

A Compositional and Unified Translation of LTL into ω -Automata

Benedikt Seidl and Salomon Sickert

March 17, 2025

Abstract

We present a formalisation of the unified translation approach of linear temporal logic (LTL) into ω -automata from [1]. This approach decomposes LTL formulas into “simple” languages and allows a clear separation of concerns: first, we formalise the purely logical result yielding this decomposition; second, we instantiate this generic theory to obtain a construction for deterministic (state-based) Rabin automata (DRA). We extract from this particular instantiation an executable tool translating LTL to DRAs. To the best of our knowledge this is the first verified translation from LTL to DRAs that is proven to be double exponential in the worst case which asymptotically matches the known lower bound.

Contents

1 Syntactic Fragments and Stability	3
1.1 The fragments μLTL and νLTL	3
1.1.1 Subformulas in μLTL and νLTL	4
1.2 Stability	6
1.3 Definitions with Lists for Code Export	11
2 The “after”-Function	14
2.1 Definition of af	14
2.2 Range of the after function	16
2.3 Subformulas of the after function	17
2.4 Stability and the after function	17
2.5 Congruence	17
2.6 Implications	18
2.7 After in μLTL and νLTL	20
3 Advice functions	22
3.1 The GF and FG Advice Functions	22
3.2 Advice Functions on Nested Propositions	24

3.3	Intersecting the Advice Set	25
3.4	Correctness GF-advice function	26
3.5	Correctness FG-advice function	26
3.6	Advice Functions and the “after” Function	27
3.7	Advice Functions and Propositional Entailment	29
3.8	GF-advice with Equivalence Relations	30
4	The Master Theorem	30
4.1	Checking $X \subseteq \mathcal{GF} \varphi w$ and $Y \subseteq \mathcal{FG} \varphi w$	31
4.2	Putting the pieces together: The Master Theorem	31
4.3	The Master Theorem on Languages	32
5	Asymmetric Variant of the Master Theorem	32
6	Master Theorem with Reduced Subformulas	34
6.1	Restricted Set of Subformulas	34
6.2	Restricted Master Theorem / Lemmas	36
6.3	Definitions with Lists for Code Export	37
7	Transition Functions for Deterministic Automata	37
7.1	After Functions with Resets for $GF \mu LTL$ and $FG \nu LTL$	38
7.2	After Function using GF-advice	40
7.3	Reachability Bounds	42
8	Quotient Type Emulation for Locales	45
9	Convert between ω-Words and Streams	45
10	Constructing DRAs for LTL Formulas	47
10.1	Lifting Setup	47
10.2	Büchi automata for basic languages	49
10.3	A DCA checking the GF-advice Function	50
10.4	A DRA for each combination of sets X and Y	51
10.5	A DRA for $L \varphi$	52
10.6	A DRA for $L \varphi$ with Restricted Advice Sets	52
10.7	A DRA for $L \varphi$ with a finite alphabet	53
10.8	Verified Bounds for Number of Nodes	54
11	Implementation of the DRA Construction	59
11.1	Generating the Explicit Automaton	60
11.2	Defining the Alphabet	60
11.3	The Final Constant	61
12	Additional Equivalence Relations	62
12.1	Propositional Equivalence with Implicit LTL Unfolding	62

13 Instantiation of the LTL to DRA construction	65
13.1 Hash Functions for Quotient Types	66
13.2 Interpretations with Equivalence Relations	67
14 Code export to Standard ML	69
14.1 Hashing Sets	69
14.2 LTL to DRA	69
14.3 LTL to NBA	70
14.4 LTL to LDBA	70

1 Syntactic Fragments and Stability

*theory Syntactic-Fragments-and-Stability
imports*

*LTL.LTL HOL-Library.Sublist
begin*

— We use prefix and suffix on infinite words.

hide-const Sublist.prefix Sublist.suffix

1.1 The fragments μ LTL and ν LTL

```
fun is- $\mu$ LTL :: 'a ltn  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  is- $\mu$ LTL truen = True
  | is- $\mu$ LTL falsen = True
  | is- $\mu$ LTL propn(-) = True
  | is- $\mu$ LTL npropn(-) = True
  | is- $\mu$ LTL ( $\varphi$  andn  $\psi$ ) = (is- $\mu$ LTL  $\varphi$   $\wedge$  is- $\mu$ LTL  $\psi$ )
  | is- $\mu$ LTL ( $\varphi$  orn  $\psi$ ) = (is- $\mu$ LTL  $\varphi$   $\wedge$  is- $\mu$ LTL  $\psi$ )
  | is- $\mu$ LTL (Xn  $\varphi$ ) = is- $\mu$ LTL  $\varphi$ 
  | is- $\mu$ LTL ( $\varphi$  Un  $\psi$ ) = (is- $\mu$ LTL  $\varphi$   $\wedge$  is- $\mu$ LTL  $\psi$ )
  | is- $\mu$ LTL ( $\varphi$  Mn  $\psi$ ) = (is- $\mu$ LTL  $\varphi$   $\wedge$  is- $\mu$ LTL  $\psi$ )
  | is- $\mu$ LTL - = False
```

```
fun is- $\nu$ LTL :: 'a ltn  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  is- $\nu$ LTL truen = True
  | is- $\nu$ LTL falsen = True
  | is- $\nu$ LTL propn(-) = True
  | is- $\nu$ LTL npropn(-) = True
  | is- $\nu$ LTL ( $\varphi$  andn  $\psi$ ) = (is- $\nu$ LTL  $\varphi$   $\wedge$  is- $\nu$ LTL  $\psi$ )
  | is- $\nu$ LTL ( $\varphi$  orn  $\psi$ ) = (is- $\nu$ LTL  $\varphi$   $\wedge$  is- $\nu$ LTL  $\psi$ )
  | is- $\nu$ LTL (Xn  $\varphi$ ) = is- $\nu$ LTL  $\varphi$ 
```

```

|  $\text{is-}\nu\text{-LTL}(\varphi \ W_n \ \psi) = (\text{is-}\nu\text{-LTL } \varphi \wedge \text{is-}\nu\text{-LTL } \psi)$ 
|  $\text{is-}\nu\text{-LTL}(\varphi \ R_n \ \psi) = (\text{is-}\nu\text{-LTL } \varphi \wedge \text{is-}\nu\text{-LTL } \psi)$ 
|  $\text{is-}\nu\text{-LTL} - = \text{False}$ 

```

```

definition  $\mu\text{LTL} :: \text{'a ltl set where}$ 
 $\mu\text{LTL} = \{\varphi. \text{ is-}\mu\text{-LTL } \varphi\}$ 

```

```

definition  $\nu\text{LTL} :: \text{'a ltl set where}$ 
 $\nu\text{LTL} = \{\varphi. \text{ is-}\nu\text{-LTL } \varphi\}$ 

```

```

lemma  $\mu\text{LTL-simp}[simp]:$ 
 $\varphi \in \mu\text{LTL} \longleftrightarrow \text{is-}\mu\text{-LTL } \varphi$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma  $\nu\text{LTL-simp}[simp]:$ 
 $\varphi \in \nu\text{LTL} \longleftrightarrow \text{is-}\nu\text{-LTL } \varphi$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

1.1.1 Subformulas in μLTL and νLTL

```

fun  $\text{subformulas}_\mu :: \text{'a ltl} \Rightarrow \text{'a ltl set}$ 
where

```

```

 $\text{subformulas}_\mu(\varphi \ \text{and}_n \ \psi) = \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi \cup \text{subformulas}_\mu \psi$ 
|  $\text{subformulas}_\mu(\varphi \ \text{or}_n \ \psi) = \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi \cup \text{subformulas}_\mu \psi$ 
|  $\text{subformulas}_\mu(X_n \ \varphi) = \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi$ 
|  $\text{subformulas}_\mu(\varphi \ U_n \ \psi) = \{\varphi \ U_n \ \psi\} \cup \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi \cup \text{subformulas}_\mu \psi$ 
|  $\text{subformulas}_\mu(\varphi \ R_n \ \psi) = \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi \cup \text{subformulas}_\mu \psi$ 
|  $\text{subformulas}_\mu(\varphi \ W_n \ \psi) = \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi \cup \text{subformulas}_\mu \psi$ 
|  $\text{subformulas}_\mu(\varphi \ M_n \ \psi) = \{\varphi \ M_n \ \psi\} \cup \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi \cup \text{subformulas}_\mu \psi$ 
|  $\text{subformulas}_\mu - = \{\}$ 

```

```

fun  $\text{subformulas}_\nu :: \text{'a ltl} \Rightarrow \text{'a ltl set}$ 
where

```

```

 $\text{subformulas}_\nu(\varphi \ \text{and}_n \ \psi) = \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi \cup \text{subformulas}_\nu \psi$ 
|  $\text{subformulas}_\nu(\varphi \ \text{or}_n \ \psi) = \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi \cup \text{subformulas}_\nu \psi$ 
|  $\text{subformulas}_\nu(X_n \ \varphi) = \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi$ 
|  $\text{subformulas}_\nu(\varphi \ U_n \ \psi) = \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi \cup \text{subformulas}_\nu \psi$ 
|  $\text{subformulas}_\nu(\varphi \ R_n \ \psi) = \{\varphi \ R_n \ \psi\} \cup \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi \cup \text{subformulas}_\nu \psi$ 
|  $\text{subformulas}_\nu(\varphi \ W_n \ \psi) = \{\varphi \ W_n \ \psi\} \cup \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi \cup \text{subformulas}_\nu \psi$ 
|  $\text{subformulas}_\nu(\varphi \ M_n \ \psi) = \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi \cup \text{subformulas}_\nu \psi$ 
|  $\text{subformulas}_\nu - = \{\}$ 

```

lemma subformulas_μ -semantics:

$\text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi = \{\psi \in \text{subfrmlsn } \varphi. \exists \psi_1 \psi_2. \psi = \psi_1 \ U_n \ \psi_2 \vee \psi = \psi_1 M_n \ \psi_2\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma subformulas_ν -semantics:

$\text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi = \{\psi \in \text{subfrmlsn } \varphi. \exists \psi_1 \psi_2. \psi = \psi_1 \ R_n \ \psi_2 \vee \psi = \psi_1 W_n \ \psi_2\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma subformulas_μ -subfrmlsn:

$\text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi \subseteq \text{subfrmlsn } \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma subformulas_ν -subfrmlsn:

$\text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi \subseteq \text{subfrmlsn } \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma subformulas_μ -finite:

$\text{finite}(\text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma subformulas_ν -finite:

$\text{finite}(\text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma subformulas_μ -subset:

$\psi \in \text{subfrmlsn } \varphi \implies \text{subformulas}_\mu \psi \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma subformulas_ν -subset:

$\psi \in \text{subfrmlsn } \varphi \implies \text{subformulas}_\nu \psi \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma subfrmlsn- μLTL :

$\varphi \in \mu LTL \implies \text{subfrmlsn } \varphi \subseteq \mu LTL$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma subfrmlsn- νLTL :

$\varphi \in \nu LTL \implies \text{subfrmlsn } \varphi \subseteq \nu LTL$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{subformulas}_{\mu\nu}$ -disjoint:

$\text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi \cap \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi = \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $subformulas_{\mu\nu}$ - $subfrmlsn$:

$subformulas_\mu \varphi \cup subformulas_\nu \varphi \subseteq subfrmlsn \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $subformulas_{\mu\nu}$ - $card$:

$card (subformulas_\mu \varphi \cup subformulas_\nu \varphi) = card (subformulas_\mu \varphi) + card (subformulas_\nu \varphi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.2 Stability

definition $GF\text{-singleton } w \varphi \equiv \text{if } w \models_n G_n (F_n \varphi) \text{ then } \{\varphi\} \text{ else } \{\}$

definition $F\text{-singleton } w \varphi \equiv \text{if } w \models_n F_n \varphi \text{ then } \{\varphi\} \text{ else } \{\}$

declare $GF\text{-singleton-def} [simp] F\text{-singleton-def} [simp]$

fun $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F} :: 'a ltn \Rightarrow 'a set word \Rightarrow 'a ltn set$

where

- $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F} (\varphi \text{ and}_n \psi) w = \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F} \varphi w \cup \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F} \psi w$
- $| \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F} (\varphi \text{ or}_n \psi) w = \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F} \varphi w \cup \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F} \psi w$
- $| \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F} (X_n \varphi) w = \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F} \varphi w$
- $| \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F} (\varphi U_n \psi) w = GF\text{-singleton } w (\varphi U_n \psi) \cup \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F} \varphi w \cup \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F} \psi w$
- $| \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F} (\varphi R_n \psi) w = \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F} \varphi w \cup \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F} \psi w$
- $| \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F} (\varphi W_n \psi) w = \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F} \varphi w \cup \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F} \psi w$
- $| \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F} (\varphi M_n \psi) w = F\text{-singleton } w (\varphi M_n \psi) \cup \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F} \varphi w \cup \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F} \psi w$
- $| \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F} \text{--} = \{\}$

fun $\mathcal{F} :: 'a ltn \Rightarrow 'a set word \Rightarrow 'a ltn set$

where

- $\mathcal{F} (\varphi \text{ and}_n \psi) w = \mathcal{F} \varphi w \cup \mathcal{F} \psi w$
- $| \mathcal{F} (\varphi \text{ or}_n \psi) w = \mathcal{F} \varphi w \cup \mathcal{F} \psi w$
- $| \mathcal{F} (X_n \varphi) w = \mathcal{F} \varphi w$
- $| \mathcal{F} (\varphi U_n \psi) w = F\text{-singleton } w (\varphi U_n \psi) \cup \mathcal{F} \varphi w \cup \mathcal{F} \psi w$
- $| \mathcal{F} (\varphi R_n \psi) w = \mathcal{F} \varphi w \cup \mathcal{F} \psi w$
- $| \mathcal{F} (\varphi W_n \psi) w = \mathcal{F} \varphi w \cup \mathcal{F} \psi w$
- $| \mathcal{F} (\varphi M_n \psi) w = F\text{-singleton } w (\varphi M_n \psi) \cup \mathcal{F} \varphi w \cup \mathcal{F} \psi w$
- $| \mathcal{F} \text{--} = \{\}$

lemma $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F}$ -semantics:

$\mathcal{G}\mathcal{F} \varphi w = \{\psi. \psi \in subformulas_\mu \varphi \wedge w \models_n G_n (F_n \psi)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma \mathcal{F} -semantics:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F} \varphi w &= \{\psi. \psi \in \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi \wedge w \models_n F_n \psi\} \\ &\langle \text{proof} \rangle\end{aligned}$$

lemma \mathcal{GF} -semantics':

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{GF} \varphi w &= \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi \cap \{\psi. w \models_n G_n (F_n \psi)\} \\ &\langle \text{proof} \rangle\end{aligned}$$

lemma \mathcal{F} -semantics':

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F} \varphi w &= \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi \cap \{\psi. w \models_n F_n \psi\} \\ &\langle \text{proof} \rangle\end{aligned}$$

lemma \mathcal{GF} - \mathcal{F} -subset:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{GF} \varphi w &\subseteq \mathcal{F} \varphi w \\ &\langle \text{proof} \rangle\end{aligned}$$

lemma \mathcal{GF} -finite:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{finite } (\mathcal{GF} \varphi w) \\ &\langle \text{proof} \rangle\end{aligned}$$

lemma \mathcal{GF} -subformulas $_\mu$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{GF} \varphi w &\subseteq \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi \\ &\langle \text{proof} \rangle\end{aligned}$$

lemma \mathcal{GF} -subfrmlsn:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{GF} \varphi w &\subseteq \text{subfrmlsn } \varphi \\ &\langle \text{proof} \rangle\end{aligned}$$

lemma \mathcal{GF} -elim:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi \in \mathcal{GF} \varphi w \implies w \models_n G_n (F_n \psi) \\ &\langle \text{proof} \rangle\end{aligned}$$

lemma \mathcal{GF} -suffix:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{GF} \varphi (\text{suffix } i w) &= \mathcal{GF} \varphi w \\ &\langle \text{proof} \rangle\end{aligned}$$

lemma \mathcal{GF} -subset:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi \in \text{subfrmlsn } \varphi \implies \mathcal{GF} \psi w \subseteq \mathcal{GF} \varphi w \\ &\langle \text{proof} \rangle\end{aligned}$$

lemma \mathcal{F} -finite:

finite ($\mathcal{F} \varphi w$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma \mathcal{F} -*subformulas* $_{\mu}$:
 $\mathcal{F} \varphi w \subseteq subformulas_{\mu} \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma \mathcal{F} -*subfrmlsn*:
 $\mathcal{F} \varphi w \subseteq subfrmlsn \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma \mathcal{F} -*elim*:
 $\psi \in \mathcal{F} \varphi w \implies w \models_n F_n \psi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma \mathcal{F} -*suffix*:
 $\mathcal{F} \varphi (suffix i w) \subseteq \mathcal{F} \varphi w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma \mathcal{F} -*subset*:
 $\psi \in subfrmlsn \varphi \implies \mathcal{F} \psi w \subseteq \mathcal{F} \varphi w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition μ -*stable* $\varphi w \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{G}\mathcal{F} \varphi w = \mathcal{F} \varphi w$

lemma *suffix- μ -stable*:
 $\forall \infty i. \mu\text{-stable } \varphi (suffix i w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma μ -*stable-subfrmlsn*:
 $\mu\text{-stable } \varphi w \implies \psi \in subfrmlsn \varphi \implies \mu\text{-stable } \psi w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma μ -*stable-suffix*:
 $\mu\text{-stable } \varphi w \implies \mu\text{-stable } \varphi (suffix i w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition FG -*singleton* $w \varphi \equiv$ if $w \models_n F_n (G_n \varphi)$ then $\{\varphi\}$ else $\{\}$
definition G -*singleton* $w \varphi \equiv$ if $w \models_n G_n \varphi$ then $\{\varphi\}$ else $\{\}$

declare FG -*singleton-def* [simp] G -*singleton-def* [simp]

fun $\mathcal{FG} :: 'a ltn \Rightarrow 'a set word \Rightarrow 'a ltn set$

where

- | $\mathcal{FG} (\varphi \text{ and}_n \psi) w = \mathcal{FG} \varphi w \cup \mathcal{FG} \psi w$
- | $\mathcal{FG} (\varphi \text{ or}_n \psi) w = \mathcal{FG} \varphi w \cup \mathcal{FG} \psi w$
- | $\mathcal{FG} (X_n \varphi) w = \mathcal{FG} \varphi w$
- | $\mathcal{FG} (\varphi \text{ U}_n \psi) w = \mathcal{FG} \varphi w \cup \mathcal{FG} \psi w$
- | $\mathcal{FG} (\varphi R_n \psi) w = FG\text{-singleton } w (\varphi R_n \psi) \cup \mathcal{FG} \varphi w \cup \mathcal{FG} \psi w$
- | $\mathcal{FG} (\varphi W_n \psi) w = FG\text{-singleton } w (\varphi W_n \psi) \cup \mathcal{FG} \varphi w \cup \mathcal{FG} \psi w$
- | $\mathcal{FG} (\varphi M_n \psi) w = \mathcal{FG} \varphi w \cup \mathcal{FG} \psi w$
- | $\mathcal{FG} \text{ -- } = \{\}$

fun $\mathcal{G} :: 'a ltn \Rightarrow 'a set word \Rightarrow 'a ltn set$

where

- | $\mathcal{G} (\varphi \text{ and}_n \psi) w = \mathcal{G} \varphi w \cup \mathcal{G} \psi w$
- | $\mathcal{G} (\varphi \text{ or}_n \psi) w = \mathcal{G} \varphi w \cup \mathcal{G} \psi w$
- | $\mathcal{G} (X_n \varphi) w = \mathcal{G} \varphi w$
- | $\mathcal{G} (\varphi \text{ U}_n \psi) w = \mathcal{G} \varphi w \cup \mathcal{G} \psi w$
- | $\mathcal{G} (\varphi R_n \psi) w = G\text{-singleton } w (\varphi R_n \psi) \cup \mathcal{G} \varphi w \cup \mathcal{G} \psi w$
- | $\mathcal{G} (\varphi W_n \psi) w = G\text{-singleton } w (\varphi W_n \psi) \cup \mathcal{G} \varphi w \cup \mathcal{G} \psi w$
- | $\mathcal{G} (\varphi M_n \psi) w = \mathcal{G} \varphi w \cup \mathcal{G} \psi w$
- | $\mathcal{G} \text{ -- } = \{\}$

lemma \mathcal{FG} -semantics:

$\mathcal{FG} \varphi w = \{\psi \in subformulas_\nu \varphi. w \models_n F_n (G_n \psi)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma \mathcal{G} -semantics:

$\mathcal{G} \varphi w \equiv \{\psi \in subformulas_\nu \varphi. w \models_n G_n \psi\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma \mathcal{FG} -semantics':

$\mathcal{FG} \varphi w = subformulas_\nu \varphi \cap \{\psi. w \models_n F_n (G_n \psi)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma \mathcal{G} -semantics':

$\mathcal{G} \varphi w = subformulas_\nu \varphi \cap \{\psi. w \models_n G_n \psi\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma \mathcal{G} - \mathcal{FG} -subset:

$\mathcal{G} \varphi w \subseteq \mathcal{FG} \varphi w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma \mathcal{FG} -finite:

finite (\mathcal{FG} φ w)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma \mathcal{FG} -*subformulas* _{ν} :
 $\mathcal{FG} \varphi w \subseteq subformulas_{\nu} \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma \mathcal{FG} -*subfrmlsn*:
 $\mathcal{FG} \varphi w \subseteq subfrmlsn \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma \mathcal{FG} -*elim*:
 $\psi \in \mathcal{FG} \varphi w \implies w \models_n F_n (G_n \psi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma \mathcal{FG} -*suffix*:
 $\mathcal{FG} \varphi (suffix i w) = \mathcal{FG} \varphi w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma \mathcal{FG} -*subset*:
 $\psi \in subfrmlsn \varphi \implies \mathcal{FG} \psi w \subseteq \mathcal{FG} \varphi w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma \mathcal{G} -*finite*:
finite ($\mathcal{G} \varphi w$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma \mathcal{G} -*subformulas* _{ν} :
 $\mathcal{G} \varphi w \subseteq subformulas_{\nu} \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma \mathcal{G} -*subfrmlsn*:
 $\mathcal{G} \varphi w \subseteq subfrmlsn \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma \mathcal{G} -*elim*:
 $\psi \in \mathcal{G} \varphi w \implies w \models_n G_n \psi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma \mathcal{G} -*suffix*:
 $\mathcal{G} \varphi w \subseteq \mathcal{G} \varphi (suffix i w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma \mathcal{G} -subset:

$$\psi \in \text{subfrmlsn } \varphi \implies \mathcal{G} \psi w \subseteq \mathcal{G} \varphi w$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\nu\text{-stable } \varphi w \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{F}\mathcal{G} \varphi w = \mathcal{G} \varphi w$

lemma suffix- ν -stable:

$$\forall_{\infty j}. \nu\text{-stable } \varphi (\text{suffix } j w)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma ν -stable-subfrmlsn:

$$\nu\text{-stable } \varphi w \implies \psi \in \text{subfrmlsn } \varphi \implies \nu\text{-stable } \psi w$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma ν -stable-suffix:

$$\nu\text{-stable } \varphi w \implies \nu\text{-stable } \varphi (\text{suffix } i w)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.3 Definitions with Lists for Code Export

The μ - and ν -subformulas as lists:

fun $\text{subformulas}_\mu\text{-list} :: 'a ltn \Rightarrow 'a ltn list$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{subformulas}_\mu\text{-list} (\varphi \text{ and}_n \psi) &= \text{List.union} (\text{subformulas}_\mu\text{-list } \varphi) (\text{subformulas}_\mu\text{-list } \psi) \\ |\text{subformulas}_\mu\text{-list} (\varphi \text{ or}_n \psi) &= \text{List.union} (\text{subformulas}_\mu\text{-list } \varphi) (\text{subformulas}_\mu\text{-list } \psi) \\ |\text{subformulas}_\mu\text{-list} (X_n \varphi) &= \text{subformulas}_\mu\text{-list } \varphi \\ |\text{subformulas}_\mu\text{-list} (\varphi U_n \psi) &= \text{List.insert} (\varphi U_n \psi) (\text{List.union} (\text{subformulas}_\mu\text{-list } \varphi) (\text{subformulas}_\mu\text{-list } \psi)) \\ |\text{subformulas}_\mu\text{-list} (\varphi R_n \psi) &= \text{List.union} (\text{subformulas}_\mu\text{-list } \varphi) (\text{subformulas}_\mu\text{-list } \psi) \\ |\text{subformulas}_\mu\text{-list} (\varphi W_n \psi) &= \text{List.union} (\text{subformulas}_\mu\text{-list } \varphi) (\text{subformulas}_\mu\text{-list } \psi) \\ |\text{subformulas}_\mu\text{-list} (\varphi M_n \psi) &= \text{List.insert} (\varphi M_n \psi) (\text{List.union} (\text{subformulas}_\mu\text{-list } \varphi) (\text{subformulas}_\mu\text{-list } \psi)) \\ |\text{subformulas}_\mu\text{-list} - &= [] \end{aligned}$$

fun $\text{subformulas}_\nu\text{-list} :: 'a ltn \Rightarrow 'a ltn list$

where

$$\text{subformulas}_\nu\text{-list} (\varphi \text{ and}_n \psi) = \text{List.union} (\text{subformulas}_\nu\text{-list } \varphi) (\text{subformulas}_\nu\text{-list } \psi)$$

```

|  $\text{subformulas}_\nu\text{-list } (\varphi \text{ or}_n \psi) = \text{List.union} (\text{subformulas}_\nu\text{-list } \varphi) (\text{subformulas}_\nu\text{-list } \psi)$ 
|  $\text{subformulas}_\nu\text{-list } (X_n \varphi) = \text{subformulas}_\nu\text{-list } \varphi$ 
|  $\text{subformulas}_\nu\text{-list } (\varphi \text{ U}_n \psi) = \text{List.union} (\text{subformulas}_\nu\text{-list } \varphi) (\text{subformulas}_\nu\text{-list } \psi)$ 
|  $\text{subformulas}_\nu\text{-list } (\varphi \text{ R}_n \psi) = \text{List.insert} (\varphi \text{ R}_n \psi) (\text{List.union} (\text{subformulas}_\nu\text{-list } \varphi) (\text{subformulas}_\nu\text{-list } \psi))$ 
|  $\text{subformulas}_\nu\text{-list } (\varphi \text{ W}_n \psi) = \text{List.insert} (\varphi \text{ W}_n \psi) (\text{List.union} (\text{subformulas}_\nu\text{-list } \varphi) (\text{subformulas}_\nu\text{-list } \psi))$ 
|  $\text{subformulas}_\nu\text{-list } (\varphi \text{ M}_n \psi) = \text{List.union} (\text{subformulas}_\nu\text{-list } \varphi) (\text{subformulas}_\nu\text{-list } \psi)$ 
|  $\text{subformulas}_\nu\text{-list } - = []$ 

```

lemma $\text{subformulas}_\mu\text{-list-set}:$
 $\text{set } (\text{subformulas}_\mu\text{-list } \varphi) = \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{subformulas}_\nu\text{-list-set}:$
 $\text{set } (\text{subformulas}_\nu\text{-list } \varphi) = \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{subformulas}_\mu\text{-list-distinct}:$
 $\text{distinct } (\text{subformulas}_\mu\text{-list } \varphi)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{subformulas}_\nu\text{-list-distinct}:$
 $\text{distinct } (\text{subformulas}_\nu\text{-list } \varphi)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{subformulas}_\mu\text{-list-length}:$
 $\text{length } (\text{subformulas}_\mu\text{-list } \varphi) = \text{card } (\text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{subformulas}_\nu\text{-list-length}:$
 $\text{length } (\text{subformulas}_\nu\text{-list } \varphi) = \text{card } (\text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

We define the list of advice sets as the product of all subsequences of the μ - and ν -subformulas of a formula.

definition $\text{advice-sets} :: 'a \text{ ltn} \Rightarrow ('a \text{ ltn list} \times 'a \text{ ltn list}) \text{ list}$
where
 $\text{advice-sets } \varphi = \text{List.product} (\text{subseqs} (\text{subformulas}_\mu\text{-list } \varphi)) (\text{subseqs} (\text{subformulas}_\nu\text{-list } \varphi))$

lemma *subset-subseq*:

$$X \subseteq \text{set } ys \longleftrightarrow (\exists xs. X = \text{set } xs \wedge \text{subseq } xs \text{ } ys)$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *subseqs-subformulas_μ-list*:

$$X \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi \longleftrightarrow (\exists xs. X = \text{set } xs \wedge xs \in \text{set}(\text{subseqs}(\text{subformulas}_\mu\text{-list} \varphi)))$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *subseqs-subformulas_ν-list*:

$$Y \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi \longleftrightarrow (\exists ys. Y = \text{set } ys \wedge ys \in \text{set}(\text{subseqs}(\text{subformulas}_\nu\text{-list} \varphi)))$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *advice-sets-subformulas*:

$$X \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi \wedge Y \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi \longleftrightarrow (\exists xs \text{ } ys. X = \text{set } xs \wedge Y = \text{set } ys \wedge (xs, ys) \in \text{set}(\text{advice-sets} \varphi))$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *subseqs-not-empty*:

$$\text{subseqs } xs \neq []$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *product-not-empty*:

$$xs \neq [] \implies ys \neq [] \implies \text{List.product } xs \text{ } ys \neq []$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *advice-sets-not-empty*:

$$\text{advice-sets } \varphi \neq []$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *advice-sets-length*:

$$\text{length } (\text{advice-sets} \varphi) \leq 2^\wedge \text{card } (\text{subfrmlsn} \varphi)$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *advice-sets-element-length*:

$$(xs, ys) \in \text{set}(\text{advice-sets} \varphi) \implies \text{length } xs \leq \text{card } (\text{subfrmlsn} \varphi)$$

$$(xs, ys) \in \text{set}(\text{advice-sets} \varphi) \implies \text{length } ys \leq \text{card } (\text{subfrmlsn} \varphi)$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *advice-sets-element-subfrmlsn*:

$$(xs, ys) \in \text{set}(\text{advice-sets} \varphi) \implies \text{set } xs \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi$$

$(xs, ys) \in set(\text{advice-sets } \varphi) \implies set ys \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

2 The “after”-Function

theory After

imports

LTL.LTL LTL.Equivalence-Relations Syntactic-Fragments-and-Stability

begin

2.1 Definition of af

primrec af-letter :: 'a ltn \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a ltn

where

- | af-letter true_n ν = true_n
- | af-letter false_n ν = false_n
- | af-letter prop_n(a) ν = (if $a \in \nu$ then true_n else false_n)
- | af-letter nprop_n(a) ν = (if $a \notin \nu$ then true_n else false_n)
- | af-letter (φ and_n ψ) ν = (af-letter φ ν) and_n (af-letter ψ ν)
- | af-letter (φ or_n ψ) ν = (af-letter φ ν) or_n (af-letter ψ ν)
- | af-letter (X_n φ) ν = φ
- | af-letter (φ U_n ψ) ν = (af-letter ψ ν) or_n ((af-letter φ ν) and_n (φ U_n ψ))
- | af-letter (φ R_n ψ) ν = (af-letter ψ ν) and_n ((af-letter φ ν) or_n (φ R_n ψ))
- | af-letter (φ W_n ψ) ν = (af-letter ψ ν) or_n ((af-letter φ ν) and_n (φ W_n ψ))
- | af-letter (φ M_n ψ) ν = (af-letter ψ ν) and_n ((af-letter φ ν) or_n (φ M_n ψ))

abbreviation af :: 'a ltn \Rightarrow 'a set list \Rightarrow 'a ltn

where

af φ w \equiv foldl af-letter φ w

lemma af-decompose:

af (φ and_n ψ) w = (af φ w) and_n (af ψ w)

af (φ or_n ψ) w = (af φ w) or_n (af ψ w)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma af-simps[simp]:

af true_n w = true_n

af false_n w = false_n

$af (X_n \varphi) (x \# xs) = af \varphi xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-ite-simps[simp]*:

$af (\text{if } P \text{ then } \text{true}_n \text{ else } \text{false}_n) w = (\text{if } P \text{ then } \text{true}_n \text{ else } \text{false}_n)$
 $af (\text{if } P \text{ then } \text{false}_n \text{ else } \text{true}_n) w = (\text{if } P \text{ then } \text{false}_n \text{ else } \text{true}_n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-subsequence-append*:

$i \leq j \implies j \leq k \implies af (af \varphi (w [i \rightarrow j])) (w [j \rightarrow k]) = af \varphi (w [i \rightarrow k])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-subsequence-U*:

$af (\varphi U_n \psi) (w [0 \rightarrow \text{Suc } n]) = (af \psi (w [0 \rightarrow \text{Suc } n])) \text{ or}_n ((af \varphi (w [0 \rightarrow \text{Suc } n])) \text{ and}_n af (\varphi U_n \psi) (w [1 \rightarrow \text{Suc } n]))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-subsequence-U'*:

$af (\varphi U_n \psi) (a \# xs) = (af \psi (a \# xs)) \text{ or}_n ((af \varphi (a \# xs)) \text{ and}_n af (\varphi U_n \psi) xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-subsequence-R*:

$af (\varphi R_n \psi) (w [0 \rightarrow \text{Suc } n]) = (af \psi (w [0 \rightarrow \text{Suc } n])) \text{ and}_n ((af \varphi (w [0 \rightarrow \text{Suc } n])) \text{ or}_n af (\varphi R_n \psi) (w [1 \rightarrow \text{Suc } n]))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-subsequence-R'*:

$af (\varphi R_n \psi) (a \# xs) = (af \psi (a \# xs)) \text{ and}_n ((af \varphi (a \# xs)) \text{ or}_n af (\varphi R_n \psi) xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-subsequence-W*:

$af (\varphi W_n \psi) (w [0 \rightarrow \text{Suc } n]) = (af \psi (w [0 \rightarrow \text{Suc } n])) \text{ or}_n ((af \varphi (w [0 \rightarrow \text{Suc } n])) \text{ and}_n af (\varphi W_n \psi) (w [1 \rightarrow \text{Suc } n]))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-subsequence-W'*:

$af (\varphi W_n \psi) (a \# xs) = (af \psi (a \# xs)) \text{ or}_n ((af \varphi (a \# xs)) \text{ and}_n af (\varphi W_n \psi) xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-subsequence-M*:

$af (\varphi M_n \psi) (w [0 \rightarrow \text{Suc } n]) = (af \psi (w [0 \rightarrow \text{Suc } n])) \text{ and}_n ((af \varphi (w$

$[0 \rightarrow Suc\ n])) \ or_n af\ (\varphi\ M_n\ \psi)\ (w\ [1 \rightarrow Suc\ n]))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-subsequence-M'*:

$af\ (\varphi\ M_n\ \psi)\ (a \# xs) = (af\ \psi\ (a \# xs))\ and_n ((af\ \varphi\ (a \# xs))\ or_n af\ (\varphi\ M_n\ \psi)\ xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *suffix-build[simp]*:

$suffix\ (Suc\ n)\ (x \#\# xs) = suffix\ n\ xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-letter-build*:

$(x \#\# w) \models_n \varphi \longleftrightarrow w \models_n af\text{-letter}\ \varphi\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-ltl-continuation*:

$(w \rightsquigarrow w') \models_n \varphi \longleftrightarrow w' \models_n af\ \varphi\ w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.2 Range of the after function

lemma *af-letter-atoms*:

$atoms\text{-ltln}\ (af\text{-letter}\ \varphi\ \nu) \subseteq atoms\text{-ltln}\ \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-atoms*:

$atoms\text{-ltln}\ (af\ \varphi\ w) \subseteq atoms\text{-ltln}\ \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-letter-nested-prop-atoms*:

$nested\text{-prop-atoms}\ (af\text{-letter}\ \varphi\ \nu) \subseteq nested\text{-prop-atoms}\ \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-nested-prop-atoms*:

$nested\text{-prop-atoms}\ (af\ \varphi\ w) \subseteq nested\text{-prop-atoms}\ \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-letter-range*:

$range\ (af\text{-letter}\ \varphi) \subseteq \{\psi. nested\text{-prop-atoms}\ \psi \subseteq nested\text{-prop-atoms}\ \varphi\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-range*:

$range\ (af\ \varphi) \subseteq \{\psi. nested\text{-prop-atoms}\ \psi \subseteq nested\text{-prop-atoms}\ \varphi\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

2.3 Subformulas of the after function

lemma $af\text{-letter}\text{-subformulas}_\mu$:

$subformulas_\mu (af\text{-letter } \varphi \xi) = subformulas_\mu \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af\text{-subformulas}_\mu$:

$subformulas_\mu (af \varphi w) = subformulas_\mu \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af\text{-letter}\text{-subformulas}_\nu$:

$subformulas_\nu (af\text{-letter } \varphi \xi) = subformulas_\nu \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af\text{-subformulas}_\nu$:

$subformulas_\nu (af \varphi w) = subformulas_\nu \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.4 Stability and the after function

lemma $\mathcal{GF}\text{-}af$:

$\mathcal{GF} (af \varphi (prefix i w)) (suffix i w) = \mathcal{GF} \varphi (suffix i w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\mathcal{F}\text{-}af$:

$\mathcal{F} (af \varphi (prefix i w)) (suffix i w) = \mathcal{F} \varphi (suffix i w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\mathcal{FG}\text{-}af$:

$\mathcal{FG} (af \varphi (prefix i w)) (suffix i w) = \mathcal{FG} \varphi (suffix i w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\mathcal{G}\text{-}af$:

$\mathcal{G} (af \varphi (prefix i w)) (suffix i w) = \mathcal{G} \varphi (suffix i w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.5 Congruence

lemma $af\text{-letter}\text{-lang-congruent}$:

$\varphi \sim_L \psi \implies af\text{-letter } \varphi \nu \sim_L af\text{-letter } \psi \nu$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af\text{-lang-congruent}$:

$\varphi \sim_L \psi \implies af \varphi w \sim_L af \psi w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-letter-subst*:

af-letter $\varphi \nu = subst \varphi (\lambda \psi. Some (af\text{-letter} \psi \nu))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-letter-prop-congruent*:

$\varphi \rightarrow_P \psi \implies af\text{-letter} \varphi \nu \rightarrow_P af\text{-letter} \psi \nu$
 $\varphi \sim_P \psi \implies af\text{-letter} \varphi \nu \sim_P af\text{-letter} \psi \nu$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-prop-congruent*:

$\varphi \rightarrow_P \psi \implies af \varphi w \rightarrow_P af \psi w$
 $\varphi \sim_P \psi \implies af \varphi w \sim_P af \psi w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-letter-const-congruent*:

$\varphi \sim_C \psi \implies af\text{-letter} \varphi \nu \sim_C af\text{-letter} \psi \nu$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-const-congruent*:

$\varphi \sim_C \psi \implies af \varphi w \sim_C af \psi w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-letter-one-step-back*:

$\{x. \mathcal{A} \models_P af\text{-letter } x \sigma\} \models_P \varphi \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{A} \models_P af\text{-letter} \varphi \sigma$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.6 Implications

lemma *af-F-prefix-prop*:

$af (F_n \varphi) w \rightarrow_P af (F_n \varphi) (w' @ w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-G-prefix-prop*:

$af (G_n \varphi) (w' @ w) \rightarrow_P af (G_n \varphi) w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-F-prefix-lang*:

$w \models_n af(F_n \varphi) ys \implies w \models_n af(F_n \varphi)(xs @ ys)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-G-prefix-lang*:

$w \models_n af(G_n \varphi)(xs @ ys) \implies w \models_n af(G_n \varphi) ys$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-F-prefix-const-equiv-true*:

$af(F_n \varphi) w \sim_C true_n \implies af(F_n \varphi)(w' @ w) \sim_C true_n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-G-prefix-const-equiv-false*:

$af(G_n \varphi) w \sim_C false_n \implies af(G_n \varphi)(w' @ w) \sim_C false_n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-F-prefix-lang-equiv-true*:

$af(F_n \varphi) w \sim_L true_n \implies af(F_n \varphi)(w' @ w) \sim_L true_n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-G-prefix-lang-equiv-false*:

$af(G_n \varphi) w \sim_L false_n \implies af(G_n \varphi)(w' @ w) \sim_L false_n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

locale *af-congruent* = *ltl-equivalence* +

assumes

af-letter-congruent: $\varphi \sim \psi \implies af\text{-letter } \varphi \nu \sim af\text{-letter } \psi \nu$
begin

lemma *af-congruentness*:

$\varphi \sim \psi \implies af\varphi xs \sim af\psi xs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-append-congruent*:

$af\varphi w \sim af\psi w \implies af\varphi(w @ w') \sim af\psi(w @ w')$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-append-true*:

$af\varphi w \sim true_n \implies af\varphi(w @ w') \sim true_n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-append-false*:

$$af \varphi w \sim false_n \implies af \varphi (w @ w') \sim false_n$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prefix-append-subsequence*:

$$i \leq j \implies (prefix i w) @ (w [i \rightarrow j]) = prefix j w$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-prefix-congruent*:

$$i \leq j \implies af \varphi (prefix i w) \sim af \psi (prefix i w) \implies af \varphi (prefix j w) \sim af \psi (prefix j w)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-prefix-true*:

$$i \leq j \implies af \varphi (prefix i w) \sim true_n \implies af \varphi (prefix j w) \sim true_n$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af-prefix-false*:

$$i \leq j \implies af \varphi (prefix i w) \sim false_n \implies af \varphi (prefix j w) \sim false_n$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

interpretation *lang-af-congruent*: *af-congruent* (\sim_L)

$\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation *prop-af-congruent*: *af-congruent* (\sim_P)

$\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation *const-af-congruent*: *af-congruent* (\sim_C)

$\langle proof \rangle$

2.7 After in μLTL and νLTL

lemma *valid-prefix-implies-ltl*:

$$af \varphi (prefix i w) \sim_L true_n \implies w \models_n \varphi$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ltl-implies-satisfiable-prefix*:

$$w \models_n \varphi \implies \neg (af \varphi (prefix i w) \sim_L false_n)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma μLTL -implies-valid-prefix:

$$\varphi \in \mu LTL \implies w \models_n \varphi \implies \exists i. af \varphi (\text{prefix } i w) \sim_C \text{true}_n$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma satisfiable-prefix-implies- νLTL :

$$\varphi \in \nu LTL \implies \nexists i. af \varphi (\text{prefix } i w) \sim_C \text{false}_n \implies w \models_n \varphi$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

context ltl -equivalence

begin

lemma valid-prefix-implies-ltl:

$$af \varphi (\text{prefix } i w) \sim \text{true}_n \implies w \models_n \varphi$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma ltl-implies-satisfiable-prefix:

$$w \models_n \varphi \implies \neg (af \varphi (\text{prefix } i w) \sim \text{false}_n)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma μLTL -implies-valid-prefix:

$$\varphi \in \mu LTL \implies w \models_n \varphi \implies \exists i. af \varphi (\text{prefix } i w) \sim \text{true}_n$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma satisfiable-prefix-implies- νLTL :

$$\varphi \in \nu LTL \implies \nexists i. af \varphi (\text{prefix } i w) \sim \text{false}_n \implies w \models_n \varphi$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma af- μLTL :

$$\varphi \in \mu LTL \implies w \models_n \varphi \longleftrightarrow (\exists i. af \varphi (\text{prefix } i w) \sim \text{true}_n)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma af- νLTL :

$$\varphi \in \nu LTL \implies w \models_n \varphi \longleftrightarrow (\forall i. \neg (af \varphi (\text{prefix } i w) \sim \text{false}_n))$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma af- μLTL -GF:

$$\varphi \in \mu LTL \implies w \models_n G_n (F_n \varphi) \longleftrightarrow (\forall i. \exists j. af (F_n \varphi) (w[i \rightarrow j]) \sim \text{true}_n)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af- ν LTL-FG:*

$\varphi \in \nu LTL \implies w \models_n F_n (G_n \varphi) \longleftrightarrow (\exists i. \forall j. \neg (af (G_n \varphi) (w[i \rightarrow j]) \sim false_n))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

Bring Propositional Equivalence into scope

interpretation *af-congruent* (\sim_P)

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

3 Advice functions

theory *Advice*

imports

LTL.LTL LTL.Equivalence-Relations

Syntactic-Fragments-and-Stability After

begin

3.1 The GF and FG Advice Functions

fun *GF-advice* :: '*a ltl*n \Rightarrow '*a ltl*n set \Rightarrow '*a ltl*n ($\langle \cdot \cdot \cdot \rangle_{\nu}$ [90,60] 89)

where

- $(X_n \psi)[X]_{\nu} = X_n (\psi[X]_{\nu})$
- $| (\psi_1 \text{ and}_n \psi_2)[X]_{\nu} = (\psi_1[X]_{\nu}) \text{ and}_n (\psi_2[X]_{\nu})$
- $| (\psi_1 \text{ or}_n \psi_2)[X]_{\nu} = (\psi_1[X]_{\nu}) \text{ or}_n (\psi_2[X]_{\nu})$
- $| (\psi_1 \text{ W}_n \psi_2)[X]_{\nu} = (\psi_1[X]_{\nu}) \text{ W}_n (\psi_2[X]_{\nu})$
- $| (\psi_1 \text{ R}_n \psi_2)[X]_{\nu} = (\psi_1[X]_{\nu}) \text{ R}_n (\psi_2[X]_{\nu})$
- $| (\psi_1 \text{ U}_n \psi_2)[X]_{\nu} = (\text{if } (\psi_1 \text{ U}_n \psi_2) \in X \text{ then } (\psi_1[X]_{\nu}) \text{ W}_n (\psi_2[X]_{\nu}) \text{ else } false_n)$
- $| (\psi_1 \text{ M}_n \psi_2)[X]_{\nu} = (\text{if } (\psi_1 \text{ M}_n \psi_2) \in X \text{ then } (\psi_1[X]_{\nu}) \text{ R}_n (\psi_2[X]_{\nu}) \text{ else } false_n)$
- $| \varphi[-]_{\nu} = \varphi$

fun *FG-advice* :: '*a ltl*n \Rightarrow '*a ltl*n set \Rightarrow '*a ltl*n ($\langle \cdot \cdot \cdot \rangle_{\mu}$ [90,60] 89)

where

- $(X_n \psi)[Y]_{\mu} = X_n (\psi[Y]_{\mu})$
- $| (\psi_1 \text{ and}_n \psi_2)[Y]_{\mu} = (\psi_1[Y]_{\mu}) \text{ and}_n (\psi_2[Y]_{\mu})$
- $| (\psi_1 \text{ or}_n \psi_2)[Y]_{\mu} = (\psi_1[Y]_{\mu}) \text{ or}_n (\psi_2[Y]_{\mu})$
- $| (\psi_1 \text{ U}_n \psi_2)[Y]_{\mu} = (\psi_1[Y]_{\mu}) \text{ U}_n (\psi_2[Y]_{\mu})$

$$\begin{aligned}
& | (\psi_1 \text{ } M_n \text{ } \psi_2)[Y]_\mu = (\psi_1[Y]_\mu) \text{ } M_n \text{ } (\psi_2[Y]_\mu) \\
& | (\psi_1 \text{ } W_n \text{ } \psi_2)[Y]_\mu = (\text{if } (\psi_1 \text{ } W_n \text{ } \psi_2) \in Y \text{ then } \text{true}_n \text{ else } (\psi_1[Y]_\mu) \text{ } U_n \\
& (\psi_2[Y]_\mu)) \\
& | (\psi_1 \text{ } R_n \text{ } \psi_2)[Y]_\mu = (\text{if } (\psi_1 \text{ } R_n \text{ } \psi_2) \in Y \text{ then } \text{true}_n \text{ else } (\psi_1[Y]_\mu) \text{ } M_n \\
& (\psi_2[Y]_\mu)) \\
& | \varphi[-]_\mu = \varphi
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *GF-advice- νLTL :*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varphi[X]_\nu \in \nu LTL \\
& \varphi \in \nu LTL \implies \varphi[X]_\nu = \varphi \\
& \langle \text{proof} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *FG-advice- μLTL :*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varphi[X]_\mu \in \mu LTL \\
& \varphi \in \mu LTL \implies \varphi[X]_\mu = \varphi \\
& \langle \text{proof} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *GF-advice-subfrmlsn:*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{subfrmlsn } (\varphi[X]_\nu) \subseteq \{\psi[X]_\nu \mid \psi. \psi \in \text{subfrmlsn } \varphi\} \\
& \langle \text{proof} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *FG-advice-subfrmlsn:*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{subfrmlsn } (\varphi[Y]_\mu) \subseteq \{\psi[Y]_\mu \mid \psi. \psi \in \text{subfrmlsn } \varphi\} \\
& \langle \text{proof} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *GF-advice-subfrmlsn-card:*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{card } (\text{subfrmlsn } (\varphi[X]_\nu)) \leq \text{card } (\text{subfrmlsn } \varphi) \\
& \langle \text{proof} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *FG-advice-subfrmlsn-card:*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{card } (\text{subfrmlsn } (\varphi[Y]_\mu)) \leq \text{card } (\text{subfrmlsn } \varphi) \\
& \langle \text{proof} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *GF-advice-monotone:*

$$\begin{aligned}
& X \subseteq Y \implies w \models_n \varphi[X]_\nu \implies w \models_n \varphi[Y]_\nu \\
& \langle \text{proof} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *FG-advice-monotone:*

$$\begin{aligned}
& X \subseteq Y \implies w \models_n \varphi[X]_\mu \implies w \models_n \varphi[Y]_\mu \\
& \langle \text{proof} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *GF-advice-ite-simps[simp]:*

$$(\text{if } P \text{ then } \text{true}_n \text{ else } \text{false}_n)[X]_\nu = (\text{if } P \text{ then } \text{true}_n \text{ else } \text{false}_n)$$

$(\text{if } P \text{ then } \text{false}_n \text{ else } \text{true}_n)[X]_\nu = (\text{if } P \text{ then } \text{false}_n \text{ else } \text{true}_n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $FG\text{-advice-ite-simps}[simp]$:

$(\text{if } P \text{ then } \text{true}_n \text{ else } \text{false}_n)[Y]_\mu = (\text{if } P \text{ then } \text{true}_n \text{ else } \text{false}_n)$
 $(\text{if } P \text{ then } \text{false}_n \text{ else } \text{true}_n)[Y]_\mu = (\text{if } P \text{ then } \text{false}_n \text{ else } \text{true}_n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.2 Advice Functions on Nested Propositions

definition $\text{nested-prop-atoms}_\nu :: 'a \text{ ltn} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ ltn set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ ltn set}$
where

$\text{nested-prop-atoms}_\nu \varphi X = \{\psi[X]_\nu \mid \psi. \psi \in \text{nested-prop-atoms } \varphi\}$

definition $\text{nested-prop-atoms}_\mu :: 'a \text{ ltn} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ ltn set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ ltn set}$
where

$\text{nested-prop-atoms}_\mu \varphi X = \{\psi[X]_\mu \mid \psi. \psi \in \text{nested-prop-atoms } \varphi\}$

lemma $\text{nested-prop-atoms}_\nu\text{-finite}$:

$\text{finite } (\text{nested-prop-atoms}_\nu \varphi X)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{nested-prop-atoms}_\mu\text{-finite}$:

$\text{finite } (\text{nested-prop-atoms}_\mu \varphi X)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{nested-prop-atoms}_\nu\text{-card}$:

$\text{card } (\text{nested-prop-atoms}_\nu \varphi X) \leq \text{card } (\text{nested-prop-atoms } \varphi)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{nested-prop-atoms}_\mu\text{-card}$:

$\text{card } (\text{nested-prop-atoms}_\mu \varphi X) \leq \text{card } (\text{nested-prop-atoms } \varphi)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $GF\text{-advice-nested-prop-atoms}_\nu$:

$\text{nested-prop-atoms } (\varphi[X]_\nu) \subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms}_\nu \varphi X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $FG\text{-advice-nested-prop-atoms}_\mu$:

$\text{nested-prop-atoms } (\varphi[Y]_\mu) \subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms}_\mu \varphi Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{nested-prop-atoms}_\nu\text{-subset}$:

$\text{nested-prop-atoms } \varphi \subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms } \psi \implies \text{nested-prop-atoms}_\nu \varphi X$

$\subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms}_\nu \psi X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{nested-prop-atoms}_\mu$ -subset:

$\text{nested-prop-atoms } \varphi \subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms } \psi \implies \text{nested-prop-atoms}_\mu \varphi Y$
 $\subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms}_\mu \psi Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $GF\text{-advice-nested-prop-atoms-card}$:

$\text{card}(\text{nested-prop-atoms}(\varphi[X]_\nu)) \leq \text{card}(\text{nested-prop-atoms } \varphi)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $FG\text{-advice-nested-prop-atoms-card}$:

$\text{card}(\text{nested-prop-atoms}(\varphi[Y]_\mu)) \leq \text{card}(\text{nested-prop-atoms } \varphi)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.3 Intersecting the Advice Set

lemma $GF\text{-advice-inter}$:

$X \cap \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi \subseteq S \implies \varphi[X \cap S]_\nu = \varphi[X]_\nu$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $GF\text{-advice-inter-subformulas}$:

$\varphi[X \cap \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi]_\nu = \varphi[X]_\nu$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $GF\text{-advice-minus-subformulas}$:

$\psi \notin \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi \implies \varphi[X - \{\psi\}]_\nu = \varphi[X]_\nu$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $GF\text{-advice-minus-size}$:

$\llbracket \text{size } \varphi \leq \text{size } \psi; \varphi \neq \psi \rrbracket \implies \varphi[X - \{\psi\}]_\nu = \varphi[X]_\nu$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $FG\text{-advice-inter}$:

$Y \cap \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi \subseteq S \implies \varphi[Y \cap S]_\mu = \varphi[Y]_\mu$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $FG\text{-advice-inter-subformulas}$:

$\varphi[Y \cap \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi]_\mu = \varphi[Y]_\mu$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $FG\text{-advice-minus-subformulas}$:

$\psi \notin \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi \implies \varphi[Y - \{\psi\}]_\mu = \varphi[Y]_\mu$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *FG-advice-minus-size*:

$\llbracket \text{size } \varphi \leq \text{size } \psi; \varphi \neq \psi \rrbracket \implies \varphi[Y - \{\psi\}]_\mu = \varphi[Y]_\mu$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *FG-advice-insert*:

$\llbracket \psi \notin Y; \text{size } \varphi < \text{size } \psi \rrbracket \implies \varphi[\text{insert } \psi \ Y]_\mu = \varphi[Y]_\mu$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.4 Correctness GF-advice function

lemma *GF-advice-a1*:

$\llbracket \mathcal{F} \varphi w \subseteq X; w \models_n \varphi \rrbracket \implies w \models_n \varphi[X]_\nu$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *GF-advice-a2-helper*:

$\llbracket \forall \psi \in X. w \models_n G_n(F_n \psi); w \models_n \varphi[X]_\nu \rrbracket \implies w \models_n \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *GF-advice-a2*:

$\llbracket X \subseteq \mathcal{GF} \varphi w; w \models_n \varphi[X]_\nu \rrbracket \implies w \models_n \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *GF-advice-a3*:

$\llbracket X = \mathcal{F} \varphi w; X = \mathcal{GF} \varphi w \rrbracket \implies w \models_n \varphi \longleftrightarrow w \models_n \varphi[X]_\nu$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.5 Correctness FG-advice function

lemma *FG-advice-b1*:

$\llbracket \mathcal{FG} \varphi w \subseteq Y; w \models_n \varphi \rrbracket \implies w \models_n \varphi[Y]_\mu$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *FG-advice-b2-helper*:

$\llbracket \forall \psi \in Y. w \models_n G_n \psi; w \models_n \varphi[Y]_\mu \rrbracket \implies w \models_n \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *FG-advice-b2*:

$\llbracket Y \subseteq \mathcal{G} \varphi w; w \models_n \varphi[Y]_\mu \rrbracket \implies w \models_n \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *FG-advice-b3*:

$\llbracket Y = \mathcal{F}\mathcal{G} \varphi w; Y = \mathcal{G} \varphi w \rrbracket \implies w \models_n \varphi \longleftrightarrow w \models_n \varphi[Y]_\mu$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.6 Advice Functions and the “after” Function

lemma *GF-advice-af-letter*:

$(x \# \# w) \models_n \varphi[X]_\nu \implies w \models_n (\text{af-letter } \varphi x)[X]_\nu$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *FG-advice-af-letter*:

$w \models_n (\text{af-letter } \varphi x)[Y]_\mu \implies (x \# \# w) \models_n \varphi[Y]_\mu$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *GF-advice-af*:

$(w \frown w') \models_n \varphi[X]_\nu \implies w' \models_n (\text{af } \varphi w)[X]_\nu$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *FG-advice-af*:

$w' \models_n (\text{af } \varphi w)[X]_\mu \implies (w \frown w') \models_n \varphi[X]_\mu$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *GF-advice-af-2*:

$w \models_n \varphi[X]_\nu \implies \text{suffix } i w \models_n (\text{af } \varphi (\text{prefix } i w))[X]_\nu$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *FG-advice-af-2*:

$\text{suffix } i w \models_n (\text{af } \varphi (\text{prefix } i w))[X]_\mu \implies w \models_n \varphi[X]_\mu$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prefix-suffix-subsequence*: $\text{prefix } i (\text{suffix } j w) = (w [j \rightarrow i + j])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

We show this generic lemma to prove the following theorems:

lemma *GF-advice-sync*:

```
fixes index :: nat ⇒ nat
fixes formula :: nat ⇒ 'a ltl
assumes ∀i. i < n ⇒ ∃j. suffix ((index i) + j) w ≡n af (formula i)
(w [index i → (index i) + j])[X]_ν
shows ∃k. (∀i < n. k ≥ index i ∧ suffix k w ≡n af (formula i)) (w [index
i → k])[X]_ν
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma *GF-advice-sync-and*:

assumes $\exists i. \text{suffix } i w \models_n af \varphi (\text{prefix } i w)[X]_\nu$
assumes $\exists i. \text{suffix } i w \models_n af \psi (\text{prefix } i w)[X]_\nu$
shows $\exists i. \text{suffix } i w \models_n af \varphi (\text{prefix } i w)[X]_\nu \wedge \text{suffix } i w \models_n af \psi (\text{prefix } i w)[X]_\nu$
(proof)

lemma *GF-advice-sync-less*:

assumes $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies \exists j. \text{suffix } (i + j) w \models_n af \varphi (w [i \rightarrow j + i])[X]_\nu$
assumes $\exists j. \text{suffix } (n + j) w \models_n af \psi (w [n \rightarrow j + n])[X]_\nu$
shows $\exists k \geq n. (\forall j < n. \text{suffix } k w \models_n af \varphi (w [j \rightarrow k])[X]_\nu) \wedge \text{suffix } k w \models_n af \psi (w [n \rightarrow k])[X]_\nu$
(proof)

lemma *GF-advice-sync-lesseq*:

assumes $\bigwedge i. i \leq n \implies \exists j. \text{suffix } (i + j) w \models_n af \varphi (w [i \rightarrow j + i])[X]_\nu$
assumes $\exists j. \text{suffix } (n + j) w \models_n af \psi (w [n \rightarrow j + n])[X]_\nu$
shows $\exists k \geq n. (\forall j \leq n. \text{suffix } k w \models_n af \varphi (w [j \rightarrow k])[X]_\nu) \wedge \text{suffix } k w \models_n af \psi (w [n \rightarrow k])[X]_\nu$
(proof)

lemma *af-subsequence-U-GF-advice*:

assumes $i \leq n$
assumes $\text{suffix } n w \models_n ((af \psi (w [i \rightarrow n]))[X]_\nu)$
assumes $\bigwedge j. j < i \implies \text{suffix } n w \models_n ((af \varphi (w [j \rightarrow n]))[X]_\nu)$
shows $\text{suffix } (\text{Suc } n) w \models_n (af (\varphi U_n \psi) (\text{prefix } (\text{Suc } n) w))[X]_\nu$
(proof)

lemma *af-subsequence-M-GF-advice*:

assumes $i \leq n$
assumes $\text{suffix } n w \models_n ((af \varphi (w [i \rightarrow n]))[X]_\nu)$
assumes $\bigwedge j. j \leq i \implies \text{suffix } n w \models_n ((af \psi (w [j \rightarrow n]))[X]_\nu)$
shows $\text{suffix } (\text{Suc } n) w \models_n (af (\varphi M_n \psi) (\text{prefix } (\text{Suc } n) w))[X]_\nu$
(proof)

lemma *af-subsequence-R-GF-advice*:

assumes $i \leq n$
assumes $\text{suffix } n w \models_n ((af \varphi (w [i \rightarrow n]))[X]_\nu)$
assumes $\bigwedge j. j \leq i \implies \text{suffix } n w \models_n ((af \psi (w [j \rightarrow n]))[X]_\nu)$
shows $\text{suffix } (\text{Suc } n) w \models_n (af (\varphi R_n \psi) (\text{prefix } (\text{Suc } n) w))[X]_\nu$
(proof)

lemma *af-subsequence-W-GF-advice*:

assumes $i \leq n$
assumes $\text{suffix } n w \models_n ((af \psi (w [i \rightarrow n]))[X]_\nu)$

assumes $\bigwedge j. j < i \implies \text{suffix } n w \models_n ((af \varphi (w[j \rightarrow n]))[X]_\nu)$
shows $\text{suffix } (\text{Suc } n) w \models_n (af (\varphi W_n \psi) (\text{prefix } (\text{Suc } n) w))[X]_\nu$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *af-subsequence-R-GF-advice-connect*:

assumes $i \leq n$
assumes $\text{suffix } n w \models_n af (\varphi R_n \psi) (w[i \rightarrow n])[X]_\nu$
assumes $\bigwedge j. j \leq i \implies \text{suffix } n w \models_n ((af \psi (w[j \rightarrow n]))[X]_\nu)$
shows $\text{suffix } (\text{Suc } n) w \models_n (af (\varphi R_n \psi) (\text{prefix } (\text{Suc } n) w))[X]_\nu$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *af-subsequence-W-GF-advice-connect*:

assumes $i \leq n$
assumes $\text{suffix } n w \models_n af (\varphi W_n \psi) (w[i \rightarrow n])[X]_\nu$
assumes $\bigwedge j. j < i \implies \text{suffix } n w \models_n ((af \varphi (w[j \rightarrow n]))[X]_\nu)$
shows $\text{suffix } (\text{Suc } n) w \models_n (af (\varphi W_n \psi) (\text{prefix } (\text{Suc } n) w))[X]_\nu$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.7 Advice Functions and Propositional Entailment

lemma *GF-advice-prop-entailment*:

$\mathcal{A} \models_P \varphi[X]_\nu \implies \{\psi. \psi[X]_\nu \in \mathcal{A}\} \models_P \varphi$
 $false_n \notin \mathcal{A} \implies \{\psi. \psi[X]_\nu \in \mathcal{A}\} \models_P \varphi \implies \mathcal{A} \models_P \varphi[X]_\nu$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *GF-advice-iff-prop-entailment*:

$false_n \notin \mathcal{A} \implies \mathcal{A} \models_P \varphi[X]_\nu \longleftrightarrow \{\psi. \psi[X]_\nu \in \mathcal{A}\} \models_P \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *FG-advice-prop-entailment*:

$true_n \in \mathcal{A} \implies \mathcal{A} \models_P \varphi[Y]_\mu \implies \{\psi. \psi[Y]_\mu \in \mathcal{A}\} \models_P \varphi$
 $\{\psi. \psi[Y]_\mu \in \mathcal{A}\} \models_P \varphi \implies \mathcal{A} \models_P \varphi[Y]_\mu$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *FG-advice-iff-prop-entailment*:

$true_n \in \mathcal{A} \implies \mathcal{A} \models_P \varphi[X]_\mu \longleftrightarrow \{\psi. \psi[X]_\mu \in \mathcal{A}\} \models_P \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *GF-advice-subst*:

$\varphi[X]_\nu = \text{subst } \varphi (\lambda\psi. \text{Some } (\psi[X]_\nu))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *FG-advice-subst*:

$\varphi[X]_\mu = \text{subst } \varphi (\lambda\psi. \text{Some } (\psi[X]_\mu))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *GF-advice-prop-congruent*:

$$\varphi \rightarrow_P \psi \implies \varphi[X]_\nu \rightarrow_P \psi[X]_\nu$$

$$\varphi \sim_P \psi \implies \varphi[X]_\nu \sim_P \psi[X]_\nu$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *FG-advice-prop-congruent*:

$$\varphi \rightarrow_P \psi \implies \varphi[X]_\mu \rightarrow_P \psi[X]_\mu$$

$$\varphi \sim_P \psi \implies \varphi[X]_\mu \sim_P \psi[X]_\mu$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

3.8 GF-advice with Equivalence Relations

locale *GF-advice-congruent* = *ltl-equivalence* +

fixes

$$normalise :: 'a ltl n \Rightarrow 'a ltl n$$

assumes

$$normalise\text{-}eq: \varphi \sim normalise \varphi$$

assumes

$$normalise\text{-}monotonic: w \models_n \varphi[X]_\nu \implies w \models_n (normalise \varphi)[X]_\nu$$

assumes

$$normalise\text{-}eventually\text{-}equivalent:$$

$$w \models_n (normalise \varphi)[X]_\nu \implies (\exists i. suffix i w \models_n (af \varphi (prefix i w))[X]_\nu)$$

assumes

$$GF\text{-}advice\text{-}congruent: \varphi \sim \psi \implies (normalise \varphi)[X]_\nu \sim (normalise \psi)[X]_\nu$$

begin

lemma *normalise-language-equivalent[simp]*:

$$w \models_n normalise \varphi \longleftrightarrow w \models_n \varphi$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

interpretation *prop-GF-advice-compatible*: *GF-advice-congruent* (\sim_P) *id*

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

4 The Master Theorem

theory *Master-Theorem*

imports

Advice After

begin

4.1 Checking $X \subseteq \mathcal{GF} \varphi w$ and $Y \subseteq \mathcal{FG} \varphi w$

lemma $X\text{-}\mathcal{GF}$ - $Y\text{-}\mathcal{FG}$:

assumes

$X\text{-}\mu: X \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi$

and

$Y\text{-}\nu: Y \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi$

and

$X\text{-}GF: \forall \psi \in X. w \models_n G_n (F_n \psi[Y]_\mu)$

and

$Y\text{-}FG: \forall \psi \in Y. w \models_n F_n (G_n \psi[X]_\nu)$

shows

$X \subseteq \mathcal{GF} \varphi w \wedge Y \subseteq \mathcal{FG} \varphi w$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma \mathcal{GF} -implies- GF :

$\forall \psi \in \mathcal{GF} \varphi w. w \models_n G_n (F_n \psi[\mathcal{FG} \varphi w]_\mu)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma \mathcal{FG} -implies- FG :

$\forall \psi \in \mathcal{FG} \varphi w. w \models_n F_n (G_n \psi[\mathcal{GF} \varphi w]_\nu)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.2 Putting the pieces together: The Master Theorem

theorem *master-theorem-ltr*:

assumes

$w \models_n \varphi$

obtains X and Y **where**

$X \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi$

and

$Y \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi$

and

$\exists i. \text{suffix } i w \models_n af \varphi (\text{prefix } i w)[X]_\nu$

and

$\forall \psi \in X. w \models_n G_n (F_n \psi[Y]_\mu)$

and

$\forall \psi \in Y. w \models_n F_n (G_n \psi[X]_\nu)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *master-theorem-rtl*:

assumes

$$X \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi$$

and

$$Y \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi$$

and

$$1: \exists i. \text{suffix } i w \models_n \text{af } \varphi (\text{prefix } i w)[X]_\nu$$

and

$$2: \forall \psi \in X. w \models_n G_n (F_n \psi[Y]_\mu)$$

and

$$3: \forall \psi \in Y. w \models_n F_n (G_n \psi[X]_\nu)$$

shows

$$w \models_n \varphi$$

⟨proof⟩

theorem *master-theorem*:

$$w \models_n \varphi \longleftrightarrow$$

$$(\exists X \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi.$$

$$(\exists Y \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi.$$

$$(\exists i. \text{suffix } i w \models_n \text{af } \varphi (\text{prefix } i w)[X]_\nu)$$

$$\wedge (\forall \psi \in X. w \models_n G_n (F_n \psi[Y]_\mu))$$

$$\wedge (\forall \psi \in Y. w \models_n F_n (G_n \psi[X]_\nu)))$$

⟨proof⟩

4.3 The Master Theorem on Languages

definition $L_1 \varphi X = \{w. \exists i. \text{suffix } i w \models_n \text{af } \varphi (\text{prefix } i w)[X]_\nu\}$

definition $L_2 X Y = \{w. \forall \psi \in X. w \models_n G_n (F_n \psi[Y]_\mu)\}$

definition $L_3 X Y = \{w. \forall \psi \in Y. w \models_n F_n (G_n \psi[X]_\nu)\}$

corollary *master-theorem-language*:

language-ltln $\varphi = \bigcup \{L_1 \varphi X \cap L_2 X Y \cap L_3 X Y \mid X Y. X \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi \wedge Y \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi\}$
⟨proof⟩

end

5 Asymmetric Variant of the Master Theorem

theory *Asymmetric-Master-Theorem*

imports

Advice After

begin

This variant of the Master Theorem fixes only a subset Y of νLTL subformulas and all conditions depend on the index i . While this does not lead to a simple DRA construction, but can be used to build NBAs and LDBAs.

lemma *FG-advice-b1-helper*:

$$\psi \in \text{subfrmlsn } \varphi \implies \text{suffix } i w \models_n \psi \implies \text{suffix } i w \models_n \psi[\mathcal{FG} \varphi w]_\mu$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *FG-advice-b2-helper*:

$$S \subseteq \mathcal{G} \varphi (\text{suffix } i w) \implies i \leq j \implies \text{suffix } j w \models_n \psi[S]_\mu \implies \text{suffix } j w \models_n \psi$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Y-G*:

assumes

$$Y\text{-}\nu: Y \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi$$

and

$$Y\text{-}G\text{-}1: \forall \psi_1 \psi_2. \psi_1 R_n \psi_2 \in Y \longrightarrow \text{suffix } i w \models_n G_n (\psi_2[Y]_\mu)$$

and

$$Y\text{-}G\text{-}2: \forall \psi_1 \psi_2. \psi_1 W_n \psi_2 \in Y \longrightarrow \text{suffix } i w \models_n G_n (\psi_1[Y]_\mu \text{ or}_n \psi_2[Y]_\mu)$$

shows

$$Y \subseteq \mathcal{G} \varphi (\text{suffix } i w)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *asymmetric-master-theorem-ltr*:

assumes

$$w \models_n \varphi$$

obtains *Y and i where*

$$Y \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi$$

and

$$\text{suffix } i w \models_n af \varphi (\text{prefix } i w)[Y]_\mu$$

and

$$\forall \psi_1 \psi_2. \psi_1 R_n \psi_2 \in Y \longrightarrow \text{suffix } i w \models_n G_n (\psi_2[Y]_\mu)$$

and

$$\forall \psi_1 \psi_2. \psi_1 W_n \psi_2 \in Y \longrightarrow \text{suffix } i w \models_n G_n (\psi_1[Y]_\mu \text{ or}_n \psi_2[Y]_\mu)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *asymmetric-master-theorem-rtl*:

assumes

$$1: Y \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi$$

and

$$2: \text{suffix } i w \models_n af \varphi (\text{prefix } i w)[Y]_\mu$$

and

3: $\forall \psi_1 \psi_2. \psi_1 R_n \psi_2 \in Y \longrightarrow \text{suffix } i w \models_n G_n (\psi_2[Y]_\mu)$

and

4: $\forall \psi_1 \psi_2. \psi_1 W_n \psi_2 \in Y \longrightarrow \text{suffix } i w \models_n G_n (\psi_1[Y]_\mu \text{ or}_n \psi_2[Y]_\mu)$

shows

$w \models_n \varphi$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem asymmetric-master-theorem:

$w \models_n \varphi \longleftrightarrow$

$(\exists i. \exists Y \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi.$

$\text{suffix } i w \models_n \text{af } \varphi \text{ (prefix } i w)[Y]_\mu$

$\wedge (\forall \psi_1 \psi_2. \psi_1 R_n \psi_2 \in Y \longrightarrow \text{suffix } i w \models_n G_n (\psi_2[Y]_\mu))$

$\wedge (\forall \psi_1 \psi_2. \psi_1 W_n \psi_2 \in Y \longrightarrow \text{suffix } i w \models_n G_n (\psi_1[Y]_\mu \text{ or}_n \psi_2[Y]_\mu)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

6 Master Theorem with Reduced Subformulas

theory Restricted-Master-Theorem

imports

Master-Theorem

begin

6.1 Restricted Set of Subformulas

fun *restricted-subformulas-inner* :: '*a* ltl*n* \Rightarrow '*a* ltl*n* set

where

restricted-subformulas-inner ($\varphi \text{ and}_n \psi$) = *restricted-subformulas-inner* φ
 \cup *restricted-subformulas-inner* ψ
| *restricted-subformulas-inner* ($\varphi \text{ or}_n \psi$) = *restricted-subformulas-inner* φ
 \cup *restricted-subformulas-inner* ψ
| *restricted-subformulas-inner* ($X_n \varphi$) = *restricted-subformulas-inner* φ
| *restricted-subformulas-inner* ($\varphi U_n \psi$) = *subformulas* _{ν} ($\varphi U_n \psi$) \cup *subformulas* _{μ} ($\varphi U_n \psi$)
| *restricted-subformulas-inner* ($\varphi R_n \psi$) = *restricted-subformulas-inner* φ \cup
restricted-subformulas-inner ψ
| *restricted-subformulas-inner* ($\varphi W_n \psi$) = *restricted-subformulas-inner* φ
 \cup *restricted-subformulas-inner* ψ
| *restricted-subformulas-inner* ($\varphi M_n \psi$) = *subformulas* _{ν} ($\varphi M_n \psi$) \cup *subformulas* _{μ} ($\varphi M_n \psi$)
| *restricted-subformulas-inner* - = {}

```

fun restricted-subformulas :: 'a ltnl  $\Rightarrow$  'a ltnl set
where
  restricted-subformulas ( $\varphi$  andn  $\psi$ ) = restricted-subformulas  $\varphi$   $\cup$  restricted-subformulas  $\psi$ 
  | restricted-subformulas ( $\varphi$  orn  $\psi$ ) = restricted-subformulas  $\varphi$   $\cup$  restricted-subformulas  $\psi$ 
  | restricted-subformulas ( $X_n \varphi$ ) = restricted-subformulas  $\varphi$ 
  | restricted-subformulas ( $\varphi U_n \psi$ ) = restricted-subformulas  $\varphi$   $\cup$  restricted-subformulas  $\psi$ 
  | restricted-subformulas ( $\varphi R_n \psi$ ) = restricted-subformulas  $\varphi$   $\cup$  restricted-subformulas-inner  $\psi$ 
  | restricted-subformulas ( $\varphi W_n \psi$ ) = restricted-subformulas-inner  $\varphi$   $\cup$  restricted-subformulas  $\psi$ 
  | restricted-subformulas ( $\varphi M_n \psi$ ) = restricted-subformulas  $\varphi$   $\cup$  restricted-subformulas  $\psi$ 
  | restricted-subformulas - = {}

lemma GF-advice-restricted-subformulas-inner:
  restricted-subformulas-inner ( $\varphi[X]_\nu$ ) = {}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma GF-advice-restricted-subformulas:
  restricted-subformulas ( $\varphi[X]_\nu$ ) = {}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma restricted-subformulas-inner-subset:
  restricted-subformulas-inner  $\varphi$   $\subseteq$  subformulas $\nu$   $\varphi$   $\cup$  subformulas $\mu$   $\varphi$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma restricted-subformulas-subset':
  restricted-subformulas  $\varphi$   $\subseteq$  restricted-subformulas-inner  $\varphi$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma restricted-subformulas-subset:
  restricted-subformulas  $\varphi$   $\subseteq$  subformulas $\nu$   $\varphi$   $\cup$  subformulas $\mu$   $\varphi$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma restricted-subformulas-size:
   $\psi \in$  restricted-subformulas  $\varphi \implies$  size  $\psi <$  size  $\varphi$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma restricted-subformulas-notin:
   $\varphi \notin$  restricted-subformulas  $\varphi$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *restricted-subformulas-superset*:

$\psi \in \text{restricted-subformulas } \varphi \implies \text{subformulas}_\nu \psi \cup \text{subformulas}_\mu \psi \subseteq \text{restricted-subformulas } \varphi$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restricted-subformulas-W-μ*:

$\text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi \subseteq \text{restricted-subformulas} (\varphi \ W_n \ \psi)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restricted-subformulas-R-μ*:

$\text{subformulas}_\mu \psi \subseteq \text{restricted-subformulas} (\varphi \ R_n \ \psi)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restrict-af-letter*:

$\text{restricted-subformulas} (\text{af-letter } \varphi \ \sigma) = \text{restricted-subformulas } \varphi$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restrict-af*:

$\text{restricted-subformulas} (\text{af } \varphi \ w) = \text{restricted-subformulas } \varphi$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

6.2 Restricted Master Theorem / Lemmas

lemma *delay-2*:

assumes μ -stable $\varphi \ w$

assumes $w \models_n \varphi$

shows $\exists i. \text{suffix } i \ w \models_n \text{af } \varphi \ (\text{prefix } i \ w)[\{\psi. \ w \models_n G_n (F_n \ \psi)\} \cap \text{restricted-subformulas } \varphi]_\nu$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *master-theorem-restricted*:

$w \models_n \varphi \iff$

$(\exists X \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi \cap \text{restricted-subformulas } \varphi.$

$(\exists Y \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi \cap \text{restricted-subformulas } \varphi.$

$(\exists i. (\text{suffix } i \ w \models_n \text{af } \varphi \ (\text{prefix } i \ w)[X]_\nu)$

$\wedge (\forall \psi \in X. \ w \models_n G_n (F_n \ \psi[Y]_\mu))$

$\wedge (\forall \psi \in Y. \ w \models_n F_n (G_n \ \psi[X]_\nu))))$

(is $?lhs \iff ?rhs$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *master-theorem-restricted-language*:

$\text{language-ltn } \varphi = \bigcup \{L_1 \ \varphi \ X \cap L_2 \ X \ Y \cap L_3 \ X \ Y \mid X \ Y. \ X \subseteq$

$\text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi \cap \text{restricted-subformulas } \varphi \wedge Y \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi \cap \text{restricted-subformulas } \varphi \}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

6.3 Definitions with Lists for Code Export

definition $\text{restricted-advice-sets} :: 'a ltn \Rightarrow ('a ltn list \times 'a ltn list) list$
where

$\text{restricted-advice-sets } \varphi = \text{List.product} (\text{subseqs} (\text{List.filter} (\lambda x. x \in \text{restricted-subformulas } \varphi) (\text{subformulas}_\mu \text{-list } \varphi))) (\text{subseqs} (\text{List.filter} (\lambda x. x \in \text{restricted-subformulas } \varphi) (\text{subformulas}_\nu \text{-list } \varphi))))$

lemma $\text{subseqs-subformulas}_\mu\text{-restricted-list}:$

$X \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi \cap \text{restricted-subformulas } \varphi \longleftrightarrow (\exists xs. X = \text{set } xs \wedge xs \in \text{set} (\text{subseqs} (\text{List.filter} (\lambda x. x \in \text{restricted-subformulas } \varphi) (\text{subformulas}_\mu \text{-list } \varphi)))))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{subseqs-subformulas}_\nu\text{-restricted-list}:$

$Y \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi \cap \text{restricted-subformulas } \varphi \longleftrightarrow (\exists ys. Y = \text{set } ys \wedge ys \in \text{set} (\text{subseqs} (\text{List.filter} (\lambda x. x \in \text{restricted-subformulas } \varphi) (\text{subformulas}_\nu \text{-list } \varphi)))))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{restricted-advice-sets-subformulas}:$

$X \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\mu \varphi \cap \text{restricted-subformulas } \varphi \wedge Y \subseteq \text{subformulas}_\nu \varphi \cap \text{restricted-subformulas } \varphi \longleftrightarrow (\exists xs ys. X = \text{set } xs \wedge Y = \text{set } ys \wedge (xs, ys) \in \text{set} (\text{restricted-advice-sets } \varphi))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{restricted-advice-sets-not-empty}:$

$\text{restricted-advice-sets } \varphi \neq []$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

7 Transition Functions for Deterministic Automata

theory *Transition-Functions*
imports
../Logical-Characterization/After
../Logical-Characterization/Advice
begin

This theory defines three functions based on the “after”-function which we use as transition functions for deterministic automata.

```
locale transition-functions =
  af-congruent + GF-advice-congruent
begin
```

7.1 After Functions with Resets for $GF \mu LTL$ and $FG \nu LTL$

definition $af\text{-letter}_F :: 'a ltl \Rightarrow 'a ltl \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a ltl$
where

$$af\text{-letter}_F \varphi \psi \nu = (\text{if } \psi \sim \text{true}_n \text{ then } F_n \varphi \text{ else } af\text{-letter} \psi \nu)$$

definition $af\text{-letter}_G :: 'a ltl \Rightarrow 'a ltl \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a ltl$
where

$$af\text{-letter}_G \varphi \psi \nu = (\text{if } \psi \sim \text{false}_n \text{ then } G_n \varphi \text{ else } af\text{-letter} \psi \nu)$$

abbreviation $af_F :: 'a ltl \Rightarrow 'a ltl \Rightarrow 'a set list \Rightarrow 'a ltl$
where

$$af_F \varphi \psi w \equiv foldl (af\text{-letter}_F \varphi) \psi w$$

abbreviation $af_G :: 'a ltl \Rightarrow 'a ltl \Rightarrow 'a set list \Rightarrow 'a ltl$
where

$$af_G \varphi \psi w \equiv foldl (af\text{-letter}_G \varphi) \psi w$$

lemma $af_F\text{-step}:$

$$af_F \varphi \psi w \sim \text{true}_n \implies af_F \varphi \psi (w @ [\nu]) = F_n \varphi$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af_G\text{-step}:$

$$af_G \varphi \psi w \sim \text{false}_n \implies af_G \varphi \psi (w @ [\nu]) = G_n \varphi$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af_F\text{-segments}:$

$$af_F \varphi \psi w = F_n \varphi \implies af_F \varphi \psi (w @ w') = af_F \varphi (F_n \varphi) w'$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af_G\text{-segments}:$

$$af_G \varphi \psi w = G_n \varphi \implies af_G \varphi \psi (w @ w') = af_G \varphi (G_n \varphi) w'$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af\text{-not-true-implies-af>equals-af}_F:$

$$(\bigwedge xs ys. w = xs @ ys \implies \neg af \psi xs \sim \text{true}_n) \implies af_F \varphi \psi w = af \psi w$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af\text{-not-false-implies-af-equals-af}_G$:

$$(\bigwedge_{xs \ ys} w = xs @ ys \implies \neg af \psi xs \sim false_n) \implies af_G \varphi \psi w = af \psi w$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af_F\text{-not-true-implies-af-equals-af}_F$:

$$(\bigwedge_{xs \ ys} w = xs @ ys \implies \neg af_F \varphi \psi xs \sim true_n) \implies af_F \varphi \psi w = af \psi w$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af_G\text{-not-false-implies-af-equals-af}_G$:

$$(\bigwedge_{xs \ ys} w = xs @ ys \implies \neg af_G \varphi \psi xs \sim false_n) \implies af_G \varphi \psi w = af \psi w$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af_F\text{-true-implies-af-true}$:

$$af_F \varphi \psi w \sim true_n \implies af \psi w \sim true_n$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af_G\text{-false-implies-af-false}$:

$$af_G \varphi \psi w \sim false_n \implies af \psi w \sim false_n$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af\text{-equiv-true-af}_F\text{-prefix-true}$:

$$af \psi w \sim true_n \implies \exists xs \ ys. w = xs @ ys \wedge af_F \varphi \psi xs \sim true_n$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af\text{-equiv-false-af}_G\text{-prefix-false}$:

$$af \psi w \sim false_n \implies \exists xs \ ys. w = xs @ ys \wedge af_G \varphi \psi xs \sim false_n$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $append\text{-take}\text{-drop}$:

$$w = xs @ ys \longleftrightarrow xs = take (length xs) w \wedge ys = drop (length xs) w$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $subsequence\text{-split}$:

$$(w [i \rightarrow j]) = xs @ ys \implies xs = (w [i \rightarrow i + length xs])$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subsequence-append-general*:

$$i \leq k \Rightarrow k \leq j \Rightarrow (w [i \rightarrow j]) = (w [i \rightarrow k]) @ (w [k \rightarrow j])$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af_F-semantics-rtl*:

assumes

$$\forall i. \exists j > i. af_F \varphi (F_n \varphi) (w [0 \rightarrow j]) \sim true_n$$

shows

$$\forall i. \exists j. af (F_n \varphi) (w [i \rightarrow j]) \sim_L true_n$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af_F-semantics-ltr*:

assumes

$$\forall i. \exists j. af (F_n \varphi) (w [i \rightarrow j]) \sim true_n$$

shows

$$\forall i. \exists j > i. af_F \varphi (F_n \varphi) (w [0 \rightarrow j]) \sim true_n$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af_G-semantics-rtl*:

assumes

$$\exists i. \forall j > i. \neg af_G \varphi (G_n \varphi) (w [0 \rightarrow j]) \sim false_n$$

shows

$$\exists i. \forall j. \neg af (G_n \varphi) (w [i \rightarrow j]) \sim false_n$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *af_G-semantics-ltr*:

assumes

$$\exists i. \forall j. \neg af (G_n \varphi) (w [i \rightarrow j]) \sim_L false_n$$

shows

$$\exists i. \forall j > i. \neg af_G \varphi (G_n \varphi) (w [0 \rightarrow j]) \sim false_n$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

7.2 After Function using GF-advice

definition *af-letter_v* :: '*a* ltl*n* set \Rightarrow '*a* ltl*n* \times '*a* ltl*n* \Rightarrow '*a* set \Rightarrow '*a* ltl*n* \times '*a* ltl*n*

where

$$\begin{aligned} af\text{-letter}_v X p \nu = & (if \, snd \, p \sim false_n \\ & then (af\text{-letter} (fst \, p) \nu, (normalise (af\text{-letter} (fst \, p) \nu)) [X]_\nu) \\ & else (af\text{-letter} (fst \, p) \nu, af\text{-letter} (snd \, p) \nu)) \end{aligned}$$

abbreviation *af_v* :: '*a* ltl*n* set \Rightarrow '*a* ltl*n* \times '*a* ltl*n* \Rightarrow '*a* set list \Rightarrow '*a* ltl*n* \times

'a ltln

where

$$af_\nu X p w \equiv foldl (af-letter_\nu X) p w$$

lemma $af-letter_\nu$ - fst [simp]:

$$fst (af-letter_\nu X p \nu) = af-letter (fst p) \nu$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af-letter_\nu$ - snd [simp]:

$$snd p \sim false_n \implies snd (af-letter_\nu X p \nu) = (normalise (af-letter (fst p) \nu)) [X]_\nu$$

$$\neg (snd p) \sim false_n \implies snd (af-letter_\nu X p \nu) = af-letter (snd p) \nu$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma af_ν - fst :

$$fst (af_\nu X p w) = af (fst p) w$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma af_ν - snd :

$$\neg af (snd p) w \sim false_n \implies snd (af_\nu X p w) = af (snd p) w$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma af_ν - snd' :

$$\forall i. \neg snd (af_\nu X p (take i w)) \sim false_n \implies snd (af_\nu X p w) = af (snd p) w$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma af_ν - $step$:

$$snd (af_\nu X (\xi, \zeta) w) \sim false_n \implies snd (af_\nu X (\xi, \zeta) (w @ [\nu])) = (normalise (af \xi (w @ [\nu]))) [X]_\nu$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma af_ν - $segments$:

$$af_\nu X (\xi, \zeta) w = (af \xi w, (af \xi w) [X]_\nu) \implies af_\nu X (\xi, \zeta) (w @ w') = af_\nu X (af \xi w, (af \xi w) [X]_\nu) w'$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma af_ν - $semantics-ltr$:

assumes

$$\exists i. suffix i w \models_n (af \varphi (prefix i w)) [X]_\nu$$

shows

$$\exists m. \forall k \geq m. \neg snd (af_\nu X (\varphi, (normalise \varphi) [X]_\nu) (prefix (Suc k) w)) \sim false_n$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma af_ν -semantics-rtl:

assumes

$\exists n. \forall k \geq n. \neg \text{snd} (af_\nu X (\varphi, (\text{normalise } \varphi)[X]_\nu) (\text{prefix } (\text{Suc } k) w)) \sim \text{false}_n$

shows

$\exists i. \text{suffix } i w \models_n af \varphi (\text{prefix } i w)[X]_\nu$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

7.3 Reachability Bounds

We show that the reach of each after-function is bounded by the atomic propositions of the input formula.

locale transition-functions-size = transition-functions +

assumes

$\text{normalise-nested-propos}: \text{nested-prop-atoms } \varphi \supseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms } (\text{normalise } \varphi)$

begin

lemma $af\text{-letter}_F\text{-nested-prop-atoms}$:

$\text{nested-prop-atoms } \psi \subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms } (F_n \varphi) \implies \text{nested-prop-atoms } (af\text{-letter}_F \varphi \psi \nu) \subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms } (F_n \varphi)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af_F\text{-nested-prop-atoms}$:

$\text{nested-prop-atoms } \psi \subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms } (F_n \varphi) \implies \text{nested-prop-atoms } (af_F \varphi \psi w) \subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms } (F_n \varphi)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af\text{-letter}_F\text{-range}$:

$\text{nested-prop-atoms } \psi \subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms } (F_n \varphi) \implies \text{range } (af\text{-letter}_F \varphi \psi) \subseteq \{\psi. \text{nested-prop-atoms } \psi \subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms } (F_n \varphi)\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af_F\text{-range}$:

$\text{nested-prop-atoms } \psi \subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms } (F_n \varphi) \implies \text{range } (af_F \varphi \psi) \subseteq \{\psi. \text{nested-prop-atoms } \psi \subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms } (F_n \varphi)\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af\text{-letter}_G\text{-nested-prop-atoms}$:

nested-prop-atoms $\psi \subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms} (G_n \varphi) \implies \text{nested-prop-atoms} (\text{af-letter}_G \varphi \psi \nu) \subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms} (G_n \varphi)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{af}_G\text{-nested-prop-atoms}$:

nested-prop-atoms $\psi \subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms} (G_n \varphi) \implies \text{nested-prop-atoms} (\text{af}_G \varphi \psi w) \subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms} (G_n \varphi)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{af-letter}_G\text{-range}$:

nested-prop-atoms $\psi \subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms} (G_n \varphi) \implies \text{range} (\text{af-letter}_G \varphi \psi) \subseteq \{\psi. \text{nested-prop-atoms} \psi \subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms} (G_n \varphi)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{af}_G\text{-range}$:

nested-prop-atoms $\psi \subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms} (G_n \varphi) \implies \text{range} (\text{af}_G \varphi \psi) \subseteq \{\psi. \text{nested-prop-atoms} \psi \subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms} (G_n \varphi)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{af-letter}_\nu\text{-snd-nested-prop-atoms-helper}$:

$\text{snd } p \sim \text{false}_n \implies \text{nested-prop-atoms} (\text{snd} (\text{af-letter}_\nu X p \nu)) \subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms}_\nu (\text{fst } p) X$
 $\neg \text{snd } p \sim \text{false}_n \implies \text{nested-prop-atoms} (\text{snd} (\text{af-letter}_\nu X p \nu)) \subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms} (\text{snd } p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{af-letter}_\nu\text{-fst-nested-prop-atoms}$:

nested-prop-atoms $(\text{fst} (\text{af-letter}_\nu X p \nu)) \subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms} (\text{fst } p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{af-letter}_\nu\text{-snd-nested-prop-atoms}$:

nested-prop-atoms $(\text{snd} (\text{af-letter}_\nu X p \nu)) \subseteq (\text{nested-prop-atoms}_\nu (\text{fst } p) X) \cup (\text{nested-prop-atoms} (\text{snd } p))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{af-letter}_\nu\text{-fst-range}$:

$\text{range} (\text{fst} \circ \text{af-letter}_\nu X p) \subseteq \{\psi. \text{nested-prop-atoms} \psi \subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms} (\text{fst } p)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{af-letter}_\nu\text{-snd-range}$:

$\text{range} (\text{snd} \circ \text{af-letter}_\nu X p) \subseteq \{\psi. \text{nested-prop-atoms} \psi \subseteq (\text{nested-prop-atoms}_\nu (\text{fst } p) X) \cup \text{nested-prop-atoms} (\text{snd } p)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $af\text{-letter}_\nu\text{-range}$:

$$\text{range } (af\text{-letter}_\nu X p) \subseteq \{\psi. \text{nested-prop-atoms } \psi \subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms} \\ (\text{fst } p)\} \times \{\psi. \text{nested-prop-atoms } \psi \subseteq (\text{nested-prop-atoms}_\nu (\text{fst } p) X) \cup \\ \text{nested-prop-atoms} (\text{snd } p)\}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af_\nu\text{-fst-nested-prop-atoms}$:

$$\text{nested-prop-atoms} (\text{fst } (af_\nu X p w)) \subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms} (\text{fst } p)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af\text{-letter-nested-prop-atoms}_\nu$:

$$\text{nested-prop-atoms}_\nu (af\text{-letter } \varphi \nu) X \subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms}_\nu \varphi X$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af_\nu\text{-fst-nested-prop-atoms}_\nu$:

$$\text{nested-prop-atoms}_\nu (\text{fst } (af_\nu X p w)) X \subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms}_\nu (\text{fst } p) X$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af_\nu\text{-fst-range}$:

$$\text{range } (\text{fst } \circ af_\nu X p) \subseteq \{\psi. \text{nested-prop-atoms } \psi \subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms} (\text{fst } p)\}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af_\nu\text{-snd-nested-prop-atoms}$:

$$\text{nested-prop-atoms} (\text{snd } (af_\nu X p w)) \subseteq (\text{nested-prop-atoms}_\nu (\text{fst } p) X) \cup \\ (\text{nested-prop-atoms} (\text{snd } p))$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af_\nu\text{-snd-range}$:

$$\text{range } (\text{snd } \circ af_\nu X p) \subseteq \{\psi. \text{nested-prop-atoms } \psi \subseteq (\text{nested-prop-atoms}_\nu (\text{fst } p) X) \cup \\ \text{nested-prop-atoms} (\text{snd } p)\}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af_\nu\text{-range}$:

$$\text{range } (af_\nu X p) \subseteq \{\psi. \text{nested-prop-atoms } \psi \subseteq \text{nested-prop-atoms} (\text{fst } p)\} \times \\ \{\psi. \text{nested-prop-atoms } \psi \subseteq (\text{nested-prop-atoms}_\nu (\text{fst } p) X) \cup \text{nested-prop-atoms} \\ (\text{snd } p)\}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

8 Quotient Type Emulation for Locales

```
theory Quotient-Type
imports
  Main
begin

locale quotient =
  fixes
    eq :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
  and
    Rep :: 'b ⇒ 'a
  and
    Abs :: 'a ⇒ 'b
  assumes
    Rep-inverse: Abs (Rep a) = a
  and
    Abs-eq: Abs x = Abs y ←→ eq x y
begin

  lemma Rep-inject:
    Rep x = Rep y ←→ x = y
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma Rep-Abs-eq:
    eq x (Rep (Abs x))
    ⟨proof⟩

end

end
```

9 Convert between ω -Words and Streams

```
theory Omega-Words-Fun-Stream
imports
  HOL-Library.Omega-Words-Fun HOL-Library.Stream
begin
```

```
definition to-omega :: 'a stream ⇒ 'a word where
  to-omega ≡ snth
```

definition *to-stream* :: '*a word* \Rightarrow '*a stream* **where**
to-stream w \equiv *smap w nats*

lemma *to-omega-to-stream*[*simp*]:
to-omega (to-stream w) = *w*
{proof}

lemma *to-stream-to-omega*[*simp*]:
to-stream (to-omega s) = *s*
{proof}

lemma *bij-to-omega*:
bij to-omega
{proof}

lemma *bij-to-stream*:
bij to-stream
{proof}

lemma *image-intersection*[*simp*]:
to-omega ‘(A ∩ B) = *to-omega ‘A ∩ to-omega ‘B*
to-stream ‘(C ∩ D) = *to-stream ‘C ∩ to-stream ‘D*
{proof}

lemma *to-stream-snth*[*simp*]:
(to-stream w) !! k = *w k*
{proof}

lemma *to-omega-index*[*simp*]:
(to-omega s) k = *s !! k*
{proof}

lemma *to-stream-stake*[*simp*]:
stake k (to-stream w) = *prefix k w*
{proof}

lemma *to-omega-prefix*[*simp*]:
prefix k (to-omega s) = *stake k s*
{proof}

lemma *in-image*[*simp*]:
x ∈ to-omega ‘X \longleftrightarrow *to-stream x ∈ X*

$y \in \text{to-stream} \quad Y \longleftrightarrow \text{to-omega} \quad y \in Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

10 Constructing DRAs for LTL Formulas

theory *DRA-Construction*

imports

Transition-Functions

.. / Quotient-Type

.. / Omega-Words-Fun-Stream

HOL-Library.Log-Nat

.. / Logical-Characterization/Master-Theorem

.. / Logical-Characterization/Restricted-Master-Theorem

Transition-Systems-and-Automata.DBA-Combine

Transition-Systems-and-Automata.DCA-Combine

Transition-Systems-and-Automata.DRA-Combine

begin

— We use prefix and suffix on infinite words.

hide-const *Sublist.prefix Sublist.suffix*

locale *dra-construction = transition-functions eq normalise + quotient eq*

Rep Abs

for

eq :: 'a ltln \Rightarrow 'a ltln \Rightarrow bool (infix $\langle\sim\rangle$ 75)

and

normalise :: 'a ltln \Rightarrow 'a ltln

and

Rep :: 'ltlq \Rightarrow 'a ltln

and

Abs :: 'a ltln \Rightarrow 'ltlq

begin

10.1 Lifting Setup

abbreviation *true_n-lifted :: 'ltlq (\uparrow true_n)* **where**

\uparrow true_n \equiv *Abs true_n*

abbreviation $false_n\text{-lifted} :: 'ltlq (\uparrow false_n) \text{ where}$
 $\uparrow false_n \equiv Abs\ false_n$

abbreviation $af\text{-letter-lifted} :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'ltlq \Rightarrow 'ltlq (\uparrow af\text{-letter}) \text{ where}$
 $\uparrow af\text{-letter } \nu \varphi \equiv Abs\ (af\text{-letter}\ (Rep\ \varphi)\ \nu)$

abbreviation $af\text{-lifted} :: 'ltlq \Rightarrow 'a set list \Rightarrow 'ltlq (\uparrow af) \text{ where}$
 $\uparrow af\ \varphi\ w \equiv fold\ \uparrow af\text{-letter}\ w\ \varphi$

abbreviation $GF\text{-advice-lifted} :: 'ltlq \Rightarrow 'a ltln set \Rightarrow 'ltlq (\uparrow [-]_\nu [90,60]$
 $89) \text{ where}$
 $\varphi \uparrow [X]_\nu \equiv Abs\ ((Rep\ \varphi)[X]_\nu)$

lemma $af\text{-letter-lifted-semantics}:$
 $\uparrow af\text{-letter } \nu\ (Abs\ \varphi) = Abs\ (af\text{-letter}\ \varphi\ \nu)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af\text{-lifted-semantics}:$
 $\uparrow af\ (Abs\ \varphi)\ w = Abs\ (af\ \varphi\ w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af\text{-lifted-range}:$
 $range\ (\uparrow af\ (Abs\ \varphi)) \subseteq \{Abs\ \psi \mid \psi. nested\text{-prop-atoms}\ \psi \subseteq nested\text{-prop-atoms}$
 $\varphi\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $af\text{-letter}_F\text{-lifted} :: 'a ltln \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'ltlq \Rightarrow 'ltlq (\uparrow af\text{-letter}_F)$
where
 $\uparrow af\text{-letter}_F\ \varphi\ \nu\ \psi \equiv Abs\ (af\text{-letter}_F\ \varphi\ (Rep\ \psi)\ \nu)$

definition $af\text{-letter}_G\text{-lifted} :: 'a ltln \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'ltlq \Rightarrow 'ltlq (\uparrow af\text{-letter}_G)$
where
 $\uparrow af\text{-letter}_G\ \varphi\ \nu\ \psi \equiv Abs\ (af\text{-letter}_G\ \varphi\ (Rep\ \psi)\ \nu)$

lemma $af\text{-letter}_F\text{-lifted-semantics}:$
 $\uparrow af\text{-letter}_F\ \varphi\ \nu\ (Abs\ \psi) = Abs\ (af\text{-letter}_F\ \varphi\ \psi\ \nu)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $af\text{-letter}_G\text{-lifted-semantics}:$
 $\uparrow af\text{-letter}_G\ \varphi\ \nu\ (Abs\ \psi) = Abs\ (af\text{-letter}_G\ \varphi\ \psi\ \nu)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation $af_F\text{-lifted} :: 'a ltn \Rightarrow 'ltlq \Rightarrow 'a set list \Rightarrow 'ltlq (\langle \uparrow af_F \rangle)$
where

$$\uparrow af_F \varphi \psi w \equiv fold (\uparrow afletter_F \varphi) w \psi$$

abbreviation $af_G\text{-lifted} :: 'a ltn \Rightarrow 'ltlq \Rightarrow 'a set list \Rightarrow 'ltlq (\langle \uparrow af_G \rangle)$
where

$$\uparrow af_G \varphi \psi w \equiv fold (\uparrow afletter_G \varphi) w \psi$$

lemma $af_F\text{-lifted-semantics}:$

$$\begin{aligned} \uparrow af_F \varphi (Abs \psi) w &= Abs (af_F \varphi \psi w) \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma $af_G\text{-lifted-semantics}:$

$$\begin{aligned} \uparrow af_G \varphi (Abs \psi) w &= Abs (af_G \varphi \psi w) \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

definition $af\text{-letter}_\nu\text{-lifted} :: 'a ltn set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'ltlq \times 'ltlq \Rightarrow 'ltlq \times 'ltlq (\langle \uparrow afletter_\nu \rangle)$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \uparrow afletter_\nu X \nu p &\equiv \\ (Abs (fst (afletter_\nu X (Rep (fst p), Rep (snd p)) \nu)), & \\ Abs (snd (afletter_\nu X (Rep (fst p), Rep (snd p)) \nu))) \end{aligned}$$

abbreviation $af_\nu\text{-lifted} :: 'a ltn set \Rightarrow 'ltlq \times 'ltlq \Rightarrow 'a set list \Rightarrow 'ltlq \times 'ltlq (\langle \uparrow af_\nu \rangle)$

where

$$\uparrow af_\nu X p w \equiv fold (\uparrow afletter_\nu X) w p$$

lemma $af\text{-letter}_\nu\text{-lifted-semantics}:$

$$\begin{aligned} \uparrow afletter_\nu X \nu (Abs x, Abs y) &= (Abs (fst (afletter_\nu X (x, y) \nu)), Abs \\ (snd (afletter_\nu X (x, y) \nu))) \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma $af_\nu\text{-lifted-semantics}:$

$$\begin{aligned} \uparrow af_\nu X (Abs \xi, Abs \zeta) w &= (Abs (fst (af_\nu X (\xi, \zeta) w)), Abs (snd (af_\nu X \\ (\xi, \zeta) w))) \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

10.2 Büchi automata for basic languages

definition $\mathfrak{A}_\mu :: 'a ltn \Rightarrow ('a set, 'ltlq) dba$ **where**

$$\mathfrak{A}_\mu \varphi = dba UNIV (Abs \varphi) \uparrow afletter (\lambda \psi. \psi = \uparrow true_n)$$

definition \mathfrak{A}_μ -*GF* :: '*a ltl*n \Rightarrow ('*a set, 'ltlq*) *dba where*
 \mathfrak{A}_μ -*GF* $\varphi = \text{dba UNIV} (\text{Abs } (F_n \varphi)) (\uparrow \text{afletter}_F \varphi) (\lambda \psi. \psi = \uparrow \text{true}_n)$

definition \mathfrak{A}_ν :: '*a ltl*n \Rightarrow ('*a set, 'ltlq*) *dca where*
 $\mathfrak{A}_\nu \varphi = \text{dca UNIV} (\text{Abs } \varphi) \uparrow \text{afletter} (\lambda \psi. \psi = \uparrow \text{false}_n)$

definition \mathfrak{A}_ν -*FG* :: '*a ltl*n \Rightarrow ('*a set, 'ltlq*) *dca where*
 \mathfrak{A}_ν -*FG* $\varphi = \text{dca UNIV} (\text{Abs } (G_n \varphi)) (\uparrow \text{afletter}_G \varphi) (\lambda \psi. \psi = \uparrow \text{false}_n)$

lemma *dba-run*:

$\text{DBA.run} (\text{dba UNIV } p \delta \alpha) (\text{to-stream } w) p \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *dca-run*:

$\text{DCA.run} (\text{dca UNIV } p \delta \alpha) (\text{to-stream } w) p \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma \mathfrak{A}_μ -*language*:

$\varphi \in \mu LTL \implies \text{to-stream } w \in \text{DBA.language} (\mathfrak{A}_\mu \varphi) \longleftrightarrow w \models_n \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma \mathfrak{A}_μ -*GF-language*:

$\varphi \in \mu LTL \implies \text{to-stream } w \in \text{DBA.language} (\mathfrak{A}_\mu \text{-}GF \varphi) \longleftrightarrow w \models_n G_n$
 $(F_n \varphi)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma \mathfrak{A}_ν -*language*:

$\varphi \in \nu LTL \implies \text{to-stream } w \in \text{DCA.language} (\mathfrak{A}_\nu \varphi) \longleftrightarrow w \models_n \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma \mathfrak{A}_ν -*FG-language*:

$\varphi \in \nu LTL \implies \text{to-stream } w \in \text{DCA.language} (\mathfrak{A}_\nu \text{-}FG \varphi) \longleftrightarrow w \models_n F_n$
 $(G_n \varphi)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

10.3 A DCA checking the GF-advice Function

definition \mathfrak{C} :: '*a ltl*n \Rightarrow '*a ltl*n *set* \Rightarrow ('*a set, 'ltlq* \times '*ltlq*) *dca where*

$\mathfrak{C} \varphi X = \text{dca UNIV} (\text{Abs } \varphi, \text{Abs } ((\text{normalise } \varphi)[X]_\nu)) (\uparrow \text{afletter}_\nu X) (\lambda p. \text{snd } p = \uparrow \text{false}_n)$

lemma \mathfrak{C} -*language*:

$\text{to-stream } w \in \text{DCA.language} (\mathfrak{C} \varphi X) \longleftrightarrow (\exists i. \text{suffix } i w \models_n \text{af } \varphi \text{ (prefix } i w)[X]_\nu)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

10.4 A DRA for each combination of sets X and Y

lemma dba-language:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\bigwedge w. \text{to-stream } w \in DBA.\text{language } \mathfrak{A} \longleftrightarrow w \models_n \varphi) \implies DBA.\text{language } \mathfrak{A} \\ &= \{w. \text{to-omega } w \models_n \varphi\} \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma dca-language:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\bigwedge w. \text{to-stream } w \in DCA.\text{language } \mathfrak{A} \longleftrightarrow w \models_n \varphi) \implies DCA.\text{language } \mathfrak{A} \\ &= \{w. \text{to-omega } w \models_n \varphi\} \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

definition $\mathfrak{A}_1 :: 'a ltl\mathbf{n} \Rightarrow 'a ltl\mathbf{n} \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('a \text{ set}, 'ltlq \times 'ltlq) \text{ dca where}$
 $\mathfrak{A}_1 \varphi xs = \mathfrak{C} \varphi (\text{set } xs)$

lemma \mathfrak{A}_1 -language:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{to-omega } 'DCA.\text{language } (\mathfrak{A}_1 \varphi xs) = L_1 \varphi (\text{set } xs) \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma \mathfrak{A}_1 -alphabet:

$$\begin{aligned} & DBA.\text{alphabet } (\mathfrak{A}_1 \varphi xs) = UNIV \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

definition $\mathfrak{A}_2 :: 'a ltl\mathbf{n} \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a ltl\mathbf{n} \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('a \text{ set}, 'ltlq \text{ list degen}) \text{ dba}$
where
 $\mathfrak{A}_2 xs ys = DBA\text{-Combine.intersect-list } (\text{map } (\lambda \psi. \mathfrak{A}_\mu\text{-GF } (\psi[\text{set } ys]_\mu))$
 $xs)$

lemma \mathfrak{A}_2 -language:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{to-omega } 'DBA.\text{language } (\mathfrak{A}_2 xs ys) = L_2 (\text{set } xs) (\text{set } ys) \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma \mathfrak{A}_2 -alphabet:

$$\begin{aligned} & DBA.\text{alphabet } (\mathfrak{A}_2 xs ys) = UNIV \\ & \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

definition $\mathfrak{A}_3 :: 'a ltl\mathbf{n} \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a ltl\mathbf{n} \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('a \text{ set}, 'ltlq \text{ list}) \text{ dca where}$
 $\mathfrak{A}_3 xs ys = DCA\text{-Combine.intersect-list } (\text{map } (\lambda \psi. \mathfrak{A}_\nu\text{-FG } (\psi[\text{set } xs]_\nu)))$
 $ys)$

lemma \mathfrak{A}_3 -language:

to-omega ‘ DCA.language (\mathfrak{A}_3 xs ys) = L_3 (set xs) (set ys)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma \mathfrak{A}_3 -alphabet:

DCA.alphabet (\mathfrak{A}_3 xs ys) = UNIV
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $\mathfrak{A}' \varphi$ xs ys = intersect-bc (\mathfrak{A}_2 xs ys) (DCA-Combine.intersect (\mathfrak{A}_1 φ xs) (\mathfrak{A}_3 xs ys))

lemma \mathfrak{A}' -language:

to-omega ‘ DRA.language ($\mathfrak{A}' \varphi$ xs ys) = ($L_1 \varphi$ (set xs) \cap L_2 (set xs) (set ys) \cap L_3 (set xs) (set ys))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma \mathfrak{A}' -alphabet:

DRA.alphabet ($\mathfrak{A}' \varphi$ xs ys) = UNIV
 $\langle proof \rangle$

10.5 A DRA for $L \varphi$

This is the final constant constructing a deterministic Rabin automaton using the pure version of the $?w \models_n ?\varphi = (\exists X \subseteq subformulas_\mu ?\varphi. \exists Y \subseteq subformulas_\nu ?\varphi. (\exists i. suffix i ?w \models_n af ?\varphi (prefix i ?w)[X]_\nu) \wedge (\forall \psi \in X. ?w \models_n G_n (F_n \psi[Y]_\mu)) \wedge (\forall \psi \in Y. ?w \models_n F_n (G_n \psi[X]_\nu)))$.

definition $ltl\text{-}to\text{-}dra \varphi = DRA\text{-}Combine.union-list (map (\lambda(xs, ys). \mathfrak{A}' \varphi xs ys) (advice-sets \varphi))$

lemma $ltl\text{-}to\text{-}dra$ -language:

to-omega ‘ DRA.language ($ltl\text{-}to\text{-}dra \varphi$) = language-ltl n φ
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ltl\text{-}to\text{-}dra$ -alphabet:

alphabet ($ltl\text{-}to\text{-}dra \varphi$) = UNIV
 $\langle proof \rangle$

10.6 A DRA for $L \varphi$ with Restricted Advice Sets

The following constant uses the $?w \models_n ?\varphi = (\exists X \subseteq subformulas_\mu ?\varphi \cap restricted-subformulas ?\varphi. \exists Y \subseteq subformulas_\nu ?\varphi \cap restricted-subformulas ?\varphi. (\exists i. suffix i ?w \models_n af ?\varphi (prefix i ?w)[X]_\nu) \wedge (\forall \psi \in X. ?w \models_n G_n (F_n \psi[Y]_\mu)))$

$\wedge (\forall \psi \in Y. ?w \models_n F_n (G_n \psi[X]_\nu))$ to reduce the size of the resulting automaton.

definition *ltl-to-dra-restricted* $\varphi = DRA.Combine.union-list (map (\lambda(xs, ys). \mathfrak{A}' \varphi xs ys) (restricted-advice-sets \varphi))$

lemma *ltl-to-dra-restricted-language*:

to-omega ‘ $DRA.language (ltl-to-dra-restricted \varphi) = language-ltln \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ltl-to-dra-restricted-alphabet*:

alphabet (*ltl-to-dra-restricted* $\varphi) = UNIV$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

10.7 A DRA for $L \varphi$ with a finite alphabet

Until this point, we use *UNIV* as the alphabet in all places. To explore the automaton, however, we need a way to fix the alphabet to some finite set.

definition *dra-set-alphabet* :: $('a set, 'b) dra \Rightarrow 'a set set \Rightarrow ('a set, 'b) dra$
where

dra-set-alphabet $\mathfrak{A} \Sigma = dra \Sigma$ (*initial* \mathfrak{A}) (*transition* \mathfrak{A}) (*condition* \mathfrak{A})

lemma *dra-set-alphabet-language*:

$\Sigma \subseteq alphabet \mathfrak{A} \implies language (dra-set-alphabet \mathfrak{A} \Sigma) = language \mathfrak{A} \cap \{s. sset s \subseteq \Sigma\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dra-set-alphabet-alphabet[simp]*:

alphabet (*dra-set-alphabet* $\mathfrak{A} \Sigma) = \Sigma$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dra-set-alphabet-nodes*:

$\Sigma \subseteq alphabet \mathfrak{A} \implies DRA.nodes (dra-set-alphabet \mathfrak{A} \Sigma) \subseteq DRA.nodes \mathfrak{A}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *ltl-to-dra-alphabet* $\varphi Ap = dra-set-alphabet (ltl-to-dra-restricted \varphi) (Pow Ap)$

lemma *ltl-to-dra-alphabet-language*:

assumes

atoms-ltln $\varphi \subseteq Ap$

shows

to-omega ‘ $language (ltl-to-dra-alphabet \varphi Ap) = language-ltln \varphi \cap \{w.$

range w \subseteq Pow Ap
(proof)

lemma *ltl-to-dra-alphabet-alphabet*[simp]:
alphabet (*ltl-to-dra-alphabet* φ Ap) = Pow Ap
(proof)

lemma *ltl-to-dra-alphabet-nodes*:
DRA.nodes (*ltl-to-dra-alphabet* φ Ap) \subseteq *DRA.nodes* (*ltl-to-dra-restricted*
 φ)
(proof)

end

10.8 Verified Bounds for Number of Nodes

Using two additional assumptions, we can show a double-exponential size bound for the constructed automaton.

lemma *list-prod-mono*:
 $f \leq g \implies (\prod x \leftarrow xs. f x) \leq (\prod x \leftarrow xs. g x)$ **for** $f g :: 'a \Rightarrow nat$
(proof)

lemma *list-prod-const*:
 $(\bigwedge x. x \in set xs \implies f x \leq c) \implies (\prod x \leftarrow xs. f x) \leq c \wedge length xs$ **for** $f :: 'a \Rightarrow nat$
(proof)

lemma *card-insert-Suc*:
 $card (insert x S) \leq Suc (card S)$
(proof)

lemma *nat-power-le-imp-le*:
 $0 < a \implies a \leq b \implies x \wedge a \leq x \wedge b$ **for** $x :: nat$
(proof)

lemma *const-less-power*:
 $n < x \wedge n \text{ if } x > 1$
(proof)

lemma *floorlog-le-const*:

floorlog x n ≤ n
 ⟨*proof*⟩

locale *dra-construction-size* = *dra-construction* + *transition-functions-size*
+

assumes

equiv-finite: *finite P* \implies *finite {Abs ψ | ψ. prop-atoms ψ ⊆ P}*

assumes

equiv-card: *finite P* \implies *card {Abs ψ | ψ. prop-atoms ψ ⊆ P} ≤ 2 ^ 2 ^ card P*

begin

lemma *af_F-lifted-range*:

nested-prop-atoms ψ ⊆ nested-prop-atoms (F_n φ) ⇒ range (↑af_F φ (Abs ψ)) ⊆ {Abs ψ | ψ. nested-prop-atoms ψ ⊆ nested-prop-atoms (F_n φ)}
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *af_G-lifted-range*:

nested-prop-atoms ψ ⊆ nested-prop-atoms (G_n φ) ⇒ range (↑af_G φ (Abs ψ)) ⊆ {Abs ψ | ψ. nested-prop-atoms ψ ⊆ nested-prop-atoms (G_n φ)}
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma \mathfrak{A}_μ -nodes:

DBA.nodes ($\mathfrak{A}_\mu \varphi$) ⊆ {Abs ψ | ψ. nested-prop-atoms ψ ⊆ nested-prop-atoms φ}
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma \mathfrak{A}_μ -GF-nodes:

DBA.nodes (\mathfrak{A}_μ -GF φ) ⊆ {Abs ψ | ψ. nested-prop-atoms ψ ⊆ nested-prop-atoms ($F_n \varphi$)}
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma \mathfrak{A}_ν -nodes:

DCA.nodes ($\mathfrak{A}_\nu \varphi$) ⊆ {Abs ψ | ψ. nested-prop-atoms ψ ⊆ nested-prop-atoms φ}
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma \mathfrak{A}_ν -FG-nodes:

DCA.nodes (\mathfrak{A}_ν -FG φ) ⊆ {Abs ψ | ψ. nested-prop-atoms ψ ⊆ nested-prop-atoms ($G_n \varphi$)}

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma \mathfrak{C} -nodes-normalise:

$DCA.nodes(\mathfrak{C}\varphi X) \subseteq \{Abs\psi \mid \psi. nested-prop-atoms \psi \subseteq nested-prop-atoms \varphi\} \times \{Abs\psi \mid \psi. nested-prop-atoms \psi \subseteq nested-prop-atoms_\nu (\text{normalise } \varphi) X\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma \mathfrak{C} -nodes:

$DCA.nodes(\mathfrak{C}\varphi X) \subseteq \{Abs\psi \mid \psi. nested-prop-atoms \psi \subseteq nested-prop-atoms \varphi\} \times \{Abs\psi \mid \psi. nested-prop-atoms \psi \subseteq nested-prop-atoms_\nu \varphi X\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma equiv-subset:

$\{Abs\psi \mid \psi. nested-prop-atoms \psi \subseteq P\} \subseteq \{Abs\psi \mid \psi. prop-atoms \psi \subseteq P\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma equiv-finite':

$\text{finite } P \implies \text{finite } \{Abs\psi \mid \psi. nested-prop-atoms \psi \subseteq P\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma equiv-card':

$\text{finite } P \implies \text{card } \{Abs\psi \mid \psi. nested-prop-atoms \psi \subseteq P\} \leq 2^\wedge 2^\wedge \text{card } P$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma nested-prop-atoms-finite:

$\text{finite } \{Abs\psi \mid \psi. nested-prop-atoms \psi \subseteq nested-prop-atoms \varphi\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma nested-prop-atoms-card:

$\text{card } \{Abs\psi \mid \psi. nested-prop-atoms \psi \subseteq nested-prop-atoms \varphi\} \leq 2^\wedge 2^\wedge \text{card } (\text{nested-prop-atoms } \varphi)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma nested-prop-atoms _{ν} -finite:

$\text{finite } \{Abs\psi \mid \psi. nested-prop-atoms \psi \subseteq nested-prop-atoms_\nu \varphi X\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma nested-prop-atoms _{ν} -card:

$\text{card } \{Abs\psi \mid \psi. nested-prop-atoms \psi \subseteq nested-prop-atoms_\nu \varphi X\} \leq 2^\wedge$

$2 \wedge \text{card}(\text{nested-prop-atoms } \varphi) \text{ (is } ?lhs \leq ?rhs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\mathfrak{A}_\mu\text{-GF-nodes-finite}:$
 $\text{finite}(\text{DBA.nodes}(\mathfrak{A}_\mu\text{-GF } \varphi))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\mathfrak{A}_\nu\text{-FG-nodes-finite}:$
 $\text{finite}(\text{DCA.nodes}(\mathfrak{A}_\nu\text{-FG } \varphi))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\mathfrak{A}_\mu\text{-GF-nodes-card}:$
 $\text{card}(\text{DBA.nodes}(\mathfrak{A}_\mu\text{-GF } \varphi)) \leq 2 \wedge 2 \wedge \text{card}(\text{nested-prop-atoms}(F_n \varphi))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\mathfrak{A}_\nu\text{-FG-nodes-card}:$
 $\text{card}(\text{DCA.nodes}(\mathfrak{A}_\nu\text{-FG } \varphi)) \leq 2 \wedge 2 \wedge \text{card}(\text{nested-prop-atoms}(G_n \varphi))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\mathfrak{A}_2\text{-nodes-finite-helper}:$
 $\text{list-all}(\text{finite} \circ \text{DBA.nodes})(\text{map}(\lambda \psi. \mathfrak{A}_\mu\text{-GF } (\psi[\text{set } ys]_\mu)) xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\mathfrak{A}_2\text{-nodes-finite}:$
 $\text{finite}(\text{DBA.nodes}(\mathfrak{A}_2 xs ys))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\mathfrak{A}_3\text{-nodes-finite-helper}:$
 $\text{list-all}(\text{finite} \circ \text{DCA.nodes})(\text{map}(\lambda \psi. \mathfrak{A}_\nu\text{-FG } (\psi[\text{set } xs]_\nu)) ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\mathfrak{A}_3\text{-nodes-finite}:$
 $\text{finite}(\text{DCA.nodes}(\mathfrak{A}_3 xs ys))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\mathfrak{A}_2\text{-nodes-card}:$
assumes
 $\text{length } xs \leq n$
and
 $\bigwedge \psi. \psi \in \text{set } xs \implies \text{card}(\text{nested-prop-atoms } \psi) \leq n$
shows
 $\text{card}(\text{DBA.nodes}(\mathfrak{A}_2 xs ys)) \leq 2 \wedge 2 \wedge (n + \text{floorlog } 2 n + 2)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\mathfrak{A}_3\text{-nodes-card}$:
assumes
 $\text{length } ys \leq n$
and
 $\bigwedge \psi. \psi \in \text{set } ys \implies \text{card}(\text{nested-prop-atoms } \psi) \leq n$
shows
 $\text{card}(DCA.\text{nodes}(\mathfrak{A}_3 xs ys)) \leq 2^{\lceil 2^{\lceil n + \text{floorlog } 2 n + 1 } \rceil}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\mathfrak{A}_1\text{-nodes-finite}$:
 $\text{finite}(DCA.\text{nodes}(\mathfrak{A}_1 \varphi xs))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\mathfrak{A}_1\text{-nodes-card}$:
assumes
 $\text{card}(\text{subfrmlsn } \varphi) \leq n$
shows
 $\text{card}(DCA.\text{nodes}(\mathfrak{A}_1 \varphi xs)) \leq 2^{\lceil 2^{\lceil n + 1 } \rceil}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\mathfrak{A}'\text{-nodes-finite}$:
 $\text{finite}(DRA.\text{nodes}(\mathfrak{A}' \varphi xs ys))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\mathfrak{A}'\text{-nodes-card}$:
assumes
 $\text{length } xs \leq n$
and
 $\bigwedge \psi. \psi \in \text{set } xs \implies \text{card}(\text{nested-prop-atoms } \psi) \leq n$
and
 $\text{length } ys \leq n$
and
 $\bigwedge \psi. \psi \in \text{set } ys \implies \text{card}(\text{nested-prop-atoms } \psi) \leq n$
and
 $\text{card}(\text{subfrmlsn } \varphi) \leq n$
shows
 $\text{card}(DRA.\text{nodes}(\mathfrak{A}' \varphi xs ys)) \leq 2^{\lceil 2^{\lceil n + \text{floorlog } 2 n + 4 } \rceil}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subformula-nested-prop-atoms-subfrmlsn*:
 $\psi \in \text{subfrmlsn } \varphi \implies \text{nested-prop-atoms } \psi \subseteq \text{subfrmlsn } \varphi$
(proof)

lemma *ltl-to-dra-nodes-finite*:
 $\text{finite}(\text{DRA.nodes}(\text{ltl-to-dra } \varphi))$
(proof)

lemma *ltl-to-dra-restricted-nodes-finite*:
 $\text{finite}(\text{DRA.nodes}(\text{ltl-to-dra-restricted } \varphi))$
(proof)

lemma *ltl-to-dra-alphabet-nodes-finite*:
 $\text{finite}(\text{DRA.nodes}(\text{ltl-to-dra-alphabet } \varphi \text{ AP}))$
(proof)

lemma *ltl-to-dra-nodes-card*:
assumes
 $\text{card}(\text{subfrmlsn } \varphi) \leq n$
shows
 $\text{card}(\text{DRA.nodes}(\text{ltl-to-dra } \varphi)) \leq 2 \wedge 2 \wedge (2 * n + \text{floorlog } 2 n + 4)$
(proof)

We verify the size bound of the automaton to be double exponential.

theorem *ltl-to-dra-size*:
 $\text{card}(\text{DRA.nodes}(\text{ltl-to-dra } \varphi)) \leq 2 \wedge 2 \wedge (2 * \text{size } \varphi + \text{floorlog } 2 (\text{size } \varphi) + 4)$
(proof)

end

end

11 Implementation of the DRA Construction

theory *DRA-Implementation*
imports
DRA-Construction
LTL.Rewriting
Transition-Systems-and-Automata.DRA-Translate
begin

11.1 Generating the Explicit Automaton

We convert the implicit automaton to its explicit representation and afterwards proof the final correctness theorem and the overall size bound.

definition *dra-to-drai* :: ('a, 'b) dra \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) drai
where

dra-to-drai \mathfrak{A} Σ = *drai* Σ (*initial* \mathfrak{A}) (*transition* \mathfrak{A}) (*condition* \mathfrak{A})

lemma *dra-to-drai-language*:

set Σ = *alphabet* \mathfrak{A} \implies *language* (*drai-dra* (*dra-to-drai* \mathfrak{A} Σ)) = *language*
 \mathfrak{A}
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *drai-to-draei* :: nat \Rightarrow ('a, 'b :: hashable) drai \Rightarrow ('a, nat) draei
where

drai-to-draei hms = *to-draei-impl* (=) *bounded-hashcode-nat* hms

lemma *dra-to-drai-rel*:

assumes

$(\Sigma, \text{alphabet } A) \in \langle Id \rangle \text{ list-set-rel}$

shows

$(\text{dra-to-drai } A \ \Sigma, A) \in \langle Id, Id \rangle \text{ drai-dra-rel}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *draei-language-rel*:

fixes

$A :: (\text{label}, \text{state} :: \text{hashable}) \text{ dra}$

assumes

$(\Sigma, \text{alphabet } A) \in \langle Id \rangle \text{ list-set-rel}$

and

finite (*DRA.nodes* A)

and

is-valid-def-hm-size *TYPE(state)* hms

shows

DRA.language (*drae-dra* (*draei-drae* (*drai-to-draei* hms (*dra-to-drai* A Σ)))) = *DRA.language* A
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.2 Defining the Alphabet

fun *atoms-ltlc-list* :: 'a ltlc \Rightarrow 'a list

where

atoms-ltlc-list *true_c* = []

```

| atoms-ltlc-list falsec = []
| atoms-ltlc-list propc(q) = [q]
| atoms-ltlc-list (notc φ) = atoms-ltlc-list φ
| atoms-ltlc-list (φ andc ψ) = List.union (atoms-ltlc-list φ) (atoms-ltlc-list ψ)
| atoms-ltlc-list (φ orc ψ) = List.union (atoms-ltlc-list φ) (atoms-ltlc-list ψ)
| atoms-ltlc-list (φ impliesc ψ) = List.union (atoms-ltlc-list φ) (atoms-ltlc-list ψ)
| atoms-ltlc-list (Xc φ) = atoms-ltlc-list φ
| atoms-ltlc-list (Fc φ) = atoms-ltlc-list φ
| atoms-ltlc-list (Gc φ) = atoms-ltlc-list φ
| atoms-ltlc-list (φ Uc ψ) = List.union (atoms-ltlc-list φ) (atoms-ltlc-list ψ)
| atoms-ltlc-list (φ Rc ψ) = List.union (atoms-ltlc-list φ) (atoms-ltlc-list ψ)
| atoms-ltlc-list (φ Wc ψ) = List.union (atoms-ltlc-list φ) (atoms-ltlc-list ψ)
| atoms-ltlc-list (φ Mc ψ) = List.union (atoms-ltlc-list φ) (atoms-ltlc-list ψ)

```

lemma atoms-ltlc-list-set:
 $\text{set}(\text{atoms-ltlc-list } φ) = \text{atoms-ltlc } φ$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma atoms-ltlc-list-distinct:
 $\text{distinct}(\text{atoms-ltlc-list } φ)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition ltl-alphabet :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a set list
where
 $\text{ltl-alphabet } AP = \text{map set}(\text{subseqs } AP)$

11.3 The Final Constant

We require the quotient type to be hashable in order to efficiently explore the automaton.

```

locale dra-implementation = dra-construction-size - - - Abs
  for
    Abs :: 'a ltn  $\Rightarrow$  'ltlq :: hashable
  begin

definition ltn-to-draei :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a ltn  $\Rightarrow$  ('a set, nat) draei
  where
    ltn-to-draei AP φ = drai-to-draei (Suc (size φ)) (dra-to-drai (ltl-to-dra-alphabet

```

$\varphi (\text{set } AP)) (\text{ltl-alphabet } AP))$

definition *ltlc-to-draei* :: '*a ltlc* \Rightarrow ('*a set, nat*) *draei*

where

ltlc-to-draei $\varphi = \text{ltln-to-draei} (\text{atoms-ltlc-list } \varphi) (\text{simplify Slow} (\text{ltlc-to-ltln } \varphi))$

lemma *ltl-to-dra-alphabet-rel*:

distinct AP $\implies (\text{ltl-alphabet } AP, \text{alphabet} (\text{ltl-to-dra-alphabet } \psi (\text{set } AP))) \in \langle \text{Id} \rangle \text{ list-set-rel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ltlc-to-ltln-simplify-atoms*:

atoms-ltln (*simplify Slow* (*ltlc-to-ltln* φ)) $\subseteq \text{atoms-ltlc } \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *valid-def-hm-size*:

is-valid-def-hm-size *TYPE('state)* (*Suc (size* φ)*)* **for** $\varphi :: 'a \text{ ltln}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *final-correctness*:

to-omega ‘ *language* (*drae-dra* (*draei-drae* (*ltlc-to-draei* φ)))
 $= \text{language-ltlc } \varphi \cap \{w. \text{range } w \subseteq \text{Pow} (\text{atoms-ltlc } \varphi)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

12 Additional Equivalence Relations

theory *Extra-Equivalence-Relations*

imports

LTL.LTL LTL.Equivalence-Relations After Advice

begin

12.1 Propositional Equivalence with Implicit LTL Unfolding

fun *Unf* :: '*a ltln* \Rightarrow '*a ltln*

where

Unf ($\varphi U_n \psi$) $= ((\varphi U_n \psi) \text{ and}_n \text{Unf } \varphi) \text{ or}_n \text{Unf } \psi$
 $| \text{Unf } (\varphi W_n \psi) = ((\varphi W_n \psi) \text{ and}_n \text{Unf } \varphi) \text{ or}_n \text{Unf } \psi$
 $| \text{Unf } (\varphi M_n \psi) = ((\varphi M_n \psi) \text{ or}_n \text{Unf } \varphi) \text{ and}_n \text{Unf } \psi$

$\mid \text{Unf}(\varphi R_n \psi) = ((\varphi R_n \psi) \text{ or}_n \text{Unf } \varphi) \text{ and}_n \text{Unf } \psi$
 $\mid \text{Unf}(\varphi \text{ and}_n \psi) = \text{Unf } \varphi \text{ and}_n \text{Unf } \psi$
 $\mid \text{Unf}(\varphi \text{ or}_n \psi) = \text{Unf } \varphi \text{ or}_n \text{Unf } \psi$
 $\mid \text{Unf } \varphi = \varphi$

lemma *Unf-sound*:

$w \models_n \text{Unf } \varphi \longleftrightarrow w \models_n \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Unf-lang-equiv*:

$\varphi \sim_L \text{Unf } \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Unf-idem*:

$\text{Unf}(\text{Unf } \varphi) \sim_P \text{Unf } \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *ltl-prop-unfold-equiv* :: $'a \text{ ltl} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ ltl} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** $\langle \sim_Q \rangle$ 75)
where

$\varphi \sim_Q \psi \equiv (\text{Unf } \varphi) \sim_P (\text{Unf } \psi)$

lemma *ltl-prop-unfold-equiv-equivp*:

$\text{equivp } (\sim_Q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unfolding-prop-unfold-idem*:

$\text{Unf } \varphi \sim_Q \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unfolding-is-subst*: $\text{Unf } \varphi = \text{subst } \varphi (\lambda \psi. \text{Some } (\text{Unf } \psi))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ltl-prop-equiv-implies-ltl-prop-unfold-equiv*:

$\varphi \sim_P \psi \implies \varphi \sim_Q \psi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ltl-prop-unfold-equiv-implies-ltl-lang-equiv*:

$\varphi \sim_Q \psi \implies \varphi \sim_L \psi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ltl-prop-unfold-equiv-gt-and-lt*:

$(\sim_C) \leq (\sim_Q) (\sim_P) \leq (\sim_Q) (\sim_Q) \leq (\sim_L)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

quotient-type 'a ltlnQ = 'a ltln / ( $\sim_Q$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

instantiation ltlnQ :: (type) equal
begin

lift-definition ltlnQ-eq-test :: 'a ltlnQ  $\Rightarrow$  'a ltlnQ  $\Rightarrow$  bool is  $\lambda x\ y.\ x \sim_Q y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

definition
  eqQ: equal-class.equal  $\equiv$  ltlnQ-eq-test

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma af-letter-unfolding:
  af-letter (Unf  $\varphi$ )  $\nu \sim_P$  af-letter  $\varphi$   $\nu$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma af-letter-prop-unfold-congruent:
  assumes  $\varphi \sim_Q \psi$ 
  shows af-letter  $\varphi$   $\nu \sim_Q$  af-letter  $\psi$   $\nu$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma GF-advice-prop-unfold-congruent:
  assumes  $\varphi \sim_Q \psi$ 
  shows (Unf  $\varphi$ )[X] $_\nu \sim_Q$  (Unf  $\psi$ )[X] $_\nu$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation prop-unfold-equivalence: ltl-equivalence ( $\sim_Q$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation af-congruent ( $\sim_Q$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unfolding-monotonic:
   $w \models_n \varphi[X]_\nu \implies w \models_n (\text{Unf } \varphi)[X]_\nu$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unfolding-next-step-equivalent:
   $w \models_n (\text{Unf } \varphi)[X]_\nu \implies \text{suffix 1 } w \models_n (\text{af-letter } \varphi (w\ 0))[X]_\nu$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma nested-prop-atoms-Unf:
  nested-prop-atoms (Unf  $\varphi$ )  $\subseteq$  nested-prop-atoms  $\varphi$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma refine-image:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x y. f x = f y \longrightarrow g x = g y$ 
  assumes finite ( $f`X$ )
  shows finite ( $g`X$ )
  and card ( $f`X$ )  $\geq$  card ( $g`X$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma abs-ltlnP-implies-abs-ltlnQ:
  abs-ltlnP  $\varphi$  = abs-ltlnP  $\psi$   $\longrightarrow$  abs-ltlnQ  $\varphi$  = abs-ltlnQ  $\psi$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas prop-unfold-equiv-helper = refine-image[of abs-ltlnP abs-ltlnQ, OF
abs-ltlnP-implies-abs-ltlnQ]

lemma prop-unfold-equiv-finite:
  finite  $P \implies$  finite {abs-ltlnQ  $\psi$  |  $\psi$ . prop-atoms  $\psi \subseteq P$ }
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma prop-unfold-equiv-card:
  finite  $P \implies$  card {abs-ltlnQ  $\psi$  |  $\psi$ . prop-atoms  $\psi \subseteq P$ }  $\leq 2^{\wedge} 2^{\wedge}$  card  $P$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Unf-eventually-equivalent:
   $w \models_n Unf \varphi[X]_\nu \implies \exists i. suffix i w \models_n af \varphi (prefix i w)[X]_\nu$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

interpretation prop-unfold-GF-advice-compatible: GF-advice-congruent ( $\sim_Q$ )
  Unf
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

13 Instantiation of the LTL to DRA construction

```

theory DRA-Instantiation
imports
  DRA-Implementation

```

LTL.Equivalence-Relations
LTL.Disjunctive-Normal-Form
../Logical-Characterization/Extra-Equivalence-Relations
HOL-Library.Log-Nat
Deriving.Derive

begin

13.1 Hash Functions for Quotient Types

derive *hashable* *ltln*

definition *cube* *a* = *a* * *a* * *a*

instantiation *set* :: (*hashable*) *hashable*
begin

definition [*simp*]: *hashcode* (*x* :: 'a *set*) = *Finite-Set.fold* (*plus* *o cube* *o hashcode*) (*uint32-of-nat* (*card* *x*)) *x*
definition *def-hashmap-size* = (λ - :: 'a *set itself*. 2 * *def-hashmap-size* *TYPE('a)*)

instance
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

instantiation *fset* :: (*hashable*) *hashable*
begin

definition [*simp*]: *hashcode* (*x* :: 'a *fset*) = *hashcode* (*fset x*)
definition *def-hashmap-size* = (λ - :: 'a *fset itself*. 2 * *def-hashmap-size* *TYPE('a)*)

instance
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

instantiation *ltlnP*:: (*hashable*) *hashable*
begin

```

definition [simp]: hashcode ( $\varphi :: 'a ltn_P$ ) = hashcode (min-dnf (rep-ltn_P
 $\varphi$ ))
definition def-hashmap-size = ( $\lambda \cdot :: 'a ltn_P$  itself. def-hashmap-size TYPE('a
ltn))

instance
⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation ltn_Q :: (hashable) hashable
begin

definition [simp]: hashcode ( $\varphi :: 'a ltn_Q$ ) = hashcode (min-dnf (Unf (rep-ltn_Q
 $\varphi$ )))
definition def-hashmap-size = ( $\lambda \cdot :: 'a ltn_Q$  itself. def-hashmap-size TYPE('a
ltn))

instance
⟨proof⟩

end

```

13.2 Interpretations with Equivalence Relations

We instantiate the construction locale with propositional equivalence and obtain a function converting a formula into an abstract automaton.

```

global-interpretation ltl-to-dra_P: dra-implementation ( $\sim_P$ ) id rep-ltn_P
abs-ltn_P
defines ltl-to-dra_P = ltl-to-dra_P.ltl-to-dra
and ltl-to-dra-restricted_P = ltl-to-dra_P.ltl-to-dra-restricted
and ltl-to-dra-alphabet_P = ltl-to-dra_P.ltl-to-dra-alphabet
and  $\mathfrak{A}'_P = ltl-to-dra_P.\mathfrak{A}'$ 
and  $\mathfrak{A}_1 P = ltl-to-dra_P.\mathfrak{A}_1$ 
and  $\mathfrak{A}_2 P = ltl-to-dra_P.\mathfrak{A}_2$ 
and  $\mathfrak{A}_3 P = ltl-to-dra_P.\mathfrak{A}_3$ 
and  $\mathfrak{A}_\nu\text{-}FG_P = ltl-to-dra_P.\mathfrak{A}_\nu\text{-}FG$ 
and  $\mathfrak{A}_\mu\text{-}GF_P = ltl-to-dra_P.\mathfrak{A}_\mu\text{-}GF$ 
and af-letter_G_P = ltl-to-dra_P.af-letter_G
and af-letter_F_P = ltl-to-dra_P.af-letter_F
and af-letter_G-lifted_P = ltl-to-dra_P.af-letter_G-lifted
and af-letter_F-lifted_P = ltl-to-dra_P.af-letter_F-lifted

```

```

and af-letter $\nu$ -liftedP = ltl-to-draP.af-letter $\nu$ -lifted
and  $\mathfrak{C}_P$  = ltl-to-draP. $\mathfrak{C}$ 
and af-letter $\nu P$  = ltl-to-draP.af-letter $\nu$ 
and lln-to-draeiP = ltl-to-draP.lln-to-draei
and ltc-to-draeiP = ltl-to-draP.ltc-to-draei
⟨proof⟩

```

```

thm ltl-to-draP.ltl-to-dra-language
thm ltl-to-draP.ltl-to-dra-size
thm ltl-to-draP.final-correctness

```

Similarly, we instantiate the locale with a different equivalence relation and obtain another constant for translation of LTL to deterministic Rabin automata.

global-interpretation ltl-to-dra_Q: dra-implementation (\sim_Q) Unf rep-lln_Q abs-lln_Q

```

defines ltl-to-draQ = ltl-to-draQ.ltl-to-dra
and ltl-to-dra-restrictedQ = ltl-to-draQ.ltl-to-dra-restricted
and ltl-to-dra-alphabetQ = ltl-to-draQ.ltl-to-dra-alphabet
and  $\mathfrak{A}'_Q$  = ltl-to-draQ. $\mathfrak{A}'$ 
and  $\mathfrak{A}_{1Q}$  = ltl-to-draQ. $\mathfrak{A}_1$ 
and  $\mathfrak{A}_{2Q}$  = ltl-to-draQ. $\mathfrak{A}_2$ 
and  $\mathfrak{A}_{3Q}$  = ltl-to-draQ. $\mathfrak{A}_3$ 
and  $\mathfrak{A}_\nu$ -FGQ = ltl-to-draQ. $\mathfrak{A}_\nu$ -FG
and  $\mathfrak{A}_\mu$ -GFQ = ltl-to-draQ. $\mathfrak{A}_\mu$ -GF
and af-letterGQ = ltl-to-draQ.af-letterG
and af-letterFQ = ltl-to-draQ.af-letterF
and af-letterG-liftedQ = ltl-to-draQ.af-letterG-lifted
and af-letterF-liftedQ = ltl-to-draQ.af-letterF-lifted
and af-letter $\nu$ -liftedQ = ltl-to-draQ.af-letter $\nu$ -lifted
and  $\mathfrak{C}_Q$  = ltl-to-draQ. $\mathfrak{C}$ 
and af-letter $\nu Q$  = ltl-to-draQ.af-letter $\nu$ 
and lln-to-draeiQ = ltl-to-draQ.lln-to-draei
and ltc-to-draeiQ = ltl-to-draQ.ltc-to-draei
⟨proof⟩

```

```

thm ltl-to-draQ.ltl-to-dra-language
thm ltl-to-draQ.ltl-to-dra-size
thm ltl-to-draQ.final-correctness

```

We allow the user to choose one of the two equivalence relations.

datatype equiv = Prop | PropUnfold

fun ltc-to-draei :: equiv \Rightarrow ('a :: hashable) ltc \Rightarrow ('a set, nat) draei

```

where
  ltlc-to-draei Prop = ltlc-to-draeiP
  | ltlc-to-draei PropUnfold = ltlc-to-draeiQ

end

```

14 Code export to Standard ML

```

theory Code-Export
imports
  LTL-to-DRA/DRA-Instantiation
  LTL.Code-Equations
  HOL-Library.Code-Target-Numerical
begin

14.1 Hashing Sets

global-interpretation comp-fun-commute plus o cube o hashcode :: ('a :: hashable) ⇒ hashcode ⇒ hashcode
  <proof>

lemma [code]:
  hashcode (set xs) = fold (plus o cube o hashcode) (remdups xs) (uint32-of-nat (length (remdups xs)))
  <proof>

lemma [code]:
  hashcode (abs-ltlnP φ) = hashcode (min-dnf φ)
  <proof>

lemma min-dnf-rep-abs[simp]:
  min-dnf (Unf (rep-ltlnQ (abs-ltlnQ φ))) = min-dnf (Unf φ)
  <proof>

lemma [code]:
  hashcode (abs-ltlnQ φ) = hashcode (min-dnf (Unf φ))
  <proof>

```

14.2 LTL to DRA

```

declare ltl-to-draP.af-letterF-lifted-semantics [code]
declare ltl-to-draP.af-letterG-lifted-semantics [code]
declare ltl-to-draP.af-letterν-lifted-semantics [code]

```

```

declare ltl-to-draQ.af-letterF-lifted-semantics [code]
declare ltl-to-draQ.af-letterG-lifted-semantics [code]
declare ltl-to-draQ.af-letterv-lifted-semantics [code]

definition atoms-ltlc-list-literals :: String.literal ltlc  $\Rightarrow$  String.literal list
where
  atoms-ltlc-list-literals = atoms-ltlc-list

definition ltlc-to-draei-literals :: equiv  $\Rightarrow$  String.literal ltlc  $\Rightarrow$  (String.literal set, nat) draei
where
  ltlc-to-draei-literals = ltlc-to-draei

definition sort-transitions :: (nat  $\times$  String.literal set  $\times$  nat) list  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\times$ 
String.literal set  $\times$  nat) list
where
  sort-transitions = sort-key fst

export-code True-ltlc Iff-ltlc ltlc-to-draei-literals Prop PropUnfold
alphabetei initialei transitionei conditionei
integer-of-nat atoms-ltlc-list-literals sort-transitions set
in SML module-name LTL file-prefix LTL-to-DRA

```

14.3 LTL to NBA

14.4 LTL to LDBA

end

References

- [1] J. Esparza, J. Kretínský, and S. Sickert. One theorem to rule them all: A unified translation of LTL into ω -automata. In A. Dawar and E. Grädel, editors, *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM/IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science, LICS 2018, Oxford, UK, July 09-12, 2018*, pages 384–393. ACM, 2018.