

Kleene Algebras with Domain

Victor B. F. Gomes, Walter Guttmann, Peter Höfner,
Georg Struth and Tjark Weber

March 17, 2025

Abstract

Kleene algebras with domain are Kleene algebras endowed with an operation that maps each element of the algebra to its domain of definition (or its complement) in abstract fashion. They form a simple algebraic basis for Hoare logics, dynamic logics or predicate transformer semantics. We formalise a modular hierarchy of algebras with domain and antidomain (domain complement) operations in Isabelle/HOL that ranges from domain and antidomain semigroups to modal Kleene algebras and divergence Kleene algebras. We link these algebras with models of binary relations and program traces. We include some examples from modal logics, termination and program analysis.

Contents

1	Introductory Remarks	2
2	Domain Semirings	3
2.1	Domain Semigroups and Domain Monoids	3
2.2	Domain Near-Semirings	5
2.3	Domain Pre-Dioids	8
2.4	Domain Semirings	9
2.5	The Algebra of Domain Elements	9
2.6	Domain Semirings with a Greatest Element	10
2.7	Forward Diamond Operators	11
2.8	Domain Kleene Algebras	12
3	Antidomain Semirings	13
3.1	Antidomain Monoids	13
3.2	Antidomain Near-Semirings	16
3.3	Antidomain Pre-Dioids	18
3.4	Antidomain Semirings	20
3.5	The Boolean Algebra of Domain Elements	21
3.6	Further Properties	22

3.7	Forward Box and Diamond Operators	24
3.8	Antidomain Kleene Algebras	26
4	Range and Antirange Semirings	27
4.1	Range Semirings	27
4.2	Antirange Semirings	28
4.3	Antirange Kleene Algebras	29
5	Modal Kleene Algebras	29
6	Models of Modal Kleene Algebras	31
7	Applications of Modal Kleene Algebras	32
7.1	A Reachability Result	32
7.2	Derivation of Segerberg's Formula	32
7.3	Wellfoundedness and Loeb's Formula	33
7.4	Divergence Kleene Algebras and Separation of Termination .	34

1 Introductory Remarks

These theory files are intended as a reference formalisation for variants of Kleene algebras with domain. The algebraic hierarchy is developed in a modular way from domain and antidomain semigroups to modal Kleene algebras in which forward and backward box and diamond operators interact via conjugations and Galois connections. Throughout the development we have aimed at readable proofs so that these theories can be seen as a machine-checked introduction to reasoning in this setting. Apart from that, the Isabelle code is only sparsely annotated, and we refer to a series of articles for further information.

Our formalisation follows the approaches of Desharnais, Jipsen and Struth to domain semigroups [3] and Desharnais and Struth to families of domain semirings and Kleene algebras with domain [7, 6]. The link with modal Kleene algebras, Hoare logics and predicate transformers has been elaborated by Möller and Struth [13]; a notion of divergence has been added by Desharnais, Möller and Struth [5]. A previous stage of this formalisation has been documented in a companion article [11].

The target model of these axiomatisations are binary relations, where the domain operation represents the set of those elements that are related to some other element. There is a vast amount of literature on axiomatising the domain of functions, especially in semigroup theory. The deterministic nature of functions, however, leads to different axiom sets. An integration of these approaches is left for future work.

Our Isabelle/HOL formalisation itself is based on a formalisation of variants of Kleene algebras [1]. An adaptation of Kleene algebras with domain to the setting of concurrent dynamic algebra [10] can also be found in the Archive of Formal Proofs [9]. A formalisation of the original two-sorted approach to Kleene algebra with domain [4] is left for future work as well.

2 Domain Semirings

```
theory Domain-Semiring
```

```
imports Kleene-Algebra.Kleene-Algebra
```

```
begin
```

2.1 Domain Semigroups and Domain Monoids

```
class domain-op =
  fixes domain-op :: 'a ⇒ 'a (⟨d⟩)
```

First we define the class of domain semigroups. Axioms are taken from [3].

```
class domain-semigroup = semigroup-mult + domain-op +
  assumes dsg1 [simp]:  $d(x \cdot x) = x$ 
  and dsg2 [simp]:  $d(x \cdot d y) = d(x \cdot y)$ 
  and dsg3 [simp]:  $d(d x \cdot y) = d x \cdot d y$ 
  and dsg4:  $d x \cdot d y = d y \cdot d x$ 
```

```
begin
```

```
lemma domain-invol [simp]:  $d(d x) = d x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

The next lemmas show that domain elements form semilattices.

```
lemma dom-el-idem [simp]:  $d x \cdot d x = d x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma dom-mult-closed [simp]:  $d(d x \cdot d y) = d x \cdot d y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma dom-lc3 [simp]:  $d x \cdot d(x \cdot y) = d(x \cdot y)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma d-fixpoint:  $(\exists y. x = d y) \leftrightarrow x = d x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma d-type:  $\forall P. (\forall x. x = d x \rightarrow P x) \leftrightarrow (\forall x. P(d x))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

We define the semilattice ordering on domain semigroups and explore the semilattice of domain elements from the order point of view.

```
definition ds-ord :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool (infix  $\sqsubseteq$  50) where
   $x \sqsubseteq y \longleftrightarrow x = d x \cdot y$ 
```

```
lemma ds-ord-refl:  $x \sqsubseteq x$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma ds-ord-trans:  $x \sqsubseteq y \implies y \sqsubseteq z \implies x \sqsubseteq z$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma ds-ord-antisym:  $x \sqsubseteq y \implies y \sqsubseteq x \implies x = y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

This relation is indeed an order.

```
sublocale ds: ordering  $\langle (\sqsubseteq) \rangle \langle \lambda x y. x \sqsubseteq y \wedge x \neq y \rangle$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
declare ds.refl [simp]
```

```
lemma ds-ord-eq:  $x \sqsubseteq d x \longleftrightarrow x = d x$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma  $x \sqsubseteq y \implies z \cdot x \sqsubseteq z \cdot y$ 
```

```
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma ds-ord-iso-right:  $x \sqsubseteq y \implies x \cdot z \sqsubseteq y \cdot z$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

The order on domain elements could as well be defined based on multiplication/meet.

```
lemma ds-ord-sl-ord:  $d x \sqsubseteq d y \longleftrightarrow d x \cdot d y = d x$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma ds-ord-1:  $d (x \cdot y) \sqsubseteq d x$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma ds-subid-aux:  $d x \cdot y \sqsubseteq y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma  $y \cdot d x \sqsubseteq y$ 
```

```
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma ds-dom-iso:  $x \sqsubseteq y \implies d x \sqsubseteq d y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma ds-dom-lfp:  $x \sqsubseteq d y \cdot x \longleftrightarrow d x \sqsubseteq d y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```

lemma ds-dom-lfp-strong:  $x = d y \cdot x \longleftrightarrow d x \sqsubseteq d y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition refines :: ' $a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ '  

  where refines  $x y \equiv d y \sqsubseteq d x \wedge (d y) \cdot x \sqsubseteq y$ 

lemma refines-refl: refines  $x x$   

   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma refines-trans: refines  $x y \implies$  refines  $y z \implies$  refines  $x z$   

   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma refines-antisym: refines  $x y \implies$  refines  $y x \implies x = y$   

   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale ref: ordering refines  $\lambda x y. (\text{refines } x y \wedge x \neq y)$   

   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

We expand domain semigroups to domain monoids.

```

class domain-monoid = monoid-mult + domain-semigroup
begin

lemma dom-one [simp]:  $d 1 = 1$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ds-subid-eq:  $x \sqsubseteq 1 \longleftrightarrow x = d x$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

2.2 Domain Near-Semirings

The axioms for domain near-semirings are taken from [6].

```

class domain-near-semiring = ab-near-semiring + plus-ord + domain-op +
  assumes dns1 [simp]:  $d x \cdot x = x$ 
  and dns2 [simp]:  $d(x \cdot d y) = d(x \cdot y)$ 
  and dns3 [simp]:  $d(x + y) = d x + d y$ 
  and dns4:  $d x \cdot d y = d y \cdot d x$ 
  and dns5 [simp]:  $d x \cdot (d x + d y) = d x$ 

begin

```

Domain near-semirings are automatically dioids; addition is idempotent.

```

subclass near-dioid
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

Next we prepare to show that domain near-semirings are domain semigroups.

lemma *dom-iso*: $x \leq y \implies d x \leq d y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dom-add-closed [simp]*: $d (d x + d y) = d x + d y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dom-absorp-2 [simp]*: $d x + d x \cdot d y = d x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dom-1*: $d (x \cdot y) \leq d x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dom-subid-aux2*: $d x \cdot y \leq y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dom-glb*: $d x \leq d y \implies d x \leq d z \implies d x \leq d y \cdot d z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dom-glb-eq*: $d x \leq d y \cdot d z \iff d x \leq d y \wedge d x \leq d z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dom-ord*: $d x \leq d y \iff d x \cdot d y = d x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dom-export [simp]*: $d (d x \cdot y) = d x \cdot d y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

subclass *domain-semigroup*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

We compare the domain semigroup ordering with that of the dioid.

lemma *d-two-orders*: $d x \sqsubseteq d y \iff d x \leq d y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *two-orders*: $x \sqsubseteq y \implies x \leq y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $x \leq y \implies x \sqsubseteq y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Next we prove additional properties.

lemma *dom-subdist*: $d x \leq d (x + y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dom-distrib*: $d x + d y \cdot d z = (d x + d y) \cdot (d x + d z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dom-lfp1*: $x \leq d y \cdot x \implies d x \leq d y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dom-lhp2*: $d x \leq d y \implies x \leq d y \cdot x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dom-lhp*: $x \leq d y \cdot x \longleftrightarrow d x \leq d y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

We expand domain near-semirings by an additive unit, using slightly different axioms.

class *domain-near-semiring-one* = *ab-near-semiring-one* + *plus-ord* + *domain-op*
+
assumes *dns01* [*simp*]: $x + d x \cdot x = d x \cdot x$
and *dns02* [*simp*]: $d(x \cdot d y) = d(x \cdot y)$
and *dns03* [*simp*]: $d x + 1 = 1$
and *dns04* [*simp*]: $d(x + y) = d x + d y$
and *dns05*: $d x \cdot d y = d y \cdot d x$

begin

The previous axioms are derivable.

subclass *domain-near-semiring*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

subclass *domain-monoid* $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dom-subid*: $d x \leq 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

We add a left unit of multiplication.

class *domain-near-semiring-one-zerol* = *ab-near-semiring-one-zerol* + *domain-near-semiring-one*
+
assumes *dns06* [*simp*]: $d 0 = 0$

begin

lemma *domain-very-strict*: $d x = 0 \longleftrightarrow x = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dom-weakly-local*: $x \cdot y = 0 \longleftrightarrow x \cdot d y = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

2.3 Domain Pre-Dioids

Pre-semirings with one and a left zero are automatically dioids. Hence there is no point defining domain pre-semirings separately from domain dioids. The axioms are once again from [6].

```
class domain-pre-dioid-one = pre-dioid-one + domain-op +
assumes dpd1 :  $x \leq d x \cdot x$ 
and dpd2 [simp]:  $d(x \cdot d y) = d(x \cdot y)$ 
and dpd3 [simp]:  $d x \leq 1$ 
and dpd4 [simp]:  $d(x + y) = d x + d y$ 
```

begin

We prepare to show that every domain pre-dioid with one is a domain near-dioid with one.

lemma dns1'' [simp]: $d x \cdot x = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma d-iso: $x \leq y \implies d x \leq d y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma domain-1'': $d(x \cdot y) \leq d x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma domain-export'': [simp]: $d(d x \cdot y) = d x \cdot d y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma dom-subid-aux1'': $d x \cdot y \leq y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma dom-subid-aux2'': $x \cdot d y \leq x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma d-comm: $d x \cdot d y = d y \cdot d x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

subclass domain-near-semiring-one
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma domain-subid: $x \leq 1 \implies x \leq d x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma d-preserves-equation: $d y \cdot x \leq x \cdot d z \iff d y \cdot x = d y \cdot x \cdot d z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma d-restrict-iff: $(x \leq y) \iff (x \leq d x \cdot y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma d-restrict-iff-1: $(d x \cdot y \leq z) \iff (d x \cdot y \leq d x \cdot z)$

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
end
```

We add once more a left unit of multiplication.

```
class domain-pre-dioid-one-zero1 = domain-pre-dioid-one + pre-dioid-one-zero1 +
  assumes dpd5 [simp]: d 0 = 0
```

```
begin
```

```
subclass domain-near-semiring-one-zero1
  <math>\langle proof \rangle</math>
```

```
end
```

2.4 Domain Semirings

We do not consider domain semirings without units separately at the moment. The axioms are taken from from [7]

```
class domain-semiringl = semiring-one-zero1 + plus-ord + domain-op +
  assumes dsr1 [simp]: x + d x · x = d x · x
  and dsr2 [simp]: d (x · d y) = d (x · y)
  and dsr3 [simp]: d x + 1 = 1
  and dsr4 [simp]: d 0 = 0
  and dsr5 [simp]: d (x + y) = d x + d y
```

```
begin
```

Every domain semiring is automatically a domain pre-dioid with one and left zero.

```
subclass dioid-one-zero1
  <math>\langle proof \rangle</math>
```

```
subclass domain-pre-dioid-one-zero1
  <math>\langle proof \rangle</math>
```

```
end
```

```
class domain-semiring = domain-semiringl + semiring-one-zero
```

2.5 The Algebra of Domain Elements

We show that the domain elements of a domain semiring form a distributive lattice. Unfortunately we cannot prove this within the type class of domain semirings.

```
typedef (overloaded) 'a d-element = {x :: 'a :: domain-semiring. x = d x}
  <math>\langle proof \rangle</math>
```

```

setup-lifting type-definition-d-element

instantiation d-element :: (domain-semiring) bounded-lattice

begin

lift-definition less-eq-d-element :: 'a d-element  $\Rightarrow$  'a d-element  $\Rightarrow$  bool is ( $\leq$ )
<proof>

lift-definition less-d-element :: 'a d-element  $\Rightarrow$  'a d-element  $\Rightarrow$  bool is ( $<$ )
<proof>

lift-definition bot-d-element :: 'a d-element is 0
<proof>

lift-definition top-d-element :: 'a d-element is 1
<proof>

lift-definition inf-d-element :: 'a d-element  $\Rightarrow$  'a d-element  $\Rightarrow$  'a d-element is ( $\cdot$ )
<proof>

lift-definition sup-d-element :: 'a d-element  $\Rightarrow$  'a d-element  $\Rightarrow$  'a d-element is
(+)
<proof>

instance
<proof>

end

instance d-element :: (domain-semiring) distrib-lattice
<proof>

```

2.6 Domain Semirings with a Greatest Element

If there is a greatest element in the semiring, then we have another equality.

```

class domain-semiring-top = domain-semiring + order-top

begin

notation top ( $\top$ )

lemma kat-equivalence-greatest: d x  $\leq$  d y  $\longleftrightarrow$  x  $\leq$  y  $\cdot$   $\top$ 
<proof>

end

```

2.7 Forward Diamond Operators

context *domain-semiringl*

begin

We define a forward diamond operator over a domain semiring. A more modular consideration is not given at the moment.

definition *fd* :: '*a* \Rightarrow '*a* \Rightarrow '*a* ($\langle(\ |\cdot\rangle \cdot\rangle$ [61,81] 82) **where**
 $|x\rangle y = d(x \cdot y)$

lemma *fdia-d-simp* [*simp*]: $|x\rangle d y = |x\rangle y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fdia-dom* [*simp*]: $|x\rangle 1 = d x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fdia-add1*: $|x\rangle (y + z) = |x\rangle y + |x\rangle z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fdia-add2*: $|x + y\rangle z = |x\rangle z + |y\rangle z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fdia-mult*: $|x \cdot y\rangle z = |x\rangle |y\rangle z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fdia-one* [*simp*]: $|1\rangle x = d x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fdemodalisation1*: $d z \cdot |x\rangle y = 0 \longleftrightarrow d z \cdot x \cdot d y = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fdemodalisation2*: $|x\rangle y \leq d z \longleftrightarrow x \cdot d y \leq d z \cdot x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fd-iso1*: $d x \leq d y \implies |z\rangle x \leq |z\rangle y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fd-iso2*: $x \leq y \implies |x\rangle z \leq |y\rangle z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fd-zero-var* [*simp*]: $|0\rangle x = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fd-subdist-1*: $|x\rangle y \leq |x\rangle (y + z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fd-subdist-2*: $|x\rangle (d y \cdot d z) \leq |x\rangle y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma fd-subdist:  $|x\rangle (d\ y \cdot d\ z) \leq |x\rangle y \cdot |x\rangle z$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma fdia-export-1:  $d\ y \cdot |x\rangle z = |d\ y \cdot x\rangle z$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
end
```

```
context domain-semiring
```

```
begin
```

```
lemma fdia-zero [simp]:  $|x\rangle 0 = 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
end
```

2.8 Domain Kleene Algebras

We add the Kleene star to our considerations. Special domain axioms are not needed.

```
class domain-left-kleene-algebra = left-kleene-algebra-zerol + domain-semiringl
```

```
begin
```

```
lemma dom-star [simp]:  $d\ (x^*) = 1$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma fdia-star-unfold [simp]:  $|1\rangle y + |x\rangle |x^*\rangle y = |x^*\rangle y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma fdia-star-unfoldr [simp]:  $|1\rangle y + |x^*\rangle |x\rangle y = |x^*\rangle y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma fdia-star-unfold-var [simp]:  $d\ y + |x\rangle |x^*\rangle y = |x^*\rangle y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma fdia-star-unfoldr-var [simp]:  $d\ y + |x^*\rangle |x\rangle y = |x^*\rangle y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma fdia-star-induct-var:  $|x\rangle y \leq d\ y \implies |x^*\rangle y \leq d\ y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma fdia-star-induct:  $d\ z + |x\rangle y \leq d\ y \implies |x^*\rangle z \leq d\ y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma fdia-star-induct-eq:  $d\ z + |x\rangle y = d\ y \implies |x^*\rangle z \leq d\ y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```

end

class domain-kleene-algebra = kleene-algebra + domain-semiring

begin

subclass domain-left-kleene-algebra ⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

3 Antidomain Semirings

```

theory Antidomain-Semiring
imports Domain-Semiring
begin

```

3.1 Antidomain Monoids

We axiomatise antidomain monoids, using the axioms of [3].

```

class antidomain-op =
  fixes antidomain-op :: 'a ⇒ 'a (⟨ad⟩)

class antidomain-left-monoid = monoid-mult + antidomain-op +
  assumes am1 [simp]: ad x · x = ad 1
  and am2: ad x · ad y = ad y · ad x
  and am3 [simp]: ad (ad x) · x = x
  and am4 [simp]: ad (x · y) · ad (x · ad y) = ad x
  and am5 [simp]: ad (x · y) · x · ad y = ad (x · y) · x

begin

no-notation domain-op (⟨d⟩)
no-notation zero-class.zero (⟨0⟩)

```

We define a zero element and operations of domain and addition.

```

definition a-zero :: 'a (⟨0⟩) where
  0 = ad 1

definition am-d :: 'a ⇒ 'a (⟨d⟩) where
  d x = ad (ad x)

definition am-add-op :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a (infixl ⟨⊕⟩ 65) where
  x ⊕ y ≡ ad (ad x · ad y)

lemma a-d-zero [simp]: ad x · d x = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *a-d-one* [simp]: $d x \oplus ad x = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *n-annil* [simp]: $0 \cdot x = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *a-mult-idem* [simp]: $ad x \cdot ad x = ad x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *a-add-idem* [simp]: $ad x \oplus ad x = ad x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The next three axioms suffice to show that the domain elements form a Boolean algebra.

lemma *a-add-comm*: $x \oplus y = y \oplus x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *a-add-assoc*: $x \oplus (y \oplus z) = (x \oplus y) \oplus z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *huntington* [simp]: $ad(x \oplus y) \oplus ad(x \oplus ad y) = ad x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *a-absorb1* [simp]: $(ad x \oplus ad y) \cdot ad x = ad x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *a-absorb2* [simp]: $ad x \oplus ad x \cdot ad y = ad x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The distributivity laws remain to be proved; our proofs follow those of Maddux [12].

lemma *prod-split* [simp]: $ad x \cdot ad y \oplus ad x \cdot d y = ad x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-split* [simp]: $(ad x \oplus ad y) \cdot (ad x \oplus d y) = ad x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *a-comp-simp* [simp]: $(ad x \oplus ad y) \cdot d x = ad y \cdot d x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *a-distrib1*: $ad x \cdot (ad y \oplus ad z) = ad x \cdot ad y \oplus ad x \cdot ad z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *a-distrib2*: $ad x \oplus ad y \cdot ad z = (ad x \oplus ad y) \cdot (ad x \oplus ad z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *aa-loc* [simp]: $d(x \cdot d y) = d(x \cdot y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma a-loc [simp]: ad (x · d y) = ad (x · y)
⟨proof⟩

lemma d-a-export [simp]: d (ad x · y) = ad x · d y
⟨proof⟩

Every antidomain monoid is a domain monoid.

sublocale dm: domain-monoid am-d (·) 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma ds-ord-iso1: x ⊑ y ==> z · x ⊑ z · y
⟨proof⟩

lemma a-very-costrict: ad x = 1 <=> x = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma a-weak-loc: x · y = 0 <=> x · d y = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma a-closure [simp]: d (ad x) = ad x
⟨proof⟩

lemma a-d-mult-closure [simp]: d (ad x · ad y) = ad x · ad y
⟨proof⟩

lemma kat-3': d x · y · ad z = 0 ==> d x · y = d x · y · d z
⟨proof⟩

lemma s4 [simp]: ad x · ad (ad x · y) = ad x · ad y
⟨proof⟩

end

class antidomain-monoid = antidomain-left-monoid +
assumes am6 [simp]: x · ad 1 = ad 1

begin

lemma kat-3-equiv: d x · y · ad z = 0 <=> d x · y = d x · y · d z
⟨proof⟩

no-notation a-zero (⟨0⟩)
no-notation am-d (⟨d⟩)

end

```

3.2 Antidomain Near-Semirings

We define antidomain near-semirings. We do not consider units separately. The axioms are taken from [6].

notation zero-class.zero ($\langle 0 \rangle$)

class antidomain-near-semiring = ab-near-semiring-one-zerol + antidomain-op + plus-ord +

assumes ans1 [simp]: $ad x \cdot x = 0$

and ans2 [simp]: $ad(x \cdot y) + ad(x \cdot ad(ad y)) = ad(x \cdot ad(ad y))$

and ans3 [simp]: $ad(ad x) + ad x = 1$

and ans4 [simp]: $ad(x + y) = ad x \cdot ad y$

begin

definition ans-d :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a ($\langle d \rangle$) **where**

$d x = ad(ad x)$

lemma a-a-one [simp]: $d 1 = 1$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma a-very-costrict': $ad x = 1 \longleftrightarrow x = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma one-idem [simp]: $1 + 1 = 1$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Every antidomain near-semiring is automatically a dioid, and therefore ordered.

subclass near-dioid-one-zerol

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma d1-a [simp]: $d x \cdot x = x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma a-comm: $ad x \cdot ad y = ad y \cdot ad x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma a-subid: $ad x \leq 1$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma a-subid-aux1: $ad x \cdot y \leq y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma a-subdist: $ad(x + y) \leq ad x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma a-antitone: $x \leq y \implies ad y \leq ad x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *a-mul-d* [*simp*]: $ad x \cdot d x = 0$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *a-gla1*: $ad x \cdot y = 0 \implies ad x \leq ad y$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *a-gla2*: $ad x \leq ad y \implies ad x \cdot y = 0$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *a2-eq* [*simp*]: $ad(x \cdot d y) = ad(x \cdot y)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *a-export'* [*simp*]: $ad(ad x \cdot y) = d x + ad y$
⟨proof⟩

Every antidomain near-semiring is a domain near-semiring.

sublocale *dnsz*: *domain-near-semiring-one-zero* (+) (·) 1 0 *ans-d* (\leq) ($<$)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *a-idem* [*simp*]: $ad x \cdot ad x = ad x$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *a-3-var* [*simp*]: $ad x \cdot ad y \cdot (x + y) = 0$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *a-3* [*simp*]: $ad x \cdot ad y \cdot d(x + y) = 0$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *a-closure'* [*simp*]: $d(ad x) = ad x$
⟨proof⟩

The following counterexamples show that some of the antidomain monoid axioms do not need to hold.

lemma $x \cdot ad 1 = ad 1$

⟨proof⟩

lemma $ad(x \cdot y) \cdot ad(x \cdot ad y) = ad x$

⟨proof⟩

lemma $ad(x \cdot y) \cdot ad(x \cdot ad y) = ad x$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *pchl-seq-inv*: $d v \cdot x \cdot y \cdot ad w = 0 \implies \exists z. d v \cdot x \cdot d z = 0 \wedge ad z \cdot y \cdot ad w = 0$
⟨proof⟩

```
lemma a-fixpoint: ad x = x  $\implies$  ( $\forall y. y = 0$ )
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
no-notation ans-d (⟨d⟩)
```

```
end
```

3.3 Antidomain Pre-Dioids

Antidomain pre-dioids are based on a different set of axioms, which are again taken from [6].

```
class antidomain-pre-dioid = pre-dioid-one-zero + antidomain-op +
assumes apd1 [simp]: ad x · x = 0
and apd2 [simp]: ad (x · y)  $\leq$  ad (x · ad (ad y))
and apd3 [simp]: ad (ad x) + ad x = 1
```

```
begin
```

```
definition apd-d :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a (⟨d⟩) where
  d x = ad (ad x)
```

```
lemma a-very-costrict': ad x = 1  $\longleftrightarrow$  x = 0
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma a-subid': ad x  $\leq$  1
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma d1-a' [simp]: d x · x = x
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma a-subid-aux1': ad x · y  $\leq$  y
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma a-mul-d' [simp]: ad x · d x = 0
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma a-d-closed [simp]: d (ad x) = ad x
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma meet-ord-def: ad x  $\leq$  ad y  $\longleftrightarrow$  ad x · ad y = ad x
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma d-weak-loc: x · y = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  x · d y = 0
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma gla-1: ad x · y = 0  $\implies$  ad x  $\leq$  ad y
  ⟨proof⟩
```

lemma *a2-eq'* [*simp*]: $\text{ad } (x \cdot d y) = \text{ad } (x \cdot y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *a-supdist-var*: $\text{ad } (x + y) \leq \text{ad } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *a-antitone'*: $x \leq y \implies \text{ad } y \leq \text{ad } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *a-comm-var*: $\text{ad } x \cdot \text{ad } y \leq \text{ad } y \cdot \text{ad } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *a-comm'*: $\text{ad } x \cdot \text{ad } y = \text{ad } y \cdot \text{ad } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *a-closed* [*simp*]: $d (\text{ad } x \cdot \text{ad } y) = \text{ad } x \cdot \text{ad } y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *a-export''* [*simp*]: $\text{ad } (\text{ad } x \cdot y) = d x + \text{ad } y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *d1-sum-var*: $x + y \leq (d x + d y) \cdot (x + y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *a4'*: $\text{ad } (x + y) = \text{ad } x \cdot \text{ad } y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Antidomain pre-dioids are domain pre-dioids and antidomain near-semirings, but still not antidomain monoids.

sublocale *dpdz*: *domain-pre-dioid-one-zerol* (+) (·) 1 0 (\leq) ($<$) $\lambda x. \text{ad } (\text{ad } x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

subclass *antidomain-near-semiring*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *a-supdist*: $\text{ad } (x + y) \leq \text{ad } x + \text{ad } y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *a-gla*: $\text{ad } x \cdot y = 0 \longleftrightarrow \text{ad } x \leq \text{ad } y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *a-subid-aux2*: $x \cdot \text{ad } y \leq x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *a42-var*: $d x \cdot d y \leq \text{ad } (\text{ad } x + \text{ad } y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *d1-weak* [*simp*]: $(d x + d y) \cdot x = x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma  $x \cdot ad 1 = ad 1$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $ad x \cdot (y + z) = ad x \cdot y + ad x \cdot z$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $ad (x \cdot y) \cdot ad (x \cdot ad y) = ad x$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $ad (x \cdot y) \cdot ad (x \cdot ad y) = ad x$ 
⟨proof⟩

no-notation apd-d ⟨d⟩

end

```

3.4 Antidomain Semirings

Antidomain semirings are direct expansions of antidomain pre-dioids, but do not require idempotency of addition. Hence we give a slightly different axiomatisation, following [7].

```

class antidomain-semiringl = semiring-one-zerol + plus-ord + antidomain-op +
assumes as1 [simp]:  $ad x \cdot x = 0$ 
and as2 [simp]:  $ad (x \cdot y) + ad (x \cdot ad (ad y)) = ad (x \cdot ad (ad y))$ 
and as3 [simp]:  $ad (ad x) + ad x = 1$ 

begin

```

```

definition ads-d :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⟨d⟩ where
 $d x = ad (ad x)$ 

```

```

lemma one-idem':  $1 + 1 = 1$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

Every antidomain semiring is a dioid and an antidomain pre-dioid.

```

subclass dioid
⟨proof⟩

```

```

subclass antidomain-pre-dioid
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma am5-lem [simp]:  $ad (x \cdot y) \cdot ad (x \cdot ad y) = ad x$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma am6-lem [simp]: ad (x · y) · x · ad y = ad (x · y) · x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma a-zero [simp]: ad 0 = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma a-one [simp]: ad 1 = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

subclass antidomain-left-monoid
  ⟨proof⟩

Every antidomain left semiring is a domain left semiring.

no-notation domain-semiringl-class.fd ((|-) -) [61,81] 82)

definition fdia :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ((|-) -) [61,81] 82) where
| $x\rangle y = ad(ad(x \cdot y))$ 

sublocale ds: domain-semiringl (+) (·) 1 0 λx. ad(ad x) (≤) (<)
  rewrites ds.fd x y ≡ fdia x y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fd-eq-fdia [simp]: domain-semiringl.fd (·) d x y ≡ fdia x y
  ⟨proof⟩

end

class antidomain-semiring = antidomain-semiringl + semiring-one-zero

begin

Every antidomain semiring is an antidomain monoid.

subclass antidomain-monoid
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma a-zero = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale ds: domain-semiring (+) (·) 1 0 λx. ad(ad x) (≤) (<)
  rewrites ds.fd x y ≡ fdia x y
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

3.5 The Boolean Algebra of Domain Elements

```

typedef (overloaded) 'a a2-element = {x :: 'a :: antidomain-semiring. x = d x}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

setup-lifting type-definition-a2-element

instantiation a2-element :: (antidomain-semiring) boolean-algebra

begin

lift-definition less-eq-a2-element :: 'a a2-element  $\Rightarrow$  'a a2-element  $\Rightarrow$  bool is ( $\leq$ )
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lift-definition less-a2-element :: 'a a2-element  $\Rightarrow$  'a a2-element  $\Rightarrow$  bool is ( $<$ )
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lift-definition bot-a2-element :: 'a a2-element is 0
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lift-definition top-a2-element :: 'a a2-element is 1
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lift-definition inf-a2-element :: 'a a2-element  $\Rightarrow$  'a a2-element  $\Rightarrow$  'a a2-element
is ( $\cdot$ )
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lift-definition sup-a2-element :: 'a a2-element  $\Rightarrow$  'a a2-element  $\Rightarrow$  'a a2-element
is (+)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lift-definition minus-a2-element :: 'a a2-element  $\Rightarrow$  'a a2-element  $\Rightarrow$  'a a2-element
is  $\lambda x y. x \cdot ad y$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lift-definition uminus-a2-element :: 'a a2-element  $\Rightarrow$  'a a2-element is antido-
main-op
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instance
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

3.6 Further Properties

context *antidomain-semiringl*

begin

lemma *a2-var*: $ad x \cdot d y = 0 \longleftrightarrow ad x \leq ad y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The following two lemmas give the Galois connection of Heyting algebras.

lemma *da-shunt1*: $x \leq d y + z \implies x \cdot ad y \leq z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *da-shunt2*: $x \leq ad y + z \implies x \cdot d y \leq z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *d-a-galois1*: $d x \cdot ad y \leq d z \longleftrightarrow d x \leq d z + d y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *d-a-galois2*: $d x \cdot d y \leq d z \longleftrightarrow d x \leq d z + ad y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *d-cancellation-1*: $d x \leq d y + d x \cdot ad y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *d-cancellation-2*: $(d z + d y) \cdot ad y \leq d z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *a-de-morgan*: $ad(ad x \cdot ad y) = d(x + y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *a-de-morgan-var-3*: $ad(d x + d y) = ad x \cdot ad y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *a-de-morgan-var-4*: $ad(d x \cdot d y) = ad x + ad y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *a-4*: $ad x \leq ad(x \cdot y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *a-6*: $ad(d x \cdot y) = ad x + ad y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *a-7*: $d x \cdot ad(d y + d z) = d x \cdot ad y + ad z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *a-d-add-closure [simp]*: $d(ad x + ad y) = ad x + ad y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *d-6 [simp]*: $d x + ad x \cdot d y = d x + d y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *d-7 [simp]*: $ad x + d x \cdot ad y = ad x + ad y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *a-mult-add*: $ad x \cdot (y + x) = ad x \cdot y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *kat-2*: $y \cdot ad z \leq ad x \cdot y \implies d x \cdot y \cdot ad z = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *kat-3*: $d x \cdot y \cdot ad z = 0 \implies d x \cdot y = d x \cdot y \cdot d z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *kat-4*: $d x \cdot y = d x \cdot y \cdot d z \implies d x \cdot y \leq y \cdot d z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *kat-2-equiv*: $y \cdot ad z \leq ad x \cdot y \longleftrightarrow d x \cdot y \cdot ad z = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *kat-4-equiv*: $d x \cdot y = d x \cdot y \cdot d z \longleftrightarrow d x \cdot y \leq y \cdot d z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *kat-3-equiv-opp*: $ad z \cdot y \cdot d x = 0 \longleftrightarrow y \cdot d x = d z \cdot y \cdot d x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *kat-4-equiv-opp*: $y \cdot d x = d z \cdot y \cdot d x \longleftrightarrow y \cdot d x \leq d z \cdot y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.7 Forward Box and Diamond Operators

lemma *fdemodalisation22*: $|x\rangle y \leq d z \longleftrightarrow ad z \cdot x \cdot d y = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dia-diff-var*: $|x\rangle y \leq |x\rangle (d y \cdot ad z) + |x\rangle z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dia-diff*: $|x\rangle y \cdot ad (|x\rangle z) \leq |x\rangle (d y \cdot ad z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fdia-export-2*: $ad y \cdot |x\rangle z = |ad y \cdot x\rangle z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fdia-split*: $|x\rangle y = d z \cdot |x\rangle y + ad z \cdot |x\rangle y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *fbox* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a (\cdot(| -) \cdot) [61,81] 82)$ **where**
 $|x\rangle y = ad (x \cdot ad y)$

The next lemmas establish the De Morgan duality between boxes and diamonds.

lemma *fdia-fbox-de-morgan-2*: $ad (|x\rangle y) = |x\rangle ad y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fbox-simp*: $|x\rangle y = |x\rangle d y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fbox-dom [simp]*: $|x\rangle 0 = ad x$

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma fbox-add1: |x] (d y · d z) = |x] y · |x] z
⟨proof⟩

lemma fbox-add2: |x + y] z = |x] z · |y] z
⟨proof⟩

lemma fbox-mult: |x · y] z = |x] |y] z
⟨proof⟩

lemma fbox-zero [simp]: |0] x = 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma fbox-one [simp]: |1] x = d x
⟨proof⟩

lemma fbox-iso: d x ≤ d y  $\implies$  |z] x ≤ |z] y
⟨proof⟩

lemma fbox-antitone-var: x ≤ y  $\implies$  |y] z ≤ |x] z
⟨proof⟩

lemma fbox-subdist-1: |x] (d y · d z) ≤ |x] y
⟨proof⟩

lemma fbox-subdist-2: |x] y ≤ |x] (d y + d z)
⟨proof⟩

lemma fbox-subdist: |x] d y + |x] d z ≤ |x] (d y + d z)
⟨proof⟩

lemma fbox-diff-var: |x] (d y + ad z) · |x] z ≤ |x] y
⟨proof⟩

lemma fbox-diff: |x] (d y + ad z) ≤ |x] y + ad ( |x] z )
⟨proof⟩

end

context antidomain-semiring

begin

lemma kat-1: d x · y ≤ y · d z  $\implies$  y · ad z ≤ ad x · y
⟨proof⟩

lemma kat-1-equiv: d x · y ≤ y · d z  $\longleftrightarrow$  y · ad z ≤ ad x · y
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma kat-3-equiv':  $d x \cdot y \cdot ad z = 0 \longleftrightarrow d x \cdot y = d x \cdot y \cdot d z$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma kat-1-equiv-opp:  $y \cdot d x \leq d z \cdot y \longleftrightarrow ad z \cdot y \leq y \cdot ad x$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma kat-2-equiv-opp:  $ad z \cdot y \leq y \cdot ad x \longleftrightarrow ad z \cdot y \cdot d x = 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fbox-one-1 [simp]:  $|x| 1 = 1$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fbox-demodalisation3:  $d y \leq |x| d z \longleftrightarrow d y \cdot x \leq x \cdot d z$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

3.8 Antidomain Kleene Algebras

```

class antidomain-left-kleene-algebra = antidomain-semiringl + left-kleene-algebra-zerol

begin

sublocale dka: domain-left-kleene-algebra (+) (·) 1 0 d ( $\leq$ ) ( $<$ ) star
  rewrites domain-semiringl.fd (·) d x y  $\equiv |x\rangle y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma a-star [simp]:  $ad (x^*) = 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fbox-star-unfold [simp]:  $|1| z \cdot |x| |x^*| z = |x^*| z$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fbox-star-unfold-var [simp]:  $d z \cdot |x| |x^*| z = |x^*| z$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fbox-star-unfoldr [simp]:  $|1| z \cdot |x^*| |x| z = |x^*| z$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fbox-star-unfoldr-var [simp]:  $d z \cdot |x^*| |x| z = |x^*| z$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fbox-star-induct-var:  $d y \leq |x| y \implies d y \leq |x^*| y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fbox-star-induct:  $d y \leq d z \cdot |x| y \implies d y \leq |x^*| z$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma fbox-star-induct-eq:  $d z \cdot |x| y = d y \implies d y \leq |x^*| z$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fbox-export-1:  $ad y + |x| y = |d y \cdot x| y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fbox-export-2:  $d y + |x| y = |ad y \cdot x| y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

class antidomain-kleene-algebra = antidomain-semiring + kleene-algebra

begin

subclass antidomain-left-kleene-algebra  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $d p \leq |(d t \cdot x)^* \cdot ad t| (d q \cdot ad t) \implies d p \leq |d t \cdot x| d q$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

end

```

4 Range and Antirange Semirings

```

theory Range-Semiring
imports Antidomain-Semiring
begin

```

4.1 Range Semirings

We set up the duality between domain and antidomain semirings on the one hand and range and antirange semirings on the other hand.

```

class range-op =
  fixes range-op :: ' $a \Rightarrow 'a$  ( $\langle r \rangle$ )'

class range-semiring = semiring-one-zero + plus-ord + range-op +
  assumes rsr1 [simp]:  $x + (x \cdot r x) = x \cdot r x$ 
  and rsr2 [simp]:  $r (r x \cdot y) = r(x \cdot y)$ 
  and rsr3 [simp]:  $r x + 1 = 1$ 
  and rsr4 [simp]:  $r 0 = 0$ 
  and rsr5 [simp]:  $r (x + y) = r x + r y$ 

begin

definition bd :: ' $a \Rightarrow 'a$  ( $\langle\langle - \rangle\rangle$  [61,81] 82) where

```

```

⟨x| y = r (y · x)

no-notation range-op (⟨r⟩)

end

sublocale range-semiring ⊆ rdual: domain-semiring (+) λx y. y · x 1 0 range-op
(≤) (<)
  rewrites rdual.fd x y = ⟨x| y
⟨proof⟩

sublocale domain-semiring ⊆ ddual: range-semiring (+) λx y. y · x 1 0 domain-op
(≤) (<)
  rewrites ddual.bd x y = domain-semiringl-class.fd x y
⟨proof⟩

```

4.2 Antirange Semirings

```

class antirange-op =
  fixes antirange-op :: 'a ⇒ 'a (⟨ar → [999] 1000)

class antirange-semiring = semiring-one-zero + plus-ord + antirange-op +
  assumes ars1 [simp]: x · ar x = 0
  and ars2 [simp]: ar (x · y) + ar (ar ar x · y) = ar (ar ar x · y)
  and ars3 [simp]: ar ar x + ar x = 1

begin

no-notation bd (⟨⟨-| → [61,81] 82⟩

definition ars-r :: 'a ⇒ 'a (⟨r⟩) where
  r x = ar (ar x)

definition bdia :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a (⟨⟨-| → [61,81] 82⟩) where
  ⟨x| y = ar ar (y · x)

definition bbox :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a (⟨⟨-| → [61,81] 82⟩) where
  [x| y = ar (ar y · x)

end

sublocale antirange-semiring ⊆ ardual: antidomain-semiring antirange-op (+) λx
y. y · x 1 0 (≤) (<)
  rewrites ardual.ads-d x = r x
  and ardual.fdia x y = ⟨x| y
  and ardual.fbox x y = [x| y
⟨proof⟩

context antirange-semiring

```

```

begin

sublocale rs: range-semiring (+) (·) 1 0 λx. ar ar x (≤) (<)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

sublocale antidomain-semiring ⊆ addual: antirange-semiring (+) λx y. y · x 1 0
  antidomain-op (≤) (<)
  rewrites addual.ars-r x = d x
  and addual.bdia x y = |x⟩ y
  and addual.bbox x y = |x] y
  ⟨proof⟩

```

4.3 Antirange Kleene Algebras

```

class antirange-kleene-algebra = antirange-semiring + kleene-algebra

sublocale antirange-kleene-algebra ⊆ dual: antidomain-kleene-algebra antirange-op
  (+) λx y. y · x 1 0 (≤) (<) star
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

sublocale antidomain-kleene-algebra ⊆ dual: antirange-kleene-algebra (+) λx y. y
  · x 1 0 (≤) (<) star antidomain-op
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Hence all range theorems have been derived by duality in a generic way.

end

5 Modal Kleene Algebras

This section studies laws that relate antidomain and antirange semirings and Kleene algebra, notably Galois connections and conjugations as those studied in [13, 7].

```

theory Modal-Kleene-Algebra
imports Range-Semiring
begin

class modal-semiring = antidomain-semiring + antirange-semiring +
  assumes domrange [simp]: d (r x) = r x
  and rangedom [simp]: r (d x) = d x

begin

```

These axioms force that the domain algebra and the range algebra coincide.

```

lemma domrangefix: d x = x ↔ r x = x

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma box-diamond-galois-1:
assumes d p = p and d q = q
shows ⟨x| p ≤ q  $\longleftrightarrow$  p ≤ |x] q
⟨proof⟩

lemma box-diamond-galois-2:
assumes d p = p and d q = q
shows |x⟩ p ≤ q  $\longleftrightarrow$  p ≤ [x| q
⟨proof⟩

lemma diamond-conjugation-var-1:
assumes d p = p and d q = q
shows |x⟩ p ≤ ad q  $\longleftrightarrow$  ⟨x| q ≤ ad p
⟨proof⟩

lemma diamond-conjugation-aux:
assumes d p = p and d q = q
shows ⟨x| p ≤ ad q  $\longleftrightarrow$  q · ⟨x| p = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma diamond-conjugation:
assumes d p = p and d q = q
shows p · |x⟩ q = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  q · ⟨x| p = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma box-conjugation-var-1:
assumes d p = p and d q = q
shows ad p ≤ [x| q  $\longleftrightarrow$  ad q ≤ |x] p
⟨proof⟩

lemma box-diamond-cancellation-1: d p = p  $\implies$  p ≤ |x] ⟨x| p
⟨proof⟩

lemma box-diamond-cancellation-2: d p = p  $\implies$  p ≤ [x| |x⟩ p
⟨proof⟩

lemma box-diamond-cancellation-3: d p = p  $\implies$  |x⟩ [x| p ≤ p
⟨proof⟩

lemma box-diamond-cancellation-4: d p = p  $\implies$  ⟨x| |x] p ≤ p
⟨proof⟩

end

class modal-kleene-algebra = modal-semiring + kleene-algebra
begin

```

```

subclass antidomain-kleene-algebra <proof>

subclass antirange-kleene-algebra <proof>

end

end

```

6 Models of Modal Kleene Algebras

```

theory Modal-Kleene-Algebra-Models
imports Kleene-Algebra.Kleene-Algebra-Models
Modal-Kleene-Algebra

```

```
begin
```

This section develops the relation model. We also briefly develop the trace model for antidomain Kleene algebras, but not for antirange or full modal Kleene algebras. The reason is that traces are implemented as lists; we therefore expect tedious inductive proofs in the presence of range. The language model is not particularly interesting.

```

definition rel-ad :: 'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  'a rel where
rel-ad R = { $(x,x) \mid x. \neg (\exists y. (x,y) \in R)$ }
```

```

interpretation rel-antidomain-kleene-algebra: antidomain-kleene-algebra rel-ad ( $\cup$ )
(O) Id {} ( $\subseteq$ ) ( $\subset$ ) rtrancl
<proof>

```

```

definition trace-a :: ('p, 'a) trace set  $\Rightarrow$  ('p, 'a) trace set where
trace-a X = { $(p,[] \mid p. \neg (\exists x. x \in X \wedge p = \text{first } x)$ }
```

```

interpretation trace-antidomain-kleene-algebra: antidomain-kleene-algebra trace-a
( $\cup$ ) t-prod t-one t-zero ( $\subseteq$ ) ( $\subset$ ) t-star
<proof>

```

The trace model should be extended to cover modal Kleene algebras in the future.

```

definition rel-ar :: 'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  'a rel where
rel-ar R = { $(y,y) \mid y. \neg (\exists x. (x,y) \in R)$ }
```

```

interpretation rel-antirange-kleene-algebra: antirange-semiring ( $\cup$ ) (O) Id {} ( $\subseteq$ )
( $\subseteq$ ) ( $\subset$ )
<proof>

```

```

interpretation rel-modal-kleene-algebra: modal-kleene-algebra ( $\cup$ ) (O) Id {} ( $\subseteq$ )
( $\subset$ ) rtrancl rel-ad rel-ar
<proof>

```

```
end
```

7 Applications of Modal Kleene Algebras

```
theory Modal-Kleene-Algebra-Applications
imports Antidomain-Semiring
begin
```

This file collects some applications of the theories developed so far. These are described in [11].

```
context antidomain-kleene-algebra
begin
```

7.1 A Reachability Result

This example is taken from [4].

```
lemma opti-iterate-var [simp]:  $|(\text{ad } y \cdot x)^* \rangle y = |x^* \rangle y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma opti-iterate [simp]:  $d y + |(x \cdot \text{ad } y)^* \rangle |x\rangle y = |x^* \rangle y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma opti-iterate-var-2 [simp]:  $d y + |\text{ad } y \cdot x\rangle |x^* \rangle y = |x^* \rangle y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

7.2 Derivation of Segerberg's Formula

This example is taken from [5].

```
definition Alpha :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a (⟨A⟩)
  where A x y = d (x · y) · ad y
```

```
lemma A-dom [simp]:  $d (A x y) = A x y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma A-fdia:  $A x y = |x\rangle y \cdot ad y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma A-fdia-var:  $A x y = |x\rangle d y \cdot ad y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma a-A:  $ad (A x (\text{ad } y)) = |x] y + ad y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma fsegerberg [simp]:  $d y + |x^* \rangle A x y = |x^* \rangle y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma fbox-segerberg [simp]:  $d y \cdot |x^*| (|x] y + ad y) = |x^*| y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

7.3 Wellfoundedness and Loeb's Formula

This example is taken from [7].

```
definition Omega :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ( $\langle \Omega \rangle$ )
  where  $\Omega x y = d y \cdot ad(x \cdot y)$ 
```

If y is a set, then $\Omega(x, y)$ describes those elements in y from which no further x transitions are possible.

```
lemma omega-fdia:  $\Omega x y = d y \cdot ad(|x\rangle y)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma omega-fbox:  $\Omega x y = d y \cdot |x| (ad y)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma omega-absorb1 [simp]:  $\Omega x y \cdot ad(|x\rangle y) = \Omega x y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma omega-absorb2 [simp]:  $\Omega x y \cdot ad(x \cdot y) = \Omega x y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma omega-le-1:  $\Omega x y \leq d y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma omega-subid:  $\Omega x (d y) \leq d y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma omega-le-2:  $\Omega x y \leq ad(|x\rangle y)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma omega-dom [simp]:  $d(\Omega x y) = \Omega x y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma a-omega:  $ad(\Omega x y) = ad y + |x\rangle y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma omega-fdia-3 [simp]:  $d y \cdot ad(\Omega x y) = d y \cdot |x\rangle y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma omega-zero-equiv-1:  $\Omega x y = 0 \longleftrightarrow d y \leq |x\rangle y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
definition Loebian :: 'a ⇒ bool
  where Loebian  $x = (\forall y. |x\rangle y \leq |x\rangle \Omega x y)$ 
```

```
definition PreLoebian :: 'a ⇒ bool
  where PreLoebian  $x = (\forall y. d y \leq |x^*\rangle \Omega x y)$ 
```

```
definition Noetherian :: 'a ⇒ bool
  where Noetherian  $x = (\forall y. \Omega x y = 0 \longrightarrow d y = 0)$ 
```

```

lemma noetherian-alt: Noetherian  $x \longleftrightarrow (\forall y. d y \leq |x\rangle y \rightarrow d y = 0)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Noetherian-iff-PreLoebian: Noetherian  $x \longleftrightarrow$  PreLoebian  $x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Loebian-imp-Noetherian: Loebian  $x \implies$  Noetherian  $x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma d-transitive:  $(\forall y. |x\rangle |x\rangle y \leq |x\rangle y) \implies (\forall y. |x\rangle y = |x^*\rangle |x\rangle y)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma d-transitive-var:  $(\forall y. |x\rangle |x\rangle y \leq |x\rangle y) \implies (\forall y. |x\rangle y = |x\rangle |x^*\rangle y)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma d-transitive-PreLoebian-imp-Loebian:  $(\forall y. |x\rangle |x\rangle y \leq |x\rangle y) \implies$  PreLoebian  $x \implies$  Loebian  $x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma d-transitive-Noetherian-iff-Loebian:  $\forall y. |x\rangle |x\rangle y \leq |x\rangle y \implies$  Noetherian  $x \longleftrightarrow$  Loebian  $x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Loeb-iff-box-Loeb: Loebian  $x \longleftrightarrow (\forall y. |x] (ad(|x] y) + d y) \leq |x] y)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

7.4 Divergence Kleene Algebras and Separation of Termination

The notion of divergence has been added to modal Kleene algebras in [5]. More facts about divergence could be added in the future. Some could be adapted from omega algebras.

class nabla-op =
  fixes nabla :: 'a ⇒ 'a (⟨∇-⟩ [999] 1000)

class fdivergence-kleene-algebra = antidomain-kleene-algebra + nabla-op +
  assumes nabla-closure [simp]:  $d \nabla x = \nabla x$ 
  and nabla-unfold:  $\nabla x \leq |x\rangle \nabla x$ 
  and nabla-coinduction:  $d y \leq |x\rangle y + d z \implies d y \leq \nabla x + |x^*\rangle z$ 

begin

lemma nabla-coinduction-var:  $d y \leq |x\rangle y \implies d y \leq \nabla x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *nabla-unfold-eq* [*simp*]: $|x\rangle \nabla x = \nabla x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nabla-subdist*: $\nabla x \leq \nabla (x + y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nabla-iso*: $x \leq y \implies \nabla x \leq \nabla y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nabla-omega*: $\Omega x (d y) = 0 \implies d y \leq \nabla x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nabla-noether*: $\nabla x = 0 \implies$ Noetherian x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nabla-preloeb*: $\nabla x = 0 \implies$ PreLoebian x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *star-nabla-1* [*simp*]: $|x^*\rangle \nabla x = \nabla x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nabla-sum-expand* [*simp*]: $|x\rangle \nabla (x + y) + |y\rangle \nabla (x + y) = \nabla (x + y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wagner-3*:
assumes $d z + |x\rangle \nabla (x + y) = \nabla (x + y)$
shows $\nabla (x + y) = \nabla x + |x^*\rangle z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nabla-sum-unfold* [*simp*]: $\nabla x + |x^*\rangle |y\rangle \nabla (x + y) = \nabla (x + y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nabla-separation*: $y \cdot x \leq x \cdot (x + y)^* \implies (\nabla (x + y) = \nabla x + |x^*\rangle \nabla y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The next lemma is a separation of termination theorem by Bachmair and Dershowitz [2].

lemma *bachmair-dershowitz*: $y \cdot x \leq x \cdot (x + y)^* \implies \nabla x + \nabla y = 0 \longleftrightarrow \nabla (x + y) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The next lemma is a more complex separation of termination theorem by Doornbos, Backhouse and van der Woude [8].

lemma *separation-of-termination*:
assumes $y \cdot x \leq x \cdot (x + y)^* + y$
shows $\nabla x + \nabla y = 0 \longleftrightarrow \nabla (x + y) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The final examples can be found in [11].

definition $T :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a (\text{--} \rightsquigarrow - \rightsquigarrow \rightarrow [61,61,61] 60)$
where $p \rightsquigarrow x \rightsquigarrow q \equiv ad p + |x| d q$

lemma $T\text{-}d$ [*simp*]: $d(p \rightsquigarrow x \rightsquigarrow q) = p \rightsquigarrow x \rightsquigarrow q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $T\text{-}p$: $d p \cdot (p \rightsquigarrow x \rightsquigarrow q) = d p \cdot |x| d q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $T\text{-}a$ [*simp*]: $ad p \cdot (p \rightsquigarrow x \rightsquigarrow q) = ad p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $T\text{-}seq$: $(p \rightsquigarrow x \rightsquigarrow q) \cdot |x|(q \rightsquigarrow y \rightsquigarrow s) \leq p \rightsquigarrow x \cdot y \rightsquigarrow s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $T\text{-}square$: $(p \rightsquigarrow x \rightsquigarrow q) \cdot |x|(q \rightsquigarrow x \rightsquigarrow p) \leq p \rightsquigarrow x \cdot x \rightsquigarrow p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $T\text{-}segerberg$ [*simp*]: $d p \cdot |x^*|(p \rightsquigarrow x \rightsquigarrow p) = |x^*| d p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lookahead$ [*simp*]: $|x^*|(d p \cdot |x| d p) = |x^*| d p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $alternation$: $d p \cdot |x^*|((p \rightsquigarrow x \rightsquigarrow q) \cdot (q \rightsquigarrow x \rightsquigarrow p)) = |(x \cdot x)^*|(d p \cdot (q \rightsquigarrow x \rightsquigarrow p))$
 $\cdot |x \cdot (x \cdot x)^*|(d q \cdot (p \rightsquigarrow x \rightsquigarrow q))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $|(x \cdot x)^*| d p \cdot |x \cdot (x \cdot x)^*| ad p = d p \cdot |x^*|((p \rightsquigarrow x \rightsquigarrow ad p) \cdot (ad p \rightsquigarrow x \rightsquigarrow p))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $|x^*| d p = d p \cdot |x^*|(p \rightsquigarrow x \rightsquigarrow p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

References

- [1] A. Armstrong, V. B. F. Gomes, G. Struth, and T. Weber. Kleene algebra. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2013.
- [2] L. Bachmair and N. Dershowitz. Commutation, transformation, and termination. In J. H. Siekmann, editor, *Conference on Automated Deduction*, volume 230 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 5–20. Springer, 1986.

- [3] J. Desharnais, P. Jipsen, and G. Struth. Domain and antidomain semigroups. In R. Berghammer, A. Jaoua, and B. Möller, editors, *Relations and Kleene Algebra in Computer Science*, volume 5827 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 73–87. Springer, 2009.
- [4] J. Desharnais, B. Möller, and G. Struth. Kleene algebra with domain. *ACM TOCL*, 7(4):798–833, 2006.
- [5] J. Desharnais, B. Möller, and G. Struth. Algebraic notions of termination. *Logical Methods in Computer Science*, 7(1), 2011.
- [6] J. Desharnais and G. Struth. Domain axioms for a family of near-semirings. In J. Meseguer and G. Rosu, editors, *AMAST 2008*, volume 5140 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 330–345. Springer, 2008.
- [7] J. Desharnais and G. Struth. Internal axioms for domain semirings. *Science of Computer Programming*, 76(3):181–203, 2011.
- [8] H. Doornbos, R. C. Backhouse, and J. van der Woude. A calculational approach to mathematical induction. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 179(1–2):103–135, 1997.
- [9] H. Furusawa and G. Struth. Binary multirelations. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2015.
- [10] H. Furusawa and G. Struth. Concurrent dynamic algebra. *ACM TOCL*, 16(4):30, 2015.
- [11] W. Guttmann, G. Struth, and T. Weber. Automating algebraic methods in Isabelle. In S. Qin and Z. Qiu, editors, *ICFEM 2011*, volume 6991 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 617–632. Springer, 2011.
- [12] R. D. Maddux. *Relation Algebras*. Elsevier, 2006.
- [13] B. Möller and G. Struth. Algebras of modal operators and partial correctness. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 351(2):221–239, 2006.