

Karatsuba Multiplication for Integers

Jakob Schulz, Emin Karayel

February 20, 2024

Abstract

We give a verified implementation of the Karatsuba Multiplication on Integers [1] as well as verified runtime bounds. Integers are represented as LSBF (least significant bit first) boolean lists, on which the algorithm by Karatsuba [1] is implemented. The running time of $O(n^{\log_2 3})$ is verified using the Time Monad defined in [2].

Contents

1 Preliminaries	3
2 Auxiliary Sum Lemmas	7
2.1 <i>semiring-1</i> Sums	9
2.1.1 Power Sums	10
2.2 <i>nat</i> Sums	11
3 Sums in Monoids	12
3.1 Kronecker delta	16
3.2 Power sums	16
3.2.1 Algebraic operations	17
3.3 <i>monoid-sum-list</i> in the context <i>residues</i>	18
4 The <i>estimation</i> tactic	18
5 Some Automation for <i>Root-Balanced-Tree.Time-Monad</i>	19
6 Running Time Formalization for some functions available in <i>Main</i>	20
6.1 Functions on <i>bool</i>	20
6.1.1 Not	20
6.1.2 disj / conj	20
6.1.3 equal	21
6.2 Functions involving pairs	21
6.2.1 fst / snd	21

6.3	Functions on <i>nat</i>	21
6.3.1	(+)	21
6.3.2	(*)	21
6.3.3	(\wedge)	22
6.3.4	($-$)	22
6.3.5	($<$) / (\leq)	23
6.3.6	(=)	23
6.3.7	<i>max</i>	24
6.3.8	(<i>div</i>) / (<i>mod</i>)	24
6.3.9	(<i>dvd</i>)	25
6.3.10	<i>even</i> / <i>odd</i>	25
6.4	List functions	26
6.4.1	<i>take</i>	26
6.4.2	<i>drop</i>	26
6.4.3	(@)	26
6.4.4	<i>fold</i>	27
6.4.5	<i>rev</i>	27
6.4.6	<i>replicate</i>	27
6.4.7	<i>length</i>	27
6.4.8	<i>List.null</i>	28
6.4.9	<i>butlast</i>	28
6.4.10	<i>map</i>	29
6.4.11	<i>foldl</i>	29
6.4.12	<i>concat</i>	29
6.4.13	(!)	30
6.4.14	<i>zip</i>	30
6.4.15	<i>map2</i>	30
6.4.16	<i>upt</i>	31
6.5	Syntactic sugar	31
7	Representations	33
7.1	Abstract Representations	33
7.2	Abstract Representations 2	34
8	Representing <i>nat</i> in LSBF	35
8.1	Type definition	36
8.2	Conversions	36
8.3	Truncating and filling	38
8.4	Right-shifts	41
8.5	Subdividing lists	41
8.5.1	Splitting a list in two blocks	41
8.5.2	Splitting a list in multiple blocks	41
8.6	The <i>bitsize</i> function	42
8.6.1	The <i>next-power-of-2</i> function	43

8.7	Addition	44
8.7.1	Increment operation	44
8.7.2	Addition with a carry bit	45
8.7.3	Addition	46
8.8	Comparison and subtraction	47
8.8.1	Comparison	47
8.8.2	Subtraction	48
8.9	(Grid) Multiplication	49
8.10	Syntax bundles	49
9	Running time of <i>Nat-LSBF</i>	51
9.1	Truncating and filling	51
9.2	Right-shifts	52
9.3	Subdividing lists	53
9.3.1	Splitting a list in two blocks	53
9.3.2	Splitting a list in multiple blocks	53
9.4	The <i>bitsize</i> function	54
9.4.1	The <i>is-power-of-2</i> function	54
9.5	Addition	55
9.6	Comparison and subtraction	57
9.7	(Grid) Multiplication	58
9.8	Syntax bundles	59
10	Representing <i>int</i> in LSBF	60
10.1	Type definition	60
10.2	Conversions	60
10.3	Addition	60
10.4	Grid Multiplication	61
11	Karatsuba Multiplication	61
12	Running Time of Karatsuba Multiplication	65
13	Code Generation	69

1 Preliminaries

Some general preliminaries.

```
theory Karatsuba-Preliminaries
  imports Main Expander-Graphs.Extra-Congruence-Method HOL-Number-Theory.Residues
begin

lemma prop-ifI:
  assumes Q ==> P R
  assumes ~Q ==> P S
```

shows P (*if* Q *then* R *else* S)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *let-prop-cong*:

assumes $T = T'$
assumes $P(f T) (f' T')$
shows $P(\text{let } x = T \text{ in } f x) (\text{let } x = T' \text{ in } f' x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *set-subseteqD*:

assumes $\text{set } xs \subseteq A$
shows $\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } xs \implies xs ! i \in A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *set-subseteqI*:

assumes $\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } xs \implies xs ! i \in A$
shows $\text{set } xs \subseteq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Nat-max-le-sum*: $\max(a :: \text{nat}) b \leq a + b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *upt-add-eq-append'*:

assumes $a \leq b b \leq c$
shows $[a..<c] = [a..<b] @ [b..<c]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map-add-const-up*: $\text{map}(\lambda j. j + c)[a..<b] = [a + c..<b + c]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filter-even-up*: $\text{filter even}[0..<2*n] = \text{map}((*) 2)[0..<n]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filter-even-up-odd*: $\text{filter even}[0..<2*n + 1] = \text{map}((*) 2)[0..<n + 1]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filter-odd-up*: $\text{filter odd}[0..<2*n] = \text{map}(\lambda i. 2*i + 1)[0..<n]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filter-odd-up-odd*: $\text{filter odd}[0..<2*n + 1] = \text{map}(\lambda i. 2*i + 1)[0..<n]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-filter-even*: $\text{length}(\text{filter even}[0..<n]) = (\text{if even } n \text{ then } n \text{ div } 2 \text{ else } n \text{ div } 2 + 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-filter-odd*: $\text{length}(\text{filter odd}[0..<n]) = n \text{ div } 2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filter-even-nth*:

assumes $i < \text{length}(\text{filter even}[0..<n])$
shows $\text{filter even}[0..<n] ! i = 2 * i$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma filter-odd-nth:
  assumes i < length (filter odd [0..<n])
  shows filter odd [0..<n] ! i = 2 * i + 1
⟨proof⟩

fun sublist where
sublist 0 n xs = take n xs
| sublist (Suc m) (Suc n) (a # xs) = sublist m n xs
| sublist (Suc m) 0 xs = []
| sublist (Suc m) [] = []

lemma length-sublist[simp]: length (sublist m n xs) = card ({m..<n} ∩ {0..<length xs})
⟨proof⟩

lemma length-sublist':
  assumes m ≤ n
  assumes n ≤ length xs
  shows length (sublist m n xs) = n - m
⟨proof⟩

lemma nth-sublist:
  assumes m ≤ n
  assumes n ≤ length xs
  assumes i < n - m
  shows sublist m n xs ! i = xs ! (m + i)
⟨proof⟩

lemma filter-map-map2:
  assumes length b = m
  assumes length c = m
  shows [f (b!i) (c!i). i ← [0..<m]] = map2 f b c
⟨proof⟩

fun map3 where
map3 f (x # xs) (y # ys) (z # zs) = f x y z # map3 f xs ys zs
| map3 f - - - = []

lemma map3-as-map: map3 f xs ys zs = map (λ((x, y), z). f x y z) (zip (zip xs ys) zs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma filter-map-map3:
  assumes length b = m
  assumes length c = m
  shows [f (b!i) (c!i) i. i ← [0..<m]] = map3 f b c [0..<m]
⟨proof⟩
```

```

fun map4 where
  map4 f (x # xs) (y # ys) (z # zs) (w # ws) = f x y z w # map4 f xs ys zs ws
  | map4 f - - - - = []

lemma map4-as-map: map4 f xs ys zs ws = map (λ(((x,y),z),w). f x y z w) (zip
  (zip (zip xs ys) zs) ws)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nth-map2:
  assumes i < length xs
  assumes i < length ys
  shows map2 f xs ys ! i = f (xs ! i) (ys ! i)
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma nth-map3:
  assumes i < length xs
  assumes i < length ys
  assumes i < length zs
  shows map3 f xs ys zs ! i = f (xs ! i) (ys ! i) (zs ! i)
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma nth-map4:
  assumes i < length xs
  assumes i < length ys
  assumes i < length zs
  assumes i < length ws
  shows map4 f xs ys zs ws ! i = f (xs ! i) (ys ! i) (zs ! i) (ws ! i)
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma nth-map4':
  assumes i < l
  assumes length xs = l
  assumes length ys = l
  assumes length zs = l
  assumes length ws = l
  shows map4 f xs ys zs ws ! i = f (xs ! i) (ys ! i) (zs ! i) (ws ! i)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map2-of-map-r: map2 f xs (map g ys) = map2 (λx y. f x (g y)) xs ys
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma map2-of-map-l: map2 f (map g xs) ys = map2 (λx y. f (g x) y) xs ys
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma map2-of-map2-r: map2 f xs (map2 g ys zs) = map3 (λx y z. f x (g y z))
  xs ys zs
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma map-of-map3: map f (map3 g xs ys zs) = map3 (λx y z. f (g x y z)) xs ys
  zs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cyclic-index-lemma:
  fixes n :: nat

```

```

assumes  $\sigma < n$   $\varrho < n$   $i < n$ 
shows  $(\sigma + \varrho) \text{ mod } n = i \longleftrightarrow \varrho = (n + i - \sigma) \text{ mod } n$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in residues) residues-minus-eq:  $x \ominus_R y = (x - y) \text{ mod } m$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma residue-ring-carrier-eq:  $\{0..(n::int) - 1\} = \{0..<n\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

context ring
begin

fun nat-embedding :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a where
nat-embedding 0 = 0
| nat-embedding (Suc n) = nat-embedding n  $\oplus$  1
fun int-embedding :: int  $\Rightarrow$  'a where
int-embedding n = (if  $n \geq 0$  then nat-embedding (nat n) else  $\ominus$  nat-embedding (nat (-n)))

lemma nat-embedding-closed[simp]: nat-embedding x  $\in$  carrier R
⟨proof⟩
lemma int-embedding-closed[simp]: int-embedding x  $\in$  carrier R
⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-embedding-a-hom: nat-embedding (x + y) = nat-embedding x  $\oplus$  nat-embedding y
⟨proof⟩
lemma nat-embedding-m-hom: nat-embedding (x * y) = nat-embedding x  $\otimes$  nat-embedding y
⟨proof⟩
lemma nat-embedding-exp-hom: nat-embedding (x  $\wedge$  y) = nat-embedding x [ $\wedge$ ] y
⟨proof⟩
lemma int-embedding-neg-hom: int-embedding ( $- x$ ) =  $\ominus$  int-embedding x
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma int-exp-hom: int x  $\wedge$  i = int (x  $\wedge$  i)
⟨proof⟩

end

```

2 Auxiliary Sum Lemmas

```

theory Karatsuba-Sum-Lemmas
imports Karatsuba-Preliminaries Expander-Graphs.Extra-Congruence-Method
begin

```

```

lemma sum-list-eq: ( $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies f x = g x$ )  $\implies$  sum-list (map f xs) =
sum-list (map g xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-list-split-0: ( $\sum_{i \leftarrow [0..<\text{Suc } n]}. f i$ ) = f 0 + ( $\sum_{i \leftarrow [1..<\text{Suc } n]}. f i$ )
⟨proof⟩
lemma sum-list-index-trafo: ( $\sum_{i \leftarrow xs}. f (g i)$ ) = ( $\sum_{i \leftarrow \text{map } g xs}. f i$ )
⟨proof⟩
lemma sum-list-index-shift: ( $\sum_{i \leftarrow [a..<b]}. f (i + c)$ ) = ( $\sum_{i \leftarrow [a+c..<b+c]}. f i$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma list-sum-index-shift:  $n = j - k \implies (\sum_{i \leftarrow [k+1..<j+1]}. f i) = (\sum_{i \leftarrow [k..<j]}. f (i + 1))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma list-sum-index-shift': ( $\sum_{i \leftarrow [0..<m]}. a (i + c)$ ) = ( $\sum_{i \leftarrow [c..<m+c]}. a i$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma list-sum-index-concat: ( $\sum_{i \leftarrow [0..<m]}. a i$ ) + ( $\sum_{i \leftarrow [m..<m+c]}. a i$ )
= ( $\sum_{i \leftarrow [0..<m+c]}. a i$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-list-linear:
assumes  $\bigwedge a b. f (a + b) = f a + f b$ 
assumes  $f 0 = 0$ 
shows  $f (\sum_{i \leftarrow xs}. g i) = (\sum_{i \leftarrow xs}. f (g i))$ 
⟨proof⟩
lemma sum-list-int:
shows  $\text{int} (\sum_{i \leftarrow xs}. g i) = (\sum_{i \leftarrow xs}. \text{int} (g i))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-list-split-Suc:
assumes  $n = \text{Suc } n'$ 
shows ( $\sum_{i \leftarrow [0..<n]}. f i$ ) = ( $\sum_{i \leftarrow [0..<n']}. f i$ ) + f n'
⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-list-estimation-leq:
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } xs \implies f i \leq B$ 
shows ( $\sum_{i \leftarrow xs}. f i$ )  $\leq \text{length } xs * B$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-list-estimation-le:
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } xs \implies f i < B$ 
assumes  $xs \neq []$ 
shows ( $\sum_{i \leftarrow xs}. f i$ )  $< \text{length } xs * B$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

2.1 semiring-1 Sums

```

lemma (in semiring-1) of-bool-mult: of-bool  $x * a = (\text{if } x \text{ then } a \text{ else } 0)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in semiring-1-cancel) of-bool-disj: of-bool  $(x \vee y) = \text{of-bool } x + \text{of-bool } y$ 
  – of-bool  $x * \text{of-bool } y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma (in semiring-1) of-bool-disj-excl:  $\neg(x \wedge y) \implies \text{of-bool } (x \vee y) = \text{of-bool } x + \text{of-bool } y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in semiring-1) of-bool-var-swap:
   $(\sum i \leftarrow xs. \text{of-bool } (i = j) * f i) = (\sum i \leftarrow xs. \text{of-bool } (i = j) * f j)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma  $(\sum i \leftarrow xs. \text{of-bool } (i = j) * f i) = \text{count-list } xs j * f j$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma (in semiring-1) of-bool-distinct:
  distinct  $xs \implies (\sum i \leftarrow xs. \text{of-bool } (i = j) * f i j) = \text{of-bool } (j \in \text{set } xs) * f j j$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma (in semiring-1) of-bool-distinct-in:
  distinct  $xs \implies j \in \text{set } xs \implies (\sum i \leftarrow xs. \text{of-bool } (i = j) * f i j) = f j j$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in linordered-semiring-1) of-bool-sum-leq-1:
  assumes distinct  $xs$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge i j. i \in \text{set } xs \implies j \in \text{set } xs \implies P i \implies P j \implies i = j$ 
  shows  $(\sum l \leftarrow xs. \text{of-bool } (P l)) \leq 1$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
instantiation nat :: linordered-semiring-1
begin
  instance ⟨proof⟩
end

lemma (in semiring-1) sum-list-mult-sum-list:  $(\sum i \leftarrow xs. f i) * (\sum j \leftarrow ys. g j)$ 
   $= (\sum i \leftarrow xs. \sum j \leftarrow ys. f i * g j)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in semiring-1) semiring-1-sum-list-eq:
   $(\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } xs \implies f i = g i) \implies (\sum i \leftarrow xs. f i) = (\sum i \leftarrow xs. g i)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in semiring-1) sum-swap:
   $(\sum i \leftarrow xs. (\sum j \leftarrow ys. f i j)) = (\sum j \leftarrow ys. (\sum i \leftarrow xs. f i j))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in semiring-1) sum-append:
   $(\sum i \leftarrow (xs @ ys). f i) = (\sum i \leftarrow xs. f i) + (\sum i \leftarrow ys. f i)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in semiring-1) sum-append':
assumes zs = xs @ ys
shows ( $\sum i \leftarrow \text{zs}. f i$ ) = ( $\sum i \leftarrow \text{xs}. f i$ ) + ( $\sum i \leftarrow \text{ys}. f i$ )
<proof>

```

2.1.1 Power Sums

```

lemma (in semiring-1) sum-list-of-bool-filter: ( $\sum i \leftarrow \text{xs}. \text{of-bool} (P i) * f i$ ) =
( $\sum i \leftarrow \text{filter } P \text{ xs}. f i$ )
<proof>

```

```

lemma upt-filter-less: filter ( $\lambda i. i < c$ ) [a..<b] = [a..<min b c]
<proof>

```

```

lemma upt-filter-geq: filter ( $\lambda i. i \geq c$ ) [a..<b] = [max a c..<b]
<proof>

```

```

lemma (in semiring-1) sum-list-of-bool-less: ( $\sum i \leftarrow [a..<b]. \text{of-bool} (i < c) * f i$ )
= ( $\sum i \leftarrow [a..<\min b c]. f i$ )
<proof>

```

```

lemma (in semiring-1) sum-list-of-bool-geq: ( $\sum i \leftarrow [a..<b]. \text{of-bool} (i \geq c) * f i$ )
= ( $\sum i \leftarrow [\max a c..<b]. f i$ )
<proof>

```

```

lemma (in semiring-1) sum-list-of-bool-range: ( $\sum i \leftarrow [a..<b]. \text{of-bool} (i \in \text{set} [c..<d]) * f i$ ) =
( $\sum i \leftarrow [\max a c..<\min b d]. f i$ )
<proof>

```

```

lemma (in comm-semiring-1) cauchy-product:
( $\sum i \leftarrow [0..<n]. f i$ ) * ( $\sum j \leftarrow [0..<m]. g j$ ) =
( $\sum k \leftarrow [0..<n+m-1]. \sum l \leftarrow [k+1-m..<\min (k+1) n]. f l * g (k-l)$ )
<proof>

```

```

lemma (in comm-semiring-1) power-sum-product:
assumes m > 0
assumes n ≥ m
shows
( $\sum i \leftarrow [0..<n]. f i * x^i$ ) * ( $\sum j \leftarrow [0..<m]. g j * x^j$ ) =
( $\sum k \leftarrow [0..<m]. (\sum i \leftarrow [0..<\text{Suc } k]. f i * g (k-i)) * x^k$ ) +
( $\sum k \leftarrow [m..<n]. (\sum i \leftarrow [\text{Suc } k-m..<\text{Suc } k]. f i * g (k-i)) * x^k$ ) +
( $\sum k \leftarrow [n..<n+m-1]. (\sum i \leftarrow [\text{Suc } k-m..<n]. f i * g (k-i)) * x^k$ )
<proof>

```

```

lemma (in comm-semiring-1) power-sum-product-same-length:
assumes n > 0
shows ( $\sum i \leftarrow [0..<n]. f i * x^i$ ) * ( $\sum j \leftarrow [0..<n]. g j * x^j$ ) =

```

$$(\sum k \leftarrow [0..<n]. (\sum i \leftarrow [0..<Suc k]. f i * g (k - i)) * x^{\wedge} k) + \\ (\sum k \leftarrow [n..<2 * n - 1]. (\sum i \leftarrow [Suc k - n..<n]. f i * g (k - i)) * x^{\wedge} k)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in semiring-1) sum-index-transformation:
shows $(\sum i \leftarrow xs. f (g i)) = (\sum j \leftarrow map g xs. f j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in comm-semiring-1) power-sum-split:
fixes $f :: nat \Rightarrow 'a$
fixes $x :: 'a$
fixes $c :: nat$
assumes $j \leq n$
shows $(\sum i \leftarrow [0..<n]. f i * x^{\wedge} (i * c)) =$
 $(\sum i \leftarrow [0..<j]. f i * x^{\wedge} (i * c)) +$
 $x^{\wedge} (j * c) * (\sum i \leftarrow [0..<n - j]. f (j + i) * x^{\wedge} (i * c))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.2 nat Sums

lemma geo-sum-nat:
assumes $(q :: nat) > 1$
shows $(q - 1) * (\sum i \leftarrow [0..<n]. q^{\wedge} i) = q^{\wedge} n - 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma geo-sum-bound:
assumes $(q :: nat) > 1$
assumes $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies f i < q$
shows $(\sum i \leftarrow [0..<n]. f i * q^{\wedge} i) < q^{\wedge} n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma power-sum-nat-split-div-mod:
assumes $x > 1$
assumes $c > 0$
assumes $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies (f i :: nat) < x^{\wedge} c$
assumes $j \leq n$
shows $(\sum i \leftarrow [0..<n]. f i * x^{\wedge} (i * c)) \text{ div } x^{\wedge} (j * c)$
 $= (\sum i \leftarrow [0..<n - j]. f (j + i) * x^{\wedge} (i * c))$
 $= (\sum i \leftarrow [0..<n]. f i * x^{\wedge} (i * c)) \text{ mod } x^{\wedge} (j * c)$
 $= (\sum i \leftarrow [0..<j]. f i * x^{\wedge} (i * c))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma power-sum-nat-extract-coefficient:
assumes $x > 1$
assumes $c > 0$
assumes $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies (f i :: nat) < x^{\wedge} c$
assumes $j < n$
shows $((\sum i \leftarrow [0..<n]. f i * x^{\wedge} (i * c)) \text{ div } x^{\wedge} (j * c)) \text{ mod } x^{\wedge} c = f j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma power-sum-nat-eq:
  assumes x > 1
  assumes c > 0
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies (f i :: nat) < x^c$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies g i < x^c$ 
  assumes  $(\sum i \leftarrow [0..n]. f i * x^{(i * c)}) = (\sum i \leftarrow [0..n]. g i * x^{(i * c)})$ 
    (is ?sumf = ?sumg)
  shows  $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies f i = g i$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
end

```

3 Sums in Monoids

```

theory Monoid-Sums
  imports HOL-Algebra.Ring.Expander-Graphs.Extra-Congruence-Method.Karat-
  suba-Preliminaries HOL-Library.Multiset HOL-Number-Theory.Residues.Karat-
  suba-Sum-Lemmas
begin

```

This section contains a version of *sum-list* for entries in some abelian monoid. Contrary to *sum-list*, which is defined for the type class *comm-monoid-add*, this version is for the locale *abelian-monoid*. After the definition, some simple lemmas about sums are proven for this sum function.

```

context abelian-monoid
begin

```

```

fun monoid-sum-list :: ['c ⇒ 'a, 'c list] ⇒ 'a where
  monoid-sum-list f [] = 0
  | monoid-sum-list f (x # xs) = f x ⊕ monoid-sum-list f xs

lemma monoid-sum-list f xs = foldr (⊕) (map f xs) 0
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

The syntactic sugar used for *finsum* is adapted accordingly.

```

syntax
  -monoid-sum-list :: index ⇒ idt ⇒ 'c list ⇒ 'c ⇒ 'a
    ((β⊕--→-. -) [1000, 0, 51, 10] 10)
translations
   $\bigoplus_{G^i \leftarrow xs} b \rightleftharpoons \text{CONST abelian-monoid.monoid-sum-list } G (\lambda i. b) xs$ 

context abelian-monoid
begin

lemma monoid-sum-list-finsum:

```

```

assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } xs \implies f i \in \text{carrier } G$ 
assumes distinct  $xs$ 
shows  $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) = (\bigoplus i \in \text{set } xs. f i)$ 
<proof>

lemma monoid-sum-list-cong:
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } xs \implies f i = g i$ 
shows  $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. g i)$ 
<proof>

lemma monoid-sum-list-closed[simp]:
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } xs \implies f i \in \text{carrier } G$ 
shows  $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) \in \text{carrier } G$ 
<proof>

lemma monoid-sum-list-add-in:
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } xs \implies f i \in \text{carrier } G$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } xs \implies g i \in \text{carrier } G$ 
shows  $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. g i) =$ 
 $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i \oplus g i)$ 
<proof>

lemma monoid-sum-list-0[simp]:  $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ 
<proof>

lemma monoid-sum-list-swap:
assumes[simp]:  $\bigwedge i j. i \in \text{set } xs \implies j \in \text{set } ys \implies f i j \in \text{carrier } G$ 
shows  $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (\bigoplus j \leftarrow ys. f i j)) =$ 
 $(\bigoplus j \leftarrow ys. (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i j))$ 
<proof>

lemma monoid-sum-list-index-transformation:
 $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow (\text{map } g xs). f i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f (g i))$ 
<proof>

lemma monoid-sum-list-index-shift-0:
 $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [c..<c+n]. f i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<n]. f (c + i))$ 
<proof>

lemma monoid-sum-list-index-shift:
 $(\bigoplus l \leftarrow [a..<b]. f (l+c)) = (\bigoplus l \leftarrow [(a+c)..<(b+c)]. f l)$ 
<proof>

lemma monoid-sum-list-app:
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } xs \implies f i \in \text{carrier } G$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } ys \implies f i \in \text{carrier } G$ 
shows  $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs @ ys. f i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ys. f i)$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma monoid-sum-list-app':
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } xs \implies f i \in \text{carrier } G$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } ys \implies f i \in \text{carrier } G$ 
  assumes  $xs @ ys = zs$ 
  shows  $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow zs. f i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ys. f i)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma monoid-sum-list-extract:
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } xs \implies f i \in \text{carrier } G$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } ys \implies f i \in \text{carrier } G$ 
  assumes  $f x \in \text{carrier } G$ 
  shows  $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs @ x \# ys. f i) = f x \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow (xs @ ys). f i)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma monoid-sum-list-Suc:
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i < \text{Suc } r \implies f i \in \text{carrier } G$ 
  shows  $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<\text{Suc } r]. f i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<r]. f i) \oplus f r$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma bij-betw-diff-singleton:  $a \in A \implies b \in B \implies \text{bij-betw } f A B \implies f a = b$ 
 $\implies \text{bij-betw } f (A - \{a\}) (B - \{b\})$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $a \in A \implies \text{bij-betw } f A B \implies \text{bij-betw } f (A - \{a\}) (B - \{f a\})$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma monoid-sum-list-multiset-eq:
  assumes  $mset xs = mset ys$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } xs \implies f i \in \text{carrier } G$ 
  shows  $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ys. f i)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma monoid-sum-list-index-permutation:
  assumes  $distinct xs$ 
  assumes  $distinct ys \vee \text{length } xs = \text{length } ys$ 
  assumes  $\text{bij-betw } f (\text{set } xs) (\text{set } ys)$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } ys \implies g i \in \text{carrier } G$ 
  shows  $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow ys. g i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. g (f i))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma monoid-sum-list-split:
  assumes  $[simp]: \bigwedge i. i < b + c \implies f i \in \text{carrier } G$ 
  shows  $(\bigoplus l \leftarrow [0..<b]. f l) \oplus (\bigoplus l \leftarrow [b..<b + c]. f l) = (\bigoplus l \leftarrow [0..<b + c]. f l)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma monoid-sum-list-splice:
  assumes  $[simp]: \bigwedge i. i < 2 * n \implies f i \in \text{carrier } G$ 
  shows  $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<2 * n]. f i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<n]. f (2*i)) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<n]. f (2*i+1))$ 

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma monoid-sum-list-even-odd-split:
  assumes even (n::nat)
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies f i \in \text{carrier } G$ 
  shows  $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<n]. f i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<n \text{ div } 2]. f (2*i)) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<n \text{ div } 2]. f (2*i+1))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context abelian-group
begin

lemma monoid-sum-list-minus-in:
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } xs \implies f i \in \text{carrier } G$ 
  shows  $\ominus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ominus f i)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma monoid-sum-list-diff-in:
  assumes[simp]:  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } xs \implies f i \in \text{carrier } G$ 
  assumes[simp]:  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } xs \implies g i \in \text{carrier } G$ 
  shows  $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) \ominus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. g i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i \ominus g i)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context ring
begin

lemma monoid-sum-list-const:
  assumes[simp]: c ∈ carrier R
  shows  $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. c) = (\text{nat-embedding } (\text{length } xs)) \otimes c$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma monoid-sum-list-in-right:
  assumes y ∈ carrier R
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } xs \implies f i \in \text{carrier } R$ 
  shows  $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i \otimes y) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) \otimes y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma monoid-sum-list-in-left:
  assumes y ∈ carrier R
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } xs \implies f i \in \text{carrier } R$ 
  shows  $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. y \otimes f i) = y \otimes (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma monoid-sum-list-prod:

```

```

assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in set xs \implies f i \in carrier R$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in set ys \implies g i \in carrier R$ 
shows  $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) \otimes (\bigoplus j \leftarrow ys. g j) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (\bigoplus j \leftarrow ys. f i \otimes g j))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

3.1 Kronecker delta

```

definition delta where
delta i j = (if i = j then 1 else 0)

```

```

lemma delta-closed[simp]: delta i j ∈ carrier R
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma delta-sym: delta i j = delta j i
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma delta-refl[simp]: delta i i = 1
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma monoid-sum-list-delta[simp]:
assumes[simp]:  $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies f i \in carrier R$ 
assumes[simp]:  $j < n$ 
shows  $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<n]. \delta i j \otimes f i) = f j$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma monoid-sum-list-only-delta[simp]:
j < n  $\implies (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<n]. \delta i j) = 1$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

3.2 Power sums

```

lemma geo-monoid-list-sum:
assumes[simp]: x ∈ carrier R
shows  $(1 \ominus x) \otimes (\bigoplus l \leftarrow [0..<r]. x \lceil l) = (1 \ominus x \lceil r)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

rewrite ?x ∈ carrier R $\implies (?x \lceil ?n) \lceil ?m = ?x \lceil (?n * ?m)$ and ?a * ?b = ?b * ?a inside power sum

```

lemma monoid-pow-sum-nat-pow-pow:
assumes x ∈ carrier R
shows  $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i \otimes x \lceil ((g i :: nat) * h i)) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i \otimes (x \lceil h i) \lceil g i)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

end

```

context cring
begin

```

Split a power sum at some term

```

lemma monoid-pow-sum-list-split:
  assumes  $l + k = n$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies f i \in \text{carrier } R$ 
  assumes  $x \in \text{carrier } R$ 
  shows  $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<n]. f i \otimes x [\lceil] i) =$ 
     $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<l]. f i \otimes x [\lceil] i) \oplus$ 
     $x [\lceil] l \otimes (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<k]. f (l + i) \otimes x [\lceil] i)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

split power sum at term, more general

```

lemma monoid-pow-sum-split:
  assumes  $l + k = n$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies f i \in \text{carrier } R$ 
  assumes  $x \in \text{carrier } R$ 
  shows  $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<n]. f i \otimes x [\lceil] (i * c)) =$ 
     $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<l]. f i \otimes x [\lceil] (i * c)) \oplus$ 
     $x [\lceil] (l * c) \otimes (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<k]. f (l + i) \otimes x [\lceil] (i * c))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

3.2.1 Algebraic operations

addition

```

lemma monoid-pow-sum-add:
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } xs \implies f i \in \text{carrier } R$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } xs \implies g i \in \text{carrier } R$ 
  assumes  $x \in \text{carrier } R$ 
  shows  $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i \otimes x [\lceil] (i::nat)) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. g i \otimes x [\lceil] i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (f i \oplus g i) \otimes x [\lceil] i)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma monoid-pow-sum-add':
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } xs \implies f i \in \text{carrier } R$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } xs \implies g i \in \text{carrier } R$ 
  assumes  $x \in \text{carrier } R$ 
  shows  $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i \otimes x [\lceil] ((i::nat) * c)) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. g i \otimes x [\lceil] (i * c)) =$ 
     $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (f i \oplus g i) \otimes x [\lceil] (i * c))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

unary minus

```

lemma monoid-pow-sum-minus:
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } xs \implies f i \in \text{carrier } R$ 
  assumes  $x \in \text{carrier } R$ 
  shows  $\ominus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i \otimes x [\lceil] (i :: nat)) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (\ominus f i) \otimes x [\lceil] i)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

minus

```

lemma monoid-pow-sum-diff:
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } xs \implies f i \in \text{carrier } R$ 

```

```

assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } xs \implies g i \in \text{carrier } R$ 
assumes  $x \in \text{carrier } R$ 
shows  $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i \otimes x [\lceil] (i :: nat)) \ominus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. g i \otimes x [\lceil] (i :: nat)) =$ 
       $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (f i \ominus g i) \otimes x [\lceil] i)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma monoid-pow-sum-diff':
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } xs \implies f i \in \text{carrier } R$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } xs \implies g i \in \text{carrier } R$ 
assumes  $x \in \text{carrier } R$ 
shows  $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i \otimes x [\lceil] ((i :: nat) * c)) \ominus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. g i \otimes x [\lceil] (i * c)) =$ 
       $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (f i \ominus g i) \otimes x [\lceil] (i * c))$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

```

3.3 monoid-sum-list in the context residues

```

context residues
begin

lemma monoid-sum-list-eq-sum-list:
 $(\bigoplus_R i \leftarrow xs. f i) = (\sum i \leftarrow xs. f i) \text{ mod } m$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma monoid-sum-list-mod-in:
 $(\bigoplus_R i \leftarrow xs. f i) = (\bigoplus_R i \leftarrow xs. (f i) \text{ mod } m)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma monoid-sum-list-eq-sum-list':
 $(\bigoplus_R i \leftarrow xs. f i \text{ mod } m) = (\sum i \leftarrow xs. f i) \text{ mod } m$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

```

4 The estimation tactic

```

theory Estimation-Method
imports Main HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach-Tools
begin

```

A few useful lemmas for working with inequalities.

```

lemma if-prop-cong:
assumes  $C = C'$ 
assumes  $C \implies P A A'$ 
assumes  $\neg C \implies P B B'$ 
shows  $P (\text{if } C \text{ then } A \text{ else } B) (\text{if } C' \text{ then } A' \text{ else } B')$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma if-leqI:
assumes C ==> A ≤ t
assumes ¬ C ==> B ≤ t
shows (if C then A else B) ≤ t
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma if-le-max:
(if C then (t1 :: 'a :: linorder) else t2) ≤ max t1 t2
⟨proof⟩
```

Prove some inequality by showing a chain of inequalities via an intermediate term.

```
method itrans for step :: 'a :: order =
(match conclusion in s ≤ t for s t :: 'a ⇒ ⟨rule order.trans[of s step t]⟩)
```

A collection of monotonicity intro rules that will be automatically used by *estimation*.

```
lemmas mono-intros =
order.refl add-mono diff-mono mult-le-mono max.mono min.mono power-increasing
power-mono
iffD2[OF Suc-le-mono] if-prop-cong[where P = (≤)] Nat.le0 one-le-numeral
```

Try to apply a given estimation rule *estimate* in a forward-manner.

```
method estimation uses estimate =
(match estimate in ∏a. f a ≤ h a (multi) for f h ⇒ ⟨
match conclusion in g f ≤ t for g and t :: nat ⇒
⟨rule order.trans[of g f g h t], intro mono-intros refl estimate⟩⟩
| x ≤ y for x y ⇒ ⟨
match conclusion in g x ≤ t for g and t :: nat ⇒
⟨rule order.trans[of g x g y t], intro mono-intros refl estimate⟩⟩)
end
theory Time-Monad-Extended
imports Root-Balanced-Tree.Time-Monad
begin
```

5 Some Automation for Root-Balanced-Tree.Time-Monad

A bit of automation for statements involving the *time* component.

```
lemma time-bind-tm: time (s ≈ f) = time s + time (f (val s))
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma time-tick: time (tick s) = 1
⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemmas tm-time-simps[simp] = time-bind-tm time-return time-tick if-distrib[of
time]

lemma bind-tm-cong[fundef-cong]:
assumes f1 = f2
assumes g1 (val f1) = g2 (val f2)
shows f1 ≈ g1 = f2 ≈ g2
⟨proof⟩

```

Introduce *val-simp* as named theorem. The idea is to collect simplification rules for the *Time-Monad.val* component that can be unfolded on their own.

```

named-theorems val-simp
declare val-simps[val-simp]

end
theory Main-TM
imports Main Time-Monad-Extended Estimation-Method
begin

```

6 Running Time Formalization for some functions available in *Main*

6.1 Functions on *bool*

6.1.1 Not

```

fun Not-tm :: bool ⇒ bool tm where
Not-tm True =1 return False
| Not-tm False =1 return True

```

```

lemma val-Not-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (Not-tm x) = Not x
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma time-Not-tm[simp]: time (Not-tm x) = 1
⟨proof⟩

```

6.1.2 disj / conj

```

definition disj-tm where disj-tm x y =1 return (x ∨ y)
definition conj-tm where conj-tm x y =1 return (x ∧ y)

```

```

lemma val-disj-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (disj-tm x y) = (x ∨ y)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma time-disj-tm[simp]: time (disj-tm x y) = 1
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma val-conj-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (conj-tm x y) = (x ∧ y)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma time-conj-tm[simp]: time (conj-tm x y) = 1

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

6.1.3 equal

```
fun equal-bool-tm :: bool ⇒ bool ⇒ bool tm where
  equal-bool-tm True p =1 return p
  | equal-bool-tm False p =1 Not-tm p

lemma val-equal-bool-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (equal-bool-tm x y) = (x = y)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma time-equal-bool-tm-le: time (equal-bool-tm x y) ≤ 2
  ⟨proof⟩
```

6.2 Functions involving pairs

6.2.1 fst / snd

```
fun fst-tm :: 'a × 'b ⇒ 'a tm where
  fst-tm (x, y) =1 return x
fun snd-tm :: 'a × 'b ⇒ 'b tm where
  snd-tm (x, y) =1 return y

lemma val-fst-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (fst-tm p) = fst p
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma time-fst-tm[simp]: time (fst-tm p) = 1
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma val-snd-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (snd-tm p) = snd p
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma time-snd-tm[simp]: time (snd-tm p) = 1
  ⟨proof⟩
```

6.3 Functions on nat

6.3.1 (+)

```
fun plus-nat-tm :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat tm where
  plus-nat-tm (Suc m) n =1 plus-nat-tm m (Suc n)
  | plus-nat-tm 0 n =1 return n

lemma val-plus-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (plus-nat-tm m n) = m + n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma time-plus-nat-tm[simp]: time (plus-nat-tm m n) = m + 1
  ⟨proof⟩
```

6.3.2 (*)

```
fun times-nat-tm :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat tm where
  times-nat-tm 0 n =1 return 0
  | times-nat-tm (Suc m) n =1 do {
```

```

 $r \leftarrow \text{times-nat-tm } m \ n;$ 
 $\text{plus-nat-tm } n \ r$ 
}

```

lemma *val-times-nat-tm*[simp]: *val* (*times-nat-tm* *m* *n*) = *m* * *n*
<proof>

lemma *time-times-nat-tm*[simp]: *time* (*times-nat-tm* *m* *n*) = *m* * (*n* + 2) + 1
<proof>

6.3.3 (\cap)

```

fun power-nat-tm :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat tm where
power-nat-tm a 0 = 1 return 1
| power-nat-tm a (Suc n) = 1 do {
     $r \leftarrow \text{power-nat-tm } a \ n;$ 
    times-nat-tm a r
}

```

lemma *val-power-nat-tm*[simp, *val-simp*]: *val* (*power-nat-tm* *a* *n*) = *a* \wedge *n*
<proof>

lemma *time-power-nat-tm-aux0*: *time* (*power-nat-tm* 0 *n*) = 2 * *n* + 1
<proof>

lemma *time-power-nat-tm-aux1*: *time* (*power-nat-tm* 1 *n*) = 5 * *n* + 1
<proof>

lemma *time-power-nat-tm-aux2*:
assumes *m* \geq 2
shows *time* (*power-nat-tm* *m* *n*) \leq (2 * *n* + *m* \wedge *n*) * *m* + 2 * *n* + 1
<proof>

lemma *time-power-nat-tm-le*: *time* (*power-nat-tm* *m* *n*) \leq 3 * *m* \wedge *Suc* *n* + 5 * *n* + 1
<proof>

lemma *time-power-nat-tm-2-le*: *time* (*power-nat-tm* 2 *n*) \leq 12 * 2 \wedge *n*
<proof>

6.3.4 (-)

```

fun minus-nat-tm :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat tm where
minus-nat-tm m 0 = 1 return m
| minus-nat-tm 0 m = 1 return 0
| minus-nat-tm (Suc m) (Suc n) = 1 minus-nat-tm m n

```

lemma *val-minus-nat-tm*[simp, *val-simp*]: *val* (*minus-nat-tm* *m* *n*) = *m* - *n*
<proof>

lemma *time-minus-nat-tm*[simp]: $\text{time}(\text{minus-nat-tm } m \ n) = \min m \ n + 1$
(proof)

6.3.5 ($<$) / (\leq)

fun *less-eq-nat-tm* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **tm and** *less-nat-tm* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
tm where

less-eq-nat-tm (*Suc m*) $n = 1$ *less-nat-tm m n*
| *less-eq-nat-tm 0 n = 1 return True*
| *less-nat-tm m (Suc n) = 1 less-eq-nat-tm m n*
| *less-nat-tm m 0 = 1 return False*

lemma *val-less-eq-nat-tm*[simp, val-simp]: $(\text{val}(\text{less-eq-nat-tm } n \ m)) = (n \leq m)$
and *val-less-nat-tm*[simp, val-simp]: $(\text{val}(\text{less-nat-tm } m \ n)) = (m < n)$
(proof)

lemma *time-less-eq-nat-tm-aux*: $\text{time}(\text{less-eq-nat-tm } (m + k) \ (n + k)) = 2 * k$
+ $\text{time}(\text{less-eq-nat-tm } m \ n)$
(proof)

lemma *time-less-nat-tm-aux*: $\text{time}(\text{less-nat-tm } (m + k) \ (n + k)) = 2 * k + \text{time}(\text{less-nat-tm } m \ n)$
(proof)

lemma *time-less-eq-nat-tm*: $\text{time}(\text{less-eq-nat-tm } n \ m) = 2 * \min n \ m + 1 + \text{of-bool}(m < n)$
(proof)

lemma *time-less-nat-tm*: $\text{time}(\text{less-nat-tm } m \ n) = 2 * \min m \ n + 1 + \text{of-bool}(m < n)$
(proof)

lemma *time-less-eq-nat-tm-le*: $\text{time}(\text{less-eq-nat-tm } n \ m) \leq 2 * \min n \ m + 2$
(proof)

lemma *time-less-nat-tm-le*: $\text{time}(\text{less-nat-tm } m \ n) \leq 2 * \min m \ n + 2$
(proof)

6.3.6 (=)

fun *equal-nat-tm* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **tm where**

equal-nat-tm 0 0 = 1 return True
| *equal-nat-tm (Suc x) 0 = 1 return False*
| *equal-nat-tm 0 (Suc y) = 1 return False*
| *equal-nat-tm (Suc x) (Suc y) = 1 equal-nat-tm x y*

lemma *val-equal-nat-tm*[simp, val-simp]: $\text{val}(\text{equal-nat-tm } x \ y) = (x = y)$
(proof)

lemma *time-equal-nat-tm*: $\text{time}(\text{equal-nat-tm } x \ y) = \min x \ y + 1$
(proof)

6.3.7 max

```
fun max-nat-tm :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat tm where
max-nat-tm x y =1 do {
  b  $\leftarrow$  less-eq-nat-tm x y;
  if b then return y else return x
}

lemma val-max-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (max-nat-tm x y) = max x y
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma time-max-nat-tm: time (max-nat-tm x y) = 2 * min x y + 2 + of-bool (y < x)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma time-max-nat-tm-le: time (max-nat-tm x y)  $\leq$  2 * min x y + 3
⟨proof⟩
```

6.3.8 (div) / (mod)

```
fun divmod-nat-tm :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\times$  nat) tm where
divmod-nat-tm m n =1 do {
  n0  $\leftarrow$  equal-nat-tm n 0;
  m-lt-n  $\leftarrow$  less-nat-tm m n;
  b  $\leftarrow$  disj-tm n0 m-lt-n;
  if b then return (0, m) else do {
    m-minus-n  $\leftarrow$  minus-nat-tm m n;
    (q, r)  $\leftarrow$  divmod-nat-tm m-minus-n n;
    return (Suc q, r)
  }
}
declare divmod-nat-tm.simps[simp del]
```

```
lemma val-divmod-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (divmod-nat-tm m n) = Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat m n
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma time-divmod-nat-tm-aux:
  assumes r < n
  assumes n > 0
  shows time (divmod-nat-tm (n * k + r) n) = 5 * k + 3 * n * k + time (divmod-nat-tm r n)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma time-divmod-nat-tm-le: time (divmod-nat-tm m n)  $\leq$  8 * m + 2 * n + 5
⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition divide-nat-tm :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat tm where
divide-nat-tm m n =1 divmod-nat-tm m n  $\gg=$  fst-tm
```

lemma *val-divide-nat-tm*[*simp*, *val-simp*]: *val* (*divide-nat-tm* *m n*) = *m div n*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *time-divide-nat-tm-le*: *time* (*divide-nat-tm* *m n*) ≤ 8 * *m* + 2 * *n* + 7
⟨proof⟩

definition *mod-nat-tm* :: *nat* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *nat tm* **where**
mod-nat-tm m n =₁ *divmod-nat-tm m n* ≫= *snd-tm*

lemma *val-mod-nat-tm*[*simp*, *val-simp*]: *val* (*mod-nat-tm* *m n*) = *m mod n*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *time-mod-nat-tm-le*: *time* (*mod-nat-tm* *m n*) ≤ 8 * *m* + 2 * *n* + 7
⟨proof⟩

definition *dvd-tm* **where** *dvd-tm a b* =₁ *do* {
b-mod-a ← *mod-nat-tm b a*;
equal-nat-tm b-mod-a 0
 $\}$

6.3.9 (dvd)

lemma *val-dvd-tm*[*simp*, *val-simp*]: *val* (*dvd-tm a b*) = (*a dvd b*)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *time-dvd-tm-le*: *time* (*dvd-tm a b*) ≤ 8 * *b* + 2 * *a* + 9
⟨proof⟩

6.3.10 even / odd

definition *even-tm* **where** *even-tm a* = *dvd-tm 2 a*

lemma *val-even-tm*[*simp*, *val-simp*]: *val* (*even-tm a*) = *even a*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *time-even-tm-le*: *time* (*even-tm a*) ≤ 8 * *a* + 13
⟨proof⟩

definition *odd-tm* **where** *odd-tm a* = *dvd-tm 2 a* ≫= *Not-tm*

lemma *val-odd-tm*[*simp*, *val-simp*]: *val* (*odd-tm a*) = *odd a*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *time-odd-tm-le*: *time* (*odd-tm a*) ≤ 8 * *a* + 14
⟨proof⟩

6.4 List functions

6.4.1 *take*

```
fun take-tm :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list tm where
take-tm n [] =1 return []
| take-tm n (x # xs) =1 (case n of 0  $\Rightarrow$  return [] | Suc m  $\Rightarrow$ 
do {
    r  $\leftarrow$  take-tm m xs;
    return (x # r)
})
```

lemma val-take-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (take-tm n xs) = take n xs
<proof>

lemma time-take-tm: time (take-tm n xs) = min n (length xs) + 1
<proof>

lemma time-take-tm-le: time (take-tm n xs) \leq n + 1
<proof>

6.4.2 *drop*

```
fun drop-tm :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list tm where
drop-tm n [] =1 return []
| drop-tm n (x # xs) =1 (case n of 0  $\Rightarrow$  return (x # xs) | Suc m  $\Rightarrow$ 
do {
    r  $\leftarrow$  drop-tm m xs;
    return r
})
```

lemma val-drop-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (drop-tm n xs) = drop n xs
<proof>

lemma time-drop-tm: time (drop-tm n xs) = min n (length xs) + 1
<proof>

lemma time-drop-tm-le: time (drop-tm n xs) \leq n + 1
<proof>

6.4.3 (@)

```
fun append-tm :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list tm where
append-tm [] ys =1 return ys
| append-tm (x # xs) ys =1 do {
    r  $\leftarrow$  append-tm xs ys;
    return (x # r)
}
```

lemma val-append-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (append-tm xs ys) = append xs ys

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *time-append-tm*[simp]: *time* (*append-tm* *xs* *ys*) = *length* *xs* + 1
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.4.4 fold

```
fun fold-tm where
fold-tm f [] s =1 return s
| fold-tm f (x # xs) s =1 do {
    r ← f x s;
    fold-tm f xs r
}
```

lemma *val-fold-tm*[simp, val-simp]: *val* (*fold-tm* *f* *xs* *s*) = *fold* ($\lambda x y. val(f x y)$)
xs *s*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *time-fold-tm-Cons*: *time* (*fold-tm* ($\lambda x y. return(x \# y)$) *xs* *s*) = *length* *xs* + 1
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.4.5 rev

definition *rev-tm* **where** *rev-tm* *xs* =1 *fold-tm* ($\lambda x y. return(x \# y)$) *xs* []

lemma *val-rev-tm*[simp, val-simp]: *val* (*rev-tm* *xs*) = *rev* *xs*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *time-rev-tm-le*[simp]: *time* (*rev-tm* *xs*) = *length* *xs* + 2
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.4.6 replicate

```
fun replicate-tm :: nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a list tm where
replicate-tm 0 x =1 return []
| replicate-tm (Suc n) x =1 do {
    r ← replicate-tm n x;
    return (x # r)
}
```

lemma *val-replicate-tm*[simp, val-simp]: *val* (*replicate-tm* *n* *x*) = *replicate* *n* *x*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *time-replicate-tm*: *time* (*replicate-tm* *n* *x*) = *n* + 1
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.4.7 length

fun *gen-length-tm* :: nat ⇒ 'a list ⇒ nat tm **where**

```

gen-length-tm n [] =1 return n
| gen-length-tm n (x # xs) =1 gen-length-tm (Suc n) xs

lemma val-gen-length-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (gen-length-tm n xs) = List.gen-length
n xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-gen-length-tm[simp]: time (gen-length-tm n xs) = length xs + 1
⟨proof⟩

definition length-tm :: 'a list ⇒ nat tm where
length-tm xs = gen-length-tm 0 xs

lemma val-length-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (length-tm xs) = length xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-length-tm[simp]: time (length-tm xs) = length xs + 1
⟨proof⟩

```

6.4.8 List.null

```

fun null-tm :: 'a list ⇒ bool tm where
null-tm [] =1 return True
| null-tm (x # xs) =1 return False

lemma val-null-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (null-tm xs) = List.null xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-null-tm[simp]: time (null-tm xs) = 1
⟨proof⟩

```

6.4.9 butlast

```

fun butlast-tm :: 'a list ⇒ 'a list tm where
butlast-tm [] =1 return []
| butlast-tm (x # xs) =1 do {
  b ← null-tm xs;
  if b then return [] else do {
    r ← butlast-tm xs;
    return (x # r)
  }
}

lemma val-butlast-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (butlast-tm xs) = butlast xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-butlast-tm: time (butlast-tm xs) = 2 * (length xs - 1) + 1 + of-bool
(length xs ≥ 1)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *time-butlast-tm-le*: $\text{time}(\text{butlast-tm } xs) \leq 2 * \text{length } xs + 1$
(proof)

6.4.10 map

```
fun map-tm :: ('a ⇒ 'b tm) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'b list tm where
map-tm f [] =1 return []
| map-tm f (x # xs) =1 do {
    r ← f x;
    rs ← map-tm f xs;
    return (r # rs)
}
```

lemma *val-map-tm[simp, val-simp]*: $\text{val}(\text{map-tm } f xs) = \text{map}(\lambda x. \text{val}(f x)) xs$
(proof)

lemma *time-map-tm*: $\text{time}(\text{map-tm } f xs) = (\sum i \leftarrow xs. \text{time}(f i)) + \text{length } xs + 1$
(proof)

lemma *time-map-tm-constant*:
assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } xs \implies \text{time}(f i) = c$
shows $\text{time}(\text{map-tm } f xs) = (c + 1) * \text{length } xs + 1$
(proof)

lemma *time-map-tm-bounded*:
assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{set } xs \implies \text{time}(f i) \leq c$
shows $\text{time}(\text{map-tm } f xs) \leq (c + 1) * \text{length } xs + 1$
(proof)

6.4.11 foldl

```
fun foldl-tm :: ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'a tm) ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'b list ⇒ 'a tm where
foldl-tm f a [] =1 return a
| foldl-tm f a (x # xs) =1 do {
    r ← f a x;
    foldl-tm f r xs
}
```

lemma *val-foldl-tm[simp, val-simp]*: $\text{val}(\text{foldl-tm } f a xs) = \text{foldl}(\lambda x y. \text{val}(f x y)) a xs$
(proof)

6.4.12 concat

```
fun concat-tm where
concat-tm [] =1 return []
| concat-tm (x # xs) =1 do {
    r ← concat-tm xs;
    append-tm x r
}
```

}

lemma *val-concat-tm*[simp, val-simp]: *val* (*concat-tm* *xs*) = *concat xs*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *time-concat-tm*[simp]: *time* (*concat-tm* *xs*) = 1 + 2 * *length* *xs* + *length* (*concat xs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

6.4.13 (!)

fun *nth-tm* **where**
nth-tm (*x* # *xs*) 0 = 1 *return* *x*
| *nth-tm* (*x* # *xs*) (*Suc i*) = 1 *nth-tm* *xs* *i*
| *nth-tm* [] - = 1 *undefined*

lemma *val-nth-tm*[simp, val-simp]:
assumes *i* < *length* *xs*
shows *val* (*nth-tm* *xs* *i*) = *xs* ! *i*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *time-nth-tm*[simp]:
assumes *i* < *length* *xs*
shows *time* (*nth-tm* *xs* *i*) = *i* + 1
⟨*proof*⟩

6.4.14 zip

fun *zip-tm* :: 'a list ⇒ 'b list ⇒ ('a × 'b) list tm **where**
zip-tm *xs* [] = 1 *return* []
| *zip-tm* [] *ys* = 1 *return* []
| *zip-tm* (*x* # *xs*) (*y* # *ys*) = 1 *do* { *rs* ← *zip-tm* *xs* *ys*; *return* ((*x*, *y*) # *rs*) }

lemma *val-zip-tm*[simp, val-simp]: *val* (*zip-tm* *xs* *ys*) = *zip xs ys*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *time-zip-tm*[simp]: *time* (*zip-tm* *xs* *ys*) = min (*length* *xs*) (*length* *ys*) + 1
⟨*proof*⟩

6.4.15 map2

definition *map2-tm* **where**
map2-tm *f* *xs* *ys* = 1 *do* {
 xys ← *zip-tm* *xs* *ys*;
 map-tm ($\lambda(x,y).$ *f* *x* *y*) *xys*
}

lemma *val-map2-tm*[simp, val-simp]: *val* (*map2-tm* *f* *xs* *ys*) = *map2* ($\lambda x y.$ *val* (*f* *x* *y*)) *xs* *ys*
⟨*proof*⟩

```

lemma time-map2-tm-bounded:
  assumes length xs = length ys
  assumes  $\bigwedge x y. x \in \text{set } xs \implies y \in \text{set } ys \implies \text{time } (f x y) \leq c$ 
  shows time (map2-tm f xs ys)  $\leq (c + 2) * \text{length } xs + 3$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

6.4.16 *upt*

```

function upt-tm where
  upt-tm i j =1 do {
    b ← less-nat-tm i j;
    (if b then do {
      rs ← upt-tm (Suc i) j;
      return (i # rs)
    } else return []
  }
  ⟨proof⟩
termination ⟨proof⟩
declare upt-tm.simps[simp del]

```

```

lemma val-upt-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (upt-tm i j) = [i..<j]
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma time-upt-tm-le: time (upt-tm i j)  $\leq (j - i) * (2 * j + 3) + 2 * j + 2$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma time-upt-tm-le': time (upt-tm i j)  $\leq 2 * j * j + 5 * j + 2$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

6.5 Syntactic sugar

```

consts equal-tm :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool tm
adhoc-overloading equal-tm equal-nat-tm
adhoc-overloading equal-tm equal-bool-tm

consts plus-tm :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a tm
adhoc-overloading plus-tm plus-nat-tm

consts times-tm :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a tm
adhoc-overloading times-tm times-nat-tm

consts power-tm :: 'a ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a tm
adhoc-overloading power-tm power-nat-tm

consts minus-tm :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a tm
adhoc-overloading minus-tm minus-nat-tm

consts less-tm :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool tm
adhoc-overloading less-tm less-nat-tm

```

```

consts less-eq-tm :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool tm
adhoc-overloading less-eq-tm less-eq-nat-tm

consts divide-tm :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a tm
adhoc-overloading divide-tm divide-nat-tm

consts mod-tm :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a tm
adhoc-overloading mod-tm mod-nat-tm

bundle main-tm-syntax
begin
  notation equal-tm (infixl  $=_t$  51)
  notation Not-tm ( $\neg_t$  - [40] 40)
  notation conj-tm (infixr  $\wedge_t$  35)
  notation disj-tm (infixr  $\vee_t$  30)
  notation append-tm (infixr @t 65)
  notation plus-tm (infixl  $+_t$  65)
  notation times-tm (infixl  $*_t$  70)
  notation power-tm (infixr  $\hat{t}$  80)
  notation minus-tm (infixl  $-_t$  65)
  notation less-tm (infix  $<_t$  50)
  notation less-eq-tm (infix  $\leq_t$  50)
  notation mod-tm (infixl modt 70)
  notation divide-tm (infixl divt 70)
  notation dvd-tm (infix dvdt 50)
end

bundle no-main-tm-syntax
begin
  no-notation equal-tm (infixl  $=_t$  51)
  no-notation Not-tm ( $\neg_t$  - [40] 40)
  no-notation conj-tm (infixr  $\wedge_t$  35)
  no-notation disj-tm (infixr  $\vee_t$  30)
  no-notation append-tm (infixr @t 65)
  no-notation plus-tm (infixl  $+_t$  65)
  no-notation times-tm (infixl  $*_t$  70)
  no-notation power-tm (infixr  $\hat{t}$  80)
  no-notation minus-tm (infixl  $-_t$  65)
  no-notation less-tm (infix  $<_t$  50)
  no-notation less-eq-tm (infix  $\leq_t$  50)
  no-notation mod-tm (infixl modt 70)
  no-notation divide-tm (infixl divt 70)
  no-notation dvd-tm (infix dvdt 50)
end

unbundle main-tm-syntax
end

```

7 Representations

7.1 Abstract Representations

```
theory Abstract-Representations
  imports Main
begin
```

Idea: some type ' a ' is represented non-uniquely by some type ' b '. The function f produces a unique representant.

```
locale abstract-representation =
  fixes from-type :: ' $a$   $\Rightarrow$  ' $b$ 
  fixes to-type :: ' $b$   $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$ 
  fixes  $f$  :: ' $b$   $\Rightarrow$  ' $b$ 
  assumes to-from: to-type  $\circ$  from-type = id
  assumes from-to: from-type  $\circ$  to-type =  $f$ 
begin
```

```
lemma to-from-elem[simp]: to-type (from-type  $x$ ) =  $x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma from-to-elem: from-type (to-type  $x$ ) =  $f x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma f-idem:  $f \circ f = f$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
corollary f-idem-elem[simp]:  $f (f x) = f x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma f-from:  $f \circ from-type = from-type$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
corollary f-from-elem[simp]:  $f (from-type x) = from-type x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma to-f: to-type  $\circ f = to-type$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
corollary to-f-elem[simp]: to-type ( $f x$ ) = to-type  $x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma f-fixed-point-iff:  $f x = x \longleftrightarrow (\exists y. x = from-type y)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma f-fixed-point-iff':  $f x = x \longleftrightarrow x = from-type (to-type x)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma range-f-range-from: range  $f = range from-type$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma to-eq-iff-f-eq: to-type x = to-type y  $\longleftrightarrow$  f x = f y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma from-inj: inj from-type
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma from-to-f-criterion:
  assumes to-type o from-type = id
  assumes f o from-type = from-type
  assumes  $\bigwedge x y$ . to-type x = to-type y  $\implies$  f x = f y
  shows from-type o to-type = f
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

7.2 Abstract Representations 2

```

theory Abstract-Representations-2
  imports Main
  begin

```

Idea: a subset *represented-set* of some type '*a*' is represented non-uniquely by some type '*b*'.

```

locale abstract-representation-2 =
  fixes from-type :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b
  fixes to-type :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  'a
  fixes represented-set :: 'a set
  assumes to-from:  $\bigwedge x$ . x  $\in$  represented-set  $\implies$  to-type (from-type x) = x
  assumes to-type-in-represented-set:  $\bigwedge y$ . to-type y  $\in$  represented-set
  begin

```

```

definition reduce where
  reduce x ≡ from-type (to-type x)

```

```

abbreviation reduced where
  reduced x ≡ reduce x = x

```

```

lemma reduce-reduce[simp]: reduced (reduce x)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition representations where
  representations ≡ from-type ` represented-set

```

```

lemma range-reduce: representations = range reduce
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary reduced-from-type[simp]: x  $\in$  represented-set  $\implies$  reduced (from-type x)

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma to-type-reduce: to-type (reduce x) = to-type x
⟨proof⟩

lemma reduced-iff: reduced x  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists y \in \text{represented-set}. x = \text{from-type } y$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma to-eq-iff-f-eq: to-type x = to-type y  $\longleftrightarrow$  reduce x = reduce y
⟨proof⟩

lemma from-inj: inj-on from-type represented-set
⟨proof⟩

corollary from-bij-betw: bij-betw from-type represented-set representations
⟨proof⟩

lemma correctness-to-from:
  fixes h :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
  fixes g :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b
  assumes  $\bigwedge x y. \text{to-type} (g x y) = h (\text{to-type } x) (\text{to-type } y)$ 
  shows  $\bigwedge x y. x \in \text{represented-set} \implies y \in \text{represented-set} \implies \text{reduce} (g (\text{from-type } x) (\text{from-type } y)) = \text{from-type} (h x y)$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma from-to-f-criterion:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{represented-set} \implies \text{to-type} (\text{from-type } x) = x$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{represented-set} \implies f (\text{from-type } x) = \text{from-type } x$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge x y. \text{to-type } x = \text{to-type } y \implies f x = f y$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge y. \text{to-type } y \in \text{represented-set}$ 
  shows  $\bigwedge x. \text{from-type} (\text{to-type } x) = f x$ 
⟨proof⟩

end
theory Nat-LSBF
imports Main .. / Preliminaries / Karatsuba-Sum-Lemmas Abstract-Representations
HOL-Library.Log-Nat
begin

```

8 Representing *nat* in LSBF

In this theory, a representation of *nat* is chosen and simple algorithms implemented thereon.

```

lemma list-isolate-nth: i < length xs  $\implies \exists xs1 xs2. xs = xs1 @ (xs ! i) # xs2 \wedge$ 
length xs1 = i
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma list-is-replicate-iff:  $xs = replicate (\text{length } xs) x \longleftrightarrow (\forall i \in \{0..<\text{length } xs\}. xs ! i = x)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma list-is-replicate-iff2:  $xs = replicate (\text{length } xs) x \longleftrightarrow \text{set } xs = \{x\} \vee xs = []$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma set-bool-list:  $\text{set } xs \subseteq \{\text{True}, \text{False}\}$ 
⟨proof⟩
lemma bool-list-is-replicate-if:
  assumes  $a \notin \text{set } xs$  shows  $xs = replicate (\text{length } xs) (\neg a)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma bit-strong-decomp-2:  $\exists ys zs. xs = ys @ a \# zs \implies \exists ys' n. xs = ys' @ a \# (replicate n (\neg a))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma bit-strong-decomp-1:  $\exists ys zs. xs = ys @ a \# zs \implies \exists ys' n. xs = (\text{replicate } n (\neg a) @ a \# ys')$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

8.1 Type definition

type-synonym $\text{nat-lsbf} = \text{bool list}$

8.2 Conversions

```

fun eval-bool ::  $\text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$  where
  eval-bool  $\text{True} = 1$ 
  | eval-bool  $\text{False} = 0$ 

lemma eval-bool-is-of-bool[simp]:  $\text{eval-bool} = \text{of-bool}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma eval-bool-leq-1:  $\text{eval-bool } a \leq 1$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma eval-bool-inj:  $\text{eval-bool } a = \text{eval-bool } b \implies a = b$ 
⟨proof⟩

fun to-nat ::  $\text{nat-lsbf} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$  where
  to-nat  $[] = 0$ 
  | to-nat  $(x \# xs) = (\text{eval-bool } x) + 2 * \text{to-nat } xs$ 

fun from-nat ::  $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat-lsbf}$  where
  from-nat  $0 = []$ 
  | from-nat  $x = (\text{if } x \bmod 2 = 0 \text{ then } \text{False} \text{ else } \text{True}) \# (\text{from-nat } (x \bmod 2))$ 

```

```

value from-nat 103
value to-nat (from-nat 103)

lemma to-nat-from-nat[simp]: to-nat (from-nat x) = x
⟨proof⟩

lemma to-nat-explicitly: to-nat xs = ( $\sum i \leftarrow [0..<\text{length } xs]. \text{eval-bool } (xs ! i) * 2^{\wedge} i$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma to-nat-app: to-nat (xs @ ys) = to-nat xs + ( $2^{\wedge} \text{length } xs$ ) * to-nat ys
⟨proof⟩

lemma to-nat-length-upper-bound: to-nat xs ≤  $2^{\wedge} (\text{length } xs) - 1$ 
⟨proof⟩
lemma to-nat-length-bound: to-nat xs <  $2^{\wedge} \text{length } xs$ 
⟨proof⟩
lemma to-nat-length-lower-bound: to-nat (xs @ [True]) ≥  $2^{\wedge} \text{length } xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma to-nat-replicate-false[simp]: to-nat (replicate n False) = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma to-nat-one-bit[simp]: to-nat (replicate n False @ [True]) =  $2^{\wedge} n$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma to-nat-replicate-true[simp]: to-nat (replicate n True) =  $2^{\wedge} n - 1$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma to-nat xs = 0 ↔ ( $\exists n. xs = \text{replicate } n \text{ False}$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma to-nat-app-replicate[simp]: to-nat (xs @ replicate n False) = to-nat xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma change-bit-ineq: length xs = length ys ⇒ to-nat (xs @ False # zs) <
to-nat (ys @ True # zs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma to-nat-ineq-imp-False-bit: to-nat xs <  $2^{\wedge} \text{length } xs - 1$  ⇒  $\exists ys \text{ zs}. xs = ys @ \text{False} \# zs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma to-nat-bound-to-length-bound: to-nat xs ≥  $2^{\wedge} n$  ⇒ length xs ≥ n + 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma to-nat-drop-take: to-nat xs = to-nat (take k xs) +  $2^{\wedge} k * \text{to-nat } (\text{drop } k \text{ xs})$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *to-nat-take*: $\text{to-nat}(\text{take } k \text{ xs}) = \text{to-nat}(\text{xs mod } 2^k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-nat-drop*: $\text{to-nat}(\text{drop } k \text{ xs}) = \text{to-nat}(\text{xs div } 2^k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-nat-nth-True-bound*:

assumes $i < \text{length xs}$
assumes $\text{xs} ! i = \text{True}$

shows $\text{to-nat xs} \geq 2^i$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

8.3 Truncating and filling

fun *truncate-reversed* :: $\text{bool list} \Rightarrow \text{bool list}$ **where**
 $\text{truncate-reversed} [] = []$
 $| \text{truncate-reversed} (x \# \text{xs}) = (\text{if } x \text{ then } x \# \text{xs} \text{ else } \text{truncate-reversed} \text{ xs})$

definition *truncate* :: $\text{nat-lsbf} \Rightarrow \text{nat-lsbf}$ **where**
 $\text{truncate xs} = \text{rev}(\text{truncate-reversed}(\text{rev xs}))$

abbreviation *truncated* **where** $\text{truncated } x \equiv \text{truncate } x = x$

lemma *truncate-reversed-eqI[simp]*: $\text{xs} = (\text{replicate } n \text{ False}) @ \text{ys} \implies \text{truncate-reversed xs} = \text{truncate-reversed ys}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *truncate-eqI[simp]*: $\text{xs} = \text{ys} @ (\text{replicate } n \text{ False}) \implies \text{truncate xs} = \text{truncate ys}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *replicate-truncate-reversed*: $\exists n. (\text{replicate } n \text{ False}) @ \text{truncate-reversed xs} = \text{xs}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *truncate-replicate*: $\exists n. \text{truncate xs} @ (\text{replicate } n \text{ False}) = \text{xs}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *decompose-trailing-zeros*: $\text{xs} = \text{truncate xs} @ (\text{replicate}(\text{length xs} - \text{length}(\text{truncate xs})) \text{ False})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *truncate-reversed-length-ineq*: $\text{length}(\text{truncate-reversed xs}) \leq \text{length xs}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *truncate-length-ineq*: $\text{length}(\text{truncate xs}) \leq \text{length xs}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *truncate-reversed-fixed-point-iff*: $\text{truncate-reversed } x = x \longleftrightarrow (x = [] \vee \text{hd } x = \text{True})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *truncated-iff*: $\text{truncated } x \longleftrightarrow (x = [] \vee \text{last } x = \text{True})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *hd-truncate-reversed*: $\text{truncate-reversed } xs \neq [] \implies \text{hd } (\text{truncate-reversed } xs) = \text{True}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *last-truncate*: $\text{truncate } xs \neq [] \implies \text{last } (\text{truncate } xs) = \text{True}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *truncate-truncate[simp]*: $\text{truncate } (\text{truncate } xs) = \text{truncate } xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *truncate-reversed-Nil-iff*: $\text{truncate-reversed } xs = [] \longleftrightarrow (\exists n. xs = \text{replicate } n \text{ False})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *truncate-Nil-iff*: $\text{truncate } xs = [] \longleftrightarrow (\exists n. xs = \text{replicate } n \text{ False})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *truncate-neq-Nil*: $\text{truncate } xs \neq [] \implies \exists ys zs. xs = ys @ \text{True} \# zs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *truncate-Cons*: $\text{truncate } (a \# xs) = (\text{if } \neg a \wedge (\text{truncate } xs = []) \text{ then } [] \text{ else } a \# \text{truncate } xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *truncate-eq-Cons*: $\text{truncate } xs = \text{truncate } ys \implies \text{truncate } (a \# xs) = \text{truncate } (a \# ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *truncate-as-take*: $\bigwedge xs. \exists n. \text{truncate } xs = \text{take } n xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-nat-zero-iff*: $\text{to-nat } xs = 0 \longleftrightarrow \text{truncate } xs = []$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-nat-eq-imp-truncate-eq*: $\text{to-nat } xs = \text{to-nat } ys \implies \text{truncate } xs = \text{truncate } ys$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *truncate-from-nat[simp]*: $\text{truncate } (\text{from-nat } x) = \text{from-nat } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *truncate-and-length-eq-imp-eq*:
assumes $\text{truncate } xs = \text{truncate } ys$ $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys$
shows $xs = ys$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma nat-lsbf-eqI:
  assumes to-nat xs = to-nat ys
  assumes length xs = length ys
  shows xs = ys
  ⟨proof⟩

```

interpretation nat-lsbf: abstract-representation from-nat to-nat truncate
 ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma truncated-Cons-imp-truncated-tl: truncated (x # xs) ==> truncated xs
  ⟨proof⟩

```

definition fill **where** fill n xs = xs @ replicate (n - length xs) False

```

lemma to-nat-fill[simp]: to-nat (fill n xs) = to-nat xs
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma length-fill[intro]: length xs ≤ n ==> length (fill n xs) = n
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma take-id: length xs = k ==> take k xs = xs
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fill-id: length xs ≥ k ==> fill k xs = xs
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma length-fill': length (fill n xs) = max n (length xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma length-fill-max[simp]:
  length (fill (max (length xs) (length ys)) xs) = max (length xs) (length ys)
  length (fill (max (length xs) (length ys)) ys) = max (length xs) (length ys)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma truncate-fill: truncate (fill k xs) = truncate xs
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fill-truncate: length xs ≤ k ==> fill k (truncate xs) = fill k xs
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fill-take-com: fill k (take k xs) = take k (fill k xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma to-nat-length-lower-bound-truncated: xs ≠ [] ==> truncated xs ==> to-nat
  xs ≥ 2 ^ (length xs - 1)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *to-nat-length-bound-truncated*: truncated $xs \implies \text{to-nat } xs < 2^{\wedge} n \implies \text{length } xs \leq n$
(proof)

8.4 Right-shifts

definition *shift-right* :: nat \Rightarrow nat-lsb \Rightarrow nat-lsb **where**
 $\text{shift-right } n \ xs = (\text{replicate } n \ \text{False}) @ xs$

lemma *to-nat-shift-right[simp]*: $\text{to-nat}(\text{shift-right } n \ xs) = 2^{\wedge} n * \text{to-nat } xs$
(proof)

lemma *length-shift-right[simp]*: $\text{length}(\text{shift-right } n \ xs) = n + \text{length } xs$
(proof)

8.5 Subdividing lists

8.5.1 Splitting a list in two blocks

fun *split-at* :: nat \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \times 'a list **where**
 $\text{split-at } m \ xs = (\text{take } m \ xs, \text{drop } m \ xs)$

definition *split* :: nat-lsb \Rightarrow nat-lsb \times nat-lsb **where**
 $\text{split } xs = (\text{let } n = \text{length } xs \text{ div } (2::\text{nat}) \text{ in } \text{split-at } n \ xs)$

lemma *app-split*: $\text{split } xs = (x0, x1) \implies xs = x0 @ x1$
(proof)

lemma *length-split*: $\text{length } xs \text{ mod } 2 = 0 \implies \text{split } xs = (x0, x1) \implies \text{length } x0 = \text{length } xs \text{ div } 2 \wedge \text{length } x1 = \text{length } xs \text{ div } 2$
(proof)

lemma *length-split-le*:
assumes $\text{split } xs = (x0, x1)$
shows $\text{length } x0 \leq \text{length } xs \text{ and } \text{length } x1 \leq \text{length } xs$
(proof)

8.5.2 Splitting a list in multiple blocks

subdivide $n \ xs$ divides the list xs into blocks of size n .

fun *subdivide* :: nat \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list list **where**
 $\text{subdivide } 0 \ xs = \text{undefined}$
 $| \text{subdivide } n \ [] = []$
 $| \text{subdivide } n \ xs = \text{take } n \ xs \# \text{subdivide } n \ (\text{drop } n \ xs)$

value *concat* [[0..<2], [4..<7], [1..<5]]

value *subdivide* 2 [0..<6]
value *subdivide* 3 [0..<6]

```

value subdivide (2 ^ 2) [0..<2 ^ 6]

lemma concat-subdivide: n > 0  $\implies$  concat (subdivide n xs) = xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma subdivide-step:
  assumes n > 0
  assumes xs ≠ []
  assumes length xs = n * k
  obtains ys zs where xs = ys @ zs length ys = n length zs = n * (k - 1)
    subdivide n xs = ys # subdivide n zs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma subdivide-step':
  assumes n > 0
  assumes xs ≠ []
  shows subdivide n xs = (take n xs) # subdivide n (drop n xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma subdivide-correct:
  assumes n > 0
  assumes length xs = n * k
  shows length (subdivide n xs) = k  $\wedge$  (x ∈ set (subdivide n xs)  $\longrightarrow$  length x = n)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nth-nth-subdivide:
  assumes n > 0
  assumes length xs = n * k
  assumes i < k j < n
  shows subdivide n xs ! i ! j = xs ! (i * n + j)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma subdivide-concat:
  assumes n > 0
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } xs \implies \text{length } (xs ! i) = n$ 
  shows subdivide n (concat xs) = xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma to-nat-subdivide:
  assumes n > 0
  assumes length xs = n * k
  shows to-nat xs = ( $\sum i \leftarrow [0..<k]. \text{to-nat} (\text{subdivide } n \text{ xs} ! i) * 2^{\wedge}(i * n)$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

8.6 The bitsize function

bitsize n calculates how many bits are needed in the LSBF encoding of *n*.

```

fun bitsize :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  bitsize 0 = 0

```

```

| bitsize n = 1 + bitsize (n div 2)

lemma bitsize-is-floorlog: bitsize = floorlog 2
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary bitsize-bitlen: int (bitsize n) = bitlen (int n)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bitsize-eq: bitsize n = length (from-nat n)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bitsize-zero-iff: bitsize n = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  n = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma truncated-iff': truncated x  $\longleftrightarrow$  length x = bitsize (to-nat x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bitsize-length: bitsize n  $\leq$  k  $\longleftrightarrow$  n <  $2^k$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma two-pow-bitsize-pos-bound: n > 0  $\implies$   $2^{\text{bitsize } n} \leq 2^n$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma two-pow-bitsize-bound:  $2^{\text{bitsize } n} \leq 2^n + 1$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bitsize-mono: n1  $\leq$  n2  $\implies$  bitsize n1  $\leq$  bitsize n2
  ⟨proof⟩

```

8.6.1 The next-power-of-2 function

```

lemma power-of-2-recursion: ( $\exists k. (n::nat) = 2^k$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  (n = 1  $\vee$  (n mod 2 = 0
 $\wedge$  ( $\exists k. n \text{ div } 2 = 2^k$ )))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

fun is-power-of-2 :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-power-of-2 0 = False
  | is-power-of-2 (Suc 0) = True
  | is-power-of-2 n = ((n mod 2 = 0)  $\wedge$  is-power-of-2 (n div 2))

```

```

lemma is-power-of-2-correct: is-power-of-2 n  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists k. n = 2^k$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

fun next-power-of-2 :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  next-power-of-2 n = (if is-power-of-2 n then n else  $2^{\text{bitsize } n}$ )

```

```

lemma next-power-of-2-lower-bound: next-power-of-2 k  $\geq$  k
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma next-power-of-2-upper-bound:
  assumes k ≠ 0
  shows next-power-of-2 k ≤ 2 * k
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma next-power-of-2-upper-bound': next-power-of-2 k ≤ 2 * k + 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma next-power-of-2-is-power-of-2: ∃ k. next-power-of-2 n = 2 ^ k
  ⟨proof⟩

```

8.7 Addition

```

fun bit-add-carry :: bool ⇒ bool ⇒ bool ⇒ bool × bool where
  bit-add-carry False False False = (False, False)
  | bit-add-carry False False True = (True, False)
  | bit-add-carry False True False = (True, False)
  | bit-add-carry False True True = (False, True)
  | bit-add-carry True False False = (True, False)
  | bit-add-carry True False True = (False, True)
  | bit-add-carry True True False = (False, True)
  | bit-add-carry True True True = (True, True)

```

```

lemma bit-add-carry-correct: bit-add-carry c x y = (a, b) ⇒ eval-bool c + eval-bool
x + eval-bool y = eval-bool a + 2 * eval-bool b
  ⟨proof⟩

```

8.7.1 Increment operation

```

fun inc-nat :: nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf where
  inc-nat [] = [True]
  | inc-nat (False # xs) = True # xs
  | inc-nat (True # xs) = False # (inc-nat xs)

```

```

lemma length-inc-nat': length (inc-nat xs) = length xs + of-bool (to-nat xs + 1 ≥
2 ^ length xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma length-inc-nat-lower: length (inc-nat xs) ≥ length xs
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma length-inc-nat-upper: length (inc-nat xs) ≤ length xs + 1
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma inc-nat-nonempty: inc-nat xs ≠ []
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma inc-nat-replicate-True: inc-nat (replicate m True) = replicate m False @
[True]

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inc-nat-replicate-True-2*: *inc-nat* (*replicate m True @ False # ys*) = *replicate m False @ True # ys*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-inc-nat-iff*: *length (inc-nat xs)* = *length xs* \longleftrightarrow ($\exists ys zs. xs = ys @ False # zs$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inc-nat-last-bit-True*: *length (inc-nat xs)* = *Suc (length xs)* $\Longrightarrow \exists zs. inc-nat xs = zs @ [True]
 $\langle proof \rangle$$

lemma *inc-nat-truncated*: *truncated xs* \Longrightarrow *truncated (inc-nat xs)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inc-nat-correct*: *to-nat (inc-nat xs)* = *to-nat xs + 1*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-inc-nat*: *length (inc-nat xs)* = *max (length xs) (floorlog 2 (to-nat xs + 1))*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.7.2 Addition with a carry bit

fun *add-carry* :: *bool* \Rightarrow *nat-lsb* \Rightarrow *nat-lsb* \Rightarrow *nat-lsb* **where**
 $| add\text{-}carry False [] y = y$
 $| add\text{-}carry False x [] = x$
 $| add\text{-}carry True [] y = inc\text{-}nat y$
 $| add\text{-}carry True x [] = inc\text{-}nat x$
 $| add\text{-}carry c (x#xs) (y#ys) = (let (a, b) = bit-add-carry c x y in a#(add-carry b xs ys))$

lemma *add-carry-correct*: *to-nat (add-carry c x y)* = *eval-bool c + to-nat x + to-nat y*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-add-carry'*: *length (add-carry c xs ys)* = *max (length xs) (length ys) + of-bool (to-nat xs + to-nat ys + of-bool c \geq 2 \wedge max (length xs) (length ys))*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-add-carry*: *length (add-carry c xs ys)* = *max (max (length xs) (length ys)) (floorlog 2 (of-bool c + to-nat xs + to-nat ys))*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-add-carry-lower*: *length (add-carry c xs ys)* \geq *max (length xs) (length ys)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma length-add-carry-upper:  $\text{length}(\text{add-carry } c \text{ } xs \text{ } ys) \leq \max(\text{length } xs)(\text{length } ys) + 1$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma add-carry-last-bit-True:  $\text{length}(\text{add-carry } c \text{ } xs \text{ } ys) = \max(\text{length } xs)(\text{length } ys) + 1 \implies \exists \text{zs}. \text{add-carry } c \text{ } xs \text{ } ys = \text{zs} @ [\text{True}]$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma add-carry-com:  $\text{add-carry } c \text{ } xs \text{ } ys = \text{add-carry } c \text{ } ys \text{ } xs$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma add-carry-rNil[simp]:  $\text{add-carry } \text{True } y [] = \text{inc-nat } y$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma add-carry-rNil-noCarry[simp]:  $\text{add-carry } \text{False } y [] = y$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma add-carry-True-inc-nat:
 $\text{add-carry } \text{True } xs \text{ } ys = \text{inc-nat}(\text{add-carry } \text{False } xs \text{ } ys) \wedge$ 
 $\text{add-carry } \text{True } xs \text{ } ys = \text{add-carry } \text{False } (\text{inc-nat } xs) \text{ } ys \wedge$ 
 $\text{add-carry } \text{True } xs \text{ } ys = \text{add-carry } \text{False } xs \text{ } (\text{inc-nat } ys)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma inc-nat-add-carry:
 $\text{inc-nat}(\text{add-carry } c \text{ } xs \text{ } ys) = \text{add-carry } c \text{ } (\text{inc-nat } xs) \text{ } ys \wedge$ 
 $\text{inc-nat}(\text{add-carry } c \text{ } xs \text{ } ys) = \text{add-carry } c \text{ } xs \text{ } (\text{inc-nat } ys)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma add-carry-inc-nat-simps:
 $\text{add-carry } \text{True } xs \text{ } ys = \text{inc-nat}(\text{add-carry } \text{False } xs \text{ } ys)$ 
 $\text{add-carry } \text{False } (\text{inc-nat } xs) \text{ } ys = \text{inc-nat}(\text{add-carry } \text{False } xs \text{ } ys)$ 
 $\text{add-carry } \text{False } xs \text{ } (\text{inc-nat } ys) = \text{inc-nat}(\text{add-carry } \text{False } xs \text{ } ys)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma add-carry-assoc:  $\text{add-carry } c2 (\text{add-carry } c1 \text{ } xs \text{ } ys) \text{ } zs = \text{add-carry } c1 \text{ } xs$ 
 $(\text{add-carry } c2 \text{ } ys \text{ } zs)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma truncated-add-carry:
  assumes truncated xs truncated ys
  shows truncated (add-carry c xs ys)
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

8.7.3 Addition

```

definition add-nat :: nat-lsbF  $\Rightarrow$  nat-lsbF  $\Rightarrow$  nat-lsbF where
  add-nat x y = add-carry False x y

```

corollary *length-add-nat-lower*: $\text{length}(\text{add-nat } xs \ ys) \geq \max(\text{length } xs) (\text{length } ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *length-add-nat-upper*: $\text{length}(\text{add-nat } xs \ ys) \leq \max(\text{length } xs) (\text{length } ys) + 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *add-nat-last-bit-True*: $\text{length}(\text{add-nat } xs \ ys) = \max(\text{length } xs) (\text{length } ys) + 1 \implies \exists zs. \text{add-nat } xs \ ys = zs @ [\text{True}]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-nat-correct*: $\text{to-nat}(\text{add-nat } x \ y) = \text{to-nat } x + \text{to-nat } y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *add-nat-com*: $\text{add-nat } xs \ ys = \text{add-nat } ys \ xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *add-nat-assoc*: $\text{add-nat } xs (\text{add-nat } ys \ zs) = \text{add-nat } (\text{add-nat } xs \ ys) \ zs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *truncated-add-nat*:
assumes *truncated xs truncated ys*
shows *truncated (add-nat xs ys)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

8.8 Comparison and subtraction

8.8.1 Comparison

```
fun compare-nat-same-length-reversed :: bool list => bool list => bool where
  compare-nat-same-length-reversed [] [] = True
  | compare-nat-same-length-reversed (False#xs) (False#ys) = compare-nat-same-length-reversed
    xs ys
  | compare-nat-same-length-reversed (True#xs) (False#ys) = False
  | compare-nat-same-length-reversed (False#xs) (True#ys) = True
  | compare-nat-same-length-reversed (True#xs) (True#ys) = compare-nat-same-length-reversed
    xs ys
  | compare-nat-same-length-reversed - - = undefined
```

lemma *compare-nat-same-length-reversed-correct*:
 $\text{length } xs = \text{length } ys \implies \text{compare-nat-same-length-reversed } xs \ ys \longleftrightarrow \text{to-nat}(\text{rev } xs) \leq \text{to-nat}(\text{rev } ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```
fun compare-nat-same-length :: nat-lsbf => nat-lsbf => bool where
  compare-nat-same-length xs ys = compare-nat-same-length-reversed (rev xs) (rev
    ys)
```

lemma *compare-nat-same-length-correct*:

length xs = length ys \implies compare-nat-same-length xs ys = (to-nat xs \leq to-nat ys)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition make-same-length :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf **where**
make-same-length xs ys = (let n = max (length xs) (length ys) in ((fill n xs), (fill n ys)))

lemma make-same-length-correct:
assumes (fill-xs, fill-ys) = make-same-length xs ys
shows length fill-ys = length fill-xs
length fill-xs = max (length xs) (length ys)
to-nat fill-xs = to-nat xs
to-nat fill-ys = to-nat ys
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition compare-nat :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow bool **where**
compare-nat xs ys = (let (fill-xs, fill-ys) = make-same-length xs ys in compare-nat-same-length fill-xs fill-ys)

lemma compare-nat-correct: compare-nat xs ys = (to-nat xs \leq to-nat ys)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.8.2 Subtraction

definition subtract-nat :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf **where**
subtract-nat xs ys = (if compare-nat xs ys then [] else
let (fill-xs, fill-ys) = make-same-length xs ys in
butlast (add-carry True fill-xs (map Not fill-ys)))

lemma add-complement: add-nat xs (map Not xs) = replicate (length xs) True
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma to-nat-complement: to-nat (map Not xs) = $2^{\wedge}(\text{length xs}) - 1 - \text{to-nat xs}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma to-nat-butlast: zs = xs @ [True] \implies to-nat (butlast zs) = to-nat zs - 2^{\wedge} length xs
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma inc-nat-true-prefix[simp]: inc-nat (replicate n True @ [False] @ ys) = replicate n False @ [True] @ ys
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma length-inc-nat-aux: zs = replicate n True @ [False] @ ys \implies length (inc-nat zs) = length zs
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma length-inc-nat-aux-2: length (inc-nat (xs @ [False] @ ys)) = length (xs @ [False] @ ys)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma subtract-nat-aux: to-nat (subtract-nat xs ys) = (to-nat xs) - (to-nat ys) ∧
length (subtract-nat xs ys) ≤ max (length xs) (length ys)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
corollary subtract-nat-correct: to-nat (subtract-nat xs ys) = (to-nat xs) - (to-nat ys)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
corollary length-subtract-nat-le: length (subtract-nat xs ys) ≤ max (length xs)
(length ys)
⟨proof⟩
```

8.9 (Grid) Multiplication

```
fun grid-mul-nat :: nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf where
grid-mul-nat [] - = []
| grid-mul-nat (False#xs) y = False # (grid-mul-nat xs y)
| grid-mul-nat (True#xs) y = add-nat (False # (grid-mul-nat xs y)) y
```

```
lemma grid-mul-nat-correct: to-nat (grid-mul-nat x y) = to-nat x * to-nat y
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma length-grid-mul-nat: length (grid-mul-nat xs ys) ≤ length xs + length ys
⟨proof⟩
```

8.10 Syntax bundles

```
abbreviation shift-right-flip xs n ≡ shift-right n xs
bundle nat-lsbf-syntax
begin
  notation add-nat (infixl +n 65)
  notation compare-nat (infixl ≤n 50)
  notation subtract-nat (infixl −n 65)
  notation grid-mul-nat (infixl *n 70)
  notation shift-right-flip (infixl >>n 55)
end
```

```
bundle no-nat-lsbf-syntax
begin
  no-notation add-nat (infixl +n 65)
  no-notation compare-nat (infixl ≤n 50)
  no-notation subtract-nat (infixl −n 65)
  no-notation grid-mul-nat (infixl *n 70)
  no-notation shift-right-flip (infixl >>n 55)
end
```

```

unbundle nat-lsbf-syntax

end
theory Karatsuba-Runtime-Lemmas
  imports Complex-Main Akra-Bazzi.Akra-Bazzi-Method
begin

An explicit bound for a specific class of recursive functions.

context
  fixes a b c d :: nat
  fixes f :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat
  assumes small-bounds:  $f 0 \leq a$   $f (\text{Suc } 0) \leq a$ 
  assumes recursive-bound:  $\bigwedge n. n > 1 \implies f n \leq c * n + d + f(n \text{ div } 2)$ 
begin

private fun g where
  g 0 = a
  | g (Suc 0) = a
  | g n = c * n + d + g (n div 2)

private lemma f-g-bound:  $f n \leq g n$ 
  <proof> lemma g-mono-aux:  $a \leq g n$ 
  <proof> lemma g-mono:  $m \leq n \implies g m \leq g n$ 
  <proof> lemma g-powers-of-2:  $g(2^m) = d * m + c * (2^{m+1} - 2) + a$ 
  <proof> lemma pow-ineq:
    assumes m  $\geq (1 :: \text{nat})$ 
    assumes p  $\geq 2$ 
    shows p  $\wedge m > m$ 
    <proof> lemma next-power-of-2:
      assumes m  $\geq (1 :: \text{nat})$ 
      shows  $\exists n k. m = 2^n + k \wedge k < 2^n$ 
  </proof>

lemma div-2-recursion-linear:  $f n \leq (2 * d + 4 * c) * n + a$ 
  <proof>

end

```

General Lemmas for Landau notation.

```

lemma landau-o-plus-aux':
  fixes f g
  assumes f  $\in o[F](g)$ 
  shows O[F](g) = O[F]( $\lambda x. f x + g x$ )
  <proof>

lemma powr-bigo-linear-index-transformation:
  fixes fl :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat
  fixes f :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  real
  assumes ( $\lambda x. \text{real } (fl x)$ )  $\in O(\lambda n. \text{real } n)$ 

```

```

assumes  $f \in O(\lambda n. \text{real } n \text{ powr } p)$ 
assumes  $p > 0$ 
shows  $f \circ fl \in O(\lambda n. \text{real } n \text{ powr } p)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma real-mono:  $(a \leq b) = (\text{real } a \leq \text{real } b)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma real-linear:  $\text{real } (a + b) = \text{real } a + \text{real } b$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma real-multiplicative:  $\text{real } (a * b) = \text{real } a * \text{real } b$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in landau-pair) big-1-mult-left:
fixes  $f g h$ 
assumes  $f \in L F (g)$   $h \in L F (\lambda -. 1)$ 
shows  $(\lambda x. h x * f x) \in L F (g)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma norm-nonneg:  $x \geq 0 \implies \text{norm } x = x$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma landau-mono-always:
fixes  $f g$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge x. f x \geq (0 :: \text{real})$   $\bigwedge x. g x \geq 0$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge x. f x \leq g x$ 
shows  $f \in O[F](g)$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

```

9 Running time of Nat-LSBF

```

theory Nat-LSBF-TM
imports Nat-LSBF .. / Karatsuba-Runtime-Lemmas .. / Main-TM .. / Estimation-Method
begin

```

9.1 Truncating and filling

```

fun truncate-reversed-tm :: nat-lsbf  $\Rightarrow$  nat-lsbf tm where
truncate-reversed-tm [] = 1 return []
| truncate-reversed-tm (x # xs) = 1 (if x then return (x # xs) else truncate-reversed-tm xs)

lemma val-truncate-reversed-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (truncate-reversed-tm xs) =
truncate-reversed xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-truncate-reversed-tm-le: time (truncate-reversed-tm xs)  $\leq$  length xs +

```

```

1
⟨proof⟩

definition truncate-tm :: nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf tm where
truncate-tm xs =1 do {
  rev-xs ← rev-tm xs;
  truncate-rev-xs ← truncate-reversed-tm rev-xs;
  rev-tm truncate-rev-xs
}

lemma val-truncate-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (truncate-tm xs) = truncate xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-truncate-tm-le: time (truncate-tm xs) ≤ 3 * length xs + 6
⟨proof⟩

definition fill-tm :: nat ⇒ nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf tm where
fill-tm n xs =1 do {
  k ← length-tm xs;
  l ← n -t k;
  zeros ← replicate-tm l False;
  xs @t zeros
}

lemma val-fill-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (fill-tm n xs) = fill n xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma com-f-of-min-max: f a b = f b a ⇒ f (min a b) (max a b) = f a b
⟨proof⟩
lemma add-min-max: min (a::'a:: ordered-ab-semigroup-add) b + max a b = a +
b
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-fill-tm: time (fill-tm n xs) = 2 * length xs + n + 5
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-fill-tm-le: time (fill-tm n xs) ≤ 3 * max n (length xs) + 5
⟨proof⟩

```

9.2 Right-shifts

```

definition shift-right-tm :: nat ⇒ nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf tm where
shift-right-tm n xs =1 do {
  r ← replicate-tm n False;
  r @t xs
}

lemma val-shift-right-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (shift-right-tm n xs) = xs >>n n
⟨proof⟩

```

```
lemma time-shift-right-tm[simp]: time (shift-right-tm n xs) = 2 * n + 3
  ⟨proof⟩
```

9.3 Subdividing lists

9.3.1 Splitting a list in two blocks

```
definition split-at-tm :: nat ⇒ 'a list ⇒ ('a list × 'a list) tm where
split-at-tm k xs =1 do {
  xs1 ← take-tm k xs;
  xs2 ← drop-tm k xs;
  return (xs1, xs2)
}
```

```
lemma val-split-at-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (split-at-tm k xs) = split-at k xs
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma time-split-at-tm: time (split-at-tm k xs) = 2 * min k (length xs) + 3
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition split-tm :: nat-lsbf ⇒ (nat-lsbf × nat-lsbf) tm where
split-tm xs =1 do {
  n ← length-tm xs;
  n-div-2 ← n divt 2;
  split-at-tm n-div-2 xs
}
```

```
lemma val-split-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (split-tm xs) = split xs
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma time-split-tm-le: time (split-tm xs) ≤ 10 * length xs + 16
  ⟨proof⟩
```

9.3.2 Splitting a list in multiple blocks

```
fun subdivide-tm :: nat ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list list tm where
subdivide-tm 0 xs =1 undefined
| subdivide-tm n [] =1 return []
| subdivide-tm n xs =1 do {
  r ← take-tm n xs;
  s ← drop-tm n xs;
  rs ← subdivide-tm n s;
  return (r # rs)
}
```

```
lemma val-subdivide-tm[simp, val-simp]: n > 0 ⇒ val (subdivide-tm n xs) =
subdivide n xs
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma time-subdivide-tm-le-aux:
  assumes n > 0
  shows time (subdivide-tm n xs) ≤ k * (2 * n + 3) + time (subdivide-tm n (drop
(k * n) xs))
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-subdivide-tm-le:
  fixes xs :: 'a list
  assumes n > 0
  shows time (subdivide-tm n xs) ≤ 5 * length xs + 2 * n + 4
⟨proof⟩

```

9.4 The bitsize function

```

fun bitsize-tm :: nat ⇒ nat tm where
bitsize-tm 0 =1 return 0
| bitsize-tm n =1 do {
  n-div-2 ← n divt 2;
  r ← bitsize-tm n-div-2;
  1 +t r
}

lemma val-bitsize-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (bitsize-tm n) = bitsize n
⟨proof⟩

fun time-bitsize-tm-bound :: nat ⇒ nat where
time-bitsize-tm-bound 0 = 1
| time-bitsize-tm-bound n = 14 + 8 * n + time-bitsize-tm-bound (n div 2)

lemma time-bitsize-tm-aux:
  time (bitsize-tm n) ≤ time-bitsize-tm-bound n
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-bitsize-tm-aux2: time-bitsize-tm-bound n ≤ (2 * 8 + 4 * 14) * n +
23
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-bitsize-tm-le: time (bitsize-tm n) ≤ 72 * n + 23
⟨proof⟩

```

9.4.1 The is-power-of-2 function

```

fun is-power-of-2-tm :: nat ⇒ bool tm where
is-power-of-2-tm 0 =1 return False
| is-power-of-2-tm (Suc 0) =1 return True
| is-power-of-2-tm n =1 do {
  n-mod-2 ← n modt 2;
  n-div-2 ← n divt 2;
  c1 ← n-mod-2 =t 0;
  c2 ← is-power-of-2-tm n-div-2;
}

```

```

 $c1 \wedge_t c2$ 
}

lemma val-is-power-of-2-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (is-power-of-2-tm n) = is-power-of-2
n
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-is-power-of-2-tm-le: time (is-power-of-2-tm n) ≤ 114 * n + 1
⟨proof⟩

definition next-power-of-2-tm :: nat ⇒ nat tm where
next-power-of-2-tm n = 1 do {
  b ← is-power-of-2-tm n;
  if b then return n else do {
    r ← bitsize-tm n;
     $2 \hat{\wedge}_t r$ 
  }
}
}

lemma val-next-power-of-2-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (next-power-of-2-tm n) = next-power-of-2
n
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-next-power-of-2-tm-le: time (next-power-of-2-tm n) ≤ 208 * n + 37
⟨proof⟩

```

9.5 Addition

```

fun bit-add-carry-tm :: bool ⇒ bool ⇒ bool ⇒ (bool × bool) tm where
bit-add-carry-tm False False False = 1 return (False, False)
| bit-add-carry-tm False False True = 1 return (True, False)
| bit-add-carry-tm False True False = 1 return (True, False)
| bit-add-carry-tm False True True = 1 return (False, True)
| bit-add-carry-tm True False False = 1 return (True, False)
| bit-add-carry-tm True False True = 1 return (False, True)
| bit-add-carry-tm True True False = 1 return (False, True)
| bit-add-carry-tm True True True = 1 return (True, True)

lemma val-bit-add-carry-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (bit-add-carry-tm x y z) = bit-add-carry
x y z
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-bit-add-carry-tm[simp]: time (bit-add-carry-tm x y z) = 1
⟨proof⟩

fun inc-nat-tm :: nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf tm where
inc-nat-tm [] = 1 return [True]
| inc-nat-tm (False # xs) = 1 return (True # xs)
| inc-nat-tm (True # xs) = 1 do {
  r ← inc-nat-tm xs;
}

```

```

        return (False # r)
    }

lemma val-inc-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (inc-nat-tm xs) = inc-nat xs
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma time-inc-nat-tm-le: time (inc-nat-tm xs) ≤ length xs + 1
    ⟨proof⟩

fun add-carry-tm :: bool ⇒ nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf tm where
    add-carry-tm False [] y = 1 return y
    | add-carry-tm False (x # xs) [] = 1 return (x # xs)
    | add-carry-tm True [] y = 1 do {
        r ← inc-nat-tm y;
        return r
    }
    | add-carry-tm True (x # xs) [] = 1 do {
        r ← inc-nat-tm (x # xs);
        return r
    }
    | add-carry-tm c (x # xs) (y # ys) = 1 do {
        (a, b) ← bit-add-carry-tm c x y;
        r ← add-carry-tm b xs ys;
        return (a # r)
    }
}

lemma val-add-carry-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (add-carry-tm c xs ys) = add-carry
c xs ys
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma time-add-carry-tm-le: time (add-carry-tm c xs ys) ≤ 2 * max (length xs)
(length ys) + 2
    ⟨proof⟩

definition add-nat-tm :: nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf tm where
    add-nat-tm xs ys = 1 do {
        r ← add-carry-tm False xs ys;
        return r
    }

lemma val-add-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (add-nat-tm xs ys) = xs +n ys
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma time-add-nat-tm-le: time (add-nat-tm xs ys) ≤ 2 * max (length xs) (length
ys) + 3
    ⟨proof⟩

```

9.6 Comparison and subtraction

```

fun compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm :: bool list ⇒ bool list ⇒ bool tm where
  compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm [] [] =1 return True
  | compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm (False # xs) (False # ys) =1 compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm
    xs ys
  | compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm (True # xs) (False # ys) =1 return False
  | compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm (False # xs) (True # ys) =1 return True
  | compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm (True # xs) (True # ys) =1 compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm
    xs ys
  | compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm - - =1 undefined

lemma val-compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm[simp, val-simp]:
  assumes length xs = length ys
  shows val (compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm xs ys) = compare-nat-same-length-reversed
  xs ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma time-compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm-le:
  length xs = length ys ⇒ time (compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm xs ys) ≤
  length xs + 1
  ⟨proof⟩

fun compare-nat-same-length-tm :: nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf ⇒ bool tm where
  compare-nat-same-length-tm xs ys =1 do {
    rev-xs ← rev-tm xs;
    rev-ys ← rev-tm ys;
    compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm rev-xs rev-ys
  }

lemma val-compare-nat-same-length-tm[simp, val-simp]:
  assumes length xs = length ys
  shows val (compare-nat-same-length-tm xs ys) = compare-nat-same-length xs ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma time-compare-nat-same-length-tm-le:
  length xs = length ys ⇒ time (compare-nat-same-length-tm xs ys) ≤ 3 * length
  xs + 6
  ⟨proof⟩

definition make-same-length-tm :: nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf ⇒ (nat-lsbf × nat-lsbf) tm
where
  make-same-length-tm xs ys =1 do {
    len-xs ← length-tm xs;
    len-ys ← length-tm ys;
    n ← max-nat-tm len-xs len-ys;
    fill-xs ← fill-tm n xs;
    fill-ys ← fill-tm n ys;
    return (fill-xs, fill-ys)
  }

```

```

lemma val-make-same-length-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (make-same-length-tm xs ys)
= make-same-length xs ys
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-make-same-length-tm-le: time (make-same-length-tm xs ys) ≤ 10 *
max (length xs) (length ys) + 16
⟨proof⟩

definition compare-nat-tm :: nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf ⇒ bool tm where
compare-nat-tm xs ys = 1 do {
  (fill-xs, fill-ys) ← make-same-length-tm xs ys;
  compare-nat-same-length-tm fill-xs fill-ys
}

lemma val-compare-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (compare-nat-tm xs ys) = (xs ≤n
ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-compare-nat-tm-le: time (compare-nat-tm xs ys) ≤ 13 * max (length
xs) (length ys) + 23
⟨proof⟩

definition subtract-nat-tm :: nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf tm where
subtract-nat-tm xs ys = 1 do {
  b ← compare-nat-tm xs ys;
  if b then return [] else do {
    (fill-xs, fill-ys) ← make-same-length-tm xs ys;
    fill-ys-comp ← map-tm Not-tm fill-ys;
    a ← add-carry-tm True fill-xs fill-ys-comp;
    butlast-tm a
  }
}

lemma val-subtract-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (subtract-nat-tm xs ys) = xs -n ys
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-map-tm-Not-tm: time (map-tm Not-tm xs) = 2 * length xs + 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-subtract-nat-tm-le: time (subtract-nat-tm xs ys) ≤ 30 * max (length
xs) (length ys) + 48
⟨proof⟩

```

9.7 (Grid) Multiplication

```

fun grid-mul-nat-tm :: nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf tm where
grid-mul-nat-tm [] ys = 1 return []
| grid-mul-nat-tm (False # xs) ys = 1 do {

```

```

r ← grid-mul-nat-tm xs ys;
return (False # r)
}
| grid-mul-nat-tm (True # xs) ys = 1 do {
  r ← grid-mul-nat-tm xs ys;
  add-nat-tm (False # r) ys
}

lemma val-grid-mul-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (grid-mul-nat-tm xs ys) = xs *n
ys
⟨proof⟩

lemma euler-sum-bound: ∑ {..(n::nat)} ≤ n * n
⟨proof⟩

lemma time-grid-mul-nat-tm-le:
time (grid-mul-nat-tm xs ys) ≤ 8 * length xs * max (length xs) (length ys) + 1
⟨proof⟩

```

9.8 Syntax bundles

abbreviation shift-right-tm-flip **where** shift-right-tm-flip xs n ≡ shift-right-tm n xs

```

bundle nat-lsbf-tm-syntax
begin
  notation add-nat-tm (infixl +nt 65)
  notation compare-nat-tm (infixl ≤nt 50)
  notation subtract-nat-tm (infixl −nt 65)
  notation grid-mul-nat-tm (infixl *nt 70)
  notation shift-right-tm-flip (infixl >>nt 55)
end

bundle no-nat-lsbf-tm-syntax
begin
  no-notation add-nat-tm (infixl +nt 65)
  no-notation compare-nat-tm (infixl ≤nt 50)
  no-notation subtract-nat-tm (infixl −nt 65)
  no-notation grid-mul-nat-tm (infixl *nt 70)
  no-notation shift-right-tm-flip (infixl >>nt 55)
end

unbundle nat-lsbf-tm-syntax

```

end

theory Int-LSBF
imports Nat-LSBF HOL-Algebra.IntRing
begin

10 Representing *int* in LSBF

10.1 Type definition

```
datatype sign = Positive | Negative
type-synonym int-lsbf = sign × nat-lsbf
```

10.2 Conversions

```
fun from-int :: int ⇒ int-lsbf where
from-int x = (if x ≥ 0 then (Positive, from-nat (nat x)) else (Negative, from-nat (nat (−x))))
fun to-int :: int-lsbf ⇒ int where
to-int (Positive, xs) = int (to-nat xs)
| to-int (Negative, xs) = − int (to-nat xs)

lemma to-int-from-int[simp]: to-int (from-int x) = x
⟨proof⟩

fun truncate-int :: int-lsbf ⇒ int-lsbf where
truncate-int (Positive, xs) = (Positive, truncate xs)
| truncate-int (Negative, xs) = (let ys = truncate xs in if ys = [] then (Positive, []) else (Negative, ys))

lemma to-int-truncate[simp]: to-int (truncate-int xs) = to-int xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma truncate-from-int[simp]: truncate-int (from-int x) = from-int x
⟨proof⟩

lemma pos-and-neg-imp-zero:
assumes to-int (Positive, x) = to-int (Negative, y)
shows to-nat x = 0 ∧ to-nat y = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma to-int-eq-imp-truncate-int-eq: to-int (a, x) = to-int (b, y) ⟹ truncate-int (a, x) = truncate-int (b, y)
⟨proof⟩

lemma from-int-to-int: from-int ∘ to-int = truncate-int
⟨proof⟩

interpretation int-lsbf: abstract-representation from-int to-int truncate-int
⟨proof⟩
```

10.3 Addition

```
fun add-int :: int-lsbf ⇒ int-lsbf ⇒ int-lsbf where
add-int (Negative, xs) (Negative, ys) = (Negative, add-nat xs ys)
| add-int (Positive, xs) (Positive, ys) = (Positive, add-nat xs ys)
```

```

| add-int (Positive, xs) (Negative, ys) = (if compare-nat xs ys then (Negative, subtract-nat ys xs) else (Positive, subtract-nat xs ys))
| add-int (Negative, xs) (Positive, ys) = (if compare-nat xs ys then (Positive, subtract-nat ys xs) else (Negative, subtract-nat xs ys))

lemma add-int-correct: to-int (add-int x y) = to-int x + to-int y
  ⟨proof⟩

fun nat-mul-to-int-mul :: (nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf) ⇒ int-lsbf ⇒ int-lsbf
  ⇒ int-lsbf where
    nat-mul-to-int-mul f (x, xs) (y, ys) = ((if x = y then Positive else Negative), f xs
    ys)

lemma nat-mul-to-int-mul-correct:
  assumes ∀x y. to-nat (f x y) = to-nat x * to-nat y
  shows ∀x y xs ys. to-int (nat-mul-to-int-mul f (x, xs) (y, ys)) = to-int (x, xs) *
  to-int (y, ys)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

10.4 Grid Multiplication

```

fun grid-mul-int where grid-mul-int x y = nat-mul-to-int-mul grid-mul-nat x y

corollary grid-mul-int-correct: to-int (grid-mul-int x y) = to-int x * to-int y
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

11 Karatsuba Multiplication

```

theory Karatsuba
imports ..../Binary-Representations/Nat-LSBF ..../Binary-Representations/Int-LSBF
..../Estimation-Method
begin

```

This theory contains an implementation of the Karatsuba Multiplication on type *nat-lsbf*.

```

definition abs-diff :: nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf where
  abs-diff x y = (x −n y) +n (y −n x)

```

```

lemma abs-diff-correct: int (to-nat (abs-diff x y)) = abs (int (to-nat x) − int
  (to-nat y))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma abs-diff-length: length (abs-diff xs ys) ≤ max (length xs) (length ys)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

For small inputs, implementations of Karatsuba Multiplication usually switch to grid multiplication. The threshold does not matter for the asymptotic

running time, hence we will just arbitrarily choose 42.

definition karatsuba-lower-bound :: nat **where**

karatsuba-lower-bound ≡ 42

lemma karatsuba-lower-bound-requirement:

karatsuba-lower-bound ≥ 1

$\langle proof \rangle$

A first version of the algorithm assumes the input numbers have a length which is a power of 2. The function *karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length* takes the specified length as additional first argument.

```
fun karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length :: nat ⇒ nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf where
  karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k x y =
    (if k ≤ karatsuba-lower-bound
     then x ∗n y
     else let
       (x0, x1) = split x;
       (y0, y1) = split y;
       k-div-2 = (k div 2);
       prod0 = karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k-div-2 x0 y0;
       prod1 = karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k-div-2 x1 y1;
       prod2 = karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k-div-2
         (fill k-div-2 (abs-diff x0 x1))
         (fill k-div-2 (abs-diff y0 y1));
       add01 = prod0 +n prod1;
       r = (if (x1 ≤n x0) = (y1 ≤n y0)
             then add01 −n prod2
             else add01 +n prod2)
       in prod0 +n (r >>n k-div-2) +n (prod1 >>n k))
```

declare karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length.simps[*simp del*]

locale karatsuba-context =

fixes k l :: nat

fixes x y :: nat-lsbf

assumes k-power-of-2: k = 2 \wedge l

assumes length-x: length x = k

assumes length-y: length y = k

assumes recursion-condition: $\neg k \leq$ karatsuba-lower-bound

begin

definition x0 **where** x0 = fst (split x)

definition x1 **where** x1 = snd (split x)

definition y0 **where** y0 = fst (split y)

definition y1 **where** y1 = snd (split y)

definition k-div-2 **where** k-div-2 = k div 2

definition prod0 **where** prod0 = karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k-div-2 x0 y0

definition prod1 **where** prod1 = karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k-div-2 x1 y1

definition prod2 **where** prod2 = karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k-div-2

```

(fill k-div-2 (abs-diff x0 x1))
(fill k-div-2 (abs-diff y0 y1))
definition add01 where add01 = prod0 +n prod1
definition r where r = (if (x1 ≤n x0) = (y1 ≤n y0)
    then add01 −n prod2
    else add01 +n prod2)

lemma split-x: split x = (x0, x1) ⟨proof⟩
lemma split-y: split y = (y0, y1) ⟨proof⟩

lemmas defs1 = split-x split-y
lemmas defs2 = prod0-def prod1-def prod2-def k-div-2-def add01-def r-def

lemma recursive: karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k x y =
prod0 +n (r >>n k-div-2) +n (prod1 >>n k)
⟨proof⟩

lemma l-ge-1: l ≥ 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma k-even: k mod 2 = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma k-div-2: k-div-2 = 2 ^ (l - 1)
⟨proof⟩

lemma k-div-2-less-k: k-div-2 < k
⟨proof⟩

lemma length-x-split: length x0 = k-div-2 length x1 = k-div-2
⟨proof⟩

lemma length-y-split: length y0 = k-div-2 length y1 = k-div-2
⟨proof⟩

lemma length-abs-diff-x0-x1: length (abs-diff x0 x1) ≤ k-div-2
⟨proof⟩
lemma length-fill-abs-diff-x0-x1: length (fill k-div-2 (abs-diff x0 x1)) = k-div-2
⟨proof⟩

lemma length-abs-diff-y0-y1: length (abs-diff y0 y1) ≤ k-div-2
⟨proof⟩
lemma length-fill-abs-diff-y0-y1: length (fill k-div-2 (abs-diff y0 y1)) = k-div-2
⟨proof⟩

lemmas IH-prems1 = recursion-condition split-x[symmetric] refl split-y[symmetric]
refl k-div-2-def
k-div-2 length-x-split(1) length-y-split(1)

```

```

lemmas IH-prems2 = recursion-condition split-x[symmetric] refl split-y[symmetric]
refl k-div-2-def
prod0-def k-div-2 length-x-split(2) length-y-split(2)

lemmas IH-prems3 = recursion-condition split-x[symmetric] refl split-y[symmetric]
refl k-div-2-def
prod0-def prod1-def k-div-2 length-fill-abs-diff-x0-x1 length-fill-abs-diff-y0-y1

end

lemma karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-correct:
assumes k = 2 ^ l
assumes length x = k length y = k
shows to-nat (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k x y) = to-nat x * to-nat y
⟨proof⟩

function len-kar-bound where
len-kar-bound l = (if 2 ^ l ≤ karatsuba-lower-bound then 2 * karatsuba-lower-bound
else 2 ^ l + len-kar-bound (l - 1) + 4)
⟨proof⟩
termination
⟨proof⟩

declare len-kar-bound.simps[simp del]

lemma length-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-aux:
assumes k = 2 ^ l
assumes length x = k length y = k
shows length (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k x y) ≤ len-kar-bound l
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma len-kar-bound-le: len-kar-bound l ≤ 6 * 2 ^ l + 2 * karatsuba-lower-bound
⟨proof⟩

```

The following is a pretty crude estimate for the length of the result of our Karatsuba implementation, but it suffices for our purposes.

```

lemma length-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-le:
assumes k = 2 ^ l
assumes length x = k length y = k
shows length (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k x y) ≤ 6 * k + 2 * karatsuba-lower-bound
⟨proof⟩

```

In order to multiply two integers of arbitrary length using Karatsuba multiplication, the input numbers can just be zero-padded.

```

fun karatsuba-mul-nat :: nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf where
karatsuba-mul-nat x y = (let k = next-power-of-2 (max (length x) (length y)) in
karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k (fill k x) (fill k y))

```

We verify the correctness of Karatsuba multiplication:

theorem *karatsuba-mul-nat-correct*: $\text{to-nat} (\text{karatsuba-mul-nat } x \ y) = \text{to-nat } x * \text{to-nat } y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-karatsuba-mul-nat-le*: $\text{length} (\text{karatsuba-mul-nat } x \ y) \leq 12 * \max (\text{length } x) (\text{length } y) + (6 + 2 * \text{karatsuba-lower-bound})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Formally, we only implemented Karatsuba multiplication on natural numbers (not all integers). However, this does not really matter, as the multiplication can just be lifted to the integers. This lifting has already been done on other types, but for the sake of completeness we will just add it here as well:

```
fun karatsuba-mul-int where
  karatsuba-mul-int x y = nat-mul-to-int-mul karatsuba-mul-nat x y

corollary karatsuba-mul-int-correct:
  to-int (karatsuba-mul-int x y) = to-int x * to-int y
  ⟨proof⟩

end
```

12 Running Time of Karatsuba Multiplication

```
theory Karatsuba-TM
  imports Karatsuba ..;/Binary-Representations/Nat-LSBF-TM
    ..;/Estimation-Method
begin
```

This theory contains a time monad version of Karatsuba multiplication, which is used to verify the asymptotic running time of $\mathcal{O}(n^{\log_2 3})$.

```
definition abs-diff-tm :: nat-lsbf  $\Rightarrow$  nat-lsbf  $\Rightarrow$  nat-lsbf tm where
  abs-diff-tm xs ys = 1 do {
    r1  $\leftarrow$  xs  $-_{nt}$  ys;
    r2  $\leftarrow$  ys  $-_{nt}$  xs;
    r1  $+_{nt}$  r2
  }
```

lemma *val-abs-diff-tm*[simp, val-simp]: $\text{val} (\text{abs-diff-tm } xs \ ys) = \text{abs-diff } xs \ ys$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *time-abs-diff-tm-le*: $\text{time} (\text{abs-diff-tm } xs \ ys) \leq 62 * \max (\text{length } xs) (\text{length } ys) + 100$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context *karatsuba-context*

```

begin

definition fill-abs-diff-x where fill-abs-diff-x = fill k-div-2 (abs-diff x0 x1)
definition fill-abs-diff-y where fill-abs-diff-y = fill k-div-2 (abs-diff y0 y1)
definition sgnx where sgnx = (x1 ≤n x0)
definition sgny where sgny = (y1 ≤n y0)
definition sgnxy where sgnxy = (sgnx = sgny)
definition r' where r' = (if sgnxy then add01 -n prod2 else add01 +n prod2)
definition sr where sr = r >>n k-div-2
definition add0sr where add0sr = prod0 +n sr
definition s1 where s1 = prod1 >>n k

lemma r-r': r = r'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas defs3 = fill-abs-diff-x-def fill-abs-diff-y-def sgnx-def sgny-def sgnxy-def r-r'
r'-def sr-def add0sr-def s1-def

end

lemma add-nat-carry-aux:
  assumes length x ≤ k
  assumes length y ≤ k
  assumes length (x +n y) = k + 1
  shows max (length x) (length y) = k Nat-LSBF.to-nat x + Nat-LSBF.to-nat y
  ≥ 2 ^ k
  ⟨proof⟩

context begin

private fun f where
f k = (if k ≤ karatsuba-lower-bound then 2 * k else f (k div 2) + k + 4)

declare f.simps[simp del]

private lemma f-linear: f k ≤ 6 * k
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma f-bound:
  assumes k = 2 ^ l
  assumes length x = k
  assumes length y = k
  shows length (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k x y) ≤ f k
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma length-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length:
  assumes k = 2 ^ l
  assumes length x = k
  assumes length y = k
  shows length (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k x y) ≤ 6 * k
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

function karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat-lsbf  $\Rightarrow$  nat-lsbf  $\Rightarrow$  nat-lsbf
tm where
  karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm k xs ys = 1 do {
    b  $\leftarrow$  k  $\leq_t$  karatsuba-lower-bound;
    (if b then grid-mul-nat-tm xs ys else do {
      ( $x_0, x_1$ )  $\leftarrow$  split-tm xs;
      ( $y_0, y_1$ )  $\leftarrow$  split-tm ys;
       $k\text{-div-}2 \leftarrow k \text{ div}_t 2;$ 
      prod0  $\leftarrow$  karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm  $k\text{-div-}2 x_0 y_0$ ;
      prod1  $\leftarrow$  karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm  $k\text{-div-}2 x_1 y_1$ ;
      abs-diff-x  $\leftarrow$  (abs-diff-tm  $x_0 x_1 \gg=$  fill-tm  $k\text{-div-}2$ );
      abs-diff-y  $\leftarrow$  (abs-diff-tm  $y_0 y_1 \gg=$  fill-tm  $k\text{-div-}2$ );
      prod2  $\leftarrow$  karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm  $k\text{-div-}2 \text{ abs-diff-}x \text{ abs-diff-}y$ ;
      sgnx  $\leftarrow$   $x_1 \leq_{nt} x_0$ ;
      sgny  $\leftarrow$   $y_1 \leq_{nt} y_0$ ;
      sgnxy  $\leftarrow$  sgnx =t sgny;
      — construct return value
      add01  $\leftarrow$  prod0 +nt prod1;
      r  $\leftarrow$  (if sgnxy then add01 -nt prod2 else add01 +nt prod2);
      sr  $\leftarrow$  r >nt  $k\text{-div-}2$ ;
      add0sr  $\leftarrow$  prod0 +nt sr;
      s1  $\leftarrow$  prod1 >>nt k;
      add0sr +nt s1
    })
  }
  <proof>
termination
  <proof>

declare karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm.simps[simp del]

lemma val-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm[simp, val-simp]:
  assumes k = 2  $\wedge$  l
  assumes length xs = k length ys = k
  shows val (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm k xs ys) = karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length
k xs ys
<proof>

fun h where
  h k = (if k  $\leq$  karatsuba-lower-bound then 2 * k + 8 * k * k + 3
else 407 + 224 * k + 3 * h (k div 2))
declare h.simps[simp del]

lemma time-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm-le-h:
  assumes k = 2  $\wedge$  l
  assumes length xs = k length ys = k

```

```

shows time (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm k xs ys) ≤ h k
⟨proof⟩

lemma n-div-2: n div 2 = nat ⌊ real n / 2 ⌋
⟨proof⟩

function h-real :: nat ⇒ real where
x ≤ karatsuba-lower-bound ⇒ h-real x = 8 * x * x + 2 * x + 3
| x > karatsuba-lower-bound ⇒ h-real x = 407 + 224 * x + 3 * h-real (nat (⌊ real
x / 2 ⌋))
⟨proof⟩
termination
⟨proof⟩

lemma h-h-real: real (h k) = h-real k
⟨proof⟩

lemma h-real-bigo: h-real ∈ O(λn. real n powr log 2 3)
⟨proof⟩

definition karatsuba-mul-nat-tm :: nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf ⇒ nat-lsbf tm where
karatsuba-mul-nat-tm xs ys = 1 do {
    lenx ← length-tm xs;
    leny ← length-tm ys;
    k ← max-nat-tm lenx leny ≫ next-power-of-2-tm;
    fillx ← fill-tm k xs;
    filly ← fill-tm k ys;
    karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm k fillx filly
}

lemma val-karatsuba-mul-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (karatsuba-mul-nat-tm xs ys)
= karatsuba-mul-nat xs ys
⟨proof⟩

definition time-karatsuba-mul-nat-bound where
time-karatsuba-mul-nat-bound m = 53 + 218 * (next-power-of-2 m) + h (next-power-of-2
m)

The following two lemmas are one way to formally express the more informal statement "Karatsuba Multiplication needs  $\mathcal{O}(n^{\log_2 3})$  bit operations for input numbers of length  $n$ ".

theorem time-karatsuba-mul-nat-tm-le:
assumes max (length xs) (length ys) = m
shows time (karatsuba-mul-nat-tm xs ys) ≤ time-karatsuba-mul-nat-bound m
⟨proof⟩

theorem time-karatsuba-mul-nat-bound-bigo: time-karatsuba-mul-nat-bound ∈ O(λm.
m powr log 2 3)
⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

13 Code Generation

```
theory Karatsuba-Code-Nat
  imports Main HOL-Library.Code-Binary-Nat Karatsuba
begin
```

In this theory, the Karatsuba Multiplication implemented in *Karatsuba* is used for code generation. This is not really practical (except beginning at 3000 decimal digits), but merely a nice gimmick.

```
fun from-numeral :: num ⇒ nat-lsbf where
  from-numeral num.One = [True]
  | from-numeral (num.Bit0 x) = False # from-numeral x
  | from-numeral (num.Bit1 x) = True # from-numeral x

lemma from-numeral-nonempty: from-numeral x ≠ []
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma from-numeral-truncated: truncated (from-numeral x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma to-nat-from-numeral-neq-zero: to-nat (from-numeral x) ≠ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

fun to-numeral-of-truncated :: nat-lsbf ⇒ num where
  to-numeral-of-truncated [] = num.One
  | to-numeral-of-truncated [True] = num.One
  | to-numeral-of-truncated (True # xs) = num.Bit1 (to-numeral-of-truncated xs)
  | to-numeral-of-truncated (False # xs) = num.Bit0 (to-numeral-of-truncated xs)

lemma to-numeral-of-truncated-from-numeral:
  to-numeral-of-truncated (from-numeral x) = x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-of-num-to-numeral-of-truncated:
  assumes truncated xs
  assumes xs ≠ []
  shows nat-of-num (to-numeral-of-truncated xs) = to-nat xs
  ⟨proof⟩

definition to-numeral :: nat-lsbf ⇒ num where
  to-numeral xs = (let xs' = Nat-LSBF.truncate xs in to-numeral-of-truncated xs')
```

```

lemma nat-of-num-to-numeral:
  assumes to-nat xs ≠ 0
  shows nat-of-num (to-numeral xs) = to-nat xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma l0:
  assumes truncated xs
  shows to-numeral-of-truncated xs = num-of-nat (to-nat xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma l1: to-numeral xs = num-of-nat (to-nat xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma l2: to-nat (from-numeral x) = nat-of-num x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma[code]:
  (x::num) * y = to-numeral (karatsuba-mul-nat (from-numeral x) (from-numeral
y))
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

References

- [1] A. Karatsuba and Y. Ofman. Multiplication of many-digital numbers by automatic computers. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 145:293–294, 1962. <http://mi.mathnet.ru/dan26729>.
- [2] T. Nipkow. Verified root-balanced trees. In B.-Y. E. Chang, editor, *Asian Symposium on Programming Languages and Systems, APLAS 2017*, volume 10695 of *LNCS*, pages 255–272. Springer, 2017. <https://www21.in.tum.de/~nipkow/pubs/aplas17.pdf>.