

A Formalization of Knuth–Bendix Orders*

Christian Sternagel and René Thiemann

May 26, 2024

Abstract

We define a generalized version of Knuth–Bendix orders, including subterm coefficient functions. For these orders we formalize several properties such as strong normalization, the subterm property, closure properties under substitutions and contexts, as well as ground totality.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Order Pairs	2
3	Lexicographic Extension	4
4	KBO	10
4.1	Subterm Coefficient Functions	10
4.2	Weight Functions	11
4.3	Definition of KBO	12
4.4	Reflexivity and Irreflexivity	14
4.5	Monotonicity (a.k.a. Closure under Contexts)	14
4.6	The Subterm Property	15
4.7	Least Elements	15
4.8	Stability (a.k.a. Closure under Substitutions)	15
4.9	Transitivity and Compatibility	16
4.10	Strong Normalization (a.k.a. Well-Foundedness)	16
4.11	Ground Totality	17
4.12	Summary	17

*Supported by FWF (Austrian Science Fund) projects P27502 and Y757.

1 Introduction

In their seminal paper [2], Knuth and Bendix introduced two important concepts: a procedure that allows us to solve certain instances of the word problem – (Knuth–Bendix) completion – as well as a specific order on terms that is useful to orient equations in the aforementioned procedure – the Knuth–Bendix order (or KBO, for short).

This AFP-entry is about the formalization of KBO. Note that there are several variants of KBO [2, 1, 3, 7, 4], e.g., incorporating quasi-precedences, infinite signatures, subterm coefficient functions, and generalized weight functions. In fact, not for all of these variants well-foundedness has been proven. We give the first well-foundedness proof for a variant of KBO that combines infinite signatures, quasi-precedences, and subterm coefficient functions. Our proof is direct, i.e., it does not depend on Kruskal’s tree theorem.

This formalization is used in the `IsaFoR/CeTAproject` [6] for certifying untrusted termination and confluence proofs. For more details we refer to our RTA paper [5].

2 Order Pairs

An order pair consists of two relations S and NS , where S is a strict order and NS a compatible non-strict order, such that the combination of S and NS always results in strict decrease.

theory *Order-Pair*

imports *Abstract–Rewriting.Relative-Rewriting*

begin

named-theorems *order-simps*

declare *O-assoc*[*order-simps*]

locale *pre-order-pair* =

fixes $S :: 'a\ rel$

and $NS :: 'a\ rel$

assumes *refl-NS*: *refl NS*

and *trans-S*: *trans S*

and *trans-NS*: *trans NS*

begin

lemma *refl-NS-point*: $(s, s) \in NS$ *<proof>*

lemma *NS-O-NS*[*order-simps*]: $NS\ O\ NS = NS\ NS\ O\ NS\ O\ T = NS\ O\ T$ *<proof>*

lemma *trancl-NS*[*order-simps*]: $NS^+ = NS$ *<proof>*

lemma *rtrancl-NS*[*order-simps*]: $NS^* = NS$
<proof>

lemma *trancl-S*[*order-simps*]: $S^+ = S$ *<proof>*

lemma *S-O-S*: $S \circ S \subseteq S \circ S \circ S \circ T \subseteq S \circ T$
<proof>

lemma *trans-S-point*: $\bigwedge x y z. (x, y) \in S \implies (y, z) \in S \implies (x, z) \in S$
<proof>

lemma *trans-NS-point*: $\bigwedge x y z. (x, y) \in NS \implies (y, z) \in NS \implies (x, z) \in NS$
<proof>

end

locale *compat-pair* =

fixes $S \ NS :: 'a \ rel$

assumes *compat-NS-S*: $NS \circ S \subseteq S$

and *compat-S-NS*: $S \circ NS \subseteq S$

begin

lemma *compat-NS-S-point*: $\bigwedge x y z. (x, y) \in NS \implies (y, z) \in S \implies (x, z) \in S$
<proof>

lemma *compat-S-NS-point*: $\bigwedge x y z. (x, y) \in S \implies (y, z) \in NS \implies (x, z) \in S$
<proof>

lemma *S-O-rtrancl-NS*[*order-simps*]: $S \circ NS^* = S \circ S \circ NS^* \circ T = S \circ T$
<proof>

lemma *rtrancl-NS-O-S*[*order-simps*]: $NS^* \circ S = S \circ NS^* \circ S \circ T = S \circ T$
<proof>

end

locale *order-pair* = *pre-order-pair* $S \ NS$ + *compat-pair* $S \ NS$

for $S \ NS :: 'a \ rel$

begin

lemma *S-O-NS*[*order-simps*]: $S \circ NS = S \circ S \circ NS \circ T = S \circ T$ *<proof>*

lemma *NS-O-S*[*order-simps*]: $NS \circ S = S \circ NS \circ S \circ T = S \circ T$ *<proof>*

lemma *compat-rtrancl*:

assumes *ab*: $(a, b) \in S$

and *bc*: $(b, c) \in (NS \cup S)^*$

shows $(a, c) \in S$

<proof>

end

```

locale SN-ars =
  fixes S :: 'a rel
  assumes SN: SN S

locale SN-pair = compat-pair S NS + SN-ars S for S NS :: 'a rel

locale SN-order-pair = order-pair S NS + SN-ars S for S NS :: 'a rel

sublocale SN-order-pair  $\subseteq$  SN-pair  $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

end

```

3 Lexicographic Extension

theory *Lexicographic-Extension*

imports

Matrix.Utility

Order-Pair

begin

In this theory we define the lexicographic extension of an order pair, so that it generalizes the existing notion ($\langle *lex* \rangle$) which is based on a single order only.

Our main result is that this extension yields again an order pair.

fun *lex-two* :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a rel \Rightarrow 'b rel \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) rel

where

lex-two s ns s2 = $\{((a1, b1), (a2, b2)) . (a1, a2) \in s \vee (a1, a2) \in ns \wedge (b1, b2) \in s2\}$

lemma *lex-two*:

assumes *compat*: ns O s \subseteq s

and *SN-s*: SN s

and *SN-s2*: SN s2

shows SN (*lex-two* s ns s2) (**is** SN ?r)
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *lex-two-compat*:

assumes *compat1*: ns1 O s1 \subseteq s1

and *compat1'*: s1 O ns1 \subseteq s1

and *trans1*: s1 O s1 \subseteq s1

and *trans1'*: ns1 O ns1 \subseteq ns1

and *compat2*: ns2 O s2 \subseteq s2

and ns: (ab1, ab2) \in *lex-two* s1 ns1 ns2

and s: (ab2, ab3) \in *lex-two* s1 ns1 s2

shows (ab1, ab3) \in *lex-two* s1 ns1 s2
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *lex-two-compat'*:

```

assumes compat1: ns1 O s1  $\subseteq$  s1
and compat1': s1 O ns1  $\subseteq$  s1
and trans1: s1 O s1  $\subseteq$  s1
and trans1': ns1 O ns1  $\subseteq$  ns1
and compat2': s2 O ns2  $\subseteq$  s2
and s: (ab1, ab2)  $\in$  lex-two s1 ns1 s2
and ns: (ab2, ab3)  $\in$  lex-two s1 ns1 ns2
shows (ab1, ab3)  $\in$  lex-two s1 ns1 s2
<proof>

```

```

lemma lex-two-compat2:
assumes ns1 O s1  $\subseteq$  s1 s1 O ns1  $\subseteq$  s1 s1 O s1  $\subseteq$  s1 ns1 O ns1  $\subseteq$  ns1 ns2 O
s2  $\subseteq$  s2
shows lex-two s1 ns1 ns2 O lex-two s1 ns1 s2  $\subseteq$  lex-two s1 ns1 s2
<proof>

```

```

lemma lex-two-compat'2:
assumes ns1 O s1  $\subseteq$  s1 s1 O ns1  $\subseteq$  s1 s1 O s1  $\subseteq$  s1 ns1 O ns1  $\subseteq$  ns1 s2 O ns2
 $\subseteq$  s2
shows lex-two s1 ns1 s2 O lex-two s1 ns1 ns2  $\subseteq$  lex-two s1 ns1 s2
<proof>

```

```

lemma lex-two-refl:
assumes r1: refl ns1 and r2: refl ns2
shows refl (lex-two s1 ns1 ns2)
<proof>

```

```

lemma lex-two-order-pair:
assumes o1: order-pair s1 ns1 and o2: order-pair s2 ns2
shows order-pair (lex-two s1 ns1 s2) (lex-two s1 ns1 ns2)
<proof>

```

```

lemma lex-two-SN-order-pair:
assumes o1: SN-order-pair s1 ns1 and o2: SN-order-pair s2 ns2
shows SN-order-pair (lex-two s1 ns1 s2) (lex-two s1 ns1 ns2)
<proof>

```

In the unbounded lexicographic extension, there is no restriction on the lengths of the lists. Therefore it is possible to compare lists of different lengths. This usually results a non-terminating relation, e.g., $[1] > [0, 1] > [0, 0, 1] > \dots$

```

fun lex-ext-unbounded :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\times$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\times$  bool
where lex-ext-unbounded f [] [] = (False, True) |
lex-ext-unbounded f (- # -) [] = (True, True) |
lex-ext-unbounded f [] (- # -) = (False, False) |
lex-ext-unbounded f (a # as) (b # bs) =
  (let (stri, nstri) = f a b in
   if stri then (True, True)
   else if nstri then lex-ext-unbounded f as bs

```

else (False, False))

lemma *lex-ext-unbounded-iff*: (lex-ext-unbounded f xs ys) = (
 (($\exists i < \text{length } xs. i < \text{length } ys \wedge (\forall j < i. \text{snd } (f (xs ! j) (ys ! j))) \wedge \text{fst } (f (xs ! i) (ys ! i))$)) \vee
 ($\forall i < \text{length } ys. \text{snd } (f (xs ! i) (ys ! i)) \wedge \text{length } xs > \text{length } ys$),
 (($\exists i < \text{length } xs. i < \text{length } ys \wedge (\forall j < i. \text{snd } (f (xs ! j) (ys ! j))) \wedge \text{fst } (f (xs ! i) (ys ! i))$)) \vee
 ($\forall i < \text{length } ys. \text{snd } (f (xs ! i) (ys ! i)) \wedge \text{length } xs \geq \text{length } ys$)
 (is ?lex xs ys = (?stri xs ys, ?nstri xs ys))
 <proof>

declare *lex-ext-unbounded.simps*[simp del]

The lexicographic extension of an order pair takes a natural number as maximum bound. A decrease with lists of unequal lengths will never be successful if the length of the second list exceeds this bound. The bound is essential to preserve strong normalization.

definition *lex-ext* :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool \times bool) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow bool \times bool

where

lex-ext f n ss ts =
 (let lts = length ts in
 if (length ss = lts \vee lts \leq n) then lex-ext-unbounded f ss ts
 else (False, False))

lemma *lex-ext-iff*: (lex-ext f m xs ys) = (
 (length xs = length ys \vee length ys \leq m) \wedge (($\exists i < \text{length } xs. i < \text{length } ys \wedge (\forall j < i. \text{snd } (f (xs ! j) (ys ! j))) \wedge \text{fst } (f (xs ! i) (ys ! i))$)) \vee
 ($\forall i < \text{length } ys. \text{snd } (f (xs ! i) (ys ! i)) \wedge \text{length } xs > \text{length } ys$),
 (length xs = length ys \vee length ys \leq m) \wedge
 (($\exists i < \text{length } xs. i < \text{length } ys \wedge (\forall j < i. \text{snd } (f (xs ! j) (ys ! j))) \wedge \text{fst } (f (xs ! i) (ys ! i))$)) \vee
 ($\forall i < \text{length } ys. \text{snd } (f (xs ! i) (ys ! i)) \wedge \text{length } xs \geq \text{length } ys$)
 <proof>

lemma *lex-ext-to-lex-ext-unbounded*:

assumes length xs \leq n **and** length ys \leq n
shows lex-ext f n xs ys = lex-ext-unbounded f xs ys
 <proof>

lemma *lex-ext-stri-imp-nstri*:

assumes fst (lex-ext f m xs ys)
shows snd (lex-ext f m xs ys)
 <proof>

lemma *nstri-lex-ext-map*:

assumes $\bigwedge s t. s \in \text{set } ss \implies t \in \text{set } ts \implies \text{fst } (\text{order } s t) \implies \text{fst } (\text{order}' (f s) (f t))$
and $\bigwedge s t. s \in \text{set } ss \implies t \in \text{set } ts \implies \text{snd } (\text{order } s t) \implies \text{snd } (\text{order}' (f s) (f t))$
and $\text{snd } (\text{lex-ext } \text{order } n ss ts)$
shows $\text{snd } (\text{lex-ext } \text{order}' n (\text{map } f ss) (\text{map } f ts))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *stri-lex-ext-map*:

assumes $\bigwedge s t. s \in \text{set } ss \implies t \in \text{set } ts \implies \text{fst } (\text{order } s t) \implies \text{fst } (\text{order}' (f s) (f t))$
and $\bigwedge s t. s \in \text{set } ss \implies t \in \text{set } ts \implies \text{snd } (\text{order } s t) \implies \text{snd } (\text{order}' (f s) (f t))$
and $\text{fst } (\text{lex-ext } \text{order } n ss ts)$
shows $\text{fst } (\text{lex-ext } \text{order}' n (\text{map } f ss) (\text{map } f ts))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lex-ext-arg-empty*: $\text{snd } (\text{lex-ext } f n [] xs) \implies xs = []$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lex-ext-co-compat*:

assumes $\bigwedge s t. s \in \text{set } ss \implies t \in \text{set } ts \implies \text{fst } (\text{order } s t) \implies \text{snd } (\text{order}' t s)$
 $\implies \text{False}$
and $\bigwedge s t. s \in \text{set } ss \implies t \in \text{set } ts \implies \text{snd } (\text{order } s t) \implies \text{fst } (\text{order}' t s)$
 $\implies \text{False}$
and $\bigwedge s t. \text{fst } (\text{order } s t) \implies \text{snd } (\text{order } s t)$
and $\text{fst } (\text{lex-ext } \text{order } n ss ts)$
and $\text{snd } (\text{lex-ext } \text{order}' n ts ss)$
shows *False*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lex-ext-irrefl*: **assumes** $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies \neg \text{fst } (\text{rel } x x)$
shows $\neg \text{fst } (\text{lex-ext } \text{rel } n xs xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lex-ext-unbounded-stri-imp-nstri*:

assumes $\text{fst } (\text{lex-ext-unbounded } f xs ys)$
shows $\text{snd } (\text{lex-ext-unbounded } f xs ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *all-nstri-imp-lex-nstri*: **assumes** $\forall i < \text{length } ys. \text{snd } (f (xs ! i) (ys ! i))$
and $\text{length } xs \geq \text{length } ys$ **and** $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys \vee \text{length } ys \leq m$
shows $\text{snd } (\text{lex-ext } f m xs ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lex-ext-cong[fundef-cong]*: **fixes** $f g m1 m2 xs1 xs2 ys1 ys2$

assumes $\text{length } xs1 = \text{length } ys1$ **and** $m1 = m2$ **and** $\text{length } xs2 = \text{length } ys2$
and $\bigwedge i. \llbracket i < \text{length } ys1; i < \text{length } ys2 \rrbracket \implies f (xs1 ! i) (xs2 ! i) = g (ys1 ! i)$

(*ys2 ! i*)
shows $\text{lex-ext } f \ m1 \ xs1 \ xs2 = \text{lex-ext } g \ m2 \ ys1 \ ys2$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *lex-ext-unbounded-cong*[*fundef-cong*]: **assumes** $as = as'$ **and** $bs = bs'$
and $\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } as' \implies i < \text{length } bs' \implies f (as' ! i) (bs' ! i) = g (as' ! i) (bs' ! i)$
shows $\text{lex-ext-unbounded } f \ as \ bs = \text{lex-ext-unbounded } g \ as' \ bs'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

Compatibility is the key property to ensure transitivity of the order.

We prove compatibility locally, i.e., it only has to hold for elements of the argument lists. Locality is essential for being applicable in recursively defined term orders such as KBO.

lemma *lex-ext-compat*:

assumes *compat*: $\bigwedge s \ t \ u. \llbracket s \in \text{set } ss; t \in \text{set } ts; u \in \text{set } us \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{snd } (f \ s \ t) \wedge \text{fst } (f \ t \ u) \longrightarrow \text{fst } (f \ s \ u)) \wedge$
 $(\text{fst } (f \ s \ t) \wedge \text{snd } (f \ t \ u) \longrightarrow \text{fst } (f \ s \ u)) \wedge$
 $(\text{snd } (f \ s \ t) \wedge \text{snd } (f \ t \ u) \longrightarrow \text{snd } (f \ s \ u)) \wedge$
 $(\text{fst } (f \ s \ t) \wedge \text{fst } (f \ t \ u) \longrightarrow \text{fst } (f \ s \ u))$

shows

$(\text{snd } (\text{lex-ext } f \ n \ ss \ ts) \wedge \text{fst } (\text{lex-ext } f \ n \ ts \ us) \longrightarrow \text{fst } (\text{lex-ext } f \ n \ ss \ us)) \wedge$
 $(\text{fst } (\text{lex-ext } f \ n \ ss \ ts) \wedge \text{snd } (\text{lex-ext } f \ n \ ts \ us) \longrightarrow \text{fst } (\text{lex-ext } f \ n \ ss \ us)) \wedge$
 $(\text{snd } (\text{lex-ext } f \ n \ ss \ ts) \wedge \text{snd } (\text{lex-ext } f \ n \ ts \ us) \longrightarrow \text{snd } (\text{lex-ext } f \ n \ ss \ us)) \wedge$
 $(\text{fst } (\text{lex-ext } f \ n \ ss \ ts) \wedge \text{fst } (\text{lex-ext } f \ n \ ts \ us) \longrightarrow \text{fst } (\text{lex-ext } f \ n \ ss \ us))$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *lex-ext-unbounded-map*:

assumes *S*: $\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } ss \implies i < \text{length } ts \implies \text{fst } (r \ (ss ! i) \ (ts ! i)) \implies$
 $\text{fst } (r \ (\text{map } f \ ss ! i) \ (\text{map } f \ ts ! i))$
and *NS*: $\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } ss \implies i < \text{length } ts \implies \text{snd } (r \ (ss ! i) \ (ts ! i)) \implies$
 $\text{snd } (r \ (\text{map } f \ ss ! i) \ (\text{map } f \ ts ! i))$
shows $(\text{fst } (\text{lex-ext-unbounded } r \ ss \ ts) \longrightarrow \text{fst } (\text{lex-ext-unbounded } r \ (\text{map } f \ ss) \ (\text{map } f \ ts))) \wedge$
 $(\text{snd } (\text{lex-ext-unbounded } r \ ss \ ts) \longrightarrow \text{snd } (\text{lex-ext-unbounded } r \ (\text{map } f \ ss) \ (\text{map } f \ ts)))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *lex-ext-unbounded-map-S*:

assumes *S*: $\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } ss \implies i < \text{length } ts \implies \text{fst } (r \ (ss ! i) \ (ts ! i)) \implies$
 $\text{fst } (r \ (\text{map } f \ ss ! i) \ (\text{map } f \ ts ! i))$
and *NS*: $\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } ss \implies i < \text{length } ts \implies \text{snd } (r \ (ss ! i) \ (ts ! i)) \implies$
 $\text{snd } (r \ (\text{map } f \ ss ! i) \ (\text{map } f \ ts ! i))$
and *stri*: $\text{fst } (\text{lex-ext-unbounded } r \ ss \ ts)$
shows $\text{fst } (\text{lex-ext-unbounded } r \ (\text{map } f \ ss) \ (\text{map } f \ ts))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *lex-ext-unbounded-map-NS*:

assumes $S: \bigwedge i. i < \text{length } ss \implies i < \text{length } ts \implies \text{fst } (r (ss ! i) (ts ! i)) \implies \text{fst } (r (\text{map } f \text{ } ss ! i) (\text{map } f \text{ } ts ! i))$
and $NS: \bigwedge i. i < \text{length } ss \implies i < \text{length } ts \implies \text{snd } (r (ss ! i) (ts ! i)) \implies \text{snd } (r (\text{map } f \text{ } ss ! i) (\text{map } f \text{ } ts ! i))$
and $nstri: \text{snd } (\text{lex-ext-unbounded } r \text{ } ss \text{ } ts)$
shows $\text{snd } (\text{lex-ext-unbounded } r (\text{map } f \text{ } ss) (\text{map } f \text{ } ts))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Strong normalization with local SN assumption

lemma *lex-ext-SN*:

assumes $\text{compat}: \bigwedge x y z. \llbracket \text{snd } (g x y); \text{fst } (g y z) \rrbracket \implies \text{fst } (g x z)$
shows $SN \{ (ys, xs). (\forall y \in \text{set } ys. SN\text{-on } \{ (s, t). \text{fst } (g s t) \} \{y\}) \wedge \text{fst } (\text{lex-ext } g \text{ } m \text{ } ys \text{ } xs) \}$
(is $SN \{ (ys, xs). ?\text{cond } ys \text{ } xs \}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Strong normalization with global SN assumption is immediate consequence.

lemma *lex-ext-SN-2*:

assumes $\text{compat}: \bigwedge x y z. \llbracket \text{snd } (g x y); \text{fst } (g y z) \rrbracket \implies \text{fst } (g x z)$
and $SN: SN \{ (s, t). \text{fst } (g s t) \}$
shows $SN \{ (ys, xs). \text{fst } (\text{lex-ext } g \text{ } m \text{ } ys \text{ } xs) \}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The empty list is the least element in the lexicographic extension.

lemma *lex-ext-least-1*: $\text{snd } (\text{lex-ext } f \text{ } m \text{ } xs \text{ } [])$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lex-ext-least-2*: $\neg \text{fst } (\text{lex-ext } f \text{ } m \text{ } [] \text{ } ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Preservation of totality on lists of same length.

lemma *lex-ext-unbounded-total*:

assumes $\forall (s, t) \in \text{set } (\text{zip } ss \text{ } ts). s = t \vee \text{fst } (f s t) \vee \text{fst } (f t s)$
and $\text{refl}: \bigwedge t. \text{snd } (f t t)$
and $\text{length } ss = \text{length } ts$
shows $ss = ts \vee \text{fst } (\text{lex-ext-unbounded } f \text{ } ss \text{ } ts) \vee \text{fst } (\text{lex-ext-unbounded } f \text{ } ts \text{ } ss)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lex-ext-total*:

assumes $\forall (s, t) \in \text{set } (\text{zip } ss \text{ } ts). s = t \vee \text{fst } (f s t) \vee \text{fst } (f t s)$
and $\bigwedge t. \text{snd } (f t t)$
and $\text{len}: \text{length } ss = \text{length } ts$
shows $ss = ts \vee \text{fst } (\text{lex-ext } f \text{ } n \text{ } ss \text{ } ts) \vee \text{fst } (\text{lex-ext } f \text{ } n \text{ } ts \text{ } ss)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Monotonicity of the lexicographic extension.

lemma *lex-ext-unbounded-mono*:

```

assumes  $\bigwedge i. \llbracket i < \text{length } xs; i < \text{length } ys; \text{fst } (P \ (xs \ ! \ i) \ (ys \ ! \ i)) \rrbracket \implies \text{fst } (P' \ (xs \ ! \ i) \ (ys \ ! \ i))$ 
and  $\bigwedge i. \llbracket i < \text{length } xs; i < \text{length } ys; \text{snd } (P \ (xs \ ! \ i) \ (ys \ ! \ i)) \rrbracket \implies \text{snd } (P' \ (xs \ ! \ i) \ (ys \ ! \ i))$ 
shows
  ( $\text{fst } (\text{lex-ext-unbounded } P \ xs \ ys) \longrightarrow \text{fst } (\text{lex-ext-unbounded } P' \ xs \ ys)$ )  $\wedge$ 
  ( $\text{snd } (\text{lex-ext-unbounded } P \ xs \ ys) \longrightarrow \text{snd } (\text{lex-ext-unbounded } P' \ xs \ ys)$ )
  (is ( $?l1 \ xs \ ys \longrightarrow ?r1 \ xs \ ys$ )  $\wedge$  ( $?l2 \ xs \ ys \longrightarrow ?r2 \ xs \ ys$ ))
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

lemma *lex-ext-local-mono* [*mono*]:

```

assumes  $\bigwedge s \ t. s \in \text{set } ts \implies t \in \text{set } ss \implies \text{ord } s \ t \implies \text{ord}' \ s \ t$ 
shows  $\text{fst } (\text{lex-ext } (\lambda x \ y. (\text{ord } x \ y, (x, y) \in \text{ns-rel})) \ (\text{length } ts) \ ts \ ss) \longrightarrow$ 
   $\text{fst } (\text{lex-ext } (\lambda x \ y. (\text{ord}' \ x \ y, (x, y) \in \text{ns-rel})) \ (\text{length } ts) \ ts \ ss)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

lemma *lex-ext-mono* [*mono*]:

```

assumes  $\bigwedge s \ t. \text{ord } s \ t \longrightarrow \text{ord}' \ s \ t$ 
shows  $\text{fst } (\text{lex-ext } (\lambda x \ y. (\text{ord } x \ y, (x, y) \in \text{ns})) \ (\text{length } ts) \ ts \ ss) \longrightarrow$ 
   $\text{fst } (\text{lex-ext } (\lambda x \ y. (\text{ord}' \ x \ y, (x, y) \in \text{ns})) \ (\text{length } ts) \ ts \ ss)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

end

4 KBO

Below, we formalize a variant of KBO that includes subterm coefficient functions.

A more standard definition is obtained by setting all subterm coefficients to 1. For this special case it would be possible to define more efficient code-equations that do not have to evaluate subterm coefficients at all.

theory *KBO*

imports

Lexicographic-Extension
First-Order-Terms.Subterm-and-Context
Polynomial-Factorization.Missing-List

begin

4.1 Subterm Coefficient Functions

Given a function *scf*, associating positions with subterm coefficients, and a list *xs*, the function *scf-list* yields an expanded list where each element of *xs* is replicated a number of times according to its subterm coefficient.

definition *scf-list* :: $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list}$

where

$\text{scf-list } scf \ xs = \text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda(x, i). \text{replicate } (scf \ i) \ x) \ (\text{zip } xs \ [0 \ .. < \ \text{length } xs]))$

lemma *set-scf-list* [*simp*]:
assumes $\forall i < \text{length } xs. \text{scf } i > 0$
shows $\text{set } (\text{scf-list } \text{scf } xs) = \text{set } xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *scf-list-subset*: $\text{set } (\text{scf-list } \text{scf } xs) \subseteq \text{set } xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *scf-list-empty* [*simp*]:
 $\text{scf-list } \text{scf } [] = []$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *scf-list-bef-i-aft* [*simp*]:
 $\text{scf-list } \text{scf } (\text{bef } @ i \# \text{aft}) =$
 $\text{scf-list } \text{scf } \text{bef } @ \text{replicate } (\text{scf } (\text{length } \text{bef})) i @$
 $\text{scf-list } (\lambda i. \text{scf } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } \text{bef } + i))) \text{aft}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *scf-list-map* [*simp*]:
 $\text{scf-list } \text{scf } (\text{map } f \text{ } xs) = \text{map } f (\text{scf-list } \text{scf } xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The function *scf-term* replicates each argument a number of times according to its subterm coefficient function.

fun *scf-term* :: $('f \times \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}) \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) \text{ term} \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) \text{ term}$
where
 $\text{scf-term } \text{scf } (\text{Var } x) = (\text{Var } x) |$
 $\text{scf-term } \text{scf } (\text{Fun } f \text{ } ts) = \text{Fun } f (\text{scf-list } (\text{scf } (f, \text{length } ts)) (\text{map } (\text{scf-term } \text{scf})$
 $ts))$

lemma *vars-term-scf-subset*:
 $\text{vars-term } (\text{scf-term } \text{scf } s) \subseteq \text{vars-term } s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *scf-term-subst*:
 $\text{scf-term } \text{scf } (t \cdot \sigma) = \text{scf-term } \text{scf } t \cdot (\lambda x. \text{scf-term } \text{scf } (\sigma x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.2 Weight Functions

locale *weight-fun* =
fixes $w :: 'f \times \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$
and $w0 :: \text{nat}$
and $\text{scf} :: 'f \times \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$
begin

The *weight* of a term is computed recursively, where variables have weight $w0$ and the weight of a compound term is computed by adding the weight of its root symbol $w(f, n)$ to the weighted sum where weights of arguments are multiplied according to their subterm coefficients.

```

fun weight :: ('f, 'v) term ⇒ nat
  where
    weight (Var x) = w0 |
    weight (Fun f ts) =
      (let n = length ts; scff = scf (f, n) in
       w (f, n) + sum-list (map (λ (ti, i). weight ti * scff i) (zip ts [0 ..< n])))

```

Alternatively, we can replicate arguments via *scf-list*. The advantage is that then both *weight* and *scf-term* are defined via *scf-list*.

```

lemma weight-simp [simp]:
  weight (Fun f ts) = w (f, length ts) + sum-list (map weight (scf-list (scf (f,
length ts)) ts))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

declare weight.simps(2)[simp del]

```

```

abbreviation SCF ≡ scf-term scf

```

```

lemma sum-list-scf-list:
  assumes ∧ i. i < length ts ⇒ f i > 0
  shows sum-list (map weight ts) ≤ sum-list (map weight (scf-list f ts))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

```

4.3 Definition of KBO

The precedence is given by three parameters:

- a predicate *pr-strict* for strict decrease between two function symbols,
- a predicate *pr-weak* for weak decrease between two function symbols, and
- a function indicating whether a symbol is least in the precedence.

```

locale kbo = weight-fun w w0 scf
  for w w0 and scf :: 'f × nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat +
  fixes least :: 'f ⇒ bool
  and pr-strict :: 'f × nat ⇒ 'f × nat ⇒ bool
  and pr-weak :: 'f × nat ⇒ 'f × nat ⇒ bool
begin

```

The result of *kbo* is a pair of Booleans encoding strict/weak decrease.

Interestingly, the bound on the lengths of the lists in the lexicographic extension is not required for KBO.

```

fun kbo :: ('f, 'v) term ⇒ ('f, 'v) term ⇒ bool × bool
  where

```

```

kbo s t = (if (vars-term-ms (SCF t)  $\subseteq\#$  vars-term-ms (SCF s)  $\wedge$  weight t  $\leq$ 
weight s)
  then if (weight t < weight s)
    then (True, True)
  else (case s of
    Var y  $\Rightarrow$  (False, (case t of Var x  $\Rightarrow$  x = y | Fun g ts  $\Rightarrow$  ts = []  $\wedge$  least g))
  | Fun f ss  $\Rightarrow$  (case t of
    Var x  $\Rightarrow$  (True, True)
  | Fun g ts  $\Rightarrow$  if pr-strict (f, length ss) (g, length ts)
    then (True, True)
    else if pr-weak (f, length ss) (g, length ts)
    then lex-ext-unbounded kbo ss ts
    else (False, False)))
  else (False, False))

```

Abbreviations for strict (S) and nonstrict (NS) KBO.

abbreviation $S \equiv \lambda s t. \text{fst} (kbo s t)$

abbreviation $NS \equiv \lambda s t. \text{snd} (kbo s t)$

For code-generation we do not compute the weights of s and t repeatedly.

lemma *kbo-code*: $kbo s t = (\text{let } wt = \text{weight } t; ws = \text{weight } s \text{ in}$
 $\text{if } (\text{vars-term-ms } (SCF t) \subseteq\# \text{ vars-term-ms } (SCF s) \wedge wt \leq ws)$
 $\text{then if } wt < ws$
 $\text{then } (True, True)$
 $\text{else } (\text{case } s \text{ of}$
 $\text{Var } y \Rightarrow (False, (\text{case } t \text{ of Var } x \Rightarrow True \mid \text{Fun } g \text{ ts} \Rightarrow \text{ts} = [] \wedge \text{least } g))$
 $\mid \text{Fun } f \text{ ss} \Rightarrow (\text{case } t \text{ of}$
 $\text{Var } x \Rightarrow (True, True)$
 $\mid \text{Fun } g \text{ ts} \Rightarrow \text{let } ff = (f, \text{length } ss); gg = (g, \text{length } ts) \text{ in}$
 $\text{if pr-strict } ff \text{ gg}$
 $\text{then } (True, True)$
 $\text{else if pr-weak } ff \text{ gg}$
 $\text{then lex-ext-unbounded kbo ss ts}$
 $\text{else } (False, False)))$
 $\text{else } (False, False))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

declare $kbo.kbo\text{-code}[code]$

declare $\text{weight-fun.weight.simps}[code]$

lemma *mset-replicate-mono*:

assumes $m1 \subseteq\# m2$

shows $\sum\# (mset (\text{replicate } n \text{ } m1)) \subseteq\# \sum\# (mset (\text{replicate } n \text{ } m2))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

While the locale *kbo* only fixes its parameters, we now demand that these parameters are sensible, e.g., encoding a well-founded precedence, etc.

locale *admissible-kbo* =

kbo w w0 scf least pr-strict pr-weak
for *w w0 pr-strict pr-weak* **and** *least :: 'f ⇒ bool and scf +*
assumes *w0: w (f, 0) ≥ w0 w0 > 0*
and *adm: w (f, 1) = 0 ⇒ pr-weak (f, 1) (g, n)*
and *least: least f = (w (f, 0) = w0 ∧ (∀ g. w (g, 0) = w0 → pr-weak (g, 0)*
(f, 0)))
and *scf: i < n ⇒ scf (f, n) i > 0*
and *pr-weak-refl [simp]: pr-weak fn fn*
and *pr-weak-trans: pr-weak fn gm ⇒ pr-weak gm hk ⇒ pr-weak fn hk*
and *pr-strict: pr-strict fn gm ⇔ pr-weak fn gm ∧ ¬ pr-weak gm fn*
and *pr-SN: SN {(fn, gm). pr-strict fn gm}*
begin

lemma *weight-w0: weight t ≥ w0*
<proof>

lemma *weight-gt-0: weight t > 0*
<proof>

lemma *weight-0 [iff]: weight t = 0 ⇔ False*
<proof>

lemma *not-S-Var: ¬ S (Var x) t*
<proof>

lemma *S-imp-NS: S s t ⇒ NS s t*
<proof>

4.4 Reflexivity and Irreflexivity

lemma *NS-refl: NS s s*
<proof>

lemma *pr-strict-irrefl: ¬ pr-strict fn fn*
<proof>

lemma *S-irrefl: ¬ S t t*
<proof>

4.5 Monotonicity (a.k.a. Closure under Contexts)

lemma *S-mono-one:*
assumes *S: S s t*
shows *S (Fun f (ss1 @ s # ss2)) (Fun f (ss1 @ t # ss2))*
<proof>

lemma *S-ctxt: S s t ⇒ S (C⟨s⟩) (C⟨t⟩)*
<proof>

lemma *NS-mono-one:*

assumes NS : $NS\ s\ t$ **shows** $NS\ (Fun\ f\ (ss1\ @\ s\ \# \ ss2))\ (Fun\ f\ (ss1\ @\ t\ \# \ ss2))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $NS\text{-ctxt}$: $NS\ s\ t \implies NS\ (C\langle s \rangle)\ (C\langle t \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.6 The Subterm Property

lemma $NS\text{-Var-imp-eq-least}$: $NS\ (Var\ x)\ t \implies t = Var\ x \vee (\exists\ f.\ t = Fun\ f\ [] \wedge\ least\ f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $kbo\text{-supt-one}$: $NS\ s\ (t :: ('f, 'v)\ term) \implies S\ (Fun\ f\ (bef\ @\ s\ \# \ aft))\ t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $S\text{-supt}$:
assumes $supt$: $s \triangleright t$
shows $S\ s\ t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $NS\text{-supteq}$:
assumes $s \trianglerighteq t$
shows $NS\ s\ t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.7 Least Elements

lemma $NS\text{-all-least}$:
assumes l : $least\ f$
shows $NS\ t\ (Fun\ f\ [])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $not\text{-S-least}$:
assumes l : $least\ f$
shows $\neg S\ (Fun\ f\ [])\ t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $NS\text{-least-least}$:
assumes l : $least\ f$
and NS : $NS\ (Fun\ f\ [])\ t$
shows $\exists\ g.\ t = Fun\ g\ [] \wedge least\ g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.8 Stability (a.k.a. Closure under Substitutions)

lemma $weight\text{-subst}$: $weight\ (t \cdot \sigma) =$
 $weight\ t + sum\ mset\ (image\ mset\ (\lambda\ x.\ weight\ (\sigma\ x) - w0)\ (vars\ term\ ms\ (SCF\ t)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weight-stable-le*:
assumes *ws*: $\text{weight } s \leq \text{weight } t$
and *vs*: $\text{vars-term-ms } (SCF\ s) \subseteq\# \text{vars-term-ms } (SCF\ t)$
shows $\text{weight } (s \cdot \sigma) \leq \text{weight } (t \cdot \sigma)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *weight-stable-lt*:
assumes *ws*: $\text{weight } s < \text{weight } t$
and *vs*: $\text{vars-term-ms } (SCF\ s) \subseteq\# \text{vars-term-ms } (SCF\ t)$
shows $\text{weight } (s \cdot \sigma) < \text{weight } (t \cdot \sigma)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

KBO is stable, i.e., closed under substitutions.

lemma *kbo-stable*:
fixes $\sigma :: ('f, 'v)\ \text{subst}$
assumes $NS\ s\ t$
shows $(S\ s\ t \longrightarrow S\ (s \cdot \sigma)\ (t \cdot \sigma)) \wedge NS\ (s \cdot \sigma)\ (t \cdot \sigma)$ (**is** $?P\ s\ t$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *S-subst*:
 $S\ s\ t \Longrightarrow S\ (s \cdot (\sigma :: ('f, 'v)\ \text{subst}))\ (t \cdot \sigma)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *NS-subst*: $NS\ s\ t \Longrightarrow NS\ (s \cdot (\sigma :: ('f, 'v)\ \text{subst}))\ (t \cdot \sigma)$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.9 Transitivity and Compatibility

lemma *kbo-trans*: $(S\ s\ t \longrightarrow NS\ t\ u \longrightarrow S\ s\ u) \wedge$
 $(NS\ s\ t \longrightarrow S\ t\ u \longrightarrow S\ s\ u) \wedge$
 $(NS\ s\ t \longrightarrow NS\ t\ u \longrightarrow NS\ s\ u)$
(is $?P\ s\ t\ u$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *S-trans*: $S\ s\ t \Longrightarrow S\ t\ u \Longrightarrow S\ s\ u$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *NS-trans*: $NS\ s\ t \Longrightarrow NS\ t\ u \Longrightarrow NS\ s\ u$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *NS-S-compat*: $NS\ s\ t \Longrightarrow S\ t\ u \Longrightarrow S\ s\ u$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *S-NS-compat*: $S\ s\ t \Longrightarrow NS\ t\ u \Longrightarrow S\ s\ u$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.10 Strong Normalization (a.k.a. Well-Foundedness)

lemma *kbo-strongly-normalizing*:
fixes $s :: ('f, 'v)\ \text{term}$
shows $SN\text{-on } \{(s, t). S\ s\ t\} \{s\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *S-SN*: $SN\ \{(x, y). S\ x\ y\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.11 Ground Totality

lemma *ground-SCF* [*simp*]:
 $ground (SCF t) = ground t$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

declare *kbo.simps*[*simp del*]

lemma *ground-vars-term-ms*: $ground t \implies vars-term-ms t = \{\#\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

context

fixes $F :: ('f \times nat) set$
 assumes *pr-weak*: $pr-weak = pr-strict^{==}$
 and *pr-gtotal*: $\bigwedge g. f \in F \implies g \in F \implies f = g \vee pr-strict f g \vee pr-strict g f$
begin

lemma *S-ground-total*:

assumes *funas-term s* $s \subseteq F$ **and** *ground s* **and** *funas-term t* $t \subseteq F$ **and** *ground t*
 shows $s = t \vee S s t \vee S t s$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

4.12 Summary

At this point we have shown well-foundedness *S-SN*, transitivity and compatibility *S-trans NS-trans NS-S-compat S-NS-compat*, closure under substitutions *S-subst NS-subst*, closure under contexts *S-ctxt NS-ctxt*, the subterm property *S-supt NS-supteq*, reflexivity of the weak *NS-refl* and irreflexivity of the strict part *S-irrefl*, and ground-totality *S-ground-total*.

In particular, this allows us to show that KBO is an instance of strongly normalizing order pairs (*SN-order-pair*).

sublocale *SN-order-pair* $\{(x, y). S x y\} \{(x, y). NS x y\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

end

References

- [1] J. Dick, J. Kalmus, and U. Martin. Automating the Knuth-Bendix ordering. *Acta Informatica*, 28(2):95–119, 1990.
- [2] D. E. Knuth and P. Bendix. Simple word problems in universal algebras. In *Computational Problems in Abstract Algebra*, pages 263–297. 1970.
- [3] M. Ludwig and U. Waldmann. An extension of the Knuth–Bendix ordering with LPO-like properties. In *Logic for Programming, Artificial Intel-*

ligence, and Reasoning, LPAR'07, volume 4790 of *LNCS*, pages 348–362, 2007.

- [4] J. Steinbach. Extensions and comparison of simplification orders. In *Rewriting Techniques and Applications, RTA'89*, volume 355 of *LNCS*, pages 434–448, 1989.
- [5] C. Sternagel and R. Thiemann. Formalizing Knuth–Bendix orders and Knuth–Bendix completion. In *Rewriting Techniques and Applications, RTA'13*, volume 2 of *Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics*, pages 287–302, 2013.
- [6] R. Thiemann and C. Sternagel. Certification of termination proofs using CeTA. In *Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics, TPHOLs'09*, volume 5674 of *LNCS*, pages 452–468, 2009.
- [7] H. Zankl, N. Hirokawa, and A. Middeldorp. KBO orientability. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 43(2):173–201, 2009.