $(\forall x \in \text{set } xs. \neg \text{gt } x \ x) \Longrightarrow \neg \text{len_lexext_rev } \text{gt } xs \ xs$
by (rule lenext_irrefl) (rule lexext_rev_irrefl)

lemma len_lexext_rev_trans_strong:
 $(\forall z \in \text{set } zs. \forall y \in \text{set } ys. \forall x \in \text{set } xs. \text{gt } z \ y \longrightarrow \text{gt } y \ x \longrightarrow \text{gt } z \ x) \Longrightarrow \text{len_lexext_rev } \text{gt } zs \ ys \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{len_lexext_rev } \text{gt } ys \ xs \Longrightarrow \text{len_lexext_rev } \text{gt } zs \ xs$
by (rule lenext_trans) (rule lexext_rev_trans_strong)

lemma len_lexext_rev_snoc: $\text{len_lexext_rev } \text{gt } (xs \ @ \ [x]) \ xs$
by (rule lenext_snoc)

lemma len_lexext_rev_compat_cons: $\text{len_lexext_rev } \text{gt } ys \ xs \Longrightarrow \text{len_lexext_rev } \text{gt } (x \ # \ ys) \ (x \ # \ xs)$
by (intro lenext_compat_cons lexext_rev_compat_cons_if_same_length)

lemma len_lexext_rev_compat_snoc: $\text{len_lexext_rev } \text{gt } ys \ xs \Longrightarrow \text{len_lexext_rev } \text{gt } (ys \ @ \ [x]) \ (xs \ @ \ [x])$
by (intro lenext_compat_snoc lexext_rev_compat_snoc)

lemma len_lexext_rev_compat_list: $\text{gt } y \ x \Longrightarrow \text{len_lexext_rev } \text{gt } (xs \ @ \ y \ # \ xs') \ (xs \ @ \ x \ # \ xs')$
by (intro lenext_compat_list lexext_rev_compat_list)

lemma len_lexext_rev_singleton[simp]: $\text{len_lexext_rev } \text{gt } [y] \ [x] \longleftrightarrow \text{gt } y \ x$
by (simp only: lenext_singleton lexext_rev_singleton)

lemma len_lexext_rev_total: $(\forall y \in B. \forall x \in A. \text{gt } y \ x \vee \text{gt } x \ y \vee y = x) \Longrightarrow ys \in \text{lists } B \Longrightarrow$
 $xs \in \text{lists } A \Longrightarrow \text{len_lexext_rev } \text{gt } ys \ xs \vee \text{len_lexext_rev } \text{gt } xs \ ys \vee ys = xs$
by (rule lenext_total[OF lexext_rev_total])

lemma len_lexext_rev_iff_lexext: $\text{len_lexext_rev } \text{gt } ys \ xs \longleftrightarrow \text{len_lexext } \text{gt } (\text{rev } ys) \ (\text{rev } xs)$
unfolding lenext_def **by** simp

lemma len_lexext_rev_wf: $\text{wfP } (\lambda x \ y. \text{gt } y \ x) \Longrightarrow \text{wfP } (\lambda xs \ ys. \text{len_lexext_rev } \text{gt } ys \ xs)$
unfolding len_lexext_rev_iff_lexext
by (rule wfP_app[of $\lambda xs \ ys. \text{len_lexext } \text{gt } ys \ xs$ rev, simplified]) (rule len_lexext_wf)

lemma len_lexext_rev_hd_or_tl:
 $\text{len_lexext_rev } \text{gt } (y \ # \ ys) \ (x \ # \ xs) \Longrightarrow \text{gt } y \ x \vee \text{len_lexext_rev } \text{gt } ys \ xs$
using lenext_hd_or_tl lexext_rev_hd_or_tl **by** metis

interpretation len_lexext_rev: ext len_lexext_rev
by standard (fact len_lexext_rev_mono_strong, rule len_lexext_rev_map_strong, metis in_listsD)

interpretation len_lexext_rev: ext_irrefl_trans_strong len_lexext_rev
by standard (fact len_lexext_rev_irrefl, fact len_lexext_rev_trans_strong)

interpretation len_lexext_rev: ext_snoc len_lexext_rev
by standard (fact len_lexext_rev_snoc)

interpretation len_lexext_rev: ext_compat_cons len_lexext_rev
by standard (fact len_lexext_rev_compat_cons)

interpretation len_lexext_rev: ext_compat_snoc len_lexext_rev
by standard (fact len_lexext_rev_compat_snoc)

interpretation len_lexext_rev: ext_compat_list len_lexext_rev
by standard (rule len_lexext_rev_compat_list)

interpretation len_lexext_rev : $ext_singleton\ len_lexext_rev$
 by *standard* (rule $len_lexext_rev_singleton$)

interpretation len_lexext_rev : $ext_total\ len_lexext_rev$
 by *standard* (fact $len_lexext_rev_total$)

interpretation len_lexext_rev : $ext_wf\ len_lexext_rev$
 by *standard* (fact $len_lexext_rev_wf$)

interpretation len_lexext_rev : $ext_hd_or_tl\ len_lexext_rev$
 by *standard* (rule $len_lexext_rev_hd_or_tl$)

interpretation len_lexext_rev : $ext_wf_bounded\ len_lexext_rev$
 by *standard*

5.7 Dershowitz–Manna Multiset Extension

definition $msetext_dersh$ where

$msetext_dersh\ gt\ ys\ xs = (let\ N = mset\ ys; M = mset\ xs\ in$
 $(\exists Y\ X. Y \neq \{\#\} \wedge Y \subseteq\# N \wedge M = (N - Y) + X \wedge (\forall x. x \in\# X \longrightarrow (\exists y. y \in\# Y \wedge gt\ y\ x))))$

The following proof is based on that of $less_multiset_{DM_imp_mult}$.

lemma $msetext_dersh_imp_mult_rel$:

assumes

ys_a : $ys \in lists\ A$ and xs_a : $xs \in lists\ A$ and

ys_gt_xs : $msetext_dersh\ gt\ ys\ xs$

shows $(mset\ xs, mset\ ys) \in mult\ \{(x, y). x \in A \wedge y \in A \wedge gt\ y\ x\}$

proof –

obtain $Y\ X$ where y_nemp : $Y \neq \{\#\}$ and y_sub_ys : $Y \subseteq\# mset\ ys$ and

xs_eq : $mset\ xs = mset\ ys - Y + X$ and ex_y : $\forall x. x \in\# X \longrightarrow (\exists y. y \in\# Y \wedge gt\ y\ x)$

using ys_gt_xs [*unfolded* $msetext_dersh_def\ Let_def$] **by** *blast*

have ex_y' : $\forall x. x \in\# X \longrightarrow (\exists y. y \in\# Y \wedge x \in A \wedge y \in A \wedge gt\ y\ x)$

using $ex_y\ y_sub_ys\ xs_eq\ ys_a\ xs_a$ **by** (*metis* in $listsD\ mset_subset_eqD\ set_mset_mset_union_iff$)

hence $(mset\ ys - Y + X, mset\ ys - Y + Y) \in mult\ \{(x, y). x \in A \wedge y \in A \wedge gt\ y\ x\}$

using $y_nemp\ y_sub_ys$ **by** (*intro* $one_step_implies_mult$) (*auto* *simp*: $Bex_def\ trans_def$)

thus *?thesis*

using $xs_eq\ y_sub_ys$ **by** (*simp* *add*: $subset_mset.diff_add$)

qed

lemma $msetext_dersh_imp_mult$: $msetext_dersh\ gt\ ys\ xs \implies (mset\ xs, mset\ ys) \in mult\ \{(x, y). gt\ y\ x\}$
using $msetext_dersh_imp_mult_rel$ [*of* $UNIV$] **by** *auto*

lemma $mult_imp_msetext_dersh_rel$:

assumes

ys_a : $set_mset\ (mset\ ys) \subseteq A$ and xs_a : $set_mset\ (mset\ xs) \subseteq A$ and

in_mult : $(mset\ xs, mset\ ys) \in mult\ \{(x, y). x \in A \wedge y \in A \wedge gt\ y\ x\}$ and

$trans$: $\forall z \in A. \forall y \in A. \forall x \in A. gt\ z\ y \longrightarrow gt\ y\ x \longrightarrow gt\ z\ x$

shows $msetext_dersh\ gt\ ys\ xs$

using $in_mult\ ys_a\ xs_a$ **unfolding** $mult_def\ msetext_dersh_def\ Let_def$

proof *induct*

case (*base* Ys)

then obtain $y\ M0\ X$ where $Ys = M0 + \{\#y\#\}$ and $mset\ xs = M0 + X$ and $\forall a. a \in\# X \longrightarrow gt\ y\ a$

unfolding $mult1_def$ **by** *auto*

thus *?case*

by (*auto* *intro*: exI [*of* $\{\#y\#\}$] exI [*of* X])

next

case (*step* $Ys\ Zs$)

note $ys_zs_in_mult1 = this(2)$ and $ih = this(3)$ and $zs_a = this(4)$ and $xs_a = this(5)$

have Ys_a : $set_mset\ Ys \subseteq A$

using $ys_zs_in_mult1\ zs_a$ **unfolding** $mult1_def$ **by** *auto*

obtain $Y\ X$ where y_nemp : $Y \neq \{\#\}$ and y_sub_ys : $Y \subseteq\# Ys$ and xs_eq : $mset\ xs = Ys - Y + X$ and

ex_y : $\forall x. x \in\# X \longrightarrow (\exists y. y \in\# Y \wedge gt\ y\ x)$

```

using ih[OF  $Ys\_a\ xs\_a$ ] by blast

obtain  $z\ M0\ Ya$  where  $zs\_eq: Zs = M0 + \{\#z\#$  and  $ys\_eq: Ys = M0 + Ya$  and
 $z\_gt: \forall y. y \in\# Ya \longrightarrow y \in A \wedge z \in A \wedge gt\ z\ y$ 
using  $ys\_zs\_in\_mult1[unfolding\ mult1\_def]$  by auto

let  $?Za = Y - Ya + \{\#z\#$ 
let  $?Xa = X + Ya + (Y - Ya) - Y$ 

have  $xa\_sub\_x\_ya: set\_mset\ ?Xa \subseteq set\_mset\ (X + Ya)$ 
by ( $metis\ diff\_subset\_eq\_self\ in\_diffD\ subsetI\ subset\_mset.diff\_diff\_right$ )

have  $x\_a: set\_mset\ X \subseteq A$ 
using  $xs\_a\ xs\_eq$  by auto
have  $ya\_a: set\_mset\ Ya \subseteq A$ 
by ( $simp\ add: subsetI\ z\_gt$ )

have  $ex\_y': \exists y. y \in\# Y - Ya + \{\#z\# \wedge gt\ y\ x$  if  $x\_in: x \in\# X + Ya$  for  $x$ 
proof ( $cases\ x \in\# X$ )
case True
then obtain  $y$  where  $y\_in: y \in\# Y$  and  $y\_gt\_x: gt\ y\ x$ 
using  $ex\_y$  by blast
show  $?thesis$ 
proof ( $cases\ y \in\# Ya$ )
case False
hence  $y \in\# Y - Ya + \{\#z\#$ 
using  $y\_in$  by fastforce
thus  $?thesis$ 
using  $y\_gt\_x$  by blast
next
case True
hence  $y \in A$  and  $z \in A$  and  $gt\ z\ y$ 
using  $z\_gt$  by blast+
hence  $gt\ z\ x$ 
using  $trans\ y\_gt\_x\ x\_a\ ya\_a\ x\_in$  by ( $meson\ subsetCE\ union\_iff$ )
thus  $?thesis$ 
by auto
qed
next
case False
hence  $x \in\# Ya$ 
using  $x\_in$  by auto
hence  $x \in A$  and  $z \in A$  and  $gt\ z\ x$ 
using  $z\_gt$  by blast+
thus  $?thesis$ 
by auto
qed

show  $?case$ 
proof ( $rule\ exI[of\_ ?Za], rule\ exI[of\_ ?Xa], intro\ conjI$ )
show  $Y - Ya + \{\#z\# \subseteq\# Zs$ 
using  $mset\_subset\_eq\_mono\_add\ subset\_eq\_diff\_conv\ y\_sub\_ys\ ys\_eq\ zs\_eq$  by fastforce
next
show  $mset\ xs = Zs - (Y - Ya + \{\#z\#) + (X + Ya + (Y - Ya) - Y)$ 
unfolding  $xs\_eq\ ys\_eq\ zs\_eq$  by ( $auto\ simp: multiset\_eq\_iff$ )
next
show  $\forall x. x \in\# X + Ya + (Y - Ya) - Y \longrightarrow (\exists y. y \in\# Y - Ya + \{\#z\# \wedge gt\ y\ x)$ 
using  $ex\_y'\ xa\_sub\_x\_ya$  by blast
qed auto
qed

lemma  $msetext\_dersh\_mono\_strong:$ 
 $(\forall y \in set\ ys. \forall x \in set\ xs. gt\ y\ x \longrightarrow gt'\ y\ x) \implies msetext\_dersh\ gt\ ys\ xs \implies$ 

```

```

msetext_dersh gt' ys xs
unfolding msetext_dersh_def Let_def
by (metis mset_subset_eqD mset_subset_eq_add_right set_mset_mset)

lemma msetext_dersh_map_strong:
  assumes
    compat_f:  $\forall y \in \text{set } ys. \forall x \in \text{set } xs. \text{gt } y \ x \longrightarrow \text{gt } (f \ y) \ (f \ x)$  and
    ys_gt_xs: msetext_dersh gt ys xs
  shows msetext_dersh gt (map f ys) (map f xs)
proof -
  obtain Y X where
    y_nemp:  $Y \neq \{\#\}$  and y_sub_ys:  $Y \subseteq\# \text{mset } ys$  and xs_eq:  $\text{mset } xs = \text{mset } ys - Y + X$  and
    ex_y:  $\forall x. x \in\# X \longrightarrow (\exists y. y \in\# Y \wedge \text{gt } y \ x)$ 
    using ys_gt_xs[unfolded msetext_dersh_def Let_def mset_map] by blast

  have x_sub_xs:  $X \subseteq\# \text{mset } xs$ 
    using xs_eq by simp

  let ?fY = image_mset f Y
  let ?fX = image_mset f X

  show ?thesis
    unfolding msetext_dersh_def Let_def mset_map
  proof (intro exI conjI)
    show image_mset f (mset xs) = image_mset f (mset ys) - ?fY + ?fX
      using xs_eq[THEN arg_cong, of image_mset f] y_sub_ys by (metis image_mset_Diff image_mset_union)
    next
      obtain y where y:  $\forall x. x \in\# X \longrightarrow y \ x \in\# Y \wedge \text{gt } (y \ x) \ x$ 
        using ex_y by moura

      show  $\forall fx. fx \in\# ?fX \longrightarrow (\exists fy. fy \in\# ?fY \wedge \text{gt } fy \ fx)$ 
      proof (intro allI impI)
        fix fx
        assume fx  $fx \in\# ?fX$ 
        then obtain x where fx:  $fx = f \ x$  and x_in:  $x \in\# X$ 
          by auto
        hence y_in:  $y \ x \in\# Y$  and y_gt:  $\text{gt } (y \ x) \ x$ 
          using y[rule_format, OF x_in] by blast+
        hence f (y x)  $\in\# ?fY \wedge \text{gt } (f \ (y \ x)) \ (f \ x)$ 
          using compat_f y_sub_ys x_sub_xs x_in
          by (metis image_eqI in_image_mset mset_subset_eqD set_mset_mset)
        thus  $\exists fy. fy \in\# ?fY \wedge \text{gt } fy \ fx$ 
          unfolding fx by auto
      qed
    qed (auto simp: y_nemp y_sub_ys image_mset_subseteq_mono)
  qed

lemma msetext_dersh_trans:
  assumes
    zs_a:  $zs \in \text{lists } A$  and
    ys_a:  $ys \in \text{lists } A$  and
    xs_a:  $xs \in \text{lists } A$  and
    trans:  $\forall z \in A. \forall y \in A. \forall x \in A. \text{gt } z \ y \longrightarrow \text{gt } y \ x \longrightarrow \text{gt } z \ x$  and
    zs_gt_ys: msetext_dersh gt zs ys and
    ys_gt_xs: msetext_dersh gt ys xs
  shows msetext_dersh gt zs xs
proof (rule mult_imp_msetext_dersh_rel[OF _ _ trans])
  show set_mset (mset zs)  $\subseteq A$ 
    using zs_a by auto
  next
    show set_mset (mset xs)  $\subseteq A$ 
      using xs_a by auto
  next

```

```

let ?Gt = {(x, y). x ∈ A ∧ y ∈ A ∧ gt y x}

have (mset xs, mset ys) ∈ mult ?Gt
  by (rule msetext_dersh_imp_mult_rel[OF ys_a xs_a ys_gt_xs])
moreover have (mset ys, mset zs) ∈ mult ?Gt
  by (rule msetext_dersh_imp_mult_rel[OF zs_a ys_a zs_gt_ys])
ultimately show (mset xs, mset zs) ∈ mult ?Gt
  unfolding mult_def by simp
qed

lemma msetext_dersh_irrefl_from_trans:
assumes
  trans: ∀z ∈ set xs. ∀y ∈ set xs. ∀x ∈ set xs. gt z y → gt y x → gt z x and
  irrefl: ∀x ∈ set xs. ¬ gt x x
shows ¬ msetext_dersh gt xs xs
unfolding msetext_dersh_def Let_def
proof clarify
fix Y X
assume y_nemp: Y ≠ {#} and y_sub_xs: Y ⊆# mset xs and xs_eq: mset xs = mset xs - Y + X and
  ex_y: ∀x. x ∈# X → (∃y. y ∈# Y ∧ gt y x)

have x_eq_y: X = Y
  using y_sub_xs xs_eq by (metis diff_union_cancelL subset_mset.diff_add)

let ?Gt = {(y, x). y ∈# Y ∧ x ∈# Y ∧ gt y x}

have ?Gt ⊆ set_mset Y × set_mset Y
  by auto
hence fin: finite ?Gt
  by (auto dest!: infinite_super)
moreover have irrefl ?Gt
  unfolding irrefl_def using irrefl y_sub_xs by (fastforce dest!: set_mset_mono)
moreover have trans ?Gt
  unfolding trans_def using trans y_sub_xs by (fastforce dest!: set_mset_mono)
ultimately have acyc: acyclic ?Gt
  by (rule finite_irrefl_trans_imp_wf[THEN wf_acyclic])

have fin_y: finite (set_mset Y)
  using y_sub_xs by simp
hence cyc: ¬ acyclic ?Gt
  proof (rule finite_nonempty_ex_succ_imp_cyclic)
  show ∀x ∈# Y. ∃y ∈# Y. (y, x) ∈ ?Gt
  using ex_y[unfolded x_eq_y] by auto
  qed (auto simp: y_nemp)

show False
  using acyc cyc by sat
qed

lemma msetext_dersh_snoc: msetext_dersh gt (xs @ [x]) xs
  unfolding msetext_dersh_def Let_def
proof (intro exI conjI)
  show mset xs = mset (xs @ [x]) - {#x#} + {#}
  by simp
qed auto

lemma msetext_dersh_compat_cons:
assumes ys_gt_xs: msetext_dersh gt ys xs
shows msetext_dersh gt (x # ys) (x # xs)
proof -
obtain Y X where
  y_nemp: Y ≠ {#} and y_sub_ys: Y ⊆# mset ys and xs_eq: mset xs = mset ys - Y + X and
  ex_y: ∀x. x ∈# X → (∃y. y ∈# Y ∧ gt y x)

```

```

using ys_gt_xs[unfolded msetext_dersh_def Let_def mset_map] by blast

show ?thesis
  unfolding msetext_dersh_def Let_def
proof (intro exI conjI)
  show  $Y \subseteq\# mset (x \# ys)$ 
    using y_sub_ys
    by (metis add_mset_add_single mset.simps(2) mset_subset_eq_add_left
        subset_mset.add_increasing2)
next
  show  $mset (x \# xs) = mset (x \# ys) - Y + X$ 
  proof -
    have  $X + (mset ys - Y) = mset xs$ 
      by (simp add: union_commute xs_eq)
    hence  $mset (x \# xs) = X + (mset (x \# ys) - Y)$ 
      by (metis add_mset_add_single mset.simps(2) mset_subset_eq_multiset_union_diff_commute
          union_mset_add_mset_right y_sub_ys)
    thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: union_commute)
  qed
qed (auto simp: y_nemp ex_y)
qed

lemma msetext_dersh_compat_snoc: msetext_dersh gt ys xs  $\implies$  msetext_dersh gt (ys @ [x]) (xs @ [x])
  using msetext_dersh_compat_cons[of gt ys xs x] unfolding msetext_dersh_def by simp

lemma msetext_dersh_compat_list:
  assumes y_gt_x: gt y x
  shows msetext_dersh gt (xs @ y # xs') (xs @ x # xs')
  unfolding msetext_dersh_def Let_def
proof (intro exI conjI)
  show  $mset (xs @ x # xs') = mset (xs @ y # xs') - \{y\} + \{x\}$ 
    by auto
qed (auto intro: y_gt_x)

lemma msetext_dersh_singleton: msetext_dersh gt [y] [x]  $\longleftrightarrow$  gt y x
  unfolding msetext_dersh_def Let_def
  by (auto dest: nonempty_subseteq_mset_eq_singleton simp: nonempty_subseteq_mset_iff_singleton)

lemma msetext_dersh_wf:
  assumes wf_gt: wfP ( $\lambda x y. gt y x$ )
  shows wfP ( $\lambda xs ys. msetext_dersh gt ys xs$ )
proof (rule wfP_subset, rule wfP_app[of  $\lambda xs ys. (xs, ys) \in mult \{(x, y). gt y x\} mset$ ])
  show wfP ( $\lambda xs ys. (xs, ys) \in mult \{(x, y). gt y x\}$ )
    using wf_gt unfolding wfP_def by (auto intro: wf_mult)
next
  show ( $\lambda xs ys. msetext_dersh gt ys xs$ )  $\leq$  ( $\lambda x y. (mset x, mset y) \in mult \{(x, y). gt y x\}$ )
    using msetext_dersh_imp_mult by blast
qed

interpretation msetext_dersh: ext msetext_dersh
  by standard (fact msetext_dersh_mono_strong, rule msetext_dersh_map_strong, metis in_listsD)

interpretation msetext_dersh: ext_trans_before_irrefl msetext_dersh
  by standard (fact msetext_dersh_trans, fact msetext_dersh_irrefl_from_trans)

interpretation msetext_dersh: ext_snoc msetext_dersh
  by standard (fact msetext_dersh_snoc)

interpretation msetext_dersh: ext_compat_cons msetext_dersh
  by standard (fact msetext_dersh_compat_cons)

interpretation msetext_dersh: ext_compat_snoc msetext_dersh

```

by standard (fact msetext_dersh_compat_snoc)

interpretation msetext_dersh: ext_compat_list msetext_dersh
by standard (rule msetext_dersh_compat_list)

interpretation msetext_dersh: ext_singleton msetext_dersh
by standard (rule msetext_dersh_singleton)

interpretation msetext_dersh: ext_wf msetext_dersh
by standard (fact msetext_dersh_wf)

5.8 Huet–Oppen Multiset Extension

definition msetext_huet where

msetext_huet gt ys xs = (let N = mset ys; M = mset xs in
M ≠ N ∧ (∀ x. count M x > count N x → (∃ y. gt y x ∧ count N y > count M y)))

lemma msetext_huet_imp_count_gt:

assumes ys_gt_xs: msetext_huet gt ys xs

shows ∃ x. count (mset ys) x > count (mset xs) x

proof –

obtain x where count (mset ys) x ≠ count (mset xs) x

using ys_gt_xs[unfolded msetext_huet_def Let_def] **by** (fastforce intro: multiset_eqI)

moreover

{
 assume count (mset ys) x < count (mset xs) x
 hence ?thesis
 using ys_gt_xs[unfolded msetext_huet_def Let_def] **by** blast
}

moreover

{
 assume count (mset ys) x > count (mset xs) x
 hence ?thesis
 by fast
}

ultimately show ?thesis

by fastforce

qed

lemma msetext_huet_imp_dersh:

assumes huet: msetext_huet gt ys xs

shows msetext_dersh gt ys xs

proof (unfold msetext_dersh_def Let_def, intro exI conjI)

let ?X = mset xs – mset ys

let ?Y = mset ys – mset xs

show ?Y ≠ {#}

by (metis msetext_huet_imp_count_gt[OF huet] empty_iff_in_diff_count set_mset_empty)

show ?Y ⊆# mset ys

by auto

show mset xs = mset ys – ?Y + ?X

by (metis add commute diff_intersect_right_idem multiset_inter_def subset_mset.inf.cobounded2
subset_mset.le_imp_diff_is_add)

show ∀ x. x ∈# ?X → (∃ y. y ∈# ?Y ∧ gt y x)

using huet[unfolded msetext_huet_def Let_def, THEN conjunct2] **by** (meson in_diff_count)

qed

The following proof is based on that of *mult_imp_less_multiset_{HO}*.

lemma mult_imp_msetext_huet:

assumes

irrefl: irreflp gt **and** trans: transp gt **and**

in_mult: (mset xs, mset ys) ∈ mult {(x, y). gt y x}

shows msetext_huet gt ys xs

using in_mult **unfolding** mult_def msetext_huet_def Let_def


```

proof (induct rule: tranc1_induct)
  case (base Ys)
  thus ?case
    using irrefl_unfolding irreflp_def msetext_huet_def Let_def mult1_def
    by (auto 0 3 split: if_splits)
next
  case (step Ys Zs)

  have asym[unfolded antisym_def, simplified]: antisym gt
    by (rule irreflp_transp_imp_antisymP[OF irrefl trans])

  from step(3) have mset xs ≠ Ys and
    **:  $\bigwedge x. \text{count } Ys\ x < \text{count } (mset\ xs)\ x \implies (\exists y. gt\ y\ x \wedge \text{count } (mset\ xs)\ y < \text{count } Ys\ y)$ 
    by blast+
  from step(2) obtain M0 a K where
    *:  $Zs = M0 + \{\#a\# \} Ys = M0 + K\ a \notin\# K \wedge b. b \in\# K \implies gt\ a\ b$ 
    using irrefl_unfolding mult1_def irreflp_def by force
  have mset xs ≠ Zs
  proof (cases K = {\#})
    case True
    thus ?thesis
      using ⟨mset xs ≠ Ys⟩ ** *(1,2) irrefl[unfolded irreflp_def]
      by (metis One_nat_def add.comm_neutral count_single diff_union_cancelL lessI
        minus_multiset.rep_eq not_add_less2 plus_multiset.rep_eq union_commute zero_less_diff)
    case False
    thus ?thesis
  proof -
    obtain aa :: 'a ⇒ 'a where
      f1:  $\forall a. \neg \text{count } Ys\ a < \text{count } (mset\ xs)\ a \vee gt\ (aa\ a)\ a \wedge$ 
         $\text{count } (mset\ xs)\ (aa\ a) < \text{count } Ys\ (aa\ a)$ 
      using ** by moura
    have f2:  $K + M0 = Ys$ 
      using *(2) union_ac(2) by blast
    have f3:  $\bigwedge aa. \text{count } Zs\ aa = \text{count } M0\ aa + \text{count } \{\#a\#\}\ aa$ 
      by (simp add: *(1))
    have f4:  $\bigwedge a. \text{count } Ys\ a = \text{count } K\ a + \text{count } M0\ a$ 
      using f2 by auto
    have f5:  $\text{count } K\ a = 0$ 
      by (meson *(3) count_inI)
    have  $Zs - M0 = \{\#a\#\}$ 
      using *(1) add_diff_cancel_left' by blast
    then have f6:  $\text{count } M0\ a < \text{count } Zs\ a$ 
      by (metis in_diff_count union_single_eq_member)
    have  $\bigwedge m. \text{count } m\ a = 0 + \text{count } m\ a$ 
      by simp
    moreover
    { assume aa a ≠ a
      then have  $mset\ xs = Zs \wedge \text{count } Zs\ (aa\ a) < \text{count } K\ (aa\ a) + \text{count } M0\ (aa\ a) \longrightarrow$ 
         $\text{count } K\ (aa\ a) + \text{count } M0\ (aa\ a) < \text{count } Zs\ (aa\ a)$ 
        using f5 f3 f2 f1 *(4) asym by (auto dest!: antisymP) }
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using f6 f5 f4 f1 by (metis less_imp_not_less)
  qed
qed
moreover
  {
    assume  $\text{count } Zs\ a \leq \text{count } (mset\ xs)\ a$ 
    with  $(a \notin\# K)$  have  $\text{count } Ys\ a < \text{count } (mset\ xs)\ a$  unfolding *(1,2)
      by (auto simp add: not_in_iff)
    with ** obtain z where z:  $gt\ z\ a \wedge \text{count } (mset\ xs)\ z < \text{count } Ys\ z$ 
      by blast
    with * have  $\text{count } Ys\ z \leq \text{count } Zs\ z$ 
  }

```

```

    using asym
    by (auto simp: intro: count_inI dest: antisymD)
    with z have  $\exists z. gt\ z\ a \wedge count\ (mset\ xs)\ z < count\ Zs\ z$  by auto
  }
note count_a = this
{
  fix y
  assume count_y:  $count\ Zs\ y < count\ (mset\ xs)\ y$ 
  have  $\exists x. gt\ x\ y \wedge count\ (mset\ xs)\ x < count\ Zs\ x$ 
  proof (cases y = a)
    case True
    with count_y count_a show ?thesis by auto
  next
    case False
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases y  $\in$  # K)
      case True
      with  $*(4)$  have  $gt\ a\ y$  by simp
      then show ?thesis
      by (cases count Zs a  $\leq$  count (mset xs) a,
        blast dest: count_a trans[unfolded transp_def, rule_format], auto dest: count_a)
    next
      case False
      with  $\langle y \neq a \rangle$  have  $count\ Zs\ y = count\ Ys\ y$  unfolding  $*(1,2)$ 
      by (simp add: not_in_iff)
      with count_y ** obtain z where  $z: gt\ z\ y\ count\ (mset\ xs)\ z < count\ Ys\ z$  by auto
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases z  $\in$  # K)
        case True
        with  $*(4)$  have  $gt\ a\ z$  by simp
        with  $z(1)$  show ?thesis
        by (cases count Zs a  $\leq$  count (mset xs) a)
        (blast dest: count_a not_le_imp_less trans[unfolded transp_def, rule_format])+
      next
        case False
        with  $\langle a \notin \# K \rangle$  have  $count\ Ys\ z \leq count\ Zs\ z$  unfolding *
        by (auto simp add: not_in_iff)
        with z show ?thesis by auto
      qed
    qed
  }
ultimately show ?case
  unfolding msetext_huet_def Let_def by blast
qed

```

theorem *msetext_huet_eq_dersh*: $irreflp\ gt \implies transp\ gt \implies msetext_dersh\ gt = msetext_huet\ gt$
using *msetext_huet_imp_dersh* *msetext_dersh_imp_mult* *mult_imp_msetext_huet* **by** fast

lemma *msetext_huet_mono_strong*:
 $(\forall y \in set\ ys. \forall x \in set\ xs. gt\ y\ x \longrightarrow gt'\ y\ x) \implies msetext_huet\ gt\ ys\ xs \implies msetext_huet\ gt'\ ys\ xs$
unfolding *msetext_huet_def*
by (*metis* *less_le_trans* *mem_Collect_eq* *not_le* *not_less0* *set_mset_mset*[*unfolded* *set_mset_def*])

lemma *msetext_huet_map*:

assumes

fin: finite A **and**

ys_a: $ys \in lists\ A$ **and** *xs_a*: $xs \in lists\ A$ **and**

irrefl_f: $\forall x \in A. \neg gt\ (f\ x)\ (f\ x)$ **and**

trans_f: $\forall z \in A. \forall y \in A. \forall x \in A. gt\ (f\ z)\ (f\ y) \longrightarrow gt\ (f\ y)\ (f\ x) \longrightarrow gt\ (f\ z)\ (f\ x)$ **and**

compat_f: $\forall y \in A. \forall x \in A. gt\ y\ x \longrightarrow gt\ (f\ y)\ (f\ x)$ **and**

ys_gt_xs: *msetext_huet* *gt* *ys* *xs*

shows *msetext_huet* *gt* (*map* *f* *ys*) (*map* *f* *xs*) (**is** *msetext_huet* _ ?*fys* ?*fxs*)

```

proof -
  have irrefl:  $\forall x \in A. \neg gt\ x\ x$ 
    using irrefl_f compat_f by blast

  have
    ms_xs_ne_ys:  $mset\ xs \neq mset\ ys$  and
    ex_gt:  $\forall x. count\ (mset\ ys)\ x < count\ (mset\ xs)\ x \longrightarrow$ 
       $(\exists y. gt\ y\ x \wedge count\ (mset\ xs)\ y < count\ (mset\ ys)\ y)$ 
    using ys_gt_xs[unfolded msetext_huet_def Let_def] by blast+

  have ex_y:  $\exists y. gt\ (f\ y)\ (f\ x) \wedge count\ (mset\ ?fxs)\ (f\ y) < count\ (mset\ (map\ f\ ys))\ (f\ y)$ 
    if cnt_x:  $count\ (mset\ xs)\ x > count\ (mset\ ys)\ x$  for x
  proof -
    have x_in_a:  $x \in A$ 
      using cnt_x xs_a dual_order.strict_trans2 by fastforce

    obtain y where y_gt_x:  $gt\ y\ x$  and cnt_y:  $count\ (mset\ ys)\ y > count\ (mset\ xs)\ y$ 
      using cnt_x ex_gt by blast
    have y_in_a:  $y \in A$ 
      using cnt_y ys_a dual_order.strict_trans2 by fastforce

    have wf_gt_f:  $wfP\ (\lambda y\ x. y \in A \wedge x \in A \wedge gt\ (f\ y)\ (f\ x))$ 
      by (rule finite_irreflp_transp_imp_wfp)
      (auto elim: trans_f[rule_format] simp: fin_irrefl_f Collect_case_prod_Sigma_irreflp_def transp_def)

    obtain yy where
      fyy_gt_fx:  $gt\ (f\ yy)\ (f\ x)$  and
      cnt_yy:  $count\ (mset\ ys)\ yy > count\ (mset\ xs)\ yy$  and
      max_yy:  $\forall y \in A. yy \in A \longrightarrow gt\ (f\ y)\ (f\ yy) \longrightarrow gt\ (f\ y)\ (f\ x) \longrightarrow$ 
         $count\ (mset\ xs)\ y \geq count\ (mset\ ys)\ y$ 
      using wfP_eq_minimal[THEN iffD1, OF wf_gt_f, rule_format,
        of y {y. gt (f y) (f x)  $\wedge$  count (mset xs) y < count (mset ys) y}, simplified]
        y_gt_x cnt_y
      by (metis compat_f not_less x_in_a y_in_a)
    have yy_in_a:  $yy \in A$ 
      using cnt_yy ys_a dual_order.strict_trans2 by fastforce

    {
      assume  $count\ (mset\ ?fxs)\ (f\ yy) \geq count\ (mset\ ?fys)\ (f\ yy)$ 
      then obtain u where fu_eq_fyy:  $f\ u = f\ yy$  and cnt_u:  $count\ (mset\ xs)\ u > count\ (mset\ ys)\ u$ 
        using count_image_mset_le_imp_lt cnt_yy mset_map by (metis (mono_tags))
      have u_in_a:  $u \in A$ 
        using cnt_u xs_a dual_order.strict_trans2 by fastforce

      obtain v where v_gt_u:  $gt\ v\ u$  and cnt_v:  $count\ (mset\ ys)\ v > count\ (mset\ xs)\ v$ 
        using cnt_u ex_gt by blast
      have v_in_a:  $v \in A$ 
        using cnt_v ys_a dual_order.strict_trans2 by fastforce

      have fv_gt_fu:  $gt\ (f\ v)\ (f\ u)$ 
        using v_gt_u compat_f v_in_a u_in_a by blast
      hence fv_gt_fyy:  $gt\ (f\ v)\ (f\ yy)$ 
        by (simp only: fu_eq_fyy)

      have  $gt\ (f\ v)\ (f\ x)$ 
        using fv_gt_fyy fyy_gt_fx v_in_a yy_in_a x_in_a trans_f by blast
      hence False
        using max_yy[rule_format, of v] fv_gt_fyy v_in_a yy_in_a cnt_v by linarith
    }
  thus ?thesis
    using fyy_gt_fx leI by blast
qed

```

```

show ?thesis
  unfolding msetext_huet_def Let_def
proof (intro conjI allI impI)
  {
    assume len_eq: length xs = length ys
    obtain x where cnt_x: count (mset xs) x > count (mset ys) x
      using len_eq ms_xs_ne_ys by (metis size_eq_ex_count_lt size_mset)
    hence mset ?fxs ≠ mset ?fys
      using ex_y by fastforce
  }
  thus mset ?fxs ≠ mset (map f ys)
    by (metis length_map size_mset)
next
fix fx
assume cnt_fx: count (mset ?fxs) fx > count (mset ?fys) fx
then obtain x where fx: fx = f x and cnt_x: count (mset xs) x > count (mset ys) x
  using count_image_mset_lt_imp_lt mset_map by (metis (mono_tags))
thus ∃fy. gt fy fx ∧ count (mset ?fxs) fy < count (mset (map f ys)) fy
  using ex_y[OF cnt_x] by blast
qed
qed

lemma msetext_huet_irrefl: (∀ x ∈ set xs. ¬ gt x x) ⇒ ¬ msetext_huet gt xs xs
  unfolding msetext_huet_def by simp

lemma msetext_huet_trans_from_irrefl:
  assumes
    fin: finite A and
    zs_a: zs ∈ lists A and ys_a: ys ∈ lists A and xs_a: xs ∈ lists A and
    irrefl: ∀ x ∈ A. ¬ gt x x and
    trans: ∀ z ∈ A. ∀ y ∈ A. ∀ x ∈ A. gt z y → gt y x → gt z x and
    zs_gt_ys: msetext_huet gt zs ys and
    ys_gt_xs: msetext_huet gt ys xs
  shows msetext_huet gt zs xs
proof -
  have wf_gt: wfP (λy x. y ∈ A ∧ x ∈ A ∧ gt y x)
    by (rule finite_irreflp_transp_imp_wfp)
    (auto elim: trans[rule_format] simp: fin irrefl Collect_case_prod_Sigma irreflp_def
      transp_def)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding msetext_huet_def Let_def
  proof (intro conjI allI impI)
    obtain x where cnt_x: count (mset zs) x > count (mset ys) x
      using msetext_huet_imp_count_gt[OF zs_gt_ys] by blast
    have x_in_a: x ∈ A
      using cnt_x zs_a dual_order.strict_trans2 by fastforce

    obtain xx where
      cnt_xx: count (mset zs) xx > count (mset ys) xx and
      max_xx: ∀ y ∈ A. xx ∈ A → gt y xx → count (mset ys) y ≥ count (mset zs) y
      using wfP_eq_minimal[THEN iffD1, OF wf_gt, rule_format,
        of x {y. count (mset ys) y < count (mset zs) y}, simplified]
        cnt_x
      by force
    have xx_in_a: xx ∈ A
      using cnt_xx zs_a dual_order.strict_trans2 by fastforce

    show mset xs ≠ mset zs
  proof (cases count (mset ys) xx ≥ count (mset xs) xx)
    case True
      thus ?thesis
  end
end

```

```

    using cnt_xx by fastforce
next
case False
hence count (mset ys) xx < count (mset xs) xx
  by fastforce
then obtain z where z_gt_xx: gt z xx and cnt_z: count (mset ys) z > count (mset xs) z
  using ys_gt_xs[unfolded msetext_huet_def Let_def] by blast
have z_in_a: z ∈ A
  using cnt_z ys_a dual_order.strict_trans2 by fastforce

have count (mset zs) z ≤ count (mset ys) z
  using max_xx[rule_format, of z] z_in_a xx_in_a z_gt_xx by blast
moreover
{
  assume count (mset zs) z < count (mset ys) z
  then obtain u where u_gt_z: gt u z and cnt_u: count (mset ys) u < count (mset zs) u
    using zs_gt_ys[unfolded msetext_huet_def Let_def] by blast
  have u_in_a: u ∈ A
    using cnt_u zs_a dual_order.strict_trans2 by fastforce
  have u_gt_xx: gt u xx
    using trans u_in_a z_in_a xx_in_a u_gt_z z_gt_xx by blast
  have False
    using max_xx[rule_format, of u] u_in_a xx_in_a u_gt_xx cnt_u by fastforce
}
ultimately have count (mset zs) z = count (mset ys) z
  by fastforce
thus ?thesis
  using cnt_z by fastforce
qed
next
fix x
assume cnt_x_xz: count (mset zs) x < count (mset xs) x
have x_in_a: x ∈ A
  using cnt_x_xz zs_a dual_order.strict_trans2 by fastforce

let ?case = ∃ y. gt y x ∧ count (mset zs) y > count (mset xs) y

{
  assume cnt_x: count (mset zs) x < count (mset ys) x
  then obtain y where y_gt_x: gt y x and cnt_y: count (mset zs) y > count (mset xs) y
    using zs_gt_ys[unfolded msetext_huet_def Let_def] by blast
  have y_in_a: y ∈ A
    using cnt_y zs_a dual_order.strict_trans2 by fastforce

  obtain yy where
    yy_gt_x: gt yy x and
    cnt_yy: count (mset zs) yy > count (mset ys) yy and
    max_yy: ∀ y ∈ A. yy ∈ A → gt y yy → gt y x → count (mset ys) y ≥ count (mset zs) y
    using wfP_eq_minimal[THEN iffD1, OF wf_gt, rule_format,
      of y {y. gt y x ∧ count (mset ys) y < count (mset zs) y}, simplified]
    y_gt_x cnt_y
  by force
  have yy_in_a: yy ∈ A
    using cnt_yy zs_a dual_order.strict_trans2 by fastforce

  have ?case
  proof (cases count (mset ys) yy ≥ count (mset xs) yy)
  case True
  thus ?thesis
    using yy_gt_x cnt_yy by fastforce
  next
  case False
  hence count (mset ys) yy < count (mset xs) yy

```

```

    by fastforce
  then obtain z where z_gt_yy: gt z yy and cnt_z: count (mset ys) z > count (mset xs) z
    using ys_gt_xs[unfolded msetext_huet_def Let_def] by blast
  have z_in_a: z ∈ A
    using cnt_z ys_a dual_order.strict_trans2 by fastforce
  have z_gt_x: gt z x
    using trans z_in_a yy_in_a x_in_a z_gt_yy yy_gt_x by blast

  have count (mset zs) z ≤ count (mset ys) z
    using max_yy[rule_format, of z] z_in_a yy_in_a z_gt_yy z_gt_x by blast
  moreover
  {
    assume count (mset zs) z < count (mset ys) z
    then obtain u where u_gt_z: gt u z and cnt_u: count (mset ys) u < count (mset zs) u
      using zs_gt_ys[unfolded msetext_huet_def Let_def] by blast
    have u_in_a: u ∈ A
      using cnt_u zs_a dual_order.strict_trans2 by fastforce
    have u_gt_yy: gt u yy
      using trans u_in_a z_in_a yy_in_a u_gt_z z_gt_yy by blast
    have u_gt_x: gt u x
      using trans u_in_a z_in_a x_in_a u_gt_z z_gt_x by blast
    have False
      using max_yy[rule_format, of u] u_in_a yy_in_a u_gt_yy u_gt_x cnt_u by fastforce
  }
  ultimately have count (mset zs) z = count (mset ys) z
    by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
    using z_gt_x cnt_z by fastforce
qed
}
moreover
{
  assume count (mset zs) x ≥ count (mset ys) x
  hence count (mset ys) x < count (mset xs) x
    using cnt_x_xz by fastforce
  then obtain y where y_gt_x: gt y x and cnt_y: count (mset ys) y > count (mset xs) y
    using ys_gt_xs[unfolded msetext_huet_def Let_def] by blast
  have y_in_a: y ∈ A
    using cnt_y ys_a dual_order.strict_trans2 by fastforce

  obtain yy where
    yy_gt_x: gt yy x and
    cnt_yy: count (mset ys) yy > count (mset xs) yy and
    max_yy: ∀ y ∈ A. yy ∈ A → gt y yy → gt y x → count (mset xs) y ≥ count (mset ys) y
    using wfP_eq_minimal[THEN iffD1, OF wf_gt, rule_format,
      of y {y. gt y x ∧ count (mset xs) y < count (mset ys) y}, simplified]
    y_gt_x cnt_y
    by force
  have yy_in_a: yy ∈ A
    using cnt_yy ys_a dual_order.strict_trans2 by fastforce

  have ?case
  proof (cases count (mset zs) yy ≥ count (mset ys) yy)
    case True
      thus ?thesis
        using yy_gt_x cnt_yy by fastforce
    next
      case False
        hence count (mset zs) yy < count (mset ys) yy
          by fastforce
        then obtain z where z_gt_yy: gt z yy and cnt_z: count (mset zs) z > count (mset ys) z
          using zs_gt_ys[unfolded msetext_huet_def Let_def] by blast
        have z_in_a: z ∈ A

```

```

    using cnt_z zs_a dual_order.strict_trans2 by fastforce
  have z_gt_x: gt z x
    using trans z_in_a yy_in_a x_in_a z_gt_yy yy_gt_x by blast

  have count (mset ys) z ≤ count (mset xs) z
    using max_yy[rule_format, of z] z_in_a yy_in_a z_gt_yy z_gt_x by blast
  moreover
  {
    assume count (mset ys) z < count (mset xs) z
    then obtain u where u_gt_z: gt u z and cnt_u: count (mset xs) u < count (mset ys) u
      using ys_gt_xs[unfolded msetext_huet_def Let_def] by blast
    have u_in_a: u ∈ A
      using cnt_u ys_a dual_order.strict_trans2 by fastforce
    have u_gt_yy: gt u yy
      using trans u_in_a z_in_a yy_in_a u_gt_z z_gt_yy by blast
    have u_gt_x: gt u x
      using trans u_in_a z_in_a x_in_a u_gt_z z_gt_x by blast
    have False
      using max_yy[rule_format, of u] u_in_a yy_in_a u_gt_yy u_gt_x cnt_u by fastforce
  }
  ultimately have count (mset ys) z = count (mset xs) z
    by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
    using z_gt_x cnt_z by fastforce
qed
}
ultimately show ∃y. gt y x ∧ count (mset xs) y < count (mset zs) y
  by fastforce
qed
qed

```

lemma *msetext_huet_snoc*: *msetext_huet gt (xs @ [x]) xs*
unfolding *msetext_huet_def Let_def* **by** *simp*

lemma *msetext_huet_compat_cons*: *msetext_huet gt ys xs ⇒ msetext_huet gt (x # ys) (x # xs)*
unfolding *msetext_huet_def Let_def* **by** *auto*

lemma *msetext_huet_compat_snoc*: *msetext_huet gt ys xs ⇒ msetext_huet gt (ys @ [x]) (xs @ [x])*
unfolding *msetext_huet_def Let_def* **by** *auto*

lemma *msetext_huet_compat_list*: *y ≠ x ⇒ gt y x ⇒ msetext_huet gt (xs @ y # xs') (xs @ x # xs')*
unfolding *msetext_huet_def Let_def* **by** *auto*

lemma *msetext_huet_singleton*: *y ≠ x ⇒ msetext_huet gt [y] [x] ↔ gt y x*
unfolding *msetext_huet_def* **by** *simp*

lemma *msetext_huet_wf*: *wfP (λx y. gt y x) ⇒ wfP (λxs ys. msetext_huet gt ys xs)*
by (*erule wfP_subset[OF msetext_dersh_wf]*) (*auto intro: msetext_imp_dersh*)

lemma *msetext_huet_hd_or_tl*:
assumes
trans: $\forall z y x. gt z y \longrightarrow gt y x \longrightarrow gt z x$ **and**
total: $\forall y x. gt y x \vee gt x y \vee y = x$ **and**
len_eq: *length ys = length xs* **and**
yy_gt_xs: *msetext_huet gt (y # ys) (x # xs)*
shows *gt y x ∨ msetext_huet gt ys xs*

proof –
let ?Y = *mset (y # ys)*
let ?X = *mset (x # xs)*

let ?Ya = *mset ys*
let ?Xa = *mset xs*

```

have Y_ne_X: ?Y ≠ ?X and
  ex_gt_Y:  $\bigwedge xa. \text{count } ?X \text{ } xa > \text{count } ?Y \text{ } xa \implies \exists ya. \text{gt } ya \text{ } xa \wedge \text{count } ?Y \text{ } ya > \text{count } ?X \text{ } ya$ 
  using yys_gt_xs[unfolded msetext_huet_def Let_def] by auto
obtain yy where
  yy:  $\bigwedge xa. \text{count } ?X \text{ } xa > \text{count } ?Y \text{ } xa \implies \text{gt } (yy \text{ } xa) \text{ } xa \wedge \text{count } ?Y \text{ } (yy \text{ } xa) > \text{count } ?X \text{ } (yy \text{ } xa)$ 
  using ex_gt_Y by metis

have cnt_Y_pres:  $\text{count } ?Ya \text{ } xa > \text{count } ?Xa \text{ } xa$  if  $\text{count } ?Y \text{ } xa > \text{count } ?X \text{ } xa$  and  $xa \neq y$  for  $xa$ 
  using that by (auto split: if_splits)
have cnt_X_pres:  $\text{count } ?Xa \text{ } xa > \text{count } ?Ya \text{ } xa$  if  $\text{count } ?X \text{ } xa > \text{count } ?Y \text{ } xa$  and  $xa \neq x$  for  $xa$ 
  using that by (auto split: if_splits)

{
  assume y_eq_x:  $y = x$ 
  have ?Xa ≠ ?Ya
    using y_eq_x Y_ne_X by simp
  moreover have  $\bigwedge xa. \text{count } ?Xa \text{ } xa > \text{count } ?Ya \text{ } xa \implies \exists ya. \text{gt } ya \text{ } xa \wedge \text{count } ?Ya \text{ } ya > \text{count } ?Xa \text{ } ya$ 
  proof -
    fix xa :: 'a
    assume a1:  $\text{count } (mset \text{ } ys) \text{ } xa < \text{count } (mset \text{ } xs) \text{ } xa$ 
    from ex_gt_Y obtain aa :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a where
      f3:  $\forall a. \neg \text{count } (mset \text{ } (y \# ys)) \text{ } a < \text{count } (mset \text{ } (x \# xs)) \text{ } a \vee \text{gt } (aa \text{ } a) \text{ } a \wedge$ 
         $\text{count } (mset \text{ } (x \# xs)) \text{ } (aa \text{ } a) < \text{count } (mset \text{ } (y \# ys)) \text{ } (aa \text{ } a)$ 
      by (metis (full_types))
    then have f4:  $\bigwedge a. \text{count } (mset \text{ } (x \# xs)) \text{ } (aa \text{ } a) < \text{count } (mset \text{ } (x \# ys)) \text{ } (aa \text{ } a) \vee$ 
       $\neg \text{count } (mset \text{ } (x \# ys)) \text{ } a < \text{count } (mset \text{ } (x \# xs)) \text{ } a$ 
      using y_eq_x by meson
    have  $\bigwedge a \text{ as } aa. \text{count } (mset \text{ } ((a::'a) \# as)) \text{ } aa = \text{count } (mset \text{ } as) \text{ } aa \vee aa = a$ 
      by fastforce
    then have  $xa = x \vee \text{count } (mset \text{ } (x \# xs)) \text{ } (aa \text{ } xa) < \text{count } (mset \text{ } (x \# ys)) \text{ } (aa \text{ } xa)$ 
      using f4 a1 by (metis (no_types))
    then show  $\exists a. \text{gt } a \text{ } xa \wedge \text{count } (mset \text{ } xs) \text{ } a < \text{count } (mset \text{ } ys) \text{ } a$ 
      using f3 y_eq_x a1 by (metis (no_types) Suc_less_eq count_add_mset mset.simps(2))
  qed
  ultimately have msetext_huet_gt_ys_xs
    unfolding msetext_huet_def Let_def by simp
}
moreover
{
  assume x_gt_y:  $\text{gt } x \text{ } y$  and y_ngt_x:  $\neg \text{gt } y \text{ } x$ 
  hence y_ne_x:  $y \neq x$ 
    by fast
}

obtain z where z_cnt:  $\text{count } ?X \text{ } z > \text{count } ?Y \text{ } z$ 
  using size_eq_ex_count_lt[of ?Y ?X] size_mset size_mset len_eq Y_ne_X by auto

have Xa_ne_Ya:  $?Xa \neq ?Ya$ 
proof (cases  $z = x$ )
  case True
  hence yy z  $\neq$  y
    using y_ngt_x yy z_cnt by blast
  hence  $\text{count } ?Ya \text{ } (yy \text{ } z) > \text{count } ?Xa \text{ } (yy \text{ } z)$ 
    using cnt_Y_pres yy z_cnt by blast
  thus ?thesis
    by auto
  next
  case False
  hence  $\text{count } ?Xa \text{ } z > \text{count } ?Ya \text{ } z$ 
    using z_cnt cnt_X_pres by blast
  thus ?thesis
    by auto
qed

```



```

have  $\exists ya. gt\ ya\ xa \wedge count\ ?Ya\ ya > count\ ?Xa\ ya$ 
  if  $xa\_cnta: count\ ?Xa\ xa > count\ ?Ya\ xa$  for  $xa$ 
proof (cases  $xa = y$ )
  case  $xa\_eq\_y: True$ 

  {
    assume  $count\ ?Ya\ x > count\ ?Xa\ x$ 
    moreover have  $gt\ x\ xa$ 
      unfolding  $xa\_eq\_y$  by (rule  $x\_gt\_y$ )
    ultimately have  $?thesis$ 
      by fast
  }
  moreover
  {
    assume  $count\ ?Xa\ x \geq count\ ?Ya\ x$ 
    hence  $x\_cnt: count\ ?X\ x > count\ ?Y\ x$ 
      by (simp add:  $y\_ne\_x$ )
    hence  $yyx\_gt\_x: gt\ (yy\ x)\ x$  and  $yyx\_cnt: count\ ?Y\ (yy\ x) > count\ ?X\ (yy\ x)$ 
      using  $yy$  by blast+

    have  $yyx\_ne\_y: yy\ x \neq y$ 
      using  $y\_ngt\_x\ yyx\_gt\_x$  by auto

    have  $gt\ (yy\ x)\ xa$ 
      unfolding  $xa\_eq\_y$  using  $trans\ yyx\_gt\_x\ x\_gt\_y$  by blast
    moreover have  $count\ ?Ya\ (yy\ x) > count\ ?Xa\ (yy\ x)$ 
      using  $cnt\_Y\_pres\ yyx\_cnt\ yyx\_ne\_y$  by blast
    ultimately have  $?thesis$ 
      by blast
  }
  ultimately show  $?thesis$ 
    by fastforce
next
case  $False$ 
  hence  $xa\_cnt: count\ ?X\ xa > count\ ?Y\ xa$ 
    using  $xa\_cnta$  by fastforce

  show  $?thesis$ 
proof (cases  $yy\ xa = y \wedge count\ ?Ya\ y \leq count\ ?Xa\ y$ )
  case  $yyxa\_ne\_y\_or: False$ 

  have  $yyxa\_gt\_xa: gt\ (yy\ xa)\ xa$  and  $yyxa\_cnt: count\ ?Y\ (yy\ xa) > count\ ?X\ (yy\ xa)$ 
    using  $yy[OF\ xa\_cnt]$  by blast+

  have  $count\ ?Ya\ (yy\ xa) > count\ ?Xa\ (yy\ xa)$ 
    using  $cnt\_Y\_pres\ yyxa\_cnt\ yyxa\_ne\_y\_or$  by fastforce
  thus  $?thesis$ 
    using  $yyxa\_gt\_xa$  by blast
next
case  $True$ 
  note  $yyxa\_eq\_y = this[THEN\ conjunct1]$  and  $y\_cnt = this[THEN\ conjunct2]$ 

  {
    assume  $count\ ?Ya\ x > count\ ?Xa\ x$ 
    moreover have  $gt\ x\ xa$ 
      using  $trans\ x\_gt\_y\ xa\_cnt\ yy\ yyxa\_eq\_y$  by blast
    ultimately have  $?thesis$ 
      by fast
  }
  moreover
  {
    assume  $count\ ?Xa\ x \geq count\ ?Ya\ x$ 
    hence  $x\_cnt: count\ ?X\ x > count\ ?Y\ x$ 

```

```

    by (simp add: y_ne_x)
  hence yyx_gt_x: gt (yy x) x and yyx_cnt: count ?Y (yy x) > count ?X (yy x)
    using yy by blast+

  have yyx_ne_y: yy x ≠ y
    using y_ngt_x yyx_gt_x by auto

  have gt (yy x) xa
    using trans_x_gt_y xa_cnt yy yyx_gt_x yyxa_eq_y by blast
  moreover have count ?Ya (yy x) > count ?Xa (yy x)
    using cnt_Y_pres yyx_cnt yyx_ne_y by blast
  ultimately have ?thesis
    by blast
}
ultimately show ?thesis
  by fastforce
qed
qed
hence msetext_huet gt ys xs
  unfolding msetext_huet_def Let_def using Xa_ne_Ya by fast
}
ultimately show ?thesis
  using total by blast
qed

```

interpretation *msetext_huet*: ext msetext_huet
 by standard (fact msetext_huet_mono_strong, fact msetext_huet_map)

interpretation *msetext_huet*: ext_irrefl_before_trans msetext_huet
 by standard (fact msetext_huet_irrefl, fact msetext_huet_trans_from_irrefl)

interpretation *msetext_huet*: ext_snoc msetext_huet
 by standard (fact msetext_huet_snoc)

interpretation *msetext_huet*: ext_compat_cons msetext_huet
 by standard (fact msetext_huet_compat_cons)

interpretation *msetext_huet*: ext_compat_snoc msetext_huet
 by standard (fact msetext_huet_compat_snoc)

interpretation *msetext_huet*: ext_compat_list msetext_huet
 by standard (fact msetext_huet_compat_list)

interpretation *msetext_huet*: ext_singleton msetext_huet
 by standard (fact msetext_huet_singleton)

interpretation *msetext_huet*: ext_wf msetext_huet
 by standard (fact msetext_huet_wf)

interpretation *msetext_huet*: ext_hd_or_tl msetext_huet
 by standard (rule msetext_huet_hd_or_tl)

interpretation *msetext_huet*: ext_wf_bounded msetext_huet
 by standard

5.9 Componentwise Extension

definition *wiseext* :: ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool **where**
wiseext gt ys xs ⇔ length ys = length xs
 ∧ (∀ i < length ys. gt (ys ! i) (xs ! i) ∨ ys ! i = xs ! i)
 ∧ (∃ i < length ys. gt (ys ! i) (xs ! i))

lemma *wiseext_imp_len_lexext*:
 assumes cw: *wiseext* gt ys xs

```

shows len_lexext gt ys xs
proof -
  have len_eq: length ys = length xs
    using cw[unfolded wiseext_def] by sat
  moreover have lexext gt ys xs
  proof -
    obtain j where
      j_len: j < length ys and
      j_gt: gt (ys ! j) (xs ! j)
      using cw[unfolded wiseext_def] by blast
    then obtain j0 where
      j0_len: j0 < length ys and
      j0_gt: gt (ys ! j0) (xs ! j0) and
      j0_min:  $\bigwedge i. i < j0 \implies \neg gt (ys ! i) (xs ! i)$ 
      using wf_eq_minimal[THEN iffD1, OF wf_less, rule_format, of_ {i. gt (ys ! i) (xs ! i)},
        simplified, OF j_gt]
      by (metis less_trans nat_neq_iff)

    have j0_eq:  $\bigwedge i. i < j0 \implies ys ! i = xs ! i$ 
      using cw[unfolded wiseext_def] by (metis j0_len j0_min less_trans)

    have lexext_gt (drop j0 ys) (drop j0 xs)
      using lexext_Cons[of gt _ _ drop (Suc j0) ys drop (Suc j0) xs, OF j0_gt]
      by (metis Cons_nth_drop_Suc j0_len len_eq)
    thus ?thesis
      using cw len_eq j0_len j0_min
  proof (induct j0 arbitrary: ys xs)
    case (Suc k)
    note ih0 = this(1) and gts_dropSk = this(2) and cw = this(3) and len_eq = this(4) and
      Sk_len = this(5) and Sk_min = this(6)

    have Sk_eq:  $\bigwedge i. i < Suc k \implies ys ! i = xs ! i$ 
      using cw[unfolded wiseext_def] by (metis Sk_len Sk_min less_trans)

    have k_len: k < length ys
      using Sk_len by simp
    have k_min:  $\bigwedge i. i < k \implies \neg gt (ys ! i) (xs ! i)$ 
      using Sk_min by simp

    have k_eq:  $\bigwedge i. i < k \implies ys ! i = xs ! i$ 
      using Sk_eq by simp

    note ih = ih0[OF _ cw len_eq k_len k_min]

    show ?case
    proof (cases k < length ys)
      case k_lt_ys: True
      note k_lt_xs = k_lt_ys[unfolded len_eq]

      obtain x where x: x = xs ! k
        by simp
      hence y: x = ys ! k
        using Sk_eq[of k] by simp

      have dropk_xs: drop k xs = x # drop (Suc k) xs
        using k_lt_xs x by (simp add: Cons_nth_drop_Suc)
      have dropk_ys: drop k ys = x # drop (Suc k) ys
        using k_lt_ys y by (simp add: Cons_nth_drop_Suc)

      show ?thesis
        by (rule ih, unfold dropk_xs dropk_ys, rule lexext_Cons_eq[OF gts_dropSk])
    next
      case False
  end
end

```

```

    hence drop k xs = [] and drop k ys = []
      using len_eq by simp_all
    hence lexext gt [] []
      using gts_dropSk by simp
    hence lexext gt (drop k ys) (drop k xs)
      by simp
    thus ?thesis
      by (rule ih)
  qed
qed simp
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  unfolding lenext_def by sat
qed

```

```

lemma wiseext_mono_strong:
  ( $\forall y \in \text{set } ys. \forall x \in \text{set } xs. \text{gt } y \ x \longrightarrow \text{gt}' \ y \ x$ )  $\implies$  wiseext gt ys xs  $\implies$  wiseext gt' ys xs
  unfolding wiseext_def by (induct, force, fast)

```

```

lemma wiseext_map_strong:
  ( $\forall y \in \text{set } ys. \forall x \in \text{set } xs. \text{gt } y \ x \longrightarrow \text{gt } (f \ y) \ (f \ x)$ )  $\implies$  wiseext gt ys xs  $\implies$ 
  wiseext gt (map f ys) (map f xs)
  unfolding wiseext_def by auto

```

```

lemma wiseext_irrefl: ( $\forall x \in \text{set } xs. \neg \text{gt } x \ x$ )  $\implies$   $\neg$  wiseext gt xs xs
  unfolding wiseext_def by (blast intro: nth_mem)

```

```

lemma wiseext_trans_strong:
  assumes
     $\forall z \in \text{set } zs. \forall y \in \text{set } ys. \forall x \in \text{set } xs. \text{gt } z \ y \longrightarrow \text{gt } y \ x \longrightarrow \text{gt } z \ x$  and
    wiseext gt zs ys and wiseext gt ys xs
  shows wiseext gt zs xs
  using assms unfolding wiseext_def by (metis (mono_tags) nth_mem)

```

```

lemma wiseext_compat_cons: wiseext gt ys xs  $\implies$  wiseext gt (x # ys) (x # xs)
  unfolding wiseext_def

```

```

proof (elim conjE, intro conjI)
  assume
    length ys = length xs and
     $\forall i < \text{length } ys. \text{gt } (ys \ ! \ i) \ (xs \ ! \ i) \vee \ ys \ ! \ i = xs \ ! \ i$ 
  thus  $\forall i < \text{length } (x \ # \ ys). \text{gt } ((x \ # \ ys) \ ! \ i) \ ((x \ # \ xs) \ ! \ i) \vee \ (x \ # \ ys) \ ! \ i = (x \ # \ xs) \ ! \ i$ 
    by (simp add: nth_Cons')
  next
  assume  $\exists i < \text{length } ys. \text{gt } (ys \ ! \ i) \ (xs \ ! \ i)$ 
  thus  $\exists i < \text{length } (x \ # \ ys). \text{gt } ((x \ # \ ys) \ ! \ i) \ ((x \ # \ xs) \ ! \ i)$ 
    by fastforce
qed auto

```

```

lemma wiseext_compat_snoc: wiseext gt ys xs  $\implies$  wiseext gt (ys @ [x]) (xs @ [x])
  unfolding wiseext_def

```

```

proof (elim conjE, intro conjI)
  assume
    length ys = length xs and
     $\forall i < \text{length } ys. \text{gt } (ys \ ! \ i) \ (xs \ ! \ i) \vee \ ys \ ! \ i = xs \ ! \ i$ 
  thus  $\forall i < \text{length } (ys \ @ \ [x]).$ 
     $\text{gt } ((ys \ @ \ [x]) \ ! \ i) \ ((xs \ @ \ [x]) \ ! \ i) \vee \ (ys \ @ \ [x]) \ ! \ i = (xs \ @ \ [x]) \ ! \ i$ 
    by (simp add: nth_append)
  next
  assume
    length ys = length xs and
     $\exists i < \text{length } ys. \text{gt } (ys \ ! \ i) \ (xs \ ! \ i)$ 
  thus  $\exists i < \text{length } (ys \ @ \ [x]). \text{gt } ((ys \ @ \ [x]) \ ! \ i) \ ((xs \ @ \ [x]) \ ! \ i)$ 
    by (metis length_append_singleton less_Suc_eq nth_append)

```

qed auto

lemma *wiseext_compat_list*:

assumes $y \text{ gt } x$: $gt \ y \ x$

shows $wiseext \ gt \ (xs \ @ \ y \ \# \ xs')$ $(xs \ @ \ x \ \# \ xs')$

unfolding *wiseext_def*

proof (intro *conjI*)

show $\forall i < length \ (xs \ @ \ y \ \# \ xs')$. $gt \ ((xs \ @ \ y \ \# \ xs') \ ! \ i) \ ((xs \ @ \ x \ \# \ xs') \ ! \ i)$

$\vee \ (xs \ @ \ y \ \# \ xs') \ ! \ i = (xs \ @ \ x \ \# \ xs') \ ! \ i$

using $y \text{ gt } x$ by (*simp add: nth_Cons' nth_append*)

next

show $\exists i < length \ (xs \ @ \ y \ \# \ xs')$. $gt \ ((xs \ @ \ y \ \# \ xs') \ ! \ i) \ ((xs \ @ \ x \ \# \ xs') \ ! \ i)$

using $y \text{ gt } x$ by (*metis add_diff_cancel_right' append_is_Nil_conv diff_less length_append length_greater_0_conv list.simps(3) nth_append_length*)

qed auto

lemma *wiseext_singleton*: $wiseext \ gt \ [y] \ [x] \longleftrightarrow gt \ y \ x$

unfolding *wiseext_def* by auto

lemma *wiseext_wf*: $wfP \ (\lambda x \ y. \ gt \ y \ x) \implies wfP \ (\lambda xs \ ys. \ wiseext \ gt \ ys \ xs)$

by (*auto intro: wiseext_imp_len_lexext wfP_subset[OF len_lexext_wf]*)

lemma *wiseext_hd_or_tl*: $wiseext \ gt \ (y \ \# \ ys) \ (x \ \# \ xs) \implies gt \ y \ x \ \vee \ wiseext \ gt \ ys \ xs$

unfolding *wiseext_def*

proof (*elim conjE, intro disj_imp[THEN iffD2, rule_format] conjI*)

assume

$\exists i < length \ (y \ \# \ ys)$. $gt \ ((y \ \# \ ys) \ ! \ i) \ ((x \ \# \ xs) \ ! \ i)$ and

$\neg gt \ y \ x$

thus $\exists i < length \ ys$. $gt \ (ys \ ! \ i) \ (xs \ ! \ i)$

by (*metis (no_types) One_nat_def diff_le_self diff_less dual_order.strict_trans2 length_Cons less_Suc_eq lnorder_neqE_nat not_less0 nth_Cons'*)

qed auto

locale *ext_wiseext* = *ext_compat_list* + *ext_compat_cons*

begin

context

fixes $gt :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$

assumes

gt_irrefl: $\neg gt \ x \ x$ and

trans_gt: $ext \ gt \ zs \ ys \implies ext \ gt \ ys \ xs \implies ext \ gt \ zs \ xs$

begin

lemma

assumes ys_gtcw_xs : $wiseext \ gt \ ys \ xs$

shows $ext \ gt \ ys \ xs$

proof -

have $length \ ys = length \ xs$

by (*rule ys_gtcw_xs[unfolded wiseext_def, THEN conjunct1]*)

thus *?thesis*

using ys_gtcw_xs

proof (*induct rule: list_induct2*)

case *Nil*

thus *?case*

unfolding *wiseext_def* by *simp*

next

case (*Cons y ys x xs*)

note $len_ys_eq_xs = this(1)$ and $ih = this(2)$ and $yy_gtcw_xs = this(3)$

have xys_gts_xxs : $ext \ gt \ (x \ \# \ ys) \ (x \ \# \ xs)$ if ys_ne_xs : $ys \neq xs$

proof -

have ys_gtcw_xs : $wiseext \ gt \ ys \ xs$

using yy_gtcw_xs unfolding *wiseext_def*

```

proof (elim conjE, intro conjI)
  assume
     $\forall i < \text{length } (y \# ys). \text{gt } ((y \# ys) ! i) ((x \# xs) ! i) \vee (y \# ys) ! i = (x \# xs) ! i$ 
  hence ge:  $\forall i < \text{length } ys. \text{gt } (ys ! i) (xs ! i) \vee ys ! i = xs ! i$ 
    by auto
  thus  $\exists i < \text{length } ys. \text{gt } (ys ! i) (xs ! i)$ 
    using ys_ne_xs len_ys_eq_xs nth_equalityI by blast
qed auto
hence ext gt ys xs
  by (rule ih)
thus ext gt (x # ys) (x # xs)
  by (rule compat_cons)
qed

have gt y x  $\vee$  y = x
  using yys_gtcw_xxs unfolding wiseext_def by fastforce
moreover
{
  assume y_eq_x: y = x
  have ?case
  proof (cases ys = xs)
    case True
      hence False
      using y_eq_x gt_irrefl yys_gtcw_xxs unfolding wiseext_def by presburger
      thus ?thesis
      by sat
    next
      case False
      thus ?thesis
      using y_eq_x xys_gts_xxs by simp
  qed
}
moreover
{
  assume y_ne_x and gt y x
  hence yys_gts_xys: ext gt (y # ys) (x # ys)
    using compat_list[of _ _ gt []] by simp

  have ?case
  proof (cases ys = xs)
    case ys_eq_xs: True
      thus ?thesis
      using yys_gts_xys by simp
    next
      case False
      thus ?thesis
      using yys_gts_xys xys_gts_xxs trans_gt by blast
  qed
}
ultimately show ?case
  by sat
qed
qed

end

end

interpretation wiseext: ext wiseext
  by standard (fact wiseext_mono_strong, rule wiseext_map_strong, metis in_listsD)

interpretation wiseext: ext_irrefl_trans_strong wiseext
  by standard (fact wiseext_irrefl, fact wiseext_trans_strong)

```

interpretation *wiseext*: *ext_compat_cons wiseext*
by standard (*fact wiseext_compat_cons*)

interpretation *wiseext*: *ext_compat_snoc wiseext*
by standard (*fact wiseext_compat_snoc*)

interpretation *wiseext*: *ext_compat_list wiseext*
by standard (*rule wiseext_compat_list*)

interpretation *wiseext*: *ext_singleton wiseext*
by standard (*rule wiseext_singleton*)

interpretation *wiseext*: *ext_wf wiseext*
by standard (*rule wiseext_wf*)

interpretation *wiseext*: *ext_hd_or_tl wiseext*
by standard (*rule wiseext_hd_or_tl*)

interpretation *wiseext*: *ext_wf_bounded wiseext*
by standard

end

6 The Applicative Recursive Path Order for Lambda-Free Higher-Order Terms

theory *Lambda_Free_RPO_App*
imports *Lambda_Free_Term_Extension_Orders*
abbrevs $>t = >t$
and $\geq t = \geq t$
begin

This theory defines the applicative recursive path order (RPO), a variant of RPO for λ -free higher-order terms. It corresponds to the order obtained by applying the standard first-order RPO on the applicative encoding of higher-order terms and assigning the lowest precedence to the application symbol.

locale *rpo_app = gt_sym (>_s)*
for *gt_sym* :: $'s \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** $>_s$ 50) +
fixes *ext* :: $(('s, 'v) \text{tm} \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) \text{tm} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) \text{tm list} \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) \text{tm list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
assumes
ext_ext_trans_before_irrefl: *ext_trans_before_irrefl ext* **and**
ext_ext_compat_list: *ext_compat_list ext*
begin

lemma *ext_mono[mono]*: $gt \leq gt' \Longrightarrow ext\ gt \leq ext\ gt'$
by (*simp add: ext_mono ext_ext_compat_list[unfolded ext_compat_list_def, THEN conjunct1]*)

inductive *gt* :: $('s, 'v) \text{tm} \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) \text{tm} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** $>_t$ 50) **where**
gt_sub: $is_App\ t \Longrightarrow (fun\ t\ >_t\ s \vee fun\ t = s) \vee (arg\ t\ >_t\ s \vee arg\ t = s) \Longrightarrow t >_t\ s$
| *gt_sym_sym*: $g >_s\ f \Longrightarrow Hd\ (Sym\ g) >_t\ Hd\ (Sym\ f)$
| *gt_sym_app*: $Hd\ (Sym\ g) >_t\ s1 \Longrightarrow Hd\ (Sym\ g) >_t\ s2 \Longrightarrow Hd\ (Sym\ g) >_t\ App\ s1\ s2$
| *gt_app_app*: $ext\ (>_t)\ [t1, t2]\ [s1, s2] \Longrightarrow App\ t1\ t2 >_t\ s1 \Longrightarrow App\ t1\ t2 >_t\ s2 \Longrightarrow App\ t1\ t2 >_t\ App\ s1\ s2$

abbreviation *ge* :: $('s, 'v) \text{tm} \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) \text{tm} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** \geq_t 50) **where**
 $t \geq_t\ s \equiv t >_t\ s \vee t = s$

end

end

7 The Graceful Recursive Path Order for Lambda-Free Higher-Order Terms

```

theory Lambda_Free_RPO_Std
imports Lambda_Free_Term_Extension_Orders
abbrevs >t = >t
      and ≥t = ≥t
begin

```

This theory defines the graceful recursive path order (RPO) for λ -free higher-order terms.

7.1 Setup

```

locale rpo_basis = ground_heads (>s) arity_sym arity_var
  for
    gt_sym :: 's ⇒ 's ⇒ bool (infix >s 50) and
    arity_sym :: 's ⇒ enat and
    arity_var :: 'v ⇒ enat +
  fixes
    extf :: 's ⇒ (('s, 'v) tm ⇒ ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('s, 'v) tm list ⇒ ('s, 'v) tm list ⇒ bool
  assumes
    extf_ext_trans_before_irrefl: ext_trans_before_irrefl (extf f) and
    extf_ext_compat_cons: ext_compat_cons (extf f) and
    extf_ext_compat_list: ext_compat_list (extf f)
begin

lemma extf_ext_trans: ext_trans (extf f)
  by (rule ext_trans_before_irrefl.axioms(1)[OF extf_ext_trans_before_irrefl])

lemma extf_ext: ext (extf f)
  by (rule ext_trans.axioms(1)[OF extf_ext_trans])

lemmas extf_mono_strong = ext.mono_strong[OF extf_ext]
lemmas extf_mono = ext.mono[OF extf_ext, mono]
lemmas extf_map = ext.map[OF extf_ext]
lemmas extf_trans = ext_trans.trans[OF extf_ext_trans]
lemmas extf_irrefl_from_trans =
  ext_trans_before_irrefl.irrefl_from_trans[OF extf_ext_trans_before_irrefl]
lemmas extf_compat_append_left = ext_compat_cons.compat_append_left[OF extf_ext_compat_cons]
lemmas extf_compat_list = ext_compat_list.compat_list[OF extf_ext_compat_list]

definition chkvar :: ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ bool where
  [simp]: chkvar t s ⇔ vars_hd (head s) ⊆ vars t

end

locale rpo = rpo_basis _ _ arity_sym arity_var
  for
    arity_sym :: 's ⇒ enat and
    arity_var :: 'v ⇒ enat
begin

```

7.2 Inductive Definitions

```

definition
  chksubs :: (('s, 'v) tm ⇒ ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ bool
where
  [simp]: chksubs gt t s ⇔ (case s of App s1 s2 ⇒ gt t s1 ∧ gt t s2 | _ ⇒ True)

lemma chksubs_mono[mono]: gt ≤ gt' ⇒ chksubs gt ≤ chksubs gt'
  by (auto simp: tm.case_eq_if) force+

inductive gt :: ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ bool (infix >t 50) where

```



```

gt_sub: is_App t  $\implies$  (fun t >_t s  $\vee$  fun t = s)  $\vee$  (arg t >_t s  $\vee$  arg t = s)  $\implies$  t >_t s
| gt_diff: head t >_hd head s  $\implies$  chkvar t s  $\implies$  chksubs (>_t) t s  $\implies$  t >_t s
| gt_same: head t = head s  $\implies$  chksubs (>_t) t s  $\implies$ 
  ( $\forall f \in$  ground_heads (head t). extf f (>_t) (args t) (args s))  $\implies$  t >_t s

```

abbreviation $ge :: ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** \geq_t 50) **where**
 $t \geq_t s \equiv t >_t s \vee t = s$

inductive $gt_sub :: ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $gt_subI: is_App\ t \implies fun\ t \geq_t\ s \vee arg\ t \geq_t\ s \implies gt_sub\ t\ s$

inductive $gt_diff :: ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $gt_diffI: head\ t >_{hd}\ head\ s \implies chkvar\ t\ s \implies chksubs\ (>_t)\ t\ s \implies gt_diff\ t\ s$

inductive $gt_same :: ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $gt_sameI: head\ t = head\ s \implies chksubs\ (>_t)\ t\ s \implies$
 $(\forall f \in$ ground_heads (head t). extf f (>_t) (args t) (args s)) $\implies gt_same\ t\ s$

lemma $gt_iff_sub_diff_same: t >_t s \iff gt_sub\ t\ s \vee gt_diff\ t\ s \vee gt_same\ t\ s$
by (subst $gt.simps$) (auto simp: $gt_sub.simps\ gt_diff.simps\ gt_same.simps$)

7.3 Transitivity

lemma $gt_fun_imp: fun\ t >_t\ s \implies t >_t\ s$
by (cases t) (auto intro: gt_sub)

lemma $gt_arg_imp: arg\ t >_t\ s \implies t >_t\ s$
by (cases t) (auto intro: gt_sub)

lemma $gt_imp_vars: t >_t\ s \implies vars\ t \supseteq vars\ s$

proof (simp only: $atomize_imp$,
rule $measure_induct_rule$ [of $\lambda(t, s). size\ t + size\ s$
 $\lambda(t, s). t >_t\ s \implies vars\ t \supseteq vars\ s\ (t, s)$, $simplified\ prod.case$],
simp only: $split_paired_all\ prod.case\ atomize_imp$ [$symmetric$])
fix t s
assume
 $ih: \bigwedge ta\ sa. size\ ta + size\ sa < size\ t + size\ s \implies ta >_t\ sa \implies vars\ ta \supseteq vars\ sa$ **and**
 $t_gt_s: t >_t\ s$
show $vars\ t \supseteq vars\ s$
using t_gt_s
proof cases
case gt_sub
thus ?thesis
using ih [of $fun\ t\ s$] ih [of $arg\ t\ s$]
by ($meson\ add_less_cancel_right\ subsetD\ size_arg_lt\ size_fun_lt\ subsetI\ tm.set_sel(5,6)$)
next
case gt_diff
show ?thesis
proof (cases s)
case Hd
thus ?thesis
using $gt_diff(2)$ **by** (auto elim: $hd.set_cases(2)$)
next
case ($App\ s1\ s2$)
thus ?thesis
using $gt_diff(3)$ ih [of $t\ s1$] ih [of $t\ s2$] **by** simp
qed
next
case gt_same
show ?thesis
proof (cases s)
case Hd
thus ?thesis
using $gt_same(1)\ vars_head_subteq$ **by** fastforce

```

next
  case (App s1 s2)
  thus ?thesis
    using gt_same(2) ih[of t s1] ih[of t s2] by simp
qed
qed
qed

theorem gt_trans:  $u >_t t \implies t >_t s \implies u >_t s$ 
proof (simp only: atomize_imp,
  rule measure_induct_rule[of  $\lambda(u, t, s). \{\#size\ u, size\ t, size\ s\}$ ],
   $\lambda(u, t, s). u >_t t \longrightarrow t >_t s \longrightarrow u >_t s (u, t, s)$ ,
  simplified prod.case],
  simp only: split_paired_all prod.case atomize_imp[symmetric])
fix u t s
assume
  ih:  $\bigwedge ua\ ta\ sa. \{\#size\ ua, size\ ta, size\ sa\} < \{\#size\ u, size\ t, size\ s\} \implies$ 
   $ua >_t ta \implies ta >_t sa \implies ua >_t sa$  and
  u_gt_t:  $u >_t t$  and t_gt_s:  $t >_t s$ 

have chkvar: chkvar u s
  by clarsimp (meson u_gt_t t_gt_s gt_imp_vars hd.set_sel(2) vars_head_subseteq subsetCE)

have chk_u_s_if: chksubs ( $>_t$ ) u s if chk_t_s: chksubs ( $>_t$ ) t s
proof (cases s)
  case (App s1 s2)
  thus ?thesis
    using chk_t_s by (auto intro: ih[of _ _ s1, OF _ u_gt_t] ih[of _ _ s2, OF _ u_gt_t])
qed auto

have
  fun_u_lt_etc:  $is\_App\ u \implies \{\#size\ (fun\ u), size\ t, size\ s\} < \{\#size\ u, size\ t, size\ s\}$  and
  arg_u_lt_etc:  $is\_App\ u \implies \{\#size\ (arg\ u), size\ t, size\ s\} < \{\#size\ u, size\ t, size\ s\}$ 
  by (simp_all add: size_fun_lt size_arg_lt)

have u_gt_s_if_ui:  $is\_App\ u \implies fun\ u \geq_t t \vee arg\ u \geq_t t \implies u >_t s$ 
  using ih[of fun u t s, OF fun_u_lt_etc] ih[of arg u t s, OF arg_u_lt_etc] gt_arg_imp
  gt_fun_imp t_gt_s by blast

show  $u >_t s$ 
  using t_gt_s
proof cases
  case gt_sub_t_s: gt_sub

  have u_gt_s_if_chk_u_t: ?thesis if chk_u_t: chksubs ( $>_t$ ) u t
    using gt_sub_t_s(1)
  proof (cases t)
    case t: (App t1 t2)
    show ?thesis
      using ih[of u t1 s] ih[of u t2 s] gt_sub_t_s(2) chk_u_t unfolding t by auto
  qed auto

  show ?thesis
    using u_gt_t by cases (auto intro: u_gt_s_if_ui u_gt_s_if_chk_u_t)
next
  case gt_diff_t_s: gt_diff
  show ?thesis
    using u_gt_t
  proof cases
    case gt_diff_u_t: gt_diff
    have head u  $>_{hd}$  head s
      using gt_diff_u_t(1) gt_diff_t_s(1) by (auto intro: gt_hd_trans)
    thus ?thesis

```

```

    by (rule gt_diff[OF _ chkvar chk_u_s_if[OF gt_diff_t_s(3)]])
next
  case gt_same_u_t: gt_same
  have head u >_hd head s
    using gt_diff_t_s(1) gt_same_u_t(1) by auto
  thus ?thesis
    by (rule gt_diff[OF _ chkvar chk_u_s_if[OF gt_diff_t_s(3)]])
qed (auto intro: u_gt_s_if_ui)
next
  case gt_same_t_s: gt_same
  show ?thesis
    using u_gt_t
  proof cases
    case gt_diff_u_t: gt_diff
    have head u >_hd head s
      using gt_diff_u_t(1) gt_same_t_s(1) by simp
    thus ?thesis
      by (rule gt_diff[OF _ chkvar chk_u_s_if[OF gt_same_t_s(2)]])
  next
    case gt_same_u_t: gt_same
    have hd_u_s: head u = head s
      using gt_same_u_t(1) gt_same_t_s(1) by simp

  let ?S = set (args u) ∪ set (args t) ∪ set (args s)

  have gt_trans_args: ∀ua ∈ ?S. ∀ta ∈ ?S. ∀sa ∈ ?S. ua >_t ta → ta >_t sa → ua >_t sa
  proof clarify
    fix sa ta ua
    assume
      ua_in: ua ∈ ?S and ta_in: ta ∈ ?S and sa_in: sa ∈ ?S and
      ua_gt_ta: ua >_t ta and ta_gt_sa: ta >_t sa
    show ua >_t sa
      by (auto intro!: ih[OF Max_lt_imp_lt_mset ua_gt_ta ta_gt_sa])
      (meson ua_in ta_in sa_in Un_iff max.strict_coboundedI1 max.strict_coboundedI2
        size_in_args)+
  qed

  have ∀f ∈ ground_heads (head u). extf f (>_t) (args u) (args s)
  proof (clarify, rule extf_trans[OF _ _ _ gt_trans_args])
    fix f
    assume f_in_grounds: f ∈ ground_heads (head u)
    show extf f (>_t) (args u) (args t)
      using f_in_grounds gt_same_u_t(3) by blast
    show extf f (>_t) (args t) (args s)
      using f_in_grounds gt_same_t_s(3) unfolding gt_same_u_t(1) by blast
  qed auto
  thus ?thesis
    by (rule gt_same[OF hd_u_s chk_u_s_if[OF gt_same_t_s(2)]])
  qed (auto intro: u_gt_s_if_ui)
qed
qed

```

7.4 Irreflexivity

```

theorem gt_irrefl: ¬ s >_t s
proof (standard, induct s rule: measure_induct_rule[of size])
  case (less s)
  note ih = this(1) and s_gt_s = this(2)

  show False
    using s_gt_s
  proof cases
    case _: gt_sub
    note is_app = this(1) and si_ge_s = this(2)
  end
end

```

```

have s_gt_fun_s: s >t fun s and s_gt_arg_s: s >t arg s
  using is_app by (simp_all add: gt_sub)

have fun_s >t s ∨ arg_s >t s
  using si_ge_s is_app s_gt_arg_s s_gt_fun_s by auto
moreover
{
  assume fun_s_gt_s: fun_s >t s
  have fun_s >t fun_s
    by (rule gt_trans[OF fun_s_gt_s s_gt_fun_s])
  hence False
    using ih[of fun_s] is_app size_fun_lt by blast
}
moreover
{
  assume arg_s_gt_s: arg_s >t s
  have arg_s >t arg_s
    by (rule gt_trans[OF arg_s_gt_s s_gt_arg_s])
  hence False
    using ih[of arg_s] is_app size_arg_lt by blast
}
ultimately show False
  by sat
next
case gt_diff
thus False
  by (cases head s) (auto simp: gt_hd_irrefl)
next
case gt_same
note in_grounds = this(3)

obtain si where si_in_args: si ∈ set (args s) and si_gt_si: si >t si
  using in_grounds
  by (metis (full_types) all_not_in_conv extf_irrefl_from_trans ground_heads_nonempty gt_trans)
have size_si < size s
  by (rule size_in_args[OF si_in_args])
thus False
  by (rule ih[OF _ si_gt_si])
qed
qed

lemma gt_antisym: t >t s ⇒ ¬ s >t t
  using gt_irrefl gt_trans by blast

```

7.5 Subterm Property

lemma

```

gt_sub_fun: App s t >t s and
gt_sub_arg: App s t >t t
by (auto intro: gt_sub)

```

theorem gt_proper_sub: proper_sub s t ⇒ t >_t s

```

by (induct t) (auto intro: gt_sub_fun gt_sub_arg gt_trans)

```

7.6 Compatibility with Functions

lemma gt_compat_fun:

```

assumes t'_gt_t: t' >t t
shows App s t' >t App s t

```

proof –

```

have t'_ne_t: t' ≠ t

```

```

  using gt_antisym t'_gt_t by blast

```

```

have extf_args_single: ∀ f ∈ ground_heads (head s). extf (>t) (args s @ [t']) (args s @ [t])

```

```

  by (simp add: extf_compat_list t'_gt_t t'_ne_t)

```

```

show ?thesis
  by (rule gt_same) (auto simp: gt_sub gt_sub_fun t'_gt_t intro!: extf_args_single)
qed

```

```

theorem gt_compat_fun_strong:
  assumes t'_gt_t: t' >t t
  shows apps s (t' # us) >t apps s (t # us)
proof (induct us rule: rev_induct)
  case Nil
  show ?case
    using t'_gt_t by (auto intro!: gt_compat_fun)
next
  case (snoc u us)
  note ih = snoc

  let ?v' = apps s (t' # us @ [u])
  let ?v = apps s (t # us @ [u])

  show ?case
  proof (rule gt_same)
    show chksubs (>t) ?v' ?v
      using ih by (auto intro: gt_sub gt_sub_arg)
  next
  show  $\forall f \in \text{ground\_heads} (\text{head } ?v'). \text{extf } f (>_t) (\text{args } ?v') (\text{args } ?v)$ 
    by (metis args_apps_extf_compat_list gt_irrefl t'_gt_t)
  qed simp
qed

```

7.7 Compatibility with Arguments

```

theorem gt_diff_same_compat_arg:
  assumes
    extf_compat_snoc:  $\bigwedge f. \text{ext\_compat\_snoc } (\text{extf } f)$  and
    diff_same: gt_diff s' s  $\vee$  gt_same s' s
  shows App s' t >t App s t
proof -
  {
    assume s' >t s
    hence App s' t >t s
      using gt_sub_fun gt_trans by blast
    moreover have App s' t >t t
      by (simp add: gt_sub_arg)
    ultimately have chksubs (>t) (App s' t) (App s t)
      by auto
  }
  note chk_s't_st = this

  show ?thesis
    using diff_same
  proof
    assume gt_diff s' s
    hence
      s'_gt_s: s' >t s and
      hd_s'_gt_s: head s' >hd head s and
      chkvar_s'_s: chkvar s' s and
      chk_s'_s: chksubs (>t) s' s
      using gt_diff.cases by (auto simp: gt_iff_sub_diff_same)

    have chkvar_s't_st: chkvar (App s' t) (App s t)
      using chkvar_s'_s by auto
    show ?thesis
      by (rule gt_diff[OF _ chkvar_s't_st chk_s't_st[OF s'_gt_s]])
        (simp add: hd_s'_gt_s[simplified])
  next

```

```

assume gt_same s' s
hence
  s'_gt_s: s' >_t s and
  hd_s'_eq_s: head s' = head s and
  chk_s'_s: chksubs (>_t) s' s and
  gts_args:  $\forall f \in \text{ground\_heads } (\text{head } s'). \text{extf } f (>_t) (\text{args } s') (\text{args } s)$ 
  using gt_same.cases by (auto simp: gt_iff_sub_diff_same, metis)

have gts_args_t:
   $\forall f \in \text{ground\_heads } (\text{head } (\text{App } s' t)). \text{extf } f (>_t) (\text{args } (\text{App } s' t)) (\text{args } (\text{App } s t))$ 
  using gts_args ext_compat_snoc.compat_append_right[OF extf_compat_snoc] by simp

show ?thesis
  by (rule gt_same[OF _ chk_s't_st[OF s'_gt_s] gts_args_t]) (simp add: hd_s'_eq_s)
qed

```

7.8 Stability under Substitution

lemma gt_imp_chksubs_gt:

```

assumes t_gt_s: t >_t s
shows chksubs (>_t) t s
proof -
  have is_App s  $\implies t >_t \text{fun } s \wedge t >_t \text{arg } s$ 
    using t_gt_s by (meson gt_sub gt_trans)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: tm.case_eq_if)
qed

```

theorem gt_subst:

```

assumes wary_ρ: wary_subst ρ
shows t >_t s  $\implies \text{subst } \rho t >_t \text{subst } \rho s$ 
proof (simp only: atomize_imp,
  rule measure_induct_rule[of  $\lambda(t, s). \{\#\text{size } t, \text{size } s\# \}$ 
   $\lambda(t, s). t >_t s \longrightarrow \text{subst } \rho t >_t \text{subst } \rho s (t, s),$ 
  simplified prod.case],
  simp only: split_paired_all prod.case atomize_imp[symmetric])
fix t s
assume
  ih:  $\bigwedge ta sa. \{\#\text{size } ta, \text{size } sa\# \} < \{\#\text{size } t, \text{size } s\# \} \implies ta >_t sa \implies$ 
   $\text{subst } \rho ta >_t \text{subst } \rho sa$  and
  t_gt_s: t >_t s
{
  assume chk_t_s: chksubs (>_t) t s
  have chksubs (>_t) (subst ρ t) (subst ρ s)
  proof (cases s)
    case s: (Hd ζ)
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases ζ)
      case ζ: (Var x)
      have psub_x_t: proper_sub (Hd (Var x)) t
        using ζ s t_gt_s gt_imp_vars gt_irrefl in_vars_imp_sub by fastforce
      show ?thesis
        unfolding ζ s
        by (rule gt_imp_chksubs_gt[OF gt_proper_sub[OF proper_sub_subst]]) (rule psub_x_t)
    qed (auto simp: s)
  next
    case s: (App s1 s2)
    have t >_t s1 and t >_t s2
      using s chk_t_s by auto
    thus ?thesis
      using s by (auto intro!: ih[of t s1] ih[of t s2])
  qed
}

```

```

}
note chk_ϱt_ϱs_if = this

show subst ϱ t >t subst ϱ s
  using t_gt_s
proof cases
  case gt_sub_t_s: gt_sub
  obtain t1 t2 where t: t = App t1 t2
    using gt_sub_t_s(1) by (metis tm.collapse(2))
  show ?thesis
    using gt_sub ih[of t1 s] ih[of t2 s] gt_sub_t_s(2) t by auto
next
  case gt_diff_t_s: gt_diff
  have head (subst ϱ t) >hd head (subst ϱ s)
    by (meson wary_subst_ground_heads gt_diff_t_s(1) gt_hd_def subsetCE wary_ϱ)
  moreover have chkvar (subst ϱ t) (subst ϱ s)
    unfolding chkvar_def using vars_subst_subseteq[OF gt_imp_vars[OF t_gt_s]] vars_head_subseteq
    by force
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (rule gt_diff[OF _ _ chk_ϱt_ϱs_if[OF gt_diff_t_s(3)]])
next
  case gt_same_t_s: gt_same

  have hd_ϱt_eq_ϱs: head (subst ϱ t) = head (subst ϱ s)
    using gt_same_t_s(1) by simp

  {
  fix f
  assume f_in_grounds: f ∈ ground_heads (head (subst ϱ t))

  let ?S = set (args t) ∪ set (args s)

  have extf_args_s_t: extf f (>t) (args t) (args s)
    using gt_same_t_s(3) f_in_grounds wary_ϱ wary_subst_ground_heads by blast
  have extf f (>t) (map (subst ϱ) (args t)) (map (subst ϱ) (args s))
  proof (rule extf_map[of ?S, OF _ _ _ _ _ extf_args_s_t])
    have sz_a: ∀ ta ∈ ?S. ∀ sa ∈ ?S. {#size ta, size sa#} < {#size t, size s#}
      by (fastforce intro: Max_lt_imp_lt_mset dest: size_in_args)
    show ∀ ta ∈ ?S. ∀ sa ∈ ?S. ta >t sa ⟶ subst ϱ ta >t subst ϱ sa
      using ih sz_a size_in_args by fastforce
    qed (auto intro!: gt_irrefl elim!: gt_trans)
    hence extf f (>t) (args (subst ϱ t)) (args (subst ϱ s))
      by (auto simp: gt_same_t_s(1) intro: extf_compat_append_left)
  }
  hence ∀ f ∈ ground_heads (head (subst ϱ t)).
    extf f (>t) (args (subst ϱ t)) (args (subst ϱ s))
    by blast
  thus ?thesis
    by (rule gt_same[OF hd_ϱt_eq_ϱs chk_ϱt_ϱs_if[OF gt_same_t_s(2)]])
qed
qed

```

7.9 Totality on Ground Terms

```

theorem gt_total_ground:
  assumes extf_total: ⋀f. ext_total (extf f)
  shows ground t ⟹ ground s ⟹ t >t s ∨ s >t t ∨ t = s
proof (simp only: atomize_imp,
  rule measure_induct_rule[of λ(t, s). size t + size s
    λ(t, s). ground t ⟹ ground s ⟹ t >t s ∨ s >t t ∨ t = s (t, s), simplified prod.case],
  simp only: split_paired_all prod.case atomize_imp[symmetric])
fix t s :: ('s, 'v) tm
assume
  ih: ⋀ta sa. size ta + size sa < size t + size s ⟹ ground ta ⟹ ground sa ⟹

```

```

     $ta >_t sa \vee sa >_t ta \vee ta = sa$  and
   $gr\_t: ground\ t$  and  $gr\_s: ground\ s$ 

let ?case =  $t >_t s \vee s >_t t \vee t = s$ 

have chksubs ( $>_t$ )  $t\ s \vee s >_t t$ 
  unfolding chksubs_def tm.case_eq_if using ih[of t fun s] ih[of t arg s]
  by (metis gt_sub add_less_cancel_left gr_s gr_t ground_arg ground_fun size_arg_lt size_fun_lt)
moreover have chksubs ( $>_t$ )  $s\ t \vee t >_t s$ 
  unfolding chksubs_def tm.case_eq_if using ih[of fun t s] ih[of arg t s]
  by (metis gt_sub add_less_cancel_right gr_s gr_t ground_arg ground_fun size_arg_lt size_fun_lt)
moreover
{
  assume
    chksubs_t_s: chksubs ( $>_t$ )  $t\ s$  and
    chksubs_s_t: chksubs ( $>_t$ )  $s\ t$ 

  obtain g where g: head t = Sym g
    using gr_t by (metis ground_head hd.collapse(2))
  obtain f where f: head s = Sym f
    using gr_s by (metis ground_head hd.collapse(2))

  have chkvar_t_s: chkvar t s and chkvar_s_t: chkvar s t
    using g f by simp_all

  {
    assume g_gt_f:  $g >_s f$ 
    have  $t >_t s$ 
      by (rule gt_diff[OF _ chkvar_t_s chksubs_t_s]) (simp add: g f gt_sym_imp_hd[OF g_gt_f])
  }
  moreover
  {
    assume f_gt_g:  $f >_s g$ 
    have  $s >_t t$ 
      by (rule gt_diff[OF _ chkvar_s_t chksubs_s_t]) (simp add: g f gt_sym_imp_hd[OF f_gt_g])
  }
  moreover
  {
    assume g_eq_f:  $g = f$ 
    hence hd_t: head t = head s
      using g f by auto
  }

  let ?ts = args t
  let ?ss = args s

  have gr_ts:  $\forall ta \in set\ ?ts. ground\ ta$ 
    using ground_args[OF _ gr_t] by blast
  have gr_ss:  $\forall sa \in set\ ?ss. ground\ sa$ 
    using ground_args[OF _ gr_s] by blast

  {
    assume ts_eq_ss: ?ts = ?ss
    have  $t = s$ 
      using hd_t ts_eq_ss by (rule tm_expand_apps)
  }
  moreover
  {
    assume ts_gt_ss: extf g ( $>_t$ ) ?ts ?ss
    have  $t >_t s$ 
      by (rule gt_same[OF hd_t chksubs_t_s]) (auto simp: g ts_gt_ss)
  }
  moreover
  {

```



```

    assume ss_gt_ts: extf g (>t) ?ss ?ts
    have s >t t
      by (rule gt_same[OF hd_t[symmetric] chksubs_s_t]) (auto simp: f[folded g_eq_f] ss_gt_ts)
  }
  ultimately have ?case
    using ih gr_ss gr_ts
      ext_total.total[OF extf_total, rule_format, of set ?ts set ?ss (>t) ?ts ?ss g]
      by (metis add_strict_mono in_listsI size_in_args)
  }
  ultimately have ?case
    using gt_sym_total by blast
  }
  ultimately show ?case
    by fast
qed

```

7.10 Well-foundedness

abbreviation $gtg :: ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $>_{tg}$ 50) **where**
 $(>_{tg}) \equiv \lambda t s. ground\ t \wedge t >_t s$

theorem gt_wf :

assumes $extf_wf: \bigwedge f. ext_wf\ (extf\ f)$
shows $wfP\ (\lambda s\ t. t >_t s)$

proof –

have $ground_wfP: wfP\ (\lambda s\ t. t >_{tg}\ s)$
unfolding $wfP_iff_no_inf_chain$

proof

assume $\exists f. inf_chain\ (>_{tg})\ f$
then obtain t **where** $t_bad: bad\ (>_{tg})\ t$
unfolding $inf_chain_def\ bad_def$ **by** $blast$

let $?ff = worst_chain\ (>_{tg})\ (\lambda t\ s. size\ t > size\ s)$
let $?U_of = \lambda i. if\ is_App\ (?ff\ i)\ then\ \{fun\ (?ff\ i)\} \cup set\ (args\ (?ff\ i))\ else\ \{\}$

note $wf_sz = wf_app[OF\ wellorder_class.wf, of\ size, simplified]$

define U **where** $U = (\bigcup i. ?U_of\ i)$

have $gr: \bigwedge i. ground\ (?ff\ i)$
using $worst_chain_bad[OF\ wf_sz\ t_bad, unfolded\ inf_chain_def]$ **by** $fast$
have $gr_fun: \bigwedge i. ground\ (fun\ (?ff\ i))$
by $(rule\ ground_fun[OF\ gr])$
have $gr_args: \bigwedge i\ s. s \in set\ (args\ (?ff\ i)) \implies ground\ s$
by $(rule\ ground_args[OF\ _\ gr])$
have $gr_u: \bigwedge u. u \in U \implies ground\ u$
unfolding U_def **by** $(auto\ dest: gr_args)\ (metis\ (lifting)\ empty_iff\ gr_fun)$

have $\neg bad\ (>_{tg})\ u$ **if** $u_in: u \in ?U_of\ i$ **for** $u\ i$

proof

let $?ti = ?ff\ i$

assume $u_bad: bad\ (>_{tg})\ u$
have $sz_u: size\ u < size\ ?ti$
proof $(cases\ ?ff\ i)$
case Hd
thus $?thesis$
using $u_in\ size_in_args$ **by** $fastforce$

next

case App
thus $?thesis$
using $u_in\ size_in_args\ insert_iff\ size_fun_lt$ **by** $fastforce$

qed

```

show False
proof (cases i)
  case 0
  thus False
  using sz_u min_worst_chain_0[OF wf_sz u_bad] by simp
next
case Suc
hence ?ff (i - 1) >t ?ff i
  using worst_chain_pred[OF wf_sz t_bad] by simp
moreover have ?ff i >t u
proof -
  have u_in: u ∈ ?U_of i
  using u_in by blast
  have ffi_ne_u: ?ff i ≠ u
  using sz_u by fastforce
  hence is_App (?ff i) ⇒ ¬ sub u (?ff i) ⇒ ?ff i >t u
  using u_in gt_sub sub_args by auto
  thus ?ff i >t u
  using ffi_ne_u u_in gt_proper_sub sub_args by fastforce
qed
ultimately have ?ff (i - 1) >t u
  by (rule gt_trans)
thus False
  using Suc sz_u min_worst_chain_Suc[OF wf_sz u_bad] gr by fastforce
qed
hence u_good: ∧u. u ∈ U ⇒ ¬ bad (>tg) u
  unfolding U_def by blast

have bad_diff_same: inf_chain (λt s. ground t ∧ (gt_diff t s ∨ gt_same t s)) ?ff
  unfolding inf_chain_def
proof (intro allI conjI)
  fix i

  show ground (?ff i)
  by (rule gr)

  have gt: ?ff i >t ?ff (Suc i)
  using worst_chain_pred[OF wf_sz t_bad] by blast

  have ¬ gt_sub (?ff i) (?ff (Suc i))
  proof
    assume a: gt_sub (?ff i) (?ff (Suc i))
    hence fi_app: is_App (?ff i) and
      fun_or_arg_fi_ge: fun (?ff i) ≥t ?ff (Suc i) ∨ arg (?ff i) ≥t ?ff (Suc i)
    unfolding gt_sub.simps by blast+
    have fun (?ff i) ∈ ?U_of i
    unfolding U_def using fi_app by auto
    moreover have arg (?ff i) ∈ ?U_of i
    unfolding U_def using fi_app arg_in_args by force
    ultimately obtain uij where uij_in: uij ∈ U and uij_cases: uij ≥t ?ff (Suc i)
    unfolding U_def using fun_or_arg_fi_ge by blast

  have ∧n. ?ff n >t ?ff (Suc n)
  by (rule worst_chain_pred[OF wf_sz t_bad, THEN conjunct2])
  hence uij_gt_i_plus_3: uij >t ?ff (Suc (Suc i))
  using gt_trans uij_cases by blast

  have inf_chain (>tg) (λj. if j = 0 then uij else ?ff (Suc (i + j)))
  unfolding inf_chain_def
  by (auto intro!: gr gr_u[OF uij_in] uij_gt_i_plus_3 worst_chain_pred[OF wf_sz t_bad])
  hence bad (>tg) uij
  unfolding bad_def by fastforce

```

```

thus False
  using u_good[OF uij_in] by sat
qed
thus gt_diff (?ff i) (?ff (Suc i))  $\vee$  gt_same (?ff i) (?ff (Suc i))
  using gt_unfolding gt_iff_sub_diff_same by sat
qed

have wf  $\{(s, t). \text{ground } s \wedge \text{ground } t \wedge \text{sym } (\text{head } t) >_s \text{sym } (\text{head } s)\}$ 
  using gt_sym_wf_unfolding wfP_def wf_iff_no_infinite_down_chain by fast
moreover have  $\{(s, t). \text{ground } t \wedge \text{gt\_diff } t \ s\}$ 
 $\subseteq \{(s, t). \text{ground } s \wedge \text{ground } t \wedge \text{sym } (\text{head } t) >_s \text{sym } (\text{head } s)\}$ 
proof (clarsimp, intro conjI)
  fix s t
  assume gr_t: ground t and gt_diff_t_s: gt_diff t s
  thus gr_s: ground s
  using gt_iff_sub_diff_same gt_imp_vars by fastforce

  show sym (head t)  $>_s$  sym (head s)
  using gt_diff_t_s ground_head[OF gr_s] ground_head[OF gr_t]
  by (cases; cases head s; cases head t) (auto simp: gt_hd_def)
qed
ultimately have wf_diff: wf  $\{(s, t). \text{ground } t \wedge \text{gt\_diff } t \ s\}$ 
by (rule wf_subset)

have diff_O_same:  $\{(s, t). \text{ground } t \wedge \text{gt\_diff } t \ s\} \ O \ \{(s, t). \text{ground } t \wedge \text{gt\_same } t \ s\}$ 
 $\subseteq \{(s, t). \text{ground } t \wedge \text{gt\_diff } t \ s\}$ 
unfolding gt_diff.simps gt_same.simps
by clarsimp (metis chksubs_def empty_subsetI gt_diff[unfolded chkvar_def] gt_imp_chksubs_gt
gt_same gt_trans)

have diff_same_as_union:  $\{(s, t). \text{ground } t \wedge (\text{gt\_diff } t \ s \vee \text{gt\_same } t \ s)\} =$ 
 $\{(s, t). \text{ground } t \wedge \text{gt\_diff } t \ s\} \cup \{(s, t). \text{ground } t \wedge \text{gt\_same } t \ s\}$ 
by auto

obtain k where bad_same: inf_chain  $(\lambda t s. \text{ground } t \wedge \text{gt\_same } t \ s) (\lambda i. ?ff (i + k))$ 
using wf_infinite_down_chain_compatible[OF wf_diff_diff_O_same, of ?ff] bad_diff_same
unfolding inf_chain_def diff_same_as_union[symmetric] by auto
hence hd_sym:  $\bigwedge i. \text{is\_Sym } (\text{head } (?ff (i + k)))$ 
unfolding inf_chain_def by (simp add: ground_head)

define f where f = sym (head (?ff k))

have hd_eq_f: head (?ff (i + k)) = Sym f for i
proof (induct i)
  case 0
  thus ?case
  by (auto simp: f_def hd.collapse(2)[OF hd_sym, of 0, simplified])
next
  case (Suc ia)
  thus ?case
  using bad_same unfolding inf_chain_def gt_same.simps by simp
qed

let ?gtu =  $\lambda t s. t \in U \wedge t >_t s$ 

have  $t \in \text{set } (\text{args } (?ff i)) \implies t \in ?U\_of \ i$  for t i
unfolding U_def
by (cases is_App (?ff i), simp_all,
metis (lifting) neq_iff_size_in_args sub.cases sub_args tm.discI(2))
moreover have  $\bigwedge i. \text{extf } f \ (>_i) \ (\text{args } (?ff (i + k))) \ (\text{args } (?ff (Suc i + k)))$ 
using bad_same hd_eq_f unfolding inf_chain_def gt_same.simps by auto
ultimately have  $\bigwedge i. \text{extf } f \ ?gtu \ (\text{args } (?ff (i + k))) \ (\text{args } (?ff (Suc i + k)))$ 
using extf_mono_strong[of _ _ (>_i)]  $\lambda t s. t \in U \wedge t >_t s$  unfolding U_def by blast

```

```

hence inf_chain (extf f ?gtu) ( $\lambda i. \text{args } (?ff (i + k))$ )
  unfolding inf_chain_def by blast
hence nwf_ext:  $\neg \text{wfP } (\lambda xs \ ys. \text{extf } f \text{ ?gtu } ys \ xs)$ 
  unfolding wfP_iff_no_inf_chain by fast

have gtu_le_gtg: ?gtu  $\leq (>_{tg})$ 
  by (auto intro!: gr_u)

have wfP ( $\lambda s \ t. \text{ ?gtu } t \ s$ )
  unfolding wfP_iff_no_inf_chain
proof (intro notI, elim exE)
  fix f
  assume bad_f: inf_chain ?gtu f
  hence bad_f0: bad ?gtu (f 0)
    by (rule inf_chain_bad)

  have f 0  $\in U$ 
    using bad_f unfolding inf_chain_def by blast
  hence good_f0:  $\neg \text{bad } ?gtu (f \ 0)$ 
    using u_good bad_f inf_chain_bad inf_chain_subset[OF _ gtu_le_gtg] by blast

  show False
    using bad_f0 good_f0 by sat
qed
hence wf_ext: wfP ( $\lambda xs \ ys. \text{extf } f \text{ ?gtu } ys \ xs$ )
  by (rule ext_wf.wf[OF extf_wf, rule_format])

  show False
    using nwf_ext wf_ext by blast
qed

let ?subst = subst grounding_ρ

have wfP ( $\lambda s \ t. \text{ ?subst } t \ >_{tg} \text{ ?subst } s$ )
  by (rule wfP_app[OF ground_wfP])
hence wfP ( $\lambda s \ t. \text{ ?subst } t \ >_t \text{ ?subst } s$ )
  by (simp add: ground_grounding_ρ)
thus ?thesis
  by (auto intro: wfP_subset gt_subst[OF wary_grounding_ρ])
qed

end

end

```

8 The Optimized Graceful Recursive Path Order for Lambda-Free Higher-Order Terms

```

theory Lambda_Free_RPO_Optim
imports Lambda_Free_RPO_Std
begin

```

This theory defines the optimized variant of the graceful recursive path order (RPO) for λ -free higher-order terms.

8.1 Setup

```

locale rpo_optim = rpo_basis _ _ arity_sym arity_var
  for
    arity_sym :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  enat and
    arity_var :: 'v  $\Rightarrow$  enat +
  assumes extf_ext_snoc: ext_snoc (extf f)

```

begin

lemmas *extf_snoc* = *ext_snoc.snoc*[*OF extf_ext_snoc*]

8.2 Definition of the Order

definition

chkargs :: ((*'s, 'v*) *tm* \Rightarrow (*'s, 'v*) *tm* \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow (*'s, 'v*) *tm* \Rightarrow (*'s, 'v*) *tm* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

[*simp*]: *chkargs gt t s* \longleftrightarrow ($\forall s' \in \text{set } (\text{args } s)$. *gt t s'*)

lemma *chkargs_mono*[*mono*]: *gt* \leq *gt'* \Longrightarrow *chkargs gt* \leq *chkargs gt'*
by *force*

inductive *gt* :: (*'s, 'v*) *tm* \Rightarrow (*'s, 'v*) *tm* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** $>_t$ 50) where

gt_arg: *ti* \in *set* (*args t*) \Longrightarrow *ti* $>_t$ *s* \vee *ti* = *s* \Longrightarrow *t* $>_t$ *s*

| *gt_diff*: *head t* $>_{hd}$ *head s* \Longrightarrow *chkvar t s* \Longrightarrow *chkargs* ($>_t$) *t s* \Longrightarrow *t* $>_t$ *s*

| *gt_same*: *head t* = *head s* \Longrightarrow *chkargs* ($>_t$) *t s* \Longrightarrow

($\forall f \in \text{ground_heads } (\text{head } t)$. *extf f* ($>_t$) (*args t*) (*args s*)) \Longrightarrow *t* $>_t$ *s*

abbreviation *ge* :: (*'s, 'v*) *tm* \Rightarrow (*'s, 'v*) *tm* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** \geq_t 50) where
t \geq_t *s* \equiv *t* $>_t$ *s* \vee *t* = *s*

8.3 Transitivity

lemma *gt_in_args_imp*: *ti* \in *set* (*args t*) \Longrightarrow *ti* $>_t$ *s* \Longrightarrow *t* $>_t$ *s*
by (*cases t*) (*auto intro: gt_arg*)

lemma *gt_imp_vars*: *t* $>_t$ *s* \Longrightarrow *vars t* \supseteq *vars s*

proof (*simp only: atomize_imp*,

rule measure_induct_rule[*of* $\lambda(t, s)$. *size t* + *size s*

$\lambda(t, s)$. *t* $>_t$ *s* \longrightarrow *vars t* \supseteq *vars s* (*t, s*), *simplified prod.case*],

simp only: split_paired_all prod.case atomize_imp[*symmetric*])

fix *t s*

assume

ih: $\bigwedge ta sa$. *size ta* + *size sa* < *size t* + *size s* \Longrightarrow *ta* $>_t$ *sa* \Longrightarrow *vars ta* \supseteq *vars sa* and

t *gt_s*: *t* $>_t$ *s*

show *vars t* \supseteq *vars s*

using *t_gt_s*

proof *cases*

case (*gt_arg ti*)

thus ?*thesis*

using *ih*[*of ti s*]

by (*metis size_in_args vars_args_subseteq add_mono_thms_linordered_field*(1) *order_trans*)

next

case *gt_diff*

show ?*thesis*

proof (*cases s*)

case *Hd*

thus ?*thesis*

using *gt_diff*(2) by (*auto elim: hd.set_cases*(2))

next

case (*App s1 s2*)

thus ?*thesis*

using *gt_diff ih*

by *simp* (*metis* (*no_types*) *add.assoc gt.simps*[*unfolded chkargs_def chkvar_def*] *less_add_Suc1*)

qed

next

case *gt_same*

thus ?*thesis*

proof (*cases s rule: tm_exhaust_apps*)

case *s*: (*apps* ζ *ss*)

thus ?*thesis*

using *gt_same unfolding chkargs_def s*

```

    by (auto intro!: vars_head_subseteq)
      (metis ih[of t] insert_absorb insert_subset nat_add_left_cancel_less s_size_in_args
        tm_collapse_apps tm_inject_apps)
  qed
qed
qed

lemma gt_trans: u >_t t  $\implies$  t >_t s  $\implies$  u >_t s
proof (simp only: atomize_imp,
  rule measure_induct_rule[of  $\lambda(u, t, s). \{\#size\ u, size\ t, size\ s\}$ ]
     $\lambda(u, t, s). u >_t t \longrightarrow t >_t s \longrightarrow u >_t s (u, t, s)$ ,
  simplified prod.case],
  simp only: split_paired_all prod.case atomize_imp[symmetric])
fix u t s
assume
  ih:  $\bigwedge ua\ ta\ sa. \{\#size\ ua, size\ ta, size\ sa\} < \{\#size\ u, size\ t, size\ s\} \implies$ 
     $ua >_t ta \implies ta >_t sa \implies ua >_t sa$  and
  u_gt_t:  $u >_t t$  and t_gt_s:  $t >_t s$ 

have chkvar: chkvar u s
  by clarsimp (meson u_gt_t t_gt_s gt_imp_vars hd.set_sel(2) vars_head_subseteq subsetCE)

have chk_u_s_if: chkargs (>_t) u s if chk_t_s: chkargs (>_t) t s
proof (clarsimp simp only: chkargs_def)
  fix s'
  assume s'  $\in$  set (args s)
  thus  $u >_t s'$ 
    using chk_t_s by (auto intro!: ih[of _ _ s', OF u_gt_t] size_in_args)
qed

have u_gt_s_if_ui:  $ui \geq_t t \implies u >_t s$  if ui_in:  $ui \in$  set (args u) for ui
  using ih[of ui t s, simplified, OF size_in_args[OF ui_in] _ t_gt_s]
    gt_in_args_imp[OF ui_in, of s] t_gt_s by blast

show  $u >_t s$ 
  using t_gt_s
proof cases
  case gt_arg_t_s: (gt_arg t)
  have u_gt_s_if_chk_u_t: ?thesis if chk_u_t: chkargs (>_t) u t
    using ih[of u t s] gt_arg_t_s chk_u_t size_in_args by force
  show ?thesis
    using u_gt_t by cases (auto intro: u_gt_s_if_ui u_gt_s_if_chk_u_t)
next
  case gt_diff_t_s: gt_diff
  show ?thesis
    using u_gt_t
  proof cases
    case gt_diff_u_t: gt_diff
    have head_u >_hd head_s
      using gt_diff_u_t(1) gt_diff_t_s(1) by (auto intro: gt_hd_trans)
    thus ?thesis
      by (rule gt_diff[OF _ chkvar chk_u_s_if[OF gt_diff_t_s(3)]])
  next
    case gt_same_u_t: gt_same
    have head_u >_hd head_s
      using gt_diff_t_s(1) gt_same_u_t(1) by auto
    thus ?thesis
      by (rule gt_diff[OF _ chkvar chk_u_s_if[OF gt_diff_t_s(3)]])
  qed (auto intro: u_gt_s_if_ui)
next
  case gt_same_t_s: gt_same
  show ?thesis
    using u_gt_t

```

```

proof cases
  case gt_diff_u_t: gt_diff
  have head_u >hd head_s
    using gt_diff_u_t(1) gt_same_t_s(1) by simp
  thus ?thesis
    by (rule gt_diff[OF chkvar chk_u_s_if[OF gt_same_t_s(2)]])
next
  case gt_same_u_t: gt_same
  have hd_u_s: head u = head s
    using gt_same_u_t(1) gt_same_t_s(1) by simp

  let ?S = set (args u) ∪ set (args t) ∪ set (args s)

  have gt_trans_args:  $\forall ua \in ?S. \forall ta \in ?S. \forall sa \in ?S. ua >_t ta \longrightarrow ta >_t sa \longrightarrow ua >_t sa$ 
  proof clarify
    fix sa ta ua
    assume
      ua_in: ua ∈ ?S and ta_in: ta ∈ ?S and sa_in: sa ∈ ?S and
      ua_gt_ta: ua >t ta and ta_gt_sa: ta >t sa
    show ua >t sa
    by (auto intro!: ih[OF Max_lt_imp_lt_mset ua_gt_ta ta_gt_sa])
      (meson ua_in ta_in sa_in Un_iff max.strict_coboundedI1 max.strict_coboundedI2
        size_in_args)+
  qed

  have  $\forall f \in \text{ground\_heads } (\text{head } u). \text{extf } f (>_t) (\text{args } u) (\text{args } s)$ 
  proof (clarify, rule extf_trans[OF _ _ gt_trans_args])
    fix f
    assume f_in_grounds: f ∈ ground_heads (head u)
    show extf f (>t) (args u) (args t)
      using f_in_grounds gt_same_u_t(3) by blast
    show extf f (>t) (args t) (args s)
      using f_in_grounds gt_same_t_s(3) unfolding gt_same_u_t(1) by blast
    qed auto
  thus ?thesis
    by (rule gt_same[OF hd_u_s chk_u_s_if[OF gt_same_t_s(2)]])
  qed (auto intro: u_gt_s_if_ui)
qed
qed

lemma gt_sub_fun: App s t >t s
  by (rule gt_same) (auto intro: extf_snoc gt_arg[of _ App s t])

end

```

8.4 Conditional Equivalence with Unoptimized Version

```

context rpo
begin

context
  assumes extf_ext_snoc:  $\bigwedge f. \text{ext\_snoc } (\text{extf } f)$ 
begin

lemma rpo_optim: rpo_optim ground_heads_var (>s) extf_arity_sym arity_var
  unfolding rpo_optim_def rpo_optim_axioms_def using rpo_basis_axioms extf_ext_snoc by auto

abbreviation
  chkargs ::  $((s, v) \text{tm} \Rightarrow (s, v) \text{tm} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (s, v) \text{tm} \Rightarrow (s, v) \text{tm} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
where
  chkargs  $\equiv$  rpo_optim.chkargs

abbreviation gt_optim ::  $(s, v) \text{tm} \Rightarrow (s, v) \text{tm} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  (infix  $>_{to}$  50) where
   $(>_{to}) \equiv$  rpo_optim.gt ground_heads_var (>s) extf

```

abbreviation $ge_optim :: ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** \geq_{t_o} 50) **where**
 $(\geq_{t_o}) \equiv rpo_optim.ge_ground_heads_var (>_s) extf$

theorem $gt_iff_optim: t >_t s \longleftrightarrow t >_{t_o} s$

proof (*rule measure_induct_rule*[of $\lambda(t, s). size\ t + size\ s$
 $\lambda(t, s). t >_t s \longleftrightarrow t >_{t_o} s (t, s), simplified\ prod.case]$,
simp only: split_paired_all prod.case)

fix $t\ s :: ('s, 'v) tm$

assume $ih: \bigwedge ta\ sa. size\ ta + size\ sa < size\ t + size\ s \implies ta >_t sa \longleftrightarrow ta >_{t_o} sa$

show $t >_t s \longleftrightarrow t >_{t_o} s$

proof

assume $t_gt_s: t >_t s$

have $chkargs_if_chksubs: chkargs (>_{t_o})\ t\ s$ **if** $chksubs: chksubs (>_t)\ t\ s$
unfolding $rpo_optim.chkargs_def[OF\ rpo_optim]$

proof (*cases s, simp_all, intro conjI ballI*)

fix $s1\ s2$

assume $s: s = App\ s1\ s2$

have $t_gt_s2: t >_t s2$

using $chksubs\ s$ **by** *simp*

show $t >_{t_o} s2$

by (*rule ih[THEN iffD1, OF _ t_gt_s2]*) (*simp add: s*)

{

fix $s1i$

assume $s1i_in: s1i \in set\ (args\ s1)$

have $t >_t s1$

using $chksubs\ s$ **by** *simp*

moreover **have** $s1 >_t s1i$

using $s1i_in\ gt_proper_sub\ size_in_args\ sub_args$ **by** *fastforce*

ultimately **have** $t_gt_s1i: t >_t s1i$

by (*rule gt_trans*)

have $sz_s1i: size\ s1i < size\ s$

using $size_in_args[OF\ s1i_in]\ s$ **by** *simp*

show $t >_{t_o} s1i$

by (*rule ih[THEN iffD1, OF _ t_gt_s1i]*) (*simp add: sz_s1i*)

}

qed

show $t >_{t_o} s$

using t_gt_s

proof *cases*

case gt_sub

note $t_app = this(1)$ **and** $ti_geo_s = this(2)$

obtain $t1\ t2$ **where** $t: t = App\ t1\ t2$

using t_app **by** (*metis tm.collapse(2)*)

have $t_gto_t1: t >_{t_o} t1$

unfolding t **by** (*rule rpo_optim.gt_sub_fun[OF rpo_optim]*)

have $t_gto_t2: t >_{t_o} t2$

unfolding t **by** (*rule rpo_optim.gt_arg[OF rpo_optim, of t2]*) *simp+*

{

assume $t1_gt_s: t1 >_t s$

have $t1 >_{t_o} s$

by (*rule ih[THEN iffD1, OF _ t1_gt_s]*) (*simp add: t*)


```

  hence ?thesis
    by (rule rpo_optim.gt_trans[OF rpo_optim t_gto_t1])
}
moreover
{
  assume t2_gt_s: t2 >_t s
  have t2 >_{t_o} s
    by (rule ih[THEN iffD1, OF _ t2_gt_s]) (simp add: t)
  hence ?thesis
    by (rule rpo_optim.gt_trans[OF rpo_optim t_gto_t2])
}
ultimately show ?thesis
  using t ti_geo_s t_gto_t1 t_gto_t2 by auto
next
case gt_diff
note hd_t_gt_s = this(1) and chkvar = this(2) and chksubs = this(3)
show ?thesis
  by (rule rpo_optim.gt_diff[OF rpo_optim hd_t_gt_s chkvar chkargs_if_chksubs[OF chksubs]])
next
case gt_same
note hd_t_eq_s = this(1) and chksubs = this(2) and extf = this(3)

have extf_gto:  $\forall f \in \text{ground\_heads}(\text{head } t). \text{extf } f (>_{t_o}) (\text{args } t) (\text{args } s)$ 
proof (rule ballI, rule extf_mono_strong[of _ _ (>_t), rule_format])
  fix f
  assume f_in_ground:  $f \in \text{ground\_heads}(\text{head } t)$ 

  {
    fix ta sa
    assume ta_in:  $ta \in \text{set}(\text{args } t)$  and sa_in:  $sa \in \text{set}(\text{args } s)$  and ta_gt_sa:  $ta >_t sa$ 

    show ta >_{t_o} sa
      by (rule ih[THEN iffD1, OF _ ta_gt_sa])
        (simp add: ta_in sa_in add_less_mono size_in_args)
  }

  show extf f (>_t) (args t) (args s)
    using f_in_ground extf by simp
qed

show ?thesis
  by (rule rpo_optim.gt_same[OF rpo_optim hd_t_eq_s chkargs_if_chksubs[OF chksubs] extf_gto])
qed
next
assume t_gto_s:  $t >_{t_o} s$ 

have chksubs_if_chkargs:  $\text{chksubs} (>_t) t s$  if  $\text{chkargs}: \text{chkargs} (>_{t_o}) t s$ 
  unfolding chksubs_def
proof (cases s, simp_all, rule conjI)
  fix s1 s2
  assume s:  $s = \text{App } s1 s2$ 

  have s >_{t_o} s1
    unfolding s by (rule rpo_optim.gt_sub_fun[OF rpo_optim])
  hence t_gto_s1:  $t >_{t_o} s1$ 
    by (rule rpo_optim.gt_trans[OF rpo_optim t_gto_s])
  show t >_t s1
    by (rule ih[THEN iffD2, OF _ t_gto_s1]) (simp add: s)

  have t_gto_s2:  $t >_{t_o} s2$ 
    using chkargs unfolding rpo_optim.chkargs_def[OF rpo_optim] s by simp
  show t >_t s2
    by (rule ih[THEN iffD2, OF _ t_gto_s2]) (simp add: s)

```

qed

show $t >_t s$

proof (cases rule: rpo_optim.gt.cases[OF rpo_optim t_gto_s,
case_names gto_arg gto_diff gto_same])

case (gto_arg ti)

hence $ti_in: ti \in set (args t)$ and $ti_geo_s: ti \geq_{to} s$

by auto

obtain ζts where $t: t = apps (Hd \zeta) ts$

by (fact tm_exhaust_apps)

{
 assume $ti_gto_s: ti >_{to} s$
 hence $ti_gt_s: ti >_t s$
 using ih[of ti s] size_in_args ti_in by auto
 moreover have $t >_t ti$
 using sub_args[OF ti_in] gt_proper_sub size_in_args[OF ti_in] by blast
 ultimately have ?thesis
 using gt_trans by blast
}

moreover

{
 assume $ti = s$
 hence ?thesis
 using sub_args[OF ti_in] gt_proper_sub size_in_args[OF ti_in] by blast
}

ultimately show ?thesis

using ti_geo_s by blast

next

case gto_diff

hence $hd_t_gt_s: head t >_{hd} head s$ and $chkvar: chkvar t s$ and

$chkargs: chkargs (>_{to}) t s$

by blast+

have $chksubs (>_t) t s$

by (rule chksubs_if_chkargs[OF chkargs])

thus ?thesis

by (rule gt_diff[OF hd_t_gt_s chkvar])

next

case gto_same

hence $hd_t_eq_s: head t = head s$ and $chkargs: chkargs (>_{to}) t s$ and

$extf_gto: \forall f \in ground_heads (head t). extf f (>_{to}) (args t) (args s)$

by blast+

have $chksubs: chksubs (>_t) t s$

by (rule chksubs_if_chkargs[OF chkargs])

have $extf: \forall f \in ground_heads (head t). extf f (>_t) (args t) (args s)$

proof (rule ballI, rule extf_mono_strong[of _ _ (>_{to}), rule_format])

fix f

assume $f_in_ground: f \in ground_heads (head t)$

{
 fix ta sa
 assume $ta_in: ta \in set (args t)$ and $sa_in: sa \in set (args s)$ and $ta_gto_sa: ta >_{to} sa$

 show $ta >_t sa$
 by (rule ih[THEN iffD2, OF _ ta_gto_sa])
 (simp add: ta_in sa_in add_less_mono size_in_args)
}

show $extf f (>_{to}) (args t) (args s)$

using f_in_ground extf_gto by simp

```

    qed

    show ?thesis
      by (rule gt_same[OF hd_t_eq_s chksubs extf])
    qed
  qed
end

end

end

```

9 Recursive Path Orders for Lambda-Free Higher-Order Terms

```

theory Lambda_Free_RPOs
imports Lambda_Free_RPO_App Lambda_Free_RPO_Optim
begin

locale simple_rpo_instances
begin

definition arity_sym :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  enat where
  arity_sym n =  $\infty$ 

definition arity_var :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  enat where
  arity_var n =  $\infty$ 

definition ground_head_var :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat set where
  ground_head_var x = UNIV

definition gt_sym :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  gt_sym g f  $\longleftrightarrow$  g > f

sublocale app: rpo_app gt_sym len_lexext
  by unfold_locales (auto simp: gt_sym_def intro: wf_less[folded wfP_def])

sublocale std: rpo ground_head_var gt_sym  $\lambda$ f. len_lexext arity_sym arity_var
  by unfold_locales (auto simp: arity_var_def arity_sym_def ground_head_var_def)

sublocale optim: rpo_optim ground_head_var gt_sym  $\lambda$ f. len_lexext arity_sym arity_var
  by unfold_locales

end

end

```