

Converting Linear Temporal Logic to Deterministic (Generalized) Rabin Automata

Salomon Sickert

December 14, 2021

Abstract

Recently a new method directly translating linear temporal logic (LTL) formulas to deterministic (generalized) Rabin automata was described in [1].

Compared to the existing approaches of constructing a non-deterministic Buechi-automaton in the first step and then applying a determinization procedure (e.g. some variant of Safra's construction) in a second step, this new approach preserves a relation between the formula and the states of the resulting automaton. While the old approach produced a monolithic structure, the new method is compositional. Furthermore it was shown in some cases the resulting automata were much smaller than the automata generated by existing approaches. In order to guarantee the correctness of the construction this entry contains a complete formalisation and verification of the translation. Furthermore from this basis executable code is generated.

Contents

1 Auxiliary Facts	5
1.1 Finite and Infinite Sets	5
1.2 Cofinite Filters	6
2 Auxiliary Map Facts	6
3 Auxiliary Mapping Facts	7
4 Deterministic Transition Systems	8
4.1 Infinite Runs	8
4.2 Reachable States and Transitions	9
4.2.1 Relation to runs	9
4.2.2 Compute reach Using DFS	10
4.3 Product of DTS	11
4.4 (Generalised) Product of DTS	12

4.5	Simple Product Construction Helper Functions and Lemmas	12
4.6	Product Construction Helper Functions and Lemmas	14
4.7	Transfer Rules	15
4.8	Lift to Mapping	16
5	Mojmir Automata (Without Final States)	16
5.1	Definitions	17
5.1.1	Iterative Computation of State-Ranks	18
5.1.2	Properties of Tokens	19
5.2	Token Run	19
5.2.1	Step Lemmas	20
5.3	Configuration	21
5.3.1	Properties	21
5.3.2	Monotonicity	21
5.3.3	Pull-Up and Push-Down	21
5.3.4	Step Lemmas	21
5.4	Oldest Token	23
5.4.1	Properties	23
5.4.2	Monotonicity	23
5.4.3	Pull-Up and Push-Down	23
5.5	Senior Token	23
5.5.1	Properties	23
5.5.2	Monotonicity	24
5.5.3	Pull-Up and Push-Down	24
5.6	Set of Older Seniors	24
5.6.1	Properties	24
5.6.2	Monotonicity	26
5.6.3	Pull-Up and Push-Down	27
5.6.4	Tower Lemma	27
5.7	Rank	28
5.7.1	Properties	28
5.7.2	Bounds	29
5.7.3	Monotonicity	29
5.7.4	Pull-Up and Push-Down	30
5.7.5	Pulled-Up Lemmas	30
5.7.6	Stable Rank	30
5.7.7	Tower Lemma	31
5.8	Senior States	31
5.9	Rank of States	33
5.9.1	Alternative Definitions	33
5.9.2	Pull-Up and Push-Down	33
5.9.3	Properties	33
5.10	Step Function	35
5.10.1	Definition of initial and step	37

6 Mojmir Automata	38
6.1 Definitions	38
6.2 Token Properties	40
6.2.1 Alternative Definitions	40
6.2.2 Properties	40
6.2.3 Pulled-Up Lemmas	40
6.3 Mojmir Acceptance	41
6.4 Equivalent Acceptance Conditions	41
6.4.1 Token-Based Definitions	41
6.4.2 Time-Based Definitions	42
6.4.3 Relation to Mojmir Acceptance	45
6.5 Succeeding Tokens (Alternative Definition)	45
7 (Generalized) Rabin Automata	46
7.1 Restriction Lemmas	48
7.2 Abstraction Lemmas	49
7.3 LTS Lemmas	49
7.4 Combination Lemmas	50
8 Auxiliary List Facts	50
8.1 remdups_fwd	50
8.2 Split Lemmas	51
8.3 Short-circuited Version of <i>foldl</i>	54
8.4 Suffixes	54
9 Translation to Deterministic Transition-Based Rabin Automata	54
9.1 Well-formedness	56
9.2 Correctness	56
10 LTL (in Negation-Normal-Form, FGXU-Syntax)	57
10.1 Syntax	57
10.2 Semantics	57
10.2.1 Properties	58
10.2.2 Limit Behaviour of the G-operator	59
10.3 Subformulae	60
10.3.1 Propositions	60
10.3.2 G-Subformulae	60
10.4 Propositional Implication and Equivalence	61
10.4.1 Quotient Type for Propositional Equivalence	62
10.4.2 Propositional Equivalence implies LTL Equivalence	63
10.5 Substitution	63
10.6 Additional Operators	64
10.6.1 And	64

10.6.2	Lifted Variant	64
10.6.3	Or	65
10.6.4	$eval_G$	66
10.7	Finite Quotient Set	67
11	af - Unfolding Functions	68
11.1	af	68
11.2	af_G	70
11.3	G-Subformulae Simplification	71
11.4	Relation between af and af_G	72
11.5	Continuation	73
11.6	Eager Unfolding af and af_G	73
11.7	Lifted Functions	75
11.7.1	Properties	76
12	Logical Characterization Theorems	77
12.1	Eventually True G-Subformulae	77
12.2	Eventually Provable and Almost All Eventually Provable	78
12.2.1	Proof Rules	78
12.2.2	Threshold	79
12.2.3	Relation to LTL semantics	79
12.2.4	Closed Sets	79
12.2.5	Conjunction of Eventually Provable Formulas	80
12.3	Technical Lemmas	80
12.4	Main Results	81
13	Translation from LTL to (Deterministic Transitions-Based) Generalised Rabin Automata	81
13.1	Preliminary Facts	82
13.2	Single Secondary Automaton	82
13.2.1	LTL-to-Mojmir Lemmas	84
13.3	Product of Secondary Automata	84
13.4	Automaton Template	86
13.5	Generalized Deterministic Rabin Automaton	90
13.5.1	Definition	90
13.5.2	Correctness Theorem	90
14	Eager Unfolding Optimisation	91
14.1	Preliminary Facts	91
14.2	Equivalences between the standard and the eager Mojmir construction	92
14.3	Automaton Definition	93
14.4	Properties	93
14.5	Correctness Theorem	93

15 LTL Translation Layer	94
16 LTL Code Equations	96
16.1 Subformulae	96
16.2 Propositional Equivalence	97
16.3 Remove Constants	97
16.4 And/Or Constructors	98
17 af - Unfolding Functions - Optimized Code Equations	99
17.1 Helper Function	100
17.2 Optimized Equations	100
17.3 Register Code Equations	105
18 Executable Translation from Mojmir to Rabin Automata	106
18.0.1 nxt Properties	107
18.0.2 rk Properties	107
18.0.3 Relation to (Semi) Mojmir Automata	108
18.1 Compute Rabin Automata List Representation	110
18.2 Code Generation	110
19 Executable Translation from LTL to Rabin Automata	111
19.1 Template	111
19.1.1 Definition	111
19.1.2 Correctness	113
19.2 Generalized Deterministic Rabin Automaton (af)	114
19.3 Generalized Deterministic Rabin Automaton (eager af)	115
20 Code Generation	116
20.1 External Interface	116
20.2 Normalize Equivalence Classes During DFS-Search	117
20.3 Register Code Equations	118

1 Auxiliary Facts

```
theory Preliminaries2
imports Main HOL-Library.Infinite-Set
begin
```

1.1 Finite and Infinite Sets

```
lemma finite-product:
assumes fst: finite (fst ` A)
and snd: finite (snd ` A)
shows finite A
⟨proof⟩
```

1.2 Cofinite Filters

lemma *almost-all-commutative*:

$$\text{finite } S \implies (\forall x \in S. \forall_{\infty} i. P x (i::nat)) = (\forall_{\infty} i. \forall x \in S. P x i)$$

(proof)

lemma *almost-all-commutative'*:

$$\text{finite } S \implies (\bigwedge x. x \in S \implies \forall_{\infty} i. P x (i::nat)) \implies (\forall_{\infty} i. \forall x \in S. P x i)$$

(proof)

fun *index*

where

$$index P = (\text{if } \forall_{\infty} i. P i \text{ then } Some (\text{LEAST } i. \forall j \geq i. P j) \text{ else } None)$$

lemma *index-properties*:

fixes *i* :: nat

$$\text{shows } index P = Some i \implies 0 < i \implies \neg P (i - 1)$$

and *index P = Some i* $\implies j \geq i \implies P j$

(proof)

end

2 Auxiliary Map Facts

theory *Map2*

imports *Main*

begin

lemma *map-of-tabulate*:

$$\text{map-of } (\text{map } (\lambda x. (x, f x)) xs) x \neq None \iff x \in set xs$$

(proof)

lemma *map-of-tabulate-simp*:

$$\text{map-of } (\text{map } (\lambda x. (x, f x)) xs) x = (\text{if } x \in set xs \text{ then } Some (f x) \text{ else } None)$$

(proof)

lemma *dom-map-update*:

$$\text{dom } (m (k \mapsto v)) = \text{dom } m \cup \{k\}$$

(proof)

lemma *map-equal*:

$$\text{dom } m = \text{dom } m' \implies (\bigwedge x. x \in \text{dom } m \implies m x = m' x) \implies m = m'$$

(proof)

```

lemma map-reduce:
  assumes dom m = {a} ∪ B
  shows ∃ m'. dom m' = B ∧ (∀ x ∈ B. m x = m' x)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

3 Auxiliary Mapping Facts

```

theory Mapping2
  imports Main Map2 HOL-Library.Mapping
begin

lemma lookup-delete:
  Mapping.lookup (Mapping.delete k m) k = None
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lookup-tabulate:
  Mapping.lookup (Mapping.tabulate xs f) x = (if x ∈ set xs then Some (f x) else None)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lookup-tabulate-Some:
  x ∈ set xs ==> the (Mapping.lookup (Mapping.tabulate xs f) x) = f x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-keys-tabulate:
  finite (Mapping.keys (Mapping.tabulate xs f))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma keys-empty-iff-map-empty:
  Mapping.keys m = {} ↔ m = Mapping.empty
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mapping-equal:
  Mapping.keys m = Mapping.keys m' ==> (∀ x. x ∈ Mapping.keys m ==>
  Mapping.lookup m x = Mapping.lookup m' x) ==> m = m'
  ⟨proof⟩

fun mapping-generator :: ('a ⇒ 'b list) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ ('a, 'b) mapping set
where
  mapping-generator V [] = {Mapping.empty}

```

$| \text{mapping-generator } V (k\#ks) = \{\text{Mapping.update } k v m \mid v \in \text{set } (V k) \wedge m \in \text{mapping-generator } V ks\}$

fun *mapping-generator-list* :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b list) \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) mapping list
where

mapping-generator-list $V [] = [\text{Mapping.empty}]$

$| \text{mapping-generator-list } V (k\#ks) = \text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda m. \text{map } (\lambda v. \text{Mapping.update } k v m) (V k)) (\text{mapping-generator-list } V ks))$

lemma *mapping-generator-code* [code]:

mapping-generator $V K = \text{set } (\text{mapping-generator-list } V K)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mapping-generator-set-eq*:

mapping-generator $V K = \{m. \text{Mapping.keys } m = \text{set } K \wedge (\forall k \in (\text{set } K). \text{the } (\text{Mapping.lookup } m k) \in \text{set } (V k))\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

4 Deterministic Transition Systems

theory *DTS*

imports Main HOL-Library.Omega-Words-Fun Auxiliary/Mapping2 KBPs.DFS
begin

— DTS are realised by functions

type-synonym ('a, 'b) *DTS* = 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a
type-synonym ('a, 'b) *transition* = ('a \times 'b \times 'a)

4.1 Infinite Runs

fun *run* :: ('q, 'a) *DTS* \Rightarrow 'q \Rightarrow 'a word \Rightarrow 'q word
where

run δ $q_0 w \theta = q_0$

$| \text{run } \delta q_0 w (\text{Suc } i) = \delta (\text{run } \delta q_0 w i) (w i)$

fun *runt* :: ('q, 'a) *DTS* \Rightarrow 'q \Rightarrow 'a word \Rightarrow ('q * 'a * 'q) word
where

runt $\delta q_0 w i = (\text{run } \delta q_0 w i, w i, \text{run } \delta q_0 w (\text{Suc } i))$

lemma *run-foldl*:

run $\Delta q_0 w i = \text{foldl } \Delta q_0 (\text{map } w [0..<i])$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $runt_t\text{-foldl}:$

$runt_t \Delta q_0 w i = (\text{foldl } \Delta q_0 (\text{map } w [0..<i]), w i, \text{foldl } \Delta q_0 (\text{map } w [0..<\text{Suc } i]))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

4.2 Reachable States and Transitions

definition $reach :: 'a set \Rightarrow ('b, 'a) DTS \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b set$

where

$reach \Sigma \delta q_0 = \{run \delta q_0 w n \mid w n. \text{range } w \subseteq \Sigma\}$

definition $reach_t :: 'a set \Rightarrow ('b, 'a) DTS \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('b, 'a) \text{ transition set}$

where

$reach_t \Sigma \delta q_0 = \{runt_t \delta q_0 w n \mid w n. \text{range } w \subseteq \Sigma\}$

lemma $reach\text{-foldl-def}:$

assumes $\Sigma \neq \{\}$

shows $reach \Sigma \delta q_0 = \{\text{foldl } \delta q_0 w \mid w. \text{set } w \subseteq \Sigma\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $reach_t\text{-foldl-def}:$

$reach_t \Sigma \delta q_0 = \{(\text{foldl } \delta q_0 w, \nu, \text{foldl } \delta q_0 (w @ [\nu])) \mid w \nu. \text{set } w \subseteq \Sigma \wedge \nu \in \Sigma\}$ (**is** $?lhs = ?rhs$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $reach\text{-card-0}:$

assumes $\Sigma \neq \{\}$

shows $\text{infinite } (reach \Sigma \delta q_0) \longleftrightarrow \text{card } (reach \Sigma \delta q_0) = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $reach_t\text{-card-0}:$

assumes $\Sigma \neq \{\}$

shows $\text{infinite } (reach_t \Sigma \delta q_0) \longleftrightarrow \text{card } (reach_t \Sigma \delta q_0) = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

4.2.1 Relation to runs

lemma $run\text{-subseteq-reach}:$

assumes $\text{range } w \subseteq \Sigma$

shows $\text{range } (\text{run } \delta q_0 w) \subseteq \text{reach } \Sigma \delta q_0$

and $\text{range } (\text{runt } \delta q_0 w) \subseteq \text{reach}_t \Sigma \delta q_0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma limit-subseteq-reach:
  assumes range w ⊆ Σ
  shows limit (run δ q₀ w) ⊆ reach Σ δ q₀
  and limit (runt δ q₀ w) ⊆ reacht Σ δ q₀
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma runt-finite:
  assumes finite (reach Σ δ q₀)
  assumes finite Σ
  assumes range w ⊆ Σ
  defines r ≡ runt δ q₀ w
  shows finite (range r)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

4.2.2 Compute reach Using DFS

```

definition Q_L :: 'a list ⇒ ('b, 'a) DTS ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b set
where
  Q_L Σ δ q₀ = (if Σ ≠ [] then gen-dfs (λq. map (δ q) Σ) Set.insert (∈) {} [q₀] else {})

```

```

definition list-dfs :: (('a, 'b) transition ⇒ ('a, 'b) transition list) ⇒ ('a, 'b) transition list => ('a, 'b) transition list => ('a, 'b) transition list
where
  list-dfs succ S start ≡ gen-dfs succ List.insert (λx xs. x ∈ set xs) S start

```

```

definition δ_L :: 'a list ⇒ ('b, 'a) DTS ⇒ 'b ⇒ ('b, 'a) transition set
where
  δ_L Σ δ q₀ = set (
    let
      start = map (λν. (q₀, ν, δ q₀ ν)) Σ;
      succ = λ(-, -, q). (map (λν. (q, ν, δ q ν)) Σ)
    in
      (list-dfs succ [] start))

```

```

lemma Q_L-reach:
  assumes finite (reach (set Σ) δ q₀)
  shows Q_L Σ δ q₀ = reach (set Σ) δ q₀
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma δ_L-reach:
  assumes finite (reacht (set Σ) δ q₀)
  shows δ_L Σ δ q₀ = reacht (set Σ) δ q₀

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reach-reach_t-fst*:

reach $\Sigma \delta q_0 = fst`reach_t \Sigma \delta q_0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *finite-reach*:

finite (*reach_t* $\Sigma \delta q_0) \implies finite(reach \Sigma \delta q_0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *finite-reach_t*:

assumes *finite* (*reach* $\Sigma \delta q_0)$
assumes *finite* Σ
shows *finite* (*reach_t* $\Sigma \delta q_0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Q_L-eq-δ_L*:

assumes *finite* (*reach_t* (*set* Σ) $\delta q_0)$
shows $Q_L \Sigma \delta q_0 = fst`(\delta_L \Sigma \delta q_0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.3 Product of DTS

fun *simple-product* :: ('a, 'c) DTS \Rightarrow ('b, 'c) DTS \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b, 'c) DTS (-
 \times -)

where

$\delta_1 \times \delta_2 = (\lambda(q_1, q_2) \nu. (\delta_1 q_1 \nu, \delta_2 q_2 \nu))$

lemma *simple-product-run*:

fixes $\delta_1 \delta_2 w q_1 q_2$
defines $\varrho \equiv run(\delta_1 \times \delta_2)(q_1, q_2) w$
defines $\varrho_1 \equiv run \delta_1 q_1 w$
defines $\varrho_2 \equiv run \delta_2 q_2 w$
shows $\varrho i = (\varrho_1 i, \varrho_2 i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *finite-reach-simple-product*:

assumes *finite* (*reach* $\Sigma \delta_1 q_1)$
assumes *finite* (*reach* $\Sigma \delta_2 q_2)$
shows *finite* (*reach* $\Sigma (\delta_1 \times \delta_2)(q_1, q_2)$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.4 (Generalised) Product of DTS

```

fun product :: ('a ⇒ ('b, 'c) DTS) ⇒ ('a → 'b, 'c) DTS ( $\Delta_{\times}$ )
where

$$\Delta_{\times} \delta_m = (\lambda q \nu. (\lambda x. \text{case } q x \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None} \mid \text{Some } y \Rightarrow \text{Some } (\delta_m x y \nu)))$$


lemma product-run-None:
  fixes  $\iota_m \delta_m w$ 
  defines  $\varrho \equiv \text{run } (\Delta_{\times} \delta_m) \iota_m w$ 
  assumes  $\iota_m k = \text{None}$ 
  shows  $\varrho i k = \text{None}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma product-run-Some:
  fixes  $\iota_m \delta_m w q_0 k$ 
  defines  $\varrho \equiv \text{run } (\Delta_{\times} \delta_m) \iota_m w$ 
  defines  $\varrho' \equiv \text{run } (\delta_m k) q_0 w$ 
  assumes  $\iota_m k = \text{Some } q_0$ 
  shows  $\varrho i k = \text{Some } (\varrho' i)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem finite-reach-product:
  assumes finite (dom  $\iota_m$ )
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{dom } \iota_m \implies \text{finite } (\text{reach } \Sigma (\delta_m x) (\text{the } (\iota_m x)))$ 
  shows finite (reach  $\Sigma (\Delta_{\times} \delta_m) \iota_m$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

4.5 Simple Product Construction Helper Functions and Lemmas

```

fun embed-transition-fst :: ('a, 'c) transition ⇒ ('a × 'b, 'c) transition set
where
  embed-transition-fst (q, ν, q') = {((q, x), ν, (q', y)) | x y. True}

fun embed-transition-snd :: ('b, 'c) transition ⇒ ('a × 'b, 'c) transition set
where
  embed-transition-snd (q, ν, q') = {((x, q), ν, (y, q')) | x y. True}

lemma embed-transition-snd-unfold:
  embed-transition-snd t = {((x, fst t), fst (snd t), (y, snd (snd t))) | x y.
  True}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

fun project-transition-fst :: ('a × 'b, 'c) transition ⇒ ('a, 'c) transition
where
  project-transition-fst (x, ν, y) = (fst x, ν, fst y)

fun project-transition-snd :: ('a × 'b, 'c) transition ⇒ ('b, 'c) transition
where
  project-transition-snd (x, ν, y) = (snd x, ν, snd y)

lemma
  fixes δ1 δ2 w q1 q2
  defines ρ ≡ runt (δ1 × δ2) (q1, q2) w
  defines ρ1 ≡ runt δ1 q1 w
  defines ρ2 ≡ runt δ2 q2 w
  shows product-run-project-fst: project-transition-fst (ρ i) = ρ1 i
    and product-run-project-snd: project-transition-snd (ρ i) = ρ2 i
    and product-run-embed-fst: ρ i ∈ embed-transition-fst (ρ1 i)
    and product-run-embed-snd: ρ i ∈ embed-transition-snd (ρ2 i)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma
  fixes δ1 δ2 w q1 q2
  defines ρ ≡ runt (δ1 × δ2) (q1, q2) w
  defines ρ1 ≡ runt δ1 q1 w
  defines ρ2 ≡ runt δ2 q2 w
  assumes finite (range ρ)
  shows product-run-finite-fst: finite (range ρ1)
    and product-run-finite-snd: finite (range ρ2)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma
  fixes δ1 δ2 w q1 q2
  defines ρ ≡ runt (δ1 × δ2) (q1, q2) w
  defines ρ1 ≡ runt δ1 q1 w
  assumes finite (range ρ)
  shows product-run-project-limit-fst: project-transition-fst ` limit ρ = limit
    ρ1
    and product-run-embed-limit-fst: limit ρ ⊆ ∪ (embed-transition-fst ` (limit ρ1))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma
  fixes δ1 δ2 w q1 q2
  defines ρ ≡ runt (δ1 × δ2) (q1, q2) w
  defines ρ2 ≡ runt δ2 q2 w

```

```

assumes finite (range  $\varrho$ )
shows product-run-project-limit-snd: project-transition-snd ` limit  $\varrho$  =
limit  $\varrho_2$ 
and product-run-embed-limit-snd: limit  $\varrho \subseteq \bigcup$  (embed-transition-snd `
(limit  $\varrho_2$ ))
⟨proof⟩

lemma
fixes  $\delta_1 \delta_2 w q_1 q_2$ 
defines  $\varrho \equiv \text{runt} (\delta_1 \times \delta_2) (q_1, q_2) w$ 
defines  $\varrho_1 \equiv \text{runt} \delta_1 q_1 w$ 
defines  $\varrho_2 \equiv \text{runt} \delta_2 q_2 w$ 
assumes finite (range  $\varrho$ )
shows product-run-embed-limit-finiteness-fst: limit  $\varrho \cap (\bigcup$  (embed-transition-fst
`  $S)) = \{\} \longleftrightarrow \text{limit } \varrho_1 \cap S = \{\}$  (is ?thesis1)
and product-run-embed-limit-finiteness-snd: limit  $\varrho \cap (\bigcup$  (embed-transition-snd
`  $S')) = \{\} \longleftrightarrow \text{limit } \varrho_2 \cap S' = \{\}$  (is ?thesis2)
⟨proof⟩

```

4.6 Product Construction Helper Functions and Lemmas

```

fun embed-transition :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('b, 'c) transition  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\rightarrow$  'b, 'c) transition
set ( $\lambda$ -)

```

where

$$\lambda_x (q, \nu, q') = \{(m, \nu, m') \mid m m'. m x = \text{Some } q \wedge m' x = \text{Some } q'\}$$

```

fun project-transition :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\rightarrow$  'b, 'c) transition  $\Rightarrow$  ('b, 'c) transition
( $\lambda$ -)

```

where

$$\lambda_x (m, \nu, m') = (\text{the } (m x), \nu, \text{the } (m' x))$$

```

fun embed-pair :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  (('b, 'c) transition set  $\times$  ('b, 'c) transition set)  $\Rightarrow$ 
(((a  $\rightarrow$  'b, 'c) transition set  $\times$  (a  $\rightarrow$  'b, 'c) transition set) ( $\lambda$ -))

```

where

$$\lambda_x (S, S') = (\bigcup (\lambda_x ' S), \bigcup (\lambda_x ' S'))$$

```

fun project-pair :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  (((a  $\rightarrow$  'b, 'c) transition set  $\times$  (a  $\rightarrow$  'b, 'c) transition
set)  $\Rightarrow$  (('b, 'c) transition set  $\times$  ('b, 'c) transition set) ( $\lambda$ -))

```

where

$$\lambda_x (S, S') = (\lambda_x ' S, \lambda_x ' S')$$

lemma embed-transition-unfold:

```

embed-transition x t = {(m, fst (snd t), m') \mid m m'. m x = \text{Some } (fst t)
\wedge m' x = \text{Some } (snd (snd t))}
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

```
fixes  $\iota_m \delta_m w q_0$ 
fixes  $x :: 'a$ 
defines  $\varrho \equiv run_t (\Delta_\times \delta_m) \iota_m w$ 
defines  $\varrho' \equiv run_t (\delta_m x) q_0 w$ 
assumes  $\iota_m x = Some q_0$ 
shows product-run-project:  $\downarrow_x (\varrho i) = \varrho' i$ 
  and product-run-embed:  $\varrho i \in \downarrow_x (\varrho' i)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

lemma

```
fixes  $\iota_m \delta_m w q_0 x$ 
defines  $\varrho \equiv run_t (\Delta_\times \delta_m) \iota_m w$ 
defines  $\varrho' \equiv run_t (\delta_m x) q_0 w$ 
assumes  $\iota_m x = Some q_0$ 
assumes finite (range  $\varrho$ )
shows product-run-project-limit:  $\downarrow_x \text{`limit } \varrho = \text{limit } \varrho'$ 
  and product-run-embed-limit:  $\text{limit } \varrho \subseteq \bigcup (\downarrow_x \text{`}(\text{limit } \varrho'))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

lemma *product-run-embed-limit-finiteness*:

```
fixes  $\iota_m \delta_m w q_0 k$ 
defines  $\varrho \equiv run_t (\Delta_\times \delta_m) \iota_m w$ 
defines  $\varrho' \equiv run_t (\delta_m k) q_0 w$ 
assumes  $\iota_m k = Some q_0$ 
assumes finite (range  $\varrho$ )
shows limit  $\varrho \cap (\bigcup (\downarrow_k \text{`} S)) = \{\}$   $\longleftrightarrow$  limit  $\varrho' \cap S = \{\}$ 
(is ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

4.7 Transfer Rules

context includes *lifting-syntax*
begin

lemma *product-parametric* [*transfer-rule*]:

```
(( $A ==> B ==> C ==> B$ ) ==> ( $A ==> \text{rel-option } B$ )
==>  $C ==> A ==> \text{rel-option } B$ ) product product
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

lemma *run-parametric* [*transfer-rule*]:

```
(( $A ==> B ==> A$ ) ==>  $A ==> ((=) ==> B) ==> (=)$ 
```

```

=====> A) run run
⟨proof⟩

lemma reach-parametric [transfer-rule]:
  assumes bi-total B
  assumes bi-unique B
  shows (rel-set B =====> (A =====> B =====> A) =====> A =====> rel-set
  A) reach reach
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

4.8 Lift to Mapping

```

lift-definition product-abs :: ('a ⇒ ('b, 'c) DTS) ⇒ (('a, 'b) mapping, 'c)
DTS ( $\uparrow\Delta_X$ ) is product
parametric product-parametric ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma product-abs-run-None:
  Mapping.lookup  $\iota_m$  k = None  $\implies$  Mapping.lookup (run ( $\uparrow\Delta_X \delta_m$ )  $\iota_m$  w
i) k = None
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma product-abs-run-Some:
  Mapping.lookup  $\iota_m$  k = Some q0  $\implies$  Mapping.lookup (run ( $\uparrow\Delta_X \delta_m$ )  $\iota_m$ 
w i) k = Some (run ( $\delta_m$  k) q0 w i)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem finite-reach-product-abs:
  assumes finite (Mapping.keys  $\iota_m$ )
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in (\text{Mapping.keys } \iota_m) \implies \text{finite} (\text{reach } \Sigma (\delta_m x) (\text{the}$ 
 $(\text{Mapping.lookup } \iota_m x)))$ 
  shows finite (reach  $\Sigma (\uparrow\Delta_X \delta_m) \iota_m$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

```

5 Mojmir Automata (Without Final States)

```

theory Semi-Mojmir
  imports Main Auxiliary/Preliminaries2 DTS
begin

```

5.1 Definitions

```

locale semi-mojmir-def =
  fixes
    — Alphapet
     $\Sigma :: 'a \text{ set}$ 
  fixes
    — Transition Function
     $\delta :: ('b, 'a) \text{ DTS}$ 
  fixes
    — Initial State
     $q_0 :: 'b$ 
  fixes
    —  $\omega$ -Word
     $w :: 'a \text{ word}$ 
begin

  definition sink :: ' $b \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  where
    sink  $q \equiv (q_0 \neq q) \wedge (\forall \nu \in \Sigma. \delta q \nu = q)$ 

  declare sink-def [code]

  fun token-run :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  ' $b$ 
  where
    token-run  $x n = \text{run } \delta q_0 (\text{suffix } x w) (n - x)$ 

  fun configuration :: ' $b \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat set}$ 
  where
    configuration  $q n = \{x. x \leq n \wedge \text{token-run } x n = q\}$ 

  fun oldest-token :: ' $b \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat option}$ 
  where
    oldest-token  $q n = (\text{if configuration } q n \neq \{\} \text{ then Some } (\text{Min } (\text{configuration } q n)) \text{ else None})$ 

  fun senior :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat
  where
    senior  $x n = \text{the } (\text{oldest-token } (\text{token-run } x n) n)$ 

  fun older-seniors :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat set
  where
    older-seniors  $x n = \{s. \exists y. s = \text{senior } y n \wedge s < \text{senior } x n \wedge \neg \text{sink} (\text{token-run } s n)\}$ 

```

```

fun rank :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat option
where
  rank x n =
    (if  $x \leq n \wedge \neg \text{sink}(\text{token-run } x \ n)$  then Some (card (older-seniors x n))
     else None)
fun senior-states :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'b set
where
  senior-states q n =
    { $p. \exists x y. \text{oldest-token } p \ n = \text{Some } y \wedge \text{oldest-token } q \ n = \text{Some } x \wedge y < x \wedge \neg \text{sink } p\}$ 
fun state-rank :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat option
where
  state-rank q n = (if configuration q n  $\neq \{\}$  and sink q then Some (card (senior-states q n))
  else None)
definition max-rank :: nat
where
  max-rank = card (reach  $\Sigma \delta q_0 - \{q. \text{sink } q\}$ )

```

5.1.1 Iterative Computation of State-Ranks

```

fun initial :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  nat option
where
  initial q = (if  $q = q_0$  then Some 0 else None)
fun pre-ranks :: ('b  $\Rightarrow$  nat option)  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  nat set
where
  pre-ranks r  $\nu$  q = { $i. \exists q'. r q' = \text{Some } i \wedge q = \delta q' \nu\}$   $\cup$  (if  $q = q_0$  then {max-rank} else {})
fun step :: ('b  $\Rightarrow$  nat option)  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('b  $\Rightarrow$  nat option)
where
  step r  $\nu$  q = (
    if
       $\neg \text{sink } q \wedge \text{pre-ranks } r \nu q \neq \{\}$ 
      then
        Some (card { $q'. \neg \text{sink } q' \wedge \text{pre-ranks } r \nu q' \neq \{\} \wedge \text{Min}(\text{pre-ranks } r \nu q') < \text{Min}(\text{pre-ranks } r \nu q)\}}$ 
      else
        None)

```

5.1.2 Properties of Tokens

```

definition token-squats :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  token-squats  $x = (\forall n. \neg \text{sink}(\text{token-run } x \ n))$ 

end

locale semi-mojmir = semi-mojmir-def +
assumes
  — The alphabet is finite. Non-emptiness is derived from well-formed w
  finite- $\Sigma$ : finite  $\Sigma$ 
assumes
  — The set of reachable states is finite
  finite-reach: finite (reach  $\Sigma \delta q_0$ )
assumes
  — w only contains letters from the alphabet
  bounded-w: range w  $\subseteq \Sigma$ 
begin

lemma nonempty- $\Sigma$ :  $\Sigma \neq \{\}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma bounded-w':  $w \ i \in \Sigma$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sink-rev-step:
   $\neg \text{sink } q \implies q = \delta \ q' \ \nu \implies \nu \in \Sigma \implies \neg \text{sink } q'$ 
   $\neg \text{sink } q \implies q = \delta \ q' (w \ i) \implies \neg \text{sink } q'$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

5.2 Token Run

```

lemma token-stays-in-sink:
  assumes sink  $q$ 
  assumes token-run  $x \ n = q$ 
  shows token-run  $x \ (n + m) = q$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma token-is-not-in-sink:
  token-run  $x \ n \notin A \implies \text{token-run } x \ (\text{Suc } n) \in A \implies \neg \text{sink}(\text{token-run } x \ n)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma token-run-intial-state:
  token-run x x = q0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma token-run-P:
  assumes ¬ P (token-run x n)
  assumes P (token-run x (Suc (n + m)))
  shows ∃ m' ≤ m. ¬ P (token-run x (n + m')) ∧ P (token-run x (Suc (n + m')))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma token-run-merge-Suc:
  assumes x ≤ n
  assumes y ≤ n
  assumes token-run x n = token-run y n
  shows token-run x (Suc n) = token-run y (Suc n)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma token-run-merge:
  [x ≤ n; y ≤ n; token-run x n = token-run y n] ⇒ token-run x (n + m)
  = token-run y (n + m)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma token-run-mergepoint:
  assumes x < y
  assumes token-run x (y + n) = token-run y (y + n)
  obtains m where x ≤ (Suc m) and y ≤ (Suc m)
    and y = Suc m ∨ token-run x m ≠ token-run y m
    and token-run x (Suc m) = token-run y (Suc m)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

5.2.1 Step Lemmas

```

lemma token-run-step:
  assumes x ≤ n
  assumes token-run x n = q'
  assumes q = δ q' (w n)
  shows token-run x (Suc n) = q
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma token-run-step':
  x ≤ n ⇒ token-run x (Suc n) = δ (token-run x n) (w n)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

5.3 Configuration

5.3.1 Properties

lemma *configuration-distinct*:

$q \neq q' \implies \text{configuration } q \ n \cap \text{configuration } q' \ n = \{\}$
(proof)

lemma *configuration-finite*:

$\text{finite}(\text{configuration } q \ n)$
(proof)

lemma *configuration-non-empty*:

$x \leq n \implies \text{configuration}(\text{token-run } x \ n) \ n \neq \{\}$
(proof)

lemma *configuration-token*:

$x \leq n \implies x \in \text{configuration}(\text{token-run } x \ n) \ n$
(proof)

lemmas *configuration-Max-in* = *Max-in*[*OF configuration-finite*]

lemmas *configuration-Min-in* = *Min-in*[*OF configuration-finite*]

5.3.2 Monotonicity

lemma *configuration-monotonic-Suc*:

$x \leq n \implies \text{configuration}(\text{token-run } x \ n) \ n \subseteq \text{configuration}(\text{token-run } x \ (\text{Suc } n)) \ (\text{Suc } n)$
(proof)

5.3.3 Pull-Up and Push-Down

lemma *pull-up-token-run-tokens*:

$\llbracket x \leq n; y \leq n; \text{token-run } x \ n = \text{token-run } y \ n \rrbracket \implies \exists q. x \in \text{configuration } q \ n \wedge y \in \text{configuration } q \ n$
(proof)

lemma *push-down-configuration-token-run*:

$\llbracket x \in \text{configuration } q \ n; y \in \text{configuration } q \ n \rrbracket \implies x \leq n \wedge y \leq n \wedge \text{token-run } x \ n = \text{token-run } y \ n$
(proof)

5.3.4 Step Lemmas

lemma *configuration-step*:

$x \in \text{configuration } q' \ n \implies q = \delta q' (w \ n) \implies x \in \text{configuration } q \ (\text{Suc } n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma configuration-step-non-empty:  
  configuration  $q' n \neq \{\}$   $\implies q = \delta q' (w n) \implies \text{configuration } q (\text{Suc } n) \neq \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma configuration-rev-step':  
  assumes  $x \neq \text{Suc } n$   
  assumes  $x \in \text{configuration } q (\text{Suc } n)$   
  obtains  $q'$  where  $q = \delta q' (w n)$  and  $x \in \text{configuration } q' n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma configuration-rev-step'':  
  assumes  $x \in \text{configuration } q_0 (\text{Suc } n)$   
  shows  $x = \text{Suc } n \vee (\exists q'. q_0 = \delta q' (w n) \wedge x \in \text{configuration } q' n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma configuration-step-eq-q0:  
  configuration  $q_0 (\text{Suc } n) = \{\text{Suc } n\} \cup \bigcup \{\text{configuration } q' n \mid q'. q_0 = \delta q' (w n)\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma configuration-rev-step:  
  assumes  $q \neq q_0$   
  assumes  $x \in \text{configuration } q (\text{Suc } n)$   
  obtains  $q'$  where  $q = \delta q' (w n)$  and  $x \in \text{configuration } q' n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma configuration-step-eq:  
  assumes  $q \neq q_0$   
  shows  $\text{configuration } q (\text{Suc } n) = \bigcup \{\text{configuration } q' n \mid q'. q = \delta q' (w n)\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
lemma configuration-step-eq-unified:  
  shows  $\text{configuration } q (\text{Suc } n) = \bigcup \{\text{configuration } q' n \mid q'. q = \delta q' (w n)\} \cup (\text{if } q = q_0 \text{ then } \{\text{Suc } n\} \text{ else } \{\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

5.4 Oldest Token

5.4.1 Properties

lemma *oldest-token-always-def*:

$\exists i. i \leq x \wedge \text{oldest-token}(\text{token-run } x n) = \text{Some } i$
(proof)

lemma *oldest-token-bounded*:

$\text{oldest-token } q n = \text{Some } x \implies x \leq n$
(proof)

lemma *oldest-token-distinct*:

$q \neq q' \implies \text{oldest-token } q n = \text{Some } i \implies \text{oldest-token } q' n = \text{Some } j \implies i \neq j$
(proof)

lemma *oldest-token-equal*:

$\text{oldest-token } q n = \text{Some } i \implies \text{oldest-token } q' n = \text{Some } i \implies q = q'$
(proof)

5.4.2 Monotonicity

lemma *oldest-token-monotonic-Suc*:

assumes $x \leq n$
assumes $\text{oldest-token}(\text{token-run } x n) = \text{Some } i$
assumes $\text{oldest-token}(\text{token-run } x (\text{Suc } n)) (\text{Suc } n) = \text{Some } j$
shows $i \geq j$
(proof)

5.4.3 Pull-Up and Push-Down

lemma *push-down-oldest-token-configuration*:

$\text{oldest-token } q n = \text{Some } x \implies x \in \text{configuration } q n$
(proof)

lemma *push-down-oldest-token-token-run*:

$\text{oldest-token } q n = \text{Some } x \implies \text{token-run } x n = q$
(proof)

5.5 Senior Token

5.5.1 Properties

lemma *senior-le-token*:

$\text{senior } x n \leq x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma senior-token-run:

$senior x n = senior y n \longleftrightarrow token\text{-}run x n = token\text{-}run y n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The senior of a token is always in the same state

lemma senior-same-state:

$token\text{-}run (senior x n) n = token\text{-}run x n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma senior-senior:

$senior (senior x n) n = senior x n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.5.2 Monotonicity

lemma senior-monotonic-Suc:

$x \leq n \implies senior x n \geq senior x (\text{Suc } n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.5.3 Pull-Up and Push-Down

lemma pull-up-configuration-senior:

$\llbracket x \in configuration q n; y \in configuration q n \rrbracket \implies senior x n = senior y n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma push-down-senior-tokens:

$\llbracket x \leq n; y \leq n; senior x n = senior y n \rrbracket \implies \exists q. x \in configuration q n \wedge y \in configuration q n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.6 Set of Older Seniors

5.6.1 Properties

lemma older-seniors-cases-subseteq [case-names le ge]:

assumes older-seniors $x n \subseteq$ older-seniors $y n \implies P$
assumes older-seniors $x n \supseteq$ older-seniors $y n \implies P$
shows P $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma older-seniors-cases-subset [case-names less equal greater]:

assumes older-seniors $x n \subset$ older-seniors $y n \implies P$
assumes older-seniors $x n =$ older-seniors $y n \implies P$
assumes older-seniors $x n \supset$ older-seniors $y n \implies P$

shows $P \langle proof \rangle$

lemma *older-seniors-finite*:
finite (*older-seniors* $x n$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *older-seniors-older*:
 $y \in \text{older-seniors } x n \implies y < x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *older-seniors-senior-simp*:
older-seniors (*senior* $x n$) $n = \text{older-seniors } x n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *older-seniors-not-self-referential*:
senior $x n \notin \text{older-seniors } x n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *older-seniors-not-self-referential-2*:
 $x \notin \text{older-seniors } x n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *older-seniors-subset*:
 $y \in \text{older-seniors } x n \implies \text{older-seniors } y n \subset \text{older-seniors } x n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *older-seniors-subset-2*:
assumes $\neg \text{sink}(\text{token-run } x n)$
assumes $\text{older-seniors } x n \subset \text{older-seniors } y n$
shows *senior* $x n \in \text{older-seniors } y n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *older-seniors-Max-in* = *Max-in*[OF *older-seniors-finite*]
lemmas *older-seniors-Min-in* = *Min-in*[OF *older-seniors-finite*]
lemmas *older-seniors-Max-coboundedI* = *Max.coboundedI*[OF *older-seniors-finite*]
lemmas *older-seniors-Min-coboundedI* = *Min.coboundedI*[OF *older-seniors-finite*]
lemmas *older-seniors-card-mono* = *card-mono*[OF *older-seniors-finite*]
lemmas *older-seniors-psubset-card-mono* = *psubset-card-mono*[OF *older-seniors-finite*]

lemma *older-seniors-recursive*:
fixes $x n$
defines *os* $\equiv \text{older-seniors } x n$
assumes *os* $\neq \{\}$
shows *os* = $\{\text{Max } os\} \cup \text{older-seniors } (\text{Max } os) n$

(**is** $?lhs = ?rhs$)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *older-seniors-recursive-card*:
fixes $x\ n$
defines $os \equiv \text{older-seniors } x\ n$
assumes $os \neq \{\}$
shows $\text{card } os = \text{Suc}(\text{card}(\text{older-seniors}(\text{Max } os)\ n))$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *older-seniors-card*:
 $\text{card}(\text{older-seniors } x\ n) = \text{card}(\text{older-seniors } y\ n) \longleftrightarrow \text{older-seniors } x\ n = \text{older-seniors } y\ n$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *older-seniors-card-le*:
 $\text{card}(\text{older-seniors } x\ n) < \text{card}(\text{older-seniors } y\ n) \longleftrightarrow \text{older-seniors } x\ n \subset \text{older-seniors } y\ n$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *older-seniors-card-less*:
 $\text{card}(\text{older-seniors } x\ n) \leq \text{card}(\text{older-seniors } y\ n) \longleftrightarrow \text{older-seniors } x\ n \subseteq \text{older-seniors } y\ n$
⟨proof⟩

5.6.2 Monotonicity

lemma *older-seniors-monotonic-Suc*:
assumes $x \leq n$
shows $\text{older-seniors } x\ n \supseteq \text{older-seniors } x(\text{Suc } n)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *older-seniors-monotonic*:
 $x \leq n \implies \text{older-seniors } x\ n \supseteq \text{older-seniors } x(n + m)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *older-seniors-stable*:
 $x \leq n \implies \text{older-seniors } x\ n = \text{older-seniors } x(n + m + m') \implies \text{older-seniors } x\ n = \text{older-seniors } x(n + m)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *card-older-seniors-monotonic*:
 $x \leq n \implies \text{card}(\text{older-seniors } x\ n) \geq \text{card}(\text{older-seniors } x(n + m))$
⟨proof⟩

5.6.3 Pull-Up and Push-Down

lemma *pull-up-senior-older-seniors*:

senior x $n = \text{senior } y n \implies \text{older-seniors } x n = \text{older-seniors } y n$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *pull-up-senior-older-seniors-less*:

senior x $n < \text{senior } y n \implies \text{older-seniors } x n \subseteq \text{older-seniors } y n$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *pull-up-senior-older-seniors-less-2*:

assumes $\neg \text{sink}(\text{token-run } x n)$

assumes *senior* x $n < \text{senior } y n$

shows *older-seniors* $x n \subset \text{older-seniors } y n$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *pull-up-senior-older-seniors-le*:

senior x $n \leq \text{senior } y n \implies \text{older-seniors } x n \subseteq \text{older-seniors } y n$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *push-down-older-seniors-senior*:

assumes $\neg \text{sink}(\text{token-run } x n)$

assumes $\neg \text{sink}(\text{token-run } y n)$

assumes *older-seniors* $x n = \text{older-seniors } y n$

shows *senior* $x n = \text{senior } y n$

⟨proof⟩

5.6.4 Tower Lemma

lemma *older-seniors-tower''*:

assumes $x \leq n$

assumes $y \leq n$

assumes $\neg \text{sink}(\text{token-run } x n)$

assumes $\neg \text{sink}(\text{token-run } y n)$

assumes *older-seniors* $x n = \text{older-seniors } x (\text{Suc } n)$

assumes *older-seniors* $y n \subseteq \text{older-seniors } x n$

shows *older-seniors* $y n = \text{older-seniors } y (\text{Suc } n)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *older-seniors-tower''2*:

assumes $x \leq n$

assumes $y \leq n$

assumes $\neg \text{sink}(\text{token-run } x (n + m))$

assumes $\neg \text{sink}(\text{token-run } y (n + m))$

```

assumes older-seniors x n = older-seniors x (n + m)
assumes older-seniors y n ⊆ older-seniors x n
shows older-seniors y n = older-seniors y (n + m)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma older-seniors-tower':
assumes y ∈ older-seniors x n
assumes older-seniors x n = older-seniors x (Suc n)
shows older-seniors y n = older-seniors y (Suc n)
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma older-seniors-tower:
 $\llbracket x \leq n; y \in \text{older-seniors } x \ n; \text{older-seniors } x \ n = \text{older-seniors } x \ (n + m) \rrbracket \implies \text{older-seniors } y \ n = \text{older-seniors } y \ (n + m)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

5.7 Rank

5.7.1 Properties

```

lemma rank-None-before:
x > n  $\implies$  rank x n = None
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rank-None-Suc:
assumes x ≤ n
assumes rank x n = None
shows rank x (Suc n) = None
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rank-Some-time:
rank x n = Some j  $\implies$  x ≤ n
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rank-Some-sink:
rank x n = Some j  $\implies$  ¬sink (token-run x n)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rank-Some-card:
rank x n = Some j  $\implies$  card (older-seniors x n) = j
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rank-initial:

```

$\exists i. \text{rank } x = \text{Some } i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rank-continuous*:
assumes $\text{rank } x = \text{Some } i$
assumes $\text{rank } x (n + m) = \text{Some } j$
assumes $m' \leq m$
shows $\exists k. \text{rank } x (n + m') = \text{Some } k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rank-token-squats*:
 $\text{token-squats } x \implies x \leq n \implies \exists i. \text{rank } x = \text{Some } i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rank-older-seniors-bounded*:
assumes $y \in \text{older-seniors } x$
assumes $\text{rank } x = \text{Some } j$
shows $\exists j' < j. \text{rank } y = \text{Some } j'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.7.2 Bounds

lemma *max-rank-lowerbound*:
 $0 < \text{max-rank}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *older-seniors-card-bounded*:
assumes $\neg \text{sink} (\text{token-run } x n)$ **and** $x \leq n$
shows $\text{card} (\text{older-seniors } x n) < \text{card} (\text{reach } \Sigma \delta q_0 - \{q. \text{sink } q\})$
 $(\text{is } \text{card } ?S4 < \text{card } ?S0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rank-upper-bound*:
 $\text{rank } x = \text{Some } i \implies i < \text{max-rank}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rank-range*:
 $\exists i. \text{range} (\text{rank } x) \subseteq \{\text{None}\} \cup \text{Some } \{0..<i\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.7.3 Monotonicity

lemma *rank-monotonic*:
 $\llbracket \text{rank } x = \text{Some } i; \text{rank } x (n + m) = \text{Some } j \rrbracket \implies i \geq j$

$\langle proof \rangle$

5.7.4 Pull-Up and Push-Down

lemma *pull-up-senior-rank*:

$\llbracket x \leq n; y \leq n; \text{senior } x \ n = \text{senior } y \ n \rrbracket \implies \text{rank } x \ n = \text{rank } y \ n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pull-up-configuration-rank*:

$\llbracket x \in \text{configuration } q \ n; y \in \text{configuration } q \ n \rrbracket \implies \text{rank } x \ n = \text{rank } y \ n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *push-down-rank-older-seniors*:

$\llbracket \text{rank } x \ n = \text{rank } y \ n; \text{rank } x \ n = \text{Some } i \rrbracket \implies \text{older-seniors } x \ n = \text{older-seniors } y \ n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *push-down-rank-senior*:

$\llbracket \text{rank } x \ n = \text{rank } y \ n; \text{rank } x \ n = \text{Some } i \rrbracket \implies \text{senior } x \ n = \text{senior } y \ n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *push-down-rank-tokens*:

$\llbracket \text{rank } x \ n = \text{rank } y \ n; \text{rank } x \ n = \text{Some } i \rrbracket \implies (\exists q. x \in \text{configuration } q \ n \wedge y \in \text{configuration } q \ n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.7.5 Pulled-Up Lemmas

lemma *rank-senior-senior*:

$x \leq n \implies \text{rank}(\text{senior } x \ n) \ n = \text{rank } x \ n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.7.6 Stable Rank

definition *stable-rank* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

$\text{stable-rank } x \ i = (\forall_{\infty} n. \text{rank } x \ n = \text{Some } i)$

lemma *stable-rank-unique*:

assumes $\text{stable-rank } x \ i$
assumes $\text{stable-rank } x \ j$
shows $i = j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *stable-rank-equiv-token-squats*:

```

token-squats  $x = (\exists i. \text{stable-rank } x i)$   

(is  $?lhs = ?rhs$ )  

⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma stable-rank-same-tokens:  

  assumes stable-rank  $x i$   

  assumes stable-rank  $y j$   

  assumes  $x \in \text{configuration } q n$   

  assumes  $y \in \text{configuration } q n$   

  shows  $i = j$   

⟨proof⟩

```

5.7.7 Tower Lemma

```

lemma rank-tower:  

  assumes  $i \leq j$   

  assumes rank  $x n = \text{Some } j$   

  assumes rank  $x (n + m) = \text{Some } j$   

  assumes rank  $y n = \text{Some } i$   

  shows rank  $y (n + m) = \text{Some } i$   

⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma stable-rank-alt-def:  

  rank  $x n = \text{Some } j \wedge \text{stable-rank } x j \longleftrightarrow (\forall m \geq n. \text{rank } x m = \text{Some } j)$   

  (is  $?rhs \longleftrightarrow ?lhs$ )  

⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma stable-rank-tower:  

  assumes  $j \leq i$   

  assumes rank  $x n = \text{Some } j$   

  assumes rank  $y n = \text{Some } i$   

  assumes stable-rank  $y i$   

  shows stable-rank  $x j$   

⟨proof⟩

```

5.8 Senior States

```

lemma senior-states-initial:  

  senior-states  $q 0 = \{\}$   

⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma senior-states-cases-subseteq [case-names le ge]:  

  assumes senior-states  $p n \subseteq \text{senior-states } q n \implies P$   

  assumes senior-states  $p n \supseteq \text{senior-states } q n \implies P$ 

```

shows P $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma senior-states-cases-subset [case-names less equal greater]:
assumes senior-states $p n \subset$ senior-states $q n \implies P$
assumes senior-states $p n =$ senior-states $q n \implies P$
assumes senior-states $p n \supset$ senior-states $q n \implies P$
shows P $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma senior-states-finite:
finite (senior-states $q n$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas senior-states-card-mono = card-mono[*OF* senior-states-finite]
lemmas senior-states-psubset-card-mono = psubset-card-mono[*OF* senior-states-finite]

lemma senior-states-card:
 $card(\text{senior-states } p n) = card(\text{senior-states } q n) \iff \text{senior-states } p n = \text{senior-states } q n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma senior-states-card-le:
 $card(\text{senior-states } p n) < card(\text{senior-states } q n) \iff \text{senior-states } p n \subset \text{senior-states } q n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma senior-states-card-less:
 $card(\text{senior-states } p n) \leq card(\text{senior-states } q n) \iff \text{senior-states } p n \subseteq \text{senior-states } q n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma senior-states-older-seniors:
 $(\lambda y. \text{token-run } y n) ` \text{older-seniors } x n = \text{senior-states}(\text{token-run } x n) n$
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma card-older-senior-senior-states:
assumes $x \in \text{configuration } q n$
shows $card(\text{older-seniors } x n) = card(\text{senior-states } q n)$
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.9 Rank of States

5.9.1 Alternative Definitions

lemma *state-rank-eq-rank*:

state-rank q n = (case oldest-token q n of None => None | Some t => rank t n)
(is *?lhs = ?rhs*)
{proof}

lemma *state-rank-eq-rank-SOME*:

state-rank q n = (if configuration q n ≠ {} then rank (SOME x. x ∈ configuration q n) n else None)
{proof}

lemma *rank-eq-state-rank*:

x ≤ n ==> rank x n = state-rank (token-run x n) n
{proof}

5.9.2 Pull-Up and Push-Down

lemma *pull-up-configuration-state-rank*:

configuration q n = {} ==> state-rank q n = None
{proof}

lemma *push-down-state-rank-tokens*:

state-rank q n = Some i ==> configuration q n ≠ {}
{proof}

lemma *push-down-state-rank-configuration-None*:

state-rank q n = None ==> ¬sink q ==> configuration q n = {}
{proof}

lemma *push-down-state-rank-oldest-token*:

state-rank q n = Some i ==> ∃ x. oldest-token q n = Some x
{proof}

lemma *push-down-state-rank-token-run*:

state-rank q n = Some i ==> ∃ x. token-run x n = q ∧ x ≤ n
{proof}

5.9.3 Properties

lemma *state-rank-distinct*:

assumes *distinct: p ≠ q*

assumes *ranked-1*: *state-rank p n = Some i*
assumes *ranked-2*: *state-rank q n = Some j*
shows *i ≠ j*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *state-rank-initial-state*:
obtains *i* **where** *state-rank q₀ n = Some i*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *state-rank-sink*:
sink q \implies *state-rank q n = None*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *state-rank-upper-bound*:
state-rank q n = Some i \implies *i < max-rank*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *state-rank-range*:
state-rank q n ∈ {None} ∪ Some ‘{0..<max-rank}
⟨proof⟩

lemma *state-rank-None*:
 $\neg \text{sink } q \implies \text{state-rank } q n = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow \text{oldest-token } q n = \text{None}$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *state-rank-Some*:
 $\neg \text{sink } q \implies (\exists i. \text{state-rank } q n = \text{Some } i) \longleftrightarrow (\exists j. \text{oldest-token } q n = \text{Some } j)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *state-rank-oldest-token*:
assumes *state-rank p n = Some i*
assumes *state-rank q n = Some j*
assumes *oldest-token p n = Some x*
assumes *oldest-token q n = Some y*
shows *i < j* \longleftrightarrow *x < y*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *state-rank-oldest-token-le*:
assumes *state-rank p n = Some i*
assumes *state-rank q n = Some j*
assumes *oldest-token p n = Some x*
assumes *oldest-token q n = Some y*
shows *i ≤ j* \longleftrightarrow *x ≤ y*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma state-rank-in-function-set:
shows $(\lambda q. \text{state-rank } q t) \in \{f. (\forall x. x \notin \text{reach } \Sigma \delta q_0 \rightarrow f x = \text{None}) \wedge (\forall x. x \in \text{reach } \Sigma \delta q_0 \rightarrow f x \in \{\text{None}\} \cup \text{Some } \{0..<\text{max-rank}\})\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.10 Step Function

fun pre-oldest-tokens :: '*b* \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat set
where
 $\text{pre-oldest-tokens } q n = \{x. \exists q'. \text{oldest-token } q' n = \text{Some } x \wedge q = \delta q' (w n)\} \cup (\text{if } q = q_0 \text{ then }\{\text{Suc } n\} \text{ else } \{\})$

lemma pre-oldest-configuration-range:
 $\text{pre-oldest-tokens } q n \subseteq \{0.. \text{Suc } n\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma pre-oldest-configuration-finite:
finite (pre-oldest-tokens *q n*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas pre-oldest-configuration-Min-in = Min-in[*OF* pre-oldest-configuration-finite]

lemma pre-oldest-configuration-obtain:
assumes $x \in \text{pre-oldest-tokens } q n - \{\text{Suc } n\}$
obtains *q' where* $\text{oldest-token } q' n = \text{Some } x$ **and** $q = \delta q' (w n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma pre-oldest-configuration-element:
assumes $\text{oldest-token } q' n = \text{Some } ot$
assumes $q = \delta q' (w n)$
shows *ot* \in pre-oldest-tokens *q n*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma pre-oldest-configuration-initial-state:
 $\text{Suc } n \in \text{pre-oldest-tokens } q n \implies q = q_0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma pre-oldest-configuration-initial-state-2:
 $q = q_0 \implies \text{Suc } n \in \text{pre-oldest-tokens } q n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pre-oldest-configuration-tokens*:

pre-oldest-tokens $q\ n \neq \{\} \longleftrightarrow \text{configuration } q\ (\text{Suc } n) \neq \{\}$

(**is** $?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs$)

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *oldest-token-rec*:

oldest-token $q\ (\text{Suc } n) = (\text{if pre-oldest-tokens } q\ n \neq \{\} \text{ then Some } (\text{Min}(\text{pre-oldest-tokens } q\ n)) \text{ else None})$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *pre-ranks-range*:

pre-ranks $(\lambda q. \text{state-rank } q\ n) \nu q \subseteq \{0..max\text{-rank}\}$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *pre-ranks-finite*:

finite (*pre-ranks* $(\lambda q. \text{state-rank } q\ n) \nu q$)

⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *pre-ranks-Min-in* = *Min-in*[OF *pre-ranks-finite*]

lemma *pre-ranks-state-obtain*:

assumes $r_q \in \text{pre-ranks } r \nu q - \{max\text{-rank}\}$

obtains $q' \text{ where } r\ q' = \text{Some } r_q \text{ and } q = \delta\ q' \nu$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *pre-ranks-element*:

assumes $\text{state-rank } q'\ n = \text{Some } r$

assumes $q = \delta\ q'\ (w\ n)$

shows $r \in \text{pre-ranks } (\lambda q. \text{state-rank } q\ n) (w\ n)\ q$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *pre-ranks-initial-state*:

$max\text{-rank} \in \text{pre-ranks } (\lambda q. \text{state-rank } q\ n) \nu q \implies q = q_0$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *pre-ranks-initial-state-2*:

$q = q_0 \implies max\text{-rank} \in \text{pre-ranks } r \nu q$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *pre-ranks-tokens*:

assumes $\neg \text{sink } q$

shows *pre-ranks* $(\lambda q. \text{state-rank } q\ n) (w\ n)\ q \neq \{\} \longleftrightarrow \text{configuration } q\ (\text{Suc } n) \neq \{\}$

(**is** $?lhs = ?rhs$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma pre-ranks-pre-oldest-token-Min-state-special:
  assumes  $\neg \text{sink } q$ 
  assumes configuration  $q (\text{Suc } n) \neq \{\}$ 
  shows  $\text{Min} (\text{pre-ranks} (\lambda q. \text{state-rank } q n) (w n) q) = \text{max-rank} \longleftrightarrow \text{Min} (\text{pre-oldest-tokens} q n) = \text{Suc } n$ 
    (is ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma pre-ranks-pre-oldest-token-Min-state:
  assumes  $\neg \text{sink } q$ 
  assumes  $q = \delta q' (w n)$ 
  assumes configuration  $q (\text{Suc } n) \neq \{\}$ 
  defines  $\text{min-r} \equiv \text{Min} (\text{pre-ranks} (\lambda q. \text{state-rank } q n) (w n) q)$ 
  defines  $\text{min-ot} \equiv \text{Min} (\text{pre-oldest-tokens} q n)$ 
  shows state-rank  $q' n = \text{Some min-r} \longleftrightarrow \text{oldest-token } q' n = \text{Some min-ot}$ 
    (is ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma Min-pre-ranks-pre-oldest-tokens:
  fixes  $n$ 
  defines  $r \equiv (\lambda q. \text{state-rank } q n)$ 
  assumes configuration  $p (\text{Suc } n) \neq \{\}$ 
    and configuration  $q (\text{Suc } n) \neq \{\}$ 
  assumes  $\neg \text{sink } q$ 
    and  $\neg \text{sink } p$ 
  shows  $\text{Min} (\text{pre-ranks } r (w n) p) < \text{Min} (\text{pre-ranks } r (w n) q) \longleftrightarrow \text{Min} (\text{pre-oldest-tokens } p n) < \text{Min} (\text{pre-oldest-tokens } q n)$ 
    (is ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

5.10.1 Definition of initial and step

```

lemma state-rank-initial:
  state-rank  $q 0 = \text{initial } q$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma state-rank-step:
  state-rank  $q (\text{Suc } n) = \text{step} (\lambda q. \text{state-rank } q n) (w n) q$ 
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma state-rank-step-foldl:

```

```
(λq. state-rank q n) = foldl step initial (map w [0..<n])
⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```
end
```

6 Mojmir Automata

```
theory Mojmir
  imports Main Semi-Mojmir
begin
```

6.1 Definitions

```
locale mojmir-def = semi-mojmir-def +
```

```
fixes
```

— Final States

$F :: 'b set$

```
begin
```

```
definition token-succeeds :: nat ⇒ bool
```

```
where
```

$\text{token-succeeds } x = (\exists n. \text{token-run } x n \in F)$

```
definition token-fails :: nat ⇒ bool
```

```
where
```

$\text{token-fails } x = (\exists n. \text{sink}(\text{token-run } x n) \wedge \text{token-run } x n \notin F)$

```
definition accept :: bool ( $\text{accept}_M$ )
```

```
where
```

$\text{accept} \longleftrightarrow (\forall \infty x. \text{token-succeeds } x)$

```
definition fail :: nat set
```

```
where
```

$\text{fail} = \{x. \text{token-fails } x\}$

```
definition merge :: nat ⇒ (nat × nat) set
```

```
where
```

$\text{merge } i = \{(x, y) \mid x y n j. j < i \wedge (\text{token-run } x n \neq \text{token-run } y n \wedge \text{rank } y n \neq \text{None} \vee y = \text{Suc } n) \wedge \text{token-run } x (\text{Suc } n) = \text{token-run } y (\text{Suc } n) \wedge \text{token-run } x (\text{Suc } n) \notin F \wedge \text{rank } x n = \text{Some } j\}$

```

definition succeed :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat set
where
  succeed  $i = \{x. \exists n. \text{rank } x n = \text{Some } i$ 
            $\wedge \text{token-run } x n \notin F - \{q_0\}$ 
            $\wedge \text{token-run } x (\text{Suc } n) \in F\}$ 

definition smallest-accepting-rank :: nat option
where
  smallest-accepting-rank  $\equiv (\text{if accept then}$ 
     $\text{Some } (\text{LEAST } i. \text{finite fail} \wedge \text{finite } (\text{merge } i) \wedge \text{infinite } (\text{succeed } i)) \text{ else}$ 
     $\text{None})$ 

definition fail-t :: nat set
where
  fail-t  $= \{n. \exists q q'. \text{state-rank } q n \neq \text{None} \wedge q' = \delta q (w n) \wedge q' \notin F \wedge$ 
          $\text{sink } q'\}$ 

definition merge-t :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat set
where
  merge-t  $i = \{n. \exists q q' j. \text{state-rank } q n = \text{Some } j \wedge j < i \wedge q' = \delta q (w$ 
            $n) \wedge q' \notin F \wedge$ 
            $((\exists q''. q'' \neq q \wedge q'' = \delta q'' (w n) \wedge \text{state-rank } q'' n \neq \text{None}) \vee q' = q_0)\}$ 

definition succeed-t :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat set
where
  succeed-t  $i = \{n. \exists q. \text{state-rank } q n = \text{Some } i \wedge q \notin F - \{q_0\} \wedge \delta q (w$ 
              $n) \in F\}$ 

fun  $\mathcal{S}$ 
where
   $\mathcal{S} n = F \cup \{q. (\exists j \geq \text{the smallest-accepting-rank}. \text{state-rank } q n = \text{Some } j)\}$ 

end

locale mojmir = semi-mojmir + mojmir-def +
assumes
  — All states reachable from final states are also final
  wellformed-F:  $\bigwedge q \nu. q \in F \implies \delta q \nu \in F$ 
begin

lemma token-stays-in-final-states:
  token-run  $x n \in F \implies \text{token-run } x (n + m) \in F$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma token-run-enter-final-states:  
  assumes token-run x n ∈ F  
  shows ∃ m ≥ x. token-run x m ∉ F - {q₀} ∧ token-run x (Suc m) ∈ F  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

6.2 Token Properties

6.2.1 Alternative Definitions

```
lemma token-succeeds-alt-def:  
  token-succeeds x = (∀∞n. token-run x n ∈ F)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma token-fails-alt-def:  
  token-fails x = (∀∞n. sink (token-run x n) ∧ token-run x n ∉ F)  
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma token-fails-alt-def-2:  
  token-fails x  $\longleftrightarrow$  ¬token-succeeds x ∧ ¬token-squats x  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

6.2.2 Properties

```
lemma token-succeeds-run-merge:  
  x ≤ n  $\implies$  y ≤ n  $\implies$  token-run x n = token-run y n  $\implies$  token-succeeds x  
   $\implies$  token-succeeds y  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma token-squats-run-merge:  
  x ≤ n  $\implies$  y ≤ n  $\implies$  token-run x n = token-run y n  $\implies$  token-squats x  
   $\implies$  token-squats y  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

6.2.3 Pulled-Up Lemmas

```
lemma configuration-token-succeeds:  
  [x ∈ configuration q n; y ∈ configuration q n]  $\implies$  token-succeeds x =  
  token-succeeds y  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma configuration-token-squats:
```

$\llbracket x \in configuration q n; y \in configuration q n \rrbracket \implies token-squats x = token-squats y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.3 Mojmir Acceptance

lemma *Mojmir-reject*:

$\neg accept \longleftrightarrow (\exists_\infty x. \neg token-succeeds x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mojmir-accept-alt-def*:

$accept \longleftrightarrow finite \{x. \neg token-succeeds x\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mojmir-accept-initial*:

$q_0 \in F \implies accept$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.4 Equivalent Acceptance Conditions

6.4.1 Token-Based Definitions

lemma *merge-token-succeeds*:

assumes $(x, y) \in merge i$
shows $token-succeeds x \longleftrightarrow token-succeeds y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *merge-subset*:

$i \leq j \implies merge i \subseteq merge j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *merge-finite*:

$i \leq j \implies finite(merge j) \implies finite(merge i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *merge-finite'*:

$i < j \implies finite(merge j) \implies finite(merge i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succeed-membership*:

$token-succeeds x \longleftrightarrow (\exists i. x \in succeed i)$
(is $?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *stable-rank-succeed*:

```

assumes infinite (succeed i)
    and x ∈ succeed i
    and q0 ∉ F
shows ¬stable-rank x i
⟨proof⟩

lemma stable-rank-bounded:
assumes stable: stable-rank x j
assumes inf: infinite (succeed i)
assumes q0 ∉ F
shows j < i
⟨proof⟩

lemma mojmír-accept-token-set-def1:
assumes accept
shows ∃ i < max-rank. finite fail ∧ finite (merge i) ∧ infinite (succeed i)
    ∧ (∀ j < i. finite (succeed j))
⟨proof⟩

lemma mojmír-accept-token-set-def2:
assumes finite fail
    and finite (merge i)
    and infinite (succeed i)
shows accept
⟨proof⟩

theorem mojmír-accept-iff-token-set-accept:
accept ↔ (∃ i < max-rank. finite fail ∧ finite (merge i) ∧ infinite (succeed i))
⟨proof⟩

theorem mojmír-accept-iff-token-set-accept2:
accept ↔ (∃ i < max-rank. finite fail ∧ finite (merge i) ∧ infinite (succeed i)
    ∧ (∀ j < i. finite (merge j) ∧ finite (succeed j)))
⟨proof⟩

```

6.4.2 Time-Based Definitions

```

lemma finite-monotonic-image:
fixes A B :: nat set
assumes ⋀ i. i ∈ A ⇒ i ≤ f i
assumes f ` A = B
shows finite A ↔ finite B
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma finite-monotonic-image-pairs:
  fixes A :: (nat × nat) set
  fixes B :: nat set
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in A \implies (\text{fst } i) \leq f i + c$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in A \implies (\text{snd } i) \leq f i + d$ 
  assumes  $f ` A = B$ 
  shows finite A  $\longleftrightarrow$  finite B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma token-time-finite-rule:
  fixes A B :: nat set
  assumes unique:  $\bigwedge x y z. P x y \implies P x z \implies y = z$ 
  and existsA:  $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies (\exists y. P x y)$ 
  and existsB:  $\bigwedge y. y \in B \implies (\exists x. P x y)$ 
  and inA:  $\bigwedge x y. P x y \implies x \in A$ 
  and inB:  $\bigwedge x y. P x y \implies y \in B$ 
  and mono:  $\bigwedge x y. P x y \implies x \leq y$ 
  shows finite A  $\longleftrightarrow$  finite B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma token-time-finite-pair-rule:
  fixes A :: (nat × nat) set
  fixes B :: nat set
  assumes unique:  $\bigwedge x y z. P x y \implies P x z \implies y = z$ 
  and existsA:  $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies (\exists y. P x y)$ 
  and existsB:  $\bigwedge y. y \in B \implies (\exists x. P x y)$ 
  and inA:  $\bigwedge x y. P x y \implies x \in A$ 
  and inB:  $\bigwedge x y. P x y \implies y \in B$ 
  and mono:  $\bigwedge x y. P x y \implies \text{fst } x \leq y + c \wedge \text{snd } x \leq y + d$ 
  shows finite A  $\longleftrightarrow$  finite B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fail-t-inclusion:
  assumes  $x \leq n$ 
  assumes  $\neg \text{sink}(\text{token-run } x n)$ 
  assumes  $\text{sink}(\text{token-run } x (\text{Suc } n))$ 
  assumes  $\text{token-run } x (\text{Suc } n) \notin F$ 
  shows  $n \in \text{fail-t}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma merge-t-inclusion:
  assumes  $x \leq n$ 
  assumes  $(\exists j'. \text{token-run } x n \neq \text{token-run } y n \wedge y \leq n \wedge \text{state-rank}$ 

```

```

(token-run y n) n = Some j' ) ∨ y = Suc n
assumes token-run x (Suc n) = token-run y (Suc n)
assumes token-run x (Suc n) ∉ F
assumes state-rank (token-run x n) n = Some j
assumes j < i
shows n ∈ merge-t i
⟨proof⟩

lemma succeed-t-inclusion:
assumes rank x n = Some i
assumes token-run x n ∉ F − {q0}
assumes token-run x (Suc n) ∈ F
shows n ∈ succeed-t i
⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-fail-t:
finite fail = finite fail-t
⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-succeed-t':
assumes q0 ∉ F
shows finite (succeed i) = finite (succeed-t i)
⟨proof⟩

lemma initial-in-F-token-run:
assumes q0 ∈ F
shows token-run x y ∈ F
⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-succeed-t'':
assumes q0 ∈ F
shows finite (succeed i) = finite (succeed-t i)
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-succeed-t:
finite (succeed i) = finite (succeed-t i)
⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-merge-t:
finite (merge i) = finite (merge-t i)
⟨proof⟩

```

6.4.3 Relation to Mojmir Acceptance

```

lemma token-iff-time-accept:
  shows (finite fail  $\wedge$  finite (merge  $i$ )  $\wedge$  infinite (succeed  $i$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\forall j < i$ . finite (succeed  $j$ )))
    = (finite fail-t  $\wedge$  finite (merge-t  $i$ )  $\wedge$  infinite (succeed-t  $i$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\forall j < i$ .
    finite (succeed-t  $j$ )))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

6.5 Succeeding Tokens (Alternative Definition)

```

definition stable-rank-at :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  stable-rank-at  $x n \equiv \exists i. \forall m \geq n. rank x m = Some i$ 

```

```

lemma stable-rank-at-ge:
   $n \leq m \implies \text{stable-rank-at } x n \implies \text{stable-rank-at } x m$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma stable-rank-equiv:
   $(\exists i. \text{stable-rank } x i) = (\exists n. \text{stable-rank-at } x n)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma smallest-accepting-rank-properties:
  assumes smallest-accepting-rank = Some  $i$ 
  shows accept finite fail finite (merge  $i$ ) infinite (succeed  $i$ )  $\forall j < i$ . finite
  (succeed  $j$ )  $i < \text{max-rank}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma token-smallest-accepting-rank:
  assumes smallest-accepting-rank = Some  $i$ 
  shows  $\forall \infty n. \forall x. \text{token-succeeds } x \longleftrightarrow (x > n \vee (\exists j \geq i. rank x n =$ 
  Some  $j) \vee \text{token-run } x n \in F)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma succeeding-states:
  assumes smallest-accepting-rank = Some  $i$ 
  shows  $\forall \infty n. \forall q. ((\exists x \in \text{configuration } q n. \text{token-succeeds } x) \longrightarrow q \in \mathcal{S}$ 
   $n) \wedge (q \in \mathcal{S} n \longrightarrow (\forall x \in \text{configuration } q n. \text{token-succeeds } x))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end

end

7 (Generalized) Rabin Automata

```

theory Rabin
  imports Main DTS
  begin

    type-synonym ('a, 'b) rabin-pair = (('a, 'b) transition set × ('a, 'b) transition set)
    type-synonym ('a, 'b) generalized-rabin-pair = (('a, 'b) transition set × ('a, 'b) transition set set)

    type-synonym ('a, 'b) rabin-condition = ('a, 'b) rabin-pair set
    type-synonym ('a, 'b) generalized-rabin-condition = ('a, 'b) generalized-rabin-pair set

    type-synonym ('a, 'b) rabin-automaton = ('a, 'b) DTS × 'a × ('a, 'b) rabin-condition
    type-synonym ('a, 'b) generalized-rabin-automaton = ('a, 'b) DTS × 'a × ('a, 'b) generalized-rabin-condition

    definition accepting-pairR :: ('a, 'b) DTS ⇒ 'a ⇒ ('a, 'b) rabin-pair ⇒ 'b
      word ⇒ bool
      where
        accepting-pairR δ q0 P w ≡ limit (runt δ q0 w) ∩ fst P = {} ∧ limit (runt δ q0 w) ∩ snd P ≠ {}

    definition acceptR :: ('a, 'b) rabin-automaton ⇒ 'b word ⇒ bool
      where
        acceptR R w ≡ (∃ P ∈ (snd (snd R)). accepting-pairR (fst R) (fst (snd R)) P w)

    definition accepting-pairGR :: ('a, 'b) DTS ⇒ 'a ⇒ ('a, 'b) generalized-rabin-pair ⇒ 'b word ⇒ bool
      where
        accepting-pairGR δ q0 P w ≡ limit (runt δ q0 w) ∩ fst P = {} ∧ (∀ I ∈ snd P. limit (runt δ q0 w) ∩ I ≠ {})

    definition acceptGR :: ('a, 'b) generalized-rabin-automaton ⇒ 'b word ⇒ bool
      where
        acceptGR R w ≡ (∃(Fin, Inf) ∈ (snd (snd R)). accepting-pairGR (fst R) (fst (snd R)) (Fin, Inf) w)

  declare accepting-pairR-def[simp]

```

```

declare accepting-pairGR-def[simp]

lemma accepting-pairR-simp[simp]:
  accepting-pairR δ q0 (F,I) w ≡ limit (runt δ q0 w) ∩ F = {} ∧ limit (runt δ q0 w) ∩ I ≠ {}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma accepting-pairGR-simp[simp]:
  accepting-pairGR δ q0 (F, I) w ≡ limit (runt δ q0 w) ∩ F = {} ∧ (∀ I ∈ I. limit (runt δ q0 w) ∩ I ≠ {})
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma acceptR-simp[simp]:
  acceptR (δ, q0, α) w = (Ǝ(Fin, Inf) ∈ α. limit (runt δ q0 w) ∩ Fin = {} ∧ limit (runt δ q0 w) ∩ Inf ≠ {})
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma acceptGR-simp[simp]:
  acceptGR (δ, q0, α) w ↕ (Ǝ(Fin, Inf) ∈ α. limit (runt δ q0 w) ∩ Fin = {} ∧ (∀ I ∈ Inf. limit (runt δ q0 w) ∩ I ≠ {}))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma acceptGR-simp2:
  acceptGR (δ, q0, α) w ↕ (Ǝ P ∈ α. accepting-pairGR δ q0 P w)
  ⟨proof⟩

type-synonym ('a, 'b) LTS = ('a, 'b) transition set

definition LTS-is-inf-run :: ('q,'a) LTS ⇒ 'a word ⇒ 'q word ⇒ bool
where
  LTS-is-inf-run Δ w r ↕ (∀ i. (r i, w i, r (Suc i)) ∈ Δ)

fun acceptR-LTS :: (('a, 'b) LTS × 'a × ('a, 'b) rabin-condition) ⇒ 'b word
  ⇒ bool
where
  acceptR-LTS (δ, q0, α) w ↕ (Ǝ(Fin, Inf) ∈ α. ∃ r.
    LTS-is-inf-run δ w r ∧ r 0 = q0
    ∧ limit (λ i. (r i, w i, r (Suc i))) ∩ Fin = {}
    ∧ limit (λ i. (r i, w i, r (Suc i))) ∩ Inf ≠ {})

definition accepting-pairGR-LTS :: ('a, 'b) LTS ⇒ 'a ⇒ ('a, 'b) generalized-rabin-pair ⇒ 'b word ⇒ bool
where
  accepting-pairGR-LTS δ q0 P w ≡ ∃ r. LTS-is-inf-run δ w r ∧ r 0 = q0

```

$\wedge \text{limit}(\lambda i. (r i, w i, r (\text{Suc } i))) \cap \text{fst } P = \{\}$
 $\wedge (\forall I \in \text{snd } P. \text{limit}(\lambda i. (r i, w i, r (\text{Suc } i))) \cap I \neq \{\})$

```

fun acceptGR-LTS :: (('a, 'b) LTS × 'a × ('a, 'b) generalized-rabin-condition)
  ⇒ 'b word ⇒ bool
where
  acceptGR-LTS (δ, q0, α) w = (exists (Fin, Inf) ∈ α. accepting-pairGR-LTS δ
  q0 (Fin, Inf) w)

```

lemma accept_{GR}-LTS-E:
assumes accept_{GR}-LTS R w
obtains F I **where** (F, I) ∈ snd (snd R)
and accepting-pair_{GR}-LTS (fst R) (fst (snd R)) (F, I) w
⟨proof⟩

lemma accept_{GR}-LTS-I:
 accepting-pair_{GR}-LTS δ q₀ (F, I) w ⇒ (F, I) ∈ α ⇒ accept_{GR}-LTS
 (δ, q₀, α) w
⟨proof⟩

lemma accept_{GR}-I:
 accepting-pair_{GR} δ q₀ (F, I) w ⇒ (F, I) ∈ α ⇒ accept_{GR} (δ, q₀, α) w
⟨proof⟩

lemma transfer-accept:
 accepting-pair_R δ q₀ (F, I) w ←→ accepting-pair_{GR} δ q₀ (F, {I}) w
 accept_R (δ, q₀, α) w ←→ accept_{GR} (δ, q₀, (λ(F, I). (F, {I})) ` α) w
⟨proof⟩

7.1 Restriction Lemmas

lemma accepting-pair_{GR}-restrict:
assumes range w ⊆ Σ
shows accepting-pair_{GR} δ q₀ (F, I) w = accepting-pair_{GR} δ q₀ (F ∩
 reach_t Σ δ q₀, (λI. I ∩ reach_t Σ δ q₀) ` I) w
⟨proof⟩

lemma accept_{GR}-restrict:
assumes range w ⊆ Σ
shows accept_{GR} (δ, q₀, {(f x, g x) | x. P x}) w = accept_{GR} (δ, q₀, {(f x
 ∩ reach_t Σ δ q₀, (λI. I ∩ reach_t Σ δ q₀) ` g x) | x. P x}) w
⟨proof⟩

lemma accepting-pair_R-restrict:

assumes $\text{range } w \subseteq \Sigma$
shows $\text{accepting-pair}_R \delta q_0 (F, I) w = \text{accepting-pair}_R \delta q_0 (F \cap \text{reach}_t \Sigma \delta q_0, I \cap \text{reach}_t \Sigma \delta q_0) w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{accept}_R\text{-restrict}$:

assumes $\text{range } w \subseteq \Sigma$
shows $\text{accept}_R (\delta, q_0, \{(f x, g x) \mid x. P x\}) w = \text{accept}_R (\delta, q_0, \{(f x \cap \text{reach}_t \Sigma \delta q_0, g x \cap \text{reach}_t \Sigma \delta q_0) \mid x. P x\}) w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

7.2 Abstraction Lemmas

lemma $\text{accepting-pair}_{GR}\text{-abstract}$:

assumes $\text{finite} (\text{reach}_t \Sigma \delta q_0)$
and $\text{finite} (\text{reach}_t \Sigma \delta' q_0')$
assumes $\text{range } w \subseteq \Sigma$
assumes $\text{runt}_t \delta q_0 w = f o (\text{runt}_t \delta' q_0' w)$
assumes $\bigwedge t. t \in \text{reach}_t \Sigma \delta' q_0' \implies f t \in F \longleftrightarrow t \in F'$
assumes $\bigwedge t i. i \in \mathcal{I} \implies t \in \text{reach}_t \Sigma \delta' q_0' \implies f t \in I i \longleftrightarrow t \in I' i$
shows $\text{accepting-pair}_{GR} \delta q_0 (F, \{I i \mid i. i \in \mathcal{I}\}) w \longleftrightarrow \text{accepting-pair}_{GR} \delta' q_0' (F', \{I' i \mid i. i \in \mathcal{I}\}) w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{accepting-pair}_R\text{-abstract}$:

assumes $\text{finite} (\text{reach}_t \Sigma \delta q_0)$
and $\text{finite} (\text{reach}_t \Sigma \delta' q_0')$
assumes $\text{range } w \subseteq \Sigma$
assumes $\text{runt}_t \delta q_0 w = f o (\text{runt}_t \delta' q_0' w)$
assumes $\bigwedge t. t \in \text{reach}_t \Sigma \delta' q_0' \implies f t \in F \longleftrightarrow t \in F'$
assumes $\bigwedge t. t \in \text{reach}_t \Sigma \delta' q_0' \implies f t \in I \longleftrightarrow t \in I'$
shows $\text{accepting-pair}_R \delta q_0 (F, I) w \longleftrightarrow \text{accepting-pair}_R \delta' q_0' (F', I')$
 w
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

7.3 LTS Lemmas

lemma $\text{accepting-pair}_{GR}\text{-LTS}$:

assumes $\text{range } w \subseteq \Sigma$
shows $\text{accepting-pair}_{GR} \delta q_0 (F, \mathcal{I}) w \longleftrightarrow \text{accepting-pair}_{GR}\text{-LTS} (\text{reach}_t \Sigma \delta q_0) q_0 (F, \mathcal{I}) w$
(is $?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma acceptGR-LTS:
  assumes range w ⊆ Σ
  shows acceptGR (δ, q0, α) w ←→ acceptGR-LTS (reacht Σ δ q0, q0, α) w
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma acceptR-LTS:
  assumes range w ⊆ Σ
  shows acceptR (δ, q0, α) w ←→ acceptR-LTS (reacht Σ δ q0, q0, α) w
  ⟨proof⟩

```

7.4 Combination Lemmas

```

lemma combine-rabin-pairs:
  ( $\bigwedge x. x \in I \implies \text{accepting-pair}_R \delta q_0 (f x, g x) w \implies \text{accepting-pair}_{GR} \delta q_0 (\bigcup \{f x \mid x \in I\}, \{g x \mid x \in I\}) w$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma combine-rabin-pairs-UNIV:
   $\text{accepting-pair}_R \delta q_0 (\text{fin}, \text{UNIV}) w \implies \text{accepting-pair}_{GR} \delta q_0 (\text{fin}', \text{inf}') w \implies \text{accepting-pair}_{GR} \delta q_0 (\text{fin} \cup \text{fin}', \text{inf}') w$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

8 Auxiliary List Facts

```

theory List2
  imports Main HOL-Library.Omega-Words-Fun List-Index.List-Index
begin

```

8.1 remdups_fwd

```

fun remdups-fwd-acc
where
  remdups-fwd-acc Acc [] = []
  | remdups-fwd-acc Acc (x#xs) = (if x ∈ Acc then [] else [x]) @ remdups-fwd-acc (insert x Acc) xs

lemma remdups-fwd-acc-append[simp]:
  remdups-fwd-acc Acc (xs@ys) = (remdups-fwd-acc Acc xs) @ (remdups-fwd-acc (Acc ∪ set xs) ys)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma remdups-fwd-acc-set[simp]:
  set (remdups-fwd-acc Acc xs) = set xs - Acc
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma remdups-fwd-acc-distinct:
  distinct (remdups-fwd-acc Acc xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma remdups-fwd-acc-empty:
  set xs ⊆ Acc ↔ remdups-fwd-acc Acc xs = []
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma remdups-fwd-acc-drop:
  set ys ⊆ Acc ∪ set xs ⇒ remdups-fwd-acc Acc (xs @ ys @ zs) = remdups-fwd-acc
  Acc (xs @ zs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma remdups-fwd-acc-filter:
  remdups-fwd-acc Acc (filter P xs) = filter P (remdups-fwd-acc Acc xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

fun remdups-fwd
where
  remdups-fwd xs = remdups-fwd-acc {} xs

lemma remdups-fwd-eq:
  remdups-fwd xs = (rev o remdups o rev) xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma remdups-fwd-set[simp]:
  set (remdups-fwd xs) = set xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma remdups-fwd-distinct:
  distinct (remdups-fwd xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma remdups-fwd-filter:
  remdups-fwd (filter P xs) = filter P (remdups-fwd xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

8.2 Split Lemmas

lemma map-splitE:

```

assumes map f xs = ys @ zs
obtains us vs where xs = us @ vs and map f us = ys and map f vs =
zs
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma filter-split':
filter P xs = ys @ zs  $\implies \exists$  us vs. xs = us @ vs  $\wedge$  filter P us = ys  $\wedge$  filter
P vs = zs
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma filter-splitE:
assumes filter P xs = ys @ zs
obtains us vs where xs = us @ vs and filter P us = ys and filter P vs
= zs
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma filter-map-splitE:
assumes filter P (map f xs) = ys @ zs
obtains us vs where xs = us @ vs and filter P (map f us) = ys and
filter P (map f vs) = zs
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma filter-map-split-iff:
filter P (map f xs) = ys @ zs  $\longleftrightarrow (\exists$  us vs. xs = us @ vs  $\wedge$  filter P (map
f us) = ys  $\wedge$  filter P (map f vs) = zs)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma list-empty-prefix:
xs @ y # zs = y # us  $\implies y \notin \text{set } xs \implies xs = []$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma remdups-fwd-split:
remdups-fwd-acc Acc xs = ys @ zs  $\implies \exists$  us vs. xs = us @ vs  $\wedge$  remdups-fwd-acc
Acc us = ys  $\wedge$  remdups-fwd-acc (Acc  $\cup$  set ys) vs = zs
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma remdups-fwd-split-exact:
assumes remdups-fwd-acc Acc xs = ys @ x # zs
shows  $\exists$  us vs. xs = us @ x # vs  $\wedge$  x  $\notin$  Acc  $\wedge$  x  $\notin$  set ys  $\wedge$  remdups-fwd-acc
Acc us = ys  $\wedge$  remdups-fwd-acc (Acc  $\cup$  set ys  $\cup$  {x}) vs = zs
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma remdups-fwd-split-exactE:
assumes remdups-fwd-acc Acc xs = ys @ x # zs

```

obtains $us\ vs\ \text{where}\ xs = us @ x \# vs$ **and** $x \notin set\ us$ **and** $remdups-fwd-acc\ Acc\ us = ys$ **and** $remdups-fwd-acc\ (Acc \cup set\ ys \cup \{x\})\ vs = zs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $remdups-fwd-split-exact-iff$:
 $remdups-fwd-acc\ Acc\ xs = ys @ x \# zs \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists us\ vs.\ xs = us @ x \# vs \wedge x \notin Acc \wedge x \notin set\ us \wedge remdups-fwd-acc\ Acc\ us = ys \wedge remdups-fwd-acc\ (Acc \cup set\ ys \cup \{x\})\ vs = zs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sorted-pre$:
 $(\bigwedge x\ y\ xs\ ys.\ zs = xs @ [x, y] @ ys \implies x \leq y) \implies sorted\ zs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sorted-list$:
assumes $x \in set\ xs$ **and** $y \in set\ xs$
assumes $sorted\ (map\ f\ xs)$ **and** $f\ x < f\ y$
shows $\exists xs'\ xs''\ xs'''.$ $xs = xs' @ x \# xs'' @ y \# xs'''$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $takeWhile-foo$:
 $x \notin set\ ys \implies ys = takeWhile\ (\lambda y.\ y \neq x)\ (ys @ x \# zs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $takeWhile-split$:
 $x \in set\ xs \implies y \in set\ (takeWhile\ (\lambda y.\ y \neq x)\ xs) \implies \exists xs'\ xs''\ xs'''.$ $xs = xs' @ y \# xs'' @ x \# xs'''$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $takeWhile-distinct$:
 $distinct\ (xs' @ x \# xs'') \implies y \in set\ (takeWhile\ (\lambda y.\ y \neq x)\ (xs' @ x \# xs'')) \longleftrightarrow y \in set\ xs'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $finite-lists-length-eqE$:
assumes $finite\ A$
shows $finite\ \{xs.\ set\ xs = A \wedge length\ xs = n\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $finite-set2$:
assumes $card\ A = n$ **and** $finite\ A$
shows $finite\ \{xs.\ set\ xs = A \wedge distinct\ xs\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma set-list:
  assumes finite (set `XS)
  assumes  $\bigwedge xs. xs \in XS \implies \text{distinct } xs$ 
  shows finite XS
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-foldl-append:
  set (foldl (@) i xs) = set i  $\cup \bigcup \{\text{set } x \mid x. x \in \text{set } xs\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

8.3 Short-circuited Version of foldl

```

fun foldl-break :: ('b  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  ('b  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'b
where
  foldl-break f s a [] = a
  | foldl-break f s a (x # xs) = (if s a then a else foldl-break f s (f a x) xs)

```

```

lemma foldl-break-append:
  foldl-break f s a (xs @ ys) = (if s (foldl-break f s a xs) then foldl-break f s a xs else (foldl-break f s (foldl-break f s a xs) ys))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

8.4 Suffixes

```

fun suffixes
where
  suffixes [] = []
  | suffixes (x#xs) = (suffixes xs) @ [x#xs]

lemma suffixes-append:
  suffixes (xs @ ys) = (suffixes ys) @ (map (λzs. zs @ ys) (suffixes xs))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma suffixes-alt-def:
  suffixes xs = rev (prefix (length xs) (λi. drop i xs))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end

9 Translation to Deterministic Transition-Based Rabin Automata

```

theory Mojmir-Rabin
  imports Main Mojmir Rabin Auxiliary/List2

```

begin

locale *mojmir-to-rabin-def* = *mojmir-def*
begin

definition *fail_R* :: ('b ⇒ nat option, 'a) transition set

where

fail_R = {(r, ν, s) | r ν s q q'. r q ≠ None ∧ q' = δ q ν ∧ q' ∉ F ∧ sink q'}

definition *succeed_R* :: nat ⇒ ('b ⇒ nat option, 'a) transition set

where

succeed_R i = {(r, ν, s) | r ν s q. r q = Some i ∧ q ∉ F − {q₀} ∧ (δ q ν) ∈ F}

definition *merge_R* :: nat ⇒ ('b ⇒ nat option, 'a) transition set

where

merge_R i = {(r, ν, s) | r ν s q q' j. r q = Some j ∧ j < i ∧ q' = δ q ν ∧ ((∃ q''. q' = δ q'' ν ∧ r q'' ≠ None ∧ q'' ≠ q) ∨ q' = q₀) ∧ q' ∉ F)}

abbreviation *Q_R*

where

Q_R ≡ reach Σ step initial

abbreviation *q_R*

where

q_R ≡ initial

abbreviation *δ_R*

where

δ_R ≡ step

abbreviation *Acc_R*

where

Acc_R j ≡ (*fail_R* ∪ *merge_R* j, *succeed_R* j)

abbreviation *R*

where

R ≡ (*δ_R*, *q_R*, {*Acc_R* j | j. j < max-rank})

end

9.1 Well-formedness

```
lemma function-set-finite:
  assumes finite R
  assumes finite A
  shows finite {f. (∀ x. x ∈ R → f x = c) ∧ (∀ x. x ∈ R → f x ∈ A)}
  (is ?F)
  {proof}
```

```
lemma (in semi-mojmir) wellformed- $\mathcal{R}$ :
  shows finite (reach  $\Sigma$  step initial)
{proof}
```

```
locale mojmir-to-rabin = mojmir + mojmir-to-rabin-def begin
```

9.2 Correctness

```
lemma imp-and-not-imp-eq:
  assumes P ⇒ Q
  assumes ¬P ⇒ ¬Q
  shows P = Q
{proof}
```

```
lemma finite-limit-intersection:
  assumes finite (range f)
  assumes Λx::nat. x ∈ A ↔ (f x) ∈ B
  shows finite A ↔ limit f ∩ B = {}
{proof}
```

```
lemma finite-range-run:
  defines r ≡ runt  $\delta_{\mathcal{R}}$   $q_{\mathcal{R}}$  w
  shows finite (range r)
{proof}
```

```
theorem mojmir-accept-iff-rabin-accept-rank:
  shows (finite (fail) ∧ finite (merge i) ∧ infinite (succeed i))
    ↔ accepting-pair $_R$   $\delta_{\mathcal{R}}$   $q_{\mathcal{R}}$  (Acc $_{\mathcal{R}}$  i) w
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
{proof}
```

```
theorem mojmir-accept-iff-rabin-accept:
  accept ↔ accept $_R$   $\mathcal{R}$  w
{proof}
```

```

definition smallest-accepting-rankR :: nat option
where
  smallest-accepting-rankR ≡ (if acceptR R w then
    Some (LEAST i. accepting-pairR δR qR (AccR i) w) else None)

```

```

theorem Mojmir-rabin-smallest-accepting-rank:
  smallest-accepting-rank = smallest-accepting-rankR
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma smallest-accepting-rankR-properties:
  smallest-accepting-rankR = Some i ⇒ accepting-pairR δR qR (AccR i)
  w
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

```
end
```

10 LTL (in Negation-Normal-Form, FGXU-Syntax)

```

theory LTL-FGXU
  imports Main HOL-Library.Omega-Words-Fun
begin

```

Inspired/Based on schimpf/LTL

10.1 Syntax

```

datatype (vars: 'a) ltl =
  LTLTrue          (true)
  | LTLFalse        (false)
  | LTLProp 'a      (p'(-'))
  | LTLPropNeg 'a   (np'(-') [86] 85)
  | LTLAnd 'a ltl 'a ltl  (- and - [83,83] 82)
  | LTLOr 'a ltl 'a ltl  (- or - [82,82] 81)
  | LTLNext 'a ltl   (X - [88] 87)
  | LTLGlobal (theG: 'a ltl) (G - [85] 84)
  | LTLFinal 'a ltl   (F - [84] 83)
  | LTLUntil 'a ltl 'a ltl  (- U - [87,87] 86)

```

10.2 Semantics

```

fun ltl-semantics :: ['a set word, 'a ltl] ⇒ bool (infix ⊨ 80)
where

```

```

 $w \models \text{true} = \text{True}$ 
|  $w \models \text{false} = \text{False}$ 
|  $w \models p(q) = (q \in w \ 0)$ 
|  $w \models np(q) = (q \notin w \ 0)$ 
|  $w \models \varphi \text{ and } \psi = (w \models \varphi \wedge w \models \psi)$ 
|  $w \models \varphi \text{ or } \psi = (w \models \varphi \vee w \models \psi)$ 
|  $w \models X \varphi = (\text{suffix } 1 \ w \models \varphi)$ 
|  $w \models G \varphi = (\forall k. \text{suffix } k \ w \models \varphi)$ 
|  $w \models F \varphi = (\exists k. \text{suffix } k \ w \models \varphi)$ 
|  $w \models \varphi \ U \ \psi = (\exists k. \text{suffix } k \ w \models \psi \wedge (\forall j < k. \text{suffix } j \ w \models \varphi))$ 

```

```
fun ltl-prop-entailment :: ['a ltl set, 'a ltl]  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\models_P$  80)
```

where

```

 $\mathcal{A} \models_P \text{true} = \text{True}$ 
|  $\mathcal{A} \models_P \text{false} = \text{False}$ 
|  $\mathcal{A} \models_P \varphi \text{ and } \psi = (\mathcal{A} \models_P \varphi \wedge \mathcal{A} \models_P \psi)$ 
|  $\mathcal{A} \models_P \varphi \text{ or } \psi = (\mathcal{A} \models_P \varphi \vee \mathcal{A} \models_P \psi)$ 
|  $\mathcal{A} \models_P \varphi = (\varphi \in \mathcal{A})$ 

```

10.2.1 Properties

lemma *LTL-G-one-step-unfolding*:

$w \models G \varphi \longleftrightarrow (w \models \varphi \wedge w \models X (G \varphi))$

(**is** *?lhs* \longleftrightarrow *?rhs*)

{proof}

lemma *LTL-F-one-step-unfolding*:

$w \models F \varphi \longleftrightarrow (w \models \varphi \vee w \models X (F \varphi))$

(**is** *?lhs* \longleftrightarrow *?rhs*)

{proof}

lemma *LTL-U-one-step-unfolding*:

$w \models \varphi \ U \ \psi \longleftrightarrow (w \models \psi \vee (w \models \varphi \wedge w \models X (\varphi \ U \ \psi)))$

(**is** *?lhs* \longleftrightarrow *?rhs*)

{proof}

lemma *LTL-GF-infinitely-many-suffixes*:

$w \models G (F \varphi) = (\exists \infty i. \text{suffix } i \ w \models \varphi)$

(**is** *?lhs* = *?rhs*)

{proof}

lemma *LTL-FG-almost-all-suffixes*:

$w \models F G \varphi = (\forall \infty i. \text{suffix } i \ w \models \varphi)$

(**is** *?lhs* = *?rhs*)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *LTL-FG-suffix*:

$(\text{suffix } i \ w) \models F (G \varphi) = w \models F (G \varphi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *LTL-GF-suffix*:

$(\text{suffix } i \ w) \models G (F \varphi) = w \models G (F \varphi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *LTL-suffix-G*:

$w \models G \varphi \implies \text{suffix } i \ w \models G \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *LTL-prop-entailment-monotonicity[intro]*:

$S \models_P \varphi \implies S \subseteq S' \implies S' \models_P \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ltl-models-equiv-prop-entailment*:

$w \models \varphi = \{\chi. \ w \models \chi\} \models_P \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

10.2.2 Limit Behaviour of the G-operator

abbreviation *Only-G*

where

$\text{Only-G } S \equiv \forall x \in S. \exists y. x = G y$

lemma *ltl-G-stabilize*:

assumes *finite G*
assumes *Only-G G*
obtains i **where** $\bigwedge \chi j. \chi \in \mathcal{G} \implies \text{suffix } i \ w \models \chi = \text{suffix } (i + j) \ w \models \chi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ltl-G-stabilize-property*:

assumes *finite G*
assumes *Only-G G*
assumes $\bigwedge \chi j. \chi \in \mathcal{G} \implies \text{suffix } i \ w \models \chi = \text{suffix } (i + j) \ w \models \chi$
assumes $G \psi \in \mathcal{G} \cap \{\chi. w \models F \chi\}$
shows $\text{suffix } i \ w \models G \psi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

10.3 Subformulae

10.3.1 Propositions

fun *propos* :: 'a ltl \Rightarrow 'a ltl set

where

- | *propos true* = {}
- | *propos false* = {}
- | *propos* (φ and ψ) = *propos* $\varphi \cup propos \psi$
- | *propos* (φ or ψ) = *propos* $\varphi \cup propos \psi$
- | *propos* φ = { φ }

fun *nested-propos* :: 'a ltl \Rightarrow 'a ltl set

where

- | *nested-propos true* = {}
- | *nested-propos false* = {}
- | *nested-propos* (φ and ψ) = *nested-propos* $\varphi \cup nested\text{-}propos \psi$
- | *nested-propos* (φ or ψ) = *nested-propos* $\varphi \cup nested\text{-}propos \psi$
- | *nested-propos* ($F \varphi$) = { $F \varphi$ } $\cup nested\text{-}propos \varphi$
- | *nested-propos* ($G \varphi$) = { $G \varphi$ } $\cup nested\text{-}propos \varphi$
- | *nested-propos* ($X \varphi$) = { $X \varphi$ } $\cup nested\text{-}propos \varphi$
- | *nested-propos* ($\varphi U \psi$) = { $\varphi U \psi$ } $\cup nested\text{-}propos \varphi \cup nested\text{-}propos \psi$
- | *nested-propos* φ = { φ }

lemma *finite-propos*:

finite (*propos* φ) *finite* (*nested-propos* φ)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *propos-subset*:

propos $\varphi \subseteq nested\text{-}propos \varphi$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *LTL-prop-entailment-restrict-to-propos*:

$S \models_P \varphi = (S \cap propos \varphi) \models_P \varphi$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *propos-foldl*:

assumes $\bigwedge x y. propos(f x y) = propos x \cup propos y$
shows $\bigcup \{propos y \mid y. y = i \vee y \in set xs\} = propos(foldl f i xs)$
⟨proof⟩

10.3.2 G-Subformulae

Notation for paper: mathdsG

fun *G-nested-propos* :: '*a ltl* \Rightarrow '*a ltl set* (**G**)

where

G (φ and ψ) = **G** φ \cup **G** ψ
| **G** (φ or ψ) = **G** φ \cup **G** ψ
| **G** ($F \varphi$) = **G** φ
| **G** ($G \varphi$) = **G** φ \cup { $G \varphi$ }
| **G** ($X \varphi$) = **G** φ
| **G** (φ U ψ) = **G** φ \cup **G** ψ
| **G** φ = {}

lemma *G-nested-finite*:

finite (**G** φ)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *G-nested-propos-alt-def*:

G φ = *nested-propos* φ \cap { ψ . ($\exists x$. $\psi = G x$)}
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *G-nested-propos-Only-G*:

Only-G (**G** φ)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *G-not-in-G*:

$G \varphi \notin \mathbf{G} \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *G-subset-G*:

$\psi \in \mathbf{G} \varphi \implies \mathbf{G} \psi \subseteq \mathbf{G} \varphi$
 $G \psi \in \mathbf{G} \varphi \implies \mathbf{G} \psi \subseteq \mathbf{G} \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *G-properties*:

assumes $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathbf{G} \varphi$
shows \mathcal{G} -finite: *finite* \mathcal{G} **and** \mathcal{G} -elements: *Only-G* \mathcal{G}
 $\langle proof \rangle$

10.4 Propositional Implication and Equivalence

definition *ltl-prop-implies* :: '['*a ltl*, '*a ltl*'] \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** \rightarrow_P 75)

where

$\varphi \rightarrow_P \psi \equiv \forall \mathcal{A}. \mathcal{A} \models_P \varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \models_P \psi$

definition *ltl-prop-equiv* :: '['*a ltl*, '*a ltl*'] \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** \equiv_P 75)

where

$$\varphi \equiv_P \psi \equiv \forall \mathcal{A}. \mathcal{A} \models_P \varphi \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{A} \models_P \psi$$

lemma *ltl-prop-implies-equiv*:

$$\varphi \rightarrow_P \psi \wedge \psi \rightarrow_P \varphi \longleftrightarrow \varphi \equiv_P \psi$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ltl-prop-equiv-equivp*:

$$equivp (\equiv_P)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma [*trans*]:

$$\varphi \equiv_P \psi \implies \psi \equiv_P \chi \implies \varphi \equiv_P \chi$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

10.4.1 Quotient Type for Propositional Equivalence

quotient-type *'a ltl-prop-equiv-quotient* = *'a ltl / (≡_P)*
morphisms *Rep Abs*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

type-synonym *'a ltl_P* = *'a ltl-prop-equiv-quotient*

instantiation *ltl-prop-equiv-quotient* :: (*type*) *equal* **begin**

lift-definition *ltl-prop-equiv-quotient-eq-test* :: *'a ltl_P ⇒ 'a ltl_P ⇒ bool* **is**
 $\lambda x y. x \equiv_P y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$$eq: equal-class.equal \equiv ltl\text{-}prop\text{-}equiv\text{-}quotient\text{-}eq\text{-}test$$

instance

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

end

lemma *ltl_P-abs-rep*: *Abs (Rep φ) = φ*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lift-definition *ltl-prop-entails-abs* :: *'a ltl set ⇒ 'a ltl_P ⇒ bool (- ↑\models_P -)*
is (\models_P)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lift-definition *ltl-prop-implies-abs* :: *'a ltl_P ⇒ 'a ltl_P ⇒ bool (- ↑→_P -)*

is (\rightarrow_P)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

10.4.2 Propositional Equivalence implies LTL Equivalence

lemma *ltl-prop-implication-implies-ltl-implication*:

$$w \models \varphi \implies \varphi \rightarrow_P \psi \implies w \models \psi$$

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

lemma *ltl-prop-equiv-implies-ltl-equiv*:

$$\varphi \equiv_P \psi \implies w \models \varphi = w \models \psi$$

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

10.5 Substitution

fun *subst* :: '*a* ltl \Rightarrow ('*a* ltl \rightarrow '*a* ltl) \Rightarrow '*a* ltl
where

$$\begin{aligned} & subst \text{ true } m = \text{true} \\ | & subst \text{ false } m = \text{false} \\ | & subst (\varphi \text{ and } \psi) m = subst \varphi m \text{ and } subst \psi m \\ | & subst (\varphi \text{ or } \psi) m = subst \varphi m \text{ or } subst \psi m \\ | & subst \varphi m = (\text{case } m \text{ of Some } \varphi' \Rightarrow \varphi' \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \varphi) \end{aligned}$$

Based on Uwe Schoening's Translation Lemma (Logic for CS, p. 54)

lemma *ltl-prop-equiv-subst-S*:

$$S \models_P subst \varphi m = ((S - \text{dom } m) \cup \{\chi \mid \chi \neq \text{dom } m \wedge m \models \chi = \text{Some } \chi' \wedge S \models_P \chi'\}) \models_P \varphi$$

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

lemma *subst-respects-ltl-prop-entailment*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi \rightarrow_P \psi \implies subst \varphi m \rightarrow_P subst \psi m \\ & \varphi \equiv_P \psi \implies subst \varphi m \equiv_P subst \psi m \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *subst-respects-ltl-prop-entailment-generalized*:

$$(\bigwedge \mathcal{A}. (\bigwedge x. x \in S \implies \mathcal{A} \models_P x) \implies \mathcal{A} \models_P y) \implies (\bigwedge x. x \in S \implies \mathcal{A} \models_P subst x m) \implies \mathcal{A} \models_P subst y m$$

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

lemma *decomposable-function-subst*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [f \text{ true} = \text{true}; f \text{ false} = \text{false}; \bigwedge \varphi \psi. f (\varphi \text{ and } \psi) = f \varphi \text{ and } f \psi; \bigwedge \varphi \psi. \\ & f (\varphi \text{ or } \psi) = f \varphi \text{ or } f \psi] \implies f \varphi = subst \varphi (\lambda \chi. \text{Some } (f \chi)) \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

10.6 Additional Operators

10.6.1 And

lemma *foldl-LTLAnd-prop-entailment*:

$$S \models_P \text{foldl LTLAnd } i \text{ xs} = (S \models_P i \wedge (\forall y \in \text{set xs}. S \models_P y))$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *And* :: '*a ltl list* \Rightarrow '*a ltl*

where

$$\text{And } [] = \text{true}$$

$$| \text{And } (x \# \text{xs}) = \text{foldl LTLAnd } x \text{ xs}$$

lemma *And-prop-entailment*:

$$S \models_P \text{And } \text{xs} = (\forall x \in \text{set xs}. S \models_P x)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *And-propos*:

$$\text{propos}(\text{And } \text{xs}) = \bigcup \{\text{propos } x | x. x \in \text{set xs}\}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *And-semantics*:

$$w \models \text{And } \text{xs} = (\forall x \in \text{set xs}. w \models x)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *And-append-syntactic*:

$$xs \neq [] \implies \text{And } (xs @ ys) = \text{And } ((\text{And } xs) \# ys)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *And-append-S*:

$$S \models_P \text{And } (xs @ ys) = S \models_P \text{And } \text{xs} \text{ and } \text{And } ys$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *And-append*:

$$\text{And } (xs @ ys) \equiv_P \text{And } \text{xs} \text{ and } \text{And } ys$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

10.6.2 Lifted Variant

lift-definition *and-abs* :: '*a ltl_P* \Rightarrow '*a ltl_P* \Rightarrow '*a ltl_P* ($\neg \uparrow \text{and} \neg$) **is** $\lambda x y. x$

and y

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *And-abs* :: '*a ltl_P* *list* \Rightarrow '*a ltl_P* ($\uparrow \text{And}$)

where

$\uparrow \text{And } xs = \text{foldl and-abs} (\text{Abs true}) xs$

lemma *foldl-LTLAnd-prop-entailment-abs:*

$S \upharpoonright\models_P \text{foldl and-abs } i \text{ } xs = (S \upharpoonright\models_P i \wedge (\forall y \in \text{set } xs. S \upharpoonright\models_P y))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *And-prop-entailment-abs:*

$S \upharpoonright\models_P \uparrow \text{And } xs = (\forall x \in \text{set } xs. S \upharpoonright\models_P x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *and-abs-conjunction:*

$S \upharpoonright\models_P \varphi \uparrow \text{and } \psi \longleftrightarrow S \upharpoonright\models_P \varphi \wedge S \upharpoonright\models_P \psi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

10.6.3 Or

lemma *foldl-LTLOr-prop-entailment:*

$S \models_P \text{foldl LTLOr } i \text{ } xs = (S \models_P i \vee (\exists y \in \text{set } xs. S \models_P y))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *Or :: 'a ltl list \Rightarrow 'a ltl*

where

$| \text{Or } [] = \text{false}$
 $| \text{Or } (x \# xs) = \text{foldl LTLOr } x \text{ } xs$

lemma *Or-prop-entailment:*

$S \models_P \text{Or } xs = (\exists x \in \text{set } xs. S \models_P x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Or-propos:*

$\text{propos} (\text{Or } xs) = \bigcup \{\text{propos } x \mid x \in \text{set } xs\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Or-semantics:*

$w \models \text{Or } xs = (\exists x \in \text{set } xs. w \models x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Or-append-syntactic:*

$xs \neq [] \implies \text{Or } (xs @ ys) = \text{Or } ((\text{Or } xs) \# ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Or-append-S:*

$S \models_P \text{Or } (xs @ ys) = S \models_P \text{Or } xs \text{ or } \text{Or } ys$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Or-append*:

$$\text{Or } (xs @ ys) \equiv_P \text{Or } xs \text{ or } \text{Or } ys$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

10.6.4 $eval_G$

fun $eval_G$

where

$$\begin{aligned} eval_G S (\varphi \text{ and } \psi) &= eval_G S \varphi \text{ and } eval_G S \psi \\ | eval_G S (\varphi \text{ or } \psi) &= eval_G S \varphi \text{ or } eval_G S \psi \\ | eval_G S (G \varphi) &= (\text{if } G \varphi \in S \text{ then true else false}) \\ | eval_G S \varphi &= \varphi \end{aligned}$$

— Syntactic Properties

lemma $eval_G$ -And-map:

$$eval_G S (\text{And } xs) = \text{And } (\text{map } (eval_G S) xs)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $eval_G$ -Or-map:

$$eval_G S (\text{Or } xs) = \text{Or } (\text{map } (eval_G S) xs)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $eval_G$ -G-nested:

$$\mathbf{G} (eval_G \mathcal{G} \varphi) \subseteq \mathbf{G} \varphi$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $eval_G$ -subst:

$$eval_G S \varphi = \text{subst } \varphi (\lambda \chi. \text{Some } (eval_G S \chi))$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $eval_G$ -prop-entailment:

$$S \models_P eval_G S \varphi \longleftrightarrow S \models_P \varphi$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $eval_G$ -respectfulness:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \rightarrow_P \psi &\implies eval_G S \varphi \rightarrow_P eval_G S \psi \\ \varphi \equiv_P \psi &\implies eval_G S \varphi \equiv_P eval_G S \psi \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $eval_G$ -respectfulness-generalized:

$$(\bigwedge \mathcal{A}. (\bigwedge x. x \in S \implies \mathcal{A} \models_P x) \implies \mathcal{A} \models_P y) \implies (\bigwedge x. x \in S \implies \mathcal{A} \models_P eval_G P x) \implies \mathcal{A} \models_P eval_G P y$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lift-definition $eval_G\text{-abs} :: 'a ltl \ set \Rightarrow 'a ltl_P \Rightarrow 'a ltl_P \ (\uparrow eval_G)$ **is** $eval_G$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

10.7 Finite Quotient Set

If we restrict formulas to a finite set of propositions, the set of quotients of these is finite

lemma $Rep\text{-Abs-prop-entailment}[simp]$:

$A \models_P Rep(Abs \varphi) = A \models_P \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

fun $sat\text{-models} :: 'a ltl\text{-prop-equiv-quotient} \Rightarrow 'a ltl \ set \ set$

where

$sat\text{-models } a = \{A. A \models_P Rep(a)\}$

lemma $sat\text{-models-invariant}$:

$A \in sat\text{-models} (Abs \varphi) = A \models_P \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sat\text{-models-inj}$:

$inj sat\text{-models}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sat\text{-models-finite-image}$:

assumes $finite P$
shows $finite (sat\text{-models} ` \{Abs \varphi \mid \varphi. nested\text{-propos} \varphi \subseteq P\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ltl\text{-prop-equiv-quotient-restricted-to-P-finite}$:

assumes $finite P$
shows $finite \{Abs \varphi \mid \varphi. nested\text{-propos} \varphi \subseteq P\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

locale $lift\text{-ltl-transformer} =$

fixes

$f :: 'a ltl \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a ltl$

assumes

$respectfulness: \varphi \equiv_P \psi \implies f \varphi \nu \equiv_P f \psi \nu$

assumes

$nested\text{-propos-bounded}: nested\text{-propos} (f \varphi \nu) \subseteq nested\text{-propos} \varphi$

begin

```

lift-definition f-abs :: 'a ltlP ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'a ltlP is f
⟨proof⟩

lift-definition f-foldl-abs :: 'a ltlP ⇒ 'b list ⇒ 'a ltlP is foldl f
⟨proof⟩

lemma f-foldl-abs-alt-def:
  f-foldl-abs (Abs φ) w = foldl f-abs (Abs φ) w
⟨proof⟩

definition abs-reach :: 'a ltl-prop-equiv-quotient ⇒ 'a ltl-prop-equiv-quotient
set
where
  abs-reach Φ = {foldl f-abs Φ w | w. True}

lemma finite-abs-reach:
  finite (abs-reach (Abs φ))
⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

11 af - Unfolding Functions

```

theory af
  imports Main LTL-FGXU Auxiliary/List2
begin

11.1 af

fun af-letter :: 'a ltl ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a ltl
where
  af-letter true ν = true
  | af-letter false ν = false
  | af-letter p(a) ν = (if a ∈ ν then true else false)
  | af-letter (np(a)) ν = (if a ∉ ν then true else false)
  | af-letter (φ and ψ) ν = (af-letter φ ν) and (af-letter ψ ν)
  | af-letter (φ or ψ) ν = (af-letter φ ν) or (af-letter ψ ν)
  | af-letter (X φ) ν = φ
  | af-letter (G φ) ν = (G φ) and (af-letter φ ν)
  | af-letter (F φ) ν = (F φ) or (af-letter φ ν)
  | af-letter (φ U ψ) ν = (φ U ψ and (af-letter φ ν)) or (af-letter ψ ν)

```

abbreviation $af :: 'a ltl \Rightarrow 'a set list \Rightarrow 'a ltl (af)$

where

$$af \varphi w \equiv foldl af\text{-letter} \varphi w$$

lemma $af\text{-decompose}$:

$$af(\varphi \text{ and } \psi) w = (af \varphi w) \text{ and } (af \psi w)$$

$$af(\varphi \text{ or } \psi) w = (af \varphi w) \text{ or } (af \psi w)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af\text{-simps}[simp]$:

$$af \text{ true } w = \text{true}$$

$$af \text{ false } w = \text{false}$$

$$af(X \varphi)(x \# xs) = af \varphi (xs)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af\text{-F}$:

$$af(F \varphi) w = Or(F \varphi \# map(af \varphi)(suffixes w))$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af\text{-G}$:

$$af(G \varphi) w = And(G \varphi \# map(af \varphi)(suffixes w))$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af\text{-U}$:

$$af(\varphi U \psi)(x \# xs) = (af(\varphi U \psi) xs \text{ and } af \varphi (x \# xs)) \text{ or } af \psi (x \# xs)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af\text{-respectfulness}$:

$$\varphi \rightarrow_P \psi \implies af\text{-letter} \varphi \nu \rightarrow_P af\text{-letter} \psi \nu$$

$$\varphi \equiv_P \psi \implies af\text{-letter} \varphi \nu \equiv_P af\text{-letter} \psi \nu$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af\text{-respectfulness}'$:

$$\varphi \rightarrow_P \psi \implies af \varphi w \rightarrow_P af \psi w$$

$$\varphi \equiv_P \psi \implies af \varphi w \equiv_P af \psi w$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af\text{-nested-propos}$:

$$\text{nested-propos}(af\text{-letter} \varphi \nu) \subseteq \text{nested-propos} \varphi$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

11.2 af_G

fun $af\text{-}G\text{-letter} :: 'a ltl \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a ltl$

where

- | $af\text{-}G\text{-letter } true \nu = true$
- | $af\text{-}G\text{-letter } false \nu = false$
- | $af\text{-}G\text{-letter } p(a) \nu = (if \ a \in \nu \ then \ true \ else \ false)$
- | $af\text{-}G\text{-letter } (np(a)) \nu = (if \ a \notin \nu \ then \ true \ else \ false)$
- | $af\text{-}G\text{-letter } (\varphi \ and \ \psi) \nu = (af\text{-}G\text{-letter } \varphi \ \nu) \ and \ (af\text{-}G\text{-letter } \psi \ \nu)$
- | $af\text{-}G\text{-letter } (\varphi \ or \ \psi) \nu = (af\text{-}G\text{-letter } \varphi \ \nu) \ or \ (af\text{-}G\text{-letter } \psi \ \nu)$
- | $af\text{-}G\text{-letter } (X \ \varphi) \nu = \varphi$
- | $af\text{-}G\text{-letter } (G \ \varphi) \nu = (G \ \varphi)$
- | $af\text{-}G\text{-letter } (F \ \varphi) \nu = (F \ \varphi) \ or \ (af\text{-}G\text{-letter } \varphi \ \nu)$
- | $af\text{-}G\text{-letter } (\varphi \ U \ \psi) \nu = (\varphi \ U \ \psi) \ and \ (af\text{-}G\text{-letter } \varphi \ \nu)) \ or \ (af\text{-}G\text{-letter } \psi \ \nu)$

abbreviation $af_G :: 'a ltl \Rightarrow 'a set list \Rightarrow 'a ltl$

where

$af_G \varphi w \equiv (foldl \ af\text{-}G\text{-letter } \varphi \ w)$

lemma $af_G\text{-decompose}:$

- $af_G (\varphi \ and \ \psi) w = (af_G \varphi w) \ and \ (af_G \psi w)$
- $af_G (\varphi \ or \ \psi) w = (af_G \varphi w) \ or \ (af_G \psi w)$
- $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af_G\text{-simps}[simp]:$

- $af_G \ true w = true$
- $af_G \ false w = false$
- $af_G (G \ \varphi) w = G \ \varphi$
- $af_G (X \ \varphi) (x \# xs) = af_G \varphi (xs)$
- $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af_G\text{-}F:$

- $af_G (F \ \varphi) w = Or (F \ \varphi \ \# \ map (af_G \varphi) (suffixes \ w))$
- $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af_G\text{-}U:$

- $af_G (\varphi \ U \ \psi) (x \# xs) = (af_G (\varphi \ U \ \psi) \ xs) \ and \ af_G \varphi (x \# xs)) \ or \ af_G \psi (x \# xs)$
- $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af_G\text{-subsequence-}U:$

- $af_G (\varphi \ U \ \psi) (w [0 \rightarrow Suc \ n]) = (af_G (\varphi \ U \ \psi) (w [1 \rightarrow Suc \ n])) \ and \ af_G \varphi (w [0 \rightarrow Suc \ n])) \ or \ af_G \psi (w [0 \rightarrow Suc \ n])$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-G-respectfulness*:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi \rightarrow_P \psi &\implies af\text{-}G\text{-letter } \varphi \nu \rightarrow_P af\text{-}G\text{-letter } \psi \nu \\ \varphi \equiv_P \psi &\implies af\text{-}G\text{-letter } \varphi \nu \equiv_P af\text{-}G\text{-letter } \psi \nu\end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-G-respectfulness'*:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi \rightarrow_P \psi &\implies af_G \varphi w \rightarrow_P af_G \psi w \\ \varphi \equiv_P \psi &\implies af_G \varphi w \equiv_P af_G \psi w\end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-G-nested-propos*:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{nested-propos } (af\text{-}G\text{-letter } \varphi \nu) &\subseteq \text{nested-propos } \varphi \\ \langle proof \rangle\end{aligned}$$

lemma *af-G-letter-sat-core*:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Only-}G \mathcal{G} &\implies \mathcal{G} \models_P \varphi \implies \mathcal{G} \models_P af\text{-}G\text{-letter } \varphi \nu \\ \langle proof \rangle\end{aligned}$$

lemma *af_G-sat-core*:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Only-}G \mathcal{G} &\implies \mathcal{G} \models_P \varphi \implies \mathcal{G} \models_P af_G \varphi w \\ \langle proof \rangle\end{aligned}$$

lemma *af_G-sat-core-generalized*:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Only-}G \mathcal{G} &\implies i \leq j \implies \mathcal{G} \models_P af_G \varphi (w [0 \rightarrow i]) \implies \mathcal{G} \models_P af_G \varphi (w [0 \rightarrow j]) \\ \langle proof \rangle\end{aligned}$$

lemma *af_G-eval_G*:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Only-}G \mathcal{G} &\implies \mathcal{G} \models_P af_G (\text{eval}_G \mathcal{G} \varphi) w \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{G} \models_P \text{eval}_G \mathcal{G} (af_G \varphi w) \\ \langle proof \rangle\end{aligned}$$

lemma *af_G-keeps-F-and-S*:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{assumes } ys &\neq [] \\ \text{assumes } S &\models_P af_G \varphi ys \\ \text{shows } S &\models_P af_G (F \varphi) (xs @ ys)\end{aligned}$$

11.3 G-Subformulae Simplification

lemma *G-af-simp[simp]*:

$$\mathbf{G} (af \varphi w) = \mathbf{G} \varphi$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $G\text{-}af_G\text{-simp}[simp]$:

$$\mathbf{G} (af_G \varphi w) = \mathbf{G} \varphi$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

11.4 Relation between af and af_G

lemma $af\text{-}G\text{-letter-free-}F$:

$$\mathbf{G} \varphi = \{\} \implies \mathbf{G} (af\text{-letter } \varphi \nu) = \{\}$$

$$\mathbf{G} \varphi = \{\} \implies \mathbf{G} (af\text{-}G\text{-letter } \varphi \nu) = \{\}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af\text{-}G\text{-free}$:

assumes $\mathbf{G} \varphi = \{\}$

shows $af \varphi w = af_G \varphi w$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af\text{-equals-}af_G\text{-base-cases}$:

$$af \text{ true } w = af_G \text{ true } w$$

$$af \text{ false } w = af_G \text{ false } w$$

$$af p(a) w = af_G p(a) w$$

$$af (np(a)) w = af_G (np(a)) w$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af\text{-implies-}af_G$:

$$S \models_P af \varphi w \implies S \models_P af_G \varphi w$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af\text{-implies-}af_G\text{-2}$:

$$w \models af \varphi xs \implies w \models af_G \varphi xs$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af_G\text{-implies-}af\text{-eval}_G'$:

assumes $S \models_P eval_G \mathcal{G} (af_G \varphi w)$

assumes $\bigwedge \psi. G \psi \in \mathcal{G} \implies S \models_P G \psi$

assumes $\bigwedge \psi i. G \psi \in \mathcal{G} \implies i < length w \implies S \models_P eval_G \mathcal{G} (af_G \psi (drop i w))$

shows $S \models_P af \varphi w$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af_G\text{-implies-}af\text{-eval}_G$:

assumes $S \models_P eval_G \mathcal{G} (af_G \varphi (w [0 \rightarrow j]))$

assumes $\bigwedge \psi. G \psi \in \mathcal{G} \implies S \models_P G \psi$

assumes $\bigwedge \psi i. G \psi \in \mathcal{G} \implies i \leq j \implies S \models_P eval_G \mathcal{G} (af_G \psi (w [i \rightarrow$

$j])$
shows $S \models_P af \varphi (w [0 \rightarrow j])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.5 Continuation

lemma *af-ltl-continuation:*

$(w \sim w') \models \varphi \longleftrightarrow w' \models af \varphi w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-ltl-continuation-suffix:*

$w \models \varphi \longleftrightarrow suffix i w \models af \varphi (w[0 \rightarrow i])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-G-ltl-continuation:*

$\forall \psi \in \mathbf{G} \varphi. w' \models \psi = (w \sim w') \models \psi \implies (w \sim w') \models \varphi \longleftrightarrow w' \models af_G \varphi w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af_G-ltl-continuation-suffix:*

$\forall \psi \in \mathbf{G} \varphi. w \models \psi = (suffix i w) \models \psi \implies w \models \varphi \longleftrightarrow suffix i w \models af_G \varphi (w[0 \rightarrow i])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.6 Eager Unfolding *af* and *af_G*

fun *Unf* :: 'a ltl \Rightarrow 'a ltl

where

- $Unf (F \varphi) = F \varphi$ or $Unf \varphi$
- $| Unf (G \varphi) = G \varphi$ and $Unf \varphi$
- $| Unf (\varphi U \psi) = (\varphi U \psi)$ and $Unf \varphi$ or $Unf \psi$
- $| Unf (\varphi \text{ and } \psi) = Unf \varphi$ and $Unf \psi$
- $| Unf (\varphi \text{ or } \psi) = Unf \varphi$ or $Unf \psi$
- $| Unf \varphi = \varphi$

fun *Unf_G* :: 'a ltl \Rightarrow 'a ltl

where

- $Unf_G (F \varphi) = F \varphi$ or $Unf_G \varphi$
- $| Unf_G (G \varphi) = G \varphi$
- $| Unf_G (\varphi U \psi) = (\varphi U \psi)$ and $Unf_G \varphi$ or $Unf_G \psi$
- $| Unf_G (\varphi \text{ and } \psi) = Unf_G \varphi$ and $Unf_G \psi$
- $| Unf_G (\varphi \text{ or } \psi) = Unf_G \varphi$ or $Unf_G \psi$
- $| Unf_G \varphi = \varphi$

```

fun step :: 'a ltl  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a ltl
where
  step p(a)  $\nu$  = (if  $a \in \nu$  then true else false)
  | step (np(a))  $\nu$  = (if  $a \notin \nu$  then true else false)
  | step ( $X \varphi$ )  $\nu$  =  $\varphi$ 
  | step ( $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ )  $\nu$  = step  $\varphi$   $\nu$  and step  $\psi$   $\nu$ 
  | step ( $\varphi$  or  $\psi$ )  $\nu$  = step  $\varphi$   $\nu$  or step  $\psi$   $\nu$ 
  | step  $\varphi$   $\nu$  =  $\varphi$ 

fun af-letter-opt
where
  af-letter-opt  $\varphi$   $\nu$  = Unf (step  $\varphi$   $\nu$ )

fun af-G-letter-opt
where
  af-G-letter-opt  $\varphi$   $\nu$  = UnfG (step  $\varphi$   $\nu$ )

abbreviation af-opt :: 'a ltl  $\Rightarrow$  'a set list  $\Rightarrow$  'a ltl (afU)
where
  afU  $\varphi$  w  $\equiv$  (foldl af-letter-opt  $\varphi$  w)

abbreviation af-G-opt :: 'a ltl  $\Rightarrow$  'a set list  $\Rightarrow$  'a ltl (afGU)
where
  afGU  $\varphi$  w  $\equiv$  (foldl af-G-letter-opt  $\varphi$  w)

lemma af-letter-alt-def:
  af-letter  $\varphi$   $\nu$  = step (Unf  $\varphi$ )  $\nu$ 
  af-G-letter  $\varphi$   $\nu$  = step (UnfG  $\varphi$ )  $\nu$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma af-to-af-opt:
  Unf (af  $\varphi$  w) = afU (Unf  $\varphi$ ) w
  UnfG (afG  $\varphi$  w) = afGU (UnfG  $\varphi$ ) w
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma af-equiv:
  af  $\varphi$  (w @ [ν]) = step (afU (Unf  $\varphi$ ) w) ν
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma af-equiv':
  af  $\varphi$  (w [0  $\rightarrow$  Suc i]) = step (afU (Unf  $\varphi$ ) (w [0  $\rightarrow$  i])) (w i)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

11.7 Lifted Functions

lemma *respectfulness*:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi \rightarrow_P \psi &\implies af\text{-letter-opt } \varphi \nu \rightarrow_P af\text{-letter-opt } \psi \nu \\
 \varphi \equiv_P \psi &\implies af\text{-letter-opt } \varphi \nu \equiv_P af\text{-letter-opt } \psi \nu \\
 \varphi \rightarrow_P \psi &\implies af\text{-G-letter-opt } \varphi \nu \rightarrow_P af\text{-G-letter-opt } \psi \nu \\
 \varphi \equiv_P \psi &\implies af\text{-G-letter-opt } \varphi \nu \equiv_P af\text{-G-letter-opt } \psi \nu \\
 \varphi \rightarrow_P \psi &\implies step \varphi \nu \rightarrow_P step \psi \nu \\
 \varphi \equiv_P \psi &\implies step \varphi \nu \equiv_P step \psi \nu \\
 \varphi \rightarrow_P \psi &\implies Unf \varphi \rightarrow_P Unf \psi \\
 \varphi \equiv_P \psi &\implies Unf \varphi \equiv_P Unf \psi \\
 \varphi \rightarrow_P \psi &\implies Unf_G \varphi \rightarrow_P Unf_G \psi \\
 \varphi \equiv_P \psi &\implies Unf_G \varphi \equiv_P Unf_G \psi \\
 \langle proof \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

lemma *nested-propos*:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{nested-propos} (step \varphi \nu) &\subseteq \text{nested-propos } \varphi \\
 \text{nested-propos} (Unf \varphi) &\subseteq \text{nested-propos } \varphi \\
 \text{nested-propos} (Unf_G \varphi) &\subseteq \text{nested-propos } \varphi \\
 \text{nested-propos} (af\text{-letter-opt } \varphi \nu) &\subseteq \text{nested-propos } \varphi \\
 \text{nested-propos} (af\text{-G-letter-opt } \varphi \nu) &\subseteq \text{nested-propos } \varphi \\
 \langle proof \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

Lift functions and bind to new names

interpretation *af-abs*: lift-ltl-transformer *af-letter*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *af-letter-abs* ($\uparrow af$)

where

$$\uparrow af \equiv af\text{-abs}.f\text{-abs}$$

interpretation *af-G-abs*: lift-ltl-transformer *af-G-letter*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *af-G-letter-abs* ($\uparrow af_G$)

where

$$\uparrow af_G \equiv af\text{-G-abs}.f\text{-abs}$$

interpretation *af-abs-opt*: lift-ltl-transformer *af-letter-opt*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *af-letter-abs-opt* ($\uparrow af_{\mathfrak{U}}$)

where

$$\uparrow af_{\mathfrak{U}} \equiv af\text{-abs-opt}.f\text{-abs}$$

interpretation *af-G-abs-opt*: lift-ltl-transformer *af-G-letter-opt*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *af-G-letter-abs-opt* ($\uparrow af_{G\mathfrak{U}}$)

where

$$\uparrow af_{G\mathfrak{U}} \equiv af\text{-}G\text{-}abs\text{-}opt.f\text{-}abs$$

lift-definition *step-abs* :: ' a ltl_P \Rightarrow ' a set \Rightarrow ' a ltl_P ($\uparrow step$) **is** *step*'
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lift-definition *Unf-abs* :: ' a ltl_P \Rightarrow ' a ltl_P ($\uparrow Unf$) **is** *Unf*'
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lift-definition *Unf_G-abs* :: ' a ltl_P \Rightarrow ' a ltl_P ($\uparrow Unf_G$) **is** *Unf_G*'
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.7.1 Properties

lemma *af-G-letter-opt-sat-core*:

Only-G $\mathcal{G} \implies \mathcal{G} \models_P \varphi \implies \mathcal{G} \models_P af\text{-}G\text{-}letter\text{-}opt \varphi \nu$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-G-letter-sat-core-lifted*:

Only-G $\mathcal{G} \implies \mathcal{G} \models_P Rep \varphi \implies \mathcal{G} \models_P Rep (af\text{-}G\text{-}letter\text{-}abs \varphi \nu)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-G-letter-opt-sat-core-lifted*:

Only-G $\mathcal{G} \implies \mathcal{G} \models_P Rep \varphi \implies \mathcal{G} \models_P Rep (\uparrow af_{G\mathfrak{U}} \varphi \nu)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-G-letter-abs-opt-split*:

$\uparrow Unf_G (\uparrow step \Phi \nu) = \uparrow af_{G\mathfrak{U}} \Phi \nu$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-unfold*:

$\uparrow af = (\lambda \varphi \nu. \uparrow step (\uparrow Unf \varphi) \nu)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-opt-unfold*:

$\uparrow af_{\mathfrak{U}} = (\lambda \varphi \nu. \uparrow Unf (\uparrow step \varphi \nu))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-abs-equiv*:

$\text{foldl } \uparrow\text{af } \psi (xs @ [x]) = \uparrow\text{step} (\text{foldl } \uparrow\text{af}_{\mathfrak{U}} (\uparrow\text{Unf } \psi) xs) x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Rep-Abs-equiv*:

$\text{Rep } (\text{Abs } \varphi) \equiv_P \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Rep-step*:

$\text{Rep } (\uparrow\text{step } \Phi \nu) \equiv_P \text{step} (\text{Rep } \Phi) \nu$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *step-G*:

Only-G $\mathcal{G} \implies \mathcal{G} \models_P \varphi \implies \mathcal{G} \models_P \text{step } \varphi \nu$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Unf_G-G*:

Only-G $\mathcal{G} \implies \mathcal{G} \models_P \varphi \implies \mathcal{G} \models_P \text{Unf}_G \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

hide-fact (open) *respectfulness nested-propos*

end

12 Logical Characterization Theorems

theory *Logical-Characterization*
imports *Main af Auxiliary/Preliminaries2*
begin

12.1 Eventually True G-Subformulae

```
fun  $\mathcal{G}_{FG}$  :: ' $a$  ltl  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$  set word  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$  ltl set
where
   $\mathcal{G}_{FG}$  true  $w = \{\}$ 
  |  $\mathcal{G}_{FG}$  (false)  $w = \{\}$ 
  |  $\mathcal{G}_{FG}$  ( $p(a)$ )  $w = \{\}$ 
  |  $\mathcal{G}_{FG}$  ( $np(a)$ )  $w = \{\}$ 
  |  $\mathcal{G}_{FG}$  ( $\varphi_1 \text{ and } \varphi_2$ )  $w = \mathcal{G}_{FG} \varphi_1 w \cup \mathcal{G}_{FG} \varphi_2 w$ 
  |  $\mathcal{G}_{FG}$  ( $\varphi_1 \text{ or } \varphi_2$ )  $w = \mathcal{G}_{FG} \varphi_1 w \cup \mathcal{G}_{FG} \varphi_2 w$ 
  |  $\mathcal{G}_{FG}$  ( $F \varphi$ )  $w = \mathcal{G}_{FG} \varphi w$ 
  |  $\mathcal{G}_{FG}$  ( $G \varphi$ )  $w = (\text{if } w \models F G \varphi \text{ then } \{G \varphi\} \cup \mathcal{G}_{FG} \varphi w \text{ else } \mathcal{G}_{FG} \varphi w)$ 
  |  $\mathcal{G}_{FG}$  ( $X \varphi$ )  $w = \mathcal{G}_{FG} \varphi w$ 
  |  $\mathcal{G}_{FG}$  ( $\varphi U \psi$ )  $w = \mathcal{G}_{FG} \varphi w \cup \mathcal{G}_{FG} \psi w$ 
```

lemma \mathcal{G}_{FG} -alt-def:

$$\mathcal{G}_{FG} \varphi w = \{G \psi \mid \psi. G \psi \in \mathbf{G} \varphi \wedge w \models F (G \psi)\}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma \mathcal{G}_{FG} -Only-G:

$$Only\text{-}G (\mathcal{G}_{FG} \varphi w)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma \mathcal{G}_{FG} -suffix[simp]:

$$\mathcal{G}_{FG} \varphi (suffix i w) = \mathcal{G}_{FG} \varphi w$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

12.2 Eventually Provable and Almost All Eventually Prov-able

abbreviation \mathfrak{P}

where

$$\mathfrak{P} \varphi \mathcal{G} w i \equiv \exists j. \mathcal{G} \models_P af_G \varphi (w [i \rightarrow j])$$

definition almost-all-eventually-provable :: 'a ltl \Rightarrow 'a ltl set \Rightarrow 'a set word \Rightarrow bool (\mathfrak{P}_∞)

where

$$\mathfrak{P}_\infty \varphi \mathcal{G} w \equiv \forall_\infty i. \mathfrak{P} \varphi \mathcal{G} w i$$

12.2.1 Proof Rules

lemma almost-all-eventually-provable-monotoni[intro]:

$$\mathfrak{P}_\infty \varphi \mathcal{G} w \implies \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{G}' \implies \mathfrak{P}_\infty \varphi \mathcal{G}' w$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma almost-all-eventually-provable-restrict-to-G:

$$\mathfrak{P}_\infty \varphi \mathcal{G} w \implies Only\text{-}G \mathcal{G} \implies \mathfrak{P}_\infty \varphi (\mathcal{G} \cap \mathbf{G} \varphi) w$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

fun G-depth :: 'a ltl \Rightarrow nat

where

$$G\text{-depth} (\varphi \text{ and } \psi) = max (G\text{-depth} \varphi) (G\text{-depth} \psi)$$

$$| G\text{-depth} (\varphi \text{ or } \psi) = max (G\text{-depth} \varphi) (G\text{-depth} \psi)$$

$$| G\text{-depth} (F \varphi) = G\text{-depth} \varphi$$

$$| G\text{-depth} (G \varphi) = G\text{-depth} \varphi + 1$$

$$| G\text{-depth} (X \varphi) = G\text{-depth} \varphi$$

$$| G\text{-depth} (\varphi U \psi) = max (G\text{-depth} \varphi) (G\text{-depth} \psi)$$

$$| G\text{-depth} \varphi = 0$$

lemma *almost-all-eventually-provable-restrict-to-G-depth*:

assumes $\mathfrak{P}_\infty \varphi \mathcal{G} w$

assumes *Only-G G*

shows $\mathfrak{P}_\infty \varphi (\mathcal{G} \cap \{\psi. \text{ } G\text{-depth } \psi \leq G\text{-depth } \varphi\}) w$

<proof>

lemma *almost-all-eventually-provable-suffix*:

$\mathfrak{P}_\infty \varphi \mathcal{G}' w \implies \mathfrak{P}_\infty \varphi \mathcal{G}' (\text{suffix } i w)$

<proof>

12.2.2 Threshold

The first index, such that the formula is eventually provable from this time on

fun *threshold* :: '*a ltl* \Rightarrow '*a set word* \Rightarrow '*a ltl set* \Rightarrow *nat option*

where

threshold $\varphi w \mathcal{G} = \text{index } (\lambda j. \mathfrak{P} \varphi \mathcal{G} w j)$

lemma *threshold-properties*:

threshold $\varphi w \mathcal{G} = \text{Some } i \implies 0 < i \implies \neg \mathcal{G} \models_P af_G \varphi (w [(i - 1) \rightarrow k])$

threshold $\varphi w \mathcal{G} = \text{Some } i \implies j \geq i \implies \exists k. \mathcal{G} \models_P af_G \varphi (w [j \rightarrow k])$

<proof>

lemma *threshold-suffix*:

assumes *threshold* $\varphi w \mathcal{G} = \text{Some } k$

assumes *threshold* $\varphi (\text{suffix } i w) \mathcal{G} = \text{Some } k'$

shows $k \leq k' + i$

<proof>

12.2.3 Relation to LTL semantics

lemma *ltl-implies-provable*:

$w \models \varphi \implies \mathfrak{P} \varphi (\mathcal{G}_{FG} \varphi w) w 0$

<proof>

lemma *ltl-implies-provable-almost-all*:

$w \models \varphi \implies \forall_\infty i. \mathcal{G}_{FG} \varphi w \models_P af_G \varphi (w [0 \rightarrow i])$

<proof>

12.2.4 Closed Sets

abbreviation *closed*

where

closed \mathcal{G} $w \equiv \text{finite } \mathcal{G} \wedge \text{Only-}G \mathcal{G} \wedge (\forall \psi. G \psi \in \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{P}_\infty \psi \mathcal{G} w)$

lemma *closed-FG*:

assumes *closed* \mathcal{G} w
assumes $G \psi \in \mathcal{G}$
shows $w \models F G \psi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *closed- \mathcal{G}_{FG}* :

closed $(\mathcal{G}_{FG} \varphi w) w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

12.2.5 Conjunction of Eventually Provable Formulas

definition \mathcal{F}

where

$\mathcal{F} \varphi w \mathcal{G} j = \text{And} (\text{map} (\lambda i. \text{af}_G \varphi (w [i \rightarrow j]))) [\text{the (threshold } \varphi w \mathcal{G} \text{)} .. < \text{Suc } j])$

lemma *almost-all-suffixes-model- \mathcal{F}* :

assumes *closed* \mathcal{G} w
assumes $G \varphi \in \mathcal{G}$
shows $\forall \infty j. \text{suffix } j w \models \text{eval}_G \mathcal{G} (\mathcal{F} \varphi w \mathcal{G} j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *almost-all-commutative''*:

assumes *finite* S
assumes *Only-G* S
assumes $\bigwedge x. G x \in S \implies \forall \infty i. P x (i::\text{nat})$
shows $\forall \infty i. \forall x. G x \in S \longrightarrow P x i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *almost-all-suffixes-model- \mathcal{F} -generalized*:

assumes *closed* \mathcal{G} w
shows $\forall \infty j. \forall \psi. G \psi \in \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \text{suffix } j w \models \text{eval}_G \mathcal{G} (\mathcal{F} \psi w \mathcal{G} j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

12.3 Technical Lemmas

lemma *threshold-suffix-2*:

assumes *threshold* $\psi w \mathcal{G}' = \text{Some } k$
assumes $k \leq l$
shows *threshold* $\psi (\text{suffix } l w) \mathcal{G}' = \text{Some } 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *threshold-closed*:

assumes *closed* \mathcal{G} w

shows $\exists k. \forall \psi. G \psi \in \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \text{threshold } \psi (\text{suffix } k w) \mathcal{G} = \text{Some } 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *F-drop*:

assumes $\mathfrak{P}_\infty \varphi \mathcal{G}' w$

assumes $S \models_P \mathcal{F} \varphi w \mathcal{G}' (i + j)$

shows $S \models_P \mathcal{F} \varphi (\text{suffix } i w) \mathcal{G}' j$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

12.4 Main Results

definition *accept_M*

where

$\text{accept}_M \varphi \mathcal{G} w \equiv (\forall \infty j. \forall S. (\forall \psi. G \psi \in \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow S \models_P G \psi \wedge S \models_P \text{eval}_G \mathcal{G} (\mathcal{F} \psi w \mathcal{G} j)) \longrightarrow S \models_P \text{af } \varphi (w [0 \rightarrow j]))$

lemma *lemmaD*:

assumes $w \models \varphi$

assumes $\bigwedge \psi. G \psi \in \mathcal{G}_{FG} \varphi w \implies \text{threshold } \psi w (\mathcal{G}_{FG} \varphi w) = \text{Some } 0$

shows $\text{accept}_M \varphi (\mathcal{G}_{FG} \varphi w) w$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *ltl-FG-logical-characterization*:

$w \models F G \varphi \longleftrightarrow (\exists \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathbf{G} (F G \varphi). G \varphi \in \mathcal{G} \wedge \text{closed } \mathcal{G} w)$

(**is** $?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *ltl-logical-characterization*:

$w \models \varphi \longleftrightarrow (\exists \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathbf{G} \varphi. \text{accept}_M \varphi \mathcal{G} w \wedge \text{closed } \mathcal{G} w)$

(**is** $?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

13 Translation from LTL to (Deterministic Transitions-Based) Generalised Rabin Automata

theory *LTL-Rabin*

imports *Main Mojmir-Rabin Logical-Characterization*

begin

13.1 Preliminary Facts

```
lemma run-af-G-letter-abs-eq-Abs-af-G-letter:
  run  $\uparrow af_G (Abs \varphi) w i = Abs (run af\text{-}G\text{-}letter \varphi w i)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma finite-reach-af:
  finite (reach  $\Sigma \uparrow af (Abs \varphi)$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma ltl-semi-mojmir:
  assumes finite  $\Sigma$ 
  assumes range  $w \subseteq \Sigma$ 
  shows semi-mojmir  $\Sigma \uparrow af_G (Abs \psi) w$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

13.2 Single Secondary Automaton

```
locale ltl-FG-to-rabin-def =
```

```
  fixes
     $\Sigma :: 'a set set$ 
  fixes
     $\varphi :: 'a ltl$ 
  fixes
     $\mathcal{G} :: 'a ltl set$ 
  fixes
     $w :: 'a set word$ 
```

```
begin
```

```
  sublocale mojmir-to-rabin-def  $\Sigma \uparrow af_G Abs \varphi w \{q. \mathcal{G} \models_P Rep q\}$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
  abbreviation  $\delta_R \equiv step$ 
  abbreviation  $q_R \equiv initial$ 
  abbreviation  $Acc_R j \equiv (fail_R \cup merge_R j, succeed_R j)$ 
  abbreviation  $max\text{-}rank_R \equiv max\text{-}rank$ 
  abbreviation  $smallest\text{-}accepting\text{-}rank_R \equiv smallest\text{-}accepting\text{-}rank$ 
  abbreviation  $accept_R' \equiv accept$ 
  abbreviation  $\mathcal{S}_R \equiv \mathcal{S}$ 
```

```
lemma Rep-token-run-af:
   $Rep (token\text{-}run } x n) \equiv_P af_G \varphi (w [x \rightarrow n])$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
end
```

```

locale ltl-FG-to-rabin = ltl-FG-to-rabin-def +
  assumes
    wellformed-G: Only-G G
  assumes
    bounded-w: range w ⊆ Σ
  assumes
    finite-Σ: finite Σ
  begin

    sublocale mojmír-to-rabin Σ ↑afG Abs φ w {q. G ⊨P Rep q} ⟨proof⟩

    lemma ltl-to-rabin-correct-exposed':
       $\mathfrak{P}_\infty \varphi \mathcal{G} w \longleftrightarrow \text{accept}$ 
      ⟨proof⟩

    lemma ltl-to-rabin-correct-exposed:
       $\mathfrak{P}_\infty \varphi \mathcal{G} w \longleftrightarrow \text{accept}_R (\delta_R, q_R, \{\text{Acc}_R i \mid i. i < \text{max-rank}_R\}) w$ 
      ⟨proof⟩
    lemmas max-rank-lowerbound = max-rank-lowerbound
    lemmas state-rank-step-foldl = state-rank-step-foldl
    lemmas smallest-accepting-rank-properties = smallest-accepting-rank-properties

    lemmas wellformed-R = wellformed-R

  end

  fun ltl-to-rabin
  where
    ltl-to-rabin Σ φ G = (ltl-FG-to-rabin-def.δR Σ φ, ltl-FG-to-rabin-def.qR φ, {ltl-FG-to-rabin-def.AccR Σ φ G i | i < ltl-FG-to-rabin-def.max-rankR Σ φ})
  context
  fixes
    Σ :: 'a set set
  assumes
    finite-Σ: finite Σ
  begin

    lemma ltl-to-rabin-correct:
      assumes range w ⊆ Σ
      shows w ⊨ F G φ = (∃G ⊆ G (G φ). G φ ∈ G ∧ (∀ψ. G ψ ∈ G → acceptR (ltl-to-rabin Σ ψ G) w))

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

13.2.1 LTL-to-Mojmir Lemmas

lemma \mathcal{F} -eq- \mathcal{S} :

assumes $\text{finite-}\Sigma$: finite Σ
assumes $\text{bounded-}w$: range $w \subseteq \Sigma$
assumes $\text{closed } \mathcal{G} w$
assumes $G \psi \in \mathcal{G}$
shows $\forall \infty j. (\forall S. (S \models_P \mathcal{F} \psi w \mathcal{G} j \wedge \mathcal{G} \subseteq S) \longleftrightarrow (\forall q. q \in (\text{ltl-FG-to-rabin-def.}\mathcal{S}_R \Sigma \psi \mathcal{G} w j) \longrightarrow S \models_P \text{Rep } q))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma \mathcal{F} -eq- \mathcal{S} -generalized:

assumes $\text{finite-}\Sigma$: finite Σ
assumes $\text{bounded-}w$: range $w \subseteq \Sigma$
assumes $\text{closed } \mathcal{G} w$
shows $\forall \infty j. \forall \psi. G \psi \in \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow (\forall S. (S \models_P \mathcal{F} \psi w \mathcal{G} j \wedge \mathcal{G} \subseteq S) \longleftrightarrow (\forall q. q \in (\text{ltl-FG-to-rabin-def.}\mathcal{S}_R \Sigma \psi \mathcal{G}) w j \longrightarrow S \models_P \text{Rep } q))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

13.3 Product of Secondary Automata

context

fixes

$\Sigma :: 'a \text{ set set}$

begin

fun $\text{product-initial-state} :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \rightharpoonup 'b) (\iota_\times)$

where

$\iota_\times K q_m = (\lambda k. \text{if } k \in K \text{ then Some } (q_m k) \text{ else None})$

fun $\text{combine-pairs} :: (('a, 'b) \text{ transition set} \times ('a, 'b) \text{ transition set}) \text{ set} \Rightarrow (('a, 'b) \text{ transition set} \times ('a, 'b) \text{ transition set set})$

where

$\text{combine-pairs } P = (\bigcup (\text{fst } 'P), \text{snd } 'P)$

fun $\text{combine-pairs}' :: (('a \text{ ltl} \Rightarrow ('a \text{ ltl-prop-equiv-quotient} \Rightarrow \text{nat option})) \text{ option}, 'a \text{ set}) \text{ transition set} \times (('a \text{ ltl} \Rightarrow ('a \text{ ltl-prop-equiv-quotient} \Rightarrow \text{nat option})) \text{ option}, 'a \text{ set}) \text{ transition set} \Rightarrow ((('a \text{ ltl} \Rightarrow ('a \text{ ltl-prop-equiv-quotient} \Rightarrow \text{nat option})) \text{ option}, 'a \text{ set}) \text{ transition set} \times (('a \text{ ltl} \Rightarrow ('a \text{ ltl-prop-equiv-quotient} \Rightarrow \text{nat option})) \text{ option}, 'a \text{ set}) \text{ transition set set})$

where

$$\text{combine-pairs}' P = (\bigcup (fst \cdot P), snd \cdot P)$$

lemma *combine-pairs-prop*:

$$(\forall P \in \mathcal{P}. \text{accepting-pair}_R \delta q_0 P w) = \text{accepting-pair}_{GR} \delta q_0 (\text{combine-pairs}' \mathcal{P}) w$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *combine-pairs2*:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{combine-pairs } \mathcal{P} \in \alpha &\implies (\bigwedge P. P \in \mathcal{P} \implies \text{accepting-pair}_R \delta q_0 P w) \\ &\implies \text{accept}_{GR} (\delta, q_0, \alpha) w \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *combine-pairs'-prop*:

$$(\forall P \in \mathcal{P}. \text{accepting-pair}_R \delta q_0 P w) = \text{accepting-pair}_{GR} \delta q_0 (\text{combine-pairs}' \mathcal{P}) w$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

fun *ltl-FG-to-generalized-rabin* :: $'a ltl \Rightarrow ('a ltl \multimap 'a ltl_P \multimap \text{nat}, 'a set)$
generalized-rabin-automaton (\mathcal{P})

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ltl-FG-to-generalized-rabin } \varphi &= (\\ &\Delta_{\times} (\lambda \chi. \text{ltl-FG-to-rabin-def.} \delta_R \Sigma (\text{theG } \chi)), \\ &\iota_{\times} (\mathbf{G} (G \varphi)) (\lambda \chi. \text{ltl-FG-to-rabin-def.} q_R (\text{theG } \chi)), \\ &\{\text{combine-pairs}' \{\text{embed-pair } \chi (\text{ltl-FG-to-rabin-def.} \text{Acc}_R \Sigma (\text{theG } \chi) \mathcal{G} \\ &(\pi \chi)) \mid \chi. \chi \in \mathcal{G}\} \\ &\quad \mid \mathcal{G} \pi. \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathbf{G} (G \varphi) \wedge G \varphi \in \mathcal{G} \wedge (\forall \chi. \pi \chi < \text{ltl-FG-to-rabin-def.} \text{max-rank}_R \\ &\quad \Sigma (\text{theG } \chi)))\} \end{aligned}$$

context

assumes

$$\text{finite-} \Sigma : \text{finite } \Sigma$$

begin

lemma *ltl-FG-to-generalized-rabin-wellformed*:

$$\text{finite} (\text{reach } \Sigma (fst (\mathcal{P} \varphi)) (fst (snd (\mathcal{P} \varphi))))$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *ltl-FG-to-generalized-rabin-correct*:

assumes $\text{range } w \subseteq \Sigma$

shows $w \models F G \varphi = \text{accept}_{GR} (\mathcal{P} \varphi) w$

(**is** $?lhs = ?rhs$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
end
```

```
end
```

13.4 Automaton Template

```
locale ltl-to-rabin-base-def =
  fixes
     $\delta :: 'a ltl_P \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a ltl_P$ 
  fixes
     $\delta_M :: 'a ltl_P \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a ltl_P$ 
  fixes
     $q_0 :: 'a ltl \Rightarrow 'a ltl_P$ 
  fixes
     $q_{0M} :: 'a ltl \Rightarrow 'a ltl_P$ 
  fixes
     $M\text{-fin} :: ('a ltl \rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow ('a ltl_P \times ('a ltl \rightarrow 'a ltl_P \rightarrow nat), 'a set)$ 
  transition set
begin
```

— Transition Function and Initial State

```
fun delta
where
delta  $\Sigma = \delta \times \Delta_\times$  (semi-mojmir-def.step  $\Sigma \delta_M \circ q_{0M} \circ \text{theG}$ )
```

```
fun initial
where
initial  $\varphi = (q_0 \varphi, \iota_\times (\mathbf{G} \varphi))$  (semi-mojmir-def.initial  $\circ q_{0M} \circ \text{theG}$ )
```

— Acceptance Condition

```
definition max-rank-of
where
max-rank-of  $\Sigma \psi \equiv \text{semi-mojmir-def.max-rank } \Sigma \delta_M (q_{0M} (\text{theG} \psi))$ 
```

```
fun Acc-fin
where
Acc-fin  $\Sigma \pi \chi = \bigcup (\text{embed-transition-snd} \cup (\text{embed-transition } \chi \cup
(mojmir-to-rabin-def.fail}_R \Sigma \delta_M (q_{0M} (\text{theG} \chi)) \{q. \text{dom } \pi \upharpoonright_P q\}
\cup mojmir-to-rabin-def.merge}_R \delta_M (q_{0M} (\text{theG} \chi)) \{q. \text{dom } \pi \upharpoonright_P q\}
(\text{the } (\pi \chi))))$ 
```

```
fun Acc-inf
```

```

where

$$Acc\text{-}inf \pi \chi = \bigcup(\text{embed}\text{-transition-}snd \cup (\text{embed}\text{-transition } \chi \cup
(mojmir\text{-to}\text{-rabin}\text{-def}.succeed_R \delta_M (q_{0M} (\text{theG } \chi)) \{q. \text{dom } \pi \upharpoonright\models_P q\}
(\text{the } (\pi \chi)))))$$


abbreviation Acc
where

$$Acc \Sigma \pi \chi \equiv (Acc\text{-fin } \Sigma \pi \chi, Acc\text{-inf } \pi \chi)$$


fun rabin-pairs :: 'a set set  $\Rightarrow$  'a ltl  $\Rightarrow$  ('a ltlP  $\times$  ('a ltl  $\rightarrow$  'a ltlP  $\rightarrow$  nat),
'a set) generalized-rabin-condition
where

$$\begin{aligned} rabin\text{-pairs } \Sigma \varphi = & \{(M\text{-fin } \pi \cup \bigcup\{Acc\text{-fin } \Sigma \pi \chi \mid \chi. \chi \in \text{dom } \pi\}, \{Acc\text{-inf } \pi \chi \mid \chi. \chi \in \text{dom } \pi\}) \\ & \mid \pi. \text{dom } \pi \subseteq \mathbf{G} \varphi \wedge (\forall \chi \in \text{dom } \pi. \text{the } (\pi \chi) < \text{max-rank-of } \Sigma \chi)\} \end{aligned}$$


fun ltl-to-generalized-rabin :: 'a set set  $\Rightarrow$  'a ltl  $\Rightarrow$  ('a ltlP  $\times$  ('a ltl  $\rightarrow$  'a
ltlP  $\rightarrow$  nat), 'a set) generalized-rabin-automaton ( $\mathcal{A}$ )
where

$$\mathcal{A} \Sigma \varphi = (\text{delta } \Sigma, \text{initial } \varphi, rabin\text{-pairs } \Sigma \varphi)$$


end

locale ltl-to-rabin-base = ltl-to-rabin-base-def +
fixes

$$\Sigma :: 'a set set$$

fixes

$$w :: 'a set word$$

assumes

$$\text{finite-}\Sigma : \text{finite } \Sigma$$

assumes

$$\text{bounded-}w : \text{range } w \subseteq \Sigma$$

assumes

$$\begin{aligned} M\text{-fin-monotonic}: \text{dom } \pi = \text{dom } \pi' \implies & (\bigwedge \chi. \chi \in \text{dom } \pi \implies \text{the } (\pi \chi) \\ \leq \text{the } (\pi' \chi)) \implies & M\text{-fin } \pi \subseteq M\text{-fin } \pi' \end{aligned}$$

assumes

$$\text{finite-reach}': \text{finite } (\text{reach } \Sigma \delta (q_0 \varphi))$$

assumes

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mojmir-to-rabin}: \text{Only-}G \mathcal{G} \implies & \text{mojmir-to-rabin } \Sigma \delta_M (q_{0M} \psi) w \{q. \mathcal{G} \\ \upharpoonright\models_P q\} \end{aligned}$$

begin

lemma semi-mojmir:

$$\text{semi-mojmir } \Sigma \delta_M (q_{0M} \psi) w$$


```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *finite-reach*:

finite (*reach* Σ (*delta* Σ) (*initial* φ))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *run-limit-not-empty*:

limit (*run_t* (*delta* Σ) (*initial* φ) w) $\neq \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *run-properties*:

fixes φ
defines $r \equiv run(\delta \Sigma) (\text{initial } \varphi) w$
shows $fst(r i) = foldl \delta (q_0 \varphi) (w [0 \rightarrow i])$
and $\bigwedge \chi. \chi \in \mathbf{G} \varphi \implies the(snd(r i) \chi) q = semi-mojmir-def.state-rank$
 $\Sigma \delta_M (q_{0M} (theG \chi)) w q i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *accept_{GR}-I*:

assumes *accept_{GR}* ($\mathcal{A} \Sigma \varphi$) w
obtains π **where** $\text{dom } \pi \subseteq \mathbf{G} \varphi$
and $\bigwedge \chi. \chi \in \text{dom } \pi \implies the(\pi \chi) < \text{max-rank-of } \Sigma \chi$
and *accepting-pair_R* (*delta* Σ) (*initial* φ) (*M-fin* π , *UNIV*) w
and $\bigwedge \chi. \chi \in \text{dom } \pi \implies \text{accepting-pair}_R(\delta \Sigma) (\text{initial } \varphi) (\text{Acc } \Sigma \pi \chi) w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context

fixes
 $\varphi :: 'a ltl$

begin

context

fixes
 $\psi :: 'a ltl$
fixes
 $\pi :: 'a ltl \rightharpoonup nat$
assumes
 $G \psi \in \text{dom } \pi$
assumes
 $\text{dom } \pi \subseteq \mathbf{G} \varphi$
begin

interpretation \mathfrak{M} : *mojmír-to-rabin* $\Sigma \delta_M q_{0M} \psi w \{q. \text{dom } \pi \upharpoonright\models_P q\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Acc-property*:

accepting-pair_R (delta Σ) (initial φ) (Acc Σ π (G ψ)) w \longleftrightarrow *accepting-pair_R M.δ_R M.q_R (M.Acc_R (the (π (G ψ)))) w*
(is ?Acc = ?Acc_R)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Acc-to-rabin-accept*:

$\llbracket \text{accepting-pair}_R (\text{delta } \Sigma) (\text{initial } \varphi) (\text{Acc } \Sigma \pi (G \psi)) w; \text{the } (\pi (G \psi)) < M.\text{max-rank} \rrbracket \implies \text{accept}_R M.R w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Acc-to-mojmir-accept*:

$\llbracket \text{accepting-pair}_R (\text{delta } \Sigma) (\text{initial } \varphi) (\text{Acc } \Sigma \pi (G \psi)) w; \text{the } (\pi (G \psi)) < M.\text{max-rank} \rrbracket \implies M.\text{accept}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rabin-accept-to-Acc*:

$\llbracket \text{accept}_R M.R w; \pi (G \psi) = M.\text{smallest-accepting-rank} \rrbracket \implies \text{accepting-pair}_R (\text{delta } \Sigma) (\text{initial } \varphi) (\text{Acc } \Sigma \pi (G \psi)) w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mojmir-accept-to-Acc*:

$\llbracket M.\text{accept}; \pi (G \psi) = M.\text{smallest-accepting-rank} \rrbracket \implies \text{accepting-pair}_R (\text{delta } \Sigma) (\text{initial } \varphi) (\text{Acc } \Sigma \pi (G \psi)) w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

lemma *normalize-π*:

assumes *dom-subset*: $\text{dom } \pi \subseteq \mathbf{G} \varphi$
assumes $\bigwedge \chi. \chi \in \text{dom } \pi \implies \text{the } (\pi \chi) < \text{max-rank-of } \Sigma \chi$
assumes *accepting-pair_R (delta Σ) (initial φ) (M-fin π, UNIV) w*
assumes $\bigwedge \chi. \chi \in \text{dom } \pi \implies \text{accepting-pair}_R (\text{delta } \Sigma) (\text{initial } \varphi) (\text{Acc } \Sigma \pi \chi) w$
obtains π_A **where** $\text{dom } \pi = \text{dom } \pi_A$
and $\bigwedge \chi. \chi \in \text{dom } \pi_A \implies \pi_A \chi = \text{mojmir-def.smallest-accepting-rank } \Sigma \delta_M (q_{0M} (\text{theG } \chi)) w \{ q. \text{dom } \pi_A \uparrow\models_P q \}$
and *accepting-pair_R (delta Σ) (initial φ) (M-fin π_A, UNIV) w*
and $\bigwedge \chi. \chi \in \text{dom } \pi_A \implies \text{accepting-pair}_R (\text{delta } \Sigma) (\text{initial } \varphi) (\text{Acc } \Sigma \pi_A \chi) w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```
end
```

```
end
```

13.5 Generalized Deterministic Rabin Automaton

13.5.1 Definition

```
fun M-fin :: ('a ltl → nat) ⇒ ('a ltlP × ('a ltl → 'a ltlP → nat), 'a set)  
transition set
```

```
where
```

```
M-fin π = {((φ', m), ν, p).
```

```
¬(∀ S. (∀ χ ∈ dom π. S ↑|=P Abs χ ∧ (∀ q. (exists j ≥ the (π χ). the (m χ)  
q = Some j) → S ↑|=P ↑evalG (dom π) q)) → S ↑|=P φ')}
```

```
locale ltl-to-rabin-af = ltl-to-rabin-base ↑af ↑afG Abs Abs M-fin begin
```

```
abbreviation δA ≡ delta
```

```
abbreviation iA ≡ initial
```

```
abbreviation AccA ≡ Acc
```

```
abbreviation FA ≡ rabin-pairs
```

```
abbreviation A ≡ ltl-to-generalized-rabin
```

13.5.2 Correctness Theorem

```
theorem ltl-to-generalized-rabin-correct:
```

```
w ⊨ φ = acceptGR (ltl-to-generalized-rabin Σ φ) w
```

```
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
```

```
⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```
fun ltl-to-generalized-rabin-af
```

```
where
```

```
ltl-to-generalized-rabin-af Σ φ = ltl-to-rabin-base-def.ltl-to-generalized-rabin  
↑af ↑afG Abs Abs M-fin Σ φ
```

```
lemma ltl-to-generalized-rabin-af-wellformed:
```

```
finite Σ ⇒ range w ⊆ Σ ⇒ ltl-to-rabin-af Σ w
```

```
⟨proof⟩
```

```
theorem ltl-to-generalized-rabin-af-correct:
```

```
assumes finite Σ
```

```
assumes range w ⊆ Σ
```

```
shows w ⊨ φ = acceptGR (ltl-to-generalized-rabin-af Σ φ) w
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
thm ltl-to-generalized-rabin-af-correct ltl-FG-to-generalized-rabin-correct
end
```

14 Eager Unfolding Optimisation

```
theory LTL-Rabin-Unfold-Opt
imports Main LTL-Rabin
begin
```

14.1 Preliminary Facts

```
lemma finite-reach-af-opt:
finite (reach  $\Sigma \uparrow af_{\mathfrak{U}} (\text{Abs } \varphi)$ )
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma finite-reach-af-G-opt:
finite (reach  $\Sigma \uparrow af_{G\mathfrak{U}} (\text{Abs } \varphi)$ )
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma wellformed-mojmir-opt:
assumes Only-G  $\mathcal{G}$ 
assumes finite  $\Sigma$ 
assumes range  $w \subseteq \Sigma$ 
shows mojmir  $\Sigma \uparrow af_{G\mathfrak{U}} (\text{Abs } \varphi) w \{q. \mathcal{G} \models_P Rep q\}$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
locale ltl-FG-to-rabin-opt-def =
fixes
 $\Sigma :: 'a set set$ 
fixes
 $\varphi :: 'a ltl$ 
fixes
 $\mathcal{G} :: 'a ltl set$ 
fixes
 $w :: 'a set word$ 
begin
```

```
sublocale mojmir-to-rabin-def  $\Sigma \uparrow af_{G\mathfrak{U}} \text{Abs} (Unf_G \varphi) w \{q. \mathcal{G} \models_P Rep q\}$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```

locale ltl-FG-to-rabin-opt = ltl-FG-to-rabin-opt-def +
  assumes
    wellformed-G: Only-G G
  assumes
    bounded-w: range w ⊆ Σ
  assumes
    finite-Σ: finite Σ
begin

sublocale mojmír-to-rabin Σ ↑afG Abs (UnfG φ) w {q. G ⊨P Rep q}  

  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

14.2 Equivalences between the standard and the eager Mojmír construction

```

context
  fixes
    Σ :: 'a set set
  fixes
    φ :: 'a ltl
  fixes
    G :: 'a ltl set
  fixes
    w :: 'a set word
  assumes
    context-assms: Only-G G finite Σ range w ⊆ Σ
begin

```

— Create an interpretation of the mojmír locale for the standard construction

interpretation *M*: *ltl-FG-to-rabin* Σ φ *G* *w*

⟨*proof*⟩

interpretation *U*: *ltl-FG-to-rabin-opt* Σ φ *G* *w*

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma unfold-token-run-eq:

assumes *x* ≤ *n*

shows *M*.token-run *x* (*Suc n*) = ↑step (*U*.token-run *x n*) (*w n*)

(**is** ?*lhs* = ?*rhs*)

⟨*proof*⟩

```

lemma unfold-token-succeeds-eq:
   $\mathfrak{M}.\text{token-succeeds } x = \mathfrak{U}.\text{token-succeeds } x$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma unfold-accept-eq:
   $\mathfrak{M}.\text{accept} = \mathfrak{U}.\text{accept}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma unfold-S-eq:
  assumes  $\mathfrak{M}.\text{accept}$ 
  shows  $\forall \infty n. \mathfrak{M}.\mathcal{S} (\text{Suc } n) = (\lambda q. \text{step-abs } q (w n))`(\mathfrak{U}.\mathcal{S} n) \cup \{\text{Abs } \varphi\}$ 
   $\cup \{q. \mathcal{G} \models_P \text{Rep } q\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

14.3 Automaton Definition

```

fun  $M_{\mathfrak{U}}\text{-fin} :: ('a ltl \rightarrow \text{nat}) \Rightarrow ('a ltl_P \times ('a ltl \rightarrow 'a ltl_P \rightarrow \text{nat}), 'a set)$ 
  transition set
where
   $M_{\mathfrak{U}}\text{-fin } \pi = \{((\varphi', m), \nu, p). \neg(\forall S. (\forall \chi \in (\text{dom } \pi). S \upharpoonright\models_P \text{Abs } \chi \wedge S \upharpoonright\models_P \uparrow \text{eval}_G (\text{dom } \pi) (\text{Abs } (\text{the}_G \chi)) \wedge (\forall q. (\exists j \geq \text{the } (\pi \chi). \text{the } (m \chi) q = \text{Some } j) \longrightarrow S \upharpoonright\models_P \uparrow \text{eval}_G (\text{dom } \pi) (\uparrow \text{step } q \nu))) \longrightarrow S \upharpoonright\models_P (\uparrow \text{step } \varphi' \nu))\}$ 

locale  $ltl\text{-to-rabin-af-unf} = ltl\text{-to-rabin-base} \uparrow af_{\mathfrak{U}} \uparrow af_{G\mathfrak{U}} \text{ Abs o Unf Abs o }$ 
 $Unf_G M_{\mathfrak{U}}\text{-fin}$  begin

  abbreviation  $\delta_{\mathfrak{U}} \equiv \text{delta}$ 
  abbreviation  $\iota_{\mathfrak{U}} \equiv \text{initial}$ 
  abbreviation  $Acc_{\mathfrak{U}}\text{-fin} \equiv Acc\text{-fin}$ 
  abbreviation  $Acc_{\mathfrak{U}}\text{-inf} \equiv Acc\text{-inf}$ 
  abbreviation  $F_{\mathfrak{U}} \equiv rabin\text{-pairs}$ 
  abbreviation  $Acc_{\mathfrak{U}} \equiv Acc$ 
  abbreviation  $A_{\mathfrak{U}} \equiv ltl\text{-to-generalized-rabin}$ 

```

14.4 Properties

14.5 Correctness Theorem

```

lemma unfold-optimisation-correct-M:
  assumes  $\text{dom } \pi_A \subseteq \mathbf{G} \varphi$ 
  assumes  $\text{dom } \pi_{\mathfrak{U}} = \text{dom } \pi_A$ 

```

assumes $\bigwedge \chi \cdot \chi \in \text{dom } \pi_{\mathcal{A}} \implies \pi_{\mathcal{A}} \chi = \text{mojmir-def.smallest-accepting-rank}$
 $\Sigma \uparrow af_G (\text{Abs}(\text{theG } \chi)) w \{q. \text{dom } \pi_{\mathcal{A}} \uparrow\models_P q\}$
assumes $\bigwedge \chi \cdot \chi \in \text{dom } \pi_{\mathfrak{U}} \implies \pi_{\mathfrak{U}} \chi = \text{mojmir-def.smallest-accepting-rank}$
 $\Sigma af\text{-}G\text{-letter-abs-opt} (\text{Abs}(\text{Unf}_G(\text{theG } \chi))) w \{q. \text{dom } \pi_{\mathfrak{U}} \uparrow\models_P q\}$
shows $\text{accepting-pair}_R (\text{ltl-to-rabin-af.} \delta_{\mathcal{A}} \Sigma) (\text{ltl-to-rabin-af.} \iota_{\mathcal{A}} \varphi) (M\text{-fin}$
 $\pi_{\mathcal{A}}, \text{UNIV}) w \longleftrightarrow \text{accepting-pair}_R (\delta_{\mathfrak{U}} \Sigma) (\iota_{\mathfrak{U}} \varphi) (M_{\mathfrak{U}}\text{-fin } \pi_{\mathfrak{U}}, \text{UNIV}) w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *ltl-to-generalized-rabin-correct*:

$w \models \varphi \longleftrightarrow \text{accept}_{GR} (\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{U}} \Sigma \varphi) w$
(is $- \longleftrightarrow ?rhs$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

fun *ltl-to-generalized-rabin-af_U*
where

$\text{ltl-to-generalized-rabin-af}_{\mathfrak{U}} \Sigma \varphi = \text{ltl-to-rabin-base-def.ltl-to-generalized-rabin}$
 $\uparrow af_{\mathfrak{U}} \uparrow af_{GU} (\text{Abs} \circ \text{Unf}) (\text{Abs} \circ \text{Unf}_G) M_{\mathfrak{U}}\text{-fin } \Sigma \varphi$

lemma *ltl-to-generalized-rabin-af_U-wellformed*:

$\text{finite } \Sigma \implies \text{range } w \subseteq \Sigma \implies \text{ltl-to-rabin-af-unf } \Sigma w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *ltl-to-generalized-rabin-af_U-correct*:

assumes $\text{finite } \Sigma$
assumes $\text{range } w \subseteq \Sigma$
shows $w \models \varphi = \text{accept}_{GR} (\text{ltl-to-generalized-rabin-af}_{\mathfrak{U}} \Sigma \varphi) w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

thm *ltl-FG-to-generalized-rabin-correct ltl-to-generalized-rabin-af-correct ltl-to-generalized-rabin-af_U-correct*

end

15 LTL Translation Layer

theory *LTL-Compat*
imports *Main LTL.LTL .. / LTL-FGXU*
begin

— The following infrastructure translates the generic **datatype** $'a ltl =$
 $true_n \mid false_n \mid Prop-ltl 'a \mid Nprop-ltl 'a \mid And-ltl ('a ltl) ('a ltl) \mid Or-ltl ('a ltl) ('a ltl) \mid Next-ltl ('a ltl) \mid Until-ltl ('a ltl) ('a ltl) \mid Release-ltl$

$('a ltl)$ ($'a ltl$) $|$ *WeakUntil-ltl* ($'a ltl$) ($'a ltl$) $|$ *StrongRelease-ltl* ($'a ltl$) ($'a ltl$) datatype to special structure used in this project

abbreviation *LTLRelease* :: $'a ltl \Rightarrow 'a ltl \Rightarrow 'a ltl (- R - [87,87] 86)$

where

$$\varphi R \psi \equiv (G \psi) \text{ or } (\psi U (\varphi \text{ and } \psi))$$

abbreviation *LTLWeakUntil* :: $'a ltl \Rightarrow 'a ltl \Rightarrow 'a ltl (- W - [87,87] 86)$

where

$$\varphi W \psi \equiv (\varphi U \psi) \text{ or } (G \varphi)$$

abbreviation *LTLStrongRelease* :: $'a ltl \Rightarrow 'a ltl \Rightarrow 'a ltl (- M - [87,87] 86)$

where

$$\varphi M \psi \equiv \psi U (\varphi \text{ and } \psi)$$

fun *ltln-to-ltl* :: $'a ltl \Rightarrow 'a ltl$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & ltl_to_ltl \text{ true}_n = \text{true} \\ | & ltl_to_ltl \text{ false}_n = \text{false} \\ | & ltl_to_ltl \text{ prop}_n(q) = p(q) \\ | & ltl_to_ltl \text{ nprop}_n(q) = np(q) \\ | & ltl_to_ltl (\varphi \text{ and}_n \psi) = ltl_to_ltl \varphi \text{ and } ltl_to_ltl \psi \\ | & ltl_to_ltl (\varphi \text{ or}_n \psi) = ltl_to_ltl \varphi \text{ or } ltl_to_ltl \psi \\ | & ltl_to_ltl (\varphi U_n \psi) = (\text{if } \varphi = \text{true}_n \text{ then } F(ltl_to_ltl \psi) \text{ else } (ltl_to_ltl} \\ & \varphi) U (ltl_to_ltl \psi)) \\ | & ltl_to_ltl (\varphi R_n \psi) = (\text{if } \varphi = \text{false}_n \text{ then } G(ltl_to_ltl \psi) \text{ else } (ltl_to_ltl} \\ & \varphi) R (ltl_to_ltl \psi)) \\ | & ltl_to_ltl (\varphi W_n \psi) = (\text{if } \psi = \text{false}_n \text{ then } G(ltl_to_ltl \varphi) \text{ else } (ltl_to_ltl} \\ & \varphi) W (ltl_to_ltl \psi)) \\ | & ltl_to_ltl (\varphi M_n \psi) = (\text{if } \psi = \text{true}_n \text{ then } F(ltl_to_ltl \varphi) \text{ else } (ltl_to_ltl} \\ & \varphi) M (ltl_to_ltl \psi)) \\ | & ltl_to_ltl (X_n \varphi) = X(ltl_to_ltl \varphi) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *ltln-to-ltl-semantics*:

$$w \models ltl_to_ltl \varphi \longleftrightarrow w \models_n \varphi$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ltln-to-ltl-atoms*:

$$\text{vars}(ltl_to_ltl \varphi) = \text{atoms-ltl} \varphi$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *atoms-list* :: $'a ltl \Rightarrow 'a list$

where

```

atoms-list ( $\varphi$  andn  $\psi$ ) = List.union (atoms-list  $\varphi$ ) (atoms-list  $\psi$ )
| atoms-list ( $\varphi$  orn  $\psi$ ) = List.union (atoms-list  $\varphi$ ) (atoms-list  $\psi$ )
| atoms-list ( $\varphi$  Un  $\psi$ ) = List.union (atoms-list  $\varphi$ ) (atoms-list  $\psi$ )
| atoms-list ( $\varphi$  Rn  $\psi$ ) = List.union (atoms-list  $\varphi$ ) (atoms-list  $\psi$ )
| atoms-list ( $\varphi$  Wn  $\psi$ ) = List.union (atoms-list  $\varphi$ ) (atoms-list  $\psi$ )
| atoms-list ( $\varphi$  Mn  $\psi$ ) = List.union (atoms-list  $\varphi$ ) (atoms-list  $\psi$ )
| atoms-list ( $X_n \varphi$ ) = atoms-list  $\varphi$ 
| atoms-list (propn( $a$ )) = [ $a$ ]
| atoms-list (npropn( $a$ )) = [ $a$ ]
| atoms-list - = []

```

```

lemma atoms-list-correct:
  set (atoms-list  $\varphi$ ) = atoms-ltln  $\varphi$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma atoms-list-distinct:
  distinct (atoms-list  $\varphi$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

16 LTL Code Equations

```

theory LTL-Impl
  imports Main
    ..../LTL-FGXU
      Boolean-Expression-Checkers.Boolean-Expression-Checkers
      Boolean-Expression-Checkers.Boolean-Expression-Checkers-AList-Mapping
  begin

```

16.1 Subformulae

```

fun G-list :: 'a ltl ⇒ 'a ltl list
where
  G-list ( $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ ) = List.union (G-list  $\varphi$ ) (G-list  $\psi$ )
  | G-list ( $\varphi$  or  $\psi$ ) = List.union (G-list  $\varphi$ ) (G-list  $\psi$ )
  | G-list ( $F \varphi$ ) = G-list  $\varphi$ 
  | G-list ( $G \varphi$ ) = List.insert ( $G \varphi$ ) (G-list  $\varphi$ )
  | G-list ( $X \varphi$ ) = G-list  $\varphi$ 
  | G-list ( $\varphi$  U  $\psi$ ) = List.union (G-list  $\varphi$ ) (G-list  $\psi$ )
  | G-list  $\varphi$  = []

```

```

lemma G-eq-G-list:
  G  $\varphi$  = set (G-list  $\varphi$ )

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *G-list-distinct*:
distinct (*G-list* φ)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

16.2 Propositional Equivalence

fun *ifex-of-ltl* :: '*a* *ltl* \Rightarrow '*a* *ltl ifex*
where
 ifex-of-ltl true = Trueif
 | *ifex-of-ltl false = Falseif*
 | *ifex-of-ltl* (φ *and* ψ) = *normif Mapping.empty* (*ifex-of-ltl* φ) (*ifex-of-ltl* ψ)
 Falseif
 | *ifex-of-ltl* (φ *or* ψ) = *normif Mapping.empty* (*ifex-of-ltl* φ) *Trueif* (*ifex-of-ltl* ψ)
 | *ifex-of-ltl* φ = *IF* φ *Trueif* *Falseif*

lemma *val-ifex*:
val-ifex (*ifex-of-ltl b*) $s = (\models_P \{x. s x\} b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reduced-ifex*:
reduced (*ifex-of-ltl b*) {}
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ifex-of-ltl-reduced-bdt-checker*:
reduced-bdt-checkers ifex-of-ltl ($\lambda y s. \{x. s x\} \models_P y$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma [*code*]:
 $(\varphi \equiv_P \psi) = \text{equiv-test ifex-of-ltl } \varphi \psi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma [*code*]:
 $(\varphi \longrightarrow_P \psi) = \text{impl-test ifex-of-ltl } \varphi \psi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
export-code (\equiv_P) (\longrightarrow_P) **checking**

16.3 Remove Constants

fun *remove-constants_P* :: '*a* *ltl* \Rightarrow '*a* *ltl*
where
remove-constants_P (φ *and* ψ) = (

```

case (remove-constantsP  $\varphi$ ) of
  false  $\Rightarrow$  false
  | true  $\Rightarrow$  remove-constantsP  $\psi$ 
  |  $\varphi'$   $\Rightarrow$  (case remove-constantsP  $\psi$  of
    false  $\Rightarrow$  false
    | true  $\Rightarrow$   $\varphi'$ 
    |  $\psi' \Rightarrow \varphi' \text{ and } \psi'$ )
| remove-constantsP ( $\varphi$  or  $\psi$ ) = (
  case (remove-constantsP  $\varphi$ ) of
    true  $\Rightarrow$  true
    | false  $\Rightarrow$  remove-constantsP  $\psi$ 
    |  $\varphi' \Rightarrow$  (case remove-constantsP  $\psi$  of
      true  $\Rightarrow$  true
      | false  $\Rightarrow$   $\varphi'$ 
      |  $\psi' \Rightarrow \varphi' \text{ or } \psi'$ )
| remove-constantsP  $\varphi$  =  $\varphi$ 

```

lemma remove-constants-correct:
 $S \models_P \varphi \longleftrightarrow S \models_P \text{remove-constants}_P \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

16.4 And/Or Constructors

```

fun in-and
where
  in-and  $x$  ( $y$  and  $z$ ) = (in-and  $x$   $y$   $\vee$  in-and  $x$   $z$ )
  | in-and  $x$   $y$  = ( $x$  =  $y$ )

fun in-or
where
  in-or  $x$  ( $y$  or  $z$ ) = (in-or  $x$   $y$   $\vee$  in-or  $x$   $z$ )
  | in-or  $x$   $y$  = ( $x$  =  $y$ )

```

lemma in-entailment:
 $\text{in-and } x \ y \implies S \models_P y \implies S \models_P x$
 $\text{in-or } x \ y \implies S \models_P x \implies S \models_P y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition mk-and
where
 $\text{mk-and } f \ x \ y = (\text{case } f \ x \text{ of false } \Rightarrow \text{false} \mid \text{true } \Rightarrow f \ y$
 $\mid x' \Rightarrow (\text{case } f \ y \text{ of false } \Rightarrow \text{false} \mid \text{true } \Rightarrow x')$
 $\mid y' \Rightarrow \text{if in-and } x' \ y' \text{ then } y' \text{ else if in-and } y' \ x' \text{ then } x' \text{ else } x' \text{ and } y')$

```

definition mk-and'
where
  mk-and' x y ≡ case y of false ⇒ false | true ⇒ x | - ⇒ x and y

definition mk-or'
where
  mk-or f x y = (case f x of true ⇒ true | false ⇒ f y
    | x' ⇒ (case f y of true ⇒ true | false ⇒ x'
    | y' ⇒ if in-or x' y' then y' else if in-or y' x' then x' else x' or y'))

definition mk-or'
where
  mk-or' x y ≡ case y of true ⇒ true | false ⇒ x | - ⇒ x or y

lemma mk-and-correct:
  S ⊨P mk-and f x y ↔ S ⊨P f x and f y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mk-and'-correct:
  S ⊨P mk-and' x y ↔ S ⊨P x and y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mk-or-correct:
  S ⊨P mk-or f x y ↔ S ⊨P f x or f y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mk-or'-correct:
  S ⊨P mk-or' x y ↔ S ⊨P x or y
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

17 af - Unfolding Functions - Optimized Code Equations

```

theory af-Impl
  imports Main .. /af LTL-Impl
begin

```

Provide optimized code definitions for $\uparrow af$ and other functions, which use heuristics to reduce the formula size

17.1 Helper Function

```
fun remove-and-or
where
  remove-and-or (z or y) = (case z of
    (((z' and x') or y') and x)  $\Rightarrow$  if x = x'  $\wedge$  y = y' then ((z' and x') or
    y') else remove-and-or z or remove-and-or y
    | -  $\Rightarrow$  remove-and-or z or remove-and-or y)
  | remove-and-or (x and y) = remove-and-or x and remove-and-or y
  | remove-and-or x = x
```

lemma remove-and-or-correct:

```
S  $\models_P$  remove-and-or x  $\longleftrightarrow$  S  $\models_P$  x
⟨proof⟩
```

17.2 Optimized Equations

```
fun af-letter-simp
where
  af-letter-simp true ν = true
  | af-letter-simp false ν = false
  | af-letter-simp p(a) ν = (if a  $\in$  ν then true else false)
  | af-letter-simp (np(a)) ν = (if a  $\notin$  ν then true else false)
  | af-letter-simp (φ and ψ) ν = (case φ of
    true  $\Rightarrow$  af-letter-simp ψ ν
    | false  $\Rightarrow$  false
    | p(a)  $\Rightarrow$  if a  $\in$  ν then af-letter-simp ψ ν else false
    | np(a)  $\Rightarrow$  if a  $\notin$  ν then af-letter-simp ψ ν else false
    | G φ'  $\Rightarrow$ 
      (let
        φ'' = af-letter-simp φ' ν;
        ψ'' = af-letter-simp ψ ν
        in
        (if φ'' = ψ'' then mk-and' (G φ') φ'' else mk-and id (mk-and' (G φ')
        φ'') ψ''))
      | -  $\Rightarrow$  mk-and id (af-letter-simp φ ν) (af-letter-simp ψ ν))
  | af-letter-simp (φ or ψ) ν = (case φ of
    true  $\Rightarrow$  true
    | false  $\Rightarrow$  af-letter-simp ψ ν
    | p(a)  $\Rightarrow$  if a  $\in$  ν then true else af-letter-simp ψ ν
    | np(a)  $\Rightarrow$  if a  $\notin$  ν then true else af-letter-simp ψ ν
    | F φ'  $\Rightarrow$ 
      (let
        φ'' = af-letter-simp φ' ν;
```

$\psi'' = \text{af-letter-simp } \psi \nu$
 in
 $(\text{if } \varphi'' = \psi'' \text{ then } \text{mk-or}'(F \varphi') \varphi'' \text{ else } \text{mk-or id}(\text{mk-or}'(F \varphi') \varphi'')$
 $\psi'')$
 | - $\Rightarrow \text{mk-or id}(\text{af-letter-simp } \varphi \nu) (\text{af-letter-simp } \psi \nu))$
 | $\text{af-letter-simp}(X \varphi) \nu = \varphi$
 | $\text{af-letter-simp}(G \varphi) \nu = \text{mk-and}'(G \varphi) (\text{af-letter-simp } \varphi \nu)$
 | $\text{af-letter-simp}(F \varphi) \nu = \text{mk-or}'(F \varphi) (\text{af-letter-simp } \varphi \nu)$
 | $\text{af-letter-simp}(\varphi U \psi) \nu = \text{mk-or}'(\text{mk-and}'(\varphi U \psi) (\text{af-letter-simp } \varphi \nu))$
 $(\text{af-letter-simp } \psi \nu)$

lemma *af-letter-simp-correct*:

$$S \models_P \text{af-letter } \varphi \nu \longleftrightarrow S \models_P \text{af-letter-simp } \varphi \nu$$

(proof)

fun *af-G-letter-simp*

where

$\text{af-G-letter-simp true } \nu = \text{true}$
 | $\text{af-G-letter-simp false } \nu = \text{false}$
 | $\text{af-G-letter-simp } p(a) \nu = (\text{if } a \in \nu \text{ then true else false})$
 | $\text{af-G-letter-simp } (np(a)) \nu = (\text{if } a \notin \nu \text{ then true else false})$
 | $\text{af-G-letter-simp } (\varphi \text{ and } \psi) \nu = (\text{case } \varphi \text{ of}$
 | $\text{true} \Rightarrow \text{af-G-letter-simp } \psi \nu$
 | $\text{false} \Rightarrow \text{false}$
 | $p(a) \Rightarrow \text{if } a \in \nu \text{ then af-G-letter-simp } \psi \nu \text{ else false}$
 | $np(a) \Rightarrow \text{if } a \notin \nu \text{ then af-G-letter-simp } \psi \nu \text{ else false}$
 | - $\Rightarrow \text{mk-and id}(\text{af-G-letter-simp } \varphi \nu) (\text{af-G-letter-simp } \psi \nu))$
 | $\text{af-G-letter-simp } (\varphi \text{ or } \psi) \nu = (\text{case } \varphi \text{ of}$
 | $\text{true} \Rightarrow \text{true}$
 | $\text{false} \Rightarrow \text{af-G-letter-simp } \psi \nu$
 | $p(a) \Rightarrow \text{if } a \in \nu \text{ then true else af-G-letter-simp } \psi \nu$
 | $np(a) \Rightarrow \text{if } a \notin \nu \text{ then true else af-G-letter-simp } \psi \nu$
 | $F \varphi' \Rightarrow$
 | (let
 | $\varphi'' = \text{af-G-letter-simp } \varphi' \nu;$
 | $\psi'' = \text{af-G-letter-simp } \psi \nu$
 | in
 | $(\text{if } \varphi'' = \psi'' \text{ then } \text{mk-or}'(F \varphi') \varphi'' \text{ else } \text{mk-or id}(\text{mk-or}'(F \varphi') \varphi''))$
 | - $\Rightarrow \text{mk-or id}(\text{af-G-letter-simp } \varphi \nu) (\text{af-G-letter-simp } \psi \nu))$
 | $\text{af-G-letter-simp}(X \varphi) \nu = \varphi$
 | $\text{af-G-letter-simp}(G \varphi) \nu = G \varphi$
 | $\text{af-G-letter-simp}(F \varphi) \nu = \text{mk-or}'(F \varphi) (\text{af-G-letter-simp } \varphi \nu)$
 | $\text{af-G-letter-simp}(\varphi U \psi) \nu = \text{mk-or}'(\text{mk-and}'(\varphi U \psi) (\text{af-G-letter-simp } \varphi \nu))$

$\varphi \nu)) \ (af\text{-}G\text{-}letter\text{-}simp \psi \nu)$

lemma *af-G-letter-simp-correct*:

$S \models_P af\text{-}G\text{-}letter \varphi \nu \longleftrightarrow S \models_P af\text{-}G\text{-}letter\text{-}simp \varphi \nu$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

fun *step-simp*

where

- $step\text{-}simp p(a) \nu = (if a \in \nu then true else false)$
- $| step\text{-}simp (np(a)) \nu = (if a \notin \nu then true else false)$
- $| step\text{-}simp (\varphi \ and \ \psi) \nu = (mk\text{-}and \ id (step\text{-}simp \varphi \nu) (step\text{-}simp \psi \nu))$
- $| step\text{-}simp (\varphi \ or \ \psi) \nu = (mk\text{-}or \ id (step\text{-}simp \varphi \nu) (step\text{-}simp \psi \nu))$
- $| step\text{-}simp (X \ \varphi) \nu = remove\text{-}constants_P \varphi$
- $| step\text{-}simp \varphi \nu = \varphi$

lemma *step-simp-correct*:

$S \models_P step \varphi \nu \longleftrightarrow S \models_P step\text{-}simp \varphi \nu$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

fun *Unf-simp*

where

- $Unf\text{-}simp (\varphi \ and \ \psi) = (case \varphi \ of$
 - $true \Rightarrow Unf\text{-}simp \psi$
 - $| false \Rightarrow false$
 - $| G \ \varphi' \Rightarrow$
 - $(let$
 - $\varphi'' = Unf\text{-}simp \varphi'; \psi'' = Unf\text{-}simp \psi$
 - in
 - $(if \varphi'' = \psi'' \ then \ mk\text{-}and' (G \ \varphi') \ \varphi'' \ else \ mk\text{-}and \ id (mk\text{-}and' (G \ \varphi') \ \varphi'') \ \psi'')$
 - $| - \Rightarrow mk\text{-}and \ id (Unf\text{-}simp \varphi) (Unf\text{-}simp \psi))$
 - $| Unf\text{-}simp (\varphi \ or \ \psi) = (case \varphi \ of$
 - $true \Rightarrow true$
 - $| false \Rightarrow Unf\text{-}simp \psi$
 - $| F \ \varphi' \Rightarrow$
 - $(let$
 - $\varphi'' = Unf\text{-}simp \varphi'; \psi'' = Unf\text{-}simp \psi$
 - in
 - $(if \varphi'' = \psi'' \ then \ mk\text{-}or' (F \ \varphi') \ \varphi'' \ else \ mk\text{-}or \ id (mk\text{-}or' (F \ \varphi') \ \varphi'') \ \psi'')$
 - $| - \Rightarrow mk\text{-}or \ id (Unf\text{-}simp \varphi) (Unf\text{-}simp \psi))$
 - $| Unf\text{-}simp (G \ \varphi) = mk\text{-}and' (G \ \varphi) (Unf\text{-}simp \varphi)$
 - $| Unf\text{-}simp (F \ \varphi) = mk\text{-}or' (F \ \varphi) (Unf\text{-}simp \varphi)$
 - $| Unf\text{-}simp (\varphi \ U \ \psi) = mk\text{-}or' (mk\text{-}and' (\varphi \ U \ \psi) (Unf\text{-}simp \varphi)) (Unf\text{-}simp$

$\psi)$
 $| \text{Unf-simp } \varphi = \varphi$

lemma *Unf-simp-correct*:

$S \models_P \text{Unf } \varphi \longleftrightarrow S \models_P \text{Unf-simp } \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *Unf_G-simp*

where

$\text{Unf}_{G\text{-simp}} (\varphi \text{ and } \psi) = \text{mk-and id } (\text{Unf}_{G\text{-simp}} \varphi) (\text{Unf}_{G\text{-simp}} \psi)$
 $| \text{Unf}_{G\text{-simp}} (\varphi \text{ or } \psi) = (\text{case } \varphi \text{ of}$
 $\quad \text{true} \Rightarrow \text{true}$
 $\quad | \text{false} \Rightarrow \text{Unf}_{G\text{-simp}} \psi$
 $\quad | F \varphi' \Rightarrow$
 $\quad (\text{let}$
 $\quad \quad \varphi'' = \text{Unf}_{G\text{-simp}} \varphi'; \psi'' = \text{Unf}_{G\text{-simp}} \psi$
 $\quad \quad \text{in}$
 $\quad \quad (\text{if } \varphi'' = \psi'' \text{ then } \text{mk-or}' (F \varphi') \varphi'' \text{ else } \text{mk-or id } (\text{mk-or}' (F \varphi') \varphi'')$
 $\psi''))$
 $\quad | - \Rightarrow \text{mk-or id } (\text{Unf}_{G\text{-simp}} \varphi) (\text{Unf}_{G\text{-simp}} \psi))$
 $| \text{Unf}_{G\text{-simp}} (F \varphi) = \text{mk-or}' (F \varphi) (\text{Unf}_{G\text{-simp}} \varphi)$
 $| \text{Unf}_{G\text{-simp}} (\varphi U \psi) = \text{mk-or}' (\text{mk-and}' (\varphi U \psi) (\text{Unf}_{G\text{-simp}} \varphi)) (\text{Unf}_{G\text{-simp}} \psi)$
 $| \text{Unf}_{G\text{-simp}} \varphi = \varphi$

lemma *Unf_G-simp-correct*:

$S \models_P \text{Unf}_G \varphi \longleftrightarrow S \models_P \text{Unf}_{G\text{-simp}} \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *af-letter-opt-simp*

where

$\text{af-letter-opt-simp true } \nu = \text{true}$
 $| \text{af-letter-opt-simp false } \nu = \text{false}$
 $| \text{af-letter-opt-simp } p(a) \nu = (\text{if } a \in \nu \text{ then true else false})$
 $| \text{af-letter-opt-simp } (\text{np}(a)) \nu = (\text{if } a \notin \nu \text{ then true else false})$
 $| \text{af-letter-opt-simp } (\varphi \text{ and } \psi) \nu = (\text{case } \varphi \text{ of}$
 $\quad \text{true} \Rightarrow \text{af-letter-opt-simp } \psi \nu$
 $\quad | \text{false} \Rightarrow \text{false}$
 $\quad | p(a) \Rightarrow \text{if } a \in \nu \text{ then af-letter-opt-simp } \psi \nu \text{ else false}$
 $\quad | \text{np}(a) \Rightarrow \text{if } a \notin \nu \text{ then af-letter-opt-simp } \psi \nu \text{ else false}$
 $\quad | G \varphi' \Rightarrow$
 $\quad (\text{let}$
 $\quad \quad \varphi'' = \text{Unf-simp } \varphi';$
 $\quad \quad \psi'' = \text{af-letter-opt-simp } \psi \nu$

```

in
  (if  $\varphi'' = \psi''$  then  $mk\text{-}and'(G \varphi') \varphi''$  else  $mk\text{-}and id (mk\text{-}and'(G \varphi') \varphi'') \psi''$ )
| -  $\Rightarrow mk\text{-}and id (af\text{-}letter\text{-}opt\text{-}simp \varphi \nu) (af\text{-}letter\text{-}opt\text{-}simp \psi \nu)$ )
|  $af\text{-}letter\text{-}opt\text{-}simp (\varphi \ or \ \psi) \nu = (case \varphi \ of$ 
  true  $\Rightarrow$  true
  | false  $\Rightarrow af\text{-}letter\text{-}opt\text{-}simp \psi \nu$ 
  |  $p(a) \Rightarrow if \ a \in \nu \ then \ true \ else \ af\text{-}letter\text{-}opt\text{-}simp \psi \nu$ 
  |  $np(a) \Rightarrow if \ a \notin \nu \ then \ true \ else \ af\text{-}letter\text{-}opt\text{-}simp \psi \nu$ 
  |  $F \varphi' \Rightarrow$ 
    (let
       $\varphi'' = Unf\text{-}simp \varphi';$ 
       $\psi'' = af\text{-}letter\text{-}opt\text{-}simp \psi \nu$ 
      in
        (if  $\varphi'' = \psi''$  then  $mk\text{-}or'(F \varphi') \varphi''$  else  $mk\text{-}or id (mk\text{-}or'(F \varphi') \varphi'')$ 
      | -  $\Rightarrow mk\text{-}or id (af\text{-}letter\text{-}opt\text{-}simp \varphi \nu) (af\text{-}letter\text{-}opt\text{-}simp \psi \nu)$ )
      |  $af\text{-}letter\text{-}opt\text{-}simp (X \varphi) \nu = Unf\text{-}simp \varphi$ 
      |  $af\text{-}letter\text{-}opt\text{-}simp (G \varphi) \nu = mk\text{-}and'(G \varphi) (Unf\text{-}simp \varphi)$ 
      |  $af\text{-}letter\text{-}opt\text{-}simp (F \varphi) \nu = mk\text{-}or'(F \varphi) (Unf\text{-}simp \varphi)$ 
      |  $af\text{-}letter\text{-}opt\text{-}simp (\varphi \ U \ \psi) \nu = mk\text{-}or' (mk\text{-}and' (\varphi \ U \ \psi) (Unf\text{-}simp \varphi))$ 
      ( $Unf\text{-}simp \psi$ )

```

lemma $af\text{-}letter\text{-}opt\text{-}simp\text{-}correct$:

$S \models_P af\text{-}letter\text{-}opt \varphi \nu \longleftrightarrow S \models_P af\text{-}letter\text{-}opt\text{-}simp \varphi \nu$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

fun $af\text{-}G\text{-}letter\text{-}opt\text{-}simp$

where

```

 $af\text{-}G\text{-}letter\text{-}opt\text{-}simp \text{true} \nu = \text{true}$ 
|  $af\text{-}G\text{-}letter\text{-}opt\text{-}simp \text{false} \nu = \text{false}$ 
|  $af\text{-}G\text{-}letter\text{-}opt\text{-}simp p(a) \nu = (if \ a \in \nu \ then \ true \ else \ false)$ 
|  $af\text{-}G\text{-}letter\text{-}opt\text{-}simp (np(a)) \nu = (if \ a \notin \nu \ then \ true \ else \ false)$ 
|  $af\text{-}G\text{-}letter\text{-}opt\text{-}simp (\varphi \ and \ \psi) \nu = (case \varphi \ of$ 
  true  $\Rightarrow af\text{-}G\text{-}letter\text{-}opt\text{-}simp \psi \nu$ 
  | false  $\Rightarrow false$ 
  |  $p(a) \Rightarrow if \ a \in \nu \ then \ af\text{-}G\text{-}letter\text{-}opt\text{-}simp \psi \nu \ else \ false$ 
  |  $np(a) \Rightarrow if \ a \notin \nu \ then \ af\text{-}G\text{-}letter\text{-}opt\text{-}simp \psi \nu \ else \ false$ 
  | -  $\Rightarrow mk\text{-}and id (af\text{-}G\text{-}letter\text{-}opt\text{-}simp \varphi \nu) (af\text{-}G\text{-}letter\text{-}opt\text{-}simp \psi \nu)$ )
|  $af\text{-}G\text{-}letter\text{-}opt\text{-}simp (\varphi \ or \ \psi) \nu = (case \varphi \ of$ 
  true  $\Rightarrow true$ 
  | false  $\Rightarrow af\text{-}G\text{-}letter\text{-}opt\text{-}simp \psi \nu$ 
  |  $p(a) \Rightarrow if \ a \in \nu \ then \ true \ else \ af\text{-}G\text{-}letter\text{-}opt\text{-}simp \psi \nu$ 
  |  $np(a) \Rightarrow if \ a \notin \nu \ then \ true \ else \ af\text{-}G\text{-}letter\text{-}opt\text{-}simp \psi \nu$ 

```

```

|  $F \varphi' \Rightarrow$ 
| (let
|    $\varphi'' = Unf_G\text{-simp } \varphi';$ 
|    $\psi'' = af\text{-G-letter-opt-simp } \psi \nu$ 
|   in
|     (if  $\varphi'' = \psi''$  then  $mk\text{-or}'(F \varphi') \varphi''$  else  $mk\text{-or id}(mk\text{-or}'(F \varphi') \varphi'')$ 
|    $\psi''))$ 
|   | -  $\Rightarrow mk\text{-or id}(af\text{-G-letter-opt-simp } \varphi \nu) (af\text{-G-letter-opt-simp } \psi \nu))$ 
|  $af\text{-G-letter-opt-simp}(X \varphi) \nu = Unf_G\text{-simp } \varphi$ 
|  $af\text{-G-letter-opt-simp}(G \varphi) \nu = G \varphi$ 
|  $af\text{-G-letter-opt-simp}(F \varphi) \nu = mk\text{-or}'(F \varphi) (Unf_G\text{-simp } \varphi)$ 
|  $af\text{-G-letter-opt-simp}(\varphi U \psi) \nu = mk\text{-or}'(mk\text{-and}'(\varphi U \psi) (Unf_G\text{-simp } \varphi)) (Unf_G\text{-simp } \psi)$ 

```

lemma *af-G-letter-opt-simp-correct*:

$S \models_P af\text{-G-letter-opt } \varphi \nu \longleftrightarrow S \models_P af\text{-G-letter-opt-simp } \varphi \nu$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

17.3 Register Code Equations

lemma [*code*]:

$\uparrow af(Abs \varphi) \nu = Abs(remove\text{-and}\text{-or}(af\text{-letter-simp } \varphi \nu))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma [*code*]:

$\uparrow af_G(Abs \varphi) \nu = Abs(remove\text{-and}\text{-or}(af\text{-G-letter-simp } \varphi \nu))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma [*code*]:

$\uparrow step(Abs \varphi) \nu = Abs(step\text{-simp } \varphi \nu)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma [*code*]:

$\uparrow Unf(Abs \varphi) = Abs(remove\text{-and}\text{-or}(Unf\text{-simp } \varphi))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma [*code*]:

$\uparrow Unf_G(Abs \varphi) = Abs(remove\text{-and}\text{-or}(Unf_G\text{-simp } \varphi))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma [*code*]:

$\uparrow af_{\mathfrak{U}}(Abs \varphi) \nu = Abs(remove\text{-and}\text{-or}(af\text{-letter-opt-simp } \varphi \nu))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma [code]:
 $\uparrow af_{G\mathfrak{U}} (\text{Abs } \varphi) \nu = \text{Abs} (\text{remove-and-or} (\text{af-G-letter-opt-simp } \varphi \nu))$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

```

18 Executable Translation from Mojmir to Rabin Automata

```

theory Mojmir-Rabin-Impl
  imports Main ..../Mojmir-Rabin
begin

— Ranking functions are stored as lists sorted ascending by the state rank

fun init :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a list
where
  init q0 = [q0]

fun nxt :: 'b set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) DTS  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('a list, 'b) DTS
where
  nxt  $\Sigma$   $\delta$  q0 = ( $\lambda$ qs  $\nu$ . remdups-fwd ((filter ( $\lambda$ q.  $\neg$ semi-mojmir-def.sink  $\Sigma$   $\delta$  q0 q) (map ( $\lambda$ q.  $\delta$  q  $\nu$ ) qs)) @ [q0]))

```

— Recompute the rank from the list

```

fun rk :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat option
where
  rk qs q = (let i = index qs q in if i  $\neq$  length qs then Some i else None)

```

— Instead of computing the whole sets for fail, merge, and succeed, we define filters (a.k.a. characteristic functions)

```

fun fail-filt :: 'b set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) DTS  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a list, 'b)
  transition  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  fail-filt  $\Sigma$   $\delta$  q0 F (r,  $\nu$ , -) = ( $\exists$  q  $\in$  set r. let q' =  $\delta$  q  $\nu$  in ( $\neg$ F q')  $\wedge$  semi-mojmir-def.sink  $\Sigma$   $\delta$  q0 q')

```

```

fun merge-filt :: ('a, 'b) DTS  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('a list, 'b)
  transition  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  merge-filt  $\delta$  q0 F i (r,  $\nu$ , -) = ( $\exists$  q  $\in$  set r. let q' =  $\delta$  q  $\nu$  in the (rk r q)

```

$< i \wedge \neg F q' \wedge ((\exists q'' \in set r. q'' \neq q \wedge \delta q'' \nu = q') \vee q' = q_0))$

```
fun succeed-filt :: ('a, 'b) DTS ⇒ 'a ⇒ ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ nat ⇒ ('a list, 'b)
transition ⇒ bool
where
  succeed-filt δ q₀ F i (r, ν, -) = (exists q ∈ set r. let q' = δ q ν in rk r q = Some i ∧ (¬F q ∨ q = q₀) ∧ F q')
```

18.0.1 nxt Properties

lemma *nxt-run-distinct*:

```
distinct (run (nxt Σ Δ q₀) (init q₀) w n)
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma *nxt-run-reverse-step*:

```
fixes Σ δ q₀ w
defines r ≡ run (nxt Σ δ q₀) (init q₀) w
assumes q ∈ set (r (Suc n))
assumes q ≠ q₀
shows ∃ q' ∈ set (r n). δ q' (w n) = q
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma *nxt-run-sink-free*:

```
q ∈ set (run (nxt Σ δ q₀) (init q₀) w n) ⇒ ¬semi-mojmir-def.sink Σ δ
q₀ q
⟨proof⟩
```

18.0.2 rk Properties

lemma *rk-bounded*:

```
rk xs x = Some i ⇒ i < length xs
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma *rk-facts*:

```
x ∈ set xs ⇔ rk xs x ≠ None
x ∈ set xs ⇔ (∃ i. rk xs x = Some i)
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma *rk-split*:

```
y ∉ set xs ⇒ rk (xs @ y # zs) y = Some (length xs)
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma *rk-split-card*:

```
y ∉ set xs ⇒ distinct xs ⇒ rk (xs @ y # zs) y = Some (card (set xs))
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma rk-split-card-takeWhile:  
assumes  $x \in \text{set } xs$   
assumes  $\text{distinct } xs$   
shows  $\text{rk } xs \ x = \text{Some } (\text{card } (\text{set } (\text{takeWhile } (\lambda y. y \neq x) \ xs)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma take-rk:  
assumes  $\text{distinct } xs$   
shows  $\text{set } (\text{take } i \ xs) = \{q. \exists j < i. \text{rk } xs \ q = \text{Some } j\}$   
(is ?rhs = ?lhs)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma drop-rk:  
assumes  $\text{distinct } xs$   
shows  $\text{set } (\text{drop } i \ xs) = \{q. \exists j \geq i. \text{rk } xs \ q = \text{Some } j\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

18.0.3 Relation to (Semi) Mojmir Automata

```
lemma (in semi-mojmir) nxt-run-configuration:  
defines  $r \equiv \text{run } (\text{nxt } \Sigma \ \delta \ q_0) \ (\text{init } q_0) \ w$   
shows  $q \in \text{set } (r \ n) \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{sink } q \wedge \text{configuration } q \ n \neq \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma (in semi-mojmir) nxt-run-sorted:  
defines  $r \equiv \text{run } (\text{nxt } \Sigma \ \delta \ q_0) \ (\text{init } q_0) \ w$   
shows  $\text{sorted } (\text{map } (\lambda q. \text{the } (\text{oldest-token } q \ n)) \ (r \ n))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma (in semi-mojmir) nxt-run-senior-states:  
defines  $r \equiv \text{run } (\text{nxt } \Sigma \ \delta \ q_0) \ (\text{init } q_0) \ w$   
assumes  $\neg \text{sink } q$   
assumes  $\text{configuration } q \ n \neq \{\}$   
shows  $\text{senior-states } q \ n = \text{set } (\text{takeWhile } (\lambda q'. q' \neq q) \ (r \ n))$   
(is ?rhs = ?lhs)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma (in semi-mojmir) nxt-run-state-rank:  
state-rank  $q \ n = \text{rk } (\text{run } (\text{nxt } \Sigma \ \delta \ q_0) \ (\text{init } q_0) \ w \ n) \ q$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma (in semi-mojmir) nxt-foldl-state-rank:
```

state-rank q n = rk (foldl (nxt Σ δ q₀) (init q₀) (map w [0..<n])) q
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in semi-mojmir) *nxt-run-step-run*:
run step initial w = rk o (run (nxt Σ δ q₀) (init q₀) w)
⟨proof⟩

definition (in semi-mojmir-def) *Q_E*
where
Q_E ≡ reach Σ (nxt Σ δ q₀) (init q₀)

lemma (in semi-mojmir) *finite-Q*:
finite Q_E
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in mojmir-to-rabin-def) *filt-equiv*:
 $(rk x, \nu, y) \in fail_R \longleftrightarrow fail-filt \Sigma \delta q_0 (\lambda x. x \in F) (x, \nu, y')$
 $(rk x, \nu, y) \in succeed_R i \longleftrightarrow succeed-filt \delta q_0 (\lambda x. x \in F) i (x, \nu, y')$
 $(rk x, \nu, y) \in merge_R i \longleftrightarrow merge-filt \delta q_0 (\lambda x. x \in F) i (x, \nu, y')$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *fail-filt-eq*:
 $fail-filt \Sigma \delta q_0 P (x, \nu, y) \longleftrightarrow (rk x, \nu, y') \in mojmir-to-rabin-def.fail_R$
 $\Sigma \delta q_0 \{x. P x\}$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *merge-filt-eq*:
 $merge-filt \delta q_0 P i (x, \nu, y) \longleftrightarrow (rk x, \nu, y') \in mojmir-to-rabin-def.merge_R$
 $\delta q_0 \{x. P x\} i$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *succeed-filt-eq*:
 $succeed-filt \delta q_0 P i (x, \nu, y) \longleftrightarrow (rk x, \nu, y') \in mojmir-to-rabin-def.succeed_R$
 $\delta q_0 \{x. P x\} i$
⟨proof⟩

theorem (in mojmir-to-rabin) *rabin-accept-iff-rabin-list-accept-rank*:
accepting-pair_R δ_R q_R (Acc_R i) w ↔ accepting-pair_R (nxt Σ δ q₀) (init q₀) ({t. fail-filt Σ δ q₀ (λx. x ∈ F) t} ∪ {t. merge-filt δ q₀ (λx. x ∈ F) i t}, {t. succeed-filt δ q₀ (λx. x ∈ F) i t}) w
(is accepting-pair_R δ_R q_R (?F, ?I) w ↔ accepting-pair_R (nxt Σ δ q₀) (init q₀) (?F', ?I') w)
⟨proof⟩

18.1 Compute Rabin Automata List Representation

```

fun mojmir-to-rabin-exec
where
  mojmir-to-rabin-exec  $\Sigma \delta q_0 F =$  (
    let
       $q_0' = \text{init } q_0;$ 
       $\delta' = \delta_L \Sigma (\text{nxt}(\text{set } \Sigma) \delta q_0) q_0';$ 
       $\text{max-rank} = \text{card}(\text{Set.filter}(\text{Not } o \text{ semi-mojmir-def.sink}(\text{set } \Sigma) \delta q_0)$ 
       $(Q_L \Sigma \delta q_0));$ 
       $\text{fail} = \text{Set.filter}(\text{fail-filt}(\text{set } \Sigma) \delta q_0 F) \delta';$ 
       $\text{merge} = (\lambda i. \text{Set.filter}(\text{merge-filt } \delta q_0 F i) \delta');$ 
       $\text{succeed} = (\lambda i. \text{Set.filter}(\text{succeed-filt } \delta q_0 F i) \delta')$ 
    in
     $(\delta', q_0', (\lambda i. (\text{fail} \cup (\text{merge } i), \text{succeed } i)) ` \{0..<\text{max-rank}\}))$ 
  )

```

18.2 Code Generation

declare semi-mojmir-def.sink-def [code]

— Drop computation of length by different code equation

```

fun index-option :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat option
where
  index-option  $n [] y = \text{None}$ 
  | index-option  $n (x \# xs) y = (\text{if } x = y \text{ then } \text{Some } n \text{ else } \text{index-option}(\text{Suc } n) xs y)$ 

```

declare rk.simps [code del]

```

lemma rk-eq-index-option [code]:
  rk xs x = index-option 0 xs x
  {proof}
export-code init nxt fail-filt succeed-filt merge-filt mojmir-to-rabin-exec checking

```

```

lemma (in mojmir) max-rank-card:
  assumes  $\Sigma = \text{set } \Sigma'$ 
  shows  $\text{max-rank} = \text{card}(\text{Set.filter}(\text{Not } o \text{ semi-mojmir-def.sink}(\text{set } \Sigma')$ 
   $\delta q_0) (Q_L \Sigma' \delta q_0))$ 
  {proof}

```

```

theorem (in mojmir-to-rabin) exec-correct:
  assumes  $\Sigma = \text{set } \Sigma'$ 
  shows accept  $\leftrightarrow$  acceptR-LTS (mojmir-to-rabin-exec  $\Sigma' \delta q_0 (\lambda x. x \in$ 

```

$F)) w$ (**is** $?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

19 Executable Translation from LTL to Rabin Automata

```
theory LTL-Rabin-Impl
  imports Main ..../Auxiliary/Map2 ..../LTL-Rabin ..../LTL-Rabin-Unfold-Opt
  af-Impl Mojmir-Rabin-Impl
begin
```

19.1 Template

19.1.1 Definition

```
locale ltl-to-rabin-base-code-def = ltl-to-rabin-base-def +
  fixes
     $M\text{-}fin_C :: 'a ltl \Rightarrow ('a ltl, nat) mapping \Rightarrow ('a ltl_P \times ('a ltl, 'a ltl_P list) mapping, 'a set) transition \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
begin
```

— Transition Function and Initial State

```
fun delta_C
where
   $\delta_C \Sigma = \delta \times \uparrow\Delta_\times (\text{nxt } \Sigma \delta_M \circ q_{0M} \circ \text{theG})$ 

fun initial_C
where
   $\text{initial}_C \varphi = (q_0 \varphi, \text{Mapping.tabulate } (G\text{-list } \varphi) (\text{init } o q_{0M} o \text{theG}))$ 
```

— Acceptance Condition

```
definition max-rank-of_C
where
   $\text{max-rank-of}_C \Sigma \psi = \text{card } (\text{Set.filter } (\text{Not } o \text{semi-mojmir-def.sink } (\text{set } \Sigma) \delta_M (q_{0M} (\text{theG } \psi))) (Q_L \Sigma \delta_M (q_{0M} (\text{theG } \psi))))$ 
```

```
fun Acc-fin_C
where
   $\text{Acc-fin}_C \Sigma \pi \chi ((-, m'), \nu, -) = ($ 
    let
```

$t = (\text{the}(\text{Mapping.lookup } m' \chi), \nu, []);$ — Third element is unused.
Hence it is safe to pass a dummy value.

$\mathcal{G} = \text{Mapping.keys } \pi$
 in
 $\quad \text{fail-filt } \Sigma \delta_M (q_{0M}(\text{theG } \chi)) (\text{ltl-prop-entails-abs } \mathcal{G}) t$
 $\quad \vee \text{merge-filt } \delta_M (q_{0M}(\text{theG } \chi)) (\text{ltl-prop-entails-abs } \mathcal{G}) (\text{the}(\text{Mapping.lookup } \pi \chi)) t)$

fun Acc-inf_C
where
 $\text{Acc-inf}_C \pi \chi ((_, m'), \nu, _) = ($
 $\quad \text{let}$
 $\quad \quad t = (\text{the}(\text{Mapping.lookup } m' \chi), \nu, []);$ — Third element is unused.
Hence it is safe to pass a dummy value.

$\mathcal{G} = \text{Mapping.keys } \pi$
 in
 $\quad \text{succeed-filt } \delta_M (q_{0M}(\text{theG } \chi)) (\text{ltl-prop-entails-abs } \mathcal{G}) (\text{the}(\text{Mapping.lookup } \pi \chi)) t)$

definition $\text{mappings}_C :: 'a \text{ set list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ ltl} \Rightarrow ('a \text{ ltl}, \text{nat}) \text{ mapping set}$
where

$\text{mappings}_C \Sigma \varphi \equiv \{\pi. \text{Mapping.keys } \pi \subseteq \mathbf{G} \varphi \wedge (\forall \chi \in (\text{Mapping.keys } \pi). \text{the}(\text{Mapping.lookup } \pi \chi) < \text{max-rank-of}_C \Sigma \chi)\}$

definition $\text{reachable-transitions}_C$

where

$\text{reachable-transitions}_C \Sigma \varphi \equiv \delta_L \Sigma (\text{delta}_C (\text{set } \Sigma)) (\text{initial}_C \varphi)$

fun $\text{ltl-to-generalized-rabin}_C$

where

$\text{ltl-to-generalized-rabin}_C \Sigma \varphi = ($
 $\quad \text{let}$
 $\quad \quad \delta\text{-LTS} = \text{reachable-transitions}_C \Sigma \varphi;$
 $\quad \quad \alpha\text{-fin-filter} = \lambda \pi t. M\text{-fin}_C \varphi \pi t \vee (\exists \chi \in \text{Mapping.keys } \pi. \text{Acc-fin}_C (\text{set } \Sigma) \pi \chi t);$
 $\quad \quad \text{to-pair} = \lambda \pi. (\text{Set.filter } (\alpha\text{-fin-filter } \pi) \delta\text{-LTS}, (\lambda \chi. \text{Set.filter } (\text{Acc-inf}_C \pi \chi) \delta\text{-LTS}) ' \text{Mapping.keys } \pi);$
 $\quad \quad \alpha = \text{to-pair} ' (\text{mappings}_C \Sigma \varphi)$ — Multi-thread here!, prove $\text{mappings} (\text{set} \dots)$ equation
 $\quad \quad \text{in}$
 $\quad \quad (\delta\text{-LTS}, \text{initial}_C \varphi, \alpha))$

lemma $\text{mappings}_C\text{-code}: \text{mappings}_C \Sigma \varphi = ($

```

let
  Gs = G-list  $\varphi$ ;
  max-rank = Mapping.lookup (Mapping.tabulate Gs (max-rank-of $_C$   $\Sigma$ ))
in
  set (concat (map (mapping-generator-list ( $\lambda x. [0 .. < \text{the}(\max-rank x)]$ )) (subseqs Gs)))
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma reach-delta-initial:
  assumes  $(x, y) \in \text{reach } \Sigma (\delta_C \Sigma) (\text{initial}_C \varphi)$ 
  assumes  $\chi \in \mathbf{G} \varphi$ 
  shows  $\text{Mapping.lookup } y \chi \neq \text{None}$  (is ?t1)
    and  $\text{distinct}(\text{the}(\text{Mapping.lookup } y \chi))$  (is ?t2)
⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

19.1.2 Correctness

```

fun abstract-state :: ' $x \times ('y, 'z list)$  mapping  $\Rightarrow 'x \times ('y \rightarrow 'z \rightarrow \text{nat})$ 
where
  abstract-state  $(a, b) = (a, (\text{map-option } rk) o (\text{Mapping.lookup } b))$ 

```

```

fun abstract-transition
where
  abstract-transition  $(q, \nu, q') = (\text{abstract-state } q, \nu, \text{abstract-state } q')$ 

```

```

locale ltl-to-rabin-base-code = ltl-to-rabin-base + ltl-to-rabin-base-code-def
+
assumes
  M-fin $_C$ -correct:  $\llbracket t \in \text{reach}_t \Sigma (\delta_C \Sigma) (\text{initial}_C \varphi); \text{dom } \pi \subseteq \mathbf{G} \varphi \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies$ 
  abstract-transition  $t \in M\text{-fin } \pi = M\text{-fin}_C \varphi (\text{Mapping.Mapping } \pi) t$ 
begin

```

```

lemma finite-reach $_C$ :
  finite ( $\text{reach}_t \Sigma (\delta_C \Sigma) (\text{initial}_C \varphi)$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma max-rank-of $_C$ -eq:
  assumes  $\Sigma = \text{set } \Sigma'$ 
  shows  $\text{max-rank-of}_C \Sigma' \psi = \text{max-rank-of } \Sigma \psi$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma reachable-transitionsC-eq:
  assumes  $\Sigma = \text{set } \Sigma'$ 
  shows reachable-transitionsC  $\Sigma' \varphi = \text{reach}_t \Sigma (\text{delta}_C \Sigma) (\text{initial}_C \varphi)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma run-abstraction-correct:
  run ( $\text{delta } \Sigma$ ) ( $\text{initial } \varphi$ )  $w = \text{abstract-state } o (\text{run } (\text{delta}_C \Sigma) (\text{initial}_C \varphi))$ 
   $w$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma
  assumes  $t \in \text{reach}_t \Sigma (\text{delta}_C \Sigma) (\text{initial}_C \varphi)$ 
  assumes  $\chi \in \mathbf{G} \varphi$ 
  shows Acc-finC-correct:
    abstract-transition  $t \in \text{Acc-fin } \Sigma \pi \chi \longleftrightarrow \text{Acc-fin}_C \Sigma (\text{Mapping.Mapping} \pi)$ 
     $\chi t (\mathbf{is} ?t1)$ 
    and Acc-infC-correct:
      abstract-transition  $t \in \text{Acc-inf } \pi \chi \longleftrightarrow \text{Acc-inf}_C (\text{Mapping.Mapping} \pi)$ 
       $\chi t (\mathbf{is} ?t2)$ 
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

theorem ltl-to-generalized-rabinC-correct:
  assumes  $\Sigma = \text{set } \Sigma'$ 
  shows acceptGR (ltl-to-generalized-rabin  $\Sigma \varphi$ )  $w \longleftrightarrow \text{accept}_{GR\text{-LTS}} (\text{ltl-to-generalized-rabin}_C \Sigma' \varphi)$ 
   $w$ 
   $(\mathbf{is} ?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

end

19.2 Generalized Deterministic Rabin Automaton (af)

```

definition M-finC-af-lhs :: ' $a$  ltl  $\Rightarrow$  (' $a$  ltl, nat) mapping  $\Rightarrow$  (' $a$  ltl, (' $a$  ltlP list)) mapping  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$  ltlP
where
  M-finC-af-lhs  $\varphi \pi m' \equiv$ 
    let
       $\mathcal{G} = \text{Mapping.keys } \pi;$ 
       $\mathcal{G}_L = \text{filter } (\lambda x. x \in \mathcal{G}) (\text{G-list } \varphi);$ 
       $\text{mk-conj} = \lambda \chi. \text{foldl and-abs } (\text{Abs } \chi) (\text{map } (\uparrow \text{eval}_G \mathcal{G}) (\text{drop } (\text{the } (\text{Mapping.lookup } \pi \chi)) (\text{the } (\text{Mapping.lookup } m' \chi))))$ 
    in

```

$\uparrow And$ (*map mk-conj* \mathcal{G}_L)

fun $M\text{-}fin_C\text{-}af :: 'a ltl \Rightarrow ('a ltl, nat) mapping \Rightarrow ('a ltl_P \times (('a ltl, ('a ltl_P list)) mapping), 'a set) transition \Rightarrow bool$

where

$M\text{-}fin_C\text{-}af \varphi \pi ((\varphi', m'), -) = Not ((M\text{-}fin_C\text{-}af\text{-}lhs \varphi \pi m') \uparrow \rightarrow_P \varphi')$

lemma $M\text{-}fin_C\text{-}af\text{-}correct$:

assumes $t \in \text{reach}_t \Sigma (\text{ltl-to-rabin-base-code-def}.delta_C \uparrow af \uparrow af_G \text{Abs } \Sigma)$

$(\text{ltl-to-rabin-base-code-def}.initial_C \text{Abs } \text{Abs } \varphi)$

assumes $\text{dom } \pi \subseteq \mathbf{G} \varphi$

shows $\text{abstract-transition } t \in M\text{-}fin \pi = M\text{-}fin_C\text{-}af \varphi (\text{Mapping.Mapping} \pi) t$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$\text{ltl-to-generalized-rabin}_C\text{-}af \equiv \text{ltl-to-rabin-base-code-def}.ltl\text{-to-generalized-rabin}_C \uparrow af \uparrow af_G \text{Abs } \text{Abs } M\text{-}fin_C\text{-}af$

theorem $\text{ltl-to-generalized-rabin}_C\text{-}af\text{-}correct$:

assumes $\text{range } w \subseteq \text{set } \Sigma$

shows $w \models \varphi \longleftrightarrow \text{accept}_{GR}\text{-LTS} (\text{ltl-to-generalized-rabin}_C\text{-}af \Sigma \varphi) w$
 $(\text{is } ?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

19.3 Generalized Deterministic Rabin Automaton (eager af)

definition $M\text{-}fin_C\text{-}af_{\mathfrak{U}}\text{-}lhs :: 'a ltl \Rightarrow ('a ltl, nat) mapping \Rightarrow ('a ltl, ('a ltl_P list)) mapping \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a ltl_P$

where

$M\text{-}fin_C\text{-}af_{\mathfrak{U}}\text{-}lhs \varphi \pi m' \nu \equiv$

let

$\mathcal{G} = \text{Mapping.keys } \pi;$

$\mathcal{G}_L = \text{filter } (\lambda x. x \in \mathcal{G}) (\text{G-list } \varphi);$

$\text{mk-conj} = \lambda \chi. \text{foldl and-abs} (\text{and-abs} (\text{Abs } \chi) (\uparrow \text{eval}_G \mathcal{G} (\text{Abs } (\text{theG } \chi)))) (\text{map} (\uparrow \text{eval}_G \mathcal{G} o (\lambda q. \uparrow \text{step } q \nu)) (\text{drop} (\text{the} (\text{Mapping.lookup } \pi \chi)) (\text{the} (\text{Mapping.lookup } m' \chi))))$

in

$\uparrow And$ (*map mk-conj* \mathcal{G}_L)

fun $M\text{-}fin_C\text{-}af_{\mathfrak{U}} :: 'a ltl \Rightarrow ('a ltl, nat) mapping \Rightarrow ('a ltl_P \times (('a ltl, ('a ltl_P list)) mapping), 'a set) transition \Rightarrow bool$

where

$M\text{-}fin_C\text{-}af_{\mathfrak{U}} \varphi \pi ((\varphi', m'), \nu, -) = Not ((M\text{-}fin_C\text{-}af_{\mathfrak{U}}\text{-}lhs \varphi \pi m' \nu) \uparrow \rightarrow_P \varphi')$

```

(↑step  $\varphi' \nu$ ))

lemma  $M\text{-}fin_C\text{-}af_{\mathfrak{U}}$ -correct:
assumes  $t \in \text{reach}_t \Sigma (\text{ltl-to-rabin-base-code-def}.\text{delta}_C \uparrow af_{\mathfrak{U}} \uparrow af_{G\mathfrak{U}} (\text{Abs} \circ \text{Unf}_G) \Sigma) (\text{ltl-to-rabin-base-code-def}.\text{initial}_C (\text{Abs} \circ \text{Unf}) (\text{Abs} \circ \text{Unf}_G) \varphi)$ 
assumes  $\text{dom } \pi \subseteq \mathbf{G} \varphi$ 
shows abstract-transition  $t \in M_{\mathfrak{U}}\text{-fin } \pi = M\text{-fin}_C\text{-af}_{\mathfrak{U}} \varphi$  (Mapping.Mapping  $\pi$ )  $t$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition
 $\text{ltl-to-generalized-rabin}_C\text{-af}_{\mathfrak{U}} \equiv \text{ltl-to-rabin-base-code-def}.\text{ltl-to-generalized-rabin}_C \uparrow af_{\mathfrak{U}} \uparrow af_{G\mathfrak{U}} (\text{Abs} \circ \text{Unf}) (\text{Abs} \circ \text{Unf}_G) M\text{-fin}_C\text{-af}_{\mathfrak{U}}$ 

theorem  $\text{ltl-to-generalized-rabin}_C\text{-af}_{\mathfrak{U}}$ -correct:
assumes range  $w \subseteq \text{set } \Sigma$ 
shows  $w \models \varphi \longleftrightarrow \text{accept}_{GR}\text{-LTS} (\text{ltl-to-generalized-rabin}_C\text{-af}_{\mathfrak{U}} \Sigma \varphi) w$ 
(is ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩

end

```

20 Code Generation

```

theory Export-Code
imports Main LTL-Compat LTL-Rabin-Impl
HOL-Library.AList-Mapping
LTL.Rewriting
HOL-Library.Code-Target-Numerical
begin

```

20.1 External Interface

```

definition
 $\text{ltlc-to-rabin eager mode } (\varphi_c :: \text{String.literal ltlc}) \equiv$ 
(let
 $\varphi_n = \text{ltlc-to-ltl}n \varphi_c;$ 
 $\Sigma = \text{map set} (\text{subseqs} (\text{atoms-list } \varphi_n));$ 
 $\varphi = \text{ltln-to-ltl} (\text{simplify mode } \varphi_n)$ 
in
(if eager then  $\text{ltl-to-generalized-rabin}_C\text{-af}_{\mathfrak{U}} \Sigma \varphi$  else  $\text{ltl-to-generalized-rabin}_C\text{-af}_{\mathfrak{U}} \Sigma \varphi$ )

```

theorem *ltlc-to-rabin-exec-correct*:
assumes *range w* \subseteq *Pow (atoms-ltlc* φ_c)
shows *w* $\models_c \varphi_c \longleftrightarrow \text{accept}_{GR\text{-LTS}}(\text{ltlc-to-rabin eager mode } \varphi_c) *w*
(is $?lhs = ?rhs$)
{proof}$

20.2 Normalize Equivalence Classes During DFS-Search

```
fun norm-rep
where
norm-rep (i, (q, ν, p)) (q', ν', p') = (
  let
    eq-q = (q = q'); eq-p = (p = p');
    q'' = if eq-q then q' else if q = p' then p' else q;
    p'' = if eq-p then p' else if p = q' then q' else p
  in
  (i | (eq-q & eq-p & ν = ν'), q'', ν, p''))

fun norm-fold :: ('a, 'b) transition  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) transition list  $\Rightarrow$  (bool * 'a * 'b * 'a)
where
norm-fold (q, ν, p) xs = foldl-break norm-rep fst (False, q, ν, if q = p then q else p) xs

definition norm-insert :: ('a, 'b) transition  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) transition list  $\Rightarrow$  (bool * ('a, 'b) transition list)
where
norm-insert x xs  $\equiv$  let (i, x') = norm-fold x xs in if i then (i, xs) else (i, x' # xs)

lemma norm-fold:
norm-fold (q, ν, p) xs = ((q, ν, p)  $\in$  set xs, q, ν, p)
{i proof}

lemma norm-insert:
norm-insert x xs = (x  $\in$  set xs, List.insert x xs)
{i proof}

declare list-dfs-def [code del]
declare norm-insert-def [code-unfold]

lemma list-dfs-norm-insert [code]:
list-dfs succ S [] = S
list-dfs succ S (x # xs) = (let (memb, S') = norm-insert x S in list-dfs
```

*succ S' (if memb then xs else succ x @ xs))
 $\langle proof \rangle$*

20.3 Register Code Equations

lemma [code]:

$\uparrow_{\Delta \times} f (AList\text{-}Mapping.Mapping xs) c = AList\text{-}Mapping.Mapping (map\text{-}ran (\lambda a b. f a b c) xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *ltl-to-rabin-base-code-export* [code, code-unfold] =
ltl-to-rabin-base-code-def.ltl-to-generalized-rabin_C.simps
ltl-to-rabin-base-code-def.reachable-transitions_C-def
ltl-to-rabin-base-code-def.mappings_C-code
ltl-to-rabin-base-code-def.delta_C.simps
ltl-to-rabin-base-code-def.initial_C.simps
ltl-to-rabin-base-code-def.Acc-inf_C.simps
ltl-to-rabin-base-code-def.Acc-fin_C.simps
ltl-to-rabin-base-code-def.max-rank-of_C-def

lemmas *M-fin_C-lhs* [code del, code-unfold] =
M-fin_C-af_U-lhs-def M-fin_C-af-lhs-def

— Test code export

export-code *true_c* *Iff-ltlc Nop true Abs AList-*Mapping*.*Mapping* set ltlc-to-rabin checking*

— Export translator (and also constructors)

export-code *true_c* *Iff-ltlc Nop true Abs AList-*Mapping*.*Mapping* set ltlc-to-rabin*

in SML module-name LTL file *<.. / Code / LTL-to-DRA-Translator.sml>*

end

References

- [1] J. Esparza, J. Kretínský, and S. Sickert. From LTL to deterministic automata - A safralless compositional approach. *Formal Methods in System Design*, 49(3):219–271, 2016.