

An Efficient Normalisation Procedure for Linear Temporal Logic: Isabelle/HOL Formalisation

Salomon Sickert

March 17, 2025

Abstract

In the mid 80s, Lichtenstein, Pnueli, and Zuck proved a classical theorem stating that every formula of Past LTL (the extension of LTL with past operators) is equivalent to a formula of the form $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n \mathbf{GF}\varphi_i \vee \mathbf{FG}\psi_i$, where φ_i and ψ_i contain only past operators [3, 6]. Some years later, Chang, Manna, and Pnueli built on this result to derive a similar normal form for LTL [2]. Both normalisation procedures have a non-elementary worst-case blow-up, and follow an involved path from formulas to counter-free automata to star-free regular expressions and back to formulas. We improve on both points. We present an executable formalisation of a direct and purely syntactic normalisation procedure for LTL yielding a normal form, comparable to the one by Chang, Manna, and Pnueli, that has only a single exponential blow-up.

Contents

1	Overview	2
2	A Normal Form for Linear Temporal Logic	2
2.1	LTL Equivalences	3
2.2	$\psi[M]_1^\Pi$, $\psi[N]_1^\Sigma$, $\psi[M]_2^\Sigma$, and $\psi[N]_2^\Pi$	4
2.3	Main Theorem	11
3	Size Bounds	13
3.1	Inequalities and Identities	13
3.2	Length	13
3.3	Proper Subformulas	21
4	Code Export	28

1 Overview

This document contains the formalisation of the central results appearing in [4, Sections 4-6]. We refer the interested reader to [4] or to the extended version [5] for an introduction to the topic, related work, intuitive explanations of the proofs, and an application of the normalisation procedure, namely, a translation from LTL to deterministic automata.

The central result of this document is the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *Let φ be an LTL formula and let Δ_2 , Σ_1 , Σ_2 , and Π_1 be the classes of LTL formulas from Definition 2. Then φ is equivalent to the following formula from the class Δ_2 :*

$$\bigvee_{\substack{M \subseteq \mu(\varphi) \\ N \subseteq \nu(\varphi)}} \left(\varphi[M]_2^\Sigma \wedge \bigwedge_{\psi \in M} \mathbf{GF}(\psi[N]_1^\Sigma) \wedge \bigwedge_{\psi \in N} \mathbf{FG}(\psi[M]_1^\Pi) \right)$$

where $\psi[M]_2^\Sigma$, $\psi[N]_1^\Sigma$, and $\psi[M]_1^\Pi$ are functions mapping ψ to a formula from Σ_2 , Σ_1 , and Π_1 , respectively.

Definition 2 (Adapted from [1]). *We define the following classes of LTL formulas:*

- The class $\Sigma_0 = \Pi_0 = \Delta_0$ is the least set containing all atomic propositions and their negations, and is closed under the application of conjunction and disjunction.
- The class Σ_{i+1} is the least set containing Π_i and is closed under the application of conjunction, disjunction, and the **X**, **U**, and **M** operators.
- The class Π_{i+1} is the least set containing Σ_i and is closed under the application of conjunction, disjunction, and the **X**, **R**, and **W** operators.
- The class Δ_{i+1} is the least set containing Σ_{i+1} and Π_{i+1} and is closed under the application of conjunction and disjunction.

2 A Normal Form for Linear Temporal Logic

theory *Normal-Form* **imports**

LTL-Master-Theorem.Master-Theorem

begin

2.1 LTL Equivalences

Several valid laws of LTL relating strong and weak operators that are useful later.

lemma *ltn-strong-weak-2*:

$w \models_n \varphi U_n \psi \longleftrightarrow w \models_n (\varphi \text{ and}_n F_n \psi) W_n \psi$ (**is** *?thesis1*)
 $w \models_n \varphi M_n \psi \longleftrightarrow w \models_n \varphi R_n (\psi \text{ and}_n F_n \varphi)$ (**is** *?thesis2*)

proof –

have $\exists j. \text{suffix } (i + j) w \models_n \psi$
if $\text{suffix } j w \models_n \psi$ **and** $\forall j \leq i. \neg \text{suffix } j w \models_n \psi$ **for** $i j$

proof

from *that* **have** $j > i$
by (*cases* $j > i$) *auto*
thus $\text{suffix } (i + (j - i)) w \models_n \psi$
using *that* **by** *auto*

qed

thus *?thesis1*

unfolding *ltn-strong-weak* **by** *auto*

next

have $\exists j. \text{suffix } (i + j) w \models_n \varphi$
if $\text{suffix } j w \models_n \varphi$ **and** $\forall j < i. \neg \text{suffix } j w \models_n \varphi$ **for** $i j$

proof

from *that* **have** $j \geq i$
by (*cases* $j \geq i$) *auto*
thus $\text{suffix } (i + (j - i)) w \models_n \varphi$
using *that* **by** *auto*

qed

thus *?thesis2*

unfolding *ltn-strong-weak* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *ltn-weak-strong-2*:

$w \models_n \varphi W_n \psi \longleftrightarrow w \models_n \varphi U_n (\psi \text{ or}_n G_n \varphi)$ (**is** *?thesis1*)
 $w \models_n \varphi R_n \psi \longleftrightarrow w \models_n (\varphi \text{ or}_n G_n \psi) M_n \psi$ (**is** *?thesis2*)

proof –

have $\text{suffix } j w \models_n \varphi$
if $\bigwedge j. j < i \implies \text{suffix } j w \models_n \varphi$ **and** $\bigwedge j. \text{suffix } (i + j) w \models_n \varphi$ **for** $i j$
using *that(1)[of j]* *that(2)[of j - i]* **by** (*cases* $j < i$) *simp-all*

thus *?thesis1*

unfolding *ltn-weak-strong* **unfolding** *semantics-ltn.simps* *suffix-suffix*
by *blast*

next

have $\text{suffix } j w \models_n \psi$
if $\bigwedge j. j \leq i \implies \text{suffix } j w \models_n \psi$ **and** $\bigwedge j. \text{suffix } (i + j) w \models_n \psi$ **for** $i j$

using *that(1)[of j] that(2)[of j - i]* **by** (*cases j ≤ i simp-all*)
thus *?thesis2*
unfolding *ltln-weak-strong unfolding semantics-ltln.simps suffix-suffix*
by *blast*
qed

2.2 $\psi[M]_1^\Pi$, $\psi[N]_1^\Sigma$, $\psi[M]_2^\Sigma$, and $\psi[N]_2^\Pi$

The following four functions use "promise sets", named M or N , to rewrite arbitrary formulas into formulas from the class Σ_1 -, Σ_2 -, Π_1 -, and Π_2 -, respectively. In general the obtained formulas are not equivalent, but under some conditions (as outlined below) they are.

no-notation *FG-advice* $\langle \cdot [-]_\mu \rangle$ [90,60] 89)

no-notation *GF-advice* $\langle \cdot [-]_\nu \rangle$ [90,60] 89)

notation *FG-advice* $\langle \cdot [-]_{\Sigma_1} \rangle$ [90,60] 89)

notation *GF-advice* $\langle \cdot [-]_{\Pi_1} \rangle$ [90,60] 89)

fun *flatten-sigma-2*:: 'a ltln \Rightarrow 'a ltln set \Rightarrow 'a ltln $\langle \cdot [-]_{\Sigma_2} \rangle$)

where

$(\varphi U_n \psi)[M]_{\Sigma_2} = (\varphi[M]_{\Sigma_2}) U_n (\psi[M]_{\Sigma_2})$
 $| (\varphi W_n \psi)[M]_{\Sigma_2} = (\varphi[M]_{\Sigma_2}) U_n ((\psi[M]_{\Sigma_2}) \text{ or}_n (G_n \varphi[M]_{\Pi_1}))$
 $| (\varphi M_n \psi)[M]_{\Sigma_2} = (\varphi[M]_{\Sigma_2}) M_n (\psi[M]_{\Sigma_2})$
 $| (\varphi R_n \psi)[M]_{\Sigma_2} = ((\varphi[M]_{\Sigma_2}) \text{ or}_n (G_n \psi[M]_{\Pi_1})) M_n (\psi[M]_{\Sigma_2})$
 $| (\varphi \text{ and}_n \psi)[M]_{\Sigma_2} = (\varphi[M]_{\Sigma_2}) \text{ and}_n (\psi[M]_{\Sigma_2})$
 $| (\varphi \text{ or}_n \psi)[M]_{\Sigma_2} = (\varphi[M]_{\Sigma_2}) \text{ or}_n (\psi[M]_{\Sigma_2})$
 $| (X_n \varphi)[M]_{\Sigma_2} = X_n (\varphi[M]_{\Sigma_2})$
 $| \varphi[M]_{\Sigma_2} = \varphi$

fun *flatten-pi-2*:: 'a ltln \Rightarrow 'a ltln set \Rightarrow 'a ltln $\langle \cdot [-]_{\Pi_2} \rangle$)

where

$(\varphi W_n \psi)[N]_{\Pi_2} = (\varphi[N]_{\Pi_2}) W_n (\psi[N]_{\Pi_2})$
 $| (\varphi U_n \psi)[N]_{\Pi_2} = (\varphi[N]_{\Pi_2} \text{ and}_n (F_n \psi[N]_{\Sigma_1})) W_n (\psi[N]_{\Pi_2})$
 $| (\varphi R_n \psi)[N]_{\Pi_2} = (\varphi[N]_{\Pi_2}) R_n (\psi[N]_{\Pi_2})$
 $| (\varphi M_n \psi)[N]_{\Pi_2} = (\varphi[N]_{\Pi_2}) R_n ((\psi[N]_{\Pi_2}) \text{ and}_n (F_n \varphi[N]_{\Sigma_1}))$
 $| (\varphi \text{ and}_n \psi)[N]_{\Pi_2} = (\varphi[N]_{\Pi_2}) \text{ and}_n (\psi[N]_{\Pi_2})$
 $| (\varphi \text{ or}_n \psi)[N]_{\Pi_2} = (\varphi[N]_{\Pi_2}) \text{ or}_n (\psi[N]_{\Pi_2})$
 $| (X_n \varphi)[N]_{\Pi_2} = X_n (\varphi[N]_{\Pi_2})$
 $| \varphi[N]_{\Pi_2} = \varphi$

lemma *GF-advice-restriction*:

$\varphi[\mathcal{GF} (\varphi W_n \psi) w]_{\Pi_1} = \varphi[\mathcal{GF} \varphi w]_{\Pi_1}$

$\psi[\mathcal{GF} (\varphi R_n \psi) w]_{\Pi_1} = \psi[\mathcal{GF} \psi w]_{\Pi_1}$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) \mathcal{GF} -semantics' inf-commute inf-left-commute inf-sup-absorb subformulas $_{\mu}$.simps(6) GF-advice-inter-subformulas)
(metis (no-types, lifting) GF-advice-inter \mathcal{GF} .simps(5) \mathcal{GF} -semantics' \mathcal{GF} -subformulas $_{\mu}$ inf.commute sup.boundedE)

lemma FG-advice-restriction:

$$\psi[\mathcal{FG} (\varphi U_n \psi) w]_{\Sigma_1} = \psi[\mathcal{FG} \psi w]_{\Sigma_1}$$

$$\varphi[\mathcal{FG} (\varphi M_n \psi) w]_{\Sigma_1} = \varphi[\mathcal{FG} \varphi w]_{\Sigma_1}$$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) FG-advice-inter \mathcal{FG} .simps(4) \mathcal{FG} -semantics' \mathcal{FG} -subformulas $_{\nu}$ inf.commute sup.boundedE)

(metis (no-types, lifting) FG-advice-inter \mathcal{FG} .simps(7) \mathcal{FG} -semantics' \mathcal{FG} -subformulas $_{\nu}$ inf.right-idem inf-commute sup.cobounded1)

lemma flatten-sigma-2-intersection:

$$M \cap \text{subformulas}_{\mu} \varphi \subseteq S \implies \varphi[M \cap S]_{\Sigma_2} = \varphi[M]_{\Sigma_2}$$

by (induction φ) (simp; blast intro: GF-advice-inter)+

lemma flatten-sigma-2-intersection-eq:

$$M \cap \text{subformulas}_{\mu} \varphi = M' \implies \varphi[M']_{\Sigma_2} = \varphi[M]_{\Sigma_2}$$

using flatten-sigma-2-intersection by auto

lemma flatten-sigma-2-monotone:

$$w \models_n \varphi[M]_{\Sigma_2} \implies M \subseteq M' \implies w \models_n \varphi[M']_{\Sigma_2}$$

by (induction φ arbitrary: w)

(simp; blast dest: GF-advice-monotone)+

lemma flatten-pi-2-intersection:

$$N \cap \text{subformulas}_{\nu} \varphi \subseteq S \implies \varphi[N \cap S]_{\Pi_2} = \varphi[N]_{\Pi_2}$$

by (induction φ) (simp; blast intro: FG-advice-inter)+

lemma flatten-pi-2-intersection-eq:

$$N \cap \text{subformulas}_{\nu} \varphi = N' \implies \varphi[N']_{\Pi_2} = \varphi[N]_{\Pi_2}$$

using flatten-pi-2-intersection by auto

lemma flatten-pi-2-monotone:

$$w \models_n \varphi[N]_{\Pi_2} \implies N \subseteq N' \implies w \models_n \varphi[N']_{\Pi_2}$$

by (induction φ arbitrary: w)

(simp; blast dest: FG-advice-monotone)+

lemma ltl-weak-strong-stable-words-1:

$$w \models_n (\varphi W_n \psi) \iff w \models_n \varphi U_n (\psi \text{ or}_n (G_n \varphi[\mathcal{GF} \varphi w]_{\Pi_1})) \text{ (is ?lhs} \\ \iff \text{?rhs)}$$

proof

assume ?lhs

moreover

{

 assume *assm*: $w \models_n G_n \varphi$

 moreover

 obtain *i* **where** $\bigwedge j. \mathcal{F} \varphi (\text{suffix } i \ w) \subseteq \mathcal{GF} \varphi \ w$

 by (*metis MOST-nat-le GF-suffix μ -stable-def order-refl suffix- μ -stable*)

 hence $\bigwedge j. \mathcal{F} \varphi (\text{suffix } i \ (\text{suffix } j \ w)) \subseteq \mathcal{GF} \varphi \ w$

 by (*metis F-suffix GF-F-subset GF-suffix semiring-normalization-rules(24)*)

subset-Un-eq suffix-suffix sup.orderE)

 ultimately

 have $\text{suffix } i \ w \models_n G_n (\varphi[\mathcal{GF} \varphi \ w]_{\Pi 1})$

 using *GF-advice-a1*[*OF* $\langle \bigwedge j. \mathcal{F} \varphi (\text{suffix } i \ (\text{suffix } j \ w)) \subseteq \mathcal{GF} \varphi \ w \rangle$]

 by (*simp add: add.commute*)

 hence *?rhs*

 using *assm* **by** *auto*

}

moreover

have $w \models_n \varphi \ U_n \ \psi \implies \text{?rhs}$

by *auto*

ultimately

show *?rhs*

 using *ltln-weak-to-strong(1)* **by** *blast*

next

 assume *?rhs*

 thus *?lhs*

 unfolding *ltln-weak-strong-2* **unfolding** *semantics-ltn.simps*

 by (*metis GF-suffix order-refl GF-advice-a2*)

qed

lemma *ltln-weak-strong-stable-words-2*:

 $w \models_n (\varphi \ R_n \ \psi) \longleftrightarrow w \models_n (\varphi \ or_n \ (G_n \ \psi[\mathcal{GF} \ \psi \ w]_{\Pi 1})) \ M_n \ \psi$ (**is** *?lhs*

 $\longleftrightarrow \text{?rhs}$)

proof

 assume *?lhs*

moreover

{

assume *assm*: $w \models_n G_n \psi$
moreover
obtain i **where** $\bigwedge j. \mathcal{F} \psi (\text{suffix } i \ w) \subseteq \mathcal{GF} \psi \ w$
by (*metis MOST-nat-le GF-suffix μ -stable-def order-refl suffix- μ -stable*)
hence $\bigwedge j. \mathcal{F} \psi (\text{suffix } i \ (\text{suffix } j \ w)) \subseteq \mathcal{GF} \psi \ w$
by (*metis F-suffix GF-F-subset GF-suffix semiring-normalization-rules(24)*)
subset-Un-eq suffix-suffix sup.orderE)
ultimately
have $\text{suffix } i \ w \models_n G_n (\psi[\mathcal{GF} \psi \ w]_{\Pi 1})$
using *GF-advice-a1[OF $\langle \bigwedge j. \mathcal{F} \psi (\text{suffix } i \ (\text{suffix } j \ w)) \subseteq \mathcal{GF} \psi \ w \rangle$]*
by (*simp add: add commute*)
hence *?rhs*
using *assm by auto*
}

moreover

have $w \models_n \varphi \ M_n \psi \implies ?rhs$
by *auto*

ultimately

show *?rhs*
using *ltln-weak-to-strong by blast*

next

assume *?rhs*
thus *?lhs*

unfolding *ltln-weak-strong-2* **unfolding** *semantics-ltn.simps*
by (*metis GF-advice-a2 GF-suffix order-refl*)

qed

lemma *ltln-weak-strong-stable-words*:

$w \models_n (\varphi \ W_n \ \psi) \longleftrightarrow w \models_n \varphi \ U_n (\psi \ \text{or}_n (G_n \ \varphi[\mathcal{GF} (\varphi \ W_n \ \psi) \ w]_{\Pi 1}))$
 $w \models_n (\varphi \ R_n \ \psi) \longleftrightarrow w \models_n (\varphi \ \text{or}_n (G_n \ \psi[\mathcal{GF} (\varphi \ R_n \ \psi) \ w]_{\Pi 1})) \ M_n \ \psi$
unfolding *ltln-weak-strong-stable-words-1* *ltln-weak-strong-stable-words-2*
GF-advice-restriction **by** *simp+*

lemma *flatten-sigma-2-IH-lifting*:

assumes $\psi \in \text{subfrmlsn } \varphi$
assumes $\text{suffix } i \ w \models_n \psi[\mathcal{GF} \psi (\text{suffix } i \ w)]_{\Sigma 2} = \text{suffix } i \ w \models_n \psi$
shows $\text{suffix } i \ w \models_n \psi[\mathcal{GF} \varphi \ w]_{\Sigma 2} = \text{suffix } i \ w \models_n \psi$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) inf.absorb-iff2 inf-assoc inf-commute assms(2)*)
GF-suffix flatten-sigma-2-intersection-eq[of $\mathcal{GF} \varphi \ w \ \psi \ \mathcal{GF} \psi \ w$] GF-semantics'
subformulas $_{\mu}$ -subset[OF assms(1)])

lemma *flatten-sigma-2-correct*:
 $w \models_n \varphi[\mathcal{GF} \varphi w]_{\Sigma 2} \longleftrightarrow w \models_n \varphi$
proof (*induction φ arbitrary: w*)
 case (*And-ltln $\varphi 1 \varphi 2$*)
 then show *?case*
 using *flatten-sigma-2-IH-lifting[of - $\varphi 1$ and_n $\varphi 2$ 0]* **by** *simp*
next
 case (*Or-ltln $\varphi 1 \varphi 2$*)
 then show *?case*
 using *flatten-sigma-2-IH-lifting[of - $\varphi 1$ or_n $\varphi 2$ 0]* **by** *simp*
next
 case (*Next-ltln φ*)
 then show *?case*
 using *flatten-sigma-2-IH-lifting[of - $X_n \varphi 1$]* **by** *fastforce*
next
 case (*Until-ltln $\varphi 1 \varphi 2$*)
 then show *?case*
 using *flatten-sigma-2-IH-lifting[of - $\varphi 1 U_n \varphi 2$]* **by** *fastforce*
next
 case (*Release-ltln $\varphi 1 \varphi 2$*)
 then show *?case*
 unfolding *ltln-weak-strong-stable-words*
 using *flatten-sigma-2-IH-lifting[of - $\varphi 1 R_n \varphi 2$]* **by** *fastforce*
next
 case (*WeakUntil-ltln $\varphi 1 \varphi 2$*)
 then show *?case*
 unfolding *ltln-weak-strong-stable-words*
 using *flatten-sigma-2-IH-lifting[of - $\varphi 1 W_n \varphi 2$]* **by** *fastforce*
next
 case (*StrongRelease-ltln $\varphi 1 \varphi 2$*)
 then show *?case*
 using *flatten-sigma-2-IH-lifting[of - $\varphi 1 M_n \varphi 2$]* **by** *fastforce*
qed *auto*

lemma *ltln-strong-weak-stable-words-1*:
 $w \models_n \varphi U_n \psi \longleftrightarrow w \models_n (\varphi \text{ and}_n (F_n \psi[\mathcal{FG} \psi w]_{\Sigma 1})) W_n \psi$ (**is** *?lhs*
 \longleftrightarrow *?rhs*)
proof
 assume *?rhs*

 moreover

 obtain *i* **where** *ν -stable ψ (suffix i w)*

by (*metis MOST-nat less-Suc-eq suffix- ν -stable*)
 hence $\forall \psi \in \mathcal{FG} \ \psi \ w. \text{ suffix } i \ w \models_n G_n \ \psi$
 using *FG-suffix G-elim ν -stable-def* by *blast*

{
 assume *assm*: $w \models_n G_n (\varphi \text{ and}_n (F_n \psi [\mathcal{FG} \ \psi \ w]_{\Sigma 1}))$
 hence $\text{suffix } i \ w \models_n (F_n \psi) [\mathcal{FG} \ \psi \ w]_{\Sigma 1}$
 by *simp*
 hence $\text{suffix } i \ w \models_n F_n \ \psi$
 by (*blast dest: FG-advice-b2-helper*[*OF* $\langle \forall \psi \in \mathcal{FG} \ \psi \ w. \text{ suffix } i \ w \models_n G_n \ \psi \rangle$])
 hence $w \models_n \varphi \ U_n \ \psi$
 using *assm* by *auto*
 }

ultimately

show *?lhs*
 by (*meson ltl-n-weak-to-strong*(1) *semantics-ltl-n.simps*(5) *until-and-left-distrib*)

next

assume *?lhs*

moreover

have $\bigwedge i. \text{ suffix } i \ w \models_n \psi \implies \text{ suffix } i \ w \models_n \psi [\mathcal{FG} \ \psi \ w]_{\Sigma 1}$
 using *FG-suffix* by (*blast intro: FG-advice-b1*)

ultimately

show *?rhs*
 unfolding *ltl-n-strong-weak-2* by *fastforce*

qed

lemma *ltl-n-strong-weak-stable-words-2*:
 $w \models_n \varphi \ M_n \ \psi \longleftrightarrow w \models_n \varphi \ R_n (\psi \text{ and}_n (F_n \varphi [\mathcal{FG} \ \varphi \ w]_{\Sigma 1}))$ (**is** *?lhs* \longleftrightarrow *?rhs*)

proof

assume *?rhs*

moreover

obtain *i* where ν -stable φ (*suffix* *i* *w*)
 by (*metis MOST-nat less-Suc-eq suffix- ν -stable*)
 hence $\forall \psi \in \mathcal{FG} \ \varphi \ w. \text{ suffix } i \ w \models_n G_n \ \psi$

using *FG-suffix G-elim v-stable-def* **by** *blast*

{

assume *assm*: $w \models_n G_n (\psi \text{ and}_n (F_n \varphi [\mathcal{FG} \varphi w]_{\Sigma 1}))$

hence $\text{suffix } i \ w \models_n (F_n \varphi) [\mathcal{FG} \varphi w]_{\Sigma 1}$

by *simp*

hence $\text{suffix } i \ w \models_n F_n \varphi$

by (*blast dest: FG-advice-b2-helper*[*OF* $\langle \forall \psi \in \mathcal{FG} \varphi \ w. \text{suffix } i \ w \models_n G_n \psi \rangle$])

hence $w \models_n \varphi \ M_n \ \psi$

using *assm* **by** *auto*

}

ultimately

show *?lhs*

using *ltln-weak-to-strong(3) semantics-ltn.simps(5) strong-release-and-right-distrib*

by *blast*

next

assume *?lhs*

moreover

have $\bigwedge i. \text{suffix } i \ w \models_n \varphi \implies \text{suffix } i \ w \models_n \varphi [\mathcal{FG} \varphi w]_{\Sigma 1}$

using *FG-suffix* **by** (*blast intro: FG-advice-b1*)

ultimately

show *?rhs*

unfolding *ltln-strong-weak-2* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *ltln-strong-weak-stable-words*:

$w \models_n \varphi \ U_n \ \psi \longleftrightarrow w \models_n (\varphi \text{ and}_n (F_n \psi [\mathcal{FG} (\varphi \ U_n \ \psi) w]_{\Sigma 1})) \ W_n \ \psi$

 $w \models_n \varphi \ M_n \ \psi \longleftrightarrow w \models_n \varphi \ R_n (\psi \text{ and}_n (F_n \varphi [\mathcal{FG} (\varphi \ M_n \ \psi) w]_{\Sigma 1}))$

unfolding *ltln-strong-weak-stable-words-1 ltln-strong-weak-stable-words-2*

FG-advice-restriction **by** *simp+*

lemma *flatten-pi-2-IH-lifting*:

assumes $\psi \in \text{subfrmlsn } \varphi$

assumes $\text{suffix } i \ w \models_n \psi [\mathcal{FG} \psi (\text{suffix } i \ w)]_{\Pi 2} = \text{suffix } i \ w \models_n \psi$

shows $\text{suffix } i \ w \models_n \psi [\mathcal{FG} \varphi w]_{\Pi 2} = \text{suffix } i \ w \models_n \psi$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) inf.absorb-iff2 inf-assoc inf-commute assms(2)*

FG-suffix flatten-pi-2-intersection-eq[of FG \varphi w \psi FG \psi w] FG-semantics')

subformulas _{ν} -subset[*OF assms*(1)]])

lemma *flatten-pi-2-correct*:

$w \models_n \varphi[\mathcal{FG} \varphi w]_{\Pi 2} \longleftrightarrow w \models_n \varphi$

proof (*induction φ arbitrary: w*)

case (*And-ltln $\varphi 1 \varphi 2$*)

then show *?case*

using *flatten-pi-2-IH-lifting*[*of - $\varphi 1$ and _{n} $\varphi 2$ 0*] **by** *simp*

next

case (*Or-ltln $\varphi 1 \varphi 2$*)

then show *?case*

using *flatten-pi-2-IH-lifting*[*of - $\varphi 1$ or _{n} $\varphi 2$ 0*] **by** *simp*

next

case (*Next-ltln φ*)

then show *?case*

using *flatten-pi-2-IH-lifting*[*of - $X_n \varphi 1$*] **by** *fastforce*

next

case (*Until-ltln $\varphi 1 \varphi 2$*)

then show *?case*

unfolding *ltln-strong-weak-stable-words*

using *flatten-pi-2-IH-lifting*[*of - $\varphi 1 U_n \varphi 2$*] **by** *fastforce*

next

case (*Release-ltln $\varphi 1 \varphi 2$*)

then show *?case*

using *flatten-pi-2-IH-lifting*[*of - $\varphi 1 R_n \varphi 2$*] **by** *fastforce*

next

case (*WeakUntil-ltln $\varphi 1 \varphi 2$*)

then show *?case*

using *flatten-pi-2-IH-lifting*[*of - $\varphi 1 W_n \varphi 2$*] **by** *fastforce*

next

case (*StrongRelease-ltln $\varphi 1 \varphi 2$*)

then show *?case*

unfolding *ltln-strong-weak-stable-words*

using *flatten-pi-2-IH-lifting*[*of - $\varphi 1 M_n \varphi 2$*] **by** *fastforce*

qed *auto*

2.3 Main Theorem

Using the four previously defined functions we obtain our normal form.

theorem *normal-form-with-flatten-sigma-2*:

$w \models_n \varphi \longleftrightarrow$

$(\exists M \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi. \exists N \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi.$

$w \models_n \varphi[M]_{\Sigma 2} \wedge (\forall \psi \in M. w \models_n G_n (F_n \psi[N]_{\Sigma 1})) \wedge (\forall \psi \in N. w \models_n$

$F_n (G_n \psi[M]_{\Pi 1}))$ (is ?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)
proof
 assume ?lhs
 then have $w \models_n \varphi[\mathcal{GF} \varphi w]_{\Sigma 2}$
 using *flatten-sigma-2-correct* by *blast*
 then show ?rhs
 using *\mathcal{GF} -subformulas $_{\mu}$* *\mathcal{FG} -subformulas $_{\nu}$* *\mathcal{GF} -implies- \mathcal{GF}* *\mathcal{FG} -implies- \mathcal{FG}*
 by *metis*
next
 assume ?rhs
 then obtain $M N$ where $w \models_n \varphi[M]_{\Sigma 2}$ and $M \subseteq \mathcal{GF} \varphi w$ and $N \subseteq \mathcal{FG} \varphi w$
 using *X- \mathcal{GF} -Y- \mathcal{FG}* by *blast*
 then have $w \models_n \varphi[\mathcal{GF} \varphi w]_{\Sigma 2}$
 using *flatten-sigma-2-monotone* by *blast*
 then show ?lhs
 using *flatten-sigma-2-correct* by *blast*
qed

theorem *normal-form-with-flatten-pi-2:*

$w \models_n \varphi \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists M \subseteq \text{subformulas}_{\mu} \varphi. \exists N \subseteq \text{subformulas}_{\nu} \varphi.$
 $w \models_n \varphi[N]_{\Pi 2} \wedge (\forall \psi \in M. w \models_n G_n (F_n \psi[N]_{\Sigma 1})) \wedge (\forall \psi \in N. w \models_n$
 $F_n (G_n \psi[M]_{\Pi 1}))$) (is ?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)

proof
 assume ?lhs
 then have $w \models_n \varphi[\mathcal{FG} \varphi w]_{\Pi 2}$
 using *flatten-pi-2-correct* by *blast*
 then show ?rhs
 using *\mathcal{GF} -subformulas $_{\mu}$* *\mathcal{FG} -subformulas $_{\nu}$* *\mathcal{GF} -implies- \mathcal{GF}* *\mathcal{FG} -implies- \mathcal{FG}*
 by *metis*
next
 assume ?rhs
 then obtain $M N$ where $w \models_n \varphi[N]_{\Pi 2}$ and $M \subseteq \mathcal{GF} \varphi w$ and $N \subseteq \mathcal{FG} \varphi w$
 using *X- \mathcal{GF} -Y- \mathcal{FG}* by *metis*
 then have $w \models_n \varphi[\mathcal{FG} \varphi w]_{\Pi 2}$
 using *flatten-pi-2-monotone* by *metis*
 then show ?lhs
 using *flatten-pi-2-correct* by *blast*
qed

end

3 Size Bounds

We prove an exponential upper bound for the normalisation procedure. Moreover, we show that the number of proper subformulas, which correspond to states very-weak alternating automata (A1W), is only linear for each disjunct.

theory *Normal-Form-Complexity* **imports**

Normal-Form

begin

3.1 Inequalities and Identities

lemma *inequality-1*:

$y > 0 \implies y + 3 \leq (2 :: \text{nat}) \wedge (y + 1)$

by (*induction y*) (*simp, fastforce*)

lemma *inequality-2*:

$x > 0 \implies y > 0 \implies ((2 :: \text{nat}) \wedge (x + 1)) + (2 \wedge (y + 1)) \leq (2 \wedge (x + y + 1))$

by (*induction x; simp; induction y; simp; fastforce*)

lemma *size-gr-0*:

$\text{size } (\varphi :: 'a \text{ ltn}) > 0$

by (*cases* φ) *simp-all*

lemma *sum-associative*:

$\text{finite } X \implies (\sum x \in X. f x + c) = (\sum x \in X. f x) + \text{card } X * c$

by (*induction rule: finite-induct*) *simp-all*

3.2 Length

We prove that the length (size) of the resulting formula in normal form is at most exponential.

lemma *flatten-sigma-1-length*:

$\text{size } (\varphi[N]_{\Sigma 1}) \leq \text{size } \varphi$

by (*induction* φ) *simp-all*

lemma *flatten-pi-1-length*:

$\text{size } (\varphi[M]_{\Pi 1}) \leq \text{size } \varphi$

by (*induction* φ) *simp-all*

lemma *flatten-sigma-2-length*:

$\text{size } (\varphi[M]_{\Sigma 2}) \leq 2 \wedge (\text{size } \varphi + 1)$

```

proof (induction  $\varphi$ )
  case (And-ltln  $\varphi1$   $\varphi2$ )
    hence  $size (\varphi1 \text{ and}_n \varphi2)[M]_{\Sigma2} \leq (2^{\wedge (size \varphi1 + 1)}) + (2^{\wedge (size \varphi2 + 1)}) + 1$ 
    by simp
    also
    have  $\dots \leq 2^{\wedge (size \varphi1 + size \varphi2 + 1)} + 1$ 
    using inequality-2[OF size-gr-0 size-gr-0] by simp
    also
    have  $\dots \leq 2^{\wedge (size (\varphi1 \text{ and}_n \varphi2) + 1)}$ 
    by simp
    finally
    show ?case.
next
  case (Or-ltln  $\varphi1$   $\varphi2$ )
    hence  $size (\varphi1 \text{ or}_n \varphi2)[M]_{\Sigma2} \leq (2^{\wedge (size \varphi1 + 1)}) + (2^{\wedge (size \varphi2 + 1)}) + 1$ 
    by simp
    also
    have  $\dots \leq 2^{\wedge (size \varphi1 + size \varphi2 + 1)} + 1$ 
    using inequality-2[OF size-gr-0 size-gr-0] by simp
    also
    have  $\dots \leq 2^{\wedge (size (\varphi1 \text{ or}_n \varphi2) + 1)}$ 
    by simp
    finally
    show ?case.
next
  case (Next-ltln  $\varphi$ )
  then show ?case
    using le-Suc-eq by fastforce
next
  case (WeakUntil-ltln  $\varphi1$   $\varphi2$ )
    hence  $size (\varphi1 W_n \varphi2)[M]_{\Sigma2} \leq 2^{\wedge (size \varphi1 + 1)} + 2^{\wedge (size \varphi2 + 1)} + size \varphi1 + 4$ 
    by (simp, simp add: add.commute add-mono flatten-pi-1-length)
    also
    have  $\dots \leq 2^{\wedge (size \varphi2 + 1)} + 2 * 2^{\wedge (size \varphi1 + 1)} + 1$ 
    using inequality-1[OF size-gr-0, of  $\varphi1$ ] by simp
    also
    have  $\dots \leq 2 * (2^{\wedge (size \varphi1 + 1)} + 2^{\wedge (size \varphi2 + 1)})$ 
    by simp
    also
    have  $\dots \leq 2 * 2^{\wedge (size \varphi1 + size \varphi2 + 1)}$ 
    using inequality-2[OF size-gr-0 size-gr-0] mult-le-mono2 by blast

```

```

also
have ... =  $2^{\text{size } (\varphi1 \ W_n \ \varphi2) + 1}$ 
  by simp
finally
show ?case.
next
  case (StrongRelease-ltln  $\varphi1 \ \varphi2$ )
  hence  $\text{size } (\varphi1 \ M_n \ \varphi2)[M]_{\Sigma 2} \leq (2^{\text{size } \varphi1 + 1}) + (2^{\text{size } \varphi2 + 1}) + 1$ 
  by simp
  also
  have ...  $\leq 2^{\text{size } \varphi1 + \text{size } \varphi2 + 1} + 1$ 
    using inequality-2[OF size-gr-0 size-gr-0] by simp
  also
  have ...  $\leq 2^{\text{size } (\varphi1 \ M_n \ \varphi2) + 1}$ 
  by simp
  finally
  show ?case.
next
  case (Until-ltln  $\varphi1 \ \varphi2$ )
  hence  $\text{size } (\varphi1 \ U_n \ \varphi2)[M]_{\Sigma 2} \leq (2^{\text{size } \varphi1 + 1}) + (2^{\text{size } \varphi2 + 1}) + 1$ 
  by simp
  also
  have ...  $\leq 2^{\text{size } \varphi1 + \text{size } \varphi2 + 1} + 1$ 
    using inequality-2[OF size-gr-0 size-gr-0] by simp
  also
  have ...  $\leq 2^{\text{size } (\varphi1 \ U_n \ \varphi2) + 1}$ 
  by simp
  finally
  show ?case.
next
  case (Release-ltln  $\varphi1 \ \varphi2$ )
  hence  $\text{size } (\varphi1 \ R_n \ \varphi2)[M]_{\Sigma 2} \leq 2^{\text{size } \varphi1 + 1} + 2^{\text{size } \varphi2 + 1} + \text{size } \varphi2 + 4$ 
  by (simp, simp add: add.commute add-mono flatten-pi-1-length)
  also
  have ...  $\leq 2^{\text{size } \varphi1 + 1} + 2 * 2^{\text{size } \varphi2 + 1} + 1$ 
    using inequality-1[OF size-gr-0, of \varphi2] by simp
  also
  have ...  $\leq 2 * (2^{\text{size } \varphi1 + 1} + 2^{\text{size } \varphi2 + 1})$ 
  by simp
  also
  have ...  $\leq 2 * 2^{\text{size } \varphi1 + \text{size } \varphi2 + 1}$ 

```

```

    using inequality-2[OF size-gr-0 size-gr-0] mult-le-mono2 by blast
  also
  have ... = 2 ^ (size (φ1 Rn φ2) + 1)
    by simp
  finally
  show ?case .
qed auto

```

lemma *flatten-pi-2-length*:

```

  size (φ[N]Π2) ≤ 2 ^ (size φ + 1)
proof (induction φ)
  case (And-ltln φ1 φ2)
  hence size (φ1 andn φ2)[N]Π2 ≤ (2 ^ (size φ1 + 1)) + (2 ^ (size φ2
+ 1)) + 1
    by simp
  also
  have ... ≤ 2 ^ (size φ1 + size φ2 + 1) + 1
    using inequality-2[OF size-gr-0 size-gr-0] by simp
  also
  have ... ≤ 2 ^ (size (φ1 andn φ2) + 1)
    by simp
  finally
  show ?case.
next
  case (Or-ltln φ1 φ2)
  hence size (φ1 orn φ2)[N]Π2 ≤ (2 ^ (size φ1 + 1)) + (2 ^ (size φ2 +
1)) + 1
    by simp
  also
  have ... ≤ 2 ^ (size φ1 + size φ2 + 1) + 1
    using inequality-2[OF size-gr-0 size-gr-0] by simp
  also
  have ... ≤ 2 ^ (size (φ1 orn φ2) + 1)
    by simp
  finally
  show ?case.
next
  case (Next-ltln φ)
  then show ?case
    using le-Suc-eq by fastforce
next
  case (Until-ltln φ1 φ2)
  hence size (φ1 Un φ2)[N]Π2 ≤ 2 ^ (size φ1 + 1) + 2 ^ (size φ2 + 1)
+ size φ2 + 4

```



```

    by (simp, simp add: add.commute add-mono flatten-sigma-1-length)
  also
  have ... ≤ 2 ^ (size φ1 + 1) + 2 * 2 ^ (size φ2 + 1) + 1
    using inequality-1[OF size-gr-0, of φ2] by simp
  also
  have ... ≤ 2 * (2 ^ (size φ1 + 1) + 2 ^ (size φ2 + 1))
    by simp
  also
  have ... ≤ 2 * 2 ^ (size φ1 + size φ2 + 1)
    using inequality-2[OF size-gr-0 size-gr-0] mult-le-mono2 by blast
  also
  have ... = 2 ^ (size (φ1 Un φ2) + 1)
    by simp
  finally
  show ?case.
next
case (Release-ltln φ1 φ2)
hence size (φ1 Rn φ2)[N]Π2 ≤ (2 ^ (size φ1 + 1)) + (2 ^ (size φ2 +
1)) + 1
  by simp
also
have ... ≤ 2 ^ (size φ1 + size φ2 + 1) + 1
  using inequality-2[OF size-gr-0 size-gr-0] by simp
also
have ... ≤ 2 ^ (size (φ1 Rn φ2) + 1)
  by simp
finally
show ?case.
next
case (WeakUntil-ltln φ1 φ2)
hence size (φ1 Wn φ2)[N]Π2 ≤ (2 ^ (size φ1 + 1)) + (2 ^ (size φ2 +
1)) + 1
  by simp
also
have ... ≤ 2 ^ (size φ1 + size φ2 + 1) + 1
  using inequality-2[OF size-gr-0 size-gr-0] by simp
also
have ... ≤ 2 ^ (size (φ1 Wn φ2) + 1)
  by simp
finally
show ?case.
next
case (StrongRelease-ltln φ1 φ2)
hence size (φ1 Mn φ2)[N]Π2 ≤ 2 ^ (size φ1 + 1) + 2 ^ (size φ2 + 1)

```

$+ \text{size } \varphi 1 + 4$
by (*simp*, *simp add: add.commute add-mono flatten-sigma-1-length*)
also
have $\dots \leq 2^{\wedge}(\text{size } \varphi 2 + 1) + 2 * 2^{\wedge}(\text{size } \varphi 1 + 1) + 1$
using *inequality-1[OF size-gr-0, of $\varphi 1$]* **by** *simp*
also
have $\dots \leq 2 * (2^{\wedge}(\text{size } \varphi 1 + 1) + 2^{\wedge}(\text{size } \varphi 2 + 1))$
by *simp*
also
have $\dots \leq 2 * 2^{\wedge}(\text{size } \varphi 1 + \text{size } \varphi 2 + 1)$
using *inequality-2[OF size-gr-0 size-gr-0] mult-le-mono2* **by** *blast*
also
have $\dots = 2^{\wedge}(\text{size } (\varphi 1 M_n \varphi 2) + 1)$
by *simp*
finally
show *?case* .
qed *auto*

definition *normal-form-length-upper-bound*
where *normal-form-length-upper-bound* φ
 $\equiv (2 :: \text{nat})^{\wedge}(\text{size } \varphi) * (2^{\wedge}(\text{size } \varphi + 1) + 2 * (\text{size } \varphi + 2)^{\wedge} 2)$

definition *normal-form-disjunct-with-flatten-pi-2-length*
where *normal-form-disjunct-with-flatten-pi-2-length* $\varphi M N$
 $\equiv \text{size } (\varphi[N]_{\Pi 2}) + (\sum \psi \in M. \text{size } (\psi[N]_{\Sigma 1}) + 2) + (\sum \psi \in N. \text{size } (\psi[M]_{\Pi 1}) + 2)$

definition *normal-form-with-flatten-pi-2-length*
where *normal-form-with-flatten-pi-2-length* φ
 $\equiv \sum (M, N) \in \{(M, N) \mid M N. M \subseteq \text{subformulas}_{\mu} \varphi \wedge N \subseteq \text{subformulas}_{\nu} \varphi\}$. *normal-form-disjunct-with-flatten-pi-2-length* $\varphi M N$

definition *normal-form-disjunct-with-flatten-sigma-2-length*
where *normal-form-disjunct-with-flatten-sigma-2-length* $\varphi M N$
 $\equiv \text{size } (\varphi[M]_{\Sigma 2}) + (\sum \psi \in M. \text{size } (\psi[N]_{\Sigma 1}) + 2) + (\sum \psi \in N. \text{size } (\psi[M]_{\Pi 1}) + 2)$

definition *normal-form-with-flatten-sigma-2-length*
where *normal-form-with-flatten-sigma-2-length* φ
 $\equiv \sum (M, N) \in \{(M, N) \mid M N. M \subseteq \text{subformulas}_{\mu} \varphi \wedge N \subseteq \text{subformulas}_{\nu} \varphi\}$. *normal-form-disjunct-with-flatten-sigma-2-length* $\varphi M N$

lemma *normal-form-disjunct-length-upper-bound:*
assumes

$M \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi$
 $N \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi$
shows
normal-form-disjunct-with-flatten-sigma-2-length φ $M N \leq 2^{\wedge}(\text{size } \varphi + 1) + 2 * (\text{size } \varphi + 2)^{\wedge} 2$ (**is** *?thesis1*)
normal-form-disjunct-with-flatten-pi-2-length φ $M N \leq 2^{\wedge}(\text{size } \varphi + 1) + 2 * (\text{size } \varphi + 2)^{\wedge} 2$ (**is** *?thesis2*)
proof –
let $?n = \text{size } \varphi$
let $?b = 2^{\wedge} (?n + 1) + ?n * (?n + 2) + ?n * (?n + 2)$

have *finite-M*: *finite* M **and** *card-M*: $\text{card } M \leq ?n$
by (*metis assms(1) finite-subset subformulas $_\mu$ -finite*)
(*meson assms(1) card-mono order-trans subformulas $_\mu$ -subfrmlsn subfrmlsn-card subfrmlsn-finite*)

have *finite-N*: *finite* N **and** *card-N*: $\text{card } N \leq ?n$
by (*metis assms(2) finite-subset subformulas $_\nu$ -finite*)
(*meson assms(2) card-mono order-trans subformulas $_\nu$ -subfrmlsn subfrmlsn-card subfrmlsn-finite*)

have *size-M*: $\bigwedge \psi. \psi \in M \implies \text{size } \psi \leq \text{size } \varphi$
and *size-N*: $\bigwedge \psi. \psi \in N \implies \text{size } \psi \leq \text{size } \varphi$
by (*metis assms(1) eq-iff in-mono less-imp-le subformulas $_\mu$ -subfrmlsn subfrmlsn-size*)
(*metis assms(2) eq-iff in-mono less-imp-le subformulas $_\nu$ -subfrmlsn subfrmlsn-size*)

hence *size-M'*: $\bigwedge \psi. \psi \in M \implies \text{size } (\psi[N]_{\Sigma 1}) \leq \text{size } \varphi$
and *size-N'*: $\bigwedge \psi. \psi \in N \implies \text{size } (\psi[M]_{\Pi 1}) \leq \text{size } \varphi$
using *flatten-sigma-1-length flatten-pi-1-length order-trans* **by** *blast+*

have $(\sum \psi \in M. \text{size } (\psi[N]_{\Sigma 1})) \leq ?n * ?n$
and $(\sum \psi \in N. \text{size } (\psi[M]_{\Pi 1})) \leq ?n * ?n$
using *sum-bounded-above*[*of* M , *OF* *size-M'*] *sum-bounded-above*[*of* N , *OF* *size-N'*]
using *mult-le-mono*[*OF* *card-M*] *mult-le-mono*[*OF* *card-N*] **by** *fastforce+*

hence $(\sum \psi \in M. (\text{size } (\psi[N]_{\Sigma 1}) + 2)) \leq ?n * (?n + 2)$
and $(\sum \psi \in N. (\text{size } (\psi[M]_{\Pi 1}) + 2)) \leq ?n * (?n + 2)$
unfolding *sum-associative*[*OF* *finite-M*] *sum-associative*[*OF* *finite-N*]
using *card-M* *card-N* **by** *simp-all*

hence *normal-form-disjunct-with-flatten-sigma-2-length* φ $M N \leq ?b$

and *normal-form-disjunct-with-flatten-pi-2-length* φ M $N \leq ?b$
unfolding *normal-form-disjunct-with-flatten-sigma-2-length-def normal-form-disjunct-with-flatten-add-le-mono*+

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) flatten-sigma-2-length flatten-pi-2-length add-le-mono*)+

thus *?thesis1* **and** *?thesis2*

by (*simp-all add: power2-eq-square*)

qed

theorem *normal-form-length-upper-bound:*

normal-form-with-flatten-sigma-2-length $\varphi \leq$ *normal-form-length-upper-bound* φ (**is** *?thesis1*)

normal-form-with-flatten-pi-2-length $\varphi \leq$ *normal-form-length-upper-bound* φ (**is** *?thesis2*)

proof –

let *?n = size* φ

let *?b = 2 ^ (size* $\varphi + 1) + 2 * (size$ $\varphi + 2) ^ 2$

have $\{(M, N) \mid M \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi \wedge N \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi\} =$
 $\{M. M \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi\} \times \{N. N \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi\}$ (**is** *?choices = -*)
by *simp*

moreover

have $\text{card } \{M. M \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi\} = (2 :: \text{nat}) ^ (\text{card } (\text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi))$

and $\text{card } \{N. N \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi\} = (2 :: \text{nat}) ^ (\text{card } (\text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi))$

using *card-Pow unfolding Pow-def using subformulas $_\mu$ -finite subformulas $_\nu$ -finite* **by** *auto*

ultimately

have $\text{card } ?\text{choices} \leq 2 ^ (\text{card } (\text{subfrmlsn } \varphi))$ (**is** *?f ≤ -*)

by (*metis subformulas $_{\mu\nu}$ -card card-cartesian-product subformulas $_{\mu\nu}$ -subfrmlsn subfrmlsn-finite Suc-1 card-mono lessI power-add power-increasing-iff*)

moreover

have $(2 :: \text{nat}) ^ (\text{card } (\text{subfrmlsn } \varphi)) \leq 2 ^ ?n$

using *power-increasing[of - - 2 :: nat]* **by** (*simp add: subfrmlsn-card*)

ultimately

have *bar*: *of-nat (card ?choices) ≤ (2 :: nat) ^ ?n*
using *of-nat-id by presburger*

moreover

have *normal-form-with-flatten-sigma-2-length φ ≤ of-nat (card ?choices)*
* *?b*
unfolding *normal-form-with-flatten-sigma-2-length-def*
by (*rule sum-bounded-above*) (*insert normal-form-disjunct-length-upper-bound,*
auto)

moreover

have *normal-form-with-flatten-pi-2-length φ ≤ of-nat (card ?choices) * ?b*
unfolding *normal-form-with-flatten-pi-2-length-def*
by (*rule sum-bounded-above*) (*insert normal-form-disjunct-length-upper-bound,*
auto)

ultimately

show *?thesis1 and ?thesis2*
unfolding *normal-form-length-upper-bound-def*
using *mult-le-mono1 order-trans by blast+*
qed

3.3 Proper Subformulas

We prove that the number of (proper) subformulas (sf) in a disjunct is linear and not exponential.

fun *sf* :: 'a *ltn* ⇒ 'a *ltn set*

where

sf (φ and_n ψ) = sf φ ∪ sf ψ
| *sf (φ or_n ψ) = sf φ ∪ sf ψ*
| *sf (X_n φ) = {X_n φ} ∪ sf φ*
| *sf (φ U_n ψ) = {φ U_n ψ} ∪ sf φ ∪ sf ψ*
| *sf (φ R_n ψ) = {φ R_n ψ} ∪ sf φ ∪ sf ψ*
| *sf (φ W_n ψ) = {φ W_n ψ} ∪ sf φ ∪ sf ψ*
| *sf (φ M_n ψ) = {φ M_n ψ} ∪ sf φ ∪ sf ψ*
| *sf φ = {}*

lemma *sf-finite*:

finite (sf φ)

by (*induction* φ) *auto*

lemma *sf-subset-subfrmlsn*:

$sf \varphi \subseteq subfrmlsn \varphi$

by (*induction* φ) *auto*

lemma *sf-size*:

$\psi \in sf \varphi \implies size \psi \leq size \varphi$

by (*induction* φ) *auto*

lemma *sf-sf-subset*:

$\psi \in sf \varphi \implies sf \psi \subseteq sf \varphi$

by (*induction* φ) *auto*

lemma *subfrmlsn-sf-subset*:

$\psi \in subfrmlsn \varphi \implies sf \psi \subseteq sf \varphi$

by (*induction* φ) *auto*

lemma *sf-subset-insert*:

assumes $sf \varphi \subseteq insert \varphi X$

assumes $\psi \in subfrmlsn \varphi$

assumes $\varphi \neq \psi$

shows $sf \psi \subseteq X$

proof –

have $sf \psi \subseteq sf \varphi - \{\varphi\}$

using *assms(2,3)* *subfrmlsn-sf-subset* *sf-size* *subfrmlsn-size* **by** *fastforce*

thus *?thesis*

using *assms(1)* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *flatten-pi-1-sf-subset*:

$sf (\varphi[M]_{\Pi 1}) \subseteq (\bigcup \varphi \in sf \varphi. sf (\varphi[M]_{\Pi 1}))$

by (*induction* φ) *auto*

lemma *flatten-sigma-1-sf-subset*:

$sf (\varphi[M]_{\Sigma 1}) \subseteq (\bigcup \varphi \in sf \varphi. sf (\varphi[M]_{\Sigma 1}))$

by (*induction* φ) *auto*

lemma *flatten-sigma-2-sf-subset*:

$sf (\varphi[M]_{\Sigma 2}) \subseteq (\bigcup \psi \in sf \varphi. sf (\psi[M]_{\Sigma 2}))$

by (*induction* φ) *auto*

lemma *sf-set1*:

$sf (\varphi[M]_{\Sigma 2}) \cup sf (\varphi[M]_{\Pi 1}) \subseteq (\bigcup \psi \in (sf \varphi). (sf (\psi[M]_{\Sigma 2}) \cup sf (\psi[M]_{\Pi 1})))$

by (induction φ) auto

lemma *ltln-not-idempotent* [simp]:

φ and_n $\psi \neq \varphi$ ψ and_n $\varphi \neq \varphi \neq \varphi$ and_n $\psi \varphi \neq \psi$ and_n φ
 φ or_n $\psi \neq \varphi$ ψ or_n $\varphi \neq \varphi \neq \varphi$ or_n $\psi \varphi \neq \psi$ or_n φ
 $X_n \varphi \neq \varphi \neq X_n \varphi$
 $\varphi U_n \psi \neq \varphi \neq \varphi U_n \psi \psi U_n \varphi \neq \varphi \neq \psi U_n \varphi$
 $\varphi R_n \psi \neq \varphi \neq \varphi R_n \psi \psi R_n \varphi \neq \varphi \neq \psi R_n \varphi$
 $\varphi W_n \psi \neq \varphi \neq \varphi W_n \psi \psi W_n \varphi \neq \varphi \neq \psi W_n \varphi$
 $\varphi M_n \psi \neq \varphi \neq \varphi M_n \psi \psi M_n \varphi \neq \varphi \neq \psi M_n \varphi$
 by (induction φ ; force)+

lemma *flatten-card-sf-induct*:

assumes *finite X*

assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in X \implies sf\ x \subseteq X$

shows $card (\bigcup \varphi \in X. sf (\varphi[N]_{\Sigma 1})) \leq card\ X$

$\wedge card (\bigcup \varphi \in X. sf (\varphi[M]_{\Pi 1})) \leq card\ X$

$\wedge card (\bigcup \varphi \in X. sf (\varphi[M]_{\Sigma 2}) \cup sf (\varphi[M]_{\Pi 1})) \leq 3 * card\ X$

using *assms(2)*

proof (induction rule: *finite-ranking-induct*[where $f = size$, OF $\langle finite\ X \rangle$])

case $(2\ \psi\ X)$

{

 assume $\psi \notin X$

 hence $\bigwedge \chi. \chi \in X \implies sf\ \chi \subseteq X$

 using $2(2,4)$ *sf-subset-subfrmlsn subfrmlsn-size* by *fastforce*

 hence $card (\bigcup \varphi \in X. sf (\varphi[N]_{\Sigma 1})) \leq card\ X$

 and $card (\bigcup \varphi \in X. sf (\varphi[M]_{\Pi 1})) \leq card\ X$

 and $card (\bigcup \varphi \in X. sf (\varphi[M]_{\Sigma 2}) \cup sf (\varphi[M]_{\Pi 1})) \leq 3 * card\ X$

 using $2(3)$ by *simp+*

moreover

 let $?lower1 = \bigcup \varphi \in insert\ \psi\ X. sf (\varphi[N]_{\Sigma 1})$

 let $?upper1 = (\bigcup \varphi \in X. sf (\varphi[N]_{\Sigma 1})) \cup \{\psi[N]_{\Sigma 1}\}$

 let $?lower2 = \bigcup \varphi \in insert\ \psi\ X. sf (\varphi[M]_{\Pi 1})$

 let $?upper2 = (\bigcup \varphi \in X. sf (\varphi[M]_{\Pi 1})) \cup \{\psi[M]_{\Pi 1}\}$

 let $?lower3 = \bigcup \varphi \in insert\ \psi\ X. sf (\varphi[M]_{\Sigma 2}) \cup sf (\varphi[M]_{\Pi 1})$

 let $?upper3-cases = \{\psi[M]_{\Sigma 2}, \psi[M]_{\Pi 1}\} \cup (case\ \psi\ of\ (\varphi 1\ W_n\ \varphi 2) \Rightarrow \{G_n (\varphi 1[M]_{\Pi 1})\} \mid (\varphi 1\ R_n\ \varphi 2) \Rightarrow \{G_n (\varphi 2[M]_{\Pi 1})\} \mid - \Rightarrow \{\})$

 let $?upper3 = (\bigcup \varphi \in X. sf (\varphi[M]_{\Sigma 2}) \cup sf (\varphi[M]_{\Pi 1})) \cup ?upper3-cases$

```

have finite-upper1: finite (?upper1)
  and finite-upper2: finite (?upper2)
  and finite-upper3: finite (?upper3)
  using 2(1) sf-finite by auto (cases  $\psi$ , auto)

have  $\bigwedge x y. \text{card } \{x, y\} \leq 3$ 
  and  $\bigwedge x y z. \text{card } \{x, y, z\} \leq 3$ 
  by (simp add: card-insert-if le-less)+
hence card-leq-3: card (?upper3-cases)  $\leq 3$ 
  by (cases  $\psi$ ) (simp-all, fast)

note card-subset-split-rule = le-trans[OF card-mono card-Un-le]

have sf-in-X: sf  $\psi \subseteq \text{insert } \psi X$ 
  using 2 by blast

have ?lower1  $\subseteq$  ?upper1  $\wedge$  ?lower2  $\subseteq$  ?upper2  $\wedge$  ?lower3  $\subseteq$  ?upper3
proof (cases  $\psi$ )
  case (And-ltn  $\psi_1 \psi_2$ )
  have *: sf  $\psi_1 \subseteq X$  sf  $\psi_2 \subseteq X$ 
    by (rule sf-subset-insert[OF sf-in-X, unfolded And-ltn]; simp)+

  have (sf ( $\psi[M]_{\Sigma 2}$ ))  $\subseteq$  ( $\bigcup \varphi \in X. \text{sf } (\varphi[M]_{\Sigma 2})$ )
    and (sf ( $\psi[M]_{\Pi 1}$ ))  $\subseteq$  ( $\bigcup \varphi \in X. \text{sf } (\varphi[M]_{\Pi 1})$ )
    and (sf ( $\psi[N]_{\Sigma 1}$ ))  $\subseteq$  ( $\bigcup \varphi \in X. \text{sf } (\varphi[N]_{\Sigma 1})$ )
    subgoal
      using flatten-sigma-2-sf-subset[of - M] * by (force simp: And-ltn)
    subgoal
      using flatten-pi-1-sf-subset[of - M] * by (force simp: And-ltn)
    subgoal
      using flatten-sigma-1-sf-subset * by (force simp: And-ltn)
    done

  thus ?thesis
    by blast
next
  case (Or-ltn  $\psi_1 \psi_2$ )
  have *: sf  $\psi_1 \subseteq X$  sf  $\psi_2 \subseteq X$ 
    by (rule sf-subset-insert[OF sf-in-X, unfolded Or-ltn]; simp)+

  have (sf ( $\psi[M]_{\Sigma 2}$ ))  $\subseteq$  ( $\bigcup \varphi \in X. \text{sf } (\varphi[M]_{\Sigma 2})$ )
    and (sf ( $\psi[M]_{\Pi 1}$ ))  $\subseteq$  ( $\bigcup \varphi \in X. \text{sf } (\varphi[M]_{\Pi 1})$ )
    and (sf ( $\psi[N]_{\Sigma 1}$ ))  $\subseteq$  ( $\bigcup \varphi \in X. \text{sf } (\varphi[N]_{\Sigma 1})$ )

```



```

subgoal
  using flatten-sigma-2-sf-subset[of - M] * by (force simp: Or-ltln)
subgoal
  using flatten-pi-1-sf-subset[of - M] * by (force simp: Or-ltln)
subgoal
  using flatten-sigma-1-sf-subset * by (force simp: Or-ltln)
done

thus ?thesis
  by blast
next
case (Next-ltln  $\psi_1$ )
have *:  $\text{sf } \psi_1 \subseteq X$ 
  by (rule sf-subset-insert[OF sf-in-X, unfolded Next-ltln]) simp-all

have ( $\text{sf } (\psi[M]_{\Sigma 2}) \subseteq (\bigcup \varphi \in X. \text{sf } (\varphi[M]_{\Sigma 2})) \cup \{\psi[M]_{\Sigma 2}\}$ )
  and ( $\text{sf } (\psi[M]_{\Pi 1}) \subseteq (\bigcup \varphi \in X. \text{sf } (\varphi[M]_{\Pi 1})) \cup \{\psi[M]_{\Pi 1}\}$ )
  and ( $\text{sf } (\psi[N]_{\Sigma 1}) \subseteq (\bigcup \varphi \in X. \text{sf } (\varphi[N]_{\Sigma 1})) \cup \{\psi[N]_{\Sigma 1}\}$ )
subgoal
  using flatten-sigma-2-sf-subset[of - M] * by (force simp: Next-ltln)
subgoal
  using flatten-pi-1-sf-subset[of - M] * by (force simp: Next-ltln)
subgoal
  using flatten-sigma-1-sf-subset * by (force simp: Next-ltln)
done

thus ?thesis
  by blast
next
case (Until-ltln  $\psi_1 \psi_2$ )
have *:  $\text{sf } \psi_1 \subseteq X \text{ sf } \psi_2 \subseteq X$ 
  by (rule sf-subset-insert[OF sf-in-X, unfolded Until-ltln]; simp)+

hence ( $\text{sf } (\psi[M]_{\Sigma 2}) \subseteq (\bigcup \varphi \in X. \text{sf } (\varphi[M]_{\Sigma 2})) \cup \{\psi[M]_{\Sigma 2}\}$ )
  and ( $\text{sf } (\psi[M]_{\Pi 1}) \subseteq (\bigcup \varphi \in X. \text{sf } (\varphi[M]_{\Pi 1})) \cup \{\psi[M]_{\Pi 1}\}$ )
  and ( $\text{sf } (\psi[N]_{\Sigma 1}) \subseteq (\bigcup \varphi \in X. \text{sf } (\varphi[N]_{\Sigma 1})) \cup \{\psi[N]_{\Sigma 1}\}$ )
subgoal
  using flatten-sigma-2-sf-subset[of - M] * by (force simp: Until-ltln)
subgoal
  using flatten-pi-1-sf-subset[of - M] * by (force simp: Until-ltln)
subgoal
  using flatten-sigma-1-sf-subset * by (force simp: Until-ltln)
done

```

```

thus ?thesis
  by blast
next
  case (Release-ltltn  $\psi_1$   $\psi_2$ )
  have *:  $\text{sf } \psi_1 \subseteq X \text{ sf } \psi_2 \subseteq X$ 
    by (rule sf-subset-insert[OF sf-in-X, unfolded Release-ltltn]; simp)+

    have ( $\text{sf } (\psi[M]_{\Sigma 2}) \subseteq (\bigcup \varphi \in X. \text{sf } (\varphi[M]_{\Sigma 2})) \cup \{\psi[M]_{\Sigma 2}, G_n$ 
 $\psi_2[M]_{\Pi 1}\} \cup \text{sf } (\psi_2[M]_{\Pi 1})$ )
    and ( $\text{sf } (\psi[M]_{\Pi 1}) \subseteq (\bigcup \varphi \in X. \text{sf } (\varphi[M]_{\Pi 1})) \cup \{\psi[M]_{\Pi 1}\}$ )
    and ( $\text{sf } (\psi[N]_{\Sigma 1}) \subseteq (\bigcup \varphi \in X. \text{sf } (\varphi[N]_{\Sigma 1})) \cup \{\psi[N]_{\Sigma 1}\}$ )
    subgoal
      using flatten-sigma-2-sf-subset[of - M] * by (force simp: Release-ltltn)
    subgoal
      using flatten-pi-1-sf-subset[of - M] * by (force simp: Release-ltltn)
    subgoal
      using flatten-sigma-1-sf-subset * by (force simp: Release-ltltn)
    done

  moreover
  have  $\text{sf } (\psi_2[M]_{\Pi 1}) \subseteq (\bigcup \varphi \in X. \text{sf } \varphi[M]_{\Sigma 2} \cup \text{sf } (\varphi[M]_{\Pi 1})) \cup \{\psi[M]_{\Pi 1}\}$ 

    using  $\langle (\text{sf } (\psi[M]_{\Pi 1})) \subseteq (\bigcup \varphi \in X. \text{sf } (\varphi[M]_{\Pi 1})) \cup \{\psi[M]_{\Pi 1}\} \rangle$ 
    by (auto simp: Release-ltltn)

  ultimately
  show ?thesis
    by (simp add: Release-ltltn) blast
next
  case (WeakUntil-ltltn  $\psi_1$   $\psi_2$ )
  have *:  $\text{sf } \psi_1 \subseteq X \text{ sf } \psi_2 \subseteq X$ 
    by (rule sf-subset-insert[OF sf-in-X, unfolded WeakUntil-ltltn];
  simp)+

    have ( $\text{sf } (\psi[M]_{\Sigma 2}) \subseteq (\bigcup \varphi \in X. \text{sf } (\varphi[M]_{\Sigma 2})) \cup \{\psi[M]_{\Sigma 2}, G_n$ 
 $\psi_1[M]_{\Pi 1}\} \cup \text{sf } (\psi_1[M]_{\Pi 1})$ )
    and ( $\text{sf } (\psi[M]_{\Pi 1}) \subseteq (\bigcup \varphi \in X. \text{sf } (\varphi[M]_{\Pi 1})) \cup \{\psi[M]_{\Pi 1}\}$ )
    and ( $\text{sf } (\psi[N]_{\Sigma 1}) \subseteq (\bigcup \varphi \in X. \text{sf } (\varphi[N]_{\Sigma 1})) \cup \{\psi[N]_{\Sigma 1}\}$ )
    subgoal
      using flatten-sigma-2-sf-subset[of - M] * by (force simp: WeakUntil-ltltn)
    subgoal
      using flatten-pi-1-sf-subset[of - M] * by (force simp: WeakUntil-ltltn)

```

```

subgoal
  using flatten-sigma-1-sf-subset * by (force simp: WeakUntil-ltn)
done

moreover
have  $sf(\psi_1[M]_{\Pi_1}) \subseteq (\bigcup \varphi \in X. sf(\varphi[M]_{\Sigma_2} \cup sf(\varphi[M]_{\Pi_1})) \cup \{\psi[M]_{\Pi_1}\})$ 

  using  $\langle (sf(\psi[M]_{\Pi_1})) \subseteq (\bigcup \varphi \in X. sf(\varphi[M]_{\Pi_1})) \cup \{\psi[M]_{\Pi_1}\} \rangle$ 
  by (auto simp: WeakUntil-ltn)

ultimately
show ?thesis
  by (simp add: WeakUntil-ltn) blast
next
case (StrongRelease-ltn  $\psi_1 \psi_2$ )
have *:  $sf \psi_1 \subseteq X \text{ } sf \psi_2 \subseteq X$ 
  by (rule sf-subset-insert[OF sf-in-X, unfolded StrongRelease-ltn];
simp)+

hence  $(sf(\psi[M]_{\Sigma_2})) \subseteq (\bigcup \varphi \in X. sf(\varphi[M]_{\Sigma_2})) \cup \{\psi[M]_{\Sigma_2}\}$ 
and  $(sf(\psi[M]_{\Pi_1})) \subseteq (\bigcup \varphi \in X. sf(\varphi[M]_{\Pi_1})) \cup \{\psi[M]_{\Pi_1}\}$ 
and  $(sf(\psi[N]_{\Sigma_1})) \subseteq (\bigcup \varphi \in X. sf(\varphi[N]_{\Sigma_1})) \cup \{\psi[N]_{\Sigma_1}\}$ 
subgoal
  using flatten-sigma-2-sf-subset[of - M] * by (force simp: StrongRelease-ltn)
subgoal
  using flatten-pi-1-sf-subset[of - M] * by (force simp: StrongRelease-ltn)
subgoal
using flatten-sigma-1-sf-subset * by (force simp: StrongRelease-ltn)
done

thus ?thesis
  by blast
qed auto

hence  $card \text{ ?lower1} \leq card (\bigcup \varphi \in X. sf(\varphi[N]_{\Sigma_1})) + 1$ 
and  $card \text{ ?lower2} \leq card (\bigcup \varphi \in X. sf(\varphi[M]_{\Pi_1})) + 1$ 
and  $card \text{ ?lower3} \leq card (\bigcup \varphi \in X. sf(\varphi[M]_{\Sigma_2} \cup sf(\varphi[M]_{\Pi_1}))) +$ 
3
using card-subset-split-rule[OF finite-upper1, of ?lower1]
using card-subset-split-rule[OF finite-upper2, of ?lower2]
using card-subset-split-rule[OF finite-upper3, of ?lower3]
using card-leq-3 by simp+

```

```

moreover
  have  $\text{card } (\text{insert } \psi X) = \text{card } X + 1$ 
    using  $\langle \psi \notin X \rangle \langle \text{finite } X \rangle$  by simp
  ultimately
  have ?case
    by linarith
}
moreover
have  $\psi \in X \implies ?case$ 
  using 2 by (simp add: insert-absorb)
ultimately
show ?case
  by meson
qed simp

```

```

theorem flatten-card-sf:
   $\text{card } (\bigcup \psi \in \text{sf } \varphi. \text{sf } (\psi[M]_{\Sigma 1})) \leq \text{card } (\text{sf } \varphi)$  (is ?t1)
   $\text{card } (\bigcup \psi \in \text{sf } \varphi. \text{sf } (\psi[M]_{\Pi 1})) \leq \text{card } (\text{sf } \varphi)$  (is ?t2)
   $\text{card } (\text{sf } (\varphi[M]_{\Sigma 2}) \cup \text{sf } (\varphi[M]_{\Pi 1})) \leq 3 * \text{card } (\text{sf } \varphi)$  (is ?t3)

```

proof –

```

  have  $\text{card } (\bigcup \psi \in \text{sf } \varphi. \text{sf } \psi[M]_{\Sigma 2} \cup \text{sf } (\psi[M]_{\Pi 1})) \leq 3 * \text{card } (\text{sf } \varphi)$ 
    using flatten-card-sf-induct[OF sf-finite sf-sf-subset] by auto
  moreover
  have  $\text{card } (\text{sf } \varphi[M]_{\Sigma 2} \cup \text{sf } (\varphi[M]_{\Pi 1})) \leq \text{card } (\bigcup \psi \in \text{sf } \varphi. \text{sf } \psi[M]_{\Sigma 2} \cup$ 
 $\text{sf } (\psi[M]_{\Pi 1}))$ 
    using card-mono[OF - sf-set1] sf-finite by blast
  ultimately
  show ?t1 ?t2 ?t3
    using flatten-card-sf-induct[OF sf-finite sf-sf-subset] by auto
qed

```

corollary *flatten-sigma-2-card-sf*:

```

   $\text{card } (\text{sf } (\varphi[M]_{\Sigma 2})) \leq 3 * (\text{card } (\text{sf } \varphi))$ 
  by (metis sf-finite order.trans[OF - flatten-card-sf(3), of card (sf (\varphi[M]_{\Sigma 2})),
OF card-mono] finite-UnI Un-upper1)

```

end

4 Code Export

```

theory Normal-Form-Code-Export imports
  LTL.Code-Equations

```

```

    LTL.Rewriting
    LTL.Disjunctive-Normal-Form
    HOL.String
    Normal-Form
begin

fun flatten-pi-1-list :: String.literal ltl_n ⇒ String.literal ltl_n list ⇒ String.literal
    ltl_n
    where
      flatten-pi-1-list (ψ1 Un ψ2) M = (if (ψ1 Un ψ2) ∈ set M then (flatten-pi-1-list
        ψ1 M) Wn (flatten-pi-1-list ψ2 M) else falsen)
    | flatten-pi-1-list (ψ1 Wn ψ2) M = (flatten-pi-1-list ψ1 M) Wn (flatten-pi-1-list
        ψ2 M)
    | flatten-pi-1-list (ψ1 Mn ψ2) M = (if (ψ1 Mn ψ2) ∈ set M then (flatten-pi-1-list
        ψ1 M) Rn (flatten-pi-1-list ψ2 M) else falsen)
    | flatten-pi-1-list (ψ1 Rn ψ2) M = (flatten-pi-1-list ψ1 M) Rn (flatten-pi-1-list
        ψ2 M)
    | flatten-pi-1-list (ψ1 andn ψ2) M = (flatten-pi-1-list ψ1 M) andn (flatten-pi-1-list
        ψ2 M)
    | flatten-pi-1-list (ψ1 orn ψ2) M = (flatten-pi-1-list ψ1 M) orn (flatten-pi-1-list
        ψ2 M)
    | flatten-pi-1-list (Xn ψ) M = Xn (flatten-pi-1-list ψ M)
    | flatten-pi-1-list φ - = φ

fun flatten-sigma-1-list :: String.literal ltl_n ⇒ String.literal ltl_n list ⇒ String.literal
    ltl_n
    where
      flatten-sigma-1-list (ψ1 Un ψ2) N = (flatten-sigma-1-list ψ1 N) Un (flatten-sigma-1-list
        ψ2 N)
    | flatten-sigma-1-list (ψ1 Wn ψ2) N = (if (ψ1 Wn ψ2) ∈ set N then truen
        else (flatten-sigma-1-list ψ1 N) Un (flatten-sigma-1-list ψ2 N))
    | flatten-sigma-1-list (ψ1 Mn ψ2) N = (flatten-sigma-1-list ψ1 N) Mn (flatten-sigma-1-list
        ψ2 N)
    | flatten-sigma-1-list (ψ1 Rn ψ2) N = (if (ψ1 Rn ψ2) ∈ set N then truen
        else (flatten-sigma-1-list ψ1 N) Mn (flatten-sigma-1-list ψ2 N))
    | flatten-sigma-1-list (ψ1 andn ψ2) N = (flatten-sigma-1-list ψ1 N) andn
        (flatten-sigma-1-list ψ2 N)
    | flatten-sigma-1-list (ψ1 orn ψ2) N = (flatten-sigma-1-list ψ1 N) orn (flatten-sigma-1-list
        ψ2 N)
    | flatten-sigma-1-list (Xn ψ) N = Xn (flatten-sigma-1-list ψ N)
    | flatten-sigma-1-list φ - = φ

fun flatten-sigma-2-list :: String.literal ltl_n ⇒ String.literal ltl_n list ⇒ String.literal
    ltl_n

```

where

$\text{flatten-sigma-2-list } (\varphi U_n \psi) M = (\text{flatten-sigma-2-list } \varphi M) U_n (\text{flatten-sigma-2-list } \psi M)$
 $| \text{flatten-sigma-2-list } (\varphi W_n \psi) M = (\text{flatten-sigma-2-list } \varphi M) U_n ((\text{flatten-sigma-2-list } \psi M) \text{or}_n (G_n (\text{flatten-pi-1-list } \varphi M)))$
 $| \text{flatten-sigma-2-list } (\varphi M_n \psi) M = (\text{flatten-sigma-2-list } \varphi M) M_n (\text{flatten-sigma-2-list } \psi M)$
 $| \text{flatten-sigma-2-list } (\varphi R_n \psi) M = ((\text{flatten-sigma-2-list } \varphi M) \text{or}_n (G_n (\text{flatten-pi-1-list } \psi M))) M_n (\text{flatten-sigma-2-list } \psi M)$
 $| \text{flatten-sigma-2-list } (\varphi \text{and}_n \psi) M = (\text{flatten-sigma-2-list } \varphi M) \text{and}_n (\text{flatten-sigma-2-list } \psi M)$
 $| \text{flatten-sigma-2-list } (\varphi \text{or}_n \psi) M = (\text{flatten-sigma-2-list } \varphi M) \text{or}_n (\text{flatten-sigma-2-list } \psi M)$
 $| \text{flatten-sigma-2-list } (X_n \varphi) M = X_n (\text{flatten-sigma-2-list } \varphi M)$
 $| \text{flatten-sigma-2-list } \varphi - = \varphi$

lemma *flatten-code-equations[simp]*:

$\varphi[\text{set } M]_{\Pi 1} = \text{flatten-pi-1-list } \varphi M$
 $\varphi[\text{set } M]_{\Sigma 1} = \text{flatten-sigma-1-list } \varphi M$
 $\varphi[\text{set } M]_{\Sigma 2} = \text{flatten-sigma-2-list } \varphi M$
by (*induction* φ) *auto*

abbreviation *and-list* $\equiv \text{foldl And-ltln true}_n$

abbreviation *or-list* $\equiv \text{foldl Or-ltln false}_n$

definition *normal-form-disjunct* ($\varphi :: \text{String.literal ltln}$) $M N$

$\equiv (\text{flatten-sigma-2-list } \varphi M)$
 $\text{and}_n (\text{and-list } (\text{map } (\lambda\psi. G_n (F_n (\text{flatten-sigma-1-list } \psi N))) M)$
 $\text{and}_n (\text{and-list } (\text{map } (\lambda\psi. F_n (G_n (\text{flatten-pi-1-list } \psi M)))) N))$

definition *normal-form* ($\varphi :: \text{String.literal ltln}$)

$\equiv \text{or-list } (\text{map } (\lambda(M, N). \text{normal-form-disjunct } \varphi M N) (\text{advice-sets } \varphi))$

lemma *and-list-semantic*: $w \models_n \text{and-list } xs \iff (\forall x \in \text{set } xs. w \models_n x)$

by (*induction* xs *rule: rev-induct*) *auto*

lemma *or-list-semantic*: $w \models_n \text{or-list } xs \iff (\exists x \in \text{set } xs. w \models_n x)$

by (*induction* xs *rule: rev-induct*) *auto*

theorem *normal-form-correct*:

$w \models_n \varphi \iff w \models_n \text{normal-form } \varphi$

proof

assume $w \models_n \varphi$

then obtain $M N$ **where** $M \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi$ **and** $N \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\nu$
 φ
and $c1: w \models_n \varphi[M]_{\Sigma 2}$ **and** $c2: \forall \psi \in M. w \models_n G_n (F_n \psi[N]_{\Sigma 1})$ **and**
 $c3: \forall \psi \in N. w \models_n F_n (G_n \psi[M]_{\Pi 1})$
using *normal-form-with-flatten-sigma-2* **by** *metis*
then obtain $ms\ ns$ **where** $M = \text{set } ms$ **and** $N = \text{set } ns$ **and** $ms\text{-}ns\text{-in}:$
 $(ms, ns) \in \text{set } (\text{advice-sets } \varphi)$
by *(meson advice-sets-subformulas)*
then have $w \models_n \text{normal-form-disjunct } \varphi\ ms\ ns$
using $c1\ c2\ c3$ **by** *(simp add: and-list-semantic normal-form-disjunct-def)*
then show $w \models_n \text{normal-form } \varphi$
using *normal-form-def or-list-semantic ms-ns-in* **by** *fastforce*
next
assume $w \models_n \text{normal-form } \varphi$
then obtain $ms\ ns$ **where** $(ms, ns) \in \text{set } (\text{advice-sets } \varphi)$
and $w \models_n \text{normal-form-disjunct } \varphi\ ms\ ns$
unfolding *normal-form-def or-list-semantic* **by** *force*
then have $\text{set } ms \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi$ **and** $\text{set } ns \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi$
and $c1: w \models_n \varphi[\text{set } ms]_{\Sigma 2}$ **and** $c2: \forall \psi \in \text{set } ms. w \models_n G_n (F_n \psi[\text{set } ns]_{\Sigma 1})$ **and** $c3: \forall \psi \in \text{set } ns. w \models_n F_n (G_n \psi[\text{set } ms]_{\Pi 1})$
using *advice-sets-element-subfrmlsn*
by *(auto simp: and-list-semantic normal-form-disjunct-def)* **blast**
then show $w \models_n \varphi$
using *normal-form-with-flatten-sigma-2* **by** *metis*
qed

definition *normal-form-with-simplifier* ($\varphi :: \text{String.literal ltl}$)
 $\equiv \text{min-dnf } (\text{simplify Slow } (\text{normal-form } (\text{simplify Slow } \varphi)))$

lemma *ltl-semantic-min-dnf*:

$w \models_n \varphi \longleftrightarrow (\exists C \in \text{min-dnf } \varphi. \forall \psi. \psi \in C \longrightarrow w \models_n \psi)$ (**is** *?lhs* \longleftrightarrow
?rhs)

proof

let $?M = \{\psi. w \models_n \psi\}$

assume *?lhs*

hence $?M \models_P \varphi$

using *ltl-models-equiv-prop-entailment* **by** *blast*

then obtain M' **where** $fset\ M' \subseteq ?M$ **and** $M' \in \text{min-dnf } \varphi$

using *min-dnf-iff-prop-assignment-subset* **by** *blast*

thus *?rhs*

by *(meson in-mono mem-Collect-eq)*

next

let $?M = \{\psi. w \models_n \psi\}$

assume *?rhs*

```

then obtain  $M'$  where  $fset\ M' \subseteq ?M$  and  $M' \in min-dnf\ \varphi$ 
by auto
hence  $?M \models_P \varphi$ 
using min-dnf-iff-prop-assignment-subset by blast
thus  $?lhs$ 
using ltl-models-equiv-prop-entailment by blast
qed

theorem
 $w \models_n \varphi \longleftrightarrow (\exists C \in (normal-form-with-simplifier\ \varphi). \forall \psi. \psi \models C \longrightarrow w \models_n \psi)$ 
(is  $?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs$ )
unfolding normal-form-with-simplifier-def ltl-semantics-min-dnf[symmetric]

using normal-form-correct by simp

In order to export the code run isabelle build -D [PATH] -e.
export-code normal-form in SML
export-code normal-form-with-simplifier in SML

end

```

References

- [1] Ivana Černá and Radek Pelánek. Relating hierarchy of temporal properties to model checking. In Branislav Rován and Peter Vojtás, editors, *Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science 2003, 28th International Symposium, MFCS 2003, Bratislava, Slovakia, August 25-29, 2003, Proceedings*, volume 2747 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 318–327. Springer, 2003. doi:10.1007/978-3-540-45138-9_26.
- [2] Edward Y. Chang, Zohar Manna, and Amir Pnueli. Characterization of temporal property classes. In Werner Kuich, editor, *Automata, Languages and Programming, 19th International Colloquium, ICALP92, Vienna, Austria, July 13-17, 1992, Proceedings*, volume 623 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 474–486. Springer, 1992. doi:10.1007/3-540-55719-9_97.
- [3] Orna Lichtenstein, Amir Pnueli, and Lenore D. Zuck. The glory of the past. In Rohit Parikh, editor, *Logics of Programs, Conference, Brooklyn College, New York, NY, USA, June 17-19, 1985, Proceedings*, volume 193 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 196–218. Springer, 1985. doi:10.1007/3-540-15648-8_16.
- [4] Salomon Sickert and Javier Esparza. An efficient normalisation procedure for linear temporal logic and very weak alternating automata.

In *Proceedings of the 35th Annual ACM/IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science, LICS 2020, Saarbrücken, Germany, July 8-11, 2020*. ACM, 2020. doi:[10.1145/3373718.3394743](https://doi.org/10.1145/3373718.3394743).

- [5] Salomon Sickert and Javier Esparza. An efficient normalisation procedure for linear temporal logic and very weak alternating automata. *CoRR*, abs/2005.00472, 2020. [arXiv:2005.00472](https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.00472).
- [6] Lenore D. Zuck. *Past Temporal Logic*. PhD thesis, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Israel, August 1986.