Duality of Linear Programming

René Thiemann

October 27, 2022

Abstract

We formalize the weak and strong duality theorems of linear programming. For the strong duality theorem we provide three sufficient preconditions: both the primal problem and the dual problem are satisfiable, the primal problem is satisfiable and bounded, or the dual problem is satisfiable and bounded. The proofs are based on an existing formalization of Farkas' Lemma.

Contents

1 Introduction

The proofs are taken from a textbook on linear programming [3]. There clearly is already an related AFP entry on linear programming [2] and we briefly explain the relationship between that entry and this one.

- The other AFP entry provides an algorithm for solving linear programs based on an existing simplex implementation. Since the simplex implementation is formulated only for rational numbers, several results are only available for rational numbers. Moreover, the simplex algorithm internally works on sets of inequalities that are represented by linear polynomials, and there are conversions between matrix-vector inequalities and linear polynomial inequalities. Finally, that AFP entry does not contain the strong duality theorem, which is the essential result in this AFP entry.
- This AFP entry has completely been formalized in the matrix-vector representation. It mainly consists of the strong duality theorems without any algorithms. The proof of these theorems are based on Farkas'

Lemma which is provided in [1] for arbitrary linearly ordered fields. Therefore, also the duality theorems are proven in that generality without the restriction to rational numbers.

2 Minimum and Maximum of Potentially Infinite Sets

theory *Minimum-Maximum*
imports *Main*
begin

We define minima and maxima of sets. In contrast to the existing *Min* and *Max* operators, these operators are not restricted to finite sets

definition *Maximum* :: *'a* :: *linorder set* ⇒ *'a* **where**
Maximum S = (*THE x*. *x* ∈ *S* ∧ (∀ *y* ∈ *S*. *y* ≤ *x*))
definition *Minimum* :: *'a* :: *linorder set* ⇒ *'a* **where**
Minimum S = (*THE x*. *x* ∈ *S* ∧ (∀ *y* ∈ *S*. *x* ≤ *y*))

definition *has-Maximum* **where** *has-Maximum S* = (∃ *x*. *x* ∈ *S* ∧ (∀ *y* ∈ *S*. *y* ≤ *x*))
definition *has-Minimum* **where** *has-Minimum S* = (∃ *x*. *x* ∈ *S* ∧ (∀ *y* ∈ *S*. *x* ≤ *y*))

lemma *eqMaximumI*:
assumes *x* ∈ *S*
and ⋀ *y*. *y* ∈ *S* ⟹ *y* ≤ *x*
shows *Maximum S* = *x*
unfolding *Maximum-def*
by (*standard*, *insert assms*, *auto*, *fastforce*)

lemma *eqMinimumI*:
assumes *x* ∈ *S*
and ⋀ *y*. *y* ∈ *S* ⟹ *x* ≤ *y*
shows *Minimum S* = *x*
unfolding *Minimum-def*
by (*standard*, *insert assms*, *auto*, *fastforce*)

lemma *has-MaximumD*:
assumes *has-Maximum S*
shows *Maximum S* ∈ *S*
x ∈ *S* ⟹ *x* ≤ *Maximum S*
proof −
from *assms*[*unfolded has-Maximum-def*]
obtain *m* **where** ∗: *m* ∈ *S* ⋀ *y*. *y* ∈ *S* ⟹ *y* ≤ *m* **by** *auto*
have *id*: *Maximum S* = *m*
by (*rule eqMaximumI*, *insert* ∗, *auto*)
from ∗ *id* **show** *Maximum S* ∈ *S x* ∈ *S* ⟹ *x* ≤ *Maximum S* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *has-MinimumD*:
assumes *has-Minimum S*
shows *Minimum S* ∈ *S*
x ∈ *S* ⟹ *Minimum S* ≤ *x*
proof −
from *assms*[*unfolded has-Minimum-def*]
obtain *m* **where** ∗: *m* ∈ *S* ⋀ *y*. *y* ∈ *S* ⟹ *m* ≤ *y* **by** *auto*
have *id*: *Minimum S* = *m*
by (*rule eqMinimumI*, *insert* ∗, *auto*)
from ∗ *id* **show** *Minimum S* ∈ *S x* ∈ *S* ⟹ *Minimum S* ≤ *x* **by** *auto*
qed

On non-empty finite sets, *Minimum* and *Min* coincide, and similarly *Maximum* and *Max*.

lemma *Minimum-Min*: **assumes** *finite S S* ≠ {}
shows *Minimum S* = *Min S*
by (*rule eqMinimumI*, *insert assms*, *auto*)

lemma *Maximum-Max*: **assumes** *finite S S* ≠ {}
shows *Maximum S* = *Max S*
by (*rule eqMaximumI*, *insert assms*, *auto*)

end

3 Weak and Strong Duality of Linear Programming

theory *LP-Duality*
imports
Linear-Inequalities.Farkas-Lemma
Minimum-Maximum
begin

lemma *weak-duality-theorem*:
fixes *A* :: *'a* :: *linordered-comm-semiring-strict mat*
assumes *A*: *A* ∈ *carrier-mat nr nc*
and *b*: *b* ∈ *carrier-vec nr*
and *c*: *c* ∈ *carrier-vec nc*
and *x*: *x* ∈ *carrier-vec nc*
and *Axb*: *A* ∗$_v$ *x* ≤ *b*
and *y0*: *y* ≥ 0$_v$ *nr*
and *yA*: *A*^{T} ∗$_v$ *y* = *c*
shows *c* · *x* ≤ *b* · *y*
proof −
from *y0* **have** *y*: *y* ∈ *carrier-vec nr* **unfolding** *less-eq-vec-def* **by** *auto*
have *c* · *x* = (*A*^{T} ∗$_v$ *y*) · *x* **unfolding** *yA* **by** *simp*
also have ... = *y* · (*A* ∗$_v$ *x*) **using** *x y A* **by** (*metis transpose-vec-mult-scalar*)

```
  also have ... ≤ y · b
    unfolding scalar-prod-def using A b Axb y0
    by (auto intro!: sum-mono mult-left-mono simp: less-eq-vec-def)
  also have ... = b · y using y b by (metis comm-scalar-prod)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

corollary unbounded-primal-solutions:
  fixes A :: 'a :: linordered-idom mat
  assumes A: A ∈ carrier-mat nr nc
    and b: b ∈ carrier-vec nr
    and c: c ∈ carrier-vec nc
    and unbounded: ∀ v. ∃ x ∈ carrier-vec nc. A *v x ≤ b ∧ c · x ≥ v
  shows ¬ (∃ y. y ≥ 0v nr ∧ A^T *v y = c)
proof
  assume (∃ y. y ≥ 0v nr ∧ A^T *v y = c)
  then obtain y where y: y ≥ 0v nr and Ayc: A^T *v y = c
    by auto
  from unbounded[rule-format, of b · y + 1]
  obtain x where x: x ∈ carrier-vec nc and Axb: A *v x ≤ b
    and le: b · y + 1 ≤ c · x by auto
  from weak-duality-theorem[OF A b c x Axb y Ayc]
  have c · x ≤ b · y by auto
  with le show False by  auto
qed

corollary unbounded-dual-solutions:
  fixes A :: 'a :: linordered-idom mat
  assumes A: A ∈ carrier-mat nr nc
    and b: b ∈ carrier-vec nr
    and c: c ∈ carrier-vec nc
    and unbounded: ∀ v. ∃ y. y ≥ 0v nr ∧ A^T *v y = c ∧ b · y ≤ v
  shows ¬ (∃ x ∈ carrier-vec nc. A *v x ≤ b)
proof
  assume ∃ x ∈ carrier-vec nc. A *v x ≤ b
  then obtain x where x: x ∈ carrier-vec nc and Axb: A *v x ≤ b by auto
  from unbounded[rule-format, of c · x − 1]
  obtain y where y: y≥0v nr and Ayc: A^T *v y = c and le: b · y ≤ c · x − 1
by auto
  from weak-duality-theorem[OF A b c x Axb y Ayc]
  have c · x ≤ b · y by auto
  with le show False by auto
qed
```

A version of the strong duality theorem which demands that both primal and dual problem are solvable. At this point we do not use min- or max-operations

```
theorem strong-duality-theorem-both-sat:
  fixes A :: 'a :: trivial-conjugatable-linordered-field mat
```

assumes A: $A \in$ *carrier-mat nr nc*
and b: $b \in$ *carrier-vec nr*
and c: $c \in$ *carrier-vec nc*
and *primal*: $\exists\ x \in$ *carrier-vec nc*. $A *_v x \leq b$
and *dual*: $\exists\ y.\ y \geq 0_v\ nr \wedge A^T *_v y = c$
shows $\exists\ x\ y.$
$x \in$ *carrier-vec nc* $\wedge$ $A *_v x \leq b \wedge$
$y \geq 0_v\ nr \wedge A^T *_v y = c \wedge$
$c \cdot x = b \cdot y$
proof −
define *M-up* **where** *M-up* = *four-block-mat A* $(0_m\ nr\ nr)$ (*mat-of-row* $(-\ c)$) (*mat-of-row b*)
define *M-low* **where** *M-low* = *four-block-mat* $(0_m\ nc\ nc)$ (A^T) $(0_m\ nc\ nc)$ $(-(A^T))$
define *M-last* **where** *M-last* = *append-cols* $(0_m\ nr\ nc)$ $(-\ 1_m\ nr :: 'a\ mat)$
define *M* **where** *M* = (*M-up* $@_r$ *M-low*) $@_r$ *M-last*
define *bc* **where** *bc* = $((b\ @_v\ 0_v\ 1)\ @_v\ (c\ @_v\ -c))\ @_v\ (0_v\ nr)$

let *?nr* = $((nr + 1) + (nc + nc)) + nr$
let *?nc* = $nc + nr$
have *M-up*: *M-up* $\in$ *carrier-mat* $(nr + 1)$ *?nc*
unfolding *M-up-def* **using** *A b c* **by** *auto*
have *M-low*: *M-low* $\in$ *carrier-mat* $(nc + nc)$ *?nc*
unfolding *M-low-def* **using** *A* **by** *auto*
have *M-last*: *M-last* $\in$ *carrier-mat nr ?nc*
unfolding *M-last-def* **by** *auto*
have *M*: *M* $\in$ *carrier-mat ?nr ?nc*
using *carrier-append-rows*[*OF carrier-append-rows*[*OF M-up M-low*] *M-last*]
unfolding *M-def* **by** *auto*
have *bc*: *bc* $\in$ *carrier-vec ?nr* **unfolding** *bc-def*
by (*intro append-carrier-vec*, *insert b c*, *auto*)
have $(\exists xy.\ xy \in$ *carrier-vec ?nc* $\wedge$ $M *_v xy \leq bc)$
proof (*subst gram-schmidt.Farkas-Lemma'*[*OF M bc*], *intro allI impI*, *elim conjE*)
fix *ulv*
assume *ulv0*: 0_v *?nr* $\leq$ *ulv* **and** *Mulv*: $M^T *_v$ *ulv* $= 0_v$ *?nc*
from *ulv0*[*unfolded less-eq-vec-def*]
have *ulv*: *ulv* $\in$ *carrier-vec ?nr* **by** *auto*
define *u1* **where** *u1* = *vec-first ulv* $((nr + 1) + (nc + nc))$
define *u2* **where** *u2* = *vec-first u1* $(nr + 1)$
define *u3* **where** *u3* = *vec-last u1* $(nc + nc)$
define *t* **where** *t* = *vec-last ulv nr*
have *ulvid*: *ulv* = *u1* $@_v$ *t* **using** *ulv*
unfolding *u1-def t-def* **by** *auto*
have *t*: *t* $\in$ *carrier-vec nr* **unfolding** *t-def* **by** *auto*
have *u1*: *u1* $\in$ *carrier-vec* $((nr + 1) + (nc + nc))$
unfolding *u1-def* **by** *auto*
have *u1id*: *u1* = *u2* $@_v$ *u3* **using** *u1*
unfolding *u2-def u3-def* **by** *auto*
have *u2*: *u2* $\in$ *carrier-vec* $(nr + 1)$ **unfolding** *u2-def* **by** *auto*

have *u3*: *u3* ∈ *carrier-vec* (*nc* + *nc*) **unfolding** *u3-def* **by** *auto*
define *v* **where** *v* = *vec-first u3 nc*
define *w* **where** *w* = *vec-last u3 nc*
have *u3id*: *u3* = *v* @$_v$ *w* **using** *u3*
unfolding *v-def w-def* **by** *auto*
have *v*: *v* ∈ *carrier-vec nc* **unfolding** *v-def* **by** *auto*
have *w*: *w* ∈ *carrier-vec nc* **unfolding** *w-def* **by** *auto*

define *u* **where** *u* = *vec-first u2 nr*
define *L* **where** *L* = *vec-last u2 1*
have *u2id*: *u2* = *u* @$_v$ *L* **using** *u2*
unfolding *u-def L-def* **by** *auto*
have *u*: *u* ∈ *carrier-vec nr* **unfolding** *u-def* **by** *auto*
have *L*: *L* ∈ *carrier-vec 1* **unfolding** *L-def* **by** *auto*
define *vec1* **where** *vec1* = A^T $*_v$ *u* + *mat-of-col* (− *c*) $*_v$ *L*
have *vec1*: *vec1* ∈ *carrier-vec nc*
unfolding *vec1-def mat-of-col-def* **using** *A u c L*
by (*meson add-carrier-vec mat-of-row-carrier*(*1*) *mult-mat-vec-carrier transpose-carrier-mat uminus-carrier-vec*)
define *vec2* **where** *vec2* = *A* $*_v$ (*v* − *w*)
have *vec2*: *vec2* ∈ *carrier-vec nr*
unfolding *vec2-def* **using** *A v w* **by** *auto*
define *vec3* **where** *vec3* = *mat-of-col b* $*_v$ *L*
have *vec3*: *vec3* ∈ *carrier-vec nr*
using *A b L* **unfolding** *mat-of-col-def vec3-def*
by (*meson add-carrier-vec mat-of-row-carrier*(*1*) *mult-mat-vec-carrier transpose-carrier-mat uminus-carrier-vec*)
have *Mt*: M^T = (*M-up*^{T} @$_c$ *M-low*^{T}) @$_c$ *M-last*^{T}
unfolding *M-def append-cols-def* **by** *simp*
have M^T $*_v$ *ulv* = (*M-up*^{T} @$_c$ *M-low*^{T}) $*_v$ *u1* + *M-last*^{T} $*_v$ *t*
unfolding *Mt ulvid*
by (*subst mat-mult-append-cols*[*OF carrier-append-cols - u1 t*],
insert M-up M-low M-last, *auto*)
also have *M-last*^{T} = 0_m *nc nr* @$_r$ − 1_m *nr* **unfolding** *M-last-def*
unfolding *append-cols-def* **by** (*simp*, *subst transpose-uminus*, *auto*)
also have ... $*_v$ *t* = 0_v *nc* @$_v$ − *t*
by (*subst mat-mult-append*[*OF - - t*], *insert t*, *auto*)
also have (*M-up*^{T} @$_c$ *M-low*^{T}) $*_v$ *u1* = (*M-up*^{T} $*_v$ *u2*) + (*M-low*^{T} $*_v$ *u3*)
unfolding *u1id*
by (*rule mat-mult-append-cols*[*OF - - u2 u3*], *insert M-up M-low*, *auto*)
also have *M-low*^{T} = *four-block-mat* (0_m *nc nc*) (0_m *nc nc*) *A* (− *A*)
unfolding *M-low-def*
by (*subst transpose-four-block-mat*, *insert A*, *auto*)
also have ... $*_v$ *u3* = (0_m *nc nc* $*_v$ *v* + 0_m *nc nc* $*_v$ *w*) @$_v$ (*A* $*_v$ *v* + − *A* $*_v$ *w*) **unfolding** *u3id*
by (*subst four-block-mat-mult-vec*[*OF - - A - v w*], *insert A*, *auto*)
also have 0_m *nc nc* $*_v$ *v* + 0_m *nc nc* $*_v$ *w* = 0_v *nc*
using *v w* **by** *auto*
also have *A* $*_v$ *v* + − *A* $*_v$ *w* = *vec2* **unfolding** *vec2-def* **using** *A v w*

by (*metis* (*full-types*) *carrier-matD*(*2*) *carrier-vecD minus-add-uminus-vec mult-mat-vec-carrier mult-minus-distrib-mat-vec uminus-mult-mat-vec*)
also have *M-up*^{T} = *four-block-mat* A^T (*mat-of-col* (− *c*)) (0_m *nr nr*) (*mat-of-col b*)
unfolding *M-up-def mat-of-col-def*
by (*subst transpose-four-block-mat*[*OF A*], *insert b c*, *auto*)
also have ... $*_v$ *u2* = *vec1* $@_v$ *vec3*
unfolding *u2id vec1-def vec3-def*
by (*subst four-block-mat-mult-vec*[*OF - - - - u L*], *insert A b c u*, *auto*)
also have (*vec1* $@_v$ *vec3*)
+ (0_v *nc* $@_v$ *vec2*) + (0_v *nc* $@_v$ − *t*) =
(*vec1* $@_v$ (*vec3* + *vec2* − *t*))
apply (*subst append-vec-add*[*of - nc - - nr*, *OF vec1 - vec3 vec2*])
subgoal by *force*
apply (*subst append-vec-add*[*of - nc - - nr*])
subgoal using *vec1* **by** *auto*
subgoal by *auto*
subgoal using *vec2 vec3* **by** *auto*
subgoal using *t* **by** *auto*
subgoal using *vec1* **by** *auto*
done
finally have *vec1* $@_v$ (*vec3* + *vec2* − *t*) = 0_v *?nc*
unfolding *Mulv* **by** *simp*
also have ... = 0_v *nc* $@_v$ 0_v *nr* **by** *auto*
finally have *vec1* = 0_v *nc* ∧ *vec3* + *vec2* − *t* = 0_v *nr*
by (*subst* (*asm*) *append-vec-eq*[*OF vec1*], *auto*)
hence *01*: *vec1* = 0_v *nc* **and** *02*: *vec3* + *vec2* − *t* = 0_v *nr* **by** *auto*
from *01* **have** *vec1* + *mat-of-col c* $*_v$ *L* = *mat-of-col c* $*_v$ *L*
using *c L vec1* **unfolding** *mat-of-col-def* **by** *auto*
also have *vec1* + *mat-of-col c* $*_v$ *L* = A^T $*_v$ *u*
unfolding *vec1-def*
using *A u c L* **unfolding** *mat-of-col-def mat-of-row-uminus transpose-uminus*
by (*subst uminus-mult-mat-vec*, *auto*)
finally have *As*: A^T $*_v$ *u* = *mat-of-col c* $*_v$ *L* **.**
from *02* **have** (*vec3* + *vec2* − *t*) + *t* = 0_v *nr* + *t*
by *simp*
also have (*vec3* + *vec2* − *t*) + *t* = *vec2* + *vec3*
using *vec3 vec2 t* **by** *auto*
finally have *t23*: *t* = *vec2* + *vec3* **using** *t* **by** *auto*
have *id0*: 0_v *?nr* = ((0_v *nr* $@_v$ 0_v *1*) $@_v$ (0_v *nc* $@_v$ 0_v *nc*)) $@_v$ 0_v *nr*
by *auto*
from *ulv0*[*unfolded id0 ulvid u1id u2id u3id*]
have 0_v *nr* ≤ *u* ∧ 0_v *1* ≤ *L* ∧ 0_v *nc* ≤ *v* ∧ 0_v *nc* ≤ *w* ∧ 0_v *nr* ≤ *t*
apply (*subst* (*asm*) *append-vec-le*[*of - (nr + 1) + (nc + nc)*])
subgoal by (*intro append-carrier-vec*, *auto*)
subgoal by (*intro append-carrier-vec u L v w*)
apply (*subst* (*asm*) *append-vec-le*[*of - (nr + 1)*])
subgoal by (*intro append-carrier-vec*, *auto*)
subgoal by (*intro append-carrier-vec u L v w*)

apply (*subst* (*asm*) *append-vec-le*[*OF* - *u*], *force*)
apply (*subst* (*asm*) *append-vec-le*[*OF* - *v*], *force*)
by *auto*
hence *ineqs*: $0_v\ nr \leq u\ 0_v\ 1 \leq L\ 0_v\ nc \leq v\ 0_v\ nc \leq w\ 0_v\ nr \leq t$
by *auto*
have $ulv \cdot bc = u \cdot b + (v \cdot c + w \cdot (-c))$
unfolding *ulvid u1id u2id u3id bc-def*
apply (*subst scalar-prod-append*[*OF* - *t*])
apply (*rule append-carrier-vec*[*OF append-carrier-vec*[*OF u L*] *append-carrier-vec*[*OF v w*]])
apply (*rule append-carrier-vec*[*OF append-carrier-vec*[*OF b*] *append-carrier-vec*]; *use c* **in** *force*)
apply *force*
apply (*subst scalar-prod-append*)
apply (*rule append-carrier-vec*[*OF u L*])
apply (*rule append-carrier-vec*[*OF v w*])
subgoal by (*rule append-carrier-vec*, *insert b*, *auto*)
subgoal by (*rule append-carrier-vec*, *insert c*, *auto*)
apply (*subst scalar-prod-append*[*OF u L b*], *force*)
apply (*subst scalar-prod-append*[*OF v w c*], *use c* **in** *force*)
apply (*insert L t*, *auto*)
done
also have $v \cdot c + w \cdot (-c) = c \cdot v + (-c) \cdot w$
by (*subst* (*1 2*) *comm-scalar-prod*, *insert w c v*, *auto*)
also have $\ldots = c \cdot v - (c \cdot w)$ **using** *c w* **by** *simp*
also have $\ldots = c \cdot (v - w)$ **using** *c v w*
by (*simp add*: *scalar-prod-minus-distrib*)
finally have *ulvbc*: $ulv \cdot bc = u \cdot b + c \cdot (v - w)$ **.**
define *lam* **where** $lam = L\ \$\ 0$
from *ineqs*(*2*) *L* **have** *lam0*: $lam \geq 0$ **unfolding** *less-eq-vec-def lam-def* **by** *auto*
have *As*: $A^T *_v u = lam \cdot_v c$ **unfolding** *As* **using** *c L*
unfolding *lam-def mat-of-col-def*
by (*intro eq-vecI*, *auto simp*: *scalar-prod-def*)
have *vec3*: $vec3 = lam \cdot_v b$ **unfolding** *vec3-def* **using** *b L*
unfolding *lam-def mat-of-col-def*
by (*intro eq-vecI*, *auto simp*: *scalar-prod-def*)
note *preconds* = *lam0 ineqs*(*1*,*3*−)[*unfolded t23*[*unfolded vec2-def vec3*]] *As*
have $0 \leq u \cdot b + c \cdot (v - w)$
proof (*cases lam* > *0*)
case *True*
hence $u \cdot b = inverse\ lam * (lam * (b \cdot u))$
using *comm-scalar-prod*[*OF b u*] **by** *simp*
also have $\ldots = inverse\ lam * ((lam \cdot_v b) \cdot u)$
using *b u* **by** *simp*
also have $\ldots \geq inverse\ lam * (-(A *_v (v - w)) \cdot u)$
proof (*intro mult-left-mono*)
show $0 \leq inverse\ lam$ **using** *preconds* **by** *auto*
show $-(A *_v (v - w)) \cdot u \leq (lam \cdot_v b) \cdot u$

```
        unfolding scalar-prod-def
        apply (rule sum-mono)
        subgoal for i
        using lesseq-vecD[OF - preconds(2), of nr i] lesseq-vecD[OF - preconds(5),
of nr i] u v w b A
          by (intro mult-right-mono, auto)
        done
    qed
    also have inverse lam * (−(A *v (v − w)) · u) =
      − (inverse lam * ((A *v (v − w)) · u))
      by (subst scalar-prod-uminus-left, insert A u v w, auto)
    also have (A *v (v − w)) · u = (A^T *v u) · (v − w)
      apply (subst transpose-vec-mult-scalar[OF A - u])
      subgoal using v w by force
      by (rule comm-scalar-prod[OF - u], insert A v w, auto)
    also have inverse lam * ... = c · (v − w) unfolding preconds(6)
      using True
      by (subst scalar-prod-smult-left, insert c v w, auto)
    finally show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case False
    with preconds have lam: lam = 0 by auto
    from primal obtain x0 where x0: x0 ∈ carrier-vec nc
      and Ax0b: A *v x0 ≤ b by auto
    from dual obtain y0 where y00: y0 ≥ 0_v nr
      and Ay0c: A^T *v y0 = c by auto
    from y00 have y0: y0 ∈ carrier-vec nr
      unfolding less-eq-vec-def by auto
    have Au: A^T *v u = 0_v nc
      unfolding preconds lam using c by auto
    have 0 = (A^T *v u) · x0 unfolding Au using x0 by auto
    also have ... = u · (A *v x0)
      by (rule transpose-vec-mult-scalar[OF A x0 u])
    also have ... ≤ u · b
      unfolding scalar-prod-def
      apply (use A x0 b in simp)
      apply (intro sum-mono)
      subgoal for i
        using lesseq-vecD[OF - preconds(2), of nr i] lesseq-vecD[OF - Ax0b, of nr
i] u v w b A x0
        by (intro mult-left-mono, auto)
      done
    finally have ub: 0 ≤ u · b .
    have c · (v − w) = (A^T *v y0) · (v − w) unfolding Ay0c by simp
    also have ... = y0 · (A *v (v − w))
      by (subst transpose-vec-mult-scalar[OF A - y0], insert v w, auto)
    also have ... ≥ 0
      unfolding scalar-prod-def
      apply (use A v w in simp)
```

apply (*intro sum-nonneg*)
subgoal for *i*
using *lesseq-vecD*[*OF - y00*, *of nr i*] *lesseq-vecD*[*OF - preconds*(*5*)[*unfolded lam*], *of nr i*] *A y0 v w b*
by (*intro mult-nonneg-nonneg*, *auto*)
done
finally show *?thesis* **using** *ub* **by** *auto*
qed
thus $0 \leq ulv \cdot bc$ **unfolding** *ulvbc* **.**
qed
then obtain *xy* **where** *xy*: $xy \in$ *carrier-vec ?nc* **and** *le*: $M *_v xy \leq bc$ **by** *auto*
define *x* **where** $x =$ *vec-first xy nc*
define *y* **where** $y =$ *vec-last xy nr*
have *xyid*: $xy = x @_v y$ **using** *xy*
unfolding *x-def y-def* **by** *auto*
have *x*: $x \in$ *carrier-vec nc* **unfolding** *x-def* **by** *auto*
have *y*: $y \in$ *carrier-vec nr* **unfolding** *y-def* **by** *auto*
have *At*: $A^T \in$ *carrier-mat nc nr* **using** *A* **by** *auto*
have *Ax1*: $A *_v x @_v$ *vec 1* $(\lambda$*-*. $b \cdot y - c \cdot x) \in$ *carrier-vec* $(nr + 1)$
using *A x* **by** *fastforce*
have *b0cc*: $(b @_v 0_v 1) @_v c @_v - c \in$ *carrier-vec* $((nr + 1) + (nc + nc))$
using *b c*
by (*intro append-carrier-vec*, *auto*)
have $M *_v xy = (M\text{-}up *_v xy @_v M\text{-}low *_v xy) @_v (M\text{-}last *_v xy)$
unfolding *M-def*
unfolding *mat-mult-append*[*OF carrier-append-rows*[*OF M-up M-low*] *M-last xy*]
by (*simp add*: *mat-mult-append*[*OF M-up M-low xy*])
also have $M\text{-}low *_v xy = (0_m\ nc\ nc *_v x + A^T *_v y) @_v (0_m\ nc\ nc *_v x + - A^T *_v y)$
unfolding *M-low-def xyid*
by (*rule four-block-mat-mult-vec*[*OF - At - - x y*], *insert A*, *auto*)
also have $0_m\ nc\ nc *_v x + A^T *_v y = A^T *_v y$ **using** *A x y* **by** *auto*
also have $0_m\ nc\ nc *_v x + - A^T *_v y = - A^T *_v y$ **using** *A x y* **by** *auto*
also have $M\text{-}up *_v xy = (A *_v x + 0_m\ nr\ nr *_v y) @_v$
$(mat\text{-}of\text{-}row\ (-c) *_v x + mat\text{-}of\text{-}row\ b *_v y)$
unfolding *M-up-def xyid*
by (*rule four-block-mat-mult-vec*[*OF A - - - x y*], *insert b c*, *auto*)
also have $A *_v x + 0_m\ nr\ nr *_v y = A *_v x$ **using** *A x y* **by** *auto*
also have $mat\text{-}of\text{-}row\ (-c) *_v x + mat\text{-}of\text{-}row\ b *_v y =$
vec 1 $(\lambda$ *-*. $b \cdot y - c \cdot x)$
unfolding *mult-mat-vec-def* **using** *c x* **by** (*intro eq-vecI*, *auto*)
also have $M\text{-}last *_v xy = - y$
unfolding *M-last-def xyid* **using** *x y*
by (*subst mat-mult-append-cols*[*OF - - x y*], *auto*)
finally have $((A *_v x @_v$ *vec 1* $(\lambda$*-*. $b \cdot y - c \cdot x)) @_v (A^T *_v y @_v - A^T *_v y)) @_v -y$
$= M *_v xy$ **..**
also have $\ldots \leq bc$ **by** *fact*

also have $\ldots = ((b \mathbin{@_v} 0_v\ 1) \mathbin{@_v} (c \mathbin{@_v} -c)) \mathbin{@_v} 0_v\ nr$ **unfolding** *bc-def* **by** *auto*
finally have *ineqs*: $A *_v x \leq b \wedge vec\ 1\ (\lambda\text{-}.\ b \cdot y - c \cdot x) \leq 0_v\ 1$
$\wedge\ A^T *_v y \leq c \wedge - A^T *_v y \leq -c \wedge -y \leq 0_v\ nr$
apply (*subst* (*asm*) *append-vec-le*[*OF* - *b0cc*])
subgoal using *A x y* **by** (*intro append-carrier-vec*, *auto*)
apply (*subst* (*asm*) *append-vec-le*[*OF Ax1*], *use b* **in** *fastforce*)
apply (*subst* (*asm*) *append-vec-le*[*OF* - *b*], *use A x* **in** *force*)
apply (*subst* (*asm*) *append-vec-le*[*OF* - *c*], *use A y* **in** *force*)
by *auto*
show *?thesis*
proof (*intro exI conjI*)
from *ineqs* **show** *Axb*: $A *_v x \leq b$ **by** *auto*
from *ineqs* **have** $- A^T *_v y \leq -c\ A^T *_v y \leq c$ **by** *auto*
hence $A^T *_v y \geq c\ A^T *_v y \leq c$ **unfolding** *less-eq-vec-def* **using** *A y* **by** *auto*
then show *Aty*: $A^T *_v y = c$ **by** *simp*
from *ineqs* **have** $- y \leq 0_v\ nr$ **by** *simp*
then show *y0*: $0_v\ nr \leq y$ **unfolding** *less-eq-vec-def* **by** *auto*
from *ineqs* **have** $b \cdot y \leq c \cdot x$ **unfolding** *less-eq-vec-def* **by** *auto*
with *weak-duality-theorem*[*OF A b c x Axb y0 Aty*]
show $c \cdot x = b \cdot y$ **by** *auto*
qed (*insert x*)
qed

A version of the strong duality theorem which demands that the primal problem is solvable and the objective function is bounded.

theorem *strong-duality-theorem-primal-sat-bounded*:
fixes *bound* :: *'a* :: *trivial-conjugatable-linordered-field*
assumes *A*: $A \in carrier\text{-}mat\ nr\ nc$
and *b*: $b \in carrier\text{-}vec\ nr$
and *c*: $c \in carrier\text{-}vec\ nc$
and *sat*: $\exists\ x \in carrier\text{-}vec\ nc.\ A *_v x \leq b$
and *bounded*: $\forall\ x \in carrier\text{-}vec\ nc.\ A *_v x \leq b \longrightarrow c \cdot x \leq bound$
shows $\exists\ x\ y.$
$x \in carrier\text{-}vec\ nc \wedge A *_v x \leq b \wedge$
$y \geq 0_v\ nr \wedge A^T *_v y = c \wedge$
$c \cdot x = b \cdot y$
proof (*rule strong-duality-theorem-both-sat*[*OF A b c sat*])
show $\exists\, y{\geq}0_v\ nr.\ A^T *_v y = c$
proof (*rule ccontr*)
assume $\neg$ *?thesis*
hence $\exists\, y.\ y \in carrier\text{-}vec\ nc \wedge 0_v\ nr \leq A *_v y \wedge 0 > y \cdot c$
by (*subst* (*asm*) *gram-schmidt.Farkas-Lemma*[*OF* - *c*], *insert A*, *auto*)
then obtain *y* **where** *y*: $y \in carrier\text{-}vec\ nc$
and *Ay0*: $A *_v y \geq 0_v\ nr$ **and** *yc0*: $y \cdot c < 0$ **by** *auto*
from *sat* **obtain** *x* **where** *x*: $x \in carrier\text{-}vec\ nc$
and *Axb*: $A *_v x \leq b$ **by** *auto*
define *diff* **where** $diff = bound + 1 - c \cdot x$
from *x Axb bounded* **have** $c \cdot x < bound + 1$ **by** *auto*

```
    hence diff: diff > 0 unfolding diff-def by auto
    from yc0 have inv: inverse (− (y · c)) > 0 by auto
    define fact where fact = diff ∗ (inverse (− (y · c)))
    have fact: fact > 0 unfolding fact-def using diff inv by (metis mult-pos-pos)
    define z where z = x − fact ·v y
    have A ∗v z = A ∗v x − A ∗v (fact ·v y)
     unfolding z-def using A x y by (meson mult-minus-distrib-mat-vec smult-carrier-vec)
    also have ... = A ∗v x − fact ·v (A ∗v y) using A y by auto
    also have ... ≤ b
    proof (intro lesseq-vecI[OF - b])
      show A ∗v x − fact ·v (A ∗v y) ∈ carrier-vec nr using A x y by auto
      fix i
      assume i: i < nr
      have (A ∗v x − fact ·v (A ∗v y)) $ i
        = (A ∗v x) $ i − fact ∗ (A ∗v y) $ i
        using i A x y by auto
      also have ... ≤ b $ i − fact ∗ (A ∗v y) $ i
        using lesseq-vecD[OF b Axb i] by auto
      also have ... ≤ b $ i − 0 ∗ 0 using lesseq-vecD[OF - Ay0 i] fact A y i
        by (intro diff-left-mono mult-monom, auto)
      finally show (A ∗v x − fact ·v (A ∗v y)) $ i ≤ b $ i by simp
    qed
    finally have Azb: A ∗v z ≤ b .
    have z: z ∈ carrier-vec nc using x y unfolding z-def by auto
    have c · z = c · x − fact ∗ (c · y) unfolding z-def
      using c x y by (simp add: scalar-prod-minus-distrib)
    also have ... = c · x + diff
      unfolding comm-scalar-prod[OF c y] fact-def using yc0 by simp
    also have ... = bound + 1 unfolding diff-def by simp
    also have ... > c · z using bounded Azb z by auto
    finally show False by simp
  qed
qed
```

A version of the strong duality theorem which demands that the dual problem is solvable and the objective function is bounded.

```
theorem strong-duality-theorem-dual-sat-bounded:
  fixes bound :: 'a :: trivial-conjugatable-linordered-field
  assumes A: A ∈ carrier-mat nr nc
    and b: b ∈ carrier-vec nr
    and c: c ∈ carrier-vec nc
    and sat: ∃ y. y ≥ 0v nr ∧ A^T ∗v y = c
    and bounded: ∀ y. y ≥ 0v nr ∧ A^T ∗v y = c ⟶ bound ≤ b · y
  shows ∃ x y.
      x ∈ carrier-vec nc ∧ A ∗v x ≤ b ∧
      y ≥ 0v nr ∧ A^T ∗v y = c ∧
      c · x = b · y
proof (rule strong-duality-theorem-both-sat[OF A b c - sat])
  show ∃x∈carrier-vec nc. A ∗v x ≤ b
```

proof (*rule ccontr*)
assume ¬ *?thesis*
hence ¬ (∃ *x*. *x* ∈ *carrier-vec nc* ∧ *A* ∗$_v$ *x* ≤ *b*) **by** *auto*
then obtain *y* **where** *y0*: *y* ≥ 0$_v$ *nr* **and** *Ay0*: *A*^{T} ∗$_v$ *y* = 0$_v$ *nc* **and** *yb*: *y* · *b* < *0*
by (*subst* (*asm*) *gram-schmidt.Farkas-Lemma'*[*OF A b*], *auto*)
from *sat* **obtain** *x* **where** *x0*: *x* ≥ 0$_v$ *nr* **and** *Axc*: *A*^{T} ∗$_v$ *x* = *c* **by** *auto*
define *diff* **where** *diff* = *b* · *x* − (*bound* − *1*)
from *x0 Axc bounded* **have** *bound* ≤ *b* · *x* **by** *auto*
hence *diff*: *diff* > *0* **unfolding** *diff-def* **by** *auto*
define *fact* **where** *fact* = − *inverse* (*y* · *b*) ∗ *diff*
have *fact*: *fact* > *0* **unfolding** *fact-def* **using** *diff yb* **by** (*auto intro*: *mult-neg-pos*)
define *z* **where** *z* = *x* + *fact* ·$_v$ *y*
from *x0* **have** *x*: *x* ∈ *carrier-vec nr*
unfolding *less-eq-vec-def* **by** *auto*
from *y0* **have** *y*: *y* ∈ *carrier-vec nr*
unfolding *less-eq-vec-def* **by** *auto*
have *A*^{T} ∗$_v$ *z* = *A*^{T} ∗$_v$ *x* + *A*^{T} ∗$_v$ (*fact* ·$_v$ *y*)
unfolding *z-def* **using** *A x y* **by** (*simp add*: *mult-add-distrib-mat-vec*)
also have ... = *A*^{T} ∗$_v$ *x* + *fact* ·$_v$ (*A*^{T} ∗$_v$ *y*) **using** *A y* **by** *auto*
also have ... = *c* **unfolding** *Ay0 Axc* **using** *c* **by** *auto*
finally have *Azc*: *A*^{T} ∗$_v$ *z* = *c* **.**
have *z0*: *z* ≥ 0$_v$ *nr* **unfolding** *z-def*
by (*intro lesseq-vecI*[*of - nr*], *insert x y lesseq-vecD*[*OF - x0*, *of nr*] *lesseq-vecD*[*OF - y0*, *of nr*] *fact*,
auto intro!: *add-nonneg-nonneg*)
from *bounded Azc z0* **have** *bz*: *bound* ≤ *b* · *z* **by** *auto*
also have ... = *b* · *x* + *fact* ∗ (*b* · *y*) **unfolding** *z-def* **using** *b x y*
by (*simp add*: *scalar-prod-add-distrib*)
also have ... = *diff* + (*bound* − *1*) + *fact* ∗ (*b* · *y*)
unfolding *diff-def* **by** *auto*
also have *fact* ∗ (*b* · *y*) = − *diff* **using** *yb*
unfolding *fact-def comm-scalar-prod*[*OF y b*] **by** *auto*
finally show *False* **by** *simp*
qed
qed

Now the previous three duality theorems are formulated via min/max.

corollary *strong-duality-theorem-min-max*:
fixes *A* :: *'a* :: *trivial-conjugatable-linordered-field mat*
assumes *A*: *A* ∈ *carrier-mat nr nc*
and *b*: *b* ∈ *carrier-vec nr*
and *c*: *c* ∈ *carrier-vec nc*
and *primal*: ∃ *x* ∈ *carrier-vec nc*. *A* ∗$_v$ *x* ≤ *b*
and *dual*: ∃ *y*. *y* ≥ 0$_v$ *nr* ∧ *A*^{T} ∗$_v$ *y* = *c*
shows *Maximum* {*c* · *x* | *x*. *x* ∈ *carrier-vec nc* ∧ *A* ∗$_v$ *x* ≤ *b*}
= *Minimum* {*b* · *y* | *y*. *y* ≥ 0$_v$ *nr* ∧ *A*^{T} ∗$_v$ *y* = *c*}
and *has-Maximum* {*c* · *x* | *x*. *x* ∈ *carrier-vec nc* ∧ *A* ∗$_v$ *x* ≤ *b*}
and *has-Minimum* {*b* · *y* | *y*. *y* ≥ 0$_v$ *nr* ∧ *A*^{T} ∗$_v$ *y* = *c*}

proof −
let *?Prim* = $\{c \cdot x \mid x.\ x \in$ *carrier-vec nc* $\wedge\ A *_v x \leq b\}$
let *?Dual* = $\{b \cdot y \mid y.\ y \geq 0_v$ *nr* $\wedge\ A^T *_v y = c\}$
define *Prim* **where** *Prim* = *?Prim*
define *Dual* **where** *Dual* = *?Dual*
from *strong-duality-theorem-both-sat*[*OF assms*]
obtain *x y* **where** *x*: $x \in$ *carrier-vec nc* **and** *Axb*: $A *_v x \leq b$
and *y*: $y \geq 0_v$ *nr* **and** *Ayc*: $A^T *_v y = c$
and *eq*: $c \cdot x = b \cdot y$ **by** *auto*
have *cxP*: $c \cdot x \in$ *Prim* **unfolding** *Prim-def* **using** *x Axb* **by** *auto*
have *cxD*: $c \cdot x \in$ *Dual* **unfolding** *eq Dual-def* **using** *y Ayc* **by** *auto*
{
fix *z*
assume $z \in$ *Prim*
from *this*[*unfolded Prim-def*] **obtain** x' **where** x': $x' \in$ *carrier-vec nc*
and *Axb'*: $A *_v x' \leq b$ **and** *z*: $z = c \cdot x'$ **by** *auto*
from *weak-duality-theorem*[*OF A b c x' Axb' y Ayc*, *folded eq*]
have $z \leq c \cdot x$ **unfolding** *z* **.**
} note *cxMax* = *this*
have *max*: *Maximum Prim* = $c \cdot x$
by (*intro eqMaximumI cxP cxMax*)
show *has-Maximum ?Prim*
unfolding *Prim-def*[*symmetric*] *has-Maximum-def* **using** *cxP cxMax* **by** *auto*
{
fix *z*
assume $z \in$ *Dual*
from *this*[*unfolded Dual-def*] **obtain** y' **where** y': $y' \geq 0_v$ *nr*
and *Ayc'*: $A^T *_v y' = c$ **and** *z*: $z = b \cdot y'$ **by** *auto*
from *weak-duality-theorem*[*OF A b c x Axb y' Ayc'*, *folded z*]
have $c \cdot x \leq z$ **.**
} note *cxMin* = *this*
show *has-Minimum ?Dual*
unfolding *Dual-def*[*symmetric*] *has-Minimum-def* **using** *cxD cxMin* **by** *auto*
have *min*: *Minimum Dual* = $c \cdot x$
by (*intro eqMinimumI cxD cxMin*)
from *min max* **show** *Maximum ?Prim* = *Minimum ?Dual*
unfolding *Dual-def Prim-def* **by** *auto*
qed

corollary *strong-duality-theorem-primal-sat-bounded-min-max*:
fixes *bound* :: *'a* :: *trivial-conjugatable-linordered-field*
assumes *A*: $A \in$ *carrier-mat nr nc*
and *b*: $b \in$ *carrier-vec nr*
and *c*: $c \in$ *carrier-vec nc*
and *sat*: $\exists\ x \in$ *carrier-vec nc*. $A *_v x \leq b$
and *bounded*: $\forall\ x \in$ *carrier-vec nc*. $A *_v x \leq b \longrightarrow c \cdot x \leq$ *bound*
shows *Maximum* $\{c \cdot x \mid x.\ x \in$ *carrier-vec nc* $\wedge\ A *_v x \leq b\}$
$=$ *Minimum* $\{b \cdot y \mid y.\ y \geq 0_v$ *nr* $\wedge\ A^T *_v y = c\}$
and *has-Maximum* $\{c \cdot x \mid x.\ x \in$ *carrier-vec nc* $\wedge\ A *_v x \leq b\}$

```
    and has-Minimum {b ⋅ y | y. y ≥ 0_v nr ∧ A^T *_v y = c}
proof −
  let ?Prim = {c ⋅ x | x. x ∈ carrier-vec nc ∧ A *_v x ≤ b}
  let ?Dual = {b ⋅ y | y. y ≥ 0_v nr ∧ A^T *_v y = c}
  from strong-duality-theorem-primal-sat-bounded[OF assms]
  have ∃ y≥0_v nr. A^T *_v y = c by blast
  from strong-duality-theorem-min-max[OF A b c sat this]
  show Maximum ?Prim = Minimum ?Dual has-Maximum ?Prim  has-Minimum
?Dual
    by blast+
qed

corollary strong-duality-theorem-dual-sat-bounded-min-max:
  fixes bound :: 'a :: trivial-conjugatable-linordered-field
  assumes A: A ∈ carrier-mat nr nc
    and b: b ∈ carrier-vec nr
    and c: c ∈ carrier-vec nc
    and sat: ∃ y. y ≥ 0_v nr ∧ A^T *_v y = c
    and bounded: ∀ y. y ≥ 0_v nr ∧ A^T *_v y = c ⟶ bound ≤ b ⋅ y
  shows Maximum {c ⋅ x | x. x ∈ carrier-vec nc ∧ A *_v x ≤ b}
      = Minimum {b ⋅ y | y. y ≥ 0_v nr ∧ A^T *_v y = c}
    and has-Maximum {c ⋅ x | x. x ∈ carrier-vec nc ∧ A *_v x ≤ b}
    and has-Minimum {b ⋅ y | y. y ≥ 0_v nr ∧ A^T *_v y = c}
proof −
  let ?Prim = {c ⋅ x | x. x ∈ carrier-vec nc ∧ A *_v x ≤ b}
  let ?Dual = {b ⋅ y | y. y ≥ 0_v nr ∧ A^T *_v y = c}
  from strong-duality-theorem-dual-sat-bounded[OF assms]
  have ∃ x ∈ carrier-vec nc. A *_v x ≤ b by blast
  from strong-duality-theorem-min-max[OF A b c this sat]
  show Maximum ?Prim = Minimum ?Dual has-Maximum ?Prim  has-Minimum
?Dual
    by blast+
qed

end
```

References

[1] R. Bottesch, A. Reynaud, and R. Thiemann. Linear inequalities. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, June 2019. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Linear_Inequalities.html, Formal proof development.

[2] J. Parsert and C. Kaliszyk. Linear programming. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, Aug. 2019. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Linear_Programming.html, Formal proof development.

[3] A. Schrijver. *Theory of linear and integer programming*. John Wiley & Sons, 1998.