Karatsuba Multiplication for Integers

Jakob Schulz, Emin Karayel

March 17, 2025

Abstract

We give a verified implementation of the Karatsuba Multiplication on Integers [1] as well as verified runtime bounds. Integers are represented as LSBF (least significant bit first) boolean lists, on which the algorithm by Karatsuba [1] is implemented. The running time of $O(n^{\log_2 3})$ is verified using the Time Monad defined in [2].

Contents

1	Pre	liminaries	3		
2	Aux	kiliary Sum Lemmas	9		
	2.1	semiring-1 Sums	11		
		2.1.1 Power Sums	13		
	2.2	nat Sums	16		
3	Sums in Monoids				
	3.1	Kronecker delta	25		
	3.2	Power sums	26		
		3.2.1 Algebraic operations	28		
	3.3	monoid-sum-list in the context residues	30		
4	The	e estimation tactic	30		
5	Son	ne Automation for Root-Balanced-Tree.Time-Monad	31		
6	Rur	nning Time Formalization for some functions available in			
Ū	Mai	_	32		
	6.1	Functions on <i>bool</i>	32		
		6.1.1 Not	32		
		6.1.2 disj / conj	32		
		6.1.3 equal	32		
	6.2	Functions involving pairs	33		
	0.2	$6.2.1 fst \ / \ snd \ \dots \ $	33		

	6.3	Functions on <i>nat</i>
		$6.3.1 (+) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 33$
		6.3.2 (*)
		$6.3.3 (\widehat{}) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 34$
		$6.3.4 (-) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 35$
		$6.3.5 (<) / (\leq) \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots 35$
		$6.3.6 (=) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 36$
		$6.3.7 max \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 37$
		6.3.8 $(div) / (mod) \dots 37$
		$6.3.9 (dvd) \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots $
		6.3.10 even / odd 40
	6.4	List functions
		$6.4.1 take \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 40$
		$6.4.2 drop \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 41$
		6.4.3 (@)
		$6.4.4$ fold \ldots 41
		$6.4.5 rev \ldots 42$
		6.4.6 <i>replicate</i>
		$6.4.7$ length \ldots 42
		6.4.8 <i>List.null</i>
		6.4.9 <i>butlast</i>
		6.4.10 map
		$6.4.11 \ foldl$
		6.4.12 concat
		6.4.13 (!)
		$6.4.14 zip \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 45$
		$6.4.15 map2 \ldots 46$
		$6.4.16 upt \qquad \qquad$
	6.5	Syntactic sugar
7	Rep	presentations 48
	7.1	Abstract Representations
	7.2	Abstract Representations 2
8	Ren	presenting <i>nat</i> in LSBF 53
	8.1	Type definition
	8.2	Conversions
	8.3	Truncating and filling
	8.4	Right-shifts
	8.5	Subdividing lists
	0.0	8.5.1 Splitting a list in two blocks
		8.5.2 Splitting a list in multiple blocks
	8.6	The <i>bitsize</i> function
	0.0	8.6.1 The <i>next-power-of-2</i> function

	8.7	Addition	74
		8.7.1 Increment operation	75
		8.7.2 Addition with a carry bit	77
		8.7.3 Addition	83
	8.8	Comparison and subtraction	84
		8.8.1 Comparison	84
		8.8.2 Subtraction	86
	8.9	(Grid) Multiplication	89
	8.10	Syntax bundles	90
9	Run	ning time of Nat-LSBF	95
	9.1	Truncating and filling	95
	9.2	Right-shifts	96
	9.3	Subdividing lists	96
		9.3.1 Splitting a list in two blocks	96
		9.3.2 Splitting a list in multiple blocks	97
	9.4	The <i>bitsize</i> function	98
		9.4.1 The <i>is-power-of-2</i> function $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	99
	9.5	Addition	
	9.6	Comparison and subtraction	
	9.7	(Grid) Multiplication	
	9.8	Syntax bundles	106
10		5	107
		Type definition	
		Conversions	
	10.3	Addition	108
	10.4	Grid Multiplication	109
11	Kar	atsuba Multiplication	109
12	Run	ning Time of Karatsuba Multiplication	119
13	Cod	e Generation	131

1 Preliminaries

Some general preliminaries.

theory Karatsuba-Preliminaries imports Main Expander-Graphs.Extra-Congruence-Method HOL–Number-Theory.Residues begin

shows P (if Q then R else S) using assms by argo **lemma** *let-prop-cong*: assumes T = T'assumes P(f T)(f' T')shows P (let x = T in f x) (let x = T' in f' x) using assms by simp **lemma** *set-subseteqD*: **assumes** set $xs \subseteq A$ shows $\bigwedge i$. $i < length xs \implies xs ! i \in A$ using assms by fastforce **lemma** *set-subseteqI*: assumes $\bigwedge i$. $i < length xs \implies xs ! i \in A$ **shows** set $xs \subseteq A$ using assms by (metis in-set-conv-nth subsetI) **lemma** Nat-max-le-sum: max (a :: nat) $b \leq a + b$ by simp **lemma** *upt-add-eq-append'*: **assumes** $a \leq b \ b \leq c$ shows [a..< c] = [a..< b] @ [b..< c]using assms upt-add-eq-append of a b c - b by auto lemma map-add-const-upt: map (λj . j + c) [a..<b] = [a + c..<b + c]**proof** (cases a < b) case True then have map $(\lambda j. j + c) [a.. < b] = map (\lambda j. j + c) (map (\lambda j. j + a) [0.. < b-a])$ using map-add-upt[of $a \ b - a$] by simp also have ... = map $(\lambda j. j + (a + c)) [\theta... < b-a]$ by simp also have ... = [a + c ... < b + c]using map-add-upt[of a + c b - a] True by simp finally show ?thesis . \mathbf{next} case False then show ?thesis by simp qed **lemma** filter-even-upt-even: filter even [0..<2*n] = map((*) 2) [0..<n]by $(induction \ n)$ simp-all **lemma** filter-even-upt-odd: filter even [0..<2*n+1] = map((*) 2) [0..<n+1]

lemma filter-odd-upt-even: filter odd $[0..<2*n] = map (\lambda i. 2*i + 1) [0..<n]$

by (simp add: filter-even-upt-even)

by (induction n) simp-all **lemma** filter-odd-upt-odd: filter odd $[0..<2*n+1] = map (\lambda i. 2*i+1) [0..<n]$ **by** (*simp add: filter-odd-upt-even*) **lemma** length-filter-even: length (filter even [0...< n]) = (if even n then n div 2 else $n \, div \, 2 \, + \, 1)$ by (induction n) simp-all **lemma** length-filter-odd: length (filter odd [0..< n]) = n div 2 by (induction n) simp-all **lemma** *filter-even-nth*: assumes i < length (filter even [0..< n]) shows filter even [0..< n] ! i = 2 * i**proof** (cases even n) case True then obtain n' where n = 2 * n' by blast then show ?thesis using filter-even-upt-even[of n'] assms by auto next case False then obtain n' where n = 2 * n' + 1 using oddE by blastshow ?thesis using assms **apply** (simp only: $\langle n = 2 * n' + 1 \rangle$ filter-even-upt-odd length-map nth-map) apply (intro arg-cong[where f = (*) 2]) **by** (*metis add-0 diff-zero length-upt nth-upt*) qed **lemma** *filter-odd-nth*: assumes i < length (filter odd [0..< n]) shows filter odd [0..< n] ! i = 2 * i + 1**proof** (cases even n) case True then obtain n' where n = 2 * n' by blast then show ?thesis using filter-odd-upt-even assms by auto \mathbf{next} case False then obtain n' where n = 2 * n' + 1 using oddE by blast then show ?thesis using assms **by** (*simp only: filter-odd-upt-odd length-map*) $(simp \ add: \langle n = 2 * n' + 1 \rangle \ length-filter-odd)$ qed fun sublist where sublist 0 n xs = take n xssublist (Suc m) (Suc n) (a # xs) = sublist m n xs

| sublist (Suc m) (Suc n) (a # xs) = sublist m| sublist (Suc m) 0 xs = []| sublist (Suc m) (Suc n) [] = [] **lemma** length-sublist[simp]: length (sublist m n xs) = card ($\{m..< n\} \cap \{0..< length xs\}$)

by (*induction* m n xs rule: *sublist.induct*) simp-all

lemma length-sublist': **assumes** $m \le n$ **assumes** $n \le length xs$ **shows** length (sublist m n xs) = n - m**using** assms by simp

lemma nth-sublist: **assumes** $m \le n$ **assumes** $n \le length xs$ **assumes** i < n - m **shows** sublist m n xs ! i = xs ! (m + i) **using** assms**by** (induction m n xs arbitrary: i rule: sublist.induct) simp-all

lemma filter-map-map2: assumes length b = m

assumes length c = mshows $[f (b!i) (c!i). i \leftarrow [0..<m]] = map2 f b c$ using assms by (intro nth-equalityI) simp-all

```
fun map3 where
```

 $\begin{array}{l} map \ 3 \ f \ (x \ \# \ xs) \ (y \ \# \ ys) \ (z \ \# \ zs) = f \ x \ y \ z \ \# \ map \ 3 \ f \ xs \ ys \ zs \\ | \ map \ 3 \ f \ - \ - \ = \ [] \end{array}$

lemma map3-as-map: map3 f xs ys zs = map $(\lambda((x, y), z), f x y z)$ (zip (zip xs ys) zs)

by (*induction* f xs ys zs rule: map3.*induct*; simp)

lemma filter-map-map3: **assumes** length b = m **assumes** length c = m **shows** $[f (b!i) (c!i) i. i \leftarrow [0..<m] = map3 f b c [0..<m]$ **using** assms **apply** (intro nth-equalityI) **unfolding** map3-as-map **by** simp-all

fun map4 where map4 f (x # xs) (y # ys) (z # zs) (w # ws) = f x y z w # map4 f xs ys zs ws | map4 f - - - = []

lemma map4-as-map: map4 f xs ys zs ws = map $(\lambda(((x,y),z),w))$. f x y z w) (zip (zip (zip xs ys) zs) ws) by (induction f xs ys zs ws rule: map4.induct; simp)

lemma *nth-map2*:

assumes i < length xs**assumes** i < length ysshows map2 f xs ys ! i = f (xs ! i) (ys ! i)using assms by simp **lemma** *nth-map3*: **assumes** i < length xs**assumes** i < length ysassumes i < length zsshows map3 f xs ys zs ! i = f(xs ! i)(ys ! i)(zs ! i)using assms unfolding map3-as-map by simp **lemma** *nth-map4*: assumes i < length xs**assumes** i < length ys**assumes** i < length zs**assumes** i < length wsshows map4 f xs ys zs ws ! i = f(xs ! i)(ys ! i)(zs ! i)(ws ! i)using assms unfolding map4-as-map by simp **lemma** *nth-map4* ': assumes i < lassumes length xs = l**assumes** length ys = lassumes length zs = l**assumes** length ws = lshows map4 f xs ys zs ws ! i = f(xs ! i)(ys ! i)(zs ! i)(ws ! i)using assms unfolding map4-as-map by simp **lemma** map2-of-map-r: map2 f xs (map q ys) = map2 (λx y. f x (q y)) xs ys by (intro nth-equalityI) simp-all **lemma** map2-of-map-l: map2 f (map g xs) ys = map2 ($\lambda x y$. f (g x) y) xs ys by (intro nth-equalityI) simp-all **lemma** map2-of-map2-r: map2 f xs (map2 g ys zs) = map3 ($\lambda x y z$. f x (g y z)) xs ys zs unfolding map3-as-map by (intro nth-equalityI) simp-all **lemma** map-of-map3: map f (map3 g xs ys zs) = map3 ($\lambda x y z$. f (g x y z)) xs ys zsunfolding map3-as-map by (intro nth-equalityI) simp-all **lemma** cyclic-index-lemma: fixes n :: natassumes $\sigma < n \ \rho < n \ i < n$ **shows** $(\sigma + \varrho) \mod n = i \iff \varrho = (n + i - \sigma) \mod n$ proof assume $(\sigma + \rho) \mod n = i$ then have $(int \ \sigma + int \ \varrho) \mod (int \ n) = int \ i$ using *zmod-int* by *fastforce* also have $\dots = (int \ n + int \ i) \mod int \ n$ using $\langle i < n \rangle$ by *auto* finally have $(int \sigma + int \rho - int \sigma) \mod (int n) = (int n + int i - int \sigma) \mod$ int n

using mod-diff-cong by blast then have $(int \ \varrho) \mod (int \ n) = (int \ n + int \ i - int \ \sigma) \mod (int \ n)$ by simp also have $\dots = (int (n + i - \sigma)) \mod (int n)$ using assms by (simp add: int-ops(6))finally show $\rho = (n + i - \sigma) \mod n$ using zmod-int assms by (metis mod-less of-nat-eq-iff) \mathbf{next} **assume** $\varrho = (n + i - \sigma) \mod n$ then have $(\sigma + \varrho) \mod n = (\sigma + (n + i - \sigma)) \mod n$ by presburger also have $\dots = (n + i) \mod n$ using assms by simp also have $\dots = i$ using assms by simp finally show $(\sigma + \rho) \mod n = i$. qed **lemma** (in residues) residues-minus-eq: $x \ominus_R y = (x - y) \mod m$ proof – have $x \ominus_R y = x \oplus_R (\ominus_R y)$ using a-minus-def by fast also have $\ominus_R y = (-y) \mod m$ using res-neg-eq[of y]. also have $x \oplus_R ((-y) \mod m) = (x + ((-y) \mod m)) \mod m$ **by** (simp add: *R*-m-def residue-ring-def) also have $\dots = (x - y) \mod m$ **by** (*simp add: mod-add-right-eq*) finally show ?thesis . qed **lemma** residue-ring-carrier-eq: $\{0..(n::int) - 1\} = \{0..< n\}$ by *auto* context ring begin fun *nat-embedding* :: *nat* \Rightarrow 'a where nat-embedding 0 = 0| nat-embedding (Suc n) = nat-embedding $n \oplus \mathbf{1}$ fun *int-embedding* :: *int* \Rightarrow 'a where int-embedding $n = (if n \ge 0 \text{ then nat-embedding (nat n) else} \ominus nat-embedding (nat n)$ (-n)))**lemma** *nat-embedding-closed*[*simp*]: *nat-embedding* $x \in carrier R$ by (induction x)(simp-all)

lemma int-embedding-closed[simp]: int-embedding $x \in carrier R$ by simp **lemma** nat-embedding-a-hom: nat-embedding (x + y) = nat-embedding $x \oplus nat$ -embedding y **apply** (induction x arbitrary: y) **using** a-comm a-assoc **by** simp-all **lemma** nat-embedding-m-hom: nat-embedding (x * y) = nat-embedding $x \otimes nat$ -embedding y **apply** (induction x arbitrary: y) **by** (simp-all add: nat-embedding-a-hom l-distr a-comm) **lemma** nat-embedding-exp-hom: nat-embedding $(x \uparrow y) = nat$ -embedding $x [\uparrow] y$ **apply** (induction y) **by** (simp-all add: nat-embedding-m-hom group-commutes-pow) **lemma** int-embedding-neg-hom: int-embedding $(-x) = \ominus$ int-embedding x **by** simp

 \mathbf{end}

lemma *int-exp-hom*: *int* $x \cap i = int (x \cap i)$ by *simp*

end

2 Auxiliary Sum Lemmas

theory Karatsuba-Sum-Lemmas

 ${\bf imports} \ {\it Karatsuba-Preliminaries} \ {\it Expander-Graphs. Extra-Congruence-Method} \\ {\bf begin}$

lemma sum-list-eq: $(\bigwedge x. x \in set \ xs \implies f \ x = g \ x) \implies sum-list \ (map \ f \ xs) = sum-list \ (map \ g \ xs)$ **by** $(rule \ arg-cong[OF \ list.map-cong0])$

lemma sum-list-split-0: $(\sum i \leftarrow [0..<Suc \ n]. f \ i) = f \ 0 + (\sum i \leftarrow [1..<Suc \ n]. f$ i) using upt-eq-Cons-conv proof have [0..<Suc n] = 0 # [1..<Suc n] using upt-eq-Cons-conv by auto then show ?thesis by simp qed **lemma** sum-list-index-trafo: $(\sum i \leftarrow xs. f(g i)) = (\sum i \leftarrow map g xs. f i)$ by (induction xs) simp-all **lemma** sum-list-index-shift: $(\sum i \leftarrow [a.. < b]. f(i + c)) = (\sum i \leftarrow [a+c.. < b+c]. f$ i) proof have $(\sum i \leftarrow [a.. < b]$. $f(i + c)) = (\sum i \leftarrow (map (\lambda j. j + c) [a.. < b])$. fi)**by** (*intro sum-list-index-trafo*) also have map $(\lambda j. j + c) [a.. < b] = [a+c.. < b+c]$ using map-add-const-upt by simp finally show ?thesis . qed

lemma list-sum-index-shift: $n = j - k \implies (\sum i \leftarrow [k+1..< j+1]. f i) = (\sum i \leftarrow [k..< j]. f (i + 1))$

using sum-list-index-trafo[where $g = \lambda l$. l + 1 and xs = [k..<j] and f = f, symmetric]

using map-Suc-upt by simp

lemma list-sum-index-shift': $(\sum i \leftarrow [0..<m]. a (i + c)) = (\sum i \leftarrow [c..<m+c]. a$ i) **by** (induction m arbitrary: a c) auto **lemma** list-sum-index-concat: $(\sum i \leftarrow [0..<m]. a i) + (\sum i \leftarrow [m..<m+c]. a i)$ $= (\sum i \leftarrow [0..<m+c]. a i)$ **proof** – **have** $(\sum i \leftarrow [0..<m+c]. a i) = (\sum i \leftarrow [0..<m] @ [m..<m+c]. a i)$ **using** upt-add-eq-append[of 0 m c] by simp **then show** ?thesis **using** sum-list-append by simp **qed**

lemma sum-list-linear: **assumes** $\bigwedge a \ b. \ f \ (a + b) = f \ a + f \ b$ **assumes** $f \ 0 = 0$ **shows** $f \ (\sum i \leftarrow xs. \ g \ i) = (\sum i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ (g \ i))$ **using** assms **by** (induction xs) simp-all **lemma** sum-list-int: **shows** int $(\sum i \leftarrow xs. \ g \ i) = (\sum i \leftarrow xs. \ int \ (g \ i))$ **by** (intro sum-list-linear int-ops(5) int-ops(1))

lemma sum-list-split-Suc: **assumes** n = Suc n' **shows** $(\sum i \leftarrow [0..<n]$. $f i) = (\sum i \leftarrow [0..<n']$. f i) + f n'**using** assms by simp

lemma sum-list-estimation-leq: **assumes** $\bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \implies f \ i \leq B$ **shows** $(\sum i \leftarrow xs. f \ i) \leq length \ xs * B$ **using** assms by (induction xs)(simp, fastforce)

lemma sum-list-estimation-le: **assumes** $\bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \implies f \ i < B$ **assumes** $xs \neq []$ **shows** $(\sum i \leftarrow xs. f \ i) < length \ xs * B$ **proof from** $\langle xs \neq [] \rangle$ **have** $length \ xs > 0$ **by** simp **from** $\langle xs \neq [] \rangle$ **obtain** x **where** $x \in set \ xs$ **by** fastforce **then have** B > 0 **using** assms(1) **by** fastforce **then obtain** B' **where** $B = Suc \ B'$ **using** not0-implies-Suc **by** blast **with** assms(1) **have** $\bigwedge i. \ i \in set \ xs \implies f \ i \leq B'$ **by** fastforce with sum-list-estimation-leq have $(\sum i \leftarrow xs. f i) \leq length xs * B'$ by blast also have ... < length xs * B using $\langle B = Suc B' \rangle \langle length xs > 0 \rangle$ by simp finally show ?thesis.

 \mathbf{qed}

2.1 semiring-1 Sums

lemma (in semiring-1) of-bool-mult: of-bool x * a = (if x then a else 0)by simp

lemma (in semiring-1-cancel) of-bool-disj: of-bool $(x \lor y) = of-bool x + of-bool y$ - of-bool x * of-bool yby simp **lemma** (in semiring-1) of-bool-disj-excl: \neg (x \land y) \Longrightarrow of-bool (x \lor y) = of-bool x + of-bool yby simp **lemma** (in *semiring-1*) of-bool-var-swap: $(\sum i \leftarrow xs. of-bool \ (i = j) * f \ i) = (\sum i \leftarrow xs. of-bool \ (i = j) * f \ j)$ **by** (*induction xs*) *simp-all* lemma $(\sum i \leftarrow xs. of-bool (i = j) * f i) = count-list xs j * f j$ by (induction xs) simp-all **lemma** (in semiring-1) of-bool-distinct: distinct $xs \implies (\sum i \leftarrow xs. of-bool \ (i = j) * f \ i \ j) = of-bool \ (j \in set \ xs) * f \ j \ j$ **by** (*induction xs*) *auto* **lemma** (in *semiring-1*) of-bool-distinct-in: distinct $xs \Longrightarrow j \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow (\sum i \leftarrow xs. \ of-bool \ (i = j) * f \ i \ j) = f \ j \ j$ using of-bool-distinct [of xs j f] of-bool-mult by simp **lemma** (in *linordered-semiring-1*) of-bool-sum-leq-1: **assumes** distinct xs **assumes** $\bigwedge i j. i \in set xs \Longrightarrow j \in set xs \Longrightarrow P i \Longrightarrow P j \Longrightarrow i = j$ shows $(\sum l \leftarrow xs. of-bool (P l)) \leq 1$ using assms **proof** (*induction xs*) case Nil then show ?case by simp \mathbf{next} **case** (Cons a xs) **consider** $P a \mid \neg P a$ **by** blast then show ?case proof cases case 1 then have $r: (\sum l \leftarrow a \ \# \ xs. \ of \ bool \ (P \ l)) = 1 + (\sum l \leftarrow xs. \ of \ bool \ (P \ l))$ by simp have of-bool $(P \ l) = 0$ if $l \in set xs$ for lproof from that have $a \neq l$ using Cons by auto then have $\neg P l$ using Cons $\langle l \in set xs \rangle$ 1 by force

then show of-bool $(P \ l) = 0$ by simp qed then have $(\sum l \leftarrow xs. of-bool (P l)) = (\sum l \leftarrow xs. \theta)$ using *list.map-cong0* [of xs] by metis then show ?thesis using r by simp next case 2then have $(\sum l \leftarrow a \# xs. of-bool (P l)) = (\sum l \leftarrow xs. of-bool (P l))$ by simp then show ?thesis using Cons by simp qed qed instantiation nat :: linordered-semiring-1 begin instance .. end

lemma (in semiring-1) sum-list-mult-sum-list: $(\sum i \leftarrow xs. f i) * (\sum j \leftarrow ys. g j) = (\sum i \leftarrow xs. \sum j \leftarrow ys. f i * g j)$ by (simp add: sum-list-const-mult sum-list-mult-const)

lemma (in semiring-1) semiring-1-sum-list-eq: $(\bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \implies f \ i = g \ i) \implies (\sum i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i) = (\sum i \leftarrow xs. \ g \ i)$ using $arg-cong[OF \ list.map-cong0]$ by blast

lemma (in semiring-1) sum-swap: $(\sum i \leftarrow xs. (\sum j \leftarrow ys. f i j)) = (\sum j \leftarrow ys. (\sum i \leftarrow xs. f i j))$ **proof** (induction xs) **case** (Cons a xs) **have** ($\sum i \leftarrow (a \# xs)$. ($\sum j \leftarrow ys. f i j$)) = ($\sum j \leftarrow ys. f a j$) + ($\sum i \leftarrow xs.$ ($\sum j \leftarrow ys. f i j$)) **by** simp **also have** ... = ($\sum j \leftarrow ys. f a j$) + ($\sum j \leftarrow ys. (\sum i \leftarrow xs. f i j$)) **using** Cons **by** simp **also have** ... = ($\sum j \leftarrow ys. f a j + (\sum i \leftarrow xs. f i j$)) **using** sum-list-addf[of $\lambda j. f a j - ys$] **by** simp **also have** ... = ($\sum j \leftarrow ys. (\sum i \leftarrow (a \# xs). f i j)$) **by** simp **finally show** ?case . **qed** simp

lemma (in semiring-1) sum-append': **assumes** zs = xs @ ys **shows** $(\sum i \leftarrow zs. f i) = (\sum i \leftarrow xs. f i) + (\sum i \leftarrow ys. f i)$ **using** assms sum-append by blast

2.1.1 Power Sums

lemma (in semiring-1) sum-list-of-bool-filter: $(\sum i \leftarrow xs. of-bool (P i) * f i) = (\sum i \leftarrow filter P xs. f i)$ by (induction xs; simp)

lemma upt-filter-less: filter (λi . i < c) [a..<b] = [a..<min b c] by (induction b; simp)

lemma upt-filter-geq: filter (λi . $i \ge c$) $[a..<b] = [max \ a \ c..<b]$ by (induction b; simp)

lemma (in semiring-1) sum-list-of-bool-less: $(\sum i \leftarrow [a.. < b])$. of-bool (i < c) * f i) = $(\sum i \leftarrow [a.. < min \ b \ c]$. f i) unfolding sum-list-of-bool-filter upt-filter-less by (rule refl)

lemma (in semiring-1) sum-list-of-bool-geq: $(\sum i \leftarrow [a.. < b]$. of-bool $(i \ge c) * f i)$ = $(\sum i \leftarrow [max \ a \ c.. < b]$. f i)unfolding sum-list-of-bool-filter upt-filter-geq by (rule refl)

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma (in semiring-1) sum-list-of-bool-range: } (\sum i \leftarrow [a..<b]. of-bool (i \in set [c..<d]) * f i) = \\ (\sum i \leftarrow [max \ a \ c..<min \ b \ d]. f i) \\ \textbf{proof } - \\ \textbf{have } (\sum i \leftarrow [a..<b]. \ of-bool \ (i \in set \ [c..<d]) * f i) = \\ (\sum i \leftarrow [a..<b]. \ of-bool \ (i \geq c) * \ (of-bool \ (i < d) * f i)) \\ \textbf{by (intro semiring-1-sum-list-eq; simp)} \\ \textbf{then show ?thesis unfolding sum-list-of-bool-geq sum-list-of-bool-less .} \\ \textbf{qed} \end{array}$

lemma (in comm-semiring-1) cauchy-product: $(\sum i \leftarrow [\mathit{\theta}..{<}n].\;f\;i) * (\sum j \leftarrow [\mathit{\theta}..{<}m].\;g\;j) =$ $(\sum k \leftarrow [0..< n+m-1]. \sum l \leftarrow [k+1-m..< min (k+1) n]. f l * g (k-1) = 0$ l))proof have $(\sum i \leftarrow [0.. < n]. f i) * (\sum j \leftarrow [0.. < m]. g j) =$ $(\sum i \leftarrow [\theta ... < n]. \sum j \leftarrow [\theta ... < m]. f i * g j)$ **unfolding** *sum-list-mult-const*[*symmetric*] **unfolding** *sum-list-const-mult*[*symmetric*] by (rule refl) also have ... = $(\sum i \leftarrow [0..< n])$. $\sum j \leftarrow [0..< m]$. $\sum k \leftarrow [0..< n + m - 1]$. of-bool (k = i + j) * (f i * g j))by (intro semiring-1-sum-list-eq of-bool-distinct-in[symmetric]; simp) also have ... = $(\sum k \leftarrow [0.. < n + m - 1])$. $\sum i \leftarrow [0.. < n]$. $\sum j \leftarrow [0.. < m]$. of-bool (k = i + j) * (f i * g j))unfolding sum-swap[where xs = [0..< m] and ys = [0..< n + m - 1]] unfolding sum-swap[where xs = [0..< n] and ys = [0..< n + m - 1]] by (rule refl) also have ... = $(\sum k \leftarrow [0.. < n + m - 1])$. $\sum i \leftarrow [0.. < n]$. $\sum j \leftarrow [0.. < m]$. of-bool $(k \ge i \land j = k - i) * (f i * g j))$

by (*intro semiring-1-sum-list-eq*; *simp*)

also have ... = $(\sum k \leftarrow [0.. < n + m - 1])$. $\sum i \leftarrow [0.. < n]$. $\sum j \leftarrow [0.. < m]$. of-bool $(j = k - i) * (of-bool (k \ge i) * (f i * g j)))$ **by** (*intro semiring-1-sum-list-eq*; *simp*) also have ... = $(\sum k \leftarrow [0.. < n + m - 1])$. $\sum i \leftarrow [0.. < n]$. of bool $(k - i \in set$ $[0..< m]) * ((of-bool \ (k \ge i) * (f \ i * g \ (k - i)))))$ **by** (*intro semiring-1-sum-list-eq of-bool-distinct distinct-upt*) also have $\dots = (\sum k \leftarrow [0..< n + m - 1])$. $\sum i \leftarrow [0..< n]$. of bool $(i \ge k + 1 - 1)$ m) * ((of-bool (k + 1 > i) * (f i * g (k - i)))))**by** (*intro semiring-1-sum-list-eq*; *auto*) also have ... = $(\sum k \leftarrow [0..< n + m - 1])$. $\sum l \leftarrow [k + 1 - m..< min (k + 1)]$ [n]. f l * g (k - l))**apply** (*intro semiring-1-sum-list-eq*) **unfolding** *sum-list-of-bool-geq sum-list-of-bool-less max-0L min.commute*[*of n*] by (rule refl) finally show ?thesis . qed **lemma** (in comm-semiring-1) power-sum-product: assumes $m > \theta$ assumes $n \ge m$ shows $(\sum i \leftarrow [0..< n]. \ f \ i \ \ast \ x \ \widehat{} i) \ \ast \ (\sum j \leftarrow [0..< m]. \ g \ j \ \ast \ x \ \widehat{} j) =$ $\begin{array}{l} \sum k \leftarrow [0..<m] \cdot \sum i \leftarrow [0..<Suc \ k] \cdot f \ i \ast g \ (k-i)) \ast x \ \widehat{} k) + \\ (\sum k \leftarrow [m..<n] \cdot (\sum i \leftarrow [Suc \ k-m..<Suc \ k] \cdot f \ i \ast g \ (k-i)) \ast x \ \widehat{} k) + \\ (\sum k \leftarrow [n..<n+m-1] \cdot (\sum i \leftarrow [Suc \ k-m..<n] \cdot f \ i \ast g \ (k-i)) \ast x \ \widehat{} k) \end{array}$ proof – have 1: [0..< n + m - 1] = [0..< m] @ [m..< n] @ [n..< n + m - 1]using upt-add-eq-append'[of 0 m n + m - 1] upt-add-eq-append'[of m n n + m - 1] m-1 assms by simp $\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{have} \ (\sum i \leftarrow [0..< n]. \ f \ i \ast x \ \widehat{} i) \ast (\sum j \leftarrow [0..< m]. \ g \ j \ast x \ \widehat{} j) = \\ (\sum k \leftarrow [0..< n \ + \ m \ - \ 1]. \ \sum l \leftarrow [k \ + \ 1 \ - \ m..< min \ (k \ + \ 1) \ n]. \ (f \ l \ast x \ \widehat{}) \end{array}$ l) * (g (k - l) * x (k - l)))**by** (*rule cauchy-product*) also have ... = $(\sum k \leftarrow [0.. < n + m - 1])$. $\sum l \leftarrow [k + 1 - m]$. (k + 1)n]. $f l * g (k - l) * x \land k$) **apply** (*intro semiring-1-sum-list-eq*) using mult.commute mult.assoc power-add[symmetric] by simp also have ... = $(\sum k \leftarrow [0..< n + m - 1])$. $(\sum l \leftarrow [k + 1 - m..< min (k + 1)])$ $n]. f l * g (k - l)) * x \land k)$ **by** (*intro semiring-1-sum-list-eq sum-list-mult-const*) also have ... = $(\sum k \leftarrow [0.. < m])$. $(\sum i \leftarrow [k + 1 - m] + m]$. (k + 1) n. fi * g(k + 1)(-i)) * x (k) + $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\sum_{i \leftarrow i} k \leftarrow [m.. < n]) \cdot (\sum_{i \leftarrow i} k + 1 - m.. < min(k + 1)n] \cdot fi * g(k - i)) * x$ k) + $(\sum k \leftarrow [n.. < n + m - 1])$. $(\sum i \leftarrow [k + 1 - m.. < min (k + 1) n])$. f i * g (k - n) $i)) * x \hat{k})$

unfolding 1 sum-append add.assoc **by** (rule refl) **also have** ... = $(\sum k \leftarrow [0.. < m]. (\sum i \leftarrow [0.. < Suc k]. f i * g (k - i)) * x \land k) + (\sum k \leftarrow [m.. < n]. (\sum i \leftarrow [Suc k - m.. < Suc k]. f i * g (k - i)) * x \land k) + (\sum k \leftarrow [n.. < n + m - 1]. (\sum i \leftarrow [Suc k - m.. < n]. f i * g (k - i)) * x \land k)$ **using** assms **by** (intro-cong [cong-tag-2 (+)] more: semiring-1-sum-list-eq; simp) finally show ?thesis. **qed**

lemma (in comm-semiring-1) power-sum-product-same-length: assumes $n > \theta$ shows $(\sum i \leftarrow [0.. < n]. f i * x \cap i) * (\sum j \leftarrow [0.. < n]. g j * x \cap j) =$ $\begin{array}{l} (\sum k \leftarrow [\overrightarrow{0..} < n]. \ (\sum i \leftarrow [\overrightarrow{0..} < Suc \ k]. \ f \ i \ast g \ (k - i)) \ast x \ \widehat{} k) + \\ (\sum k \leftarrow [n.. < 2 \ast n - 1]. \ (\sum i \leftarrow [Suc \ k - n.. < n]. \ f \ i \ast g \ (k - i)) \ast x \ \widehat{} k) \end{array}$ **using** power-sum-product [of n n f x g, OF assess order.refl] **by** (simp add: semiring-numeral-class.mult-2) **lemma** (in *semiring-1*) *sum-index-transformation*: **shows** $(\sum i \leftarrow xs. f(g i)) = (\sum j \leftarrow map g xs. fj)$ **by** (*induction xs*) *simp-all* **lemma** (in comm-semiring-1) power-sum-split: fixes $f :: nat \Rightarrow 'a$ fixes x :: 'afixes c :: natassumes $j \leq n$ $\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{shows} \ (\overbrace{\sum}^{\circ} i \leftarrow [0 \ldots < n]. \ f \ i \ * \ x \ \widehat{} \ (i \ * \ c)) = \\ (\sum_{i} i \leftarrow [0 \ldots < j]. \ f \ i \ * \ x \ \widehat{} \ (i \ * \ c)) \ + \\ x \ \widehat{} \ (j \ * \ c) \ * \ (\sum_{i} i \leftarrow [0 \ldots < n \ - j]. \ f \ (j \ + \ i) \ * \ x \ \widehat{} \ (i \ * \ c)) \end{array}$ proof have $(\lambda i. i + j) = (+) j$ by fastforce have $(\sum i \leftarrow [0.. < n]$. $f i * x \land (i * c)) =$ $(\sum i \leftarrow [0.. < j]. f i * x \land (i * c)) + (\sum i \leftarrow [j.. < n]. f i * x \land (i * c))$ apply (intro sum-append' upt-add-eq-append') using $(j \leq n)$ by auto also have $(\sum i \leftarrow [j..< n]. f i * x \cap (i * c)) =$ $(\sum i \leftarrow map ((+) j) [0.. < n - j]. f i * x (i * c))$ apply (intro-cong [cong-tag-1 sum-list, cong-tag-2 map] more: refl) using $\langle j \leq n \rangle$ map-add-upt[of j n - j] $\langle (\lambda i. i + j) = (+) j \rangle$ by simp also have ... = $(\sum_{i \in [0...< n-j]} f(j+i) * x^{(j+i)} * x^{(j+i)} * c))$ **by** (*intro sum-index-transformation*[*symmetric*])

also have ... = $(\sum i \leftarrow [0..< n-j], x^{(j * c)} * (f (j + i) * x^{(i * c)}))$ apply (intro semiring-1-sum-list-eq) using mult.commute mult.assoc by (simp add: power-add add-mult-distrib)

also have $\dots = x \cap (j * c) * (\sum i \leftarrow [0 \dots < n - j]. (f (j + i) * x \cap (i * c)))$ by (intro sum-list-const-mult)

finally show ?thesis .

```
qed
```

2.2 nat Sums

lemma geo-sum-nat: assumes (q :: nat) > 1shows $(q - 1) * (\sum i \leftarrow [0..< n], q^{i}) = q^{n} - 1$ **proof** (*induction* n) case (Suc n) have $(q - 1) * (\sum i \leftarrow [0..<Suc n], q \cap i) = (q - 1) * (q \cap n + (\sum i \leftarrow [0..<n])$. q(i)by simp also have ... = $(q - 1) * q \cap n + (q - 1) * (\sum i \leftarrow [0..< n]. q \cap i)$ using add-mult-distrib mult.commute by metis also have ... = $(q - 1) * q \cap n + (q \cap n - 1)$ using Suc.IH by simp also have $\dots = q * q \cap n - 1$ using $\langle q > 1 \rangle$ by (simp add: diff-mult-distrib) finally show ?case by simp qed simp **lemma** geo-sum-bound: assumes (q :: nat) > 1 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{assumes} \; \bigwedge i. \; i < n \Longrightarrow f \; i < q \\ \textbf{shows} \; (\sum i \leftarrow [0..< n]. \; f \; i * q \; \widehat{} i) < q \; \widehat{} n \end{array}$ proof – from assms have $\bigwedge i$. $i < n \Longrightarrow f i \le (q - 1)$ by fastforce then have $(\sum i \leftarrow [0..< n]$. $f i * q \cap i) \le (\sum i \leftarrow [0..< n]$. $(q - 1) * q \cap i)$ **apply** (*intro sum-list-mono mult-le-mono1*) using assms by simp also have ... = $(q - 1) * (\sum i \leftarrow [0..< n], q^{i})$ **by** (*intro sum-list-const-mult*) also have $\dots = q \cap n - 1$ **by** (*intro geo-sum-nat assms*) also have $\ldots < q \cap n$ using $\langle q > 1 \rangle$ by simp finally show ?thesis . qed **lemma** power-sum-nat-split-div-mod: assumes x > 1assumes $c > \theta$ assumes $\bigwedge i. i < n \Longrightarrow (f i :: nat) < x \widehat{c}$ assumes $j \leq n$ $shows (\sum_{i} i \leftarrow [0..< n]. f i * x ^ (i * c)) div x ^ (j * c) \\= (\sum_{i} i \leftarrow [0..< n - j]. f (j + i) * x ^ (i * c)) \\(\sum_{i} i \leftarrow [0..< n]. f i * x ^ (i * c)) mod x ^ (j * c) \\= (\sum_{i} i \leftarrow [0..< j]. f i * x ^ (i * c))$ proof define sum where sum = $(\sum i \leftarrow [0.. < n]. f i * x \cap (i * c))$ then have $sum = (\sum i \leftarrow [0..<j]. f i * x^{(i*c)} +$ $x \widehat{(j * c)} * (\sum i \leftarrow [\theta ... < n - j]. f (j + i) * x \widehat{(i * c)})$ (is sum = ?sum1 + x (j * c) * ?sum2)using power-sum-split $(j \leq n)$ by blast

have $?sum1 = (\sum i \leftarrow [0..<j]. f i * (x \cap c) \cap i)$ apply (intro-cong [cong-tag-2 (*)] more: semiring-1-sum-list-eq reft) using power-mult mult.commute by metis also have ... $< (x \cap c) \cap j$ apply (intro geo-sum-bound) subgoal using assms one-less-power by blast subgoal using assms by simp done finally have $?sum1 < x \cap (j * c)$ by (simp add: power-mult mult.commute) then show sum mod $x \cap (j * c) = ?sum1$ sum div $(x \cap (j * c)) = ?sum2$ using $(sum = ?sum1 + x \cap (j * c) * ?sum2)$ using assms(1) by fastforce+

\mathbf{qed}

lemma power-sum-nat-extract-coefficient:

assumes x > 1assumes c > 0assumes $\bigwedge i. i < n \Longrightarrow (f i :: nat) < x \land c$ assumes j < nshows $((\sum i \leftarrow [0..<n]. f i * x \land (i * c)) div x \land (j * c)) mod x \land c = f j$ proof – have $(\sum i \leftarrow [0..<n]. f i * x \land (i * c)) div x \land (j * c) =$ $(\sum i \leftarrow [0..<n - j]. f (j + i) * x \land (i * c)) (is ?sum = -)$ apply (intro power-sum-nat-split-div-mod(1) assms) using assms by simp-all moreover have ... mod $x \land (1 * c) = (\sum i \leftarrow [0..<1]. f (j + i) * x \land (i * c))$ apply (intro power-sum-nat-split-div-mod(2) assms) using assms by simp-all ultimately show ?sum mod $x \land c = f j$ by simp qed

```
lemma power-sum-nat-eq:
 assumes x > 1
 assumes c > \theta
 assumes \bigwedge i. i < n \Longrightarrow (f i :: nat) < x \cap c
 assumes \bigwedge i. i < n \Longrightarrow g \ i < x \ c
assumes (\sum i \leftarrow [0..< n]. f \ i * x \ (i * c)) = (\sum i \leftarrow [0..< n]. g \ i * x \ (i * c))
    (is ?sumf = ?sumg)
  shows \bigwedge i. i < n \Longrightarrow f i = g i
proof -
  fix i
  assume i < n
  then have f i = (?sumf div x \cap (i * c)) \mod x \cap c
    apply (intro power-sum-nat-extract-coefficient[symmetric] assms) by assump-
tion
  also have ... = (?sumg div x \cap (i * c)) \mod x \cap c
   using assms by argo
  also have \dots = g i
  apply (intro power-sum-nat-extract-coefficient assms) using \langle i < n \rangle by simp-all
```

finally show f i = g i. qed

end

3 Sums in Monoids

theory Monoid-Sums

imports HOL-Algebra.Ring Expander-Graphs.Extra-Congruence-Method Karatsuba-Preliminaries HOL-Library.Multiset HOL-Number-Theory.Residues Karatsuba-Sum-Lemmas

 \mathbf{begin}

This section contains a version of *sum-list* for entries in some abelian monoid. Contrary to *sum-list*, which is defined for the type class *comm-monoid-add*, this version is for the locale *abelian-monoid*. After the definition, some simple lemmas about sums are proven for this sum function.

context abelian-monoid begin

fun monoid-sum-list :: $['c \Rightarrow 'a, 'c \ list] \Rightarrow 'a$ where monoid-sum-list $f [] = \mathbf{0}$ | monoid-sum-list $f (x \# xs) = f x \oplus monoid$ -sum-list f xs

lemma monoid-sum-list $f xs = foldr (\oplus) (map f xs) \mathbf{0}$ by (induction xs) simp-all

end

The syntactic sugar used for *finsum* is adapted accordingly.

syntax

-monoid-sum-list :: index \Rightarrow idt \Rightarrow 'c list \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow 'a $(\langle (3 \bigoplus \neg \leftarrow \neg \cdot \neg) \rangle [1000, 0, 51, 10] 10)$ syntax-consts -monoid-sum-list \Rightarrow abelian-monoid.monoid-sum-list translations $\bigoplus_{G} i \leftarrow xs. b \Rightarrow CONST$ abelian-monoid.monoid-sum-list $G(\lambda i. b) xs$ context abelian-monoid

begin

```
lemma monoid-sum-list-finsum:

assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set xs \implies f i \in carrier G

assumes distinct xs

shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) = (\bigoplus i \in set xs. f i)

using assms

proof (induction xs)

case Nil
```

then show ?case by simp \mathbf{next} case (Cons a xs) then show ?case using finsum-insert[of set xs a f] by simp qed **lemma** *monoid-sum-list-cong*: assumes $\bigwedge i$. $i \in set xs \Longrightarrow f i = g i$ shows $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. g i)$ using assms by (induction xs) simp-all **lemma** *monoid-sum-list-closed*[*simp*]: assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ G$ shows $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) \in carrier G$ using assms by (induction xs) simp-all **lemma** *monoid-sum-list-add-in*: assumes $\bigwedge i$. $i \in set xs \Longrightarrow f i \in carrier G$ assumes $\bigwedge i$. $i \in set xs \implies g \ i \in carrier \ G$ shows $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. g i) =$ $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. fi \oplus gi)$ using assms **proof** (*induction xs*) **case** (Cons a xs) have $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow (a \# xs), f i) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow (a \# xs), g i)$ $= (f \ a \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i)) \oplus (g \ a \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ g \ i))$ by simp also have ... = $(f a \oplus g a) \oplus ((\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. g i))$ using a-comm a-assoc Cons.prems by simp also have ... = $(f a \oplus g a) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i \oplus g i)$ using Cons by simp finally show ?case by simp qed simp **lemma** monoid-sum-list- $\theta[simp]$: $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$ by (induction xs) simp-all **lemma** *monoid-sum-list-swap*: assumes[simp]: $\bigwedge i \ j. \ i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow j \in set \ ys \Longrightarrow f \ i \ j \in carrier \ G$ shows $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (\bigoplus j \leftarrow ys. f i j)) =$ $(\bigoplus j \leftarrow ys. \ (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i \ j))$ using assms **proof** (*induction xs arbitrary: ys*) case (Cons a xs) have $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow (a \# xs))$. $(\bigoplus j \leftarrow ys. f i j))$ $= (\bigoplus j \leftarrow ys. f a j) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (\bigoplus j \leftarrow ys. f i j))$ **bv** simp also have ... = $(\bigoplus j \leftarrow ys. f a j) \oplus (\bigoplus j \leftarrow ys. (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i j))$ using Cons by simp

also have ... = $(\bigoplus j \leftarrow ys. f \ a \ j \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f \ i \ j))$ using monoid-sum-list-add-in[of ys λj . f a j λj . ($\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i j$)] Cons.prems by simp finally show ?case by simp qed simp **lemma** *monoid-sum-list-index-transformation*: $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow (map \ g \ xs). \ f \ i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ (g \ i))$ **by** (*induction xs*) *simp-all* **lemma** *monoid-sum-list-index-shift-0*: $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [c.. < c+n]. f i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0.. < n]. f (c+i))$ using monoid-sum-list-index-transformation[of $f \lambda i. c + i [0..< n]$] **by** (*simp add: add.commute map-add-upt*) **lemma** *monoid-sum-list-index-shift*: $(\bigoplus l \leftarrow [a.. < b]. f (l+c)) = (\bigoplus l \leftarrow [(a+c).. < (b+c)]. f l)$ using monoid-sum-list-index-transformation [of $f \lambda i$. i + c [a..<b]] **by** (*simp add: map-add-const-upt*) **lemma** monoid-sum-list-app: assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ G$ assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in set \ ys \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ G$ shows $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs @ ys. fi) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. fi) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ys. fi)$ using assms **by** (*induction xs*) (*simp-all add: a-assoc*) **lemma** *monoid-sum-list-app'*: assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ G$ assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in set \ ys \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ G$ assumes xs @ ys = zsshows $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow zs. f i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ys. f i)$ using monoid-sum-list-app assms by blast lemma monoid-sum-list-extract: assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ G$ assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in set \ ys \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ G$ assumes $f x \in carrier G$ shows $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs @ x \# ys. f i) = f x \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow (xs @ ys). f i)$ proof have $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs @ x \# ys. fi) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. fi) \oplus fx \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ys. fi)$ using assms monoid-sum-list-app[of xs f x # ys] using *a*-assoc by auto also have ... = $f x \oplus ((\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ys. f i))$ using assms a-assoc a-comm by auto finally show ?thesis using monoid-sum-list-app[of xs f ys] assms by algebra ged

lemma *monoid-sum-list-Suc*:

assumes $\bigwedge i. i < Suc \ r \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ G$ shows $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<Suc \ r]. f \ i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<r]. f \ i) \oplus f \ r$ using assms monoid-sum-list-app[of $[0..<r] f \ [r]]$ by simp

lemma bij-betw-diff-singleton: $a \in A \implies b \in B \implies$ bij-betw $f \land B \implies f a = b$ \implies bij-betw $f (\land A = \{a\}) (B = \{b\})$ **by** (metis (no-types, lifting) DiffE Diff-Diff-Int Diff-cancel Diff-empty Int-insert-right-if1 Un-Diff-Int notIn-Un-bij-betw3 singleton-iff)

```
lemma a \in A \implies bij\text{-}betw f \land B \implies bij\text{-}betw f (A - \{a\}) (B - \{f a\})

using bij\text{-}betw\text{-}diff\text{-}singleton[of a \land f a B f]

by (simp add: bij\text{-}betwE)
```

```
lemma monoid-sum-list-multiset-eq:
  assumes mset xs = mset ys
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set xs \Longrightarrow f i \in carrier G
 shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ys. f i)
  using assms
proof (induction xs arbitrary: ys)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (Cons a xs)
  then have a \in set ys using mset-eq-setD by fastforce
  then obtain ys1 ys2 where ys = ys1 @ a \# ys2 by (meson split-list)
  with Cons.prems have 1: mset xs = mset (ys1 @ ys2) by simp
 from Cons.prems mset-eq-setD have \bigwedge i. i \in set \ ys \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ G by blast
  then have[simp]: \bigwedge i. i \in set ys1 \implies f i \in carrier G f a \in carrier G \bigwedge i. i \in
set ys2 \Longrightarrow f i \in carrier G
    using \langle ys = ys1 @ a \# ys2 \rangle by simp-all
  from 1 have (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow (ys1 @ ys2). f i)
    using Cons by simp
  also have ... = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ys1. fi) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ys2. fi)
    by (intro monoid-sum-list-app) simp-all
  also have f a \oplus ... = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ys1. f i) \oplus (f a \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ys2. f i))
    using a-comm a-assoc monoid-sum-list-closed by simp
  also have ... = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ys1. fi) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow (a \# ys2). fi)
    by simp
  also have \dots = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ys. f i)
    unfolding \langle ys = ys1 @ a \# ys2 \rangle
    by (intro monoid-sum-list-app[symmetric]) auto
  finally show ?case by simp
qed
lemma monoid-sum-list-index-permutation:
  assumes distinct xs
  assumes distinct ys \lor length xs = length ys
  assumes bij-betw f (set xs) (set ys)
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ ys \Longrightarrow g \ i \in carrier \ G
```

shows $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow ys. g i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. g (f i))$ using assms **proof** (*induction xs arbitrary: ys*) case Nil then have ys = [] using *bij-betw-same-card* by *fastforce* then show ?case by simp \mathbf{next} **case** (Cons a xs) then have length ys = length (a # xs) distinct ys $\mathbf{by} \ (metis \ bij-betw-same-card \ distinct-card, \ metis \ bij-betw-same-card \ distinct-card$ card-distinct) have $0: \bigwedge i. i \in set \ (a \ \# \ xs) \Longrightarrow g \ (f \ i) \in carrier \ G$ proof fix iassume $i \in set (a \# xs)$ then have $f i \in set ys$ using Cons.prems(3) by (simp add: bij-betw-apply)then show $g(f i) \in carrier \ G using \ Cons.prems(4)$ by blast qed define b where b = f athen have $b \in set ys$ using Cons(4) bij-betw-apply by fastforce then obtain ys1 ys2 where ys = ys1 @ b # ys2 by (meson split-list) **then have** $b \notin set ys1$ $b \notin set ys2$ **using** (distinct ys) by simp-all have bij-betw f (set xs) (set (ys1 @ ys2)) using $\langle ys = ys1 @ b \# ys2 \rangle$ Cons(4) b-def **using** bij-betw-diff-singleton[of a set (a # xs) f a set ys f]using $Cons.prems(1) \ \langle distinct \ ys \rangle$ by auto **moreover have** length (ys1 @ ys2) = length xs using (length ys = length (a # (xs) (ys = ys1 @ b # ys2)by simp ultimately have 1: $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow (ys1@ys2), g i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs, g (f i))$ using Cons.IH[of ys1@ys2] Cons.prems(4)using $Cons.prems(1) \ 0 \ \langle ys = ys1 \ @ b \ \# \ ys2 \rangle$ by auto have $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow (a \# xs), g(f i)) = g b \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs, g(f i))$ using $\langle b = f a \rangle$ by simp also have $\dots = g \ b \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow (ys1@ys2))$. $g \ i)$ using 1 by simp also have ... = $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow (ys1@b#ys2). g i)$ **apply** (*intro monoid-sum-list-extract*[*symmetric*]) using $Cons.prems(4) \langle ys = ys1 @ b \# ys2 \rangle$ by simp-allfinally show $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow ys. g i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow (a \# xs). g (f i))$ using $\langle ys = ys1 @ b \# ys2 \rangle$ by simp \mathbf{qed} lemma monoid-sum-list-split: assumes[simp]: $\bigwedge i$. $i < b + c \Longrightarrow f i \in carrier G$ shows $(\bigoplus l \leftarrow [0.. < b]. f l) \oplus (\bigoplus l \leftarrow [b.. < b + c]. f l) = (\bigoplus l \leftarrow [0.. < b + c].$

f l)

using monoid-sum-list-app[of [0..<b] f [b..<b+c], symmetric] using upt-add-eq-append[of 0 b c] by simp **lemma** *monoid-sum-list-splice*: assumes[simp]: $\bigwedge i$. $i < 2 * n \Longrightarrow f i \in carrier G$ shows $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<2*n]. fi) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<n]. f(2*i)) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<n].$ f(2*i+1))proof let ?es = filter even [0..<2*n]let ?os = filter odd [0..<2*n]have 1: $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<2*n], fi) = (\bigoplus i \in \{0..<2*n\}, fi)$ using monoid-sum-list-finsum[of [0..<2*n] f] by simp let $?E = \{i \in \{0.. < 2*n\}. even i\}$ let $?O = \{i \in \{0.. < 2*n\}. odd i\}$ have $?E \cap ?O = \{\}$ by blast moreover have $?E \cup ?O = \{0..<2*n\}$ by blast ultimately have $(\bigoplus i \in \{0..<2*n\}, f_i) = (\bigoplus i \in ?E, f_i) \oplus (\bigoplus i \in ?O, f_i)$ using finsum-Un-disjoint[of ?E ?O f] assms by auto **moreover have** ?E = set ?es ?O = set ?os by simp-allultimately have $(\bigoplus i \in \{0..<2*n\}, f i) = (\bigoplus i \in set ?es. f i) \oplus (\bigoplus i \in set$?os. fiby presburger also have $(\bigoplus i \in set ?es. f i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ?es. f i)$ using monoid-sum-list-finsum[of ?es f] by simp also have ... = $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0.. < n]. f(2*i))$ using monoid-sum-list-index-transformation [of $f \lambda i$. 2 * i [0..<n]] $\mathbf{using} \ filter{-}even{-}upt{-}even$ by algebra also have $(\bigoplus i \in set ?os. f i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ?os. f i)$ using monoid-sum-list-finsum[of ?os f] by simp also have ... = $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0.. < n]. f (2*i + 1))$ using monoid-sum-list-index-transformation[of $f \lambda i$. 2 * i + 1 [0..<n]] using *filter-odd-upt-even* by algebra finally show ?thesis using 1 by presburger qed lemma monoid-sum-list-even-odd-split:

assumes even (n::nat)assumes $\bigwedge i. i < n \Longrightarrow fi \in carrier G$ shows $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< n]. fi) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< n \ div \ 2]. f(2*i)) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< n \ div \ 2]. f(2*i))$ using assms monoid-sum-list-splice by auto

end

context abelian-group

begin

```
lemma monoid-sum-list-minus-in:
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set xs \Longrightarrow f i \in carrier G
  shows \ominus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ominus f i)
  using assms by (induction xs) (simp-all add: minus-add)
lemma monoid-sum-list-diff-in:
  assumes[simp]: \bigwedge i. i \in set xs \Longrightarrow f i \in carrier G
  assumes[simp]: \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow g \ i \in carrier \ G
  shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) \ominus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. g i) =
                      (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i \ominus g i)
proof –
  have (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) \ominus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. g i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) \oplus (\ominus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. g i))
i))
    unfolding minus-eq by simp
  also have ... = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ominus g i)
    using monoid-sum-list-minus-in[of xs g] by simp
  also have ... = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i \oplus (\ominus g i))
    using monoid-sum-list-add-in[of xs f] by simp
  finally show ?thesis unfolding minus-eq.
\mathbf{qed}
end
context ring
begin
lemma monoid-sum-list-const:
  assumes[simp]: c \in carrier R
  shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. c) = (nat\text{-}embedding (length xs)) \otimes c
  apply (induction xs)
  using a-comm l-distr by auto
lemma monoid-sum-list-in-right:
  assumes y \in carrier R
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set xs \Longrightarrow f i \in carrier R
  shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i \otimes y) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) \otimes y
  using assms by (induction xs) (simp-all add: l-distr)
lemma monoid-sum-list-in-left:
  assumes y \in carrier R
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ R
  shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ y \otimes f \ i) = y \otimes (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i)
  using assms by (induction xs) (simp-all add: r-distr)
lemma monoid-sum-list-prod:
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ R
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ ys \Longrightarrow g \ i \in carrier \ R
```

shows $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) \otimes (\bigoplus j \leftarrow ys. g j) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (\bigoplus j \leftarrow ys. f i \otimes g j))$ proof – have $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) \otimes (\bigoplus j \leftarrow ys. g j) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i \otimes (\bigoplus j \leftarrow ys. g j))$ apply (intro monoid-sum-list-in-right[symmetric]) using assms by simp-all also have ... = $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (\bigoplus j \leftarrow ys. f i \otimes g j))$ apply (intro monoid-sum-list-cong monoid-sum-list-in-left[symmetric]) using assms by simp-all finally show ?thesis . qed

3.1 Kronecker delta

definition delta where delta i j = (if i = j then 1 else 0)**lemma** delta-closed[simp]: delta $i j \in carrier R$ unfolding delta-def by simp lemma delta-sym: delta i j = delta j iunfolding delta-def by simp **lemma** delta-refl[simp]: delta i i = 1unfolding delta-def by simp **lemma** *monoid-sum-list-delta*[*simp*]: assumes[simp]: $\bigwedge i$. $i < n \Longrightarrow f i \in carrier R$ assumes [simp]: j < nshows $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0.. < n]. delta \ i \ j \otimes f \ i) = f \ j$ proof from assms have 0: [0..< n] = [0..< j] @ j # [Suc j..< n]by (metis le-add1 le-add-same-cancel1 less-imp-add-positive upt-add-eq-append upt-conv-Cons) then have [0..< n] = [0..< j] @ [j] @ [Suc j..< n]by simp **moreover have** 1: $\bigwedge i$. $i \in set [0..<j] \implies delta \ i \ j \otimes f \ i \in carrier \ R$ using 0 assms delta-closed m-closed atLeastLessThan-iff by (metis le-add1 less-imp-add-positive linorder-le-less-linear set-upt upt-conv-Nil) **moreover have** $2: \bigwedge i. i \in set ([j] @ [Suc j... < n]) \Longrightarrow delta i j \otimes f i \in carrier R$ using 0 assms delta-closed m-closed by auto **ultimately have** $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< n]$. delta $i j \otimes f i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< j]$. delta $i j \otimes f i)$ $f i) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [j] @ [Suc j... < n]. delta i j \otimes f i)$ using monoid-sum-list-app[of [0..<j] λi . delta $i j \otimes f i [j] @ [Suc j..<n]$] by presburger also have $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [j] @ [Suc j..<n]. delta i j \otimes f i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [j]. delta i j \otimes f$ $i) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [Suc \ j..< n]. \ delta \ i \ j \otimes f \ i)$ using 2 monoid-sum-list-app[of [j] λi . delta $i j \otimes f i$ [Suc j..<n]] by simp

also have $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<j]$. delta $i j \otimes f i) = \mathbf{0}$ using monoid-sum-list-0[of [0..<j]] monoid-sum-list-cong $[of [0..<j] \lambda i$. $\mathbf{0} \lambda i$. delta $i j \otimes f i]$ unfolding delta-def using $\langle j < n \rangle$ by simp also have $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [Suc j..<n]$. delta $i j \otimes f i) = \mathbf{0}$ using monoid-sum-list-0[of [Suc j..<n]] monoid-sum-list-cong[of [Suc j..<n] λi . $\mathbf{0} \lambda i$. delta $i j \otimes f i]$ unfolding delta-def by simp also have $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [j]$. delta $i j \otimes f i) = f j$ by simp finally show ?thesis by simp qed

lemma mononid-sum-list-only-delta[simp]: $j < n \Longrightarrow (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< n]. \ delta \ i \ j) = 1$ using monoid-sum-list-delta[of $n \ \lambda i. \ 1 \ j$] by simp

3.2 Power sums

lemma *geo-monoid-list-sum*: assumes [simp]: $x \in carrier R$ shows $(\mathbf{1} \ominus x) \otimes (\bigoplus l \leftarrow [0.. < r]. x [] l) = (\mathbf{1} \ominus x [] r)$ using assms **proof** (*induction* r) case θ then show ?case using assms by (simp, algebra) next case (Suc r) have $(\mathbf{1} \ominus x) \otimes (\bigoplus l \leftarrow [(0::nat).. < Suc r]. x [] l) = (\mathbf{1} \ominus x) \otimes (x [] r \oplus (\bigoplus l$ $\leftarrow [0.. < r]. x [\hat{} l))$ using monoid-sum-list-Suc[of $r \lambda l. x$ [] l] a-comm by simp also have ... = $(\mathbf{1} \ominus x) \otimes x \upharpoonright r \oplus (\mathbf{1} \ominus x) \otimes (\bigoplus l \leftarrow [0..< r]. x \upharpoonright l)$ using *r*-distr by auto also have ... = $x [\uparrow] r \ominus x [\uparrow] (Suc r) \oplus (\mathbf{1} \ominus x) \otimes (\bigoplus l \leftarrow [0..< r]. x [\uparrow] l)$ apply (intro arg-cong2[where $f = (\oplus)$] refl) unfolding *minus-eq* l-distr[OF one-closed a-inv-closed[OF $\langle x \in carrier R \rangle$] nat-pow-closed[OF $\langle x \rangle$ $\in carrier R$ using $\langle x \in carrier R \rangle$ using *l-minus nat-pow-Suc2* by force also have ... = $x [] r \ominus x [] (Suc r) \oplus (1 \ominus x [] r)$ using Suc by presburger also have ... = $\mathbf{1} \ominus x$ [$\widehat{}$] (Suc r) using one-closed minus-add assms nat-pow-closed of x by algebra finally show ?case . qed

rewrite $?x \in carrier R \implies (?x [] ?n) [] ?m = ?x [] (?n * ?m) and ?a * ?b = ?b * ?a inside power sum$

lemma *monoid-pow-sum-nat-pow-pow*:

assumes $x \in carrier R$ shows $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i \otimes x [] ((g i :: nat) * h i)) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i \otimes (x [] h i)$ [] g i) apply (intro-cong [cong-tag-2 (\otimes)] more: monoid-sum-list-cong refl) using nat-pow-pow[OF assms] by (simp add: mult.commute)

 \mathbf{end}

context cring begin

Split a power sum at some term

lemma monoid-pow-sum-list-split: assumes l + k = nassumes $\bigwedge i$. $i < n \Longrightarrow f i \in carrier R$ **assumes** $x \in carrier R$ shows $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0.. < n]. f i \otimes x [\widehat{}] i) =$ $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< l]. f i \otimes x [\widehat{} i] i) \oplus$ $x [\widehat{\ }] l \otimes (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< k]. f (l + i) \otimes x [\widehat{\ }] i)$ proof have $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0.. < n]$. $f i \otimes x [\uparrow] i) =$ $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< l]. f i \otimes x [\uparrow] i) \oplus$ $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [l.. < n]. f i \otimes x [\uparrow] i)$ apply (intro monoid-sum-list-app' m-closed nat-pow-closed upt-add-eq-append'[symmetric]) using assms by simp-all also have $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [l.. < n]. f i \otimes x [\uparrow] i) =$ $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< k]. f (l+i) \otimes x [\widehat{} (l+i))$ using monoid-sum-list-index-shift- $0[of - l n - l] \langle l + k = n \rangle$ by *fastforce* also have ... = $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0.. < k]. x \upharpoonright l \otimes (f (l + i) \otimes x \upharpoonright i))$ **apply** (*intro monoid-sum-list-cong*) using assms m-comm m-assoc nat-pow-mult[symmetric, $OF \langle x \in carrier R \rangle$] by simp also have ... = $x [\uparrow] l \otimes (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0.. < k]. f (l + i) \otimes x [\uparrow] i)$ apply (intro monoid-sum-list-in-left m-closed nat-pow-closed) using assms by simp-all finally show ?thesis . qed

split power sum at term, more general

lemma monoid-pow-sum-split: **assumes** l + k = n **assumes** $\bigwedge i. \ i < n \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ R$ **assumes** $x \in carrier \ R$ **shows** $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<n]. \ f \ i \otimes x \ [] \ (i * c)) =$ $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<l]. \ f \ i \otimes x \ [] \ (i * c)) \oplus$ $x \ [] \ (l * c) \otimes (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<k]. \ f \ (l + i) \otimes x \ [] \ (i * c))$ **proof** -

have $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< n]. f i \otimes x [\uparrow] (i * c)) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< n]. f i \otimes (x [\uparrow] c) [\uparrow]$ i)by (intro monoid-pow-sum-nat-pow-pow $\langle x \in carrier R \rangle$) also have ... = $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0.. < l])$. $f i \otimes (x [\neg] c) [\neg] i) \oplus$ $(x [\uparrow] c) [\uparrow] l \otimes (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0.. < k]. f (l + i) \otimes (x [\uparrow] c) [\uparrow] i)$ by (intro monoid-pow-sum-list-split assms nat-pow-closed) argo also have ... = $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< l]. f i \otimes x [\uparrow] (i * c)) \oplus$ $x \upharpoonright (c * l) \otimes (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<k]. f (l + i) \otimes x \upharpoonright (i * c))$ **by** (*intro-cong* [*cong-tag-2* (\oplus), *cong-tag-2* (\otimes)] *more: monoid-pow-sum-nat-pow-pow*[*symmetric*] nat-pow-pow $\langle x \in carrier R \rangle$) also have ... = $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< l]. f i \otimes x [\uparrow] (i * c)) \oplus$ $x \upharpoonright (l * c) \otimes (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0.. < k]. f (l + i) \otimes x \upharpoonright (i * c))$ by (intro-cong [cong-tag-2 (\oplus), cong-tag-2 (\otimes), cong-tag-2 ([\uparrow)] more: refl *mult.commute*) finally show ?thesis . qed

3.2.1 Algebraic operations

addition

lemma *monoid-pow-sum-add*: assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ R$ assumes $\bigwedge i$. $i \in set \ xs \implies g \ i \in carrier \ R$ assumes $x \in carrier R$ shows $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i \otimes x [\uparrow] (i::nat)) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. g i \otimes x [\uparrow] i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow i \leftarrow i)$ xs. $(f i \oplus g i) \otimes x [\widehat{}] i)$ proof have $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i \otimes x [\uparrow] i) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. g i \otimes x [\uparrow] i) =$ $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ (f \ i \otimes x \ [\widehat{}\ i) \oplus (g \ i \otimes x \ [\widehat{}\ i)))$ apply (intro monoid-sum-list-add-in m-closed nat-pow-closed assms) by assumption+ also have ... = $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (f i \oplus g i) \otimes x [\uparrow] i)$ apply (intro monoid-sum-list-cong l-distr[symmetric] nat-pow-closed assms) by assumption +finally show ?thesis . qed **lemma** *monoid-pow-sum-add'*: assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ R$ assumes $\bigwedge i$. $i \in set \ xs \implies g \ i \in carrier \ R$ assumes $x \in carrier R$ shows $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i \otimes x [\uparrow] ((i::nat) * c)) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. g i \otimes x [\uparrow] (i * c)) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (f i \oplus g i) \otimes x [\uparrow] (i * c))$ proof have $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i \otimes x [\uparrow] ((i::nat) * c)) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. g i \otimes x [\uparrow] (i * c)) =$ $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (f i \otimes (x [] c) [] i)) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (g i \otimes (x [] c) [] i))$ by (intro-cong [cong-tag-2 (\oplus)] more: monoid-pow-sum-nat-pow-pow $\langle x \in carrier$ R)

also have ... = $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (f i \oplus g i) \otimes (x [] c) [] i)$

apply (intro monoid-pow-sum-add nat-pow-closed) using assms by simp-all also have $\dots = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (f i \oplus g i) \otimes x [\uparrow] (i * c))$

by (intro monoid-pow-sum-nat-pow-pow[symmetric] $\langle x \in carrier R \rangle$) finally show ?thesis .

qed

unary minus

lemma *monoid-pow-sum-minus*: assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ R$ assumes $x \in carrier R$ shows $\ominus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i \otimes x [\uparrow] (i :: nat)) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (\ominus f i) \otimes x [\uparrow] i)$ proof have $\ominus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i \otimes x [\uparrow (i :: nat)) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ominus (f i \otimes x [\uparrow (i :: nat)))$ apply (intro monoid-sum-list-minus-in m-closed nat-pow-closed assms) by assumption also have ... = $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (\ominus f i) \otimes x [\uparrow] i)$ **apply** (*intro monoid-sum-list-cong l-minus*[symmetric] *nat-pow-closed assms*) by assumption finally show ?thesis . \mathbf{qed} minus **lemma** *monoid-pow-sum-diff*: assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ R$ assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow g \ i \in carrier \ R$ assumes $x \in carrier R$ shows $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i \otimes x [\uparrow] (i::nat)) \ominus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. g i \otimes x [\uparrow] (i::nat)) =$ $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (f i \ominus g i) \otimes x [\uparrow] i)$ using assms **by** (*simp add: minus-eq monoid-pow-sum-add*[*symmetric*] *monoid-pow-sum-minus*) **lemma** *monoid-pow-sum-diff* ': assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ R$ assumes $\bigwedge i$. $i \in set \ xs \implies g \ i \in carrier \ R$ assumes $x \in carrier R$ shows $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i \otimes x \uparrow ((i::nat) * c)) \ominus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. g i \otimes x \uparrow (i * c)) =$ $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (f i \ominus g i) \otimes x [\widehat{} (i * c))$ proof have $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i \otimes x \uparrow ((i::nat) * c)) \ominus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. g i \otimes x \uparrow (i * c)) =$ $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i \otimes (x [\uparrow] c) [\uparrow] i) \ominus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. g i \otimes (x [\uparrow] c) [\uparrow] i)$ by (intro-cong [cong-tag-2 ($\lambda i j$, $i \ominus j$)] more: monoid-pow-sum-nat-pow-pow $\langle x \in carrier R \rangle$) also have ... = $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (f i \ominus g i) \otimes (x [] c) [] i)$ apply (intro monoid-pow-sum-diff nat-pow-closed) using assms by simp-all also have ... = $(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (f i \ominus g i) \otimes x [\uparrow] (i * c))$ by (intro monoid-pow-sum-nat-pow-pow[symmetric] $\langle x \in carrier R \rangle$) finally show ?thesis . qed

3.3 monoid-sum-list in the context residues

context residues begin

lemma monoid-sum-list-eq-sum-list: $(\bigoplus_R i \leftarrow xs. f i) = (\sum_i i \leftarrow xs. f i) \mod m$ **apply** (induction xs) **subgoal by** (simp add: zero-cong) **subgoal for** a xs **by** (simp add: mod-add-right-eq res-add-eq) **done**

lemma monoid-sum-list-mod-in: $(\bigoplus_R i \leftarrow xs. f i) = (\bigoplus_R i \leftarrow xs. (f i) \mod m)$ **by** (induction xs) (simp-all add: mod-add-left-eq res-add-eq)

lemma monoid-sum-list-eq-sum-list': $(\bigoplus_R i \leftarrow xs. f i \mod m) = (\sum_i i \leftarrow xs. f i) \mod m$ using monoid-sum-list-eq-sum-list monoid-sum-list-mod-in by metis

end

end

4 The estimation tactic

theory Estimation-Method imports Main HOL-Eisbach-Tools begin

A few useful lemmas for working with inequalities.

```
lemma if-prop-cong:

assumes C = C'

assumes C \Longrightarrow P A A'

assumes \neg C \Longrightarrow P B B'

shows P (if C then A else B) (if C' then A' else B')

using assms by simp

lemma if-leqI:

assumes C \Longrightarrow A \le t

assumes \neg C \Longrightarrow B \le t

shows (if C then A else B) \le t

using assms by simp

lemma if-le-max:

(if C then (t1 :: 'a :: linorder) else t2) \le max t1 t2

by simp
```

 \mathbf{end}

Prove some inequality by showing a chain of inequalities via an intermediate term.

method *itrans* for step :: 'a :: order =

(match conclusion in $s \leq t$ for $s t :: 'a \Rightarrow \langle rule \ order.trans[of \ s \ step \ t] \rangle$)

A collection of monotonicity intro rules that will be automatically used by *estimation*.

```
lemmas mono-intros =
```

 $order.refl\ add-mono\ diff-mono\ mult-le-mono\ max.mono\ min.mono\ power-increasing\ power-mono$

 $iffD2[OF Suc-le-mono] if-prop-cong[where P = (\leq)] Nat.le0 one-le-numeral$

Try to apply a given estimation rule *estimate* in a forward-manner.

 ${\bf method} \ estimation \ {\bf uses} \ estimate =$

(match estimate in $\bigwedge a$. $f a \leq h a$ (multi) for $f h \Rightarrow \langle match conclusion in g f \leq t$ for g and $t :: nat \Rightarrow \langle rule order.trans[of g f g h t], intro mono-intros refl estimate \rangle \rangle$

 $| x \leq y \text{ for } x y \Rightarrow \langle match \ conclusion \ in \ g \ x \leq t \ for \ g \ and \ t :: nat \Rightarrow \langle rule \ order.trans[of \ g \ x \ g \ y \ t], \ intro \ mono-intros \ refl \ estimate \rangle \rangle$

end

```
theory Time-Monad-Extended
imports Root-Balanced-Tree.Time-Monad
begin
```

5 Some Automation for *Root-Balanced-Tree*. *Time-Monad*

A bit of automation for statements involving the *time* component.

lemma time-bind-tm: time $(s \gg f) = time s + time (f (val s))$ **unfolding** bind-tm-def **by** (simp split: tm.splits)

lemma time-tick: time (tick s) = 1 by (simp add: tick-def)

lemmas tm-time-simps[simp] = time-bind-tm time-return time-tick if-distrib[of time]

lemma bind-tm-cong[fundef-cong]: **assumes** f1 = f2 **assumes** $g1 \ (val \ f1) = g2 \ (val \ f2)$ **shows** $f1 \gg g1 = f2 \gg g2$ **using** assms **unfolding** bind-tm-def **by** (auto split: tm.splits) Introduce *val-simp* as named theorem. The idea is to collect simplification rules for the *Time-Monad.val* component that can be unfolded on their own.

named-theorems val-simp declare val-simps[val-simp]

end theory Main-TM imports Main Time-Monad-Extended Estimation-Method begin

6 Running Time Formalization for some functions available in *Main*

6.1 Functions on bool

6.1.1 Not

fun Not-tm :: bool \Rightarrow bool tm where Not-tm True =1 return False | Not-tm False =1 return True

lemma val-Not-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (Not-tm x) = Not x by (cases x; simp)

lemma time-Not-tm[simp]: time (Not-tm x) = 1 by (cases x; simp)

6.1.2 disj / conj

definition *disj-tm* **where** *disj-tm* $x \ y = 1$ *return* $(x \lor y)$ **definition** *conj-tm* **where** *conj-tm* $x \ y = 1$ *return* $(x \land y)$

lemma val-disj-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (disj-tm x y) = ($x \lor y$) **by** (simp add: disj-tm-def) **lemma** time-disj-tm[simp]: time (disj-tm x y) = 1 **by** (simp add: disj-tm-def) **lemma** val-conj-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (conj-tm x y) = ($x \land y$) **by** (simp add: conj-tm-def) **lemma** time-conj-tm[simp]: time (conj-tm x y) = 1 **by** (simp add: conj-tm-def)

6.1.3 equal

fun equal-bool-tm :: bool \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool tm where equal-bool-tm True p = 1 return p| equal-bool-tm False p = 1 Not-tm p

lemma val-equal-bool-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (equal-bool-tm x y) = (x = y) by (cases x; simp) **lemma** time-equal-bool-tm-le: time (equal-bool-tm x y) ≤ 2 by (cases x; simp)

6.2 Functions involving pairs

6.2.1 fst / snd

fun fst-tm :: $'a \times 'b \Rightarrow 'a \ tm$ where fst-tm $(x, y) = 1 \ return \ x$ **fun** snd-tm :: $'a \times 'b \Rightarrow 'b \ tm$ where snd-tm $(x, y) = 1 \ return \ y$

lemma val-fst-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (fst-tm p) = fst p**by** (subst prod.collapse[symmetric], unfold fst-tm.simps, simp) **lemma** time-fst-tm[simp]: time (fst-tm p) = 1

by (subst prod.collapse[symmetric], unfold fst-tm.simps, simp) lemma val-snd-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (snd-tm p) = snd p

by (subst prod.collapse[symmetric], unfold snd-tm.simps, simp) lemma time-snd-tm[simp]: time (snd-tm p) = 1

by (subst prod.collapse[symmetric], unfold snd-tm.simps, simp)

6.3 Functions on *nat*

6.3.1 (+)

fun plus-nat-tm :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat tm **where** plus-nat-tm (Suc m) n = 1 plus-nat-tm m (Suc n) | plus-nat-tm 0 n = 1 return n

lemma val-plus-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (plus-nat-tm m n) = m + nby (induction m n rule: plus-nat-tm.induct) simp-all

lemma time-plus-nat-tm[simp]: time (plus-nat-tm m n) = m + 1by (induction m n rule: plus-nat-tm.induct) simp-all

6.3.2 (*)

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{fun times-nat-tm :: } nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat tm \textbf{ where} \\ times-nat-tm \ 0 \ n = 1 \ return \ 0 \\ | \ times-nat-tm \ (Suc \ m) \ n = 1 \ do \ \{ \\ r \leftarrow times-nat-tm \ m \ n; \\ plus-nat-tm \ n \ r \\ \} \end{array}$

lemma val-times-nat-tm[simp]: val (times-nat-tm m n) = m * nby (induction m n rule: times-nat-tm.induct) simp-all

lemma time-times-nat-tm[simp]: time (times-nat-tm m n) = m * (n + 2) + 1by (induction m n rule: times-nat-tm.induct) simp-all

6.3.3 ([^])

fun power-nat-tm :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat tm where power-nat-tm a 0 = 1 return 1 $\mid power-nat-tm \ a \ (Suc \ n) = 1 \ do$ $r \leftarrow power-nat-tm \ a \ n;$ times-nat- $tm \ a \ r$ } **lemma** val-power-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (power-nat-tm a n) = $a \cap n$ **by** (*induction a n rule: power-nat-tm.induct*) *simp-all* **lemma** time-power-nat-tm-aux0: time (power-nat-tm 0 n) = 2 * n + 1by (induction n) simp-all **lemma** time-power-nat-tm-aux1: time (power-nat-tm 1 n) = 5 * n + 1by (induction n) simp-all **lemma** time-power-nat-tm-aux2: assumes $m \geq 2$ shows time (power-nat-tm m n) $\leq (2 * n + m \hat{n}) * m + 2 * n + 1$ **proof** (*induction* n) case θ then have time (power-nat-tm m 0) = 1 by simp then show ?case by simp \mathbf{next} case (Suc n) have time (power-nat-tm m (Suc n)) \leq time (power-nat-tm m n) + (m \hat{n} + 2) * m + 2by simp also have ... $\leq (2 * n + m \hat{n}) * m + 2 * n + 1 + (m \hat{n} + 2) * m + 2$ using Suc by simp also have ... = $(2 * n + m \hat{n}) * m + (m \hat{n} + 2) * m + 2 * Suc n + 1$ by simp also have ... = $(2 * Suc n + 2 * m \hat{n}) * m + 2 * Suc n + 1$ using add-mult-distrib by simp also have $\dots \leq (2 * Suc n + m \cap Suc n) * m + 2 * Suc n + 1$ using assms by simp finally show ?case . qed **lemma** time-power-nat-tm-le: time (power-nat-tm m n) $\leq 3 * m \land Suc n + 5 * n$ + 1proof – consider $m = 0 \mid m = 1 \mid m \ge 2$ by linarith then show ?thesis proof cases case 1 then show ?thesis using time-power-nat-tm-aux0[of n] by simp

 \mathbf{next}

case 2then show ?thesis using time-power-nat-tm-aux1 [of n] by simp \mathbf{next} case 3then have $2 \ n \le m \ n$ using power-mono by fast moreover have $n < 2 \ \hat{} n$ by simpultimately have *n*-le-*m*-pow-*n*: $n \leq m \cap n$ by linarith have time (power-nat-tm m n) $\leq (2 * m \hat{n} + m \hat{n}) * m + 2 * n + 1$ **apply** (estimation estimate: time-power-nat-tm-aux2[OF 3, of n]) using *n*-le-*m*-pow-*n* by simp also have $\dots = 3 * m$ $\widehat{Suc} n + 2 * n + 1$ by simp finally show ?thesis by simp qed qed **lemma** time-power-nat-tm-2-le: time (power-nat-tm 2 n) < $12 * 2 \hat{} n$ proof have time (power-nat-tm 2 n) $\leq 3 * 2$ Suc n + 5 * n + 1

by (fact time-power-nat-tm-le)

also have $\dots \leq 3 * 2 \widehat{\ Suc \ n + 5 * 2 \ n + 2 \ n}$ apply (intro add-mono mult-le-mono order.refl)

using *less-exp*[of n] by *simp-all* finally show *?thesis* by *simp*

```
qed
```

6.3.4 (-)

fun minus-nat-tm :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat tm **where** minus-nat-tm m 0 =1 return m | minus-nat-tm 0 m =1 return 0 | minus-nat-tm (Suc m) (Suc n) =1 minus-nat-tm m n

lemma val-minus-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (minus-nat-tm m n) = m - nby (induction m n rule: minus-nat-tm.induct) simp-all

lemma time-minus-nat-tm[simp]: time (minus-nat-tm m n) = min m n + 1 by (induction m n rule: minus-nat-tm.induct) simp-all

6.3.5 (<) / (\leq)

fun less-eq-nat-tm :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool tm **and** less-nat-tm :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool tm **where**

| less-nat-tm m 0 = 1 return False

lemma val-less-eq-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: (val (less-eq-nat-tm n m) = $(n \le m)$) and val-less-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: (val (less-nat-tm m n) = (m < n)) by (induction m and n rule: less-eq-nat-tm-less-nat-tm.induct) auto **lemma** time-less-eq-nat-tm-aux: time (less-eq-nat-tm (m + k) (n + k)) = 2 * k + time (less-eq-nat-tm m n) **by** (induction k) simp-all **lemma** time-less-nat-tm-aux: time (less-nat-tm (m + k) (n + k)) = 2 * k + time (less-nat-tm m n) **by** (induction k) simp-all

lemma time-less-eq-nat-tm: time (less-eq-nat-tm n m) = 2 * min n m + 1 + of-bool (m < n)**proof** (cases m < n) case True then obtain k where $n = m + Suc \ k$ by (metis add-Suc-right less-natE) then have time $(less-eq-nat-tm \ n \ m) = 2 * m + 2$ using time-less-eq-nat-tm-aux[of Suc $k \ m \ 0$] by (simp add: add.commute) then show *?thesis* using *True* by *simp* next case False then obtain k where m = n + k using nat-le-iff-add of n m by auto then have time $(less-eq-nat-tm \ n \ m) = 2 * n + 1$ using time-less-eq-nat-tm-aux[of $0 \ n \ k$] by (simp add: add.commute) then show ?thesis using False by simp qed **lemma** time-less-nat-tm: time (less-nat-tm m n) = 2 * min m n + 1 + of-bool(m < n)**proof** (cases m < n) case True then obtain k where $n = m + Suc \ k$ by (metis add-Suc-right less-natE) then have time (less-nat-tm m n) = 2 * m + 2using time-less-nat-tm-aux[of 0 m Suc k] by (simp add: add.commute) then show *?thesis* using *True* by *simp* next case False then obtain k where m = n + k using nat-le-iff-add of n m by auto then have time $(less-nat-tm \ m \ n) = 2 * n + 1$ using time-less-nat-tm-aux[of $k \ n \ 0$] by (simp add: add.commute) then show ?thesis using False by simp qed

lemma time-less-eq-nat-tm-le: time (less-eq-nat-tm n m) $\leq 2 * min n m + 2$ by (simp add: time-less-eq-nat-tm) **lemma** time-less-nat-tm-le: time (less-nat-tm m n) $\leq 2 * min m n + 2$ by (simp add: time-less-nat-tm)

6.3.6 (=)

fun equal-nat-tm :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool tm where equal-nat-tm 0 0 =1 return True | equal-nat-tm (Suc x) 0 =1 return False | equal-nat-tm 0 (Suc y) =1 return False | equal-nat-tm (Suc x) (Suc y) =1 equal-nat-tm x y

lemma val-equal-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (equal-nat-tm x y) = (x = y) by (induction x y rule: equal-nat-tm.induct) simp-all

lemma time-equal-nat-tm: time (equal-nat-tm x y) = min x y + 1by (induction x y rule: equal-nat-tm.induct) simp-all

6.3.7 max

fun max-nat-tm :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat tm where max-nat-tm x y = 1 do { b \leftarrow less-eq-nat-tm x y; if b then return y else return x }

lemma val-max-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (max-nat-tm x y) = max x yby simp

lemma time-max-nat-tm: time (max-nat-tm x y) = 2 * min x y + 2 + of-bool (y < x)

by (*simp add: time-less-eq-nat-tm*)

lemma time-max-nat-tm-le: time $(max-nat-tm \ x \ y) \le 2 * min \ x \ y + 3$ unfolding time-max-nat-tm by simp

6.3.8 (*div*) / (*mod*)

```
 \begin{aligned} & \textbf{fun } divmod-nat-tm :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow (nat \times nat) \ tm \ \textbf{where} \\ & divmod-nat-tm \ m \ n = 1 \ do \ \{ \\ & n0 \leftarrow equal-nat-tm \ n \ 0; \\ & m-lt-n \leftarrow less-nat-tm \ m \ n; \\ & b \leftarrow disj-tm \ n0 \ m-lt-n; \\ & if \ b \ then \ return \ (0, \ m) \ else \ do \ \{ \\ & m-minus-n \leftarrow minus-nat-tm \ m \ n; \\ & (q, \ r) \leftarrow divmod-nat-tm \ m-minus-n \ n; \\ & return \ (Suc \ q, \ r) \\ & \} \end{aligned}
```

declare divmod-nat-tm.simps[simp del]

```
lemma val-divmod-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (divmod-nat-tm m n) = Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat
m n
proof (induction m n rule: divmod-nat-tm.induct)
case (1 m n)
show ?case
proof (cases n = 0 \lor m < n)
case True
```

then show ?thesis unfolding divmod-nat-tm.simps[of m n] by (simp add:Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat-if) \mathbf{next} case False then have val $(divmod-nat-tm \ m \ n) = (let \ (q, \ r) = val \ (divmod-nat-tm \ (m - a))$ n) n) in (Suc q, r)) **unfolding** *divmod-nat-tm.simps*[of m n] **by** (*simp add: Let-def split: prod.splits*) also have $\dots = (let (q, r) = Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat (m - n) n in (Suc q, n))$ r))using 1 False by simp also have $\dots = Euclidean$ -Rings.divmod-nat m n **unfolding** *Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat-if* [of m n] **by** (*simp add: False split: prod.splits*) finally show ?thesis . qed qed **lemma** time-divmod-nat-tm-aux: assumes r < nassumes $n > \theta$ shows time (divmod-nat-tm (n * k + r) n) = 5 * k + 3 * n * k + time $(divmod-nat-tm \ r \ n)$ using assms **proof** (*induction* k) case θ then show ?case by simp \mathbf{next} case (Suc k) then show ?case **unfolding** divmod-nat-tm.simps[of $n * (Suc \ k) + r \ n$] by (simp add: time-equal-nat-tm time-less-nat-tm split: prod.splits) qed **lemma** time-divmod-nat-tm-le: time (divmod-nat-tm m n) $\leq 8 * m + 2 * n + 5$ **proof** (cases $n = 0 \lor m < n$) case True have time $(divmod-nat-tm \ m \ n) = time (equal-nat-tm \ n \ 0) + time (less-nat-tm \ n \ 0)$ m n) + 2**unfolding** *divmod-nat-tm.simps*[of m n] **by** (*simp add*: *True*) also have $\dots \leq 2 * \min m n + 5$ **apply** (*subst time-equal-nat-tm*) **apply** (*estimation estimate: time-less-nat-tm-le*) by simp finally show ?thesis by simp next

case False

define k r where $k = m \operatorname{div} n r = m \operatorname{mod} n$ then have krn: m = n * k + r by simp from k-r-def have r < n using False by simp have time $(divmod-nat-tm \ m \ n) = 5 * k + 3 * n * k + time (divmod-nat-tm \ r)$ n)apply (subst krn, intro time-divmod-nat-tm-aux, intro $\langle r < n \rangle$) using False by simp also have time $(divmod-nat-tm \ r \ n) = time (equal-nat-tm \ n \ 0) + time (less-nat-tm \ n \ 0)$ r n) + 2**unfolding** divmod-nat-tm.simps[of r n]by (simp add: $\langle r < n \rangle$) also have $\dots \leq 2 * \min r n + 5$ **apply** (*subst time-equal-nat-tm*) **apply** (*estimation estimate: time-less-nat-tm-le*) by simp finally have time (divmod-nat-tm m n) $\leq 5 * k + 3 * n * k + 2 * n + 5$ by simp also have ... $\leq 5 * k + 3 * m + 2 * n + 5$ using k-r-def by simp **also have** ... $\le 8 * m + 2 * n + 5$ using k-r-def by simpfinally show ?thesis . qed

definition divide-nat-tm :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat tm where divide-nat-tm m n =1 divmod-nat-tm m n \gg fst-tm

lemma val-divide-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (divide-nat-tm m n) = m div n by (simp add: divide-nat-tm-def Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat-def)

lemma time-divide-nat-tm-le: time (divide-nat-tm m n) $\leq 8 * m + 2 * n + 7$ using time-divmod-nat-tm-le[of m n] by (simp add: divide-nat-tm-def)

definition mod-nat- $tm :: nat \Rightarrow nat tm$ where mod-nat- $tm m n \ge nat$ mn = 1 divmod-nat- $tm m n \ge nd-tm$

lemma val-mod-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (mod-nat-tm m n) = $m \mod n$ by (simp add: mod-nat-tm-def Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat-def)

lemma time-mod-nat-tm-le: time (mod-nat-tm m n) $\leq 8 * m + 2 * n + 7$ using time-divmod-nat-tm-le[of m n] by (simp add: mod-nat-tm-def)

```
definition dvd-tm where dvd-tm a b = 1 do {
 b-mod-a \leftarrow mod-nat-tm b a;
 equal-nat-tm b-mod-a 0
}
```

6.3.9 (*dvd*)

- **lemma** val-dvd-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (dvd- $tm \ a \ b) = (a \ dvd \ b)$ **unfolding** dvd-tm- $def \ dvd$ -eq-mod-eq-0 **by** simp
- **lemma** time-dvd-tm-le: time $(dvd-tm \ a \ b) \le 8 * b + 2 * a + 9$ **unfolding** dvd-tm-def tm-time-simps val-mod-nat-tm time-equal-nat-tm **using** time-mod-nat-tm-le[of b a] **by** simp

6.3.10 even / odd

definition even-tm where even-tm a = dvd-tm 2 a

- **lemma** val-even-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (even-tm a) = even a unfolding even-tm-def by simp
- **lemma** time-even-tm-le: time (even-tm a) $\leq 8 * a + 13$ unfolding even-tm-def tm-time-simps using time-dvd-tm-le[of 2 a] by simp

definition odd-tm where odd-tm a = dvd-tm $2 a \gg Not$ -tm

- **lemma** val-odd-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (odd-tm a) = odd aunfolding odd-tm-def by simp
- **lemma** time-odd-tm-le: time (odd-tm a) $\leq 8 * a + 14$ **unfolding** odd-tm-def tm-time-simps **using** time-dvd-tm-le[of 2 a] **by** simp

6.4 List functions

6.4.1 take

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{fun take-tm :: nat \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list tm where} \\ take-tm n [] = 1 return [] \\ | take-tm n (x \# xs) = 1 (case n of 0 \Rightarrow return [] | Suc m \Rightarrow \\ do \{ \\ r \leftarrow take-tm m xs; \\ return (x \# r) \\ \} \end{array}$

lemma val-take-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (take-tm n xs) = take n xsby (induction n xs rule: take-tm.induct) (simp-all split: nat.splits)

- **lemma** time-take-tm: time (take-tm n xs) = min n (length xs) + 1 by (induction n xs rule: take-tm.induct) (simp-all split: nat.splits)
- **lemma** time-take-tm-le: time (take-tm n xs) $\leq n + 1$ by (simp add: time-take-tm)

6.4.2 drop

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{fun} \ drop\text{-}tm :: nat \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list \ tm \ \mathbf{where} \\ drop\text{-}tm \ n \ [] = 1 \ return \ [] \\ | \ drop\text{-}tm \ n \ (x \ \# \ xs) = 1 \ (case \ n \ of \ 0 \Rightarrow return \ (x \ \# \ xs) \ | \ Suc \ m \Rightarrow \\ do \ \{ \\ r \leftarrow drop\text{-}tm \ m \ xs; \\ return \ r \\ \}) \end{array}$

lemma val-drop-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (drop-tm n xs) = drop n xsby (induction n xs rule: drop-tm.induct) (simp-all split: nat.splits)

lemma time-drop-tm: time $(drop-tm \ n \ xs) = min \ n \ (length \ xs) + 1$ by $(induction \ n \ xs \ rule: \ drop-tm.induct) \ (simp-all \ split: \ nat.splits)$

```
lemma time-drop-tm-le: time (drop-tm n xs) \leq n + 1
by (simp add: time-drop-tm)
```

```
6.4.3 (@)
```

```
fun append-tm :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list tm where
append-tm [] ys =1 return ys
| append-tm (x \# xs) ys =1 do {
r \leftarrow append-tm xs ys;
return (x \# r)
}
```

lemma val-append-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (append-tm xs ys) = append xs ysby (induction xs ys rule: append-tm.induct) simp-all

lemma time-append-tm[simp]: time (append-tm xs ys) = length xs + 1by (induction xs ys rule: append-tm.induct) simp-all

6.4.4 fold

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{fun fold-tm where} \\ fold-tm f [] s = 1 return s \\ \mid fold-tm f (x \ \# \ xs) \ s = 1 \ do \ \{ \\ r \leftarrow f \ x \ s; \\ fold-tm f \ xs \ r \\ \} \end{array}
```

lemma val-fold-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (fold-tm f xs s) = fold ($\lambda x y$. val (f x y)) xs s

by (*induction xs s rule: fold-tm.induct; simp*)

lemma time-fold-tm-Cons: time (fold-tm ($\lambda x y$. return (x # y)) xs s) = length xs + 1

by (*induction xs arbitrary: s; simp*)

6.4.5 rev

definition rev-tm where rev-tm xs =1 fold-tm ($\lambda x y$. return (x # y)) xs []

lemma val-rev-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (rev-tm xs) = rev xs
by (induction xs; simp add: rev-tm-def fold-Cons-rev)

lemma time-rev-tm-le[simp]: time (rev-tm xs) = length xs + 2unfolding rev-tm-def using time-fold-tm-Cons by auto

6.4.6 replicate

fun replicate-tm :: $nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ list tm where replicate-tm 0 x =1 return [] | replicate-tm (Suc n) x =1 do { r \leftarrow replicate-tm n x; return (x # r) }

lemma val-replicate-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (replicate-tm n x) = replicate n xby (induction n x rule: replicate-tm.induct) simp-all

lemma time-replicate-tm: time (replicate-tm n x) = n + 1by (induction n x rule: replicate-tm.induct) simp-all

6.4.7 *length*

fun gen-length-tm :: nat \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow nat tm where gen-length-tm n [] =1 return n | gen-length-tm n (x # xs) =1 gen-length-tm (Suc n) xs

lemma val-gen-length-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (gen-length-tm n xs) = List.gen-length n xs

by (induction n xs rule: gen-length-tm.induct) (simp-all add: List.gen-length-def)

lemma time-gen-length-tm[simp]: time (gen-length-tm n xs) = length xs + 1by (induction n xs rule: gen-length-tm.induct) simp-all

definition *length-tm* :: 'a *list* \Rightarrow *nat tm* where *length-tm* $xs = gen-length-tm \ 0 \ xs$

lemma val-length-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (length-tm xs) = length xs **by** (simp add: length-tm-def length-code)

lemma time-length-tm[simp]: time (length-tm xs) = length xs + 1by (simp add: length-tm-def)

6.4.8 *List.null*

fun null-tm :: 'a list \Rightarrow bool tm **where**

null-tm [] = 1 return True| null-tm (x # xs) = 1 return False

lemma val-null-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (null-tm xs) = List.null xs
by (cases xs; simp add: List.null-def)

lemma time-null-tm[simp]: time (null-tm xs) = 1 **by** (cases xs; simp)

6.4.9 butlast

fun butlast-tm :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list tm where
butlast-tm [] =1 return []
| butlast-tm (x # xs) =1 do {
 b \leftarrow null-tm xs;
 if b then return [] else do {
 r \leftarrow butlast-tm xs;
 return (x # r)
 }
}

lemma val-butlast-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (butlast-tm xs) = butlast xs **by** (induction xs rule: butlast-tm.induct) (simp-all add: List.null-def)

lemma time-butlast-tm: time (butlast-tm xs) = 2 * (length xs - 1) + 1 + of-bool (length $xs \ge 1$)

by (induction xs rule: butlast-tm.induct) (auto simp: List.null-def not-less-eq-eq)

lemma time-butlast-tm-le: time (butlast-tm xs) $\leq 2 * length xs + 1$ unfolding time-butlast-tm by (cases xs; simp)

6.4.10 map

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{fun} \ map-tm :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \ tm) \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'b \ list \ tm \ \mathbf{where} \\ map-tm \ f \ [] = 1 \ return \ [] \\ | \ map-tm \ f \ (x \ \# \ xs) = 1 \ do \ \{ \\ r \leftarrow f \ x; \\ rs \leftarrow map-tm \ f \ xs; \\ return \ (r \ \# \ rs) \\ \} \end{array}$

lemma val-map-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (map-tm f xs) = map (λx . val (f x)) xs by (induction f xs rule: map-tm.induct) simp-all

lemma time-map-tm: time (map-tm f xs) = $(\sum i \leftarrow xs. time (f i)) + length xs + 1$

by (induction f xs rule: map-tm.induct) (simp-all)

lemma time-map-tm-constant: assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in set xs \implies time (f i) = c$

shows time (map-tm f xs) = (c + 1) * length xs + 1proof have time (map-tm f xs) = $(\sum i \leftarrow xs. time (f i)) + length xs + 1$ **by** (*simp add: time-map-tm*) also have ... = $(\sum i \leftarrow xs. c) + length xs + 1$ using assms iffD2[OF map-eq-conv, of xs] by metis also have $\dots = c * length xs + length xs + 1$ using sum-list-triv[of c xs] by simp finally show ?thesis by simp qed **lemma** time-map-tm-bounded: **assumes** $\bigwedge i. i \in set xs \implies time (f i) \leq c$ shows time $(map-tm f xs) \leq (c + 1) * length xs + 1$ proof have time (map-tm f xs) = $(\sum i \leftarrow xs. time (f i)) + length xs + 1$ **by** (*simp add: time-map-tm*) also have $\dots \leq (\sum i \leftarrow xs. c) + length xs + 1$ by (intro add-mono order.refl sum-list-mono assms) argo also have $\dots = c * length xs + length xs + 1$ using sum-list-triv[of c xs] by simp finally show ?thesis by simp

qed

6.4.11 foldl

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{fun} \ foldl\text{-}tm :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a \ tm) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ tm \ \mathbf{where} \\ foldl\text{-}tm \ f \ a \ [] = 1 \ return \ a \\ | \ foldl\text{-}tm \ f \ a \ (x \ \# \ xs) = 1 \ do \ \{ \\ r \leftarrow f \ a \ x; \\ foldl\text{-}tm \ f \ r \ xs \\ \} \end{array}$

lemma val-foldl-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (foldl-tm f a xs) = foldl ($\lambda x y$. val (f x y)) a xs

by (*induction* f a xs rule: foldl-tm.induct; simp)

6.4.12 concat

```
fun concat-tm where

concat-tm [] =1 return []

| concat-tm (x \# xs) = 1 do {

r \leftarrow \text{concat-tm } xs;

append-tm x r

}
```

lemma val-concat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (concat-tm xs) = concat xs **by** (induction xs; simp) **lemma** time-concat-tm[simp]: time (concat-tm xs) = 1 + 2 * length xs + length (concat xs)

by (*induction xs*; *simp*)

6.4.13 (!)

fun nth-tm **where** nth-tm $(x \# xs) \ 0 = 1$ return x | nth-tm $(x \# xs) \ (Suc \ i) = 1$ nth-tm xs i | nth-tm $[] \ -=1$ undefined

lemma val-nth-tm[simp, val-simp]: assumes i < length xsshows val (nth-tm xs i) = xs ! iusing assms proof (induction i arbitrary: xs) case 0then show ?case using length-greater-0-conv[of xs] neq-Nil-conv[of xs] by auto next case (Suc i) then obtain x xs' where xsr: xs = x # xs' by (meson Suc-lessE length-Suc-conv) then have i < length xs' using Suc.prems by simp from Suc.IH[OF this] show ?case unfolding xsr by simp

```
lemma time-nth-tm[simp]:

assumes i < length xs

shows time (nth-tm xs i) = i + 1

using assms

proof (induction i arbitrary: xs)

case 0

then show ?case using length-greater-0-conv[of xs] neq-Nil-conv[of xs] by auto

next

case (Suc i)

then obtain x xs' where xsr: xs = x \# xs' by (meson Suc-lessE length-Suc-conv)

then have i < length xs' using Suc.prems by simp

from Suc.IH[OF this] show ?case unfolding xsr by simp

qed
```

6.4.14 zip

qed

fun zip-tm :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'b list \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) list tm where zip-tm xs [] =1 return [] | zip-tm [] ys =1 return [] | zip-tm (x # xs) (y # ys) =1 do { rs \leftarrow zip-tm xs ys; return ((x, y) # rs) }

lemma val-zip-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (zip-tm xs ys) = zip xs ys
by (induction xs ys rule: zip-tm.induct; simp)

lemma time-zip-tm[simp]: time (zip-tm xs ys) = min (length xs) (length ys) + 1

by (*induction xs ys rule: zip-tm.induct; simp*)

```
6.4.15
         map2
definition map2-tm where
map2-tm f xs ys = 1 do \{
 xys \leftarrow zip tm xs ys;
 map-tm (\lambda(x,y), f x y) xys
}
lemma val-map2-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (map2-tm f xs ys) = map2 (\lambda x y. val (f
(x y)) xs ys
 unfolding map2-tm-def by (simp split: prod.splits)
lemma time-map2-tm-bounded:
 assumes length xs = length ys
 assumes \bigwedge x \ y. \ x \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow y \in set \ ys \Longrightarrow time \ (f \ x \ y) \le c
 shows time (map2\text{-}tm f xs ys) \leq (c + 2) * length xs + 3
proof -
  have time (map2-tm f xs ys) = length xs + 2 + time (map-tm (\lambda(x, y), f x y))
(zip xs ys))
   unfolding map2-tm-def by (simp add: assms)
 also have \dots \leq length xs + 2 + ((c + 1) * length (zip xs ys) + 1)
   apply (intro add-mono order.refl time-map-tm-bounded)
   using assms by (auto split: prod.splits elim: in-set-zipE)
 also have \dots = (c + 2) * length xs + 3
   using assms by simp
 finally show ?thesis .
qed
6.4.16
          upt
function upt-tm where
upt-tm i j = 1 do \{
 b \leftarrow less-nat-tm \ i \ j;
 (if b then do \{
   rs \leftarrow upt-tm (Suc i) j;
   return (i \# rs)
  } else return [] )
}
 by pat-completeness auto
termination by (relation Wellfounded.measure (\lambda(i, j), j - i)) simp-all
declare upt-tm.simps[simp del]
lemma val-upt-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (upt-tm i j) = [i..<j]
 apply (induction i j rule: upt-tm.induct)
 subgoal for i j
   by (cases i < j; simp add: upt-tm.simps[of i j] upt-conv-Cons)
 done
lemma time-upt-tm-le: time (upt-tm i j) \leq (j - i) * (2 * j + 3) + 2 * j + 2
```

proof (*induction i j rule: upt-tm.induct*) case $(1 \ i \ j)$ then show ?case **proof** (cases i < j) case True then have time $(upt-tm \ i \ j) = (2 * i + 3) + time (upt-tm \ (Suc \ i) \ j)$ **unfolding** *upt-tm.simps*[*of i j*] *tm-time-simps* **by** (*simp add: time-less-nat-tm*) also have ... $\leq (2 * j + 3) + ((j - Suc \ i) * (2 * j + 3) + 2 * j + 2)$ **apply** (*intro add-mono mult-le-mono order.refl*) subgoal using True by simp subgoal using 1 True by simp done also have ... = $(j - Suc \ i + 1) * (2 * j + 3) + 2 * j + 2$ by simp also have $j - Suc \ i + 1 = (j - i)$ using True by simp finally show ?thesis . next case False then show ?thesis by (simp add: upt-tm.simps[of i j] time-less-nat-tm) qed qed

lemma time-upt-tm-le': time (upt-tm i j) $\leq 2 * j * j + 5 * j + 2$ **apply** (intro order.trans[OF time-upt-tm-le[of i j]]) **apply** (estimation estimate: diff-le-self) **by** (simp add: add-mult-distrib2)

6.5 Syntactic sugar

consts equal-tm :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool tm$ **adhoc-overloading** equal-tm \rightleftharpoons equal-nat-tm **adhoc-overloading** equal-tm \rightleftharpoons equal-bool-tm

consts *plus-tm* :: $a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow a$ *tm* **adhoc-overloading** *plus-tm* \Rightarrow *plus-nat-tm*

consts times-tm :: $a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow a$ tm adhoc-overloading times-tm \Rightarrow times-nat-tm

consts power-tm :: $a \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow a tm$ adhoc-overloading power-tm \Rightarrow power-nat-tm

consts minus-tm :: $a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow a$ tm adhoc-overloading minus-tm \Rightarrow minus-nat-tm

consts *less-tm* :: $a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow bool tm$ **adhoc-overloading** *less-tm* \Rightarrow *less-nat-tm* **consts** *less-eq-tm* :: $a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow bool tm$ **adhoc-overloading** *less-eq-tm* \Rightarrow *less-eq-nat-tm*

consts divide-tm :: $a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow a$ tm adhoc-overloading divide-tm \Rightarrow divide-nat-tm

consts mod- $tm :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a tm$ **adhoc-overloading** mod- $tm \Rightarrow mod$ -nat-tm

```
open-bundle main-tm-syntax
begin
notation equal-tm (infix) \langle =_t \rangle 51)
notation Not-tm (\langle \neg_t \rightarrow [40] 40)
notation conj-tm (infixr \langle \wedge_t \rangle 35)
notation disj-tm (infixr \langle \lor_t \rangle \ 3\theta)
notation append-tm (infixr \langle @_t \rangle \ 65)
notation plus-tm (infix) \langle +_t \rangle 65)
notation times-tm (infix) \langle *_t \rangle 70)
notation power-tm (infixr \langle \hat{t} \rangle 80)
notation minus-tm (infixl \langle -t \rangle 65)
notation less-tm (infix \langle \langle t \rangle \rangle 50)
notation less-eq-tm (infix \langle \leq_t \rangle 50)
notation mod-tm (infixl \langle mod_t \rangle 70)
notation divide-tm (infix) \langle div_t \rangle 70)
notation dvd-tm (infix \langle dvd_t \rangle 50)
end
```

end

7 Representations

7.1 Abstract Representations

theory Abstract-Representations imports Main begin

Idea: some type 'a is represented non-uniquely by some type 'b. The function f produces a unique representant.

```
locale abstract-representation =

fixes from-type :: a \Rightarrow b

fixes to-type :: b \Rightarrow a

fixes f :: b \Rightarrow b

assumes to-from: to-type \circ from-type = id

assumes from-to: from-type \circ to-type = f

begin
```

```
lemma to-from-elem[simp]: to-type (from-type x) = x
using to-from by (metis comp-apply id-apply)
```

lemma from-to-elem: from-type $(to-type \ x) = f \ x$ using from-to by (metis comp-apply) **lemma** *f*-*idem*: $f \circ f = f$ proof – have $f \circ f = from$ -type \circ to-type \circ from-type \circ to-type using from-to by fastforce also have $\dots = from$ -type \circ to-type using to-from by (simp add: rewriteR-comp-comp) finally show ?thesis using from-to by simp qed **corollary** *f-idem-elem*[*simp*]: f(fx) = fxusing *f*-idem by (metis comp-apply) **lemma** f-from: $f \circ from$ -type = from-type proof have $f \circ from$ -type = from-type \circ to-type \circ from-type using from-to by simp also have $\dots = from$ -type using to-from by (simp add: rewriteR-comp-comp) finally show ?thesis . qed **corollary** *f-from-elem*[*simp*]: f (*from-type* x) = *from-type* xusing *f*-from by (metis comp-apply) **lemma** to-f: to-type \circ f = to-type proof have to-type $\circ f = to$ -type \circ from-type \circ to-type using from-to by fastforce also have $\dots = to$ -type using to-from by simp finally show ?thesis . qed **corollary** to-f-elem[simp]: to-type (f x) = to-type x using to-f by (metis comp-apply) **lemma** f-fixed-point-iff: $f x = x \leftrightarrow (\exists y. x = from\text{-type } y)$ proof assume f x = xthen show $\exists y. x = from - type y$ using from -to - elem by metis \mathbf{next} assume $\exists y. x = from - type y$ then obtain y where x = from-type y by blast then show f x = x by simpged

lemma *f*-fixed-point-iff': $f x = x \leftrightarrow x =$ from-type (to-type x)

```
using from-to by auto
```

```
lemma range-f-range-from: range f = range from-type
proof (standard; standard)
 fix x
 assume x \in range f
 then obtain x' where x = f x' by blast
 then have f x = x by simp
 then show x \in range from-type using f-fixed-point-iff by blast
\mathbf{next}
 fix x
 assume x \in range from-type
 then obtain y where x = from-type y by blast
 then have f x = x using f-fixed-point-iff by simp
 then show x \in range f by (metis rangeI)
qed
lemma to-eq-iff-f-eq: to-type x = to-type y \leftrightarrow f x = f y
proof
 show to-type x = to-type y \Longrightarrow f x = f y using from-to-elem[symmetric] by simp
\mathbf{next}
 show f x = f y \implies to-type x = to-type y using to-f-elem by metis
qed
lemma from-inj: inj from-type
 using to-from by (metis inj-on-id inj-on-imageI2)
end
lemma from-to-f-criterion:
 assumes to-type \circ from-type = id
 assumes f \circ from-type = from-type
 assumes \bigwedge x \ y. to-type x = to-type y \Longrightarrow f \ x = f \ y
 shows from-type \circ to-type = f
proof
 fix x
 have to-type (from-type (to-type x)) = to-type x
   using assms(1) by (metis comp-apply id-apply)
 hence f (from-type (to-type x)) = f x
   using assms(3) by metis
 hence from-type (to-type x) = f x
   using assms(2) by (metis comp-apply)
 thus (from-type \circ to-type) x = f x
   by (metis comp-apply)
qed
```

 \mathbf{end}

7.2 Abstract Representations 2

theory Abstract-Representations-2 imports Main begin

Idea: a subset *represented-set* of some type 'a is represented non-uniquely by some type 'b.

locale abstract-representation-2 = **fixes** from-type :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b **fixes** to-type :: 'b \Rightarrow 'a **fixes** represented-set :: 'a set **assumes** to-from: $\bigwedge x. \ x \in$ represented-set \Longrightarrow to-type (from-type x) = x **assumes** to-type-in-represented-set: $\bigwedge y.$ to-type $y \in$ represented-set **begin**

definition reduce where reduce $x \equiv$ from-type (to-type x)

abbreviation reduced where reduced $x \equiv$ reduce x = x

lemma reduce-reduce[simp]: reduced (reduce x)
unfolding reduce-def
by (simp add: to-from to-type-in-represented-set)

```
definition representations where
representations \equiv from-type ' represented-set
```

```
lemma range-reduce: representations = range reduce
 unfolding representations-def reduce-def
 image-def
 apply (intro equalityI subsetI)
 subgoal for x
 proof –
   assume x \in \{y, \exists x \in represented\text{-set}, y = from\text{-type } x\}
   then have \exists y \in represented-set. x = from-type y by simp
   then obtain y where x = from-type y \ y \in represented-set by blast
   then have to-type x = y using to-from by simp
   then have x = from-type (to-type x) using \langle x = from-type y by simp
   then show ?thesis by blast
 qed
 subgoal for x
   using to-type-in-represented-set by blast
 done
```

corollary reduced-from-type[simp]: $x \in$ represented-set \implies reduced (from-type x) using range-reduce representations-def reduce-reduce by force

lemma to-type-reduce: to-type (reduce x) = to-type x

```
unfolding reduce-def
 by (simp add: to-from to-type-in-represented-set)
lemma reduced-iff: reduced x \leftrightarrow (\exists y \in represented-set. x = from-type y)
 apply standard
 subgoal
   using reduce-def to-type-in-represented-set by metis
 subgoal
   by fastforce
 done
lemma to-eq-iff-f-eq: to-type x = to-type y \leftrightarrow reduce x = reduce y
proof
  show to-type x = to-type y \implies reduce x = reduce y unfolding reduce-def by
simp
next
  show reduce x = reduce \ y \Longrightarrow to-type \ x = to-type \ y using to-type-reduce by
metis
qed
lemma from-inj: inj-on from-type represented-set
 unfolding inj-on-def
 apply standard+
 subgoal for x y
   using to-from[of x, symmetric] to-from[of y] by simp
 done
corollary from-bij-betw: bij-betw from-type represented-set representations
  unfolding representations-def
 using from-inj
 by (simp add: inj-on-imp-bij-betw)
lemma correctness-to-from:
 fixes h :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a
 fixes g :: 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b
 assumes \bigwedge x y. to-type (g x y) = h (to-type x) (to-type y)
 shows \bigwedge x \ y. \ x \in represented-set \Longrightarrow y \in represented-set \Longrightarrow reduce (g (from-type
x) (from-type \ y)) = from-type \ (h \ x \ y)
proof –
 fix x y
 assume x \in represented-set y \in represented-set
  have reduce (g (from-type x) (from-type y)) = from-type (to-type (g (from-type y)))
x) (from-type y)))
   unfolding reduce-def by simp
 also have \dots = from-type (h (to-type (from-type x)) (to-type (from-type y)))
   using assms by simp
 also have \dots = from-type (h \ x \ y)
   using to-from \langle x \in represented\text{-set} \rangle \langle y \in represented\text{-set} \rangle by simp
 finally show reduce (g (from-type x) (from-type y)) = from-type (h x y).
```

qed end

```
lemma from-to-f-criterion:
  assumes \bigwedge x. \ x \in represented\text{-set} \implies to\text{-type} \ (from\text{-type} \ x) = x
 assumes \bigwedge x. x \in represented\text{-set} \Longrightarrow f (from\text{-type } x) = from\text{-type } x
 assumes \bigwedge x \ y. to-type x = to-type y \Longrightarrow f \ x = f \ y
 assumes \bigwedge y. to-type y \in represented-set
  shows \bigwedge x. from-type (to-type \ x) = f \ x
proof -
  fix x
  have to-type (from-type (to-type x)) = to-type x
   using assms(1) assms(4) by simp
  hence f (from-type (to-type x)) = f x
   using assms(3) by metis
  thus from-type (to-type \ x) = f \ x
   using assms(2) assms(4) by simp
qed
end
theory Nat-LSBF
```

```
imports Main ../Preliminaries/Karatsuba-Sum-Lemmas Abstract-Representations
HOL-Library.Log-Nat
begin
```

8 Representing *nat* in LSBF

In this theory, a representation of *nat* is chosen and simple algorithms implemented thereon.

lemma list-isolate-nth: $i < length xs \implies \exists xs1 xs2. xs = xs1 @ (xs ! i) # xs2 \land length xs1 = i$ using id-take-nth-drop by fastforce

```
lemma list-is-replicate-iff: xs = replicate (length xs) x \leftrightarrow (\forall i \in \{0..< length xs\}.
xs ! i = x)
proof
assume 1: <math>xs = replicate (length xs) x
show \forall i \in \{0..< length xs\}. xs ! i = x
using 1 nth-replicate[of - length xs x] by auto
next
assume \forall i \in \{0..< length xs\}. xs ! i = x
then have \forall i \in \{0..< length xs\}. xs ! i = (replicate (length xs) x) ! i
using nth-replicate by auto
then show xs = replicate (length xs) x
using nth-equalityI[of xs replicate (length xs) x] by simp
qed
```

lemma *list-is-replicate-iff2*: xs = replicate (length xs) $x \leftrightarrow set xs = \{x\} \lor xs =$ by (metis empty-replicate length-0-conv replicate-eqI set-replicate singleton-iff) **lemma** set-bool-list: set $xs \subseteq \{True, False\}$ **by** *auto* **lemma** bool-list-is-replicate-if: **assumes** $a \notin set xs$ shows $xs = replicate (length xs) (\neg a)$ **proof** (*intro iffD2*[OF list-is-replicate-iff2]) **from** assms set-bool-list **have** set $xs \subseteq \{\neg a\}$ by fastforce then have set $xs = \{\neg a\} \lor set xs = \{\}$ by (meson subset-singletonD) then show set $xs = \{\neg a\} \lor xs = []$ by simp qed **lemma** bit-strong-decomp-2: $\exists ys zs. xs = ys @ a \# zs \Longrightarrow \exists ys' n. xs = ys' @ a$ # (replicate $n (\neg a)$) proof assume $\exists ys zs. xs = ys @ a \# zs$ then have $a \in set xs$ by *auto* from split-list-last[OF this] obtain ys zs where $xs = ys @ a \# zs a \notin set zs$ by blastfrom this(2) have zs = replicate (length zs) ($\neg a$) by (intro bool-list-is-replicate-if) with $\langle xs = ys @ a \# zs \rangle$ show ?thesis by blast qed **lemma** bit-strong-decomp-1: $\exists ys zs. xs = ys @ a \# zs \implies \exists ys' n. xs = (replicate)$ $n (\neg a) @ a \# ys'$ proof **assume** $\exists ys zs. xs = ys @ a \# zs$ then obtain ys zs where xs = ys @ a # zs by blast then have rev xs = rev zs @ [a] @ rev ys by simp then obtain n ys' where $rev xs = ys' @ [a] @ replicate n (\neg a)$ using *bit-strong-decomp-2*[of rev xs a] by auto then have $xs = replicate \ n \ (\neg \ a) \ @ [a] \ @ rev \ ys'$ **by** (*metis append-assoc rev-append rev-replicate rev-rev-ident rev-singleton-conv*) thus ?thesis by auto qed

8.1 Type definition

type-synonym nat- $lsbf = bool \ list$

8.2 Conversions

fun eval-bool :: bool \Rightarrow nat where eval-bool True = 1 | eval-bool False = 0

lemma eval-bool-is-of-bool[simp]: eval-bool = of-bool

by *auto*

lemma eval-bool-leq-1: eval-bool $a \leq 1$ by (cases a) simp-all $\mathbf{lemma} \ eval\text{-}bool\text{-}inj\text{:} \ eval\text{-}bool\ a = \ eval\text{-}bool\ b \Longrightarrow a = \ b$ by (cases a; cases b) simp-all **fun** to-nat :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat where to-nat [] = 0| to-nat (x # xs) = (eval-bool x) + 2 * to-nat xs**fun** from-nat :: $nat \Rightarrow nat$ -lsbf **where** from-nat $\theta = []$ | from-nat $x = (if x mod \ 2 = 0 then False else True) \#(from-nat (x div \ 2))$ value from-nat 103 value to-nat (from-nat 103) **lemma** to-nat-from-nat[simp]: to-nat (from-nat x) = x**proof** (*induction x rule*: *less-induct*) case (less x) consider $x = \theta \mid x > \theta$ by *auto* then show ?case **proof** (cases) case 1then show ?thesis by simp next case 2then have to-nat (from-nat x) = eval-bool (if x mod 2 = 0 then False elseTrue) + 2 * to-nat (from-nat (x div 2)) by (metis from-nat.elims nat-less-le to-nat.simps(2)) also have $\dots = (x \mod 2) + 2 * to-nat (from-nat (x \dim 2))$ by simp **also have** ... = $(x \mod 2) + 2 * (x \dim 2)$ using less 2 by simp also have $\dots = x$ by simpfinally show ?thesis . qed qed **lemma** to-nat-explicitly: to-nat $xs = (\sum i \leftarrow [0.. < length xs]. eval-bool (xs ! i) * 2$ \hat{i} **proof** (*induction xs rule*: *to-nat.induct*) case 1 then show ?case by simp \mathbf{next} case (2 x xs)let $?xs = \lambda i. eval-bool ((x \# xs) ! i)$

have $(\sum i \leftarrow [0.. < length (x \# xs)])$. ?xs $i * 2 \cap i$) = $2xs \ 0 + (\sum i \leftarrow [1.. < length (x \# xs)])$. $2xs \ i + 2 \ i)$ **by** (*simp add: upt-rec*) also have $\dots = 2xs \ 0 + (\sum i \leftarrow [0 \dots < length xs]) \ 2xs \ (i+1) + 2 \ (i+1))$ using list-sum-index-shift[of - length xs 0 λi . ?xs $i * 2 \hat{i}$] by simp also have ... = $?xs \ 0 + 2 * (\sum i \leftarrow [0.. < length xs]. ?xs (i + 1) * 2 \ i)$ **by** (*simp add: sum-list-const-mult mult.left-commute*) also have $\dots = ?xs \ \theta + 2 * to-nat xs$ using 2 by simp also have $\dots = to$ -nat (x # xs) by simp finally show ?case by simp qed **lemma** to-nat-app: to-nat $(xs @ ys) = to-nat xs + (2 \cap length xs) * to-nat ys$ by (induction xs) auto **lemma** to-nat-length-upper-bound: to-nat $xs \leq 2$ (length xs) - 1**proof** (*induction xs*) case Nil then show ?case by simp next **case** (Cons a xs) then have to-nat (a # xs) = eval-bool a + 2 * to-nat xs by simp also have $\dots \leq eval\text{-bool} \ a + 2 * (2 \cap (length \ xs) - 1)$ using Cons.IH by simp also have $\dots \leq 1 + 2 * (2 \cap (length xs) - 1)$ using eval-bool-leq-1[of a] by simp also have ... = $1 + (2 \cap (length xs + 1) - 1 - 1)$ by simp also have ... = 2 (length xs + 1) - 1**apply** (*intro add-diff-inverse-nat*) using power-increasing of 1 length xs + 1 2::nat **by** (*simp add: add.commute*) finally show ?case by simp qed **lemma** to-nat-length-bound: to-nat xs < 2 $\widehat{}$ length xs**using** to-nat-length-upper-bound[of xs] using *le-eq-less-or-eq* by *fastforce* **lemma** to-nat-length-lower-bound: to-nat (xs @ [True]) ≥ 2 ^ length xs **by** (*induction xs*) *auto* **lemma** to-nat-replicate-false[simp]: to-nat (replicate n False) = 0by $(induction \ n) \ simp-all$ **lemma** to-nat-one-bit[simp]: to-nat (replicate n False @ [True]) = $2 \uparrow n$ **by** (*simp add: to-nat-app*) **lemma** to-nat-replicate-true[simp]: to-nat (replicate n True) = $2 \ \widehat{} n - 1$ **proof** (*induction* n) case θ then show ?case by simp

\mathbf{next}

case (Suc n) have $2 \cap (Suc \ n) \ge (2 :: nat)$ by simp hence 1: $2 \cap (Suc \ n) - 1 \ge (1 :: nat)$ by linarith have to-nat (replicate (Suc n) True) = 1 + 2 * to-nat (replicate n True) by simp also have ... = $1 + 2 * (2 \cap n - 1)$ using Suc.IH by simp also have $\dots = 2 \cap (Suc \ n) - 1$ using *le-add-diff-inverse* [of $1 \ 2 \ \widehat{} (Suc \ n) - 1$] using 1 by simp finally show ?case . qed **lemma** to-nat $xs = 0 \iff (\exists n. xs = replicate n False)$ proof **show** to-nat $xs = 0 \implies \exists n. xs = replicate n False$ **proof** (*induction xs*) case Nil then show ?case by simp next case (Cons a xs) then have a = False to-nat xs = 0 by auto then obtain *n* where xs = replicate n False using Cons.IH by auto hence a # xs = replicate (Suc n) False using $\langle a = False \rangle$ by simp then show ?case by blast qed **show** $\exists n. xs = replicate \ n \ False \implies to-nat \ xs = 0$ $\mathbf{using} \ to\mbox{-}nat\mbox{-}replicate\mbox{-}false \ \mathbf{by} \ auto$ qed **lemma** to-nat-app-replicate[simp]: to-nat (xs @ replicate n False) = to-nat xs**by** (*induction xs*) *auto* **lemma** change-bit-ineq: length $xs = length ys \implies to-nat$ (xs @ False # zs) < to-nat (ys @ True # zs) proof – **assume** length xs = length ys have to-nat (xs @ False # zs) = to-nat xs + 2 $\widehat{}$ (length xs + 1) * to-nat zs **using** to-nat-app-replicate[of xs 1] to-nat-app **by** simp also have $\dots \leq 2$ (length xs) - 1 + 2 (length xs + 1) * to-nat zsusing to-nat-length-upper-bound of xs] by linarith also have $\ldots < 2^{(length xs)} + 2^{(length xs + 1)} * to-nat zs by simp$ also have $\dots = 2 \cap (length \ ys) + 2 \cap (length \ ys + 1) * to-nat \ zs$ **using** $\langle length \ xs = length \ ys \rangle$ **by** simpalso have $\dots \leq to\text{-nat} (ys @ [True]) + 2 \cap (length ys + 1) * to\text{-nat } zs$ using to-nat-length-lower-bound of ys by simp also have $\dots = to\text{-}nat (ys @ True \# zs)$

using to-nat-app by simp

finally show ?thesis . qed

lemma to-nat-ineq-imp-False-bit: to-nat xs < 2 $\widehat{}$ length $xs - 1 \Longrightarrow \exists ys zs. xs =$ ys @ False # zs**proof** (*rule ccontr*) **assume** $\nexists ys zs. xs = ys @ False \# zs$ then have $\forall i \in \{0.. < length xs\}$. xs ! i = Trueby (metis(full-types) at Least Less Than-iff in-set-conv-decomp-first in-set-conv-nth)then have xs = replicate (length xs) True using list-is-replicate-iff by fast then have to-nat xs = 2 `length xs - 1 using to-nat-replicate-true by metis thus to-nat xs < 2 $\widehat{}$ length $xs - 1 \Longrightarrow$ False by simp qed **lemma** to-nat-bound-to-length-bound: to-nat $xs \ge 2 \ \hat{} n \Longrightarrow$ length $xs \ge n + 1$ **proof** (*rule ccontr*) assume to-nat $xs \geq 2 \cap n$ **assume** $\neg n + 1 \leq length xs$ then have $n \ge length xs$ by simp then have to-nat $xs \ge 2$ $\widehat{}$ length xs using $\langle to-nat \ xs \ge 2 \ \widehat{} \ n \rangle$ $\mathbf{using} \ power-increasing \ le-trans \ one-le-numeral \ \mathbf{by} \ meson$ then show False using to-nat-length-bound [of xs] by simp qed **lemma** to-nat-drop-take: to-nat xs = to-nat (take k xs) + 2 ^ k * to-nat (drop k xs) proof have $xs = take \ k \ xs \ @ \ drop \ k \ xs \ by \ simp$ then have to-nat xs = to-nat $(take \ k \ xs) + 2 \ \widehat{} (length \ (take \ k \ xs)) * to$ -nat $(drop \ k \ xs)$ using to-nat-app by metis also have $2 \cap (length (take k xs)) * to-nat (drop k xs) = 2 \cap k * to-nat (drop k$ xs)by (cases length xs < k) simp-all finally show ?thesis . qed **lemma** to-nat-take: to-nat (take k xs) = to-nat xs mod $2 \land k$ proof have to-nat xs = to-nat $(take \ k \ xs) + 2 \ k \ * to$ -nat $(drop \ k \ xs)$ **by** (*simp add: to-nat-drop-take*) then have to-nat xs mod $2 \ \hat{k} =$ to-nat (take k xs) mod $2 \ \hat{k}$ by simp moreover have to-nat (take k xs) < 2 \hat{k} using to-nat-length-bound of take k xs length-take of k xs

by (*metis* add-leD1 leI min-absorb2 min-def to-nat-bound-to-length-bound) ultimately show ?thesis by simp

qed

lemma to-nat-drop: to-nat $(drop \ k \ xs) = to-nat \ xs \ div \ 2 \ \widehat{} k$

```
proof -
 have to-nat xs = to-nat xs \mod 2 \land k + 2 \land k * to-nat (drop \ k \ xs)
   using to-nat-drop-take [of xs k] to-nat-take [of k xs] by argo
 then have to-nat xs div 2 \ k = to-nat (drop \ k \ xs)
    by (metis add.right-neutral bits-mod-div-trivial div-mult-self2 power-not-zero
zero-neq-numeral)
  thus ?thesis by rule
qed
lemma to-nat-nth-True-bound:
 assumes i < length xs
 assumes xs \mid i = True
 shows to-nat xs \geq 2 \hat{\ }i
proof -
  from assms have xs = (take \ i \ xs \ @ \ [True]) \ @ \ drop \ (Suc \ i) \ xs
   using id-take-nth-drop by fastforce
 then show to-nat xs \geq 2 \hat{i}
   using to-nat-app[of - drop (Suc i) xs] to-nat-length-lower-bound[of take i xs] <i
\langle length xs \rangle
  by (metis append-eq-conv-conj le-add1 le-eq-less-or-eq list-isolate-nth trans-less-add1)
qed
```

8.3 Truncating and filling

fun truncate-reversed :: bool list \Rightarrow bool list **where** truncate-reversed [] = [] | truncate-reversed (x#xs) = (if x then x#xs else truncate-reversed xs)

definition truncate :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf where truncate xs = rev (truncate-reversed (rev xs))

abbreviation truncated where truncated $x \equiv truncate \ x = x$

lemma truncate-reversed-eqI[simp]: $xs = (replicate \ n \ False) @ ys \Longrightarrow$ truncate-reversed xs = truncate-reversed ys

by (induction n arbitrary: xs ys) auto **corollary** truncate-eqI[simp]: xs = ys @ (replicate n False) \implies truncate xs =truncate ys **by** (simp add: truncate-def)

lemma replicate-truncate-reversed: $\exists n.$ (replicate n False) @ truncate-reversed xs = xs **proof** (induction xs) **case** Nil **then show** ?case **by** simp **next case** (Cons a xs) **then obtain** n **where** 1: replicate n False @ truncate-reversed xs = xs **by** blast **hence** a # xs = a # replicate n False @ truncate-reversed xs **by** simp

show ?case **proof** (cases a) case True then have truncate-reversed (a # xs) = a # xs by simp also have $\dots = replicate \ 0 \ False \ 0 \ a \ \# \ xs \ by \ simp$ finally show ?thesis by simp \mathbf{next} case False then have truncate-reversed (a # xs) = truncate-reversed xs by simp hence replicate (Suc n) False @ truncate-reversed (a # xs) = False # replicate n False @ truncate-reversed xsby simp with 1 False have replicate (Suc n) False @ truncate-reversed (a # xs) = a #xs by simp then show ?thesis by blast qed qed **corollary** truncate-replicate: $\exists n$. truncate xs @ (replicate n False) = xsproof – **from** replicate-truncate-reversed[of rev xs] **obtain** n where replicate n False @ truncate-reversed (rev xs) = rev xs by blast **hence** rev (truncate-reversed (rev xs)) @ rev (replicate n False) = xsusing rev-append[symmetric, of truncate-reversed (rev xs) replicate n False] using rev-rev-ident[of xs] by simp hence truncate xs @ replicate n False = xs by (simp add: truncate-def) thus ?thesis by blast ged lemma decompose-trailing-zeros: xs = truncate xs @ (replicate (length xs - length(truncate xs)) False) using truncate-replicate of xs **by** (*metis add-diff-cancel-left' length-append length-replicate*) **lemma** truncate-reversed-length-ineq: length (truncate-reversed xs) \leq length xsby (induction xs) simp-all **lemma** truncate-length-ineq: length (truncate xs) < length xsby (metis Nat-LSBF.truncate-def length-rev truncate-reversed-length-ineq) **lemma** truncate-reversed-fixed-point-iff: truncate-reversed $x = x \leftrightarrow (x = [] \lor hd$ x = True**proof** (*induction* x) case Nil then show ?case by simp \mathbf{next} **case** (Cons a x) then have $(a \# x = [] \lor hd (a \# x) = True) = a$ by simp **moreover have** $a \implies truncate\text{-reversed} (a \# x) = a \# x$ by simp moreover have $\neg a \implies truncate$ -reversed (a # x) = truncate-reversed x by simp

```
hence \neg a \Longrightarrow length (truncate-reversed (a \# x)) \le length x
   using truncate-reversed-length-ineq[of x] by simp
 hence \neg a \Longrightarrow truncate-reversed (a \# x) \neq (a \# x)
   using neq-if-length-neq[of a \# x x] by force
  ultimately show ?case by simp
qed
lemma truncated-iff: truncated x \leftrightarrow (x = [] \lor last x = True)
proof –
 have truncated x \leftrightarrow truncate-reversed (rev x) = rev x
   by (simp add: truncate-def rev-swap)
 also have ... \leftrightarrow rev \ x = [] \lor hd \ (rev \ x) = True
   using truncate-reversed-fixed-point-iff [of rev x].
 also have \dots \leftrightarrow x = [] \lor last x = True
   by (simp add: hd-rev)
 finally show ?thesis .
qed
lemma hd-truncate-reversed: truncate-reversed xs \neq [] \implies hd (truncate-reversed)
xs) = True
proof (induction xs)
 \mathbf{case} \ Nil
  then show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
 case (Cons a xs)
 show ?case
 proof (rule ccontr)
   assume 1: hd (truncate-reversed (a \# xs)) \neq True
   then have a = False by auto
   with 1 have hd (truncate-reversed xs) \neq True by simp
   hence truncate-reversed xs = [] using Cons.IH by blast
   hence truncate-reversed (a \# xs) = [] using \langle a = False \rangle by simp
   thus False using Cons.prems by simp
 qed
qed
```

lemma *last-truncate: truncate* $xs \neq [] \implies last$ (*truncate* xs) = Trueusing *hd-truncate-reversed last-rev* by (*auto simp: truncate-def*)

lemma truncate-truncate[simp]: truncate (truncate xs) = truncate xs using truncated-iff[of truncate xs] last-truncate by auto

```
lemma truncate-reversed-Nil-iff: truncate-reversed xs = [] \longleftrightarrow (\exists n. xs = replicate n False)

proof

show truncate-reversed <math>xs = [] \Longrightarrow \exists n. xs = replicate n False

proof (induction xs)

case Nil
```

then show ?case by simp next case (Cons a xs) then have $a = False \ truncate$ -reversed (a # xs) = truncate-reversed xs**by** (*auto split: if-splits*) then obtain n where xs = replicate n False using Cons by auto hence a # xs = replicate (Suc n) False using $\langle a = False \rangle$ by simp thus ?case by blast qed \mathbf{next} **show** $\exists n. xs = replicate \ n \ False \implies truncate-reversed \ xs = []$ **proof** (*induction xs*) case Nil then show ?case by simp next **case** (Cons a xs) then show ?case by (metis Cons-replicate-eq truncate-reversed.simps(2)) qed qed **lemma** truncate-Nil-iff: truncate $xs = [] \leftrightarrow (\exists n. xs = replicate n False)$ using truncate-reversed-Nil-iff[of rev xs] by (auto simp: truncate-def) (metis rev-replicate rev-rev-ident) **corollary** truncate-neq-Nil: truncate $xs \neq [] \implies \exists ys \ zs. \ xs = ys @$ True $\# \ zs$ using truncate-Nil-iff[of xs] by (metis (full-types) hd-Cons-tl hd-truncate-reversed replicate-truncate-reversed truncate-reversed-Nil-iff) **lemma** truncate-Cons: truncate $(a \# xs) = (if \neg a \land (truncate xs = []) then [] else$ $a \ \# \ truncate \ xs)$ **proof** (cases truncate xs = []) case True then obtain n where xs = replicate n False using truncate-Nil-iff by blast then have truncate (a # xs) = truncate [a] by simp then show ?thesis using True by (simp add: truncate-def) \mathbf{next} case False then obtain ys n where xs = ys @ True # (replicate n False) using truncate-neq-Nil[of xs] bit-strong-decomp-2[of xs True] by auto then have truncate xs = ys @ [True] by (auto simp: truncate-def) moreover have truncate (a # xs) = a # ys @ [True]using $\langle xs = ys @ True \# (replicate \ n \ False) \rangle$ by (auto simp: truncate-def) ultimately show ?thesis by simp qed

lemma truncate-eq-Cons: truncate $xs = truncate \ ys \Longrightarrow truncate \ (a \# xs) = truncate \ (a \# ys)$

using truncate-Cons by simp

```
lemma truncate-as-take: \bigwedge xs. \exists n. truncate xs = take n xs
 using truncate-replicate append-eq-conv-conj by blast
lemma to-nat-zero-iff: to-nat xs = 0 \leftrightarrow truncate xs = []
proof (induction xs)
 case Nil
  then show ?case by (simp add: truncate-def)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Cons a xs)
 have to-nat (a \# xs) = 0 \iff (eval\text{-bool } a = 0 \land to\text{-nat } xs = 0) by simp
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow (a = False \land to\text{-nat } xs = 0) using eval-bool-inj[of a False] by
auto
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow (a = False \land truncate xs = []) using Cons.IH by simp
 also have ... \leftrightarrow (truncate (a # xs) = []) using truncate-Cons by simp
 finally show ?case .
qed
lemma to-nat-eq-imp-truncate-eq: to-nat xs = to-nat ys \Longrightarrow truncate xs = truncate
ys
proof (induction xs arbitrary: ys)
 case Nil
  then show ?case using to-nat-zero-iff by (simp add: truncate-def)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Cons a xs)
 show ?case
  proof (cases ys = [])
   case True
   then have to-nat ys = 0 by simp
   hence to-nat (a \# xs) = 0 using Cons.prems by simp
   with \langle to-nat \ ys = 0 \rangle show truncate (a \ \# \ xs) = truncate \ ys
     using to-nat-zero-iff [of a \# xs] to-nat-zero-iff [of ys] by simp
  next
   case False
   then obtain b zs where ys = b \# zs by (meson neq-Nil-conv)
   then have to-nat (a \# xs) = to-nat (b \# zs) using Cons.prems by simp
   then have 1: eval-bool a + 2 * to-nat xs = eval-bool b + 2 * to-nat zs by simp
   then have eval-bool a = eval-bool b
   by (metis add-cancel-right-left double-not-eq-Suc-double eval-bool.elims plus-1-eq-Suc)
   hence a = b using eval-bool-inj by simp
   from 1 have to-nat xs = to-nat zs
     using \langle eval-bool \ a = eval-bool \ b \rangle by auto
   hence truncate xs = truncate \ zs \ using \ Cons.IH by simp
   hence truncate (a \# xs) = truncate (b \# zs) using \langle a = b \rangle
     using truncate-eq-Cons[of xs zs a] by simp
   thus ?thesis using \langle ys = b \# zs \rangle by simp
 qed
qed
```

lemma truncate-from-nat[simp]: truncate (from-nat x) = from-nat xunfolding truncated-iff **by** (*induction x rule: from-nat.induct*) *auto* **lemma** truncate-and-length-eq-imp-eq: **assumes** truncate xs = truncate ys length xs = length ys shows xs = ysproof **obtain** *n* where 1: xs = truncate xs @ replicate n False**by** (*metis truncate-replicate*) then have 2: length xs = length (truncate xs) + n **by** (*metis length-append length-replicate*) obtain m where 3: ys = truncate ys @ replicate m False**by** (*metis truncate-replicate*) then have length ys = length (truncate ys) + m **by** (*metis length-append length-replicate*) with 2 assms have n = m by simp with 1 3 assms show ?thesis by algebra qed **lemma** *nat-lsbf-eqI*: **assumes** to-nat xs = to-nat ys**assumes** length xs = length ysshows xs = ysusing assms using to-nat-eq-imp-truncate-eq truncate-and-length-eq-imp-eq by blast interpretation nat-lsbf: abstract-representation from-nat to-nat truncate proof **show** to-nat \circ from-nat = id using to-nat-from-nat comp-apply by fastforce \mathbf{next} **show** from-nat \circ to-nat = truncate **using** from-to-f-criterion[of to-nat from-nat truncate] using to-nat-from-nat truncate-from-nat to-nat-eq-imp-truncate-eq using comp-apply by *fastforce* qed

lemma truncated-Cons-imp-truncated-tl: truncated $(x \# xs) \Longrightarrow$ truncated xs using truncated-iff by fastforce

definition fill where fill n xs = xs @ replicate (n - length xs) False

lemma to-nat-fill[simp]: to-nat (fill n xs) = to-nat xs **by** (simp add: fill-def) **lemma** length-fill[intro]: length $xs \leq n \implies$ length (fill n xs) = n**by** (*simp add: fill-def*) **lemma** take-id: length $xs = k \Longrightarrow take \ k \ xs = xs$ by simp **lemma** fill-id: length $xs \ge k \Longrightarrow$ fill k xs = xsunfolding fill-def by simp **lemma** length-fill': length (fill n xs) = max n (length xs) **by** (*simp add: fill-def*) **lemma** *length-fill-max*[*simp*]: length (fill (max (length xs) (length ys)) xs) = max (length xs) (length ys) length (fill (max (length xs) (length ys)) ys) = max (length xs) (length ys) by (intro length-fill, simp)+**lemma** truncate-fill: truncate (fill k xs) = truncate xs**by** (*simp add: fill-def*) **lemma** fill-truncate: length $xs \leq k \Longrightarrow$ fill k (truncate xs) = fill k xsproof – **assume** length $xs \leq k$ **obtain** n where n-def: xs = truncate xs @ replicate n Falseusing truncate-replicate by metis then have length xs = length (truncate xs) + n by (metis length-append length-replicate) then have length (truncate xs) + $n \leq k$ using (length xs $\leq k$) by simp **from** *n*-def **have** fill k xs = (truncate xs @ replicate n False) @ replicate <math>(k - k - k)length (truncate xs @ replicate n False)) False using fill-def by presburger also have $\dots = truncate xs @ replicate (n + (k - length (truncate xs @ replicate)))$ n False))) False by (simp add: replicate-add) also have ... = truncate xs @ replicate (n + (k - (length (truncate xs) + n)))False by simp also have $\dots = truncate xs @ replicate (k - (length (truncate xs))) False$ using (length (truncate xs) + $n \leq k$) by simp also have $\dots = fill \ k \ (truncate \ xs)$ by $(simp \ add: fill-def)$ finally show ?thesis by simp qed **lemma** fill-take-com: fill k (take k xs) = take k (fill k xs) using fill-def by fastforce **lemma** to-nat-length-lower-bound-truncated: $xs \neq [] \implies truncated \ xs \implies to-nat$ $xs \ge 2$ (length xs - 1)proof assume $xs \neq []$ truncated xs

by (*metis*(*full-types*) *append-butlast-last-id last-truncate*) then show ?thesis using to-nat-length-lower-bound [of xs'] by simp qed **lemma** to-nat-length-bound-truncated: truncated $xs \implies$ to-nat $xs < 2 \land n \implies$ length $xs \leq n$ **proof** (*rule ccontr*) **assume** truncated xs to-nat $xs < 2 \cap n \neg$ length $xs \leq n$ show False **proof** (cases xs = []) case True then show ?thesis using $\langle \neg length xs \leq n \rangle$ by simp next case False have length xs > n + 1 using $\langle \neg length xs < n \rangle$ by simp then have to-nat $xs \ge 2 \ \widehat{} n$ **using** to-nat-length-lower-bound-truncated [of xs] using False $\langle truncated xs \rangle$ **by** (meson add-le-imp-le-diff dual-order.trans one-le-numeral power-increasing) then show ?thesis using $\langle to-nat \ xs < 2 \ n \rangle$ by simp qed qed

8.4 Right-shifts

definition shift-right :: $nat \Rightarrow nat$ -lsbf $\Rightarrow nat$ -lsbf where shift-right $n xs = (replicate \ n \ False) @ xs$

then obtain xs' where xs = xs' @ [True]

- **lemma** to-nat-shift-right[simp]: to-nat (shift-right n xs) = $2 \ n *$ to-nat xs**unfolding** shift-right-def **using** to-nat-app **by** simp
- **lemma** length-shift-right[simp]: length (shift-right n xs) = n + length xsunfolding shift-right-def by simp

8.5 Subdividing lists

8.5.1 Splitting a list in two blocks

- **fun** split-at :: nat \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \times 'a list where split-at m xs = (take m xs, drop m xs)
- **definition** split :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \times nat-lsbf where split $xs = (let \ n = length \ xs \ div \ (2::nat) \ in \ split-at \ n \ xs)$

lemma app-split: split $xs = (x0, x1) \Longrightarrow xs = x0 @ x1$ **unfolding** split-def Let-def **using** append-take-drop-id[of length xs div 2 xs] by simp **lemma** length-split: length $xs \mod 2 = 0 \implies split xs = (x0, x1) \implies length x0 = length <math>xs \dim 2 \land length x1 = length xs \dim 2$ unfolding split-def by fastforce

lemma length-split-le: **assumes** split xs = (x0, x1) **shows** length $x0 \leq$ length xs and length $x1 \leq$ length xs**using** app-split[OF assms] by simp-all

8.5.2 Splitting a list in multiple blocks

subdivide n xs divides the list xs into blocks of size n.

fun subdivide :: $nat \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list \ list \ where$ subdivide 0 xs = undefined| subdivide n [] = [] | subdivide n $xs = take \ n \ xs \ \# \ subdivide \ n \ (drop \ n \ xs)$

value concat [[0..<2], [4..<7], [1..<5]]

value subdivide 2 [0..<6] **value** subdivide 3 [0..<6] **value** subdivide (2 ^ 2) [0..<2 ^ 6]

lemma concat-subdivide: $n > 0 \implies$ concat (subdivide n xs) = xsby (induction n xs rule: subdivide.induct) simp-all

lemma *subdivide-step*: assumes $n > \theta$ assumes $xs \neq []$ assumes length xs = n * k**obtains** ys zs where xs = ys @ zs length ys = n length zs = n * (k - 1)subdivide n xs = ys # subdivide n zsproof from assms obtain a xs' where xs = a # xs' using list.exhaust by blast from assms have k > 0using zero-less-iff-neq-zero by fastforce then obtain k' where $k = Suc \ k'$ using gr0-implies-Suc by auto then have length xs = n + n * k' using assms(3) by simpdefine ys zs where $ys = take \ n \ xs \ zs = drop \ n \ xs$ with $\langle length xs = n + n * k' \rangle$ have xs = ys @ zs length ys = n length zs = n *k' by simp-all **moreover have** subdivide n xs = ys # subdivide n zs using ys-zs-def assms(1)assms(2) Suc-diff-1 subdivide.simps(3) $\langle xs = a \ \# \ xs' \rangle$ by metis ultimately show ($\bigwedge ys \ zs$. $xs = ys @ zs \Longrightarrow$ length $ys = n \Longrightarrow$ length $zs = n * (k - 1) \Longrightarrow$ subdivide n xs = ys # subdivide $n zs \Longrightarrow$ thesis) \Longrightarrow

```
thesis
   by (simp add: \langle k = Suc \ k' \rangle)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma subdivide-step':
 assumes n > \theta
 assumes xs \neq []
 shows subdivide n xs = (take \ n \ xs) \# subdivide n (drop \ n \ xs)
 using assms
 by (cases n; cases xs; simp-all)
lemma subdivide-correct:
 assumes n > \theta
 assumes length xs = n * k
 shows length (subdivide n xs) = k \land (x \in set (subdivide n xs) \longrightarrow length x = n)
 using assms
proof (induction k arbitrary: xs n x)
 case \theta
 then have subdivide n xs = [] using 0 gr0-conv-Suc by force
  then show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (Suc k)
 then have xs \neq [] by force
  from subdivide-step [OF \langle n > 0 \rangle this (length xs = n * Suc k) obtain ys zs
where ys-zs:
   xs = ys @ zs
   length ys = n
   length zs = n * (Suc \ k - 1)
   subdivide n xs = ys \# subdivide n zs
   by blast
 then have length zs = n * k by simp
 note IH = Suc.IH[OF \langle n > 0 \rangle this]
 from IH show ?case using ys-zs by simp
qed
lemma nth-nth-subdivide:
 assumes n > 0
 assumes length xs = n * k
 assumes i < k j < n
 shows subdivide n xs \mid i \mid j = xs \mid (i * n + j)
 using assms
proof (induction k arbitrary: xs i)
 case \theta
 then show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
 case (Suc k)
 then have xs \neq [] by auto
  with Suc subdivide-step obtain ys zs where xs = ys @ zs length ys = n length
zs = n * (Suc \ k - 1)
```

```
subdivide n xs = ys \# subdivide n zs by blast
  then have length zs = n * k by simp
 show ?case
 proof (cases i)
   case \theta
   then have subdivide n xs ! i ! j = ys ! (i * n + j) using (subdivide n xs = ys
\# subdivide n zs> by simp
   then show ?thesis using \langle xs = ys @ zs \rangle 0 \langle j < n \rangle \langle length ys = n \rangle
     by (simp add: nth-append)
 \mathbf{next}
   case (Suc i')
   then have subdivide n xs \mid i \mid j = subdivide n zs \mid i' \mid j
     using (subdivide n xs = ys \# subdivide n zs) by simp
   also have ... = zs ! (i' * n + j)
     apply (intro Suc. IH[of zs i'])
     subgoal using \langle n > 0 \rangle.
     subgoal using \langle length \ zs = n \ast k \rangle.
     subgoal using \langle i < Suc \ k \rangle \ \langle i = Suc \ i' \rangle by simp
     subgoal using \langle j < n \rangle.
     done
   also have ... = xs ! (i * n + j)
     using \langle i = Suc \ i' \rangle \langle xs = ys @ zs \rangle \langle length \ ys = n \rangle
    by (metis ab-semigroup-add-class.add-ac(1) mult-Suc nth-append-length-plus)
   finally show ?thesis .
  qed
qed
lemma subdivide-concat:
 assumes n > 0
 assumes \bigwedge i. i < length xs \implies length (xs ! i) = n
 shows subdivide n (concat xs) = xs
proof (intro iffD1[OF concat-eq-concat-iff])
 show concat (subdivide n (concat xs)) = concat xs
   using concat-subdivide [OF \langle n > 0 \rangle].
 have map length xs = replicate (length xs) n
   apply (intro replicate-eqI)
   subgoal by simp
   subgoal using assms by (metis in-set-conv-nth length-map nth-map)
   done
  then have length (concat xs) = length xs * n
   by (simp add: length-concat sum-list-replicate)
  then show length (subdivide n (concat xs)) = length xs
   apply (intro conjunct1 [OF subdivide-correct] \langle n > 0 \rangle) by simp
 show \forall (x, y) \in set (zip (subdivide n (concat xs)) xs). length x = length y
 proof
   fix z
   assume a: z \in set (zip (subdivide n (concat xs)) xs)
   then obtain x y where z = (x, y) by fastforce
   from a obtain i where i < length xs z = zip (subdivide n (concat xs)) xs ! i
```

using $\langle length (subdivide \ n \ (concat \ xs)) = length \ xs \rangle$

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *gen-length-def in-set-conv-nth length-code length-zip min-0R min-add-distrib-left*)

then have subdivide n (concat xs) ! i = x xs ! i = y

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{using } \langle z = (x, \ y) \rangle \ \langle length \ (subdivide \ n \ (concat \ xs)) = length \ xs \rangle \ \textbf{by } simp-all \\ \textbf{then have } length \ x = n \ \textbf{using} \ \langle i < length \ xs \rangle \ \langle length \ (subdivide \ n \ (concat \ xs)) \\ = length \ xs \rangle \end{array}$

using $\langle length (concat xs) = length xs * n \rangle$

 $\langle n > 0 \rangle$ mult.commute[of n length xs]

by (*metis nth-mem subdivide-correct*)

moreover from $\langle xs \mid i = y \rangle \langle i < length xs \rangle$ have length y = n using assms by blast

ultimately show case z of $(x, y) \Rightarrow length x = length y using (z = (x, y))$ by simp

qed

 \mathbf{qed}

lemma to-nat-subdivide: assumes n > 0

assumes length xs = n * kshows to-nat $xs = (\sum i \leftarrow [0..<k]$. to-nat (subdivide $n xs ! i) * 2 \cap (i * n)$) using assms

proof (*induction k arbitrary: xs*)

case θ

then show ?case by simp

 \mathbf{next}

case (Suc k)

then have length (take n xs) = n length (drop n xs) = n * k by simp-all from Suc have $xs \neq []$ by auto

have $(\sum i \leftarrow [0..<Suc \ k]$. to-nat (subdivide $n \ xs \ i) \ast 2 \ (i \ast n))$

 $= to-nat (subdivide \ n \ xs \ ! \ 0) \ * \ 2 \ \widehat{} (0 \ * \ n) + (\sum i \leftarrow [1..<Suc \ k]. \ to-nat (subdivide \ n \ xs \ ! \ i) \ * \ 2 \ \widehat{} (i \ * \ n))$

by (*intro* sum-list-split-0)

also have subdivide n xs ! 0 = take n xs

using Suc $\langle xs \neq [] \rangle$ subdivide-step'[OF $\langle 0 < n \rangle \langle xs \neq [] \rangle$] by simp

also have $(\sum i \leftarrow [1..<Suc k]$. to-nat (subdivide n xs ! i) * 2 ^(i * n))

 $= (\sum_{i} i \leftarrow [0..<k]. \text{ to-nat (subdivide } n \text{ } xs ! (i+1)) * 2 \land ((i+1)*n))$ using sum-list-index-shift[of λi . to-nat (subdivide n xs ! i) * 2 $\land (i*n) 1 0 k$] by simp

also have ... = $(\sum i \leftarrow [0..<k]$. to-nat (subdivide n (drop n xs) ! i) * 2 ^ ((i + 1) * n))

using subdivide-step'[OF $\langle 0 < n \rangle \langle xs \neq [] \rangle$] by simp

also have ... = $(\sum i \leftarrow [0..<k].$ (to-nat (subdivide n (drop n xs) ! i) * $(2 \cap n * 2 \cap (i * n))))$

by (simp add: power-add)

also have ... = $(\sum i \leftarrow [0..<k]. 2 \cap n * (to-nat (subdivide n (drop n xs) ! i) * 2 \cap (i * n)))$

by (*simp add: mult.left-commute*)

also have ... = $2 \cap n * (\sum i \leftarrow [0.. < k])$. to-nat (subdivide $n (drop \ n \ xs) ! i) * 2$

 $\begin{array}{l} \widehat{(i * n)} \\ \mathbf{by} \ (simp \ add: \ sum-list-const-mult) \\ \mathbf{also have} \ \dots = 2 \ \widehat{\ n * to-nat} \ (drop \ n \ xs) \\ \mathbf{using} \ Suc.IH[OF \ \langle 0 < n \rangle \ \langle length \ (drop \ n \ xs) = n * k \rangle] \ \mathbf{by} \ argo \\ \mathbf{finally have} \ (\sum i \leftarrow [0..<Suc \ k]. \ to-nat \ (subdivide \ n \ xs \ ! \ i) * 2 \ \widehat{\ (i * n)}) \\ = to-nat \ (take \ n \ xs) + 2 \ \widehat{\ n * to-nat} \ (drop \ n \ xs) \\ \mathbf{by} \ simp \\ \mathbf{also have} \ \dots = to-nat \ (take \ n \ xs \ @ \ drop \ n \ xs) \\ \mathbf{by} \ (simp \ only: \ to-nat-app \ \langle length \ (take \ n \ xs) = n \rangle) \\ \mathbf{also have} \ \dots = to-nat \ xs \ \mathbf{by} \ simp \\ \mathbf{finally show} \ to-nat \ xs = (\sum i \leftarrow [0..<Suc \ k]. \ to-nat \ (subdivide \ n \ xs \ ! \ i) * 2 \ \widehat{\ (i * n)}) \\ \mathbf{by} \ simp \\ \mathbf{finally show} \ to-nat \ xs = (\sum i \leftarrow [0..<Suc \ k]. \ to-nat \ (subdivide \ n \ xs \ ! \ i) * 2 \ \widehat{\ (i * n)}) \\ \mathbf{by} \ simp \\ \mathbf{finally show} \ to-nat \ xs = (\sum i \leftarrow [0..<Suc \ k]. \ to-nat \ (subdivide \ n \ xs \ ! \ i) * 2 \ \widehat{\ (i * n)}) \\ \mathbf{by} \ simp \\ \mathbf{qed} \end{array}$

8.6 The *bitsize* function

bitsize n calculates how many bits are needed in the LSBF encoding of n.

```
fun bitsize :: nat \Rightarrow nat where
bitsize \theta = \theta
| bitsize n = 1 + bitsize (n div 2)
lemma bitsize-is-floorlog: bitsize = floorlog 2
 apply (intro ext)
 subgoal for n
   apply (induction n rule: bitsize.induct)
   by (auto simp add: floorlog-eq-zero-iff compute-floorlog)
 done
corollary bitsize-bitlen: int (bitsize n) = bitlen (int n)
 unfolding bitsize-is-floorlog bitlen-def by simp
lemma bitsize-eq: bitsize n = length (from-nat n)
proof (induction n rule: less-induct)
 case (less n)
 then show ?case
 proof (cases n = \theta)
   \mathbf{case} \ True
   then show ?thesis by simp
 next
   case False
   then have 1: bitsize n = 1 + bitsize (n div 2)
     by (metis bitsize.elims)
   from False have length (from-nat n) = length ((if n \mod 2 = 0 then False else
True) \# from-nat (n \ div \ 2))
     by (metis from-nat.elims)
   also have \dots = 1 + bitsize (n div 2) using less[of n div 2] False by simp
   finally show bitsize n = length (from-nat n) using 1 by simp
 qed
```

qed

lemma bitsize-zero-iff: bitsize $n = 0 \iff n = 0$ **by** (simp add: bitsize-is-floorlog floorlog-eq-zero-iff) **lemma** truncated-iff': truncated $x \leftrightarrow \text{length } x = \text{bitsize} (\text{to-nat } x)$ proof **assume** truncated xthen have x = from - nat (to-nat x) unfolding nat-lsbf.f-fixed-point-iff'. then show length x = bitsize (to-nat x) unfolding bitsize-eq by simp next **assume** length x = bitsize (to-nat x) then have length x = length (from-nat (to-nat x)) unfolding bitsize-eq. moreover have to-nat x = to-nat (from-nat (to-nat x)) by simp ultimately show truncated x unfolding nat-lsbf.f-fixed-point-iff' **by** (*intro nat-lsbf-eqI*; *argo*) \mathbf{qed} **lemma** bitsize-length: bitsize $n \leq k \leftrightarrow n < 2 \ \hat{k}$ unfolding bitsize-is-floorlog floorlog-le-iff by simp lemma two-pow-bit
size-pos-bound: $n>0 \Longrightarrow 2$ ^ bit
size $n \le 2 * n$ proof – assume n > 0then have $2 (bitsize n - 1) \leq n$ using bitsize-length [of n bitsize n - 1] by fastforce then have $2 (bitsize n - 1 + 1) \le 2 * n$ by simp also have bitsize n - 1 + 1 = bitsize n using bitsize-zero-iff $[of n] \langle n > 0 \rangle$ by simp finally show ?thesis . qed

lemma two-pow-bitsize-bound: $2 \ \hat{}$ bitsize $n \le 2 * n + 1$ using two-pow-bitsize-pos-bound [of n] by (cases n) simp-all

lemma bitsize-mono: $n1 \le n2 \Longrightarrow$ bitsize $n1 \le$ bitsize n2unfolding bitsize-is-floorlog by (rule floorlog-mono)

8.6.1 The next-power-of-2 function

lemma power-of-2-recursion: $(\exists k. (n::nat) = 2 \land k) \leftrightarrow (n = 1 \lor (n \mod 2 = 0 \land (\exists k. n \operatorname{div} 2 = 2 \land k)))$ **proof assume** $\exists k. n = 2 \land k$ **then obtain** k where k-def: $n = 2 \land k$ by blast **show** $n = 1 \lor (n \mod 2 = 0 \land (\exists k. n \operatorname{div} 2 = 2 \land k))$ **using** k-def by (cases k) simp-all **next assume** $n = 1 \lor (n \mod 2 = 0 \land (\exists k. n \operatorname{div} 2 = 2 \land k))$

then consider $n = 1 \mid n \mod 2 = 0 \land (\exists k. n \dim 2 = 2 \land k)$ by argo then show $\exists k. n = 2 \land k$ **proof** cases case 1 then have $n = 2 \hat{0}$ by simp then show ?thesis by blast \mathbf{next} case 2then obtain k where $n \operatorname{div} 2 = 2 \widehat{k}$ by blast with 2 have $n = 2 \uparrow Suc \ k$ by auto then show ?thesis by blast qed qed fun *is-power-of-2* :: $nat \Rightarrow bool$ where is-power-of-2 0 = False| is-power-of-2 (Suc 0) = True| is-power-of-2 $n = ((n \mod 2 = 0) \land is$ -power-of-2 $(n \dim 2))$ **lemma** is-power-of-2-correct: is-power-of-2 $n \leftrightarrow (\exists k. n = 2 \land k)$ **proof** (*induction n rule: is-power-of-2.induct*) case 1then show ?case by simp \mathbf{next} case 2then show ?case by (metis is-power-of-2.simps(2) nat-power-eq-Suc-0-iff) \mathbf{next} case (3 va)let ?n = Suc (Suc va)have is-power-of-2 $?n = ((?n \mod 2 = 0) \land is-power-of-2 (?n \dim 2))$ by simp **also have** ... = $((?n \mod 2 = 0) \land (\exists k. (?n \dim 2) = 2 \land k))$ using 3 by argo also have $\dots = (\exists k. ?n = 2 \land k)$ using power-of-2-recursion[of ?n] by simp finally show ?case . qed

fun next-power-of-2 :: nat \Rightarrow nat where next-power-of-2 n = (if is-power-of-2 n then n else 2 ^ (bitsize n)) lemma next-power-of-2-lower-bound: next-power-of-2 $k \ge k$ apply (cases is-power-of-2 k) subgoal by simp subgoal premises prems proof from prems have next-power-of-2 k - 1 = 2 ^ bitsize k - 1 by simp also have ... = 2 ^ (length (from-nat k)) - 1 using bitsize-eq by simp

```
also have ... \ge k using to-nat-length-upper-bound of from-nat k by simp
   finally show ?thesis by simp
 qed
 done
lemma next-power-of-2-upper-bound:
 assumes k \neq 0
 shows next-power-of-2 k \leq 2 * k
 apply (cases is-power-of-2 k)
 subgoal by simp
 subgoal premises prems
 proof -
   have 2 \cap (length (from-nat k) - 1) \leq to-nat (from-nat k)
    apply (intro to-nat-length-lower-bound-truncated)
    subgoal using assms by (cases k; simp)
    subgoal by simp
    done
   then have 2 \cap length (from-nat k) \leq 2 * to-nat (from-nat k)
    using assms by (cases k; simp)
   also have \dots = 2 * k by simp
   also have 2 \cap length (from-nat k) = next-power-of-2 k
    using prems bitsize-eq by simp
   finally show ?thesis .
 qed
 done
```

lemma next-power-of-2-upper-bound': next-power-of-2 $k \le 2 * k + 1$ apply (cases k) subgoal by simp subgoal using next-power-of-2-upper-bound[of k] by simp done

lemma *next-power-of-2-is-power-of-2*: $\exists k$. *next-power-of-2* $n = 2 \land k$ using *is-power-of-2-correct* by *simp*

8.7 Addition

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{fun bit-add-carry :: bool \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool \times bool \ where} \\ bit-add-carry \ False \ False \ False = (False, \ False) \\ | \ bit-add-carry \ False \ False \ True = (True, \ False) \\ | \ bit-add-carry \ False \ True \ False = (True, \ False) \\ | \ bit-add-carry \ False \ True \ True \ = (False, \ True) \\ | \ bit-add-carry \ True \ False \ True = (False, \ True) \\ | \ bit-add-carry \ True \ False \ True = (False, \ True) \\ | \ bit-add-carry \ True \ True \ False \ = (False, \ True) \\ | \ bit-add-carry \ True \ True \ True \ = (False, \ True) \\ | \ bit-add-carry \ True \ True \ True \ True \ = (False, \ True) \\ | \ bit-add-carry \ True \ True \ True \ True \ = (True, \ True) \\ | \ bit-add-carry \ True \ True \ True \ True \ = (True, \ True) \\ | \ bit-add-carry \ True \ True \ True \ True \ = (True, \ True) \\ | \ bit-add-carry \ True \ True \ True \ True \ = (True, \ True) \\ | \ bit-add-carry \ True \ True \ True \ True \ = (True, \ True) \\ | \ bit-add-carry \ True \ True \ True \ True \ = (True, \ True) \\ | \ bit-add-carry \ True \ True \ True \ True \ = (True, \ True) \\ | \ bit-add-carry \ True \ True \ True \ True \ = (True, \ True) \\ | \ bit-add-carry \ True \ True \ True \ True \ = (True, \ True) \\ | \ bit-add-carry \ True \ True \ True \ True \ = (True, \ True) \\ | \ bit-add-carry \ True \$

lemma bit-add-carry-correct: bit-add-carry $c x y = (a, b) \Longrightarrow$ eval-bool c + eval-bool x + eval-bool y = eval-bool a + 2 * eval-bool b

by (cases c; cases x; cases y) auto

8.7.1 Increment operation

fun *inc-nat* :: *nat-lsbf* \Rightarrow *nat-lsbf* **where** inc-nat [] = [True]| inc-nat (False # xs) = True # xs | inc-nat (True # xs) = False # (inc-nat xs) **lemma** length-inc-nat': length (inc-nat xs) = length xs + of-bool (to-nat xs + 1 \geq $2 \cap length xs$) **proof** (*induction xs rule: inc-nat.induct*) case 1 then show ?case by simp \mathbf{next} case (2 xs)then show ?case using to-nat-length-bound[of xs] by simp \mathbf{next} case (3 xs)then show ?case by simp \mathbf{qed} **lemma** length-inc-nat-lower: length (inc-nat xs) \geq length xsunfolding length-inc-nat' by simp **lemma** length-inc-nat-upper: length (inc-nat xs) \leq length xs + 1unfolding *length-inc-nat'* by *simp* **lemma** inc-nat-nonempty: inc-nat $xs \neq []$ **by** (*induction xs rule: inc-nat.induct*) *simp-all* lemma inc-nat-replicate-True: inc-nat (replicate m True) = replicate m False @ True by (induction m) simp-all **lemma** inc-nat-replicate-True-2: inc-nat (replicate m True @ False # ys) = replicate m False @ True # ys by (induction m) simp-all **lemma** length-inc-nat-iff: length (inc-nat xs) = length $xs \leftrightarrow (\exists ys zs. xs = ys @$ False # zs) **proof** (*intro iffI*, *rule ccontr*) **assume** $\nexists ys zs. xs = ys @ False \# zs$ then have $\forall i \in \{0.. < length xs\}$. xs!i = Trueby (metis(full-types) atLeastLessThan-iff in-set-conv-nth split-list) then have xs = replicate (length xs) True **by** (*simp only: list-is-replicate-iff*) then show length (inc-nat xs) = length $xs \Longrightarrow$ False using *inc-nat-replicate-True*

```
by (metis length-append-singleton length-replicate n-not-Suc-n)
\mathbf{next}
 assume \exists ys zs. xs = ys @ False # zs
 then have \exists n \ zs'. xs = replicate \ n \ True \ @ False \ \# \ zs'
   using bit-strong-decomp-1 by fastforce
 then show length (inc-nat xs) = length xs
   using inc-nat-replicate-True-2 by fastforce
qed
lemma inc-nat-last-bit-True: length (inc-nat xs) = Suc (length xs) \Longrightarrow \exists zs. inc-nat
xs = zs @ [True]
 by (induction xs rule: inc-nat.induct) auto
lemma inc-nat-truncated: truncated xs \implies truncated (inc-nat xs)
proof (induction xs rule: inc-nat.induct)
 case 1
 then show ?case using truncate-def by simp
next
 case (2 xs)
 then show ?case by (simp add: truncated-iff)
\mathbf{next}
 case (3 xs)
 then show ?case by (simp add: truncated-iff inc-nat-nonempty split: if-splits)
qed
lemma inc-nat-correct: to-nat (inc-nat xs) = to-nat xs + 1
 by (induction xs rule: inc-nat.induct) simp-all
lemma length-inc-nat: length (inc-nat xs) = max (length xs) (floorlog 2 (to-nat xs)
+ 1))
proof (induction xs rule: inc-nat.induct)
 case 1
 then show ?case by (simp add: compute-floorlog)
\mathbf{next}
 case (2 xs)
 then show ?case using to-nat-length-bound [of False \# xs]
   by (simp add: floorlog-leI)
\mathbf{next}
 case (3 xs)
 then have length (inc-nat (True \# xs)) = Suc (max (length xs) (floorlog 2 (Suc
(to-nat xs))))
   by simp
 also have \dots = max (length (True \# xs)) (Suc (floorlog 2 (Suc (to-nat xs))))
   by simp
 also have \dots = max (length (True \# xs)) (floorlog 2 (2 * Suc (to-nat xs)))
   apply (intro arg-cong2[where f = max] refl)
   by (simp add: compute-floorlog)
 finally show ?case by simp
qed
```

8.7.2 Addition with a carry bit

```
fun add-carry :: bool \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf where
add-carry False [] y = y
| add-carry False x [] = x
 add-carry True [] y = inc-nat y
 add-carry True x [] = inc-nat x
 add-carry c (x \# xs) (y \# ys) = (let (a, b) = bit-add-carry c x y in a \# (add-carry b
xs ys))
lemma add-carry-correct: to-nat (add-carry c x y) = eval-bool c + to-nat x +
to-nat y
proof (induction c x y rule: add-carry.induct)
 case (1 y)
  then show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
 \mathbf{case}~(\textit{2}~v~va)
 then show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
 case (3 y)
 then show ?case using inc-nat-correct by simp
next
 case (4 v va)
 then show ?case using inc-nat-correct by simp
next
 case (5 c x xs y ys)
 define a b where a = fst (bit-add-carry c x y) b = snd (bit-add-carry c x y)
 then have to-nat (add\text{-}carry \ c \ (x\#xs) \ (y\#ys)) = to\text{-}nat \ (a \ \# \ add\text{-}carry \ b \ xs \ ys)
   by (simp add: case-prod-beta' Let-def)
 also have \dots = eval\text{-bool } a + 2 * to\text{-nat } (add\text{-carry } b xs ys) by simp
 also have \dots = eval\text{-bool } a + 2 * (eval\text{-bool } b + to\text{-nat } xs + to\text{-nat } ys)
   using 5 a-b-def prod.collapse[of bit-add-carry c x y] by algebra
 also have \dots = eval\text{-bool } c + eval\text{-bool } x + eval\text{-bool } y + 2 * (to\text{-nat } xs + to\text{-nat})
ys)
   using bit-add-carry-correct a-b-def by (simp add: prod-eq-iff)
 also have \dots = eval\text{-}bool \ c + to\text{-}nat \ (x \# xs) + to\text{-}nat \ (y \# ys) by simp
 finally show ?case .
qed
lemma length-add-carry': length (add-carry c xs ys) = max (length xs) (length ys)
+ of-bool (to-nat xs + to-nat ys + of-bool c \ge 2 \ \max(\text{length } xs) (\text{length } ys))
proof (induction c xs ys rule: add-carry.induct)
 case (1 y)
 then show ?case using to-nat-length-bound[of y] by simp
next
  case (2 v va)
 then show ?case
   using to-nat-length-bound[of va] by simp
\mathbf{next}
 case (3 y)
```

then show ?case by (simp add: length-inc-nat') next case (4 v va) then show ?case by (simp add: length-inc-nat') next case (5 c x xs y ys)

have $l: 2 \cap Suc \ a \leq 2 * b + 1 \iff 2 \cap Suc \ a \leq 2 * b$ for $a \ b :: nat$ by fastforce

obtain a b where bit-add-carry c x y = (a, b) by fastforce then have add-carry c (x # xs) (y # ys) = a # (add-carry b xs ys) by simp then have length (add-carry c (x # xs) (y # ys)) = 1 + max (length xs) (length ys) + of-bool (2 $\widehat{}$ max (length xs) (length ys) \leq to-nat xs + to-nat ys + of-bool b) using 5.IH[OF $\langle bit$ -add-carry $c x y = (a, b) \rangle$ [symmetric] refl] by (simp only: *length-Cons*) also have ... = max (length (x # xs)) (length (y # ys)) + of-bool (2 ^ max $(length xs) (length ys) \leq to-nat xs + to-nat ys + of-bool b)$ by simp also have ... = max (length (x # xs)) (length (y # ys)) + of-bool (2 ^ max $(length (x \# xs)) (length (y \# ys)) \leq to-nat (x \# xs) + to-nat (y \# ys) + of-bool$ c)**proof** (*intro* arg-cong2[**where** f = (+)] refl arg-cong[**where** f = of-bool]) have to-nat (x # xs) + to-nat (y # ys) + of-bool c =2 * to-nat xs + 2 * to-nat ys + of-bool x + of-bool y + of-bool cby simp also have $\dots = 2 * to-nat xs + 2 * to-nat ys + of-bool a + 2 * of-bool b$ using *bit-add-carry-correct*[OF $\langle bit-add-carry \ c \ x \ y = (a, b) \rangle$] by simp finally have r: to-nat (x # xs) + to-nat (y # ys) + of-bool $c = \dots$. show $(2 \cap max (length xs) (length ys) \leq to-nat xs + to-nat ys + of-bool b) =$ $(2 \ \max(length \ (x \ \# \ xs)) \ (length \ (y \ \# \ ys)) \leq to-nat \ (x \ \# \ xs) + to-nat \ (y \ \# \ ys))$ ys) + of-bool c)unfolding r using l[of max (length xs) (length ys) to-nat xs + to-nat ys +of-bool b] by auto qed finally show ?case . qed **lemma** length-add-carry: length (add-carry c xs ys) = max (max (length xs) (length ys)) (floorlog 2 (of-bool c + to-nat xs + to-nat ys)) **proof** (*induction c xs ys rule: add-carry.induct*) case (1 y)then show ?case using to-nat-length-bound[of y] **by** (*simp add: floorlog-leI*) \mathbf{next} case (2 v va)**then show** ?case using to-nat-length-bound[of v # va] by (simp add: floorlog-leI)

 \mathbf{next} case (3 y)then show ?case by (simp add: length-inc-nat) next case (4 v va)then show ?case by (simp add: length-inc-nat) \mathbf{next} case $(5 \ c \ x \ xs \ y \ ys)$ **obtain** a b where bit-add-carry c x y = (a, b) by fastforce then have add-carry c (x # xs) (y # ys) = a # (add-carry b xs ys) by simp then have length (add-carry c (x # xs) (y # ys)) = Suc (max (max (length xs)) (length ys)) (floorlog 2 (of-bool b + to-nat xs + to-nat ys)))using 5 $\langle bit$ -add-carry c $x y = (a, b) \rangle$ by (simp only: length-Cons) also have ... = max (max (length (x # xs)) (length (y # ys))) (1 + floorlog 2 $(of-bool \ b + to-nat \ xs + to-nat \ ys))$ by simp also have $\dots = max (max (length (x \# xs)) (length (y \# ys))) (floorlog 2 (of-bool))$ c + to-nat (x # xs) + to-nat (y # ys)))**proof** (cases of-bool a + 2 * (of-bool b + to-nat xs + to-nat ys) > 0)case True then show ?thesis **proof** (*intro arg-cong2* [where f = max] *refl*) have floorlog 2 (of-bool c + to-nat (x # xs) + to-nat (y # ys)) = floorlog 2 $((of-bool \ c + of-bool \ x + of-bool \ y) + 2 * (to-nat \ xs + to-nat$ ys))by simp also have ... = floorlog 2 ((of-bool a + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2)to-nat ys))using *bit-add-carry-correct*[OF $\langle bit-add-carry \ c \ x \ y = (a, b) \rangle$] by simp also have $\dots = floorlog \ 2 \ (of-bool \ a + 2 * (of-bool \ b + to-nat \ xs + to-nat \ ys))$ by simp also have $\dots = 1 + floorlog 2$ (of-bool b + to-nat xs + to-nat ys) using compute-floorlog of 2 of-bool a + 2 * (of-bool b + to-nat xs + to-natys)] True by simp finally show ... = floorlog 2 (of-bool c + to-nat (x # xs) + to-nat (y # ys)) by simp qed \mathbf{next} case False then have 01: of-bool a = 0 of-bool b = 0 to-nat xs = 0 to-nat ys = 0 by simp-all then have 02: of-bool c = 0 of-bool x = 0 of-bool y = 0using *bit-add-carry-correct*[OF $\langle bit-add-carry \ c \ x \ y = (a, b) \rangle$] by *simp-all* from 01 02 show ?thesis by (simp add: floorlog-def) qed finally show ?case . qed

lemma length-add-carry-lower: length (add-carry c xs ys) $\geq max$ (length xs) (length ys)

unfolding length-add-carry' by simp

lemma length-add-carry-upper: length (add-carry c xs ys) $\leq max$ (length xs) (length ys) + 1

unfolding length-add-carry' by simp

lemma add-carry-last-bit-True: length (add-carry c x s y s) = max (length xs) (length $ys) + 1 \implies \exists zs. add-carry \ c \ xs \ ys = zs \ @ [True]$ proof (induction c xs ys rule: add-carry.induct) case (1 y)then show ?case by simp \mathbf{next} case (2 v va)then show ?case by simp next case (3 y)then show ?case by (simp add: inc-nat-last-bit-True) next case (4 v va)then show ?case by (simp add: inc-nat-last-bit-True) \mathbf{next} **case** $(5 \ c \ x \ xs \ y \ ys)$ **obtain** a b where bit-add-carry c x y = (a, b) by fastforce then have 1: add-carry c (x # xs) (y # ys) = a # (add-carry b xs ys)**by** simp from 5 have length (add-carry b xs ys) = max (length (x # xs)) (length (y #ys))using $\langle bit - add - carry \ c \ x \ y = (a, b) \rangle$ by auto also have $\dots = max$ (length xs) (length ys) + 1 by simp finally obtain zs where add-carry b xs ys = zs @ [True] using 5 $\langle bit$ -add-carry c x y = (a, b)by presburger then show ?case using 1 by simp qed

lemma add-carry-com: add-carry c xs ys = add-carry c ys xs
apply (intro nat-lsbf-eqI)
subgoal by (simp add: add-carry-correct)
subgoal by (simp only: length-add-carry' max.commute add.commute)
done

lemma add-carry-rNil[simp]: add-carry True y [] = inc-nat y
by (cases y; simp)
lemma add-carry-rNil-nocarry[simp]: add-carry False y [] = y

```
by (cases y; simp)
```

lemma add-carry-True-inc-nat: add-carry True xs $ys = inc-nat (add-carry False xs ys) \land$ add-carry True xs ys = add-carry False (inc-nat xs) ys \land add-carry True $xs \ ys = add$ -carry False $xs \ (inc-nat \ ys)$ **proof** (*induction xs arbitrary: ys*) case Nil then show ?case apply (*intro conjI*) subgoal by simp subgoal apply (cases ys) subgoal by *simp* subgoal for a ys'by (cases a) simp-all done subgoal by simp done next case (Cons a xs) then show ?case apply (cases a; cases ys) subgoal by simp subgoal for b ys'apply (cases b) subgoal by *fastforce* subgoal by *simp* done subgoal by (simp add: add-carry-com) subgoal for b ys'**apply** (cases b) subgoal by *fastforce* subgoal by simp done done qed **lemma** *inc-nat-add-carry*: inc-nat (add-carry c xs ys) = add-carry c (inc-nat xs) ys \wedge $inc-nat (add-carry \ c \ xs \ ys) = add-carry \ c \ xs \ (inc-nat \ ys)$ **proof** (cases c) case True then have add-carry c (inc-nat xs) ys = inc-nat (add-carry False (inc-nat xs) ys) add-carry c xs (inc-nat ys) = inc-nat (add-carry False xs (inc-nat ys)) using add-carry-True-inc-nat by simp-all moreover have add-carry False (inc-nat xs) ys = inc-nat (add-carry False xs ys) using add-carry-True-inc-nat[of xs ys] by argo moreover have add-carry False xs (inc-nat ys) = inc-nat (add-carry False xs ys)

using add-carry-True-inc-nat[of xs ys] by argo
ultimately show ?thesis using add-carry-True-inc-nat True by simp
next
case False
then show ?thesis using add-carry-True-inc-nat[of xs ys] by auto
qed

lemma add-carry-inc-nat-simps:

add-carry True xs ys = inc-nat (add-carry False xs ys) add-carry False (inc-nat xs) ys = inc-nat (add-carry False xs ys) add-carry False xs (inc-nat ys) = inc-nat (add-carry False xs ys) using inc-nat-add-carry[of - xs ys] add-carry-True-inc-nat[of xs ys] by argo+

lemma add-carry-assoc: add-carry c2 (add-carry c1 xs ys) zs = add-carry c1 xs (add-carry c2 ys zs)

apply (intro nat-lsbf-eqI)
subgoal by (simp add: add-carry-correct)
subgoal
proof let ?t1 = of-bool c1 + to-nat xs + to-nat ys
 let ?t2 = of-bool c2 + to-nat ys + to-nat zs
 let ?t3 = of-bool c1 + of-bool c2 + to-nat xs + to-nat ys + to-nat zs

 $(floorlog \ 2 \ ?t3)$

 ${\bf unfolding} \ length-add-carry \ add-carry-correct \ eval-bool-is-of-bool$

by (intro arg-cong2[**where** f = max] refl arg-cong2[**where** f = floorlog]) simp **also have** ... = max (max (max (max (floorlog 2 ?t1) (floorlog 2 ?t3))) (length xs)) (length ys)) (length zs)

using max.commute max.assoc by presburger

also have $\dots = max (max (max (floorlog 2 ?t3) (length xs)) (length ys)) (length zs) (is <math>\dots = ?t4)$

by (intro arg-cong2[where f = max] refl max.absorb2 floorlog-mono) simp finally have 1: length (add-carry c2 (add-carry c1 xs ys) zs) = ?t4.

have length (add-carry c1 xs (add-carry c2 ys zs)) = max (max (length xs) (max (max (length ys) (length zs)) (floorlog 2 ?t2)))

(floorlog 2 ?t3)

unfolding length-add-carry add-carry-correct eval-bool-is-of-bool

by (intro arg-cong2[where f = max] refl arg-cong2[where f = floorlog]) simp also have ... = max (max (max (floorlog 2 ?t2) (floorlog 2 ?t3))) (length xs)) (length ys)) (length zs)

using max.commute max.assoc by presburger

also have $\dots = max (max (max (floorlog 2 ?t3) (length xs)) (length ys)) (length zs)$

by (intro arg-cong2[where f = max] refl max.absorb2 floorlog-mono) simp

finally have 2: length (add-carry c1 xs (add-carry c2 ys zs)) = ?t4. show ?thesis unfolding 1 2 by (rule refl) qed done **lemma** truncated-add-carry: assumes truncated xs truncated ys **shows** truncated (add-carry c xs ys) proof have length (add-carry c xs ys) = max (max (length xs) (length ys)) (bitsize (of-bool <math>c + to-nat xs + to-nat ys))unfolding length-add-carry bitsize-is-floorlog by argo also have $\dots = max (max (bitsize (to-nat xs)) (bitsize (to-nat ys))) (bitsize$ $(of-bool \ c + to-nat \ xs + to-nat \ ys))$ using truncated-iff' assms by algebra also have $\dots = bitsize (of-bool c + to-nat xs + to-nat ys)$ using bitsize-mono by simp also have $\dots = bitsize (to-nat (add-carry c xs ys))$ by (simp add: add-carry-correct) finally show ?thesis unfolding truncated-iff'. \mathbf{qed}

8.7.3 Addition

definition add-nat :: nat- $lsbf \Rightarrow nat$ - $lsbf \Rightarrow nat$ -lsbf where add-nat x y = add-carry False x y

corollary length-add-nat-lower: length (add-nat xs ys) $\geq max$ (length xs) (length ys)

unfolding add-nat-def **by** (simp only: length-add-carry-lower)

corollary length-add-nat-upper: length (add-nat xs ys) $\leq max$ (length xs) (length ys) + 1

unfolding add-nat-def using length-add-carry-upper[of False xs ys] by simp

corollary add-nat-last-bit-True: length (add-nat xs ys) = max (length xs) (length ys) + 1 $\implies \exists zs. add-nat xs ys = zs @ [True]$ **unfolding** add-nat-def by (simp add: add-carry-last-bit-True)

lemma add-nat-correct: to-nat $(add-nat \ x \ y) = to-nat \ x + to-nat \ y$ unfolding add-nat-def using add-carry-correct by simp

corollary add-nat-com: add-nat $xs \ ys = add$ -nat $ys \ xs$ **unfolding** add-nat-def **by** (simp add: add-carry-com)

corollary add-nat-assoc: add-nat xs (add-nat ys zs) = add-nat (add-nat xs ys) zsunfolding add-nat-def using add-carry-assoc by simp corollary truncated-add-nat: assumes truncated xs truncated ys shows truncated (add-nat xs ys) unfolding add-nat-def by (intro truncated-add-carry assms)

8.8 Comparison and subtraction

8.8.1 Comparison

fun compare-nat-same-length-reversed :: bool list \Rightarrow bool list \Rightarrow bool where compare-nat-same-length-reversed [] [] = True| compare-nat-same-length-reversed (False #xs) (False #ys) = compare-nat-same-length-reversed xs yscompare-nat-same-length-reversed (True # xs) (False # ys) = Falsecompare-nat-same-length-reversed (False#xs) (True#ys) = True | compare-nat-same-length-reversed (True#xs) (True#ys) = compare-nat-same-length-reversed xs ys| compare-nat-same-length-reversed - - = undefined **lemma** compare-nat-same-length-reversed-correct: $length xs = length ys \Longrightarrow compare-nat-same-length-reversed xs ys \longleftrightarrow to-nat (rev$ $xs) \leq to-nat \ (rev \ ys)$ **proof** (*induction xs ys rule: compare-nat-same-length-reversed.induct*) case 1 then show ?case by simp \mathbf{next} case (2 xs ys)have to-nat (rev (False # xs)) = to-nat (rev xs) to-nat (rev (False # ys)) = to-nat (rev ys) using to-nat-app by simp-all then have to-nat $(rev (False \# xs)) \leq to-nat (rev (False \# ys)) \leftrightarrow to-nat (rev$ $xs) \leq to-nat \ (rev \ ys)$ by simp then show ?case using 2 by simp next case (3 xs ys)have to-nat $(rev (True \# xs)) = 2 \cap (length xs) + to-nat (rev xs)$ using to-nat-app by simp also have $\dots > to-nat (rev ys)$ using 3 to-nat-length-upper-bound of rev ys] leI le-add-diff-inverse2 by fastforce **also have** to-nat (rev ys) = to-nat (rev (False # ys)) using to-nat-app by simp finally have to-nat (rev (True # xs)) > to-nat (rev (False # ys)). thus ?case using 3 by simp next case (4 xs ys)have to-nat (rev (False # xs)) = to-nat (rev xs)using to-nat-app by simp also have $\dots \leq 2$ $\widehat{}$ (length xs)

using to-nat-length-upper-bound [of rev xs] by simp also have $\dots \leq to$ -nat (rev (True # ys)) using to-nat-app 4 by simp finally have to-nat $(rev (False \# xs)) \leq to-nat (rev (True \# ys))$. thus ?case using 4 by simp next case (5 xs ys)have to-nat (rev (True # xs)) = 2 $\widehat{}$ (length xs) + to-nat (rev xs) to-nat (rev $(True \# ys)) = 2 \cap (length ys) + to-nat (rev ys)$ using to-nat-app by simp-all then have to-nat $(rev (True \# xs)) \leq to-nat (rev (True \# ys)) \leftrightarrow to-nat (rev$ $xs) \leq to-nat \ (rev \ ys)$ using 5 by simp then show ?case using 5 by simp next **case** (6-1 va) then show ?case by simp next case (6-2 v va)then show ?case by simp \mathbf{next} case (6-3 v va)then show ?case by simp \mathbf{next} case (6-4 va)then show ?case by simp qed **fun** compare-nat-same-length :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow bool where compare-nat-same-length xs ys = compare-nat-same-length-reversed (rev xs) (revys)**lemma** compare-nat-same-length-correct: length $xs = \text{length } ys \implies \text{compare-nat-same-length } xs \ ys = (\text{to-nat } xs \ \leq \text{to-nat})$ ys)using compare-nat-same-length-reversed-correct by simp **definition** make-same-length :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \times nat-lsbf where make-same-length $xs \ ys = (let \ n = max \ (length \ xs) \ (length \ ys) \ in \ ((fill \ n \ xs), \ (fill \ n \ xs))$ n ys)))

lemma make-same-length-correct:
 assumes (fill-xs, fill-ys) = make-same-length xs ys
 shows length fill-ys = length fill-xs
 length fill-xs = max (length xs) (length ys)
 to-nat fill-xs = to-nat xs
 to-nat fill-ys = to-nat ys
 using assms by (simp-all add: Let-def make-same-length-def)

definition compare-nat :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow bool where compare-nat xs ys = (let (fill-xs, fill-ys) = make-same-length xs ys in compare-nat-same-length fill-xs fill-ys)

lemma compare-nat-correct: compare-nat xs ys = (to-nat xs ≤ to-nat ys)
proof obtain fill-xs fill-ys where fills-def: make-same-length xs ys = (fill-xs, fill-ys)
 by fastforce
 then show ?thesis unfolding compare-nat-def Let-def
 using make-same-length-correct[OF fills-def[symmetric]]
 using compare-nat-same-length-reversed-correct[of rev fill-xs rev fill-ys]
 by simp
 qed

8.8.2 Subtraction

definition subtract-nat :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf where subtract-nat xs ys = (if compare-nat xs ys then [] elselet (fill-xs, fill-ys) = make-same-length xs ys inbutlast (add-carry True fill-xs (map Not fill-ys))) **lemma** add-complement: add-nat xs (map Not xs) = replicate (length xs) True **proof** (*induction xs*) case Nil then show ?case unfolding add-nat-def by simp next **case** (Cons a xs) have add-nat (a # xs) (map Not (a # xs)) = True # (add-carry False xs (map))Not xs)) unfolding add-nat-def by (cases a) simp-all also have $\dots = True \# (replicate (length xs) True)$ using Cons.IH by (simp add: add-nat-def) finally show ?case by simp qed **lemma** to-nat-complement: to-nat (map Not xs) = 2 $\widehat{}$ (length xs) - 1 - to-nat xsusing add-complement of xs] to-nat-replicate-true of length xs] add-nat-correct of xs map Not xs] by simp **lemma** to-nat-butlast: $zs = xs @ [True] \implies$ to-nat (butlast zs) = to-nat $zs - 2 \uparrow$ length xsusing to-nat-app[of xs [True]] by simp

lemma inc-nat-true-prefix[simp]: inc-nat (replicate n True @ [False] @ ys) = replicate n False @ [True] @ ysby (induction n arbitrary: ys) simp-all zs) = length zsusing *inc-nat-true-prefix* [of n ys] by *simp* **lemma** length-inc-nat-aux-2: length (inc-nat (xs @ [False] @ ys)) = length (xs @[False] @ ys)proof – define zs where zs = xs @ [False] @ yswith *bit-strong-decomp-1* [of zs False] obtain ys' n where zs = replicate n True @ [False] @ ys' by auto then show ?thesis using length-inc-nat-aux zs-def by simp qed **lemma** subtract-nat-aux: to-nat (subtract-nat xs ys) = (to-nat xs) - (to-nat ys) \wedge length (subtract-nat xs ys) $\leq max$ (length xs) (length ys) **proof** (cases compare-nat xs ys) case True then show ?thesis using compare-nat-correct unfolding subtract-nat-def by simp \mathbf{next} case False **obtain** fill-xs fill-ys **where** fills-def: make-same-length xs ys = (fill-xs, fill-ys)by *fastforce* **note** *fills-props* = *make-same-length-correct*[*OF fills-def*[*symmetric*]] define *n* where n = max (length xs) (length ys) then have length fill-xs = n length fill-ys = n using fills-props by auto **from** False **have** to-nat fill-xs > to-nat fill-ys using fills-props compare-nat-correct by simp then have n > 0 using (length fill-xs = n) by auto let ?add = add-carry True fill-xs (map Not fill-ys) have subtract-nat-xs-ys: subtract-nat xs ys = butlast ?add unfolding subtract-nat-def using False fills-def by simp have to-nat fill-ys $\leq 2 \hat{n} - 1$ to-nat fill-xs $\leq 2 \hat{n} - 1$ to-nat (map Not fill-ys) $\leq 2 \hat{n} - 1$ subgoal using to-nat-length-upper-bound [of fill-ys] (length fill-ys = n) by argo **subgoal using** to-nat-length-upper-bound [of fill-xs] $\langle length fill-xs = n \rangle$ by argo subgoal using to-nat-length-upper-bound of map Not fill-ys $\langle length fill-ys \rangle$ n by simp done then have to-nat ?add $\leq (2 \ n-1) + (2 \ n-1) + 1$ unfolding add-carry-correct **bv** simp also have ... = 2 (n + 1) - 2 + 1 by simp also have ... = $2^{(n+1)} - 1$

lemma length-inc-nat-aux: $zs = replicate \ n \ True @ [False] @ ys \implies length (inc-nat)$

using Nat.diff-diff-right[of 1 2 2 (n + 1)] Nat.diff-add-assoc2[of 2 2 (n + 1)] 1) 1]by simp finally have to-nat $?add \leq \dots$. from $\langle to-nat fill-xs \rangle$ to-nat fill-ys have to-nat fill-xs \geq to-nat fill-ys + 1 by simp then have to-nat fill-xs + $2 \ n \ge 2 \ n + to-nat$ fill-ys + 1 by simp then have to-nat fill-xs + $(2 \ n - 1 - to-nat fill-ys) \ge 2 \ n$ by simp then have to-nat fill-xs + to-nat (map Not fill-ys) $\geq 2 \widehat{\ } n$ using to-nat-complement [of fill-ys] (length fill-ys = n) by simp then have to-nat $?add \ge 2 \land n$ using add-carry-correct fills-props by simp then have length $?add \ge n + 1$ using to-nat-bound-to-length-bound by simp then have length ?add = n + 1**using** $length-add-carry-upper[of True fill-xs map Not fill-ys] \langle length fill-xs = n \rangle$ $\langle length fill-ys = n \rangle$ by simp then obtain zs where ?add = zs @ [True] length zs = nusing add-carry-last-bit-True[of True fill-xs map Not fill-ys] $\langle length fill-xs = n \rangle$ $\langle length fill-ys = n \rangle$ by auto then have 1: to-nat (butlast ?add) = to-nat fill-xs + to-nat (map Not fill-ys) + $1 - 2 \hat{n}$ **unfolding** to-nat-butlast $[OF \langle ?add = zs @ [True] \rangle]$ using add-carry-correct by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 add.assoc eval-bool.simps(1) plus-1-eq-Suc) also have $\dots = to$ -nat fill- $xs + (2 \cap n - 1 - to$ -nat fill- $ys) + 1 - 2 \cap n$ **unfolding** to-nat-complement[of fill-ys] $\langle length fill-ys = n \rangle$ by (rule refl) also have $\dots = to$ -nat fill- $xs + (2 \cap n - 1) - to$ -nat fill-ys + 1 - 2nusing *le-add-diff-inverse*[OF (to-nat fill-ys $\leq 2 \land n - 1$)] by *linarith* also have ... = to-nat fill-xs - to-nat fill-ys + $(2 \cap n - 1) - (2 \cap n - 1)$ using (to-nat fill-xs > to-nat fill-ys) by simp also have $\dots = to$ -nat fill-xs - to-nat fill-ys by simp finally have 2: to-nat (subtract-nat xs ys) = to-nat xs - to-nat ysunfolding subtract-nat-xs-ys fills-props . have 3: length (butlast ?add) = nusing (length ?add = n + 1) by simp show ?thesis apply (intro conjI) subgoal by (fact 2)subgoal using 3 unfolding subtract-nat-xs-ys n-def[symmetric] by simp done qed

corollary subtract-nat-correct: to-nat (subtract-nat $xs \ ys$) = (to-nat xs) - (to-nat ys)

using subtract-nat-aux by simp

corollary length-subtract-nat-le: length (subtract-nat xs ys) $\leq max$ (length xs) (length ys)

using subtract-nat-aux by simp

8.9 (Grid) Multiplication

fun grid-mul-nat :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf where grid-mul-nat [] - = [] | grid-mul-nat (False#xs) y = False # (grid-mul-nat xs y) | grid-mul-nat (True#xs) y = add-nat (False # (grid-mul-nat xs y)) y

lemma grid-mul-nat-correct: to-nat (grid-mul-nat x y) = to-nat x * to-nat yby (induction x y rule: grid-mul-nat.induct) (simp-all add: add-nat-correct)

lemma length-grid-mul-nat: length (grid-mul-nat xs ys) \leq length xs + length ys **proof** (*induction xs ys rule: grid-mul-nat.induct*) case (1 uu)then show ?case by simp \mathbf{next} case (2 xs y)then show ?case by simp \mathbf{next} case (3 xs y)show ?case **proof** (rule ccontr) **assume** \neg length (grid-mul-nat (True # xs) y) < length (True # xs) + length ythen have l: length (grid-mul-nat (True # xs) y) = length xs + length y + 2 **using** length-add-nat-upper of False # grid-mul-nat xs y y 3 by simp then have length (add-nat (False # grid-mul-nat xs y) y) = max (length (False # grid-mul-nat xs y)) (length y) + 1 **using** length-add-nat-upper of False # grid-mul-nat xs y y 3 by simp then obtain as where add-nat (False # grid-mul-nat xs y) y = as @ [True]**using** add-nat-last-bit-True [of False # grid-mul-nat xs y y] by auto then have as-def: grid-mul-nat (True # xs) y = as @ [True] by simp then have length-as: length as = length xs + length y + 1 using l by simp

from as-def have m: to-nat (True # xs) * to-nat y = to-nat (as @ [True]) using grid-mul-nat-correct by metis

also have to-nat (as $@[True]) \ge 2 \cap length$ as

using to-nat-length-lower-bound by simp

also have $2 \cap length as = 2 \cap (length xs + length y + 1)$ using length-as by simp

also have to-nat (True # xs) * to-nat y < 2 ^ (length xs + 1) * 2 ^ length y

```
apply (intro mult-less-le-imp-less)
subgoal using to-nat-length-upper-bound[of True # xs] by simp
subgoal using to-nat-length-upper-bound[of y] by simp
subgoal
apply (rule ccontr)
using m to-nat-length-lower-bound[of as] by simp
done
finally show False by (simp add: power-add)
qed
qed
```

8.10 Syntax bundles

abbreviation shift-right-flip $xs \ n \equiv shift-right \ n \ xs$

```
open-bundle nat-lsbf-syntax
begin
notation add-nat (infixl \langle +_n \rangle 65)
notation compare-nat (infixl \langle \leq_n \rangle 50)
notation subtract-nat (infixl \langle -_n \rangle 65)
notation grid-mul-nat (infixl \langle *_n \rangle 70)
notation shift-right-flip (infixl \langle >>_n \rangle 55)
end
```

end

```
theory Karatsuba-Runtime-Lemmas
imports Complex-Main Akra-Bazzi.Akra-Bazzi-Method
begin
```

An explicit bound for a specific class of recursive functions.

context

fixes $a \ b \ c \ d :: nat$ fixes $f :: nat \Rightarrow nat$ assumes small-bounds: $f \ 0 \le a \ f \ (Suc \ 0) \le a$ assumes recursive-bound: $\bigwedge n. \ n > 1 \Longrightarrow f \ n \le c * n + d + f \ (n \ div \ 2)$ begin

```
private fun g where
```

 $g \ 0 = a$ $| g (Suc \ 0) = a$ $| g n = c * n + d + g (n div \ 2)$

```
private lemma f-g-bound: f n \leq g n

apply (induction n rule: g.induct)

subgoal using small-bounds by simp

subgoal for x using recursive-bound[of Suc (Suc x)] by auto

done
```

private lemma g-mono-aux: $a \leq g n$ **by** (*induction n rule: g.induct*) *simp-all* private lemma g-mono: $m \leq n \Longrightarrow g \ m \leq g \ n$ **proof** (*induction m arbitrary: n rule: g.induct*) case 1 then show ?case using g-mono-aux by simp next case 2then show ?case using g-mono-aux by simp \mathbf{next} case (3 x)then obtain y where n = Suc (Suc y) using Suc-le-D by blast have g(Suc(Suc x)) = c * Suc(Suc x) + d + g(Suc(Suc x) div 2)by simp also have $\dots \leq c * n + d + g$ (n div 2) using 3by (metis add-mono add-mono-thms-linordered-semiring (3) div-le-mono nat-mult-le-cancel-disj) finally show ?case using $\langle n = Suc (Suc y) \rangle$ by simp qed private lemma g-powers-of-2: $g(2 \cap n) = d * n + c * (2 \cap (n+1) - 2) + a$ **proof** (*induction* n) case (Suc n) then obtain n' where $2 \cap Suc \ n = Suc \ (Suc \ n')$ **by** (*metis g.cases less-exp not-less-eq zero-less-Suc*) then have $q (2 \cap Suc n) = c * 2 \cap Suc n + d + q (2 \cap n)$ by (metis g.simps(3) nonzero-mult-div-cancel-right power-Suc2 zero-neq-numeral) also have ... = $c * 2 \cap Suc n + d + d * n + c * (2 \cap (n+1) - 2) + a$ using Suc by simp also have ... = $d * Suc n + c * (2 \cap Suc n + (2 \cap (n+1) - 2)) + a$ using add-mult-distrib2[symmetric, of c] by simp finally show ?case by simp qed simp private lemma *pow-ineq*: assumes $m \ge (1 :: nat)$ assumes $p \ge 2$ shows $p \ \widehat{} m > m$ using assms apply (induction m) subgoal by simp subgoal for m**by** (cases m) (simp-all add: less-trans-Suc) done private lemma next-power-of-2: assumes $m \ge (1 :: nat)$

shows $\exists n k. m = 2 \land n + k \land k < 2 \land n$ proof from ex-power-ivl1 [OF order.refl assms] obtain n where $2 \ \hat{n} \le m \ m < 2 \ \hat{n}$ (n + 1)by auto then have $m = 2 \hat{n} + (m - 2 \hat{n}) m - 2 \hat{n} < 2 \hat{n}$ by simp-all then show ?thesis by blast qed **lemma** div-2-recursion-linear: $f n \leq (2 * d + 4 * c) * n + a$ **proof** (cases $n \ge 1$) case True then obtain m k where $n = 2 \ m + k k < 2 \ m$ using next-power-of-2 by blasthave $f n \leq g n$ using f-g-bound by simp also have $\dots \leq g \ (2 \ \widehat{}\ m + 2 \ \widehat{}\ m)$ using $\langle n = 2 \ \widehat{}\ m + k \rangle \langle k < 2 \ \widehat{}\ m \rangle$ g-mono by simp also have ... = $d * Suc m + c * (2 \cap (Suc m + 1) - 2) + a$ using g-powers-of-2[of Suc m]**apply** (*subst mult-2*[*symmetric*]) **apply** (*subst power-Suc*[*symmetric*]) also have $\dots \leq d * Suc m + c * 2 \cap (Suc m + 1) + a$ by simp also have $\dots \leq d * 2 \cap Suc \ m + c * 2 \cap (Suc \ m + 1) + a \text{ using } less-exp[of Suc \ Suc \ m + 1) + a \text{ using } less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \text{ using } less-exp[$ mby (meson add-le-mono less-or-eq-imp-le mult-le-mono) also have ... = $(2 * d + 4 * c) * 2 \cap m + a$ using mult.assoc add-mult-distrib **bv** simp **also have** ... $\leq (2 * d + 4 * c) * n + a$ using $\langle n = 2 \ \widehat{}\ m + k \rangle$ power-increasing of m n by simp finally show ?thesis . \mathbf{next} case False then have n = 0 by simp then show ?thesis using small-bounds by simp qed

\mathbf{end}

General Lemmas for Landau notation.

lemma landau-o-plus-aux': **fixes** f g **assumes** $f \in o[F](g)$ **shows** $O[F](g) = O[F](\lambda x. f x + g x)$ **apply** (intro equalityI subsetI) **subgoal using** landau-o.big.trans[OF - landau-o.plus-aux[OF assms]] by simp **subgoal for** h **using** assms by simp **done** **lemma** powr-bigo-linear-index-transformation: fixes $fl :: nat \Rightarrow nat$ fixes $f :: nat \Rightarrow real$ assumes $(\lambda x. real (fl x)) \in O(\lambda n. real n)$ assumes $f \in O(\lambda n. real n powr p)$ assumes $p > \theta$ shows $f \circ fl \in O(\lambda n. real \ n \ powr \ p)$ proof **obtain** c1 where $c1 > 0 \forall_F x$ in sequentially. norm $(real (fl x)) \leq c1 * norm$ (real x)using landau-o.bigE[OF assms(1)] by autothen obtain N1 where fl-bound: $\forall x. x \ge N1 \longrightarrow norm (real (fl x)) \le c1 *$ norm (real x) unfolding eventually-at-top-linorder by blast **obtain** c2 where $c2 > 0 \forall_F x$ in sequentially. norm (fx) < c2 * norm (real x powr p) using landau-o.bigE[OF assms(2)] by auto then obtain N2 where f-bound: $\forall x. x \ge N2 \longrightarrow norm (f x) \le c2 * norm (real)$ x powr p) unfolding eventually-at-top-linorder by blast define cf :: real where $cf = Max \{norm (f y) \mid y. y \le N2\}$ then have $cf \ge 0$ using Max-in[of {norm $(f y) | y. y \le N2$ }] norm-ge-zero by fastforce define c where c = c2 * c1 powr pthen have c > 0 using $\langle c1 > 0 \rangle \langle c2 > 0 \rangle$ by simp have $\forall x. x \ge N1 \longrightarrow norm (f (fl x)) \le cf + c * norm (real x) powr p$ **proof** (*intro allI impI*) fix xassume x > N1**show** norm $(f(fx)) \leq cf + c * norm (real x) powr p$ **proof** (cases $fl \ x \ge N2$) case True then have norm $(f(fx)) \leq c2 * norm (real (fx) powr p)$ using *f*-bound by simp also have $\dots = c2 * norm (real (fl x)) powr p$ by simp also have $\dots \leq c2 * (c1 * norm (real x)) powr p$ **apply** (*intro mult-mono order.refl powr-mono2 norm-ge-zero*) subgoal using $\langle p > \theta \rangle$ by simp subgoal using *fl-bound* $\langle x \geq N1 \rangle$ by simp subgoal using $\langle c2 \rangle > 0$ by simp subgoal by simp done also have $\dots = c2 * (c1 \text{ powr } p * norm (real x) \text{ powr } p)$ by (intro arg-cong[where f = (*) c2] powr-mult norm-ge-zero) also have $\dots = c * norm (real x) powr p$ unfolding c-def by simp

also have $\dots \leq cf + c * norm$ (real x) powr p using $\langle cf \geq 0 \rangle$ by simp finally show ?thesis . \mathbf{next} case False then have norm $(f(fx)) \leq cf$ unfolding cf-def by (intro Max-ge) auto also have $\dots \leq cf + c * norm (real x) powr p$ using $\langle c > 0 \rangle$ by simp finally show ?thesis . qed qed then have $f \circ fl \in O(\lambda x. cf + c * (real x) powr p)$ **apply** (*intro landau-o.big-mono*) unfolding eventually-at-top-linorder comp-apply by fastforce also have ... = $O(\lambda x. c * (real x) powr p)$ **proof** (*intro* landau-o-plus-aux'[symmetric]) have $(\lambda x. cf) \in O(\lambda x. real x powr 0)$ by simp **moreover have** $(\lambda x. real x powr \theta) \in o(\lambda x. real x powr p)$ using iffD2[OF powr-smallo-iff, OF filterlim-real-sequentially sequentially-bot $\langle p > 0 \rangle$]. ultimately have $(\lambda x. cf) \in o(\lambda x. real x powr p)$ **by** (*rule landau-o.big-small-trans*) also have $\dots = o(\lambda x. \ c * (real \ x) \ powr \ p)$ using landau-o.small.cmult $\langle c > 0 \rangle$ by simp finally show $(\lambda x. cf) \in ...$. qed also have $\dots = O(\lambda x. (real x) powr p)$ using landau-o.big.cmult $\langle c > 0 \rangle$ by simp finally show ?thesis . \mathbf{qed} **lemma** real-mono: $(a \leq b) = (real \ a \leq real \ b)$ by simp **lemma** real-linear: real (a + b) = real a + real bby simp **lemma** real-multiplicative: real (a * b) = real a * real bby simp **lemma** (in *landau-pair*) *big-1-mult-left*: fixes f g hassumes $f \in L F (g) h \in L F (\lambda$ -. 1) shows $(\lambda x. h x * f x) \in L F (g)$ proof – have $(\lambda x. f x * h x) \in L F (g)$ using assms by (rule big-1-mult) also have $(\lambda x. f x * h x) = (\lambda x. h x * f x)$ by *auto* finally show ?thesis . qed

lemma norm-nonneg: $x \ge 0 \implies norm \ x = x$ by simp

lemma landau-mono-always: **fixes** f g **assumes** $\bigwedge x. f x \ge (0 :: real) \bigwedge x. g x \ge 0$ **assumes** $\bigwedge x. f x \le g x$ **shows** $f \in O[F](g)$ **apply** (intro landau-o.bigI[of 1]) **using** assms by simp-all

end

9 Running time of *Nat-LSBF*

```
theory Nat-LSBF-TM
imports Nat-LSBF ../Karatsuba-Runtime-Lemmas ../Main-TM ../Estimation-Method
begin
```

9.1 Truncating and filling

fun truncate-reversed-tm :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf tm **where** truncate-reversed-tm [] =1 return [] | truncate-reversed-tm (x # xs) = 1 (if x then return (x # xs) else truncate-reversed-tm xs)

lemma val-truncate-reversed-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (truncate-reversed-tm xs) = truncate-reversed xs

by (*induction xs rule: truncate-reversed-tm.induct*) *simp-all*

lemma time-truncate-reversed-tm-le: time (truncate-reversed-tm xs) \leq length xs + 1

by (induction xs rule: truncate-reversed-tm.induct) simp-all

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition} \ truncate-tm :: \ nat-lsbf \Rightarrow \ nat-lsbf \ tm \ \textbf{where} \\ truncate-tm \ xs = 1 \ do \ \{ \\ rev-xs \leftarrow rev-tm \ xs; \\ truncate-rev-xs \leftarrow truncate-reversed-tm \ rev-xs; \\ rev-tm \ truncate-rev-xs \end{array}
```

lemma val-truncate-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (truncate-tm xs) = truncate xs **by** (simp add: truncate-tm-def Nat-LSBF.truncate-def)

lemma time-truncate-tm-le: time (truncate-tm xs) $\leq 3 * \text{length } xs + 6$ using add-mono[OF time-truncate-reversed-tm-le[of rev xs] truncate-reversed-length-ineq[of rev xs]]

by (*simp add: truncate-tm-def*)

definition *fill-tm* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *nat-lsbf* \Rightarrow *nat-lsbf tm* **where**

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{fill-tm }n \ xs = 1 \ do \ \{ \\ k \leftarrow \ length-tm \ xs; \\ l \leftarrow n \ -_t \ k; \\ zeros \leftarrow \ replicate-tm \ l \ False; \\ xs \ @_t \ zeros \end{array}$

lemma val-fill-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (fill-tm n xs) = fill n xsby (simp add: fill-tm-def fill-def)

lemma com-f-of-min-max: $f \ a \ b = f \ b \ a \Longrightarrow f \ (min \ a \ b) \ (max \ a \ b) = f \ a \ b$ **by** (cases $a \le b$; simp add: max-def min-def) **lemma** add-min-max: min (a::'a:: ordered-ab-semigroup-add) $b + max \ a \ b = a + b$

by (*intro com-f-of-min-max add.commute*)

lemma time-fill-tm: time (fill-tm n xs) = 2 * length xs + n + 5by (simp add: fill-tm-def time-replicate-tm add-min-max)

lemma time-fill-tm-le: time (fill-tm n xs) $\leq 3 * max n$ (length xs) + 5 unfolding time-fill-tm by simp

9.2 Right-shifts

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition $shift-right-tm::nat \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf tm where} \\ shift-right-tm n $xs = 1$ do {} \\ r \leftarrow replicate-tm n False; \\ r @_t $xs \\ \end{array}$

lemma val-shift-right-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (shift-right-tm n xs) = $xs >>_n n$ by (simp add: shift-right-tm-def shift-right-def)

lemma time-shift-right-tm[simp]: time (shift-right-tm n xs) = 2 * n + 3by (simp add: shift-right-tm-def time-replicate-tm)

9.3 Subdividing lists

9.3.1 Splitting a list in two blocks

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } split-at-tm :: nat \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow ('a \ list \times 'a \ list) \ tm \ \textbf{where} \\ split-at-tm \ k \ xs = 1 \ do \ \{ \\ xs1 \leftarrow take-tm \ k \ xs; \\ xs2 \leftarrow drop-tm \ k \ xs; \\ return \ (xs1, \ xs2) \\ \} \end{array}$

lemma val-split-at-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (split-at-tm k xs) = split-at k xs unfolding split-at-tm-def by simp **lemma** time-split-at-tm: time (split-at-tm k xs) = 2 * min k (length xs) + 3 unfolding split-at-tm-def tm-time-simps time-take-tm time-drop-tm by simp

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } split-tm::nat-lsbf \Rightarrow (nat-lsbf \times nat-lsbf) \ tm \ \textbf{where} \\ split-tm \ xs = 1 \ do \ \{ \\ n \leftarrow length-tm \ xs; \\ n-div-2 \leftarrow n \ div_t \ 2; \\ split-at-tm \ n-div-2 \ xs \end{array} \right\}
```

lemma val-split-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (split-tm xs) = split xs
by (simp add: split-tm-def split-def Let-def)

lemma time-split-tm-le: time (split-tm xs) $\leq 10 * length xs + 16$ using time-divide-nat-tm-le[of length xs 2] by (simp add: split-tm-def time-split-at-tm)

9.3.2 Splitting a list in multiple blocks

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{fun} \ subdivide-tm :: \ nat \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list \ list \ tm \ \mathbf{where} \\ subdivide-tm \ 0 \ xs = 1 \ undefined \\ | \ subdivide-tm \ n \ [] = 1 \ return \ [] \\ | \ subdivide-tm \ n \ xs = 1 \ do \ \{ \\ r \leftarrow take-tm \ n \ xs; \\ s \leftarrow drop-tm \ n \ xs; \\ rs \leftarrow subdivide-tm \ n \ s; \\ return \ (r \ \# \ rs) \\ \} \end{array}$

lemma val-subdivide-tm[simp, val-simp]: $n > 0 \implies$ val (subdivide-tm n xs) = subdivide n xs

by (induction n xs rule: subdivide.induct) simp-all

lemma time-subdivide-tm-le-aux:

assumes n > 0shows time (subdivide-tm n xs) $\leq k * (2 * n + 3) + time$ (subdivide-tm n (drop (k * n) xs)) proof (induction k arbitrary: xs) case (Suc k) show ?case proof (cases xs) case Nil then show ?thesis by simp next case (Cons a l) then have time (subdivide-tm n (a # l)) $\leq 2 * n + 3 + time$ (subdivide-tm n (drop n (a # l))) using gr0-implies-Suc[OF assms] by (auto simp: time-take-tm time-drop-tm)

also have $\dots \leq 2 * n + 3 + (k * (2 * n + 3) + time (subdivide-tm n (drop n + 3)))$

(k * n) (drop n (a # l)))))by (intro add-mono order.refl Suc) also have $\dots = Suc \ k * (2 * n + 3) + time \ (subdivide-tm \ n \ (drop \ (Suc \ k * n)))$ (a # l)))**by** (*simp add: add.commute*) finally show ?thesis using Cons by simp qed qed simp **lemma** time-subdivide-tm-le: fixes $xs :: 'a \ list$ assumes $n > \theta$ shows time (subdivide-tm n xs) $\leq 5 * \text{length } xs + 2 * n + 4$ proof define k where k = length xs div n + 1then have k * n > length xs using assms by (meson div-less-iff-less-mult less-add-one order-less-imp-le) then have *drop-Nil*: *drop* (k * n) xs = [] by *simp* have time (subdivide-tm n xs) $\leq k * (2 * n + 3) + time$ (subdivide-tm n ([] :: 'a list)) using time-subdivide-tm-le-aux [OF assms, of xs k] unfolding drop-Nil. also have $\dots = k * (2 * n + 3) + 1$ using gr0-implies-Suc[OF assms] by auto also have ... = (2 * n * (length xs div n) + 2 * n) + 3 * (length xs div n) + 4**unfolding** k-def **by** (simp add: add-mult-distrib2) also have $\dots \leq 5 * length xs + 2 * n + 4$ using times-div-less-eq-dividend[of n length xs] div-le-dividend[of length xs n] by linarith finally show ?thesis . \mathbf{qed}

9.4 The *bitsize* function

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{fun bitsize-tm :: } nat \Rightarrow nat \ tm \ \textbf{where} \\ bitsize-tm \ 0 = 1 \ return \ 0 \\ | \ bitsize-tm \ n = 1 \ do \ \{ \\ n-div-2 \ \leftarrow \ n \ div_t \ 2; \\ r \ \leftarrow \ bitsize-tm \ n-div-2; \\ 1 \ +_t \ r \\ \end{array} \right.$

lemma val-bitsize-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (bitsize-tm n) = bitsize n by (induction n rule: bitsize-tm.induct) simp-all

```
fun time-bitsize-tm-bound :: nat \Rightarrow nat where
time-bitsize-tm-bound 0 = 1
| time-bitsize-tm-bound n = 14 + 8 * n + time-bitsize-tm-bound (n div 2)
```

```
lemma time-bitsize-tm-aux:
time (bitsize-tm n) \leq time-bitsize-tm-bound n
```

apply (induction n rule: bitsize-tm.induct)
subgoal by simp
subgoal for n using time-divide-nat-tm-le[of Suc n 2] by simp
done

lemma time-bitsize-tm-aux2: time-bitsize-tm-bound $n \le (2 * 8 + 4 * 14) * n + 23$

apply (intro div-2-recursion-linear) using less-iff-Suc-add by auto

lemma time-bitsize-tm-le: time (bitsize-tm n) $\leq 72 * n + 23$ using order.trans[OF time-bitsize-tm-aux time-bitsize-tm-aux2] by simp

9.4.1 The *is-power-of-2* function

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{fun } is\text{-}power\text{-}of\text{-}2\text{-}tm :: nat \Rightarrow bool \ tm \ \textbf{where} \\ is\text{-}power\text{-}of\text{-}2\text{-}tm \ 0 = 1 \ return \ False \\ \mid is\text{-}power\text{-}of\text{-}2\text{-}tm \ (Suc \ 0) = 1 \ return \ True \\ \mid is\text{-}power\text{-}of\text{-}2\text{-}tm \ n = 1 \ do \ \\ n\text{-}mod\text{-}2 \leftarrow n \ mod_t \ 2; \\ n\text{-}div\text{-}2 \leftarrow n \ div_t \ 2; \\ c1 \leftarrow n\text{-}mod\text{-}2 =_t \ 0; \\ c2 \leftarrow is\text{-}power\text{-}of\text{-}2\text{-}tm \ n\text{-}div\text{-}2; \\ c1 \ \wedge_t \ c2 \end{array} \right)
```

lemma val-is-power-of-2-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (is-power-of-2-tm n) = is-power-of-2 n

by (induction n rule: is-power-of-2-tm.induct) simp-all

```
lemma time-is-power-of-2-tm-le: time (is-power-of-2-tm n) \leq 114 * n + 1
proof –
 have time (is-power-of-2-tm n) \leq (2 * 25 + 4 * 16) * n + 1
   apply (intro div-2-recursion-linear)
   subgoal by simp
   subgoal by simp
   subgoal premises prems for n
   proof -
    from prems obtain n' where n = Suc (Suc n')
      by (metis Suc-diff-1 Suc-diff-Suc order-less-trans zero-less-one)
    then have time (is-power-of-2-tm n) =
        time (n \mod_t 2) +
        time (n \ div_t \ 2) + 
        time (is-power-of-2-tm (n \ div \ 2)) + 3
      by (simp add: time-equal-nat-tm)
    also have \dots \leq 16 * n + time (is-power-of-2-tm (n div 2)) + 25
      apply (estimation estimate: time-mod-nat-tm-le)
      apply (estimation estimate: time-divide-nat-tm-le)
```

```
apply simp
done
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
done
then show ?thesis by simp
qed
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } next-power-of-2-tm :: nat \Rightarrow nat \ tm \ \textbf{where} \\ next-power-of-2-tm \ n = 1 \ do \ \{ \\ b \leftarrow is-power-of-2-tm \ n; \\ if \ b \ then \ return \ n \ else \ do \ \{ \\ r \leftarrow bitsize-tm \ n; \\ 2 \ \widehat{\phantom{t}}_t \ r \\ \} \end{array}
```

lemma val-next-power-of-2-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (next-power-of-2-tm n) = next-power-of-2 n

by (simp add: next-power-of-2-tm-def)

}

```
lemma time-next-power-of-2-tm-le: time (next-power-of-2-tm n) \leq 208 * n + 37
proof (cases is-power-of-2 n)
 case True
 then show ?thesis
   using time-is-power-of-2-tm-le[of n]
   by (simp add: next-power-of-2-tm-def)
next
 case False
 then have time (next-power-of-2-tm \ n) =
     time (is-power-of-2-tm n) +
     time (bitsize-tm n) +
     time (power-nat-tm 2 (bitsize n)) + 1
   by (simp add: next-power-of-2-tm-def)
 also have \dots \leq 186 * n + 6 * 2 (bitsize n) + 5 * bitsize n + 26
   apply (estimation estimate: time-is-power-of-2-tm-le)
   apply (estimation estimate: time-bitsize-tm-le)
   apply (estimation estimate: time-power-nat-tm-le)
   by simp
 also have ... \leq 186 * n + 11 * 2 (bitsize n) + 26
   by simp
 also have ... \leq 208 * n + 37
   \mathbf{by} \ (estimation \ estimate: \ two-pow-bitsize-bound) \ simp
 finally show ?thesis .
qed
```

9.5 Addition

fun *bit-add-carry-tm* :: *bool* \Rightarrow *bool* \Rightarrow *bool* \Rightarrow *(bool* \times *bool) tm* **where**

bit-add-carry-tm False False False False =1 return (False, False) | bit-add-carry-tm False False True =1 return (True, False) | bit-add-carry-tm False True False =1 return (True, False) | bit-add-carry-tm True False True =1 return (False, True) | bit-add-carry-tm True False True =1 return (False, True) | bit-add-carry-tm True True False =1 return (False, True) | bit-add-carry-tm True True True =1 return (False, True) | bit-add-carry-tm True True True =1 return (True, True)

lemma val-bit-add-carry-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (bit-add-carry-tm x y z) = bit-add-carry x y z

by (induction $x \ y \ z \ rule:$ bit-add-carry-tm.induct; simp) **lemma** time-bit-add-carry-tm[simp]: time (bit-add-carry-tm $x \ y \ z) = 1$ **by** (induction $x \ y \ z \ rule:$ bit-add-carry-tm.induct; simp)

```
by (induction x y z rule, one-add-carry-intendent, sing
```

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{fun inc-nat-tm :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf tm where} \\ inc-nat-tm [] = 1 \ return \ [True] \\ | \ inc-nat-tm \ (False \ \# \ xs) = 1 \ return \ (True \ \# \ xs) \\ | \ inc-nat-tm \ (True \ \# \ xs) = 1 \ do \ \{ \\ r \leftarrow \ inc-nat-tm \ xs; \\ return \ (False \ \# \ r) \\ \} \end{array}
```

lemma val-inc-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (inc-nat-tm xs) = inc-nat xs by (induction xs rule: inc-nat-tm.induct) simp-all

lemma time-inc-nat-tm-le: time (inc-nat-tm xs) \leq length xs + 1by (induction xs rule: inc-nat-tm.induct) simp-all

```
fun add-carry-tm :: bool \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf tm where
add-carry-tm False [] y = 1 return y
  add-carry-tm False (x \# xs) = 1 return (x \# xs)
\mid add\text{-}carry\text{-}tm \ True \mid y = 1 \ do \mid \{
    r \leftarrow inc\text{-}nat\text{-}tm \ y;
    return r
  }
| add-carry-tm True (x \# xs) [] = 1 do \{
    r \leftarrow inc\text{-}nat\text{-}tm \ (x \ \# \ xs);
    return r
  }
\mid add\text{-}carry\text{-}tm \ c \ (x \ \# \ xs) \ (y \ \# \ ys) = 1 \ do \ \{
    (a, b) \leftarrow bit\text{-}add\text{-}carry\text{-}tm \ c \ x \ y;
    r \leftarrow add\text{-}carry\text{-}tm \ b \ xs \ ys;
    return (a \# r)
  }
```

lemma val-add-carry-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (add-carry-tm c xs ys) = add-carry c xs ys

by (induction c xs ys rule: add-carry-tm.induct) (simp-all split: prod.splits)

lemma time-add-carry-tm-le: time (add-carry-tm c xs ys) $\leq 2 * max$ (length xs) (length ys) + 2 proof (induction c xs ys rule: add-carry-tm.induct) case (3 y) then show ?case using time-inc-nat-tm-le[of y] by simp next case (4 x xs) then show ?case using time-inc-nat-tm-le[of x # xs] by simp qed (simp-all split: prod.splits) definition add-nat-tm :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf tm where add-nat-tm xs ys = 1 do { r \leftarrow add-carry-tm False xs ys; return r }

lemma val-add-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (add-nat-tm xs ys) = xs $+_n$ ys by (simp add: add-nat-tm-def add-nat-def)

lemma time-add-nat-tm-le: time (add-nat-tm xs ys) $\leq 2 * max$ (length xs) (length ys) + 3

using time-add-carry-tm-le[of - xs ys] by (simp add: add-nat-tm-def)

9.6 Comparison and subtraction

fun compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm :: bool list \Rightarrow bool list \Rightarrow bool tm where compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm [] [] =1 return True | compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm (False # xs) (False # ys) =1 compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm xs ys | compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm (True # xs) (False # ys) =1 return False | compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm (False # xs) (True # ys) =1 return True | compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm (True # xs) (True # ys) =1 compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm xs ys | compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm - =1 undefined

lemma val-compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm[simp, val-simp]: assumes length xs = length ys

shows val (compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm xs ys) = compare-nat-same-length-reversed xs ys

using assms **by** (induction xs ys rule: compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm.induct) simp-all

lemma time-compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm-le:

length $xs = length \ ys \implies time \ (compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm \ xs \ ys) \le length \ xs + 1$

by (induction xs ys rule: compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm.induct) simp-all

fun compare-nat-same-length-tm :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow bool tm **where**

```
\begin{array}{l} compare-nat-same-length-tm \ xs \ ys = 1 \ do \ \{ \\ rev-xs \leftarrow rev-tm \ xs; \\ rev-ys \leftarrow rev-tm \ ys; \\ compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm \ rev-xs \ rev-ys \ \} \end{array}
```

```
lemma val-compare-nat-same-length-tm[simp, val-simp]:

assumes length xs = length ys

shows val (compare-nat-same-length-tm xs ys) = compare-nat-same-length xs ys

using assms by simp
```

```
lemma time-compare-nat-same-length-tm-le:
```

length $xs = \text{length } ys \implies \text{time } (\text{compare-nat-same-length-tm } xs ys) \le 3 * \text{length} xs + 6$

```
using time-compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm-le[of rev xs rev ys]
by simp
```

```
definition make-same-length-tm :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow (nat-lsbf \times nat-lsbf) tm where
```

```
 \begin{array}{l} make-same-length-tm \; xs \; ys = 1 \; do \; \{ \\ len-xs \leftarrow length-tm \; xs; \\ len-ys \leftarrow length-tm \; ys; \\ n \leftarrow max-nat-tm \; len-xs \; len-ys; \\ fill-xs \leftarrow fill-tm \; n \; xs; \\ fill-ys \leftarrow fill-tm \; n \; ys; \\ return \; (fill-xs, \; fill-ys) \\ \} \end{array}
```

 $\label{eq:lemma} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma} \ val-make-same-length-tm[simp, \ val-simp]: \ val \ (make-same-length-tm \ xs \ ys) \\ = \ make-same-length \ xs \ ys \end{array}$

```
by (simp add: make-same-length-tm-def make-same-length-def del: max-nat-tm.simps)
```

lemma time-make-same-length-tm-le: time (make-same-length-tm xs ys) $\leq 10 * max$ (length xs) (length ys) + 16 **proof** -

have time (make-same-length-tm xs ys) = 13 + 3 * length xs + 3 * length ys + (time (max-nat-tm (length xs) (length ys)) + <math>2 * max (length xs) (length ys))by (simp add: make-same-length-tm-def time-fill-tm del: max-nat-tm.simps) also have ... $\leq 10 * max (\text{length } xs) (\text{length } ys) + 16$ using time-max-nat-tm-le[of length xs length ys] by simp finally show ?thesis .

\mathbf{qed}

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } compare-nat-tm :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow bool \ tm \ \textbf{where} \\ compare-nat-tm \ xs \ ys = 1 \ do \ \{ \\ (fill-xs, \ fill-ys) \leftarrow make-same-length-tm \ xs \ ys; \\ compare-nat-same-length-tm \ fill-xs \ fill-ys \\ \} \end{array}$

lemma val-compare-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (compare-nat-tm xs ys) = (xs \leq_n ys)

using make-same-length-correct [where xs = xs and ys = ys]

by (*simp* add: *compare-nat-tm-def compare-nat-def del*: *compare-nat-same-length-tm.simps compare-nat-same-length.simps split*: *prod.splits*)

```
lemma time-compare-nat-tm-le: time (compare-nat-tm xs ys) \leq 13 * max (length
xs) (length ys) + 23
proof -
 obtain fill-xs fill-ys where fills-defs: make-same-length xs ys = (fill-xs, fill-ys)
by fastforce
 then have time (compare-nat-tm xs ys) = time (make-same-length-tm xs ys) +
     time (compare-nat-same-length-tm fill-xs fill-ys) + 1
   by (simp add: compare-nat-tm-def del: compare-nat-same-length-tm.simps)
 also have \dots < (10 * max (length xs) (length ys) + 16) +
     (3 * max (length xs) (length ys) + 6) + 1
   apply (intro add-mono order.refl time-make-same-length-tm-le)
   using time-compare-nat-same-length-tm-le[of fill-xs fill-ys]
   using make-same-length-correct[OF fills-defs[symmetric]] by argo
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
definition subtract-nat-tm :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf tm where
subtract-nat-tm xs ys = 1 do {
```

```
b ← compare-nat-tm xs ys;
if b then return [] else do {
  (fill-xs, fill-ys) ← make-same-length-tm xs ys;
  fill-ys-comp ← map-tm Not-tm fill-ys;
  a ← add-carry-tm True fill-xs fill-ys-comp;
  butlast-tm a
}
```

```
lemma val-subtract-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (subtract-nat-tm xs ys) = xs -_n ys
by (simp add: subtract-nat-tm-def subtract-nat-def Let-def split: prod.splits)
```

lemma time-map-tm-Not-tm: time (map-tm Not-tm xs) = 2 * length xs + 1using time-map-tm-constant[of xs Not-tm 1] by simp

lemma time-subtract-nat-tm-le: time (subtract-nat-tm xs ys) $\leq 30 * max$ (length xs) (length ys) + 48 **proof** – **obtain** x1 x2 **where** x12: make-same-length xs ys = (x1, x2) **by** fastforce **note** x12-simps = make-same-length-correct[OF x12[symmetric]] **then have** max12: max (length x1) (length x2) = max (length xs) (length ys) **by** simp **show** ?thesis **proof** (cases compare-nat xs ys) **case** True

```
then show ?thesis
    using time-compare-nat-tm-le[of xs ys]
    by (simp add: subtract-nat-tm-def)
 \mathbf{next}
   case False
   then have time (subtract-nat-tm xs ys) =
      Suc (time (compare-nat-tm xs ys) +
          (time (make-same-length-tm xs ys) +
           (time (map-tm Not-tm x2) +
           (time (add-carry-tm True x1 (map Not x2)) +
            (time (butlast-tm (add-carry True x1 (map Not x2))))))))
    by (simp add: subtract-nat-tm-def x12)
   also have \dots \leq 30 * max (length xs) (length ys) + 48
    apply (subst Suc-eq-plus1)
    apply (estimation estimate: time-compare-nat-tm-le)
    apply (estimation estimate: time-make-same-length-tm-le)
    apply (subst time-map-tm-Not-tm)
    apply (estimation estimate: time-add-carry-tm-le)
    apply (estimation estimate: time-butlast-tm-le)
    apply (estimation estimate: time-inc-nat-tm-le)
    apply (estimation estimate: length-add-carry-upper)
    apply (subst length-map)+
    apply (subst max12)+
    apply (subst x12-simps)+
    apply simp
    done
   finally show ?thesis .
 ged
qed
```

9.7 (Grid) Multiplication

fun grid-mul-nat-tm :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf tm where
grid-mul-nat-tm [] ys = 1 return []
| grid-mul-nat-tm (False # xs) ys = 1 do {
 r \leftarrow grid-mul-nat-tm xs ys;
 return (False # r)
}
| grid-mul-nat-tm (True # xs) ys = 1 do {
 r \leftarrow grid-mul-nat-tm xs ys;
 add-nat-tm (False # r) ys
}

lemma val-grid-mul-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (grid-mul-nat-tm xs ys) = xs $*_n$ ys

by (induction xs ys rule: grid-mul-nat-tm.induct) simp-all

lemma euler-sum-bound: $\sum \{..(n::nat)\} \le n * n$ by (induction n) simp-all **lemma** *time-grid-mul-nat-tm-le*: time $(grid-mul-nat-tm \ xs \ ys) \le 8 * length \ xs * max (length \ xs) (length \ ys) + 1$ proof have time $(grid-mul-nat-tm \ xs \ ys) \le 2 * (\sum \{..length \ xs\}) + length \ xs * (2 * (2 + length \ xs)))$ length ys + 4) + 1 **proof** (*induction xs ys rule: grid-mul-nat-tm.induct*) case (1 ys)then show ?case by simp \mathbf{next} case (2 xs ys)then show ?case by simp next case (3 xs ys)then have time (grid-mul-nat-tm (True # xs) ys) \leq time (qrid-mul-nat-tm xs ys) +time (add-nat-tm (False # grid-mul-nat xs ys) ys) + 1 (is $?l \le ?i + - + 1$) by simp also have $\dots \leq ?i + 2 * max (1 + length (grid-mul-nat xs ys)) (length ys) + 4$ **by** (*estimation estimate: time-add-nat-tm-le*) *simp* also have $\dots \leq ?i + 2 * (length xs + length ys + 1) + 4$ **apply** (*estimation estimate: length-grid-mul-nat*[of xs ys]) **by** (*simp-all add: length-grid-mul-nat*) also have $\dots = ?i + 2 * (length (True \# xs)) + 2 * length ys + 4$ by simp also have $\dots \leq 2 * (\sum \{\dots length (True \# xs)\}) + length (True \# xs) * (2 * (2 * xs)))$ length ys + 4) + 1 using 3 by simp finally show ?case . qed also have $\dots \leq 2 * length xs * length xs + 2 * length xs * length ys + 4 * length$ xs + 1by (estimation estimate: euler-sum-bound) (simp add: distrib-left) also have $\dots \leq 6 * length xs * length xs + 2 * length xs * length ys + 1$ by (simp add: leI) also have $\dots < 8 * length xs * max (length xs) (length ys) + 1$ by (simp add: add.commute add-mult-distrib nat-mult-max-right) finally show ?thesis . qed

9.8 Syntax bundles

abbreviation shift-right-tm-flip where shift-right-tm-flip xs $n \equiv$ shift-right-tm n xs

```
open-bundle nat-lsbf-tm-syntax
begin
notation add-nat-tm (infixl \langle +_{nt} \rangle 65)
notation compare-nat-tm (infixl \langle \leq_{nt} \rangle 50)
```

```
notation subtract-nat-tm (infixl \langle -_{nt} \rangle 65)
notation grid-mul-nat-tm (infixl \langle *_{nt} \rangle 70)
notation shift-right-tm-flip (infixl \langle >>_{nt} \rangle 55)
end
```

end theory Int-LSBF imports Nat-LSBF HOL-Algebra.IntRing begin

10 Representing *int* in LSBF

10.1 Type definition

datatype sign = Positive | Negative**type-synonym** $int-lsbf = sign \times nat-lsbf$

10.2 Conversions

fun from-int :: int \Rightarrow int-lsbf **where** from-int $x = (if \ x \ge 0$ then (Positive, from-nat (nat x)) else (Negative, from-nat (nat (-x))))**fun** to-int :: int-lsbf \Rightarrow int **where** to-int (Positive, xs) = int (to-nat xs) | to-int (Negative, xs) = - int (to-nat xs)

lemma to-int-from-int[simp]: to-int (from-int x) = xby (cases $x \ge 0$) simp-all

fun truncate-int :: int-lsbf \Rightarrow int-lsbf **where** truncate-int (Positive, xs) = (Positive, truncate xs) | truncate-int (Negative, xs) = (let ys = truncate xs in if ys = [] then (Positive, []) else (Negative, ys))

lemma to-int-truncate[simp]: to-int (truncate-int xs) = to-int xsby (induction xs rule: truncate-int.induct) (simp-all add: Let-def to-nat-zero-iff)

```
lemma truncate-from-int[simp]: truncate-int (from-int x) = from-int x

apply (cases x \ge 0)

subgoal by simp

subgoal unfolding Let-def

proof -

assume \neg x \ge 0

then have to-nat (from-nat (nat (- x))) > 0 by simp

then have truncate (from-nat (nat (- x))) \neq [] using to-nat-zero-iff nless-le

by blast

then show ?thesis by simp

qed

done
```

lemma pos-and-neg-imp-zero: **assumes** to-int (Positive, x) = to-int (Negative, y) **shows** to-nat $x = 0 \land$ to-nat y = 0 **proof** – **have** to-int (Positive, x) ≥ 0 to-int (Negative, y) ≤ 0 by simp-all with assms have to-int (Positive, x) = 0 to-int (Negative, y) = 0 by simp-all thus ?thesis by simp-all **qed**

lemma to-int-eq-imp-truncate-int-eq: to-int (a, x) = to-int (b, y) \implies truncate-int (a, x) = truncate-int (b, y) apply (cases a; cases b) subgoal by (simp add: to-nat-eq-imp-truncate-eq[of x y]) subgoal using pos-and-neg-imp-zero[of x y] to-nat-zero-iff by fastforce subgoal using to-nat-zero-iff by (simp add: Let-def) subgoal by (simp add: to-nat-eq-imp-truncate-eq[of x y]) done

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma from-int-to-int: from-int \circ to-int = truncate-int} \\ \textbf{proof} & - \\ \textbf{have } (\bigwedge x \ y. \ to-int \ x = to-int \ y \Longrightarrow truncate-int \ x = truncate-int \ y)} \\ \textbf{using } to-int-eq-imp-truncate-int-eq \ \textbf{by } auto} \\ \textbf{thus } ?thesis \\ \textbf{using from-to-f-criterion[of to-int from-int truncate-int]} \\ \textbf{using truncate-from-int to-int-from-int} \\ \textbf{using comp-apply} \\ \textbf{by fastforce} \\ \textbf{qed} \end{array}$

interpretation int-lsbf: abstract-representation from-int to-int truncate-int
proof
show to-int o from-int = id
using to-int-from-int comp-apply by fastforce
next
show from-int o to-int = truncate-int
using from-int-to-int comp-apply by fastforce
qed

10.3 Addition

fun add-int :: int-lsbf \Rightarrow int-lsbf \Rightarrow int-lsbf **where** add-int (Negative, xs) (Negative, ys) = (Negative, add-nat xs ys) | add-int (Positive, xs) (Positive, ys) = (Positive, add-nat xs ys) | add-int (Positive, xs) (Negative, ys) = (if compare-nat xs ys then (Negative, subtract-nat ys xs) else (Positive, subtract-nat xs ys)) | add-int (Negative, xs) (Positive, ys) = (if compare-nat xs ys then (Positive, subsubtract-nat xs ys) = (if compare-nat xs ys then (Positive, sublad-int (Negative, xs) (Positive, ys) = (if compare-nat xs ys then (Positive, subsubtract-nat xs ys) = (if compare-nat xs ys then (Positive, subsubtract-nat xs ys) = (if compare-nat xs ys then (Positive, subsubtract-nat xs ys) = (if compare-nat xs ys then (Positive, subsubtract-nat ys xs) = (if compare-nat xs ys then tract-nat ys xs) else (Negative, subtract-nat xs ys))

```
lemma add-int-correct: to-int (add-int x y) = to-int x + to-int y
apply (induction x y rule: add-int.induct)
subgoal by (simp add: add-nat-correct)
apply (auto simp only: add-int.simps compare-nat-correct subtract-nat-correct
to-int.simps split: if-splits)
done
```

fun nat-mul-to-int-mul :: $(nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf) \Rightarrow int-lsbf \Rightarrow int-lsbf$ \Rightarrow int-lsbf **where** nat-mul-to-int-mul f (x, xs) (y, ys) = ((if x = y then Positive else Negative), f xs

nat-mu-to-int-mulf (x, xs) (y, ys) = ((if x = y then Positive else Negative), f xs ys)

lemma *nat-mul-to-int-mul-correct*:

assumes $\bigwedge x \ y$. to-nat $(f \ x \ y) = to$ -nat x * to-nat yshows $\bigwedge x \ y \ xs \ ys$. to-int (nat-mul-to-int-mul $f(x, \ xs)(y, \ ys)) = to$ -int $(x, \ xs) * to$ -int $(y, \ ys)$ subgoal for $x \ y \ xs \ ys$ by $(cases \ x; \ cases \ y)(simp-all \ add: \ assms)$ done

10.4 Grid Multiplication

fun grid-mul-int where grid-mul-int x y = nat-mul-to-int-mul grid-mul-nat x y

corollary grid-mul-int-correct: to-int (grid-mul-int x y) = to-int x * to-int yusing nat-mul-to-int-mul-correct[OF grid-mul-nat-correct] by (metis grid-mul-int.elims surj-pair)

 \mathbf{end}

11 Karatsuba Multiplication

theory Karatsuba

```
imports ../Binary-Representations/Nat-LSBF ../Binary-Representations/Int-LSBF
../Estimation-Method
begin
```

This theory contains an implementation of the Karatsuba Multiplication on type *nat-lsbf*.

definition *abs-diff* :: *nat-lsbf* \Rightarrow *nat-lsbf* \Rightarrow *nat-lsbf* where *abs-diff* $x \ y = (x -_n \ y) +_n (y -_n \ x)$

lemma abs-diff-correct: int (to-nat (abs-diff x y)) = abs (int (to-nat x) - int (to-nat y))

unfolding *abs-diff-def* **by** (*simp add: add-nat-correct subtract-nat-correct*)

lemma abs-diff-length: length (abs-diff xs ys) $\leq max$ (length xs) (length ys) **proof** (cases compare-nat xs ys) **case** True **then have** $xs -_n ys = []$ **by** (simp add: subtract-nat-def) **then have** abs-diff xs ys = ys $-_n xs$ **by** (simp add: abs-diff-def add-nat-def) **then show** ?thesis **using** length-subtract-nat-le[of ys xs] **by** simp **next case** False **then have** $ys \leq_n xs$ **by** (simp only: compare-nat-correct) **then have** $ys \leq_n xs = []$ **by** (simp add: subtract-nat-def) **then have** abs-diff xs $ys = xs -_n ys$ **by** (simp add: abs-diff-def add-nat-com add-nat-def) **then show** ?thesis **using** length-subtract-nat-le[of xs ys] **by** simp **qed**

For small inputs, implementations of Karatsuba Multiplication usually switch to grid multiplication. The threshold does not matter for the asymptotic running time, hence we will just arbitrarily choose 42.

definition karatsuba-lower-bound :: nat where karatsuba-lower-bound $\equiv 42$

```
lemma karatsuba-lower-bound-requirement:
karatsuba-lower-bound \geq 1
unfolding karatsuba-lower-bound-def by simp
```

A first version of the algorithm assumes the input numbers have a length which is a power of 2. The function *karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length* takes the specified length as additional first argument.

fun *karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *nat-lsbf* \Rightarrow *nat-lsbf* \Rightarrow *nat-lsbf* \Rightarrow *nat-lsbf* \Rightarrow *nat-lsbf* karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k x y =(if k < karatsuba-lower-boundthen $x *_n y$ else let (x0, x1) = split x;(y0, y1) = split y;k-div-2 = (k div 2); prod0 = karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k-div-2 x0 y0;prod1 = karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k-div-2 x1 y1;prod2 = karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k-div-2(fill k-div-2 (abs-diff x0 x1))(fill k-div-2 (abs-diff y0 y1)); $add01 = prod0 +_n prod1;$ $r = (if (x1 \leq_n x0) = (y1 \leq_n y0)$ then $add01 -_n prod2$ else add $01 +_n prod2$) in prod0 $+_n$ $(r >>_n k$ -div-2) $+_n$ $(prod1 >>_n k))$

declare karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length.simps[simp del]

locale karatsuba-context = fixes $k \ l :: nat$ fixes x y :: nat-lsbfassumes k-power-of-2: $k = 2 \ \hat{} l$ **assumes** length-x: length x = k**assumes** length-y: length y = kassumes recursion-condition: $\neg k \leq karatsuba-lower-bound$ begin definition $x\theta$ where $x\theta = fst$ (split x) definition x1 where x1 = snd (split x) definition $y\theta$ where $y\theta = fst$ (split y) definition y1 where y1 = snd (split y) definition k-div-2 where k-div-2 = k div 2 definition prod0 where prod0 = karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k-div-2 x0 y0definition prod1 where prod1 = karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k-div-2 x1 y1definition prod2 where prod2 = karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k-div-2(fill k-div-2 (abs-diff x0 x1))(fill k-div-2 (abs-diff y0 y1))definition add01 where $add01 = prod0 +_n prod1$ **definition** r where $r = (if (x_1 \leq_n x_0) = (y_1 \leq_n y_0)$ then $add01 -_n prod2$ else add $01 +_n prod2$) **lemma** split-x: split x = (x0, x1) using x0-def x1-def by simp **lemma** split-y: split y = (y0, y1) using y0-def y1-def by simp **lemmas** defs1 = split-x split-y**lemmas** defs2 = prod0-def prod1-def prod2-def k-div-2-def add01-def r-def**lemma** recursive: karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length $k \ x \ y =$ $prod0 +_n (r >>_n k - div - 2) +_n (prod1 >>_n k)$ **unfolding** karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length.simps[of k x y]using defs1 defs2 recursion-condition **by** (*simp only: if-False Let-def case-prod-conv*) lemma *l-ge-1*: $l \ge 1$ using karatsuba-lower-bound-requirement recursion-condition k-power-of-2 **by** (cases l; simp) lemma k-even: $k \mod 2 = 0$ using k-power-of-2 l-ge-1 by simp lemma k-div-2: k-div-2 = $2^{(l-1)}$ unfolding k-div-2-def using k-power-of-2 l-ge-1 by (simp add: power-diff) lemma k-div-2-less-k: k-div-2 < k unfolding k-div-2-def using k-power-of-2 by simp

lemma length-x-split: length x0 = k-div-2 length x1 = k-div-2 unfolding k-div-2-def using k-even length-split[OF - split-x] length-x by argo+

lemma length-y-split: length y0 = k-div-2 length y1 = k-div-2 unfolding k-div-2-def using k-even length-split[OF - split-y] length-y by argo+

lemma length-abs-diff-x0-x1: length (abs-diff x0 x1) \leq k-div-2 using abs-diff-length[of x0 x1] length-x-split by simp **lemma** length-fill-abs-diff-x0-x1: length (fill k-div-2 (abs-diff x0 x1)) = k-div-2

by (*intro length-fill length-abs-diff-x0-x1*)

lemma length-abs-diff-y0-y1: length (abs-diff y0 y1) $\leq k$ -div-2 using abs-diff-length[of y0 y1] length-y-split **by** simp **lemma** length-fill-abs-diff-y0-y1: length (fill k-div-2 (abs-diff y0 y1)) = k-div-2 **by** (intro length-fill length-abs-diff-y0-y1)

lemmas *IH-prems1* = recursion-condition split-x[symmetric] refl split-y[symmetric] refl k-div-2-def

k-div-2 length-x-split(1) length-y-split(1)

lemmas *IH-prems2* = recursion-condition split-x[symmetric] refl split-y[symmetric] refl k-div-2-def

 $prod0-def \ k-div-2 \ length-x-split(2) \ length-y-split(2)$

 $\label{eq:lemmas} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemmas} \ \textit{IH-prems3} = \textit{recursion-condition split-x}[\textit{symmetric}] \ \textit{refl split-y}[\textit{symmetric}] \\ \textit{refl k-div-2-def} \end{array}$

prod0-def prod1-def k-div-2 length-fill-abs-diff-x0-x1 length-fill-abs-diff-y0-y1

\mathbf{end}

lemma karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-correct: assumes $k = 2 \ l$ **assumes** length x = k length y = k**shows** to-nat (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k x y) = to-nat x * to-nat yusing assms proof (induction k x y arbitrary: l rule: karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length.induct) case (1 k x y l)show ?case **proof** (cases $k \leq karatsuba-lower-bound$) case True then show ?thesis **unfolding** karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length.simps[of k x y]**by** (*simp add: grid-mul-nat-correct*) \mathbf{next} case False then interpret r: karatsuba-context k l x y using 1.prems **by** (*unfold-locales*; *simp*) from *r.l-ge-1* obtain l' where l = Suc l'**by** (*metis less-eqE plus-1-eq-Suc*) then have $k \operatorname{div} 2 = 2 \widehat{l'} \operatorname{using} \langle k = 2 \widehat{l} \rangle$ by simp

have to-nat-x: to-nat x = to-nat $r.x0 + 2 \cap (k \text{ div } 2) * to$ -nat r.x1**unfolding** *r.k-div-2-def*[*symmetric*] using app-split[OF r.split-x] to-nat-app[of r.x0 r.x1] r.length-x-split by algebra have to-nat-y: to-nat y = to-nat $r.y0 + 2 \land (k \text{ div } 2) * to$ -nat r.y1**unfolding** *r.k-div-2-def*[*symmetric*] using app-split[OF r.split-y] to-nat-app[of r.y0 r.y1] r.length-y-split by algebra have 4: to-nat $r.prod\theta = to-nat r.x\theta * to-nat r.y\theta$ **unfolding** *r.prod0-def* by (intro 1(1)[OF r.IH-prems1]) have 5: to-nat r.prod1 = to-nat r.x1 * to-nat r.y1**unfolding** *r.prod1-def* by (intro 1(2)[OF r.IH-prems2]) have to-nat r.prod2 = to-nat (fill r.k-div-2 (abs-diff $r.x0 \ r.x1$)) * to-nat (fill r.k-div-2 (abs-diff r.y0 r.y1)) **unfolding** *r.prod2-def* by (intro 1(3)[OF r.IH-prems3]) hence int (to-nat r.prod2) = abs (int (to-nat r.x0) - int (to-nat r.x1)) * abs (int (to-nat r.y0) - int (to-nat r.y1))using *abs-diff-correct* by *simp* then have int (to-nat r.prod2) = abs ((int (to-nat r.x0) - int (to-nat r.x1)) *(int (to-nat r.y0) - int (to-nat r.y1)))**by** (*subst abs-mult, assumption*) then have θ : (if (compare-nat r.x1 r.x0) = (compare-nat r.y1 r.y0) then int $(to-nat r.prod2) \ else - int \ (to-nat r.prod2)) = (int \ (to-nat \ r.x0) - int \ (to-nat$ (r.x1) * (int (to-nat r.y0) - int (to-nat r.y1))apply (cases to-nat $r.x0 \ge to$ -nat r.x1; cases to-nat $r.y0 \ge to$ -nat r.y1) by (simp-all add: compare-nat-correct mult-nonneg-nonpos mult-nonneg-nonpos2 mult-nonpos-nonpos)

have 7: int (to-nat r.r) = int (to-nat r.x0) * int (to-nat r.y1) + int (to-nat r.y1) + int (to-nat r.y0)

proof (cases $(r.x1 \leq_n r.x\theta) = (r.y1 \leq_n r.y\theta)$) case True

then have int-p: int (to-nat r.r) = int (to-nat r.prod0 + to-nat r.prod1 - to-nat r.prod2)

unfolding *r.r-def r.add01-def*

by (*simp add: subtract-nat-correct add-nat-correct*)

have int-prod2: int (to-nat r.prod2) = (int (to-nat r.x0) - int (to-nat r.x1)) * (int (to-nat r.y0) - int (to-nat r.y1))

using 6 True by simp

have $-(int (to-nat r.x0) * int (to-nat r.y1)) \le int (to-nat r.x1) * int (to-nat r.y0)$

apply (intro order.trans[of - (int (to-nat r.x0) * int (to-nat r.y1)) 0 int (to-nat r.x1) * int (to-nat r.y0])

by simp-all

then have to-nat $r.prod0 + to-nat r.prod1 \ge to-nat r.prod2$

apply (*intro iffD1*[OF zle-int]) **by** (*simp add: 4 5 int-prod2 left-diff-distrib right-diff-distrib*) then have int $(to-nat r.r) = int (to-nat r.prod\theta) + int (to-nat r.prod1) - int (to-nat r.prod1)$ int (to-nat r.prod2) using *int-p* by *simp* then show ?thesis using int-prod2 by (simp add: left-diff-distrib right-diff-distrib (45)next case False then have int (to-nat r.r) = int (to-nat r.prod0) + int (to-nat r.prod1) +int (to-nat r.prod2) unfolding r.r-def **by** (*simp add: add-nat-correct r.add01-def*) **moreover from** False 6 have -int (to-nat r.prod2) = (int (to-nat r.x0) - int (to-nat r.x0))int (to-nat r.x1)) * (int (to-nat r.y0) - int (to-nat r.y1))by simp then have int (to-nat r.prod2) = -(int (to-nat r.x0) - int (to-nat r.x1))* (int (to-nat r.y0) - int (to-nat r.y1)) by linarith

ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add: 4 5 left-diff-distrib right-diff-distrib) qed

from r.recursive have int (to-nat (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k x y)) = int (to-nat (r.prod0 +_n (r.r >>_n r.k-div-2) +_n (r.prod1 >>_n k))) by simp also have ... = int (to-nat r.prod0) + int (to-nat (shift-right r.k-div-2 r.r)) + int (to-nat (shift-right k r.prod1))

by (*simp add: add-nat-correct*)

also have $\dots = int (to-nat r.prod0) + int (2 \land (k \text{ div } 2) * to-nat r.r) + int (2 \land k * to-nat r.prod1)$

by (*simp only: to-nat-shift-right r.k-div-2-def*)

also have ... = int (to-nat r.prod0) + $2 (k \operatorname{div} 2) * \operatorname{int} (\operatorname{to-nat} r.r) + 2 k * int (to-nat r.prod1)$

by simp

also have ... = int (to-nat r.x0) * int (to-nat r.y0) + 2 ^ (k div 2) * (int (to-nat r.x0) * int (to-nat r.y1) + int (to-nat r.x1) * int (to-nat r.y0)) + 2 ^ k * int (to-nat r.x1) * int (to-nat r.y1)

using 7 4 5 by simp also have ... = (int (to-nat r.x0) + 2 ^ (k div 2) * (int (to-nat r.x1))) * (int (to-nat r.y0) + 2 ^ (k div 2) * (int (to-nat r.y1))) proof – have 2 * (k div 2) = k using r.k-even by force have (int (to-nat r.x0) + 2 ^ (k div 2) * (int (to-nat r.x1))) * (int (to-nat r.y0) + 2 ^ (k div 2) * (int (to-nat r.y1))) = int (to-nat r.x0) * int (to-nat r.y0) + (2::int) ^ (k div 2) * (int (to-nat r.y1)) * (int (to-nat r.y0)) + (int (to-nat r.x0)) * 2 ^ (k div 2) * (int (to-nat r.y1))

 $+ (2::int) (k \, div \, 2) * (int \, (to-nat \, r.x1)) * 2 (k \, div \, 2) * (int \, (to-nat \, r.x1))$ r.y1))using distrib-left[of (int (to-nat r.x0) + 2 (k div 2) * (int (to-nat r.x1)))int (to-nat r.y0) 2 $(k \operatorname{div} 2) * (int (to-nat <math>r.y1))$] **by** (simp add: ring-class.ring-distribs(2)) also have $\dots = int (to-nat r.x0) * int (to-nat r.y0)$ + $(2::int) \land (k \ div \ 2) \ast (int \ (to-nat \ r.x1)) \ast (int \ (to-nat \ r.y0))$ + (int (to-nat r.x0)) * 2 (k div 2) * (int (to-nat r.y1))+ $((2::int) \cap (k \ div \ 2) * 2 \cap (k \ div \ 2)) * (int \ (to-nat \ r.x1)) * (int \ (to-nat \ r.x1))$ r.y1))by simp also have $(2::int) \land (k \operatorname{div} 2) * 2 \land (k \operatorname{div} 2) = 2 \land k$ using power-add[of 2::int k div 2 k div 2, symmetric] using $\langle 2 \ast (k \ div \ 2) = k \rangle$ by simp finally have (int (to-nat r.x0) + 2 (k div 2) * (int (to-nat r.x1)))* (int (to-nat r.y0) + 2 (k div 2) * (int (to-nat r.y1))) $= int (to-nat r.x\theta) * int (to-nat r.y\theta)$ +2 (k div 2) * (int (to-nat r.x1)) * (int (to-nat r.y0))+ $(int (to-nat r.x0)) * 2 \cap (k \ div \ 2) * (int (to-nat r.y1))$ + $(2::int) \land k * (int (to-nat r.x1)) * (int (to-nat r.y1))$ by simp also have $\dots = int (to-nat r.x\theta) * int (to-nat r.y\theta)$ + $((2::int) \cap (k \text{ div } 2) * (int (to-nat r.x1)) * (int (to-nat r.y0))$ + (2::int) $(k \ div \ 2) * (int \ (to-nat \ r.x0)) * (int \ (to-nat \ r.y1)))$ $+ (2::int) \land k * (int (to-nat r.x1)) * (int (to-nat r.y1))$ by simp also have $\dots = int (to-nat r.x0) * int (to-nat r.y0)$ $+ (2::int) \cap (k \text{ div } 2) * (int (to-nat r.x1) * int (to-nat r.y0) + int (to-nat r.y0))$ r.x0) * int (to-nat r.y1)) + $(2::int) \land k * (int (to-nat r.x1)) * (int (to-nat r.y1))$ using distrib-left [of $(2::int) \cap (k \ div \ 2)$] by simp finally show ?thesis by simp qed also have $\dots = int (to-nat x) * int (to-nat y)$ **by** (*simp add: to-nat-x to-nat-y*) **finally have** int (to-nat (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length $k \neq y$)) = int (to-nat x * to-nat yby simp thus ?thesis by presburger qed qed function *len-kar-bound* where len-kar-bound $l = (if 2 \ ^l \leq karatsuba-lower-bound then 2 * karatsuba-lower-bound$ else $2 \cap l + len$ -kar-bound (l - 1) + 4) by pat-completeness auto termination **apply** (relation Wellfounded.measure $(\lambda l. l)$)

subgoal by simp

subgoal for l
using karatsuba-lower-bound-requirement by (cases l; simp)
done

declare len-kar-bound.simps[simp del]

lemma *length-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-aux*: assumes $k = 2 \ \hat{l}$ **assumes** length x = k length y = k**shows** length (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length $k \ x \ y$) \leq len-kar-bound l using assms proof (induction k x y arbitrary: l rule: karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length.induct) case (1 k x y)then show ?case **proof** (cases $k \leq karatsuba-lower-bound$) case True then have karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k x y = qrid-mul-nat x y**unfolding** karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length.simps[of $k \ x \ y$] by argo also have length $\dots \leq \text{length } x + \text{length } y$ **by** (*rule length-grid-mul-nat*) also have $\dots = 2 * k$ using 1 by linarith also have $\dots \leq len$ -kar-bound lunfolding len-kar-bound.simps[of l] using 1.prems True by simp finally show ?thesis . \mathbf{next} case False then interpret r: karatsuba-context k l x y using 1.prems by unfold-locales simp-all **from** *r.recursive* **have** *length* (*karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length* $k \ x \ y$) = $length \ (r.prod0 \ +_n \ (r.r >>_n \ r.k-div-2) \ +_n$ $(r.prod1 >>_n k))$ by argo also have $\dots \leq max \ (max \ (length \ r.prod \theta))$ (2 (l - 1) +max (max (length r.prod0) (length r.prod1) + 1) (length r.prod2) + 1)+ 1)(k + length r.prod1) + 1unfolding r.r-def r.add01-def **apply** (*estimation estimate: length-add-nat-upper*) **apply** (*estimation estimate: length-add-nat-upper*) **unfolding** *length-shift-right* r.k-div-2 *if-distrib*[of length] **apply** (*estimation estimate: if-le-max*) **apply** (*estimation estimate: length-add-nat-upper*) **apply** (*estimation estimate: length-subtract-nat-le*) **apply** (*estimation estimate: length-add-nat-upper*) by simp also have $\dots \leq max (max (len-kar-bound (l-1)))$ (2 (l-1) +max (max (len-kar-bound (l - 1)) (len-kar-bound (l - 1)) + 1)(len-kar-bound (l-1)) + 1) + 1)

(k + len-kar-bound (l - 1)) + 1unfolding r.prod0-def r.prod1-def r.prod2-def **apply** (estimation estimate: 1.IH(1)[OF r.IH-prems1]) **apply** (estimation estimate: 1.IH(2)[OF r.IH-prems2])**apply** (estimation estimate: 1.IH(3)[OF r.IH-prems3])**by** (*rule order.refl*) also have ... = max (2 (l - 1) + len-kar-bound (l - 1) + 3) $(2 \cap l + len-kar-bound (l-1)) + 1$ unfolding max.idem r.k-power-of-2 by (simp del: One-nat-def) also have ... $\leq (2 \ l + len-kar-bound \ (l - 1) + 3) + 1$ **apply** (*intro add-mono order.refl*) **apply** (*intro* max.boundedI) subgoal apply (intro add-mono order.refl) by simp subgoal by simp done also have $\dots = len-kar-bound l$ unfolding len-kar-bound.simps[of l] using False r.k-power-of-2 by simp finally show ?thesis . qed qed **lemma** len-kar-bound-le: len-kar-bound $l \leq 6 * 2 \ l + 2 * karatsuba-lower-bound$ **proof** (*induction l rule*: *less-induct*) case (less l) then show ?case **proof** (cases $2 \cap l \leq karatsuba-lower-bound)$ case True then show ?thesis **unfolding** *len-kar-bound.simps*[*of l*] **by** *simp* \mathbf{next} case False then have l - 1 < l using karatsuba-lower-bound-requirement by (cases l; simp) then have l > 0 by simpfrom False have len-kar-bound $l = 2 \ l + len-kar-bound \ (l-1) + 4$ **unfolding** *len-kar-bound.simps*[*of l*] **by** *argo* also have $\dots \leq 2 \ l + (6 * 2 \ (l-1) + 2 * karatsuba-lower-bound) + 4$ using $less[OF \langle l - 1 < l \rangle]$ by simp also have ... = 2 * (2 (l - 1)) + (6 * 2 (l - 1)) + 2 * karatsuba-lower-bound)+4unfolding power-Suc[symmetric] Suc-diff-1[OF $\langle l > 0 \rangle$] by (rule refl) also have ... = 8 * 2 (l-1) + 4 + 2 * karatsuba-lower-bound by simp also have ... $\leq 8 * 2 (l-1) + 4 * 2 (l-1) + 2 * karatsuba-lower-bound$ by simp also have ... = 12 * 2 (l - 1) + 2 * karatsuba-lower-bound by simp also have ... = $6 * 2 \hat{l} + 2 * karatsuba-lower-bound$ using Suc-diff-1[OF $\langle l > 0 \rangle$, symmetric] power-Suc[of 2::nat l - 1] by simp finally show ?thesis .

qed qed

The following is a pretty crude estimate for the length of the result of our Karatsuba implementation, but it suffices for our purposes.

lemma *length-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-le*:

assumes $k = 2 \ l$ assumes length x = k length y = kshows length (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length $k \ x \ y) \le 6 \ * \ k + 2 \ * \ karat$ suba-lower-boundusing order.trans[OF length-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-aux[OF assms] len-kar-bound-le]unfolding assms.

In order to multiply two integers of arbitrary length using Karatsuba multiplication, the input numbers can just be zero-padded.

fun karatsuba-mul-nat :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf **where** karatsuba-mul-nat $x \ y = (let \ k = next-power-of-2 \ (max \ (length \ x) \ (length \ y)) \ in$ karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length $k \ (fill \ k \ x) \ (fill \ k \ y))$

We verify the correctness of Karatsuba multiplication:

theorem karatsuba-mul-nat-correct: to-nat (karatsuba-mul-nat x y) = to-nat x *to-nat y **proof** – define k where k = next-power-of-2 (max (length x) (length y)) then obtain l where $k = 2 \ l$ using next-power-of-2-is-power-of-2 by blast have 1: to-nat (fill k x) = to-nat x to-nat (fill k y) = to-nat y by simp-all have $k \ge length \ x \ k \ge length \ y$ using next-power-of-2-lower-bound[of max (length x) (length y)] k-def by simp-all hence length (fill k x) = k length (fill k y) = k using length-fill by simp-all show ?thesis unfolding k-def[symmetric] karatsuba-lower-bound-def using karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-correct[OF $\langle k = 2 \ l \rangle \langle length (fill \ k x)$ = $k \rangle \langle length (fill \ k y) = k \rangle$] by (simp only: karatsuba-mul-nat.simps Let-def k-def[symmetric] to-nat-fill) qed

lemma length-karatsuba-mul-nat-le: length (karatsuba-mul-nat x y) $\leq 12 * max$ (length x) (length y) + (6 + 2 * karatsuba-lower-bound) **proof** -

let ?m = max (length x) (length y) define k where k = next-power-of-2 ?m then obtain l where $k = 2 \ l$ using next-power-of-2-is-power-of-2 by auto from k-def have $?m \le k$ using next-power-of-2-lower-bound by simp from k-def have karatsuba-mul-nat $x \ y = karatsuba$ -on-power-of-2-length k (fill $k \ x$) (fill $k \ y$) unfolding karatsuba-mul-nat.simps Let-def by argo also have length ... $\le 6 \ * k + 2 \ * karatsuba-lower-bound$

apply (*intro* length-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-le[$OF \langle k = 2 \land l \rangle$] length-fill)

subgoal using $\langle ?m \leq k \rangle$ by simpsubgoal using $\langle ?m \leq k \rangle$ by simpdone also have $... \leq 6 * (2 * ?m + 1) + 2 * karatsuba-lower-bound$ apply (intro add-mono mult-le-mono order.reft) unfolding k-def by (rule next-power-of-2-upper-bound') also have ... = 12 * ?m + (6 + 2 * karatsuba-lower-bound)by simpfinally show ?thesis . qed

Formally, we only implemented Karatsuba multiplication on natural numbers (not all integers). However, this does not really matter, as the multiplication can just be lifted to the integers. This lifting has already been done on other types, but for the sake of completeness we will just add it here as well:

fun karatsuba-mul-int **where** karatsuba-mul-int x y = nat-mul-to-int-mul karatsuba-mul-nat x y

```
corollary karatsuba-mul-int-correct:
to-int (karatsuba-mul-int x y) = to-int x * to-int y
using nat-mul-to-int-mul-correct[of karatsuba-mul-nat] karatsuba-mul-nat-correct
by (metis karatsuba-mul-int.simps surj-pair)
```

end

12 Running Time of Karatsuba Multiplication

```
theory Karatsuba-TM
imports Karatsuba ../Binary-Representations/Nat-LSBF-TM
../Estimation-Method
begin
```

This theory contains a time monad version of Karatsuba multiplication, which is used to verify the asymptotic running time of $\mathcal{O}(n^{\log_2 3})$.

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{definition} \ abs-diff\text{-}tm :: nat\mbox{-}lsbf \Rightarrow nat\mbox{-}lsbf \ tm \ \mbox{where} \\ abs\mbox{-}diff\text{-}tm \ xs \ ys = 1 \ do \ \{ \\ r1 \leftarrow xs \ -_{nt} \ ys; \\ r2 \leftarrow ys \ -_{nt} \ xs; \\ r1 \ +_{nt} \ r2 \\ \} \end{array}$

lemma val-abs-diff-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (abs-diff-tm xs ys) = abs-diff xs ysby (simp add: abs-diff-tm-def abs-diff-def)

lemma time-abs-diff-tm-le: time (abs-diff-tm xs ys) $\leq 62 * max$ (length xs) (length ys) + 100 **proof** -

have time (abs-diff-tm xs ys) \leq time (xs $-_{nt}$ ys) + time (ys $-_{nt}$ xs) + time $((xs -_n ys) +_{nt} (ys -_n xs)) + 1$ **by** (*simp add: abs-diff-tm-def*) also have $\dots \leq 62 * max$ (length xs) (length ys) + 100 **apply** (*estimation estimate: time-subtract-nat-tm-le*) **apply** (*estimation estimate: time-subtract-nat-tm-le*) **apply** (*estimation estimate: time-add-nat-tm-le*) using length-subtract-nat-le[of xs ys] length-subtract-nat-le[of ys xs] by *linarith* finally show ?thesis . qed **context** karatsuba-context begin definition fill-abs-diff-x where fill-abs-diff-x = fill k-div-2 (abs-diff x0 x1)

definition fill-abs-diff-y where fill-abs-diff-y = fill k-div-2 (abs-diff y0 y1) definition sgnx where $sgnx = (x1 \leq_n x0)$ definition sgny where $sgny = (y1 \leq_n y0)$ definition sgnxy where sgnxy = (sgnx = sgny)definition r' where $r' = (if \ sgnxy \ then \ add01 \ -_n \ prod2 \ else \ add01 \ +_n \ prod2)$ definition sr where $sr = r >>_n k$ -div-2 definition add0sr where $add0sr = prod0 +_n sr$ definition s1 where $s1 = prod1 >>_n k$

lemma r - r': r = r'**unfolding** r-def r'-def sgnxy-def sgnx-def sgny-def by argo

lemmas $defs_3 = fill-abs-diff-x-def fill-abs-diff-y-def sgnx-def sgny-def sgnxy-def r-r'$ r'-def sr-def add0sr-def s1-def

end

lemma add-nat-carry-aux: assumes length $x \leq k$ assumes length $y \leq k$ assumes length $(x +_n y) = k + 1$ shows max (length x) (length y) = k Nat-LSBF.to-nat x + Nat-LSBF.to-nat y $\geq 2 \hat{k}$ proof have length $x = k \vee$ length y = k**proof** (*rule ccontr*) assume \neg (length $x = k \lor$ length y = k) then have max (length x) (length y) < k using assms by simp then have length $(add-nat \ x \ y) < k + 1$ using length-add-nat-upper of $x \ y$ by linarith then show False using assms by simp qed then show max (length x) (length y) = k using assms by linarith

```
then obtain z where add-nat x y = z @ [True]
   using add-nat-last-bit-True assms by blast
 from this[symmetric] have Nat-LSBF.to-nat x + Nat-LSBF.to-nat y \ge 2 `length
z
   using add-nat-correct [of x y] to-nat-length-lower-bound [of z] by argo
 also have 2 \cap length z = 2 \cap k using (add-nat x y = z \otimes [True]) assms by simp
 finally show Nat-LSBF.to-nat x + Nat-LSBF.to-nat \ y \ge 2 \ \widehat{} \ k by simp
qed
context begin
private fun f where
f k = (if k \leq karatsuba-lower-bound then 2 * k else f (k div 2) + k + 4)
declare f.simps[simp del]
private lemma f-linear: f k \leq 6 * k
 apply (induction k rule: f.induct)
 subgoal for k
   apply (cases k \leq karatsuba-lower-bound)
   subgoal by (simp \ add: f.simps[of \ k])
   subgoal premises prems
   proof (cases k \ge 5)
    case True
    then show ?thesis using prems unfolding f.simps[of k] by simp
   \mathbf{next}
    case False
   then consider k = 2 | k = 3 | k = 4 using prems karatsuba-lower-bound-requirement
by linarith
    then show ?thesis using prems unfolding f.simps[of k] by fastforce
   qed
   done
 done
private lemma f-bound:
 assumes k = 2 \ l
 assumes length x = k
 assumes length y = k
 shows length (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k \ x \ y) \leq f \ k
 using assms
proof (induction k x y arbitrary: l rule: karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length.induct)
 case (1 k x y)
 show ?case
 proof (cases k \leq karatsuba-lower-bound)
   case True
   then show ?thesis unfolding karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length.simps[of k \ x \ y]
    using length-grid-mul-nat[of x y] 1.prems f.simps[of k] by simp
 next
   case False
```

then interpret r: karatsuba-context k l x y using 1.prems by (unfold-locales; simp) have len0: $length r.prod0 \le f (k \ div \ 2)$ **unfolding** *r.prod0-def r.k-div-2-def*[*symmetric*] **by** (*intro* 1(1)[OF r.IH-prems1]) have len1: length r.prod1 $\leq f$ (k div 2) **unfolding** *r.prod1-def r.k-div-2-def*[*symmetric*] by (intro 1(2)[OF r.IH-prems2]) have len2: length r.prod2 $\leq f$ (k div 2) **unfolding** *r.prod2-def r.k-div-2-def*[*symmetric*] by (intro 1(3)[OF r.IH-prems3]) have len-p01: length $(r.prod0 +_n r.prod1) \leq f(k \ div \ 2) + 1$ using length-add-nat-upper[of r.prod0 r.prod1] len0 len1 by linarith then have length $(r.prod0 +_n r.prod1 +_n r.prod2) \leq f(k \ div \ 2) + 2$ using length-add-nat-upper[of r.prod $0 +_n r.prod1 r.prod2$] len2 by linarith **moreover have** length $(r.prod\theta +_n r.prod1 -_n r.prod2) \le f(k \ div \ 2) + 1$ **using** $length-subtract-nat-le[of r.prod0 +_n r.prod1 r.prod2]$ len-p01 len2by linarith ultimately have lenif: length (if r.sgnxy then r.prod $0 +_n r.prod 1 -_n r.prod 2$ else r.prod0 +_n r.prod1 +_n r.prod2) $\leq f(k \operatorname{div} 2) + 2$ (is length ?if \leq -) by simp have length (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k x y) $\leq max (r.k-div-2 + f (k div))$ 2)) $(k + f (k \operatorname{div} 2)) + 4$ **unfolding** *r*.*recursive* **apply** (*estimation estimate: length-add-nat-upper*) **apply** (*subst length-shift-right*) **apply** (*estimation estimate: length-add-nat-upper*) **apply** (subst length-shift-right) **unfolding** r.r-def r.add01-def **apply** (*subst if-distrib*[*of length*]) **apply** (*estimation estimate: length-add-nat-upper*) **apply** (*estimation estimate: length-subtract-nat-le*) **apply** (*estimation estimate: length-add-nat-upper*) **apply** (estimation estimate: $len\theta$) apply (estimation estimate: len1) **apply** (*estimation estimate: len2*) by *auto* **also have** ... = $k + f (k \, div \, 2) + 4$ using r.k-div-2-less-k by simp finally show ?thesis unfolding f.simps[of k] using False by simp qed qed

```
lemma length-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length:
assumes k = 2 \ l
assumes length x = k
```

assumes length y = kshows length (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length $k \ x \ y) \le 6 \ * k$ using f-bound[OF assms] f-linear[of k] by simp

end

case True

then show ?thesis

```
function karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm :: nat <math>\Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf
tm where
karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm \ k \ xs \ ys = 1 \ do \ \{
  b \leftarrow k \leq_t karatsuba-lower-bound;
  (if b then grid-mul-nat-tm xs ys else do \{
    (x0, x1) \leftarrow split-tm \ xs;
   (y0, y1) \leftarrow split-tm \ ys;
   k-div-2 \leftarrow k \ div_t \ 2;
   prod0 \leftarrow karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm \ k-div-2 \ x0 \ y0;
   prod1 \leftarrow karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm \ k-div-2 \ x1 \ y1;
   abs-diff-x \leftarrow (abs-diff-tm \ x0 \ x1 \gg fill-tm \ k-div-2);
   abs-diff-y \leftarrow (abs-diff-tm \ y0 \ y1 \gg fill-tm \ k-div-2);
   prod2 \leftarrow karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm \ k-div-2 \ abs-diff-x \ abs-diff-y;
   sgnx \leftarrow x1 \leq_{nt} x0;
   sgny \leftarrow y1 \leq_{nt} y\theta;
   sgnxy \leftarrow sgnx =_t sgny;
    — construct return value
   add01 \leftarrow prod0 +_{nt} prod1;
   r \leftarrow (if \ sgnxy \ then \ add01 \ -_{nt} \ prod2 \ else \ add01 \ +_{nt} \ prod2);
   sr \leftarrow r >>_{nt} k-div-2;
   add0sr \leftarrow prod0 +_{nt} sr;
   s1 \leftarrow prod1 >>_{nt} k;
    add0sr +_{nt} s1
  })
}
  by pat-completeness simp
termination
  by (relation Wellfounded.measure (\lambda p. size (fst p))) simp-all
declare karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm.simps[simp del]
lemma val-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm[simp, val-simp]:
  assumes k = 2 \ l
  assumes length xs = k length ys = k
 shows val (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm k xs ys) = karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length
k xs ys
using assms proof (induction k arbitrary: l xs ys rule: less-induct)
  case (less k)
  show ?case
  proof (cases k \leq karatsuba-lower-bound)
```

unfolding karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm.simps[of k xs ys]

```
karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length.simps[of k xs ys]
     val-bind-tm \ val-less-eq-nat-tm \ val-simps \ val-grid-mul-nat-tm
     by simp
 \mathbf{next}
   case False
   interpret r: karatsuba-context k l xs ys
     using less False by unfold-locales simp-all
  have val0: val (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm r.k-div-2 r.x0 r.y0) = r.prod0
     unfolding r.prod0-def
    \textbf{by} (intro \ less. IH[OF \ r.k-div-2-less-k \ r.k-div-2 \ r.length-x-split(1) \ r.length-y-split(1)] ) 
  have val1: val (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm r.k-div-2 r.x1 r.y1) = r.prod1
     unfolding r.prod1-def
   by (intro less.IH[OF r.k-div-2-less-k r.k-div-2 r.length-x-split(2) r.length-y-split(2)])
  have val2: val (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm r.k-div-2 r.fill-abs-diff-x r.fill-abs-diff-y)
= r.prod2
   unfolding r.prod2-def r.fill-abs-diff-x-def[symmetric] r.fill-abs-diff-y-def[symmetric]
     apply (intro less.IH[OF r.k-div-2-less-k r.k-div-2])
     subgoal unfolding r.fill-abs-diff-x-def by (rule r.length-fill-abs-diff-x0-x1)
     subgoal unfolding r.fill-abs-diff-y-def by (rule r.length-fill-abs-diff-y0-y1)
     done
   have val (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm k xs ys) = r.add0sr +_n r.s1
     unfolding karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm.simps[of k xs ys]
     val-bind-tm val-less-eq-nat-tm val-simps val-split-tm r.split-x r.split-y
     val-divide-nat-tm val-abs-diff-tm val-fill-tm r.k-div-2-def[symmetric]
   val-compare-nat-tm\ val-subtract-nat-tm\ val-add-nat-tm\ val-equal-bool-tm\ val-shift-right-tm
       Let-def Product-Type.prod.case r.defs2[symmetric] r.defs3[symmetric] val0
val1 val2
     using False by argo
   also have \dots = karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k xs ys
     using r.recursive
     unfolding karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length.simps[of k xs ys]
   Let-def r.split-x r.split-y Product-Type.prod.case r.defs2[symmetric] r.defs3[symmetric]
by argo
   finally show ?thesis .
 qed
qed
fun h where
h k = (if k \leq karatsuba-lower-bound then 2 * k + 8 * k * k + 3)
   else \ 407 + 224 \ * \ k + 3 \ * \ h \ (k \ div \ 2))
declare h.simps[simp del]
lemma time-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm-le-h:
 assumes k = 2 \ \hat{l}
 assumes length xs = k length ys = k
 shows time (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm k xs ys) \leq h k
using assms proof (induction k arbitrary: xs ys l rule: less-induct)
 case (less k)
 show ?case
```

```
proof (cases k < karatsuba-lower-bound)
   case True
   then have time (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm k xs ys) \leq
     2 * k + 8 * length xs * max (length xs) (length ys) + 3
     unfolding karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm.simps[of k xs ys]
     apply (simp add: time-less-eq-nat-tm)
     apply (subst Suc-eq-plus1)+
    apply (estimation estimate: time-grid-mul-nat-tm-le)
    apply (rule order.refl)
     done
   also have \dots = 2 * k + 8 * k * k + 3 unfolding less(3) less(4) by simp
   finally show ?thesis unfolding h.simps[of k] using True by simp
 next
   case False
   then interpret r: karatsuba-context k l xs ys
     by (unfold-locales; simp add: less)
  have val0: val (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm r.k-div-2 r.x0 r.y0) = r.prod0
     unfolding r.prod0-def
   by (intro val-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm[OFr.k-div-2 r.length-x-split(1))
r.length-y-split(1)
  have val1: val (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm r.k-div-2 r.x1 r.y1) = r.prod1
     unfolding r.prod1-def
   by (intro val-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm[OFr.k-div-2 r.length-x-split(2))
r.length-y-split(2)])
  have val2: val (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm r.k-div-2 r.fill-abs-diff-x r.fill-abs-diff-y)
= r.prod2
   unfolding r.prod2-def r.fill-abs-diff-x-def [symmetric] r.fill-abs-diff-y-def [symmetric]
     apply (intro val-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm[OF r.k-div-2])
     subgoal unfolding r.fill-abs-diff-x-def by (rule r.length-fill-abs-diff-x0-x1)
     subgoal unfolding r.fill-abs-diff-y-def by (rule r.length-fill-abs-diff-y0-y1)
     done
   have len0: length (r.prod0) \leq 3 * k
     unfolding r.prod0-def
   apply (estimation estimate: length-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length[OF r.k-div-2
r.length-x-split(1) r.length-y-split(1)])
     unfolding r.k-div-2-def
     by simp
   have len1: length (r.prod1) \leq 3 * k
     unfolding r.prod1-def
   apply (estimation estimate: length-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length[OF r.k-div-2
r.length-x-split(2) r.length-y-split(2)])
     unfolding r.k-div-2-def
     by simp
   have len2: length (r.prod2) \leq 3 * k
     unfolding r.prod2-def
   apply (estimation estimate: length-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length[OF r.k-div-2
r.length-fill-abs-diff-x0-x1 r.length-fill-abs-diff-y0-y1])
     unfolding r.k-div-2-def
```

by simp

```
have len01: length r.add01 \leq 3 * k + 1
 unfolding r.add01-def
 apply (estimation estimate: length-add-nat-upper)
 apply (estimation estimate: len\theta)
 apply (estimation estimate: len1)
 by simp
have lenr: length r.r \leq 3 * k + 2
 unfolding r.r-def if-distrib[of length]
 apply (estimation estimate: length-subtract-nat-le)
 apply (estimation estimate: length-add-nat-upper)
 apply (estimation estimate: len01)
 apply (estimation estimate: len2)
 by simp
have lensr: length r.sr < r.k-div-2 + 3 * k + 2
 unfolding r.sr-def
 apply (subst length-shift-right)
 apply (estimation estimate: lenr)
 by simp
have len0sr: length r.add0sr \leq r.k-div-2 + 3 * k + 3
 unfolding r.add0sr-def
 apply (estimation estimate: length-add-nat-upper)
 apply (estimation estimate: len\theta)
 apply (estimation estimate: lensr)
 by simp
have lens1: length r.s1 \leq 4 * k
 unfolding r.s1-def
 apply (subst length-shift-right)
 apply (estimation estimate: len1)
 by simp
```

have time0: time (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm r.k-div-2 r.x0 r.y0) $\leq h$ r.k-div-2

by (intro less.IH[OF r.k-div-2-less-k r.k-div-2 r.length-x-split(1) r.length-y-split(1)]) have time1: time (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm r.k-div-2 r.x1 r.y1) $\leq h$ r.k-div-2

by (intro less.IH[OF r.k-div-2-less-k r.k-div-2 r.length-x-split(2) r.length-y-split(2)]) have time2: time (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm r.k-div-2 r.fill-abs-diff-x r.fill-abs-diff-y) $\leq h r.k$ -div-2

apply (*intro* less.IH[OF r.k-div-2-less-k r.k-div-2])

subgoal unfolding r.fill-abs-diff-x-def using r.length-fill-abs-diff-x0-x1 by assumption

subgoal unfolding r.fill-abs-diff-y-def using r.length-fill-abs-diff-y0-y1 by assumption

done

have time (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm k xs ys) = time (k \leq_t karatsuba-lower-bound) +

```
time (split-tm \ xs) +
       time (split-tm ys) +
       time (k \ div_t \ 2) +
       time (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm r.k-div-2 r.x0 r.y0) +
      time (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm r.k-div-2 r.x1 r.y1) +
      time (abs-diff-tm r.x0 r.x1) + time (fill-tm r.k-div-2 (abs-diff r.x0 r.x1)) +
      time (abs-diff-tm r.y0 r.y1) + time (fill-tm r.k-div-2 (abs-diff r.y0 r.y1)) +
     time (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm r.k-div-2 r.fill-abs-diff-x r.fill-abs-diff-y)
+
      time (r.x1 \leq_{nt} r.x\theta) +
      time (r.y1 \leq_{nt} r.y0) +
      time (r.sgnx =_t r.sgny) +
      time (add-nat-tm r.prod0 r.prod1) +
      (if r.sgnxy then time (r.add01 - nt r.prod2)
                else time (r.add01 + r.prod2)) +
       time (r.r >>_{nt} r.k-div-2) +
       time (r.prod\theta +_{nt} r.sr) +
      time (r.prod1 >>_{nt} k) +
       time (r.add0sr +_{nt} r.s1) + 1
     unfolding karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm.simps[of k xs ys]
     tm-time-simps if-distrib[of time] val-less-eq-nat-tm val-split-tm r.defs1
   Product-Type.prod.case val-divide-nat-tm r.defs2[symmetric] r.defs3[symmetric]
     val-abs-diff-tm val-simps val-fill-tm val-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm
     val-compare-nat-tm Let-def val0 val1 val2 val-add-nat-tm val-equal-bool-tm
     val-subtract-nat-tm
     by (auto simp: False r.defs2[symmetric] r.defs3[symmetric])
   also have ... \leq 2 * k + 2 + 
      (10 * k + 16) + (10 * k + 16) +
      (8 * k + 11) +
      h (k div 2) +
      h (k div 2) +
       (31 * k + 100) +
      (2 * k + 5) +
      (31 * k + 100) +
      (2 * k + 5) +
      h (k div 2) +
      (7 * k + 23) +
      (7 * k + 23) +
      2 +
      (6 * k + 3) +
      (90 * k + 78) +
      (k + 3) +
      (7 * k + 7) +
      (2 * k + 3) +
      (8 * k + 9) +
       1
     apply (intro add-mono)
     subgoal by (estimation estimate: time-less-eq-nat-tm-le) simp
```

subgoal by (estimation estimate: time-split-tm-le) (simp add: less)

```
subgoal by (estimation estimate: time-split-tm-le) (simp add: less)
    subgoal by (estimation estimate: time-divide-nat-tm-le) simp
    subgoal by (estimation estimate: time0) (simp add: r.k-div-2-def)
    subgoal by (estimation estimate: time1) (simp add: r.k-div-2-def)
   subgoal apply (estimation estimate: time-abs-diff-tm-le) unfolding r.length-x-split
r.k-div-2-def by simp
   subgoal apply (estimation estimate: time-fill-tm-le) using r.length-abs-diff-x0-x1
r.k-div-2-def by simp
   subgoal apply (estimation estimate: time-abs-diff-tm-le) unfolding r.length-y-split
r.k-div-2-def by simp
   subgoal apply (estimation estimate: time-fill-tm-le) using r.length-abs-diff-y0-y1
r.k-div-2-def by simp
    subgoal by (estimation estimate: time2) (simp add: r.k-div-2-def)
   subgoal apply (estimation estimate: time-compare-nat-tm-le) using r.length-x-split
r.k-div-2-def by simp
   subgoal apply (estimation estimate: time-compare-nat-tm-le) using r.length-y-split
r.k-div-2-def by simp
    subgoal using time-equal-bool-tm-le by simp
    subgoal
      apply (estimation estimate: time-add-nat-tm-le)
      apply (estimation estimate: len\theta)
      apply (estimation estimate: len1)
      by simp
    subgoal
      apply (estimation estimate: time-subtract-nat-tm-le)
      apply (estimation estimate: time-add-nat-tm-le)
      apply (estimation estimate: len01)
      apply (estimation estimate: len2)
      by simp
    subgoal using r.k-div-2-def by simp
    subgoal
      apply (estimation estimate: time-add-nat-tm-le)
      apply (estimation estimate: len\theta)
      apply (estimation estimate: lensr)
      using r.k-div-2-def by simp
    subgoal by simp
    subgoal
      apply (estimation estimate: time-add-nat-tm-le)
      apply (estimation estimate: len0sr)
      apply (estimation estimate: lens1)
      using r.k-div-2-less-k by presburger
    subgoal by simp
    done
   also have ... = 407 + 224 * k + 3 * h (k div 2)
    by simp
   finally show ?thesis unfolding h.simps[of k] using False by simp
 ged
qed
```

lemma *n*-div-2: $n \operatorname{div} 2 = nat | \operatorname{real} n / 2 |$ by *linarith* function *h*-real :: $nat \Rightarrow real$ where $x < karatsuba-lower-bound \implies h-real \ x = 8 \ * \ x \ * \ x + 2 \ * \ x + 3$ $|x > karatsuba-lower-bound \implies h-real x = 407 + 224 * x + 3 * h-real (nat (|real$ x / 2 |))by force simp-all termination by (relation Wellfounded.measure $(\lambda x. x)$) (simp-all add: n-div-2[symmetric]) **lemma** h-h-real: real $(h \ k) = h$ -real k **apply** (*induction k rule*: *h.induct*) subgoal for kapply (cases $k \leq karatsuba-lower-bound$) by $(simp-all \ add: \ h-real.simps[of \ k] \ h.simps[of \ k] \ n-div-2 \ del: \ h-real.simps)$ done **lemma** h-real-bigo: h-real $\in O(\lambda n. real n powr \log 2 3)$ by (master-theorem 1 p': 1) (auto simp: powr-divide) **definition** $karatsuba-mul-nat-tm :: nat-lsbf <math>\Rightarrow$ nat-lsbf tm where karatsuba-mul-nat- $tm xs ys = 1 do \{$ $lenx \leftarrow length-tm xs;$ $leny \leftarrow length-tm ys;$ $k \leftarrow max$ -nat-tm lenx leny \gg next-power-of-2-tm; $fillx \leftarrow fill-tm \ k \ xs;$ filly \leftarrow fill-tm k ys: karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm k fillx filly } **lemma** val-karatsuba-mul-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (karatsuba-mul-nat-tm xs ys) = karatsuba-mul-nat xs ysproof define k where k = next-power-of-2 (max (length xs) (length ys)) then obtain l where $k = 2 \ l$ using next-power-of-2-is-power-of-2 by auto have val $(karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm \ k \ (fill \ k \ xs) \ (fill \ k \ ys)) =$ $karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length \ k \ (fill \ k \ xs) \ (fill \ k \ ys)$ **apply** (intro val-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm[$OF \langle k = 2 \land b \rangle$]) unfolding k-def using next-power-of-2-lower-bound of max (length xs) (length ys)] by autothen show ?thesis unfolding karatsuba-mul-nat-tm-def karatsuba-mul-nat.simps val-simp Let-def k-def. \mathbf{qed} definition time-karatsuba-mul-nat-bound where

time-karatsuba-mul-nat-bound m = 53 + 218 * (next-power-of-2 m) + h (next-power-of-2 m) = m + 100 m

The following two lemmas are one way to formally express the more informal statement "Karatsuba Multiplication needs $\mathcal{O}(n^{\log_2 3})$ bit operations for input numbers of length n".

```
theorem time-karatsuba-mul-nat-tm-le:
 assumes max (length xs) (length ys) = m
 shows time (karatsuba-mul-nat-tm xs ys) \leq time-karatsuba-mul-nat-bound m
proof –
 define k where k = next-power-of-2 m
 then obtain l where k = 2 \ l using next-power-of-2-is-power-of-2 by auto
 have lens: length xs \leq k length ys \leq k
   using assms next-power-of-2-lower-bound [of m] k-def by simp-all
 have time (karatsuba-mul-nat-tm xs ys) =
   time (length-tm xs) +
   time (length-tm ys) +
   time (max-nat-tm (length xs) (length ys)) +
   time (next-power-of-2-tm (max (length xs) (length ys))) +
   time (fill-tm \ k \ xs) +
   time (fill-tm k ys) +
   time (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm \ k \ (fill \ k \ xs) \ (fill \ k \ ys)) + 1
 unfolding karatsuba-mul-nat-tm-def tm-time-simps val-simp Let-def
   assms k-def[symmetric] by presburger
 also have ... \leq
   (k + 1) + (k + 1) + (2 * k + 3) +
   (208 * k + 37) +
   (3 * k + 5) +
   (3 * k + 5) +
   h k +
   1
   apply (intro add-mono order.refl)
   subgoal by (simp add: lens)
   subgoal by (simp add: lens)
   subgoal apply (estimation estimate: time-max-nat-tm-le) using lens by simp
   subgoal apply (estimation estimate: time-next-power-of-2-tm-le) using lens
\mathbf{by} \ simp
   subgoal apply (estimation estimate: time-fill-tm-le) using lens by simp
   subgoal apply (estimation estimate: time-fill-tm-le) using lens by simp
   subgoal apply (intro time-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm-le-h[OF \langle k = 2
[l_{l}]) using lens
    by auto
   done
 also have ... = 53 + 218 * k + h k by simp
 finally show ?thesis unfolding k-def time-karatsuba-mul-nat-bound-def[symmetric]
```

 \mathbf{qed}

theorem time-karatsuba-mul-nat-bound-bigo: time-karatsuba-mul-nat-bound $\in O(\lambda m. m \text{ powr log } 2 3)$ **proof** -

define t where $t = (\lambda m. real (53 + 218 * m + h m))$

```
then have time-karatsuba-mul-nat-bound = t \circ next-power-of-2
   unfolding time-karatsuba-mul-nat-bound-def by auto
 also have \ldots \in O(\lambda m. m \text{ powr log } 2 \ 3)
   apply (intro powr-bigo-linear-index-transformation)
   subgoal
   proof -
     have (\lambda x. real (next-power-of-2 x)) \in O(\lambda x. real (2 * x + 1))
      apply (intro landau-mono-always)
      using next-power-of-2-upper-bound' real-mono by simp-all
     moreover have (\lambda x. real (2 * x + 1)) \in O(real) by auto
     ultimately show (\lambda x. real (next-power-of-2 x)) \in O(real)
      using landau-o.big.trans by blast
   qed
   subgoal unfolding t-def real-linear real-multiplicative h-h-real
     apply (intro sum-in-bigo)
     subgoal by auto
    subgoal by auto
     subgoal using h-real-bigo.
     done
   subgoal by auto
   done
 finally show ?thesis .
qed
```

 \mathbf{end}

13 Code Generation

```
theory Karatsuba-Code-Nat
imports Main HOL-Library.Code-Binary-Nat Karatsuba
begin
```

In this theory, the Karatsuba Multiplication implemented in *Karatsuba* is used for code generation. This is not really practical (except beginning at 3000 decimal digits), but merely a nice gimmick.

fun from-numeral :: $num \Rightarrow nat$ -lsbf where from-numeral num.One = [True]| from-numeral $(num.Bit0 \ x) = False \ \#$ from-numeral x| from-numeral $(num.Bit1 \ x) = True \ \#$ from-numeral xlemma from-numeral-nonempty: from-numeral $x \neq []$ by (induction x rule: from-numeral.induct; simp) lemma from-numeral-truncated: truncated (from-numeral x) unfolding truncated-iff by (induction x rule: from-numeral.induct; simp add: from-numeral-nonempty)

lemma to-nat-from-numeral-neq-zero: to-nat (from-numeral x) $\neq 0$

using to-nat-zero-iff from-numeral-truncated from-numeral-nonempty by simp

```
fun to-numeral-of-truncated :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow num where
to-numeral-of-truncated [] = num.One
 to-numeral-of-truncated [True] = num.One
 to-numeral-of-truncated (True \# xs) = num.Bit1 (to-numeral-of-truncated xs)
to-numeral-of-truncated (False \# xs) = num.Bit0 (to-numeral-of-truncated xs)
lemma to-numeral-of-truncated-from-numeral:
to-numeral-of-truncated (from-numeral x) = x
 apply (induction x)
 subgoal by simp
 subgoal by simp
 subgoal for x by (cases from-numeral x; simp)
 done
lemma nat-of-num-to-numeral-of-truncated:
 assumes truncated xs
 assumes xs \neq []
 shows nat-of-num (to-numeral-of-truncated xs) = to-nat xs
 using assms proof (induction xs rule: to-numeral-of-truncated.induct)
 case 1
 then show ?case by blast
\mathbf{next}
 case 2
 then show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
 case (3 v va)
 note truncated-Cons-imp-truncated-tl[OF 3.prems(1)]
 from 3.IH[OF this] show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
 case (4 xs)
 from 4.prems(1) have xs \neq []
   apply (intro ccontr[of xs \neq [])
   by (simp add: truncated-iff)
 note truncated-Cons-imp-truncated-tl[OF 4.prems(1)]
 from 4.IH[OF this \langle xs \neq [] \rangle] show ?case by simp
qed
definition to-numeral :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow num where
 to-numeral xs = (let xs' = Nat-LSBF.truncate xs in to-numeral-of-truncated xs')
```

```
lemma to-numeral-from-numeral: to-numeral (from-numeral x) = x
unfolding to-numeral-def Let-def
using from-numeral-truncated to-numeral-of-truncated-from-numeral
by simp
```

```
lemma nat-of-num-to-numeral:
assumes to-nat xs \neq 0
```

```
shows nat-of-num (to-numeral xs) = to-nat xs
unfolding to-numeral-def Let-def
using assms nat-of-num-to-numeral-of-truncated[of truncate xs, OF truncate-truncate]
unfolding nat-lsbf.to-f-elem
using to-nat-zero-iff
by simp
```

lemma l0:

assumes truncated xs
shows to-numeral-of-truncated xs = num-of-nat (to-nat xs)
using assms
by (metis nat-of-num-inverse nat-of-num-to-numeral-of-truncated num-of-nat.simps(1)
to-nat.simps(1) to-numeral-of-truncated.simps(1))

lemma l1: to-numeral xs = num-of-nat (to-nat xs)
unfolding to-numeral-def Let-def
using l0[of truncate xs] truncate-truncate[of xs] nat-lsbf.to-f-elem
by simp

lemma l2: to-nat (from-numeral x) = nat-of-num xby (metis nat-of-num-to-numeral to-nat-from-numeral-neq-zero to-numeral-from-numeral)

```
lemma[code]:
```

```
(x::num) * y = to-numeral (karatsuba-mul-nat (from-numeral x) (from-numeral y))
```

unfolding l1 karatsuba-mul-nat-correct l2 times-num-def by (rule refl)

 \mathbf{end}

References

- A. Karatsuba and Y. Ofman. Multiplication of many-digital numbers by automatic computers. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 145:293–294, 1962. http://mi.mathnet.ru/dan26729.
- [2] T. Nipkow. Verified root-balanced trees. In B.-Y. E. Chang, editor, Asian Symposium on Programming Languages and Systems, APLAS 2017, volume 10695 of LNCS, pages 255–272. Springer, 2017. https: //www21.in.tum.de/~nipkow/pubs/aplas17.pdf.