

Formalization of Knuth–Bendix Orders for Lambda-Free Higher-Order Terms

Heiko Becker, Jasmin Christian Blanchette, Uwe Waldmann, and Daniel Wand

October 11, 2017

Abstract

This Isabelle/HOL formalization defines Knuth–Bendix orders for higher-order terms without λ -abstraction and proves many useful properties about them. The main order fully coincides with the standard transfinite KBO with subterm coefficients on first-order terms. It appears promising as the basis of a higher-order superposition calculus.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Utilities for Knuth–Bendix Orders for Lambda-Free Higher-Order Terms	2
3	The Applicative Knuth–Bendix Order for Lambda-Free Higher-Order Terms	4
4	The Graceful Standard Knuth–Bendix Order for Lambda-Free Higher-Order Terms	4
4.1	Setup	5
4.2	Weights	5
4.3	Inductive Definitions	6
4.4	Irreflexivity	7
4.5	Transitivity	7
4.6	Subterm Property	14
4.7	Compatibility with Functions	15
4.8	Compatibility with Arguments	15
4.9	Stability under Substitution	16
4.10	Totality on Ground Terms	19
4.11	Well-foundedness	21
5	The Graceful Basic Knuth–Bendix Order for Lambda-Free Higher-Order Terms	24
6	The Graceful Transfinite Knuth–Bendix Order with Subterm Coefficients for Lambda-Free Higher-Order Terms	26
6.1	Setup	26
6.2	Weights and Subterm Coefficients	27
6.3	Inductive Definitions	36
6.4	Irreflexivity	36
6.5	Transitivity	37
6.6	Subterm Property	44
6.7	Compatibility with Functions	44
6.8	Compatibility with Arguments	45
6.9	Stability under Substitution	47
6.10	Totality on Ground Terms	52
6.11	Well-foundedness	53
7	Knuth–Bendix Orders for Lambda-Free Higher-Order Terms	57

1 Introduction

This Isabelle/HOL formalization defines Knuth–Bendix orders for higher-order terms without λ -abstraction and proves many useful properties about them. The main order fully coincides with the standard transfinite KBO with subterm coefficients on first-order terms. It appears promising as the basis of a higher-order superposition calculus.

We refer to our CADE-26 paper for details.¹

2 Utilities for Knuth–Bendix Orders for Lambda-Free Higher-Order Terms

```
theory Lambda_Free_KBO_Util
imports Lambda_Free_RPOs.Lambda_Free_Term Lambda_Free_RPOs.Extension_Orders Polynomials.Polynomials
begin
```

```
locale kbo_basic_basis = gt_sym op >s
  for gt_sym :: 's ⇒ 's ⇒ bool (infix >s 50) +
  fixes
    wt_sym :: 's ⇒ nat and
    ε :: nat and
    ground_heads_var :: 'v ⇒ 's set and
    extf :: 's ⇒ (('s, 'v) tm ⇒ ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('s, 'v) tm list ⇒ ('s, 'v) tm list ⇒
      bool
  assumes
    ε_gt_0: ε > 0 and
    wt_sym_ge_ε: wt_sym f ≥ ε and
    ground_heads_var_nonempty: ground_heads_var x ≠ {} and
    extf_ext_irrefl_before_trans: ext_irrefl_before_trans (extf f) and
    extf_ext_compat_list_strong: ext_compat_list_strong (extf f) and
    extf_ext_hd_or_tl: ext_hd_or_tl (extf f)
begin
```

```
lemma wt_sym_gt_0: wt_sym f > 0
  by (rule less_le_trans[OF ε_gt_0 wt_sym_ge_ε])
```

end

```
locale kbo_std_basis = ground_heads op >s arity_sym arity_var
  for
    gt_sym :: 's ⇒ 's ⇒ bool (infix >s 50) and
    arity_sym :: 's ⇒ enat and
    arity_var :: 'v ⇒ enat +
  fixes
    wt_sym :: 's ⇒ 'n::{ord,semiring_1} and
    ε :: nat and
    δ :: nat and
    extf :: 's ⇒ (('s, 'v) tm ⇒ ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('s, 'v) tm list ⇒ ('s, 'v) tm list ⇒
      bool
  assumes
    ε_gt_0: ε > 0 and
    δ_le_ε: δ ≤ ε and
    arity_hd_ne_infinity_if_δ_gt_0: δ > 0 ⇒ arity_hd ζ ≠ ∞ and
    wt_sym_ge: wt_sym f ≥ of_nat (ε - the_enat (of_nat δ * arity_sym f)) and
    unary_wt_sym_0_gt: arity_sym f = 1 ⇒ wt_sym f = 0 ⇒ f >s g ∨ g = f and
    unary_wt_sym_0_imp_δ_eq_ε: arity_sym f = 1 ⇒ wt_sym f = 0 ⇒ δ = ε and
    extf_ext_irrefl_before_trans: ext_irrefl_before_trans (extf f) and
    extf_ext_compat_list_strong: ext_compat_list_strong (extf f) and
    extf_ext_hd_or_tl: ext_hd_or_tl (extf f) and
    extf_ext_snoc_if_δ_eq_ε: δ = ε ⇒ ext_snoc (extf f)
begin
```

¹https://www21.in.tum.de/~blanchet/lambda_free_kbo_conf.pdf

```

lemma arity_sym_ne_infinity_if_delta_gt_0: delta > 0 ==> arity_sym f != infinity
  by (metis arity_hd.simps(2) arity_hd_ne_infinity_if_delta_gt_0)

lemma arity_var_ne_infinity_if_delta_gt_0: delta > 0 ==> arity_var x != infinity
  by (metis arity_hd.simps(1) arity_hd_ne_infinity_if_delta_gt_0)

lemma arity_ne_infinity_if_delta_gt_0: delta > 0 ==> arity s != infinity
  unfolding arity_def
  by (induct s rule: tm_induct_apps)
    (metis arity_hd_ne_infinity_if_delta_gt_0 enat.distinct(2) enat.exhaust_idiff_enat_enat)

lemma extf_ext_irrefl: ext_irrefl (extf f)
  by (rule ext_irrefl_before_trans.axioms(1)[OF extf_ext_irrefl_before_trans])

lemma extf_ext: ext (extf f)
  by (rule ext_irrefl.axioms(1)[OF extf_ext_irrefl])

lemma
  extf_ext_compat_cons: ext_compat_cons (extf f) and
  extf_ext_compat_snoc: ext_compat_snoc (extf f) and
  extf_ext_singleton: ext_singleton (extf f)
  by (rule ext_compat_list_strong.axioms[OF extf_ext_compat_list_strong])+

lemma extf_ext_compat_list: ext_compat_list (extf f)
  using extf_ext_compat_list_strong
  by (simp add: ext_compat_list_axioms_def ext_compat_list_def ext_compat_list_strong.compat_list
    ext_compat_list_strong_def ext_singleton.axioms(1))

lemma extf_ext_wf_bounded: ext_wf_bounded (extf f)
  unfolding ext_wf_bounded_def using extf_ext_irrefl_before_trans extf_ext_hd_or_tl by simp

lemmas extf_mono_strong = ext.mono_strong[OF extf_ext]
lemmas extf_mono = ext.mono[OF extf_ext, mono]
lemmas extf_map = ext.map[OF extf_ext]
lemmas extf_irrefl = ext_irrefl.irrefl[OF extf_ext_irrefl]
lemmas extf_trans_from_irrefl =
  ext_irrefl_before_trans.trans_from_irrefl[OF extf_ext_irrefl_before_trans]
lemmas extf_compat_cons = ext_compat_cons.compat_cons[OF extf_ext_compat_cons]
lemmas extf_compat_append_left = ext_compat_cons.compat_append_left[OF extf_ext_compat_cons]
lemmas extf_compat_append_right = ext_compat_snoc.compat_append_right[OF extf_ext_compat_snoc]
lemmas extf_compat_list = ext_compat_list.compat_list[OF extf_ext_compat_list]
lemmas extf_singleton = ext_singleton.singleton[OF extf_ext_singleton]
lemmas extf_wf_bounded = ext_wf_bounded.wf_bounded[OF extf_ext_wf_bounded]

lemmas extf_snoc_if_delta_eq_epsilon = ext_snoc.snoc[OF extf_ext_snoc_if_delta_eq_epsilon]

lemma extf_singleton_nil_if_delta_eq_epsilon: delta = epsilon ==> extf f gt [s] []
  by (rule extf_snoc_if_delta_eq_epsilon[of _ _ [], simplified])

end

sublocale kbo_basic_basis < kbo_std_basis _ _ lambda . infinity lambda . infinity _ _ 0
  unfolding kbo_std_basis_def kbo_std_basis_axioms_def
  by (auto simp: wt_sym_gt_0_epsilon_gt_0 wt_sym_ge_epsilon less_not_refl2 ground_heads_var_nonempty
    gt_sym_axioms ground_heads_def ground_heads_axioms_def extf_ext_irrefl_before_trans
    extf_ext_compat_list_strong extf_ext_hd_or_tl)

end

```

3 The Applicative Knuth–Bendix Order for Lambda-Free Higher-Order Terms

```

theory Lambda_Free_KBO_App
imports Lambda_Free_KBO_Util
abbrevs
  >t = >t
  ≥t = ≥t
begin

```

This theory defines the applicative Knuth–Bendix order, a variant of KBO for λ -free higher-order terms. It corresponds to the order obtained by applying the standard first-order KBO on the applicative encoding of higher-order terms and assigning the lowest precedence to the application symbol.

```

locale kbo_app = gt_sym op >s
  for gt_sym :: 's ⇒ 's ⇒ bool (infix >s 50) +
  fixes
    wt_sym :: 's ⇒ nat and
     $\varepsilon$  :: nat and
    ext :: (('s, 'v) tm ⇒ ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('s, 'v) tm list ⇒ ('s, 'v) tm list ⇒ bool
  assumes
     $\varepsilon$  gt_0:  $\varepsilon > 0$  and
    wt_sym_ge_ε: wt_sym f ≥  $\varepsilon$  and
    ext_ext_irrefl_before_trans: ext_irrefl_before_trans ext and
    ext_ext_compat_list: ext_compat_list ext and
    ext_ext_hd_or_tl: ext_hd_or_tl ext
begin

```

```

lemma ext_mono[mono]: gt ≤ gt' ⇒ ext gt ≤ ext gt'
  by (simp add: ext_mono ext_ext_compat_list[unfolded ext_compat_list_def, THEN conjunct1])

```

```

fun wt :: ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ nat where
  wt (Hd (Var x)) =  $\varepsilon$ 
| wt (Hd (Sym f)) = wt_sym f
| wt (App s t) = wt s + wt t

```

```

inductive gt :: ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ bool (infix >t 50) where
  gt_wt: vars_mset t ⊇# vars_mset s ⇒ wt t > wt s ⇒ t >t s
| gt_sym_sym: wt_sym g = wt_sym f ⇒ g >s f ⇒ Hd (Sym g) >t Hd (Sym f)
| gt_sym_app: vars s = {} ⇒ wt t = wt s ⇒ t = Hd (Sym g) ⇒ is_App s ⇒ t >t s
| gt_app_app: vars_mset t ⊇# vars_mset s ⇒ wt t = wt s ⇒ t = App t1 t2 ⇒ s = App s1 s2 ⇒
  ext (op >t) [t1, t2] [s1, s2] ⇒ t >t s

```

```

abbreviation ge :: ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ bool (infix ≥t 50) where
  t ≥t s ≡ t >t s ∨ t = s

```

end

end

4 The Graceful Standard Knuth–Bendix Order for Lambda-Free Higher-Order Terms

```

theory Lambda_Free_KBO_Std
imports Lambda_Free_KBO_Util
abbrevs
  >t = >t
  ≥t = ≥t
begin

```

This theory defines the standard version of the graceful Knuth–Bendix order for λ -free higher-order terms. Standard means that one symbol is allowed to have a weight of 0.

4.1 Setup

```

locale kbo_std = kbo_std_basis _ _ arity_sym arity_var wt_sym
for
  arity_sym :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  enat and
  arity_var :: 'v  $\Rightarrow$  enat and
  wt_sym :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  nat
begin

```

4.2 Weights

```

primrec wt :: ('s, 'v) tm  $\Rightarrow$  nat where

```

```

  wt (Hd  $\zeta$ ) = (LEAST w.  $\exists f \in$  ground_heads  $\zeta$ .  $w =$  wt_sym f + the_enat ( $\delta *$  arity_sym f))
| wt (App s t) = (wt s -  $\delta$ ) + wt t

```

```

lemma wt_Hd_Sym: wt (Hd (Sym f)) = wt_sym f + the_enat ( $\delta *$  arity_sym f)
by simp

```

```

lemma exists_wt_sym:  $\exists f \in$  ground_heads  $\zeta$ . wt (Hd  $\zeta$ ) = wt_sym f + the_enat ( $\delta *$  arity_sym f)
by (auto intro: Least_in_nonempty_set_imp_ex)

```

```

lemma wt_le_wt_sym:  $f \in$  ground_heads  $\zeta \implies$  wt (Hd  $\zeta$ )  $\leq$  wt_sym f + the_enat ( $\delta *$  arity_sym f)
using not_le_imp_less not_less_Least by fastforce

```

```

lemma enat_the_enat_delta_times_arity_sym[simp]: enat (the_enat ( $\delta *$  arity_sym f)) =  $\delta *$  arity_sym f
using arity_sym_ne_infinity_if_delta_gt_0 inmult_is_infinity zero_enat_def by fastforce

```

```

lemma wt_arg_le: wt (arg s)  $\leq$  wt s
by (cases s) auto

```

```

lemma wt_ge_epsilon: wt s  $\geq$   $\epsilon$ 
by (induct s, metis exists_wt_sym of_nat_eq_enat le_diff_conv of_nat_id wt_sym_ge,
  simp add: add_increasing)

```

```

lemma wt_ge_delta: wt s  $\geq$   $\delta$ 
by (meson delta_le_epsilon order.trans enat_ord_simps(1) wt_ge_epsilon)

```

```

lemma wt_gt_delta_if_superunary: arity_hd (head s)  $> 1 \implies$  wt s  $> \delta$ 

```

```

proof (induct s)

```

```

  case  $\zeta$ : (Hd  $\zeta$ )

```

```

  obtain g where

```

```

    g_in_grs:  $g \in$  ground_heads  $\zeta$  and

```

```

    wt_zeta: wt (Hd  $\zeta$ ) = wt_sym g + the_enat ( $\delta *$  arity_sym g)

```

```

    using exists_wt_sym by blast

```

```

  have arity_hd  $\zeta > 1$ 

```

```

    using  $\zeta$  by auto

```

```

  hence ary_g: arity_sym g  $> 1$ 

```

```

    using ground_heads_arity[OF g_in_grs] by simp

```

```

  show ?case

```

```

  proof (cases  $\delta = 0$ )

```

```

    case True

```

```

    thus ?thesis

```

```

      by (metis epsilon_gt_0 grOI leD wt_ge_epsilon)

```

```

  next

```

```

    case  $\delta_{ne_0}$ : False

```

```

    hence ary_g_ninf: arity_sym g  $\neq \infty$ 

```

```

      using arity_sym_ne_infinity_if_delta_gt_0 by blast

```

```

    hence  $\delta <$  the_enat (enat  $\delta *$  arity_sym g)

```

```

      using  $\delta_{ne_0}$  ary_g by (cases arity_sym g) (auto simp: one_enat_def)

```

```

    thus ?thesis

```

```

      unfolding wt_zeta by simp

```

```

  qed

```

```

next
  case (App s t)
  thus ?case
    using wt_ge_δ[of t] by force
qed

lemma wt_App_δ: wt (App s t) = wt t  $\implies$  wt s = δ
  by (simp add: order.antisym wt_ge_δ)

lemma wt_App_ge_fun: wt (App s t)  $\geq$  wt s
  by (metis diff_le_mono2 wt_ge_δ le_diff_conv wt.simps(2))

lemma wt_hd_le: wt (Hd (head s))  $\leq$  wt s
  by (induct s, simp) (metis head_App leD le_less_trans not_le_imp_less wt_App_ge_fun)

lemma wt_δ_imp_δ_eq_ε: wt s = δ  $\implies$  δ = ε
  by (metis δ_le_ε le_antisym wt_ge_ε)

lemma wt_ge_arity_head_if_δ_gt_0:
  assumes δ_gt_0: δ > 0
  shows wt s  $\geq$  arity_hd (head s)
proof (induct s)
  case (Hd ζ)

  obtain f where
    f_in_ζ: f  $\in$  ground_heads ζ and
    wt_ζ: wt (Hd ζ) = wt_sym f + the_enat (δ * arity_sym f)
    using exists_wt_sym by blast

  have arity_sym f  $\geq$  arity_hd ζ
    by (rule ground_heads_arity[OF f_in_ζ])
  hence the_enat (δ * arity_sym f)  $\geq$  arity_hd ζ
    using δ_gt_0
    by (metis One_nat_def Suc_ile_eq dual_order.trans enat_ord_simps(2)
      enat_the_enat_δ_times_arity_sym i0_lb mult commute mult.right_neutral mult_left_mono
      one_enat_def)
  thus ?case
    unfolding wt_ζ by (metis add.left_neutral add_mono le_iff_add plus_enat_simps(1) tm.sel(1))
next
  case App
  thus ?case
    by (metis dual_order.trans enat_ord_simps(1) head_App wt_App_ge_fun)
qed

lemma wt_ge_num_args_if_δ_eq_0:
  assumes δ_eq_0: δ = 0
  shows wt s  $\geq$  num_args s
  by (induct s, simp_all,
    metis (no_types) δ_eq_0 ε_gt_0 wt_δ_imp_δ_eq_ε add_le_same_cancel1 le_0_eq le_trans
    minus_nat.diff_0 not_gr_zero not_less_eq_eq)

lemma wt_ge_num_args: wary s  $\implies$  wt s  $\geq$  num_args s
  using wt_ge_arity_head_if_δ_gt_0 wt_ge_num_args_if_δ_eq_0
  by (meson order.trans enat_ord_simps(1) neq0_conv wary_num_args_le_arity_head)

```

4.3 Inductive Definitions

```

inductive gt :: ('s, 'v) tm  $\Rightarrow$  ('s, 'v) tm  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix >t 50) where
  | gt_wt: vars_mset t  $\supseteq$  vars_mset s  $\implies$  wt t > wt s  $\implies$  t >t s
  | gt_unary: wt t = wt s  $\implies$   $\neg$  head t  $\leq$ hd head s  $\implies$  num_args t = 1  $\implies$ 
    ( $\exists$  f  $\in$  ground_heads (head t). arity_sym f = 1  $\wedge$  wt_sym f = 0)  $\implies$  arg t >t s  $\vee$  arg t = s  $\implies$ 
    t >t s
  | gt_diff: vars_mset t  $\supseteq$  vars_mset s  $\implies$  wt t = wt s  $\implies$  head t >hd head s  $\implies$  t >t s
  | gt_same: vars_mset t  $\supseteq$  vars_mset s  $\implies$  wt t = wt s  $\implies$  head t = head s  $\implies$ 

```

$(\forall f \in \text{ground_heads } (\text{head } t). \text{extf } f \text{ (op } >_t) \text{ (args } t) \text{ (args } s)) \implies t >_t s$

abbreviation $ge :: ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** \geq_t 50) **where**
 $t \geq_t s \equiv t >_t s \vee t = s$

inductive $gt_wt :: ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $gt_wtI: \text{vars_mset } t \supseteq \# \text{vars_mset } s \implies \text{wt } t > \text{wt } s \implies gt_wt \ t \ s$

inductive $gt_diff :: ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $gt_diffI: \text{vars_mset } t \supseteq \# \text{vars_mset } s \implies \text{wt } t = \text{wt } s \implies \text{head } t >_{hd} \text{head } s \implies gt_diff \ t \ s$

inductive $gt_unary :: ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $gt_unaryI: \text{wt } t = \text{wt } s \implies \neg \text{head } t \leq_{hd} \text{head } s \implies \text{num_args } t = 1 \implies$
 $(\exists f \in \text{ground_heads } (\text{head } t). \text{arity_sym } f = 1 \wedge \text{wt_sym } f = 0) \implies \text{arg } t \geq_t s \implies gt_unary \ t \ s$

inductive $gt_same :: ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $gt_sameI: \text{vars_mset } t \supseteq \# \text{vars_mset } s \implies \text{wt } t = \text{wt } s \implies \text{head } t = \text{head } s \implies$
 $(\forall f \in \text{ground_heads } (\text{head } t). \text{extf } f \text{ (op } >_t) \text{ (args } t) \text{ (args } s)) \implies gt_same \ t \ s$

lemma $gt_iff_wt_unary_diff_same: t >_t s \iff gt_wt \ t \ s \vee gt_unary \ t \ s \vee gt_diff \ t \ s \vee gt_same \ t \ s$
by ($\text{subst } gt.\text{simps}$) ($\text{auto simp: } gt_wt.\text{simps } gt_unary.\text{simps } gt_diff.\text{simps } gt_same.\text{simps}$)

lemma $gt_imp_vars_mset: t >_t s \implies \text{vars_mset } t \supseteq \# \text{vars_mset } s$
by ($\text{induct rule: } gt.\text{induct}$) ($\text{auto intro: subset_mset.order.trans}$)

lemma $gt_imp_vars: t >_t s \implies \text{vars } t \supseteq \text{vars } s$
using $\text{set_mset_mono}[OF \ gt_imp_vars_mset]$ **by** simp

4.4 Irreflexivity

theorem $gt_irrefl: \text{wary } s \implies \neg s >_t s$
proof ($\text{induct size } s \text{ arbitrary: } s \text{ rule: less_induct}$)
case less
note $ih = \text{this}(1)$ **and** $\text{wary_s} = \text{this}(2)$

show $?case$
proof
assume $s_gt_s: s >_t s$
show False
using s_gt_s
proof ($\text{cases rule: } gt.\text{cases}$)
case gt_same
then obtain f **where** $f: \text{extf } f \text{ (op } >_t) \text{ (args } s) \text{ (args } s)$
by fastforce
thus False
using $\text{wary_s } ih$ **by** ($\text{metis wary_args extf_irrefl size_in_args}$)
qed ($\text{auto simp: comp_hd_def } gt_hd_irrefl$)
qed
qed

4.5 Transitivity

lemma $gt_imp_wt_ge: t >_t s \implies \text{wt } t \geq \text{wt } s$
by ($\text{induct rule: } gt.\text{induct}$) auto

lemma $\text{not_extf_gt_nil_singleton_if_}\delta_eq_e: \text{assumes } \text{wary_s}: \text{wary } s \text{ and } \delta_eq_e: \delta = e$
shows $\neg \text{extf } f \text{ (op } >_t) [] [s]$

proof
assume $\text{nil_gt_s}: \text{extf } f \text{ (op } >_t) [] [s]$
note $s_gt_nil = \text{extf_singleton_nil_if_}\delta_eq_e[OF \ \delta_eq_e, \text{of } f \text{ } gt \ s]$
have $\neg \text{extf } f \text{ (op } >_t) [] []$
by (rule extf_irrefl) simp
moreover have $\text{extf } f \text{ (op } >_t) [] []$

```

    using extf_trans_from_irrefl[of {s}, OF _ _ _ _ _ nil_gt_s s_gt_nil] gt_irrefl[OF wary_s]
    by fastforce
ultimately show False
  by sat
qed

```

```

lemma gt_sub_arg: wary (App s t)  $\implies$  App s t  $>_t$  t
proof (induct t arbitrary: s rule: measure_induct_rule[of size])

```

```

  case (less t)
  note ih = this(1) and wary_st = this(2)

```

```

{
  assume wt_st: wt (App s t) = wt t
  hence  $\delta_{eq} \varepsilon: \delta = \varepsilon$ 
    using wt_App_ $\delta$  wt_ $\delta$ _imp_ $\delta_{eq} \varepsilon$  by metis
  hence  $\delta_{gt} 0: \delta > 0$ 
    using  $\varepsilon_{gt} 0$  by simp

```

```

  have wt_s: wt s =  $\delta$ 
    by (rule wt_App_ $\delta$ [OF wt_st])

```

```

  have
    wary_t: wary t and
    nargs_lt: num_args s < arity_hd (head s)
    using wary_st wary.simps by blast+

```

```

  have ary_hd_s: arity_hd (head s) = 1
    by (metis One_nat_def arity.wary_AppE dual_order.order_iff_strict eSuc_enat enat_defs(1)
      enat_defs(2) ileI1 linorder_not_le not_iless0 wary_st wt_gt_ $\delta$ _if_superunary wt_s)

```

```

  hence nargs_s: num_args s = 0
    by (metis enat_ord_simps(2) less_one nargs_lt one_enat_def)

```

```

  have s_eq_hd: s = Hd (head s)
    by (simp add: Hd_head_id nargs_s)

```

```

  then obtain f where
    f_in: f  $\in$  ground_heads (head s) and
    wt_f_etc: wt_sym f + the_enat ( $\delta * \text{arity\_sym } f$ ) =  $\delta$ 
    using exists_wt_sym wt_s by fastforce

```

```

  have ary_f_1: arity_sym f = 1

```

```

  proof -
    have ary_f_ge_1: arity_sym f  $\geq$  1
      using ary_hd_s f_in ground_heads_arity by fastforce
    hence enat  $\delta * \text{arity\_sym } f = \delta$ 
      using wt_f_etc by (metis enat_ord_simps(1) enat_the_enat_ $\delta$ _times_arity_sym le_add2
        le_antisym mult.right_neutral mult_left_mono zero_le)
    thus ?thesis
      using  $\delta_{gt} 0$  by (cases arity_sym f) (auto simp: one_enat_def)
  qed

```

```

  hence wt_f_0: wt_sym f = 0
    using wt_f_etc by simp

```

```

{
  assume hd_s_ncmp_t:  $\neg$  head s  $\leq_{hd}$  head t
  have ?case
    by (rule gt_unary[OF wt_st]) (auto simp: hd_s_ncmp_t nargs_s intro: f_in ary_f_1 wt_f_0)
}

```

```

moreover

```

```

{
  assume hd_s_gt_t: head s  $>_{hd}$  head t
  have ?case
    by (rule gt_diff) (auto simp: hd_s_gt_t wt_s [folded  $\delta_{eq} \varepsilon$ ])
}

```

```

moreover

```



```

{
  assume head t >hd head s
  hence False
  using ary_f_1 exists_wt_sym f in gt_hd_def gt_sym_antisym unary_wt_sym_0_gt wt_f_0 by blast
}
moreover
{
  assume hd_t_eq_s: head t = head s
  hence nargs_t_le: num_args t ≤ 1
  using ary_hd_s wary_num_args_le_arity_head[OF wary_t] by (simp add: one_enat_def)

  have extf: extf f op >t [t] (args t) for f
  proof (cases args t)
  case Nil
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: extf_singleton_nil_if_δ_eq_ε[OF δ_eq_ε])
  next
  case args_t: (Cons ta ts)
  hence ts: ts = []
    using ary_hd_s[folded hd_t_eq_s] wary_num_args_le_arity_head[OF wary_t]
    nargs_t_le by simp
  have ta: ta = arg t
    by (metis apps.simps(1) apps.simps(2) args_t tm.sel(6) tm_collapse_apps ts)
  hence t: t = App (fun t) ta
    by (metis args.simps(1) args_t not_Cons_self2 tm.exhaust_sel ts)
  have t >t ta
    by (rule ih[of ta fun t, folded t, OF _ wary_t]) (metis ta size_arg_lt t tm.disc(2))
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding args_t ts by (metis extf_singleton_gt_irrefl wary_t)
  qed
  have ?case
    by (rule gt_same)
    (auto simp: hd_t_eq_s wt_s[folded δ_eq_ε] length_0_conv[THEN iffD1, OF nargs_s] extf)
}
ultimately have ?case
  unfolding comp_hd_def by metis
}
thus ?case
  using gt_wt by fastforce
qed

```

lemma $gt_arg: wary\ s \implies is_App\ s \implies s >_t arg\ s$
 by (cases s) (auto intro: gt_sub_arg)

theorem $gt_trans: wary\ u \implies wary\ t \implies wary\ s \implies u >_t t \implies t >_t s \implies u >_t s$

proof (simp only: atomize_imp,
 rule measure_induct_rule[of $\lambda(u, t, s). \{\#size\ u, size\ t, size\ s\}$
 $\lambda(u, t, s). wary\ u \longrightarrow wary\ t \longrightarrow wary\ s \longrightarrow u >_t t \longrightarrow t >_t s \longrightarrow u >_t s$ (u, t, s),
 simplified prod.case],
 simp only: split_paired_all prod.case atomize_imp[symmetric])

fix u t s

assume

ih: $\bigwedge ua\ ta\ sa. \{\#size\ ua, size\ ta, size\ sa\} < \{\#size\ u, size\ t, size\ s\} \implies$
 $wary\ ua \implies wary\ ta \implies wary\ sa \implies ua >_t ta \implies ta >_t sa \implies ua >_t sa$ **and**
 $wary_u: wary\ u$ **and** $wary_t: wary\ t$ **and** $wary_s: wary\ s$ **and**
 $u_gt_t: u >_t t$ **and** $t_gt_s: t >_t s$

have $vars_mset\ u \supseteq \# vars_mset\ t$ **and** $vars_mset\ t \supseteq \# vars_mset\ s$

using $u_gt_t\ t_gt_s$ **by** (auto simp: gt_imp_vars_mset)

hence $vars_u_s: vars_mset\ u \supseteq \# vars_mset\ s$

by auto

have $wt_u_ge_t: wt\ u \geq wt\ t$ **and** $wt_t_ge_s: wt\ t \geq wt\ s$

```

using gt_imp_wt_ge u_gt_t t_gt_s by auto

{
  assume wt_t_s: wt t = wt s and wt_u_t: wt u = wt t
  hence wt_u_s: wt u = wt s
    by simp

  have wary_arg_u: wary (arg u)
    by (rule wary_arg[OF wary_u])
  have wary_arg_t: wary (arg t)
    by (rule wary_arg[OF wary_t])
  have wary_arg_s: wary (arg s)
    by (rule wary_arg[OF wary_s])

  have u >_t s
    using t_gt_s
  proof cases
    case gt_unary_t_s: gt_unary

    have t_app: is_App t
      by (metis args_Nil_iff_is_Hd gt_unary_t_s(3) length_greater_0_conv less_numeral_extra(1))

    have δ_eq_ε: δ = ε
      using gt_unary_t_s(4) unary_wt_sym_0_imp_δ_eq_ε by blast

    show ?thesis
      using u_gt_t
    proof cases
      case gt_unary_u_t: gt_unary
        have u_app: is_App u
          by (metis args_Nil_iff_is_Hd gt_unary_u_t(3) length_greater_0_conv less_numeral_extra(1))
        hence arg_u_gt_s: arg u >_t s
          using ih[of arg u t s] gt_unary_u_t(5) t_gt_s size_arg_lt wary_arg_u wary_s wary_t
          by force
        hence arg_u_ge_s: arg u ≥_t s
          by sat

        {
          assume size (arg u) < size t
          hence ?thesis
            using ih[of u arg u s] arg_u_gt_s gt_arg by (simp add: u_app wary_arg_u wary_s wary_u)
        }
      moreover
      {
        assume size (arg t) < size s
        hence u >_t arg t
          using ih[of u t arg t] args_Nil_iff_is_Hd gt_arg gt_unary_t_s(3) u_gt_t wary_t wary_u
          by force
        hence ?thesis
          using ih[of u arg t s] args_Nil_iff_is_Hd gt_unary_t_s(3,5) size_arg_lt wary_arg_t
            wary_s wary_u by force
      }
    }
  moreover
  {
    assume sz_u_gt_t: size u > size t and sz_t_gt_s: size t > size s

    have wt_fun_u: wt (fun u) = δ
      by (metis antisym gt_imp_wt_ge gt_unary_u_t(5) tm.collapse(2) u_app wt_App_δ wt_arg_le
        wt_t_s wt_u_s)

    have nargs_fun_u: num_args (fun u) = 0
      by (metis args.simps(1) gt_unary_u_t(3) list.size(3) one_arg_imp_Hd tm.collapse(2)
        u_app)
  }
}

```

```

{
assume  $hd\_u\_eq\_s: head\ u = head\ s$ 
hence  $ary\_hd\_s: arity\_hd\ (head\ s) = 1$ 
  using  $ground\_heads\_arity\ gt\_unary\_u\_t(3,4)\ hd\_u\_eq\_s\ one\_enat\_def$ 
   $wary\_num\_args\_le\_arity\_head\ wary\_u$  by fastforce

have  $extf: extf\ f\ op\ >_t\ (args\ u)\ (args\ s)$  for  $f$ 
proof (cases  $args\ s$ )
  case Nil
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis  $Hd\_head\_id\ \delta\_eq\_e\ append\_Nil\ args.simps(2)\ extf\_singleton\_nil\_if\_delta\_eq\_e$ 
       $gt\_unary\_u\_t(3)\ head\_fun\ length\_greater\_0\_conv\ less\_irrefl\_nat\ nargs\_fun\_u$ 
       $tm.exhaust\_sel\ zero\_neq\_one$ )
next
case  $args\_s: (Cons\ sa\ ss)$ 
hence  $ss: ss = []$ 
  by (cases  $s$ , simp, metis  $One\_nat\_def\ antisym\_conv\ ary\_hd\_s\ diff\_Suc\_1$ 
     $enat\_ord\_simps(1)\ le\_add2\ length\_0\_conv\ length\_Cons\ list.size(4)\ one\_enat\_def$ 
     $wary\_num\_args\_le\_arity\_head\ wary\_s$ )
have  $sa: sa = arg\ s$ 
  by (metis  $apps.simps(1)\ apps.simps(2)\ args\_s\ tm.sel(6)\ tm.collapse\_apps\ ss$ )

have  $s\_app: is\_App\ s$ 
  using  $args\_Nil\_iff\_is\_Hd\ args\_s$  by force
have  $args\_u: args\ u = [arg\ u]$ 
  by (metis  $append\_Nil\ args.simps(2)\ args\_Nil\_iff\_is\_Hd\ gt\_unary\_u\_t(3)\ length\_0\_conv$ 
     $nargs\_fun\_u\ tm.collapse(2)\ zero\_neq\_one$ )

have  $max\_sz\_u\_t\_s: Max\ \{size\ s,\ size\ t,\ size\ u\} = size\ u$ 
  using  $sz\_t\_gt\_s\ sz\_u\_gt\_t$  by auto

have  $max\_sz\_arg\_u\_t\_arg\_t: Max\ \{size\ (arg\ t),\ size\ t,\ size\ (arg\ u)\} < size\ u$ 
  using  $size\_arg\_lt\ sz\_u\_gt\_t\ t\_app\ u\_app$  by fastforce

have  $\{\#size\ (arg\ u),\ size\ t,\ size\ (arg\ t)\#\} < \{\#size\ u,\ size\ t,\ size\ s\#\}$ 
  using  $max\_sz\_arg\_u\_t\_arg\_t$ 
  by (simp  $add: Max\_lt\_imp\_lt\ mset\ insert\_commute\ max\_sz\_u\_t\_s$ )
hence  $arg\_u\_gt\_arg\_t: arg\ u >_t\ arg\ t$ 
  using  $ih[OF\_wary\_arg\_u\ wary\_t\ wary\_arg\_t]\ args\_Nil\_iff\_is\_Hd\ gt\_arg$ 
   $gt\_unary\_t\_s(3)\ gt\_unary\_u\_t(5)\ wary\_t$  by force

have  $max\_sz\_arg\_s\_s\_arg\_t: Max\ \{size\ (arg\ s),\ size\ s,\ size\ (arg\ t)\} < size\ u$ 
  using  $s\_app\ t\_app\ size\_arg\_lt\ sz\_t\_gt\_s\ sz\_u\_gt\_t$  by force

have  $\{\#size\ (arg\ t),\ size\ s,\ size\ (arg\ s)\#\} < \{\#size\ u,\ size\ t,\ size\ s\#\}$ 
  by (meson  $add\_mset\_lt\_lt\_lt\ less\_trans\ mset\_lt\_single\_iff\ s\_app\ size\_arg\_lt$ 
     $sz\_t\_gt\_s\ sz\_u\_gt\_t\ t\_app$ )
hence  $arg\_t\_gt\_arg\_s: arg\ t >_t\ arg\ s$ 
  using  $ih[OF\_wary\_arg\_t\ wary\_s\ wary\_arg\_s]$ 
   $gt\_unary\_t\_s(5)\ gt\_arg\ args\_Nil\_iff\_is\_Hd\ args\_s\ wary\_s$  by force

have  $arg\ u >_t\ arg\ s$ 
  using  $ih[of\ arg\ u\ arg\ t\ arg\ s]\ arg\_u\_gt\_arg\_t\ arg\_t\_gt\_arg\_s$ 
  by (simp  $add: add\_mset\_lt\_le\_lt\ less\_imp\_le\_nat\ s\_app\ size\_arg\_lt\ t\_app\ u\_app$ 
     $wary\_arg\_s\ wary\_arg\_t\ wary\_arg\_u$ )
  thus ?thesis
  unfolding  $args\_u\ args\_s\ ss\ sa$  by (metis  $extf\_singleton\ gt\_irrefl\ wary\_arg\_u$ )
qed

have ?thesis
  by (rule  $gt\_same[OF\ vars\_u\_s\ wt\_u\_s\ hd\_u\_eq\_s]$ ) (simp  $add: extf$ )
}

```

```

moreover
{
  assume  $head\ u >_{hd}\ head\ s$ 
  hence ?thesis
  by (rule gt_diff[OF vars_u_s wt_u_s])
}
moreover
{
  assume  $head\ s >_{hd}\ head\ u$ 
  hence False
  using gt_hd_def gt_hd_irrefl gt_sym_antisym gt_unary_u_t(4) unary_wt_sym_0_gt by blast
}
moreover
{
  assume  $\neg\ head\ u \leq_{hd}\ head\ s$ 
  hence ?thesis
  by (rule gt_unary[OF wt_u_s gt_unary_u_t(3,4) arg_u_ge_s])
}
ultimately have ?thesis
unfolding comp_hd_def by sat
}
ultimately show ?thesis
by (metis args_Nil_iff_is_Hd dual_order.strict_trans2 gt_unary_t_s(3) gt_unary_u_t(3)
length_0_conv not_le_imp_less size_arg_lt zero_neq_one)
next
case gt_diff_u_t: gt_diff
have False
using gt_diff_u_t(3) gt_hd_def gt_hd_irrefl gt_sym_antisym gt_unary_t_s(4)
unary_wt_sym_0_gt by blast
thus ?thesis
by sat
next
case gt_same_u_t: gt_same

have  $hd\_u\_ncomp\_s: \neg\ head\ u \leq_{hd}\ head\ s$ 
by (rule gt_unary_t_s(2)[folded gt_same_u_t(3)])

have  $num\_args\ u \leq 1$ 
by (metis enat_ord_simps(1) ground_heads_arity gt_same_u_t(3) gt_unary_t_s(4) one_enat_def
order_trans wary_num_args_le_arity_head wary_u)
hence  $nargs\_u: num\_args\ u = 1$ 
by (cases args u,
metis Hd_head_id  $\delta_{eq}\ \varepsilon$  append_Nil args_simps(2) gt_same_u_t(3,4) gt_unary_t_s(3,4)
head_fun list.size(3) not_extf_gt_nil_singleton_if_delta_eq_epsilon one_arg_imp_Hd
tm.collapse(2)[OF t_app] wary_arg_t,
simp)

have  $arg\ u >_t\ arg\ t$ 
by (metis extf_singleton[THEN iffD1] append_Nil args_simps args_Nil_iff_is_Hd
comp_hd_def gt_hd_def gt_irrefl gt_same_u_t(3,4) gt_unary_t_s(2,3) head_fun
length_0_conv nargs_u one_arg_imp_Hd t_app tm.collapse(2) u_gt_t wary_u)
hence  $arg\ u >_t\ s$ 
using ih[OF wary_arg_u wary_arg_t wary_s] gt_unary_t_s(5)
by (metis add_mset_lt_left add_mset_lt_lt args_Nil_iff_is_Hd list.size(3) nargs_u
size_arg_lt t_app zero_neq_one)
hence  $arg\_u\_ge\_s: arg\ u \geq_t\ s$ 
by sat
show ?thesis
by (rule gt_unary[OF wt_u_s hd_u_ncomp_s nargs_u arg_u_ge_s]
(simp add: gt_same_u_t(3) gt_unary_t_s(4)))
qed (simp add: wt_u_t)
next
case gt_diff_t_s: gt_diff

```

```

show ?thesis
  using u_gt_t
proof cases
case gt_unary_u_t: gt_unary
have is_App u
  by (metis args_Nil_iff_is_Hd gt_unary_u_t(3) length_greater_0_conv less_numeral_extra(1))
hence arg u >_t s
  using ih[of arg u t s] gt_unary_u_t(5) t_gt_s size_arg_lt wary_arg_u wary_s wary_t
  by force
hence arg_u_ge_s: arg u ≥_t s
  by sat

{
  assume head u = head s
  hence False
    using gt_diff_t_s(3) gt_unary_u_t(2) unfolding comp_hd_def by force
}
moreover
{
  assume head s >_hd head u
  hence False
    using gt_hd_def gt_hd_irrefl gt_sym_antisym gt_unary_u_t(4) unary_wt_sym_0_gt by blast
}
moreover
{
  assume head u >_hd head s
  hence ?thesis
    by (rule gt_diff[OF vars_u_s wt_u_s])
}
moreover
{
  assume ¬ head u ≤_hd head s
  hence ?thesis
    by (rule gt_unary[OF wt_u_s _ gt_unary_u_t(3,4) arg_u_ge_s])
}
ultimately show ?thesis
  unfolding comp_hd_def by sat
next
case gt_diff_u_t: gt_diff
have head u >_hd head s
  using gt_diff_u_t(3) gt_diff_t_s(3) gt_hd_trans by blast
thus ?thesis
  by (rule gt_diff[OF vars_u_s wt_u_s])
next
case gt_same_u_t: gt_same
have head u >_hd head s
  using gt_diff_t_s(3) gt_same_u_t(3) by simp
thus ?thesis
  by (rule gt_diff[OF vars_u_s wt_u_s])
qed (simp add: wt_u_t)
next
case gt_same_t_s: gt_same
show ?thesis
  using u_gt_t
proof cases
case gt_unary_u_t: gt_unary
have is_App u
  by (metis args_Nil_iff_is_Hd gt_unary_u_t(3) length_greater_0_conv less_numeral_extra(1))
hence arg u >_t s
  using ih[of arg u t s] gt_unary_u_t(5) t_gt_s size_arg_lt wary_arg_u wary_s wary_t
  by force
hence arg_u_ge_s: arg u ≥_t s
  by sat

```

```

have  $\neg \text{head } u \leq_{hd} \text{head } s$ 
  using  $\text{gt\_same\_t\_s}(3) \text{gt\_unary\_u\_t}(2)$  by simp
thus ?thesis
  by (rule  $\text{gt\_unary}[OF \text{wt\_u\_s} \text{gt\_unary\_u\_t}(3,4) \text{arg\_u\_ge\_s}]$ )
next
case  $\text{gt\_diff\_u\_t}: \text{gt\_diff}$ 
have  $\text{head } u >_{hd} \text{head } s$ 
  using  $\text{gt\_diff\_u\_t}(3) \text{gt\_same\_t\_s}(3)$  by simp
thus ?thesis
  by (rule  $\text{gt\_diff}[OF \text{vars\_u\_s} \text{wt\_u\_s}]$ )
next
case  $\text{gt\_same\_u\_t}: \text{gt\_same}$ 
have  $\text{hd\_u\_s}: \text{head } u = \text{head } s$ 
  by (simp only:  $\text{gt\_same\_t\_s}(3) \text{gt\_same\_u\_t}(3)$ )

let  $?S = \text{set } (\text{args } u) \cup \text{set } (\text{args } t) \cup \text{set } (\text{args } s)$ 

have  $\text{gt\_trans\_args}: \forall ua \in ?S. \forall ta \in ?S. \forall sa \in ?S. ua >_t ta \longrightarrow ta >_t sa \longrightarrow ua >_t sa$ 
proof clarify
  fix  $sa \ ta \ ua$ 
  assume
     $ua\_in: ua \in ?S$  and  $ta\_in: ta \in ?S$  and  $sa\_in: sa \in ?S$  and
     $ua\_gt\_ta: ua >_t ta$  and  $ta\_gt\_sa: ta >_t sa$ 
  have  $\text{wary\_sa}: \text{wary } sa$  and  $\text{wary\_ta}: \text{wary } ta$  and  $\text{wary\_ua}: \text{wary } ua$ 
  using  $\text{wary\_args } ua\_in \ ta\_in \ sa\_in \ \text{wary\_u} \ \text{wary\_t} \ \text{wary\_s}$  by blast+
  show  $ua >_t sa$ 
  by (auto intro!:  $\text{ih}[OF \text{Max\_lt\_imp\_lt\_mset } \text{wary\_ua} \ \text{wary\_ta} \ \text{wary\_sa} \ \text{ua\_gt\_ta} \ \text{ta\_gt\_sa}]$ 
    ( $\text{meson } ua\_in \ ta\_in \ sa\_in \ \text{Un\_iff } \text{max.strict\_coboundedI1} \ \text{max.strict\_coboundedI2}$ 
       $\text{size\_in\_args}$ )+)
qed
have  $\forall f \in \text{ground\_heads } (\text{head } u). \text{extf } f \ (op >_t) \ (\text{args } u) \ (\text{args } s)$ 
  by (clarify, rule  $\text{extf\_trans\_from\_irrefl}[of ?S \ \text{args } t, OF \ \_\ \_\ \_\ \_\ \text{gt\_trans\_args}]$ 
    ( $\text{auto simp: } \text{gt\_same\_u\_t}(3,4) \ \text{gt\_same\_t\_s}(4) \ \text{wary\_args} \ \text{wary\_u} \ \text{wary\_t} \ \text{wary\_s} \ \text{gt\_irrefl}$ )
  thus ?thesis
  by (rule  $\text{gt\_same}[OF \ \text{vars\_u\_s} \ \text{wt\_u\_s} \ \text{hd\_u\_s}]$ )
qed (simp add:  $\text{wt\_u\_t}$ )
qed (simp add:  $\text{wt\_t\_s}$ )
}
thus  $u >_t s$ 
  using  $\text{vars\_u\_s} \ \text{wt\_t\_ge\_s} \ \text{wt\_u\_ge\_t}$  by (force intro:  $\text{gt\_wt}$ )
qed

```

```

lemma  $\text{gt\_antisym}: \text{wary } s \implies \text{wary } t \implies t >_t s \implies \neg s >_t t$ 
  using  $\text{gt\_irrefl} \ \text{gt\_trans}$  by blast

```

4.6 Subterm Property

```

lemma  $\text{gt\_sub\_fun}: \text{App } s \ t >_t s$ 

```

```

proof (cases  $\text{wt } (\text{App } s \ t) > \text{wt } s$ )

```

```

  case True

```

```

  thus ?thesis

```

```

    using  $\text{gt\_wt}$  by simp

```

```

next

```

```

  case False

```

```

  hence  $\text{wt\_st}: \text{wt } (\text{App } s \ t) = \text{wt } s$ 

```

```

    by ( $\text{meson } \text{order.antisym} \ \text{not\_le\_imp\_less} \ \text{wt\_App\_ge\_fun}$ )

```

```

  hence  $\delta\_eq \ \varepsilon: \delta = \varepsilon$ 

```

```

    by ( $\text{metis } \text{add\_diff\_cancel\_left}' \ \text{diff\_diff\_cancel} \ \text{wt\_}\delta \ \text{imp\_}\delta \ \text{eq\_}\varepsilon \ \text{wt\_ge\_}\delta \ \text{wt.simps}(2)$ )

```

```

  have  $\text{vars\_st}: \text{vars\_mset } (\text{App } s \ t) \supseteq \# \ \text{vars\_mset } s$ 

```

```

    by auto

```

```

  have  $\text{hd\_st}: \text{head } (\text{App } s \ t) = \text{head } s$ 

```

```

    by auto

```

```

have extf:  $\forall f \in \text{ground\_heads} (\text{head} (\text{App } s \ t)). \text{extf } f \ (op \ >_t) \ (args \ (\text{App } s \ t)) \ (args \ s)$ 
  by (simp add:  $\delta\_eq\_e \ \text{extf\_snoc\_if\_}\delta\_eq\_e$ )
show ?thesis
  by (rule gt_same[OF vars_st wt_st hd_st extf])
qed

```

```

theorem gt_proper_sub:  $wary \ t \implies \text{proper\_sub } s \ t \implies t \ >_t \ s$ 
  by (induct t) (auto intro: gt_sub_fun gt_sub_arg gt_trans sub.intros wary_sub)

```

4.7 Compatibility with Functions

```

theorem gt_compat_fun:

```

```

  assumes

```

```

    wary_t:  $wary \ t$  and

```

```

    t'_gt_t:  $t' \ >_t \ t$ 

```

```

  shows  $\text{App } s \ t' \ >_t \ \text{App } s \ t$ 

```

```

proof -

```

```

  have vars_st':  $\text{vars\_mset} (\text{App } s \ t') \supseteq \# \ \text{vars\_mset} (\text{App } s \ t)$ 

```

```

  by (simp add: t'_gt_t gt_imp_vars_mset)

```

```

  show ?thesis

```

```

  proof (cases wt t' > wt t)

```

```

    case True

```

```

      hence wt_st':  $\text{wt} (\text{App } s \ t') \ > \ \text{wt} (\text{App } s \ t)$ 

```

```

      by (simp only: wt.simps)

```

```

      show ?thesis

```

```

      by (rule gt_wt[OF vars_st' wt_st'])

```

```

    next

```

```

      case False

```

```

      hence wt_t' = wt t

```

```

      using t'_gt_t gt_imp_wt_ge order.not_eq_order_implies_strict by fastforce

```

```

      hence wt_st':  $\text{wt} (\text{App } s \ t') = \text{wt} (\text{App } s \ t)$ 

```

```

      by (simp only: wt.simps)

```

```

      have head_st':  $\text{head} (\text{App } s \ t') = \text{head} (\text{App } s \ t)$ 

```

```

      by simp

```

```

      have extf:  $\bigwedge f. \text{extf } f \ (op \ >_t) \ (args \ s \ @ \ [t']) \ (args \ s \ @ \ [t])$ 

```

```

      using t'_gt_t by (metis extf_compat_list gt_irrefl[OF wary_t])

```

```

      show ?thesis

```

```

      by (rule gt_same[OF vars_st' wt_st' head_st']) (simp add: extf)

```

```

    qed

```

```

  qed

```

4.8 Compatibility with Arguments

```

theorem gt_compat_arg:

```

```

  assumes  $wary\_s't: \text{wary} (\text{App } s' \ t)$  and  $s'\_gt\_s: s' \ >_t \ s$ 

```

```

  shows  $\text{App } s' \ t \ >_t \ \text{App } s \ t$ 

```

```

proof -

```

```

  have vars_s't:  $\text{vars\_mset} (\text{App } s' \ t) \supseteq \# \ \text{vars\_mset} (\text{App } s \ t)$ 

```

```

  by (simp add: s'_gt_s gt_imp_vars_mset)

```

```

  show ?thesis

```

```

  using s'_gt_s

```

```

  proof cases

```

```

    case gt_wt_s'_s: gt_wt

```

```

      have wt (App s' t) > wt (App s t)

```

```

      by (simp add: wt_ge_delta) (metis add_diff_assoc add_less_cancel_right gt_wt_s'_s(2) wt_ge_delta)

```

```

      thus ?thesis

```

```

      by (rule gt_wt[OF vars_s't])

```

```

    next

```

```

      case gt_unary_s'_s: gt_unary

```

```

      have False

```

```

    by (metis ground_heads_arity gt_unary_s'_s(3) gt_unary_s'_s(4) leD one_enat_def wary_AppE
        wary_s't)
  thus ?thesis
    by sat
next
case _: gt_diff
thus ?thesis
  by (simp add: gt_diff)
next
case gt_same_s'_s: gt_same
have wt_s't: wt (App s' t) = wt (App s t)
  by (simp add: gt_same_s'_s(2))
have hd_s't: head (App s' t) = head (App s t)
  by (simp add: gt_same_s'_s(3))
have  $\forall f \in \text{ground\_heads} (\text{head } (App s' t)). \text{extf } f (op >_t) (\text{args } (App s' t)) (\text{args } (App s t))$ 
  using gt_same_s'_s(4) by (auto intro: extf_compat_append_right)
thus ?thesis
  by (rule gt_same[OF vars_s't wt_s't hd_s't])
qed
qed

```

4.9 Stability under Substitution

definition $\text{extra_wt} :: ('v \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) \text{tm}) \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) \text{tm} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
 $\text{extra_wt } \rho s = \text{sum_mset } \{\#wt(\rho x) - wt(Hd(Var x)). x \in \# \text{vars_mset } s\# \}$

lemma

$\text{extra_wt_Var[simp]}: \text{extra_wt } \rho (Hd(Var x)) = wt(\rho x) - wt(Hd(Var x))$ **and**
 $\text{extra_wt_Sym[simp]}: \text{extra_wt } \rho (Hd(Sym f)) = 0$ **and**
 $\text{extra_wt_App[simp]}: \text{extra_wt } \rho (App s t) = \text{extra_wt } \rho s + \text{extra_wt } \rho t$
unfolding extra_wt_def **by** simp+

lemma extra_wt_subteq :

assumes $\text{vars_s}: \text{vars_mset } t \supseteq \# \text{vars_mset } s$
shows $\text{extra_wt } \rho t \geq \text{extra_wt } \rho s$

proof ($\text{unfold extra_wt_def}$)

let $?diff = \lambda v. wt(\rho v) - wt(Hd(Var v))$

have $\text{vars_mset } s + (\text{vars_mset } t - \text{vars_mset } s) = \text{vars_mset } t$

using vars_s **by** ($\text{meson subset_mset.add_diff_inverse}$)

hence $\{\#?diff v. v \in \# \text{vars_mset } t\# \} =$

$\{\#?diff v. v \in \# \text{vars_mset } s\# \} + \{\#?diff v. v \in \# \text{vars_mset } t - \text{vars_mset } s\# \}$

by ($\text{metis image_mset_union}$)

thus $(\sum v \in \# \text{vars_mset } t. ?diff v) \geq (\sum v \in \# \text{vars_mset } s. ?diff v)$

by simp

qed

lemma wt_subst :

assumes $\text{wary_}\rho: \text{wary_subst } \rho$ **and** $\text{wary_}s: \text{wary } s$

shows $\text{wt}(\text{subst } \rho s) = \text{wt } s + \text{extra_wt } \rho s$

using $\text{wary_}s$

proof ($\text{induct } s \text{ rule: tm.induct}$)

case $\zeta: (Hd \zeta)$

show $?case$

proof ($\text{cases } \zeta$)

case $x: (Var x)$

let $?xi = \text{head } (\rho x)$

obtain g **where**

$g_in_grs_xi: g \in \text{ground_heads } ?xi$ **and**

$\text{wt_}xi: \text{wt}(Hd ?xi) = \text{wt_sym } g + \text{the_enat } (\delta * \text{arity_sym } g)$

using exists_wt_sym **by** blast

have $g \in \text{ground_heads } \zeta$


```

    using x g_in_grs_ξ wary_ρ wary_subst_def by auto
  hence wt_ρx_ge: wt (ρ x) ≥ wt (Hd ζ)
    by (metis (full_types) dual_order.trans wt_le_wt_sym wt_ξ wt_hd_le)
  thus ?thesis
    using x by (simp add: extra_wt_def)
qed auto
next
case (App s t)
note ih_s = this(1) and ih_t = this(2) and wary_st = this(3)
have wary_s
  using wary_st by (meson wary_AppE)
hence  $\wedge n. \text{extra\_wt } \rho s + (\text{wt } s - \delta + n) = \text{wt } (\text{subst } \rho s) - \delta + n$ 
  using ih_s by (metis (full_types) add_diff_assoc2 ab_semigroup_add_class.add_ac(1)
    add.left_commute wt_ge_δ)
hence  $\text{extra\_wt } \rho s + (\text{wt } s + \text{wt } t - \delta + \text{extra\_wt } \rho t) = \text{wt } (\text{subst } \rho s) + \text{wt } (\text{subst } \rho t) - \delta$ 
  using ih_t wary_st
  by (metis (no_types) add_diff_assoc2 ab_semigroup_add_class.add_ac(1) wary_AppE wt_ge_δ)
thus ?case
  by (simp add: wt_ge_δ)
qed

theorem gt_subst:
  assumes wary_ρ: wary_subst ρ
  shows wary_t  $\implies$  t >t s  $\implies$  subst ρ t >t subst ρ s
proof (simp only: atomize_imp,
  rule measure_induct_rule[of λ(t, s). {#size t, size s#}
    λ(t, s). wary t  $\longrightarrow$  wary s  $\longrightarrow$  t >t s  $\longrightarrow$  subst ρ t >t subst ρ s (t, s),
    simplified prod.case],
  simp only: split_paired_all prod.case atomize_imp[symmetric])
fix t s
assume
  ih:  $\wedge ta sa. \{ \# \text{size } ta, \text{size } sa \# \} < \{ \# \text{size } t, \text{size } s \# \} \implies \text{wary } ta \implies \text{wary } sa \implies ta >_t sa \implies$ 
    subst ρ ta >t subst ρ sa and
  wary_t: wary t and wary_s: wary s and t_gt_s: t >t s

show subst ρ t >t subst ρ s
proof (cases wt (subst ρ t) = wt (subst ρ s))
  case wt_ρt_ne_ρs: False

  have vars_s: vars_mset t  $\supseteq$  vars_mset s
    by (simp add: t_gt_s gt_imp_vars_mset)
  hence vars_ρs: vars_mset (subst ρ t)  $\supseteq$  vars_mset (subst ρ s)
    by (rule vars_mset_subst_subseteq)

  have wt_t_ge_s: wt t ≥ wt s
    by (simp add: gt_imp_wt_ge t_gt_s)

  have wt (subst ρ t) > wt (subst ρ s)
    using wt_ρt_ne_ρs unfolding wt_subst[OF wary_ρ wary_s] wt_subst[OF wary_ρ wary_t]
    by (metis add_le_cancel_left add_less_le_mono extra_wt_subseteq
      order.not_eq_order_implies_strict vars_s wt_t_ge_s)
  thus ?thesis
    by (rule gt_wt[OF vars_ρs])
next
case wt_ρt_eq_ρs: True
show ?thesis
  using t_gt_s
proof cases
  case gt_wt
  hence False
    using wt_ρt_eq_ρs wary_s wary_t
    by (metis add_diff_cancel_right' diff_le_mono2 extra_wt_subseteq wt_subst leD wary_ρ)
  thus ?thesis

```

```

    by sat
next
case gt_unary

have wary_ϱt: wary (subst ϱ t)
  by (simp add: wary_subst_wary wary_t wary_ϱ)

show ?thesis
proof (cases t)
  case Hd
  hence False
  using gt_unary(3) by simp
  thus ?thesis
  by sat
next
case t: (App t1 t2)
  hence t2: t2 = arg t
  by simp
  hence wary_t2: wary t2
  using wary_t by blast

show ?thesis
proof (cases t2 = s)
  case True
  moreover have subst ϱ t >_t subst ϱ t2
  using gt_sub_arg wary_ϱt unfolding t by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis
  by simp
next
case t2_ne_s: False
  hence t2_gt_s: t2 >_t s
  using gt_unary(5) t2 by blast

  have subst ϱ t2 >_t subst ϱ s
  by (rule ih[OF _ wary_t2 wary_s t2_gt_s]) (simp add: t)
  thus ?thesis
  by (metis gt_sub_arg gt_trans subst.simps(2) t wary_ϱ wary_ϱt wary_s wary_subst_wary
    wary_t2)
qed
qed
next
case _: gt_diff
  note vars_s = this(1) and hd_t_gt_hd_s = this(3)
  have vars_ϱs: vars_mset (subst ϱ t) ⊇# vars_mset (subst ϱ s)
  by (rule vars_mset_subst_subseteq[OF vars_s])

  have head (subst ϱ t) >_hd head (subst ϱ s)
  by (meson hd_t_gt_hd_s wary_subst_ground_heads gt_hd_def set_rev_mp wary_ϱ)
  thus ?thesis
  by (rule gt_diff[OF vars_ϱs wt_ϱt_eq_ϱs])
next
case _: gt_same
  note vars_s = this(1) and hd_s_eq_hd_t = this(3) and extf = this(4)

  have vars_ϱs: vars_mset (subst ϱ t) ⊇# vars_mset (subst ϱ s)
  by (rule vars_mset_subst_subseteq[OF vars_s])
  have hd_ϱt: head (subst ϱ t) = head (subst ϱ s)
  by (simp add: hd_s_eq_hd_t)

  {
  fix f
  assume f_in_grs: f ∈ ground_heads (head (subst ϱ t))

```

```

let ?S = set (args t) ∪ set (args s)

have extf_args_s_t: extf f (op >t) (args t) (args s)
  using extf_in_grs wary_subst_ground_heads wary_ρ by blast
have extf f (op >t) (map (subst ρ) (args t)) (map (subst ρ) (args s))
proof (rule extf_map[of ?S, OF _ _ _ _ _ extf_args_s_t])
  show ∀x ∈ ?S. ¬ subst ρ x >t subst ρ x
  using gt_irrefl wary_t wary_s wary_args wary_ρ wary_subst_wary by fastforce
next
  show ∀z ∈ ?S. ∀y ∈ ?S. ∀x ∈ ?S. subst ρ z >t subst ρ y → subst ρ y >t subst ρ x →
    subst ρ z >t subst ρ x
  using gt_trans wary_t wary_s wary_args wary_ρ wary_subst_wary by (metis Un_iff)
next
  have sz_a: ∀ta ∈ ?S. ∀sa ∈ ?S. {#size ta, size sa#} < {#size t, size s#}
  by (fastforce intro: Max_lt_imp_lt_mset dest: size_in_args)
  show ∀y ∈ ?S. ∀x ∈ ?S. y >t x → subst ρ y >t subst ρ x
  using ih sz_a size_in_args wary_t wary_s wary_args wary_ρ wary_subst_wary by fastforce
qed auto
hence extf f (op >t) (args (subst ρ t)) (args (subst ρ s))
  by (auto simp: hd_s_eq_hd_t intro: extf_compat_append_left)
}
hence ∀f ∈ ground_heads (head (subst ρ t)).
  extf f (op >t) (args (subst ρ t)) (args (subst ρ s))
  by blast
thus ?thesis
  by (rule gt_same[OF vars_ρs wt_ρt_eq_ρs hd_ρt])
qed
qed
qed

```

4.10 Totality on Ground Terms

```

theorem gt_total_ground:
  assumes
    extf_total:  $\bigwedge f. \text{ext\_total } (extf f)$  and
    gr_t: ground t and
    gr_s: ground s
  shows  $t >_t s \vee s >_t t \vee t = s$ 
  using gr_t gr_s
proof (induct t arbitrary: s rule: tm_induct_apps)
  case t: (apps ξ ts)
  note ih = this(1) and gr_t = this(2) and gr_s = this(3)

  let ?t = apps (Hd ξ) ts

  have
    vars_t: vars_mset ?t  $\supseteq$  vars_mset s and
    vars_s: vars_mset s  $\supseteq$  vars_mset ?t
  by (simp only: vars_mset_empty_iff[THEN iffD2, OF gr_s]
    vars_mset_empty_iff[THEN iffD2, OF gr_t])+

  show ?case
proof (cases wt ?t = wt s)
  case False
  moreover
  {
    assume wt ?t > wt s
    hence ?t >t s
    by (rule gt_wt[OF vars_t])
  }
  moreover
  {
    assume wt s > wt ?t
    hence s >t ?t
  }

```

```

    by (rule gt_wt[OF vars_s])
  }
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by linarith
next
case wt_t: True
note wt_s = wt_t[symmetric]

show ?thesis
proof (cases s rule: tm_exhaust_apps)
case s: (apps ζ ss)
  obtain g where ξ: ξ = Sym g
  by (metis ground_head[OF gr_t] hd.collapse(2) head_apps tm.sel(1))
  obtain f where ζ: ζ = Sym f
  using s by (metis ground_head[OF gr_s] hd.collapse(2) head_apps tm.sel(1))

  {
    assume g_gt_f: g >_s f
    have ?t >_t s
      by (rule gt_diff[OF vars_t wt_t]) (simp add: ξ ζ s g_gt_f gt_hd_def)
  }
  moreover
  {
    assume f_gt_g: f >_s g
    have s >_t ?t
      by (rule gt_diff[OF vars_s wt_s]) (simp add: ξ ζ s f_gt_g gt_hd_def)
  }
  moreover
  {
    assume g_eq_f: g = f
    hence hd_t: head ?t = head s
      using ξ ζ t s by force
    note hd_s = hd_t[symmetric]

    have gr_ts: ∀ t ∈ set ts. ground t
      using gr_t by auto
    have gr_ss: ∀ s ∈ set ss. ground s
      using gr_s s by auto

    have ?thesis
    proof (cases ts = ss)
    case ts_eq_ss: True
      show ?thesis
        using s ξ ζ g_eq_f ts_eq_ss by blast
    next
    case False
      hence extf_g (op >_t) ts ss ∨ extf_g (op >_t) ss ts
        using ih gr_ss gr_ts
          ext_total.total[OF extf_total, rule_format, of set ts set ss op >_t ts ss g]
          by blast
      moreover
      {
        assume extf: extf_g (op >_t) ts ss
        have ?t >_t s
          by (rule gt_same[OF vars_t wt_t hd_t]) (simp add: extf ξ s)
      }
      moreover
      {
        assume extf: extf_g (op >_t) ss ts
        have s >_t ?t
          by (rule gt_same[OF vars_s wt_s hd_s]) (simp add: extf[unfolded g_eq_f] ζ s)
      }
    }
    ultimately show ?thesis

```

```

      by sat
    qed
  }
  ultimately show ?thesis
  using gt_sym_total by blast
qed
qed
qed

```

4.11 Well-foundedness

abbreviation $gtw :: ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $>_{tw}$ 50) **where**
 $op >_{tw} \equiv \lambda t s. \text{wary } t \wedge \text{wary } s \wedge t >_t s$

abbreviation $gtwg :: ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $>_{twg}$ 50) **where**
 $op >_{twg} \equiv \lambda t s. \text{ground } t \wedge t >_{tw} s$

lemma ground_gt_unary :

assumes gr_t : $\text{ground } t$
shows $\neg \text{gt_unary } t s$

proof

assume $gt_unary_t_s$: $\text{gt_unary } t s$
hence $t >_t s$
using $gt_iff_wt_unary_diff_same$ **by** blast
hence gr_s : $\text{ground } s$
using gr_t gt_imp_vars **by** blast

have $ngr_t_or_s$: $\neg \text{ground } t \vee \neg \text{ground } s$
using $gt_unary_t_s$ **by** $\text{cases (blast dest: ground_head not_comp_hd_imp_Var)}$

show False
using gr_t gr_s $ngr_t_or_s$ **by** sat

qed

theorem gt_wf : $\text{wfP } (\lambda s t. t >_{tw} s)$

proof –

have ground_wfP : $\text{wfP } (\lambda s t. t >_{twg} s)$
unfolding $\text{wfP_iff_no_inf_chain}$
proof
assume $\exists f. \text{inf_chain } (op >_{twg}) f$
then obtain t **where** t_bad : $\text{bad } (op >_{twg}) t$
unfolding $\text{inf_chain_def bad_def}$ **by** blast

let $?ff = \text{worst_chain } (op >_{twg}) (\lambda t s. \text{size } t > \text{size } s)$

note $\text{wf_sz} = \text{wf_app}[OF \text{wellorder_class.wf}, \text{of size}, \text{simplified}]$

have ffi_ground : $\bigwedge i. \text{ground } (?ff i)$ **and** ffi_wary : $\bigwedge i. \text{wary } (?ff i)$
using $\text{worst_chain_bad}[OF \text{wf_sz } t_bad, \text{unfolded inf_chain_def}]$ **by** fast+

have $\text{inf_chain } (op >_{twg}) ?ff$
by $(\text{rule worst_chain_bad}[OF \text{wf_sz } t_bad])$
hence bad_wt_diff_same :
 $\text{inf_chain } (\lambda t s. \text{ground } t \wedge (\text{gt_wt } t s \vee \text{gt_diff } t s \vee \text{gt_same } t s)) ?ff$
unfolding inf_chain_def **using** $gt_iff_wt_unary_diff_same$ ground_gt_unary **by** blast

have wf_wt : $\text{wf } \{(s, t). \text{ground } t \wedge \text{gt_wt } t s\}$
by $(\text{rule wf_subset}[OF \text{wf_app}[of _ wt, OF \text{wf_less}]])$ $(\text{auto simp: gt_wt.simps})$

have wt_O_diff_same : $\{(s, t). \text{ground } t \wedge \text{gt_wt } t s\}$
 $O \{(s, t). \text{ground } t \wedge (\text{gt_diff } t s \vee \text{gt_same } t s)\} \subseteq \{(s, t). \text{ground } t \wedge \text{gt_wt } t s\}$
unfolding gt_wt.simps gt_diff.simps gt_same.simps **by** auto

have $\text{wt_diff_same_as_union}$: $\{(s, t). \text{ground } t \wedge (\text{gt_wt } t s \vee \text{gt_diff } t s \vee \text{gt_same } t s)\} =$

```

{(s, t). ground t ∧ gt_wt t s} ∪ {(s, t). ground t ∧ (gt_diff t s ∨ gt_same t s)}
by auto

obtain k1 where bad_diff_same:
  inf_chain (λt s. ground t ∧ (gt_diff t s ∨ gt_same t s)) (λi. ?ff (i + k1))
  using wf_infinite_down_chain_compatible[OF wf_wt_wt_O_diff_same, of ?ff] bad_wt_diff_same
  unfolding inf_chain_def wt_diff_same_as_union[symmetric] by auto

have wf {(s, t). ground s ∧ ground t ∧ sym (head t) >_s sym (head s)}
  using gt_sym_wf unfolding wfP_def wf_iff_no_infinite_down_chain by fast
moreover have {(s, t). ground t ∧ gt_diff t s}
  ⊆ {(s, t). ground s ∧ ground t ∧ sym (head t) >_s sym (head s)}
proof (clarsimp, intro conjI)
  fix s t
  assume gr_t: ground t and gt_diff_t_s: gt_diff t s
  thus gr_s: ground s
    using gt_iff_wt_unary_diff_same gt_imp_vars by fastforce
  show sym (head t) >_s sym (head s)
    using gt_diff_t_s by cases (simp add: gt_hd_def gr_s gr_t ground_hd_in_ground_heads)
qed
ultimately have wf_diff: wf {(s, t). ground t ∧ gt_diff t s}
  by (rule wf_subset)

have diff_O_same: {(s, t). ground t ∧ gt_diff t s} O {(s, t). ground t ∧ gt_same t s}
  ⊆ {(s, t). ground t ∧ gt_diff t s}
  unfolding gt_diff_simps gt_same_simps by auto

have diff_same_as_union: {(s, t). ground t ∧ (gt_diff t s ∨ gt_same t s)} =
  {(s, t). ground t ∧ gt_diff t s} ∪ {(s, t). ground t ∧ gt_same t s}
  by auto

obtain k2 where bad_same: inf_chain (λt s. ground t ∧ gt_same t s) (λi. ?ff (i + k2))
  using wf_infinite_down_chain_compatible[OF wf_diff_diff_O_same, of λi. ?ff (i + k1)]
  bad_diff_same
  unfolding inf_chain_def diff_same_as_union[symmetric] by (auto simp: add.assoc)
hence hd_sym: ∧i. is_Sym (head (?ff (i + k2)))
  unfolding inf_chain_def by (simp add: ground_head)

define f where f = sym (head (?ff k2))

have hd_eq_f: head (?ff (i + k2)) = Sym f for i
  unfolding f_def
proof (induct i)
  case 0
  thus ?case
    by (auto simp: hd.collapse(2)[OF hd_sym, of 0, simplified])
next
  case (Suc ia)
  thus ?case
    using bad_same unfolding inf_chain_def gt_same_simps by simp
qed

define max_args where max_args = wt (?ff k2)

have wt_eq_max_args: wt (?ff (i + k2)) = max_args for i
  unfolding max_args_def
proof (induct i)
  case (Suc ia)
  thus ?case
    using bad_same unfolding inf_chain_def gt_same_simps by simp
qed auto

have nargs_le_max_args: num_args (?ff (i + k2)) ≤ max_args for i

```

```

unfolding wt_eq_max_args[of i, symmetric] by (rule wt_ge_num_args[OF ffi_wary])

let ?U_of =  $\lambda i. \text{set} (\text{args} (\text{?ff} (i + k2)))$ 

define U where U = ( $\bigcup i. \text{?U\_of } i$ )

have gr_u:  $\bigwedge u. u \in U \implies \text{ground } u$ 
  unfolding U_def by (blast dest: ground_args[OF _ ffi_ground])
have wary_u:  $\bigwedge u. u \in U \implies \text{wary } u$ 
  unfolding U_def by (blast dest: wary_args[OF _ ffi_wary])

have  $\neg \text{bad} (op >_{twg}) u$  if u_in:  $u \in \text{?U\_of } i$  for u i
proof
  assume u_bad:  $\text{bad} (op >_{twg}) u$ 
  have sz_u:  $\text{size } u < \text{size} (\text{?ff} (i + k2))$ 
    by (rule size_in_args[OF u_in])

  show False
  proof (cases i + k2)
    case 0
    thus False
    using sz_u min_worst_chain_0[OF wf_sz u_bad] by simp
  next
    case Suc
    hence gt:  $\text{?ff} (i + k2 - 1) >_{tw} \text{?ff} (i + k2)$ 
      using worst_chain_pred[OF wf_sz t_bad] by auto
    moreover have  $\text{?ff} (i + k2) >_{tw} u$ 
      using gt gt_proper_sub sub_args sz_u u_in wary_args by auto
    ultimately have  $\text{?ff} (i + k2 - 1) >_{tw} u$ 
      using gt_trans by blast
    thus False
    using Suc sz_u min_worst_chain_Suc[OF wf_sz u_bad] ffi_ground by fastforce
  qed
qed
hence u_good:  $\bigwedge u. u \in U \implies \neg \text{bad} (op >_{twg}) u$ 
  unfolding U_def by blast

let ?gtwu =  $\lambda t s. t \in U \wedge t >_{tw} s$ 

have gtwu_irrefl:  $\bigwedge x. \neg \text{?gtwu } x x$ 
  using gt_irrefl by auto

have  $\bigwedge i j. \forall t \in \text{set} (\text{args} (\text{?ff} (i + k2))). \forall s \in \text{set} (\text{args} (\text{?ff} (j + k2))). t >_t s \longrightarrow$ 
   $t \in U \wedge t >_{tw} s$ 
  using wary_u unfolding U_def by blast
moreover have  $\bigwedge i. \text{extf } f (op >_i) (\text{args} (\text{?ff} (i + k2))) (\text{args} (\text{?ff} (\text{Suc } i + k2)))$ 
  using bad_same_hd_eq_f unfolding inf_chain_def gt_same.simps by auto
ultimately have  $\bigwedge i. \text{extf } f \text{?gtwu} (\text{args} (\text{?ff} (i + k2))) (\text{args} (\text{?ff} (\text{Suc } i + k2)))$ 
  by (rule extf_mono_strong)
hence inf_chain (extf f ?gtwu) ( $\lambda i. \text{args} (\text{?ff} (i + k2))$ )
  unfolding inf_chain_def by blast
hence nwf_ext:
   $\neg \text{wfP} (\lambda xs ys. \text{length } ys \leq \text{max\_args} \wedge \text{length } xs \leq \text{max\_args} \wedge \text{extf } f \text{?gtwu } ys xs)$ 
  unfolding inf_chain_def wfP_def wf_iff_no_infinite_down_chain using nargs_le_max_args by fast

have gtwu_le_gtwg:  $\text{?gtwu} \leq op >_{twg}$ 
  by (auto intro!: gr_u)

have wfP ( $\lambda s t. \text{?gtwu } t s$ )
  unfolding wfP_iff_no_inf_chain
proof (intro notI, elim exE)
  fix f
  assume bad_f: inf_chain ?gtwu f

```

```

hence bad_f0: bad ?gtwu (f 0)
  by (rule inf_chain_bad)
hence f 0 ∈ U
  using bad_f unfolding inf_chain_def by blast
hence ¬ bad (op >twg) (f 0)
  using u_good by blast
hence ¬ bad ?gtwu (f 0)
  using bad_f inf_chain_bad inf_chain_subset[OF _ gtwu_le_gtwg] by blast
thus False
  using bad_f0 by sat
qed
hence wf_ext: wfP (λxs ys. length ys ≤ max_args ∧ length xs ≤ max_args ∧ extf f ?gtwu ys xs)
  using extf_wf_bounded[of ?gtwu] gtwu_irrefl by blast

show False
  using nwf_ext wf_ext by blast
qed

let ?subst = subst grounding_ϱ

have wfP (λs t. ?subst t >twg ?subst s)
  by (rule wfP_app[OF ground_wfP])
hence wfP (λs t. ?subst t >tw ?subst s)
  by (simp add: ground_grounding_ϱ)
thus ?thesis
  by (auto intro: wfP_subset wary_subst_wary[OF wary_grounding_ϱ] gt_subst[OF wary_grounding_ϱ])
qed

end

end

```

5 The Graceful Basic Knuth–Bendix Order for Lambda-Free Higher-Order Terms

```

theory Lambda_Free_KBO_Basic
imports Lambda_Free_KBO_Std
begin

```

This theory defines the basic version of the graceful Knuth–Bendix order (KBO) for λ -free higher-order terms. Basic means that all symbols must have a positive weight. The results are lifted from the standard KBO.

```

locale kbo_basic = kbo_basic_basis _ _ _ ground_heads_var
  for ground_heads_var :: 'v ⇒ 's set
begin

```

```

sublocale kbo_std: kbo_std _ _ _ 0 _ λ_. ∞ λ_. ∞
  by (simp add: ε_gt_0 kbo_std_def kbo_std_basis_axioms)

```

```

fun wt :: ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ nat where
  wt (Hd ζ) = (LEAST w. ∃f ∈ ground_heads ζ. w = wt_sym f)
| wt (App s t) = wt s + wt t

```

```

inductive gt :: ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ bool (infix >t 50) where
  gt_wt: vars_mset t ⊇# vars_mset s ⇒ wt t > wt s ⇒ t >t s
| gt_diff: vars_mset t ⊇# vars_mset s ⇒ wt t = wt s ⇒ head t >hd head s ⇒ t >t s
| gt_same: vars_mset t ⊇# vars_mset s ⇒ wt t = wt s ⇒ head t = head s ⇒
  (∀f ∈ ground_heads (head s). extf f (op >t) (args t) (args s)) ⇒ t >t s

```

```

lemma arity_hd_eq_inf[simp]: arity_hd ζ = ∞
  by (cases ζ) auto

```


lemma *waryI*[*intro*, *simp*]: *wary s*
by (*simp add: wary_inf_ary*)

lemma *basic_wt_eq_wt*: *wt s = kbo_std.wt s*
by (*induct s*) *auto*

lemma
basic_gt_and_gt_le_gt: $(\lambda t s. t >_t s \wedge \text{local.kbo_std.gt } t s) \leq \text{kbo_std.gt}$ **and**
gt_and_basic_gt_le_basic_gt: $(\lambda t s. \text{local.kbo_std.gt } t s \wedge t >_t s) \leq \text{op } >_t$
by *auto*

lemma *basic_gt_iff_lt*: $t >_t s \longleftrightarrow \text{kbo_std.gt } t s$

proof

assume $t >_t s$

thus *kbo_std.gt t s*

proof *induct*

case *gt_wt*

thus *?case*

by (*auto intro: kbo_std.gt_wt simp: basic_wt_eq_wt[symmetric]*)

next

case *gt_diff*

thus *?case*

by (*auto intro: kbo_std.gt_diff simp: basic_wt_eq_wt[symmetric]*)

next

case *gt_same*

thus *?case*

using *extf_mono[OF basic_gt_and_gt_le_gt]*

by (*force simp: basic_wt_eq_wt[symmetric] intro!: kbo_std.gt_same*)

qed

next

assume *kbo_std.gt t s*

thus $t >_t s$

proof *induct*

case *gt_wt_t_s: gt_wt*

thus *?case*

by (*auto intro: gt_wt simp: basic_wt_eq_wt[symmetric]*)

next

case *gt_unary_t_s: (gt_unary t s)*

have *False*

using *gt_unary_t_s(4)* **by** (*metis less_nat_zero_code wt_sym_gt_0*)

thus *?case*

by *satx*

next

case *gt_diff_t_s: gt_diff*

thus *?case*

by (*auto intro: gt_diff simp: basic_wt_eq_wt[symmetric]*)

next

case *gt_same_t_s: gt_same*

thus *?case*

using *extf_mono[OF gt_and_basic_gt_le_basic_gt]*

by (*auto intro!: gt_same simp: basic_wt_eq_wt[symmetric]*)

qed

qed

theorem *gt_irrefl*: $\neg s >_t s$

unfolding *basic_gt_iff_lt* **by** (*rule kbo_std.gt_irrefl[simplified]*)

theorem *gt_trans*: $u >_t t \implies t >_t s \implies u >_t s$

unfolding *basic_gt_iff_lt* **by** (*rule kbo_std.gt_trans[simplified]*)

theorem *gt_proper_sub*: $\text{proper_sub } s t \implies t >_t s$

unfolding *basic_gt_iff_lt* **by** (*rule kbo_std.gt_proper_sub[simplified]*)

theorem *gt_compat_fun*: $t' >_t t \implies \text{App } s \ t' >_t \text{App } s \ t$
unfolding *basic_gt_iff_lt* **by** (rule *kbo_std.gt_compat_fun[simplified]*)

theorem *gt_compat_arg*: $s' >_t s \implies \text{App } s' \ t >_t \text{App } s \ t$
unfolding *basic_gt_iff_lt* **by** (rule *kbo_std.gt_compat_arg[simplified]*)

theorem *gt_subst*: $\text{wary_subst } \rho \implies t >_t s \implies \text{subst } \rho \ t >_t \text{subst } \rho \ s$
unfolding *basic_gt_iff_lt* **by** (rule *kbo_std.gt_subst[simplified]*)

theorem *gt_wf*: $\text{wfP } (\lambda s \ t. t >_t s)$
unfolding *basic_gt_iff_lt[abs_def]* **by** (rule *kbo_std.gt_wf[simplified]*)

end

end

6 The Graceful Transfinite Knuth–Bendix Order with Subterm Coefficients for Lambda-Free Higher-Order Terms

theory *Lambda_Free_TKBO_Coefs*
imports *Lambda_Free_KBO_Util Nested_Multisets_Ordinals.Signed_Syntactic_Ordinal*
abbrevs
 $=_p = =_p$
 $>_p = >_p$
 $\geq_p = \geq_p$
 $>_t = >_t$
 $\geq_t = \geq_t$
 $!h = h$
begin

This theory defines the graceful transfinite Knuth–Bendix order (KBO) with subterm coefficients for λ -free higher-order terms. The proof was developed by copying that of the standard KBO and generalizing it along two axes: subterm coefficients and ordinals. Both features complicate the definitions and proofs substantially.

6.1 Setup

hide-const (open) *Complex.arg*

locale *tkbo_coefs* = *kbo_std.basis* _ _ *arity_sym* *arity_var* *wt_sym*
for
arity_sym :: $'s \Rightarrow \text{enat}$ **and**
arity_var :: $'v \Rightarrow \text{enat}$ **and**
wt_sym :: $'s \Rightarrow \text{hmultiset} +$
fixes *coef_sym* :: $'s \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{hmultiset}$
assumes *coef_sym_gt_0*: $\text{coef_sym } f \ i > 0$
begin

abbreviation δ_h :: *hmultiset* **where**
 $\delta_h \equiv \text{of_nat } \delta$

abbreviation ε_h :: *hmultiset* **where**
 $\varepsilon_h \equiv \text{of_nat } \varepsilon$

abbreviation *arity_sym_h* :: $'s \Rightarrow \text{hmultiset}$ **where**
arity_sym_h $f \equiv \text{hmset_of_enat } (\text{arity_sym } f)$

abbreviation *arity_var_h* :: $'v \Rightarrow \text{hmultiset}$ **where**
arity_var_h $f \equiv \text{hmset_of_enat } (\text{arity_var } f)$

abbreviation *arity_hd_h* :: $('s, 'v) \text{hd} \Rightarrow \text{hmultiset}$ **where**
arity_hd_h $f \equiv \text{hmset_of_enat } (\text{arity_hd } f)$

abbreviation $\text{arity}_h :: ('s, 'v) \text{tm} \Rightarrow \text{hmultiset}$ **where**
 $\text{arity}_h s \equiv \text{hmset_of_enat} (\text{arity } s)$

lemma arity_h_conv : $\text{arity}_h s = \text{arity_hd}_h (\text{head } s) - \text{of_nat} (\text{num_args } s)$
unfolding arity_def **by** simp

lemma $\text{arity}_h_App[\text{simp}]$: $\text{arity}_h (App s t) = \text{arity}_h s - 1$
by ($\text{simp add: one_enat_def}$)

lemmas $\text{wary_App}_h[\text{intro}] = \text{wary_App}[\text{folded of_nat_lt_hmset_of_enat_iff}]$

lemmas $\text{wary_AppE}_h = \text{wary_AppE}[\text{folded of_nat_lt_hmset_of_enat_iff}]$

lemmas $\text{wary_num_args_le_arity_head}_h =$
 $\text{wary_num_args_le_arity_head}[\text{folded of_nat_le_hmset_of_enat_iff}]$

lemmas $\text{wary_apps}_h = \text{wary_apps}[\text{folded of_nat_le_hmset_of_enat_iff}]$

lemmas $\text{wary_cases_apps}_h[\text{consumes } 1, \text{case_names apps}] =$
 $\text{wary_cases_apps}[\text{folded of_nat_le_hmset_of_enat_iff}]$

lemmas $\text{ground_heads_arity}_h = \text{ground_heads_arity}[\text{folded hmset_of_enat_le}]$

lemmas $\text{some_ground_head_arity}_h = \text{some_ground_head_arity}[\text{folded hmset_of_enat_le}]$

lemmas $\varepsilon_h \text{_gt_} 0 = \varepsilon \text{_gt_} 0[\text{folded of_nat_less_hmset, unfolded of_nat_} 0]$

lemmas $\delta_h \text{_le_} \varepsilon_h = \delta \text{_le_} \varepsilon[\text{folded of_nat_le_hmset}]$

lemmas $\text{arity_hd}_h \text{_lt_} \omega \text{_if_} \delta_h \text{_gt_} 0 = \text{arity_hd_ne_infinity_if_} \delta \text{_gt_} 0$
 $[\text{folded of_nat_less_hmset, unfolded of_nat_} 0, \text{folded hmset_of_enat_lt_iff_ne_infinity}]$

lemma wt_sym_ge_h : $\text{wt_sym } f \geq \varepsilon_h - \delta_h * \text{arity_sym}_h f$

proof –

have $\text{of_nat} (\text{the_enat} (\text{of_nat } \delta * \text{arity_sym } f)) = \delta_h * \text{arity_sym}_h f$

by ($\text{cases arity_sym } f, \text{simp add: of_nat_eq_enat,}$

$\text{metis arity_sym_ne_infinity_if_} \delta \text{_gt_} 0 \text{ gr_zeroI mult_eq_} 0 \text{ iff of_nat_} 0 \text{ the_enat_} 0$)

thus $?thesis$

using $\text{wt_sym_ge}[\text{unfolded of_nat_minus_hmset}]$ **by** metis

qed

lemmas $\text{unary_wt_sym_} 0 \text{_gt}_h = \text{unary_wt_sym_} 0 \text{_gt}[\text{folded hmset_of_enat_inject, unfolded hmset_of_enat_} 1]$

lemmas $\text{unary_wt_sym_} 0 \text{_imp_} \delta_h \text{_eq_} \varepsilon_h = \text{unary_wt_sym_} 0 \text{_imp_} \delta \text{_eq_} \varepsilon$
 $[\text{folded of_nat_inject_hmset, unfolded of_nat_} 0]$

lemmas $\text{extf_ext_snoc_if_} \delta_h \text{_eq_} \varepsilon_h = \text{extf_ext_snoc_if_} \delta \text{_eq_} \varepsilon[\text{folded of_nat_inject_hmset}]$

lemmas $\text{extf_snoc_if_} \delta_h \text{_eq_} \varepsilon_h = \text{ext_snoc.snoc}[OF \text{ extf_ext_snoc_if_} \delta_h \text{_eq_} \varepsilon_h]$

lemmas $\text{arity_sym}_h \text{_lt_} \omega \text{_if_} \delta_h \text{_gt_} 0 = \text{arity_sym_ne_infinity_if_} \delta \text{_gt_} 0$
 $[\text{folded of_nat_less_hmset hmset_of_enat_lt_iff_ne_infinity, unfolded of_nat_} 0]$

lemmas $\text{arity_var}_h \text{_lt_} \omega \text{_if_} \delta_h \text{_gt_} 0 = \text{arity_var_ne_infinity_if_} \delta \text{_gt_} 0$
 $[\text{folded of_nat_less_hmset hmset_of_enat_lt_iff_ne_infinity, unfolded of_nat_} 0]$

lemmas $\text{arity}_h \text{_lt_} \omega \text{_if_} \delta_h \text{_gt_} 0 = \text{arity_ne_infinity_if_} \delta \text{_gt_} 0$
 $[\text{folded of_nat_less_hmset hmset_of_enat_lt_iff_ne_infinity, unfolded of_nat_} 0]$

lemmas $\text{warywary_subst_subst}_h \text{_conv} = \text{wary_subst_def}[\text{folded hmset_of_enat_le}]$

lemmas $\text{extf_singleton_nil_if_} \delta_h \text{_eq_} \varepsilon_h = \text{extf_singleton_nil_if_} \delta \text{_eq_} \varepsilon[\text{folded of_nat_inject_hmset}]$

lemma $\text{arity_sym}_h \text{_if_} \delta_h \text{_gt_} 0 \text{_E}$:

assumes $\delta \text{_gt_} 0$: $\delta_h > 0$

obtains n **where** $\text{arity_sym}_h f = \text{of_nat } n$

using $\text{arity_sym}_h \text{_lt_} \omega \text{_if_} \delta_h \text{_gt_} 0 \text{ assms lt_} \omega \text{_imp_ex_of_nat}$ **by** blast

lemma $\text{arity_var}_h \text{_if_} \delta_h \text{_gt_} 0 \text{_E}$:

assumes $\delta \text{_gt_} 0$: $\delta_h > 0$

obtains n **where** $\text{arity_var}_h f = \text{of_nat } n$

using $\text{arity_var}_h \text{_lt_} \omega \text{_if_} \delta_h \text{_gt_} 0 \text{ assms lt_} \omega \text{_imp_ex_of_nat}$ **by** blast

6.2 Weights and Subterm Coefficients

abbreviation $\text{zhmset_of_tpoly} :: ('a, \text{hmultiset}) \text{tpoly} \Rightarrow ('a, \text{zhmultiset}) \text{tpoly}$ **where**
 $\text{zhmset_of_tpoly} \equiv \text{map_tpoly} (\lambda x. x) \text{zhmset_of}$

abbreviation $\text{eval_ztpoly} :: ('a \Rightarrow \text{zhmultiset}) \Rightarrow ('a, \text{hmultiset}) \text{tpoly} \Rightarrow \text{zhmultiset}$ **where**
 $\text{eval_ztpoly } A p \equiv \text{eval_tpoly } A (\text{zhmset_of_tpoly } p)$

lemma *eval_tpoly_eq_eval_ztpoly*[simp]:
 $zhmset_of (eval_tpoly A p) = eval_ztpoly (\lambda v. zhmset_of (A v)) p$
by (*induct* p, *simp_all* add: *zhmset_of_sum_list* *zhmset_of_prod_list* *o_def*,
simp_all cong: *sum_list_cong* *prod_list_cong*)

definition *min_ground_head* :: ('s, 'v) hd \Rightarrow 's **where**
min_ground_head $\zeta =$
(*SOME* f. f \in *ground_heads* $\zeta \wedge$
 $(\forall g \in$ *ground_heads* $\zeta. wt_sym\ g + \delta_h * arity_sym_h\ g \geq wt_sym\ f + \delta_h * arity_sym_h\ f)$)

datatype 'va pvar =
PWt 'va
| PCoef 'va nat

primrec *min_passign* :: 'v pvar \Rightarrow hmultiset **where**
min_passign (PWt x) = *wt_sym* (*min_ground_head* (Var x))
| *min_passign* (PCoef _ _) = 1

abbreviation *min_zpassign* :: 'v pvar \Rightarrow zhmultiset **where**
min_zpassign v $\equiv zhmset_of$ (*min_passign* v)

lemma *min_zpassign_simps*[simp]:
min_zpassign (PWt x) = *zhmset_of* (*wt_sym* (*min_ground_head* (Var x)))
min_zpassign (PCoef x i) = 1
by (*simp_all* add: *zhmset_of_1*)

definition *legal_passign* :: ('v pvar \Rightarrow hmultiset) \Rightarrow bool **where**
legal_passign A $\longleftrightarrow (\forall x. A\ x \geq min_passign\ x)$

definition *legal_zpassign* :: ('v pvar \Rightarrow zhmultiset) \Rightarrow bool **where**
legal_zpassign A $\longleftrightarrow (\forall x. A\ x \geq min_zpassign\ x)$

lemma *legal_min_passign*: *legal_passign* *min_passign*
unfolding *legal_passign_def* **by** *simp*

lemma *legal_min_zpassign*: *legal_zpassign* *min_zpassign*
unfolding *legal_zpassign_def* **by** *simp*

lemma *assign_ge_0*[intro]: *legal_zpassign* A $\Longrightarrow A\ x \geq 0$
unfolding *legal_zpassign_def* **by** (*auto* *intro*: *dual_order.trans*)

definition
eq_tpoly :: ('v pvar, hmultiset) tpoly \Rightarrow ('v pvar, hmultiset) tpoly \Rightarrow bool (**infix** $=_p$ 50)
where
 $q =_p p \longleftrightarrow (\forall A. legal_zpassign\ A \longrightarrow eval_ztpoly\ A\ q = eval_ztpoly\ A\ p)$

definition
ge_tpoly :: ('v pvar, hmultiset) tpoly \Rightarrow ('v pvar, hmultiset) tpoly \Rightarrow bool (**infix** \geq_p 50)
where
 $q \geq_p p \longleftrightarrow (\forall A. legal_zpassign\ A \longrightarrow eval_ztpoly\ A\ q \geq eval_ztpoly\ A\ p)$

definition
gt_tpoly :: ('v pvar, hmultiset) tpoly \Rightarrow ('v pvar, hmultiset) tpoly \Rightarrow bool (**infix** $>_p$ 50)
where
 $q >_p p \longleftrightarrow (\forall A. legal_zpassign\ A \longrightarrow eval_ztpoly\ A\ q > eval_ztpoly\ A\ p)$

lemma *gt_tpoly_imp_ge*[intro]: $q >_p p \Longrightarrow q \geq_p p$
unfolding *ge_tpoly_def* *gt_tpoly_def* **by** (*simp* add: *le_less*)

lemma *eq_tpoly_refl*[simp]: $p =_p p$
unfolding *eq_tpoly_def* **by** *simp*

lemma *ge_tpoly_refl*[simp]: $p \geq_p p$
unfolding *ge_tpoly_def* **by** *simp*

lemma *gt_tpoly_irrefl*: $\neg p >_p p$
unfolding *gt_tpoly_def* *legal_zpassign_def* **by** *fast*

lemma

eq_eq_tpoly_trans: $r =_p q \implies q =_p p \implies r =_p p$ **and**
eq_ge_tpoly_trans: $r =_p q \implies q \geq_p p \implies r \geq_p p$ **and**
eq_gt_tpoly_trans: $r =_p q \implies q >_p p \implies r >_p p$ **and**
ge_eq_tpoly_trans: $r \geq_p q \implies q =_p p \implies r \geq_p p$ **and**
ge_ge_tpoly_trans: $r \geq_p q \implies q \geq_p p \implies r \geq_p p$ **and**
ge_gt_tpoly_trans: $r \geq_p q \implies q >_p p \implies r >_p p$ **and**
gt_eq_tpoly_trans: $r >_p q \implies q =_p p \implies r >_p p$ **and**
gt_ge_tpoly_trans: $r >_p q \implies q \geq_p p \implies r >_p p$ **and**
gt_gt_tpoly_trans: $r >_p q \implies q >_p p \implies r >_p p$
unfolding *eq_tpoly_def* *ge_tpoly_def* *gt_tpoly_def*
by (*auto* *intro*: *order.trans* *less_trans* *less_le_trans* *le_less_trans*)**+**

primrec *coef_hd* :: $(\text{'s}, \text{'v}) \text{hd} \implies \text{nat} \implies (\text{'v} \text{pvar}, \text{hmultiset}) \text{tpoly}$ **where**
coef_hd (*Var* *x*) *i* = *PVar* (*PCoef* *x* *i*)
| *coef_hd* (*Sym* *f*) *i* = *PNum* (*coef_sym* *f* *i*)

lemma *coef_hd_gt_0*:
assumes *legal*: *legal_zpassign* *A*
shows *eval_ztpoly* *A* (*coef_hd* ζ *i*) > 0
unfolding *legal_zpassign_def*

proof (*cases* ζ)
case (*Var* *x1*)
thus *?thesis*
using *legal*[*unfolded* *legal_zpassign_def*, *rule_format*, *of* *PCoef* *x* *i* **for** *x*]
by (*auto* *simp*: *coef_sym_gt_0* *zhmset_of_1* *intro*: *dual_order.strict_trans1* *zero_less_one*)
next
case (*Sym* *x2*)
thus *?thesis*
using *legal*[*unfolded* *legal_zpassign_def*, *rule_format*, *of* *PWt* *x* **for** *x*]
by *simp* (*metis* *coef_sym_gt_0* *zhmset_of_0* *zhmset_of_less*)
qed

primrec *coef* :: $(\text{'s}, \text{'v}) \text{tm} \implies \text{nat} \implies (\text{'v} \text{pvar}, \text{hmultiset}) \text{tpoly}$ **where**
coef (*Hd* ζ) *i* = *coef_hd* ζ *i*
| *coef* (*App* *s* $_$) *i* = *coef* *s* (*i* + 1)

lemma *coef_apps*[simp]: *coef* (*apps* *s* *ss*) *i* = *coef* *s* (*i* + *length* *ss*)
by (*induct* *ss* *arbitrary*: *s* *i*) *auto*

lemma *coef_gt_0*: *legal_zpassign* *A* \implies *eval_ztpoly* *A* (*coef* *s* *i*) > 0
by (*induct* *s* *arbitrary*: *i*) (*auto* *intro*: *coef_hd_gt_0*)

lemma *exists_min_ground_head*:

$\exists f. f \in \text{ground_heads } \zeta \wedge$
 $(\forall g \in \text{ground_heads } \zeta. \text{wt_sym } g + \delta_h * \text{arity_sym}_h g \geq \text{wt_sym } f + \delta_h * \text{arity_sym}_h f)$

proof –

let $?R = \{(f, g). f \in \text{ground_heads } \zeta \wedge g \in \text{ground_heads } \zeta \wedge$
 $\text{wt_sym } g + \delta_h * \text{arity_sym}_h g > \text{wt_sym } f + \delta_h * \text{arity_sym}_h f\}$

have *wf_R*: *wf* $?R$

using *wf_app*[*of* $\{(M, N). M < N\}$ $\lambda f. \text{wt_sym } f + \delta_h * \text{arity_sym}_h f$, *OF* *wf*]
by (*auto* *intro*: *wf_subset*)

have $\exists f. f \in \text{ground_heads } \zeta$

by (*meson* *ground_heads_nonempty* *subsetI* *subset_empty*)

thus *?thesis*

using *wf_eq_minimal*[*THEN* *iffD1*, *OF* *wf_R*] **by** *force*

qed

lemma *min_ground_head_Sym*[simp]: $\text{min_ground_head } (\text{Sym } f) = f$
unfolding *min_ground_head_def* **by** *auto*

lemma *min_ground_head_in_ground_heads*: $\text{min_ground_head } \zeta \in \text{ground_heads } \zeta$
unfolding *min_ground_head_def* **using** *someI_ex[OF exists_min_ground_head]* **by** *blast*

lemma *min_ground_head_min*:
 $f \in \text{ground_heads } \zeta \implies$
 $\text{wt_sym } f + \delta_h * \text{arity_sym}_h f \geq \text{wt_sym } (\text{min_ground_head } \zeta) + \delta_h * \text{arity_sym}_h (\text{min_ground_head } \zeta)$
unfolding *min_ground_head_def* **using** *someI_ex[OF exists_min_ground_head]* **by** *blast*

lemma *min_ground_head_antimono*:
 $\text{ground_heads } \zeta \subseteq \text{ground_heads } \xi \implies$
 $\text{wt_sym } (\text{min_ground_head } \zeta) + \delta_h * \text{arity_sym}_h (\text{min_ground_head } \zeta)$
 $\geq \text{wt_sym } (\text{min_ground_head } \xi) + \delta_h * \text{arity_sym}_h (\text{min_ground_head } \xi)$
using *min_ground_head_in_ground_heads min_ground_head_min* **by** *blast*

primrec *wt0* :: $(\text{'s}, \text{'v}) \text{hd} \Rightarrow (\text{'v pvar}, \text{hmultiset}) \text{tpoly}$ **where**
 $\text{wt0 } (\text{Var } x) = \text{PVar } (\text{PWt } x)$
 $\text{wt0 } (\text{Sym } f) = \text{PNum } (\text{wt_sym } f)$

lemma *wt0_ge_min_ground_head*:
 $\text{legal_zpassign } A \implies \text{eval_ztpoly } A (\text{wt0 } \zeta) \geq \text{zhmset_of } (\text{wt_sym } (\text{min_ground_head } \zeta))$
by (*cases* ζ , *simp_all*, *metis legal_zpassign_def min_zpassign_simps(1)*)

lemma *eval_ztpoly_nonneg*: $\text{legal_zpassign } A \implies \text{eval_ztpoly } A p \geq 0$
by (*induct* p) (*auto cong: sum_list_cong prod_list_cong intro!: sum_list_nonneg prod_list_nonneg*)

lemma *in_zip_imp_size_lt_apps*: $(s, y) \in \text{set } (\text{zip } ss \ ys) \implies \text{size } s < \text{size } (\text{apps } (\text{Hd } \zeta) \ ss)$
by (*auto dest!: set_zip_leftD simp: size_in_args*)

function *wt* :: $(\text{'s}, \text{'v}) \text{tm} \Rightarrow (\text{'v pvar}, \text{hmultiset}) \text{tpoly}$ **where**
 $\text{wt } (\text{apps } (\text{Hd } \zeta) \ ss) =$
 $\text{PSum } ([\text{wt0 } \zeta, \text{PNum } (\delta_h * (\text{arity_sym}_h (\text{min_ground_head } \zeta) - \text{of_nat } (\text{length } ss)))] @$
 $\text{map } (\lambda(s, i). \text{PMult } [\text{coef_hd } \zeta \ i, \text{wt } s]) (\text{zip } ss \ [0..<\text{length } ss]))]$ **@**
by (*erule tm_exhaust_apps*) *simp*

termination
by (*lexicographic_order simp: in_zip_imp_size_lt_apps*)

definition

$\text{wt_args} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow (\text{'v pvar} \Rightarrow \text{zhmultiset}) \Rightarrow (\text{'s}, \text{'v}) \text{hd} \Rightarrow (\text{'s}, \text{'v}) \text{tm list} \Rightarrow \text{zhmultiset}$
where
 $\text{wt_args } i \ A \ \zeta \ ss = \text{sum_list}$
 $(\text{map } (\text{eval_ztpoly } A \circ (\lambda(s, i). \text{PMult } [\text{coef_hd } \zeta \ i, \text{wt } s])) (\text{zip } ss \ [i..<i + \text{length } ss]))$

lemma *wt_Hd*[simp]: $\text{wt } (\text{Hd } \zeta) = \text{PSum } [\text{wt0 } \zeta, \text{PNum } (\delta_h * \text{arity_sym}_h (\text{min_ground_head } \zeta))]$
by (*rule wt_simps[of _ [], simplified]*)

lemma *coef_hd_cong*:
 $(\forall x \in \text{vars_hd } \zeta. \forall i. A (\text{PCoef } x \ i) = B (\text{PCoef } x \ i)) \implies$
 $\text{eval_ztpoly } A (\text{coef_hd } \zeta \ i) = \text{eval_ztpoly } B (\text{coef_hd } \zeta \ i)$
by (*cases* ζ) *auto*

lemma *wt0_cong*:
assumes *pwteq*: $\forall x \in \text{vars_hd } \zeta. A (\text{PWt } x) = B (\text{PWt } x)$
shows $\text{eval_ztpoly } A (\text{wt0 } \zeta) = \text{eval_ztpoly } B (\text{wt0 } \zeta)$
using *pwteq* **by** (*cases* ζ) *auto*

lemma *wt_cong*:
assumes
 $\forall x \in \text{vars } s. A (\text{PWt } x) = B (\text{PWt } x)$ **and**

```

   $\forall x \in \text{vars } s. \forall i. A (\text{PCoef } x \ i) = B (\text{PCoef } x \ i)$ 
shows  $\text{eval\_ztpoly } A \ (\text{wt } s) = \text{eval\_ztpoly } B \ (\text{wt } s)$ 
using assms
proof (induct s rule: tm_induct_apps)
  case (apps  $\zeta$  ss)
  note ih = this(1) and pwt_eq = this(2) and pcoef_eq = this(3)

  have ih':  $\text{eval\_ztpoly } A \ (\text{wt } s) = \text{eval\_ztpoly } B \ (\text{wt } s)$  if s_in:  $s \in \text{set } ss$  for s
  proof (rule ih[OF s_in])
    show  $\forall x \in \text{vars } s. A (\text{PWt } x) = B (\text{PWt } x)$ 
    using pwt_eq s_in by force
    show  $\forall x \in \text{vars } s. \forall i. A (\text{PCoef } x \ i) = B (\text{PCoef } x \ i)$ 
    using pcoef_eq s_in by force
  qed

  have wt0_eq:  $\text{eval\_ztpoly } A \ (\text{wt0 } \zeta) = \text{eval\_ztpoly } B \ (\text{wt0 } \zeta)$ 
  by (rule wt0_cong) (simp add: pwt_eq)
  have coef_ζ_eq:  $\text{eval\_ztpoly } A \ (\text{coef\_hd } \zeta \ i) = \text{eval\_ztpoly } B \ (\text{coef\_hd } \zeta \ i)$  for i
  by (rule coef_hd_cong) (simp add: pcoef_eq)

  show ?case
  using ih' wt0_eq coef_ζ_eq by (auto dest!: set_zip_leftD intro!: arg_cong[of _ _ sum_list])
qed

lemma ground_eval_ztpoly_wt_eq:  $\text{ground } s \implies \text{eval\_ztpoly } A \ (\text{wt } s) = \text{eval\_ztpoly } B \ (\text{wt } s)$ 
by (rule wt_cong) auto

lemma exists_wt_sym:
  assumes legal: legal_zpassign A
  shows  $\exists f \in \text{ground\_heads } \zeta. \text{eval\_ztpoly } A \ (\text{wt } (\text{Hd } \zeta)) \geq \text{zhmset\_of } (\text{wt\_sym } f + \delta_h * \text{arity\_sym}_h \ f)$ 
  unfolding eq_tpoly_def
proof (cases  $\zeta$ )
  case Var
  thus ?thesis
  using legal[unfolded legal_zpassign_def]
  by simp (metis add_le_cancel_right ground_heads.simps(1) min_ground_head_in_ground_heads min_zpassign_simps(1) zhmset_of_plus)
next
  case Sym
  thus ?thesis
  by (simp add: zhmset_of_plus)
qed

lemma wt_ge_εh:
  assumes legal: legal_zpassign A
  shows  $\text{eval\_ztpoly } A \ (\text{wt } s) \geq \text{zhmset\_of } \varepsilon_h$ 
proof (induct s rule: tm_induct_apps)
  case (apps  $\zeta$  ss)
  note ih = this(1)

  {
    assume ss_eq_nil:  $ss = []$ 

    have  $\varepsilon_h \leq \text{wt\_sym } (\text{min\_ground\_head } \zeta) + \delta_h * \text{arity\_sym}_h \ (\text{min\_ground\_head } \zeta)$ 
    using wt_sym_geh[of min_ground_head ζ]
    by (metis add_diff_cancel_left' leD leI le_imp_minus_plus_hmset le_minus_plus_same_hmset less_le_trans)
    hence  $\text{zhmset\_of } \varepsilon_h$ 
     $\leq \text{zhmset\_of } (\text{wt\_sym } (\text{min\_ground\_head } \zeta)) + \text{zhmset\_of } (\delta_h * \text{arity\_sym}_h \ (\text{min\_ground\_head } \zeta))$ 
    by (metis zhmset_of_le zhmset_of_plus)
    also have ...
     $\leq \text{eval\_tpoly } A \ (\text{map\_tpoly } (\lambda x. x) \ \text{zhmset\_of } (\text{wt0 } \zeta))$ 
     $+ \text{zhmset\_of } (\delta_h * \text{arity\_sym}_h \ (\text{min\_ground\_head } \zeta))$ 
  }

```

```

    using wt0_ge_min_ground_head[OF legal] by simp
  finally have ?case
    using ss_eq_nil by simp
}
moreover
{
  let ?arg_wt =
    eval_tpoly A ◦ (map_tpoly (λx. x) zhmsset_of ◦ (λ(s, i). PMult [coef_hd ζ i, wt s]))

  assume ss_ne_nil: ss ≠ []
  hence zhmsset_of ε_h
    ≤ eval_tpoly A (map_tpoly (λx. x) zhmsset_of (PMult [coef_hd ζ 0, wt (hd ss)]))
    by (simp add: ih_coef_hd_gt_0[OF legal] nonneg_le_mult_right_mono_zhmsset)
  also have ... = hd (map ?arg_wt (zip ss [0..<length ss]))
    using ss_ne_nil by (simp add: hd_map_zip_nth_conv_hd_conv_nth)
  also have ... ≤ sum_list (map ?arg_wt (zip ss [0..<length ss]))
    by (rule hd_le_sum_list,
        metis (no_types, lifting) length_greater_0_conv list.collapse list.simps(3) list.simps(9)
        ss_ne_nil upt_conv_Cons zip_Cons_Cons,
        simp add: eval_ztpoly_nonneg legal)
  also have ...
    ≤ eval_tpoly A (map_tpoly (λx. x) zhmsset_of (wt0 ζ)) +
      (zhmsset_of (δ_h * (arity_sym_h (min_ground_head ζ) - of_nat (length ss))) +
        sum_list (map ?arg_wt (zip ss [0..<length ss])))
  proof -
    have 0 ≤ eval_tpoly A (map_tpoly (λp. p) zhmsset_of (wt0 ζ))
      using legal eval_ztpoly_nonneg by blast
    then show ?thesis
      by (meson leD leI le_add_same_cancel2 less_le_trans zhmsset_of_nonneg)
  qed
  finally have ?case
    by simp
}
ultimately show ?case
  by linarith
qed

lemma wt_args_ge_length_times_ε_h:
  assumes legal: legal_zpassign A
  shows wt_args i A ζ ss ≥ of_nat (length ss) * zhmsset_of ε_h
  unfolding wt_args_def
  by (rule sum_list_ge_length_times[unfolded wt_args_def,
    of map (eval_ztpoly A ◦ (λ(s, i). PMult [coef_hd ζ i, wt s])) (zip ss [i..<i + length ss]),
    simplified],
    auto intro: mult_le_mono_hmsset[of 1, simplified] nonneg_le_mult_right_mono_zhmsset coef_hd_gt_0
    simp: legal zero_less_iff_1_le_hmsset[symmetric] coef_hd_gt_0 wt_ge_ε_h)

lemma wt_ge_δ_h: legal_zpassign A ⇒ eval_ztpoly A (wt s) ≥ zhmsset_of δ_h
  using δ_h_le_ε_h[folded zhmsset_of_le] order.trans wt_ge_ε_h zhmsset_of_le by blast

lemma wt_gt_0: legal_zpassign A ⇒ eval_ztpoly A (wt s) > 0
  using ε_h_gt_0[folded zhmsset_of_less, unfolded zhmsset_of_0] wt_ge_ε_h by (blast intro: less_le_trans)

lemma wt_gt_δ_h_if_superunary:
  assumes
    legal: legal_zpassign A and
    superunary: arity_hd_h (head s) > 1
  shows eval_ztpoly A (wt s) > zhmsset_of δ_h
proof (cases δ_h = ε_h)
case δ_ne_ε: False
show ?thesis
  using order.not_eq_order_implies_strict[OF δ_ne_ε δ_h_le_ε_h, folded zhmsset_of_less]
  wt_ge_ε_h[OF legal] by (blast intro: less_le_trans)

```



```

next
  case  $\delta_{eq} \varepsilon$ : True
  show ?thesis
    using superunary
  proof (induct s rule: tm_induct_apps)
    case (apps  $\zeta$  ss)
    have arity_hd_h  $\zeta > 1$ 
      using apps(2) by simp
    hence min_gr_ary: arity_sym_h (min_ground_head  $\zeta$ )  $> 1$ 
      using ground_heads_arity_h_less_le_trans min_ground_head_in_ground_heads by blast

    have zhmsset_of  $\delta_h < eval_ztpoly A (wt0 \zeta) + zhmsset\_of (\delta_h * arity\_sym\_h (min\_ground\_head \zeta))$ 
      unfolding  $\delta_{eq} \varepsilon$ 
      by (rule add_strict_increasing2[OF eval_ztpoly_nonneg[OF legal]], unfold zhmsset_of_less,
        rule gt_0_lt_mult_gt_1_hmsset[OF  $\varepsilon_h$  gt_0 min_gr_ary])
    also have ...  $\leq eval\_ztpoly A (wt0 \zeta)$ 
      + zhmsset_of ( $\delta_h * (arity\_sym\_h (min\_ground\_head \zeta) - of\_nat (length ss))$ )
      + zhmsset_of ( $of\_nat (length ss) * \varepsilon_h$ )
      by (auto simp:  $\varepsilon_h$  gt_0  $\delta_{eq} \varepsilon$  zhmsset_of_le zhmsset_of_plus[symmetric] algebra_simps
        simp del: ring_distrib_simps ring_distrib[symmetric])
      (metis add commute le_minus_plus_same_hmsset)
    also have ...  $\leq eval\_ztpoly A (wt0 \zeta)$ 
      + zhmsset_of ( $\delta_h * (arity\_sym\_h (min\_ground\_head \zeta) - of\_nat (length ss))$ ) + wt_args 0 A  $\zeta$  ss
      using wt_args_ge_length_times_ $\varepsilon_h$ [OF legal] by (simp add: zhmsset_of_times of_nat_zhmsset)
    finally show ?case
      by (simp add: wt_args_def add_ac(1) comp_def)
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma wt_App_plus_ $\delta_h$ _ge:
  eval_ztpoly A (wt (App s t)) + zhmsset_of  $\delta_h$ 
   $\geq eval\_ztpoly A (wt s) + eval\_ztpoly A (coef s 0) * eval\_ztpoly A (wt t)$ 
proof (cases s rule: tm_exhaust_apps)

```

```

  case s: (apps  $\zeta$  ss)
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases arity_sym_h (min_ground_head  $\zeta$ ) =  $\omega$ )
    case ary_eq_ $\omega$ : True
    show ?thesis
      unfolding ary_eq_ $\omega$  s App_apps wt_simps
      by (auto simp: diff_diff_add_hmsset[symmetric] add.assoc)
  next
  case False
  show ?thesis
    unfolding s App_apps wt_simps
    by (simp add: algebra_simps zhmsset_of_plus[symmetric] zhmsset_of_le,
      simp del: diff_diff_add_hmsset add: add commute[of 1] le_minus_plus_same_hmsset
        distrib_left[of _ 1 :: hmultiset, unfolded mult.right_neutral, symmetric]
        diff_diff_add_hmsset[symmetric])
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma wt_App_fun_ $\delta_h$ :
  assumes
    legal: legal_zpassign A and
    wt_st: eval_ztpoly A (wt (App s t)) = eval_ztpoly A (wt t)
  shows eval_ztpoly A (wt s) = zhmsset_of  $\delta_h$ 
proof -
  have eval_ztpoly A (wt (App s t)) = eval_ztpoly A (wt t)
    using wt_st by simp
  hence wt_s_t_le_ $\delta_t$ : eval_ztpoly A (wt s) + eval_ztpoly A (coef s 0) * eval_ztpoly A (wt t)
     $\leq zhmsset\_of \delta_h + eval\_ztpoly A (wt t)$ 
    using wt_App_plus_ $\delta_h$ _ge by (metis add commute)
  also have ...  $\leq eval\_ztpoly A (wt s) + eval\_ztpoly A (wt t)$ 

```

```

    using wt_ge_δh[OF legal] by simp
  finally have eval_ztpoly A (coef s 0) * eval_ztpoly A (wt t) ≤ eval_ztpoly A (wt t)
    by simp
  hence eval_ztpoly A (coef s 0) = 1
    using eval_ztpoly_nonneg[OF legal]
  by (metis (no_types, lifting) coef_gt_0 dual_order.order_iff_strict leD legal mult_cancel_right1
    nonneg_le_mult_right_mono_zhmsset wt_gt_0)
  thus ?thesis
    using wt_s_t_le_δ_t by (simp add: add commute antisym wt_ge_δh[OF legal])
qed

```

lemma *wt_App_arg_δ_h*:

```

  assumes
    legal: legal_zpassign A and
    wt_st: eval_ztpoly A (wt (App s t)) = eval_ztpoly A (wt s)
  shows eval_ztpoly A (wt t) = zhmsset_of δh
  proof -
    have eval_ztpoly A (wt (App s t)) + zhmsset_of δh = eval_ztpoly A (wt s) + zhmsset_of δh
      using wt_st by simp
    hence eval_ztpoly A (coef s 0) * eval_ztpoly A (wt t) ≤ zhmsset_of δh (is ?k * ?w ≤ _)
      by (metis add_le_cancel_left wt_App_plus_δh_ge)
    hence ?k * ?w = zhmsset_of δh
      using wt_ge_δh[OF legal] coef_gt_0[OF legal, unfolded zero_less_iff_1_le_hmsset]
      by (simp add: antisym nonneg_le_mult_right_mono_zhmsset)
    hence ?w ≤ zhmsset_of δh
      by (metis coef_gt_0[OF legal] dual_order.order_iff_strict eval_ztpoly_nonneg[OF legal]
        nonneg_le_mult_right_mono_zhmsset)
    thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: antisym wt_ge_δh[OF legal])
  qed

```

lemma *wt_App_ge_fun*: $wt (App s t) \geq_p wt s$

```

  unfolding ge_tpoly_def
  proof clarify
    fix A
    assume legal: legal_zpassign A

    have zhmsset_of δh ≤ eval_ztpoly A (coef s 0) * eval_ztpoly A (wt t)
      by (simp add: coef_gt_0 legal nonneg_le_mult_right_mono_zhmsset wt_ge_δh)
    hence eval_ztpoly A (wt s) + zhmsset_of δh ≤ eval_ztpoly A (wt (App s t)) + zhmsset_of δh
      by (metis add_le_cancel_right add_less_le_mono not_le wt_App_plus_δh_ge)
    thus eval_ztpoly A (wt s) ≤ eval_ztpoly A (wt (App s t))
      by simp
  qed

```

lemma *wt_App_ge_arg*: $wt (App s t) \geq_p wt t$

```

  unfolding ge_tpoly_def
  by (cases s rule: tm_exhaust_apps, simp, unfold App_apps wt_simps)
  (auto simp: comp_def coef_hd_gt_0 eval_ztpoly_nonneg nonneg_le_mult_right_mono_zhmsset
    intro!: sum_list_nonneg eval_ztpoly_nonneg add_increasing)

```

lemma *wt_δ_h_imp_δ_h_eq_ε_h*:

```

  assumes
    legal: legal_zpassign A and
    wt_s_eq_δ: eval_ztpoly A (wt s) = zhmsset_of δh
  shows δh = εh
  using δh_le_εh wt_ge_εh[OF legal, of s, unfolded wt_s_eq_δ zhmsset_of_le] by (rule antisym)

```

lemma *wt_ge_vars*: $wt t \geq_p wt s \implies vars t \supseteq vars s$

```

  proof (induct s)
    case t: (Hd ζ)
    note wt_ge_ζ = this(1)
    show ?case

```

```

proof (cases ζ)
  case ζ: (Var x)

  {
    assume z_ni_t: x ∉ vars t

    let ?A = min_zpassign
    let ?B = λv. if v = PWT x then eval_ztpoly ?A (wt t) + ?A v + 1 else ?A v

    have legal_B: legal_zpassign ?B
      unfolding legal_zpassign_def
      by (auto simp: legal_min_zpassign intro!: add_increasing eval_ztpoly_nonneg)

    have eval_B_eq_A: eval_ztpoly ?B (wt t) = eval_ztpoly ?A (wt t)
      by (rule wt_cong) (auto simp: z_ni_t)
    have eval_ztpoly ?B (wt (Hd (Var x))) > eval_ztpoly ?B (wt t)
      by (auto simp: eval_B_eq_A zero_less_iff_1_le_zhmset_zhmset_of_plus[symmetric]
        algebra_simps)
    hence False
      using wt_ge_ζ ζ unfolding ge_tpoly_def
      by (blast dest: leD intro: legal_B legal_min_zpassign)
  }
  thus ?thesis
    by (auto simp: ζ)
qed simp
next
case (App s1 s2)
note ih1 = this(1) and ih2 = this(2) and wt_t_ge_wt_s1s2 = this(3)

have vars s1 ⊆ vars t
  using ih1 wt_t_ge_wt_s1s2 wt_App_ge_fun order_trans unfolding ge_tpoly_def by blast
moreover have vars s2 ⊆ vars t
  using ih2 wt_t_ge_wt_s1s2 wt_App_ge_arg order_trans unfolding ge_tpoly_def by blast
ultimately show ?case
  by simp
qed

lemma sum_coefs_ge_num_args_if_δh_eq_0:
  assumes
    legal: legal_passign A and
    δ_eq_0: δ_h = 0 and
    wary_s: wary s
  shows sum_coefs (eval_tpoly A (wt s)) ≥ num_args s
proof (cases s rule: tm_exhaust_apps)
case s: (apps ζ ss)
show ?thesis
  unfolding s
proof (induct ss rule: rev_induct)
case (snoc sa ss)
note ih = this

  let ?Az = λv. zhmset_of (A v)

  have legalz: legal_zpassign ?Az
    using legal unfolding legal_passign_def legal_zpassign_def zhmset_of_le by assumption

  have eval_ztpoly ?Az (coef_hd ζ (length ss)) > 0
    using legal_coef_hd_gt_0 eval_tpoly_eq_eval_ztpoly
    by (simp add: coef_hd_gt_0[OF legalz])
  hence k: eval_tpoly A (coef_hd ζ (length ss)) > 0 (is ?k > _)
    unfolding eval_tpoly_eq_eval_ztpoly[symmetric] zhmset_of_less[symmetric] zhmset_of_0
    by assumption

```

```

have eval_ztpoly ?Az (wt sa) > 0 (is ?w > _)
  by (simp add: wt_gt_0[OF legalz])
hence w: eval_tpoly A (wt sa) > 0 (is ?w > _)
  unfolding eval_tpoly_eq_eval_ztpoly[symmetric] zhmsset_of_less[symmetric] zhmsset_of_0
  by assumption

have ?k * ?w > 0
  using k w by simp
hence sum_coefs (?k * ?w) > 0
  by (rule sum_coefs_gt_0[THEN iffD2])
thus ?case
  using ih by (simp del: apps_append add: s δ_eq_0)
qed simp
qed

```

6.3 Inductive Definitions

```

inductive gt :: ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ bool (infix >_t 50) where
  gt_wt: wt t >_p wt s ⇒ t >_t s
| gt_unary: wt t ≥_p wt s ⇒ ¬ head t ≤>_hd head s ⇒ num_args t = 1 ⇒
  (∃ f ∈ ground_heads (head t). arity_sym f = 1 ∧ wt_sym f = 0) ⇒ arg t >_t s ∨ arg t = s ⇒
  t >_t s
| gt_diff: wt t ≥_p wt s ⇒ head t >_hd head s ⇒ t >_t s
| gt_same: wt t ≥_p wt s ⇒ head t = head s ⇒
  (∀ f ∈ ground_heads (head t). extf f (op >_t) (args t) (args s)) ⇒ t >_t s

```

```

abbreviation ge :: ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ bool (infix ≥_t 50) where
  t ≥_t s ≡ t >_t s ∨ t = s

```

```

inductive gt_wt :: ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ bool where
  gt_wtI: wt t >_p wt s ⇒ gt_wt t s

```

```

inductive gt_unary :: ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ bool where
  gt_unaryI: wt t ≥_p wt s ⇒ ¬ head t ≤>_hd head s ⇒ num_args t = 1 ⇒
  (∃ f ∈ ground_heads (head t). arity_sym f = 1 ∧ wt_sym f = 0) ⇒ arg t ≥_t s ⇒ gt_unary t s

```

```

inductive gt_diff :: ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ bool where
  gt_diffI: wt t ≥_p wt s ⇒ head t >_hd head s ⇒ gt_diff t s

```

```

inductive gt_same :: ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ ('s, 'v) tm ⇒ bool where
  gt_sameI: wt t ≥_p wt s ⇒ head t = head s ⇒
  (∀ f ∈ ground_heads (head t). extf f (op >_t) (args t) (args s)) ⇒ gt_same t s

```

```

lemma gt_iff_wt_unary_diff_same: t >_t s ⇔ gt_wt t s ∨ gt_unary t s ∨ gt_diff t s ∨ gt_same t s
  by (subst gt.simps) (auto simp: gt_wt.simps gt_unary.simps gt_diff.simps gt_same.simps)

```

```

lemma gt_imp_wt: t >_t s ⇒ wt t ≥_p wt s
  by (blast elim: gt.cases)

```

```

lemma gt_imp_vars: t >_t s ⇒ vars t ⊇ vars s
  by (erule wt_ge_vars[OF gt_imp_wt])

```

6.4 Irreflexivity

```

theorem gt_irrefl: wary s ⇒ ¬ s >_t s
proof (induct size s arbitrary: s rule: less_induct)
  case less
  note ih = this(1) and wary_s = this(2)

```

```

show ?case
proof
  assume s_gt_s: s >_t s
  show False
  using s_gt_s

```

```

proof (cases rule: gt.cases)
  case gt_same
  then obtain f where f: extf f (op >_t) (args s) (args s)
  by fastforce
  thus False
  using wary_s ih by (metis wary_args extf_irrefl size_in_args)
qed (auto simp: comp_hd_def gt_tpoly_irrefl gt_hd_irrefl)
qed

```

6.5 Transitivity

```

lemma not_extf_gt_nil_singleton_if_delta_eq_epsilon:
  assumes wary_s: wary s and delta_eq_epsilon: delta_h = epsilon_h
  shows ¬ extf f (op >_t) [] [s]

```

```

proof
  assume nil_gt_s: extf f (op >_t) [] [s]
  note s_gt_nil = extf_singleton_nil_if_delta_eq_epsilon[OF delta_eq_epsilon, of f gt s]
  have ¬ extf f (op >_t) [] []
  by (rule extf_irrefl) simp
  moreover have extf f (op >_t) [] []
  using extf_trans_from_irrefl[of {s}, OF nil_gt_s s_gt_nil] gt_irrefl[OF wary_s]
  by fastforce
  ultimately show False
  by sat
qed

```

```

lemma gt_sub_arg: wary (App s t) ==> App s t >_t t

```

```

proof (induct t arbitrary: s rule: measure_induct_rule[of size])
  case (less t)
  note ih = this(1) and wary_st = this(2)

```

```

{
  fix A
  assume
    legal: legal_zpassign A and
    wt_st: eval_ztpoly A (wt (App s t)) = eval_ztpoly A (wt t)

  have delta_eq_epsilon: delta_h = epsilon_h
  using wt_App_fun_delta_h[OF legal] wt_delta_imp_delta_eq_epsilon[OF legal] wt_st by blast
  hence delta_gt_0: delta_h > 0
  using epsilon_gt_0 by simp

  have wt_s: eval_ztpoly A (wt s) = zhmsset_of delta_h
  by (rule wt_App_fun_delta_h[OF legal wt_st])

  have wary_t: wary t
  by (rule wary_AppE_h[OF wary_st])
  have nargs_lt: of_nat (num_args s) < arity_hd_h (head s)
  by (rule wary_AppE_h[OF wary_st])

  have arity_hd_s: arity_hd_h (head s) = 1
  by (metis gr_implies_not_zero hmset_legal lt_1_iff_eq_0 hmset_nargs_lt neq_iff
    wt_gt_delta_h_if_superunary wt_s)
  hence nargs_s: num_args s = 0
  by (metis less_one nargs_lt of_nat_1 of_nat_less_hmset)
  hence s_eq_hd: s = Hd (head s)
  by (simp add: Hd_head_id)
  obtain f where
    f_in: f ∈ ground_heads (head s) and
    wt_f_etc: wt_sym f + delta_h * arity_sym_h f = delta_h
  proof -
    assume a: ∧f. [f ∈ local_ground_heads (head s); wt_sym f + delta_h * arity_sym_h f = delta_h] ==> thesis
    have ∧f. delta_h - delta_h * arity_sym_h f ≤ wt_sym f

```

```

    using wt_s by (metis legal wt_δh_imp_δh_eq_εh wt_sym_geh)
  hence  $\bigwedge s. \neg \delta_h * \text{arity\_sym}_h s + \text{wt\_sym } s < \delta_h$ 
    by (metis add_diff_cancel_left' le_imp_minus_plus_hmset leD le_minus_plus_same_hmset
        less_le_trans)
  thus thesis
    using a wt_s s_eq_hd
    by (metis exists_wt_sym legal add.commute order.not_eq_order_implies_strict zhmsset_of_le)
qed

have ary_f_1: arity_sym f = 1
  by (metis δ_gt_0 add_diff_cancel_left' ary_hd_s diff_le_self_hmset dual_order.order_iff_strict
      f_in_ground_heads_arityh gt_0_lt_mult_gt_1_hmset hmset_of_enat_1 hmset_of_enat_inject leD
      wt_f_etc)
hence wt_f_0: wt_sym f = 0
  using wt_f_etc by simp

{
  assume hd_s_ncmp_t:  $\neg \text{head } s \leq_{hd} \text{head } t$ 
  have ?case
    by (rule gt_unary[OF wt_App_ge_arg])
      (auto simp: hd_s_ncmp_t nargs_s intro: f_in_ary_f_1 wt_f_0)
}
moreover
{
  assume hd_s_gt_t:  $\text{head } s >_{hd} \text{head } t$ 
  have ?case
    by (rule gt_diff[OF wt_App_ge_arg]) (simp add: hd_s_gt_t)
}
moreover
{
  assume head_t >hd head_s
  hence False
    using ary_f_1 wt_f_0 f_in_gt_hd_irrefl_gt_sym_antisym unary_wt_sym_0_gt_h hmset_of_enat_1
    unfolding gt_hd_def by metis
}
moreover
{
  assume hd_t_eq_s:  $\text{head } t = \text{head } s$ 
  hence nargs_t_le:  $\text{num\_args } t \leq 1$ 
    using ary_hd_s wary_num_args_le_arity_headh[OF wary_t] of_nat_le_hmset by fastforce

  have extf:  $\text{extf } f \text{ op } >_t [t] (\text{args } t)$  for f
  proof (cases args t)
    case Nil
    thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: extf_singleton_nil_if_δh_eq_εh[OF δ_eq_ε])
  next
    case args_t: (Cons ta ts)
    hence ts:  $ts = []$ 
      using ary_hd_s[folded hd_t_eq_s] wary_num_args_le_arity_headh[OF wary_t] of_nat_le_hmset
      nargs_t_le by simp
    have ta:  $ta = \text{arg } t$ 
      by (metis apps.simps(1) apps.simps(2) args_t tm.sel(6) tm_collapse_apps ts)
    hence t:  $t = \text{App } (\text{fun } t) \text{ ta}$ 
      by (metis args.simps(1) args_t not_Cons_self2 tm.exhaust_sel ts)
    have t >t ta
      by (rule ih[of ta fun t, folded t, OF _ wary_t]) (metis ta size_arg_lt t tm.disc(2))
    thus ?thesis
      unfolding args_t ts by (metis extf_singleton_gt_irrefl wary_t)
  qed
}
have ?case
  by (rule gt_same[OF wt_App_ge_arg])
  (simp_all add: hd_t_eq_s length_0_conv[THEN iffD1, OF nargs_s] extf)

```

```

}
ultimately have ?case
  unfolding comp_hd_def by metis
}
thus ?case
  using gt_wt by (metis ge_tpoly_def gt_tpoly_def wt_App_ge_arg order.not_eq_order_implies_strict)
qed

```

lemma *gt_arg*: $wary\ s \implies is_App\ s \implies s >_t\ arg\ s$
 by (cases s) (auto intro: gt_sub_arg)

theorem *gt_trans*: $wary\ u \implies wary\ t \implies wary\ s \implies u >_t\ t \implies t >_t\ s \implies u >_t\ s$

proof (simp only: atomize_imp,
 rule measure_induct_rule[of $\lambda(u, t, s). \{\#size\ u, size\ t, size\ s\}$
 $\lambda(u, t, s). wary\ u \longrightarrow wary\ t \longrightarrow wary\ s \longrightarrow u >_t\ t \longrightarrow t >_t\ s \longrightarrow u >_t\ s$ (*u, t, s*),
 simplified prod.case],
 simp only: split_paired_all prod.case atomize_imp[symmetric])
fix *u t s*
assume
ih: $\bigwedge ua\ ta\ sa. \{\#size\ ua, size\ ta, size\ sa\} < \{\#size\ u, size\ t, size\ s\} \implies$
 $wary\ ua \implies wary\ ta \implies wary\ sa \implies ua >_t\ ta \implies ta >_t\ sa \implies ua >_t\ sa$ **and**
 $wary_u: wary\ u$ **and** $wary_t: wary\ t$ **and** $wary_s: wary\ s$ **and**
 $u_gt_t: u >_t\ t$ **and** $t_gt_s: t >_t\ s$

have $wt_u_ge_t: wt\ u \geq_p\ wt\ t$ **and** $wt_t_ge_s: wt\ t \geq_p\ wt\ s$
 using *gt_imp_wt_u_gt_t_t_gt_s* by auto
hence $wt_u_ge_s: wt\ u \geq_p\ wt\ s$
 by (rule *ge_ge_tpoly_trans*)

have $wary_arg_u: wary\ (arg\ u)$
 by (rule *wary_arg[OF wary_u]*)
have $wary_arg_t: wary\ (arg\ t)$
 by (rule *wary_arg[OF wary_t]*)
have $wary_arg_s: wary\ (arg\ s)$
 by (rule *wary_arg[OF wary_s]*)

show $u >_t\ s$
 using *t_gt_s*
proof cases
 case *gt_wt_t_s*: *gt_wt*
 hence $wt\ u >_p\ wt\ s$
 using *wt_u_ge_t_ge_gt_tpoly_trans* by blast
 thus ?thesis
 by (rule *gt_wt*)

next
 case *gt_unary_t_s*: *gt_unary*

have $t_app: is_App\ t$
 by (metis *args_Nil_iff_is_Hd gt_unary_t_s*(3) *length_greater_0_conv less_numeral_extra*(1))
hence $nargs_fun_t: num_args\ (fun\ t) < arity_hd\ (head\ (fun\ t))$
 by (metis *tm.collapse*(2) *wary_AppE wary_t*)

have $\delta_eq_e: \delta_h = \varepsilon_h$
 using *gt_unary_t_s*(4) *unary_wt_sym_0_imp_delta_eq_epsilon* by blast

show ?thesis
 using *u_gt_t*
proof cases
 case *gt_wt_u_t*: *gt_wt*
 hence $wt\ u >_p\ wt\ s$
 using *wt_t_ge_s gt_ge_tpoly_trans* by blast
 thus ?thesis
 by (rule *gt_wt*)

```

next
  case gt_unary_u_t: gt_unary
  have u_app: is_App u
    by (metis args_Nil_iff_is_Hd gt_unary_u_t(3) length_greater_0_conv less_numeral_extra(1))
  hence nargs_fun_u: num_args (fun u) = 0
    by (metis args.simps(1) gt_unary_u_t(3) list.size(3) one_arg_imp_Hd tm.collapse(2))

  have arg_u_gt_s: arg u >_t s
    using ih[of arg u t s] u_app gt_unary_u_t(5) t_gt_s size_arg_lt wary_arg_u wary_s wary_t
    by force
  hence arg_u_ge_s: arg u ≥_t s
    by sat

  {
  assume size (arg u) < size t
  hence {#size u, size (arg u), size s#} < {#size u, size t, size s#}
    by simp
  hence ?thesis
    using ih[of u arg u s] arg_u_gt_s gt_arg_u_app wary_s wary_u by blast
  }
  moreover
  {
  assume size (arg t) < size s
  hence u >_t arg t
    using ih[of u t arg t] args_Nil_iff_is_Hd gt_arg gt_unary_t_s(3) u_gt_t wary_t wary_u
    by force
  hence ?thesis
    using ih[of u arg t s] args_Nil_iff_is_Hd gt_unary_t_s(3,5) size_arg_lt wary_arg_t
    wary_s wary_u by force
  }
  moreover
  {
  assume sz_u_gt_t: size u > size t and sz_t_gt_s: size t > size s

  {
  assume hd_u_eq_s: head u = head s
  hence ary_hd_s: arity_hd (head s) = 1
    using ground_heads_arity gt_unary_u_t(3,4) hd_u_eq_s one_enat_def
    wary_num_args_le_arity_head wary_u by fastforce

  have extf: extf f op >_t (args u) (args s) for f
  proof (cases args s)
  case Nil
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis δ_eq_ε args.elims args_Nil_iff_is_Hd extf_snoc_if_δ_h_eq_ε_h length_0_conv
    nargs_fun_u tm.sel(4) u_app)
  }
  next
  case args_s: (Cons sa ss)
  hence ss: ss = []
    by (cases s, simp, metis One_nat_def antisym_conv ary_hd_s diff_Suc_1
    enat_ord_simps(1) le_add2 length_0_conv length_Cons list.size(4) one_enat_def
    wary_num_args_le_arity_head wary_s)
  have sa: sa = arg s
    by (metis apps.simps(1) apps.simps(2) args_s tm.sel(6) tm_collapse_apps ss)

  have s_app: is_App s
    using args_Nil_iff_is_Hd args_s by force
  have args_u: args u = [arg u]
    by (metis append_Nil args.simps(2) args_Nil_iff_is_Hd gt_unary_u_t(3) length_0_conv
    nargs_fun_u tm.collapse(2) zero_neq_one)

  have max_sz_arg_u_t_arg_t: Max {size (arg t), size t, size (arg u)} < size u
    using size_arg_lt sz_u_gt_t t_app u_app by fastforce
  }
  }

```



```

have {#size (arg u), size t, size (arg t)#} < {#size u, size t, size s#}
  using max_sz_arg_u_t_arg_t by (auto intro!: Max_lt_imp_lt_mset)
hence arg_u_gt_arg_t: arg u >_t arg t
  using ih[OF_wary_arg_u_wary_t_wary_arg_t] args_Nil_iff_is_Hd_gt_arg
    gt_unary_u_t_s(3) gt_unary_u_t(5) wary_t by force

have max_sz_arg_s_s_arg_t: Max {size (arg s), size s, size (arg t)} < size u
  using s_app_t_app_size_arg_lt_sz_t_gt_s_sz_u_gt_t by force

have {#size (arg t), size s, size (arg s)#} < {#size u, size t, size s#}
  using max_sz_arg_s_s_arg_t by (auto intro!: Max_lt_imp_lt_mset)
hence arg_t_gt_arg_s: arg t >_t arg s
  using ih[OF_wary_arg_t_wary_s_wary_arg_s]
    gt_unary_t_s(5) gt_arg_args_Nil_iff_is_Hd_args_s_wary_s by force

have {#size (arg u), size (arg t), size (arg s)#} < {#size u, size t, size s#}
  by (auto intro!: add_mset_lt_lt_lt simp: size_arg_lt_u_app_t_app_s_app)
hence arg_u >_t arg s
  using ih[of arg u arg t arg s] arg_u_gt_arg_t arg_t_gt_arg_s wary_arg_s
    wary_arg_t wary_arg_u by blast
thus ?thesis
  unfolding args_u_args_s_ss_sa by (metis extf_singleton gt_irrefl wary_arg_u)
qed

have ?thesis
  by (rule gt_same[OF wt_u_ge_s hd_u_eq_s]) (simp add: extf)
}
moreover
{
  assume head u >_hd head s
  hence ?thesis
    by (rule gt_diff[OF wt_u_ge_s])
}
moreover
{
  assume head s >_hd head u
  hence False
    using gt_hd_def gt_hd_irrefl gt_sym_antisym gt_unary_u_t(4) unary_wt_sym_0_gt by blast
}
moreover
{
  assume ¬ head u ≤>_hd head s
  hence ?thesis
    by (rule gt_unary[OF wt_u_ge_s _ gt_unary_u_t(3,4) arg_u_ge_s])
}
ultimately have ?thesis
  unfolding comp_hd_def by sat
}
ultimately show ?thesis
  by (meson less_le_trans linorder_not_le size_arg_lt_t_app_u_app)
next
case gt_diff_u_t: gt_diff
have False
  using gt_diff_u_t(2) gt_hd_def gt_hd_irrefl gt_sym_antisym gt_unary_t_s(4) unary_wt_sym_0_gt
  by blast
thus ?thesis
  by sat
next
case gt_same_u_t: gt_same

have hd_u_ncomp_s: ¬ head u ≤>_hd head s
  by (rule gt_unary_t_s(2)[folded gt_same_u_t(2)])

```

```

have  $\exists f \in \text{ground\_heads } (\text{head } u). \text{arity\_sym } f = 1 \wedge \text{wt\_sym } f = 0$ 
  by (rule  $\text{gt\_unary\_t\_s}(4)$ [folded  $\text{gt\_same\_u\_t}(2)$ ])
hence  $\text{arity\_hd } (\text{head } u) = 1$ 
  by (metis  $\text{dual\_order.order\_iff\_strict } \text{gr\_implies\_not\_zero\_hmsset } \text{ground\_heads\_arity}$ 
 $\text{gt\_same\_u\_t}(2)$   $\text{head\_fun hmsset\_of\_enat\_1 hmsset\_of\_enat\_less } \text{lt\_1\_iff\_eq\_0\_hmsset}$ 
 $\text{nargs\_fun\_t}$ )
hence  $\text{num\_args } u \leq 1$ 
  using  $\text{of\_nat\_le\_hmsset } \text{wary\_num\_args\_le\_arity\_head}_h \text{wary\_u}$  by fastforce
hence  $\text{nargs\_u}: \text{num\_args } u = 1$ 
  by (cases  $\text{args } u$ ,
 $\text{metis Hd\_head\_id } \delta_{\text{eq}} \varepsilon \text{append\_Nil } \text{args.simps}(2)$ 
 $\text{ex\_in\_conv}[THEN \text{iffD2}, OF \text{ground\_heads\_nonempty}] \text{gt\_same\_u\_t}(2,3)$ 
 $\text{gt\_unary\_t\_s}(3)$ 
 $\text{head\_fun } \text{list.size}(3)$ 
 $\text{not\_extf\_gt\_nil\_singleton\_if\_}\delta_h \text{eq } \varepsilon_h \text{one\_arg\_imp\_Hd}$ 
 $\text{tm.collapse}(2)[OF \text{t\_app}] \text{wary\_arg\_t}$ ,
 $\text{simp}$ )
hence  $\text{u\_app}: \text{is\_App } u$ 
  by (cases  $u$ ) auto

have  $\text{arg } u >_t \text{arg } t$ 
  by (metis  $\text{extf\_singleton}[THEN \text{iffD1}] \text{append\_Nil } \text{args.simps } \text{args\_Nil\_iff\_is\_Hd } \text{comp\_hd\_def}$ 
 $\text{gt\_hd\_def } \text{gt\_irrefl } \text{gt\_same\_u\_t}(2,3)$ 
 $\text{gt\_unary\_t\_s}(2,3)$ 
 $\text{head\_fun } \text{length\_0\_conv } \text{nargs\_u}$ 
 $\text{one\_arg\_imp\_Hd } \text{t\_app } \text{tm.collapse}(2)$ 
 $\text{u\_gt\_t } \text{wary\_u}$ )
moreover have  $\{\#\text{size } (\text{arg } u), \text{size } (\text{arg } t), \text{size } s\# \} < \{\#\text{size } u, \text{size } t, \text{size } s\#\}$ 
  by (auto intro!:  $\text{add\_mset\_lt\_lt\_lt } \text{simp}: \text{size\_arg\_lt } \text{u\_app } \text{t\_app}$ )
ultimately have  $\text{arg } u >_t s$ 
  using  $\text{ih}[OF \_ \text{wary\_arg\_u } \text{wary\_arg\_t } \text{wary\_s}] \text{gt\_unary\_t\_s}(5)$  by blast
hence  $\text{arg\_u\_ge\_s}: \text{arg } u \geq_t s$ 
  by sat
show ?thesis
  by (rule  $\text{gt\_unary}[OF \text{wt\_u\_ge\_s } \text{hd\_u\_ncomp\_s } \text{nargs\_u\_arg\_u\_ge\_s}]$ 
 $(\text{simp } \text{add}: \text{gt\_same\_u\_t}(2) \text{gt\_unary\_t\_s}(4))$ )
qed
next
case  $\text{gt\_diff\_t\_s}: \text{gt\_diff}$ 
show ?thesis
  using  $\text{u\_gt\_t}$ 
proof cases
case  $\text{gt\_wt\_u\_t}: \text{gt\_wt}$ 
hence  $\text{wt } u >_p \text{wt } s$ 
  using  $\text{wt\_t\_ge\_s } \text{gt\_ge\_tpoly\_trans}$  by blast
thus ?thesis
  by (rule  $\text{gt\_wt}$ )
next
case  $\text{gt\_unary\_u\_t}: \text{gt\_unary}$ 
have  $\text{u\_app}: \text{is\_App } u$ 
  by (metis  $\text{args\_Nil\_iff\_is\_Hd } \text{gt\_unary\_u\_t}(3)$ 
 $\text{length\_greater\_0\_conv } \text{less\_numeral\_extra}(1)$ )
hence  $\text{arg } u >_t s$ 
  using  $\text{ih}[of \text{arg } u \text{t } s] \text{gt\_unary\_u\_t}(5)$ 
 $\text{t\_gt\_s } \text{size\_arg\_lt } \text{wary\_arg\_u } \text{wary\_s } \text{wary\_t}$ 
  by force
hence  $\text{arg\_u\_ge\_s}: \text{arg } u \geq_t s$ 
  by sat

{
  assume  $\text{head } u = \text{head } s$ 
  hence False
    using  $\text{gt\_diff\_t\_s}(2)$ 
 $\text{gt\_unary\_u\_t}(2)$ 
 $\text{unfolding } \text{comp\_hd\_def}$  by force
}
moreover
{
  assume  $\text{head } s >_{hd} \text{head } u$ 
  hence False
    using  $\text{gt\_hd\_def } \text{gt\_hd\_irrefl } \text{gt\_sym\_antisym } \text{gt\_unary\_u\_t}(4)$ 
 $\text{unary\_wt\_sym\_0\_gt}$  by blast
}

```

```

}
moreover
{
  assume  $head\ u >_{hd}\ head\ s$ 
  hence  $?thesis$ 
  by (rule  $gt\_diff[OF\ wt\_u\_ge\_s]$ )
}
moreover
{
  assume  $\neg\ head\ u \leq_{hd}\ head\ s$ 
  hence  $?thesis$ 
  by (rule  $gt\_unary[OF\ wt\_u\_ge\_s\ \_gt\_unary\_u\_t(3,4)\ arg\_u\_ge\_s]$ )
}
ultimately show  $?thesis$ 
unfolding  $comp\_hd\_def$  by  $sat$ 
next
case  $gt\_diff\_u\_t: gt\_diff$ 
have  $head\ u >_{hd}\ head\ s$ 
using  $gt\_diff\_u\_t(2)\ gt\_diff\_t\_s(2)\ gt\_hd\_trans$  by  $blast$ 
thus  $?thesis$ 
by (rule  $gt\_diff[OF\ wt\_u\_ge\_s]$ )
next
case  $gt\_same\_u\_t: gt\_same$ 
have  $head\ u >_{hd}\ head\ s$ 
using  $gt\_diff\_t\_s(2)\ gt\_same\_u\_t(2)$  by  $simp$ 
thus  $?thesis$ 
by (rule  $gt\_diff[OF\ wt\_u\_ge\_s]$ )
qed
next
case  $gt\_same\_t\_s: gt\_same$ 
show  $?thesis$ 
using  $u\_gt\_t$ 
proof cases
case  $gt\_wt\_u\_t: gt\_wt$ 
hence  $wt\ u >_p\ wt\ s$ 
using  $wt\_t\_ge\_s\ gt\_ge\_tpoly\_trans$  by  $blast$ 
thus  $?thesis$ 
by (rule  $gt\_wt$ )
next
case  $gt\_unary\_u\_t: gt\_unary$ 
have  $is\_App\ u$ 
by ( $metis\ args\_Nil\_iff\_is\_Hd\ gt\_unary\_u\_t(3)\ length\_greater\_0\ conv\_less\_numeral\_extra(1)$ )
hence  $arg\ u >_t\ s$ 
using  $ih[of\ arg\ u\ t\ s]\ gt\_unary\_u\_t(5)\ t\_gt\_s\ size\_arg\_lt\ wary\_arg\_u\ wary\_s\ wary\_t$ 
by  $force$ 
hence  $arg\_u\_ge\_s: arg\ u \geq_t\ s$ 
by  $sat$ 

have  $\neg\ head\ u \leq_{hd}\ head\ s$ 
using  $gt\_same\_t\_s(2)\ gt\_unary\_u\_t(2)$  by  $simp$ 
thus  $?thesis$ 
by (rule  $gt\_unary[OF\ wt\_u\_ge\_s\ \_gt\_unary\_u\_t(3,4)\ arg\_u\_ge\_s]$ )
next
case  $gt\_diff\_u\_t: gt\_diff$ 
have  $head\ u >_{hd}\ head\ s$ 
using  $gt\_diff\_u\_t(2)\ gt\_same\_t\_s(2)$  by  $simp$ 
thus  $?thesis$ 
by (rule  $gt\_diff[OF\ wt\_u\_ge\_s]$ )
next
case  $gt\_same\_u\_t: gt\_same$ 
have  $hd\_u\_s: head\ u = head\ s$ 
by ( $simp\ only: gt\_same\_t\_s(2)\ gt\_same\_u\_t(2)$ )

```

```

let ?S = set (args u) ∪ set (args t) ∪ set (args s)

have gt_trans_args: ∀ ua ∈ ?S. ∀ ta ∈ ?S. ∀ sa ∈ ?S. ua >t ta → ta >t sa → ua >t sa
proof clarify
  fix sa ta ua
  assume
    ua_in: ua ∈ ?S and ta_in: ta ∈ ?S and sa_in: sa ∈ ?S and
    ua_gt_ta: ua >t ta and ta_gt_sa: ta >t sa
  have wary_sa: wary sa and wary_ta: wary ta and wary_ua: wary ua
  using wary_args ua_in ta_in sa_in wary_u wary_t wary_s by blast+
  show ua >t sa
  by (auto intro!: ih[OF Max_lt_imp_lt_mset wary_ua wary_ta wary_sa ua_gt_ta ta_gt_sa])
    (meson ua_in ta_in sa_in Un_iff max.strict_coboundedI1 max.strict_coboundedI2
      size_in_args)+
qed
have ∀ f ∈ ground_heads (head u). extf (op >t) (args u) (args s)
  by (clarify, rule extf_trans_from_irrefl[OF ?S _ _ _ _ gt_trans_args])
    (auto simp: gt_same_u_t(2,3) gt_same_t_s(3) wary_args wary_u wary_t wary_s gt_irrefl)
thus ?thesis
  by (rule gt_same[OF wt_u_ge_s hd_u_s])
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *gt_antisym*: $wary\ s \implies wary\ t \implies t >_t s \implies \neg s >_t t$
 using *gt_irrefl gt_trans* by *blast*

6.6 Subterm Property

```

lemma gt_sub_fun: App s t >t s
proof (cases wt (App s t) >p wt s)
  case True
  thus ?thesis
    using gt_wt by simp
next
  case False
  hence δ_eq_ε: δh = εh
  using wt_App_ge_fun dual_order.order_iff_strict wt_App_arg_δh wt_δh_imp_δh_eq_εh
  unfolding gt_tpoly_def ge_tpoly_def by fast

  have hd_st: head (App s t) = head s
  by auto
  have extf: ∀ f ∈ ground_heads (head (App s t)). extf (op >t) (args (App s t)) (args s)
  by (simp add: δ_eq_ε extf_snoc_if_δh_eq_εh)
  show ?thesis
  by (rule gt_same[OF wt_App_ge_fun hd_st extf])
qed

```

theorem *gt_proper_sub*: $wary\ t \implies proper_sub\ s\ t \implies t >_t s$
 by (*induct t*) (*auto intro: gt_sub_fun gt_sub_arg gt_trans sub.intros wary_sub*)

6.7 Compatibility with Functions

```

lemma gt_compat_fun:
  assumes
    wary_t: wary t and
    t'_gt_t: t' >t t
  shows App s t' >t App s t
proof (rule gt_same; clarify?)
  show wt (App s t') ≥p wt (App s t)
  using gt_imp_wt[OF t'_gt_t, unfolded ge_tpoly_def]
  by (cases s rule: tm_exhaust_apps,
    auto simp del: apps_append simp: ge_tpoly_def App_apps eval_ztpoly_nonneg
    intro: ordered_comm_semiring_class.comm_mult_left_mono)

```

```

next
  fix f
  have extf f (op >_t) (args s @ [t']) (args s @ [t])
    using t'_gt_t by (metis extf_compat_list gt_irrefl[OF wary_t])
  thus extf f op >_t (args (App s t')) (args (App s t))
    by simp
qed simp

theorem gt_compat_fun_strong:
  assumes
    wary_t: wary t and
    t'_gt_t: t' >_t t
  shows apps s (t' # us) >_t apps s (t # us)
proof (induct us rule: rev_induct)
  case Nil
  show ?case
    using t'_gt_t by (auto intro!: gt_compat_fun[OF wary_t])
next
  case (snoc u us)
  note ih = snoc

  let ?v' = apps s (t' # us @ [u])
  let ?v = apps s (t # us @ [u])

  have wt ?v' ≥_p wt ?v
    using gt_imp_wt[OF ih]
    by (cases s rule: tm_exhaust_apps,
        simp del: apps_append add: App_apps apps_append[symmetric] ge_tpoly_def,
        subst (1 2) zip_eq_butlast_last, simp+)
  moreover have head ?v' = head ?v
    by simp
  moreover have ∀f ∈ ground_heads (head ?v'). extf f op >_t (args ?v') (args ?v)
    by (metis args_apps extf_compat_list gt_irrefl[OF wary_t] t'_gt_t)
  ultimately show ?case
    by (rule gt_same)
qed

```

6.8 Compatibility with Arguments

```

theorem gt_compat_arg_weak:
  assumes
    wary_st: wary (App s t) and
    wary_s't: wary (App s' t) and
    coef_s'_0_ge_s: coef s' 0 ≥_p coef s 0 and
    s'_gt_s: s' >_t s
  shows App s' t >_t App s t
proof -
  obtain ζ ss where s: s = apps (Hd ζ) ss
    by (metis tm_exhaust_apps)
  obtain ζ' ss' where s': s' = apps (Hd ζ') ss'
    by (metis tm_exhaust_apps)

  have len_ss_lt: of_nat (length ss) < arity_sym_h (min_ground_head ζ)
    using wary_st[unfolded s] ground_heads_arity_h less_le_trans min_ground_head_in_ground_heads
    by (metis (no_types) tm_collapse_apps tm_inject_apps wary_AppE_h)

  have δ_etc:
    δ_h + δ_h * (arity_sym_h (min_ground_head ζ) - of_nat (length ss) - 1) =
    δ_h * (arity_sym_h (min_ground_head ζ) - of_nat (length ss))
  if wary: wary (App (apps (Hd ζ) ss) t) for ζ ss
proof (cases δ_h > 0)
  case True
  then obtain n where n: of_nat n = arity_sym_h (min_ground_head ζ)
    by (metis arity_sym_h_if_δ_h_gt_0_E)

```

```

have of_nat (length ss) < arity_sym_h (min_ground_head ζ)
  using wary
  by (metis (no_types) wary AppE_h ground_heads_arity_h le_less_trans
      min_ground_head_in_ground_heads not_le tm_collapse_apps tm_inject_apps)
thus ?thesis
  by (fold n, subst of_nat_1[symmetric], fold of_nat_minus_hmset, simp,
      metis Suc_diff_Suc mult_Suc_right of_nat_add of_nat_mult)
qed simp

have coef_ζ'_ge_ζ: coef_hd ζ' (length ss') ≥p coef_hd ζ (length ss)
  by (rule coef_s'_0_ge_s[unfolded s s', simplified])

have wt_s'_ge_s: wt s' ≥p wt s
  by (rule gt_imp_wt[OF s'_gt_s])

have ζ_tms_len_ss_tms_wt_t_le:
  eval_ztpoly A (coef_hd ζ (length ss)) * eval_ztpoly A (wt t)
  ≤ eval_ztpoly A (coef_hd ζ' (length ss')) * eval_ztpoly A (wt t)
if legal: legal_zpassign A for A
  using legal_coef_ζ'_ge_ζ[unfolded ge_tpoly_def]
  by (simp add: eval_ztpoly_nonneg_mult_right_mono)

have wt_s't_ge_st: wt (App s' t) ≥p wt (App s t)
  unfolding s s'
  by (clarsimp simp del: apps_append simp: App_apps ge_tpoly_def add_ac(1)[symmetric]
      intro!: add_mono[OF ζ_tms_len_ss_tms_wt_t_le],
      rule add_le_imp_le_left[of zhmsset_of δ_h],
      unfold add_ac(1)[symmetric] add commute[of 1] diff_diff_add[symmetric],
      subst (1 3) ac_simps(3)[unfolded add_ac(1)[symmetric]], subst (1 3) add_ac(1),
      simp only: zhmsset_of_plus[symmetric] δ_etc[OF wary_st[unfolded s]]
      δ_etc[OF wary_s't[unfolded s']] add_ac(1)
      wt_s'_ge_s[unfolded s s', unfolded ge_tpoly_def add_ac(1)[symmetric], simplified])
show ?thesis
  using s'_gt_s
proof cases
  case gt_wt_s'_s: gt_wt

  have wt (App s' t) >p wt (App s t)
    unfolding s s'
    by (clarsimp simp del: apps_append simp: App_apps gt_tpoly_def add_ac(1)[symmetric]
        intro!: add_less_le_mono[OF ζ_tms_len_ss_tms_wt_t_le],
        rule add_less_imp_less_left[of zhmsset_of δ_h],
        unfold add_ac(1)[symmetric] add commute[of 1] diff_diff_add[symmetric],
        subst (1 3) ac_simps(3)[unfolded add_ac(1)[symmetric]],
        subst (1 3) add_ac(1),
        simp only: zhmsset_of_plus[symmetric] δ_etc[OF wary_st[unfolded s]]
        δ_etc[OF wary_s't[unfolded s']] add_ac(1)
        gt_wt_s'_s[unfolded s s', unfolded gt_tpoly_def add_ac(1)[symmetric], simplified])
  thus ?thesis
    by (rule gt_wt)
next
  case gt_unary_s'_s: gt_unary
  have False
    by (metis ground_heads_arity_h gt_unary_s'_s(3) gt_unary_s'_s(4) hmset_of_enat_1 leD of_nat_1
        wary_AppE_h wary_s't)
  thus ?thesis
    by sat
next
  case gt_diff_s'_s: gt_diff
  show ?thesis
    by (rule gt_diff[OF wt_s't_ge_st]) (simp add: gt_diff_s'_s(2))
next

```

```

case gt_same_s'_s: gt_same
have hd_s't: head (App s' t) = head (App s t)
  by (simp add: gt_same_s'_s(2))
have  $\forall f \in \text{ground\_heads}$  (head (App s' t)). extf (op >_t) (args (App s' t)) (args (App s t))
  using gt_same_s'_s(3) by (auto intro: extf_compat_append_right)
thus ?thesis
  by (rule gt_same[OF wt_s't_ge_st hd_s't])
qed
qed

```

6.9 Stability under Substitution

primrec

```

subst_zpassign :: ('v  $\Rightarrow$  ('s, 'v) tm)  $\Rightarrow$  ('v pvar  $\Rightarrow$  zhmultiset)  $\Rightarrow$  'v pvar  $\Rightarrow$  zhmultiset
where

```

```

subst_zpassign  $\rho$  A (PWt x) =
  eval_ztpoly A (wt ( $\rho$  x)) - zhmsset_of ( $\delta_h * \text{arity\_sym}_h$  (min_ground_head (Var x)))
| subst_zpassign  $\rho$  A (PCoef x i) = eval_ztpoly A (coef ( $\rho$  x) i)

```

lemma legal_subst_zpassign:

assumes

legal: legal_zpassign A **and**

wary_ ρ : wary_subst ρ

shows legal_zpassign (subst_zpassign ρ A)

unfolding legal_zpassign_def

proof

fix v

show subst_zpassign ρ A v \geq min_zpassign v

proof (cases v)

case v: (PWt x)

obtain ζ ss **where** ρx : ρ x = apps (Hd ζ) ss

by (rule tm_exhaust_apps)

have ghd_ ζ : ground_heads $\zeta \subseteq$ ground_heads_var x

using wary_ ρ [unfolded wary_subst_def, rule_format, of x, unfolded ρx] **by** simp

have zhmsset_of (wt_sym (min_ground_head (Var x)) + $\delta_h * \text{arity_sym}_h$ (min_ground_head (Var x)))
 \leq eval_ztpoly A (wt0 ζ) + zhmsset_of ($\delta_h * \text{arity_sym}_h$ (min_ground_head ζ))

proof -

have mgh_x_min:

zhmsset_of (wt_sym (min_ground_head (Var x)) + $\delta_h * \text{arity_sym}_h$ (min_ground_head (Var x)))

\leq zhmsset_of (wt_sym (min_ground_head ζ) + $\delta_h * \text{arity_sym}_h$ (min_ground_head ζ))

by (simp add: zhmsset_of_le zhmsset_of_le ghd_ ζ min_ground_head_antimono)

have wt_mgh_le_wt0: zhmsset_of (wt_sym (min_ground_head ζ)) \leq eval_ztpoly A (wt0 ζ)

using wt0_ge_min_ground_head[OF legal] **by** blast

show ?thesis

by (rule order_trans[OF mgh_x_min]) (simp add: zhmsset_of_plus wt_mgh_le_wt0)

qed

also have ... \leq eval_ztpoly A (wt0 ζ)

+ zhmsset_of (($\delta_h * (\text{arity_sym}_h$ (min_ground_head ζ) - of_nat (length ss)))

+ of_nat (length ss) * δ_h)

proof -

have zhmsset_of ($\delta_h * \text{arity_sym}_h$ (min_ground_head ζ))

\leq zhmsset_of ($\delta_h * (\text{of_nat (length ss)}$)

+ (arity_sym_h (min_ground_head ζ) - of_nat (length ss)))

by (metis add.commute le_minus_plus_same_hmsset mult_le_mono2_hmsset zhmsset_of_le)

thus ?thesis

by (simp add: add.commute add.left_commute distrib_left mult.commute)

qed

also have ... \leq eval_ztpoly A (wt0 ζ)

+ zhmsset_of (($\delta_h * (\text{arity_sym}_h$ (min_ground_head ζ) - of_nat (length ss)))

+ of_nat (length ss) * ε_h)

using δ_h _le_ ε_h zhmsset_of_le **by** auto

also have ... \leq eval_ztpoly A (wt0 ζ)

```

+ zhmset_of ( $\delta_h * (\text{arity\_sym}_h (\text{min\_ground\_head } \zeta) - \text{of\_nat } (\text{length } ss))$ ) + wt_args 0 A  $\zeta$  ss
using wt_args_ge_length_times_εh[OF legal]
by (simp add: algebra_simps zhmset_of_plus zhmset_of_times of_nat_zhmset)
finally have wt_x_le_ζsst:
  zhmset_of (wt_sym (min_ground_head (Var x)) +  $\delta_h * \text{arity\_sym}_h (\text{min\_ground\_head } (\text{Var } x))$ )
    ≤ eval_ztpoly A (wt0 ζ)
    + zhmset_of ( $\delta_h * (\text{arity\_sym}_h (\text{min\_ground\_head } \zeta) - \text{of\_nat } (\text{length } ss))$ )
    + wt_args 0 A  $\zeta$  ss
by assumption

show ?thesis
using wt_x_le_ζsst[unfolded wt_args_def]
by (simp add: v_ρx_comp_def le_diff_eq add.assoc[symmetric] ZHMSet_plus[symmetric]
  zmsset_of_plus[symmetric] hmssetmset_plus[symmetric] zmsset_of_le)
next
case (PCoef x i)
thus ?thesis
using coef_gt_0[OF legal, unfolded zero_less_iff_1_le_hmset]
by (simp add: zhmset_of_1 zero_less_iff_1_le_zhmset)
qed
qed

lemma wt_subst:
assumes
  legal: legal_zpassign A and
  wary_ρ: wary_subst ρ
shows wary s ⇒ eval_ztpoly A (wt (subst ρ s)) = eval_ztpoly (subst_zpassign ρ A) (wt s)
proof (induct s rule: tm_induct_apps)
case (apps ζ ss)
note ih = this(1) and wary_ζss = this(2)

have wary_nth_ss:  $\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } ss \implies \text{wary } (ss ! i)$ 
using wary_args[OF _ wary_ζss] by force

show ?case
proof (cases ζ)
case ζ: (Var x)
show ?thesis
proof (cases ρ x rule: tm_exhaust_apps)
case ρx: (apps ξ ts)

  have wary_ρx: wary (ρ x)
  using wary_ρ wary_subst_def by blast

  have coef_subst:  $\bigwedge i. \text{eval\_tpoly } A (\text{zhmset\_of\_tpoly } (\text{coef\_hd } \xi (i + \text{length } ts))) =$ 
    eval_tpoly (subst_zpassign ρ A) (zhmset_of_tpoly (coef_hd (Var x) i))
  by (simp add: ρx)

  have tedious_ary_arith:
    arity_sym_h (min_ground_head (Var x))
    + (arity_sym_h (min_ground_head ξ) - (of_nat (length ss) + of_nat (length ts))) =
    arity_sym_h (min_ground_head ξ) - of_nat (length ts)
    + (arity_sym_h (min_ground_head (Var x)) - of_nat (length ss))
  if δ_gt_0:  $\delta_h > 0$ 
proof -
obtain m where m: of_nat m = arity_sym_h (min_ground_head (Var x))
by (metis arity_sym_h_if_δ_h_gt_0_E[OF δ_gt_0])
obtain n where n: of_nat n = arity_sym_h (min_ground_head ξ)
by (metis arity_sym_h_if_δ_h_gt_0_E[OF δ_gt_0])

  have m ≥ length ss
  unfolding of_nat_le_hmset[symmetric] m using wary_ζss[unfolded ζ]
  by (cases rule: wary_cases_apps_h, clarsimp,

```



```

metis arity_hd.simps(1) enat_ile enat_ord_simps(1) ground_heads_arity
  hmset_of_enat_inject hmset_of_enat_of_nat le_trans m_min_ground_head_in_ground_heads
  of_nat_eq_enat of_nat_le_hmset_of_enat_iff)
moreover have n_ge_len_ss_ts: n ≥ length ss + length ts
proof -
  have of_nat (length ss) + of_nat (length ts) ≤ arity_hd_h ζ + of_nat (length ts)
  using wary_ζss wary_cases_apps_h by fastforce
  also have ... = arity_var_h x + of_nat (length ts)
  by (simp add: ζ)
  also have ... ≤ arity_h (ρ x) + of_nat (length ts)
  using wary_ρ wary_subst_def by auto
  also have ... = arity_h (apps (Hd ξ) ts) + of_nat (length ts)
  by (simp add: ρx)
  also have ... = arity_hd_h ξ
  using wary_ρx[unfolded ρx]
  by (cases rule: wary_cases_apps_h, cases arity_hd ξ,
    simp add: of_nat_add[symmetric] of_nat_minus_hmset[symmetric],
    metis δ_gt_0 arity_hd_ne_infinity_if_δ_gt_0 of_nat_0 of_nat_less_hmset)
  also have ... ≤ arity_sym_h (min_ground_head ξ)
  using ground_heads_arity_h min_ground_head_in_ground_heads by blast
  finally show ?thesis
  unfolding of_nat_le_hmset[symmetric] n by simp
qed
moreover have n ≥ length ts
  using n_ge_len_ss_ts by simp
ultimately show ?thesis
  by (fold m n of_nat_add of_nat_minus_hmset, unfold of_nat_inject_hmset, fastforce)
qed

```

```

have eval_tpoly A (zhmset_of_tpoly (wt (subst ρ (apps (Hd (Var x)) ss)))) =
  eval_tpoly A (zhmset_of_tpoly (wt0 ξ))
  + zhmset_of (δ_h * (arity_sym_h (min_ground_head ξ)
    - (of_nat (length ts) + of_nat (length ss))))
  + wt_args 0 A ξ (ts @ map (subst ρ) ss)
  by (simp del: apps_append add: apps_append[symmetric] ρx wt_args_def comp_def)
also have ... = eval_tpoly A (zhmset_of_tpoly (wt0 ξ))
  + zhmset_of (δ_h * (arity_sym_h (min_ground_head ξ)
    - (of_nat (length ts) + of_nat (length ss))))
  + wt_args 0 A ξ ts + wt_args (length ts) A ξ (map (subst ρ) ss)
  by (simp add: wt_args_def zip_append_0_upt[of ts map (subst ρ) ss, simplified])
also have ... = eval_tpoly A (zhmset_of_tpoly (wt0 ξ))
  + zhmset_of (δ_h * (arity_sym_h (min_ground_head ξ)
    - (of_nat (length ts) + of_nat (length ss))))
  + wt_args 0 A ξ ts + wt_args 0 (subst_zpassign ρ A) (Var x) ss
  by (auto intro!: arg_cong[of _ _ sum_list] nth_map_conv
    simp: wt_args_def coef_subst add.commute zhmset_of_times ih[OF nth_mem wary_nth_ss])
also have ... = eval_tpoly (subst_zpassign ρ A) (zhmset_of_tpoly (wt0 (Var x)))
  + zhmset_of (δ_h * (arity_sym_h (min_ground_head (Var x)) - of_nat (length ss)))
  + wt_args 0 (subst_zpassign ρ A) (Var x) ss
  by (simp add: ρx wt_args_def comp_def algebra_simps ring_distrib(1)[symmetric]
    zhmset_of_times zhmset_of_plus[symmetric] zhmset_of_0[symmetric])
  (use tedious_ary_arith in fastforce)
also have ... = eval_tpoly (subst_zpassign ρ A) (zhmset_of_tpoly (wt (apps (Hd (Var x)) ss)))
  by (simp add: wt_args_def comp_def)
finally show ?thesis
  unfolding ζ by assumption

```

qed

next

case ζ: (Sym f)

```

have eval_tpoly A (zhmset_of_tpoly (wt (subst ρ (apps (Hd (Sym f)) ss)))) =
  zhmset_of (wt_sym f) + zhmset_of (δ_h * (arity_sym_h f - of_nat (length ss)))
  + wt_args 0 A (Sym f) (map (subst ρ) ss)

```

```

    by (simp add: wt_args_def comp_def)
  also have ... = zhmsset_of (wt_sym f) + zhmsset_of ( $\delta_h * (arity\_sym_h f - of\_nat (length ss))$ )
    + wt_args 0 (subst_zpassign  $\rho$  A) (Sym f) ss
    by (auto simp: wt_args_def ih[OF _ wary_nth_ss] intro!: arg_cong[of _ _ sum_list]
        nth_map_conv)
  also have ... = eval_tpoly (subst_zpassign  $\rho$  A) (zhmsset_of_tpoly (wt (apps (Hd (Sym f)) ss)))
    by (simp add: wt_args_def comp_def)
  finally show ?thesis
    unfolding  $\zeta$  by assumption
qed
qed

```

theorem *gt_subst*:

```

  assumes wary_rho: wary_subst  $\rho$ 
  shows wary t  $\implies$  wary s  $\implies$  t  $>_t$  s  $\implies$  subst  $\rho$  t  $>_t$  subst  $\rho$  s
proof (simp only: atomize_imp,
  rule measure_induct_rule[of  $\lambda(t, s). \{\#size\ t, size\ s\}$ 
     $\lambda(t, s). wary\ t \longrightarrow wary\ s \longrightarrow t >_t s \longrightarrow subst\ \rho\ t >_t subst\ \rho\ s\ (t, s),$ 
    simplified prod.case],
  simp only: split_paired_all prod.case atomize_imp[symmetric])
fix t s
assume
  ih:  $\bigwedge ta\ sa. \{\#size\ ta, size\ sa\} < \{\#size\ t, size\ s\} \implies wary\ ta \implies wary\ sa \implies ta >_t sa \implies$ 
    subst  $\rho$  ta  $>_t$  subst  $\rho$  sa and
  wary_t: wary t and wary_s: wary s and t_gt_s: t  $>_t$  s

```

show subst ρ t $>_t$ subst ρ s

using t_gt_s

proof cases

case gt_wt_t_s: gt_wt

have wt (subst ρ t) $>_p$ wt (subst ρ s)

by (auto simp: gt_tpoly_def wary_s wary_t wt_subst[OF _ wary_rho]
 intro: gt_wt_t_s[unfolded gt_tpoly_def, rule_format]
 elim: legal_subst_zpassign[OF _ wary_rho])

thus ?thesis

by (rule gt_wt)

next

assume wt_t_ge_s: wt t \geq_p wt s

have wt_gt_ge_ots: wt (subst ρ t) \geq_p wt (subst ρ s)

by (auto simp: ge_tpoly_def wary_s wary_t wt_subst[OF _ wary_rho]
 intro: wt_t_ge_s[unfolded ge_tpoly_def, rule_format]
 elim: legal_subst_zpassign[OF _ wary_rho])

{

case gt_unary

have wary_ot: wary (subst ρ t)

by (simp add: wary_subst_wary wary_t wary_rho)

show ?thesis

proof (cases t)

case Hd

hence False

using gt_unary(3) **by** simp

thus ?thesis

by sat

next

case t: (App t1 t2)

hence t2: t2 = arg t

by simp

hence wary_t2: wary t2

```

using wary_t by blast

show ?thesis
proof (cases t2 = s)
  case True
  moreover have subst ρ t >t subst ρ t2
  using gt_sub_arg wary_ρt unfolding t by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis
  by simp
next
case t2_ne_s: False
hence t2_gt_s: t2 >t s
  using gt_unary(5) t2 by blast

have subst ρ t2 >t subst ρ s
  by (rule ih[OF _ wary_t2 wary_s t2_gt_s]) (simp add: t)
thus ?thesis
  by (metis gt_sub_arg gt_trans subst.simps(2) t wary_ρ wary_ρt wary_s wary_subst_wary
    wary_t2)
qed
qed
}
{
case _: gt_diff
note hd_t_gt_hd_s = this(2)

have head (subst ρ t) >hd head (subst ρ s)
  by (meson hd_t_gt_hd_s wary_subst_ground_heads gt_hd_def set_rev_mp wary_ρ)
thus ?thesis
  by (rule gt_diff[OF wt_ρt_ge_ρs])
}
{
case _: gt_same
note hd_s_eq_hd_t = this(2) and extf = this(3)

have hd_ρt: head (subst ρ t) = head (subst ρ s)
  by (simp add: hd_s_eq_hd_t)

{
fix f
assume f_in_grs: f ∈ ground_heads (head (subst ρ t))

let ?S = set (args t) ∪ set (args s)

have extf_args_s_t: extf f (op >t) (args t) (args s)
  using extf_in_grs wary_subst_ground_heads wary_ρ by blast
have extf f (op >t) (map (subst ρ) (args t)) (map (subst ρ) (args s))
proof (rule extf_map[of ?S, OF _ _ _ _ _ extf_args_s_t])
  show ∀ x ∈ ?S. ¬ subst ρ x >t subst ρ x
  using gt_irrefl wary_t wary_s wary_args wary_ρ wary_subst_wary by fastforce
next
  show ∀ z ∈ ?S. ∀ y ∈ ?S. ∀ x ∈ ?S. subst ρ z >t subst ρ y ⟶ subst ρ y >t subst ρ x ⟶
    subst ρ z >t subst ρ x
  using gt_trans wary_t wary_s wary_args wary_ρ wary_subst_wary by (metis Un_iff)
next
  have sz_a: ∀ ta ∈ ?S. ∀ sa ∈ ?S. {#size ta, size sa#} < {#size t, size s#}
  by (fastforce intro: Max_lt_imp_lt_mset dest: size_in_args)
  show ∀ y ∈ ?S. ∀ x ∈ ?S. y >t x ⟶ subst ρ y >t subst ρ x
  using ih sz_a size_in_args wary_t wary_s wary_args wary_ρ wary_subst_wary by fastforce
qed auto
hence extf f (op >t) (args (subst ρ t)) (args (subst ρ s))
  by (auto simp: hd_s_eq_hd_t intro: extf_compat_append_left)
}
}

```

```

    hence  $\forall f \in \text{ground\_heads } (\text{head } (\text{subst } \rho t))$ .
      extf f (op  $>_t$ ) (args (subst  $\rho t$ )) (args (subst  $\rho s$ ))
    by blast
  thus ?thesis
    by (rule gt_same[OF wt_ $\rho$ t_ge_ $\rho$ s hd_ $\rho$ t])
}
qed
qed

```

6.10 Totality on Ground Terms

lemma wt_total_ground:

```

assumes
  gr_t: ground t and
  gr_s: ground s
shows wt t  $>_p$  wt s  $\vee$  wt s  $>_p$  wt t  $\vee$  wt t  $=_p$  wt s
unfolding gt_tpoly_def eq_tpoly_def
by (subst (1 2 3) ground_eval_ztpoly_wt_eq[OF gr_t, of _ undefined],
    subst (1 2 3) ground_eval_ztpoly_wt_eq[OF gr_s, of _ undefined], auto)

```

theorem gt_total_ground:

```

assumes
  extf_total:  $\bigwedge f$ . ext_total (extf f) and
  gr_t: ground t and
  gr_s: ground s
shows t  $>_t$  s  $\vee$  s  $>_t$  t  $\vee$  t = s
using gr_t gr_s
proof (induct t arbitrary: s rule: tm_induct_apps)
case t: (apps  $\xi$  ts)
note ih = this(1) and gr_t = this(2) and gr_s = this(3)

```

let ?t = apps (Hd ξ) ts

```

{
  assume wt ?t  $>_p$  wt s
  hence ?t  $>_t$  s
    by (rule gt_wt)
}
moreover
{
  assume wt s  $>_p$  wt ?t
  hence s  $>_t$  ?t
    by (rule gt_wt)
}
moreover
{
  assume wt ?t  $=_p$  wt s
  hence wt t_ge_s: wt ?t  $\geq_p$  wt s and wt_s_ge_t: wt s  $\geq_p$  wt ?t
    by (simp add: eq_tpoly_def ge_tpoly_def)+

```

have ?case

```

proof (cases s rule: tm_exhaust_apps)
case s: (apps  $\zeta$  ss)
obtain g where  $\xi$ :  $\xi = \text{Sym } g$ 
  by (metis ground_head[OF gr_t] hd.collapse(2) head_apps tm.sel(1))
obtain f where  $\zeta$ :  $\zeta = \text{Sym } f$ 
  using s by (metis ground_head[OF gr_s] hd.collapse(2) head_apps tm.sel(1))

```

```

{
  assume g_gt_f: g  $>_s$  f
  have ?t  $>_t$  s
    by (rule gt_diff[OF wt_t_ge_s]) (simp add:  $\xi$   $\zeta$  s g_gt_f gt_hd_def)
}
moreover

```

```

{
  assume f_gt_g: f >_s g
  have s >_t ?t
    by (rule gt_diff[OF wt_s_ge_t]) (simp add: ξ ζ s f_gt_g gt_hd_def)
}
moreover
{
  assume g_eq_f: g = f
  hence hd_t: head ?t = head s
    using ξ ζ t s by force
  note hd_s = hd_t[symmetric]

  have gr_ts: ∀ t ∈ set ts. ground t
    using gr_t by auto
  have gr_ss: ∀ s ∈ set ss. ground s
    using gr_s s by auto

  have ?thesis
  proof (cases ts = ss)
    case ts_eq_ss: True
      show ?thesis
        using s ξ ζ g_eq_f ts_eq_ss by blast
    next
      case False
        hence extf_g (op >_t) ts ss ∨ extf_g (op >_t) ss ts
          using ih gr_ss gr_ts
            ext_total.total[OF extf_total, rule_format, of set ts set ss op >_t ts ss g]
          by blast
        moreover
        {
          assume extf: extf_g (op >_t) ts ss
          have ?t >_t s
            by (rule gt_same[OF wt_t_ge_s hd_t]) (simp add: extf ξ s)
        }
        moreover
        {
          assume extf: extf_g (op >_t) ss ts
          have s >_t ?t
            by (rule gt_same[OF wt_s_ge_t hd_s]) (simp add: extf[unfolded g_eq_f] ζ s)
        }
        ultimately show ?thesis
          by sat
      qed
    }
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using gt_sym_total by blast
  qed
}
ultimately show ?case
  using wt_total_ground[OF gr_t gr_s] by fast
qed

```

6.11 Well-foundedness

abbreviation $gtw :: ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow bool$ (infix $>_{tw}$ 50) where
 $op >_{tw} \equiv \lambda t s. \text{wary } t \wedge \text{wary } s \wedge t >_t s$

abbreviation $gtwg :: ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow ('s, 'v) tm \Rightarrow bool$ (infix $>_{twg}$ 50) where
 $op >_{twg} \equiv \lambda t s. \text{ground } t \wedge t >_{tw} s$

lemma $ground_gt_unary$:

assumes gr_t : $ground\ t$
 shows $\neg gt_unary\ t\ s$

proof

```

assume gt_unary_t_s: gt_unary t s
hence t >_t s
  using gt_iff_wt_unary_diff_same by blast
hence gr_s: ground s
  using gr_t gt_imp_vars by blast

have ngr_t_or_s: ¬ ground t ∨ ¬ ground s
  using gt_unary_t_s by cases (blast dest: ground_head_not_comp_hd_imp_Var)

show False
  using gr_t gr_s ngr_t_or_s by sat
qed

theorem gt_wf: wfP (λs t. t >_{tw} s)
proof -
  have ground_wfP: wfP (λs t. t >_{twg} s)
    unfolding wfP_iff_no_inf_chain
  proof
    assume ∃f. inf_chain (op >_{twg}) f
    then obtain t where t_bad: bad (op >_{twg}) t
      unfolding inf_chain_def bad_def by blast

    let ?ff = worst_chain (op >_{twg}) (λt s. size t > size s)
    let ?A = min_passign

    note wf_sz = wf_app[OF wellorder_class.wf, of size, simplified]

    have ffi_ground: ∧i. ground (?ff i) and ffi_wary: ∧i. wary (?ff i)
      using worst_chain_bad[OF wf_sz t_bad, unfolded inf_chain_def] by fast+

    have inf_chain (op >_{twg}) ?ff
      by (rule worst_chain_bad[OF wf_sz t_bad])
    hence bad_wt_diff_same:
      inf_chain (λt s. ground t ∧ (gt_wt t s ∨ gt_diff t s ∨ gt_same t s)) ?ff
      unfolding inf_chain_def using gt_iff_wt_unary_diff_same ground_gt_unary by blast

    have wf_wt: wf {(s, t). ground t ∧ gt_wt t s}
      by (rule wf_subset[OF wf_app[of _ eval_tpoly ?A ∘ wt, OF wf_less_hmultiset],
        simp add: gt_wt.simps gt_tpoly_def, fold zhmset_of_less,
        auto simp: legal_min_zpassign gt_wt.simps gt_tpoly_def])

    have wt_O_diff_same: {(s, t). ground t ∧ gt_wt t s}
      O {(s, t). ground t ∧ wt t =_p wt s ∧ (gt_diff t s ∨ gt_same t s)}
      ⊆ {(s, t). ground t ∧ gt_wt t s}
      unfolding gt_wt.simps gt_diff.simps gt_same.simps by (auto intro: ge_gt_tpoly_trans)

    have wt_diff_same_as_union:
      {(s, t). ground t ∧ (gt_wt t s ∨ gt_diff t s ∨ gt_same t s)} =
      {(s, t). ground t ∧ gt_wt t s}
      ∪ {(s, t). ground t ∧ wt t =_p wt s ∧ (gt_diff t s ∨ gt_same t s)}
      using gt_ge_tpoly_trans gt_tpoly_irrefl wt_ge_vars wt_total_ground
      by (fastforce simp: gt_wt.simps gt_diff.simps gt_same.simps)

    obtain k1 where bad_diff_same:
      inf_chain (λt s. ground t ∧ wt t =_p wt s ∧ (gt_diff t s ∨ gt_same t s)) (λi. ?ff (i + k1))
      using wf_infinite_down_chain_compatible[OF wf_wt wt_O_diff_same, of ?ff] bad_wt_diff_same
      unfolding inf_chain_def wt_diff_same_as_union[symmetric] by auto

    have wf {(s, t). ground s ∧ ground t ∧ wt t =_p wt s ∧ sym (head t) >_s sym (head s)}
      using gt_sym_wf unfolding wfP_def wf_iff_no_infinite_down_chain by fast
    moreover have {(s, t). ground t ∧ wt t =_p wt s ∧ gt_diff t s}
      ⊆ {(s, t). ground s ∧ ground t ∧ wt t =_p wt s ∧ sym (head t) >_s sym (head s)}
    proof (clarsimp, intro conjI)

```

```

fix s t
assume gr_t: ground t and gt_diff_t_s: gt_diff t s
thus gr_s: ground s
  using gt_iff_wt_unary_diff_same gt_imp_vars by fastforce
show sym (head t) >_s sym (head s)
  using gt_diff_t_s by cases (simp add: gt_hd_def gr_s gr_t ground_hd_in_ground_heads)
qed
ultimately have wf_diff: wf {(s, t). ground t ∧ wt t =p wt s ∧ gt_diff t s}
  by (rule wf_subset)

have diff_O_same:
  {(s, t). ground t ∧ wt t =p wt s ∧ gt_diff t s}
  O {(s, t). ground t ∧ wt t =p wt s ∧ gt_same t s}
  ⊆ {(s, t). ground t ∧ wt t =p wt s ∧ gt_diff t s}
  unfolding gt_diff.simps gt_same.simps by (auto intro: ge_ge_tpoly_trans simp: eq_tpoly_def)

have diff_same_as_union:
  {(s, t). ground t ∧ wt t =p wt s ∧ (gt_diff t s ∨ gt_same t s)} =
  {(s, t). ground t ∧ wt t =p wt s ∧ gt_diff t s}
  ∪ {(s, t). ground t ∧ wt t =p wt s ∧ gt_same t s}
  by auto

obtain k2 where
  bad_same: inf_chain (λt s. ground t ∧ wt t =p wt s ∧ gt_same t s) (λi. ?ff (i + k2))
  using wf_infinite_down_chain_compatible[OF wf_diff diff_O_same, of λi. ?ff (i + k1)]
  bad_diff_same
  unfolding inf_chain_def diff_same_as_union[symmetric] by (auto simp: add.assoc)
hence hd_sym: ∧i. is_Sym (head (?ff (i + k2)))
  unfolding inf_chain_def by (simp add: ground_head)

define f where f = sym (head (?ff k2))
define w where w = eval_tpoly ?A (wt (?ff k2))

have head (?ff (i + k2)) = Sym f ∧ eval_tpoly ?A (wt (?ff (i + k2))) = w for i
proof (induct i)
  case 0
  thus ?case
  by (auto simp: f_def w_def hd.collapse(2)[OF hd_sym, of 0, simplified])
next
  case (Suc ia)
  thus ?case
  using bad_same unfolding inf_chain_def gt_same.simps zhmsset_of_inject[symmetric]
  by (simp add: eq_tpoly_def legal_min_zpassign)
qed
note hd_eq_f = this[THEN conjunct1] and wt_eq_w = this[THEN conjunct2]

define max_args where
  max_args = (if δh = 0 then sum_coefs w else the_enat (arity_sym f))

have nargs_le_max_args: num_args (?ff (i + k2)) ≤ max_args for i
proof (cases δh = 0)
  case δ_ne_0: False
  hence ary_f_ne_inf: arity_sym f ≠ ∞
  using arity_sym_ne_infinity_if_δ_gt_0 of_nat_0 by blast
  show ?thesis
  by (simp del: enat_ord_simps(1) add: max_args_def δ_ne_0 enat_ord_simps(1)[symmetric]
    enat_the_enat_iden[OF ary_f_ne_inf])
    (rule wary_num_args_le_arity_head[OF ffi_wary[of i + k2], unfolded hd_eq_f, simplified])
next
  case δ_eq_0: True
  show ?thesis
  using sum_coefs_ge_num_args_if_δh_eq_0[OF legal_min_passign δ_eq_0 ffi_wary[of i + k2]]
  by (simp add: max_args_def δ_eq_0 wt_eq_w)

```

qed

let ?U_of = $\lambda i. \text{set} (\text{args} (\text{?ff} (i + k2)))$

define U where $U = (\bigcup i. \text{?U_of } i)$

have gr_u: $\bigwedge u. u \in U \implies \text{ground } u$
unfolding U_def by (blast dest: ground_args[OF _ ffi_ground])

have wary_u: $\bigwedge u. u \in U \implies \text{wary } u$
unfolding U_def by (blast dest: wary_args[OF _ ffi_wary])

have $\neg \text{bad} (\text{op} >_{twg}) u$ if u_in: $u \in \text{?U_of } i$ for u i

proof

assume u_bad: $\text{bad} (\text{op} >_{twg}) u$
have sz_u: $\text{size } u < \text{size} (\text{?ff} (i + k2))$
by (rule size_in_args[OF u_in])

show False

proof (cases i + k2)

case 0

thus False

using sz_u min_worst_chain_0[OF wf_sz u_bad] by simp

next

case Suc

hence gt: $\text{?ff} (i + k2 - 1) >_{tw} \text{?ff} (i + k2)$

using worst_chain_pred[OF wf_sz t_bad] by auto

moreover have $\text{?ff} (i + k2) >_{tw} u$

using gt gt_proper_sub sub_args sz_u u_in wary_args by auto

ultimately have $\text{?ff} (i + k2 - 1) >_{tw} u$

using gt_trans by blast

thus False

using Suc sz_u min_worst_chain_Suc[OF wf_sz u_bad] ffi_ground by fastforce

qed

qed

hence u_good: $\bigwedge u. u \in U \implies \neg \text{bad} (\text{op} >_{twg}) u$

unfolding U_def by blast

let ?gtwu = $\lambda t s. t \in U \wedge t >_{tw} s$

have gtwu_irrefl: $\bigwedge x. \neg \text{?gtwu } x x$

using gt_irrefl by auto

have $\bigwedge i j. \forall t \in \text{set} (\text{args} (\text{?ff} (i + k2))). \forall s \in \text{set} (\text{args} (\text{?ff} (j + k2))). t >_t s \implies t \in U \wedge t >_{tw} s$

using wary_u unfolding U_def by blast

moreover have $\bigwedge i. \text{extf } f (\text{op} >_i) (\text{args} (\text{?ff} (i + k2))) (\text{args} (\text{?ff} (\text{Suc } i + k2)))$

using bad_same hd_eq_f unfolding inf_chain_def gt_same.simps by auto

ultimately have $\bigwedge i. \text{extf } f \text{?gtwu} (\text{args} (\text{?ff} (i + k2))) (\text{args} (\text{?ff} (\text{Suc } i + k2)))$

by (rule extf_mono_strong)

hence inf_chain (extf f ?gtwu) ($\lambda i. \text{args} (\text{?ff} (i + k2))$)

unfolding inf_chain_def by blast

hence nwf_ext:

$\neg \text{wfP} (\lambda xs ys. \text{length } ys \leq \text{max_args} \wedge \text{length } xs \leq \text{max_args} \wedge \text{extf } f \text{?gtwu } ys xs)$

unfolding inf_chain_def wfP_def wf_iff_no_infinite_down_chain using nargs_le_max_args by fast

have gtwu_le_gtwg: $\text{?gtwu} \leq \text{op} >_{twg}$

by (auto intro!: gr_u)

have wfP ($\lambda s t. \text{?gtwu } t s$)

unfolding wfP_iff_no_inf_chain

proof (intro notI, elim exE)

fix f

assume bad_f: $\text{inf_chain } \text{?gtwu } f$


```

hence bad_f0: bad ?gtwu (f 0)
  by (rule inf_chain_bad)
hence f 0 ∈ U
  using bad_f unfolding inf_chain_def by blast
hence ¬ bad (op >twg) (f 0)
  using u_good by blast
hence ¬ bad ?gtwu (f 0)
  using bad_f inf_chain_bad inf_chain_subset[OF _gtwu_le_gtwg] by blast
thus False
  using bad_f0 by sat
qed
hence wf_ext: wfP (λxs ys. length ys ≤ max_args ∧ length xs ≤ max_args ∧ extf ?gtwu ys xs)
  using extf_wf_bounded[of ?gtwu] gtwu_irrefl by blast

show False
  using nwf_ext wf_ext by blast
qed

let ?subst = subst grounding_ρ

have wfP (λs t. ?subst t >twg ?subst s)
  by (rule wfP_app[OF ground_wfP])
hence wfP (λs t. ?subst t >tw ?subst s)
  by (simp add: ground_grounding_ρ)
thus ?thesis
  by (auto intro: wfP_subset wary_subst_wary[OF wary_grounding_ρ] gt_subst[OF wary_grounding_ρ])
qed

end

end

```

7 Knuth–Bendix Orders for Lambda-Free Higher-Order Terms

```

theory Lambda_Free_KBOs
imports Lambda_Free_KBO_App Lambda_Free_KBO_Basic Lambda_Free_TKBO_Coefs
begin

```

```

locale simple_kbo_instances
begin

```

```

definition arity_sym :: nat ⇒ enat where
  arity_sym n = ∞

```

```

definition arity_var :: nat ⇒ enat where
  arity_var n = ∞

```

```

definition ground_head_var :: nat ⇒ nat set where
  ground_head_var x = UNIV

```

```

definition gt_sym :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ bool where
  gt_sym g f ↔ g > f

```

```

definition  $\varepsilon$  :: nat where
   $\varepsilon$  = 1

```

```

definition  $\delta$  :: nat where
   $\delta$  = 0

```

```

definition wt_sym :: nat ⇒ nat where
  wt_sym n = 1

```

```

definition wt_symh :: nat ⇒ hmultiset where

```

$wt_sym_h\ n = 1$

definition $coef_sym_h :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow hmultiset$ **where**
 $coef_sym_h\ n\ i = 1$

sublocale kbo_app : $kbo_app\ gt_sym\ wt_sym \in len_lexext$
by $unfold_locales$ ($auto\ simp$: $gt_sym_def\ \varepsilon_def\ wt_sym_def$ $intro$: wf_less [$folded\ wfP_def$])

sublocale kbo_basic : $kbo_basic\ gt_sym\ wt_sym \in \lambda f. len_lexext\ ground_head_var$
by $unfold_locales$ ($auto\ simp$: $ground_head_var_def\ gt_sym_def\ \varepsilon_def\ wt_sym_def$)

sublocale kbo_std : $kbo_std\ ground_head_var\ gt_sym \in \delta\ \lambda f. len_lexext\ arity_sym\ arity_var\ wt_sym$
by $unfold_locales$
($auto\ simp$: $arity_sym_def\ arity_var_def\ ground_head_var_def\ \varepsilon_def\ \delta_def\ wt_sym_def$)

sublocale $tkbo_coefs$: $tkbo_coefs\ ground_head_var\ gt_sym \in \delta\ \lambda f. len_lexext\ arity_sym\ arity_var$
 $wt_sym_h\ coef_sym_h$
by $unfold_locales$ ($auto\ simp$: $\varepsilon_def\ \delta_def\ wt_sym_h_def\ coef_sym_h_def$)

end

end